1769

Contents.

No.	From whom	Subject	Page
		September 1855.	
3871	F Ganjam	Reasons which render it expedient to offer a better salary than 75 Rs per mensem for the appt of Superintendent of Sea Customs - & copy of a letter regarding the Boatmen of the district forwarded	14377
3620	F Rajahmy	Report on Petitions Nos 2272 & 2892 of 1855 from certain gram measurers of Cocanada	14383
3766	F Masulm	List of subscribers to the new Civil Dispensary at Masulipatam who have paid up their contribns	14387
3767	F — do —	Certain particulars with reference to the attachment and proposed sale of a patnam of the Devercottah Estate for arrears of Revenue	14392
3926	F — do —	Cancellation of the three months' leave applied for by Mr J Chace Asst Collector - requested	14394
2953	F Kistnah	Expediency of Govt undergoing the cost of opening the Chinnacheppully channels urged for the favorable consideration of the Board	14395
3798	F Kurnool	That no Telugu Type has been received in his Appt	14400
3790	F Salem	Permission solicited for the purchase by Mr Carr, Asst Head Assistant of certain Lands in the Tripatore Talook for Building purposes	14401
3791	F — do —	Sanction requested to the purchase by Mr Grant the late Sub Coll of certain lands in the Allagapooram Mootah for Building purposes	14403
3807	F — do —	Advertisement for the sale of certain Mootahs registered for arrears of Revenue for July 1267 forwarded	14405
3742	F Tuticorin	Report on the claim of Petitioner in 1643 of 1855 to certain Inam Lands in the Village of Tondamangalum	14409
3827	F Madura	Sanction solicited for the disbursl of Rs 3000 from the funds of the Sheragungah Estate being the amount of the claim of Hanoomunteu chetty a tenant of the late Zemindar	14411
3701	F Tinnevelly	The papers connected with OS No 32 of 1857 on the file of the Hon'ble Sudr Adawlut Court of Judy forwarded & sanction requested for defending the Suit at the expense of Government	14413

Copd

Nº	From whom	Subject	Page
3843	F Chamber. Comm.ᵉ	That the fine per cent wastage allowed on Salt exported from Bombay may be allowed to shippers of that article from this coast	14467
		2 September 1858	
3850	F Govern.ᵗ	Sanction granted for striking out of the accounts of the Madras Collectorate the uncollected rents of certain Toddy shops within the limits of the Mount Cantonment	14468
3936	F do	Order of Govern.ᵗ postponing the introduction of the revised frontier tariff till the 1st October 58	"
3776	F Nellore	Report on the case of Petitioner in 2170 of 1858. Vuma soor late Chitteevis in the Singum taluck	14469
3814	F do	Report on Petition 2160 of 1858 from Syed Ahmud late Nevesindah of Vellore and Colaur	14470
3526	F Chingleput	Report on Petitions from Ramoya pillay, Carnum of Mowpauktum in Carangoly	14475
3343	F Salem	Report on Petition 446 of 1858 Claiming the restoration to Petitioner of certain land which he had acquired by purchase	14479
3808	F Salem	Tabular Report on Lapsed Inams	14483
3809	F do	Do Do	14484
1069	F Tanjore	Progress made in the transfer of the Pagoda Tarruff lands to the Trustees of the Institutions to which they belong - appeal - & the questions as to the continuance of the Lingarkhanahs hitherto maintained from the Devastanum funds - enlarged	14485
3811	F do	Petitioner in Nº 882 of 1858 no longer desires to institute the suit he intended	14494
3735	F Madura	List of useless English Records which it is intended to destroy with the sanction of the Board	"
3818	F Madura	Notification regarding the intended Exhibition of 1859	14498
3377	F Tinnevelly	Statement of certain Inam Cases collected under the designation of "Rasee" & "Kattum" submitted	14499
3545	F do	Respecting the grants originally endowed to the Pagoda Chuttrum and Agrahaarum at Tuckingudy	14504
3828	F Madras	Sanction to refunds to Messrs Bainbridge & Co of the import duty paid by them on certain Glass ware	14507

Nº	From whom	Subject	Page
3716	F Supt Stamps	Verification of the sum to be written off the accounts in connection with the Stamp frauds in Trichy reported & sanction for similarly writing off a further amount - solicited	14507
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	14517
		3 September 1858	
2281	F Govern.ᵗ	Report on the settlement of the Land and Extra Revenue for July 1858 received	14518
3928	F do	Collector to report what return may be expected by the construction of an Anicut across the Pony aur in South Arcot & to submit a Plan and Estimate of the works	"
3939	F do	Desiring certain information with reference to the Inams under the Budveed Tank and Jegueur Amount in Cuddapah	14519
3940	F do	Report on the progress made in July 1858 in disposing of the proprietary rights of the lands of the Returned & Escheat - received	"
3941	F do	Authority granted for writing off the accounts the loss of Salt by the heavy rains of May last & intimating for our advance to the Salt ryots	14520
3950	F do	Remarks with reference to the one months leave granted to Mr L Forbes Asst Sub Collector of Bellary under Sec XII of the absentee Rules	"
592	F Guntoor	Report on Petn 3746 of 1856 respecting the levy of certain fees by the Curnums of Tsollore	14521
1595	F Tanjore	Report on Lapsed Inams	14525
2649	F do	Do Do	14547
3858	F Tinnevelly	On the subject of granting Mr Winter a License for the distillery of European Spirits	14560
2557	F Malabar	Jail furnished with a copy of the Board's Proc.ᵈ Govt dated 18 May 1858	14591
3893	F do	Institution of Suit 178 of 1858 in the Wynaad Moonsiffs Court reported & sanction requested for defending it at the public expense	14592
3718	F Asst Supt Stamps	Report on the funds committed in certain districts on account of the refunds of Stamp Duty under Act XVII of 1848	14608
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	14611

N°	From whom	Subject	Page

4th September 1858.

3824	Fr Govert	To furnish as early as practicable a copy of the Board's letter dated 11 July 1856	14612
3977	F do	Correspondence relative to the pilfering of goods on the beach while being landed or shipped referred to the Commissioner of Police	"
3929	F do	The 1st Nov. fixed upon as the date for the Examination of Candidates for admission into the Uncov. Service - and Examin. Committee nominated	14613
3942	F do	Copy of the Govt Pleader's letter in the matter of As. Nos 103 & 126 of 1857 of Sudr Courts file pursd	"
3951	F do	The respective amounts of Salt wastage during Fasles 1264-65 & 66 in the several districts of the Residency - sanctioned	14614
3978	Fr do	Authority granted for the Collector of Ganjam excluding himself from among the list of Defendants in Suit No 54 of 1858 on the file of the Civil Court of Chicacole	"
3953	F Ganjam	That a Cir. Order has been published in his Gazette directing the closing of all public offices on Sundays	14615
2843	F Rajahj	Request of Mr Faulkner to pass duty free three Steam Boats imported for use on the main lines of Canal in the distt - submitted	14616
3005	F Masulipm	That the Estimate of Sudr ward of the distt including the charges for printing was submitted on the 26th October 1857	14619
3980	F do	draws attention to GS No 105 of 1848 and expresses regret at the matter having escaped earlier notice	14620
3900	F Nellore	Copies of the Prize list for the Cattle-show to be held at Nellunky - forwarded	14621
3981	F Kurnool	Departure of the Asst Assistant from the distt, reported & the appointment of another in his stead, requested	14622
3783	F South Arcot	Explanation with reference to the several discrepancies noticed in his Jamma Report for Fasly 1266	14625
2393	F Trichy	That no alterations are necessary in certain Returns	14627

N°	From whom	Subject	Page
213	F Coimbatore	Respecting the payment of Bandy hire for the Cotton plants &c being conveyed from Coimbatore to the Board's office	14630
3752	F do	Clearance of the arrears of Returns for 1856 and 1857 reported	"
3856	F Malabar	That a scrutiny is made twice a year into the competency of the securities deposited by public servants and the results has generally proved satisfactory	14631
3969	F Sea Customs	Eliciting for the favorable consideration & support of the Board, his claim to draw Deputation Allowance on the reduced salary intended to be fixed for the office of the Coll. of Sea Customs	14632
3372	F Offg Ch Engr	Report on the drawing paper forwarded for experimental use	14634
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	14636

6 September 1858.

3927	F Govert	Order of Govt on an explanation from the Inspector Genl of Ordnance &c with reference to the deteriorated state of a certain Steam Engine which had caused power the Ordnance Depart. communicated	14638
3928	F do	Remarks of Govt with reference to certain proceedings of the Ag Coll of Malabar in regard to the assimilation of the land and Sea Tariffs for imports and exports between the British Settlements and Foreign Settlements of this Presy	14639
2356	F Ganjam	On the question of excluding Palmyra trees situated in private holdings from the Abkary of the district	14639
3954	F do	That there have been no instances in which the new rule regy the summoning of Pub servants as witnesses in Police Cases has been acted upon	14641
3607	F Chingleput	Petition No 102 of 1857 returned	14642
3630	F do	Petition No 2138 of 58 returned the record on the case having been already forwarded to the Board	14644
4006	F Trichy	Mr Walhouse's receipts for the balance of Cash in the Treasury as well as for Stamp Papers and Cudjams	"
4007	F do	Mr Walhouse's report of having received charge of the Collectorate	14649
3473	F Madura	Report in detail on claim & counter claim with reference to the proposed settlement by arbitration of the Suit instituted by Nattucottay Chettees against the Sheevagunga Estate for money due on a bond	14651

No	From whom	Subject	Page
3750	F Madura	Petition of Sawmy Nattaty or objecting to a compromise of the suit No 8 of 1858 on the file of the Civil Court - forwarded	14730
3826	F do	Copies of certain Draft orders, relative to OS No 8 of 856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura - forwarded	14731
3825	F Malabar	The rates at which he has advertised for Bombay Salt for the use of the district during the months of September and October 1858. reported	14732
3984	F do	The location of the Civil Treasury at Cannanore within the Fort, considered inexpedient and objectionable	14734
3726	F Off Chief Engr	Forwarding four standard designs of Talook Cutcherie revised and improved	14739
3872	F Ag Sup Engr	That the Collector of Nellore will be duly furnished with copy of the above designs on their being approved and returned	14740
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	

14377 Fort St George 1st September 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Wednesday the 1st September 1858.

To
J. D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

BN° 3841
From the Agt Collr
of Ganjam

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the Extract of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 4th Instant N° 2938 regarding the Sea Custom House arrangements in the District. I beg to be allowed to submit for the Board's consideration the reason I fixed on 100 Rupees month as pay for the Superintendents. I deeply regret I omitted this information before the Board as perhaps it might have influenced the Board.

2. I found at Munsoorcottah an intelligent active European employed by the Gentlemen of the Aska Factory as shipping Agent. His duties are to ship and land cargoes and are neither continuous nor responsible for one of the

the partners generally attends at Munsoor-collah when shipping any particular Cargo.

This person's pay is 100 Rupees a month and tho' not a well educated man, from his high character and Knowledge of business and acquaintance with Captns frequenting this Port, he would be an excellent person to employ as Sea Custom. Superintendent, but neither he nor the few persons there are with his peculiar Knowledge and qualities will accept a situation of 75 Rupees a month, and when I sounded him as to accepting Government employ, he was doubtful if he would accept any thing under 120 Rs month.

3. I mention this fact to shew the price paid for honesty and energy in this District by private individuals and tho' I cannot hope any repre-

representation will now influence the Board, I trust I may be pardoned offering my opinion that 75 Rs a month for an appointment which will under the Board's plan, require constant travelling, will not buy the Services of any man of energy and acquaintance with the language and manners of the boat-men of this District.

4. Regarding the boatmen of this District I take the liberty to submit for the Board's consideration copy of a letter I addressed the Court of Foujdaree Adalut and their reply and I respectfully solicit any aid the Members of the Board of Revenue can give towards providing a remedy for the evil complained of.

Ganjam District Collr's Office Chetterpore 16th August 1858

I Signed T. S. Knox
Actg Collr

To

Fort St. George 1th September 1858.

No. 92

To

C. F. Chamier Esqre
Register to the Court of Foujdary Udalut
Fort St. George.

Sir.

1. I have the honor to solicit the opinion of the Judges of the Foujdary Udalut as to the proper mode I should deal with a crime which I regret to say is of frequent occurence in this District and above which the great benefactors of this District the exporters of its produce mostly European merchants are loud in their Complaints.

2. Boatmen taking bags of rice and other grain from the shore to ships having crossed the surf have acquired a habit of stealing from the Bags and handing their plunder over to Catamaran men. The Judges will observe that the offence is committed on the high seas and is I fear beyond my jurisdiction as a Magistrate but I suppose as Justice of the Peace I can deal with the offence but in what manner

Fort St. George 1th September 1858

I know not.

3. I trust the Judges will be pleased to give me the necessary instructions how to act. I have searched every book I can think of as likely to give me information and I confess I fear the crime must as the law of England now stands, go unpunished because offences of this nature are generally provided for by local acts applicable to each Port but the matter is of such vital importance to this District whose Commercial interests are daily swelling into importance that I am fully justified in requesting the opinion of the highest Authorities.

Ganjam District,
Magistrate's Office,
Chetterpore 6th July 1856

/Signed/ T. I. Knox
Acting Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ T. I. Knox
Actg Collr

Extract from the Proceedings of Foujdary Udalut under date the 19th July 1858.

Read Letter from the Magistrate of Ganjam dated 6th July 1858.

The

Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

1. The Acting Magistrate requests the instructions of the Court as to how he should deal with parties committing petty offences &c on the high seas with especial reference to the petty thefts committed by boatmen conveying merchandize to the Shipping.

2 The Court would point out to the Acting Magistrate that Circular Order No. 9 shews how such cases have been dealt with as the law at present stands such great inconvenience however would attend the practice of committing to the Supreme Court parties concerned in such petty thefts, that the Acting Magistrate in his Capacity of Justice of the Peace must necessarily be reluctant to adopt that course unless under extreme necessity In the meantime the Sanjidary Adalut impressed with the difficulty of the case propose taking measures for bringing the subject under the consideration of the legislature-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ George Trotter Jun.
Dy Register
/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. C. Rose
Sec. Bd. Rev.

Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

No. 92.

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

BNo. 3620
From the Collector of Rajahmandry

Reporting on Petitions Nos. 2272 & 2892 of 1857.

Sir.

In returning the Petitions noted in the margin, I have the honor to report that Petitioners live by measuring grain in the town of Cocanada. Their work and consequently their profits have greatly increased these last few years and in Fusly 1266 an addition was made to the demand against them. The sum now assessed upon them is Rupees 191-12- paid by 51 individuals. The payment of this tax does not in theory give them any exclusive right to be employed as grain measurers though I have reason to think that such is at least to some extent the case in practice. and that they otherwise

otherwise interfere with the
market.

2. In the year 1848 the sanc
tion of the Supreme Government
was granted to relinquishing the
weighing and measuring tax
which had there to been collected
in some parts of the District
and referred to in the Corres
pondence noted in the Margin this
tax differed somewhat from that
under remark in as much as
it was at a certain rate per
maund on each article measured
The tax under observation, though
equally objectionable in principle,
does not appear to have been brought
to notice on the above occasion.

From B. 27th July 1843
To Do 5th Augt "
From Do 2d Octr "
To Do 2nd Novr "
From Do 22d Augt 1848
To Do 10th Septr "
From Do 16th March 1848.

3. There are a few other
places in which the tax is imposed
and I forwarde a Statement shewing
the names of the towns and vil.
lages. and the amount realized
and would strongly recommend
that the tax be struck off and
all

all pretence for interference with
the market removed.

Rajahmundry District
Coll.rs Office Cocanada
4th August 1858.

Signed A. Purvis
Coll.r

Statement

14386 Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

Statement shewing the amount of Moturpha levied under the head of "Colagarum" in the District of Rajahmundry.

	Taloocks	Villages	Fasly 1266.		
1	Rajahmundry	Rajahmundry	20	"	"
2	Cocanada	Cocanada	168	12	"
		Juggannadhapooram	8	12	"
		Bheemavarum	3	4	"
			180	12	"
3	Pillapoor	Pillapoor	2	"	"
4	Coringa	Neelapilly	12	6	"
		Tallarvoo	8	8	"
		Coringa	1	"	"
			21	14	"
5	Tooney	Tooneypetta	1	"	"
		Tooney	5	12	"
		Veeranarum	1	8	"
			8	4	"
6	Woondy	Sroongarroochum	3	12	"
		Gellalacodaroo	"	8	"
			4	4	"
7	Mogultore	Nursapoor	73	8	"
		Total	310	10	"

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office,
Cocanadah 4th August 1858

/ Signed J. Purvis
Coll.r

To

14387 Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

No. 166.

To

J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B No. 3766.
From the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

In obedience to the instructions contained in the Board's Proceedings of 24th Ultimo No. 2783, I have the honor to transmit herewith a list of the Subscribers to the Fund for erecting a New Civil Dispensary in the Town of Masulipatam who have paid upon their contributions.

/ Signed W. Knox
Collector

Last

14388 Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

List of contributors to the proposed Civil Dispensary on the Town of Masulipatam who have paid up their Subscriptions

		Rs	a	p
1	Rajah Vendrayoola Jaganadhorow Gopatrow Bahadur Ex zemindar of Deve	25	"	"
2	Nazoomaddowlah Roostoomjah	150	"	"
3	Syed Abdool Rahun Khan	20	"	"
4	Meer Abbasally Khan	20	"	"
5	Phidnee Hoossain Khan	50	"	"
6	Amaravaty sashiah Head Sheristadar	50	"	"
7	Yerrogoonta Sen Nerrow Manager special Assistant Collector's Office	12	"	"
8	Pasoopoolaty Krishnamah Naidoo Manager Commissariat Office	20	"	"
9	Mr. I. A. Marjoribanks Head Accountant	10	"	"
10	Dinvahy Poorooshattamiah Magistrate Jawahnagh	10	"	"
11	Vanilala Soobarow Collector's Office	1	"	"
12	Potti Ramanah Do Do	1	"	"
13	Moonjoolloor Nazalingum Do Do	1	"	"

14389 Fort St. George 1st September 1858

14	Au Roulomunaty Ramanoojacharloo Do	1	"	"
15	Nungoo Saspagirrow Do	1	"	"
16	Danursoo Banyemah Do	1	"	"
17	Govindoo Nursingarow Do	"	8	"
18	Tooroga Nursingum English Record Keeper	1	"	"
19	K. Davarauzoola Naidoo Compositor	5	"	"
20	Lingamalloo Ramasawmy	8	"	"
21	Golla Baupanah	6	"	"
22	Vetcha Bhamiah	20	"	"
23	Cola Ven Ratnoyloo	5	"	"
24	Canaculla Nagabhooshunum	5	"	"
25	Do Gungiah	2	"	"
26	Toommalapully Ven Ratarutnum	1	"	"
27	Ghattoo Paupiah	1	"	"
28	Mantoory Ven Raniah	1	"	"
29	Tandeconda Sonalingum	1	"	"
30	Samah Ven Rapah	1	"	"
31	Bundum Garotapah	1	"	"
32	Cheroo Roory Chelmaiah	1	"	"

Soobloory

14390. Fort St. George 1st September 1858

33	Toolloory Bramanah		1	" "
34	Motamurry Hanoomalloo and			
35	Do Venkatachellum		2	" "
36	Gujjala Soobaraydoo		1	" "
37	Codoyagerry Ramasawmy		1	" "
38	Poolenurty Venkiah		2	" "
39	Majetty Banpanah		25	" "
40	Do Mulla Karjanvodoo		10	" "
41	Tunavana Soobanah		1	" "
42	Huntoty Lutchmepaty and			
43	Do Streeramoodoo.		2	" "
44	Ghuntasala Venkatareddy		2	" "
45	Aulapauty Codundaramoodoo		1	" "
46	Tandeconda Ramabramum		1	" "
47	Brenjee Mohundoss		10	" "
48	Garlapauty Ammiah		3	" "
49	Crottumenty Rameswerrow		2	" "
50	Tummanah Bramanah		1	" "
51	Vadat Bhamiah		5	" "
52	Jungala Chena Bänpaniah		1	" "
53	Bolleselly Rungum		1	" "
54	Tummanah Veeriah		1	" "
55	Hoje Mohomed Mahendu Sawdagur		50	" "
56	Agah Samuel Sawdagur		35	" "
57	Syed Ahmed Saheb Sowdagar.		2	" "
	Up to the end of July 1858.	Total	592	8 "
	Amount since collected			
58	Sadvolla Khan		40	" "
59	Vada Ramaswamy		15	" "
60	Ladapully Jeniah		10	" "
	up to 14th August 1858		65	" "
		Total	657	8 "

14391. Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

	Total	657 8 "
Amount of Subscription paid by Major Cayabt		20 " "
		677 8 "
Subscription Paid in part		238 "
		701 " "

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
16th August 1858.

Signed H. Knox
Collector.

No. 165.

To J. D. Sim Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 3767.

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Sir.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings under date the 2nd Instant No. 2915, in which they observe that the advertisement submitted by me with my letter of the 19th Ultimo for the sale of a part of the Davercotah Estate would not meet the requirements of Section XI Regulation 26 of 1802, I have now taken the necessary steps for subdividing it, but I cannot assure the Board that this will be completed before the middle of September at the earliest, when it will probably be submitted for the confirmation of the Board if the Zemindar does not liquidate his arrears in the interim

2. In this District I am given to understand that it has not been customary to charge interest on the arrears

arrears during the period the Estate is under attachment. I cannot find any authority for this, but it has been the established practice of the District. If the estate or part of it is brought to sale at the end of the attachment then interest is charged for the whole period. But if on the other hand it is released on payment, it has not been usual to charge interest for the time the Estate was under attachment.

3. Since the date of my last letter Rupees 5728 have been paid up, leaving a balance of Peishcush at this date, of Rupees 10466 for which the Estate is still continued under attachment. I intend in a few days to reduce the Establishment, keeping on only the Aumeen and a few Goomastahs and Peons to carry on the work of subdivision. and I shall at once restore the remainder of the Estate to the Zemindar, if he gives his hearty cooperation to the parties engaged in preparing the accounts otherwise

+ on account of May and June Kists.

if

Fort St. George 1st September 1858

if he throws obstacles in their way it may be necessary to sell the whole as laid down in the Regulation.

Masulipatam Collr. Cutchery 16th August 1858

/Signed/ W. Knox
Collector.

No. 73.

To W. Knox Esqre
Collector of Masulipatam

B. No. 3926
From the Head Asst. Collr. of Masulipatam

Sir.

As it impracticable for me to proceed to Madras and as circumstances have turned out unnecessary. I have the honor to request that the 3 Months leave granted to me in the Gazette dated 3d August 1858 may be cancelled.

I trust however that my thus cancelling it will not debar me from the privilege of availing myself of it on another occasion.

Masulipatam Head Assistant Collr. Cutchery 26th August 1858

/Signed/ T. Chase
Head Asst. Collr.

The Collector has the honor to forward this to the Board of Revenue with reference to Mr. Chase's letter of 22d Ultimo. No 67 and begs to support his request that the leave may be cancelled.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutchery 27th August 1858

/Signed/ W. Knox
Collector

To

Fort St. George. 1st September 1858.

No. 80.

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 2953.
From the Collector of Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a letter received from the District Engineer of Cuddapah, dated the 16th Ultimo. No 163, suggesting that the Dasavundum Enam under the Chinnachepully channel in the Cumalapoor Talook should be attached, as the channel itself is out of repair. The District Engineer states, that an Estimate has been prepared for its restoration amounting to Rupees 390, but the Enamdars state, that it will require the expenditure of a thousand Rupees, to put it in thorough repair. The whole ayacut under the channel is as follows.-

Total ayacut under the channel.

	A.	b	as	bP.	F.	A
Cultivated ayacut	67	20	11 1/4	278	8	9 3/4
Enams	29	18	7/2	94	1	6.
	96	38	11 3/4	372	9	15 3/4

			A b a c k. F A		
Quantity of land held on Puttah from the above ayacut -					
Cirkar	62	" 7¼	255	9	14¾
Enam	29	18 ½	94	1	6
	91	18 7¾	350	1	4¾
Feerwajasty			30	4	8½
			380	5	12¾
Quantity of land actualy cultivated from the above.					
Cirkar	60	30 7¼	252	1	4¾
Enam	29	18 ½	94	1	6
	90	8 7¾	346	2	10¾
Feerwajasty			30	4	8½
			376	7	2¾
Quantity remaining waste out of that granted on Puttah	1	10 "	3	8	10
Total Waste from the ayacut including the above	5	20 7	22	8	11¾

2 The full amount of the ayacut have been collected, although the whole extent of it has not been cultivated, that is acres 5. 20. 7 has been left waste assessed at P. 22. F. 8. a. 11¾ while land cultivated for Feerwajasti yielding a Revenue of 30-4-8½ has been brought under cultivation. The whole amount of the Dasawundum Enam consists of 5. 9. 6¾ of which 1-6-7½ is Poramboke or unfit, leaving only P. 4 - F. 12 - as. 15 for the Dasawundumdars as a remuneration for their having formerly dug and keeping it in repair, which being converted

† The Channel.

converted in Rupees would be, Rs. 12 - A. 8 - P. 5. The Dasawundumdars receive 1 Rupee for interest and expenditure, whilst the Government share is 69 in round number without any expense.

3. As Government has received great benefit from this Channel and as more than the whole amount of the ayacut has been for years collected and the remuneration small, the Dasawundumdars deserve some consideration and the attachment of the Enam lands will be, in my opinion, a harsh measure. I would beg to propose that the Channel be repaired at the cost of Government and the Enamdars be allowed to hold their lands and be informed that as the Government have now thoroughly cleared out the Channel, they must, in company with the ryots, keep clear not only the Channel but the spring head. Should the Board under the above considerations think it advisable that Government should clear out the Channel at the estimate stated

	A	b	a	C.	F	A.
Quantity of land held on Puttah from the above ayacut -						
Cirkar	62	"	7½	255	9	14¼
Enam	29	18	½	94	1	6
	91	18	7¾	350	1	4¼
Seervajasty				30	4	8¼
				380	5	12¼
Quantity of land actualy cultivated from the above.						
Cirkar	60	30	7½	252	1	4¼
Enam	29	18	½	94	1	6
	90	8	7¾	346	2	10¼
Seervajasty				30	4	8¼
				376	7	2½
Quantity remaining waste out of that granted on Puttah	1	10	"	3	8	10
Total Waste from the ayacut including the above	5	20	7	22	8	11¾

2 The full amount of the ayacut have been collected, although the whole extent of it has not been cultivated that is acres 5. 20. 7 has been left waste assessed at P. 22. F. 8. a. 11¾ while land cultivated for Seervajastie yielding a Revenue of 30-4-8¼ has been brought under cultivation. The whole amount of the Dasavundum Enam consists of P. 5. F. 9. as. 6¾ of which 1-6-7½ is Poyamaly or unfit, leaving only P. 4 - F. 12 - as. 15 for the Dasavundumdars as a remuneration for their having formerly dug and keeping it in repair, which being

† The Channel.

converted in Rupees would be, Rs. 12. A. 8. P. 3. The Dasavundumdars receive 1 Rupee for interest and expenditure, whilst the Government share is 69 in round number without any expense.

3. As Government has received great benefit from this Channel and as more than the whole amount of the ayacut has been for years collected and the remuneration small, the Dasavundumdars deserve some consideration and the attachment of the Enam lands will be, in my opinion, a harsh measure. I would beg to propose that the Channel be repaired at the cost of Government and the Enamdars be allowed to hold their lands and be informed that as the Government have now thoroughly cleared out the Channel, they must, in company with the ryots, keep clear not only the Channel but the spring head. Should the Board under the above considerations think it advisable that Government should clear out the Channel at the estimate stated

stated in the Engineers letter and let me know their wishes on the subject, I shall communicate the same to the District Engineer.

The Dasawundundars acknowledge that the bed of the channel has silted up higher than the level of the River which requires clearing out and which they attempted and found difficulty in doing so, as the sides fell in as the channel was deepened, that it requires a thorough repair and that upto this time Government had been put to no expense and they have at their own cost completed the small repairs required. I would therefore bring the case for the favorable consideration of the Board.—

Cuddapah District.
Collector's Office, on
Circuit Kuddenpully.
5th July 1858.

(Signed) M. Murray.
Collector.

No. 163 of 1858/59.

To.
M. Murray Esqr.
Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you, that the state of a Dasawundun channel

channel belonging to the village of Chinna Chepully in the Cumlapoor Talook has been repeatedly brought to the notice of this Department by the Ryots and others who hold land beneath it. The Dasawundundars have been constantly requested to execute the necessary repairs to the channel, but hitherto they have done nothing—

2 I lately directed an examination to be made of the present state of the channel, and it has been reported to me that the spring head is quite dry, being choked up with the accumulation of sand and earth, that the great part of the channel itself is filled up with deposit, that the banks have fallen in and that altogether it is in a ruinous and shameful condition.—

3 An estimate has been prepared for its efficient repair, amounting to Rupees 390. This however

I—

14400 Fort St. George 1st September 1858

I have omitted to forward for sanction, as I consider that it would be far more to the interests of Government, and of the people, that the exam of the refractory Dasawundrum dars in should be attached without delay and that then the pairs be executed at the cost of the state. I request that you will be so good as to favor me with your opinion on the subject.—

District Engineers Office, Cuddapah 16th June 1858

/Signed/ J. W. M. Shaw Stewart Lieut.—
District Engineer of Cuddapah.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Murray
Collector—

No. 101.

To, J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

83d No. 3798. From the Collr of Kurnool—

With reference to a marginal note in your letter under date 10th Instant No. 3017 to the effect that a fount of Telugu Type was sent on the 23rd March 1857

14401 Fort St. George 1st September 1858

I have the honor to inform you that no Telugu Type has been received in this Office—

Kurnool, Collectors Office, 17th August 1858.

/Signed/ D. Arbuthnot
Collector—

No. 110.

To, J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

83d No. 3790 From the Collr of Salem— Dated the 26 July 1858

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a copy of a letter from the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr F. C. Carr requesting me to obtain the Board's sanction for enabling him to occupy for building purposes certain lands which he wishes to purchase in the Pootia-curum Moottah in the Tripatoor Talook—

2. There is I believe no objection to Mr Carr occupying the lands specified in his letter and I therefore request the Board will be pleased

to allow him to make the desired purchase—

Salem Collector's Office,
Post Poor 19th August 1858.

/Signed/ W. A. Brett—
Collector—

1.

To W. A. Brett Esqr
Collector of Salem—

Sir, I have the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue under section 63 Regulation II of 1803 to enable me to accuse for building purposes the grounds specified above—

Tripatore,
28th July 1858.

/Signed/ F. C. Carr.
C.S.

Situated in Pachkal Village attached to the Postacarum—
Jury — Moottah in the Talook of Tripatore
Salem District—

Owner— Barber Rattan
Description Poonjah— — — —
Extent— 7 Acres = 4
Survey— Rs A P
8 . 2 . 8—

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. A. Brett—
Collector.

No. 111.

To. J. D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

B.d No. 3791
From the Collector
of Salem—

Sir,

I have the honor to submit Copy of a letter from the late Sub Collector Mr. Grant informing me that he wishes to purchase certain lands within the limits of the Village of Mus: simputty in the Alagapuram Moottah, that he may build a Bungalow on the land, and requesting me to obtain the sanction of the Board for his purchasing the lands under section LXIII Regulation II of 1803.—

2 The land which Mr. Grant proposes to purchase is situated in the Alagapooram Moottah measuring in extent 13 acres — 10 assessed at 21-5-7.

3 There is I believe no objection to Mr. Grant making the desired purchase I therefore request that the Board will give the necessary sanction Mr. Grant did not in the 1st Instance state what quantity of land he wished to take

taken up, or his letter would have been for-
warded at the time it was received.-

Salem Collectors Office,
Dofoor 19th August 1858. } /Signed/ H. A Brett-
Collector-

No. 102.

To H. A. Brett Esqre
Collector of Salem-

Sir,

As there is no house for my accom-
modation in Salem I shall be obliged to build
a Bungalow and am anxious to do so a short
distance from the Town within the limits
of the Village of Wustumpully with this view
I have to request that you will in conformity
with section XIII Regulation II of 1803 so
obtain for me the sanction of the Board
of Revenue, to buy about 10 Acres of land
from the holders of the same with their
full consent and free will-

Salem Sub Collectors,
Office, 16th December 1857. } /Signed/ P. Grant-
Sub Collector

/True Copy/

/Signed/ H. A Brett-
Collector

No. 109.

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 3807
From the Collector
of Salem - - - } for publication in the Boards Office an

Sir

I have the honor to transmit
advertisement relative to the proposed
sale of certain Inoottahs sequestered
for arrears of Revenue for Fasly 1265
and to propose that the sale shall
take place at Salem on Tuesday the
26th October next.

Salem, Collectors Office
Dofoor 19th August
1858. } /Signed/ H. A. Brett-
Collector.

Statements-

14406

Fort St. George

1st September 1858

No. 1. Statement of Mootahs under attachment for arrears of Revenue for Fusly 1268.

to the close of Fusly 1267.

Talooks	Mootahs	No. of Villages	Name of the Mootahdars	Permanent Peishcush assessment of the Mootah	Collection to the close of Fusly 1267	Arrears	Interest	Siblandy Charges	Total	Contage between Columns 5 and 7	Amount of Yearly profit on each Mootah	Amount for which each Mootah may be purchased	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Salem	Annadanapetty	8	Rungasamy Moodly Deceased Shanmoogum Moodly	2	617 8 7	284 9 10	477 5 12 9	116 7 3	78 13 7	497 13 7	62 11 3	343 5 -	20000 - -	The arrears will probably be paid before the day of Sale.
Tripatore	Poottagarum	22	Soodos heebee	1									
			Eulesepha Shaick Enam	2	709 15 1	462 14 1	245 9 1 -	38 8 9	32 - -	252 9 9	32 10 2	202 3 7 11	20000	Do.
			Shaick Enam	3									
Do.	Davastanam	10	Soobba Row		317 2 7	196 1 -	121 6 17	20 12 6	17 12 -	125 4 12 1	38 4 5	104 9 17	10000 - -	This Mootah was attached in the month of March last for the arrears which had accrued up to the first of February Fusly 1267 one Lutchimenarien and and some others filed a suit in the Court for the recovery of their 1/4 right in this Mootah and got a Decree in their favor - a letter dated 7th April 1858 was received from the Civil Judge regarding that the Division of the Mootah might be made according to the decree - The accounts for the Division of the Mootah are now under preparation -
Shenkerry Droog	Shenkerry Droog	3	Sabapathy goundan	1									
			Raja gounden	2	326 12 3	257 4 - -	69 8 3	6 13 11	35 - -	73 12 2	21 3 5	201 3 - -	10000	The arrears will probably be paid before the day of Sale.
			Deceased Ramasamy pillay	3									

14407

Fort St. George

1st September 1858.

Taloko	Mootah	No. of Villages	Name of the Moottahdars	Commencement Balance of the Kists for the	Collections to the close of July 1858	Arrears	Arrears	to the close of fusly 1267 Interest	Tehsildy Charges	Total	Centage deduction Columns 5 & 7	Amount of Kists payable on each Fusly	Amount for which each Moottah may be purchased.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Trichengode	Chitta-lundoor	6	Kylasa-gounden	366 1/32	256 - -	1151 1/32		16 3 3	32 3 3	1200 5 8	31 6 6	631 - -	6000 - -	The arrears will prbably be paid before the day of sale. Do.
Do.	Anymoor	13	Thobasea boyee 1 Lanabayee 2	638 1/4 8	586 1/2 4	519 11 4		2 - - - -	- -	521 11 4	8 2 5	104 1/2 6 1/2	12000 - -	Do.
Daramapoory	Madaykully	6	Sreenevasa Rau	63 1/2 11 10	54 0 1/2 11	131 3 11		1 4 4	- -	132 8 3	19 11 4	196 11 11	1500 - -	Do.
Valumpatty			Venkatramanah 1 Sundrasiah 2 Kaydasiah 3 Krishnasamah 4 Keerannah 5	931 1/2	822 3 11	109 1 8		- - 10 1	- - - -	109 11 9	11 12 1/2	132 1 10	1500 - -	
				328 1/4 1/2	292 1/2	588 1 11		0 5 5 1/2	8	202 14 1	19 5 12 10	11 2 5 4 1/2	- - - -	

Salem, Collectors Office,
Dofsoor, 19th August, 1858.

(Signed) R. A. Bratt
Collector.

14408

Fort St. George 1st Septr 1858.

Advertisement.

1 It is hereby notified that the undermentioned Inostahs have been attached in satisfaction of arrears of land Revenue due by the holder, and that unless those arrears, and further arrears, up to the day of sale, with interest, charges of management & other charges lawfully incurred, shall be previously paid the said Inostahs will be sold by public auction at the Village of Salem in the Talook of Salem, on the 20th day of October next. The sale will commence at 11 Oclock, and the Inostahs will be knocked down to the highest bidder without reserve.

2 The amount payable on the Inostahs for the current fusly, exclusive of the arrears, is 32,814-7-9 as shown in detail in the Statement at foot.

3 Purchasers will be required to deposit 15 per Cent of the purchase money at the time of sale and where the remainder of the purchase money shall not be paid within 30 days from the day of sale, the money so deposited shall be liable to forfeiture.

4 When such deposit shall not be made, nor the remaining purchase money paid up, the Inostahs shall be resold at the exspense and risk of the first purchase.

5 Purchasers having made the necessary deposit shall be placed in immediate possession. But parties deeming themselves aggrieved by the sale shall have liberty to appeal to the Collector within thirty days from the day of sale, and the purchase shall be conditional on the final order on such appeal.

Statement of the Inostahs to be Sold.

Defaulters name	Description of the Inostahs: Talook	Village	Whether Nunjah Punjah &c&c	Inostahs: No	Name	Extent	Assessment Rs a p	Amount exclusive of arrears payable for Current fusly. Rs a p
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ringasawmy Inoodelly Deceased Ellumoogum 1 2	Salem				Annada napully		7617 8 7	7617 8 7
Saudoo beebee 1 Calesha shaik Enam 2 Shaick Enam 3 Soobba Row 4	Tripatore				Poothaga rum		7099 15 1	7099 15 1
Sabapathy gounden 1 Raja gounden 2 Deceased Rama Sawmy Pillay 3 Rylasa gounden	Shenkerry Droog				Dava Stanum		3177 2 7	3177 2 7
Thobasee bayee 1 Senabayee 2	Trichengode				Shenkerry Droog		3266 12 3	3266 12 3
					Chittalum doo		366 15 2	366 15 2
Sreenevasa Row Ramakistniah 1 Vencatramaniah 2 Marydasiah 3 Kristnasawmiah 4 Veerumniah 5	Darampoory				Anny mooar		6381 4 8	6381 4 8
					Madaya hully		671 11 10	671 11 10
					Valum pully		931 15 7	931 15 7
Total							32814 7 9	32814 7 9

Salem Collectors Office
Octoor, 19th August, 1858.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector.

No. 150.

To

J. D. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

3d. No. 3742
From the Collr. of Trichinopoly— } 1. In obedience to the orders passed on Petition No. 1643 of 1858. I have the honor to furnish a report on the claim of the Petitioner to certain Enam lands in the Village of Pandamangalum.

2. A reference to the records shows that, this is a service Manium granted by the Mahomedan Government as far back as Hejri 1157 A.D. 1744 to one Khodavund Shah for the expenses incurred in lighting the lamps of the Mosque at Pandamangalum.

3. In subsequent years the Enam was held by three persons namely Fursseed Khan Grand son of the original

original grantee- Cassim Khan and Esoph Khan, Nephew and uncle of Fursseed Khan- These persons divided the Enam into equal shares.

4. On the 20th September 1854 Fursseed Khan died leaving three sons a daughter and widow- after full inquiry, the Eldest son Khadur Khan was placed in possession of his Fathers share of the Enam lands.

5. Petitioner as the brother of the deceased can have no claim to share in the Enam- He has never been admitted to a share and cannot expect to be allowed now to the prejudice of the Children of the deceased- His claim is inadmissible and to this effect he has had several Endorsements, which he might have produced to the Board.

6. The Original petition is returned.

Trichinopoly, Collectors Office 16th August, 1858. } Signed J. Bird. Collector.

For

Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

No. 195.

To

J. D. Sim Esqre.

Secretary to the Court of Wards.

Sir,

Fort St. George.

B. No. 3827.
From the Acting Collr. of Madura - - - -

A Weaver Tunoomunten Chetty of Madura, sold piece goods to the late Zemindar of Shevagungah to the value of Rupees 2,855-5- and obtained assignment of Paddy for the same, of which he received a quantity equal to 703-2as prior to the death of the Zemindar - The Estate coming afterwards under the Management of the Court of Wards he was prevented from taking more Paddy in consequence of a suit then pending in the Civil Courts for the Estate.

