KADRIS REC

VS1.9261

1316 RH

17·3·17 RH

Vol 2361

692

# Contents


| Board No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Con.to 4th Feby. 1853 | |
| 185 | From Govt. | Copy of communication from Bombay, on the appointment of Depy. Collrs & Magistrates | 1816 |
| 224 | From Govt. | On the subject of the Meerasy referred to in Prtns. 1979 & 2219 of 1852 | 1852 |
| 306 | Order Cuddapah | Commg. the above | 1853 |
| 361 | From Govt. | Refund of Stamp duty to the Appellants in A.S. No. 59 of 1850 | 1854 |
| 317 | Order Chingt. | Commg. the above | 1855 |
| 332 | From Govt. | Resumption of an Enam & the permission of Sodigee | " |
| 326 | Order Salem | Commg. the above | 1856 |
| 331 | From Govt. | Continuance of the Duttamanicm Enam to Vruscatpow, &c. | " |
| 321 | Order S. Arcot | Commg. the above | 1857 |
| 289 | From Govt. | Allowing Collrs discretionary power for paying the Salary of Public Servants, absent on duty &c. | 1858 |
| | Order Mad. Circular | Commg. the above | " |
| 333 | From Govt. | Payment of arrears of Jeemiah to Hal Mahomed Kader Khan | 1859 |
| 322 | Order Tinnevelly | Commg. the above | 1860 |
| 348 | From Govt. | Charges for stitching the Commrs records | " |
| 319 | Order Malabar | Commg. the above | " |
| 308 | To Madras | Date of Moideen Ally's death, altered | 1861 |
| 408 | From Govt. | On the Survey of the South Arcot District &c. | 1862 |
| 328 | Order S. Arcot | Commg. the above | 1863 |
| 327 | To Govt. | For placing Lieut. Priestly on the Survey of S. Arcot | 1864 |
| 163 | From Cuddapah | Statement of arrears due to a Poligar Pun. | 1865 |
| 311 | Remarks Govt. | Recommg. the above for sanction | 1866 |
| 2816 | From Salem | Information reg. lands applied for by Mr. Fischer | " |
| 318 | Pro. do. | On the above | 1875 |
| 206 | From Salem | Prt. No. 2475 of 1852 reg. Enam lands | " |
| 324 | To do. | Issuing orders on the above | 1880 |
| 238 | From Salem | Prt. from Coopummal reg. Bhutwurty Enam | 1882 |
| 310 | To Govt. | On the above | 1885 |

| Board No: | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 276 | From Salem | Sale of certain lands &c. | 1886 |
| 307 | Pro. do: | Authg the above | 1897 |
| 355 | From Salem | Papers rel. Suit No: 40 of 1852 instituted by Kylasa Cowden, Proprietor of the Varadampully Mootah | 1898 |
| 309 | Pro. Govt | On the above | 1912 |
| 283 | From Trichy | Acknowledging the receipt of seed wheat | 1915 |
| 312 | To Govt | Grant of lands in lieu of uncultivable Enami lands belonging to Village Servants, Coimbre | 1916 |
| 66 | From Coimbre | Tobacco Godown Establisht & the Cundachar Sibbendy | 1918 |
| 331 | Pro. do: | On the above | 1928 |
| 245 | From Coimbre | For disposing of lands applied for by certain parties at Cotacamund, with Plans | 1931 |
| 323 | Pro. Govt | Authg the above | 1935 |
| 80 | From Accts Genl | State: of the Gross Collns & Charges of the Salt Monopoly &c. | 1938 |
| 266 | From do: | Price paid for Salt included in the State: of Gross Collns | 1941 |
| 320 | From Malabar | Calling for State: of Cowle lands | 1 |
| 316 | To Comrs &c. | Revised form of D.C. & B. State: | 1942 |

Con. 14th Feby

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2598 | From Govt | Honble Court's despatch on the Revenue Finances of India | 1951 |
| 347 | To do: | Reporting on the above | 1959 |
| 379 | From do: | To report whether there were any Cutcherry deposits in the Hoozoor Treasury &c. - Cuddapah | 2013 |
| 345 | Order Cuddapah | Comng the above | 2014 |
| 403 | From Ganjam | Offering certain explanation on the commutation prices of grain, State: of Sugar Cane Cultivation | 1 |
| 411 | From Rajahy | On the Standard Measures in use in the district | 2021 |
| 342 | To do: | Pointing out a discrepancy in the above - Price lists | 2026 |
| 2798 | From Bellary | Petn from Pumpaty Rauz late Talaiy of the Anagoondy Estate &c. | 2027 |
| 344 | Pro. do: | On the above | 2042 |
| 300 | From Kurnool | For reducing the assessment on certain lands at Yeldoorty in the Dhone Talook | 2045 |
| 340 | Pro. do: | On the above | 2052 |

| Board No: | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 419 | From Chingt | Information respecting the Jungles &c. | 2052 |
| 13 | From N. Arcot | Mem. of the Savey Jummah Rec. | 2056 |
| 339 | Pro. do: | Returning the above for revision | 1 |
| 308 | From Salem | Purchase of certain land | 2057 |
| 337 | Pro. do: | Approving the above | 2058 |
| 402 | From N. Arcot | Statement of Suits &c. | 1 |
| 313 | From Tanjore | To nominate Kistna Row as Naib Shee | 2061 |
| 335 | Pro. do: | Sanctg the above | 2063 |
| 364 | From Trichy | For taping the Bamboo bushes | 1 |
| 8 | From Madura | Manufacture of Chintzes &c. | 2064 |
| 172 | From do: | Extra tent allowce drawn by Mr Stadgson | 2066 |
| 332 | Pro. do: | On the above | 2066 |
| 315 | From Malabar | Sale of certain lands | 2067 |
| 341 | Pro. do: | Authg the above - & advertisements | 2070 |
| 334 | Pro. Madras | State: of Contingent Charges for correction | 1 |
| 342 | From Stm Comps Solicitor | Forms of Bonds | 2071 |
| 336 | Pro: do: | Forwarding the above | 2072 |
| 329 | To Sea Customs | Sale of Salt in Ceylon | 1 |

Con 17th

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 457 | From Cuddapah | Certificate for Stamps | 2079 |
| 338 | Pro. Govt | Subg the above | 2080 |
| 456 | From N. Arcot | On delivering & receiving charge of the district | 2081 |
| 488 | From Mr M. Sinell | Leave of absence | 2085 |
| 228 | From Govt | Boundary line of the Kistna river | 2086 |
| 329 | From Govt | To report on the Arcan Gueny Estates in Canara | 2087 |
| 2876 | From Canara | Furnishing the above | 2088 |
| 369 | Pro. Govt | On the above | 2098 |
| 349 | From Govt | Allowce drawn by certain Mahomedan families, N. Arcot | 2100 |
| 363 | Order N. Arcot | Comng the above | 2103 |
| 350 | From Govt | Transfer &c. of certain payments | 1 |
| 379 | Order N. Arcot & Madras | Comng the above | 2106 |
| 3043 | From Rajahy | Explanation reg. the discrepancies in the State: of Stipend Charges | 1 |
| 168 | From do: | Mems of D.C. & B. on account of Land & Extra Bs. levy Summ &c. | 2108 |

| Board No. | From and To whom | Subject | Page |
|---|---|---|---|
| 232 | From Masulipm | Survy Jumma accounts &c | 2111 |
| 447 | From do | Teloogoo and English records | 2113 |
| 448 | From do | Salt State | 2116 |
| 2389 | From Guntoor | Information reg. Measures in use in the district | 2117 |
| 2884 | From Nellore | State of the above | 2118 |
| 324 | From do | Endorsement on Pet. 699 of 1857 | 2120 |
| 366 | Pro. do | On the above | " |
| 309 | From Nellore | Claims on the property belonging to the suspended Head Shet of Masulipm | " |
| 367 | Pro. do | On the above | 2133 |
| 358 | From do | Pet. No. 137 of 1853 from the late Tahsr of Enamanamellore | " |
| 354 | Pro. do | To return the above | " |
| 3097 | From Bellary | On the Measures in use in the District | 2134 |
| 351 | From do | For preparing the Summabundy in different Talooks &c | 2140 |
| 349 | Pro. do | Authy for the above | " |
| 376 | Boards Pro. | On the Land Rev. settlt of Cuddapah, F. 1261 | 2141 |
| 301 | From Kurnool | Arrangements reg. the disposal of the Treasury during the Summabundy | 2154 |
| 350 | Pro. do | Approving the above | 2155 |
| 359 | To N. Arcot | Calling for explanation reg. the name of certain Service Yeomiahdars | 2156 |
| 400 | From Salem | Charges for the management of the Bagalore Collipt | 2157 |
| 351 | Pro. do | Sanctn the above | 2158 |
| 312 | From Tanjore | For defraying the funeral expenses of the natural Mother of Gundracottah Hemr | " |
| 368 | Pro. do | Sanctn the above | 2159 |
| 355 | Pro. Trichy | Discrepancy in the D.C. & B. State | " |
| 399 | From Madura | Establisht required for the Caliarcovil Jungle | 2160 |
| 353 | Pro. do | Sanctn the above | 2162 |
| | From Trichy | Explanation reg. certain omissions in the Quarterly list of letters from Board | " |
| 454 | From Malabar | On the Extra Sources of Rev. F. 1261 | 2164 |
| 335 | From Madras | Removal of Calutty Pillay, Translator &c | 2185 |
| 365 | Pro. do | Sanctn the above | 2186 |
| 366 | From Madras | Sale of Salt by the Mercal &c | 2186 |
| 364 | Pro. do | On the above | 2187 |
| 464 | From do | Salt Statment | 2188 |
| 396 | To Govt | State of the Collns of ordinary Rev. | 2190 |
| 350 | To Madras | To forward certain returns | 2203 |

1853

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

# No. Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 10th February 1853

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars-

Minutes of the last Meeting

read and approved.-

1

Dd No 185

From the Secretary to Government

Forwarding copy of the communication received from the Bombay Government with its enclosures on the subject of the appointment of Deputy Collectors and Magistrates in that Presidency.-

Read the following Extract-

No. 66.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 17th January, 1853

Read the following letter-

No. 7954 of 1852.

Territorial Department. Revenue-

To

J. Sycroft Esqre

Secretary to Government of

Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I have been directed to furnish you with the following information in reply to your

your reference of 5th Instant No. 1077.

2. Two Deputy Collectors and Magistrates have been appointed to each Collectorate with the exception of Rutnagherry.

One for Head Quarters.

" " the District.

3. The Officers selected for the performance of duties at the Head Quarter Stations, have a knowledge of English and of the language of the Province. The appointment of Head Quarter Deputies has enabled Government to dispense with the Services of Civil Surgeons at the Hoozoor Treasuries and as Assistant Magistrates at the Hoozoor Stations and also to depute young Members of the Covenanted Service into the interior of the Collectorates immediately on their being reported qualified by a knowledge of the language of the Province and the Regulations, for the performance of Public Duties instead of their being retained at the Hoozoor. Unless under Sanction expressly conceded by Government for special reasons the Hoozoor Deputies are not allowed to be employed in the performance of miscellaneous duties connected with the interior of the Collectorates:-

The



4. The Officers selected for the appointment of District Deputy, are required to possess or acquire a knowledge of the language of the Province, but a knowledge of English is not an indispensable qualification. The District Deputies have the same duties to perform as devolve on Covenanted Assistant Collectors and Magistrates and are placed in Charge of one or more Talooks and the Collectors are not allowed to employ them on miscellaneous Revenue Duties connected with other Districts, unless under Special Sanction from Government.

5. Government proposed that the pay and allowances of the Deputy Collectors should be as follows.-

| | Pay | |
|---|---|---|
| Hoozoor — " — | 500 Rupees | With District travelling allowances of 5 Rupees per diem for a European and 3 Rupees for a Native. |
| District — " — | 450 — " — | |

but the Honorable Court fixed the pay & allowances

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Hoozoor — " — | 350 Rupees | |
| District — " — | 300 — " — | District travelling allowance as above although not expressly sanctioned by the Honorable Court but assumed by Government to have been implied in the Sanction. |

A.

6. A Copy of the Honorable Court's Despatch No. 42. dated the 24th December 1851 is annexed.

7. The Salaries of the new appointments apparently "form a direct additional charge upon the State" less the Amount Saved as allowance to Civil Surgeons+ but the necessity of the charge being entailed by the Honorable Court not having placed a Sufficient number of Covenanted Servants at the disposal of Government, the Amount of expenditure necessary for the Civil management of the Country has in fact been decreased rather than augumented by the creation of the new appointments.

8. The annexed Copy of papers as per Margin will furnish your Government with further information relative to the proceedings in making the appointments in question.

9. It should however

+ Rupees 100 to those who have and Rupees 50 to those who have not passed an examination in the language of the Country.

1 Minute by the Right Honorable the Governor concurred in by the Honorable Messrs Bell and Warden, dated 19th March, 1852.
2. Letters to Nowrojee Byramjee and others dated 20th March 1852 Nos. 1862 @ 65.
3. Letter to R. H. Showell Esqre and others Nos. 1866 @ 74.
4. Despatch to Honorable Court No. 43 dated 23rd March 1852.
5 Statement appended to Honorable Courts letter No. 43 dated 23rd March 1852.
6. Minute by the Right Honorable the Governor concurred in by the Honorable Messrs Blane and Bell dated 7th August 1852.
7. Letter to Rao Bahadoor Wamunjee Pandooreng Bapat and others dated 14th August 1852 Nos. 5337 @ 63.
8. Despatch to Honorable Court No. 106 dated 31st August 1852 with its accompanying Statement.



however be explained with reference to para 7 of the Court's letter, No. 42, dated the 24th December 1851, that no allowance is made to the Deputies for Establishment, the Deputies are furnished with Carkoons and Peons from the Establishments entertained for the Collectors and Covenanted Assistants.

Bombay Castle, 14th December, 1852. } (Signed) H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

Financial Department
No. 42 of 1851.

Our Governor in Council
at Bombay.

Para 1 We have attentively considered the papers and correspondence transmitted with your Revenue letter dated the 26th May last upon the important Subject of maintaining the efficiency of the Government of your Presidency by giving additional Strength to the Civil Departments.

2. You Submit three distinct propositions first the necessity for permanently increasing the Strength of the Covenanted Civil Service. Secondly, the desirableness of obtaining Sanction for the employment, temporarily in Scinde of some of the Junior

Civilians

Civilians attached to the Madras Presidency and thirdly a proposition for the appointment of additional Uncovenanted Servants as assistants to the Collectors and to other Officers under your Presidency.

3. The Government of India having stated their intention shortly to place before us full means of deciding on the proper numbers of Covenanted Civil Servants required for the Service of the different Presidencies we defer our full and deliberate consideration of the first question until the receipt of that report. In the meantime however we shall endeavour to make due provision for maintaining the effective Strength of your existing Civil Establishment.

4. Upon the second point we must remark that there are difficulties of a legal character which will probably prevent your obtaining the Services of Madras Civilians for employment in Scinde, that province having been annexed to and now forming part of your Presidency.

5. The proposition which you have made for the employment of further Uncovenanted Agency in the Revenue Department appears to be calculated to

afford



afford speedy practical relief, you compute that if each of the twelve Collectorates of your Presidency be provided with two well qualified Uncovenanted Assistants, the number of Covenanted Assistants may be reduced from forty eight, the present Complement, to thirty four and we hope that the appointment of so large a number of the former Class will leave several of the latter disposable for other Situations. The complement of 48 having however really never been effective there will be but little reduction of existing expense on account of the Covenanted Establishment to compensate for the increased expense of the measure which you propose. But in considering the subject of the extension of Uncovenanted Agency, we would observe that we view it favorably because it offers to the Natives of the Country a large number of important Offices which they are eligible to occupy. We agree with Mr. Willoughby in his opinion that the preference should be given to the appointment of the ablest and most respectable of your Native Revenue Officers to those posts and we desire that in carrying out the contemplated

arrangement

arrangement this consideration be prominently regarded. We also desire that when parties are otherwise well qualified a decided preference may be given to those who understand the English language and we hope that within a moderate period say at the end of ten years you may be able to make a perfect knowledge of English a necessary qualification for obtaining the appointment.

6. Premising this, we now sanction your giving effect to your suggestion to the extent of appointing two Uncovenanted Assistants to be designated "Deputy Collectors and Magistrates" to each Collectorate under your Presidency. These Officers will of course be subject to the supervision and control of the Collectors. From a Minute by Mr Bethune dated the 26th March last, which we have recently received from the Government of India we perceive that an act of the Legislative Council containing provision for conferring Magisterial powers upon the new Officers has been prepared and will shortly be passed. This step was premature before our sanction had been formally accorded to the arrangement and it is excusable only upon the ground of the urgent necessity of giving



giving immediate Strength to your Civil Establishment.

7. With respect to Salaries you suggest that the Deputy Collectors should receive Rupees 500 per Month if at the Hoozoor Station and Rupees 450 per Month in addition to Travelling allowance if in the Districts. You do not state if it be intended that these sums should include the charge on account of the Establishments of the Deputy Collectors. But whether this be so or not the rates specified appear to us to be unnecessarily high and we are of opinion that Rupees 350 per Month, if at the Hoozoor and Rupees 300 per Month if in the districts/in addition to a small allowance for Establishment charge/will be sufficient to secure the Services of well qualified Natives. We desire that the Salaries be regulated accordingly and if any appointments shall have taken place at higher Salaries we desire that they may be reduced to that Standard from the date of the receipt of the present Despatch.

London, 24th December, 1853. } /Signed/ J. Shepherd and other Directors

Minute

Minute by the Right Honorable the Governor concurred in by the Honorable Messrs Bell and Warden dated 19th March 1852.

1. Although attaching the utmost importance to the Subject, I have been unable hitherto to propose a final disposal of the reference from the Government of India as to the number of Covenanted Civilians required for the Civil administration of this Presidency, for it was necessary I should await the receipt of answers to the calls made upon the Revenue Commissioners and several local Officers for the names of the individuals they considered to be best qualified to hold the proposed appointments of Uncovenanted Hoozoor and District Deputies in order that I might know the extent to which the the requirements of Government might be met by uncovenanted assistance.

Dated 3rd Dec.r/51 No. 11369/70

2. I now place before the Board the returns received as also several applications made direct to my Private Secretary and to the Revenue Secretary.

3. It will be seen that the applications and recommendations have been made on the understanding that the pay of the Uncovenanted Deputies would be that mentioned in the Government letter of 3rd December last No. 11369/70 to the Revenue Commissioners.



Hoozoor appointment Rs. 500
District Do. — 450
With District travelling allowances at the rate of per diem } Rs. 5 for Europeans or anglo-Indian, and Rs. 3 for Natives

4. The Honorable Court however in their Financial Despatch of 24th December last No. 42 have limited the pay to

For Hoozoor Assistants Rupees 350-0-0
" District Ditto 300-0-0

Note The only exception made is in the case of Mr. Shovell who considers Rupees 350 in the Zillahs higher emolument than Rupees 400 in Bombay.

5. The above scale of pay of course precludes the appointment of Officers already in receipt of a higher Salary such as Duftendars of Collectorates and Uncovenanted Assistants in other Departments.

6. Our Selection is therefore limited to the remaining candidates.

Honorable Court's Despatch No. 42 dated 24th December 1851

7. The Honorable Court consider that in making the appointments a preference should be given to the ablest and most respectable of our Native Revenue Officers and that when parties are otherwise equally well qualified a decided preference should be given to those who understand the English Language. It

8. It will be seen from Para 12 of my Minute of 13th January 1851 that

Para 12 - I am of opinion that this Scale of pay is by no means too high when compared with that given in the North West Provinces for I propose that these Deputy Collectors should not be selected from the untrained and inexperienced class from which our Uncovenanted Assistants have hitherto been ordinarily selected but from those Native and European Employees whose long experience intelligence and good Conduct will make their Services of immediate value.

Para 13 The Revenue Officers throughout the Country should be instructed to inform Government without loss of time of the names of the 3 Servants under them, whether European, Indo Briton or Natives, whom they consider the best qualified by general Departmental Knowledge, Intelligence and Intiguity, for employ either as Head Quarter or District Assistants and they should state particularly in each case their reasons for the preference assigned.

I intended to confine the appointments to Persons who had acquired sufficient experience and knowledge of public business to make their Services of immediate Value and from Para 13 of my

Minute it will be seen I intended giving the preference to those who had departmental knowledge.

9. The limit however as to pay fixed by the Honorable Court and the desire expressed by that authority that



that we should as far as possible confer the proposed appointments on Natives, preclude my carrying out to the extent I would wish my original intention as regards the Hoozoor or Head Quarter Deputies for whom a knowledge of English is an indispensible requisite.

These Marked with * in Para 12 of Minute or Tour including Vencayk Wassoo but he has been for several years in the Government Service.

10. I propose therefore conferring three[3] of the Head Quarter appointments on Students of the Elphinstone College with a view of showing the importance Government attach to high Educational qualifications.

11. The 3 Gentlemen selected should be informed that their Success or otherwise in their new Sphere of duties will in all probability influence Government in making future appointments. They should therefore consider that the problem as to the comparative merits of Educational acquirements and practical knowledge will to a certain extent be deemed to be solved by the manner in which they may conduct the duties of their novel appointments.

J.

8. It will be seen from Para 12 of my Minute of 13th January 1851 that I intended to confine the appointments to Persons who had acquired sufficient experience and knowledge of public business to make their Services of immediate Value and from Para 13 of my Minute it will be seen I intended giving the preference to those who had departmental knowledge.

Para 12 - I am of opinion that this Scale of pay is by no means too high when compared with that given in the North West Provinces for I propose that these Deputy Collectors should not be selected from the untrained and inexperienced class from which our Uncovenanted Assistants have hitherto been ordinarily selected but from those Native and European Employees whose long experience intelligence and good Conduct will make their Services of immediate value.

Para 13 The Revenue Officers throughout the Country should be instructed to inform Government without loss of time of the names of the 3 Servants under them, whether European, Indo Briton or Natives, whom they consider the best qualified by general Departmental Knowledge, Intelligence and Intiguity, for employ either as Head Quarter or District Assistants and they should state particularly in each case their reasons for the preference assigned.

9. The limit however as to pay fixed by the Honorable Court and the desire expressed by that authority that



that we should as far as possible confer the proposed appointments on Natives, preclude my carrying out to the extent I would wish my original intention as regards the Hoozoor or Head Quarter Deputies for whom a knowledge of English is an indispensible requisite.

Those Marked with * in Para 12 of Minute or Jour. including Venoyk Wasdeo but he has been for several years in the Government Service.

10. I propose therefore conferring three [3] of the Head Quarter appointments on Students of the Elphinstone College with a view of showing the importance Government attach to high Educational qualifications.

11. The 3 Gentlemen selected should be informed that their Success or otherwise in their new Sphere of duties will in all probability influence Government in making future appointments. They should therefore consider that the problem as to the comparative merits of Educational acquirements and practical knowledge will to a certain extent be deemed to be solved by the manner in which they may conduct the duties of their novel appointments.

