ABC

Contents

No.	From Whom	Subject	Page
1637	From Govt	Settlement of the question regarding the improvement of the Village Police, deferred till the appointment of an Enam Commissioner	7376
1765	From — do.	Requesting the Board to furnishing the Govt Pleader with certain papers relating to Suit 20 of 1856 on the file of the Mooftee Sudder Ameen of Calicut	"
1777	From — do.	Appointment of Lawder Padshaw to the office of Jaimasheen of Tippoo's Durgah in N. Arcot sanctd.	"
1795	From — do.	Retransfer of the pension drawn by the Nica wife of the late Ex-Jaghiredar of Woodiagherry from Madras to Nellore authy.	7377
1796	From — do.	Establishment of a Printing Press in the office of the Collr. of Chinglepuh sanctd. — Supply of Gazette Press to supply the required press, type & materials	"
1827	From — do.	Payment of the arrears of pension due to the sister of the late Jaghiredar of Runjungoody sanctd.	7378
1828	From — do.	Excess in the Sadeiwarad Charges incurred in Canara in 1856-57 sanctd.	"
1829	From — do.	Charges incurred in ferrying detachments of Troops over the river at Pane Mangalore sanctd.	"
1830	From — do.	Refund of duty paid by the owners of the Cargo of goods destroyed by fire on board a Dhoney sanctd.	7379
1831	From — do.	Supt of the Gazette Press to supply the Tamil Type applied for by the Collrs of Trichinopoly & Tanjore — The Board can purchase & supply the Colles direct with printing materials without reference to Govt	"
1838	From — do.	A tabular statt. for the establishment required for the Travellers' Bungalow at Naraharepeh called for	"
1839	From — do.	Authority granted to strike off the account the loss resulting from the resale of the Abkarry Farms of Cavoor & Pulladum	7380
1840	From — do.	Continuance of the Extra Establishment at the Salt Depôt at Dotapollium to 30 April 1858 sanctd.	"
1837	From — do.	Charges incurred in Chinglepuh in Fusly 1266 by the Curnums & Zillahdars in preparing the village accounts sanctd.	"
1892	F. Tanjore	G. Thornhill Esq Offg Asst Collr reports having assumed charge of the district from J. D. Sushington Esq	7381
1893	From — do.	J. D. Sushington Esq. Collr reports having made over charge of the district to G. Thornhill Esq	7382

No.	From Whom	Subject	Page
1722	F. Masulipm.	Objections made to a precept of the Civil Court calling upon the Collr to pay into Court a sum of money decreed to Brijee Mohun Dass out of the funds to the credit of the Kykaloor Estate	7389
1289	F. Guntoor	Proposition to meet the expenses of the Press Establishk	7391
3334	F. Chingt	Proceedings held in the case of certain Village Officers dismissed for having made illegal collections from ryots with a Petition forwarded	7393
1695	F. North Arcot	Requesting sanction for refunding to one Coollapillay Rs 16-4 the amount of compensation awarded to him out a fine imposed on one Vencatapah	7441
1618	F. Canara	Breaking up of the Survey Class reported	7443
1274	F. Malabar	A few copies of the Table for reducing measurements made in feet to the decimals of an acre requested	7446
1600	F. Madras	Report on the land claimed by Narasimma Naidoo near Brodies Castle in Ayyar	7447
1606	F. Acct. Genl	Letter from the Collr. of Bellary annexing an estimate for wooden boxes required to convey talook remittances	7449
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	7451
1634	From Govt	Stating that the Board should have submitted a complete report on the question as to the number of Medical Officers that can be spared for Military duty & not have forwarded the letters from the Collectors	7453
1905	F. Rajahy	Report on the state of the Irrigation Works under the present Mahramut system	
1789	F. Masulipm.	Grant of waste land for the endowment of a Chaultry at Ellore erected by a pensioned Subadar solicited	7455
2923	F. Guntoor	Report on Petn. 1134 of 57 regarding the Motirpha tax levied from the fishermen of Guntoor	7463
1280	F. Guntoor	Relative to the expenditure of Rs 195 out of the local funds in purchasing a piece of ground near the Cutcherry	7467
1959	F. Nellore	Applon of L. Forbes Esq Head Asst Collr for one months leave	7468
1488	F. Bellary	Extension of the town of Hindupoor situated at the extreme south of the District by the sale of property belonging to the Collectors recommn	7470
1447	F. Cuddapah	Further information as to the nature of the enquiry held in regard to the deficiency of Stamped papers	7478
1748	F. Cuddpah	Requesting to know the details required in the report alluded to in the Es M. C. regarding the improvement of boundaries & also the size of the Maps	7480
1906	From do	Report on the Revenue Administration for 1857-58	7482
1331	F. Salem	Report of a lapsed Charity Enam	7492
1693	F. North Arcot	Reports having granted casual leave for ten days to the Head Sheristadar	7494
1936	From do	Report on the Revenue Administration for 1857-58	7495
1330	F. S. Arcot	Further information relative to the Dutta Enam in the Chellumbrum talook claimed by Mahommed Esoff	7503
611	F. Tanjore	Opinion of Mr H. D. Phillips, Ag Collr on the proposed arrangements for concentrating the Salt Vans & for the appointment of 3 Superintendents	7506
1909	F. Tinnevelly	Condition of the Works of Irrigation under the present Mahramut system	7514
1925	From do	Forwarding two amended Returns of officers required by the House of Lords	7519
1345	F. Coimbatore	Establishment for the new Choultry at Caroor proposed	7523
1698	From do	Orders solicited on a subject in which the payment of Quit Rent on a house at Jackatallah is withheld by a Roman Catholic Priest	7524
1670	F. Malabar	Statn of Salt sold from Jan to Mar. 1858	7539
1908	F. Madras	Report on the Revenue Administration for 1857-58	"
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	7546
1778	From Govt	Temparary Establishment required for copying the English Records of the Cutcherry of the Collr of Ganjam sanctd	7549
1842	From do	Resumption of a lapsed Enam in Nellore authd	"
1843	From do	Payment of the undrawn portion of the salary of the late Persian Moorshee & Record Keeper on the Establishn of the Collr of Masulipatam to his son & the appropriation of the salary of the Moorshee for the payment of a Goomashtah sanctd	"
1845	From do	Orders on a case of lapsed Enam in North Arcot with remarks on the tardiness with which it was reported	7550
1847	From do	Further information desired relative to the amount for which sanction is required for the Kurnool Sunger Kanah	"

No.	From Whom	Subject	Page
1276	F. Vizagapm.	Explanation with reference to the remarks of the Board on a report of lapsed Enams	7551
1771	From do.	Report on Petn. 2938 of/57 praying for orders to reduce the rate of the Moturpha	7553
1878	From do.	Reports that the Head Sheristadar has returned to his duty	7555
1954	From do.	No inconvenience will arise by closing the offices on Sundays	7556
1752	F. Masulipm.	Sanction asked for the purchase of two Almirahs for the use of the Native Record Office	7557
1785	From do.	Requesting that instructions may be issued to the Civil Judge for the delivery of the original documents relating to the case of the Pentapaud Agraharam	7558
1848	From do.	Decree of the Civil Court in Suit 105 of 1855 instituted by the Trustee of the Goodoor Pagoda against the Collr. & others for the recovery of some land &c.	7563
1732	From Bellary	Requesting that the Civil Auditor be instructed to pass the bills of charges on account of Topes provided they do not exceed the annual amounts sanctioned	7591
1774	F. Salem	Report of a lapsed Charity Enam	7599
1683	F. N. Arcot	Further information regarding the widow of the ex-Poligar of Paukub	7600
1945	F. Tanjore	Showing the extent of land cultivated with Cotton, Sugar Cane & Indigo in Fusly 1266 & stating that there is no Poppy cultivation	7603
316	F. Madura	Report on the settlement of the Estates of Shevagunga Ayacoody & Rettiembady under the manage.t of the Court of Wards for Fusly 1266	7605
1725	From do.	Exact extent of a certain Enam stated	7651
1648	F. Coimbatore	Statements shewing the rates of assessment on lands under certain Channels	7652
1910	F. Canara	Showing the extent of Sugar Cane & Mulberry cultivation	7655
1780	F. Regr. of Grants	Applcn. of Mr. Cornet for a Permission Certificate for some land at Cocanada required by him	7656
1690	F. Sea Cus.	Report on Petn. 909 of/58 complaining of the confiscation and sale of some goods	7661
1755	From do.	Sanction solicited for the refund to Messrs. Thevenot & Co. of the import duty paid on a case of scissors not landed	7663

Miscellaneous papers recorded.

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Wednesday the 12th May 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government and laid in a separate Volume

Bd. No. 1037 — From the Acting Secretary to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 17th April 1858. Communicating the observation and orders of Government deferring the consideration of the arrangements connected with the revision of the emoluments of the village Police of Guntoor till the appointment of a Commissioner (See No. 289 in Separate Volume)

Bd. No. 1765 — From the Acting Secretary to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 28th April 1858. Requesting the Board to furnish the Government Member with copy of certain documents relating to O.S. No. 20 of 1856 on the file of the Moorsiff Sudr Ameen of Calicut (See No. 538 in Separate Volume)

Bd. No. 1777 — From the Acting Secretary to Govt. — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 29th April 1858 Directing

Sanctioning the nomination of Cuvelar Padshaw to the Office of Jumadhen of Tiruppasi Durgate on North Street.

See No. 545 in Separate Volume

Bd. No. 1795 From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 30th April 1858.

Authorizing the Collector of Nellore to disburse from his Treasury the pension payable to Hupsunee Bee Nicod Wife of the Engineer of Mordiaghurry from the date of the last payment in Madras.

See No. 550 in Separate Volume

Bd. No. 1796 From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 30th April 1858.

Authorizing the establishment of a Printing Press in the Office of the Collector of Chingleput and the Superintendant of the Gazette Press to supply the Press and Types &c. indented for, for the purpose and sanctioning the reductions effected with a view to providing an Establishment for working the Press

See No. 552 in Separate Volume

Extract

Bd. No. 1827 From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 7th May 1858.

Approving of the arrangement proposed by the Board for the payment of the arrears due to the sister of the late Jaghiredar of Runjungoody from 1st December 1854 by monthly deduction of 15 Rupees from the pension payable to Jorai Begum in Salem.

See No. 558 in Separate Volume

Bd. No. 1828 From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date 7th May 1858.

Sanctioning the excesses in the Underwarad Charges incurred in Canara in 1856-57 amounting to Rupees 3801-0-11.

See No. 559 in Separate Volume

Bd. No. 1829 From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 7th May 1858.

Sanctioning the charges incurred in ferrying detachments of the 16 Regiment N. I. and 3d L. I. over the river Nelavully at Pusu Mangole in Canara

See No. 560 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1830
From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 1st May 1858.

Sanctioning the refund of duty paid by the owners of the Cargo of goods destroyed by fire on board Dhoney No 174.

Vide No 561 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1831
From the Asst to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 1st May 1858.

Authorizing the Superintendent of the Gazette Press to supply the Tamil Type applied for by the Collectors of Trichinopoly and Tanjore, with the orders of Government on the question of the Board supplying the Collectors directly with printing materials &c without reference to Government.

Vide No 562 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1838.
From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 3d May 1858.

Resolving to submit a Tabular application to the Government of India for the Establishment required for the Travelling Peshcar and Nattamar put on the Shittoor Talook of North Arcot.

Vide No 566 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1839
From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 3d May 1858.

Granting authority for striking off as irrecoverable from the accounts of the Collectorate of Coimbatore the loss resulting from the resale of the 16 Ruary Farms of the Talook of Caroor and Pulladum

Vide No 567 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1840
From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 3d May 1858.

Sanctioning the continuance of the Extra Establishment employed at the Salt Department at Cotapolliem in Malabar to the 30th April 1858.

Vide No 568 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1837
From the Acting Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th May 1858.

Sanctioning the Charges incurred in the Chingleput District on the purchase of Paper supplied to the Curnums and Tallaikolars for preparing the Village accounts in Fasly 1266.

Vide No 572 in Separate Volume

Do.

7381 Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

No. 67

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort Saint George

Bd's No. 1892
From the Principal Assistant Collector in charge of Ganjam

Sir

I have the honor to report for information of the Board of Revenue that I have this day assumed charge of the District from Mr. T. D. Lushington the Collector and Magistrate and Agent to the Governor of Fort Saint George and pay my Receipts to that Officer in duplicate for the balance of Cash &c remaining in Revenue Treasuries, at Chatterpoor to the Amount of Rupees 4,94,935-8-11 as examined by myself and for that at Chicacole being Rs. 2,947-7-8 as per balance Report of Ganjam District the 26th Instant the latest received in this Office

Ganjam District Collector's Cutcherry
Chatterpoor 29th April 1858

} Signed J. Thornhill
Principal Assist. Collector in charge

7382 Fort St. George 12th May 1858

No. 68

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Bd's No. 1893
From the Collr. of Ganjam

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have this day made over the charge of the District to Mr. J. Thornhill the Principal Assistant Collector and Magistrate and agent to the Governor of Fort Saint George and beg to forward that Officers original Receipts for the Balance of Cash &c remaining in the Revenue Treasuries at Chatterpoor and Chicacole

Ganjam District Collector's Cutcherry
Chatterpoor 29th April 1858

} Signed J. D. Lushington
Collector

Received

7383.

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

No 1

Received from T. D. Pushington Esqr the Collector of the District of Ganjam in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company Rupees 1,94,935 - 5 - 11 being the Balance of Cash remaining in the Revenue Treasury at Chitterpore on the 28th April 1858 including the Receipts and Disbursements of that day according to the Accounts of the Treasury

Species	Description of the Coins	Number	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount		Total
				Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
Gold	Mohurs	7	1500	- -	105 - -	105 - -
	Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1840	1,17,630	100	- -	1,17,630 - -	
	Do. Half Do. of Do. and Do.	30,585	50	- -	15,292 8 -	
	Do. Quarter Do. of Do. and Do.	59,208	25	- -	14,802 - -	
	Do. Double annas of 1841	116,382	12	8 -	14,547 12 -	
	New Arcot Rupees	879	100	- -	879 - -	
	Do. Half Do.	393	50	- -	196 8 -	
Silver	Do. Quarter Do.	8	25	- -	2 - -	
	Madras Rupees	1116	100	- -	1116 - -	4,64,592 8
	Do. Half Do.	70	50	- -	35 - -	
	Do. Quarter Do.	18	25	- -	4 8 -	
	Bombay Rupees	3	100	- -	3 - -	
	Sicca Rupees	10	103	159	10 6 4	
	Do. Half Do.	1	51	15 1/2	- 8 4	
	New Half Annas	67,864	3	2 -	21,245 12 -	

D° Half D°	1	51	15½	·	84		
New Half Annas	679.864	3	2	·	21245	12 ·	
D° Quarter D°	488.964	-1	9	·	7639	12 ·	
D° Single Pice Pices	206.401	·	84	1075	· 1	30061	63
Copper 8 · Pice Pieces	620	4	28	25	134		
4 D° D°	2705	2	14	56	58		
2 D° D°	1795	1	· 8	18	112		
Amount in Notes of the Madras bank						250	· ·
Total Company's Rupees Four Lacs Ninety four thousand nine hundred and thirty five annas Eight & Pice Eleven						494936	8 11

Ganjam District Collectors Cutchery, Chetlapoor 29th April 58. Signed J. Thornhill
P. C. Asst. Coll.r in Charge.

4 Pice D°	538	2	14	11	34		
2 D° D°	765	1	· 8	7	15	6	
						34202	12 8

Total Company's Rupees

Two Lacs Ninety Four thousand

Seven hundred and Seven and

Pice Eight

294707 · 8

Ganjam District
Collector's Cutchery
Chesterpoor 29 April 1858

Signed J. Thornhill
Principal Asst. Collector
in Charge

				Rs	a	p
Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1841	266985	100	"	266985	"	"
Do Half Rs of Do and Co	100014	50	"	50007	"	"
Do Quarter Rs of Do and Co	37035	25	"	9258	12	"
Do Double Annas of 1841	64934	12	8	8091	12	"
New Arcot Rupees	852	100	"	852	"	"
Silver Do Half Do	151	50	"	75	"	"
Do Quarter Do	22	25	"	5	8	"
Madras Rupees	945	100	"	945	"	"
Do Half Do	107	50	"	53	8	"
Do Quarter Do	63	25	"	15	12	"
						29 1284
New Half Annas	81391	3	2	2543	7	0
Do Quarter Do	52937	1	9	829	2	3
4 Pice Do	538	2	14	11	34	
2 Do Do	765	1	8	7	15	6
						3422 12 8

Total Company's Rupees

Two Lacs Ninety Four thousand

Seven hundred and Seven and

Five Eight

294707 " 8

Ganjam District
Collector's Cutcherry
Chatterpore 29th April 1858

Signed J Shortall
Principal Asst to Collector
in charge

7383.

From Lt. George 12th May 1858

No 1

Rec'd from J. D. Lushington Esqr the Collector of the District of Ganjam in the undermentioned Coins the Sums of Company Rupees 194935 - 5 - 11 being the Balance of Cash remaining in the Revenue Treasury at Chitterpore on the 28th April 1858 including the Receipts and Disbursements of that day according to the Accounts of the Treasury

Species	Description of the Coins	Number	Rate of conversion per 100 Sicca of Coins	Amount	Total
			Cts a P	Cts a P	Cts a P
Gold	Mohurs	7	1600 - -	105 - -	105 - -
	D° Half D° of D° and D°	305,85	50 - -	15,292 8 -	
	D° Quarter D° of D° and D°	59,208	25 - -	14,802 - -	
	D° Double annas of 1841	116,382	12 8 -	14,547 12 -	
	New Arcot Rupees	879	100 - -	879 - -	
	D° Half D°	393	50 - -	196 8 -	
Silver	D° Quarter D°	8	25 - -	2 - -	
	Madras Rupees	1116	100 - -	1116 - -	464,519 2 8
	D° Half D°	70	50 - -	35 - -	
	D° Quarter D°	18	25 - -	4 8 -	
	Bombay Rupees	3	100 - -	3 - -	
	Sicca Rupees	10	103	159 10	64
	D° Half D°	1	51	15 1/2 -	84
	New Half Annas	679,864	3 2 -	21,245 12 -	

7385

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

No. 3.

Received from T. D. Lushington Esquire Collector of the District of Ganjam a further sum of Company's Rupees 21585-2-1, Twenty thousand five hundred and Eighty three annas two and Pice one being the amounts deposited in the Revenue Treasuries at Chettipoor and Chicacole by the Ganemars agents Court in Ganjam the late Tillah and present Civil and Sessions Courts of Chicacole and the late Principal Sudr ameens and present Sudr ameens Court at Itchapoor.

Chettipore 29th April 1858.

(Signed) G. Thornhill
Princl. Asstt. Collr. in Charge.
Received

7385

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

No. 4

Received from T. D. Lushington Esqre. Collector of Ganjam the undermentioned Promissory Notes being in deposit in the Revenue Treasury at Chicacole as security on account of A. Ramiah Shroff of the Chicacole Treasury and Alikary Renter of Chicacole.

A Government Promissory Note No. 2979 dated 1st May 1832 for Sicca Rupees	3000 0 0
A Dr. Dr No 16571 dated Do Dr for Do	2000 0 0
A Dr. Dr Dr No 17376 dated Dr Dr for Do	7000 0 0
Total	12000 0 0

Chettipore 29th April 1858.

(Signed) G. Thornhill
Princl. Asstt.
Collr. in Charge.
Received

7387

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

Received from F. D. Lushington Esqre No 5 Collector of the District of Ganjam the undermentioned Stamp Papers being the Balance remaining in the Revenue Treasury at Chetterpoor on the 28th April 1858.

Sig Number	Value of General description of Papers	Quantity and Value of each description of Papers. Rolls	Value	Total Rolls	Value
		Number	Rs. a	Number	Rs. a.
	4 Annas Country Paper	183	45 12		
	8 Do Do	1724	862 "		
	1 Rupee Do	790	790 "		
2	2 Rupees Do	352	704 "		
	4 Do Do	70	280 "		
	8 Do Do	120	960 "		
2	16 Do Do	55	880 "		
	32 Do Do	57	1824 "		
	50 Do Do	4	200 "		
	250 Do Do	1	250 "		
	350 Do Do	1	350 "		
	750 Do Do	1	750 "	3,368	7,895 12 "
	8 Annas Europe Paper for Decrees	200	100 "		
	1 Rupee Do for Do	125	125 "		
2	2 Rupees Do for Do	52	104 "		
	4 Do Do for Do	21	84		
				398	413 "

7389

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

No 66

To,

G. S. Forbes Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort Saint George

Bt No 1722
From the Actt
Coll of Masulipatam

Sir,

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract of Boards Proceedings No 1278 dated 13th April 1858. The Board have in Para 9 of these proceedings directed me to pay a large sum of money out of the Cash chest but I beg to remind the Board that this disbursement requires the sanction of Government as no fund is available for this purpose and I beg that sanction may be obtained.

2 I beg to point out to the Board that in para 5 the Board have added to the balance due the estate Rs 553b-5-8 as the amount of collections made by Government for Kists due from July 1845 to 31st March 1846 but no such collections were made up to the 31st March 1846 and if collections from Ryots made at any time during the Fusly subsequent to the day of the sale of an Estate are to be credited

to

7388

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

Number 66

				Rs	as
4	Thomas Ellwood Collector of Guntoor	2d	1	8	
4	Do for	3d	148		
4	Do for	5d	400		
4	Do for	1st	240		
8	Do for	1st	480		
16	Do for	1st	480		
32	Do for	3	450		
152	Do for	Kist	153	1/25	8
			3900	10034	4

District
Comptroller
Collectorate
Received 20th April 1858

Charles Hawkins
Princl Asst Collector
in Charge

Fort Saint George 12 May 1858

to the Estate it is indirectly ruling that no Estate can be sold till the end of the Fusly; had a third party purchased the Estate these collections could not have been made

3 The error alluded to in Para 6 is easily explained. One account for Pr. a 1811 15-7 closes on the 31st March 1846 and the one for Pr. 1081- on the day of adjustment on 30th January 1847 and in the latter case the Estate owes Government a large sum for interest.

4 With reference to Para 7 I beg to assure the Board "March" was correctly written. The Board will observe from para 11 of my letter dated 15th March 1858 No. 18 that if the account is to be kept open till Jany 1847 the Cist due Government from 13th July 1845 to 30th Jany 1847 exceeded the net cash collected out of the cists by 960 Pr. a — D but as my account shews this deficiency was paid out of the sale proceeds.

5 With reference to Para 10 I am not aware of any such error having occurred the sale proceeds and net collections from ryots have been credited but not any sums due and never paid.

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
21st April 1858

/Signed/ T. J. Knox
Acting Collector.

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

No. 23

To

G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort Saint George.

Bd. No. 1289

From the Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

In reply to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 16th January 1858 No. 171 I have the honor to state for their information that the press Establishment at the cost proposed in my communication of the 7th December last would be quite sufficient for the performance of the work required in this District.

2 With reference to the Contingent expenditure of 5 Rupees a month I beg leave to observe that I shall be prepared to

7392 Fort Saint George 12 May 1838.

to make the payment from the general Sanderwar
funds of the District as stated in my previo
letter which I trust will answer the purpose
equally well and save the necessity of
reducing the pay of the present Establishment

Guntoor District
Collectors Office
Mungalaghery
30th March 1858.

/Signed/ H. Wood
Collector.

To,

7393 Fort St George 12th May 1858.

To

I D Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Bd No 3334 Sir,
From the Coll.r
of Chingleput

Agreeably with the Provi=
=visions contained in Clause 1st Section
VI Regulation VII of 1828, I
have the honor to forward for the Boards
orders, the accompanying Petition from
Dooba Row and Narrainia Row, Curnum
Meenasidars of Goomadeepoondy in
Nayen Talook appealing from my decision
against them under Section V Regulation
IX of 1822, as also Copies and trans=
=lations of the Proceedings held in their
case.

Chingleput District
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Sydapet 11th August 1857

/Signed/ C J Shubrick
Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

1 Deposition from Cyna Reddy marked D. 7.

1 Do. from Mhoola Venkatasawmy Do. D. 8.

1 Do. from Soga Pillay Do. D. 9.

1 Do. from Mylapoor Aundy Do. D. 10.

1 Statement shewing the receipts & disbursements of the private contributions levied from the Ryots marked D. 11.

1 Set of Fundal accounts marked D. 12.

1 Do. of Receipts Do. D. 13.

14

An examination of these Records has led to two charges; one is that the 2nd and 3rd Defendants raised private contributions from amongst the Ryots to the amount of Rupees 202/2 for securing remission on account of Sharee Valuation of fasly 1265 & that the 1st Defendant paid the amount into the hands of the 4th Defendant stating the object to be the feeing of the Public Servants. The other charge is that with a view of tampering with the Village collections made on account of the outstanding balances of Fasslies 1264/65 without bringing them to the credit of Government

Fort St. George, 12th May 1858.

Government, the 1st 4th and 5th Defendants not only substituted some fresh receipts in lieu of old ones but also entered some fresh writings in lieu of the old leaves and substituted fresh leaves in lieu of old ones in the Fundal accounts as well. In respect to the first charge the 2nd Defendant admits his having in Conjunction with the 3rd and 4th Defendants collected the sum of Rupees 202/2 from the Ryots and paid it into the hands of the 4th Defendant for the purpose of feeing the Public Servants to secure the remission for Sharee, and also his having prepared the account of expenditure as dictated by him as (the fourth Defendant)

And the 3rd Defendant states that he had a direct hand in so far as collecting in the first instance the sum of Rupees 80 which was paid by the 2nd Defendant into the hands of the 4th Defendant and that subsequently having had to go to Town /meaning Madras/ he was on his return informed on enquiry that the whole amount had been handed over to the fourth Defendant.

While

Fort St. George, 12th May 1858.

While the 4th and 5th Defendants deny the charge in toto.

But all the Witnesses admit having paid the money as specified in the Statement Marked No. 11. in the presence of the 2d, 3d and 4th Defendants.

As to the 2d Charge, the 1st Def states that he delivered the Fundal accounts for Fuslies 1264 and 1265 written by him to the 4th and 5th Defendants and that he knows not, why some of the leaves thereof are found missing, but that only one leaf was newly written by him as dictated by the 4th Defendant. He further admits that he together with the 4th and 5th Defendants prepared about 8 days ago in the house of the 4th Defendant at old Goonieedypoondy 12 fresh receipts for Fusly 1264, and 3 for Fusly 1265 as dictated by the fourth Defendant.

The fourth Defendant denies all knowledge of the Fundal account being incomplete and containing fresh receipts, but admits that the receipts

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

receipts which are stated to be new were written 4 Months back, the hand writing in some being that of the brother the 5th Defendant and adds that he never prepared the Ryotwar account Particular for the whole Beriz of the Village nor that he could explain how he now and then prepared the individual Balance List. He further states that he will stand responsible for portions of the outstanding Balances which the Ryots may refuse to pay and that to test the accuracy of the Balance List he last prepared on the information of the Ryots, he is possessed of no means or account whatever.

The Statement of the 5th Defendant is not only in accordance with that of the 4th Defendant in all respects but also with that of the 1st Defendant on regard to the preparation by himself of some fresh receipts.

Considering all these circumstances and the admission made by the 2d and

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

and 3rd Defendants in regard to the levy of private contributions from the Ryots on account of Shavy Palamcottam and the clear proof observed in respect to the Ryots payment of the money into the hands of these Defendants it becomes evident that they are guilty of having made unauthorized collections and they are therefore required agreeably to Clause I Section V Regulation IX of 1822 to pay in equal shares a fine of Rupees 405 being double the amount of those unauthorized collections and are dismissed from the Puttamanyem Ships

The first Defendant being a Circar servant it is his duty to prepare Fendal accounts and receipts, but he instead of discharging his duty states that he prepared the accounts and fresh receipts as dictated by the 4th and 5th Defendants. A Statement which is not only unworthy of acceptance

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

acceptance but has led to the formation of no just balances against the Ryots. He is therefore to be dismissed from his duty of Nattum for having written these false receipts.

The 4th and 5th Defendants do not admit the charges as the 1st 2nd and 3rd Defendants have done yet as it was their bounden duty to have kept a proper account of the Demand collection Balance of each Ryot their failure in that respect, their preparation of the balance list without reference to that account, offering no satisfactory explanation when called for, for the preparation and distribution of fresh receipts, the practice followed to this day of writing and dictating the individual Balance list without any means of checking it and the fact of the 4th Defendants presence at the time the private contributions were raised by the 2nd and 3rd Defendants being

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

being clearly proved all these circumstances considered lead to the conviction that it was the object of the 4 and 5th Defendants to defraud the Government of its proper dues or collections, writing out fresh receipts with a view to escape the detection of the fraud and it is therefore for these serious faults and deceptions committed by them, Government Curnums as they are that they are even called upon agreeably to Clause 4th Section 5th of the Regulation quoted above, to pay a fine of 50 Rupees each and are dismissed the service. It is further resolved that in Default of payment of their respective fines by the 2d 3rd 4th and 5th Defendants their property be Excited, and the amount recovered, committing them to the Zillah Jail for any balance that may yet remain uncovered.

Sydapet 30th May 1858.

Appeal time One Month

(True Translation)
(Signed) G. J. Shubrick
Secy.

Enclosure No. 4.

Translation of a Deposition taken on Solemn affirmation from Yagappa Reddy Puttamonegar of Old Goonnadaroondy in Nayer Talook.