2 He now claims the remainder 2154-3 - with interest at 12 per Cent and produces a Catchat receipt x (admitted by the Guardian) furnished to

x Dated 15th October 1848

Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

to him by the Zemindar's servant whose duty it was to keep accounts of receipts and expenditure of piece goods - The transaction is also supported by the records.

3. I have induced him to accept interest at 6 per Cent and to strike off the odd 231-3a which reduces the claim to Rupees 3,000 for the payment of which sum out of the Funds of the Estate I request sanction -

Madura, 20th August 1858.

Signed A. Wathaway.
Acting Collector

Statement

14413 :

No 1 Statty by the

Purchase be
Amy of Sa
Do. between
Palaunga
Do. on the
Year ____?
Amount ung
pay for a D
against one
of fusce goo
on his own
Zemindar—
Bare for Lyhess

s sum Loss not
the value of debt
of the creditor and
d through the hand
Peramalay Sengan

are wanting
account in Col 3
he item marked
m however is
in the Catchal

14414 Fort St George 1st September 1858.

No 273

To, J.D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Bd No 3701

From the Asst Collector of Tinnevelly - - -

Sir,

I have the honor to trans-

Transmitting copies and translate of papers connected with original Suit No 16 of 1857 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court at Tinnevelly and also submitting a Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in the Suit and requesting the Sanction of the Board for defending the Suit at the expense of Government

- mit for the information of the Board copies and translate of the papers noted in the margin connected with original Suit No 16 of 1857 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen Court of Tinnevelly instituted against Mr Silver and others: and to request the attention of the Board to the fact that the Suit was once struck off the file and subsequently replaced.—

1 Plaint
2 Plaintiff's Vakeels Deposition
3 Mr Silvers letter to the Acting Civil Judge dated 22nd January 1858
4 Do Do dated 23rd Do Do
5 Proceedings of the Acting Civil Judge dated 1st February 1858
6 Principal Sudr Ameens Diary Order dated 11th February 1858.
7 His letter to the Acting Civil Judge dated 9th March 1858.
8 Proceedings of the Sudr Court dated 29th March 1858.

Reference

2 Reference to the foregoing cor-
-respondence, to the orders issued by Mr Silver
in the matter in dispute and to the documents on
which they were founded as
per Margin, will show
that the Defendants
8 to 46, 53 to 62 and
84 to 87, are the Pro-
-prietors of the lands
in Dalapathy Samoodrum in Vully or Ca-
Talook, inclusive of those in litigation
and they are liable for payment of the
tax agreeably to the terms of the Pattahs
received by them direct from Government
whilst the Plaintiff is only an under
tenant of theirs. The Pattahs for the trees
grown on the lands in question written in the
names of the first named party were trans-
-ferred to the Plaintiff by order of Mr Miller
Sub Collector at the time agreeably to Petition
presented

1 Mr Silvers Orders Nos 246, 538, & 591.
2 Petitions presented to the Tahsildar by the Pungalies and Pooragoodies of Dalapathy Samoodrum in October 1830.
3 Do Do on the 2nd November 1830.
4 Order of the late Sub Collectors Mr Miller dated 8th November 1831

presented by the Shares and Subscribers in
1830.

The Board will perceive from
the papers here adverted to, that the orders
of Mr Silver in reference to the matter
in dispute were consonant to law, and
that no act herein of his warrants the
procedure prescribed in Section XXIII
Regulation XXVII of 1802.—

3 The Plaint does not clear-
-ly set forth the nature of the redress at seeks.
nevertheless the Plaintiff complains of the re-
-fusal to remit the tax on the trees pursu-
-ant to the Boards Proceedings of the 25th
December 1855 which he contends exempts
the assessment on trees situated in arable
lands. The Board will have learned
these particulars from Mr Silvers letter of the
15th January 1857 and from mine of the 23rd
Instant

Fort St George 1st September 1858

suffeccently explains that the Subrenters are by no means entitled to the Chaulcorbhaugum which is rather a perquisite allowed to the Shareers by the Government. This allowance coming under the class specifically treated of in Regulation IV of 1831 the Civil Courts have no jurisdiction in reference to it.—

8 The Plaintiff finally complains against Samybhogum having been demanded from him on account of the disputed lands and trees and states that it was paid to the Shareers. Mr Silvers Orders No 246 contained an injunction of attachment with a view to

Fort St George 1st September 1858

to realizing the amount of arrears due by the Sub renters to the proprietory land holders. Samybhogum being included and if the parties aggrieved by this order thought that the property attached under Regulation XXVIII of 1802 had been unjustly seized they should have appealed to the Collector agreeably to the provisions in section IV Regulation V of 1822, and if still dissatisfied they might have appealed to the Civil Court the Plaintiff has entirely deviated from this course.—

I have therefore the

9

honor to submit the draft of an answer proposed

14421

Fort St George 1st September 1858

proposed to be filed in the Suit at issue, conveying a demurrer to the jurisdiction of the Court; and I request the sanction of the Board for defending the suit at the expense of Government. The term for presenting answer expired on the 10th July 1858 The Court re opens on the 12th Instant. an extension of term for one months from that date has been applied for filling an answer.-

Tinnevelly. Collectors
Circuit Cutcherry
Tencausey 11th August
1858.

(Signed) D Mayne
As Collector

Translation

14422

Fort St George, 1st September 1858.

No 1

Translation of a Deposition given before the Principal Sudder Ameen of Tinnevelly by Seadumbranada Moodaliar Vakeel on behalf of the Plaintiff in Original Suit No 16 of 1857, of the file of the above Court.

2. What is the cause of action in the above Suit?

A. Samybogum and Chatoorbagum which had never been paid, having been collected from the Plaintiff who is a Puttahdar, and paid to others who are Puttahdars like the Plaintiff. Remission of Palmyrah tax not having been allowed in the Puttah granted to the Plaintiff, agreeably to the orders of higher authorities which were duly proclaimed to the effect that no Teerwah should be levied on Palmyrah trees when they and the Poonjah land on which they stand belong to the same individual. The Plaintiffs Poonjah land not being included in the Puttahs issued to him for his Palmyrah trees. And properly to the value of Rupees 15, having been causelessly

pauselessly sold by auction on account of Samybogum and Chatoorbagum-the Collector has been included as a Defendant in the Suit, under the following authorities. Viz. Section XXIII Regulation XXVII of 1802.

Circular Order of the Sudder Udalut dated 2d September 1830. The Order dated 24th June 1839, printed in Tamil in 1855. The Revenue Circular Order dated 22d April 1822, printed in 1853. The confirmed practice of the Courts. The Circular Order of the 11th September 1851. 19th December 1857.

/Signed/ T. Seadumbrum.
nada Moodaly
Pleader.

Resumed-

2. Does the order of the Board permit the Teerwah on the said Palmyrah trees, only for that Fusly, or permanently?

A. It orders the remission permanently. It has been the practice always to grant Chatoorbagum, or 1/4 of the Teerwah, to the Puttahdar. That is to say, 1/4 of the Palmyra tax is given to the person

person owing the Palmyrahs under the denomination of "Nadakooroo". The remaining 3/4 Share is divided into 4 Shares, one of which goes to the Plaintiff, as the Puttahdar. This is called Chatoorbagum-The Plaintiff also receives 1/4 of the land tax. The Samybogum is what is paid by the Poorayoodies to the Puttahdars. The Plaintiff is not such a Poorayoody. He is a proprietor of the land. The Collector has been included as a Defendant under Section XXIII Regulation XXVII of 1802 for having collected Chatoorbagum contrary to practice from the Plaintiff who is himself a Puttahdar, and given it to other Puttahdars like himself. Respecting such inclusion I have given a Deposition on the 19th of last Month. The Samybogum and Chatoorbagum were not ordered to be collected under the Judicial powers vested in the Collector.

Remark- After having heard this Deposition read over, the Taker is informed

informed that by the term Puttahdar mentioned above is meant "Proprietor of the land."

8th January 1858.

/Signed/ Seadumbranada Moodaly
Pleader.

Translation of a Plaint filed in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court of Tinnevelly by Seadumbranada Moodaly, Pleader, on behalf of Mayamoothoo Nadan, son of Chinnaccummoonadan of Ellayanainarcoolum, attached to Dalapathy Samoodrum in the Vuttyoor Talook, against

1 J. Silver Esqre Collector of Tinnevelly
2 Konary Row. Head Sheristadar
3 Vengada Soobbier Tahsildar.
4 Sooreyanarayana Pillay. Number Curnum of Dalapathy Samoodrum.
5 Ellayapermaub Pillay. Dr.
6 Cooppan Pillay alias Paramaseva Pillay, before a Curnum, now a Coomtah.
7 Chellaparoomaub Pillay. Curnum. and
122 others, being Ryots and Cavilgars &c.
1 The Punjah-maib-poonjah and Poonjah

Poonjah lands, Wells, and Palmyrah trees situated in the hamlets of Ellayaninarcoolum, Soockeycolum, and Carootha:nairy, dependent on the main Village of Dalapathy Samoodrum, as particularized in the subjoined list, belong to Plaintiff ancestrally, and he is enjoying them under Poolley and Puttah with the right to dispose of the same.

2. Pursuant to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th December 1855, the Sub Collector had published a notification to the effect, that no tax will be levied on Palmyrahs growing on arable lands from fusly 1265. Thereupon, in order to help the Defendants 8 to 44, 53 to 62, and 84 to 87, in their endeavor to defraud the Plaintiff of her property, the Defendants 4. 5. 6 & 7 gave a Kyfeut to the effect that the Teerwah on the litigated Palmyrahs cannot be remitted. The Plaintiff petitioned the Board

on

on the subject, which petition having been forwarded to the 1st6 Defendants under an Endorsement, he did not make a proper enquiry. The Teerwah due by the Plaintiff for Fusly 1264, was paid by him into the Talook Cutcherry accord:ing to the instalments.

3 The 8th Defendants and others had presented a complaint to the 3d Defendant, claiming Chatoorbagum and Samyboguem from the Plaintiff, in oppo:sition to the Huzoor order No. 501 of 1856 to the 3d Defendants, and contrary to previous practice, such payments having never hitherto been made. The 3d Defend:ants held an investigation and sub:mitted a report to the 1st Defendant who issued an order to him to withhold the Puttah for Fusly 1266 from Plaintiff and to cause him to pay Chatoorbagum and Samyboguem.

4. The 3d Defendants having pass:ed the following property belonging to the

the Plaintiff, at the instance of the Defend:ants 47 to 52, 63 to 84, 89 to 129, viz. 2 Gold Earrings valued at Rupees 7, 2 Chemboo valued at Rupees 3, and 2 Bell metal Tattles valued at Rupees 5, all amount:ing to Rupees 15, and sold them by public auction under the orders of the 1st and 2d Defendants, this action is brought against all the Defend:ants.

I therefore pray for a Decree adjudging the 15 Rupees to the Plain:tiff, and interdicting the collection of Samyboguem and Chatoorbagum from the Plaintiff for his 9 Marcals and 4 Measures of Nunjah-maib Poon:jah land, capable of yielding Rupees 3-9-- per annum, at an average of 5 years, exclusive of the "Thava Cooly" or cultivation charges; 14 15/16 Chains of Poonjah land capable of yielding Rupees 18-8-8, and 397 Palmyrah

trees

trees valuing Rupees 24-13-4, and award-
ing that the Puttahs for the above
lands, which are being issued in the
names of the Defendants Nos 46, 52 to 61,
and 84 to 87, be issued to the Plaintiff
together with the Puttahs for the
Palmyrah trees.

The Plaintiff's house being
a thatched one, his Documents were
entrusted to a person who has a
terraced house, but as that
individual is absent now,
the Plaintiff is precluded
from producing them as pre-
sent.

(Here enter list of Property
referred to in Para I.)

Original

Original Suit No 16 of 1857.

Plaintiff. Versus. Defendants.

Mayamoottoomadon — J. Silver Esqr and 128 others.

The Civil Court having in its Pro-
ceedings of the 1st February 1858 fully re-
corded its reason for excluding the 1st Defend-
ant from this Suit, he is accordingly ex-
cluded and his Costs are to be borne by
the Plaintiff.

The amount of this claim being
trifling this action is not such as should be
entertained in this Court. It is therefore struck
off the file. (True Copy)

11th February 1858. (Signed) V. Sashagherry Rayer
Government Vakeel

Translation of Orders issued to the Tahsildar of
Vellyoor Taluke by Mr Silver.

Taheed to Vengadasoobbar
Tahsildar of Vellyoor.

No 246
25th April 1857
Timerelly - - -

I have received your Utzee No 172
with its accompaniments reporting the result of
the

the investigation held by you with reference to the complaint preferred by Yanakeynadan on behalf of Savary mootoonaden & co of Atchambaudoo attached to Delapathy Samoodrum to the effect that his clients had upto last year, paid their Teerwah Money direct at the Talook Treasury, and that the same was refused to be so received in the present year, and with reference to the Pungallies complaint at the Talook on the subject--

You State that the Talook Goomastah Soobbarow and the Peishear were appointed to collect the outstanding revenue of the above Village for Fusly 1265 amounting to the large Sum of Rupees 1500 and odd that your were informed that the Ryots of Atchembaudoo desired to pay their Poonjah and Palmirah tax direct at the Talook Treasury as they had objections to pay the dues to the Pungallies and refused to allow Chaltoorbaugum

that you Sent for them to the Talook Cutcherry and arranged that of the money that might be paid by them, the amount due on account of the Palmyrah tax for Fusly 1265 according to the Puttah inclusive of Chaultoorbaugum, be entered in the Chittah and the remainder carried to their credit on account of the teerwah due by them for the Current Fusly that then Rupees 182-4-4 were paid and the remainder refused that the Shanars stated that deducting the amount due on account of the Jyon teerwah for Fusly 1265 of the money paid, the rest can be credited in the current accounts, and

that

that they will not pay money for the s
Samoodaya Poonjah that the Puttahs for the
Samoodaya Poonjah being in the names of
the Pungallies you proposed that the s
Circar demand should be paid by the
Puttahdars and the property of the Poora
-goodies zafted according to law for the
arrears due by them that the Pungallies
disagreed to this and set forth that if a
Moochilka to obtained from the Poora
-goodies agreeing to pay Chatoorbhagum
and Jamybhogum, their names may be
entered in the Puttah, but that an Order
№ 501 dated 28th November 1856 has been
received to the effect that the amount
of Chatoorbhagum should not be in
-cluded in the amount of Jeerwah for
which

which property is attached.-

A reference to the Order № 501
shews that it was intended to convey that
in attaching the property of the Pungallies
on account of the arrears due by them the
attachment should be confined only to
so much property as will meet the arrears
payable to Circar because those arrears do
not include the Chatoorbagum which is
due to the Pungallies themselves. It does not
mean to direct the exclusion of the
Chatoorbhagum amount in cases where
the property of the Pooragoodies are to be
attached because they are not entitled to
the Chatoorbhagum. Moreover it is obvi
-ous from various accounts that the Pungal
-lies are entitled to Sawmybogum and
Chatoorbagum

14435

Fort St George 1st September 1858

Chautoorbaugum, and one enjoying the same, whilst so the refusal on the part of the Poovagoodies to pay these items is not right. Under these circumstances the Pungallies should make good the Teerwah due to the Circar for the Poonjah lands and Palmyrahs the Puttahs for which are issued in their names and then attach the moveable property of the Poovagoodies for the amount due by them in-clusive of the Sawmybogum and Chautoor-baugum and proceed according to law. In event of the Poovagoodies and puyang Chautoorbaugum where the Puttahs for the Teerwah are issued in their names, the Chautoorbaugum should be included in the amount for which their property is taken under attachment - You are to act accordingly --

I have

14436

Fort St George 1st September 1858

No. 538 8th September 1858 Received

I have received your Purgee No. 419, dated 1st August respecting that the persons in the Village of Da-lapathy Sawoodrums not having fully paid up the assess for Fusleh 1265 and 1268 a balance of Rupees 275-6-6 is due by them and forwarding an account of the moveable property taken under attachment to the extent of Rupees 265-11-8.

You point out that the remarks entered in the above Account as Regards the assess due by Pinnacumoo Mayamoot-too and 4 Others of Ellayamar-colum include also the tax on Palmyrah trees mortgaged to them by Strangers, besides their Puttah lands. But you do not explain whether the mortgagors are Pungallies or Poovagoodies. You are therefore to explain this and then orders will be issued on the subject

N.

A proclamation is herewith sent re-
garding the sale of the moveable
property attached to the value of
Rupees 727 5 1. on account of the
arrears of Rupees 231 15 1. due
by the remaining 38 individuals
You are to publish it agreeably
to the provisions of Regulation
XXVIII of 1802. sell the property
therein referred to. at 11 A.M.
of the 1st October. in event of
the money not being paid be-
fore that date with interest
levee the proceeds in lieu of
the sirkar demand and take
an account to the Purpose
with a Memo of the particu-
of sale either you or the
Mogeendar or Peishcar that
go to the spot and put up the
property in motion. Inhabi-
tants that will not perform
this duty.

No 591
14 October 1857
Simmonds

I have received your Dispa
No 248 dated 27 September 1857

reply to an order sent to you
with reference to the Account
submitted by you to shew the
moveable property attached on
account of arrears of Renums
due in the Village of Dalapa-
thy Lamoodrum for Fasleis
1265 and 1266 requiring you to
explain who are the mortgagees
of the Pilmyrah trees which
are enjoyed by Chinnacumoo
and 5 others of Ellayanaimar
-calum and which were able to
be attached for arrears. You report
that the individuals in ques-
-tion have received in mort-
-gage the Pilmyrah trees of
the Pannaiyadies in whose names
the Puttahs are issued con-
-sequently a proclamation is
herewith sent advertizing the
sale of the moveable property
attached to the extent of
Rupees 43-7-1. on account of
the arrears due by the said
six individuals. You are to
publish

publish it in the said villages all the property on Monday the 2nd of November, in event of the parties not discharging the arrears with interest before that date, to secure the proceeds in lieu of the arrears, and to submit a Memo of the particulars of sale to the Huzzoor. You & the Mazoomdar should be present at the sale.—

Translation of a Petition presented to the Collector of Salem and by the undersigned 52 Pungalies of Salapathy Tamil Town.—

The Pungal tax situated on the Punjah lands attached to the Pattis of Edeyanainarcolum, Pulveilum, Karaithavaiy and Thunagaporum, dependent on our aforesaid Village, and which were planted and raised up by the inhabitants residing therein, ought Appanaithavalan Pungalum, Innallavalan, Vayalinilavalan and

and Kodalamaitthavalan of Edeyanainarcolum, and Kodalaymadavalan, Periyacalaperumalnadan, Marumaitthavalan and Malainaitthavalan of Karaipancolum Pattahs are entered in our Pattahs. We and the above inhabitants have entered into an agreement that these Pattahs should be registered in their names, with reference to the Circar Pyar Kudapos accounts which shall which of the trees belong to which of them, that the Pattahs for the payment of the Peishcush due thereon, deducting the item termed "Manakalee-uoo." or "Nadakoodoo," be issued in their names from Fasly 1248. and that Pattahs be granted to us as usual only for the Circar tax, deducting the Chatarbagum which is due to us; and we herewith present petitions to such effect and pray that Pattahs may be

be issued accordingly. Of the arrears due by us, the said inhabitants agreed to pay Rupees 140. Anjt Tyramanadan and Ramaperumaiahadan Vr. of Edl. Tayamaiarcolum Rupees 908, Vr. Sadalymadanadan and Matamanattoonadan Vr. of Vaipancolum Rupees 58. which sum they may be collected from them by the Circar. Out of the remainder the portions due by each of us respectively may also be collected by Circar

October 1830.—

(Signed) Mahajanums Ramayahlu

and by 51 others

Translation of a petition presented to the Collector of Tanjore and by Thanar Tyramanadan Ramaperumaiahadan Tayamaiahadan and Sadalymadanadan of Tayamaiarcolum and Sadalymadanadan Periyancolumadan Marmanattoondan and Matamanattoondan

of Vaipancolum &c. attached to Valapathy Samoodrum in the above Taluk.

Our Palmyra trees which are situated on the Poonjah lands attached to the hamlets of Tayamaiarcolum Carrathanairy Vaipancolum and Thumcaagapoduvur dependant on the main village of Valapathy Samoodrum and which were planted and reared up by the aforesaid individuals are entered in the Pailly and Puttah issued to the Mahajanums of that village consisting of 52 Shares. The said Mahajanums and we have come to an agreement to have the Palmyra trees in question registered in our names and entered in Puttahs to be issued to us from Fasly 1240. We both parties therefore herewith tender petitions to this effect. Anjt. that the Palmyra trees may be registered in the names of those to whom they are shown by the

14443. Fort St George 1st September 1858.

the Cistern Dus chargeable accounts to belong, that Pattahs may be issued to us for the payment of the Chauttorbaugum and Iyentiemah, debiting the item denominated "Meenacalcarroo" or "Nadakarroo" as per share, and that the Chauttorbaugum due to the Malayaumes may actually be deducted in the Pattahs issued to them for the Circar tax; and we pray for orders accordingly. Of the assessment due by the Malayaumes of the said Village Rupees 140 are payable by us on account of the Palmyra Trees enjoyed by us from Fusly 1239 to 1247 being the Fusly in which amount Cyprum Sundrum and Ramasamy undertook to pay Rupees 90 and Sattanadien, & Ratnamasthanden undertook to pay Rupees 50.

Wherefore pray that a remission may be granted to us of the Palmyra Trees for the above term, and that orders may be issued to the Ameen directing him to give us the Patty transferring the Palmyra trees for Fusly 1249 October 1858. Pookay Ramasamy Ramalingam

E.R.

14444 Fort St George 1st September 1858

Translation of a Petition presented to the Tahsildar of Calacaud by the undersigned 52 Pungalies of Dalapathy Samoo-drum in the above Talook--

The Palmyra Trees situated in the hamlet of Celovanairy and which were planted and reared up by Thimmoo-naden, Samiadanaden, Sarvery royanadien and Junachynadayr &c. are entered in our Pattahs. They and we have entered into an agreement that the Palmyra Trees be registered in their names respectively, with reference to the Fyer edoopoo accounts from Fusly 1240. that the Pattahs be issued in their names for the teerwah thereon inclusive of the Chauttorbaugum, but deducting in their favor the allowance termed Meenakalcarroo" or "Nadakarroo" and that the Pattah for the tax due to Circar be issued to us, remitting the Chauttorbaugum in our favor as usual. We have presented Petitions to such effect and we pray that Pattahs may

be

Fort St George 1st September 1858

be issued accordingly. Of the arrears due by us. Thummoonadien Saumyadeanadon. Seriatyroyanadien and Tunachunadan Pcy are responsible for Rupees 131, which in the Petition presented by them to the Circar they have promised to pay.-

We therefore pray that the amount due by them may be collected by Circar as well as the amount due by each of us.-

2nd November 1830-

(Signed) Mahajanum Thamyapuntlu and by 51 others.

Translation of a petition presented to the Tahsildar of Calacaud, by Thuman Thummoonadan, Samyadeyanadan, Saverroyanadan and Tunatchynadan, Velloury &c in Dalapathyamoodrum-

The Palmyra trees Situated in the Limbet of Velloury attached to Dalapathyamoodrum, and which were planted and reared up by us are

Entered

Fort St George 1st September 1858-

entered in the Pully and Puttah of the Mahajanum, consisting of 52 Punzaliers. They and we have entered into an agreement to have the trees in question registered in our names and the Puttahs for them granted to us from Fusly 1240, and the both parties herewith tender petitions to such effect. We pray therefore that the trees may be registered in our names with reference to the Cirkur Pyeredoa por Accounts, that Puttahs for the Teerwah due thereon deducting the item "Menacaloovoo" or "Nadacoorro" may be granted to us, and that the Chatoodeyum may be remitted in the Puttahs granted to the Mahajanums of the arrears due by the Mahajanums Rupees 131 are payable by us, on account of the Palmyra trees enjoyed by us from Fusly 1237 to 1239 as we have agreed to pay this amount, we pray that it may be paid into the Talook Treasury, that a receipt may be granted for the same, and that the Padly Teerwah accounts may be ordered to be given us-

2nd November 1830.-

(Marked) Thummoonadun and several others-

No-

№ 786

Translation of an order issued to the Tahsildar of Calacaud by Mr Miller, the Sub Collector

Ramasoobbien and 14 others Moorasidars of Dallapatty Samoodrum have presented a Razi-namah at the Hoozoor stating that 16 of their under tenants, Viz.t Ramapermal of Elayanimat-colum, Perumal-amy and Beamen of Cundiapatty Sampadian and Periaraachen of Calaramanay Sri-dalamaden and Sevapanninjan of Shimmoogapoovan Soodalamaden Palavasahone, Solagamoodar-kone, Soodalamoottookone, Palanasadan, Alwarnadan, Alwartaven, and Periapatinatan of Sopanam did not pay the Palmerah tax of = that they have executed an Attachee promising to pay to the Circar the arrears due by them for Fusles 1237 to 1239, that the Puttah for Fusly 1240 should be issued in their names and in the names of their under tenants with reference to the Curnums accounts, and that the "Nadakooroo" should go to the under tenants and the Chadoorbaugham to themselves (Meerasidars)

They

They have likewise represented that the remaining Meerasidars also agree to the above terms and that a Razinamah has been given at the Talook as regards certain of the parties. Consequently the Puttahs for the Palmirah trees of the above individuals will be issued according to the Peredooapoo accounts and you will collect the Palmyrah tax as per the above account deducting the "Nundakooroo" and grant receipts. You are also to collect the arrears of Fusles 1237 to 1239 mentioned in the above Moochelka agreeably

Fort St George 1st September 1858

agreeably to the order already issued to you on that subject and give credit for such Collection. Moreover an account was before sent to you shewing the particulars of the arrears due by 85 individuals, and herewith is a Cadjan account of the arrears due by 25 others amounting to Rupees 211-11-9 and bearing the signatures of the Nattamen and Meerasdars. you are to collect the Money within one week. If any body raises any objection you are to forward him to the Hoozoor forthwith--

8th November 1831.

True Translation

(Signed) D. Mayne

Acting Collector

To

Fort St George 1st September 1858

No 2

No 111.

To. The acting Civil Judge of

Tinnevelly

Sir

I have the honor to bring markedly to your notice the highly improper and objectionable proceedings of Soondaracharloo the Native Principal Sudder Ameen at this Station. and a Court Vakeel named Chedumbaranauda Moodelly--

of Suit No 16 of 1857 on the file of the Principal Sadr etmeens Court having been instituted against me in my capacity of Collector. and against the Head Shoristadar Tahsildar and others by this Court Vakeel Chedumbaranada Moodelly on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above Suit and as the Plaint. copy of which was forwarded to me by the Government Vakeel neither shewed the merits of the case, nor contained grounds for including the public Officers of Government in the Suit- I called upon the Government Vakeel to ascertain the same through the Principal Sudder etmeens Court--

Thereupon

x For the recovery of the sale proceeds of property valued at 15 Rs and for the charge of Cuttahs for some lands Nos in the Plaintiffs names-

3 Thereupon the Government Vakeel forwarded for my information, copy of a deposition taken by the Principal Sudder Ameen from the Vakeel Chedumbarananda Moodaly in which I find that this Vakeel openly insulted me the Collector of this District by citing Section XXIII Regulation XXVII of 1802 for having included myself and others in the Suit and the Principal Sudder Ameen instead of calling the Vakeel to account for this gross proceeding according to clause third Section IX Regulation XIV of 1816 tacitly passed over the same either intentionally or through utter negligence and thus permitted the Court in which he has been placed to be converted into a vehicle of offering insult to one of the Principal European Officers of Government- I trust due notice will be taken of this Vakeels conduct under Section 3 Regulation XIV of 1816.-

4 I also beg to draw your special attention as President of the Civil Court in this District to the Proceedings of the Principal Sudder Ameen in admitting the Plaint and as

insulting deposition above referred to contrary to the provisions of Section IX of the above Regulation and request you will pass such orders as will prevent a repetition of conduct which never would have been allowed in any Court presided over by an European Judge.-

(Signed) J Silver
Collector

Tinnevelly. - - -
22nd January 1858.-

No 112

To The Acting Civil Judge of
Tinnevelly

Sir,

1 Referring to my letter of Yesterdays date regarding the Proceedings of the Principal Sudder Ameen and the Vakeel Chedumbaranda Moodaly I have the honor to inform you, that I have through the Government Vakeel refused to acknowledge the irregular and highly objectionable proceedings in the lower Court or to make any reply pending the decision of the Civil Court on the reference made to them

2 The Government Vakeel was in the first instance directed to ascertain and report the particulars both of the alleged Suit, and of the Proceedings allowed in the lower Court; in view to ulterior steps

Fort St George 1st September 1858

steps being taken. On further consideration h
-ever of the Principal Sudder Ameen having ha
admitted the Vakeels deposition without a
or enquiry I deemed it proper at once to bring
subject before the Civil Court for its review a
decision.-

Tinnevelly 23rd January 1858. } (Signed) J Silver
Collector

No 78

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil
Court of Tinnevelly under date the 1st Febru
1858.

Read letters dated 22nd and
23rd January 1858 from the Collector of Tinne
bringing to notice certain Proceedings of the
Principal Sudder Ameen on a plaint prefer
by Vakeel T Chedumbaranada Moodelly in
Original Suit No 16 of 1857 on the Principal Sudd
Ameens file as contrary to the provisions of Sectio
~~IX~~ Regulation ~~XIV~~ of 1816.

Read also reply dated 25th January
1858 from the Principal Sudder Ameen to the
of this Court relative to the foregoing communica

Read also deposition taken by Prin
Sudder Ameen from Vakeel Chedumbaranad
Moodelly on the 27th ultimo in the subject of the
in Original Suit No 16 of 1857.-

Offic

Fort St George 1st September 1858

1 after a full consideration of the
circumstances submitted for the orders of the Court,
the acting Civil Judge is of opinion that the
Collector ought not to have been included at all
as a Defendant in Original Suit No 16 of 1857 on
the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen.-

2 The Vakeel T. Chedumbaranada
Moodelly sets forth in his plaint, not very clearly,
two accusations; the one has reference to a remission of
Jecrwah on certain Palmyrah trees which Plaintiff
claimed on the strength of an alleged order of the
Board of Revenue bearing date 15th December 1855 and
which claim the Collector disallowed as however the Vakeel
in his Deposition above alluded to does not mention this
interdict as among the reasons for instituting the suit against
the Collector and as the question is clearly one for decis-
-sion by the Board of Revenue the Court proceeds to a
consideration of the second ground of complaint.-

3 This question regards a right
to recover an amount of Sawmyboguem and Chauton.
-baguem which is stated by the Vakeel in plaint
to have originated in a compulsory proferred by
7th Defendant and others evidently as joint Proprietors of
certain land against the Plaintiff who rented and held
the Puttah for the said land and would appear to
have been decided summarily and judicially by the
Collector as a question between Landlord and Tenant
under the authority vested in him by Regulation V of
1822. The provisions of this Regulation as well as of
Act XVIII of 1850 protect the Collector from liability
to Civil prosecution for any act done by him in the
dis-charge

Fort St George 1st September 1858

dis-charge of his judicial duty, unless it can be shewn that he intentionally exceeded his juris-diction.-

Col. 1 and 2 Sec IX Reg XII of 1816 Rule 16 Civil Procedure.

4. The Suit was instituted in the lower Court with reference to section XXIII of Regulation XXVIII of 1802 and with the foregoing enactments as well as Regulation XII of 1816 and the Rules Civil Procedure for his guidance, the court is at loss to understand how the Principal Sudder Ameen could consider himself incompetent to re-mark on the Pleader's conduct before the comple-tion of the Pleadings not only was he competent to do so, but it was his duty to have fronted to the Vakeel, that the Suit was inadmissible against the Collector, or at least that Regulation I of 1823 prescribed the course of proceeding to be observed in regard to the institution of Suits against a Collector or other public Officer for acts connected with his Official duties and that the Section and Regulation quoted having re-ference solely to Suits of a personal nature was inapplicable to the present case in which it was asserted, that no reproachful imputa-tion was intended to be cast on the Public Officer who was included as the 1st Defend-ant- The document should not have been admitted on the lower Courts file until at last any-

Fort St George 1st September 1858

any rate it had been amended and drawn up strictly according to rule.-

5. The omission on the part of the Principal Sudder Ameen to adopt the course prescribed by law, has caused much unnecessary misunderstanding and the Court trusts that he will manifest more care and wise discretion in his future proceedings.-

6. As the Vakeel T. Chedumba-ranada Moodely avers that no disrespect or personal imputation was intend-ed to the Collector the Court is bound to give credit to his Statement- but he is warned that a repetition of conduct so grossly careless and highly reprehensible will oblige the Court to remove him from the Office of Pleader.-

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Collector and Principal Sudder Ameen for their information.-

/True Extract
/Signed/ R. G. Clarke
Actg Civil Judge

Fort St. George 1st September 1858

No. 75

To

R. G. Clarke Esqre

Acting Civil Judge

Tinnevelly.

Sir.

I have the honor to state that the Proceedings of the Civil Court dated the 20th February last have not clearly pointed out as to whether it is the Principal Sudder Ameen's duty to determine on the objections taken by the Collector in the Suits in which he has been included as a Defendant before the Completion of the Pleadings. This point of difficulty therefore perplexes this Court in such a manner that it is unable how to proceed in the Suits above alluded to while the question as to whether

Fort St. George 1st September 1858.

whether a Collector as a party is authorized to pass censure on the Proceedings of this Court held in communication with the higher Court still remains undecided.-

With reference to the 2. observations of the Acting Civil Judge in para 3 of his Proceedings under acknowledgment I beg to submit that as the orders of the 21st November last ruled that should the opposite party (Collector) object to the Jurisdiction the question should be disposed of on the preliminary hearing of the case as provided by Section XVII of the Civil procedure neither the Collector's objections nor the nature of the Suit were laid before the Acting Civil Judge who did not call for the necessary Explanation before referring the Principal Sudder Ameen to the rules of Civil Procedure, and as

at the time of submitting the question as to whether the decision passed by the Sudder Adalut dated the 31st March 1837 published in the Civilians Remembrancer Part II Page 61 stands in force or whether it is effected in any way by Sudder Adalat Circular Order No 126 A I could not persuade myself that it would be disposed of by the Civil Court without submitting the same for the determination of the Sudder Adalut as ruled by that Courts Proceedings of the 11th December 1824 it was thought unnecessary to lay the nature of the Suit No 15 before the Acting Civil Judge unless called for the Same.

3. As this Court passed no order what ever on the merits of the Suit No 16 on the objections of the Collector who preferred no appeal according to the Regulation from this Court, order of the 7th January last I am at a loss to understand, how I have rendered myself deserving of the reprehension recorded by the higher Court in Paras 5 and 7 of its Proceedings under dates the 20th and 1st

February last respectively. If after the higher Court had declared the Competency of this Court, to dispose of the Collectors objections before the Completion of the Pleadings my order notwithstanding held the Collector liable Contrary to the enactments quoted in the highen Courts Proceeding as protecting the Collector from liability to Civil prosecution for any act done by him in the discharge of his Judicial duty then, I can have no Reasonable grounds of Complaining against the animadversion passed by the Acting Civil Judge.

4. As I think that the Reference of all the correspondence in this Case will be attended with Considerable profit in as much as all the points above noticed will Clearly be determined on and the Procedure to be adopted in Cases in which Collectors are included as Defendants elucidated I most Respectfully have the honor to Request that the highen Court will have the goodness to transmit the whole Correspondence in this Case for the ultimate decision of the Superior Court.

Tinnivelly Dl 9th March 1858. Sudder Ameens Court. Signed/ T. Soondracharloo. Dl Sudder Ameen.

Extract

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 29th March 1858.

Read letter dated the 11th Instant from the Acting Civil Judge of Tinnevelly.

1 The Acting Civil Judge herewith submits the Correspondence passed between the Collector, the Principal Sudder Ameen and the Civil Court Relative to a Suit instituted against the Collector in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court.

2 The Court of Sudder Udalut are of opinion that the matter which forms the Subject of this Correspondence has been improperly dealt with by the Acting Civil Judge and that he has not been warranted in the view he has taken of the acts of the Principal Sudder Ameen or in the animadversions he has passed upon that Officer.

3 In the Suit in question in this Correspondence the Collector and certain of his Subordinate Revenue Servants had been made parties If improperly so it was for them to shew

the Same and this by their answers to the Suit.

4 That the Principal Sudder Ameen refrained from Judging of the matter before those answers were put in was only Reasonable and Right. and in so awaiting the answers the Principal Sudder Ameen in fact proceeded Strictly according to the Rules of Procedure laid down for the guidance of the Courts, and in obedience moreover to the Special instructions he had received on the head from the Acting Civil Judges predecessor under date the 21st Novr 1857.

5 The Acting Civil Judge has much stepped out of his own Course in this Case He has Suggested a defence for the Collector, namely that his act Complained of in the plaint was a Judicial one passed under Regulations V of 1822. and then in view of this plea of his own creation, he has proceeded to exercise the functions of the original Court who had passed no Judgement in the Matter and has declared the Collector to have been improperly included.

6 The Judges do not even find a warrant in

in the Correspondence for the Idea of the Acting Civil Judge that the Collectors act had been a Judicial one of the above description. The acting Civil Judge traces it to the Vakeels deposition but the Vakeel has distinctly disavowed that such was the Nature of the act in question

7 The Judges Consider that the Conduct of the Collector has been most improper upon this occasion. He has attributed disrespect towards himself by the Plaintiff and his Vakeel, hastily without seeking explanation and, as it turns out without Reason and he has Called in question the Conduct of the Principal Sudr. Ameen equally without Reason. He himself most unjustifiably has Refused to Subject himself to the tribunal having Jurisdiction in the Suit to which he was a party and as such party, he has addressed the appellate Court by letter a form of Communication the Civil Judge should not have Countenanced for less has acted upon.

8 The Judges accordingly Resolve to Cancel the orders passed in this Case by the acting Civil Judge, and leave it to the Principal Sudder Ameen to take such steps with Regard to the Suit as he may deem fit. it being for any party aggrieved by his Proceedings to appeal therefrom in due form.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ C. F. Chamier
Agt. Register

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. Story.
Civil Judge.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ D. Mayne
Acting Collector

No. 3

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in Original Suit No 16 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Tinnevelly.

Answer in behalf of the Collector and the Defendants 2 to 5. 47. to 52. 63 to 84 and 89 to 107

Tender

under Act XVIII of 1850. the Collector
1st Defendant is not liable to be Sued in
any Civil Court for Acts done by him
in his Official Capacity nor are the
other Defendants liable for Carrying his
orders into Execution. The order passed
by the Collector in the Matter of dispute
between the Plaintiff and the other
Defendants touching Samybhogum
has the force of an Original Decree in
any ordinary Case as declared by the Court
of Sudder Adalut in their Circular
instructions of the 10th March 1853 and
if the Plaintiff be dissatisfied with the
order his Course was to prefer an appeal
to the Civil Court. Chatoombhogum is a
discription of Cowle coming under the
Provisions of Regulation IV of 1801. The
remaining Causes of Civil Action
pleaded by the Plaintiff Viz. Remis-
sion of Tax on Palmyrah trees withhold-
ing a Puttah and Sale of property
for arrears of Revenue are questions
to be Summarily disposed of by the
Collector to enable him to complete
the Settlement of the Land Revenue
The Civil Courts are not authorized

to interfere with the Collectors
Orders to the Ryots. nor Can they
direct a Remission of Tax to be
granted to Complainants. Parties
dissatisfied with the Proceedings
of a Collector in Revenue Matters
Can appeal to the Board of Re-
venue, and Government with
whom Rests the power of deciding
in Such Matters, In Case if the
Plaintiffs Considering that he has
right to the property in dispute he
Can Sue the remaining De-
fendants in the proper Court.

Accordingly under instruc-
tions from Government the ac-
ting Collector Regards the Court to
dismiss the Suit making the Costs payable
by the Plaintiff.

/Signed/ D. Mayne.
Actg Collector

To.

Bd No 3843
From the Chamber of Commerce

To

J. D Sim Esqre
Secretary Board of Revenue

Sir, It having come to the Knowledge of the Chamber of Commerce that an allowance of Five percent is made on Salt exported from Bombay to meet the wastage in transit we have request to Solicit that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to extend the same privilege to Shippers of Salt on this Coast and in making this application the Chamber would beg to support it by the fact that they find their past Exports of the article to Calcutta Show the same per centage of loss in transit.

