ಕನ್ನಡ ಪಾಠ

Contents

No.	From and To Whom	Subject	Page
		14 November 1857	
3968	To Secy Collrs	Whether arrangements are being made for the establishment of Gazettes	18223
3969	To Salem	To state why the Gazette has not been sent to Govt	"
4080	From Govt	E. M. C. of 5th Augt 57 returned altered	18224
3922	To Madras	On the above	"
4227	From Govt	Superan. pension granted to V. Veerasawmy Br.	18225
4453	From do	Statement of lands proposed to be given in lieu of others taken up for roads returned for revision	18226
3935	To Nellore	On the above	"
4454	From Govt	Supt of Gazette Press to forward without delay the types	"
3923	To Guntoor	On the above	"
4456	From Govt	Revenue Dept to furnish all the aid in their powers in repairing certain public works	18227
4468	From do	Pet. in 1336 of 1857 may sue for the recovery of his Shotrium	"
3940	To Nellore	On the above	"
4469	From Govt	Restoration to their respective employments of the Goomashtah and Adhekary approved	18228
3945	To Malabar	On the above	"
4467	From Govt	Pet. in 562 of 57 may sue for the recovery of certain house ground	"
3943	To Tanjore	On the above	"
3101	F. Coimbatore	Explanation called for respecting Survey Apprentices & the purchase of a copy of the Bengal Almanac	18229
3955	Pro do	Report on the survey class desired & a Bengal Almanac to be included in the next estimate	18237
3942	Pro Salem	Certain papers should not have appeared in the Arrack List	18239
3969	F. Coimbatore	Whether the actual charges in working the Anamally forest for 1855/56 have been sanctioned	1 "
3938	Pro do	Above transferred to Conservator of Forests	18241
3978	F. Bellary	Unable to cast the required Kind of Type	18242
3947	To Supt Govt Press	On the above	18243

No.	From and To Whom	Subject	Page
3994	From Nellore	Salary of the Under writer transmitted	18243
4034	F. Marthandrest	No Minors since the passing of Act XXI of 1855	18244
4125	F. Coimbatore	Request of the Naib for the appointment of Head Sheristadar of Guntoor	18245
3970	To Guntoor	On the above	18246
4088	F. Madras	Copies of papers relative to a bund thrown across a natural feeder of the Nunguembaukum tank	"
3973	Pro—— Do——	Nunguembaukum ryots to prefer their complaint to the Chingleput Colln under Reg V of 1822	18255
4163	From Bellary	There has been no occasion to apply Act XXI of 1855	"
4211	F. Coimbatore	Sanction to sell at auction 2 pieces of land applied for by the Rev. J. Griffiths	18257
3939	Pro—— Do——	Above granted	18261
4223	F. Malabar	Particulars of Salt wastage in Fasly 1266	18262
3977	Pro—— Do——	Perpentage to be given in decimals instead of fractions	18263
4256	From Kurnool	Value of a copy of the Alum	
4188	F. Madura	Grounds on which the Curreum cannot be reinstated in the Ayacut	18264
3976	Pro—— Do——	Order directing the reinstatement of the Curreum cancelled	18265
4226	F. Tinnevelly	Disburst of a sum to repair the Cutcherry of the Manager of the Saptoor Estate solicited	18268
3933	Pro—— Do——	Above sanctioned	18269
4193	From Bellary	Question as to how claims for indemnity for losses consequent on the prohibition of the sale or transport of Sulphur are to be dealt with	"
3971	Pro—— Do——	Above disposed of	18270
4195	F. Soulth Arcot	Not prepared to say whether any alterations should be made in the limits of Manargoody & Chellambrum	18271
4224	F. Madura	Original answers to Suit 4 of 1857 regarding the Shevagunga Zemindary returned	18272
4144	F. Sub Treasurer	Acct Genl's receipt for Rs 13,500 on account of the Peerlah Kimedy Estate acknowledged	18296
4201	F. Madura	Papers connected with Suit 8 of 1856 brought by certain Chetties against the Shevagunga Estate for the recovery of Rs 2,28111 on a Bond submitted	18298
3921	To Govt & Madras	Advices on the above desired	18320
4252	F. Madura	Showing the cultivation of Sugar Cane and Indigo	18325
3924	Pro—— Do——	Revised statement of the above requested	18327
4271	F. Supt. Govt Press	Respecting a reduction of printing charges	"
3975	To Madura	On the above	18328
4329	F. Supt Engr S C.	Estimate for repairing the Bungalow at Alencolum sanctioned	18329
3925	To Tinnevelly	On the above	"
4353	From Madras	How Messrs Walker & Kemp are employed & how the former officer is now paid	18330
3950	Pro Govt	On the above	18334
4449	F. Tinnevelly	Defence of Suit 11 of 1857 brought for the recovery of teerwah collected twice over recommd	18336
3946	Pro Govt	On the above	18358
4415	From Madura	Copy of decree in Suit No 4 of 56 seeking the restoration of certain lands in the Shevagunga Estate	18366
3937	Pro—— Do——	Above decision approved	18378
4470	F. Madura	Charge of distts delivd over to A. Hathaway Esq by J. R. Lockerell Esq	18379
4471	From—— Do——	Charge of distts recd from J. R. Lockerell Esq by A. Hathaway Esq	18381
4467	F. Chief Engr	Remaining 9 lists of villages requested	18382
3944	To Tanjore	On the above	18383
3980	To—— Do——	Petn 3310 of 57 objecting to the apptt of Somasoondrum to the office of Shanee Kum sent for report	18384
3981	To Trichinopoly	Petn from Shreenevasa Iyengar respecting the boundaries of the Tarriore Zemindary	18385
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	18386

No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		16 November 1857	
698	From Govt	Board's General Report on the Land & Extra Sources of Revenue of the Madras Presy for F. 1264 reviewed	18388
3995	To all Collrs	On the above	"
4455	From Govt	Statt showing the progress of Coffee cultivation in Wynaad to be published in the Gazette	18390
3954	To Malabar	On the above	"
4483	From Govt	Entertainmt of Natives established for the reduction of assessment sanctioned	18391
3957	To Bellary	On the above	"
4484	From Govt	Rs 340 for the temporary force employed during the Moharum festival sanctioned	"
3958	To Madura	On the above	"
4485	From Govt	Extra Sadervarad charges incurred in the Revenue Magistl Depts in July 1266 sanctd	18392
3959	To Coimbatore	On the above	"
4486	From Govt	Petrs in 3136 of 56 may sue for the recovery of the Chinnasiyambapooram village	"
3960	To Tanjore	On the above	18393
2207	From — Do —	Report on the Exhibition	"
2880	From — Do —	Attempt to improve the breed of cattle a failure	18394
3984	To Govt	Results of the experimental introduction of Nellore bulls to improve the breed of cattle in Tanjore	18395
2958	F. Rajahy	Tabular report of certain Lapsed Charity Yemmahs	18403
3985	Pro Govt	Resumption & continuance of the above recommd	18407
3444	F. Tanjam	Death of the Lemeswar of Jalantra reports property of the Estate in the sons name recommd	18409
3994	Pro — Do —	Narraina Deo recognised as successor to the above Estate	18411
4105	F. Coimbatore	Has rented a building for the proposed Dispensary	18412
3961	Pro Govt	On the above	18413
4117	From Salem	Arrears of Charity Yemmah due to certain parties	18414
3966	Pro — Do —	Payment of above sanctioned	18415
4225	F. Trichinopoly	Arrears of Yemmah due to Syed Ghouse	18416
3952	Pro — Do —	Disbursmt of above sanctioned	18417
4334	F. Canara	Report on the Salt Revenue ending 30 Sep. last	18418
3964	Pro Govt	On the above	18421
4395	F. Tinnevelly	Employment of a Lance & crew to guard the Pearl Banks as a temporary measure recommd	18422
3962	Pro Govt	On the above	18428
4396	F. Tinnevelly	Statt of fines refunded to parties in F. 1265	18430
3956	Pro Govt	Above recommd for sanction	18439
4402	F. North Arcot	Revised D. C. B. Statt for Sep. sent	18440
4401	From — Do —	Report on Pet 2399 of 57 from Wm Potter complaining of the amount of assessment levied on his lands	18442
3967	To — Do —	To investigate & report more fully on the above subject	18445
4447	F. Bellary	Early reply to letter about the revision of Assessment & sanction for the Extra Establishment solicited	18450
3963	To Govt	On the above	18451
3953	To Canara	Usual forbs notes to be supplied in Season reports	"
3982	To Govt	Report on the Season in certain districts for Oct. 1857	18452
3993	To H. Ricketts Esq	Replies of Collrs touching the duties of Tahsildars	18458
4013	To Govt	Prices of Grain and Salt for June 1857	18459
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	18460
4350	From Govt	Register Book of Govt Grants returned	18461
3989	To Madras	On the above	"
4512	From Govt	Memt of Azeezah Begum, relation of the Nabob of Cuddapah, praying for a pension sent to the Hon'ble Court	"
3978	To Madras & Cuddapah	On the above	18462
4513	From Govt	Refund to certain Merchants of the export duty paid on Grain sanctioned	"
3979	To Sea Customs	On the above	"
3439	F. Civil Auditor	Bill for the purchase of Ameendevi Loan sent for the Board's countersignature or for the sanction of Govt	18463
4009	To Canara	Instructions on the above	18464
3856	F. Madura	Has only 4 Cheetah Skins on hand	"
3988	To — Do —	Not to send any until further orders	"
4472	F. Directr of Sett	Proposal to extend the Survey operations & instructions asked	18465
3983	Pro Govt	On the above	18471

No.	From and To Whom	Subject	Page
4487	F. Supt of Rev. Survey 3	Appl.n of Capt.n Priestly for 14 days leave	18473
3965	To Govt	On the above future applications to be sent thro' Mr. Maxwell	"
3990	To North Arcot	Copy of instructions on the revision of Assess.t furnished	"
4014	To Govt	Prices of Grain & Salt for July 1857	18474
		Miscellaneous papers recorded	18475

1822 B

York St. George 14th November 1857

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Saturday the 14th November 1857.-

Read again Extract Minutes Consultation

600 dated 3d and Entered in Consultations 24th August 1857

B. No. 3966

Proceedings thereon

Ganjam
Guntoor
Masulipatam
Nellore
Salem
South Arcot
Canara
Tinnevelly
Chingleput
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry
Cuddapah
Bellary
North Arcot
Tanjore
Trichinopoly
Madura
Coimbatore
Malabar

The Board remark that Gazettes have been received in their Office only from the Districts noted in the Margin.-

2. The Collectors of the remaining Districts will be requested to state whether they are making arrangements for the Establishment of Gazettes and when the first number is likely to be issued.-

Ordered also that the following letter be sent

No.

601

B. No. 3969

To the Collector of Salem . . . .

The Collector of Salem

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will forward without delay the explanation called for

Pro: paras 24th august No. 2810

in Extract Minutes consultation 3d August last Revenue Department No. 778 para 4, regarding the non transmission of your Gazette to Government.-

Should

18224 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

2. Should the explanation have been submitted direct to Government you will be simply report the fact.

Revenue Department
Fort St. George
14th November 1857

/Signed/ J. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

602
Bde No. 4080

From the Acting Secretary to Government

Official Memorandum

With reference to the Official Memorandum from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 24th September last, the Acting Secretary to Government has the honor to return the Extract Minutes Consultation which accompanied it altered as pointed out by the Register of Government Grants.

Fort St. George
16th October 1857

/Signed/ H. Forbes
Ag. Secretary to Government

602-603
Bde No. 3922

Order thereon Ordered that a copy of the above Memorandum and the amended Extract Minutes Consultation, be forwarded to the Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 25th August 1857.

Extract

18225 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

604
Bde No. 4227
From the Deputy Secy. to Government

No. 296

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Public Department, dated 24th October 1857.

Read the following Papers

From the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting an application for the Grant of a Superannuation Pension to V. Veerasawmy Brawmy, a clerk on the Board's Establishment

(Received 13th October 1857)

From the Civil Auditor

Reports on the service of V. Veerasawmy Brawmy

(Received 19th October 1857)

Age	Period of Service	Average Salary for the last five years of Service	Abstract of the grounds of application
yrs. mos. Days	yrs. mos. Days	R. A. P.	
67 " "	38 — 4 — "	35 " "	Advanced Age and infirmed vision

Resolved that a Superannuation Pension of Rupees (17. 8) Seventeen and annas Eight per Mensem be granted to V. Veerasawmy Brawmy

† No. 2095 and that a Certificate be issued making the same payable from the 1st November 1857 the date from which he ceased to be employed.

/True Extract/ Signed/ D. Connichan
Deputy Secretary to Govt

604-605
Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

1826

606

Bd. No. 4453.

From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Revenue Department, under date the 12th Novr. 18

Returning for revision by the Collector the statement from Nellore submitted with the Board's Proceedings of 2d. Ultimo of lands proposed to be given in lieu of certain others taken up for roads in the subdivision.

/ See No. 1156 in Separate Volume /

606-607

Bd. No. 3935

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Nellore.

607

Bd. No. 4454

From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Revenue Department, under the date the 12th November 1857.

Directing the Superintendent of the Gazette Press to forward without delay to the Board, for transmission to Guntoor, the Types Vizd. ordered in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 18th July 1857

/ See No. 1157 in Separate Volume /

608-609

Bd. No. 3923

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Guntoor.

Extract

1827

Bd. No. 4456

From the Acting Secretary to Govt.

610

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Public Works Department, under date the 13th November 1857.

Consenting to a proposal of the Collector of Nellore for rendering aid from his Establishment to the Public Works Department in repairing the Numerous works which have suffered in the hurricane

/ See No. 2098 in Separate Volumes /

610-611

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Bd. No. 4468

From the Acting Secty to Govt.

612

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Revenue Department, under date the 13th November 1857.

Permitting D. Rungiah Petitioner in No. 1336 of 1857 to sue in the Courts of Adawlut for the recovery of his shrotriem land in the District of Nellore represented to be in the possession of certain shareholders

/ See No. 1160 in Separate Volume /

612-613

Bd. No. 3949

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Nellore.

Extract

1822 8

614

Bde No 4469

From the Sgt
Secy to Govt

Fort St George 14th November 1857

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Revenue Department, under date the 13th Novr
1857.

Approving of the orders issued by the Board to the Acting Collector of Malabar in their Proceedings of 24th Ultimo with respect to the restoration to office of certain dismissed servants and requesting them to expedite their returns of dismissals for the 2d half of 1856.

} See No 1162 in Separate Volume

614-615

Bde No 3945

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Malabar.

616

Bde No 4467

From the Sgt
Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes Consultation &
Revenue Department, under date the 13th Novr 1857

Permitting Ramien Petitioner in No 562 of 1857 to sue in the Courts of Adalut for the recovery of certain house grounds in the District of Tanjore represented to be in the possession of his opponents.

} See No 1159 in Separate Volume

616-617

Bde No 3943

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore.

C. B.

To

1822 9

Fort Saint George 14th November 1857.

No 124

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George

618

Bde No 3101

From the Collr
of Combatore

Sir,

With reference to Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation of the 6th
Instant Revenue Department No 680 I beg
to refer the Board to my letters of the 4th July
and 9th August 1856 in which I have fully re-
ported on the progress made by the survey ap-
prentices. I have since transferred some of them
to the Engineers Department to be taught there,
none of my assistants nor myself having time
to teach them. but they are still borne on the
list of my Establishment as the District
Engineer would not include them in his with-
out orders from the Chief Engineer, to whom
I consequently made a reference on the subject

Affords explanation called for in Extract Minutes Con. dated 6th Instant Refers also with regard to Apprentices and Bengal almanacs.

as

Fort Saint George 14th November 1857

as will appear from the accompanying Copy of my letter dated 23d March 1857 No 119

+ with enclosures

2 As regards the Bengal Almanac alluded to in para 3 of the Government Minute, I beg to explain, that the purchase of the same was not without the authority of Government. In Consequence of the numerous Bengal Officers on the Wells with Lord Dalhousie, and since whose Salaries are disbursed from my Treasury an Almanac of the Bengal Presidency was absolutely necessary in this Office which I reported to the Board in my letter of the 31st May 1855, and the Government ex sanctioned its purchase in their Order of the 20th June 1855 No 744 It is most likely that many Bengal Officers will in future resort to the Wells and draw their salaries from my Treasury when it will be necessary for me

Fort Saint George 14th November 1857

me to have an Almanac of that Presidency for occasional reference and I would therefore request the Government will not object to any charge on that account which I may have occasion to incur in future.

Combatore Collr Office 29th July 1857

/ Signed / C. B Thomas
Collector

To

No 119
Colonel C E Faber
Chief Engineer D. P. W.
Fort Saint George.

Sir

To Asst Engr 9th July 1856 - To Dr 17th March 1857 From Dr 19th Dr

With reference to the enclosed Copies of letters to and from the District Engineer I request the favor of your opinion - as to whether the Apprentices formerly sanctioned on my Estabishment had better go on as at present paid by me and worked by the District Engineer, or be struck off.?

Vide enclosed Ast.

2 I have, as you are aware no means of instructing them I shall be glad to retain and work the one / Nepthally / in his

18232 Fort Saint George 14th November 1857

is a good Road maker Wm, but have no em
present use for the rest.—

Coimbatore Collrs
Office 28th March 1857 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. B. T.
Collector

List of Apprentices entered in the list of Establishment of the Collector of Coimbatore

Names	Amount of Pay Fs Mensem
Vencatasawmy Pazoo	6 " "
Davasing	6 " "
Nephthally	6 " "
Valayutham	6 " "
Parthasarthy	4 " "
Veerasawmy	4 " "
Nanja Naick	4 " "

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
/True Copy/ Collector
/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collr

To

18233 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

No. 315

To

Captain P. M. Francis

Civil Engineer &c &c

Sir,

As the executive is to be transferred to the Civil Engineer shortly I would suggest your learning the Chief Engineers wishes as to my making over to you the 8 em apprentices employed in this District as particularized in the Margin that they may qualify under professional em

5 apprentices at C. P. each
3 Do at 4 Rs each
8

Officers.—

Coimbr Collr
Office 9th July 1858 } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collr

No. 309 To Captain P. M. Francis
District Engineer
Sir, of Coimbatore

I beg to draw your attention to my letter of the 9th July 1858 No. 315 and to request you will be good enough to let me know if you have received any orders from the Chief Engineer re = lative to the transfer to your

1834 Fort St. George 14th November 1854

your Department of the apprentices entered
in the list of my Establishment.

2 There are now on my list
apprentices many of whom are employed
in your Department but drawing their pay
on my abstract They were appointed under
the sanction of Government dated 31st
October 1854 Conveyed in the Board's
Proceedings of the 30th January 1855
No. 21 I believe these are only intended
for land measuring and surveying and
as I have no present use for all, and
no one now in my Office to instruct and
work them, I only propose to
keep one Nepthally and reduce
the rest from the list of my Establish-
ment as many of the apprentices
are now actually employed in
your Department. I suggest
your

1835 Fort St. George 14th November 1854

your including them in the
abstract of your Establishment
I request an early reply to enable
me to strike off their names
from my list.

Coimbre Collr's Office 17th March 1855

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas
Collector

/True Copy/

/Signed/ C. B Thomas
Collector

No. 38

To
C. B Thomas
Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter
under date 17th March, I have
the honor to inform you that
I have received no orders relative
to

1823[6] Fort St. George 14th November 1857

to the transfer of your apprentices to my Department.

I am allowed 3 apprentices at 7 Rupees each under the new rules as formerly.

Your apprentices are I am aware many of them employed under my Department at your request as their only chance of getting the information expected of them but I have no authority to include them in my abstract.

District Engr. Office
Cotacamund 19th March 1857.

/Signed/ P. M. Francis
Dy Engr

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. B. F.
Collector

The

1823[7] Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Bd No. 3955.

Proceedings thereon. The Board observe that the Survey class in Coimbatore has been classed under the orders of Government in Extract Minutes Consultations dated 13th October 1857, No. 1011, Revenue Department, para 15. The Board hope to receive the Collector's report on it for last year at an early date. It will be convenient if the Report be brought up to the day on which the class is closed, & that the whole expenditure may be sanctioned at once.

Rec'd 10th November 1857.

2. The Collector will include a Bengal Almanac in his

18238 Fort St George 4th November 1857

his next estimate quoting the Proceedings and the Board will then explain the circumstances under which the Copy referred to in Extract Minutes Consultation 6th July last, No 680, Revenue Department, was purchased and the necessity of its having such a work.

Jgmt 8th July 1857.

3. The Collector does not state whether he is supplied with a Bombay Almanac as well.

W.

18239 Fort St George 14th November 1857.

Back No 620 is wrongly numbered & no paper for it.

621

B/ No 3942

Proceedings thereon.

Read again Ltr from the Collector of Salem dated 10th and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 11th September 1857 of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered on the 30th June 1857.

The Collector's letters of the 16th February and 6th March 1857, Nos 27, and 42 were replied to by the Board in their Proceedings of the 5th March and 17th August last and should not have therefore appeared in the above List.

Read the following Papers.

No 161.

From J. D. Sim, Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

622

B/ No 3959.

From the Collector of Coimbatore

With reference to para 5 of my letter to Board dated 29th September 1856, No 150, I request to be informed if the sum of 77,610-6-4 therein alluded to, being the net amount of actual charges incurred in working the Annamalay Forests up to the end of the Official year 1855/56, has been sanctioned by Government.

The

18240

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

The above sum stands debited in my accounts under the head of Advances and it is necessary that it should be adjusted as early as practicable.

2. I wrote to Dr Cleghorn on the subject, but he informs me that he received no instructions from Government - a copy of his letter is herewith enclosed for the Board's information.

Ootacamund, 2d October 1857.

(Signed) E.B. Thomas,
Collector.

Encl. No. 1.

No. 128.

To,

E. B. Thomas, Esqre
Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter, No. 35, dated 7th Ultimo, which follows me to Calicut &c, I beg to inform you that I have received no instructions from Government as to the sanction (or transfer) of the sum mentioned, Viz. Rupees 17,620-6-4 being a portion of the actual charges in the operations of the Annimally & Forests up to the end of 1855/56.

My Office Establishment was not

18241

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

not framed till February of the year and the Forest Accounts are now framed upon a different principle and balanced annually by an Accountant Assistant in Madras. After careful examination, I find no records to afford the information that may be required.

Coimbatore 7th September 1857.

(Signed) D. Cleghorn,
Conservator of Forests.

True Copy

(Signed) E.B. Thomas,
Collector.

622-623

B. No. 3938.

Proceedings thereon. The Board observe that the Collector's account current for 1855/56 was forwarded with other correspondence to Government and is referred to in Extract Minutes Consultation 27th March last, No. 302, Revenue Department.

2. The account was in para 6 of those Minutes transferred to the Conservator of Forests for review, and the Board conclude that it will be for that officer to get the account sanctioned and closed.

3. The Board have received no further communication from Government

in

18242 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

on the subject and therefore resolve to forward the Collector's present letter to the Conservator for disposal.

No. 121

To,

J. D. Sim, Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

624

B. No. 3978

From the Collector of Bellary, Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 14th Ultimo No. 3067 directing me to have cast here certain characters contained in the list of the Superintendent of Government Press to complete the Canarese type forwarded from this Office, I have the honor to inform the Board that the matrices were not purchased with the Press bought from the Superintendent of the London Mission Society established at this station, and on enquiry, I am informed that the matrices which were in possession of the said Missionaries, have been since sent

18243 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

sent to Bangalore - I regret therefore that I am unable to comply with the Board's request.

Bellary Collector's Office
7th October 1857.

} Signed C. Pelly,
Collector.

B. No. 3947

Resolution thereon. Resolved that Copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Superintendent of the Government Gazette Press for his information with reference to his Memorandum dated 1st September 1857.

624-625

In Con. 14th Sept. 1857

No. 146.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

626

B. No. 3994.

From the Coll. of Bellary, Sir,

I have the honor to forward an Accountant General's Bill for Rupees 21 being the Salary of the Index writer in the Board's Office for September 1857.

Bellary Collector's Office
10th October 1857

} Signed F. B. Ellis,
Collector.
Order

18244 Fort St. George 14th November 1837.

626-627

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded.

No. 253.

To

J. D. Sim, Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

628

Bl No. 4034. Sir,

From the Collector of North Arcot

In acknowledging the receipt of the Circular of the Court of Wards dated the 5th Instant requesting to be informed whether they have yet had occasion to apply to Act XXI of 1833 and how it has worked hitherto, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that there have been since the enactment of the act above referred to, no minors in this District whose estate have been under the Superintendence

18245 Fort St. George 14 November 1837.

Superintendence of the Court of Wards.

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 12th October 1837.

} Signed C. Whittingham, Collector.

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

628-629

No. 169.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

630

Bl No. 4125. Sir,

From the Collector of Coimbatore

x 1st October 1837
o. Do. Do.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings and my letter in reply, I have made my Nail Sheristadar S. Naraniengar, Acting Head Sheristadar here till Coupon Row joins me, Calling up the Sub Collector's Sheristadar, "Amaje Row" to act as Nail and one of the oldest Tahsildars of the Sub Collector's Division, Bellagerry Row, of Canara to act as Sub Division Sheristadar. My Nail Sheristadar is anxious to be tried as Head Sheristadar in Gumtoor as he was formerly employed in Masulipatam bordering on the District of Gumtoor.

and

Fort St George 14th November 1857.

and knows the system of that part of the country by the Board and the Collector of Guntoor concur and think fit Naramengar might be appointed as the resident for Guntoor and he and Cooppoo Row exchange duties as soon as Cooppoo Row can be spared the latter being at once appointed here. I shall be sorry to lose Naramengar and I really believe he would give satisfaction wherever employed — I propose the arrangement for the Board and the Collector's consideration, for myself I only require Cooppoo Rows services as soon as it is convenient.

Side enclosed list of Servants and Copies of testimonials.

Coimbatore Collector's Office, Colacamund 15th October 1857
Bd. No. 3970
Order thereon 630-631

[Signed] E. B. Thomas,
Collector.

Ordered to be transferred to the Acting Collector of Guntoor.

No. 164.

To,
J. D. Sim, Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

632
Bd. No. 4088
From the Collector of Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to submit for the Board's decision, Copies of correspondence between this Office and the Collector of Chingleput relative

Fort St George 14th November 1857.

relative to a bund thrown across a natural feeder of the Nungumbakum Tank by the renter of the Poliore Shotrium.

2. Mr Shubrick in the last letter of demur is ordering the removal of the bund because it is not thrown over "any recognised supply channel of the Nungumbakum Tank known in his District."

To Collector 22nd July

3. He does not gainsay what is declared by me that the channel is a natural hollow is an important feeder to the Tank and that the bund thrown across it is contrary to usage.

4. I should have thought that this last part would have settled the question, for the Board are aware how tenacious the Villager are of interference with their supplies of water and how provocative such is of quarrels and often breaches of the peace.

5. As the Nungumbakum ryots have several times been to this Office and prefer the removal of the bund, I beg that the Board will pass orders in the matter with which they will be content.

Note. Mr Shubrick remark that water "may" enter the Tank by the hollow that the water does so enter the bund erected to prevent it doing so is sufficient proof.

6. The long Tank is supplied by the surplus water of the Nungumbakum Tank, so that it is important

to

18248 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

to replenish the latter by every means.

Madras 17th October 1857. } I Signed B. Cunliffe, Collector.

Encl 1.

To,

The Collector of

Chingleput.

Sir,

Para 1. The Deputy Rent Amildar having reported that the renter of the Poolyoor Shotrium in your district has newly raised a bund across the supplying channel of the Nungumbakum Tank as more particularly explained in the accompanying Paper†, I have the honor to request you will adopt measures to remove the bund in question.