Question 1 Look at those accounts now before the Court brought from the place where the Nottagar of the above Village Shanuppen was put up at Pounary and say whose writing it is and what the contents are.

Answer

Fort St. George, 12th May 1858.

Answer 1 Yes I have looked at them, they were written by me, they show the particulars of collections made from each Ryot for meeting expenses in the way of fees to Cutcherry Servants on account of Shavy Palamsteen of fusly 1265, and also of the expenditure thus incurred.

Question 2. Were collections made and expenses incurred as particularized in the accounts.

Answer 2. I and the Curnum Soobba Row jointly collected the money as specified in the accounts, the total collections being Rupees 202½ which I paid into the hands of Soobba Row, on whose dictation I entered the several items of expenditure.

Question 3. Were there any eye witnesses at the time of your paying the money into Soobba Row's hands and also at the time of his dictating to you the particulars of expenditure

Answer 3. Managur Lutchmana Reddy, Moottoo Reddy and few others whose names I do not remember were present at the time of payment. I never witnessed the destribution of money by him nor were there any present at

Fort St. George. 12th May 1858.

at the time of dictation.-

Question 4. For what purpose did you pay the Collections into Soobba Row's hands.-

Answer 4. For the purpose of seeing those Government Servants &c. who ajmaished the Shavy Palamutum for whose sake the money was collected.

2 5. How was it, that the Nottagar produced the accounts written by you.-

A. 5. I never gave the accounts to the Nottagar after the completion of last years Jummabundy settlement and the delivery of the Puttahs into our hands, I gave the accounts to Soobba Row. How the Nottagar then managed to obtain and forward them, I do not Know.-

2. 6 Was the money collected all at once or on several duties. If the latter in whose charge the daily or partial collections remained.-

A. 6. The Collections were of the latter kind. They

Fort St George 12th May 1858.-

They were made in 5 or 6 days and they remained with me which I paid to Soobba Row in 2 or 3 instalments.

Q 7 Give the several dates and amounts of the instalments and were there any present at the time of the payments severally made.-

A 7 I cannot now possibly recollect these particulars Lutchmana Reddy was an eye witness throughout.-

Q 8 What explanation was offered to the Ryots as to the purpose for which the collections were demanded and realized from them.

A 8. It was expressly told that it was on account of the Palamutum that the demand was made. The Ryots themselves will Shalieva be ready to speak to this part.-

Q 9 What was the principle upon which you made the collections.

A 9 Each at one half of the amount of Palamutum as last.-

Read

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

Q 10 Have the courts pertaining to
for last year visit a practice of
years standing.-

A 10 This is a practice obtain
in years of Palamcottas occasion
similar to that of the pett-

Q 11 Have you made any simila
collections for the Shrotrums and
Inams of the present fusly 1268.

A 11. No collections of the ki
made during the present fusly

Q 12 The Curnum Sadla Ra
has given a list of balances
being outstanding against ry
for fusly 1265 and 66. Is th
a correct list and are the
balances really outstanding

A 12 I know nothing about
correctness & merely take
by Sadla Rau that they are
outstanding.-

Q 13 How can you say th
knowledge of these outstanding
balances being as Putamoney
as you are

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

A 13 I never enquired into them and
ascertained the truth.

Q 14 Who makes the Village collections
and has charge of them and who enters in the
Cutchats the payments made by the Ryots-

A 14 I and the other Puttamoneagar
Lutchmana Reddy together with Vanuppa
Charry the Nottagar, and the Curnum Sobba
Row's brother Narraina Row make the
collections which the Nattagar after
counting, keeps in his charge. It is he that
also enters in the Cutchats, the payments
made by the Ryots, in which the Puttamone-
gars sign as also the Nattagar and
the Curnum.

Q 15 The Cutchats now before the
Court appear to contain some fresh stamped
leaves and some old ones with fresh writing.
What do you know about them.

A

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

A 15 I know nothing.

2 16 Look at these two receipts now before the Court granted to one and the same individual in one and the same fasly which of these is authentic.

A 16 I have looked at them they appear to be 2 Cutchals granted to the Ryots Nos. 3 Teroovengadum Gadusspa Reddy. The one in which I have signed is authentic. I know not the reason of the other (in which I have not signed) being with him.

2 17 Is the Kyfeath now read to you all correct.

A 17 I have listened to it and find it correct.

12th May 1857.

True Translation
(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Translation.

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

Enclosure No. 5

Translation of a Deposition taken from Yugusspah Reddy Pattamoneagar of old Goomoodipoondy Nayer Talook son of Chinnatumbee Reddy aged about 70 of the Vunny or Pullee Caste of the Shiva Sect a farmer by profession residing at old Goomoodipoondy.

Question 1 Was any private tax levied for obtaining remission on account of Shavy Palamushtum of fasly 1265, and have you paid any thing either for your own sake or on behalf of others.

Answer 1 None for my own sake, but I have paid on account of my nephew Soobroya Reddy No. 3 Puttadar into the hands of Curnum Sooba Row once Rs. 2p and at another time 7 Rs. altogether Rupees 9 1/2

May 1857

True Translation
(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Enclosure No. 6.

Translation of a deposition taken from Sooba Row son of Kistna Row aged 19 a Madras Bramin Cornam and

and resident of old Goomoodypoondy in Nayer Talu

Question 1 Who dictated the accounts of individual balance

for fuslies 1264 and 1265 for the above Village.

Answer 1 My Brother Narrainiah Row

2 2 With reference to what account did he dic

A 2 He dictated the account of fusly 1264 wi

reference to the list of balances I had previously pre-

pared at the time when lands were Zuffted and that f

65 with reference to the averaja and other accounts t

had himself prepared for that fusly.

2 3 Where is the individual demand and

collection Statement from which the individual bala

for fusly 1264 were determined and produce that accoun

A 3 I never prepared an account of the kind

2 4 Without the assistance of this account ho

did you determine the balances which you dictated.

A 4 I never prepared the individual D. C. S

statement for the Village. I dictated the balances fro

the averjahs and accounts prepared by the Notagar

Thanapah Charry for the defaulting ryots and whet

or not these balances will correspond to the aggregate amount

outstanding against the village I cannot tell.

Q 5 How do you account for the preparation

of a Statement leading to much suspicion while it is your

duty as a Curnum to keep a regular account.

A 5 Relying upon the honesty of the

Notagar I dictated from the account he prepared and

not with reference to any independently prepared by myself.

Q 6 It would appear from the deposition

of Lutchmana Reddy the Puttamaniagar that you and

the Notagar prepared some fresh Cadchats in lieu of

old ones for fusly 1264 What made you do so.

A 6 I did nothing of the sort.

Q 7 Now there are some more Cachats

before the court containing erasures in some places and

fresh writing in others.

A 7 I had nothing to do with them.

Q 8 Look at these fresh contracts for fusly 1264

now before the Court and say who wrote and signed

them

A

Fort Saint George 12th May 1838

A 8 The writing and signature appear in some to be Thanappa Charry's and in others my brother Narrain Row's This fresh writing appears to have been written some 4 Months ago

D 9 Now look at these 3 fresh Receipts for July 1265 before the court and say who wrote them

A 9 My brother seems to have written these 4 Months ago.

D 10 What is the meaning of the receipts of July 1264 being written only 4 Months ago instead of at the proper time

A 10 I do not see how to answer.

D 11 You stated that you prepared an account of balances at the time of attaching lands on what month did you prepare it

A 11 I believe in September

D 12 At that time did you seek for the ryotte contracts, examine them and then prepare the balance List, or how else did you prepare it

A

Fort Saint George 12 May 1838

A 12 I made no such examination

D 13 Look at this Thundal account for July 1264 and who produced this?

A 13 Myself

D 14 This account is incomplete, the Thundal for July appears to be fresh writing the 6th and the 13th leaves are wanting, the 14th leaf and downwards contain erasures the 15th being blank. How do you account for these irregularities

A 14 I looked into the account and the irregularities stated are true but I do not know how to account for them.

D 15 How this account come into your hands from the Notagar

A 15 I borrowed it from him for the purpose of checking the balances at the time of Jamabundy as required

D 16 Was the account then in the same state as you now find it

A 16 Exactly in the same state

D

Fort Saint George 12th May 1858

A 8 The writing and signature appear in some to be Thanappa Charry's and in others my brother Narrain Row's This fresh writing appears to have been written some 4 Months ago

D 9 Now look at these 3 fresh Receipts for July 1265 before the court and say who wrote them

A 9 My brother seems to have written them 4 Months ago.

D 10 What is the meaning of the receipts of Fusly 1264 being written only 4 Months ago instead of at the proper time

A 10 I do not see how to answer

D 11 You stated that you prepared an account of balances at the time of attaching lands on what month did you prepare it

A 11 I believe in September

D 12 At that time did you seek for the ryots contracts, examine them and then prepare the balance List, or how else did you prepare it

A

Fort Saint George 12 May 1858

A 12 I made no such examination

D 13 Look at this Thundul account for fusly 1264 and who produced this?

A 13 Myself

D 14 This account is incomplete, the Thundul for July appears to be fresh writing the 6th and the 13th leaves are wanting, the 14th leaf and downwards contain erasures the 15th being blank. How do you account for these irregularities

A 14 I looked into the account and the irregularities stated are true but I do not know how to account for them.

D 15 How this account come into your hands from the Notagar

A 15 I borrowed it from him for the purpose of checking the balances at the time of Jamabundy as required

D 16 Was the account then in the same state as you now find it

A 16 Exactly in the same state

D

D 17 How did you then check the balances with the incomplete an account

A 17 I never checked the balances with reference to this account but with the averja

D 18 Even in this Averja there are to be found many erasures and fresh writing. How do you account for it

A 18 The Notagar having prepared this I know nothing about it.

D 19 As a Curnum it is your duty to compare Puttahs, Cutchahs, and Thundal with each other and find honest accounts. Why have you failed to do so.

A 19 It is a neglect of duty on my part

D 20 The balances outstanding against the Village for fusly 1264 and 1265 are respectively Rupees 224-14-5 and 172-14-3. How can this be collected without the account of individual balances corresponding to them.

A 20 I shall adopt ruled means to induce the ryots

ryots to pay up these balances and in default I shall pay them up

D 21 Was any private contribution levied in the Village for obtaining remission for shavy Palastenum of fusly 1265.

A 21 I know nothing about it.

D 22 The Notagar Thanappah Chetty produced account which he stated was handed over to him this morning by you shewing the private collections and expenditure made on account of the above Palanustum What do you say to it.

A 22 I gave no such account to him.

D 23 The Puttamanigars Yaguppa Reddy and Letchmana Reddy stated that you had a hand in the private Collections made to the amount of Rupees 203½ as per above account and that the Money was delivered into your hands in two or three instalments for the purpose of seeing the Cutcherry servants What do you say to it.

A 23 I neither had a hand in the collection nor had charge

charge of it nor know any thing about it.

12th May 1858.

2 24 With reference to what account did you prepare the individual balance list which you last dictated

A 24 The list was prepared on enquiry of the ryots but the balances against those that deserted the Village were determined with reference to accounts in my profession

27th May 1858. (True Translation)
(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Enclosure No 7

Translation of a Deposition taken on solemn affirmation from a Ryot whose Puttah No is 60, of old Goomnedypoondy in Nayer Talook named Terovengada Soobahanum son of Terovengada Reddy aged about 50 Years of the Vunnya or Pully Caste, and of the Shiva Sect a farmer by profession residing at old Goomedypoondy

D 1 Was any private tax collected from you all for obtaining remission on account of Shavi, palanustum of Fusly 1265; If so, what was your share and to whom did you pay it, Who were present at the time of payment.

A

A 1 There was a private tax collected from us, I do not know the aggregate amount fixed upon for the whole Village. The Puttamanigar Yagusspa Reddy and the Curnum Sooba Row demanded 4 Rupees as my share which I paid into the latters hands, when Yagasspah Reddy was present, but not the other Puttamanigar Subbamana Reddy, and the Nottagar.

(True Translation)
12th May 1858. (Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector.

Enclosure No 8

Translation of a Deposition taken from Narrayanah Row of old Goomnedypoondy son of Krishna Row aged 30 a Madwah Bramin and Curnum of the above Village in Nayer Talook.

D 1 Who wrote this Averja or Abstract account of old Goomnedypoondy now before the court.

A 1 Thanappah Chetty the Notagar.

D 2 This account appears contain fresh writing in some parts and erasures with fresh corrections in others whose doing is this.

A

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

A 2 The whole is Thanuppa Chetty's own hand writing.

D 3 Who dictated the accounts of Individual balances for fusleis 1264 and 1265

A 3 It was I

D 4 With reference to what account did you dictate this and where is it.

A 4 I dictated the account for fusly 1264 with reference to the one already prepared by my brother Sooba Row. This account I left at cutcherry at the time of Jummabundy settlement but the exact place where I left I do not remember and it is now missing It was I that dictated also the account for fusly 1265 but I cannot vouch for its correctness as I had prepared no regular detailed account to enable me to draw it up.

D 5 While it was your duty as Curnam to have kept a proper account of the balances how was it that you dictated a false account.

A 5 I am new to the preparation of Curnum's accounts and want in experience remissions

Comps Etc

Fort St George 12th May 1858

have been made come twice or thrice the particulars of which I know not I had therefore to dictate the account to the best of my understanding.

Q. 6. Who wrote these 3 new receipts now before the Court.

A. 6. I have looked at them they appear to be for fusly 1265. Of these the two Cachats granted to No. 00 Thiroovengadum Pillooccannum and No. 07 Govindum were written by me on the Notagars dictation with reference to the average account. The first part of the other Cachat granted to No. 5 Acoola Vencatacawmy was also written by me and the latter part by my brother Sooba Row.

Q. 7. It would appear that in the house you were put up at Poinary, your self and your brother Sooba Row prepared some fresh Cachats in lieu of those which had been granted for fusly 1264. What do you say to this.

A. 7. I have neither written nor know any thing about it.

Q. 8. In these fresh Cachats there appear Narrana Row's signature & a mark bearing that of the Maniagar Lutchmana Reddy who officiated there.

A. 8. The writing opposite the mark which

which I take for Sutchmana Reddy's signature is in some the Pottagar Thanapper and in others whose it is I do not know Arrayan Row's signature is certainly not mine I do not know whose it is.

2. 9. The two writings above mentioned are told by Thanapper to be yours and your writing also appears similar to them.

A. 9. I repeat that they are not mine.

2. 10 Thanapper produced before the Court an account of contributions levied from the Ryots on account of Shavigy Pollamatum of Fusly 1265 and also a paper as given to him this morning by you what do you say to it?

A. 10. I gave nothing of the kind.

2. 11. Were any contributions levied from Ryots on account of Shavigy Pollamatum Fusly 1265.

A. 11. I know of none.

2 12. Did you prepare or not the account now in the Cutchery shewing the demands against each Ryot for Fusly 1265.

A. 12. No.

2. 13. How were the balances determined without knowing the demands against each individual.

Jo.

A 13 I do not know what to say.

2 14 You say you have not the individual Chittah a Cowmum as you are now that the recoverable balances of Fusly 1264 are Rupees 224-14-5 and of Fusly 1265 172-14-3 who is responsible for them.

A 14 It is only on the preparation of fresh lists of individual balances with the assistance of my brother Soobba Row obtaining the consent of the Ryots thereto that the collection has to be made.

12th May 1857.

True Translation
(Signed) C. J. Shadrick
Collector.

Enc No 9.

Translation of a Deposition taken on Solemn affirmation from Nottum Tamuppa Charry, son of Tamuppa Charry aged 40 years, of the Cumsmala or Goldsmith Caste and of the Seva Sect and a Nottagar by profession of the Village of old Goomedeepoondy in Nayen Talook and a resident of this place.

2 1 Who is the Nottagar of the above Village.

A 1 Myself.

2 2 How long since you are in that office

A 2 10 or 12 years since.

Who

Q. 3 Who makes the Collections of Revenue, who holds them and who Signs in the Cutchats. who writes the Fundal accounts

A. 3 The Puttahmonigars accompanied by myself and the Curnum make the Collections - Either I or the Monegars generally hold the money, which I bring to Cutchat in the Cutchat, wherein the Monigars present at the time, Sign as well as myself - I write the Fundul account and keep it with me This account enables the Curnum to prepare detailed accounts shewing each Ryots and and standing balance which latter are thereupon collected - These accounts remain with the Curnum. at the time of remitting the money to the Talook Treasury both the Eroosalnamah and the Fundul accounts written by the Curnum himself and Signed by him and also the Moneagars but not by me

Q 4 You said you write and keep the Fundul account produce these accounts for Fusly 1264/65 -

A 4 The above accounts were required by the Curnums Soobba Row and his

brother Narrain Row on their proceedings to the Talook Cutcherry for the purposes of the Settlement of Fusly 1266 and the accounts were given to them

Q 5 Were there any present at the time you gave them -

A 5 No -

Q 6 Have you the Fundul accounts upto Fusly 1263 -

A 6 The accounts upto Fusly 1261 were cost by me, the account of Fusly 1262 is in the Talook Cutcherry whither it was forwarded at the time of an enquiry held there regarding some discrepancies in the Collections of that Fusly - The account for Fusly 1263 I have now produced before the Cutcherry.

Q 7 Here look at these two sheets what are these and who produced them.

A 7 These are the accompaniments of the very Fundul account I have produced This morning Narrain Row, the brother of Soobba Row the Curnum brought them.

them from a Bramins house at Pomary and delivered them to me which I have myself produced - At the time they were given me none were present -

2 8 Whose writing is this and what are the contents of this -

A 8 This is the hand writing of the Cuttamoneyars Yaguppa Reddy - They appear to be Memo's of escpenses shared by the Ryots on account of Shawy Palanustum of Fusly

2 9 Do you know this for certainty

A 9 I do not know how it was collected and escpended -

2 10 The Catchats produced by the Ryots appear to be interleaved with a Blank newly written sheets and also to contain fresh writing - What is meant by this -

A 10 The new leaves which were caused by Soobba Row the Curnum to be stamped some 7 or 8 days ago were brought to me by him and on his dictating to me the Ryotwar particulars Contained in the account which was with himself I have written as desired by him -

2 11 Have you not seen the old Catchats given to those Ryots for whom you have

have prepared new ones as abovesaid

A 11 Mr Soobba Row told me that no Catchats had been given to them -

2 12 Look at these Catchats - Which appears in them to be fresh writing -

A 12 Yes I have seen the 12 receipts of Fusly 1264 are fresh ones written by me - Three receipts of Fusly 1265 appear also to be fresh ones but they were not written by me but by Soobba Row - I have declared the receipts of Fusly 1264 as being fresh, numbering them from one to twelve -

2 13 Look at the Tundul account of Fusly 1264 - Is it Complete and is there any fresh writing in it -

A 13 Yes I have seen - It is incomplete - The Tundul for July appear to be fresh leaves - Certainly they were written by me -

2 14 Where are the sheets found wanting and why had you to write the fresh Tundul account of July -

A 14 The sheet for July is only missing But there is instead a fresh sheet, I do not know what Soobba Row has done with the old leaf - I have written the new one as directed by him on his directing the particulars entered in the account which was with himself -

2 15 In this Tundul account the 6th & 13th leaves

Fort St George 12th May 1838

Cases are missing Nos 14 to 19 Contain ex-
:sures 2nos 15 is blank. How do you acc
for these.

A 15 The 8th leaf was torn and it is not t
be found. It must have been lost. There
was the 13th Leaf in it but what Soobba
Row has done with it I do not know.
do I know any thing about the erasures. The
15th leaf was left blank through oversight.

Q 16 You say you have written the S
Tundul anew, how is it that this and fresh
Catchats were written on Stamped leav
Who Stamped them.

A 16 The Thundul in question was no
written on a newly Stamped Leaf but one al
ready Stamped and left blank through over
sight. as for the Catchats I know nothing
they were brought to me by Soobba Row.

Q 17 Look at these 7 Cutchats old or new

A 17 Certainly old ones but the collection
entries are new as well as the signatures in
them. I have numbered them from 1 to 7.

Q 18 Where and when all these fresh lea
were written and who were present at the ti

A 18 About 10 days ago, that is previous
to our coming for the Settlement of the current
Fusly I have written at Soobba Rows house a
old Goommedeepoondy when Soobba Row
Narrama

Fort St George 12th May 1838

Narrain Row and myself were alone present.

Q 19 Look at this receipts in the collection
entries of certain dates the amount and date
appear to be erased how do you account for this.

A 19 I have seen these 22 Catchats The era-
:sures are not mine and I know nothing about them
12th May 1838.

Q 20 You say that Soobba Row told you
that no Catchats had been given to those Ryots
for whom you have prepared fresh Catchats
but have you not given to those Ryots Receipts
at the Commencement of the Fusly and credited
in them subsequent Collection.

A 20 To all the Ryots Catchats Consec
:utively numbered were given at the commencement
of the Fusly and in those Catchats that were
produced their respective amounts were credited.

27th May 1838.

True Translation
/Signed/ C J. Shubrick
Collector

Encs 1 P. No.

Translation of a deposition taken on Solemn a Affirm
ation from Loca Pillay No 11 Puttadan of
old Goomroodypoondy in Nayen Talook son
of Bungaroo aged about 50 Shepherd caste
Vishnoo Sect a farmer by profession residing at
old Goomddypoondy.

2

Q / Was any private tax levied amongst you by Puttamonigar and others for obtaining remission on account of Shavy Dalamustum of Fusly 1265 if so what was your sha

A / My deceased brother Bungaroo paid at one time 16 Rupees into the hands of Soo Row the brother of Narrainia Row the Curn and subsequently I paid 6 3/4 to the Puttamon Yagnyppa Reddy. altogether Amounting to Rup 22 3/4 But I cannot exactly tell what por of it was taken on account of Circar dues and what on account of the private tax as Soolia Row has told that nothing is due by me on either account none were present at t time of payment the aggregate amount of tax for the whole Village I do not know-

12th May 1838- / True Translation / / Signed / C J Shubrick Collector

Enc 11.

Translation of a Deposition taken on solem affirmation from one Nayenah Reddy brother and Guardian of Coolahmah No 1 Puttadar of Goomedipoondy in Nuyen Talook Son of Chinnatumby Reddy aged about 30 years of the Vunny or Pully Caste and of the Seva Sect a farmer by profession, residing at old Goomm

D.

Q / Was any private tax levied amongst you for obtaining remission on account of Shavy Dalamustum of Fusly 1265 if so what was your share and to whom did you pay it who were present at the time of payment.-

A / There was a tax levied from us I do not know the aggregate amount for the whole Village What I paid as my share was I believe 12 Rupees which was received by the Puttamonegar Yagnippah Reddy; when the Curnum Soobba Row was present and also I believe the other Puttamonegar Lutchmana Reddy of which I am however not sure Certainly the Kotagar was not present.-

12th May 1838. / True Translation / / Signed / C J Shubrick Collector.

Enc No 12

Translation of a deposition taken on solemn affirmation from Acoola Venkatasawmy No 5- Puttadar of old Goomdipoondy Nuyen Talook, son of Narasumah aged about 50 of the Bulja Caste, of Vishnoo Sect a farmer by profession residing at old Goomeedipoondy-

D

Fort St George 12th May 1858

Q 1 Was any private tax levied amongst you by Puttamoniagar and others for obtaining remission on account of Shavy Salamoturn of Fusly 1265 & so what was your share, and who were present at the time of payment.

A 1 Yes there was a tax of the kind in question I paid 14 Rs for my share into the hands of Puttamoniagar Yaguppa Reddy when the Curnum Narayana Row was present the aggregate amount for the whole Village I do not know.

12th May 1857.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ C J Shulbrede
Collector

Enc No 13

Translation of a Deposition taken on solemn affirmation from Mylapoor Aumany No 28 Puttadar of old Goomaly poondy Nayen Talook son of Chinnappa Reddy aged about 25 of the Vunnier or Pully Caste of Seva Sect a farmer by profession residing at old Goomaly poondy.

1 Was any private tax levied amongst you for obtaining remission on account of Shavy &c

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

of Fusly 1265, if so, what was your share and to whom did you pay it, who were present at the time of payment.

A 1 Yes there was a tax of the kind in question the aggregate amount for the whole Village I do not know, the Puttamoniagar Yaguppal Reddy and the Curnum Soobah Row demanded 10 Rupees as my share which I paid in two or three instalments into the hands of the former when Soobah Row was also present, whether the Puttamoniagar Lutchma Reddy and the Shottagar were present I don't recollect.

12th May 1857

/True Translation/
[Signed] C. J. Shubrick.
Collector.

Enclosure No 14.

Translation of a Deposition taken from Soobah Row the Curnum of Old Goonmidy poondy in Nayer Talook.

Q 1 Who wrote the Shundul or Collection Accounts of the above Village for Fusles 64/65, and 66 and who has them.

A 1 I wrote the Village Accounts of Fusly 64. It was the Shottagar Ramappen and Puttamoniagars Yaguppak

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

Yagyappa Reddy and Lutchmana Reddy
that kept the collections with them with
the Thundul accounts and the Receipts.
In their absence I used to attend to the
duty of receiving collections giving receipts
and writing the Thundul Accounts. The
accounts of Tusliis 63 and 64 were written
by my brother Narrain Row who followed
the same principles as I dig. The Thundul
Accounts for Tusliis 64 and 65 are with the
Pottagar Tanuppen. The Thundul Ac-
counts for Tusly 66 having to be prepared
on paper in accordance with the new
Forms, my brother prepared the Account
himself, while the Pottagar prepared
none separately. This account is work
my brother.

Q. 2. From what accounts were the collections, receipts of Tusliis 64 and 65, produced by you prepared.

A. 2. It was not I that prepared the Abstracts from the Thundul Accounts but the Pottagar.

Q. 3 Is your writing or your brothers to be found in these Abstracts.

A. 3. Certainly not mine, and I do not know

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

know if the Accounts of Tusly 1265 contain
my brothers or not.

7th May 1857. (True Translation)
(Signed) C. I. Shubrick
Collector.

Enclosure No. 15.

Translation of a Deposition taken on
Solemn affirmation from Lutchmana
Reddy son of Nynah Reddy of old Goomoo-
dy Poondy in the Payen Talook aged about
30 years of the Vuma or Pullee Caste of
the Seva Sect and a Farmer by profession.

Q. 1 Who collected the money from the
Ryots of old Goomoodypoondy for Tusliis
1264/65.

A. 1. Three persons, namely, Yagyappa Reddy
the Puttamonigar, Tanuppa Chary the Pottagar
and myself.

Q. 2. Is it not customary for the
Carnum to be present at the time of
collection.

A. 2. Yes.

Q. 3. Who prepares the Thundul
or the accounts of collection and the
Catchats or receipts and who signs them.

A. 3. Pottum Tanuppa Chary
prepares them & while the Puttamoni-

-gars

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

Puttamonigaru Curnums and Mottagar affix their signatures.

Q. 4. Was any private contribution levied from the Ryots for the purpose of obtaining remission on account of Shavalanustam &c. of Fusly 1265.

A. 4 I Know nothing about it.

Q. 5 Have you obtained any remission for the last Fusly and have you not contributed any thing to the obtaining of it.

A. 5. A remission of Rupees 8 was granted to me for the last Fusly 1265 and I have not paid any thing on that account.

Q. 6. What is the demand collection Balance as entered in your Puttah for Fusly 1265.

A. 6. The demand is Rupees 6—14—" the payment made by me, Rupees 2 and the outstanding balance Rupees 4—14—".

Q. 7. But in the Circar accounts it appear to be entered against you, a remission of Rupees 8—3—11 a collection of Rupees 3 and an outstanding balance of Rupees 3—15—. Which is the true account.

A. 7. This entry is not correct but what

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

I have stated in my former answer is the true account.

Q. 8 Where is the receipt for Rs. 2 which you stated that you paid.

A. 8 My Puttah and receipt were both wetted by rain in the month of Carte Ray (corresponding to A.D) and destroyed by white Ants.

Q. 9 One of the Receipts to Ryot No. 38 Peroyangadum, Yaguppa Reddy for fusly 1264 is signed by both the Puttamaniagaru and there is a second signed by you alone. How do you account for it.

A. 9 I Know nothing about the second, probably Nattom Tamuppa Charry and Curnum Soobba Row might have granted it, they themselves subscribing my signature.

Q. 10 Relate what you know in the matter in which Puttamoneyem Yaguppa Reddy declared that a private contribution of Rs. 200 and odd was raised from the Ryots (for the purpose of obtaining remission on account of shavase for fusly 1265) and the amount handed over both by you and himself to the Curnum Soobba Row for bribing the Circar servants.

A. 10 For and on account of Shavay of fusly

fusly 1265 we determined to collect Rs. 202 8 and in first instance Rs. 80 were collected which was handed over by Yaguppa Reddy to Soobba Row who received the amount and stated that he would pay it to the Public Servants very shortly after I went to Town (Mamy Madras) and returned within 10 days and demanded accounts from Yaguppa Reddy who replied that the whole amount had been collected and paid into Soobba Row's hands for rewarding the public Servants and Yaguppa Reddy has the account Particulars of

2 11 You stated that in the 1st instance Rs. were collected and paid into Soobba Rows hands were there any others present at the time.

A 11 Yes Moottoo Reddy and Kistna Reddy were present

2 12 The village Tundal accounts for fusles 64 and 65 as well as the receipts appear to contain fresh erasures in the entries of the collected amounts and fresh writing in different places while some of the Receipts are new ones altogether. Relate what you know in the matter

A 12 About 10 days ago Nallan Ramappa Chetty and Curnum Soobba Row substituted some fresh receipts in lieu of old ones which had taken out in the house of the Curnam Soobba Rows son in law at Ponnary and presented them to the Ryots who received them and informed me of the

2 13 Were you not present at the time when fresh receipts were written

A 13 No

2 14 But where then were you informed of the matter by the Ryots.

