
Block 7
Rack No. 47
Shelf No. 1274
1860
16006

4289 | Fort S.t George — April 1842

Diary.

1.st April. Received the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir
Fort S.t George

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly } With reference to the accompany-
ing Medical Certificate I regret that I am
compelled to request the Board to make immediate
arrangements for the conduct of the duty of my Office.

On the 26.th Instant being
at Trimulvady in the Arrealore Taloob with my
Summahbundy Cutcherry I became so unwell
that I came to this place Tanjore, about 12 Miles
distant to consult the Assistant Surgeon Mr.
Brooking who having prescribed for me I went
back to my Cutcherry but finding no improvement
in my health, I came here again last night and
Mr. Brooking assures me that unless I immediately
Submit to a course of Medical treatment the

(1)

consequences may be most serious to me.

I have therefore to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for my visiting Tanjore and the Coast for the period of one Month on Medical certificate and that I may be relieved from my duties as soon as possible. In the mean time I can manage to conduct them for a few days, but my Medical Adviser prohibits me from returning to my tents.

Tanjore
30th May 1842.

Signed A. P. Onslow
Collector.

P. S. Since writing the above, I find that according to the Rules for Sick Certificate, the Certificate should be forwarded by the Medical Officer to the Medical Board which has accordingly been done.

Mr. A. P. Onslow Collector and Magistrate of Trichinopoly having applied to me for a Certificate

on which to ground an application for leave of absence, I do hereby certify from personal observation that he is suffering from a severe affection of the Colon threatening a Dysenteria attack and that in consequence I consider a temporary removal necessary for the benefit of his health and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty for the space of one Month to visit Tanjore.

Signed Geo. Adams
Acting Sr. Member Medical Board

Tanjore
30th March 1842.

Signed J. Brooking
Asst. Surgeon

Proceedings

Proceedings thereon

(1-2)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of Government thro' the Civil Auditor.

The Board observe that the only revenue servant at present in the Trichinopoly District besides Mr. Onslow appears to be the Acting Head Assistant Mr. Ellis, who has just been appointed to that situation.

Sent

31st March. Sent the following letter

(3)

To The Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased under date the 17th March 1842 to sanction the revised Tariff for the Land Customs Department of your District, which accompanied your letter of the 14th February last.

I have the honor &c.
Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st March 1842

Signed R. T. Porter
Sr Secretary

Sent the following letter

To The Collector of Tinnevelly

(4)

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased under date the 15th Instant to sanction the arrangement proposed in your letter of the 27th December last for the management of the Villages lately comprized in the Checkumpetty

Secretary

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st March

Zemindary
Signed R. J. Porter
Asst Secretary

31st March.

Sent the following letter

To

The Collector of Madras and
Register of Government
Grants

To the Collector of
Madras & Register
of Government Grants
In Cons 28th Feb 1842

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 21st February 1842,

In Cons 24th March 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Sanction of Government was granted under date the 17th Instant for the issue of the document applied for by Mr. E. Peters in lieu of the leave No 69 for land on the Nielgherry Hills granted to Mr. John Ryan.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st March 1842

Signed R. J. Porter
Asst Secretary

Monday
4th April
1842

At a Meeting Present
John Dent Esqre Senior Member
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply together with the several Communications therein referred to.

6

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting for the purpose of being laid before the Supreme Government Letters from the Collectors of certain Districts containing their opinion regarding the proposed act for defining the Boundaries of Villages and settling boundary disputes and stating that the Objects

In Cons 31st March 1842

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated the 7th February last, and 16th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being laid before the Supreme Government the accompanying letters from the Collectors of the districts named in the Margin containing

Nellore 5th inst 22nd November 1841.
Tinnevelly 17th Nov. inst 9th December "
Nellore 23rd inst 31st March 1842.
Cuddapah 26th inst 31st March "
Ganjam 23rd inst 31st Do. "

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

object sought by the proposed act is amply attainable by means of the Regulations at present in force in this Presidency under which disputes regarding Village boundaries are cognizable by the Collector in person or if it be deemed necessary by a Punchayet assembled under his orders.

Paras 2 & 3 of Mins. Cons. 23d March 1842.

containing their opinions regarding the act for defining the boundaries of Villages, and settling boundary disputes in the Presidency of Bombay. Draft of which accompanied a communication from the Government of India dated the 2d August last.

2. From the letters now submitted it will be seen that the local authorities to whom a reference has been made, have in general expressed an opinion in which the Board of Revenue entirely concur, that the object brought by the proposed act is amply attainable by means of the regulations at present in force in this Presidency under which disputes regarding Village boundaries are cognizable by the Collector in person or if it be deemed necessary by a Punchayet assembled under his orders.

3. The cases of boundary disputes which usually occur on this side of India are not of a magnitude and importance to require adjudication by

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

by the complicated process laid down in the proposed regulation for Bombay, if indeed, which appears doubtful, that process may be considered better adapted even for cases of importance than that which has been heretofore pursued.

Revenue Board Office; Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Chief Secy to Government

(7)

I am desired by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying two Petitions Nos 245 and 246 of 1842 and, with reference to the correspondence and orders of Government passed on similar Petitions from the same parties, noted in the margin to request you will submit, without further delay, the report promised by you, relative to the affairs of the Chairnahal Zemindary

Mins. Cons. 8th February 1841 - No. 188.
Para 28 Mins Cons. 15th February - - 243.
Extract from Board's Proc. 25th March - - 139.
To the Board, letter 4th Sept. - - 1127.
Orders on Petition No 1471 of 1841 dated 25th October - 1841.
Do. - - do - Do. - No. 1735 of - - Do. Do. Do.
Do. - - do - Do. - No. 1926 of - - 3d Dec. -

to

so far back as the 25th March 1841 — and at the same time explain the — cause of its transmission having been — so long delayed.-

Fort St. George, 17th March 1842 } | Signed | H. Chamier, Chief Secretary.

No. 245 of 1842.

From Rajah Ramarow the Son of late Rajah Canadana Sobanadry row Zemindar of the Purganah of Vinnacottah yea. in Charmahal in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Details his claim to a — share in the Charmahal Estate - and prays that it may not be put in the posession of Rajah Paupiah rower

No. 246 of 1842.

From Rajah Canadana Rus- -gunnah of Goorjah in the Purganah of Vinnacottah -

Refers to former petition on the subject of the Boutand allow- -ances drawn by her late husband and prays for speedy orders on the subject-

(7-8)

Order thereon. (Vide Letter to Govt. 17th March)

Ordered to be recorded -

Read

Read the following Extracts.

From the Chief Secy. to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th March 1842.

(9)

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue -

(Here enter 7th February 1842)

No. 47

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers the report furnished in the foregoing letter — to be satisfactory and will take — an early opportunity of bringing — the same to the notice of the Honora- -ble the Court of Directors.-

2. In the mean time His Lordship in Council purposes to trans- -mit to the Court of Sudr Adalut the letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 23d July last referred — to in para 2 of the communication — recorded above, and to request the Judges to state whether some check might not be adopted, without an — act of the Legislature, to — prevent frauds of the nature — alluded

alluded to by that officer.

(A true Extract)

Signed/ H.T. Chamier

Chief Secretary

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

9-10

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

From the Chief Secretary to Government. Transmitting copy of a letter from the Honorable Court of Directors containing observations in regard to the proceedings of Mr. Ashton in S. Arcot, with the resolution of Government thereon.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st March 1842.

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 21st December 1841. No. 16.

11

2 A copy of this dispatch will be furnished to the Board of Revenue for their information, and in view to their communicating by the first opportunity to Mr. Ashton, who it is observed obtained under date the 30th November last leave of absence for two years to proceed to the Cape of Good Hope, the observations of the Honorable Court in regard to his proceedings in South Arcot, particularly those contained in paras 6 and 7.

The

3 The Honorable Court will be referred to the letters addressed in this Department to the Secretary at the India House under dates the 16th November 1841 No. 64, and 18th January 1842 No. 8, for a report of Mr. Dent's Proceedings in South Arcot as well as for an account of a further exhibition of discontent on the part of the ryots of that District from the non-receipt of Succavy.

Revenue Department

No. 16 of 1841.

Our Governor in Council at Fort St. George.

Letter dated 8th April No. 10. 1841 para 3.

Do. Do. 5th June No. 225 whole

1. The Letter and paragraph noted in the margin refer to certain proceedings of Mr. Ashton, the Principal Collector of South Arcot which have excited considerable discontent among the Ryots and which have ultimately led to his removal from the District.

2. It appears that Mr. Ashton accepted the proposal of certain Payacary Ryots of a Different Village

Village for a spot of nuttum land attached to the Village of Pindavaswarranellore which was used by the Poleoody royts of that Village as a seed bed, but without paying any Peerwa, as no crop was usually produced from it. The consent of the resident Cultivators, who subsequently stated that they were willing to pay the survey assessment for the same if such were properly demandable, was not obtained, and the parties whose proposal had been accepted, were put into possession.

3. The dispossessed Ryots thereupon instituted suits against Mr. Ashton in the auxiliary Court at Cuddalore and petitioned the Board of Revenue for the recovery of the land which had been taken from them. The Government refused to allow these suits to be defended at the public expense, and the Board of Revenue, considering that Mr. Ashton had acted in a manner contrary to the custom of the

the District in the disposal of the lands in question, directed him to replace the original occupants in possession. With this order Mr. Ashton declined to comply, on the ground that in his capacity of Magistrate, he was bound to maintain the parties actually in possession in the peaceable enjoyment of the land, until they were ousted by the decree of a Competent tribunal.

4. The Board of Revenue further reported that serious disturbances had occurred in the Chaultry and Tindevanum Talooks, in consequence of an attempt by Mr. Ashton to enforce a tax on Nuttum lands occupied for houses, set aside at the survey as Perumpoke for public purposes and not hitherto taxed to the Revenue, and that the withdrawal of the obnoxious demand had failed to conciliate the people or to remove their ill feeling towards Mr. Ashton.

In this state of things,

5. you resolved to remove Mr. Ashton

to

to Cuddapah, and to transfer Mr. Blane the Collector of that District, but officiating as Commissioner in Kurnool, to South Arcot, Mr. Hallett, the Acting Collector in Cuddapah being at the same time deputed to act in South Arcot, but as some time would elapse before Mr. Hallett could reach the District, Mr. Dent, the Senior Member of the Board of Revenue and lately Principal Collector of South Arcot, was deputed to take charge of the office of Collector and Magistrate with the powers of Commissioner in order to arrange matters with the Ryots and allay the feelings of dissatisfaction which unfortunately prevailed there.

6. The injudicious attempts of Mr. Ashton to introduce, on his own responsibility, alterations in the details of revenue management are much to be regretted, and his refusal to obey the orders of the Board of Revenue in a matter strictly within their competence was highly reprehensible.

We

We are however willing to attribute Mr. Ashton's errors of conduct to a mistaken sense of his duty, and we trust that in the important district to which, in recognition of his former good character as a public servant, you have seen fit to transfer him, his proceedings will be free from those marks of indiscretion which appear to have produced such unhappy results and of contumacious resistance to orders issued by the constituted authorities which have unfortunately characterized the transactions on which we have now had occasion to animadvert.

8. We shall be glad to learn the result of Mr. Dent's proceedings, although we doubt not that his presence will have the effect of restoring peace and harmony to a District where he has been so long and advantageously

advantageously known.

London - - - - - }
the 21st December 1841 } (Signed) George Lyall
J. L. Lushington

(A true Extract and Copy)
(Signed) H.P. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the

(11-12)

foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultations and of the letter from the Honorable Court of Directors therein recorded, be communicated to Mr. Britton late Principal Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot, agreeably with the orders of Government.

From the Chief Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations under date the 21st March 1842

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 7th February 1842 No 79)

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 28th February 1842 No 85)

(13)

The Right Honorable the Governor

in

in Council is glad to observe from the Statement entered in the Margin, that the total number of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the last half year, under review is considerably below that of the preceding six Months and that this is especially the case in the district of Cuddapah wherein the latter half of 1840 the trials were so numerous as to call for explanations.

Last half of 1840 310
1st half of 1841 198

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H.P. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

(13-14)

Read the following resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.

From the Chief Secretary to Government - - - }

(From Board 14th March)
The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to accede to the recommendation conveyed in the foregoing letter.

(15)

(Signed) H.P. Chamier
Chief Secr.

Ordered

Order thereon.

(15-16)

Ordered that the resolution conveyed in the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Collectors of Cuddapah and Bellary.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.

(From Board 3d March)

From the Chief Secretary to Government - - - - -

Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the present reduced establishment to viz the above letter, so long as they are kept in proper order by the present

The Caution proposed to be issued to the Sub Collector of North Arcot is very proper and is approved.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(17)

(17-18)

Ordered to be brought forward a future day.

Order thereon. (Read again in Cons. 11th April)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.

(From Bd 3d March)

(19)

From the Chief Secretary to Government - - - - -

Ordered that the Clothing Board be requested to comply

with the Indent submitted with the foregoing Extract.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

(19-20)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings and the order of Government thereon be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter of the 24th February last.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.

(From Bd 14th March)

From the Chief Secretary to Government - - - - -

Under the explanation furnished in the communication submitted with the foregoing letter, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority to the Superintendent of Stamps to take credit for the sum of Rupees (293-2-) Two hundred and ninety three and Annas two, being the value of the (197) One hundred and ninety seven Rolls of Stamp paper reported deficient by Collectors during Fasly 1250.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

(21)

Ordered

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Order thereon

(21-22)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government be forwarded to the Superintendent of Stamps for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 26th February last.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Asst to the Govt in Fort St. George at Vizagapatam

Sir,

23

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3d March 1842, conveying their opinion that the Pagoda Villages belonging to the Vizcanagrum Zemindary should be allowed to remain in the position they were left by Mr Archbuckle, until Mr Smollett shall have

assumed

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

assumed charge of the district.

As this decision imposes upon me the duty of now making the Settlement of those Villages, which are devoted to the support of Pagodas only recently taken under the protection of Government, and as I cannot thus act in behalf of the absent Rajah without giving a real attention to the interests of the Pagodas in question, a duty painful to my conscience, and one which the spirit of the orders of the Court of Directors and Government of India contemplates their servants should be relieved from, even in respect to Pagodas situated in their own Estates, I request that any former

and

and present Letters may be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Vizagapatam Boundary Catchery 15th March 1842

(Signed) T. Conway
Sp. Agent to the Govt

The Board having received Proceedings thereon

Official intimation of Mr. Sinnollets having arrived at Vizagapatam and assumed Charge of the district, it does not appear necessary to take into consideration the request contained in the foregoing Letter.

Mr. Sinnollets early attention will be requested to the instructions conveyed in the Board's Proceedings of the 3d Ultimo.

Read again report from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 8th and entered in Consultation 22d November last.

Ordered that the following Letter be sent in reply.

(23-24)

(25)

To the Collr. at the Presidency of Rajahmundry

Communicating observations on the subject of the restoration of the Bettapore Estate to the Proprietor, the adjustment of the amount advanced from the Government Treasury and the Settlement of the Boundary disputes subsisting between the Estate and the Ryots thereof.

In Cons. 22d Novr. 1841.

To The Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

Sir,

The Board of Revenue having had under consideration your Letter of the 8th November last in reference to the condition of the Bettapore Estate and the accounts current connected therewith directed me to convey their sentiments thereon for your immediate instruction.

2 The questions involved therein are two-fold. First the disposal of the Estate the minority of the Zemindar having expired, secondly the disposal of the arrears of Peishcush and the method of adjustment of the Revenue balances due by the Villagers and Renters.

3 The first question is of easy solution - the Estate must, as a matter of Course be made over to the Proprietor free of Peishcush and arrears but subject to the advances of Cash made on different occasions from the Public Treasury under the

instructions

sanction of Government, and amounting it would seem, to Rupees 98,691-7-10.

4. This amount you have proposed the Zemindar shall discharge by four annual instalments. The Board are not prepared to accede to this proposition, the amount consisting of actual advances in Cash from the Government Treasury should in their opinion be recovered from the Current Collections in place therefore of taking a bond from the Zemindar payable in four yearly instalments a Bond should be executed by him, payable in two

Visits	amount of Peishcush	Per Centage	Per two years	
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A.	Rs. A. P.	
1st 15th October	23,706 " "	6 4	3084 1 9	
2d 15th November	28194 " "	7 8	3700 14 11	
3d 15th December	47415 8 "	12 8	6168 3 6	
4th 15th January	51258 " "	13 8	6661 10 8	
5th 15th February	68352 " "	18 "	8882 3 10	
6th 15th March	67282 8 "	17 12	22758 13 11	
7th 15th April	45700 8 "	12 "	5921 7 10	
8th 15th May	23706 " "	6 4	3084 1 9	
9th 15th June	23,665 3 7	6 4	3084 1 9	
Total	379279 11 7	100 "	49345 11 11	

years with the instalments of the Peishcush and the same proportions as shewn in the Margin and at the same time mortgaging as security for the fulfilment of the Engagement the Permanent Cowle

of the Estates on account of which the advances were made from the Government Treasury. If the Zemindar is willing to agree to these terms, you will immediately report the same for the information of the Board in order that they may obtain the sanction of Government for making over the Estate to him, in doing this you will be pleased to shew the exact amount of the advances and the authority under which they were made.

5. In reference to the Peishcush and Revenue balances, the Board will only observe that the Net amount balance of Peishcush which has accrued during the Minority after closing the accounts and giving Credit for all legitimate Collections must be permitted but it would seem only just and fair to the Government where recorded balances which are irrecoverable from the Renters or Zemindars have for the convenience of the Renters been permitted to lie over during the Minority

the

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

that they should, on their being recovered, be carried to the credit of the outstanding amount of Peishcush, and thus reduce the sum total to be written off on this account. The Board will be glad to learn from you at once what prospect there is of any portion of the arrears of rents being realized, as it must be obvious to you that as soon as the Estate is made over to the Proprietor, your authority to interfere with Collections of these arrears must cease.

6 In regard to the arrears under Zumma that have accrued during the minority, there is but one course open for adoption Viz.t to write the whole off, and in doing this great Care and management will be required to provide against the possibility hereafter of any claim being made upon the Ryots for the amount which it is proposed to solicit the Government to strike off the accounts.

7 The points for your immediate consideration are the restoration of the Estate to the Proprietor, the adjustment of the amount advanced from the Government Treasury and the Settlement of the arrears of Rents all three objects with equal emergency for a decision, the Board desire therefore that you will give your immediate attention to the subject until you have brought it to a close.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th April 1842

I have the honor to be
Signed/ R. J. Porter
Sec.y
Read the following letter

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)

From the Coll.r of Ser.
Rajahmundry
A

I have the honor to transmit to the Board of Revenue Copy* of a Decree passed in my favor in the Court of Udalut at Rajahmundry in the case of Goorjadah Soondanah in No. 572 of 1839. the defence of which was sanctioned under date 25th January 1840 as also Copy* of an appeal which has been preferred against that decision in the Provincial Court Northern Division and Draft of my proposed Answer and I beg leave to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain for me the Sanction of Government for continuing the Defence in the higher Court.

Vide B.d Ro. of 6th Feb.y 1840
B with translation
C

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Tandemullah 16th March
1842

Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector
Masulipatam

Fort S.t George 4.th April 1842

L. S.

Masulipatam Zillah Court of Udalut

Original Suit No. 572 of 1839.

Gorazada Soondanah ___ Plaintiff

Versus.

1.st G. A Smith Esq.re Collector of Rajahmundry

2.nd Mr. Pascal Head of Police of Coringa - - - - - } Defendants

This Suit was instituted for the recovery of 50 Rupees the amount of a Fine imposed on Plaintiff with Rs. 3 — As. 13 — P. 8 Interest and for restoration to his office the Salary of which is 20 Rupees.—

Plaintiff states that while he was Sea Custom Peishcar at Coringa two Peons seized a Weaver named J. Mallasha who was bringing three womans cloths, two of which had Ramanahs and were stamped, the other was not stamped nor had Ra= =manah, on the grounds that the cloths

Fort S.t George 4.th April 1842

cloths found on him were of greater Value than the entry made in the Ra= =manah the Weaver and Cloths were taken before the 2.nd Defendant, on which the Weaver said he had brought the wrong Ramanah but would go and fetch the proper Ramanah from his house 2.nd Defendant allowed him to do so and he returned with two other Ramanahs for Cloths of 10 Rupees value each these, he delivered to 2.nd Defendant and the 2.nd Defendant gave them to Plaintiff to keep with the other two Ramanahs because the Sayer Muddutgar was then absent The Plaintiff then delivered the two last Ramanahs to the Gaurd Peon to keep them with the Cloths and direct= =ed him to deliver to the Sawyer Goomastah on his arrival. After this the 2.nd Defend= =ant made a Complaint to the Head Assistant

Assistant Collector stating that Plaintiff had combined with the Weaver and had allowed him to substitute two Ramanahs thereby to defraud the Circar Duty The Head Assistant Collector dismissed Plaintiff on the 19th October 1838 and fined him 50 Rupees Plaintiff then appealed to the Collector who confirmed the decision of the Head Assistant Collector Plaintiff then appealed to the Board of Revenue who directed him to bring a regular Suit The Stamp on the Ramanahs ought to have been of the value of 4 Annas but they were all of one annah Value and therefore the substitution of these Ramanahs would not in any way defraud the Circar The witnesses who were examined by the Head Assistant Magistrate proved nothing against the Plaintiff 2d Defendant himself admitted that the

the two Ramanahs were reported by Plaintiff to be of a wrong value and the report will shew that the Ramanahs were publickly delivered to the Guard Boat No Regulation is mentioned under which the fine was levied - Plaintiff therefore has instituted this suit.

The 1st Defendant being responsible for the acts of the 2d Defendant stated the Record clearly shews that Plaintiff did combine with the Weaver to defraud the Circar and to prevent the Cloths being confiscated and therefore Plaintiff was punished his restoration to Office rests with Government.

In reply Plaintiff supports the Plaint and adds he instituted this suit according to the orders of the Board of Revenue

The Rejoinder contains nothing new.

Plaintiff

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

Plaintiffs Documents 2

" Witnesses 2

1st Doresetty Moorvomullapaty 2d

Jutty Mullaiah

1st Defendants Documents 8

" Witnesses 3

1st Chantapully Sastrulo

2d Amalagadda Seetannah 3d Tsoragah Jogerauze

Plaintiff Document A No 24 an order issued by the Head Assistant Collector dismissing Plaintiff stating it is proved he caused two Rawanahs to be substituted thro the Rowtuh

2d Document B a Petition presented by Plaintiff to the Board of Revenue and bearing the Boards endorsment that they considered the Proceedings of the Head Assistant Collector correct and that Plaintiff has been proved guilty but that he Plaintiff was at liberty to seek redress in the Courts of Adalut if he thought proper to

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

to do do.

Plaintiffs 1st Witness states he was present when the Weaver was seized by the Peons and taken before the 2d Defendant, and 2d Defendant asked the Weaver why he had brought two cloths of greater value than those entered in the Rawanah and one cloth without any Rawanah at all, when the Weaver said the proper Rawanahs had been mislaid 2d Defendant directed him to bring them next day on the 2d or 3d Day after this the weaver took witness with him and two other Rawanahs but witness does not know to whom the weaver delivered these Rawanahs the weaver said he had given them to 2d Defendant the two first cloths were 14 Rupees value and the 3d Cloth was valued at 8 Rupees it is customary for the weavers to combine with the Dayer Chowky Goumastahs

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Goomastahs to have the real value of the goods concealed and a less value entered.—

2d Witness the Weaver Mullasha. he supports the Plaint throughout adds his Cloths were confiscated the two first Cloths were worth 20 Rupees and the third 15 Rupees.—

Defendants Document

A A Rawanah shewing that the Value of the womans Cloth was fixed at 10 Rupees and a duty of 8 Annas was levied given by the Sawyer Goomastah to J Mullasha 3d August 1838.—

B Do. for a Cloth valued at 3 Rupees 3d August 1838.—

C Do. for a Cloth valued 10 Rupees 8th August 1838

D Do. for a Cloth Value 10 Rupees Dated 8th August 1838 and given by Sawyer Goomastah to V Suttapah.—

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

E A Report from two Boas to 2d Defendant stating they had seized J Mullasah with 3 Womans Cloth 20th September 1838—

E A Report from 2d Defendant to the Head Assistant Collector reporting that Plaintiff had caused two Rawanahs to be delivered to the Boa to prevent the Cloths being confiscated and he suspected Plaintiff as he was continually pressing him to settle the case by receiving the duty on the Cloths.—

G Copy of a deposition given by Hoosain Mahomed before the Head Assistant Collector. stating he seized J. Mullasha with three Cloths, two being of greater value than those entered in the Rawanahs and one without any Rawanah—Deposition dated 15th October 1838.—

H A Deposition given by another Boa dated 15th October 1838.

in

in which he states that 15 days before he gave that deposition (which will be 10 days after the Cloths were seized) the Plaintiff came to him while he was on guard in the Cutcherry and delivered to him two Rawanahs and told him to deposit them with the Cloths which had been seized. The 2d Defendant was not present at that time does not know whether they were given with any fraudulent intent.—

Defendants 1st Witness states he remembers the Cloths being seized and some days afterwards when he came to relieve the Peon named A Chundrappah that peon delivered to him the Cloths referred to and two fresh Rawanahs saying Plaintiff had delivered them to him Plaintiff at that time had nothing to do with the Dayer duties.—

2d Witness seized Mullasha

with

with the Cloths and took him before 2d Defendant who showed the Rawanahs to Plaintiff.—

3d Witness is Chowky Goonnistah, states the stamps on the Cloths were forged and were not made by him. he stated this to the Head of Police.— He identifies the four Rawanahs and adds they were given for other Cloths on the day witness was examined he went to Plaintiffs house and saw the two Witnesses for Plaintiff speaking with Plaintiff. Plaintiff then asked Witness if the dispute had been settled regarding the Cloths and he mentioned what he had deposed before the 2d Defendant. Witness had quarrelled with Plaintiff and Plaintiff combined with Mullasha to produce these Rawanahs to disgrace Witness.—

The

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

The Acting Judge having carefully examined the whole of the proceedings in this case is of opinion that no doubt exists that the Plaintiff did attempt to defraud the Circar by plac= =ing these Rawanahs with the Cloths to lead to a supposition that they had regularly passed through the Sayer Chouky and thereby prevent their being con= =fiscated and that the fraud should appear to have been per= =petrated by the Sayer Goomas= =tah Plaintiff has not shewn by what Authority he received the ad= =ditional Rawanahs and his first witness who was taken by the 2d Witness to be a witness to the transaction states he did

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

not see whether these Rawanahs were delivered to 2d Defendant or not Plain= tiffs 2d Witness states his Cloths were confiscated and he did not appeal against the confiscation= if he knew that these Cloths had passed the sayer Chouky there is no doubt he would have appeal= =ed against their being confiscated.

A careful review of the case together with the opinions expressed both by the 1st De= =fendant and the Board of Revenue, shows that the sentence passed by the Head Assistant Collector was perfectly correct, and consonant with the provisions of Section XXI Regulation I of 1812 This Suit has evidently been insti= tuted vexatiously and litigiously and Plaintiff is therefore fined 25

Rupees

Rupees under section IX Regulation II of 1802 - all Costs to be paid by Plaintiff.-

	Pdt	a	p
Stamp duty on institution under section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816	11	..	..
Fees to Plaintiffs' Pleaders under section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 Viz.t To Mullumpully Veerasalinga Sastry	1	13	3
To Devacurny Pauperah Sastry	..	3	7½
To Saunmenany Naidoo Narsinchanaidoo	1	9	7½
	3	10	6
Fees to Vamoory Venkatachellum Pleader for 1st Defendant under D.o — D.o	3	10	6
Value of Stampt paper filed by Plaintiff	8	8	..
Carried over	26	13	..

	Pdts	a	p
Brought over	26	13	..
Value of Stampt paper filed by 1st Defendant	18	..	..
Batta paid to a Peon who served notice on the 2d Defendant	1	4	..
Batta paid to a Peon who served notice on the Plaintiff	1	8	..
Batta paid to a Peon who served Summonses on the Plaintiffs' Witnesses	1	4	..
Batta paid to Plaintiffs' Witnesses	4	10	..
	53	7	..
Deduct amount paid by Plaintiff	30	8	6
This sum of Companys Rupees twenty two Annas fourteen and Pice six is to be paid by Plaintiffs as follows	22	14	6
To 1st Defendants Pleader	3	10	6
To 1st Defendant	18	..	..
To 2d Defendant	1	..	..
	22	14	6

This

4332 | Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

This Decree contains /4/ four sheets The fair Copy of the Decree was signed and sealed on the 31st December 1840 and the time for appeal will expire on the 31st March 1841.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry this 23d December 1840.

/Signed/ F. Lascelles
Judge

/Signed/ F. Lascelles
Judge for Act. Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. B. Glass
Acting Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. J. Knox
Asst Register.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

4393 | Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

B

To
The Provincial Court of Appeal
at
Masulipatam.

A Separate pleading filed by Goorgadah & Soondanah Appellant in Case No. 19 of 1841 on the file of your Court.

With regard to the Complaint filed by me in the said Case against 1 the Collector of the zillah of Rajahmundry and 2 Mr. Pascal the Division Darogah of Coringa the zillah Judge states in his Decree, 1st that there is no doubt but that I (the Plaintiff) attempted to defraud the Circar by keeping the Rowanahs with the cloths so as to make it supposed that they had been regularly despatched from the Sayer Chowkey, and that they would thus be saved from confiscation. 2 ndly. that this fraud appears to have been committed by the Sayer Goomastah, and I (the Plaintiff) did not prove under what authority I took the additional Rowanahs. 3 rdly. that the 1st Witness declared that

that he did not see whether or not the 2nd Witness
delivered the said Rowanahs to the 2nd Defendant
that the 2nd Witness stated that his cloths were
confiscated and he did not make an appeal
on the subject but had he known that those
cloths were regularly dispatched from the
Sayer Chowkey he would not have declined
to make an appeal - 4th that this case was
carefully received by the 1st Defendant and
was also under the consideration of the Board
of Revenue so that the Division of the Head
Assistant Collector appears just and consistent
with the Provision of Regulation 2 of 1812 Section
21 and 5thly that as this complaint was
brought in a frivolous and vexatious manner,
a fine of 25 Rupees was imposed upon me under
Regulation 2 of 1802 Section 9 - To this I beg
leave to observe shortly, that - In reply to the
first point I have to state that I did
not keep other Rowanahs with the cloths which
were seized (when smuggled) specifying their
just value and duty so as to make it supposed
that

that they were regularly dispatched - Of the
two Rowanahs which accompanied those cloths
when they were first brought in for confiscation,
one specified a Piece of woman's cloth valued at
10 Rupees and the other specified a Piece of
woman's cloth valued at 3 Rupees - while the
cloths seized were 3 in number and the value
of two Pieces thereof appeared to be more
than 20 Rupees each and that of one Piece
more than 15 Rupees - The Daragah or the 2nd
Defendant having then asked one Mullasah
(who brought those cloths) what was the reason,
he told him that the Rowanahs belonging to
those cloths remained at Home but he brought
other Rowanahs by mistake - whereupon the 2nd
Defendant desired him to bring those
Rowanahs which he accordingly brought and
produced - In the mean time as I was
present, the 2nd Defendant gave them into
my hands and I delivered them over to
the then watching peon named Alluru
Chendrapah desiring him to hand
them

them over to the Sayer Mudutgar when he should come. But I did not send from those Rowanahs without the knowledge of the Daragah. On the inspection of the 2 Rowanahs with the Cloths it was found that the latter had not paid the Regular duty. They, the woman's Cloths, were therefore confiscated. While it was thus, it will be very clear that the zillah Judge erroneously stated in the Decree that I have kept Rowanahs in those woman Cloths, so as to make it supposed that they were regularly dispatched and that I have undoubtedly attempted to defraud the Arear and save the cloths from confiscation. In reply to the 2nd point I have to observe that as the zillah Judge stated in the Decree that this fraud appears to have been committed by the Sayer Gomastah, it will be very clear that the Sayer Gomastah had in the first instance given Rowanahs by fixing a lower value for the cloths of high value and thought

though the zillah Judge knew that I was not Sayer Goomastah, but Sea Custom Peishcar, yet your Court will perceive that it is not just for him to accuse me for not having proved under what authority I had received the additional Rowanahs, since it was very plain by the Depositions of Sutty Mullasum and Allum Chendrapah, that the 2nd Defendant received those additional Rowanahs from the former and gave to my hand and that I delivered them over to the latter (Allum Chendrapah the Peon) in the Public Office with a request that they might be handed over to the Sayer Mudutgar. In reply to the third point, I have to observe that my first Witness Moorsmallapaty stated that he was present when the Sayer Pygustiee had first brought the said Woman's Cloths and Rowanahs, to the office of the Daragah; that as

Sutty

Fort St George 4th April 1842.

Sutty Mullasum told the Darogah that the Rowanahs of these Cloths were at his house he, the Darogah, was satisfied and told him to bring and produce them, and that he also saw Mullasum bring the additional Rowanahs to the Darogah and carry them inside when the latter was in the office. The 2nd Witness Sutty Mullasum stated that he gave the additional Rowanahs to the 2nd Defendant (the Darogah) who handed them over to me) the Sea Custom Peishcar), and that I gave them to the watching peon allum Chendrapah with a request that he should deliver them over to the Sayer Madutgar when he should come. While the two Witnesses' declarations were thus, it is trusted your Court will consider that it is not just to specify in the Decree that the 1st Witness denies having seen when the additional Rowanahs were delivered over to the Darogah. as the

Fort St George 4th April 1842.

Merchant had not produced regular Rowanahs the Cloths were Confiscated, and there would be no reason for their confiscation, if the Rowanahs produced had admitted their actual value. and they were then confiscated as no prevention was offered by me, either on the production of the 1st Rowanahs, or the second or additional Rowanahs, or I could not know why the Merchant had not appealed. Your Court will perceive that it is not just to dismiss my claim and impose a fine upon me in consequence of the Merchants not appealing, and of his not dispatching the Cloths regularly. In reply to the 4th point I have to observe that as I had not experienced justice from the Head Assistant Collector, I made an appeal to the Board of Revenue, and with reference to their Endorsement, I have brought the present claim as being confident that I would obtain justice. But it

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

it is not just for the Zillah court to specify in the Decree (without finding the merits of my claim) that the Decision of the Collector is consistent with the Regulation I of 1812 Section 21; for I was not discharging the duties of the Sayer to be concerned in attempting the embezzlement of the Sayer duty, as stated in the said Section 21, Nor did I take or purchase the Goods from any one, for the embezzlement of the duty, nor conceal any goods of the kind; but it was clearly proved by my Witnesses that the 2nd Defendant received the additional Rowanahs from the Merchant and gave to me and that I delivered them over to the peon, with a request that he should hand them over to the Sayer Muduligar - Had I any concern with the Merchant, I would not have given the Rowanahs into the hands of the Circar peon for their delivery to the Sayer Muduligar - under these circumstances

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

circumstances it is trusted that Regulation I of 1812 Section 21 will be found not applicable to my case, that the Collector unjustly imposed fine on me, and that it will be very plain from the legal investigation of your Court - In reply to the 5th point I have to observe that this complaint was not brought by me in a frivolous and vexatious manner; but upon the receipt of a Report from the Sea Custom Darogah, the Head Assistant Collector with full enquiry has not only dismissed me from my situation, but passed an order to the injury of my livelihood during my life time, that I did not deserve to hold Circar Service: Consequently I was obliged to file the complaint for obtaining the Justice of the Civil Court as directed by the Board of Revenue - But with reference to Regulation 2 of 1802 Section

Fort St George 4th April 1842.

section 9. I did not bring this suit again irregularly after having previously filed it in any Court, and obtained their Decision. As I should have by service, and as it appears by the Decision of the Collector that I do not deserve to be employed in the Service of the Circar. I filed my Legal complaint, but not one which is frivalous, vexatious and unjust: consequently I trust your Court will perceive that the imposition of a fine upon me by the zillah Judge under section 9 in question was not so just, and should be cancelled. I moreover beg to observe that one named Tallooy Gungaiah since dead, having given a Deposition before the Head Assistant Collector, that the additional Rowanahs were delivered over to the 2nd Defendant according to his order. and that he

Fort St George 4th April 1842.

he the 2nd Defendant gave them to me. I made a petition to the zillah Court, to send for that deposition for perusal. The zillah Judge accordingly issued a Precept to the Collector, who made a return that it was transmitted to the Government Vakeel for production: but without receiving it, the zillah Judge dismissed my claim. I therefore pray your Court will be pleased to send for that Deposition for inspection and taking into consideration the said circumstances and the record held by the zillah Court

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Court, reverse the Decree of the Zillah Court, and pass a Decision in my favor by cancelling the fines imposed upon me by the Zillah Judge and Collector and declaring my right to my service - Please to peruse

Dated 9th October 1841.

(Signed) Goorgada Soondanah
Appellant -

Filed in Court the 9th October 1841.

(True Copy)

(Signed) G. Seetiah
Govt. Vakeel.

(True Translation)

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector -

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

C.

Draft of Proposed Answer
To the Provincial Court of Appeal
at Masulipatam. -

An Answer from G. A. Smith Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry 1st Respondent to the separate Pleadings filed by Goorgadah Soondanah Appellant in case No 19 of 1841 on the file of your Court.

The Appellant states in his separate pleading 1st. that he did not keep the second Rowanahs with the cloths but as they were, when brought in for confiscation, accompanied with wrong Rowanahs, the owner thereof Setty Mullaiha, informed the same to the 1st Respondent (the Darogah of Cauringa Division) and at his desire brought the second Rowanahs and delivered to the 1st Respondent, who having given them to his Appellants hand he made them

them over to the watching peon Allum Chendrapah, with a request to give them to the Sayer Mundiyan when he should come, but as those same Rowanahs were not also corresponding with the Cloths (three in No and only them not bearing the chop) the latter were confiscated and that it is erroneously stated in the Decree of the Zillah Judge that the 1st Respt Rowanahs in those Cloths and attempted to defraud the Carnatic 2dly that the Zillah Judge stated in his Decree that this fraud appears to have been committed by the Sayer Coomastah it is not just therefore to impute to him as a crime his not proving under what Authority he the Appellant has received the 2d Rowanahs, when it was very plain by the depositions of Setty Mullasen and Allum Chendrapah that he had delivered those Rowanahs into the hands of the said Chendrapah

(the watching peon) upon his receiving them from the second respondent 3dly that it is not just to specify in the Zillah Court's Decree that his 1st Witness denies having seen the delivery of the 2d Rowanahs over to the 2d Respondent since he declared that he saw his 2d Witness Mullasah bring them to the 2d Respondent and carry them inside while the latter was in the Office and 4thly that his complaint was not vexatious, but as the decision of the Head Assistant Collector was passed without full enquiry he was obliged to file this suit in order to obtain Justice of the Civil Court as directed by the Board of Revenue he therefore hopes that under the foregoing circumstances the Court will reverse the Decree of the Zillah Court and pass a decision in his favor

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

favor by cancelling the fines imposed upon him, and declaring his right to the service.