2. There is no fear of short supply to the Tank this year, but the bund should be removed or the renter may attempt to establish some right to continue it from prescription

† To be returned when no longer required.

Madras, 11th December 1856. } I Signed B. Cunliffe, Collector.

To,

The Collector of

Chingleput

Sir,

The Meerasdars and Inhabitants

18249 Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

† To be returned when no longer required.

of Nungumbakum having presented to me the enclosed Arzee† complaining that the renter of the Poolyoor Shotrium has not as yet removed the bund which he has raised across the supplying channel of the Nungumbakum Tank, I have the honor to request your early attention to my predecessor's letter dated the 11th December 1856.

Madras 13th May 1857. } I Signed W. E. Cochrane, Acting Collector

No. 373.

To,

W. E. Cochrane, Esqr.

Acting Collector of Madras.

In reply to your letter of the 13th Instant calling early attention to Mr. Cunliffe's communication of the 11th December last, I have the honor to inform you that on the receipt of the communication above referred to, orders were issued to the Tahsildars of Sydapet, to cause the bund, therein adverted to, to be at once removed — These orders not appearing to have been acted upon stringent instructions have been again issued on the subject the result of which will be communicated to you in due course.

Chingleput District — Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Ennore, 18th May 1857. } I Signed C. J. Shubrick, Collector.

To,

18250 Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

No. 495.

To
B. Cumliffe, Esqre
Collector of
Madras

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Cochrane's letter of the 13th May last calling my early attention to your letter of the 11th December 1856, I have the honor to inform you that the Tahsildar of Sydapet has reported that the renter of Poloor laid the bund alluded to across the supply channel to the Nungum-bakkum Tank at a season when there was no prospect of a supply being received into the Tank. It however appearing objectionable I have directed him to cause it to be removed without delay.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Sydapet, 3d July 1857.

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Collector

No. 532

To,
B. Cumliffe, Esqre
Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Corr-
pondence marginally noted,
I have the honor to forward
herewith an original Petition
addressed to me

From the Collr. of Madras.
11th December 1856
13 May 1857.
In Dr. 3d July/57

18251 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

me by the renter of the Poloor Village
negativing the claims of the Villagers of
Nungumbakum to the supply channel
therein adverted to. Under the circum-
stances set forth by him, and to request
you will be good enough to ascertain and
inform me on what grounds the supply
channels in question is claimed by the Nun-
gumbakum Ryots, and whether their claim
are borne out by the Records of your Office.

2. I have ordered the dam to be
left alone pending the receipt of a reply
to this communication.

Chingleput District,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Sydapet 18th July 1857.

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick,
Collector.

To,
The Collector of
Chingleput.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to reply to your letter of the 18th Instant relative to the bank lately thrown across the supply channel of the Nungumbakum Tank by the renter of Poolioor.

2. I beg to suggest that it is scarcely

scarcely necessary to search the records of this Office in support of the claims of the Kungumbakum ryots to the supply in ques-

3. The water is conveyed to the Tank not by an artificial channel, but by a natu-ral hollow, and this source of supply has exis-ted from time immemorial and is an im-portant feeder to the Tank.

4. The bank, as stated in your letter of the 3rd Instant, has been lately constructed; it is therefore clearly against manool, the leading principle in such matters, and I hope that you will see cause to have the bank removed without delay as promised in your letter last mentioned.

Madras 22. July 1857. } (Signed) B. Cunliffe, Collector.

No. 749.

To,

B. Cunliffe, Esquire
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you in reply to your letter of the 5th Instant relative

relative to the Bund complained of by the Kungumbakum ryots that having visited the spot, I find it has not been thrown across any recognised supply channel of the Kungumbakum Tank known in this District though water may have reached the Tank by it before it was put up. Under these circum-stances though the bund may be new, I can-not order its summary removal unless you can repute my statement by the accounts in your Office.

Chingleput District, Collectors Cutcherry, 13th August 1857. } (Signed) C. J. Shubrick, Collector.

True Copy (Signed) B. Cunliffe, Collector.

Enc-2

To,

C. J. Shubrick, Esquire
Collector of Chingleput District.

Most Honored Sir,

1. With reference to the letter dated 13th July, (Instant) which I received from the Tahsil-dar of Sydapet, I took intimating that I had abstructed by an Annicut the water Canal of the

Fort St. George 11th November

the Nungumbakum Village Tank attached to the Madras Collectorate and desire me to remove the said Anicut forthwith and in default of my doing so that the Circar officials will be under the necessity of removing the same. I beg respectfully to represent that there is no water Canal to the above said Tank from my Ezara village, Poolyoor, neither I obstructed any such Canal with an Anicut as noticed in the letter under reply, and should there be any Canal as therein adverted to it should have been repaired at any time either by the Circar or by the Nungumbakum villagers themselves - besides it should have been entered in the Circar Barnut Turpody account or of Dowlah of the Poothery year or at least in Fysul accounts, and on a reference to those Circar accounts you will be fully convinced that nothing of the kind is to be found in them, as also I am prepared to prove by credible witnesses for your entire satisfaction. —

Under

Fort St. George 11th November 1857

2. Under the foregoing circumstances it will not look fair or reasonable to remove the Tanks which I have placed for the safety and support of the fields of my village for the mere reason of the groundless and proofless complaint of the villagers of Nungumbakum, and by so doing I beg to bring to your notice that I become subjected to a loss of my Ezara revenue, nearly to the amount of 300 Rupees annually in consequence of the cultivation falling short, and therefore I most earnestly request that you will be pleased to summon the said villagers and institute a proper enquiry into the circumstances of the case and render me Justice that I may not incur an unjust loss. For which act of Justice, I as in duty bound, shall ever pray -

Signed / Kanjee Mahamed Rahemoodtah Khan Bahadoor, Ezaradar of Poolyoor villages

17th July 1857

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / B. Cuthcliffe,
Collector.

Od 632-638
13. M. 3973
Proceedings thereon -

The dispute above reported is not, in the Board's opinion, one which they or the Collector under his general authority can dispose of - The Nungumbakum Ezara rights should

18256

Fort St. George 14th November 18

should be instructed to complain to the Collector of Chingleput under Regulation V of 1822 and to appeal from his judgment if dissatisfied therewith to the Civil Courts within one month - They will thus have an opportunity of proving their claim by adducing evidence to show that the bund is an innovation and that the hollow across which the bund has been raised is a natural feeder of their Tank.

2nd A copy of the Collectors letter and of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information.

No. 124.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(635)
B. No. 4163
From the Collector
of Bellary

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 5th Instant enquiring whether

Book No. 634 is wrongly numbered

18257.

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

whether Collectors have yet had any occasion to apply Act XXI of 1865 and how it has been worked, and what amount of cash now in their hands is available for permanent investment on account of Minors' Estate -

In reply I beg to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that the order in question does not apply here and that there has been no occasion to apply the above mentioned act in this District -

Bellary Collector's Office, 20th October 1857.

(Signed) C. Pelly,
Collector.

Order thereon (635-636)

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 171.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(637)
B. No. 4211
From the Collector
of Combatore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith

18258 Fort St. George 11th November 1857

× return requested

Herewith 2 specifications with a Plan divided into two lots of the lands applied for by Revd. J. Griffiths at Cotacamund measuring 5 and 6 Cs. 9 Qrs. 8 Pts. - 1860 respectively, and to request the sanction of the Board to dispose of the same in Public auction.

Coimbatore
Collectors Office
Cotacamund,
20th October 1857.

/ Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector

Enc. 1.

Memorandum

Reverend J. Griffiths applies for a piece of Government waste land at Cotacamund, measuring 5 Cawnies bounded on the north by Colonel Jarvis's property, on the west by Captain Wallace's property, on the South by the property of Captain Templer, and on the east by land applied for

18259 Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

for by Reverend J. Griffiths. The occupancy of this land by Reverend J. Griffiths is unobjectionable on public & private grounds - the upset price of the land, calculated at 8 years Quit rent is Rs. 45 - 13 - 4 at 1 - 2 - 4 a Cawny per annum Rs. 5 0

Coimbatore
Collectors Office
Cotacamund,
20th October 1857.

/ Signed / E. B. Thomas,
Collector.

Encl. 2.

Memorandum.

Revd. J. Griffiths applies for a piece of Government waste land at Cotacamund measuring

1826) Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

measuring 4 ch. 9 q. 4 p. 4 — 1866, bounded on the North by Colonel Garrard's property, on the South and east by road to North Lawns, &c. and on the west land applied for by the applicant. The occupancy of this land by Reverend J. Griffiths is unobjectionable on public and private grounds. the upset price of the land, calculated at 8 years Quit Rent, is 47 rs. 4 a. 8 p. at 1 rs. 2 a. 4 p. a Cawny per annum.

Coimbatore ....
Collector's Office ....
Potacamund ....
1st October 1857.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas,
Collector.

G.

1826)
(637-638)
B2 A 3939

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

Proceedings thereon. Under Paras VI and VII of the Manual of Instructions for the grant of lands on the Neilgherries. the Board of Revenue agreeably to the recommendation of the Collector. direct that the land applied for by the Reverend J. Griffiths measuring 9 Cawnies. 14 Grounds and 1866 Square feet be put up to Public auction at the upset price of Rupees 88—2—0. calculated at 8 years Quit Rent and that it be knocked down to the highest bidder or to the applicant if there be no advance upon the upset price. Subject to the conditions and limitations of the said Manual.

Statement

639

1826

D.R. No. 4228

From J. A. Geo. 14th November 1857

Statement Shewing the particulars of wastage of Salt in the District of Malabar in Fusly 1266.—

Name of the Division	Fusly in which Stored	Number of heaps Sold in which wastage occurred	Contents of the heaps when Stored	Out turn of the heaps when Stored	Wastage	Centage of the wastage in Column 4	Value of the wastage Salt at the prime cost	Value of the wastage Salt at the Monopoly price	Per Centage of wastage for each plat form as fixed by the Collector
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Cannanore	1264	2	12,320	12289 "	21 "	7/14	3 5 9	21 " "	
	1265	3	34,037	33767 "	270 "	17/19	68 1 11	270 " "	
	1266	1	11,890	11823 "	73 "	11/18	17 7 11	84 6 6	
	Total	6	58,243	57879 "	364 "	10/10	90 15 7	375 6 6	
Tellicherry	1264	"	"	" "	" "	"	" " "	" " "	
	1265	"	"	" "	" "	"	" " "	" " "	
	1266	1	10,970	10883 "	87 "	13/10	20 13 8	100 9 6	
	Total	1	10,970	10883 "	87 "	12/10	20 13 8	100 9 6	
Calicut	1264	6	92,514	91871 "	743 "	14/5	189 9 11	743 " "	
	1265	5	72,725	71537 "	1188 "	1 17/11	299 11 1	1188 " "	
	1266	7	95,568	93092 "	2476 "	2 24/41	693 6 9	2862 14 "	
	Total	18	260,907	256500 "	4407 "	1 11/16	1182 11 9	4793 14 "	
Ponany	1264	3	42,964	42822 "	142 "	71/215	36 3 11	142 " "	
	1265	2	17,968	17945 "	23 "	1/80	5 12 10	23 " "	
	1266	4	71,880	70598 "	1282 "	1 25/32	307 4 1	1482 5 "	
	Total	9	132,812	131365 "	1447 "	1 1/11	349 4 9	1857 5 "	
Chunghut	1264	1	3000	2890 "	110 "	3 2/3	28 1 2	63 " 4	
	1265	"	"	" "	" "	"	" " "	" " "	
	1266	1	688	684 "	4 "	1/17	" 15 4	4 10 "	
	Total	2	3,688	3,574 "	114 "	3 1/9	29 " 6	67 10 4	
Palghaut	1264	"	23	19 "	4 "	17 10/25	1 " 4	3 7 "	
	1265	"	"	" "	" "	"	" " "	" " "	
	1266	"	"	" "	" "	"	" " "	" " "	
	Total	"	23	19 "	14 "	17 10/25	1 " 4	3 7 "	
Total	1264	"	150,911	149891 "	1020 "	135/200	260 5 "	972 7 4	
	1265	"	124,730	123249 "	1481 "	1 23/499	373 10 6	1481 " "	
	1266	"	191002	187080 "	3922 "	2 1/19	939 15 9	4653 13 "	
Grand Total		"	466,643	460220 "	6423 "	1 3/8	1573 15 3	6988 4 4	

Calicut 20th October 1857

E. E. for / Signed H. Robinson
Acting Collector.

Proceedings

1826 3

639-640

Bd. No. 3977

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Proceedings thereon. The Board request that the per-
centage may be given in Decimals instead of Frac-
tions of different denominations in future returns

No. 61

To

J. D. Sim Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to enclose a
Bill on the Accountant General for the sum
of 5 Rupees being the value of an Almanac re-
ferred to in your Official Memorandum of
No. 3344 of the 6th Instant.

Hurnoot .....
Government Agents Office
21st October 1857

I Signed J. G. Russell
Offg. Agent to the Governor

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded

641

641-642

Bd. No. 4256

From the offg. Agent to the
Govr. of Fort St. George

Discharge from Account
Bill Rs. 13.28
Cost 1857 Rs. 5 —
Cost Board's Secretary Rs. 1.50
Cost Board of Revenue 5 —

643

Bd. No. 4188

From the Jg. Subdl. Officer in charge Madura

1826 4

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

No. 223.

To. J. D. Sim Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

Before acquainting Rama-
sawmy Pillay with the orders on his
case conveyed in Extract Pro-
ceedings dated 15th Instant No. 3481 di-
recting his restoration to the Curnum-
ship of Ayagoody on the ground that
Curnums in settled Estates cannot
legally be dismissed from their Offices
but by a decree of a Court of Judica-
ture, I deem it my duty to bring to
the notice of the Board that the
Ayagoody Pollieum has not a Sum-
mud Inamdar and is therefore not
within

1826 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

within Regulation XXIII of 1802 as regards the Cummum in it under the construction put upon that Regulation by Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated 25th September 1854.

Madura
21st October 1857

/Signed/ J. R. Cockerell
Acting Subcollector in charge

B. No. 3976

Proceedings thereon.

643-644

Adverting to the circumstances of no Summud Istumrar having been granted for the Ayagoo by Estate the Board resolve to cancel their Proceedings of the 15th Ultimo, directing reinstatement of a Cummum in that Zemindary on the ground that the same could only be dismissed by a Civil Court. Mr. Cockerell will be pleased to return the Petitions forwarded with the Proceedings for the further consideration of the Board

E. B.

1826 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

No. 330

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort St. George

645

B. No. 4226

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir

The Manager in charge of the Sauptoor Zemindary in this District which is under the Management of the Court of Wards and the Tasildar of Streevellyputtoor in whose Talook the estate in question is situated reports to me that the walls and roof of the Manager's Cutcherry are in a very wretched state and require an outlay of Rupees 19–14 —. I have therefore the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of the Court for the disbursement of Rupees 19–14 — above mentioned out of the Surplus funds in deposit in favor of the Estate Amounting on the 25th September 1857 to Rupees 2831–7–11 irrespective of Rupees 33,000 recommended

recommended to be invested in Government Securities

Timevelly
21st October 1857 } / Signed / J. Silver
Collector

B. No. 3933
Proceedings thereon. (645-646)

The Court of Wards sanctions the repair of the Cutchery of the Manager of the Sooloor Zemindary at an estimated Cost of Rupees 19-14-" (Nineteen and annas fourteen) to be debited to that estate.

No. 127

To
J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

(647)
B. No. 4193
From the Collector
of Bellary } I have the honor to report that on the receipt of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 2d Instant No. 3310. the Officer Commanding C. D. was requested to inform me whether he wished to purchase on account of Government the Sulphur found in the possession of

of the merchants of this District: and in reply that Officer has informed me that no Sulphur is required for Military purposes. The Board are aware that license has been issued for its protection and I beg to request the final orders of Government on the question as to how claims for indemnity for losses consequent on the prohibition against its sale or transport are to be dealt with.

Bellary
Collectors Office
22nd October 1857 } / Signed / C. Pelly
Collector

B. No. 3971
Proceedings thereon. (647-648)

In Cons. 20 Oct. 1857

The receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation dated 10 October 1857 No. 432 Marine Department will have given the Collector the information sought regarding indemnity

To

18271 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

No. 192

To

J. D. Sim Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

649

B. No. 4193

From the Jr. Collector of South Arcot. } Sir

In reference to your letter of the 14th Instant I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that in consequence of my short residence in this District, I am not prepared to say whether any alterations should be made in the limits of Manargoody and Chellumbarum but after ascertaining the relative position of the Villages & the Cusbah Towns of these Talooks respectively I will address the Board again on the subject

South Arcot. }
Collector's Cutchery }
Cuddalore. }
22nd October 1857 } [Signed] G. A. Taylor
Acting Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded

649-658

To

18272 Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

No. 225.

To

J. D. Sim, Esqre

Acting Secty to the Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

651

B. No. 4224

From the Asst. Sub-Collr in Charge Madura } Sir,

Madura 22nd October 1857 }

With reference to para 2 of Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 30th September last, No. 3237, I have the honor to return the original answers to O.S. No. 4 of 1857 therein adverted to—the necessary copies having been taken—No time was lost in filing the answers in the Court.—

(Signed) J. R. Cockerell,
Asst. Sub. Collr in charge.

Enclosure 1.

In the Civil Court of Madura.

In O.S. No. 4 of 1857, wherein

Savoomaya Natchyar, residing at Madura, styling herself the daughter of Purvadavardaned Natchyar, the 6th lawful wife of the Isthmirar Zemindar of Shevagungah is the Plaintiff

and

1 Dailegaw Iyengar Acting Guardian to the Minors of Shevagungah
2 R. J. Sullivan Esq Agent to the Court of Wards.—
are the Defendants.

The answer of R. J. Sullivan Esqre Acting Collector of Madura, Agent to the

Court of Wards and one of the Defendants above named to the Plaint preferred by the said Plaintiff and presented on his behalf by Vakeel.-

I. This Defendant not confessing or acknowledging all or any of the matters or things to be true as in and by the said Plaint are mentioned and set forth and reserving to himself all right of exception to the said Plaint saith as follows.-

II. He is a Stranger to the several matters and things in the Plaintiff's said plaint contained and he submits to the Judgment of this Honorable Court whether or not he ought to have been made a party Defendant thereto, but if this Honorable Court shall be of opinion that he is a necessary party to this suit and that he should answer the said Plaint, then he submits himself to the Judgment of this Honorable Court upon all such matters of fact as shall be proved in this suit by the several other parties hereto.-

III. This Defendant however submits to the Judgment of this Honorable Court that the said Plaint should be taken off the files of the Court as being in contravention of the Regulations and rules by which the Procedure in

in your Honorable Court is governed and because it is this Defendant submits such Plaint contains matter that is impertinent and argumentative and this Defendant further submits for such Judgment whether he is bound to answer the same in its present form.-

IV. If however your Honorable Court should be of opinion that the said Plaint should be retained on the files in its present form and that this Defendant should answer the same- He for answer thereto saith as follows: That he further submits for the Judgment that under the provision contained in Regulation II of 1802 Section 18, Clause the 4th, your Honorable Court is prohibited from hearing trying or determining the merits of the Plaintiff's suit in as much as the cause of action if any were ever vested in the Plaintiff has arisen more than 12 years before this suit was commenced, on account of it the Plaintiff's father Rajah Gowry Vallabha Saver having died in or about the month of June 1829. and this Defendant submits that none of the exceptions reserved by that clause have arisen to this Plaint within 12 years before the institution of this suit.

This

V. This Defendant further submits to the like Judgment that the Decree passed by your Honorable Court under date the 17th day of December 1847 in O.S. No. 2 of 1845 in the said Plaint mentioned wherein the late Rane Ungamoottoo Nachiar (but now deceased) was Plaintiff, and Moottoovizia Rajahanada Gowry Vallabha Paria Oodia Tavar and Ramasamy Tavar were Defendants is conclusive in establishing the rights of the said Moottoovizia Rajahanada Gowry Vallabha Perria Oodia Tavar to the Zemindary of Shevagingah and is final as against and binds the Plaintiff and all claiming as descendants in or through the female line of Gowry Vallabha Tavar. —

VI Moreover this Defendant saith that in A.S. No. 7 of 1849 in the said Plaint also mentioned and in which the said Ungamoottoo Nauchiar was appellant and the said Minor Zemindar by his then Guardian was Supplemental Respondent and to which suit the present Plaintiff had been admitted as a Supplemental Appellant on the death of the said Ungamoottoo Nauchiar the Court of Sudder Uddalut under date the 21st day of October 1850 passed an order or Judgment on (amongst others)

Two

two Petitions presented to that Court by or on behalf of this present Plaintiff and which were No. 53 and 61 of 1850 and in such order or Judgment the said Court of Sudder Udalut directed that that appeal suit No. 7 of 1849 should be removed from their file, but observed that such direction would form no bar to the institution by any of the parties in those motions of a new action for the recognition of their alleged claims, provided the same were instituted on or before the 30th day of April 1851 at the expiration of that period the said Civil Judge would be considered final. —

VII. For a review of that order this present Plaintiff petitioned on which the appeal suit No. 7 of 1849 was restored by an order of the Sudder Udalut under date the 1st day of May 1851, but ultimately by an order or Judgment of the Sudder Udalut passed in the said appeal suit under date the 19th day of April 1852 that Court was pleased to reverse the said order of the 1st day of May 1851 and declared that the said Supplemental Appellants (including the present Plaintiff) must pursue their rights in the Court of Original Jurisdiction in the first instance

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

instance and that the Court of Original Jurisdiction must be guided in the admission and hearing of the claims by the Regulations in force, and the said Court of Sudder Udalut further declared that it thereby affirmed in principle the previous order of the 21 October 1850 and ordered the said appeal suit to be struck off the files of that Court.

VIII. On the 30th June 1852 a Petition was presented to the Sudr Udalut on behalf of the said minor praying that Court to review the said Judgment passed by them under date the 9th April 1852 and either to reverse or omit so much of the 9th para thereof as declare that the parties therein referred to (including the present Plaintiffs) could pursue their rights in the Court of Original Jurisdiction in the first instance, and that that Court must be guided in the admission and hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force, so as to explain and modify the same as to preclude any inference being drawn therefrom, that the Court of Sudr Udalut had decided in favor of or given sanction to the claim of any or either of the parties (including the present Plaintiff) whose appeal had been dismissed to bring another original suit

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

suit for the recovery of the said Zemindary where-upon the Court of Sudr Udalut was pleased to pass an Order under date the day of September 1852 wherein they observed that it was not intended by their order of 19th April 1852 to convey any recognition or sanction of the claim of any of the parties (including the present Plaintiff) to file a fresh suit for the establishment of the Title in litigation in appeal suit No. 7 of 1849, but to point out that the only means open to them for prosecuting any claim they might respectively consider themselves to possess to the Zemindary was to have recourse to the Court of Original Jurisdiction, which Court it was expressly added would be guided as to the admission or hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force and the Sudr Udalut further observed that that portion of the order of 19th April 1852 was sufficient to preclude the inference that any expression of opinion in favor of the admission of any such claim to a hearing before the Court of Original Jurisdiction much less that any authoritative sanction for the adoption of that course was intended to be conveyed, and the Court therefore deemed it unnecessary

unnecessary to omit or modify that portion of
order of the 19th April 1852.

IX No other appeal has been preferred
against the said Decree of this Honorable Court
dated the 17th day of December 1847 nor against
the said order or Judgment of the Court of
Sudr. Adalut of the 19th day of April 1852
which affirmed the order of the 21st October
1850 limiting the time for preferring an
action to the 30th day of April 1851 and
which declared that at the expiration
of that period the said Decree of the
Honorable Court dated the 17 day of December
1847 would be final.

X This Defendant submits for
Judgment of your Honorable Court that the
Plaintiff has no right or Title to the said
Zamindary because that even supposing
that it had been decided that the Zemindary
should have descended from Gowry Vullabha
Tavera to his widow the said Rance Ungamma
Nachear the said Zemindary on her dec.
childless, would devolve on the nearest
Sapinda Brahmin allied by funeral
oblations and this Defendant submits
that under that law the said minor can
be the rightful heir to the said Zemindary.

XI This Defendant further submits that the

the Plaintiff has no right or title to the said
Zemindary because that even if it had been
decided that the said Zemindary should have
descended from Gowry Vullabha Tavera to his
widows in succession and then in and
through his descendants of the female line
there are descendants of the said Gowry
Vullabha Tavera by elder wives. -

XII This Defendant submits to the
Judgment of this Honorable Court whether
or not the Plaintiff's father and his
elder brother named Oyah Tavera made
a division of their property prior to the
year 1801 or at all or that they lived separately
as is in the Plaint alleged. -

XIII This Defendant however admits
that during the life of the said Govery Vullabha
Tavera he enjoyed the said Zemindary without
any participation in the rents thereof by
his said elder brother. It being as this
Defendant submits to the Law that an
ancient Zemindary is possessed by one
member of a family at a time and that the
profits thereof are not enjoyed by the family
in Common as is the case with other
family property.

XIV This Defendant submits to the
Judgement of your Honorable Court for a Construction of
the

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

the terms upon which the Government placed the said Gowry Vullabha Tauver in possession of the Zemindary if and when the instrument or writtings under which the said Gowry Vullabha Tauver was so placed in possession shall be produced to your Honorable Court.—

XV and this Defendant submits that there is not any other matter in the said Plaint Contained material or necessary for him to make Answer into and not herein and sufficiently Answered or denied and he prays that the said suit may be dismissed out of your Honorable Court with Costs.

Enclosure 2.

In the Civil Court of Madura

In O.S. No. 4 of 1857, wherein

Savoorniga Ratchyar residing at Madura and stying herself the Daughter of Purvadavurdane Ratchyar the 6th Lawful wife of the 1st time Zemindar of Shevagungah is the Plaintiff

1 Dasseyam Iyengar Acting Guardian to the Minor of Shevagungah and 2nd R. Sullivan, Agent to the Court of Wards. are the Defendants

The answer of Dasseyam Iyengar the Acting Guardian to Sreemator Rajah Moodoo Vijia Rhagoonadha Bodu Gowry Roosawmy Pakeya Rodaya Tauver, the minor

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

minor Zemindar of Shevagungah and one of the Defendants above named to the Plaint preferred by the said Plaintiff and presented on his behalf by

Vakeel

I. This Defendant not confessing or acknowledging all or any of the matters or things to be true as in and by the said Plaint are mentioned and set forth and reserving to himself and the said Minor all right of exception to the said Plaint saith as follows.—

II. This Defendant submits to the Judgment of this Honorable Court that the said plaint in Contravention of the Regulations and rules by which the procedure in your Honorable Court is governed and because, as this Defendant submits, such plaint contains matter that is impertinent and argumentative and this Defendant further submits for such Judgment whether he is bound to answer the same in its present form.—

III. This Defendant further submits to the Judgment of this Honorable Court that the proceedings

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

proceedings in this suit are wrongly instituted inasmuch as if instituted at all the said minor should have been a party Defendant to the suit jointly with this Defendant as his guardian. This Defendant refers your Honorable Court to Regulation V of 1804 Section 23, Clause 5th

IV. If however your Honorable Court should be of opinion that the said Plaint should be retained on the files in its present form and that this Defendant should answer the same he for answer thereto saith as follows that he further submits to the like Judgment that under the provision contained in Regulation II of 1802, Section 18, Clause the 4th your Honorable Court is prohibited from hearing trying or determining the merits of the Plaintiff's suit against the said Minor guardian or this Defendant as his guardian inasmuch as the Cause of action if there be any vested in the Plaintiff had arisen more than 12 years before this suit was commenced on account of it the Plaintiff's father Rajah Gowry Vullabha Tavers having died in or about the month of June 182 and this Defendant avers that

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

that none of the exceptions reserved by that clause have arisen to this Plaintiff.

