Contents.

No.	From whom	Subject	Page
		27th October 1858	
4800	F. Government	Referring for the Board's opinion a letter with Enclosures from the Offg Chief Engineer regarding the urgent repairs needed of the Travellers Bungalow at Juggiapett in Rajahmundry	17898.
4090.	F. Ganjam	Subg further information regarding the claim advanced by the Ex-Rajah of Kantghur to certain Mocassa Villages	17899.
4138.	F— Do—	Forwarding an original Statement of the Ex-Rajah of Kalghur's case	17918.
4627.	F. Cuddapah	Reporting on Travellers Bungalows for the year 1857—	17966.
4683.	F. Tanjore	Reporting on Petition No 1514 of 1858 claiming a Survamaniem in the Village of Trippendorty Taluk Trivady	17968.
4818	F. Madras	Forwarding a List of Money allowances disbursed except Jeomiahs, Salaries of Public Officers and Superannuation Pensions	17971.
4819	F— do—	Forwarding a further List of money allowances disbursed	17973.
4784.	F. Mess[rs] Binny & C[o]	Requesting to be informed if Tenders will be opened for such quantities of Salt for the lading of single Vessels	17974.
		Miscellaneous Papers recorded	17975.
		28th October 1858	
4646.	F. Government	Sanctioning the disbursement to Mr Montclair on the approval of the Security offered by him Rs 30,000 as a loan in consideration of the injury caused to his Sugar Plantation by the recent breach of the Godavery Annicut	17979
4651.	F— Do—	Passing an order with reference to a certain discrepancy in the Board's Statements relating to the Settlement of the Presidency for July 1765	—"
4812.	F— Do—	Approving of the proposal of the Collector of Canara to offer Prizes for the best Essays on certain agricultural Subjects in lieu of Agricultural Exhibition proposed to be held at Mangalore	17980.
4836.	F— Do—	Extra Saderwasel Expences incurred amounting to Rs 310. 12. 7 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments in the District of Coimbatore in July 1767 sanctd	—"
		Disbursement to the Government Pleader Rs 994. 4.	
4837.	F— Do—	Disbursement to the Government Pleader Rs 994. 4. the amount of his Fees in appeal Suit No 136 of 1857 on the file of the Sudr Court sanctioned	17981.

No.	From whom.	Subject.	Page.
1670.	F. Ganjam.	Requesting to purchase and forward by the first opportunity 50 lbs of Printing Ink.	17981.
1537.	F. Vizagapatam.	Relative to the Cirls complained of by the Bringaries and others trading through the Jeypore Territories regarding which the Zemindar has been written on the subject.	17983.
1851.	F. — Do. —	Stating that no inconvenience has been felt in his district for Summoning of public Servants in Police cases	17985.
1507.	F. Rajahmundry.	Reporting on Petitions Nos 532 and 632 of 1858 praying for the restoration of certain Inam lands with the produce of past years.	17986.
1853.	F. Masulipatam.	Stating that no Revenue is derived from the trade by land in his district but shewing the Revenue for 3 years derived by Sea Customs.	18005.
1489.	F. Nellore.	Soliciting information what portion of the remission of the outstanding balances sanctioned for his district, and how much for each respective Fusly.	18006.
1642.	F. — Do. —	Recommending for the consideration of the Board the case of V. Ramarow and soliciting orders for employing him as a Talook Mazoom Goomastah.	18007.
1692.	F. — Do. —	Stating that the Shrotriem Village of Pumbalay was granted to Balakistnamah Naidoo by the Nabob Wallajah and forwarding Copy of his Sunnud with a Translation in English.	18009.
1792.	F. — Do. —	Referring to his Report of the 13 October 1858 on the Subject of Petition No 2940 of 1858.	18013.
1778.	F. Bellary.	Requesting to despatch by Bangly a small Canister of Printing Ink.	—"—
1860.	F. — Do. —	Forwarding a List of all money allowances in the Revenue Depart except Yeomiahs disbursed in his district and a Separate List for the Runchapollium Talook.	18015.
1574.	F. Salem.	Requesting to obtain the sanction of Government for refunding to Ryots in the arrears of Peishcush of Fasleis 1758 and 1759 paid by him on certain Punjah lands in the Villages of Nallapully in the Womalore Talook.	18042.
1684.	F. Tanjore.	Reporting on Petn No 2067 of 1857 that his request may be granted to establish his alleged right by a Suit in the Court for the Surramaneem Village Arnapoorneshwara.	18046.

No.	From whom.	Subject.	Page.
1682.	F. Tanjore.	Reporting on Petn No 670 of 1858 stating that Petr may be permitted to sue the parties for the recovery of his Shrotriem lands.	18048.
1739.	F. — Do. —	Reporting on Petn No 1566 of 1858 stating that Petr may be permitted to sue the parties for the recovery of his Surramaneem land.	18050.
1863.	F. — Do. —	Submitting information about the location of Treasuries	18052.
1734.	F. Madura.	Reporting on Petn No 2605 of 1858 stating that Petr is not worthy of being re-employed.	18058.
1847.	F. — Do. —	Reporting the circumstances of the fraudulent alteration of a Stamps Certificate.	18060.
1814.	F. Tinnevelly.	Forwarding a List of all money allowances disbursed from his Honour Treasury.	18062.
1852.	F. Malabar.	Stating that there are no Inams in his district referred in the Board's Circular of the 19 July last.	18064.
1830.	F. Hon'ble Company's Solicitor.	Returning certain papers furnished by the Collector of Madras relating pension to the family of the late Fatmah Begum.	18068.
1841.	F. Accountant General.	Stating the difficulty of making his observations on the Pamphlet of Mr Bayley on the subject of a uniform System of Weights and measures.	—"—
1775.	F. Agent & Manager of the Madras Railway Company.	Soliciting information regarding certain Public Bungalows situated in the district of Cuddapah and the prices at which the Board are willing to sell them.	18069.
		Miscellaneous Papers recorded	18070.
		29th October 1858.	
1971.	F. Government.	Urging the speedy collection of the Specimens and information respecting the Coiton plant required for transmission to the Hon'ble Court Comm	18071.
1593.	F. — Do. —	Despatch from the Hon'ble Court with Orders of Government conveying their sentiments and orders on the cases of certain Memorialists of Madras Comm.	18072.

No.	From whom.	Subject	Page
4640.	F. Government	Requesting the early submission of the Report on the System of Weights and measures recommended by Mr Bayley for the British Territories in India	18072
4649.	F. Government	Remarks of Govt on the explanation furnished by the Accountant General with regard to certain discrepancies in the Revenue Statements furnished by him for Fusly 1266 Commd	18073
4754.	F. — Do —	Deferring the appointment of a third Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement in the district of Masulipatam	"
4773.	F. — Do —	A letter from the Government of India regarding the existing system of management of the proceeds of all Tolls and Ferries on lines of Road constructed and maintained from the Public Revenues	18074
4772	F. — Do —	Granting Authority to Inspectors of Boundaries to fine persons refusing or failing to attend at the demarcation of the boundaries of their fields	"
4865	F. — Do —	Sanctioning one Rupee a Month for providing Office accommodation for the Cutwal at Waltair in the district of Vizagapatam	18075
4867.	F. — Do —	Orders of Government that the Poreyaur Buildings and Garden at Tanjore should continue with the District Engineer for the purposes of an Engineer Workshop and Store-house	"
4868.	F. — Do —	Referring to the Board for Report a Petn from the Revd F. Xavier a Roman Catholic Priest at Ongoor in the Zillah of Chingleput praying to be maintained in the undisturbed possession of the entire extent of land attached to his Church	18076
4882	F. — Do —	Sanction of the Government of India to the Establishment required for the collection of Moturpha Revenue in the Vizianagrum Zemindary in the district of Vizagapatam	"

No.	From whom.	Subject	Page
4462.	F. Ganjam	Forwarding Copy of a letter from the Executive Engineer shewing the particulars of improving the sanitary condition of Berhampore	18077
4879.	F. — Do —	Subg a detailed Statement of the amount paid on account of Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands and Privileges &c in his district for Fusly 1266.	18086.
4880.	F. — Do —	Submitting a Statement shewing the amount paid on account of Pagodas and Mosques in his district for Fusly 1266.	18093.
4677.	F. Vizagapatam	Stating that he will submit his Report on the Public Works for the official year 1857-1858 as soon as he is furnished with the necessary data by the district Engineer	18096.
4187.	F. Rajahmundry	Reporting on the result of the Survey of the Village of Bundapoorum in his district	18097.
4596.	F. — do —	Submitting his opinion on the subject of the proposed Ferry in his district and to support his proposal for the purchase of the Vessel	18103.
4466.	F. Masulipatam	Reporting that a certain amount of money was found on the persons of the prisoners sent up on a charge of having taken a part in the plunder of Juggiapett, and suggesting that the money be laid out for the building of a well	18111.
4564.	F. Salem.	Subg explanation regarding the Extra Tentage drawn by him at Oopoor for the week occupied in the Settlement of that Talook.	18112
4521.	F. North Arcot.	Reporting the suspension of Streenevassa Rom Tahsildar of Tirvellum Talook for delaying to submit the information required regarding the Rent charged to the Railway Company for land not occupied by their works	18114.
4608.	F. — Do —	Submitting explanation regarding the Exchange of Villages between his district and those of Chingleput and South Arcot	18115.

No.	From whom.	Subject.	Page.
1764.	L. Canada.	Reporting the release of the Revenue defaulters	1811[illegible]8.
		Miscellaneous Papers recorded	1811[illegible]9.

1789

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Wednesday the 27th October 1858

Received the following Papers received from Government and bound in a Separate Volume.

Extract

17898. Fort St. George 27th October 1858-

Bd. No. 3402- From the Chief Secy. to Government-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the P. W. Department under date 23d October 1858-

Referring for the Board's opinion a letter with enclosures from the Offg. Chief Engineer regarding the repair, stated to be urgently needed of the Traveller's Bungalow at Juggiahpet in Rajahmundry, and directing that Officer invariably to communicate with the Board before submitting to Government Estimates for such repairs-

(See No. 2227 in Separate Volume)

Read also the following Papers

17899. Fort St. George, 27th October 1858-

No. 149.

To J. D. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

Bd. No. 4090 From the Collector of Ganjam-

Sir, Para 1. I have the honor to submit further information regarding the claim advanced by the Exc. Rajah of Haulghur to certain Mocassa Villages as desired by the Board in their late Secretary's letter of the 15th June last.-

2. I enclose a separate list of the Villages which according to the Permanent settlement account were at that time classed as "Jeroyate" together with the Beriz &ca. of each-

3. Mohurpha and Kuttoobady are included as the Board will observe, among the items of Revenue derived from these Villages, the latter item occurs because in most Villages there was some portion of land held on the easy quit rent which goes by this name-

4 The 50 Villages claimed by the Ex. Zemindar are given in a second account

(B)

(B) The first column of which shews the amount borne by these Villages at the time of the permanent Settlement and the second the increased shist imposed when they ceased to be Jaghire Villages and were disposed of by the Zemindar as Irroyati Villages. The manner in which these Villages passed from the condition of "Jaghire" or "Mocassa" and became the property of the Rajah is also noted as far as any record is obtainable.-

5 There is no reason to doubt the import of the entries in the Permanent settlement account which describe these 50 Villages (as well as others still in the possession of the Mocassadars and which are not included in this claim) as having paid at that time simply a Jaghire or Mocassa rent called sometimes a "Kham" and sometimes "Cuttoobady" according as the amount was arbitrary or fixed on certain date. Their subsequent condition as Irroyati Villages under the Zemindar must also be looked upon as an Established fact.-

6 The Hantghur Rajahs treated these as

as lands at their absolute disposal and made fresh grants and resumptions of many of them, so that the number which appeared from time to time under the head of Irroyati varied in different years. Hence the Goodicut account presented by the Rajah before the sale does not necessarily correspond with the Statements of this present claim.-

7 No steps were taken to enquire into the correctness of that account or to protect the proprietary rights of the Rajah at the time of the sale. The only description given of the estate in the sale notice was its designation as the Hantghur Estate. This circumstances however seems to me to define the rights of the Purchaser limiting the property which he can claim under his purchase to the estate as it is borne on the settlement accounts which constituted it a zemindary under Peshcush. This view of the case would forbid the purchaser (the cullicote Rajah) to claim from the Villages which were at that time entered as "Jaghire" or "Mocassa" any other than the "Jagheer Kham" or Mocassa Kuttoobady".-

8 If therefore the Government are disposed to admit the Ex Rajah's title on purchase

purchase lapse or resumption to these Villages he will be entitled to hold them on payment of Kham or Cuttoobady to the Cullicotta Rajah The question which remains for disposal is therefore between the Government and the Ex. Rajah and turns on the weight which is allowed to the evidence of Purchase and on the light in which the Government regard the resumptions which gave the Rajah possession of the remaining Villages. And first as regards the documentary evidence of purchase produced by him in the instance of 30 out of the 50 Villages I do not see how these titles can be rejected without the risk of injustice the documents are of all kinds being written ~~some~~ one on paper some on Cadjans and some on metal plates they bear no signature but it was then customary to affix none. had they been forged they would probably have been complete in this respect and would embrace the whole 50 Villages instead of only 30.-

9 As regards the resumptions or lapses which placed the Rajah in possession of the remaining 20. I am aware that the Government's claims* has been held good in a similar case into Villages of the Turlah.

Zemindary

*Extract from the Proceedings of the Commr. N.C. dated the 3d December 1850.

Zemindary but it must be borne in mind that for a long course of years the Hautghur Villages have been held by the Ex Rajah and his predecessors as their own property and have been by them in many instances again granted to others-

10 Both the title on purchase and in virtue of long and absolute possession may certainly be impugned if strictly dealt with; but there are circumstances which should I think induce the Government to admit the Rajah's claim as purchaser to 30 Villages without further objection and to waive their own title to the resumed Villages-

11 The Ex Rajah of Hautghur is the last of a family conspicuous for its loyalty and for the zealous assistance rendered by it to Government on several occasions. The Grand father and father of the present Ex Rajah and he himself have always manifested this spirit and they stand almost alone in this District as examples of its display.-

12 The Estate was brought to the however on the default of a single year and the law rigorously carried out the present Ex Rajah is in consequence a ~~ruined~~ ruined man & has lived since that time on borrowed means which are now failing him, a household of

purchase lapse or resumption to these Villages he will be entitled to hold them on payment of Kham or Cuttoobady to the Cullicotta Rajah The question which remains for disposal is therefore between the Government and the Ex. Rajah and turns on the weight which is allowed to the evidence of Purchase and on the light in which the Government regard the resumptions which gave the Rajah possession of the remaining Villages And first as regards the documentary evidence of purchase produced by him in the instance of 30 out of the 50 Villages I do not see how these titles can be rejected without the risk of injustice the documents are of all kinds being written ~~some~~ one on paper some on Cadjans and some on metal plates they bear no signature but it was then customary to affix none, had they been forged they would probably have been complete in this respect and would embrace the whole 50 Villages instead of only 30.-

9 As regards the resumptions or lapses which placed the Rajah in possession of the remaining 20. I am aware that the Government's claims* has been held good in a similar case into Villages of the Turlah.

Zemindary

*Extract from the Proceedings of the Commr. N.C. dated the 3d December 1850.

zemindary but it must be borne in mind that for a long course of years the Hantghur Villages have been held by the Ex Rajah and his predecessors as their own property and have been by them in many instances again granted to others-

10 Both the title on purchase and in virtue of long and absolute possession may certainly be impugned if strictly dealt with; but there are circumstances which should I think induce the Government to admit the Rajah's claim as purchaser to 30 Villages without further objection and to waive their own title to the resumed Villages-

11 The Ex Rajah of Hantghur is the last of a family conspicuous for its loyalty and for the zealous assistance rendered by it to Government on several occasions. The Grand father and father of the present Ex Rajah and he himself have always manifested this spirit and they stand almost alone in this District as examples of its display.-

12 The Estate was brought to the however on the default of a single year and the law rigorously carried out the present Ex Rajah is in consequence a ~~ruined~~ ruined man & has lived since that time on borrowed means which are now failing him, a household of

of about 500 dependants chiefly women look to him for support and he is necessarily reduced to great straits. The Board are well aware how numerous are the dependants of ancient Hindu princes and that it is impossible for them to abandon them. It is true that a pension of 3000 Rupees per annum has been offered by Government for the Rajah's support, but in the hope of obtaining a provision of a different nature he has hitherto hesitated to accept it the amount being insufficient as he states to maintain his household.

13. The possession of the Villages he has now claimed could be a sufficient provision for his maintenance in credit and comparative comfort. I think also that his re-establishment as a Proprietor is desirable both in consideration of his character and as an evidence of the consideration with which the Government regard the past services of the family. If the claim is rejected and he is compelled to fall back upon the proposed pension it is probable that he will never regain an independent position and the fallen fortunes of the family will afford but feeble encouragement to other Zemindars to emulate its loyalty.

Shaded

14 Should the Government think fit to pass a favorable decision on the Ex Rajah's claim I would suggest that as the Cullecote Rajah who purchased the estate will be compelled to relinquish his claim to any thing beyond the Cuttoobady of these Villages, he should not be compelled to refund the excess receipts which have accurred to him since the sale and that the Ex Zemindar of Nantghur be allowed to draw the pension which it had been proposed to give him, in arrears from the date of sale till he is put in possession of these Villages no other way occurs to me of saving him and his household from utter ruin.—

15 Since writing the above I have received a petition from the Ex Rajah claiming certain Villages which do not appear in the permanent settlement accounts having been subsequently formed I have caused an account to be drawn up in the Office (C) shewing the value &ca of these Villages. The principle on which the claim rests requires the decision of the Board and of Government and does not appear to me to call for the expression of an opinion on my part.—

* From the accounts rendered by the Zufty Ammeen for Fusly 1264.—

16 I enclose the petition together with a general Statement of his case presented by the Ex Rajah.—

Ganjam District
Collectors Office
Chetterpore 2d September 1858

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Collector.—

P.S. This general Statement will be submitted by tomorrows post.

Statement

	Sookoolah	1268	6	8	1399	2	-	57	11	-	[illegible]
1	Arachapoorum	186	2	9	209	12	-	35	4	-	1 4 - 246 4 -
2	Corundapully	622	4	7	1079	4	6	31	9	2	5 - - 1115 13 8
3	Sorasingy	642	15	1	844	8	-	23	1	6	20 10 - 888 3 6
4	Rajapoorum	621	11	3	1047	12	-	26	10	6	7 8 - 1081 14 6

Boredakhundy Moolah

8	Jaradah	688	14	6	1326	4	-	52	7	6	14	8 -	1393 3 6
9	Gungapoorum Petapoorum	133	14	8	715	-	-	14	7	6	4	4 -	733 11 6
		-	-	-	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140 - -
10	Gopaporum	340	8	7	391	8	-	16	8	-	1	6 -	409 6 -
11	Jhoodoobay	529	12	5	989	12	-	20	-	-	9	- -	1018 12 -
12	Goodeyaly	1072	14	3	1047	11	-	72	9	1	29	7 6	1149 11 7
13	Soomaryalah	458	6	9	491	8	-	5	13	6	5	6 -	502 11 6
14	Sunnapuncalabady	352	1	7	376	10	-	20	8	1	8	6 -	405 8 1
15	Soodareyapadah	578	4	7	810	8	-	4	9	4	22	1 -	837 2 4
16	Hondoolah	595	1	11	819	8	-	15	5	9	19	4 -	854 1 9
17	Bullyasorah	1588	1	6	2329	6	-	40	1	7	45	- -	2414 7 2
18	Bulsoorah	1312	12	4	2101	5	-	80	7	9	71	11 4	2253 8 1
19	Umbasecarapoorum	372	5	4	268	8	-	41	8	7	13	5 9	323 6 4
20	Mabbaye	596	4	10	627	-	-	6	-	-	17	- -	650 - -
21	Rumpoodoo	339	15	9	315	4	-	6	4	6	-	- -	321 8 6
22	Umboopoonah	796	-	7	951	10	-	11	12	6	40	4 -	1003 10 6
23	Gollawoondala	400	-	6	488	-	-	5	5	6	21	- -	514 5 6
24	Sunnabodeya	153	10	-	205	-	-	1	7	1	-	- -	206 7 1
25	Podharah	370	10	11	551	4	-	3	10	8	28	10 6	583 9 2
26	Choleyaputty	366	5	6	654	12	-	2	5	9	36	13 6	693 15 3
27	Boodokhoredah	264	9	1	292	-	-	1	13	7	11	- -	304 13 7
28	Bhoredah	-	-	-	2161	12	-	23	8	-	116	12 -	2302 - -
"	Coosoompoorum	-	-	-	206	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	206 - -
"	Santarayapully	2873	5	6	270	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	270 - -
"	Daseyapully	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	88 - -
29	Sarado Jamoonagovindapoorum	419	15	-	694	10	-	1	10	9	28	14 -	725 2 9
30	Nouchoonbandy	625	5	9	800	11	-	25	13	6	29	1 -	855 9 6
"	Madhooraboodoo				62	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	62 - -
31	Goudoopadah	251	3	3	223	-	-	10	6	-	8	8 -	241 14 -
32	Gunganapoorum	524	12	9	103	6	-	62	10	6	8	13 -	174 13 6
33	Conneyamoney	517	3	11	974	2	-	4	15	-	27	8 -	1006 9 -

1907

For 6 Sts George 27th October 1858

2	1	Doonkoosiadah			1238 7	"	84 13 9	50 8	137 3 12 9
	"	Payakarapully			25 "	"	" "	" "	25 " "
	"	Goondoosoorum	1106 11 9						
	"	Tanapully							
	2	Corungarah	418 6 8		500 "	"	4 11 "	20 "	524 11 "
	3	Jonteeabady	322 3 3		265 "	"	10 11 3	10 8 "	286 3 3
	4	Sodagoo	626 15 "		777 4 "		40 5 5	32 "	849 9 5
	5	Dolesodharah	36 15 4		35 "	"	" "	" "	35 " "
	6	Bodapunkalabandy	684 13 4		1030 8 "		18 11 9	20 8 "	1069 11 9
	7	Gorayjhelly	77 10 11		335 4 "		2 4 "	12 8 "	350 " "
	8	Modhoorah	811 " 5		1004 10 9		82 3 4	7 8 "	1134 10 "
	9	Conabhaye			957 2 "		22 11 "	25 "	1005 " "
	"	Bovoodeya			206 8 "	"	" "	3 8 "	210 " "
	"	Lutchmeporum	450 7 10		270 4 "	"	" "	5 12 "	276 " "
	"	Hunlepandoonaddy			214 12 "	"	" "	5 4 "	250 " "

Ellore Head

Chittara Tank Sluice

	10	Bullebhaye	1131 8 3		1311 8 "		15 8 "	75 "	1402 " "
	11	Baunacota Canvendaporum	215 11 9		50 " "		5 8 "	" "	55 " "
	12	Ghodoocollanah	591 1 "		623 " "		8 " "	44 8 "	675 8 "
	13	Jilloondah							
	"	Madhopully Chenchara pully	898 5 9		727 8 "		62 5 "	21 2 "	813 15 "
	14	Tulleevootoo	1393 5 7		1229 4 "		67 4 "	41 6 "	1337 11 "
	15	Matalebandy	71 1 6		292 12 "		" "	17 4 "	310 " "
	16	Bhayeraputtah	121 8 3		218 5 "		1 3 "	7 8 "	230 " "
	17	Bheeravooroo	268 10 "		386 " "		2 4 "	11 12 "	400 " "
	18	Pottoogundah	238 10 8		396 2 "		19 1 6	13 8 "	428 11 6
	19	Nunnuaconeyah	28 1 1		91 " "		" "	" "	91 " "
	20	Belaghessy	133 8 10		383 9 "		5 7 "	8 "	397 " "
	21	Bhattokhaly	1,22 2 6		608 2 "		37 13 6	32 8 "	678 7 6
	22	Bondapully	110 2 11		87 10 "		17 7 "	1 8 "	106 9 "

17907

Fort St. George 27th October 1858.

17908

23	Mundrajaganadaprasaud	635	2	6	..	..	..	1211	13	4	..	..	..	1211	13	4
24	Beandooroo	460	5	7	768	8	..	67	1	4	13	..	..	848	9	4
25	Molaboocoobandhor	142	3	..	..	..	..	60	..	..	..	..	..	60	..	..
26	Maulnandrapadah	256	7	10												
27	Bodamaulapantalasingy	116	11	5												
28	Maulapontyamah	146	1	2												
29	Maulaseredharapully	29	1	1												
30	Maulaborasingy	43	4	..												
31	Maulasooneyapadah	31	12	10	1508	2	..	11	14	..	..	..	..	1520	..	..
32	Maulabodaitchapore	41	5	7												
33	Maulasunneyaitchapore	27	1	1												
34	Maulakhasepadah	4	11	9												
35	Maularoady	10	4	3												
36	Maulakukkoodeya	3	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	..	..
37	Maula Mayedacoondah	..	..	..	55	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	55	..	..
		12112	13	8	15631	1	9	1862	14	1	523	..	..	18016	15	10
1	Peddabooragam	1242	6	11	1158	8	8	95	2	..	26	13	4	1280	8	..
2	Raunegaum	287	3	..	423	5	..	18	10	..	15	7	..	457	6	..
3	Sommabottaly	276	1	6	2000	..	..	15	..	..	60	..	..	2075	..	..
4	Bauranully	173	6	7	200	..	..	4	..	..	5	..	..	209	..	..
5	Fausy	1975	2	2	800	..	..	180	..	..	100	..	..	1080	..	..
6	Codalah	2140	11	5	1368	13	..	257	8	11	112	8	..	1738	13	11

1799

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

| | | |

17910 Fort St. George

B

27th October 1858

Statement shewing the particulars of the Jageer Villages of Kaulghur Talook.