A 14 I went to the house of Soobba Row's son-in-law where Soobba Row was put up and observed the Nattakarum and Soobba Row engaged in writing new receipts, which were fastened to the several Puttahs and then distributed to the ryots where upon I asked them for the cause of the necessity of giving fresh receipts in lieu of those already given for fusly 1264 when they replied thus "go away you know nothing of the matter" Yalagen Chellappa Reddy Moottanaundy Guguppa Reddy and Poloor Verasawmy &c. were then present

2 15 While the fresh receipts contain your signature how can you say that you know nothing of the transaction.

A 15 I was neither present nor affixed my signature

2 16 In the first instance you denied all knowledge of the contribution raised for and on account of Shavee Palaniustum and you again contradict yourself and say that the amount of Rs. 202-8-0 was determined upon to be collected and that while you

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

you were present Rs. 80 there of were collected and handed over to Sobba Row What do you say to the

A 16 My memory failed me at first but what I have subsequently stated is the true account This is all what I have deposed.

Marked by Puttamanaiem Venkata Reddy

In Witnesses where of.
/Signed/ Sennapoorum Sunka Krishnum Pottee Chetty
——"—— Vengatachellum of Nagur
——"—— Poloor Yaga Chelliyya.
——"—— S. Camatchee.

/True Translation/
/Signed/ C. J. Stubrick
Collector

Enclosure No 16

Translation of a Deposition taken from Turfdar Row of Goomadeponndy Division in Nagur Taluk on Narrain Row aged 42 Years a Madava Braminan employe by profession residing at Nogalapooram.

Q 1 Was it you that prepared the accounts of each Ryots outstanding balances for fusly 1264 and 65 in the Village of old Goommadeponndy.

A 1 I examined the account of Fusly 1264 at the time the lands were zufted in Fusly 1265 on account of the preceding year's balances and the account of fusly 1265 at the commencement of Fusly 1266 when also lands were zufted.

2

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

Q 2 What was the principle upon which you made your examination.

A 2 The Curnum and Puttamungem prepared the accounts of individual balances and brought them to me with their signatures affixed where upon I summoned each Ryots for the purpose of ascertaining whether his balances had been correctly entered and on all the Ryots agreeing to the correctness of the account I caused the lands to be zufted.

Q 3 Where are those accounts of individual balances prepared as you say by the Curnum and Puttamongem Produce them.—

A 3 I have them with me and I will produce them

Q 4 It is not your duty as Turfdar to send for each Ryots Puttah and Cutchat and compare them with the Thundal account and thus ascertain the correctness of the balances.

A 4 Only when complaints are received of the incorrectness of the accounts prepared and signed by the Curnum and the Puttamongagar and not under all circumstances.

Q 5 Can you verify the accounts of individual balances

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

balances with reference to the detailed statements by which they were prepared.—

A 5 As these detailed accounts remain with the Curnum it is he that you should be held responsible

2 6 It would appear from your statement that the Ryots approved of the correctness of the balances entered against them But the Curnums have decided that the accounts of individual balances are incorrect and not approved of by the Ryots and fresh accounts will be approved with the Ryots approbation of their correctness. How do you account for this contradiction

A 6 I do not know what induced the Curnums to make these false Statements

2 7 Whose duty is it to examine and compare the Cutcheds Thundal and other accounts to prevent discrepancies occurring in the Collection

A 7 It is my duty only when complaints are received of the incorrectness of the accounts and not under all circumstances.—

(True translation)

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick

Collector

Comps E.R.

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

No. 75.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Dy. No. 1695
From the Collr.
of North Arcot.

On a complaint having been preferred to Mr. Pockin the late Head Assistant Collector of this District by one Collapillay a Ryot of Byspully a Village in the Talook of Satyhur that he had been forcibly ejected from a field which he had cultivated by one Ramkatappah, that officer fined the defendant under Regulation VII of 1822 in a sum of Rupees 32½ and decreed that half the fine should be granted as compensation to the Plaintiff in consideration of the injury sustained by him from the act of the Defendant. The Talaidar however also levied the fine instead of paying half

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

half of it to amount to the Plaintiff remitted the whole to my Treasury and the entire sum was therefore carried to the credit of Government.

2. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for refunding to the Plaintiff Collapulla Zz the amount of Rupees 16 1/4 awarded to him as compensation by Mr. Lockin.

North Arcot Collector's Cutchery the 22nd April 1858

(Signed) C. Whittingham Collector.

To

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

No. 26.

To

G. L. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Dd No. 1018 From the Collr. of Canara

On receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 15th February 1858, I communicated the decision of Government in favor of the pupils of the Survey Class in the Mangalore German Mission to their teacher, and have now the honor to forward for the information of Government the reply of Mr. Plebst, from which I regret to find, that the Survey class has been in fact broken up, and is not likely to be again placed on a satisfactory footing for some time to come.

2. As the high salaries given by the Public Works Department prevent my being able to obtain the services of a competent District Surveyor for the pay offered, I trust, the Government will now take

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

take such steps as may be deemed necessary to provide the District with as many even should it be desirable in order to secure the services of a competent person to grant such allowances are usually paid to subordinates in the Public Works Department when travelling.—

Collector's Cutchery
Mangalore
30th April 1858

(Signed) W. Fisher
Collector.

Enclosure No 5

To
W. Fisher Esqr.
Collector of
Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 30th March enclosing an Extract from an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 8th February 1858 &c. and to inform you that one of the three pupils recommended for the Survey Class is employed in the German Press & has entered an engagement binding him

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

him for some years. The second of them was sick and did not attend the lessons for some time past. The lessons were for these reasons not regularly cared for in the last two months, partly owing to the precarious state of my own health, and I am sorry therefore not to be able to lay before you any specimens of their work. As I am about to start for the Neilgherries in order to try there the restoration of my strength, I further beg to inform you that for the next six months, I shall not be able to continue the Survey lessons.—

Mangalore
23rd March 1858

(Signed) G. Plebert
(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Fisher
Collector
&c

7446

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

No 39
1858

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Do No 1374
From the Sr D.
Collr of Malabar

No 1041

Adverting to the Extract
Minutes Consultation under date the
13th October last, Para 18, I have the
honor to request you will have the
goodness to furnish me with a few Copies
of the Table for reducing measure-
ments made in feet to the decimal
of an Acre. Also when ready a few
copies of the manual of instruction
alluded to in Para 23.-

Calicut
17th March 1858

(Signed) W. Robinson
Collector

No

7447.

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

No 61

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Do No 1500
From the Collr
of Madras

With reference to the Endorse-
ment of the Board dated 10th April
1858 on Government Petition No 668
of 1858 herewith returned. I have the
honor to report that the land claimed
by Narasimma Naidoo as forming
grant No 979 is now portion of the
Pondicastle premises.-

2. A large extent of land in excess
of that included in these grants is
held as proved by late measurements
by the proprietors.

3. This excess is probably the
land referred to in grant No 979 but
whether

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

whether so or not it has long been enjoyed as portion of the Brodie Castle ground.

4. If the Government having purchased gain also possession of the premises, Petitioner must reject them from the land he claims if it remain in the hands of the late owners he must follow the same course with them.

5. He is presenting old Quit Rent Bills in the name of Mr. G. Arbuthnot which no avail to him and affords no proof of his right to the land.

5 No. 978 from which a piece was taken for widening the road and the price of which Petitioner also claims is now in the possession of one Talab Moodly who received the compensation for the ground so taken.

6 Petitioner should first satisfy himself of the land held under No. 978 and then he might raise the question of the compensation having been unduly paid.

Madras
10th April 1858

[Signed] B. Cunliffe
Collector
To

Fort St. George 12th May 1858.

To

G. L. Prendergast Esqr.
Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir,

B. No. 1700
From the Accountant General
Transferring a letter from the Coll. of Bellary annexing an estimate for wooden boxes required to convey the Talook remittances on Carts.

With reference to your letter No. 323 of 28th August last and to my reply dated 21st September 1857 regarding the safety of Specie remittances in transit from the Talooks to the Hoozoor Treasury, I have the honor to annex an estimate for wooden Boxes required to convey the Talook remittances on Carts, and beg to request you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the same being 90 boxes at an estimated cost of 360 Rupees or 4 Rupees each.

I beg to inform you that the Number of boxes entered for each Talook is calculated so as to suffice for conveying the largest amount of remittance of the respective Talooks, each box made to hold 5000 Rupees.

Bellary District Collector's Office
Ramandroog
5th April 1858

[Signed] C. Pelly
Collector

Estimate.

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

Estimate for making Wooden Boxes required to transport Treasure by Carts from several Talooks to the Zillah Treasury in the District of Bellary

Talooks	Number of boxes required to hold the largest sum collected in each Talook.	Amount at 4 Rupees per box.
Bellary	6	24
Kumply	4	16
Hurponhully	4	16
Hoodlighee	6	24
Hoovinhudgaly	4	16
Adonie	8	32
Goollem	8	32
Punchapollium	6	24
Goothy	6	24
Yadaty	4	16
Raidroog	5	20
Vandapaty	6	24
Anantapoor	5	20
Penjondah	5	20
Codrcondah	4	16
Madugeerah	4	16
Dhurmavaram	5	20
Total number of boxes to be made to hold Rupees 1,00,000 Rupees each	90	360

(Signed) R Lly
Collector

Received

Fort St George 12th May 1858.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

My No. 1085
From the Collector of Masulipatam — Return of Sales for 1st Quarter 58.

My No. 1089
From the Collector of Madras — Return of Sales Tadaro for 57.

My No. 1090
From the Collector of Ganjam — Season Report for 2nd half of April 58.

My No. 1091
From the Collector of Masulipatam — Season Report for 2nd half of April 58.

My No. 1092
From the Collector of Kurnool — Do — Do — Do

My No. 1093
From the Collector of North Arcot — Do — Do — Do

My No. 1094
From the Collector of Tanjore — Do — Do — Do

My No. 1095
From the Collector of Madura — Do — Do — Do

My No. 1096
From the Collector of Malabar — Do — Do — Do

My No. 1080
From the Collector of Madura — Statement of Receipt and Disbursements in the Sea Customs Department at Ramnad in April 1858.

My

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

My No. 1087
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Rain Report for March 1858.

My No. 1088
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Do. Do. for April 1858.

My No. 1083
From the Collector of South Arcot. Bullock Statement for April 1858.

My No. 1084
From the Collector Kurnool. Statement of Actual charges incurred on account of Additional Establishment for Fasly 1206.

Proceedings

S.E.B.

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Thursday the 13th May 1858.

Read the following Papers received from Government and bound in a seperate Volume

Revenue Department

Bd. No. 1604
From the Acting Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 19th April 1858.

Directing the Board to submit after the receipt of replies from all the Collectors a complete Report on the question as to the number of Medical Officers that can be spared to be placed at the disposal of His Excellency the Commander in Chief.

Per No. 500 in Seperate Volume

Read also the following Papers

No. 41.

To J. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1905
From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir

I have the honor to

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Ultimo and to forward herewith as requested copy of my reply to the Circular from Government dated 10th February last No. 234 Public works Department

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office
Rajahmundry
1st May 1858

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector

Encl. 1
No. 5.

To The Acting Secretary to
Government
Fort Saint George

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th Ultimo calling on me to state whether there are any grounds for supposing that neglect or delay in executing repairs to works of irrigation has under the present system of Maramut operations become general.

2 Such has certainly not been the case in the District under

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

under my charge. The works in the most important part of it, the Delta had been for some years previous to the transfer in May 1855 of the executive duties in the remaining Talooks under the entire control of the Engineer Department The Establishment of the Public Works Department is fully organized and efficient and ready attention is paid by the District Engineer and the Officers of the Department to all works requiring repair.

Rajahmundry District
Collector's Office
Dwacharum 1st March 1858

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector

No. 71.

To J. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

Sir

Rd No. 1789
From the Dy Collr
of Masulipatam 5/

I have the honor to

to submit for the consideration of the Revenue Board a Petition from Tolatum Puttayah Pensioned Subadar praying that a Chauttry he has erected at Ellore may be endowed and I beg the Board will give his request the favorable consideration it deserves.

2 It is my duty to report to the Board that there is no waste land at Ellore available for this Chauttry endowment; that tho' the Board's permission to erect this Chauttry was not previously obtained yet as far back as 1852. Mr Sudrington promised the Subadar his support.-

3 This building is estimated to have cost 3,000 Rupees I carefully examined it and found it substantially built and affording suitable accommodation for all Castes and Seniases a Plan. In consequence of the shape

shape of the site as bounded by the Main road, the builder has not strictly followed the Board's Plan but the present building contains as many apartments as can be required.-

4 There is no endowed Chauttry within 10 Miles and the few private Chauttries that Exist in Ellore are either for special Classes or capable of affording shelter to a very few I trust the Board will be pleased to render the good deed of this Pensioned Subadar a perpetual benefit to the many travellers who frequent Ellore by Endowing it with lands yielding 60 Rupees a year.-

Masulipatam
Collr's Cutcherry
24th April 1858

/Signed/ T. J. Knox
Acting Collector

Encl 1

To T. J. Knox Esqr
Collector of the Zillah of
Masulipatam

Avizo addressed by Tolatum
Puttayah

Puttayah Peraiyan Soobadar and an inhabitant of Ellore

With a view of building a Chaultry for the accommodation of travellers in the vicinity of Satipoody Pt of Canal in the Town of Ellore which is the principal place thro' which people from 4 Quarters pass. I purchased some grounds, enclosed it first with a wall for the security of the building Materials, opened a large gate, brought beams and other building materials and commenced the building while the work was in progress. Mr. Sushington the late Collector of the District who was here in December 1852 for the settlement of the Talook visited the Chaultry I was building one morning at 7 O'clock & was proposed by it to his Bungalow from the Town accompanied by the Town Cutwall and Tahsildar &c. and desired me where I intended to dig a well telling me at the same

same time that one is essentially required for the Chaultry I told him I would have one dug within the precincts of the building towards the North east direction. He said it was all right. On my representing to him at that time that unless the Government afford aid in granting one Crown the Chaultry could not be maintained he was pleased to say that when the Chaultry shall have been completed he would make a reference about it to the higher Authorities

The next year the said Collector was again at Ellore for settlement he came and inspected the building By that time the Chaultry was Completed so far as to accommodate for Brahmins, Banians & Soodras and the other building which was designed for the lodging of other Castes was not begun. I caused a pit

pit to be excavated at the spot where I said I would have a well dug but the soil having been found to be of an Sandy nature and the brinK having begun to breaK I filled it up again and erected a building on the very spot for the accommodation of Gramins. The said Gentleman having on inspecting the place asKed me why I had not caused a well to be dug I explained to him the said circumstances and represented to him that there was a piece of Circar ground by the river side close to the Chauttry and that if the same were granted to him he would have a bricK well SunK there. He granted me the place inspected the Chauttry that was finished by that time and was pleased to say that as soon as the Chauttry there to be built for the accommodation of other caste people be

be completed he would write to and obtain orders from higher authorities for the Cuem, that that building with the well should be completed and an application be made for the grant of the Cuem I presented an anzee on 4th April 1856 to that Gentleman for the Cuem Sirs anzee was referred on 29th December of that year to the late Tahsildar of the TalooK to report to the Hoozoor Cubmitting a plan of the building to what castes the Chauttry was available and what was its cost. Thereupon the late Tahsildar Vinjoomoory Ven Rutavan Puntoolou and the Hoozoor mavanut Mauntry Co Rama Soobarayalu came and made an Estimate of the worK done. The late Tahsildar said that unless the worK be so completed as to be commodious to people of all castes it was not convenient to prepare and submit a list and it is come to my understanding that

Fort Saint George 10th May 1858

that he reported accordingly to the Honorable

After much pains and at the expence of a Considerable Sum I have finished two tiled buildings built of brick & wall Chunam & pasted Teak beams rafters and reapers and Railing both newly of the same value same master and same measurements as per plan one of which being available to Brinjaries, Chamars and Dooders and the other to all other Castes as also a brick well and Coated the Chauttry with Chunam and polished Cement. These buildings Cost me upwards of four thousand rupees I pray that your honor will inspect the Chauttries, give permission to make it hence forward serviceable to travellers and appoint & grant some living that will suffice for the maintenance of the watchers of the Chauttries as well for occasional repairs to the buildings

3d February 1858

(Signed) Valentine Puttagada
Sirdar

(True Translation)
(Signed) T. A. Knox
Acting Collector

C.R.-

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

No. 103

To I. D. Sim Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

B. No. 2923
From the Actg. Collr. of Guntoor
Reporting on Petition No. 1134 of 1857 regarding the Moturpha tax levied from the Moturpha Ryots of Nizampatam and submitting a statement by Shewing the tax paid by fishermen in the Sea Coast Villages of Guntoor for Fasly 1266

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt on 29th ultimo of Petition No. 1134/1857 of the Moturfa ryots of Nizampatam referred by the Government for early report—

Without Confining myself to the Case of the present Petition I have thought it best to submit a Statement of the Moturfa Tax levied from the fishermen at the several Villages on the Coast of the District as it seems to press with particular hardship upon this poor Class of people in other instances also—

3 It will be observed that in Nizampatam the Tax is threefold—

1st a poll tax on all men of the fishermen Caste above the age of Children varying from As 2 to As 10 to 2 annas a head according to the age of the individuals and other Circumstances for the privilege of fishing whether

whether exercised or not -

2d A Tax on all Rafts employed on fishing Rupee each -

3d a tax on trade also at 1 Rupee each on persons who are engaged in the sale of fish -

4 One and the same individual is liable to all 3 taxes

5 In the 2 other villages of the Repully Taloo to gains in the Statement, the two former items of Tax exist and do not much differ in rates from those of Nizampatam -

6 In the Remaining 3 Villages which belong to the Bunputla Taloo the 1st and 3d items do not exist and the 2d only in one of the Villages while on the other hand there is a Tax levied at varying rates for each house of fishermen pursuing their trade -

7 The Tax in the 3 Villages of Repully is doubtless most burdensome and beg to recommend that the first item which is a tax on the fisherman caste generally without reference to their profits of trade, should be abolished It amounts to Rupees 570-8-9 The Remaining item will more nearly assimilate with the proportionate amount of Taxes levied on the fishermen in the Remaining Villages and might

Remain

Remain for the present unless the Government should see fit to relieve this most wretched Class of persons altogether from taxation - In some cases I believe the Tax to be paid from earnings by Coolly labor. -

8 I take this opportunity of preferring upon the Board the Consideration of the other item of Moturfa Taxes which were pointed out in my letter of 15th January last as specially bearing with hardship upon the poorest Classes of the people -

9 The Petition is herewith returned -

Guntoor
Collector's office
18th July 1857

(Signed) H. Newill
Asst Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

Statement shewing the Inturpha Tax paid by fishermen the Sea Coast Villages of Guntoor for July 1766—

Taloks.	Villages	No. of persons	Amount of Tax	Rate Maximum	Rate Minimum	No. of Rafts	Amount of Tax	Rafts	Tax on trade		Tax on Houses—				Total Amount of Sicca
								Rate	No. of Rafts	Amount of Tax	Rate	No. of Houses	Amount of Tax	Rates Maximum	Rates Minimum	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Repilly	Nizampatam	151	151 7 9	2 10 -	2 -	28½	28 8 -	1 - -	32	32 - -	1 - -	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	211 15 9
	Moondradoo	143	172 1 -	2 4 -	2 -	39	39 - -	1 - -	-	- - -	-	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	211 1 -
	Poloomerla	155	247 - -	2 4 -	2 -	19	19 - -	1 - -	-	- - -	-	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	266 - -
	Total	449	570 8 9	- - -	- - -	86½	86 8 -	- -	32	32 - -	-	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	689 - 9
Banpetta	Espoorpolem	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	12	12 - -	1 - -	-	- - -	20	15	1 - -	1 4 -	- 10 -	271 - -
	Selavia Ganjam	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	24	18	12 6	1 6 -	- 2 -	18 12 6	
	Pedda Ganjam	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	- - -	-	- - -	8	6	11 6	1 - -	- 76	6 11 6	
	Total	-	- - -	- - -	- - -	12	12 - -	- -	-	- - -	52	40	9 - -	- - -	- - -	52 9 -	
	Grand Total	449	570 8 9	- - -	- - -	98½	98 8 -	- -	32	32 - -	52	40	9 - -	- - -	- - -	741 9 9	

Guntoor
Collrs. office
18th July 1858

(Signed) A. Revill
Asst. Collector

No 26

To G. L. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

B. No. 1280
From the Coller.
of Guntoor ——

I desiring to para 6
6 of Extract from Minutes of
Consultation No 9 of the 6th Jan
-uary 1858, I have the honor
to state for the information
of the Board of Revenue and
of Government that altho the
expenditure therein objected to was
" a mis application of the local funds
still as the amount was appropri
to the purchase of a piece of ground
and to the removal of some
most objectionable
buildings situated in the
the centre of Guntoor
and

and in the immediate vici:
-nity of the Cutcherry I trust
the Government will be good
enough to reconsider the
matter and to allow the pre:
-sent arrangement to remain
undisturbed—

Guntoor District
Collector's office
Mangalore —
20th March 1858 —

(Signed) A. Wood
Collector

No

To F. B. Elton Esqr.
Collector of Nellore-

B. No. 1959-
From the Coller.
of Nellore — —

Sir,

I have the honor
to request you will be
good enough to forward
this my application for one
months Privilege leave to
visit

7469 Fort St. George 13th May 1858

visit Madras on urgent &
private affairs—

Nellore
10th May 1858

(Signd) Louis Forbes
Asst to the
Collector of Nellore—

The Collector begs to
Recommends that the Sr.
leave of absence solicited
=ed in the application may
be granted—

Nellore
Collectors office
11th May 1858

(Signd) F. B. Elton
Collector

To

CB

7470. Fort St. George 13th May 1858

To
G. S. Forbes Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd. No. 1488 From the
Collector of Bellary....
19th December 1857
No. 1342

I have the honor to forward
Copy of a letter* from the District Engineer
recommending the extension of the town
of Hindoopoor which is very much required.
Hindoopoor is a large town in the extreme
South of this District bordering on the
Mysore Country. There are many Merchants
and Several Men of wealth. It is in fact
a considerable Mercantile town but it is very con=
=fined and quite insufficient for the accommoda=
=tion of the population.

There is but one way of extending the
town that pointed out by the District Engineer
I see no objection to this ground being given
up for the purpose. I have ascertained that
the ryots who now Cultivate a part of the land
required for building viz. 19 acres assessed at

38 Rupees are willing to part with it and the new House occupants will if required pay the land rent and there will be a further profit from increased Moturpha on new Merchants as shown in Mr. Goldingham's letter* herewith enclosed.

* Dated 17th Febry 1858 No 15.

Under these circumstances the Board will probably not object to the land being occupied by new houses

I would make it a condition that Mr. Fisher's plan of Street should be observed.

Mr. Fisher asks in his letter whether I am willing to give up a bungalow, my property which will be required. This Bungalow is a small building and is surrounded by a Tope and belonged formerly to Mr. Robertson the late Principal Collector and at his death passed into my hand with the Tope, with the permission of Government / See Proceedings Board of Revenue under date the 22d September 47 / I have no objection to part with it and I believe there

there are two or three persons at Hindoopoor who would be glad to get the building and the Trees But without the permission of the Government I could not of Course sell it to any native &c. But with the Board's permission I would instruct the Sub-Collector to dispose of it by public auction and it could then be purchased by any parties wishing to build on it to whom it would be of more service than to me.

I doubt whether the Board would permit of the Cess proposed by Lieutenant Fisher being levied for cleaning the streets. if it could however be done as a voluntary act of the people there could be no serious objection to the measure.

Bellary District Collrs. office
Ramendroog 24th March 1858

/ Signed / C. Pelly
Collector

Entd
No. 1342

To
C. Pelly Esqre
Collector of Bellary

Sir Whilst I was at Hindoopoor at the request of the Tahsildar I examined the Streets and houses of that large town

the

the streets are very narrow and dirty the drains open and not properly cleansed and the houses built as closely as it is possible to put them.

2 There is but one way of extending the town and this is to allow the people to build houses along the avenue to your house and occupy the Compound and ground about it I am not aware whether you are willing to give up this property but should feel obliged by your informing me what Compensation you would require for it the people are willing to build the town at their own escpence but I do think the Tahsildar should be instructed to enforce certain orders

3 And these are that the main streets shall not be less than 30 feet wide. the other Streets not to be less than 18 feet that the people be assessed according to frontage to pay for the cleansing of the streets and Drains and Road Maintenance as these measures are calculated only to benefit the towns people and to prevent inconvenience and disease occurring

occurring I do not think a moderate assessment too much to ask for the purposes & I intend the measure to be entirely voluntary the people to fix the rate of assessment for themselves the Tahsildar to be the executive and to see that proper measures are taken for keeping the town in good order—

District Engineers Office
Camp Bellary 19th December 1857

/Signed/ J. F. Fisher, Lieut.
District Engineer of Bellary.

(True Copy)
/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Enc 2.
N 15

To C. Pelly Esquire
Collector of Bellary

Sir With reference to your Memo &
dated 23rd December last annexed to a
letter* dated 19th Idem No. 1342 from the
District Engineer of Bellary I have the
honor to inform you that the Merchants
of

Houses	Rent Rupees	Remarks
9	45-8-	Under Tank
10	5-4-	Dry Land waste
Total 19	50-12-	38

*Herewith returned

of Hindoopoor where a considerable traffic is carried on both by the Inhabitants of this large town and also by the Merchants of the neighbouring Talooks of the Mysore country have complained that the houses are closely built and that there is no place for building new houses for the accommodation of the towns people and for that of the Merchants of the neighbouring Talooks, who are desirous to reside in this Town for traffic and request that permission be granted them to build houses on the ground referred to in the District Engineers letter. The spot proposed extends from the gate of the Town to the hedge of the Compound of your Bungalow along the avenue trees. The Ryots who cultivate this land are willing to give it up, but although there will be a small loss of Revenue Rs 38 by the occupation of this land yet you will observe from the enclosed Statement that the Moturpha Revenue Rs 70 derivable from the Merchants who propose to

to build houses more than counterbalances the loss.

The responsibility for cleansing the streets and the drains and maintaining the Road may be placed on the inhabitants as it is a measure for their convenience and for the prevention of disease amongst the townsmen.

Bellary District
Sub Collrs Office
at Anantapoor
17th February 1858

/ Signed / J. D. Goldingham
W. Afsta Collector in charge
of Sub Division

(True Copy)
/ Signed / C. Pelly
Collector

Statement Shewing the amount of Moturpha derivable from the Merchants who propose to build new houses at Hindoopoor.

84 Inhabitants of the Cusbah Hindoopoor who are at present payable both land and Veesabady tasc to the amount of Rupees 495- - - -

of Hindoopoor where a considerable traffic is carried on both by the Inhabitants of this large town and also by the Merchants of the neighbouring Talooks of the Mysore country have complained that the houses are closely built and that there is no place for building new houses for the accommodation of the towns people and for that of the Merchants of the neighbouring Talooks, who are desirous to reside in this Town for traffic and request that permission be granted them to build houses on the ground referred to in the District Engineers letter. The spot proposed extends from the gate of the Town to the hedge of the Compound of your Bungalow along the avenue trees. The Ryots who cultivate this land are willing to give it up, but although there will be a small loss of Revenue Rs 38 by the occupation of this land yet you will observe from the enclosed statement that the Moturpha Revenue Rs 70 derivable from the Merchants who propose to

to build houses more than counterbalances the loss.

The responsibility for cleansing the streets and the drains and maintaining the Road may be placed on the inhabitants as it is a measure for their convenience and for the prevention of disease amongst the towns men.

Bellary District
Sub Collrs Office
at Anantapoor
17th February 1858

/Signed/ J. D. Goldingham
Wm Aflt Collector in charge
of Sub Division

(True copy)
/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Statement shewing the amount of Moturpha derivable from the Merchants who propose to build new houses at Hindoopoor.

84 Inhabitants of the Cusbah Hindoopoor who are at present payable both land and Veesabady tax to the amount of Rupees 495- - - -

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

3	Inhabitants of Prugee in Pengondah Talook Dr	}
15	Inhabitants of Muddugharee Coratagherry Peddebullapoor and Gorebiddanoor Talooks of the Mysore Country	70--
17	Other people who pay no rent	
19		70--

(Signed) J. D. Goldingham
Asst Collector
in charge of Sub
Division

(True Copy)

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

To

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

No. 25.

To
G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue.
Fort St. George.

R. No. 1427.
From the Collr. of Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th August last No. 2422 calling for a fuller information as to the nature of the enquiry held in regard to the deficiency of Stamped Papers of the value of Rupees 129-1-- previous to the present Darogah's appointment, and in reply to state, that from enquiries made I learn that the former Darogah was dismissed the service as he connived at the defalcation committed by the then Stamp Vender of the Zillah Court and that it was then found that he had kept no regular account of the receipts and disbursements of the Stamp Papers. after the present Darogah assumed charge of the Office he having kept a regular account of the receipts and disbursements there is reason to believe that the deficiency of Rupees 129-1-- existed previously and

and that it arises from Papers issued on account of Government Law Suits, Security Bonds &ca not having been written off in the Journals.