V. This Defendant further submits to the like Judgment that the Decree passed by your Honorable Court under date the 17th day of December 1847 in O.S. No. 2 of 1846 wherein the late Ranee Ungumoottoo Rachir but now deceased was Plaintiff and Mootoovizia Raghunada Gowry Vullabha Perria oodia Tavers and Ramaswmy Tavers were Defendants is conclusive in establishing the rights of the said Mootoovizia Raghunada Gowry Vullabha Perria oodia Tavers to the Zemindary of Shevagunga and is finial as against and binds the Plaintiff and all claiming as descendants in or through the female line of Gowry Vullabha Tavers.

VI The said minor is the son of the said Mootoo Vizia Raghunada Gowry Vullabha Perria oodia Tavers and is now in possession of the said Zemindary under that Decree as heir of his said father.

VII Moreover this Defendant avers that in Appeal Suit No. 7 of 1849 in which the said Ungamoottoo

Ungamoottoo Nauchear was appellant and the said Junior Zemindar by his then Guardian was supplemental Respondent and to which suit the present Plaintiff had been admitted as a supplemental Appellant on the death of the said Ungamoottoo Nachear the Court of Sudr Udalut under date the 21st October 1850 passed an order or Judgment on (amongst others) 2 Petitions presented to that Court by or on behalf of this present Plaintiff and which were Nos 49 and 51 of 1850 and in such order or Judgment the said Court of Sudr Udalut directed that that appeal suit No 7 of 1849 should be removed from their file but observed that such direction would form no bar to the institution by any of the parties in those motions of a new action for the recognition of their alleged claims provided they were instituted on or before the 30th day of April 1851 at the expiration of that period the said Decree of the Civil Judge would be considered Final

VIII. For a review of that order this present Plaintiff petitioned on which the appeal suit No 7 of 1849 was restored by an order of the Sudr Udalut

Udalut under date the 1st day of May 1851 but ultimately by an order or Judgment of the Sudr Udalut passed in the said Appeal suit under date the 19th day of April 1852 that Court was pleased to reverse the said orders of the 1st day of May 1851 and declared that the said supplemental Appellants (including the present Plaintiff) must pursue their rights in the Court of original Jurisdiction in the first instance and that that Court must be guided in the admission and hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force and the said Court of Sudr Udalut further declared that it thereby affirmed in principle the previous order of the 21st October 1850 and ordered the said Appeal suit to be struck off the files of that Court.

IX. On the 30th June 1852 a petition was presented to the Sudr Udalut on behalf of the said minor praying that Court to review the said Judgement passed by them under date the 19th April 1852 and either to reverse or omit so much of the 9th para thereof as declared that the parties therein referred to (including the present Plaintiff)

Could

could pursue their rights in the Court of original Jurisdiction in the first instance and that that Court must be guided in the admission and hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force so as to explain and modify the same as to preclude any inference being drawn therefrom that the Court of Sudr Udalut had decided in favor of or given sanction to the claim of any or either of the parties (including the present Plaintiffs) whose Appeal had been dismissed to bring another original Suit for the recovery of the said Zemindary whereupon the Court of Sudr Udalut was pleased to pass an order under date the 16th day of September 1852. wherein they observed that it was not intended by their order of 19th April 1852 to convey any recognition or sanction of the claim of any of the parties (including the present Plaintiffs) to file a fresh Suit for the establishment of the Title in litigation in appeal suit No. 7 of 1849 but to point out that the only means open to them for prosecuting any claim they might respectively consider themselves to possess to the Zemindary was

was to have recourse to the Court of original Jurisdiction which Court it was expressly added would be guided as to the admission or hearing of their claims by the Regulations in force "and the Sudr Udalut further observed that that portion of the order of the 19th April 1852 was sufficient to preclude the inference that any expression of opinion in favor of the admission of any such claim to a hearing before the Court of original Jurisdiction much less that any authoritative sanction for the adoption of that course was intended to be conveyed and the Court thereof deemed it unnecessary to omit or modify that portion of the order of the 19th April 1852.

× No other appeal has been preferred against the said Decree of this Honorable Court dated the 17th day of December 1847 nor against the said order or Judgment of the 19 day of April 1852 which affirmed the order of the 21 October 1850 limiting the time for preferring a new action to the 30th day of April 1851 and which declared that at the expiration of that period the said Decree of this Honorable Court dated the 17 day of December 1847 would be final.

This

XI. This Defendant submits for the Judgment of your Honorable Court that the Plaintiff has no right or Title to the said Zemindary because that even supposing that it had been decided that the said Zemindary should have descended from Gowry Vullabha Tavera to his widow the said Rama Ungamoottoo Nachear the said Zemindary on her death childless would devolve on the nearest Kinsman Sapinda allied by funeral oblations and this Defendant submits that under that Law the said minor would be the rightful heir to the said Zemindary.

XII This Defendant further submits that the Plaintiff has no right or Title to the said Zemindary because that even if it had been decided that the said Zemindary should have descended from Gowry Vullabha Tavera to his widows in succession and then in and through his descendants of the female line there are descendants of the said Gowry Vullabha Tavera by senior wives.

XIII This Defendant denies that the Plaintiff's father and his elder brother named Gowry Tavera made a division of their property prior to the year 1801 or at all or that they lived separately

as is in the Plaint untruly alleged.

XIV This Defendant however admits that during the life of the said Gowry Vullabha Tavera he enjoyed the said Zemindary without any participation in the rents thereof by his said elder brother it being as this Defendant submits the Law that in ancient Zemindary is possessed by one member of a family at a time and that the profits thereof are not enjoyed by the family in common as is the case with other family property.

XV This Defendant submits himself to the Judgment of your Honorable Court for a construction of the terms upon which the Government placed the said Gowry Vullabha Tavera in possession of the Zemindary when the instrument or instruments under which the said Gowry Vullabha Tavera was so placed in possession shall be produced to your Honorable Court.

The Defendant believes it to be true that the Plaintiff's father had 7 Lawful wives

XVI This Defendant admits it to be true that the Plaintiff's father died in the month of June 1829 also that 3 of his said wives survived him and and that the Plaintiff's mother was then

pregnant and was afterwards delivered of a female child who has since departed this life

XVII This Defendant admits that Mootto Vadagoonada Tever in the plaint named entered the palace at the time of the death of the Plaintiffs father and that the Government and authorities did recognize his right to succeed to the Zemindary as Lawful and just

XVIII This Defendant however denies that the said Mootto Vadagoonada Tever entered the said Palace forcibly or that he then or at all drew out a false will as is in the said plaint untruly alleged.

XIX This Defendant says that if the alleged proceedings of the Board of Revenue on the 1st January 1830 are evidence in this suit and they are produced by the Plaintiff as such he submits himself to the Judgment of this Honorable Court as to their construction and legal effect.

XX This Defendant admits that 3 suits were instituted as is in the said plaint mentioned or inferred and as to the Contents of the pleadings therein and the proceedings had thereupon the Defendant craves leave to refer thereto if and

and when the same shall be produced.

XXI This Defendant admits that an appeal was preferred to her Majesty in Council by Sriramut Mootto Vizia Raghanada Gowry Vallabha Pirria Woodia Tever against the Decree passed by the Court of Sudr Uda-lut under date the 17th day of April 1837 in appeal Suit No. 130 of 1835 in which the Sudr Udalut had reversed the Decree passed by the Provincial Court for the Southern Division in O.S. No. 3 of 1833 and he says that the said Decree of the Sudr Udalut was reversed by her Majesty in Council but leave was given to the Respondents to bring a new suit notwithstanding the Decree of the Provincial Court at any time within a period of 3 years after the Decree of Her Majesty in Council should be received in India and disclosed to the Parties.

XXII This Defendant admits that in making such order the members of the Judicial Committee of Her Majesty's Privy Council intimated that although they could make no order on that subject it would be exceedingly desirable that it should be known to all those who were interested in the Zemindary that the question of fact as to division

or

in his division appeared to be the only point on which the main question of Title to the Zemindary would ultimately depend.

XXIII. This Defendant submits that the leave which was so granted to the said Rance Unga Mootto Nachiar by her Majesty in Council to bring a new suit notwithstanding a Decree of the Provincial Court within 3 years was so granted because she had unfortunately lost her way and because on that mistake and misapprehension Her Majesty's Privy Councellors thought it would be going too far finally to dispose of the case at that time. That therefore it was so granted as a personal boon and favor to the said Rance Unga Mootto Nachiar and to her alone and this Defendant submits this present Plaintiff could not have been allowed to avail herself of such permission even if the time limitted had not long since expired.

XXIV. This Defendant admits that Angamootto Nachiar within the time so limitted did institute the O.S.R. 2 of 1845 and that a Decree therein was passed as mentioned in Para V of this answer and was adverse to her moreover that she appealed therefrom to the Court of Sudr Udalut in appeal but

suit R. 7 of 1849 that she subsequently died and that Plaintiff was admitted (with others) as a Supplemental Appellant This Defendant denies that the Court of Sudr Udalut finally or at all ordered that this present Plaintiff should put in a fresh action in the Lower Court as is in the said Plaint untruly alleged but says that the said Court of Sudr Udalut passed the several orders or Judgments in that suit as are mentioned in Paras VII & VIII of this answer

XXV. This Defendant denies that the Plaintiff's father held and enjoyed the said Zemindary in the manner in which the term "Self acquired property" is used in and understood by Hindoo Law for this Defendant says. That the said Zemindary first came into the Plaintiff's and Minor's family about the year 1730 on the marriage of Nalcottah Oodiah otherwise called Shashavurna Saver on his marriage with Velandeswari a daughter of Mootto Ragonada Setopaty otherwise called Hurta Saver the Rammad Chief of whose Zemindary the Shevagunga Zemindary previously formed part this Defendant therefore submits that the said Shashavurna was the first acquirer of the said Zemindary The Shevagunga Zemindary on the death of the said Nalcottah Oodia

Oodiah otherwise called Shashavarna Taver died
died to his son Halcottah Vadagoonada Tavar who was
killed at Caliar Covil in or about the year 1771 He
did not leave a son but left a widow named Valoo
Nauchear and after his death his said wife gave birth
to a daughter who was named Villa Nauchear. The
Villa Nauchear was married to one Venguna Pina
Woodier Tavar and died in or about the year 1789 in
in giving birth to her only child a daughter who was
named Columtha Nauchear otherwise Pordatta
Nauchear and who died shortly after her birth.
Upon the death of the said Halcottah Vadagoon
Tavar the said Zemindary was taken possession of
and was held by his widow Valoo Nauchear until
or shortly before her death which happened some
time about the years 1797 or 1798 until the same was
usurped by the rebels murder on the death of the
said Valoo Nauchear the possession of the said
Zemindary was retained by those rebels Murder
but on her death childless the Minors great grand
father Padinatorvavoodia otherwise called
Woya Tavar and the Plaintiffs father were the
nearest heirs to the said Halcottah Oodia other
wise called Shashavarna Tavar and as such
were entitled to inherit the said Zemindary and from

from circumstances the Plaintiffs father although
the younger brother obtained the first possession
thereof in preference to his elder brother.

XXVI And the Defendant —
submits that there is not any other
matter in the said plaint contained
material or necessary for him to make
answer unto and not here in well and suffi-
ciently answered or denied and he prays
that the said suit may be dismissed out of
your Honorable Court with —
Costs

651-652
Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded

No. 188

To
The Court of Wards

653
B. No. 4144
From the Sub Gruttemar
Treasurer

I have the honor to —
Acknowledge

1829

Fort St. George 11th November 1857

Acknowledge the receipt of your Acting Secretary's letter No. 3536 of yesterdays date transmitting for deposit at the General Treasury the Accountant Generals receipt No. 358 dated 11th October 1857 for company Rupees 13500 — — / Thirteen thousand and five hundred belonging to the Zemindar of Purlah Kemidy

Fort St. George, General Treasury, 22nd October 1857

/ Signed / S. D. Birch
Sub Treasurer

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded

653-654

T.

L. B.

1829

Fort St. George 11th November 1857

655

Bd. No. 1201

From the Ag. Sub Coll. in charge of Madura.

Forwarding for the Board's consideration certain papers and remarks connected with suit No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura instituted by Pulney appa Chetty against the Zemindar of Shevagunga for the recovery of Rupees 228111 on a Bond. — Encl. No. 2.

To The Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards.

Sir

Adverting to the suit No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of this Zillah brought by Pulney appa Chetty and others against the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga for the recovery of Rupees 228111 on a Bond and to the Correspondence noted in the appended Memo. which has taken place on the Subject I deem it my duty notwithstanding their decision dated 10th December 1856 to report to the Court of Wards the phase the Suit has assumed with a view to ascertaining whether under the circumstances they will not consent to enter into a Razeenamah.—

With this object I have obtained from the Plaintiffs the enclosed agreement.—

It will be observed they are not prepared to withdraw the Suit and place themselves in the hands of the Court of Wards or to derogate from the position of equality they now consider they are in a condition to stand in with the opposite party more however —

I.

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

I think cannot reasonably be expected from them under the circumstances and the question is whether the Court are disposed to meet them half way and authorize an accommodation being entered into. Such an accommodation will necessarily involve the responsibility of in a measure acknowledging the authenticity of the bond sued on.

It might I am led to believe be made for the Sum shown to be owing to the Plaintiffs by the accounts. a Sum of including Interest Something short of one lac of Rupees.—

The Razeenamah being made before the Court would of course preclude the Plaintiffs from bringing forward the bond again and in so far the objections to a Compromise urged by the late acting Collector would cease. On

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

On referring to the answer it will be seen that the Bond was neither admitted nor denied but that the payment of 3000 Rupees was acknowledged. The question was thus limited to the connection between the payment and the bond. Subsequently however the bond itself vide the Rejoinder was disputed and the grounds of suit thus repudiated

Enclosure No 4. To invalidate the Bond a copy forthcoming in the Zemindars Cutcherry was put in discrediting point being the fact that the copy had appended - a note setting forth that the Bond was signed on a date four years subsequent to the date it bears on the face of it. The descrepancy certainly is calculated

calculated to throw suspicion on the Bond

Enclosure No 5. If the statements of the Gomashta who wrote

both the Bond and the Copy and there

are no grounds for affirming that he did

not do so - are correct however. The fancy was designed and therefore is no

so suspicious as it would at first appear. In any event it would hardly

imagine outweigh in the mind of the Court

the stronger presumption that the bond was

drawn from the fact of there being a Copy of

it at all the Manager now affirms he is

prepared to show from a Memorandum

avowedly a record of the Zemindars accounts

discovered in the last cherry of the ameen of Shevagunga

that

that Bodee Gooroosawmy Tower was 18 miles

distant from that place on the date the

Plaintiffs Witness depose to the execution

of the Bond there - and the question

might thus be raised whether assuming

the Bond to have been drawn it was ever

signed by the Zemindar. We might

endeavour to show the signature to the

Bond is not genuine but a part from

the great difficulty of proving such a point

an attempt to do so now would not have

such weight with the Court as if the question

had been raised at an earlier stage in

the progress of the suit. The objection that

the payment of 3000 Rupees is not endorsed

on the Bond. The Court would take little

account of adverting to the carelessness with

which the money transactions of Zemindars are

conducted

18303

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

conducted. It remains to touch upon the po
which has induced me to make this referen
The mismanagement of the question on which th
suit originally rested. Viz: the connection betw
the payment of 3000 Rupees and the Bond. I
enclose the evidence of the witnesses for the def
It will be seen that the Government Vakeel has un
advisedly extracted from one of them a statemen
that the payment was made on account of the
"Old Debt" of Goory Vallaba Tevar which the Bo
sued on from its wording represents and that
other has admitted the fact. It will also be
Enclosure No 8. that Supposing the Bond is genuine The 2d Wit
has clenched the connection between that
ment and the payment of 3000 Rupees by
that no other money is owing to the Plaintiff
from the Zemindary but on account of the "old de
this appears to me to be throwing up the case

Enclosures
No 6 & 7

Madura
Friday October 23
1857

/Signed/ J. R. Cocherell
Asst Collector

No 4

18304

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

No 4

Rejoinder filed by Defendants in O.S. No 8 of 1856.

The allegations in the Plaintiffs reply
are not right. The Defendants have not admitted
that they have paid 3000 Rupees in liquidation
of the amount of the bond in question.—
While the practice of entering part
payments made on account of large debts
on the back of the bonds is universal it is
falsely stated in the reply that such is not
the practice. The following word specified
in the Plaint Viz: "Old Debt" alleged to
have been contracted by "Goury Vullabah
Rajah alias Perea Maharajah" could not in
the least be interpreted to form the debt
in question for which it is alleged that Bodagooroo
Rajah has passed a bond.—
By the facts that no settlement of ac:
counts was made as regards the first Deed
of contract that the disputed bond was not
formally drawn up on Stamp Paper as the Deed
of Contract was done and that no subsequent legal
arrangements were entered into in verification of the
authenticity of the very bond it is clear that the claim
is

Fort St. George 11th November 1857.

is as groundless as debarred by the Law of limitation it being one having origin previous to 19 years past.—

Under these circumstances it is prayed on behalf of Defendants that the Court should be pleased to examine the evidence yea in their favor and render justice.—

[Signed] I. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collr. in charge

№ 5

Translation of a Declaration given on Solemn Affirmation on the 4th September 1857 before the Civil Judge of Madura by Soobba Row Son of Venkatarangyer of Shevagungah. 3d Witness of Plaintiff in O. S. № 8. of 1856.

Answer to Question put by Plaintiffs Vakeel } I have seen the Bond marked A now before the Court and it is in my writing Bathagooroosawmy Perya Oodya Thaver directed Cooty Josier and Valaydum Iyen to adjust accounts with Peria curpen Chetty and prepare a Bond. They accordingly sent for certain accounts from the Seemay and Samoogum Cutcherries and after looking into them they dictated to me and I wrote this bond. The said Peria curpen Chetty and the 1st Plaintiff Palany appa Chetty were

Fort St. George 11th November 1857.

were then present. When the bond was completed we took it over to the Zemindar who was at the Poojay Covil Pagoda at the time. The Zemindar ordered me to read it and I did so. He then signed it. I have often seen the Zemindars Signature should the Bond have been written even by another person. I am certain that the Signature in it is that of Bathagooroosawmy Perya Oodya Thaver the Zemindar. The Bond in question was executed 20 years ago Viz. in 1837 I am certain also that the Zemindar affixed it was executed. The Bond in question was passed for a large amount. The Zemindar affixed his Signature on the assurance given by his agents Cooty Josier and Valaydum Iyer that the accounts with Peria Curpen Chetty were found Correct The Bond was attested by 4 Witnesses and then given to Peria curpen Chetty A copy of it was made and lodged on the Records That copy is now in the Collectors Office It was prepared by me A draft was first prepared and the Copy now before the Court was made from it This is the Copy

I.

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Imeant as draft. The Zemindar did not affix his signature to the Copy. The Zemindar is used not to register down the Bonds he executed by him to others. I have looked into the document Numbered 1 filed by the Plaintiff and now before the Court. This is the Copy or draft which I referred to above.-

Question by the Court } In the Copy or draft just alluded to a remark is made to the effect that the Zemindar affixed his signature on the 9th Avanee of Sarvaree year But the Date on which the Bond in dispute was executed is stated as the 30th Pungoonee of Doonmooghee year What do you say to this

Answer Four months after the execution of the Bond in question Cody Josier and Valaydimudly directed me to insert the remark in its Copy I asked them why. They answered that as I was a mere Copyist I ought to do so I was bid, and that it was not my business to ask questions. I do not however remember whether they directed me to insert the remark 4 months or 4 years after the

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

the execution of the Bond in dispute. In consequence of the death in 1847 of Peria Curpen Chetty the holder of the Bond the 1st Plaintiff produced the Bond before the father of the minor Zemindar and demanded of him the amount in question. The Zemindar (minors father) then ordered me to bring the Copy of the document which I did. He ordered me to read it over to him which I accordingly did. The Zemindar then asked the 1st Plaintiff whether it was the amount of this Bond that he had lent or wished to have. The 1st Plaintiff replied on the affirmative. The Zemindar then stated that he had no means to pay him because it was the season for payment of Kists. The 1st Plaintiff Consequently waited on the Zemindar for 10 days Subsequently the latter gave verbal Orders to Cooty Josier to pay the 1st Plaintiff Rupees 3000 on account of the Bond in dispute Cooty Josier accordingly requested the Plaintiff to accompany him. But I do not know whether the amount was paid to him or not. When I read over the Copy of the bond to the Zemindar Cooty Josier was also present. The latter was then questioned by the former as to whether it was the amount of the Bond

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Bond in dispute that the Plaintiff wished to have
Cooty Josier answered in the affirmative

Answer to Questions put by Plaintiff Vakeel } The 1st Plaintiff did not produce the Bond in dispute

before the Zemindar when he went to him a se-
cond time to demand payment. I do not recollect
if the Zemindar owed the Plaintiffs anyother sum
besides that now in dispute. I was told that Coo-
Josier issued a Takeed for the disbursement of the
aforesaid 3000 Rupees.

Answer to Questions put by Defendants Vakeel } I do not remember how many
days after the Zemindar sent
to Cooty Josier and Valoydum Iyer to prepare the Bond
that the Bond in question was executed. It was at the
25th Indian hour of the day that the Bond was executed. I was
present at the time when the Zemindar instructed Coo-
Josier and Valoydum Iyer to adjust accounts with Chi-
Curpen Chetty We immediately proceeded to the Cutcherry
and after settling the accounts prepared the Bond
in question and took it to the Zemindar. The order given
by the Zemindar the adjustment of the accounts and the
execution of the Bond all took place on one and the same
day. I do not recollect the hour when the Zemindar gave such
order. The accounts were brought from the Seemay Cutcherry
as per orders of the Zemindar for the purpose of adjustment. I do
know which of the Curnums inspected the accounts and which of
them made the calculations. The accounts were kept by them and
I wrote the Bond as instructed. I now serve the Zemindar in the capacity of Head
Keeper

True Translation
Signed J. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collr in charge
Deposition

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Encr No 6

Deposition given upon Solemn declaration
on the 7th april 1857 before the Civil Judge
of Madura by Datchamiah Son of Venkatachella
Sastry 1st Witness on behalf of the Defendant re-
siding at Madura Bramin Seva Sect Hoozoor
Goomasta of the Shevagungah Zemindary aged 52 years.—

Answers to the questions of the Defts Vakeel

I know the 1st Plaintiff Palaney
appa Chetty I have heard that the 1st
Plaintiff has had a suit against the Zemin-
dar of Shevagungah In the life time of the
Minors late father the Sum of 3000 Rupees
was paid to the 1st Plaintiff in 1847 in Satisfac-
tion of the Debt contracted by the Istimrar-
Zemindar. In that year I was a Goomastah
in the Samoojom Cutcherry of the Shevagungah
Zemindar when I saw an order issued to
Pradany Sabapaty Pillay directing him to pay
the said Sum of 3000 Rupees in repayment of
the Debt of the Istimrar Zemindar I have been employ-
ed in the Zemindars Cutcherry from 1821 or Fusly
1231 to this time. The Debt said to be the old debt
of Gowry Vullabha Rajah was contracted upon
Bond

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Bond First there was only one Bond for that debt which as required by circumstances upon the settlement of account on various occasions subsequently was split into 4 Bonds executed by a Succeeding Zemindar Viz. Bodagooroosawmy my Taver / the Minors paternal Uncle / when the account was settled in his life time I have seen many Signatures of the said Bodagooroosawmy Taver I therefore can recognize his Signature I have seen the Document in dispute marked A Caused to be delivered to me by the Court. The Signature which it bears I do not think to be Bodagooroosawmy's The payment referred to above of the Sum of 3000 Rupees must have been made in satisfaction of the debt under any Bond bearing the genuine Signature of Bodagooroosawmy Perea Oodia Taver The Plaintiff has no other claim against the Zemindar for debt other than that founded upon the 4 Bonds of old debt I mentioned above The person that obtained the 1st Bond for the said old debt was the elder Brother of the Plaintiff called Perea Courpen Chetty It is usual to

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

to keep Register of the debt Bonds executed by Zemindars to any of their Creditors.

Answers to the question of the Plaintiffs Vakeel. —

The said 4 Bonds are deposited in the Collectors Cutcherry. The translations connected with which can be ascertained by reference to the accounts in the Collectors Office Zemindars Cutcherry and in the Taloor Cutcherries The accounts in the Zemindars and Taloor Cutcherries. I saw before 1849 and those in the Collectors Office about 12 Months ago.

Answers to the question of the Defendants Vakeel

The order referred to above directs the payment of the said Sum of 3000 Rupees in satisfaction of old Gowry Vullabha Tavers debt but I do not know in repayment of the amount of what particular deed the said Sum was in fact paid. —

2. you have heard this deposition thrice read to you is it correct. —

A. yes it is

/ signed / Detchamiah
Hoozoor Goomasta of the Shevagunga Estt.

/ True Translate / signed / I. R. Cockerell
Ag Sub Collr in charge

Encr 2/7

Translation of a Deposition given on Solemn affirmation on

on the 9th September 1857 before the Civil Judge of Madura by Narasinga Row Son of Kristna Row a Braman of the Vishtnoo Sect. Hoozoor Gomastah of the Shevagungah Department 45 years of age 2. Witness on behalf of the Defendant in O. S. N° 8 of 1856 and residing at Cusbah Madura.—

Answers Questions of the Defendants Vakeel } I have known Palaneyapa chetty the Plaintiff in this Suit 2 years. A Sum of 3000 Rupees was paid to Palaneyapah Chetty of Auttengoody on account of the old debt of Goury Vullabah Maharajah under orders from the father of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga. Those orders were passed in June 1847 When I was Goomastah of the Seemay Cutcherry of the Zemindar I saw those Takeed and afterwards the Accounts received from the Talooks shewing the payment of the 3000 Rupees in question I do not know whether the old Debt of Gowry Vullabah Rajah is due on Bond or otherwise. I have been in Service in the Zemindary from 1837 If the Zemindar passes Bonds &c. to anyone it was Customary with him to give notice of the same to his Seemay Cutcherry as well as to his Treasury I never at any time saw or heard of the Document marked A and now shewn me by the Court.—

Questions by the Court. . . . } You have heard this Deposition read over to you Is it in accordance with what you have deposed to?

A. I have heard it read over to me and it is in accordance with what I have deposed to.—

Answers to Questions by the Defts Vakeel . . } I never knew Bodagooroo Rajah or ever saw his signature

/True Translate/
/Signed/ I. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Coll^r in charge.

N°1.

List of Correspondence in the matter of the Suit N°8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura for recovery of Rupees 22,111 and Boards Petitions N° 3061 and 3134 of 1855 and N° 1058 of 1856.