J.

12. I propose filling up the Hoozoor appointments as follows.

| | |
|---|---|
| Tanna | Mr. R. H. Howell |
| Candesh | Ram Haid. |
| Ahmedabad | Mr. J. S. Jordan |
| Ahmednuggar* | Dadoba Pandoorung |
| Surat | Venaik Gunnesh |
| Poona | Venaik Wasoodeo |
| Belgaum | |
| Sholapoor † | Nana Morojee |
| Dharwar | |
| Kanra | Mr. Spry |
| Ratnageeree | Mr. Scott |
| Broach | Nowrojee Byramjee |

* See above para 10

13. For Belgaum I shall hereafter nominate a Gentleman if possible a Native and at a future date for Dharwar but a Deputy is not so urgently required at the Hoozoor there as in other Collectorates.

14. For the appointment of District Deputies English is not indispensible and men qualified in English cannot at present be found possessing the Revenue experience absolutely necessary for the proper performance of District Duties. Revised Lists must therefore be furnished by the Collectors and Revenue Commissioners with



with reference to the Scale of pay Sanctioned. The names of the most deserving Mamlutdars or Naik Dufturdars should be given.

15. Mr. Courtney should be furnished with Extract from Mr. Fawcetts Statement and requested to have his revised Statement drawn up after a similar form.

16. In the hope that ere long we shall be able to supply each Collectorate with 2 Uncovenanted Deputies. I have only to repeat as my opinion that the number of Covenanted Officers mentioned in my Minute of 13th January 1851 para 23 is necessary to the proper administration of the affairs of this Presidency.

/Signed/ Falkland.
/ " / A. Bell.
/ " / J. Warden.

March 19th 1852.

No 1862 of 1852.

Territorial Department
Revenue

The same to Dadoo Pandoorung for Ahmednuggur No 1863 & Nana Morojee for Sholapoor No 1864

To, Nowrojee Byramjee Esqre

Sir.

With the sanction of the Honorable the Court of Directors the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has resolved to create the appointment of

of Uncovenanted Deputy Collector and Magistrate. The Gentlemen selected for the performance of the duties of the appointment at the Head Quarter Station will receive a Salary of Rupees three hundred and fifty a Month.

Rs. 350-0-0

2. The efficient discharge of the duties appertaining to these appointments will of course require some departmental Knowledge, nevertheless to shew the interest he takes in those who have successfully availed themselves of the opportunities which the Elphinstones' institution has afforded them of acquiring a Superior Education - His Lordship in Council is pleased to appoint you Deputy Collector and Magistrate of Broach

3. The Governor in Council feels assured that you will not lose sight of the fact that the result of this appointment will in some degree serve to test the comparative merits of Selections for the Public Service made on the grounds of Educational and Departmental knowledge.

For the other as in Para 2 and 3 of other letter.

4. You are requested to join your appointment as soon as Sir Jamsetjee Jeejeebhoy can dispense with your services.

Bombay Castle, 20th March 1852.

/as para 3 of other Letter/
/Signed/ H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government

D.F.



No. 1865 of 1852.

Territorial Department.

Revenue.

M. Stovell Esqre &c &c
Secretary to the Board of
Education.-

Sir

It may be gratifying to the Board to know that.-

Venaiy Wasdeo.
Dadoba Pondoorung.
Nana Morajee and
Nowrojee Byramjee.

Pupils of the Elphinstone Institution have been selected for the newly created appointment of Deputy Collector and Magistrate in consideration of their Educational attainments and good character.-

2. Copy of the letter which has been addressed to each of the three last named Gentlemen is transmitted for the information of the Board.

Bombay Castle 20th March 1852.

/Signed/ H. E. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

No. 1866 of 1852.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

The same to Ramchid for Candesh No. 1867.
Lad Jordun Ahmedabad No. 1868
Venaick Gunnesh Surat No. 1869
Venaick Wasdeo Poona No. 1870
W. L. A. Spry.
Khira No. 1871
J. Scott Ruttonjee No. 1872.-

To R. H. Stovell Esqre &c

Sir

The Right Honorable the Governor in

in Council has been pleased to appoint you Deputy Collector and Magistrate of Tanna for the performance of duties at the Head Quarter Station on a Monthly Salary of

Rupees 350— Rupees three hundred and fifty.

In the letter to the following substitute the words "take up" for "join."
Ram Naid
Mr. S.S. Jordan
Venaik Gunnish
Mr. Spry.
Mr. Frott.—

2. You are requested to join your appointment as soon as your services can be spared by the Department in which you are employed.—

3. As Deputy Magistrate you are to make before the Zillah Magistrate or Assistant in charge of the Sudr Station the solemn affirmation prescribed in Section III of act X of 1851 and as Deputy Collector when the act of which draft has appeared in the Government Gazette becomes Law, the solemn affirmation prescribed by Section of that Act.—

Dated 29th January 1852.—

Bomba Castle. 20th March 1852.

/Signed/ R. C. Goldsmid.
Secretary to Government.

No. 1873 of 1852.
Territorial Department
Revenue

To W. Courtney Esqre
Revenue Commissioner
Southern Division.

Sir.

No. 481 of 28th Ultimo

With reference to your letter No. 343 of the 13th Ultimo submitting the names of persons recommended to fill the appointments of



of Uncovenanted Deputy Collectors and Magistrates I have been desired to transmit for your information a Copy of a Despatch No. 42 dated 24th December last on the subject—

2. The applications and recommendations handed up by you were made on the understanding that the pay of the appointments would be that stated in the Government letter No. 11369 dated 3d December last.—

N.D. 11370

3. You will observe that the Honorable Court have limited the Salary Rs.
for Hoozoor Deputy to ——— 350—
for District Do ——— 300—

4. The following Gentlemen have been appointed by Government Deputies for employment at Head Quarter Stations of Collectorates in your Division.—

Ahmednuggur — Dadoba Pandoorung
Poona — Venaik Wasoodew
Sholapoor — Nana Morojee.
Rutnagheeree — Mr. J. Frott.

5. For the same appointments at Belgaom and Dharwar nominations will hereafter be made.—

6. For the appointment of District Deputies English is not indispensible, and

men

men qualified in English cannot at present be found posseſsing the Revenue experience absolutely neceſsary for the proper performance of District Duties. You will have the goodneſs therefore to furnish with your opinion revised Lists from the Collectors of your Division as well as from your own Department with reference to the scale of pay sanctioned. The names of most deserving Mamlutdars or Nail Dufterdars should be given.

7. I have been desired to forward you the annexed extracts from 2 Statements submitted by Mr. Fawcett and to request you will have the goodneſs to have your revised statement drawn up after a similar form.

Bombay Castle, 20th March 1852. / Signed / A. C. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

No. 1874.

The same / with No. and date altered in the margin and with the Substitution of the following names in para 4. and Omiſsion of the 5th and last Para / to the Revenue Commiſsioner Northern Division.

Tanna ——— Mr. R. A. Showell
Candeish ——— Ram. Naid.
Ahmedabad ——— Mr. T. L. Jorden
Surat ——— Venaik Gunnesh
Kaira ——— Mr. W. F. A Spry
Broach ——— Nowrojee Byramjee

Bombay Castle, 20th March 1852. } / Signed / A. C. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.

No.



No. 43 of 1852.

Territorial Department.
Revenue.

To
The Honorable the Court of Directors
London.

Honorable Sirs.

Appointments of Uncovenanted Deputy Collectors and Magistrates at the Hoozoors and Districts.

We have the honor to place before you our Proceedings consequent on your Despatch No. 42 of 24th December 1851 authorizing with certain modifications the adoption of our proposals for meeting to some extent the exigencies of the Public Service by the appointment of Uncovenanted Deputy Collectors and Magistrates.

2. We beg to refer your Honorable Court to our Presidency Minute of the 19th Instant, as explanatory of the manner in which we have determined in carrying out your instructions with regard to Selections for the Office of Deputies for the performance of the duties required at the Head Quarter stations of the several Collectorates.

3. The annexed Statement furnishes information as to the past Services places of birth and Religion or caste of the Gentlemen selected for the several appointments.

4. Your Honorable Court will be furnished without delay, with a Copy of the letter which will be addreſsed to the Government of India from the General Department of our Secretariate, relative to our requirements for Covenanted Civilians, but we take this opportunity of again most earnestly preſsing on your Honorable Court the urgent neceſsity which there is for increasing the strength of our Covenanted Civil Service. At present it is so weak as to preclude the poſsibility of our providing for the proper administration of affairs.

Bombay Castle, 23d March 1852. } / Signed / Falkland
/ " / A. Bell.
/ " / J. Warden.

Statement.

1837

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.—

Statement shewing the past Services, places of Birth and Religion or Caste of the under-mentioned Gentlemen who have been appointed Deputy Collectors and Magistrates for the performance of duties at the Head Quarter stations of the respective Collectorates.—

| Names | Collectorate | Past Services. | Place of Birth. | Religion or Caste. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| R. N. Showell Esqr. | | Employed in the Government Lithographic Establishment. | Plymouth England. | Christian |
| | | Head Clerk Rutnagherry Collectorate.— | | |
| | | Do. Do. Surat Collectorate. | | |
| | | Head accountant and Cashier General Post Office. | | |
| | | Head Accountant Surat Collectorate.— | | |
| | | Head Clerk Revenue Commissioner's Office N. D. | | |
| | | Assistant Revenue Department Secretariate.— | | |
| | | Uncovenanted Assistant to the Secretary Revenue Department | | |
| | | Years. Months days. | | |
| | | Total Service. 20 — 4 — " | | |
| T. S. Jordan | Ahmedabad. | Employed in the Revenue and Topographical Survey in the Ahmidabad Collectorate also in the Revenue Survey and on other duties connected with Revenue Management and on more than one occasion filling the appointment of Acting Dufterdar in the same Collectorate. | Not ascertained. | Christian (East Indian) |
| | | Years Months days. | | |
| | | Total Service 33 — 11 — 16 | | |
| W. F. A. Spry | Kaira | Served in the Quarter Master General's Department at Baroda and Ahmedabad and also in the Kaira Collectorate as Surveyer, Employed in Surveying parts of the Mahee Kaunta and Mewar Provinces, in planning and Superintending the execution of Public Works connected with drainage and irrigation and in repairing roads and settling Boundary and Land disputes between Villages and various other duties connected with Land and Renenues of the Kaira Collectorate.— | Colaba | Christian (East Indian) |
| | | Years Months days. | | |
| | | Total Service 24 — 8 — 14 | | |
| J. H. Trott | Rutnagherry | Auditor of Accounts Military Board's Office | Madras. | Christian (East Indian) |
| | | Head Accountant Collector's Office Rutnagherry. | | |
| | | Years Months days. | | |
| | | Total Service 12 — 6 — 14 | | |

1838 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

| Names. | Collectorate. | Past Services | Place of Birth | Religion or Caste. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ram Naid | Candeish. | Extra Translator in the Zillah Court at Cuddapah in Madras acted in the Post Office Headwriter at the same station. Judicial Head Writer and Translator in the Office of the Commissioner and Agent to the Governor at Kurnool under the Madras Presidency. In the Khandesh Collectorate as English Clerk in the Political Department Head English Clerk of the correspondence Department and Officiating Head accountant on two occasions. Years Months days. Total Service 13 " 24 | Masulipatam | Hindoo. |
| Dadoba Pandurung | Ahmednugger | Employed under the Board of Education, School Master at Surat Director of Normal Class and lastly as Superintendent of Government Vernacular schools. Years Months days. Total Service 12 8 19 | Bombay | Vaish Hindoo. |
| Venaik Gunnesh | Surat | English Clerk Collector of Custs Customs and Excisse Office Sheristedar to do. English Writer Revenue Commissioner's Office. N. D. Goozerattee and Mahratta Carcoon Revenue Commissioner's Office N: D Chutnefs Dr. Mamlatdar of Chowrasee Purgunna Sirjdar of the City of Surat. Years. Months. days. Total Service 15 1 " | Not ascertained but within this Presidency) | Bramin |
| Venaik Wasoodeo | Poona | Head Native Assistant in the Persian Department of the Secretariate. Years. Months days. Total Service 10 7 22 | Bombay | Purbhoo |
| Nana Morojee | Sholapoor. | Head Master of the Government English School at Tanna Acting Translator and Acting Head Clerk in the Sudr Adawlut Acting Head writer and Deputy Accountant in the Collectors Office at Tanna Head Clerk in the Political Superintendents Office at Kolapoor and Tutor to their Highness the Princes of Kolapoor. Years. Months. days. Total Service 9 " 5 | Bombay | Purbhoo. |
| Nowrojee Byramjee | Broach | Held a Scholarship in the Elphinstone Institution Assistant in the English School at Surat and Master of Sir Jamsetjee Jeejeebhoys English School. | Surat | Parsee. |

Bombay Castle. 23d March 1852. (Signed) A. C. Goldsmid
Secretary to Government.
Minute.

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

Minute by the Right Honorable the Governor dated the 7th August 1852 concurred in by the Honorable Messrs. Blane and Bell.—

Having carefully considered the papers before me and the information derived from other sources I beg to nominate the following Officers for the appointments of District Deputies.

2. I propose that for the first year the appointments be probationary (as in the case of Dufterdars) and that those Officers who are appointed to Zillah where their Vernacular language is not that of record be required to pass within 2 years an examination in Reading and writing the running hand, and in the colloquial branch.

3. I also advocate the Deputies being required during the fair Seasons to hold Office in tents.

4. The Rules for Covenanted Servants relative to maintenance of carriage to be applicable to them.—

Northern Division.

Tanna Wamunjee Pandoorung Baput.
Ahmedabad Rufsain Mahomed Feereed.
Candeish Ballajee Krishn Roosoorkar.
Surat (To have charge of the Nursapoor Talooka whilst the Revenue Survey operations are in progress.)



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Surat Appajee Ramchunder
Kaira Rowjee Crushna
Broach Runchood Chace Comyasunker.

Southern Division.

Ahmednuggur Wamun row Ginesh Gunpooley.
Belgaum Ginesh Ramchunder.
Solapoor Shreeput Vittul.
Dharwar wittul rao Karacen.
Nafsick Ballajee Ginesh Mate
Poona Soobrao Lingapa.

For the Colaba Sub Collectorate.

5. when formed I will nominate hereafter — for Putnagherry I do not consider a Deputy is required at present there being but 5 Tallookas and 3 Covenanted Assistants as also a Hoozoor Deputy.

6. I should have wished in deference to Mr. Warden to have nominated Krusha rao Vittul Vinchoorkar for one of the appointments but as that Gentleman is unable to show that he has any acquaintance with the principles and details of our System of Revenue management I consider it would be eventually disadvantageous to him personally and certainly so to the public interest to bring him into the Government service as a District Deputy Collector.

Collector in which capacity he would have to control men of much Revenue experience and long standing.

7. The opinions expressed by Mr. Courtney at close of his letter appear to me to be just and deserving of adoption, and I would transfer the name of Krushnarow Vittul, of whose legal acquirements both Mr. Bell and Mr. Warden have a favorable opinion, to the Judicial Department in order that measures may be taken for giving him employment as a Moonsiff.

xxxx besides which in the present instance, so far as I have been able to ascertain, Krishnarow Vittuls qualifications would better fit him for the situation of Moonsiff or Sudder Ameen than for that of Deputy Collector, if therefore it is deemed desirable to admit him into the public Service, which I by no means wish to dispute, I should rather prefer to see him employed in a Judicial capacity, where his attainments might make him perhaps an acquisition, than as an Officer of Revenue, on whom would devolve the administration of our Survey Rules and other important duties with which he is probably but very slightly acquainted.

8. It appears to me that with a Revenue and Judicial establishment consisting (exclusive of English correspondence and Accountant Departments and Peons) of the former of 2,720 Individuals whose pay averages but Rupees 2,713 and the latter of 441 Individuals whose pay averages 62—11—1, we should in justice to



to our Revenue Servants confer high Judicial and not Revenue appointment on Native Gentlemen who may be considered worthy of high employ but have never done a day's service. The Revenue branch has a still greater claim to the few high appointments obtainable in that Line when we consider that besides the 2720 Members above adverted to, there are in Guzerat and the Concan hundreds of Tullaties whose pay if taken into account would reduce the average emoluments of each person very much below what I have given.

(Signed) Tal Rland.
(") D. A Blane.
(") A. Bell

7th August 1852.

No. 5337 of 1852

Territorial Department.
Revenue.

To
Roa Bahadoor.
Wamunjee Pandoorung Baput.

Sir I am directed to inform you, that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to appoint you a Deputy Collector and Magistrate for employment in the District of the Tanna collectorate.

Act IV of 1851
Act XXI of 1852.

†For Nasick Sub Collector of Nasick.

you

2. You will have the goodness to join your appointment as soon as your services can be dispensed with by the Head of the Department in which you are now serving.

3. For the first year your appointment is to be probationery.

4. On creating the appointment of Deputy Collector and Magistrate, Government have resolved that those Officers whose Vernacular language is not that of Record in the Collectorates to which they are appointed, should within 2 years of their appointment pass an examination in reading and writing the running hand and in the colloquial branch of the latter language.

/For Nasick/ Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate.

5. You will be informed by the Collector and Magistrate as to the name of the Districts of which you are to be in charge, and of the duties which you are to perform.

6. You are to receive a Salary of Rupees (300) three hundred per mensem with District Travelling allowances at the rate of Rupees /3/ three per diem.

7. During the fair season you are required to hold Office in Tents.

The



8. The rules for Covenanted Servants relative to the maintenance of carriage are applicable to you.—

Bombay Castle, 14th August 1852.

/Signed/ A. Malet
Chief Secretary to Government.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

The same as above to.

Khan Bahadoor Dafsain Mahomed Fureed
Ahmedabad No. 5339.

Rao Bahadoor Ballajee Keishn Roosoorkur Candeish
No. 5341.

Rao Bahadoor Appajee Ramchunder Surat No. 5343.

Rao Bahadoor Rowjee Crushna Kaira No. 5345.

Rao Bahadoor Runchoodlhaee Oomya Sunker,
Broach No. 5347.

Rao Bahadoor Wamunrow Gunesh Gunpooley
Ahmednuggur No. 5349.

Rao Bahadoor Gunesh Ramchunder Belgaum
No. 5351.

Rao Bahadoor Shreeput Vittul, Sholapoor No. 5353.

Rao Bahadoor Vittul rao Naraen Dharwar No. 5355.

Rao Bahadoor Ballajee Gunnesh Mate Nasick No. 5357.

Rao Bahadoor Soobrao Lingapa Poona No. 5359.

No. — of 1852.

Territorial Department
Revenue.

Copy of the above is sent to the Collector of ——— who will report through the Revenue Commissioner the name of the Districts in charge of which he purposes placing the Deputy and who or the Sub. Collector of Nasick will

administer

administer &c. his Junior Assistant at the Poozoor, will administer to him the Solemn Declaration required by the Acts quoted in margin of para 1.

Tanna. The Collector of Tanna is informed that the Deputy is to be placed in charge of the Nuwapoor Talooka whilst the Revenue Survey operations are in progress.

2. The Collector of Tanna will administer &c.

/as above/

Bombay Castle, 14th August 1852. /Signed/ A. Malet
Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 5362 Copy for the information of the Revenue Commissioner Southern Division.
No. 5363 — Do. — Do. — Do. Northern Division.
No. 5361 — Do. — Do. — Do. Acting Civil Auditor.

Bombay Castle, 14th August 1852. /Signed/ A. Malet
Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 106 of 1852.

Territorial Department.
Revenue.

To The Honorable the Court of Directors
For affairs of the Honorable East
India Company
London.

Honorable Sirs, Under date the 23d March last, we had the honor of reporting the appointment of Uncovenanted Assistants, to the Collectors and Magistrates of the whole of the Collectorates with the exception of Dharwar for the performance of duties at Head Quarter Stations.

2. We have now to advise your Honorable Court that the whole of the Collectorates, with the exception of Rutnagherry, have been provided with District Deputies as per following Statement.

Names.



| Names of Officers. | Appointment held at the time of promotion. | Collectorates to which promoted as Deputy Collector and Magistrate. |
|---|---|---|
| Narrunjee Pandoorung Bapjee | Mamlutdar of Nuseerapoor in the Tanna Collectorate | Tanna |
| Hussain Mahomed Furreed | Foujdar of the City of Poona Formerly Mamlutdar of Neparin Nassick Sub Collectorate | Ahmedabad |
| Ballajee Krishn Roosookur | Nail Dufturdar of Nassick | Candeish |
| Appajee Ramchender | Moonsiff of Nibay, and has held Subordinate Revenue appointments | Surat. |
| Rowjee Crishna | Mamlutdar of Jeytulpoor in the Ahmedabad Collectorate | Kaira |
| Runchoodbhace Oomjasun Bar | Acting Mamlutdar of Jumboosur in the Broach Collectorate | Broach |
| Ramun row Gunesh Gunpooley | Mamlutdar of Errondole in the Candesh Collectorate. | Ahmednuggur. |
| Gunesh Ramchunder | Mamlutdar of Padshapoor in the Belgaum Collectorate. | Belgaum. |
| Shreeput Vittul | Ditto of Bugulcote in Do. Do. | Solapoor |
| Wittul rao Naraun | Ditto of Dumbul in the Dharwar Collectorate | Dharwar. |
| Ballajee Ganesh Mate | Chitnees to the Political Agent in Belgaum and formerly Mamlutdar of Sumpgaom in the Belgaum Collectorate. | Nassick |
| Soobrao Lingapa | Mamlutdar of Nowlgoond in the Dharwar Collectorate. | Poona |
| | | Rutnagherry |

1851. Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

3. Putnagherry does not at present require a Deputy Collector in addition to the above-mentioned Agency employed there.-

4. Copy of the instructions issued to the Deputies is annexed.-

Bombay Castle. 31st August 1852. } /Signed/ D. A. Blane. /" / A. Bell.

/True Copies./
/Signed/ H. C. Goldsmid.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and its enclosures be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to para 5 of their Secretary's letter dated 28th October 1852. No. 1448.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ F. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered

S.R.

1852 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

(1-2)

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Bd. No. 224. From the Dy. Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 21st January 1853.

(3)

Order of Government on the Petitions from Shashachellam, Soorabalingum and Veeramah, Carpenter Meerasidars of Pollypilly and other Villages in Cumbum Taloo E. Cuddapah No. 1979. & 2219 of 1852.-

The Governor in Council desires that the Board of Revenue will reconsider their orders in this case. It appears to the Government that the Collector does not show sufficient grounds for setting aside the decision of the arbitrators founded on a Razeenamah by the Parties concerned. If a regular Punchayet had been formed the only ground for not carrying out their award would have been proved corruption on their part. The Petitioners do not allege any thing of this nature against the Arbitrators, they admit their having executed the Razeenamah but when the decision is adverse to their wishes, they then bring forward some asserted verbal understanding, which induced them to sign this Razeenamah. Had it not been for this

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

this admitted document the decree of the Collector, confirmed by the Board, might in the opinion of Government stand, but under the circumstances above stated the Government are unable to see sufficient reason for setting aside the judgment of the arbitrators, founded on the voluntary agreement of the parties themselves. —

By Order

(Signed) J. D. Sim

Deputy Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 306

Order thereon —

3-4

Ordered that a Copy of the above order of Government be forwarded to the Acting Collector who will carry out the award of the Punchayet with reference to the Meerasi in question. —

5

Bd. No. 330.