Nos. as for Perusal Account Book	Cowle Number	Names of the Villages	Average Kham Beriz paid under Jaghire tenures	Viel Beriz of the Villages as Jeragettees for fusly 1264 as per Zufty Ameen accounts	State of the Villages	Tenure of the Villages as per accounts of the Zufty Ameen for fusly 1264.
4	1	Bhaloosingy	293 - "	370 " "	Purchased by the Ex Zemindar in 1808 for Pa.	21.00 Jeroyety
7	2	Nundeya goodah	422 " "	620 " "	D° by the D° in 1807 for D°	3190 D°
9	3	Goondishandy	469 " "	615 " "	D° by the D° in 1806 for D°	11.90 D°
11	4	Soukbhaye	466 " "	360 " "	D° by the D° in 1811 for D°	1332 D°
12	5	Ambelapully	305 " "	555 " "	D° by the D° in 1807 for D°	2000 D°
17	6	Hosingy	232 " "	360 " "	D° by the D° in 1804 for D°	1500 D°
18	7	Bhaloopadah	261 " "	455 " "	D° by the D° in 1805 for D°	3000 D°
24	8	Bellonah	725 - "	225 " "	D° by the D° in 1804 for D°	24.00 D°
25	9	Rengetepully	367 - "	100 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	1087 D°
27	10	Condhoranepadah	180 " "	205 " "	D° by the D° in 1806 for D°	2000 D°
28	11	Khojinapully	133 " "	116 " "	D° by the D° in 1805 for D°	1166 D°
29	12	Khandasarah	755 - "	1850 " "	D° by the D° in 1807 for D°	2900 D°
30	13	Sunnavoostapadah	112 - "	170 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	1692 D°
33	14	Dagaum	276 - "	323 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	3000 D°
40	15	Dhemiraya	228 " "	530 " "	D° by the D° in 1813 for D°	1000 D°
45	16	Khojereya	377 " "	90 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	1100 D°
46	17	Sentooleyah	147 " "	" " "	D° by the D° in 1810 for D°	1600 Broondavanachen=dragooroy.
41	18	Goshalandy	140 " "	" " "	D° by the D° in 1830 for D°	1000
47	19	Soonnarebogoodah	102 " "	190 " "	D° by the D° in 1806 for D°	900 Jeroyety
48	20	Kendoobandy	296 " "	705 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	950 D°
49	21	Sooboolegah	392 " "	421 " "	D° by the D° in D° for D°	1800 D°
54	22	Bulleghaycc	309 " "	625 " "	D° by the D° in 1813 for D°	2100 D°
55	23	Savanum	378 " "	" " "	D° by the D° in 1815 for D°	5000 D°
57	24	Manamelah	274 " "	385 " "	D° by the D° in 1813 for D°	2050 D°
75	25	Palugaum	651 " "	" " "	D° by the D° in 1831 for D°	5000 Jugganathaswamy
			7915 " "	9300 " "		

Deeds of Sale not produced by the Ex Zemindar

17911 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

	Nos. as per Permanent accounts Book	Current Numbers	Names of the Villages	Average Kham Beriz paid under Zaghire tenures	Viel Beriz of the Villages as Jeroyetees for fusly 1264 as per Zufty Ameens accounts	State of the Villages	Tenure of the Villages as per accounts of the Zufty Ameen for fusly 1264
There are documents that these were purchased by the Ex Zemindar and granted to others for Cuttoobady	62	26	Bodochodeapadah	256 " "	565 " "	Purchased in 1815 and was given to the sellers fixing Cuttoobady	Jeroyety
	73	27	Dongoody	487 " "	410 " "	Bought in 1804 for Rs. 1200 and was given in 1814 to Deenabundoosoon to for life fixing Cuttoobady	Do.
				743 " "	975 " "		
Given for charitable purposes.	3	28	Sadavertenomgaum	454 " "	475 " "	The ancestors of the Ex Rajah cleared out the Jungle and brought it to the better state and granted it for charitable purposes No Doct.	Do.
	52	29	Poolasorah	623 " "	550 " "	There is a document given by the Ex Zemindar in 1817 to the effect that this Village was granted for charitable purposes.	Do.
				1077 " "	1025 " "		
In the enjoyment of Ex Zemindars family	23	30	Chingoodeghaye	1608 " "	1955 " "	The Ex Zemindar brought the Village to a better state by clearing out the Jungles & kept it under the enjoyment of his family	Do.
	34	31	Bayalapully	113 " "	80 " "	Under the enjoyment of the Ex Zemindars family	Do.
	36	32	Belloopadah	294 " "	306 " "	There is a letter that this Village was given to Paloor Zemindar who returned it & it was then in the Ex Zemindars Enjoyment	Do.
	37	33	Coosaputtah	58 " "	3001 " "	No document was produced that the Village was bought.	Do.
	42	34	Humsarally	219 " "	352 " "	No document under Ex Zemindars enjoyment	Do.

17912 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

In the enjoyment of Ex Zemindars family (Continued)

Nos as per Permanent accounts Book	Current Numbers	Names of the Villages	Average Kham Beriz paid under Zaghire tenures	Viel Beriz of the Villages as Jerayetees for Fusly 1264 as per Zufty Ameens accounts	State of the Villages	Tenure of the Villages as per accounts of the Zufty Ameen for Fusly 1264
50	35	Coparagundah	55 " "	96 " "	No Document. The Ex Zemindar enlarged these Villages by clearing out the jungle and kept them in the enjoyment of his family.	Jeroyety
51	36	Davoolapady	116 " "	260 " "		
53	37	Lutchmanapully	25 " "	30 " "	No document In Ex Zemindars enjoymt	Do
59	38	Rookhacondah	216 " "	446 " "	Do Do	Do
60	39	Borasingy	727 " "	750 " "	Do Do	Do
61	40	Saleyah	614 " "	1190 " "	Do Do	Do
63	41	Sondomorah	661 " "	600 " "	Do Do	Do
65	42	Boyerany	461 " "	135 " "	Do Do	Do
66	43	China Boorgum	452 " "	361 " "	Do Do	Do
67	44	Nummanah	597 " "	965 " "	Do Do	Do
68	45	Boodagesoony	600 " "	531 " "	Do Do	Do
71	46	Columbo	820 " "	1551 " "	Do Do	Do
72	47	Coovamendo	507 " "	510 " "	Do Do	Do
76	48	Hatlebundy	55 " "	33 " "	Do Do	Do
70	49	Inckarah	* 1108 " "	" " "	Do Do	Bhayega Soondara beroy
69	50	Dayacondah	571 " "	1010 " "	Do Do	Jeroytee
			9877 " "	14162 " "		
		Grand Total	19612 " "	25462 " "		

Ganjam District Collectors Cutcherry Chetterpore 2d September 1858 E. E. Pr. (Signed) G. S. Forbes Collector.

* A Cuttoobady of only 60 Rs. is levied on the Village of Inckarah.

Number	Names		Paid in July 1195 to July 1210	Serogly	Cultivated	Waste	Total			
			Rx. A P	Rx. A P	Rx. A P	Rx. A P	Rx. A P		Rx. A P	
1	1	Neemoondeya	" " "	317 " "	3 " "	10 " "	330 " "			
	2	Soonakpully	" " "	431 13 "	3 12 "	8 3 "	443 12 "			
	3	Payakjamoonah	" " "	261 2 "	122 12 11	37 6 "	421 1 11			
	4	Boayeranaepatona	" " "	47 " "	" " "	317 " "	361 " "			
	5	Bueshcepully	" " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	12 " "			
	6	Hoarceds mild rent	" " "	45 " "	" " "	" " "	45 " "			
	7	Hurjoonvopully	" " "	72 " "	9 6 "	" " "	81 6 "			
	8	Boarceda Gayaboayadoa	" " "	32 " "	" " "	" " "	32 " "			
	9	Akataloa Veerabalunkasumpore	" " "	100 " "	" " "	" " "	100 " "			
	10	Boayeramy Fort Rent	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "	10 " "			
	11	Hoarceda Patna	" " "	" " "	" " "	28 " "	28 " "			
	12	Goadoa Putna	" " "	" " "	" " "	50 4 "	50 4 "			
	13	Boageramy Roonagam Boagodoa	" " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	12 " "			
	14	Broondanamachundrapoorum	" " "	27 3 3	" " "	" " "	27 3 3			
	15	Soarasingee Hoatapadoo	" " "	14 " "	" " "	" " "	14 " "			
	16	Takadara Padoo	" " "	7 " "	" " "	" " "	7 " "			
	17	Aurjanapully Wm fields	" " "	29 " "	" " "	" " "	29 " "			
	18	Soarasingee Hill fields	" " "	8 8 "	" " "	" " "	8 8 "			
		Total	" " "	1418 10 3	138 11 11	460 13 "	2018 6 2			
2	1	Bavaradah	" " "	340 " "	4 " "	11 " "	355 " "			
	2	Cheerekeepada Savanum	" " "	695 " "	258 " 19 8 "	710 " "				
	3	Bueshcepully	" " "	26 " "	" " "	" " "	26 " "			
	4	Jagapully	" " "	39 14 "	" 2 "	" " "	40 " "			
	5	Parapully	" " "	130 " "	" " "	" " "	130 " "			
	6	Balaga Patna	" " "	" " "	" " "	11 " 11 "				

17913

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

C

Memorandum shewing the Number of Villages formed subsequent to the Permanent Settlement in the Talook of Autgadah of the Zillah of Ganjam.

of Mootahs	Mootahs	Number	Names of Villages	Permanent average Kham from	Beriz as per Demand Collection for fusly 1264			
					Inches	Cotts	Mt	Total
			Total					
2		1	Bavaradah		340	4	11	355
		2	Cheerekeepada Sasanum		695	25 8	19 8	740
		3	Bueshee pully		26			26
		4	Jagapully		39 14	2		40
		5	Parapully		130			130
		6	Balaga Patna				11	11
		7	Pulsara Patna				202	202
		8	Madoora Patna				55	55
		9	Roomagada Patna				58	58
		10	Moodoopully		725 6	23 5 9	43	79[illegible]
		11	Boonamalypully		72			72
		12	Malugadooyea		12			12
		13	Angarpully fields		13			13
		14	Chumpaleroa fields		20			20
		15	Harrychundanapoorum		30			30
		16	Tanapilly new fields					
			Total		2103 4	52 15 9	399 8	[illegible]

Beetur Aulgada Mootah

17916

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

No. 4

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Agent to the Governor of [illegible] St. George

17914

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

3	1	Baleepada	"	"	"	200	"	"	50	"	"	"	"	"	250	"	"
	2	Batroopully	"	"	"	68	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	68	14	"
	3	Chacundajoalah	"	"	"	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	"	"
	4	Buesheepully	"	"	"	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	"	"
	5	Bayeegasayie Mookundapore Putna	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	130	"	"	130	"	"
	6	Soadakapilly	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	5	"	"
Moolah	7	Dagam field	"	"	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	"	"
	8	Marapilly	"	"	"	47	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47	"	"
	9	Barkoolceyeapilly	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	10	Baramady field	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	"	"
Koaladee	11	Badoopully field	"	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	"	"
	12	Matabarampore	"	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"
	13	Ratna Boagada	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	14	Madeenepore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20	"	"	20	"	"
	15	Danapully Jugadapoorum	"	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	"	"
	16	Talee field	"	"	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	"	"
	17	Baloova Veejayabalunkasurpoorum	"	"	"	67	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	67	"	"
	18	Lana Oostapada	"	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"
	19	Boadamoogeeree &c. fields	"	"	"	135	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	135	"	"
	20	Bajoopooty new field	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"
	21	Soonamata Tank &c. field	"	"	"	34	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	34	8	"
		Total	"	"	"	705	6	"	50	"	"	155	"	"	910	6	"

17915 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Abstract

18	Boaradakundy Mootah	"	"	"	1418	103	138	1411	460	13	"	2018	62
16	Beetur Antgudda	"	"	"	2103	4	"	52	159	399	8	"	2555	119
21	Koaladee Mootah	"	"	"	705	6	"	50	"	"	155	"	"	910	6
55	Grand Total	"	"	"	4227	4	3	241	148	1015	5	"	5484	7	11

Ganjam District
Collectors Cutcherry
Chetterpore 2d September 1858

E. E. Jo. Signed G. S. Forbes
Collector

17916 Fort St. George 27th October 1850

No. 4

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Agent to the Governor of
Fort Saint George
in
Ganjam

The respectful Petition of
Sree Rajah Ramachendra
Harischendana Jagud deo
Bahadur Ex Zemindar of
Hautghur Talook.

Respectfully shews-

That as you have already been informed of the claim which he laid on certain Jageer &ca. Villages, he now begs leave to address you on another subject which he solicits will also be now taken into your favorable consideration and which has hitherto been laid by former authorities for future Settlement.

Subsequent to the Permanent Settlement of the Hautghur Estate the Ex Zemindar himself, as well as his Father and Grand Father formed several other new Villages in the Jungles of the Hautghur Talook at their own expense to a considerable amount

17917 Fort St. George 27 October 1858.

amount by clearing off Jungles, levelling grounds, providing irrigation, getting ryots, and advancing them Tuccave &c. The Villages were enjoyed by the Ex Zemindar up to the time of sale of the Estate, but they have been forcibly occupied by the Khullicota Zemdar the purchaser of the Estate who is only entitled to enjoy such Icroyette Villages (79 in No. as are only entered in the Permanent Settlement accounts together with Cuttoobadies on Jageers, but has nothing to do with the rest of the Villages which were newly formed subsequently and moreover the advertisement itself shews that no allusion with regard to the offered sale of such Villages is ever made in it. As the fact of the Villages above alluded to having been under the enjoyment of the Ex Zemindar up to the time of sale, as well as their number & revenue &c. will be known by the record of your Office the Ex Zemindar earnestly begs leave to solicit the favor of taking the case into your favorable consideration, and of ordering also the Villages to be made over to him.

Chetterpore 30th August 1858 } For which act of kindness & shall ever feel grateful

(Signed) Shree Rajah Ramachendra Warischindana Jagud Deo Bhadur

17918 Fort Saint George 27th October 1858.

No. 150

To J. D. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Bd. No. 4138.
From the Collr. of Ganjam.

Sir,

With reference to the foot note of my letter of the 2d instant No. 149 I have the honor to forward herewith an original Statement of his case presented to me by the Ex Zemindar of Hautghur together with the petitions No. 925 and 1104 of 1858 sent to me with the Board's Endorsement of the 24th June last.

Ganjam District Collectors Office, Chetterpoor 4th September 1858. } (Signed) G. S. Forbes.
Collector.

No. 1

The execution of a Permanent Cowle and Mootchilka between the Zemindar and Government is designed to make them bound themselves to the conditions therein mentioned. The Regulations also prescribe the rules to be pursued both by Government Officers and Zemindars, but owing to the heavy misfortune

misfortune of the Hautghur zemindar who was an ancient zemindar and who has been in friendly terms faithful to the Circar. neither the conditions of the cowle nor the provisions of Regulations were taken into consideration but unjust measures which had never been adopted in the administration of the Honorable Government were enforced in putting his zemindary to auction. His entire Estate which values to about 11 Lacs was sold in satisfaction of Peshcush balance of 39,000 Rupees It was at first brought under zuft. but the provisions of Section & Regulation XXVII of 1802 which direct the manner in which the zuftly ammeens are required to proceed were not brought into force Gauday Puttaberamiah Puntooloo native manager of the Aska Factory obtained the rent of the whole Estate on account of the factory for 3 years from Fusly 1261 and the cowle and Moochilkah to that effect were executed in the presence of the Collector and agent, who also registered them the documents

in question bear an open condition that the Peishcush ought to be annually paid directly to the Circar by the Renter himself without any interference of the zemindar Accordingly the renter Puttaberamiah Puntooloo paid the Peshcush for two years or Fuslies 1261 and 62 in which their having remained up to the end of May a balance of the expired Kists zuftly ammeens were deputed to the Hautghur Estate as done also to some other Talooks, Agreements received by the Collector from Puttaberamiah Puntooloo the renter and manager of the sugar Factory to recover from the latter alone the balance due and the zuft on the Estate was withdrawn, and the services of the ammeen were dispensed with, and agreeably to his engagement the money was subsequently recovered from the renter In fusly 1263. the renter realized from the Talook. the entire collections, and paid a sum of Rupees 13,000 -- and odd to the circars on account of the Peshcush the remainder 39,000 and odd was according to the established rules to be recovered.

recovered from himself but whereas no demand was made on him. The Section & Regulation XXVII of 1802 shews that it is incumbent on the zufty Ammeen to recover from the renter the amount of his rent according to the engagements subsisting between him and the zemindar while the registered Cowle and Mootchilka which were passed between the zemindar and ask a factory manager bear a condition that Government Peishcush would be paid to the Circar directly by the renter independently of the zemindar and while he had accordingly paid 13,000 Rupees and odd leaving still a balance contrary to his engagement the zufty Ammeen did never demand him for that balance of 39,000 Rupees nor proceed to attach his property. If such a demand was made, the renter being a wealthy person and as the rent belonged to the factory the balance would have at once been paid without occasion to zuft the Estate, why the circar omitted the renter in this case? It was evident

evident that the zemindar had kept on the renter who belonged to a rich factory the entire responsibility of paying himself directly to the Circar the peshcush of the Talook. and that the zemindar expressed to the zufty Ammeen that in the first instance the renter was to be demanded for the balance according to his engagement with him, but the zemindar was not to be considered consequently negligent in paying the amount but was worthy to be protected from loss, and the Talook was to be saved without being laid for sale as prescribed in para 6 of the permanent Cowle whereas Mr Acting Collector Knox was mistaken in issuing an order to the zufty Ammeen on the 29th June 1854 contrary to section X Regulation XXVII of 1802 directing him that a demand was to be made on the zemindar alone but not on the renter as the rent was a private transaction. It might perhaps be considered that the custom of recovering from the renter the Peshcush amount is not in use in this District. Formerly the zemindar

of

17923 Fort St. George 27 October 1858.

of Tarlah of this District rented out his Estate to one Cocah coudul row, who having evaded the payment of the circar Peshcush, the Collector Mr Bannerman deputed a zuftij Amneen to the Talook kept in under the management of the circar of 10 Months, recovered the balance from the renter and freed the Talook from zuft, but it was not at all put up to auction why such a course was not pursued in respect to the Hautghur Estate?

Agreeably to the 6th para of the permanent Cowle, and to section VII Regulation XXV of 1802 it was necessary to attach in the first instance the personal property of the zemindar for the satisfaction of the balance of Peshcush, but it was not done so in Hautghur case it might be considered that the custom is not existing in this zillah while Muntriddy &ca zemindaries were under the zuft on account of the balance due to the circar

Mr Spottiswood the then Collector attached also a ship belonging the zemindar Pancala Ramasawmy. If such a

17924 Fort Saint George 27 October 1858

measure was introduced in the present case the small balance of the circar would have been made good by the sale of the zemindars personal property without a single village being brought to sale why the circar was not pleased to sequester personal property in the first instance?-

With reference to para 8 of the permanent Cowle, and to section V Regulation XXVI of 1802, it was necessary to sell only such portion of the Talook as would be sufficient to make good the circar balance, but whereas the whole of the Hautghur Estate which values at about 14 Lacs was at once sold on account of a small balance of 39,000 Rupees, and such a custom of selling the necessary portions of Estate is also existing in the District, and is very commonly observed. Sanction of the Revenue Board was solicited to form a Subdivision in a zemindary and to put up the same to auction for the satisfaction of the Circar balance due on zemindary Estates-

17925 Fort Saint George 27 October 1858

Estates, and this would be proved on a reference being made to former record, in proof of the Vishnoochairum and Payelpadah Mootas which area portion of the Goomsur Talook having been sold in 1809 by the then Collector in satisfaction of the Circar balance, one Tripasore Legganandrow Puntoolou Purchased the same and again Chowdry Lutchmenursoo is the purchaser of Coudaconah Mootah of Badagadah Talook and likewise Paumoogamm and Coteling the Moottahs of Chigutty Zemindary were sold on two different occasions thus had either one or two large villages such as Seealah &c in Hautghur Talook been sold the entire balance of 39,000 Rupees would have at once been made good and why the entire large Estate was sold to the detriment of the Zemindar? why the Circar was so harsh to him in their proceedings? and what was his guilt.?

The auction was to be confined to the contents of the advertisement and

17926 Fort Saint George 27 October 1858

and should not in the least differ to them If perhaps any thing had taken place contrary to the advertisement either by mistake or any other reason or the advertisement was found to be in correct the auction must at once be cancelled, and a fresh Istehurmanah be issued in the proper manner and agreeably to it the Estate be resold and such a system is invariably enforced in every place under the Honorable Company and in this very District when Polanky and Aska Estates were sold on account of Circar balance certain persons purchased them at first but on a reason that the advertisement did not contain, according to the Regulations, any specific entry of the place where the auction would be commenced, the auction which had been made on that advertisement was cancelled, and higher authorities directed the issue of a fresh proclamation for as the permanent claim of a person will have to fall

into

17927 Fort Saint George 27 October 1858

into the hands of another the circar is to cause the Estate to be sold in conformity to the proclamation. and in cases were anything has taken place contrary to the rules such an auction is cancelled, and a fresh one is commenced but owing to the misfortune of the Hautghur Zemindar it was not done so in his case Under Section VII Regulation XXVI of 1802 it was invariably necessary to put out in the proclamation the regular amount of peshcush of the Estate which would be sold, while it was generally known that the peshcush of the Hautghur Estate was Rupees 55,000 " " an entry of 52,000 Rupees was made in the proclamation contrary to the permanent Cowle and further while no regulations authorize the sale of an Estate together with the whole balances of arrears due on it to the Zemindar mention was made in the proclamation that the purchaser would be allowed to have a claim on all such balances of arrears and how the purchase of the Cullecote

Zemindar

17928 Fort Saint George 27 October 1858.

Zemindar which was effected on the basis of the proclamation which contained so many errors can be considered valid? and no sooner the above two points came to the notice of the authorities as having been mentioned by mistake the purchase was to be cancelled itself. as the entry of 52,000 Rupees peshcush instead of 55,000 Rupees in the proclamation is an acknowledged error as mentioned in Minutes of Consultation No. 530 dated 3d May 1855 which was conveyed in the proceedings of the commissioner of the Northern Circars dated 10th May 1855 No. 302, it is unnecessary to produce any separate proof in that respect. In such a case why the proclamation which was prepared in a wrong way and the auction which was effected in adherence to that proclamation may not be cancelled a fresh proclamation be issued. and the Satook be resold. It is not even to be considered that though the two points mentioned in the proclamation

seemed

17929 Fort Saint George 27th October 1858

seemed to have been entered by mistake the Government confirmed them as they were already carried into execution and were also disposed to sanction the purchase of the Cullecote Zemindar, because they raised the peshcush to the full regular amount of 55,000 Rupees thus when they were pleased to cancel the two points why the purchase of the Cullecote Zemindar which was effected in accordance to that erroneous proclamation may not be cancelled, and a fresh and correct proclamation be prepared regularly and the Talook be resold in adherence thereto. and why the Government were pleased to impress upon the Cullecote Zemindar the necessity of paying 53,000 Rupees, which if not paid the Talook was said would be resold.