In reply to the first point the Collector begs to observe, that he submitted to the Zillah Court for inspection the original record and sentence passed in this case against the Appellant and the Court will perceive by the Deposition of the 1st witness for the defence, (as quoted in the Zillah Court's Decision) that there is no doubt but that the 2d set of Rawanahs (No. 33228 & 33229) were surreptitiously introduced into the Office of the Darogah of Coringa Division by Setty Mullasah the owner thereof with the connivance of the Appellant, who also admits that those Rawanahs were false, as the value of the Cloths was greater than what is

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

specified in them. In reply to the 2 points it is to be observed that the Appellant misunderstands the meaning of the Zillah Court's Decree, which states not that this fraud appears to have been committed by the Sayer Coomastahs but that the Appellant attempted to defraud the Circar by placing those Rawanahs with the Cloths, so that the fraud should appear to have been perpetrated by the Sayer Coomastahs and the Court will find that the Appellant failed to prove under what Authority he received the 2d set of Rawanahs (as stated in the said Decree) while it is evident by the Deposition of Allum Chendrapah that the Appellant caused those Rawanahs to be deposited with the Cloths, taking advantage of the absence of the 2d Respondent, although he states on the

the other hand, that he had nothing to do with the Sayer duties- The 2 Witness named by the Appellant Vizt Setty Mullasah was the owner of the Cloth and as the Appellant himself admits that the Rowanahs introduced in the 2d place by this Individual were false, little trust can be placed in any statement of his, and as he knew the justice of the sentence of confiscation passed against his Cloths, he did not appeal.- In reply to the 3d paint the Collector begs to remark that the Appellant's Statment itself clearly shows that his 1st Witness did not see Setty Mullasah produce the 2d set of Rowanahs to the 2 respondent consequently he trusts the Court will find, that the Decree of the Zillah Court's justly specified that the appellant "first witness failed to prove the production of the second set of Rowanahs to

to the 2d Respondent- In reply to the 4th paint, it is to be observed that the Court will perceive by the Appellant's Document marked B quoted in the Zillah Court's Decree) bearing the endorsement of the Board of Revenue under date the 26th April 1839 that the decision of the Head Assistant Collector against the Appellant was attentively considered by them and that the Appellant was considered guilty of the offence of which he had been convicted consequently it appears that the Appellant's conduct was vexatious in bringing a further groundless charge before the Zillah Court's as stated in their Decision The Court will moreover perceive by the deposition of the 3d Witness for the defence (quoted in the Zillah Court's Decree) the Sayer Chewtry

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

Shaw by Goomastah, that the stamps on the Cloths were forged and were not made by him, thus aggravating the conduct of Appellant and his confederate Setty Mulla -sah, the owner of the Cloths.-

Under the foregoing circumstances the Collector trusts that the Court on the examination of the original record and the Decision of the Zillah Court be pleased to confirm the Sentence and nonsuit the Appellant with costs.-

(Signed) G. A Smith

Collector.-

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.-

2. The Board recommend that the Collector may receive authority for

(26-27)

To Govt 18th June 1840
From Do 25th June
to Govt 16th Feby 1840

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

for continuing the Defence, in the Northern Provincial Court, of the Suit No. 572 of 1839 in which a de-cree has been passed in his favour by the Zillah Judge at Rajahmundry.-

Read the following

Statements.-

Statement.

4354. Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 28th March 1842.

(28)

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already exported up to 28th March 1842.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in Store, and ready for Exportation.	Remarks.
B.M. L.	B.M. L.	B.M. L.	B.M. L.	
Cottapa 4,00,000 "	199770 "	200230 "	230 "	

Vellah Rajahmundry &c
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
28th March 1842.

E. E. Fort. / Signed / G. A. Smith
Collector

4355. Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready for exportation, on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1841/42 at the undermentd Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 23rd March 1842.

(29)

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude		Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42.	Quantity already Exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation.	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9
				B.M.	B.M.	B.M.	B.M.	B.M.	
1	Eskapully	14	44	90,000	73,863	16,137	"	"	
2	Goyoolapully	14	39	40,000	15,104	24,896	"	"	
3	Vareney	14	37	20,000	10,813	9,187	"	1,008.	
	Total			1,50,000	99,780	50,220	"	1,008.	

Nellore District.
Kristnapatam
Print.d Coll.rs Cutcherry
31st March, 1842.

E. E. Fort. / Signed / S. W. Stonhouse.
Print.d Collector.

Order

4356 Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

28-30

Read the following letters.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Princl Collr of Nellore.

With reference to the extract of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th January last, on the subject of the Roman Catholic Churches and Population in this District, I have the honor to state that upon the best information procurable, it is supposed that the Roman Catholics residing in this District, consisting mostly of Natives of the District amount to about 800 Persons. There are 8 Churches, these, with the exception of one at Nellore, are said to have been built by the Priests who have successively served in them, aided by the Christians.

31

2. The one at Nellore was built wholly by a subscription, raised for that purpose in the year 1805. Some of the other Churches are stated to have been built 150 years ago, and some recently. These Churches were formerly served by French Jesuits, but at present there is only one Priest who is not a Jesuit and is of the French

4357 Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

French Nation. He is under the ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of the Vicar of Pondicherry, and resides in the Guntoor District, making a tour and visiting the Churches in this District Annually. He speaks the language of the District. There has not hitherto been any Priest of British origin in the District, nor any Portuguese Priest.

Nellore District
Kristnapatam
Princl Collrs Cutcherry
28th March, 1842.

[Signed] S. V. Stonhouse
Princl Collector.

To The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Bellary.

Sir,

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 13th January 1842, annexed to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department, on a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 29th September last, No. 32, I have the honor to submit a Statement containing the information called for regarding the Roman Catholics in this Division of the ceded Districts.

X 30th November 1841.

32

2. It will be observed, that

7

of the 2,000 in the Town of Bellary there are 200 Europeans, including Women and Children, connected with the Military Cantonment, and the rest being Native Lamel Christians. The Number of Churches at Bellary is 3 – 2 of which are in the Fort, and the other in the Cowle or Bazar. One of those in the Fort was built by Government in 1837; the others by Individuals. These Churches are served by the Revd. P. Doyle, and the Revd. J. Perozy both under the Jurisdiction of the Right Revd. Dr. Fennelly a Roman Catholic Bishop and Vicar Apostolic of Madras. Neither of these Priests being Jesuits. One of them is of British Origin, the other of the Portuguese of Goa. Both speak the language of the Country. There appears to be no division amongst the Roman Catholics here, the whole of the population adhering to the Vicar Apostolic, and the Portuguese Priest also being under the Jurisdiction of the said Vicar Apostolic. It is not known whether the Priests have claimed and obtained possession by Law, or otherwise, of any Churches previously in the possession of Portuguese Priests, but the aforementioned

two Priests under the Vicar Apostolic are evidently in quiet possession of all the Churches, and I am not aware of any dispute as to the possession of the Churches or other Property, between the Priests, having led to any breach of the Peace at Bellary.

3. As regards the number of Roman Catholic Inhabitants in the several Talooks in this District, which Amounts as per accompanying Statement to about 700 Persons, I am led to suppose that they are mostly Cultivators & Labourers, very few being Tradesmen, and under the Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of the Bishop of Goa. The Villages in which the Churches are built are specified under a distinct head in the Statement. These Churches are resorted to, not only by those Roman Catholics of that particular Village, for whose benefit they were erected, but also by those of the adjacent Villages where there are no separate institutions in existence. I am also informed that the Churches in Gooty Talook are, once in two years, or occasionally, visited by some Person or Persons deputed on behalf of a Priest named Frances at Pondicherry.

Bellary Collrs. Office
29th March, 1842.

I Signed A. Mellor
Collector

Statement.

4360.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Statement shewing the supposed Number and other particulars connected in the Roman Catholic inhabitants in the Bellary Division of the Ceded District for the year 1842.

Number	Names of Talooks	Number of Churches	Names of the Villages in which the Churches are situated	at what time the Churches have been built	At whose expense built	Number of Villages inhabited by the Roman Catholics	Supposed number of Persons Males & Females of all age.	By what Priest they are served.
1	Adwanie	1	Cuchah Adwanie	During the administration of Basulat Jung	Romaporeete Regan-draswamy	3	112	Pummanundiah Native Christian of Goa.
2	Goollem	2	Ramadoorgum and Mooddanagarah	About 200 years ago	Coorpannundiah	9	228	Do.
3	Punchapollem	"	"	"		2	21	"
4	Gooty	2	Cuchah Gooty and Pedda Peapully	About 30 years ago	1 Unknown The other by one Sippah Reddy	6	132	A Priest called Isanes at Pondicherry who sends every two years some body on his behalf. A Priest from Pondicherry.
5	Sadputtree	"	"	"		2	11	"
6	Anuntapoor	1	"	"		3	144	"
7	Dhurmaverum	"	"	"		2	48	"
		6				27	696	
8	Bellary	3	In the Fort of Bruceputt & Cowle Bazar	One in the Fort in the year 1837. The rest unknown	Here built in 1837 was by Government. The rest on the expense of the Individuals	2	2,000	By the Revd. P. Doyle & the Revd. I. Perozy.
		9				29	2,696	

Bellary Collector's Office 29th March 1842.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector

To.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly — Submitting information called for, regarding the Roman Catholic Churches and population in his District.

Para 1. In compliance with the requisition contained in the orders of the Board of Revenue dated the 13th January 1842. I have the honor to submit such information as I have been enabled to obtain regarding the Roman Catholic Churches and population in the District of Tinnevelly. but as I regret to be obliged to add that, as it has not hitherto been customary to distinguish the Roman Catholics from the rest of the population, the caste only, and not the religion of the individual being noted in the censuses, I have not been able to ascertain their numbers with exactness.

2. According to the accounts received from the native District Authorities subordinate to me, the Roman Catholic population consists of 23,047 Persons. while the Roman Catholic Missionaries under the Vicar Apostolic compute the number to be 30,536 and I must confess myself at a loss to decide which Statement most approximates to the truth, the former having been prepared in too hurried a manner

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

manner to be relied upon. and the latter being professedly made in a great measure, on a computation of the probable number of members contained in a family.

It appears however from the

3. Statement both of the native authorities and of the Roman Catholic Mission, for the latter of which I am indebted to the kindness of the Honorable Revd Walter Clifford one of the Missionaries under the Vicar Apostolic, that by far the greater portion of the Roman Catholics have placed themselves under the Priests belonging to the party of the Vicar Apostolic. the numbers being viz.t

	According to the District accounts	According to the account of the Roman Catholic Mission
Adherents of Vicar Apostolic	19,356	27,120
Of Portuguese Priests	3,691	3,416
Total	23,047	30,536

The number of Villages in which

4. there are Roman Catholics, is about 300. The adherents of the Portuguese Priests are almost entirely confined to the immediate Neighbourhood of the Town of Tinnevelly; the number of their adherents in other parts of the District not being according to any of the accounts received much

more

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

more than 300 and the only Churches in the District in their possession, except those in the Town of Trichinopoly, being one at Illipoor.

5. The following Priests compose the Mission at Trichinopoly, authorized thereto by His Holiness the Pope, and are under the Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of the Bishop of Pondicherry: Mr. Bonnard, and are all Jesuits, and speak the Native language more or less fluently:

1. The Honorable & Reverend Walter Clifford by birth English
2. The Reverend Lievin Garnier French
3. The Reverend James Wilant Belgian
4. The Reverend Xavier Serra Spanish
5. The Reverend Joseph Guy French

Besides the above a Missionary of the name of Arooloanandam, a native who formerly belonged to the Establishment at Goa, has submitted to the Jurisdiction of the Bishop of Pondicherry.

6. There are now only two of the Portuguese Priests at Trichinopoly Viz. the Reverend J. Barretto a native of Goa, and the Reverend A. Murray a native of Pondicherry.

7. The only Churches on the District

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

District of Trichinopolly are as follows: two in the Town of Trichinopoly of which one a substantial terraced building was recently built by the Jesuits now composing the mission there. The other also a substantial building was built about 25 years ago by means of voluntary subscriptions raised amongst the Roman Catholic inhabitants in a Village of which a Jesuit named Daromanda supposed to be an Italian seems to have obtained a grant about the year 1750 it has for a long time been served by Goa Priests and still continues in the possession of that party the some of the followers of the present Jesuit Missionaries assert that they have a right to it in consequence of its having been built by means of subscriptions obtained from themselves or their families.

8. At Portagondy on Tooriore there is a substantial building which is said to have been built by Jesuits in the year 1708 it was for some time served by Portuguese priests but in the 1838 the Goa priest then in charge of the Church and Congregations attached to it acquiesced in the arrangements made by the Holy See and with the consent of the

Congregations

congregations placed himself under the Ecclesiastical authority of the Bishop of Pondicherry. This is considered as the Principal Church of the several Roman Catholic congregations in the four talooks of Tooriore, Volcondapooram Moosery and Laulgoody. There are four small Churches in Laulgoody considered subordinate to this church Viz. — one at Periavalacherry said to have been built in 1792 by Jesuits - one at Maithigalputty built in 1817 one at Vaddoogherryputty built about 130 years ago by an Italian Jesuit Missionary. One at Tiruvalloor in 1830 by subscription amongst the inhabitants and one in Tooriore at Cottapolliam said to have been built by Jesuits in 1792. There is also a Church at Elacoorchy in the talook of arcalore built by the Jesuit Missionary Beschi about 130 years ago — Regarding this Church there were some disputes between the two parties but it is now in possession of the Jesuit Missionaries to whom all the rest of the Churches above enumerated seem to have been transferred with the consent of the several congregations.

9. The only Church which the Portuguese Missionaries possess in the district

except at Trichinopoly itself is a substantial building at Illipoor in the Conaud Talook — which is said to have been built by Jesuit Missionaries many years ago and is evidently of as long standing as any in the District.

10. The following are concise lists of the number of Churches under the Portuguese and the Jesuit Missionaries of the Vicar apostolics party. There are also in each Village in which there are Roman Catholic Congregations small buildings set apart for public worship but they seem to be considered the private property of the persons subscribing to build them —

Vicar Apostolic.

1. One Church at Trichinopoly built in 1840.
2. One Do. at Pratapody built by Jesuits in 1708.
3. One Do. at Vadoogancy built by Jesuits about 1708.
4. One Do. at Elacoorchy built by the Missionary Beschie about 130 years ago.
5. One at Periacoorchy. Do. Do. in 1792.
6. One at Cottapolliam Do. Do. in 1792.
7. One at Maithigalputty built by subscription in 1817.
8. One at Tiruvalloor — Do. — Do. — in 1830.

11. The Churches in the possession of the Portuguese priests are two.

One

1. One at Trichinopoly built 25 years ago by subscriptions on land said to have been granted to a Jesuit Missionary about 1750.

2. One at Illipoor in the Conard taluk said to have been built by Jesuit Missionaries more than a century ago.

12. At the time of my first arrival in the district in February 1839. the two parties of Roman Catholics were in a great state of excitement and ready to take advantage of occasions of celebrating festivals to quarrel with each other - so that I found it necessary to be constantly on the watch to prevent breaches of the peace, and was obliged for this purpose in some instances to prohibit the performance of ceremonies - I am happy to say however that only one serious breach of the peace has taken place in the district. This happened at Trichinopoly on the 30th September 1839 with the head assistant Magistrate, I being absent from Trichinopoly on duty, found it necessary to procure the assistance of some of the troops to quell a disturbance which had arisen from the collision of the two parties during the simultaneous performance of their respective ceremonies -

13. Upon this occasion the parties accused were forwarded by me to the Criminal Court and were sentenced some to 3 and some to 5 years imprisonment under the provisions of Regulations III of 1831 by the Provincial Court of Circuit. This sentence was subsequently set aside by the Sudder Adalut on the grounds of informality in the indictment -

14. On the 19th November 1840 a disturbance happened at Illipoor between the two parties relative to a Church in the possession of the Portuguese priest but it was not of a serious nature and after investigation the case was dismissed - this Church has since continued in the undisturbed possession of the Portuguese Priests.

15. Since the occurrence of the disputes just mentioned the priests of the Roman apostolical party having most wisely abstained from any steps likely to lead to a rupture, no breach of the peace has taken place in the Town of Trichinopoly - they have preferred erecting a new Church there to insisting on their claims to the one in the possession of the Goa priests notwithstanding that a very great Majority of the Roman Catholic population have renounced the

the

the authority of the latter and I think it but due to these Gentlemen to state that in all my communications with them I have found them most ready to attend to my suggestions for preventing collision between the two parties.

Trichinopoly District,
Seroomalaiady Coll.r Circuit Cutchery,
28th March 1842,

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector,

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(34)

Sir,

From the Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore - - -

Submitting information regarding the Roman Catholic Population in his District with Statements Nos. 1 and 2.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th January last, together with copy of Minutes of Consultation bearing date the 30th November requiring me to furnish a Report on the following points in connection with the Roman Catholic Population residing in this District.

2. In obedience to this command I have the honor to forward such information as I have been able to acquire on this subject, which has been

attended with much difficulty, from the exclusiveness, and state of separation in which the Roman Catholics live as regards the other classes of the Inhabitants and the exceedingly orderly conduct of the Roman Catholics which rarely if ever brings them in contact with the authorities of the District. The accompanying Statements Nos. 1 and 2 shew the number of Roman Catholic Inhabitants, the number of their Churches, and Priests, the amount of Revenue paid by the former and the proportion which they bear to the other Ryots in the District, indicating also where these Churches are situated, when, and at whose expense they were built, and how they are maintained and kept in repair.

3. The only Church of any degree of celebrity in the District, with reference to Size and architecture, is one at Curruttumputty in the Cheyoor Taluck, which was formerly destroyed by Tippoo Sultan, and subsequently rebuilt upon an enlarged Scale by the well known

Abbe

Abbe Dubois - No grant or endowment of any kind is made by Government, and it is entirely supported by the Missions of Jesuits who have for so many years been located at Pondicherry - A Missionary from that fraternity of the name of Père Jarrige serves this Church, and is stationed at Curruttumputty - He is of European origin - a Jesuit, slightly acquainted with the English Language, and quite familiar with Tamil, in which tongue he communicates with his Congregation - He has adopted the Native Costume, and is one of the most influential of his Brotherhood - He is, as I have before said, under the jurisdiction of the Diocese of Pondicherry, visiting periodically the congregations which attach to that Mission in the Talooks of Coimbatoor, Pulladum, Cheypor, Parindoray, Pulachey, Kharapooram, Checkraghery and Caroor, the number of which, from the best census that I can obtain, is estimated at about 6427 Souls - It is to be remarked, however, that in the Caroor Talook 2 Churches and 299 people have attached themselves to the Portuguese Priest at Dandigul - the origin of this arrangement

for the whole District

is unknown, and it having been attended with any confusion, it has remained unrearranged - the other Churches or Edifices scattered over the District are of a very insignificant description, for the most part built of mud - these are served by the Persons selected by each community - The Talooks of Dannaikenkottah, and Sattimungalum are periodically visited by a Priest Styled by the natives "Shevareeyar Padree" who resides at Cotakarmond now within the limits of Malabar - His Native designation is not known, but I have every reason to believe that the person alluded to is the Reverend Père Beauchere who is also of the Pondicherry Mission -

The Talooks of Audiore and Erode together with Collegal are under a distinct Ecclesiastical control from that of the Curruttumputty Priest, and attached to the Missionaries residing at Bangalore and Salem - these are of European origin, but having adopted also Native habits, with them they have assumed Native names and are styled in the Reports furnished by the Tahsildars, "Annarata Pada Padree" and "Gurapragasa Padree" - these Persons speak

speak the Tamil Language equally well
with the Natives. I have not the means or
time allowed me to ascertain more dis-
tinct particulars regarding these Individuals
Vide Board's letter dated 18th March 1842
tals, but from circumstances I am justified
in hazarding an opinion that they also are
Jesuits, and connected with the Mission at
Pondicherry.

5 It does not appear that
any portion of the Roman Catholic
Church adheres to the Vicar apostolic —
neither do the records of my Office shew
that any of the Priests acting under that
Church Government, have obtained possession
of any Church or Property from Portuguese
Priests. It seems however, that in the year
1832 two Priests one of Pondicherry, and the
other of Goa, claimed a right to the Church
at Cummultumpully which was disposed of
by Mr. I. Thomas, the then Principal
Collector in favor of the former, since no
which period nothing has occurred to inter-
rupt the arrangements which have prevailed
for the last 10 years.

6 I would conclude by remark-
ing that there are not a more peaceable,
industrious and orderly race of people of a

caste or class in this District than the Roman
Catholics, and they richly deserve the forbear-
ance, encouragement, and indulgence which
their limited numbers in comparison with
the multitude, and their deemed apostacy
in the eyes of the natives give them every
right to claim from our enlightened
and most liberal Government.

7 Should the Board con-
sider that I have not satisfactorily met
their requisition in the foregoing explan-
nation, of which I cannot but be appre-
hensive from the insulated position which
the Catholics hold in the District, I would
respectfully beg to refer them to my Prede-
cessor's letter to the Board under date
26th May 1838, which may perhaps sup-
ply such matter as I may inadvertently,
or from ignorance have omitted.

Coimbatore,
Pr. Coll.s Office,
29th March 1842.

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Coll.r

Statement.

Fort St. George

No. 1.

4th April 1842.

nt shewing the number of Roman Catholic Inhabitants their profession, the amount of Revenue paid by them the proportion which they bear to the other Inhabitants and the number of their Churches and Priests in the District of Coimbatore.-

Names of Talooks	Number of Roman Catholic Inhabitants			Profession			Labourers &c.	Amount of Revenue paid by Roman Catholic Inhabitants	Number of other Ryots in the Talook	Proportion of Roman Catholics on other Ryots for 1000	No. of Churches			No. of Priests			Remarks
	Male	Female	Total	Cultivators.	Individuals paying Mohturpha Revenue						Tiled	Thatched	Total	British Origin	Natives	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Coimbatore	271	284	555	97	-		458	383 7 11	94,218	6	2	4	2	"	"	"	Subject to the Priest at Carmattumpoo
Vinaikencottah	120	107	227	44	66		117	122 12 9	31,096	7	-	1	1	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - D:
Pettimungalum	781	344	1,125	341	476		308	720 7 5	58,807	19	2	8	10	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - at Ootacamund
Veyyoor	390	399	789	49	102		638	1270 - 6	42,631	19	4	2	6	1	"	1	"Thevarey or Padree." There is a Missionary at this place Occasionally and of British origin speaks Tamil is deputed and is called in Tamil "Royanada Padre"
Palladum	893	807	1,700	60	-		1640	1010 2 4	85,264	20	14	2	12	"	"	"	Subject to the Missionary at Carmattumpoo
Pondoray	184	160	344	-	344		-	120 15 1	60,962	5	-	4	4	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - D:- - - - - - - -
Erode	65	62	127	32	-		95	117 12 -	39,998	3	1	-	1	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - at Bangalore
Pondicoor	161	128	289	279	7		3	361 9 3	24,470	12	5	-	5	"	"	"	"Gnana Iroyasanada Padree"
Pollegal	86	89	175	160	6		9	149 6 3	46,161	4	3	1	4	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - at Carmattumpoo
Sharapooram	124	142	266	101	79		86	162 1 -	43,608	6	2	1	3	"	"	"	D:- - - - - - - - D:- - - - - - - -
Palachey	65	45	110	-	108		2	33 7 -	62,815	2	-	-	-	"	"	"	Subject to the Priest at Carmattumpoo Portuguese Priest at Dindegul-
Veroor	303	291	594	193	376		25	153 - -	67,387	9	-	8	8	"	"	"	Subject to the Priest at Carmattumpoo
Pluckragherry	91	35	126	15	-		111	630 2 1	59,133	2	-	-	-				
Pongyam	-	-	-	-	-		-	- - -	54,125	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	
Total	3,534	2,893	6,427	1371	1564		3,492	5,235 3 7	7,70,675	8	29	27	56				

(Signed) J. C. Broughton
Pr. Coll.

4376. Fort St. George 4th April 1842

No. 2.

Statement shewing the particulars of the Roman Catholic Churches in the Coimbatore District.

Names of Talooks	Names of Villages	No. of Churches: Tiled	Thatched	Total	Where built - at whose expense - and how repaired -
Coimbatore	Coimbatore	1	-	1	Built long ago no information obtainable as to the person by whom they were originally constructed - the necessary repairs are made from funds raised by subscription among the Roman Catholic Community -
	Shevaroy polliem	1	-	1	
		2	-	2	
Damicken Cottel	Moodoodoray	-	1	1	Constructed 10 years ago by the Roman Catholics at their own expense -
Palgaut	Paria Codowary	1	-	1	The Church at Caria Codowary was constructed 40 years ago by a Priest named Tuttoova Bodaicar assisted by the people at an expense of 1000 Rupees the Priests reside within it during their visits - the other Churches have been built by the community from funds raised by subscription about 60 or 70 years ago - the necessary repairs are performed at their Cost -
	Chinna Codowary	1	-	1	
	Aracancottah	-	1	1	
	Tarienpolliem	-	1	1	
	Cullee Cutty	-	1	1	
	Coundapolliem	-	1	1	
	Vaulepolliem	-	1	1	
	Gopepolliem	-	1	1	
	Cotoopillanpolliem	-	1	1	
	Coodoorocalpolliem	-	1	1	
		2	8	10	
Palgaut	Curmuttumpatty Brick in chinnams Tiled	2	3	1	The large and principal Church at Curmuttumpatty is stated to have been built 300 years ago by a person of the name of Coodeyna Chitty at Seringapatam and it is kept in repair by the Priest attached to it and by the Community. the other Churches have been built by the people at their own expense -
	Cancamoondoy	-	1	1	
	Maudapoor	1	-	1	
		4	2	6	
Pollachum	Peedambullee	1	-	1	Built 30 years ago by the Roman Catholic Community and repaired by themselves -
	Cullapolliem	1	-	1	Built 20 years ago Do: Do:
	Pullapolliem and Purmasevarapolliem	1	2	3	Built 50 years ago Do: Do:
	Poomaloor	1	-	1	Do: Do:
	Echeepatty	2	-	2	Built 35 years ago Do: Do:
	Cummumpolliem	2	-	2	Built 30 years ago Do: Do:
	Aggrahara poolaor	1	-	1	Do: Do:
	Poocalepolliem	1	-	1	Built 60 years ago Do: Do:
		10	2	12	

4377.

Fort St George 4th April 1842

Names of the Talooks	Names of the Villages	No of Churches: Tiled	Thatched	Total	When built, at whose expense, and how repaired.
Parindoray	Moorroongatoloo	"	2	2	Built 20 years ago, by the Roman Catholic Community and repaired by themselves.
	Coopachee pollium	-	1	1	Built 57 years ago Do. Do.
	Archaloor	-	1	1	Built 45 years ago Do.
		"	4	4	
Errode	Errode	1	-	1	Built about 100 years ago by a Priest known by the name of Kita Padir and is repaired by the Community.
	Ammahpett	1	-	1	Built 42 years ago by a person named Thavary Chelovum and is repaired at the private Cost of the Community.
Attoor	Boddapaudy	1	-	1	Do. Do. Do.
	Shenkararampollium	1	-	1	Do. Do. Do.
	Chanebully	1	-	1	Do. Do. Do.
	Cauvery pooram	1	-	1	Do. Do. Do.
		5	-	5	
Coil	Aggeepooram	1	-	1	Built by the people 6 years ago and repaired by themselves when requisite
	Gungadhur Cutlay	1	-	1	Do. 8 years ago Do. Do.
	Managahully	1	-	1	Do. 42 years ago Do. Do.
	Dodda Devarahully	-	1	1	Do. 40 years ago Do. Do.
		3	1	4	
Dharapooram	Dharapooram	1	-	1	Built 17 years ago by a Ryot named Parungotey Gounden and is repaired by the Community.
	Tottiepollium	"	1	1	Built 40 years ago by a person named Royeppen since his death repaired by the Community.
	Do	1	"	1	Brick in Chunam. Built 32 years ago by a private Servant of Mr Savory. since repaired by the Community.
		2	1	3	
Carroor	None in Pulachey				
	None in Chechragherry				
	Checkarathapooram	4	1	1	Built 60 years ago by the community and repaired by themselves.
	Aravacoorchey	4	1	1	Do. 100 years ago Do.
	Do in the Fort	-	1	1	Do. Do.
	Poongumbaudy	4	1	1	Do. 80 years ago Do.
	Soudamungalum	-	1	1	Do. Do.
	Carroor	-	1	1	Do. 100 years ago Do.

4379 Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Read the following letter.—

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Magistrate of Bellary — Regarding the plan and expence of the measure proposed to be adopted for Police in his District

Sir

Para 1. I had the honor to receive your letter of the 10th Ultimo annexing an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th January 1842 and calling upon me to submit a Statement of the Plan and expence of the measure regarding Police proposed to be adopted in my District.

36

In reply, I have the honor to state, that it appears from communications subsequently received, that it is not the intention of Government that the Kumool Sowars should remain permanently for Police purposes in this District. It also appears that the proposal I made to raise the Pay of the Peshcars to such a Monthly stipend as might allow, agreeably to the Circular orders of the Foujdaree Udalut dated 10th June 1834 of their assisting the Amildars as also discouraging

7 Min: Cons: dated 4th March 1842.

4378 Fort St. George 4th April 1842

Names of the Villages Contained

	No. of Churches			
	Total	Flattened	Gutted	When built & by whose expence — and how repaired
Cudanuttum	1	1		Built by the people 80 years ago and repaired by themselves.
Nerroor	1	1		100 years ago — Do: - - - - - -
	2	2		

Grand Total 29 27 56

(Signed) J. C. Naughton
Pt Collr

Ordered to lie on the Table.— Read

31-35 Ordered on the four foregoing Letters.

* These dates are not mentioned and can only be assumed in consequence with caution.

discouraged by the Government. A modification of the Plan formerly proposed by me becomes therefore absolutely necessary and I now beg to to confine my proposal to the following arrangement which is simply an addition to the present Police Establishment, Vizt.—

5 Surcheshums at 14 Rupees each per Month ——— } 70 — " — "

150 Police Peons at 4-1-4 R. A P. do. do. 612 — 8 —

Total Company's Rupees per Month ——— } 682 — 8 — "

3. There are 13 large and 4 small Talookes in this District. The number of Surcheshums at present on the present Establishment is only 8 which with the additional 5 now required, will make 13. These I propose to detach at one to each large Talook, and that out of the 150 Peons above applied for, I propose to allow 10 to each large Talook and 5 to each of the small ones. The present Police Establishment being inadequate these additional men are absolutely necessary as it is with the

then aid that I hope to be able to put down Gang Robbery the prevailing crime of the District for the suppression of which Government have under date the 9th March 1841 issued orders for increasing the Police force.—

4. Should the Board consider the expense too heavy, I beg they will fix the amount which they may deem adequate with reference to the plan now proposed. Except on the main roads which are constantly traversed by troops no detached Peons are to be found in the District and my plan is to detach the whole of the number now recommended as an addition to certain Villages and Tannah Stations that the Amildars may always have a responsible Person to apply to regarding any Robbery that may take place without the delay that now takes place in sending from the Talook Cutcherry where the few disposable Peons are assembled to ascertain the particulars when it is considered necessary to send a Party of Peons the Surcheshum will be available to accompany them and bring the parties before the Amildars.— It has always been

been my wish to establish Tannah Stations for Peons in this District I have been deterred from so doing by finding that the Establishment of Peons was not sufficiently numerous to admit. If it is I am convinced that it will be the only way to make the Village Police the Cutwalls Jahiars &c. available and with the addition now proposed it can be done. If a single Police Raw were fixed permanently in any Village and a certain number of the Village Police directed to attend to Police duties under him the Villagers in the event of an attack from the Gang Robbers would know where to run to for assistance because in the Village Choultry they would find the night Watch consisting of one or more Peons and the appointed number of Jahiars. The present system allows the houses of a Village to be plundered without an attempt to interfere for the invariable practice is to shut up and barricade the door of the House when once the cry of Thieves is heard in a Village. The number I have proposed

proposed in addition is larger but it is to be observed, that the object to be gained that of putting down Gang Robbery is of corresponding importance and the Police Ameens not being approved renders the additional Ameens & Peons necessary.

Bellary Magistrate's Office 15th March 1842

/Signed/ J. A. Mellor Magistrate.

Ordered to be sent again

Order thereon.

(36-37) for Circulation.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Agt. Pr. Coll. of Cuddapah

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 24th Ultimo forwarding copy of a letter from Messrs. Auchterlony and Co. and calling for my observations thereon that may seem to be required.

(38)

2. In conformity with the instructions conveyed in the Board's Proceedings dated the

the 25th February 1842 the alteration in the value of Salt petre was duly made in the Tariff of this District but no authority was then or subsequently given to the effect that only one Rowanah should be granted for the aggregate of several parcels of crude Salt petre instead of separate ones for each.

3. I am not however aware of any material objection to this latter proposition provided the places in which these small parcels are to be collected be duly notified to the local authorities.—

Cuddapah District.—
Principal Coll.rs Office.—
Nundalore 19th March 1842

(Signed) W. A. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector

Order thereon.—

(38-39)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Read the following letter

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(40)

From the A/Prin.l Coll.r Sir,
in the Nds Dist.t of Arcot
Requesting permission to transfer

I have the honor to state for

transfer the Enam land of Meeza Aubid Hospain for building the men of the 8th Regt N.I. at Vellore as required by Majr Genl Gibson Commanding Centre Division.—

the information of the Board that Major General Gibson Commanding the Centre Division in consequence of the augmented strength of the Regiments at Vellore has required additional ground for building the Mess of the 8th Regiment Native Infantry contiguous to the present Huts and the ground proposed by the Major General belongs to an Enamdar named Meezah Aubid Hoospain, Petitioner to Government in number 890 of 1842 whose Petition herewith returned was transmitted in your Secretary's letter for my Predecessor's report under date the 7th of June last. The ground consists altogether of 2 3/16 Cawnies of Pungal and Nunjah with three houses and a well the Houses and well valued at Rupees 80-3a-6p as well as a Kabarastan. The maniam land is to the extent of 2 1/4 Cawnies yielding annually to the Enamdar a rent of Rupees 34-13a-3p.—

The Enamdar Meezah Abeed
2 Hoospain states that he is willing to give over the whole extent of his Enam land with houses and well exclusive of the Kabarastan as Building ground provided a compensation for the

the Houses and well be given and other lands be allotted as Manium of equal assessment with his present Enam with some waste ground for erecting houses. Major General Gibson has also given opinion that the ground required for Cutting will reach to the edge of the Kalabarastam, but will not encroach upon it so that it may remain as at present untouched but included within the limits required by the Military authorities.

3. An arrangement has been made for allotting to Merzah Ashid Hoseain the extent of 1½ Cawnies of Nunjah land at Vellore assessed at Rupees 34-12-6 per Annum with some Waste land of about 3½/16 Cawnies for erecting his Houses and I have the honor to request early sanction for granting the same to the Enamdar together with the compensation of Rupees 80-3-6 abovementioned in exchange for the present Enam land which is to be transferred for Cutting as required by

the Maja General Commanding the Centre Division as soon as the sanction now applied for has been received the ground in question will be made over to the Military authorities.

North Arcot — Signed S. Goldingham
Pmt. Collr's Circuit Cutchery — Acting Pmt. Collector
Acct 31st March 1842

Order thereon. Para 4

(40-41)

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the Petition which accompanied it be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Endorsement passed on the latter by order of Government under date 29th May 1841

Under the explanation conveyed

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

conveyed in the above communication the Board beg to recommend that the Acting Principal Collector may receive sanction to make over to the Enamdar Meerzah Ambid Hoosain 17 Cawnies of Nunjah Land assessed at Rupees 34-12-6 per Annum together with about 5/16 Cawnies of Wasta land and also the sum of Rupees 80-3-6 in lieu of and in compensation for the Enam land and houses belonging to that individual situated within the cantonment of Vellore and which has been required for Military purposes.

Proceedings

(42)

Proceedings Relative to Management of Extra Sources of Revenue in the Arcot Zillah during Fusly 1250.

3rd Sept. on Cons. 10th February 1842

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot exhibiting the result of the management of the Extra Revenue in that District for Fusly 1250.

1 The quantity of salt sold in Fusly 1250 was less by 4[g] 94 — 354[m] — 5[m] than during the preceding year, and the sales shew a Net Decrease of Rupees 59,467 — 4 — 11. This decrease is the result of a gross increase of Rupees 9,004 — 8 — 11. and a Gross decrease of Rupees 68,471 — 12 — 11. as shewn in the Marginal Statement.

Inland Consumption	8,143 — 3 — 9.
Sent to French Government	861 — 4 — 3.
Increase	9,004 — 8 — 11.
Inland Consumption	67,841 — 12 — 11.
Sent by Sea	630 — " — "
	68,471 — 12 — 11.

2 Almost the whole of the decrease, it will be observed, occurred in the sales for Inland Consumption which fell below those of Fusly 1249. by 6[th] — 45[m]. The Collector remarks that having joined the District after the close of the Fusly under review he is not prepared to enter as fully as he would wish into the Cause of this

this large decrease in the Inland Trade in salt. Mr. Hallett however is disposed to attribute much of the falling off under this head to the unusually low price of grain, whereby the traffic in salt ordinarily carried on by Merchants bringing grain, has been cut off, and adds. "I certainly consider from all the information I can obtain, that the sales of salt along the Coast of Tanjore. South Arcot, and Chingleput, for Inland Consumption are progressively decreasing."

3. The Board were led to make enquiry and have drawn up the accompanying statement shewing the Inland sales in the Districts noted in the Margin, from this it will be seen that though in South Arcot and Tanjore the sales for Inland Consumption of Fusly 1250. fell short of those of Fusly 1249. in Chingleput and Madura, the sales for Fusly 1250 considerably exceeded those of Fusly 1249, and that the sales in all the four Districts

South Arcot.
Chingleput.
Tanjore.
Madura.

Districts in the three years immediately following Fusly 1246, exceeded very considerably the sales in the three years immediately preceding. The Board therefore see no reason to apprehend that the sales in South Arcot and Tanjore are progressively decreasing but are disposed to ascribe the falling off in the year under consideration, to local and temporary causes.