From the Secretary to Government

For information. Dated 24th January 1853. } Read Extract sanctioning the disbursement of arrears due to certain Emandars in the District of Rajahmundry.

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded. —

Read

T.P.



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Read the following Extract.

7

Bd. No. 361

From the Secretary to Government — To

No. 99.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th January 1853.

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copies of two letters from the Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut, and request Sanction for the reimbursement from this Treasury of the amount of Stamp duty therein directed to be refunded to the appellants in appeal suits Nos. 55 and 59 of 1850, under Clause II, Section 25, Regulation 13 of 1816. —

Chingleput District — Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, — Parel, 30th December 1852. } (Signed) J. H. Cochrane. Collector.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Sanctions the refund of Stamp duty referred to in the above letter amounting to Rupees (4) four. He observes that the application should have been made thro' the Board of Revenue. —

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

Resolved.

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

Bd. No. 317

Resolution thereon.

7-8

Resolved that the above order of Government be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter to the Chief Secretary, dated 30th December, 1852.-

9

---

Bd. No. 362.

From the Secretary to Government.

(For information)

Dated 28th January 1853.- } Read Extract directing that an advance of Rupees 450 be made to the Pensioned Zemindar of Tooloor Division of Chila Kaloorpaud, in the Guntoor District, to enable him to repair his house, on condition of it's being repaid by deductions of Rupees 50 per Month from his allowance

9-10

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

---

Read the following Resolutions-

11

No. 106.

Bd. No. 332 Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,

From the Secretary to Government.- } Under dated the 28th January 1853.

From Board 3d January 1853.-

Under the circumstances represented, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs with the Board of Revenue and the Collector of Salem in opinion that a munthummal had no right to alienate to Ranga Sastry the Enams held by her in the 3 Villages of



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

of the Kistnagherry Taluk in the Salem District, and as it appears that she has been absent for a period of more than ten years he directs that the Enams be resumed absolutely and a permanent remission of Rupees 6-9-2, being the Jodigy payable on them to the Mootaldar of Mahandramungalam, be allowed in the Peishcush of the Mootalo.-

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

11-12

Bd. No. 326.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to his Tabular Report dated 30th November 1852.-

---

13

No. 120.

Bd. No. 331. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,

From the Secretary to Government.- } Under dated the 28th January 1853.

From Board 13th December 1852.

It is observed from the Statement of Dutta Maniums submitted by the Collector of South Arcot under date 12th April 1841, that Venkata Row of Tanjore is the registered Claimant to whom a commutation allowance of Rupees 46-3-4 per Annum was sanctioned in Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th April 1842- No. 446- and as this party has preferred his claim within the period fixed by the orders of Government of the 19th September 1848 No. 1015, the Right Honorable the

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

the Governor in Council is pleased, under the circumstances of the case, to authorize the continuance of the said allowance from the date of the Petitioner's application to the Collector. In regard to the arrears due for the period prior to that date, it must however be observed that Veneat Row is shewn to have been aware of the enquiry into the alienations made by the Pitchavarum Canilgar, as he brought forward his claim and having advanced it, he was bound to inform himself, of it's result, his ignorance of the decision of Government on his case cannot therefore be justly pleaded in excuse of his long neglect to apply for payment, and the Governor in Council thinks it would be sufficient to disburse to him only a moiety of the Amount as suggested by the Collector. the remainder being considered as forfeited to Government

By Order

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

13. 14

Bd. No. 321

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his

In Cons 13th Dec. 1852 Tabular Statement dated 12th November 1852.

---

Read the following Extract

Extract



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Revenue Department.

No. 115.

15

Bd. No. 289

From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 28th January 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Here enter 20th December 1852 No. 517.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not object to allow Collectors discretionary authority for disbursing under such arrangements as the Board of Revenue may lay down, the pay of Public Servants absent on duty to those parties to whom they may empower to receive it at the Hoozoor Station.

A true Extract

(Signed) T. Pycroft.

Secretary to Government.

16

Bd. No. 305.

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the above Minutes of Consultation be forwarded for the information of the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 22d November last and that the following Circular be addressed to all Collectors.

In Cons 20th Dec. 1852.

15-17

Bd. No. 325.

Circular to all Colls. and Copy to Com. North Circars

Circular

Sir.

With reference to para 5 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1852 Circulated

In Cons 4th Nov. 1852

From

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

the Governor in Council is pleased, under the circumstances of the case, to authorize the continuance of the said allowance from the date of the Petitioners application to the Collector. In regard to the arrears due for the period prior to that date, it must however be observed that Venkat Row is shewn to have been aware of the enquiry into the alienations made by the Pitchavaram Canilgar, as he brought forward his claim and having advanced it, he was bound to inform himself of it's result, his ignorance of the decision of Government on his case cannot therefore be justly pleaded in excuse of his long neglect to apply for payment, and the Governor in Council thinks it would be sufficient to disburse to him only a moiety of the Amount as suggested by the Collector. the remainder being considered as forfeited to Government

By Order
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

13.14

Bd. No. 321
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his
In Cons 13th Dec. 1852 Tabular Statement dated 12th November 1852.

---

Read the following Extract

Extract



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Revenue Department.

15

No. 115.

Bd. No. 289
From the Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 28th January 1853.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

Here enter 20th December 1852 No. 517.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will not object to allow Collectors discretionary authority for disbursing under such arrangements as the Board of Revenue may lay down, the pay of Public Servants absent on duty to those parties to whom they may empower to receive it at the Hoozoor Station.-

A true Extract
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

16

Bd. No. 305.
Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the above Minutes of Consultation be forwarded for the information of the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 22d November last and
In Cons 20th Dec. 1852. that the following Circular be addressed to all Collectors.

15-17

Bd. No. 325.
Circular to all Collrs. and Copy to Comr. North. Circars

Circular

Sir.

With reference to para 5 of Extract
In Cons 4th Nov. 1852 from Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1852 Circulated

From

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

from this Office on the 4th November following I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that they have obtained the Sanction of Government to allow Collectors discretionary Authority to disburse the pay of Public Servants absent on duty to those parties whom they may empower to receive it at the Hoozoor Station.-

2. The Board will leave it to you to decide upon such arrangements or restrictions as you may think necessary for the guidance of your office in this matter.-

For Govt. 28th January
Cons 10th February 1853.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1853.-

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

---

18

Bd. No. 333
From the Secretary to Government.-

Read the following Resolution.
No. 107.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 28th January 1853.
From Board 3d January 1853.-

Resolved that the Collector of Tinnevelly be authorized to disburse, subject to audit, the arrears
From 12th April to 11th July of Fasly 1260 Rs. 21.-.-
From 12th July to 11th July of Fasly 1261 " 84.-.-
From 12th July to 10th October of Fasly 1262 " 21-.-
Rupees 126.-.-
of Jeomiah due to Hal Mahomed Kader Khan, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 126 (one hundred and twenty Six), and to continue to him his allowance of



Fort St. George 10th February 1853

of Rupees (84) Eighty four, per Annum.-
By Order
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

18-19

Bd. No. 322.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his Jeomiah Statement dated 30th November 1852.-

---

Read the following Extract.
Revenue Department.-

20

Bd. No. 348
From the Secretary to Government.-

No. 132.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Under date the 1st February 1853.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 17th January 1853 No. 17)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Civil Auditor to pass the charge referred to in the above Proceedings, amounting to Rupees (75) Seventy Five.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

20-21

Bd. No. 319.
Order thereon.
In Cons 17th Jan. 1853.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 21st December 1852.-

---

Read

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

22

Bd. No. 409
From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Memorandum
Revenue Department.

Memorandum.

The Secretary to Government has the honor to return the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th January 1853 No. 33 corrected as requested.

Fort St. George,
7th February 1853.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

22.23

Bd. No. 308
Official Memo to the Acting Coll. of Madras.

Ordered that the following Official Memorandum be sent in consequence.—

Official Memorandum.—

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to inform the Acting Collector of Madras with reference to his Memo of the 29th January 1853 that the date of Modeen Ally's death has been altered in the Minutes of Consultation in the Government Office, and in that of the Board from 9th August 1852 to 9th August 1850 and he requests that a similar correction may be made in the Copy forwarded with the Board's Proceedings of 20th January 1853.—

In Cons 3d February 1853.

11th In Cons 20th Jany 1853.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

---

Read the following Extract.

Extract



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

24

Bd. No. 408
From the Secretary to Government
Issuing certain instructions regarding the survey of the South Arcot District.

Revenue Department.

No. 144.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 8th February 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 9th December 1852 No. 500)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs with the Collector and the Board of Revenue in respect to the course to be followed in the conduct of the operations connected with the revision of the assessment in the South Arcot District, and approves generally the instructions communicated by the Board to the Collector. He sanctions the estimated charges for the Extra Establishment required for the prosecution of the work for 7 Months, or from the 11th December 1852 to 11th July 1853, Amounting to Rupees 4418-— Four thousand four hundred and eighteen, the Cost being included in the annual Statement of Extra Charges incurred under estimate. Under the peculiar circumstances of the task to be performed by the Collector, the Government also will not object to allow him the discretionary authority solicited in the concluding Para of his letter forwarding any alterations

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

alterations among this Extra Establishment which on trial may be found advisable during the next seven Months for carrying on the work, provided that the total expence does not exceed the sanctioned amount. He will report any changes which he may make under this permission when submitting for sanction the extra establishment required for next Fusly 1263.

2. The Government agree with the Board that the Survey operations including the instruction of the Subordinates to be sent from the Trichinopoly and North Arcot Districts would be more advantageously committed to a European Officer of scientific attainments than to a Surveyor, but they regret that the services of Lieutenant Chauncey cannot be spared from the Road Department. The Board will therefore be pleased to recommend some other duly qualified Officer for the duty.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government-

25

Bd. No. 328.

Order thereon-

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.-

and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot, whose particular attention is Called to paras 6 and 7 of the Board's Proceedings.-

Ordered also that the following letter be addressed.-

No. 61.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

24.26

Bd. No. 327.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the latter part of para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th February 1853, No. 144, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to recommend that Lieutenant Priestly of H.M. 25th Regement may be attached to the Collector of South Arcot for the purposes of the Survey. Mr. Priestly is qualified in Surveying, has passed in Hindustany and has to a certain extent a Colloquial Knowledge of Malyalum and Tamil.-

2. As Lieutenant Priestly's Regiment is about to leave Madras, the Board are desirous to obtain his services without loss of time.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,-
10th February, 1853.

(Signed) W. A. Bayley
Secretary.

---

Read the following Statement-

Statement

1865

Fort St. George

10th February 1853.

Bd. No. 163.

Statement Shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Poligar Pensioner in the District of Cuddapah.

27

| Number of Mr. Ros. Register. | Name of the Pensioner. | Amount of pension per annum. | Date of Government authority. | Nature of the grant. | Period for which arrears are due. | Total Amount of Arrears. | Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment. | Remarks Orders of the Board of Revenue. | Orders of Government. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. a p | | | | Rs. a p | | | |
| 117 of Mr. Ros' Register Statement X | Tilly Devastur Naidoo of Pollala Cheroovoo in Cumbum Talook. | 840 " " | 14th December 1840. | Poligar pension granted in lieu of resumed lands & privileges. | From May 1851 to December 1852 being 20 Months. | 1400 " " | This pensioner having applied for leave of three Months to proceed to Gudwall to attend his brother's marriage ceremony it was accordingly granted, but he failed to return according to the leave granted him, the reason he assigned for his non-return at the expiring of his leave are the great distance he had to travel and prolonged sickness. His Statement on enquiry proved to be correct and his identity having been satisfactorily ascertained it is recommended that the Sum of Rupees 1400 being the Amount of arrears of pension due to him be discharged and that his pension from the Current Month be continued to him (Signed) A. Wedderburn Acting Sub Collector in Charge. | | |

Cuddapah Collectors Office, 11th January 1853.

as

1866 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Bd. No. 311 No. 64.

Remarks thereon.— (27.28)

As the cause assigned for the non-appearance of the Poligar at the stated periods has been verified and appears to be satisfactory, and there is no doubt about his identity, the Board of Revenue beg to support the recommendation of the Collector that the arrears of pension due to him, amounting to Rupees 1400, may be discharged; and that the pension be continued to him from January 1853.

From May 1851 to December 1852.

---

Read the following letter—

No. 124.

To,
W. A. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(29)

Bd. No. 2816

From the Collector of Salem.—

Submitting the information required in regard of the land that Mr. Fischer Mootahdar of Salem is desirous of obtaining authority to include in that Estate.

Sir

Adverting to your Board's Proceedings dated the 29th April last, I have the honor to submit the information which seems required in regard of the land that Mr. Fischer, Mootahdar of Salem, is desirous of obtaining authority to include in that Estate.—

The

D.G.

1866 Fort St. George, 10th February 1853.

2. The letter of the former Sub Collector Mr. Cathcart to which the Board appear to refer is of date the 12th January 1833. not the 21st December 1832. as shewn in the Board's Proceedings.

Acres-G.-A. 12—37—2. 3. The first item of the lands as they have been classified in that communication, shows the area occupied by the commercial buildings, which Mr. Fischer purchased of the Government for the sum of Rupees 2500—0—0. As these buildings have thus became Mr. Fischer's own property I infer it will not be the intention of the Board now to make any additional demand for the ground on which they stand.

Acres-G.-A. 23—39—7. Statement A. 4. The second item shows the land for which a Government Grant was formerly issued in the names of Messrs Carpenter and Heath. It has since passed into the hands of Mr. Fischer who discharges the Quit Rent to which the ground is liable. In the respect I apprehend no change will be in contemplation.

Acres-G.-A. 53—24—1 5. The third item is land under Cultivation at the time when Mr. Cathcart wrote the letter in which its extent is given as marginally shown. But that extent, although thus exhibited, is erroneous, for

I find

1868 Fort St. George. 10th February 1853.

I find that the lease given to Mr. Fischer has reference to Acres 54-14-12. For this land it is, that a lease of 21 years duration was granted to Mr Fischer and it is to obtain permission for the incorporation of it with the Salem Mootah lands, that the Board have been addressed. Its exact extent and present condition are exhibited in the accompanying Statement, which has been prepared on accounts drawn up after a recent survey measurement by the Tahsildar of Salem, an Officer of great experience and much revenue ability. The land for the most part is in a state of high Cultivation, is well watered and is rich in Mangoe and other fruit trees, but such improvements on the original Classification as have been so effected, it is to be remembered, by the labor and industry of the present or previous tenants, and by the aid of water belonging to the Mootah of Salem.

B.

5. The measurements taken by the Tahsildar prove that there are at present under Cultivation Acres 12-39-9 assessable at Rupees 33-6-7 in excess of the quantity

1869 Fort St. George. 10th February 1853.

quantity of land formerly made over to Mr Fischer; but the addition is declared to be and seems to be, portions recovered from the porumboke of the Mootah with which, under existing rules, the Government have no concern. Whether or not the contrary cannot be proved.

Acres G. A. Rs aP
" 21-13-4-9

7. On reference to the Pymash and other accounts, it is found that through an oversight apparently, the trifling extent of land marginally shown was omitted in the lease formerly given to Mr Fischer. Under any new arrangements with that Gentleman, it should be included in the ground to be transferred to him. Mr Fischer will thus obtain possession of Acres 54-38-9 liable to an assessment of Rupees 134-12-1.

8. I would add that of the land above noticed some few acres seem to have been disposed of by Mr Fischer for building purposes, whilst a portion has been taken up by Mr Fischer himself for the construction of some stabling.

Acres G. A. Rs aP
1 " 10. 1-10-4
" 28-10. 1-2-3
1-29-4. 2-12-3

9. The local examination recently made by the Tahsildar of Salem, tends to show that the ground which is entered against the name of Pyapperu and as occupied by Pudders in Class No. IV.

of

Fort St. George. 10th February 1853.

of Mr. Cathcart's letter under remark, forms part of the "Gopaula Chetty Goon-dutteum Pooyee" which is actually a component portion of the ground comprised in the grant exhibited in Class No. II in Mr. Cathcart's communication. It appeared that on Jndy 1242 an inspection and measurement of the lands in question and the others belonging to the Commercial buildings, was made, by which it was ascertained that the field above named had been included partly in the area attached to the Commercial buildings and partly in that for which a Government Grant has issued, and that the actual extent of the latter was Acres 27-31-8, not Acres 25-27 as shown in the said grant, nor Acres 22-39-7 as reported by Mr. Cathcart.

10 The quit Rent hitherto paid on under the Grant and that which according to the actual extent of the ground was properly payable, differs by only the small sum of Rupees -- 2-1, which I submit is too unimportant an amount to justify any change of demand.

11. Of the other three items in Class



Fort St. George. 10th February 1853.

Class No. IV of Mr. Cathcart's letter consists those shown as "Weavers" and "Dhers" ground" and "Road" remain as they formerly were, but the space then occupied by "Dhobees" has passed into the possession of Mr. Fischer, who is therefore liable to an additional payment on that account of Rupees 1-11-5. The sum of Rupees 2-8-10 given as the assessment of the "Dhobee" ground in Mr. Cathcart's communication would seem to be an error.

Under the circumstances above represented the amount of Extra Paishcush which in according to Mr. Fischer's request, will be payable by that Gentleman, is Rupees 136-7-0.

| Acres | S. | A. | Rs | a | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 54 | 30 | 9 | 132 | 12 | 1 |
| " | 33 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 5 |
| 55 | 30 | " | 136 | 7 | 0 |

Salem. Collector's Cutcherry,
Ofsoor, - - - - -
15th November, 1852 - - -

Signed W. D. Phillips
Collector

Statement

1852. Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No 1.

A Statement shewing the Particulars of Land held under a Grant by G. T. Fischer Esquire in Talook Salem, District Salem.

| Name of the Village. | Name of the Field. | Particulars of land — Extent | Assessment | Items of Cultivation. | Plots of the extent of land in Columns 3 and 4 converted into different items of Cultivation by the irrigation of Mootah water — Extent | Permanent Assessment | Fuzlee Jasty | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 Acres Gs as | 4 Rs as p | 5 | 6 Acres Gs as | 7 Rs as p | 8 Rs as p | 9 Rs as p |
| Salem | Anady tarasoo Paulamarum Moonniapen Covil Poonjah | 8 30 " | 18 7 5 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | D° Poonjah Bagayet | 3 6 7 | 11 8 " | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Iyasamien Buttserty Poonjah | 5 24 8 | 20 2 11 | Poonjah Bagayet | 1 31 9 | 6 7 " | 6 11 3 | 13 2 3 |
| | Bagayet in Anady tarasoo Paulamarum Moonniapen Covil Poonjah | 2 30 " | 10 4 6 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Gopaula Chitty Goondutteum Poonjah | 1 22 2 | 12 " 1 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | Total Poonjah | 21 36 8 | 80 10 11 | Poonjah Bagayet | 1 31 9 | 6 7 " | 6 11 3 | 13 2 3 |
| | Total Poonjah Bagayet | 5 36 7 | 21 10 6 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 27 32 15 | 12 5 5 | | 1 31 9 | 6 7 " | 6 11 3 | 13 2 3 |
| | Now held under Puttah | 27 31 8 | 12 3 4 | | | | | |
| | Puttah to be given hereafter | " 1 7 | " 2 1 | | | | | |

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
D. J. Soor.
15th November, 1852.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement

# Statement of Cargoes, &c. to 4th February 1853.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ellsworth | Hammond | Bonds | 2 | 205 | 541 |
| | | Stamps | £92 | 1 | 0 |
| | | Amoy | 213 | 4 | 9 |
| | | Amoy | 2 | 13 | 4 |
| | | | | | |
| Johnson | Bonds | Amoy | 26 | 47 | 2 |
| Masterman | Bonds | Amoy | 313 | 9 | 3 |
| Eaton | Bonds | Amoy | 316 | 9 | 44 |
| | | | | | |
| Q. | Amoy | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total | Bonds | | 11 | 63 | 27 |
| | | Stamps | £92 | 1 | 0 |
| Q. | Amoy | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Collector's Office, Amoy, 4th February 1853. | | | | | |

Customs Collector's Office, Amoy, 4th February 1853.

Collector

Commissioner of Customs, Shanghai

Commissioner of Customs, Amoy

Statement of Cargoes, &c. to 4th February 1853.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total | Sa | 369 | 131 | 121 | 52 | 1302 |
| | | | | | | |
| Customs Duties | | | | | | |
| Small Vessels | | | | | | |
| Junk Boats | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Bonds | Sa | 200 | 125 | 130 | 53 | 56 |
| Amoy | | | | | | |
| Stamps | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Amoy | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| State | | 553 | 0. | 136 | 6 | 52 |

Examined.

Collector

Commissioner of Customs, Shanghai

Commissioner of Customs, Amoy

B. No. 318.
Proceedings thereon
29.30

With reference to the remarks in Para 5 that the improvements in the Cultivation have been effected by the aid of water belonging to the Mootah of Salem the Board would wish to notice the remarks of the Principal Collector at the conclusion of Para 2 of his letter to the Board 8th February 1853 and those of the Sub Collector Paras 15 and 16 on the levy of Teerwajasty; if superior Cultivation was carried on by means of river water, which it appears was available from an Annicut erected originally for the supply of water to the bleaching green" and that a small portion of the land had been converted from dry to wet with the assistance of the above source of irrigation.

From Sub Coll. P. 15

2. Upon a consideration of these facts the Board wish to learn, whether the Collector be still of opinion that the additional Peishcush, in the event of Mr Fischer's request being complied with, should be fixed on the Survey rates alone without regard to Teerwajasty.

---

No. 9.

To. W. H. Bayley Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

31

B. No. 296.
From the Collector of Salem.

Sir,

Reporting on a petition presented.

1. I have the honor to submit the report



presented to the Board by one Sarvelsa resident of Injpatoor in the Salem District who seeks to be restored to him certain Enam lands.

No. 2495 of 1852.

From C. Serwen residing in Injpatoor in Injpatoor Talook in the Zillah of Salem.—

Mentioning the steps he has taken to have restored to him the Enam land given to his ancestors who constructed a Tank and of which he was deprived by the Mittaladars; and praying that the Collector may be instructed to enquire into the matter and give him back the Manniem.

Board's Endorsement.

Forwarded to the Collector for report by Endorsement with reference to his Endorsement dated 23d October 1852.