The Hautghur Talook is a fertile Estate valuing at about 14 Lacs and there would be no hesitation whatever to its being brought by the wealthy Sowcars and Zemindars in this district for even a reduced price of 7 or 8 Lacs of Rupees In proof of this though half

of

17930 Fort Saint George 27th October 1858

of the Estate is occupied, under Enams of the servants &c there remains an annual surplus of at least 50 or 60 thousand rupees, after deducting circars peshcush as shewn by the circar accounts a land yielding 10 Rupees sist is very commonly sold in this District for 150 Rupees, and it is to be considered by the circar themselves to what amount the Talook values according to this proportion, thus the reason of such a large Estate having fetched a very reduced price of only 151,000 rupees and of there having been no one else to raise the bid and of the Zemindars subjecting himself to a heavy loss is chiefly attributable to the wrong way in which the advertisement was prepared, because the whole of the Zemindars their Vakeels and respectable men were well aware that the peshcush of Hautghur Estate was Rupees 55,000 rupees as the entry of 5,2000 Rupees was erroneously made in the proclamation none in the district were encouraged to bid the Estate,

as

as they knew the fact of that occurrence of errors in the proclamation and thought themselves that if their bid on that advertisement was confirmed by the Collector they would have the vain trouble of depositing in the first instance a portion of the sale amount and paying the remainder afterwards, while such a sale was effected in conformity to the proclamation erroneously drawn up was evident that it would not ultimately meet with the approval of the higher authorities It was really on the same reason the bid was very low In proof of this Gauda Puttaberamiah Puntoolvo manager of the Asku factory who with a treacherous intent of purchasing the Estate by getting it brought to sale in auction at first obtained the rent of it and deceived the Zemindar without paying the Circar peshcush agreeably to his engagements in the Cowle and the Cullecote Zemindar who is a boundary Zemindar, and who bore a very long time since grudge and enmity both with the Hautghur Zemindar and his Talook people, and who fought with him

him, and is deeply desirous of ruining the Zemindar were only the bidders of the Estate and the fact of there having been no other bidders except the two persons who were the illwishers of the Zemindar will be proved; if a reference be made to the list of the bidders which was prepared in the Collector's office at the auction time, If this cause is taken into consideration the above reasons will stand sufficient to shew that in consequence of the proclamation referring to the auction not having been prepared in due form no others wished to bid the Estate for fear that such a purchase would not be sanctioned by higher authorities and no other proof is necessary to render a fresh in the case, but should the authorities deem it essential an enquiry may be made of the Zemindars and Mahajims in the District.—

On the 13th July 1853 the Hautghur Zemindar had an interview with the Collector Mr. Prendergast whom he requested to grant him a few

few days time to pay off the balance of Peshcush and that officer allowed him three days Section XI Regulation XXVII of 1802 directs that the time at which a talook would be put up to auction should distinctly be stated in the proclamation By so precisely mentioning the time the auction will have to be commenced exactly at that time and closed the same day Notice was given in the proclamation that the auction of Hautyghur Estate would be commenced on the 12th July 1854, and while the auction was to be ended on the same day, it was contrary to the rules continued for more 4 days, subsequent to 17th July and the bid of the Collecate Zemindar was confirmed on the 15th July and this was objected to in Minutes of Consultation dated 3d May 1855, No. 530 conveyed in the commissioners Proceedings No. 302 dated 10th May 1855, saying, that it was irregular, and that the auction was

was to be closed on the 12th July the date fixed in the proclamation for commencement, while the Government thus acknowledge the impropriety of the auction the Zemindar is at a loss to know why they were not pleased to cancel it, and order a fresh one to be opened.-

As the Collector granted the Zemindar on the 13th July 1854 / the day next, to 12th on which the auction had been determined to be opened / a time of 3 days to pay his balance of Peshcush the proclamation and the auction which was made in conformity to that proclamation were to be considered as cancelled, for the bidders would not wish to bid any Estate on a reason that if the defaulter would pay the balance according to the time he obtained from the Collector, their bid would be of no use and consequently return to their respective places, The Collector, on finding the Zemindar to have failed to pay the Money accordingly, was under

Section

Section V Regulation XXVII of 1802 to demand him again and to issue a fresh proclamation fixing the date of auction. As such a course was not observed in the present instance but the auction carried on according to the same wrong proclamation which was cancelled itself in consequence of the Zemindar having been allowed a time by the Collector subsequent to its issue, the bid of the Collecote Zemindar is to be cancelled itself and moreover according to the instalments which the Zemindar obtained from the Collector on the 13th July he was prepared on the evening of following day the 16th was sunday, and as the collector did not come to the office till evening on the 17th owing to the rain, the money was not received on that day either by the Cashkeeper or sheristadar without the Collectors permission consequently it was brought home for being secured thus the act of keeping the money in readiness at the Cutcherry is known to many respectable people and Cutcherry servants while

while the Zemindar was so prepared to pay the money and while much more exertions had been rendered in Circar affairs it is unjust to sell his large Estate which was a permanent Zemindar and which values to several lacs of Rupees.-

Sections XII and XIII of Regulation XXVII of 1802 direct that the last bidder must immediately after his bid is confirmed deposit a sum equal to 15 per cent of the price of the Estate that otherwise the bid must be cancelled itself that the Estate must be resold that in the event of the price falling short of that offered by the 2d purchaser the difference should be recovered from him, 1st purchaser and if the Estate be resold for a higher price than at the first sale the surplus must be the property of the first owner of the Estate but not of the first purchaser, contrary to this rule the Collecote Zemindar who had not deposited a portion of the price

price was intrusted with the charge of the Hatghur Estate, The authorities might perhaps consider that he might have deposited the money he did not at all do so, and his failure was reported to the Commissioner by the Collector and Agent Mr. Prendergast who caused the Estate to be put up to Auction in his letter dated 17th July 1854, The report of the officer in whose presence the auction was opened is creditable because he was able enough to ascertain the failure in depositing the money &c. and was responsible for his actions, Mr. Knox the present acting Collector who held the office both prior and subsequent to Mr. Prendergast, and who is the officer that forfeited the Hautghur Estate &c. &c. addressed on the 13th November 1854. a letter to the Commissioner in reply to the minutes of Consultation stating that as the Collecote Zemindar had failed to deposit the price according to the Regulation, the Talook ought at

at all events to be resold, and it is not known how the Talook was made over to the Collecote Zemindar, dis ap: proving of the reports of two local officers who agree in stating that the Zemindar had failed to deposit the money, It was, after fully having been convinced of the unlawful proceedings enforced in the sale of the Hautghur Estate, as above particularized, that Mr. Knox admitted in that letter of the legality of the Estate being resold. If the Government had acceded to this just proposal, the Hautghur Zemindar would have possessed the Estate by readily paying only Rupees 9,000 besides the surplus fund of Rupees 30,000 — " — " remained with the Collector after deducting the Circar Peishcush, and other dis: bursements for fusly 12.64 during which it was under Zuft; and thus, the whole balance of the Circar would have been made good without occasion for resale because under

under Section XIII Regulation XXVI of 1802, the excess of sale proceeds on a second sale over that of the first would be the prd. property of the original land holder, it is therefore to be construed that he holds a claim on his Estate until it has been finally sold but owing to the misfortune of the Kantyhur Zemindar the Government were not pleased so to grant him justice but instead of recovering from the Callecote Zemindar a penalty of a difference of 27,000 Rupees for his having infringed the Regulation in depositing the money the Government directed the Estate to be made over to that purchaser who had already been deprived of his claim of purchase only

why such an indulgence ought not to have been shewn to the Kantyhur Zemindar (who had rendered his exertions in Circar affairs on various occasions) and whose remissness was only the non payment of the Circars Peishcush exactly on the fixed date. On the plea that the Kantyhur Zemindar who had rendered his exertions in Circar affairs, deserves to their favor and possessed an Estate of which value was many times greater than the Amount of the balance of Peshcush due to the Circar had not paid the Amount at the punctual time, but infringed the regulation, his entire Talook was sold to his extreme injury, but he is at a loss to know why the provisions of Section XIII Regulation XXVI of 1802 were not enforced on the Callecote Zemindar, who had never proved useful to the Circar, who had no concern whatever with Kantyhur Estate, and who failed to deposit a portion

of

17941 Fort St. George 27th October 1858.

of the sale proceeds, according to Re:
:gulation the Estate was made over
to the Cullecode Zemindar, together
with 30,000 Rupees surplus. If the
Government were convinced themselves
of the truth of the case, they would
not have pleased to throw such
an injustice on the Zemindar.
Under the imagination that the
Cullecote Zemindar had instantly
produced to the Collector a Govern:
:ment Bond to be in deposit for
the sale money the Government pass:
:ed the orders. The Cullecote Zemin
:dar did not present the bond
according to the rules, and it was
on the same account that Mr. Pren:
:dergast refused to consider the same
as a deposit. when the Government
questioned the Acting Collector if it
was true that the Cullecote Zemindar
had offered the Bond for deposit
Mr. Prendergast had he been in
the District, would have reported the
truth

17942 Fort St. George 27th October 1858.

truth of the Circumstance that had
taken place in his presence, but
Mr. Knox who was not present at
the time of auction, reported that
he heard that the offer had really
been made, upon which the Govern:
:ment were pleased to consider
the Zemindar as if he had deposited
the money the offer of the Government
Bond for deposit was not effected
in pursuance to the rules of the
Circar for the Cullecote Zemindar
was absent at the auction time. He
did not personally state either to
the Collector or any other officer
his willingness so to present the
Bond to be in deposit, but one Voon
:nama Ramalingum who was
empowered by him only to bid the
Estate on his account, was in atten:
:dance, and Mr. Prendergast Collector
to dropping the auction on the bid of
the Zemindar directed Ramalingum
to deposit the money, when stating
his inability to deposit on the same
day, he requested a time of three
days

days, and promised that he would execute a security sunnud to the effect while the sunnud was under prepa:ration in the presence of the late she:ristadar and Head Goomastah, the Collector personally inspected Sections XII and XIII Regulation XXVI of 1802 and said to him (Ramalingum) that the Regulation directs the im:mediate deposit of money, but does not authorize to allow a time to do so that the auction was continued for 4 days from the 12 that he (Collector) was verbally directing from the com:mencement that the deposit money was to be kept in readiness, and that as he (Ramalingum) was telling that the money was on hand, he should deposit immediately. Ramalin:gum expressed his inability to do so whereupon Mr. Prendergast said to him who frequently requested to grant him time, that while he (Collector) was openly telling him from the beginning of the auction or 4 days to bid keeping in readiness the money he could not

not have presented so but infring:ent the Regulation, he should there:fore be the loser of his bid thus they both discussed for one or two hours after evening whom Ramalin:gum stated nothing of the Govern:ment Bond consequently it is a fact openly known to every one that the Cullecote zemindar had never even in a dream intended to offer a Government Bond in deposit, and that at the time the auction was closed at Seeta:rampillay where the Collector directed the immediate payment of the deposit money after the bid was confirmed the zemindar who was at Cullecote which about 20 or 30 Miles off from it had not at all time enough to order his Vakeel even verbally to offer the bond and without the consent of the zemindar the owner of the bond how could Ramalingum be

be enabled himself to offer it — while such a consent of the owner was not certified in writing how a verbal offer by a disinterested party could be accepted and how could that be approved of by the Collector? Mr. Prendergast consequently cancelled the purchase of the Cullecote Zemindar confirmed the bid of 150,000 Rupees of Puttaberamiah Renter of the Houghlgur Estate and manager of the Ashta factory and which was just antecedent to that of the Zemindar Puttaberamiah having been unable to deposit the money his bid was rejected and also at the time of the bid of Government of 174,000 Rupees which stood before that of Puttaberamiah Puntaloo was finally confirmed Ramalingum at his own choice requested the Collector that as there was then a bond under the authority of the Court of wards which was

was granted by that Court on account of the surplus they had received from the Cullecote Zemindary during the time it was under the management of the Circar, when the Zemindar was minor it might be considered to be answerable for the money and that his bid on account of the Zemindar might be confirmed; but as the Zemindar did not grant him a power in writing to offer that bond belonging to him for the deposit money Ramalingum was unable to execute a document to that effect before the Collector Every thing which is of a pecuniary nature, or is attended with profit and loss must be stated by the owner personally or he should cause a Vakeel who has been empowered by a written document to speak in his behalf neither of these having been done Mr. Prendergast was prevented by the rules to accept the Bond on the Vakeels simple requisition which was made

made at his own discretion that Gentlem
than said to Ramalingum that his requ
could not be complied with as such offe
by himself was not authorized by the
Zemindar by a written document as
Mr. Prendergast perfectly knew that
if the offer of the bond by an unautho
rized person was accepted in deposit
and if the owner by any other reason
might object to him how he had
been disposed to receive it without
his consent or a Vakalutnamah from
him / Mr. Prendergast / should of
course stand responsible, he did not
agree to the offer, and besides though the
authorities admit of the propriety of the
reception of Government Bonds in
security yet in cases where an immed
iate deposition ready money would
be necessary, such Bonds are not al
all to be accepted for though the money
of the bond is in possession of Governme
yet if the owner of the Bond had tra
ferred his right to another by means
of sale or mortgage and in cases wher
several others may dispute their respe
tive claims on the bond can such
claims be rejected by Government

accepting

accepting it under deposit? no it is on
the same account that the authorities do
not accept Government Bonds to
be even as a security until they have
ascertained by due enquiry that the right
is indespendable - as such an enquiry
would occupy a time a Govern:
:ment Bond cannot be offered
in deposit instead of ready money
payable immediately to say that
the Government Bond was offered
in deposit is to be considered that
it was intended to keep it - as a
security for the sum to be deposited
in money - None of the Regulations
show that a security may be received
for the money to be deposited under
Section XII Regulation XXVI of
1802, but authorizing to receive it for
the remainder of the price - This Section
and the Circular of the Revenue

Board

Board under date 10th August 1826 N
52 direct that an immediate deposit
must be received in money, for it is in
:tended to receeve that amount as a
forfeiture in the event of that purcha
failing to pay the remainder of the
price giving thereby a vain trouble to
the Circar, and they is stated in
Section XIII of that Regulation, if
a security is received instead of ready mony
and if the purchaser failed to pay the
remainder, a time would be occupied
in getting the competency of that security
examined, and finally if such security
be found worthless and several clai:
:mants forthcome for the bond received
under deposit it would thereby create
a bar to its being sold, then what use
would there be for the rules laid down
in Sections XII and XIII as there
were so many great objections. Mr. Pren
:dergast could not have acceded to the
Request

request of Ramalingum that the
Government Bond might be accepted
in deposit for the money which was
to be paid immediately.—

From the foregoing reasons
if the purchase of the Cullecote Zemin:
:dar is to be considered annuled, the
authorities might perhaps be mistaken
in forming an opinion that agreeably
to Mr. Prendergast's report the bid
on account of Government ought to be
confirmed and that the Haulgh ur
Estate ought to be reverted to Govern:
:ment. The bid of the Circar cannot
at all be confirmed and the Talook
cannot be occupied, because after the
bid of the Cullecote Zemindar was
rejected by Mr. Prendergast no advert
:isement was again formally issued
according to Regulations describing
the time at which it would be put up
again to auction and the Talook
was not according resold at a proper
time

time. On the night of the day on
which the Collectr people failed to
deposit the money the bid which pre-
-ceded that of them was again brought
in to consideration, and this is a thing
unauthorized in any of the Regulations,
Mr. Prendergast directed the bidder
Puttaberamiah Puntulu (Renter of
the Haulghur Salook and manager
of the aska Factory) to deposit the
money saying that he confirmed his
bid of 150,000 Rupees. The bidder
replied that he could not immediately
do so, when that Gentleman said that
he confirmed the bid of Government
Rupees 121,000 which preceded that of
Puttaberamiah Puntulu. As such a
course of procedure is inconsistent
with Regulations Mr. Knox in
his reply dated 13th November 1855.
which give certain explanation of the
points required by Government suggest
that

that the Salook might be resold, con-
-sequently the late Haulgheer Zemindar
has still a right to his Salook and
such a claim cannot be disturbed
until an advertisement is issued
a fresh and the Salook resold.—

With reference to the permanent
Cowle the Haulgher Estate consists
of 79 Jeroyaty villages 77 Jaghageer
Mocasah villages 22 Aggraharums 24
Amaneas or alienates for divine purposes
in all amounting to 202 Villages and
not a single dub is fixed as Cuttoobady
in the permanent Cowle on Aggraha-
-rums and Amaneahs which are
consequently Lackerazy or rent free
villages the purchaser or the present
proprietor has under para 4 of the
permanent Cowle no concern whatever
with these village, the advertisement
needs not to contain their number
as the Jaghier or mocaasah Villages
which

which amount to 77 are entered under the head of Jagheer in the Permanent Committee accounts are and are enjoyed on the same time the present proprietor of the Talook is entitled to levy only as much Cuttoobady as is fixed in those accounts but has nothing to do with them. The right of transfer by sale or otherwise is vested in their respective Jagheerdars, they are to be treated as Lackrajee villages therefore it was necessary to mention in the advertisement that after deducting 123 Villages Vizt. 22 Agragharums 24 Amaneahs, and 77 Mocassah or Jagheer villages the net Jeroyaty villages amounting to 79 would be laid for sale but where as it seems impossible to know on what grounds the Collector caused a mention to be made in the advertisement that the whole villages amounting

To

to 202 would be putup to auction, and further as the owner of the 77 Mocassah Jagheer Villages acquiring full right on their villages a long time since cleared off jungles at their own expence brought the lands under cultivation and are the legal holders of the villages and as those villages were not of the same nature as of Jerayaty their claim was duly ascertained by the authorities at the time of Permanent Settlement and the 77 Villages are consequently entered under the head of Jagheer in the permanent Cowle and accounts therefore out of those 77 Jagheer Villages 27 are still under the enjoyment of the descendants of the holders who were living up to the time of the permanent settlement, and some were transferred by sale owing to the inability of the holder to pay the Cuttoobady thereon - in consequence of

of the villages not having been provided with irrigation owing to the holders inability to bring under cultivation the lands which were left waste, and as the incumbents were in need of procuring money for marriages &c.a domestic purposes, some of the villages that sold by the holders were possessed by the ancestors of the Hautghur Zemindar by purchase, and further the latter also succeeded to the right of the rest of the Jaghier villages according to the Hindu Law. they were consequently provided with various kinds of irrigation at the own expense of his ancestors the lands were levelled, the jungle tracts were brought under cultivation. thus they were improved and were under the enjoyment of the late Zemindar and his family, and some of those were

were restored by the late Zemindar on service tenure, and are consequently enjoyed by them. The proprietor is under para 4 of the permanent Cowle only entitled to levy on the 77 villages only as much amount of Cuttoobady as evidently appear in the accounts and which is included in the permanent peishcush &c. (a purchaser in auction) under the same para is prohibited from raising the Cuttoobady on resuming the villages, but whereas on 50 Villages which were possessed a very long time ago by the late Zemindar and his family women by purchase and by heirship, and were under their enjoyment without any interruption whatever were forcibly removed from their possession and made over to the present proprietor by the Acting Collector in conjunction

conjunction with the other Jenoyoty
villages of the Talooke the proprietor
consequently enjoys then entire produce
the whole of these 77 Jaghier Villages
of the Hantghur Estate are of one
nature and stand under one Jaghier
Head both in the Permanent Cowle
and in the permanent account
In such a case while some of
the 77 Villages are still enjoyed by
the heirs of the holders who were
up to the time of Permanent Settle
:ment and while some of those possess
by the ancestors of the late Zemindar
by purchase and by heirship are
still continued to the present enjoy
:ers, who subsequently obtained them
from them. it is not known accord
:ing to what rules the acting Collector
made over to the Cullectoke Zemin
dar the purchaser of the Estate 50
Villages

Villages which are of equal nature
and were under the enjoyment of the
Zemindar and his women. If the
acting Collector is empowered himself
to give up all of these Jagheer Villages
to that proprietor, he should have
resumed the whole of these 77 Villages
both from persons who are still enjoy
:ing and from the late Zemindar and
his women and given up the same
to the proprietor while the Zemindar
and his family possesses an equal
right to their Jagheer villages as the
other Jagheerdars have to their respective
villages. It is quite unjust (the other
Villages being continued to their
holders) to remove the villages from
the possession of only the late
Zemindar and his family and to
make over the same to the Cullecote
Zemindar. From the aggregate number
of Villages shown in the advertisement

it

it is seen that also these 77 Jagheer villages are included in those of the Jeroyaty. If the Acting Collector is of opinion that the 50 Villages of the late Zemindar and his family are liable to be possessed by the Collecote Zemin:dar in consequence of the Jagheer villages being included in the advertise:ment, the other 27 Jagheer villages 24 Aumaneahs and 22 Aggraharums which are likewise entered in the advertise:ment among the villages which would be putup for sale, ought to have been resumed from the possession of their respective enjoyers and made over to the Cullecote Zemindar, why was it not done so? and moreover the very number of villages which were at first Zufted by the Aumeen under the collec:tors order were to be entered in the advertisement and the same ought to have been sold, and possessed by the

the purchaser who shell establish his claim only on those villages. when the acting Collector nominated at first a Zufty Ameen, an exception of the Aumeens and agraharums and Mocassahs was made in the Zufty order dated 15th May 1854 and only the Jeroyaty villages were directed to the brought under Zeuft. From this it appears that only the 79 Jeroyaty villages of the Talook according to the permanent settlement accounts were regularly Zufted on ac:count of the Circar balance, and before the 15th July 1854 the date on which the Talook was putup to auction the Talook was not Zufted a second time in a manner differ:ing to the first Zuft, consequently mention ought to have necessarily been made in the list shewing the villages to be sold that, only the

79

79 Jeroyaty villages referred to in the first order dated 15th May 1854 would be sold, but whereas mention being made that the whole 202 villages would be sold, the advertisement is null and void and also the auction which was founded on that, erroneous advertise:ment ought to be cancelled.—

Should the authorities be of opinion that the 202 villages entered in the proclamation are still liable to be possessed by the purchaser the Cullecote Zemindar why the Acting Collector made over to him only 129 villages Viz. 79 Jeroyaty. 50 Jagheers held by the late Zemindar and his family women for a long time? and if the Honorable Company would consider that also the remaining 73 Villages must be made over to the Cullecote Zemindar according to the proclamation and be enjoyed by

by him together with the Jeroyaty villages how can that be done while para— of the proclamation contains a stipu:lation that the purchaser would have only as much claim as the permanent Cowle would permit, and while such a Cowle prohibits the Zemindar from interfering with the Lackrajee or rent free lands and with the lands settled with Cuttoobady how can the other 73 Villages (Lackrajee Agraharums) and Amaneahs &ca and also Jagheers still under the enjoyment of some others from the time of the perma:nent Settlements which still remain unoccupied by the Cullecote Zemindar in conformity to the claim allowed him in the pro:clamation be resumed from the possession of the present enjoyers and

and made over to the Cullecote Zemin-
:dar contrary to the conditions contain-
in para — of the advertisement and
in the permanent Cowle. From this
the authorities will be pleased to
commence themselves that owing to
the heavy misfortune of the late
Zemindar who is protectless and
subjected to the unfavorable orders
of the Government officers, and thus
exposed to suffer injustice- the
50 Villages held by him and his
family for a long time were made
over by the Acting Collector to the
Cullecote Zemindar that the Author-
:ity finding no reason to remove
from the enjoyment of the present
holders the remaining aggraha:-
:rums Annaneahs and Jaghirs
for also being put in the possession
of

of the Cullecote Zemindar
left them unnoticed and
that the advertisement
was drawn up contrary
to the original Sunnud
and to the conditions
in the permanent Cowle
that consequently the
sale of the Culle-
cote Zemindar
founded on that
advertisement

advertisement which
contains many objec-
tions as not in ev-
ery the least to be ap-
proved of, and that
the Minutes of Con-
sultation which confirm
the sale is liable to
be cancelled.

No. 122

To

J. D. Sim Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

B. No. 4627
From the Collector
of Cuddapah

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt of the Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue No. 2590 dated the 13th
July last and to forward herewith
a Statement showing the number
of Travellers &c. for the year 1857
prepared in the prescribed form,
therein called for.—

Cuddapah
Collectors Office
9th October 1858.

/Signed/ M. Murray.
Collector

Statement.

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

...e number of Travellers who have halted in the Public Bungalows during the year 1857.

...ne of the ...galow	Whether clean and in good order & repair	Number of Travellers during the year	By whom and when inspected	Remarks
...pully	Clean and in good order and repair	8	Thasildar	
...or	Ditto Ditto	14	"	
...mpetti	The Bungalow is clean and in good repair. The veranda its coner room the Cooking shed the compound wall &c. require repair	13	"	
...daloor	The Bungalow and sheds are in a leaky state. The compound wall has fallen down	8	"	
...uttah	Clean and in good order and repair	12	By the Collector during the Settlement & by Tahsildar	
...pah	In want of Sundry repairs	21	By collector & assistt. Collector & Tahsildar	
...pully	The Bungalow is clean and in good order. The sheds require slight repairs	13	By the Collector during the Settlement & by Tahsildar	
...akoor	In want of Sundry repairs	20	By the Tahsildar	
...repully	Clean and in good order and Repair	20	"	
...ddatoor	Requires slight repairs	16	"	
...hoty	The Bungalow is clean and in good order. Two of its windows and the sheds require slight repair	39	"	
...condah	Clean and in good order	"	By the Collr. &c.	This Bungalow has been made available for Travellers since May last

...pah Collectors Office 9th October 1858.

(Signed) M. Murray.
Collector.

17968

Fort St. George 27th October 1858

No. 212

To
J. D. Sim Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Reven...
Fort St. Geor...

Bd. No. 4683
From the Collector of Tanjore
Reporting on Petition No. 1514 of 1858.

No. 1514 of 1855

Sir,

I have the honor to report the enclosed address.

2 It is alleged that B in the ge...gical tree below, adopted D the son st... a minor, of the person who has pr...ed this Petition.

A
Ayanaur Vencata Kishtnachary

B
Ayanaur Mathoora Cayee Vencatavarada-chary

C
Streerung Chary

D
Ayanaur Vencatakishtnamachary alleged adopted Son

E
Sanumgar alias Vencatakishtnamachary Son by 1st wife
No issue

Venc... varad... by 2d... Amm... am...
No

3 The further allegations the E and Ammanee Ammaul 2d. widow of C exe=cuted an agreement to B by which he was constituted heir to their property. He succeeded to it and from his possession it came to that of D who avers that In G. 11-36 Survamaniem land forming part of the property having been mortgaged by E and C 2d. widow for Rupees 650 for five years to Vydealinga Moodelly (deceased) to clear A's debts. the Mortgagees claim should cease as the usefruct has cleared both the principal and interest of the loan. That as the Mortgagee declines to res=tore the land the permission of Government is solicited to institute an action at law.