Chamber of Commerce
Madras 24th August 1858

(Signed) John Vans Agnew
Chairman

Miscellaneous None.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Thursday the 2d September 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government and bound in a Separate Volume.

Bd No 3850
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department Under date the 24th August 1858.

Granting sanction for striking out of the accounts of the Madras Collectorate Rupees 4158 being the uncollected rent of six Toddy shops within the Mount Abkarry limits.

(See No 1181 in Separate Volume)

Bd No 3936
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department — Under date the 1st September 1858.

Resolving to postpone the operation of the new frontier Tariff until the 1st October next

(See No 1199 in Separate Volume)

To

Read the following Papers

No. 46

To

J. D. Sims Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 3776
From the Collr of
Nellore

Sir,

Fort St. George

I have the honor to report on the Petition No. 2170 of 1858 addressed by V. Rama Row late Chitnevis in the Sungum Talook of this District to the Board of Revenue and bearing their Endorsement.

2. The Petitioner was dismissed in the year 1839 by the then Principal Collector Mr. Stone house, for writing to the Assistant Chitnevis of the Sungum Talook to take down depositions in a particular manner, which was considered to indicate that they were to be recorded according to the view taken by him, instead of what the person himself might depose.

3. On the occasion of a vacancy [illegible] disposed

disposed to reemploy the Petitioner, with reference to his qualifications, and the long period he had been out of employ but having referred to the order dismissing him, I did not consider myself at liberty to appoint him to the situation with reference to the Circular Order noted in the Margin.

Bds. C. O. Vol. 1
Page 147. 9th May 1823

Nellore
Collectors Office
18th August 1858

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Collector

No. 48

To

J. D. Sims Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd. No. 3814
From the Collr of
Nellore

Sir

Fort St. George

I have the honor to report

herewith returned on Petition No. 2160 of 1858 addressed by Syed Ahmud, late Nevesindah of the Valooconde Cottaur in this District to the Board of Revenue, and bearing their Endorsement.

2. The Petitioner with certain others servants was charged with having fraudulently

fraudulently sold to certain Lambadies 3/4 Garce of Salt, for Rupees 420 out of the Estimated Salt heaps of their Cattoo. He was committed to the Criminal Court, and at first suspended and ultimately dismissed the service for complicity in this Offence.-

Vide Return for the Quarter ending 31.st December 1857

3. The particulars of the case in which the Petitioner was concerned will be found in the Reports of Criminal cases determined by the Court of Sud.r Dewanee Udalut Volume VIII. March.d Page 94. The Sessions Judge considered the whole of the Prisoners Petitioner 1.st Prisoner; included to be guilty of an attempted extensive fraud against the Government, but owing to a difference of opinion between him and the

Syed Ahmuds case

Mostly Sud.r Ameen, regarding the Guilt of the 3 first Prisoners the case was submitted

submitted to the Higher Court.-

4. I transcribe a portion of the remarks of the latter Court affecting these Prisoners, which seem to me if taken in connection with the circumstances of the Case to leave no moral doubt of their guilt.-

By the Court

× × × ×

The illicit sale took place within about a Mile of the Village of the above prisoners. Many persons with many bullocks had to be engaged in the matter, and for a considerable period of time, in part at least during day light. It is scarcely credible that the sale could have been carried on without the cognizance of these prisoners, nor that the inferior Officers at the Salt pans would have ventured to engage in so open a violation of duty without the Shelter of their superiors, thus it may be said on the spot. That there was such guilty

guilty participation on the part of the said superiors is further indicated by their not having in any way exposed the affair, still, as these considerations depend upon surmise, unsupported by any tangible evidence and while probably well founded may possibly not be so I cannot thereupon convict them of taking part in the crime.-

I therefore acquit the first, second and third prisoners, and direct that they be discharged I do not require security of them, not considering the Offence charged of a class to require that precaution. If guilty of the crime, they have been tempted thereto by the facilities afforded them by their Official position, and being discharged from Office, the opportunity that they should commit a similar Offence is gone.-

× × × ×

5. It is to be observed that the Court of Foujdaree Udalut took for granted that these prisoners being finally dismissed from Office, the opportunity that they should commit a similar crime was gone.-

6. The Main fact of the illicit sale of a large quantity of unstamped Salt valued at 450 Rupees, at the Cottaun in which Petitioner and his fellow prisoners were employed as Government Servants, has not been controverted and with the exception of the 1st 2d and 3d prisoners the Chief Ministerial Servants all other prisoners including peons and other inferior Servants of the Cottaun, have been sentenced to different periods of imprisonment.-

7. Under these circumstances justice and

and good policy in my opinion alike forbid
the restoration of the Petitioners to Office.

Vellore
Collectors Office
21st August

/Signed F. B. Elton
Collector

No. 241

To
J. D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bo. No. 3526
From the Collector of
Chingleput
forwarding

Sir,

Referring to the accompanying Petitions noted in the Margin

Petition No 3019 of 1857 bearing Endorsement dated 10th October 1867 — presented to the Board by Ramanja Pillay

Petition No 1196 of Dt 18th December 1867 — Curnum of Morapauk

Petition No 2039 of 1868 17th July 1868 — Kunn in Carangooly

I have the honor to state that the Petitioner and his two brothers on the one hand and one Rungapillay on the other, are cousins, possessing equal shares in the Curnumship of that Village. The Petitioner brothers having requested that their shares might be separately registered in their names

Sabaputty Pillay
Arnachella Pillay
Pillay

names. Mr. Maltby then Acting Collector refused complying with their request, and declared that the Petitioner was alone entitled to enjoy the emoluments of the Office, as the head of the family, and the registered Curnum of the Village though the brothers might receive a portion of his income from him in the shape of Sowcary Chogum according to the custom of the District. The Petitioner, however subsequently expressed his inability to the Head Assistant Collector. Mr. Phillips to maintain himself out of his Curnum-ship and to pay his Cousin the shares of the emoluments which belonged to him, and produced a document executed in his favor by his brother Sabaputty who bound himself to pay him a Sowcary Chogum after discharging his Cousins-

dues

dues in full on condition of the Curmumship being registered in his name. This was accordingly done by an order issued to the Tahsildar on the 26th June 1848 but the Petitioner afterwards begged the register might be cancelled, and himself reinstated in the Office as his brother had failed to pay him his promised saummybhogum and kept the extent of his Emoluments for Fusslies 1256 and 1257 from his knowledge. The matter was then referred to the Tahsildar who reported that a balance of

Callums
83 of Grain and Rupees 7–13–7 in money

which Petitioners had left unliquidated to his brother and cousin at the period when he transferred the Curmumship or towards the end of Fusly 1255 had been reduced by his brothers enjoyment of the emoluments in Fusslies 1256 and

Callums
57 to 66–5 of grain and Rupees 7–a–11 in money

Mr. Phillips therefore refused compliance with Petitioners application, till such time as there was no balance remaining subsequent to this order which was passed on the 12th February 1849. The Tahsildar was called upon to report whether any and what progress had been made in wiping off any more of the balance, and he reported in reply that owing to difficulties thrown in the way of Petitioners brother actually enjoying the emoluments he had been unable to discover what they had amounted to as the Puttamaneegars and others declared that both Petitioner and his brother had written the accounts not separately, but jointly every year though there were some Puttahs which bore the signature of Petitioners brother only, and led to the inference that he must have received the Fees.

Under these circumstances it is for the Board to say, which of the brothers is to be kept in the possession of the Curmumship, and if the younger brother whether he is to be compelled to

pay

pay Petitioner his share of the emolumen
or whether the parties are to be left to ad
their disputes without the interference of
the Government servants in consequence of
their imperfect accounts.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapett, 6th August 1858

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

No. 100

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

B. No. 3343
From the Collector of
Salem.

Adverting to the Boards endorse
ment on petition, No. 1146 of 1858 calling for
further explanation than what was give
in my endorsement under date the 15th. March
last, I have the honor to submit the
following report.

2. Petitioner complains that a certain land
which he had acquired by purchase several
years ago was unjustly made over to certain
parties in Fusly 1266 in preference to
his own claims, and prays that orders
may

may be sent to the Collector to put him in possession
of the land.

3. The claims of the petitioner relates to
a poonjah field, consisting of 9 acres assessed
at Rupees 11-1-8 This land was purchased by the
petitioner in Fusly 1258 and cultivated by him
in that fusly 1259 the petitioner having left
the land waste from indigent circumstances
the assessment was remitted to him at the settle
ment of that fusly and the land according
to the usual course reverted to Government.

4. In fusly 1266 an application
was made for the land by one Vasantarama-
chary and another. The petitioner apparently
heard of this application and then presented
a duricast for the same land to the Tahsildar
and asserted his sole claim to it on the ground
that he had purchased the same several years
ago. The Tahsildar received a third application
also for this land from some of the ryots
of

of the Village in which the land is situated &
for building purposes Before these several applica-
tions would be disposed of petitioner without &
permission entered upon the land and cultivated
it.-

5. The Tahsildar entered the cases
in the Takaran book for fusly 1266 for the final
orders of the Collector with a recommendation
that a puttah might be issued in the name
of the petitioner for so much of the field/5
acres/ as was cultivated by him for fusly
1266 and that from fusly 1267 the entire
field consisting of 9 acres might be divided
between the first applicants and the &
ryots of the village.-

6. According to this recommendation
the Head Assistant Collector who settled
the Talook of Kistnagherry in fusly 1266
issued a puttah in the name of the petitioner
for 5 acres for fusly 1266 and ordered
that

that the same 5 acres should be made
over from fusly 1267 to the first applicants
viz Varsantarama Chetty and another &
The remaining 4 acres were given to the
ryots of the Village for building houses
as it appeared that some of the ryots &
of the Village had no house ground and
that there was no other waste land &
available for building purposes.-

From the foregoing &
7. circumstances the Board will perceive
that the claim of the Petitioners to the
land in question is not good.-

Salem
Collectors Office
Ofsoor
24th July 1858

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Collector

Tahsildars

14483 — From St. George — 2d September 1858

B°. N°. 3808 — Tabular Report on the lapsed Enams in the District of Salem.

1 Given Number	2 Taluk and village extent and value of the Entire Enam	3 When and by whom granted the name of the original grantee as shewn in the Sunnud or other Document in support of the grant	4 Nature of the grant Charity or Service Whether made for life or perpetuity by the grantor	5 Name of the last holder deceased and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee	6 Shares enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the others — Number —	7 Family left by the deceased with name and age of the heirs for their circumstances and the means of subsistance possessed by them	8 Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.	9 Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	10 Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Denkencottah Taluk Caraida Hully	Note known when and by whom granted No Sunnud for the coming In the Survey account the name of Rajgur is entered as the Enam dar..	For the Service	Hourie and Munyance the last holders The former is widow of the Original Enamdar and the latter is his widowed Daughter in law Both died within the last 6 months	The deceased enjoyed the entire Enam	No one	Read Urzee from the Tahsildar of Denkencottah dated 25th April 1858. 1864 with its enclosures. This Enam was granted for giving notice once a year to the Public when a certain Religious ceremoney was to be performed It is not known when and by whom it was granted No Sunnud is forthcoming In the Survey account it stands registered in the name of one Rajgur who died several years ago. After his death his adopted son Summulay enjoyed the Enam Summulay died in Fusly 1256 leaving a widow named Unky and his widowed mother Hannee These two widows enjoyed the Enam in equal shares and caused the service to be performed by one Moosreyen (son in law of Unky) giving him a Moiety of the emoluments of the Enam as remuneration for his trouble Both these widows died within the last 6 months. It does not appear to me that this Enam can be recognized as service enam such as enams granted to Pagodas Vizt. No importance is attached by the community to the custom referred to and there is no legal heir left by the last holders I therefore recommend that the enam be resumed.. /Signed/ H. A. Brett Collector		

Extent — Assessment Jodigi
A. G. S. — Rs. 9. 8 Rs. 2. 8
Dooyies — 3 — 12 — 5 — 9 — 6
— 3 — 32 — 10

Salem Collectors Office
Ofsoor 18th August 1858

14484

Fasli 1036 Sa. George 2d September 1858

B.e No. 3809 Tabular Report of the lapsed Enams in the District of Salem

Case Numbers	Taluk and village extent and value of the entire enam	When and by whom granted the name of the original grantee Summonds or other Documents in the possession of the grantee	Nature of the grant Charities or service If the latter the duties performed by the grantee	Name of the last holder his or her decease and the relationship if any which he or she bore to the grantee	Shares enjoyed by the deceased and the other shares by the Members	Family left by the deceased and mainage of the posterity this circumstance and the names of such persons left by him	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Daramapoory Taluk Extent Afslummk Fs. 1036 S. G. 9 Rs. 4 8 Short Cultivation 2-39-12 a — 1 — 2 Jarie Taluk Kunjus — 9 — 2 a — 12 — 8 a — 13 — 10 5 — 13 — 1 6 — 11 — 3 4 — 8 — 14	It is said that this Enam was granted by Jagadeva Row but not known when the Enam was granted As the surveyor count the name of Doorgum Vencatasim is entered as the Enamdar No Summud is forthcoming	Charity	Lutchmamal was the last holder she is not closely related to the original grantee about 6 years ago this Lutchmamal died	The deceased enjoyed the entire Enam	None	This Batavurty Enam was registered at the survey in the name of one Doorgum Vencatasum It is not known when and by whom it was granted It appears that the Enam was never in the enjoyment of the Original grantee or his descendants but that one Vencata ramien a relative of the original grantee this his daughter was for some time in possession of it The way in which the enam came into the possession of this person is not known This actual holder of the Enam Vencataramien died about 20 years ago leaving a widow named Lutchmamal who enjoyed the Enam for some time about 6 years ago Lutch mamal died without heirs The Enam lands have since been left waste. It also appears that the lands was uncultivated during the life time of Lutchmamal the last holder.- As the Enam is now unclaimed I recommend that it be resumed.- Signed H. A. Brett Collector	No. 3344

Salem Collectors Office
Dofsoor 18th August 1858

Fort St.e George 2.d September 1858

No 44

To

G. J. S. Forbes Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St.e George

Bd. No. 1069
From the Sg.t Coll.r
of Tanjore.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 1.st Decem.ber 1856. No 4006 directing the transfer of the Devastanum Tarriff lands to the Trustees of the Pagodas to which they belong.

2. The arrangements necessary for the transfer were commenced in the Months of May last, but considerable difficulty has since been experienced in inducing the Trustees to assume the Management of the lands in question.-

3. Delays and discussions have arisen the point of who for the future, should receive the puttahs of the Pagoda lands and this has been the great cause of contention between the Punchayets and the Poraccoody ryots The

Fort St.e George 2.d September 1858

The latter as cultivating Tenants on the Estates have heretofore concluded their engagements direct with the Government Officers, who had the management of the Tariff lands, and it was to these Poraccoodies, in the Field assessed villages that Puttahs have been annually given.-

4. The Punchayets maintained that as the Trustees of the Pagodas to which the Meerassee of the lands belongs, they were entitled to receive them The Poraccoodies, on the other hand, urged their right as that of men who have always had direct dealings with the Government.-

5 As however the transfer of the endowments appeared to me necessarily to imply the exchange of Puttahs and Moochilikas with the Pagoda representatives as the Meerassidars they were obviously entitled to receive the annual Puttahs and to them accordingly I have arranged that they shall hereafter be given.-

6. The Subjoined Statement shews the progress made in effecting the transfer.

Taloor

Talooks	Total number of Pagodas	Villages	Extent of Lands	Transferred Pagodas	Villages	Extent of Lands	Remaining Pagodas	Villages	Extent of Lands
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Trivady	20	25	157	20	25	157	"	"	"
Papanasam	13	15	30	13	15	30	"	"	"
Trivalore	18	24	100	18	24	100	"	"	"
Keevalore	57	95	619	56	90	464	1	5	155
Tintevapoondy	22	35	607	18	28	261	4	7	346
Puttoocottah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Munnargoody	15	24	295	14	22	282	1	2	13
Kunnillum	14	50	458	14	50	458	"	"	"
Codavasil	6	14	72	6	13	60	"	1	12
Myaveram	29	59	667	29	59	667	"	"	"
Coottallum	40	62	510	40	62	510	"	"	"
Combaconum	17	64	538	16	60	508	1	4	30
Darallum	28	88	871	28	88	871	"	"	"
Sheally	18	78	1146	15	65	969	3	13	177
Velungamam	14	36	114	14	36	114	"	"	"
Total	311	669	6,184	301	637	5451	10	32	733

7. The few cases remaining unadjusted from causes beyond official control, are receiving my attention and I hope to be able before long to report the complete severance, so far as the landed endowments are concerned, of the last link of connection between the Government and the Native Religious Institutions of this District. A translation of the Mowchilika taken from the Trustees is enclosed.

8. As a necessary consequence of the final surrender of much of the Devastanum Property the establishment heretofore maintained for its management and paid out of the Devastanum Income, has of course been reduced and will be totally discontinued on the completion of the transfer in the few cases still unsettled

The

Combaconum Singerkhanah
Negapatam Do.

9. The question of the future maintenance of the two Singerkhanas in this District now kept up from Dewastanum funds, required notice. They were sanctioned by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th June 1859 at a Monthly cost of 50 Rupees each payable from the above source. Though such institutions are from their nature unavoidably open to abuse, I am reluctant to propose their discontinuance in consideration of the long period of their existence and the relief they must undoubtedly have afforded to the destitute poor and other deserving objects.

10 The contribution to the combaconum Singerkhanah is paid out of the grain allowance of the Tribhovanum Pagoda and may I think continue pending the orders of Government on the report lately submitted regarding Pagoda allowances generally.

To Board
2d Feby 1858
No 22

11 The payment, however, to the Negapatam Institution being made from the Tarriff land income of the Valoor Pagoda, must cease in consequence of the abandonment of our management of those lands.

Extm. of Cons. 3d Feby 1858 No 146 Pgs 14/4

12. The Government have lately directed that a moiety of the pay of the Dresser and Wardcooly attached to the Combaconum Singerkhanah should be defrayed from the Tanjore Chuttrum Funds, but I apprehend that this source of income will not bear the additional burden of the cost of the Negapatam Poorhouse and therefore have the honor to solicit instructions as to its future maintenance.

Tanjore
Trivalore 4th March 1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Dy Collr

Enc: 1

Moochilka executed to the Honorable Company's Government by the Directors or Trustees of the Pagoda of in the Talook or the 1857.

As per instructions from Government under date the 11th Sept. 1856 received with the Board Proceedings of

of the 1.st December.r 1856 we have received in our charge, the Nunjah, Poonjah, swarnadayem lands composing, or situated in, the Tarriff entire Village of A B. C D and Dgul Villages of E E G h. belonging to the said Pagoda, together with the trees V.z we engage from fusly 1267 to conduct all the details connected with the cultivation V.z of the said lands and to collect from the Ryots the assessment whether in money or kind, payable for Nunjah, Poonjah Tope and other cultivation according to the rates that have been fixed and collected hitherto and after paying the circar dues to apply the remainder exclusively to the use of the said Pagoda We further agree to carry into effect, if required by the circar, any Modifications of the present

present rates or modes of assessment, which the Government may hereafter introduce into their own Villages.-

2. We will not interfere in the Collection of the balances due on those lands from the renters V.z upto fusly 1262. As regards those of Fuslies from 1263 to 1266 we will not demand such portions of them as are objected as Paid Balances and we will collect the remaining unobjectionable arrears as shown in the accounts which may be furnished to us by the circar.

3. Of the above mentioned Villages and lands Topes V.z some are under Amany and some are rented With regard to the latter we hereby bind ourselves to act up to the terms of the Moochilikas executed to the Government by the renters, and to the precedent hitherto observed.

4. The Government will as heretofore continue to levy for themselves the loom tax, house rent farming

forming their Notarpha Revenues.

5 We shall execute the required Coodee Maram at the expense of the Pagoda and supply workmen &c. for the execution of such as are to be done at the Public cost in the said Villages in the same manner as is done by the Meerasdars of other Tasuff Villages.

6. We will grant to the Parcheekoodies in the Pagoda Villages the same privileges and rights which they have been hitherto enjoying according to the practice of the Village and of the District, nor shall we oust them from the land which they cultivate so long as they continue to pay regularly the Dewaswom on such land.

7. We hereby bind ourselves and those who may succeed us in the charge of the Pagoda, to observe the above stipulations & acknowledge that any infraction of them will subject us to be deprived by Government of the management of the said Pagoda.

8. We will not sell or mortgage the said lands We further engage to keep accurate accounts of each years produce as is done by the other Meerasdars and to produce them whenever the Circar may call for them and to pay regularly the Circar dues in default of which the balance outstanding against us shall be recovered by the process of distraint and sale, according to the provisions of the Regulations

Translation
Signed H. D. Phillips
Coll.

No. 169

To

J. D. Sinn Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

B. No. 3811
From the Coll. of Tanjore 3
Govt No. B. No.
300 of 1858 - 28 of 1858
882 of 1858 - 81 of 1858

In forwarding the Petition Marginally noted Soobbarayen of Tritoore poondy I have the honor to state that the Petitioner has declared in visiting before the Tahsildar of Mannargoody that owing to a compromise of the matter in dispute he no longer needs the permission of Government to institute a suit for the recovery of the Shrotriem in question.

Tanjore . . . . .
Collectors Office . .
Nagapatam . . . . .
19th August 1858. . .

Signed H. D. Phillips
Coll.

No. 188

To

J. D. Sinn Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

B. No. 3735
From the Ag. Coll. of Madura 1

Sir, With reference to Para 4 of the Min: Cons: of the 6th January 1854 Revenue Department No. 28 which accompanied the Board's Circular of the 30th Idem I have the honor to submit a Memo: of the English Records to be destroyed with explanatory remarks appended to each Item and request the sanction of the Board for their destruction as being useless and unnecessarily occupying space in the record room.

2. I have this day examined the records and find them in good preservation and arranged in a very orderly and convenient Manner.

Madura . . .
14th August 1858

Signed A. Hathaway
Ag. Coll.

Memo.

14495

From Fort St George

2nd September 1858

Encl: No 1

Memo Shewing the description of English Records recommended to be destroyed in the district of Madura

No	Description of Papers	No of Bundles	Remarks
1	Monthly Accounts containing Vouchers of the pay of the Establishment of the different Departments and Sudderwanee Vous for each Months from 1793 to 1840	76	Accounts for certain Months are wanting The Monthly Journals an account current and accounts Particulars supercede the necessity of these Vouchers.
2	Diary Bundles of different Collectors for the years 1798 1799, 1800, 1801 and 1802, 1805, 1806, 1807, 1808, 1809, 1810 and Blank Nos	2	Containing the abstract of the Urzees addressed by the various Native Officers to Collectors and their orders passed thereon
3	Statements and accounts of certain Davastanums of the Ramnad Zemindary when the Estate was under attachment in 1826.	2	Prepared for the information of the then Collectors consequently they will be of no use any longer
4	Statements shewing the cultivation of both Nunjah and Punjah of the Ramnad Zemindary when under attachment	2	
5	Do of Sea Custom Import and Export duties collected at the Sea Ports in Ramnad from 1802 to 1815	2	Nos 5 to 9 These were out of order several Statements are wanting Moreover they are of no use.
6	Do Do from 1822 to 1845 Sent Monthly	2	
7	Do of accounts and account current from 1807, 1821 and Quarterly from 1st December 1810 to 10th Feby 1811 and from 1st January 1825 to 30th June 1825	1	
8	Letters received in the Sea Custom Department from 1815 to 11th May 1827	1	
9	Do Sent from 1815 to 1820		
10	Abstract translation of Urzees and Yadashts Vous to Y from different Tahsildars	2	Prepared for the information of the Collectors

No.	Description of Papers	No. of Packages	Remarks
11	Soonamany Books or Memo prepared for the private information of the Collector on the occasion of the Settlements from Fusly 1206 to 1215 and from 1254 to 58	2	Prepared for the information of the Collectors at the time of distributing Puttahs
12	Periodical returns of Cultivation comparative Statement of cultivation and Statement of Vajsool Bakee	8	Do for Do to enable them to check the accounts Submitted by the Tahsildars to the Hoozoor
13	Quarterly Statement of Stamp Papers and Land from 1809 to 1840.	2	
14	Do of Salt from 1828 to 1840	1	
15	Vaccination Returns from 1805 to 1840	5	
16	Apeamah Quarterly from 1815 to 1840	1	
17	Estimate of repairs of Devastanums and Choultrums from 1841 to 1846.	1	
18	Monthly and Quarterly returns of cases tried by the Collector under Regulation 9 of 1822 from 1825 to 1840	1	
19	Quarterly returns of revenue Defaulters from 1813 to 1836	1	
20	Statement of assets of Sayer and Peishcush rents from Fusly 1237 to 1249	1	
21	Statement of Balances outstanding more than 15 years from 1819 to 1825.	1	
22	Detailed Mayenzabitahs from 1857 to 1840	1	
23	Reports of works of Public utility constructed by Individuals at their own expense from 1836 to 1840.		
24	Statements of receipts and disbursements of Hindoo & Mahomedan places of worship & other religious institutions		
25	Do of Works performed by poor ryots as per sanction of Government dated 8th November 1836.		

14497 From St. George 2d September 1858

No.	Descriptions of Papers	No. of Packages	Remarks
26	Statements of charges incurred for feeding poor people in Fusly 1243 and 1247.		
27	Do. of Goods recommended for confiscation from 1800 to 1810	3	Nos 12 to 33 are not at all required they are therefore to be destroyed.
28	Do. of distribution of proceeds of Articles confiscated from 1815 to 1835		
29	List of prices of Materials daily and Monthly hire of artificers coolies Vve of the Madura and Dindigul from 1827 to 1830.		
30	Statement shewing the quantity and value of different kinds of Imports and Exports at the Frontier Chowkey from 1812 to 1818.		
31	Canadian Pensioners and Prisoners receipts in duplicate from 1822 to 1850.	2	
	Estimates. { Comparatives, Prospectives, Regulars } from 1820 to 1840	2	
32	Tariff of piece goods for Fusly 1232 and 1237 sanctioned by Government on the 20th September, 1822 and 17th September, 1827.	1	
33	Miscellaneous Papers such as Tamil and hasta written written occasionally by one Department to another	8	
		131	

Madura
14th August 1858

Signed A Hathaway
Ag. Coll.r

To

11498 Fort St. George 2d September 1858

Bo No. 3818

From the Collector of Madura

Read

Forwarding an amended Notification of the Madura Exhibition of 1859.-

To

11499 Fort St. George 2d September 1858

No. 259

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No. 3517
From the Ag. Collector of Tinnevelly

With reference to para 8 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th April last, which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 28th idem, I have the honor to forward a Statement explaining the meaning and Origin of the Extra Cesses Collected in certain villages on Palmyrah trees under the designation of "waree" and "Muttum" enumerated in the Statement forwarded with Mr Silvers letter of the 26th December 1857.-

Tinnevelly Coll.te
Circuit Cutcheery
Tencaussee
31st July 1858

Signed D. Maguire
Ag. Collector

Memo.

Fort St George 2d September 1808

11500

Memo shewing the extra cesses collected in Fusly 1266 on Palmira & trees under the disignation of Varee and Mutturn in certain Villages in the District of Tinnevelly.-

	C Rs	a
1 Varee or Mutturn levied on every 10 Pons of taxe paid	2043	6
2. Kanni kay levied on every 10 Pons of taxe paid	143	10
3 Dittum of fixed "Kannikay"	21	14
4. "Nazur" levied on every 10 Pons of taxe paid	2897	10
5 "Thalaxeer" on every 15 Pons of taxe paid	4	5

This means a Taxe or Premium It was paid by the Meerasidars to the Talook Shroffs, on Orders to have the Money paid by them on account of their Rists shroffed without difficulty This originated during the Nabobs Government.

This means a present given by inferiors to their superior on the occasion of their visiting them this however appears to have been given as a bribe by the Ryots of Villages to the Curnums for the purpose of gaining their favor and receiving a Kind consideration from them This originated in the Nabobs time.-

A present given by the Heads of the Villages on the occasion of their visiting the authorities during the Nabobs Government

A fee paid formerly by the Meerasidars and Ryots, on their attending at the Huzzoor during the Summabundy, to the accounts and other servants of the Huzzoor to ensure their interest and influence in the Settlement of the accounts subsequently the amount was under the Nabobs rule

carried

carried to the credit of the Government

Fees Collected by the Poligars from the

6 Deshacanul on every 10 Ds. —— 29 3 4 Ryots on account of the Village watchers The Poligars paid only a part to the Cavilgars pautchimey and appropriated the remainder to their own use. These fees were called Deshacanul, being collected on account of the watchers entertained at the place where the collections were made.

Items Nos. 7 8 and 9 belong to the same

7 Arakul canul or 1/8 of the terwah collected in excess —— 11 1 2 class as those preceding and are explained

8 Desha canul Kalwaney or 1/4 of the

Deshacanul Collected in excess a 13 7 as follows The Ryots of certain villages

9 Deshacanul Sawney begum —— 1 a formerly relinquished their right to certain Palmirah trees in favor of the Poligars for the protection of their Ryots property After the assumption of the country the above trees having become the property of Government excess in addition to the regular tax has been levied from the Ryots in recognition of the right of Government to the above trees This additional impost is in some instances regulated at 1/8 or 1/4 of the assessment on each tree and in others at a fixed sum

10 Deshacanul Cuttoogoottah 3 11 5 Collected by the Poligars from the

11 Deshacanul —— 80 1/2 8 Ryots of certain villages for the purpose of watching their property This was paid by the Ryots as if rent.

84 5 1

Fees

12 Cavul fees ——— 41 127

13 Dr. Cuttoogoottage ——— 9 120

Fees paid by the Ryots of certain Villages to Cavulgars for their services. These fees are carried to the credit of Government in respect of those villages only in which the village watchers are paid for their service.-

14 " Kraputhoo of Trichendoor Ninar 5 4 10

The village of Trichendoor, the present headquarter station of the Dungamaud Talook in which the Ninar Pagoda is situated was called "Ninar Karaputhoo" This was formerly a Cuttoogoatta village and the Palmyrah trees in it were climbed by the Shanars of another Village called Cootheraymooly on receiving 1/10 of the produce of the trees climbed by them. These Shanars were paying a certain tax to Government under the denomination of "Ninar" Karaputhoo" to secure their right to climb the Palmirah trees in the said village This fees is now collected by Government from the Shanars of Cootheraymoly village though they do not enjoy the exclusive privilege of climbing the Palmirah trees in Trichendoor.

15 Parier poroopoo and Ditta poroopoo 6 25

Certain Palmirah trees were granted as Enam to Certain Pariahs in the Village of Nullor in the Dungamaud Talook for the services rendered by them in the village The favourable assessment paid by these Pariahs to Government is called Parier and Dittoparoopoo

14503

Fort St. George 27th September 1858

16 Varee Vuttums levied on Deshaca and Teerwah ... 4 9 10

Premiums paid by the Ryots, of certain Villages Deshacanul Teerwah to the Servants of the Poligars in order to have the received without any objection Paid by the Ryots of the Cusbah of Vullayoor for the privilege of cutting Palmirah leaves during the corr season (October, November and December) This was in addition to the tax paid by them for cutting the leaves in the Months of June and July, which is the proper season for it.

17 Oalay pattom Dittum ... 21 3 4 / 5318 3 5

Tinnevelly Circuit Cutcherry Tenecoursey 31st July 1858

/Signed/ D. Mayne Ag. Collector.

N.B. After the

14504

From C. R. George Esqr. Sub Collector Tinnevelly 31st August 1858

To

C. D. Skinn Esqr. &c.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement shewing the Collection of Peishcush from the Villages of Kistnapooram &c. Pollams of Kistnapooram Ettiapooram Vellangoolum Kaylacoolum Sattancoolum Vellaloor Vellaloor Pudoor Vellaloor Kaylacoolum Vellaloor Sattancoolum Vellaloor Vellangoolum Vellaloor Kistnapooram Vellaloor Ettiapooram

in question, but they state that they have been enjoyed by their ancestors and themselves continuously from the time of the Nabob. These Enams appear to have been granted previously to the year 1792.

2. Adverting to para 4 of the Extract above alluded to authorizing the resumption of the grants Nos 2-3-4-5-8 and 10 with the exception of the 1/3d share in No 10 enjoyed by the Agraharum and directing the Collector to report for what period this 1/3d share should be continued free, I have the honor to inform you that it appears that in Fasly 1217, the founder of the Shuttrum built 6 Houses at Poodoogoody and presented them to certain Brahmins who were brought from Alwartiroonagherry and other places and that allowances averaging from 6. to 12 Rotahs of Paddy per annum were begranted to them for the Services rendered by them in the Pagoda and that these allowances were paid only up to Fasly 1262. The aforesaid houses it appears are not inhabited by any

any Brahmins and they have not right to any portion of the Enam lands and consequently there is no objection to the Government resuming the 1/3d Share (166-2-2) enjoyed by the Agraharum and I beg to be favoured with the instructions on the subject.

3. With reference to para 5 of the Extract under reference stating that the Money allowance of Rs 423-2-11 (Item No 9) transferred to the Pagoda at Poothoogoodly from the income of the Alwartiroonagherry Pagoda should be commuted into land. I beg to state there are not any arable waste lands attached to the Village of Poodoogoodly and the Proprietors are not willing to receive lands belonging to other Villages They solicit that Saigab lands Equivalent in Value to the grant No 9 may be assigned from those originally granted to the Shuttrum I therefore request that you will be so good as to furnish me with sanction for complying with the request of the Proprietors.

Signed D. Mayne
Actg Collr
To

Immediately
Collr & Circuit Cuttery
Tencausey
3d August 1858

No. 244

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

Bd No. 3828
From the Collr of Sea Customs Madras

I have the honor to request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to refund to Messrs Bainbridge & Co. the sum of Rupees 18—0—0 being the amount of Import duty paid by them on 2 Packages Glassware per Ship Bleanheim" from London the same having been cleared by Messrs Binny & Co.

Sea Custom House
Madras
23d August 1858

Signed W. E. Underwood
Collr

No 39 of Fmsly 1268

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No. 3716
From the Actg Supt Genl of Stamps
Communicated to this Office on the 30th Ultimo in reference to the Boards Proceedings of the 15th May last

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 18th June last No. 840 authorizing the sum of Rupees 715 the net amount of

of loss sustained by Government on account of frauds committed in the Stamp department at Trichinopoly to be written off the accounts after it has been verified by this Office I have the honor to state that as the sums exhibited in a Statement received in this Office from the Collector of Trichinopoly on the above account amounted to Rupees 811 instead of

@ Rupees 587
" 214
801

Rupees 801 as per Items shewn in the margin of the order of Government above quoted the Collector was requested to explain the cause of the difference

x Copy Herewith to be Sent

It will be seen from his reply dated the 27th Ultimo that the net amount of loss is Rupees 715 under the explanation therein given corresponding with the amount Sanctioned to be written off as above Stated.

I

14569 Fort St George 2nd September 1858

I take this opportunity to bring to the notice of the Board that certain other frauds were also committed at Trichinopoly which were brought to the notice of the Collector being refunds of stamp duty on new certificates granted by the Officers named in the margin amounting to Rupees 54 not included in the Items alluded to in the preceding Para & appears from a Communication received from the Collector dated 6th May last that these certificates were passed for payment by one Venkatachilla Naick Deputy Sawabnevis who died sometime ago and that the frauds were traced to certain individuals named Ristnangar and Chinna Kistna the former was acquitted and the latter sentenced to three years imprisonment by the Sessions Court & as no means exist for the recovery of the amount I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for writing off the account the above sum also of Rupees 54

Moonsiff of Srirangam Suit No 97 of 1855 Rupees 30
Suit No 181 " 15
Moonsiff of Conad
Suit No 274 of 1854 1
" " 346 " — 2
" " 467 " — 1
" " 999 of 1853 1
" " 392 " " 1
" " 809 " — 1
" " 322 " — 2
54

Fort St George
General Stamp Office
16th August 1858

Signed J. L. Lushington
Acg Supt of Stamps

14570 Fort St George 2nd September 1858

Enc: No 1
No 14

To J. L. Lushington Esqre
Acting Supt of Stamps
Fort St George

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 19 of the 22nd Instant on the subject of the remark made by me in the 3rd paragraph of my letter of the 9th of this Month No 11 in reference to the refund on suit No 64 of 1855.

2. This Communication has led me to look into the whole matter again more particularly in connection with your letter of the 3rd Instant No 2 pointing out certain discrepancies on the amount to be written of the accounts and to my reply thereto.

3. I am constrained after much anxious consideration to confess that my letter of the 9th Instant is entirely erroneous and that the account embodied in para four is incorrect. The amount originally set down in my list which accompanied my

my letter of the 19th December 1856 Rupees 811 as the fraud committed in the Stamp Depart. ment is the correct one The refund fraudulently paid in Suit No. 64 of 1855 was as there entered Rupees 8 and not Rupees 16 as improperly stated by me in my late letter.

4 The gross and culpable carelessness of one of my Office Servants has led me into this serious error I greatly deplore it and the more deeply so because this mistake Originates so far back as the 1st of April last when I submitted to the Board of Revenue a Statement shewing how I had carried out the directions of Government as laid down in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated the 10th March 1858 Revenue Department. A copy of my letter to the Boards and its enclosure was furnished you on the 19th April last that Statement this Suit No. 64 of 1855 is entered And instead of putting down

down Rupees 8 as the actual amount paid Rupees 16 are inserted In fact all the entries for this suit are incorrect The amount legally payable as Stamp refund should be two Rs instead of four The sum fraudulently obtained eight instead of sixteen and the amount overdrawn six in lieu of twelve.

5. I beg to enclose a revised and correct Statement which exhibits the remaining sum overpaid through the neglect of the Collr's servants to be Rupees 205 After deducting Rupees 19 the legal refund from the amount fraudulently obtained Rupees 224.

6. This error on my part has of necessity misled the Government in forming the calculations shewn in the Margin of Minutes of Consultation No. 840 of date the 18th June 1858.

7 The Account should stand thus and in its result you will observe that it exhibits the same sums of net loss as shewn by the Government first and then by me in my letter to you of the 9th Instant.

Account

Account

First as regards the Collectors Servants

Total Sum over paid ——— Rs 205

Recoverable from Appaje Row 48

Do from Rishnasawmy Iyer 15

63

Remaining 142 which is expressed the actual loss sustained by Government through the neglect of my Office Servants From such loss however 10 per Cent or Rs 14 is to be recovered from the late Head Sheristadar Babajee Rows pension agreeable to the Orders of Government.

Secondly as taking the net loss occasioned by the District Moonsiff and Collectors Servants Collectively.

Rs

Loss incurred by the neglect of the District Moonsiff and his Establishment ——— 587

Do by Do of Collectors Servants ——— 224

Total ——— 811

Deductions

Legal amount due on the Suits entered in the Statement Now Sent in which Coll.rs Servants are answerable ——— 19

Recoveries

Recoveries from two servants and Head Sheristadars ——— 77 96/115

Total

Add further found as pointed out in Superintendent of Stamps letter 30th April 1858 No 293 and acknowledged by Collector in letter No 7 of the 6th May last ——— 54

Total Net loss to be written off 769

And this is the correct sum for which sanction should be solicited.

8. You will observe by the Government Minutes of Consultation quoted above as also by my letter to you No 5 of the 19th April last transmitting Copy of my letter No 54 of the 1st April 1858 to the Board of Revenue that I have realized Rs 69 from the two Office Servants Appajee Row and Rishnasawmy Iyer. This sum is according to my amended Statement Rupees 6 in excess of what is correctly due and should be repaid to Appajee Row as belonging to the Suit No 64 of 1858 for which sixteen Rupees was set down as the Amount paid and Rupees twelve as the sum overdrawn. Whereas eight and

No 840 of the 18th June 1858

Six

Fort St. George 2d September 1858

Account

First as regards the Collectors Servants

	Rs
Total Sum over paid	205
Recoverable from Appaje Row	48
Do from Kistnasawmy Iyer	15
	63

Remaining 142 which I expressed the actual loss sustained by Government through the neglect of my Office Servants. From such loss however 10 per Cent or 14 Rs is to be recovered from the late Head Sheristadar Babajee Rows pension agreeable to the Orders of Government.

Second as taking the net loss occasioned by the District Moonsiff and Collectors Servants Collectively.

	Rs
Loss incurred by the neglect of the District Moonsiff and his Establishment	587
Do by Do of Collectors Servants	224
Total	811

Deductions

Legal amount due on the Suits entered in the Statement Now Sent in which Coll[r]s Servants are answerable	19

Recoveries

Fort St. George 2d September 1858

Recoveries from two Servants and Head Sheristadars	77 96
Total	715

Add further from dar pointed out in Superintendent of Stamps letter 30th April 1858 No 293 and acknowledged by Collector in letter No 7 of the 6th May last | 54 |

Total Net loss to be written off 769

And this is the correct sum for which sanction should be solicited.