1.	To Court of Wards	23d June 1856	N° 135
2.	From D°	12th July 1856	N° 2187
3	To D°	2d October 1856.	N° 215
4	To D°	16th D°	N° 223
5	From D°	4th November	N° 3645
6.	To D°	12th D°	N° 263 with Reply
7.	From D°	1st December	N° 4008
8	To D°	8th D°	N° 288.
9	From D°	18th D°	N° 4316
10	Rejoinder in O. S. in N° 8th of 1856.—		

/Signed/ I. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub. Coll^r in charge

Translate

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Translate of an agreement / Podapadikkay executed under Date the 22d October 1857 before I. R. Cockerell Esqre Acting Sub Collr in charge of Madura by Pulangyappa chetty and Condruppa chetty 2 of the Plaintiffs in O. S. No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura.—

As we have now consented as we represented to Mr. Parker the Collr to have our claim connected with this suit settled according to account, we do hereby agree of our free will and with the consent of the other 2 Plaintiffs of this Suit to on the 23d Instant present a Motion to the Court praying that no decree may be passed in the Suit until the expiry of 15 days next ensuing a period which will be required for you to address the Court of Wards and receive their answer that further

18315

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

further on the receipt of a letter from the Court of Wards permitting you to make an arrangement with us we will move the Civil Court to post pone the suit until such time as may be necessary for the scruting of accounts and that afterwards provided the settlement the Collector may make on the perusal of the accounts be equitable we will withdraw our suit altogether.—

/True Translate/
/Signed/ I. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collr in charge

No. 3.

Translation of a reply in O. S. No. 8 of 1856 on the file of the Civil Court of Madura.—

The answer presented in this case is groundless. The Rental Puttah issued by the Sattimar Zemindar of Sheva gungah, on Stamp Paper of 150 Rupees value is an ample document to show that the Bond in

in dispute is a just one That Puttah even alluded to in the self same Bond moreover the late Collector of Madura signed the Bond in question as a Witness on the same Date that the Puttah was issued, the Zemindar also executed to Perea Curpen chetty named in the Plaint a Deed of acquittal to the effect that besides the amount mentioned in the Puttah he owed the Chetty no other Debt. On the contrary plaint preferred by the said Perea Curpen chetty to the late Collector that the Zemindar did not allow him to carry out the conditions of the Puttah that Officer passed an endorsement advising him to seek redress at the Courts. During the enquiry held in the case, the chetty put in also a motion respecting the Deed of acquittal an authenticated Copy of which the Plaintiffs have obtained from

from the Court subsequently when Peria Curpen chetty intended to bring a Suit against the Zemindar the latter prevented him from doing so by promising to pay up the Principal and Interest. The Zemindar and his Successor died however without fulfilling the promise. The Zemindar who came next paid a part of the amount due, and for the remainder executed the Bond now in dispute. Nevertheless before the balance could be paid the Zemindary itself was brought under litigation. The Zemindar too died. His Successor the undivided younger brother of the deceased Zemindar and the father of the present Minor acknowledged before the Southern Provincial Court that the Bond in question is a legal document In part liquidation of the debt referred to in the Bond the Zemindars in 1847 issued orders thro

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

thro' his Seemay Cutcherry to the Ameen of Amaravaty Talook to pay Rupees 3000 which was accordingly disbursed from the first Funds of the Dhavangoody Poliput and other Funds for which disbursements the Zemindar took ten Receipts from the 1st Plaintiff it is not customary to endorse payments on the Bond. The Defendants would concur in this had they enquired about the Custom. as the Rupees 3000 were paid before the expiration of 12 years there can be no objection to the entertainment of this Suit. The fact that Plaintiffs have no other demands against the late Perea Maga Raja is itself enough to show that the payment of Rupees 3000 was really on account of the Bond now in dispute. The Zemindary Records afford several instances to prove the present demand a honest one and Mr. Parker the late Collector is aware of it. The Plaintiffs therefore pray for a just Decree in the case

22d December 1856.

22d December 1856. The Signatures of the Plaintiffs

/Signed/ Palaneyappa chetty
Coomarappa chetty
Curpen chetty
and
Coomarappa chetty

/True Translate/
/Signed/ J. R. Cockerell
Ag Sub Coll in charge

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

655-656

Bd No 3921

To the Government Pleader Sudr Court

To

The Government Pleader
Sudr Court

Sir,

I am directed by the Court of Wards to request that you will advise them how to act under the following circumstances

2. In 1855 the Court received Petitions from certain Chetties of Madura stating that Boda Goorooswamy Perea Podia Taver a former Zemindar of Shevagunga had executed a Bond to them on the 10 April 1837 and engaged therein to pay them within 3 years the Sum of Star Pagodas 33015—15 with interest at 1 per cent being the balance due on a Lease Bond passed by Gavoori Vullaba Peria Podia Taver the Sotimirary Zemindar on account of Debt contracted by him to liquidate arrears of Peshcush Petitioners further stated that the late Zemindar Gowry Vullabha Taver had admitted the debt and had made a

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

a payment of 3000 Rupees and they prayed payment from the Court of the remainder Rupees 228,111.—

From Collr. 23 June in Cons 12th July 1856.

3. The Collector reported that the Petitioners had not preferred their claim within the period allowed by law (Viz.t 12 years) the accounts of the Zemindar showed a payment of 3000 Rupees on the 30th March 1847 on account of old Debt but contained no allusion to the Bond and that no such payment was endorsed on the Bond itself. The collector also stated that no reliable accounts were forthcoming regarding the original transaction out of which the claim arose and that though some loose accounts existed of the time of Boodoogooroosawmy who died 10th January 1841 they were entered in the lists furnished to the Collector on the assumption of the estate and were consequently untrustworthy.—

Under

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Under these circumstances felt precluded from admitting the claim and referred the parties to the Courts.—

4. In 1856 the chetties instituted a Suit and shortly after offered to have their claim settled by arbitration.—

From Collr 2 Octr. in Cons 4th November 1856.

5. The Collector informed the Court that pecuniary transactions had passed between this clags of chetties and the Zemindars and that some thing was probably due to them though not the large amount entered in the Bond sued on.

Rpo. to Collr 4th Novr 1856.

6. The Court authorized the Collector to file the answer proposed by him to the plaint and in regard to the offer of arbitration stated that if Plaintiffs withdrew their Plaint and agreed to abide by their final adjudication they were willing to allow him to investigate the claim on the distinct understanding that the validity of the Bond was in no way recognised by the institution of such enquiry.—

The

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

7. The Plaintiffs declined to agree to the condition that the Courts Settlement should be final and the suit was allowed to proceed.

8. The pleadings have now been filed and witnesses examined and the present state of the case is as follows.

9. Three witnesses give evidence more or less Connecting the payment of Rupees 3000 with the Bond. On the other hand a Copy of the Bond has been found among the Zemindars account with a note appended to it that the Bond was signed four years after the date it bears. The person who is said to have written both Bond and Copy states that this note was designedly added. The Manager of the estate affirms further that an avowed record of the Zemindars movements, found in the Shevagunga Ameens Cutcherry shows that he was 18 Miles from the place on the date when Plaintiffs witnesses depose to his having executed the Bond.

The

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

10. The Plaintiffs are willing to have their claim settled by reference to the accounts reserving to themselves however an ultimate appeal to the Courts and Mr Cockerell expresses his belief that they will be satisfied with payment of the Sum shown due to them by the account and estimated by him including interest at some what less than a Lac of Rupees. The point on which the Court request your advice is whether they can with justice to the estate or safety to themselves Consent to any Compromise under the Circumstances detailed you are aware that the possession of the estate is now under litigation and the present Zemindar hereafter or if the Suit for the estate is successful the Heir Zemindar may call the Courts Proceedings in question. —

The Court desire me to forward the papers Connected with the case

From Madura
23 June in Cons 12 July 1856.
Pro. 12 July "

18325 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

From Collr 2d October in Cons 4th November 1856 — case for your
From Collr 16th October in Consultation 4th Novr — " — perusal and to
Pro. to Collr 4th Novr — " —
From the Ag Collr 12th Novr in Cons. 1st Decr 1856. — request the favor
Pro. to Collr 1st December 1856
From Ag Collr 8th in Cons 18th Decr — "
Pro to Ag Collr within 18th Decr — "
From Ag Sub Collr in charge 23d October in Cons. 14th November 1857. — of a reply at your earliest Convenience

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
14th November 1857

/Signed/ J. D. Sim
Ag. Secretary

No 227

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(65)
Bd No 4252.
From the Ag Sub Collr in charge Madura
Bd Pro: 12th Octr/57.
No 3128.

I have the honor to enclose the return called for by Extract Minutes Consultation of Government Dated the 29th September 1857 No 1000 There is no Tangute or Mulberry Cultivation in this District.

Madura
23d October 1857

/Signed/ J. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collr in charge
Statement

18320 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Encl: 1

Statement Shewing the Cultivation of Sugar cane Cotton and Indigo in the District of Madura.

	Sugar cane				Cotton				Indigo			
	Extent		Assessment		Extent		Assessment		extent		Assessment	
	acres		Rs a P		acres		Rs a P		acres		Rs a P	
Dry	"	"	"	"	2894	1	5080	3	13	8	24	42 4 4
Wet	165	990	8	3	26	59	7	4	5	14	11	9
Garden	1	1	5	11	48	61	8	9	"	"	"	" "
Total	166	991	14	2	2901	5	5092	4	13	9	29	57 " 1
Enam												
Dry	"	"	"	"	4513		8528		12	"	8	13 5 "
Wet	1	3	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
Garden	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
Total	1	3	2	9	4513		8525		12	"	8	13 5 "
Permanently Settled												
Dry	"	"	"	"	36316		50198		7	7	14	15 3 5
Wet	32	326	12	7	128		113		11	1	1	" 5 1
Garden	1	4	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
Total	33	331	10	10	36444		50312		2	8	15	15 8 6
Grand Total	200	1326	11	9	69972		109762		12	5	52	85 13 7

Madura
23d October 1857

/Signed/ J. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collr in charge

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Bd. No. 3924

Proceedings thereon.— The Board observe that

(657-658)

the value of the various items of production entered in the above Statement is omitted The Acting Sub Collector is requested to forward a revised Statement as early as practicable.—

(659)

Bd. No. 4271.

From the Superintt. Government Press } Memorandum

In reply to the Communication from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue of the 19th instant accompanied with one to his address from the Collector of Madura dated the 27th June the Superintendent Government Press begs to state that in the absence of all particulars as to the Size of the type used in the work referred to and its character generally as well as the rates of pay for Compositors and Pressmen which prevail in the District it is impossible for him to give an opinion in the matter of this reference There can

Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

can be no doubt however that experience is the best test and if the Acting Collector of Madura can realise on the general principles for framing printing charges laid down in the Scale furnished by this Office what would be equal to 2/3rds of the cost of the labor involved there can be no doubt whatever that all loss in the wear of Stock &c. will be covered and a sufficient profit secured.—

Fort St. George Government Gazette Press } (Signed) H. Smith Supt. Govt. Press.

Office 29th October 1857

Bd. No. 3975.

Order thereon

(659-660)

Ordered to be forwarded with copy of the Boards Official Memorandum to the Acting Collector of Madura for information with reference to Mr Sullivans letter dated 27th June 1857.—

Extract

18329

661

Bd No 4329

From the Supg Engr Southern Circle

Fort St George 14th November 1857

No 4891.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public works. Fort St George under date 3d November 1857.—

Read the following letter from the Board of Revenue.—

/ Here enter No 3575 of 22d October 1857 /

The Chief Engineer Sanctions the estimate received with the foregoing letter amounting to Company's Rs (160) One hundred and Sixty for repairing the Travellers Bungalow Soldiers Shed &c at Alcoolum in the Bromma Dasem Talook for execution.—

Ordered that a Copy of the above Proceedings be Communicated to the Collector thro' the Board of Revenue and the District Engineer of Timevelly for their information respectively.

/ True Extract /

/ Signed / E. Lawford

661-662

Bd No 3925

Order thereon.— Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Timevelly.

18330

Fort St George 14th November 1857.

No 175.

To

J D Sim, Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

663

Bd No 4335

From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Board's Proceedings, Instant No 3760, calling for my opinion with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 14th October No 1934 whether Mr Kemp and Mr Walker should be placed entirely under my control, or either of them transferred to the control of the District Engineer of Chingleput.

2 I may remark that Mr Kemp is the Supervisor attached to this Office for the performance of the duties noted marginally and that he has no connection with the Survey in progress in this Collectorate.

3 Mr Overseer Walker is already under the orders of the

Checks the work of the 3 Maistries attached to the Registrars Department, Measures and prepares plans for all Grants of Land lands required for public purposes, Values houses and lands previous to imposition of Quit Rent, Prepares Estimates for new and repairs of works at Salt Cotaurs and pans— has charge of all Irrigation works and prepares the necessary reports and Statements to Chief Engineer

18331

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

the Superintending Engineer Central Circle reports progress to him and receives only his stationery and instruments from this Office, affording me any explanation I may require as to measurements, disputed limits, &c. As surveyor he is paid from the amount sanctioned for the survey.

4 On the subject of the transfer of Mr. Kemp to the control of a professional Officer, I beg to forward Copies of a reference from the Chief Engineer requesting my opinion on a proposition to him from Major Lawford for placing Mr. Kemp under the Civil Architect, and my reply thereto.

5 In works connected with the irrigation, in the construction of Buildings, and works embracing outlay to some Amount at the Cotaurs and Pans, I think it very desirable that the Supervisor should be placed under a Superior professional Officer, for in these matters I can neither assist with advice, or exercise any real supervision over him. In his other duties, measuring lands and valuing property within Madras, I think, that he should continue under my orders. For instance I have just received the orders of Government to value the property in Madras of the late Nawab, and I have no one, save Mr. Kemp for this duty.

I Signed I B Cunliffe
Collector

Madras 5th November 1857

Extract

18332

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Enc 1
No 4428

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works, Under date the 13th October 1857

Read the following

No 225

To Colonel C E Faber,
Chief Engineer D.P.W.,
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to submit for your consideration, the expediency of removing all the works in the Madras Collectorate under the professional charge of the Civil Architect, instead of leaving them as at present to the management of a subordinate, under the Collectors orders.

2 What reasons there may have been for this latter arrangement, I do not know, but I have seen the inconvenience attending it in more than one instance, and I think that the revenue works are placed on the same footing at the Presidency as in the Provinces, this will be obviated.

I Signed I E Lawford,
Supg. Engr. SC in
Ch. C Circle

Supg. Engr's Office,
Fort St. George
12th October 1857

18333 Fort St. George 14th November 1837

Resolved that the foregoing proposition from the Superintending Engineer in charge of Central Circle of P.W. No. 225 of the 12th October 1837, be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for the favor of that gentleman's early report on the expected working of the arrangements now suggested.

/ True Extract
/ Signed/ C E Faber, Colt
Chief Engr.

No. 56.

To The Chief Engineer
Fort St. George,

Sir

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from your Proceedings of the 13th instant No. 4428, asking for my opinion on the proposition of the Superintending Engineer in charge C Circle that all the works in the Collectorate should be placed under the professional charge of the Civil Architect in the same manner as District Engineers exercise supervision over all Government works in the Provinces.

I think the suggestion a good one as far as regards all revenue works, and those operations in the Salt Department requiring an outlay and hitherto carried into

execution

18334 Fort St. George 14th November 1837.

execution by the supervisor. Many of his duties however lie in the Registrars' Department such as measuring and mapping extents of lands and these may remain under the control of the Collector.

/ Signed/ B Cunliffe
Collector.

Madras
17th October 1837

663-664

Bd. No. 3950

Resolution thereon — Resolved that the above letter be laid before Government with reference to para 3 of Extract Minutes Consultation 14th Ultimo

P.W.D. Public No. 1931 dated Nov. 27/37

The Collector reports that Mr. Walker is employed exclusively on the survey from the amount sanctioned for which his salary as Surveyor is drawn. He has hitherto been under the orders of the Superintending Engineer of the Central Circle, but it has recently been arranged that his work shall be checked by the Chief Engineer's Assistant. The Board approve of this arrangement.

2

Mr. Kemp is employed partly in revenue duties, such as valuing property preparing plans &c and it is necessary that his services when

3

required

Fort St. George 11th November 1857.

required should be promptly available. He has also charge of the Public Works in the Collectorate, and the Board concur with the Collector that in such duties he should be under professional supervision.

4 The Board think it will be better to place Mr. Kemp in this branch of his duties under the Civil Architect (as proposed by Major Lawford in the communication enclosed in the Collector's letter) than under the District Engineer of Chingleput.

5 The former Officer is resident in Madras and could better supervise Mr. Kemp's proceedings. Arrangement for his employment when necessary on Revenue duties can be effected with greater facility and less delay than if he is placed under the District Engineer.

6 The Collector does not state how Mr. Kemp is now paid but there can be no difficulty in arranging what portion of his salary shall be debited to each department if Government consider this necessary.

Fort St. George 11th November 1857.

No. 315.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly,

Sir,

Forwarding draft of answer proposed to be filed in Suit No 11 of 1857 of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court & soliciting sanction to defend the Suit at the expense of Government.

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board, a copy and translation of the Plaint preferred by Singaraiew and others, Plaintiffs in Suit No 11 of 1857 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Tinnevelly, including myself and one Valamuthan as 1st and 2d Defendants respectively in the Suit.

In the Plaint it is stated that

2. while the Teerwah on the land mentioned in the Margin has been paid by the Plaintiffs according to the Pottahs granted to them by Government, the same is collected from them twice over by Valamuthan in virtue of a Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen

To

J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(685)

15th No. 1119

S.C. 11 — Fa. 9 — Ms. 5 3/8

situated in Cannan Nos 14, 15, and 16 in the Village of Callamgondan in the Sheranelly portion Taluk. The Teerwah on the above land from Fasly 1250 to 1256 amounts to Rupees 259-10-11.

Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

Ameen in Suit No. 61 of 1849 instituted in his Court by Valamma Thaw, to the effect that the said land is included in the Cowle taken obtained by him under an Agreement and it is requested that the amount collected twice over, may be recovered for them, either from the Government or the 2d Defendant -

3. As soon as the Decree in the above Suit No. 61 has been passed to the effect that the Teerwah on the above land should be paid to the 2d Defendant, the Plaintiffs Suit No. 11 of 1857 in question presented a Petition to my Predecessor Mr. Bird, under date the 30th June 1855, requesting that either the Decree in question may be caused to be set aside, or that the Puttah granted to them may be cancelled, returning the Teerwah already collected from

Fort St George 11th November 1857

from them -

5. This Petition which was referred to the then Head Sheristadar for enquiry and report, appears to have remained undisposed of owing to the death of the Head Sheristadar -

5. After I had assumed charge of the District, the Court requested to be informed, whether the Government have any claims upon the property that has been attached for the realization of the amount of the Decree above mentioned. Thereupon the Tahsildar of Shrevelly was called upon to report upon the circumstances of the case - On the receipt of his report, the same and the Decree of the Court as well as other Documents were perused, and it appears that 20 Cuds Cottahs of waste land had on the 20th Chittray of Anno 969 been given on lease 29th April A. D. 1794 to one Anjoomaala Saib, by Ettaka Thaw the

the former rules of the District, on Pattom or favorable assessment of 2½ grain Cottahs per seed Cottah, for the purpose of reclaiming and cultivating the above land.—

6 The above extent of 20 Cottahs of land having during the Pymaish by the British Government in July 1811, been measured at seed Cottah 36—1—3, the same was entered as Cowle only in the abstract of the Pymoish Accts. &c. &c. 12—1—3 for accounts. Of the above land which was brought under cultivation, was being entered in the Accounts as Cowle land, and of the full assessment there of, the Pattom thereon being deducted, the remainder has since the death of Anjoonoola Saib, been paid to his Widow Pathey Sheeba, and her Daughters Nabey Sheeba, who are to enjoy the same only during their lifetime. The remainder or seed Cottahs 11—9 11. 9. 5 3/8 was lying waste having been cultivated only after the Pymoish by the Plaintiffs, Cottahs were made out for the same in their names, and they pay

pay the Durwah thereon to Government.—

7 The said Females presented two Petitions to the Sub Collector, one in 1815 requesting that the above Lyen land may be entered in the Accounts as Cowle, and the other in 1815 stating that they entered into an Agreement with the said Valamootham, to the effect that they would relinquish the Cowle in his favor, in condition of her giving them 6 Cottahs of Paddy annually.—

8 In reply to the above Petitions, the Sub Collector issued an order No. 909, to the effect that the lands that have been carried to Lyen, cannot be brought under the head of Cowle, and another order No. 238 that Cowle lands cannot be transferred to others.—

9 In 1819 the said Valamootham preferred the Suit No. 61, above mentioned against the Plaintiffs and the two females in question. While the Suit was pending before the Court, the two orders of the Sub Collector above referred to, and the Puttah and other

Documents

Fort Saint George 14th November 1857

Documents were filed by the Defendants for the inspection of the Court Nevertheless the Court having passed a Decree adjudging the payment of the Mail warrum on the whole extent of land, Viz. 36-1-3 including the Syen land above mentioned, and as the Decree was evidently illegal, tending to impede the Collection of the Revenue on 11-9-5 due to Government, a motion detailing the above circumstances was presented to the Court through the Government Vakeel The Principal Sudr Ameen not having paid any attention to it, a similar Petition was presented to the Zillah Court, and an order was passed by that Court to the effect that it was evident that the Collector should have been included as a Defendant to the suit, and that the Defendants

Fort St George 14th November 1857.

Defendants should represent to the Principal Sudr Ameen the distress to which they are subjected by the passing of the above Decree, and pray that the permission of the Sudr Court may be attained for the reinvestigation of the suit after including the Collector as a Defendant, for the purpose of ascertaining the right to the Mailwarrem in question. Translation of the Motion presented to the Zillah Court, and of the Decree order passed thereon are herewith forwarded for the information of the Board—

10. The Defendants having obtained a copy of the above order presented a Petition praying for the reinvestigation of the suit, and it appears that the Principal Sudr Ameen has made an application to the Sudr Court for the above purpose.—

11. When the reinvestigation of the suit shall take place, including the Collector as a Defendant, an

Answer

18343 Fort Saint George 14th November 1857.

An answer should be filed, conveying a demurrer to the jurisdiction of the Court, as the case is one relating to an Enam to be held by the parties for the term of their lives, and affecting the Revenue of Government.

12 I shall communicate with the Board after the commencement of the re-investigation of the suit No. 61, but, meanwhile, I request the sanction of the Board for filing an answer, of which a Draft accompanies this letter, in Suit No. 11 above mentioned, at the expense of Government.—

Tinnevelly 5th November 1857. } Signed J. Silver Collector.

Translation

C.B.

18344 Fort Saint George 14th November 1857.

No. 1

Translation of a Plaint presented on the 13th August 1857 by Vakeel on behalf of Arnachalamier Plaintiff's in Suit No. 11 of 1857 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Tinnevelly.—

Plaintiffs

Luiganien son of Archa-
ra Mier of Rajahpolieur
in Streevilly pootoor Taluk
a cultivator by profession, /
Alagharaingar son of Streene-
vasingar of Do. Do. 2
Auvoodaiammay wife of
Manaccaval pernal Pillay
of Do. Do. 3
Konappa Iyengar son
of Anunthacharier of
Collungoudan in Do. 4
Veeraragaviengar son of
Pichoongen of Do. Do. 5
Sashiengar son of Iyaveen-
gar of Do. Do. 6
Pandeathaven son of Parea-
Sawmy Thaver of Vailkoor in Do. 7

Defendants

I Silver Esqr. Collector of Tinnevelly, / and Valamurthan of Pundarum Pawray on Streevilly pootoors

This Suit was instituted

for

18345 Port Saint George 4th November 1867

for the recovery of Rupees 3.50 — being the amount of Jeerwah which has been collected twice over —

The Plaint sets forth as follows —

Of [illegible] — 1 — 3 comprising 3 Kumcars or patches of land No. 14, 15 in the Village of Collamcondan to the Talook of Uttiravilly for which they composing of [illegible] — 5 — 3/8 of Collamcondan lands were carried to Ayen and [illegible] grain for the same in the name of the Plaintiffs from July 1858 Ever since the Plaintiffs continued to enjoy the land and pay the Jeerwah up to July 1865 whilst the remaining [illegible] of land viz 2 — 13 — 3 3/8 was held by the 2d Defendant including the Plaintiffs after forging the difference between Cowle or favorable attestment of the full Jeerwah to the Defendant Sarah —

18346 Port Saint George 4th November 1867

After the death of [illegible] Anjeeroolla Laib his wife having transferred her right of the Land cowle to the 2d Defendant by an agreement the 2d Defendant preferred a suit No. 64 of 1869 on the file of this Court against the 11 Individuals above mentioned and according to a Decree passed in the above suit the property of the Plaintiffs and others were attached and sold by auction in realization of the value of Mailwaram calculated at the full rate of Ayen Jeerwah for seven years from 1850 to 1856 both inclusive. The amount thus realized and paid into the Treasury includes the Jeerwah on the 11 — 9 — 5 3/8 of Ayen land which had already been paid regularly by the Plaintiffs —

The Jeerwah once 3. paid having been collected twice over for payment to the 2d Defendant the Plaintiffs

1834 7 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

Plaintiffs have been subjected to a loss. The amount thus collected in excess should be refunded to the Plaintiffs either by the 1st Defendant from the Huzzoor Treasury or by the 2d Defendant.

The Last have accordingly been brought against the Land Defendants.

Particulars of the Amount to be recovered.

Fasly	Extent of land A	a	p	Amount of assessment Rs	a	p
1259	5	7	14	31	8	11
1260	6	19	7/8	32	3	10
1261	1	3	1 3/4	30	8	9
1262	5	1	2 3/4	13	-	7
1263	3	8	3/4	11	11	11
1264	7	11	1/2	57	12	5
1265	3	10	1/2	12	11	11
				259	10	11

(Signed) Arunachala Iyer
Vakeel

(True Translation)
(Signed) J Silver
Collector

1834 8 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

Enc 2

Translation of a Motion presented before the Civil Judge of Tinnevelly by V. Sashagherry Rajah Government Vakeel in behalf of J Silver Esqr the Collector of that District on the Subject of the Suit No 61 of 1849 on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court.

The Decree passed in the said Suit adjudges that the Malwarem on 36 — 1 — 3 of land in the Village of Collunconda attached to the Shreevilly pottoo Talook should be paid by the Defendants to the Plaintiffs Puttahs are made out in the names of the Defendants for the payment of Malwarem on the cultivated portion out of 36 — 1 — 3 forming part of 11 — 9 — 5 3/8 aforementioned and the Defendants have been paying and ought to pay the said Peis-

-shcash

1834 9. Fort St George 14th November 1857.

Jeerwah to Government - The remaining extent Viz.t S.C. 21 - A. 12 - M.so 5/8 is assessed on land - Of the Mailwarum on the cultivated portion of the above land a part under the denomination of Pottom Jeerwah is payable to Government while the remainder is to be paid to Pattakarrs and Native Shabeeks for their lives or till the alienation by them of the Coole. While the case stands thus the Decree having been passed on the subject of the above Mailwarum the Plaintiffs who have no right whatever to the same and the Collector the farmer through the Court and the latter under the authority vested in him attempted to collect the above Mailwarum from the Defendants who are Government Ryots - As the Mailwarum has thus been attempted to be collected twice from the Defendants they presented a Petition to the Collector stating

1835 9. Fort Saint George 14th November 1857.

stating that either the Decree of the Court or the Puttah granted by the Circar should be cancelled In case of the latter being cancelled they requested that the Jeerwah collected from them twice over may be returned to them - The subject matter of the above Petition and of the question put by the Court Viz.t whether the Government have any claims upon the property that has been attached for the realization of the Decree Amount having been investigated by the Tahsildar of the Streevilly portion Taluck the Collector has been fully informed of all the circumstances of the case by his last Urzee dated 16th April of the current year -

2 On a motion being presented to the Court with a request to amend the said Decree in consequence of the power of deciding upon the

1835 1 | Fort Saint George 14th November 1835

the questions connected with the
Jewish called Mailwarem being
fully vested in the Revenue authorities
the present Principal Sudder
Ameen passed a decree to the
effect, that he has no power to pass
an order declaring the land in ques-
tion to be an Agen land. The
Sudder of the late Principal
Sudder Ameen having expressed
an opinion that the answer of the Defen-
dant and the deposition of the
witness established the fact
of the said land being an Agen
land.