2. This practice continued till recently and attention to it was drawn on observing a difference of Rupees 1007-13-- between the Stamp Papers actually on hand and that exhibited as remaining in the Memo appended to the Quarterly abstract furnished to the accountant General. An examination of the account kept by the present Darogah showed a deficiency of Rupees 878-12-- but

Total deficiency -----	1007-13--	as the actual deficiency
Since added ------	8-8--	was Rs. 1007-13-- and there
	1,016-5--	was nothing to shew that
Deduct the sums written off-		the accounts of the present
Loss by White ants	210-4--	Darogah were wrong the
Security Bonds	503-8--	only conclusion arrived at
Government Suits	113-8--	was, that the deficiency of
	887-4--	Stamp Papers of the value
Net amount requiring sanction	129-1--	of Rs. 129-1-- has arisen

during the incumbency of the former Darogah -

Cuddapah District,
Collectors Office on Circuit
Vempally 9th April 1858.

Signed Mc. Murray
Collector.

No. 33.

To
J. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

Rs. No. 1748
From the Collector
of Cuddapah

With reference to the Extract of the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th of March 1855 No. 318 forwarded with the Extract of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 1123 dated the 29th idem, I have the honor to request you will be so good as to inform me, what details are required in the report alluded to in Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation abovementioned to enable me to comply with the requisition of Government as early as possible. I have not in any of my previous communications proposed an alteration in the Talook Establishment as I have taken it for

for granted that the fixed esta-
blishment now in the different talooks
will be made over to Kurnool with the
talooks themselves and should chagul
marry be transferred to Cuddapah
the Establishment will go along with it.

2. I shall feel obliged by your informing me as early as possible what sized Map of the Cuddapah District the Government and the Board of Revenue require, ought it to be the size of the survey Map at present supplied to the Collectors, smaller or larger.

Cuddapah District,
Collectors Office on Circuit
Muddenpully, 24th April
1858.

Signed M. Murray
Collector

No. 38.

To J. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Rd. No. 906
From the Collr. of
Cuddapah

In accordance with the in-
structions contained in the Board's Circular
No. 3168 dated the 24th December 1855 and
that bearing date the 20th February 1857
No. 525 I have the honor to submit the
annual Report on the Revenue administration
of the District for the Current Official
year ending the 30th April 1858.

2 The year under review like those
of the past, has been most unhealthy — a
Cholera has raged to a great extent in
the Talooks of Chetwail Ammanlmudgoo
Sidhaut Budwail and Coilcountlah
and nearly in every Village fever of various
description more or less fatal have prevailed par-
ticularly in the town of Cuddapah small Pox
made

made its appearance in several parts of the province and the present may be said to be the most unhealthy year that has occurred for many years past.

3. Cattle and Sheep have suffered from various Diseases and also for want of pastures and fodder owing to the dry nature of the Season.

4. During the year a great scarcity of rain has been experienced and what was received fell at considerable internals. Few of the tanks received a full supply and that yielded by the river Channels was in General insufficient owing to the freshes in the river being fewer than usual and consequently a great deficiency in the wet cultivation has taken place. In some places the lands have not been sown and in others where the cultivators having been induced by the early rain to sow their lands the crop has in many places perished. In others it has yielded from 3/4 to 1/2 But where the

the Channels and tanks have yielded a sufficiency of water for irrigation the harvest has turned out an average one. On the 2d of November last a severe storm of wind and rain was experienced which burst number of the reservoirs and blew down trees and did other damage to the Standing Crops.

5 Sugar Cane the first Crop of Indigo leaf and garden Crops dependant on would have yielded an average produce Those grown up red and mixed lands have yielded from 3/8 to 1/8 and those on black or Cotton soils from 3/4 to 1/2.

6 For the last 4 years the prices of all kinds of grain and Pulse have been very high, the year previous to that under review have been more favorable than the other three prices fell from 25 to 30 per cent. But during the present

7485

Fort St George 13th May 1858

President Yesterday the Hon'ble Member to Chuttle
what they were in Council deacon.

This day Council adjourned to the
Previous but the Senate to the same

I have and has created considerable
devised amongst the Natives a Report
the cultivation in General have increased
in wealth among to the growth of Indigo
in the West and Carlon Provinces

of the Colonel, and the high prices of
Sugar and Coffee in the Northern Provinces
to an abundance of grain in the Colonel
for the support of the people but the
Export Sperse to sell, and Fodder and
Straw can hardly be obtained the prices
having risen to these times the rate at which
they were formerly sold.

Item

7486 11

Fort St George 13th May 1858.

Items	Collections from 1st May 1856 to 30th April 1857	Collections from 1st May 1857 to 30th April 1858.	Difference Increase	Difference Decrease
1 Land Revenue	2.183.627	2.232.324	48.697	"
2 Stamps	34.991	30.213	"	4.778
3 Sundry Collections				
1 Abkarry	121.194	122.936	1.742	"
2 Maturpha	136.753	143.301	6.548	"
3 Small farms	7473	37	"	7.436
	265.420	266.274	8.290	7.436
Total	2.484.038	2.528.811	56.987	12.214
4 Extra Revenue	23.713	22.609	"	1104
Grand Total	2.507.751	2.551.420	56.987	13.318
		Net Increase	43.669	

7. There is an Increase in Land Revenue and Moturpha consequent on the collection of the greater portion of arrears under the above heads belonging to the previous year and that in Abkarry to the punctual collection of Kists

There is a decrease under the head Stamps owing to fewer being required for litigation and that under Extra Revenue to smaller collection being made - The deficiency under the head small Farms is owing to that head being included under that of Land Revenue -

Items

Items	Collections from 1st May 1858 to 30th April 1859	Collections from 1st May 1857 to 30th April 1858	Increase	Decrease
Dry	1182665	1204783	22118	-
Garden	464328	472111	7383	-
Wet	962040	785904	-	176136
Total	2610033	2462798	29501	176136
Deduct usual remissions &c	614488	580000	-	34488
Net Collections	1995545	1882798	-	112747
Enam Jodee	168703	162004	-	6699
Desh Kush	15198	15198	-	-
Total	2179446	2060000	-	119446

8. There is an increase in the cultivation of Dry and Garden lands dependant upon wells owing to the ryots having been induced by the high prices of Grain to cultivate as much land as their means would permit - But a deficiency in the cultivation of wet lands for the cause above alluded to -

Items -

Items	Charges from 1st May 1856 to 30th April 1857	Charges from 1st May 1857 to 30th April 1858.	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
1 Collectors Salary deputation allowance Tent allowance House rent Salaries of the servants contingent charges and Extra Revenue charges	202300	192750	"	9550
2 Repairs of Tanks and water courses and public buildings and the Salaries of the Maramut Establishment	179602	175163	"	4439
3 Pagoda and Mosque allowances	27065	33312	6247	"
4 Compensation in lieu of resumed lands and privileges Pensions and charitable allowances chargeable on the revenues Pensions and charitable allowances of a General nature Pensions to the families of the deceased servants unconnected with the late native Pension fund Pensions revenue Superannuation and Pension of the late native Pension fund	54707	45669	"	9038
Total	463674	446594	6247	23327
1 Commission to the Darogah and venders and refunds of Stamp duty	4160	2376	"	1784
2 Salary of Moturpha Cattoobady Peons	180	180	"	"
	4340	2556	"	1784
Grand Total	468014	449150	6247	25111

There

There is a considerable decrease in the items No. 1 owing to the pay &c. of Mr. Molony the Head Assistant of this district not having been charged in the accounts since January last on account of his having proceeded on leave to Europe - To the present Assistant drawing a much smaller allowance than the former Mr. Grame to the salary of the present Assistant only being entered in the accounts whilst in the year previous that of two Assistants were drawn. To the present Assistant having drawn for some time - Deputation allowance, and to the Extra Tent allowance having not yet been charged, To the arrears of pay due to the Head Sheristadar at an increased rate having been paid in the preceding year, there is a decrease in the Salaries of servants. There has been a slight increase in Saudeswards &c. owing to a small portion of arrears of the past year having been disbursed during the present-

The

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

10 The decrease in item No. 2 is to be attributed to a smaller amount having been required by the Officers of the Public Works Department

11 The increase in item 3 is owing to the amounts having been disbursed as soonas after the receipt of the passed bills from the Civil Auditor as possible-

12 The decrease in Item 4 is partly on account of the non appearance of the Poligars or their authorized Agents to receive their Stipends and partly to the amount charged being Kept in deposit pending the receipt of the passed bills from the Civil Auditor.

13. That in item 5 is to the smaller refunds of Stamp Duty to Suitors and to the smaller Commission to Darogahs and Venders on account of the decreased sale of Stamp papers disposed of.

Cuddapah District,
Collectors Office on Circuit,
Muddenpully, 4th May 1858.

Signed M. Murray
Collector.

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

No. 26

To

G. S. Forbes Esqure

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

P.D. No. 1331

From the Collector of Salem

Sir

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 27th December 1857 - Observing, in reference to my letter dated 23d September 1857 on Boards Petition No. 31 of 1857, that the case alluded to therein ought to have been reported in the usual Tabular form, also requesting me to submit a report and to state explicitly upon what terms and by whom the Enam was granted, I have the honor to forward the Tabular statement containing the information required by the Board.

Salem
Collectors Office
Salem 22nd March 1858.

/signed/ H. A. Brett
Collector

Tabular

1493

Fasli 1267 to 1st George

13th May 1858

Tabular Report on the lapsed Enams in the District of Salem.—

Case No.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Taluk and Village—extent and Value of the entire Enam.—	When and by whom granted name of the original grantee— Summuds or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service— If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.—	Names of the last holder, date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee—	Share enjoyed by the deceased, and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased and name and ages of the parties their circumstances and the means of subsistence professed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
	Kistnagherry Taluk Valagala Pully Sary mottah maha dramungalum.—	Not Known by whom granted nor Summud is forth coming but entered in survery accounts as Charity Enam Mahabut shaws alias Mopadeen shaws original Enamdar.	Charity.	Haffezabe widow to the younger brother to the original Enamdar Died in the year 1854.—	The deceased enjoyed the Enam.	Causim saib son-in-law to the deceased Haffezabes daughter	The Enam was registered at the survey in the name of Mohabut shaw, alias Mohadeen shaw, after his death his son Careem shaw made over the Enam to one Haffezabe his aunt (the last holder) for her support, who, after having enjoyed the Enam for sometime, made over the Enam by a deed of gift dated 22nd chetti in the year Vecary corresponding 3rd May 1839, to Beechamiah and her grand daughter Necchelbe. both the her grand son Children of a daughter. These two persons died without issue, but Necchelbees husband Casim saib, is surviving, and is enjoying the Entire Enam The last holder of the Enam, Haffezabee in the year 1854. This case ought to have been reported to the Board for their orders. The case was not reported to the Collector as a lapse but came before him on a complaint which was made by one Mashum shaw, the grand son of the original grantee claiming the Enam in question. It appears from the Tahsildars enquiring that this Enam was for a long time in the Enjoyment of Haffezabee, that subsequently according to the said deed of gift it was enjoyed by her grand son and grand daughter, and that lastly it came into the possession of Casim saib the husband of the said grand daughter. In a report made to the Board on the 25th September 1857, I recommended that Mashum shaw should be allowed to sue in the civil Court. The Board in their Proceedings dated 21st December 1857 No 1460 directed that the case should be reported in the usual Tabular Form. Looking at the fact that the person who now holds the Enam is not a lineal descendant from Haffezabee, shewn by the Village officers in not reporting the matter immediately the lapse occurred. I recommend that the Enam be resumed. Due notice will be taken of the negligence			

Salem Collectors Office
Salem 22nd March 1858

Signed/ H. A Brett
Collector

Fort St George 13th May 1858

No 73

To G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

B. No 1693 Sir.

From the Collector of North Arcot } I have the honor with reference to the Notification dated 19th March 1858 from the Government of India, relative to the grant of casual leave to Uncovenanted servants published in the Official Gazette dated 7th Instant at Page 612 to state for the information of the Board that A Tolasinga Chetty Head Seristadar of my Cutcherry has submitted to me an application + soliciting me to grant him the casual leave for Ten days on the ground of ill health.

2 Under the circumstances stated in his application I have granted him the casual leaves for ten days Viz. from 19th being the date of his application.

+ Dated 19th April 1858.

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 21st April 1858 } / Signed / C. H Whittingham Collector

To

Fort St George 13th May 1858

No 80

To G. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

B. No 1936 Sir.

From the Collr of North Arcot } I have the honor with reference to a Circular from the Board of Revenue dated the 24th December 1855 No 3468 to submit my annual report on the result of the Revenue administration of this District made upto 30th April.

The decrease in the cultivation shown in Statement A is owing

2 to the very unfavorable nature of the season so adverse indeed was the season that the great reductions that were made in the assessment were unavailing in extending the cultivation.

The diminished breadth of cultivation and the large reductions of assessment have produced the considerable decrease in the collections shown in Statement B.

No customs are levied or

4 Salt manufactured in this District

A

5 A tax on the profits of trade denominated "Veesabandy" is levied in the Poorganoor Zemindary It constitutes an item of the Motorpha tax, entered in the Statement B. The Veesabady tax exists only in the Poorganoor Zemindary which was in A.D. 1856 transferred to this District from Cuddapah.

6 The Statement C exhibits the causes of this District.

7 There is no emigration from this District to the Colonies.

8 As stated in para 8 of the annual report for last year all the correspondence relating to the forests in this District and of the felling of timber in them has been made over to the conservator of Forests agreeably to the orders of Government of the 19th December 1856 communicated with Proceedings Board of Revenue No. 74 dated 8th January 1857.

9 There are no mines in this District and no surveys whether Revenue Topographical or geological are in progress.

10 The remission of the assessment of this District alluded to in the 11th para of my last years report has since been

been completed a reduction averaging 21 per cent upon the assessment on dry land and 27 per cent upon the assessment on wet land has been carried into effect in the Northern Taluks of the District. The average reduction effected in the Southern Taluks is 29 per cent on dry and 32 per cent on wet land.

11 The improvement in the operations of the Vaccine department shown in the Statement D indicates a greater readiness on the part of the people to avail themselves of the advantages of vaccination.

12 The amount of temporary remission granted during the year under report is shown in the marginal Statement A.

Temporary Remissions granted pending the completion of the reduction of assessment	1856	1857/58	
Remissions of 12½ per cent allowed in the Chittoor Cheverydurg Tirumullum and Satghur Taluks	73,522	"	
remission of 18½ per cent in the Southern Taluks	111,922	"	
	185,444	"	
Add remission of 12½ per cent granted since A.D. 1822 in the Northern Taluks	128,664	"	
Total remission granted in the year	314,108	"	

13 The Statement forwarded to the Board on the 12th June 1857 shows that the amount of remission granted during the preceding 25 years on account of the Motorpha tax was Rupees 14,1698-5-10 a further remission under this head amounting to Rs. 10-11-3 was sanctioned by Government on the 5th March 1858.

14 Statement E exhibits the extent of cultivation of Sugar cane Cotton, and Indigo-

North Arcot
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
Pennacary 13th May 1858

/Signed/ C. Whittingham
Collector
Statement A

1499 Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

B. Statement of Collections.

Item	1856/57	1857/58	Increase	Decrease
Collections on the permanent Beriz	304261 14 10	312564 4 10	8302 6 "	" " "
Ryotwar Amanie	1235520 11 7	1140987 3 9	" " "	94533 7 10
	1539782 105	1453551 8 7	8302 6 "	94533 7 10
Stamp Revenue	26737 2 "	29507 1 "	2769 15 "	" " "
Abkarry	91839 9 6	118835 13 4	26996 3 10	" " "
Sundry small Farms	727 1 11	" " "	" " "	727 1 11
Moturpha	20601 8 5	19911 7 7	" " "	690 " 10
	139905 5 10	168254 5 11	29766 2 10	1417 2 9
Land and Sevoy Summah	1550122 7	184 13 11	5634 1 9	" " "
Penalty on Pauper Suits	3541 6 "	5607 4 1	2065 14 1	" " "
Revenue Fines	1616 13 11	3666 7 5	2049 9 6	" " "
	6709 " 1	16458 9 5	9749 9 4	" " "
Interest	5128 8 5	9891 1 9	" " "	4138 12 8
Total	1691525 8 9	1639254 3 8	47818 2 2	100089 7 3
				52271 5 1

1498 Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

A Statement of Cultivation.

Item	1856/58	1857/58	Increase	Decrease
	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres
	1	2	3	4
Manjah	10,854	14,0169	160594	14,5105
Conjah	303,301	628325	276276	588,888
Total	2782	2620	2889	28165
	4,4493	2,1645	439759	2032158

7500

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

C Statement Shewing the censuses of the District.

Items.		Hindoos	Mussulmen	Christians	Total
1		2	3	4	5
Adults	Male	306035	21970	1265	529270
	Female	497490	23421	1413	522324
	Total	1003525	45391	2678	1051394
Children	Male	268503	13140	921	282564
	Female	241829	11251	866	253946
	Total	510332	24391	1787	536510
Total	Male	774538	35110	2186	811834
	Female	739319	34672	2279	776270
		1513857	69782	4465	1588104

7501

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

D Statement shewing the number of Individuals Vaccinated

Year.	Mussulmans			Total	Christians			Total	Hindoos			Total	Grand Total
	Male	Female	Total		Male	Female	Total		Male	Female	Total		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1856/57	153	251	404	6520	600	626	1226	566	4443	1009	7239	6400	13303	636
1857/58	270	381	651	7117	634	623	1257	755	551	1306	8202	7278	15480	14900	580
											963	578	1541	1597	

Vaccinators 15

Inoculators 5

Deficit

7502

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

E. Statement shewing the extent of Sugar cane, Cotton, and Indigo Cultivation.-

Item		Sugar cane			Cotton			Indigo		
		Acre	Teerwah		Acre	Teerwah		Acre	Teerwah	
Cuddapah	Poonjah	321	870	2 «	28	49 104	17639	39743	21	
	Nunjah	1969	19695	« 9 «	«	53 888	7407	108		
	Totacal	14	118	55 «	«	12 3	54	5283	6	
	Total	2304	20683	82	28	50 110	18581	47679	« 3	
Coimbatore	Poonjah	71	2495	7	2	5 84	2197	54856	9	
	Nunjah	775	9058	45 «	«	« « «	152	1459	101	
	Totacal	«	«	« «	«	« « «	«	«	« «	
	Total	846	9307	10 «	2	5 84	2349	6945	« 10	
In Peramaul & Tyemundry &c. &c.	Poonjah	409	3358	3 10	35	78 12	1	8605	28906	10 6
	Nunjah	2868	27214	115 «	«	« « «	1288	7892	41	
	Totacal	6	112	11 «	«	« « «	605	2648	157	
	Total	3283	30685	« 4	35	78 12	1	10498	39447	142
	Grand Total	6433	60676	2 6	65	135 «	3	31428	94071	15 3

North Arcot Collrs Cutcherry
Canoomary in Chendragherry Talook
4th May 1858.

/ Signed / C. Whittingham
Collector

To

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

No. 61

To

J. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B. No. 1330.

From the Actg. Collr. of South Arcot. } Sir.

In reference to Para 7 and 8 of Extract from Proceedings of the Board dated 1st Instant, I have the honor to state that on examining the records relative to Dutta Enam case No. 23 of the Chellumbrum Taluk books they are found to contain the name of Shaik Mahomed from Fusly 1235 to 1240. and that of Shaik Madar for Fusly 1251.

Shaik

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

Shaik Madar was the father of shaik Mahomed and the Statements of the village Officers and of the claimant Mahomed Es of who is Shaik Mahomed's son go to show that the Enam was Originally granted to shaik Madar. that he enjoyed it till his death about 60 years ago, when it fell to shaik Mahomed upon whose demise the enjoyment passed to the present claimant and his late brother, and so continued until the resumption. On these grounds

Mahomed

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

Mahomed Es- of rests his claim. He explains that his grand fathers name / Shaik Madar / was entered in the accounts of Fusly 1251 by a mistake of the Village Curnum. The latter individual however is since dead and I have no means of ascertaining if this was actually the case.-

South Arcot.
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry. Villapooram,
25th March 1858.

/ Signed / G. N. Taylor
Actg. Collector

To

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.—

No. 20.

To,
J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. R. No. 611.—

From the Acting Collr of Tanjore — Sir, Para. 1.

Report on the subject of concentration of Salt pans in this District.—

I have the honor to receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 17 Ultimo No. 4553 reviewing the propositions submitted by the late Acting Collector Wm Cherry on the subject of the concentration of Salt pans in this District with reference to the orders of Government noted in the margin

Min. of Con. 4th June 1850 No. 870.—

2. A rough Sketch map of the Coast shewing as required by the Board the relative positions of the existing Pans is herewith forwarded as also a Statement exhibiting the average manufacture and sales at each place for the 3 years 1854-55-&56

Paras 2 & 3

3. The Board will observe from the Sketch that the character and condition of the littoral line being considered the present

present Cotaurs are conveniently distributed along the coast and that they are far from being too numerous for a sea-board embracing a distance of not much less than 160 miles; whilst that to which the arrangements made by the Commissioner in the Northern Circars extended is about 450 miles on a rough calculation. A few of the Cotaurs lying in close proximity to each other might doubtless be abolished without inconvenience; but that is a half measure which will neither meet the wishes of Government nor subserve any useful end, whilst the suppression of any of the present entire Divisions would, in my opinion create a gap in the line of places whence the supply of salt for the densely populated interior of the District and for the Inland Trade is drawn, likely in the end to be

be productive of inconvenience to the people and of injury to the Government Revenue.—

4. The Board will also observe that the Nagapatam and Adrampatam Divisions are far too important and the sales in them far too large to justify their entire abandonment or material reduction. The suppression of medavapel Cotaurs would by depriving the population now dependent upon them of their supply and necessitating their going to Negapatam so much to the South most probably throw the trade now flowing to it into other channels and thus affect the Revenues of this District. The abolition of the Southern Frontier Divisions of Arsandaghery and Tendalay would be open to the same objections and in all these cases there would also be the great additional risk of spontaneous and earth-

earth salt being largely collected in the abandoned Pans which it would be most difficult to guard without a special establishment; and thus much Smuggling and detriment to the state of the manufactured article would doubtless ensue With the Poodoocottah Shevagunga and Ramnad Zemindaries bordering on these latter Divisions in the South the detection and prevention of the illicit trade in Spontaneous and earth Salt would be exctremely difficult.

5. The question of compensation to be involved in the abolition of any of the existing Pans, is another grave Consideration. It would be extremely difficult of adjustment and entail much expense on the Government There can be no doubt that Compensation of some kind or other would be claimed and have to be given under Clause I Section V Regulation I of 1805.

It is computed that in the event of the cotaurs of the Neidwuspel (with the exception of the Chundrapaddy Pans which it would be necessary to retain on account of their convenient situation for supplying salt to the French Settlement of Karrical) and arsenaghery Divisions being abolished the amount of compensation calculated at the present average selling price of a Pan, would be about Rupees 6000. This sum, however would not I think by any means be the only outlay to which the Government would be subjected. There must be considerable expense incurred in the erection of new Platforms and it is not unlikely that advances will have to be made as an inducement to proprietors to open new Pans in order to meet the enlarged manufacture and sales at the retained Cotaurs supposing indeed the necessary facilities to exist for extending the Pans to the required extent.

Under these circumstances I am

P.

Fort St. George 13 May 1858

am of opinion that the present arrange-
ments as regards the disposition of
the Cotaurs should not be disturbed. I
fully appreciate the grounds on which the
Government consider a concentration
of Pans to be desirable and with a smaller
extent of coast and less risk than I ap-
prehend of a falling off in the revenues
consequent on the reduction of the present
number of Depôts I would unhesitatingly

	Rupees	
Average of Fusly 1225 to 30	2,35,875	Recommend a peorga-
Do. Do. 1230 to 34	3,12,882	-nization of the existing
Do. Do. 1235 to 39	3,49,703	
Do. Do. 1240 to 44	3,94,223	Cotaurs on the principles
Do. Do. 1245 to 49	4,20,431	
Do. Do. 1250 to 54	4,11,066	indicated but for the
Do. Do. 1254 to 59	4,81,298	
Do. Do. 1260 to 64	4,99,159	reasons I have stated

I am unwilling we should hazard any
change that might have an injurious effect
on the present large and flourishing
revenue from Salt.—

7. I would however guard myself from
being considered as denying that the
Salt Department is susceptible of great
improvement. There can be no question
Para-8— as the Board justly observe that the Division is

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

in Tanjore should be in charge of servants much
better paid than the present Peshkars of whom the
best paid get no more than 28 Rupees although one
of these / the Adrampatam Peshkaur / is entrusted
with sales amounting to little short of two lacs of
Rupees besides having in his charge the large
quantity of Salt in store.—

*Adrampatam and Neidavasel Peshkaurs.

8. The remuneration allotted to these servants
and to the other Salt servants generally being so
extremely insufficient I certainly think that
higher rates of salary would improve the tone of
the Department by enabling us to secure a superior
class of subordinate and through them ensure stricter
supervision but this result I submit we should
not seek to attain by diminishing the present
facilities for obtaining Salt and hazarding in any degree
the revenue now derived from it. With the prospect
therefore to which the Board advert of three Uncove-
nanted Assistants being likely to be attached hereafter to this District and whose services under
proper arrangements could of course be made
available for supervising the Salt Department
I do not consider it advisable to advocate any changes
at present.—

9. The entries in Col. 10 of Statement No. 2 submitted
with Mr. Cherry's letter of the 30th September 1856
represent as the Board surmise the proposed ma-
nufacture and not the actual sales which are exhibited in Col. 9.—

Para-3—

Tanjore Collector's Office 28th January 1858

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Acting Collector

Statement—

| | | |

other irrigation works under the present system of Maramut operations. I have the honor to inform you that from the reports received from the Tahsildars of the several Talooks in this District in reply to orders issued to them on the subject it appears that the repairs to the works of irrigation are not executed so speedily under the present Maramut system as they formerly were when the charge of these works was in the hands of the Revenue Department and that the delay is to be attributed to the following circumstances.-

When the executive was in the hands of the fiscal agency of the Talooks and repairs to the works situated in each Village were undertaken by the Ryotdars belonging to it, and as they were in many instances proprietors of the works it was their interest and object to complete the repairs with all expedition by employing their own as well as hired Pullers brought from neighbouring Villages. The works in all the

the Villages were commenced and completed simultaneously without any one waiting on another under the present system there are only a few upper and lower Subordinates in each Division Composing of 2 or 3 Talooks therefore they can hardly be expected to commence all the repairs in the numerous Villages within their range at one and the same time and to complete them with the required speed and punctuality.-

2. Several Petitions have been received in the Hooz oor Complaining of the neglect of duty on the part of Channel Meanegars and Neeran Nums (who are now under the control of the Maramut Department) in distributing water to the tanks under their charge at a time when water was most wanted.-

3. It appears that the expenditure incurred upon the works of irrigation during the official year 1857/58 just expired of all short of the expenditure of the year previous

in

en which the works only of an emergent nature were alone performed.-

4. Directions have been given to the several Tahsildars in the District requiring them to have the state of all the works in need of repair in their Taloks brought to the notice of the officers of the Public works Department belonging to their respective ranges during the time of their preparing Estimates.-

5. Copy of a letter received from the Sub Collector on the subject is forwarded for the information of Government

I am &c

Tinnevelly - - -
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry
4th Cichendoor - - -
3d May 1858. - - -

/ Signed / D. Mayne
Acting Collector

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / D. Mayne
Acting Collector

To

D. Mayne Esq.r
Sir. Acting Collector of Tinnevelly

In reply to your Predecessor's letter No. 44

No. 44 dated 22d Ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that no Complaints have reached me, neither do I think there is any such feeling abroad, of neglect of, or delay in, making ordinary or occasional repairs to works of irrigation since the transfer of the Executive to the Engineers Department.-

Several breaches occured in October 1857 owing to violent floods the District has always been liable to such accidents. I do not hear of any greater delays, or difficulty, or even efficiency in closing them than usual, excepting in one instance, and that was a delay in the receipt of the report of the breach in the Watertap tank by the officers in charge of the Taluk owing to the Tahsildar having sent it through the Sappal- To prevent a recurrence of such delay, I have directed the Tahsildars in the Sub Division to report in future direct by a special Peon and not through

through the Tappal the officer will thus be informed at once.

Sherundaz 1st March 1858.

/ Signed/ V. A. Lening.
Sub Collector

/ True Copy/
/ Signed/ D. Magne.
Acting Collector.

No 166.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to your Circular No 1360 of the 19th April 1858, I have the honor to transmit herewith two amended Returns in lieu of those forwarded from this office with a letter dated the 13th July 1856 No 209 in which Trons alone were included.

The land tax shown in the Return No 3 does not include Interpha.

B. No 1925
From the Collector of Tinnevelly
W. I. & S.

Tinnevelly Collectors Circuit Cutcheery Trichendoor
6th May 1858.

/ Signed/ D. Magne.
Acting Collector.

Return

No 1.

Return showing the number of Native Officers employed in the joint conduct of the Police and the Collection of the land and other Revenues for years.

1813 1833 and 1853.

	1813	1833	1853
Talook Establishment			
Tahsildars or Heads of Police	11	13	
Moopanars acting as Sub. Officers of Police.	11	13	
Do employed also as Ameens of Police	7		
Nattamygars and Merrasidars employed also as Village Moonsiffs	69		

Tinnevelly Collectors Circuit Cutcheery Trichendoor
6th May 1858.

/ Signed/ D. Magne.
Acting Collector.

