Vol.
2377

Contents

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con. 16 June 1853	
1361	From Govt.	Respecting the Gueni Estates in Canara	7386
1245	Order Canara	Commg. the above	7389
1364	From Govt.	Arrangements, consequent on the abolition of the Tobacco monopoly &ca.	7390
1257	Order Canara &ca.	Commg. the above	7398
1428	From Govt.	Certificate of Syed Massoon, Hydrabad	"
1244	Order Kurnool	Commg. the above	7399
1471	From Govt.	Sanctg. the employment of certain Servants, Salem	7400
1256	Order Salem	Commg. the above	7402
1473	From Govt.	Management of certain Mootahs, Tinnevelly	"
1240	Order Tinny.	Commg. the above	"
1430	From Govt.	Discontinuing the practice of examining Banghy parcels	7403
1246	Order Madras	Commg. the above	7404
1472	From Govt.	Transfer of an entry, Sanctd. - Trichy	"
1239	Order Trichy	Commg. the above	7405
1492	From Govt.	Sanction for writing off Tobacco wastage, Malabar	"
1241	Order Malabar	Commg. the above	"
1540	From Masulpm.	Land measures in use in the District	"
1221	Pro. Nellore	For lodging certain sums in the Talook Treasuries & auditing certain Accounts	7408
778	From Nellore	Mr. Travers Cundregas &ca.	7409
1271	Pro. Govt.	On the above	7456
1410	From Nellore	Shipping Salt to Masulipm.	7461
1237	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above	7462
1222	Pro. Bellary	Payment of the Engineers departt., disposal of certain sums	"
1519	From do.	Reg. female Pensioners	7463
1223	Pro. Cuddapah &ca.	Disposal of certain sums &ca.	7465
1294	From Chingt.	Remission of Moturpha tax on certain Shops	7467
1238	Pro. Govt.	On the above	7468
	Pro. N. Arcot &ca.	Disposal of certain sums &ca.	"
	From Salem	Leave of absence to Collr.	7470
1228	Pro. S. Arcot	Disposal of certain sums &ca.	7471
1255	Boards Pro.	On the Settlt. of S. Arcot for F. 1261	7472
1229	Pro. Tanjore &ca.	Disposal of certain sums &ca.	7486
1359	From Madura	Statet. of arrears of Yeomiah	7487
1236	Remarks Govt.	Subg. the above for Sanction	7490

Bd. No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1231	Pro. Tinny &c.	Disposal of certain Sums	7491
1532	From Coimbre.	Return of Tahsildars	7492
1233	Pro. Canara	Disposal of certain Sums	7498
1313	From do.	Calling for certain documents on the commutation rates	"
1286	Pro. do.	Forwarding the above	7500
1234	Pro. Malabar	Disposal of certain Sums	7501
1223	From Madras	Papers reff. certain land belonging to Armoogum My.	"
1243	Boards Pros.	On the above	7563
1263	Pro. Madras	Forwarding letter reff. Weights & Measures	7569
1451	From Marine Bd.	Salt Rowannahs	7570
1272	To Govt.	Restrictions in force in certain districts	7571
1242	To Tinny &c.	Return of Persons &c.	7575
1217	To Medl. Board	Calling for certain document	"

20 June

Bd. No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1558	From Secy. Bd. of Rev.	Leave of absence	7581
1427	From Govt.	On the Extra Sources of Rev. Kurnool F. 1261 - irrecoverable balances	7582
1267	Order Kurnool	Commg. the above	7584
1437	From Govt.	Orders reff. Mr. Robinson's Survey of the Umshom in Malabar	"
1298	Pro. Malabar	On the above	7594
1450	From Govt.	Respecting the management of the Estate of the late Goolam Mahomed &c., Salem	7595
1268	Order Salem	Commg. the above	7596
1493	From Govt.	To admit the Charges retrenched - Malabar	"
1262	Order Malabar	Commg. the above	"
1494	From Govt.	For destroying Stamped Paper	7597
1261	Order Sup. Stamps	Commg. the above	"
1518	From Govt.	Resumption of the Jaghire of Khaderabad, and the continuance of a Yeomiah - Kurnool	7598
1307	Order Kurnool	Commg. the above	7599
1526	From Govt.	Refund of Stamp duty &c. - Salem	"
1288	Order Salem	Commg. the above	7600
1525	From Govt.	Continuance of lapsed Enam - Tanjore	"
1287	Order Tanjore	Commg. the above	"
1517	From Govt.	Confirming the award of the Commrs. of 1815 reff. a boundary dispute	7601
1269	Order Malabar	Commg. the above	"
1524	From Govt.	Reff. the family &c. of the Hoozoor Naib Shr. of Nellore	"
1300	Order Nellore	Commg. the above	7603

Bd. No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1084	From Vizagpm.	Certain outstanding balances	7603
1546	From do.	Reff. the appointt. of Uncovenanted Assistts.	7605
1366	From Nellore	Petn. reff. a Yeomiah	7607
1260	Order do.	Forwarding correspce. regg. the above	"
1514	From Nellore	Desertion of the Ryots in consequence of over assessment of the Village of Somarazpully &c.	7608
1275	Pro. do.	Reduction of Magany rates in the above Village	7613
1562	From Bellary	Statet. of offers for renting the Ferry Toll &c.	"
1279	Pro. do.	Sanctg. the above	7618
1435	From Cuddapah	Explanation reff. the embezzlement in Doovoor	"
1277	Pro. do.	On the above	7620
	From Kurnool &c.	Specimens of Salt	"
	From Salem	Marriage fees &c.	7622
1458	From do.	Lands for cultivating Coffee	7623
1291	Pro. do.	Authog. the above	7626
1392	From S. Arcot	Alterations in the Pay of certain Servants	7627
1273	To Govt.	On the above	7629
1305	Boards Pros.	Relative to the Settlet. of Land Rev. Tanjore, F. 1261	7631
1291	From Tanjore	Tabular report of lapsed Enams	7646
1296	Remarks Govt.	On the above	7666
1406	From Trichy	To incorporate certain Villages with the Laul-goody Talook	7667
1278	Pro. do.	Authog. the above	7668
1439	From Tinny	Application from Messrs. Heale & Cocy for certain land	"
1292	Pro. do.	Requestg. further information on the above	7671
1453	From do.	Statet. of Charges for sanction	"
1280	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above	7673
1477	From Tinny	Sale of the Govt. Spice Gardens	7674
1281	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above for sanction	7678
1556	From Tinny &c.	Return of Tahsildars	7679
1550	From Madras	Observations on the Forms submitted by the Board	7689
1552	From do.	Regarding Enams	7692
1274	To Govt.	Sevoy Jummah balances	7694
1284	To Civil Audr.	Forwarding certain Statements	7708
	Govt. Press	Forms of Rowanahs	7715

1386 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.—

No Diary—

At a Meeting Present—

Thursday 16th June 1853— } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and
J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars—

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved—

(1)

Read the following Extracts—

Revenue Department—

Bd. No. 1361. No. 559.

From the Secretary to Government— Requesting further information on the Guem Estates in Canara— } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 21st May 1853—

Read again Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 17th February and recorded in Diary to Consultation 15th April 1853. No. 207—

In the concluding para of the above Extract from their Proceedings the Board referring to a previous communication state that as a desirable preliminary to the concession of Proprietary

Pro: 23d August 1852 Para 9.

Proprietary right the Board had considered that an investigation of the capabilities of the Estates with a view to the equalization of the assessment, whether it be too high or too low should be made even though no regular survey should take place. Nearly two thirds of the entire number are now paying the full assessment but the opportunity the Board think should not be lost to obtain by a survey on right principles correct accounts of the Estates to be given up, a small Establishment under a surveyor of experience would suffice and in this way the foundation might be laid for a more general survey of the District the object and advantages of which might be better understood and appreciated by a beginning made in the manner proposed in the Board's Proceedings dated 8th May 1851.

a Circar Gunnie.

Para 2.

2- It is to be presumed that the concession of Proprietary right to be preceded by an investigation into the Capabilities of the Estates, spoken of in the beginning of the above passage refers to the relinquishment of these

these rights generally and not to the modified measures proposed by the Board in their subsequent proceedings which was to be acted upon immediately, the power of Government to revise the assessment of the Wings affected by it, at any future time being specially reserved. With regard to the proposal for a survey on a small scale alluded to as having been suggested in the Board's Proceedings of 8th May 1851 it is remarked that in those Proceedings it was recommended that a small survey Establishment should be attached to the Collector's Office to aid him in the examination and Measurement of Estates when necessary and for carrying out the orders of the Civil Courts for the transfer and subdivision of lands. The charge for such an Establishment it was believed would be fully repaid by the frauds and abuses that would be brought to light. The recommendation was revived in the Board's Proceedings of 25th September

a 17th February 1853.

Para 2

25th September 1851. which with a communication from the Court of Sudr Udalut on the same subject was received by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 23d December 1851.—

3.— The Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the Board will consult the Collector of Canara as to the scale of the Survey establishment which would be required for the purpose indicated in their Proceedings of 17th February last the Cost, and how far it could be formed in the District, and on the expediency of employing it in the manner and for the objects suggested in those proceedings, preparatory to a more general survey and report the result to Government with their own opinion.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

1-2

Bd. No. 1245.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with a request that he will

furnish the Board with his opinion upon the points adverted to in para 3.—

3

Revenue Department.—

No. 560.

Bd. No. 1364.

From the Secretary to Government.—

Reviewing the Board's letter of 11th April 1853. and stating that the various additions therein solicited for the present Establishment of the Canara District consequent on the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly will be recommended to the Government of India also stating that the gratuities recommended to be given to the discharged servants of the Tobacco Establishment will be considered in the Public Department and desiring to have returns of the discharged Tobacco servants in Malabar and Coimbatore who are entitled to gratuities.—

1st January 1853

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 21st May, 1853.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 11th April 1853 No. 144.)

Para 1. With the foregoing communication the Board of Revenue submit three letters from the Collector of Canara, soliciting additions to the present Establishments of his District, consequent on the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly and from other causes.—

In the first of the letters submitted, the Collector adverts to the inadequate footing on which the Establishments of his District have been maintained, and to the necessity which has arisen, in consequence of carrying on the work of one Department by the assistance of

of servants in another. Hence, it appears much of the duty in the Police, Revenue, Salt and Coir Departments, has been performed by the Tobacco Establishment and the several Departments having thus become more or less blended the entire reduction of a Department so extensive as that of Tobacco, has created a difficulty in carrying on the business of the others, which would not have been experienced if each Department had been placed originally on a scale adequate to it's own requirements.—

Para 3.

"This was very much felt," the Board observe, "when the whole of the Sayer Establishment was reduced and now that another large Department is also about to be done away with the Board can fully understand and appreciate the embarrassment which the sudden withdrawal of upwards of 200 servants will occasion to the Collector and Magistrate in carrying on the general duties of his District and his observation that

that he does not know how business can go on without the retention of those he points out"—

3.

From Statement A submitted with the above letter, it appears that on the termination of the Monopoly on the 31st December 1852, the Tobacco Establishment consisted of 213 servants drawing an aggregate monthly salary of 1,203 Rupees of these 11 servants have since been provided with situations in other Departments 152 are to be dismissed and the remaining number the Collector proposes to retain and to employ in the following Departments.—

Collector's letter Para 21.

		Rs.	A.	P.
Statement No. 1.	7. for the Salt Department at an aggregate monthly salary	71	"	"
" 2.	9. for the Coir Department at	50	"	"
" 3.	24. for the revenue at	205	"	"
" 4.	10. for the Police at	56	"	"
	50.	382	"	"

altogether 50. servants at an aggregate pay of Rupees 382 " " per Mensem which deducted from the Cost of

of the Tobacco Establishment Rupees 1,203 leaves a saving of Rupees 821 per Mensem or Rupees 9,852 per annum.—

4. Looking to the fact that the popula=tion of Canara has all but doubled since the Establishments were first fixed, and to the large increase of business which has taken place in consequence, without adequate provision having been made to meet it, the Government are of opinion that the Board have shewn sufficient grounds for the additions which they have recommended.—

24th January 1853 No. 687. 5. In his two subsequent letters the Collector proposes additions to the Salt Departments of Nagerbyle and Kuttigal at an aggregate monthly escpence of Rupees 193—8—11— and submits an application for the entertainment of a Cutwal and 6 additional Peons at a Cost of Rupees 47—8—11— per Mensem for the Town of Sirsy, but these additions it is to be observed are recommend=ed on special grounds unconnected with the abolition

abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly.—

Para 9— 6— In regard to Nagerbyle the Board observe that it is the principal place for the Manufacture of Salt in the Canara District and the quantity produced has lately been increased by 500 Garce or Indian Maunds 60,000— The total manufacture amounts to 1,500 Garce or Indian Maunds 180,000, which with the stock of the previous year is valued by the Collector at Rupees 300,000. The Establish=ment at present allowed for the receipt, custody and distribution of this large amount of property, consists of 19 servants drawing an aggregate monthly salary of Rupees 108. This Establishment the Collector and the Board of Revenue consider inadequate to the labor and responsibility devolving on them, and the Collector is satisfied that much of the loss of wastage of Salt in this Taluk where the percentage was extremly high has arisen from want of efficient control. He therefore

therefore proposes to increase the number of servants to 32, augmenting at the same time the salaries of those at present under paid at an additional monthly cost of Rupees-111.-

7- At Ruttigal the Board observe, "the sales of Salt have increased from 259 to 649 Garce or little short of 3 fold." The sales" they add," now amount to Rupees 17,800. for conducting and taking charge of which the high= =est servant attached to the Tannah is a Monegar on Rupees 8 per Mensem." The Collector therefore proposes to increase the Esta= =blishment from Rupees 39-8 to Rupees 122 per Mensem, employing 17 servants instead of 8, at an additional charge of Rupees 82-8 per Mensem.-

8. In respect to the Cutwal and 6 Peons proposed to be entertained at a Cost of Rupees 47-8-- per Mensem for the town of Sirey the

Para 10. Board observe that this town "has increased within the last few years from a small

Village

Village to a town of very considerable import= =ance owing to the opening of the great high road from Darwar to Coomptah by which nearly the whole of the Cotton trade is carried on to the Coast. "The population" they add "now amounts to 1400 besides the constant influx of strangers. The Police duties there= =fore have increased beyond the means of the present Establishment to perform them, and require an Officer whose duties do not require him to move about the district as is the case with the Tahsildar who has the Revenue and Police of a very important talook to attend to."-

9- The Government quite agree with the Board under the circumstances represented, that the additions proposed by the Collector for the Salt and Police De= =partments are highly necessary for the protection of this important branch of Revenue and the efficient discharge of the

public

public business; and they resolve to recommend to the Government of India the various additions proposed, which they observe involve a total monthly expenditure of 623 Rupees as exhibited below.—

For the Salt Department per

Mensem	Rs. 71.	Consequent on the abolition of the Tobacco Monopoly.—
Coir Do.	" 50.	
Revenue	" 205.	
Police	" 56.	
	382.	

Salt Department at Nagerbyle	111 " "	Unconnected with the abolition of the Monopoly.—
Do. Kuttigal	82 8 "	
Police Establishment at Sircy	47 8 "	
	241 " "	
Total Rupees	623 " "	per Mensem.—

10.

The recommendation of the Collector and the Board of Revenue for the grant of gratuities to the discharged servants of the Tobacco Establishment in Canara will be considered in the Public Department. It will be convenient for Government to have before them

similar

similar returns of the discharged Tobacco servants in Malabar and Coimbatore entitled to gratuities, that the whole may be disposed of together.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) J. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

3-4

Bd. No. 1257 Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's letter to the Collector of Canara for his information.

Ordered also that Copy of para 10 of the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to the Collectors of Coimbatore and Malabar respectively for their information and guidance.

5

Bd. No. 1428 From the Secry. to Government.

No. 592. Revenue Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 31st May, 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 3 of 1853/54.

To, J. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 487 under date the

the 3d Instant, and in reply to State that this Treasury will be prepared to disburse the Monthly Stipend of Syed Mossoon therein alluded to on that Individual producing a last Pay Certificate from the Agent at Kurnool.

Hyderabad Residency, 11th May, 1853.

(Signed) J. Low
Resident

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 3d Instant No. 486. The Board will request the Agent at Kurnool to furnish the Resident at Hydrabad with the Descriptive Roll and last pay Certificate of Syed Mossoon.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

5-6

Bd. No. 1244

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool for his information and guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 16th May 1853.

Extract

No. 598.

Bd. No. 1471

From The Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 31st May, 1853.

No. 1241.

7

Extract from the Proceedings of the Most Noble the Governor General of India in Council, in the Financial Department, under date the 12th May, 1853.

Read an Extract from the Home Department No. 434 dated the 4th Instant forwarding for orders a Despatch from the Government of Fort St. George No. 446 of the 20th Ultimo with Enclosures and a Tabular Statement soliciting sanction to the employment of Toties and Tundulgars in certain Villages of the District of Salem, and the increase of wages appropriated to Tundulgars in other Villages of that District.

Resolution

On the recommendation of the Government of Fort St. George the Most Noble the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the employment of Toties and Tundulgars or Village watchers and inferior Revenue Officers in certain Villages of the District of Salem and the raising of the wages appropriated to Tandulgars in

7401 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Present Scale Per Annum	Rs.	A.	P.	Scale now sanctioned per Annum	Rs.	A.	P.
1 Tondulgar of Byala-yooudahully	4	4	11	1 Toty for Costatapatty	12	"	"
1 Do. of Nundimunga-lum	4	11	10	1 Do. for Narmooly cottah	12	"	"
				1 Tundulgar for Peochenbatty Tarruff	12	"	"
				1 Do. for Turruff Tippanoor	12	"	"
				1 Do. for curray Ho-seapoorum	12	"	"
				1 Toty for Shenkarry poorum	12	"	"
				1 Tundulgar for Torayhully	12	"	"
				1 Do. Byalagoonda hully	12	"	"
				1 Do. of Nundimungalum	9	"	"
Total Rupees	9	"	9	Total Rupees	105	"	"
					9	"	9
				Increase per Annum	95	15	3

in certain other Villages of that District attended with a permanent increase of charge to the extent of Rupees 95 – 15 – 3 per annum as per Margin the payment being made by assignments of Land.–

Order
Copy of a Letter from the Government of Fort St. George to Home Department No. 446 dated 20th April 1853 Original Tabular statement

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution be sent to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort St. George and the papers noted in the margin returned.–

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C Allen
Secy. to the Government of India

No. 389

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St. George with reference to Mr. Secretary Pycroft's letter No. 446 dated the 20th Ultimo.–

Fort St. George, Home Departt. The 18th May, 1853.

By order of the Governor Genearl in Council.–
(Signed) Edward Thomas
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India

Ordered that the sanction of the Government of India conveyed in the above proceedings be communicated to the Board

7402 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Board of Revenue with reference to their letter of the 14th March last and also to the Offices of account and audit.–

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

7-8

Bd. No. 1256
Order thereon

Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 11th February 1853.

In Cons. 14th March 1853.

Read the following Resolution No. 597

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 31st May, 1853.
(From Bd. 2d May 1853)

9

Bd. No. 1473
From the Secy. to Government

In the foregoing Proceedings the Court of Wards review the report furnished by the Collector of Tinnevelly on the Management of the Saptoor, Ooatha-malay and Nagalapooram Mootahs for Fusly 1261. The remarks of the Court appear appropriate and the properties to be in a Satisfactory condition.–

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

9-10

Bd. No. 1240
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to

to the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to the Court's Proceedings dated 2d May 1853.

11

Bd No 1430 From the Secy to Government

Read the following Extract
Revenue Department.
No 599.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 31st May, 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue
(Here enter 14th April 1853 No 147)

1. The acting Collector of Madras represents the inconvenience arising from the present practice of opening at his Office all parcels transmitted by Bangby from Foreign territories with a view to the Collection of the duty leviable on the articles they Contain, and the Board of Revenue before offering any opinion on that officers suggestion for discontinuing the Custom desire to be furnished with information as to the practice which prevails in this respect in regard to Bungby parcels received from Foreign places in Bengal and Bombay.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor

Governor in Council observes from the letter of the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India dated 15th June 1844, and communicated to the Board of Revenue on the 23d July following that the Custom of Sending Banghey parcels for examination to the Custom House was discontinued in Calcutta in 1842, and he thinks that the practice might well be abolished at Madras. He therefore directs that it be given up.

3. The Post Master General will watch the effect of this concession and report to Government if there be reason to suspect that it is abused.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

11-12

Bd No 1246 Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Madras with reference to his letter dated 7th March 1853.

In Cons 4th Apl 1853

13

Bd No 1472 From the Chief Secy to Government

Read the following Resolutions
No 529
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 1st June, 1853.
From Bd 26th May (53)

Sanctioned. (Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary
ordered

Bd. No. 1239
Order thereon

(13-14)

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.

No. 614.

(15)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 7th June, 1853.
(From Bd. 19th May 1853)

Bd. No. 1492
From the Secy. to Government

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of Malabar the wastage of Tobacco in Fusly 1261 amounting to Candies 74 — 6 — 31½ of the value of Rupees (3535 — 10 — 11) three thousand five hundred and thirty five, annas ten and Pice Eleven.

(Signed) J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

(15-16)

Bd. No. 1241
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 26th April 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 17.

(17)

To W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1540
From the Collr. of Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to your Circular letter of the 24th March last, I have the

the honor to forward herewith a statement shewing the names, description, and size of land Measures in use in this District exhibiting the variations which obtain in each Talook.

2. In the public accounts the land measure is entered in Cutties, and in Talooks where the Potty is used it's equivalent in Cutties is entered in the accounts. The practice of measuring land by ascertaining what extent a certain Measure of grain will sow, does not obtain in the Government Talooks of this District.

3. In the addenda to the enclosure of your letter under reply the Masulipatam Cutty is stated to be divided into 32 Goontahs, each Goonta being 1/16 of a Viss, the Viss 36 feet Square. This appears to be an error, for according to the trial made in fusly 1259 in this District, it should be as shewn below.

663,552 Sqre. feet per cutty

		Superficial square feet
1 Viss or Veesum measures (the unit of measurement being 5½ Cubits or 9 F. 6 I.)	= 38 feet Squared	1,444
16 Viss measures 1 Goonta		23,104
32 Goontahs 1 Cutty		739,328

4. The difference appears to have arisen from the 5½ Cubit measure having been calculated at 9 feet long only instead of 9½ feet long, thus making the Veesum equal to 36 feet Squared instead of 38 feet Squared which last gives the area of a Cutty at 739,328, not 663,552 Square feet.

(Signed) J. D. Lushington
Collector

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 8th June 1853

Statement

Grand Jl. Opera 16 June 1853.

Present all the Members

Absent Mr. Mountford

Call confirmed & Minutes read & signed

Ballot for Candidates

Mr. Jas. Hall Jun. Mr. Wm. Hall Jun. Mr. Jas. Hall Jun. Mr. Wm. Hall Jun.

6	Bezoarah	Do of 40 Do for Do Do divided into 8 pieces of Do of 38 Do for Do Do divided into 8 pieces of Bamboo each 4 3/4 Cubits or 8 — 1 — 15 3/4 / 16 Do of 36 Do for Garden lands at 4 1/2 Cubits
7	Nundegamah	Do of 40 Do for dry and wet lands divided into Pieces of Bamboo each 5 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden lands at Do 4 1/2 Cubits
8	Juggiahpitta	Do of 44 Cubits for dry and wet lands divided into 8 Pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits
9	Sirwoor	Do of 44 Do for Do Do Do at 5 1/2 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden land at Do 4 1/2 Do
10	Gollapully	Do of 44 Do for dry and wet lands divided into 8 Pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden land at Do 4 1/2 Do
11	Ellore	Do of 44 Do for dry and wet lands divided into 8 Pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden land at Do 4 1/2 Cubits
12	Ralungby	Do of 44 Do for dry and wet lands divided into 8 Pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits
13	Pentapandoo	Do of Do Do Do Do Do of 36 Do for Garden lands at Do 4 1/2 Do
14	Kykaloor	Do of 44 Do for dry and wet lands divided into 8 Pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden land at Do 4 1/2 Do
15	Goodewadah	Do of 44 Do for dry and wet lands divided into 8 pieces of Bamboo each 5 1/2 Cubits Do of 36 Do for Garden land at Do 4 1/2 Do

Masulipatam,
Collr's Cutcherry,
8th June, 1853

E. E. Fo / Signed / J. D. Lushington
Collector

Ordered

7408

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Order thereon

17-18

Order to lie over

19

Bd No 1221

Proceedings thereon

Read again letter from the Collector of Nellore dated 29th November and entered in Consultation 6th December 1852

1. Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Nellore to lodge in the Talook and other Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter not exceeding in the aggregate the sum of Rupees 649 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public Service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly

DG

Read

7409

Fort St George 16 June 1853

No 32 Read the following letter

20

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Bd No 778
From the Collector of Nellore

Submits a Tabular Statement embodying the necessary information regarding a portion of Mr Travers Cundregas in the District

From Bd 6 March 1851 To Do 31st Do

Sir,

1 In reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to submit a Tabular Statement embodying the necessary information regarding a portion of Mr Travers Cundrega in this District.

2 These Enams resolve themselves into 5 Classes Vizt

Cundregas granted for the support of Pagodas
Do - - - - Do - - - Chuttrums
Do - - - - Do - - Water Pundals
Do - - for founding new Villages
Do - - for - - Subsistence.

Of these the first class comprises by far the larger number, and is that treated of in the Report now submitted. The Statement it will be seen has been drawn up in reference to the observations of the Board relative to the Pagoda Endowments as recorded in their Proceedings dated 9th June 1825 on reviewing, the Statement then laid before them by Mr Smalley in pursuance of the previous correspondence on the subject. While affording full information on the several points noticed by the Board, the Reports now forwarded will be found meagre in one important particular. I allude to the nature and extent of the privileges enjoyed by the ancient Religious Institutions under the former Government, and in lieu of which it is believed Mr Travers conferred these landed Endowments. He explains indeed in one of his communications to Mr Thackeray dated so

Paras 13 & 14

far

far back as the 30th December 1808, that on assuming charge of the District" a Custom prevailed of levying Roossooms under the head of Grama Khurch from the Inhabitants of all Villages for the support of their Churches. The Collection of the tribute necessarily gave rise to oppressions on the part of those entrusted, with that duty, and to perpetual and intricate references to the Collector on the pat of the Inhabitants as it was the head also under which all private assessment was made" and he added that it appeared to him" an extremely desirable measure to define at once what the Ryot was to pay. To produce this as well as benefit to the Company by cultivation of Waste lands" he prohibited the Collection of Grama Khurch altogether, and granted in lieu of it "tracts of Beedoo+ land and at favorable Rents particularly for the support and performance of Religious rites". In the property of these grants, unauthorized and illegal as they were the Board in 1825 also seemed to express their acquiescence, and after the strength of title imported by the prescription of half a Century the tacit recognition during all that period of the right to these Endowments (altho the subject has been agitated from time to time) and the unconditional surrender of

+ Waste

Para 13 of the Progs already quoted

of some in favor of the Pagodas when these were transferred from Government management under the orders directing the severance of the state from the Religious Institutions of the Country, it has appeared to me neither just nor expedient to disturb these grants. It will be seen that I have accordingly guided myself by this principle. In some few cases however from Mr Travers Cundregas having been given while the Pagodas were already in possession of large Endowments from the abandonment of service, or from other cause sufficiently explained in the Statement, the resumption of the grants is recommended. In others where a surplus of income over expenditure, or the existence of other sources of Revenue is shown, a proportionate augmentation of the Jodi is suggested while in others again the excess found by measurement in the area of the Enams is proposed to be resumed.

The inquiry into the other descriptions of Cundregas has been nearly completed and similar Statements in regard to them will be submitted hereafter.

Nellore
Collectors Cutcherry
9th March 1853

/Signed/ D. White
Collr

Statement

Fort St. George 15th June 1853

Kundregas alienated by Mr. Travers for the Support of Pagodas in the District of Nellore.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			11	12		
				Extent of Land as per original grant				As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants				Revenues derived from the Kundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Kundregas			
	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder		Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	Description and extent of land	assessment as per average rate of the Village			Fusly	amount		
								Goetoor	Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p
Granted by Travers Fusly 1218. Pagoda Kumara swamy alias Chennaswamy	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagodas are at present under the management of Ranpareddy & Gungyreddy & Sankareddy and Gungareddy Venkatareddy on behalf of the whole Village community.		"	"	15	15	Wet 13 27/32	382	15	1	Fusly 1256	161	"	5
								Mooloom 127/250	20	3	3	" 1257	141	13	6
								Garab 57/64	57	10	6	" 1258	145	4	6
								Rejected land 21/64	"	"	"	" 1259	159	5	"
												" 1260	152	8	"
								15 213/256	454	12	10	Total	762	15	5
												average	152	9	6
Granted by Dr. Fusly 1217 to the Pagoda of Veemaswamy	D.	The Pagoda is under the management of Manavaleddy Sobanadri Trustees of the Pagoda.		"	"	50	50	Dry 20 61/64	219	5	11	Fusly 1256	36	"	"
								Jungle land 21 7/16	"	"	"	" 1257	36	"	"
												" 1258	36	"	"
								47 29/64	219	5	11	" 1259	36	"	"
												" 1260	36	"	"
												Total	180	"	"
												average	36	"	"

If the Pagodas are old

13	14	15		16	17	18	19
Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Kundrega		Nature of the privileges resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
	Fusly	amount				
Rs a p		Rs a p				
19 2 "	"	" "	Not Known			
15 6 "	"	" "	Previous to the assumption of the District by the company the expences of the Pagoda were defrayed out of the village Sunderwards	Not known	Not known	Discontinued after the assumption of the District by the Company

7413

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Difference between Columns 12 and 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are new			Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Discription	Amount		By whom erected	At what time	Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs a c	Rs a c							
" " "	" " "	Chittatevaur Poligars Roosooms conferred by Poligars on the Pagoda	20 " "	The Pagodas are kept up and frequented	"	"	"	It appears that before the Cundrega was granted the Pagodas were supported by means of subscriptions raised in the Village. The annual income of this Pagoda from all sources amounts to Rupees 261-9-6 from which the Jodee of 19-4-" payable to Government being deducted the remainder is Rupees 242-5-6. The annual disbursements are stated to amount to Rupees 233-8-" If in any one year there happens to be a surplus it is said to be appropriated to the repairs of vehicles in the Pagoda &c &c as the Pagodas are kept up and frequented, it does not seem expedient to resume the Cundrega, but adverting to the other incomes possessed by the institution (Vide Columns 22-23) the Jodee with which the Enam is charged way without hardship be raised and fixed at 30 Rupees
		Money allowance paid by certain banians of Vencanapolem in the Vencatagherry Zemindary	20 " "					
			40 " "					
		Annual income from 1¾ Gortoos of Dry & 3 21/64 Gortoos of Wet Enam	69 " "					
			109 " "					
" " "	" " "	"	" " "					

D°

The Cundrega is rented out at a fixed rental of Rs 36 per annum from which the Jodee payable to Government (Rs 15-6) being deducted there are only Rupees 20-10-" left which are appropriated to the daily ceremonies. This is stated to be insufficient to meet the necessary expenditure and the Village community it appears make up the deficiency by voluntary contributions among themselves. The lands of the Cundrega are apparently poor and only a portion it will be observed is cultivated and that too with dry grains as the service is continued and as the institution appears to have been supported by the former Government, the Collector is of opinion that the existing grant should not be interfered with.

10 June 1853.

Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Lands Cleared: Dry	Lands Cleared: Wet	Lands not cleared including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fasly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Rendrecgabes during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Rendrecgabes: Fasles	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Rendrecgabes: Fasles.	Amount.	Nature of the previleges resumed	Extent.	By what authority granted
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
								Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.			
Sreechenna Kesavaswamy in Fasly 1211	For the support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is managed by Inc. Rumreddy Lucka reddy as grandson of Krishnareddy the original founder of the Pagoda.	" "	336 32/64	236 32/64		Gortos		Fasly 1256	126 " "	57 12 "	"	"	During the Nabobs' Government the expences of the Pagoda were paid from the Villages Sadeerwarch and charges which practice were discontinued after the assumption of the District by the Company.	Not Known	Not Known
							Wet 9 15/16	193 2 3								
							Dry 23 32/64	291 9 4	1257	207 13 9						
							Mootam 3- 19/64	69 3 9	1258	218 " 3						
							Tarrack " 7/64	34 7 "	1259	199 8 3						
									1260	266 12 3						
							57 21/64	588 8 4								
							Pasture land 163- 7/64	" " "	Total	1018 3 "						
							220 28/64	" " "	average	203 10 2						
							Deduction on account of the site of the Village &c. 19- 24/64	" " "								
							239 52/64	588 8 4								
Sree Chenna Kesavaswamy in Fasly 1211	For the support of the Pagoda	Inamdar Rummareddy Narrainreddy son of Venkata Rummareddy Original founder of the Pagoda.	4 2/64	2	18 6/64	22 7/64	Dry 4 2/64	41 14 6	Fasly 1250	78 8 "						
							Wet 12 5/64	268 14 10	" 57	71 9 "						
							16 7/64	310 13 4	" 58	40 " "						
							Pasture waste 13 29/64		" 59	40 13 "						
							29 56/64		" 60	32 " "						
										261 14 "						
							Water Courses Sandy Hills and Saline Soils &c. 3- 9/64		average	52 9 2						
							32 45/64									

T. T. R.

7415

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Defference between Columns 12 and 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new.		Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.	Remarks
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26		
Rs a s	Rs a s							
" " "	" " "	Dry and Wet Enam 2 46/64 Gortoos at Wodoor	15 " "	This Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	"	"	"	The excess of 205 1/16 Gortoos found by measurement in this Cundriga above the quantity originally granted alluded to in the Statement submitted by the late Collector Mr Smalley on the 31st October 1824 was incorporated with Government lands. The total income of this Pagoda is Estimated on an average at Rupees 283-10-2- The annual disbursement as per accounts produced by the Trustee who represents himself to be the grand son of the original founder of the Pagoda 25 years previous to the assumption of the Country amount to Rupees 368-12-8 besides Rupees 57-12-" the annual Jodee payable for the Cundriga making a total of Rupees 426-8-8- The Trustee asserts that he defrays the amount of the deficits adverting however to the large extent of improvable waste in the Cundriga the annual Jodee may it appears to the Collector be hence forward fixed at Rupees 70-"-"-"
		Chittatiwars Roossooms allotted to this Pagoda	38 8 "					
		Calavasem or grain fees &c	26 8 "					
			80 "					
" " "	" " "	Wet Enam Gortoos 3 Valued at	60 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	By Vencatarama reddy father of the present holder.	In Fusly 1214	There existed no Pagoda in the Village previous to the Establishment of the one under reference which was the cause of its Establishment.	From the accounts now produced by the Trustees and from those received from the Talook Cutcherry it appears that the average annual income is Rupees 150-8-2- and that the disbursement of the Pagoda together with the amount of annual Idee payable to Government are Rs 215-2-7. The Trustee asserts that in years in which the lands of the Cundriga yield will there is a surplus of about Rs 40 or 50 after paying the Circar Jodee- This surplus is appropriated to the lights constantly kept in the Pagoda and for the daily offerings- When the income falls short, the Trustee defrays the charges from his own funds. a small extent of land seems to have been granted to the Pagoda servants- The present Demand upon the Cundriga appearing to be sufficiently high in reference to the extent of cultivable land comprised in it and to the other means of income possessed by the Pagoda, no increase is recommended and as the service is kept up the Collector is unwilling to propose interfering with the Grant.
		Chittatiwar Poligars Roossooms allotted by the Poligar to the Pagoda	36 " "					
		Grain fee	2 " "					
			98 " "					

Fort St. George

15th June 1853

Name of the Talook Village and Cundroga.	Names of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant.				As per measurement made in Fasly 1261 by Talook Servants.		Revenue derived from the Cundrogas during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Kundrogadars		Arrears outstanding against the Cundrogas.		Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Fasly.	Amount.	Nature of the privilege resumed.	Co
				Lands classed.		Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Fasly.	amount.							
				Dry.	Wet.													
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12			13	14	15	16	
								Gorstoo	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.				
Sreevumbavoo Narrainyo Cundroga in Erlavoor in Sunnud fully Talook.	Sreevumbavoo Swaraswamy in fasly 1215	For the support of the Pagodas	The Cundroga is attached to the Pagodas and managed by the Head Caulpoos Sunnadeddy and Doovvoor the Trustees	"	"	19 1/64	19 1/4	Wet 20 13 1/2 / 64	2 12 10 3	1256	195 13 6							The present incu
										57	115 14 "							are for the of the gros produce is given to the Curcan or Cruan average 43-15-8.
										58	71 6 6							
										59	13 12 2							
										60	42 15 "							
										Total	439 13 2							
										average	87 15 6							
Vullore in Sunnud fully Talook.	Granted to a Roman Catholic Church in Vellore.	D:-	The Church is under the management of the Right Reverend John Feinnelly Catholic Bishop	"	50	15	65	Dry 2 5/32	13 7 1	1256	224 4 7			124	"	"	"	"
								Wet - 52 7/8	743 8 10	57	224 4 7							
								Modcam 1 7/16	37 11 9	58	224 4 7							
								Sarcab - " 23/64	20 13 6	59	300 " "							
								Pasture land 11 44/64	" " "	60	300 " "							
								68	815 9 2		1272 13 9							
										average	254 9 2							

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Measurement in Fusly Talook ...nts. ...tion ...nt	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenues derived from the Cundragas during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Kundregadars. Fushes.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fushes.	Amount.	If the Pagodas are old. Nature of the previlege resumed.	Extent.	By what authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
	1		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		toos	Rs. a. P.		Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.		Rs. a. P.				
5	Sreevunhaswa raswamys Cundriga in Colanoo coodooroo in Survapully Talook	3½/4	412 10 3	1256 —	195 13 6	one forth of the gross produce is given to the Circar or Enam average 43-15-8.	"	" " "	The present incumbents know nothing			
				57 —	115 14 "							
				58 —	71 6 6							
				59 —	13 12 2							
				60 —	42 15 "							
				Total —	439 13 2							
				average —	87 15 6							
6	Vulloor in Survapully Talook	2	13 7 1	1256 —	224 4 7	124	"	" " "	"	" " "	"	"
		7/8	743 8 10	57 —	224 4 7							
		7/16	37 11 9	58 —	224 4 7							
		23/64	20 13 6	59 —	300 " "							
		11/64	" " "	60 —	300 " "							
			815 9 2		1272 13 9							
				average —	254 9 2							

Fort St. George

Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant: Lands classed: Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants: Discription and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundragas during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Hundregadars: Fuslies	Amount
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
								Gortoos	Rs a P		Rs a P
Epoor in Survapully Talook	Granted to the Pagoda in the Village in Fusly 1218	For the support of the Pagoda	Doovoor Ramasawmy reddy and Epoor Ramasawmy reddy are managing the affairs of the Pagoda Head Coupoos of the Village	"	$9\frac{27}{64}$	"	$9\frac{27}{64}$	Wet $9\frac{41\frac{1}{2}}{64}$	223 3 6	Fusly 1256	100 2 6
										57	100 2 5
										58	70 1 6
										59	59 1 6
										60	49 1 6
											378 9 6
										average	75 1 6
Poodeputy in Survapully Talook	Chinnacasava swamy in Fusly 1215	Do.	The Pagoda is not in use	"	"	$28\frac{7}{16}$	$28\frac{7}{16}$	Dry $26\frac{42}{64}$	335 13 5	1256	" " "
										57	" " "
										58	" " "
										59	" " "
										60	" " "
										Total	" " "
										average	" " "

16th June 1853.-

Annual Amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundregas. Fusslies	Amount	If the Pagodas are old. Nature of the previleges resumed.-	Extent.	By what Authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs a p		Rs a p				
38 8 0	"	"	During the Nabob's time some of the expences of the Pagoda were paid out of the Sauderwar charges of the Villages.-	Not Known	During the Mohamedan Dynasty.	It is not known how long enjoyed but discontinued when the District was assumed by the Government.-
One fourth of the Grofs. produce is to go to Circar.-	"	"	"	"	"	"

7420

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Lands Classed. Dry.	Wet.	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	as per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundrega during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Cundrega dars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.	If the Pa[illegible] Nature of the privileges resumed.	Ext[illegible]
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14.	15	16	17
									Goortoos	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		
9	Telapully Goodoor in Nellore Talook	Freecaraswaraswamy in Fusly 1214.	Do.	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Goorrum Narrainreddy.	"	12 38/64	"	12 38/64	Wet 13 42/64	265 11 8	Fusly 1256 " 57 " 58 " 59 " 60 Total Average	7 6 " 81 2 4 80 2 8 51 5 " 78 13 4 298 13 4 59 12 3	38 8 "	"	" " "	During the Nabob time the expenses of the Pagoda were p[illegible] from the Village sa[illegible]ward charges [illegible] 20 or 30 Pagodas.	
10	Moolastanaswaraswamy's Cundrega in Condiapolem in Nellore Talook.	Moolastanaswaraswamy in Nellore in Fusly 1217.	For the Support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is under the management of the Head Caupoo and Curnums of the Village as Frustees.	"	20	"	20	Wet 20 6/256	202 15 "	Fusly 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average	114 " " 90 3 " 34 " " 76 " " 48 8 " 362 11 " 72 8 7	38 8 "	1258	38 8 "	In the time of the Nabob some of the expenses of the Pagoda were paid from the saderward charges of the Village.	

7420

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Lands Classed. Dry.	Wet.	Lands not classed included waste and rejected lands.	Total.	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundrega during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Cundrega dars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.	If the Pa[illegible] Nature of the privileges resumed.	Ext[illegible]
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14.	15	16	17
									Goortoos	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		
9	Tolapully Goodoor in Nellore Talook	Sreecaraswaraswamy in Fusly 1214.	Do.	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Goorrum Narrainreddy.	"	12 38/64	"	12 38/64	Wet 13 42/64	265 11 8	Fusly 1256 " — 57 " — 58 " — 59 " — 60 Total Average	7 6 " 81 2 4 80 2 8 51 5 " 78 13 4 298 13 4 59 12 3	38 8 "	"	" " "	During the Nabob time the expenses of the Pagoda were p[illegible] from the Village sa[illegible]ward charges [illegible] 20 or 30 Pagodas.	
10	Moolastanaswaraswamy's Cundrega in Condiapolem in Nellore Talook.	Moolastanaswaraswamy in Nellore in Fusly 1217.	For the Support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is under the management of the Head Caupoo and Curnums of the Village as Trustees.	"	20	"	20	Wet 20 6/256	202 15 "	Fusly 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average	114 " " 90 3 " 34 " " 76 " " 48 8 " 362 11 " 72 8 7	38 8 "	1258	38 8 "	In the time of the Nabob some of the expenses of the Pagoda were paid from the saderward charges of the Village.	

| | | | derived from | | |

7421 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.-

Difference between Column 12 and 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda-		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new.			Remarks.-
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.	Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
Rs a p								
" " "	" " "	Wet Enams $1\frac{20}{64}$ 3/4 of which half being under water the other half yields an income of Grain fee	2 12 " 6 " " 8 12 "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"		The total income of the Pagoda from the Cundrega and other sources is Rupees 68-8-3- and the annual disbursements including the Jodee are stated at Rupees 114-15-3- The Cundrega being small and not susceptible of further improvement and being charged besides with an adequate Jodee it is proposed not to interfere with the Grant.-
" " "	" " "	Wet Manium 9 Acres & 18 7/16 Coontas Bagayet 2. 11 1/4 Coontas Calavasem or Grain fees &ca	38 8 " 4 " " 42 8 " 20 8 " 63 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.-	"	"	"	The average annual income from the Cundrega and other sources is estimated at Rupees 135-"-7 The ordinary disbursements for the Pagoda including the Jodee on the Cundrega amount to Rupees 153-8-" the annual festivals being performed from funds raised by private Contributions- The present demand already bears a proper proportion to the extent and value of the Cundrega and as the net proceeds arising from it and the other sources indicated in Col. 22 & 23 are scarcely sufficient for the maintenance of the institution which is one of some repute in the District it is proposed not to interfere with the grant.-

Fort St. George

16th June 18

Name of the Taloor Village and Cundrega.	Name of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the Grant.	Name of the present occupant and relation ship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of Land as per original grant. Land Clased Dry.	Wet.	Lands not clas=sed in the wastes and rejected Lands.	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Taloor & Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assess=ment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 yedrs as per State=ment and accounts of Cun=dregadars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Govern=ment.	Arrears out the Cur Fuslies.	Amount
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Sree Kristna Swamy's Cundrega in Do.	Sree Kristna Swamy in Nellore Fusly 1217.	Do.	The Pagoda is under the Ma=nagement of Aurany Bungaroo as Trusted.	"	12	"	12	Goortoo Wet 15 62/64	Rs a p 133 14 7	1256 30 " "	Rs a p	Rs a p 11 8 9		
										57 423 "				
										58 " " "				
										59 528 "				
										60 1812 "				
										Total 1437 "				
										Average 35 13 9				
2 Streerungan Swamys Cundrega in Dumbul in the Nellore Taloot.	Sra To the Pagoda of Streerunga=naikkooloo in Fusly.	For the support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is now under the im=me=diate management of Chintatapully Haredoss a Deputy on behalf of the Durmacartha who lives at Tripaty.	23 10/64	46 1/4 "	69 26/64		Dry 3 5/64 - Wet. 58 8/64 - Mooloong 12/64 Jareeb " 39/64 70 65/64	18 12 4 9643 7 44129 29146 12541 2	Fusly 1256 57 58 59 60 Total Average	22284 26478 2288 " 26542 2738 " 125442 25013 8	The Kudre=gas is held rent free.		