4 The execution of an agree=ment in favor of B is denied the adoption is repudiated and D's right to the property is negatived by C's second widow and the widow of E. If ture the adoption is asserted to have occurred subsequent to the promul=gation of the rules of 1846 and the widow appear

appear to have received loans from the heirs of the Mortgagee.

5 The Survamaniem in question is in village Trippendoorty. Talook Trivady its annual value is Rupees 35-3-6 It is comprised in a grant by Raja Ameersing dated A.D. 1787 originally made to Sadaseeva Bhutt is supported by a Sicca sunnud and was registered in the Collector's Office. But it cannot be ascertained whether in 1802 it was in the possession of the original Grantees family any how it is clear that it has since then passed into another family though how the change occurred is not known.

6 I am of opinion that under these circumstances the Government should not consent to the institution of the proposed action.

Tanjore
Collector's Office
Vullum 13th October
1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

List

17 Credit Dalton 30 June 1819 Mr. Wm. Scott Credit Dalton " 210 " .

Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
in the Parish of Croston
in the County of Lancaster

8 Plans Drawn 1799 to 1818 Plans Drawn " 14 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

16 Advertisements 1799 to 1818 Advertisements " 80 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

7 Mortgage 1799 to 1818 Executed Mortgage " 31 8 " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

10 Assignments 1799 to 1819 Assigning Attorney " 36 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

9 Conveyances 1799 to 1819 Conveyances and Assignments 126 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 5th Oct. 1818 and Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 1500 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 5th Oct. 1818 and Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 1500 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 5th Oct. 1818 and Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 1500 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 5th Oct. 1818 and Mrs. A.E. Hopkinson 1500 " " Sale of the Estate
of the late Revd. Thomas Hornby
on the 22nd & 23rd June 1818
at the Hotel in Preston
by Messrs. Winstanley & Hornby

17972 Fort Saint George 27th October 1858

6	Narasummal	36	1st Feby 1811	Do No 3144	Narasummal	"	48	"	"	Widow of the late Narrainchetty Shroff on Do for Do	Do 1st Feby 1811	
3	Seerungum	66	6th Septr 1811	Do No 3142	Seerungum	"	31	8	"	Widow of the late Aroonagherry, Peon on the Establishment of the Superintendent of details of Police for Do	Do 6th Septr 1811	Pension of the late Native Pension
9	Tanjummal	69	23d April 1819	Do No 3147	Tunjummal	"	42	"	"	Widow of the late Chella Pillay, Collecting Conicapillay on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras for Do	Do 23d April 1819	
1	Vencatalutchme	65	5th June 1810	Do No 3140	Vencatalutchme	"	33	"	"	Widow of the late Ramoochetty Shroff on Do for Do	Do 5th June 1810	
15	Vadavullee	58	27th Novr 1829	Certificate No 3153	Vadavullee	"	24	"	"	Widow of the late Vencatasem Peon on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras for life	E. M. of C. 27th Novr 1829	Pension of the late Native Pension Fund
2	Yellammay	72	28th May 1811	Do No 3141	Yellammay	"	31	8	"	Widow of the late Camaren Sweeper on Do for Do	Do 28th May 1811	
	Mr R. Aubert	un known	22d April 1852	under the orders of Govt	Mr R. Aubert	"	86	5	"	Enter	Do 2d June 1858	Netherlands Govt Pensions Repayable

Longleand

This Pensions originally authorized to be paid by the Collector of Chingleput and subsequently transferred to this Office the terms of the original grant can therefore be known only from him.

Madras 25th October 1858 / Signed / B. Cunliffe
Collector

1797

Fri. 27th October 1848

Last night Illness attended by the Collector of Bilaspore successfully

Left Camp Bilaspore at 4 A.M. Arrived at Mungeli at 10 A.M. Marched 14 miles

Mungeli

Mungeli is a small village on the Bilaspore road, 14 miles from Bilaspore and 16 from Seepree. It contains a Police Thannah, a Tehseeldaree Cutcherry, a Dak Bungalow, a Bazar, and a Hospital. The Hospital is under the charge of Assistant Surgeon Mr. Hall, who has been in charge of it since its establishment in 1845. The Hospital is a small building, consisting of a Dispensary, a Ward for Patients, and a Room for Operations. The Dispensary contains a Native Assistant, Mr. Hall's Native Assistant, who has been in charge of the Dispensary since its establishment in 1845. The Ward contains 10 beds, and the Operation Room contains a Table, a Chair, and a Stool. The Hospital is open from 8 A.M. to 12 noon, and from 4 P.M. to 6 P.M. The Hospital is supplied with Medicines from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Bedding from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Clothing from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Food from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Water from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Fuel from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Light from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Heat from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Ventilation from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Drainage from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Sewerage from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Refuse Disposal from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Laundry from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Kitchen from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Dining Room from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Sitting Room from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Bedroom from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Bathroom from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Toilet from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Shower from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Bath from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Basin from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Mirror from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Towel from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Soap from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Toothbrush from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Toothpaste from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Comb from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Razor from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Shaving Cream from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Aftershave from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Deodorant from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Perfume from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Cologne from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Powder from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Lotion from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Cream from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Ointment from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Liniment from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Embrocation from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Tincture from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Extract from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Infusion from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Decoction from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Mixture from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Pill from the Government Dispensary at Bilaspore. The Hospital is supplied with Powder

17974 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

To

The Secretary to the

Sir, Board of Revenue

B. No. 4784

From Messrs. Binny & Co.
Madras ----

Being informed that no Tenders was made for the 100000 Indian Maunds of Salt advertised for on the 9th Instant and the only a few hundred Tons were fixed for one Vessel, we have the honor to request we may be informed of the Board be now open to receive Tenders for such quantities as may be adopted to the lading of single Vessels.

We desire this information to guide our communecation with commanders of Vessels, and shall be obliged by your early attention hereto.

Madras ---
25th October 1858

(Signed) Binny & Co.

Received

17975 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statement

After
Mg. No. 2586
From the Collector of Madura — Return of Village Officers for September 1858

Mg. No. 2587
From the Collector of Tanjore — Return of Village Officer for September 1858.

Mg. No. 2582
From the Collector of Tanjore — Return of Revenue Defaulters for 3d Quarter 1858.

Mg. No. 2585
From the Collector of Tanjore — Return of persons charged with offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for September 1858.

Mg. No. 2586
From the Collector of Madura — Return of persons charged with offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for September 1858

From

17976 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Mg. No. 2584
From the Collector of Tanjore. — Return of fines for 3d. Quarter 1858

Mg. No. 2583
From the Collector of Tanjore. — Return of suspension dismissal for 3d. Quarter 1858

Mg. No.
From the Collector of Kurnool. — Statement shewing the actual charges incurred on account of Additional Establishment employed in fusly 1267.

Mg. No. 2576
From the Collector of Kurnool. — Average Bazar Statement for September 1858.

Mg. No. 2575
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement for September 1858.

From

17977 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Mg. No. 2578
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered upto 30th September 1858.

Mg. No. 2579
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered upto 30th September 1858.

Mg. No. 2580
From the Collector of Tanjore. — List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered upto 30th September 1858

Mg. No. 2581
From the Collector of Tanjore. — List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered upto 30th September 1858.

Mg. No. 2588
From the Collector of Tanjore. — Register of Petitions for September 1858

From

17978 Fort St. George 27th October 1858

Mr. J. No. 2589

From the Collector of South Arcot. — Register of Petitions for September 1858

Mr. J. No 2590

From the Collector of Malabar. — Informing that the returns referred to in Memo. of 9th Instant have despatched by this days post. —

Mr. J. No. 2591

From Mr. G. Williams. — Applying for unconvenanted Civil Service. —

Mr. J. No. 2574

From the Secretary to the Saving Bank } Indent for Peon Betts. —

17979 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Thursday the 28th October 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government, and bound in separate Volume.

Bd No. 4646
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 14th October 1858.

Sanctioning the disbursements to Mr. Montcloir of Rajahmundry on the approval by the Board of the security offered by him, of the sum of Rupees 30,000 as a loan in consideration of the injury caused to his sugar plantation by the recent breach of the Godavery Annicut (See No. 1397 in separate Volume)

Bd No. 4651
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 14th October 1858.

Passing an order with reference to a certain discrepancy

discrepancy in the Board's Statements relating to the Settlement of the Presidency for Fusly 1265. (See No. 1398 in separate Volume)

Bd No. 4812
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department Under date the 21st October 1858.

Approving of the proposal of the Collector of Canara to offer Prizes for the best essays on certain agricultural subjects in lieu of the agricultural Exhibition which was proposed to be held at Mangalore in the ensuing year. (See No. 1312 in separate Volume)

Bd No. 4836
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date the 25th October 1858.

Sanctioning the Extra Saderward expenses incurred

incurred in the Revenue and Magistrate Departments of the Coimbatore Collectorate in Fusly 1267 amounting to Rupees 310-12-7. (See No. 1439 in separate Volume)

Bd No. 4837
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date the 25th October 1838.

Sanctioning the disbursement to the Government Pleader of Rupees 994-4-0 being the amount of his fees in appeal Suit No. 136 of 1857 on the file of the Sudr Court. (See No. 1441 in separate Volume)

Read also the following Papers.

No. 180.

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4670
From the Collector of Ganjam }

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you

you will be pleased to buy for this Collectorate 50 lbs of printing ink and to forward it by the first Sea opportunity.

Ganjam District
Collector's Office
Chetterpore
4th October 1858.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Collector

To

No 173

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Bd No 4537
From the Collector of Vizagapatam

Sir,

With reference to the Board's proceedings of the 22d June 1857 No 2133 accompanied with an Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 19th May of the same year No 961 I have the honor to state in regard to the evils complained of by the Brinjaries and others trading through the Jeypore State that the late Agent Mr Smollett reported some time back that there was little to be done to remedy the present state of things until a proper Police Establishment was organized at Jeypore the Zemindar has however been written to on the subject and of late there has been no repetition of the complaints from those passing through the Jeypore territories.

2 The road recently sanctioned through

through Jeypore when completed will prove perhaps the most effectual check to the same barbarous state of affairs existing in that part of the country and in my opinion this work cannot be too quickly commenced and carried out.

3 Jeypore physically is a sort of "terra incognito" while morally its condition is matter of notoriety and crimes and offences are committed within its boundaries ad libitum and with comparative impunity.

4 I believe of late there has been some improvement towards which I have directed my efforts.

5 I am about shortly to visit Jeypore when I will submit to the Board as well as to Government a Report on all I may see and hear.

6 I beg to add in Explanation

of the delay in replying to the calls made by the Board for an answer to this reference that till within the last few days I was not in possession of the papers on which my opinion and reports were sought. I eventually obtained them from the Collector of Rajahmundry as they were not forthcoming on my own Records.

Vizagapatam District
Narsipatam, Collector's
Circuit Office 1st October 1858

(Signed) C. W. Reade
Collector

No. 180

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No. 4851
From the Collector
of Vizagapatam

Referring to your Memo of the 6th October, and the Board's Proceedings of the 15th January last and its enclosure, I have the honor to state that no inconvenience whatever has

has been felt in this District in conse:
:quence of the order of Government em:
:powering the summoning of public
servants in Police cases without re:
:ference to Heads of Offices, as was
formerly the practice.

2 I am of opinion that the order
in question is an Excellent one and
cannot reasonably be objected against,
although I believe it has met opposition
from many Collectors and Magistrates.

Vizagapatam District
Nursipatam
Collector's Circuit Office
20th October 1858

(Signed) C. W. Reade
Collector

No. 140

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4507
From the Collector
of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to report
on Petitions, Board Nos 532 and
632 of 1858, presented by Mundapatty
Balabhadrarauz and Tirpattyrauze
of Raghoodavapoorum in the Talook
of

of Cottapilly.

2 The Petitioners state that their
enam lands in the Village of Bolleddoopollem,
Chinna Condapoody Singavaram and Raghoo:
:davapoorum were attached in the year
1850 by the late Collector and pray that
the same may be restored to them with
the produce of past years

3 They claim to be connected
with the family of the former Zemindar
of Coroocondah Purganah who resided in
the Fort at Raghoodavapoorum - The
estate in question was last held
and managed by Stree Rajah Munda:
:putty Raghoonadarauze - After his
death towards the end of the
last century the Circar took
possession of the Zemindary
giving the Rajahs mother Atchiah
a money allowance - She it is
said, adopted a son, Ramanavani
rauze, who died some years before
her.

+ In the year Paredave A. D. 1792

her - On Atchiah's death in 1824 the money allowance was discontinued and Boochee Venkiah the widow of Ramanaroinrauze who had, for years, lived with Atchiah, took possession of her property - A Suit No. 597 of 1825 was brought to oust her by G Tripa:thyrauze as Guardian of Mundaputty Tirpatyrauze claiming as connected with the other branch of the original zemindar Balabadrarauze It was struck off on technical grounds and the aforesaid Boochee Venkiah enjoyed the property left by her mother in law till her death in 1841 - Among the pro:perty were enam lands enjoyed by the last holder as follows:-

Vide Para 40 Decree in Suit No. 68 of 1847 Sub Court file.

	Extent P.	T.	M
In Bolleddoopollem	15 -	" -	"
In Singavaram } " Chinna Condapoody }	6 -	10 -	"
In Ragoodavapoorum	2 -	5 -	"
Total	23 -	15 -	"

It

It cannot be ascertained when these enams were granted no documents are forthcoming.

4 On the death of Boochee Venkiah in March 1841, Sundry claim:ants for her property appeared before the Court First - Pedda Balabhadra:rauze because Atchiah had adopted his Brother Second Chenna Bala:bhadrarauze stating that Atchiah had adopted him 50 years ago on executing a deed to that effect - Third Tirputtyrauze son of Chinna Bala:bhadrarauze, declaring that Atchiah had adopted him 18 years before, executing a will to that effect and fourth one M - Rungiah, de:claring that the landed property had been conditionally sold to him.

5 These claims were all rejected by the Court M - Seetara:marauze, brother and guardian of a

a minor Ramachendrarauze not con-
:nected with this family, presented
a motion to the Court praying that
as the minor had been adopted by
Boochee Venkiah, he should be put in
possession of the whole property be-
:longed to her Pedda Balabhadra
rauze again pressed his claim, but
this time as nearest of kin, and the
Zillah Court held Proceedings under
date the 4th November 1842 stating
that Seetaramarauz's plea on behalf
of his brother Ramachendrarauze
was without foundation, because
Boochee Venkiah had no property
as the adoption of her husband by
Atchiah does not appear to have
been regularly made, and therefore
the claim was dismissed.

Present Petitioner

6 The Court ultimately
passed orders to the following
effect, "From the genealogical tree
presented, it appears that the original
owner / viz Atchiah's husbands grandfathers
father

father) had two sons, that one branch of
the family, descended from one son,
have all died out without heirs, which
the other son named Vencataramarauze
had a son named Balabadrarauze,
who had two sons, Viz. Tirpattyrauz
and Vencataramarauze, that their descend-
:ants are now alive, and that the
proper course is to recognize these two
families as the heirs, and that they
both should enjoy the property in
equal portions, leaving any objecting
to this decision to bring a regular
suit It was added that 6 weeks
would be allowed for this purpose,
after which date the above decision
would be enforced.

Pedda Balabhadrarauz
/ son of Tirroopaty rauze brought
in 1842, a regular suit claiming
the whole property, and as he gave
security

security the enam lands, as well as the other property were by order of the Court put in his possession - The Plaintiff in the suit and the Defendants Tirpattyrauz and his father Chinna Balabhadrarauze, son of Venkataramarauze, presented a Razenamah on the 6th December 1843, agreeing to divide the whole of property into two equal shares, ie one to the Plaintiff, and the other to the Defendants - The Court caused the terms of the Razenamah to be carried out.

8 The lands were thus held until the year 1847 when M. Ramachendrarauz, already preferred to (preferred) preferred a Pauper suit in the Sub Court urging that as he was adopted by the late Boochee Venkiah he ought to be put in possession of the whole of the

Para 4

the lands and other property.

9 One Cholungy Gungarauze also presented a Petition in the Zillah Court [2467/1844] stating that Boochee Venkiah, under the orders of Atchiah gave him 2½ Candies of land at Bolleddoopollem - This petition was rejected, but he made a summary appeal [160/1843] to the late Northern Provincial Court and the Special Commissioner held Proceedings on the 5th August 1844 ordering That the 2½ Candies of land, and its produce, should be put in Gungarauzs possession, which was done Mundaputty Pada Balabhadrarauze and Tirpattyrauze brought a regular suit in the Subordinate Court [in No. 168 of 1847] against the aforesaid Gungarauze and others for the recovery of the said land, past years produce Va

10 The Sub Court passed

Decree

1 Ramachendrarauze Pauper Plaintiff in Suit No. 68
1 Defendants Pedda Balabadrarauz
1 Chinna Balabadrarauze
1 Terapatty Rauz
1 Vencataramarauz
1 Mundapaty Pedda Balabadrarauz Plaintiff in Suit No. 168 of 1847
2 Mundapaty Terapaty Rauz Defendants
1 Chowlughy Gungarauz
2 Mundapaty Chinna Balabadraraz
3 Moolaga Sooba roydoo Supplimental Defendant.

Decrees in the above two cases 68 and 168 of 1847 pronouncing that neither parties in the Suits had any right to the lands, In the 1st case the Sub Judge, Mr Irvine, expressed his opinion that the Pauper Plaintiff was not adopted by Boochee Venkiah and that even if adopted by her the adoption could not, for the reasons stated in the Decree, give him any claim to the property in dispute be therefore dismissed the Suit with costs.

11 We went on to observe that it was necessary at the same time to record his opinion that the Defendants have no claim to the property in dispute 1st 2d and 3d Defendants originally claimed

claimed on the grounds of alleged adoption by by Atchiah. It has been already shewn that Atchiah could not adopt a son. The late Zillah Court having rejected their first claims, 1st and 2d Defendants then claimed as being Members of the Zemindary family. Had they been so, they would not have brought forward false claims by adoption Had these Defendants been really heirs, they would have claimed the Zemindary when in 1792, Atchiah transferred it over to the Circar. They did not do so, and Atchiah enjoyed the money allowance granted by the Circar to her and her enam lands without opposition till her death in 1824. They did not make any opposition either to B. Venkiahs enjoyment of the property after atchiahs death after Suit No. 597 of 1825 had been thrown out. The reasons assigned for their remaining quiet are utterly insufficient. The 1st Defendant refers to certain genealogical tables as

as proving his descent from the Zemin=
=dary family These tables have never
been proved to be correct and are
therefore useless. The oral testimony
adduced to prove this point, is quite
insufficient 1st. Defendants witnesses
depose that they heard that 1st De=
=fendant is the son of the Brother
of Atchiahs husband but they do
not know how many brothers her
husband has or any particulars
of the family.

12 In the 2? case the Sub
Judge gives his opinion that the Plain=
=tiffs have no claim to the land
possessed by the 1st Defendant and
that the suit must be dismissed
with costs. He further observed. The
question of Plaintiffs right by ad=
=option to succeed to the property
in dispute being thus disposed of
the next point for consideration is
whether

whether they are entitled to succeed
as heirs to Rajoonadarauze the last
in all holder of the Zemindary of Boroocon=
=da, and of the property in dispute.
There are many reasons for disbelieving
that the Plaintiffs are related to the
Zemindary family and entitled to succeed
to the property in dispute. The Plain=
=tiffs refer to a genealogical table pre=
=sented in 1792, as they allege, by Atchiah,
to the Collector, as proving their relation
ship. That table is valueless as proof.
It was presented with an Urzee said
to have been sent by Atchiah mention=
=ing her adoption of a son. Its correct=
=ness was never enquired into and no
effect was given to the Urzee which
accompanied it. Had Atchiahs hus=
=band made relations, as now alleged
they would have opposed
Atchiahs resignation of the Zemin=
=dary to Government, and urged
their right to be put in possession

of

of it, no opposition was however raised and Atchiah continued in undisputed possession of the money allowance, and of the enam lands (15 Candies in extent) till her death in 1824. Boochee Venkiah then took possession of the property. G. Terupatty Rauz as guardian of the present 2d Plaintiff filed original Suit 597/1825 late Zillah Court's file, against her. It was dismissed on technical grounds and no further opposition was raised to Boochee Venkiah injoyment of the property. The reasons assigned in this Suit and in original Suit 68 of 1847, (taken into consideration with the present suit) in which the present Plaintiffs were Defendants, for their acquiescence are utterly valueless.

The Plaintiffs have never to this day laid any claim to the Zemindary which they would undoubtedly have done, had their present claim as Descendants of that family been well founded. The 1st Plaintiff endeavoured, by oral testimony in Suit 68 of 1847, to prove this relationship. In this he completely failed. His witnesses state they were informed that he is son of the brother of Atchiahs husband but they do not know how many brothers Atchiahs husband had, or in fact any particulars of the family. It is obvious that such testimony cannot prove their right. Had Plaintiffs been really of the Zemindary family, and as such, entitled to succeed to the property, they would, when the Zillah Court in 1842 issued Proclamation for heirs, have claimed

claimed as such and they would not have claimed as having been adopted by Atchiah - The Subordinate Judge is opinion for these reasons that the Plaintiffs have no claim to the land now possessed by the 1st Defendant and that this suit must be dismissed with costs. He thinks it right at the same time to record his opinion that the 1st Defendant has no valid title to the disputed land. He rests it on an alleged deed of gift executed to him by Boochee Venkiah The possession of this land has been shewn in the first part of this Decree to have been without any legal title no document executed by her could therefore give a right to the land.

13 On the receipt by the Collector of these Decrees the enam lands were attached. The parties addressed the

the Civil Court but after reference to the Sub Court and the Collector the first named tribunal referred the Petitioners to a Civil action, if aggrieved by the Collectors Proceedings - The Judge observing that it was his opinion that as Defendants had possession of the property litigated it ought to have been redelivered to them when Plaintiffs claim (which was the only one made) to oust them was rejected - The opinion of the Subordinate Court that the Defendants had no claim might have given grounds to the Collector to sue them for the recovery of it, but did not authorize him to deprive them of it after they had had possession from 1841 to 1847 - But the Collector having taken possession of the lands on receipt of a copy of the Subordinate Courts Regular Decree, the Civil Judge cannot formally pass orders

orders affecting that Decree on a summary Petition. The Petitioners should take copy of their Proceedings and shew them to the Collector and should that officer refuse to restore the property in question, Petitioners could have recourse to a regular Suit, or if they prefer it, they may make a regular appeal

16 The Plaintiffs in Suit No 168 of 1847 appealed to the Civil Court against the Sub Courts decree but the same was confirmed in October 1854.

16 Since the enams were attached as above stated by the Collector, the amount realized by sale of that Government Share of the produce as shewn in the margin has been kept in deposit. The Petitioners still urge that they belong to the same family as the last holders of the enams, and are therefore entitled them. They refer especially to the genealogical table

Fasly	Rs	a	p
1261	308	1	5
1262	308	12	0
1263	317	0	0
1264	236	8	0
1265	322	0	0
1266	341	0	0
1267	302	0	0
	2135	5	5

table, but, as is observed by the Sub Judge, the correctness of this document has never been established and I am unable to support their claims.

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office
Cocanada
30th September 1858.

(Signed) Arthur Purvis
Collector

Genealogical

18004 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Genealogical tree of the family referred to in Courts Decrees &c.



18005 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

No. 200

To J. D. Sim Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Bd No. 4853

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Para 1 In reply to the Board's Circular of the 21st Ultimo No. 3571 I have the honor to state that there is no Revenue in this District derived from the Trade by Land. That from the Sea Customs within the last 3 years is noted in the margin. The Customs duty of 1856-57 consisted is of Rupees 4-9-2 on Exports to and from Penang and Rupees 8-8-4 on Imports from Carical. That of 1857-58 consisted of Rupees 88-10-" from Exports to the Mauritius. Imports from Carical Rupees 68-3-1 & Do. from Singapore Rupees 47-12-9.

	Rs	a	p
1854 - 55	"	"	"
1856 - 56	13	1	6
1858 - 59	204	9	10

2 List of the principal articles of trade of this District is annexed.

Masulipatam Collrs. Cutcherry 20th October 1858 } (Signed) W. Knox Collector

Paddy and Rice
Other agricultural Produce
Ghee
Hides and Horns
Piece goods
Carpets
Cotton Wool
Salt petre
Sundries
Metals
Timbers

(Signed) W. Knox
Collector

No 168

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No 4489
From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st May 1858, forwarded with the Board's Proceedings dated 3d September 1858, sanctioning the remission of the outstanding

outstanding balances amounting to Rupees 1,77,165-13-5 for the whole Presidency I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to inform me what portion of that amount is the remission sanctioned for this District, and how much for each respective fusly as no Copy of the Proceedings of the Board reviewing the Summahbundy reports of Fusly 1265 or any other papers containing the required information have been received in this Office.