4. The gross Collections from the Sales of salt during the Fusly under Consideration amounted to Rupees 153602-1-8. and the Charges (in which there was a decrease of Rupees 42,318-5-10. as compared with those of Fusly 1249.) to Rupees 28,557-12-7. leaving a net Revenue of Rupees 125044-5-1. being less than the Net Revenue derived from this source in the preceding year by Rupees 11,882-10-9.

In the Demand under this head there was a decrease of Rupees 49,407-4-10. which was occasioned by the falling off in the Collection of the Town, Inland and Frontier Duties. The principal decrease is in the

Sayer.
5.
Town Duty 878.
Inland Do. 31,194.
Frontier 17,335.
49,407.

second

second Item and was occasioned by the low price of Indigo, the trade in which is stated almost entirely to have ceased in consequence.—

6. The decrease in the Frontier duties and the diminished demand for much of the produce of the District was owing to the dulness of Trade in Pondicherry.—

Gross Collections. 7 The gross collections on account
1249-2,38,177-15-1. of Sayer fell below those of Fusly 1249. by
1250-1,85,648-0-5. Rupees 52,528-14-8. in the Amount of Charges
Rupees 52,528-14-8 incurred Viz.t Rupees 28228-15-8 there was also a decrease of Rupees 1492-1-1. the result being a decrease of net Revenue to the extent of Rupees 51,037-14-7. The Net Revenue for Fusly 1249. being Rupees 2,08,456-15-4. and for that under review Rupees 157419-0-9.

Sea Customs. 8. The total decrease under the head of Sea Customs amounted to Rupees 4,667-12-9. being the result of a gross decrease of Rupees 6,881-5-5. on exports from the Ports of Cuddalore and Port Novo, and an increase of Rupees 2,213-8-8 in the imports.—

9. The decrease abovementioned

is explained by the Collector to have been occasioned by the diminished exports of "Piece Goods and Indigo to Madras and "Foreign Europe owing to the general decline "of Trade felt in the District."—

Abkarry. 10. The amount of the rents from this source of Revenue was Rupees 62,315-0-0 which exceeded those of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 1,715-0-0.

11. The gross Collections under this head which, as there were no charges, constituted the Net Revenue, Amounted to Rupees 59,577-2-5. and shows a decrease of Rupees 2,048-11-8. below the like revenue derived from Abkarry in Fusly 1249.

Small Farms and Licences. 12 There was a decrease in the settlement of this Branch of Revenue compared with the result of the foregoing Fusly Amounting to the sum of Rupees 552-1-10. the effect of a decrease of Rupees 1250-15-9 and an increase of Rupees 698-13-11. the principal portion of the above deficiency was occasioned by decreased Collections on Ferries, and in the

the rent on Bleaching Earth—

13. The Gross Collections Amounted to Rupees 3,548—10—5. being Rupees 8—8—4 below the Gross Collections of Fusly 1249—which, as there were no Charges under this head, also formed the total deficiency in the Net Revenue of the year.—

Stamps. 14. The Current Collections—from the sales of stamps Amounted to Rupees 16,539—8—0. and the Gross Collections to Rupees 17,482—10—0. being Rupees 2,039—1—0. below the Gross Collections of Fusly 1249. the Charges fell below those incurred in the previous Fusly by Rupees 180—1—5. and Amounted to Rupees 850—7—6. leaving a Net Revenue of Rupees 16,632. 2. 6. or Rupees 1,858. 15—7. below the Net Revenue of Fusly 1249. which is attributed by the Collector principally to the reduced sale of Rowanahs in the state of trade already alluded to.

Moturpha. 15. The Amount of the Moturpha—Settlement was fixed at Rupees 39,638—15—1. or Rupees 221—12—2 below that of the previous Fusly. The gross Collection under this head were Rupees 36,880. 11. 9 and as there were no charges, they—formed

formed also the Net Revenue which exhibits a deficiency below the Net Revenue of Fusly—1249 of Rupees 2,258—15—1.

Total. 16. The total Demand on account of all Branches of Extra Revenue—for Fusly 1250, Amounted to Rupees 4,68,976. 15—6. of which Rupees 4,54,083—0—10. were realized within the year, leaving a balance of Rupees 14893—11—8 which had been reduced at the date of the Collectors Report to Rupees 35—3—10. In the gross Collections there was a decrease of Rupees 17,895—12—11 and in the Net Revenue a decrease of Rupees 7,3954—7—2. the total amount of which for the year under review was Rupees 4,09,678—4—2. whereas in the preceding Fusly of 1249 it was Rupees 4,83,632—11—4.—

Gross Collections

Fusly 1249	5,89,135—8—10.	
1250	4,71,239—11—11.	
	1,17,895—12—11.	

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot, for his information and guidance.

Southern

| | | |

Fort St. George 1st April 1842.

Stamps.	Demand	19,468.	6	"	16,828.	10	"	"	"	"	2,639.	12	"
	Balance on the 12th July	579.	13	"	289.	2	"	"	"	"	290.	11	"
	Current Collection	18,888	9	"	16,539	8	"	"	"	"	2,349.	1	"
	Extra Dº	"	"	"	371.	4	"	371.	4	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	633.	2.	"	571.	14	"	"	"	"	61.	4	"
	Total	19,521.	11	"	17,482.	10	"	"	"	"	2,039.	1	"
	Charges	1,030.	8	11.	850.	7	6.	"	"	"	180.	1	5.
	Net Revenue	15,491.	2	1.	16,632.	2	6.	"	"	"	1858	15	7.
	Demand	39,860.	11	3.	39,638.	15	1.	"	"	"	221.	12	2
	Balance on the 12th July	1,588.	10	7.	4,345.	8	8.	2,756.	14	1.	"	"	"
	Current Collection	38,272	"	8.	35,293.	6	5.	"	"	"	2,978	10	3.
	Extra Dº	25	5	1.	3	13	11.	"	"	"	21.	7	2
Moturpha	Arrears	842	5	1.	1,583.	7	5.	741	2	4	"	"	"
	Total	39,139	10	10	36,880	11	9	"	"	"	2,258	15	1.
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	39,139	10	10.	36,880	11	9	"	"	"	2,258	15	1
	Demand	5,81,218	"	"	4,68,976	15	6.	"	"	"	115,241	"	6.
	Balance on the 12th July	14,608.	6	9.	14,893	14	8.	285.	7	11.	"	"	"
	Current Collection	5,69,609.	9	3.	4,54,083	"	10.	"	"	"	115,526.	8	5.
	Extra Dº	4,427.	2	8.	3,082.	3	11.	"	"	"	1,344	14	9.
Total.	Arrears	15,098.	12	11.	14,074.	7	2.	"	"	"	1,024	5	9.
	Total	5,89,135	8	10.	4,71,239.	11	11.	"	"	"	117,896.	12	11.
	Charges	1,05,502.	13	6.	61,561.	7	9.	"	"	"	43,941.	5	9.
	Net Revenue	4,83,632	11	4.	4,09,678.	4	2.	"	"	"	73,954.	7	2.

Fort St. George

B

Inland Sales of Salt.

4th April 1842.

Fusilies.	Sr. Dr. of Arcot.				Chingleput.		Tanjore.		Madura.	
	Quantity Sold.		Value.		Quantity Sold.	Value.	Quantity Sold.	Value.	Quantity Sold.	Value.
	Gy	mm	Rs. As. P.		Gy mm	Rs. As. P.	Gy mm	Rs. As. P.	Gy mm	Rs. As. P.
12.43	1,212.	192 "	127,310.	6 5.	2,106. 77	4	224,150. 11. 11.	7934 113. "	77,081. 14 7.	2 85239. "	29,762. 11 10.
12.44	1,248	118 "	131,040.	15 7.	2,527. 235. "		265,396. 11 "	693. 290. "	72,641. 2 "	293.337 "	30,253. 7 14
12.45	958.	217 "	100,646.	15 5.	2,031. 45. "		213,689. 11 "	829.280. "	85968. 8 "	167. 115. "	16,963. 14 "
12.46	732.	41 "	76,890.	12 6.	3,431. 277.		360,227. 12 "	668.257. "	67,907. 7 5.	7544. "	7,811. 8 9.
12.47	2,115.	227.	222,134.	9 9.	3,786. 314 "		396,462. 6 10.	958.376. "	99,888. 11 3.	109.21. "	11,250. 8 3.
12.48	1,572.	3 "	165,060.	12 8.	1,104. 40. "		430,280. 8 "	834.325. "	86,855. 5 "	227.87. "	23,267. 13 5.
12.49	1,597.	172. "	167,730.	2 5.	3,568. 335. "		373,827. 16 "	980.270. "	102,120. 14 "	329. 380. "	33,694. 12 "
Total	9436.	170 "	990,824.	10 9.	21,559. 153.5/4 "		2,260,935. 11 9.	5.690.211. "	592,463. 1 3.	1488. 23. "	152,994. 11 7.
Average	1,348.	24	141,546.	6 1.	3,079. 364.6/7		322,990. 13 1.	812.377. 2.	84,637. 9 9.	212.231. 7.	21,856. 6 2 3/4
12.50	951.	127 "	99,888.	5 6.	3,594. 225 "		376,629. 1 "	629.45 "	64,714. 11 "	370.25. "	38,006. 9 "

Read

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot dated 4th January and entered in Consultation 24th February last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To,

The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 29th January last, forwarding a statement for the remaining 39. Villages of the Seneapoorem Jaghire, of the allowances now enjoyed by the Village Officers, and the Amount proposed to be granted for the future.

2. In this statement it is very distinctly shewn what the Amount of the emoluments of the Village Officers now is, and from what sources drawn: but it is not so clear what portion of this Amount will be realizable on account

To the Collr in the Sr. Divn of Arcot.

43

Subscribed 24th Submitted 1842.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

account of Government after a fixed Money allowance has been granted, with a view of affording this information and thus shewing the additional expense which will be incurred by the new arrangement, the Board have drawn out the accompanying form of Statement which you are requested to fill up for each Talook composing the Jaghire and submit at your early convenience.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
4th April 1842.

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Jr. Secretary

Statement

4401

Fort St. George

A

Statement Shewing the Proposed amount of the fixed Salary to the Curnums and Kotagars in lieu of their present Emoluments in the Villages attached to the Jaghire of Senkarapooram in the Zillah of Virdachellam...

Description	Names of the Villages	Emoluments or fees which they are at present in the Receipt of. Average of 3 years fees in Grain: At 5 p.c. on 105 Cullums	Do. Contingencies or fees called Kistkalay &c. &c. Total	Average of 3 years fees in Ready Money. Amount payable by the Ryots.	Amount payable by the Weavers. Total	Total of fees in Grain and Ready Money.	Deduct such part of the fees as may not be realized after their Salaries have been fixed. Grain fees.	Ready Money fees	Total.	Remaining- Grain fees	Ready Money fees	Total	Proposed amount of the fixed Salaries. Average of 3 years Revenue Land Revenue	Melvaram	Total	Proposed amount of Salaries on the Jaghire of the Revenue	Comparison to Columns 14 and 18. Increase Amount.	Increase Centage.	Decrease Amount.	Decrease Centage.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

To be stated whether this is deducted from the Gross Produce or from the Collectors' Share only..

To be stated the Particulars of the items under which the Ryots pay them..

The Statements prepared Separately adding such other

4th April 1842.

fixed Salary to the Curnums and Kotagars in attached to the Jaghire of Senkarapooram in

in the case of the Curnums and Kotagars to be Talookwar as well as an Abstract for 5 Talooks, Columns as may appear necessary..

Read the following Statements.

Statement

4602 (44) Fort St. George

4th April 1842.

Statement of Charges incurred for Government Bearers employed on the Southern Division of Arcot in July 1250 Shewing the Amount of hire Collected and the Nett Charges.

Stations where the Bearers are posted	Number of Bearers at each Station including Jamadars & Cavaddy.	Number of Bearers to each Set including Jamadars and Cavaddy Inserted	Total number of Bearers to the Sets in Column 2.	Period during which employed.	Pay of each Set at 5½ Rupees each Bearer and 6½ Rupees for the Head Bearer per month	Total amount paid in July 1250	Amount received from those who employed the Bearers	Nett charge to Government deducting Col.s 8 from Col.n 7	Collector's explanation	Board's Remark	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
					Ck.s a/	Ck.s a/	Ck.s a/	Ck.s a/			
Rungahpillay Choultry	2	14	28	For July 1840.	78 " "	156 " "	69 4 "	86 12 "	The excess in the charge is owing to the less demand for the employment of the Bearers.		
Munjacoopum	2	14	28	D.o D.o	78 " "						
Ramalingah Poodoo Choultry	2	14	28	D.o D.o	78 " "	156 " "	74 6 "	81 10 "			
						156 " "	60 12 "	95 4 "			
Excess debited by the Principal Collector of South Arcot to the Superintendent of Police on account of the hire of Government Bearers posted in this District adjusted						468 " "	204 6 "	263 10 "			
Amount less debited by D.o to D.o				D.o D.o		2 4 "	" "	" "			
							90 8 "	" "			
Total	6	42	84			470 4 "	294 14 "	175 6 "			

11th July 1841. Errors Excepted

9th Signed C. H. Halbett
Collector.

(44-45)
Order thereon
(Vide Proceedings 22nd December)

Ordered to lie on the table.
Statement

4403

(46)

Fort St. George

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold and the wastage on the Quantity Stored in the District of South Arcot for Fusly 1250.

4th April 1842.

Names of the Cotaurs or Depôt.	Fusly in which Stored	Quantity Stored In each Fusly	Total	Quantity Sold	Wastage. Amount of Wastage.	Centage	Per Centage of wastage on each Cotaur fixed by the Collector as per Para 18 of the Salt Rules.	Explanation of the Cause of wastage
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Southern Devesion of Arcot								
Murkanum	1249	" " "	1032 45 "	990 207 "	41 233 "	4-12-27/100	12 G 200 mo " per Every 100 Garces	The wastage incurred in Fusly 1250 being within the rates formerly fixed in this District, any Explanation regarding the same appears unnecessary
Tillay	1249 218 61 "				26 333 "	11-326-20/100	18 - 300 - " per - Dº - Dº	
	1250 10 " "		228 61 "	201 78 "				
Teagavally	1249 270 20 "				30 169 "	10-193-87/100	18 - 300 - " - per - Dº - Dº	
	1250 20 " "		290 20 "	259 281 "				
Cuddalore								
Cuddalore	" " "		1550 126 "	1431 136 "	98 390 "	6-158-5-40/100		
	1249 " " "		268 185 "	230 275 "	37 310 "	14-28-2-77/100	25 - " - " - " - per - Dº - Dº	
	"		1818 311 "	1682 11 "	136 300 "	7-207-4-12/100		
Wastage occourred on the Quantity supplied to the French Government-								
Murkanum	" " "		" " "	160 " "	13 40 "	8-75 - " - "	12 - 200 - " per - Dº - Dº	
Cuddalore	" " "		" " "	40 " "	" " 36 9 "	2-122-4-4	25 - " - " - " per - Dº - Dº	
	" " "		" " "	200 " "	14 9 "			
					150 309 "			

11th July 1841. Errors Excepted &c.

Signed/ C. H. Hallett.
Collector.

Ordered

(46-47)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r and Magistrate in the 1st Div.n of Arcot...

Sir,

In reply to your letter of 3.d instant, I have the honor to

(48)

state that the matter was some time since enquired into in the Magisterial department and orders given.

x Petition returned.

x The Petitioners have not gone through the regular form in addressing the Superior without taking the Endorsement or decision of the inferior authority, and they also are very well aware that the appeal against inferior proceedings

proceedings should be made to the Provincial Court.

Chaultput.....
South Arcot.....
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry,
15th March 1842...

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector and Magistrate.

Proceedings thereon. As it appears

(48-49)

from the foregoing Communication that the matter of the Petition to which it refers, has formed the subject of a Magisterial enquiry and that orders have been given thereon in

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

in that Department.

The Board pass the

following Endorsement

on Petition No. 343 of 1842.

"The Petitioner is

informed that the Board

of Revenue cannot

interfere in the matter

of this address."

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. — 3 1.

Explaining the cause of the delay in reporting on the subject of Mootoo Aukul Naicks claims to certain land.

Sirs

In reply to your letter of 3d. instant, I have the honor to state that the delay in the communication of information on the subject of Mootoo Aukul Naicks petition is thus accounted for.

2. Immediately after the receipt of your Board's letter of 26th July last, finding that Aukul Naick himself was not forthcoming, I sent for his brother, /undivided/ and desired him to inform me, if he could, of all particulars regarding the several lands claimed. He left me with the promise that he would immediately obtain from his brother full particulars and furnish them to me - and in the understanding that till he appeared, I could not conduct any minute enquiry. He has never been near me since.

3. It is impossible with only a general Statement like that contained

contained in his petition, Viz.t that "many lands had been made away" Yea. Yea. to conduct an investigation in the absence both of the Petitioner and all detailed information as to what lands and in whose possession they now are; and I therefore can only request that Aukul Naick be directed himself to appear before me or by Vakeel to enable me to report fully and clearly for the satisfaction of Government.

Chaitput.

South Arcot.
Coll.r's Circut Cutcherry
17.th March 1842.

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government.

Sir,

(50-51)

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 25.th of February last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying

Recd 3rd March 1842.

17th March 1842.
4th April 1842.

Letter from the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot offering explanation of the cause of the delay that has occurred in reporting on the matter of the Petition presented to Government by Moodoo Aukul Naick Poligar of Teroovendy pooram in his District, and stating that in consequence of the continued absence of the Petitioner and his Vakeel he has hitherto been unable to investigate the circumstances connected with his claims to the service Maumiem in question.

Rec.d 31 of 1841.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
4.th April 1842

From the Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot

(52)

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary.

Read Estimate for making scales and weights for the use of the Frontier Sayer Chokey at Turdavore in his District.

Order thereon.

(52-53)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

From the Asst. Pr.l Coll.r of Tanjore

(54)

Sir,

I have the honor to request

request the permission of the Board to add to the list of Stamp Venders in this District, submitted on the 1st May 1828 the Police Ameen at Tanjore for the convenience of Merchants and others in that Town and in the neighbourhood.

Tanjore
Negapatam
19th March 1842.

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Acting Pt. Collector.

Resolution thereon—

(54-55)

The Board authorize the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore agreeably to his recommendation to enter the Police Ameen at Tanjore in the list of Stamp Venders in his District.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Actg Pt. Collr of Tanjore

Sir,

(56)

I have the honor to request with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 18th Instant 1842, received with Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 7th February, that this Office may be furnished with a copy of the rules relative to the Geomiah Department referred to in the Extract above mentioned.

Tanjore, Negapatam
19th March 1842.

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
acting Pt. Collector.

Resolu-

Resolution thereon.

(56-57)

Resolved that a copy of the Rules relative to the Geomiah Department which received the sanction of Government under date 24th December 1840 be transmitted to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore as requested by him.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll. of Trichinopoly

Sir,

(58)

I regret to have to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have deemed it my duty to suspend from Office the Tahsildar of Moovery Vencatarow, he being seriously implicated in certain improprieties which are about to form the subject of investigation under the orders of the Board contained in your letter of the 24th Ultimo and in my opinion disqualified in other respects for the performance of the duties of Tahsildar.

I hope to be able to submit a detailed report on Vencatarow's case in the course of a few days.

Trichinopoly District,
Voytola Goodalore
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
19th March 1842.

/Signed/ A. D. Onslow
Collector.

The

4413 Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Order thereon.

(58-59)

The Board await the Collector's report upon the conduct of the Tahsildar Venkatarow whose suspension from Office is reported in the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly

Sir,

(10)

Referring to my letter of the 18th Ultimo reporting on the inefficiency for his employment of Venkatarow Tahsildar of Ottapadarum I have the honor to inform the Board that I have been constrained by the condition in which I find the Taluk and by the Tahsildar's present state as defined in the enclosed Certificate furnished by the Cutcherry Darogah to anticipate the Board's permission for his dismissal which was urgently called for without further delay to enable me to carry on the Settlement of the year and to prevent stoppage of the current business.

Tinnevelly
Ottapadarum
18th March 1842.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Report

4413 Fort St. George 4th April 1842

A

Report of Darogah C. Ulaganadha Pillay to Sir Hy. C. Montgomery Bart. Collector and Magistrate of Tinnevelly.

Name	Rank	Age	Diseases	Remarks
Venkatachooriar Tahsildar of Ottapadarum		43	General Debility, attended with Fever and Pain in the Bowels	

Tinnevelly, Collectors Office, 4th March 1842.

/Signed/ C. Ulaganadha Pillay
Cutcherry Darogah.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

Resolution thereon

(60-61)

The Collector of Tinnevelly will see this have received the order of the Board dated the 10th ult. which conveyed their sanction for the dismissal of the Tahsildar Venkata Row whose conduct formed the subject of the Collector's report dated 18th February last.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore

Sir,

(62)

I have the honor to request, in the event of the Board of Revenue approving of the accompanying Advertisement for the sale of the Sandalwood now in store at Bhowaney, that it may be forwarded to the Editor of the Fort St. George Gazette for insertion according to custom.

Coimbatore,
Pl. Collrs. Office
17th March 1842

I have &c.
/ Signed / J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collector.

A

Advertisement

Notice is hereby given that sealed Tenders will be received at the Office of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

until 10th of May next for the purchase of Sandalwood, the produce of the District during last year. The quantity now in store amounts to 145 C. 5 M. 12 9/16 lbs more or less & divided into five sorts classed as follows.

Description	Candies of 20 Maunds each Maund weighing 1,000 Company's Rupees	No. of Billets in each Candy			Lowest weight of Billets
	C. M. lbs				lbs
1st Sort	35 – 13 – 18 3/4	16	to	32	13 2/16
2d Sort	37 – " – 12 1/2	30	to	44	10 3/4
3d Sort	48 – 11 – 5	34	to	64	6 3/4
4th Sort	17 – 10 – "	64	to	94	3/2
5th Sort or Guravanoah	6 – 10 – 15/16				
Total	145 – 5 – 12 9/16				

Samples of the same are ready for inspection at the Office of the Principal Collector in Coimbatore daily from 10 A. M. to 5 P. M.

Tenders to be addressed to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore & superscribed "Offers for the purchase of Sandalwood" which will remain sealed in the Office of the Principal Collector until 12 A. M. on the 10th May 1842 after which hour they will be opened in the presence of such parties interested

interested, as may be in attendance, and the most favorable offer accepted, & subject, however to the approval of the Board of Revenue.

Terms of sale. Ten per cent of the purchase money to be paid in the first instance, and the remainder on the delivery of the Sandalwood from the & stores at Bhavaney. The purchaser to take possession of, and to remove it within 20 days. any quantity which may remain at the expiration of that period liable to be & resold at the risk of the Purchaser.

Coimbatore, Pt. Coll.rs Office, 17th March 1842. | /Signed/ J. C. Wroughton, Pt. Collector.

Order thereon.

62-63

Ordered that the advertisement submitted with the foregoing letter be & transmitted for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

64

From the Pt. Coll.r of Canara. | To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George. | Sir,

Requesting sanction for the sale of 18 Estates in satisfaction of arrears of land revenue.

Read the following letter.

I have the honors to forward a list of 18 Estates, which it is proposed to & sell for arrears of Revenue. From the inquiries that have been made into the case of each Defaulter, there appears no other means by

which the balances can be realized, — or the Revenue on the Estates regularly collected.

2. In some cases there is no prospect of the Estates being cultivated, and the full amount of Revenue assessed thereon, collected, unless the & proprietary right is permanently & conferred on the cultivator, which & cannot be done except the land is previously brought to sale.

3. In other cases there are complicated disputes regarding the possession of Estates in which the & produce has been made away with by the several claimants thereby occasioning arrears to accumulate. The regular & collection of the Revenue on Lands of this description cannot be expected, unless the disputes regarding their possession, be put a stop to, by putting them up to sale.

4. It is probable also, that the & balances due by some of the defaulters will be paid upon the Estates being brought to sale. I have therefore the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to grant sanction for the sale of the Estates in question.

Pt. Coll.rs Cutcherry, On Circuit. Cundapoor. 16th March 1842 | /Signed/ H. M. Blair, Pt. Collector.

Notice

Fort St. George

4th April 1842.

A

hereby given that the undermentioned Estates in the Zillah of Canara will be sold by Public Auction
ons unless the arrears outstanding are discharged on or before the ——.

for arrears of Revenue under the provision

Magany	Village	Wurgs	Annual amount of Beriz			Balance of Fusly 1245			Balance of 1246			Balance of 1247			Balance of 1248			Balance of 1249			Balance of 1250			Total			Wurgs on wh are due. Remarks Estimally		
			Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.
ne Podoor	Kavootur	Coondaya Ajeella	73	7	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	15	7	28	15	7	100	11	2
"	"	Kristnayaka	47	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	"	"	51	"	"	58	1	2
Mogral	Cusba	Coonhally	257	6	6	"	"	"	62	11	5	58	15	5	132	6	2	110	"	7	104	8	7	468	10	2	316	12	10
"	"	Manoo Halande Maynak	19	8	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	3	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	3	7	63	4	10
Sajepa	Paddoo	Mysore Anant Ramapa /portion/	9	11	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	"	10	60	"	10	"	"	"	78	1	8	123	13	2
Mogral	Patta	Chitte Sanbapa —— / Dº /	4	5	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	5	3	4	5	3	4	5	3	12	15	9	39	1	7
"	Mogral	Pilli-goondy ——	37	11	7	6	"	"	3	"	"	3	"	"	5	"	"	3	8	"	3	8	"	24	"	"	53	7	7
Tulaspaddy	Kinny	Markoo /portion/ ——	21	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	5	7	28	5	7	75	14	10
Coombla	Tulaka	Coonhally Packey ——	47	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	14	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	55	11	3	59	12	5
Paddumale	Budagnoor	Keshova ——	23	13	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	13	3	16	14	5	16	14	5	71	7	9	42	14	"
Workadily	Kunjatoor	Addakaula Manjoo /portion/	6	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	2	5	"	"	"	23	13	3	23	13	3	9	2	5	44	8	5
Kudpaddy	Coorcoonjy	Chitapa Shetty ——	74	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	18	5	2	11	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	48	"	10	114	11	"
Kelnaad	Yedadaddy	Venkata Hegadehi /portion/	232	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	134	"	"	"	"	"	9	13	3	8	11	2	293	"	"	576	12	"
Kott	Kot-tatton	Venkatramma Bairy / Dº /	25	8	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	159	"	"	293	"	"	52	10	"
Kollooru Potur	Bavaladdy	Dasapaka ——	43	13	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	1	3	24	12	9	44	14	"	64	4	5
Allegueriy	Cheruvally	Soobrayaka /portion/ ——	56	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	13	2	34	14	"	76	11	2	532	"	"
Kottod	Cusba	Manjoo Shetty ——	299	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	53	8	"	53	8	"	415	8	10
Heroor	Hosa-totta	Sheva Bhatta /portion/ ——	22	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	44	7	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	46	10	10	46	10	10	554	9	7
																						44	7	2			

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Pt. Collector

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(64-65)

Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter, the Board authorize the publication of the advertisement which accompanies it; the day of sale being fixed for the 18th May 1842.

Read the following letters—

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. and Register of Government Grants

Sir,

(66)

1. I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue an application from Mr James Sanderson Assistant Surgeon on the Madras Establishment, for a fresh lease of land Cs. 5-10-1,000 at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Malabar in substitution of No. 28 granted to Surgeon Robert Barker M.D. under the sanction of Govern-

Enclosure in a letter from the Collr of Malabar 12 March 1842.

Vide Entry No. 30 in the abstract Statement submitted to the Bd. on the 7 Septr. 1837.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.—

From Bd. 16 Augt 1837

dated the 11th October 1837.—

As the lease to Dr. Barker

2.

has been duly assigned over to Dr. Sanderson on who has purchased the property thereon described for Rupees 10,000 I beg leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my issuing in lieu of it a separate Deed by way of consent and confirmation of the transfer in the form submitted to the Board on the 19 March 1832 subject to the same rates of Assessment as those originally authorized by Government

Signed S. Robertson
Collecta & Regr. of Govt Grants

Madras
21st March 1842

A

To The Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose the Indenture of a Property No 28 in the Cantonment

Cantonment of Octacammund Neilgherry Hills
formerly belonging to Surgeon Robert Barkie
M. D. lately purchased by me, and
to request you will be good enough to favor
me with the Certificate required by Govern-
-ment to occupy the said ground &c.

Octacammund
8th March 1842

Signed J. Sanderson
Assistant Surgeon

Order thereon-

(66-67)

Ordered that the foregoing
letter with its enclosure be submitted to
Government with the Boards recommendation
that the Register of Government Grants
may receive authority for issuing the
document applied for by Mr James
Sanderson in lieu of the lease which
was granted to Surgeon Robert Barkie
M. D. subject to the same rates of
assessment as those originally authorized
by Government.

A.G.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r and Register of Government Grants

(68)

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue, the papers noted in the margin relative to a Permission Certificate applied for by Major J. Campbell of the 41st Regiment N. I. for certain land situated in the Collectorate of Ganjam.

/ Explanatory Statement from the Register of Govt Grants
/ Letter from Collector of Ganjam 25th November 1841-
/ Copy of Do to Do 10th Decr "
/ ——— Do from Do 1st March 1842-
/ Application
/ Bill of Sale, and
/ Plan.-

Madras-
31st March-
1842-

/ Signed / A. Robertson.
Coll.r & Reg.r of Govt Grants.

Description

Fort St. George

423

Description of Ground the Proprietor of which has applied for a Certificate from

No.	Name of the Proprietor	Situation of the Ground	Since when enclosed	Title under which it is held	Extent of the Ground	Nature of the Soil
					Cs G S.T	
1	Major J. Campbell of the 41st Regt N. I.	Near the Town of Aska within the limits of the old Cantonment at that place many years ago abandoned and in the Collectorate of Ganjam.	The date unknown but previous to 1815.-	Under annual Patta from the Collector of Ganjam, who states that the Ground was formerly the property of the late Zemindar of Goomsur, and that it became forfeited to the Circar on his rebellion. He further states that the Ground included in the original Compound, consisted of about 55 Burmans planted with Mangoe and Jaawah Trees, which was leased out to Ryots for Fusliee 1246 and 1247 at an Annual rent of 20 Rupees, and has since been occupied by Major Campbell on the same conditions, and that he has added to it an adjaining Strip of waste land purchased from the proprietor whose bill of Sale has been produced.-	13 960	Sandy loam.-

4th April 1842.-

the Honorable Company.-

How laid out whether any Building upon it	Quit rent proposed for Cawney.-	Remarks of the Collector of Madras	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Dec
Garden, Orchard, and Grass, Dwelling house and Office built by Major Campbell in January 1838.--	The Collector of the District is of opinion that 25 Rupees would be a sufficient amount of Quit rent to fix for this Ground.-	It is recommended that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the issue of the Certificate requested by Major Campbell in form A submitted to the Board in a letter from this Office under date the 17th October 1834, providing for the payment of the Quit rent proposed by the Collector of Ganjam.-		

Madras ----------
21st March 1842 } /Signed/ A. Robertson
Coll.r & Regs.r of Gov.t Grants

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

(68-69)

Order thereon. (Read again in Cons. 11th April)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

From the Collector of Madras. — Statement of

(70)

actual charges not Estimated but actually incurred in July 1250.

From the Collector of Madras. — Statement of

(71)

actual charges incurred under Estimate for July 1250.

From the Collector of Madras. — Statement of

(72)

actual charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for the manufacture of Salt for July 1250.

Order on the three above mentioned Statements — Ordered that the

(70-73)

necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Principal Coll.r of Nellore — Register of Petitions

(74)

bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of January last.

Regis.r

Fort St. George 4th April 1842

From the Principal Collector of Nellore — Register of Petitions

(75)

bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of February last.

Order on the two abovementioned Registers — Ordered to be lodged in the Office

(74-76)

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched together with the Petition therein referred to.

(77)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the accompanying Petition from Rufsool Bee widow of Mahomed Shoyaf Canjee in Guntoor and being an endorsement by orders of Government under date 4th February 1842.

Reporting upon a Petition from Rufsool Bee widow of Mahomed Shoyaf Canjee in Guntoor and stating that under existing arrangements the Board apprehend that no portion of the emoluments of the office of Canjee can be set apart for the support of the Petitioner's family until her son is competent by age and qualification to discharge the duty of the Office. d.o. 7th of 1842.

2

It will be seen from the correspondence noted in the margin, that the matter of this address has already been fully under the consideration of the Right

From Government	15th February	
in Cott	4th March	1841.
To Do.	1st April	
From Do.	21st April	
in Cott	10th May	

Right Honorable the Governor in Council
and it may be here sufficient to observe
that the Board in their communication
to Government under date 1st April
1841 expressed their opinion that the
Village of Inavole could not be
considered as private property but as
an official Endowment, appertaining
to the office of Cauzee of Guntoor, and
appropriated as such, with the ordinary
fees and perquisites of the situation,
and further that the selection of the
party to fill that Office should be
made whenever practicable, from the
family of the last incumbent. In this
view Government was pleased to concur,
in the minutes of Consultation dated
21st April 1841, on which occasion the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council
resolved that the Judges of the Sudr
Adalut should be requested to carry
out the course of proceeding suggested
in Para 9 of their Register's letter
dated 29th January 1841, to the effect
that if it should appear that the
Enams in the enjoyment of the
descendants

In Cons 10th May 1841 -

descendants of the late Cauzee are held
in virtue of that Office, and if there
should be any person among his descend-
ants eligible to succeed to the Office,
the Assistant Judge should appoint him
and annex to him all the emoluments
of the Office, but if there should not be
any such person or likely soon to be one,
that the Inamies should be transferred
to Meer Yacoob Ali who has done the duty.

3. As the present incumbent
has not been disturbed, since the period
of his appointment in 1835 by Mr. Lavie,
under the sanction of the Judges of
the Provincial Court, to make room
for any other party, but has subsequent-
-ly been put into possession of the
proceeds of the Village of Inavole,
together with the other Emoluments
attached to the Office of Cauzee, the
Board conceive that he has been
continued in the Office by the local
Judicial Officers, in conformity with
the wishes of Government above
alluded to and will so continue
until the youngest or any other son
of

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

of the Petitioner Rufsool Bee, is consistent by age and qualification to discharge the duties of the Office whenever that may be—During this interval the Board apprehend that no portion of the emoluments of the office can be set a part for the support of the Petitioners family—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th April 1842

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched—

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to Para 2. of the resolution of Government passed upon their letter of the 27th January last; I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they see no reason whatever why the natives of the Canara District should be debarred from the privilege, which appears to have been enjoyed by the

78

Wm in Cons. 17th March 1842.

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

people in the neighbouring Province of Malabar of using earth salt in curing their fish, and they have accordingly instructed the Principal Collector of Canara to cancel the prohibition which appears to have been imposed by former Collectors without authority—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th April 1842

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary—

To

The Principal Collector of Canara

To the Pl. Coll. of Canara

Sir,

With reference to paras 4 and 5 of your letter of the 5th August last upon the subject of the tax upon Salt used in curing fish I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they see no reason why the Fishermen in your district should be debarred from the privilege which appears to be enjoyed by their neighbours in Malabar of using earth Salt in curing their fish and they authorize you accordingly

79

In Cons. 16 Aug. 1841.

accordingly to cancel the prohibition which you state to have been passed upon the subject by former Collectors and for which no sanction appears to have been granted.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th April 1842.

Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

To
The Acting Collector of
Vizagapatam

To the Actg Coll.r of Vizagapatam
80

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to an Extract from the Proceedings dated the 6th September last and to request that the just Edition therein called for of the Law relating to Indigo may be forwarded to this Office at your earliest convenience.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary.

To the Principal Collector of
Canara

To the Pl. Coll.r of Canara
81

Sir

The attention of the Board

4th Estimates dated 8th January 1842 and sanction of Govt. conveyed in Board's letters 15 and 17th March last

of Revenue having been drawn by Government to the Estimates of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in the Revenue Department in your District in July 1251 in almost every item of which there is an increase I am directed to request that you will use every means in your power to check this tendency to augmentation in the charges of your District Government having urgently required the Board to confine the expenditure in the Revenue Department within the narrowest limits consistent with efficiency.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th April 1842.

Signed J. B. Ronpell
Acting Sub Secretary

To
The Principal Collector of
Canara

To the Pl. Coll.r of Canara
82

Sir.

Para 1 With reference to the remarks of the Board and the orders of Government on the Estimate submitted by you for the purchase of paper for July 1251 which was returned

returned to you under date 15th Instant. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will take measures in communication with the Superintendant of Stationery to ascertain the price at which French paper can be procured for the use of your Office in substitution for Portuguese paper of that description if paper is considered by you capable of resisting the effects of the Monsoon on the western Coast.—

2. You will be pleased to submit the result of your enquiries at your earliest convenience.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
4th April 1842.

(Signed) T. B. Ronpell
Acting Sub Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Actg Pr. Collr. in the Northern Division of Arcot — Return of Persons charged before the Principal Collector &c. with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.
(83)

From the Actg Princl. Collr. in the Northern Division of Arcot — Reporting that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of February last.—
(84)

From the Actg Princl. Collr. of Cuddapah. — Return of Persons tried for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.
(85)

From the Dy. Collr. of Combatore. — Return of Persons holding Offices described in Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 suspended or dismissed for the Month of February last.—
(86)

From the Principal Collector of Canara — Salt account Current for the quarter ending 31st December last.—
(87)

From the Princl. Collector of Canara — Tobacco Account Current for the quarter ending 31st December last.—
(88)

From the Collecta of Malabar. — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.—
(89)

From the Collecta of Malabar — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.—
(90)

From the Collecta of Tinnevelly. — Abstract of Demand

Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last

From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last

(92)

From the Collector of Madras — Stating that no Endorsement was made on any of the Petitions referred to him by the Board for investigation during the Month of March last

(93)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Reporting that there is no item for the monthly Return of Village Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last

(94)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement shewing the distribution of the proceeds of Articles confiscated from 1st January to 31st December last.

(95)

Order thereon.

Ordered that the

(95-96)

confiscation and sale of the smuggled Articles enumerated in the Statement be authorized.

From the Principal Collector of Salem — Forwarding a Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of January last and Stating that no persons were in confinement under the above Regulation during that Month.

(97)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly — Stating that the Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last is not sent there being no entry to make — Stating

(98)

Fort St George 4th April 1842

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

99

stating that there are no persons charged or remaining under confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of February last.

" Salem

" N. Arcot

" Chittoor

" A. Macleod

Compd
H. G. Boyle

Fort St. George 4th April 1842.