8. You will observe by the Government Minutes of Consultation quoted above as also by my letter to you No 5 of the 19th April last transmitting Copy of my letter No 54 of the 1st April 1858 to the Board of Revenue that I have realized Rs 69 from the two Office Servants Appajee Row and Kishnasawmy Iyer. This sum is according to my amended Statement Rupees 6 in excess of what is correctly due and should be repaid to Appajee Row as belonging to the Suits No 64 of 1858 for which sixteen Rupees was set down as the Amount paid and Rupees twelve as the sum overdrawn. Whereas eight and

No 840 of the 18th June 1858

Six

14575 Fort St. George 2d September 1858

sive are the correct entries I have also deducted the 14 Rupees from Babajee Rows arrears of pension and paid the balance due to the heirs.

9. Adverting to the query in your letter of the 22d Instant I beg to state that in my remark in the 3d para of the letter of the 9th Instant No 11 I did not mean it to be understood that 16 Rupees was the refund due on Suit 8th But that that Sum (now proved erroneous) was the fraudulent refund obtained upon the Certificate issued and which certificate as brought to notice in your letter of the 9th December 1856 had been seriously tampered with and the amounts sued for augmented to enhance the value of the stamps.

10. I must again express my deep regret for all the errors I have been led into in this matter I feel it is discreditable to me but I was led into them by the careless ness of my Native subordinate giving me a Statement which has proved to be incorrect.

Trichinopoly Collrs Office 27th July 1858.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant Signed J. Bird Collr

True Copy Signed L. Lushington Offg Supt of Stamps

14576 Fort St. George 2d September 1858

Revision

Cases of Certificates issued by the Dist. Moonsiffs of Tanjore for refunds of Stamps duty and for payment on which the Collectors Servants are answerable.

No.	Names & Residence of Petitioners	Amount of years of the suits	Amount legally payable	Amount paid	Amount Owes, drawn	Remarks
			Rs	Rupees	Rupees	
1	Venkatachella Sastrikul to 20a Sirur 1855	1854	4	8	4	This man died soon after
2		1855	1	32	31	
3		Do	1	32	31	
4		Do	1	16	15	
5		Do	1	16	15	
6		Do	1	16	15	
7		Do	1	32	31	
			10	152	142	
1	Appagee Row from 21st June to 16th Sept 1855	1855	2	8	6	
2		Do	4	16	12	
3		1854	1	16	15	
4		Do	1	16	15	
5		Do	1	16	15	This amount has been made good by
			8	56	48	
1	Krishna Sawmy Ayah from 18th Septr	1855	1	16	15	Do
	Total		19	224	205	

True Copy Signed J. Bird Collr

True Copy Signed L. Lushington Offg Supt of Stamps

Trichinopoly 27th July 1858

Received

Fort St. George 2d September 1858

Received under mentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

Dy No. 2104
From the Collector of Rajahmundry Demand Collection and balance Statement for July 1858

Dy No. 2105
From the Coll.r of Guntoor Average Bazar Statement for July 1858

Dy No. 2106
From the Civil Auditor. Forwarding Statements of Extra Tentage bills for which have been passed in his Office

Dy No. 2103
From the Collector of Canara. States that Telugu and Tamil are not understood in this District the Books furnished in those languages are useless.

To

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Friday the 3d September 1858

Read the following Papers received from Government and bound in a Separate Volume.

Revenue Department

B.d No. 2281
From the Sec.y to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st May 58

Reviewing the Report on the Settlement of the Land and Extra Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1265 A.D. 1855/56

See No. 714 in Separate Volume

Public Works Department
Revenue Irrigation

B.d No. 3928
From the Chief Sec.y to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 30th Aug.t 58

Desiring to have a report from the Collector of South Arcot accompanied with a Plan & Estimate of the work in question together with the Boards opinion

14519 Fort St. George 3d September 1858

on the returns that may be expected from increased cultivation by the construction of an anicut across the Ponyaur river in his District ..... (See No. 1767 in Separate Volume)

Public Works Department

B. No. 3939
From the Chief Secy.
to Government

Revenue irrigation

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st Augt. 58

Concurring in the views expressed in the Board's Proceedings of 14th Ultimo in regard to the Enam lands under the Buducad tanks & Teglenarancot and stating that Government will await the further information called for from the Collector of Kurnool on the subject. (See No. 1772 in Separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3940
From the Chief Secy.
to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st Augt. 58

Reviewing the Progress made

14520 Fort St. George 3d September 1858

made in Fasly 1267 in disposing of the proprietary right of the lands of the Betutnaud eschat in Malabar, sanctioning the expenditure incurred in that year, and placing at the Acting Collectors disposal a further sum of Rupees 1500 for future operations.. (See No. 1192 in Separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3941
From the Chief Secy.
to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st Augt. 1858

Granting authority for writing off the accounts of the Madura District G 149-27 m of Salt destroyed by the rains of May last, and likewise for an advance of Rs 1245 to the Salt Ryots to enable them to reform their pans (See No. 1191 in Separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3950
From the Chief Secy.
to Government

Extract from the Minutes of

Fort St. George 3d September, 1858

of Consultation under date the 1st Septr 1858

Communicating the remarks of Government granting to Mr L. Fisher the leave applied for by him it being within the balance of the accumulated leave before due to him.

(See No 1197 in Separate Volume)

Read also the following Paper

No 20

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Bd No 592
From the Ag. Collr of Guntoor

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's endorsement dated 15 Ultimo on Petition No 3746 of 1856 calling for a report upon the nature of certain fees levied by the Curnums of Tsallooz from the ryots

2. In reply I beg to state that the practice of the levy of the Curnums fees had been almost universal in this District and

Fort St. George 3d September, 1858

and the particulars of the same are given in the Statements forwarded to the late Commissioners on the 27th April 1853. on the subject of the emoluments of Village Servants.

3. The fees in the case now in point are those usually collected by the Curnums from the Moturpha tax payers, and are levied at the following rates being in the aggregate about Rupees 29—4 per annum

On each Banians large house 1 Rupee
" " Small " ½
On each loom of Weavers " ½
" " of Pariahs " ¼
On each Shepherds house " ½
On each Cotton cleaning loom " ½

The fees in question seem to have a common origin with similar ones in the District at periods that cannot now be traced they were

were however disputed in Fasly 1230, but were ordered to be paid and seem to have been levied accordingly till a recent period.

4. In about 138 villages of this District the Curnums Motursha fees were commuted in Mr. Oakes's time about 40 years ago to a per centage of the total Motursha taxe of the Village. Toolooz is not included in those thus settled.

10 Pc. per Cent

5. Under the new arrangements sanctioned for the Curnums remuneration in the Palnaud these fees have been abolished in that locality but until some suitable provision is made for these Officers in the remaining parts of the District it will be impracticable to do away with these fees which are often the only or chief source of emolument.

6 I would beg to remind the Board of the importance of the subject of the remuneration of the Village Officers of this District, which has already been submitted to the Board and I believe also been brought to the notice of the Government.

7. I should be glad if the Board

Board could give me the hope that some measures will speedily be ordered upon the information already furnished as otherwise I must attempt some other expedient for ameliorating the evils of the present uncertainly of the Emoluments.

8 The Petition is returned.

Guntoor
Collectors Office
5th February 1858

Signed H. Nevill
Acting Coll.r
Report

14525
13d No 1595

Fort St George 3d September 1858

Report of Lapsed enams in the Tanjore District

Taluk and Village situated	When and by whom granted	Name of the last holder, date of his [illegible] to the Pundy a registered holder of one share in the Village and that it was inherited and possessed by this deceased and accordingly is entered in his name in the Pymash accounts	Enjoyed by the said Khoja Members	Left by the deceased name and age	Recommendation of the Collector and [illegible] sed in the name of his widow Jeevoy bay ammaul.
Co.	as registered in No 24 of the Collectors three trium Register				

Registered Holders Share
Appagu punsti } 1
Sixothirs 16/16

Note
Translation of the grant in this case having been sent to Board in No 71472 it is not forwarded now

14526

Frost Sir Stt George 30th September 1858

98 Narrainapooram and another in A. D. 1763 Village in Munmargoody and two Vil. lages in Tir Chittgosaumy Talook. Extent by Survey V. M. G 131–6–11 Rt a 8 pear at Rt 254 in the Collectors Register for 1804 as per Sunnud

Granted by Rajah Soolgiseetto Jagannatha tirapoondy on surnanam en tenure under a Sicca Sun nud the enam as follows.

Tcuff } 1677–6–8 apprist

Land fees } 154–10–1

Diff 1522–4–7 Fullams

The extent is not given in the Sicca Sunnud which exhibits only the boundaries of the Villages The enam has never been under Sequestration.

The last holder Vankapoyin who died on the 19th November 1849 was not related to the Original grantee. He had acquired the enam by purchase as follows.

by Sicca Sunnud V. M. G

Rs as per Survey

Tcuff } 22–8–7 apprist

Land fees } 1–0–6

Diff 21–8–1

Extent held by others as per Survey V. M. G 130–12–10 3/8

From Venkatasawmy Ramasawmy Kooty Sastry a purchaser from Sastry Iyen Son of A Sastry for 14–6 as per deed of Sale dated 11th May 1834

From Ingasamy Iyen Son of Ganapathy Iyen as per Chasers from Appooyen who had previously bought from B for Rs 100 as per deed of Sale dated 4th June 1842 } 4–10–0

A Heldr Sawmy Sastry Son of Kistna Sastry Adoptee of the Original grantee

B Rs 4 by Soobboo Bhutt Son of Gheery Bhutt another Donee of D. D.

D. by 6 others in this & the other three villages } 147–12–7–83–12

Total 151–12–7–83–12

Total 4–12–50

As per Survey 4–13–70 5/8

The deceased having left no male issue, the enam is now enjoyed by his mother Soobbammaul.

Note

Translation of the Sicca Sunnud in support of this Grant having been furnished to Board in case No 6 it is not sent now.

The enam was enjoyed by the deceased by Mathie Soobbammaul aged 70 Yesterday Munga tummal a widow aged 35

The above have no property other than this enam

This case is reported for orders as it is not known whether the alienation of the enam from the Original Grantee took place before or after A. D. 1802 On the ground however of the ancient date of the grant, and of the valuable consideration for the Shares held, which was paid by the last holder I recommend its confirmation to the deceased's mother Soobbammaul and his Sister Mungat tammaul.

Frost Ft St George 3d September 1858

14527

99 Puttoocottah Talook Pedaree cand Shrotriem as per Regr

V. M. C.

Nunjah 4-12-17 1/2
Pumjah 48-3-7 3/4
Total 52-15-9 2/4

according to Pymash

V. M. C.

Nunjah 7-2-72
Pumjah 31-11-98
Total 34-14-70
Taraff Rs 11-0 486-3-1
Jody 46-8-a

Never sequestrated.

This Village was granted with Shottrium to several Brahmins of the Velorgalum District who are not individualized in the grant It was given by Soolpee Rajah in 1784

Granted for Subsistence

The last holder enjoyed named Ramasawmy by the Pundit died in deceased

Virodhi Krit Audy 1852 Subpraugte Nunjah 2-9-84
Poonjah 2-3-58
Total 2-13-42
Rs a P
Taraff 29-5-10
Jody 3-3-4
Diff 26-2-6

Held by other members

V. M. C. 32-1-28

He was not a relation of the original Grantee who from the enquiry instituted, appears to have been one Venkatasyengar Pedaunee Kaundeer

He made over a portion of the enams to certain Brahmins in gift but the date of the gift is not known The grand father of the deceased named Gyanga daza a Pundit was one of the donees and received a Punjoo. This descended to his son Sun Krajee pundit a registered holder who sold 1/4 there of to Venketasyengar and mortgaged 1/4 to caroopayee The remainder was inherited by and was in possession of his son the deceased holder.

Son Soobba Rowgee } 16
widow Sivalayee ammaul aged 35 mother of the deceased

Javoo boyee ammaul } 75
aged

The Son holds an employment in the Rajahs Establishment of 4 Pr. per Mensem

In reporting this case for orders at one in which the date of the alienation of the enam from the original grantee is unknown, I beg to recommend that in consideration of the length of possession of the family of the last holder it be continued to his surviving son Soobba Rowe.

Shares
by Venkarajee Pundit } 1
Others 6
7

Never sequestrated.

It is entered in No 26 of the Shrotriem Register of 1810 as consisting of 7 Punjoor or Shares and as then held in the following proportions

14528

From Fort St. George 3d September 1858

100 Puttoocottah Talook Pedanaiken kaud with 54 shrotriem as others per Register was granted by the Nawab of the Carnatic in A.D. 1784 to several Brahmins of the Velongo Lunn Dis trict who are not liable to Assessment.

V. M. G.
Nunjah 4-12-17½
Poonjah 48-3-76¾
Total 52-15-93¾

According to Pymash
V. M. G.
Nunjah 7-2-72
Poonjah 31-11-98
Total 38-14-70

R. & P.
Jarujf 485-8-1
Jody 46-8-0
Diff 438-11-1

Never Segnest rated.

Hist. Sub-division

The grant Mr. is entered No. 26 of the Shrotriem Register of 1810 as held in the following proportions

Shares

Venkataramiengar. 1/5

Held by others 5/5

6/7

Note

Translation of the Sicca Summud in support of the above grant, having been furnished to Bd. in case No. 99 it is not sent now

The last holder Sawmy Iyengar, died 20th June 1849

He was a son of one Venkataramiyengar, as registered holder

The latter it said to have at one time possessed 7/8 of a Pungoo 4/8 being in his own possession and 1/8 under mortgage to one Adakan Chetty

He had 3 sons amongst whom and himself he divided his state, in Sooba Krit 1243 Chittra April 15th

3d His own portion was left 6 a 6¼ Pungoo in the partition of the remainder between his three sons the deceased obtained 8/64 Pungoo whereof 3/24 belonged to the portion referred to above as being under Mortgage on the death of the late holder his wife in Pareedawee 5th April 1853

Pungoomy 25th Sold the part of her husband to Seenevasa Iyengar her brother in law for 55 rupees and he now enjoys it.

As per Survey

Enjoyed by the deceased

V. M. G.
Nunjah 1-54
Poonjah 6-81
Total 8-35

R. & P.
Jarujf 4-10-8
Jodyn 3-8-4
Diff 4-2-8

Widow Alamaloo ammaul aged 25 She has no other property and lives in her fathers house.

This case is reported for orders as it is not known whether the last holder was a descendant of the original Grantee or not His widow, however has it appears sold her husbands share to his brother, and this being a Summud grant, and the share in question having been the property of the father of the late and the present holder who was himself one of the registered nom dars I beg to recommend that it be continued to the last holders brother Streenevasa Iyengar

14529

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

For the support of a Modam and for Subsistance of Grantee

181 Munnargoody Talook Solungamellore Shrotriem As per grant V.M.G. Nunjah 29-14-0 Ounjah — " — " Total 29-14-0 According to Pymash V.M.G. Nunjah 48-17-34 5/64 Ounjah — " — " 48-17-34 5/64 Rs a p Tariff 925-2-4 Jodey 326-7-7 Diffe 598-10-9 Never sequestrated

Granted by Solapier Maharajah in A.D 1776 to Streenivasa Agobalam Sawmy Modam The grant is supported by a Duftery Rocca and was registered in 1814 in the Collectors Shrotriem Register No. 47

The last holder Gopalsingar died on the 30th August 1854 He was not related to the Original grantee who allotted a portion of the Village said to be 7 Vallies, to the Villagers as Kudibhajum The names of the recipients and the amounts of their Share are unknown all that can be gathered is that one Venkasingar Grand father of the deceased holder obtained by purchase and gift from the

Formerly enjoyed by the deceased according to Pymash M.G. 8-13 1/16 Rs a p Tariff 7-14-9 Jodey 2-12-10 Diffe 5-1-11 Share enjoyed by the deceased at his death None

None

The enam appears to have been Granabhogum land, but as it is not known at what date it passed from the Gramabhogum dars to the deceased Grand father I report the case for orders with a recommendation that the land of the deceased may be continued to his donee Sen Rasa charry and the party who made the purchase from him named Anna Siyengar

Kudibhajum holders certain lands quantity not known He appears to have been in possession of 10-17 1/2 This was equally divided about 40 years ago by his 2 Sons Rajoondaryengar, the father of the deceased and Streenevasiengar each share amounting to 6-8-3/4 The Share of Seemvassy Iyengar descended to his 2. Sons.

Annavayengar and Samyengar

Out of the other share which the deceased inherited from his father he sold to the said annavayengar (his nephew) on Vaisakhee 1853 Mauvy 11 for 60 Rs. Feb 7.

To this same on the 12th of that month for Rupees 22 1/2 Pagoda 3/4 1/4 9/16 9/16 2 1/8

Do. on 24th Chittray Amunda for Rs 2 1/2

Do. on the 12th Do. for the same amount

On the whole amounting to 4-6 7/8 besides this he (the deceased holder) presented to his priest Valli Singarachary about 10 years ago 41/4 goolies the remainder

remainder of his possessions The several bills of sale are produced and though the Document is not Corroborated by any deed, yet one of these deeds dated & posterior to the Document makes mention of it The deceased left no heirs At his death he had no Enam belonging to himself having previously passed to his nephew and priest on sale and gift

102	Codawasseed Talook Sivaroyapooram a hamlet in Seengally poorum Village Extent as per survey. V.M.C. Nunjah 2-4-4 Rs a & Sarieff a/c 106-4-4 Deduct Cavil fees 4-6-4 Remainder 101-14-4	In A.D. 1797 by Canneersing Rajah to Certain Brahmins Pagodas and charitable Institutions under an order on an arzy Rocca noted in cases Nos 26 and 64. referred for orders on the 31st May 1855 and 6th February 1856	Sivienbhoo Deceased Chatter died on the 21st November 1855 was not related to the Original grantee	V.M.C. 4-13-54 others 1-6-46	Sebhoo meeammal widow	age 40	She is not possessed of any other ostensible means of Livelihood	1 Prior to A.D 1797 fifty wallies of land in village Sivaroya poorum had been granted under a Sicca summud to one Trimbacca Sivajee but when and by whom is unknown and the Summud is not forthcoming.- 2. The said Trimbaka sivajee granted 46 wallies and 10 Maws of the above extent to 48 Bramins and Sebo part the remaining 4 wallies & 10 Maws for certain Pagodas	and

For Subsistence

14531 Fort St. George 3d. September 1858

and Chustrums which he
intended to build.

3. In A.D 1797 the Brahmins above
alluded to presented an Arzy Rocca to
Amersing Rajah detailing the proportions
in which Trisumbaca Sevajee had distributed
the Enam to them and the Pagodas &c and
requesting the grant of individual Seccas in their favor
an order was passed complying with their request The
arzy Rocca and order are entered in the Enam Register No 249

4 One Soondara Swara Sastry was one of the grantees of Tri
A umbaca Sevajee and had received from him 10 Maws of land, which
were entered in his name in the Enam Register of A.D 1809
B
Soondara swara Sastry bestowed his Enam about 30 years ago on the
C
deceased, and the Survey account of Fusly 1238 contains an entry in
favor of the latter. The deceased sold m 3 — 13 of the m 10 received

received by him to one Soobramanion on the 24th February 1854 for Rupees
D
119 — 1 — 3 and died possessed of the remaining m 6 — 87 and the m 6 — 87 and the m 6 — 67 referred
to in the following Paragraph.

5. The Pagodas adverted to in Paragraph 2, not having been built as
originally intended, Trisumbaca Sevajees nephew (brothers son) Jeevunna Row
A E
granted one Valy of the land set apart for them to one Dhurmarajah Deetchut
E
in A.D 1799 under a deed of Gift (produced) and the this extent was entered in the
Enam Register in the names of the Pagodas in consequence of the absence as is alleged
E
of the Grantee in a distant Country at the time of the registery it is entered in the
Survey account of Fusly 1238 in the name of Dhurmarajah Deetchuts eldest son
F C E
Venkatasoobba Deetchut The deceased was one of the three sons of Dhurmaraja
C E
Deetchet and received 6 Maws and 67 Goolies of land for his share under
a Deed of Division (also produced) A moiety of his late brothers share
G C H
was continued to one of his nephews under the Government Order of the 30th Octo
ber 1856 No on case No 54.

14532 Frost Ft. George 3d September 1858

6 The aggregate extent under notice, ie 135h. 9 Thas forms part of an ancient grant, and having been in the enjoyment of the last holder for a long time, the Collector recommends that it be continued to Letchoomy ammaul the widow and legal heiress of the deceased.

103 Puttattalu Talook Shro Trichy in 2 Villages

Soorappalum was granted in A. D. 1742 by

Soobaramaneya Chetty He died on the 4th Novem. last 1854 was not related to the original Grantees

1 Son Gana pady Chetty } 30

2 Nam dimoot too } 28

The Shrotriem land in the two Villages now noticed is half of and purchase made by the

grantees

last holders and divided between him and his brother Seevarama Chetty as shewn below It is not known how the parties from whom the purchase was made obtained the land, or whether in A. D. 1802 it was held by the

The Shrotriem mentioned in the Register Extract.

The difference between the Past and Survey account arised from the different methods employed in each Survey

Villages	Extent as per Register		Extent as found by Survey		Sunnuds Pagodas		Deficit Shrotriem Cutter		Difference	
	M	G	M	G	Rs	a/ s	Rs	a/ s	Rs	a/ s
Soorappallum	189	2 a	88	19/12	1436	4 2	220	1 / 1	1215	3 1 6
Pannaravayen Cottay	81		20	10 28	115	1 4	25	3 10	89	3 8 11
Total	270		109	3 35	1550	5 6	245	4 11	1304	11 7

Pretap Sing Rajahs to several persons on Sicca Summud Registered No 10 of the Shrotriem Pannaravayen Cottay is entered not in the Regis ter of

For Subsistence

Last holders shares as per Survey

Villages	Extent		Sunnuds		Deficit		Difference		Not Included in Survey
	M	G	Rs	a/ s	Rs	a/ s	Rs	a/ s	Rs a/ s
Soorappalum	4	8 65	12	1	1	2	11	1	84 4 42
Pannaravayen cottay	2	6 43	10	2	4	3	5	3	17 3 85
Total	7	15 8	6	3	15	2	4	2	101 8 27

Grandfather 5

Grand daughter 4

" Naudy ammay 7 } 8

" Shamy 3 moogum } 24

Daughter inlaws 4

Conalay 15

Amany 15

Grandson 6

Veerapen 5

Daughter inlaws 4

Conalay 15

Amany 15

Grandson 6

Veerapen 5

14533

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

Shevatrums without Grants prepared in 1818. It is alleged to have been given by Tolapa Rajahs who registered prior to the Cession of the Tanjore Province to the British Government.

Note.

Translation of the grants for the 2 Villages having been sent to Board in case 48 it is not sent now.

The Members of the family are also dealers in Sundry goods

the following of the original Grantee.

Villages	From whom purchased	Date of purchase or Sunnud or Deed of sale	Value paid	Number of Shares	Extent
Serapallam	Kristna Jee Pundit	28th July 1827	49	½	
	Injabi minu	19th March 1829	252 ½	1/12	
	Total		301 ½	2	
Ponnavarayan Cottay	Venkatachellum &ca	13th Dec. 1830	44	9	
	Nambu swamy mal	10th April 1835	30	5/4	
	Total		74	14/4	
Total			375 ½	16/4	
	Half Shares		8/8	7	158

In consideration of the amount date of the Grant and of the value paid by the last holder it is recommended that ✓ M G 7 — 15 — 8 be continued to the 2 sons of the deceased — numbered 1 to 3 in the preceding Column.

of

Parish of Llanarmon 3rd Division 1868

Llanarmon yn Ial

| | | |

Fort St. George 3rd September 1868

14535

an Army Roccah

Translations of the Grants for Kaattoor and Poloody Coody having been sent to Board in case 12 and Translation of the one for Cholangaur Kaar in case 101 they are not forwarded now.

Besides the Shrotriem in question the family professes to have Valies of Tarisofflands as follow

In Kaattoor	6	
" Poloody Coody	4	
" Tirookalur	3	
" Yeesana Coody	1/2	
" Perwad Civil	1/4	
" Vanalakary	9	
" Attipoor Igoor	2 1/4	
	25	

portions of them are not known the case is reported for orders The right of the last holders family to inherit the Granam Bhogum land and the part held by him on mortgage seems unquestionable the remaining extent was purchased by him and its value paid I therefore recommend that the share of the last holders Brothers left by last holder he continued to his 2 sons named Teagoo Moodelly and Vailoo Moodelly and his 2 brothers Chitambaranada Moodelly and Soobba Moodelly.

Of this Enam Vencopien purchased and the deeds of sale are produced in the Collectors Office on dates ranging from

105	Manargoody Salook Keelavalacala Cherry and Keerimpen Cuttay	Granted in A.D. 1780 by Tolajee Rajah to 11 Braumins upon Arzee Rocca duly endorsed	Shrotriem	Inpahrsim He died in December 1853 was not related to the Original Grantees.	Enjoyed by the last holder as per Survey In Keela vala cala cherry.	Son Puncha nadyen aged 40 Venkata ramien aged 20 Daughters in law Letchoomea aged 14.	Nunjah.	19-82-56 64	4-7-50 64

14536

Fort St. George 3d September 1858.

Shrotriem
Peelavalaca
Cacherry
V. M. G.

Nunjah 20—14—4
Punjah 4—4—4

20—14—4

V. M. G.

Nunjah 31—5—26/64
Punjah 4—4—4

31—6—26/64

Pt. a D

Tarriff 556—10—8
Jodee 216—14—7

Difference 339—12—1

(Note) A Translation of the above grant having been sent to the Board of Revenue in cases N: 764/77 another is not now forwarded.

Tarriff 53-13-6
Jodee 20-15-4
Diffce 33-14-2

Keereappen
Cottay

Nunjah 2-64 44/64

Pt. a D

Tarrf 6-8-7
Jody 1-8-6

Diffce 2-4-1

Held by other Members

Peelavala
Kala chary

V. M. G.
29-5-37 8/64

Pt. a D

Dhunnay aged 10 They have a house worth 60 Rupees in Daroona Logarum in Coda vofvil Taluke

A. D. 1811 to 1839 partly from the Original Grantees and their descendants, partly from parties who had bought of them and partly from the Grama Logum dars of the villages in question A moiety of this extent 2-3-75-2/64 was inherited by the deceased. Its area by the Survey is 2-2-53 06/64 which are now in the possession hereditarily of his 2 sons, Punchana dayen and Vencatarannyen.

Keriappen
Cuttay
V. M. G.
4—14—48 3/64

The grant is an ancient one. It was not registered in the Collectors Office through it is comprehended in the Kyfhereyet dated 12th May 1828, which was prepared in the Collectors Office for Registry. It is not known also whether, in July A. D. 1802 the Shrotriem was held by the Original Grantees concerned or not. In reporting the case on the above grounds for

Kerriappen
Cottay
V. M. G.

Nunja 4—16—2 3/4
Poonja 4—4—4

4—16—2 3/4

Nunjah 4—13—08 23/64
Poonjah 4—4—4

4—13—33 28/64

Pt. a D

Tarriff 18—13—4
Jody—8—3—11

Difference 10—9—1

These two Villages were never segregated.

for orders. I recommend that in consideration of the long profession and the valuable Consideration paid by the last holders family the Shrotrayem be Continued to his 2 Sons named above.-

106	The Same.	Dº.	Dº.	Venkatapien	Kelavala-	Son.		The last holders
				died in December	kalachurry	Venca tasyen aged	52	Share amounting to V M C 2 — 2 — 53 36/64 entered in

1852 was not related to the original Grantee	Nunjah 1-19-28-56/64	Grandson				column 6 was obtained by heir in a division with his brother. In favour in the Subject of the fore going case. The remarks in that case apply to this also and on the grounds above stated I recommend that his Share be Continued to his Son Venkatasyen.
	Rt a D	Venkatasyen				
	Tarif 63-13-6	aged 10 They				
	Jody 20-15-4	have a house				
	Diffe 32-1-6	worth Rs 50				
	Keriappen Cutlay	in Permala garrum Village				
	Nunjah 1-2 61/64	in Cadavapol				
	Rt. a D					
	Tarif 3-8-5	Taloth.				
	Jody-1-8-5					
	Diffe 2-4-4					
	By other Members					
	Keelavalacoo lacherry					
	V. M. C 29-5-37 3/64					
	Keeriappen cutlay					
	V. M. C 110-14-48 3/64					

14538

107	Munargoody Talock Nellore Shiva triem as per Sicah V. m g Nunjah 15-15-4 As per Pymash V. m g Nunjah 3 14-3-12 5/64 Seykah 3 Rd a p Tariff 487-a-2 Jody 164-3-3 Diffe 332-12-11 Never Sequestrated Note	A portion of this Village to the extent Shewn in the preceding Column was granted by Toolapee Rajah in A.D. 1789 by two Sepa rate Acts Vide	Chedumbara Taven died Pelaka 1848 Margaly December no relation of the Original Grantee	As per Sicca Nunjah m g 6-30 As per Pymash Nunjah m g 7-38 Rd a p Tariff 10-a-10 Jody 3-9-10 Diff 6-7-a The other Members Share amounts to 13-15-84 3/64	Wife Sevacanny aged 70 Son in law Auroomooga Taven aged 35. They have V. m g 4 5-20 of Tariff land and a house worth 300 Rd in Vaut= taur-q Village	One Narokoneri was an Original re= cipient of this Enam He had two sons Venkata rayer and Ramarayer the latter of whom pre sented 1 Vaily of the Enam to Ponga Chetty who sold it to Anna Koorookul This last person bought a further portion of 1 Vaily and 15 Maws from another Enamdar whose name is not known is not Anna Koorookul had no sons and his lands passed to his nephew Abdovana Koorogul from whom the deceased holder bought his portion of 6 Maws and 50 Goolies for 55 Chms and 2/2 fuc. The deed is produced It is dated 25 Decem ber 1837. This land is entered in the Pymash accounts in the name of Anna goorookul This Enam

Translation of the Grant having been Submitted to Board in Cases 76.477 it is not sent now

Rocas to Naro Kanexi and others

For Subdivision

14540 Fort St George 3rd September 1858.

No 1

Register No 47 Report No 101

Duftar rocca to the Cutcherry of Nunnargoody Zoobah in the year Zoo-
-hoor-sun Saleba Saleheim myah Uffy
Durogah Badal.

A Shrotriem of 29 - 12 - 0 v. m.
land by a rod of 12 feet in length, out of the
immemorial waste in the same Zoobah
granted on the 1st day of this month to
Streenat Ramanooja chobala swamy
Mattums as follows-

In Agharanyel and Aundiconnam attached to the Kraspandy Survey in Keelnookhee Taluk	1 - 12½	0 - 0
In Coattoor Magannum in Katchery Taluk.		
In Solaghanattoo	23 - 6½	
In Soongattoomoolay	4 - 13	
	27 - 19½	
	29 - 12	

These lands in which an aggra-
-harum has also been built, have been se-
-parated from the rest and denominated
Lutchmanara simma poroum. The annual
Shrottriem Teerwah now fixed for the
land is 178 - 2 - at the rate of 6 -
Check Rums per varly, being one half
of

Fort St George 3d September 1858

Endorsement is held under a grant recognized by Section II Regulation XXXI of 1802, but the Land of Lis to forms part of the Family of the original Grantee in the Grant is not to be exactly to be found in the Survey of that Taluq, but is not known also whether the Shore

The grantee or of the Enamdars Powers, the case may be, to is from one or more Enamdars hold as valuable Considerations for the land and this too long before the Government of 12th July 1848 from which date under Regulation 6 of 1831 to the undisposed now under Regulation 6 of 1831 to the Collector, according to the circumstances of the Case, to assume the Enamdar may be continued to the Grantee or his heirs and assigns that is to say - Signed H. D. Phillips by Collector

Tanjore 9th April 1858 Signed

Duplicate

of the, amount hitherto paid which is to be collected from the ryots accordingly

3/5 on Cadapacar from Arpesy to Mangelr

3/5 on Pesharum from Tye to Chittray

The produce growen on the above land is to be enjoyed by the Mattum which is moreover, exempted from Corlar Woollum, from Supplying men for drawing the cars, and from all extra charges. Deeds of gift are to be obtained from the villagers conferring on the disciples of the Mattam full powers of sale and a lasting right of occupation as long as the Sun and Moon exist.

To be continued accordingly year by year Fresh Sunnuds not to be required every year. Copy to be taken and the original returned to the Brahmins of the Mattams.

Patak Hurgoor Hagarat &c

Veeray Ivenkatarow Annadana Chetty

Royer Sreenauvas
S. Mohamed
Rowther

Entered on the 9th February 1814 in
N-47 of the Collector's Shrotriem Register.
/Translation/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Acting Collector

N-2

Register N-26 — Increase 99

Translation

From Mutturaj Rajestry Toolja
rajah to the present and future Officers of Patak
Valaungolum in the Southern Country Styled
" mahalurum Carcoonauny in the year Sookoor
sun Kamas Summanum my anlt darvaja
Particulars of the Shrotriem granted to the
Asushamahajem.

The five maghanums of which the
said Patak is Composed Consist of 55
Villages including hamlets the Annual Shro:
trium teerva due whereon including the
Collections of both the instalments Called
Vyengadoo" and Alayidagadoo" is as per last year.

	Chick Krams	Fanams
Tanjore	30	"
Madura	799	4
Total	829	4

Sunneds have to be issued this year
for these Shrotriem Villages which are at present
held partly under Sicca Sunneds and
partly

partly upon Parvangee. The Shrotriem teervah payable to the Circar per annum from this year including both the instal: ments Alayidagudoo and Ayengadoo Chs 1032 - F 9½ as particularized below

		Chs	F
Amount as per last year	Tanjore	30	"
	Madura	799	4
		829	4
Portion of the additional teervah of 1000 chuckrams agreed to be paid by the parties from the current year to be borne by the Putah in question		203	5½
Total		1032	9½

(Here the particulars of the above are given Villagewise in the Original Summuds.)

Particulars of Charities in the Putah.

In Gajamoodee on the road leading to Ramaswerum, Narasyya Jagatanp has built a tiled Chuttrum and the Shrotriem village of Adalacunda Vayravapoor has been allotted for its support. From the proceeds of the same lands twelve Brahmins are daily to be fed, and a water pundel to be Kept in the Chuttrum ____ 1

The Shrotriem Villages Avadaree cottah in Puttoocottah has been

been granted for the support of the Charity of the Chuttrum of Gyagarajapoor ____ 1

Water Pundel to be Kept in the Several Villages on the Roads leading to Ramaswarum and other places ____ 1

The Charities to be continued as above set forth. The five Meganums consist of 55 Villages and hamlets the Shrotriem Teervah on which is (Tanjore) Chs 1032 - F 9½ per annum to be levied year by year as usual. Fresh Summuds not to be required every year Copy to be taken and the original returned to the Mahajen grantees of the above Shrotriem Vamos.

Pervanejee Lingoo Nagereth

Registry Trembacurow Ammunda Row

Paishway Moortaboosood.

Jummadelnet

16 Jummadelawul
Ramabar
Palergamanees

Rajo
Seerana
vesh

Shreepa
temp veera
-cara vada
Sence

Seerce
Sood

Entered on the 7th March 1810 in

No. 26 of the Collectors Shrotriem

register with the following —

Remark- The Shrotriems at

present held vary from

the above grants. The differences

explained.

explained by the Shrotriemdars as

follows-

Shrotriem Jodee as per grant 1032-9-16

Deduct

Remitted by Ameensing Rayah
on the 2 Villages of Moomcotte
vyel and Alengeevyel in favor
of the Pundara Sunneedee of
Tenkoomittum — — — 6—"—"

Remainder payable to Circar 1026-9-16

Additional imposition over &
above the authorized Jodee
on account of Sumpraty —
Boodoothee Dasanah and Pongul 7—"—08

Total 1034—"—2

The above amount due to Circar
(Here some characters are not legible)
/Compared/ Goondapunt
Sanishtadar

/Translation/
/Signed/ H D Phillips
Acting Collector

Fort S.t George 3.d September 1858.

14547
B.d N.o 2649

Report of lapsed Enams in the Tanjore District.

Taluk and Village extent and value of	When and by whom granted	Name of the last holder date of his decease and	Whether by the deceased himself or by the Authorities	Relationship of the claimants to the deceased holder	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds
the Tamul Translation of the grant in this case has been				Hankarmi 4 Grand Daughters Chunce 20 Bagheraty 15 Pallavaloo 15	3 3/4 Pungoos were in their family The said Gopalayen possessed at his death 9 11/16 Pungoos.
Sent to Board in Connection with the Report N.o 71				Desalatchy 1 Chunce 10 Desalatchy 5 Husbands of Grand Daughters	He had 5 sons Iyahmen Karampayen Panasoorangyen Kistnyen and Sheemerapoen They divided the property equally - except 15/16 which they assigned to the deceased holder (their mother) as maintenance to be enjoyed by her for her life and to be shared between them at her death Now that their mother has deceased they claim the enforcement of that stipulation The Sheotrium is of ancient grant and the- it is not
				Sowkar Janand 29 Samina dair 25	
				The 1.st Son has 1/6 Pungoo of Tamul land in Puttamunga Cum Village We had the others 4 Sons had each of them a house in	

Abstract of Survey 9 of Jefferson 1838

11548

Fort St. George 3rd September 1838

land not being included in the Symash Translation of the grant in this Case has been sent to Board in Connection with the tabular report No 48.

younger brother is a Goaumsta in Chulton Talook in Tinnevelly.

engaged by the deceased holders family since 1822 It is recommended for continuance to his two brothers No 1 and 2 in Column 7

110 Puttoocottah Talook Pangattoayel Shrotriem As per Register

	S M G	
Punja	7-12-8¾	
Poonja Do.	66-5-6½	
Total	73-17-8¼	

According to Symash

	S M G	
Punja	4-11-38	
Punja	3-5-12	
Total	7-16-7 +	
	Rs a P	
Tarrif	140-12-3	
Godee	39-6-1	
Diffe	101-6-2	

+ (Note) Never sequestrated The

granted in A.D. 1742 by Pretap Sing Maha Rajah to Certain Braminis: The Summud of the grant is registered in No 10 of 1809 of the Collrs Shrotriem Register

For Substance

The last holder named Soobben Chetty died on 12th July 1853 He was no relation of the original grantee

Enjoyed by the last holder S M G

Punja	2-17-24	
Punja	2-0-70	
Total	4-17-94	

Tarrif 88-4-4
Godee 24-9-10
Diffe 63-10-6

The other members hold S M G 2-18-74

Sons age

Paroo maun chetty		35
Gooroo Sawmy chetty		22
Daughter in Law		
Meenachee		25
Grand Son		
Appavoray		13
Mootoo veeran		12
Sunoo Moogum		3
Grand daughter		
Soondrum		10
Ponny		8

The last holder had a Punjoos in the Village which he obtained on the 13th December 1838 by purchase from Timmana Chetty for 171¼ Rupees Timmanachetty was himself a purchaser from Rajahram Deny: How the latter obtained it is not known but the land is entered in the Symash accounts in his name. The deed of Sale has been produced Thus it cannot be ascertained by whom the land under enquiry was

14550

Fort St George 3d September 1858

The differences arises from Vorumpoke land being included in the Register Translation of the grant in this Case has been Sent to Board in Connection with the Talukar report No 48.

Brothers wife Maroondeven Daughter of D. Palany & Ayelan Dom.	30 10	was held in July 1802. The grant being however, an ancient one and the Shares held having been obtained by the deceased by regular purchase I recommend that it be continued to his 2 Sons Paroomana Chetty and Goorasawmy Chetty.	

111 Trivady Talook Trivady Village Extent as per Survey

Granted in A D 1741, by Shatapsing Rayah to Soobbia Deetchet under a Sicca Sunnud registered in No 696.

Punja	1-82-12/16	
Punja	14-5-9/16	
Total	1-14-88-5/16	
Tarriff assessment	112-8-0	
Deduct Cawel fees	3-15-6	
Remainder	108-8-6	

Note - The extent as per Sicca is 1 valy 8 Mawes -

For Subdivision

Ramalinga Chetty died on the 30th No. vember 1855 was not related to the Original Grantee.