N. B.

Peshcars entered alone as "employed also as amens of Police" Ten Police cases direct to Court whereas those acting as Sub Officers of Police do not do so.

No

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858.

No 2.

Return showing the number of Police officers dismissed from Public service for Malversation, Torturing or other offences in 1813 1833 1853

Talook Establishment	In	1813	1833 1853
Tahsildars or Heads of Police			4
Moozoomdars acting as Sub officers of Police			1
Pishcars & Do			1
Do employed also as Amuns of Police			

Tinnevelly Collector's Circuit Cutcherry Trichendoor 6th May 1858.

/ Signed / D. Wayne. Acting Collector.

The

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858.

No 3.

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Sir

R. No 1315. From the Collector of Coimbatore

The Chattrum at Nunnegoor in the Talook of Caroor in this District having become ruined and entirely useless, the establishment entertained in that Choultry as per Sanction of Government dated 26th September 1850, amounting to Rupees 7 per mensem, has been removed in 1853. The new Chattrum at Caroor sanctioned under date the 7th October 1854, from the Chattrum and Devastanum Funds,

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

Tanks, has been completed lately, and is in need of Establishment. I request therefore the Board's sanction to disburse the above Sum of Rupees 7 on account of the Establishment entertained in the Cavan Choultry from 1st Febuary 1858.

	Rs.
1 Pundarum	4
1 Sweeper	3
Contingent	1
	7

2 The Materials of the ruined Choultry at Tunneypoor have been sold in auction, and the proceeds thereof carried to the credit of Government.

Coimbatore Collector's Office 23d March 1858. } (Signed) E. B. Thomas Collector.

Copy in

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858.

No 69

To

G. A. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

Fo. No 1698
From the Agt Collector of Coimbatore — 5

Sir

Para 1. With reference to the accompanying Copy of Correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to request the Orders of the Board and Government on a subject in which the payment of Quit rent due to Government on a house at Jackatalah is withheld by the Reverend Mr Pajean.—

The circumstances connected with the case as appear from the records of this Office are as follows a Roman Cathalic Priest named Reverend C. Bonjeau applied for and obtained through the Military Department a Grant dated 14th September 1853 for a piece of land at Jackatalah measuring Cawnies 5 Gt 13 and Square feet 175. In an Extract from the Register of Grants issued by the Military Board, which was received from the Collector

and

and Registrar of Government Grants with his letter of the 20.th October 1853. the above property was stated to be assessed with an annual Quit rent of Rupees 10-7-2 which amount was accordingly includ-ed in the Revenue accounts of this Collectorate, and the usual Bill of Quit rent was sent and payment demand-ed through the Talsildar, but to no effect whereupon ensued the Correspon-dence now sent, from which it will appear that Reverend Pajean [Rev.d Mr. Bonjean's successor] who now occupies the property in question objects to the payment of the Government due on the plea that the compound allu-ded to, was granted by Government for the express purpose of erecting thereon a place of worship for the European Roman Cathalic Troops at Jackatalah, and has been defacto acknowledged as such since 1853 by

the Military authorities here but no orders to the above effect appear to have been received in this Office.-

3 Under these Circumstances I request the orders of Government as to whether the property referred to is to be exempted from the demand of Quit rent, or whether it is liable to the payment of the same as entered in the Register of Grants in the Office of the Collector and Registrar of Government Grants at Madras. In the event of Government deciding in the affirmative I request a Sanction for writing off the accounts the arrears of Quit rent outstanding against Mr. Bonjean and amounting to Rs. 43-14-7 as particularized in the Margin.-

Coimbatore ----- 15.th April 1858 } [Signed] J. W. Cherry
Acting Collector

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

To.

No. 251

Reverend C. Bonjean

Jackatallah

Sir

Para 1. I beg to forward herewith a bill of Quitrent due on your property at jackatala for the year 1855 amounting to Rupees 11-9-0 which I request you will be good enough to pay to the Tahsildar of Ootacamand or communicate to me if you have any valid objection to doing so.—

2 The Tahsildar reports to me that he presented the Bill to you for payment, but that you objected to pay the amount unless you receive a communication from me on the subject.—

Coimbatore ——— } / Signed / E. B. Thomas
24th August 1857 } Collector

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. W. Cherry
Acting Collector

To.

Fort Saint George 13th May 1858

Memorandum

Para 1. The Official letter No. 251 from E. B. Thomas Esquire Collector of Coimbatore to Reverend C. Bonjean formerly Roman Catholic Chaplain at jackatalla, and the enclosed Quit Rent bill on the supposed two houses and Compound said to belong to the Reverend C. Bonjean are returned to the Collectors Office as the Reverend C. Bonjean left India in November 1856.—

2. The Compound alluded to was granted by Government for the express purpose of erecting thereon a place of worship for the European Roman Catholic Troops at jackatalla and has been de facto acknowledged as such since 1853 by all the Military Authorities here.—

3 Reverend C. Bonjean did not consider the aforesaid Compound and

and Chapel thereon as being his own but the Catholic Community's property he did not sell it when leaving India but left it for the purpose it was granted.—

4. His successor took possession of it as every chaplain takes charge of the place he is appointed to and Strongly objects to pay any Quit Rent for the said Chapel and Compound as long as they are intended for the benefit of Her Majesty's Roman Catholic Troops.—

5. In case of the new Catholic Chapel being erected by Government out of the said Compound the Roman Catholic Chaplain will either leave the Compound entirely or occupy it as private property and from that date pay Quit Rent.

Jackatalla ——————
14th September 1857

/ Signed / I. L. Dejean
Military Roman Catholic Chaplain
/ Signed / I. Wyatt Lieut.
Commanding Jackatalla

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / I. W. Sherry
Acting Collector

To

No. 288.

Official Memo

Para. 1 Adverting to Reverend J. J. Banjeans (name not legible) letter of the 14th ultimo the Collector begs to state the a reference to an Extract from the Register of Grants received from the Collector and Registrar of Government Grants at Madras shews that the property alluded to has not a free grant as inferred by Mr Banjeans successor but that it is liable to an annual quit rent of Rupees 10—7—3 as per Lease No 301 issued on the 14th September 1853. and to which Reverend Mr Banjeans is referred.—

for one house
Qr. Gr. 2 of 5 — 139 175

2. The above quit rent was subsequently raised to Rupees 14—9— in consequence of a report from the Commanding Officer at

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

x From 1st September 1853 to 31st December 1854 - 18-11-3
For 1855 - 14-9-0
33-7-3

at Jackatalla that there are two Buildings on the land.-

3. A Sum of 33-7-3 being the Quit Rent due on the property from 14th September 1853 to 31st December 1855 remains still unadjusted.-

4. If Reverend Mr B. has any written authority from Government exempting the property from the payment of quitrent he will forward it to the Collectors Office in Order that an application may be sent to Government for their authority to strike off the amount from the demand of revenue of the District in which it has been already included if not he is again requested to pay the arrears long due

Ootacamand
3rd October 1857 / Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. W. Sherry
Acting Collector Jo

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

No. 4

1. With reference to official Memoranda No. 288 of 1857 and No. 1 of 1858 from C. B. Thomas Esqr. Collector and Magistrate of Coimbatore to the Reverend Charles Bajean formerly Catholic Chaplain of Jackatallah, now retired in France (at Moulins Department Dil Allier). The Reverend J. L. Pajean (from Chambry Savoie - Etats Sardes) present Chaplain of Jackatalla, begs to state that he (the present chaplain) was appointed by Government to this station on the 1st November 1851.-

2. The present chaplain had nothing to do with Jackatalla previous to that date, and he is no more locum tenens or trustee, for his predecessor; as far as Military Catholic Chapel and compound are concerned, than the present Protestant Chaplain should be locum tenens or trustee for his predecessor in affairs concerning the Church compound at Coonoor - consequently to understand

3. how Collector, Thomas Esqr. can request again

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

again and again from a chaplain un=
known at Jackatalla previous to the 1st
November 1856 Quit Rent for a Military
Catholic chapel and compound for the years
1853-54-55 and 56 is more indeed than the
poor Sardinian Gentleman is able to dis=
and he should be thankful if Collector Thomas
would be kind enough to give him some ex=
=planation, that may save him the trouble of
asking the solution of the Enigma from a
clever Newspaper editor.—

4. For the requested written (or ra=
ther premitted) authority from Government
excempting (on the Chaplain's humble opinion)
the property alluded to from payment of
Quit Rent, please to read Minutes Consultation
July[x] 1856 concerning Catholic chapels, grand
buildings &c.a in Military cantonments.

5. In fine the Reverend S.L. Pajean
begs distinctly to inform collector E. B.
Thomas Esqr. that no request for payment
of Quit Rent for the Military Catholic
chapel and compound at Jackatalla
shall be attended to unless a direct order
from

[x] not received in this office

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

from Government be received, stating that the
said chapel and compound were expressly excluded,
when the M. C. quoted were published—

Jackatalla, January 1858.

Signed S. L. Pajean,
Catholic Chaplain

True Copy
(Signed) W. Cherry,
Acting Collector.

No. 5

Official Memorandum.

1. Adverting to Reverend S. L. Pajean's letter without date, the Sub Collector in charge begs to inform him that a reference to the records of this Office does not shew that the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated in July 1856 which Mr. Pajean states concerns Catholic Chapels Grants, buildings &c.a in Military Cantonments, has been received at this Office—but, from a Notification of the Civil Auditor 11th July 1856 and published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 15th idem page 72, it appears that in the Extract Minutes of Consul=tation of the 9th of that month which it is presumed is the Extract referred to by Mr. Pajean, Government Sanctioned the number and rates of pay of the Ro=man Catholic Priests in the several Military

stations

Fort St. George 13th May 1858

again and again from a chaplain unknown at Jackatalla previous to the 1st November 1856 Quit Rent for a Military Catholic chapel and compound for the years 1853-54-55 and 56 is more indeed than the poor Sardinian Gentleman is able to discharge and he should be thankful if Collector Thomas would be kind enough to give him some explanation, that may save him the trouble of asking the solution of the Enigma from a clever Newspaper editor.—

4. For the requested written (or rather premitted) authority from Government exempting (on the Chaplain's humble opinion) the property alluded to from payment of Quit Rent, please to read Minutes Consultation July+ 1856 concerning Catholic chapels, grants buildings &ca in Military cantonments.

5. In fine the Reverend L.L. Pajean begs distinctly to inform collector E. B. Thomas Esqre that no request for payment of Quit Rent for the Military Catholic chapel and compound at Jackatalla shall be attended to unless a direct order from

+ not received in this office

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

from Government be received, stating that the said chapel and compound were expressly excluded, when the M. C. quoted were published—

Jackatalla, January 1858.

(Signed) I. L. Pajean,
Catholic Chaplain

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Cherry,
Acting Collector.

No. 5

Official Memorandum.

1. Adverting to Reverend I. L. Pajean's letter without date, the Sub Collector in charge begs to inform him that a reference to the records of this Office does not shew that the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated in July 1856 which Mr. Pajean states concerns Catholic Chapels Grants, buildings &ca in Military Cantonments, has been received at this Office—but from a Notification of the Civil Auditor 11th July 1856 and published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 15th idem page 72, it appears that in the Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 9th of that month which it is presumed is the Extract referred to by Mr. Pajean, Government Sanctioned the number and rates of pay of the Roman Catholic Priests in the several Military stations

station under this Presidency and it does not seem that the above order of Government has any reference whatever to Catholic Chapel Grants buildings &c.a—

2. It appears from the records of this Office that the land held by Reverend Mr. Bayjean was originally intended as a private propriety, and a Grant for the same issued by the Military Board under date 11th September 1853 wherein the annual quit Rent on the property was entered at Rupees 10-7-3— It was only in 1856 that a Roman Catholic Priest was appointed to Jackatallah on Government pay, and consequently the exemption from Quit Rent may perhaps be claimed from that year, certainly not before— The arrears due for 1853-1854 and 1855 are payable to Government— Under clause first Section VI Regulation XXVIII of 1802 land is regarded as the security of the Public Revenue, and as the property held by Reverend Bayjean, is now in Mr. Bayeans occupation, the Collector was justified in calling upon him for the payment of the Quit Rent— If Mr. Bayean is not willing to pay it a reference will be made to Government on the subject and thereupon

attended

Coimbatore, Collector's Office 31st January, 1858 } attended to—

(Signed) M. J. Walhouse
Sub collector in charge

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. W. Cherry
Acting Collector

No. 6.

To

M. J. Walhouse, Esqre.,
Sub collector In charge.
of Coimbatore.

Sir,

In reply to your official Memorandum No. 24 dated 29th January, I have the honor to inform you that I see no objection to a reference being made to Government, concerning the Quit Rent charged on the Military Roman Catholic Chapel and compound at Jackatallah, and now required to be paid by me merely under the plea that the said Catholic Chapel and compound are in my occupation, as Roman Catholic Chapel of the station for the time being—

But—

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

2. But I beg leave to say that you were slightly mistaken in stating (Para 2) "That it was only in 1856 that a Roman Catholic Priest was appointed to Jackatallah on Goverment pay" as a reference to the accounts kept by the Pay Master at Trichinopoly will show that Reverend C Bonjean received a salary from Government as Roman Catholic Chapel since 1853.—

3. As for the said Chapel and compound having been used by him as a place of worship only, to which the Roman Catholic Soldiers were by Military Order marched every sunday since 1853, I had honor to state it distinctly in a "Memorandum" addressed to Collector Thomas under date 14th September 1857, which Memorandum was read and countersigned by the Officer Commanding of the station here, but it appears by the answer I received / Official Memorandum No 218 / that names and things too, were not legible in the Collector's Office, however if more witnesses are required to prove the above, apply to all officers and privates

Fort St. George 13th May 1858.

privates belonging to H. M. 84th and 74th Regiments.-

4. In good logic, then it would appear that Reverend C. Bonjean as Roman Catholic Chaplain, had since 1833 a right to hold a Military chapel and Compound free from Quit Rent. now as he was not allowed another place for the exercise of his ministry, but the compound in question / Grant No 301 / the conclusion is, that the said compound must be free from Quit Rent since 1853.-

5. Lately Government in regulating the allowances for the said Catholic chaplain of Jackatalla, did not grant any thing for paying Quit Rent for the chapel itself and compound attached / Vide Extract Minutes consultation dated 10th October 1857 / if received at Coimbatore. Then if Quit rent must be paid it shall be by means of a public subscription among st the Catholic soldiers and of course it shall be my duty to see the list of the generous subscribers printed in the public papers, as well as this tedious correspondence if at all worth publishing!!!-

/ Signed / J. L. Bajean
Roman Catholic Chaplain
Jackatallah

Jackatallah
16th February 1858

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. W. Cherry
Acting Collector.
Quarterly

7539

Fort St. George

13th May 1858.

B. W. 1650

Quarterly Statement of the Quantity and Value of Salt Sold in the District of Malabar from January to March 1858.

	Rate per Maund	From January to March 1857		From January to March 1858		Increase		Decrease	
		Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
	Rs. a p	Mds. Srs.	Rs. a p	Mds. Srs.	Rs. a p	Mds. Srs.	Rs. a p	Mds. Srs.	Rs. a p
For Home Consumption	1 4 .	8211 .	10263 12 .	6144 .	7680 . .	. .	. .	2067 .	2583 12 .
	1 2 6	32134 .	37157 15 .	54914 .	63494 5 .	22780 .	26339 6 .	. .	. . .
	. 12 .	4 9	3 2 9	1 16¾	1 1 .	. .	. . .	2 32¼	2 1 9
Total		40349 9	47421 13 9	61059 16¾	71175 6 .	22780 .	26339 6 .	2069 32¼	2585 13 9
					Net Increase	20710	7¾ 23753 8 3		
Inland Ditto	1 2 6	27812 .	32157 10 .	63305 .	73196 6 6	35493 .	41038 12 6	. .	. . .
		720 .	180 . .	1000 .	329 2 8	280 .	149 2 8	. .	. . .
Total		28532 .	32337 10 .	64305 .	73525 9 2	35773 .	41187 15 2	. .	. . .
Grand Total		68881 9	79759 7 9	125364 16¾	144700 15 2	56483 7¾	64941 7 5	. .	. . .

	Quantity Sold from January to March 1857		Do. from January to March 1858		Increase		Decrease	
	Mds. Srs.	Lbs.	Mds. Srs.	Lbs.	Mds. Srs.	Lbs.	Mds. Srs.	Lbs.
For exportation to Mysore	10620 .	38636 .	28016 .	.				
Do. Coorg	1672 .	6587 .	4965 .	.				
Do. Coimbatore	15570 .	18082 .	2512 .	.				
Do. Mahé	720 .	1000 .	280 .	.				
Total	28532 .	64305 .	35773 .	.				

	1857		1858		Increase		Decrease	
	Mds.	Srs.	Mds.	Srs.	Mds.	Srs.	Mds.	Srs.
Balance on the 1st January 1858	19203	7	129790	.	.	.	62247	.
Receipts from January to March 1858	9207	9	26628	16¾	17453	7¾	.	.
Total	28411	9	39418	16¾	11230	7¾	.	.
Sold from January to March 1858	6888	9	12536	16¾	5648	7¾	.	.
Balance on the 1st April 1858	21523	0	27105	0	5582	0	.	.

Malabar.....
Collector's Office.....
Calicut 16th April 1858

E. E. Jr. /Signed/ W. Robinson
Acting Collector

C. R.

No 69

To J. P. S. Forbes Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

B. No. 1908

From the Collector of Madras. Sir

I have the honor to forward the report called for by the Board's Circular Proceedings No. 3468, for the year 1857/58.

2. The year has pressed very heavily upon the people in consequence of the high price of grain and provisions of every kind, the cost of other articles rose in like proportion, owing to the heavy calls made upon the local means to supply the deficiencies in the North of India, the general army in the field, and the wants of the Garrison, augmented by large arrivals of European troops from England.

3 Had not the first portion of the year been in this respect, the second has been worse. The monsoon was unfavourable, the rains fell at one period, inadequate

the tanks, and thus destroying the store of water required to bring the crops to maturity.

4. Large importations of grain took place from our Northern Ports and from Chittagong and Arracan, and prevented a distressing scarcity though prices were kept up by the removal of grain by Rail to the west, and by the sea to the South, for the relief of the neighbouring Districts, in which it is believed, that prices ruled even higher than at the Presidency.

5. The general distress was also increased to the poorer classes by the stoppage of Public works and strained expenditure in every Department.

6. Though sickness prevailed to some extent from December to March inclusive, the public health has been better than might have been expected from the untoward circumstances above related.

7. I beg to subjoin an Abstract of

of the Revenues of the year under review, which contrasts favorably with those of its predecessor, as showing the large net increase of 2.52.000 Rupees

Items	1856/57	1857/58	Increase	Decrease
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1 Land Revenue				
Current	59.126	61.843	2717	"
Arrears	17.541	12.922	"	4619
Total	76.667	74.765	2.717	4619
Extra sources.				
2 Abkarry	6.22.874	7.85.906	1.63.232	"
3 Salt	5.89.059	6.70.123	1.11.064	"
4 Stamps	12.520	12.428	"	92
Total	11.94.253	11.48.457	2.54.296	92
Extra Revenue.				
5 Land Revenue	5.291	5.504	213	"
6 Abkarry	381	277	"	104
7 Salt	132	179	47	"
8 Sayar Sale proceeds of old materials	201	"	"	201
Total	6.005	5.960	260	305
Grand Total	1.27.16.925	1.29.182	2.57.273	5.014

8. The enhanced collections are in Abkarry and Salt. In the former, though attended by the presence of a larger number than usual of European troops, they are chiefly due to the greater suppression of smuggling, the bringing to account items of Revenue, many of which were formerly overlooked, and to great activity in all branches of the Department. This result is mainly attributable to the efficient superintendence of the head Abkarry servant C. Karrapa Tammy Moodelly.—

9. The returns indeed would have been more favorable still, had not the Commissary General in consequence of the enlarged demand for the troops in the field, been unable to supply me for retail sale to the licensed dealers, with Colombos Arrack from the 25 February.—

10. He substituted Batavia Arrack

Fort St George 13th May 1858.

Arrack, which being disliked by the people, our sales have fallen from the above to the present sale some 10,000 Rupees, taking at the same time account the increased sale of Putla Arrack.—

11.— By the interference of the Military Authorities at Saint Thomas Mount, / whose proceedings have been condemned by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 19th April 1858 / with my Abkarry arrangements Rupees 3500 have been lost.—

12.— The increase in salt has been occasioned by a greater sale of salt by the heap, this deduction of purchase having been 128000 Rupees in advance of that of the previous year, but at there has been a falling off in Exports, the net increase is 111,000.

13— The Rail took off salt to the amount of 187,000 Rupees. The increase / Rupees 123,000 / in the wholesales, may be attributed to

Fort St George 13th May 1858.

to the facilities afforded by this mode of transport, and the difference between the two sums may be reckoned merely a change in the manner of conveyance from Cart to Rail.—

14.— The operations of the Rail during the year in carrying away our salt, give hopes of many augmented sales when the proposed siding to the Coleroon is laid down, and when the line has progressed further into the interior.—

15.— As reported in my letter of the 3d November last the heavy loss of 8,00,000 Indian Maunds of salt was occasioned at the Coleroon by the floods of the previous month. The inundation was caused, I believe, more by the bursting of Tanks in the neighbourhood, than by the local fall of rain, for which the outlet of the Coleroon River was sufficient escape.—

The

16. The Survey has been progressing throughout the year The Divisions of Tanjore Kistnah and Guntoor have been completed, and those of Vizagapatam and Tripicane are now in hand. Reported on the result of the Survey of the Rungumbaum Division under date 27 March 1858. No. 52.

Madras. 8 May 1858. } /Signed/ D Cunliffe Collector

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

MS No. 1102 From the Collector of Ganjam. — Average Bazar Statement for November 185

MS No. 1097 From the Collector of Vizagapatam. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for April 1858.

MS No. 1098 From the Collr. of Nellore. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for April 1858

MS 1099 From the Collr. of Trichinopoly. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for April 1858.

MS No. 1100 From the Collector of Madura. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for April 1858.

MS No. 1101 From the Collr. of Malabar. — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for April 1858.

MS No. 1103 From the Collr. of Guntoor. Bullion Statement for April 1858.

MS No. 1104 From the Collr. of Madura. — Bullion Statement confiscation of Salt & Goods Statements are blank for April 1858.

MS No. 1105 From the Collr. of Malabar. — Bullion Statement for April 1858.

MS No. 1106 From the Collr. of Tanjore. — Statement of actual charges on account of Additional Establishment employed in Fusly 1266.

MS No. 1107 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Statement of actual charges on account of Additional Establishment employed in the Salt Department.

MS No. 1109 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. — Statement of

Fort St George 13th May 1858.—

of Salt in Store for exportation on 1st May 1858.—

Ms No 1110 From the Collr. of Ganjam.— List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1858.—

Ms No 1111 From the Collr. of Ganjam.— List of letters received from the Board & remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1858.

Ms No 1112 From the Collr. of Canara.— List of letters addressed to the Board & remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1858.—

Ms No 1113 From the Collr. of Canara.— List of letters received from the Board & remaining unanswered up to 31st March 1858.—

Ms No 1114 From the Collr. of Ganjam.— Diary of the Agent to the Governor of Fort St George in Ganjam for the Month of March 1858.

Ms No 1115 From the Collr. of Ganjam.— Diary of the agent to the Governor of Fort St George in Ganjam for the Month of April 1858.

Ms No 1116 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry.— Register of high water in Godavery in April 1858.—

Ms No 1117 From the Collr. of Chingleput.— Register of Petitions for April 1858.—

Ms No 1118 From the Collr. of Trichinopoly.— Register of Petitions for April 1858.—

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Friday 14th May 1858.—

Read the following Papers received from Government and bound in a Separate Volume.—

Bd No 1778 From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 29th April 1858.—

Sanctioning the temporary establishment required for copying the remaining Volumes of the Records of the Acting Collector of Ganjam with Remarks and orders of Government on sundry other points relating to the subject — See No 542 in Separate Volume

Bd No 1842 From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th May 1858.—

Authorizing the readmission of certain lapsed Inam in the District of Nellore.— See No 575 in Separate Vol.

Bd No 1843 From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th May 1858.—

Sanctioning the payment of the undrawn portion of the salary of the late Bonded Record Keeper in the Office of the Collector of Masulipatam & likewise the appropriation of the Salary towards the employment of a Goomastah pending the revision of the District Establishment — See No 574 in Separate Vol.

No

Fort St George 14th May 1858

Dy No 1845
From the Actg Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th May 1858.

Asking orders with reference to a certain lapsed Inam in the District of North Arcot and remarking on the tardiness with which the case was reported for orders.

See No 577 in Separate Vol.

Dy No 1847
From the Actg Secty to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 4th May 1858.

Calling on the Board to clear up a certain doubt in regard to the specification of the expenditure on account of the Kurnool Lunga Khana requiring the sanction of Government.

See No 573 in Separate Vol.

Read also the following Papers

L.

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

No 45

To
G. S. Forbes Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Dy No 1276
From the Collector of Vizagapatam

Sir,

With reference to the Remarks of the Board of Revenue on a report of Lapsed Inams No 4402 dated 7th December 1857, I have the honor to state as follows:-

No 9

The holders of the Inam and the Village Curnum stated that at the time of enquiry (on mere suppositions) the Cuttoobadi was reduced to Rupees 10 by Mr Brown but both were unable to substantiate the same by any evidence, and there is no thing in my Office in support of their statement. From the Records & from enquirment. From the Records & from enquiry it appears that the shares of this Inam are 4 and not 5 and when a family division took place the Cuttoobadi of this Inam was distributed over the Inam lands consequently Cuttoobadi cannot be respected on this land

No 21

in column No 3 of the Capaad Return

return the bill of sale is stated to have been lost.-

No 24 The original bill of sale was written on a Cadjan in the name of the last holder dated 20th June 1828, and is herewith transmitted with translation. This will show that B. Makadavaracas troo los obtained possession of this land and further that he is not a near relative of the original grantee

No 81 This grant was made subsequent to the Permanent Settlement the land bears an entry in the Village accounts.

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 13th March 1858 } [Signed] C. W. Reade Collector.

Enclosure 1.

Bill of sale executed on Thursday the 14th of the increasing moon, Month Assadum of the year Survadary corresponding with the 20th June 1828, by Byeah Makadavacacty D. Stree Coladivy Vreataramaranze.- Stree Dantla Seetaramaranze having purchased of the Goorlah

Goorlah Summanah a piece of waste land which is situated behind the "Raullakhundeka" Tank in Salloor Village attached to the Vamoolapoody Poondah and formally granted it to me as a gift. I enjoyed it from the date of the grant till now and sold it to you for 35 Rupees and received the said amount to day.-

[Signed] K. Vreatarauze
[Signed] V. Vreatanarsanah

(True Translation)
[Signed] C. W. Reade
Collector.

No 81

To G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

Do No 1771 From the Collr of Vizagapatam

With reference to the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue on Petition No 2938 of 1857 addressed to Government

I

by Yerkapak &c. The Banians of these coorumms, I have the honor to state that the Petition to the late Agent dated 29th July 1857 contained the same statements as those set forth in their present complaint and were enquired into through the Head of Police as well as by other means and by a reference to the accounts of the Vizianagarum Zemindary while under the management of the Collector.

2. The assertion in the Petition to the effect that the tax was unjustly levied under the plea of Guddum tooloo or the diminution of traders is simply unfounded as will be seen by the account noted in the Margin. There appearing some trifling fluctuations annually in

No.	Taluks	No. of Inhabitants	Tax	Rate First	Rate Last	
1	Taluk 1258 under Collector's management	13	72 . 2	12 3 7	1 " "	For by deceased and deserted traders
2	Do 1208 under Zemindary management	17	70 3 11	19 3 8	" 8 7	as is always the
3	Do 1207 under the management of Government Officers	17	73 13 5	19 3 8	" 8 7	case.

3. In the District it is customary to collect Moturpha in reference to the nature & extent of the dealings carrying on, not being

in reference to tax fixed on similar traders in other Villages. These Banians have all along paid this tax and they now ask that it be reduced to only Rupees 18 3/4 but on enquiry I saw no reason for this great reduction, while it would not have been fair upon others who might also have put in a similar plea, I directed the Head of Police to assess them at the same rate hitherto obtaining, leaving a consideration of any further representation they might have to make to the following year.

Vizagapatam District
Vizianagarum
Collector's Circuit Office
23rd April 1858

(Signed) C. W. Reade
Collector

Rec. No. 1878
From the Collr of Vizagapatam
Dated 30th April 1858.

Report that the Head Serish. tadar has returned to his duty on the 3rd April 1858.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

No. 68

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Dd No. 1954
From the Coll.r
of Vizagapatam

With reference to Extract from a Minutes of Consultation No. 344 dated 17th March last, I have the honor to state for the information of Govern.t that the duties of Police, Revenue, Salt, and Sea Customs were, up to the restriction made by me, carried on in this District on Sundays in common with other days of the week. Since the receipt of the Government Proceedings I called upon the several Officers in the various Departments to report their own opinion on the subject, and without exception they all state not only that distinction has never been observed in the business but that no inconvenience will arise either

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

either to Government or to the public in general by closing their respective Offices on that day, of course providing for occasions of emergency.—

As regards the sale of
2.
Salt on Sundays I am of opinion that such sales should be prohibited throughout the Country a measure which after a while would not be attended with any real inconvenience to any one, and this as the opinion of the Salt Tanadars.—

Vizagapatam Collector's Office 4th May 1858

[Signed] C. N. Reade
Collector

No. 69

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.