Diary

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

1

6th April. Received the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 5th April 1842.

Read the following

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 4th April 1842.

The following Papers are ordered to be recorded.

From the Brigadier Commanding Tenasserim Provinces / Here enter 24th February 1842 /

From the Adjutant General of the Army. / Here enter 2nd April 1842 No 361 /

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Secret Department. / Here enter 2nd April 1842 /

Ordered that the Commander of the Forces be requested to cause a Regiment of Native Infantry to be held in readiness to embark when ordered for Moulmein, to replace the 14th Regiment Native Infantry proceeding on service to China: and that the question, whether a Native Regiment can best be spared from Mangalore, be referred for the opinion of the

Major

Major General Commanding the Forces.

Ordered further that the opinion of the Principal Collector and Magistrate of Canara be obtained, through the Revenue Department, upon the measure of reducing the force at Mangalore by the removal of one Regiment of Native Infantry.-

/Signed/ S. W. Steel Lieut. Colo.
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the Board of Revenue be requested to call for and submit the report required in the foregoing Extract without any delay.

/Signed/ W. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon.

(1-2) Copy of the foregoing communication from Government be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Canara for his immediate Report.

Received

Received the following letter.

To.
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam

Sir,

(3) I have the honor to report of my having given over charge of this Collectorate to Wm. P. B. Smollett, Acting Collector and to enclose his receipt for the Public money amounting as per Cash account made up to this day to Rupees 3,35,444—10—5.—

Vizagapatam, Collrs Cutcherry, 31st March, 1842.

/Signed/ P. B. A. Conway
Acting Collector.

Received.

4440 Fort St. George — April 1842.

No. 1.

Received from T. B. A. Conway Esqr. Acting Collector of Vizagapatam in the undermentioned Coins, the Sum of Company's Rupees 335,444 — 10 — 5. being the Balance of Cash up to this date according to the Accounts of the Treasury.

Species. Coins.	Number of Coins.	Rate of Conversion per % Pieces of the Coins.	Amount	Total.
Company's Rupees	57,881	100 " "	57,881 " "	
Dr half Dr	5,404	50 " "	2,702 " "	
Dr Quarter Dr	20,308	25 " "	5,077 " "	
Bombay Rupees	2,318	100 " "	2,318 " "	
New Madras Rupees	229,180	100 " "	229,180 " "	
Dr half Dr	58,469	50 " "	29,234 8 "	
Dr Quarter Dr	8,419	25 " "	2,104 12 "	
Dr double Annas	34,445	12 8 "	4,305 10 "	
Dr Single Dr	519	6 4 "	32 7 "	
				332,585 8 —
Half Pagoda Pieces	4	175 " "	7 " "	
Quarter Dr	1	87 8 "	" 14 "	
Old Arcot Rupees	1,585	100 " "	1,585 " "	
Soorats	20	95 12 4	19 2 5	
Bunder Gurnauls	130	96 9 10	125 9 7	
Dr Sunkasals	15	99 12 7	14 15 5	
Vunkutloo	195	99 7 2	193 14 8	
Volundoo Cutkeel	25	98 2 10	24 8 8	
Bengal Cutkeel	245	103 15 9	254 12 2	
				2,225 12 11
				335,061 1 11
New half Anna Pieces	1,232	3 2 "	38 8 "	
Dr Quarter Dr	13,681	1 9 "	213 12 3	
Dr Single Pie Dr	19,261	" 8 4	100 5 1	
Old four Pie Coins	1,409	2 1 4	29 5 8	
Dr two Dr	153	1 " 8	1 9 6	
				383 8 6
		Total Company's Rupees		335,444 10 5

Vizagapatam, 31st March 1842.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / T. B. Smollett
Acting Collr.

So.

Fort St. George the April 1842.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Asp. Collr of Vizagapatam, 4

I have the honor to report that I have this day taken charge of this Collectorate and passed my receipt in duplicate to Mr. J. B. A. Conway for the Balance of Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 3,35444 — 10 — 5

Vizagapatam, Collr's Cutcherry, 31st March 1842.

Order on the two foregoing Letters.

3-5

for the information of Government.-

4th April.

Sent the following letter.

To the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

To the Collr of Rajahmundry, 5

In Cons. 24th February 1842.

6

From Govt 16th March In Cons 21st Do. No. 344.

With reference to your letter of the 17th February last No. 25, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charges incurred by you on account of Batta to Public Servants

Fort St. George the April 1842.

and for the conveyance of Records on Circuit in Fusly 1250 amounting to Company's Rupees 1,718 — 9 — 9.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 4th April 1842. 8

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

4th April. Sent the following Letter.

To the Acting Principal Collector in the Northern Divn of Arcot.

Sir,

To the Asp. Pl. Collr in the Northern Divn of Arcot.

In Cons. 28th Feby 1842.

7

From Govt 17th March In Cons 21st Do 1842 No. 354.

With reference to Mr. Bourdillon's letter of the 18th February last No. 31, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to convey to you the special sanction of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for the charges incurred on account of the Layer Establishment employed in North Arcot for Fusly 1250 Amounting to Rupees 19,373 — 5 — 8.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 4th April, 1842. 8

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Asp. Secretary.

H.

Fort St. George, 7th April 1842.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 7th April, 1842 — John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

8

From the Chief Secy. to Government — Communicating Orders on the subject of the damattoor Polliams in Coimbatore & the propriety of taking that Estate under the Management of the Court of Wards.

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th April 1842.

Read the following Letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

[Received 17th January 1842 No. 20.]

Also Petitions Nos. 1699 and 1856 of 1841 and No. 111 of 1842.

× × ×

Para 1. It is to be regretted that immediate notice was not taken by the Acting Principal Collector of the Arzee addressed to him by the Poligar of Samuttoor a few days before his death, by which his wishes, after that event should take place were made known. Had this

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

been done, all doubt of the authenticity of that document and all ground for dispute or complaint amongst the surviving Members of his family would have been removed.

2. The course most desirable to be pursued, when disputes amongst the family had arisen after the Poligars death, was to take the Estate under the management of the Court of Wards for the benefit of the heir who was a Minor. To this end, the sanction of Government should have been obtained, and a strict observance of the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 would then have enabled the Collector to control the affairs of the Estate, and to prevent improper interference from any quarter. The sanction of Government to the measure of taking the Estate under charge of the Court of Wards is now granted and the Collector should be instructed to give effect to the provisions of the Regulation above referred to under which all interference on the part of Puvvatammall, the Mother of the deceased Poligar either as Guardian or manager, will

Fort St. George, 7th April 1842.

will be prohibited and the claim of the widow to the care of the Minor recognized, whilst it will be the duty of the Manager duly appointed, to secure and retain under proper custody the property belonging to the Minor's Estate.

3. His Lordship in Council is further of opinion that personal security for such of the property as is not clearly her own would be insufficient in the event of the restoration of it to Purvatummall and that it is desirable that the order conveyed in the 5th Para of the Board's Proceedings of the 6th January 1842 should be modified so that there may be no difficulty in recovering the property from Purvatummall should it be found to belong to the Minor. The directions given in the 6th Para of the same Proceedings appear also to require modification. When an Estate is taken under the management of the Court of Wards, that Court is bound to conform to the provisions of Regulation V of 1804 and the selection of Manager should be made as therein directed. The Courts are of course open to Purvatummall

Fort St. George, 7th April 1842.

Purvatummall for the recovery of damages if he feels aggrieved by any act of the Principal Collector or Magistrate.

Ordered that a Copy of these Proceedings be transmitted to the Board of Revenue for communication to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, with directions to apprize the Petitioners Purvatummall and Ayuttoor Mow-mall that the orders of Government on their case have been conveyed to the Principal Collector, to whom they are referred.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Wm. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

8-9

Proceedings thereon, Para 1.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information and guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 6th January last and the correspondence noted in the Margin.—

Under

Communicating for the information and guidance of the Princl Collr of Coimbatore the orders of Government for taking the Peshcush of Samuttoor & Colampetty under management for the Court of Wards in behalf of the Minor Poligar & desiring him to make over to Purvatummal such only of the property as may be indisputably established to be her own.

From the Pl Collr 20th February
& Conr 11th March 1841
Proceedings of the Board 11th Ju —
From the Pl Collr 16th & Conr 27th May —
Proceedings of the Board 27th Ju —
From the Pl Collr 30th September —
& Conr 14th October —
Proceedings of the Board 11th Nov —
From the Pl Collr 24th Nov —
& Conr 9th December —
From the Pl Collr 1st December —
& Conr 6th January 1842.
Proceedings of the Board 6th Ju —
From the Pl Collr 8th & Conr —
24th March —
Proceedings of the Board 24th Ju —

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

2. Under the sanction of Government conveyed in the 2nd Para of the communication from Government above recorded, the Principal Collector will proceed to take the Poliput of Sammoor and Cotamputty under management for the Court of Wards, in behalf of the Minor Poligar and will submit as required by Regulation V of 1804 for the approval of the Court the names of the parties whom he may consider proper to be appointed respectively as guardian to the Minor, and Manager of the Estate.

3. With reference to the observations of Government in Para 3 the Board are of opinion that of the property alluded to in Para 5 of their Proceedings dated the 4th January last, such only should be made over to Purvatummall as may be indisputably established to be her own; the remainder being retained in charge by the party who may hereafter be appointed to manage the Estate under the Court of Wards according to Section XII of Regulation V of 1804, in leaving Purvatummall to prosecute any

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

any claim which she may advance to that portion of the property in the established Courts.

Read the following Letter.

To. The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam, Sir, Para 1. I have the honor to request you will inform me in what manner the Board desire that Salt shall be measured both when receiving it into Store and when selling it.

10

2. I also beg to be informed whether the Board authorize Permits to be granted on unstampt paper termed Collector's Rowannahs for any quantity of Salt not exceeding 40 Mercals.

3. I find this is prohibited by the Salt Rules, but it appears never to have been acted on in this District. The practice however is in my opinion very objectionable.

Vizagapatam, Collr's Cutchery, 17th March, 1842.

(Signed) J. B. St. Conway Actg Collector.

Proceedings thereon. Para 1.

The Board observe that the

11

4449 Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

the information sought in the fore-
going communication is supplied in
Paras 1 & 2. the Salt Rules contained in the
Extract from the Proceedings of the
dated 31st May 1819. Board of Revenue which were
circulated for the information
and guidance of the Local
Revenue authorities under date
of the 1st June 1819.—

With respect to the
point noticed in Para 3 of the
above letter, the present
Acting Collector is re-
quested to submit such
observations as he may
deem fit when disposing
of the cases connected
with this subject now
before him.—

Read

4450 Fort St. George 7th April 1842

Read the following letter—

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Jt. Colr. of Guntoor } Para 1 In acknowledging the receipt
of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings
dated 3d instant I think it necessary to
bring to their notice their letter of the
29th August 1836 and to mention that the
subdivision of the Estate has not yet been
sanctioned nor has any arrangement
regarding the arrears been effected.—

(12)

2 In the Board's subsequent letter
to Government dated 8th September 1836
it is true that they recommend the giving over
of the two Subdivisions to the respective co-
proprietors but on the understanding that
they should jointly be considered responsible
for the Peishcush of the whole; an understand-
ing which has never hitherto been lost
sight of, but as the subject has not been
mentioned in the arrangements now co-
proposed

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

proposed. while the circumstances of the other half of the Estate having been placed under the management of the Court of wards seems to render it more important that a conclusive arrangement should be made I have ventured to defer putting Manoor Vencata Kanoomagesumah in possession until I have received the Board's further instructions.—

3 Referring to the proposed appointment of Moojoomdar Narsanah to act as Manager under Vencata Kanoomagesumah I have received a Petition from Manoor Vencata Bharatumnah pensioned widow, placing his character in no favorable light, and without wishing to dwell unnecessarily on the merits or demerits of a person not proposed for Government employment I deem it my duty to observe that, for my own part I do not consider that his management is likely to prove beneficial to the Government or the Proprietor. It may therefore be advisable that she should be called upon to name some other person for the office subject to the approval of the Board.—

Guntoor — Coll.r Cutcherry 22.d March 1842

Signed A. S. Mathison
Acting Collector

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

12-13

Order thereon (Read again in Con.l 11th July 1842) Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

14

Sir

From the Pro.l Coll.r of Nellore — Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Smollett's letter of the 11th February 1841 in which I am requested to state at what rate with reference to the Cost of its manufacture Salt can be supplied from this District so as to afford a fair prospect of profit to the Merchant and enable him to compete with the importers from Bombay.—

Reporting upon the rate at which Salt with reference to the Cost of its manufacture can be supplied from this District so as to afford a fair prospect of profit to the merchant and enable him to compete with the importers from Bombay vide Pa.a 6 Ga.a 7 Ga.a

2 Mr. Smollett's letter of the 25th March 1841 informed me that the Board did not consider it essentially necessary to ascertain whether the Madras could compete with the Bombay Salt Traders in the Calcutta Market but merely whether with reference to the Cost price of manufacture and the import duty levied in Calcutta a free trade in Salt could be carried on between the Madras Residency and Bengal with a reasonable prospect of

of remuneration to the Merchant.

3. I think a more satisfactory conclusion might have been arrived at on this subject had more particular information been obtainable of the cost of Bombay Salt, because the commodity being saleable depends much upon the competition it meets with in the market and also upon the quality of the article. The Board of Customs at Calcutta affirms that Madras Brown Salt may be considered nearly unsaleable so long as Bombay Salt which has been imported on the payment of duty can be procured. Madras salt has been for sale at the Sulkeah Golahs as low as 40 Rupees per 100 maunds while the highest price seems not to have exceeded 60 Rupees. The Board of Customs at Calcutta represent themselves as ill informed of the prices which Salt imported on private account fetches at Calcutta, but think 65 to 70 Rupees per 100 maunds plus the duty may be the average price at which Bombay Salt sells there. Of the quality of the Bombay which like the Madras is solar evaporation Salt, I have no information but from their comparative values in the Calcutta market it may be presumed that the Bombay Salt is

is much superior to the Madras Salt as hitherto imported there which I am led to think has been of a very inferior quality to the best Salt manufactured on this Coast. I am much mistaken if the Salt produced in this District be not fully equal to any Bombay Salt, and if so there is no reason to doubt its realizing an equally good price at Calcutta. At the same time we have no facts to reason upon or be guided by, but that of the Madras Salt hitherto imported at Calcutta fetching an average price of 50 Rupees while the Bombay Salt fetches an average price of Rupees 65 to 70. A question of this sort must depend mainly on the price which the commodity will ordinarily fetch in the Market to which it is sent, and in regard to this there is no certainty and every prospect of a change in the event of the trade being thrown open to private competition.

It appears to me impossible that the Bombay Merchants can ship Salt for Calcutta if they have to pay the 8 Annas per Maund chargeable under Act XXVII

of

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

of 1835 and sell it at Calcutta for 65 Rupees per 100 Maunds and I am led to think that Salt exported on private account to Calcutta does not pay that duty. —

5 The wish of the Calcutta Board of Customs seems to be to place the import of Salt from Madras on the same footing as Salt imported from Bombay the Red Sea and other places. Viz.t the payment of a duty of Rupees 3:4 -"- per Maund but to confine the import to square rigged Vessels not under 200 tons and which are obliged to receive a pilot on board. —

6 I am of opinion that the measure proposed would greatly benefit the interests of this Presidency. It would enable our traders to compete probably with success with the Bombay traders, provided the export here is regulated in the manner I am about to propose. —

7 The price paid by Government in this District to the manufacturer per 100 Maunds of Salt omitting the fractional price is — 6-13-"-

The charges for conveying to the Beach Flat Farms storing &c.a average — } 2 -"-"
average wastage for one year — "-3-"

Total C.Rs. 9-"-"

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

8 I would propose that the said sum of Rupees 9-"-"- be paid by the exporter for every 100 Maunds of Salt and the Salt should be allowed to be exported on the same terms as other Commodities Viz.t the payment of the Sea Custom duty of 8 per % on a valuation of the Salt at the monopoly price Viz.t 105 Rupees per Garce or Rupees 95-7-3¼ per 100 Maunds. The duty on the 100 Maunds would thus amount to 7-10-2 which added to the price paid for the Salt or 9 Rupees would make the total cost to the exporter independent of Shipping and other Charges something below 17 Rupees for the 100 Maunds This would exceed the price paid for Salt exported to Calcutta previous to the establishment of the Monopoly which ranged from Rupees 10-9-5 A.P. to Rupees 15-13-4 A.P. per 100 Maunds. —

9 In addition to the price of Rupees 17-"-" paid on the Salt before Shipment there would be the freight and other incidental charges amounting to about 18 Rupees more so that I calculate no trader could land Salt on private account at Calcutta under a Cost per 10 Maunds of 35 Rupees. He has then to run the chances of competition for Salt is imported there from several quarters Bombay, the Red Sea the Persian Gulph and occasionally in small quantities from Great Britain, the United States and so

France

France besides a large stock of a superior description of Salt always procurable at the Government Golahs the manufacture of the Bengal Salt agencies as the Government now receive for all Salt exported on Indent to Calcutta 35 Rupees per 100 Maunds, there would be a loss in the first instance under the arrangement I have proposed of not quite 18 Rupees on that quantity As the Government would save the expense which it now has of actually shipping the Salt but I have little doubt that the measure would eventually lead to an increase on the present revenue as the supply of Salt to Calcutta from the Coromandel Coast is now limited to the actual Indents of the Bengal Government whereas I think the throwing open the trade as I have proposed would enable the Madras trader to undersell all competitors from Bombay and elsewhere from the greater proximity of the Madras Ports to Calcutta and the consequent diminution of the cost of conveying it there The plan appears to me worth a trial for two or three years It should be suggested by the Calcutta Board of Customs a rule that no ship taking Salt on Indent for the Bengal Government should be allowed to ship at the same time on private account.

Nellore District .....
Kistnapatam 2d Coll.s Circuit Catchery
29th March 1842 .....
} Signed J. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collr

Order thereon

(14-15)

Ordered to lie on the table

Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Actg Sub Collr of Cuddapah

Submitting information on the subject of the Roman Catholic population in the District of Cuddapah and their places of worship

(16)

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your acting Sub Secretary's letter of the 18th Instant calling for information on the subject of the Roman Catholic population in this District and their places of worship.

2 In reply I beg leave to state that the Roman Catholic population does not exceed 520 souls all persons in the lower grades of life They have eight places of worship but these are merely sheds erected at the expence of the Congregation and being quite of a temporary nature the dates of their erection cannot

4459

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

cannot be ascertained - These congregations are occasionally visited by a French Jesuit Priest and are subject to the Jurisdiction of a French Bishop at Pondichery The priests who visit the churches are generally well acquainted with the language of the people

3

As neither the Roman Apostolic nor the Portuguese churches have any connection with these Churches — no disputes on the subject have arisen nor in fact is there any property about which a dispute could arise -

Cuddapah District, ---
Princ. Coll.rs Office, ---
Nundalore, 23rd March 1842

/ Signed / W. H. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Coll.r

16-17

Order thereon

Ordered to lie on the

4460

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

To

The Actng Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Chingleput

Sir

With reference to Mr. Roupell's letter of the 18th ult.o I have the honor to state that the number of Villages in this District partly inhabited by Roman Catholics has prevented my obtaining the information called for by the Board so soon as could be wished, but I hope to be able to furnish the required Statement within 15 days -

18

Chingleput District, ---
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry,
Trivallore 7th April 1842 --

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Statement

18-19

Statement

4462 Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of the Southern Division of Arcot.

Reporting the measures taken with a view to meet the orders of Govt. regarding the continuance of the pension of the late Dual Allie

Sir

Para 1. In reply to your letter of 3d Instant, I have the honor to state, that with a view of making an arrangement to meet the orders of Government, some time since sent for Fuzel Allie Shah and desired him to furnish me with the list of all those belonging to the sect who were claimants on the grant as well as the sums relatively to be apportioned.

2 He has given in such a list and holds himself responsible for its correctness at the same time of course it is subject to alterations both as to the claimants and respective Amounts.

3 He appears to have very considerable influence with the sect and I conceive the only mode of satisfactorily adjusting the matter

(22)

(20)

4461 Fort St. George 7th April 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Cuddalore in the Gillah of Chingleput up to 29th March 1842.

Quantity of Salt for exportation to be Exported.	Quantity actually in store ready for Exportation	Remarks
104,360	76,450½	5,430

Fort St. George 7th April 1842

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Bengal Marine.

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

Ordered that the above Statement be transmitted to the Board for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(Signed) C. C. Pearse
Chief Secretary.

$100,000

Chingleput District.
Collectorate, Circuit Cutcherry
Tirumullavasal 4th April 1842.

Order thereon.

20-21

(Signed) A. F.

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

matter is to have such a list carefully made out as much as possible in concord with the wishes of Fuzel Allie Shah (and the whole sect.) and then to disburse the Monthly sums to the different individuals with the distinct understanding that each pension wholly and entirely lapses on the death and will on no account be renewed.

4 Fuzul Allie Shah has informed me that he himself and nearly all the parties entered in his list are residing at or near Arcot. I have therefore requested the Sub Collector Mr Bourdillon to assist me by making out this list in personal communication with Fuzul Allie Shah and the Shah of the sect.

5 I shall of course give due notice in this Gazette that all claimants must put themselves forward

6 The Board will readily comply

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

that to arrange this matter, so that all justly entitled under the Courts orders may be included, will take some time, and I do not expect to come to a final satisfactory settlement for six Months. in the mean time, as no objection has been raised in any quarter, I will continue the pension to Fuzel Allie Shah.

7 I cannot conclude this without remarking that the indulgence has been given by the Government and confirmed by the Home authorities partly on the supposition that Shah Allie was never given to understand that the pension was only continued to him for life, I am quite at a loss to discover how such a mistake originated, for the terms of the grant were well and currently known both to him and all others concerned.

Chairpet South Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
7th March 1842.

Signed C. A. Hallett
Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

In Cons: 27th Septr 1841.

With reference to the Resolution of Government under date the 9th September 1841 which accompanied a Copy of the Extract from the General Letter dated 2d June

(22-23)

preceding I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot stating the arrangement he thinks expedient and the time that will probably be required to carry into effect the intention of the Honorable Court expressed in para 7 of the General Letter above alluded to.

17th March in Cons 7th April 1842.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

Signed R. J. Porter Acting Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Pr. Collr of Canara

Reporting on the Petition of the Cauzee of the Town of Mangalore &c. &c. &c.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 24th of May last accompanied by a Petition from Syed Abdoola Sideos and others with the orders of Government requiring a

(24)

Statement to be submitted for all the allowances received by the Petitioner from the Public Treasury, as well as from private sources.

2. I now beg to enclose the Statement required by Government shewing the entire receipts of the Cauzee of Mangalore from which it will be seen that he is in the receipts of Rupees 16 as his Monthly pay and Rupees 10 with the expenses of Candal as an allowance for the Ramzan Festival, besides Rupees 25 per Annum being the Amount of private contributions.

I have

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

3 The Amount paid from the Bongoor Treasury for the Mussulman Festivals previous to 1836 when all the charges for native Feasts were abolished was 35 Rupees per Annum as shewn in the Margin exclusive of the trifling charge for the erection of Pandals on the occasion of Ramzaun and Bekrah Festivals.

	Rs. a. p.
Ramzem	10 — " — "
Buckreed or Bekrah	10 — " — "
Mohurum	15 — " — "
	35 — " — "

Average charges for the erection of 2 Pandals — 3 — 8 — "

4 The former petition of the Cauzee and the orders upon it having reference to the Ramzaun Feast only, my report on the subject was confined to that point. The propriety of acceding to the present request rests on the same ground as that on which the allowance for the Ramzaun festival was granted. Although the Petitioner is unable to adduce any documentary evidence in support of his claim the allowance appears to have been paid by Government since the acquisition of the Country.

5. With respect to the erection of Pandals they have hitherto been raised on the occasion of the festivals through the means of the Tahsildar, and the expences charged in the account

Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

I would beg to propose however as being conformable with the late orders of Government regarding the discontinuance of all interference on the part of the servants of Government with the Religious ceremonies of the natives that the Amount of the charge should be given to the Cauzee for the purpose of erecting the Pandals himself.

6 With reference to 15 Rupees forming the 3d Item in the Memorandum I beg to observe that the Amount was annually distributed among the Mussulman Peons and Dhelayets of the Bongoor Office on the occasion of the Mohurrum a similar allowance being granted to the Hindoo Peons at the celebration of Dussarah festival. Both these allowances have been discontinued since 1836 as being payments to Government Servants which were disallowed by an order issued in that year.

7 The Petition of the Cauzee is herewith returned.

Print. Coll.s Cutcherry
On Circuit Conjeveram
9th March 1842.

Signed W. M. Blair
Dy Collector

Statement

4469 | In Fort S. George 7th April 1842.

A Statement shewing the allowances derived by the Town Cauzee of Mangalore from Public as well as Private sources in the Zillah of Canara.

	Per Month		Per Annum	
	Rs	a. p.	Rs	a. p.
From the Treasury of the Principal Collector of Canara.				
Pay of Cauzee				
Saudeewarad charges	10	- -	120	- -
Allowance for Ramzem	6	- -	72	- -
Expences for Pandal			10	- -
			3	12 0
From Private Sources.			205	4 0
Estimated amount paid by the individuals to the Cauzee for Marriage ceremonies performed by him within the Town			25	- -
Total per Annum			230	4 0

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Collector.

Proceedings

4470 | In Fort S. George 7th April 1842.

Proceedings thereon. Ordered that the foregoing

(24-25) Communication from the Principal Collector of Canara together with the original Petition No. 624 of 1841 from Syed Abdullah Idroos Cauzy of Mangalore which accompanies it be forwarded for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th of May 1841.

In Cons: 24th May 1841.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

From the Asst. Pr. Collr. of Tanjore. Statement of actual charges

(26) incurred without Estimate for July 1250.

From the Asst. Pr. Collr. Tanjore. Statement of charges incurred

(27) under Estimate for July 1250.

From the Asst. Pr. Collr. of Tanjore. Statement of actual

(28) Charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for Cultivation for July 1250.

Order on the three abovementioned Statements. Ordered that

(26-29) the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted

inserted in the proper Columns of the
Statements and submitted for the Sanction
of Government.

Read the undermentioned
Statements

From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the Government Bank. } Statement of the contingent Charges incurred during the Month of January last.
(30)

From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the Government Bank. } Statement of contingent Charges incurred during the Month of February last.
(31)

From the Secy to the Government Savings Bank. } Statement of Contingent charges incurred during the Month of February last.
(32)

From the Superintendent of Stamps. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of February last.
(33)

Ordered on the four abovementioned Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.
(30-34)

Read the undermentioned Registers

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Register of Petitions bearing
(35)

the Boards Endorsements presented to him for the Month of February last.

From the Pt Collector of Coimbatore. Register of Petitions bearing the Boards Endorsements presented to him for the Month of February last.
(36)

Order on the two abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.
(35-37)

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Asst Pt Coll. in the Nth Dn of Arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
(38)

From the Collector in the Sth Dn of Arcot. Acknowledging the receipt of certain Printed forms.
(39)

From the Collector of Bellary. Stating that no person has either been suspended or dismissed
(40)

under the Provisions of Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of February last.

From the Coll. and Mag. of Bellary. Stating that no Persons have been either charged with the Offences
(41)

or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of February last.

From the Pt Coll. of Coimbatore. Return of Persons charged with the Offences
(42)

offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.

From the Pt. Coll.r of Coimbatore. Return of Persons in
(43) confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.

From the Dt. Coll.r of Coimbatore. Statement of smuggled
(44) Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of February last.

From the Dt. Coll.r of Coimbatore. Statement of smuggled
(45) Tobacco for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the
(46) quantity of smuggled Tobacco seized for the Month of January last.

From the Dt. Collector of Nellore. Statement of smuggled
(47) Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of January last.

From the Dt. Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Goods
(48) on which double or treble duty has been levied for the Month of January last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry, Submitting for sanction
(49) a Statement of smuggled Salt seized for the Month of February last.

Order on the Six abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the
(44-50) confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods as well as the levy of double or treble duty enumerated in the above mentioned Statements be authorized.

From the Actg Collector of Guntoor. Abstract of Demand
(51) Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Actg Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing
(52) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Dt Coll.r of Nellore. Abstract of Demand
(53) Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Dt Coll.r of Nellore. Statement
(54) shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Dt Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing
(55) the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Sundri of 1841/42 from 11th to 23d March last.

From

4475 — Fort St. George 7th April 1842.

From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. Stating that the Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of February last is not sent there being no entry to make.

(56)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of Persons charged before the Collector with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.

(57)

" Ormsby

" G.D. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" A. Maclean

Compd.
P.C. Boyle

4476 — Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.
John Dent Esqr. Senior Member.
G.D. Drury Esqr. Second Member.
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member.
and
Alexander Maclean Esqr. Temporary Member.

Monday 11th April 1842.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 4th Instant.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the Board's Letter addressed to Government under date the 3rd March 1842 and of the order of Government passed thereon under date 29th March 1842 be forwarded to the Acting Principal Collector Northern Division of Arcot with reference to Mr. Ogilvie's Letter of the 13th December last.

(1)

In Cons: 4th April 1842.

In Cons: 3rd Jany. 1842.

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1870 of 1841.

Under the circumstances represented in the report by the Princl. Collector Northern Division of Arcot upon the subject of this Petition the Board do not

not consider that any interference on their part is called for.—

Read the following Extracts.

From the Chief Secy. to Government— } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th March 1842.

(2)

Read the following Letter from the Register of the Sudr Udalut.

No. 1.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division dated 14th June 1841. Question and reply of the Pundits of the Court of Sudr Udalut.

Para 1. In reply to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th December 1841, No. 1517, I am directed by the Court of Sudr Udalut to transmit to you a Copy of the proceedings which have been instituted and of the orders which have been passed by the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division, in respect of the property of the late Rajah Sonamany Ramachendra Row Zemindar of Valavandnm.—

2. The Court of Sudr Udalut have ascertained by a reference to their Pundits the Provisions of the Hindoo Law in the Case, and a Copy of their reply is submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

3 It would appear from the opinion of the Law Officers of this Court, that the opinion which formed the ground of the decision of

the Provincial Court in this case was erroneous. Rajah Sonamany Ramachendra Row being competent to bequeath to his brother his real property after providing for the maintenance of his widow.—

Sudr Udalut, Register Office, 8th March, 1842. } Signed W. Douglas, Register.—

A in No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division.

Dated 14th June 1841.

Present

S. C. J. Boileau Esqr. 2nd Judge. } Ex. P. No. 459 of 1840.

Rajah Chintapulla Venkatarow Petitioner.—

Para 1. Read again Extra Petition No. 459 of 1840 presented by the Pleader Gandeecharla Seetiah on behalf of Rajah Chintapulla Venkatarow heir to the Plaintiff in No. 68 of 1828. Provincial Court file praying that the amount of the 1st and 2nd Instalments of the Postpone Petitions No. 418 and 419 of 1837 may be collected and paid to him with interest up to date of payment and cost of this Extra Petition by the sale of the Defendants Villages which were mortgaged

mortgaged to him and his other real and perso-
-nal property. This Petition was recorded at length
in the Court's proceedings of the 25th of January last.

2. Read also Extra Petition No. 188
of 1841 presented by the Pleader V. R. Gopauliah on
behalf of Rajah Vencataramaniah widow
heiress and successor to the late Rajah Soora-
-nany Ramachendrarow Zemindar of the Mootah
of Valavadunn within the Purgunnah of
Nyalavaram Defendant in original Suit No. 85
of 1828 on the file of this Court who appears upon
a notice being issued to her on the 25th of Janry.
last.—

3. The Petitioner first refers to this
Court's Decree in the above suit between the
Defendant her husband, and the Plaintiff
Rajah Chintapatla Vencatarow; to the
postpone Petitions Nos. 418 & 419 of 1837 presented
by both her husband and the Plaintiff to the
Plaintiff's Petition No. 459 of 1840. thereon urging
the enforcement of the past 1st and 2nd
Instalments of the postpone Petitions;
to the Court's notice thereon to her dated
the 25th of January 1841, requiring

her to shew cause why the Postpone
motions could not be enforced; also to
Extra Petition No. 84 of 1841 which was on
thereupon presented by the Petitioner's
husband's elder brother Rajah Sooranany
Vencatapaty now, who separated from her
husband alluding to a forged will as
if it had been left by her (Petitioner's)
husband constituting him heir, and re-
-questing to be allowed to present a counter
Petition to Extra Petition No. 459, and to this
Court's Proceedings thereon dated the
22nd of February 1841, and states that
the said Vencatapaty now has nothing
to do with the Petitioner's husband's pro-
-perty, that the Petitioner is the proper
heiress to succeed her husband; that he
(Vencatapatynow) forged the will by bribing
her husband's servants; that a widow
having no male issue has a right to
inherit her deceased husband's property
as his heiress, and that the said
Vencatapatynow assumed possession
of the Petitioner's husband's personal

property

property consisting of his seal, by making his servants privy to it.

4. The Petitioner refers to a Decree passed by this Court in favor of her husband in Suit No. 9 of 1823 instituted against the said Venkatapatyrow in this Court for recovery of his share of their Patrimony consisting of Zemindary and other real and personal property; and to a Decree of the Court of Sudder Adalut in the Appeal Suit No. 1 of 1825 therefrom preferred to them, confirming that passed by this Court, and states that the said Venkatapatyrow thereupon preferred a special Appeal to the King in Council therefrom, but afterwards presented a Razeenamah and withdrawal with certain arrangements therein entered, so that each of them has from that time lived separately without any concern or reference to the Estate and debts of the other.

5. That while matters stood thus the Petitioner's husband having been

indisposed at Valavadum, one of his Mootas, his brother the said Venkatapatyrow went thither from Milavarum which is situated in its vicinity, biased her husband's servants, and began to forge certain Documents; when her husband having understood this and endeavoured to report the same to the Magistrate, and other authorities, he Venkatapatyrow then returned thence, but that her husband having gradually become worse, the Petitioner who was at Parvoyandah on understanding this, sent some people to him at Valavadum in order to prevent any deceit being practised, and a Vakeel to the Collector of Masulipatam to whom she also addressed an Arzee, dated the 21st of October 1840 informing him among other circumstances of her being the sole heiress and successor to her husband, and of Venkatapatyrow's intentions to forge certain Documents; that her husband having thereafter died on Saturday the 6th Shoodhum of Karteeka of Sarvary (31st October 1840)

she

she addressed some other Arzees also to the Collector requesting certain information as to the arrears of Revenue due upon his Salook under attachment; informing him that if her late husband's seal were not sent for and secured in his presence, Documents would be forged, but that as the Collector did not take care of her husband's property consisting of his seal Vencatapatyrow who obtained the friendship of the Petitioner's husband's Servants Soorianary Nursiah and others, and who, by the help of the seal &c. was able to forge the Will and other necessary papers in support of the same, assumed possession of the whole of her husband's said property.—

6. That such being the case the said Vencatapatyrow presented the aforesaid Petition No. 84 of 1841 to this Court pretending that the Petitioner's husband had left a Will in his favor and that he alone is his heir; That as the law quoted by the said Vencatapatyrow who clandestinely

clandestinely forged the documents without her knowledge, while the Petitioner is the proper heiress to her husband, is not at all applicable to him, the Will is liable to be rejected.—

7. The Petitioner then quotes certain texts of the Hindoo Law, explaining that when a divided member dies leaving no male issue, his widow has a right to succeed him first and others afterwards by line of succession. in proof of which the Petitioner requests a reference to Section I, Chap: 2. of the Mectachery of Hindoo Law on the division of Patrimony. That the Petitioner need not therefore be subject to an allowance payable by the said Vencatapatyrow as asserted by him in his Petition No. 84 of 1841; that her husband who was well aware of all Laws would not leave such an unlawful Will which cannot therefore be admitted, as prescribed by Regulation V of 1829, to the exclusion of the Petitioner, the proper heiress, that

the

the forged Will should therefore be rejected and that the said Vencatapaty now is not to be recognized as heir to the Petitioner's husband in any of his affairs.

8. The Petitioner here produces several Judicial Precedents adopted by this and the Court of Sudr Adalut to shew that the Widow of a deceased who has left no Male issue is entitled to succeed her husband tho there may be several divided male members of the same family - and lastly remarks that the prayer of the said Vencatapatyrow in Extra Petition No. 84 of 1841. should be rejected.-

9. With respect to the aforesaid Extra Petition No. 459 of 1840 presented by the Plaintiff in the present Suit No. 68 of 1828 urging the enforcement of the past 1st and 2nd Instalments of the postpone Petitions, the Petitioner states that by reason of her husband's Talooks having been

brought to ruin by a failure of Crops, she is unable to pay the same now, and as all her Jewels too have been sold for their maintenance she expresses her readiness to satisfy the Plaintiff by making at present a part payment of the debt after her Talooks may be put into her possession and by causing him to present postpone Petitions and therefore prays the Court to pass order postponing the sale of her said Talooks at present on that account.

10. Read also Extra Petition No. 209 of 1841 presented by the Pleader P. Boocheesastry on behalf of Rajah Soonanany Vencatapatyrow.

11. This is a Counter to Extra Petition No. 459 of 1840, presented by Chintapatla Vencataroyadoo heir of the late Plaintiff and to the one No. 188 of 1841. presented by the Widow of the Petitioner's younger brother Ramachendrarow Defendant

in

in Suit No. 68 of 1828 of this Courts file.

12. The Petitioner refers to Extra Petition No. 188 of 1841, and states that it is liable to be rejected for the following reasons, that, as the Petitioner's Brother Ramachendrarow who was for a year before his death laid up because worse, as he had no issue and it has never been usual for a female of their family to become a Zemindaree as Sept 6, Page 101, Sept 16 Page 128 and Sept 19 Page 133 Vol. of Vol. 2 of Colebrook's Digest of the Hindoo Law expressly allow a person to dispose of his share of property as he pleases without prejudice to the maintenance of his own family, as he did not like to allow his Zemindary consisting of the two Mootahs of Malavadum and Chena Pamdraka to be alienated to others after him, and as he was therefore inclined to allow his share of the Zemmindary to devolve on their own family in succession, he duly left a Will to the Petitioner dated Tuesday

Tuesday the 1st Carteeka Bahoolum of Sarvary, corresponding with the 10th of November 1840, constituting him heir to the said two Zemmindary Mootahs and granting his widow his own houses at Vellatoor and other property with an annual allowance of 1,000 Rupees payable by the Petitioner, and stipulating some other conditions, and sent the same to the Collector of Masulipatam, enclosed in his Arzee requesting him to carry it into effect, and died on the 13th of that Month, that the Collector who, thereupon, made a report of the same to the Board of Revenue, also took proof from the Witnesses who attested the Will as to the authenticity thereof that the Arzee dated the 21st of October 1840, which was addressed to the Collector by the widow of the late Ramachendrarow during his life time originated at the instigation of her father Chintapulla Venkatarayadoo, who, as well as many others, was clearly aware of Ramachendrarow's previous intentions to

leave

leave the Mill to the Petitioner.