3 On a complaint pre-
sented by the heir of the
Cavilend before the
Collector of the last mentioned

1835 2 | Fort Saint George 14th November 1835

order No 69 of 1844 was issued by the
Sub Collector declaring that seed Cot-
tahs 11-9-5 3/8 are Agen lands. The
same officer passed another order
in No 228 of 1848 founded on the Rule
of the District prohibiting
the alienation by the Cowle dar in
favour of the Plaintiff of seed Cottahs
K. M. M.
24 — 12 — 5-5/4 being the Cowle land. The
copies of the above two orders have
been filed in the suit by the Defendants
together with some other vouchers
while the Decree passed by the Court
adjudging the payment by the Defen-
dants to the Plaintiff of the
Mailwarem in question,
which is a matter entirely
under the disposal of
the Revenue authori-
ties, is quite contrary to the
the Law. The principal
Sudder

Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

Auditor Ameen should have on consideration of the pleadings in the Suit obtained the permission of the Higher Court to amend the said Decree, if he had no power to do it himself, without doing so he has passed the Decree order above mentioned

1, If the Decree in question were to be put in execution it will not only be a bar to the collection of Malwaren hereafter by the Ryots but will also off and grounds for requesting the refund of the amount already collected from the Ryots. If the Revenue authorities are to collect the Revenue from the Ryots as usual without regarding the said Decree then the same Defendant has to pay double to the

Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

one and the same land, one according to the orders of the Revenue Authorities and the other according to that of the Court. Moreover the said Decree being contrary to the Law in consequence of the same having been passed in favor of the Plaintiff who, though he had lost his claims to the above lands which are to be held on favorable tenure only for the life of the present heirs of the Condidar or till the alienations of the Lands by them, by his having been prohibited by the Revenue Authorities from taking possession of them under an agreement, had instituted the Suit in question, including in it the Ayen lands

abovementioned

1835/57 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

aforementioned—

Under the fore-
going circumstances, it is
requested that the said
Decree may be amended
after obtaining the permission
of the Sudder Court for
the same.—

/Signed/ Sashagherry Roja
Government Vakeel

/True translation/

13th August 1857— /Signed/ J Silver
Collector

1835/6 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

Miscellaneous No 331

It is evident that the Collector should
have been included as a Defendant in the suit
The Defendants should represent to the
Principal Sudder Ameen the distress to
which they are subjected by the passing
of the Decree in question, and request him
to apply to the Sudder Court for permission
to reinvestigate the suit with a view
to include the Collector as Defendant
and to decide as to who has the right to
the Seerwah in question.—

24th August 1857. /Signed/ E. Story
Civil Judge.

/True translation/
/Signed/ J. Silver
Collector

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in Suit
No 11 of 1857, on the file of Principal Sudder Ameen
of Tinnevelly—

Of the extent of land 26—1—3
referred to in the Plaint 11—9—13 3/8 were carried to
Agen longer, and the assessment on the land exempted
out of the land extent of 11—9—13 3/8 as being part of
Government. The remaining extent 26—13—5/8
being considerably assessed lands, the def-

=Jorneas

1835 7/11

Fort St George 14th November 1857.

difference between the Pottoms and full Peerwah on the land that may be cultivated, should be enjoyed by Cathe shabeebee, widow of the Cowle dar Sujjun moollah Laib, and Nabee Shabeebee her daughter till their death. After which event, the said Cowle Lands will be resumed by Govern-ment. No agreement transferring land held under Cowle tenure will be deemed valid consequently the suit instituted by the 2d Defendant in No 64 of 1849 on the file of the said Court is an irregular one, in as much as it relates to the Peerwah payable to Government and to a life grant. The 2d Defendant is therefore solely responsible for the losses which the Plaintiffs might have sustained.

2d The Plaintiffs have no reason whatever to bring this suit against the 1st Defendant.

3d It appears that this Court has applied to the Court of Sudder Udalut for per-mission to review the judgment in the suit No 64 of 1849 referred to.

Signed J Silver
Collector

Forwd

C.B.

1835

Bd's No 3946

Proceedings submitting a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly with draft of an answer proposed to be filed in suit No 11 of 1857 requesting permissions to defend the suit at the public expense.

665-666

Fort St George 14th November 1857

From the Collectors letter and its enclosures the Board gather that the following are the leading features of the case submitted for their consideration, but they must remark that Mr Silvers letter is so obscurely worded that they can only account for it by supposing it to be a translation of a vernacular draft prepared by some native subordinate.

2 On the 29th April 1794, E Clarkson, the then Collector of the District, granted a Cowle for 20 seed Cottahs of waste land for reclamation to one Anjoomoollah Sahib on Pattam or favorable assessment.

3 As the survey in Fusly 1211 / A.D. 1801/2 the land was measured and formed to be S.C. 36-1-3 and was entered as "Cowle only" in the abstract Tymaish accounts.

N.B. It is not clear whether the word "only" to the term "Cowle" or the abstract accounts.

Aloogoo accounts

1st Of the total extent of S.C. 36-1-3, a portion S.C. 24-12-5 5/8 was brought under Cultivation and the difference between the full and Pattam assessment paid since the original grantees death to his widow and daughter "who are to enjoy the same only during their life time." The Remainder of the land, viz. S.C 11-9-5 3/8 was waste at the time of Survey and was subsequently Cultivated by

Fort St. Georges 14th November 1857

by certain Ryots on putta's from the Collector and the full assessment realised on behalf of Government-

5. The widow and daughter of the original grantee claimed these lands also as part of their "Cowle" in 1831, and in 1848 Reported that they had transferred their "Cowle" to one Valamootha in Consideration of an annual allowance from him

6. The Sub Collector, to whom the Puttahs &c to the above effect were presented, Rejected them declaring the lands to be Government property and the "Cowle" to be inalienable-

7. In 1849 Valamootha brought a Suit (No. 64) against the widow and daughter and Ryots in possession of the S.C. 11-9-5 3/4 above Referred to-

8. Neither the Plaint, nor the decree are forwarded, and the Board therefore are ignorant of the grounds on which the Principal Sudr Ameen admitted the suit or decreed that the whole extent of lands should be made over to the Plaintiff- Valamootha

The Board are also ignorant whether the widow and daughter were made Defendants in

Fort St. Georges 14th November 1857

in Consequence of their Repudiating the agreement or merely proforma-

9. The Collector was not made a party to the Suit, but on the 30th June 1855 the Ryots, occupying the portion already Referred to, Represented to the then Collector the position in which they were placed by the decree, viz. the Claiming to pay assessment to Government and the decree holder, and Requested either that steps might be taken to reverse the decree or that they might be relieved of their Judgments to Government-

10. This Petition was Referred to the then Head Sheristadar and in Consequence of his death was unfortunately overlooked until an application was made to the present Collector by the Court to know whether Government had any Claim on the property attached for the Realisation of the decree-

Note- It is not stated whose or what this property was-

11. In Consequence apparently of this application a motion was presented to the Principal Sudr Ameen through the Government Vakeel protesting against the decision-

N.B. It is not stated whether this steps was Reported to the Board or not-

12. The Principal Sudr Ameen took no Notice of the motion and a similar one was then addressed

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

addressed to the Zillah Judge who ordered it to the effect that Government ought to have been made a party to the suit and that the Ryots above referred to should represent their position to the Principal Sudder Ameen in regard to his making an application to the Sudder Court for permission to re-investigate the case with the Collector admitted as one of the Defendants.

13. The Ryots are stated to have followed this course and the Principal Sudder Ameen has applied to the Sudder Court for their permission to retry the case as suggested by the Zillah Judge.

14. Meanwhile however the Ryots above mentioned have instituted a suit No. 9 of 1857 against the Collector and Valammal for the benefit of the decree in suit No. 64.

15. The Collector proposes a draft answer to suit No. 11 of 1857 but does not state when it must be filed. The Board do not entirely approve of it and submit a draft herewith to be filed if Plaintiff will not consent to withdraw on a formal assurance that no cost consequent on the decree in suit No. 64 shall

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

not fall on them. The Board think it very likely that the Plaintiff will assent to this course and if they do not it seems unnecessary to enter into any particulars of the case on this occasion.

16. With regard to the other suit the Board think it necessary to indicate how the points on which information will be necessary when the preparation of a defence must be undertaken.

1. The lands are said to be held on "Cowle" The Board presume this term is used as synonymous with "grant" or "Sunnud."

2. The grant is said to be for life only and inalienable. It should be stated when and by whom this was declared.

3. It should be explained why seed Cot: Rate 24-12-5 5/8 have been left in possession of the Enamdars when the grant only gave Seed Cottah 20.

4. A Copy of the grant and entries in the account should be forwarded.

5. The Collector should state whether the Statute of Limitations bars Plaintiff's claims.

17. In the event of the Sudder

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

Sudr Court Refusing to permit a Review of Judg-
=ment the above information should still be
afforded in order that it may be considered
whether the excess land should not be resumed
at once whether the transfer of the life interest
enjoyed in the Remainder by the heirs of the
original grantee involves forfeiture of the
grant or what steps should be taken to secure
the Rights of Government - The relationship of
Valammathal to the females above Referred
to, should also be mentioned -

C. O. Vol: 2 p 39 Note 1.

18. The Board submit for the consider-
=tion of Government the advisability of
forwarding the Collector's letter and enclosure
to the Government Pleader that he may
support the application to the Sudr Adalut
for Review of Judgment if necessary -

No 1.

The O.S. No 64 of 1819 on the file
of the Principal Sudr Amen transferred
to the Plaintiff therein, Seed Cottahs
36-1-3 is favorably assessed land
whereof, Seed Cottahs
11-9-5 3/8 Lawful for years so as
long

Fort St. George 14th November 1857

been included among Govern-
=ment lands and held by the
Plaintiff in the present suit -
or their predecessors on Govern-
=ment Pattahs -

The decision in O.S. No 64
of 1819 is contested by
the Collector on the ground
that the land as Enam -
Could not be sued for -
in the Court without the
permission of Government
under Regulation N of 1831 - that
Government was not made
party to the suit and on
other grounds which -
will

18365 Fort St. George 11th November 1857

will be fully set forth when the
Reply of the Court of Sudr Adalat
to the application of the Court for
a Review of the Judgment is received

Meanwhile under the
authority of Government I beg
Defendant has formally assured
Plaintiffs that they will be
held harmless of the Conse-
-quences of the decree in
No 64 of 1849 and has thus removed
all cause of action between them and
We therefore pray that the
Suit as regards him may be
dismissed with Costs

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
11th November 1857

(Signed) J. D. Sim
Ag. Secretary

18366 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

No 259.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esqre
Secretary to the Court of Wards
Fort St. George.

(667)
Bs No 11,15

From the Ag. Sub: Collr
in charge of the adwa

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy
To Court of Wards
31st March 1855 No 47
From Do 3d May 1853
No 1095
To Do 9th April 1856
No 276
From Do 5th May 1856
To Do 10th January 1857
To Do 29th July 1857 d.
of the Decree in Original Suit No 1 of 1856 on the file
of the Civil Court of Madura. The correspondence
noted in the margin has taken place on the subject
for appealing against the decree. It appears to me that there are no grounds
that the deceased both in 1845 and 1848, obtained verbal
acknowledgements of his claims to the property sued
for and the Civil Judge has thought proper to credit
their testimony.

In regard to the point originally raised
but not touched in the Decree the competency of an Eunuch
to adopt it would be useful to make it a ground of appeal
or employ it for the purpose of procuring the remand of the
suit in as much as if defeated on it, the Plaintiff would bring
another Suit in his capacity of heir which he is being the Nephew
of the deceased Valayadhayer

Madura,
7th November 1857

(Signed) J. R. Cockerell,
Ag. Sub Collector
Madura

1836 7 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

No 7

Madura Civil Court Decree

Original Suit No 1 of 1856 Before Civil Court

Plaintiff Vers Defendant

Nagalingum Pillay as the natural father and Guardian and of Doorasawmy alias Periacurpa Pillay the adopted minor son of Valayooda Iyer deceased

1 Ramiewappah of Badagoodoo Zemindary minor and Zemindar of Sheyagunga

2 R. D. Parthasarathy Cottar Pullavar and agent for the time being of the Court of Wards

Vakeels

Anna Sastry Ramany Sastry and Meenatchee Soondaram Pillay

Vakeel

C. Sooba Row and Rama Shanoo

1. Plaintiff, for his ward, sues for restoration of certain lands as pertinent arrized in his plaint purchased by his adopted father in 1831 and possessed till 1838, when they were wrongfully attached as forming part of the Sheyagunga Zemindary estate, and since which he has never been able to obtain restoration. He therefore prays that the same be delivered to him for the said ward and heir together with the net proceeds, or profits thereof for such years as the same have accrued to the Estate since the

1836 8 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

that period, viz from fasly 1248/1838 to 1253/1843 & from Fasly 1258/1849 to 1263/1854 and for ensuing years till delivery. The revenues for the intervening years from Fasly 1254/1844 to 1257/1848 having been received by another party to whom they were wrongfully granted by the Zemindar for the time being.

2. Defendants in answer take no exception to the title of Valayooda Iyer to the lands in question but admitting their sequestration and subsequent history as detailed by Plaintiff up to the present time, plead specially that any title Valayooda Iyer may have had has been defeated and is barred by the law of limitation.

3. Reply and Rejoinder deny and assert respectively the validity of the said plea in bar.

4. Plaintiff was ordered to prove

1st The purchase in 1831 and possession till 1838.

2d That the dispossession in 1838 was wrongful.

3d That such measures have been since used to obtain redress as to take the case without the law of limitation.

4th That the profits as used for are justly exigible.

5th Plaintiff exhibited 15 Documents marked from A to N and Defendant 4 marked I to IV.

6th Five witnesses were cited by the Plaintiff.

One

One he dispensed with and four were examined viz.t

1 Meenatchy Soondarum pillay.

2 Sevasamiah pillay.

3 Rathuppah Pillay.

4 Shamanadan Shanmugaren.

Defendants adduced no Witnesses &c.

Plaintiff's Documents.

A. Deed of sale dated 27th July 1831 executed by Valagooda Iyer by Coolattoor Iyer for one half of the Village of Pilamatchooviel alias Pillamatchomputty in dispute.

B. Do dated 31st July 1831 by Do by Moolloovien and another for 3/4 of the Village of Sengoodyputty in dispute.

C. Do dated 12th August 1831 by Do by Venkatachella Iyer for the Village of Coombatchyputty in dispute.

D. Receipt dated 29th January 1835 for patwar in 1831 for Pillamatchamviel.

E Do dated 25th May 1838 for Do for 1837.

F Do dated 29th January 1835 for Do for 1834 for Shengoodyputty.

G. Do dated 25th May 1838 for Do for 1837 for Do.

H. Do dated 29th January 1835 for Do for Coombatchyputty for 1831.

I. Do dated 25th May 1838 for Do for Do for 1837

J. Original order from Collector of Madura to Valagooda Iyer under date the 26th August

1838

1838 calling for evidence he may have in support for his claim to the Village in question.

K. Original Endorsement made by the Collector of Madura under date the 11th May 1855 upon Valagooda Iyer's Petition to the Board of Revenue.

L. Authenticated Copy of an Attachee dated 3d June 1834 delivered by Village Meerasidar certifying to the Court of Valagooda Iyer's right and title in the Village of Shengoodyputty in dispute when tendered by Valagooda Iyer's brother as security on behalf of Bodagooroosawmy Iyer, the then Zemindar in No 1 of 1833 and 1 of 1833 on the file of the late Southern Provincial Court.

M. Do of Do dated 5th June 1834 as to Coombatchyputty.

N. Do of Do dated 6th June 1834 as to Pilamatchomviel alias Pelamatchemputty.

Defendant's Documents.

I. Authenticated copy of an Extract from the proceedings of Lieut. Udalut under date the 5th March 1838 directing attachment of all lands alienated from the Zemindary.

II. Authenticated Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of Lieut. Udalut under date

the

the 2d September 1844 directing possession of the Zemindary of Shevagungah be given immediately to the representative of the Respondent Bodagoorooswamy Iyer.

III. Authenticated copy of an Endorsement under date the 13th October 1838 made by Mr. Blackburne the late Collector of Madura, rejecting Valagooda Iyer's claim to the villages in question as being of a suspicious nature.

IV. Do. of a Petition under date the 23d November 1852 by Valagooda Iyer to the Collector of Madura claiming possession of the Villages in question together with the produce thereof.

Judgment

The purchase of Valagooda Iyer in 1801 is Established by the production of Title Deeds A B C, the authenticity and validity of which are neither denied nor contested. His possession under them till 1838 is likewise admitted, and also proved, by the receipts for Quit Rent &c. &c. &c. the genuineness of which is not impugned. That his dispossession was wrongful is also in fact admitted, no right or Colour of right, is pretended in justification of the invasion of the Lands in question in the Zemindary Estate, as clearly appears from the documentary explanations

explanations and information now furnished by the 1st Defendant on the requisitions of the Court, at the 1st hearing of the case on the 21st November 1855, Viz. Extract Proceedings of Sub. Udalut dated 30th April 1838.

Do Do of the Southern Provincial Court 7th May 1838.

Letter from Collector to Court of Wards dated 31st March 1855.

Statement from Do. showing produce of Villages in question while under Management.

All showing that the Court of Wards are not prepared to contest the original title of Valagooda Iyer, but that having come into possession under the order of Sub Udalut for the Sequestration they cannot, as their agents plead, surrender it to the claimant without judicial order and direction. They submit however for decision a plea under which the title may be held to have departed from Valagooda Iyer, so that his heirs are no longer competent to assert it against the party in possession, Viz. that the cause of action having been afforded by the dispossession in 1837, the party wronged did not make demand, prefer his claim and obtain recognition of his title, or prefer his claim to an authority competent

competent to adjudicate thereon within 12 years from that time nor has he assigned satisfactory reasons, or otherwise shown good and sufficient for not doing so as required by law to save his rights - whereas the Plaintiff contends that all this has been done This is in fact the only issue to be tried -

8. Defendants hold that the cause of action being the refusal on the 13th October 1838 by the late Collector, to rectify the alleged mistake of including the Lands in question in the Sequestration of the Zemindary estate - Valayonda Iyer did not apply to the present Collector till November 1852, or for more than 14 years and they question the fact of his having in the interval made demands or received promises of redress from the father of the present Zemindar, because such assertion is contradicted by the fact that on obtaining possession himself in 1844 he granted the said lands to his sister -

9. The Plaintiff would meet this difficulty by contending that as the exclusion from 1838 to 1844 was on account of a party opposed to the present encumbents title, that he cannot take advantage of this lapse of time and

and that from September 1844 when the lands were placed in possession of the present Zemindar & father only 11½ years have elapsed before bringing the present action and further that constant demands were made and recognized, as the Law requires at various times in the interval especially in the years 1845 and 1848 as deposed to by his witnesses -

10. The idea of the time not running against the claim from 1838 without interruption is absurd - it signified not by whom the wrong was done or continued - the party in possession or charge of Estate was at all times open to an action - If however it could be shown that demand was made, and the justice of it admitted in 1845 and 1848, or in either year this difficulty is removed There is certainly a fair and very strong presumption in favor of Valayonda Iyer having done so - It was no doubtful claim that he had to advance and might have difficulty in proving, but he was suffering from an act of gross injustice - One is short of absolute spoliation - It requires no proof - it is impossible to believe that he did not ask for justice and redress.

but

but asking is not sufficient, it must be shown that he obtained an acknowledgement of his claims- If the Witnesses are to be believed he did so on two several occasions at least and though there are some features in their depositions which legal suspicion of their exact veracity, yet when it is considered how very probable are the main facts to which they speak, that is the demand being made and recognized, the Civil Judge sees no sufficient ground for discrediting them, and therefore pronounces Plaintiff to have proved that the lands in question rightly appertain to the estate of Valagooda Iyer and directs that they be delivered to him as appearing for the minor, as the only party now before the Court as heir, and that the sum of Rupees 1069 8 0 being the net proceeds from July 1848 to 1853 and from July 1854 to 1865, as per the Statement prepared by the Manager, under the Collector's order dated 15th August 1856, be also paid to him together with all similar proceeds from that time and that Defendants pay Plaintiff Costs-

II. After the case had been closed and the Court prepared to give judgment

one Mootoosa Pillay came forward by his Petition No 168 of 1857 representing himself and others to have claims to share in the inheritance of Valagooda Iyer. The Decree now made will not affect their rights- the Court has treated the question throughout as one between the Zamindar of Shevagungah and the estate of Valagooda Iyer deceased, and in determining in favor of the latter has merely awarded that possession be given to the only claimants presenting themselves in the capacity of his heirs- If any parties are entitled to share, and that right be denied by those Members of the family who have thus obtained a decree in favor of the Estate, they can take the usual steps for compelling a division of family property, or for awarding it to persons heirs, any thing in the present decree notwithstanding-

Costs incurred by Plaintiff

Value of Stamps	139	8	-
Vakeels Fee	140	2	10
Peons Batta	-	9	-
Witnesses Batta	4	-	-
	884	3	10

Costs incurred by Defendants

Value of Stamps	33	-	-
Vakeels Fee	140	2	10
	453	2	10
	1346	6	8

Costs

Fort Saint George 11th November 1857-

Costs already paid by Defendants ——— 183—3—10

Balance to be paid by Do to Plaintiff ——— 884—3—10

Given under my hand in the Civil Court of Madura on the 2d day of September A D 1857-

Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby informed that in conformity with the order of Sudr Adalut No 48, no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid unless it first receive the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed

In conformity with the order of Sudr Adalut, No 133, no Decree shall be executed after the lapse of 12 years unless the party applying for execution shows by clear and positive proof that his application is on one or other of the grounds specified in clause 1st Section XVIII, Regulation II, of 1803 excepted from the general rule of limitation prescribed in that Section-

Signed and Sealed in Open Court this 13th day of October A D 1857-

The period for preferring an appeal from this Decree will expire on the 33d day of November 1857 within which time the application for an appeal accompanied by Copy of this Decree, must be presented to this Court

Fort Saint George 11th November 1857-

Court of Sudr Adalut or the application will be rejected.-

Plaint filed 10th March 1856.-

Pleadings closed 24th August 1856-

First hearing 27th July 1857

Decree passed 2d September 1857.

(Signed) C. R. Baynes,
Civil Judge-

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. R. Cockrell,
Ag. Sub Coltr in charge

667-668
Rs. As. 3937-

From the correspondence which has passed in this case, the Court are of opinion that an appeal would be useless- The only stated ground on which the claim could be resisted is the Statue of limitation, but the Civil Judge holds, though not in very decided terms, that the evidence brought by Plaintiff to take the case beyond the operation of that Statute is trust worthy.—

2. The plea impugning the validity of an adoption by an Eunuch, even if admitted would be fruitless as the claimant is also Nephew of his adopted father and from the decree other claimants are also not wanting.—

The

18379 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857

3. The Court therefore resolve to abide by the decision of the Civil Judge and authorize the Acting Collector to give effect to it.

No. 243.

To,

J. A. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the District of Madura to Mr A. Hathaway, Acting Collector, and enclose his receipt for the cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 4,79,187—12—8 together with a receipt for Stamp Papers and Cadjans.—

Madura, 11th November, 1857.

Signed S. R. Cockerell
Ag. Sub Collector in charge

No. 1.

Received from S. R. Cockerell, Esqre Acting Sub Collector in charge of Madura the Sum of Company's Rupees (4,79,187—12—8) Four Lacs seventy nine thousand one hundred and eighty seven, Rupees twelve and Pice eight being the amount in the Treasury on the 10th November 1857 Viz.—

For Cash ——— 4,62,087—12—8

Deposits of the late Zillah Court of Madura ——— 5000—0—0

Deposits of the Civil and Sessions Court of Madura ——— 10,000—0—0

Do. of the Subordinate Court of Do. ——— 1,500—0—0

Total Rupees 4,79,187—12—8

Signed A. Hathaway
Ag. Collector

No. 2.

Received from S. R. Cockerell, Esqre Acting Sub Collector in charge of Madura the undermentioned quantity and Value of Stamp Papers &c remaining on the 10th November 1857.

	Rolls	Value Rs. a. p.
Stamp Papers	7,012	23,197-5-6
Do. Cadjans	657	521-13-0
Total	7,669	24,032-1-6

Madura, 11th November, 1857.

Signed A. Hathaway
Ag. Collector

18380 Fort Saint George 11th November 1857.

18381 Fort Saint George 6th November 1857.

No. 214.

To,

S. D. Sim, Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(670)

Rs. No. 1,171.

From the Agt (Collr) of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received charge of the District of Madura from Mr. J. R. Cockrell, Acting Sub Collector in charge and the amount of cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section LXIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my Receipts have been passed.

Madura, 11th November 1857

Signed N. Nathaniel
Acting Collector

(699-671)

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Govt.

for

Sd/ B.

18382 Fort St. George 11th November 1857.

No. 199.

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

(672)

Rs. after Bd No. 4467.

From the Chief Engineer D.P.W.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to move the Board to cause to be supplied to this office, as early as practicable the nine lists of Villages that

18383 Fort St George 14th November 1857.

that are still due from the Tanjore District. The last five lists were furnished so long ago as September 1856.

Government Little Prices.

Central Office of Public Works, Fort St George, 13th November 1857.

signed/ C. E. Tolson Colonel Chief Engineer

Bd No 3944.

Order thereon. (672. 673.)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Tanjore who will be expected to despatch the Lists referred to.

Resolved.

18384 Fort St George 14th November 1857.

Resolved that the following Proceedings be despatched.-

Read Petition from Palaiyappah Tumberan Durmakurtah and Stanekaum of Tejaguraja Swamy Devastanum in Combaconum Talook in Tanjore.-

/Here enter Petition No 3310 of 1857/

Bd No 3980.

Order thereon. (674)

Ordered that the above Petition be forwarded to the Acting Collector for Report. Petitioner objects to the Collector appointing Soumadarum to the Office of Stanekaum and making over to him certain Surva Manium Lands attached to the Pagoda, and the Board are unable to see in the papers put before them any reason for this appointment in preference of the Petitioner who is admitted to have been for years the recognized Manager of the affairs of the Cutlay. The only part of the Collector's or dispatch touches upon this point, is where it remarks that as the records of the Lands belonged to the Devastanum, the management of the cultivation must devolve upon Soumadarum who

18383 Fort St George 14th November 1857.

that are still due from the Sayore District. The last five lists were furnished so long ago as September 1856.

Government Litho. Press.

Central Office of Public Works, Fort St George, 13th November 1857.

(signed) C. G. Tolson Colonel Chief Engineer.

Rd No 3944.

Order thereon. (672-673)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Sayore who will be expected to despatch of the Lists referred to.

Resolved

18384 Fort St George 14th November 1857.

Resolved that the following Proceedings be despatched.—

Read Petition from Palayappah Tumberan Durmakurtah and Manickum of Tayagaraja Sawmy Devastanam in Combaco-num Talook in Sayore.—

(Here enter Petition No 3310 of 1857)

Rd No 3980.