11th January 1853.—

Cl. I Sec. 6 II Regn. IV 1831

report required by the order of the Board in the Endorsement marginally Copied.—

2 The Petitioner appears to be one of the descendants of an individual formerly the Grantee and in professions of a certain Dusvunduns Enam situated in the Pootagarum Mootah, which is now in the hands of its own proprietors.—

3 He complained to my predecessor in 1849 that the Mootahdar had many years ago usurped the Enam in question.— By an Endorsement which he has represented from submitting to the Board, he was referred for redress to the judicial tribunals. This did not satisfy him; he addressed my predecessor again and received the same answer.—

4 Subsequently, he would seem to have brought an action against the Mootadar in the Court of the District Moonsiff at Injpatoor, where he obtained a favorable judgment: This however, appears to have been

B. No. 318.
Proceedings thereon
29.30

With reference to the remarks in Para 5 that the improvements in the Cultivation have been effected by the aid of water belonging to the Mootah of Salem the Board would wish to notice the remarks of the Principal Collector at the conclusion of Para 2 of his letter to the Board 8th Febuary 1833 and those of the Sub Collector Paras 15 and 16 on the levy of Teerwajasty; if superior Cultivation was carried on by means of river water, which it appears was available from an Annicut erected originally for the supply of water to the bleaching green" and that a small portion of the land had been converted from dry to wet with the assistance of the above source of irrigation.

From Sub Coll. P. 15

2. Upon a consideration of these facts the Board wish to learn, whether the Collector be still of opinion that the additional Poishcush, in the event of Mr. Fischer's request being complied with should be fixed on the Survey rates alone without regard to Teerwajasty.

No. 9.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

31

B. No. 206.
From the Collector of Salem.

Sir,

Reporting on a petition presented.

1. I have the honor to submit the report



Represented to the Board by one Sarvey Caranden of Tripatoor in the Salem District who seeks to be restored to him certain Enam lands.

No. 2435 of 1852.

From C. Servey residing in Tripatoor in Tripatoor Talook in the Zillah of Salem.

Mentioning the Steps he has taken to have restored to him the Enam land given to his ancestors who constructed a Tank and of which he was deprived by the Mittahdars; and praying that the Collector may be instructed to enquire into the matter and give him back the Manniem.

Board's Endorsement.

Forwarded to the Collector for report by Endorsement with reference to his Endorsement dated 23d October 1852.

11th January 1853.

2 report required by the order of the Board in the Endorsement marginally Copied.

The Petitioner appears to be one of the descendants of an individual formerly the Grantee and in professions of a certain Dusvunduru Enam situated in the Pootagarum Mootah, which is now in the hands of its own proprietors.

3 He complained to my predecessor in 1847 that the Mootahdar had many years ago usurped the Enam in

Cl. I Sec. 6 II
Regn. IV
1831

questions. By an Endorsement which he has represented from submitting to the Board, he was referred for redress to the judicial tribunals. This did not satisfy him; he addressed my predecessor again and received the same answer.

Subsequently, he would seem to have brought an action against the Mootadar in the Court of the District Moonsiff at Tripatoor, where he obtained a favorable judgment. This however, appears to have been

been appealed against to the Civil Court at Salem who directed its review and a decision de novo.—

5. The action must have been pending trial when recently orders were issued by the Acting Civil Judge of Salem, of which the effect has been to cause the removal from the files of the several Courts in this Collectorate of all actions relative to Enams or other favorable or rent free holdings.—

6 This measure has, I apprehend, been made applicable to the suit, in which the Petitioner was concerned, and he now, therefore, seeks redress from the revenue authorities of the District. On his addressing himself to me, I disposed of his petition by the Endorsement quoted in the Board's order above transcribed, and on review the matter, my Endorsement is unobjectionable.—

7 If the Enam be one to which Regulation IX of 1831 has reference, the Petitioner should have sought from the Chief Secretary to Government the permission to sue for his Enam, which is provided for in clause first Section II of that enactment; and it does not appear to have been my duty to point out what course he should adopt, especially after the repeated intimation



intimation had on two instances been made to him in my Office.—

8 But the Enam Inam is not of the Class of favorable tenures in regard to which by existing Regulations the judicial authorities are prohibited from taking cognizance. It does not, as I view it, come within the Class of Enams mentioned in Regulation IX of 1831 and other later laws on the subject of such grants. It is a Dushwundum holding which the original Grantee or his descendants can justly retain possession of only so long as they preserve in good serviceable order the tank under which their Enam lies. It involves, in fact, a condition of service; and reasoning by analogy, may be sold, mortgaged or otherwise alienated just as the Grantee or his heirs or other interested parties may please, provided that is done for the preservation and repairs of the tank, which, under the stipulations of the original Grant, they are bound to perform. Any failure in this respect should necessarily bring about the resumption of the Enam; and whether, as in the present case, the Enam is within the limits of a puree Mistah, or on the dunnaay lands of the District does not I apprehend, in any wise affect the principle.—

Board's Circular order 25th January 1845-paragraph 2

7 The

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Minuteg Consultation 23d December 1851 No 1296.

The order of Government of the marginal date might indeed, also be held to be applicable to the Evans termed Dasweundum. If so it may be a question for determination, whether the District Moonsiff of Tripatoor has not given too wide a signification to the instructions of the Civil Court, or the latter authority may have issued them in a form so comprehensive, that the Subordinate tribunals had no alternative, but that of removing from their files every suit relating to Evans. Inclined to believe that on enquiry it will be found, that the District Moonsiff had no option but that of striking off the suit. Any how it does not seem to consist with my duty to suggest to the Petitioner that he should appeal to the Civil Court and on what grounds.-

10 I should also mention in conclusion that when 2½ years ago, I assumed charge of the Salem Collectorate, I discovered that Regulation IX of 1831 was to all intents and purposes a dead letter, so far as the Courts of the District were concerned. I took the first available opportunity of declining to meet as judicial requisition founded on a decree which, as in breach of that law, were to my notions a nullity; and after considerable correspondence regarding that



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

that particular case and some others of the same kind, forced the matter before the Court of Sudr Adawlut, where the view which I had taken in opposition to the opinion of the late Civil Judge, was deemed to be the Correct one.-

11 The instructions under which the Petitioner's suit has been removed from the file, were, I infer, the result of the reference made to to the Sudr Adawlut.-

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Kishnagherry
15th January 1853

/ Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

(31.32)
Bd No 324
To the Collector of Salem.

To
The Collector of
Salem.

Sir

In Cons 10th February 1853

With reference to your letter 15th January 1853 reporting upon Petition No 2475 of 1852 the Board desire me to observe that they agree with you in opinion that

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

that the Enams claimed by the Petitioner is not of the class referred to in Regulation IV of 1831.-

2 They think however that you might have disposed of this case under Regulation V of 1822 on appeal from your decision lying to the Civil Court, or that you might have given the Petitioner an Endorsement explanatory of your reasons for supposing the Enams not within those embraced by Regulation IV 1831 and the Civil Court might thereupon have directed the readmission of Petitioners suit upon the file of the District Court.-

Endorsement on Petition 2475 of 1852.

Petitioner should apply to the Civil Court for the readmission of his suit on its file, as the claim preferred does not appear to be among those the entertainment of which by the Civil Tribunals is barred by Regulation IV of 1831.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 10th February 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Read the following letter

(33)

No 8.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

Para. I have the honor to submit the report called for in the Endorsement by your Board on the Petition mar: ginally noted.-

2. The Petitioner appears to be the widow of an individual, who many years ago received certain Enams land from a Butwarty Enamdar.- The first mortgage

Bd. No 238
From the Collector of Salem.

Submits the report called for in the Board's Endorsement No 61 of 1852 on the Petition of one Coopunnamal who solicits permission to sue for certain Butwarty Enams lands.-

Board's No 61 of 1852.
Government's No 1896.-
From Coopunnamal, wife of Seetaram Joseeyer of Daramapoory Talook in Salem.-

Complains of a certain party having usurped her Butwarty Enams during her absence with the connivance of her tenants, on the ground that they were mortgaged to him by her sons. States that the Collector has referred her to the Courts and prays permission under Regulation IX of 1831 to sue for the lands.-

Government Endorsement.

The Board of Revenue will be pleased to report whether there is any objection to Petitioner being authorized to sue in the Courts for the lands in question.

4th December 1852.

Board's Endorsement.

Forwarded to the Collector for report with reference to the Endorsement of Government dated 4th December 1852.-

24th December 1852.

The latter has long been dead.

mortgage ceased about 23 years back, by the Enam being further mortgaged by the original mortgagee to another person, who, in his turn, again mortgaged it, ten years ago, for Rupees 60, to Bapun Sahib, one of the parties now in possession of the land comprising the Enam, which they cultivate.

3 On the Petitioner and her sons endeavouring, through the aid of this Office, to recover possession of the Enam in question, my predecessor declined to interfere referring them to the Courts for redress, as will be observed by the endorsement which forms an enclosure to their Petition to the Government.

21st September 1847.

4 As matters now stand it seems to me, that as Bapun Sahib, the mortgagee actually in possession, acquired such possession prior to the promulgation of the rules relative to Butwarty Enams marginally noted, his demise will constitute the "lapse" adverted to in the Rules, on which occasion, and not before, it will become the duty of the Collector to make the requisite enquiry and

Board's Circular order Book 27th July 1848. page 430.



and thereon, of his own authority to continue the Enam, or in the usual course and forms to represent to your Board, the circumstances, if any, under which its resumption should be ordered.

5. In the event of any construction of the rules as affecting Bapun Sahib's present position being correct, I would submit that pending the demise of that individual, there seems no objection to the Petitioner Co-parcenary and instituting an action before the Courts, under Clause first Section II Regulation III of 1831 in view to her ousting Bapun Sahib and regaining possession of the Enam to which she deems herself entitled.

6 Should the result of any such action go to place the enjoyment and possession of the Enam in Co-parcenary hands, I imagine that on her death creating the "lapse" mentioned in the Enam rules it will become my duty to treat the Enam as the rules require.

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Kistnagherry.
15th January 1853.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector

Ordered

1885

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

No 63

(33·34)

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

B.d No 310

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

I am desired by the Board of Revenue to state that there would appear from the accompanying letter from the Collector of Salem dated 15th January 1853 having reference to the Endorsement of Government on Petition 1894 of 1852 to have no objection to the Petitioner being permitted to bring his claims before the Civil Court.-

Incons: 16th Feby 1853.

B.d No 61 of 1852.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ...
10th February 1853 ...

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

J.S.B.

1886

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Read the following letters.-

No. 14.

To W. FC. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

B.d No. 276.

From the Collector of Salem ---

31st December 1852
17th January 1853.

Sir.

I have the honor to forward copies of two Communications to my address from W.E. Cochrane Esq.r Sub Collector of this District, proposing the Sale at Salem for arrears of revenue of Certain lands situated in the Talooks marginally noted, and beg to transmit for publication in Your Boards' Office, three Advertisements regarding the disposal of the lands in question.-

I also transmit three Lists 2. shewing the immoveable property of the respective defaulters and beg to request Your Board's sanction for the sale in question on the date specified in the Advertisements.-

Namcul
Pairamuttey
Vrinkerydroog

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Darampoory, ---
24th January, 1853. ---

(Signed) H.D. Phillips
Collector.

List

1887 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 1 List of immovable property belonging to the undermentioned individual sequestered on account of the Government demand for Fusly 1261 in Taluk Paramutty Zillah Salem.

| Number. | Name of the Defaulter. | Fusly. | Puttah Collection Berij. | Balance due to Government. | Name of the field Sequestered. | Extent. | Assessment. | Probable Value. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a P. | Rs. a P. | | A. Q. P. | Rs. a P. | Rs. a P. |
| 1 | Anuntayen | 1261 | 17 1 " | 17 1 " | No 573 Anuntapa Naiken padoshy Coottoocandoo Nunjee | " 34 3 | 9 3 " | 15 a " |

Salem Collector's Cutcherry Darumpoory, 24th January, 1853

E.E.P. / Signed / H. D. Phillips Collector

1888 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 2. List of immovable property belonging to the undermentioned individual Sequestered on account of the Government demand for Fusly 1261 in Taluk Shenkeerydroog Zillah Salem.

| Number. | Name of the Defaulter | Fusly. | Puttah Collection Berij. | Balance due to Government. | Name of the fields Sequestered. | Extent. | Assessment. | Probable Value. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a P. | Rs. a P. | | acres | Q as | Rs a R | Rs. a P. |
| 1 | Samiengar. | 1261. | 36 46 " " | 36 46 | No 209 Manalary Nunjee | " | 32 15 | 5 10 1 | 2 8 " |
| | | | | | No 216 Oolapachoulo Nunjee | " | 15 10 | 2 10 0 | 1 4 " |
| | | | | | No 217 Vodasalary Oolvoy Do | 1 | 15 2 | 9 12 6 | 5 " " |
| | | | | | No 218 Do Do | " | 11 10 | 1 14 10 | 1 " " |
| | | | | | No 220 Do Do | " | 1 14 | " 5 4 | " 4 " |
| | | | | | No 221 Do Do | " | 3 2 | " 6 " | " 4 " |
| | | | | | No 222 Voddoottirsoo Do | " | 5 5 | " 15 5 | " 12 " |
| | | | | | No 219 Oolvoy Do | " | 32 15 | 5 10 1 | 4 " " |
| | | | | | No 210 Do Do | " | 39 10 | 6 3 7 | 4 " " |
| | | | | | No 224 Do Do | " | 15 10 | 2 9 2 | 1 4 " |
| | | | | | No 212 Do Do | " | 3 " | " 0 1 | " 4 " |
| | | | | | No 223 Do Do | " | 1 14 | " 4 9 | " 2 " |
| | | | | | | 5 | 10 11 | 36 14 6 | 20 10 " |

Salem Collector's Cutcherry Durumpoory, 24th January, 1853.

E.C.P. / Signed / H. D. Phillips Collector

1889

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 3 List of immovable property belonging to the undermentioned individuals Sequestered on account of the Government demand for Fusly 1261 in Talook Namcul Tillah Salem.—

| Number. | Name of the Defaulters | Fusly | Puttah Allec. Berij. tions. | Balance due to Govern ment. | Name of the field Sequestered. | Extent. | Assess ment. | Probable Value. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | | A q a | Rs. a p | Rs. a p |
| 1 | Sashummaul | 1261 | 81 43 | 41 " " | 40 43 No. 12 Sungaratapoo Nunjee | 1 306 | 24 5 " | 40 " " |
| 2 | Sreerungum Coothanyengar | " | 93 7 " | 40 93 | 52 13½ No. 120 Sungamodoo Do. | " 131 | 5 8 " | 7 " " |
| | | | | | No. 282. Sothavassy Carnoot Do. | 1 335 | 25 5 " | 43 " " |
| 3 | Mootoo Veerapillay | " | 103 14 " | 66 2 " | 37 12 No. 241 Coddapulunganer Do. | 1 24 | 18 4 " | 35 " " |
| 4 | Narasummyengar and Sashadryengar | " | 48 7 " | 7 " 6 | 41 66 No. 225 Parattremodoo Do. | " 329 | 11 4 " | 13 " " |
| | | | | | No. 202 Do. Do. | 1 265 | 23 2 " | 27 " " |
| 5 | Malapollium Rookmanyummal | " | 82 7 " | 42 5 4 | 41 1 8 No. 6 Sungaratapoo Do. | 1 321 | 25 " " | 40 " " |
| 6 | Viridyengar | " | 194 44 | 74 4 " | 120 " 4 No. 93 Modadee Do. | 1 274 | 28 4 " | 30 " " |
| | | | | | No. 174 Seribahmodoo Do. | 1 35 " | 31 10 " | 43 " " |
| | | | | | No. 204 Do. Do. | 1 354 | 26 " " | 45 " " |
| 7 | Serooyengada Syengar and Govinda Syengar | " | 181 10 3 | 77 " " | 104 10½ No. 13 Sungaratapoo Do. | 1 275 | 23 7 " | 50 " " |
| | | | | | No. 17 Modadee Do. | 1 252 | 27 0 " | 50 " " |
| 8 | Kocaraynpett Rungyengar | " | 93 93 | 31 11 | 62 82 No. 220 Teetrjah Pillay Do. | 1 350 | 26 7 " | 32 " " |
| | | | | | No. 117 Vadacara Do. | 1 304 | 24 0 " | 30 " " |
| 9 | Kylassajata vullabur<br>Sambasrvajata vullabur<br>Vencatasajata vullabur<br>Neelacuntyjata vullabur<br>Narasimmajata vullabur<br>Chundrasakarajata vullabur | " | 40 0 " | 17 " " | 31 0 " No. 278. Senkarapollium Do. | 1 342 | 25 10 " | 14 " " |
| | | | | | No. 31 Mavady Nunjee | 1 6 14 | 16 2 " | 13 " " |
| | | | | | No. 27 Do. Do. | " 194 | 6 12 " | 4 " " |
| 10 | Veeraparoma Chetty | " | 24 25 | " " " | 24 25 Gardru to the South of Paraya Oodapoovary | 2 304 | 23 15 4 | 20 " " |
| | | | 952 36 | 396 62 | 555 13½ | 20 7 10 | 393 9 4 | 538 " " |

Salem Collector's Cutchery,
Saroumpoory.
24th January, 1853.

E. C. pr. (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Fort St. George 10th. February 1853.

No. 4

To,

H. D. Phillips Esq.

Collector of Salem

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 14th. Instant, I have the honor to request that the 2nd. March next may be appointed as the day for selling the lands under attachment for arrears of Revenue in my division, and that Salem may be nominated as the place to conduct the sale.-

Salem, 17th. January, 1853.

[Signed] W. E. Cockrane

Sub Collector.

No. 5.

To,

H. D. Phillips Esqr.

Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Maratta Memoranda showing



Fort St. George 10th. February 1853.

Showing the particulars of arrears of Revenue due by the several persons named in the Marginal Abstract for fasly 1261, who are the Ryots of the villages of Moganoor and Pytumpary in the Taloork of Namcul, Edungunsaly in Senkerry-droog and Solypollium in Paramuttypand I beg to request that sanction of the Board of Revenue may be obtained for the sale of the Nunjah lands in their occupation assessed at Rupees 920-2-0

2. The Ryots of the Moganoor village do not seem to be incapable

| No. | Name of the defaulters | Amount of Assessment | | | Amount of Balance. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. | a | p | Rs. | a | p |
| | Namcul Taloork Moganoor. | | | | | | |
| 1 | Shashummaud | 81 | 14 | 3 | 40 | 14 | 3 |
| 2 | Seeringa Coppanaingar | 93 | 7 | 0 | 52 | 13 | 9 |
| 3 | Mottoovearapilly | 103 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 12 | 0 |
| 4 | Shuskadry Iyengar | 48 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 6 |
| 5 | Rottmanyummaud | 82 | 7 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 8 |
| 6 | Vurdiengar | 194 | 4 | 4 | 120 | 0 | 4 |
| 7 | Terovangadatah Iyengar Govind Iyengar | 101 | 10 | 3 | 104 | 10 | 3 |
| 8. | Rungenigar | 93 | 9 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 2 |
| 9 | Rylasajada vullabur<br>Sambasevajada vullabur<br>Venattasajada vullabur<br>Neelacuntajada vullabur<br>Narasingajada vullabur<br>Sendraroosagurjada vullabur | 48 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pytumpary. | 920 | 1 | 1 | 531 | 10 | 11 |
| 10 | Veeraperma chetty Senkerry droog Edungunsaly. | 24 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 5 |
| 11 | Samungar Paramuttty | 36 | 11 | 6 | 36 | 11 | 6 |
| 12 | Anuntien | 17 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 1206 | 0 | 0 | 609 | 9 | 10 |

incapable

incapable of discharging the Government demand thro' indigent circumstances, but they put off payment from Contumacy and a fraudulent spirit. Their personal property is not forthcoming but their lands are saleable, and as they are unwilling to relinquish the same, I am necessitated to recommend the sale of them to prevent further accumulation of arrears against them.-

3. Vyraperma chetty of Pycumpary and Samiengar of Enaiyanaly are absent from their villages their lands were left waste during the past fusly. They have no moveable property in this District; they evaded the Summonses sent after them to Trichinopoly & other places, their lands also are saleable and consequently I have to recommend the sale of the same for the recovery of the arrears of revenue due by them.

4. Sunnisiem of Solypolliem took up in fusly 1261, a Podagal Poonjah land consisting of Acres 11-6-11 on full teerwah of Rupees 17-1-" and Cultivated the same but put off the payment of the assessment under the plea of having reaped a partial crop. He possesses no personal



personal property which could be attached and sold in satisfaction of the arrears and the said poonjah land is not marketable, but among five pieces of Nunjah lands entered in the name of his late father Moottaisamy Vencattaramanniem and now under mortgage to a third party, one piece consisting of a. 6 sa. 1-34-3 assessed at Rupees 9-3-" being found to be saleable and the defaulter being the owner of it, it has been attached and I am obliged to recommend it for sale for the recovery of the arrears standing against that individual.-

Salem, — Sub-Collector's Office, 31st December, 1852.

(Signed) W. E. Cochrane
Sub Collector.

No. 6 to 8- Tamil-

Advertisement

1894 Fort St. George the 7th February 1853.

No. 9.

## Advertisement

1. Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue for Fasly 1261 which are outstanding against the undermentioned lands under attachment in the Tillack of Salem, shall have been liquidated on or before the 7th March 1853. the sale of those lands will Commence by auction at the Collector's Cutchery at Salem on that day and will Continue until the whole of the Lands shall be sold.

| Talook | Village. | Name of the Defaulter. | Amount of Balance Rs a P | Name of the Village in which the land is situated. | Particulars of the land for sale: Name of the land. | Extent. | Assessment. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | As Y A | CRs a P |
| Paramcoody | Vengaramadevi alias Vellore | Arumtyen. | 171 " | Vengaramadevi<br>Solapollium | No. 373 Arumtapa Naickens Pottooky Cottoocondoo Punjee | " 3 3 | 9 3 " |

2. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.

3. But it is requested that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of the Constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4 The intention of the Condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion, &c &c

Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name the deposit Money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government, and the Lands to be resold.

5 The Purchaser of Lands will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Boards Sanction or within one Month from the day of sale, in default whereof the Lands will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

6. In Case of the Sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Lands.

7. The arrears of Revenue which are outstanding against the abovementioned Lands will be received by the Tahsildar in his Talook until the 28th February next after which date the Proprietors should pay the balance into the Hozoor Cutchery

8. For particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collector's Cutchery between 10 and 5 O'Clock, Sundays excepted.

Salem Collector's Cutchery,
Paramcoody ——— }
24th January, 1853 ——— }

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Advertisement

1895

Fort-St. George 18th February 1853

No. 10.

Collectorship

1 Advice is hereby given that monies the Returns for March 1853 of which are entitled to credit against the undermentioned Towns under the Collectorship of Salem have been remitted to the Treasury of Fort St. George by Bills on the Bank of Madras as per Schedule hereunto annexed.

The Collector will be pleased to cause the Receipts to be granted to the Parties concerned in the Remittances by Bills on the Bank of Madras.