13. The Petitioner states as an instance that when Kapireddy Jagganadha Bauboo, who left two widows, was about to die, he left a Mill to his Brother Ramanadha Bauboo constituting him his heir and that it was accordingly carried into effect with the sanction of Government, his widows having been referred to the Civil Courts for redress.

14. With respect to the Statement of the widow of Ramachendrarow that as the Collector did not take measures to secure the Seal of her husband as requested in her Arzee to him, dated the 29th of November last, the Petitioner assumed possession of the Seal and forged the Mill &c. and antedated them, the Petitioner replies by stating that as that Mill is dated the 10th and was received on the 15th of November by the Collector whose signature it bears, her Statement on this Head is as well as all

all the rest a falsehood, that the Collector therefore referred the widow's Makeel to the Civil Courts for redress; that the texts of the Hindoo Law quoted by the widow are only applicable in cases, where a deceased might not have left a Mill to others and not in her case, whose husband left the abovementioned Mill to the Petitioner, that the other examples produced by the widow are also not applicable to her in the present instance.

15. Independently of this i. e. with respect to the Extra Petition No. 459 presented by Chintapulla Vencatarogadoo praying the Court to constitute his daughter heir to her husband Ramachendrarow and to enforce execution of the Decree passed in his favor against the Estate of that Ramachendrarow, the Petitioner remarks that the prayer is not right on the ground that he was not competent to suggest it previous to Government, who alone possess authority over Zemindary Sabots disposing

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

disposing of the Will left by Rama=
=chendrarow, that the Collector also com=
=municated to the Board of Revenue the
death of the said Ramachendrarow as a bar
to the sale of his Zemindary now involved
in arrears, till one be constituted heir or
thereto, that as the Proceedings of the Court
of Sudr Udalut dated the 24th of Decem=
=ber 1829 also prohibit execution of and
passing any Decree against a property
to which joint claims are laid before
they may be disposed of, the prayer of
the said Chintapulla Venkatarow may
be considered malicious, and that the
widow's admitting the debt due to her
father Venkatarow and expressing her
consent to satisfy him after the Talooka
might be put into her possession was with
a view to defraud the Petitioner, that
should there be any debt really found due
to that Venkatarow, the Petitioner shall
by virtue of the Will, be held answerable
to settle it, after the Government

shall

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

shall decide on the matter of the same,
and not the widow of Ramachendrarow, who
the Petitioner states is only entitled to re=
=ceive an allowance from him.

The Petitioner produces herewith
his argee to the address of the Collector
dated the 15th of February last whose En=
=dorsement it bears refusing compliance
with his request therein to grant him
an authenticated copy of the said Will left
by Ramachendrarow such promising
consideration when it may be required
by Court for perusal. The Petitioner
lastly prays the Court to send for the
Will from the Collector and peruse and
consider the same in connection with
the texts of the Hindoo Law quoted
above, and the reasons assigned
and to pass orders directing the
suspension of the execution of the
Decree in the said suit No. 6 &
against the Estate of the said Ra=
=machendrarow till such time as
the heirship to the said Zemindary
Talooka might be determined
by the Government.

Read

17 Read also an Extra Petition
No. 221 of 1841 presented by the
Pleader P. Poocheeasatry on behalf
of Extra Petition No. 209 of 1841 praying
that as the order of the Court of Sudr
Udalut dated 23rd October 1837 is
applicable to the present case it
may be taken into consideration
when his Extra Petition No. 209 is
taken up for disposal.

18 The Will in question suppos-
ing it to be genuine can have no
force whatever as being in this case
repugnant to the Hindoo Law as the
Widow of the late Ramachindra-
row who died childless, and had
devided the patrimonial Estate
with his brothers is the lawful
successor to the property of her
deceased husband to the exclu-
sion of all other members.

19 The Court are therefore
bound to recognize her as his
leg-

legal heir and representative, but
cannot give compliance with
her request to postpone the execution of the decree No. 68 of
1828 of this Courts file but she
must make the endeavour herself
to compromise payment with the
Plaintiff in the way she proposes.

20. As the claim put in by the
Petitioner Venkatapuntyrow can nei-
ther affect the Widow's rights nor
bar the execution of the Decree the
prayer of his Petition is rejected.

21. Ordered therefore that the
1st and 2nd Instalments of the Postpone
Petitions No. 418 and 419 of 1837 con-
nected with the Decree in the a-
bove number be executed against
Rajah Venkataramaniah widow
of the late original Defendant a-
greeably to the conditions of the
mortgage he holds with Interest
and other Costs incurred.

22 Ordered that Extract from
these Proceedings with copies of the

postpone

postpone Petitions above noticed, as well as a Statement shewing the amount of Interest and Costs to be recovered be forwarded to the Assistant Judge of Masulipatam with directions to collect the same.- Precept returnable within six weeks from and after its receipt.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) Edw. B. Glass
3d Judge for Regr

Question put to the Pundits of the Court of Sudr Udalut.-

S. Venkataramanier the widow of the late Rajah Sooranany Ramachendrarow Zemindar of Valdoandoun and Chinna Pondreca in the Zillah of Masulipatam has presented a Petition to Government claiming to be put in possession of the property both real and personal of her deceased husband.

The deceased Zemindar left a Will in favor of his Elder brother Sooranany Venkatapatyrow bequeathing to him all his real property and providing amply for the maintenance of his widow.-

You are hereby required to state whether under the Hindoo Law

Law the widow or the Elder brother of the deceased Zemindar is entitled to succeed to his property.-

It is not stated whether the deceased Zemindar and his Elder brother &c were devided or undivided you are therefore required to state what would be the provisions of the Hindoo law if they were divided and what if they were undivided brothers.-

Answer

It appears from the above question that the late Rajah Sooranany Ramachendrarow has amply provided for the maintenance of his widow, and bequeathed by Will all his real property to his elder brother Rajah Sooranany Venkatapatyrow.- The question does not shew that he had any principal heirs and successors such as son, Grandson &ca.- The Will therefore is in accordance, but not at variance with the

principles

principles laid down in Vignanaswarey-
-um Saraswatee aelaxum and other ex-
Codes of Hindoo Law. -

For this reason all the
real property mentioned in the Will
of the late Zemindar should go to his
elder brother according to the Hindoo
Law, but the widow can have no right
to it. -

The provisions of the Hindoo
Law in regard to the subject referred
to stand the same, but do not vary
whether the brother's Zemindari is
were decided or undivided. -

3rd January 1842. -

(Signed) S. Anuntacharloo
Senior Pundit of the Court
of the Sudr Udalut
" Bheemasanacharrier
Junior Pundit of the Court
of Sudr Udalut

(True Translation)
(Signed) Soar Ramasamy
Deputy Trainslator

Para 1 As the foregoing letter confirms
the view which was previously taken
by Government in the Extract from
the Minutes of Consultation dated
10th December 1841 relative to the

right of succession to the Estates of
Valavandum and Chinna Pondraca in
the Zillah of Masulipatam the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council
no longer sees reason to withhold his
sanction to the arrangements recom-
-mended by the Board of Revenue
in respect of them. -

The Board will inform
2 C. Vencadaramaniah, the widow of
the late Zemindar that she is at
liberty to sue for the recovery of the
Estates should she think proper
to do so. -

3 Ordered that the letter
from the Register of the Sudr Uda-
-lut recorded above and its Enclo-
-sures together with the foregoing
resolution and the previous Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 10th December 1841 No. 1514 be
communicated for the informa-
-tion and guidance of the Board
of Revenue. -

To the President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(Signed) H.J. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Extract

No. 2

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th December 1841.

Read the following papers.

Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 27 September 1841 No 396

Petition from Rajah Sooranany Venkatapatty Row dated 27th September 1841 No 15

Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 14th October 1841 No 437.

Para 1 The correspondance recorded above originates in a Petition from C. Venkataramaniah the widow of the late Rajah Sooranany Ramachendra Row Zemindar of Valavandum and Chinna Pondraca in the Zillah of Masulipatam claiming to be put in possession of the property both real and personal of her deceased husband.

2 The Board of Revenue in reporting upon this Petition observe, that Sooranany Venkatapatty Row the elder brother had fully established a primâ facie right to

be recognized as the successor the deceased Zemindar having left a Will bequathing to him all his real property and providing amply for the maintenance of his widow and that the Will having been fully proved, and containing nothing contrary to Hindoo Law, the Estates have been registered in the name of Sooranany Venkatapatty Row and a portion viz. Valavandum made over to him under the arrangements reported in their Secretary's letter of the 14th October, the other portion Chinna Pondraca continuing under the Management of the Collector of Masulipatam from the inability of the Zemindar to comply with the terms under which it was proposed to be restored.

3 The foregoing arrangements appear to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to be unobjectionable but as it would

would seem from the Petition of P. Vencatapatty Row that the father of P. Vencataramaniah has addressed the Northern Provincial Court in a manner which will indirectly affect the right of succession to the above property, he considers it expedient before passing final orders on the subject to request the Judges of the Sudr Adalut to state what proceedings have been instituted and what orders have been passed in respect that property. — They will also ascertain by a reference to their Pundits the provisions of the Hindoo Laws in such a case. —

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon. — Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of its enclosure No. 2 being an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 10th December 1841 together with a transcript of the Board's letters recorded in the latter bearing date respectively the 27th September and 14th October 1841 be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance with reference to the Papers noted in the margin. —

(2-3)

Proceedings 15th July 1841 from Masulipatam 27th Sept. in Cons. 14th Dec. 1841. —

Ordered

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 70 of 1841. —

The Board of Revenue are instructed by Government to inform the Petitioner that she is at liberty to sue for the recovery of the Estates of her late husband should she think proper to do so. —

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

(4)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th March 1842. —

Read the following Letter from C. V. Boriah and Narrain-apah surviving sons of the late C. V. Lutchmiah. —

Expressing regret at the decision of Government for the Registry of the Village of Kinnaneore in the name of C. V. Boriah alone as it will subject the family of the original Grantee to great hardship and praying that their case may be reconsidered. —

(Here enter 9th March 1842 No. 308)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the Board of Revenue will intimate to

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

to the Petitioners that the subject of the foregoing Petition has been fully considered already in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th January 1842 No. 43.-

(A true Extract.)

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(Signed) H.T. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon.- Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for communication to V. Boriah and Narrainpah with reference to their address to Government dated 9th March 1842.-

4-5

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.-

6

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 22nd March 1842

The following Paper is ordered to be recorded.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department.-

(Here enter 15th March 1842 No. 358)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that [illegible]

G.B.

27 Sepn.
16th Dec. 1841.-

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.-

the intention of the Government was to confer upon Chunda Hussain in reward for his services during the Coorg Campaign an annual income of 300 Rupees for two lives there appears to be no objection to his receiving that amount from the Collectors Treasury and the lands being permanently resumed by the Collector.-

(Signed) S. M. Steel Lieut. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue in reference to their Acting Secretary's letter of the 24th February 1842 No. 75 and for communication to Chunda Hussain Darogah.-

The Petition No. 121 of 1842 is ordered to be recorded.-

(Signed) H.T. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

6-7

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing communication from Government be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot for his information and guidance in the

with reference to his letter of the 28th January last.—

Read the following letter.—

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

From the Chief Secy to Government } Gentlemen

8

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Petition No 227 of 1842 and to request that as there seems strong ground to suppose that the Petitioner is the Meerasidar and that the deceased Ramasawmy Odian had no other right than that vested in him by Petitioner during the Minority of his son you will cause further investigation to be made into the circumstances of his case and submit the result to Government

Fort St. George 29th March 1842 } Signed H. Chamier Chief Secretary.

Order thereon.—

8-9

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter with the original Petition

which accompanies it be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot, in order that further investigation may be made into the claims of the Petitioner as directed by Government.—

Read the following Extract.—

From the Chief Secy to Govt } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 29th March 1842.—

10

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Rec'd 3rd March 1842 No 891

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the Board of Revenue have given no opinion in the foregoing Proceedings on the subject of Mr Blackburne's communication and their attention will be called this omission.—

The proceedings in question and
2. the papers accompanying will be referred to the Military Department with the view to ascertain what has been done in pursuance of the order contained in para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated

dated 12th April 1841 No 491 and particularly in respect to the allotment of carriage for Corps Marching from one Station to another

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed H.S. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

In the Chief Sec.y to Government } Sir. Para 1

10-11

In Cons. 11th April 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th March 1842 wherein the Board are required to state their opinion upon the subject alluded to in a communication from the Principal Collector of Madura dated the 15th February last.—

In Cons. 3 March 1842

2 It did not occur to the Board that any expression of opinion was called for in the case alluded to by Mr. Blackburne, his object in submitting the letter in question being avowedly

to prefer a complaint against any one but to shew the inconveniences which had resulted from want of a strict adherence to the mode of proceeding recommended in the Minutes of Consultation dated the 12th April 1841 as that which should be adopted on the occasion of Troops marching thro' a District. The inconveniences experienced on the present occasion appear to have been mainly occasioned by the shortness of the notice for the preparation of bandies given to the Principal Collector by the Officers Commanding the 5th Regiment and the detachment for whose use the carriage was required.—

In Cons. 26 April 1842

3 The suggestions submitted in Para 5 of Mr. Blackburne's letter appear to the Board to be unobjectionable, they would remark however in regard to the 3d which contemplates a supply of fresh carriage at the first large Town on entering a new Collectorate that the Commissary General

15th February & Cons. 3d March 1842

General in a letter dated 10th March 1841
copy of which accompanied the Minutes of
In Cons. 29th April 1841. -
Consultation of the 12th April 1841 above refers
to remarks that such a plan would be attended
with much perplexity and inconvenience
from causes which he points out and from
the correspondence which accompanies Mr. Blackburn's letter under consideration it would
appear from the cause to be difficult to be
carried into practical operation.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th April 1842.

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Asst. Secretary.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated
3th April 1842.

(From Board 10th March)

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

(12)

The Right Honorable the Governor
in Council concurs entirely in the view expressed
in the concluding para of the foregoing letter and
desires that the Board of Revenue will be instructed
the Collector of Madras to withdraw at the end

the current Fasly the indul-
-gence now enjoyed by the three
Enam Toddy Shop keepers.

Ordered that the
above resolution be communicated
to the Military Department in
reference to Extract Minutes of
Consultation in that Department
dated 12th January 1842 No. 50
and to the Board of Revenue
for information and guidance.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Order thereon.

(12-13)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter and of the resolution of Government passed thereon under date the 5th April 1842 be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

Proceedings of the Board 24th January 1842. From the Coll. 1st Jn. Cons. 17th February 1842 To D. 24th D. From D. 26th Feb. in Cons. 10th March 1842.

Read

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Asst. Coll. of Vizagapatam.

Para 1

Sir

Reporting the restoration of the Head Sheristadar to his situation

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 7th Instant and to report that in obedience to the instructions contained therein, I have reinstated the Head Sheristadar in his situation.

(14)

2. The Board will no doubt consider that my communication of the 7th Instant is also deficient of full proof of the Sheristadar's being personally concerned in the abuses which exist in the District but as his restoration to Office of course restores his influence, I think it due to myself to state that I fear I cannot proceed in my enquiries with any certainty of a satisfactory issue nor can I carry on the very

very arduous and responsible duties of the District, with the expectation of receiving that assistance and cooperation from him without which my exertions must be greatly impeded. To place entire confidence in him would be on my part a wanton disregard of the interest both of the Government and the people.

3. The full powers of Office having been delegated to me, together with an overwhelming responsibility I did not hesitate to have recourse to a step which I believe was in similar circumstances adopted by the Collector of Rajahmundry and in two instances by the Collector of Nellore and which is commonly resorted to in respect to Tahsildars. If such a measure be not appointed until full proof is established there will an unsurmountable bar to witness coming forward in support of accusations against an influential party and hence an hindrance and discouragement to the exertions of Public Officers who

bringing such instances to light.

4. I have to regret that my reasons were not considered sufficient by the Board but I thought it was not required in the first instance that I should do more than report my proceedings with the grounds upon which I was acting, leaving the full proof and the Amount of guilt for subsequent investigation. I presume that I was warranted in attaching something more than parcel suspicion to the shroistadar on the allegation of such grave abuses and embezzlements as appear in the Petition of Eskapilly Venkiah especially when proof of his statements appeared to the extent of my investigation and the delinquencies discovered were of such a nature that it was impossible for me to believe that the Head Native Officer could have been ignorant of them. Moreover I found myself justified in such a course by No 296 of the

the Circular orders of the Sudr Board of Revenue in Bengal, where the principle is laid down that the Shristadar in cases of notorious abuses should be held to be guilty of connivance, if not of participation.

5. Many complaints have been made to me by the Ryots against Ameens for withholding Succavie and delaying the orders for carrying the Crops for the purpose of making illegal Collections and by the lower Class of servants asserting that their pay has been withheld. I have ascertained also that money collected for Maramut has not been properly accounted for and some accounts and correspondence have come into my possession which though ambiguously expressed, leave no doubt in my mind that such money has been collected and paid to the Shristadar and others by the Talook servants under very suspicious circumstances. It is right that I should state in explanation that these documents were taken from the shroff of the Talook of Cavery by a public servant deputed by me to ex-

the Duftur of the Ameen for some Maramut Accounts regarding which there was a glaring irregularity and deficiency and this shroff admits the genuineness of the aforesaid documents.

6. I will only observe that if such persons as this were admitted as approvers who evidently are privy to the secret transactions of the public servants full and legal proof of all charges might be obtained and some estimate might then be informed of the extent to which these delinquencies have been carried on.

7. I am not ignorant of the fact that Venkiah the original informant is a man of bad character. It is however by the aid of such persons though actuated by base motives that the discovery of public delinquencies is generally effected and in further proof of his allegations being worthy of attention I would mention the fact that public documents have been abstracted, the absence of which may materially affect the issue of a suit which the Sobitty Zemindar

Zemindar has stated his intention of instituting in the auxiliary Court against Narrain Row and the Head Sheristadar of this Office Now, I would ask it to be observed that an enquiry into this subject implicating as it happens to do both the Moonshees and the Sheristadar's Depart= =ment, causes me the most serious hind= =rance and opposition in my ordinary duties from those who are brought into suspicion

8 In conclusion I beg respectfully to bring to the notice of the Board that my circumstances here, call for their consideration and support. I was called on to take charge of the District about a week after returning from the leave which had been granted to me under date the 19th October 1841 and 3 days afterwards I had to proceed to Vizianagaram to transact the Criminal Civil Revenue and Miscellaneous duties of the Office. No less than 11,000 Ryots were assembled at that place with whom I had

supposed to make an individual settlement. Mr Arbuthnot had already determined on dis= =continuing the system of making the settlement by estimation of produce as here= =tofore and consequently had not deputed persons from his Office for that purpose. Anchanah accounts were however taken and submitted by the Moonees and Samastans which together with the Kullumwarree Chitta furnished at the Rayzee by the Curnum formed the basis of the settlement. Such a system of itself does not of course provide any check against collusion on the part of the Talook servants but Mr Arbuthnot had ordered periodical accounts to be supplied from the commencement of the season shewing the state and extent of the Cultivation, and the description of the Crops, and other important details. These accounts have been transmitted most irregularly, and in numerous cases altogether neglected, nor do they appear to have been referred to when making the settlement. In consequence of this my settlement for

want

want of time to carry on a laborious
revision has unavoidably been little more
than speculation or perhaps to speak
more correctly regulated by the Talook
Officers.-

9 To shew the evil resulting
from this neglect I would instance the
settlement of four Villages in the Conada
Talook. After giving the
Cowles to the Ryots I
was informed by one
of my Peyzer Coomastahs
that certain grain and
Tobacco had been altogether
excluded from the assets
on which the settlement
had been made and on
my sending persons to
ascertain the fact I found
that not only was this
verified as exhibited in the
Margin but also that the general produce
had been underrated.-

No. Villages	Seed	Tuccavy	Charges	Total	Reduced Settlement for Settlement now given	Demand
1 Boyalpauum	1825	377	1811	6013	4202	1811
2 Nalandah	1032	95	382	2509	1477	1032
3 Kundapilly	1352	129	494	2975	1623	1352
4 Pugapathur	511	50	183	744	233	511
Total	4720	651	2870	12241	7535	4706

10 Had proper attention been paid
to the regular transmission of these accounts
it could not have escaped observation that
tobacco grain &c. had been cultivated and
also that the produce according to the
accounts delivered in by the Talook servants
bore no proportion to the extent of land
cultivated. I had frequent occasions to
go personally into the affairs of some of
the Ryots in consequence of the vague and
unsatisfactory explanations given me by the
Head Shrestadar as the cause of the failure
in the Crops of the individuals when the
general produce of the Village appeared to
flourishing and I could not avoid the con-
viction that abuses too extensive to enumerate
were existing injurious to the Revenue and
oppressive to the people. Such an instance
as the above will suffice to substantiate
what I asserted in my former communication
respecting the incapacity of the Head Shresta-
dar, but I am constrained to place a less favorable
construction
on it.

construction upon it.

11 These and other difficulties have protracted my settlement to an unusually late period of the year, and consequently have deferred the Collection of the Revenue. I am also laboring under the disadvantage of leaving the Collections of the Revenue of several Talooks in the hands of persons deeply implicated in the abuses adverted to.

12 Under such circumstances it is extremely difficult for me to carry on the investigation which the Board require especially after having been called upon to restore to a confidential situation the very party through whose conduct I consider these abuses have originated.

Vizagapatam Collector's Office, 21st March 1842

(signed) T. Conway
Acting Collector

14-15

Order thereon.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Ordered to be recorded.

16

From the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam

Sir.

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 11th Instant I have the honor to state that the sum of Rupees 2789-7-4 has already been collected from the Zemindar of Bobbily on account of the Arms &c. supplied to him.

The clerical error in the statement originally forwarded was observed in this Office, and a Correct statement was subsequently received through the Accountant General from the Secretary to the Military Board.

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 23d March 1842

(signed) T. Conway
Acting Collector

Resolution thereon.

16-17

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the order of Government dated 1st March 1842 No. 206.

Read

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

Read the following letter -

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Asst Agent to the Govt at Vizagapatam

18

Adverting to my letter of the 15th Instant I have the honor to enclose copy and translation of an Arzee addressed to me by the Naiman of Shee Coormum by which the Board cannot fail to observe that the interference in the affairs of the Pagodas of this District by the Officers of Government has been but partially withdrawn and I respectfully request that Copy of this communication and its enclosure may be transmitted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in further support of my former reference.

Vizagapatam
Govt Agents Office
25th March 1842

I have &c.
(Signed) J. Conway
Asst Agent to the Govrnr

Maharajah's by J. Conway Esqr
Asst Agent to the Govrnr
&c &c &c
Arzee from Ishwerachar

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

Ramiah Naiman of Shee Coormum Tanah-

I beg leave to state that on my advertizing for a long time throughout all the Villages under my jurisdiction as well as in the neighbouring Villages by communicating with the Tahsildar of Wadadah and the Head of Police of Chicacole for the purpose of obtaining proposals for the rent of the Pagoda's gate and for that of the Pols, Firewood &c. Sundry farms of that Shee Coormum Village for the Sawmys feast, Dolayatrah, two proposals only have been received from Canum Vencanah of this Place Viz.t, one for the gate rent for Rupees 220 and the other for the Pols, firewood &c. for 85 Rupees, I then sent for some other persons with a view to put them up at auction and thereby raise the Amount, but they said that as they are well aware of the losses sustained by the renters in the last and the preceding years and of the trouble they have undergone in

in making good the amount of the rent they could not offer proposals after my having stated that the season is now favorable and they would sustain no loss as in the preceding years, Chumpandah Seetah an inhabitant of this place offered 10 Rupees more on the Pots firewood &c. farm being a proposal for 95 Rupees. Although I have used my best endeavours yet no bid higher for the gate rent than Kunhiah. As the feast is approaching I beg leave to forward the aforesaid two proposals together with a statement of the average amount of the 3 past years, and hope you will receive them 3 in number. Considering existing circumstances I do not think that any higher proposal will be made; still I am using my best endeavours on the subject.

The 11th instant Noon of the Month Phalgoonum on which the Bhuggavendan

and Powder feast falls is at hand and in case of any proposals for higher sums are brought in, there will be hardly any time wien to make a reference to, or obtain an answer thereto from you. I therefore pray for your orders to rent out the said farms to a higher bidder should there be one, or on failure to put them in possession of the abovementioned persons. The gate rent farm having commenced on the 1st instant Noon of the Month Phalgoonum it has been kept under aumany for these 3 days and 95 Pots have been collected. The three farms are kept under Aumany with reference to the amount collected by the Renters in the last and the preceding years year whether the amount now offered could be realized or

or not. I represent all these circumstances to your honor and will act in the manner you may direct. I beg leave to repeat that as there is no time to be delayed, your honor will favour me with orders as soon as possible

- 18th March 1842.

Makam, Secy. to Commrs.

/Signed/ Cottapilly Ramiah Ramiah

Order thereon.

(18-19)

The matter alluded to in the foregoing letter will be disposed of by the present Acting Collector of Vizagapatam with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 4th April 1842.

H. G. B.

Read the following letter.-

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(20)

From the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam ----- Reporting the result of the investigation into the subject of an excess of salt stored at Coopilly and forwarding translations of Depositions connected therewith -

} Sir,

Para 1 In conformity with the instructions contained in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 7th Instant, I have the honor to report the result of my investigations into the subject of an excess of salt stored at Coopilly as stated in my letter of the 28th February last, and also to lay before the Board a summary of some further investigations which I have been able to make into similar abuses at the other salt-

pans -

2- Having obtained sufficient evidence to satisfy my own mind of the fraudulent intentions of the public servants concerned, I have committed the salt Tanadar and Peishcar of the salt colar at Coopilly to take their trial before the Assistant Judge, together with the Hoojoor Goomastha Streenavasarow alias Appiah who had been deputed from the Collectors Office to superintend the storing of the salt in question. The parties have been charged with making false and fraudulent entries in the

the public accounts, they having jointly submitted to the Collector certain reports and accounts shewing that the heaps in question contained twenty garce whereas they have been found to contain a great excess.

3. The defence / or rather extenuation / advanced consists in the mode of measurement which has hitherto been in force.—

4. For this mode of procedure I have motives which I feel sure will be satisfactory to the Board. My heavy press of duty renders it impossible for me to go so thoroughly into the matter as I could wish, I have also felt it desirable in my present circumstances that these cases should where it is practicable be submitted to the judgment of another Officer.—

5. In reference to the defence which is advanced by the parties whom I have committed I beg to request the attention of the Board to the statement which I formerly made of the mode which obtains in the measurement of Salt in this District I beg leave to observe that a small excess has been accounted for, / which is attributed to the mode of measurement in storing, differing from that of selling / but by

will clearly appear that under a semblance of no profit accruing to the Government, the greatest abuses are practised.—

6. The storing of the salt was completed in the Month of September last; The first heap was sold in October, at which time there could have been very little wastage from that date other heaps have been sold and in the Margin[x] is a statement of the excess which has been brought to account in each. My measurement was made in February, after six Months had elapsed, and the eleventh heap was found to contain thirty three garce instead of twenty. Now the Sales at this pan and Cenodah for they should be taken together from the commencement of the fasly up to the present period / contrasted with those during the same period in the last fasly, shew a decrease to the extent of Rupees 21039.— and since the Petitions of Cokapilly Venkiah allege that frauds have been going on for

[x] N. Garce. Excess
1 20 253.5.
2 20 248.4.
3 20 242.5.
4 20 243.1.
5 20 238.6.
6 20 242.3.
7 20 236.5.
8 20 239.4.
9 20 246.7.
10 20 253.2.

for some time past, I have not the
least doubt in my mind that the
Government has suffered considerable loss
upon all the previous heaps, and this
clandestine sale will account for the
deficiency in the sales of this year.—

7. I now pass to the subject of
my investigations relative to bonafide
where, as I reported, Mr. Wilson discovered
three garce too much in one heap, and
six in another, and on opening the last
heap he observed a hole from whence at
least half a garce had been abstracted.
I have since caused another heap to be
examined which was stored by the brother
of the Sheristhadar in Fasly 1249, and
this contained Eight garce in excess.—
I gave this Individual the option of
witnessing the measurement, but after
three garce had been finished he refus-
ed to remain unless it were done
by heaping up the Marcal. as when
it was stored two years ago.!—

8. I have before alluded to
certain loans jointly contracted by

public servants which evidently were
payable out of their profits - I have
entered on an enquiry regarding one, and
I now submit the result to the Board
in order that I may be instructed
how to proceed in regard to others which
are known to exist under the same
suspicious circumstances - I send a trans-
lation of a deposition which I have
taken together with one of the Bond itself;
the material points of the former have
been corroborated by the son of the depos-
ent and one of the attesting witnesses
to the Bond - These documents must be
taken merely as a preliminary enquiry;
since I have not put the Sheristhadar
upon his defence.—

Adverting to the state-
9. ment in the concluding part of the de-
position of Mylamoony Sooriah in which
the Head Shroff of the Treasury is mentioned,
I beg to say that this is confirmed by the
man who succeeded to the situation, who
states

states that the Sheriff applied to him for the wages of the dismissed Tanadar, and the others who had jointly borrowed from him the money alluded to this also is admitted by the Sheriff himself, and also that the new man declined to pay the salary to any, but the parties themselves, in consequence of which the debt had not been discharged. I should observe that the remittances which it is usual to make every fifteen days were received into the Treasury on the 10th December, the day on which the Bond was executed. The span is only 2 Miles from Vizagapatam and the man Soobarow is the Brother of the Collectors Cash Keeper.

10. In conclusion I may be permitted once more to observe that abuses such as appear upon the face of all these documents could not exist without the knowledge and consequently the connivance of the Head Sheristhadar. To suppose otherwise would be to pronounce him utterly incapable of serving the Government

in such a responsible situation. I have felt myself surrounded by difficulties in carrying on an investigation of this description both from the excessive pressure of public business which occupies the whole of my time, and is too great to be discharged with satisfaction to myself, and also from the influential situation of the Head Sheristadar whereby many are intimidated who might otherwise give a willing testimony to abuses under which themselves many sufferers.

Vizagapatam Collector's Cutchery, 26th March 1842.

/ Signed / Sir W. Conway
Acting Collector.

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Mahemaloory Looriah son of Mahemaloory a peak of Bramin Caste and smarta religion, Sheristadar of the Sudr Ameen attached to the auxiliary Court aged 48 years and at present inhabitant of Vizagapatam.

2. Were bonds ever executed to you by the servants of Government guilty of

A. I recollect that in the Month of December 1840. Jotecala Soobarow Modeliar Sanahdar, Butchoo Ummiah Peishcar, and Sumbarauze Sarkiah Muddutgar of the said gully executed a bond to my son Goormoothy on Stampt paper for one thousand Rupees.-

2. When the said loan was obtained, what did Soobarow Modeliar &c. the said three persons say they wanted it for.

A. They said it was to make up a deficiency in the Collections of the Currahsah Sanah.-

2. What did they mention to be the cause of the deficiency in the collections of the Currahsah Sanah.-

A. Soobarow Modeliar &c. the said three persons told me that Vamooguntty Vencatrow punttoo the Sheristadar of this Collector's Cutcherry saying that he had need of it, sent for 2,000 Rupees from them out of the Collections of the Conahsah Sanah; that they had occasion to make up that deficiency that as he was then on circuit, they required the loan; and that unless they made up the deficeaney at that time

they would be brought into scrape I answered them that I had no concerns in the dealings that is in paying and recovering money and that they should ask my son Goormoothy who would pay or not according to his meands. Accordingly on the 17th and 18th December, they having earnestly begged my son to lend them at least a thousand Rupees saying that they would otherwise be brought into scrape and promising to repay the amount within the interest, my son agreed to make a loan of [illegible] Rupees, but said that he would do so only in case of a voucher being brought and produced to him from the said Sheristadar in proof of his having had two thousand Rupees on account of their collections Soobarow Modeliar replied that as the Sheristadar was on Circuit, he was not able to bring such a proof, but that he would take him, my son, and people of his confidence to the Sheristadar's wife Bojjammah and make her acknowledge

acknowledge the fact. He accordingly took him with his confidential persons namely Taravady Sadasemoodoo and Syagaumy Saumarauze to Bojjammah and made her acknowledge that her husband had received the said two thousand Rupees. Understood this circumstance from my son and the said Sadasemooda Pea. They further told me that Bojjammah said to my son at the said time that as soon as her husband returned, she would cause the said Rupees to be repaid and requested that he might serve the need by making the loan Thereupon on the 19th December my son obtaining a Bond written in the hand writing of Butchoo Ummiah and signed by him and Soobarow Modeliar and Sashiah sent them one thousand Rupees.—

2. Did any of the said Soobarow Modeliar Pea, three persons tell you or your son when in what manner, and for what purpose Vencatrow Puntlu Heristadar of the Cutcherry

J. B.

Cutcherry took two thousand Rupees from the Collections of Currasah tanah

A We did not ask them nor did they tell us those particulars.

2 Was any inquiry in progress regarding the irregularities in Currasah Mokaum when Soobarow Modeliar &c. asked the loan and the other circumstances passed?

A I do not know.

2 Have you brought the said bond according to the summons I have issued? If you have, deliver it.

A I have brought it and now deliver the same.

Note—Delivers in the bond executed to Mahenaloory Goormoorthy by Tolecala Soobaraya Moodeliar and Butchoo Ummiah for 1,000 Rupees and written in the hand writing of Butchoo Ummiah on a stampt paper.

2 Has any part of the said bonded debt been discharged?

A No part of it has hitherto been discharged.

2 Since the condition of the said bond

bond was to discharge the amount within
15 days, what is the cause of its remain-
-ing undischarged so long?

A Twenty or twenty two days after
the execution of the said bond, the
Collector having dismissed Soobarow
Modeliar, called him up to Vizianag-
-rum and thereabouts for enquiry, wherefore
I, my son, and, on our behalf, Bendapoody
Ramiah, and some others (names unknown)
used to demand the money from Butchoo
Ummiah and Sumbaranze Sashiah, who
were here, but they used to tell us that
Soobarow Moodeliar was not here; that the debt
in question was one for which there was a
security; and that unless Soobarow Modeliar
returned, it would not be possible to get
the money back and pay it; and they
used to request us to take patience until
then - on this ground 4 or 5 Months elapsed.
In the meantime Soobarow Modeliar having
come here with the Catcheny, my son
asked him, he said that he would ask the
Sheristadar to pay the amount and so put us off?

or 3 Months Afterwards my son having
insisted on Soobarow Modeliar to repay
his money, whether he would do so of
himself, or bring it from the Sheristadar,
he said that if he (my son) sent
his people with him he would take
them to the Sheristadar, and telling
him that they were troubling him would
insist upon him to pay the money. On
this, saying that he himself would
come, my son, accompanied by the
persons of his confidence, went to
the Sheristadars' house at night time
with Soobarow Modeliar who in the pre-
-sence of my son &c., mentioned to
the Sheristadar the same circum-
-stances as were abovementioned; on
which the latter said, that Soobarow
Modeliar would get the Situation
back within a few days; that as soon
as that was effected, he would cause
the debt to be discharged through
him, and the other two persons, or should
it not happen to be the case, that he

would

would discharge it. I understood these circum=
=stances from Soobarow Modeliar and my
Son. & Co. as Soobarow Modeliar could not how=
=ever get a situation for any length of
time, I sent word to the Sheristadar=
Vencatrow punttoo through Charumla Ammia=
=ramiah that I would bring a Civil action
against him, and the persons who execut=
=ed the bond and the Sheristadar sent
a message to me through Vuckalumka
Ramiah requesting me not to include
them in the action, but to bring it
against only Soobarow Modeliar & Co.
three persons: in which case there would
be no doubt about the recovery of the
due, and saying that if he should be
included in the action it would be injurious
to him: on this I replied to Vuckalumka
Ramiah that since the Sheristadar and
his wife guaranteed the repayment of the
said money I had no occasion to leave
him out, and bring the suit against only
the other 3 persons, and that I would put
it against all. After this it is not

what they spoke together, but I received no
further message, some days after I pre=
=pared a draft of complaint against
the Sheristadar & Co. 4 persons and sent
it to him to Gajavelly Tummiah, a
Mootsuddy attached to the Principal
Assistants Office, who returned it to
me, and told me that the Sheristadar
and his brother saw it, and remained
quiet, and that he told them that there
would much danger be to them unless
they paid the money: upon this I purchased
a stamp, of 50. Rs. Value on the 11th Decem=
=ber 1811, and was intending to copy the
draft fair and deliver the complaint,
when Butchoo Ummiah and Sumbaranja
Sashiah, having mentioned to me, that
it was understood to them, that Sooba=
=row Modeliar would get back the gully
situation, that if I who had already
taken patience a long time would have
it a few days more, they would, on
Soobarow Modeliar returning here,
discharge the principal and interest of

my

my debt either by means of their own property or by recovering the amount due to them. Restrained from copying the Complaint for, in the meantime, that is in the month of January 1842, as soon as Soobarow Modeliar was restored to the Situation of Curnakah gully he came to me saying that he had newly got it, that it was understood that I had purchased stamps to make a complaint against the Sheristadar and them all, and that if I did so at that time, it might be prejudicial to their Situations and requested me to have patience till February, in which case he would liquidate the principal and interest of my debt in full, Sambaranze Sashiah who was then sick, as well as Butchoo Unniah, also begged me in the same manner for this reason. I have kept the stamps aside and refrained from making the Complaint Soobarow Modeliar informed me and Bundoo Ramiah who was then with me, that

after I had received orders from the Acting Assistant Agent to attend before him with the Bond for 1000 Rupees he Soobarow Modeliar and Valoo Moodeliar understanding the same sent for one Gungabattoolah Rajappah and Annajerow punbloo a subordinate, under Valoo Modeliar, and sent them to the Sheristadar to persuade him in strong terms to discharge the principal and interest of Sooriahs debt, for unless he did so even then it would tend to injure the character of all. The said Rajappah also told me that at the request of the said Modeliars he went to the Sheristadar, but did not tell me what had passed.

2 Q. You state that your son accompanied Soobarow Modeliar to the Sheristadars' house with 2 Confidential persons. What are their names?

A. I recollect they are Sadasevoodoo and Cannaranze the same persons who attested the said bond and whom I have mentioned above, but I cannot positively tell.