Order thereon. (674)

Ordered that the above Petition be forwarded to the Acting Collector for Report—

Petitioner objects to the Collector appointing Soundarum to the Office of Manickum Soundarum to the certain Swamy Mandrum over the Pagoda, and the main lands attached to the Pagoda, and the Board are unable to see in the papers put before them any reason for this appointment in supercession of the Petitioner who is admitted to have been for years the recognized Manager of the affairs of the Cuttay. The only part of the Collector's or dr, which touches upon this point, is where it remarks that as the records of the lands belonged to the Devastanam, the management of the cultivation must devolve upon Soundarum who

18385 Fort St. George 14th November 1857

who now conducts the services of the Pagoda. That is to say the order decides that the lands should be in the hands of the Stanier Kunnadar taking for granted apparently that the officer belonged to Tomasoondrum

No 1022 } of 1857
Bd No 57 }

Read Petition from Streenivasa Iyengar of Trichinopoly

Bd No 3981.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Trichinopoly who will carry out the orders of Government therein contained.

(675)

2. It is observed that in replying to the letter dated 7th October 1851, received from the Collector, the Board approved of the suggestions contained in para 10, that it would be advisable to define by permanent marks the boundaries of the Sarrioe Inamdary, regarding which so much discussion and correspondence had taken place and directed that the expenses should be borne jointly by the Government and the Inamdar.-

In Cons. 24th Nov 1857.

3. It does not appear whether any measures were adopted for giving effect to this order, however that may be, the Board think that the Collector

18386 Fort St. George 14th November 1857.

Collector should be requested to keep the measurements of the Inamdary separate, so as to allow of a statement being drawn up of its total area as at present existing and the result, when finally obtained, should be submitted for the information of the Board and of Government.-

Read the undermentioned

(676)
Bd No 4600

Statements-

From the Collector of Madras } Statement of Extra charges
8th November 1856 } incurred in Fasly 1265.-

(677)
Bd No 4601

From the Collector of Madras- Statement of Extra charges in the Sheristy Department in Fasly 1265.

(678-678)
Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements } Ordered to be recorded.-

Bd No 2411.

(679)
From the Collector of Madras- Read letter requesting to be furnished with a supply of 1,000 bales Rowanaiks.

(679-680)
Order thereon- Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

Received.

18386 & 387 Fort St George 11th November 1857

Bank No. 681 Country Bills

682
My No. 2368.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Season Report for

683
My No. 2369. October 1857.

From the Collector of Coimbatore - Season Report for Oct

684
My No. 2423. - ber 1857.

From the Collector of Guntoor - Salt exportation for

685
My No. 2424. Calcutta on the 15th October 1857.

From the Collector of Guntoor - No Imports or exports

686
My No. 2426. of Gold & Cs in October 1857.

From the Collector of Bellary - Average Bazar Price

687
My No. 2425. of Grain for October 1857.

From the Collector of Canara - Average Bazar Price

688
My No. 2401. of Grain for October 1857.

From the Collector of South Arcot - Statement &c.

Duplicate Copy of the Hon'ble Mr. Ls letter of

689
My No. 2427. the 7th January last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - No persons

charged under Regulation IX

of 1822 for last Month.

Prd.

18388 Fort St George 16th November 1857.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Monday 16th November 1857.

690
B. No. 698

From the Acting Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 19th February 1857.

Reviewing briefly the Board's General Report on the Revenues of the Madras Presidency for Fasly 1264 A.D. 1854/55. (See No. 179 in Separate Volume)

690-691
B. No. 3995

Proceedings thereon

*Vide Madras and Revenue Reports for Fasly 1264 A.D. 1854/55 Pages 1 to 4

Para 1. Resolved that copies of the above Extract *Minutes Consultation be furnished to all Collectors and the Officiating Agent at Kurnool.

Para 2. The arrangement proposed in Para 4 for the entry of Bechiraghs or Villages is now impracticable from the alterations since made in the Forms of accounts, such Villages will hereafter be entered as "uninhabited," in columns 17 and 18 of Statement 15; the "Grand Total" of the

Para 4 Para 2 to all Collectors.

Statement

18389 Fort St George 16th November 1857.

Statement being shown in 2 fresh columns to be numbered 19 and 20

To Ganjam and Masulipatam only.

3. The Collectors of Ganjam and Masulipatam, will explain the cause of the increase in the number of Villages of this designation in fusly 1264.

To North Arcot only

4 The Collector of North Arcot will explain the apparent discrepancy noticed by Government in para 11.

Para 15 to Chingleput and Madras only.

5. The Collectors of Madras and Chingleput will report on the latter part of para 15. The former Officers will notice the remark of the commissioners for Public Works. The Board are under the impression that the supply drawn from Chingleput was only to meet a temporary emergency.

Para 20 to Guntoor only

6 The Acting Collector of Guntoor will report on para 20 Mr Nevill's report the Board remark, does not refer to this balance of which a few thousand Rupees only remains for adjustment.

Para 23, To Ganjam Vizagapatam Rajahmundry Masulipatam and Guntoor.

7 The attention of the Collectors is drawn to para 23. The Board request an early report on the outstanding balances.

Extract

18390 Fort St George 16th November 1857

692

Bd No 4455

From the Acting Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 12th November 1857.

Authorizing the publication in the Gazette of the Statement shewing the progress of coffee plantation in Wynaad during the current year and requesting to expedite the report called for in Extract Minutes of Consultation of 30th March last respecting the leasing &c of land in the Wynaad Talook

(See No 1158 in separate Volume)

Bd No 3934

Order thereon

692-693

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Malabar.

2 The report referred to in Para 2 will be laid before Government shortly.

From

18391 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

Bd. No. 4483

From the Acting Secretary to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 16th November 1857.

694

Authorizing the Board to direct the Collector of Bellary to entertain the native Establishment entered in the Tabular Statement submitted with their Proceedings of 3d August last, and at once to commence the reduction of assessment sanctioned in Extract Minutes Consultation of 2d June 1857. (See No. in separate Volume)

Bd. No. 3957

694-695

Order thereon, Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary.

Bd. No. 4484

From the Acting Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 14th November 1857.

696

Sanctioning Rupees 340 the Salary of the temporary force employed at Madura during the late Mohurrum Festival for the preservation of peace. (See No. 1163 in separate Volume)

Order

18392 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

Bd. No. 3958

Order thereon 696-697 Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Magistrate of Madura.

Bd. No. 4483

From the Acting Secretary to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 14th November 1857.

698

Sanctioning the extra Establishment charges incurred in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments of the Coimbatore Collectorate in Fasly 1266 amounting to Rupees 429-15-" (See No. 1164 in separate Volume)

698-699

Bd. No. 3959

Orden thereon Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore.

Bd. No. 4486

From the Acting Secretary to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department under date the 14th November 1857.

700

Permitting Petitioners in No. 3136 of 1856 to sue in the Courts of Udalut for the recovery of the Village of Chinnanambapooram in the District of Tanjore represented to be in the possession of certain Cultivators. (See No. 1167 in separate Volume)

Ordered

18393 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

Bd. No. 3960
Order thereon,

700-701

Ordered to be communi-

cated to the Acting

Collector of

Tanjore.

Bd. No. 2207

702

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore — Sending Exhibition Report.

To,

18394 Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

No. 110

To

J. D. Sim Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort Saint George

Sir

703

Bd. No. 2880
From the Collector of Tanjore.

With reference to your letter of the 19th Ultimo No. 2092, I have the honor to state that I consider the experiment introduced to improve the cattle of the District to be a total failure. The Government were of opinion that the primary results, as exhibited in the last annual Report, were not encouraging. The experience of another year has but tended to confirm that view.—

Vide Extract Minutes of Consultation 12th January 1857 No. 49

2 The Bulls are generally avoided by the indigenous Cows, and the Merasidars do not seem to evince any real interest in the matter, but independent of this, the great element of success seems to me to be wanting in a District so entirely destitute of pasture as Tanjore, and any superior breed which may be introduced must on that account again degenerate in process of time.—

3 I therefore concur in the opinion of the local exhibition committee that the Bulls should be sold if not wanted for experiment in any other District and

I

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

I would suggest that I be authorized to send them to Trichinopoly there to be disposed of under such arrangements as the Collector may deem best.—

4 The charges for the Rap of the cattle to the end of May last of Fasly 1266 amounted to Rupees 1760-4 which with the expenditure incurred to the end of Fasly 1265 as shown in the last Report raises the total cost of the experiment to Rupees 4184-12-9-

Ox. 2454-10-10

Tanjore.
Collectors Office
16th July 1857

/ Signed / A. D. Phillips
Acting Collector

Ordered to be submitted in the following letter.—

To

The Acting Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

(102-104)

B. No. 3984
To the Act. Secy. to Govt.
Revenue Depat.

Adverting to para 3. of Minutes of Consultation dated 12th January 1857 No. 49 Revenue Department I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the following report for the information of Government.

Reporting on the results of the Experimental introduction of Nellore Bulls into Tanjore with the view of improving the breed of Cattle in the latter province.

2207. F. Tanjore 5th June inl. 16th Novr. 1857
2880. F. Do. 16th July inl. 16th Novr. 1857

In

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857

2. In their Proceedings under date 12th December 1856 the Board reported the result which during the first year attended the introduction of Nellore Bulls into the Tanjore District with the view of improving the breed of Cattle in the latter Province—

3 The subject had been previously touched on upon in the report of the Committee of the Tanjore Exhibition and the result of the experiment up to that time was not encouraging. From same cause for which the Committee professed themselves unable to account, the Nellore Bulls would rarely take the slightest notice of the cows of the District. The Herdsmen attributed this to the diminutive size of the Tanjore Cows, but this was not considered by the Committee to be a satisfactory explanation.

On the recommendation of the Board however the Government were pleased to authorize the retention of the Bulls for a further period of one year so as to allow of the experiment having a fair trial.

5 The Board regret now to learn that the results of the past year have been most unsatisfactory

21st April 1856 No. 329 of Govt. Selections of 1856 Page 64

Pro. 10th July 1856 para 32 Selections No. 32 p. 1
28th July 1856 No. 2871 Public Dept. page 114 of Selections

unsatisfactory and that, both by the Acting Collector, and the exhibition Committee of the present year, the attempt has been pronounced a total failure-

6 Under these circumstances, it is obvious that the retention of the bulls in the Tanjore District would serve no good purpose and would be a needless expense. The Board would therefore solicit permission for carrying out the views expressed by them in Para 4 of their Proceedings dated 9th April 1857 and for transferring these animals to the South Arcot District with a view to a similar attempt being made there Should the Government however be indisposed to renew the experiment with the Nellore breed and determine upon the sale of the Bulls, the Board would propose that they be disposed of in the Tanjore District as it may happen that success which has been denied to the scheme when marked by Government Agency may be attained when the attempt is left to private enterprize a Statement of the total charges for their Keep &c. will be submitted on receipt of final orders regarding their ~~disposal~~.

The

7. The Board acknowledge the importance of any measure having for its object, the improvement of Cattle; whether for Agricultural purposes or for draught- and they are unwilling to allow the ill success which has hitherto attended the experiment to discourage any further attempt of the Kind. It appears to them that the suggestion contained in para 33 of their Proceedings dated 10th July 1856 viz that Bulls of the Mysore breed should be introduced into the Tanjore District, is one which might now be tried if successfully carried out the result would be in some respects more advantageous, than that which during two years past they have so vainly attempted to attain-

8 In the first place the great disparity of size, which the Board are satisfied has been the main cause of failure hitherto would not exist and moreover a smaller breed of Cattle such as those of Mysore and Salem is perhaps better adapted to the requirements of the Tanjore District than the more powerful description bred in the Nellore and Guntoor Districts There is every encouragement too in the interest which the Ryots &c. have evinced from the very outset

*Printed Report page 71.

outset. So far from their being unwilling to bring forward their cows, it was reported† by the Tanjore Exhibition Committee that the Notification was immediately largely responded to; thus showing that, whatever the cause of failure it was not attributable to apathy or disinclination on the part of the Ryots to avail themselves of the liberal offer of Government. A measure of such obvious advantages it is gratifying to observe will not fail to receive assistance and support from those whom it chiefly concerns.

9 The Collector indeed does not favor the above view of the case; as he considers that in a country so destitute of pasture as Tanjore any superior breed which may be introduced must on that account again degenerate in process of trial. But the Board would not attach much weight to this objection. The scheme of

of course has for its primary object the advantages to be derived from the introduction, for breeding purposes, of first rate bulls, but further than this, it is intended to familiarize the people with the idea and it shows them the importance of the improvement of their cattle, to induce them if possible, to take it up themselves, and to set on foot a Systematic course of stock breeding which shall not only produce a finer description of animal, but shall, by individual and sustained effort keep up the quality of the breed. The comparative scarcity of good forage in Tanjore is certainly a disadvantage, but as this drawback had equal force when the undertaking originated and was not ever mentioned by the Collector

Mr.

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

Mr. Forbes, as likely to prove a bar to success the Board are inclined to adopt the Committees' view by the case which is strongly in favour of a renewal of the experiment with Mysore bred bulls.

10. Should the Government be disposed to make another attempt the Board would suggest that it be made on a some what smaller Scale, which can be afterwards enlarged to any extent should the result be such as to render this desirable. A Sum of Rupees 800 would they think, be sufficient to allow of the acting Collector carrying out this object with 8 Bulls, which could be purchased for about Rupees 500. and the issue of the operations of a year would sufficiently indicate

Fort St. George
Revenue

indicate whether the
rewarded with

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George
16th November 1857

No.	Name of grantee—Number of the relationship and value of entire estate	Date of the other documents in support of the grant	Whether duty, proved and that circumstances of the case warrant the grant	Whether the land is held by the grantee on service tenure	Whether the land is subject to the payment of Revenue	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Nature of the title	Name of the village in which the land is situated	Whether the grantee is a native or European	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Whether the land is subject to the payment of Revenue	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Nature of the title	Name of the village in which the land is situated	Whether the grantee is a native or European	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Whether the land is subject to the payment of Revenue	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Nature of the title	Name of the village in which the land is situated	Whether the grantee is a native or European	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Whether the land is subject to the payment of Revenue	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Nature of the title	Name of the village in which the land is situated	Whether the grantee is a native or European	Whether the land is held by the grantee or by others	Whether the land is subject to the payment of Revenue
1	Cota Ramachendrapooram Talook in Zillah of Godavery	Formerly granted by the Zemindar of the Cota Ramaakendroo	Yes																										

per annum	Looke to Van:	Original grantee	Mem:= 26 years ben	Enam		dwaporum in lieu of one Pooty of Enam resumed purchased by the last holder
	dravoo Cama= ranz — No sun= sunds — The Enam was purchased at public auc= tion held by order of the Court, by Iya= gary Veeranah, was taken posses= ion by the then Zemindar, and an an= nual rexdy		If the Family which have lapsed to Gov= ment 40	in their enjoyment of the annual value of Rupees 600	en at pub= lic auction held by order of the Court The al=	
	money allow= ance given in lieu which was continued as a life tenure under the Mi= nutes of Con= sultation dated 20th June 1848 and 5th January 1848; Vide Item No 2 entered in the statement No 3 submit= ted to the Board of Revenue on the 28th Febru= ary 1848				lowance of Rupees 40 was entered in the statement No 3 sub= mited by the late Sub Collector and the sanction of Govt: in Charge dated 20th June 1848 was granted to its being continued to Iyagarey Veeranah as a life grant under the circumstances of the case and looking to the large extent of Enam land held by the parties the Collector does not recommend the continuance of this allowance	

Fort Saint George 16th November 1854

2	Cota Rama-chendrapooram Talook in lieu of resumed Enam valued at 11½ Rupees	Formerly granted by the Zemindar of the Cota Ramachendrapooram Talook to Rachoory Kristnama-charloo and since continued to Vamooguntty Madavarow under the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th June 1848 and 5th January 1853 as a life tenure Vide items No. 8 & 38 in the Statement No. 3 submitted to the Board of Revenue on the 28th February 1848 – No sunnud or other documents	For maintenance charity	Vamooguntty Madhavarow died on the 6th December 1854 – grandson to the original grantee Rachoory Kristnama-charloo	The whole enjoyed by the deceased amounting to Rupees 11-0-0	Vamooguntty Gisnajerow, the undivided brother's son aged 30 years – 1 Dº Venca ta Jogiah – Dº 28 years – 1 Grandsons of another undivided brother Nursiah Dº aged 10 years – 1 Nursiah Dº 8 years – 1 Codendaramiah Dº 6 years – 1 Hummuntarow Dº 4 years Auniah Dº 2 Dº – 1 Enam Land of the annual value of Rupees 20-8-0	The allowance in question was fixed by the former Zemindar of the Cotanarama-chendrapooram Talook in lieu of 13 Toams of Enam resumed which was in part enjoyed by the last holder Vamooguntty Madhavarow and resumed by the former Zemindar of Cota Ramachendrapooram and a ready money allowance of 11½ Rupees fixed. This amount was entered in the statement No. 3 submitted by the late Sub Collr in charge and the sanction of Government dated 20th June 1848 was granted to its being continued to Vamoguntty Madhavarow as a life grant – The Collector does not recommend the continuance of the grant for the same reason as in the last case no Claim such as could have been quite lawfully Cowle favoured.

18405

Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

3	Cota Ramachandra poorum Talook—in lieu of resumed Enam valued at Rs 23—1—7	Formerly granted by the Zemindar of the Cota Ramachendra poorum Talook to Pullaroomoody singaracharloo and since continued to P Singaracharloo, the grand Son under the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th June 1848 and 5th January 1855. as a life tenure Vide item No 34 in the Statement No 3 submitted to the Board of Revenue on the 28th February 1848, No summond on other documents	For maintenance of charity	Pullaroomoody singaracharloo died on the 11th July 1855—Granddon to the original grantee P Singaracharloo.	The whole enjoyed by the deceased or amounting to Rupees 23—1—7	Mungaiah widow aged 25 years—1 Alahalacharloo son aged 8 years—1 Narsamah Mother—do—1	The allowance in question was fixed by the former Zemindar of the Cota Ramachendra poorum Talook in lieu of 1—10 of Enam resumed which was inherited by the last holder P Singaracharloo and resumed by the former Zemindar of Cota Ramachendra poorum and a ready money allowance of Rupees 23—1—7 fixed—this amount was entered in the Statement No 3 submitted by the late

Enam

18407

705-706

Fort St. George 16th November 1854

B. of R. 3985.

Remark thereon. These allowances were granted

Per Annum Rs

No 1 40 — —
2 14 — —
3 23 1 7

in lieu of Enams entered in the Permanent accounts, but were resumed by the former Zemindars of Cota and Ramachundra-puram, since the time of the Permanent Settlement. The two Estates reverted to

(In Con 16 Nov 54)

From Govt 20 June 1848 PS

Government in Fusly 1255 / 1846/47 In authorizing these payments to be continued to the Enamdars during their lives, Government directed that when lapses occurred, "such cases should be reported as call "for an Extension of their bounty", Adverting to the tenure of these grants and to the large extent of Enam land held by the heirs of the deceased in Nos 1 and 2 the Board agree with the Collector

In Con 3 July

16th November 1854

Fort St. George

Sub Collr
for in charge
and Storekeeper.

Town & Garden
Establishment

20th June 1848 No 85

Granted to be held during
Continuance of Pelungara
Charlu as a Pte Grant Title
Collector, Government & the Allowance
Charlu &c. continue each in the name of
the widow Alungamma &c.

Signed J. Purvis
Co Collr &c

Rajahmundry Division
Collector's Office
Cocanada 14 June 1854

R. B

The Zeelug sic reporting on Enam cases having
on the subject of the heirs of the deceased
impossible to [illegible]

18406

18408

Fort St George 16th November 1857

Collector that the allowances should cease, In No 3 the family left by the deceased consist of a Widow, a Son and a Mother, who have hitherto derived their support from this Grant and the small extent of Enam in their possession

In consideration of their circumstances the Board concur in the Collector's recommendation that the allowance of Rupees 23—1—7 per Annum be continued to the widow Munganah for life.—

18409

Fort St George 16th November 1857

No 137

To

S. A. Sim Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

707

B/d No 3444
From the Acting Coll.r of Ganjam

Sir

I have the honor to report that Poorooshot-tama Broondavana Chotrayadeo Zemindar of Jalantra an-cient Zemindary paying a peishcush of Rupees 7000 per Annum died on the 26th June last. He had been bedridden for some time past having lost the use of his hands and feet

He has left a legitimate Son named Samiana Chotraya deo aged about 21 Years This young man I hear has been managing the affairs of the Estate and acknowledged as the rightful Successor and heir of his father. The late Poorooshottama Broondavana Chotrayadeo has also left an illegitimate Son named Hari Kristna Pascha aged about 17 years Jabeta Butta Mahadevi the surviving Widow of the former Zemindar Iswara Chendra Chotrayadeo has put forth a claim to the Zemindary but as will

be

To Board of Revenue dated 22 Nov. 1837
To Do. Dr. 14 August 1838 No. 54
From Dr. 16 Sept. 1838
To Dr. Dr. 21 Sept. 1838
From Dr. Dr. 11 Oct. 1838
To Dr. Dr. 20 December 1838
Original Proceedings from Dr. dated 14 January 1839.—

be Seen from the correspondence quoted in the Margin She has already been directed to pursue her claims in a Court of law and I see no good reason to reopen the question. She has been in the enjoyment of lands allotted to her agreeably to the Settlement made by Mr. Bannerman for the term of her life from the Estate the income of which amounts to about Rupees 1000 which is ample for her maintenance, as Poorooshottama Brandarassa Chatrayadeo was recognized as the Zemindar according to the orders of the Board under date the 4th January 1839 I consider Narayana Chatrayadeo his Son to be the rightful Successor and request that Sanction may be accorded for recognizing him as the Zemindar of Salantra In the mean time he has been permitted to manage the affairs of the Estate.—

Ganjam District
Collectors Cutcherry
Chatterpoor
17th August 1857

/Signed/ H. Knox
Acting Collr.

707-708

B. A. 3494

Proceedings thereon.—

The Board Concur with the Collector that Narrina Chatraya Deo legitimate Son of the late proprietor of Salantra has the best Claim to be Considered and recognised as heir to that property—

S. Ganjam 22d Nov. in Con 11th Dr. 1837
7 Dr. 14 Augt. in Con 6 Oct.
To Dr. 6 Sept. 1838
Fr. Dr. 21 Sept. in Con 11th Oct. 1838
To Dr. 11 Octr.
Fr. Dr. 20 Dec. 1838 enclo.
14th January 39
P. to Coll. / written / 14th January 39.

The Claims of Lalita Putta Mahadevy were Set a-Side in 1839 and the preference given to those of the late proprietor—as the Estate apparently Comes within the Scope of Clause 3. A. 15 of the agency Rules the Board Solicit the authority of Government for the recognition of Narana Chatraya Deo as Zemindar of Salantra.—

Lalleta Puttah Mahadevi
2nd. Cousin Contained in the will of
undisturbed

undisturbed possession of the lands assigned for
her maintenance by Mr. Baumrman. —

709

No. 4105 No. 166

From the Collector of Coimbatore } To

J. D. Sim Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated 1st Ultimo No. 2896, Conveying Minutes of Consultation of the 8th August last relating to the proposed Dispensary at Pollachy and in reply beg to State for their information that a Common tiled house has been Selected at Pollachy for the temporary accommodation of the above Dispensary until the new building as constructed this house was inspected and approved by

by the Native Surgeon Ramagaragen — the
rent of the house is fixed at 1½ Rupees per mensem
and it is the best one that can be procured in the
Village of Pollachy and will do temporarily Doctor
Cornish will arrange the necessary Establishment — —

Coimbatore Collr.
Office Dotacammund
12th October 1857
No. 3901
Resolution thereon

/ Signed / C. B. Thomas
Collector

709-710

Resolved that the above letter be
laid before Government with reference to Extract
Minutes of Consultation 8th August last No. 1096
Public Department. —

The Collector reports that a
2
building — approved by the Native Surgeon
has been rented at Pollachy for the proposed
Dispensary and Dr. Cornish will arrange
for the entertainment of the — —
Establishment. —

711

1841-4

Fort St. George

15th November 1857

Dt. No. 4117

Statement Shewing the amount of arrears due to the Zeemmahdars in the District of Salem.—

Number of Petitioner	Name of the Zeemmahdar	Amount of Allowance per annum	Date of Government Order	Nature of the Grant	Cause for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment	Names & Date of the Bonds of Sureties	Order of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Mean Jaffer & Ratoona Begalias Nunnee Bee	86 12 7	16th January 1835	Jaghiry	For the 4 Quarter of 1265 and for the 1st 2d 3d and 4 Quarters of Fasly 1266	108 7 8	Mean Zaffer appeared before me on the 5th May 1857 and Stated that he had prevented by Severe illness from appearing and receiving at the regular periods the Zeemmah allowance due to him and to Ratoona Begalias Nunnabee a female Zeemmahdar aged 52 years on whose behalf he acts as Vakeel. I found this Statement Corroborated by the information and personal Knowledge of the Tahsildar. The age of Meerzaffer is 35 years and his appearance has been found to Correspond with the description Contained in the Certificate.— The female Zeemmahdar Ratoona Begalias Nunnabee who is exempted from personal appearance has had her existence and identity Certificate by Meer Zaffer above alluded to and by two other female Zeemmahdars named Panchabee and Laub Bee who are in the receipt of a Zeemmah of Rs 50— per annum. I therefore request that authority may be granted for disbursing the arrears amounting to Rupees 108-7-8- and for the Continuance of the Zeemmah in question.— /Signed/ I Bird Collector		

Salem Collectors Office
Dafsoon 17th October 1857

182, 15

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

(711-)12

B. No. 3966

Remarks thereon — The absence of the pensioners being explained and their identity Satisfactorily established the Board Sanction the disbursement of the arrears due to them from April 1856 to July 1857 amounting to Rupees 108-7-8 and the future payment of their respective allowance. —

2 To be Communicated to the Collector and to the Civil auditor. —

Statement

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

Statement Showing the amount of arrears drawn by the undermentioned Pensioners on the Establishment of Fort St. George and the Date of Payment. —

Number of Certificate	Names of Pensioners	Amount of Pension	Period for which arrears are due	Rate of Pension	Amount of arrears	Date of Payment	Remarks
1	Syed Ahmed	6	31st October 1856 to 7th November 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
2	Syed Ahmed	63	31st October 1856 to 7th November 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	70	16th November 1857	Paid
3	Mahomed Hussain Sahib	5	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
4	Govindamma	4	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	4 Pagodas per Mensem	8	16th November 1857	Paid
5	Lakshmi Ammal	5	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
6	Syed Ahmed	6	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	6 Pagodas per Mensem	12	16th November 1857	Paid
7	Lakshmamma	4	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	4 Pagodas per Mensem	8	16th November 1857	Paid

Fort St. George
25th October 1857

(711-)12

B. No. 4035

Statement Showing the amount of arrears drawn by the undermentioned Pensioners on the Establishment of Fort St. George and the Date of Payment. —

Number of Certificate	Names of Pensioners	Amount of Pension	Period for which arrears are due	Rate of Pension	Amount of arrears	Date of Payment	Remarks
1	Syed Ahmed	6	31st October 1856 to 7th November 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
2	Syed Ahmed	63	31st October 1856 to 7th November 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	70	16th November 1857	Paid
3	Mahomed Hussain Sahib	5	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
4	Govindamma	4	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	4 Pagodas per Mensem	8	16th November 1857	Paid
5	Lakshmi Ammal	5	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	5 Pagodas per Mensem	10	16th November 1857	Paid
6	Syed Ahmed	6	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	6 Pagodas per Mensem	12	16th November 1857	Paid
7	Lakshmamma	4	1st March 1857 to 30th April 1857	4 Pagodas per Mensem	8	16th November 1857	Paid

Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment

Syed Ahmed did not attend to draw his pension allowance from the 1st March 1857. He has now explained and States that he was prevented by illness from attending. In consequence of this absence his Stipends was not paid for 2 Months. As the Collector is not aware of any system Sanction is requested for discharging the arrears on the reference to the Circular order of the Bd. of Revenue dated 31st May 1855 Page 71-75 in Pod. —

The copy of the gunnerdan is 15 years and his identity Can not with the Description Roll kept in the Office. —

Mr. Mahomed Hussain
25th October 1857

Signed J. J. Bird
Collector

1847 Fort St. George 15th November 1857

B.d No. 3952

Remarks thereon. (713-714)

The Examiner having accounted for his default and established his identity the Board authorize the disbursement of Rs. 36-12 the arrears due to him from 1st March to 30th September 1857 and the future payment of his allowance.