Deceased Shares	11-7-88 12/16	1 Samy alias Venca tarama Chetty deceased's Son	age 32	1	In this three Cases, the Emams are Covered by grants of dates prior to A D 1792, and are hereditary but it is not known whether they were in the possession of the families of the original grantees at the time of the passing of Regulation XXXI of 1802.
Others	D° 1-6-97 9/16	2 Letemee davee wife of No 1	25		
		3 Seeshia ummal daughter of No 1	2		
		4 Vencata Soobba Chetty deceased Brother	48	2	The deceased's father B Vencataramia Chetty purchased the lands entered in Column 6 as the deceased's Share from

14537 Fort St George 3d September 1838

The above Talook and Village extent as per Survey of M. G. Amya 1-13-7 14/16 Tarrif A° a P assessment 130-1-8 Deduct Cauel fees 5-13-8 Remainder 124-4-0	Granted A D 1763 by Tolajee Rajah to Tirumbaca Chut under a Sicca Sunnud registered under No. 2547	D°	D°	D°	Decea seds shares 11-5-7 15/16 Lelch mee wife Others Do. 1-8-52/16 of No 4	12	from Several parties at different dates anterior to May A D 1814, Some in his own name, Some in that of a Confidential friend C Venkatasoobba Iyer of Avoodhany and assigned them over for the support of a Chatrum founded by him. C Venkatasoobbien by an agreement dated the 3d Chittray Bhanoo or 13th April 1814 renounced all right to the land and the Survey account of Fusly 1238 contains entries in
					6 Venca taloo Chetty son of No 4	22	
					7 Ven gummal wife of No 6	16	
					8 Iyengar Chetty another son of No 4	15	
it is Daly and 8 Mawds.-							
The above Talook De vastanum Seroompoolpoor Village Extent as per Survey of M. G. Amya 1-17-84 5/16 Tarrif assessed 105-7-2 Deduct Cauel fees 3-12-9 Remainder 101-10-5	Granted in A D 1772 by Tolajee Raja to Ramen under a Sicca Sunnud registered under No. 26.	D°	D°	D°	Decea seds shares 11-3-31 12/16 Others D° 1-14-52 12/16		the names of C Venkatarama Chetty and his agent See D Tarama Chetty.- 4 The lands in question do not appear ever to have escheated or to have been assessed or resumed by Government - They have been uninterruptedly in the enjoyment of the deceased's family since their purchase and are now jointly held by his Son and Brothers (No 1) 4 and 9 in Column 7 who also jointly superintend the Chanty house to which they were assigned -
					9 Tythe lingar chetty another brothers of the deceased	42	
					10 Doo gali ummal wife of No 9	28	
					11 Bapoo Chetty Son of No 9	27	
							5 Therefore recommend

14552 Fort St George 3d September 1858

Note The extent as per Decree is 1 Valy 8 Mawds

112	Puthoocottah Talook Shrotriem in Sellapperumcottay Extent as per Register. —	In A. D 1742 by Rajah Tre lapsing to Several e Braniens on a Sicca Summud e Registered No. 21. —	Annavandiar He died an 29th July 1849 was no relation of the Original grantees	As per Survey Deceased Share V. In. G 1-8-91	1st Son Mahalin gavan diar	50
					His Sons Ristnen	20
				Tarriff Jeeva 30-12-0	Soappoo ien	15
					2d Son Samy	45
				Held by other Members —	His adopted Son Pungoo	9
					3d Son Naraya navandy or His wife Lutchemy	43
					4 Son Ranna vandyar	32

The last holders family obtained 2 27/64 Pungoos of the Shrotriem land under notice, partly by inheritance and partly by purchases and Mortgages entered into by his father and himself of which, deducting the Pungoos which they sold and Mortgaged and adding those since redeemed by the deceased, the family possessed 6 9/64 Pungoos as shewn below. —

						under Paragraphs 8 of the Board's Circular Order dated the 29th July 1848, that the deceased's Shares entered in Column 6 aggregating 16 Mawds and 91 4/16 Goolies be continued to Samy alias Venkatanarana Chetty the Son, and to Venkatasoobba Chetty and Sythalinga Chetty the brothers of the deceased, on the ground of long uninterrupted possession
				V. In. G 94-9-50	Summud e	
				D. as per Survey 64-18-81	Registered	
				His difference arises from the exclusion of Peramboke land in Survey		
				Tarriff Jeeva 1381-8-0	Rs a P	
				Jodee 369-12-9		
				Diffce 1011-11-3		

For Subsistence.

14553.

Fort Saint George 3. September 1858

			Shares
His wife Jamaky	20	Inherited	1/64
Son Atamaraden	6	By last holders father	28/64
2 Kolimadaya	1	By last holder	34/64
5th Son Lutchmana vaidyar	32		63/64
His wife Ramachee	16	By last holders father	35/64
		By last holder	41/64
			1 13/64
		Total	2 57/64

Deduct shares disposed of by last holder

Sold to 3 persons - 21/64

Mortgaged to D°. 1 5/64

Redeemed by others 8/64 — 134/64

Remainder — 57/64

add share redeemed by last holders sons 6/64

Total 63/64

which extent being apportioned among 5 Sons, the 1st Son had 15/64 and the other 4 12/64 each - This Shrotriem is an ancient grant, and tho it is not known whether in 1802 it had been alienated from the family of the Original grantee, the greater portion of it was purchased by the last holder's family for value paid. I therefore recommend its continuance to his 5 sons in the proportion in which it stands or divided among them.

14554

Fort Saint George 3d September 1868

113 Puttoocottah granted Jaboli A.D. 1784 Rellayel by Tolyah Woleade cavalcade Pradharjah Shrotriem As per Register to certain Brahmins

T. M. G.
Nanja 34-11-96 1/2
Punja 79-16-92 1/2
Total 114-8-88 2/2

on a Sisa Summud which is registered in No. 26 of the Collectorate Shrotriem Register of 1810

According to by mistake

T M G.
Nanja 28-1-93 11/15
Punja 16-7-91 13/15
Total 54-9-85 2/15

The names of the grantees are unknown not being specified in the Summud

Jerauff 1715-4-10
Jodee 100-1-2
Diffce 1616-3-8

For Collector

Sundry Sequestrated. The difference is consequent on the Perumpoke land not being included in the Pymash.

Translation of the Grant has been submitted in connection with case No. 99

The last holder died in March 1849 - She was no relation of the Original grantee

Enjoyed by the last holder

Daughter 1/2
Sobbumma 1/6
Daughters Nanja 12-33 1/2
Son Sobbumma Punja 4-20 1/6
Coatty Total 16-53 1/2 Ayen } 20

Do wife of Do
Jerauff 22-4
Jodee 1-4-6 Latchmy Ammal
Diffce 20-11-10 Ammal } 25

Share enjoyed by other members

Son of Do Sashum 4
Daughter Yakka 7

T M G
13-13-31 11/15

The claimant has a thatched house worth 15 Rupees in the village.

The last holders husband, one Annacootty Ayen, obtained from one Agilandayen some time unknown 1 Pungoo of land as a gift. This land was after his death divided between the widow the deceased holder and his widowed daughterinlaw. There is no document in support of the gift. It is said to have been lost by fire. The donee Agilandayen is a registered holder, who has 3 shares in the village and the 1 Pungoo in question is entered in the name of the deceased's wife as husband. The last holder has died without an heir and her 1/2 Pungoo is now claimed by her daughter's son who now enjoys it.

As the Shrotriem is an ancient grant it is recommended for continuance to the said daughter's son agreeably to the Board's Circular 9th February 1854 (Page 23d Volume) the nature of its possession in July 1802 not being known -

Settled Land Estate 1878

Settlement dated the [illegible] day of [illegible] 1878 made between [illegible]

Tenants for life [illegible]

Trustees [illegible]

Settled Land [illegible]

Capital Money [illegible]

Investments [illegible]

Date of Vesting Deed [illegible]

Power of Sale [illegible]

Power of Leasing [illegible]

Power of Exchange [illegible]

Power of Partition [illegible]

Power of Enfranchisement [illegible]

Power of Charging Compensation [illegible]

Power of Charging Improvements [illegible]

Power of Appointing New Trustees [illegible]

Power of Appointing Receiver [illegible]

Power of Sale of Heirlooms [illegible]

Power of Insurance [illegible]

Power of Cutting Timber [illegible]

Power of Working Mines [illegible]

Power of Charging Mines [illegible]

Power of Charging Timber [illegible]

Power of Charging Heirlooms [illegible]

Power of Charging Rent [illegible]

Power of Charging Tithe [illegible]

Power of Charging Land Tax [illegible]

Power of Charging Poor Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Church Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Highway Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Drainage Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Embankment Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Sea Wall Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging River Wall Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Canal Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Navigation Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Turnpike Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Bridge Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Ferry Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Market Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Fair Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Toll Rate [illegible]

Power of Charging Quit Rent [illegible]

Power of Charging Chief Rent [illegible]

Power of Charging Ground Rent [illegible]

Power of Charging Rent Charge [illegible]

Power of Charging Annuity [illegible]

Power of Charging Jointure [illegible]

Power of Charging Dower [illegible]

Power of Charging Portion [illegible]

Power of Charging Legacy [illegible]

Power of Charging Debt [illegible]

Power of Charging Mortgage [illegible]

Power of Charging Lease [illegible]

Power of Charging Sale [illegible]

Power of Charging Exchange [illegible]

Power of Charging Partition [illegible]

Power of Charging

	Register and Dymash arises from Seringapatam not being included in the latter account. The 5 Shrotriem Villages were never disputed.	with the 3 Cases in question viz. 2124 and 26 have been submitted in connection with Cases viz. 112-71-99 respectively.	Shrotriem Land in question		joint inheritance. The land being of ancient grant and the portion since acquired in addition to its inheritance having been regularly purchased by the family for a valuable Consideration, I recommend its continuance to Sree nevajeengar, brother of the deceased, and to the deceased's adopted Son, Kistnyengar for in the absence of information	
					as to how it was held in July 1802. I am not justified in ordering its Continuance on my own responsibility.	
115	Patcottah Talook Veryan Cottee & Amersimmandra poorum Shrotriem Villages Veryancottee as per Register. Dunja - 30-15-0 1/4 Poonjaver - 227-7-43 3/4 Total 278-7-50	The Village Veraycottee was granted by Pratapsing Maharajah in A.D. 1742 to the whole of the Bramins of Woomatanad Districts viz Talook Puttoo Cottah	The last holder named Soobbarayen, died in July 1850 He is not known to be any relation of the original Grantee.	Lastly held in Veryan Cottee The 3/10 Pungoo amounts according to Dymash- Dunjah " 7-94 Poonjaver " 12-90 Total 1-11-84	Daughter Gooroo: vummal Daughters Son Ganasoo ramyon Wife Seeta Cutchimy	age 55 28 18

For the subsistence of the Grantees.

The last holder had 1 3/4 Pungoos in Veereyam Cottee Village and 7/8 in Amersimmandrapuram - But as to how he had got them, no information is obtainable - He has left no descendant in the male branch. The Villagers and

According to Cottah The
Pymash Summd of
V.M.G. the Grant
Nunja 79-8-15 is registered
Punja - 129-1-49 in No 21
Total - 208-9-64 of the
Pd a D. Collectors'
Tarriff — 3348-8-8 Shrotriem
Jody — 539-5-8 Register
Difference - 2808-3-- The Villages
Amanimmendra Amanimman
poorum - dra poorum
As per Register and to
V.M.G. other petty
Nunja 40-4-40 Villages
Poonja Vea 140-12-44 were granted
Total 180-16-84

According to by Amersing
Pymash Rajah in
V.M.G. A.D. 1787
Nunja — 44-7-25 to Vencata
Poonja Vea 67-3-17 rama Deel
Total 111-10-42 chaden
and Semiral
The difference other Bramins
in the extent of the
as given in the Puttoocottay
Register and the District -
Pymash in the The grant
2 Villages was made
arises from on a Duftar
Poorompoke Rocca which
land nothing is registered
included in in No 25
the latter of the
account Collectors
Shrotriem
Register

Pd a D.
Tarriff 16-13-3
Jody 2-10-1
Diffe 14-3-2
In
Amanimman
dra poorum
The 1/8 Cum
goo Amount
according
to Pymash
V.M.G.
Nunja 1-1-7
Punja Vea 2-3-7
Total 4-4-14
Pd a D.
Tarriff 3-14-1

Jody 2-3-11
Diffe 3-10-2
Enjoyed
by other
Members
in Veerayan
Cotta Village
V.M.G.
207-8-80

In Amen
Simmandra
poorum
180-12-57.

and a Son of the deceased.
holders daughters who
have been examined seem
to know very little on the
point, but a post generally
that the deceased inherited
his holding from his
ancestors - Of 1 3/4 Pungoos
which he had in the
first mentioned Village
he mortgaged about 30
years ago 3/16 Pungoo to
Rajahgopalingan 3/16 to
Pandy Annual 1/8 to Gunapady

Ijem 3/16 to Soobba Chetty
and about 10 years ago
he mortgaged 1/4 Pungoo
to Alagery Ijengan Besidie
these he assigned as
maintenance 3/4 Pungoo to
his two daughters Soobbaya
Annal and Lutchmy Annal
in all amounting to 1 11/16
Pungoos 1/16 remained in
his possession The 1/2 Pungoo
mortgaged to the 1st mentioned
3 individuals was afterwards
redeemed - Of this he Sold
(time unknown) 3/8 Pungoo
to

14558

Fort St George 3d September 1858

Rc a &
Tarafs 2025-a-11
Jody- 126-7-5
Deffe 1898-9-6

These Sillages were never Segnestrated.

to Gopauryan and the remaining 3/16 was Sold in Sadarana 1850 Andy 2d to Canasoo Ramyen (the deceased's daughters son) who has filed the deed and claims the portion.

Of the 7/8 Pungoos which the deceased had in Ameesimmandrapoorum Sillage, he inherited it is alleged 13/16 from his ancestors and obtained 1/16 from the Sillagers But he alienated about 30 years ago 1/2 Pungoo of land to Moorty Iyen and Iyahwengar on mortgage and about 10 years ago sold 1/8 Pungoo to Rengasamy Iyengar and 1/8 to Iyahuyengar - From these transactions only 1/8 remained in the deceased holder's possession - This portion is now claimed by his daughter's Son who alleges that a stipulation had been entered into between himself and deceased whereby he (nephew) was constituted his Succession and that under such stipulation he has performed the funeral rites of the deceased.

These grants are of ancient dates though it is not known how they were held in July 1802 - It is recommended that the last holder's share in Veerayencattay be Continued in his name but to his several Mortgagees with the exception of the 9/16 Pungoo which he sold that the above 9/16 Pungoo be Continued to the two purchasers and that out of 7/8 share in Ameesimhandrapoorum, the 1/4 share sold by him be continued to the Purchasers and that the remaining 5/8 share including the mortgaged portion be continued in the name of his daughters son Canasooramyen agreeably to the Boards Circular 9th February 1854.

Jont.- S. George 3d September 1858

14559

116	Manangoody Talook Calavattoor Shrotriem As per Argm Rocca-	By Tolajee Rajah in A.D 1778 to 1789 Dhasista Braminis upon an Arzy Rocca -	The last holder named Soolliarayen died Onattandy September Premateetcha - He was no relation of the original grantee	Enjoyed by the deceased holder according to Symash	Son " Pungoo " Soolliarayen wife of D.° Soolliarayen	30 18		of the land in Column 2 the grantees gave to the owners of S m G. the Village 5-9-" as Gramabhogum and held the remainder themselves - Of this four of the original grantees whose names are not now known, sold to one Annasamyen about 70 years ago, 5 Vacies which were divided into 5 1/2
	S m G. Aunja 21-18-" Soonja "-"-" Total 21-18-" According to Symash Aunja -18-18-9 12/64 Soonja "-15-0 11/64 Total 19-13-9 124/64	It forms part of an extensive grant of 96 Villages. The Village named in Column 2 wns							
	Rs a P Tarriff 998-2-8 Jody 245-2-4 Diffce 753-11-4 Seven Sequestrated Translation of the Grant to which this Enam refers was sent to the Board with Talukdar report in Case 12-	granted to Venkayee Nanaghery and 11 others.		Held by other members S m G 19-5-42 4/64	The Son has now it appears purchased 3/16 Pungoos of Shrotriem land in the said Village He has also 10 Mawds of Tarriff land in Renganada poorum in Manangoody and 10 Pungoos of Shrotriem land in Vadoogee Cottagay in Puttoo Cottay.			Pungoos - From Annasamyen 2 of those Pungoos were afterwards purchased by Venkatasayen grandfather of the deceased holder who had 4 Sons named Chinnappayen Gopaulyen Andy moorty Iyen and Ramyen - These men divided the land - a half Share which Gopaulyen received at the said division descended to the last holder as his son

14560

Fort. S. George 3d September 1858

The period at which the land first came into the possession of the family of the deceased holder is not known - There is no deed forthcoming either for the first or second purchase above described. The Zymash accounts, however, shew that the whole 2 Pungoos were at that period in the possession of the deceased holder's father Thus the land of which the last holder died possessed has been twice alienated from the family of the original Grantee - once about 40 years ago (before, therefore, the passing of Regulation ~~XXXI~~ of 1802) and once at a later date not exactly known.

In reporting the Case for orders I recommend that in Consideration of the price paid and the long possession of the family of the deceased it be continued to his Son Pingoo nyen.

The.

Fort St George 3d September 1858

14561.

117	Managoody Talook Vaidapoorum Village Shrotriem As per Sscca & M G	No Summud or other documents forthcoming. It appears that the grant was made by Raja Prataponj between A D 1740 and 1762 to Saishadry Sastry - The date of the grant is not known - The Village is entered No 2 in the Register of Shrotriem Villages held without grant with a remark that the Summud was destroyed by fire..	Tarruff afsoft.	752-1-4			
			Shrotriem Jodee	219-12-4			
			Diffce	532-5--			
			was never Sequestrated.				

For Subdistance

The last holder, Share was Ramagiengar He died 1st March 183[illegible] was no relation of the original grantee.

	Share enjoyed by the deceased	Sons of the deceased 1 Vencata ramien	12
		2 Seishen	6
		widow of Do Sasthammal	45
		Undivided Cousin Paternal uncle's	
As per Scca & M G		4 son Gopalengar	32
		3 Son of Do Ramanooja Iyengar	23
Punja 16-7 40/64		wife of Do	
Punja 2-24 8/64		6 Alamalos	16
" 19- 48/64		Son of Gopaul Lengar	6
As per Survey		Wife of Vencata ramien	
Punja 1-4-25 32/64			
Punja --" 48/64		8 Saishy	6
1-4-27 16/64		Deceased's daughter	
Of these			

The land possessed by the last holder Consisted of 1½ Shares - These in the accounts of 1848 are entered jointly in his name and that of Gopalyengar his undivided Cousin - a half is said to have descended to the last holder from his father but it is not known how he acquired it. It is entered in the deceased holders name in the Pymash accounts.

The other one Punjo

				& M 8-95 64	were inherited	9 Runjum	30
				M G and 16-18 11/64	purchased by the	The above have 3/8 Caray in Manan Cattan Coat tagum in Tintoraspoondy Talook 1/16 in Coorichy and S M 1-5 in Punganand in this Talook all	
					last holder		
				Rs a P			
Tarruff afsoft	29-12-8						
Jody	12-11-7						
Diffce	17-12-1						
held by other Members							
& M G 20-13-64 16/64						Tarruff S Land	

was purchased by the last holder in 1833 from Roopparyengar for — Rs 124-8-- Roopparyengar is registered and his grand father obtained the land as a gift from one Sai Shadry Sastry the supposed original grantee. The deed of purchase of this Punjo is produced on Stampt paper.

Though the grant in this case is not forthcoming yet there is no doubt that it was made by a late Raja at an ancient date but how

and

14562

Fort St George 3d September 1858.

and by whom it was held in July 1802 cannot be ascertained - The case is therefore reported for orders - In Consideration of the half Pungoo inherited by the last holder having been long held by his family and the other one Pungoo having been fairly purchased I recommend that the whole 1/2 Pungoo be continued to his two Sons and his undivided Cousin numbered 1 - 2 and 4 respectively in Column 7.

118 Manargoody Sithamally Shrotriem as per Sicca

	M	G
Nunja	7	30

According to Pymash

	M	G	
Nunja	6	7	40 37/64
			80 a P
Tarriff	155	10	5
Jody	77	6	3
Diffe	78	4	2

Never sequestrated - Translation of the grant in this case was sent to Board in

By Tolajah Maharajah in A D 1778 to Dayohusta Bramins Comaya Vasudeva and 4 others is supported by an Argi Rocca which seems not to have been registered

Granted for Inscription

The last holder Surula Kristnyen died in February 1853 He was no relation of the original grantee.

By deceased as per Sicca

	M	G
Nunja	2	30

According to Pymash

	M	G	
Nunja	2	12	24/64
			40 a P
Tarriff	2	9	4
Jody	1	4	8
Diffe	1	4	8

By other members

	M	G	
	6	5	28 13/64

adopted son Kisnyen	32	
wife of Do Ba Cummel	26	
Son of Do Kaly and ramen	5	
Do by the maden	6 months	

They have 9/18 Canay of Tarriff land in this Village and 3/4 Pungoo of Shrotriem land in Ragoonadia Samoodrum in Puleottah Talook.

Of the land in Column 2 the Shrotriemdars gave 2/2 Valies to the Original proprietors of the Village as Rudrabhagum and Venkatasyen father of the last holder as one of those, got 3 Mahs and 17/2 Goolies for his Share which was inherited by the last holden The latter also made Several purchases between A D 1808 and 1851 - These purchases

Considered

14563.

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

Connection with the Case No 12

Consisted of Tarruff and Shrotriem land, too, as to every Tarruff holding in this Village a Certain portion of Shrotriem land was annexed according to its extent. The last holders purchase Amounted to 1/8 Pungoo of land to which belonged 4 - 37 1/2 m 9 of Shrotriem which under the practice Stated Came to the last holder in virtue of those purchases.

Hence the possession by that person of Shrotriem land amounting to 7 - 30 m 9 - He had two Brothers named Kooppary Iyen and Samaoyen between whom and himself the land was divided about 22 years ago. Each of them had 2 - 30 m 9 for his Share. The land which the last holder purchased is known to have formed part of that originally granted as Kudilbhogum but it is not known whether its Venders were Kudilbhogum holders or Subsequent purchasers from them. The last holder having no issue adopted his own Sisters Grand Son, who claims the Share - Under these Circumstances the Case is reported for orders with a recommendation that the Share of the deceased as given in Column 6 be Continued to the claimant No 1 in Colum 7. in Consideration of the ancient date of the grant and of the valuable Consideration paid for that portion of it which the deceased purchased.

119 Pattoocottah Talook Carroopoor Codyalum and Mooroor Shrotriem as per Register

V M G
Nunja 82-2-6¼
Punja 276-2-3¼
Total 328-4-3½

According to Pymash.

V M G
Nunja 63-15-38
Punja 71-6-84
Total 135-2-22

This Village is one of those for which there are no Summuds forthcoming It is however duly registered in the Coll's Office - In the Register is mentioned the Statement of the possessors of the Village that the Summud was lost by fire

The last holder named Narayanyengar died alpesey in October Keelaka 1848 He was no relation of the original Grantee.

2/2 Shares adapted held by the deceased according to Pymash

V M G
Nunja 2-13-18
Punja 2-17-41
Total 5-2-59
Rs a P
Tarriff 37-12-10
Jody 16-11-6
Diff 21-1-4
Held by other Members

V. M G
29-9-63

Son - Kristna Iyengar } 18
He has no other property Daughters of the deceased - Comala tummal } 1
Amma Cootty ammal } 2

The deceased holder had two brothers named Kristnyengar and Streeneva Soiengar the latter of whom is registered - Of these Kristnyengar obtained as a gift in A D 1792. ½ Pungoo of land from one Streeneva Soiengar; - and purchased in the same year from Lokshamana Iyen ¼ Pungoo for 17½ Chuckrums and in 1808 from the said Streeneva Soiengar ½ Pungoo of land for 35 chs amounting in all to 1¼ Pungoo - Out of these ¼ were given to their mother and ¼ to their sister for their respective maintenance and the remainder was divided amongst the three brothers - Of which the deceased holders Share amounted to ¼ Pungoo.

The deceased holder made some purchases and received some mortgages.

1 He purchased in 1818 from one Sasha payen for 23 Chuckrums } Pungoo ¼
2 " from Venkatachanny in 1823 for 50 chuks } ½

Rs a P
Tarriff 1389-4-1
Jody 401-4-10
Diffe 987-13-2

In the enquiry into the Case the holders never Zuffled The difference between the Register and the Pymash is consequent on the latter account not including the Purompoke repeat the same statement The grant appears to have been made by Pretapa Summaraja between A D 1740 and 1762 to one Seroo malatatar Cherry.

He

14565 Fort S. George 3d September 1838

3 He received from his brothers for his share in the 1/4 Pungoo given to their mother for maintenance on her death ____ 1/16

4 Purchased from Do in 1823 for 4 Chuckrums ____ 1/16

5 " from Rangayengar (his nephew) in 1831 for 25 Chuckrums ____ 5/16

6 He purchased from Seeth-ram Iyengar alias Ramanoojam Iyengar in 1836 for 13 Rupees 10 Annas ____ 1/8

7 He received from his brothers for his share in the 1/4 Pungoo given to their sister for maintenance on the Cattens death 1/16

1 3/8

He received on mortgage for Patta pundi then for 10 Chuckrums in Fasoia 1806 ____ 1/4

" From Iyah tora Iyengar in 1823 1/8

" From Sashagherry Iyengar in 1829 for 2 1/2 chuckes ____ 1/16

" From the Same in 1836 for 15 Rupees 9 Annas ____ 1/4

" From Appasamy Iyen in 1821 for 8 Rupees ____ 1/8

" From Thorasamy Iyengar in 1836 for 2 1/2 Rupees ____ 1/16

7/8

Total including inheritance 2 1/2

14566- Fort St George 3d September 1838

Deeds in support of the purchases and mortgages above described have been produced It is not known who the individuals were from whom the deceased holder purchased or received in mortgage the portion of land now in question The deceased holden had no Son and in 1845 four Months before his death, adopted his Brothers 2d Son - Kristnyengar who in obedience to the dying injunction of his adoptive Father disposed of 1/4 of the Said Dungoos in the following manner Viz 1/2 to Comalatammal 1/2 to Ammacoutty Ammal the deceased holders daughters and 1/4 to Streenevasen the deceased holders 2d daughters Son while the mortgage of 1/16 last mentioned was redeemed - The remaining 1 3/16 is now occupied by the adopted Son.

Under these Circumstances the Case is reported - It is observed that no Sunnud of grant is forthcoming - No doubt however exists as to the antiquity of the grant as Shewn in the Register - How the land amounting to 2 1/2 Shares was held in A D 1802 and whether it had then been alienated from the family of the Original grantee or not is not known - It is clear that the greater part of it Viz 2 1/8 Shares have been obtained by the last holders family for valuable Consideration Respecting the remaining part of his inheritance it is not known whether it was also so obtained or not or whether it comprised or not any part of the alienation of the 1/2 Share made in 1792. I therefore recommend that the 2 1/2 Shares left by the deceased be continued to the persons by whom they are now respectively held the temporary interest which Some of them have in the mortgaged portion which is subject to redemption at the pleasure of its owners being noted in the accounts as follows. —

14568

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

Encl. No. 1

Register No. 260 Report No. 111.

Translation

(Seal impression)

From Maharaj Rayaltey
Rajah Soolazagee to the
present and future Officers
of Irmady Division Stayled
Mahal Anam Carkoonance in the
year Soohoor Arbaeetyne Mya nUff. Durogah
Badul Enam

Yemoonabogye Saheb and
Sakhanar boyee Saeb, the [illegible] wives of Rajah
Relapsing having burned themselves on
the funeral pile of their deceased husband
on the eleventh day (being wednesday) of
the former half of the month Margaseersha
in the year Soobahanoo a grant of 1-8
of land by a rod of 14 feet in length in-
cluding Dhunnady and Velakady in the
Cusbah of Hanaly Maghanum has been
made on account of the said Boyee-
Saheb on the eleventh day after their self
immolation

14567

Fort St. George 17th June 1858

Received to enhance to the Contingent Charges as stated in Margin

Total Shares

To Komaleshwarmal daughter of the deceased	1/2	1/2
To Chinnakootty Ammal another daughter	1/2	1/2
To Veeranna son of Iyengar dec.d	"	1/4
		From Iyatoo Iyengar 1/8
		From Sadagopa Iyengar 1/8
To Rangaya son of the deceased	3/8	1/4
		From Do. 1/8
To Rangaya son of the deceased	5/8	1 3/16
		From Appasamy 1/8
		From Dandi Pundit 1/16
To Narasimma Iyengar	1/16	1/16
	1/1	2/2
Total	1/1	1/1

Fort St. George 17th June 1858.

Signed J. P. Phillips
Collector

J. Esquire

"resuming the grant made by another on
"loses the merit of one's own grant"—

Dernanagee hoozoor hazaret
Rajaitry Narasing row Ummund row.
Paishkar Moostaburood.—

Roojoo Soomanies

Summit Hoozoor nanies

Shrimara Karamadany

9th Rajah Ramulu Palangamud

Rajah Hoozoornamisood

Soresood

Entered on the 23d September
1809. No. 260. in the Register of Summa
=nem Lands in the Collectors Cutcherry

/Compared/ Goondapunt
Sheristadar

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H D Phillips
Collector

Register No. 257 Report No. 111.

Translation.

Seal in Persian

From Maharaj. Rajaitry
Toolyah Rajah to the present
and future Officers of Trimaly
Soobah styled "Mahalanam Coreemanie
in the year Soohoomsun arlea sittum
ooty.

nya Ulff damajah Badal Enam
Gemoonah Baisaib and
Takkanara Baisaib the wives of Rajah
Bratapsing having burned themselves
on the funeral pile of their husband
on the eleventh day (being wednesday)
of the former half of the month of
Margaseersha Soobhanoo, a grant of
V M G
1—8 land by a rod of 11 feet in
length including Dharmady and Vela:
=kady in the Cusbah of Tranaly Magha:
=nam has been made on account of the
said Baisaibs on the eleventh day after
their self immolation to Tembakabbutt
grandson of Makadanabbutt and son
of Ragoonadhabbutt of Moodgala ~
Goattra Aushoolayana sotra and a
student of the Reguedas as follows.—

	V	M	G
Nunjah	1	"	"
Poonjah at ¼ of Nunjah.	"	5	"
Do. for buildings and pasture at 3 Maws. per maily of Nunjah	"	3	"
Total	1	8	"

annexation to Trimbaka bhutt grandson of Mahadana bhutt and son of Rayaru bhutt of Moodgalagotha Aurvmalayana Soothra and a student of Rigmadasa as follows—

	V.	M.	G.
Nunjah	1	—	—
Poonjah at 5 mans per }	—	5	—
daily of Nunjah }			
Do for buildings and }	—	3	—
pasture at 3 Mans. }			
per Do }			
Total	1	8	—

Sunnud has also been issued on the 28th Jamadilakhir authorizing the grant of the total extent of the above one Vally and Eight mans including Nunjah and Poonjah of which deducting the 1 Vally given in Nunjah according to the terms of the above grant there remain 8 Mans to be given in Poonjah for pasture &c. but there is no Poonjah land in the cusbah available for the purpose, 8 Mans in

in Nunjah are given in lieu thereof.

The grant is moreover made in the form of Dharadutthum including wastle trees stones minerals treasures both present and future both existing and to come into existence with all the appurtenances thereunto belonging, and exempt from present and future taxe— The land to be made over after fixing the boundaries to be continued hereditarily from son to grandson and so forth Fresh sunnuds not to be required every year Copy to be taken and the Original returned to the grantee—

Verses in Sanscrit.

"It is more virtuous to
"maintain than to make a grant. By
"granting ones goes to heaven while by
"maintaining a grant one gains eternal
"bliss There is twice the moral merit in
"maintaining a grant made by another
"than in making one oneself.— By

Resuming

"resuming the grant made by another one
"loses the merit of one's own grant"—

Pernanagee hoozoor hazaret

Rajesby Narasing row Ummund row.

Paishway Moostaheesood.—

Roojoo Soomanies

Summit Hoozoor nanies

Shreenera Karmadany

9th Rajah Ramulu Palmyamaid

Rajah Hoozoornanissood

Soonesood

Entered on the 23d September
1809. No. 260. in the Register of Swamy
=mem lands in the Collectors Cutcherry.

/Compared/ Goondoopunt
Sheristadar

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H D Phillips
Collector

Register No. 257 Report No. 111.

Translation.

Seal in Persian

From Maharaj. Rajesby
Toolzah Rajah to the present
and future Officers of Trimby
Soobah styled "Mahalanam Carcormam"
in the year Soohoomsum arlea sitham
=my.

myallUff Damajah Badal Enam
Jemoonah Baisaib and
Jakkamma Baisaib the wives of Rajah
Prataping having burned themselves
on the funeral pile of their husband
on the eleventh day (being wednesday)
of the former half of the month of
Margaseersha Soobhanoo, a grant of
V M G
1—8 land by a rod of 14 feet in
length including Dharmady and Vela:
=kady in the Cusbah of Hanaly Magha:
=num has been made on account of the
said Baisaibs on the eleventh day after
the self immolation to Jembakabbutt
grandson of Mahadanabbutt and son
of Ragoonadhabbutt of Moodgala
Goattra Aushoolayana sotha and a
student of the Rigvedas as follows.—

	V	M	G
Nunjah	1	"	"
Poonjah at 1/4 of Nunjah.	"	5	"
Do. for buildings and pasture at 3 Maws. per nally of Nunjah	"	3	"
Total.	1	8	"

The grant has been moreover made in the form of Dharadattum including water, trees stones, minerals, treasuries both present and future both existing and to come into existence — with all the appurtenances therein to belonging and exempt from present and future tax The land to be made over, after fixing the boundaries. The grant to be continued hereditarily from son to grandson and so forth Fresh summuds not to be required every year Copy to be taken and the original returned to the Brahmin above referred to.—

Sanscrit verses

"It is more virtuous to maintain, than to make a grant. By granting one goes to Heaven, while by "maintaining a grant, one gains Eternal "bliss. There is twice the moral merit "in maintaining a grant made by "another one loses the merit of one's own

own grant.—

Patack Hoozoor Hazereth Rajestry Narasinga Row Ammunda Row Peshway Moostabasood.—

28th Jamadilakhal Ranahm.

Scalin Banlobund

Roy.o Soornamy

Palangana nissood

Hozooma nissood

Soorso sood

Entered on the 23d September 1809 No. 257 in the Collectors Enam list with the following remark.

Out of the total extent of 1—8 granted to Trembakasamyje as per this grant one naily of Nunjah only is held by the present incumbents As regards poonjah an equivalent was granted in Nunjah on the same village by Sircar summud No. 260 owing to there having been no land of the former description in the village available for.

		M
		1—8 "
		M
Nunjah	1—8 "	
Poonjah	"—8 "	
	1—8 "	

Fort St. George 3d September 1858.

for the purpose.—

(Compared Groundsperut
Sheristadar

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H D Phillips
Collector

Register
No. 26

Report
No. 111 of Translation

Seal in Persian

From Maharaz Registry Poolagazee to the present and future officers of Tayore Divisions

Styled Mahal Anam Carcoonaed in the year sookoor sullah sublune Styaliff Daranajah Badul Enam.

A surnamanem grant of 1 Valy and 8 maws of land by a rod of 14 feet in length in the Village of Danestanum Perambooleyoor in the said Tayore Division including Dhunnady and Velueady is hereby made to Ramiah, grandson of Ramiah and son of Ramanah

of

Fort St. George 3d September 1858.

of Streeuteha Goattra, Anpastumba Soottra and yejoor shakladhayee as follows.—

	V.	M.	G.
Nunjah	1	"	"
Poonjah at the rate of 5 Maws per one Valy of Nunjah	"	5	"
Dº for building and pasture at 3 maws per one valy of Nunjah.	"	3	"
Total	1	8	"

The 8 Maws forming the last 2 items to be given out of Nunjah there being no Poonjah in the village available for the purpose.

The grant has moreover been made in the form of Daradutham including water trees stones, minerals, treasures, both present and future both existing and to come into existence with all the appertenances thereunto belonging

belonging and exempt from present and future taxe. the land to be made over after fixing the boundaries to be continued hereditarily from son to grandson & and so forth. Fresh sunnuds not to be required every year. Copy to be taken and the Original returned to the Brahmin above referred to

In Sanscrit verses.

"It is more virtuous to maintain
"than to make a grant. By granting
"one goes to Heaven, while by maintaining
"a grant, one gains Eternal bliss &c.
"There is twice the moral merit in
"maintaining a grant made by another
"than in making one oneself. By resuming
"the grant made by another, one
"loses the merit of ones own
"grant."

Polal Hoojoor Hagaret
Rajestry Narasinga Row annundarow

Paishwag.

Paishwag Moolahasood.—

12th Jamadelakher
Ramabar
Palainganamessood

Seal in Baulobund

Seemal
Hoozooramess
Roojoo soomaness

Hoozoramessood.

Soomsood

Entered on the 5th June
1809. No. 26 in the Collectors Enam
Register.

/Compared/ Goondopunt
Sheristadar

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

Register
No. 396

Report
No. 111
Translation
From Maharaj Rajestry Rajah
Ratapsing to the present and
future officers of Tewady Patake.
Styled "Mahalanameca seconane."

Seal.

in the year Soo hoor sun Tsudy urhind
Mya alfy Daroojah Badal.

A Surnamaanam grant by
a rod of 14 feet in the cubah of Tanaily
Maghanam is hereby made during the
holy time of the Eclipse of the sun which
took place on the new moon in the
latter half of the month of Karthigy to
Soobha Deetchit, grandson of Rungaudha
Deetchit and son of Veneana Sonaya
=jee of Saluaseeha Goattra Aupatumba
Soottra, and a student of the Gejoor
vedas as follows.—

V. M. G.

Nunjah to be given lengthwise north of the Andanacoorchy Canal and northwest of Vishwapaty Deetchits Enam land	/	—	" — "
Poonjah		" — 5 —	"
	/	— 5 —	"

Besides

Besides the above land for
buildings and pasture to be given as
usual. The grant is moreover made
in the form of Dharadutturn includ:
=ing water trees stones minerals.
treasures, both existing and to come
into existence with all the appurtenan:
=ces thereunto belonging and exempt
from present and future tax. The
land to be made over after fixing
the boundaries. To be continued.

hereditarily from son to Grandson
and so forth. French Summuds not
to be required every year. Copy to be
taken and the original returned
to the grantee.—

In Sanscrit verses

"It is more virtuous to
"maintain than to make a grant. By
"granting one goes to Heaven, while
"by maintaining a grant one gains
"eternal bliss. There is twice the
"moral merit in maintaining a
grant

"grant made by another them in making
"one onesely. By resuming the grant
"made by another one loses the merit
"of ones own grant".-

Patak Hoozoor Hazareth
Rajistry Narasinga Row Anundarow
Peshway Moortahasood.-

Sammal Hoozoor — nama so.

6th Counsel
Ravabar
Palanganamees
Sood.

Hoozoor names Sood

Soorsood

Entered on the
22d Sunnamummm
Register.

1812. No. 396 in
Collectors.

/Compared/ Goondapunt
Sheristadar
/True Translation/
/Signed/ H D Phillips
Collector
No. 2

Register No. 21.

Report No. 112

Enclosure No. 2

Seal
Ravasood

Translation

From Maharaj Rajestry Rajah Pettampsinga to the present and future officers of Pennlappal:-ter soobah in the year sookoor sun sullasa Utsvine Mya Ulff Dunnajah Pundul shro:-triuun.-

All the Mahajenas of Voomattanand Dumsoon have addressed to us a petition to the following effect.-

Formerly in the times of his Highness the former Maharajah when large additions were made to his tessitories. a Balajee Runganatha Soobadar by order of his said Highness reclaimed the uninhabited Jungles with which the country was covered provided accomodation for travellers at proper places rendered the road to Ramiseram passable, built Aggrahararums and presented them to Brahmins granting them at the same time lands on shrotriem tenure for their subsistence with a

new

view to the promotion of the study of the vedas and shastras in this manner he established many families of Brahmins. His example was followed by the three Rajahs who succeeded him. But in the present reign the former Mamuldars (or officers) collected extra cess from us promising that it will be reimbursed to us in future. The present officers have not only disallowed the promised compensation but also continue to collect the extra cess. In consequence of this and the failure of crops through drought we find it impossible to maintain ourselves in this desolate region. Your Highness is exceedingly kind and benevolent and a protector of brahmins and cows.—

Under these circumstances we humbly pray your Highness will be pleased to issue fresh sicca sunnads for our relief directing the said officers to

to collect from us no more than the shastrium assessment originally fixed and granting an exemption from extra cess such as "Armanishe" and "Firmanishee" and we will conform to the terms of the sicca sunnads so issued eternally pray for your Highness prosperity and be happy under your Highness administration.

The shastrium teerma therefore which you will collect from the shastrium villagers at the rate originally fixed is for 20 villages in all.— Tanjore Chuckrums 2337—5.