Secretary to the
Bd of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Dd No. 1752
From the Agt
Coll.r of Rajahmundry

As the court of Hon. Admirals Cypher 31 "—" made in the year 1858 to meet the urgent want of the Native Record Office now

Fort St George 14th May 1858

now outstanding in the account under the head of advances. I have the honor to request, the Board will be good enough to give their sanction to this expenditure with a view to its adjustment in my accounts of the present official year.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutchery 23rd April 1858

(Signed) T. S. Knox
Acting Collector

No. 70

To

G. S. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Bd No. 1785
From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

With reference to Para 2 of Board's Proceedings dated 6th February 1857 No. 575, I have the honor to annex a Copy of the correspondence between me and the Civil Judge at this Station regarding the original documents in connection with the

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

case of the Pentapaud Agraharam, and request you will be good enough to move the Court of Sudr Udalut for the order to the Civil Judge to forward to me the documents in question.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutchery 24th April 1858

(Signed) T. S. Knox
Acting Collector

No. 144

To

The Civil Judge
of Masulipatam

Sir

As the Board of Revenue wish to refer to certain documents, in connection with the case of the Pentapaud Agraharam, I have the honor with reference to my letter of 25th February 1857 No. 728 to request you will be good enough to transmit to this Office, the documents as per annexed Memorandum with a view to their being sent for the perusal of the Board. They will duly returned to your Court

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

Court.—

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 9th April 1858

[Signed] T.S. Knox
Acting Collector

Memo.

1. Sunnud granted to Sroomalo Singaracharloo Ayawarru Tirly 1160 by Colapatapoo Narain, Cavoor Venkatanarsoo, and Pinnamarauze Cashoo Naikloo.—

1. Letter from Muddaly Seddy Naidoo & Singaracharloo Ayawarru Tirly 1162 in the Shape of a deed of Gift was executed.—

1. Doombala dated 14th October 1790 given by Wm. Orum Esqr.—

1. Roobroom issued by the said Wm. Orum Esqr. dated 7th January 1791 for a Purwanah was executed.—

1. Doombala given by Wm. M. Tudor dated 30th December 1792 for Tirly 1200 and 1201.—

1. Doombala given by John Reade Esqr. dated 1st July 1799 for Tirly 1207 and 1208.—

6

[Signed] T.S. Knox
Acting Collector

To

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

No 208

To
T.S. Knox Esqr.
Acting Collector of
Masulipatam

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 9th instant No 144 requesting the transmission to your Office of certain documents for the purpose of their being forwarded for perusal to the Board of Revenue, I have the honor to state that the documents in question having been filed of record in a suit (No 12 of 1849 before the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court) I am precluded from acceding to your request.

2. The documents alluded to, from a portion of a number of similar ones all united together, and under no consideration would it be right to mutilate the roll and I would therefore beg to suggest that an Authenticated copies on plain paper of such as you may require should be applied for in the regular course.—

Masulipatam Civil Court 15th April 1858

[Signed] J.J. Cotton
Civil Judge.

To

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

No. 104

To

S. S. Cotton Esqr.

Civil Judge, Masulipatam

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 15th April, I beg you will reconsider your refusal to grant my request.

2. It is absolutely necessary for the Board of Revenue to see the original Summons, that by inspection of the papers, seals, and writing, the genuineness of the documents may be weighed and decided upon.

3. You can have no fears that either the Revenue or parts of it would suffer injury in the hands of Government Officers and as the matter to which these documents refer is now beyond the cognizance of the Court, any injury to these documents would at the risk or to the disadvantage of the Revenue authorities. If you still deem it your duty to deny me the Record, I must request the Board of Revenue to move the Court of Sudr Adalut for an order to you on the subject.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 20th April 1858

[Signed] T. S. Knox
Acting Collector.

No. 220

To T. S. Knox Esqr.
Acting Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 21st inst. No. 104, I conceive that the preferable course for you to adopt in the matter of the documents required by the Board of Revenue is the one suggested by you at the close of the letter under reply.

Masulipatam Civil Court 21st April 1858.

[Signed] S. S. Cotton
Civil Judge

[True Copy]
[Signed] T. S. Knox
Acting Collector

P. P. B.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

No. 78

To

G. I. Forbes Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

Sir,

Br. No. 1848
From the Collector of
Masulipatam

Submitting for the consideration of the Board of Revenue the Decree of the Civil Court in Suit No. 105 of 1856 for certain Enam lands of the Goodoor temple and requesting that instructions may be sent at an early date for preferring an appeal

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue the decree of the Civil Court in Suit No. 105 of 1856 brought for certain Enam lands attached to the Goodoor temple The decision is adverse to Government by whom all costs are to be paid

2. This Suit has been the subject of so much correspondence that I need not go over the various points at issue the principal one is the non Existence of a Summud on which the Court rules that the despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 13th October 1847 No. 19 as quoted in the Circular Order of Board of Revenue dated 27th July 1848 page 454 paras 6 & 7 is tantamount to

to a waiving of these right to carry out the strict letter of the law laid down in section VIII Regulation XXXI of 1802 and tho' in cases such as the present it seems a hard doctrine that the liberality of our honorable Masters should be thus brought to bear against themselves I confess I take the same view of the case as the Court does but as this is a point of very great consequence and many other suits will probably be instituted when the issue of this one is known I would suggest that the opinion of the Government Pleader and Law Officer be taken as to whether or not there is good ground of appeal against the Courts ruling on this point of

of law.-

3. There is however a point which has apparently Escaped notice tho' in my opinion a most important one it is that a perusal of the decree leaves little doubt in my mind of the existence of a Summd I beg to call attention to the document marked E an arzee put in to the then Magistrate F. W Robertson Esqre in 1818 Six Years before the suit to which allusion is so often made was brought in the Veyoor Moonsiff's Court and 3 years before the cause of action the non payment of allowance arose, in this mention is made of a Summd for this temple lands having been stolen. This arzee bears

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

bears the initials of Mr Robertson, and as far as I can judge is a genuine document tho' no orders appear to have been passed on it. It is I conceive greatly to be regretted that this was not brought to notice by Mr Ballard as it would probably have led to the recognition of Plaintiff's right to the lands.—

4. As the time for appeal expires on the 23d May 1858, I have the honor to request that instructions as to the course to be pursued may be sent me at an early date.—

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
30th April 1858

I Signed I W Knox
Collector

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Decree of the Civil Court of Masulipatam Original Suit No 105 of 1855 Civil Court's file.—

Plaintiff
Calapalasoo Lutchmee Narrayannahmoorty Durmakurta of the Pagodah of Madhavaswamy at Govdoor
Pleader
Luckaranze Soobbaraydoo

Defendants
1st The Collector of Masulipatam pro tem
2d G A Ballard Esqre late Special Assistant Collector of Masulipatam
Pleader
To Laty Pomroshotamiah

1. This suit was instituted by the Plaintiff against the Defendants for the recovery of Rupees (480—"—") four hundred and eighty, being the proceeds of 4½ Cuttles of land yielding annually Rupees (120—"—") one hundred and twenty and also for the restoration of the said 4½ Cuttles (the extent and boundaries of each block being severally enumerated in the Plaint) valued at Rs (1,200—"—) one thousand and two hundred, of which the

Fort St. George 14th May 1838

he Plaintiff has been illegally dis-
possessed by the Defendants, together
with subsequent produce and costs of
suit.

The 1st Defendant on behalf of
the Government denies the claim of the
Pagodah either to the land sued for or
the money allowance alluded to in
the Plaint on the Grounds.—

1st That there are no Sunnuds
granting the land in question—

2d That the land never was enjoyed
by the Pagoda continuously

3d That the Pagoda has no right
to the money allowance alluded to, in
as much as that of the right to the land
is invalid it follows of course, that
the right to the money payment founded
on it is so also.—

4th That the Decree of the Mysore
District Moonsiff alluded to in the
plaint is not binding on Government

3. The Plaintiff in reply meets
the above objections by special pleas
and quotes the orders of the Board of

Revenue

Fort St. George 14th May 1838.

Revenue and Regulations &c. by which
his plaint will be proved, The state-
ment in the answer that the offer of
other land as an equivalent was only
an act of Grace on the part of the
Government, and not an admission of a
right, must be taken for what it is worth
4. The 1st Defendant in his Rejoinder
urges the validity of Regulation XXII
of 1802, which has never been repealed
and in regard to any modification
of which the orders or any despatch
of the Court of Directors as quoted in
the reply must be null He reiterates
that the Government simply as an act
of grace were inclined to sanction the grant of
lands yielding Rupees (120—) one hundred and
twenty per Annum in lieu of that claimed, but
since the Plaintiff has determined to sue
for the restoration of the Original land, Govern-
ment have determined to resist the claim, and
to abide by the Decision of a Court of law
founded on the strict provisions of the

Regulations

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

Regulations

5. The viva voce pleadings were heard and Points recorded for both parties on the 19th December 1856.-

Plaintiff

1st To prove his right and title to administer to the property in question as Durmakurtha

2d To prove the grant of the land in question to the Pagoda.-

3d And that they have been ever since sunna Mamium.

4th That Government (Defendant) proposed the delivery of land yielding an equivalent revenue to that under dispute, and the terms.

Defendant

1st To prove right and tittle to the land in question

2d And disprove all or any of the points given to Plaintiff.

Lists of Documents and Witnesses to be given in 15 days

6. The Government Pleader here presented an Enoyetnamah from the 1st Jof. urging the invalidity of Plaintiffs claim abinitio, and praying that the Plaintiff might be at once non suited if such prayer be

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

Sd Stone Plaintiff
2 Sd Pent.

be over ruled the 1st Defendant declared through the same means that he has no Documents or lists of Witnesses to file and would Content himself with cross examining the Plaintiffs Witnesses.

7. The Plaintiff filed 63 Documents and examined 19 Witnesses.

A Chakroonamah granted on the 29th August 1755 by the Village Officers of Juckanneherla for ½ Cutty of Enam land situated in that Village.-

(It would appear from the above Document that Abdool Hoopain Podochah granted a Jurmanah for the above land, and that the then Moostajar M. Caumaraugze requested them to grant the present Chakroonamah in lieu of the one destroyed)

B Chakroonamah granted on the 6th June 1757 by the Village Officers of Kunkatah for one Cutty of Enam land situated in that Village.-

(It would appear from the above

above Document that a Turmanah had been granted by the same Padshah for the above land and that Muddaly Reddy Naidoo and 3 Goorauze ipsued a Takeed to them (Village officers to grant this Chakoonamah in lieu of the one lost)-

C Chakoonamah granted on the 23d July 1760 by the Village officers of Vudlammund for one Cutty of Enam land situated in that Village-

(It would appear from the above Document that a Turmanah had been granted by the same Padshah for the above land and that Cotaun Narraindoss Sowcar ipsued a Takeed to them to grant this Chakoonamah in lieu of the one lost.)

D Chakoonamah granted on the 4th April 1762 by the Village officers of Colapatam for ½ Cutty of Enam land situated in that Village-

(See note on Document B)

D°

E D° D° on 16th D° by D° of Dultandah alias Cunchadum for one Cutty of Enam land situated therein.-

F D° D° on 16th April 1763 by D° of Vurtalapully for ½ Cutty of Enam land situated therein.-

(See Note on Document B)

G Authenticated Extract from Mr Oakes' Register of Enams for Fusly 1207 from which it would appear that the Swamy had ½ Cutty of Enam land at Culpatam which was granted by Abdool Hussain Badsha under a sunnud dated in Fusly "1068" and enjoyed for 110 years.-

H D° D° for ½ Cutty at Jucktkum cherlah which was granted by D° under D° D°

I Authenticated Extract from Mr Oakes' Register of Enams for Fusly 1207 from which it would appear that the Swamy had ½ Cutty at Gollapaullem, which was granted by Abdool Hussain Badsha under a sunnud dated in Fusly "1068"

"1068" and enjoyed for 140 years.

J Do Do 1 Cuttly at Cunkala Do Do

K Do Do 1/2 Cuttly at Vurtallapully Do Do

L Do Do 1/2 Do at Vudlamannand Do Do

M Do Do 1 Do at Cunchadum Do Do

(Record keeper made a note that there are some alterations in the Original Register.)

N Authenticated Extract from and Tuchanal Panbilla dated 6th December 1797 showing that the produce of the Enam land at Juckuncherla for that year was 2 Candies.—

O Do Do of Kunkala for fusly 1208 Do 1798/9 was 2 Candies 17 Toomes 1/1 Mauinkal

P Do Do of Vudlamunnand for Do was 2 C — 17 T — 16 M

Q Do Do of Gollapollem for Do was 11 T — 6 M

R Do Do of Vurtalapully for Do was 2 C — 9 T — 13½ M

S Do Do of Juckuncherla for that year was 1 C — 5 M.

T Do Do of Calapalam for Do was 2 C — 7 T — 6 M

V Do Do of Juckuncherla for fusly 1209 was 2 Candies (Estimation)

U Do Do of Do for Do was 4 C — 14 T — 6½ P

W Do Do of Vudlamunnad for Do was 1½ C (Estimation)

X Do Do of Do for Do was 6 C 1 T 18½ M.

Y Do Do of Calapatam for Do was 17 T 2 C 3¼ M

Z Do Do of Cunkatah for Do was 2 C 2 T 17½ M actual.

a Do Do of Do for fusly 1210 was 2 C — 4 T — 9½ M

b Do Do of Vurtallapully for Do 6 C 1 — 9 T — 1 M.

c Do Do of Kunkatava for 1211 130 — 6 C — 4 T — " M

d Do Do of Vudlamannadoo for Do 2 C — 3 T — 22 M — "

e Authenticated copy of an arzee addressed to the Magistrate by Calapatapoo Venkala Kroostnamah on 10th May 1818 stating that on the night of the 8th Idem thieves dug a hole to his house and stole therefrom the Sunnuds of the above Enams together with his own property.

f Authenticated copy of an account prepared by the Curnams of Goodoor on 18th March 1819 shewing that the Swamy had 5 Cuttles of Enam lands in different Villages (independently of certain other roopaums) and

To prove Plaintiffs 3d Point.

and that the Zemindar took possession of them, and had been paying 30 Pagodas per annum, / the original is altered in some places /

g. Dº of an Enayetnamah issued by the Collector to the Zemindar of Goodoor Purganah on the 17th September 1823 requiring him to report up to what year the Swamy enjoyed the 6 Cuttles of Enam lands in dispute, which appear from the Cutcherry accounts to have been in the enjoyment of the Pagoda from previous to the permanent settlement, and on what date the Zemindars father took possession of those lands and under what circumstances he fixed a money allowance of 30 Pagodas per Annum

h. Authenticated copy of the Decree passed in Original Suit No 859 of 1824 Wooyoor District Moonsiffs file adjudging the Defendant (late Zemindar of Goodoor Purganah) to pay to the Plaintiff Rupees 240 being the arrears of allowances due to Swamy for 3 Years past; and in default of continuing to pay the same

To prove Plaintiffs 2d Point.

same for the future to restore the lands to Swamy.—

i Copy of a Kyfeyet given on 29th June 1826 to the Circar by the Village Officers Pergd Kunchadum alias Duttodah, Certifying that the Swamy had an Enam land of 14 Vfsums and 7½ Peras in their Village from a long time.—

J. Dº dated 30th Dº 7 Vefsums and 14 7/8 Peras at Vudlamannand

K. Dº dated 5th July 1836, 18— Coontahs 2 Vefsums and 14 Peras at Juckum Cherlah.—

L. Dº Dº Dº 17 Dº 1 Dº and 9 Dº at Calapatam.—

M. Dº Dº Dº ½ Cutty at Kurtalapully

N. Authenticated copy of an Arzee addressed by the late Acting Tahsildar of Bunder Taluk to the Collector of Masulipatam dated 26th October 1832 stating that it appeared from the enquiry made by him that the

To prove Plaintiffs 3 Second.

the produce of the lands in question had been enjoyed by the Pagodah until fusly 1212 and that the Zemindar had then assumed the lands and paid to the Pagodah at 120 Rupees per annum up to fusly 1266—

O Dowle of the Sub Division for fusly 1261 produced by the Curnums of Vurtallapully showing that the Zemindar assumed the lands in question and paid a rent of Rs 120 per Annum.

P. Hissail of the Village of Cunchadum for fusly 1182 showing that the produce of the land situated in that Village was 3 Candies and ½ Toom.—

Q Do of the same Village for fusly 1196 shewing Do Do C 2 T 12½

R. Do. of the Village of Vudlamunnand for fuslyll64 shewing Do Do C 2 T 4 M 17

S Do. of the same Village for 1193 shewing 1½ Candy and 1 Coonchum

T. Do. of Ineknum cherlah for 1184 — C 1 T 4 M

U. Do. of Calapatam for 1182 — C 1 T ½

To prove Plaintiffs 3d Second.

W.	Do. of Do. of 1187		½	9	"
W.	Do. of Kunkalah for 1176	C 1	T 7	M 15	"
X	Do. of Do. 1199		1	½	"
Y	Do. of Gollapollem 1187		1	17	9
Z	Do. Do. 1197		"	10	"
(A)	List of Enams for 11660 of Cunchadum		3	9	7
(B)	Do Do of Calapatam for 1167		1	2	15
	" Gollapollem —		"	7	15
	" Cunchadum		2	7	9
(C)	Do Do of Vudlamunnand 1169		"	17	1
	" Juckumcherlah —		1	19	15½
	" Calapatam —		"	15	10
	" Cunchadum —		3	8	8

To prove Plaintiffs 3d Second.

(D) Hissail of Cunkale for 1162 " 1 8

(E) Subdivision Dowl of Gollapalem for 1261 shewing that the Zemindar assumed the lands & paid 120 Rupees annually as rent.

(F) Do. of Vudlammunnand for 1260 Do. Do.

(G) Do. of Kunkatah for 1255 Do. Do.

(H) Do. of Vudlammunnand for 1255 Do. Do.

(I) Do. of Kunkatah for 1262 Do. Do.

(J) Do. of Gollapollem for 1240 Do. Do.

(K) Ky peyet presented to the Cercar by Village Officers of Kunkatah on the 23d June 1856 Do. Do.

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

1st Chullapully — Plaintiffs Witnesses

Venkata croostnamah — To prove Plaintiffs 2d and 3 points

2d Kalapatapoo Narrayanapiah

3d Kravnedy Bhagavanloo

4th Pennaroo Gopanah

5th Ishvendra Paradasy

6th Vudlamunnuty Kanakarawze

7th Kunoon Nunganah

8th Kalapatapoo Mullaparauze

9th Do Venkatachellum

10th Gunjapoo Sashacharloo — To prove Plaintiffs 1 & 3 points

11th Vennah Veeranah

12th Do Akkiah

13th Madasoo Reddanah

14th Do Soondaramoodoo

15th Sanroodranlah Poorooshattumd charloo

16th Streemut Tenoomalagoode-mella Paroomallacharloo Iyavarloo

17 Panoogundah Venkataramiah

18th Polarauze Ramiah

19th Ravoony Prakassem

Defendant have no witnesses or Documents

Judgment.

Fort St George 14th May 1858.

Judgment

8. On a careful consideration of the whole records, the Civil Judge observes that the present suit originated in the lands now sued for having been resumed by the Defendants as say when the Perganah in which they are situated was gifted by the Government in Fusly 1260 The Plaintiff however appear to have represented his claim to them on behalf of the institution with which he was immediately connected and some enquiry was set on foot and a report was made (marked "W") by the late Acting Tahsildar of Masulipatam to the Collector dated 26th October 1852 stating that it appeared that the produce of the lands had always been in the enjoyment of the Pagoda until fusly 1212 the then Zemindar on had assumed them in paying a fixed amount Vizt Rupees (120) one hundred and twenty per Annum to the Pagoda; which he continued until fusly 1260 / when as stated the estate was confiscated) The Documents filed from C (which is an authenticated Extract from Mr Collector Oakes'

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Oakes' Register of Enams for Fusly 1207 and indicates that the Pagoda in question had been in the enjoyment of the lands sued for, under the summud granted by Abdool Hoossain Padshah dated in Fusly 1068 a period of 140 years) up to Document "d" (in all 24) support the Vuzee ("21") and that the enjoyment up to Fusly 1211 was in Kind. That the Zemindar assumed professsion of the lands after date for a consideration payable Annually to the Pagoda of Rupees (120) one hundred and twenty is further proved by the Document "f". The exhibit "h" is an authenticated copy of a Decree passed in original Suit No 889 of 1824 Vooyoor District Moonsiff's file. This suit would appear

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

appear to have originated in consequence of the then Zemindar having failed to continue the payment alluded to in the Document "f" and the Decree passed in the Plaintiffs favor became final since then the payments were paid regularly up to Fusly 1260 by the Zemindar.

9 The objections taken by the Defendants to the validity of Plaintiffs claim are founded first upon the absence of any summud on which such claim can legally be based for that length of enjoyment will not confer any proprietory right upon Plaintiff (as ruled by Regulation XXXI of 1802 Section VII) secondly that the Register of Mr. Oakes alluded to is not of any legal weight, since it does not bear any attestation as to its authenticity and can consequently not be admitted as evidence in any case. Thirdly that the lands have been

savy

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Sasy and not Suvaimanniem.

10. The last objection has been sufficiently met and disproved by the Documentary and oral evidence adduced for the Plaint.

11. In reference to the 1st objection the Circular order of the Board of Revenue dated 27th July 1848 which conveyed copy of Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 22d May 1848, No 481 seems to be a sufficient answer, and although as argued by the Defendant no instruction or orders of the Court of Directors have the force of a legislative enactment still it may reasonably be supposed that the said Court are competent to declare what they themselves are willing to admit as a legal and good title at law against themselves, and the Civil Judge is of opinion that the long and undisturbed possession of the property now under litigation by the Plaintiffs and his predecessors brings their case within the

Fort St. George 14th May 1858. No 2.

the construction of the law as laid down by the Honorable the Court of Directors themselves, and consequently that the Plaintiffs right must be upheld for this reason if there were no other.—

12. With reference to the 2d objection noted Vizt as to the authenticity or validity of Mr Oakes' Register, the Civil Judge sees no reason to question the same. The Court of Sudr Udalut in their Decree in Special Appeal No 10 of 1849 have recorded their opinion that this Register" is accurate and complete and has always been relied upon as such by many authorities on various occasions". The Register itself is an official Record left as such in a Public Office, and is therefore further admissible as corroberative evidence under Section XLIII Act II of 1855.—

13. There was another objection taken Viz. against the decision passed in the Decree in Original Suit No 839 of 1824 which could not be held binding in its

Fort St. George 14th May 1818.

its terms on the 1st Defendant in as much as in the first place, the claim was then preferred against a party who was alleged to have taken the lands on rent from the Plaintiff who had no authority to grant it and secondly because however that decision might bear as against that Defendant it was of no weight as regarded the present 1st Defendant— The record in that Suit is unfortunately not to be found and consequently the Decree passed thereon must be received quantum valeat as secondary evidence, As such its merits have been duly considered already and the objection is passed over without further remark

14. If any further reasons were requisite to guide the opinion and Judgment of the Court in disposing of the present claim it may be found in the Document an original Enayetnamah No 5 presented to the Court by

Fort St. George 14th May 1818

by the 1st Defendant's Pleader in which it is stated that the Government had sanctioned the restoration of lands to the Davastanum, and that he was prepared to carry out the Orders of Government on this subject; requesting an extension of time accordingly before being again called in Court for his answer, to enable him to arrange the matter It was urged subsequently on the primary hearing of the case that the admission by Government of Plaintiff's claim to compensation at the rate now sued for was simply an Act of grace, and only as a temporary measure another representation was made at a later date of the Proceedings by 1st Defendant in which the subject was again mooted in as much as the liberality of the Government in such cases, must not be construed as an admission against the interest of Government for that the act of grace having been rejected by Plaintiff the matter in dispute had become a strict legal question.—

With

15. With all these circumstances before him the Civil Judge has no hesitation in recording his opinion that the present suit has been conducted through the spirit of litigation by the Defendant who by his own admission in the last mentioned documents acknowledged the validity of the Plaintiffs claim throughout

16. The Decree is consequently passed in favor of the Plaintiff for the lands sued for, as well as value of produce as laid down in the plaint up to the date of the extension of this Decree all costs payable by 1st Defendant.

17. It is further resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the parties interested herein and made a record of the Court with their unanimous consent.—

Note.

The Decree shall not be executed after the lapse of 12 years unless the party or parties applying for execution, do show by clear and positive proof that his or their

their application is on one or other of the grounds specified in clause 4 section XVIII Regulation II of 1802 excepted from the general rule of limitation prescribed in that section.—

Lands measuring 4½ Cutties and yielding an annual produce of 120 Rupees situated within the boundaries specified in the Bill of complaint.—

Amount of produce claimed in the plaint	480—"
Subsequent produce for Fusslies 1265/66	240—"
Stamp duty on institution under section XII Regulation XVII of 1816	100—"
7/16 Fees of the Plaintiffs Pleader L Soobbaroyaloo Under section XXII Regulation XIV of 1816	73—8—"
Fees of Government Vakeel Pooroosholamiah under Do Do	84—"—"
Value of Stamp paper filed by Plaintiff	28—4—"
Do Do by 1st Defendant	3—"—"
Stamp duty on 63 exhibits filed by Plaintiff	15—12—"
expence incurred by Plaintiff for serving proc[illegible] copies on Defendants	2—4—"
Total company's Rupees	1026—15—"
Deduct amount already paid by 1st Defendant	87—"—"
Balance company's Rupees	939—15—"

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

The said sum of Company's Rupees nine hundred and thirty nine and annas fifteen and the abovementioned lands should be made over to Plaintiff by the Defendants.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 17th day of February 1858.

/Signed/ I I Cotton
Civil Judge

The date on which the complaint was filed
27th September 1855.
The date on which pleadings were closed
31st October 1856
The date of the preliminary hearing
19th December 1856
The date of every subsequent hearing 6th July 1857.
The date of the Decree
17th February 1858.

The fair copy of this Decree is signed and sealed on the 12th April 1858 and the period for appealing will expire on the 23rd May 1858.

/Signed/ I I Cotton
Civil Judge

/True copy/
/Signed/ W. Knox
Collector.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

No. 60

To G. L. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

B. No. 1732 From the Collector of Bellary. Under date the 3d March 1858

I have the honor to state that the Civil Auditor has requested that I should obtain and furnish him with the sanction of Government for the entertainment of a fixed Number of Gardeners for the Government Plantations with fixed rates of pay & limiting the amount to be disbursed on this account in each Month to enable him to pass my Contingent Bills.—

2 The Government were pleased to sanction on the 18th may 1852 an expenditure of Rupees 3000 annually on 67 of the new Topes planted up to Fasly 1261-29 of these Topes have ceased to require tending and consequently no charges are at present incurred on their account but occasional charges may be from time to time be found necessary for the remaining 38 Topes amounting expenditure of Rupees 153½ or Rupees 1842 per annum on account of Gardeners

7595

Fort St. Iglenge 14th May 1858

| | | |

7596

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

Extended from the Province of Topes for the purpose of planting fresh Topes according to the sanction of Government dated 18th May 1852

Poodleghee

				416	2				3	4	u	u	u	u	3	4	u				
Besalahully	Tope of Dharwar Road	1,262	359	2		2	8	u	5	u	u	u	u	u	5	u	u	Do		1274	
Woopera anakana hully	Cusbah Tope	1,263	306	1		2	8	u	2	8	u	u	u	u	2	8	u	Do		1275	
Koodleghee	Do	1,263	171	1		2	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u	Do		Do	
Kosahully	Do	1,263	172	1		2	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u	Do		Do	
Voolanutty	Do	1,263	153	1		2	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u	Do		Do	
			1,161	6					13	8	u	u	u	u	13	8	u				
Bullaculi	Cusbah Tope	1,262	180	1		1	12	u	1	12	u	u	u	u	1	12	u	Do		Do	
Potaroy	Cusbah Tope	1,263	250	1		2	8	u	2	8	u	u	u	u	2	8	u	Do		1275	
	Tope of Adoogoopah Road	1,262	797	2		2	8	u	5	u	u	u	u	u	5	u	u	Do		1274	
Cusbah Raidroog	New Tope of Cusbah	1,263	594	3		2	8	u	7	8	u	u	u	u	7	8	u	Do		1275	
Mullapooram	Tope of Managen Coontah	1,263	494	2		2	8	u	5	u	u	u	u	u	5	u	u	Do		Do	
			1,885	7					17	8	u	u	u	u	17	8	u				
Bommagondahully	Tope of Cusbah Vunkyuddy	1,262	323	2		1	8	u	3	u	u	u	u	u	3	u	u	Do		1274	
Mullegamadoogor	Cushbah Tope	1,262	198	1		2	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u				
Nundarayanahully	Goode Tope	1,262	320	2		1	12	u	3	8	u	u	u	u	3	8	u	Upto July		1274	
Hanoomanahully	Tope near Rungasawmy Pagoda	1,262	168	1		2	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u	Do		Do	
Rangahmganahully	Cusbah Road Tope	1,262	403	2		2	u	u	4	u	u	u	u	u	4	u	u	Do		Do	
Ereganahully	Cusbah Tope	1,262	408	2		2	u	u	4	u	u	u	u	u	4	u	u	Do		Do	
Runganahully	Uccagamum Tope	1,263	520	2		2	8	u	5	u	u	u	u	u	5	u	u	Do		1275	
			2,340	12					23	8	u	u	u	u	23	8	u				
Veerapooram	Vencatapooram Road Tope	1,263	188	2		1	u	u	2	u	u	u	u	u	2	u	u	Do		Do	
	Total Topes planted upto last July 20		6,420	30					64	u	u	u	u	u	64	u	u				

Madagascar

Pondicherry

7597 Fort St. George 14th May 1858

| | | |

For 6 Sts George, 14th May 1858.