By Order

(Signed) H. P. Hallett

Secretary

Salem Collector's Office
Coimbatore
11th January, 1853

(Signed) H. P. Hallett
Collector

Schedule referred to in the foregoing Letter.

| Name of the Towns remitted in Bills on the Bank of Madras | Date | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. a. p. |
| Coconut-garden Laying Company | 10th Feb. | 1,21,25. |
| Cotton Weaving Company | | |
| Coconut Fruit Company | | |
| Dyeing Company | 6th Feb. | 31,0,4. |
| Oil Refining Company | | |
| Tamarind Fruit Company | | |
| Mr. C. Ellapa Chetty | 10th Feb. | 1,21,25. |
| Mr. Ramasawmy Iyer | | |
| Mr. 93 Merchants | Do. | 1 7/8 28 4. |
| Mr. 174 Gumbalmen | Do. | 1 35 31 0. |
| Mr. 204 Do. | Do. | 1 35 26 4. |
| Mr. 13 Cumpatchies | Do. | 1 17 8 23 7. |

Collector's Office
Salem
11th January, 1853

(Signed) H. P. Hallett
Collector

Salem Collector's Office
Coimbatore
11th January, 1853

(Signed) H. P. Hallett
Collector

1 Advice is hereby given that monies the Returns for March 1853 of which are entitled to credit against the undermentioned Towns under the Collectorship of Salem have been remitted to the Treasury of Fort St. George by Bills on the Bank of Madras as per Schedule hereunto annexed.

By Order

(Signed) H. P. Hallett

Secretary

Salem Collector's Office
Coimbatore
11th January, 1853

(Signed) H. P. Hallett
Collector

Particulars of the Remittance.

| Name of the Towns remitted in Bills on the Bank of Madras | Name of the Remitters. | Date of Bills. | Amount of Bills. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a. p. |
| Dharapuram | Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. | 6th Jan. | 36 11 6 |
| Do. | Do. | 20th Do. | 5 8 1 |
| Do. | Do. | 21st Do. | 2 10 8 |
| Do. | Do. | 25th Do. | 9 11 6 |
| Do. | Do. | 28th Do. | 1 11 8 |
| Do. | Do. | 31st Do. | 3 4 |

1895 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 10.

## Advertisment

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue for Fasly 1261. which are outstanding against the undermentioned lands under attachment in the Tillak of Salem shall have been liquidated on or before the 7th March 1853. the Sale of those lands will Commence by auction at the Collector's Cutcherry at Salem on that day, and will Continue until the whole of the lands shall be sold.

Talook Villages.

| Name of the Defaulter. | Amount of Balance. | Name of the village in which the lands are situated. | Particulars of the lands for sale. Name of the lands. | Extent. | Assessment. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a p. | | | A. Q. as | Rs a p. |
| Samrugam | 36 14 6 | Yeddagum = salay. | No. 209 Manalary Kumpee | " 32 15 | 5 10 1 |
| | | | No. 216 Oolapachoulo — Do. | " 15 10 | 2 10 0 |
| | | | No. 217 Vodasalary Oolvoy — Do. | 1 13 2 | 9 12 6 |
| | | | No. 218 — Do. — Do. | " 11 10 | 1 14 10 |
| | | | No. 120 — Do. — Do. | " 1 14 | " 5 4 |
| | | | No. 121 — Do. — Do. | " 3 2 | " 6 " |
| | | | No. 222 Voddaoturoo — Do. | " 5 5 | " 15 6 |
| | | | No. 219 Oolvoy — Do. | " 32 15 | 5 10 1 |
| | | | No. 210 — Do. — Do. | " 39 10 | 6 3 7 |
| | | | No. 224 — Do. — Do. | " 15 10 | 2 9 2 |
| | | | No. 212 — Do. — Do. | " 3 " | " 0 1 |
| | | | No. 223 — Do. — Do. | " 1 14 | " 4 9 |
| | | | | 5 10 11 | 36 14 6 |

Sundries Yeddagumsalay.

2. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.

3. But it is requested that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of the Constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made

4. The intention of the Condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion.

Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the lands to be resold.

5. The purchaser of lands will not be put in possession till the sale has been [illegible] by the Board of Revenue; but in the mean time [illegible]

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Samurugan | 36 | 14 6 | Geddagam = salary. | No. 209 | Manalary | Kunjee | " 32 | 15 5 10 1 |
| | | | | No. 216 | Oolapachoulon | Do. | " 15 | 10 2 10 8 |
| | | | | No. 217 | Vodasalary | Oolvay Do. | 1 | 15 2 9 12 6 |
| | | | | No. 218 | Do. | Do. | " | 11 0 1 14 10 |
| | | | | No. 172 | Do. | Do. | " | 1 14 " 3 14 |

Do. 9

Sen Kerry Geddagam salary.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. 12 | Do. | Do. | " 3 2 " 6 " |
| | | No. 223 | Voddaolursen | Do. | " 5 5 " 15 5 |
| | | No. 219 | Oolvay | Do. | " 32 15 5 11 1 |
| | | No. 210 | Do. | Do. | " 39 10 6 3 7 |
| | | No. 224 | Do. | Do. | " 15 10 2 9 2 |
| | | No. 212 | Do. | Do. | " 3 " " 0 1 |
| | | No. 223 | Do. | Do. | " 1 14 " 4 9 |
| | | | | | 5 10 11 36 14 6 |

2. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.—

3. But it is requested that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of the Constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made

4. The intention of the Condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion.

Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the lands to be resold.—

5. The purchaser of lands will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue; but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Boards Sanction or within one Month from the day of sale, in default whereof the lands will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.—

6. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit Money will be returned, and the person depositing it released from all re-

7. The arrears of Revenue which are outstanding against the alienated lands will be received by the Tahsildar in his Talook until the 28th February next after which date the proprietors should pay the Balance into the Hoozoor Cutcherry.

8. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collector's Cutcherry between 10 and 5 O'Clock—Sundays excepted.

Salem Collector's Cutcherry,
Darampoory, — — — —
24th January, 1853. — — — —

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Advertisement

1887 Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 1 List of immovable property belonging to the undermentioned individual sequestered on account of the Government demand for Fusly 1261 in Talook Paramuthy Tillah Salem.-

| Number. | Name of the Defaulter. | Fusly. | Puttah Collection Berir. | Balance due to Government. | Name of the field Sequestered. | Extent. | Assessment value. | Probable value. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. | A. Q. P. | Rs. a p. | Rs. a p. |
| 1 | Arumtyeo | 1261 | 17 1 0 | " " " | 17 1 " | N 573 Arumtapa Naiken padoody Coolloocandoo Nunjee | " 34 3 | 9 3 " 15 " " |

Salem Collector's Cutcherry, Paramupoory, - - -

1897

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

Bd. No. 307.

Proceedings thereon.

(35.36)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of revenue of the lands adverted to in the foregoing letter, and direct the publication in their Office, of the advertisements forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being altered to Monday the 14th day of March 1853. Mr. Phillips will be pleased to make a Corresponding alteration in the advertisements published in his District.-

2 The Collector is requested to state why the value of land in the Talaks of Samad and Parmuttay should be so much higher than that in the Sankerry drug Talask as shewn in the Statement

To,

1898

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

No. 37.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(37)

Bd. No. 355.

From the Collector of Salem ——

Sir.

I have the honor to submit for consideration and approval by your Board, the draft of an answer which I propose to file in a Suit that No. 40 of 1852 File of the Subordinate Court at Salem Plaintiff. one Thylasah Cowndon has instituted against me in my revenue Capacity.-

I also beg to transmit a translation of the plaint in the said suit.-

2. The material on which I have been enabled to prepare the answer, reached me from the Office of the Sub Collector only on the 17th Instant, and I regret to observe that the period allowed by the Court for the filing of it will expire on the 17th March next.-

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Baramahal
31st January 1853

Signed W. D. Phillips
Collector

Original

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Original Suit No 40 of 1852.

Translation of the Complaint filed in the Subordinate Court of Salem by Kylasah Cowndan Son of Parumasamah Cowndan, Proprietor of the Varadumputty Mootah in Talook Sen Kayherry against H. D Phillips Esqre Collector of Salem.

I request your Court to pass a decision directing the restoration, to me, of 600 goontahs of Malgoozary Poonjah land now under cultivation as hereunder particularized, yielding an annual Beriz of Rupees 600, and produce of the value of Rupees 800, which have been usurped by Government in Vettavacandoputty and Sungoutty Varadumputty hamlets of the abovementioned Varadumputty Mootah and order the cancelment of the Puttahs and Muchilikas which are now in force for the Government.

Of the entire extent now claimed a plot comprising 600 goontahs situated in



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

in Vettavacandoputty a hamlet of the said Jaury Mootah Varadumputty is bounded on the East by Vycondum Mazara Vettavacandoputty Talumpulum, on the west by Palyadavorgutta-malay on the South by the road leading to Tuckungoodoo within the limits of Vycondum mazara Yadyaputty and on the North by Mandoppoopaly, the remaining plot of 100 goontahs situated in Sungoutty Varadumputty hamlet within the limits of the same Mootah is bounded on the East by Maittanedoo in Yerravacalvoyoo on the west by the bed of the Varadumputty Tank on the South by the Mundawally of Chennarayemputty a hamlet of Carvonapoyum Village and on the North by the border of Poochumputty a hamlet of Vycondum Village. Such are the plots of land which form the subject of my claim. They are lands included in the Ayacut the Kulundy of the Villages respectively referred to above the permanent

Beriz

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

Being of which I have hitherto been discharging. The following entries are observable on a reference to the Ayacut account of the year Doonmooky

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| In Vettovandoputty | Poonjah land left waste on account of its being covered with trees and ditches | Coontahs 1018 | 11/16 |
| In Vettovandoputty | Poonjah land left waste but which may be converted in to Cultivation by clearing the trees covering it | 211 | |
| In Smagvotty Varadumputty | Poonjah lands of the late called Poorum: Soke occupied by Jungles and ditches | 200 | 11/32 |

Some of these lands have been for a considerable time under Cultivation and were in the occupation and enjoyment of the late Mootadar up to the year Sivalah having them been periodically given over to Ryots for Cultivation and Puttahs distributed. Such being the state of affairs some how or other the Government managed to usurp possession of them. My title is



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

is sufficiently supported not only by the Regulations and the Istimirar Sunnud but also by the general practice prevailing in all the Jaury Mootahs where the right, possession and enjoyment of the waste lands are invariable with their respective Proprietors. There are several instances in which decrees of Court and orders by Government have been passed to the effect that waste lands of all descriptions invariably belong to the Mootadars themselves and these have accordingly been carried out. The Mootah in question in consequence of it's having been frequently put up to sale has for some time remained without any fenced management Subsequently or from the year Viramah it was for some time under litigation and subject to judicial process, and lastly it fell under management by the Government. Under these several circumstances connected with the Estate the Government found ample opportunity to take unlawful possession of the extent of land above alluded to. In the year Doonmooky the Mootah having reverted to

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

to me, I discovered on reference to the accounts for of the Mootah that usurpation had been effected by Government as already shown. From this time although I have repeatedly urged my application to the Circar requesting them to restore the lands to me and represented the just and reasonable grounds upon which that claim was founded yet all my solicitations have been ineffectual and the Circar have not yielded to my request or given me satisfaction but unjustly have allowed the matter to take its own course. Hence the institution of this Suit. I am also prepared to produce strong evidence to elucidate the fact that all waste lands in any Mootah of whatever description they may belong to the Mootadars themselves. Under these circumstances. I respectfully solicit your Court to interfere in my behalf and pass judgment directing the restoration out of the extent of the waste lands above particularized of the 600 goontahs of lands now under cultivation



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

cultivation and the annulment of the Puttahs and Mochilkahs which are now in force by the Circar 1st September 1852. Translated by

C. Rajahgopaul Chetty

Signed H. D. Phillips

Collector

Answer proposed to be filed by Defendant H. D. Phillips Esqre Collector of Salem in original Suit No. 20 of 1852 preferred by Plaintiff Thylasah Coundan Son of Paramasevah Coundan Proprietor of the Jaury Mootah of Cottah Varadumputty in Talook Dem Kaghenny

1. In answer to the Plaintiffs claim for the restoration of 600 goontahs of Kalyoogary Poonjah land now under cultivation yielding an annual revenue of Rupees 600 and produce of the value of Rupees 800 in the Hamlets of Vettovandoo putty and Soongady Varadumputty which are alleged to have been unjustly taken possession of by Government, the Defendant has to represent, that the said claim is altogether untenable and unsupported by facts as will be understood by the following

Statement

Fort St. George 16th February 1853.

Statement.

2. Kotta Varadumputty is a 1/3 portion of Mootah Senkhagherry, which was originally formed in Fusly 1211 ~~[illegible]~~ and in Fusly 1246 subdevided into the three Mootahs Carvomapooram now an Amany Estate Senkhagherry and Kotta Varadumputty. The last of these to which the present litigation relates was regestered in Fusly 1226 in the name of the Plaintiff.

3. When Mootahs were first created by the Government, they published a Padam Toolchit or Schedule in which were set forth the conditions affecting the several estates offered for sale, and the nature of the rights to which the purchasers would succeed.

4. From the terms of this schedule it is quite clear that Mootahdars are entitled to only three descriptions of lands within the limits of the estates bought by them, to wit Cultivated; "Podvogal" or fallow and "Anady Banjex" or immemorial waste fit for Cultivation.

5. The Defendant will prove by the Sysnent Colawary Accounts for Fusly 1211 year Doormutty relating to Vellore and Doorgapatty and



Fort St. George 16th February 1853.

and Soongady Varadumputty that lands similar to those sued for were deducted from those included under the three descriptions noticed in the foregoing paragraph prior to the Mootahs being transferred to the original purchasers.

6. Previous to the cession of the Salem Province to the British Government the Native rulers had prohibited the felling of all trees and the tillage of all land in the jungles which surrounded the Senkhagherry Fort, in view to Strengthening its position. The products of the surrounding woody tracts such as honey wax and Tamarind, were however periodically disposed of for the benefit of the State and were always carried to credit of the public accounts subsequent to the establishment in A.D. 1792, of British Supremacy the restrictions above alluded to, were removed, and the jungle products being rented out to private individuals, the revenue thereby accruing was regularly realized on behalf of the ruling power. It was not included in the assessment on which the permanent Beriz of any of the local Mootahs was

was determined.

7- The wooded tracts which yield a plentiful supply of honey wax &c. and which now have been partially cultivated are designated "Giddagauel" lands.

8- That these Giddagauel lands did not form a part of the Mootah, but have all along exclusively belonged to the State is evident, not only from the correspondence which is found to have passed between the District Officers and the controlling authority at the Presidency, previous to the formation of the Mootahs of the Salem District - that is previous to Fusly 1211. but also from the decree passed in favor of the Government by Tillah Judge, Mr. Aufrere in Original Suit No. 2028 of 1806 on the file of the late Tillah Court of Salem wherein the then Mootah-dar of the Original Senkhaghery Estate, sought to establish a right to both the Giddagauel lands and their produce:-

The



9- The Plaintiff has stated that some of the lands sued for by him, have for a long period been under cultivation and were held and enjoyed by the late Mootahdar upto the year Dos Rla (Fusly 1219) till which time they had periodically been given over to Ryots for tillage, Puttahs for them being distributed. To this, the Defendant has to observe that prior to the said period or in Fusly 1217 (Prabhava year) the fact that cultivation was being carried on in the Giddagauel lands, had been ascertained by Government and that on the circumstance becoming known, the cultivated parts were brought into the "Ayen" head of accounts.

10- The Plaintiff seems to entertain the erroneous belief that his claim to the lands such for is established solely by the circumstance of their lieing within the boundaries of the two Hamlets specified in his Plaint-Boundaries, it is however to be remembered, have been fixed, not for the mere furtherance of the purposes of proprietorship, but in order to define the limits of the several Villages and to separate their areas, the one from the other.

The

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

The Defendant doubts not that the Court is fully aware that there are several descriptions of land within the limits of Villages in Mootahs held by their proprietors, which do not for that reason only belong to the Mootahdars. The Defendant therefore contends that the Court cannot regard mere boundaries as tending to support a claim of the nature of that now under notice.

11. The Plaintiff asserts that his title is supported by the Regulations and the Istimrar Summud. It will be apprent to the Court on a reference to the decree passed by the Sudder Udalut in Special Appeal Suit No 37 of 1847, that the latter document was not in the opinion of that tribunal sufficient to establish the claim then and thereon at issue, but that its substantiation depended on other Extracts from the Ayacut accounts. It may be inferred that the Plaintiff is sceptical as to the strength of his claim from the simple fact that he has omitted to specify the precise documents by which its justice and validity are to be proved.

12. Apart however, from arguments to be founded on the Plaintiffs weak and incomplete description



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

description of a claim which has no real existence, it seems to be the Defendants main duty in the present litigation to beg the particular attention of the Court to the fact, that the schedule published at the period of the Original Mootahs being offered for sale is the voucher of most essential importance to the understanding and correct decision of a claim such as the one now replied to. It will be seen on a perusal of that document that it clearly laid down the terms on which individuals were invited to purchase Mootahs which terms are corroborated by the Extract of the Schedule termed a "Toalchit" granted to the Proprietor subsequent to the sale. The latter document also is a very important paper for the determination of suits which most the relative rights of the Government and the Mootahdar in Mootahdary lands.

13. The Defendant has to state in conclusion that the Giddagamel lands now claimed have been in the possession of Government since the year 1792, when British rule was first established in this District, that from that period the Government enjoyment of them has been public undisguised, undisputed unequivocal and uninterrupted and that the revenue thereof has been

Fort St George 10th February 1853.

been only and periodically credited to the accounts of the State. The institution of an action for the lands claimed under such circumstances, and after the lapse of the long period of Sixty years, is in contravention not only of the Clause fourth Section XLIII Regulation II of 1802, but also sets at naught the opinion expressed by the Sudr Adalut in the judgments delivered by them in Special Appeal Suits Nos 44 of 1850 & No 6 of 1851.

14. This last objection is of itself sufficient to justify the Court in at once removing the Suit from its file and the Defendant would have restricted his answer to a simple request to that effect, were it not that he thinks it would be unbecoming his position to take advantage of the opening, and thus perhaps create the impression that the Government, through its local representation, were desirous of withholding from one of its subjects the land to which he has a fair right.

15. As however the reverse can and will be shown to be the case should the Suit be allowed by the Court to proceed, the Defendant has not hesitated to point out for the Courts consideration, that its admission to the file seems scarcely regular.

Signed W. D. Phillips
Collector



Fort St George 10th February 1853

No 62

Bc No 309

Proceedings thereon-

Reporting the institution of the original Suit No 40 of 1852, on the file of the Subordinate Court of Salem and submitting the draft of an answer proposed to be put in by the Collector-

37.38

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

This action has been instituted by Kylasa Cownder, Proprietor of the Varadumpatty Mootah in the Senkaghery Talook, for the recovery of 600 Coontahs of Malagozatty Poonjah Land yielding an annual Beriz of 600 Rupees and produce of the value of 800 Rupees; of which extent, 500 Coontahs, in Sungoottay; both Villages belonging to the Varadumpatty Mootah.-

The Plaintiff grounds his title on the following arguments.-

1 That the lands in question are included in the ayacut Chekbundy of the aforesaid Village for the year a "Doonmooker" (probably Doormutty or Fusly 1211.)

2. That they have been for a considerable time under cultivation, and were in the occupation and enjoyment of the late Mootadar up to the year Sookdah (Fusly 1219.)

That

3 That his title is supported by the Regulations and Istimrar summud, and by the general practice of the Jaury Mootah, where the right, possession and enjoyment of the waste lands are invariably with their respective Proprietors.-

4. In the draft answer which the Collector proposes to put in (he) states that the lands in dispute were not included in the assets on which the permanent Berij of the Salem Mootah was determined; and that he is prepared to prove by the accounts for Fusly 1211, relating to the Vettoocandoo-pattu and Soongady Varadumpatty that lands similar to those sued for, were deducted from those included under the foregoing descriptions prior to the Mootah being transferred to the original purchasers. The Collector is also prepared to shew that the Gadagavel Lands were from the beginning reserved to the state by their products being rented out to private individuals, both by the native rulers, and by the British Government which succeeded them.-

On



5. On the second argument adduced by the Plaintiff, the Collector states that it was only in Fusly 1217, that it became known that cultivation had been carried on in the Giddagavel lands, and that the cultivated parts were then brought under the ryen head of accounts- Further that the mere fact of their being situated within the boundaries of the Villages cannot be held to support a claim to them, in consequence of the different descriptions of land which might be comprised within such boundaries.-

6. With regard to the last argument advanced by the Plaintiff, the Collector observes, that the Sudr Adalut in Special appeal Suit № 37 of 1847 did not consider the Istimrar accounts sufficient to establish the claim then at issue; that the schedule before alluded to, and the "Toolchit" granted to Proprietors subsequent to the sale of Mootahs, are the proper documents for determining the relative rights of the Government and the Mootahdars. In conclusion he pleads the Statute of Limitations, stating that the Government have had "public undisguised, undisputed, unequivocal, and uninterrupted" possession of the Giddagavel lands

since

since the year 1792, and that the Revenue s
thereof has been openly and periodically
credited in the accounts of the state.-

7. As the Board consider the
proposed draft to constitute a good and
sufficient defence, they beg to recommend
that the Collector may be authorized to file
it in the subordinate Court and to defend
the suit at the public cost.-

8. The time for filing the
answer will expire on the 17th March 1853.-

Read the following letter.-

N: 10

To, W.H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue;
Fort St George.

39

Bd N: 283

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - -

Sir

The seed wheat, of the de-
spatch of which your letter dated the 20th
Ultimo advised me, has been duly receiv-
-ed about ten days.-

2 I regret to report that on
examination of the bags it is evident a
full three fourths of the seed is Weevil
eaten and destroyed.-

16



Its arrival at the commencement
of the dry and hot season is also to be deplored,
and I have no hope of any of the seed germi-
-nating.-

Trichinopoly Collector's Office;
26th January, 1853. - - -

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector-

Order thereon
(39.40)

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read again letter from
the Collector of Coimbatore dated 29th De-
-cember 1852 and entered in Consultation 31st
January 1853.-

Ordered that the foregoing
letter be submitted with the following ad-
-dress.- N: 65

41

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Bd N: 312

To the Chief Secretary to Government - - -

Sir

Submitting a let-
-ter from the Col-
-lector of Coim-
-batore on the
subject of Enam
Lands belonging
to Village Ser-
-vants which have
become uncul-
-tivable from
various causes.

24th Decr in Cons. 31st
1852 & 15th Jany 1853.