Nellore Collr's Office
4th October 1858

(Signed) T. B. Elton
Collector

No 173

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No 4642
From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 2d Ultimo, I have the honor to recommend for the favorable consideration of the Board the case of V. Ramarow Petitioner in No 2170 of 1858 reported on by me on the 18th August last without

without palliating his original fault. I think he has been sufficiently punished by being so many years out of employ, and his subsequent conduct appears to have been good. He seems also likely to make a useful public servant if again employed.

2 V. Ramarow obtained a Certificate at an Examination held during the time Mr Taylor was Acting Collector Copy of which I beg to enclose.

3 There is now opportunity of employing him as a Falcok Mazoom Goomastah, a similar situation to the one he was dismissed from, if the proposed arrangement is sanctioned.

Nellore
Collectors Office
13th October 1858

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

No 9

The Seal of the Collr of Nellore

This is to Certify that Vydela Ramarow attended the Public Examination held at the Collectors Cutchery at Nellore on the 15th instant and obtained

obtained the number of marks which, with reference to the Rules published at Page 3 of the Nellore Gazette, renders him eligible for an appointment the salary of which does not exceed 25 Rupees.

Nellore
Collector's Office
30th September 1858

(Signed) James Minchen
Sub Collector in Charge

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

No 174

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

53d No 4692
From the Collector
of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th June last, I have the honor to state that the Shrotriem Village of Pumbalay was granted to Balakrishnamah Naidoo by the Nabob Wallajah, Copy of which sunnud with a Translation in English is herewith forwarded. Neither in this document nor in the Registers

Registers of Fusly 1212 is mention made of the Shrotriem having been given for the support of the Choultry, but an order issued by the Collector Mr. Travers in 1801 containing the Shrotriem, states with reference to Mau-moolnamahs furnished by the Stala Curnum that the Shrotriem was allowed for the support of the Choultry of Kistnama Naidoo and the same also appears in a Return of Choultries prepared in 1818.

2 The net Value of the Shrotriem after paying the Pushcush & Roossoom charged upon it, is according to the above return Rupees 152 - 4a - 0p and Rupees 154 - 7a - 3p according to accounts furnished for fusly 1266 by Yatherazooloo Naidoo, under whose management it is.

+ P. Kistnajee Naidoo

As regards the registration of the Petitioners rights, I do not consider it would be prudent on the part of the Officers of Government to pledge themselves to him by registering them before the time has come for deciding on the same which would naturally be on the occurrence of a lapse. No Purwanah is, as supposed by

by Petitioner about to be issued, but the Shrotriem and Choultry are actually under the management of his uncle Yatheerazooloo Naidoo.

4 It is also to be observed that though Petitioner Kistnajee Naidoos right to a share was not at first questioned it has been since but I am disposed to think on insufficient grounds his Mother being alledged to have been a concubines daughter, though her marriage with his father is admitted I shall however attend to any instructions on this point which the Board may please to issue.

Nellore
Collector's Office
13th October 1858

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

Encr No. 1
Seal of the Cutcherry of
Nabob Wallajah
Ameerul Oomar Sepha
Salaur.

The Amuldars who are at present in the Nellore Talook, attached to the Circar Survapully subject to the Soobah of Arcot, and their future successors, are to

to understand that I have graciously granted to Permalla Baula Kishum the land situated south of the Salt water creek called Paumoo cargee, named Pumbaly being a hamlet of Doogarazpatam, as Shrotrium, at 25 Madras Pagodas per annum excluding the charges of Sibbundy, payable from 1191 fusly without reference to Dyveekum and Rajeekum or calamities resulting from the acts of Providence, or the ruling power. Therefore the amount of Shrotrium Beriz is to be paid into the Treasury of the Circar by 3 instalments every year and Receipts obtained. The ryots shall be treated with consideration and measures taken for bringing the hamlet into an improved state. The share of the Ryots & Roossooms &ca shall be continued according to Mamool, and no over collections shall be made. This should be considered as a Takeed for this purpose, and it shall be carried into execution as above stated.

For the hamlet per year
Madras Pagodas
25
2 Rabeyasauny Hejery 1195
(True Translation)
(Signed) F. B. Elton

No. 178

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4792
From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to the Boards' Endorsement on Petition No. 2940 of 1858 from P. Kistnajee Naidoo herewith returned. I have the honor to refer to my report under date the 13th instant on this subject.

Nellore
Collector's Office
21st October 1858

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector

No. 788

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4778
From the Collector of Bellary

Sir

As the printing Ink is nearly out and some time must elapse

18014

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

elapse before my former Indent can be supplied, I have the ho to request you will be pleas to order a small canister to b procured at Madras and desp -ed immediately by Bangh

The cost of the Ink can b charged in a contingent Bill on my being informed of the same.

Bellary
Collector's Office
22d October 1858

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

To

18015

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

No. 192.

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1860.

From the Collectory of Bellary - - -

Sir.

I have the honor to forward a List of all Money allowances in the Revenue Department, except Yeomiahs, disbursed in this District, as called for in the Sub Secretary's letter of the 5th July last, No. 2300, I beg also to forward a Separate list for the Punchapollem Talook, lately transferred to Kurnool, copy of which has also been furnished to the Collector of that District.

Bellary, - - -
Collector's Office,
22d October, 1858.

Signed C. Pelly
Collector.

List

incumbent	Date of Grant	Whether summary doc	Date of Grant	Relation present to Ch	Amount	Tenure	Date of Grant	Boar	Hon Co	
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11

1 Religious Grants for the maintenance of religious edifices, performance of each service, reading Vedas, teaching the Koran &ca &ca.

Bellary Chenna Kasavasawmy	22d Septr 1801	Governmt Sanction	The said Chenna Kasavasawmy	—	42	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt. dated 5th July 1849	Pagoda allowance
Peaty Hanooman thaswamy	Do	Do	Do Hanooman thaswamy	—	84	"	Do	Do	Do
Ramalutchama naswamy on the Hill	Do	Do	Do Rama lutchamana swamy		21	"	Do	Do	Do
Jeebee Hanoo munthaswamy	Do	Do	Do Hanoomun thaswamy		21	"	Do	Do	Do
Mullecarzoona swamy	Do	Do	Do Mullecarzo naswamy		168	"	Do	Do	Do
Ragavendraswa my Muttum	14th Jama deesamee of Hizree 1214 or A.D. 1799	On Sunnd of Chendoolaul	Do Ragavendra swmy Muttum		70	"	Do	Do	Do
Moozavur Goolam Mohomed Mahazeed	22d Septr 1801	Governmt Sanction	Do Goolam Moho med Mohazeed		105	"	Do	Do	Mohazeed or Mosque allowance
Kumply Amrootha sela Ramaswamy	Do	Do	Do Ramaswamy		105	"	Do	Do	Pagoda allowance
9 Anjanayaswamy	Do	Do	Do Anjanaya swamy		42	"	Do	Do	Do
my behind the Fort	Do	Do	swaraswamy		52	8	Do	Do	Do
11 Somaswara swamy	Do	Do	Do Somaswa raswamy		52	8	Do	Do	Do
12 Hurpunhully Prasanna Vencat ramanaswamy	Do	Do	Do Vencat ramana swamy		152	3 8	Do	Do	Do
13 Anjanayaswamy in the Fort	Do	Do	Do Anja nayaswamy		35	"	Do	Do	Do
14 Timmalapoor Venketramana swamy	Do	Do	Do Venket ramana swamy		127	12	Do	Do	Do
15 Ramaswara swamy	Do	Do	Do Ramaswa raswamy		35	"	Do	Do	Do
16 Hoodleghee Ramaswamy	Do	Do	Do Rama swamy		42	"	Do	Do	Do

1807

Fortsetzung Seite 28 Collecta 1838.

17	Forderungszettel	22 Aug. 1821	Herr	Johann Jacob Schmidt	42	—	Übertrag Seite 28 Collecta 1838
			Herr	Johann Adam Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
18	Forderungszettel	29 Aug. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	42	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
19	Forderungszettel	29 Aug. 1820	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	339	11	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
20	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	7	50	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
21	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	12	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
22	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	7	50	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
23	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	7	50	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
24	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	42	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
25	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	42	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
26	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
27	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
28	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	42	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
29	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
30	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
31	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
32	Forderungszettel	9 Sept. 1821	Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt	84	—	
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			
			Herr	Johann Georg Schmidt			

Fort St George 28th October 1808.

33	Aswatha Catta Anjanayaswamy	29th June 1807	Govt. Sanction	Do. Anjanaya Swamy	20	6	-	Hereditary.	Order of Govt dated 5th July 1809	Pagoda allowance	
34	Mullecarjona swamy	Do.	Do.	The said Mulle carjonaswamy	82	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
35	Cottar Ramalin gaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Ramalin gaswamy	17	2	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
36	Tavana Catta Anjanagaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana gaswamy	17	2	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
37	Tooccoojee Hanoo munthaaryaswamy	30th Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Hanooman tharyaswamy	183	12	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
38	Cundakoor Rama lingaswamy	29th June 1807	Do.	Do. Ramalin gaswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
39	Herra Coombala Ramaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Rama swamy	64	28		Do.	Do.	Do.	
40	Kowlatum Kasa vasawmy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kaysa vaswamy	90	68		Do.	Do.	Do.	
41	Nagainathanahully Venkelramanasawmy	Do.	Do.	Do. Venkatasa masawmy	55	68		Do.	Do.	Do.	
42	Ancholy Kaysa vaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kaysava swamy	20	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
43	Cuptee Nursimma swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Nursima sawmy	26	4	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
44	Chicka Coombala Nursimmasawmy	Do.	Do.	Do. Nursima sawmy	55	68		Do.	Do.	Do.	
45	Moosahnahully Somayswarasamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Samaswara sawmy	14	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
46	Vundagul Hanoo munthaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Hanooman thaswamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
47	Halvee Sidday swaraswamy	22d Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Siddayswa raswamy	142	14	8	Do.	Do.	Do.	
48	Cotacul Kaysa vaswamy	29th June 1807	Do.	Do. Kasava swamy	64	28		Do.	Do.	Do.	
49	Munchala Raga vendraswamy	22d Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Ragavendra swamy	196	4	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	
50	Yoonah Mohozed in the Fort	Do.	Do.	Do. Mohozed	127	5	-	Do.	Do.	Do.	

18019

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

51	Mohozeed in Do.	22d Septr 1801	Govt. Sanction	The Said Mohozeed	96	13	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 5th July 1847 —	Mosque allowance
52	Durgah Moortooza Houdaree	Do.	Do.	Do. Moortoozah Houdaree	142	4	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
53	Mohozeed near the Tank bund	Do.	Do.	Do. Moho:zeed	21	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
54	Tuttay Mohozeed on the Hill	Do.	Do.	Do. Moho:zeed	30	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
55	Zoomah Mohozeed Petta Babahnuggur	Do.	Do.	Do. Moho:zeed	769	14	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
56	Durgah at the gate of Culloobavy	Do.	Do.	Do. Durgah	32	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
57	Malik Rahiman Durgah	Do.	Do.	Do. Durgah	93	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
58	Durgah Peer Baulah Saib	Do.	Do.	Do. Durgah	23	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
59	Navob Shoojauvool Moolk Mocaberah	Do.	Do.	Do. Mocaberah	1032	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
60	Assar Shareep Ahsherkhannear the Cutcherry	Do.	Do.	Do. Ahsher:khan	38	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
61	Assar Shareep at the Fort Gate	Do.	Do.	Do. Assar:Shareep	38	11	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
62	Assar Shareep Moya Moobauruck	Do.	Do.	Do. Moya Moo:bauruk	105	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
63	Fathama Shaha Ooms	30th Septr 1802	Do.	Do. Fathama:Shaha Ooms	"	14	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
64	Sied Oomur Durgah in the Pettah	22d Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Durgah	8	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
65	Sied Ahjumshah Doodahdharuk	Do.	Do.	Do. Sied Ahjum:shah Doodahdharuk	2	10	"	Do.	Do.	Do.

1820

Tuesday 28th October 1820.

64	Elizabeth Swan	22½ Upper Gower Street	Yellow Coach Painter	4	0	Married	Middlesex
	Anne Nicholson						
65	Mary Ann Cook	19 Dean Street Soho	Servant	10	8	Dean	Ditto
	Dean						
66	Ann Thompson	Ditto	Ditto Ditto	34	11	Married	Ditto
	Catherine Nicholson						
67	Elizabeth Dean	Ditto	Ditto Portella Dean	4	6	Ditto	Ditto
68	Ann Carpenter	Ditto	Ditto Carpenter	15	2	Ditto	Ditto
	Lucia Nicholson		Lucia Nicholson				
71	Henrietta Lucia	Ditto	Ditto Henrietta	3	4	Ditto	Ditto
	Anne in Infant		Lucia Dean				
	Celia						
72	Ann Hannah	Ditto	Ditto Anna Anna	17	6	Ditto	Ditto
	Anna Nicholson		Lucia				
73	Elizabeth Swan	Ditto	Ditto Elizabeth	33	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
	Elizabeth Swan		Nicholson				
74	Elizabeth	22½ Upper Gower	Yellow Coach	4	0	Married	Middlesex
	Anne Nicholson	Street	Painter				
75	Mary Ann	Ditto	Ditto Ditto	33	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
	Hannah Nicholson		Hannah				
76	Elizabeth	Ditto	Ditto Anna	33	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
	Hannah Nicholson		Nicholson				
77	Sophia Jane	Ditto	Ditto Ditto	33	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
	Hannah Nicholson		Hannah				
78	Sarah Ann	Ditto	Ditto Nicholson	10	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
79	Christiana Letitia	Ditto	Ditto Ditto	10	8	Ditto	Ditto
	Nicholson		Nicholson				
80	Sarah Ann	Ditto	Ditto Ditto	21	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
	Nicholson		Nicholson				

91	Gemeinschaftliche Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Süd-West	Thal und Berg			
	Hallstatt	1803	Gmunden	Traunkreis			
101	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg			
	Aussee Obersteinkogl		Nord	Gebirg			
	Alt Aussee Obersteinkogl		Nord-Ost	Gebirg			
102	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	12½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg, Thäler, Flüsse, Bäche			
	Pichlern						
103	Traunpark an der Salzach	3½ Stunden	Süd-West	Thal, Gebirg, Flüsse, Bäche			
104	Gmundner Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Thal und Gebirg			
	Traunkreis Obersteinkogl	1801	Ost	Obersteinkogl Hallstatt			
	Gmundner						
105	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
106	Gschmaidter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Gschmaidter						
107	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
108	Aussee Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Aussee						
99	Traunpark an der Traun		Süd	Thal			

100	Gemeinschaftliche Hauptmannschaft an der Salzach	2½ Stunden	Süd	Thal und Berg			
		1803		Obersteinkogl			
101	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg			
	Traunkreis Obersteinkogl		Nord	Gebirg			
	Alt Aussee Obersteinkogl		Nord-Ost	Gebirg			
102	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	12½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg, Thäler, Flüsse, Bäche			
	Pichlern						
103	Traunpark an der Salzach	3½ Stunden	Süd-West	Thal, Gebirg, Flüsse, Bäche			
104	Gmundner Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Thal und Gebirg			
	Traunkreis Obersteinkogl	1801		Obersteinkogl Hallstatt			
	Gmundner						
105	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
106	Gschmaidter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Gschmaidter						
107	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
108	Aussee Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Aussee						
99	Traunpark an der Traun		Süd	Thal			

91	Gemeinschaftliche Hauptmannschaft an der Salzach	2½ Stunden	Süd-West	Thal und Berg			
	Hallstatt	1803		Obersteinkogl			
101	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg			
	Traunkreis Obersteinkogl		Nord	Gebirg			
	Alt Aussee Obersteinkogl		Nord-Ost	Gebirg			
102	Obersteinkogl Hauptmannschaft	12½ Stunden	Ost	Gebirg, Thäler, Flüsse, Bäche			
	Pichlern						
103	Traunpark an der Salzach	3½ Stunden	Süd-West	Thal, Gebirg, Flüsse, Bäche			
104	Gmundner Hauptmannschaft	2½ Stunden	Ost	Thal und Gebirg			
	Traunkreis Obersteinkogl	1801		Obersteinkogl Hallstatt			
	Gmundner						
105	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
106	Gschmaidter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Gschmaidter						
107	Hallstatter Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Hallstatter						
108	Aussee Hauptmannschaft		Süd	Thal			
	Aussee						
99	Traunpark an der Traun		Süd	Thal			

108	Cardozo Isabel	22 July 1801	Charleston, South Carolina	Wife and Child			
109	Alexandria	do	do	do			
	Servant						
110	Adamstown	27 June 1807	do	Planter			
111	Carnes	22 July 1801	do	Carpenter			
112	Hyde & Eaton	do	do	Grocers			
	Servant			Butler			
113	Stone Hart	do	do	Merchant			
	Steamboat			Servant			
114	Cumberland	27 June 1807	do	Lawyer			
	Servant						
115	Cumberland	22 July 1801	do	Cumberland			
	Servant						
116	Dallam	27 June 1807	do	Gentleman			
117	Baltic Coal						

118	Hydrostatic	do	do	Chymist	17	8	do
	Instrument			Servant			
119	Alexandria	do	do	Chymist	8	12	do
	Servant			Servant			
120	Liddell	do	do	Liddell	17	8	do
	Servant			Servant			
121	Charlestown	do	do	Charlestown	8	12	do
	Servant			Servant			
122	Godfrey	do	do	Godfrey	8	12	do
123	Wyatt	do	do	Coach	43	12	do
	Hermitage			Hostler			
124	Hyatt and	22 July	do	Merchant	84	9	do
	Servant	1801		Servant			
125	Clarkson	27 June 1807	do	Clarkson	33	"	do
126	Copenhagen	do	do	Chymist	8	12	do
	Minerva Chymist			Assay			
	Servant			Servant			
127	Hannah	do	do	Servant	20	1	do
	Servant			Servant			

128	Shipcott				17	8	do
	Dates of July 1819						
	allowance						
129	Alexandria	do	do	Clerk	29	9	do
	Servant			Servant			
130	Adamstown	27 June 1807	do	Gentleman	23	5	do
131	Carnes	22 July 1801	do	Carpenter	109	1	do
132	Hyde & Eaton	do	do	Grocers	96	4	do
	Servant			Butler			
133	Stone Hart	do	do	Merchant	43	12	do
	Steamboat			Servant			
134	Cumberland	27 June 1807	do	Lawyer	43	12	do
	Servant			Servant			
135	Cumberland	22 July 1801	do	Cumberland	165	11	do
	Servant			Servant			

18029

Tabelle der Lieferungen vom 29. Februar 1828.

128	Hoffmann, Wilhelm, Schuhmacher, Lehrling	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schuhmacher	Lehrling	17	8	—
129	Bernhardt, Friedrich, Tischler, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Bernhardt	Tischler	Geselle	33	—	—
130	Schneider, Anna, Näherin, Lehrmädchen	29. Febr. 1828	Schneider	Näherin	Lehrmädchen	33	—	—
131	Müller, Gottlieb, Schmied, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Müller	Schmied	Geselle	40	—	—
132	Lehmann, Karl, Maurer, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Lehmann	Maurer	Geselle	37	8	—
133	Hoffmann, Otto, Schreiber, Lehrling	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schreiber	Lehrling	17	8	—
134	Zimmermann, Ernst, Zimmermann, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Zimmermann	Zimmermann	Geselle	31	1	—
135	Schmidt, Heinrich, Schmied, Meister	29. Febr. 1828	Schmidt	Schmied	Meister	52	8	—
136	Bernhardt, Gustav, Tischler, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Bernhardt	Tischler	Geselle	29	9	—

137	Baumann, Heinrich, Zimmermann, Meister	29. Febr. 1828	Baumann	Zimmermann	Meister	17	8	—
138	Hoffmann, Karl, Schreiber, Lehrling	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schreiber	Lehrling	17	8	—
139	Schneider, Johann, Schneider, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Schneider	Schneider	Geselle	33	—	—
140	Müller, Gottlieb, Schmied, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Müller	Schmied	Geselle	40	—	—
141	Hoffmann, Karl, Schreiber, Lehrling	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schreiber	Lehrling	17	8	—
142	Bernhardt, Friedrich, Tischler, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Bernhardt	Tischler	Geselle	33	—	—
143	Hoffmann, Karl, Schreiber, Lehrling	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schreiber	Lehrling	17	8	—
144	Zimmermann, Ernst, Zimmermann, Geselle	29. Febr. 1828	Zimmermann	Zimmermann	Geselle	31	1	—
145	Hoffmann, Heinrich, Schmied, Meister	29. Febr. 1828	Hoffmann	Schmied	Meister	52	8	—

18024

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

146	Gopaulapoorum Anjanayaswamy	2d June 1804	Govt. Sanction	The said Anja: nayaswamy	8	12	-	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 3d July 1817	Pagoda allowance
147	Bondanacul Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanaya: swamy	11	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
148	Vubberlahully Eswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswara: swamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
149	Kadroy Mahazeed	15th April 1805	Do.	Do. Mohazeed	73	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
150	Kunnayeul Moho: zeed	30th Sept. 1802	Do.	Do. Mohazeed	14	9	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
151	Sandhathry Chin: Chalaroyaswamy	22d Sept. 1801	Do.	Do. Chintala: rayaswamy	280	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
152	Ramaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Ramaswera: swamy	280	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
153	Anjanayaswamy on the Bank of the River	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanaya: swamy	49	3	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
154	Pettah Anjanaya: swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanaya: swamy	29	9	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
155	Muddva Surraver Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	21	14	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
156	Alloor Konarum: gaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Konarmga: swamy	105	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
157	Yellanoor Venket: ramanaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Venkatra: manaswamy	178	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
158	Jagannathapooram Gopaulaswamy	Do.	Do.	Gopaulaswa: my	21	14	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
159	Dankelhota Eswa: raswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Eswara: swamy	19	8	-	Do.	Do.	Pagoda allowance
160	Soonma Moha: zeed	22d Sept. 1801	Do.	Do. Mohazeed	309	11	-	Do.	Do.	Mosque allowance
161	Mahozeed in the Fort	Do.	Do.	Do. Maha: zeed	154	14	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
162	Shumshuddeen Durgah on the left bank of the River	Decr. 1803	Do.	Do. Durgah	38	12	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
163	Singaveram Mo: hazeed	30th September 1802	Do.	Do. Maho: zeed	2	3	-	Do.	Do.	Do.

18025

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

164	Anuntapoor Veeroopatchaswa:raswamy	26th June 1806	Govt. Sanction	The said Veeroopatchaswara:swamy	151	10	8	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 5th July 1849	Pagoda allowance
165	Kasavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kasavaswamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
166	Petta Anjanaya:swamy	4th September 1812	Do.	Do. Anjanaya:swamy	42	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
167	Anjanayaswa:my	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjana:yaswamy	10	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
168	Bookroysamoor:drum Kondamee:deroyaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kondamee:deroyaswamy	210	"	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
169	Koodair Sunga:maswaraswamy	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Sungamas:waraswamy	175	"	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
170	Nudimidoddy Chennakasavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Chennaka:savaswamy	56	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
171	Pompanoor Aho:balaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Ahobala:swamy	61	13	4	Do.	Do.	Do.
172	Taudemurry Chen:nakasavaswamy	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Chenna:kasavaswamy	161	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
173	Narpala Chen:nakasavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Chenna:kasavaswamy	92	2	8	Do.	Do.	Do.
174	Toomparee Anja:nayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana:yaswamy	58	10	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
175	Senganamulla Golacondaroyaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Golacon:daroyaswamy	80	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
176	Do. Ramaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Ramaswamy	130	1	4	Do.	Do.	Do.
177	Eswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswaraswamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
178	Sodanapully An:janayaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjanaya:swamy	14	14	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
179	Rauchapully Es:varaswamy	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Eswara:swamy	71	2	8	Do.	Do.	Do.
180	Dumpetta Chenna:casavasawmy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Chennaca:savaswamy	58	"	8	Do.	Do.	Do.

18026 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

181	Chiggalaravoo Vanoogopaulaswamy	26th June 1806	Govt. Sanction	The said Vanoogopaulaswamy	29	2	8	Hereditary	Order of Govt. dated 5th July 1847.	Pagoda allowance
182	Laudmurry Zoomamahazeed	5th Decr. 1803	Do.	Do. Mohozeed	77	7	"	Do.	Do.	Mosque allowance
183	Pengonda Ramaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Ramaswamy	312	1	"	Do.	Do.	Pagoda allowance
184	Ghangirry Somaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Somaswaraswamy	35	10	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
185	Anjanayaswamy in Petta	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	7	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
186	Venaik	Do.	Do.	Do. Venaik	3	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
187	Durvajah Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	3	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
188	Putpurty Gopaulaswamy	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Gopaulaswamy	42	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
189	Roddum Roodrapaudum	Do.	Do.	Do. Roodrapaudum	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
190	Wootkoor Sungamaswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Sungamaswaraswamy	35	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
191	Mylasamoodrum Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	10	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
192	Bookaputnum Venketramanaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Venketramanasawmy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
193	Sunthabidanoor Anjanayaswamy	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	420	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
194	Sathya Vurdaswamy Muttum	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Sathya Vurdaswamy Muttum	140	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
195	Hindoopoor Venketramanaswamy	5th Decr. 1803	Do.	Do. Venketramanaswamy	29	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
196	Jeebee Anjanayaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	26	4	"	Do.	Do.	Do.