7422 ... rge

16th June 18

No.	Name of Talook Village and Cundrega	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundriga: Fuslies	Amount	Nature of the previliges resumed	If the Pagodas are old: Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Rs a p	Rs a p						
11	Sree Kristna	30 " "	11 8 9	" " " "	" " "	"	" " "	"	"
	Swamy's	42 3 "							
	Cundrega	" " "							
	in D°	52 8 "							
	D° D°	18 12 "							
		143 7 "							
		35 13 9							
12	Streerungana	222 8 4	The Kudri-gas is held rent free	"	" " "	Some of the expences of the Pagoda were paid from the Village Saderward Charges which were discontinued in common with the Sayer & abkarry Roos-soomswhich formed part of the Pagoda income.	1200 " "	Not Known	Discontinued after the Assumption of the Country by the Government
	Swamys Cun	264 7 8							
	in Aumal	228 8 "							
	the Nello	265 4 2							
	Talook	273 8 "							
		254 4 2							
		250 13 8							

Dg

Fort St. George

16th June 1853.

| Difference between Columns 12 and 17. | Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda. | Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented. | If the Pagoda are new | | |

7424

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per Original grant: Lands Classed: Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants: Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Villages	Revenues derived from the Cundrega during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundrega dars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount	Nature of the privileges resumed
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
									Goortoos	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	
13	Maderauzegoo-door in Nellore Talook	Sree chinna-casawaraswa-my and Agastaswara Sawmy in Fusly 1216	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda of Sree chinnacaswara swamy is under the Management of Domayajomam Konacharry & the other under that of Chenna-reddy Narainred-dy	35	15	"	50	Dry 4 25/64	20 10 11	Fusly 1256	229 3 "	112 " "	Fusly 1258	103 9 "	
									Wet 48 49/64	818 10 8	" 57	149 4 6		1259	54 6 6	
									53 10/64	839 5 7	" 58	12 12 "			157 15 6	"
											" 59	86 8 6				
											" 60	36 8 5				
											Total	514 4 5				
											Average	102 13 8				
14	Calevalapo	Do	Do	Do	"	"	33 57/64	33 57/64	Pasture land 33 57/64	" " "		" " "	" " "		" " "	

7424

June 1853.—

Number.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Revenues derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Cundregadars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.	Nature of the privileges resumed.	If the Pagodas are old. Extent.	By what authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontued
	1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p				
13	Maderauzegaly door in Nellore Talook	1256	229 3 "	112 " "	Fusly 1258	103 9 "				
		57	149 4 6		1259	54 6 6				
		58	12 12 "			157 15 6	"	" "	- - - -	- - - -
		59	86 8 6							
		60	36 8 5							
		Total	514 4 5							
		erage	102 13 8							
14	Calevalapole									
		" " "		" " "		" " "		" " "	- - - -	- - - -

7426.

Fort St. George.

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Names of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the Original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per Original grant. Lands Classed. Dry.	Wet.	Lands not Classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	As per Measurement Made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundrega during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars. Fuslies.	Amount.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
									Goortoos	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
15	Comereka in Nellore Talook	Sree chenna caswerasawamy in Fusly 1215.	For the Support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Moongara Sooba reddy as the Head of the Village.	3	1 7/16	"	4 7/16	Dry 2 29/64	10 3 1	1256	34 " 7
									wet 2 11/64	48 15 10	57	15 6 5
									4 40/64	59 " 11	58	31 11 6
											59	34 13 4
											60	29 8 "
											Total	145 7 10
											Average	29 1 7
16	Woodenarty-palam in Nellore Talook	To the Pagoda of Viswaswersawmy in Fusly 1218	Do.	The Pagoda is at Present under the Management of Ryravarasoo Rammannah a relation of Bungariah who first restored the Pagoda in fusly 1214 having been in rains.	2	8	10		Wet 6 3/8	136 5 10	1256	72 14 1
									Jareeb " 1/16	3 10 "	57	63 2 11
											58	66 12 5
									Pasture lands 3 1/2	" " "	59	63 8 1
									9 15/16	139 15 10	60	65 6 4
											Total	331 11 10
											Average	66 5 7

D.G.

16th June 1853.

Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Laundrega. Fuslies	Amount	If the Pagodas are old. Nature of the privileges Resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs AP		Rs AP				
11 8 9	"	"	" " During the Nabobstime the expences of the Pagoda were paid out of the Village Sadermard	96 " "	During the Nabobs time but there are no accounts &c. to prove the same	Enjoyed for a length of time but discontinued after the Assumption of the country by the Govern=ment.
19 4 "	"	"	" " Ditto	Not known	Ditto	Discontinued after the Company's Assumption of the Country.

7427

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Defference between Columns 12 and 17. Increase	Decrease	Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda. Description	Amount	Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are new: By whom erected	At what time	Need for the establishment of a new Pagoda	Remarks.
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P					
" " "	66 14 5	Wet Maniem in Comereka & sowable with 2 Tooms of Seed	9 7 3	The Pagoda is kept and frequented.	"	"	"	The average total income from the Cundrega and other sources is Rupees 41-8-10 from which the Circar Jodee Rupees 11-8-9 being deducted the remainder is Rupees 30-"-10 and the annual disbursements are stated to amount to Rupees 39-14-" The Cundrega being small & charged with an adequate Jodi and as the Institution is maintained the Collector is unwilling to recommend any interference with the Grant.—
		Grain fees	3 " "					
			12 7 3					
" " " "		Wet Enam in Nedemoosily Village Sowable with 1 Toom of Seed	7 " "					The Pagoda in this Village having been in disuse at the assumption of the District by the Company was restored in fusly 1214 upon which it is stated that Mr. Travers granted the Cundriga at the request of the parties interested in the institution. The annual income from the Curdriga and other sources is Rupees 79-8-7 and the amount of yearly disbursements is stated to be Rupees 71-5-" which with Rupees 19-4-" the Jodee payable to Government on the Cundrega amounts to Rupees 90-9-" The Cundriga is small and as the Pagoda appears to have been in existence during the former Government and supported. The Collector is of opinion that the grant should not be disturbed.—
		Calavosem or Grain fee in Moodevurly & polem Village	3 " "	Ditto				
		Produce of certain yeppah Trees in the Village which yield oil for lighting lamps in the Pagoda amounting per Annum to	3 " "					
			13 " "					

7428

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant: Lands classed Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundrega during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundrega-dars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual Amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoo	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs
17	Moodevertypolem in Nellore Talook	To the Pagoda of Nagaswerasswamy in Wootookoor in Talamuncy Talook in Fusly 1216.	For the support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Putchalapully Codundaramereddy as Trustee of the Pagoda from the time of his Grand Father.	"	9 3/16	20	29 3/16	Dry 2 1/2 Wet 6 79/128 Jareeb 71/128 Pasture land 17 57/128 27 33/120	12 1 11 140 1 2 18 2 " " " " 170 5 1	1256 57 58 59 60 Total Average	116 " " 116 " " 72 6 " 71 8 1 102 " " 477 14 1 95 9 2	32 " "	"	"
18	Chenachezcoor	Sree Mullaswera Swamy in Nabab petta in Fusly 1214	For the support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Mahaswerum Mulliah Goomastah of Basavappa Chetty Soninlaw of Verragoo Chetty original founder of the Pagoda about 80 or 90 years ago	5	20	"	25	Wet 20 97/128 Pasture Land 4 5/16 25 18/256	304 " 7 304 " 7	1256 57 58 59 60 Total Average	75 6 " 115 13 3 96 12 " 61 9 9 90 6 " 439 15 " 88 " "	19 4 "		

George.

7428

No.	Name of Village	Amount from the Land being the Peishcush per State-ments of Cundrega-dars.		Annual Amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega Fusilies		If the Pagodas are old- Nature of the privileges resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when dis-continued
		12		13	14	15	16	17	18	19
1		Rs	As P	Rs	As P		Before the assumption of the Country by Government the expences for keeping up the Pagoda were defrayed partly out of the Saderward charges of the Village and partly from a Roosoom of 4 or 6 annas on every Pootty of imported and exported articles paid for the use of the Pagoda- The expences of the Pagoda were paid during the Nabobs time from the Sauderwared Charges of the Village.	Not known	Not known	Discontinued after the Company's assumption of the Country-
17	Moodevertee in Nellore	116	" "	32	" "	" "				
		116	" "							
		72	6 "							
		71	81							
		102	" "							
		477	141							
		95	92							
18	Chenachee	75	6 "	19	4 "					
		115	133							
		96	12 "							
		61	99							
		90	6 "							
		439	15 "							
		88	" "							

7429

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Defference between Columns 12 and 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequent	If the Pagodas are new.			Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Discription	Amount		By whom erected	At what time	Need Establishment of a new Pagoda	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P					
" " "	" " "	Arent free wet maniem in Wootoo=koor sowable with 2 Tooms of seed	21 " "					The income from the Cundrega and other Sources of the Pagoda amounts on an average to Rs. 120-12-2. The highest amount of revenue derivable from the Cundrega is estimated at Rs. 140-"-" The annual disbursements amount to Rs. 122-4-" per annum which with the revenue payable to Government for the Cundriga Vizt. 32 Rupees amount to Rs. 154-4-" Thus the Enam yields no more than just sufficient to meet the Circar demand and the expences of the Institution and having been granted apparently as an equivalent for the fee formerly allowed to be levied on exports and imports Wootookoor being a Sea port Town, it does not seem expedient to disturb the grant.—
		Grain fee	4 3 "					
			25 3 "					
		Roossooms on Areca Nuts Pepper and Cotton imported from westward at 1 Anna and 4 Pice per Bullock load	121 11 3	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented—				This Pagoda was originally built by one Bommeereddy Veerapa Chetty about 80 or 90 years ago It is stated that the income from the Cundrega being inadequate the Merchants who carry on trade at Nabobs Pett which is a large trading place entered into a Voluntary agreement to pay the Roossoom mentioned in Columns 22 and 23 of this Statement. The annual income from this Source and from the Cundrega is Rupees 282-13-9. whilst the disbursements of the Pagoda are stated to average 541-1-" per annum. The Pagoda is of some antiquity and held in estimation the grant should not therefore be interfered with.—
		Amount contributed every year by other parties for an=nual festival—	73 2 6					
			194 13 9					

7430

Fort St. George

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the Grant	Name of the present occupant and relation ship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of Land as per original grant: Land classed: Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected Lands	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and Extent of Land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual Amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
19	Covoor in the Nellore Talook	Codundarama Sawmy and Mullaswera Sawmy in Fusly 1214.	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is under the Management of Catumreddy to Soobbareddy Putchepala Venkunnah and Boorla Rungareddy —			23 1/4	23 1/4	Gootoo Dry 10 1/8 Wet 13 29/64 23 37/64	Rs A P 64 6 2 378 13 5 443 3 7	1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Averge	Rs A P 214 13 " 209 9 9 161 " " 180 " " 190 14 " 956 4 9 191 4 2	Rs a P 70 " " 670 3 "	"	"
20	Gungapatam in Nellore Talook	To the Pagoda of Prasunna Viswaswaraswamy at Mypaud	— Ditto —	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Ravoor Ramalingareddy on behalf of the Surviving widow of Caukootoor Chensooreddy younger Brother of Lingareddy who acquired the Cundrega in Mr. Travers time —	7 50/64	51 9/64	15 3/4	74 43/64	Dry 7 59/64 Wet 57 7/64 Mooloom 4 13/16 Gareeb " 62/64 Pasture Land 29 24/64 Rejected D. 16 27/32 116 26/64	38 10 2 1,436 3 6 109 14 9 56 3 " " " " " " " 1,630 15 5	Fusly 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average	854 3 " 854 3 " 854 3 " 854 3 " 854 3 " 4,270 15 " 854 3 "			
21	Vauvilaroo in the Talook of Toommultulpoor	To Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	200	"	"	200	Dry 96 15/16 Mooloom 2 7/8 Pasture Land 85 11/16 Rejected Land 39 1/4 Total 224 3/4	581 10 " 46 6 " " " " " " " 628 " "	1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average Total of both Cundregas	249 8 " 249 8 " 249 8 " 249 8 " 249 8 " 1,247 8 " 249 8 " 1,103 11 "	89 8 " 759 11 6		

J. F. B.

16th June 1853

Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega		If the Pagodas are old			
	Fusbes	Amount	Nature of the previleges resumed.	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when dis: continued
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs. a P		Rs A P				
70 " "	"	" " "	The expences of the Pagoda were paid during the Nabobs time from the Sauder wared charges of the Village	Not known	In Nabobs time but not known when, or any other particulars.	Discontinued on the assumption of the District by the Government. —
670 3 "						
89 8 "						
759 11 6						

7431

Fort St. George

16th June 1853

Difference between Columns 12 and 17	Other resources for the enjoyment of the Pagoda			Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are new.	
Increase	Decrease	Description	Amount		By whom erected	At what time
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
Rs. As.	Rs. As.					
		3 10/64 Goorloos of Sunna Enam Maniem for both the Pagodas yielding an annual income of Calanasem or Grain fee	36 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"
			24 " "			
			60 " "			
		Cundrega at Indoocoorpett	30 10 "			
		Wet Sunna Enam at Somanaurzepully 46/64 Goorloo	20 " "			
		Ditto at Mypaud 1/2 Goorloo	45 " "			
		A Cocoanut Garden at Vencanapollum	20 " "			
		Ditto at Mypaud	16 " "			
		Profits arising from some Puttah Lands at Mypaud held in the Name of the Pagoda	4 " "			
		Moortawar Poligars Roofooom conferred on the Pagoda	120 " "			
		Amount paid by Mypaud people for annual feast	72 " "			
			327 10 "			

Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.	Remarks.
27	28
as per Talook accounts Rs. 235-7-"	The annual income from the Cundrega and other sources is estimated at Rupees 251-4-2 and the Amount of disbursements per annum is Rupees 230-7-6 exclusive of 70 as the Jodee payable to Government. The Trustees of the Pagoda state that they bear the surplus charge of about 60 or 70 Rs. The Collector is of opinion that the Grant should not be interfered with.—

After the assumption of the District by the Company Mr. Travers granted the two Cundregas under notice. The income from them is Rupees 1103-11-" and that from the other sources detailed in Columns 22 and 23 Rupees 327-10-" making a Total of Rupees 1431-5-" from which the Jodee annually payable to Government Rupees 759-11-" being deducted there remains Rupees 671-10-" to meet the annual disbursements on account of the Pagoda which are stated at Rupees 664-12-" The Collector is of opinion that the income enjoyed by this Cundrega is more than is required for the due maintenance of the institution and recommends that the Cundrega in Vannelaroo may be resumed. That in Gungapatam has on Measurement given an excess of 42 Goorloos altho such excess is within the limits of the Cundrega as a set off however to this it is proposed that the present Jodee Rupees 670-3- Annas may be raised to 700 by which arrangement that Pagoda will still be left in the enjoyment of an annual income of about 480 Rupees.—

7432

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the Grant	Names of the present occupant and relation ship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of Land as per original grant: Land classed Dry	Land classed Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected Lands	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and Extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and Accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoos	Rs A P		Rs a P	Rs A P		Rs A
22	Indookoor Petta in Nellore Talook.	To the Pagodas in the Village in Fusly 1218	From the Support of the Pagodas.	The Cundrega is in the enjoyment of the three Pagodas in the Village of which the Pagoda of Lutchmeenursimmaswamy is under the Management of Goodoor Vencatachary that of Mullaswerasswamy under Ramayanum & Ramaswamy and that of Veerabhadraswamy under Caravoola appeal and Nellore Chensoora moodoo. The last mentioned two persons are also trustees of the other two Pagodas.	38	4 22/64	12	54 22/64	Dry 21 1/2 Wet 7 22/64 Mooloom 12 – " Jareeb 1 – 1/2 42 – 22/64 Pasture Land 21 – 1/8 63 – 30/64	96 12 " 138 12 " 315 " " 58 " " 608 8 "	Fusly 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average	280 " " 280 " " 280 " " 280 " " 280 " " 1400 " " 280 " "	57 12 "	"	" "
23	Paurlapully in Do.	To the Pagoda of Venayacaswamy	Ditto	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of Mooloomoody Veeraureddy the representative of his father and uncle named Vurdareddy and Narain reddy Original founders of the Pagoda.	"	1 1/2	"	1 1/2	Wet 1 45/64	26 4 5	Fusly 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 Total Average	15 " " 8 14 " 18 " " 16 4 6 17 7 6 75 10 " 15 7 "	3 13 8	"	"

George.

7432 1853

No.	Name of Talook Village and Cundruga	Amount mined from the ...during the last 5 ...Statement and ...Cundrugadars.			Annual Amount of rent payable to Govern: ment	Annexes outstanding against the Cundrugar		Nature of the previleges resumed.	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when dis: continued
						Fusles	Amount				
	1		12		13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Rs	A P	Rs	"	Rs A P				
22	Indoorkoor Talook in Jc	56	280	" "	57 12 "	"	" " "	The expences for festival were paid out of the Sandonam and Charges of the Village in the time of Nabobs.	440 " "	Granted during the Nabobs time but there is nothing to shew by whom it was granted or any other particulars regarding it..	Discontinued on the assumption of the Country by the Govern: ment.-
		57	280	" "							
		58	280	" "							
		59	280	" "							
		60	280	" "							
		Total	1400	" "							
			280	" "							
23	Caunlapully in	6	15	" "	3 13 8	"	" " "	In the time of the Nabobs the expences of the Pagoda were defrayed as according to one account out of the Sandonam and charges of the Village and according to another by Village Subscriptions.	15 " "		Discontinued after the assumption of the Country by the Company..
		7	8	14 "							
		8	18	" "							
			16	4 6							
			17	7 6							
			75	10 "							
			15	7 "							

7433

Fort St. George

16th June 1853

Difference between Columns 12 and 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagoda are new		Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Description	Amount		By whom erected	At what time	Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P				28
" " "	160 " "	From Maniems	52 " "	The Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	"	"	"
		From Calavasums or Gnaim fee.	18 " "				
			70 " "				

" " " " " " " " " " " " Ditto " "

These Pagodas were under the immediate Management of the Circar until the year 1844 when under the Orders of Government for the service of the State from the Religious institutions of the Country they were made over to the trustees. The Cundnega is appropriated as follows $\frac{2}{5}$ to the Pagoda of Lutchmenarasimha swamy $\frac{2}{5}$ to that of Mullaswamia Swamy and $\frac{1}{5}$ to that of Veerabhadraswamy. The average annual income from the Cundnega is 280 and that from the other sources Rupees 70 total 350 from which the Sodee payable to Government on the Cundnega Rupees 57-12-" being deducted there remains a net Revenue of Rupees 292-4-" to meet the Ordinary disbursements which are stated at Rupees 267-12-" those required for the annual festivals being defrayed by private subscriptions- The Pagodas are apparently of some antiquity and were supported by the former Government and the Collector is therefore unwilling to recommend any interference with the existing grant but the increase of 9-9-2-" which has been found on Measurement should be resumed.

The annual income from the Cundnega is Rupees 15-2-" on an average and the charges including the Sodee payable to Government are stated to amount to Rupees 15-6-" The trustee states that when the income falls short of the espences he bears the surplus charges out of his private funds The Enam is small and already assessed with a proper Sodi. The service being kept up the Collector is unwilling to recommend any interference.—

7434

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the Grant	Name of the present Occupant and relationship between the Original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of Land as per Original Grant: Land Dry	Extent of Land as per Original Grant: Classed Wet	Extent of Land as per Original Grant: Land not classed including waste and rejected Lands	Extent of Land as per Original Grant: Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and Extent of land	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement of accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement of accounts of Cundregadars: Amount	Annual amount of Rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoos	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P
24	Mopoor in Talamunchy Talook.	To the Pagoda of Sree Vurda rajaswamy in Fusly 1213.	For the Support of the Pagoda	At present the Pagoda is under the Management of Paulicherla Lutcha reddy grand son of the Original grantee It is also stated that the ancestors of the present Manager formerly built the Pagoda (particulars not known)	"	4 24/64	"	4 24/64	Wet 4 26/64	107 3 2	1256	13 12 "	19 4 "	"	" " "
									High ground not under Cultivation " 22/64	" " "	1257	48 2 "			
											1258	48 2 "			
											1259	48 2 "			
											1260	48 2 "			
									4 48/64	107 3 2		206 4 "			
											Average	41 4 "			
25	Gundaverum in Ditto	To the Pagoda of Venoogopala Swamy Fusly 1213.	Ditto	The three Pagodas in the Village are under the Management of Toomaty Lutchmareddy Kodoor Reddy Maugoonty Chinna Venkoo reddy Maunapilly Rungiah Veneatacharry and Moodevurty Camatchiah.		60	"	60	Wet 64 2/64	1424 11 2	1256	593 15 2	57 12 "	"	"
									Jareeb " 5/16	12 11 "	1257	630 15 9			
											1258	600 " "			
									64 22/64	1437 6 2	1259	586 1 "			
											1260	487 8 "			
											Total	2898 7 11			
											Average	579 11 2			

J. G. B.

7434 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement of Accounts of Cundregadars			Annual amount of Rent payable to Government			Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega			If the Pagdas are Old			
		Fuslies	Amount					Fuslies	Amount		Nature of the privileges resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
	1	11	12		13			14	15		16	17	18	19
			Rs	A	Rs	A	P		Rs	A P				
24	Mopoor in Talamunchy Talook	1256	13	12	19	4	"	"	"	" "	During the Nobob's time some of the expenses were paid out of the Saderward Charges of the Village	50 or Rupees 6 " "	No account to shew any of these particulars	Enjoyed from a long time but discontinued after the assumption of the Country
		1257	48	2										
		1258	48	2										
		1259	48	2										
		1260	48	2										
			206	4										
		Average	41	4										
25	Gundaverum in Ditto	1256	593	15	57	12	"	"	"	" "	During the Nobob's time the expenses of the Pagoda were paid from the Saderward Charges of the Village	600 " "	No accounts to shew by whom granted or any other particulars relating to it	Enjoyed from a very long time but discontinued after the assumption of the Country by the Company
		1257	630	15										
		1258	600	"										
		1259	586	1										
		1260	487	8										
		Total	2898	7										
		Average	579	11										

7435

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Column 12 and 17. Increase	Decrease	Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda. Description	Amount	Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new. By whom erected.	At what time.	Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.	Remarks.
20	21.	22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.							
" " "	8 12 " or 18 12 "	Wet Enam in Mopoor Village	14 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	"	"	"	The Total income from the Cundrega and the other resources amounts on an average to Rupees 77-8-10. The amount of Jodee on the Cundrega is Rupees 19-4-"- leaving a remainder of Rupees 53-4-10 whilst the amount of the annual disbursements for the Pagoda are stated at Rupees 65-14-4 under the circumstances of the case, it is recommended not to interfere with the grant.-
		Grain fee in Do.	10 8 "					
		Wet Enam in Cagolloo	31 8 "					
		Grain fee in Do.	1 8 "					
		Wet Enam in Aumaloor	2 12 10					
		Do. in Grudda=goontah	4 " "					
			36 4 10					
" " "	" " "	Wet Enam in Gundavorum Village 3 3/4 Gortoos	70 " "	Do.	"	"		This is one of the Pagodas formerly under Circar Management- The annual average income from the Cundrega and the other sources is Rupees 669-11-2- and is applied for the support of all the three Pagodas in the Village- The annual disbursements are stated at about Rupees 600-"-"- which with the Jodi (Rupees 57-12-"-) make the Total expenditure Rupees 658- There is a surplus in some years which it is stated goes towards making up the deficiency in unfavorable seasons and the repair of the Buildings &ca. The Cundrega having apparently been granted in lieu of resumed priveleges and having been made over with the other institutions on the withdrawal of Government Connection it is proposed not to interfere with the Endowment- There is an excess of about 4 Gortoos in the Cundrega which might be resumed but it is within the prescribed percentage and is owing probably to mere difference of measurement-
		Calavosem or Grain fee in Gunda=vorum Bodoo=vaury polem and Yelliah=polem Villa=ges	20 " "					
			90 " "					

Fort St. George

16th June 1853.-

Number.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundraga.	Names of the grantees and date of the grant.	Object of the grant.	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Land classed. Dry	Wet.	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants. Description and extent of land.	A Fusly Assessment (not average) rate of the Cundraga.	Revenue derived from the Cundraga during the last 5 years as per Statement and Accounts of Cundraga dast. Fusly.	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundraga. Fusly.	Amount.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
										Rx As		Rx As	Rx As		Rx As
26	Pooreny in the Talamunchy Talook.	To the Pagoda of Sree Chemmaswaraswamy in Fusly 1213.	For the support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of the Pooreny Pedda Soobrah as Trustee from his father's time.	"	4 3/8	"	4 3/8	Goordoo Wet 4 130/256	101 12 6	1256	13 15.			
									Waste land occupied by Channels &ca	" 32/256 " " "	57	20 5 3			
											58	" " "			
											59	23 13 "			
										4 162/256 101 12 6	60	31 11 "			
											Total	89 12 3			
											Average	22 7 1			
27	Do.	To the Pagoda of Alagamadhaswamy in Vanvillan Villages in Fusly 1217.	Do.	The Pagoda is at present under Management of Catum Reddy Annareddy.	"	10	"	10	Wet 10 35/64	238 2 "	1256	" " "			53 15. " "
											57	70 8 "			
											58	116 5 10			
											59	101 2 "			
											60	74 1 2			
											Total	362 15.			
											Average	90 11 9			

7436 ... ft. George

16th June 1853.-

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	...venue derived from the ...agas during the last ...s as per Statement and ...ts of Cundregadars. Fuslies.	Amount			Annual amount of rent payable to Govern:=ment.	Arrears out=standing against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.			If the Pagoda are old. Nature of the privileges resumed.	Extent.			By what authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when dis:=continued.
		11	12			13	14	15			16	17			18	19
	1		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.					
26	Pooreny in Talamunchy Talook.-	1256	13	15	"	19	4	"	"	"	"	Some of the Pagoda expenses were paid from the Saderward charges of the Village.	20	"	"	No account to shew by whom granted or any other particulars relating to it.	Enjoyed from a very long time but disconti:=nued after the assump:=tion of the Country by the Company.
		57	20	5	3											
		58	"	"	"											
		59	23	13	"											
		60	31	11	"											
		Total	89	12	3											
		Average	22	7	1											
27	Do.	1256	"	"	"	53	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		57	70	8	"											
		58	116	5	10											
		59	101	2	"											
		60	74	15	2											
		Total	362	15	"											
		Average	90	11	9											

Fort St. George

16th June 1853.

Difference between Columns 12 and 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.			Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagoda		Remarks.
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount			By whom erected.	At what time.	Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.
20		21		22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
Rs. A P.		Rs. A P.								
2 7 1	" "	" "	Wet Enam sawable with 3 Toms of Seed		14 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	"	"	"	In bad seasons the proceeds of this Cundrega are insufficient to meet the Jodee with which it is charged but with the funds from the other sources indicated in Columns 22 and 23 the service is kept up. The income being thus small and the Pagoda one of the older Institutions, no interference is recommended.—
			Calavasem or Grain fee		14 " "					
					28 " "					
" "	" "	" "	Calavasem or Grain fee		15 65	Do.	"	"	"	The Pagoda was built 7 or 8 years before the Company's assumption of the District and it appears was supported previous to the grant of the Cundrega by means of subscriptions raised in the Village to the amount of 100 Rupees Pr. Annum. The Total income from the Cundrega and other sources is Rupees 106-2-2. whilst the annual Disbursements including the Jodee (53-5-"-) are stated at 99-"-"- Rupees. The Government demand on the Cundrega may henceforth be paid at 60 Rupees.—

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Name of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant: Land Classed Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected land	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants: Discription and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount	[illegible]
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
										Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	
28	Allour in Talamunchy Talook	To the Pagoda of Vanoogopaul Swamy in Fusly 1215	For the support of the Pagoda	The Cundrega is in the enjoyment of all the Pagodas the Village is under the Mangement of Cotumready Kristnaready Head of the Village	"	"	40	40 or 125 acres	Goortoos Wet — 115 a. c. 167/16	616 8	Fusly 1256	" " "	One half of the Survey assessment of the land Cultivated is paid to the Circar as Jodee	"	" " "	The attem[illegible] am[illegible] &ca [illegible] out of Sawde[illegible]
											" 1257	226 15 8				
											" 1258	" " "				
											" 1259	152 7 2				
											" 1260	" " "				
											Total	379 6 10				
											Average	189 11 5				
29	Ditto	Chenna Casavaswamy in Do.	For the purpose of keeping Light in the Pagoda	The Pagoda is now under the Management of Woodiagherry Ammtacharry	"	"	3	3	Wet 3½	46 9 11	No revenue has been derived during the last 5 years		32 11 8	1257	32 11 8	
														58	32 11 8	
														59	32 11 8	
														60	32 11 8	
															130 14 8	

7438 George 16th June 1853.

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	...ved from the ...g the last 5 ...tement and ...dregadars. Amount.			Annual amount of rent payable to Government.			Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.			If the Pagodas are old. Nature of the privileges resumed.	Extent.	By what authority Granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
	1	12			13			14	15			16	17	18	19.
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.		Rs.	A.	P.				
28	Alloor in Talamunchy Talook.	"	"	"	One half of the Survey assessment of the land Cultivated is paid to the Circar as Jodee.			"	"	"	"	The expences attending the annual festival &ca. were paid out of the Village Sauderward.	Not known	Not known but in Nabob's time.	Not known how long enjoyed but discontinued on the assumption of the District by Government.
		226	15	8											
		"	"	"											
		152	7	2											
		"	"	"											
		379	6	10											
		189	11	5											
29	Ditto				32	11	8	1257	32	11	8	"	"	"	"
								58	32	11	8				
								59	32	11	8				
								60	32	11	8				
									130	14	8				

Difference between Column 12 and 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new		Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda	Remarks
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.							
" " "	" " "	From Maniems sowable with 1 Candy and 4 Tooms of seed yielding annually	155 2 8	The Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	"	"	"	From the Records it appears that the Cundrega was granted for the Pagoda of Vanoogopalaswamy but from the time the grant was made the income from it is appropriated to all the Pagodas in the Village which are 6 in Number. It appears that out of the 40 Gortoos Mr. Fraser conferred 3 Gortoos on two Mussulmen for the purpose of celebrating the Mohurrum festival. The total income from the Cundrega and other sources is Rupees 597-6-11. per annum and the amount of annual disbursements is stated to be Rupees 595-3-6. The Pagoda is one of those which existed under the former Government and the Cundrega being charged with the payment of half the Survey assessment no interference is recommended.—
		Calavasem or Grain fee	148 5 "					
		Charitable contributions towards annual festivals	104 3 10					
			407 11 6					
" " "	" " "	Surva Enam in Nellore sowable with 5 Tooms of seed	32 " "	"	"	"	"	It appears that a portion of the land is under water and the remainder is saltish and that therefore they have never been Cultivated for the last 14 years. The Churchwarden says that the land when Cultivated, never yielded more than sufficient to pay the Jodee and pleads also his inability to liquidate the balance now outstanding against the Cundrega, under these circumstances and as the institution possesses other means of income and also derives support from Cundrega No. 28. The Enam under notice may be resumed.—
		Calavasom or grain fee	8 " "					
			40 " "					
		Besides this a portion of the produce of the Chatoorbhagum Cundrega No. 28 is also appropriated to this Pagoda.—						

7440

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

Number	Name of the Talook, Village and Cundrega	Name of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant: Lands classed Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants: Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
										Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
30	Doovoor in Sungum Talook	Cotswaraswamy in Fusly 1215	For the support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of Doobagoonta Vencatanursoo Jillamoody Pedda Vencatareddy, Chena Vencatareddy and Coppoloo Iyapareddy who were appointed trustees in Fusly 1256	"	20	"	20 or 62½ Acres	Goort. C. Wet 37 – 38 33/64	342 11 4	1256	85 " "	Surva Enam	"	" " "
									Do. not under Cultivation 23 – 28 67/128	214 2 2	57	150 " "			
											58	150 " "			
											59	61 4 "			
											60	" " "			
									61 – 27 3/128	556 13 6	Total	446 4 "			
									or Goortoos 19 47/64		Average	111 9 "			
31	Jungaladoovoo in Do.	Sungamaswaraswamy in Fusly 1217	Do.	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of Dodla Puttabhe Rameredddy as Trustee of the Pagoda	"	"	40 or 25 Acres	40	A. C. Dry – 70 – 3/4	97 15 2	1256	80 " "	Do.	"	" " "
									waste 65 – 4 11/16	65 1 10	57	80 " "			
									135 – 5 7/16	163 1 "	58	80 " "			
									or Goortoos 43 1/4		59	78 " 6			
											60	78 " 6			
											Total	396 1 "			
											Average	79 3 5			

16th June 1853

Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega		If the Pagoda are old			
	Fusbies	Amount	Nature of the privileges resumed	Extent	By what authority Granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs A P		Rs A P		Rs A P		
Surva Enam	"	" " "	10 Poottees at the rate of 2 Moontas on every Pootty was being given during the Nabobs Management		During the Nobobs time but there is nothing to shew any other particulars	Discontinued after the assumption of the Country by the Government
Do	"	" " "	A portion of the expences of the Pagoda were paid from the sauderward charges of Sungum Survapully Nellore and Talamunchy Talooks	200 " "	Head Caupoo and Curnums	— Do —

In the Copy of the Document produced this is stated to be Vursha:sanum or an annual feast and it is explained that the amount thus paid for the use of the Pagoda was debited to the Collections of the several Village which does not however appear from the Document

7441 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Column 12 and 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are new		Need for the establishment of a new Pagoda	Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Description	Amount		By whom erected	At what time		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.							
" " "	" " "	Wet Enam land sowable with 8 Toomes of seed	36 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"	"	The average annual income from the Cundrega and other sources amounts to about 150 Rupees and the total annual disbursements are stated at Rupees 153-9-4- Doovoor is a large Village and the Cundrega having apparently been confirmed in lieu of resumed previliges enjoyed formerly it is proposed not to interfere with the existing grant.-
		4 Gortoos of Dry Enam partly under a Tope and partly used for the flower Garden of the Pagoda and no revenue is derived from it	" " "					
			36 " "					
" " "	" " "	From Manium in Sungum, Coremerla, Sooriapolem and Nadegudda Village	67 10 6	Do.	"	"	"	The total income of this Pagoda is Rupees 146-13-11 and the total amount of charges is stated to be Rupees 141-"-7 per annum. The institution is old and the Cundrega having been granted apparently in lieu of resumed privileges no interference is recommended-

7442

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and re=tionship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant — Lands classed — Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants — Discription and extent of land	Assessment as per average of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars — Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega — Fuslies	Amount	Natur[illegible] the prev[illegible] resume[illegible]
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
										Rs A P		Rs A P				
32	Juckapully Goodoor in Cavelly Talook	To the Pagoda of Somaswara=swamy in Fusly 1215	For the support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is under the Management of the Villagers. It has been stated by them in their examination before the Collector that the Talook servants interfere in and control the expendi=ture on account of this Pagoda. This was never authorized and has now been strictly prohibited.	14 5/8	2 3/8	15	32	Goortoos — Dry — 11 3/4	56 10 4	Fusly 1256	44 8 0	19 4 0	"	"	Roossoo[illegible] and Two Cundr[illegible] one consist[illegible] of 32 Goorto[illegible] and the other of 12 Gortoo[illegible]
									Wet — 9 21/32	212 7 0	57	49 11 9				
									Mooloom 2 9/32	47 14 6	58	50 6 0				
									23 11/16	316 15 10	59	48 2 0				
									Pasture land — 10 47/64	2 5 0	60	48 2 0				
									34 27/64	319 4 10	Total	240 13 9				
											Average	48 2 8				

D.G.

7426 George

7442 St. George 16th June 1853.

Number	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega	Na... gra... de...	...venue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 ...s as per Statement and ...ounts of Cundregadars		Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega		If the Pagodas are sold			
			Fusli's	Amount		Fusli's	Amount	Nature of the preveleges resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
	1		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
				Rs A P							
32	Juckapully Goodoor in Cavelly Talook	To ... of ... sue... Fus...	Fusly 1256	44 8 0	19 4 "	"	" " "	Roossooms and Two Cundregas one consisting of 32 Goortoos and the other of 12 Gortoos	50 " "	Nothing is known as to the particulars of the Roossoms. The Villagers state that a Cundrega consisting of 32 Goortoos was Granted on a Bariz of 20 Rupees but from a Cadjan Document bearing date 16th increasing moon of Jaystum year Plavunga of the 1710 year of the Aera of Sulwana corresponding to A. D. 1788 it appears that a Cundrega (with an interline to shew that it is a Surva Enam) was granted by Caurem poody Mootalreddy in the time of Nagoola paty Royojee. There is no mention in the Documents to shew either the Extent or the Bariz.	
			57	49 11 9							
			58	50 6 0							
			59	48 2 0							
			60	48 2 0							
			Total	240 13 9							
			Average	48 2 8							

DG

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Columns 17 and 19		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are now		Remarks.
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.	Need for the establishment of a new Pagoda.	
20	21	22	23	24.	25	26	27	28.
" " " " " "		Calavasem or Grain fee	3 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	"	"	"	The annual average income from this Cundrega is stated by the Villagers to be Rupees 48 2 8 but in the account received from the Talook it is stated at Rupees 122 13 8 inclusive of the Inams held by the Servants of the Pagoda. The Annual disbursements are Rs. 103 10 " which together with the Jodee payable to Government Rupees 19 4 amount to Rupees 122 14 " . From the Statement of the Villagers it appears that there were two Cundregas in the Enjoyment of the Pagoda in the Nabob's time altho' there is only one Cadjan Document to shew that one the extent of which is not therein specified, was actually held. The Cundrega when first given comprised but 2 Goortoos of Wet Land whilst there are at present 12 Goortoos under that head of Garden and it is therefore clear that the cultivation is greatly understated. Adverting to this improvement in the resources of the Enam and to the Excess of 2 Goortoos shown by the present measurement the Jodi now paid may it appears to the Collector be henceforth fixed at 30 Rupees.

7444

Fort St. George 16th June 185

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Name of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Lands classed. Dry.	Wet.	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per average rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies.	Amount.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoos	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
33	Batragoonta in Cavally Talook	To the Pagoda of Sree Vencataswar loo in Fusly 1215.	For the support of the Pagoda.	Head Caupoo and Curnum of the Village look after the Pagoda.	"	1½	"	1½	Wet 2	45 5 4	Fusly 1256	" " "	Paying ¼ of the Gross produce on an average.		
											1257	26 " "			
											1258	17 8 "			
											1259	14 " "			
											1260	10 12 "			
											Total	68 4 "	Rs 8 8 6.		
											Average	17 1 8.			
34	Pellaroo in Ravoor Talook.	To the Pagoda of Lutchmenarasimmahswamy situated in Bootchereddypollem in the Talook of Sungum in Fusly 1217.	Ditto	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Dodla Puttabherameereddy and 6 other members of his family the founder of the Pagoda being the Grand Father of Putta Cheeramereddy.	256	"	"	256	Dry 192 53/64	1217 3 8.	Fusly 1256	739 11 8.	128 " " " " " "		
									Mooloom 9 13/128	155 8 6.	" 1257	939 8 7			
									Jareeb " 67/256	8 9 10	" 1258	683 2 10.			
									Pasture Land 36 57/64	" " "	" 1259	703 2 1			
									239 21/256.	1381 6 "	" 1260	707 1 8.			
											Total	3,772 10 10.			
											Average	754 8 7.			
									Lands occupied by the site of the Village &c. 13 63/128						
									252. 147/256	1381 6 "					

16th June 1853

	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega.		If the Pagodas are old..			
Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Fusliee.	amount.	Nature of the privileges presumed.	Extent.	By what authority Granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19.
Rs a p		Rs a p				Discontinued a year previous to the assumption of the Country by the Government No Documents to support the Statement the accounts Vas having been consumed by Fire with the houses in which they were secured.—
Paying 1/4 of the Gross produce on an average.			Certain Roosoums Vas of which the Particulars are not known were enjoyed in Nabob's time.	100 " " or 200 " "	The Roosoums Vas were granted in the time of Nasur Mahmed Khan a Fouzdar.	
Rs 8 8 6						
128 " " " " " " "						

Fort St. George,

Differences between Columns 17 and 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagoda		are new. Need for the establishment of a new Pagoda.	Remarks
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p					
" " "	82 14 6	Bitragoonta } Wet and Dry Enam	29 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"	"	The income being very poor this Pagoda is supported by the Ryots of Bitragoonta and other Villages. This Shrine is one of great note in the District the Enam being trifling in extent and charged with the Payment of ⅛ the out-turn it is proposed not to disturb the grant.
" " "	182 14 6	Brahmanacaca dry Enam	15 " "					
		Codanostala Wet Enam	12 " "					
		Gouravarum Do	10 " "					
			66 " "					
" " " " " "	" " "	Ditto.	Erected by Dodla Narsim hareddy.	In Fusly 1213.			The ancestors of the present incumbent who formerly resided in the Southward built the Village of Bootchireddy polem and intended to built the Pagodas.	The annual income from the Cundrega the only means of support to the Pagoda is estimated on an average at Rupees 800 — " — " from which the rent to the Circar Rupees 128 — " — " charges of management Rupees 120 — " " Total Rupees 248 — " — " being deducted the remainder the Trustee says is appropriated to the expences of the Pagoda. The Cundrega appears to have been brought to its present improved state by large outlays. The Pagoda is substantially built and much esteemed and possesses no other means of support. In preference to the present improved condition of the Enam however and to the improvable waste which it still comprises. the Collector is of opinion that the Jodee upon the Cundrega may henceforth be fixed at Rupees 160 — " — " —

7446

Fort St. George, 16th June 1853.

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Name of the grantees and date of grant.	Object of the grant.	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per original grant. Lands classed: Dry.	Wet.	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total.	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars. Fuslies.	Amount.	Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies	Amount
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
													Rs A P		Rs
35	Patamaticum-bumpaud in Ravoor Talook.	To the Pagoda of Somaswara swamy in Fusly 1217.	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Chintalapully Nursimhasastry as son of another Nursimhasastry who had been managing the same from the time the Cundriga was granted.	"	10 1/64	2	36 1/64	Goortoos Dry 32 1/32 wet 10 3/16 Lands waste 1 23/64 43 37/64	341 11 8 264 14 " 28 8 9 635 1 5	1256 57 58 59 60	The accounts of these fuslies have been destroyed by fire — 97 13 9	57 13 9	"	"
36.	China Aunalan in Calegherry Talook.	To the Pagoda of Vanoogopaulaswamy in Fusly 1215.	Ditto	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Candrauze Janakeramanna one of the Curnums of the Village with the consent of the other Curnums.	120	1/4	"	121 1/4 or acres 379.	acres Coontoos Dry 397 — 18 1/2 wet 4 14 9/16 401 33 1/16 Porumboke 249 — 23 1/4 651 16 5/16 or Gootoos 208 — 29/64	465 12 4 40 1 " 517 7 9 517 7 9	1256 57 58 59 60 Average —	242 12 8 136 11 5 191 12 3 63 12 3 70 4 8 705 5 3 141 1 "	"	No fixed amount is paid to the Circar but one of the out turn of the actual cultivation and one fourth of the proceeds of the sales of Pasture land are paid to Government per annum which on an average amounts to Rupees 66 8 6.	"	"

16th June 1853.

Annual amount of rent payable to Government.			Arrears outstanding against the Cumdrega.		If the Pagodas are old.			
			Fuslies	Amount.	Nature of the privileges resumed.	Extent.	By what authority Granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
13			14.	15	16	17	18	19.
Rs	A	P		Rs.				
57	13	9	"	" " " "	"	" " " "	"	"

7447

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Column 12 and 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are new			Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Discription	Amount		By whom erected	At what time	Need for the establishment of a new Pagoda	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
" " "	" " "	One half of the Village of Soma swara	149 5 7	The Pagoda is kept up & frequented.	"	"	"	The total in come of the Pagoda from all sources is it will be seen Rupees 285-3-4 and the Total disbursements including the Jodee are given at Rupees 270-" " on measurement then has been found an excess of Goortoos 7-9-" in the Cundriga which the Collector is of opinion should be resumed and adverting to the income possessed by the Pagoda apart from that derived from the Cundriga he also proposes to raise the Jodee to Rupees 70-"-"
		A wet Jodee Enam of 2½ Tooms in Padamaticum bumpaud	24 " "					
		A Surva Enam maniem of 3/8 Gortoos in Vengulaypully in Siddhout Talook in Cuddapa District	4 " "					
		Roossooms in some Villages of Ravoor and Woodiagherry Talook.	10 " "					
			187 5 7					
" " "	" " "	2 Gortoos of Dry Enam of wet Enam sowable with ½ Toom of seed both at amalon	2 " "	Ditto	"	"	"	The Net annual income of the Pagoda from the Cundriga and other sources is about Rupees 70-1-" Three is a large excess of about acres 272 in the extent of the Enam the greater portion of which however is Porumboke land but as this can be annually sold as cuncha land it is recommended that this excess may be resumed.

7448

Fort St. George, 16th June 1853.

No.	Name of the Talook or Village and Cundrega	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per Original grant: Land classed: Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste land rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook servants: Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundregas during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundregadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega: Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoos	Rs a P		Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a
37.	Podalacoor in Toummalatul poor Talook	To the Pagoda of Jounavada Camatcheumma in Fusly 1215	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Yarbaka Papireddy and Chikkoodoo Goaroo Moorty who were appointed Trustees by Government.	145	"	51	196	Dry 118 7/8	951 " "	1256	137 3 3	53 14 6	"	" "
											57	252 14 "			
									Pasture land 51	" " "	58	466 7 9			
											59	481 10 "			
									arable land 36 5/64	" " "	60	466 7 10			
											Total	1804 10 10			
									Porumboke lands 40	" " "	Average	360 15 "			
									245 57/64		This Cundriga is now rented out for Rs. 440 " " per annum exclusive of certain Enams held by Pagoda Servants.				
38.	Camapurty in Toummalata Cooper Talook	To the Pagoda of Vadagherry Nursimha Swamy in fusly 1216	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is under the management of Govinda Charloo Trustee of the Pagoda.	200	"	"	200	Dry 138 3/4	832 8 "	As per Statement of Curnums Fusly 1256	280 " "	61 9 "	"	" "
									Mooloom 4 11/16	98 7 "	1257	280 " "			
									143 7/16	930 15 "	1258	280 " "			
											1259	280 " "			
									Dry waste 60	360 " "	1260	280 " "			
									203 7/16	1,290 15 "	Total	1400 " "			
											Average	280 " "			
											The renter of the Cundriga admits having rented it for 300 Rupees per annum exclusive of 60 Rupees being the rent of certain Maniums granted to the Pagoda Servants.				

16th " June 1853.