Have

2 Have you any other bonds similar to that abovementioned.

A None

2 Did Soobarow Modeliar mortgage any of his jewels to you on account of the amount of the said bond.

A He mortgaged none to me, two or three days previous to his taking the loan from my son Soobarow Modeliar borrowed 150 or 170 Rupees from some member /name not known/ of Chavala family as a part of the amount required to make good the said deficiency in collections and mortgaged to them a gold girdle /Uddaunnum/ and a gold Necklace, called Godoompooshalaparo, both weighing 37 Toolums, belonging to his concubine, through one Bundoopoody Ramiah, inhabitant of Vizagapatam. I have understood these circumstances from Soobarow Modeliar Unmiah Sashiah and the said Bundapoody Ramiah, Soobarow Modeliar &c three persons further told me that besides the above two items I do

borrowed 330 or 350 Rupees from the Head Shroff of the Collectors Cutcherry upon the mortgage of their wages on the very day on which our bond was executed, and that they also brought their own money to answer a sum which was still wanting and thus made up the deficiency in their collections: some time after this, the said Shroff Goormoorthy showed me his bond and said that his debt was not discharged, and that he would institute a Compliant.

21st March 1812 } /Signed/ Mahamoolary Sooriah

Translation

Bond jointly executed by Tolecala Soobarow Modeliar Sumbarauze Sashiah and Butchoo Unmiah three in number to Mohumaloory Goormoorthy on Saturday the 11th decreasing moon of the Month Margaseram year, Sarvary Corresponding with the 19th December 1810.

The

The amount we have borrowed from you to serve our need is

Rupees 1000

One thousand, the interest on which is to be one percent per Mensem. All or any one of us shall pay the principal and interest within 15 days from this date and take this bond.

/Signed/ Tolecala Soobarow Modeliar

" /Sumbaraze Sashiah

" /Butchoo Ummiah

Witness

/Signed/ Ranady Sadasevnodoo

Witness

" /Syagaury Cannaraze &c.

" /Corroomooly Syapah &c.

With the knowledge of these written by Butchoo Ummiah in his own hand writing.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ T. Conway

Acting

Resolution thereon. It does not appear to the Board to be necessary to pass any immediate orders upon the Proceedings of the Principal assistant lately in charge of Vizagapatam, which are reported in the foregoing letter and which are apparently not yet completed. They will await the receipt of further information from the Acting Collector whose attention will no doubt be directed to the subject on assuming charge of the District.

(20-21)

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir, Fort St. George

From the Princ. Asst. Collr. of Nellore. Boards

The Extract from the Proceedings dated the 8th of April 1841 relative to the Tariff valuation of crude

crude and refined Salt petre having been
mislaid, I request you will be so good as to
furnish me with a duplicate of it.

Nellore District
Kistnapatam
Prin.l Coll.r Cuttehury
8th April 1842.

Signed J. H. Stonhouse
Prin.l Collector

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in reply.

To The Principal Collector of
Nellore

To the Prin.l Coll.r
of Nellore

(22-23)

As requested in your
letter of the 8th instant I am directed by
the Board of Revenue to transmit to you a
duplicate of the Extract from the Board's
Proceedings dated the 8th of April 1842 rela-
tive to the Tariff valuation of crude and
Refined Salt petre.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th April 1842.

Signed J. R. T. C.l
Sec.y

Read

Read the following letter

To The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collector of Bellary — (24)

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 14th Instant, on the subject of Consultation relative to the renewal of a Peishcush in this District.

2. The name of the son of the late Incumbent is the same as his Fathers "Vencata Deechetoolu" but it is specified both in the letter from the Secretary to the Government of India and in the Board's Proceedings as "Vencatrama Deechatoolu," which is his Grand Fathers name, as will be perceived by my letter of the 23d September last

3. Considering this a clerical

clerical error I have the honor to inform you that the necessary alteration will be made in issuing the certificate to the present Incumbent "Veneata Deechetoolo"

Bellary Collectors Office
21st March 1842

/ Signed / A. Miller
Collector

Order thereon.

(24-25)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be forwarded for the information of Government with reference to the communication noted in the Margin.

3d March 1842.

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 3d February and entered in Consultation 3d Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(26)

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt

To the Collector of Chingleput

Approving of the arrangements proposed by him for the future

Para 1

future management of the Pagodas at present under the surveillance of the public Servants with the exception of those at Conjeveram regarding which further information is required.

of your letter of the 8th February last affording information relative to the different Pagodas &c. in your District and their respective incomes derived from various sources accompanied by a list of Trustees proposed to be appointed for the Management of each institution.

2 The Board have carefully perused the Enclosures submitted with your letter under acknowledgement and it is satisfactory to them to be able to approve of the manner in which you propose to leave the future Management in a great Majority of cases in which the Pagodas &c. are at present under the surveillance of the public servants.

34 Hindoo
1 Mahomedan
25

3 The total number of Institutions thus situated amounts to Twenty five there seems to be no objection to the immediate relinquishment of Twenty two of these Institutions in the manner suggested on the parties recommended by you signing a Trust Deed, copy of which will be forwarded to you so soon as it has received the sanction of Government. The smallness of their respective annual incomes and the urgent necessity that will

will always exist of maintaining a suit-
able outlay, seem to afford sufficient a
guarantee against abuses being likely to occur
to any great extent without exposure & correctio

4 It will be seen that In 12 cases
the annual income does not amount
to Rupees ---------- 1,000 per annum
In 5 cases it is above 1,000 Rs. & under Rs. 2,000 per annum
In 4 cases it is above Rs. 2,000 & under Rs. 3,000 per annum
In 1 Case only above Rs. 2,000 & under Rs. 4,000 per annum
These allowances it is observed are exclusive of offerings
but in no Pagoda in the Chingleput District
it is believed do this amount to any
considerable sum.

5 The Board are not prepared
to approve of the Davastanum Sabah pro-
posed at the close of your letter. It is appre-
hended that the existence of such a controlling
body would be disagreeable to the great majority
of Trustees and that few would under such
circumstances be inclined to accept the Trust.

6. It is thought that a true
Deed setting forth the engagements and lia-
under which each Trustee shall enter

the discharge of his functions will sufficiently
secure the objects in view particularly if sup-
ported by a legislative Enactment rendering
all Trustees personally amenable to the
ordinary Courts of justice "for any breach of
Trust or official misfeasance committed in
the conduct of their duties"

	Annual income Rs a p
+ Davaraja Swamy at Conjeveram	3/8 1296 2 - 7 - 4
Veeraraga Swamy at Triviloor	3/3 332 7 - 14 - 8
Streepermatoor	3/3 240 11 - 4

7 With regard to the three
Pagodas detailed in
the Margin and in con-
nection with which various
Petitions have been received
at this Office from Individuals asserting their
claims to the sole superintendency of these Institutions
and from other parties opposed thereto, the
Board are of opinion that objections exist
to the joint agency proposed by you which it
will be difficult to overcome unless these
claims are investigated and declared inad-
missible.

8. The Board are quite aware
of the impossibility of providing against all
imaginable contingencies. All that can be done
is to provide the best Management available
leaving those who may deem themselves
aggressed

aggrieved to seek redress from time to time in the appointed Courts of Justice. The Board would therefore upon the whole prefer restricting the appointment in such cases to one or more parties possessed of established or hereditary claims as the case may be, whose individual claims shew them to be the proper personages to the association of Agents with them who have not heretofore enjoyed a like privilege.

No 271. of 1842 From Comara Tatacharyar Priest of the Pagodda of Streeperumbatoor

No 393 of Do From Certain Adeyapaka Meerassidars of Davarajaswamy Pagoda at Do in Do

No 273 of Do From Certain Adeyapakas of the Pagoda of Trivalloor in the Zillah of Chingleput

No 275 of Do From Vadantacharyar Priest of Thalalum Matom and Veeraga vaswamy Pagoda in Do

No 368 of Do From Certain Tengala Adyapaka Meerassidars of Trivalloor Davastanum in Do

No 272 of Do From Matom Thadagapa Chariyar of the Pagoda at Streeperumbatoor in the Zillah of Chingleput

No 331 of Do From Certain Archacars of Do in Do

No 332 of Do From Certain persons connected with the Davastanum and other Meerassidars of Do in Do.

No 370 of Do From Certain Stallatars of the Davastanum and other Meerassidars of Do in Do

consulted.

9. You will therefore be good enough to take the accompanying Petitions into your attentive consideration, and on receiving your report and recommendation on the claims of each party, the Board will then they hope be prepared to decide in whose hands the management of the affairs of these three important Institutions shall be

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 11th April 1842.

/ Signed / R. T. Pd

Secretary

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem

Submitting information called for on the subject of the Roman Catholic Churches and population in his District

27

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 13th January last forwarding copy of a communication from Government dated 30th November 1841 calling for information upon the subject of the Roman Catholic Churches and population within this District I have to reply to the several queries put by the Government.

	Queries	Answers
1.	The supposed No. of Roman Catholics	About 8,900
2.	The Number of their Churches.	13 Churches and 39 Chapels.
3.	At whose expence and at what time such Churches have been built	By the Roman Catholics Missionaries and the population professing that faith Some have been built about 60 years ago, and some not long ago.
4	By what Priest they are now served	By Roman Catholic Priests 3 in No.
5	Under what Ecclesiastical jurisdiction such Priests are or are supposed to be	Under the Vicar Apostolic of Pondicherry
		None

Fort St George 11th April 1842.

6- What number of such Priests are or are supposed to be Jesuits?	None of them are Jesuits-
7 How many of such Priests are of British Origin?	None
8 To what nations such as are not of British Origin belong?	French
9 whether such Priests speak the language of the people.	They speak the language
10- What portion of the Roman Catholic population adheres to the Vicar Apostolic and the Priests acting under him and what proportion to the Portugues Priests.	The whole adhere to the Vicar Apostolic of Pondicherry-
11 Whether the Priests acting under the Vicar Apostolic have claimed and obtained possession by Law or otherwise of any Church or other property previously in the possession of the Portuguese Priests?	They have possession of all the Roman Catholic Churches in the District without dispute.
12 Whether in any case the disputes as to the possession of Churches or other property between the two classes of Priests have led to a breach of the Peace	No breach of the Peace appear to have occurred

Salem Tillak Kistnaghurry
Prin'l Coll'r's Cutcherry 4th April 1842

(Signed) L. A. [illegible]
Prin'l Coll'r

Order thereon. Ordered to lie on the Public Records

27-28
Feb

Fort St George 11th April 1842.

Read the following Letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From the Acting Principal Coll'r of Tanjore

I have the honor to transmit 3 Statements exhibiting the amount actually disbursed on account of the Salary to the Potails of the several Villages throughout this District for the last six Months of Fusly 1248 and the whole of Fusslies 1249 and 50 and to solicit that you will be pleased with reference to the Orders of Government dated 19th October 1841. to obtain their special sanction for the same

29

Tanjore
Negapatam
7th April 1842

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Acting Principal Collector

Statement

Statement showing the Particulars of Salary, Amount of Salary deducted, Amount of Salary of Village Officers for the month of March 1942 in the Village Cutcherry of each Village in the Range of Chittoor

No.	Name of Village	No. of Days for which Salary is drawn	Aggregate Pay Contingent Allowance	Rate of Pay per Diem	Amount of Salary	Amount deducted on account of Stamp Duty	Amount of Salary paid	Remarks
			Rs.	As.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
3-1-0	Kalivedu	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-8-0	Kalivedu Patti	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-4-0	Kalivedu Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-3-0	Kalivedu Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-3-0	Kalivedu Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pallipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Pudupalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Ramapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Reddipalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Sankarapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Thimmapuram	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Vellamandi	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Colony	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Harijanawada	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kandrigapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kothapalli	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Kuppam	30	30	1 0	30	0	30	
2-1-0	Kalivedu Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Yerrapalli Mallapalli

| | | |

Date as per Contract	No.	Description of Materials	Amount of Materials delivered	Rate of Delivery per Day	Amount of Delivery to Contractor	Amount deducted for Delivery not effected	Amount of Delivery carried forward	Remarks

Resolution (29-30) thereon, Resolved that the foregoing letter and its enclosures be submitted for the orders of Government with reference to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 19th October 1841 No. 1309.

In Cons. 28th October 1841.

2. The Board recommend that special Sanction may be granted for the amount actually disbursed on account of the Salary to the Potails of the several Villages in Tanjore for the periods noted in the Margin amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 156826-4-0.

Amount actually paid for Six Months from 12th January to 11th July 1839 of Fusly 1248	31026-1-8
Do for the whole of Fusly 1249	63141-9-7
Do Do Fusly 1250	62658-8-9
	156826-4-0

P.G.B.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

Tanjore
Vegapatam
2d March 1842

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the Annual Statements of the disbursements on account of the Negapatam and Combaconum Lunger Khanahs for the year 1841.

(31)

Signed J. F. Bishop
Ag. Pr. Collr.

Report

4504 ||

Fort St. George.

No 1.

Report of the inmates of the Lazar House at Nagapa-

-tam and of the Expenditure during the year 1841.-

Months	Number of Persons in the Lazar House during each Month	Deduct.-					Remaining inmates.-				Expenditure.-							
		No of Persons dismissed according to orders.	Number of Persons leaving the Lazar house.-				Total	Poor but not Sick	Recovered from Sickness	Still Sick	Total	For food			Salaries of the hired Servants			Total
			Poor but not Sick	Sick	Recovered from Sickness	Number of Deaths						Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs a p
January 1841	21	4	3	14	4	"	21	3	"	14	17	21	1	7	12	"	"	33 1 7
February "	20	4	3	13	4	"	20	3	"	13	15	17	3	9	12	"	"	28 3 9
March "	21	5	3	12	5	1	21	3	"	12	15	19	9	4	12	"	"	31 9 4
April "	21	4	3	13	4	1	21	3	"	13	16	20	8	0	12	"	"	32 8 8
May "	21	5	3	11	5	2	21	3	"	11	14	20	15	1	12	"	"	32 15 1
June "	16	3	3	10	3	"	15	3	"	10	13	16	5	5	12	"	"	28 5 5
July "	14	4	3	7	4	"	14	3	"	7	10	15	3	2	12	"	"	27 3 2
August "	14	3	3	8	3	"	14	3	"	8	11	17	15	7	12	"	"	29 15 7
September "	18	1	3	14	1	"	18	3	"	14	17	21	12	6	12	"	"	33 12 6
October "	19	2	3	14	2	"	19	3	"	14	17	22	14	11	12	"	"	34 14 11
November "	26	5	4	15	5	1	26	4	"	15	19	32	"	4	12	"	"	44 " 4
December "	38	19	4	15	19	"	38	4	"	15	19	32	2	7	12	"	"	44 2 7
Total	248	59	38	146	59	5	248	38	"	146	184	247	12	10	144	"	"	391 12 10

Nagapatam January 15th 1842

Signed/ R: D: Griffith
Wesleyan Missionary

Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Asst Pt Collr

Report

5

1865

Fort St. George

No 2

Report of the inmates of the Layar House at Combaconum and of the expenditure during the Months from January to December 1841.-

Months	Number of Persons in the Layar House during each Months	Deduct.- Number of Persons discharged according to orders	Number of Persons leaving the Layar House.- Parrabut not Sick	Sick	Recovered from Sickness	Number of deaths	Total	Remaining inmates.- Parrabut not sick	Recovered from Sickness	Still sick=ness	Total	Expenditure.- For Food	Salaries of the hired Servants	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
												R. a. p.	R. a. p.	R. a. p.
January — 1841 —	24	2	"	"	"	2	4	8	"	12	20	21 11 8 3/4	10 8 "	32 3 8 3/4
February — " —	26	9	"	"	"	"	9	6	"	11	17	24 14 7 1/4	10 8 "	35 6 4 1/4
March — " —	23	3	"	"	"	1	4	6	"	13	19	24 5 9 1/2	10 8 "	34 14 9 1/2
April — " —	22	6	1	3	"	"	10	4	"	8	12	18 8 7 3/4	10 8 "	29 " 7 3/4
May — " —	18	1	"	"	"	"	1	5	"	12	17	17 5 5 1/2	10 8 "	27 13 5 1/2
June — " —	20	1	"	"	"	2	3	5	"	12	17	14 14 11	10 8 "	25 6 11
July — " —	19	1	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	14	18	16 13 6 1/2	10 8 "	26 11 6 1/2
August — " —	18	1	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	13	17	16 3 2 1/4	10 8 "	26 11 2 1/4
September — " —	21	2	"	"	"	2	4	4	"	13	17	13 15 9	10 8 "	24 7 9
October — " —	20	3	"	"	"	3	6	3	"	11	14	22 17 7 1/2	10 8 "	33 4 7 1/2
November — " —	20	2	"	"	"	1	3	4	"	13	17	15 14 5 3/4	10 8 "	27 6 5 3/4
December — " —	20	1	"	"	"	4	5	5	"	10	15	18 6 5 3/4	10 8 "	28 14 5 3/4
Total —	251	32	1	3	"	15	51	58	"	142	200	226 6 2 1/4	126 " "	352 6 2 1/2
												Amount of charges incurred for repairing Tiles &c. of the Layar House —	3	18 15 10 1/2
												Total —		371 6 1

Tanjore Nagapatam 25th March 1842

Signed J. F. Bethune
A. P. Collr

Received

4566 Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Resolution thereon (31-32)

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter with its original Enclosures be submitted for the information of Government with reference to the Resolution of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council dated 11th June 1839 No. 764.—

Read the following letters.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir

From the Collr of Tinnevelly (33)

Referring to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 14th which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 27th September 1841 I have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the disbursement of Rupees 90— on account of the Hire of two Guard boats employed for the protection of Pearl Banks off this Coast in July 1250 or from April to

4567. Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

to June 1841 at 15 Rupees each per

month and also for Rupees 15-8-8

incurred for providing provisions for

the Crew of the above Boats for the

Months of May and June, and July

during the same year in order to

enable me to reply to the Audit of

my accounts for the past Fusly.

Tinnevelly
Pardoocoody
21st March 1842

Signed H C. Montgomery
Collr

The

Resolution thereon.— The Collector of Tinne-

(33-34)

velly will be pleased to furnish an account particulars of the charges incurred in May, June and July, for providing — provisions for the Crew of the two Boats referred to in the foregoing Letter — and as the disbursements exceeds the Estimate on this account as shewn in the Margin, explanation of the causes of increase should be furnished.—

Total expense for May June and July —	159	8 8
Average per Mensem — —	53	.. ..
Estimated by — Mr. Franklin — —	40	.. ..
Excess per Mensem — —	13	.. ..

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly—

(35)

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2nd July 1839 which accompanied the Boards Proceedings of the 15th of the same month, I have the honor to forward for the purpose of being submitted to Government, a Statement shewing the manner in which the amount of Rupees 1600 sanctioned by Government on the occasion of the destruction of a herd of Elephants in the Shenkinnamarcoil Talook in 1839 has been disposed of.

I have &c.
Tinnevelly—
Todorcoody—
24th Mar. 1842

(Signed) A. C. Montgomery
Collector
Statement

Court of Exchequer, April 1842.

A

Affidavit of Service of Writ of Summons and Copy of Writ of Summons on the Defendants in Actions in which Special Bail is required.

By the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 3, it is enacted, that every Writ of Summons, and Copy thereof, to be served by virtue of the Act, shall be marked with a memorandum, expressing that special bail is required in the action, and no Writ of Summons shall be issued without such memorandum, unless an Affidavit be first filed with the Clerk of the Declarations, that a cause of action exists against the Defendant to the amount or value of £ at least.

Memorandum to be indorsed on Writ of Summons.

Special Bail required in the above action.

Amount £

Affidavit to be filed.

In the Exchequer of Pleas.

A. B. Plaintiff
C. D. Defendant

E. F. of the Parish of in the County of maketh Oath and saith, That the Plaintiff hath a just and bona fide Cause of Action against the Defendant to the amount or value of £ at least, for [state the cause of action].

Sworn at the Office of Pleas, in the County of this day of 1842, before me,

Clerk of Pleas.

Filed this day of 1842.

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter with its original enclosure be submitted to Government with reference to para 1 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2nd July 1839 No. 823.—

(35-36)

Read the following letter

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Collr. of Madras

(37)

Dated 25th Feby. 1842

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue under date the 7th instant contained in the enclosed Memo I have the honor to report that on Shaik Mahomed making the application alluded to in Col. 7 he was informed that he should produce "a certificate from a public Officer at Pulicat confirmatory of his Statements as to his Brother being sick and the duties at the mosque there having been duly performed," and should state from whom

Endorsement 7th Jany. 1841.—

"services the expences of the Mosque" had been "defrayed" so as to enable me to dispose of the case in the usual manner.—

2. A Certificate under date the 15th January 1841 from several respectable individuals signed in the presence of the Police Ameen was produced shewing that the prescribed service was duly performed by Shaik Mahomed both for himself and his brother, during the absence of the latter. when called upon again for information on this point Shaik Mahomed repeated in a declaration of date the 24th Instant his former Statements, but when submitting the original Memo on the 25 Ultimo I did not consider it to advert in it to the particulars of the Certificate referred to above in consequence of the orders of the Government of India on a somewhat similar case, communicated in Mr Ronalds letter under date the 17th January last, that it should be left to the receivers of the allowance to make such provisions for the service on account of which the grant

"grant was originally made, as they may think
"fit without any direct or indirect interference by
"the Officers of Government."

Madras — 30th March 1842 } (Signed) A. Robertson —
Collector.

Order thereon — (37-38)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement which accompanied the letter from the Collector of Madras dated 25th February and entered in Consultation 7th ultimo and submitted for the orders of Government together with the foregoing letter —

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants dated 21st ultimo and entered in Consultation 4th Instant —

Order thereon — (39)

Ordered that the foregoing letter and its enclosures be submitted to Government with the following remark entered in the proper Column of Statement A —

The Board recommend that the

sanction of Government may be given for the issue of the Certificate applied for by Major J. Campbell of the 41st Regiment Native Infantry at a Quit Rent of Rupees 25 per Annum —

Read the undermentioned Registers —

From the Principal Collector of Tanjore — (40)

Register of Petitions — bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of November last —

From the Principal Collector of Tanjore — (41)

Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of December last —

Order on the two above-mentioned Registers (40-42)

Ordered to be lodged in the Office —

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched together with the Petition therein referred to —

To (43)

The Collector of Tanjore —

The Colle. of Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying
Further Petition from Vellamah an inhabitant
of your district and request you will be
pleased to report upon the claim of the
Petitioner at the earliest period, with reference
to the Board's letter of the 24th March last

Answd No. 393 of 1842
Ford No. 2735

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th April 1842

(signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

To
The Collector of
Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying
Petition from Arnee chella Pillay Sirdar Bazar
man residing in Wala Cottah in your District
and with reference to your Endorsement dated
19th June 1841 on Petitioner's former address to
you, request you will state whether any ad-
dition has been made to the Petitioner's land
and the nature and extent of the same.

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

241 of 1841.

(44)

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th April 1842.

(signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and Statments.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Charge

(45)

Indent on the Commissary General for the Sundry Articles required for the use of the General Department of this Office for the Month of April last.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Charge

(46)

Indent on the Commissary General for the Sundry Articles required for binding one hundred Books of the Military Department of this Office.

From the Deputy Accountant General in Charge

(47)

Indent on the Commissary General for the Sundry Articles required for the use of the Military Department of this Office for the Month of April last.

(45-48)

Order on the three above Indents. Ordered to be passed & returned.

From the Collector of Chingleput

(49)

Stating that the Returns of Persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1832 and of Persons convicted and in confinement in pursuance of sentence passed under the same Regulation for March last are not sent there being no entry to make.

(50)

From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Stating that the Returns

Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under the Provisions of Regulation VI. of 1831 for the Month of March last is not sent there being no entry to make.—

From the Pt. Collr. of Madura. — Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of March last.—
51

Order therein.— Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods enumerated in the Statement be authorized.—
51-52

From the Acting Pmr. } Salt Accounts Rendered for
53 the Quarter ending 31st December last.

From the Collectors of } Stating that no revenue defaul-
Tinnevelly } ters was confined in the Jail for the Quarter
54 ending 31st March last.—

" Trichy

" Combaconum

" Madura

" Palamcottah

Diary.

11th April — Sent the following letter.—

To The Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

To the Collr. of Cuddapah — and Bellary In Cons. 14th Feby 1842.
1

With reference to your letter of the 28th January last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased
2 Cs. 7th March in Cons. 4th April 1842. to sanction the transfer of the payment of the Jeomiah enjoyed by one Malegiryachary from the District of Cuddapah to that of Bellary.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
11th April 1842 } Signed/ R. T. Porter.
Actg Sec.y.

At

Fort St. George 14th April 1841.

At a Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member

Thursday 14th April 1842 } G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Extracts.

2 From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 21st March 1842.-

Objecting to the suggestion of the Magistrate of Bellary for the employment of convicts in cleansing and keeping in repair the Streets in the several populous Towns of that District.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Adawlut, under date the 28th Febry 1842.-

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th Febry 1842, referring for the consideration and report of the Court of Foujdaree Adawlut, a copy of a letter addressed to Government by

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.-

the Magistrate of Bellary, containing suggestions for the cleansing and keeping in repair, the streets in the several populous Towns of the Bellary District.-

1.- Mr. Meller represents, that the unhealthiness of many of the large Towns within the District of Bellary, is to be traced to the filthy condition of the Streets and Drains, and as the Inhabitants themselves are too apathetic, and at the same time too poor, to effect the object themselves, he suggests, either that convicts be located in certain parts of the District for this purpose, or that Government "should allow a small "deduction to be made from the Heads "buddy tax in such Towns as Adoni, "Gooty &c." -

2. To the employment of Convicts in such works the Court of

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

of Foujdaree Udalut see many objections.-

1st. The clearing away of filth, allowed to accumulate by private negligence, cannot be called a public work; and to public works alone should convict labor be applied.-

2ndly By employing convicts in the manner proposed by Mr. Mellor the prisoners would be exposed to unhealthy situations; and such revolting labour could not be exacted from them, with due attention to the calls of humanity, and respect to the prejudices of individual Convicts.-

3rdly The employment of Convicts in detached Gangs about the District would be prejudicial to Jail Discipline, and the system moreover is opposed to that recommended to be adopted by the Prison Discipline

Committee

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.-

Committee, of which the Supreme Government have expressed their approbation.-

3.- The other suggestion of Mr. Mellor appears to the Court of Foujdaree Udalut to be the only legitimate method of accomplishing the object, viz. by means of an assessment to be fixed on the inhabitants of the Towns. If the pressure of Taxation generally interferes with their means, it is for the Government to reduce it- but this appears to be more a matter for consideration in the Revenue Department.-

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Government, for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

True Extract
(Signed) W. Douglas
Register

The

4583

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs in opinion with the Judges of the Foujdaree Adalut that the location of the Convicts at the several populous towns of the Bellary District, as suggested by the Magistrate, for the purpose of cleansing and keeping in repair the Streets, would be unadvisable.-

In reference to Para 3 of the Proceedings above recorded, His Lordship in Council observes that the subject of providing means for carrying into effect such municipal improvements as may be necessary for the security or comfort of the populous Towns under this Presidency, is under the consideration of the Board of Revenue.-

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

4584

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

(2-3)

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded

Vide letter to Govt. 14th March From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th March 1842.-

Referring to this Department for consideration & orders a question submitted by Capt. J. Campbell Asst. Surveyor General whether he could apply direct to the Tahsildars of the Salem District for aid and assistance on matters connected with the Survey.-

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 15th March 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to Government
in the Military Department
Fort St. George

Sir,

(4)

I have the honor to forward copy of a letter received from the Principal Collector of Salem, which I request may be laid before His Lordship the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

2.- The circumstances alluded to in the Native letter were briefly thus.- Captain J. Hill Deputy Commissary General, in returning from Madras being unable to procure

procure bearers upon one route to Banga-
-lore, and there not being time to
apply to the Principal Collector of
Salem, wrote to me requesting that
I would direct the Tahsildar of
Kistnagherry to send a set of Bearers
to Vaniambaddy; this the Tahsildar
did, but having been directed by the
Principal Collector to send bearers to
Vaniambaddy for Lieutenant Thorn-
-hill, Asst de Camp to His Lordship
the Right Honorable the Governor
on a day subsequent to the date when
Captain Hill passed Kistnagherry,
the Tahsildar having confounded
the names of the Officers, did not
send the Bearers for Lieutenant
Thornhill until informed of his
mistake by Captain Hill, from
whence it appears that some little
delay occurred, and the Tahsildar

reports the circumstances to exonerate
himself from blame. -

3. Upon these circumstances
I beg to remark that whether the
bearers in question were required for
Captain Hill, myself, or a sick Sub
Assistant Surveyor attached to me, the
subject would be the same, and
the same mistake in names
might have occurred. -

4. I have the honor to
request His Lordship's decision,
whether I am not by my official
situation authorized in applying to
the Tahsildars of the Salem District
for such aid and assistance in their
capacities as Police Officers, as
I have occasion to require in the
completion of the Topographical
Survey of the District. -

5. I beg to remark that as
the Officers in the Civil Engineers
Department

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

Department have constant necessity of intercourse with the Tahsildars of the Talooks, so both myself and the sub assistant Surveyors have constant necessity of information and assistance from the Tahsildars, in ascertaining and laying down the boundaries of the District, and of the Talooks, and on various other points, and that as the sub assistant Surveyors are frequently detached for several Months at a time in unfrequented parts of the District under survey, and occasionally in extensive tracts of Jungly Country where no assistance can be procured, the execution of the survey must in great measure depend upon the assistance which is afforded by the local native Revenue Officers in every case, except at the commencement

Fort St. George 14th april 1842.

of work upon a particular tract, an application to the Principal Collector and Magistrate of the District is quite impracticable, at least for such prompt assistance as could be of any service.-

Should His Lordship the Right Honorable the Governor be pleased to decide that my application to the local native officers is not contrary to the orders of Government, I have the honor to request that Mr. Pleig may be directed to give the necessary orders to the Tahsildars of the District, to afford such aid to the survey as may be called for.-

Royacottah 9th March 1842

/Signed/ J. Campbell Capt.
Asst. Surveyor Genl.

To
Captain J. Campbell
Royacottah.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose

4589 Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

enclose for your information, copy of an urjee received from the Tahsildar of Kistnagherry, and to inform you, that it is contrary to the Regulations of Government that any one should correspond with the Tahsildars except through the Collector and Magistrate and to solicit your attention in future to the observation thereof.-

Salem Zillah,
Athoor,
Pl. Coll.r's Cutchery,
12th February 1842.

/Signed/ I. D. Gleig
Pl. Coll.r and Magistrate.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ J. Campbell Capt.n
Asst.t Surveyor Genl.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be referred to the Revenue Department for consideration and orders.-

/Signed/ S. W. Steel
Secy to Govt.

4590 Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for consideration and report.

/Signed/ H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Govt. (4-5)

With reference to a letter addressed to the Secretary to Government in the Military Department under date 9th March 1842 by Captain J. Campbell Assistant Surveyor General, copy of which accompanied an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 15th Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that in their opinion it is very desirable Captain Campbell should be allowed to communicate direct with Tahsildars upon matters connected with his public duty, as Assistant Surveyor

From Govt to Bd of Revenue March in Cons. 14th April 1842

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Surveyor General, but that such communications should be strictly confined to this. The occasion which gave rise to the reference under consideration was manifestly one which had no connexion whatever with Captain Campbell's public duty, and one in which his interference might have been attended with considerable inconvenience not only to a private individual but to the Service.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
11th April, 1842.

} Signed R. T. Porter
Jr. Secretary

Read the following Extract.

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.
(1)

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to observe that since the despatch of letter of the 4th January last Masulipatam report for only one other

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

has been received on the arrangements for the withdrawal of the interference of the Officers of Government with the religious Establishments of the natives and to request that you will expedite the submission of the reports for the remaining Districts.

Fort St. George
29th March 1842

} Signed H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Order thereon
(6-7)

Ordered to be recorded
Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr.
of Vizagapatam
(8)

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit the accompanying copy of a letter received by me from the Vicar of the Romish Church at Vizagapatam, having reference to the subject contained in your letter of the 13th January last. I have only to add that no Jesuits are supposed

supposed to be residing in their
District.

Vizagapatam District
Bimlipatam Collectors
Circuit Cutcherry
3d March 1812

/Signed/ J. Conway
Acting Collector

A

To The Acting Collector
in the District of
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

I have the honor to ac-
-knowledge the receipt of your letter of the
25th Instant, and beg to inform you that
the supposed number of Roman Catholics
belonging to the Churches over which
I preside is about one thousand of all
classes, excluding those that belong
to the native Regiments, this number
I have omitted as they are not sta-
-tioners. The number of Churches under
my Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction is 6
and their situation is as follows / at
this place, / at Bimlipatam, / at
Vizianagrum / at Chicacole / at
Champore, / at Astia. / at

at Cuttack and / at Balasoor - The
Church at this place was built nearly
seventy two years ago at the expense of
the Roman Priest who then presided
over it - The premises and a dwelling-
house which was afterwards converted
into a Church was the private Property
of one Paul De Rozario who at his
death, bequeathed it for the use of
a Church to the Priest or Priests
belonging to the Convent of Saint
Augustine order of Goa - The one at
Bimlipatam was built by the late
Mr. Penalla in 1815 the one at
Vizianagrum was built by my an-
-predecessor about 30 years ago, those
at Chicacole, Berhampore, Astiah
and Cuttack were built by me about
10 years ago and the one at Ganjam
was built by the late Mr. Dutchman
a Roman Catholic in 1815 and the
one at Balasoor was built by the cha-
-ritable contributions of the Roman
Catholic community above a century
ago, and the whole of the above Churches
are now served by me and I am under
the Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of the
Bishop of Saint Thome of Mylapoor.

I am

I am of no British origin but a native of Goa, I can speak the language of the people. In the Districts which I visit no portion of the Roman Catholic population adhere to the Vicar Apostolic and the Priests acting under him. The Priests under the Vicar apostolic have never obtained possession by law or otherwise of any Churches or other property previously in possession of the Portuguese Priests and there never having been any Priests under the Vicar Apostolic in this Division no disputes have occurred, and consequently no breach of the peace.

Vizagapatam
1st March 1842 } I have &c. (Signed) P. Dan Joseph Xr. &c.
Regular clergy Vicar &c.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collr. in the Jn. Dn. of Arcot

(9.)

Referring to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 13th January last requesting me to supply the information called for by the Honorable Court of Directors regarding the Roman Catholic Churches and Population found in this District, I have the honor to submit the accompanying detailed Statement which will I trust prove satisfactory.

Vidiyapuram
Arcot
Collr's Catchery
13th April 1842

I have &c.
(Signed) C. D. Hallett
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

14th April 1842

...of Roman Catholic Establishments &c. in the District of South Arcot.

...the Name of the Villages	Churches or Chapels	When built	By whom built	By what Subscription and Assistance received from Government	Subject to what Bishop	By what Priests they are now served	Nation	Name	How many Priests speak the Tamil Language	Number of Jesuits	Number of English Priests	Number of Native Priests
Cuddalore	Chapel & Church	1822 & 1841	By Subscription	By Do and the Assistance received from Government	Right Revd Dr Fennelly	Do	British	G. Mitchell	1	"	"	"
Muyacoopum	Do	1760	By Subscription and	Do	Dom Antonio Texeira	Do	Portuguese	Victorino De Sa	1	"	"	"
Moolgrampettah	Chapel	1810	By	Do	Do	Do	Do	D'Souza Subject to Do Fennelly	1	"	"	"
Panruccoopum	Do	1837	By Subscription	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Satoopettah	Do	1812	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	Victorino De Sa	1	"	"	"
Coolanoor	Do	1739	"	Do	Dom Antonio Texeira	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Erayoor	Do	1819	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Myanoor	Do	1776	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Mullamarreanoor	Do	1742	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Seyadoorpum	Do	1802	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	1	"	"	"
Vinoor	Do	1795	"	Do	Do	Do	Do	D'Souza	1	"	"	"
	Do	1841	"	Do	Right Rev Dr Fennelly							

4598

Fort St George

14th April 1842

Number of Roman Catholics	Proportion of Roman Catholics adhering to the Vicar Apostolic and the Goa Priests		Number of Churches claimed by the Vicar Apostolic from the Goa Priests and obtained possession by law or otherwise		Number of disputes as to the possession of Churches or other property between the two parties which lead to a breach of the Peace	Remarks
	Under the Vicar Apostolic	under the Goa Priests	Legal Decision	otherwise		
815	815	"	"	2 Delivered up by the Catechist who held possession of the Keys	"	
579	271	308	Pending decision	"	"	
68	68	"	"	1 Delivered up by the Catechist who held possession of the Keys	"	
241	241	"	"	"	"	
182	182	"	"	Do "	Do "	
500	300	200	"	"	"	
675	400	275	"	"	"	
100	"	100	"	"	"	
100	"	100	"	"	"	
110	30	80	"	"	"	
600	300	300	"	"	"	

1 Decided by the Head Dist Magistrate — At this Station there were two parties one recognizing the Right Revd Dr M Connor and the other adhering to the Goa Priests upon complaint being made to the Head Dist Magistrate it was decided in favor of the Goa Priests as they were in possession and the Magistrate had no power to eject them. Manimus Lands of the Value of 54-13-3 has been granted by Government for the support of this Chapel.

1 Decided by the Joint Magistrate — In this Village there were two parties one recognizing the Vicar Apostolic and the other adhering to the Goa Priests upon complaint being made to the Joint Magistrate the Case was decided in favor of the Goa Priests as they were in possession and the Magistrate had no power to eject them.