8	Coolty	"	"	7	"	"	"	18	8	"	"	"	18 8
9	Yadkoo	"	250	1	"	"	"	2	8	"	"	"	2 8
10	Raidroog	"	2,015	8	"	"	"	20	"	"	"	"	20 "
11	Madugserah	"	2,340	12	"	"	"	23	8	"	"	"	23 8
12	Kodecondah	"	188	2	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	2 "
13	Tandpatry	"	5,080	13	"	"	"	33	"	"	"	"	33 "
14	Dharmaverum	"	"	13	"	"	"	23	"	"	"	"	23 "
	Total 67	"	"	110	"	"	"	250	8	"	11	"	261 8 "

For 1 year 3138 " "

Add for occasional expenses and for planting other Topes when expedient 826 " "

Total requiring Sanction 4,000 " "

Bellary District,
Collector's Office on Circuit,
at Ramoondroog, 19th April, 1858.

E E. /Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

No 2

Bk. No. 1774. Tabular Report on the lapsed Enams in the District of Salem.

1 Case Numbers.	2 Talook and Village, extent and value of the Entire Enam.	3 When and by whom granted Name of the original grantee, Summuds or other Documents in support of the grant.	4 Nature of the grant Charity or service. If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant	5 Name of the last holder date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee	6 Share enjoyed by the deceased and that; acts by the other members.	7 Family left by the deceased name and age of the parties their, ar cums tances and the means to subsist the property by them	8 Recommendation of the Board of Revenue Decision of Govern-ment.	9	10
	Denkencottah Talook Lands. Rent. Assessment Tillady Village ... Bonjah 6 ... 3 ... 15 ... 5 ... 11 ... 2 ... 8 ... 1 Denkencottah Village ... Bonjah 11 ... 2½ ... 2 ... 11 ... 8 ... 1 Nunjah 2 ... 31 ... 11 ... 11 ... 4 ... 7 14 ... 5 ... 13 ... 22 ... 6 ... 9 No: of Enam Ryots 3 Extent of Enam 3-8-6	Not known when and by whom granted No Summuds forth coming. In the Survey account the name of Raweswara Ragoonatha Charry is entered as the Enamdar.	Charity	The original grantee Ragoonatha Charry died some years ago.	The deceased enjoyed the entire Enam.	Not known.			

Salem Collector's Office
On Circuit Mullore
April 1858.

Read Urzee from the Tahsildar of Denkencottah dated 10th February 1857 No. 286.

This Butwarty Enam is entered in the Survey accounts in the name of one Raweswara Ragoonathacharry. No Summuds is forthcoming. It appears from the record that the original Enamdar died some years ago, and that it is not known who his heirs are, or where they are. The Enam in question was attached at the Survey under the impression that it was granted subsequent to the year 1792. It was restored in Fusly 1218 when it was found that the Enam had been granted previous to 1793. The validity of the Enam was again called in question in Fusly 1230. Both lands were attached in that year. In Fusly 1233 an order was passed to restore the Enam lands situated in the village of Denkencottah to the original grantee but the Enamdar failed to come forward and take possession of the land, and the land has been left waste up to the present time. The other land belonging to the Enam situated in the village Wattavady was not restored subsequent to the attachments in Fusly 1230. The land was waste for a few years, and then was given for cultivation on Savoy Jamma Kattahs. As neither of these Enam lands has been in the possession of the Enamdar for upwards of 30 years, I recommend that the Enam be resumed.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Collector.

No. 70.

To

J. S. Forbes Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

For 6 St. George

Bd. No. 1683.
From the Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1. In para 4 of their Proceedings of the 20th January 1858 No. 274 the Board with reference to my letter of the 19th November 1857, observe that the Exc. Poligar of Pankal died in 1806 and left two sons the eldest of whom must have been at least two years old, and that the widow of the Ex-Poligar must consequently be of an unusually advanced age, and as no mention seems to be made of her in the previous correspondence the Board think it necessary that careful enquiry should be made both as to her existence, and as to her being really the Poligar's widow.

2. The Bungaree and Doodeypatee Poligars,

Poligars, and the Exc-Poligar of Poolloor have sent me written Statements declaring that Lutchmammah is alive and is really the widow of the late Exc. Poligar Sashamma Naidoo. They are all three related to Lutchmammah, and she is now residing in the house of the Bungaree Poligar. There is considerable discrepancy in the Statements regarding Lutchmammah's age. The Bungaree Poligar says she was 38 years old, at the time of her husband's death and that she is now about 90 years old. The Exc-Poligar of Poolloor states that she was 18 and 19 years old when her husband died, and that she is now 70. The Goodepatee Poligar who is himself only 19 makes no Statement about her age. Discrepant as are the Statements as to the widow's age at the time of her husband's death neither

neither of them is imposible, considering the early age at6 which females are married. she might6 tho' left a widow of 18 have been the mother at the time of her husband's death of 3 # children, and the eldest of them might6 have been of the age supposed by the Board I think however the statement of the Bungaree Poligar to be the most reliable, as the widow is more closely connected with him, and resides in his house. This Poligar has always borne a most high character, and from his position and circumstances is not likely to compromise himself by a false statement.

One is a female still alive. She is the mother of the Bimgary Poligar.

3 The fact that she has always hitherto received support from some of the recipients of the lapsed Pensions would account for the widow's name not having appeared

appeared in the previous correspondence.

North Arcot, Collector's Cutchery, Chittoor, 20th April 1858. } /Signed/ C Whittingham Collector.

No. 80.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
For6 St6 George

Bd's No. 1945.
From the Collector of Tanjore. }

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from Office dated the 12th of October last, No. 3428, I have the honor to state that there is no Poppy cultivation in this District.

2. A Statement however is enclosed shewing the extent of land cultivated with cotton, Sugar cane and Indigo in Fasly 1266.

Tanjore, Collector's Office, Chatoobawah, 7th May 1858. } /Signed/ H. D. Phillips Collector

No.

For St George, 14th May 1858.

No 1. Statement shewing the Cultivation of Sugarcane, Cotton and Indigo in the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1266.

Items.	Sugarcane				Cotton				Indigo.			
	Extent	Assessment			Extent	Assessment			Extent	Assessment		
1	2	3			4	5			6	7		
Cirear.	Acres	Rs	a	p	Acres	Rs	a	p	Acres	Rs	a	p
Dry	7	10	5	2	2,316	3,097	"	11	1,880	2,861	7	10
Wet	233	1,778	9	5	6	17	"	"	141	804	7	10
Garden	167	725	5	11	236	554	8	10	299	897	10	8
Totah	407	2,514	4	6	2,558	3,668	9	9	2,320	4,563	10	4
Enam.												
Dry	1	"	"	"	98	"	"	"	8	"	"	"
Wet	5	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Garden	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	"	"
Totah	9	"	"	"	99	"	"	"	20	"	"	"
Permanently Settled												
Dry	"	"	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Wet	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Garden	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Totah	"	"	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Grand Totah	416	2,514	4	6	2,969	3,668	9	9	2,340	4,563	10	4

Tanjore, 7th May 1858.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

S. F. S.

Fort Saint George 14th May 1858.

No 9.

To

W. D. Sim, Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort Saint George

Bd No 316
From the Actg Collr of Madura. 3/1

Sir

I have the honor to report on the Settlement of the Estates under management of the Court of Wards for Fusly 1266 and to submit a Statement of the Acting Sub Collector regarding Ayegoody.-

Report on the settlement of the Estates under management of Court of Wards for Fusly 1266

Shevagungahe & Ayegoody.-

2- The Jummabundy of both was conducted by their respective Managers under the principles in force.-

Statement I

3 This Statement would exhibit a discrepancy when compared with the return of last year arising from the transfer of some Villages from one head to another.-

4 One Village was included in Pyotawry in the year under review, in consequence of the death of Abala Condaemah Naick, to whom it had been granted by

No. 1. Statement shewing the Cultivation of Sugar cane, Cotton and Indigo in the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1266.

Items.	Sugar cane				Cotton				Indigo.	
	Extent	Assessment			Extent	Assessment			Extent	Assessment
1	2	3			4	5			6	7
Cirear.	Acres	Rs.	As.	Ps.	Acres	Rs.	As.	Ps.	Acres	Rs. As. Ps.
Dry	7	10	5	2	2,316	3,097	"	11	1,880	2,861 7 10
Wet	233	1,778	9	5	6	17	"	"	141	804 7 10
Garden	167	725	5	11	236	554	8	10	299	897 10 8
Totah	407	2,514	4	6	2,558	3,668	9	9	2,320	4,563 10 4
Enam.										
Dry	1	"	"	"	98	"	"	"	8	" " "
Wet	5	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	" " "
Garden	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	" " "
Totah	9	"	"	"	99	"	"	"	20	" " "
Permanently Settled										
Dry	"	"	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	" " "
Wet	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
Garden	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
Totah	"	"	"	"	312	"	"	"	"	" " "
Grand Totah	416	2,514	4	6	2,969	3,668	9	9	2,340	4,563 10 4

Tanjore, 7th May 1858.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

/ T. S. S.

No. 9.

To

W. D. Sim, Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort Saint George

Bd. No. 316
From the Asst. Collr. of Madura. 5/

Report on the settlement of the Estates under management of Court of Wards for Fusly 1266.

Sir

I have the honor to report on the Settlement of the Estates under Shevagungah & Ayagoody- management of the Court of Wards for Fusly 1266 and to submit as Statement I. of the Acting Sub Collector regarding Ayagoody-

1- The Jummabundy of both was conducted by their respective Managers under the principles in force.

2- This Statement would exhibit a discrepancy when compared with the return of last year arising from the transfer of some Villages from one head to another-

3 One Village was included in Pyotawy in the year under review, in consequence of the death of Abalu Candaswamy Naick, to whom it had been granted by

by the late Zemindar of Ayagoody.

5 On the Shevagunga Zemindary, 17 Villages, which had been rented were brought under Amany, and the arrangement was approved of by the Court of Wards in their Proceedings 17th October 1856 & of Ayavandan was restored to the person who obtained the same on a favorable Quit Rent, under the sanction of the Court dated the 8th April 1857 and in consequence transferred to Shrotriem Vz. the result showing a net increase of 10¾ Villages under "Amany" in the Statement inquestion.

Fasly.
1263 837 8½
1266 854 4½
16 12

6 The Cultivation season for Ayagoody was favorable at the beginning, but deteriorated afterwards; and the consequence was a falling off in the Cultivation of both Dry and Wet. but the apparent increase in Statement No. 2 would not have arisen but for the incorporation of the Villages enjoyed by the late Abdaconda Nair with the assets of the Estate.

7 With regard to Shevagunga the early rains and N.E. Monsoon were as

as abundant as in the Government Talook of the Principal Division, and the latter did not cease till December. The Cultivation was therefore greater than in Fasly 1265, even after excluding the Cultivation of the 17 Villages mentioned in para 5 from the comparison, as shewn in the Margin

Fasly. Cawt.
Dry 1265 — 28773
1266 — 37665
Increase 8892

Wet 1265 — 33760
1266 — 38,428
Increase 4668

It also occasioned a diminution in the extent of withered crops Cholera and an epidemic among the Cattle were generally prevalent but not to any very great degree.

8 The Ryotwary Revenue in this Zemindary amounted to Rz. 30,20 in excess of the year preceding. This was mainly owing to the increase Cultivation the decreased remission on account of withered crops being a secondary cause.

Shevagunga
Statement No. II
F. 1265 — 7443
F. 1266 — 10463

9 The Settlement of the year under report in the 2 Estates, amounted as per Margin. The 1st of which was only Rz. 6445 above last year and which has been already explained.

Ayacoody Rs. 41,016
Pethumtoday 5
Shevagunga 6,039

Paras 6.

10 Fasly 1266 stands the highest year

Fort Saint George 14th May 1858.

12 I also annex a Statement to shew the Tuluk Kwar Reviz for Shevagungah

Taluks	Fusly 1265	Fusly 1266	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
1 Cannoor	30,001 " 1	42,728 5 1	12,727 5 "	" " "
1 Nattarasencottay	11,567 8 10	22,830 4 6	11,262 11 8	" " "
2 Peeravatagay	38,357 4 4	45,103 7 8	6,746 3 4	" " "
2 Mullacottay	21,551 4 5	29,805 2 .	8,253 13 7	" " "
3 Tiroopatoor	72,947 2 3	73,905 3 "	958 " 9	" " "
4 Vaccary	22,581 5 "	20,197 8 "	" " "	2,383 13 "
4 Amaravathy — Amaravathy	63,814 10 "	57,329 11 "	" " "	8,484 15 "
4 Amaravathy — Pullathoor &c. 17 Villages	" " "	19,852 1 3	19,852 1 3	" " "
	63,814 10 "	77,181 12 3	19,852 1 3	8,484 15 "
		Net	11,367 2 3	
5 Tiroovagumpet	14,654 10 1	17,071 " 3	2,416 6 2	" " "
5 Oorcovaty	31,353 13 9	28,491 4 3	" " "	2,862 9 6
6 Juvanaswarum	26,117 5 7	30,766 15 2	4,649 7 "	" " "
6 Mungalum	22,360 15 2	20,712 10 1	" " "	1,634 5 1
7 Parthipanoor	29,045 15 3	32,350 7 8	12,304 8 5	" " "
7 Marnad	23,827 6 2	28,380 7 4	4,553 1 2	" " "
8 Tiroopavanum	53,397 6 5	54,295 13 6	" " "	1,300 8 11
	4,56,783 11 4	5,23,821 4 9	75,738 13 11	8,201 4 "
Dist Rent and other ready money Collections	89,098 4 8	89,140 3 6	91 149	9,050 " "
Total	54,5882 " "	6,03,961 8 2	75,330 12 8	17,257 4 6
		Net	58,079 8 2	

Fort Saint George 14th May 1858.

13 The Demand Collection and Balance will be seen in Statement No. 4 and it would appear from the remarks of the Acting Sub Collector, that the arrears relating to Ayagoody at the commencement of the Fusly have been augmented by Rupees 383-11-10 This was excess collections erroneously entered as "collections" in the accounts of Fusly 1265 and of course liable to repayment to parties

Para 30

14 Of the arrears entered in Column 10 of Statement No. 4, Rupees 580-3-10 were recommended for remission in former Reports, of which however Rupees 59-3-2 have since been collected, and the remainder Amounts as follows:-

	Rs. As. P.
Recoverable	368-10-6
Doubtful	134 7-11
Irrecoverable	304 9-11
	1,307 12-4

Rupees 1894 As. " P. 2

15 Under the circumstances stated by the Acting Sub Collector, I request sanction for writing off the last item as also a sum of Rupees 171-4-11 forming part of Shevagungah arrears, of which the particulars are given below, for the reasons hereunder stated

Rupees 25 & 40

Balance

Fort Saint George 14th May 1858.

	Rs	As	P
Balance as per Statement No. 4 of the 13th instant	2	4	3
Balance which has become irrecoverable since the Date of the Statement

last year amounting to Rupees 13,087 chiefly arising from the annexation of the property of the late Obalacondamma Naick to the Estate of the Ayagoody minor and from increase in the refunds of maramuts and interest accruing on investments in Shevagungah

Proceeds from Pannay or private lands to the Yemindar — Rs. 10,212-10-10

Refunds of Maramut — 2,084-2-2

Do. of Mottanpha — 3,861-5-6

Interest on investments — 4,549-11

Rent on houses and other Sundry receipts — 536-7-2

46,243-10-7

July
1265 — 47,331-1-7
1266 — 5,47,158-11-10
Inc. 7,582-10-3

18. The charges exceed the year preceding as per margin of which Rupees 2,583-4-6 belong to Ayagoody + 7,244-5-9 to Shevagungah

19 The Chief causes are increased maramut under Ordinary Estimates, increase to the pay of Mr Fletcher the tutor from the Shevagungah funds; Payment of debts to a greater extent purchase of a pony for the Ayagoody Minor.

From Court of Wards 14th December 1857. Rs. 1244 Shevagungah
Ayagoody Rs. 1023
Rs. 1008

From Court of Wards 2d July 1856 and 8th April 1857 Rs. 2,580

Dr. 2d March 1857
From Court of Wards 3d February 1857

Minor for Rs. 250 Charges incurred in consequence of the visit of both Minors to Madras for the Exhibitions of 1857 payment of mesne profits to parties to whom Villages were restored, and of arrears of pay to the domestic Subordinates of the late Zemindar of Shevagungah and remittance of Rs. 7000 to the Court of Wards to be paid to the Vakeel who assisted in conducting the Shevagungah Law suits under orders of the Court of Wards noted in the margin

20 Some items which appeared under miscellaneous disbursements in last year have not occurred in the year under review

21 It will be observed that the remarks of the Acting Sub Collector in point of "Charges" differ from the Statement to some extent, which I beg to submit, is owing to the former not embracing the items here under specified because they were defrayed from the Collector's Treasury without information of the same being given to the Sub Collector The first 2 of these items are charged to "Miscellaneous disbursements" and the last to

Calr. 19 & 21

Fort St. George 15th May 1858.

to the proper head.

Charge on account of excursion to Madura — 1422-10-9

Purchase of a Poney — 250-0-0

Allowance for the Maintenance of the Minor — 147-0-0

22 The Peishcush and Commission for management
In Fusly 1266 - 20,000 were fully paid to Government, and of the surplus,
" — 1267 - 10,000 Rs 5,000 for Ayagoody and Rs 30,000 for Shevagungah
have been invested in Company's Paper.

23 Ayagoody was taken under the manage-
ment of the Court of Wards in June 1853;
Shevagungah in April 1849. The minors will
be of age respectively in December 1860 and Octo-
ber 1859.

24 I have on more than one occasion
had personal interviews with the two Minors
I have been quite satisfied during the short
time I have been in charge of the District
with the conduct of the Ayagoody Minor
who seems intelligent.

25 I have also reason to believe that
since I took steps for preventing constant
visits of the Shevagungah Minor to his
mother, and for preding him Companions from dis-
reputable characters the Shevagungah Minor
has improved much. He has for some time
past attended the Cutcherry for a few hours in
the day, and is thus becoming acquainted with
the management of the Estate

Madura
14th January

Signed J. A. Sullivan
Acting Collector

C. B.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

No. 178.

To.

R. J. Sullivan Esqr.
Acting Collector of
Madura

Sir

I have the honor to submit my Report on the Ayagoody Estate now under the management of the Court of Wards for Fusly 1266.

2. The Estate was taken under Wardship at the close of Fusly 1262. The management will cease at the conclusion of Fusly 1270 when the Minor becomes of age.

3 The Minor continues to receive instructions from Mr. Fletcher the tutor of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagungah under whom he has been for the past four years.

4. The Jummabundy of the Fusly has been conducted by the Manager Kistnavawmy Isaick appointed to the Office

Office in December 1856

5. The Statements annexed are in accordance with the forms circulated by the Court of Wards in September 1855. I have interpolated in the Maigin of the Report the explanations they seem to require with such further information as appears to me requisite to a proper detail of the operations of the year.

6. The first Statement shews the number of Villages in the Poliput. The only change that has taken place during the Fusly is in regard to the Village of Conimputty, last year entered as Enam this year incorporated with the Ryotwar Village. The Village was settled upon Abalacondama Naick the late Guardian of the Minor by the deceased Polegar & was enjoyed by him free up to the date of his death in September last year. He had given the greater part of

of it (consisting of Goolies 24.34 4/16) on cowles which were to last untill Fusly 1268 in Fusly 1264. These cowles paying in the aggregate Rupees 1819–14–1 have been confirmed. thus leaving only the remainder of the Village Amounting to Goolies 111 14/16 which have been assessed at the Fysul rates to be brought immediately under the Ryotwar system. The income of the whole Village is Rupees 1902–3–1.

7. The number of Villages in the Pattiembady Inittah remains the same as at the date of the last Report. The period for which one of them was rented expired at the close of the Fusly and it has been rented at an enhanced price, which will form the subject of explanation in the Report for Fusly 1267.

8. The rented Villages in the Poliput is on the Hills. It is rented for periods of one year only and has been so ever since and previous to the Estate

Estate being taken under management.

The rent secured for the Fusly was Rs 22-12- less than last year attributable, I believe to the shortness of the lease, which prevents the Renter from being so liberal in his engagements with the Ryots as he otherwise could afford to be and thus repels many who under more favorable conditions might be disposed to resort to the hills for cultivation. So satisfied am I of this result that I have instructed the Manager to ascertain whether higher terms cannot be obtained by letting the Village for the three remaining years of the management, and if I find this to be the case I purpose directing him to give a lease for that period, At one time it was in contemplation to take the Villages under management, but the idea was abandoned in consequence of there being no accounts which would serve as a guide for the imposition of an assessment and it was found

found that to measure and properly assess the land would involve an expense which would not have been compensated by any small increase that might thereby have been secured in the Collections.

9. Statement No 2 shews the cultivation and settlement of the Fusly. The cultivation exhibits an increase over Fusly 1265 but this is owing not to its being actually more extensive but to the addition of Combaputty adverted to in para 6 to the Pyotwar Villages and to some of the other lands enjoyed by the deceased having been taken under management.

10. The real fact is that the cultivation has been considerably contracted. The decrease in Poonjah is Cawnies 917—5 assessed at Rupees 473—11—3 and in Punjah Gordies 385—13 bearing a tasc of Rupees

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

Rupees 1723-4-5. The Garden only exhibits a slight increase of Goolies 22-11 assessed at Rs. 120-10-4. Comparison with the cultivation of last year is as drawn below.

Fusly 1ca.	Poonjah			Nunjah			Garden			Total		
1	2			3			4			5		
	Cawnies	As.	Ps.	Cawnies	As.	Ps.	Cawn.	As.	Ps.	Cawn.	As.	Ps.
1265	9059	13	0	1968	8	1	641	10	1	11669	15	2
1266	8142	7	3	4582	10	2	664	5	2	10389	7	3
Increase	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	11	1	"	"	"
Decrease	917	5	1	385	13	3	"	"	"	1280	7	3
Combaputty &c. referred to in para. 9. of the Report	1062	9	2	224	9	1	22	9	2	1309	12	1

11 The decrease in the Poonjah cultivation has been caused by the reimposition of the Fayoul rates on the red soils. It will be in the memory of the Court of Wards that when the Poliputh was first taken under management Mr. Clarke who conducted the Summabundy of the year under the impression that the red soils which had been waste from time immemorial were kept out of cultivation from their inability to support

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

support the tax imposed on them at the Fayoul reduced it to an assessment of 2 fanams per Goolie universally without regard or position or quality and that at this rate a considerable portion was put under the plough and coules which had been left in it, thrown up to obtain the reduced terms Mr. Clarke's arrangement continued in force for one Fusly only. In the succeeding fusly the then Manager the Tahsildar of Ayempully raised the tax to 2½ fanams and this fusly the full Fayoul rates have been demanded. The result is a loss of Rupees 470-2-5 and the experiment has fully demonstrated the justice of Mr. Clarke's views and the necessity of reducing the demand. The Manager has now been directed to ascertain what effect an assessment of 3 fanams will have and if this found that at this rate the Ryots are slow to engage for it will be necessary to revert to Mr. Clarke's assessment without delay.

12. Another reason for a diminution in

is the dry cultivation is to be found in the unfavorable nature of the season. It has been mentioned in my Report on the sub Division that the commencement of the fusly under review as promising for Poonjah, but the rain at the commencement of the season and was calculated to be of advantage to the early crops only, such as cholum, Cumboo &c. It did not last sufficiently long for the later product Cotton which is exstensively cultivated in the Poliput and on the black soil adapted for which it is that the decrease is observable.

13. As regards Punjah the failure of the N.E. Monsoon after the first burst in October, which was not heavy enough to fill the tanks or indeed to afford many even a partial supply readily accounts for a diminution Amounting to 385 Cawnies assessed ah at Rupees 1723–4–5.

14. The slight increase in Garden is also attributable to the season which rendered that species of cultivation more certain as it is than others profitable. The Poliput contains

contains much red soil eminently adapted for tobacco and other products watered by wells and though the Fuyasl Garden tax is retained. I imagine this item of cultivation will always keep up to nearly its present level. It might be almost indefinitely increased if when the minor becomes of age and assumes his own affairs he has the wisdom to ameliorate the assessment and without approximating it to the rates lately introduced in the sub Division make such a reduction as will leave the cultivators a fair margin of profit.

15. The superior descriptions of products for which Kerwajas tax is levied exist only in the vicinity of Ayagoody itself where there is a large tank drawing its supply from Hill streams which even in the most severe seasons seldom entirely fail.

16. The Remissions of the Fusly fall short of those of Fusly 1265 by Rupees 828–15–4 They are composed of the following items. each one of which is compared with the corresponding item in fusly 1265 and the difference

difference shown in the margin.

Fusly 84-4-0
1265- 3928-10-9
66- 2462-1-11
Dec. 466-8-10

17. The first item the Hooloor maaf is Rupees 466-8-10 less than the similar Remission in Fusly 1265. The decrease is the effect of the decrease in the cultivation in the Peliput generally.

1265- 166-10-8
66- 166-4-7
Dec. 0-6-1

18. The next Item is the remission on Faysul "wet garden" or Punjah land bearing a superior description of product such as betel Sugarcane Yca. Yca. at that epoch now cultivated with dry crops from the failure of the irrigational sources.

Fusly- Rs. 9-12-0
1265- 523-9-3
66- 512-12-7
Dec: 10-12-8

19. The 3d Item is the remission on Faysul Wet reverted to Poonjah from a similar cause.

1265- 414-3-5
66- 335-13-2
Dec: 78-6-3

20. The 4th Item is the remission on Faysul Poonjah converted into Wet and again reverted to its original denomination It falls short of the corresponding Item in the preceding Fusly in consequence of the general decrease in the cultivation.

Rs. 9-12-0

21. Rupees 9-12-0 is the remission on wet land cultivated with paddy instead of the Sugar

Sugarcane crop it bore at the Faysul.

Faysul waste.
1265- 88-0-3
66- 37-14-4
Dec: 17-1-11

22. You are aware that the faysul the cultivated lands only received a Inaaf. The remissions noted in the margin are remissions allowed on Faysul waste and Poorumboke newly put under the plough in order to place them on an equality with these lands.

Poorumboke
1265- 21-5-2
66- 34-12-2
Incr. 13-7-0

1265- 95-8-10
66- 92-6-10
Dec: 3-2-0

23 The next Item consists of the remissions on Faysul Garden new cultivated with Poonjah owing to the decay of the wells. The remission is slightly less than that of last Fusly.

Coulee Inaaf
1265- 71-5-9
66- 15-11-3
Decr. 55-10-6

24. The diminution under this item is the consequence of certain favorable coulees granted by the late Poligar which have been fully described in former reports having fallen in and the lands been incorporated with the ryoti and assessed at the usual rates of assessment. Of these Coulees only three of small individual extent remain unoccupied.

1265- 400-5-0
66- 311-3-7
110-14-5

25. The Item in the margin forms the difference between the sums now obtained

obtained from landowners on a contract for five years from Fusly 1264 to the late Guardian Obalacondama Naick and thus Fayasul assessment The lands are the punnamy lands of the Minor and the wives of the deceased have been permitted to retain them in accordance with the permission on that had granted in Extract Proceedings of Court of Wards dated 25th November 1856. The remission is set down as exceeding that of last year in consequence of the tax of the waste land having been added which was not previously the case the difference between the assessment on the cultivated area and the amount of the contract only having hitherto been entered.

26. The Item of Shanee is small and is confined to one village. The amount is shewn in the margin Last year there was no remission of this description.

Rs. 8-6-1

27. The Item in the margin completes the remissions. It is the difference between the cowle amounts granted by the late Obalacondama

Comp. ER.

Obalacondama Naick in the village of Coomba. pully which are to last until Fusly 1268 adverted to in para 6 and the Fayasul assessment of the land.

Fusly	Rs. A. P.
1265	352-2-0
66	350-14-9
Dec:	1-3-3
1265	260-11-4
66	292-6-4
Increase	31-11-0

28. The ready-money collections are made up as follows Rupees 350-14-9 against 352-2-0 last Fusly the collections on trees and topes. The graf tax exhibiting an increase over last year of Rupees 31-11-0 attributable to the eagerness with which grazing grounds of however inferior a description have been sought for on account of the deficiency of rain and by consequence of pasture and to the decrease in cultivation which rendered a larger area than usual available for the purpose. The saltpetre contract which remains the same in amount as in previous fusleis and finally.

Rs. 58-0-0

The usual Sawny Bogum collected on lands purchased by the late Polegar in the Ayem Village of Iyempully.

Rs. 20-0-0

29. Statement No. 3 is the general abstract of the whole Revenue demand. The item in Col: 4 Line 1 has been fully treated of

of in Para 8 The item in the 2d line of the 2nd column is the Revenue of the Pettombady Inoottah the whole of which is remitted out. The item in Col: 9 is the contract for fish the only small farm in the Poliput.

30. The next Statement exhibits the Demand Collection and Balance. The arrears in the Poliput were entered in the Statement which accompanied the Report for fusly 1265 as only Rupees 4294-8-0 The entry was made on the Statements of the Curnums time not sufficing to enable the Manager to scrutinize their accounts. On enquiry it seems that the Curnums owing to ignorance and possibly carelessness for nothing more grave was suspected had put down Rupees 383-11-10 less than was due and this sum has therefore had to be added to the amount of arrears for fusly 1265 this fusly.