(Here the particulars of the above are given villagewar in the grant)

The said Amount of two thousand three hundred and thirty seven Chuckrums and half will be collected within 6 months from Fye. In this manner you will annually collect tax from the shastrium villagers and exempt them from additional impositions. Copy to be taken and the original returned to the Brahmins shastriendar

of.

of the above villages Pataek Hoozoor-
Huzereth Rajastry Narasinga Row ammuda
Row Peshway Moartahasood.-

Streemeeracasa
naidue

Rajas
Soorunes

Khaskel
Samnat

Samuet
Hoozooreears

Khuckel
sood

Hoozooramu
sood

Seeroosood

10 Jilkaid
Ranahar
Palamgaumnes
sood

Entered on the 7th March
1810 in No. 21 of the Collectors shrotriem
register with the following explanation
stated to have been furnished by the
shrotriemdar regarding the Differences
between the shrotriem land as granted
by sicca sunnud and as held at the
registry.

The following deductions
having been made from the above
amount of Chs 2337—8—5.-
Seerwah on account of two out of the above
20 villages viz. Kodunje Palanjeland-
abharam poor subsequently granted by Royal
Pretapsing

Pretapsing for the support of Chaitoo banah
chettrum. Chs 7—8
100—"—"

Do. on account of kuttwana thapoor grant-
ed Periya banadear koonil Pagoda which
was subsequently in the times of Rajah
Toolzajee included in the aindunghy di-
vision under the name of Kynaini
-nuyel with a demand raised from Chs 20—"—"
as per this grant to Chs 66 Ch. 8
20—"

Portion of the demand on four villages
viz. Veriyencoatly adueke Jauer agra-
-harum Soobramanayapooram and area do.
-Coot by Jauer agraharum remitted by Rajah
Pretapsing. 291—5

Total 411—5

the remainder was 1926 Chuckrums to which adding
extra demand on account of Dasara Sunsranty &c.
amounting to Chs 464 9 16 the total amount payable
to the circar as it now stands is 2390 9 16-
The number of villages according to this grant after
deducting the three (exclusive of hamlets) above
referred to is 17 which as now held by the shrotriem-
-dars have increased to 33 in consequence of the
hamlets attached to some of them having been
elapsed as distinct villages since the date of the grant.—

(Compared) Boroodapunt
Shirustadar

(True Translation)
(Signed) H D Phillips
Collector.

Cust. No. 3

Register No. 75 Report No. 115

Translation

Seal in person

Shree Rutsh Veerakurana Sermy.

Duftar Rocca to the Gurkums of Hallasspat Shanulum or Province Sooluk Pullocottah in the year Sookoor sum Tipsa summanum myaruff Darogah Dadal

On the 10th Saptur a shotinum grant was made out of the lands which for many years past had been left to uncultivated in the said Sooluk owing to their having been overgrown with trees to the undermentioned individuals for Agrahaarum charity.

Venkatasunga Deekshit

Appasastry

Sivasaroma Sastry

Devasaya Sastry

Sooluk Sastry and other Brahmins (Here the particulars of the grant are given in the Summud)

The said seven villages having been

been granted as shotinum to the Brahmins of the five Aggraharums the Annual Shotinum Jeerwah payable to the Circar thereon is fixed at Fanjore Chuckrums 60, to be levied as usual by monthly instalments from the next year, the cultivation season having elapsed in the current one. The produce grown on the above lands is to be enjoyed by the grantees hereditarily from son to grand son and so forth. Fresh sunnuds not to be required every year copy to be taken and the original returned to the Brahmins above referred to.—

Palak Hoozoor Hazarath

Registry Trembaka Row annunda Row

Peshway Moortaboosood.—

Gt. Rabplanel Ravabar

Seal in Persian

Rajoo Soonaup

Palangana: nufood

Soodoosood

Entered

14589 Fort St. George 3d September 1858

Entered on the 7th March 1810.
No. 35 in the Collectors' Shottrums Re-
gister with the following particulars
stated to have been given by the
Shottrumdars.

The annual Teerwah
payable to the Circar as per this Sun-
nud is Chuckrums 60 to which adding
extra demand on account of Dasara
Saveranty &c. viz. Chs. 14 — 8 5 — 6 10 the
total amount as at present
owned stands at — Chs. 74 4 5 6 10.

(Compared) Govindsamy
Shristadar
(Translation)

(Signed) G. D. Phillips
Collector

To

14590 Fort St. George 3d September 1858

No. 289

To J. D. Sinn Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. C. No. 3858
To The Actg Collector
of Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue under date the 12th Instant
I have the honor to inform you that
upon further enquiry it appears that
the exclusive privilege of manufacturing
country fermented liquors alone and
of retailing these as well as foreign liqu-
ors was sold to the Abkarry renters
of the District The right of distilling
spirits according to the European proces
was not sold to them but the provi-
sions of act XXXII of 1845 not having
hitherto

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

hitherto been brought into practice in this District it was overlooked in reference to Mr. Winters request.-

2. Mr. Winters character appearing to be unexceptionable I shall, pending the orders of the Board of Revenue, as a temporary arrangement grant him a License, in the form prescribed in the Boards Circular order of 26th February 1846 permitting him to distil rum at Tuticorin Before doing so his liabilities under Regulation I of 1820 & Act XXXIII Vic. shall be explained to him as required in the Board's Circular Order of 18th October 1852.-

Tinnevelly Collr.
Circuit Collectorship
Tencoussey
21st August 1858.

Signed D. Mayne
Ag. Collector

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

No. 98

Bd. No. 2551
R. The Ag. Collr. of
Malabar
No. 1338

Proceedings to Govt.
dated 18th May/58
No. 1789

Sir,

With reference to the Memorandum which accompanied your letter to Government dated 16th April last, I have the honor to request that a Copy of the Proceedings noted in the Margin may be transmitted at your convenience for the use of this Board as they do not appear to have been furnished.

Calicut
10th June 1858

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

No. 115.

To
J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 3893
R. The Ag. Collr. of Malabar

In Suit No. 178 of 1858

Sir,

I have the honor to lay before the Board the accompanying translate of a Plaint filed in the Wynaad Moonsifs Court by a female named Cherangot Oomanah and her brother Ramany Nair against the Government Officers noted in the Margin for the recovery of Rupees 1000, being damages for defamation of character alleged to have been caused by certain expressions used in the Reports submitted by these Officers to the Magistrate in reference to a Criminal case.-

1 Vadayoor Gramem Tahwara Putter late Acting Police Annem of Porotaly in Wynaad.

2. Tarale ab Gramom Parasha Putters Sons Thamar Putter present Police Annem of do.

To

1 2. To put the Board in possession
of the whole facts of the case, I deem
it desirable to append a Memoran-
2/ dum giving them in detail, and I request
that, on the grounds set forth in the
3/ enclosed Draft of the proposed answer
you will be good enough to obtain the
sanction of Government for defending
the Suit at the public expense.-

Calicut
24.th August 1858 } I Signed D. Grant
Coll.r

Enc.1

To,

The District Moonsiff's Court of Wynaad

Plaint of Pangasha Menon Vakeel
on behalf of Cherangot Oome
mah female, and 2.d Cherangot
Ramany Nair, residing at
Cherangot Tarrah Ool Dishom
and Vemshom, in the Wynaad
Talook.

I beg to prefer a suit against Valayoo
Gramam Ishwara Putter, residing at Tallikotta
Daimigara

Dominga Vemshom of the Calicut Talook,
late Acting Police Ameen of Porakaddy and
now a Goomastah in the Huzzoor Summa-
bundy Cutcherry and Tarakat Gramam
Porasha Putter's son Shoimoo Putter, the
present Police Ameen of Porakady, residing
at Kedangonad Deshom, Geneapathy
wuttom Vemshom, in the Wynaad Talook,
for the recovery of Rupees 1,000 being damages
for defamation of character.-

1 In the Police Proceedings held by the
1.st Defendant in reference to the death of
Chettiankandy Ramoottys, he, (the 1.st Defen-
dant) to gain the good will and patronage
of the then Acting Tahsildar, the 3.d Defen-
dant, the enemy of the 2.d Plaintiff wilfully
stated in his report on the case, in the absence
of any proof on the subject, and with the view
of disgracing the Plaintiffs, that the said
circumstance of the illicit intercourse
which he was carrying on with the 1.st Plain-
tiff, from the close intimacy which existed
between

between the latter and him (Ramotty) who was not equal, but inferior, to her in caste, had come to light. These expressions are derogatory to the Plaintiffs rank and family as well as to the Plaintiffs themselves and have been used without any recorded affording ground for such defamation, Concurring in this, the 3d Defendant, without weighing the evidence and truth of the case, declared in his Report to the Magistrate that the 1st Defendants report was correct.-

2. The Magistrate dismissed the case without admitting at all the defamatory expressions used by the 1st and 2d Defendants to the disgrace of the 1st Plaintiff chiefly, and the 2d Plaintiffs Tarward or family.

3. Under these circumstances, I pray that as damages for defamation of character caused to the family of the Plaintiffs who are persons of respectability and to the 1st Plaintiff (chiefly) by the disgraceful language used by the 1st and 2d Defendants a sum of Rupees 1000 may be paid to the Plaintiffs to get them with interest occuring thereon, and costs.-

Dated 10th / 22d May 1033 / 1858

Signature

/True translation/
/Signed/ P. Grant
Acting Collr.

Memorandum

Memorandum

On the 21st. February 1857 the Corpse of a Nair named Ramotty was discovered on the Eastern side of the high road in the immediate vicinity of the Travellers Bungalow at Nilalam in the Wynaad Talook

The then Police Ameen of Porrakaddy Govinda Menon held an inquest on the corpse and drew out a Memo of the result. On the enquiry he learnt from an individual called Veerapen of the parties who were stated to have discovered the Corpse- that both himself and the deceased had proceeded to Deenaly a place about 5 Miles distant from Nilalam on the 21st. February 1857 and got drunk on their return that the deceased being unable from inexcecation to proceed on their journey stopped on the high road and told his companion to walk on taking with him a cloth Kumpe and handkerchief which

which he (the deceased) had in his possession that the former accordingly left him behind and took the articles in question to the house of one Ramasawmy nair where he the deceased was living to the inmates of which he gave an account of what had prevented Ramasawmy from returning with him that as the latter did not make his appearance for three days the inmates of the house last referred to accompanied by Veerapen went in search of him and found his corpse in the locality above described.

The Police Ameen took a deposition to this effect from the said Veerapen and submitted it with a report to the then Head of Police Mr. Schmidt under date the 3d March 1857 in which the death was attributed to the deceased having fallen down in an intoxicated state from some high position into a place below. Not even the slightest suspicion of foul play was shewn to have existed in the matter.

Mr.

Mr. Schmidt the Head of Police failed to submit this report to the Magistrate's Office and after his dismissal the Police Ameen Govinda Menon who had made the report, having been appointed to act as the Head of Police, forwarded it to the Acting Magistrate, under date the 18th April 1857.

The features of the case led Mr. Robinson to entertain a suspicion that the deceased had come by his death by foul means. The corpse as shewn by the inquest Memo bore marks of ill treatment. Pegs of wood were stated to have been found driven through the eyes into the interior of the head. The primary inquiry appearing to have been made in a highly unsatisfactory manner the case was remanded for further investigation on the 4th May 1857.

By the time that the case reached the Talook Cutcherry the present Head of Police had assumed charge of the Talook and the Officiating Thasildar. the Police Ameen (who had conducted the original

original enquiry) had returned to his duties. But as he died soon after the required further investigation into the matter had to be conducted by his successor. Shamoo Putter, who after a careful enquiry and personal inspection of the locality gave it as his opinion that a fall of the nature reported by his predecessor could not have occasioned death and that it was apparently the result of violence. No clue to the perpetrater of the deed, was however discovered. But it appeared that an ill feeling had existed between the deceased and Ramoorry Nair. The present Head of Police in forwarding the Police Ameens Proceedings recommended the offer of a reward per such information as might lead to the detection and conviction of the murder.

Mr. Robinson acceded to this recommendation, and a reward of 100 Rupees was accordingly proclaimed.—

While matters were in this position

position the present Head of Police having obtained leave of absence the Police Ameen Shamoo Putter was appointed to act for him and one Ishwara Putter a Gomastah in the Huzzoor Office was sent to officiate as Police Ameen. In his time he received information from the Adigharry of the Moonanaad Village in Wynaad that a Pariah or slave called Chatty, (a discarded servant of Ramoony Nair) and two other individuals of the same caste (whom he named) would if examined be able to clear up the mystery which hung over this important case.

The Police Ameen had one of them before him viz Pariah Chaty and also the above mentioned Veerapen and two other parties called Cerayan and Manickam (a female), and examined them. The first named individual (Pariah Chaty)

Chaly) deposed that the deceased Ramoothy Nair who was living with Ramoothy Nair was maintaining an intrigue with the latters sister Oomamah this having come to his Knowledge that Ramoony Nair expelled her out of his house and that she was living separately that as Ramoothy Nair was still persevering in the intrigue he was also turned out of the house by Ramoony Nair and was afterwards living with his mistress the said Oomamah that the said Ramoothy nair and Veerapen having one day proceeded to Devaly and returned to Oomamah's house, she told him that her brother Ramoony Nair had sent a message desiring him and Veerapen as well as her sons Kloman and Kelloo to go to his house that they all proceeded thither accordingly the deponent accompanying them with a torch that on their arrival at Ramoony Nairs house, he asked

Ramoothy

Ramoothy Nair about certain thefts of Coffee which he was committing in his estate that this led to an altercation between them and Ramoony Nair in a fit of passion struck him a blow with a stick. He cried out and fell down on the spot upon which Kloman and Kelloo Ramoony nairs nephews beat him with sticks and kicked him from the effects of which he died immediately that Ramoony Nair then said that his death could be accounted for by creating a belief that he had got drunk on his return from Devaly and that having fallen down from the effects of intoxication he had died on the road that with this view he told the parties present that the corpse should be conveyed and thrown away somewhere beyond Nelliatton that the Deponent and his son Pooyah bore the Corpse and were

were accompanied by the said Veerapen and Ramoony Nair nephews above mentioned as well as two other individuals that they threws the corpse on a low ground close to the high road beyond the Veliallom Bungalow. that to give rise to an impression the he had died by a fall on that spot all the parties then present were of opinion that some wounds must be inflicted on the corpse that Kelloo (one of Ramoony Nairs nephews) then cut two pegs of wood with his knife and drove them into his eyes and trust another peg into the anus that after this they returned and that all that he related as above had occured at midnight that the next morning the said Koma and three others one of whom was Veerapen went and made a Mock search for the man and returned.- On the third day they went again and one of them returned and said that the Corpse had been discovered-

Veerapen on being re-examined in this last enquiry corroborated the above statement-

Of the other two parties examined on the occasion one Veerayan stated that he was told by one Kamaran Nair that Ramoolly Nair the deceased had been murdered.-

The remaining witness a woman deposed that she heard cries and blows in Ramoony Nairs house

Sworn

house when she thither to get a light, but saw nothing.

The Police Ameen on submitting the Proceedings held as above, to the Acting Head of Police Kamaroo Pattar, expressed it as his opinion that the murder had been perpetrated by Ramoony Nair and two of his nephews, named Koman and Kellow, and that another nephew of his, by name Cannan, and two other parties were accessories to the crime. The cause which led to the murder was headed, to be found in the 10th Witness Parinam Chetty's Statement Vis. the illicit connexion which the deceased was carrying on with Ramoony Nair's sister Komarah, and which, from his being a low caste Nair, was disgraceful to the family, and activated

actuated Ramasamy Nair to entertain a deadly hatred against him.

The Acting Dresid: of Police Shamma Patta, in forwarding the Proceedings to the Acting Magistrate, submitted, a report expressing his concurrence in the Police Amens views and suggesting the committal of the case to the criminal Court.

Mr. Robinson had the witnesses brought before himself and after re-examining, two of them "viva voce" determined that the case could not be committed to the criminal Court on their evidence and directed its dismissal.

A Civil action has now been brought forward by the aforesaid Annamah and her brother Ramasamy Nair against the late Acting Policeman, Ishwara Puttar and the present Police Ameen, Shamma Puttar who was officiating as the Head of Police, when the case was last sent up) for the recovery of Rupees 1,000 being damages for defamation of

of character which they allege to have been sustained by the proceedings of these two officers.

Cuddalore
24th August 1858

(Signed) L Grant
Acting Collector

Encl. No 3

To

The District Moonsiffs Court
of Nynaad

Answer of
Va Rul on behalf of Shamma
Patta, Pora Nady Police
Ameen 2d Defendant in
Suit No. 178 of 1858.

As this Suit comes under the prohibition laid down in Act XVIII of 1850, it is not admissible in a Court of Law.-

2. From the investigation held before the 1st and 2d Defendant, and the opinion expressed by the Head of Police, in regard to the death of Ramotty, there appeared various reasons

14607

Fort St. George 3d September 1858

reasons for believing that it had resulted from causes other than natural. Moreover, the expressions which the Plaintiffs charge the Defendants with having used to their disgrace, are to be found in the Statement made by Parian Caruthu Chetty before the 1st Defendant. And from the Depositions given on the side of the accused parties in the Police case (adverted to in the Plaint), there was reason for supposing the said Chetty's Statement to be correct.

3 In an investigation held by a Police Officer in a Police case brought before him, it is his duty to submit, in writing to his Superior the opinion he actually entertains in reference to the case and the communications addressed to him addressed by Defendant officially in the discharge of their public duty to their Superior. They were consequently privileged by

Under these circumstances I pray that the Suit may be dismissed with costs.

Signed P. Grant

Ag Collector

14608

Fort St George 3d September 1858

No 40

To L D Sim Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd No 3718

From the Ag Supdt 3 Sir

of Stamps 3

+ Communicated to this office with Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of 26th March 1858.

1 With reference to the concluding para of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated the 10th March 1858 No 312 Revenue Department, I have the honor to report on the frauds committed in certain Districts on account of refunds of Stamp Duty payable under Act XVII of 1848, independent of the frauds at Pulicat, which have been adverted to in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of 18th June last.

2 In order to ascertain the particulars connected with the frauds in question, it was necessary for me to communicate with the Collectors and Sessions Judges respectively on certain points, the final reply to the reference made by this office to the Collector of Chingleput was received on the 3d instant.

3 The districts in which frauds were committed are given in the margin and the sums defrauded are not very large. I herewith submit a List shewing the number of Certificates on which unauthorized refunds of Stamp Duty were

Salem
North Arcot
South Arcot
Rajahmundry
Madura
Chingleput

were paid the circumstances under which payment was fraudulently obtained by the parties and in what manner the cases have been disposed of by the Sessions Courts, after the necessary enquiry as intimated to this office by the Collector of the District abovementioned.

2. It would be seen from the accompanying List, that the sums improperly paid amount to Rupees 19-8-0. It is surprising to me that the erasures so evident in the Certificates did not attract the attention of the Collector's Establishment through whose hands the Certificates had to pass before payment. The Certificates are herewith sent for inspection, and I leave it to the Board to determine whether under the circumstances above stated the Collector's Establishment should be held answerable for the amount or the sum be written off to a Profit and Loss. In the latter case I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for writing off the accounts the amount in question.

(Signed) J. L. Lushington
Ag Supdt of Stamps

Fort St George
Genl Stamp Office
18th August 1858

Number of Certificate	By whom
	ed by altering thereinced from "after" to "before" the the Certificate No. 137.
137	Moonsiff and the Collector states ent for similar frauds Nos Certificate No. 199
199	Moonsiff Solely altering the dates of tion having expired—
213	Moonsiff No. 213 was sentenced Pay
66	Moonsiff forgery in the Certificate but the other who was Mo ntenced to 4 years
115	Moonsiff o e committed in the Chey fies the crime to any s individuals—
2	Moonsiff
3	Do forgeries in the o dead and that the
78	Do al Sudr Ameen
47	Do want of proof—

shington
t of Stamps From

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

Mis: No 2108
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Reporting that no cases cognizable under Regulation IX of 1822 and VI of 1831 for July 1858.

Mis No 2107
From the Collector of Tinnevelly } Rain Report for the month of July 1858

Mis No 2110
From the Collector of Tinnevelly } Average Bazar Rates for July 1858.

Mis No 2109
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Requests to transmit 2 sets of Printed Forms of the usual Summary Statements

Mis No 2111
From the Collector of Madura } Reports that the Revised Estimate of fixed annual Establishment was forwarded with his letter dated 6th March 1858.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Saturday 4th September 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government & bound in a Separate Volume.

Financial Department

No 302

Official Memorandum

R No 3824
From the Chief Secy to Government } The Chief Secretary to Government has the honor to request that the letter from the Board of Revenue called for under date the 9th Instant and order of Government dated 7th August 1858 No 378 may be furnished with the least practicable delay.

Fort St George 23 August 1858 } (Signed) C. Dycraft Chief Secretary

Judicial Department

B No 3977
From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 28 August 1858.

Communicating to the Commissioner of Police the

the Board's Proceedings of 15th August 1858 on the subject of the robberies on goods that are being carried on in the beach.

(See B. 1191 in separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3929
From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st August 1858.

Communicating an Extract Minutes Consultation in the Public Department fixing the 1st Rs. number as the date for the examination of Cadets for admittance to the Revenue Service and remitting a Commission for conducting it.

(See B. 1181 in separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3942
From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st August 1858.

Furnishing a copy of the letter with its enclosures from the Government of Madras No. 103 & 135 of 1857 on the subject of the Salaries of the Collectors of Ganjam & Vizagapatam

(See No. 1193 in separate Volume)

file of the Court of Sudr Adalut.

Revenue Department

B. No. 3951
From the Sy. Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 1st September 1858

Reviewing the Report on the wastage of Salt in the several Manufacturing Districts of the Madras Presidency during Fasli 1264, 1265 & 1266. and granting authority for writing off the respective amounts of wastage

(See No. 1198 in separate Volume)

Revenue Department

B. No. 3978
From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 3rd September 1858.

Authorizing the Acting Collector of Ganjam to adapt the line of defence proposed by him in regard to suit No. 54 of 1858 instituted in the Civil Court of Chicacole against the proprietors of the Tarkelly Estate

(See No. 1201 in separate Volume)

Revd

Fort St George 4th September 1858

Read the following Papers.
No 142

To J. A. Lees Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

B No 3953
From the Collector
of Ganjam

Sir

With reference to your
Circular letter under date the 25
March last No 1098. I have the honor
to state for the information of
the Board of Revenue that Mr H.
Keay published a Circular order
in the District Gazette of the
25 December last to the effect
that all public Offices should
without exception be closed on
Sundays for the despatch of Or-
dinary and routine business.

It does not appear that
any inconvenience has result
-ed from the observance of the
order.

Ganjam District
Collectors Office
Chatterpore
25 August 1858

I have
[illegible]
Collector

Fort St George 4th September 1858

No 69

To
The Secretary
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

B No 2843
From the Collector
of Rajahmundry

Sir

I have the honor to
submit for the orders of the Board
a letter to my address from Mr
G. D. Faulkner, begging to be allow-
ed to pass three iron boats, through
the Custom House at this place, free
of duty, under the orders of Government
exempting machinery &c. from import
duty. These boats were made in
England and Shipped in pieces from
Liverpool. They were intended for
use as passenger and light freight
boats on the main lines of Canal in
this District. Sheet iron for the hulls
of steamers is exempted from duty but
I do not consider iron boats, or iron
for boats, fall under this designation,
or can be classed as machinery, and
I am not aware of any peculiar cir-
cumstances

5th Sept 1857
No 889. 129

circumstances which would justify a recommendation in favor of admitting such duty free. I make the reference however at the urgent solicitation of the party interested. I cannot learn that, as stated by Mr Faulkner, similar boats were allowed to pass duty free by my Predecessor, but have asked Mr Faulkner to favor me with further particulars.

Rajahmundry District
Collectors Office
Cocanada
26th June 1858.

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector.

/A True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector.

Encl. No /

To
A. Purvis Esqr
Collector
Cocanada.

Sir.

I shall feel obliged if you will refer the matter concerning duty on the 3 iron Boats Ex "Arkebut" I am under the impression that there is no

no claim contemplated by Government upon iron Boats built in part in England. Indeed the late Collector allowed 3 Boats to enter and never made any claim for them and of course when I ordered them on account of the navigation company I did not contemplate, or lead them to expect, that any duty would be claimed.

I believe also it is levied in Bengal. and I trust you will take into consideration that a novelty which may become general. say the use of iron Boats instead of wood, should receive every assistance.

These Boats are for use in the channels of the Godavery to carry passengers, parcels &c. I hope you will support my views not only as regards the present Boats, but for any ordered in future, and that it

Fort St. George 4th September 1858

it may be distinctly laid down rule that no duty be demanded either on vessels as a Boats for steamers, Boats for passengers or for Cargo, and as I have been applied to build some of iron here, that a remission of duty shall be paid upon all iron plate used in their construction. If we can once introduce these Boats I conceive it will be most beneficial.

/Signed/ G. A. Faulkner

/True Copy/

/Signed/ A. Purvis

Collector

No 130

To G. L. Norbedge Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

BR No 3005

With reference to an official Memorandum of the Comptr Genl, Revenue being a reminder for the Board's Proceedings of 9th February 1857 calling for a Report

Fort St. George 4th September 1858.

Estimate of Undermarked Charges of this District. I have the honor to inform you that the Statement in question was submitted to long ago at 1st August 1857 with a letter No 143 and the Board having returned the same with their Proceedings of 2 October last No 3300 with a request to forward the same including in it the amount of printing charges, it was furnished with a letter dated 26 October 1857 No 199.

Masulipatam
Collectors Cutcherry,
4 July 1858

/Signed/ W. Henry
Collector

No 173.

To, J. C. Lewis Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Masulipatam

BR No 3980

Sir,

I beg you will call the Board's attention to the Original Suit No 185 of 1858 the time for appeal has expired and

14621 Fort St. George 4th September 1858

and I have had no intimation of the proceedings of Government. I fear too from Mr. Sale's letter forwarded with Extract Minutes Consultation dated 4th August 1858 No. 1094 that he has taken no steps in this matter. If it is made a cause of great regret that I did not bring this to notice at an earlier date so that the Government Pleader might have been instructed to move either for a longer extention, or for a hearing on appeal, but the matter escaped my memory and the impression in the office was that the case was in the hands of Government, & no further reference was required.—

Masulipatam,
Collector's Cutchery. } Signed W. Knox
1st September 1858 Acting Collector

No. 153.
J. C. Sims Esqre.
3981 Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 3900.
From the Collr. of Nellore } Sir,
Wth reference to the enclosing part of an Extract from the Proceedings

14622 Fort St. George 4th September 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant No. 3032 I have the honor to forward herewith 25 printed Copies in English of the prize list for the Cattle Show, to be held at Nellore during the end of November next.—

Nellore,
Collector's Office, } Signed W. D. Sutton
27th August 1858 Collector.

No. 207.
J. C. Sims Esqre.
3981 Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 3981
From the Collector of Kurnool } Sir,
x 28 August 1858

I have the honor to forward an original letter from the Head Assistant C. J. Longley Esqre reporting his departure from Kurnool on the 23d Instant on urgent sick certificate and to request that an Officer may be appointed to act during Mr. Longley's

14623 2nd Foot St. George 4th September 1858

Langley's absence.

2nd Kurnool District
Collector's Office
Cuddapah
30th August 1858

/ Signed / D. Ochterlony
Collector

/

To. 2nd The 2nd Honorable D. Ochterlony
Collector of 2nd Kurnool.

Sir.

I have the honor to en-
close a Certificate from the
Acting 2nd Civil Surgeon auth-
orizing me to proceed to the
Presidency, and to report my
departure from the District to
this day. I have further to re-
quest that you will be good
enough to make the requisite
communications on the subject
to the Board of Revenue.

2nd Kurnool.
23 August 1858

/ Signed / C. 2nd Langley
2nd Asst Collr.

14624 2nd Foot St. George 4th September 1858.

No 2

I Charles Lee Assistant
Surgeon 36th Regiment N.I.
Acting 2nd Civil Surgeon at
2nd Kurnool do hereby certify
that Charles 2nd Thomas Langley
of the Civil Service is in a
bad State of health and I
solemnly and sincerly declare
that according to the best
of my Judgement a change of
air is absolutely necessary
to his recovery and do there-
fore recommend that he
may be permitted to proceed
at once to the Presidency
preparatory to obtaining a
final Medical Certificate
to proceed to Europe.

2nd Kurnool.
22 August
1858

/ Signed / Charles Lee
Assistant Surgeon
36th Regiment N. I.
Ag. 2nd Civil Surgeon 2nd Kurnool

No. 153.

To J. D. Sainsbury Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Sy. Collector of South Arcot

B. No. 3783.

With reference to para 13 of the Board's remin of the Jummabundy report for Fusly 1268. I have the honor to afford the following explanation on each of the instances of discrepancies brought to notice.—

2.— The difference of 112 Rupees is the amount of remission granted for the following lands in the undermany Villages. This amount is not entered in the Statement B No. 3 which relates to Ryotwar Villages only. The Memo of Mr Brandreth of the 23 August 1858. specially prohibits any interference with the new forms. Mr Hall therefore excluded this amount in the Statement, but mentioned in his Report the total amount of following lands both in Ryotwary and many Villages

Villages. Hence the difference between the extent of following land remission in the Statement and in the report.—

3.— The increase of Revenue on account of lands newly taken into Cultivation is only Rupees 2,50,896 and not 2,97,546 as the Board imagine because the Cultivation Basis Rupees 24,75,985 referred to by the Board as that of Fusly 1265 is not the correct amount. It was at first submitted from this office but the Board returned it with certain objections / vide correspondence in the Margin / a revised Statement was then forwarded and approved. According to this. the Basis of the item in question for Fusly 1265. amounts to Rupees 25,17,637 which when Compared with the amount in Column 43 Statement No. 20 Basis Rupees 24,68,532 for Fusly 1265 gives an increase of Rupees 2,50,896 only and not 2,97,546.

From B. of Rev. 1856 No. 2 dt. 6 Oct.
No. 21 dt. 9 Jany 57
No. 17 dt. 6 Oct.

South

14627 to 14629 Fort St. George 4th September 1858

4th With regard to the apparent discrepancy in the comparison of receipts and total of settlements only can be discovered. It is a difference of 4 Pag Rs and to be traced the entry in the account current and that in the annual statement This was the amount of wattage recorded on Pothy 1254 & 55 which it brought forward to the account of the next year as it cannot be struck off from the account to which the discrepancy. The annual statement referred to. Last will explain this Item and how the discrepancy

South Arcot
Collectorate Cutchery
Cuddalore
18th August 1858

Signed / J. H. Taylor
Asst. Collector

B. No. 2393.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly, stating that no alterations are necessary in the returns No I. II. and III herein referred to.

Memorandum

14630 Fort St. George 4th September 1858

Memorandum

B. No. 213
From the Collector of Coimbatore

Of the hire of 20 Rupees for a Bandy employed for carrying Cotton Plant yea from Coimbatore to the Board of Revenue at Madras, 10 Rupees have been advanced to the Bandy man and the remaining Rupees 10/ have to pay able at Madras on the safe delivery of the things in question.

Coimbatore
19th December 1857

Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector

No. 132

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

B. No. 3752
From the Asst. Collector of Coimbatore

Sir With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 3rd Instant No. 2935 I have the honor to state for their information that I have cleared my file of all arrears of Petitions for the years 1856 and 1857 and will be fair from the Register of Petitions for

for the present month.

Coimbatore. }
Collrs Office 11 Augt 1858 } Signed J. H. Cherry
Ag. Collector

No. 112

To J. S. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

B. No. 3856
From the Ag. Collr of
Malabar } Sir.

With reference to your
circular letter dated 23 June last
I have the honor to inform you that
a scrutiny into the competency
of the Servants detected by
the Servants is made twice
a year in this District and the
result of such investigations I
beg to state has generally proved
satisfactory.

2. I must however observe that
there are a few cases of Public
Servants lately employed the
competency of whose Services
are being ascertained. Efforts are
being made to obtain this infor-
mation as early as practicable.

3. I have to regret the non-
submission of the annual report
on this subject for the last few
years which must somehow be

lost sight of from the steady
and heavy increase of business
in the District. This omission
which I trust will not occur
again last. I am glad to state
by no means affected the exa-
minations directed by the Board
in their Circular order dated 5th
May 1852.

Calicut }
20 August 1858 } Signed P Grant
Acting Collector

No. 242.

To J. S. Sim Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

E. No. 3949
From the Ag Collr of
Malabar } Sir.

I have the honor to
submit the following statement which
I trust the Board will be pleased
to forward for the favorable consi-
deration of Government.

2. The emoluments of the Col-
lector of Malabar for whose
I am acting are as you are aware
to be reduced to a consolidated
allowance of Rupees 2500 and
I therefore considered myself
entitled

A civil servant when entitled to Deputation acting may appoint = tion allowance on = ment the salary of which to be reduced on the re = tirement of the patent incumbent draws Deputation allowance on the lower salary."

3.— But I am informed that the present Collectors' allowances consist of salary at Rupees 1500 and fees to nearly the same = mount and that I am entitled to acting allowances on the former and to no portion of the latter.—

4.— I would beg respectfully to point out the anomaly of such a reading of the Rules which especially bears upon me, of my acting allowances for the last 3 months as 1st Assistant account General have been reduced under this same para which would thus be made to affect me to my detriment in both ways.—

5.— I trust under these circum = stances the Board may be induced to

to coincide in the justice of my claim for Deputation allow = ance on the reduced salary as above explained and that in con = sideration of the responsibility entailed by my present duties they will be pleased to sup = port my application in sub = mitting it for the sanction of Government.—

Sea Custom House, Madras. 2 September 1858 } I have the honor Sir Your most obedient humble Servant (Signed) C.N. Roberts Actg. Collector

---

No. 7325.

From the Offg. Chief Engineer, No. 3372 } Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works.

Under date the 29 July 1858.

Read the following

To Lieutenant E.R. Balgrave Assistant to the Chief Engr.

Sir,

With reference to an Official Memorandum dated 17 July 1858. I beg respectfully to

to report on the drawing paper
× antiquarian Double Elephant, Royal ___, Medium, Demy ___ marginally noted at the rate requested. 2/3rds grain of all the sheets appears to me to be very good. the surface takes color freely, and does not sink even after being well sponged and on the whole I think the paper good.—

Taking into consideration the drawing paper hitherto in use in the central office I must add the paper now on trial is superior in that respect.—

A year in the mill test its durability and good quality.—

Central Office } /Signed/ J. Gaughter
Fort St George. }
21st July 1858 }

Referred with reference to the foregoing letter dated the 21st Ultimo from the Guty Asst Surgeon in the Central office

a copy of the same to the Secretary to the Revenue Board and requested in para 2 of his letter No. 2122 dated the 19th June 1858.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ C. Crawford Major
Officiating Chief Engineer

Received the under mentioned Miscellaneous Letters

14637. 2nd Sept. George 4th September 1858

Letters and Statements.

Mil Ab. 242

From the Collector of Guntoor } Register of

Petitions from March

to July 1858.—

Mil Ab. 243

From the Collector of Madras } Requesting to

be furnished with a

further supply of 1000

Lett Rowmds.

14638 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue In anday

the 6th September 1858.

Read the following Papers

received from Government and bound in a

separate Volume.

B. & C. No. 3924

From the Chief Secry. to Government..... } Extract from the Minutes of Consul-

tation in the Public Works Department—

under date the 28th August 1858.

Communicating an..... }

Extract Minutes Consulta... }

tion in the Public Works..... }

Department conveying obser..... }

vations and orders conse..... }

-quent on certain representa... } (see No. 1762 in separate Volume)

-tions from the Inspector Gene... }

-ral of Ordnance and Magazines }

in regard to the necessity of a }

strict adherence to the Rules }

which regulate the issue of stores }

from all the Arsenals..... }

Extract

Fort St. George 5th September 1858

B. No. 3979

From the Chief Secry. to Government

Extract Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue department under date the 3d September 1858.

Collecting duty on grain in Malabar

See No. 1202 in separate Volume.

Read the following Papers

No. 85.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 2356

From the Agent Collr. of Ganjam

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 4th August 1856 No. 2365 I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I am informed that there is no toddy drawn from the palmira trees in this District.

Here

Fort St. George 5th September 1858

2. There are said to be 114308 date trees of which 109256 are on Jeroyaty land and included in the Abkarry farms 3199 are profitable in no way because scattered in the Jungles on the Hills &c. 1140 are under the enjoyment of Enamdars and Agraharums 11 are in the Compound of a dwelling house and the toddy of 899 is enjoyed by ryots in the Estates of Ezmindars and Proprietors of the trees which are under the enjoyment of ryots themselves to mark the boundaries of their fields and gardens and there appears no reason why any change in the ryots power should be made especially as none of these trees are on the Government land.

Do.

3. In Cowles given for waste land on which toddy yielding trees are growing I think the right to those trees should be distinctly declared to be the Government and not the ingoing tenants.

Ganjam District
Collectors Office
Chitterpore 27th May 1858

/ Signed/ T. J. Rhose
Acting Collector

No 140

To
J. D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No 3954
From the Collector
of Ganjam

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th January last No 176 I have the honor to state that there

have been no instances in this District in which the new rule has been acted upon.

Ganjam District
Collectors Office
Chitterpore 25th August 1858

/ Signed/ G. S. Forbes
Collector

To

J. D. Sim Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No 3607
From the Collector
of Chingleput

Sir

I have the honor to return the accompanying Petition No 102 of 1857 bearing the Boards Endorsement.

14643 Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

Endorsement dated the 29th

June last Extract of their

Proceedings thereon quoted

having been replied to by me

in my letter No. of this

date.

Chinglepet District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet.....
7th August...
1858...

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

To.

14644. Fort St. George 6th September 1858

To.

J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

B. No. 3630
From the Collector
of Chinglepet.

I have the honor to return the accompanying Petition No. 2136 of 1858 bearing the Boards Endorsement dated the 2d Instant the Records connected with the Petitioners case called for in their Endorsement dated the 15th May last on Petition No. 1141 having been forwarded with my letter No. 233 of the 27th Ultimo

Chinglepet District
Collectors Cutcherry
Sydapet.....
10th August 1858...

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

No. 185.

To.

J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 4006
From the Asst. Collr.
In charge of Trichinopoly

Sir

I have the honor to transmit a Receipt for the balance of cash delivered Over by me to Mr. W. J. Walhouse Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

I have also the honor to forward herewith two Receipts from Mr. Walhouse One for the Stamped Papers and Cadjans and the Other for Regulations and acts delivered over to him by me

Trichinopoly....
Collectors Office...
4th September 1858

/Signed/ H. W. S. Grove
Asst. Collector In charge

Receive

14643 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Encl. No. 1 Received from H. M. S. Grame Esquire Head Assistant Collector In Charge of Trichinopoly the undermentioned Regulation and acts.