Annual amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega.		If the Pagodas are old.			
	Fusilies	amount	Nature of the privileges resumed.	Extent	By what authority Granted	How long enjoyed and when discontinued
13	14	15	16.	17	18	19
Rs a P.		Rs a P.				
53 14 6	"	" " "	The expences of the Pagoda were borne by Government in Nabob's time and the amount paid by them is stated to be Rupees.	300 " "	Not known by whom granted in Nabob's time and no accounts &c. in support of the Statement.	Resumed after the assumption of the Country by the Government.
619 "	"	" " "	The expences of the Pagoda were paid out of the Sandewared charges in Nabob's time There were likewise Roof rooms at 4 Pice per Bundle of Cloths Vegetables grain &c. of Merchants.	Not known	Not known	Not known how long enjoyed but discontinued on the assumption of the District by the Government.

7449 Fort St. George, 16th June 1853.

Difference between Column 12 and 17.					Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.				Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new.			Remarks.
Increase			Decrease		Description	Amount				By whom erected	At what time	Nied for the establishment of a new Pagoda.	
20			21		22	23			24	25	26	27	28.
Rs.	A	P.	Rs.	A		Rs.	A	P.					
60	15	"	"	"	Jounavada Shrotrium	230	"	"	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented.	"	"		The total income from the Cundrega and other resources of this Pagoda amount on an average to Rupees 674-15-" In Tusly 1259 they amounted to Rupees 795-10-" from which the Jodee payable to Government 53-14-6 being deducted there are Rupees 741-11-6 left The annual average disbursements were stated at Rupees 701-9-4- This shrine is one of great celebrity and is one of those whose Management was given over to the Trustees in 1844 with a surplus of Rupees 402-3-8. and the Collector is therefore unwilling to recommend any interference It appears that besides the Enams above alluded to there is another Cundrega for the Pagoda in Panoobully Village measuring 75 Gortoos of Dry Land (the income of which is not known) which is partly enjoyed by the Pagoda Servants and partly appropriated to the Offerings of the deity. In the Cundrega granted by Mr. Travers there is an excess of 50 Gortoos of which 40 Gortoos are stated to be hilly and Saline Soils and were in consequence excluded from account in Mr. Travers time and 10 Gortoos is the net excess which is situated within the limits but which may nevertheless be resumed.
					Homcoomapoody Shrotriums	83	"	"					
					An Enam in murropand Village	8	"	"					
					Do. at Tulpoorpand Shrotrum not under cultivation during the last 20 or 30 years.	"	"	"					
						311	"	"					
					Wet Enam at Aulatoorty	3	"	"					
						314	"	"					
"	"	"	"	"	From Maniems &c. in several Villages...	121	12	"	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"	"	The Total income of the Pagoda from the Cundrega and the several Enams attached to it is Rupees 581-12-" from which the income from Maniums in the enjoyment of the Pagoda Servants Vizt. 112 being deducted the remainder is Rupees 469-12-" and the annual disbursements are stated to be Rupees 451-1-" which together with the Jodee on the Cundrega Rs. 61-9 amount to Rupees 512-10-" The Shrine is also one of the few institutions of any celebrity in the District and is held in high estimation. The Cundrega seems moreover to have been granted in lieu of resumed privileges and it is not therefore proposed to disturb the grant. It appears to the Collector that considering the large area comprised within the Cundrega, the income from it as given in Column 12 of this Statement is greatly under rated at all events it is susceptible of great improvement and he therefore recommends that the Jodee may be raised and fixed at 8 Rupees.

7450

Fort St. George, 6th June 1853.

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Objects of the grant.	Names of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder.	Extent of land as per Original grant. Lands classed. Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands.	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land.	Assessment as per rate of the Village.	Revenue derived from the Cundregas as during the last 5 years as per statement and accounts of Cundregadar. Fuslies	Amount	Annual Amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundrega. Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Goortoos	Rs. A. P.		Rs.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
39	Vadanapurty in Goondavol Talook.	To the Pagoda of Nagaswarasawmy in Fusly 1215.	For the Support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is under the management of the Head Caupoos and Curnums of the Village	20	"	"	20.	Dry 20 11/16	164 10 4	Fusly 1256	100	No fixed rent but pays a fourth of the produce man average per annum		
											" 1257	100			
											" 1258	100			
											" 1259	100			
											" 1260	100			
											Total	500	25 " "		
											Average	100			
40	Davugoodoor in Davugoodoor Talook	To the Pagoda of the Stree Chennacassevaswamy in Fusly 1217.	For the Support of the Pagoda.	The Pagoda is at present under the management of Poovvada Lutchiniah and Bhadriah grand Sons of Narrainsetty the original founder of the Pagoda 70 years ago.	80	"	"	80.	Dry 87 25/128.	724 5 5	As per account of the Trust and Curnums. Fusly 1256	213 13	Curnums 24 " "		
											" 1257	213 13			
											" 1258	213 13			
											" 1259	213 13			
											" 1260	213 13			
											Total	1069 1			
											Average	213 13			
											As per accounts received from the Talooks. Fusly 1256	315 "			
											" 1257	318 "			
											" 1258	298 "			
											" 1259	291 11			
											" 1260	288 "			
											Total	1511 3			
											Average	302 9			

J. G. B.

16th June 1853.

7450		George,									
		...ed from the ...s during the ... per statement ... Candregadard			Annual Amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the Cundraga		If the Pagodas are old			
No.	Name of the Talook V... and Cun...ga.	Amount				Fuslies	Amount	Nature of the privileges resumed	Extent	By what authority granted	How long Enjoyed and when discontinued
		12			13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	1	Rs	A	P	Rs a P		Rs a P		Rs a P		
39	Vadanapurt Goondavole Talook	100	"	"	No fixed rent but pays a fourth of the produce on an average per annum			Mutlawar Zemindar in Chitwail Talook in Cuddapah District who formerly rented Certain Villages in this District and who had also the privilege of watching Certain Villages used to pay at the rate of 9 Rs. per mensem on gramum	96 " "	Not Known	Withheld payment after the assumption of the Country by the Government
		100	"	"							
		100	"	"							
		100	"	"							
		100	"	"							
		500	"	"	25 " "						
		100	"	"							
		of the Trustees			Curnums 24 " "			During the Nabobs time some of the expences of the Pagoda were paid out of the Village Sunderwared Charges	200 " "	There are no accounts &ca. to shew by whom granted or any other particulars	Discontinued after the assumption of the Country by the Company
40	Davagoodoor in Davagoodoor Talook	213	13	"							
		213	13	"							
		213	13	"							
		213	13	"							
		213	13	"							
		1069	1	"							
		213	13	"							
		...s received ...looks.									
		315	"	"							
		318	"	"							
		298	"	"							
		291	11	"							
		288	"	"							
		1511	3	"							
		302	3	10							

Fort St. George

16th June 1853.

| Difference between Columns 19 & 17. | Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda. | | |

Fort St. George

No.	Name of the Talook and Cundraya	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the Original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant. Land Classed Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per measurement made in Fusly 1260 by Talook Servants. Description and extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the village	Revenue derived from the Cundraya as admitted the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundraya adversary. Fuslies	Amount	Annual Amount of Rent payable to Government	Arrears standing against the Cundraya. Fuslies	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
									Coorloo	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
41	Canonmulla Do.	To the Pagoda of Shree Narsimha Swamy at Singa Royconda	Do.	The Pagoda is under the immediate Management of Chukkravurtula Sastrulu Cherry a Deputy of Chinna Jyrugaur, Dharmakurta or Trustee who Resides in Tripetty.	48	"	"	48	Dry 55 15/16	427 36	Fusly 1256	96 " "	38 8 "		
											" 1257	96 " "			
											" 1258	96 " "			
											" 1259	96 " "			
											" 1260	96 " "			
											Total	480 " "			
											Average	96 " "			
42	Konjadoo in Ongole Talook	To a Pagoda of three Chinnaswamy at Kopporla in Ongole Talook in Fusly 1260.	For the Support of the Pagoda	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of Sunconlanna Gorrureddy Son in law of Gonapureddy Cruckareddy who had the Management of it as Trustee.	120	"	"	120	Dry 123 44 3/4 64	890 5 1	Fusly 1256	280 " "			57 126 " "
											" 1257	280 " "			
											" 1258	260 " "			
											" 1259	235 " "			
											" 1260	225 " "			
											Total	1280 " "			
											Average	256 " "			

16th June 1853.

Annual Amount of Rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundraga. Fuslies.	Amount.	If the Pagoda are Old. Nature of privileges resumed.	Extent.	By what Authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19.
Rs. a. p. 38 8 "		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		
57 12 6	"	" " "	A Cundrega Consisting of 25 Coote halas or 200 Gortios at Coppolo Village was held as Sirva Enam for the Support of the Pagoda but resumed prior to the assumption of the Company.	400 " " but there is nothing to prove the same.	Not Known	Not known how long enjoyed but discontinued before the assumption of the Country by Government.

7453

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Columns 12 & 17		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented	If the Pagodas are New		Need for the Establishment of a New Pagoda	Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Description	Amount		By whom Erected	At what time		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
" " "	" " "	"	" "					The Cundrega is now rented out for 100 Rupees per annum from which the Jodee Vizt. 38-8-" being deducted leaves a remainder of Rupees 61-8-" which being added to the income from other sources amount to Rupees 764-"-3. The annual disbursements are given at Rupees 640-9-5. The surplus funds of this Pagoda are it is stated appropriated to the repairs of the Pagoda Vehicles and Tanks. The institution is one of great antiquity. The Cundrega seems to have been granted in lieu of resumed privileges altho' the nature and extent of these are not known. Adverting however to the large income possessed by the Pagoda apart from Mr. Travers Grant without adopting the severe and absolute measure of resumption the Cundrega may be charged with a higher Jodee say 50 Rupees.—
" " "	" " "	Dry Enam of 1 Cootchula or 8 Gortoos—	35 " "	The Pagoda is kept up and frequented	"	"	"	The income from the Mannium is applied to the daily offerings Out of the income from the Cundrega a sum of 24 Rupees is paid to the Pagoda of Bhremaswaraswamy in the same Village and the remainder appropriated to the lighting of Lamps &c. and the repairs of the Pagoda.— The Total annual income is Rupees 291-"-" and the amount of disbursements per annum is stated to be Rupees 291-1-8 including the Jodee payable to Government; under these Circumstances and as the Pagoda seems to be one of the older institutions no enterference is recommended.—

7454

Fort St. George 16th June 18

No.	Name of the Talook Village and Cundraga	Names of the grantees and date of grant	Object of the grant	Name of the present occupant and relationship between the original grantee and the present holder	Extent of land as per original grant: Lands Classed: Dry	Wet	Lands not classed including waste and rejected lands	Total	As per Measurement made in Fusly 1261 by Talook Servants: Description and Extent of land	Assessment as per average rate of the Village	Revenue derived from the Cundrages during the last 5 years as per Statement and accounts of Cundragadars: Fuslies	Amount	Annual Amount of rent payable to Government	Arrears outstanding against the C[illegible]: Fuslies	Am[illegible]
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
									Goortoos	C Rs a P		C Rs a P			
43	Suntanoorlila pand in Do.	To the Pagoda of Sree Chennucaswara swamy	Ditto	The Pagoda is at present under the Management of Poloorabbiah as the representative of the founders of the Pagoda about 200 years ago.—	40	10	"	50	Dry 46 27/64	456 14 9	as per accounts of 1256	131 14 "	Trustees Curnums 70 "		
									Wet 12 27/64	461 11 8	57	144 4 5			
									58 54/64	918 10 5	58	168 12 10			
											59	90 4 "			
											60	157 6 7			
											Total	692 9 10			
											Average	138 8 4			
											as per Talook Accounts	154 6 "			

16th June 1853.

Annual amount of rent payable to Government.	Arrears outstanding against the Cundraga.		If the Pagodas are Old.			
	Fusbes.	Amount	Nature of the privileges resumed.	Extent.	By what authority granted.	How long enjoyed and when discontinued.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19.
Trustees Curnums 70 " "			The expences of the Pagoda were formerly defrayed by the Village Community in general by raising Subscriptions. This was after the resumption of a Cundraga Consisting of 25 Cotchellas 40 or 50 years prior to the assumption of the District by the Government	Not Known	Ditto	Not Known but an inscription on a stone in the Pagoda proves that there was a Cundrega.

7455

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Difference between Column 12 & 17.		Other resources in the enjoyment of the Pagoda.		Whether the Pagodas are kept up and frequented.	If the Pagodas are new.		Need for the Establishment of a new Pagoda.	Remarks.
Increase.	Decrease.	Description.	Amount.		By whom erected.	At what time.		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p					
" " "	" " "	A dry Enam of 6 Goortoos and a wet Maniem of 1/4 Gortoo yielding an annual income of	12 " "	Ditto	"	"	.....	It appears that 25 Cootchalas of land which had been formerly in the enjoyment of this Pagoda were resumed about 50 years before the Assumption of the Country and the Pagoda was there-by deprived of the means of support and it was in Consideration of this that the Cundrega appears to have been granted by M Travers. It is also stated that there is an inscription on a stone at the gate of the Pagoda to show that 25 Cootchalas of land had been formerly in the enjoyment of the institution. The annual income from the Pagoda is estimated at 166 Rupees from which the Circar Jodee 70 Rupees being deducted leaves a net income of Rupees 96 whilst the disbursements are stated to be Rupees 166. 6. " Under these circumstances it is proposed not to disturb the grant

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry, 9th March, 1853.

E. E. (Signed) D. White
Collector

Bd. No. 1271
20-21

Proceedings forwarding with Board's observations a Tabular Statement with Particulars relative to the Cundregas granted by Mr. Travers to Pagodas in Nellore District and requesting sanction for certain arrangements proposed by the late Collector.

Rec'd 27th Jan. 1857

thereon.— The history of these Cundrigas is detailed in the Board's Proceedings 9th June 1825, submitted to Government 13th idem.—

The total of all classes granted by Messrs. Travers and Fraser are 96 by the first named 86 by Mr. Fraser &—

They may be divided into the five class mentioned by the late Collector. Those granted to the Native religious institutions forming the subject of the present Report, are 42 in number and together with 1 (No. 6) an Endowment to a R. Catholic Chapel make a Total of 43.—

Remarks
To Govt. 27th Jan. 1857.

As before remarked a report upon these unauthorized grants was called for in 1825 the injunction has been frequently repeated, but at this date only attended to. During the interval orders have been passed at different periods as to the disposal of Certain of Travers Enams, but they have been of the class for "subsistence", with the exception of 205 Goorloos of Land in excess of the grant, having been resumed in the Village of Cadeavoodoor, (No. 3 of the Tabular Statement) under the orders of the Board 8th January 1827

Of the 43 Enams now reported on 37 appears to have been granted in lieu of resumed privileges, of the particulars of which nothing more has been discovered during the present enquiry, than that they consisted in most instances

DK

instances of sums granted from the Grama Khurch with the sanction of the ruling authorities. The commutation of these items of expenditure into defined and express grants of waste land was therefore unobjectionable, and if reported, would probably have met with confirmation by the Government.

The five Enams (No. 6. granted to a Roman Catholic Chapel excepted) assessed to Pagodas of recent construction at the date of grant, appear more questionable as being Endowments on the part of the British Government, but having now been in existence between 40 and 50 years, the Government may be inclined to waive all interference with them.—

In the Tabular Statement now forwarded the more important particulars given are the extent of land under the grant, its present measurement and its average proceeds for 5 years, according to the Statements of the Enamdars. The correctness of their declarations in one or two instances has been questioned by the late Collector, but the Board presume that their general accuracy has been recognized by him.— The last Col. contains a brief account of each case and his recommendation regarding it.—

He proposes that 5 shall be resumed, the excess above the grant in 3 carried to account, the Jodee of 12 raised, 1 to be resumed with the exception of 12 Goorloos held in Inamum, and 22 left undisturbed.— an abstract Statement accompanies these Proceedings shewing the particulars of these Enams and the Collector's recommendation regarding them.

Three Cundregas having been under Circar Management at the period of the withdrawal of Government interference were then transferred to Trustees.

The Board concurring generally in the late Collector's remarks, would request the sanction of Government for the arrangements proposed by him.

The far greater portion of the Enams are in continuation of former Emoluments, and tho' unauthorized in their present shape appear proper and in accordance with the views of Government.

The remaining Cundregahs still to be reported upon, especially those for subsistence, seem of more doubtful propriety, and the Collector will be directed to give his earliest attention to them, on the orders of Government being received upon the Proceedings now submitted.—

No.	Names of the Talook Village and Cundrega.	Total Extent of land as per original grant.	Total extent of the Talook as per measurement in Fasly 1261 by Talook Servants. Extent of Land.	Average Assessment	Annual amount payable to Government.	Proposed disposal.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Goortoos	Goortoos.			
1	Vankaud in Cotah Talook.	15	15 213/256	454 12 10	19 4 "	To dee raised to 30 Rupees.
2	Bheemaswara Sawmy Cundrega in Goodaly attached to the Cotah Talook	50	47 29/64	219 5 11	15 6 "	Not interfered with.
3	Wodoor Sreecheennacasavaswamy's Cundregah at Cadevadoor in Survapully Talook	238 32/64	239 52/64	588 8 4	57 12 "	To dee raised to 70 Rupees
4	Chillacoor Sree Chenna Casavaswamy's Cundrega at Boodanum	24 7/64	32 45/65	310 13 4	76 6 7	Not interfered with.
5	Sreevunhaswaraswamy's Cundrega in Calavoocoodooroo in Survapully Talook	19 1/4	20 13 1/2/64	412 10 3	One fourth of the Gross produce 43 15 8	Ditto.
6	Vulloor in Survapully Talook.	65	68	815 9 2	124 4 7.	To dee raised to 165 Rs.
7	Epoor in Survapully Talooko	9 27/64	9 41 1/2/64	223 3 6	38 8 "	To be resumed.
8	Poodepurty in Survapully Talook	28 7/16	26 42/64	335 13 5	One fourth of the Gross produce.	Ditto.
9	Potapully Goodoor in Nellore Talook.	12 38/64	13 42/64	265 11 8	38 8 "	Untouched.
10.	Moolastanaswaraswamy's Cundrega in Condiapolliem in Nellore Talook.	20	20 6/256	202 15 "	38 8 "	Ditto.
11	Seeree kistnaswamy's Cundrega in D° D°	12	15 62/64	133 14 7	11 8 9	To dee raised to 15 Rs.
12.	Sreerungaraikaswamy's Cundrega in Annaloor in the Nellore Talook.	69 26/64	70 58/64	1254 1 2	rent free	Untouched.

13	Maderanzegoodoor in Nellore Talook	50	53 $\frac{10}{64}$	839	5	7	112	"	"	Present maniems to be continued remaining Enam resumed.
14	Calavelapollem in D° D°	33 $\frac{57}{64}$	33 $\frac{57}{64}$	"	"	"	"	"	"	To be resumed.
15	Comercka in Nellore Talook	4 $\frac{7}{16}$	4 $\frac{40}{64}$	59	"	9	11	8	9.	Not disturbed
16.	Moodevurty pollem in Nellore Talook	10	9 $\frac{15}{16}$	139	15	10	19	4	"	Ditto
17	Ditto Ditto	29 $\frac{3}{16}$	27 $\frac{33}{120}$	170	5	1	32	"	"	Ditto
18	Chinnacherkoor in Nellore	25	25 $\frac{18}{256}$	304	"	7	19	4	"	Ditto
19	Covoor in the Nellore Talook	23 $\frac{1}{4}$	23 $\frac{37}{64}$	443	3	7	70	"	"	Ditto
20	Gungapatam in Nellore Talook	74 $\frac{43}{64}$	116 $\frac{26}{64}$	1,630	15	5	670	3	"	Jodee raised to 700 Rupees
21	Vauvelaroo in the Talook of Toemmultulpoor	200	224 $\frac{3}{4}$	628	"	"	89	8	"	To be resumed.
22	Indookoor Pettah in Nellore Talook	54 $\frac{22}{64}$	63 $\frac{30}{64}$	608	8	"	57	12	.	Excess land found on measurement to be resumed.
23.	Paurlapully in Ditto Ditto	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{45}{64}$	26	14	5	3	13	8.	Not disturbed.

Fort St. George 18th June 1853.

24	Mopoor in Talamunchy Talook	4 24/64	4 48/64	107	3	2	19	4		Not disturbed
25	Gundavonnur in Ditto Ditto	60	64 22/64	1437	6	2	57	12		Ditto
26	Pooreny in Talamunchy Talook	4 3/8	4 182/256	101	12	8	19	4		Ditto
27	Ditto Ditto	10	10 35/64	238	2		53	15		Sodee raised to 60 Rupees
28	Alloor in Ditto	40 or 12.5 acres	a 115 — c 16 7/18	61	6	8	a Sody of one half of the Survey assessment			Not interfered with
29	Ditto Ditto	3	3 1/4	46	9	11	32	11	8	To be resumed
30	Doovoor in Sungum Talook	20 or 62 1/2 acres	as b 61 27 5/128 or Goontoos 19 47/64	556	13	6	Surva Enam			Not disturbed
31	Sungaladoovoor in Ditto	40	135 5 7/18 or Goontoos 43 1/4	163	1					Not disturbed
32	Inckapully Goodoor in Cavelly Talook	32	34 27/64	319	4	10	19	4		Sodee raised to 30 Rupees
33	Batragoontah in Cavally Talook	1 1/2	2	45	5	4	1/4 of the grop produced	8	8	Not disturbed
34	Pellaroo in Ravoor Talook	256	252 147/256	1381	6		128			Sodee raised to 160 Rs
35	Padamaty Cumbumpand in Ravoor Talook	36 1/64	43 37/64	635	1	5	57	13	9	Excess land to be resumed Sodee raised to 70 Rupees
36	Chinna Annalore in Caligherry Talook	121 1/4 or 379 acres Goontoos 128 38/64	651 18 5/18	517	7	9				Excess land to be resumed
37	Podalacoor in Toommullulpoor Talook	196	245 57/64	951			53	14	6	Net Excess of 10 Goontoos to be resumed
38	Canoopurty in Toommalatulpoor Talook	200	203 7/18	1290	15		61	9		Sodee raised to 80 Rupees
39	Vadanapurty in Goondavolo Talook	20	20 11/18	164	10	4				Not disturbed

7461 Fort St George 16th June 1853

40	Dawagoodoor in Dawagoodoor Talook	80	87 25/128	724	55	24	"	"	Indee raised to 80 Rupees			
41	Canoomulla in Ditto	48	55 15/16	427	3	6	38	8	"	Indee raised to 50 Rupees		
42	Koonjadoor in Ongole Talook	120	123 44¾/64	890	5	1	57	12	6	Not disturbed		
43	Suntanootalapand in Ongole Talook	50	58 54/64	98	10	5	70	"	"	Not disturbed		

Read.

7461 Fort St George 16th June 1853.

Read the following letter.

No 68

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

22

Bd No 1410
From the Collector of Nellore...

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st February 1853, requesting the Collector of Nellore to effect any arrangements which may be practicable for securing a supply of Salt for the use of the Masulipatam District and to report the same for the information of the Board, I have the honor to inform you that in reply to a further letter to the Collector of that District, with reference to one from my predecessor of the 7th March last he has informed me under date 26th Ultimo that as any arrangements for bringing it directly through the agency of Government Officers were found to be impracticable and no tenders were given by private traders altho' invited for bringing it, no further steps were taken and that as Salt was then being rapidly manufactured the demand for the importation of Salt from other Districts is less urgent than formerly.

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector.

Nellore Collector's Office,
28th May, 1853.

Resolved

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

B. No. 1235.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to para 2 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 24th March 1853.

(22-23)

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 30th December 1852 and entered in Consultation 31st January 1853.

(24)

B. No. 1222.

Proceedings thereon. 1. The Board are of opinion that the pay abstract of the Civil Engineers' Department should be paid from the Talook Treasuries under a written order from the Hoozoor on each occasion.

2. Excluding this item from the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter the Board under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 authorize the Collector of Bellary to lodge in the Talooks and other Cutcheries of his District including that of the Superintendent of Police of Bellary the sums specified in the foregoing Statement amounting to Rupees 9,200 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public Service.

3 The attention of the Collector is requested to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted directing the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly.

Read the following letters.

No. 22.

To, W. K. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(25)

B. No. 1519.

From the Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

I am acknowledging the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st March last calling upon me to submit a report on the practical operation of Para 11 of the rules in respect to the personal appearance of all female Pensioners above the age of 50 years circulated with the Board's letter of the 1st July 1850 and to submit a numerical Statement of the female Pensioners reported to be living at each age above that of fifty, I have the honor to submit the Statement called for in which it will be seen that there are only four female Pensioners in this District above the age of 50. Two of these are Mooselmen women, the other two are Brahmin. The Brahmin Pensioners have no objection to appear in person but the Mooselmen women have a strong objection to it, and as regards these latter the Government on my reporting the objections made by Budabeeby and Pantchabeeby inserted in the Statement to attend in person and receive their Pensions were pleased to sanction my disbursing the same thro' their Vakeels.

Bellary District,
Collectors Office on Circuit,
Ramandroog, 1st June, 1853.

(Signed) C. Polly
Collector.
Numerical.

7464

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

Numerical Statement (exclusive of the Poligar female Pensioners) of the Civil and Yeomiadar female Pensioners of the Bellary District above the age of fifty Years as required in the Board's Proceedings dated 21st March 1853

Items	Names of Talooks in which the Pensions drawn	Names of Civil and Yeomiah Pensioners	Caste	Age	Amount of Pension or Yeomiah	Date of last Sanction: Years	Months	Date	How receiving the amount entered in Col. 6: Whether appearing personally for receiving the allowance thro' the Vakeel	Names of Vakeels	Amount of annual Pension or Yeomiah to the Vakeel	Date of Authority for the Vakeels nominated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			8	9	10	11
					Rs. a. p.						Rs. a. p.	
Yeomiah	Adoonie	Paucha Beebee	Moosulman Syed	65	Annually 77 7 " at 6-7-3 per Mensem	1844	March	9th	Thro' Vakeel	Namatoollah	Yeomiah 96 12 "	Under the Order of Government dated 2d September 1851.
		Bada Beebee	Do.	66	Annually 270 " " at 22 8 " per Mensem	1823	January	3d	Do.	Sahebjee Sahib Jagheerdar of Nittravutty	botageer 5517 9	Do.
Civil Pension granted for the support of the deceased's family	Anantapoor	Mungamah widow of Singaracharya late Taloogo Writer in Surveyor General's Department	Bramin	64	Annually 418 14 " at 34 14 6 per Mensem	1821	August	17th	Personally appeared for receiving	"	" " "	
	Raidroog	Lutchmeboyee widow of Sunjeeva Row late Seristadar of Khajana in the Darwar District	Do.	64	Annually 90 " " at 7-8 per Mensem	1845	Do.	29th	Do.	"	" " "	

Bellary District, Collector's Office on Circuit at Ramandroog, 6th June, 1853 (Signed) C. Pelly Collector.

Ordered

7465 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

(25-26)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over

B.d. No. 1538

From the Collector of Bellary. Read letter stating that no

(27) Petitions have been received from the Office of the Board of Revenue in last Month.

(27-28)

Order thereon. Ordered to be Recorded.

Read again letter from the Collector of Cuddapah, dated 23d October and

(29) entered in Consultation 4th November, 1852.

B.d No. 1223.

Proceedings thereon. Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 30th Septr 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Cuddapah to lodge in the Talook and other Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rs 5,800 to provide for expenditure in Cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public Service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.

7466 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

Read again letter from the Agent at Kurnool dated 13th and entered in Consultation 25th October 1852.

B.d No. 1224

Proceedings thereon. Under the authority granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September

(30) 1852 the Board of Revenue sanction the practice which has hitherto obtained in Kurnool of depositing the sum of 150 Rupees in each of the Talook Cutcherries of the District to provide for expenditure in Cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public Service.

2 The attention of the Agent is requested to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted regarding the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 27th October and

(31) entered in Consultation 1st November 1852.

B.d No. 1225

Proceedings thereon. Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Chingleput to lodge in each of

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

of the Talook Cutcherries of his District the sums of 100 Rupees to provide for expenditure in those cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.

Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(32)

Bd No 1294.

From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

Major Pears having intimated to me that the Construction of the Railway from Madras to Menik is about to be commenced and that it is desirable that all provisions required should be readily supplied, I have the honor to state that the necessary arrangements will be greatly facilitated and all inconvenience and annoyance to the Villagers obviated by the Establishment of a sufficient number of shops at proper localities on the line of the Road.

In view therefore to hold out to parties every

encouragement

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

encouragement to open Bazars on the road, I beg to request sanction of the Board for the temporary remission of the Motorpha tax to which their Shops will be liable during the progress of the work.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney 13th May, 1853

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Bd No 1238

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

(32-33)

The Board of Revenue are not inclined to anticipate any backwardness among the trading class in establishing shops in a locality which promises to be so profitable as the line of a railway under construction, and would propose to defer the measure of remitting the Motorpha tax until it has been ascertained by experience whether such difficulty actually occurs when they would have no hesitation in supporting the Collector's recommendation.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 24th and entered in Consultation 29th November 1852.

(34)

Bd No 1226

Proceedings thereon. Under the Sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

September 1852, the Board of Revenue authorize the acting Collector of North arcot to lodge in the Talook Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 6815 to provide for expenditure in those cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.—

2. The attention of the acting Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.—

35

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 19th and entered in Consultation 25th November 1852:

Bd. No. 1227.

Proceedings thereon. / Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Salem to lodge in the Talook Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter, amounting to Rupees 10,165 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.—

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.—

Read the following letter.—

No 77.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—

36

Bd. No. 1545

From the Collector of Salem . . . . Sir,

I have the honor to request permission to absent myself from my duties for the period of one Month under Section XI of the Absentee Rules) amended) to proceed to Bangalore and the Neilgherry Hills.—

The annual Settlement of the District I beg to mention was brought to a close a few days back.—

Salem Collector's Office, Hoor, 16th June, 1853. / Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector.—

Ordered

7471 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

(36-37)

Order thereon

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read again letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 20th and entered in Consultation 25th November 1852.

(38)

Bd. No. 1228

Proceedings thereon 1.

Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of South Arcot to lodge in the Talook Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the Margin of the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 1,050 to provide for expenditure in Cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the Public Service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring that the accounts of such expenditure should be audited Monthly.

D.G.

Proceedings

7472 Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

No. 265.

In Cons. 3d Jany 1853.

Read again letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 26th November 1852, reporting on the Settlement of that District for Fusly 1261 / 1851/52.

(Here enter No. 80)

In Cons. 4th Octr. 1852

Read also letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 25th September 1852 reporting on the Ain balances to the close of Fusly 1259 / 1849/50.

(Here enter No. 59.)

(39)

Bd. No. 1255.

Proceedings thereon 1.

Relative to the Settlement of the South Arcot District for Fusly 1261 A.D. 1851/52

A heavy fall of rain in May 1851 was favorable for the commencement of the wet Cultivation. With the exception of a slight deficiency towards the end of the North East Monsoon made up afterwards by falls of rain in February and March too late however in some places to ensure full Crops the Season throughout was very favorable. The freshes in the principal Rivers were steady and moderate. The District was on the whole healthy and the Collector notices a marked diminution of Small Pox attributed, it is satisfactory to observe, to the spread of Vaccination.

	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Survey Price
Cumboo	Rs. 91	Rs. 73	Rs. 70
Varagoo	" 53	" 43	
White Paddy	" 84	" 68	
Indigo	" 511	" 432	

2. In the Prices of Grain there was a marked decline below last year. The rates were very low in the Months of March, April, and May, when some heavy Kists are payable, but with the exception of Varagoo which however is extensively grown in South Arcot, the Prices on the whole bear a fair Comparison with the Survey Rates

Fusly 1261. Cawnies
Cultivated Area } 495983.
Land sown with Indigo } 48,119

Rates. Indigo is grown to the extent of about 1/10th of the Cultivated Area and the high prices obtained for that Article must be considered to compensate in some degree to those who grow it for the depreciation observed in Paddy and Varagoo, but the high rates quoted have reference to the Article in it's manufactured state and are not an index of the Amount of profit which accrues to the Ryot. Another product, the ground nut, the oil of which is in steady demand in the European Markets, is now extensively cultivated chiefly in the Trivady and Villaporam Talooks.

3 Six Talooks were settled by the Collector. The Sub Collector settled the four Talooks of his Division, while 2 Talooks were placed under the charge of the Head Assist. Mr. McDonell, and the Jummabundy of the remaining Talook was made by Mr. Hodgson, the Assistant Collector. With the exception of some Villages in Manargoody each Talook was settled within its limits.

Paras 6 & 8.

4. In the review of the Settlement for last Fusly, the Board noticed the progress made by Mr. Maltby in the introduction of the Dittum which requires the Ryot to state at the beginning of the season what additional lands he wishes to take up and what lands he is desirous of relinquishing. In the Current

year

year the land holders still shewed some Mistrust and engaged for a less extent of land than they intended to cultivate if the season proved favorable. Out of a Cultivation of 5,23,568 Cawnies, the extent of land taken up after the Dittum was 69,192 Cawnies. It is only as the Collector states by a bonafide deduction at the Settlement of waste, the cultivation of which has been unavoidably impeded, that the hesitation of the Ryots can be removed.

5. Including Kussur or additional charge for the use of Government water, the Assessment of the land in occupation during the year was Rupees 27,79,452, exceeding the preceding year by Rupees 142,266 of which Rupees 34,282 is increase on account of Kussur. Deducting Rupees 99,612 for land not cultivated, being Rupees 50,548 less than in the preceding year, the gross Settlement stands at Rupees 2,79,840 or Rupees 1,92,814 above Fusly 1260 / 1850/51. This increase is composed of

Land not cultivated Decrease

	Rupees
Dry	28,970
Wet	20,776
Garden	802
	50,554

Rs.

Dry land 42,315
Wet Do. 114,105
Garden — 2,112
Kussur 34,282.

Para 13

6. With the exception of Manargoody and Cuddalore, the increase is general. In the former Talook there is a decrease in

Wet

Wetlands amounting to Rupees 2,986 is ascribed to hesitation to cultivate largely when the grain Market is unpromising, the decrease of Rupees 3,105 in the latter is owing to an Earthern Anicut in the Guddelum having sustained injury. The subject, it is observed, has been brought to the notice of the Civil Engineer.

Paras 14 @ 17. 7. In these Paras Mr. Maltby notices the state of the settlement of the Wetlands under the principal rivers. The increase in the two Southern Talooks of Manargoody and Chelumbrum under the Colleroon is only Rupees 2,392 and does not reach the Revenue of Fuslies 1246 / 1846/47 and 1257 / 1847/48. In their Proceedings on the Settlement for last year, the Board noticed the large Returns obtained in South Arcot from the Colleroon Anicut, but observed that the state of the assessment in the 2 Talooks mentioned would not admit of extensive Cultivation except when the prices of Grain are high. The defects of the assessment as the Government are aware are at present in course of revision. In regard to the Vellaur Anicut the Board observed in the same Proceedings that an outlay of Rupees 107,709 on account of this work had already produced an Annual increase of Revenue to the extent of Rupees 19,577. In the year under review there is a further increase

dated 26th April 1832 Para 20.

and

and the Revenue, which before the construction of the Anicut did not exceed Rupees 40,793, now stands at Rupees 63,307. The benefits of this work are still in course of development. Under the Pennar the increase is Rupees 21,622. The Cultivation carried on by the water from the Guddelum, the Collector states, is Rupees 24,428, about the same as in the preceding year, but referring to the report on the Settlement of last year, Para 18, the Cultivation for that year is there stated to have borne an assessment of Rupees 40,375, thus making a decrease of Rupees 15,947 in the Current year which the Collector will be pleased to explain.

8. In regard to the Wet Cultivation generally, the Collector observes, in Para 17, that its extension may be expected in an important degree as soon as the revision of the Assessment now in progress relieves the finer soils from an undue weight of taxation, especially on the double Crop Assessment.

Statement 10. 9. In the Cultivation of Sugar Cane there is an increase of 40 Cawnies to be traced to the establishment of a new Sugar Manufactory by Messrs. Vinay, fils and Co. The land occupied for this Product is 1,483 Cawnies almost entirely Wetland, assessed at Rs. 16,255 including Rupees 348 on account of additional

Cess

Cess imposed for the use of Circar Water. The Cultivation is confined almost entirely to the Government lands, and is found chiefly in Cullacoorchy and Trivady. The prices of Jaggery and Sugar rose in the year under report.

	Rate per Candy Jaggery	Sugar
Fusly 1260	6	" 25
" 1261	9	" 28

Para 20.

10. Land not cultivated but brought to account, bore an assessment of Rupees 5,905. In this are included Rupees 2,638, land taken up on Durkhast but improperly left waste and " 15-9 designated Cowl Waste. The last mentioned item is the amount of easy rent allowed to Vencata=chella Maistry of Wullakoor as explained by the Collector in Para 29 of his report for last year. As the Taram Assessment viz. Rupees 30-1-11 remitted to this individual is not strictly Cowle Remission, it should not be included under that Class but transferred to the head of Ayen in Statement A, the demand also being included under the same head. With this adjustment the Cowle Remissions in Fusly 1261/1851/52 amounted to Rupees 5,593, composed of

Statement H Col. 173-177-181.

5 years Cowle for lands on the Road Side } Rs 4,989.

Topes under the New Rules.

	Rs
Private	555
Public	49
	604.

11. It is observed that the practice of

of taking up Land which has been Waste upwards of 5 years on progressive Cowle has entirely ceased in South Arcot. The Board would call the attention of the Collector to this circumstance and they would wish him to explain how it has occurred.

12. In para 10 of their Proceedings on the Settlement for last year the Board observed that the Kussur, or additional Assessment drawn chiefly from Dry lands cultivated with Rice Crops by means of water furnished by Government was an important and increasing item of Revenue. Last year it amounted to Rupees 69,724 exceeding any previous year; in the Current there is a further increase of Rupees 34,282 making a Current Revenue of Rupees 104,006 on account of this item obtained principally as already observed on Drylands brought under irrigation, and in those Talooks chiefly where there has been a considerable outlay for improving the irrigation. Of the total Kussur revenue the sum of Rupees 21,914 consists of fraudulent concealments of Wet cultivation under the plea that the irrigation was from Jungle and waste water. According to the practice of the District since the year 1841, Kussur is not demanded when water is bonâ fide obtained

Paras 21 @ 23.

Commissioners' Hookennamah dated 31st Augt. 1841 Para 47.

obtained from these Sources which however can seldom be in such quantities at stated times as to admit of Paddy being cultivated, but under the cloak of this permission Dry land has been extensively culti-vated with Wet products by means of Government water - It was necessary to correct the abuse and without rescinding the rule it was declared by public No-tification to the Ryots that when it is shewn that Dry lands in the vicinity of Channels and Rivers have been ir-rigated directly or indirectly by water which has reached them from those Sources or when water has been intercepted in its passage and diverted to Dry lands exemption from Kusser would not be admitted. Mr. Maltby's object was to correct the abuse gradually and it is only in flagrant cases that the demand of Kussur has been enforced - With the revision of the assessment the relax-ation of the Kussur Rules abovementioned will merge in the rule by which the as-sessment is regulated not only with re-ference to the quality of the soil but also to its other advantages, such as facility of obtaining water - The correspondence which has passed between the Collector and the Board on this subject will be submitted with these Proceedings for

From Collr. 25th May in Cons 14th June 1852
Pro. 14 June 1852

for the information of Government -

Para 25 13. The decrease of Rupees 3,053 - 2 - 9 in the Beriz of the rented Villages is nominal arising from some of the Callemalay Villages having been kept under Amany in the absence of Suitable offers to rent them -

14. On referring to Statement Qit is seen that the Net proceeds of the reverted Mootah of Nullatoor for Fusly 1261 amounted to Rupees 2,752 - 3 - 4 falling short of the Peishcush (Rupees 3,003) by Rupees 250 - 13 - 5 or 8 per Cent -

Paras 26 - 27 15. The remission including allowances to Village Sibbendy amount to Rupees 2,42,242 - 9 - " while in the preceding year they were Rupees 2,34,318 - 5 - 3. They fluctuate with the cha-racter of the Season - The increase in the Current year consists chiefly of the Autho-rized deductions to Bramin and Maho-medan Cultivators and of the large al-lowances to the Village Officers whose re-muneration is regulated with reference to the Settlement of the year. Deducting Remissions the Net Revenue is Rupees 25,22,927 - 8 - 1 exceeding that of Fusly 1260 (1850/51) by Rupees 18,2,377 - 6 - " and being the highest on record - The particulars in Comparison with the preceding year are shewn in the following Tabular Form -

Fusly -

7481

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

	Fusly 1260 Extent of land		Fusly 1260 Beriz			Fusly 1261 Extent of land		Fusly 1261 Beriz			Increase Extent of land		Increase Beriz			Decrease Extent of land		Decrease Beriz		
	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	ps	Cs	as	Rs	a	ps	Cs	as	Rs	a	ps	Cs	as	Rs	a	ps
Dittums. Dry	392046	1/8	1373688	15	4	390641	7/8	1387033	8	1	"	"	13344	8	9	1405	1/4	"	"	"
Garden	1408	5 1/4	24387	2	5	1499	12 1/2	25697	8	1	91	9 1/4	1310	5	8	"	"	"	"	"
Wet	121278	8 7/8	1169386	"	3	131427	5 3/8	1262715	6	5	10148	12 1/2	93329	6	2	"	"	"	"	"
	514732	15 1/4	2567462	2	"	523568	4 3/4	2675446	6	7	8835	5 1/2	107984	4	7			"	"	"
Kussur or additional impost for the use of Government Water			69724	8	11			104006	1	5			34281	8	6			"	"	"
			2637186	10	11			2779452	8	"			142265	13	1			"	"	"
Deductions on account of land left Unsown			150160	6	10			99611	8	6			"	"	"			50548	14	4
Gross Settlement			2487026	4	1			2679840	15	6			192814	11	5			"	"	"
Deductions on land Cultivated. Cowle Remissions			5499	"	7			5623	6	6			124	5	11			"	"	"
Manawary &ca or land not irrigated but dependent on the falling rains			5683	12	9			5182	13	4			"	"	"			500	15	5
Amount remitted on the lands of Poolour			205	8	"			79	13	"			"	"	"			125	11	"
Poligars Cumbuttum			528	5	4			568	14	6			40	9	2			"	"	"
Teazgary or deduction on account of Bramins & Musselmen			22137	7	4			23562	13	11			1425	6	7			"	"	"
Shaucy or loss of Produce			4636	14	"			168	12	9			"	"	"			4468	1	3
			38691	"	"			35186	10	"			"	"	"			3504	6	"
Remainder			2448335	4	1			2644654	5	6			196319	1	5			"	"	"
Add. Ready Money Collections &ca			19479	14	3			21955	1	1			2475	2	10			"	"	"
Rents &ca			59421	12	2			54433	7	8			"	"	"			4988	4	6
Peshcush			8940	8	10			8940	8	10			"	"	"			"	"	"
			2536177	7	4			2729983	7	1			193805	15	9			"	"	"
Deduct Village Sibbendy			195627	5	3			207055	15	"			11428	9	9			"	"	"
Net Settlement			2340550	2	1			2522927	8	1			182377	6	"			"	"	"

The

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

16- The state of the Collections is very satisfactory. Of the above Demands Rupees 2358639-11-6 were collected within the Fusly and Rupees 108383-11-10 a few days afterwards - The Balances outstanding at the end of March 1853 is only Rupees 2052-15-11 Ryotwar - These results and the non issue of advances for the cultivation noticed in Para 29 of the Report testify to the efficient character of the Collectors management during the period under review.

17- In his letter dated 25th September 1852 Mr. Maltby reports on the outstanding Assessment Balances from Fusly 1250 (1840/41) to the close of Fusly 1259 (1849/50). After a minute investigation by himself and the Sub Collector they are classed as shewn in the Margin. Satisfactory reasons are assigned for allowing the doubtful of recovery portion of these Balances to lie over for a short time; but the Board trust that the amount will be speedily removed from the accounts. Of the irrecoverable portion the sum of Rupees 315-12-" has been sanctioned thus reducing the Amount under this head to Rupees 24367-8-6. The only item calling for remark is the arrear of Canally demands, as explained in a letter from the Collector dated 28th August 1852 in answer to Govt Proc 27th Sept 1852 to which the Board refer.

	Rs a p
Recoverable	4,820-4-5
Doubtful	7,089-7-6
Irrecoverable	325183-6-4
Total	337093-2-5

Fort St. George. 16th June 1853.

parties by whom it is due and to the ingenuity of the law, the Collector was of opinion that the arrears should not be pressed, and that the item should be incorporated in the assessment about to be fixed in these Villages. The Board concurred in this view -

18- The Balances of Fusly 1260 (1850/51) found to be irrecoverable amount to Rupees 1249-7-10-

Para 30 Statement E

19. The Current Demand on account of Sayer Summa amounted to Rupees 13020-2-7. Of which Rupees 9487-8-2 were collected within the Fusly and Rupees 2502-7-10 subsequently leaving a balance of Rupees 970-2-7. The arrears amount to Rupees 11034-11-7 from Fusly 1250 (1840/41) to Fusly 1259 (1849/50) and are under report to Government in a separate Communication - Those for Fusly 1260 (1850/51) amount to Rupees 1503-15-3. of which Rupees 49-7-9 are recommended by the Collector to be written off -

20- The Board are satisfied that the several Balances above noticed have been fully scrutinized, and they beg to support the recommendation of the Collector for writing off the following sums -

Assessment Balances from Fusly 1250 to Fusly 1259	24367-8-6
Do for Fusly 1260	1249-7-10
Sayer Summa do for do	49-7-9
Total	25668-7-9

21. In the total Revenue from Land and Extra Sources there is an increase of Rupees 152906-1-" over the preceding year. The particulars for the two years are shewn in the following Abstract Statement -

Demand -

Fort St. George.

16th June 1853.