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue with reference to the order of Government dated
20th October 1850 para 3 to submit for the consideration and
orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the
accompanying letter from the Collector of Coimbatore,
enclosing a Statement of Enam lands belonging to Village
servants which have become uncultivable from various
causes, and requesting sanction for the grant of other lands
in lieu of them.-

2. The extent of Service lands re-
ported to lie waste and of which the detailed particu-
-culars are contained in the Statement herewith submitted
is- Bullah* of
Punjah 114-2 - 11½ of seys at Rupees - - - - - - 355-6-3.
Garden - 27 - 7½ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 366-2-7
Cawny
Nunjah - 42 - 15¼ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 385-8-6
Total Rupees 1107-1-4

* The Bullah is 3-8-2 acres

do

Fort St George 10th February 1853

the full amount of the service allowances to the Village officers of the District being Rupees Rs. 4,014 - 3 - 3 per annum.-

3. The Village servants whose Mauriems it is proposed to affect are moneygars, Curnums, Sundakars and Totties. The Collector reports that the above lands are waste from causes beyond the control of the respective grantees, and it will be seen from the remarks inserted in the State-ment itself that these causes are inferiority of soil, proximity to Hills, distance from Villages, unhealthy locality, jungle and other causes of a similar description.-

4. The Collector has examined into the circumstances of each case, and declares himself satisfied that the complaint of the alleged unfitness of the land is well founded. Under these circumstances, the Board beg to support his recommendation, that fresh lands not exceeding in value the Erams lying waste and useless may be granted in lieu of the latter.-

5. The Board fully approve of the principle which the Collector intends to adopt in carrying out the proposed changes, if sanctioned; viz^t that in localities where no fair waste land is to be had, the Villagers silently should be permitted to occupy so much of their own Poteet lands, rent free, as may be equivalent to the value of the proper Eram. The principle of remunerating by deduction from the Puttah has been already adopted elsewhere.-

Rs. 4,311 - 1 - 4 -

To Gov^t 2nd Sal Cons 30th Aug^t 1849 Para 17.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
10th February, 1853.-

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read



Fort St George 10th February 1853

Read the following letters.

(42)

B^d No. 66.

From the Collector of Coimbatore.-

Requesting that the sanction of Gov^t may be obtained for retaining the Tobacco Godown Establishment for next 3 months for the retention permanently of 2 Goomastahs and certain Rundachar Sibbendy.-

× 1062,119 Bundles.-

No. 117

To, W.H. Bayley Esq^re
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

As the Tobacco Monopoly in this District will be abolished on the 1st of the ensuing month under the Orders of Government, I have the honor to request that the permission of Government may be obtained for retaining the present Godown Establishment for the next three months, or until the large quantity on hand is disposed of and the accounts finally closed, this arrangement is absolutely necessary for the completion of the abolition. The Tobacco has been coming in up to the present day and some is even still on its way to the Government Godowns.-

2 It was proposed in my communication of the 20th Ultimo, that the sale of Government Tobacco should take place on the 1st 15th and 31st January next. It is not likely or possible I fear, that the whole quantity can be sold within

× To the Collector of Malabar.

within that period, and the accounts adjusted. and hence the unavoidable necessity for the continuance of the Establishment during the period referred to.-

3. The details of business connected with the Depôt are numerous and urgent, both with reference to the preparation of accounts and the classification, packing and unpacking of Tobacco; and consequently no reduction is practicable for the short period during which it will be retained.-

4. At the same time I would observe that two of the Goomastahs borne on the list of the Tobacco Godown, have long been doing duty in the Huzzoor Cutcherry - the pay of one is Rupees 10 and annas 8. and that of the other 7 Rupees per mensem. their removal would take away assistance which is indispensibly required for the despatch of the business of the office. I need hardly add that the present Revenue and Police Establishments which was modelled so far back as the year 1831, is not nearly sufficient for the Office, a great share of the business of which is



is done by Volunteers. the number of accounts to be prepared has greatly increased, and goes on increasing annually whilst the Sibbendy remains the same as it was 20 years ago. The Neilgherries have for some years past been reannexed to Coimbatore, and of themselves cause much business. the "Conservancy and annimally Forest" Department has been created lately these and many other local causes render it necessary that the Strength of the Sibbendy should be augmented - Under those circumstances, I hope the Board will support with their recommendation the retention of these Servants; Should the Board consider it necessary that this proposition to retain the two Goomastahs should be submitted separately I shall be prepared to forward it in the prescribed form.-

5. The Sibbendy kept up for the prevention of the Smuggling of Tobacco, is no longer required for that purpose, and it will be dispensed with from the 1st of January 1853; but the entire abolition of that establishment, is inconsistent with

with the security of the District either in point of Revenue or Police, and I would therefore beg leave to submit the matter for the favorable consideration of Government and the Board of Revenue.-

6. The correspondence relative to the "Kundachar" of which the establishment adverted to forms a portion is voluminous, and the necessity for its entertainment has been considered in all its bearings, and discussed for a series of years. Coimbatore owes much of its improvement to this Establishment, whilst much yet remains to be done.-

7 A glance at the Survey Maps of South and North Coimbatore, would shew that it is bounded on three sides by thick Jungle, and the records in the offices of the Board would point out, that the Security and Revenue of the District have always been maintained by the employment of an extra body of armed peons. Fine tracts of land which once yielded, and would yet yield a considerable Revenue, and which had been encroached upon by thick Jungle and wild beasts have partially been regained, and begin to be peopled and cultivated. Under the former Government the Kundachar Sibbendy



Sibbendy, under the designation of "apsham" guarded the various passes in the Jungle and having an interest in the cultivation of the land (for they were partly paid in land), exerted themselves to drive away the Elephants and to reclaim Jungle.-

Vide letter to Board dated 2nd October 1822 Para 7.

8- Mr Sullivan, when Collector of Coimbatore, in speaking of this subject observes, that "to the abstraction of so large a proportion of armed Peons, and the general disarming of the inhabitants at the same moment, and the discontinuance of the annual hunts may be attributed the present state of some of the finest part of this Province. the Peons served as a rallying point to the Ryots, with whose interests their own was identified, and a scanty and unarmed population could make no head against the ravages of such an animal as the Elephant." These ravages, although abated have not entirely ceased, and unless occasional hunts take place, I apprehend the Elephants still in the remote part of the Jungle will increase and encroach upon the cultivated portion, just as they did 30 years before.-

This

Fort St George 10th February 1853

9 This is not the only ground on which the continuance of the Kundachar Esta-blishment is solicited, it is also highly desira-ble, in point of Police; with the abolition of the Monopoly, the "Mooteers" and Moplas of Mala-bar will have free access to all parts of the Districts, and gangs of them will be coming in, ostensible to buy Tobacco, and it is desirable that some Establishment should be kept up to prevent robberies and other outrages. The pre-servation of the Teak forests under Lieute-nant Michael, absolutely requires a con-siderable body of Police. Its value as esti-mated by Major F.C. Cotton is [x] 60,97,552 - 3,1111 Rupees were burnt at one fire only 10 months back from want of a sufficient Establishment to re-guard the Forest.

[x] Vide Major Cottons report to Board D.P.W. Dated 26th September 1849 Para 10.

10. For the above reasons and for many others of the same nature it is re-quisite that a portion of the Establish-ment should be retained a large number of Peons are now employed in re-guarding these Teak and Sandalwood Forests. Some under Lieutenant Michael, who has no Sibbendy either as conservator of Forests or as Assistant Magistrate and many in Revenue and Police as at dates



Fort St George 10th February 1853

duties, & in the Conveyance of Talooke Tappal &c. &c. their total removal would prove a great public inconvenience. The Board may rely on my reducing them so soon as practicable and consistent with the interests of Government.

11 It is not my object that the present preventive Establishment should be kept up as it is susceptible of reduction and how far this can be effected is exhi-bited in the accompanying statement. It is proposed to do away with the Darogahs, who are in the receipt of a large amount of Salary and to retain only certain Duffa-dars, Peons &c. the reduction amounting as a to Rupees 32 - 8 - 1 per cent and I trust the Board will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of Government for this arrangement

Coimbatore 29th December 1852

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Statement

be discontinued.

| Amount per Mensem | Amount per Annum | Remarks |
|---|---|---|
| 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 50 " | 600 " | * Required for Elephant Hunt and other Miscellaneous duties. |
| 5 " | 60 " | |
| 24 " | 288 " | |
| 2 " | 24 " | |
| 7 " | 84 " | |
| 5 " | 60 " | |
| 2 " | 24 " | |
| 20 " | 240 " | |
| 15 " | 180 " | |
| " " | " " | |
| 4 " | 48 " | |
| 56 " | 672 " | |
| 24 " | 288 " | |
| 21 " | 252 " | |
| 7 " | 84 " | |
| 30 " | 360 " | |
| 25 " | 300 " | |
| " " | " " | |
| 3 " | 36 " | |
| 300 " | 3600 " | |
| 996 " | 11952 " | |

Coimbatore, 29th December 1852. E. E. 7th / Signed / E. B. Thomas Collector



B. P. No. 337.

Proceedings thereon.

Requesting that the subject of the Cundachar Establishment may be reconsidered.

(42.43)

In Cons. 10th October 1822.

Mr. Thomas instead of making a general reference to the Records of the Boards Office should have shewn how the Cundachar peons who were not originally raised for Police purposes have been disposed of in that Department.

2 Mr. Sullivan in para 11 of his letter of 2d October 1822 seems to add more as a supplement, than as denoting the main purpose of this force "they would be available for every purpose of Revenue and Police" and this seems to be the only allusion in the whole correspondence to their employment in that Capacity. If a certain portion have been set a part for Police duties, and a certain portion for the Tobacco Department, it is to be concluded that each was sufficient for its purpose, and that on the discontinuance of either Department, the peons attached thereto might be dispensed with also.

3 The Board observe that the Amount sanctioned for the Cundachar Establishment was 31,425 Rupees per Annum, whereas Mr. Thomas only accounts for

From Government 28th February in Cons. 3d March 1823
From Government 20th in Cons. 2 16th July 1824.

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

for about 2/3rds or 19,953 which he separates into 8,877 Rupees for the Tobacco and 1076 for the Elephant Department.—

4 But it would appear from the Collector's letter dated 15th December 1830 submitting the Revised Moyen Jgabitah of his District, that besides the whole Cunduchar Establishment at 29,853 Rupees per annum, the Tobacco Establishment was 6,746, so that at that period the Cunduchar peons do not seem to have been employed in the Tobacco Department.—

In Cons 20th December 1830.

5 The Board have obtained from the Civil Auditor's Office a Statement which seems to distribute that portion of the Cunduchar Establishment amounting to 19,953 Rupees somewhat differently from the enclosure to Mr. Thomas's letter for it assumes the whole to be on account of the Tobacco monopoly is as follows.—

| | |
|---|---|
| Tobacco Department Headpeon Cutcherry | 1,163 |
| Sibbendy for escorting Tobacco to Ponany | 1710 |
| Do — for preventing smuggling | 8936 |
| Mahoots &c | 1104 |
| | 19953 |



Fort St. George 10th February 1853

It also shews that the remaining 8,890 Rupees of the Cunduchar Establishment is distributed as follows.—

| | |
|---|---|
| Ghaut Establishment for Elephants | 6,862 |
| Do Do for preventing robberies | 2028 |
| | 8,890 |

In Cons 8th February 1847

and this sum by order of Government 25th January 1847 is incorporated into the permanent Establishment of the District. The remainder therefore or 19,953 may be considered as altogether belonging to the Tobacco department, the sum 6,862 Rupees for the Elephant Department having been previously fixed as apparently sufficient for that purpose, without the 1076 now proposed by Mr. Thomas.—

6 Under these circumstances the Board have reason for supposing that Mr. Thomas's application may be modified and request he will take the matter into reconsideration.—

To

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

No. 8.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

B. No. 245

Sir,

From the Collector
of Coimbatore . . . .

I have the honor to forward Plans and specifications of land at Cotacamund, applied for by four Gentlemen named in the margin, and to request authority to dispose of the same by public auction as usual. There will be less public inconvenience, but some advantage in the occupation.

Forwards Plans and Specifications of land applied for by Certain Gentlemen at Cotacamund and requests authority to dispose of the same by Public Auction.

Parl.

1 Captain F. Templar
1 Colr. D. W. Watson
1 Capt. H. H. Daly
1 Lieut. H. R. Morgan

All the spots have been personally visited.

2 In forwarding these sketches as usual to the Board, I would respectfully suggest an arrangement which would be a boon to this Office and to the land holders on the Hills. Frequent application is made to this Office for a "plan" of their property by land holders on the Neilgherries. I have none to give them, and no



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

one to prepare copies of such - if the Board after seeing and approving the sketches and being satisfied of its propriety, would kindly return it to me, (perhaps with their Secretary's Signature) I might give it to the parties applying; on the agreement, that it should be "produced if at any time required for "reference, either in the Board or in "my own Office." - it would be a satisfactory document to the parties, and would of course be marked off on the field Maps in my Office previous to giving it.

Coimbatore . . . . .
20th January 1853.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Enclosures. No. 1. 2 Plans
3.
Memorandum.

Captain F. Templar applies for 4 Coffee, 12 Grounds, and 1838 Sqr. of land at Cotacamund. It is surrounded on three sides by private properties, and on the 4th or north, by Government waste, and situated contiguous to the property already in the possession of that Gentleman. Its occupancy by Captain

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Captain Templer is unobjectionable whether on Public or Private grounds. The Upset price, calculated at 8 years quit rent is Rupees 41-8-8 at 1-2-4 per Cawnie per Annum, vide para 7 of the Board's Manual a Plan of the ground is enclosed.-

Coimbatore
20th January 1853

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

No 4

Memorandum.

The land applied for by Colonel L. W. Watson for agricultural purposes, amounting to 3 Cawnies 3 Grounds and 1750 square feet, is bounded on three sides by private properties, and on the 4th i e north, by the waste land applied for by Captain H. W. Daly, and entirely unobjectionable in its present state, for being occupied by Colonel Watson. Upset price calculated at 8 years quit rent is Rupees 28 - 11 - 9 as per para 7 of the Board's Manual.-

at 1-2-4 per Cawny per annum.

Coimbatore
20th January 1853

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector &c



Fort St. George 10th February 1853

No 5.

Memorandum.

The land applied for by Captain H. W. Daly at Ootacamund, for agricultural purpose, is 8 Cawnies 2 Grounds and 77 Sft. and is situated within the limits of the Cantonment. Its occupancy by that Gentleman is unobjectionable in its present state - whether on Public or private grounds. The Upset price calculated at 8 years quit rent is Rupees 7 - 2 - at 1-2-4 per Cawny per annum vide para 7 of the Board's Manual a plan of the ground is enclosed.-

Coimbatore
20th January 1853

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

No 6

Memorandum.

Lieutenant H. R. Morgan of the 13th Regiment N. I. applies for a piece of land at Ootacamund measuring 10 Cawnies and 1855 square feet for Agricultural objects. It is situated within the limits of the Cantonment its occupancy by that Gentleman is not in any way objectionable. Upset price

Much of the ground is Rocky and barren 8 years would be an unfair estimate.

price calculated at 5 years quit rents is Rupees 57. 7. 6 at 1-2-4 per Cawny per Annum. a plan of the Ground is enclosed.

Coimbatore 20th January 1853 B. No. 323.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas Collector.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue under paras VII VIII of the Manual of Instructions for the grants of lands on the Neilgherries direct that the spot of land applied for.

| | Cawnies | Gs | Sq. feet |
|---|---|---|---|
| By Captain F. Templar consisting of | 6 | 12 | 1858 |
| " Colonel L. W. Watson | 3 | 3 | 1750 |
| " Captain H. W. Daly | 8 | 2 | 77 |
| " Lieutenant H. R. Morgan | 10 | " | 1855 |

be put up to public auction at upset prices of Rupees 61. 8. 8 Rupees 28. 11. 9 and Rupees 74. 2. " respectively in the case of the first three Gentlemen mentioned above calculated at 8 years quit rent and at the price of Rupees 57. 7. 6 in that of Lieutenant Morgan calculated at 5 years quit rent. agreeably to



to the recommendation of the Collector and that they be knocked down to the highest bidder, or to the applicants if there be no advance upon it.

2 With reference to the 2d para of the Collector's letter, the Board would have no objection to return plans submitted to this Office for the purpose of being kept in the Collector's Cutcherry if required, but are of opinion that

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

that private individuals

-als should procure

Copies for themselves

at their own expence

Please.

Read

J. G. S.



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Read the following Memorandum

No. 138.

46

Official Memorandum

B. of R. after No. 80

From the Accountant General

Forwards a Memorandum shewing the Gross Collections and Charges of the Salt Monopoly in the several Districts under this Presidency for Fusly 1261.

The Accountant General has the honor to transmit the Memorandum of Gross Collections and Charges of the Salt Monopoly in the several Districts under this Presidency for Fusly 1261, in comparison with the items in the General Statement from this Office for Fusly 1260, called for in the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated the 22d. Ultimo.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office
7th January 1853.

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Memorandum

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

that private individuals

als should procure

Copies for themselves

at their own expense.

Read.

S.G.S.



Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

Read the following Memorandum

No. 138.

46

Official Memorandum

B.d A. after No. 80

From the Accountant General

The Accountant General has the honor to transmit the Memorandum of Gross Collections and Charges of the Salt Monopoly in the several Districts under this Presidency for Fusly 1261, in comparison with the items in the General Statement from this Office for Fusly 1260, called for in the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated the 22d. Ultimo.

Forwards a Memorandum shewing the Gross Collections and Charges of the Salt Monopoly in the several Districts under this Presidency for Fusly 1261.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office
7th January 1853.

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Memorandum

Fort St. George 10th February 1853

No. 466

Official Memorandum

After
B. No. 266
From the Accountant General

47

In reply to the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 21st. Instant. The Accountant General has the honor to state that the price paid for home manufactured and Bombay Salt in Canara and that paid for Bombay Salt in Malabar has been included under the head of Extra Charges in the Annual Statements of Gross Collections and Charges forwarded from this Office to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office
20th January 1853

(Signed) T. J. Monhouse
Accountant General

Order on the foregoing letters
46. 48

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

Resolved that the following letters be despatched

49

B. No. 320.

To The Collector of Malabar.

To the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to despatch as early as practicable the Statement of Government Lands No. 24 adverted to in para 26 of your settlement Report for Fusly 1261, but which did not accompany it.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
10th February 1853

(Signed) W H. Bayley
Secretary

To



Fort St. George 10th February 1853

To,

The Commissioners

50

B. No. 316

To the Commissioners for enquiring into and reporting upon Accounts & Statements.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 29th January 1853. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith a revised form of Demand Collection and Balance Statement, which they propose to substitute for the one now in use and numbered 40 in Statement No. 2. transmitted to you. The Board will be glad to receive any observations which you may think it necessary to offer upon this revised form.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February. 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary

Treasury.

No. 460

Official Memorandum

After
B. No. 266
From the Accountant General

47

In reply to the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 25th. Instant. The Accountant General has the honor to state that the price paid for home manufactured and Bombay Salt in Canara and that paid for Bombay Salt in Malabar has been included under the head of Extra Charges in the Annual Statements of Gross Collections and Charges formarded from this Office to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office
20th January 1853

(Signed) T. H. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Order on the 2 foregoing letters
46. 48

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

Resolved that the

49

following letters be despatched

B. No. 320.

To The Collector of Malabar.

To the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to dispatch as early as practicable the Statement of Cowle lands No. 24 adverted to in para 26 of your settlement Report for Fusly 1261 but which did not accompany it.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
10th February 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To



To

The Commissioners

50

B. No. 316

To the Commissioners for enquiring into and reporting upon accounts & Statements.

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 29th January 1853. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith a revised form of Demand Collection and Balance Statement, which they propose to substitute for the one now in use and numbered 40 in Statement No. 2. transmitted to you. The Board will be glad to receive any observations which you may think it necessary to offer upon this revised form.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February. 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Treasury.

Transfers &c.  " " "  " " "

Balance at the end of the current Month  " " "

Comparative Statement shewing the extent of Ayen Cultivation to the end of January 1853.-

| | Nunjah | | Poonjah | | Garden | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Land. | Teerwah | Land | Teerwah | Land | Teerwah | Land | Teerwah |
| Last year. | | | | | | | | |
| Current year | | | | | | | | |
| Increase | | | | | | | | |
| Decrease | | | | | | | | |

Statement shewing the Average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt in the District of —— for the Month of January 1853.

| | Rice | | Paddy | | Dry Grain | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Ear | | | | | Pulse | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1st Sort per Gauce | 2d Sort per Gauce | 1st Sort per Gauce | 2d Sort per Gauce | Jonnalee per Gauce | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Sea Salt per Gauce | Remarks. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | |
| | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | Rs. A. P. | |

1260 ——

1261 ——

1262 ——

Memorandum shewing the Particulars of the Balance of Land Revenue permanently settled in the district of —— up to—as per Column 18 Statement-1-

| Name of the Zemindaries. | Tuslies | Balance on the 31st January 1853 | Since Collected up to | Balance | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |

Particulars of the Extraordinary Revenue.

| Items | End of last Month. | Current Month | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

1944 Fort St. George

10th February 1853.

No. 1.

| | Demand. | | | | | | | Collection | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Arrears. | | | Current. | | | Total of arrears and Current | On account of arrears | | | On account current Revenue. | | | Total Collections | Remissions | On account of |
| | For Fuslies prior to Fusly 1261 | For Fusly 1261 | Total arrears | To the end of last month | For the Current Month | Total Demand | | To the end of last month | In the current Month | Total | To the end of last month | In the current month | Total | | | Prior to Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1261 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Zemindaries or Mootahs in the possession of the proprietors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estates of Minors under Collectors Management | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zemindaries or Mootahs under attachment for arrears or other causes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land Revenue. Village Rents for more than one year | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ryotwary including rents for one year, and Jody on Shrotriem Enams | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Land Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ordinary. Sayer. Sundry Small Items. Abkarry. Rented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amany | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sundry Small Items. Rented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amany | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sayer Rented | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amany | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salt | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sea Customs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Motunpha | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stamps | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Extra Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extraordinary. Land Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Extra Sources | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Ordinary. Refunds of Tacavy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Refunds of Paramut Charges | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other items of Extraordinary Revenue as per separate Statement on the back | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Extraordinary | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1944

Fort St. George

No. 2.

Fasly. 1262.

10th February 1853

| | | Arrears. | | | Current Demand | | | | Comparison | | | | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance | Total Collections of Arrears and current. | Arrears at the beginning of Fasly. 1262. | Collection | Balance | Total Current revenue of the year. | Cess or Cantage | Amount of the first due | Collection | Balance | Total Collections of arrears and Current | Collections of arrears in Cols. 3 and 7. Increase | Collections of arrears in Cols. 3 and 7. Decrease | Collections of Current revenue in Cols. 8 & 7. Increase | Collections of Current revenue in Cols. 8 & 7. Decrease | Deposit | State of Season | Cause of the Increase or Decrease Collection. |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26. |

Land Revenue.