Fort St George 28th October 1858.

197	Eswaraswamy in the Fort	26th June 1806	Govt Sanction	The said Eswaraswamy	24	8	-	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 5th June 1817	Pagoda allowance
198	Goddada Venka: taramanaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Venkata: ramanaswamy	14	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
199	Nadee Anja: nayakswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	14	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
200	Easwaswara: swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Baswasa: raswamy	15	5	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
201	Nundesaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Nundesaraswamy	14	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
202	Codecondah Gopanaswamy	5th Decr 1803	Do.	Do. Gopan: laswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
203	Anjunayadwa: my	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
204	Eswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswaraswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
205	Chillamathoor Cunnavee Nur: simmaswamy	22d Sept. 1801	Do.	Do. Nursim: maswamy	175	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
206	Do. Anjanaya: swamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
207	Do. Eswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswaraswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
208	Chowler Anjana: yaswamy	2nd June 1807	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
209	Goodehully Venket: ramanaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Venketra: manaswamy	52	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
210	Boodalee Eswara: swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswara: swamy	23	5	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
211	Do. Anjanayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanayaswamy	11	11	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
212	Papatchee Rama: swamy	2nd June 1807	Do.	Do. Ramaswa: my	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
213	Ramachana Pan: posastry	Do	Do	Do. Ramacha: na	35	-	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
214	Sasanasota Ani: janayaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjana: yaswamy	17	8	-	Do.	Do.	Do.
215	Amagondapollem Venketramana: swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Venket: ramanaswamy	28	11	-	Do.	Do.	Do.

18028

Fort St. George 28th October 1858

216	Maduckesera Venketramana:swamy	22d September 1801	Govt: Sanction	The said Venketramana:swamy	172	1	4	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 5th July 1847	Pagoda allowance
217	Prasunna Mookya Pranaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Mookya:pranaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
218	Payta Anjanaya:swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanaya:swamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
219	Veebary Anjana:yaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana:yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
220	Chetra Vanoogo:paulaswamy	22d Septr. 1801.	Do.	Do. Vanoogo:paulaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
221	Chendakacher:la Anjanaya:swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
222	Rutnagerry Anjanayaswa:my	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
223	Do. Soobara:yaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Soobaro:yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
224	Agalee Senke:raswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Senkera:swamy	26	4	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
225	Pylabunda Run:gaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Runga:swamy	26	4	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
226	Rolla Anjana:yaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjana:yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
227	Hemanathy Sid:daswaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Siddaswa:raswamy	43	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
228	Madakaserah Mahozeed	5th Decr. 1803	Do.	Do. Maho:zeed	52	8	"	Do.	Do.	Mosque allowance
229	Dhurmaverum Kasavaswa:my	22d Septr. 1801	Do.	Do. Kasava:swamy	157	8	"	Do.	Do.	Pagoda allowance
230	Chendra Nowla:swaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Chendra:moulaswara:swamy	10	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.

18029

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

231	Siddaswara:swamy	22d Septr 1801	Govt. Sanction	The said Sid:daswaraswamy	10	8	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 5th July 1847	Pagoda allowance
232	Culleyandroog Puttahbe Ra:maswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Putta:bee Rama:swamy	88	10	8	Do.	Do.	Do.
233	Anjanayaswa:my	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Anjana:yaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
234	Coonathoor Chen:nakasavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Chenna:kasavaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
235	Gottoor Anja:nayaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Anjanaya:swamy	58	4	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
236	Apparaschero:voo Sungama:swaraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Sungamas:waraswa:my	37	15	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
237	Ravoolcher:voo Kodun daramaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kodunda:ramaswamy	13	2	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
238	Nagasamoodrum Runganathaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Runganathaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
239	Kasavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kasavaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
240	Koontimuddee Runganatha:swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Rungana:thaswamy	27	11	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
241	Koondurpy Ad:dekasavaswamy	22d Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Addika:savaswamy	28	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
242	Do. Eswara:swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Eswara:swamy	21	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
243	Do. Cota Kasa:vaswamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Cota Kasa:vaswamy	35	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
244	Do. Nursimma:swamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Nursim:maswamy	35	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
245	Cumbadoor Mulleecar:joonaswamy	22d Septr 1801	Do.	Do. Mullee:carjoonaswamy	35	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
246	Cumbadoor Addeekasava:swamy	26th June 1806	Do.	Do. Addee:kesavaswamy	35	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.

18030

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

247	Paroor Anjana:yaswamy	26th June 1806	Govt Sanction	The said Anjanayaswamy	35	"	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 3d July 1847	Pagoda allowance
248	Timmapoor Nursimmaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Nursimmaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
249	Vittalumpully Condakindeeroyaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Condakindeerayaswamy	17	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
250	Bellary Bruce Petta Lutchmee Narrainswamy	3d February 1815	Do.	Do. Lutchmee Narrainswamy	157	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
251	Do. Veerabadraswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Veerabadraswamy	7	"	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
252	Do. Bussavaswaraswamy	Do.		Do. Bussavaswaraswamy	10	8	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
253	Gunnasah	In 1818	Do. of 3d July 1819	Do. Gunnasah	168	"	"	Do.	Order of Govt dated 20th March 1849	Do.
254	Anantapoor Joomma Mohazeed	22d September 1801	Government Sanction	Do. Mohazeed	14	"	"	Do.	Do. dated 5th July 1847	Mosque allowance
255	Nagoloor Kesavaswamy	Do.	Do.	Do. Kesavaswamy	42	"	"	Do.	Do.	Pagoda allowance

Total of Hereditary Pagoda and Mosque allowances 17,872 1 8

There are no Pagoda &ca grants "limited to one or more lives" nor are there any such "open to decision"

18031

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Service grants for other than religious purposes as money payments for Langer Khannahs & Chettrums &ca.

Munro Chettrum at Gooty	In 1832	Govt: Sanction	For Lodging and feeding travellers passing through Gooty		2045	"	"	Hereditary	Boards Proceedings 7th October 1857	Munro's Chettrums

No grants under this head as "limited to one or more lives" or open to Decision".

3. Personal grants as Pensions for resumed Offices and privileges Mootahlegahn allowances &ca.

1 Anagoondhee Terroomuldavara Yelloo aged 31 years 2 Family of Mullecarzoona Naid Poligar	According to the Statement submitted to the Board of Revenue	No Summud	Terroomuldeva royeloo or Thimmapahgaroo Mullecarzoona Naid 1575	Son of the daughter of the son of the original grantee the original	16532	8	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 27th May 1831	Compensation in lieu of resumed Offices and Privileges &ca	
1 Siddalingapa naid aged 49 years 2 Ramapah and aged 35 years Lutchmapah naidoos aged 35 years	Do		This amount was divided in 1816 in the following manner. The said Mullecarzoona naid 492-3-" Peddul Bommul naid 590-10-" Immeddy naid 492-3-"	1 Mullecarzoona naidoo had no issue. 2. Siddalingapah naid grand son or sons son of Pedda Bommul naidoo. 3. Ramapah and Lutchamapah naidoos adopted sons of Immeddy naidoo.	" 590	" 10	"	Do	Order of Government dated 22d August 1841 regarding Siddalinga naidoo. Do of 21st October 1843 regarding Ramapah and Lutchamapah naidoos.		A report was submitted to the Board of Revenue on the demise of Chedumberamah regarding Mullecarzoona naidoo's share which was enjoyed by her, she was daughter in law to the said Mullecarzoona naidoo, being the widoo of his adopted son.

18032 Fort St George 28th October 1858.

3	Kamenahall Nazamlleesham aged 60 years	13th July 1808.	Collector Mr Gahagan's order.	Moorandasha ha.	Grand son or sons son of the original grantee.	284	6	–	Hereditary	Government Order dated 7th July 1847	Compensation in lieu of resumed Offices & privileges &ca.	On the death of the Original grantee, his allowance was continued to his son Mucshadhully Shaha, by order of Government dated 27th August 1813
9	Nadameedody Kesavapah Naidoo aged 60 years.	According to the Statement Submitted to the Board of Revenue dated 20th March 1802.	No Sunnud	Venguppa Naidoo	Son of the Original grantee	233	5	–	Do.	Order of Govt dated 21st July 1835	Do.	This pension is enjoyed in the following manner 116-10-6 By the said Kesavapah Naidoo. 116-10-6. By a Share holder Bonnoo ruppa naidoo. 233-5-.
10	Members belonging to the family of Raidroogum Balavoyee Jeevuppah Naid 1 Soobadar Naid aged 50 years 1 Konaytee Naid aged 40 years	17th Augt 1824.	Govt. Sanction	Jeevuppa Naid	Sons Soobadar naidoo 145.13. Konatee naidoo 145.13. 291.10.	291	10	–	Do.	Do. of 8th June 1844	Do.	
				Total of Hereditary allowance		18424	10	–				
5												

18033

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Grants limited to one or more lives.

12	Bhaganah (widow of Veroopatchap:pah, a member of the family of Humpersup:pah late Devan of Hurpunhully) aged 40 Years-	11th July 1842	Govt: Sanction	1 Gunganah ~ widow of Hum:persuppa- 720-- 2 Widows of Veroo:patchee:uppa- 720-- 3 1430--	Bhaganah is the wi:dow of Veroopat:chapah	360	"	For life of the present incumbent Bhaganah	Order of Govt dated 11th July 1842	Compen:sation in lieu of resum:ed Officers and pri:vileges &ca-	Some Villa:ges were granted to Humper:sapah as Jahaghire for his Sub:sistence, which after

his demise, his adopted son Veroopatchapah en:joyed during his life time, and on the 11th July 1842 Government sanctioned an annual pension of Rupees 1440 to the three females mentioned in Col 5, which was continued till the death of Gunganah widow of Humpersuppah and Oomanah one of the two widows of Veroopatchapah, when their Shares of the Pension ceased-

14	Kulleganummah a feamale ~ member connect:ed with the family of Siddappah Naid, Poligar of Anunta:poor aged 60 Years-	13th July 1801	Govt: Sanction	Siddappah Naid The allowance granted to him original:ly was Rupees ~ 2625--	The present incum:bent is the widow of the son of the ori:ginal grantees eldest brother-	437	8 "	The ~ grant is for hereditary tenure but as the present incumbent has no issue her share of the pension will re:vert to Govt: on her ~ death-	Order of Government dated 13th ~ January 1826-	Do	A pension of Rupees 2625 was originally granted to Siddupah naidoo and was enjoyed by him upto the time of his death, after which it lapsed to his elder brother's Son Veeravasuntha naid

enjoyed the full allowance upto his death and in the year 1803 the pension was divided in the following manner. naid who

437—8— "	To Neelummah, widow of the original grantee Siddapah Naid.
437—8— "	To Succarummah, Do. of Sidduppah Naidoos elder brother.
875— " — "	To Chennummah Do. of Chinna Ramapah Naid, Younger brother of Siddapah naid
875— " — "	To the two widows of Veeravasuntha naid the brother's son of Sidduppah naid Vizt.
	437—8— Kulleganummah.
	437—8— Virpummah.
	875— " — "
2625— " — "	

The pesion was enjoyed in the above shares - the shares reverted to Government on the death of each Sharer, the only one now surviving being Kulleganummah the present incumbent.

11	1 Konaytee naid brother aged 84 Years. 2 Ramuppah naid brother's son, aged 45 Years. 3. Naucharummah (now deceased) widow, of Ramakristnappah naid Poligar of Raidroog	24th December 1839.	Govt: Sanction	1 Konaytee naid 2 Ramuppah naid 3 Naucharum. These are the same individuals mentioned in Col 2.	The Original grantees are the present incumbent.	116	10	"	Doubtful	Order of Govt. dated 24th December 1839.	Compensation in lieu of resumed Offices and privileges &ca.	In lieu of the resumed Enams a sum of Rupees 116—10— " was allowed to this family by deduction

from Beriz according to the Orders of Government dated 24th December 1830, but the Board having subsequently in their Order of 21st August 1845 directed the allowance to be disbursed from the Treasury it is so done.

	Surjappa Naid Poligar of Rutnagirry aged 43 Years.	18th July 1820.	Govt. Sanction	Veerrayuppa-raj.	The present incumbent is the son of the original grantee.	700	"	"	Doubtful	Order of Govt. dated 2d June 1857.	Compensation in lieu resumed Offices and privileges &ca.	

8	Jelly Bussuppa naid of the Cuddapah &c District aged 30 Years.	21st &c August 1857.	Govt: Sanction	The said Jelly Bussuppah naid.	The party himself is the original grantee.	144	"	Doubtful	21st August 1857	Compen:sation in lieu of re:sumed Offices & privileges &c.	A Monthly allowance of 12 Rupees which was paid to him in the Cuddapah District, has been transferred to this District and his paid to him here as per Order of Government dated 21st August 1857.
					Total	1758	2	"			
					Grand Total Personal Grants	20182	12	"			

4 Miscellaneous grants not included in the above heads.

50	Desai Ramapah aged 44 Years	24th June 1836	Govt: Sanction	Desai Nara:nuppah	The pre:sent in:cumbent is the son of the Original grantee.	5250	"	For life of the present incumbent	Order of Govt: dated 19th May 1852	Pensions and Cha:ritable allowances of a ge:neral &c nature.	The Govern:ment in their order of the 24th June 1836 sanctioned a pension to Desai Naranapah

for three lives at 700 Rupees a Month for his life, and 437½ Rupees for his two next heirs, and the former and one of his next heirs (Vencatarow) accordingly enjoyed their pensions &c respectively during their lives. the present incumbent is the 3d heir and the pension will cease at his death.

51	Chedumber Row Son of Bauboo row aged 48 Years	4th Decr 1821.	Govt: Sanction	Bauboo row	Son of the Origi:nal &c grantee.	147	"	For life of the present incumbent	Order of Govt: dated 28th Oc:tober 1822.	Pensions charitable allowances of a General nature.	The Govt in their Order dated 4th December 1821 Sanctioned a

77 Rupees per Mensem to Bauboorow for his life in consideration of his services, to be reduced to a pension of a moiety thereof Vizt 37-8-- for his Sons at his death Bauboorow accordingly enjoyed his pension during his life- after which the moiety thereof allowed to his sons was divided and enjoyed by his 3 Sons in the following manner as per order of Government dated 25th October 1822-

Rs.	
14 — " — "	By the Eldest Son Krista Row
12 — 4 — "	By the 2d Son Ragavender Row
12 — 4 — "	By the 3d Son Chedumber Row-

The shares of the two former ceased at their death and the 3d Son the present incumbent is still in the enjoyment of his share which will cease at his death-

52	Gungaboyee widow of Lutchee Ram aged 44 Years-	26th May 1840	Do.	Gungaboyee	The original grantee is the present incumbent	48 " -	For life	Order of Govt 26th May 1840-	Pensions and charitable allowances of a general nature-	The husband of the present incumbent was a Police peon, he having been murdered whilst in the execution of his Police duties, the Government Sanctioned his pension to his widow for her life-
53	Toolajahboyee widow of Dhamoosing aged 57 Years-	26th May 1840-	Govt. Sanction	The said Toolajah boyee-	The original grantee is the present incumbent-	72 " -	For life	Order of Govt. dated 26th May 1840	Pensions and Charitable allowances of a general nature-	Do.
54	Fathamah Saib widow Jumalummud aged 43 Years-	Do.	Do.	Fathama Saib-	Do.	48 " -	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.

18037

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

55	Family of Sur:chashum Camla:poor shaik Hoossain- 1 His widow Hoossainbee aged 57 years- 1 His Sister Ameenbee aged 57 Years-	6th September 1841-	Govt: Sanction	1 The said Hoossainbee 2 Sisters of the said shaik Hoossain Vizt. 1 Ameenbee 1 Hoossainbee Sisterinlaw of the said Shaik Hoos:sain-	The two females mentioned in Col 2 are the present incum:bent-	136	" "	For life	Order of Govt. dated 6th September 1841-	Pensions and cha:ritable allowances of a general nature-	Kamalapoor Shaik Hoos:sain having been murdered whilst on duty in search of

robbers the Government sanctioned a Monthly Pension as follows-

10 Rs " " " Hoossainbee, his widow-
1 5 4 Ameenbee, his Sister
1 5 4 Khajoobee, another Do.
1 5 4 Hoossainbee, sister in law-

The two latter named persons being absent their shares have been discontinued the shares of the two first will be continued for their lives-

56	Kadermah widow of shaik Madar aged 49 Years-	24th March 1848-	Govt Sanction	The said Kah:dermah-	The origi:nal gran:tee in the present incumbent-	24	" "	For life	Order of Govt dated 24th March 1858-	Pensions and Chari:table al:lowances of a Gene:ral nature-	Shaik Madar having been murdered whilst in the execution of his police duties, the

Government sanctioned this Pension for the mainte:nance of his widow for her life.

Total of Miscellaneous grants — 5725 " "

Grand Total — 45,824 13 8

Note

From Nos 78 to 85 under the head of Religious grants "and from 4 to 7 and 13 under the head of Personal grants" have been excluded from this Report as they appertain to the Punchapollem Talook, which has lately been transferred to the District of Kurnool, But a separate List for these is here:with sent, Copy of which has also been forward:ed to the Collector of Kurnool-

Bellary District Collector's Office 22d October 1858

Signed C. Pelly-
Collector-

18038

Fort St George 28th October 1858.

No. 2. List (for the Punchapollem Talook lately transferred to Kurnool) of Money allowances in the Revenue Department disbursed by Collector of Bellary prepared in accordance with Revenue Board's Circular No. 2300 dated 5th July 1858.

	Name and age	Original	held on sun: other documents	Original	ship of present to Original	t per annum	s of grant	tail	and Number	last Order	ernment or	regarding grant	signated in	s Register.	arks.
	vaswamy			swamy											
85	Cupputtrallah Mahazeed	Do.	Do.	Do. Mahazeed		35	"	"	Do.		Do.			Mosque allowance	
		Total Hereditary grants				439	4	"							

There is no religious grants limited to one or more lives, nor any that are "open to decision" } " " "

Grand Total — 439 4 "

2 There are no service grants for other than religious purposes, as money payment for Lungerkhnahs and Chuttrums &ca.

3 Personal Grants as Pensions for resumed Offices and privileges Mootalighan allowances &ca.

5	Muddeekerrah Bojappah Naid	According to the Statement dated 20th March 1802 Submitted to the Board of Revenue by the late Principal Collector	No sun: nud	Mulleecorzoona naid	Son of the Original grantee	590	10	"	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 25th June 1813.	Compensation in lieu of resumed Offices privileges &ca.	An annual Pension of Rupees 1181-4- was originally granted in

18039

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

in 1802

to this family in the name of Mullecarzoona naid, which was divided and enjoyed in the following manner-

590 10 -	By Mullecarzoona Naid
295 5 -	By a Shareholder Jaga Devuppa Naid
295 5 -	By Lutchmuppah Naid-
1181 4 -	

Jaga Devuppah Naidoo's share of the pension ceased on his death- Vide No. 6 regarding the share of Lutchmappah Naid- the share of Mullecarzoona Naid lapsed on his death to his son the present incumbent, and is enjoyed by him-

6. Family of Muddekerrah Lutchonappa Naid-	According to the Statement	No Sunnud.	Lutchmppah naidoo, the party mentioned.	The present incumbent are	Yamunnah Naid 105 - - Lutchmappah	Hereditary	Order of Govt dated 27th October 1815 regarding the shares of 105 Rupees	Compensation in lieu of resumed	After the demise of Lutchmappah
1 Yamunnah naid son of Pedda Beemapah naid- 2 Lutchmuppah naid, son of Chinna Beemuppah naid-	dated 20th March 1802 Submitted to the Board of Revenue by the late Principal Collector-	No Sunnud.	in the remark of case No. 5 who was member of the family of the Original grantee Mullecarzoona Naid-	the grand Sons of the said Luchmuppah naidoo	naid 105 - - 210 - -		of Yamunnah Naidoo. Do. dated 12th February 1828 regarding the share of Rupees 105 of Lutchmappa naidoo son of Chinnuppa naidoo-	Offices privileges &ca	naidoo his share of the Pension being Rupees 295-5- was reduced to 210 Rupees which continues in the enjoyment of the present incumbents-

Fort St. George 28th October 1858

18040

7	Family of Peapully Poligar 1 Pedda Rungapa-naid son of Pedda Kondul naid- 2 Chinna Kondul naid grand son of Chinna Kon-dul naid-	13th July 1802 This allow-ance was entered in the Statement Submit-ted to the Bd. of Revt. dated 20th March 1802-	Govt Sanction	1 Pedda Kondul naid. 2 Chinna Kon-dul Naid-	Pedda Runga-pah Naid the Son of Pedda Kondul naid- 2 Chin-na Kon-dul naid grand Son or Sons & son of Chinna Kondul naid-	393 492 885	12 3 15	Hereditary	Order of Govern-ment dated 18th November 1848 regarding Pedda Rungap-pah Naid. Do. dated 11th May 1855 re-garding Chin-na Kondul naid-	Do. Rs 242-1-4 143-10-4 385-1-8	Besides this money allowance this family is in the enjoyment of certain Enam lands and Topes as follows- Share of Pedda Kon-dal naid- Rs a.p. 143-10-4 In Enam land 987- In Topes 242-1-4 Do. of Chin-na Kon-dal naid in Enam land-
					Total Hereditary grants	1686					
4	Members of the family of Permal Naid Poligar of Dooda-condah- 1 Pedda Chinnama Naid- 1 Vencatappah Naid son of Chinna Chinnama Naid-	19th August 1817	Govt Sanction	Pedda Chinna-ma Naid-	Pedda Chinna-ma Naid, he himself is the grantee. Vencatap-pah Naid is the son of Chin-na Chin-namah naid, the brother of Pedda Chinna-mah naid-	Share of Pedda Chin-namah naid 525- Share of Ven-catap-pah naid 1050	Doubtful For life	Order of Government dated 19th Au-gust 1817- Do. dated 29th June 1847	Compen-sation in lieu of resum-ed Offices privileges &ca	Ready money allowance Enam lands &ca to the amount of Rupees 1684-13-3 were granted to Permal naid father and grand father of the pre-sent in-cumbents-

Pedda Chennama Naid and Vencatuppah naid, respectively, but Permal Naid having rebelled against the Company's Government, he was apprehended and in carcerated in Jail and his Pensions &ca discontinued he died in Jail- the Government Sanctioned an allowance of 1050 Rupees to his son Pedda Chinnama naid under date the 19th August 1817, and it has been enjoyed by him and his Younger brother Chinna Chin-nama Naid in equal shares- After the demise of the latter in 1846, his share of the allowance was ordered by Government to be continued to his son Vencatappa naid for his life-

18041 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

13 Davana Canda Fathmah (Female) — According to the Statement submitted by the late Principal Collector to the Board of Revenue under date the 20th March 1820 — Nosemmet Koosaimee Haidow widow 4 7/4 " " — For life — Order of Govt dated 13th July 1827 — Compensation in lieu of the resumed Offices privileges &ca.

Total 1524 " "

Grand Total of Permanent Grants 3210 9 "

As the Punchapollem Talook has been transferred to the District of Kurnool, I have not the means of ascertaining the ages of the Pensioners and consequently their ages are omitted in this Statement

There are no miscellaneous grants in this Talook.

Total of Punchapollem Talook 3649 13 "

Bellary District Collectors Office 22d October 1858. (Signed) C. Pelly Collector.

18042 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

No. 141

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4574
From the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the information and orders of the Board of Revenue the following circumstances.-

2 The land noted in the margin was in the possession of one Gengy Reddy, in whose name Puttahs were issued down to Fusly 1257 - In Fusly 1258 he left this lands together with his other lands waste and at the Jummahbundy of that Fusly Mr. Lockhart, the Collector directed that the Teerwah should be remitted to the Puttahdar on the ground of his indigence, and that for the following Fusly the land should be given to him if he should tender sufficient security for the discharge of his teerwah, otherwise that the land should be made over to any other person

Nunjah land
No. 58 Acres 9 - A Rs a - P
1 - 26 - 15 - 11 - 13 - 8
in the Villages of Nuttapully
in the Womalore Talook.

person that might apply for it. In Fasly 1259 the Puttahdar declined to take up the land and resigned his claims to it.

3 In the same Fasly 2 new persons applied for the land First one Teroomalien laid claim to the land on the alleged ground that the land had been mortgaged to his father Vencatramien for 40 Rupees. This matter having been reported upon by the Tahsildar an order was issued on the 9th October 1849 to the effect that the alleged right of mortgage has no connection with the disposal of the land for cultivation that if Teroomalien should apply for all the lands relinquished by the former Puttahdar he would be permitted to have them and that if he were not inclined to do this, the lands should be given to any other that might apply for them entire

4 Towards the close of the same Fasly one Kistnien applied for the land in dispute offering to pay the Teerwah that was remitted in Fasly 1258. As Fasly 1259 was then almost over

over, the land was made over to Kistnien in Fasly 1260 and he was made to pay the Teerwah of the land from the two Faslies it had been waste amounting altogether to Rupees 23-11-4.