	Demand	Collection				Demand	Collection			
	Balance of Fusly 1260	Within the Fusly	Subsequent by to 30th March 1852	Total	Balance	Balance of Fusly 1261	Within the Fusly	Subsequent by to 31st March 1853	Total	Balance
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	
Land Revenue	2340550 2 1	219508 1 9	142170 2 2	233778 3 11		2771 11 2	252292 7 8 1	235839 11 6	182234 12 8	252074 8 2	2052 16 11
Salt	27862 9 11	27862 9 11	" " "	27862 9 11		" " "	27014 0 13 11	25638 11 9	1375 2 15 2	27014 0 13 11	" " "
Layer	43828 " 7	42256 12 4	1391 2 3	43828 " 7		" " "	57516 9 1	57516 9 1	" " "	57516 9 1	" " "
Abkarry	81300 " "	12743 7 5	8556 8 7	81300 " "		" " "	78000 " "	65920 " "	12080 " "	78000 " "	" " "
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	11286 5 11	9743 10 10	1542 11 1	11286 5 11		" " "	11700 5 "	10383 3 6	1313 9 6	11696 13 "	3 8 "
Moturpha	41142 8 3	38962 8 6	2166 13 11	41129 6 5		13 1 10	41899 8 3	38850 10 7	5339 5 10	41890 " 5	9 7 10
Stamps	200255 "	19952 7 "	" "	73 8 "	200255 "	" " "	18804 " 6	18790 4 6	13 2 "	18804 " 6	" " "
Sea Customs	31069 15 10	30261 10 1	808 5 9	31069 15 11		" " "	29002 13 9	29002 13 9	" " "	29002 13 9	" " "
Total	2847085 9 7	2687591 5 10	156709 3 9	2844300 9 7		2785 " "	3029991 10 7	2835191 3 8	192734 7 2	3027925 10 10	2065 15 9

Fus

22 The total Charges amount to Rupees 449,960 which is Rupees 14-14-5 per Cent on the Revenue. The particulars shewing the Charges of administration distinct from other charges, with the per Centage on the portions of Revenue to which they appertain are as follows-

	Amount of Charges			Amount of Revenue			Per Centage		
	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.	Rs.	a.	P.
Charges for Collecting and Administering the Land Revenue	196,790	"	11	2525,720	3	7	7	12	7 7/8
Repairs of Tanks and Watercources	45,707	1	3	1236,186	"	"	3	10	11 1/4
Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Offices &c.	148,821	10	9	2525,720	3	7	5	14	3 1/2
Total Land Revenue	391,318	12	11	2525,720	3	7	15	7	10 1/2
Extra Branches of Revenue	52,537	8	2	493,825	"	2	10	10	3
Other Miscellanious Charges not included above	6,104	3	4	3019,545	3	9	"	3	3
Grand Total	449,960	6	5	3019,545	3	9	14	14	5

Ordered

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of South Arcot.

---

(40) Read again letter from the Collector of Tanjore dated 13th and entered in Consultation 25th November 1852.

B. No. 1229. Proceedings thereon. 1 Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Tanjore to lodge in the Talook and other Cutcherries of his District, the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 3,360 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.

2 The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the Order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly.

(41) Read again letter from the Collector of Madura dated 3rd and entered in Consultation 9th December 1852.

B. No. 1230. Proceedings thereon 1. Under the sanction granted in

7487 Fort St. George. 16th June 1853.

in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Madras to lodge in the Talook and other Cutcherries of his District the sum specified in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 1150 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public Service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the Order of Government above quoted directing that the accounts of such expenditure to be audited Monthly.

Read the following letter.

No. 66.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

42

Bd. No. 359.

From the Acting Collector of Madura

Sir.

With reference to the Board's Circular of the 15th February 1847 I have the honor to forward a Statement of a claim for arrears of a share in the Yeomiah

No. 1

7488 Fort St. George. 16th June 1853.

No. 1 as per form given in their Circular of the 18th May 1846 and to request that you will be good enough to procure the necessary sanction for it's disbursement.

Madura.
Maravencolum,
18th May 1853

(Signed) T. Clarke
Acting Collector.

Statement

7489

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

Statement shewing the Amount of Arrears due to the under mentioned Yeomiahdars in the District of Madura & Dindigul.

No. of Certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pensions per annum	Date of Government authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total Amount of Arrears	Explanation of the cause of Suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Order of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						CRs a P			
1	Shaik Dawood	33 5 6	1848 June	27th	Service September 26th 1848	From 1st November 1851 to 31st March 1853	45 11 "		

In November 1851 the Yeomiahdar obtained leave of absence for 6 months to proceed to Arcot and did not return till November 1852 when he produced a Certificate from the Village Moonsiff of Vanembady in Salem shewing that he was taken seriously ill in July 1852 on his way homeward and detained there 4 months. Hence the pension was not drawn.

Madura, 18th May, 1853.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) J. Clarke.
Acting Collector.

As

7490 Fort St George. 16th June 1853.

B. No. 1236 No. 20

Remarks thereon - As the reason assigned

(42-43)

for the non-appearance of the Yeomiahdar at the prescribed periods, to draw his pension is satisfactory, and there seems no reason to doubt his identify under the certificate granted by the Village Moonsiff of Vaniembaddy, the Board of Revenue beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears due to him from 1st November to 31st March 1853 Amounting to Rupees 45-11-

Read

7491 Fort St George 10th June 1853

(44)

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 30th October and entered in Consultation 8th November 1852.

B. No. 1231

Proceedings thereon, 1. Under the sanction accorded in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Tinnevelly to lodge in the Talook Cutcherries of his District, the sums specified in the foregoing letter amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 2,460 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.

2. The attention of the Collector is called to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring that the accounts of such expenditure shall be audited monthly.

---

(45)

Read again letter from the Collector of Coimbatore dated 26th October and entered in Consultation 4th November 1852.

B. No. 1232

Proceedings thereon, 1. Under the Sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Coimbatore to lodge in the Talook Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the statement which accompanied the foregoing letter, amounting to Rs. 1,000 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the Public services.

2. The attention of the Collector is requested to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly.

Read the following Return.

Return

7492

Fort St George 16th June 1853

46

Return of Tahsildars of the Coimbatore Collectorate as it stood on the 1st May 1853.

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Vencata Row born at Vengalatoor in Chittoor District.	Bramin	54	Tahsildar of Coimbatore.	263,408 126	100	From 1st April 1851	2 1 .	As Roysum in the Magistrate's Office at Madura from 1st November 1828 to 27th May 1842 — 13 6 27 As Moonshee in the Collector's Office Coimbatore from 28th May 1842 to 31st March 1851 — 8 10 3 22 5 ..	22 6 ..	
Sama Row born at Munnargoody.	Bramin	40	Acting Tahsildar of Suttiumgalum.	243,527 2 ..	100	Do.	5 5 26	As Goomastah in the Coimbatore Talook from 3rd July 1835 to 24th November 1837 — 2 4 22 As Huzoor Goomastah in the Coimbatore District from 25th November 1837 to 10th June 1847 — 9 6 16 As Sheristadar in the Coimbatore Talook from 11th June to 4th November 1847 — .. 4 24 12 4 2	17 9 28	
Belegherry Row born at Arasempolliem	Bramin	39	Tahsildar of Caroor	242,478 63	100	From 12th September.	5 9 23	As Roysum in the Zemindary of Ramnad, and Hungamah Goomastah in the Madura District particulars not Known — 8 .. . As Goomastah in the Naganairy Talook in the Tinnevelly District from 17th October 1840 to 15th August 1843 — 2 9 29 As Huzoor Goomastah in the Do. from 16th August 1843 to 7th July 1847 — 3 10 22 14 8 21	20 6 14	

7493

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Name and place of Birth.	Caste	age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment.		Total length of Service.	Remarks
Soobiah born at Madura.	Bramin.	51.	Acting Tahsildar of Pallachy.	213,219	7 1 100	From 27th May 1852	6 6 "	As Devastanum Goomastah and Ameenah &c in the District of Madura particulars not Known	14 " "		
								As Peishcar of Danaiken cottah Talook in the Coimbatore District from 21st June to 24th October 1842	" 4 4		
								As Huzoor Moonshee in the District of Coimbatore from 25th October 1842 to 4th June 1843	" 7 10		
								As Huzoor Goomastah in the Do from 5th June 1843 to 19th November 1845	2 5 15		
									17 4 29	23 10 29	
Rama Rao born at Chaitput	Bramin	52	Tahsildar of Pulladum.	257,564	4 6 100	From 12th November 1848	4 5 19	As Talook Goomastah in the District of Madura from December 1820 to November 1827	7 " "		
								As Ameenah in Punyamaul Talook in the District of Tinnevelly from 7th April to 8th December 1838	" 8 2		
								As Sheristadar in the Talook of Parindooray in Coimbatore District from 18th January 1843 to 7th March 1847	4 1 20		
								As Do in Pulladum Talook from 8th March 1847 to 11th November 1848	1 8 4		
									13 5 26	17 11 15	
Histnipah Born at Malavilly	Bramin	43	Tahsildar of Danaiken cottah	65,081	4 " 8 5	From 18th June 1852	8 6 10	As Goomastah in the Hoozoor Cutcherry at Coimbatore from 22d to 24th November 1837	" " 3		
								As Do in the Talook of Coimbatore from 25th November 1837 to 24th October 1842	4 11 "		
								As Sheristadar of Cheyoor Talook for 25th and 26th October 1842	" " 2		
								As Do of Coimbatore Talook from 27th October 1842 to 20th October 1844	1 11 24		
									6 10 29	15 5 9	

7494

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Name and place of Birth.	Caste	age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.		Total length of Service	Remarks
Cooppoo Sawmy Naik born at Madras.	Buljee war	50	Tahsildar of Cheyoor	147389-2-1	85	From 24th March 1851.	2 1 7	As Devastanum Writer in the Collectors Office Coimbatore from 25th March 1823 to 14th July 1827	4 3 20		
								As Huzoor Moonshee from 15th July 1827 to 12th July 1830	2 11 28		
								As Huzoor writer from 13th July 1830 to 10th April 1840	9 8 28		
								As Deputy accountant from 11th April 1840 to 5th April 1841	" 11 25		
								As acting Head accountant from 6th April to 18th June 1841	" 2 13		
								As Deputy accountant from 19th June 1841 to 23d March 1851	9 9 5		
									27 11 29	30 1 6	
Beema Row born at Dindigul	Bramin	55	Tahsildar of Hooghiem	144144-8-8-	85	From 20th June 1838 to 4th December 1840 from 8th April to 28th November 1845 and from 30th July 1846 to 30th April 1853.	16 " 16	As Zufty ameenah in the Zemindary of Ramnad in Madura District from 1st April 1817 to 31st December 1821	4 9 "		
								As Huzoor Goomastah in Madura District from 1st July 1822 to 31st December 1824	2 6 "		
								As Goomastah in Todecomboo Talook in Do from 1st January 1825 to 30th April 1828	3 4 "		
								As Goomastah in the Talook of Tangara in Do from 1st May to 12th November 1828	" 6 12		
								As Peishcar in the Talook of Errode and Suttimungalum in the District of Coimbatore from 26th February to 3d December 1833	" 9 6		
								As Sheristadar in the Talook of Parindoorray from 4th December 1833 to 16th January 1834	" 1 14		
								As Do Do from 1st April 1834 to 4th April 1835	1 " 4		
								As Do of Danaikencottah from 5th April 1835 to 14th April 1837	2 " 10		
									15 " 16	31 1 2	

7495

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Name and place of Birth	Caste	age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
Puttabeeramah born at Ayacoody in Madura District	Bramin	38	Tahsildar of Chickragherry	22,300-55	85	From 7th April 1851	9 " 24	As Sayer Mooshuruff of Suttimungalum Talook from 6th August to 8th September 1843 — 1 3 As Do of Numbeyoor from 9th September to 27th November 1843 — " 2 19 As Chouckeydar at Coimbatore from 28th November 1843 to 8th April 1844 — " 4 11 As Goomastah in the Tobacco Godown from 9th April 1844 to 12th September 1845 — 1 5 4 As Moonshee in Do from 13th September 1845 to 18th February 1846 " 5 6 As Peishcar of Suttimungalum Talook from 19th February 1846 to 19th January 1848 — 1 11 1 As Tobacco Superintendent from 20th January 1848 to 6th April 1851 — 3 2 17 7 8 1	9 8 25	
Shaik Davood Born at Darampoory	Musselman	57	Tahsildar of Darapoorum	16,343-13,2	85	From 14th November 1844	15 5 28	As Cutwall in the Talook of Rayacottah in the Salem District from the year 1820 to 1823 — 4 " " As Peishcar in the Talook of Darampoory in Do from 23d September 1824 to 31st October 1827 — 3 1 8 As Cutwall at Cusbah Salem from 27th November 1827 to 22d June 1833 — 5 6 26 As Head Darogah in the Elephant Hunting Establishment at Coimbatore from 27th June 1833 to 2d November 1837 — 4 4 6 17 " 10	32 6 8	

7496

Fort St George 15th June 1853

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	amount of Pay Collection.	Length of Service as Talaiyaldar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Talaiyaldar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Talaiyaldar and length of Service in each employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Ramadaw Bramin 50 Talaiyaldar of Pauldhat	Bramin	50	Talaiyaldar of Pauldhat	86306 5 11 85	From 25th October 1850.	3 1 14	As assistant Curnum of Custom Department of Seeroomogalu	1 3 12	
							As Mungamee Goomastah in the District of Coimbatore from 15th September to 23d November 1830	" 2 8	
							As Mugoor Moonshee from 24th November 1830 to October 1831	" 11 7	
							As Mugoor Goomastah from 1st November to 31st December 1831	" 2 .	
							As Sayer Goomastah in the Mugoor from 1st January 1832 to 17th May 1833	1 4 17	
							As Mugoor Moonshee from 18th May 1833 to 15th December 1841	8 6 29	
							As Do from 24th October 1842 to 24th October 1850	8 . 1	
								20 6 14	
								23 1028	
Bheema Row born at Coimbatore	Bramin	49	Talaiyaldar of Collegal	98529 2 85	From 20th November 1852	13 1 8	As Mugoor Devastanum Goomastah in the District of Coimbatore from 22d October to 2d December 1825	" 1 12	
							As Mungamee Goomastah in the Mugoor from 3d December 1825 to 10th July 1826	" 7 9	
							As Peishcar in the Talook of Pulladum from 12th January 1827 to 23d March 1829	2 2 13	
							As Do in the Talook of Suttumunga-lum from 24th March 1829 to 27th October 1830	1 7 5	
							As Peishcar in the Talook Parin-dooray from 28th October 1830 to 25th September 1834	3 10 29	
							As Do in the Talook of Pulladum from 20th September to 9th December 1834	" 2 14	
							As Do in the Talook of Coimbatore from 10th December 1834 to 4th May 1835	" 4 26	
							As Mugoor Goomastah in the office of the Principal Collector from 2d March 1840 to 10th January 1842	1 10 10	
								10 10 28	
								24 . 6	

7497

Fort St George 16th June 1853

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previous to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment.		Total length of Service.	Remarks.
Ram Row born at Dharapooram.	Bramin	45.	Acting Tahsildar of Parindoorag	19,17,18 4 2	85	From 20th November 1852.	" 5 11	As Goomastah in Pollachy Talook from 24th to 30th July 1830	" " 7		
								As Davastanum Goomastah in Errode Talook from August 1830 to November 1832	2 4 "		
								As Sayer Goomastah in the Huzoor from 27th July to 25th October 1837	" 2 29		
								As Moonshee in Do from 22d December 1837 to 8th April 1844	6 3 17		
								As Sheristadar in Errode Talook from 9th April 1844 to 28th Decr 1846	2 8 20		
								As Do in Audioor Do from 29th December 1846 to 19th November 1852	5 10 21		
									17 6 4		
										17 11 5	
Mahomed Cassim Born at Trichinopoly	Mussulman	45	Tahsildar of Neilgherry Talook	46,79,85 7	50	From 27th October 1852	" 6 4	As Police ameen in the Town of Coimbatore from 10th October 1851 to 26th October 1852	1 " 17		
								As Moonshee in the 26th Regiment N.I. from 3d October 1831 to 9th October 1851	20 " 7		
										21 6 28	
Sreenevassa Row Born at Eraloor	Bramin	58	Tahasildar of Errode	18,65,27 1 11	100	From 18th June 1852.	6 11 11	As Davastanum Goomastah in the Huzoor from 12th August 1818 to 25th June 1820	1 10 14		
								As Goomastah in Do from 26th June 1820 to 30th April 1821	" 10 5		
								As Goomastah in the Sub Collector's Cutcherry from May 1821 to January 1830	8 9 "		
								As Do in the Principal Collector Cutcherry from February 1830 to 17th October 1833	3 8 17		
								As Head Goomastah in Do from 18th October 1833 to 5th October 1835	1 11 18		
									17 1 24		
								As Naib Sheristadar in the Collector's Cutcherry from 4th November 1841 to 17th May 1852	10 6 14		
									27 8 8		
										34 7 19	

Coimbatore, Collector's Office, 30th April, 1853.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

(46-47)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

---

(48)

Bd No 1233

Proceedings thereon.

Read again letter from the Collector of Canara dated 25th October and entered in Consultation 4th November 1852.

The Board observe that sanction has already been granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852 for the lodgment in the several Cutcherries of the Canara District of the sums specified in the Statement which accompanied the foregoing letter not exceeding in the aggregate Rupees 6000.

2. The attention of the Collector is requested to para 1 of the order of Government above quoted requiring the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly.

---

(49)

Bd No 1313

From the Collector of Canara

Requesting to be furnished with Copies

Read the following letter

No 37.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Board of Revenue will furnish me with Copies of Mr Harris' reports

of Mr Harris' Reports on Tharao Settlement of this District and of Minutes of Consultation on review of that Report.

reports on the Tharao Settlement of this district and of the Minutes of Consultation on review of Mr Harris report. These documents were destroyed in common with the rest of the records of the district, in 1837.

2 I am desirous of refering to these papers before replying to the Board's Circular of the 8th November last, but shall be obliged if you will inform me whether a more speedy answer is desirable. The Board are aware that the assessment of this district as it now stands cannot be considered as founded upon a commutation of an assessment in kind into an assessment in money. It has generally been converted into a quit rent, founded upon the ancient shist, and the more recent shamil, and fixed generally rather in reference to the average collections than to the actual produce of the land and the price of that produce.

3 I am therefore unwilling to risk delaying the Board's proceedings by entering on a discussion which in this district is not likely to lead to any practical result except in connection with questions of a very complicated nature and which have been long before the Board.

a

a very minute investigation occupying much time could alone enable me to distinguish those estates or those parts of the district where a fall in prices would be a just ground for claiming a remission of assessment. There are such, for instance the lands upon which a rent in kind was collected for the use of the garrisons which was converted into a money payment.

4. But if it meets the wishes of the Board I shall confine my report to the general question whether there has been a rise or fall in prices generally.

Collector's Cutcherry On Circuit
Agoomba, 7th May, 1853. } (Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Bd No 1286

Proceedings thereon, 1

(49-50)

The Board of Revenue resolve to forward to the Collector of Canara the original report of Mr Harris dated 2d August 1820 and Copy of the Minutes of Consultation reviewing the same dated 16th February 1821. He will be so good as to return the former after making a Copy or such extracts from it as he may require.

2 Mr Maltby is informed that it will suffice if he confine his report on the commutation rates to the question of rise or fall in the prices generally.

DG

Read

Read again letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 29th November and entered in Consultation 9th December 1852.

(51)

Bd No 1234
Proceedings thereon

Under the sanction granted in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 13th September 1852, the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector of Malabar to lodge in the Talook and other Cutcherries of his District the sums specified in the statements which accompanied the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 2,950 to provide for expenditure in cases where a prior reference to the Hoozoor would be attended with inconvenience to the public service.

The attention of the Collector is called to para 4 of the Order of Government above quoted directing the accounts of such expenditure to be audited monthly.

Read the following letters.

No 63

(52)

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Bd No 1223.
From the Ag Collr of Madras.
Reporting on certain papers left by the late Officiating

Sir,

On making over charge of this Office Mr Ellis handed me a sealed packet containing the record of his investigation into

officiating assistant Collector in charge, relative to a certain extent of land belonging to Armoogum Moodeliar and stating how far the servants implicated are deserving of punishment.—

into the circumstances under which Armoogum Moodelliar, a Superannuation Pensioner on 450 Rupees per Month for service in the Accountant General's Office, had on 14th February 1850 applied in the name of his Son Sooboo Moodeliar for a Certificate for the whole land in his occupation at Tondiarpettah including 22 Cawnies and odd held without payment, and on the 24th March 1851, withdrawn his application. Mr. Ellis had given orders to issue Bills for the excess land, but left it to me to decide upon the conduct of the servants implicated.

2. After endeavouring to make myself acquainted with the bearings of the case from these papers, I proceeded to inspect the land and make such further enquiry as occurred to me to be necessary, and I have now the honor to furnish for the information of the Board Copies of all the proceedings as per the list which accompanies them. The Quit Rent Amildar's report which is the last on the list, having only been received on the 28th.

3. Referring the Board to Mr. Ellis's letter for the details there fully given of his inquiry, I shall now state what

what has subsequently transpired, and my own conclusions on the several points which the case presents.—

4. That Armoogum Moodelly has long occupied without payment the excess land in question, which lies between a road and the land held by him under Grant, is quite clear and not denied by him. That he has derived any return from it is not proved; but is most likely. When I saw it, it was not enclosed and showed no traces of having been cultivated, but such traces might disappear in one season. The land is of inferior quality and would require a considerable outlay to make it productive.—

For 8 Cow – 17 Gds – 1800 sq ft he produces a Bill of sale from the Meerassidars and agreed to pay for this at the rate of 1-12 per Cawney as he pays for the rest of his land adjacent. Bills have been issued accordingly and rent collected for six years in arrear. In the absence of proof that the remaining land had been cultivated. I have not issued Bills for it.

5 Besides this land it was brought to Mr. Ellis's notice by the Sheristadar that Aroomoogum Moodeliar enjoyed free

free of Quit Rent 8-15-1200 of land held under Grant No. 737 bearing date the 26th February 1802, which formed the subject of my reference to the Board noted in the margin. The Grant itself conveys the land for 99 years to Achoo Moodelly his heirs or assigns, without any limitation or condition whatsoever, except that which is entered in all Grants; but from the information supplied to me by the Board, it is found that the Quit Rent was remitted on the recommendation of Sawmy Naick then Collector of Quit Rent (father of my present Sheristadar) so long as a certain Choultry should be kept up for the public use. I found a somewhat dilapidated Choultry and a Tank on this ground, but the public Road no longer passes by it, and the Quit Rent Amildar having been ordered to ascertain whether it is still kept up for the public use, has just reported that it is not, and has forwarded a declaration from the neighbouring inhabitants to this effect. He also states that Aroomoogum Moodeliar himself admits that it is no longer a Public Choutry and stands upon his right of purchase as allowed by the terms of the Grant.

17th Feby 1853.

That

6. That in equity the exemption of this land from Quit Rent is no longer obligatory seems clear, but I doubt whether in Law Quit Rent can now be demanded and I must request the instructions of the Board on this point.

7. While inspecting the ground one strip was pointed out to me by the Amildar held under Grant No. 836 containing 1c—22gds—2080sqft partially cultivated with Vegetables and containing a few trees for which no Bill has been issued from the year 1836.

8. On questioning Aroomoogum Moodeliar he told me that he had bought this land from one Casava Naidoo who he at length acknowledged to be my Sheristadar. On looking into the records of this Office I find that this land was formerly assessed at the rate of Rupees 5—4—0 per Cawney and that in 1832 it was reduced to Rupees 2—14as—" per Cawney in conformity with a recommendation made in the report of the Tondiarpettah Survey. It was sold to Aroomoogum Moodeliar in 1836 and in that year was returned as waste and no bill has since been issued. This was evidently done.

done in consequence of the orders of Government of October 1835. otherwise as this land adjoins the rest of Aroomoogum Moodeliar's garden and field to which it supplies a road. I think it should have paid in all seasons. Bills have now been issued for 6 years in arears.

9. I find that the Quit Rents on the whole of Aroomoogum Moodeliar's land on this spot has been reduced. At first I felt suspicions that this reduction might have been obtained on false pretences, as the ground is valuable, either planted with Cocoanut trees in good bearing, or laid out in paddy fields. But it appears to have been in two cases part of a general measure consequent on the survey above mentioned and in others recommended by the Sub Collector in 1843.

10. It remains to be considered how far the facts thus disclosed criminate the Public Servants of this Establishment. It will be observed that the Conicabillay of the Division on which the land is situated declares that he told the several Quit Rent Amildars. and indeed it is scarcely credible that they

they should be ignorant of the fact, that Aroomoogum Moodeliar was in occupation of more land than he paid for. On the other hand the orders of Government dated 27th October 1835, under which the Quit Rent Department was forbidden to issue Bills for land out of cultivation go to exonerate them from all blame unless proof were shown which it has not, that in any given year land not paid for was cultivated.

11. I quite concur with Mr Ellis in thinking that the Surveyor as well as the Registrar and Quit Rent Amildar failed in their duty in not acquainting Mr Huddleston with the true state of the case as it must have been known to them, after the land had been measured if not before. And at first I viewed the whole transaction in a very serious light. But since I have seen the instructions issued to the Quit Rent Amildar in 1835, although I think they have been carried further than was intended, I do not see that

that any thing is called for in the way of punishment more severe than reprimand and Caution.

Madras, 30th April, 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

No. 1

To
H. Stokes Esqre.
Acting Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

1. On the 7th December 1852, I was informed, by Mr. Burghall the Quit Rent Amildar who had recently been appointed to that situation of the following circumstances.

2. That one Aroomoogum Moodeliar of Tondiarpuk, a large land holder in that Division, took steps on 14th February 1850, to obtain a Grant for the whole of the land in his occupation. The application was made through his son in whose name he wished the Certificate made out.

3. Notice was duly put up and no opposition offered to the issue of a Grant.

4. Upon the expiration of the time of Notice, 60 days, the Surveyor was sent to measure the land in the occupation of

of the said Aroomoogum Moodeliar, a task which occupied him about a fortnight.

5. That Aroomoogum Moodeliar was at this time in the possession according to Grants, Certificates, Cadjan bills of sale, &ca. of 45 C — 1 Gs — 1774 sq ft paying a Quit Rent of Rupees 108 — 6 — 8, that in addition to this he was in possession of lands amounting to upwards of twenty two Cawnies for which he was not paying any Quit Rent and that this withdrawal of his application for a Grant for the whole of the land in his possession was to avoid paying to Government the Quit Rent due upon these lands which had hitherto escaped assessment.

6. Mr. Burghall further stated that his predecessor in the Office Kriparam Row was an intimate friend of Aroomoogum Moodeliar and that he could not be ignorant of the fact of Aroomoogum being in possession of certain lands, which although liable to assessment were not paying any tax to Government.

7. I proceeded at once to make

make an investigation of these circumstances and took depositions from the Registrar of Grants and Certificates from the Quit Rent Amildar (now Cash Keeper) at the time of Aroomoogum's first applic-ation for a Grant, from Mr. Mead the Surveyor attached to the Madras Collect-orate, from the Head Quit Rent Writer who issues Quit Rent Bills, from the late Sondiarpett Division Conicopillay who accompanied Mr. Mead on his survey and from Aroomoogum Moodeliar of Sondiarpettah.

8. Hiriparam Row the Amildar previously to Mr. Burghall's appointment being under suspension on other serious charges was not examined.—

9. These depositions accom-pany this letter. I now proceed to report upon the evidence and to place on record my opinion of this transaction.—

10. It will be seen that the Registrar Vurdarazoolu gave over the Grants, Certificates, Cadjan Bills, & ca to Mr. Mead for the usual Survey

11. Great delay appears to have taken place before the commencement of

of the Survey and subsequent to the Survey an application was made in the usual form to the office on behalf of the applicant, for the Certificate, for a with-drawal of his application. Previous to the withdrawal of the application Mr. Mead returned a plan completed in the usual manner for transmission to the Military Board. This plan was only for thirteen and odd Cawnies. It was sent to the Military Board sanctioned and the Native Registrar acted throughout as if this was a plan for the whole ground in the posses-sion of Aroomoogum Moodeliar.

12. Upon examination the Registrar acknowledges that he thought this was not the whole amount. That he questioned the Lascars who told him so but that he never questioned Mr. Mead as to why he only sent up a plan for a portion only of the ground - nor did he when the application was withdrawn give any notice to the Quit Rent Amildar of these circumstances with a view to his ascertaining whether there was any attempts to escape the payment of Quit Rents.—

The

13. The Registrar cannot account for this small separate plan being sent up, but in the face of the application for the issue of a Grant for the whole land in the possession of Aroomoogum Moodeliar, says that he, the Registrar, thought that perhaps more than one Ground might have been asked of Mr. Mead by Aroomoogum Moodeliar.

14. He states he never ordered Mr. Mead to ascertain the measurement. The Petition to withdraw the former application was made in March 1851. Mr. Mead's Survey was in November 1850. He says that it is difficult to explain why the whole Survey and plan was not completed in the interval between November 1850 and March 1851. None of these circumstances the Registrar states appeared suspicious to him at the time.

15 Ponnambala Moodely who was Quit Rent Amildar in 1850 and 51 states that he knew Aroomoogum Moodely well, that he knew of his application for a Grant and that the land in his possession was being measured that he had reason to suppose that

D.G.

Aroomoogum

Aroomoogum was in the enjoyment of more land than that for which he was paying Quit Rent that although he supposed this he made no endeavour to discover what was the actual extent of land as measured by Mr. Mead nor did he take any steps to find out whether Aroomoogum Moodeliar with whom he was intimate did or did not enjoy excess land.

16. He states that as no Grant was presented in the Quit Rent Department to have the amount of Quit Rent noted in the Certificate corrected as is the case on the issue of Grants and Certificates he took no steps in the matter.

17. He also says that there was then no Act by which he could forcibly measure land but that he knew that no resistance was offered to Mr. Mead when measuring and gives no reason for not availing himself of the opportunity previous to issuing bills for the ensuing year. He denies what is deposed by Annasawmy[+] late Quit Rent Conicopillay who accompanied Mr. Mead in his survey "that he the Quit Rent Conicopillay brought to the notice of Ponnambala Moodelly the Circumstance that Aroomoogum Moodeliar was

[+] has been dismissed by me for carelessness

was in the enjoyment of more land than that for which he paid Quit Rent."

18. This Tondiarpett conicopillay states that he told the successive Quit Rent Amildars Jaganada Naik & Ponnambala Moodelly and Kriparam Row of this land in the enjoyment of Aroomoogum Moodeliar without payment of Quit Rent but that they took no notice of this information.—

19. It now remains for me to report on the evidence of Mr. Mead the surveyor attached to this Office.

20 He remembers going in November 1850 to survey the land in the occupation of Aroomoogum Moodeliar and he & describes the usual manner of conducting these surveys.—

21 The whole of the land in the occupation of the party applying for a Grant or Certificate is pointed out by the parties. The surveyor then lays down the boundary line being guided by the declarations of the parties applying which has been stated in the Public Notice and comparing this with the & documents, if any, put into his hand by the applicants

applicants when this is completed he calculates the area and compares this with the area applied for by the parties and with the area laid down in the documents furnished by the parties applying. The plan is then coloured, completed, and sent to the Office & submitted to the Collector for his & signature and finally submitted to the & Military Board for their sanction.—

22. Mr. Mead further states that in the case of Aroomoogum Moodeliar he supposes that he followed the usual course.—

23. Upon being shown the plan No. 15 of a portion of Aroomoogum's land and being asked why he made only a plan of this small portion he at one time states that he only made this portion complete because before he had proceeded with the reminder he heard that the application of Aroomoogum Moodeliar had been withdrawn he states that he did not know whether the whole boundary line roughly laid down by him contained more than & stated in the documents, Grants, Certificates & Cadjan bills of sale, &c. in his hands because he

he had not calculated the area but he does not explain here why he did not calculate the area of the whole land according to custom before completing the small plan.—

24 I must here observe that Mr Mead here states that he discontinued the measurement of the land after 10 days or a fortnight because he heard that the application for a grant had been withdrawn. Mr Mead cannot recollect who told him. It is a suspicious circumstance that this completed plan is dated the 9th November 1850 and that the Petition for the withdrawal of application was not made till March 24th 1851. This withdrawal was not made till some time after the applicants had been informed that they could come and take their grant.—

25. Subsequently Mr Mead alters this statement by deposing that he finished the small plan and sent it up because a public Road ran through the property he did not consider it proper to make one plan for one Grant shewing the position and extent of the road, but that he considered it right that separate plans

plans should be made for each portion of the property divided by the road.—

26 When asked "why when he heard that the grant was not required he forwarded the small plan" he states because it was finished but that he did not know that it had been sent up to the Military Board for sanction.—

27. In a subsequent part of his deposition he states that the external measurement was completed before he heard of the withdrawal of the application but that he had not calculated the area but he does not explain why he delayed for so long a time making the usual calculations after the external measurement had been completed.—

28. Aroomoogum Moodeliar states that his only reason for withdrawing his application was the information he received from Mr Mead the Surveyor that he could not have his land included in one Grant and denies that he knew of land liable to the payment of Quit Rent being in his possession without the payment being made—he explains this if such a Statement can be considered an explanation by saying that his land was let out to several partiess.—

After

29. After a careful consideration of the evidence submitted with this letter I have no hesitation in stating my opinion that it was known to the Native Registrar Vusdarazooloo Naick and to Ponnambalam Moodelly at that time the Quit Rent Amildar that Aroomoogum Moodelly was in the enjoyment of a Considerable extent of land not paying Quit Rent. That they had known this for a considerable time and concealed the fact from Mr. Hudleston, the Collector, that such Circumstances arose which should have paised their suspicions even if they had previously known nothing upon this subject and that they acted fraudulently in taking no steps whatever to ascertain whether Aroomoogum was defrauding the Government of its due.

30. The two Officers of Native Registrar and Quit Rent Amildars are closely connected, the Registrar pointing out to the Quit Rent Amildar the extent of land as mentioned in Grants and Certificates and the Quit Rent Amildar correcting the Quit Rent mentioned in the Certificates according to the rules laid down.—

31. These two Officers combining to fraudulently ignore or to conceal such circumstances

as those under notice rendered it almost impossible for the head of the Office to detect frauds practised by parties wishing to evade the payment of Quit Rent.—

32. As regards Mr. Mead's conduct I shall content myself with remarking that his evidence fails to convince me that he is totally unconcerned in this fraudulent transaction.—

33. His office is one of very great responsibility upon his honesty and accuracy, so much depends that it is of the utmost importance that the head of the Office should feel perfect confidence in him.—

34. His conduct upon the present occasion appears to me to render him liable to very great suspicion.—

35. The circumstance of his not being specially charged with the duty of checking the conduct of the Native Registrar and the Quit Rent Amildar his office being in close connection with theirs does not appear to me a justification of his conduct in withholding information of great importance to the office.—

36. My probable stay in this office

office being a very short duration, I have not called upon these parties for a formal defence and explanation of their conduct.—

37. I have thought it better to submit all these circumstances to your notice leaving it for you to decide whether any further notice of this matter is necessary.—

38. I have directed the necessary measures to be taken for assessing the land &c hitherto held by Aroomoogum Moodelly free of Quit Rent.—

Madras,—
7th January, 1853.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis.
Officiating Asst. Collr. in Charge

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

No. 2

To,
R. S. Ellis Esqre.
Officiating Assistant Collector
&c. &c. &c.

Madras.—

Sir,

I beg leave to bring to your notice one case of great importance which I have just discovered although I am only 4 days in my new post in the hope that you will give it that degree of attention which

which I humbly conceive it requires.—

Aroomooga Moodeliar has the enjoyment of a very large extent of land but he has only a portion of it under certificates, a memorandum of which is herewith enclosed. On the 14th February 1850 he applied for the renewal of this in the name of his son Sooboo Moodelly on which occasion Mr. Mead was deputed to survey the whole land and make out a Plan. While Mr. Mead was proceeding with his work (which cost him Morning and Evening & hard labour for about 15 days) & Aroomooga Moodeliar erected posts all along the line of demarcation laid down by Mr. Mead and fenced in the boundary line of his land.—

After the conclusion of the survey Aroomooga Moodeliar finding that the extent of Land in his enjoyment was full 67 Cs. 5 Sqrs. 802 which according even to the general rate of Quit Rent must yield Revenue to the extent of Rupees 352. 14-8 per annum while he now pays only 108 Rs. 6 A. 8 P. yearly and also that by renewing Title Deeds he would have to pay the larger amount above entered and knowing that by the survey above alluded to

Amount payable Rupees	352-14-8
now paying	108 6 8
Annual loss to the Circar	244 8 "

to his land was clearly defined so as to screen himself from all future Cavil very quietly withdrew his Title Deeds and application for a fresh Certificate.

Now it appears from the Cutcherry Registers that he has been in the enjoyment of the above land for 24 Years according to the calculation noted in the Margin of the preceding Para. there should be collected from him Rupees 5868 — for the excess of land in his enjoyment for the 24 years, and the Joint Rent Bill for the next Fasly should be made out for Rupees 352-14-8 per annum.

Allow me in conclusion to observe that the suspended Amildar of Kirparam poy is a very intimate friend of Aroomoga Moodelly for a long time by whose recommendation Kirparam poy was formerly taken into the Accountant General's Office from which however he was dismissed for stealing paper. He is constantly visiting Aroomoga Moodelly and it is quite impossible that he should have been ignorant of the

the above fact. I therefore submit that an Amildar who is a Revenue Officer through whose means and by whose exertions the land Revenue has to be collected should never be guilty of so foul a collusion with private land holders in one of which case alone the Government suffers so large loss as Rupees 244-8-0 per annum.

Madras, 7th December, 1852.

(Signed) J.C. Burghall
Acting Amildar.

(True Copy)

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

Tondiarpett.

No. 3

Tondiarpett.		Cawnies.	Grounds.	Square feet.	Rupees.	Annas.	Pies.
Grant	870	11	.	643½	19	4	4
"	904	8	17	2123	15	4	11
Certificate	1088	8	10	1300	44	4	11
"	113	"	23	1610	1	11	5
"	114	"	23	2220	1	11	11
"	115	"	23	2220	1	11	11
"	116	"	23	2105	1	11	11
"	117	"	23	2000	1	11	10
"	118	"	23	2200	1	11	11
"	119	"	23	1467	1	11	7
"	120	"	23	1312½	1	11	6
"	121	"	23	2220	1	11	11
"	122	"	23	2220	1	11	11
"	123	"	23	1750	1	11	9
"	124	"	23	2220	1	11	11
"	125	"	23	1050	1	11	3
"	126	"	4	550	.	5	11
"	127	"	23	939	1	11	3
"	128	"	23	1024	1	11	3
"	129	"	21	"	1	8	6
"	130	"	23	1800	1	11	9
Total		45	1	1774	108	6	8

Signed H Stokes
Acting Collector.

Examination

No. 4.

Examination of Ponnambalam Moodeley Cash keeper.

Question.		Answer.
Were you Quit Rent Amildar in 1851.?	1	I was.
2 Do you know Armoogum Moodelliar at Tondiar-pett?	2	I know him. I have been at this house I have known him for ten years.
3. Do you recollect the measurement that took place of Armoogums Ground by Mr. Mead in 1850 on the occasion of Armoogum Moodelliar applying for a Certificate for all his property.?	3	Yes I recollect it. I recollect this measurement taking up a long time to execute.
4 Do you recollect what happened about the Certificate being taken out after Mr. Mead had measured the ground.	4	I know that the Certificate applied for was not issued, for it never came before me as Amildar for the adjustment of the Quit Rent

Rent.— I do not know why it was not issued.—

5. This was in the Year 1850 in making out the Quit Rent Bills for 1850 in Armoogum's name what did you do?—

5. I followed the usual course which is for the Head Writer to copy the last year's Register of Bills unless any new Circumstances had arisen to change the amount or wording of the Bill — These are presented to the Amildar and he signs them.—

6. You know that Armoogum's property had been measured in 1850— you had to sign a number of Bills in his name— did you make any reference to Mr. Mead or to any report of his to ascertain whether any excess had been discovered or whether he was in the proper enjoyment of only the

6. No I did not I merely signed the Bills without making any inquiry.—

amount of Land registered in his Bills at the time Mr. Mead examined the Grounds?—

(Signed) N. Ponnumbalum
Taken before me in part and by me the remainder December 9, 1852.—
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Off. Asst. Collector.

7. When did the Tondiarpett Conico pillay Annasawmy Moodelliar first bring to your notice that Armoogum Moodelliar was in the enjoyment of more ground than that for which he was paying Quit Rent?—

7. He never gave me the information.—

8. Did you or did you not know that Armoogum Moodelliar had more ground than that for which he was charged Quit Rent?—

8. I was told so by several persons before I was employed as Quit Rent Amildar and after.—

9. Knowing this and knowing that Mr. Mead had measured the ground in occupation of Armoogum Moodelly.

9. I did not ask him anything about it.—

Moodelly did you not ask him what was the amount of ground occupied by Armoogum Moodelly.—

10 Knowing that Armoogum Moodeliar had this excess land did you never tryto ipsue uncertificated Bills for the amount of Land.?

There was no act then by which we could forcibly enter ground to measure when we suspected.—

11 Did you ever try to measure Armoogums ground.?

No-

12 Why not as you had reason to believe he had excess?

I did not. I waited for an Act which would give me power to measure.

13- But Armoogum did not resist Mr. Meads measurement why did you not take the opportunity then to asses the excess lands?

I was waiting for the grant to come to my Department to have the Quit Rent adjusted.—

14. Did you or did

I did not know it.—

did you not know that Sooboo Moodelly withdrew his application for a Grant.?

/Signed/ N. Ponnumbolum

Before me given 11th December 1852.—

/Signed/ R. S. Ellis

Off. Asst. Collector.

True Copy

/Signed/ H. Stokes.

Acting Collector.

Surveyor to the Land Custom.

No. 5.

Examination of Mr. Mead Office.—

Question.	Answer.—
1 Do you recollect in November 1850. going and measuring some ground belonging to Armoogum Moodeliar at Tondiarpett- tell me all you know about it.?	I was told in the usual way by the Registrar that a Grant had been applied for by Armoogum Moodelly's Son Sooboo for some ground at Tondiarpett- I had the Title Deeds he had put in and his application given to me I went down and measured the ground in the enjoyment of Armoogum Moodeliar- I reported as by plan that

that he was in the enjoyment of 13c- 22gs- and 792½ sqre Ft.

2 Was this the whole extent of ground of which upon measurement you found Armoogum in possession?

2 There was a larger portion besides this of which I took the measurement and made a rough plan but I did not compute the area- it was then only in pencil. Last Monday the present Quit Rent Amildar requiring to know the contents of this piece of ground I colored it, & computed the contents which are 53c- 7gs- 10sqre but I was subsequently told that the Grant was not wanted, and therefore the plan was not completed.-

3. How long were you occupied on Armoogum's ground as near as you can recollect?

3 Ten days or a fortnight.-

4 What is the object of making these plans of grounds for which Certificates.

4 The ground is measured with a view of ascertaining whether the ground.

Certificate or Grants are given?

ground is actually where the parties state it to be and for the purpose of being correctly assessed by the Office.-

5 Upon your measuring Armoogum Moodelly's ground you found him in the enjoyment of very much more ground than that held by him under Certificate. When you heard after a fortnight's work that his Son's application for a Certificate was withdrawn did you report the very large piece of ground you found and sketched in your rough plan?

5 I measured the ground according to his title deeds put into my hand. I could not tell the land measured by me was in excess of this for I did not measure the area- I made no written report except the plan of the small piece of ground.-

6. What became of the rough sketch you made?

6 I kept it with me- I did not deliver it over as the plan was not required.-

7- What were the Title Deeds given to you with which to examine and compare Armoogum Moodelliars grounds?

7 To the best of my belief I was given certificates but I will endeavour to produce my Note Book.-

When

Yes.

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

8. When you measured the ground did you before taking measurement ask to have pointed out to you the Land about to be measured, as land in the occupation of Arnoogum Moodelliar.²—

8 Yes Arnoogum Moodelliar's people pointed out to me the land.—

9. After finishing the measurement of the land corresponding to the certificates you found you had still a great deal to measure.²—

9 The usual practice is first to measure out the ground pointed out to me—Then having ascertained the measurement to compare the boundaries and Area with whatever title deeds are given into my hands.—

10 Did you follow this usual practice with Arnoogum Moodelliar's ground.²—

10 I suppose I must have.—

11. According to Plan you completed the work / according to the custom / for 13-22-79½ by the 9th November, what did you do after this date.²

11 I heard that the Plan was no longer required and therefore gave up the work.—

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

12 Who told you.²—

12 Some one in the Registrar's Department—I cannot recollect who—Vurdarajooloo was at that time Registrar Kriparam was his deputy.—

13. Having heard that the plan was not required what made you give in the part that was finished and not the whole outline sketch also.²—

13 As that portion of the work was finished I delivered it to the Registrar.—

14. I see that this plan bears in your hand writing the words / signed / J. A. Huddleston Collector Explain this.²

14 When a grant is to be issued the plan / original / is signed by the Collector and sent to the Military Board a Copy such as this is kept in the Office.—

15. Was this Plan No. 15 sent to the Military Board.²—

15 I do not know.

16. Who presents these Plans for signature to the Collector.²—

16 I beleive the Registrar I dont know for certain.—

Shreer.

How

Note by the Deputy Registrar.

The system is for the plan to be returned by the Supt. of Roads stating that no encroachment has taken place when the Grant is issued the plan is given to the parties with the Grant.

17. How long have you been in the Office? — 17 Three years.

18 Do you ever present them? — 18 Never.—

19 Did you ever replace 15 again? — 19 No I never did.

Signed/ J. W. Mead
Taken before me this
10th December 1852.
Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Officiating Spt. Collector.

20 You were altogether occupied about a fortnight on Armoogum Moodelliar's ground you had then finished the whole external measurement. what was the order a verbal message you received connected with your measurement then? — 20 After I had finished the first plan I heard that the remainder was not required. I have not the slightest idea from whom it must have been, some one in the Registrars Department but upon this report I discontinued.

21. Do you mean you discontinued measurement or that you did not go on colouring and calculating the area? — 21 I mean computing the area. I wish to observe that my going on or discontinuing upon hearing a report was not extraordinary in the Office as at that time

time I never received instructions about Grants or Certificates.—

The fact of the Registrar sending me a Grant or Certificate was equivalent to ordering me to measure the ground.—

I cant tell at this distance of time.—

22. From whom used you to receive verbal orders about measuring ground for certificates? — 22

23 When did you return the Title Deeds given you in Armoogum Moodelliars Son Soo=boo Pillay's Case? — 23

24. What is your practice about returning Title Deeds? — 24 After I have entirely finished colouring and computing the area of a Plan.—

Signed/ J. W. Mead.
Taken before me
December 11th 1852
Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Officiating Spt. Collector.