31. The Balance outstanding at the present time including the arrears due up to 1265 still uncollected Amounts to Rupees 6011-7-6.

32. Of the arrears for fusly 1265 set down in Col: 10 Rupees 9-15-6 have been collected leaving a residue of Rupees 1884-8 still uncollected out of this sum Rs. 97-6-0 were recommended for remission

remission in the Report for fusly 1264 and Rs. 1488-13-10 in my Report for fusly 1265 Of the latter sum the Manager has contrived to collect Rupees 59-3-2 so that Rs. 429-10-8 only remain irrecoverable.

33. Property of Defaulters is under attachment for Rs. 152-5-6. The doubtful balances under enquiry Amount to Rs. 85-10-6. Arrears alleged to be due to the extent of Rs. 24-9-9 from the subject of appeals under Regulation V of 1822. The Balance is anticipated will be collected Amount to Rupees 538-9-4 Rupees 206-15-4 were due from Obalacondama Saick at the date of his death. The Balance has been recovered from the Ryots on the land held by the deceased who were found to be defaulters to him and is in fact therefore liquidated though owing to a mistake on the part of the Manager who transmitted the money to your Cutcherry, an entry to that effect has not been made and it is still outstanding in the accounts. A separate communication will be addressed to you on the subject Rupees 24-3-8 were embezzled by a Chitangar who

who it was supposed fled to Trichinopoly but whose retreat has not been discovered and who has left no property behind him from which the money can be obtained. and 304-9-11 are arrears due from certain ryots lastly Rs. x This latter item I would recommend should be struck off.

34. Some of it has been outstanding since 1265 The remainder is not of so old a date but is apparently irrecoverable.

35. A portion amounting to Rs. 14-15-2 arose under the following circumstances. A dispute occurred in regard to a Samirind Tope and it was doubtful whether it stood within the boundary of the Poliputs or formed part of the Ayempully Talook A decision was finally given in favor of the Poliput but in the meanwhile the Tope had been rented out for two fusles by both the Manager and on account of Government. The Government renter enjoyed it and the Renter Ayagoody naturally therefore declines to pay his rent.

Rs. 14-2-6 36 This balance accrued in 1262. One Chinnashevanandy cultivated some land and died before he paid the tase, leaving no property from which it could be realized The land was offered

to others on condition of their taking it with the arrear but no one was found willing to accede to these terms and after lying waste for three years there- fore it was given to a party without the incum- brance.

Rs. 14-6-8 37. The arrear noted in the margin which occurred in fusly 1263 is of the same description as the one referred to in the previous para. The de- faulter Booran died and his wife was unable to satisfy it. The land has been given to others this Fusly.

Rs. 47-4-6 38. This balance dates from 1262 when the Poliput was under the management of the de- ceased Obalacondanna Naick. The defaulter Kodama- la Koodoben and 18 others cultivated in 1261 but were prohibited from doing so in the following fusly. as the land on which the arrear is due formed the bed of a tank which it was feared, would be injured by being constantly ploughed up.

Rs. 8-6-4 39. Rupees 8-6-4 was an unjust demand in 1262 on the holders of a Cowle, Polynatchy Coumden and Coolpana Coumden of Amarapoondy. It was

Entered

entered against them by the Curnum by mis-
take and was the difference between the
full assessment of the land and the amount
the Cowledars were called upon to pay by their
Cowle. The money was not collected and the Curnum
excused himself for the demand by stating that
it found its way into his accounts by mistake.

Fusly Rs. A. P.
1256 — 31 — 2 — 0
58 — 58 — 2 — 0
59 — 58 — 2 — 0
61 — 58 — 0 — 10
205 — 6 — 10

40 This balance ranging over four fus-
lies also accrued under and previous to the
management of the deceased Obalacondama Naick
It is outstanding against Kandya Gounden
who declines paying it on the ground that the
land on which it is demanded lay was in the
fusties in question. It appears that this is the
case and there are no accounts to shew that the
land was agreed for by the defaulter.

41. The sum outstanding against the
Rettimbady Mittah forms the last deduction
to be made from his rent to the Renter of
Eryamungalam on account of 1300 Rupees he
advanced on that Village when he took the lease
of it from the late Poligar on explanation of
which

which was given in the last Report.

42. Cobey Statement V is composed of
the "Sevoy Summa" "Monies it will be necessary
to return to parties from whom it has been col-
lected." "The sum owing to the deceased
Obalacondama Naick by the Ryots of Combapetty
and which has been realized from them" and
lastly "The money found buried in the
treasury of the deceased."

43. The Sevoy Summa consisting of

Rs. A. P.
40 — 5 — 2 Rupees 107 — 10 — 1 comprizes the fines imposed
during the Fusly on the servants employed
by the management. the surplus of estimates
3 — 1 — 7 for Maramahmut work executed the proceeds
" 9 — " of the sale of a tree fallen down and put up to
auction the taxe on a garden in Ayagoody
1 — 7 — 3 which has been entered under this head pending en-
quiry into the objects of the garden said to be
the property of Chuttrum which does not how-
ever appear to be in existence the spring-fish
2 — 9 — " contract small in amount in consequence of the
8 — 14 — 5 drought the interest on recovered arrears and
finally
50 — 11 — 8
107 — 10 — 1

For Ft St George 14th May 1858

finally seems due to the late Obalacondama Naick recovered from certain ryots on the lands he enjoyed in the estate.

Rs-A-P
33-12-"

44. The sums to be returned are as follows The deposit on the Tope Contract returned as usual after its fulfilment

3-4-3 the surplus realized on the property of defaulters sold at auction after satisfying the arrears

24-7-6 the proceeds of a Inamium to be paid this substitute appointed to act for a Curnum who has been suspended the money owing the Estate by the late Obalacondama Naick at the date of his death, which has been recovered as described in para 33 but which is entered under this head with a view to its being nominally entered for transference to

206-13-4 another account and lastly the money found in the treasury of the deceased which is to be

3-19-9-9 paid to his creditors in part payment of his debts.

45. The 10th Col: exhibits the expenditure on account of establishment and salaries awarded.

46. The Cost of Establishment exceeds that of last fusly by Rs 1115-8-0-8

The

For Ft St George 14th May 1858

The items of excess are in the salary of the Manager who receives 50 Rupees per Imamem; being 13 Rupees more than was enjoyed by the late Manager and his Assistant the Moozoomdar of Iyempully and who was appointed by the Sanction of the Court of Wards in December last year. The pay of a Manahmuet Naistry (who was appointed by the Collector last fusly) for 11 months the practice of the management being to bring the disbursements of the last months of the Fusly into those of the succeeding Fusly. and a small sum of Rupees 3-14-" being the difference between the full pay of a sumpraty for the whole year and what was disbursed on that account in 1265 when a saving of the amount was effected in consequence of the post being Vacant for 15 days These items would

would amount to Rupees 200–14–– as shown below.

Items	July 1265	July 1266	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Manager	360 " "	480 " "	120 " "	" " "
Moozoomdar	84 " "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "
Sumpraty	164 2 "	168 " "	3 14 "	" " "
Gomastah	120 " "	120 " "	" " "	" " "
Poyzeum	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	" " "
Dr. Assistants	48 " "	48 " "	" " "	" " "
Hilladar	120 " "	117 10 8	" " "	2 5 4
Maistry	7 " "	84 " "	77 " "	" " "
Peons	437 12 10	430 12 10	" " "	7 " "
Asanny in huzoor	52 " "	48 " "	" " "	4 " "
	1476 14 10	1622 7 6	200 14 "	55 5 4

but against them must be set reductions effected in the abolition of the office of assistant to the manager held by the moozoomdar of Iyampully and remunerated to the extent of two pagodas per mensem Rs. 2–5–4 a retrenchment from the pay of a Hilladar for absence from his duty without permission Rs. 1–3–2 retrenchments in the Pay of Peons for a similar neglect

neglect Rs. 6–12–10 the wages of a Peon due in 1264 paid in 1265– making the disbursement on that head in that year greater than ordinary and Rupees 1– the pay of the Huzoor Sebundy which is set down for 12 months instead of for 13 as in the last report.

47. The Saderwared expenses also owing to the accounts having been made up before all the disbursements incurred on that head had been paid last fasly, exhibit an increase of Rupees 23–7–5 They are not however greater than ordinary and are strictly in accordance with sanction.

48. Col: H shews the Marahmut expenditure. The only work of importance that has been executed during the fasly is a new Calingulah for which the estimate was more than 1000 Rs. in the large Tank in Ayagoody. The bunds have also been strengthened. Four other small tanks have

have been repaired on estimates varying from 50 to 200 Rupees.

49. The expenses of the Minor have been less than usual in several respects. A saving of Rs. 102-8- in table allowance was effected by his absence at Madras on a visit to the Exhibition in January and purchases have not been made as was the case last fusly. On the other hand his stable expenses have been greater a horsekeeper having been retained for the whole year instead of for four months only as was the case in the last.

50. The Allowances to the members of the family fell short of Fusly 1265 Owing to the death of the Minor's step sister Vally ammal who died on the 24th of January last. Her pension was 15 Rs. per Mensem. A further reduction is observable in the allowance drawn by Rookmony Ammal the Minor's step-mother who has been retrenched 10 Rs a Month for five Months in post payment of a Jewel she has which should in accordance with the instructions of the Court of Wards, have been given to the Minor's sister Doobbammal on her marriage in 1864 but which Rookmony ammal has

has been permitted to retain in consideration of the additions she has made to it on condition of her paying its value Rs. 100 by instalments. The retrenchments will be continued until this sum is recovered.

51. Col: 17 comprizes the following Rs. 90-4-10 the deposit on the Sopo contract for Fusly 1265 which has been returned as usual after the fulfilment of the Contract; Rs. 24-7-6 the sum adverted to in para 44 already paid the Curnum substitute Rs. 193-2-4 returned to ryots from whom it had been collected in fusles 1263 and 1264 in excess of their puttahs Rupees 2-10- being hire incurred in the conveyance of the accounts of the late Obalacondama Naick for security in my Cutcherry Rs. 2-9-2 the sum adverted to in para 44 and which has been paid the individual to whom it was due Rs. 567-4-3 the Commission to Government at 5/2 per Cent for management under the orders of the Board of Revenue and Rs. 778-4-4 the usual remission the rent of the Pattumbady Inti-tah for fusles 1265 and 1266 a sort of compensation it

× 8th May 1858

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

it seems recommended by Mr. Clarke in lieu of the monies that formerly used to be paid the Officers employed in supervising the Adittah.

52. In regard to Col: 19 the cost of establishment exhibits a smaller percentage on the collections than last year owing to the establishment not having been added to while the income of the estate has become larger by the incorporation of the lands of the late Obalacondama Naicke.

53. The Cash in hand shewn in Col: 21 will be considerably trenched upon by the debts of the deceased amounting to above 6000 Rs and by payment of the expenses incurred in the Princes Visit to Madras. The remainder whatever it may prove to be I would suggest after a reserve made for extraordinary expenditure on account of urgent Charitable might be invested in five per cent paper, now to be had at a favorable rate in the Market.

Madura
1st October 1857

I Signed J. R. Cockerell
Acting Sub Collector

Fort St. George 14th May 1858

No. 2. Statement Shewing the Number of Villages under the Several Modes of Settlement in the Estates under Management in Fasly 1267.

Districts	Estates	Number of Villages. Ryotwar	Rent	Annuity	Enam and Shotrium	Total
Madura	Shevagungah Zemindary	10	2	834 9/32	1203 5/8	2049 29/32
	Ayacoody Do.	7	1	"	1	9
	Puttumbody Mootah	"	18	"	"	18
	Total	7	19	"	1	27
	Grand Total	17	21	854 9/32	1204 5/8	2096 29/32

Madura
14th January
1858.

E. C. Pr. Signed J. A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

7645

Fort St. George 12th May 1858

No. 4.- General Abstract of the whole Revenue Demand of the Estates under Management for Fasly 1268

		Land Revenue			Sundry sources of Revenue						
District	Names of the Estates	Ryotwar	Village rents	Amani or division of the Produce	Shotriem Jody	Total	Moturpha	Small Farms and Licenses	Extra Revenue	Total	Grand Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.	Co. As.
Madura	Shevagungah Zemindary	10403 5 9	2175-13 "	5703978	7841 25	6087138	" " "	3083 10	" " "	3083 10	6039182
	Ayacoody Zemindary	23070 5 7	209 5 "	" " "	" " "	23285101	" " "	193 6 8	" " "	193 6 8	23479 " 9
	Puttumbody Mootah	" " "	17537 10 "	" " "	" " "	17537 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17537 10 "
	Total	23070 5 7	17746 15 "	" " "	" " "	4082341	" " "	193 6 8	" " "	193 6 8	41016 10 9
	Grand Total	33539 10 10	19922 12 "	5703978	7841 25	6441701 9	" " "	3277 12	" " "	3277 12	6449782 11

Madura
14th January
1858.

E. E. Fra. / A. Hathaway
Acting Collector

7640

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

No 5.

of the Estates under Management for July 20th

Distr	dit at the end July 1208		Income in July 1208			Charges					
	hangs	Total	Collections within the July as in Column 8 of Statement No 4.	Interest on Lapsed or invested Rent of houses & other income	Total	Total of Columns 5 and 8.	Establishment And Saderward	Maramut expenditure.	Peishcush	Total of Management and Peishcush	
	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	
	" "	218580 1 8	525209 13 9	46243 10 7	571453 8 4	790033 10	46105- 15 10	602 10 24	202502 8 6	369078 5 8	
Madura	" "	24421 16	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	16485 23	" "	
	" "	17025 8 11	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	11978 11 2	" "	
	" "	4785 9 10	41447 2 11	5795 10 11	47242 13 11	52028 7 8	1768 24	1175 8 "	28763 13 5	31707 7 9	
	" "	2233851 6	5,00,057 " 3	52039 5 0	5186966 2	842062 1 8	47874- 22	61585 10 4	291266 " 11	400725 13 5	

of grain made
under a time for
ceived now adjusted

210 2 3

Total 525420 " "

Fort St. George

12th May 1858

Charges (continued)

Maintenance and education of the Minor	Allowances for other members of the family	Payment of Debts	Other Miscellaneous disbursement	Total Charges	Percentage of establishment and subsequent on collection of the year	Balance to the credit of the Estates. In Company's Paper	Balance to the credit of the Estates. In Cash	Total	Difference between Columns 5 & 22. Increase	Difference between Columns 5 & 22. Decrease	Remarks.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	CR AD	
13832 2 1	10698 10 5	84653 13 9	31444 2 "	509647 11 8	1 1	200000 " "	80380 8 1	280380 8 1	01800 0 5	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
1673 " "	800 " "	" " "	3331 2 2	37511 9 11	3 11 10	" " "	1457613 9	9731 3 11	" " "	" " "	
15505 2 1	11498 10 5	84653 13 9	34775 4 2	547158 11 10	7 11 10	200000 " "	94903 5 10	294903 5 10	71537 10 4	" " "	

Madura
12th January
1858.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / A Hathaway
Acting Collector.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Charges.—

Management Peish and Cash	Other Charges	Total	Remarks.
Fusly 1265	Fusly 1265	Fusly 1265	Increase Decrease
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	CR AO	
36706 15 -	36901 5 8	75695 13 2	140628 12 3	436402 12 2	509647 1 11	73244 5 9	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
31205 2 11	31707 7 9	3667 2 6	5804 22	349285 8	37571 9 11	2583 4 6	" "	
39196 8 1 11	40072 5 13 5	7936 2 15 8	12643 2 11 4 5	47133 1 7	54715 8 11 10	7582 7 10 3	" "	

Madura
11th January
1858.

E. A. Jr. /Signed/ A Hathaway
Acting Collector.

Encl
J. J. B.

Fort St. George, 14th May 1858.

No. 109.

To G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

B/ No. 1725.
From the Asst. Collr
of Madura.

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th March 1858 No. 404, which accompanied the Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 13th Instant No. 1270, I have the honor to inform you that the Nunjah Enam enjoyed by the deceased in the Pannany Village is Cawnies 4-12.2 and not 12 1/32 as entered in the said Extract the latter amount has probably been entered in my Tabular Report by a clerical Error instead of the former which is the actual extent.

x. 4th February 1858.

Madura,
22nd April, 1858.

/Signed/ A Hathaway
Asst. Collector.
Ta

Fort St. George, 14th May 1858.

No. 63.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir,

B/c No. 1648.
From the Collector,
of Coimbatore.

1. With reference to Extract from the Boards' Proceedings of the 1st February last No. 545, I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the extent of Poonjah lands under the new branches of Tadapully and Arakencottah channels in the Talook of Suttimungalum, together with the rates of assessment, the extent in the Ryots occupation, and that of the Government waste &c. as therein called for.

2. Adverting to para 2 of the Extract above alluded to, I beg to enter in the Margin the dates of correspondence connected with the sale of certain waste in the above Talook in 1854.

Coimbatore,
12th April 1858.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.
Statement

1653

Fort St. George, 14th May 1858

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Extent on the Lands under new branch of the Arakencottah channel in the Talook of Suttimungalum in the District of Coimbatore.

Poonjah or Garden Land Extent and rate of assessment in Rupees and Annas of Bullock Hamlets Villages

| | | |

7652

For'd 8th George, 14th May 1858.

No. 63.

To

G. S. Forbes Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Bd's No. 1648.
From the Collector of Coimbatore }

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Boards' Proceedings of the 1st February last No. 545, I have the honor to forward a statement shewing the extent of Poonjah lands under the new branches of Tadapully and Arakencottah channels in the Talook of Suttimungalum, together with the rates of assessment, the extent in the Ryots occupation, and that of the Government waste &ca as therein called for.

2. Adverting to para 2 of the Extract above alluded to, I beg to enter in the Margin the dates of correspondence connected with the sale of certain wastes in the above Talook in 1854.

Coimbatore, ... }
12th April 1858. }

[Signed] E. B. Thomas
Collector.
Statement

7654

For'd 8th George, 14th May 1858.

No. 2.

Statement shewing the Rates of Assessment on Lands under the new Channels of the Tadapully & Arakencottah in the Talook of Suttimungalum in Coimbatore.

Poonjah or Garden Lands and rates of Assessment.

Villages

Extent

in Goolies and Buckles

Remarks.

| Rs | as | Rs | as | | |

Fort St. George, 14th May 1858.

No. 36.

To,

C. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1910.
From the Collector of Canara
No. 3428.

Sir,

With reference to Boards' Circular dated 12th October last, I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the extent of Sugar Cane and Mulberry cultivation in this District, and to state that I am unable to supply the information called for, even regarding these products, except in a manner so partial and liable to error, that I fear the little light I can throw on the subject under investigation will be useless

2 The average entered as under Sugar Cane, is a mere approximation to the truth, and the calculated produce per acre is little to be depended on. The produce entered is not sugar, but Jaggery, as no sugar is manufactured in the District.

Please

Fort St. George, 14th May 1858.

3 Tea, Indigo, and Jute are not cultivated in this District and the statistics that have been prepared relate, I find, to sum, a small quantity of which is grown by fishermen for their own use.

4. There is no regular cotton cultivation carried on in Canara, nor have we any soil well suited to this plant. A little hill cotton is grown in the Coomeries of Bekul. The total quantity raised does not exceed ten candies. Little of this sum to go into the market, but the produce is valued here, and is worth more than could be obtained for it in Bombay as appear from reports received from the Chamber of Commerce, to whom samples were sent for opinion and valuation.

As there is no field assessment in Canara, information required under this head cannot be supplied.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore 3rd May 1858

/Signed/ W. Fisher
Collector.

Statement

7658 Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

No. 64.

To,

G. S. Forbes Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Re. No. 1780.
From the Register of Government Grants.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit here:
with the papers noted in the margin relative
to a Permission Certificate applied for by Mr. G. Cornet,
a Foreigner, for a piece of land at Coca:
nada in the District of Rajahmundry and re:
quest the sanction of Government for the i:
occupation of the same by him on the terms
specified in the accompanying Statement.

Explanatory State: ment from the Register of Government Grants.
1 Letter from the Collector of Rd. Jahmundry 19th April 1858.
1 application and Plan.

Madras
29th April 1858

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Collector of Madras
and Register of Govern:
ment Grants.

Description

7660 Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Enclosure No. 2.

No. 335.

To,

Brooke Cunliffe Esqre
Register of
Government Grants
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an application for a grant of land under the occupancy of Mr. Cornet a French Gentleman at Cocanada on which houses have been built a plan of the same is submitted. The land measuring G. 1.7. 20 — 815 has never yet been assessed. It is in the Town of Cocanada I therefore purpose to fix a quit rent of Rupees 4-4-"- per annum on the same and beg to recommend that a Permission Certificate be granted to the applicant on condition of payment annually of the above sum of Rupees 4-4-"-

* In accordance with the Form received with a letter from the Register of Grants dated the 26th Feby 1855.

Rajahmundry District,
Collector's Office,
Cocanada
19th April 1858

/Signed/ A. Purvis
Collector.

To

7659 Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Enclosure No. 1.

Description of Grounds the Possession of which has applied for a Permission Certificate from the Honorable Company.

Name of the Petitioner. Possession	Situation of the ground.	Town of Cocanada	Extent of the ground.	Value of the same	Remarks
Mr. G. Cornet	Town of Cocanada	1854	20 — 815	Rent of the Ground	The land is out and out granted to the petitioner which has been built by the petitioner.

Madras, 29th April 1858.

/Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Register of Government Grants.

7661 Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

Enclosure No. 3.

To,
The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,
I request you will procure the permission of Government for my occupying the piece of ground here under described.

Cocanada
1st February 1858

/ Signed / G. Cornet.

Situation of the ground.	Since when enclosed.	Contents in Guntas.	Grounds.	Square feet	Nature of the Soil.	How laid out and whether any buildings on it.	Bounded on the North.	South.	East.	West.
Town of Cocanada	In 1854	"	20	1815	Swampy.	Dwelling houses compound &ca.	Huts	Road leading to the house belonging to Bolla Pragada Ma: Lana Gospa: liah.	Road leading to Old Cocanada.	As per ground.

I do hereby certify that the ground above described does not interfere with any public works.

/ Signed / A Purvis
Collector.

7662 Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

No 121.

To,
G. N. Oppert Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,
I have the honor to submit for your sanction (and transmission to the Government) the following application from the Collector of Rajahmundry for the Confirmation of the Lease granted by the Board of Revenue on the 23rd April 1858 as directed by the Board of Revenue in their endorsement dated 14th April 1858.

2. The grounds were surveyed on the 6th August last and sold on the 23rd April 1858 at the Collectorate Cocanada for the term of 3 years.

3. The Lease for the ground in the Cocanada Town and fudgement was given against the petitioner he would then have sued me in Court that I cleared from the Collectorate that the Collector was justified by Act XX of 1844 in resuming the article. He has now added the Board of Revenue on the subject.

O

4. I shall give a brief recital of the facts but if the Board wish for fuller information I will do myself the honor to afford it. I entered into an examination of the facts at the time and condemned the goods to confiscation Rupees 249. 5. 1 have been carried to the credit of Government and Rupees 249. 5. 1 paid to the seizers.

5. The Petitioner has in his Petition to the Board of Revenue reversed the facts of the case. In an address of Juggiah Chetty the owner of the goods to this Department dated 19th August last he states that he purported sending certain goods to Espoor Pollium on a Dhoney owned by G. Ragavooloo Chetty and gave them to Ramanjooloo Charloo the Agent on the 5th Instant requesting him to get a pass for them; he informed him it was too late

† Copy Enclosed

late and that he would come to morrow and do it. There therefore was no permit or no application made for a permit, Ragavooloo Chetty brought the goods to the Beach in the knowledge that no permit had been obtained and that none could be as the goods were brought for shipment at 6½ A. M. and it could not be obtained before 10. A. M.

6. The goods were brought to the Beach and the Peons on duty asked for the Permit Ragavooloo Chetty stated he had sent for it and directed the goods to be put in the Boat pending its arrival permission was refused. Upon this the Boatmen took them by force and put them in the Boat but other peons coming up while the Boat was being pushed off the combined force was sufficient to prevent the forcible carrying off of the goods and they were accordingly taken from the Boat brought to the Custom House and after full enquiry condemned to be confiscated under Section XXIX Act VI of 1844. I

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

7. I am required by Govern:
:ment to prevent and held responsible that
I effectually prevent the export of arms,
Gunpowder &ca &ca and I respectfully
submit that these orders cannot be car:
:ried into effect unless the most stringent
measures are taken against all goods ship:
:ped without a permit. To carry into
effect the orders of Government effectually
I must support the Officers of my Depart:
:ment in a rigid execution of their duty com:
:pelling them to seize every article which
the parties cannot shew a legal authority
to ship, and I, on the other hand, look con:
:fidently to authority to support me in the
legitimate exercise of my authority.

8. After an investigation of the
case I gave the following endorsement which
it appears has not been presented to
the Board of Revenue. "The Collector
has

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

"has condemned the goods to confiscation
"the intention of the party was clear —
"viz: to export the goods without permit —
"which was actually effected. The Col:
:lector regrets the party cannot be
"punished with imprisonment and fine as
"an additional penalty for his proceed:
:ings."

9. I consider this one of the
most determined cases I have ever met
to commit wilfully and knowingly an in:
:fraction of the Custom Laws, and to use
force where connivance and bribery failed.
2 Annas were given to each Peon before force
was used to allow the goods to be put in
the Boat which was produced by them
at the time and carried to the account of
Government.

10. Common Law cannot punish the
parties for this offence or I would have sent
them

them before the Court for an assault.
The confiscation of the goods is the only penalty for the offence, and it has been enforced.

11. This case did not appear to come within the purview of Section XXIV Act VI of 1844.

Sea Custom House
Madras 22nd April 1858.

/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

Encl. No 1

To,

W. E. Underwood Esqre
Collector of
Sea Custom House

The humble Petition of Pullikel Chetty Juggiah Chetty.

Most humbly Sheweth.

With profound respect and humble submission I beg leave to bring to your Honor's kind notice.

That your Petitioner sent the under mentioned goods on the 5th Instant to be import

import of a Dhoney No. 607 belong to Ga: tham Chetty Rajavooloo Chetty to Ejoorpau: liam Beach and I have sent the goods by my Brother named Narasimooloo Chetty to get application passed and that one Ramanjoo: loo Charloo who is the agent to write applica: tion who informed that it is too late that he has some business to go home if you will come tomorrow that I will do it the said Narasimooloo informed the same news to the said owner of the Dhoney Gatham Chetty Rajavooloo Chetty to make the list and deliver to me accordingly the said Nara: simooloo made out the list and delivered the same to the owner of the Dhoney the said N. Carasimooloo came and informed the same news that next day 6th instant. I went to get application and import before I reach there without making application and without telling me the said goods were carried from his godown to the Beach and putting the same and the Boat the Peons

of

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

of your Cutcherry asked the permit the dhoney man told that he had no permit and upon the same that the Peon took away upon the Bandy and delivered the same at the Custom House, after I reach the Beach the said owner Gatham Chetty Ragavaloo Chetty informed me the same from that day, upto this present time, I asked him without informing me and that you did done such wrongful act and what is to become of the said goods and never made any answer therefore I report by this Petition to your honor and humbly beg for an advice. In doing which act of benevolence your Petitioner as in duty bound.

Madras, 19th August 1858.

/Signed/ P. Juggiah Chetty

List

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

List

4. Bundles of Thread marked Moopasoo-
1 Bag containing Long Cloth Benjamin & Co. same mark.
1 Bundle of Thread marked Tum so Moo Seeah.
1 Large Piece of Copper marked same Mark.
12. Pieces of small Coppers same Mark.
3. Mangos Rank same Mark.

/Signed/ P. Jugiah Chetty

/True Copy/

/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs

No. 124.

To,
G. S. Forbes Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1755
From the Collector of Sea Customs Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to refund to Messrs. Pharoah & Co. the sum

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

sum of Rupees 11-11-2 being import duty paid on a case of scissors &ca expected by the Steamer "Candia" but which was not landed from that Vessel.

Sea Custom House,
Madras, ———
27th April 1858

/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Collector Sea Customs.

Received the under mentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

My No. 1119
From the Collector of Vizagapatam - Return of fines for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1120.
From the Collector of Madura - Return of fines for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1121.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Return of fines for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1122.
From the Collector of Canara - Return of fines for 1st Quarter 1858.

Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

My No. 1123.
From the Collector of Masulipatam - Return of suspensions or dismissals for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1124
From the Collector of Trichinopoly - Return of suspensions or dismissals for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1125.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Return of suspensions or dismissals for 1st Quarter 1858.

No. 1126.
From the Collector of Canara - Return of Village Officers for February 1858.

No. 1127.
From the Collector of Malabar - Return of Village Officers for April 1858.

No. 1128.
From the Collector of Malabar - Reporting that no case were tried nor any kept in confinement under Regulation XI of 1822.

No. 1129.
From the Collector of Bellary - Return of Sheristadars and of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Bellary as they stood on the 1st May 1857.

7673. Fort St. George 14th May 1858.

M. No. 1130.

From the Collector of Kurnool. Rain Report for — April 1858.

No. 1131.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Season Report for — April 1858.

No. 1132

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Season Report for — April 1858.

No. 1133.

From the Collector of — Masulipatam — Demand Collection and Balance Statement of Revenue for — April 1858.

No. 1134.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Demand Collection and Balance Statement Revenue for April 1858.

Proceedings