Zemindary

Ordinary

Villages and Hamlets

Ryotwary

Sayer

Sayer Unsettled

Total Ordinary

Zemindary
Peishcush
Estates
Collections
Zemindary
attached

Villages
Ryotwary
Year,

Ordinary
Rents
Amount

Villages and Hamlets
Rents
Amount

Sayer
Rents
Amount

Sayer Unsettled
Sales
Sea Customs
Monopolies
Stamps

Total Ordinary
Land
Extra
Refunds
Refunds
Others
as per

51
M. No. 383.

From the Collector of Chingleput. Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the Month of January last.

51.52
Order thereon, Ordered to be lodged in the Office

53
M. No. 160. Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852

54
Mis. No. 163 of Fusly 1262.

From the Collector of Chingleput. Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852

55
M. No. 172 of Fusly 1262.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter ending

56
Mis. No. 155 31st December 1852.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter

57
Mis. No. 156 ending 31st December 1852.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of alterations that have occurred in the List of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.

From



58
Mis. No. 169.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Reporting the non-transmission of certain Returns for the Quarter ending 31st December and for the Month of

59
Mis. No. 167. December 1852.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the

60
Mis. No. 158. Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Salem. Abstract of Demand.

61
Mis. No. 159. Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand

62
Mis. No. 173 Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand

63
Mis. No. 176 Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the

64
Mis. No. 168. average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing

65
Mis. No. 160. the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of Salem. Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December 1852.

From

Mis No. 161.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the
66 Month of December 1852.

67
Mis No. 174
From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December
68 1852.

Mis No. 170.
From the Agent at Kurnool. Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December
69 1852.

Mis No. 164.
From the Collector of Salem. Stating that no persons were charged with Offences



Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for
70 the Month of December 1852.

Mis No. 171.
From the Collector of Kurnool. Return of Village Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December
71 1852.

Mis No. 165.
From the Collector of Salem. Return of Village Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December.
72 1852.

Mis No. 157.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of Village Servants supended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December 1852.

From

Fort St. George 10th February 1853.

73
Mis. No. 175.

From the Collector of Tanjore. Submitting 3 Indents in duplicate for Stationery and Sundries required for the year 1853.

73. 74

Order thereon, Ordered that the Original Indent, accompanying the above mentioned letter, be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.

75
Mis. No. 164.

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1,000 Salt Rowannahs.

75. 76

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

" Goldingham

" T. Blane
" "

Compd.
J. G. Boyle



Fort St. George 14th February 1853

# No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 14th February 1853. } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member
and.
T. L. C. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member
Absent on duty as Commissioner
Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

1

Bd. No. 2598.
From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 26th October, 1852

Read the following

No. 1044 Revenue Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 26th October, 1852

Read the following

No. 380 Financial Department

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Dated 1st October, 1852.

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to Government of India

Forwards Copies of Certain paras from a Despatch from the Honorable Court reviewing the Finances of India to the latest period to which accounts have been received in England } Here 27th August 1852

Resolved

Fort St. George 11th. February 1853.

Resolved that a Copy of paras 26. 37 and 75 @ 78 of the enclosure to the letter above recorded be forwarded to the Revenue Department, where the observations of the Honorable Court will receive consideration.

(Signed) H^y. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

Extract from a Dispatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors addressed to the Government of India, in the Financial Department, N^o. 24, dated 3^d. June, 1852.-

26. We observe that the receipts from the Salt Department at Madras have not maintained the improvement exhibited in the three years 1845/46 to 1847/48 when they averaged 40 lacs per annum. In the three succeeding years 1848/49 & 1850/51 the average fell to 38 lacs, being a diminution of more than 5 per Cent.

Madras
Salt Monopoly.

| | | Rs. |
|---|---|---|
| 1845/46 | Net Proceeds | 4,040,398 |
| 1846/47 | Do. | 4,009,118 |
| 1847/48 | Do. | 4,187,313 |
| 1848/49 | Do. | 3,769,440 |
| 1849/50 | Do. | 3,833,312 |
| Estimated. | | |
| 1850/51 | Do. | 3,862,120 |

The



Fort St. George 11th. February 1853.

37 The annual proceeds of the Tobacco Monopoly at Madras since 1845/46 have not equalled the amount realized in that year. Nor does there appear to be any tendency to improvement under this head of Revenue at that Presidency.

Gross and Net Receipts from the Tobacco Monopoly at Madras.-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1845/46 | Gross Receipts Rs. 900,752 | | |
| | Cost of the Article | | |
| | Moyen Zabitta &c. " 227,052 | | |
| | | Rs. 673,700 | |
| 1846/47 | Gross Receipts Rs. 885,854 | | |
| | Cost of the | | |
| | article &c. " 275,673 | | |
| | | " 610,181 | |
| 1847/48 | Gross Receipts Rs. 895,964 | | |
| | Cost of the | | |
| | article &c. Rs. 226,619 | | |
| | | " 669,345 | |
| 1848/49 | Gross Receipts Rs. 913,879 | | |
| | Cost of the | | |
| | article &c. " 288,122 | | |
| | | " 625,757 | |
| 1849/50 | Gross Receipts " 881,065 | | |
| | Cost of the | | |
| | Article &c. Rs. 256,543 | | |
| | | " 624,522 | |
| Estimated. | | | |
| 1850/51 | Gross Receipts " 877,000 | | |
| | Cost of the | | |
| | Article &c. " 272,020 | | |
| | | " 604,980 | |

## Revenue Charges

1825/46 — Bengal Rupees 43,11,143
Agra — " — 43,61,320
Madras — " — 49,06,281
Bombay — " — 26,14,936
Rs 161,93,682

1846/47 — Bengal Rupees 43,19,408
Agra — " — 44,94,421
Madras — " — 32,64,474
Bombay — " — 27,60,683
Rs. 167,93,986

1847/48 — Bengal Rupees 43,34,770
Agra — " — 53,02,746
Madras — " — 57,59,319
Bombay — " — 27,85,913
Rs. 181,82,748

1848/49 — Bengal Rupees 41,43,964
Agra — " — 56,55,643
Madras — " — 54,63,718
Bombay — " — 28,20,812
Rs. 182,84,137

1849/50 — Bengal Rupees 41,39,082
Agra — " — 58,55,380
Madras — " — 54,46,606
Bombay — " — 28,05,111
Rs 182,37,179

Estimated
1850/51 — Bengal Rupees 40,37,376
Agra — " — 75,85,000
Madras — " — 36,16,230
Bombay — " — 28,74,460
Rs. 200,13,066

75. The Revenue charges as shewn in the margin include the expense of Surveys, expenditure on Canals in the North Western Provinces and on Repairs of Tanks at Madras. The variation with extent of Expenditure on those works annually necessarily occasions fluctuations in the total charges of the Revenue Department.



## Expense of Revenue Surveys.

1845/46 — Bengal Rupees 23,285
Agra — " — 45962
Bombay — " — 32,761
Rs. 60,4008

1846/47 — Bengal Rupees 2,86,957
Agra — " — 3,1,10
Bombay — " — 3,65,449
Rs. 7,06,370

1847/48 — Bengal Rupees 3,26,779
Agra — " — 1,06,538
Bombay — " — 3,55,441
Rs. 7,88,758

1848/49 — Bengal Rupees 3,35,269
Agra — " — 1,71,438
Bombay — " — 3,12,747
Rs. 8,19,454

1849/50 — Bengal Rupees 3,31,166
Agra — " — 1,73,848
Bombay — " — 3,06,606
Rs. 8,11,620

Estimated
1850/51 — Bengal Rupees 3,25,500
Agra — " — 1,50,000
Bombay — " — 3,39,100
Rs. 8,14,600

76. In 1845/46 the expenditure under the three heads indicated amounted to Rs. 16,64,509.
In 1846/47 " 18,57,089
1847/48 " 30,65,907
1848/49 " 29,29,690
1849/50 " 30,60,201
Estimated
1850/51 " 47,33,890

77. Allowing for the increased outlay in those works as specified in the margin there will still remain a considerable increase

Expenditure on Canals N. W. Provinces

1845/46 Rupees 4,44,023
1846/47 " 3,02,520
1847/48 " 9,83,584
1848/49 " 11,12,982
1849/50 " 12,78,387
Estimated 1851/52 " 30,00,000
Sketch Estimate 1852/53 " 30,00,000

Expenditure in repairs of Tanks at Madras

1845/46 Rupees 6,13,470
1846/47 " 8,42,059
1847/48 " 12,96,565
1848/49 " 9,97,254
1849/50 " 9,79,194
Estimated 1850/51 " 9,19,290

increase in the Revenue Charges which will then stand as follows.

1845/46 Rs. 14,53,2,173
1846/47 " 14,94,2,897
1847/48 " 15,09,6,041
1848/49 " 15,75,3,447
1849/50 " 15,76,978
Estimated
1850/51 " 15,79,476

A progressive increase of Charge from 4 lacs in 1846/47 to nearly 6/2 lacs in 1849/50 is thus shewn to have accurred on the Revenue Department, while the Estimate for 1850/51 indicates a further increase of a lac of Rupees.

This increase of Charge



demands



demands your investigation not only with a view to put a stop to it but to effect a reduction on the existing amount of the Revenue charges. Some allowance must be made for the increase of Charge in 1846/47 and subsequent years as Compared with the amount in 1845/46 by the annexation of the Territory on the Sutlej to the N. W. Provinces. But although an immediate increase at the time may thus be accounted for, that Circumstance will not furnish sufficient reason to justify the progressive increase in the charges of Collecting the Revenue in those Provinces. It is manifest also that without any accession of Territory

a

Expenditure on Canals N. W. Provinces

1845/46 — Rupees 4,44,023
1846/47 — " — 3,92,520
1847/48 — " — 9,83,584
1848/49 — " — 11,12,982
1849/50 — " — 12,78,387

Estimated 1850/51 — " — 30,00,000
Sketch Estimate 1851/52 — " — 30,00,000

Expenditure on repairs of Tanks at Madras

1845/46 Rupees 6,13,470
1846/47 — " — 842,059
1847/48 — " — 1296,565
1848/49 — " — 997,254
1849/50 — " — 971,194
Estimated 1850/51 — " — 917,290

increase in the Revenue Charges which will then stand as follows.

1843/46 Rs. 14,32,173
1846/47 — " — 4,94,897
1847/48 — " — 15,96,041
1848/49 — " — 15,75,347
1849/50 — " — 15,76,978
Estimated
1850/51 — " — 15,27,976

A progressive increase of Charge from 4 lacs in 1846/47 to nearly 6/2 lacs in 1849/50 is thus shewn to have accurred on the Revenue Depart-ment, while the Estimate for 1850/51 indicates a further increase of a lac of Rupees.-

This increase of Charges



demands



demands your investigation not only with a view to put a stop to it but to effect a reduction in the existing amount of the Revenue charges. Some allow-ance must be made for the increase of Charge in 1846/47 and subsequent years as Compared with the amount in 1845/46 by the annexation of the Territory on the Sutlej to the N. W. Pro-vinces. But although an imme-diate increase at the time may thus be accounted for, that cir-cumstance will not furnish suf-ficient reason to justify the pro-gressive increase in the charges of collecting the Revenue in those Pro-vinces. It is manifest also that without any accession of Territory

a

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

a progressive increase has occurred in the Revenue charges at Madras as exhibited in the margin. In the year 1839/40 the charge of Collection was Rupees 215,906 in 1849/50 it was Rupees 238,927 having increased more than 10 per cent between the two periods. We regret to observe that the Estimated charge in 1852/53 is still higher.-

| Charges of Collecting the Revenue at Madras.- | |
|---|---|
| 1839/40 Rupees | 2,15,906 |
| 1840/41 " | 2,15,2,000 |
| 1841/42 " | 2,19,6,950 |
| 1842/43 " | 22,4,407 |
| 1843/44 " | 22,44,700 |
| 1844/45 " | 22,5,594 |
| 1845/46 " | 22,7,735 |
| 1846/47 " | 23,50,366 |
| 1847/48 " | 23,62,690 |
| 1848/49 " | 23,76,879 |
| 1849/50 " | 2,38,102,7 |
| Estimated 1852/53 " | 23,97,130 |

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the Extracts from the Honorable Court's Despatch received with the above be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for report.-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.-

Ordered that the following letter be submitted.-

Dy.



Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

No. 67.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.-

Sir,

1-2

Bd. No. 347.

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Reporting on the Extracts from a Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 3d June 1852, reviewing the Revenue Finances of India up to 1849/50.

In Cons. 14 Feby. 1853

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation 26th October 1852 forwarding for Report Extracts from a Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 3d June 1852. reviewing the Revenue Finances of the Country up to 1849/50, the latest period to which Accounts had been received in England at the date of the Despatch. The Board cannot but consider the Despatch as one requiring especial notice, and they observe that it has been printed among the appendices of the Evidence lately taken before the Committee of the House of Commons.-

2. As regards Madras, the Court observe, that the Salt Revenue has fallen off from 40 3/4 to 38 1/4 lacs: the former being the average of the 3 years ending July 1848 the latter.

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

a progressive increase has occurred in the Revenue charges at Madras as exhibited in the margin. In the year 1839/40 the charge of Collection was Rupees 2,58,906 in 1849/50 it was Rupees 2,38,027 having increased more than 8 per cent between the two periods. We regret to observe that the Estimated charge in 1856/57 is still higher.

Charges of Collecting the Revenue at Madras.—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1839/40 | Rupees | 2,58,906 |
| 1840/41 | " | 2,52,000 |
| 1841/42 | " | 2,19,650 |
| 1842/43 | " | 2,24,407 |
| 1843/44 | " | 2,24,700 |
| 1844/45 | " | 2,25,594 |
| 1845/46 | " | 2,27,755 |
| 1846/47 | " | 2,35,366 |
| 1847/48 | " | 2,36,690 |
| 1848/49 | " | 2,37,879 |
| 1849/50 | " | 2,38,027 |
| Estimated 1856/57 | " | 2,39,130 |

/ Signed F. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the Extracts from the Honorable Court's Despatch received with the above be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for Report.—

/ True Extract / Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Order thereon.—

Ordered that the following letter be submitted.—

Dft.



Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

No. 67.

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.—

Sir,

(1-2)

Bd. No. 347.
To the Chief Sec.y to Government.
Reporting on the Extracts from a Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 3d June 1852 reviewing the Revenue Finances of India up to 1849/50.
In Cons.n 14 Feby 1853

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th October 1852 forwarding for Report Extracts from a Despatch of the Honorable Court of Directors dated 3d June 1852 reviewing the Revenue Finances of the Country up to 1849/50, the latest period to which Accounts had been received in England at the date of the Despatch. The Board cannot but consider the Despatch as one requiring especial notice, and they observe that it has been printed among the appendices of the Evidence lately taken before the Committee of the House of Commons.—

2. As regards Madras, the Court observe, that the Salt Revenue has fallen off from 40 3/4 to 38 1/4 lacs: the former being the average of the 3 years ending July 1848 the latter.

latter being the average of the 3 years ending July 1851 [*] being a decrease of 6 per Cent. That the Tobacco Revenue has declined since the year ending July 1846 without any prospect of improvement. That the Land Revenue Charges of Management have increased from

[*] The year ending July 1851 is given by Estimate - The last year generally adverted to in the Dispatch to that ending July 1850.

[D]

The Despatch alludes to a progressive increase of Charges from 4 lacs to 6½ lacs but this refers to all 3 Presidencies together The Board / taking the data used by the Honorable Court / have reduced the Statment to the Madras Presidency. The figures given by the Accountant General are shewn in the last column of the 1st abstract appended to para 13.-

4,90,6,281 Rupees in 1845/46 to 5,44,8,666 Rupees in 1849/50 10 per Cent in 5 years or excluding charges of "Repairs of Tanks &c" from 4,29,2,803 to 4,76,412- and that the Charges of Collection alone have increased from 21,58,906 in 1839/40 to 23,97,130 in 1850/51, ie 10 per Cent in the last 12 years.-

3. The Board proceed to report on all the branches of Revenue under their control, comparing the 5 years ending July 1850 with the preceding 5 years ending July 1845 and making use of the figures furnished by the Annual Reports received from the Accountant General, instead of those in the Court's despatch as they do not quite agree.

The



4 The following subjects are taken in order.-

- Land Revenue and Extra Sources
  - Gross Collections
  - Gross Charges
- Land Revenue
  - Gross Collections
  - Gross Charges.
  - Charges of administration including "Repairs of Tanks &c"
  - Charges of administration only excluding "Repairs of Tanks &c"
  - Particulars of the above item.
  - Particulars of Arrazoor and District Establishment.-
- Repairs of Tanks &c
- Abkarry
  - Collections
  - Charges.
- Small Farms & Licences.
  - Collections
  - Charges.
- Moturpha
  - Collections
  - Charges
- Land Customs
  - Collections
  - Gross Collections
  - Charges & Management.
- Sea Customs
  - Collections
  - Gross Charges
  - Charges of Management

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Salt | Collections | |
| | Gross Charges | |
| | Charges of Management | |
| | Net Collections after deducting Gross Charges. | |
| Tobacco | Collections | |
| | Gross Charges | |
| | Charges of Management | |
| Stamps | Collections | |
| | Charges. | |
| Land Revenue and Extra Sources. | Comparison of the 1st 5 years with the 2nd five years, as regards annual Collections. Gross Charges Charges of Management and per centage of the latter on the Collections. | |

The following Statements in Detail accompanying as enclosures Viz.

No. 1. Gross Collections / Comparative Statement /
2. Gross charges / Comparative Statement /
3. Gross charges / shewing charges of Management.

Territory



4. Territory newly acquired from Fusly 1250. 1840/41 to Fusly 1258.

5. As the Honorable Court have dwelt on the increased Expenditure, at will be the object of the Board to point out what has been the increase in the extent of Country brought under the immediate charge of the Revenue Department - to separate from the Gross Charges, the charges appertaining to <u>administration</u> only - and to compare the per centage which the Charges bear to the Gross Collections.

6. Comparison will be made as in the Honorable Courts' Despatch, between the two "Quinquennial Series "Viz the 5 years ending July 1845, and the 5 years ending July 1850.

* It will be observed that all their numbers denote the average per annum

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue and Extra Revenue | Collections 1st Series | 47,99,390 * |
| | " 2d. Series | 47,04,961 |
| | Decrease | 2,94,429 |
| | Charges 1st Series | 99,25,763 |
| | " 2 Series | 92,29,934 |
| | Decrease. —— | 6,95,833. |
| | Net Gain —— | 101405 |

7. The Decrease in the "Collections" is under the Head of Land and Sea Customs

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

as will be specified farther on. The Decrease in the "charges" is chiefly under the head of "Land Customs".

Each branch will now be noticed separately.

Land Revenue.

| | |
|---|---|
| Collections 1st Series | 3,44,36,485 |
| " 2d Series | 3,63,07,420 |
| Increase | 18,70,935 |
| Charges 1st Series | 7,66,332 |
| " 2d Series | 7,59,515 |
| Decrease | 6,817 |
| Net Gain | 19,07,752 |

8. It is satisfactory to observe the following important fact Viz. that the Increase in the "Collections" is owing to an increase in the Current Revenue. The total increase under the head of Current Revenue is 31,67,295 Rupees on an average per annum, whilst the decrease of Collections under the head of "arrears" is 9,12,208. A Decrease of Collections under this latter head, the Board consider to be no mark of Revenue deficiency. The more punctual the realization of the Current Demand the less arrear will there remain to be collected. The same remark will partly apply to the decreased Collections of "Extra" Land Revenue.

The



Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

9. The following Abstract will shew the average annual "Collections" under each head of Land Revenue on a Comparison of the two Quinquennial Series.

Land Revenue.

| | Collections: Arrears. 1 | Current 2 | Extra 3 | Extra ordinary 4 | Total 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2d Series | 17,71,889 | 33,89,7104 | 2,06,401 | 3,72,027 | 3,63,07,420 |
| 1st Series | 2,84,097 | 30,72,809 | 2,54,180 | 7,68,399 | 34,43,64,85 |
| | 9,12,208 | 31,67,295 | 12,221 | 3,96,372 | 18,70,935 |
| | Decrease | Increase | Increase | Decrease | Increase? |

The item in Column 4 comprehends Recoveries of advances. Recoveries of expences on Sequestered Estates. Interest on arrears. Receipts on account of Company's Plantations. Refunds of Revenue charges Gain by Exchange. Profit and loss &c.

10. The Board would not wish to lead to the supposition that the whole increase of Current Revenue is owing to good management or prosperous seasons, much

of

of it arises from Estates that have lately accrued to Government, having been brought in at Sales for Default. At the same time the Revenue from the Estates is not all net gain, as this revenue is a substitute for the peshcush before received Enclosure No. 4. has been prepared from a Paper drawn up on a special occasion some time ago shewing the Estates that have reverted to Government for 9 years (the last year of the 10 generally adverted to in these Proceedings was not required at the time) from which it will be seen that the largest Estates have reverted during the 5 years of the 2nd Series. The following is an abstract of their value.

| | |
|---|---|
| Average Assets estimated at Permanent Settlement | 3,19,559 |
| Peshcush | 2,53,461 |
| Revenue yielded in Fusly 1257 or 1847/48 | 2,82,074 |

11 The Board will now proceed to notice the Charges of Land Revenue and here it will be necessary to distinguish between charges in the Gross and those which are specially charges of Management. The Gross Charges have decreased as shewn above (by 36,817 per annum)

but



but this is owing to a Decrease in charges not properly of Management for the Charges of management including the expenditure on works of Irrigation have no doubt increased and this at a comparative rate of 5,77,025 per annum.

12. The Board consider that the following items may be included from actual Charges of Management and in order to shew the amount of variation, the 2nd Quinquennial, Series have been arranged as under.-

Fusly

1968.

Fort St. George 14th February 1853

| Fuslies | Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands and Offices and privileges | Pension and charitable allowances chargeable on the revenues. | Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands and privileges &c. | Pagoda and Mosque allowances. | Advances for cultivation and repairs | Advance for charges Collection and Sequestered Zemindaries | Purchase of Lands &c. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs | Rs. |
| 1250 | 6,37859 | 112529 | 655961 | 2,89,406 | 311,396 | 112,706 | " | 21,1985 |
| 1251 | 639116 | 108146 | 608491 | 298,691 | 350,804 | 87,918 | " | 2093161 |
| 1252 | 637896 | 123006 | 673,799 | 292,591 | 340145 | 92819 | 811,61 | 2971,873 |
| 1253 | 2529,363 | 161449 | 611,324 | 314,256 | 377,054 | 91,361 | 352013 | 4436,820 |
| 1254 | 859,567 | 119845 | 739896 | 164,970 | 379,268 | 36,237 | 446,720 | 2746,502 |
| Average | 1060759 | 124,995 | 657,894 | 271,983 | 351,733 | 84,208 | 322070 | 2871,643 |
| 1255 | 833,645 | 115280 | 739270 | 158,393 | 283822 | 21,330 | 57,078 | 2208,817 |
| 1256 | 874,584 | 109862 | 742807 | 169252 | 193749 | 8102 | 355223 | 2453,578 |
| 1257 | 861,035 | 103178 | 742969 | 169,918 | 217567 | 7,892 | 196311 | 2298,870 |
| 1258 | 891,510 | 108099 | 741696 | 172,861 | 177,118 | 10065 | 25,992 | 2127,340 |
| 1259 | 907,829 | 98,433 | 738139 | 170230 | 247,924 | 29,682 | 181,64 | 2210398 |
| Average | 873720 | 106970 | 740976 | 168131 | 224036 | 15414 | 130553 | 2239,801 |
| Difference | 187,039 | 18025 | 83082 | 103852 | 127,698 | 68794 | 191,516 | 613,842 |
| | Decrease | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Decrease | Decrease | Decrease | Decrease |

1968

Fort St. George 14th February 1853

If therefore the above items are deducted, the actual charges of Prangement ~~Establishment~~ contained for the two years will be as follows.—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1250. | 4,31,068 | Fusly 1255 | 4,14,571 |
| 57 | 4,032,448 | 1256 | 5,238,951 |
| 52 | 44,41110 | 1257 | 5,96,908 |
| 53 | 5,05180 | 1258 | 5,09,514 |
| 54 | 4,05,303 | 1259 | 5348,614 |
| Average | 4,32,089 | | 5,09,114. |

Net Increase in charges of Management 5,76,025 Rupees.