25. Did you inform Armoogum Moodelliar that he could not have a grant for the whole of the land in his — 25 I cannot recollect at this distance of time but I may have done so—my opinion being that no land thro

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

his profession?

26 upon what 26 do you found that opinion?

thro' which a road runs can be included in one Grant.

I consider it evident that where a public road occurs, separate plans should be made for lands lying on either side of the road.

/ Signed / P. W. Mead.

Taken before me 6th January 1853

/ Signed / R. S. Ellis
Officiating Asst. Collector.
True Copy.
/ Signed / H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

Deposition from Vurdarazooloo the Registrar.

2 Questions.—

1 Do you recollect Sooboo Moodeliar & Proomoogum Moodeliars Son giving this application for a Grant.— What was done about it?

Answers.

1 Yes.— As soon as the fees and title deeds were given me I put up a notice for 60 Days.— There was a notice for 60 Days because for some of the land there were not Certificates but only Cadjan bills of sale and for some land he had no title deeds.— There was no

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

objection

2 I see the application is dated the 14th February 1850 when did you give the title deeds to Mr. Mead with a view to his measuring the ground?

objection raised after the expiration of the time.— I delivered once the title deeds to Mr. Mead in order that he might measure the ground as usual.—

We issued notice in the month of March.— in the month of May the title deeds were given to Mr. Mead.— I dont recollect on what date Mr. Mead returned a plan for only a portion of the ground consisting of thirteen and odd Cawnies which plan we sent to the Military Board for sanction.— it was returned and this plan I gave to the Deputy Registrar to keep on his Table in order that when the parties came the Grant might be made according to the usual custom.— Some

time

time after Sooboo-
moodelly Armoogum
Moodely's son applied
to have his fees and
title deeds returned.
We had previously
given notice to
Sooboo Moodely to
come and take the
Grant and he answered at
that time that he would.

3. You say that Mr. Mead returned a plan for only a portion of the Ground in occupation of Aroomoogum Moodely how did you know that it was only a portion of the Ground? 3

I thought so from what I had seen of the Certificates and I questioned the Lascars who told me that this was only a smaller portion of the whole ground measured.—

4. Did you ask Mr. Mead about this? 4

No. I did not.

5. The property is said to be in the occupation of Armoogum Moodely why did Sooboo Moodelly the son apply for 5

Sooboo Moodely produced a deed of assignment in his favor of the whole property in the occupation of Armoogum Moodely Sooboo

for the Grant and not the father?

6 As Sooboo Moodely distinctly applied for a Grant for the whole of the land why did you send up this plan for only a portion, to the Military Board? 6

Sooboo Moodely is Aroomoogum Moodely's eldest son.—

I thought Mr. Mead would give another plan for the surplus and therefore I sent up this part.—

7 Why did you depart from the practice of the Office in this case? Why did you send a small portion for sanction when you know there was a remaining portion? 7

I thought Mr. Mead would send another plan which I could forward afterwards and make separate Grants.—

8. Sooboo Moodely applied for one Grant for the whole land what led you to take the steps required for several Grants? 8

As Mr. Mead sent a separate plan I thought Sooboo Moodely must have asked him to have made out several Grants.—

9. Did Mr. Mead tell you any thing about it? 9

No, I didn't ask him and he didn't tell.—

Fort St. George 16th June 1853.

10 When did you order Mr. Mead to discontinue measuring the Ground?

10 I did not order him to discontinue the measurement.

11 Mr. Mead sent that plan on the 9th of November? what other plans of Aroo=moogum Moodeeliars land were made?

11 None. The application for withdrawal of Fees and Certificates was in March.

12 Did you never question Mr. Mead how he was getting on with his work?

12 No, I did not.

13 When did you send to Sooboo Moodely to come for his Certificate?

13 As soon as I received the first plan.

14 Did it not excite your suspicion that Sooboo Moodely should withdraw his appli=cation without giving any reason.

14 I did not think it suspicious then - I do now.

15. Did you mention anything about this to the Quit Rent Amildar.

15 No. I did not.

D.G.

When.

I.

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

16. When you found that the Certificate was no longer required did you let Mr. Mead know that the Certificate was no longer required and that he need not continue the Measurement.

16 I did not.

(Signed) P. Vurdarazooloo

Taken before me this 11th December 1852.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis.

Offg. Asst. Collector

True Copy

(Signed) H. Stokes

Acting Collector.

No. 7.

Translation of a Deposition given by Annasawmy Moodely the late Conocopillay in Tondiarpet before Mr. R. S. Ellis Officiating Assistant Collector of Madras dated 11th December 1852.

Questions.

1 Were you as a Conico pillay in Tondiarpet in the years 1850-51 and 52?

Answers.

1 Yes I was.

Do.

yes

2 Do you know that Mr. Mead measured Aroomooga Moodely's land at the end of the year 1850 in order to issue a Grant for him?

2 Yes I know.

3. Did you accompany the Maistries whom Mr. Mead took with him to measure the said land?

3 Yes - I went and I pointed out all the lands of Aroomooga Moodely and they fixed flags in every direction and measured them.

4 Did Mr. Mead stay there for a long time engaged in measurement?

4. He was there for about a Month.

5. You said that you shewed Mr. Mead the whole extent of land How much will it be in (extent)

5 It will be 50 or 60 Cawnies.

6. But why did you not

6. I informed Kriparam lala

not inform the Amildar that Aroomooga Moodelly had land in excess and that bills should be issued upon such lands in excess?

lala - Ponnumbala Pillay when he was Amildar and Jegunnada Naik when he was (also) Amildar that Aroomooga Moodelly and few others had lands in excess and that uncertificate bills should be issued for them.

7. You said that you had informed Ponnumbala Pillay and Kriparam lala how can you prove that?

7 If Ponnumbala Pillay be asked he will state.

8. Ponnumbala Pillay says that you never informed him in what other manner can you prove?

8 As he himself denies it who else is there (to prove it)

(Signed) T. Annasawmy Moodely
Taken before me
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Offg. Asst. Collector.

December 11th, 1852.

True Copy.
(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No. 8.

Translation of a deposition given by Veerasawmy Moodelly Head Writer before Mr R. S. Ellis Officiating Assistant Collector of Madras dated 9th December 1852.

Questions.		Answers.
1	Are you the person who prepared bills for the lands in the Tondiarpett division?	1 Yes.
2	Were you performing that duty in the year 1850?	2 yes I was.
3	Do you remember or not that Mr. Mead was Measuring for a long time in 1850 the land belonging to Aroomooga Moodelly in Tondiarpett?	3 I do not know.
4	From what are you preparing bills for Aroomooga Moodely's land?	4 I prepare bills for the present year from Registers of the bills of the past years.

/Signed/ C. Veerasawmy Moodely
Before me
/Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Offg. Asst Collr.

True Copy
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collr.

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No. 9.

Examination of Aroomoogum Moodeliar of Tondiarpettah.

Question		Answer.
1	Your son Sooboo Moodeliar applied in February 1850 for a Grant for the whole of the lands in your Enjoyment.	1 Yes.
2	Do you remember the land being measured.	2 yes.
3	How much land did you propose being included in this Grant.	3 Some of the land was in dispute. I cant tell how much land was to have been included in the Certificate.
4	Who was disputing the land with you.	4 On the East side Sena Chetty on the west side Kodumana Moodeliar.
5	If there was this dispute how was it that there was no dispute Unjee given when	5 It was not a dispute about the Entire possession but about encroachments on the land

a

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

a notice of your applica
tion was put up..

land. It was my inten
tion to take a Grant but
Mr. Mead told me that
it is necessary that there
should be two Grants on
account of the Road
as my intention was to
divide my property betwn
four persons. I thought
I would wait tell these
difficulties were removed.

6. If it was your intention to divide your property as you describe why did you ask for a Grant in the name of one son only Sooboo Moodelly.

6 At first I thought he would make equal division and when they refused to give me one Grant I thought it proper to withdraw..

7 Is your family di: vide or undivided.

7 Undivided.

8. Then how were you going to divide the property.

8 It was myself acquired property..

Trans

J.

Fort St. George 16th June 1853

9. Since that time you have been making extra Cultivation on land for which you have not Certificates.?

9 I dont know about this. because I gave it on Contracts to under renters..

/Signed/ C. Arroomoogum
Before me
/Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Offg. Asst. Collector.
True Copy
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Acting Collector.

No. 10

Memo.

The chief object of the application for a Grant originally made was to ascertain the limits of my Grant.. .. after

7548 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

After Mr. Mead said that one Grant cannot be made application was made for a plan 3 or 4 Months ago which I have not yet received.

/Signed/ C. Aroomoogum

13th December, 1852

/A true Copy/

/Signed/ H. Stokes

Acting Collector

D.G.

7549 Fort St. George 16th June 1853

No. 17 Description of the Grounds-Proprietors of which have applied for the Honorable Company's Grants

Proprietors Names.	Situation of the Ground.	Since when Enclosed.	Tittesunder which it is held.	Contents in C. G. F.	Sqr.	Nature of the Soil.	How laid out and whether any buildings upon it.	Remarks
26 Yachoo Moodelly	Tondiar Pett	Not yet enclosed.	Bill of Sale from Peddoo Naig the Polligar	8	15	120	Clayish Paddy Ground growing.	This is Canvel Manneam or cultivation Ground which he purchased many years ago and continued to cultivate on one side a Choultry is built and he applied for a Grant stating that he appropriated the produce for public utility but however I shall leave it for the Board's consideration the propriety of issuing a Grant free of Quit Rent so long as the Choultry is kept up for the public use. Vide Board's letter dated 5th April 1853

/Signed/ P. Sawmy
Collector of Quit Rent

/True Copy/ /Signed/ H. Stokes
Ag. Collector

No. 18.

To,

R S Ellis Esqre.

Officating Assistt. Collr. in Charge

&c. &c. &c.

Sir,

Agreeably to your orders dated 13th December last, I proceeded accompanied by the Surveyor and Maistry to see the Garden at Tondiarpet belonging to Aroomooga Moodeliar and examined the whole lands held under Grants and Certificates as well as those without them. The land in question is a large tract of 75 Cawnies 20 Grounds 2002½ Square feet and occupied by paddy fields and topes chiefly of Cocoanut trees. From the above lands there are Cawnies 8 G. 15 Sft. 1200 held and enjoyed under Mauniem tenure as per Government Grant No. 737. This appears to have been granted in the year 1802 in the name of one Ichoo Moodely who is said to be related to Aroomooga Moodely. There is no regular Deed or Conveyance obtained from the former by the latter and the cause for exemption from Quit Rent is not known. In the general Plan of the Cutcherry this is marked with the other lands as in the shape of Mauniem Lands but on enquiry I find they are not used or appropriated for any charitable purposes.

Aroomooga

Aroomooga Moodely continues to pay to Government Rupees 108-6-8 per annum for Cawnies 45 G. 1 Sft. 1774 as per different Government Grants and Certificates of which a list specifying the measurement and rates of Quit Rent is herein enclosed

It appears that in the year 1850 Aroomooga Moodely applied to the Collector for the issue of a Grant for the whole extent of land under his enjoyment. These have been measured by Mr. Mead Assistant Surveyor when an excess of 22 Cawnies was found over and above the Grants and Certificates the Plan then prepared is also herewith sent

Aroomooga Moodely finding the excess and the necessity he would be under of paying Quit Rent for it quietly withdrew his application and took back his Grants and Certificates. I find that the soil of the excess land is not good and also waste and therefore I would recommend them to be assessed at the rate of Rupees 1 as 12 per Cawney equal to the other land held by him under Certificates

As for the Mauniem lands above

above alluded to Idare say they are under unauthenticated enjoyment and may be taxed at the rate of Rupees 5-4 per Cawney because their Soil is good and they are now under Sumba cultivation.

Madras,
6th January, 1853.

(Signed) M. Cashava Naik
Sheristadar.

(True Copy)

(Signed) H. Stokes
Ag Collector.

To, No. 19

Mr Hudleston Stokes
Acting Collector of Madras.

Coombaconum Annaviengar Goomastah of Karoovumbaukum Aroomooga Moodely on being questioned whether his Master has any documents connected with the Mauniem of Puttaday Garden in Tondiarpett maketh a Kifiethnamah to the following effect.-

The Government gave to Itchoo Moodely a Grant for 8½ Cawnies and odd Saying that it is charity land free of rent and can be Sold or given in charity or may be enjoyed by his Son and grand son from generation to generation, and my master in the time of the Sons of the said

Said Itchoo Moodely who was the father-in-law of my master purchased the charity land free of rent, Choultry and some other lands in the name of Sydapett Ramasawmy Chetty for Rupees 7,300 and my Master obtained in his name the necessary documents from the Said Ramasawmy Chetty from the Grandson of Itchoo Moodely and he is not only enjoying them but is also performing the Charity of the Choultry - Besides as there is no correspondence whatever with regard to the Said Charity land free of rent granted in former days by the Government to Itchoo Moodely we (I) do not know for what purpose it was granted.

2 In answer to the question that Quit Rent should be paid for the 22 Cawnies of land in excess of the
Cs G. sft
45 - 1 1774 - as per Certificates which were

were found when my masters Garden was measured in 1850 I state as follows that as the said land is for a long time uncultivated Waste and marshy and the Circar people saw it if we (I) am informed that of the amount which the Circar intends to impose upon it be such as to render the use of the land profitable we will retain it and cultivate it this year. If otherwise we will give it up to the Circar

17th February 1853.

(Signed) C. Annavier

Postcript-

Moreover when we requested to reduce the exorbitant tax on the lands under Certificates the Circar people inspected the lands and according to the Board's order the higher rate of rent was reduced to half a Pagoda

(Signed) C Annavier

(True Translation)

Signed H Stokes

Ag Collector-

Translation

Translation of a kifiethnamah made by Coombaconum Annaviengar Goomastah of Caroovumbakum Aroomooga Moodelliar before H. Stokes Esqr Acting Collector of Madras dated 21st February 1853-

In answer to your question why the Quit Rent was not paid for the land of the Garden under Grant No 836 belonging to my Master the said, Aroomooga Moodely, I state as follows that the said land was purchased for the sum of Rupees 350 by my Master from the heirs of kristnasawmy Naiker on the 18th of August 1837-

No Bills whatever were issued upto this day for Quit Rent on the said land and that my master thought as it was waste land no bills were issued and, when lately agreeably to the application of my master to get a grant for the whole of the land the Circar gave orders to measure the said lands Mr Mead who came to measure measured the whole land as per the documents of the said Garden but as the land under the said Grant No 836 was used as a road to his Garden and was besides a separate Garden

my

my Master did not send this Grant with the other documents of his Garden which he sent to the Cutcherry but whether this land was also measured or not by Mr. Mead my Master does not know and as the said land was Waste for a long time and the one half of it was cultivated last year and (the whole) is this year being cultivated my Master consents to pay Quit Rent both for the last and present.

/Signed/ Annavier
/True Translation/
/Signed/ H Stokes
Sg. Collector.

No 20.

To,

H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

With due respect I. John C. Burghall Quit Rent Amildar beg to make my report agreeably to the orders No 94 requiring me to ascertain on what road is situated the Choultry built on the land under the Grant No 737 in Tondiarpett which is in the occupation of Armooga Moodely and

and what is the distance between the road and the Choultry and whether that road is frequented by a great number of people and for what length of period had the income deriving from the land under the said Grant been spent for the Choultry and to report upon these matters. I went this morning to the dwelling place of Aroomooga Modelly at Tondiarpett and learning that he was not there but that he was in the Choultry on the land under the said Grant No 737. I went to the said Choultry and sent for him. He was within the rails put up at the Thershhold of the said Choultry with a lock fixed inside for fear of creditors. When I asked him the said Aroomooga Moodely from outside to provide me with an account showing what is spent for the charities of the Choultry for one year out of the income deriving from the land of the Choultry he as well as his eldest son Sooboo Moodelly answered that the said Choultry is liable to sale and transfer and that they have purchased the said Choultry and land belonging to it from Ramasawmy Moodelly and Annasawmy Moodelly the heirs of the deceased Ithoo Moodelly.

and

and have enjoyed / them / and whenever he liked he had during the Trivettoor festivals supplied to the passengers with buttermilk and rice and thus he was performing charities for the salvation of Soul and that with regard to providing with an account shewing the years income arising from the land of the said Choultry as he has given on contract the said Choultry and the land attached thereto — together with his own garden he has not — with him a separate account regarding the income of the said land and also that this Choultry is not set - apart for Charity purposes. The gardeners and the inhabitants in the neighbourhood of the said Choultry gave me a Mahajernamah signed by — them to say that Caroombakum Armooga Modelly had purchased the said Choultry and has enjoyed it for the last 30 years and the road alluded to leads from Wash- ermenpett to Trivettoor and that the said Choultry is situated on the road only and that the said road being now shut up by Gardens is not frequented by a great number of passengers and that no Charity is performed in the said Choultry. Therefore I have not only report upon this but have also

enclosed.

enclosed herein a Mahajernamah. many Salams -

/ Signed / H. Burghall
Amildar.

Madras, - - - - -
25th April, 1853.

To,
H. Stokes Esqre
Acting Collector of
Madras -

Sir,

We all the under-signed gardeners and inhabitants of Tondiarpett residing in Elaya Modelly's Street make this Majernamah -

In answer to a question put on what road is the Choultry built upon the land No 737. in the said Village situated where does that road lead to, what is the distance between the road, is frequentedly passengers we state to the best of our knowledge that Caroombakum Armooga Modelly purchased the said Choultry and has enjoyed it for the space of about 30 years, that the said road is one leading from Washermenpett to Trivettor, that the Choultry is situated on the road only, that the said road is not frequented by many people and that no charity is performed in the said Choultry, and thus we gave this

Mahajernamah

7560 Fort St George 16th June 1853

Mahajernamah

(Signed) C. Kodandarama moodelly.
" Varadapah Maistry.
" Anga Naiken.
(Marked) Pannakara Desan.
" Vadamala Naiken.
(Signed) Koomaragooroo Chetty the son-in-law of Thotheesiva Chetty
(Marked) Ellapa Gramny.
" Moottoosawmy Naik
" Vettecacka Coombaloo.
" Veeraroyanan.
" Coovathoor Cooppen.
" Pondicherry Iyaloo
(Signed) Moottoosawmy Iknow
Do Veerasawmy Iknow
25th April, 1853. (Marked) P. Ranneha Naik
True Translation
(Signed) H Stokes
Acting Collector.

List

7561. Fort St George 16th June 1853

No 21.

List of Papers forwarded with Armooga Moodelliar's case.

1. Letter from the Officiating Assistant Collector in charge 7th January 1853

2 Enclosures of the above address of Mr J. Burghall Acting Quit Rent Amildar dated 7th December 1852.

3 Statement enclosed in Do

4 Deposition of Ponnambalam Moodelliar late Quit Rent Amildar dated 11th December 1852.

5 Do of Mr Mead Surveyor 6th January 1853.

6 Do of Vuradaragooloo Register 11th December 1852.

7. Do of Annasawmy moodelly late Quit Rent Concopillay 11th December 1852.

8. Do of Quit Rent Head Writer Veerasawmy Moodelly 9th December 1852

9. Do of Armoogum moodelly 13th December 1852

memo

7562 Fort St George 16th June 1853.

10. Memo from armoogum Moodelly 13th December 1852.

11. Application for a Grant from Sooboo Moodelly dated 14th February 1850.

12 Application to return Title Deeds from Sooboo Moodelly dated 24th March 1851

13 Notice issued upon the application dated 11th March 1850-

14- Plan sent to the Military Board.

15. Unfinished Plan made by Mr Mead--

16- Grant No 737.

17 Swamy Naiks application for a Grant for achoo Moodelly

18- Report of Sheristadar dated 6th January 1853

19 Depostion of Armoogum Moodelliars Goomastah-

20. Quit Rent Amildars Repot-

The

7563 (52-53) Fort St George 16th June 1853.

Bd No 1243

Proceedings thereon- The enquiries reported in the above letter and in its Enclosure from the Acting Assistant Collector under date 7th January 1853, prove that Aroomoogum Moodelly has long enjoyed 22 and odd Cawnies of land in excess of that for which he pays rent, the taxed portion being 45 Cs - 1 Gd - 1774 Sqft with a rent of 108-6-8 and the extent in possession being 67 and odd Cawnies. Other lands have also been held by him free of rent without just cause, as will be shewn in a subsequent part of these Proceedings.

Reviewing the Report of the Acting Collector on Certain papers left by the late officiating Assistant Collector in charge relative to a certain extent of land belonging to Aroomoogum Moodelly and requesting his opinion on the subject of annually including waste land in the Demand on its being brought under enquiry.

2. The 22 and odd Cawnies in excess have always been returned in the accounts as waste, and no demand made upon them, under as alleged, the orders of Government 27th October 1835. The Collector on enquiry and a personal inspection of the land could not discover that any portion of it had been cultivated and has therefore made no demand upon it with the exception of 8 Cs - 17 Gds - 1800 Sqft, on which he has charged the rate of the adjacent lands and collected it for six years in arrear.

In Cons 2d Novr 1835

Para 4-

3. In addition to this the Sheristadar informed Mr Ellis that the Moodelly had held free of rent 8 Cs - 15 Gds - 1200 sqft under grant No 737 bearing date 26th February 1802.

From Collr 17th Feby 1853 in Cons 31st March Do

4. On a reference made by the Acting Collector

Collector to the Board's Records, this Ground was found to have been exempted from Quit rent on condition of a public Choultry being kept up upon it and that one. Achoo Moodelly had been the original grantee. No such condition is however entered in the Grant, which is produced. The object of the Grant has not been fulfilled for many years, and the public road no longer passes near it. It finally came into the hands of Armoo=gum Moodelly by purchase, and he now acknow=ledges that the dilapidated Choultry upon the land is no longer a public one, and stands upon his right of purchase as allowed by the terms of the Grant. Under the latter circumstance the Collector doubts whether a Quit Rent can now be levied on the land.

Encl. No. 19.

Para. 5

Para. 6.

Item 26. From Sawmy Naick. 2d January 1802. To Govt. 10th Feby 1802 Para. 18. From Govt. 27th Para. 10.

5. The terms on which the Govern=ment assessed the land on Rent free tenure are clear from the correspondence noted in the Margin, and without doubt were well known to Achoo Moodelly and to his son-in-law the present occu=pant, and as the intentions of the Grant have not been acted up to, the Board have no hesitation in directing the Assessment of the land (the Sheristadar Enclosure 18 recommends 5 Rupees annas 4 per Cawney) and the Collection of it in arrears for 6 years.

The

6. The fact of the particulars regarding this Grant not having been brought to light in 1832, throws a doubt on the searching nature of the Tondiarpetta Survey of that year. The Acting Collector should closely enquire into the circumstances of all alleged Maniums and of the other instances of land held in excess referred to by the late Canicopoly. The Provisions of Section IV act XII of 1851 should be unhesitatingly acted upon in all suspected cases.

Enclosure No. 7

7. Aroomoogum Moodelly also enjoys under Grant No. 186 without payment 1-22-2080 partially cultivated. He purchased the land in 1836 and in the same year it was reported waste "evidently" the Collector states "in consequence of the orders of Government of October 1835" and has not since paid any tax. It has now been charged with Quit Rent and arrears for 6 years collected.

Para. 8

8. The order alluded to by the Acting Collector refers to lands for which as being "cus=tomarily waste" the Board and Government were of opinion that annual Quit Rent bills should not be issued. The Acting Collector in his report upon them (26th September 1835 Para. 3) styles them "uncultivated from time imme=morial". It is plain therefore that land paying rent up to 1836 could not in the same year come under this description

Boards Proceedings 5th October 1835 From Govt. 27th

In Cons. 5th October 1835

Encl. No. 9.

description. Armoogum Moodelly explains his ignorance of the land being cultivated or not on the excuse of his having sub rented it to others.

9. Here is a discovered instance, and others probably exist, in which the Quit Rent Department of the Collector's office have exceeded their authority, carrying out, as they plead, the orders of Government. The deviation directed in 1835 from the usual course, the Board think of doubtful expediency. It is so easy under it to report lands as waste and escape further examination that perhaps a return to the former practice would be desirable by which all lands held by the lessee were entered in the Quit Rent Bill leaving his non liability on any portion of them to be proved by the owner and bringing the case under special enquiry previous to a recommendation for remission. The lands of the Collectorate being so valuable and a small portion of them only being customarily waste these the Board think should annually be included in the demand and brought under enquiry. They would be glad however to have the acting Collector's opinion on this point. They have little doubt, though proof fails, that Armoogum Moodelly has derived benefit from the 22 Cawnies of excess land which has been annually declared waste under the

the application of alleged orders - Indeed his Goomastah gives in his master's consent to cultivate the land and pay the tax in future, should the latter "be such as to make the land profitable".

Encl. No. 10.

10. The Board now take into consideration the conduct of the principal public officers brought in question during the enquiry, these are, the Register of Grants Vurdasazoolo the successive Quit Rent amildars, Ponnumbala Moodelly and Kriparama Lala and the Surveyor Mr. Mead.

Kriparama Lala has been

Encl. 6 and 11.

11. already dismissed for misconduct on other occasions by the admissions in evidence of the two first mentioned, their duties are shewn to have been conducted in such a lax and careless manner, and with such an indifference to the public interests, (putting aside the case of the mamoon illegally enjoyed the fact of which should have been and probably was known to them) that the Board consider them deserving of greater punishment than the reprimand caution proposed by the Acting Collector - They desire that these Officers be suspended for six months and that any other instances of neglect of their duty discovered in future enquiries be reported to the Board.

Note on the appointment of the present incumbent Mr Burghall to the Amildarship he brought this case to the notice of the authorities after being only 6 days in office.

Encl. No. 5.

Mr Mead states that as a public

12. road ran through the property which it was sought to

to include in one Grant, it was necessary in his opinion to make two separate plans for separate Grants, that he first took the boundaries of the entire land, and then finished and sent in Map 14 for the 13 and odd Cawnies on one side of the road, and that hearing from the Quit Rent Department that there was no occasion to proceed with the sketch plan of the remainder of the property, he did not fill it up, and that without doing so he could not tell whether the area of the whole was greater than the portion for which Quit Rent was discharged, and that he had no communication with the Collector on the subject.

13. The Surveyor was at the period (November 1815) new to his duties and may have been, but insufficiently acquainted with them but the Board however cannot acquit him of either much want of intelligence or care, for although he might not have been able to tell the exact quantity of land, yet having traced the boundaries he ought to have been able to perceive that ground which really measured 53 Cawnies was more than 32 Cawnies.

14. The request to withdraw the application for a new Grant, was not made until March 1851, and seems to have arisen from the fears

fear that the Surveyor's measurement would discover the land held in excess.

15. The Survey Department indeed instead of being a check upon those of Registrar of Quit Rent similar appears to have acted under orders from them and submitted its work in return to them. The Board request, that if not already done, the Surveyor's Department may be directed to act entirely independent of the others, that it receive orders immediately from the Collector and transmit its reports to him.

16. It would appear that though Plan No 14 for 13 and odd Cawnies was forwarded to and passed by the Military Board that it was not acted upon in the Quit Rent Department, but that bills were made out in conformity with the demand of the previous year i.e. for 45 and odd Cawnies Rent 108-6-8.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition 285 of 1853.

"on a consideration of the Acting Collectors report 30th April 1853 on the Matters of the excess land held by Arumoogum Moodelly, the Board will not interfere with the decision passed against the Petitioner by the Officiating Assistant Collector."

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Madras dated 30th May and entered in Consultation 6th June 1853.

Resolved that Copy of the letter from

Encls 4 and 8.

54

BP No. 1263
Resolution thereon.

In Cons 24th Octr 1822

from the Collector of Madras dated 18th October 1822 be furnished to Mr Stokes in compliance with the request contained in para 2 of the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter.

No 1174.

55

To,

W. H. Bayley Esquire

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Bd No 1451 From the Secretary to the Marine Board

Sir,

I have the honor by desire of the Marine Board to inform you that the two parcels addressed as per Margin forwarded with your letter of the 17th March last have been shipped for Negapatam on the Schooner "Harty Hyney" No 264 at the freight of (4) four Rupees payable by your Board and that the Collector of Tanjore to whom the bill of lading will be sent has been requested to take charge of them on the arrival of the Vessel.

× To the Collr of Tanjore

Madras, Marine Board Office, 4th June, 1853.

(Signed) J. J. Franklin
Secretary.

Order thereon.

55-56

Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

No 268.

57

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

Bd No 1272 To the Chief Secy to Government

Submitting with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 24th July 1852 para 2 the replies of Collectors to Boards Circular 12th August 1852 enquiring whether any restrictions, existed on the free Traffic of Tobacco, also whether any Compulsory measures were adopted to cause Carts Bullocks &c to resort to Vadies or Enclosures where fees were levied for accommodation afforded.

In Cons 12th Augt 1852

Sir,

In Extract Minutes Consultation dated 24th July 1852 para 2 Government directed the Board to ascertain if any restrictions to the free traffic of Tobacco wholesale or retail existed in any of the Collectorates, similar to those brought to light in Tinnevelly, also, whether any compulsory measures were adopted to cause Carts, Bullocks &c to resort to Vadies or enclosures where fees were levied for the accommodation afforded, and if so, to adopt measures for the immediate removal of all such restrictions.

2. In accordance with these instructions, a Circular was issued under date 12th August 1852 calling for the necessary information; from the replies received, to which it appears that there are no restrictions in any of the Districts similar to those in Tinnevelly which elicited the above orders of Government.

In South Arcot the quantity of Tobacco sold in the Village of Cottacoopum

From Ganjam 31st August in Cons: 13th September 1852
From Vizagapatam 28th March in Cons 7th April 1853.
From Rajahmundry 20th in Cons 30th September 1852.
From Masulipatam 1st in Cons 14th October — " —
From Guntoor 28th August in Cons 2d September — " —
From Nellore 29th November in Cons 6th Decr — " —
From Bellary 30th October in Cons 22d November — " —
From Cuddapah 30th September in Cons 7th October — " —
From Kurnool 30th November in Cons 9th December — " —
From Chengleput 31st March in Cons 7th April — 1853
From Salem 27th September in Cons 7th October 1852
From North Arcot 11th in Cons 16th September — " —
From South Arcot 28th August in Cons 6th Septr — " —
From Tanjore 6th in Cons 14th October — " —
From Trichinopoly 20th August in Cons 26th August — " —
From Madura 27th January in Cons 7th February 1853.
From Tinnevelly 1st in Cons 7th October — 1852
From Coimbatore 26th August in Cons 9th September — " —
From Canara 14th December in Cons 10th January — 1853
From Malabar 15th in Cons 22nd November — 1852
From Madras 29th in Cons 31st March — 1853.

Cottacoopum, situated in the suburbs of Pondicherry was limited to a certain quantity per diem to prevent smuggling and as the retail sale is ample for the wants of the community and as the case is a peculiar one, the Board are not inclined to disturb the present condition.

3 The only Districts where Vadies or enclosures for the accommodation of Carts and Bullocks, are in use, are those noted in the Margin but it is reported that these are kept up by private individuals and that no compulsory measures are used to force a resort to them, so that it is optional with Cart and Bullock drivers to take shelter in them, or to abstain altogether. The Mundi at Adony in the District of Bellary is the only one which possesses something of a public character, having been constructed by the former Native Governments and repaired by ours in 1806, but it is rather a market for the sale of Grain, than a place for the accommodation of travellers. The avaty people or Grain Measurers in it, claimed a right to compel the resort of Merchants thither, but on a complaint preferred to the Collector by one of them in 1849 the claim was negatived, and the grain Merchants ordered to be left at liberty to take their grain to the Mundi or not as they pleased, a small fee.

North Arcot
Trichinopoly
Madura
Bellary

fee amounting in Trichinopoly to 4 piece for each Cart is paid to the Proprietor of the Vady, in return for which he guarantees to the payers protection from Robbers &c but in North Arcot a fee is not demanded, the manure collected by the Proprietor being considered sufficient remuneration. Thus, the institutions are of advantage to both parties, and being of the Character of hostleries in Europe, the Board are of opinion that no interference of authority is called for in their case. By the Board's Circular above quoted, the Collectors were also required to report whether there are any Indigo farms in their Districts similar to that which was ordered to be abolished in Masulipatam. The replies received from the Officers, show that the system of renting the exclusive right of dyeing thread in Indigo existed to a small extent only in a few Villages of the Kurnool and Bellary Districts, in both of which it was abolished on receipt of the Boards orders, and an individual settlement made with the Dyers.

Extract Minutes Consultation 30th July/52 in Cons 9th August/52

	Rs. a. p.
1 Topes on Perumboke land Fusly	1260-6-"-"
2. Honey Rent	202-10-7
3. Tamarind trees Grass &c	3367-4-"
4 Dye roots &c	127-8-"
5 Rent of Laterite	6-"-"
6 Do of Medicinal drugs	24-13-1
7 Fish Rent	757-6-3
8 Ferry farm	3143-4-"
9. Rent of selling Betel, Tabacco &c in fields at the time of harvest	258-6-11
Total	7893-4-10

4 The Collector of Coimbatore however reports that there are other items which are rented out annually or for a term of years, the Revenue derived from them being as entered in the Margin. Of these farms he considers all but Nos 5, 6, and 9 unobjectionable, and recommends the abolition of the latter. He states that No 5 the revenue of which is only 6 Rupees is kept up with difficulty. No 6 from which Rupees 24-13-1 are realized, is a tax on

on drugs used by the poorer classes for Medicinal purposes, and No. 9 which yields a revenue of Rupees 258-6-11 presses heavily upon petty traders, who pay a Moturpha tax upon their shops, and are required in addition to pay a Rent for the privilege of selling Betel, Tobacco, and Sundries, near fields at the time of harvest. As the system of petty farms is open to great abuse, and results, as observed by Government, in subjecting the people to "extortion, and vexations, interference, still more oppressive" the Board would strongly recommend the abolition of the above taxes Amounting together to Rupees 289-4-..

Extract Minutes Consultation 30th July 1852 Para 4 in Cons 9th August/52

In my letter dated 10th May 1853 the Board have reported that there are no restrictions in this Presidency to the free admission of Salt. Those alluded to by the Collectors of Salem and Chingleput in their reports under consideration, are the examinations required to prevent illicit sales, regarding which restricting the search to cases of strong suspicion of fraud the Board issued a Circular on the 11th April 1853 for the guidance of the Collectors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 16th June, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

Resolved.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

(58)

To, The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Bd. No. 1242.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Return of person convicted and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the months of February and March 1853.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 16th June, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

(59)

To, The Secretary to the Medical Board.

Bd. No. 1217.

To the Secretary to the Medical Board.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish me with an attested Copy of your report to Government in the case of Mr. M. Caldeira a Pensioner on this establishment, he having applied for a Copy to enable him to produce it before the Judges of the Supreme Court with a view of obtaining exemption from serving as a Petty Juror.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 16th June, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe.
Sub Secretary.

The

The Board proceed to take in consideration the Statements noted below, and to record there remarks thereon.-

60
B. No. 1163.
From the Collector of Nellore.- Estimate of Tuccavy proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1263.-

61
B. No. 1290.
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.- Estimate of Tuccavy proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1263.-

62
B. No. 1305.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Estimate of Tuccavy proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1263.-

63
B. No. 1119.
From the Collector of Canara.- Estimate of Tuccavy proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1263.-

60-64
Order on the 4 abovementioned Statements.- Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

65
B. No. 1147.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam.- Read the undermentioned Statements.-

66
B. No. 1251.
From the Collector of Chingleput.- Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st March last.-

67
B. No. 1288.
From the Collector of South Arcot.- Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st March last.-

65-68
Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements.- Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

69
B. No. 1521.
From the Collector of Nellore.- Read Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 30th April last.-

69-70
Order thereon.- Ordered to lie over.-

B. No. 1539.
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Read register of Endorsements
71 passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of May last.-

71-72
Order thereon.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Received the undermentioned

73
Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

Mc. No. 661.
From the Acting Collector of Madras.- Statement of Fines and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 31st March 1852.-

From

Mr. No. 662.

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Statement of Fines and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 30th June 1853.—

74

Mr. No. 663.

75

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Statement of Fines and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 30th September 1852.—

Mr. No. 664

76

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Statement of Fines and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 31st December 1852.—

Mr. No. 665

77

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment for the quarter ending 31st March 1852.—

Mr. No. 666.

78

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment for the quarter ending 30th June 1852.—

Mr. No. 667.

79

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment for the quarter

quarter ending 30th June 1852.—

Mr. No. 668.

From the Acting Collector of Madras — Return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment for the quarter ending 31st December 1852.—

80

Mr. No. 672.

81

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Stating that the return of Persons tried and confined or released under Regulation IX of 1822 were not forwarded for the month of May 1853.—

Mr. No. 673.

82

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — States that the return &c &c required by Circular 14th January 1841. is not sent as no cases of that return have occurred during the month of May 1853.—

Mr. No. 671

83

From the Collector of Ganjam — Requesting that a few printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance &c may be despatched by Banghy for immediate use and that the remainder may be sent by the earliest Sea opportunity.—

83-84

Ordered to be supplied &c

Order thereon—

accordingly.—

From

7580 Fort St. George 16 June 1853.-

Ms. No. 670.

From the Collector of Nellore- Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1851/ at his Ports up to 8th June 1853.-

85

86

Ms. No. 669.

From the Acting Collector of Madras- Acknowledging the receipt of 1,000 Salt Rowannahs.-

" Goldingham

Comp. D. Gils.

" [illegible]

7581 Fort St. George June 1853.

Diary

Received the following letter-

15th June 1853-

1

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1558
From W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secy. to the Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to solicit that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain for me an extension of one Month's leave of absence under section XII (amended) of the absentee rules to proceed to Bimlipatam on private affairs.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th June 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

1-2

Order thereon

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favourable consideration of Government.-

At

Monday 20th June 1853

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member

and

T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member absent on duty as Commissr. Nn. Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3

Bd. No. 1427 From the Secretary to Government

Reviewing the report on Extra Sources of Revenue in the Kurnool District for Fusly 1261 and granting Authority for writing off in the Accounts certain irrecoverable balances.

Read the following Extract.

No. 589

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department Under date the 31st May 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 2d May 1853 No. 190)

Para 1

The demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Kurnool District for Fusly 1261, amounted to Rupees 13,247 and was Rupees 8,953 below that of the preceding year. Of this decrease the larger portion or Rupees 5,881 was nominal being the revenue from Ochards

and

and the Pollary tax hitherto included under the head of "Extra Sources" but transferred in the year under review to that of "land revenue" the remainder of the decrease was chiefly in Abkarry which was farmed out on a lower rent, in consequence of the losses sustained by the Contractors in previous years.

2 Of the above demand Rupees 13,497 were collected within the fusly and Rupees 1,029 subsequently, leaving a balance of Rupees 251 due on the 31st January 1853 under the head of Moturpha. Including Extra items and arrears, the gross Collections of the year amounted to Rupees 1,35,774 from which charges Rupees 2,288 being deducted, the net revenue Rupees 1,33,485 was Rupees 10,022 below that of Fusly 1260

3. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Kurnool District the balance of Moturpha revenue from Fusly 1257 to 1260 represented to be irrecoverable, amounting to Rupees (4) Four.

A true Extract

(Signed) J. Pycroft

Secy. to Govt.

Ordered

Bd. No. 1267
Order thereon
3-4

Ordered to be communicated to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 2d. May 1853.

5

Bd. No. 1437
From the Secretary to Government
Reviewing the Report of Mr. W. Robinson Head Assistant Collector of Malabar on a Survey made by him of an Umshom in the District of Malabar.

No. 602

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, Under date the 31st May 1853

Read the following Papers

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 26th April 1853 No. 172)

Para 1 With the above letter the Board of Revenue submit copy of a report with the accompanying (XIII) Original Maps by Mr. W. Robinson late Head Assistant to the Collector of Malabar on a Survey made by him of an Umshom or Parish in that District.

2 This survey was undertaken by Mr. Robinson at the request of the Board of Revenue in addition to his regular duties. "It had" the Board explain "been for some time their desire practically to ascertain what means existed for undertaking the detailed Survey of a Village for revenue purposes

Para 2

purposes in a manner more accurate and more easy of reference than that adopted hitherto, where under a multiplicity of details in native accounts, little opportunity was given for the European Authority either to test the correctness of the Survey or to profit by the papers when completed" they were "convinced that nothing short of a Map drawn to scale could secure this object and that this would be at once a key to any extent of detailed information that it might be necessary to record in the Village Register and they were anxious to see what could be done to accomplish this desideratum without professional agency and what would be the time and expence." Their wish was responded to by Mr. Robinson with his accustomed public spirit, and the work has been performed with his wanted ability.

3 The Umshom or Parish chosen by Mr. Robinson for his survey was Pootoor. It was selected partly from it's proximity to the Town of Palghaut, Mr. Robinson's head quarters. Affording facility for his Superintendence, and partly from it's containing a fair average proportion of high

Report P2.

a Paramba
b Modrum Paramba
c Verum Paramba
d Bramin Villages.

high open[a] ground cultivated[b] and waste[c] of paddy lands single and double crop and of it's presenting a fair trial of skill among the enclosures of the several Hamlets, Gramoms[d] and plantations - a further and principal inducement was that the property and interests of the people are pretty well broken up - and that it contains perhaps less concealed cultivation and unassessed lands than any Umshoms of the Talooks to rouse the apprehensions and jealousies of the people.

4 The Pooloor Umshom is 5 miles long by 1½ broad with a superficial area of 7½ square miles or 4,800 acres. 1271 acres, or about 27% of this quantity is paddy land.

Board's letter P. 21

single and double crop in nearly equal proportion. The remaining 3530 acres or 73% of the whole is Parambu or upland available for dry cultivation, and including Village sites, roads, rivers, &ca. The Paddy lands are divided into 613 Nillom. Estates or

Board's letter P. 18

holdings (each having a defined extent of Paramba attached to it varying in extent from ¼ of a Cawney to 10 Cawnies the average being 1½ Cawnie. The Umshom contains

contains 5,582 inhabitants - 557 assessed houses and the land revenue for Fusly 1261 was Rupees 3,573

Report Para 39

5. The Establishment employed by Mr. Robinson consisted of 4 Surveyors, 3 land measurers and 4 assistants. Volunteers - "all active young men who had either before learnt the elements and practice (of surveying) or who learnt to work on the present occasion." The survey work measuring &ca were exclusively conducted by them under the superintendence of Mr. Cabral the Head Assistant's writer and Mr. Robinson's supervision - The inquiry regarding revenue &ca was made, and the descriptive register prepared by them in communication with the Village Officers and with the occasional assistance of the Sheristadar of the Talook.

6. The mode in which the operations were conducted is described by Mr. Robinson in paras 11-18 of his report, and by the Board in paras 12-15 of their letter. A base line having been selected, cleared and levelled with the aid of Convicts, the primary triangulation was made by Mr.

Mr. Robinson himself and his Writer Mr. Cabral by means of the prismatic compass and the chief points thus taken were laid down in plane tables - each table being capable of plotting one square mile. The tables &c were then filled up by the assistants who went over the work twice - first for the purpose of laying down the more prominent features of the land as roads - Nullahs, Ponds Topes &c and secondly for a still more minute filling in of the boundaries of the Nillams or holdings, separating the Paddy cultivation from the Parumba or dry uplands and subdividing the Paddy cultivation into one crop and two crop. The measurement of the area of the fields was made by a separate partyer with a rod and cross staff -

7. The result of the Survey are exhibited in 13 maps on the scale of 80 yards to an Inch, so that one Inch square is equivalent to one Cawnie. The Board - Paras 51-52 - consider this scale to be perhaps

larger

larger than necessary - 16 Inches to a mile 110 yards to an Inch is, they remark sufficiently large to show each field separately - The Bombay Village Maps and those for the North West Provinces are only 4 Inches to a mile - The Shujrah or Ameen's rough field map in the latter Provinces has been recommended to be in a scale of 16 Inches to a mile -

8. The Maps show the several Nillams or Estates - those portions of them which are single and double crop being designated by different shades of green Color but not the fields or more properly speaking paddy beds, of which they are composed -

Report Para 15 Board's letter paras 21-25-43-44

The Parumba has been accurately protracted to scale on the Map and its extent can therefore readily be ascertained but the boundaries of the several portions of Parumba land belonging to the different Nillams and the numbers of the Nillams to which they are attached are not noted, and the Maps will be returned to the district that this deficiency may be supplied. The

boundaries

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Para 43.

boundaries of the Nilloms might also as the Board remark, have been more distinctly marked.—

9 As auxiliary to the Maps a descriptive Register has likewise been drawn up in the Mulyalum language. In this is entered the number (corresponding with that in the Map) and name of each Nillom. the number of fields of which it is made up. with their aggregate area, measured within the Paddy banks the name of the Jenm or Proprietor. Mortgagee when the land is held on mortgage and occupant the "acknowledged seed &c extent" or quantity of seed actually &c required to sow the Nillom. the "net rental" or Patom Government Assessment when ascertainable and nature of the Tenure under which the land is held. The importance of such a document as this in a province like Malabar where land is so valuable and so little has hitherto been done towards obtaining a faithful record either of the extent, boundaries or incidents of the various holdings may easily be conceived. It would as the Board remark have

Board para 29.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

have been an improvement had the area of the Parambu attached to each Nillom been calculated and entered in the Register.

10. The time occupied in the work does not appear, indeed the operations were subject to many interruptions in consequence of Mr. Robinson having been frequently called off on other duties. The expence incurred was Rupees 177—14—3 of which the plane tables alone cost 42—8. As at. This was Rupees 23 per square mile and just 5 per cent on the basis, Rupees 3573 of the Umshom for the past Fusly. But this does not include the expence of clearing and levelling the base line which was done by Convicts and is exclusive of any portion of Mr. Robinson's own salary, or that of his Writer and of the Village and Talook Servants. It is however his impression that if such a survey as that which he has conducted, were extended over the whole District, all incidental expences with those of first Triangulation should not exceed 26 Rupees per square mile. This for Pottoor would have been 195 Rupees and somewhat below.

below 6 per cent on the Bariz. The Government are not aware what is the area in square miles which would have to be surveyed in a general survey for Malabar but taking this per centage on the revenue the expence of such a survey would be Rupees 96,900.-

Settlement of Malabar for Fasly 12 60 exclusive of Cochin and Cannanore
Beebee's Peish Cush 16,15,000
6 per cent ---------- 96,900

11. The Board of Revenue state, para 61, that there can be no doubt, that the expence of a revenue survey will be much greater than the above at its onset, and before the parties employed have by experience contracted an aptitude for this branch of duty. They remark that the cost of the survey of the North West Provinces was Rupees 23,56,555 or Rupees 32½ per square mile or 5-8 per cent on the Summa. The Settlement charges were Rupees 33,79,694- or 47 Rupees per square mile or 8-3 per cent on the Summa. The total expence of the Survey and Settlement was Rupees 57,27,249 giving an average of 79½ Rupees per square mile or 14-1 on the Summa. The Board however state that "they have "no hesitation in asserting that a "complete survey with every detail as regards

Para 62.