To be communicated to the Collector and to the Civil Auditor.

To

1848 Fort St. George 16th November 1857

No. 106

To J. D Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(715)
Bd No. 4334
From the Collr
Canara

Sir

I have the honor to submit my Quarterly Report on the sall Revenue ending 30th September last

During the last quarter there
2 has been a decrease both in the home and inland trade as compared with the corresponding period in 1856. The subjoined table gives the details

	Fasly 1266		Fasly 1267		Decrease	
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
	In.d. Mds	Rupees	In.d. Mds	Rupees	In.d. Mds	Rupees
Home Consumption	36303	36303	32305	32362	3998	3961
Inland Ditto	1713	1713	1392	1489	321	224
	38016	38016	33697	33831	4319	4185

3 During the latter part of the corresponding quarter of 1856 the Sale

Fort St. George 16th November 1837

Salt trade was perhaps effected by the small stocks in the hands of traders owing to the very early period at which the rains commenced, but no interruption to trade appears to have been experienced in May and June last and hence the falling off in our sales

Fasly	Rupees
1203	3,1280
1204	2,4605
1205	2,3040
1206	3,8010
1207	3,831

Quantities ending 30th Septr in

4 The Receipts during the past quarter though not equal to those of the corresponding period of Fasly 1206 have it is satisfactory to observe been larger than those of the three previous fasles as shown in the

5 The importation of foreign Salt both by sea and Land is so trifling during the monsoon that it does not call for remark

6 The present stock of Salt is given below

	1206	1207	Quantities
	Ind. Mds	Ind. Mds	Ind. Mds
Balance in stores on the 1st July 37	622727	478187	144540
Receipts during the quarter	"	"	"
Deduct	622727	478187	144540
Sales of the quarter	32016	33697	4319
Balance	584711	444490	140221

Fort St. George 16th November 1837

The comparatively small quantity in store and the arrangement proposed for making good the deficiency were fully noticed in the last Report and having since received the authority of the Board for effecting purchases of Salt contracts for its supply have been made and I trust that the measures taken will prove effectual and that our immediate wants will be at once supplied. The Depots at Pane Mangalore, and Buntwal were the first to become exausted but Mr Robinson hastened to supply them from our Northern Ports and sales were but slightly interrupted

7

8 There is now some prospect of the importation of Bombay salt on private account but I cannot learn that any vessels have actually left Bombay with salt for our Ports though I believe purchases have been effected for this market and that a trial will be made.

(Signed) W. Fisher
Collector

18421 Fort St. George 16th November 1857

Bd. No. 3964

Resolution thereon

715-716

Resolved that the above letter be submitted for the information of Government

2 The sales of salt in Canara during the 3d Quarter of 1857 were below those of the corresponding period of 1856 by Indian Mounds 4319.

3 The stock at the close of the Quarter amounted to Indian Mounds 44699 being Indian Mounds 14024 below the balance in store at the expiration of the 3d Quarter of the previous year

4 In their Proceedings dated 11th Inst the Board have submitted the Collectors Letter of the 27th Ultimo Reporting the acceptance of contract for the supply of Indian Mounds P. 16000 of Jon salt. The requirements of the District seem sufficiently provided for, and the Collector states that there is some prospect of Bombay salt being imported on private accounts

18422 Fort St. George 16th November 1857

No. 339

To J. D. Sim Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

717

Bd. No. 4395

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge

Requesting sanction of Government to employ a Canoe and crew engaged by the Master Attendant at Tutacorin for the conservation of the Pearl Banks at a Monthly outlay of Rs. 34 Annas & until a more efficient guard can be provided.—

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th October 1857 transmitting Extract from the Minutes of consultation under date the 28th September regarding the conservation of the Pearl Banks on the coasts of Madura and Tinnevelly

2. As regards the building of the two boats sanctioned I beg to forward copy of a letter from the Master attendant at Tutacorin dated the 19th October in which he proposes to arrange for the boats being built at some Port in the Western Coast Mr Robertson left Tutacorin in the H.C. Steamer "Prince Arthur" on the 26th Ultimo.

3 In Para 7 of the Extract from the Minutes of consultation above quoted it was proposed

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

proposed that the boat "Laura" lately sent from Cuddalore for the use of the Master attendant at Tuticorin should be temporarily employed to guard the Pearl Banks. This boat however on arrival at Tuticorin was found to be in such a bad condition that extensive repairs were necessary before she could be used and an application has been made to the marine Board for sanction to carry out the same

4 As the "Laura" could not be employed on this duty the Master Attendant before leaving on the 26th Ultimo ordered a canoe and crew to go off each morning with the Chank boats from Tuticorin to see that they did not approach too near the banks. The present Acting Master Attendant Mr Gray has requested to know whether I will authorize this canoe and crew at a monthly expenditure of Rupees 34-8- as the Port boat the "Laura" cannot be employed on this duty till she been repaired

5 I have decided on authorizing the employment of

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

of this canoe and crew pending the sanction of Government I consider it very necessary on the following grounds

6 On the 21st Ultimo the Master attendant reported to me that a Mr Gray who is one of the renters of the Chank fishery had asserted that by the terms of his agreement he was allowed to fish Chanks on the Pearl Banks and that he would order his Divers to do so. The agreement under which this individual and others rent the Chank fishery contains an express stipulation that the Chank boats are not to approach or within ¼th of a mile of the Pearl Banks

7 On the 23rd ultimo I replied to the Master attendant pointing out this stipulation in the lease of the Chank fishery and intimating that any violation of the terms of the lease by the parties holding it would be followed by the immediate forfeiture of the lease. A copy of

of my letter Conveying this warning was communicated by the Master attendant to the Mrs. Cocq above quoted and he and his brothers have now written to me disavowing any intention of infringing the terms of their engagement and requesting that the Pearl Banks might be buoyed off or distinctly marked off to prevent apprehended interference with their people by the Government guard boat.

8 To buoy off the Pearl Banks as I should think impracticable and while I do not see the slightest reason to apprehend any undue interference with Mrs. Cocq boats by the Government guard boat. I informed the two Messrs. Cocq that any well grounded cause of complaint should such arise will be immediately investigated by the Masters Attendant

9 In the meantime looking at the conduct of Messrs. Cocq and Co. I think it very necessary that the Canoe and crew engaged by the Masters Attendant should be temporarily employed until a more efficient guard can be provided and I request the Government will be pleased to sanction the temporary arrangement I have ordered at a monthly outlay of Rupees 34 — 8 — . I further beg to request

request that this letter and application may be submitted to Government at an early date

Tinnevelly 3rd. November 1857

/ Signed / J. Silver
Collector

No 1

To The Collector
Tinnevelly

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 13th. Instant accompanied by Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th September 1857 I have the honor to inform you that as good Carpenters and materials for building boats cannot be obtained here I beg to suggest that the two boats ordered to be furnished for the protection of the Pearl Banks be constructed at some convenient place on the Western Coast and for that purpose I propose before taking the leave of absence granted to me on the 15th September last to proceed in the H. C. S. Steam ship "Prince Arthur" expected to leave this for Bombay about the 25th. Instant to Quilon Alipee and Cochin and make arrangements

for

for building the boats at the same time — Carpenters and materials could be purchased and forwarded on here from one of the above mentioned Ports for Repairing the old boat sent from Coringa that She may be made Serviceable, as early as possible to watch the Chank fishing which commenced on the 8th. Instand also to guard as far as practicable the too near approach of the Pannicail and other fishermen to the Banks immediately off Tutacorin —

To enable the master Attendant to make himself more thoroughly acquainted with the method and requirements for conducting a Pearl fishery which may take place here in 1859. It would be desirable that he be present at the next fishery on the coast of Ceylon

Masters Attendants office
Tutacorin 19th October 1857

/ Signed / H. Robertson
Masters Attendant

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. Silver
Collector

Bd. No. 3962

Resolution thereon (717-718)

Resolved that the above letter be laid before Government with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 28th September

In Con. 7th Oct. 57 last Revenue Department. No. 991

The Collector reports that the

2 Masters attendant states that good boat building materials are not available in Tinnevelly It is therefore intended to have the boats required for guarding the oyster banks built at some of the ports of the Western coast and the Masters attendant has proceeded thither in the "Prince Arthur" to make the necessary arrangements

The "Laura" referred to in para 9

3 and recently received from Coringa is reported to need extensive repairs and the Masters attendant purposes procuring materials and workmen from the Western coast to render her serviceable

Meanwhile however a canoe

4 including crew has been engaged at a monthly charge of

Fort St. George 16th. November 1857

of Rupees 34 1/2 to prevent the Chank fishers from approaching too near the Pearl Banks and the Board recommended that this arrangement be sanctioned until more efficient measures can be adopted The Board doubt however whether a single boat will suffice particularly as the knowledge that the Banks will soon be properly watched is likely to stimulate illicit fishing

5 The Board considers it desirable that Capt. Robertson should (as he proposes in the concluding para of his letter to the collector) attend the next Pearl Fishery in Ceylon

6 The unserviceable condition of the "Laura" will the Board presume be enquired into by the Marine Board—

Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

No. 341.

To, J. D. Sim, Esqre
acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

719

BNo. 1396
From the Coll.
of Tinnevelly

Sir, With reference to the enclosed Extract of the retrenchment made by the Civil Auditor on the audit of the Accounts of this Collectorate of Fusly 1265 I have the honor to submit a Statement of Fines refunded to parties in Fusly 1265 amounting to Rupees 292-12-0 showing the particular circumstances under which the repayment was made and to request that you will, under the explanation now given, be good enough to procure the Sanction of Government for the Same.-

Tinnevelly
4th November 1857

(Signed) J. Silver,
Collector.

Extract of the retrenchment made by the Civil Auditor on the audit of the Accounts of the Collector of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1265-

No.	Charges objected to	Remarks of the Civil Auditor
31	Amount debited in the Journals from August to October and December 1855 to May 1856 on account of fines refunded to the parties on an appeal to the Magistrate	292-12-0

(True Extract)
By J. Silver,
Collector.

No. 2.

Account particulars of fines repaid to parties in the Magistrate Department in the District of Tinnevelly during Fusly 1265.-

6 Rs. a p

Amount of fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Oattapedarum Taloq. on Thatta Naickenand 3 others of Savarymungalum, but on appeal, ordered by the Assistant Magistrate to be refunded to them. on the ground of their not having been found guilty of the Offence laid to their Charge. ——— 10 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Head Assistant Magistrate on Nelliappa Moodely and Ponnumbala Moodely of Tachavellor, but on appeal ordered by the Magistrate to be refunded to them, on the ground of the parties who were relations having entered into a compromise ——— 2 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Joint Magis: =trate on Rengappa Naickenand 8 others of Seppeparay in the Kerninorcoil Taloq. but on appeal ordered by the Magistrate to be refunded to them on the ground of the charge having been one cognizable by the Criminal Court ——— 90 " "

Do.

Amount of Fine imposed by the Police Ameen of Alwartiroonagary, on Mootia Moodaly of the said place, but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to him on the ground of the charge brought against him not having been proved 8 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Police Ameen of Calacaud on Ganapathy Iyen of the same place, but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to him on the ground of the evidence not having been considered trustworthy " 8 "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Assistant Magis: =trate on Chinnasamy Naickenand Sara: =nappa Naickenof Vellanaudoo in Vedoo: =gramum Taloq. but on appeal ordered by the Magistrate to be refunded to them, on the ground of the charge of assault for which or they were fined, not having been proved against them. 10 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Joint Magistrate Appavoo Moodaliar, Tahsildar of Sharanmadevy Taloq. but on appeal ordered by the Sessions Judge to be refunded to him on the ground that the evidence adduced against him was not suffi: =cient to warrant the imposition of fine. - 20 " "

Do.

Amount of fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Shenkernicoil
Talook on Moottia Kone and 3 others of Allen=
=galum in the said Talook, but on appeal or=
=dered by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to
them on the ground of the land on which they
(the Defts.) were charged with having made
bricks having been proved to be long to them 2 8 "
Do. of Do. by the Police Ameen of Chinthavanyou
Theel Eapanadavy and 4 others of Madathoopully,
but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate
to be refunded to them on the ground that while
both parties were guilty of the offence of assault
it was unjust to fine one party. —— 10 " "
Do. of Do. by the Tahsildar of Ottapadarum Talook on
Nullamanaicken and 3 others of Vurnalthotty,
but on appeal ordered by the Assistant Magistrate
to be refunded to them on the ground of the assault
with which they were charged not having
been proved against them —— 8 " "
Do. Dix of Do. imposed by the
Tahsildar of Tencandey on a
Pichen and others of the Cushah of
that Talook a moiety was ordered by the
Assistant

Assistant Magistrate to be given to the Prose=
=cutor Palany, whose coal the Defendants were
charged with having robbed. —— " 12 "
Amount of fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Streevilly
=pottoor on Sauloovandy Iyen and Rama Kristna
Iyen of Elunthersycondan, but on appeal ordered
by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to them on
the ground that the enquiry into the case was made
imperfectly by the Tahsildar —— 4 " "
Do. of Do. imposed by the Head Assistant Magis=
=trate on Rajacoomaroo Nadan and 4 others of Poovara
=sayor, but on appeal ordered by the Sessions Judge
to be refunded to them on the ground that the
evidence was not trust worthy —— 17 " "
Do. of Do. imposed by the Head Assistant Magistrate
on the aforesaid 5 persons but on appeal ordered
by the Sessions Judge to be refunded to them on the
ground that the evidence was not trustworthy. 17 " "
Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Vulleyoor
Talook on Chinna Coodoomby of Poolyanjeravanum,
but on appeal ordered by the Head Assistant
Magistrate to be refunded to him on the ground
that the charge of assault was not proved
against him. —— 1 " "

Amount of Fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Krem-
=madam Talook on Peroomal Reddy and
2 others, but on appeal ordered by the
Joint Magistrate to be refunded to them
on the ground that the charge of robbery
was not proved against them ——— 18 "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of
Streevilly poottoor on Pandy thanen of Sunthas
=yoor, but on appeal ordered by the Joint
Magistrate to be refunded to the Defendant
on the ground that the enquiry made by the
Tahsildar was not full and satisfactory. 2 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Pungoonary
on Ramyreddy and 3 others of Pottacolum,
but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magis-
=trate to be refunded to them on the ground
that the evidence against them was not
trustworthy ——— 4 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Do. on
Cheetaramareddy and 4 others of Pottacolum,
but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate
to be refunded to them on the ground that
the evidence against them was not trustworthy 5 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Pungamal
Taluq on Dairanayaga Reddy and 6
others

others of Panel Ka Nadan Codeeyeroopoo,
but on appeal ordered by the Head Assist-
=ant Magistrate to be refunded to them on
the ground that there was a great contra-
=diction in the evidence of witnesses — 38 "

Amount of fine imposed by the Police Ameen
of Pada Kaput on Savapillay and Narayana-
=permal, but on appeal ordered by the Head Assistant
Magistrate to be refunded to them,
on the ground that when one of
the 2 Charges, Viz. Robbery was not
proved against them, it was not proper
to fine them for assault ——— 2 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Sun-
=toor on Sungarappa Naick Een and 11 others of
Maittoomalay, but on appeal ordered by
the Sessions Judge to be refunded to them,
on the ground that the evidence of the
witnesses was not trustworthy — 26 " "

Do. of Do. imposed by the Tahsildar of Streevilly-
=poottoor on Veerappien and 2 other of Sun-
=thayoor but on appeal ordered by the Joint
Magistrate to be refunded to them on the
ground that the complaint against them
(Defendants) was false ——— 6 " "

Amount of Fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Sheiwelly pootoor on Pandeé

Thaven and 4 others of Sunthayoor but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to them on the ground that the Complaint against them (Defendants) was false — 10 " "

Do of Do imposed by the Head Assistant Magistrate on Chinnumma and 5 others of Doselumcolum in Pottapedarum Taloo/E but on appeal ordered by the Sessions Judge to be refunded to them on the ground that the charge brought against the Defendant

was false — 30 " "

Do of Do imposed by the Tahsildar of Ther=
=kerninorcoil Taloo/E on Iya Vadan and 2 others of chinnacalumputty, but on appeal ordered by the Joint Magistrate to be refunded to them on the ground that the evidence against them was contradictory — 4 " "

Do of Do imposed by Do Arnachellam and 2 others of the Cutcherry of that Taloo/E but on appeal ordered by Joint Magistrate to be refunded to them on the ground that the evidence against them was contradictory, and that the Complaint appeared to have originated in enmity against the Defendants — 18 "

Amount of Fine imposed by the Tahsildar of Vedoogramum Taloo/E on Vygoontum=
=pillay and Ramalingum Pillay of Ther Kooselool Cumputty but ordered by the Head Assistant Magistrate to be refunded to the Defendants they having been by his order committed to the Criminal Court — 4 " "

Total Company's Rupees — 292 12 "

Company's Rupees Two hundred and Ninety-two and Annas Twelve.—

E. E. peri

(Signed) J. Silver,
Collector

Tinnevelly
4 November 1857

1843 9 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

719-720

B. No. 3956.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that

the above letter and its enclosures

be laid before Government

in view to the General a

refunds of fines aggrega-

=ting Rupees 292 - 12 - - being

sanctioned under the explanation

afforded of each item in enclosure No. 2.

2. In future previous

sanction must be solicited for

refunds of sums already carried to account

To

1840 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

No. 282.

To,

J. D. Sim Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

721

B. No. 4402
From the Coll. of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to an
Extract from the Proceedings of
the Board of Revenue under
date the 30th Ultimo No. 3715,
requesting me to explain
the difference of Rupees
667 between the Col-
=lections as shewn in
Statements

18741 Fort St. George 10th November 1857.

Statements Nos. 1 and 2 of the Demand Collection and Balance of this District for the Month of September 1857 I have the honor to state for their information that the difference remarked upon is the sum entered in Column No. 14 of the Statement No. 1 on account of Remissions and this amount was inadvertently included in the sum entered in the Statement No. 2 The mistake has now been rectified and a revised Statement is herewith submitted.-

North Arcot
Coll.r Cutcherry
Chittoor, 6th Nov.r 1857.

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

To

18442 Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

No. 280

To,

I. B. Sim, Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

7-1

B. No. 4401
From the Collector
of North Arcot

† Herewith returned

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the report called for in the Board's endorsement of the 18th July 1857 on † Petition No. 2399 of 1857.

Mr. Potter complains of the amount of assessment levied on part of his poonjah and Nunjah lands - In para 2 of his last letter to me of the 6th July 1857. Copy of which he has submitted to the Board. Mr. Potter says "with respect to the assessment on the Poonjah lands, I beg to say that I have not looked into it carefully and am therefore unable to express at present an opinion" on the justiness of the demand - It seems therefore unnecessary to enter upon that point.

With reference to the Nunjah assessment Mr. Potter objects that it has not been made, as stated by me in accordance with

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

with the Hos Roommamah. This objection has arisen from a misconception on Mr. Potter's part of the term nearest land which is used in fixing a new assessment, as it always implies the nearest land situated in the same Village even if a dissension from the general rule were permitted in this case other difficulties would arise in conceding Mr. Potter's request. One of the tanks the land of which Mr. Potter mentions as being nearest to his lands, is the Pottary Tangle in the Village of Yashanoor This Village formerly belonged to the Cawvarypauk Talook and the Nunjah lands are therefore divided into one and Two Crops while Mr. Potter's tank is situated in the Village of Arumbokum which has always belonged to the Arcot Talook, and in which therefore there is no such distinction. The othe tank named by Mr. Potter is Cooroomoody Tangle which belongs to the Village of Cooroomoody Tangle, but the lands under it are assessed at one uniform rate of 7 Rupees

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

Rupees- Mr. Potter's Nunjah land has been assessed in accordance with the usual practice according to the different rates payable by the land under the Arumbokum tank which is the nearest land in the same Village.

The question whether or not a demand should be made on the uncultivated portion of Mr. Potters land was decided in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 3221 dated 3d December 1855 in which the Board observed that "the terms of the Moochulkah imply an obligation" on Mr. Potter's part to pay the assessment on the whole 407 Cawnies unless special Exemption should be made as was then done.—

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 7th November
1857.

(signed) C. Whittingham
Collector

Ordered

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To

The Collector of
North Arcot.—

(72-73)

Pn No 3967
To the Collector of
North Arcot

Directing him to investigate and report more fully on the subject of Mr Potters petition No 2899 of 1857 and stating the views of the Board on the various features of the case

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 7th November 1857 reporting on Mr Potters petition No 2899 of 1857 as called for by Board as long ago as July 18th 1857 I am directed to inform you that the Board are not satisfied that the case has been thoroughly gone into.

2 It was after considerable opposition and subterfuge on the part of the ryots and the local Revenue Officers, that Mr Potter succeeded with the Boards aid, in obtaining possession of these 100 Cawnies of immemorial waste with the view of bringing them under Cultivation as one holding - It was well known that to do this Mr Potter would be at considerable expense, and the Board were desirous of giving every encouragement to the investment of European capital in agricultural operations They expected that in considering what assessment should be put on those lands which he proposed to irrigate, a moderate rate of

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

of Teerwa would be imposed, and that all unnecessary difficulties and technicalities would have been dispensed with.—

3 Mr Potter very reasonably supposed that the land above adverted to would not be taxed higher than similar land a few hundred yards off, and though the Board are aware that strictly speaking the rule is to compare with similar lands of the same Village even though the nearest lands are very far off, yet this refers generally to small patches of newly added Nunjah to the old extent, whereas in Mr Potters case the extent was great, and the rate should have been fixed on general and liberal principles without being tied by a rule which evidently must be inapplicable in some cases, though it is adopted to save constant Squabbling and delay in petty cases.—

4 It is observed that you have not adverted to Mr Potters assertion that when 1 3/4 Cawnies of land of waste Poonjah adjoining his was taken up by a Braamin in fasly 1261 for Nunjah cultivation it was charged 6-7-3 per Cawnie only.

5 With regard to the 2d Application of Mr Potter that he may be permitted to pay Teerwah only for the land actually cultivated till such time as a lease, to the full extent of his

Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

his land: is granted to him for a term of years and at a favorable assessment the Board observe that they always understood, as stated in their Proceedings of 3d December 1855, that Mr Potter was under an obligation to pay assessment on the whole 407 cawnies whether cultivated or not: It was because the Meerasdars would not bind themselves to do this, that the land was transferred to Mr Potter.

6 Mr Potter however forward Copy of the Moochelka taken from him by the Revenue authorities of the District and it seems to be in the usual form namely stating that he will Cultivate the land but attaching no penalty. The Board therefore are not surprised at Mr Potter considering himself on the same footing as the ryots who constantly sign similar moochelkas, and are not charged for land left waste except in particular cases. The Board moreover observe that Mr Boardillon in his letter of 22d July 1854 in offering to assist Mr Potter to obtain an abatement of teerwa if he wished to take the land for a term of years, did as Mr Potter urges, what he need not have done had he understood that Mr Potter was bound to pay

Fort St George 16th November 1857

pay the teerwa for the whole land every year whether cultivated or not

7 The Board are not at present prepared to authorize a lease for a term of years and any arrangement now made must be made independent of such an expectation

8 In order to be certain that this matter be settled on fair and liberal principles and from local enquiry and observation the Board request you will depute Mr Bambury who has now some experience as to the Assessment of land in the North arcot District and is not at present engaged in the more arduous portion of that duty to proceed to the spot and summon Mr Potter to attend him. He will examine the map produced by Mr Potter (which was sent to you with the petition but not returned) and report if it is correct. He will also propose a teerwa for the land newly converted or about to be converted into Nunjah this teerwa not to be such as would merely be moderate under the old rates but in accordance with the amount of rate that would attach itself under the late revision of assessment with reference to its being in the North or the South. I also looks for the land seems to be on the very borders

With regard to the 2d part of Mr Potters petition

9

18449 Fort Saint George 16th November 1857.

petition the Board observe that it was by an oversight that Mr Potters Moochilka was not a special one, binding him to pay for the whole land Cultivated or not. Still under the circumstances set forth the Board are unwilling to press this implied contract to its full extent. The chief object of insisting on a penalty clause of the Kind adverted to is to prevent parties taking up land, without the means, or without the intention of cultivating it, and so Keeping it out of the hands of others who want it. Mr Potter therefore is now much in the position of the Meerasidars who were set aside to put him in possession, and they will be at liberty to make Durkhasts for such land as Mr Potter does not Cultivate should they do so now or at any future time, the matter should be referred to the decision of the Board, under this arrangement, which should be fully explained to Mr Potter teerwa will be charged only for such land as he cultivates.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort Saint George
16th November 1857

(signed) J. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

To

18450 Fort. St. George 16th November 1857

No 132

To J. D. Sim Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

724

Bt No 2447
From the Collector
of Bellary

Sir

If convenient to the Board I should be much obliged by an early reply to my letter No 84 of 22d July last. I have commenced the Revision of the Assessment and have nearly Completed two Talooks (Bellary and Gollem) in which there is not much well Cultivation But pending the final orders for the assessment of well lands. I shall find myself hampered in carrying on the Revision of other Talooks.—

I should also be glad to have sanction for the Extra Establishment recommended Especially now that the Season for the annual Settlement is at hand. Should there be any difficulty about appointing an additional Sub Collector the Board would perhaps recommend that another Assistant of some experience be sent to Bellary at an early date.—

Bellary District
Collector's Office
Koongode 7th November 1857

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

Resolution

1857

(724)

B.t No 3963

Fort St George 16th November 1857

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the above letter be Submitted for the Consideration and orders of Government with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 16 & 25 September 1857.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

(726)

B.t No 3953

To the Collector of Canara

To

The Collector of

Canara

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to point out that the usual foot note has been omitted in your last Season Report and to request that it may be Supplied in future Similar returns.

2 A copy of the Report has been forwarded to the Chamber of Commerce from this Office.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
16th November 1857

/Signed/ L. Forbes
Acting Sub Secretary
Statement

(725)

B.t No 3952

Fort St George 16th November 1857.

Statement Showing the State of the Season in the undermentioned Districts for the Month of October 1857.