Years	Regulations	Languages: Englich	Tamil	Telegoo	Persian	Canarese	Remarks
		Sets	sets	sets	sets	Sets	
1802	I to XXXVI	1	2	1	1	2	In Tamil XXXVI In Persian X to XXV In Canarese from VII to XXXIII XXXV and XXXVI are missing.
1803	I to XVI	1	2	1	1	2	In Tamil XIII In Telegoo XIII In Persian from III to VII XIII XIV and XVI are wanting In Canarese II and XII are only present
1804	I to V	1	2	1	"	"	
1805	I	1	2	1	"	"	
1806	I to VII	1	2	1	1	"	
1807	I to III	1	2	1	1	"	
1808	I to XVII	1	2	1	1	"	
1809	I to XIV	1	2	1	1	"	
1810	I to III	1	2	1	2	"	
1811	I to VIII	1	2	1	1	"	
1812	I to VI	1	2	1	1	"	
1813	I to II	1	2	1	2	2	In Canarese Regulations II IV VIII X and XIII are only present
1814	I	1	2	1	2	"	
1815		1	2	1	1	"	
1816	I to XV	"	"	"	"	"	
		1	2	1	1	2	In Canarese Regulations II IV VIII X and XIII are only present
1817	I to VIII	1	2	1	1	"	
1818	I to IX	1	2	1	1	"	In Persian Regulation I VI and IX and in Canarese Regulation III and IV are only present
1819	I to VIII	1	2	1	1	2	In Canarese Regulation VII only is present
1820	I to III	1	2	1	1	2	
1821	I to VI	1	2	1	1	2	
1822	I to IX	1	2	1	1	2	
1823	I to III	1	2	1	1	2	
1824	I	1	2	1	2	2	
1825	I to V	1	2	1	1	2	
1826	I to III	1	2	1	1	2	
1827	I to II	1	2	1	1	2	
1828	I to IX	1	2	1	1	2	
1829	I to VIII	1	2	1	1	2	
1830	I to IV	1	2	1	1	2	
1831	I to XI	1	2	1	1	2	
1832	I to XIV	1	2	1	2	2	
1833	I to IV	1	2	1	2	2	
1834	I to II	1	2	1	1	2	
	Act						
	I to II	1	1	1	"	"	

14646

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Years	Regulations	Languages: English	Tamil	Telugu	Persian	Canarese	Remarks
		Sets	Sets	Sets	Sets	Sets	
1835	1 to XXI	1	1	1	"	"	
1836	1 to XXXII	1	1	1	"	"	
1837	1 to XXXVIII	1	1	1	"	"	
1838	1 to XXXII	1	1	1	"	"	
1839	1 to XXXII	1	1	1	"	"	
1840	1 to XXV	1	1	1	"	"	
1841	1 to XXXI	1	1	1	"	"	
1842	1 to XVIII	1	1	1	"	"	
1843	1 to XXV	1	1	1	"	"	
1844	1 to XX	1	1	1	"	"	
1845	1 to XXXII	1	1	1	"	"	
1846	1 to XI	1	1	1	"	"	
1847	1 to XXIII	1	1	1	"	"	
		2	"	"	"	"	Books of Acts and Regulations from 1802 to 1847 and Maruttah Acts
1848	1 to XXVII	1	1	1	"	"	
1849	1 to XV						
1850	1 to XLV						
1851	1 to XVI						
1852	1 to XXXV						
1853	1 to XXI						
1854	1 to XXXIV						
1855	1 to XXXVIII						
1856	1 to XXIX						
1857	1 to XXXV						
1858	1 to XXIV						

Trichinopoly
4th September 1858

Years Regulations — Language: English, Tamil, Telugu, Persian, Canarese

Signed M. J. Walhouse
Acting Collector

14647

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Enclosure No 2

Received from H. M. S. Grame Esqr Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Trichinopoly in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 27,171-1-9 being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the accounts of the Treasury

Species of Coin	Number of Coins	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
Silver				
Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1840	168870	100	168370	
D° Half Rupees	646	50	323	
D° Quarter Rupees	100529	25	40132 4	
D° Double annas	397399	12 8	49674 14	
Company's Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835	3044	100	3044	
D° Half Rupees	319	50	159 8	
D° Quarter Rupees	83	25	20 12	
D° Double annas	23	12 8	2 14	
D° Single D°	24	6 4	1 8	
Bombay Rupees	149	100	149	
D° Half D°	22	50	11	
D° Quarter D°	3	25	12	
			262389 8	
Copper				
New Half annas	119502	3 2	37347	
D° Quarter D°	288849	1 9	4513 4 3	
D° Single pie pieces	87662	8 4	456 9 2	
Europe four pice pieces	3024	2 1 4	63	
D° two pice pieces	1376	1 8	14 54	
			8781 9 9	
Total Company's Rupees			271171 1 9	

Trichinopoly
4th September 1858

Signed M. J. Walhouse
Acting Collector

14648 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Enclos No. 3

Received from H. M. Grames Esqr Head Assistant Collector of Trichinopoly In charge the Stampt Papers and Cudjans as here under Particularized.—

Number	Description of Papers and Cudjans.—	Balance up to 3d September 1858 Rolls	Rupees	As
2d	¼ Annas paper	533	133	4
	8 Annas Dr.	789	394	8
	1 Rupee Dr.	1070	1070	.
	2 Rupees Dr.	379	758	.
	4 Rupees Dr.	303	1212	.
	8 Rupees Dr.	43	344	.
	16 Rupees Dr.	24	384	.
	32 Rupees Dr.	33	1056	.
	50 Rupees Dr.	14	700	.
	100 Rupees Dr.	7	700	.
	150 Rupees Dr.	5	750	.
	250 Rupees Dr.	4	1000	.
	350 Rupees Dr.	2	700	.
	500 Rupees Dr.	2	1000	.
	8 Annas Decree Dr.	165	82	.
	1 Rupee Dr. Dr.	141	141	.
	2 Rupees Dr. Dr.	90	180	.
	4 Rupees Dr. Dr.	11	44	.
	Total	3675	10619	4
1st	¼ Annas Cadjans	6	1	8
	8 Annas Dr.	12	6	.
	1 Rupee Dr.	33	33	.
	2 Rupees Dr.	30	60	.
	Total	81	100	8
	Grand Total	3696	10719	12

Trichinopoly ... 4th September 1858 } (Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Acting Collector

14649 Fort St. George 6th September 1859.

No. 1616.

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

BR No. 4007.

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly— } Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have this day received charges of this Collectorate in accordance with my

14650 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

my appointment published in Fort Saint George Gazette of the 21st Ultimo at Page 393.

Trichinopoly,
Collectors' Office
4th September
1858.

Signed W. J. Walhouse
Acting Collector

14651 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 178

To.
J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 3473
From the Jr. Collector
of Madura . . . . .

Sir

Agreeably to the instructions conveyed in para 4 of an Extract Proceedings of the Court of Wards (No. 1396) under date the 20th April 1858 I have the honor to submit the following report the preparation of which has been delayed by the temporary absence of Pichama Iranchear's Vakeel and the necessity of obtaining more information on the subject.

2 The above instructions required me to examine into the characters of the assertions advanced by Vakeel both on a Petition to the Court and in Communication with the Collector also to report whether I considered the Evidence now before the Civil Court sufficiently full to enable the Court of Wards to arrive at a definite conclusion on their proposed arbitration.

3 As regards Pichama Iranchears Petition.

Petition to the Court of Wards it will suffice to observe that it Contains three Erroneous Statements 4 She asserts therein 1st that immediately on her preferring charges against the Guardian the Plaintiffs in O. S. 8/1850 in order to get a final Decree on the evidence as it then stood applied with the consent of the Guardian to the Civil Court for the reference of the suit to the Court of Wards as Arbitrators 2dly that no enquiry into the truth of her charges was made by the acting Collector and 3dly that her Son the Minor preferred a request to be allowed to prosecute the Guardian

5. As regards the 1st charge the idea of a compromise emanated from Mr Cockerell (the Acting Sub Collector in charge of all the dues) in his letter to the Board of the 23d October 1837 (therefore long previous to Petitioner's complaint dated 8th January 1838) upon which the Court of Wards adopted the professional opinion of Mr Dale that under certain provisions the suit might be arbitrated upon by them.

Bott Proceedings dated 8th January 1838 No 83

As

6. As regards the 2d charge the acting Collector took all the notice of her assertions that he legally could and drew up a Memorandum (which will be hereafter alluded to) of objections to be moved in the Civil Court against the validity of the Bond.

Bott. Proceedings Court of Wards dated 28th March 1838 No 1053

7. The 3d assertion has already been confuted.

8. I will now proceed to dispose of the charges prepared by Pichama Iyancheary Vakeel against the Guardian.

9. From a Statment taken from him on his return from Salem and a supplementary one put in by him it will appear that he constructively charges the present Guardian with mismanagment on the following grounds

10. That he suppressed all information about the Copy of the Bond sued on (on which was an Endorsement that it was executed on the 21st August 1840 whereas the Bond sued on (one date the 10th April 1837) and of 4 other Bonds on

on the Records of the Zemindars Cutchery that he ordered the 3d and 4th witnesses Servants of the Zemindar to give damaging evidence that he got himself and the Manager named as witnesses effected subsequently that they should not be examined and dissuaded the Plaintiffs from citing them also that he prevented the filing of two Takeeds dated the 30th June 1847 which shewed that the alleged part payment 3000 Rupees referred to another debt

11. The enclosed Statement taken from the Head Seristadar will I think convince the Court of the total absence of anything approaching to legal evidence of collusion or fraud upon the part of the Guardian I also regret to observe shews the recklessness with which the charge has been preferred

12. The notorious animosity & bearing of

of Pichama Iyancheer towards the Guardian for thwarting her in her attempt to exert baneful influence over her Son explains this.

13. The Statement of the Head Seristadar shews that the suit was carried on up to the stage of filing a Rejoinder under the late Guardian Ramiah that the Civil Judge having rejected as prolix the said Rejoinder a second one was prepared by the Government Vakeel and submitted to Mr Sullivan (the acting Collector) who examined and approved of it

14. The citation of witnesses for the Defence was settled in conference between the Head Seristadar the Manager the Guardian and the Government Vakeel [How the Guardian who was Defendant was to name himself as a Witness is a mystery] and the examination of them was of course conducted by the Government Vakeel alone

15. One of the witnesses during his

his examination having alluded to the execution of 4 Bonds resulting from a Settlement of accounts Mr. Sullivan directed the acting sub Collector Mr. Cockerell to examine into their nature.

16. On this the Seristadar the Guardian and the Manager were consulted and the result was that Mr. Cockerell who was then in charge of the District made with reference to the Court alluded to in para 5.

Vide letter to the court dated 23d October 1857

17 As regards the copy of the Bond sued on and the other 4 Bonds the late Guardian brought their existence to the notice of the Collector as also the accounts connected with the Zemindar's debts which embraced the 4 Bonds these accounts were laid before Mr. Parker who it is said himself drafted out the answer

18. The 2 [x] Pakeeds shewing that the part payment of 3000 Rupees was made for another debt [the non filing of which by the Defendants

[x] Tradations of which accompany

Defendants is charged as a convincing proof of the Guardian's guilt] were actually filed by the Plaintiff.

19. On hearing the Statements of the Head Seristadar Mr. Fisher was obliged to acknowledge that he must confine his charge to the fact that the 2d Rejoinder which was filed did not so fully as the one first prepaid impugn the validity of the Bond and that evidence was not adduced to shew that the signature to the Bond was a forgery

20. I conceive however that this is of little weight as the Government Vakeel prepared the 2d Rejoinder and the Adjt. Collector with knowledge of the premises one approved of it.

21 The strong point to urge was the barring of the suit by the Statute of Limitation this was done and the first two witnesses for the Defendants were examined

to

to shew that the alleged part payment of 3000 Rupees referred to another debt.

22. In conclusion I would submit my opinion that there are no grounds whatever for instituting a charge of fraud or neglect of his duties against the Guardian.

23 As the Government Vackeel is absent from Madura I did not think it wise to delay this report for a Statement from him He is by no means free from the suspicion of having himself mismanaged the case (vide Mr. Dale's opinion para 15) and were he to state that the Guardian suggested the 20th Rejoinder his testimony would be of little weight.

24 As regards the second point on which I am called upon to report I have the honor to state that after a careful investigation of all the matters connected with the Bond sued on I have been able to obtain the following additional evidence

1st An entry in a Diary found in the Cutcherry

Cutcherry of Canara the writing of which is deposed to as being that of a Royasum and one Apami attached to it (since deceased) which shews that the Zemindar left Shevagungah on the 30th March 1857 and returned on the 11th April whereas the date of the Bond sued on is the 10th April and 2 days The account of expenditure of the Pagoda at Seragoostyur the authenticity of which has been vouched for an oath before me by the Peshcar and three Veerasaiva Gurrums attached to the Pagoda.

25. These shew that the evidence of Soobarow a witness for the Plaintiffs is false in as much as they establish that at the date of the Bond Cooty Jossien who is said to have been present when the accounts were examined and the Bond was executed was at Seragoostyur Pagoda 14 miles distant from Shevagunga.

26 As it is doubtful whether the Civil Court will pronounce that the reference of the suit to the Court of Wards as Arbitrators would be beneficial to the Minor I am inclined to recommend (unless the Court of Wards accepts a compromise

compromise which is detailed in paras 29 & 30) that the suit be decided by the Civil Court and with this view have embodied in a proposed Supplemental Answer the above objections

27. I do not think it advisable to offer to file the accounts alluded to in para 17 inasmuch as they embrace other debts to a large amount upon which claims would doubtless be preferred if the present Plaintiffs obtain a verdict and as to the assertion of Mr. Fisher that the Zemindars Bonds were never witnessed and that his signature to the one sued on is a forgery the documents on the Office do not support it.

28 The facts of the existence of the Bonds in the Zemindar's Cutcherry in favor of the Plaintiff or his Coparceners uncancelled and of the Plaintiff having on the 31st March 1849 as 4th Witness for the Special Respondent in A.P. 13/1855 deposed before the Southern Provincial Court to all the material circumstances contained in his present Plaint led me to give credence to the general impression that the Bond sued on was really executed although perhaps not on the day specified: I

29th. I therefore with a view to the Minors interests obtained the assent of the Plaintiffs to accept in full satisfaction of their claim whatever Sum the Sheristadar and Nail Sheristadar might on a scrutiny of the accounts consider equitable.

30 Their reward (copy of which is furnished) was made yesterday in the presence of myself and all the parties concerned and considering that the Plaintiff agrees to pay his own costs (which amount to 1588 Rs) and to accept be about a moiety of the Sum claimed I venture to recommend its acceptance.

31 In the event of its acceptance it is quite possible that other Chetties will prefer claims but then it is to be hoped that any further suit to that may be instituted will not be mismanaged as this appears to have been done by the late Government Vakeel and they can be disposed of on their merits.

32. On the one hand the Court of Wards would not wish the Plaintiff to lose his money if his claim be deemed a fair one and on the other there is of course the probability that if this compromise

be

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

be not accepted the Civil Court may award the full debt.

33. As enclosures thereare forwarded Translations of the Depositions of the witnesses Soibarow of the Deposition given by the Plaintiff before the Southern Provincial Court of the Bond sued on the 4 Bonds the accounts the Takeeds relative to part payment the entries in the Diary and Pagoda accounts also of the deposition of the witnesses examined by the Defendants and of those taken from the Sheristadar the Grannastah Belchemiah and the Guardian relative to the first part of this report together with a statement given by Mr Fisches on the 22d June 1858.

Madura 31st July 1858

(Signed) J. A. Hathaway
Actg Collector

Translation

Original Petition of Rama Lakshmana to the Court of Wards dated 2/2 of 1858 transmitted by the Vakeel Ritchie which accompanies Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 16th February 1858 in also herewith returned.

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No 1

Translation of a Declaration given on Solemn affirmation on the 4th September 1857 before the Civil Judge of Madura, by Soobba Row Son of Vencatrammyer of Shevagungah 3d Witness of Plaintiff in O. S. No 8 of 1856.

Answer to Question put by Plaintiff's Vakeel

I have seen the Bond marked A now before the Court and it is in my writing – Bothagooroosawmy Peria Oodya Thaver directed Cootty Josier and Valeydom Iyer to adjust accounts with Peria Curpen Chetty and prepare a Bond. They accordingly sent for certain accounts from the Seemay and Samoogum Cutcherries and after looking into them they dictated to me and I wrote this Bond. He said Peria curpen Chetty and the 1st Plaintiff Palanyappa Chetty were then present. When the Bond was completed we took it over to the Zemindar who was at the Poojay Civil Pagoda at the time. The Zemindar ordered me to read it and I did so. He then signed it. I have often seen the Zemindars signature. Should the Bond have been written even by another person, I am certain that the Signature in this that of Bothagooroosawmy Peria Oodia Thaver the Zemindar. The Bond in question was executed 20 years ago Viz^t in 1837. I am certain also that the Zemindar affixed his Signature to it on the same date on which it was executed. The Bond in question was paid.

pased for a large amount. The Zemindar affixed his signature on the assurance given by his agents Cooty Josier and Valoydum Iyer that the accounts with Peria Carpen Chetty were found correct The Bond was attested by 4 Witnesses and then given to Peria Carpen Chetty. A copy of it was made and lodged on the Records. That Copy is now in the Collectors Office. It was prepared by me. A draft was first prepared and the Copy now before the Court was made from it. This is the Copy I meant as draft. The Zemindar did not affix his signature to the Copy. The Zemindar need not to register down the Bonds he executed by him to others. I have looked into the document numbered 1 filed by the Plaintiffs and now before the Court. This is the Copy or draft which I referred to above.

Question by the Court

In the Copy or draft just alluded to a remark is made to the effect that the Zemindar affixed his signature on the 9th Avanee of Suvarae year. But the date on which the Bond in dispute was executed is stated as the 30th Pungoonee of Doormoogher year. What do you say to this.

Answer.

Four months after the execution of the Bond in question Cooty Josier and Valoydum Iyer directed me to insert the remark in the Copy. I asked them why. They answered that as I was a mere Copyist I ought to do as I was bid and that it was not my business to ask questions. I do not however remember who it was that directed me to insert the remark to that effect 4 years after the execution of the Bond in dispute in consequence

consequence of the death in 1847 of Peria Carpen Chetty the holder of the Bond the 1st Plaintiff produced the Bond before the father of the minor Zemindar and demanded of him the amount in question. The Zemindar (minors father) then ordered me to bring the Copy of the document which I did. He ordered me to read it over to him which I accordingly did. The Zemindar then asked the 1st Plaintiff whether it was the amount of the Bond, that he had lent or wished to have. The 1st Plaintiff replied in the affirmative. The Zemindar then stated that he had no means to pay him, because it was the season for payment of Kists. The 1st Plaintiff consequently waited on the Zemindar for 10 days. Subsequently the latter gave verbal orders to Cooty Josier to pay the 1st Plaintiff Rupees 3000 on account of the Bond in dispute. Cooty Josier accordingly requested the Plaintiff to accompany him. But I do not know whether the amount was paid to him or not. When I read over the Copy of the Bond to the Zemindar Cooty Josier was also present. The latter was then questioned by the former as to whether it was the amount of the Bond in dispute that the Plaintiff wished to have. Cooty Josier answered in the affirmative.

Answer to Questions put by Plaintiffs Vakeel.

The 1st Plaintiff did also produce the Bond in dispute before the Zemindar when he went to him a second time to demand payment. I do not recollect if the Zemindar owed the

Plaintiff

Plaintiffs any other sum besides that now in dispute

I was told that Cooty Josier spoke a Talkeed for the disbursement of the aforesaid 3000 Rupees

Answers to Questions put by Defendants Vakeel.

I do not remember how many days after the Zemindars order to Cooty Josier and Valeydum Iyer to prepare the Bond that the Bond in question was executed. It was at the 25th Indian hour of the day that the Bond was executed. I was present at the time when the Zemindar instructed Cooty Josier and Valeydum Iyer to adjust account with Peria Curpen Chitty We immediately proceeded to the Cutcherry and after settling the accounts prepared the Bond in question and took it to the Zemindar. The order given by the Zemindar the adjustment of the accounts and the execution of the Bond all took place on one and the same day. I do not recollect the hour when the Zemindar gave such order. The accounts were brought from the zemindary Cutcherry as per orders of the Zemindar for the purpose of adjustment. I do not know which of the persons inspected the accounts & which of them made the calculations. The accounts were kept by them & I wrote the Bond as instructed. I now serve the Zemindar in the capacity of Record Keeper.

(True Translation)
(Signed) C. Hathaway
Ag. Collector
Translation

Enclosure No. 2

Translation of a deposition given on Solemn affirmation before the Deputy Serishtadar of the Southern Provincial Court under date the 31st March 1841 as 4th Witness on behalf of the special respondent in appeal Suit No. 13 of 1835 on the file of the Sadr Court by Palany Chetty son of Caden Chetty residing at Attungoody in Amarapady Talook Shevagingah Zemindary in Madura District of Nallocottay caste Seva Sec. Merchant by profession and aged 48 years.

By Deputy Shr. 2 Do you Know Angamoottoo Nachiar Special appellant and Bodagoorooswamy Taver the Special Respondent?—

A I Know

2 Do you know the husband of Angamoottoo Nachiar and what is his name?

A I Know, and his name is Gavanvallanah Maharajah.

2 Have you received any money from Special Respondent

after

after he was made Zemindar of Shevagungah on Zemindary 3.—

A I have at different times from the year Vijaya to 30th Pangoni of Doonmookie year received Star Pagodas 33,000 and odd and passed for it a single receipt on the above date. The receipts executed on several occasions previous to it Servivor back and destroyed them.

Q For what purpose, did the special Respondent give the said amount?—

A I and my undivided elder brother Periacaroppen Chetty had money dealings with the said Gavarevallana Rajah for 10 years from the year Survajit. On adjustment of the accounts in the 29th Kartigay of the above year a balance of Star Pagodas 62,000 and odd was struck against the Gavarevallane in Rajah, who for this amount and

for Star Pagodas 9,61,000 and odd which he owed to Veerappen Chetty Telchoomenen Chetty and Periamenen Chetty executed a lease debt bond in the names of my father on a Stamp paper of 150 Rupees Value stipulating an annual rent of Star Pagodas 20,003 on the Talooks of Amarapady and Teroovagumput attached to the said Zemindary as well as on some sundry Maganums and binding that a moiety of the rent Viz: Star Pagodas 10,003½ is to be credited on account of our debt each year that we should enjoy the lease for Sise years and that on the 7th year we should continue its enjoyment till the collection of so much of the amount of balance as due to us.— Agreeably to the lease to which the Zemindar obtained the Madura Collectors Signature I and my brother enjoyed only the produce of the year Survajit Star Pagodas 10001½

were

Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

were from this liquidated to our debt While the Matters are standing thus one Caliana Sounderum Pillay an invete-rate foe of us who as a Karbar was transacting the business of the Zemindary under Gavarevullana Rayah ill persuaded the latter and caused half of the Talooks and the Maganums we enjoyed to be attached Sand my elder brother had been to Madura to prefer a Compl aint about this, when the Gavare-vullana Rayah sent for us and said by fair words, that according to the lease debt bond he would give in ready money the annual sum of Star Pagodas 10,001/2 and the interests thereof in every year and that after payment of the Sum, he would get back the lease debt

Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

debt bond he had exeecuted. We therefore consented to the proposal. No payment was accordingly made in the year Survadary In the year Verodi month and date I do not recollect the said Gavarevullava Rayah espired afterwards we asked for the debt to Moottoo vadooca Rayah the father of the Special Respondent who succeeded the Gavarievullava Rayah to the State, for which he said that as he had just newly succeeded to the State, if there be any remainder after payment of the Kist, he will pay off the interest and principal No money was paid in satisfaction of our debt during his life time The Special Respond-ent upon his succession to the State, has as I stated above, paid me Star Pagodas 33,000 and odd Independent of this, upon my assignation for Star Pagodas 10316

on

on account of the debt Owed to Letchoomenan chetty of Carecoody. the Special Respondent acceded to pay the said Letchumenan chetty and esecuted in the year Vijaya, Month and Date not remembered a Debt bond. The said amount is also credited to my debt In the year Sclamby Month and Date I do not recollect, my elder brother died. The lease Debt bond esecuted in my elder brother's name by Gavarivullava Rajah is now also in my possession.

2 When the father of the Special Respondent was a Zemindar, have you had any money dealings with him?—

A I have lent about Rupees 16,000 on account of the arrears of Rists and received back the interest and the principal during his time

2 Have you had any pecuniary transactions with the Special Respondent

J.

& From the year Vijaya to Doonmookhi lent him for Rist as far as Rupees 80,000 and odd and received back, the principal and about Rupees 6,000 in interest Bodagoos 00 Rajah made made an adjustment taking in to account all the payments I have stated above / up to 30th Pangoony Doonmookhi year on account of the item of the lease debt bond executed in the name of my elder brother by Gavarevallava Rajah and a balance of Star Pagodas 33,000 and odd was found, for which the Special respondent has at the above date executed a Debt bond in the name of my elder brother which is now with me.

2 Was the sum of 33,000 and odd Pagodas paid to you by Special respondent given in ready Cash or how.

St.

A. It was paid in produce from the Talooks and in ready money.

2 Do you know the payment made in satisfaction of the bond passed to Lutchoomenen Chetty of Carry coody by special respondent on account of your assignation?

A. I do not know.

The Vakeel on behalf of the Respondent informed that questions are enough.

By Vakeel on behalf of special applt.

2. Are there any accounts in your possession about the money dealings you had from the days of Gavarenallava Rajah to those of the Respondent?

A. There are private accounts written by my own hand.

2. In your private accounts, would the particulars as you dictated above have been written?

A. If the money dealings being done by my elder brother, they would have been written.

2 Are the accounts in your possession?

They

A. They are not with me now but are in the bundle of useless accounts. Fully accounts would have been destroyed.

2. Are the useless accounts in your charge or at whose charge?

A. It may be ascertained, if the useless accounts in my house be searched for.

2. How did you, who did not know the certainty of the existence of the accounts, understand the particulars of the pecuniary actions alleged above?

A. I said so, from the bonds I have alluded to above being with me.

2. You have stated, that after the attachment of the lease by Gavarinallava Rajah, he appeased you alleging that he would pay the interest and the principal. Were any documents passed in support of it?

A. No.

2 What are the year, months, and

and date in which he had so appeared.
Who were the people that were then?

A In the year Sarvonadarie, month and date I do not recollect. Veerappa Chetty was then. There were also one or two others but I do not remember their names.

2 Was the bond executed in the name of your elder brother by Garavenallana Rajah, entered in the Duftar of the Collectors Cutcherry?

A It was entered.

/Signed/ Palany Chetty
/Signed/ by Vakeel on behalf of the heir of the special respondent in Tr. Loogoo.
/Signed/ Shakoo Mohamed pleader on behalf of the special Appellant.
/Signed/ T. Sivagen pillay Dy. Sheristadar

/True Translation/
/Signed/ J. Tathamay
Acting Collector.

Encl. No 23

Translate of Deed of loan executed by Inoottoo Vijia Ragoonada Boathagooroosawmy Peria Oodia Tauer the late Zemindar of Sheyagungah to Palany Chetty Son of Canden chetty residing in Auttongoodyunder date the 14th August 1836 corresponding with the 1st of Avani of the Doormookha year to wit.

That the account with your elder brother Parea Curpen Chetty connected with the loan he lent for payment of the Kist of this Estate was settled up to 30th Avani of Vejia and then up to 30th Audi of the current Doormookha year and the balance found due to your share was at Star Pagodas 3837 9/16 Viz. on account of Principal Pagodas 1945 5 3/4 10 and on account of Interest 1892 3/4 16 For this sum of Pagodas 3837 9/16 pledge will be given from this year. Of the amount repaid every year as Money will be placed to the repayment of the Interest and the other to that of the Principal Interest will be allowed only on the Principal from the date @ 1 P cent P Annum.

11678 Fort St. George 6th September 1838

Iremsem and counter Interest will be allowed on the amount repaid. This deed will be hereafter executed on a Stamped paper. The Writer of this deed is Roy Sem Samavien. For the amount of this Deed Pagodas 5837 9/16

/ Signed / Sim Famil /

Mootteemiya Pageenada
Boutha gooroosawmy
Orea Pedia Tauer.

Comp.d
Mootte
Jawabnamiss.

/ True Translate /
/ Signed / A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

Encl. N.o 1

Translate of a Debt Bond executed by Mootte Vejia Ragoonatha Bodagooroosawmy Perea Pedia Tauer Proprietor of the Shenagungah Zemindary to Perea Curpen Chitty Son of Candan Chitty of Madras dated the 11th August 1836 corresponding with the 1st Awany of the year Deenmookhy

Since the adjustment on the 31st Awany

11679 Fort St. George 6th September 1838

Awany of the year Vejia of the accounts of the loan given by you for payment of Rists due by this Estate the accounts connected therewith having been closed up to 30th Avady of the year Doonmookhy the balance found to be due to you after payments already made is star Pagodas 1118 3/4/16 on account of principal and Do. 573 3/4/16 on account of interest making a total of Star Pagodas 7191 11/16 In consideration of this amount of this amount pledge* has been given to you from this year and out of the amount which will be paid every year you will credit half of the sum in Repayment of the principal and the other half in repayment of that of the interest. The interest on the principal shall be charged from this date and the counter-interest on the amount credited shall likewise be charged at one per cent per Iremsem. A stamp'd Deed shall be passed hereafter. This Bond was drawn out by Shamavien

* The nature of the pledge is not stated

Shamanien Reysem. The amount of this Bond is Star Pagodas 7191 11/16

(Signed) Bodagoorooswamy
Perea Oodia Tauer.

Compared & signed
Mootteramier
Jawabnavesh.

True Translate
(Signed) A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

Encl. No. 3

Translate of a Deed of loan executed by Mootto Vijia Ragoonadea goorooswamy Perea Oodia Tauer the late Zemindar of Shevagungah to Seebramanien Chetty Son of Iroonachellem Chetty residing at Puttungoody under date the 11th August 1836 corresponding with the 1st of Avani of the Doormookha year to wit that the account with your uncle Perea Oursen Chetty connected with the loan he lent for payment of the kist of this Estate was settled upto 30th Avani of Vijia and the

then upto 30th Avani of the current Doormookha year and the Balance found due to your share was at Star Pagodas 4312 12 3/4/16 Viz. on account of Principal Pagodas 709 13/4/16 and on account of interest Pagodas 3603 11/16. For this sum of Pagodas 4312 12 3/4/16 pledge will be given from this year Of the amount repaid every year a Moiety will be placed to the repayment of the Interest and the other to that of the Principal. Interest will be allowed on the Principal from this date @ the rate of 1 P Cent P Mensem and Counter Interest will be allowed on the amount repaid this deed will be hereafter executed on the Stamped paper the Writer of this deed is Reysem Samanien. For the amount of this deed Pagodas 4312 12 3/4/16.

(Signed) Sir Tamil 1

Incottoonjea Ragoonada
Bothagoorooswamy
Perea Oodia Tauer

Compd.
Incottooramien
Jawabnavish

(True Translate)
(Signed) A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

14682 Fort St. George 6th September 1838

Encr. No 6

Translate of a Debt Bond executed by Mottoowejea Ragoonada Bodagoorooswamy Peria Oodia Tauver Proprietor of the Estate of Shivagungah to Comaren Chetty son of Ramen Chetty of Attengoody. under date the 4th August 1836 / Doonmakee

On the adjustment of the accounts up to 30th Amany of Vizia of the loans given by your paternal uncle Parea Curpen Chitty on account of the heirs of the Estate the accounts were since settled up to the 30th ult. of Dhoormookey and a sum of h254 7½/10 Star Pagodas Viz. 709 12/16 being the principal and 3545 6½/10 being the interest was found to be due to your share after deducting the payments already made. For this sum of h254 7½/10 Star Pagodas pledge will be given from this year. Of the amount repaid in each year half of the sum is to be credited on account of the principal and the other half on account of the interest. On the Amount of Principal an Interest of one per Cent only should be charged per month from this date whilst on the Amount repaid Counter interest is to be charged This will be executed on Stamp't paper hereafter.

This was written by Sumaroo Royen

The Amount of the Bond is h254 7½/10 Star Pagodas

/ Signed / Bodagoorooswamy Peria Oodia Tauver

Comp.a Mottooramen Sawabanee

/ True Translate /

/ Signed / A. Hathaway

Acting Collector

14683 Fort St. George 6th September 1838

Encr. 7

Translate of a Debt Bond executed by Mootlooveejea Ragoonada Parea Oodia Tauver Zemindar of Shivagunga to Perea Curpenchetty Son of Canden Chetty Vcar. of Attengoody under date the 5th April 1837 corresponding with the 30th Pungony of Dhoormookey

A Debt bond of rent was executed to you for Star Pagodas 8572 13/15 being the balance struck against me when all accounts of Debt Contracted in the time of the late Perea Maharajah for payment of Kists Vcar. was settled up to the 29th Kartegay of the year Surwajithoo Agreeably to the tenor of which bond you enjoyed Star Pagodas 1000½ being the instalment of the year Surwajithoo As you were prevented by reason of the attachment from enjoying in like manner the instalment of the year Surwathary in conformity with the Deed of Lease it was Amicably settled with you to pay the amount.

14684. Fort St. George 8th September 1838

amount due for the year Aniundah together with interest at 1 per cent the above Rajah departed this life before he could act upto the terms of the Deed the principal from the 1st Typ of the year Survathary to this date amounts therefore to star Pagodas 25711 5/16 and the interest after deducting Canter interest to star Pagodas 2420 9/16 the total of the two items being 28132 13/16 of which deducting the sum of Star Pagodas 43818 received by you on several occasions upto this date by payment made at your request to Lutchemanen Chetty of Kawaroody by ready cash and by the pledge the amount due to you is 3301 5 15/16 Star Pagodas. This sum will be paid with 3 years out of the proceeds of my Zemindary together with interest at 1 Percent Canter interest being charged on 500 Pagodas already credited. On the payment of the principal and interest alluded to above you are to return this Bond and that executed by the late Perea Maharajah under date the 29th Kartigay of the year Survajithu. A stamp paper will be

14685. Fort St. George 6th September 1838

be obtained and a Bond executed thereon in substitution for this Deed. This deed was drawn up by Reysum Subba Row.

(Signature of

Bodagaroosawmy

Perea Podia Taver

Palaneyandy son of Meenatchy

Soondrum Pillay of

Palamarengoody

Perea Curpenchetty son of

Esuppa Chitty

Comarappa Chetty son of

Palaneappa Chetty of

Auttengoody

Sungoley appillay son

of Gangaye deapillay of

Maumcomboor

(True Translate)

(Signed) A. Hathaway

Acting Collector

Westinghouse

Extract

1686 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 8

Extract from the 4 Cudjan accounts purporting to have been the account of Debt due to the Inam Torcottay Chetties which are found Among the rolles of the Cudjan accounts as regards the transactions during Zaree of the Sheiva-gungah Zemindary Down to the 13th July 1856 or 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee

	Names of the Merchants who have lent loan	Dates of the execution of the debt bonds & of the adjustment	Principal star Pagodas	Interest star Pagodas	Total star Pagodas	Rate of Interest in Principal	Condition of the Bonds
1	Ramanadan Chitty of Devacottah	On the 6 Avance of Cotaka bond was executed on adjustments of accounts Balance after deducting payments up to the 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	1586 3/4	2639 11/16	4225 7/16	1 per cent	The balance was to be discharged out of the produce to be rented & appropriated from Doonmoogee & the payments so made were to be kept on account of the principal and the interest equally
2	Do.	Date of bond is the 1st Poorattasee Vejiah Balance to be paid on settlement of accounts till 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	333 9/8	"	333 9/8	1/2 per cent	The balance was to be discharged from the produce to be rented & appropriated from Avance of Dhoonmoogee & the amount so paid was to keep in payment for Principal and interest.
			1920 7/8	2639 11/16	4532 9/16		
3	Letchoomanum chitty son of Vinay thetham chitty	Date of Bond is the 1st Inausee of Vickroodee Balance after deducting payments till 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	784 7/8	963 3/8	1748 1/4	1 per cent	
4	Carpum chetty son of the said Chetty	Do.	835 13/16	2020	2855 13/16	Do.	
5	Vencatachellum Chetty of Davacottah	Do.	784 7/8	963 9/16	1748 1/4	Do.	
6	Muttappa Chetty son of Chinna-carpum Chetty	Do.	188 6/16	231 3/16	419 9/16	Do.	
7	Micrognuppah Chetty son Carpum Chetty	Do.	784 7/8	963 6/16	1748 1/4	Do.	
8	Letchoomanum Chitty son of Innarayen-gum chitty of Caraicody	Date of Bond is the 2nd Poorattasee of Vejiah Balance after deducting payments till the 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	10539 13/16	3942 6/16	14501 15/16	Do.	
9	Alagusspa Chitty of Chitta-tooragul	Date of Bond is the 1st Inausee of Vickroodee Balance to be paid till the 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	3653 15/16	6050 13/16	8706 3/4	Do.	

From H.H. George 6th September 1858.

	Names of the Merchants who have lent loans	Dates of the execution of the debt bonds and of the adjustments	Principal Star Pagodas	Interest star Pagodas	Total Star Pagodas	Rate of Interest on Principal	Condition of the Bonds
10	Raman Chitty of Pullatoor and Alagum Chitty	Date of Bond is the 2d Poorattasse of Vijah Balance due up to the said date Viz: 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee.					N.B.
11	Meyasymm Chitty	Date of Bond is the 10th Aunee of Vishoo Balance due till the 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	906 5/4	439 3 10/16	1365 8 3/8	D ÷	The above stipulation applies to all items
			1341 3/4	202 1 3/16	350 2 15/16	D ÷	
			4740 2 7/16	489 0 7 1/16	94 30 1/2		
12	Periacurpum Chitty of Puttingrody	Date of Bond is the 30th Avanee of Vijah Balance due up to the 30th Audee of Dhoonmoogee	1418 33/4 16	577 37 7/16	719 1 3/16	D ÷	
13	Palany Chitty	D ÷	945 13 1/4 16	489 2 3/16	583 7 9/16	D ÷	
14	Comaran Chitty	D ÷	709 13/4 16	354 5 5 3/4 16	425 4 7 1/2 16	D ÷	
15	Soobramanien Chitty	D ÷	709 13/4 16	368 3 11/16	431 2 12 3/4 16	D ÷	N.B. This Grand total is omitted in the Cudjan account
			3781 12/16	1781 4 11 1/4 16	2189 6 8 1/4 16		
16	Cuttyengramm Pillay Renchen	Date of Bond is 24th Chaune of Vishoo	414		414	D ÷	

Vide Cudjan 6th page 6th September 1858.

No 4

Translation of a Tarkid issued

Amindar of Shevagungah to Velapha Ayn

& Vadanee, dated 30th June 1844 F.

Grain are hereby required to give

a pia Chetty of Puttengroody in Tyricka.

Said debt of the late Zemindar Sevvoo

Nagarayah, Sico Pullums of Cowries

by the present Fusly's supply in

by Salvoos as also 30 Pullums of

Cowries Paddy out of the next Fusly's

Khyrwah Pullums 1250 Grain will on the

of the grain, fee to prive mentioned

Pullum and obtain a Receipt for the

our will further cause the account

ted accordingly in the Cudjan

account.

The Signatures of the Zemindar

Signed & Chetty Sauce

Thanoogam Pullar.

Signed Vaseegam Sevvegar

Sauce Servigar

Witness Translation

Signed P. Ry

Signed A. Mackay

Acting Collector

Trotter

14689 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Another Sanad issued by Dr. to Dr. on the same date No. 424

You are hereby directed to pay to Palany Chetty of Sutangudy in liquidation of the old debt of the late Zemindar Yanvoree mullaba Magarajah Rupees 500 from the "Ready money Collections" for the present Fusly and you will cause it to be debited accordingly in the Trocsalmannah Account obtaining first a Receipt for the same from the Chetty

Shevagungah
30th June 1847

The signature of the Zemindar
(Signed) Coatty Losier
Shanmugam Pesh Kar
(Signed) Daiscegom Iyengar
Javabneuse
(True Translation)
(Signed) A Hathaway
Acting Collector
(Signed) F. G. G.
Extract

Transferred
1st July 1858

14690. Fort St. George 6th September 1858

Extract translations of 2 lines in the front and rear side of the 7th leaf of a Gentry Book or Tamil Ephemeris forwarded by the ameen of Cavoor Taluk as if found out from the records of that Taluk.

Line in the Front side of the 7th leaf

year English months and dates	Tamil year months and dates	Name of the days in the week	Remarks
1837 March 30th	Durmookee Pungum 20th	Friday	Kaleane Soondrum Pillay and Kaunsahab &c. came to Shevagungah and the Maharajah avergal set out to Sheva-coashtyyoor.

1 Line in the rear side of the 7th leaf.

April 11th Healambe

Chittray 1st Tuesday The Maharajah reached Shevagungah

X Note Instead of writing fully in Tamil the

the Sentence: set out the Tamil initial letter (ந) is written in the original gentu for the whole of such Sentence.

(Signed) A Hathaway
Collector

No. 11

Translation of an Extract account extracted from the Cadjans leaves numbers 85 & and 88 which form part of the 146 Cadjan leaf accounts exhibiting the receipts and disbursements Summa and Church of the Thirougoashtyar Pagoda from the 1st to 30th Pungony of the year Doormookie viz from the 12th March to 10th April 1837.

year month date	Nature of the expense	amount of expenditure Rs	a	p	Remarks
Durmookie 21st Pungumi 1st April 1837	Expense incurred on account of Stanee gale paying visit to the Maharajah	"	3	6	This item of expenditure is written in the 2d line of the last column of the back side of the Cadjan leaf No. 85.