"regards the assessment might be made in "this Presidency at a less charge than that "sanctioned above" that is as the Government understand 79½ Rupees per square mile-and "as to the Village Maps the kind proposed by "the Board would be far more accurate and "trustworthy than the Shujreh Map of the "North West Provinces."-

12 In concluding their letter, the Board state "that should the Government desire it, as the subject of Surveys is now attracting notice the Board would offer for their consideration a few of the first principles on which they consider a Survey should be carried on to render it a really efficient and authentic record of a permanent nature. It is desirable that some fixed and general rules should be maintained as applicable to all revenue Surveys; the details and especially the assessment which forms a separate branch, should be left considerably to the discretion of the assessing Officer" The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will be happy to learn the further views of the Board on this important subject. The merits of originating the present experiments belongs

belongs to them, and the interest which they take in the subject is fully appreciated by Government.

13. The Governor in Council cannot conclude these remarks without recording his high sense of the conduct of Mr. Robinson who entering upon the undertaking in addition to his ordinary duties of themselves sufficiently onerous, being dependent wholly on his own resources, and having to train and organize his own establishment has carried out the work with the most complete success. The acknowledgements of Government are also due to Mr. Cabral Mr. Robenson's head writer and his chief assistant in the survey operations.

(5-6)

A true Extract
(signed) J. Pycroft
Secy. to Government.

B. No. 1298
Proceedings thereon
26th May 1853

The Board observe that they have recently addressed Government on the subject of Surveys generally. They resolve to forward to the Collector of Malabar for communication to Mr. Robinson their Remarks on the Pootoor survey together with the above orders of Government thereon.

2nd April 1853.

B. No. 1481
From the Secy. to Govt.
Dated 3d June 1853.

(7)

Read Extract reviewing the report of the Commissioner Northern Circars, on the Extra Sources of Revenue in Guntoor for Fasly 1261 and observing that not a single report on the Settlement of Land Revenue for the Districts under his superintendence has been received.

(7-8)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

(9)

Read the following Extract—

Revenue Department.

No. 666

B. No. 1460
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 3rd June, 1853

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 12th May 1853 No. 1981)

The Government observe from the concluding para of the Collector's letter that he has applied to the Civil Court under Sec. XX Regulation V 1804 for the appointment of a guardian to the heirs of the late Goolam Mahomed as respects the Joint Mootah of Bomayacoopum, and they are of opinion that the application should under Section III Regulation X 1831 be extended to the estate of Balarapully that the whole property may be under the control of the same authority. There would seem to be no objection to the management of the entire estate of the deceased on behalf of his minor sons being intrusted to his brotherinlaw Koosain Saheb in the event of his being nominated

guardian

guardian by the Court.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

9-10

B. No. 1268
Order thereon—

Ordered to be Communicated for the information of the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated
In Cons 12th May 1853. 5th April 1853.

Read the following Resolutions
No. 615

11

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 7th June, 1853.
/From B. 19th May 1853/

B. No. 1193
From the Secry to Government

Under the circumstances represented the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Board Auditor to admit the charges for Furlies 1259 and 1760 on account of 105 armed peons for the Police Establishment in the Wynaad Talook—

11-12

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government—

B. No. 1262.
Order thereon—

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 20th April 1853.
In Cons 19th May 1853.

Extract

No. 617.

13

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 7th June 1853.
/From B. 19th May 1853/

B. No. 1194
From the Secy. to Government—

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants Authority for destroying 10850 rolls of Stamped papers, damaged by the wreck of the "Jovin Lorina" and for writing off to Profit and Loss the value of the same Amounting to Rupees/ 13,100/ Thirteen thousand and one hundred—

13-14

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

B. No. 1261
Order thereon—

Ordered to be Communicated to the Superintendent of Stamps with reference to his letter dated 6th May 1853.
In Cons 19th May 1853.

B. No. 1516
From the Secretary to Government Dated 10th June 1853.

Read Extract stating that it is inadvisable, to pass any orders, in the matter of the Versa Caddy and Moturpha taxes, brought to notice by the Commissioner Northern Circars—

15

15-16

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

17

Bd. No. 1518
From the Secy. to Government

Read the following Extract
Revenue Department
No. 628.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 10th June, 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 16th May 1853, No. 209)

Para 1. Under the circumstances brought to notice in the foregoing Correspondence relative to the dishonest conduct of the late Shah Kamal in regard to certain debt claims against the late Nawaub of Kurnool and to his tampering with the Sunnud under which he enjoyed the revenues of the Village of Khaderabad in that District, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to direct that the Jaghire held by the deceased be resumed as a warning to others.—

2. In respect to the Yeomiah of Rupees 97—8— per mensem enjoyed by the deceased, the Government observe that his conduct would fully justify the resumption of this allowance also,

also, but as he has left a large family unprovided with other means of support, they are pleased to direct that a sum of Rupees (70) seventy per mensem, or about 3/4th of the Yeomiah, as recommended by the Agent and supported by the Board be continued for life to Shah Ahamed Shah the recognized head of the family, in arrear from the 2d October 1852 the date of Shah Kamal's decease, for the maintenance of himself and the other members of the family.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Signed) to Government.—

17-18

Bd. No. 1307
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool with reference to his Tabular Report dated 3d March 1853.—

In Cons. 16th May 1853.

Read the following Resolutions.
No. 631.

19

Bd. No. 1526.
From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 10th June, 1853.
(From Bd. 26th May 1853)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the refund

refund of Stamp duty recommended in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (34) Thirty four.—

19-20

Bd. No. 1288
Order thereon.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 9th May 1853.—

In Cons. 26th May 53

No. 624.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 10th June, 1853.—
(From Board 2d May 1853).

21

Bd. No. 1525
From the Secretary to Government

Under the further explanation now submitted, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the share, 14 Maws 72 Goolies, of the Enam in the Kistnapooram Village of the Tritrapoondy Talook in the District of Tanjore held by the late Veerootachella Pillay, being continued to Vencapiah the last purchaser.—

21-22

Bd. No. 1287
Order thereon.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 16th April 1853.

In Cons. 2d May 53

Read

Read the following Extracts

Revenue Department.—

23

No. 625.

Bd. No. 1517
From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 10th June, 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 26th May 1853. No. 221)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Confirms the award of the Commissioners of 1815 in respect to the boundary dispute between Malabar and Cochin at Wadakancherry, and desires that it be immediately Carried into effect.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

23-24

Bd. No. 1269.
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 25th April 1853.—

In Cons. 26th May 1853.

Public Department

25

No. 561.

Bd. No. 1524
From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Dated 14th June, 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the

the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

/ Here enter 9th May 1853 /

Para 1. It appears from the Lists submitted by the Collector of Nellore that the family and Connections of the Huzzoor Nail Sheristadar Gotoor Venkata-ramiah / the brother of Gotoor Soobarow who was recently dismissed from the Public service on account of his Complicity in the fraudulent transactions Connected with the issue of the Tanjore Bonds / have got possession almost exclusively of the bulk of the Revenue Offices and all the principal ones, and a good many of the Judicial Offices in that District.

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council quite concurs with the Board of Revenue that it is impossible that any efficient Check can be preserved when the principal Servants are thus united together by family ties the Board have therefore properly directed that means should be taken to break up the family Connection at the Huzzoor by transferring some of the party to the Talooks &c but

but he thinks that this measure will be hardly sufficient, and he is of opinion that the Board should instruct the Collector to enter into Communication with the Collectors of the neighbouring Districts with a view to a more effectual reform by means of exchange out of the District

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / H. B. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

(25-26)

B. No. 1300

Ordered to be Communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 9th May 1853.

Read the following letters

No. 17.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(27)

B. No. 1081.

From the Collr of Vizagapatam

Explains the cause of the outstanding balances in the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to observe that of the amount of Zemindary Balances therein adverted

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

/ Here enter 9th May 1853 /

Para 1. It appears from the Lists submitted by the Collector of Nellore that the family and Connections of the Huzzoor Nail Sheristadar Gotoor Vencata-ramiah / the brother of Gotoor Soobarow who was recently dismissed from the Public service on account of his Complicity in the fraudulent transactions Connected with the issue of the Tanjore Bonds / have got possession almost exclusively of the bulk of the Revenue Offices and all the principal ones, and a good many of the Judicial Offices in that District—

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council quite concurs with the Board of Revenue that it is impossible that any efficient Check can be preserved when the principal Servants are thus united together by family ties— the Board have therefore properly directed that means should be taken to break up the family Connection at the Huzzoor by transferring some of the party to the Talooks in

but

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

but he thinks that this measure will be hardly sufficient, and he is of opinion that the Board should instruct the Collector to enter into Communication with the Collectors of the neighbouring Districts with a view to a more effectual reform by means of exchange out of the District—

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / H. B. Montgomery
Chief Secretary—

25-26

B. No. 1300
Order thereon—

Ordered to be Communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Nellore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 9th May 1853.

Read the following letters—

No. 17.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

Sir,

27

B. No. 1084.
From the Collr of Vizagapatam
Explains the Cause of the outstanding balances in the Demand Collection and Balance Statements for

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 31st Ultimo— I have the honor to observe that of the amount of Zemindary Balances therein adverted

for January last adverted to in the Board's Proceedings of the 31st ultimo—

adverted to, Rupees 15,778—9—3, Rupees 7450—3—1 Comprised sums due on Minor Estates which were actually in the Treasury, but not transferred to the Circar account in Consequence of my being on circuit, the remainder, Rupees 8328—6—2, was due by the Zemindar of Madgole, who in Consequence of disturbances in his Zemindary, was prevented from realizing his Kists as they fell due. The sum of Rupees 27727 was an instalment of the rent payable for the Polcondah & Hoonjeram Estates actually paid into the General Treasury at Madras when due, but not credited in my accounts until the following month in Consequence of my being on Circuit when advice of the payment was received from Madras—

2 With regard to the balances due on permanently settled estates, I beg leave to explain that in Consequence of disturbances in some of the Zemmindaries tho' not of a serious nature, the

the expectation which I entertained of a more speedy realization of outstanding balances was not so sanguine in the month of January last as it had previously been, this led to an alteration in the remarks in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for January—

Vizagapatam, Collector's Office, 15th April 1853 } (Signed) A Robertson Collector

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded—

27-28

No. 73

29

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 1546 Sir,

From the Collr. of Vizagapatam } I have the honor to supply an omission in my report of the 23d. ultimo on the subject of the employment of Uncovenanted assistants as it escaped me at the time to say any thing about the Post office duties in which I believe that the services of an Uncovenanted assistant would be particularly useful

7606 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

useful. There are in this District four Post Offices the details of which demand that kind of supervision which an Uncovenanted Assistant could exercise with great benefit to the Department. His Chief resident station should be Vizagapatam and he should receive daily reports from the outstations but not the least beneficial exercise of his functions would be occasional visits without notice to the other stations at which there are Post Offices, an Inspector of Post Offices would be an useful appointment.

Vizagapatam, Collector's Office, 11th June, 1853. } (Signed) A. Robertson Collector.

Order thereon

(29-30)

Ordered to lie over.

DG

Read

7607 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Read the following letters.

No. 64

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(31)

Bd. No. 1366 From the Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

With reference to Extract from Board's Proceedings dated 28th April 1853, directing me to communicate to certain Memorialists the orders of the Honorable Court relative to their Prayer to continue to them a yeomah of 30 Rupees per mensem, I have the honor to inform the Board that the parties are not Inhabitants of this Town, but stated to be residing near the Baura Enam Mosque at Triplicane in Madras, and that they are priests of the Dewan of His Highness the Nawab - Consequently I have been prevented from Communicating to them the orders in question.

Nellore, Collector's Office, 20th May, 1853. } (Signed) F. B. Elton Collector.

Bd. No. 1260.

Order thereon,

(31-32)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter with Copy of para 11 of the Honorable Court's despatch dated 15th December

Fort St George 20th June 1853

December 1852 No. 20 be furnished to the acting Collector of Madras with a request that he will communicate the reply of the Honorable Court to the Memorialists.

No. 73

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

33

B.R. No. 1514
From the Collector of Vellore ...

Reporting that the Village of Somarangpully has been abandoned by the Ryots in consequence of over-Assessment and as the cultivating season has commenced, requests an early decision in order to prevent a continuation of the late falling off.

Sir,

In conformity with the instructions contained in Para 6 of Contract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 25th November 1852, I have the honor to report that in the course of the Summabundy Settlement of this Taluk, just completed, it has come to my knowledge that the Village of Somarangpully has been abandoned by the Ryots who usually cultivate it, in consequence of over-Assessment. A trifling quantity of land only has been taken up by other Ryots, but the falling off is very marked. The decrease is shewn in the annexed Statement No. 1* as Compared with last fusly which again was much below the 2 previous years

* No. 1.

Description of Land.	Fusly 1261.			Fusly 1262.			Decrease.		
	CRR	a	c	CRR	a	c	CRR	a	c
Metta	95	3	6	14	10		88	1	5
Magany	993	11	9	106	13	2	886	14	7
Jareeb	13	12	11	"	"	"	13	12	11
	1102	8	11	113	12	"	988	12	11

Fort St George 20th June 1853

2. In fusly 1243 Mr. Travers fixed the Tarums or classes, and the assessment thereon, for the wet fields of this Village, on the produce and prices of the time which is shewn in the Statement No. 2.

3. From the Cultivation and produce accounts of the Village for 17 years which were prepared in Mr. Smalley's time it appears that.

The average rate per Gorto is ——— 60 / 18-12-0

The average rate upon each Gorto on the produce of the last 6 years of the 17 is ——— 18-10-11

4. In Fusly 1236 Mr. Smalley taking into consideration Mr. Travers's Semarat the accounts of the 17 years above referred to submitted by the Curnums of the Village and the state of the Market at the time, fixed new rates for each Tarum respectively lower than those of Mr. Travers this rate however was levied during 2 fusslies only. In Fusly 1238, in consequence of some improvement in the irrigation an increase of about 2 Rupees per Gorto was made in the assessment. These rates are shewn in detail in Statement No. 2.

5. From Fusly 1238 till now the last mentioned rates of assessment have continued, in that year all the wet cultivation was carried on by 3 Ryots who were then substantial men, in subsequent years from the influence of low prices, and scanty produce,

* Name of the Ryots — No. of Ghuttas

Vanambaden Ka Narsareddy — 8
Poondla Venkatadry — 4
Ponnoor Veera reddy — 4
— 16

produce Poondla Vencatadry and Pamoor Veera-reddy, became poor and gave up their lands, but the remaining one Narsareddy altho' his losses were probably great, being a man of substance and connections undertook to cultivate the lands left by the 2 former ryots, and he and his brothers carried on the cultivation of lands paying a revenue to Government of Rupees 1,856-3-" in 3 shares, but they also suffering losses gave them up, Narasareddy in Fusly 1261 and his two brothers in Fusly 1262 and this is the cause of the Decrease pointed out in the first para.

6. Somarazpully is divided from time immemorial into two parts, one is the Circar Part of the village, which occupies 2/3 of the whole, and the other 1/3 is Shrotrium. As the same substantial ryots cultivated both these, it is supposed that the profit they got on the Shrotrium portion went to make good the losses sustained in the Circar part of the Village, and this appears to be the reason that no representions were made till of late years regarding the high assessment. During the last 2 or 3 years the ryots having become impoverished, as above mentioned, gave up their cultivation both in the Circar part of the Village, and in the Shrotrium portion thereof

and

and appear to have taken lands in other Villages, should the assessment be sufficiently reduced there is reason to believe they will return and cultivate their former lands, according to their means. The assessment of the several Tarums in the village of Somarazpully as compared with those of the villages adjoining are high, and on examining Mr. Smally's rates, in comparison with the actual produce of 17 years the same remark is applicable even to a greater extent.

7. The rates of Assessment for Magany or wet land I would recommend are the average of those prevailing in the Villages immediately adjacent to Somarazpully for the same classes of land, these are shewn in the accompanying Statement No. 2.

8. No deductions appear to be urgently required to be made, as regards the assessment upon metta, Mooloom and Jareeb lands in this Village.

9. As the cultivating season has commenced, an early decision is desirable in order to prevent a continuation of the late falling off in the cultivation, and revenue of Somarazpully.

Vellore,
Collector's Office
10th June, 1853.

/Signed/ F. B. Elton
Collector
Statement

7612

Fort St George

No 2

Assessment upon wet lands.

As per Treasurer's tarums included in the Goodient or whole extent of the Village. As per Settlement made by Mr Smally

Name of Village	Name of Taluk	Number of Goortoos	Assessment upon each Goortoo	Total Assessment	In Fusly 1236 Assessment upon each Goortoo	Total Assessment	In Fusly 1238 Assessment upon each Goortoo	Total Assessment	Tarums	Villages adjoining Somarazpully on the East Coratoor Assessment per Gortoo	on the West Indecorpett Assessment per Gortoo	on the [illegible] Cotta[illegible] pole[illegible] Assessment per Gortoo
			CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P		CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs.
Somarazpully	Wet	2	21 4 3	40 13 4	868 3 "	34 11 4	737 15 2	37 5 "	793 5 3	2	35 " "	26 " "	27
		3	19 6 12	27 3 7	528 11 9	23 2 3	449 7 "	25 " "	485 8 9	3	23 4 1	20 " "	20
		4	42 " 8	20 6 8	858 2 3	17 5 8	729 6 8	18 12 "	788 1 4	4	14 " "	14 " "	8
Total			82 11 7	88 7 7	2,255 1 "	75 3 3	1,916 12 10	81 1 "	2,066 15 4		72 4 1	60 " "	55

Average 27 " 4 Average 25 " "

N.B. These on the present rates ------

Nellore, Collector's Office, 10th June, 1853.

20th June 1853

On the North			Tarum proposed for Somarazpully as per average of four adjoining Villages.	
Gungapatam. Assessment per Gortoo.	Total of the Four Villages.	Average.	Rate per Gortoo.	Total assessment
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
34 " 6	122 4 1	30 9 "	30 9 "	568 4 4
27 3 6	90 14 3	22 11 6	22 11 6	378 6 6
14 " "	50 2 8	12 8 8	12 8 8	492 7 3
75 4 "	" " "	64 13 2	65 13 2	1439 2 1

(Signed) F. B. Elton
Collector.

Under

7613

Fort St George 20th June 1853

33rd Jr 1273

Proceedings thereon.

33-34

Under the circumstances above
brought to notice the Board sanction the
reduction of the Pargunny Peishcush in the
Village of Tamaraipakkam in the
Pargunnah of Poddy to the amount
of the Pargunnah entered, being the Average Kists

Read the following Letter

No.

From H. D. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

To H. Stokes Esqre
Secretary to the Govt of Fort St George

Dated 15th June 1853

35

3rd Jr 1263
From the Collector
of Bellary

Sir,

Adverting to the Letter from the Collector of Bellary, dated the 15th Instant, on the subject of the Petition of Ryots of Rivers Valley Taluk, praying for a reduction in the Assessment on account of the failure of the Crops, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Statement, shewing the Particulars called for by the Government in their Proceedings above referred to.

2. The Board are of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

3. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

4. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

5. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

6. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

7. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

8. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

9. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

10. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

11. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

12. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

13. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

14. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

15. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

16. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

17. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

18. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

19. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

20. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

21. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

22. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

23. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

24. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

25. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

26. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

27. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

28. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

29. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

30. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

31. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

32. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

33. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

34. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

35. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

36. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

37. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

38. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

39. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

40. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

41. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

42. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

43. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

44. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

45. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

46. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

47. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

48. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

49. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

50. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

51. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

52. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

53. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

54. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

55. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

56. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

57. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

58. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

59. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

60. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

61. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

62. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

63. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

64. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

65. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

66. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

67. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

68. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

69. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

70. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

71. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

72. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

73. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

74. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

75. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

76. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

77. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

78. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

79. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

80. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

81. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

82. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

83. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

84. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

85. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

86. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

87. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

88. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

89. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

90. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

91. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

92. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

93. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

94. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

95. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

96. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

97. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

98. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

99. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

100. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

101. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

102. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

103. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

104. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

105. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

106. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

107. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

108. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

109. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

110. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

111. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

112. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

113. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

114. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

115. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

116. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

117. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

118. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

119. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

120. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

121. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

122. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

123. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

124. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

125. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

126. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

127. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

128. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

129. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

130. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

131. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

132. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

133. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

134. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

135. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

136. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

137. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

138. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

139. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

140. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

141. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

142. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

143. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

144. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

145. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

146. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

147. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

148. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

149. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

150. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

151. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

152. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

153. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

154. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

155. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

156. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

157. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

158. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

159. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

160. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

161. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

162. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

163. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

164. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

165. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

166. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

167. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

168. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

169. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

170. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

171. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

172. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

173. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

174. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

175. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

176. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

177. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

178. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

179. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

180. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

181. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

182. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

183. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

184. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

185. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

186. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

187. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

188. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

189. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

190. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

191. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

192. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

193. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

194. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

195. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

196. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

197. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

198. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

199. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

200. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

201. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

202. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

203. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

204. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

205. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

206. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

207. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

208. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

209. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

210. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

211. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

212. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

213. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

214. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

215. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

216. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

217. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

218. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

219. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

220. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

221. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

222. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

223. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

224. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

225. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

226. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

227. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

228. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

229. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

230. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

231. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

232. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

233. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

234. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

235. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

236. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

237. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

238. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

239. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

240. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

241. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

242. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

243. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

244. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

245. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

246. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

247. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

248. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

249. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

250. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

251. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

252. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

253. The Board are also of opinion that the Petitioners have not made out a sufficient case for the interference of Government, and that the Collector has done right in refusing to grant the reduction prayed for.

254. The Board

There is a decrease in two Talooks Vizt.

" Kumplee Rupees ... 550-...

Hoovinbudgaly " 285-...

and the cause I am led to understand is that Ferries have been Established in front of ours in the Nizam's Country by certain individuals

3- The Renters of the Farm having lodged their deposits in my Treasury equal to two Months Kists, I have in anticipation of the Board's order confirming the offers, directed the Tahsildars to put the contractors in possession of their respective farms on the 21st of this Month. The Licenses will however be given to the Farms as soon as I am honored with the Board's sanction.

4- The Renters of the farms have had explained to them the Instructions of the Board conveyed in their Proceedings of the 31st August 1846 and 10th May 1847 for the guidance of Renters.

5. In conclusion I beg to add that

that in accordance with the Board's permission contained in the 7th para of their Proceedings of the 24th January last on my Report upon the Settlement of Extra Branches of Revenue for Fusly 1261 the River Toll Collections will be taken from the head of "Extra Collections" and credited to that of "Small farms and Licenses."

Bellary District, Collector's Office, on Circuit Ramandroog, 11th June, 1853 ... } (Signed) C. Pelly Collector

Statement

7617

Fort St George

No. 4. Statement Shewing the Offers for the Rent of the River Toll in the Bell

Talooks	Total Number of Fords: which Boats are to be Established for 12 months	Total Number of Fords: Number of Fords at which Boats are to be Established for 8 months	Total	Average Collections of Aumanie from Fusly 1253 to 1255: Total Collections	Deduct charges for Making Boats &c.	Net Income to Circar	Rented Beriz: Fusly 1256	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Fusly 1261	Average of 6 years
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Bellary	1	7	8	1344	107	274	310	290	370	430	450	401	375
2 Humply	2	3	5	557	68	489 4	1065	1515	1535	2000	2050	1700	1644
3 Hurpanhully	2	2	4	31 1	22 3	8 14	24	140	140	140	140	140	121
4 Hoodleghee	1		1	157 15	16	141 15	280	485	380	535	535	370	431
5 Hoovinhudgaly	1	14	15	953	209	744	1160	2060	2425	2455	2660	3035	2299
6 Adonie	1	19	20	783	180 6	602 10	1760	1550	1630	1630	1550	1550	1612
Total	8	45	53	2616 8	602 9	2013 15	4599	6040	6480	7190	7385	7196	6482

Bellary District, Collector's Office on Circuit Ramaudrovy, 11th July, 1853

E. E. Pe.

7617

George

No. 4. St Bellary

Talooke	Number of Fords at	Average of 6 years	Beriz of Fusly 1262
1		14	15
1 Bellary		375	401
2 Kumply		644	1700
3 Hurponhully		121	195
4 Koodleghee		431	370
5 Hoovinhudgaly		2299	3035
6 Adonie		1612	1550
Total		6482	7251

Bellary Distri

D.G.

20th June 1853.

Division of the Ceded Districts for Fusly 1263.

Offers for Fusly 1263. Name of the Renter	Rented Beriz	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Of the Beriz in Column 17 a deposit equal to 2 months Kists was received	Remarks
16	17	18	19	20	21
Ramadroog Hanoomuntarow and Hundeebauk Venkana	435	34		101 12	
Kumply Edega Ganapah	1150		550	278 8	In Gold Coins
Streepaty Naick of Hurponhully	195			38 12	
Hossoor Venkataramanapah	475	105		79 4	
Honnoor Venkapah Charry	2750		285	662 8	
Hovina Seenapah of Adonie	1690	140		392 8	
	6695	279	835	1553 4	
		Net	556		

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector.

Order

No. 1279
Proceedings thereon

35-36

The Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the Offers for the Ferry Toll rents for Fusly 1263, reported in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 6,695; and authorize the renters being placed in possession of their respective farms, on the usual Conditions

---

Read the following letter No. 40.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

37

Bd. No. 1435

From the Al. Collr. of Cuddapah.

Affording information required by the Board of Revenue in the Extract from their Pro. dated 25th Ultimo in respect to the case of Embezzlement in Doovoor in the Cuddapah District.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of the Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to state that from the Statements of the 3d 4th 5th & 6th Defendants, it appears that the services of the 1st Defendant Sunjeevereddy having been dispensed with, subsequent to the defalcation made by him, consequent on Casavareddy (who had been dismissed, from his Office in Fusly 1260, on account of his having failed to discover the offenders &ca concerned in a case

case of burglary in the Village) having been reinstated agreeably to the orders of the Board of Revenue, they Collected the amount thus embezzled by raising Subscriptions among themselves, and paid the same into the Talook Cutcherry but there is nothing on the record to shew that the Tahsildar had taken apart in the realization of this sum.

2 In respect to the remarks conveyed by the Board of Revenue in the 3d para of their proceedings above referred to, I beg to observe that tho' the charge of falsifying accounts was not proved against the 3d 4th and 5th Defendants, yet it cannot be considered that they were ignorant of the malversation, for they in contravention to the orders issued to them to enter the different amount of Collections in a book signed and furnished them by the Tahsildar with a view to prevent any fraud being practised, made out lists of the amount Collected on separate pieces of paper, and as they independent of this, had written a portion of the kirdy accounts and a few of the receipts granted to the Ryots for sums paid by them. They were

were in consequence found guilty of being cognizant of this fraud, and were fined Rupees 10 each.—

3. Adverting to para 4 of the above proceedings, I have to explain that the 6th Defendant is proved to have been equally guilty of entering the collections of the amount embezzled in the Kirdy Accounts, and that he was consequently liable to punishment—

4. The 7th defendant is a Village Peon, and not a reddy, and the entry in the Columns of Remarks appears to be an oversight.

Cuddapah District,
Collector's Office on circuit
Mudenpully, 27th May, 1853. } (Signed) M. Murray,
Acting Collector.

B. No. 1277.
Proceedings thereon

37-38

The explanation now afforded differs from that given in the Return of the 18th March. The Board request that greater attention be paid in future in framing the Return and in describing the relative offences of the parties implicated—

Read the following letter—
No. 35

39

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 1137
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool } Sir,

Adverting to the Extract from the

the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 4th April 1853. I have the honor to inform you, that I have despatched by Banghy Specimens of various descriptions of the Earth Salt manufactured in this District, and also two samples of Sea Salt as sold by the Retail Merchants—

The mode of manufacturing the Earth Salt is as follows—

The Salt or Fullers Earth, mixed with a certain quantity of water is deposited in an Earthern Vat constructed on a raised Mound and the water thus impregnated with Saline matter is Conducted to a lower reservoir by means of a bamboo Tube, inserted at the base of the Vat. The Saline matter Collected in the reservoir is from thence transferred to a Platform formed of Chunam and the process of manufacture is Completed by Solar evaporation—

Kurnool Govt. Agent's Office, } (Signed) T. Conway
31st May, 1853 } Agent to the Governor.

Ordered that the following

39-40

letter be sent in consequence—

To, The Secretary to the
Medical Board.

B. No. 1259
To The Secretary to the Medical Board } Sir,

With reference to this letter from this Office dated 6th June 1853, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith

Copy

31st May in Cons. 20th June 1853 1457

Copy of a letter from the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool, forwarding Specimens of the various descriptions of Earth Salt manufactured in his district, and of Sea Salt by the Retail merchants, and reporting the Mode of manufacturing the former.—

2. The Samples referred to above are herewith forwarded for the purpose of being analized as directed by Government.—

Revenue Board Office — Fort St. George 20th June 1853 } (Signed) B. Cunliffe Sub Secretary.

Read the following letters.

41

No. 53

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 943

From the Collector of Salem } Sir,

In reply to your letter dated the 24th Ultimo, requesting me to state under what grounds the item "Marriage fee" entered under the head of Extraordinary in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement for January 1853, was collected, and also the description of the people from whom the fee in question was levied.

2. I have the honor to report that

4th April 1853

Mr. Innes, Marriage Registrar for the District of Salem, has informed me that, the fee in question was realized from George Crowe, a planter on the Shervaroy Hills, Vizt.

For receiving a notice of Marriage	1
For publishing — Do.	2
For issuing certificate	5
For registering the Marriage	3
Total	11

and

and that the Amount was then paid over by him into the Treasury in accordance with the orders of Government of 23d March 1852.

3. Mr. George Crowe, I am aware, is the son apparently by a native mother of Mr. Crowe, a very aged, respectable, Military Pensioner of Her Majesty's service who, for a few years past, has fixed his residence and bought land, now under Coffee Cultivation, at Yercaud, Shervaroy Hills. Mr. Crowe Senior, was I understand formerly a Shoe-maker in Madras. He had previously served in two of Her Majesty's Regiments.—

Salem Collrs. Cutcherry, Ossoor, 7th April 1853 } (Signed) H. D. Phillips Collector

Order thereon— Ordered to be recorded.

41-42

No. 75

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

43

Bd. No. 1458

From the Collr. of Salem } Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement showing the Extent and particulars of certain lands applied for by Individuals for the cultivation of Coffee and gardening purposes on the Shervaroy Hills which I beg to recommend may be granted.—

Salem Collrs. Office, Ossoor, 2d June, 1853 } (Signed) H. D. Phillips Collector

Statement

7624 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

No. 1 Statement Showing the Extent of land applied for by Individuals for Cultivating Coffee on the Shervaroy Hills in Talook Salem, District Salem, for Fusly 1262.—

Name of the applicant.	Name of the Village.	Name of the field.	From what period the land is waste.	Extent.	Estimated value of the land entered in Colm. 5 at an upset price of 8 Rs. per Acre.	Assessment at 1 R. per Acre.	As per Board's letter dated 8th September 1842: Tax free for 5 years to lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	Assessment.	Full teerwah on lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	Assessment.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
				Acres Gs. As.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	As. Gs. a.	Rs. a. p.	As. Gs. a.	Rs. a. p.	
Mr. G. F. Fischer	Vasavumbady in Mootanaud	Nagasettoo Poonjah, situated West to a river running from the Village Colunjahady North to Nagamasettoo Caradoo, East to Coomathee Marum and South to Vasavumbady Vorady Caradoo—	Immemorial	35 " "	280 " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	The applicant has given a Durkast Moochilkah offering to take the land for Coffee Cultivation for Fusly 1262 for a term of 21 years and upwards, free of Assessment for the first five years. He agrees to pay the Assessment whether the land be cultivated or not, and to submit to any modification in the present rate of Assessment which may hereafter be introduced by Government. He further agrees to the resumption of the land in the event of its not being brought under cultivation within three years from the date of its grant, to the Construction of roads through it by Government whenever required, to the formation, at his own Cost, of boundary marks to his entire holding, and to the Circar prohibiting him from cutting down without orders the large and valuable trees standing thereon, if there be any— The applicant is able to conduct the cultivation Conforming to the above Stipulations— He holds other lands in Mootanaud and Mohonaud on the Hills to the extent of Acres 129-3-12. bearing a teerwah of Rupees 129-1-6. The Malayalies having received 30 days notice, have proposed in their Razeenamah to retain the extent applied for, on conditions that they be allowed to Cultivate about 10 acres at 5 or 6 annas per Acre and the remainder

7025.

Fort St. George

20th June 1853.

1 Name of the applicant.	2 Name of the Village.	3 Name of the field.	4 From what period the land is waste.	5 Contents.	6 Estimated value of the land entered in Column 5 at an upset price of 8 Rupees per acre.	7 Assessment at 1 R per Acre.	As per Board's Letter dated 8th September 1842. Tax free for 5 years to Lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	Assessment.	Full teerwah on lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	Assessment.	12 Remarks.
				8	9	10	11				
				Acres Ga	Rs as	Rs as	As Ga	Rs as	As Ga	Rs as	
Mr W. E Cochrane	Yaircaud in Mostoonaud	Cullooroonjah, situated West to a road behind the Shed of Mr. Cotton's garden, South to Goondoo=cul, North to a road, and East to a road leading to Mr. Phillips Bungalow	June	20	4	8					remainder to be used for pasturage. But as it would appear that the Malayalies desire to occupy the land at a reduced rate only for the purpose of disposing of it at a profit to others, I beg to request sanction for the land being given to the applicant Mr. Fischer.
									20	8	The applicant has given a Durkant Moochilkah offering to take the land to form a garden for Truly 1262, for a term of 21 years for the full assessment. He agrees as shown in the preceding Case and holds no other lands on the Hills. The Malayalies having received 30 days notice, have signified their assent to the land being given to the applicant. I accordingly recommend the application for sanction.

Salem Collector's Office
Ofsoor, _____
2d June, 1853 _____

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

43-44

Bd No: 1291

Proceedings thereon The Board of Revenue authorize the grant of the several spots of land referred to, in the State= =ment which accompanies the foregoing letter on the several con= =ditions.

Read.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Read the following letter.

No. 40.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

45

Bd No. 1392.

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Board's Proceedings of the 28th Ultimo, and to forward a recommendation for alteration in the pay of some of my Cutcherry Servants in the tabular form as therein directed.

South Arcot Collectors Cutchery, Cuddalore, 24th May 1853.

(Signed) E: Maltby.
Collector.

Tabular

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

of the Revenue Establishment in the District of the Southern Division of Arcot - Madras Presidency -

Note. The Revenue charges are here entered to correspond with the District accounts and it is proper to explain that they are swelled by the larger expenditure which has lately been made in the execution of irrigation works to increase the public revenue - The increase in the charges for the years 1852/53 and 1853/54 arises from an extra establishment being temporarily allowed for the purpose of surveying some lapsed zemindaries and revising the land assessment of the District - The Collectors' Establishment has received no increase -

Proposition - Permanent: Increase per month Amount.	Proposition - Permanent: Decrease per month Amount.	Proposition - Temporary: Increase per month - Period.	Proposition - Temporary: Increase per month - Amount.	Proposition - Temporary: Decrease per month - Period.	Proposition - Temporary: Decrease per month - Amount	Casual or Extraordinary.	Grounds of Proposition -	Local Government recommend = ation -	Order of Government of India Home or Financial Department. Remarks.	Remarks.	Orders
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Rs As P	Rs As P		Rs As P		Rs As P						
"	45 - - -	"	"	"	"	"	As the Office of Division Shenistadar has become vacant the Collector has the honor to request sanction for the alterations of salary proposed in this Statement by which - his Establishment would be rendered more effi = cient for the management of this large District without any additional expense to Government - He submits the following explanation regarding the 3 servants whose pay he proposes to increase. I. The Head Jamabandy. The holder of this impor = tant office now receives only Rupees 50. Although this is one of the largest Collectorates and in other Districts the Salary ranges to Rupees 85 - The Collector experiences therefore much difficulty in keeping this important and confidential office adequately filled and he is under the necessity of either retaining a deserving servant in a laborious & and underpaid appointment or making frequent changes in an Office where local experience and a knowledge of the previous District correspondence are essentially required II. The Head Goomastah and III. The Marahmut Goomastah - The recommendation to raise the salaries of these two officers is founded on the size of the District and the increased labour and & responsibility which devolve on them since the abolition of the Office of Rumbi = or Assistant Sheristadar - The documents and correspondence in the Marah: = mut Department are very onerous in consequence of the numerous irrigation works connected with the Anicuts in the Coleroon, Vellaur and Guddelure & rivers - And the detailed accounts in the Department to which the Head Goomastah belongs are necessarily very heavy in an extensive Ryotwary & District where the land Revenue has reached the amount of 25 lacks -				
25 - - -	"	"	"	"	"	"					
10 - - -	"	"	"	"	"	"					
10 - - -	"	"	"	"	"	"					
45 - - -	45 - - -										

Cuttcherry, Cuddalore, 24th May, 1853 -

/ Signed / E. Maltby
Collector.

7628

Tabular Statement shewing the present and proposed scale

Year	Charges - Ordinary	Charges - Extraordinary	Charges - Total
1850/51	242,197	6,760	248,957
1851/52	242,547	6,737	249,284
1852/53	265,760	7,055	272,815
1853/54 Estimate	259,305	13,480	272,785

Extract from the Home Department of Government of India - Number	Date	Office to which the Proposition refers	Nature of Charge - Present scale	Rs. as. P.	Proposed scale	Rs. as. P.
1	2	3	4		5	
1780.	8th December 1843 in the Financial Department.	Office of the Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot	Salary of the Sheristadar of the Sub Division in South Arcot	245	"	200
			Do. of the Head Jevobnaviss in the Collector's Hoozoor Cutcherry	50	"	75
			Do. of the Head Goomastah in Do.	45	"	55
			Do. of the Goomastah in the Marahmut Department	30	"	40

South Arcot, Collector's

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with following address.—

No. 269.

(45-46)

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

B. No. 1273.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of South Arcot respecting the reduction of the Salary of the Servistadar of the Sub Division and the increasing of the emoluments of certain other servants in his Cutcherry.—

From Collector 26th March in Cons: 28th April 1853
Pro: 28th April 1853
From Coll. 24th May in Cons: 20th June 1853.

2 The Salary of the Servishtadar since the Establishment of the Sub Collectorate in 1821 was Rupees 245 per Mensem, but the Collector is of opinion that this sum was fixed in reference to some special circumstances, as the amount is above the usual rate.— Now that the place has become vacant by the death of Krishna Row, Mr. Maltby thinks some modification might be made, and the pay fixed at Rupees 200, which would still secure the services of an efficient servant, and the remaining Rupees 45 devoted towards the increase of the salaries of the Hoozoor Head Javabnavis,

Head

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Head goomastah, and Goomastah of the Maramut Department.

The Salary of the first individual at

3 present is Rupees 50, which is stated to be quite inadequate for such a responsible appointment.— Mr. Maltby proposes to raise it to Rupees 75 which will place it more on a par with similar appointments in other Districts, and likewise increase the efficiency of the Cutcherry.— The Salaries of the other two parties are Rupees 45 and 30 respectively, and as the duties connected with these appointments are all of a responsible nature, it is proposed to raise them in each case to Rupees 55 and Rupees 40.—

As the various alterations suggested

4 by the Collector appear to the Board to be for the benefit of the Public service, and no additional Expense will be entailed on the state, as will be apparent from the Tabular Statement, they beg to recommend that sanction be granted for carrying them out.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe. Sub Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Read letter from the Collector of

In Cons: 2d Oct. 1852. Tanjore dated 13th November 1852, reporting on the

B. No. 1305. Settlement of that District for Fusly $\frac{1261}{1851/52}$.—

Proceedings thereon—1 The foregoing report was drawn up

47 by Mr. Bishop but the Settlement was made by Mr. Goodwyn who was in charge of the District during Mr. Bishop's absence on Sick Certificate.

2— During the early months the season was irregular— In October the River freshes were low and the rains scanty, but these deficiencies were made up in the following month and at that time there was every expectation of a good harvest— In December the District suffered partially from want of rain which affected the Crops, both wet and Dry as they were coming into ear and the fair prospects of the preceding month were not realized— The season however was on the whole an average one, Cholera appeared in February in many parts of the District, but the number of fatal cases was not great and the Disease seems to

Paras 1 and 2.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

to have passed off in a short time—

Para 10

Fusly 1260 — 2 — 29/32

" 1261 — 2 — 30/16

Standard — 3 — 3/32.

Prices were above the rates of the preceding year but were 4/16 below the standard according to which the commutation was fixed—

Paras 3 @ 8.

4. One Village was transferred from Strotriem to Taraff or Government— Of the amany Villages 16 were settled on the Olungoo Principle affected by the Current Prices and 1 according to the Olungoo standard irrespective of Current rates, thus reducing by 17 the amany Villages as they stood in the preceding year: but 7 Olungoo Villages having reverted to amany the total number of this description of Villages in the Fusly under report was 45— The resumed Shrotriem Village was Olungoo settled without reference to Price— In the revision of the Settlement for last year both the Board and Government pointed out that it was unadvisable that a Village in which a Olungoo Settlement had been once made, should be allowed to revert to Amany— The right course, it was observed, was to retain it under its proper class, with such remissions as the circumstances of the year might render necessary—

The

The Remarks of the Board had reference to Cullimode and 3 other Villages.— In Para 23 of his present report Mr. Bishop observes that the course suggested by the Board was not adopted "lest it should form a precedent on which the Meerassidars would found objections against the acceptance of the Villages at the Olungoo rate in better seasons," and "it is satisfactory to add" he says "that 3 out of the 4 Villages are now under the olungoo, the 4th being necessarily held in amany, as the Chief Meerassidar has absconded to avoid Court's process and his land Cultivated by Coodies"— The Board do not concur in the argument put forth by the Collector— It occurs to them that a reduced demand under an Amany Settlement is equivalent to a Remission as directed by the Board— In either case the olungoo standard amount is not enforced notwithstanding the circumstance that 3 out of the 4 Villages mentioned are again olungoo rented, the Board are inclined to think that as a general rule it will be better

to

to keep the Village under the class to which it has been brought making such remission in the manner observed in Olungoo Villages generally as the state of the season requires and thus close the door against a System of Settlement which involes so much loss both to the Meerassidars and the Government. This remark applies equally to the 4th Village to which allusion is made by Mr. Bishop. In the absence of the Meerassidar the Collector should make the best arrangement the case will admit for the Cultivation of the lands; but, the Olungoo amount should be the Standard of the Owner's liability according to the terms of his agreement.—

Statement No. 4.

a Valey is equal to 6 acres 24 G. 56/127

5. The entire land under occupation during the year, the affairs of which are under consideration, was 137,853 Valies bearing an assessment of Rupees 36,36,894, the extent in the preceding year was 136,839 assessed at Rupees 36,38,081— The result is an increase of 1,015 Valies in the land and a decrease of Rupees 1187 " in the Settlement, the latter arising as will be shewn, from short produce in the Amany Villages— In the

cultivation

cultivation of wet lands there is a net increase of 721 Valies, but following the gross results according to the sources of irrigation as exhibited in Statement No. 12. it is seen that there is a decrease of Rupees 201, Valies under Tanks, a portion of this decrease is found in the rain fed Tanks of the Taram settled Villages in the Puttoocottah Talook.

6. The effects of the Coleroon anicut the object of which was primarily to correct an unequal distribution of water between that River and the Cavery, is shewn in Statement No. 11. The result of Fusly $\frac{1261}{1851/52}$ is an increase in the cultivation of 10,290 valies with an actual revenue of Rupees 2,38,948 or 12 5/16 per Cent in land and 8 7/16 in money above the 6 years average previous to the construction of that work; nearly the whole extent of land about 570,000 acres to which the waters of the anicut may be brought is actually under cultivation yielding Revenue to Government.

	Valies	Rs
Standard	95,207	31,93,865
Fusly 1261	93,871	30,70,388 actual

Statement No. 2

7. The different modes of Settlement pursued

pursued with the Beriz of each are as follows.

	No. of Villages	Settlement	Increase	Decrease
Olungoo affected by price	1555	11,92,810	2,103	"
Do. Not affected by Do.	62	71,028	11,956	"
Field assessed	1818	11,23,312	"	1,088
Gross amount fixed but not distributed over fields	721	8,08,993	"	1,909
Rented for 1 year	540	3,83,662	"	5,885
Grain rent	3	25,676	829	"
Aumany	44	31,410	"	7,193

8. The increase in the Olungoo Villages occurs chiefly under the heads of land inundated, injured by drought, or under amany in the preceding Fusly and Price. The actual drought in the Current year was considerable but the losses arising from this cause were made up by the increase in the other items enumerated.

Remissions on account of drought
Fusly 1260 — Rs 53,258
" 1261 72,278.

9. In the Taram settled Villages the net decrease of Rupees 1,088 is the result of a gross decrease of Rupees 27,286 and a gross increase of Rupees 26,198 the principal items of decrease being land uncultivated and injured by drought, in both cases in connexion with the nature of the season, which the increase is to be ascribed chiefly to

Statement No. 2.
Col.ns 146–147.

Do. Do.

to recent waste again brought under cultivation or to Dry and Garden lands having been cultivated with Paddy.

10. The decrease in the Amany Villages is found chiefly under the head of Produce &c., the other description of Villages the increase and decrease are to be traced to the causes above explained, the increase in the 3 Grain rented Villages having arisen entirely from Price.

11. The aggregate increase and decrease of the principal items are.

Increase.

	Rupees
Cultivation	40,045
Produce	7,250
Price	8,820

Decrease.

	Rupees
Cultivation and loss by Drought	38,000
Produce	25,465
Price	1,180

Para 12.