5 The first Durkhastdar's father Vencataramien filed a suit in the Salem Moonsiffs Court against Kistnien and Gengy Reddy claiming the land in question on the strength of a mortgage Bond executed to him in 1846 by the Puttahdar of the land Gengy Reddy. The Moonsiffs disposed of the suit in 1852 in favor of the Plaintiff Vencataramien on the grounds, first that there was no water in the Tank in Fasly 1259 and that therefore Kistnien was under no obligation to pay the Cundayem and secondly that the conduct of Kistnien (1st Deft) in having offered to pay the Teerwah on the land in arrears and of the Puttahdar in having resigned the land favoured strongly of collusion Kistnien the 1st Defendant appealed against the Moonsiffs decree by appeal suit No. 25 of 1854, and the Subordinate Judge

O.S. 416 of 1853

Judge to whom the suit was referred confirmed the Moonsiffs decision..

6 In December 1856 the Defendant Kistnien presented a special appeal petition to the Court of Suder Udalut, who rejected the application on the ground that the Mortgagees claim was of a prior date to Kistniens'.

7 The 1st Deft. Kistnien has now presented to me a petition stating that though no fault of his he has been deprived of the land for which he paid two years rent in arrears and therefore requesting that the Government would aid him in getting his appeal admitted in the Sudr Udalut.

8 As this request cannot be complied with it only remains for the Government to satisfy Kistnien by refunding to him the sum he paid on account of the arrears which accrued in Fuslies 1258 and 1259 before he was placed in possession. As he has a just claim to this refund. I request that the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government permitting me to pay to Kistnien the sum in question Vizt Rupees 23. 11-4 I do not know why the Collector permitted the land to be given on such terms. The practice is not usual at the present time..

Salem Collector's Office
8th October 1858 } (Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector

No. 213

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4684
From the Collector of Tanjore }

Sir,

1 I have the honor to report on the enclosed Petition to Government from one Bhoojunga Row.

2 This address adverts to 2/3ds of a Pungoo in Suruamaniem Village Annappenpetta Talooks Paupanassum, which is part of an Aggraharum Grant by Rajah Amersing in A. D. 1789, registered in the Collector's enam Register of 1809 in the name of the original Grantee, and of which the extent value are given in Margin..

	V	M	G.
Extent	1	1	50
	Rs	a	p
Taruff Teerwah	33	9	6
Deduct Canal fee	1	7	10
Difference	32	1	8



3 Dhondo Bhutt, it appears, was an original Grantee of one Pungoo which he divided equally between his three sons Jeevoo Bhutt Mahadaiva Bhutt and Tauten Bhutt. The third of these having died without issue his share reverted to a nephew named Ramakistna Bhutt. Thus Dhondoo Bhutt another nephew

nephew, retained his one share whilst Ramakistna Bhutt got two.

4 The latter two shares were sold to Petitioner by Ramakistna Bhutt for 900 Rupees a sale Bond on stampt Paper dated 23d November 1848 is produced.

5 After purchasing the land Petitioner rented it to Chockalinga Pillay a Poracoody cultivator and for three years seems to have received the Samybogum.

6 Having proceeded to Madras, Petitioner found on his return that the land had been usurped by one Alagherry Naick in collusion with Ramakistna Bhutt.

7 Alagherry Naick has been in possession for 5 or 6 years under a sale for Rupees 840 made to him, it would seem, by this Ramakistna Bhutt a deed in support of this purchase is also on Stampt Paper and is dated 21st December 1852.

8 Some dishonesty apparently has led

led to a double sale, but whether or not the interests of Government are unaffected, for the Enam, as before described, falls under the operation of the Board's Circular dated the 11th August 1853, and on this ground therefore I see no objection to a compliance with the Petitioners request to be permitted to establish his alleged right by a suit in the Courts.

Tanjore
Collector's Office
Vullum
13th October 1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 218

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 4682
From the Collector
of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to state that shrotrium lands referred to in the enclosed address comprize a half Pungoo or 1 - 2 - 7 7/8 of land situated in the Village Pookarambay Talook Puttoocottah.

Govt. No.	670
Bd. "	65
Nunjah	V. M. G. 11 - 9 - 43 15/16
Poonjah	12 - 63 15/16
	1 - 2 - 7 7/8

2 They are held under a Grant by Rojah Pretapsing dated A. D. 1742 in favor of the Asaska Mahajen, and it appears from its terms, that it was on intended

intended to confirm a Grant by a previous Rajah of which the date, however cannot be traced.

3 The grant of 1742 was duly registered in the Collector's Office, but owing to the original Grantees not having been individualised, it cannot be ascertained whether the lands in question were in the possession of the family of the Original Grantee in July 1802.

4 These lands reverted to Petitioner's father Venkatchyen, now deceased under a will by his cousin Kistnien who died without issue and who had inherited them from his father Venkatyen.

Venkatyen

Venkatchyen

Kistnien

No issue

Kamatchyen

Petitioner

5 Petitioner has been enjoying them hereditarily but owing to a portion that is 440 Goolies and 10 trees having, as he alleges been usurped by Soobbaramien and Gopaulaswamyen, he seeks the permission of Government to sue these persons for the recovery of his land.

6 I see no objection to the required permission being granted.

Tanjore
Vellum
14th October 1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 220

To J. D. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 4739
From the Collector of Tanjore

No. 1556 of 58

Sir,

The address to the Government now transmitted has reference to 10m 10G/4 of suraamaniem land of the annual value marginally shewn

Taruff Teerwah	19.5.2
Deduct Cavil fee	" 9.7
Difference	18.11.7

It is comprised in an ancient grant by Rajah Shahajee dated A. D. 1787. It is supported by a Sicca Sunnud and appears on the Collectors Register as No. 108 of 1808 in the name of Rutnagherry Ditchit, a great great grand son of the original grantee of the same name.

2 It was inherited by his son Vydenashastry who died without issue in 1850, a dispute as to the right of succession to the land then arose between Petitioner a descendant of

Sister Son

of the original grantee and a relative of the deceased in the fifth degree of descent and one Ramachendra Vasty a nephew of deceased

3 A complaint of trespass having been brought before the Magistracy, the then joint Magistrate, Mr. Goodwyn, confirmed the possession of Ramachendra Vasty and referred Petitioner to a Civil suit - The former now holds the land and it is to oust him that Petitioner seeks permission to bring an action at law.

4 The grant being of ancient date and the land having up to 1850 been in possession of a lenial male descendant of the original grantee, the maniem falls within scope of your Board's Circular dated 11th August 1853, added to which, Petitioner is himself a descendant of the grantee, I therefore see no objection to the Petitioner's proposed suit being instituted and would permit him to bring it.

Tanjore Collector's Office
Vellum 15th October 1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

No 226

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No 4863
From the Collector
of Tanjore

With reference to the last paragraph of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 15th of July last No 2633 I have the honor to state that excluding from notice the half ruined old native Fort at Cushah Puttoo: cottah the Forts of Trangubar and Tanjore are the only fortified Posts in this District in a state of repair calculated to render them of real use in case of necessity. Within the former is already located the Sudder Treasury of the Province whilst within six miles of the latter is situated the Taloor Treasury of Trivaur.

2 After bringing the Tanjore Fort and the surrounding tract under the operation of the Madras Code of laws it may be desirable to consider the propriety of removing the present Taloor Cutcherry from Trivaur to the Tanjore Fort and if I can satisfy myself of the necessity and advisability of the step whilst the litigation between Ramachee Royee Shail and the Government is still pending I shall hereafter lay the matter before your Board.

In

3 In regard to the other Treasuries in the District I would observe that as there are no defences to whose limits they can be transferred I have not placed myself in communication with the District Engineer If however in the prosecution of any comprehensive plan of the detail of which I am uninformed it should be deemed by the Board to be necessary to convert the present Taloor's Cutcherries into buildings themselves defensible I apprehend that fresh expenditure will be unavoidable and that separate plans must be newly framed. The measure in fact could finally be carried into execution only by additions of space to the compounds of the existing Cutcherries and by the purchase of surrounding lands and of some extent of premises now occupied as private residences and otherwise.

4 As at present instructed I do not gather that the Board contemplate a measure of this important character however desirable it may be But if I have mistaken their wishes it will be easy to request the District Engineer to give his professional consideration to the subject which is not one I fancy in which my assistance would be accepted.

Tanjore Coll.r's Office
Vellum 22d October 1858

(Signed) W. D. Phillips
Collector &c

No 278

To W. Hudleston Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No 4734
From the Collector of Madura
x Herewith returned.

Sir

With reference to the Board's endorsement on Petition No 2205 of 1858, I have the honor to report that as the Petitioner was guilty of a gross act of oppression in getting up a false charge of resistance of process against certain ryots, I do not think him worthy of re-employment.

2 If the Board however feel inclined to sanction the measure I will give him a revenue belt when a vacancy occurs.

3 As regards his previous character it is not in his favor. Having

4 Having in 1852 apprehended a murderer he was appointed a Duffadar in the Camoothy Talook by the then Collector.

5 In 1854 the Police ameen repeatedly reported his insubordination and he was in that year, after a personal examination fined by the Head Assistant for assault and recommended for dismissal or reduction to a Peons situation.

6 He was in consequence reduced by Mr Parker and it was only thro' a private exchange with a Magistrates Peon that he became Duffadar once more.

Madura
14th October
1858

(Signed) A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

Enclosure one Petition.

No. 231

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4847
From the Collector of Madura

Sir,

1 In reply to the Board's Circular No. 3360, in which I am called upon to give a full report of the circumstances attending the fraudulent alteration of a Stamp Certificate (No. 115) I have the honor to state that the Superintendent of Stamps having brought to the notice of the then Acting Collector, Mr. Sullivan, the fraud which had been practiced by altering 11 the real date of dismissal of the suit, in to 21 so as to bring the date within the prescribed limit, he tried five individuals (marginally named) for the forgery.

2 The first was the Plaintiff in the suit on account of which duty was refunded, the 2d was his Vakeel to whom the Certificate was given the 3d is the person, who purchased it, and received the money from the Cash Keeper, the 4th and 5th (brothers) Gomastahs attached to the Moonsiffs Court were said by the Plaintiff to have purchased

1 Andiapa Pillay Plaintiff
2 Seva Soobramoneya Pillay Vakeel to Do
3 Baskara Sastry Purchaser of Certificate
4 Nagoovien Goomastah in Moonsiffs Court
5 Kistnien Return Royason

purchased of him the Certificate and by the 3d to have sold it to him.

3 This however they denied.

4 Mr Sullivan dismissed the charge there being no proof as to who of these five persons made the alteration.

5 As the 3d individual, Bashara Sahib made a trade of purchasing such Certificates it is probable he was the guilty party had the alteration been made by another person his practices eye would have detected it.

6 The practice then in force as regards the payment of such certificates, threw the responsibility upon the Stamp Agent.

7 He has since died, I do not therefore think responsibility can now be fixed on any Servant of this Office.

8 The alteration, it appears, was of a very trifling nature, and might easily have eluded observation; it not being then the custom to denote the dates by letters, as well by figures.

9 The System now in force, precludes the recurrence of such frauds. A Register is received from the Court of the Certificates granted, the dates of presentation is entered on them by the Collector and they are then subjected to the scrating of the Nail Shroistadar.

Madura
20th October 1858

(Signed) A. Galloway
Collector

No. 353

To J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

Dd No. 4844
From the Collector
of Tinnevelly

With reference to the Board's Circular of 5th July last requesting me to forward, for submission to Government, a list of all money allowances in the Revenue Department disbursed from this Office, with the exception of Yeomiahs, Salaries of Public Officers and Superannuation Pensions, I have the honor to inform you that the money allowances disbursed annually from the Huzzoor Treasury besides the Salaries of Public Officers amount to Rupees 21,159-11-1D. deducting therefrom Rupees 3217-3-8 & 5397-a-a being the amount of yeomiah and Superannuation pension respectively, there remains Rupees 20,545-7-5 Of this the religious and Charitable grants paid by deduction from the Kistbundy beriz and the payments made on Douastanum account and on account of Mahomedan Shrines and Tombs amount to Rupees 19,962-15-5 Lists shewing the particulars of this Sum were forwarded to the Board with my Letters dated 15th August last No. 269 & 270 and therefore I have now the honor to forward prepared according to the form received with the Board's Circular under acknowledgment, a Statement for the remaining Sum only amounting to Rupees 15,582-8-.

Tinnevelly
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
Tencausey
15th October 1858.

(Signed) D. Mayne
A. Collector
Statement.

18063 Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Statement of allowances referred to in a letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George dated 15th October 1858.

Pareyanaucheummen	Do.		Pareyanaucheummen	Do.	"	6	15	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Mootalummen	Do.		Mootalummen	Do.	"	3	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Somasoondareeummen	Do.		Somasoondareeummen	Do.	"	4	12	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Aununthavullee ummen	Do.		Aununthavullee ummen	Do.	"	4	2	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Badracaulee ummen	Do.	"	Badracaulee ummen	Do.	"	5	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Aramalatha ummen	Do.		Aramalathaummen	Do.	"	27	2	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Caleyane ummen	Do.	"	Caleyane ummen	Do.	"	25	7	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Vencatachallavathyar	"		Vathavatheyar	"	"	38	3	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Paraver Mathacovil	"	"	Paraver Mathacovil	"	"	100	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Coilpullevasel	"	"	Coilpullevasel	"	"	3	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Cachanauchee pullevasel	"	"	Cachanacheepullevasel	"	"	3	13	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Saramodely pullevasel	"	"	Saramodely pullevasel	"	"	16	5	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Soobramoneyaswamy Covil	"	"	Soobramoneyaswamy Covil	"	"	60	15	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Sevaramalingapoolaven	"	"	"	"	"	11	9	"	Hereditary	Do.	Do.
Sunmookacoveroyer	"	"	"	"	"	76	6	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Soobramoneyencoveroyer	"	"	"	"	"	38	3	"	Do.	Do.	Do.
Total					"	1204	2	"			

There are no documents

The names of the Original Grantees are not known from the records of this office.

Hall of Grace 28th October 1838.

Please see Document in Pocket

Please see Document in Pocket

Please see Document in Pocket

Catherine Hall	15 Oct 1809	"	Lot		91	"	Chimney 18 Oct 1841
Mahomed Ajoo		"	Mahomed Ajoo		23	13	"
Alex McLaren		"	Alex McLaren		23	13	"
Joseph Gray	23 Oct 1816	"	Joseph Rose		42	"	"
Joseph Gray	18 June 1825	"	Joseph Gray		210	"	"
Joseph Hall	1 Feb 1818	"	Chinnamah Town	Plot	570	"	Messuage
Chinnamah Town		"	Chinnamah Town		570	"	Chinnamah Town
Joseph Gray	23 Oct 1816	"	Joseph Rose		42	"	"
Joseph Gray	18 June 1825	"	Joseph Gray		210	"	"
Joseph Hall	1 Feb 1818	"	Chinnamah Town	Plot	570	"	Messuage
Chinnamah Town		"	Chinnamah Town		570	"	Chinnamah Town
Catherine Hall	15 Oct 1809	"	Lot		91	"	Chimney 18 Oct 1841
Mahomed Ajoo		"	Mahomed Ajoo		23	13	"
Alex McLaren		"	Alex McLaren		23	13	"

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

1806

No. 155

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

3d No. 4852
From the Asst Collr
of Malabar.

With reference to Circular
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under
No. 2675 date the 19th July last, I have the honor
to inform you that there are no
Enams in this District of the nature
therein alluded to my Predecessor
had expressed a similar opinion
to the Board in his letter
No. 80 dated 25th May 1857.

Wundoor
18th October 1858

(Signed) P. Grant
Collector

To

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Vellotsumma Cl	26th March 1813	Vellotsumma Cl	
Total		Miscellaneous Grants	
		in the above Heads.	
Sembu		Sembu	
Grand Total			

Timinelly
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Tencauvey
15th October 1858

D. C.

Previously
Charitable
Allowances
1813 of a General
nature

25th March

Do

84 a.

1322 8a.

Do

Do

Do

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

No. 550

To the Secretary

Board of Revenue

Sir

Bd No. 4830
From the Hon'ble Company's Solicitor

Statement furnished by the Collr of Madras to the Secretary to the Bd of Revenue dated 5th May 1854 respecting pension to the family of the late Fatmah Begum

I have the honor to return herewith the paper noted in the Margin and request the favor of your acknowledging its receipt I have this day obtained it from the Crown Office where it was deposited..

Madras
25th October 1858

(Signed) J. K. Boyson

No. 1392

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

Bd No. 4841
From the Accountant General

No. 2529

With reference to your Secretary's letter of the 18th Instant requesting an early reply to your Circular of the 22d July 1857 in regard to a Pamphlet by Mr Bayley on the subject of a uniform System of Weights and measures for the whole of the British Territories in

Fort St. George 28th October 1858.

Territories in India I have the honor to inform you that I have not paid such attention to the difficult subject as to authorize my making observations upon it I did not understand your first letter of the 22d July 1857, which was a Circular, to require a reply unless I had something to say upon the question.

Fort St. George
Accountt Genls Office
20th October 1858

(Signed) G. L. Prendergast
Accountant General

No. 282

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No. 4725
From the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company
No. 773 - 18th Octr

Sir,

I understand by a Communication which I have received from the Chief Engineer of the Railway, that the Board have it in contemplation to dispose of by sale, certain Public Bungalows situated between Ballapully and Oatamitta in the Collectorate of Cuddapah

2 As these buildings may be of use to the Officers and Servants of this Company, I have the honor to request that you will favor me with particulars of the buildings, and the lowest prices at which the Board are willing to sell them.

Madras 19th October 1858

(Signed) N. B. Acworth
Agent & Manager

Fort St. George 28th October 1858

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

My No. 2593
From the Collector of North Arcot — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for September 1858.

My No. 2594
From the Collector of North Arcot — Return of suspension or dismissal for 3d Quarter 1858.

My No. 2595
From the Collector of Nellore — Exportation of Salt on 15th September 1858.

My No. 2596
From the Collector of South Arcot — Exportation of Salt on 15th September 1858.

My No. 2596
From the Collector of Ganjam — Demand Collection and Balance Statement for September 1858.

My No. 2597
From the Collector of Coimbatore — Demand Collection and Balance Statement for September 1858.

My No. 2598
From the Collector of Masulipatam — Average Bazar Statement for September 1858.

Fort St. George 29th October 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Friday the 29th October 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government and Board in a Separate Volume.

B. No. 1921
From the Chief Sec.
to Government. ... } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 8th May 1858.

Communicating for the Board's early attention a letter with correspondence from the Government of India urging the Speedy collection of the Specimens & information respecting the Cotton plants required for transmission to the Honorable Court.

See No. 610 in Separate Volume

Extract

18072 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

B. No. 4593
From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 9th October 1858.

Communicating with the orders of Government thereon a Despatch from the Honorable Court Conveying there Sentiments orders on the cases of certain memorialists of Madras. } See No. 4077 in Separate Volume

Public Department

No. 391

B. No. 4640
From the Chief Secy to Government } Official Memorandum.

The Chief Secretary to Government begs to draw the attention of the Board of Revenue to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th May No. 688 of 1857 and to request that they will expedite the Submission of the report required by Government on the System of weights and Measures recommended by Mr. Baylon for the British possessions in India.

Fort St. George 14th October 1858.

Signed T. Pycroft
Chief Secy to Govt

18073 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

B. No. 4649
From the Chief Secy. to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 14th October 1858.

Communicating the remarks of Government on the explanation furnished by the Accountant General with regard to certain discrepancies in the Revenue Statements furnished by him for Fusly 1266 transmitting copy of his letter and desiring the Board to give effect to the views thereon contained in order to secure agreement between their returns and those prepared by the Accountant General. } See No. 1404 in Separate Volume

B. No. 4754
From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 20th October 1858.

Deferring for the present the appointment of a third Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement in the Masulipatam District } See No. 1421 in Separate Volume Extract

1874 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

Bd No. 4773
From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department under date the 22d October 1858.

Communicating a letter from the Government of India conveying their orders defining the principle that should be adhered to in the existing system of management of the proceeds of all Tolls and Ferries on lines of Road constructed and maintained from the Public Revenue.

See No. 2224 in Separate Volume

Bd No. 4772
From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 22d October 1858.

Granting authority to Inspectors of boundaries to fine persons refusing or failing to attend at the demarcation of the boundaries of their fields to the extent of Rupees 3 under Sec 7 Act XX of 1855.

See No. 1421 in Separate Volume

E
14

1875 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

Bd No. 4865
From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 26th October 1858.

Sanctioning the payment of one Rupee per month from the 1st July 1858 for providing Office accommodation for the Cutcherry at Waltair in the district of Vizagapatam

See No. 1444 in Separate Volume.

Bd No. 4867
From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department under date the 26th October 1858.

Communicating an order of Government resolving that the Doreyan Buildings and Garden at Tanjore should continue with the District Engineer for the purposes of an Engineer Workshop and Store house

See No. 2253 in Separate Volume

Extract

18076 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

Bd. No. 4868
From the Chief Secy. to Govt. ... } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 26th October 1858.

Referring to the Board for report a Petition from the Rev: F. Xavier Priest of the Roman Catholic church at Ongoor in the Zillah of Chingleput praying to be maintained in the undesturbed possession of the entire extent of land attached to his church } See No. 1446 in Separate Volume

Bd. No. 4882
From the Chief Secy. to Govt. ... } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 27th October 1858.

Communicating the Sanction of Government of India to the establishment required for the collection of Maturpha revenue in the Vizianagarum Zemindary in the District of Vizagapatam. } See No. 1447 in Separate Volume

18077 Fort Saint George 29th October 1858.

Read also the following Papers No. 169.

To J. D. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Bd. No. 4462
From the Collector of Ganjam } Sir,
Para 1 In reply to the Boards Proceedings of the 26th May 1857 enquiring what progress has been made in improving the sanitary Condition of Berhampore, I have the honor to enclose Copy of a letter from the Executive Engineer (in Company with whom I recently inspected the town Shewing the particulars of the improvements which it is intended to Carry out as soon as money is obtainable.

2 A Commencement has been made in improving the drainage of the principal street by raising the Roadway &c. but it will be necessary to open a new Commencement for this Street in the direction of the Sepoy lines in the manner described by Captain Philipps (4 Para) and

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

and to widen the main street by the Removal of a small house for which Compensation will be given.

3 As Regards the new line for traffic to the North of the town which will be a public boon not only to its inhabitants but to all who have to pass through it. I am sorry to say things are at a stand still, but this Road might be made available for traffic in the dry season if the masonry tunnels were Completed and I think it very desirable that the money already laid out should be turned to account by farther expenditure necessary for this purpose 1105 Rupees would be sufficient to Complete these works and I hope the Board will see fit to Recommend this limited outlay for so desirable an object.

4 Several of the principal merchants and others have promised me that they will

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

Case themselves to maintain four Scavenger Carts for Cleaning the Streets.

5 I have assured them that if they undertake to keep the streets clean as Regards Raising the Roadways so as to secure proper drainage. Berhampore is however the filthiest and least improvable station town I Know being situated in a basin surrounded by paddy land Cultivated under the drainages supplied by the high ground which environs the place on three sides. It Certainly was a lamentable selection for a Cantonment.

Ganjam District
Collector's Office
Chetterpore
24th September 1858

Signed J. S. Forbes
Collector

No. 190

To
Gordon Forbes Esqre
Collector of Ganjam.

Sir,

In Compliance with your Request

I

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

I now beg to report what has been done and what Remains to be done with a view of opening out the town of Berhampore and Rendering its sanitary Condition more promising for the future.

The great objects in view have been 1st. To direct the heavy traffic to and from the port of Gopalpore, North and Goomsoor from the narrow Streets of the Town, where cholera is every year to be found in greater or less degree.

2d. To Secure if possible a Current of air through the Crowded town by opening up new streets at Right angles to the present ones, all Running east and west thus opening out broad thoroughfares from the open Country on the South to the new line of Road skirting the north suburb. The prevailing wind on this Coast is a southerly one, now wholly excluded from the centre of the town.

3d. To provide for the drainage of the Streets by

Fort St. George 29th October 1858

by Cutting side channels and Raising the Centre of the Roadway often a Cesspool Also to fill in numerous pits in different places where the whole filth of the town is shot at present where water lodges in the monsoon stagnates in the Cold, and evaporates in the hot weather disseminating disease especially fever and Cholera.

4 To open a short street from the Corner of the Barrack, or parade ground wall to the open space under the tank dividing the two portions of the Town designated "Bazoor" and Berhampore. This is rendered necessary by the extreme narrowness of the present Circuitous street leading past the Sepoys lines.

5th To establish Certain Rules to be binding on all with penalty for neglect of them for the disposal of town filth and Rubbish.

Of these important objects not one has yet been fully secured The first 1st the road outside the town for the Goomsoor and

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

and Aska traffic was Commenced and stopped, just as the earthwork had been finished by the Restriction of Public work expenditure; for the same reason Estimates have not been submitted for the other works as Government has decided that the Department Public Works Establishments in Ganjam has fully 2 years work Ready in the shape of sanctioned Estimates to be Carried out when the Restriction is withdrawn and new estimates are not to be forwarded at present.