Fort St. George — 14th April 1842.

the	Name of the Villages	Churches or Chapels	When built	By whom built	Subject to what Bishop	By what Priests they are now served	How many Priests	Number of English Priests	Nation	Name	Can they speak the Tamil Language	Jesuits
	Covaccopunn	Church	1802	By Subscription	Don Antonio Texeiro	Portuguese	Victorino Pinto					
	Coulancoopunn	Chapel	Not Known	" Do	Do Do	Do	Do Do					
	Coonancoorchy	Do	Do	" Do	Right Rev. Dr. Fennelly	Do	Do D'Souza					
	Porto Novo	Church	1692	By Goa Priests	Don Antonio Texeiro	Do	Victorino Pinto					
	Periapettah	Chapel	1822	By Subscription	Not Known	Do	Do Do					
	Ekelpoolaimpettah	Do	1742	" Goa Priests	Don Antonio Texeiro	Do	Do Do					
	Ayempettah	Do	1692	" Subscription	Do Do	Do	Do Do					
	Devanoor	Do	1839	" Do	Right Rev. Dr. Fennelly	Do	D'Souza					
	Moogayoor	Do	1692	" Do	Bishop of Pondicherry	French	Not Known					
	Attepaukum	Do	1692	Not Known	Do	Do	Do Do					
	Nungatoor	Do	1822	Do	Do	Do	Do Do					
	Tellantangul	Do	1772	By French Priests	Do	Do	Do Do					
	Murkanum	Do	1742	" Do	Right Rev. Dr. Fennelly	British	Mitchell					
	Villapooram	Do	1802	" Subscription	Bishop of Pondicherry	French	Not Known					
	Chankadoo	Do	1802	" Do	Do Do	Do	Do Do					
	Kennantoor	Do	1822	" Do	Do Do	Not Known	Do					

4600 — Fort St. George — 14th April 1842

Number of Romans Catholics	Proportion of Roman Catholics adhering to the Vicar Apostolic and the Goa Priests		Number of Churches claimed by the Vicar Apostolic from the Goa Priests and obtained possession by law or otherwise		Number of disputes as to the possession of Churches or other property between the two parties which led to a breach of the Peace	Remarks.
	Under the Vicar Apostolic	Under the Goa Priests	Legal Decision	Otherwise		
"	"	"	"	"		
600	500	100	"	"		
"	"	"	"	"		
104	"	104	"	"		
64	"	64	"	"		
100	"	100	"	"		
2500	"	2500	"	"		
500	500	"	"	"		
1000	"	"	"	"		
2000	"	"	"	"		
300	"	"	"	"		
100	"	"	"	"		
86	86	"	"	"		
50	"	"	"	"		
40	"	"	"	"		
20	"	"	"	"		

Indoramum South Arcot Collectorate — Cuddalore 7th April 1842. Signed C. G. Bullock Collector ordered

4601. Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table.

8-10

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry } Sir,

Reporting upon the Petitions Nos. 2211 of 1841 and 369 of 1842 addressed to Board and endorsed by them on 17th Instant, regarding the Village of Paulacodaroo attached to Woondy Talook in this District.

Original Nos. 2211 of 1841 & 369 of 1842

11

Para 1 I have the honor to submit my report on the accompanying two Petitions presented to the Board by certain Inhabitants of the Paulacodaroo Village in the Woondy Talook of this District.

2 The original Cist of the Paulacodaroo Village is Rupees 3,400 — — and that obtained by the new Assessment, of which the report was submitted under date 24th July 1841 is Rupees 2,539-15-1. As the Assessment of Rupees 3,400 — — is notoriously exceedingly high at the commencement of the present season I gave the Villagers the option of choosing between it and the new Assessment of Rupees 2,539-15-1 and the object of the present Petitions is to get this further reduced to Rupees 2000 on which they

4602. Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

had been allowed to hold the Village in rayany rent for two years.

3 The Village of Paulacodaroo has produced very well indeed this year and every Ryot can pay with the greatest ease his full Assessment at the rate of Rupees 2,539-15-1. and there is no ground whatever to have recourse to the System of a rayany rent which was only justifiable until the true resources of the Village were ascertained. Besides the moderate Assessment now fixed, the Village of Paulacodaroo has benefitted by the extensive repairs lately made in this District, so that the Petitions can only be dictated by an inherent vexatious disposition but the advantage which will be derived by Government by the completion of the new Survey is so great, and the correction of existing abuses so extensive, that it must be expected that every endeavour possible will be made at each step to prevent its advancement.

To the Collr. Rajahmundry — } Signed H. G. Smith Collector

Copy to Collr. Circ. Cuttack — 24th March 1842

Copy to Tahsildar

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

Proceedings thereon.

(11-12) The Board observe that the Collector does not appear to have noticed the complaint made by the parties whose Petitions accompany the foregoing letter, that the rent of Rupees 2000 at which they had been allowed in the first instance to take the Village of Paulacodaroo was raised to Rupees 2559-15-1 after the commencement of the year, on the completion of the Survey, and in consequence of the season proving favorable

The Survey rates recommended in the Collectors report bearing date the 21st July 1841, not having been as yet authorized, should not as a matter of course be adopted except in cases where it may be found that no objection is raised to their introduction. In the present instance it appears from the report just alluded to that the total assessment

In Cons. 1st November 1841

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

on the Sheikal lands in the Village of Paulacodaroo being 525 Acres amounts to Rupees 1940-3-5 only.

Sheikal lands	1940-3-5		
5 Years waste	342- " - "		
10 D°	13 " "		
15 D°	2 " "		
Inadi	177 " "		
	2476 " "		
Ready Money Collections	2559-15-1		
Zuft Quans	16 " "		
Total	2559 " "		
Fractions omitted			

the remainder of the sum of Rupees 2559-15-1 which constitutes the full Survey assessment being composed of assessment on waste lands at rates varying according to the time that the land has been out of cultivation and of ready Money Collections and Zuft Quans as shewn in the Margin

Read the following

Statements.

Statement

4605. Fort St. George 11th April 1842

Statement showing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 4th April 1842.

13

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already exported up to 4th April 1842	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remarks
Bags	Bags	Bags	Bags	
Coringa 400000	199770	200230	230	

Zillah Rajahmundry
Asst Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 7th April 1842

E. E. P. / Signed / G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement

4606

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

Statement showing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1241/42 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 31st March 1842

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured & remaining under estimated heaps	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	14		Bags	Bags	Bags	Bags	Bags	
1	Eskapully	14 44	90,000	73,863	16,137	..	23,719	
2	Gogolapully	14 39	40,000	15,104	24,896	..	5350	
3	Varemy	14 37	20000	10813	9187	..	9768	
			150000	99780	50220	..	38,987	

Nellore Pulicat Sullurpettah
Pt. Collrs Cutcherry
7th April 1842

E. E. P. / Signed / T. V. Monbrouse
Pt. Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 11th April 1842

(15)

...t of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkannum in the Southern ...
...of Arcot up to 26th March 1842.

...y of Salt ... to be ... to Calcutta ... 1842	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remarks
...l Maunds 00,000	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds 200000	Bengal Maunds 200000	

...evanum
...h Arcot
...s Circuit Cutcherry
April 1842

/Signed/ C.A. Hallett
Collector.

Murkannum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of ...
...in making the place a temporary Flag staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack kept ...
...from Sun rise to sun set.
Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore ...
...scribed "Calcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of the ...
...at Murkannum may be stated.
It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collectorate ...
...ry or to the Tahsildar at Murkannum.
/Signed/ C.A. Hallett Collector
Order on the three foregoing Statements. — Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(13-16)

1608 Fort St. George 11th April

Read the fol...

(17)

To The Secretary to the Board of ...
Fort St. ...

From the Coll.r of Bellary ---

Recommending the division of a Business at present continued to the family of the late Poligar of Raidroog into three equal shares at one share to each of the 3 sons who are now alive..

* From the Coll.r of Bellary to the Board Dated 8th March 1842. From the Board to Fort dated 5th August 42 from Govt to the Board in reply dated 17th Do from Board to the Collector dated 25th Do

Sir,

Para 1 Referring to the co... noted in the margin, I have the ho... acquaint you, for the information ... Board of Revenue that the Pension ... Pagoda granted by Government and ... the 17th August 1824 to the Family of ... Seevappa Naidoo, Poligar of Raidroog, ... continued to be drawn by them, until ... 1841 when certain family disputes aff... have occurred.

At the time of the ... of the Pension in 1824 the family ... ed of three sons and a Widow of ... Poligar, who are still alive. The ... of them named Kistnappa Naid... by Naranummah, and Soobad... Sonati Naidoo by Luckallumma... These women were married by ... Poligar at the same time, and ea... ly were his legitimate wives. One of ...

/Narasu...

/Narainmmah/ died many years ago.

3 The third of these sons Konda-naidoo being young, the two others were in the habit of drawing the Pension by a receipt under their joint signatures and appropriating it for the Common use of the Family until August 1801. Since which period the Members of the Family separated, that is to say, the Eldest lives singly, and the two others together with their Mother.

Per Memorandum + C.R. 24-4-10

4. The circumstance which gave rise to the dispute, is as follows. Kristnappah had the Elder, wishing to live separately from the others, required the division of the Pension into two equal shares, Viz.t One for himself, and the other for his two brothers who are living together, while the latter refused to give consent to this arrangement, saying that they (the three Brothers being the principal Members of the Family) should divide in 3 equal shares, each having a Family of his own to support. Each party appeared, and laid their Case before us and notwithstanding I have endeavoured to arrange

arrange matters amicably, I have not succeeded.

5. As the quarrel appears to be of an irreconcileable nature, and considering all the circumstances of the case, I am of opinion that the Pension should be divided between the Three sons of the Poligar into three equal shares as noted in the Margin, and I request the sanction of the Board to that effect.

	Per Month Acs. a-p
Kristnappah paid	8-1-7
Soobadar paid	8-1-7
Konati paid	8-1-7
	24-4-9

Bellary Collector's Office 15th March 1812. } /Signed/ A. Mellor Collector

Order thereon.—

17-18

To Govt 5th Augt 1824 From 9th in Cons 23 Augt 1804

Ordered that the foregoing letter together with the correspondence to which it refers be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that the Collector of Bellary may receive sanction to divide the pension of 100 C. Pagodas granted by

by Government in the year 1824 to the family of the late Poligar of Raidroog equally among his three sons in the manner suggested in the concluding para of Mr Mellor's letter.

From the Collector in the 2d Div. of Arcot. } Read Statement shewing the Extra Tent allowances drawn by the late Principal Collector and Subordinates, for July 1250.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir.

To the Coll. of South Arcot. } Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra tent allowance submitted by you for July 1250.

2 It is observed

19

19-20

March in Cons. 11th April 1842.

the Sub Collector Mr Murray resided at Munjacoopum the Hoozoor station on the dates noted in the margin the total period of his residence there being 81 days. Before sanctioning the charge of Extra tent allowance for the above period the Board wish to be informed of the necessity of his residence for so long a time at the Hoozoor station, and whether he was called there on public duty.

	No. of days
From 12th to 31st July 1840	20
" 1st to 5th August "	5
" 22nd to 30th September "	9
" 1st to 8th October "	8
" 20th to 29th November "	10
" 28th to 31st December "	4
" 1st to 3rd January 1841	3
" 9th to 30th June "	22
	81

	No. of days
Mr H. Ashton Pt. Coll. Wr	207
Mr M. Murray Sub Coll. Wr	354
Deduct days passed at Munjacoopum	81
	273
Sanctioned	44
Mr B. Cunliffe Wr asst.	31
Mr W. Oswell asst.	24
Mr J. W. Cherry D°	

3 With this exception the Board sanction the amount of Extra tent allowance drawn by the late Principal Collector and Subordinates for the number of days noted in the margin.

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Sr. Secretary

Rev. Bd. Office Fort St. George 11th April 1842. C. M. Hoozoor

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

Read the following Estimate.

Estimate

4613 (21) Fort St. George

Estimate for repairs of Devastanums and Chuttrums ending 31st March 1842.

Names of Devastanums and Chuttrums	Particular of Works		Amount of Estimate	Surplus fund in deposit in the Hoozoor Treasury up to 31st March 1842	Remarks
Meenatchee Pagoda Madura Fort	Restoring the Clockadel Tank attached to the Pagoda	1579 00			Not one of these estimates have been admitted without careful consideration of their necessity, and supervised examination of the estimates. Should the Board require details they are ready at hand, both in Tamil and English and only require fair copying.
	Repairing Steps of the Gopurum in the Kistna column attached to D.	32 12			
	3 Chatteers of Naumgoly wood for Car	169 5			
	Canopy Cotton Curtains &c. for 5 Chuppurums	74 2			
	Cover Cloths for 6 Vehicles	26 7			
	Repairing Vumbalavanumpillayn Vumdapum near the South gopurum of the Pagoda	57 00			
	Pulling down the ruined upper story and repairing the lower one of the Ratta Vumdapum of D.	310 00			
	2 Carts for the use of the Pagoda	51 00			
	One young Elephant for D.	150 00			
Sauneiens Chuttrum in D.	Demolishing and removing ruined upstair Walls	22 00			A small sum will be requisite as a douceur to induce illegal occupants to vacate cities they have got possession of round the Clockadel tank, which will appear in the next quarter's estimate.
	Demolishing Vumb. wall and raising new one with old brick and mud with Chunam facing around the well in the back yard	53 00			
	Tauray Bamboos for Tend yard Chuttrum	47 00			
Cutta Allaghur Pagoda in Mayloot-talook	Repairing walls &c. 5 doors and frames	27 00			
	76 Lokes of Vencalachellapathy Pagoda at Thellaselum	32 00			
Thoroombut Pagoda in D.	Repairing Wooden reservoir for rice &c.		3,128 1 6	34,107 12 10	
Thovereencottahee in allanoongapoory	New furnitures for the Car		81 13 0	347 1 2	
Comaracody in D.	Rebuilding pyaraly with tiled roof		474 8 9	9,506 8 11	
	New Utensils and repairing old ones with benches for		94 7 1	2174 5 10	
			76 8 0	142 11 10	
			62 15 0	244 0 11	
	Total Compd. Rs.		3,918 5 4		

Madura 31st March 1842.

14th April 1842.

the Districts of Madura and Dindigul for the quarter

E. E. Jr. [Signed] J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

This

Proceedings thereon. The Board of

(21-22) Revenue authorize the disburse-
ment for the repair of the
Pagodas and other purposes,
as exhibited in the
foregoing Estimate sub-
mitted by Mr Blackburne,
amounting to Rupees
3,918 - 5 - 4 to be defrayed
from the surplus funds
of those Institutions
respectively.

Read

Read the following letter

(23)

From the Advocate General.

Forwarding a Deed of instructions in reference to Patchappah Moodelly's Charities for the purpose of being executed by the Board.

To,
The Secretary of the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

In reference to your letter of 25th November last, I have the honor herewith to forward a Deed of Instructions with a view to its being executed by the Members of the Board (inserting the date first) and which Deed contains recitals of the various decrees and orders of the Supreme Court in reference to the Charities of Patchaiyah, and is in itself an echo of the scheme as approved of by that Court.

This

4616. Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

This document is in substance the same as that which I formerly placed before your Board's notice in draft, and I have put a Paper index to the only part containing matter, which could not be in those Decrees and orders, being the part in which your Board adopts that scheme as set forth in the last pages of the Deed.

If your Board will be pleased to send the Deed, duly executed, to me, I will deliver it into the hands of the Committee.

Fort St. George
12th April 1842.

(Signed) Geo: Norton
Advocate General

Order thereon

(23-24)

Ordered that the Deed of instructions referred to in the foregoing letter be returned to the Advocate General.

Read the following letter

4617. Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

From J. Ouchterlony
firm of Ouchterlony
of Madras

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

Requesting to know upon what terms and under what Conditions he can obtain a lease of land in the Salem District for prosecuting the Cultivation of Coffee.

(25)

Having had my attention directed for some time past to the Talooks of Oofsoor and Denkeny Cottah, attached to the Collectorate of Salem, and being led to believe that a field may be offered in those Talooks for the successful Cultivation of the Coffee tree, I now take the liberty of addressing the Board on the subject, with a view to ascertain upon what terms, and under what conditions, I can obtain a lease of land for the purpose of prosecuting this cultivation.

In the Island of Ceylon, as the Board is no doubt aware, waste land sought to be thus employed, is, after its selection by the party requiring it

it, offered by the Government at public Auction, at an upset price of 5 f. per acre, at which, or a mere trifle above it it has hitherto sold, the party purchasing, thus acquiring an absolute property in the land. This character of possession has attracted many capitalists to the adventure of Coffee cultivation in Ceylon; but when I have sought to turn their attention to the Continent of India I have met with a general refusal to entertain the adventure because of an inability to hold their land by a similar tenure in fee simple.

I am however led to imagine that, if the local Government and the East India Company will not entertain propositions for this absolute disposal of land, there is a willingness on their part to give leases for such extended terms, say 25 years at a peppercorn rent, 25 more at a rupee an acre, and [illegible]

or subject to Assessment on general terms, at the expiration of the 50 years, as may afford a liberal encouragement, to parties willing to test the experiment of a particular cultivation on waste lands.

In the Coffoor and Denkenycottah Talooks, I understand, there is a great abundance of waste uncultivated land, and I would now request of the Board to do me the favor to state upon what terms I could be allowed to hold 1000 or 2,000 acres, the same to be selected by me, or my agent, appointed for the purpose, as situation of Course is a matter of considerable moment, in a Cultivation of the nature I propose undertaking.

14th. Austin Friars, London,
31st. January, 1842 ----

(Signed) Jas. Ouchterlony
firm of Ouchterlony & Co.
of Madras

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To,

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

(25-20)

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Submitting a letter from Mr. J. Ouchterlony of the firm of Ouchterlony & Co. of Madras, requesting to be informed upon what terms he can obtain a tract of land in the Salem District from 1000 to 2,000 Acres in extent, for the purpose of Cultivating Coffee - Explaining what are the usual conditions on which Natives are allowed to occupy waste in the Salem District, and as the same Rules are extended to Europeans by Act No. 4 of 1837 they may without difficulty acquire possession on the same terms -

To,

The Chief Secretary to

Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit, for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from Mr. J. Ouchterlony of the firm of Ouchterlony & Co. of Madras, requesting to be informed upon what terms he can obtain a tract of land in the Salem District, from 1,000 to 2,000 Acres in extent, for the purpose of Cultivating Coffee.

2. The Board consider projects of the nature contemplated by Mr. Ouchterlony, to be deserving of every encouragement from the Government, and there appears no objection to allowing him to take up any extent of land which may be reported to be available for, for a period of 50 Years, as proposed by him upon the terms under which waste

Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

land is usually given on Cowle in the Salem District; which, as well as the average rate of Assessment on Poonjah lands, may be considered as more favorable than those in force in most other Provinces under this Presidency.

3. The Average rate of Poonjah land in Salem is 1 6 4 per Acre, and the Cowlenamah provides for the remission of the whole Teerwah on immemorial waste and Porumbokke lands, for the first two years - one eighth of the Assessment of lands of similar description to be levied in the third year - One fourth in the fourth year - 1/2 in the fifth - 3/4 in the 6th - and full Teerwah for the seventh and following years. Lands once cultivated and left waste, would be subject to progressive rates, and assessed to the full Teerwah at different periods

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

periods not exceeding 5 Years, according to the time that they had remained uncultivated.

4. The above are the usual conditions on which Natives are allowed to occupy waste in the Salem District; and as the same rules are extended to Europeans, they may without difficulty acquire possession, on the same terms. These the Board consider to be quite sufficient for all ordinary purposes, and they do not therefore think it necessary to recommend a modification of the existing rules. It would be preferable, they conceive that extraordinary projects, which must be of rare occurrence, and directed to dissimilar objects, should be treated exclusively on their individual merits.

Act No. IV of 1837

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
11th April, 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
A. Secretary

Fort St. George 11th April 1842.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched—

To.
The Chief Secretary to Government

27

To the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t } Sir, Para.s 1.

Stating that in consequence of the Sayer having been managed under Aumanie since the Commencement of Fusly 1247 pursuant to instructions from Govt. dated 13th July 1837 the average Annual defalcation under that System has been to the Amount of nearly six lacs of Rupees compared with the Average net revenue derived from this source for the 3 years preceding Fusly 1247, during which the Sayer was rented and requesting authority for instructing Collectors to invite tenders for the rent of this branch of revenue for the ensuing fusly

Pursuant to instructions &c. from the Supreme Government conveyed in a Letter from the Secretary to the Government of India bearing date the 21st June 1837 the Sayer revenues under this Presidency have been managed under Aumanie since the commencement of Fusly 1247.

A comparison of the average

2

Net Revenue derived from this source for the three years preceding Fusly 1247, during which the Sayer was rented, with the average net revenue of Fuslies 1247.1248 & 1249 under Aumanie, shews that the Annual defalcation under the Aumanie System has been the Amount of nearly six lacs of Rs.

	Average Rents.	
From Fusly 1244 to 1246	33,36,036	
Aumanie From Fusly 1247 to 1249	27,37,969	
Annual Decrease		5,98,066.

From

Fort St. George 14th. April 1842.

3. From Para 3. of the letter from the supreme Government above alluded to, it would appear that the order for placing the Sayer under Aumanie management, was passed, in anticipation of the entire abolition of the inland transit duties, at no very distant period - The Board are not aware whether or not there is every reason to expect that these anticipations will be speedily realized, but adverting to the loss of revenue which has been involved by the Aumanie system, — and the manifold advantages, besides those of a financial nature, which are, in their opinion, attendant on the renting system, and which were fully discussed in a letter addressed to Government from this Department under date 23d. November 1820, they are induced to recommend that they may receive authority, for instructing the Collectors to invite tenders for the rent of this branch of the

Fort St. George 14th. April 1842.

for the ensuing Fusly. —

4. Should the measure now recommended meet the approbation of Government, the Board would suggest that early orders may be passed upon the subject, in order that very publicity may be given to the intended change previously to the close of the Fusly —

Rev.e Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
14th. April 1841.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched

To,
The Acting Collector of
Vizagapatam

28

To the Actg. Collr. of Vizagapatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Codunda Ramiah, and request you will be pleased

Rev.e Bd. No. 337 of 1842
Govt. No. 179

pleased to give such attention to the Statement of the Petitioner as it may seem to deserve.

Revenue Bd. Office.
Fort St. George.....
14th April 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
A.g Secretary

To,
The Accountant General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer.

To the Accountant General, Civil Auditor Sub Treasurer — Gentlemen.

29

Committee for passing Contingent Charges

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841, published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that month, I am Directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent Charges, incurred in this Office for the Month of March last, Amounting to Rupees 142 — 3 — 3, and to request that they may be passed — and returned to them.

Revenue Board Office.....
Fort St. George 14th April 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.—

From the D.y Accountant General.

30 Indent on the Commissariat for the Sundry Articles required for the use of [illegible]

Department of this Office for the Month of April 1842.—

30-31 Ordered to be passed and returned.—

Order thereon

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot. Salt and Money Account current for the Quarter ending 31st December last.—

32

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of March last.—

33

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura Return of Persons confined in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX, of 1822 for the Month of March last.—

34

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura Return of Persons suspended and dismissed in conformity to Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of March last.—

35

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura. Return of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants from 1st January to 31st March last.—

36

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura Stating that certain periodical Returns are not sent there being no entry to make.—

37

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura Stating that the Register of Petitions for March last is not sent there being no entry to make.—

38

From the Prin.l Coll.r of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1841/42 from 24th to 31st March last.—

39

From

From the Pr. Coll.r of Salem — Return of Fines imposed and
(40) Stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants from
1st. October to 31st. December last.

From the Princ.l Coll.r of Salem — Return of Alterations that have
(41) occurred in the list of fines and Stoppages from 1st.
October to 31st. December last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly — Acknowledging the receipt of
(42) 6,000 printed Salt Rowannahs.

From the A.g Coll.r of Vizagapatam. — Stating that there are no
(43) revenue defaulters confined in Jail for the Quarter
ending 31st. March last.

From the A.g Coll.r of Vizagapatam — Stating that no petitions
(44) were referred to him, By the Board, in the Month
of March last.

" Rem.d

" J.D. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" A Shackle

Diary

From Mr. Ogilvie Pr. Collector
(1) in the Nn. Dn. of Arcot
Madras. } Received letter

requesting an extension of leave
until the 1st. of July next under
Section XII of the absentee Rules.

Ordered to be
Order thereon.

(1-2) submitted to Government for
favorable consideration thro' the
Channel of the Civil
Auditor.

At

At a Meeting Present

Monday 18th April 1842 — John Dent Esqre Senior Member
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th March 1842.

Communicating orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Choondy Zemindary and stating that Govt. approve of the Police Establishment proposed to be entertained for that Zemindary.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Recd inter 14th Feby 1842 No 38)

Para 1. His Lordship in Council having taken into consideration the foregoing Proceedings is pleased to approve of the modifications suggested in para 3 in regard to the Sunnud-i-Milkeut Istimrar proposed to be issued to the Zemindar of Choondy. But in reference to the very short time

3

that has to elapse before the Zemindar becomes of age when he will be competent to execute the Cabooliat himself, the Board of Revenue are desired to state whether there is any objection to the delivery of the Sunnud in question being delayed until that period.

Para 5.

2. For the same reasons, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers the appointment of a Manager to be unnecessary and directs that the Estate may be managed in the same manner as heretofore.

3 His Lordship in Council approves of the Police Establishment proposed to be entertained for the Zemindary of Choondy and resolves to submit the same for the favorable consideration and sanction of the Government of India.

4. Before granting authority for the remission of the Government demand under the head of Beydega on

Fort St George 18th April 1842.

on Village Servants Enams and Cattoo-
-bady Enams hitherto collected in the
Choondy Estate as solicited in para 3 of
these proceedings: His Lordship in
Council would wish to be informed whether
similar taxes are levied in other districts
and what would be the sacrifice in point
of Revenue if they were remitted
throughout the province of Nellore
and in the other Districts where they
are levied.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Hy Chamier
Chief Secy

To, The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(3-4)

Ordered that a copy of
the foregoing extract from the Minutes of
Consultation and of the Boards Proceedings
therein recorded, bearing date the 14th Febu-
ary 1842 be forwarded to the Principal
Collector of Nellore. for his information
and guidance with reference to his letter
of the 3d November last.

In Cons. 25th Nov. 1841.

Fort St George 18th April 1842.

The Principal Collector
is requested to state whether any objection
exists to the delivery of the Sunnud-i Milkeut
Istimrar for the Choondy Zemindary, being
delayed, for the short period that will
elapse before the expiration of the Zemin-
-dars Minority.

With reference to para 4
the Principal Collector will report what
would be the sacrifice of Revenue incur-
-red by a general remission of the
Government demand under the head of
Bedega on Village Servants Enams, and
Cuttoobady Enams throughout his District.

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 5th April 1842.

Read the following

(5)

Extract from the Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 24th February 1842 N:76/

Para 1. The report received
with the foregoing Proceedings on the Revenues
realized from the Rumpah Zemindary in
the

the Rajahmundry District is far from satisfactory. The resources of the Country were estimated at 15,000 Rupees per annum, and it was never anticipated would have fallen below 10,000 Rupees the actual results however shew that in a period comprizing nearly two years the total assets amounted only to Rupees 3,569-2-3, while the expenditure has been Rupees 11,526-4-7 leaving a deficiency of Rupees 7,957-2-4 to be met by the surplus, if any of future years.-

2- It appears now that Rupees 5,300 per Annum may be fully calculated upon from the Rampah Zemindary, and with reference to these diminished resources it is proposed to reduce the Establishment entertained for its management, and with the total ~~—~~ charge (inclusive of the Minor's subsistence and Pensions) to Rupees 3,684 per annum, leaving a Surplus of Rupees 1,616 to cover the deficiency before noticed.-

3

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees nothing in this arrangement to disapprove.-

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier

Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon

5-6

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings therein recorded, be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 26th January last.-

Read the following letter.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Chief Secy to Government.- } Para 1.

7

For the District of Tanjore

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to intimate to you that as yet only a report upon the Land Revenue of one District has been laid before Government for

for Fasly 1250, and that notwithstanding nearly 9 Months have elapsed since that year expired, there are yet due the reports for the remaining 19 Districts besides the reports on the Extra Revenues of three Districts..

+South Arcot Malabar and Masulipatam.

2- His Lordship in Council desires that you will take an early opportunity of disposing of these reports and expedite as much as possible their transmission to Government.-

Fort St George 5th April 1842 } (Signed) Hy Chamier Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded..

7-8

From the Chief Secy to Government.. } Read the following Extract. Extract from the Minutes of

9 Consultation dated 15th April 1842..

Read the following letter from the Military Boards..

(Here enter 18th March 1842)

As it would be inexpedient to shut up the old Roman Catholic Burial Ground

Ground in Vepery until another spot shall have been fixed on as a Cemetery, it is resolved that the Revenue Board be requested to submit, with the least practicable delay, the information called for in Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 25th February last No 37, relative to the extension of the Ground selected by them and proposed to be appropriated as a place of interment..

(Signed) Hy Chamier Chief Secretary

9-10

Order thereon (Read again in Cons. 25th April) Ordered to be sent again in Circulation..

Read the following Extracts.

11

From the Asst Chief Secy to Government.. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th April 1842.

Stating that the sale of the Peddapoor Zemindary purchased by Row Bhaviah cannot legally be set aside, the management of the 6 Divisions, the Surchedary which on account of Government was not confirmed, should be managed on account of the Government by the Collector and [illegible]

Read the following Papers

Letter from the Asst Secretary to the Board of Revenue- (Here enter 10th March 1842. P. 108.)

Petition from Stree Rajah Vatchavoy Sooria Narrain Sagapaty, Rawze Bahadoor, Zemindar of Peddapoor in Rajahmundry.- (Here enter 24th March 1842 P. 312)

Para 1. Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter and in the

should be assigned for his support.

the communication, which accompanied it from the Collector of Rajahmundry, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the sale of the division of the Peddapoor Zemindary purchased by Row Bhaviah cannot legally be set aside, but observes that the sale of this and the other divisions of the Estate has on the whole been somewhat precipitate.

2 The only orders of which can only now be given will have reference to the 6 Divisions, the purchase of which on account of Government was not confirmed by the orders of the 4th January last, and as the Zemindar in his Petition No. 312 of 1842 declares his inability to manage them himself. His Lordship in Council is of opinion that the Property should be managed on his account by the Collector

that an allowance proportioned to the assets and public demands against it should be assigned for his support.

3. The Board of Revenue will report to Government the arrangements which they may make in pursuance of the foregoing orders and furnish annually Reports shewing the results of the Collector's management of the Property and the extent to which the proposed instalment for the liquidation of the arrears in addition to Peishcush and Zemindar's subsistence, is met by its resources.

The Board will communicate to the Zemindar the orders passed in his case.

(Signed) John Orr
Actg Secy to Govt.

Order thereon. — Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter therein recorded, be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 18th February last.

(1-12)

The

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

The Collector will lose no time in reporting the arrangements which he may conceive necessary to be made, for the management of the 6 Divisions of the Peddapoor Estate, which the Government has been pleased to order should be retained under his charge for the benefit of the Zemindar, and the amount of the allowance which he thinks may be assigned for the Zemindar's support. —

13

From the Actg Secy to Govt. to Bd of Rev. dated 13 April 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th of April 1842. —

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Bellary reporting with reference to the observations contained in Para 6 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 8th April last, on the present condition and expenses of the Circar Topes in that Province, and offering his opinion upon the measures still required to give full effect to the original intention of the authorities at whose suggestions these Plantations were first made. —

(Here enter 25th Jany 1842 No 9)

1. — The successful results of

Authorizing the Coll.r of Bellary to prosecute the work of planting Topes of fruit & other trees pending a reference to the Honorable Court of Directors to whose notice the subject will be specially brought & their orders solicited on the proposition for continuing the experiment for the next 10 years at an outlay of Rs 4000 per annum. —

Fort St. George 18th April 1842. —

the experiment of planting Topes of Fruit and other trees in the Bellary District, where no such Topes had existed before their introduction, the present extent and condition of the Plantations, and the advantages expected to be realized from them eventually, as detailed in the report submitted with the foregoing letter, are viewed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council as peculiarly gratifying and encouraging. —

2 The formation of these Topes, it is observed, was first commenced in the 1825, for which purpose an annual outlay of Rupees 4,000 was authorised by Government under date the 12th of July of that year, and subsequently under date the 25th of October 1834, this disbursement was increased to Rupees 8000 for the prosecution of the experiment on a larger scale. — The Plantations formed under this sanction

sanction are stated to comprise at present 663 Topes containing in all 173813 Trees, of which 10134 were productive up to Fasly 1250, and the remainder, it was calculated, would come into bearing by Fasly 1260. The total expenditure on this account is shewn to have amounted to Fasly 1249 to Rupees 123519 being inclusive of the charges incurred in planting Palmira and other Trees on the banks of Tanks and in the formation of avenues on the high roads, and of the assignment of waste and remission on cultivated lands granted as remuneration to the inhabitants for taking care of the plants and fostering their growth, as well as the assessment of lands on which the Topes are situated. The returns which the Plantations are expected to yield when all the trees now planted

Fruit Trees ---	164246	
Other Trees ---	9567	
	173813	

Money payments Rs.	75416
Assessment of land D.o	48103
Total Rs.	123519

become productive are estimated at above Rupees 40,000 the Net revenue per Acre of the land occupied by them being computed at Rupees 5-12-" the survey assessment

Estimated revenue per Acre of the land planted with Topes when the trees come into bearing at the rate of annual rent now realized per tree Rupees	9-12-"	
Deduct allowance for failure of Trees D.o	Rs. 2-12-"	
Average Assessment of the land occupied	1-4	4-"-"
Net. profit Rs.		5-12-"

after deducting and above one fourth of the gross produce for failure of trees D.o

The Collector of Bellary has now proposed to complete the design of the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson by extending the Plantations to those Villages still in need of them, but upon a smaller annual outlay of Rupees 6000 for the next ten years, including the estimated charges of existing Topes (Rupees 2268 per Annum) and this proposition is supported by the recommendation of the Board of Revenue.

It is not however for the embellishment

embellishment of the District, as the Board seem to regard to Topes, that his Lordship in Council would maintain them, but for strictly utilitarian purposes for which, he conceives, they must have been originally planted. In a District so generally arid and destitute of wood as Bellary was represented to have been, these Topes must conduce most materially to the comfort and well being of the people, and more especially of Travellers, to whom and their Cattle they afford shade and shelter, they also protect and surround tanks and springs, and they will eventually yield a remunerating return for the Money expended upon them.—

Upon these grounds, His Lordship in Council wants maintain

and extend the plantations, and he accordingly resolves to authorize the Collector of Bellary to prosecute the work pending a reference to the Honorable the Court of Directors, to whose notice the subject will be specially brought and their orders solicited on the proposition for continuing the experiment for the next ten years at an outlay of Rupees 6,000 per annum.—

Ordered, that the following letter be despatched.

To J. C. Nicholl Esqre
Secy at the India House
(Here Enter)

(Signed) John Orr
Actg Secy to Govt

Order thereon.

(13-14)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter of the 15th January 1842 therein recorded, be forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated the 1st November last.—

Extract

From the Actg. Secy to Govt.

Sanctioning the permanent resumption of the Enam of the late Ramabadra Soorapah Shanagar one of the Vetchavarum Cauvelgars in the District of South Arcot and granting authority for making an allowance from the date of the death of that individual of 15 per Cent of the revenues therefrom for the support of the family and communicating instructions for the disposal of the lands so alienated by the late Cauvelgar.

15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th April 1842.

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 17th Feby 1842 No 367)

Para 1. Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in para 4 of the foregoing letter the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the permanent resumption of the Enam of the late Ramabadra Soorapah, Shanagar one of the Vetchavarum Cauvelgars in the District of South Arcot, which is stated to have been under attachment since the death of that individual, and grants authority for making an allowance, from that date, of 15 per Cent of the revenues therefrom for the support of the family stated to consist of 2 sons and a daughter all Minors, and the Mother of the last Cauvelgar. —

2 The alienations made by the late Cauvelgar and his predecessors are stated to amount to.-

Private Individuals Rupees 863-13-4

Religious Establishments 434-14-4

besides the grant of two Villages on favorable tenure to certain Brahmins. The Board recommend in respect to the last mentioned grants that they should be continued for the lives of the present holders, but His Lordship in Council cannot discover upon what grounds the Brahmins are considered to have a better claim than other individuals, and until more particular information is laid before him, he must defer passing final orders.-

3. The Board will instruct the Collector to dispose of the alienations to individuals and religious institutions in the manner laid down

From the Actg. Secy to Govt.

Sanctioning the permanent resumption of the Enam of the late Ramabadra Soorapah Shanagar one of the Pitchavarum Cauvelgars in the District of South Arcot and granting authority for making an allowance from the date of the death of that individual of 15 per Cent of the revenues therefrom for the support of the family and communicating instructions for the disposal of the lands so alienated by the late Cauvelgar. —

15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th April 1842. —

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue. —

(Here enter 17th Feby 1842 No 67)

Para 1. — Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in para 4 of the foregoing letter the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the permanent resumption of the Enam of the late Ramabadra Soorapah, Shanagar one of the Pitchavarum Cauvelgars in the District of Southern Arcot, which is stated to have been under attachment since the death of that individual, and grants authority for making an allowance, from that date, of 15 per Cent of the revenues therefrom for the support of the family stated to consist of 2 sons and a daughter all Minors, and the Mother of the late Cauvelgar. —

2 The alienations made by the late Cauvelgar and his predecessors are stated to amount to. —

Private Individuals Rupees 863-13-4

Religious Establishments 434-46-4

besides the grant of two Villages on favorable tenure to certain Bramins. — The Board recommend in respect to the last mentioned grants that they should be continued for the lives of the present holders, but His Lordship in Council cannot discover upon what grounds the Bramins are considered to have a better claim than other individuals, and until more particular information is laid before him, he must defer passing final orders. —

3. The Board will instruct the Collector to dispose of the alienations to individuals and religious institutions in the manner laid down

down in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st January last No. 138 and refer that Officer to the same instructions for his guidance in making arrangements for the immediate resumption of the remaining unresumed Cauelly &c Enams of the Manargoody and Chellumbrum Talooks.

(Signed) John Orr
Actg Secy to Govt.

Order thereon. — Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Boards letter therein recorded, bearing date the 14th February last, be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot for his information and guidance, with reference to the letters noted in the margin.

The Collector is requested to submit his sentiments upon the point alluded to in Para 2, Viz. the continuance to the present holders, for their lives, of the Village of [illegible]

15-16

From the Bd. of Rev.
30th Dec. 1836
in Con. 9th Jan. 1837
Do. 12th in Con.
26th April 1841.

Vaspapootoor and Radamalagum upon the terms on which they were originally alienated.

From the Actg Secy to Govt. Calling for further information regarding a Cemetry & Ground at Trichinopoly applied for by Syed Causim Adjutant Jemadar of the Body Guard.

17

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 11th April 1842.

Read the following letter.

To The Chief Secretary to Government. Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the petition presented to Government by Syed Causim Adjutant Jemedar of the Body Guard bearing endorsement dated the 31st ult. January 1842.

The Petitioner prays that a Cemetry and house with walls and trees pertaining to it and on which his father laid out a large sum of Money may be continued as an Enam to him. The petitioner does not lay claim to the ground as a matter of right but seem to pray that his request may be complied with in consideration of the Money laid out by his Father.