12. The decrease is large owing to the Remissions on account of Short Crops and Crops which entirely failed, rendered necessary by the failure of rain in December. In the Taram settled Villages remission is not allowed for loss of Crops unless they entirely perish, while in the Olungoo Settled Villages, claims for short produce

are admitted and if the aggregate loss in the description of Villages is found on Estimate to exceed 30 per Cent the produce is thrashed under the Surveillance of the Circar as in Amany Villages, and the settlement is made on the actual out-turn.

12. In Para 18 of their Proceedings for last year the Board observed that they were prepared to confirm the Dry and Garden assessment in certain Villages in the Tranquebar Talook, but required explanation to shew why the Garden rate in one Village, Anacovil, was double that fixed for the other Villages. In this present Report

Para 22. the Collector explains that the land in question is cultivated with Chayroot and other valuable products and that the assessment in 2 neighbouring Villages of Paretum Talook averages 26 and 28½ Rupees. The last mentioned lands are superior in quality and 20 Rupees is considered a fair rate for Anacovil. Under the explanation now afforded the Board resolve to confirm the assessment refered to.

The

Para 24. 13. The Board trust that the report on the System of Settlement pursued in the Keewalore and Titrapoody Talooks to which reference is made in this Para in connection with this remark on the Settlement for last year will be submitted at an early period. Whatever orders may be passed on this subject will apply also to

Para 25. the Village of Gosindanattoocharry, regarding which separate notice is not now called for.

14 In the cultivation of Sugar Cane there is a decrease of 27 Valies, the land taken

taken up for this product in the preceding year having been 125 Valies.

15 The total land Revenue for the year under report was Rupees 39,18,288 6 — 9 or Rupees 1,743 5 — 1 below Fusly

1260.— 1850/51. The component items in comparison for the two years are shewn in the following abstract Statement.

Wet

7641

Fort St. George, 20th June 1853.

Tanjore

	Fusly 1260. Villages	Gardens	Land			Settlement			Villages	Fusly 1261. Land			Settlement			Increase. Land			Settlement			Decrease. Land			Settlement		
			Valies	Ms	Gds																						
Wet	"	"	1,02,004	"	10	32,07,096	3	"	"	"	1,02,744	6	25	32,04,008	15	9	740	6	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,087	3	3
Dry cultivated with wet	"	"	42	9	23	1,107	4	11	"	"	23	4	36	976	10	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	19	4	87	160	10	4
Total	"	"	1,02,046	9	33	32,08,233	7	11	"	"	1,02,767	10	61	32,04,985	10	4	721	1	28	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,247	13	7
Dry	"	"	25,580	4	21	1,97,108	11	7	"	"	25,885	14	74	1,98,188	10	2	305	10	53	1,079	14	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
Garden	"	"	5,958	2	2	1,40,369	9	5	"	"	5,942	14	11	1,40,581	13	7	"	"	"	212	4	2	15	8	11	"	"	"
Topes	"	"	1,539	"	25	90,673	14	1	"	"	1,542	6	79	91,450	2	4	3	6	54	776	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
Jungle Rent	"	"	1,715	"	63	1,695	3	5	"	"	1,715	"	63	1,687	12	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	7
Total	4,742	111	1,36,838	16	64	36,38,080	14	5	4,743	111	1,37,853	6	88	36,36,894	"	10	1,014	10	24	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,186	13	7
Add																											
Shrotriem						52,836	2	1						52,827	2	4				"	"	"				8	15	9
Chauk Rent						16,075	9	"						16,075	9	"				"	"	"				"	"	"
Poliput Peishcush						25,338	5	10						25,338	5	10				"	"	"				"	"	"
Quit Rent						174	14	11						174	14	11				"	"	"				"	"	"
Usual Grain Rent						6,644	13	2						6,662	2	5				17	5	3				"	"	"
Ardamaniem						2,538	10	2						2,118	9	9				"	"	"				420	"	5
Total						1,03,608	7	2						1,03,196	12	3				"	"	"				411	10	11
Total Land Revenue						37,41,689	5	7						37,40,093	13	1				"	"	"				1,598	8	6
Cavil Fees						1,78,342	6	3						1,78,197	9	8				"	"	"				444	12	7
Grand Total						39,20,031	11	10						39,18,288	6	9				"	"	"				1,743	5	1

Of

7642.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

16. Of the foregoing Settlement the Balance outstanding on the 31st March 1853 was Rupees 12,914–15–3 the Collections having been Within Fusly ——— 3823493 – 15 – 6

Do. Subsequently to 31st March 1853 ——— 81879 – 13 – 6

Total ——— 3905373 – 12 – 6

Para 15. 17 The arrears of former years amounts to Rupees 46,314 which should be removed from the accounts at an early date.

18. Of a Demand current and arrears on account of Sevoy Jumma amounting to Rupees 109,151–9–9, the sum of Rupees 107,820–15–3 has been collected leaving a current balance of Rupees 1,330–10–6 for realization. One of the items under this head of account is Rupees 50,058–4–5 for maniem lands of persons deceased and who have deserted these properties. The Acting Collector will be pleased to consider whether this item should not be transferred to the Ayen account.

19. The total Demand for Fusly 1261/1851/52 on account of all Sources, amounted to Rupees 49,30,476–7–1 being Rupees 18,877–0–6 above the preceding year. The following abstract Statement exhibits the particulars.

Land

7643

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

	Demand of Fusly 1260	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection Subsequently to 31st March 1853	Total	Balance	Demand of Fusly 1261	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection Subsequently to 31st March 1853	Total	Balance
Land Revenue	3920031 11 10	3829954 8 3	66334 14 6	3896289 6 9	23742 5 1	3918288 6 9	3823493 15	81879 13 6	3905373 12 6	12914 10 3
Salt	522029 7 11	496141 " "	25888 7 11	522029 7 11	" "	527820 1 6	503986 9 6	23833 8 "	527820 1 6	" "
Sayer	26836 3 "	23565 6 6	3270 12 6	26836 3 "	" "	23121 10 1	21608 14 9	1512 11 4	23121 10 1	" "
Abkarry	113520 " "	113520 " "	" "	113520 " "	" "	122080 " "	119881 " "	1449 13 6	121330 13 6	749 2 6
Sundry small Farms and Licenses	25937 11 8	25819 8 11	118 2 9	25937 11 8	" "	25153 14 8	24913 1 4	240 13 4	25153 14 8	" "
Motarpha	45180 2 6	45169 2 10	10 15 8	45180 2 6	" "	44773 6 11	44771 6 5	2 " 6	44773 6 11	" "
Sea Customs	188823 13 8	181761 8 4	7062 5 4	188823 13 8	" "	205055 11 2	198385 14 10	6669 12 4	205055 11 2	" "
Stamps	69240 4 "	67749 8 "	1490 12 "	69240 4 "	" "	64183 4 "	62549 12 "	1633 8 "	64183 4 "	" "
Total	4911599 6 7	4783680 10 10	104176 6 8	4887857 1 6	23742 5 1	4930476 7 1	4799590 9 10	117222 " 6	4916812 10 4	13663 12 9

The

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Paras 17. & 18 Statement No. 8.

20 "The total charges including is the salary of the Heads of Villages which is paid in money amount to Rupees 7,23,988 on a Revenue of Rupees 50,32,391 Distributed under the several appropriate heads the following results are apparent.-

	Amount of Charges	Amount of Revenue	Per Centage		
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	a.	p.
Charges of collecting and administering the land Revenue—	3,14,393	40,11,535	7	13	5
Mosque & Pagoda allowances pay ments in lieu of resumed Offices &c.	1,58,156	40,11,535	3	15	1
Repairs of Tanks & Watercourses—	1,79,705	31,45,586	5	11	3
Total Land Revenue—	6,52,254	40,11,535	16	14	2
Extra Branches of Revenue—	71,744	10,20,855	7	0	5
Grand Total—	7,23,998	50,32,390	14	6	2
Miscellaneous Charges—					
Advance for cultivation—	41,450	40,11,535	1	5	0

D.G.

Ordered

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Tanjore.

Read the following

Tabular report.-

Tabular

7646 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Bd. No. 1291

48 Tabular Report on the lapsed Enams in the District of Tanjore in the form prescribed by the Board of Revenue in their letter dated 3rd February 1852.-

Case No.	Talook and Village extent and Value of the Entire Enam.	When and by whom granted, name of the Original Grantee, Sunnud or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service, If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last holder date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties- Their Circumstances and the Means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.-	Decision of Government.-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
9.	Talook Village. Extent of Land V. M. G. Manangoody Narraina poorum 27-10-" Covil Caulpaul 21-10-" 49-"-" Fritrapoody Chitumbra Collanum galum 20-12-" Covil Coody 14-6-" 34-18-" Grand Total 83-18-" Nunja 78-13-" Poonja 5-5-" 83-18-"	A Granted by Toolajah Rajah in 1763 A.D. to Jagga natha Butt. Bhutgasamy as per Sicca Sunnuds which states the Four entire Villages with Nunja and all other appurtenances to have been allotted to the family as a hereditary grant. The Sicca Sunnud is registered in No. 254 of the Enam List of 1809 in the name of Balum.	Although the Original Sunnuds and Dufter Racca are not forthcoming there are Copies of them in the records wherein no mention of any Service is made probably a charitable Grant for the Subsistence of the Grantee.		Excluding the portions of the Enam granted by the Original Grantee to certain Pagodas and Individuals which have passed out of the hands of the Original family but which appear registered in some and not registered in other cases the share enjoyed by the last holders is.-	Seevakala Bhutgosamy her adopted son aged 7. He was so adopted in May 1849 and being a Minor is under the protection of his natural father Gopala Naig the Deputy Sirkle in the Poodoocotta state and the Brother of the deceased.-	Although the Enam was not alienated from the family of the Original Grantee in 1802 and as such would appear not liable to interference under the instructions contained in the 3rd Para of the Orders of Government of 27th July 1848 yet the case is reported under Para 9 of the same orders as the Enam has been transmitted to an adopted son and the deceased who transmitted it named Meena boye		

7647 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Case No.	Talook and Village - Extent and Value of the Entire Enam.	When and by whom granted, name of the Original Grantee, Sunnuds or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service. If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last holder date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties Their Circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Valued at Rs. 39,325 at an estimated price of Rs. 500 per Vaily of Nunja

Butt Bhutgasamy but as it did not specify the Extent of Land the Quantity entered in the lists received from the Palace has been registered.

Extent of Land

	V.	M	G
Chitmuka Cottamungalum	12	2	83
Karainapoorum Caulput	21	17	39
Covil Colppaul	15	14	40
Rajasambawarum	"	9	69
Annuppenputtah	"	9	94
Trippayanum	6	4	30
Piramoor	"	"	86
Shungalance Garden	1	8	37
Moharajapoor	1	10	45
Mullum	"	12	"
Total Village 10	60	10	23
The Tariff assessment on the above land is Rs.	2114	14	2
Deduct			
Survamounium	74	11	10
Teerwa &ca	2040	2	4
Share enjoyed by other Members	V	M	G
	59	49	73

As per Survey

In the Village of Tripayanum in Trivady Talook by a Rod of 14 feet

Nunjah	Punjah
12-8-25	1-14-75
Total	14-"-"

Valued at Rs. 18,618-12 at an Estimated price of Rupees 1500 per Vaily of Nunja.

B Granted by Toolajah Rajah in 1778 to Jagganatha Bhut Bhutgasamy as per Sicca Sunnud hereditarily granting him 14 Valies of Nunjah and Punjah with all other appurtenances composing the Covil Saittee. It is registered in No. 252 of 1809 in the name of the Balam Butt Bhutgasamy.

boye was the last female heir in the line to which she belonged. The original Grantee left two sons Nos. 2 and 3 and the share which the deceased enjoyed descended to her in a direct line from No. 2. She having been the widow of his great Grandson who was also adopted and forms one half of the whole Enam. The other half appears to have been divided 25 years ago among the descendants of No. 3 and is in the enjoyment of the respective parties No. 8 claims the succession to the Enam on the score of his descent from the Original Grantee and denies that any division of the Enam took place while admitting that a separate

Case No.	Talook and Village-Extent and Value of the Entire Enam.	When and by whom granted Name of the Original Grantee Sunnuds or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant charity or Service. If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. Their Circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Valies 2 and Manes 16 Nunjah in Annuppen Pettah Village valued at Rupees 2800 at an Estimated price of Rupees 1000 per Vaily of Nunja.	C Granted on the same terms by Ameersing Rajah in 1789 to Balum Butt Bhutgasaumy as per Dufter Rocca which records a hereditary Grant of V. 8 M. 8 of land in favor of 3 persons including the land granted to Balum Butt Bhutgosamy It is registered No. 472 of 1815		Meenaboye who died on the 1st of September 1852 her relationship to the Original Grantee as the widow of his great grandson will be seen by the following genealogical Tree.			separate enjoyment has existed these 25 years. Nos. 13 and 15 depose to their belief that a division was made and Nos. 10-18-13 and 15 declare that they consider themselves to have no right or claim to the Enam lately held by No. 16 as a division had taken place between Nos. 4 and 3. There appears no reason to doubt the fact of a division having taken place or the remainder of the family would never have allowed such a large portion of the Enam to remain in the enjoyment of the deceased woman for so many years without claiming their participation in its profits if such large possession should be considered proof.		

1849

Fort St. George

20th June 1853.

No.	Taluk and Village Case extent and Value of the entire Enam.	When and by whom granted-name of the Original Grantee. Sunnud or other Documents in support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service. If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties their circumstances and the means of subsistance by the ground on which it is held by the deceased and other Members encroposed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government &c.
1	2	3	4	5				
	It appears that besides the Enam held under Sicca Sunnudo A B & C the family is in the enjoyment of Enams in Two other Villages. The Enam in two Villages namely Maharajapuram and Pranamoor situated in the Talooks of Coottallum and Tirnady respectively is registered in the name of persons not connected with the family while that in the other 3 Villages Viz. Raja Sambapoor Thungalama Garden and Mullum situated in the Talooks of Enamigoody, Tirnady and Coottallum is not registered at all. It is impossible to trace when and how the family acquired these Enams.			1 Jaggannah Bull Bhutgosamy				
				2 son Appasamy Bhutgosamy				
				3 Bolum Bull Bhutgosamy				
				4 son Venabala Bull Bhutgosamy				
				5 son Samoo Bull Bhutgosamy				
				6 Nagala Bhutgosamy				
				7 Son Bovasamy Bhutgosamy				
				8 Punchapa-casa Bhutgosamy				
				9 adopted son Gounda Bull Bhutgosamy				
				10 son Jagnaya Bhutgo-vamy.	Gounda Bhutgo-samy died 12th Nov. 17			
				11 Son Rungasawmy Bhutgasamy				
				12 Yamoonabaye wife				
				13 adopted Son Balum Bull Bhutgasamy				
				14 Widow Guyanabaye.				
				15. Adopted Son Veerasawmy Bull.				
				16. Widow Meenabaye.				
				17. Venabala Bhull Bhutgosamy adopted son.				
				18 Adopted Son Sunker Bhutgasamy				

proof sufficient to reject the claim of No 8 on the plea of non-separation with reference to the right set forth on the part of No 17 the adopted son of the late holder this seems to require but little consideration as in addition to the irregular descent through the female branch the continuance of the mamiem would seem barred against the adoption of a son of such female holder no permission for the adoption was obtained though there is no doubt of the fact, though the boy is not of the male line of the family but a son of deceased's brother. I do not consider therefore that the Government are in any way bound to continue the Enam either to the adopted son who is No 8 or the adopted son of the Poodopcotta and not in indigent circumstances. -

Fort St. George

20th June 1853.-

No.	Case	Taloork and Village Extent and Value of the entire Enam.	Where and by whom granted Name of the original Grantee Inam or other Documents in support of the Grantee.-	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.-	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.-	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. Their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.-	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.-	Decision of Government.
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10	Paupanasum Talook Woodaca: mungalum Garden Consisting of 10 Valies of Nunjah and Poonjah valued at Rupees 8550 the extent of the estimated land set forth in the Seeca vaily of Nunjale Sunnud is as follows.- Nunjah 5-14-" Poonjah - 4-6-" 10-0-0 The enam is registered No. 135 of the Enam List of 1808 in the name of Ayacambra Moodelly the Son of the Original Grantee.-	Granted by Ameersing Ra: jah in 1776 to Appoomoodelly Ayacambra Moodelly the grant is hereditary and is held on the tenure of Sahapeutty Moodelly as Last holder-	A Charitable Grant for Subsistence.-	Appoo Moodelly Original Grantee	The last holder Sabbapady Moodelly was the grand Son of the Original Grantee as shewn in the Margin He died on the 26th October 1852 having 3 days before adopted One of his Nephews Sanianada Moodelly a boy 8 years old and the Son of his 3d Sister- The enam appears never to have been Enquired.-	Share held by the deceased as per Survey. Nunjah 8-14-85 Poonjah 2-8-36 11-3-21 Syagah 9-1-89 Tarus 1-5-12 11-3-21 Tarus of Peerwa and Syagah land 379-1-9 Deduct Cavil Fees 13-8-10 Remainder 365-8-11 Share held by other Members none	1. Abasthakuttamal widow of the deceased 25 Camalatt Mother of the deceased 75 Saumanatha Moodelly adopted Son 8 Amoortamal elder Sister of D.o 55 Soondrum 2d Sister 50 Ramoo Moodelly adopted Son of D.o Sister 25 3. Tangammal Sister of the deceased 48 Armoogatha: dellyher husband 60 Dorasawmy Moodelly his Son 25 no other means of subsistence than the Enam.-	The adoption was made only 3 days prior to the death of the last holder of the Enam and Cannot stand tho' with regard to the relations left it is reported that their maintenance depends upon the continuance of the Enam. In addition to the Widow and Mother many more are included as sufferers by the lapse should it occur and as the Grant has never gone out from the family of the Original Grantee it's continuance is recommended in favor of the Widow and Mother for their life.-			

7651

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

No.	Talook and Village Case. Extent and Value of the entire Enam.	When and by whom granted name of the Original Grantee Sunnuds or other Documents in Support of the Grant.	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service If Service the Nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.	Nature of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	Share enjoyed by deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. Their Circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	Trivandy Talook Survamaniem in Mooddellepoore Maws 15 valued at 1500 Rupees at an Estimated Value of 2000 Rupees per Vailyofe Nunjah.	Granted by Talaja Rajah in 1776 to Gundara Butt It is held on Sicca Sunnud and Dufter Rocca the latter has been registered No. 311 in the Enam List of 1809 the entry stating as follows.	Granted for Subsistence the Sicca Sunnuds Stating it as granted in consideration of the Grantee having performed the Gayaver gena Ceremoney at Benares for the late Pretaupsing Rajah.	The last holder was Annum Butt who died on the 1st April 1851 He was the Great Grandson of the Original Grantee as is shewn below.	Share of the deceased as per Survey Nunjah " 1789 Poonjah " " 6 " 1795 Taruff Teerva 77-11-9 Deduct Cavil fees 2-11-9 Remainder 75-"-" Share of the other Member none.	Camalaboy widow of the deceased - 35 Veechalatchaboye wife of the Brother of the deceased Veesavanatha Butt alias Moottoosawmy Butt 30 Radaboye Mother of the deceased and widow of No. 6-70	The Enam appears never to have been alienated from the family which was enjoying it in 1802. It is recommended that the surviving 3 women of the family may be allowed to enjoy the land during their lives and that should the brother of the deceased return from Benares the Enam may be continued to him in proof of it's identity.		

7652

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.-

Case No.	Talook and Village Extent and Value of the entire Enam.-	When and by whom granted Name of the original Grantee. Sunnuds or other Documends in support of the Grant.-	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service. If Service the nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant.-	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the Grantee.	share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.-	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties. Their Circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.-	Recommendation of the Collector and the Grounds on which it is made.-	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Chendramalaswara Butt son of Original grantee -"- 12-50 Soobba Row who purchased from -"- 2.50 the above -"- 2.50 -"- 15-" The Original Sicca Sunnud produced States the Grant to be hereditary in the Dufter Racca no mention of the tenure of the Enam is made, but the continuance of it with reference to past enjoyment is authorized.-	2 Son Neelacunta Butt. 3 Son Sevasunkara Butt.- 4 Son Rani Butt died without Issue.-	5 Son Lutchmana Butt without Issue.-	Gungadara Butt Original Grantee. Son Rungoo Butt adopted into another family Son Ammoor Butt last holder died on 1st April 1851.- Son Visvanatha Butt alias Moottossamy Butt absent at Benars for the last 10 years.- Widow Radaboyee age above 70.- Widow Camalaboyee age 35.- Wife Veesalatchee boyee age 30.-	6 Son Chendramaleeswara Butt.- 7 Son Nagoba. 8 Son Ammoor Butt last holder died on 1st April 1851.- 9 Son Visvanatha Butt alias Moottossamy Butt absent at Benars for the last 10 years.- 10 Widow Camalaboyee age 35.-	12 Widow Radaboyee age above 70.-		

The Original Grantee left 2 Sons No. 2 and 6 No. 3 and 6 divided the Enam equally out of the share No. 3 -"- 2-50 were sold 60 years ago for the marriage of No. 4 No. 4 and 5 were in the enjoyment of the remaining 5 Maws which appears to have come on their death about 10 or 15 years ago to the deceased who was accordingly holding 12 Maws and 50 Goontahs including his own share of -"- 7m - 50g.-

Tanjore, 9th May, 1853.-

(Signed) H. Forbes Acting Collector.-

No. 1.

7653 Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Encr. No. 1.

Sicca Sunnud Marked A

in Case 9.

To Mahub Unone Karcoonanee Ital Ista Kabaul Puttuck catchan from Maharaj Rajestry Toolaja Rajah Sahib Soohoorsum Urba Seetyen Myatyem alif Durvajah Badul Enam.

The Villages particularized below including Nunja and Poonja in the Maghanum of Yailoor in the said Puttuck have been granted as Survamauniem to Vadamoortee Rajestry Jugganathabhutt Bhutgosawmy the grand son of Jugganathabhutt the son of Deeva Karbhutt of the Saukallia gotra, and the Oswelegina Sootra, and the preacher of Roogvada Manga Naraina-poor, Monja Chitambracottamungalum, Mauja Covil Culpaul, Monja Covilcoody. The whole 4 Villages having been thus granted on Survamauniem Tenure, together with all water, Trees, Minerals, Treasure &ca &ca and all appertinances they should be made over to the grantee, and Continued hereditarily. Fresh Khuts need not be issued every year. A Copy to be taken and the original returned to the

D.G.

Said

7654. Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

said Vadamoortee.

(Signed) Rajestry Nursingarow Anunda Row Peshenay (title of his Office written in terms of abbreviations not known)

attested by Sarnovis

Sicca of the Rajah

passed by Hoozoornasir

28 Jummady laker Month of Jummadi laker Ravabur

Palunganovis } Hoozoornovis } Soorsood
Sood } Sood }

Registered in the Collector's Cutcherry in the Register of Survamanniem lands No. 254.

23d September 1809

(Signed) Goondopundit Sheristadar

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes
Acting Collector

No. 2

Sicca Sunnud Marked B

in Case No. 9

To Mahal Unam Karcoonanee Hal Istacabaul Puttuck Trivady

From

Maharaj Rajestry Tolaja Raja Sahib Soohoorsenu Tossa Subbyen Mya alif Darvaja Bodul Enam to Vadamoortee Rajestry

7655 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Rajestry Jugganathabhutt Bhutgosa[illegible] grandson of Jugganathabhutt, son [illegible] Deevakarabhutt, of the Sankallya Got[illegible] of the Ausweelavyena Sootra, and prea[illegible] of the Roogvada by a rod of 14 feet allowing Dhurmady and Valacady in the Trippayanum Village in the Cu[illegible] Maganum in the said Puttuck—

Extent of Covilsaittee

	V	m	G
Nunja	12	8	25
Ponja	1	15	75
Total	14	4	"

Deduct land to be annexed to Circ[illegible] Taruffant of Catchaclar or Swampy

Tarus	"	3	50
out of Poonjah	"	"	50
	"	4	"

Remainder granted as Survamanniem

	V	m	G
Nunja	12	8	25
Ponja	1	11	75
	14	"	"

Particulars	V	m	G
Granted for Nunja out of Nunja	10	"	
Granted for Ponjah			
For Iyen Poonja at 5 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	2	10	"
For Bramhasastoo or Bramins houses and backyards and for Cattle ground	1	10	"

Out

7656 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

	V	m	G
Out of Iyen Poonja	1	11	75
Out of Nunja the Saitty having no more Poonja	2	8	25
	4	"	"
Total	14	"	"

The total 14 Vailies of Nunja and Poonja land, together with water, Trees, Mineral Treasures, &ca &ca &ca all appertenances are granted as Survamanniem with Daradattum—

To be made over with Boundary Marks.

To be Continued heriditarily. Fresh khuts need not be issued every Year Copy to be taken and the original to be returned to the Said Bhutgosawmy

Here enter Sanscrit verses

(Signed) Rajestry Nursingarow Aununda Row Peshway &ca &ca

Attested by Soor novis

Seal of the Rajah

2d Rabilovel Month of Rabilovel

Rivabur

Sooroo Sood

Palunganovis Sood

Registered in the Collector's Cutcherry in

in the Register of Survamanniem lands
No. 252. 23d September 1809.

(Signed) Goondoo Pundit, Head Sheristadar

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes

Ag. Collector

No. 3.

Dufter Rocca marked C in Case No 9

(Seal of that Rajah)

Rocca Dufter Karcoonanee Puttuck Saleemungalum and Yennoonambapoor-petta-Soohoorsum Tyssyen Mya Ulleef Darasaja Budul - Parasanagee 12th day

(Sicca in persian characters)

Houses having been at present granted to 3 Bramins and Bhavanee Umbapoor agraharum an Endowment in land on the Survamanniem tenure has been measured out by the Officers of Harkara mahal under Circar instructions

The Nunja land measured by a rod of 14 feet is as follows.—

Taruff Nunja land in Cusbah Village in annapumpetta Maghanum in the above Puttuck

Syghaul or Cultivated land — V m G 3 — —

Tarus or waste land uncultivated since Palana

Palana year

	V m G		
Iyen Nunja	3 — —		
Nunja for Poonja	2 — —	5 — —	8 — —
Nunja Tarus land for Poonja in Maurengoody Vuttum in Yomoo-nambapoor Baganeehany			— 8 —
Total			8 — 8 —

Particulars of the above Eight Valies and Eight Maws of land as granted to the several Bramins—

To Vadamoorty Rajestry Balumbhutt V m S Bhutgosawmy grandson of Deeva-karabhutt and son of Vadamoorty Rajestry Jugganadabhutt of Sacallia Gotra, & of Auswelanyena Sootra and preacher of Roogvada

	V m G		
Nunjah land			
Sygaul or Cultivated land	1 — —		
Tarus or waste land	1 — —	2 — —	
Poonja land			
Poonja at the rate of 5 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	10 — —		
Bramhasastoo or land for backyards & houses as well for Cattle ground at 3 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	6 — —	16 — —	2 — 16 —

To

7659. Fort St. George 20th June 1853

To Kistnabhutt Lengakur grandson of Kistnabhutt and son of Govindabhutt of Kasseppa Gotra, of Auswelanyena Sootra & Preacher of Roogvada

	V m G	V m G
Nunjah land		
Sygaul or Cultivated land	1 " "	
Tarus or waste land	1 " "	
Poonjah land		2 " "
Poonja at 5 Maws per each Vaily of Nunja	" 10 "	
Bramhasastoo and Cattle ground at 3 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	" 6 "	
Note Nunja Tarus for this	" 16 "	
		2 16 "

To Ramasawmy Shastry grandson of Vencatakistnasahastry & son of Anuntanaraina Shastry of Kanserca Gotra, Aupastambasootra & preacher of Yejoorvada

Nunja		
Syghaul land	1 " "	
Tarns land	1 " "	
Poonjah land		2 " "
Poonjah at 5 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	" 10 "	

7660. Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Bramhasastoo and cattle ground at 3 Maws per each Vailey of Nunja	" 6 "	
1 Note Nunja Tarns for this	" 16 "	
		2 16 "
Total		8 8 "

The total Eight valis and Eight Maws have been granted as Survamanniem to the three Bramins with water, Trees, Minerals, Treasures &ca &ca with every appertenance with Daradut-tum - The land to be marked with boundaries, and made over to Grantees. To be Continued hereditarily Fresh Khuts need not be taken every year. Copy to be taken and the original to be returned to the Bramins

In Sanscrit Verses

(Here enter)

(Signed) Rajestry Trembakarow Anundarow Peshenay &ca &ca

Sicca in persian

attested by Sooruovis

20th Saphur Month of Sappur

Sooroosood

Rawabur

Palanganovis sood

Registered in the Collector's list of Survamanniem

7661

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Survamanniem lands No. 472 — 10th June 1815

(Signed) Goondapunt Sheristadar

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes

Acting Collector

No. 4.

Sicca Sunnud in Case 10

To Maheel Unam Karcoonanee Hal and Yestakabaul Puttuck Saleeman=galum from Maharaz Rajestry — Tolaja Rajah Sahib —

Sicca in persian

Soohoorsum Subba Subbyen Mya Ulluf Darasaja Badul —

Enam to Appoo Moodelly Yacambra Moodelly in the Wodaramungalum Village in the Kodikal Maghanum in the above Puttuck — The Wodara=mungalum Garden bounded on the North by the Vennar, on the east by Cooroomgalore Garden, on the west by the lands of Adacoody and on the South by the Channel of Haridwara=mungalum, has been granted, as Enam the land measured by a rod of 12 feet being as per Ayeut —

			V	m	G
Nunja land			5	14	3
Poonja					
Iyen Poonja	3	11			
Tope land	"	15			
			4	6	"
Total			10	"	"

7662

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

The total Garden land of 10 Valies in=cluding Nunja, Poonja, & Tope has been granted as Enam together with all appertenances &ca. The land to be marked with boundaries and made over to the Grantees. To be continued hereditarily. No fresh khuts to be issued every Year. Copy to be taken and the Original to be returned to the Grantee —

(Signed) Rajestry Trembakarow Aununda row Peshway &ca.

Seal of the Rajah.

Sanctioned by Hoozoorna=vis

attested by Soornavis

Month of Jeelcaud

9th Jeelcaud

Hoozoorna=vis Sood

Sooroosood

Ravabur

Palunganovis Sood

Registered in the Collector's Cutcherry in the Register of Survamanniem lands No. 135. 5th June 1809 —

(Signed) Goondapundit Sheristadar

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes

Ag. Collector

No. 5.

Sicca Sunnud in Case 11.

To Mahal Unam Karkoonavee Hal and Yestakabaul of Cullianapoor &c Vaneetum from Maharaj Rajestry Tolaja Rajah Sahib —

Seal

Soohoresun Subba Suttyen Mya Ullief Daravaja badul Enam In consideration of Gungadarabhutt, grandson of Damoodarabhutt son of Govindabhutt of Danunjaya Gotra and Auswelayena Sootra, &c preaching the Roogavada, having performed the Guyanurjanum Ceremoney in Commemoration of the late Kylasavasee Rajestry Pretaupsinggee Rajah a Survamanniem of 15 Maws of Nunjah land in the Moodellipoorum Village in the above Vaneetum allowing for Dhurmady and Velacady by a rod of 14 feet has been granted to him with Water, Trees, minerals, Treasures and all other appertinance &ca &ca &ca with Dharadutt. The land to be marked with boundaries and made over to the Grantee. To be continued hereditarily.

Fresh

Fresh Khuts need not be issued every Year. Copy to be taken and the original to be returned to the Bramin —

In Sanscrit Verses.

(Here enter)

(Signed) Rajestry Nursinga Row Anundarow Peshway &ca &ca

Seal

Sanctioned Hoozoornavis

Attested by Soornavis

7 Rajjub Month of Rujjub

Ravabur

Hoozoornavis Sood

Sooroo Sood

Palunganavis Sood

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes
Acting Collector

No. 6.

Dufter Rocca in Case 11.

Seal of the Rajah

Yad rocca Dufter Sooba Tomady Camaveesee Raghoonada Annajee Dimmut Vencatapaty Iyah Soohoorsum Subba Subbyen Mya Ullief Daravajee Badul Enam to Gungadarbhutt grandson of Damodarabhutt son of Govindabhutt of Dununjaya Gotra of

7665. Fort St. George 20th June 1853

of Auswelayena Sootra, and Preacher of Roogvada / Here two abbreviations unknown / Paravanagay 25 Rujjub in the Moodellipooram Village (note) in the Cullianapooram Vanittum in the above Puttuckum by a rod of 14 feet allowing for Durmady & Valakady 15 Maws of Nunja - The above Enam has been every Year enjoyed and it to be Continued as usual this Year with reference to past enjoyment - A Copy to be taken and the original returned to the Bramin 4th Rumjan Sooroosood - Hoozoornavis sood, Palunganavis sood Ravasood Mortaba sood

---

+ Note The letters here are not ligible the paper being destroyed -

Registered in the Collector's Cutcherry in the Register of Survamanniem lands No. 311. -

20th December 1809.

(Signed) Goondo Pundit Sheristadar

(Translation)

(Signed) H. Forbes

Ag. Collector

D.G.

7666. Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Bd. No. 1296

Remarks thereon.

(48-49)

No. 272 -

The Board agree in the opinion expressed by the Collector that there is no reason to doubt the fact of a division of the Enam having taken place between the families of the two sons of the original grantee, and as the last incumbent was the widow of an adopted son and the title of the present claimant rests upon the similar ground of adoption and as it appears further that he is not in indigent circumstances the Board are precluded under the orders of Government dated 18th September 1851 from recommending a continuance of the share enjoyed by the deceased and therefore consider that it may be resumed. -

No. Manargoody Talook	V. M. G.
	49 - " - "
Tritrapoondy -	34 - 18 - "
	83 - 14 - "
Valued at Rupees 39,325 besides other Enams	

No. 10 Papanassum Talook v 10 Valued at 8,550 Rupees.

2 As the family left by the deceased are reported to be in indigent circumstances and to have no other means of subsistence the Board support the recommendation of the Collector for the continuance of this Enam in the name of his widow and Mother for their lives.

No. 11 Trivady Talook Maws 15 Valued at 1,500 Rupees

3 Under the circumstances stated the Board recommend that the Enam be continued in

in the name of Camasala Boyee the widow of the deceased for the maintenance of herself and the two other females connected with the family - The claims of Visvanatha Butt may be reported on when he returns from Benares.

Read the following letter -

No. 47

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(50)

Bd. No. 1406

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

The Villages enumerated Marginally and which yield an annual revenue of Rupees 8,397 comprise a part of the Taupaie Mahganem of the Talook of Torriore; But these Villages being at the extreme East end of the talook and irrigated by the Nuttudnullah channel they have since 1833 been placed under the Management of the Tahsildar of Laulgoody who administers every duty connected thereunto whether fiscal or Police - Though the revenues and accounts of these Villages are carried

Moolaul
Sumbarray
Culleecoody
Poodoorattamanoor
Kailcuppay
Vullavanoor

carried to the credit of the Torriore Division -

2. This appears to me to be both anomolous and inconvenient in practice I would therefore solicit your Board's permission to incorporate the six Villages at once into the Laulgoody Talook as most natural and convenient they being within a few Miles of that Cusbah and watered by a channel entirely under the control of the Laulgoody authorities

Trichinopoly,
Collector's Office,
26th May, 1853.

(Signed) J Bird
Collector -

Bd. No. 1278

Proceedings thereon -

(50-51)

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board of Revenue authorize the incorporation of the six Villages referred to in the foregoing letter with the Laulgoody Talook.

Read the following letter -

No. 119

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(52)

Bd. No. 1439

From the Collector of Tinnevelly ... Para 1

Sir,

I have the honor to submit Copy of a joint application dated 20th Instant made

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

made by Mr. Arthur W Heale of Ceylon and Mr. C. H. R Coey of Tutacorin for permission to take up a small esetent of land on the Court allum Hills and fell the Forest for the purpose of planting Coffee and to request the Boards orders upon it.-

From Board 15th November 1847 To D.o 4th April 1848.

2. Referring to the correspondence Noted in the Margin I am doubtful of the expediency of granting the application in question as the situation advented to is among the Hills whence descends the Chittar the most important of the Minor rivers which supply water of irrigation to the low Country- But if the effect of shrubs such as the Coffee plant is Considered not less powerful than that of Forest trees in attracting and condensing moisture and producing rain the objection disappears. as I have little doubt that Coffee can be grown profitably in the above locality and there would be no likelihood of the ground being abandoned and left bare after the forest had been cleared.-

3. I should mention that Mr. Coey was the highest bidder for the Government Spice Gardens

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Gardens situated on the slope of the Hills along the Valley of the Chittar.-

Tinnevelly, 27th May, 1853.

/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector.

No. 1

C. J. Bird Esqre
Collector of Tinnevelly.-

Sir,

Having lately inspected the land upon the Hills in the neighbourhood of Courtalem with a view to the cultivation of Coffee and finding that there are one or two sites on which we are of opinion Coffee might be cultivated to advantage, We should be disposed to rent a small quantity of land in that distrct provided our intention met with the support and approval of the Government.-

To enable us to cultivate Coffee it would be necessary to authorize us to fell such portion of the Forest as we should select and we beg to apply to you for this permission as without it, it would be perfectly impracticable to cultivate Coffee.-

We trust to you to represent our application favorably to the proper department, as we think that should we succeed in our proposed intention it could not fail to result to the advantage of

1671 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

of the Government.—

Tutacorin, 20th May, 1853

(Signed) Arthur W. Heale
" C. H. R. Cocq.
(True Copy)
(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector.

52-53

Bd. No. 1292

Proceedings thereon—

The Collector is requested to furnish information respecting the extent of land on the Courtallum Hills applied for by Messrs. Heale and Cocq in the foregoing letter and he will at the same time be good enough to state whether the Forest is so limited in extent that a small clearing should be objected to.—

No. 120.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George—

54

Bd. No. 1453

Sir,

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to procure and furnish me with the sanction of Government for the charges particularized in the accompanying two Statements the one+ for guarding Pearl Banks

+ for Rs. 335-10-

1672 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Banks off this coast in pursuance of the Order of Government communicated in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 5th September 1850. The other+ for charges incurred conveying 16 Bales of Cotton &ca. from the Sea Custom House at Tutacorin to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co's. Screw House in February of Fusly 1261.

+ for As. 14

Tinnevelly, 31st May, 1853.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector.

No. 1

Account Particulars of charges incurred for guarding the Pearl Banks off Nullatunny Tevoo in the District of Tinnevelly under an Estimate of Rupees 450 Sanctioned by Government on the 30th August 1850.

	Crs. a P.	Crs. a P.
Pay of a Superintendent from 21st October 1850 to 31st July 1851 at 10 Rupees per Mensem	93 8 8	
Do. of 4 Lascars from Do. to Do. at 5 Rupees each per Do.	187 1 4	
Paid for a Canoe with mast Sail and Oars &ca.	35 " "	
Do. for building 2 Huts in the Island for the Superintendent and the Lascars	7 14 "	
Do. for erecting a Look out house	3 10 "	
Do. Boat hire for visiting the Bank	8 8 "	
Total Company's Rupees		335 10 "

Company's Rupees Three hundred and Thirty Five and annas Ten.

Tinnevelly, 31st May, 1853.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector—
Statement

7673

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

No. 2

Statement of expences incurred for conveying 16 bales of Cotton and wrapper cloths from the Sea Custom house at Tutacorin to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co's Screw house in February of Fusly 1261.

	C Rs.	a	P.
Hire for conveying the bales of Cotton from Sea Custom House to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co's Ware house.	"	12	"
Do. for conveying the wrapper Cloths	"	2	"
Total Company's Annas	"	14	"

Tinnevelly, 31st May, 1853.

Company's Annas Fourteen

(Signed) C. J. Bird

Collector.

Bd. No. 1280

Proceedings thereon

(54-55)

No. 270

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 30th August 1850.

In Cons 5th April 1850.

The Board recommend that Sanction be granted for the charges incurred for guarding the Pearl Banks off Kullatunny Teevoo & for Conveying 16 Bales of Cotton &ca. from the Sea Custom House to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co's Screw house as particularized in the accompanying two Statements amounting in all to Rupees (336-8-") Three hundred and thirty Six and annas Eight.

To

7674

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

No. 121

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

(56)

Bd. No. 1477

From the Collector of Tinnevelly...

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st February last authorizing me to dispose of the Government Spice Garden in the District in the manner directed by Government and in pursuance of the Advertisement+ published in the Fort St. George Gazette of 13th May 1853 translation of which had already been made public throughout this District, I have the honor to inform you that the Gardens belonging to the Honorable Company were on the 26th Instant put up to auction with the following result.

+ Dated 31st March 1853.

For Lot 1 Consisting of 9 Gardens No. 1 to 9 and situated near Courtallum on the Slope of the Hill along the Valley of the Chittaur. The highest bid was Mr. C. H. R. Cocqs of Tutacorin C. Rupees 3000

For Lot 2nd Consisting of a Single Garden No. 10 and situated just above the Courtallum water fall. The highest bid was also Mr. C. H. R. Cocqs C. Rupees 950

Consisting

For Lot 3. Consisting of 3 Gardens Nos. 11. 12 and 13 and situated on the slope of the Hill along the Valley of the Five Falls rivers. The highest bid was Shunmooganayina Pillays & Rupees 3760

For Lot 4 Consisting of a single Garden No. 15 and situated in the plain below the Hills watered from Wells. The highest bid was Annamaleiappa Pillays &. Rupees ——— 1510

For Lot 5. Consisting of a single Garden No. 16 situated on Nunjah land in the plain below the Hills near Courtalum. The only offer was that of Soobramania Leavenayen who set up a claim to the land on behalf of the Courtallum Pagoda of which he is a Trustee. This claim though not fully admitted is colourable as Puttahs have been occasionally made in favor of the Pagoda though the District accounts do not support the title. The offer of the Trustee for the stock was therefore allowed Viz.: &. Rupees ——— 200

For Lot 6 Consisting of 2 Gardens Nos. 17 and 18 and situated on Nunjah land in the plain below the Hills near Courtallum

The

The highest bid was Valayudam Pillays & Rupees ——— 321

For Lot 7 Consisting of a single Garden No. 19 situated on D° D° below D° D°. The highest bid was Sungralingum Pillays &. Rupees ——— 100

Total & Rupees 9841

2. As I consider the above offers to be very advantageous I beg to recommend that the sale may be confirmed and as the Commencement of a new

Fasly

7677 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Fusly will be a convenient period to give over charge to the purchasers

I also recommend that the purchase money may be ordered to be received and the lots put in possession of their respective owners on the 12th July next.

Tinnevelly, ...
31st May, 1853.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector

7678 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

(56-57)

No. 271

Bd. No. 1281

Proceedings thereon,

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to the papers noted in the margin.

From Govt. 8th Inbound 22d February 1853
" 3d inbound 19th May 1853.

The Board recommend that the sale of the Spice Gardens which realized in all Rupees 9,821 be confirmed and that the several lots be put in possession of their respective owners, at the commencement of Fusly 1263 or 12th July next as suggested by the Collector.

Read the following Return

Return

76.79

Fort St George 20th June 1853.