The principal street was however in such a very filthy state, that I applied to the Acting Collector for the sum of Rupees 400 out of the discretionary allowance with which sum I built 2 Culverts, drained re levelled and gravelled the Roadway and Removed a Cluster of huts and bazars which greatly obstructed the traffic in the Centre of the Town.

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

A few pits were also filled up and a ride through this long street is not now the offensive thing it was 6 months ago.

I have lately obtained Rupees 200 more on the same account and the Raised Roadway under the Tank, formerly a bog of black soil will shortly be sufficiently Consolidated to bear a Coat of metalling.

A Culvert has also to be built with the this money and Compensation given for the house I pointed out to you when Removed.

I fear it is not in your power to do more at present for this town out of the discretionary allowance, but Considering the large interests and even human life at stake, I would beg most warmly to advocate an appeal to Govt. for permission to Complete the Road outside so as to enable the Carriers of grain and Sugar to reach the port of Gopalpore without entering the town in seasons of great sickness.

The Estimate for this Road amounted to

18084 Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

to Rupees 5450 of which Rupees 754-5-11 has been already expended on earthwork; the Cost of the masonry works is estimated at Rupees 1105 and if I Could obtain this sum I Could Complete them and open the Communication in December leaving the metalling &c. to be finished hereafter.

I may here mention that a Punchayett was assembled to value the houses in the town that must be removed, and from the Report of the Head of Police it appeared to be that the Estimate greatly exceeded what was right and proper to be given for the destruction of the property in question

I doubt if Government would

18085 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

would ever sanction so large an expenditure.

Injilly ..... September 16th 1858

(Signed) C. G. Phillips Captain
Executive Engineer

(True Copy)

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Collector.

Detailed

18086

Fort St David 29th October 1858.

Bk No 4879.

Detailed Statements of the amounts paid on account of Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of Resumed Lands and Privileges &c. in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1266 compared with the annual amount authorized by

| | | |

18087

Fort St. Geo. 29th October 1858

| | | |

Yards Burn Stamps 29th October 1838.

Certificates Imported (continued)

6. Muslins. 1. Bandanna Handkerchiefs: Handkerchiefs
2. Jaconet Muslins
3. Mull Muslins
4. Plain Muslins
5. Printed Muslins
6. Shawls
7. Spotted Muslins
8. Striped Muslins
9. Sundries — 1. Hosiery
2. Lace
3. Millinery
4. Small Wares
5. Thread Lace
6. Veils

Paid Duty on Imported Goods Warehoused in the Port of London for Home Consumption from the 29th September to the 29th October 1838.

| | | |

Settlements Progress / (continued)

Parish of the Hummocks Continuation
and Letter dated 4th March 1819. —

| | | |

Jan. 8 Com. of Escape 29th December 1838.

Summary Statement.

| | | |

18091 Fort St. Saint George 29th October 1858.

— Muntreddy Purgunnah. —

| | | |

1809.

Bank Dam Acct. 29th October 1838.

Central Gate / Continued /

| | | |

1809 3

Ship's Num. 45 Stamps 29th October 1838

Charles Edmonds Shewing the amount of Sales on account of Packets and Draughts on the Bank of Upper Canada for the Month of July 1836 and Cash received in full for the same

1. Cash Sales	1. Cash	168	624
	2. Bills Receivable		
2. Credit Sales	3. Cash		
	4. Bills Receivable		
3. Exchange	5. Cash		
	6. Bills Receivable		
4. Interest	7. Cash		
	8. Bills Receivable		
5. Commissions	9. Cash		
	10. Bills Receivable		
6. Premiums on Insurance	11. Cash		
	12. Bills Receivable		
7. Profit & Loss	13. Cash		
	14. Bills Receivable		
8. Rents	15. Cash		
	16. Bills Receivable		
9. Sundries	17. Cash		
	18. Bills Receivable		
10. Remittances	19. Cash		
	20. Bills Receivable		
11. Bills Payable	21. Cash		
	22. Bills Receivable		
12. Discounts	23. Cash		
	24. Bills Receivable		
13. Interest	25. Cash		
	26. Bills Receivable		
14. Balance			

Pursuant to the Provisions of Statute 21st Victoria Chapter 56 I do hereby certify that the above Statement of Charles Edmonds Esquire Collector of Customs for the Port of Cobourg is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and belief

Samuel Thomas Cumberland
Deputy Inspector General of Customs

		168	624
4			
48			
48			
48

1809

Fort St. David

Statement of Pagodas received from the Honble Geo. Pt. Collector 1858.

Total Pagodas Pagodas			
37 Pagoda Cawaty	807	24	24
38 Ditto Cawaty	624	24	24
39 Ct. Cawaty	12	12	12
40 Canam Bullk	3		3
Total	25	12	13
Accounts Pagodas			
Summoned 31 Pagoda Cawaty	20	8	12
32 Prop. Ditto	2		2
Total Pagodas Collected	22	8	14

Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th November 1818 No 815 and letter dated 4th May 1819.

Total Pagodas Collected	914	8	630
Adoptions Pagodas.			
1. Mokassa 33 Pagoda Cawaty	30		30
34 Pagoda Cawaty	Do.		
Total Adoptions Pagodas			
Monthly Salary.			
1. Postal 35 Sundry Cawaty	15	15	30
2. Sundry persons 36 Pagoda Cawaty	48	48	
37 Ditto Cawaty	12	12	12
38 Cadee Ditto Cawaty	12	12	12
39 Balambadu Cawaty	9	9	
40 Chowdry Cawaty	9	9	
41 Sundry Cawaty	9	9	
	60	60	

Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th November 1818 No 815 and letters dated 4th March 1819 and 5th December 1850.

1809

Sheets Summary for 29th October 1838.

Total Number of Sheets

Deduct Sheets not Counted

Total Number of Sheets Counted

Deduct Sheets Rejected

Total Number of Sheets Accepted

Deduct Sheets Condemned

Total Number of Sheets Delivered

Deduct Sheets Returned

Total Number of Sheets Issued

Deduct Sheets Unissued

Total Number of Sheets Printed

Deduct Sheets Spoiled

Total Number of Sheets Wrought

Deduct Sheets Unwrought

Total Number of Sheets Manufactured

Deduct Sheets Unmanufactured

Total Number of Sheets Received

Deduct Sheets Returned

Total Number of Sheets Delivered

Deduct Sheets Unissued

18096 Fort St. Saint George 29th October 1858.

No. 177.

To

A. D. Sim Esqure
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. Saint George.

Bde No. 4077.
From the Collector
of Vizagapatam

Sir

Referring to Mr. Huddlestons Memo of the 11th Ulto
I have the honor to state for
the information of the Board that
so soon as I am furnished by the
District Engineer with the necessa-
ry data and for which I have appli-
ed I shall lose no time in submitting
my report on the Public Works for
the Official year 1857-1858.

Vizagapatam &c
Nursapatam Cllr's
Circuit Cutcherry &c
6th October 1858.

Signed C. W. Reade
Collector

To

18097 Fort Saint George 29th October 1858.

To

A. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

Bde No. 4187
From the Collector of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

With reference to para 4
of the Extract from Minutes of Consul-
tation of the 7th August 1856 forwarded
with the Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue No. 2585 dated the 16th of the
same month I have the honor to Report
that the village of Bundapooram has
been measured by Chain Survey under
the immediate Superintendence of
the Head Surveyor of the Survey
Class under the Sub Collector. The plan
of the village is too large to send by
bangky. The Field Book was sub-
mitted with my Report on the pro-
gress of the Survey Class under date
the 18th December last. An abstract
statement shewing the extent of all
the lands in Bundapooram according
to the present and former survey is forwarded.

The following Statement
shews

2.

18098 Fort Saint George 29th October 1858

Shews the extent of Enams held by the Mokassadars

Fuslies	Land according to the permanent accounts	Land according to the Survey	Increase
	Acres	acres	acres
According to Compass Survey in F 1264	128	460	332
" to Chain Survey in F 1266	128	438	310

3 It appears that 16 Poottiesor 128 acres of land were granted to their grand father by Mieyala Venkatapohroydeen Zemindar of Kavada in 1749. on account of his relationship to the grantor. The only documents which the present holders can produce are a putta given to the original grantee and an order from the Zemindar to the villagers requiring them to assign the requisite lands to him and to furnish him with a register of their extent. There no reason to think that any part of what is now the registered Enam became so

18099 Fort St George 29th October 1858

so after the permanent settlement.

4 The following statement shews the result of the Survey in Fuslies 1264 and 1266 the former by the prismatic compass and the latter by the chain.

Fuslies	Enams of the Mokasadars: according to permanent accounts	according to Survey	Excess	Rate per cent of the Excess	Deduct rate per cent according to the proportional increase on Jeroity land in the village	Remaining excess in Enams of the Mokasadars	Land that will have to revert to Govt of the proportional increase on Jeroity lands is deducted from Col: 4	Deduct rate per cent according to the proportional increase of other Enams in the Village	Remaining excess in the Enams of the Mokasadars	Land that will have to revert to Govt if the proportional increase on other Enams is deducted from Col: 4
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	acres	acres	acres				acres			acres
1264	128	460	332	259	139	120	154	83	176	225
1266	128	438	310	242	152	90	115	89	153	196

5 In all the cultivated lands of this Village there has been an increase since the permanent Settlement, the accounts of which are more than usually inaccurate. The proportional increase in the Government lands, as exhibits in Column 6 of the statement is 139 per cent according to the survey in 1264 and 152 per cent

Cent according to the Survey in 1266 that on Enam lands not held by the Mocasadars is in the former case 88 and in the latter 89 per cent (Col. 9)

6 The excess in the Enams under consideration was in the first instance 332 acres or 259 percent and in the other 310 acres or 242 percent some allowance ought perhaps in fairness to be made for the increase of these Enams on account of the increase generally throughout the Village. If we take the proportional rate of increase in the Government lands as the standard the amount to be resumed from the Enams of the Mocassadars will be 115 acres (Col. 8) according to the last survey which may be considered the most accurate. The Mocassadars cannot however claim to hold a greater excess them has been found in other Enams in the village. Taking this as the standard the extent to be resumed will then be 196 acres (Col. 11)

under

7 Under instructions contained in the Minutes of Consultation of the 7th August 1856 I have resumed the above extent of 196 acres in informing the Mocasadars that it depends entirely upon the view Government may be pleased to take whether the extent which they still have in excess of the actual registered extent will be continued in their possession and on this point I beg to request instructions for my guidance.

8 In the absence of any accounts to shew the extent and position of each field it has been found impossible to ascertain to what extent the Mocasadars may have taken Govt. land in lieu of their own deteriorated Enams, but in resuming the excess instructions have been given to take a portion of the good as well as the bad lands in their possession.

9 I must express my regret at the delay which has taken place in submitting this report. The locality of the village & the great extent of jungle land rendered the correct survey of the Village a work of considerable labour and time and was partly the cause of the delay.—

Rajahmundry District Colls. Office
Cocanada 7th September 1858

(Signed) A. Purvis
Collector

Statement

18102

Fort Saint George 29th October

Statement Shewing the exctent of land in the Village of Bundapooram in

Nos	Description	Particulars	According to former accounts	According to the present accounts	According to Survey Fusly 1264	Fusly 1266	Increase of Cols 4 and 5 Land	Rate per cent	Cols 4 and 6 Land	Rate per cent
			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Servyty	1 According to Amaraum	" 382 32	" 914 10	" 966 3		53118	" 139	" 583 11	" 152
		2 Adivisodlu	" 113 25	" 154 30	" 314 16		415	" 36	" 2003 1	" 176
		3 Waste Land	" 8 23	" 14 36	" "		611	" 66	" "	" "
		Total	" 505	" 1083 34	" 1280 19		57834	" 114	" 775 19	" 154
		4 Sanglees	" 69 8	" 1792 30	" 1733 27		172322	" 2498	" 1664 21	" 2411
		Total	" 574 8	" 2876 24	" 3014 8		2302 16	" 401	" 2440	" 425
2	Enams	1 Under the enjoyment of the Mokhapadars	" 128	" 460	" 437 36		332	" 259	" 309 35	" 242
		2 not under enjoyment	" 23	" 39 5	" "		165	" 69	" "	" "
		Total	" 151	" 499 5	" 457 36		3485	" 231	" 286 35	" 190
		3 Other Enams	" 175	" 320 13	" 330 28		1451 3	" 83	" 155 28	" 89
3	Ashalminka		" 62 30	" 166	" 236 8		103 10	" 166	" 173 18	" 279
		Total	" 962 38	" 3862 2	" 4018 39		28994	" 301	" 3056 1	" 317

Rajahmundry District Collector's Office Coconada 7th September 1858.

orge 29th October 1858.

No 142.

D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

I have the honor to
ge the receipt of the Extract
ceedings of the Board of
the 19th January last
Extract from the Minutes
tion of the 16th of the
on the subject of the
am Ferry at Rajahmandry.
No time was last in
representations of the
ompany of the views
and calling on
te within a month
te of the receipt
of

18102

1858

Statement the District of Rajahmundry

No.	Description	Particulars	Decrease of Cols. 4 and 5 Land	Rate per cent	Cols. 4 and 6 Land	Rate per cent
1		2	11	12	13	4
1	Jervyty	1 According to an	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		2 A divisible	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		3 Waste land	" " "	" " "	8 23 "	100 " "
		To	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		4 Jungles	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	Enams	1 Under the enj of the Makap	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		2 not under enjoym	" " "	" " "	23 " "	100 " "
		To	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		3 Other Enam	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	Ashalminha		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		T	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Rajahmundry Dis

Collector's Office Coc

7th September 1858

(Signed) A. Purvis

Collector

18103

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

No. 142.

To

J. D. Sim Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

B No. 4596

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir:

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 19th January last forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 16th of the same month on the subject of the proposed steam Ferry at Rajahmundry.

2. No time was last in informing the representatives of the Navigation Company of the views of Government and calling on them to state within a month from the date of the receipt of

of the letter whether they were willing now to accept the original offer and if so to fix a period within which they would be prepared to Commence operations.

3. This application was however attended with no better result than those previously made. It seems quite clear that the Company are not in a position and are not likely to place themselves in a position to undertake the Contract.

4. I then applied to the District Engineer for his opinion as to the fitness of the steamer referred to in the 9th Para of the Minutes of Consultation under reference and in my letter of the 9th March last for the ferry her value and the probable Monthly cost

cost of working her.

5. In his reply Captain Rundall gave it as his opinion that the Steamer was well adapted for a Government ferry - She is rectoned. he informed me, to be about 60 Horse power, and to be capable of working at 7 Miles an hour calculates the expences at about Rupees 864 per mensem as shewn in the Margin. It would of course be absolutely necessary to keep an European in command of the steamer both to take care of the Boat itself and for the collection of the Tolls. The cost of Establishment and working the Vessel would thus be say 30 Rupees per day but to this must be added interest on Capital and repairs which may

	Rs
1 Commander	100
1 Writer	15
1 Engineer	20
1 Asst. Do	15
1 Syrenny	20
2 Seacunnies at 7 Rs each	14
4 Stokers	20
10 Lascars at 6 Rs each	60
Firewood about 8 Tons per day at 2½ Rs for 30 days	600
	864

of the letter whether they were willing now to accept the original offer and if so to fix a period within which they would be prepared to Commence operations.

3. This application was however attended with no better result than those previously made. It seems quite clear that the Company are not in a position and are not likely to place themselves in a position to undertake the Contract.

4 I then applied to the Dist. Engineer for his opinion as to the fitness of the steamer referred to in the 9th Para of the Minutes of Consultation under reference and in my letter of the 9th March last for the ferry her value and the probable Monthly

cost of working her.

5. In his reply Captain Rundall gave it as his opinion that the Steamer was well adapted for a Government ferry - She is rectoned. he informed me, to be about 60 Horse power, and to be capable of working at 7 Miles an

	Rs.
1 Commander	100
1 Writer	15
1 Engineer	20
1 Asst. Do	15
1 Syrang	20
2 Seacunnies at 7 Rs each	14
4 Stokers	20
10 Lascars at 6 Rs each	60
Firewood about 8 Tons per day at 2½ Rs for 30 days	600
	864

hour. calculates the expences at about Rupees 864 per mensem as shewn in the Margin. It would of course be absolutely necessary to keep an European in command of the steamer both to take care of the Boat itself and for the collection of the Tolls. The cost of Establishment and working the Vessel would thus be say 30 Rupees per day but to this must be added interest on Capital, and repairs which may

For St. George 29th October 1858.

may fairly be estimated at 10 Rupees more making the whole 40 Rupees per day.

6 I then applied to Mr. Faulkner who I understand was authorized to act for the Owners of the Vessel Messrs. George Forrester and Company. In his reply he informed me that he was so authorized - That the Cost of the steamer before landing at Cocanada was £3543 or about Rupees 35,430 and that he believed 2500 Rupees had since been spent in landing and putting her together. He offered her at the former amount subject to such additional expences as might be found to have been legitimately incurred in landing

For St. George 29th October 1858.

landing and putting her together

7. On receipt of this Communication, I again applied to the District Engineer to know whether he thought the Vessel worth the price asked for her. He was not at the time able to answer any letter satisfactorily as he was not in possession of sufficient information Regarding the Vessel. He subsequently informed me that since the receipt of my letter the Vessel had been at work once or twice and that Mr. Macrieff the Civil Engineer in charge of the Government workshops at Dowlaiswaram pronounces her to be a satisfactory boat as far as her machinery is concerned with the exception of

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

of one or two trifling alterations the expence of which he under: stands would be borne by the owners.

8 In Reference to her adaptability for a ferry the District Engineer is of opinion that she would be well suited to the work and Could be made more than so by supporting with Reference to the Extent of traffic. As Regards price he considers 5,000 Rupees to be for value put on board at Liverpool Freight and Charges of putting together in this Country together with Cabin Fittings would be Extra.

9 I had an account taken of the number of Carts animals and passengers of all kinds Crossing the River during 8 days and the end of February and the beginning of March

Fort St. George 29th October 1858

The daily average taken the eight days is given in the margin also the Amount which would be Realized at Certain given Rates which are in fact those generally adopted by the Navigation Company and private individuals. It will be seen that with a similar Extent of traffic and at similar rates the Receipts would average about 24 Rs per diem. This however at the lowest rate, and an on average taken when foot passengers and palanquins were able to pass over the Anicut a few miles lower down the river but during the season of the freshes the Rates may with Justice be Considerably increased. The usual Rate for transport of a Cart across the Kistnaat Bezwarah is if I mistake not

			Rs a. p.
Foot passengers	445	at 3 pie each	6-15-3
Animals	73	at 1 Anna each	4-9-0
Conveyances Carts &c.	17	at 8 Annas each	8-8-0
Loads (Cavady)	54	at 1 Anna each	3-6-0
			23-6-3

12

Fort St. George 29th October 1858.

12 Annas for a very much shorter distances. It is also to be borne in mind that troops and all Govern- ment stores will be crossed without additional Expence. The rates Government has agreed to pay the Navigation Company for Troops if their plans had been Carried out are shown in the Margin I have no doubt the Extent of ordinary traffic will con- siderably increase when the ease and Certainty as to time with which the passage will be made became manifest.

10 For the above Reasons I trust the Board will see fit to support my pro- posal for the purchase of the Vessel referred to and the Establishment of the Steam ferry at Rajahmundry.

For Regt of Native or European Infantry with stores public Baggage and followers ..... Rs 125
Cavalry Do 400
Artillery each Company of Foot with Guns 125
Do each Company of horse with Guns 200

Rajahmundry District Collectors Office Cocanada 4th October 1858.

Signed A. Purvis
Collector.

Fort St. George 29th October 1858

No. 192

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No. 4466
From the Collector of Masulipatam .....

Companys Rs 340-12-10
Hydrabad Rs 182- - -

Under the orders contained in Extract Proceedings dated 8th September 1858 No. 3095. I have the honor to report that Sum of Rupees 522-12-3 was found on the persons of the prisioners who were sent up on a charge of having taken a part in the plunder of Inggiapelli. It is quite impossible to say to whom these Rupees belong, but it is clear that as Government lost only 20 Hydrabad Rupees the 162 could not all belong to Government while many of the Merchants lost Company Rupees and their claim to those found would be as good as that of Government under these circumstances I beg leave to suggest that the money be laid out on a well one is much wanted and will be a benefit to the inhabitants to the public in the shape of passengers and

to

to Government itself in the persons of the Europeans and native soldiery passing to and from Hydrabad & Masulipatam.

Collectors Cutcherry
29.a September 1858.

/Signed/ W. Knox
Collector

N.o 137

To,
J. D. Sim Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.a George

B.d N.o 4564
From the Collector of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 23.d Ultimo N.o 3589 observing that the Board find from the statement of Extra tentage drawn by me during the month of May last, that I drew extra tentage at Oossoor for the week occupied by the Settlement of that talook, and requesting me to explain the circumstance as Oossoor is the Collectors usual residence.

2. I beg to explain that it has been the usual practice for the Collectors in this District to draw extra tentage at Oossoor for the time occupied in making the Settlement of the

talook, On these occasions I am obliged to pitch my tents for the curnums and others who attend the Settlement, as the Office accommodation is not sufficient at such times I may also add that the Office itself is not Government property.

Connected in Boards C. O. dated 28.a Aug.t 1856
C. O. Book 1856 page 79

3. Rule IX of the Revised Rules dis allowing extra tentage to Officers while employ at the Head Station or ordinary place of Residence, is observed with regard to Salem while I am employed at that place as the cutcherry at Salem affords sufficient accommodation for every one.

Salem
Collectors Office
6.th October 1858.

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Collector

To

18114 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

No. 201

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 4521
From the Collector of
North Arcot

Sir, Fort St. George.

I have the honor, with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 26th June 1858. No. 347 and Boards Proceedings dated 5th July 1858, No. 2308, regarding the Rent charged to the Railway Company for land not occupied by their Works to state that I have in vain endeavoured to obtain the necessary information from the Tahsildar of Tirvellum Talook Streenevassa Row, by the repeated orders noted in the margin, enforced in the last instance by a fine and that he has not chosen to submit the information which he could have obtained in a day, or two nor even answered my later takeeds.

10th July 1858
9th Septr. —
21st Do. —

I have therefore suspended Streenevassa Row for one month pending the Boards instructions and have directed the Talook Sheristadar to submit the required information without

18115 Fort St. George 29th October 1858

without delay.

North Arcot
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry
Palamanair
4th October 1858

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

No. 203

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 4608
From the Collector of
North Arcot

Sir, Fort St. George.

With reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 17th October 1856 and Boards Proceedings 21st November 1856 No. 3882 regarding the exchange of Villages between this District, and those of Chingleput and South Arcot I have the honor to state that as I have no Map in my Office shewing the present boundaries between these Districts. I requested on the 4th December 1856, the District Engineer to have the boundaries surveyed, and to send me a copy of the Map The Survey of the boundary between this District and South

South Arcot was forwarded to me by the District Engineer on the 15th of September 1857 but I have not received the survey of the Chingleput boundary though from the accompanying copy of a letter from the Acting District Engineer received this day it would appear that that survey has been completed and that the copy of the Map will be forwarded to me as soon as possible.

North Arcot
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair
9th October 1858.

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

To

Enc: No. 1

To,

C. Whittingham Esqre.
Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 272 (without date) asking me to send you the map of the boundary of this District and to inform you that I have given orders that it may be copied as soon as possible together with the Map of the District both of which will then be forwarded to you.

Camp Arcot
5th October 1858.

(Signed) J. H. Dopping C.E.
Dt. Engr. of N. Arcot

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

No. 109

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd. No. 4704
From the Collector of Canara

In acknowledging receipt of Extract from Proceedings of the Board dated 16th August 1858, I have the honor to state, that the individual entered first in my return dated 31st July last, has been already released on praying up the arrears of revenue due, as appears from a communication received from the Additional Sub Collector dated 20th September

I may further observe, that the other two persons entered in the above return, have also been released, one on payment of the amount due, the other in consequence of his poverty.

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore
13th October 1858

I have &c
Signed W. Fisher
Collector

Received

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

My No. 2608
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Village Officers for September 1858.

My No. 2606
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for Sept 1858

My No. 2609
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of fines for 3d Quarter 1858

My No. 2607
From the Collector of South Arcot. Stating that certain returns are blank for 3d Quarter and September 1858.

My No. 2610
From the Collector of South Arcot. Average Bazar Statement for September 1858.

My No. 2604
From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Forwarding as requested copy of letter of the 21st March 1857 and of Endorsement of the 12th May 1857.

My No. 2601
From the Collector of South Arcot. Statement account current for September 1858.

My No. 2602
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Register of Petitions for September 1858.

My No. 2605
From the Collector of Canara. Register of Petitions for September 1858.

18120 Fort St George 29th October 1858.

Mr No 2600.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry, Returning herewith Petition No [illegible]

Mr No 2603

From the Collector of Madras, Acknowledging the receip[illegible] the 1000 Salt Rowannahs.

Mr No 2599.

From the Director of Revenue Settlement, Requesting to [illegible] have the loan of Colonel Read's Report on the [illegible] and Settlement of Salem.

" J. Goldingham

" E Hatchey