	Do. incurred on account of Maharajah worshipping the god or Samy in the Pagoda	1	1	8	These items are written on both sides of the Cadjan leaf No. 86
	Do. Do. on account of Pirisadum or food prepared for being offered to the deity on the occasion	"	5	5/4	
28/29 Pungumi 8th Apl.	Price of curry stuff ye. & also of that bought for 29th idem	"	9	8	
			2	6	1/4
29th Do.	Price of a cloth required to take cakes in for Zemindar	"	1	"	
	circuit torches value	"	7	"	
	Value of rice for 3 Coolies who carried the cakes to the Palace	"	"	3	The account shews that

D° of D° for 13 D° who accompanied Pullicoodutkoo thumby } that as this amount given to the cakes-bearers

Expenditure incurred on account of Costly Sooras

Doornvoki	Value of Sandal piece 1116	6½	
8th Pungumi	D° of 34 Cakes called Auteroosum	2 2	These items are noted in the front side of the 88 Cadjans leaf enclosed only from the 8th column
19th March 1857	D° of Nutweighing 4½ Pollums	11	
21st Pungoni / 1st April	D° of Betel D° 10 D°	10½	
22nd Pungumi / 2nd April	D° of Cocoanuts 22	1 13¼	
	D° of Camphor wg. 2 Pagodas weight	1¾	
30th Pungumi / 10th April.	D° of Cardamom ¼ Pgd. weight	¼	
	Total expense for 14 days	11 7¼	

True Abstract translate

Signed A Heathway

Acting Collector

Translate

No. 17

Translate of a Deposition given by Deetchmiah Goomastah on the Establishment of the Sheva-gungah Zemindary before the Acting Collector of Madura on the 7th July 1858.

Question. What is the nature of your employment and what Documents are in your possession?

Answer. My duty is to attend to business in connection with the administration of the Estate and with the Zemindar's private account. I have been 35 years in the service. The Documents are used to be kept a part in my possession on behalf of the Zemindar. All Documents will be on the Records. I have been doing that business which will be allotted by the higher authorities. the cadjan documents were used to be on the Records of the Zemindar's Cutcherry in possession of the Manager and those on papers in possession of the Record keeper Soobrayer. In reference to an order in the time of Mr Parker to examine the accounts in consequence of the suit No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura I have looked into them and shewn them. In that case I have with the permission of the Sheristadar taken and

and shewn documents then & there. I have shewed the plaint answers and other pleadings connected with the suit & Cadjans found amongst the old accounts & Bonds that came from the Zemindar's Record and other documents that may be called for. As the 4 Bonds were received from Shevagungah on the eve of Mr Parkis departure he had not seen them but the Head Sheristadar has done it. On the occasion of his attendance at the Cutcherry on account of the affairs of the suit in question the late Guardian may have expressed his wish to see any document and I may have shewn him the same accordingly. The present Guardian had neither asked for any thing on the subject nor had I told him anything. The former guardian Coolly Jesier has given a yadast relation to the circumstances of the Zemindar putting his signatures which is the one I now pointed out. Of the Debt Bonds of late by the late Bodagoroo Rajah many are without the signatures of witness and a few with them. The highest functionaries Merchants & other respectable persons who are fit to frequent to the Zemindars were only used to attest the Bonds.

Signed Jno Samb
Deetchitteer

Taken before me on the 7th July 1858
Signed S.V. Hathaway
A.G. Collector

Translation

Encl. No. 13

Translation of a Deposition given on Solemn affirmation on the 7th September 1857 before the Civil Judge of Madura by Narasinga Row son of Krishna Row a Brahmin of the Vishnoo sect Hoozoor Gomastah of the Shevagungah Department 45 years of age 2d Witness on behalf of the Defendant in O.S. No. 8 of 1856 and residing at Cushab Madura

Answers to Questions of the Defendants Vakeel

I have known Palaniyappa Chetty Plaintiff in this suit 2 years A sum of 3000 Rupees was paid to Palaniyapah Chetty of Chettengoody on account of the old debt of Agaram Vellalia Mahoo Rajah under orders from the father of the minor Zemindar of Shevagungah Those orders were passed in June 1849 The Swas Gomastah of

of the Seemay Cutcherry of the Zemmindar I saw those Sakeeds and afterwards the accounts received from the Salooks shewing the payment of the 9000 Rupees in question I do not know whether the old debt of Goury mullahah Rajah is due on Bond or otherwise I have been in service in the Zemmindary from 1837 If the Zemmindar passes Bonds &c to any one it was customary with him to give notice of the same to his Seemay Cutcherry as well as to his Treasury. I never at any time saw or heard of the Document marked A and now shewn me by the Court

Questions by the Court } You have heard this Deposition read and is to you it in accordance with what you have deposed to?

A. I have heard it read over to me and it is in accordance with what I have deposed to.

J.

Answer to Questions by the Defendants Vakeel. } I never knew Bada Gurroo Rajah or ever saw his signature

True Translate

Signed A Rathanay

Acting Collector

Encl No 14

Memorandum relating to O.S. No 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Courts of Madura.

Collectors questions — Head Sheristadars answers

Whether the Guardian had notice of the institution of the suit No 8 and 1856 if so what part he took in it

Ramiah the late Guardian reported on the 18th September 1856 to the Collector that Notice &c has been served on him by the Civil Court Whereupon the order was sent to the Government Vakeel to obtain and submit Copy of the Plaint

Ramiah

Whether he was Consulted as to what answered should be filed what documents quiesin and what Witnesses should be examined

Ramiah has of course spoken to the Collector on the subject and under the orders of the latter inspected the old accounts in our office and the result was communicated to the Collector by the Head Sheristadar with an English Statement of and the Collector drafted an answer himself

The same Guardian was directed on the 1st December 1856 by the then Acting Collector to furnish a Vakalutnamah to the Government Vakeel to file the answer Ramiah has been seen them

Sh.

Whether he would have been aware of the Existence of the 4 Bonds which have been found

Whether he was Consulted as to the genuineness of the Bond sued on

It does not seem that he was particularly questioned about it but he once informed in the Office that there were some documents in the Zemindar's record at Shevagungah and the Manager was ordered to bring them up to madura Among the documents was found a Copy of the Bond sued on with a note at the foot of it which the guardian Ramiah considered good to the Minors side and he also questioned the validity of the Bond in which opinion the Head Sheristadar also agreed

The circumstance was brought to the notice of the Gentleman subsequently and

and the Copy forwarded to the Government Vakeel to be filed in the Court.

State what are the general duties of the Guardian

Perusing and discharging the subsistence allowance for the Collectors Treasury. To watch the health of the Minors and the progress of the suits against and in favor of the Zemindars and to obey the Collectors Orders.

When was the present Guardian appointed and in what stage was this suit then.

On the 27th May 1857 The Pleadings were then closed But Mr. Baynes the Judge having rejected the Rejoinders as Containing useless matter. another Rejoinder was filed. The recordal of Points and the examination of Witnesses took place during the administration of the present Guardians. He as well as the

the Manager were cited as Witnesses by the Plaintiffs vakeel afterwards dispensed with them. The late Government Vakeel Sham Row having reported to Mr. Sullivan the then Collector that one of the Witnesses Examined by the Defendants had spoken of four Bonds a circumstance of which he was previously unaware and that he wished to know about them and how they came into the Records Mr. Sullivan directed Mr. Cockerell the Acting Sub Collector to make an enquiry. After a conference with the Sheristadar the Manager and the Guardian both parties moved the Court to suspend proceedings and Mr. Cockerell wrote to the Court of Wards on the subject. The

The Witnesses examined on behalf of the Defendant were cited by the Sheristadar after Consultation with the Guardian to prove that the payment of 3000 Rupees did not refer to the Bond sued on.

Because nothing accurate was known about them and because Mr. Parker the Collector to whom the accounts specifying these Bonds were presented before the amount was drafted by him gave no order about them. The Bonds reached the Cutcherry after Mr. Parkers departure. The Government Vakeel was not then informed of them because no certain information about them could be had.

The Government Vakeel presented a draft Rejoinder which Mr. Sullivan amended after.

Why were the 4 Bonds and the accounts relative to them not furnished to the Government Vakeel.

Why in the Second Rejoinder was not the genuineness of the Bond fully & at large impugned as it was in the first Rejoinder

Was the present guardian consulted as to the filing of the 2d Rejoinder

Why was it that the Copy of the Bond was filed by the Government Vakeel after Mr. Baynes had told him that such a procedure was succeeded

No he was not consulted as to it so far as I recollect

There is nothing on the records to shew that Mr. Baynes acted thus.

The signature of the Bond sued on was questioned the Government Vakeel and the Sheristadar offered to obtain some genuine signatures of the Zemindar to compare with it but the Government Vakeel never came for this purpose

Signed Sinnaharatta Ramrow
Head Sheristadar

Taken before me this Twenty fourth day of June 1858

Signed S. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

True Copy
Signed S. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

Deposition

14705. Fort Saint George 6th September 1858

Deposition given upon Solemn declaration on the 7th April 1857 Before the Civil Judge of Madura by Dolchamiah son of Veneclachella Sastry 1st Witness on behalf of the Defendant &c. residing at Madura, Bramin, Sevasect, Moozoor Gomastah of the Shevagungah Zemindar and aged 62 years.

Answers to the questions of the Defendants Vakeel

I Know the 1st Plaintiff Palaneappa chetty I have heard that the 1st Plaintiff has had a suit against the Zemindar of Shevagungah In the life time of the Minors late father the sum of 3000 Rupees was paid to the 1st Plaintiff in 1817 in satisfaction of the debt contracted by the Sturvar Zemindar In that year I was a goomastah in the Samoogam Cutcherry of the Shevagungah Zemindar when I saw an order issued to paradany Sabapaty Pillay directing him to pay the said sum of 3000 Rupees in repayment of the debt of the Sturvar Zemindar I have been employed in the Zemindars Cutcherry from 1821 or early 1831 to this time. The debt said to be the

14706. For Fort St George 6th September 1858

the old debt of Gowery Vullabha Raja was contracted upon Bond. First there was only one Bond for that debt which as required by circumstances upon the settlement of account on various occasions subsequently was split into 4 Bonds executed by a succeeding Zemindar Viz. Bodugooroosawmy Taver /the minor paternal Uncle/ when the account was settled in his life time. I have seen many signatures of the said Bodagooroosawmy Taver I therefore can recognize his signature I have seen the document in dispute marked A caused to be delivered to me by the Court The signature which it bears I do not think to be Bodagooroosawmy Tavers the payment referred to above of the sum of 3,000 Rupees must have been made in satisfaction of the debt under any Bond bearing the genaine signature of the Bodugooroosawmy

Bodagoroo was a very Poor Odia Sawr. The Plaintiff has no other claim against the Zemindar for debt other than that founded upon the 4 Bonds of old debt I mentioned above - the person that obtained the 1.st Bond for the said old debt was the elder Brother of the 1.st Plaintiff called Peria Curpen chetty. It is usual to keep a Register of the debt Bonds executed by Zemindars to any of the creditors.

Answers to the questions of the Plaintiffs Vakeel

The said 4 Bonds are deposited in the Collectors Cutcherry. The transactions connected with which can be ascertained by reference to the accounts in the Collectors Office Zemindars Cutcherry and in the Talook cutcherries. The accounts in the Zemindars and Talook cutcherries I saw before 1849 and those in the Collectors Office about 12 months ago.

Answers to the questions of the Defendants Vakeel

The order referred to above Directs the payment of the said sum of 3000 Rupees in satisfaction of the old Gowry Vullabha Sowry debt but I do not know in repayment of the amount of what particulars deed the said sum was in fact paid.

D. You have heard this deposition twice read to you Is it correct.

A. Yes it is

Translated.

22.d October 1857

I Signed S Dotchumiah

I Signed J. A. Wathaway.

Ag.n Collector

Translation

Enclosure N.o 16

Translation of a Deposition given on the 28.th June 1858 before the acting Collector of Madura by Daiseeyem Iyengar the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah.

D.r After you were appointed as Guardian in what stage of enquiry did you find the Suit instituted in the Civil Court by Palanyappa Chetty and others and what were your own proceedings in it.

Original suit N.o 8 of 1856.

Answ.r It was in that stage of enquiry in which cases are before filing in the Rejoinder The Rejoinder was afterwards put in. A draft of the Rejoinder was prepared by the late Government Vakeel Shama Row which he personally brought and shewed to me. I made some alterations in it after being approved of by the Collector the Rejoinder was presented into the Court by the Vakeel. The draft was previously submitted with the Vakeels arzee to the Collector. I heard that the Rejoinder presented before the one just alluded to was rejected by the Court having been too long. The Government Vakeel did not tell me any thing about it. Subsequently the Plaintiffs cited me as witness to prove one of the Points proposed Viz.t the non payment of a Bond amounting to Rupees 3000 I then prepared draft of a motion and submitted it with my arzee to the acting Collector Mr. Sullivan Shewing therein reasons why I should not be examined as a witness. That gentleman approved of it and returned it to me with his Order. The motion was then presented at the Court by the Government

Government Vakeel Incantime the Plaintiffs themselves withdrew
their appointment of me as a Witness Subsequently the Govern-
ment Vakeel without my knowledge or consent filed in cer-
tain Documents and a list of witnesses per Hon'ble Order
and conducted the case I then personally informed Mr.
Cockerell of the irregular proceedings of the Vakeel and
that as the case was an important one it should be con-
ducted with due consideration and a sense of responsi-
bility so that the Minor may not be the sufferer by it,
Mr. Sullivan was on the Hills at the time Mr. Cockerell
in answer told me that it was not necessary to put in any
Documents on behalf of the Minor but that the evidence
adduced by Plaintiffs should alone be argued against
I communicated this to the Head Sheristadar Mr.
Sullivan then ordered me and the manager to attend
before Mr. Cockerell who would decide on the propriety
or otherwise of our being examined as witnesses on
behalf of the Minor. We accordingly attended before
that gentleman and answered his questions Mr. Cockerell
afterwards wrote a letter to the Board. Incantime I see
presented to that gentleman the advisability of motion
ing the Court to permit the suit to lie over till the Minor
has attained his proper age because the subject matter
of the Suit transpired in the time of the late
Zemindars save the above I did nothing else in the
case

case The motion alluded to above as having been presented
ed thro' the Government Vakeel praying that I may not
be examined as a witness was rejected by the Court The
Plaintiffs themselves finding my evidence unnecessary
withdrew their appointment of me as a Witness It was
after this that I and the Manager were recommended
to be cited as Witnesses for the Minor. I do not know
whether the Government Vakeel or some body else did
so recommend.

Dw. Has it been customary with the Zemin-
dars not to allow witnesses to attest Bonds executed
by them from fear of being disgraced thereby

Ans. Certain Bonds executed in the time of
the Minor's Father / the late Zemindar / were not at-
tested by witnesses Coolly Sosier signed them as
Shamoogam Peishcar and I in the capacity of Sumab
Nadir I have been told that of the Bonds executed
in the time of the former Zemindars some were at-
tested by witnesses and some were not I thought I
may have seen some of them I do not remember if
they bore the signature of witnesses

Do

Qu. Do you know if Mr. Parker questioned Coothy Seeyar the late guardian as to the method of signature adopted by each Zemindar

Ans. I do not remember.

Qu. When you brought to the notice of Mr. Cockerell the irregular way in which the Government Vakeel pleaded in the case did that gentleman pay any attention to it.

Ans. That gentleman acquiesced in what I told him but he did not inform me what he intended to do in the matter Subsequently Mr Cockerell sent for the Head Sheristadar the Manager and myself and after consulting with us he wrote a letter to higher authority

Qu. When you made some alterations in the Second Rejoinder did you not refer to the Plaint and answer in the case

Ans. I might have done so

Qu. Did you ever see the account which was prepared and submitted by the late guardian to the Hoozoor shewing the old debts contracted by the Zemindar

Zemindars and in which account the 4 Bonds since discovered are said to have been entered.

Ans. I did not see it But I know there is an account kept of old debts I was given to understand that before preparing an answer to the Suit Mr. Parker as well as the Head and Nail Sheristadars examined into the Account in question. I don't know if the 4 Bonds were entered in that account. When during the examination of Witnesses the Hoozoor Gromastah Deetcham Iyer was interrogated to the effect that "if the 3000 Rupees entered as paid did not apply to the Bond in dispute to which else did it apply" the Gromastah replied that the entry applied to certain 4 Bonds kept in the Hoozoor Records. It was only after I got this information from the head Sheristadar that I became aware of the existence of the 4 Bonds and not before

A.

Qu. You have stated that the 1st Rejoinder was rejected after the presentation of the 2nd Did you ever see the said 1st Rejoinder?

Ans. No I do not remember if I asked the Government Vakeel to shew it to me.

Qu. Prior to your being appointed as Guardian what situation did you hold?

Ans. I was a Juvenile Munsiff in which capacity I

I served the late Zemindar from 1844 to February 1849 - I held the same employment under the late Guardian Colly Sooryer till 1853 and then under his Successor Ramyer till 1857

2nd Did not you hear about the Bond in dispute not being a genuine one, and that it was invented or got up for the occasion?

A It is stated so in the Rejoinder I did not hear any thing about the Bond being a got up one.

Q After your nomination as Guardian and when the Plaintiffs were proposing to file a Suit on the subject in dispute did you see the correspondence which took place between the Collector and the Court of Wards and the Government Vakeel

A No.

Q You have heard the Deposition read to you: do you find it correct?

A Yes

The Signature of Rungum Iyengar Guardian

(True Translation)
(Signed) A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

No. 17

Translate of a resolution come to by the undersigned in presence of A. Hathaway Esqr. Acting Collector of Madura on the 29th July 1858.-

As we have been desired by Pulny alias Chetty Plaintiff in O.S. No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura, to act as Panchayet and to make a settlement in the matter of debt the point at issue, after inspection of the accounts and other documents, we proceed to record our opinion.-

Amount of balance of principal with reference to 4 Bonds on the records of the Zemindar dated the 1st Avany of Doonmookee year and to an account of Settlement made to the end of Audy proceeding of the same year—

	Star	Pago	Vs	As	Ps
		3,781 -	13 -	13,236 -	5 - 6
Interest thereon equal to the above sum				13,236 -	5 - 6
Amount of balance of interest with reference to the same account				62,351 -	7 - 4

Compound

Compound interest on the above sum at ½ per cent charged in consideration of the long period since which it remains unpaid. } 3,175-11-8

Total 19,999-14-"

Deduct amount paid from the proceeds of certain Maglan[illegible] under the orders of the Zemindar dated the 30th June 1846. } 3,000-"-"

Balance 16,999-14-"

It is resolved that this balance is to be paid by the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah to the Plaintiffs in the above Suit and that both parties should bear their respective costs incurred in the Suit

(Signed) Ram Row,
Head Sheristadar.
Ramajee Shasto

I hereby abide by the above decision with the consent of my partners.

(Signed) Pulnyapa Chetty

Witnesses (Signed) Adapa Chetty
Ramen Chetty

(Signed) A. Hathaway
Collector

No. 18.

Before making the Statement I am about to do I should have liked to have seen and examined the records to satisfy doubts and to obtain further information - but since that is denied me, I will proceed with the case in the best manner I can to avoid delay -

I beg to state preliminarily what I understand to be the course you wish me to pursue in the enquiry you are about to institute under the Proceedings of the Court of Wards of the 20th April last - Viz to prove connivance and collusion on the part of the Guardian, who is chief Defendant in O.S. No. 8 of 1856 of the File of the Civil Court of this place, with the Plaintiffs in said Suit; and not to enter into the proofs that the Bond on which the Suit is instituted is a forgery - deeming it to be the opinion of the Court of Wards that you are not competent to make that enquiry. -

Though the one cannot well be done without the other and I conceive

you

you misconstrue the Court of Wards wishes I will endeavour to comply with your desire as nearly as I can, reserving to myself the right to urge the other question as opportunity may be given me.

The object of our Petition to the Court of Wards was two fold- one charging the Bond in question to be a forgery- the other charging the Guardian who ought chiefly to have defended it to have connived at the Suit and to have colluded with the Plaintiffs and suppressed the evidence of the Bond being a forgery, which was within his reach.

The facts set forth in my letter and the Statement which accompanied it, of the 6th January 1858. and in my Note or Diary of the 14th idem, I submit completely prove the forgery

These facts I have submitted to the Court of Wards through yourself and Mr. Parker as directed by the Civil Court

Court in its Proceedings of the 1st February 1858.

forgery The Documents alluded to by me in those papers are in the records. The suppression of those facts and those Documents which were within the Guardians reach as well as mine and others, and which must have been within his knowledge from the situation he long filled in the Cutcherry of the Zemindar- and his not taking the active part in the Suit which he was bound to do from his Office, is strong circumstantial and pre= =sumptive proof of his connivance and collusion with the Plaintiffs.-

Vide letter to Collector of the 31st December /57-

The Suit was brought on the 27th August 1856, on a Bond for Pagodas 33015 15/16 executed to Periacaroppen Chetty in 1837 on settlement of accounts resulting in a Bond for 62,000 Pagodas executed in

in 1827- it is brought by 4 Chetties as his heirs in different degrees, against the Guardian of the minor Zemindar of Shevagunga and the agent of the Court of Wards.-

Why the agent of the Court of Wards was included and admitted by the Civil Court I cannot make out. The Regulation (CI Sect. XXIII Regulation V of 1804 distinctly directs, that disqualified persons being in the custody of Guardians shall not be liable to be sued in any action in Courts of Judicature, otherwise than as under the protection and in the joint names of their Guardians.

Thus the Guardian was the person who ought chiefly if not solely to have defended the suit and ought to have done so faithfully and zealously and to the best of his abilities.-

Now, if he did not do so- if he failed in any of these respects- he has not only been guilty of gross dereliction of duty, but I submit is constructively guilty of connivance and collusion.-

That the Guardian failed in

in all these respects is I believe admitted by you and by your predecessors in Office.

And that he failed is shown besides by the record of the case both before the Court and before the Cutcherry.

And that he failed I have shown by my Statement of the 6th and my note as Diary of the 4th January 1858.

And that he failed I could show by the evidence of the agent of the Court of Wards and Government Vakeel which I desire to take.

Besides the Guardian furnished from the records of the Zemindary and put in a copy of the Bond in dispute. He got this Document from the same records which contained the other facts and Documents brought to light by me and he was not satisfied with tendering it but insisted upon its being received and

ally charge against the Guardian was that he suppressed evidence which existed and still exists in the records of the Zemindary and favored the suit in every way.

and filed although strongly and repeatedly advised by the Court not to do it Mr Baynes told him his act was suicidal and asked if he desired to serve the Plffs and much more to the same effect.

This Document too was put in precipitately to be availed of by Plaintiffs and to be spoken to by their 3d Witness Soobarow

He also furnished and sent to the Government Vakeel to be put in two other Documents the Pakeds Nos 424 and 425 dated the 30th June 1847 ordering payment of 3000 Rupees These Pakeds distinctly show that the payment was made towards another account and not the Bond in dispute and good Documents they were against the Bond. But instead of being put in they were withdrawn.

Thus a Document that ought to have been withdrawn as advised by the Civil Judge was insisted

insisted upon being put in and Documents that ought to have been put in are withdrawn.

Two of the chief Witnesses for the Plaintiffs came from the ranks of the Guardians establishment Viz. the 3d Witness Soobarow and the 1st Witness Ramaswamy Iyer wholly. The very suspicious evidence given by these Witnesses I have noticed elsewhere They plainly show themselves especially Soobarow partizans of and in the interest of the Plaintiffs. Soobarow too is Record Keeper and must have known all the evidence of the forgery which existed and which had been suppressed.

This Witness not only wrote the Bond and witnessed the alleged payment towards it in detail - nothing can be done in regard to the Bond without him although years intervene

To the above I would beg to add a few observations.

The Vakeel shown Row at first prepared

His Rejoinder was thrown out at the instigation of the Sheristadar

prepared a very good Rejoinder in which he boldly and openly impugned the genuineness of the Bond and threw strong suspicion upon it in an argumentative way

Why was not the suspicion followed up and evidence of it sought?

Did the Guardian do any thing to support the Vakeel?

By their Proceedings of the 3rd July 1837 the Sudr Udalut required the Provincial court to carry into effect the orders contained in para 37 of their Decree in A. No. 18 of 1835 to ascertain the amount of the mesne profits of the Zemindary.

When these accounts were called for and had to be given and as the Estate had passed away from Bodhagooroo swamy Touce the Bond in question and other similar Bonds were forged antidating them to swell the debts of the Zemindary and to benefit the

those who had served Bodhagooroos swamy and his favorites and because of the necessity of antedating stampt papers could not be used.

Why did the Guardian not divulge all this which he could and ought to have done

See the other Bonds of the period which have not been claimed and of which copies are said exist in the Records.

Why was not oral and documentary evidence put in as might have been done abundantly that the signature to the Bond was not the signature of the Zemindar.

And why was not the fact the notorious fact stated and proved that the Zemindar's Bonds were never witnessed the same being considered infra dig.

These things must have been known to the Guardian.

Mr. Parker put the question to

to the late Guardian Cootty Jeevier how the different Zemindars signed the different papers to which they required to put their signatures and I am told Cootty Jeevier and Davigamungas made a return thereto I want to see this return and to put it in.

Did the Guardian act in concert with the agent of the Court of Wards and in Communication with the Government Vakeel How and to what extent?

I beg to accompany this with a paper containing further notes against the authenticity of the Bond in dispute.

Signed J. F. Fischer
Deposition

No 19

Deposition taken from Mr. Fischer as Vakeel on behalf of Pichama Nauckear

Q. What charge do you prefer against Dasacamungar the Guardian of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah with reference to the institution of O.S. $\frac{8}{1856}$ on the file of the Civil Court of Madura and how do you propose to substantiate it?

A. That he failed in his duty as Guardian in the way in which he met and defended the said suit and that he (appears) to Judge by the whole tenor of his conduct) to have colluded with the Plaintiffs

As regards the way in which I propose to support the charge I will submit a separate memorandum.

Signed J. F. Fischer

Sworn before me this 22d day of June 1858

Signed C. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

True Copy
Signed C. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

No 20

Draft of a proposed supplemental Answer to be filed in O.S. No. 8 of 1836 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura

1. The litigated bond alleged by the Plaintiffs to have been passed on the 1st April 1837 is not genuine It has been fairly objected by the Defendants that they have not admitted the part payment of Rupees 3000 alleged by the Plaintiffs to have been made on account of the Bond in question The Tamil and English dates do not agree At the bottom of the draft of the Bond found in the Zemindar's records the gomastas of which Plaintiff's 3d Witness admits is a note in the hand writing of the person who wrote the draft and the four bonds that the bond was signed by the Zemindar in August 1840

2. Settlement of the accounts was made with reference to the payments in satisfaction of the Bond of 62000 Pagodas executed by Jawareemallava Rajah Setumira Zemindar and

and the amount of the principal and interest was struck for which four distinct Bonds have been executed showing the principal and interest due to the respective shares of the Plaintiffs These 4 Bonds which are now forthcoming in the Cutcherry of the Zemindar are herewith presented The said 4 Bonds are those connected with the old debts contracted by the said Zemindar and to which the part payment of Rupees 3000 alluded to in the Plaint was made after deducting part payment in question the remainder having been fully satisfied the 4 bonds were returned by the Plaintiff to the Zemindar's Cutcherry.

3. On the 10th April 1837 at which date the litigated bond is said to have been passed at Shevagunga by the Plaintiff's Witness the Zemindar was not there, but at Caliarcoil. This statement the Defendants will prove by sufficient documents and Witnesses

5. It is therefore requested that the

14729 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

the Court will be pleased to call for and examine the Plaintiffs and the remaining two Witnesses to the Bond also to allow to be filed the other documents alluded to in this answer

4. It can also be proved by documentary evidence that Coolly Louis was not at Shevagungah on the date of the execution of the Bond sued on thus vitiating the evidence of Plaintiffs Witness Soobarow who asserted that he was present thereat.

Madura
31st July 1858 } I Signed S. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

To

14730 Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 190

To

J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

D. No. 3750
From the Sr. Collector
of Madura ..... }

Sir

With reference to my letter of the 31st Ultimo No. 178 I have the honor to enclose a petition addressed to me by Sowmya Natchyar a litigant for the Estate of Shevagungah objecting to a Compromise of the suit No. 8 of 1858 on the file of the Civil Court

Madura
18th August
1858 } I signed S. Hathaway
Acting Collector

To

14731. Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No 194

To.

J. D. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George

Sir

Bo No 3826
From the Collr of Madura

With reference to my letter of the 16th Instant. No 190 enclosing a Petition from Sonnya Natchiar objecting to the payment of Pulaya pa Chettys claim I have the honor to enclose copies of Diary orders passed by Mr Baynes and Mr Cotton on Motions to the same purport filed by the said Petitioner of the existence of which I was previously ignorant.

O.S. No 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura

If the Court approve. I can pass a similar endorsement on the above Petition, which I thought I might forward to them as the question of the Settlement of the Bond was before them.

Madura ... 20th August ... 1858 ... } Signed A. Hathaway
Asst Collector

Translate

14731 Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

No 1.

Translate of a Motion to the Acting Civil Judge of Madura by Plaintiff in O.S. No 8 of 1857 on the file of the above Court by her Vakeels Ramasawmy Sastry and Conduel Rogue.

1st States that subsequent to the division of property between the grandfather of the Minor father and the father of the Plaintiff the Istimrar Zemindar of Shivagungah, the estate became a self acquired property to the latter Neither the Minor nor his ancestors have any title whatever to the Estate. The Plaintiff has filed a Suit claiming the Zemindary and its appurtenances on the grounds of her title thereto as the daughter of the Istimrar Zemindar and with reference to the Fitwah given by the Pundit of Sudder Adalet in which suit the pleadings have been closed.

2 Plaintiff has heard that certain amount has to be disbursed out of the assets of the estate in consequence of a compromise between the Defendants in this Suit and the Plaintiff in O.S. No 8 of 1856. The Bond in the O.S. No 8 of 1856 forms one of those got up by certain persons then Carbars of the Estate on the occasion of its attachment

14731 Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

attachment at the instance of the successful litigant Angamuttoo Natchyar for the Zemindary, with a view to make her insolvent Regarding which the Plaintiff has raised objection in O. S. No. 8 of 1856 also.—

To If the amount is to be paid from the Revenue of the estate it is to the injury of the Plaintiffs property. She prays the Court for an Interlocutory to the Defendants in this suit to the effect that no money be paid out of the Revenue of the estate or village given to the Plaintiffs in O. S. No. 8 of 1856 or any others pending the issue of this suit.

10th August 1858

/ Signed / Ramasawmy
Canakal Roo
Vakeels

Compared
/ Signed / Vadandachary
Civil Poysons

Filed 11th August 1858
/ Signed / R. K. Cotton
Ag. Civil Judge

/ True Copy
/ Signed / R. K. Cotton
Ag. Civil Judge

/ True Translate
/ Signed / A. Hathaway
Acting Collector.

14731. Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 2

Translation of a Petition presented to the Civil Court of Madura under date the 16th September 1857 by Ramavarmy Pandi Vakeel on behalf of Doummichi Pranchear daughter of Angattoo Vijaya Ragoonada Gavareevallaba Pena Oodia Taver the original Zemindar of Shivagungah Zemindary & his heir an objecting Petitioner in O.S. No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the said Court—

The time at which a lease Bond is stated to have been executed to Pena Iurpen Chetty by the said original Zemindar previous to the year Surnajit alluded to in the plaint of this suit or the amount thereof not being known by the plaint there were no grounds to object to it—

2 As 28 years have elapsed since the period Vijit Surnajit at which the debt Bonds is said to have been passed by the original Zemindar on account of the lease Bond in question upto the present

print true. the Bond is barred by the law of Limitation the allegation that the Uncle of the Senior alluded to in the plaint passed the litigated Bond on Country paper in the year 1837 & that the Seniors father paid Rs 3000 in 1847 & 1848 in liquidation thereof is false—

3 Had the Bond in question which was passed to a considerable amount been general so long a time as specified in the plaint would not have been allowed to pass without obtaining the payment thereof—

4 The amount of this suit appears to be Rs 228000 & odd—The statement that a sum of Rs 3000 which is extremely true was paid at two different times in liquidation of a Bond of so large an amount would appear to be a trick played with a view to get the Plaint filed which is barred by the Law of limitation In case the Plaintiff praise from what office & from what fund and by and to whom and to what particular Bond the father of the Seniors made the payment in question is careful consideration of the same by

14731 Fort St George 6th September 1858

the Court will shew the fraud of the Plaintiffs—

5 In his deposition Sooborayen Witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs stated at first that immediately after the Bond was written the male of the Senior affixed his signature to it but afterwards said in opposition to it that the period of his putting his (Zemindars) signature was subsequent to the date of the Bond & that some persons having told him to write a remark on it he did so accordingly Hence it is clear that the Bond in question is false—

6 The Petitioner is given to understand that as some false debt Bonds have been executed by Coothy Josier & Valayoodum Iyen Carlaar of the Seniors male in the Zemindars name without obtaining any

money to the Bonds forming the

Subject

subject of the suit in question have been forged in case Tengamoo the Natchiar may happen to get the income of the Estate

7 Supposing the execution of the disputed Bond or Country paper & the payment in liquidation thereof be true it should justly be satisfied from the property of the father and male of the Tirnon to the exclusion of any interference with the income of the Zemindary to which the Petitioner is entitled—

8 As the Petitioner has instituted a suit in No. 4 of the Current year for the Recovery of the Zemindary the Defendants of the Tirnon have in Concert with the Plaintiffs brought this weless suit with a view to Reduce the assets of the Zemindary It is therefore Requested that this suit may be dismissed—

(Signed) Ramasawmy Naick
Vakeel of the Court.

(True Translate)

(Signed) A. Rathaway
Sr. Collector

No. 110
1858

To
J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 3825
From the Sr. Collector of Malabar

Vide accompanying Telegraph Messages to and from commissioner of Customs 17th & 18th August 1858

Sir

I have the honor to report that I have in communication with the Commissioner of Customs at Bombay advertized the rates noted in the margin for a supply of salt for the use of this District for the period commencing 1st September next and ending 30th October 1858.

Ports	Rs.
Cannanore	4/3
Tellicherry	4/3
Calicut	4/5
Panany	4/5

I have been induced to offer a higher premium for salt this season than is usually given in this District owing to the produce of salt at Bombay being scanty and the rates of freightage higher by 67 Pcent on the rates hitherto given in Malabar.

Calicut
18th August 1858

(Signed) P. Grant
Acting Collector

Enclosure 1

Telegram Public Service

From Collector Calicut

To Commissioner Salt and Opium Bombay

What is the value of one Rupee of Salt at Bombay What may I pay for 120 Candies inclusive

14733 Fort St. George 6th September 1838.

inclusive of all Rayges at Malabar

Calicut, 13th August 1838 } /Signed/ P. Grant
Acting Collector

No. 3

From Bombay Monday 16th August 5 P. M. From the Acting Commissioner of Customs Calicut to the Collector of Malabar

Words 106 S. Report

Value of one Rupee one thousand one hundred and twenty Maunds varies as per quality from one Rupee one hundred and thirty two Rupees one hundred and sixty. The price of one garce of one hundred and twenty Maunds at the salt Pans is seventeen Rupees and charges amount to Rs. twenty five per garce for delivering at Malabar. Produce of salt this year is scanty and prices are increasing. Merchants will not ship for Malabar for less than fifty Rupees per garce you may begin however at Rupees forty five

N.B. The word after thirty is supposed to be

Calicut, E. F. Office, 16th August 1838 } /Signed/ V. Lutchmanen
Head Signaller in charge
/True Copies/
/Signed/ P. Grant
Acting Collector

To.

14734 Fort St. George 6th September 1838

No. 118.

To J. D. Sim Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

B. No. 3981 From the Actg. Collr. of Malabar } 1 With reference to Correspondence noted in the Margin by which you will perceive that the Officer in charge of the Provinces considers the location of any Treasure in the Fort at Cannanore where the Prisoners are also confined highly objectionable.

2 The location of the Civil Treasury at Cannanore rather than the Fort does not appear to be urgently called for at present

To. Officer Commanding Malabar and Canara &c. &c.
dated 22d July 1838
No. 2110

From D. D.
dated 27th July 1838
No. 301

To D. D.
dated 13th August 1838
No. 2361

From D. D.
dated 17th August 1838
No. 818.

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

present sometime back such a measure would have been highly expedient on account of the large sums which were then therein lodged for the use of the Military and other Departments. But as these demands have of late been considerably diminished owing to the Accountant General having objected to funds being retained on account of the Military Paymaster at the Cherakul instead of at the Pillicherry Treasury the Amount now lodged in the Treasury in question does not exceed Rupees 1,000 The Cherikul Treasury is situated at about 3 miles from the Fort at Cannanore.

Calicut
30th August 1858

I Signed P. Grant
Acting Collector

To

Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 1
No. 2110

To,
Brigadier J. Fitzgerald
Commanding Malabar and Canara
Cannanore

Sir

His excellency the Commander-in-Chief in a minute forwarded to Government regarding his late tour through Malabar and Canara has recorded an opinion that the Civil Treasury and Paymaster's Treasury at Cannanore should be placed within the Fort. The Board of Revenue have now called on me to ascertain whether room is available at present in the Fort for the transfer of the Civil Treasury. I beg therefore to request that you will kindly afford the requisite information to enable me to reply to the Board.

Calicut
22d July 1858

I Signed P. Grant
Acting Collector

No. 301

To,
P. Grant Esqr
Acting Collr and Magte of Malabar
Calicut

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt

x d/ 22d July 1858

No. 2110 Requesting information as to whether room is available in the Fort for the transfer of the Civil Treasury

receipt of your letter No. and subject as per margin and beg to request before entering more fully in the subject that you will be good enough to inform me what buildings or offices if any will be considered necessary to be attached to the civil Treasury in case it is transferred to the Fort of Cannanore as the space to be occupied will depend much on the accommodation required

Cannanore 27th July 1858

/ Signed J. Fitzgerald Brigadier Comdg Malt and Canara

P.S.

Price List did not accompany your letter of the 24th Instant as therein mentioned

No. 2361

To.

Brigadier J. Fitzgerald
Commanding Malt and Canara
Cannanore

Sir

With reference to your letter dated 27th Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that in the event of the Cherikal Treasury being removed to the Fort of Cannanore two apartments one of 10 feet square for the Treasury and the other of 15 feet for the use of the Tahsildar wherever circumstances may bring

bring him into Cannanore owing to the Treasury being lodged there will be all the accommodation required

Calicut 13th August 1858

/ Signed P. Grant
Acting Coll and Magistrate

No. 318

To.

P. Grant Esqr
Acting Coll and Magte of Malt and Calicut

Sir

In acknowledging the receipt of your two letters as per margin I have the honor to inform you that however desirable it may be that the Civil and Military Treasuries should as His Excellency the Commander in Chief recommends be inside the Fort at present as the Buildings are arranged and occupied none are available for the purpose. I consider the location of the Prisoners in the Fort with the Arsenal highly objectionable it would be still more so with two Treasuries

Nos. 2110 and 2361 of 27th July and 13th August 1858. On the subject of the Cherikal Treasury being removed to the Fort

Cannanore 17th August 1858

/ Signed J. Fitzgerald Brigadier Commdg Malt and Canara

P.S.

If eventually thought fit on the removal

14739 Fort St. George 6th September 1858.

Removal of the Prisoners by the additions of Hospitals Buildings the Pay office and Civil and Military Treasuries Could I consider be well located in the Fort

I Signed J. F.

(True Copy)

I Signed P. Grant

Acting Collector

No. 2937

To

The Secretary to the

Bd No. 3726 Sir Board of Revenue

From the Offg Chief Engineer

With reference to the

Board's Proceedings No. 2870 under date

Encl. 4 26th July 1858 I do myself the honor to

forward for their approval the plans

No. 3375 and designs of Salook Cutcheries in which the

defect noticed has been remedied and

other improvements effected

2 The Plans already circulated to the

Districts have been recalled.

Central Office 9th Aug.
Fort St. George
10th August 1858.

I Signed C. Lamford Major

Officiating Chief Engineer

To

14740. Fort St. George 6th September 1858

No. 3128

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works Fort St. George Under date the 26th August 1858

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter No. 3139 dated 17th August 1858)

Bd No. 3872

From the Asst Secy Engineer

Resolved with reference to the foregoing Proceedings dated 17th August 1858 No. 3139 to inform the Board of Revenue that the Collector of Nellore will be duly furnished with a Copy of each of the Standard Plans of Salook Cutcheries after the revised designs forwarded to the Board on the 18th Instant having been approved of and returned to the Central Office

(True Extract)

I Signed Francis Captain

Acting Superintending Engineer C.C.

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous Letters and Statements

Mx No. 2119

From the Collector of Bellary Rain Report for July 1858

From

14741 Fort St. George the 6th September 1858

My. No. 2120
From the Collector of Bellary. Average Bazar
Prices for July 1858

My. No. 2114
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Season Report f
the Month of August 1858

My. No. 2116
From the Collector of Chingleput. Season Report f
the Month of August 1858

My. No. 2116
From the Collector of Bellary. Season Report f
the Months of August 1858

My. No. 2121
From the Collector of Salem. Season Report
for the Month of August 1858.

My. No. 2117
From the Collector of Chingleput. Stating that in
Imports and exports of Bullion Stat.
-ment for the Month of August 1858

My. No. 2118
From the Collector of Canara. Stationery Indent
for 1859.

To