58

No 125

Return of Talookdars of the Collectorate of Tinnevelly as they stood on the 1st Inst 1853.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talookdar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Talookdar in this Collectorate.	Employment previously to appointment as Talookdar and length of Service in such employment.				Total length of Service.	Remarks
				CRT a B b CRT a B		years m d	years m d		years	m	d	years m d	
Appavoo Modely of Chungapatt	Vengo dy Modely	58	Shermadaveny	193955 5 4	120 " "	2 11 "	8 3 23	Maramut Superintendent in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	2	"	"		
								Do Maistry in Do of Do	1	11	2		
								Do Superintendent in Do of Tanjore	6	10	"		
								Do Do in Do of Tinnevelly	12	7	20		
									27	4	25	35 8 18	
Ramiah of Coombaconum	Malabar Brahmin	60	Nellaveliy-kum-baken	214229 " 6	120 " "	6 17 5	11 24	Magistrate's additional Royseen in the Zillah Court at Combaconum	2	"	"		
								Police Royseem of Terovaloor and Keevaloor Talook in the District of Tanjore	4	3	5		
								Magistrate and Revenue Jawabeneiss in Do	13	9	"		
								Head Jawabeneiss in the District of Tinnevelly	12	4	10		
								Stamp Darogah	"	3	21		
									32	8	12	20 8 6	
Nullaseven Pillay of ambasa mudrum of Tinnevelly	Vellala	49	Sanktan	128574 8 4	85 " "	6 17	19 "	Tamil Goonastah of Brammadasem Talook in the District of Tinnevelly	11	2	22		
								Do Do in the Sub Collector's Office in Do	5	"	8		
								Salt Jawabeneiss at the Huggoor Cutcherry in Do	2	7	5		
								Magistrate's Head Royseem in D Do	3	7	10		
									22	5	15	21 2 15	
Soobba Rau of Tinnevelly	Malabar Brahmin	46	Brammadasem	159925 4 10	85 " "	4 1 15	5 7 11	Cutwall Royseem at Ambalam in Tinnevelly and Police Royseem in the Talooks of Shen Kennimarcoil Calacaud and Brammadasem in the District of Tinnevelly	9	1	17		
								Magistrate Royseem in the Sub Collector's Office in Do	2	6	5		
								Do Head Do in Do Do	3	7	19		
									15	3	11	20 10 22	

7680

Fort St George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth	Cast	age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
				C R a O	C R a O	years m d	y m d		y m d	
Candemadeyna da Pillay of Tinnevelly	Vellala	43	Tencaussy	170,223-7 6 100	" " "	4 24	4 9 21	Revenue Javabneviss in the Alwartinnevelly and Ottapedarum Talooks in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	" 8 1	
								Sayer Goomastah and Sheristah Goomastah in the Huzzoor in Do	2 10 23	
								Revenue Javabneviss in the Huzzoor in Do	6 8 11	
									10 3 5	15 " 26
Aununtharamiah of Coimbatore	Malabar Bramin	39	Streevilly poottoor	216,381 1 " 85	" " "	4 23	" 4 23	Goomastah in the auxiliary Court at Coimbatore	2 6 4	
								Head Do in the Do	4 8 "	
								Nauzer in the Do	" 1 "	
								Tarim Goomastah in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	2 5 23	
								Deputy Javabneviss in the Huzzoor in Do	" 3 9	
								Magistrate Record Keeper in Do Do	7 " 28	
									17 1 4	17 5 27
Vencatasoobiah of Tinnevelly	Gentoo Bramin	45	Vedoogramum	120,969 1 9 85	" " "	6 17	1 6 29	Treasury Goomastah in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	13 2 19	
								Huzzoor Do in Do Do	5 11 16	
								Moozoomdar of Punjamahl Talook in Do	4 11 23	
									24 1 28	25 8 27
Auvoodynayagum Pillay of Tinnevelly	Vellala	62	Shenkerninar Covil	129,569 11 " 85	" " "	24	1 11 2	Post Office Writer of Anjengo in Travancore	" 6 "	
								Javabneviss of Vedoogramum Bremmadasem Streevygoondum and Calacaud Talooks in the District of Tinnevelly	14 4 2	
								Peishcar of Calacaud and Bremmadasem Talooks in the District of Tinnevelly	1 7 6	
								Magistrate Roysem at the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Do	5 3 17	
								Goomastah at Do in Do	12 3 28	
									34 " 23	35 11 25

Fort St. George 20th. June 1853

Name and place of Birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this & other Talooks.	Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and length of Service in such employments.	Total length of Service	Remarks
				Rs a P	Rs a P	y m d	y m d			
Venna Row of Madras	Nyam shagee Bramin	39	Streevygoontum	2.8.067	3 10 120	.. ..	6 7 1	Additional and acting Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Office in Tinnevelly ____ 5 27 Damodaranum Goomastah in Dº ____ 2 1 14 Huzzoor Goomastah in Dº ____ 12 4 3 Moodeemdar of Streevilly pootoor & Talook in Dº ____ 11 15 15 10 29	22 11 29	
Sheroma bayrumdee Naig of Veeraraga vapooram in Tinnevelly	Gentoo	46	Ottapidaram	9.2.155	5 9 85	.. ..	6 6 5 11 20	Peishcar of Vedagramum, Nelliamballum, Calacaud, Senenaney Streevelly pootoor and Streevygoondum Talooks in the zillah of Tinnevelly ____ 9 3 9 Sea Customs Chowkeydar of Tutacorin in Dº 2 11 10 Stamp Daregah in Dº ____ 5 9		
Aundiapilley of Arcyanoor yagaspooram in Tinnevelly	Vellala	61	Nanguenary	12.15.80	8 1 85	.. ..	22 11 8 11	Roysem of Alwartinnevelly Talook in the zillah of Tinnevelly ____ 13 .. . Sumpradhy of Sundyoor Polipput and Sumpradhy on the Pearl Fishery Establishment ____ .. 4 .. Police Royseem of Shenkennancoil Tencasey and Punjamahl Talooks in Dº ____ 2 8 .. Salt Chowkeydar in the District of Dº ____ 6 .. . Peishcar of Streevelly pootoor Punjamahl and Streevygoontum Talook in Dº ____ 3 .. 5 25 .. 5	36 8 16	
Seven Row of Trichinopoly	Maharatah Bramin	35	Vellygoor	11.1.93	11 2 85	.. ..	2 9 9 5	Goomastah of Vedagramum Talook in the District of Tinnevelly ____ 3 9 26 Revenue and Salt Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Dº ____ 6 .. 20 Deputy Tavabeneefs in Dº ____ 2 .. 3 11 10 19	17 3 11	

7883 Fort St George 20th June 1853

58-59

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

Bt N 1568

From the Collector of Canara. Read letter Informing

60

that the Register of Petitions referred by

the Board for disposal for February

last is blank.

60-61

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

Read the following

Return

Return

7882 Fort St George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Occupation	Length of Service & Date of Discharge	Total Length of Service	Remarks
	Cyan Talaik.	Pay.			

Certificate of Service in the Commissariat Department to accompany Petition for Allowances.

7684

Fort St George 20th June 1853

Bd No 1567 Return of Tahasildars of the Collectorate of Malabar as they stood on the 1st May 1853.

62

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Talook	amount of Collection	Pay	length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment	Total length of service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Poolenvettel Ramoony Native of Malabar	Tier	51 ..	Cawey	157,191 2 9	107	1 4 4	4 4 29	As Menon in the Huzzoor Magistrate's Office — 1 2 18 As Goomastah in the joint Magistrate's Office — .. 5 17 As Police Goomastah in the Choultry and Talook — 8 11 13 As Head Goomastah in the Joint Magistrate's Office — 1 6 .. As 3d Moonshee in the Huzzoor Office — 2 9 .. As English Writer in the Sub Collector's Office — 3 5 25 As Head Goomastah in the Magistrate's Office — 2 8 6 21 .. 19	25 5 18	
Sheshayen Do	Bramin	50 ..	Cheri Kul	171,571 8 11	107	3 8 11	7 5 20	As Extra Goomastah in the Huzzoor Pymash Cutcherry — 3 10 4 As Dufterbund in the Huzzoor Cutcherry — 2 2 20 As Tobacco Goomastah in the Ditto — .. 7 21 As 4th and 2d Do in the Revenue Department Do — 3 4 10 As Head Do Do — 5 8 7 As Huzzoor Cashkeeper — 6 .. 23 21 9 25	29 3 15	
Eddapadee Chandos Nair Do	Nair	52 ..	Cotiste	147,866 4 1	107	1 4 ..	8 10 20	As Huzzoor and Tookeddy Shroff — 4 2 .. As Police Goomastah and Record Keeper in the Tookeddy Cutcherry — 1 8 21 As Sub Manager in the Sea Custom Department — 4 8 18 As Police Ameen — 9 7 1 20 2 10	29 1 ..	

7685

Fort St George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment		Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11
Rama Putter ditto	Bramin	48 ..	Cartenaad	15,007 9 8 5	107	4 4 27	9 10 12	As Goomastah in the Cotiote Talook	2 11 23		
								As Talook Sheristadar	4 9 8		
								As 3d Revenue Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office	" 5 25		
								As Head Moonshee in the Magistrate's Office	6 1 10		
								As Deputy Treasurer in the Northern Division Treasury	1 2 21		
									15 6 27	25 5 9	
Edathadata Shangara Menon Do	Nair	39 ..	Coormenad	16,455 11 10	107	1 2 "	2 9 15	As Goomastah in the Tellicherry Choultry	" 11 14		
								As 3d Do in the Sub Collector's Office	3 4 10		
								As 2d Moonshee in the do	2 9 21		
								As Sheristadar in the Cotiote and Cartenaad Talooks	6 11 11		
								As Police ameen of Cannanore, Tellicherry and Calicut	2 .. 20		
									16 1 16	18 11 1	
Vengana Rayer Do	Bramin	50 ..	Calicut	18,798 5 3	107	2 9 28	18 10 22	As Goomastah in the Commissioner's Office	3 3 11		
								As Extra Goomastah in the Sea Custom Department	" 3 15		
								As Tobacco Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office	2 5 ..		
								As 2d Goomastah in the Revenue Department ditto	4 7 7		
								As Sheristadar in the Calicut Talook	2 5 6		
								As Tookeddy Goomastah	" .. 19		
									13 .. 28	31 11 20	
Ambasendagatha Cootoossa Do	Mopla	57 ..	Ernaad	14,958 3 15 7	107	11 2 10	11 8 11	As Goomastah and Salt Peshcar	8 .. 15		
								As Tobacco Peishcar at Ponany	" 9 22		
								As Cutwall	2 11 6		
								As Subidar in Wynnad	" .. 20		
								As Talook Sheristadar	8 7 24		
									20 5 27	32 2 8	

7686

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment		Total length of service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11
Syed Ahmed Do.	Mopla	39 ..	Shernaad	135,696 7 8	107	3 1 10	5 10 7	As Persian Moonshee in the late Zillah & Provincial Court	14 10 15		
								As Nazir of the Calicut Zillah Court	.. 3 29		
								As 2d Goomastah in the Calicut Sub: Court	 20		
								As Nazir in the Calicut Civil Court	1 3 6		
								As Police Ameen of Cananore	1 6 24		
								As Head Goomastah in the Magistrate's Office	.. 6 9		
									18 7 23		
										24 6 ..	
Manhapra Kristna Menon Do.	Nair	60 4 ..	Betul naad	153,982 1 11	107	8 3 1	30 2 9	As Goomastah in the Choultry & Southern Division Zillah	1 4 ..		
								As Revenue and Police Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office	6 1 15		
								As Talook Sheristadar	.. 2 6		
									7 7 21		
										37 10 ..	
Nambanoor Comen Nair Do.	ditto	52 ..	Coot naad	132,715 4 2	107	2 3 22	2 11 11	As Extra Goomastah in the Huzzoor Sea Customs Department	2 4 ..		
								As Malabar Writer and Tavahneviss in the Huzzoor Office	7 10 ..		
								As Record Keeper in the Magistrate's Office	.. 4 20		
								As Revenue 2d and Head Moonshee in the ditto	6 8 11		
								As Native Accountant in the Sea Custom Department	4 .. 6		
								As 2d and Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office	8 10 19		
									30 1 26		
										33 1 7	

7687

Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Talook	amount of Collections	Pay	Length of service as Talr. & Talr. the present Talook	Total length of service as Talr. & Talr. dgrs. in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Talr. and length of service in each employment				Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				10	11
Pola Raud Ramen Menon ditto	Nair	45	Chow ghaut	146815	1 11	107	1 1 .	1 4 .	As accountant in the Coorumnaad Talook		3 11 21		
								As Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office			3 18		
								As Moonshee in the Collecte and Beluttnaad Talooks			1 10 6		
								As 2d Goomastah in the Collecte Talook			5 20		
								as Police Dr. in the Calicut and Palghaut Talooks			7 2 13		
								As 1st Goomastah in the Ernaad & Chowghaut Talooks			8 11 12		
								As Sheristadar in the Walluvanaad Talook			1 5 10		
											22 2 10		
												25 6 10	
Tenkurry Ramen Menon ditto	ditto	50	Kolengaud ghaut			107	2 3 .	2 3 .	As Ramen Keddy Menon in the Cowaye Talook		2 2 .		
								As Paishcar of the Coelenaad Talook			3 11 17		
								As Moonshee in the Coelenaad Talook			1 6 11		
								As 2d Goomastah of the Mannaad Talook			1 2 8		
								As Head Dr. of the Coelenaad and Wynaad Talooks			7 11 28		
								As Sheristadar of the Chowghaut Talook			4 3 17		
								As Goomastah of the Head Assistant Collector's office			5 21		
								As 3d ditto of the Huzzoor Office			1 . .		
											27 7 5		
												29 10 5	
Alaat Kurrapa Menon ditto	Nair	52	Walluvanaad	148273	6 4	107	9 7 .	9 11 18	As 3d Moonshee in the Magistrate's office		13 8 14		
								As Revenue Dr. in the Huzzoor Office			2 2 5		
								As Tenkeddy Head Goomastah			1 5 20		
								As Huzzoor 2d Goomastah			4 . 0		
								As Native accountant in the Sea Custom Department			3 9 6		
											23 4 12		
												33 4 .	

7688

Fort St George 20th June 1853

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	age.	Taluk.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talsildar the present Taluk	Total length of Service as Talsildar in this collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and length of Service in each employment.				Total length of Service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				10	11
Ayloor Vanoo ayen Native of Coimbatore	Brammin.	52	Palghaut	1,74,129	107	3 8 11	4 7 3	As Tahsildar Writer at Cavoor in the Zillah of Coimbatore	3	.	1		
								As Moonshee and Goomastah in the Huzzoor Office	14	10	29		
								As Writer in the Sub Collector's Office	.	1	29		
								As Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Magistrates Office	3	5	26		
								As Tobacco Peishkar at Ponany	3	.	4		
									24	6	29	29	22
Nayaritha Shangara Menon acting do of Malabar.	Nair	39	Serinel Ponnani	3,81,187	107	1 20	1 20	As 3d Revenue Moonshee in the Huzzoor Office	2	4	16		
								As Police Ameen of Calicut	2	3	6		
								As Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Magistrates Office	.	4	18		
									5	.	10		
Sobramanya Iyen Do of Malabar	Brammin	44	Ponnani	3,81,187	107	2 10 21	3 1 21	As Shanbogue in the Huzzoor Magistrates Office	4	5	.		
								As Record Keeper in the Huzzoor Sea Custom Department	5	4	15		
								As 2d Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Office	11	4	23		
								As Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Magistrates Office	.	10	1		
									22	.	9	25	2 .
Frederic Green Acting ditto.	Christian.	31	. .	Cochin	2,09,511	3	120	. . 24	. . 24	As Salt Peishkar of Calicut	5	4	6	5	5 .

N. B. The amount entered in Col. 5 is the Gummah of 1261 which each of the Talsildars had to realize within his Taluk Viz-

Land Revenue	16,45,747	2	10
Motturpha	1,11,502	11	7
Tax on Rivers and Stills	25,096	10	7
Tobacco	5,67,952	11	.
Gold dust and Hill produce	33,67	10	10
Company's Rupees	23,53,667	3	10

C. C. Pr / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

7689 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Order thereon.

62-63

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 86.

64

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre.
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

B. No. 1550 Sir,

From the Acting Collector of Madras } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Circular letter dated the 13th Instant, transmitting 28 Forms of account to accompany future Reports on the Settlement and to offer the following remarks.

Submitting observations on the forms that accompanied the Board's Circular letter of the 13th Instant and stating that the greater portion of them are inapplicable to his district.

2. Hindoovie accounts seem never to have been furnished from this Office, nor could they be so by the present Servants. But I presume the Board merely intend that the accounts should be furnished in English and in the native language hitherto customary. The Tamil system of Notation is clumsy and inconvenient and it might be desirable to substitute for it English numerals which do not greatly differ from the Hindoovie. For the rest it would seem rather desirable to discontinue the use of Hindoovie accounts throughout our Districts than to introduce them where hitherto unknown.

W.H.

7690 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

3. With respect to the use of native Revenue terms I would venture to suggest for the consideration of the Board, that there is so great a variety of them arising from the different languages comprised in our Presidency, as the mode of spelling in English characters is so arbitrary; and as in some instances the same term is used in different parts of the country in different senses, it would seem preferable in these forms to employ in all cases English terms, as exact as can be found explained if necessary. Indeed it has in former times been inculcated upon the local Officers to abstain as much as possible from the use in English correspondence of the native nomenclature. As instances of what I mean the following may be selected.

In Enclosure B Rayets are distinguished into "Resident" and "Paya Kari" for the latter term I would use Non-Resident. Puttahs again are distinguished into "single" and "Goonchee or joint" I would omit Goonchee a term which is unknown in Guntoor and I dare say in many other Districts.

In Statement 13 the term "Pancebood Dry" is

7691 Fort St George 20th June 1853

is unknown in most of our Districts. In No 14 the same item appears differently spelt. "Poyemallee" is unknown in many Districts. It is here given as meaning cultivation destroyed by inundation. But where used, it is sometimes applied to crops destroyed from any cause whatever.

"Stulla Cummee" No 2 in Statement 14 is explained as "Waste portions of field". In some Districts this term is applied to spots of land surruptitiously cultivated.

4. In form No 1 Columns 18 and 19 for "Moturpha Villages" it might be clearer to substitute "Villages yielding no land Revenue".

5. In form No 7 it does not clearly appear where the distinctions as to Wet, Dry and Garden are to be inserted.

6. On the whole the new forms will be far more handy and manageable, both as regards their preparation and use, than the unwieldy and intricate rolls of paper now employed in many Districts. Few of these forms are applicable to this Collectorate but they will be adhered to as far as practicable.

Madras, 16th June, 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

(64-65) D.G.

7692 Fort St George 20th June 1853.

No 88

(68)

To,
B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Bd No 1552.
From the Acting Collr of Madras

Reporting on the Enams in the District and suggesting that the Bengal rule to exempt all Enams below two Cawnies from enquiry should be carried out with considerable restrictions as it is likely to operate in favor of fraudulent alienations in many Districts

Dt 1st April 1853
6th June 4

Sir,

As requested by the Board's Proceedings noted in the Margin I have the honor to forward the accompanying Statement of the Enams in this Collectorate, from which it appears that out of a total of 882 Grants for exempted land, amounting to 693 Cawnies 6 Grounds there are 819 Grants for 183 Cs 12 Gs or about 26 per Cent of the land under two Cawnies.

2 It appears to me that the principle of exempting from enquiry Enams under a given Amount such as is proposed is decidedly objectionable. If the Government contemplate adhering to the Bengal practice of exempting from inquiry only such Enams under two Cawnies, as had been enjoyed free of all payment for 50 years without interruption, the operation of the proposed Rule would be much circumscribed. But if as seems to be implied in the wording of the Board's Extract it is proposed to exempt for ever from all enquiry or interference every Enam under two Cawnies now held free of rent or Revenue, a great number of fraudulent alienation would in many Districts be at once sanctioned. It should not be forgotton that Enams are to be deprecated as tending to operate prejudicially to the occupiers of assessed land. They must of course be kept up where the good faith of Government is pledged to them but in no other cases and to exempt them permanently from inquiry, merely to save trouble to the Revenue Officers, would seem to be a most questionable policy.

Madras, 16th June, 1853.

(Signed) H. Stokes
Ag Collector

7694.

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.—

Resolved that the following

(66-67)

letters be dispatched.—

(68)

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.—

Bd No 1258

To The Chief Secry to Government

Sir,

In conformity with the Orders of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the Months of May 1853 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 20th June, 1853.

I have &c.
Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

No 267.

(69)

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Bd No 1274.

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Statement and reports from the several Collectors of

Submitting with Board's observations Statement and reports from several Collectors of this Presidency on the subject of the Sewar Jumma Balances.—

From		to	
Chingleput	18 inst	25th	September 1851.
Salem	29th July inst	7th	August 1851
Madura	19 inst	28th	April 1851
South Arcot	30 Sept 1850 inst	18	October 1852
Trichinopoly	11 inst	18th	August 1851
Bellary	30 June inst	16th	July 1851
Cuddapah	20 October inst	4th	November 1852
Coimbatore	11 inst	23	October 1851
Canara	28th April inst	8th	May 1851
Kurnool	2 inst	11th	July 1851
Nellore	3d March 1851 inst	15th	June 1853
Tanjore	26 August inst	2d	Sept 1851.
Malabar	21st inst	31st	March 1851.
Madras	22 inst	31st	March 1851.

Statement of Demands on the Collectors of Canara in the Ceded Districts Litigated Sewar Lands specified.

Under 2 Enamies.

No	Divisions	Ryotwar Extent	Sewar Extent	Sewar Jumma	Sewar Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	
		C P Y	C P Y	Rs A P	Rs A P	
3	Tellicherry	2062	13 69 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
4	Bramanad Ram	2496	18 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
18	Indapoor	3026	13 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
1	Attapadee	577	15 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
2	Pulney	127	12 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
"	Coimbatore	319	1 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
"	Chelliut	269	11 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
"	Kulsipauram	127	12 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
2	Caroor	665	1 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5	Vayampaudy	268	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
5	Nadamdatay	183	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
2	Bhowany	180	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
1	Sungumbon Ram	1358	3 16 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
4	Chelipauram Kunnatoor	46	1 11 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
"	Nowanny	21	2 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
20	Pedrapauram	133	18 [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
2	Saint Thomé	3348	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
		15876	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
	Wallajanagar Pittah	6012	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
	Mattial Pittah	3836	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
	Madras	25824	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	

Madras 21st June 1853

[illegible]

of this Presidency on the subject of the Sevoye Jumma balances of their Districts.—

2 In reviewing the balances out-standing under this head in the Tinnevelly District for Fuslies 1212 @ 1258 in Extract Minutes Consultation dated 18th February 1851 para 2 Government directed the Board to submit one general report upon the irrecover-able balances of Sevoy Jumma in all the Collectorates under their charge in respect to which orders had not been passed by Government" In pursuance of these instructions the Collectors were called upon by a Circular dated 10th March 1851 to submit the necessary statements distinguishing the recoverable from the irrecoverable balances with their reasons for Consider-ing the latter incapable of realization.—

In Cons. 10 March 1851

3 The Statement herewith submitted has been prepared from the replies to this Circular and embraces it will be seen the reports of 14 Districts, those of North Arcot and Tinnevelly having come under the consideration of Government on the dates specified in the margin and the Com-missioner of the Northern Circars having been, in the latter order, requested to report separately upon the balances of

Extract Mins Cons. 22 Novr 1849. & 18th February 1851.

of the Districts within his jurisdiction.—

4 The Collectors of Tanjore, Malabar and Madras have reported that there are no balances of Sevoye Jumma in their Districts. In Canara there was a balance of Rs. 16-0-3 which was believed to be recoverable. In Bellary and Kurnool there were due under this head Rupees 267-5-10 and Rupees 70-7-9 of which Rupees 46-1-0 and Rupees 67-1-3 only were thought incapable of realization owing to the poverty of the Ryots in some cases and their death or absence in others. As the amounts are small the Board re-commend that the sanction of Govern-ment may be accorded for writing them off to the account of Profit and Loss.—

5 In Nellore the balance consider-ed irrecoverable amounts to Rupees 393-2-3 as follows.—

Due by ryots dead	16	10	2
Do. absconded	8	4	10
Do. poor	368	3	3

and is thus outstanding almost entirely upon Ryots whose condition holds out very little prospect of the eventual recovery of any portion.—

The

6 The largest balances occur in the undermentioned Districts.—

Chingleput. 7 In this District the arrears of Sevoye Jumma, the recovery of which is considered impracticable, amount to Rupees 828—5—7 and have accumulated from Fusly 1248 to 1249 Of this sum Rupees 31-6-11 are due on account of the teerwa of certain Enam lands assigned to the Office of Vadavadier or teacher of Vedas in the Village of Chingleput which was erroneously included in the Government demand after the death of the last incubent whilst the Villagers employed another person to perform the duties and paid to him the proceeds of the Enam, Rupees 323—5—1, from the balances of teerwa against certain lands which were finally resumed by Government and are owing by parties whose circumstances are reduced and from whom the Collector states it would be inexpedient to press the recovery. Another large sum of Rupees 419—10—6 is the share of Ma=rahmut charges payable by the holders of the Shrotriem village of Thanum=bank, more than half of which has reverted to Government in consequence of the

the inability of the proprietors to pay the stipulated Peishcush. As the Peish=cush is considered high and the Shrotriem=dars are stated to be in reduced circumstances, the Board concur in the Collector's recom=mendation for the remission of the above ca arrears - a further sum of Rupees 31—15—1 is also due on account of similar Marahmut charges erroneously placed against the zemindar of Palaveram; and another sum of Rupees 22 payable by the Shrotrimdar of Teroovancarney from Meerahs levied from the Right but the realization of which is attended with difficulty and appears to the Board very objectionable.

Salem. 8 The Sevoye Jumma balance in this District has accumulated during 40 years Vizt. from Fusly 1219 to 1258 inclusive, and the portion thereof considered irrecoverable is Rupees 31,998—13—5 consisting of the fol=lowing items.—

Land Revenue Sevoye Jumma	22969	7	6
Moturpha	2687	7	1
Cavully	1205	3	8
Purumboke of Jaury Mootahs	373	9	9
Due by unknown parts in Poliapets	4763	1	5
	31,998	13	5

9 The first and Second of the above items under the heads of Land Revenue and

and Moturpha Sevoye Jumma are due by parties who are unknown or no longer in existence, who have deserted their holdings or are so indigent as to be incapable of payment. The third item consists of Cavelly taxes appertaining to years anterior to Fusly 1234 in which they were discontinued and therefore cannot now be realized even if the endeavour to do so were unobjectionable. The fourth item is the teerwa of Porumboke lands erroneously retained in the public accounts after Government relinquished their right thereto in 1824 in favour of the Mootadars whose duty it then became to realize them; and the last item is due by unknown Ryots of the Poliapets in the Assoor Talook held under attachment from Fusly 1228 to 1231 and was allowed to stand in the accounts by oversight after the restoration of the Polipets to the owners.

10 In para 7 of his letter Mr Phillips solicits authority for writing off a sum of Rupees 396 12 7 accrued prior to Fusly 1251 the realization of which he states would press with considerable hardship on the present Ryots who are mostly heirs or representatives of

of the parties by whom the [illegible] arrear was originally owing. This portion seems in the opinion of the Board deserving of favorable consideration.

Madura 11. The irrecoverable balance of the District is Rupees 962 12 5 and consists chiefly of fines imposed under Regulation IX of 1822 but which were subsequently mitigated or cancelled by the Board, or for which imprisonment has been undergone in default of payment excepting only one instance in which the party fined absconded without leaving any property.

South Arcot 12 The particulars of the arrears under the head of irrecoverable Sevoy Jumma outstanding in this District are as follows.

1	Due by deceased Ryots	184	7	8
2	Do deserted	178	12	1
3	Do poor	1,527	11	8
4	Demand appearing on account of erroneous double entries in boundary disputes and other over-Charges	1,639	7	1
5	Due on account of resumed Cavil Poroopoo	481	5	2
6	Do Do Cavelly Enam	752	8	8
7	Due on account of Cavelly Enams and Cavilly income of the Pitchaver am elder family	2,540	3	4
	Total Rupees	7,304	7	5

The

13 The first four of the above items being of an ordinary kind and self explanatory do not call for any particular remark. Items Nos. 5 and 6 are due on account of the Cavelly incomes of the Woodiarpolliam Polygar which were ordered to be resumed in Fusly 1252 retrospectively from the year 1249 and the above arrears accrued during the intermediate period. Mr. Maltby states that this balance which is now of old standing cannot be collected without causing difficulty and distress to the Ryots who have had to sustain low prices and under these circumstances the Board would recommend their remission. The 7th Item consists of arrears that were due to the Maniemdars of the above Polliem and the Pitchaveram elder family when it was resumed but subsequently ordered to be collected by themselves thus the retention of this balance in the public accounts was simply caused by an oversight and leaves but Rupees 4764-4-4 as real arrears deemed irrecoverable.

Ex. M.C. 31st Jany in Cons 14th Feby 1842

Trichinopoly 14 The balance standing as

D.G.

as irrecoverable under the head of Sevoy Jumma in the District amounts to Rupees 7923-6-6. Of this sum Rupees 872-1-8 comes under the head of "Dowle Jasty," is stated to be of old standing and from the particulars given in the Collector's letter might in the Board's opinion be properly remitted. A sum of Rupees 40-8 comes under the head of "Fines imposed under Regulation IX of 1822 but with the exception of the 8 annas due by an absconded Curnum, the whole was subsequently remitted. Two other large sums of Rupees 1145-9 and Rupees 5855-14-10 appear also under the head of fines, the former imposed on owners of Cattle for allowing them to graze on Puddoogay land, and the latter upon proprietors who had neglected to furnish their quota of men for raising the Corumboo at the head of the irrigating channel to turn the stream of the river during low freshes. Both these items are stated to be incapable of recovery from various causes. The remaining item of Rupees 9-5 is a small balance accruing on certain Enams under attachment which have been subsequently incorporated with the Government lands

The arrears of Sevoy Jumma considered

Cuddapah. 15.

considered incapable of realization in this District amount to Rupees 17973-12-2 to the end of Fusly 1260, and may be classified thus.

1.	Arrears of Junky Jasty	2,994-13-8
2	" Enams resumed	630-2-5
3	" Disputed Enams	11-13-8
4	" Unclaimed Do.	36-3-6
5	" Village Officers Do.	1843-6-10
6	" Dasabundum Do.	329-10-3
7	" Enamatty Juffreek	12439-6-8
8	" Moturpha	22-1-6
9	" Fines	6-1-8
	Total	17973-12-2

16. Of the first item Rupees 765-9-11 appear in the demand on account of lands, the cultivation of which was undertaken by the Ryots of Connalapoor in Fusly 1234, but which was subsequently left waste. Rupees 489-1-10 are due on crops, which on a charge of concealment being preferred, were entered as authorated; but never came to maturity. Rupees 840-2 are due by Ryots from whom it is now impossible to recover anything on account of their death, departure from the District, or other causes.

17. The next item of Rs 1130-2-5 forms the arrears due on resumed Enams of various descriptions by Ryots in indigent

circumstances or on lands under temporary attachment and since restored the rights of Government having been satisfied.

18. In the 5th Item, a sum of Rupees 535-14 is included on account of the Enams of certain deceased Reddies and Curnums which although temporarily cultivated on account of Government, were after enquiry made over to the heirs of the deceased. Another sum of Rupees 616-2-2 is the assessment on the Enams of Village Officers temporarily suspended, which was brought to account as a Government demand, but never realized in consequence of the parties being subsequently declared not liable to punishment. Another sum of Rupees 695-11-5 forms the Meerahs due to the above Village Officers for the period of their suspension, and though entered in account, was never collected, and the remainder Rupees 175-11-3 is due by deceased Reddies and Curnums or on such lands as were injured by floods &c.

19. The large item which appears under the head of Enamatty Juffreek is chiefly on account of sums demanded under an order of Colonel Munro dated 24th August 1801, by which a certain impost was levied from

from all Inamdars according to the proportion which their Inam might bear to the expenses of the tank repairs, after deducting the Jody thereon. Complaints having been preferred to Colonel Munro regarding the hardship of paying this tax in addition to the Rayenjody to which they were subject, orders were given for its remission, but the Budwail talooks not having been specified in any of these orders, the tax continued to be levied in it though on several occasions it was deemed necessary to suspend the levy, in consequence of the complaints preferred by the Ryots. A large balance has thus occurred which the Collector reports cannot now be recovered. Under these circumstances the Board would recommend its being written off, and that it should be altogether relinquished in the Budwail Talooks, and in any other parts of the District where it may be enforced.

20 The other items of the balance reported, being small, do not call for any particular remark.

Coimbatore 21. The Sevey Jumma arrears deemed irrecoverable in the Coimbatore District Amount to Rupees 7,328—10—7

of this sum Rupees 3535—5—7 are due by deceased Ryots or those absconded, or become insolvent, and cannot therefore now be collected, the remaining sum of Rs 3793—5. consists of various small items due by several Ryots. In many instances the parties by whom the amount was incurred are not in existence and their heirs are not in circumstances to meet the demand, indeed the demand itself is doubtful and the Collector further reports that the adoption of stringent measures for the recovery of these balances which are of 35 years standing will press hard upon the Ryots. It seems therefore expedient that the entire balance should

7707 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

should be struck off from the accounts.

22. The arrears of Sevoy Jumma deemed irrecoverable thus amount to Rs. 75,223-5-2 in the 14 Collectorates embraced in this report & the sanction of Government is solicited for writing off this sum to the head of "Profit and Loss." Some portion of the outstanding sums has been collected in certain Districts since the dates of the reports now submitted to Government, but all such sums will be duly credited in the public accounts of the Fusly to which they may appertain.

In Chingleput 145 Rs.
Hurnool 3

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th June, 1853.
DG

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

7708 Fort St. George 20th June 1853

Resolved that the following letters be despatched

70

To The Civil Auditor

Sir

B. No. 1284
To the Civil Auditor

With reference to the notification from your Office dated 18th April 1853 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 22nd Idem, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Statements prepared according to the prescribed form. One shewing the amount of Pensions paid to the relatives of deceased subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund, and the other shewing the Superannuation pensions paid thro' this Office in the Official year 1852/53.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th June, 1853

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Statement

7709

Fort St. George
No. 1.

Statement shewing the Amount paid by the Secretary of the Board of Revenue on Amount of the

Number of Certificate	Date of Certificate	Date of authority of Government	Names of Widow Children or other Relatives	Religion Caste or Tribe	Age at the date of Certificate Years	Months	Present Age Years	Months	Name and employ of deceased subscribers	Commencement of Pensions	Amount of Arrears drawn in the Course of the year 1852/53	Amount drawn May 1852 Rs. a. P.	June 1852 Rs. a. P.	July 1852 Rs. a. P.	August 1852 Rs. a. P.
	1836 "	1812 "													
2550	Octr. 10th	June 30th	A. Jones	Christian	54	8	71	2	John Jones Writer	1st October 1836	" " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "
2548	Do. "	" "	Rookoomany Ummall	Vellaler	44	8	61	2	R. Ramasawmy Moodr. Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "
2546	Do. "	1824 Novr. 5th	Cavary Ummall	Gentoo	47	1	53	7	Appoo Naidoo Gentoo Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "
2551	Do. "	1832 Augt. 7th	Elizabeth Lambert	Christian	45	6	62	"	W. Lambert Writer	1st October 1836	" " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "
			Transferred from the Collectorate of Tinnevelly												
2553	Do. "	1824 Octr. 22d	Lutchmee Ummall	Gentoo	46	4	62	10	Coopoo Naidoo Gentoo Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "
			Transferred from the Collectorate of Trichinopoly												
2557	Do. "	1834 April 29th	Mungatoye	Vellaler	47	7	64	1	Kareekistna Moodely Gentoo Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "
			Transferred from the Collectorate of South Arcot												
2559	Do. "	1831 July 15th	Soobbamah	Bramin	34	7	51	1	Coopiah Braminy Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "
			Transferred from the Collectorate of Bellary												
2561	Do. "	1827 Decr. 18th	Vadamah	Vellaler	44	9	61	3	Narrain Moodely Do.	1st October 1836	" " "	5 " "	5 " "	" " "	" " "
			Total Company's Rupees								" " "	59 12 "	59 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "

I do hereby Certify that each of the above named Pensioners is

20th June 1853-

Relatives of deceased subscribers to the late native Pension Fund in the Official year 1852-1853-
in each month-

September 1852-	October 1852-	November 1852-	December 1852-	January 1853-	February 1853-	March 1853	April 1853-	Total for the Current Official year 1852/53-	Grade of Pensioners-	Term of Pensions-	Remarks-
Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP	Rs aP			
20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	240 " "		During the life of the widow	
10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	120 " "		Do	
5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	63 " "		Do	
7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	84 " "		Do	
3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	42 " "		Do	
5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	60 " "		Do	
4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	48 " "		Do	Transferred to Chungleput by Order of Government 16th July 1852-
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "			
54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	54 12 "	667 " "			

alive (with the exception of No 2561 respecting whom Vide Column Remarks) and upon careful muster and due enquiry I believe identified-

(Signed) B. Cunliffe-
Sub Secretary-
Statement-

7710

Fort St. George

No. 2

Statement Shewing the amount of Superannuation Pension paid by the Secretary of the Board

Number of Certificate	Date of Certificate	Date of authority of Government	Superannuated Pensions — Names	Religion Caste or Tribe	Age at the date of Certificate — Years	Months	Present Age — Years	Months	Employment when Pensioned	Commencement of Pensions	Amount of arrears drawn in the Course of the year 1852/53	Amount drawn — May 1852 — CRs. a P.	June 1852 — CRs. a P.	July 1852 — CRs. a P.	Aug. 1852 — CRs. a P.
	1842	1818													
927	April 11th	June 2d	S. Govinda	Soodra	74	11	86	"	Peon	2d June 1818	" " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7
929	Do	"	Chellapen	Moodelly	79	11	91	"	Moochee	2d June 1818	" " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7
926	1820 March 20th	1820 March 20th	Bungarial	"	"	"	"	"	Peon	20th March 1820	" " "	3 10 8	" " "	" " "	"
426	1836 March 1st	Feby 22d	A. Johnston	Christian	53	5	70	7	Writer	1st March 1836	" " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25
428	Do	Feby 22d	P. Rungum	Hanahen	62	1	79	3	Do	1st March 1836	" " "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16
1128	1845 May 12th	1845 April 19th	P. Iyen Moodely	Vellaler	53	3½	61	3	Do	1st May 1845	" " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25
1129	1845 May 12th	April 19th	C. Durmaroyn Mfg	Do	53	3½	61	3	Do	1st May 1845	" " "	9 3 10	9 3 10	9 3 10	9
1130	May 12th	April 19th	T. Pareatomba Mfg	Do	51	3½	59	3	Do	1st May 1845	" " "	8 2 3	8 2 3	8 2 3	8
1150	Augt 18th	June 6th	M. Veerasawmy Mfg	Tooloovah Vellaler	53	6½	"	"	Assistant Manager	15th June 1845	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17
1163	Octr 29th	Octr 29th	T. Veerasawmy Pillay	Gopala	56	2½	63	9	Deputy Do	15th August 1845	" " "	63 " "	63 " "	63 " "	63
1311	1847 Octr 1st	1847 Augt 31st	P. Kistniah Bfg	Bramin	50	2	55	9	Writer	1st September 1847	" " "	7 5 4	7 5 4	7 5 4	7
1339	1848 May 6th	1848 April 11th	Mr Francis Rencontre	Christian	54	1½	59	1½	Uncovenanted Assistt and Manager	1st May 1848	" " "	307 8 "	307 8 "	307 8 "	307
1472	1850 July 9th	1850 June 20th	P. Vencatakistniah Bfg	Vyshtrava Tamil Bramin	58	1	60	11	Writer	1st July 1850	" " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20
1522	1851 March 4th	1851 March 4th	Mr Jacob Gibson	Christian East Indian	51	10	"	"	Examiner	4th March 1851	" " "	23 " "	23 " "	[illegible] " "	[illegible]
1586	1852 April 20th	1852 April 20	Mr M. Caldera	Do	36	2	37	2	Clerk	20th April 1852	" " "	3 10 8	10 " "	10 " "	[illegible]
1597	1852 June 21st	1852 June 21st	C. Shunmoogaraya Mfg	Tooloova Valala	60	4	61	2	First Class Clerk	21st June 1852	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 " "	[illegible]
			Transferred from the Collectorate of North Arcot												
627	1837 Septr 14th	1837 Augt 22d	Rajah Rao	Bramin Madva Religion	48	10	64	5	Tahsildar & Head of Police	28th April 1837	" " "	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	[illegible]
										Total Company's Rupees	" " "	576 6 "	579 " 8	587 " 8	[illegible]

I do hereby Certify that each of the above named Pen[illegible]

Vide Column of Remarks) and upon careful [illegible]

20th June 1853.

Revenue for the Official year 1852-1853.

on each Month-

September 1852.	October 1852.	November 1852.	December 1852.	January 1853.	February 1853.
6Rs a P.	6Rs a P.	6Rs a P.	6Rs a P.	6Rs a P.	6Rs a P.
〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃
〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃	〃 〃
25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃
10 12 〃	10 12 〃	10 12 〃	10 12 〃	10 12 〃	10 12 〃
25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃	25 〃 〃
9 3 10	9 3 10	9 3 10	9 3 10	9 3 10	9 3 10
8 2 3	8 2 3	8 2 3	8 2 3	8 2 3	8 2 3
10 14 8	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃
6 3 〃	6 3 〃	6 3 〃	6 3 〃	6 3 〃	6 3 〃
5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4	5 4
30 8 〃	30 8 〃	30 8 〃	30 8 〃	30 8 〃	30 8 〃
20 〃 〃	20 〃 〃	20 〃 〃	20 〃 〃	20 〃 〃	20 〃 〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃
〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃	〃 〃 〃
10 〃 〃	10 〃 〃	10 〃 〃	10 〃 〃	10 〃 〃	10 〃 〃
24 〃 〃	24 〃 〃	24 〃 〃	24 〃 〃	24 〃 〃	24 〃 〃
32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3
3 9 7 4	5 6 2 8 8	5 6 2 8 8	5 6 2 8 8	5 6 2 8 8	5 6 2 8 8

above (with the exception of Nos 926, 426, 1150 and 1522 respecting whom an
Enquiry has been identified -

7711 Fort St George. 20th June 1853

Amount drawn in each Month (Cont.) March 1853			April 1853			Total for the Current Official year 1852/53			Grand Total including arrears			Terms of Pension	Remarks
CRs	a	P	CRs	a	P	CRs	a	P	CRs	a	P		
7	"	"	7	"	"	84	"	"	84	"	"	During the life	
7	"	"	7	"	"	84	"	"	84	"	"	Do	
"	"	"	"	"	"	3	10	8	3	10	8	Do	Died 22nd April 1852
23	6	2	"	"	"	273	6	2	273	6	2	Do	Do 29th March 1853
16	12	"	16	12	"	201	"	"	201	"	"	Do	
25	"	"	25	"	"	300	"	"	300	"	"	Do	
9	3	10	9	3	10	110	14	"	110	14	"	Do	
8	2	3	8	2	3	97	11	"	97	11	"	Do	
"	"	"	"	"	"	86	14	8	86	14	8	Do	Died 29th Sept 1852
63	"	"	63	"	"	756	"	"	756	"	"	Do	
7	5	4	7	5	4	88	"	"	88	"	"	Do	
307	8	"	307	8	"	3690	"	"	3690	"	"	Do	
20	"	"	20	"	"	240	"	"	240	"	"	Do	
"	"	"	"	"	"	46	"	"	46	"	"	Do	Transferred to South Arcot Board of Government 1st July 1852
10	"	"	10	"	"	113	10	8	113	10	8	Do	
24	"	"	24	"	"	224	"	"	224	"	"	Do	
32	9	3	32	9	3	390	15	"	390	15	"	Do	
560	14	10	537	8	8	6790	2	2	6790	2	2		

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

7712 Fort St George. 20th June 1853.

71

To The Civil Auditor.

Bd No 1285.
To the Civil Auditor

Sir, With reference to the notification from your Office dated 18th April 1853 published in the Fort St George Gazette of the 22nd Idem, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Statement prepared according to the prescribed form shewing the amount of Pensions paid thro' this Office during the Official year 1852/53 on Certificate to the families of deceased public servants who are unconnected with the late Native Pension Fund.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
20th June, 1853.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Statement

7713

Fort St. Georg

No. 1.

Statement shewing the Amount paid by the Secretary of the Board of Revenue on account of the Relatives of

Number of Certificate	Date of Certificate	Date of authority of Government	Pensioners: Names of wives, Children or other Relatives	Religion Caste or Tribe	Age at the date of Certificate: Years	Months	Present age: Years	Months	Name and employ of the deceased servants	Commencement of Pensions	Amount of arrears drawn in the course of the year 1852/53	Amount drawn in each Month: May 1852	June 1852	July 1852	August 1852
												Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
1851	1834														
195	Feby 21th	Augt 19th	Matilda Goodman daughter	Examiner	14	4	25	9	W. Goodman Examiner	19th Augt 1834	" " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "
1836	1833		Elizabeth Lloyd Widow	Do	37	2	53	6	John Lloyd	Do 24th Decr 1833	" " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "
32	Octr 10th	Decr 24th													
											Total Company's Rupees	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "

I do hereby certify that each of the above named Pensioners is alive and upon [illegible]

I also certify that the circumstances under which the Pension to the daughter of [illegible] were Originally granted still exist.

20th June 1853.

Servants unconnected with the late Native Pension Fund.

	October 1852.	November 1852.	December 1852.	January 1853.	February 1853.	March 1853.	April 1853.
	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.
	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "
	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "
	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "	13 " "

...ter and due enquiry has been identified.

...man and to the Widow of J. Lloyd certificates No. 19 5 and 32

1714 Fort St. George. 20th June 1853

In the Official year 1852-1853.

Total of the Current Official year 1852/53	Grand Total including arrears.	Terms of Pension	Remarks
Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.		
36 " "	36 " "	To cease on her marriage.	
120 " "	120 " "	To cease in the event of her marriage or death.	
156 " "	156 " "		

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

DJ

Resolved

Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

1715

Resolved that the following Memorandum be despatched.

72

Memorandum.

Rd. No. 1264

To the Superintendent Fort St. George Gazette Press

The Superintendent of the Fort St. George Gazette Press is requested to strike off on the paper sent herewith the undermentioned forms required for the use of Collectors in the Provinces.

No. 1 Telugu	Salt Rawanahs	50000	Country paper
No. 2 Tamil	Ditto	20000	
No. 3 English	Ditto	6000	

It is particularly requested that about 30,000 Telugu Rawanahs may be struck off at once as they are urgently required by a Collector whose Annual supply has not reached him in consequence of the recent accident to the Hugh Lindsay

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th June, 1853.

73

Read the undermentioned Lists.

Rd. No. 1453.

From the Agent at Kurnool to the Board of Revenue and Remaining unanswered up to 31 March 1853.

List of letters addressed

From

7716 Fort St George 20th June 1853

B. No. 1372

From the Collector of Canara. List of letters addressed
74 to the Board of Revenue and pending
73-75 unanswered up to 31st March 1853.

Order on the 2 abovd Lists Ordered to be recorded

B. No. 1566.

From the Collector of Malabar. Read petition of
76 Endorsements passed by him on Petitions
76-77 referred by the Board for the Month of May last.

Order thereon

Ordered to be ledged
in the Office.

78 Read the undermentioned Statements.

B. No. 1563.

From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent
79 charges incurred for the Month of March last.

B. No. 1564.

From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent charges
80 incurred for the Month of April last.

B. No. 1565

From the Civil Auditor. Statement of Contingent
78-81 charges incurred for the Month of May last.

Order on the 3 abovd Statements. Resolved that the
necessary Orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and

7717 Fort St George 20th June 1853.

M. No. 682.

From the Collector of Salem. Return of Fines imposed &
82 and stoppages made from the Pay of the
Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st
83 March 1853.

M. No. 683.

From the Collector of Salem. Return of alterations that
have occurred in the List of Fines and
84 stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st March
last.

M. No. 684

From the Collector of Salem. Return of Public Servants
suspended and dismissed from Employ-
85 ment for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

M. No. 691

From the Collector of Salem. Stating that certain
returns are not submitted for the 1st Quarter
86 of the present year.

M. No. 692

From the Collector of South Arcot. Salt and Gunney
account current for the Quarter ending
31st March last.

87

M. No. 679

From the Collector of Nellore Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month
of May last.

From

7718. Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

88 Mr. No. 687

From the Ag. Collr. of North Arcot — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

89 Mr. No. 674

From the Collector of South Arcot — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

90 Mr. No. 690

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of May last.

91 Mr. No. 680

From the Collector of Nellore. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

92 Mr. No. 689

From the Ag. Collector of North Arcot — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of May last.

93 Mr. No. 675

From the Collector of South Arcot — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

From

7719. Fort St. George 20th June 1853.

94 Mr. No. 688

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of May last.

95 Mr. No. 685

From the Ag. Collector of North Arcot — Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

96 Mr. No. 686

From the Collector of Canara — Informing that the Returns of persons charged and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for May last are blanks.

97 Mr. No. 676

From the Collector of South Arcot — Reporting that the Monthly returns for last Month are not forwarded in consequence of no cases of the kind having occurred.

From

1720

Port St. George 30th June 1853.

No. 98.

From the Collector of Customs. Return of Vessels

(98)

suspended and dismissed under Regulations VII of 1831 for the Month of August

Approved

J. Goldingham

Collector