District or Sub.	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation operations.	Prices in the Villages or last morning selling in Market	Remarks
Chingleput	Heavy and Seasonable	The Cor and Samba Crops flourishing	Rice Middling high	The Cultivation of Sugarcane is more extensively pursued
dated 3rd Oct.	Ditto	Ragy and Cambu	Ditto	
5th November 1857	Ditto	Cultivation of Sugarcane	Ditto	
5th November 1857	Heavy	Crops flourishing	Ditto	
No. 2225	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	
	Remarks	Cor and Samba Crops flourishing	Rice Middling high	The Cultivation of Sugarcane is more extensively pursued
		Ragy	Ditto	
		Cambu	Ditto	
		Cultivation of Sugarcane	Ditto	

18453

Fort St. George. 16th November 1857

District and date	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation operations	Prices whether high or low rising falling or Stationary	Further remarks
	quantities shown for the 2d 3d Divisions.	actively notwithstanding the loss of Cattle reported in last Months Statement.		
	Manamadoody 11 9			
	Cullacoorchy 3 3			
	Tirumangellum 6 1			
	Cuddalore 15 7			
Trichinopoly dated 3d in Cons 5th Novr 1857 My 2224	Unusually heavy and almost without intermission for 11 days From 17 to 20 inches fell in Some places. The large rivers and Single Streams have been in extraordinary height & flood.	The Overall portions of dry grain Crops, which were Suffering from drought have recovered themselves. The young Crops of Horse Grain and other grains which have been largely Sown are thriving and the garden products have been benefited by the rains.	Paddy has fallen in price Since the rains from Rupees 133 5 4 to Rupees 151 4 0 per garce Raggy, Cumboo and horse grain have risen Considerably Gingelly Oil Seed is.	The roads have Sustained very Serious injury from heavy rains especially the Lines to Madura, Dindigul, Tanjore and Cumbum. Cholera is Still prevalent in parts of the District
	The Tambraparny river Channels are full. many of the former in the Talooks of Cayatar and Manapara have been breached and Several Channels and river banks have also burst.	Raggy and Cholum are being harvested. The early Cumbu Crop is nearly all housed but the grain has been injured by excessive wet. The Second crop is being put down in many places. Paddy Cultivation under the river Channels is nearly Completed. The Pishanum rice looks well. There is a decrease of acres 79904. bearing an assessment of Rupees 21253 Still Shown by the Returns for the month.	Stationary Grain has not been exported to the Same extent as last month	murrain among Cattle is abating and pasture is abundant.
Madura dated 4th in Cons 9th November 1857 My 2230	In the Town of Madura 10.5 inches fell. The reports from the District had not come in. but it is supposed the fall was not less than that in Town There was a flood in the river Vigiay.	Much of the dry crops has failed from want of moisture. Paddy is being planted out every where and wet crops look well. The monsoon having Set in late Cultivation had been delayed. The bulk of the wet Cultivation is of the 2d Sort of rice which requires only 3 Months to come to maturity.	High-	

18454 — Prov. dt. George. 16th/12th November 1857.

District and date	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation operations	Prices whether high or low rising falling or Stationary	Further Remarks
Jaggine dated 4th/12th Novr 1857.	Heavy and general Since the Middle of the Month. Heavy freshes occurred in the rivers Causing many breaches in the River & Channel Banks. At particular Stations the fall was as Shown below. —	The harvest of the Cuddapah Cor Crops is nearly over. The young plants of Samba Peshamum have been partially injured by the floods. but the crops on the whole are thriving. In dry localities cultivation has only lately commenced The Sugar cane. Cotton Indigo and oil Seed Crops are in good condition. —	Cumdapdhcar paddy Sells from 10 to 11 Rs & Samba — Peshamum from 4 to 1 — 11 per — Cullum the latter being higher than last months price	Cholera has appeared in one Talook & among the cattle of two other Talooks disease to Some extent has prevailed. —
At Cullum Inches 11 — 21 / At Nagapatnam Inches 15 — 21				
Puttoo Cottah 10 — 46 / Fatima pooody 35.69				
Salem dated 2d in Cons 5th Nov 1857 My 2227	Heavy and general Supplying the Tanks with from 3 to 6 Months water In Selected localities the quantity of rain falling is Shewn below. Inches Polaghat — 7 — 60 Bananahab — 7 — 80 Balaghat — 5 — 35 Shervaroy Hills 26 — 85	Most of the dry crops have been Saved by the rains and other dry grains are being extensively Shown Cotton and Gingelly & Lamp Oil Seed Crops are in good Condition. — Some of the wet crops failed from drought; their Cultivation is now being extended. — The Sugar Cane and garden Crops are thriving. —	A Slight fall — Since the late rains	A part of the Woomalore Talook has been visited by cholera ague and murrain have been prevalent in the Talooks of Dofsoor & Denkon Cottah where a large number of Cattle died. —
Nellore dated 2d/12th Nov 1857 My 2228	The rains Set in throughout the Distrect on the 12th The quantity falling in Selected parts of the Distrect was as follows. — Inches At adamki (North) 11 — 0 " Nellore — 37 — " " Bampan near the Hills S. W. 13 — 5 The tanks have generally received full Supplies	The early wet crops are in good condition. — The latter Crops will be Cultivated extensively when the rains give over. —	Have Slightly fallen	Cholera, fever and disease among Cattle have been Slightly prevalent in parts of the Distrct a violent hurricane was experienced on the 1st/2d Nov and it is expected that when reports of it Come in, it will be found that the effects of the Storm are very Serious. —

18455

From St. George 16th November 1857

Statement Showing the State of the Season in the undermentioned District for the Month of October 1857.

District and date	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation operations.	Prices whether high or low rising falling or Stationary	Further remarks
Kurnool dated 7th in Cons. 12 November 1857 My 2288	There was Some rain for 3 Consecutive days throughout the District A few tanks have received one Crops Supply of water the others are quite dry	The prospect of the first Crops has improved Since the rains and the latter Crop has been now Sown It is hoped that the outturn will be an average one	All Sorts of grain have risen in price Sea Salt Sells for less than it did during last month.	The health of the District is good
Gooty dated 2d in Cons. 12th November 1857 My 2289	Several heavy Showers the quantity in Selected Spots are Shown below Gooty 1 Inches 5 Chittoor 5 Kennedy 4 5 Chicacole 1 5	The First paddy harvest is progressing The Second paddy Crops promises to yield an Abundant return Sugar Cane is thriving.	Do not vary much from those of last Month Paddy and raggy have fallen Slightly.	Do.

18456

From St. George 16th November 1857.

Statement Showing the State of the Season in the undermentioned Districts during the Month of October 1857.

District and date	Rain fall	State of the Crops and the Cultivation operations	Prices whether high or low &c.	Further Remarks
Immerelly dated 5th in Cons. 10 Nov. 1857 My 2283	There was abundance of rain and the tanks and channels received Supplies of water Certain tanks in a few Talooks had their banks breached but measures have been adopted to close the breaches & prevent wastage of water. The quantity of rain falling in Selected spots was as under. — At Trichendoor (sea East) Inches 2 50 " Soulloor (open plain) 11 77 " Tencancoy (forty Hills) 26 74	The wet Coarse Crops have been harvested and the Peshamum Crops are being raised. — The lands under the rain fed Tanks have either been already Sown or are being prepared for that purpose. — Oil Seeds have been Sown to a limited extent. — The rains have benefitted the Standing dry Crops and led to extended Cultivation. — From want of rain during previous Months a decrease of S. C. 31790 is Shown in the Cultivation Returns up to 31st October below last years operations. —	With the exception of Paddy Cumboo and Gingelly Oil Seeds which have fallen in price the other Articles have risen Red grain is not quoted and Sunny is Stationary	Cholera attended with Some Mortality prevailed in 3 Talooks Some loss was Sustained in 5 Talooks from death of Cattle Rice and Paddy are being imported to a Small extent from Madura. —

1857 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

District and date	Rain fall	State of the Crops & the Cultivation operations	Prices whether high or low &c.	Further Remarks
Canara dated 12th Cons. 10th 1857 My 2381	The fall was 5 inches at Mangalore	The first rice Crop has yielded a good return and the 2d Crops has benefitted by the rains and is in good Condition	Prices have fallen below those of last Month but the rates for old rices Cocoanuts and oil are still high there being a demand for the first article for exportation to Malabar & no large imports ations of the other two having been yet made from that District.	Cholera prevails in a part of the Ankola Talook The District is otherwise healthy.

N. B. The Collectors attention has been called to the omission in stating that a Copy of the Report had been furnished to the Chamber forwarded to that Body from the Boards Office. ———

Malabar dated 8th Cons 11th 1857 My 2385	The quantity of rain in selected localities was as follows— Wynad 25—00 Inches Plains (Interior) 19—69 Coast— 19—57	The 1st Crop has been harvested and the planting of the 2d Crops has been Completed throughout the district and a good yield is expected. ——— Garden products and the oil seed and pepper Crops are flourishing The season has proved very favorable. ———	Prices of grain have slightly fallen since last Month The chief articles of export with the exception of Cocoanuts pepper Cotton and Cocoanut Oil, which have slightly risen, have fallen in price. —	Small pox and Cholera partially prevail in the Southern Talooks
Masulipatam dated 4th in Cons. 11th 1857 My 2284	The fall during the Month is as shown below Inches Bunder ... 9—10 Bezoor — 2—15 Ellore — 5—75 No Return had been received from Jaggiahpett —	The harvest of the early Crop and transplantation of wet paddy plants are in progress the latter operation being nearly Completed. ——— The heavy rains have seriously injured the Cholum and other dry paddy Crops	The prices of the various descriptions of Paddy have fallen a trifle and those of dry grain have risen in about the same proportion Sample Oil Seed is Stationary—	On the whole the District is healthy—

18459 Fort St. George 16th November 1857.

To

The Commissioner

Ootacamund

728

B/- No. 3993

To the Commissioner for the Revision of Civil Salaries and Establishments throughout India at Ootacamund

Sir

With reference to your letter dated

In Con. 22 Augt 1857 24th March 1857. No. 850. I am directed to forward to

4221 F. Malabar 21st October 1857
4251 F. Tanjore 24th October
in Cons. 7th Nov. 1857
4283 F. Bellary 24th October
in Cons. 7th Nov. 1857

you the Original Copies of replies received from the Collectors of Tanjore. Bellary and Malabar touching on the duties performed by Tahsildars and the powers exercised by them.

The Board deemed it sufficient

2

that four Collectors should be addressed on the Subject and the reply of the Collector of Rajahmundry will be forwarded to you.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th November 1857

/ Signed / J. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

Average

1857

District and Sub

Guntur Taluk No. 1 Six follows Sirs
in Con. 16th 1857 Sub Collr's Duty (Taluk) 1-000 to the duty
My 2282 Kistnapatam (Taluk) 1-5 no the forma Cop
Bapatla (Taluk) 0-225 on Land
Guntur (Taluk) 4-075 Seriously injur
Ramnad (Taluk) 5-800 fell on the
Sherum was
general Cop Supplied
Sounds T. the
Taluk with water

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th November 1857

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

Price in Bottle
Rice or Low
Qrs

Grains less fallen
in price about 5
per Cent Cooper to
and Sugarcane doing
the Month in the
proportion of 1/4
rend 13 Cyphers
respectively Fee
market is favor-
able for Trade

Fourth
Remarks

Sd/ J. D. Sim
Acting Sub Secretary

Fort St. George 16th November 1857

...a and Salt per Garce in the undermentioned Districts for the Months of June 1855 1856 and 1857.

Rice			1st Sort Paddy			2d Sort Paddy			Cholum or Jonnalo			Cumboo			Raggy			Varigo			Horsegram			Colundoo			Wheat			Sea Salt		
Fasly 1205	Fasly 1206	Fasly 1207	Fasly 1204	Fasly 1205	Fasly 1206	Fasly 1204	Fasly 1205	Fasly 1206	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266	Fasly 1264	Fasly 1265	Fasly 1266
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
71	195	70	72	71	62	69	67	"	108	79	"	86	91	104	102	87	"	"	"	96	84	79	103	147	153	"	"	"	180	205	282
7	201	78	88	89	74	86	84	98	91	84	86	89	95	93	89	97	64	"	"	104	88	90	119	131	129	"	"	207	102	170	187
4	180	91	97	88	82	85	78	128	100	100	84	91	78	97	102	89	96	91	60	122	97	101	221	186	102	217	340	280	135	137	143
1	180	134	120	99	128	116	95	187	136	97	170	123	81	151	98	75	145	95	68	150	99	96	232	170	184	242	239	270	150	152	156
4	174	128	130	102	118	112	87	196	151	107	139	143	104	144	127	100	128	104	83	184	130	163	328	241	273	318	316	332	150	162	160
	236	137	148	118	128	150	107	164	159	128	157	162	127	144	143	115	91	107	87	166	169	132	379	320	333	303	298	298	217	213	218
	210	108	119	100	100	112	93	131	142	125	131	152	120	121	138	99	101	104	72	156	175	120	315	348	283	238	230	238	275	259	264
	202	146	173	114	129	137	90	124	135	94	151	161	100	139	132	88	91	91	51	174	153	102	281	212	202	210	203	204	217	192	225
	204	127	123	97	116	108	88	185	204	156	183	200	148	192	191	152	70	89	59	204	208	180	263	285	290	"	"	"	154	149	151
	190	131	113	83	121	106	74	127	156	108	155	151	132	140	171	125	"	"	"	145	160	167	233	237	263	300	348	336	151	147	153
	201	121	128	94	112	105	94	170	169	129	176	156	121	175	151	118	78	78	66	147	168	176	243	249	293	"	"	434	161	159	147
	175	79	103	80	76	97	81	112	113	104	111	108	115	105	111	94	48	51	51	147	163	154	206	235	244	"	"	347	114	137	138
	213	94	98	102	85	96	94	"	"	"	145	143	150	145	131	119	"	"	"	128	152	150	213	278	246	457	337	305	168	177	168
	232	104	118	112	98	107	104	121	113	89	112	114	110	117	110	110	76	77	67	133	134	126	213	243	253	577	383	304	146	148	152
	284	137	151	145	130	143	131	160	156	138	159	162	148	151	149	139	67	108	88	195	212	180	282	320	319	"	"	387	168	156	159
	320	141	140	154	131	132	135	199	147	199	147	130	114	161	118	162	147	"	"	310	314	343	189	185	201	466	351	349	255	269	307
	230	121	126	118	111	113	108	127	134	164	125	122	130	119	118	125	71	75	76	136	134	129	234	228	250	362	354	317	210	211	225
	263	"	"	"	98	108	119	"	"	"	116	"	"	"	115	119	130	"	"	167	163	177	227	234	216	"	"	241	128	144	136
	328	137	143	149	125	126	136	"	"	"	"	"	"	150	152	172	"	"	"	206	221	254	308	280	317	"	"	272	152	152	179
	345	139	135	102	130	131	95	175	175	112	175	175	134	175	101	125	130	200	140	280	174	134	280	194	234	"	"	331	137	133	130

/ Signed / S. D. Sim
Acting Secretary

Received

1846 0 Fort St. George 10th November 1857

Received the undermentioned

730 Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

M. No. 2434

From the Collector of North Arcot. Return of Village Officers under Regulation VII of 1831 for October 1857.

~~734~~ 735

M. No. 2435

From the Collector of Ganjam Diary for October 1857

732 733

M. No. 2430

From the Collector of Madura. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for October 1857

731 734

M. No. 2431

From the Collector of Trichinopoly Average Bazar Prices of Grain for October 1857

735

M. No. 2428

From the Collector of Chingleput. Average Bazar Prices of Grain for October 1857

737

M. No. 2429

From the Collector of Salem Average Bazar Prices of Grain for October 1857

737

M. No. 2436

From the Collector of Salem. Rain Report for October 1857

735

M. No. 2437

From the Collector of Masulipatam Rain Report for October 1857

739

M. No. 2438

From the Collector of Nellore Rain Report for October 1857

740

M. No. 2439

From the Collector of Guntoor Acknowledging the receipt of certain Printed forms.

741

M. No. 2440

From the Collector of Madura Salt account Current for October 1857

742

M. No. 2433

From the Coll.r of Nellore Register of Petitions for October 1857

743

M. No. 2432

From the Collector of Madura Register of Petitions for October 1857

Proceedings

1846 1 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,
Tuesday, the 17th November 1857.

Read the following Papers.

Revenue Department.

744

B.R. No. 4350
From the D.y Sec.y to Gov.t

Memo.

The Deputy Secretary to Govern=
=ment has the honor to return to the Acting
Secretary to the Board of Revenue the Re=
=gister Book of Government Grants called
for in his Memorandum of 3d Instant, No. 3742.

Fort St. George,
Government Office,
5th November 1857.

(Signed) M. Norman,
Deputy Secretary to Government

B.R. No. 3989

Order thereon

744-745

Ordered that the book be for=
=warded to the Collector of Madras with re=
=ference to his Official Memo: dated 29th Ultimo.

P.R. No. 4512
From the A.y Sec.y to Gov.t

Extract from the minutes of Consul=
=tation in the Revenue Department,
under date the 16th November 1857.

746

Resolving to forward to the Honorable Court the memorial of Azeez al Begum the Nikah wife of Syed Mahomed Khan of Cuddapah with a recommendation that a pension of Rupees 2.5 per mensem be conferred on her for the term of her natural life.

See No. 1169 in Separate Volume

Order

Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

B. of R. No. 3978.

Order thereon.

(746-747)

Ordered to be communicated to the Collectors of Madras and Cuddapah.—

B. of R. No. 4513
From the Asst. Secy. to Govt.

(748)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, under date the 10th November 1857.—

Authorizing the refund of certain export duty paid on Grain, &c. shipped on the "Alhambra" which was wrecked in the Madras roads, Amounting to Rupees 44-13-2.—

(See No. 1170 in Separate Volume)

(748-749)

B. of R. No. 3979.

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector.—

To, No. 234.
J. D. Lim, Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(750)

B. of R. No. 3439
From the Civil Auditor

Sir,

The accompanying bill for the purchase of Amsendeny Coir having been forwarded to me by the Acting Collector of Canara for the purpose of being passed that Officer was requested to obtain the sanction of Government to the charge which Amounts to Company's Rupees 14,049-5-2. In reply the Acting Collector has only

Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

only furnished me with a Copy of the Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 1047 in the Revenue Department, dated the 1st October 1856, which order it will be observed, does not declare that the expenditure for the purchase of Amsendeny Coir does not require the special sanction of Government but simply that it is an item among others, that does not require to be previously estimated.—

* With Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue No. 3370. dated 11th October 1856.

2. as however the purchase of this article invariably amounts to a Considerable sum and its qualities as well as the prices of them vary; and I have no information either as to the quantities or description of it required or of the prevailing prices at the time it is ordered, which information I presume the Board must possess, it appears to me that either the Countersignature of the Board to the bills on this account, or the Special sanction of Government to the charges incurred for the purchase of the Coir is indispensable. to enable me to pass the bills forwarded by the Collector on that account.—

3. I request therefore that the Board will be good enough either to countersign and return to me the accompany bill for Rupees 14,049-5-2 or if they think it necessary submit it for the sanction of Government to place me in a position to pass the charges which it contains.

Signed F. Lushington,
Civil Auditor.

Civil Auditor's Office,
Fort St. George, 25 Augt 1857.

B. of R. No. 4009
Resolution thereon.—

(750-751)

Resolved that the Bill received with the foregoing letter be returned to the Acting Collector of Canara, who will be pleased to adopt the course pointed out in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 27th March 1856 No. 330 R. Dis respect to the charges incurred in the purchase of Amsendevy Coir supplied to the Superintendent of Marine at Calcutta

18464 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

Calcutta on his Indent for 1856/57.

2. Ordered that Copy of these Proceedings be Communicated to the Civil Auditor for his information. —

To, J. D. Sim, Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(52)

B. No 3856
From the Collr. of Madura —

Sir,

With reference to Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 20th Ultimo I have the honor to state that there are only 4 Cheetah skins in my office. When there are as many as 40 or a man's load, I shall forward them by a Cooly. If however the Board are desirous I should send up at once those on hand, I shall be happy to do so. —

Madura,
26 September 1857. }

(Signed) R. J. Sullivan
Acting Collector.

B No 3988

The Acting Collector

Proceedings thereon:

(52-53)

The Acting Collector is requested to abstain from sending the 4 skins referred to until the hear further from the Board. —

To

18465 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

No 61.

To, J. D. Sim, Esqre
Acting Secy. to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(51)

B. No 4472
From the Director of Rev. Settlements }

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from the Superintendent Revenue Survey in which he proposes to extend the Survey operations in the ensuing season to the Volconda poorum Taloor of Trichinopoly and states that no time should be lost in making the requisite preliminary arrangements. This letter has been detained a few days in order to obtain further information regarding for Submitting a proposal for the employment in the next season of the South Arcot party and of the new party to be organized as proposed in the communication forwarded to the Board on the 16th Ultimo. —

2. As regards the Southern party the single Taloor of Volconda poorum the Area of which is assumed at 450 Sq. miles will

will not probably afford sufficient work for the whole season, and it seems desirable that the remaining (and adjoining) dry Talooks of Tonore should be included in the field of proposed labor.-

3. The Godavery party proposed to be organized may take up the portion of the Godavery Delta lying to the east of the River Comprizing the Mogultoor Coondy and Tanaikoo Talooks in the Sub Division of Rajahmundry and the Raylangy and Pentapaud Talooks in Masulipatam- all of which now receive irrigation from the Godavery Anicut This field of operation is proposed after communication with the Collectors of both districts who have selected the Talooks specified as the most suitable for the commencement of the Survey. This portion of the Country may be assumed on a rough estimation from the Map (on which the whole of the boundaries are not defined) at about 1000 Geographical Square Miles and will be more than ample for the new Survey party for the first season. I do not consider that any inconvenience will arise from the selection

1846 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

selection of the field in 2 Districts, but on the contrary it has the advantage of bringing 2 Agencies to work in the important preliminary of defining the village and field boundaries which I have proposed in para 15 of my letter of 16th Ultimo should be done with the aid of the Talook and Village Servants.-

4. The only drawback to the selection of this tract or indeed of any other part of the Godavery Delta for the commencement of operations is the absence of any record of the trigonometrical Survey for the Rajahmundry District and the inaccuracy of the minor triangulations for the Ellore Sircar of Masulipatam which takes in a portion of Raylangy and Pentapaud Talooks, but in the event of the expected transcripts of these requisite papers not arriving in time from Calcutta, operations may commence with the Talook of Goodewada in Masulipatam for which trigonometrical papers are forthcoming. As the Collector states this to be irrigated from the Kistna Anicut and to be equally suited for Survey though the adjoining Talooks are not so.

It

Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

It seems however preferable that if practicable the 2nd party should be confined to the Godavery Delta and a 3rd party be organized afterwards for the Kistna Delta.-

5. As no time should be lost and Captain Priestley is urgent for speedy instructions as to the future extension of this operation in the South, I trust the Board will do me the favor of submitting the above proposition for the early consideration of Government.- In the meanwhile I have thought it proper that Captain Priestley should not defer his preparations for the Talook of Solcondapoorum.

6. It seems equally important that the preliminary work of laying down the boundaries for the Godavery Survey party should not be deferred particularly as it is proposed in para 15 of my letter of 16th Ultimo that this should be completed if possible both with regard to the Villages and fields before the Survey is commenced but I do not feel at liberty to enter upon this new field without previous instructions.

7. In the latter part of his letter Captain Priestley requests explicit instructions as to what fields

Fort St. George 17th November 1857

fields should be measured in detail and constituted separate numbers.- I did not allude to this point in my general plan of operation as I considered it already disposed of in para 22 of Board's Proceedings of 15th May 1857 No. 1603. as approved in para 20 of Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 7th July 1857. I shall accordingly address Captain Priestley on the subject with the view to arranging for the minute details in such cases being left to the Curnums as suggested in the para of the Board's Proceedings above quoted, for they cannot go far wrong in measuring where necessary patches within the circumscribed boundary of 2 Acres area, particularly as their measurements may be checked by the Survey Ameens noting the points of contract of the lines of the interior plots with the exterior lines of the Survey of the fields

Office of the Director of Revenue Settlements Madras 13th Novr 1857.

Signed / H. Newill,
Director of Revenue Settts

Enclosure

18470 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

Enclosure 1.

No. 119.

To, A. Newill, Esqre

Sir, Director of Revenue Settlements Madras.

I have the honor to request to be informed whether it is still intended that the taluk of Volconda poorum in the Trichinopoly District shall be the one in which Survey operations are to be carried on next year by the Survey party under Lieut. Hessy's orders.-

The boundaries having been adjusted the year before last no difficulty is to be anticipated on that head but it is high time that Station Markers were despatched to prepare the villages for Survey by the beginning of 1858.

I trust before the commencement of next year to have received explicit instructions regarding the fields to be measured even should it have been found impossible by that time to have such plots of ground marked out as are to bear one number and the contents of which are to be separately recorded.-

(Signed) F.J.B. Priestly Captain,
Supert. of Revenue Survey.

Survey Office, Cuddalore 31 October 1857.

True Copy
(Signed) A. Newill,
Director of Revenue Settts.

Resolution

18471 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

B. 3983

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that the above letter be forwarded as requested for the consideration of Government.-

(754-755)

2. The Board conclude that the Survey of the Volconda poorum Taluk may proceed with the Establishment already at Captain Priestly's disposal.-

3. The Board see no objection to preparations being made for the Rajahmundry Survey but they do not anticipate that much can be done till the Establishment asked for have been sanctioned.-

4. It will be for the Director of Revenue settlements to decide as to the details of the procedure in measuring fields, and no doubt he will, when on the spot, be able to find means of determining what had best be done.

With

18472 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

5. With reference to para 7 the Board observe that in para 25 of their Proceedings of 27th February 1854, they permitted the Surveyor to measure round 3 or 4 small fields if that area was not above 1 acre on "Wet" or 2 acres on "Dry" land and to enter the sizes of the interior small fields from the Cur:=nams' Accounts: if the sum total as per Curnums account corresponded with the area computed by the Surveyor. The Board cannot give authority for the Curnums measuring a field unless reported qualified by the Superintendent of Survey. If they can be taught to do this filling up it will be a great Service but whoever it is that measures every field must be shewn in the map drawn to scale and for the correctness of this Captain Priestley alone is responsible:-

Sur
Cor
316

To

18473 Fort St. George 17th November 1857.

No 127.

To. The Secretary
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(756)
B. No 4487.
From the Superintendent
Revenue Survey - - -

Sir,

I have the honor to request that I may be granted privilege leave for fourteen days from the date of quitting the South Arcot District to visit the Neilgherry hills.-

Survey Office
Cuddalore,
14th November 1857

Signed Frederick J. B. Priestley Capt.
Superintendent Revenue Survey

B. do No 3965
Proceedings thereon.

(756-757)

Submitted through the Military Auditor General for the favorable consideration of Government.-

The Board consider that -
2.
Captain Priestley's future application should be forwarded through Mr. Newill.-

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th November 1857 regarding the Revision of assessment of North Arcot.-

B. No 3990
Resolution thereon.

(758)

Resolved also that a copy be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot who will carry out the various instructions of the Board in conformity with the wishes of Government as expressed in para 6 Extract Minutes Consultation dated 11th November 1857 No 1150 P.D.-

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.-

To

18475 Fort St. George 17th. November 1857.

760 Received the undermentioned
Mr. No. 2448 Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Forwarding a supplimental Return
761 of persons charged under Regulation IX of 1822 for
Mr. No. 2445 September 1857 received from the Sub Collector.

From the Collector of Canara. Return of Village
762 Officers under Regulation VI of 1831 for
Mr. No. 2446. September 1857.

From the Collector of Canara. Return of Village Officers
763 under Regulation VI of 1831 for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2447.

From the Collector of Canara. No Returns of Village Officers
764 under Regulation VI of 1831 for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2449

From the Collector of Canara. Register of the state of
765 the River Cavery for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2441.

From the Collector of Canara Salt Account Current
766 for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2442

From the Acting Collector of Ganjam Salt Account Current
767 for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2443.

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Average Bazar Prices
768 of Grain for October 1857.
Mr. No. 2444.

From the Acting Collector Guntoor. Average Bazar Prices
of Grain for October 1857.

Proceeding