The Enam in question is

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

is entered in the Register of the Trich=
=nopoly district in the name of two sh=
=ers of whom one died many years ago
and his share reverted to Government
the other share is now in the enjoymen=
of the heir of the original grantee. The
attached portion of the Enam was for=
=merly claimed by Syed Augim Sahe
the younger brother of the present
petitioner on the strength of a
Will executed in his favor by the
deceased and his claim was
rejected by the Board, as will
be seen from the correspondence
herewith submitted, and it does
not appear that any further re=
=presentations has been received
from the parties concerned at this
office.—

Trichinopoly,
Coll.rs Office,
16th March 1842.

(Signed) A.V. Onslow
Collector

No. 1

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,
Para 1 I have the ho=

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

on Petition No 425 of 1834

2 The land to which this Pe=
=tition and its enclosures refer is part of
an Inam the Purwannah for which has
never been forthcoming— The only docu=
=ment on which this Inam is held is the
Copy of a Summud dated 11th Leladee
Kuzeree 1180— This summud is addressed
to the Amildar of Valconda pooram
but by whom the Original was issued
does not appear— This order confirms
the possession of the Inam to the Sahe=
=ahdars of Valconda pooram provided
it shall be found on examination
that they are already in possession of it
whether any service was to be performed
by the Enamdars does not appear and
Mr. Wallace's Register throws no light
on the subject.

3.— One of the sharers Syed
Jaffer having died without heirs
in 1833. the Collector ordered his share
to

to be put in Annamies. This share has
been claimed by the Petitioner Syed
Auzeem on a deed of Sale a Will executed
in his favor by Syed Jaffer. Syed Auzim
now relinquishes his Claim on
the ground of the sale; and rests
on the Will. In this Will Syed Jaffir
adopts him, leaves his heir to his
Inam Lands and Makan, and constitutes him a Havaldar. This implies
that the Inam is a Service Inam attached to the Makan. This Will
is proved by two of the attesting wit-
nesses but whether Syed Jaffir pos-
sessed power to will the land to any
one is another question as to the adop-
tion. I believe that by Mussalman
law adoption confers no right of
inheritance.

4 A claim has been also
set up by one Moodin Sahib Sister
son to Syed Jaffir on the ground
of adoption similarly adopting

to confer any rights of inheritance;
Syed Jaffir's adoption of Moodeen
Saheb is of a very questionable
nature. Syed Jaffir himself pro-
tested against it before the Magis-
trate stating that his signature
had been surreptitiously obtained
when he was in a state of intoxication.

5 Translation of the copy of
the Summud on which the Inam
is held and of Syed Jaffir's Will
are herewith forwarded.

Trichinopoly
15th July 1834 } Signed J. H. Babington
Asst. Collr.

Translate of a copy of a Chittee issued
from the Cutcherry to Madavarow
Havildar attached to the division of
Valcondapooram on the 11th Yilcandi
Fuzlee 1186 furnished to Syed Jaffier
under the seal of the Cauzee.

A tract of Poonjay land
capable of being cultivated with 4
Cullums and 2 Mercals of grain Seeds

seeds, as also 150 Goolies of Nunjay land
situated within the bed of the Tank
called Nynak-colum, which are fit for
cultivation and deducted from the
Circar accounts, were granted as Enam
not including Pakyahs or burial grounds
in the Cusbah of the aforesaid divi-
-sion, to Syed Jaffer Aurzim Varukseck-
Koff Caudry and others, the Pakseildars
residing in the aforesaid Cusbah
for their maintenance, conformably to
the tenor of the Sunnud granted by
the late Nabab Saadoo ollah Caun
Copy of which sunnud bearing the
seal of Serojudeen Mahomed Mosstee
having been examined by the Sudder
and with whose endorsement it
entered in the Hoozoor Dufters, the
lands above mentioned are to be con-
-tinued as Enam in the present fusly.
1176, taking a Mouchilka from
Mootsedees Coolkurnum, Nautch
Sonastaks, Canongoe and Duftrees
and

and other public Servants for the
truth of those lands having been
continued as Enam until fusly 1175 con-
-sider this order to be a sufficient bane.-
Out of the above extent of
lands, the portion to be given to Syed
Jaffer is 100 goolies of Nunjay land &
and such extents of Poonjay land as may
be capable of cultivating with 2 Cullums
and 8 Mercals of grain, and to Syed
Abdul Wahib Aurzim Varuk Seck Koff
Caudry is 50 Goolies of Nunjay land &
and Poonjay land that can be cultivated
with 1 Cullum and 6 Mercals of grain.

(A true Translation)
(Signed) H. Babington
Sr. Coll.

Translate of a Will executed on the 20th
March 1824 by Syed Jaffer Aurzim
Mojumdar Makandar residing in
Valoondah Pooram to Syed Aurzim
Sait Son of Subadar Syed Salaut
residing in the same place.

Having no son Adopted
one
for the purpose of maintaining

me performing funeral rites after my
death and becoming proprietor of the
Makans and according to the law of
our caste I have made you a Pakeerin
presence of the Makandars and Pub
the Makaen in your possession you are
therefore to take possession of one Cawney
Cavan Land attached to the Makan
situated on the North of the River
on the west of Annecha pillay Tank
and a road on the east of Tanencatum
Tank and on the South of Vettyan's
Mariens Lands together with 14 Tama-
rind trees one Cloo jay tree 15 Palmira
trees which are grown unto, as also 15
Cannies of Ranjay and 3 3/16 Cannies
of Nunjay Lands together with the
Wells that are in them; and continue
enjoying them from generation to
generation as long as the sun and
moon exists performing Observance
required at the Makans Having
no stamps Paper at present Pur-
purchase a stamps Paper and

execute this Will on it.-
(Marked) Syed Jaffer Nayna Turkoo.-

Witnesses
(Signed) Ramswamy pillay Putta Moneyam
of Valconda
Do
Do Sandeverayen of
(Marked) Mahomed Sheriff
(Signed) Venkatachellum pillay
" Venkatachellum pillay Son of Annapillay
" Shakamaul Cawdy
" Venkataramma Chetty
" Mahomed Tippoo Son of Pakuti's
Shaw Makandar
" Rayakistna Reddy
" Putta Ally Shaw
Saul Shaw
Nazar Haopani Shaw Pundaree
Shaik Abdul Cawder
(A true translation)
(Signed) H Babington
Asst Coll.

True Copy
(Signed) A. P. Arbuthnot
Coll.
Do

4658 Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

No 2

To the Acting Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th Ultimo reporting upon petition No 425 of 1834 and to inform you that under the circumstances therein represented they do not consider that Syed Azzeem possesses any title to the Enam which he claims. They have accordingly ordered the following Endorsement upon the Petition.-

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St. George
7th August 1842

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Dy Sect.

Endorsement on Petition No 425 of 1834

Under the circumstances stated by the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly in his reports upon this Petition the Board consider the claim

4659 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

claim of the petitioner to be inadmissible.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
7th August 1842

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Dy Sect.

/True copy/
/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Dy Sect.

/True copy/
/Signed/ A. R. Onslow
Coll.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not satisfied with the information contained in the foregoing letter, and desires the Board of Revenue will ascertain and report in whose possession are the premises referred to in the 2d para and whether by the reversion of one of the shares of the Enam to which allusion is made in the 3 para it is to be understood that the Government levy tax on the Grounds occupied by the

1860 — Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

the Cemetry and Houses &c. &c.

(Signed) John Orr
A. Sect. to Govt.

Order thereon — Ordered that

(17-18)

a copy of the foregoing communication be forwarded to the Collector of Tinchinopaly — who will be pleased to furnish the information required by Government in elucidation of the claims preferred by — Syed Cassim in Petition No. 1830 of 1841.

— Ex. Sect.

1861 — Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

From the Actg. Sec'y to Govt.

(19)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th April 1842.

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 26th March 1842.

Read the following Letter

To Robert Clerk Esqre
Secretary to Government
Marine Department

Sir,

I have the honor to request the sanction of Government to the allotment of some favorable spot for the conduct of the Tidal observations ordered to be instituted at this station. In my letter of the 3rd December 1841 I stated generally that that the whole line of the Southern bank of the river facing the Flag Staff affords a site favorable at any point for the prosecution of such enquiries. And as the Ground so situated is the property of Government, the Principal Collector in Malabar in communication with the Acting Asst. Magistrate of Cochin will be

have

have no difficulty in portioning me off with the concurrence of the Revenue Board, such Area or space, as is compatible with the Rights of Government, and the convenience of Individuals.

Cochin
11th March 1842

/ Signed / J. Crisp Major
Hon'ble Company's Acting
Astronomer

Ordered to be communicated to the Revenue Board, through the Revenue Department, in reference to the resolution of Government in that Department dated 31st January last: No. 123, and with a request that they will be pleased to issue the necessary orders for the early allotment of a favorable spot of Ground at Cochin for the conduct of the Tidal observations at that Station.

/ Signed / H. Chamier
Chief Secy to Govt

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of

with reference to the Minutes of Consultation in this Department dated 31st January last No 123

/ Signed / John Orr
Actg Secy to Govt

Order thereon.

(19-20)

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing communication be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance, with reference to the Minutes of Consultation of Government with a copy of which he was furnished under date the 7th February last.

Read the following

Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th April 1842

(From Bd 10th March 1842)

From the Actg Secretary to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council entirely concurs in the opinion expressed by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter in respect to the affairs of the Pollam of Poongamoor in the District of Cuddalapak, and accordingly authorizes them to refrain at present from any interference with that property in their capacity of Court

(21)

Wards.

M

It will of course be left to the discretion of the Magistrate to make whatever arrangements he may consider advisable for conducting the Police transactions of the Pollium. The final arrangements which may be found necessary for the support of the Widow of the late Vera Chockanaygel it is presumed will be laid before Government in due course.

(Signed) John Orr
Acting Secretary to Govt

Order thereon—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government, and of the Board's letter dated the 10th Ultimo on which it was passed, be forwarded to the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.—

The Acting Principal Collector will report the final arrangement which it may be found necessary to make for the support of the Widow of the late Veera Chockanaygel Royal.—

From the Sub Collr in charge
23d November in Cons 6th Decr 1841
Proceedings 6th Dr
From the sub Collr 19th Febr in Cons 9th March 1842
From Dr 26th Febr in Cons 16th Dr

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th April 1842.—

(From Board 21st March)

From the Agent to Government

(23)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Authorizes the Acting Collector of Cuddapah to disburse to Azeez Khaboon the arrears of Jeemiah allowance due to her from the 1st of July 1841 at the

the rate of (1½) Rupees
One and a half per
Month and also
grants sanction for
the continuance of
of the payment
to her.

/ Signed / John Cpt.
Acting Sec.
to Govt.

Ordered that
the following letter
be sent in consequence

To
The Acting Dpty. Collector of
Cuddapah.

To the Ag. Dpty. Coll.r of Cuddapah — 8 In Cons. 21st March 1842. (23-24)

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased, under date the 11th Instant, to sanction the continuance of the Gomastah allowance of 1½ Rupees per Mensem, claimed by Azeez disbursement of the arrears due to her at the same rate, from the 1st July 1841.

Revenue Bd. Office, Fort St. George, 18th April 1842. — 8

/ Signed / R. T. Porter
Ag. Secretary.

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th April 1842.

/ From Board 17th March /

His Lordship in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at

To the Ag. Dpty. Coll.r to Govt.

25

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

instance at the public expence, of the Suit No. 198 of 1840 instituted against the late Principal Collector of South Arcot and others in the Auxiliary Court at Cuddalore by one Allagapillay, a Pareeody Ryot, for the recovery of his right to 8 Cawnies and 19/Sixteenths of Punjah land assessed at Rupees 108, but will determine on a copy of the Decree being laid before him whether the Costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer, or by the Government.

/Signed/ John Orr.
Act. Secy. to Govt.

25-26

Order thereon.

From the Pt. Coll. 29th Augt. in Cons. 24th Septr. 1840.
From Do. 10th in Cons. 25th March 1841.
To Do. — 25th Do. —
To Do. — 8th Septr. —
From Do. 17th Septr. in Cons. 7th October —
From Do. 23d Nov. 1841. in Cons. 17th Jany. 1842.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot, with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 12th April 1842.

/From Board 24th March/

From the Act. Secy. to Govt.

27

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no objection to a compliance with the application of Baboo

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

Jashan Beebee for Copies of certain Documents on the Records of the Board of Revenue.

/Signed/ John Orr.
Act. Secy. to Govt.

27-29

Ordered to be brought forward

Order thereon,

/Read again in Cons. at a future day 18th May/

Read the following Letters.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr. of Ganjam —
Submitting information called for by the Authorities in England regarding the Roman Catholic Churches & Population within his District.

29

I have now the honor to submit the information called for by the Authorities in England, regarding the Roman Catholic Churches and population, within this District.—

Queries.	Answers.
What is the supposed number of Roman Catholics in the District of Ganjam?	About 140 persons: Viz.t at Ganjam and Chitterpore 25, at Chicacole 35 at Berhampore 80.
What is the number of their Churches, and at whose expense, and at what time, were such Churches built.?	3 One at Ganjam, one at Chicacole and one at Berhampore. The first was built in the year 1815, by the late Mr. Dutchman.

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

Dutchman a Roman or Catholic, and the others by the Roman Catholic Priest at Vizagapatam, by name P. Don Joseph Xo. De. Attaide about 10 years ago.—

By what Priests are they now served, and under what ecclesiastical Jurisdictions, are such Priests supposed to be?—

These Churches are served by P. Don Joseph Xo. De. Attaide the Priest at Vizagapatam, who is under the ecclesiastical Jurisdiction of the Bishop of St. Thome of Mylapore.

How many of such Priests are of British Origin, and to what nations do such as are not of British Origin, belong?—

P. Don Joseph Xo. De. Attaide is not of British Origin, but a Native of Goa.

Can such Priests speak the language of the people.—

P. Don Joseph Xo. De. Attaide does speak the language of the people here.—

What portion of the Roman Catholic population, in the Ganjam District

In this District no portion of the Roman Catholic population adheres

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

District adheres to the Vicar Apostolic, and the Priest acting under him, and what proportion to the Portuguese Priests?—

to the Vicar Apostolic, and the priest acting under him.

Have the Priests acting under the Vicar Apostolic ever claimed and obtained possession, by law, or otherwise, of any Churches, or other property, previously in possession of the Portuguese Priests; and have, in any case, the disputes as to the Possession of Churches, or other property, between the two classes of Priests, ever led to a Breach of the Peace?—

The Priests under the Vicar Apostolic have never obtained possession by law, or otherwise, of any Churches or other property previously in possession of the Portuguese Priests, and there never having been any Priest under the Vicar Apostolic in this District, no disputes have occurred.

Ganjam District,
Collr. Ctct. Cutcherry
Ketapore, 9th April 1842.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

To.

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly.

Submitting the information called for regarding the Roman Catholic Church & population in his District.

30

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 13th January last calling for certain information regarding the Roman Catholic Church and Population, I have the honor to submit the following replies to the questions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of under date 30th November 1841 thereunto prefixed.

Questions.	Answers.
1. What is the supposed number of Roman Catholics in your District?	The Roman Catholic population in the Tinnevelly District amounts to above 20,000.
2. What is the number of their Churches?	93.
3. At whose expense were they built?	63. by subscription among the Roman Catholics. 13. by Individuals, and 17 by Priests of both persuasions.
4. At what periods have such Churches been built?	27 in the 17th Century 43 in the 18th Do. By 23 in the 19th Do.

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

5. By what Priests are they now served?	85 by the Jesuit Priests, and 8 by a Goa Priest.
6. Under what ecclesiastical Jurisdiction are, or are supposed to be, such Priests?	The Jesuits are under the Bishop of Pondicherry and the Goa Priest under the Archbishop of Cochin. 5 of them are Jesuits.
7. What number of such Priests are, or are supposed to be, Jesuits?	None.
8. How many of such Priests are of British origin?	4 French } Jesuits. 1 Belgian }
9. To what nations do such as are not of British origin belong?	1 Malabar 1 Goa Priest.
10. Whether do such Priests speak the language of the people?	All speak Tamil.
11. What portion of the Roman Catholic population in the Tinnevelly District adheres to the Vicar Apostolic, and the Priest acting under him?	About 17,000 or 1/20 of the Roman Catholic population is at present said to be attached to the Jesuit Priests acting under the Bishop of Pondicherry. About what

12. What proportion to the Portuguese Priests?— About 3,000, or 3/20 of it to the Goa Priest acting under the Archbishop of Cochin.

13. Whether have the Priests acting under the Vicar Apostolic claimed and obtained possession, by law, or otherwise, of any of Churches or other property previously in possession of the Portuguese. None appear to have been claimed by legal procedure but the Jesuit Priests under the Vicar Apostolic have obtained possession, either by the seceding of the Priests under the Archbishop of Goa or by the consent of the majority of the congregations attached to the different Churches.

14 Whether in any case have the disputes as to possession of Churches or other property between the 2 Classes of Priests led to a breach of the Peace?— In several cases regarding the possession of the Churches, and in one regarding Church property. These disputes have principally occurred at Tutacorin where the interference of the Police has been frequently called for—.

Tinnevelly, Suchendoor 9th April, 1842.— } Signed H. C. Montgomery Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the table. Read

(29-31)

Read the following letter—

To The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Actg. Coll.r of Vizagapatam— } Sir,

(32) With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant, I have the honor to forward the first Edition of the Law relating to India therein called for—

Vizagapatam Coll.te Cutcherry 9th April 1842. } Signed P. T. Smollett Actg. Collector

Order thereon— Ordered to lie on the table— Read the following letter—

(32-33)

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Collr of Rajahmundry— } Sir, Para 1. I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, the death, on the 8th Instant, of Colcherla=

(34) =colah Ramarow, Proprietor of the estate of Gunapanaram, consisting of 17 Villages and paying an annual Permanent Jummah of Company's Rupees 1,877-3-0

From

No. 142.

2

From the accompanying copies and translations of two Arzees addressed by the late Proprietor before his death on the 17th and his only son and heir on the 18th Instant, it will be observed that the whole of the estate of the former has been legally inherited by the latter who is himself the joint Proprietor of the Village of Jungareddy goodem, and as he has expressed his willingness to continue paying the Government demand against the Ganapavaram Estate I request he may be authorized to register the latter in his name.

3.

The balance of Government demand on account of the Current fasly is comps Rupees 978-8-- and on account of the arrears Company's Rupees 803, which are in train of liquidation.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Cundavelly 28th March, 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

No. 1

To, G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector in the Zillah of
Rajahmundry

An Arzee from Cocherlacotah Ramarow Zemindar of Ganapavaram Mootah.

As I have been seized

for a period of 4 Months, and now find that I shall live no longer, I have made over to my son Leetarama Chendra row, aged 24 years, the Villages of my said Zemindary of Ganapavaram Mootah, together with the whole of my other property I therefore beg that you will be pleased to cause the said Ganapavaram Mootah to be registered in his name, and continue receiving from him the amount of Peishcush thereon, according to the Kists by issuing future Cowlnamahs to his address regarding the affairs of the same. Please to peruse dated the 17th March 1842.-

/Signed/ Ramarow

Witness
/Signed/ Muncheranze Ramajoggy Camavarapocotah.
Gunday Venkimmah of Seetamapettah.

/True Copy & translation/
/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

No. 2.

To G. A. Smith Esqre
Collector in the Zillah of
Rajahmundry

An Arzee from Cocherlacotah Leetaramachendra row Zemindar of Ganapavaram Mootah.
My father Cocherlacotah Ramarow

4678. Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

Ramarow, the late Zemindar of the said Mootah, being unwell for 4 months and finding that he could live no longer in consequence of severe ill-health, chose me on the 17th instant as heir to the Villages of the said Mootah &c., the whole of our property, and addressed you an Arzee on the subject, and as he died this day at 12 O'Clock Noon I beg leave to state that I shall continue to pay myself the Peishcush of the said Mootah by the fixed instalments with reference to the Arzee addressed you by my Father; I therefore beg you will be pleased to issue the future Ewaitnamahs to my address regarding the affairs of the said Gonaparavaram Mootah. Please to peruse. Dated the 18th March 1842.

(Signed) C. Seetaramachendra Rowe.

(True Copy & Translation)
(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(34-35) Under the circumstances set forth in the foregoing letter and its enclosures the Board authorize the Collector of Rajahmundry to register the estate of &c Gonaparavaram in the name of Seetaramachendra Row the only son and heir of the late Proprietor Cocherlacota Rama Row.

4679. Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Dy. Collector of Nellore ... (36)

Sir,

I have the honor to forward two statements, the first of arrears due to two Yeomeadars and the second of arrears due to a Native Fund Pensioner who have hitherto received their respective allowances from my Treasury and to request that the Sanction of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of the arrears.

Explanation is given in the Column of remarks of the causes which have occasioned the payments to fall into arrear. but it is right with reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th of January 1842 to mention that the Yeomeahdars are both Gosha women, that the Vakeel of the first is a Yeomeahdar but under the permission granted in the Boards letter of the 8th May 1841 - the second has been hitherto allowed to nominate an individual who tho' he is not a pensioner on the bounty of Government is in other respects unobjectionable - Before payment of the arrears is made, the usual Certificate of existence and identity signed by two male Yeomeahdars according to the provisions of Rule 4 of the new Yeomeah Rules will of course be taken.

(Signed) F. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector.

Nellore District Kistnapatam Principal Collectors Cutcherry
28th March 1842.

Statement.

4680

Fort St. George

No. 1

Statement of Arrears due to Jeomeahdars

18th April 1842

in the Nellore District.

Number in the Book for July 1250	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Pr. annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears due.	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment.
8	Bada Saiba	40 " "	29th December 1842	From July 1841 to February 1842	Rs 26 10 8	This Jeomeadar is an Inhabitant of Nellore Her Grand daughter being unwell at Madras. She proceeded there with leave of absence for 4 Months but some delay took place in her return owing to the prolonged illness of her Grand daughter. She has now returned and claims her Jeomiah due. Her identity has been established.
122	Hussainbee (Arcot)	60 " "	3d January 1823	From Augt 1840 to February 1842	95 " "	This individual is a Native of Arcot. The payment of the amount due to her for 1 year and 6 days or from 12th July 1839 to the end of July of the following year being Rupees 63-2-8 at the rate of 5 Rupees a month received the sanction of the Board of Revenue under date the 21st January 1841 but owing to the absence of Hussainbee the sum has remained unpaid. She has now appeared and presented an arzee under date the 15th February 1842 stating that she fell sick and consequently was unable to attend at Nellore till now. Her Statement has been ascertained to be true and her identity has been established. the amount above sanctioned will be paid to her and sanction is now solicited for the payment of the allowance due from August 1840 to February 1842.
					Rupees 121 10 8	

Nellore District Treasury
Princ. Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry 28th March 1842

(Signed) J. Y. Stonhouse
Princ. Collector

Statement

6681

Fort St. George

18th April 1842.

Statement of arrears due to a Native Land Pensioner in the Nellore District.

Number of the Certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears due	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment.
					Rs a ps	
768	Chercotatah Atchamnah	23 15 "	29th March 1817.	From May 1841 to February 1842	19-15-2	This Pensioner is a Native of Nellore and presented an arzee in the month of January 1842 praying that her pension should be paid to her thro Totah Narsimloo her daughter's son as she wished him as a Vakeel instead of Dasary Vencatasawmy her former Vakeel. The Pensioner has hitherto always received her Pension through a Vakeel and it has been ascertained that no objection exists to the individual now nominated by her. Owing to a delay in naming a new Vakeel more than 6 Months have expired without any payment having been made and sanction is now solicited for the payment of the arrears from the Month of May last.

Nellore District,
Kistnapatam,
Principal Collector Circuit Cutcherry
28th March 1842

(Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

Under

4682 Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

Proceedings thereon

(36-37)

Under the circumstances explained in the foregoing letter &c and in the Statements / No. 1 and 2 / which accompany it the Board of Revenue beg to recommend that the Principal Collector of Nellore may receive Sanction to pay to the two Jeers. Pada Saiba 26—10—8 Mussaubee —95—0— Cherootatah } Achenniah } 19—15—2 alluded to the amount of arrears due to them respectively as entered in the Margin.

To

4683 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Pr. Collr. of Nellore

(38)

Sir.

I have the honor to return the Petition addressed to Government by Beevabee Fuzzul Sahiba and Bundoo Bhee transmitted with your letter of the 21st Ultimo on the subject of their claim to the Village of Cheecavole in the resumed Zemindary of Sydapoor and to refer the Board to my letter of the 25th July 1836 in which the case has been fully reported on.

Nellore District, Kistnapatam, Pr. Collr. Cutcherry, 6th April 1842.

/ Signed / T. V. Stonhouse
Princl. Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

Read the following Statements

Statement

Sent again in Cont. 12 May / 38-39

4685 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkanum in the Southern

Arcot up to 2nd April 1842.

41

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.—	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation.—	Remar
Bengal Maunds 2,00,000	Bengal Maunds "	Bengal Maunds 2,00,000	Bengal Maunds 2,00,000	

Purdoor South Arcot, ... }
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry }
12th April 1842 ... ... }

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Collector

Murkanum is about 20 miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance
making the place a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack
from Sun rise to Sun Set.

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddal
"Culcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of
Murkanum may be stated.—

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the sa
Cutcherry or to the Sahsildar at Murkanum.—

/Signed/ C.

L684 || Fort St. George 18th April 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 5th April 1842.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for Exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs.	Remarks
	40				
For 1841/42 Bengal Maunds 5,00,000	1,04,360	3,95,640	76750/4	12975	

Chingleput District,
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry,
Ponnoury 16th April 1842

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

(40-42)

Read the following letter.—

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Actg. Pr. Coll. in the N. Div. of Arcot. — Para. 1

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th Ultimo relative to the Management

Reporting on the Object of the future management of Pagoda Lands.

43

points are involved in the reference on this subject. 1st the demands of the Principle on which the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors are founded and 2nd the claims of the Ryots.—

2 In regard to the first the relief contemplated would be incomplete if the management of the Pagoda Lands is retained in the hands of the Collector. The Principle would remain dissatisfied and a partial measure

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

now would only be embarrassing hereafter.

The question however does not present any difficulties in this District as there are no lands belonging to Pagodas under the Management of the Collector. The Pagoda Revenue in this District is derived from Tusdecks and the land. The former is a commutation effected many years ago and will continue as it is, it amounts to Rupees 26,941-4-7 annually which will be paid to the Trustee of the Pagoda unaccompanied by any application to render an account unless called upon specially to do so. The latter consists of ancient alienations of land. Dry. Wet. Garden and Tope, these yield annually about Rupees 70,730-4-

This does not include the payments on account of Sirkutty are about Rs. 82,528-1-8 per annum but as these are made out of the offerings they do not strictly come under the heads of Tusdeck.

" as these lands have never been under the Management of the Officers of Government they will continue as heretofore, the Trustee assuming the Management of them any interference on the part of the Collector is not called for.—

If

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

3. If lands belonging to Pagodas have been heretofore under the Collector, the demands of the Principle that they shall no longer continue under that Officer, without sufficient cause shewn, are imperative. The separation must now be made and in this case all that appears necessary is that the nomination by the Trustee of the person to manage these lands shall be subject to the confirmation of the Collector. The pay of this person should be fixed and regularly discharged, to secure respectability and efficiency and the individual should be liable to dismissal by the Collector under the orders of the Board of Revenue. A duly qualified person will thus be secured; this and the existing Regulations would appear to be sufficient for maintaining without infraction the rights of the Ryots. Either this course or a commutation, as formerly, may be adopted. Where rates of assessment have been fixed the person entrusted

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

entrusted with the Management must be required to conform to these as well as to the mode of settlement hitherto in force.—

North Arcot,
Pr. Coll.s Cutcherry,
Chittoor 6th April 1842

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Actg. Pr. Collector

Order thereon

(43-44)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Salem dated 31st January and entered in Consultation 11th February last.—

Order thereon

(45)

Informing the Pr. Coll.r of Salem that the Bd. consider the fine of 500 Rs. imposed by him upon Pistnarow Tahsildar of Salem was excessive and directing that it be remitted.

The Board have given attentive consideration to the appeal Petition of Kistnarow, late Tahsildar of Salem, which accompanies the foregoing letter, and they are of opinion that the conduct of this individual in the Management of the agraharum Village of Cuttumbady in Fusly. 1246 was not such as to render him amenable to the Section of Regulation IX of 1822 under which he has been so punished. There is nothing to shew that in

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

in the transactions brought forward as matter of accusation. The Tahsildar was actuated by any corrupt or criminal motive and altho' part of his arrangements was in some degree at variance with orders which he received from the Hoozzoor, there does not seem any fair ground for charging him with more than an error in judgment, or a misconception of the extent to which he was authorized to follow the customary arrangements under which the settlement of the Village has been conducted previously to its being taken under zuft.—

2 "With this view of the case the Board consider that the fine of 500 Rupees imposed by the Principal Collector upon Kistnarow was excessive and they resolve to direct that it be restored to him.—

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon the appeal petition from Kistnarow recorded above.—

Fort St. George 18th April 1842

"Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Salem, who will communicate to him the decision of the Board upon this address."—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly Read letter requesting leave of absence until the end of June next under Section XI of the rules for granting leave of absence.—

Ordered

4692 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

Order thereon. Ordered to be sub-
mitted to Government for
favorable consideration thro' the
channel of the Civil Auditor with the
recommendation of the
Board of Revenue that
Mr. Onslow may be per-
mitted to deliver over charge
of the District at Tanjore
to the Gentleman appoint-
ed to relieve him.

Read the follow-
ing letter.

To

46-47

IGB

4693 Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Sir Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly — Para 1. In explanation of the remarks on the item No 8 in the Extract from the Statement of charges incurred by this Office without estimate in fusly 1250 received with your letter of the 21st Instant, I have the honor to forward the accompanying account particulars shewing the number of persons apprehended, names of the parties employed for their apprehension and rates of reward disbursed to them during the past fusly. The persons apprehended were delinquents of long standing who have been duly tried and a large portion of them convicted by the Criminal Courts. They formed a band of Gang robbers who infested this part of the Country for several years and who had successfully evaded the endeavours of the Police to apprehend them and the advantages that the country has attained by the leaders of the

48

42

and

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

this Gang being no longer at large are so apparent from the reduction since that period in the number of Torch light and highway robberies.—

2. The Dalayet and Peons to whom the rewards were given were employed in this duty for nearly two months and by their exertions appear to have fully merited the approbation of Mr. Thompson who was then Magistrate of the District, and under whose special instructions the sum to be awarded to each was fixed.—

Tinnevelly
Srechendoor
31st March 1842.

Signed H. C. Montgomery
Collector.

Account

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

A.

Account Particulars. shewing the names of persons employed in apprehending 63 Murderers of the Padacaputtoo Village in the Punjamahl Talook and the rate of reward granted to each, the total amount corresponding with the item No. 3 in the Statement of Charges incurred with Estimate in July 1250 in the District of Tinnevelly.—

Rungasawmy Naick Huzzoor Head Duffadar	12	5 . .
Mootoosawmy Rajah Deputy Do.	10	8 . .
Deloyets.		
Abdul Ryman	6	. .
Alagherry Naick	6	. .
Manoor Mohideen	6	. .
Ramasawmy Naick	7	. .
Moyyandy	6	. .
Alagappen	6	. .
Coppen Huzzoor Sayer Peon	4	6 .
Sibbendy Sepoys.		
Shaick Hussain	5	4 .
Mummadoo Yeup	5	4 .
Shaick Cassim	5	4 .
Cauder beg	5	4 .
Mootoosawmy Naicken	5	4 .
Mummadoo Cassim	5	4 .

Talooks Peons of Punjamahl.

Caunatty Naicken	3	8.
Ponnuru	3	8.
Beramkhan	3	8.
Jasperoa	3	8.
Condama Naicken	3	8.
Palany acting Do.	3	8.

Talook Peons of Streevygoondum

Soobramoneyan	3	8.
Moottoosawmy	3	8.
Ramasawmy	3	8.
Chinnasawmy Naicken	3	8.

Talook Peons of Ottapedarum

Soobramoneyen	3	8.
Mohideen - Acting Do.	3	8.
Company's Rupees	250	0.

Tinnevelly Trichendoor 31st March 1842.

E. E. Jr. (signed) R. C. Montgomery
Collr

Proceedings thereon.

(48-49)

Submitted to Govt 24th Feby 1842.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council (with reference to the remarks of the Board and orders of Government on item No. 3 in the Statement of Magisterial charges incurred without Estimate in July 1250 in the District of Tinnevelly.—

Under the explanation now furnished the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted for the sums disbursed in rewards to Delegets and Peons who apprehended certain Robbers amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 250-0-0.—

From the Pl. Collr of Canara - Read Abstract

(50)

statement shewing the number of Persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of

4698 Fort St George 18th April 1842

of Regulation IX of 1822 and
VII of 1828 from 1st July
to 31st December last

Order thereon.

50-51

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government

Read the following letter

4699 Fort St George 18th April 1842

Read the following letter

To The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

From the Collector of Madras

52

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 18th January communicated in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th February last, I have the honor to submit for the necessary sanction a Minio of certain arrears of Yeomiah due to Peary Beebee.

Madras 5th April 1842

(Signed) A Robertson
Collector

Memorandum

Fort St. George 18th April 1842.

Memorandum shewing the arrears of Government Pension payable to Peary Beebee.

Name of the Pensioner	Amount of Pension per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears due	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of G
	Rs a p			Rs a p			
Peary Beebee	93 5 0	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th August 1841 communicated by the Board of Revenue on the 6th of the Month following.	From 1st May 1841 to 31st March 1842 being 11 Months.	85 8 7	Under the sanction of Government communicated to this Office on the 6th September last, the arrears up to the 30th April 1841 were paid to Peary Beebee on the 7th ultimo, the delay having been occasioned by the difficulty which she experienced in procuring the prescribed securities and a certificate of her identity. The special sanction of Government is now requisite for the payment of the arrears referred to in this Memorandum.	The Board recommend that Sanctioned. special sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears referred to amounting to Rupees 85-8-7 under the explanation furnished by the Collector.	85-8-7 Fort St. George 10th May 1842.

Madras 5th April 1842.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

By Order,

Revr. Board Office, Fort St. George, 18th April 1842.

(Signed) R. J. Porter
1st Secy

4701 Fort St George 18th April 1842

Order thereon. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter and submitted for the orders of Government.

(52-53)

Read the following letter

To.

The Secretary to the

Revenue Board &c.

Sir

From E. A. Langley Esqre Constituted Attorney to Purvatummal Vaa

(54)

Having been informed that the Government have forwarded their orders passed in the Polepat case to your Board I have the honor to request that I may be favored with a copy of the decision of Government for the information and guidance of my clients.

Adyar 11th April 1842.

(Signed) E. A. Langley
Constituted Attorney
to Purvathummal &c.

Ordered &c

4702 Fort St George 18th April 1842

(54-55)

Order thereon Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

(Read again in Cont 25th April)

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. (56) Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1250.

From the Collector of Masulipatam (57) Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1250

From the Collector of Masulipatam (58) Statement of Magisterial charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1250.

From the Coll: of Masulipatam. (59) Statement of Magisterial charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1250.

From the Collector of Guntoor. (60) Statement of Sauderwared and Extra charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1250.

From the Collector of Guntoor. (61) Statement of Extra charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1250.

From the Collector of Guntoor. (62) Statement of Sauderwared and

and Extra charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement of Extra charges
(63) incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1250

From the Nd Pl. Coll: of Cuddapah. Statement of charges
(64) incurred under Estimate on account of advances for cultivation for Fusly 1250.

Order on the three abovementioned Statements (56-65) Resolved that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees
(66) each incurred in the 2d Quarter of Fusly 1251.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each
(67) incurred in the Magisterial Department in the 2nd Quarter of Fusly 1251.

From the Pl. Coll: of Nellore. Statement of Contingent
(68) Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 2d Quarter of Fusly 1251.

From the Nd Pl. Coll: of Cuddapah. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 2nd Quarter of Fusly 1251.
(69)

From the Nd Pl. Coll: of Tanjore. Statement of Contingent
(70) charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 2d Quarter of Fusly 1251.

From the Sub Treasurer. Statement of Contingent
(71) charges incurred during the Month of February last.

From the Sec.y to Government Savings Bank } Statement of Contingent
(72) charges incurred during the Month of March last.

Order on the Seven abovementioned Statements } Resolved that the necessary orders be passed & letters be dispatched.-
(66-73)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

To the Chief Sec.y to Government } In conformity to the orders of
(74)

4705 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836: I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of October 1841.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th April 1842. } (Signed) R. T. Porter
Asst Secretary

Resolved that the following Letter be dispatched.

The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam.

Sir,

To the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam. } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying further Petition from Soorumpoody Chellummah together with one from D. Ramakistniah, stating himself to have been Chellummah's Gomastah; and request you will be pleased to report upon their respective claims with as little delay as possible, with reference to the Minutes

75

Bd's No. 38 of Govt. 269 } 1842.
Bd's No. 42 of 1842.
Govt. 946 of 1841.

4706 Fort St. George 18th April 1842

In Cons. 21st Feby 1842

of Consultation of the 14th February last transmitted to you under date the 21st of the same Month.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th April 1842. } (Signed) T. B. Roupell
Asst Sub Secretary

To The Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

Sir

To The Collr in the Zillah of Rajahmundry } Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your consideration the enclosed Petition from Rajah Cundoocooroo Mullecarjuna Booshara Row.

No. 579 of 1842.

78

2. If the Statement advanced by the Petitioner is correct that collection to the Amount of Rupees 10,000 have been made from the Yellamunchelly Estate, it appears to the Board, that you may consistently with a due regard to

to the interest of Government obtain such satisfactory security for the remaining Rupees 2000 as added to that which the Mootah itself gives for the regular payment of the Peishcush may enable you to carry into effect the wishes of the Board that the Estate should be placed in the possession of Rajah Cundoo-coroo Mullecarjoona Booshana Row as soon as practicable.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 18th April 1842.

{Signed} R. J. Porter
A.S. Sect.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of Bellary.

(77) Stating that no Revenue

Revenue defaulters have been confined or released during the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

From the Collector of Bellary.

(78) Stating that the Returns of Persons charged with offences and of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of March last are not sent, there being no entry to make.-

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

(79) Abstract of Demand Collecteon and Balance for the Month of Febrvary last.-

Statement

4709 Fort St George 18th April 1842

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shew-
(80) -ing the average Bazar wholesale prices of
Grain and Salt for the Month of February
last.

From the Pt Collector of Nellore. Register of Certifi-
(81) -cates issued for the exportation of Salt
to Chittagong on Vessels on account of the
Chittagong Indent for the year 1841/42
for the Month of March last.-

" Bond

" G. D. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" A. Macleod

Comp. to
F. H. Boyle