13 Further details of the actual Charges of Prangement are shewn in the abstract below.

Charges

Fort St. George 14th February 1853

14 With reference to the first of the above abstract Statement, Viz the one shewing the items of Non-Management charges the Board would observe that there is a Decrease under every head for the variation of the Columns of "Pagoda allowances," and Pagoda allowances in lieu of resumed ... Lands &c. is merely a transfer* lately made of some portion of the former to the latter, as being more in accordance with the nature of the allowances.-

*If the 2 items are dubbed together the account would shew per annum
1st Series—929877.
2d Series—909107.

15. The decrease of "Compensation"— "Pensions" and "Charitable allowances" is owing to lapses, and the decrease under the head of "advances" is owing to the discouragement lately given to the advance of Tuccavy, as being objectionable in principle. The decrease in the "purchase of Lands" is not an indication of less land coming into the hands of Government.— for the extent has increased but of the deteriorated state of the land when sold in satisfaction of arrears.-

16. It is necessary also to notice the other abstract Statement shewing the "Actual Charges of Management." The Average annual increase of 5 3/4 lacs



Fort St. George 14th February 1853

Charges of Management (including Public Works)

| Years | Salaries and Establishments | Stationery and Contingencies | Total allowances | Pagoda allowances &c. | Charges | Resumed Lands &c. | Pensions & Charities | Extra Charges | Refunds of Revenue | Deduct amounts included in the Collections of Revenue | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1250 | 119,604 | 20,075 | 93,203 | 31,509 | 523 | 54,371 | 4 | 2,93,313 | " | " | 4,31,088 |
| 1251 | 125,493 | 21,910 | 93,245 | 33,036 | 2,223 | 69,190 | " | 3,12,32 | 29,060 | " | 4,052,448 |
| 1252 | 123,813 | 21,119 | 93,380 | 31,919 | 167 | " | " | 59,711 | 40,623 | " | 4,41,116 |
| 1253 | 138,206 | 24,106 | 109,667 | 58,848 | 929 | " | 673 | 82,040 | 34,930 | " | 5,05,180 |
| 1254 | 129,685 | 22,810 | 95,061 | 36,250 | 1829 | " | " | 30,506 | 23,902 | " | 4,67,3057 |
| Average | 12,43,280 | 21,0065 | 9,21,166 | 38,432 | 1254 | 24,833 | 134 | 99562 | 23,903 | " | 1,32,089 |
| 1255 | 130134 | 22,8534 | 100134 | 38,851 | 969 | " | " | 20,883 | 2,255 | " | 4,14,571 |
| 1256 | 124024 | 23,2545 | 98489 | 39,450 | 1422 | " | 190 | 14,033 | 5,129 | " | 5,23,893 |
| 1257 | 124,240 | 23,2545 | 103,692 | 43,004 | 744 | " | 402 | 4,02,828 | 4,0834 | " | 5,91,0608 |
| 1258 | 124,240 | 23,3030 | 109,912 | 43,370 | 1414 | " | " | 24,757 | 4,0521 | " | 5,20,9514 |
| 1259 | 126,918 | 23,6095 | 109,910 | 4,1900 | 3259 | " | " | 57,434 | 23,020 | " | 5,34,865 |
| Average | 12,52,580 | 22,9065 | 10,2,439 | 4,1496 | 1562 | " | 118 | 11,902 | 3,753 | " | 5,09,714 |
| Increase | 12,1,282 | 2,3504 | 3,064 | 3,064 | 307 | 24,803 | 1230 | 1,339 | 13,850 | " | 37,1025 |
| Decrease | 1948 | 103440 | 9415 | 6059 | 42,901 | 2,6,485 | | | | | |

Decrease Increase Increase Increase (Decrease) Increase Increase Increase Increase

× × × × × × × ×

NB. as to the last Column of this abstract Vide Inquiries & Orders to Cand 2 of the Proceedings. Vide

lacs or 10 per Cent seems, as the Honorable Court intimate, to require accounting for. It has already been partly accounted for by the expense of managing large, but poor Estates lately acquired, but it is chiefly owing to an increased Expenditure on Works of Irrigation. From the 5,77,025 Increase there may be deducted for the above item no less than 42,4,901 Rupees as the average annual increase in Maramut charges so that setting this apart - The charges of Administration would only be 11,62.5 on the Land Revenue Collections of the 1st Series, and 11-45 on the 2nd Series.-

17. The Board trust that Government will not consider any increase of Charges under the head of Maramut as an item to be curtailed, as long as it continues to yield a proportional benefit to the people and to the resources of the State. It is true that in the present instance the increased expenditure in the

Annual Tanks &c.
* 1st Series. 7,28,977
2d Series. 11,53,878.
4,24,901

1st Series Charges 5,81,977
Deduct Maramut 11,53,878
4,155,836
and 4,155,836 on 36,30,420 = 11-45 per Cent.



the last 5 years has not increased the Total Land Revenue to the extent that might have been anticipated but the Board would observe that the Works requiring the heaviest outlay fall within the latter part of the 2nd Series and their good effects have not had time to developse themselves. That they will do so there can be no doubt, and substantial reason for this expectation is held out in the Printed Statement lately prepared by the Department of Public Works shewing that an expenditure on new Works and their subsequent repair and maintenance of 11,33884 Rupees between the years 1836 and 1849 has yielded an increase of Revenue to the amount of 4,155,294. As yet the outlay in comparison with the Revenue of the Country has been almost nominal Taking the two Quinquennial Series generally adverted to in these Proceedings, the proportion is as follows.-

| | Land Revenue | Maramut charges. | Per centage |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Series | 3,44,36,485. | 7,28,977 | 2-115 per Cent |
| 2d Series | 3,63,01,420. | 11,53,878. | 3-177 per Cent. |

18. The Board do not consider

percentage

* 1,948 Rs. per annum only.

perceive that the increase of Charges* under the head "of Salary and Commission of European Agency" is such as to lead to the inference of unnecessary expenditure, but they request attention to the Charges under the Head of Hoozoor and District Establishment because it is apparently with reference to this that the Honorable Court particularly advert in para 78 of their Despatch.-

19 The Board bear in mind the extent of Country lately come under the management of their Officers the estimated Revenue of which is 37 Lacs Pn annum and with reference to this the average annual increase of 1,08,440 does not appear so unaccountable. again if the proportion the charges under this head bear to the whole Revenue. which the Board submit is a legitimate method of testing an increase of Expenditure is considered it will be found that the 2nd Series presents even a more economical result than the first-

Hoozoor





| | Hoozoor and District establishment. | Gross Land Revenue | Per centage. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Series | 2,21,665. | 34,43,64.85 | 6 46 per Cent |
| 2d Series | 23,25,105. | 36,30,11,420 | 6-43 per Cent. |

and the Government may be inclined to view the expenditure under this head in a still more favorable light as to Economy when it is brought to their notice, that under it is included nearly the whole expence of the Police Service.-

20. As to the other items of Charges of Management, very few observations appear necessary. There has been no charge for Government Plantations since Fusly 1252.

21. The Increase under "Extra Charges not chargeable to any particular branch of Revenue" in Fusly 1256, was on account of compensation granted in North Arcot to the Abkarry Contractor for Shops improperly held by the Military Abkarry renter other items of increase arose from the purchase of a public Bungalow in North Arcot from the Establishment in Fusly 1259, for surveying

the

the Neilgherry Hills, from the heavy charges incurred in Fusly 1257 for dragging Timber in the Nulliar Jungle for use of the Commissariat Department, ~~and~~ finally from the Deputation Allowance of the Commissioner Northern Circars, besides expences of the Establishment, having been charged to this head in Fusly 1259.-

22. The increase under Expences on account of improvement in the "culture of cotton" is attributed to the larger advances made to Dr. Wight for the purchase of Cotton at the experimental Farms in Fusly 1257 and 58.

23. The Board will now advert to the Extra Sources" of Revenue.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Abkarry | Collections — 1st Series | 1,89,753. | |
| | Dr. — 2d Series | 2,08,784. | |
| | Increase | | 19,031. |
| | Charges — 1st Series | 88,214. | |
| | Dr. — 2d Series | 99,085. | |
| | Increase | | 10,871. |
| | Net Gain | | 1,36,160 |

24. The increase in the "Collections" arises chiefly from an augmented Demand



Demand in the Madras Collectorate in Fusly 1258 or 1848/49. The increase in the "Charges" arises chiefly from the transfer to the Abkarry Establishment in the Town of Madras of a proportion of the Sayer Establishment when that branch of Revenue was abolished in Fusly 1254. The whole of the Hoozoor Establishment Charges had been nominally subdivided into 12ths of which 1/12. was debited to abkarry and 4/12. to Sayer. This 4/12. was henceforth debited also to abkarry, as it was not possible to lessen the Hoozoor establishment.-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Small Farms and Licenses. | Collections 1st Series | 2,86,659. | |
| | Dr. 2d Series | 3,02,604. | |
| | Increase | | 15,945. |
| | Charges 1st Series | 32,483. | |
| | Dr. — 2d Series | 34,256. | |
| | Increase | | 1,773. |
| | Net Gain | | 14,172. |

25. The increase in Collections was chiefly in Fusly 1257 or 1847/48. and arose 1st from greater succession the Chank fishery off the Tinnevelly coast and from the greater demand in Bengal for

the

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

the Amendini Coir of Canara

Moturpha.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Collections 1st Series | 10,13,279 | |
| Do. — 2d Series | 1,16,1,010 | |
| Increase | | 47,731 |
| Charges — 1st Series | 33,930 | |
| Do. — 2d Series | 26,753 | |
| Decrease | | 7,197 |
| Net Gain | | 54,928 |

26 The increase in the "Collections" is owing partly to the extension in fusly 1253. of the Loom tax to certain parts of the Chingleput District before exempt and also to the more puntual realization of the Moturpha in the Proprietary Estates that had lately come under Management. The several heads under which this Revenue is levied and the average for each of the 3 fusles 1255. 1256 and 1257 are detailed in the Board's letter to Government of 14th January 1850.

Collections.



Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

Land Customs.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Collections — 1st Series | 29,37,855 | |
| Do. — 2d Series | 2,05,954 | |
| Decrease | | 27,31,901 |
| Charges — 1st Series | 59,8,878 | |
| Do. — 2d Series | 66,175 | |
| Decrease | | 53,2,703. |
| Net Loss | | 2,99,198 |

27 The decrease in the "Collections" is entirely owing to the abolition of the Transit and Haulut Duties by act VI of 1844. The decrease in the "Charges" is owing to the same cause, but if the actual charges of Management only be taken into consideration it will be found that the annual Decrease of Charges is 2,44,656; for payment on account of Drawback cannot be considered as a charge of administration. The following Abstract will explain.—

Fusly

| | Gross. | Drawback | Real Charges |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1250 | 674257 | 293680 | 380576 |
| 1251 | 758026 | 369182 | 388844 |
| 1252 | 775621 | 399400 | 376221 |
| 1253 | 691627 | 369353 | 322274 |
| 1254 | 94859 | 16361 | 78479 |
| Average | 598878 | 287595 | 309283 |
| 1255 | 50034 | 4776 | 45257 |
| 1256 | 56359 | 2874 | 53484 |
| 1257 | 140432 | 88 | 140344 |
| 1258 | 40484 | " | 40484 |
| 1259 | 43566 | " | 43566 |
| Average | 66175 | 1548 | 64627 |
| Difference | 532703 | 286047 | 244656 |

Sea Customs.-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Collections | 1st Series | 1268411 |
| D° | 2d Series | 1139634 |
| | Decrease | 108757 |
| Charges | 1st Series | 359388 |
| D° | 2d Series | 235300 |
| | Decrease | 124060 |
| | Net Gain | 15303 |

The



28. The Decrease in the "Collections" is owing to the operation of acts VI and VII of 1848, the one abolishing the Duties on Goods carried from Port to Port within the Company's Territories the latter excepting the Free Ports in the Straits of Malacca and in the Tennaserim Provinces.

29. The amount of "Charges" as above shewn includes payment of Drawback which is not properly a charge of Management. If Drawback be excluded, there is an Increase on the actual charges of Management to the extent of 33248 Fs. annum as shewn in the following Abstract.-

| | Gross. | Drawback | Real charges |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1250 | 283702 | 122476 | 161226 |
| 1251 | 415212 | 253943 | 161270 |
| 1252 | 366126 | 207303 | 158823 |
| 1253 | 401849 | 245129 | 156720 |
| 1254 | 329908 | 154183 | 175725 |
| Average | 359360 | 196607 | 162752 |
| 1255 | 295502 | 110532 | 184970 |
| 1256 | 253007 | 54230 | 198777 |
| 1257 | 245162 | 14979 | 230184 |
| 1258 | 189974 | 6847 | 183127 |
| 1259 | 192853 | 9908 | 182945 |
| Average | 235300 | 39299 | 196000 |
| Difference Dec | 124060 | Dec 157308 | 33248 |

The

30. This Increase in the actual charges of Management is owing to the necessity that arose for employing larger Establishments in Madras and the out ports when act VI of 1844 entailed great additional labour especially in the Sea Customs Department of the Port of Madras, where its effect was principally felt. The great increase in Fusly 1257 was owing to Government having ordered 2d January 1847 a refund of the Hawlut Duties that had been levied since Act VI of 1844 came into operation.—

In lieu 14th Jan. 1847.

31. Reductions however since the close of the period adverted to in the Honorable Courts' Despatch, have been effected, to the amount of 24,000 Rupees per annum in the Out Port of Establishments, which have been relieved by acts VI and VII of 1848. In Fusly 1260 the year subsequent to those generally referred to by the Honorable Court, the Charges of Management fell—

to



to 1,25,74.

Salt.

| | |
|---|---|
| Collections 1st Series | 41,79,594 |
| Do 2d Series | 16,77,601 |
| Increase | 49,8007 |
| Charges 1st Series | 7,31,768 |
| Do 2d Series | 7,18,959 |
| | 12,809 |
| Net Gain | 5,10,816 |

32. To arrive at the actual charges of Management, it will be necessary to deduct from the above charges the following items: Price paid by Government to the Salt Manufacturers and for the purchase of foreign Salt - Compensation for Salt pans discontinued - Advances to Manufacturers. The annual charges will then be about 2½ lacs as shewn below.—

Gross.

| | | Gross Charges | Deduct — 1 Paid for Salt 2 compensation 3 advances | Real Charges |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fusly 1250 | 714,821 | 507,170 | 207,654 |
| | 1251 | 753,142 | 516,726 | 236,416 |
| | 1253 | 742,690 | 501500 | 241,190 |
| | 1253 | 699,699 | 475698 | 224,001 |
| | 1254 | 748,484 | 506940 | 241544 |
| | Average | 731,768 | 501,607 | 230,161 |
| | Fusly 1255 | 695,188 | 481,271 | 213,917 |
| | 1256 | 605,722 | 372,019 | 233,703 |
| | 1257 | 696,973 | 456,140 | 240833 |
| | 1258 | 788,497 | 523,529 | 264,968 |
| | 1259 | 518,413 | 553596 | 254,817 |
| | Average | 718,959 | 477,311 | 241,648 |
| | Difference | Dec 12,809 | Dec 24,296 | Inc 11,487 |

* Note.

As the Board have had in this instance to refer to accounts not furnished by the Accountant General they desire to explain that the Salt account furnished by that Officer is divided into 7 Heads. Viz.t

1 Commission to Collectors &c.
2 Hoozoor & District Establishment.
3 Saderwared
4 Extra Charges
5 Salt Manufacturer's share
6 Salt compensation
7 Advances

With the exception of Malabar and Canara the Board have in these proceedings taken the 4 first as together denoting the "Real Charges" of management. But as the Accountant General includes the price of the Salt purchased in Malabar and Canara from Bombay under the Head of "Extra Charges" the Board have ascertained those amounts from reference to the Collector's return and transferred them to the amount of "Paid for Salt" since they cannot be considered as charges of Management.

* C. M. Gen. Accountant's Report 1st January in Cons. 10th February 1853 A. of Par 80.

* C. M. Gen. Accountant's Report 28th January in Cons. 10th February 1853

33. The increase in the "Real Charges of Management" arises in some measure from increased Bungany / Temporary / Establishment required in consequence of increased Manufacture. The use in fusly 1258 was chiefly in

Madras



Madras when there was an excess of 9144 Rupees owing to the expense of exporting a 1330 Garce more of Salt to Bengal than in the preceding fusly and also of bringing 1177 Garce from the inland Villages for the Ennore Depôt.

34. In fusly 1259 the great cause of increase was the payment of 21,000 Rupees — Indemnification to the French Government for Salt instead of 14,000 Rupees as in the preceding fusly. In fusly 1260 the Charges of Management fell to 237,982 Rupees.

35. It will be observed that in fuslies 1258 and 1259 there was a considerable increase in the charges not charges of Management. This arose in 1258 chiefly from the price of Bombay Salt purchased for Malabar rising from 24 1/8 to 42 Rupees per Garce whilst in the same year there was a heavy demand in Canara for Salt most of which had to be purchased from Bombay. In fusly 1259 the same causes were in operation.

36. The Board have dwelt on the Salt Revenue because the Honorable Court have especially referred to the falling off in this branch of Revenue. In the first place they would remark that the charge of Management has decreased in proportion to the Revenue as follows.—

Collections.

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| | Collections | charges of Management | Per centage |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Series | 43,79,594 | 2,30,161 | 5.51 per cent |
| 2d Series | 46,77,601 | 241,648 | 5.17 per cent |

37. Next as to the Net Sale Revenue i.e. the result after deducting all charges from Collections. The following abstract is prepared from the Accountant General's Statements as submitted from time to time to the Board's Office. The figures differ from those in the Honorable Courts Despatch.

| | 1st Series | 2d Series | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1250 | 30,63,655 | 1255 39,74,203 | |
| 51 | 33,52,174 | 56 40,81,168 | The increase of sales in these 7 years was not confined to any particular Districts In fusly 1258 the Export to Calcutta was discontinued. |
| 52 | 33,96,492 | 57 40,23,809 | |
| 53 | 35,81,071 | 58 37,88,815 | |
| 54 | 38,39,439 | 59 39,19,222 | |
| Average | 34,47,826 | 39,58,643 | |

Average increase 5,10,817.

The Honorable Court in their Despatch take the last 5 years of the 2d Series and the Estimate for the next year i.e. Fusly 1260; and of these 6 years they compare the average of fusles 55-56-57 with the average of Fusles 58-59-60 shewing / according to the figures used in their Despatch / 40¾ Lacs for the 1st and 38¼ for the second.

38. The Board trust they may be permitted rather to make a comparison according to the Fusles generally adverted to throughout these Proceedings in which case as shewn above instead of a deterioration

or



Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

an improvement is evident. If accounts are furnished for several years in succession and a selection of years is allowed, it is not difficult to frame a comparison which shall tell disadvantageously, or otherwise, as may be required.

39. In the fusly succeeding the above, i.e. in fusly 1260 the accounts shew as follows.

Tobacco.

Collections — 4,80,251
Gross Charges 63,671
Net Revenue 4,16,580

and in 1261.

Collections — 4,89,446
Gross Charges 69,365
Net Revenue — 4,20,081

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Collections 1st Series | | 10,56,025 |
| D° — | 2d Series | 10,72,373 |
| Increase | | 16,348 |
| Charges 1st Series | | 4,28,270 |
| D° — 2d — " — | | 4,23,109 |
| Decrease — | | 5,161 |
| Net Gain — | | 21,511 |

40. The Increase in the "Collections" arose chiefly in Fusly 1255 in which year the leaf supplied from Coimbatore was unusually good and grain cheap in the District of Malabar and Canara. Though a small increase is thus shewn in the Collections taking the 5 years of the 1st Series together, yet it is certain that the Revenue from this branch

was

In Cons 31st May 1852.

was as the Honorable Court observe declining without prospect of recovery. Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 18th May 1852 noticed this and ascribed it, as regarded Malabar to the increasing impatience of the people at the burden, and the large quantity of illicit Tobacco introduced clandestinely from the French Settlement of Mahe" whilst as regarded Canara "the intrigues of the Contractors for providing Tobacco from Mysore, have of late frequently led to insufficient supplies." Independently however of the question Government in the Extract Minutes Consultation — above quoted directed the abolition of the Monopoly from 1st January 1853. as pressing unequally on different Districts, and leading to increasing demoralization by the inducement to smuggling.

41. If the cost of the Tobacco, and — payment for advances are omitted the actual charges of Management would be as follows.

1st Series 59,786 per annum
2d Series 68,182.
Increase 8396.

Stamps —
- Collection 1st Series 4,31,331
- Do — 2d Series 3,31,560
- Decrease 99771.
- Charges 1st Series 46,516
- Do — 2d Series 56,805
- Increase — 10289
- Net Loss — 89,482.

The





42. The Decrease in the "Collections" is owing to the discontinuance of Stamps on Passes in the Department of Sea Customs, and on Frontier Rowannahs in Fusly 1254. which very much affected the first years — of the 2d Series. It will be seen however from Enclosure No. 1 that the Stamp Revenue soon recovered itself in consequence of Act XVII of 1848, by which Stamped — Paper was substituted for Institution Fees in the Moonsiffs Courts. This branch of Revenue has since increased still more.

43. The increase in the "Charges" is owing the revived Demand for Stamps in Fusly 1259. Thus whilst the abolition of Stamps in certain Departments occurred at the end of the first series, and thereby comparatively decreased the Collections — the revival of the demand at the end of the Second series induced an increased charge under the item of Commission to Venders, the counterbalance of which can only be exhibited in years subsequent to those under immediate consideration.

44 In conclusion, if the whole

sources

Sources of Revenue under the control of this Office be compared as to the results of the two Quinquennial Series it will be observed that though there is a Decrease in the Gross Charges, there is an increase in the Charges of Management, but still to an extent that cannot be considered great if compared by the proportion to the Collections, and it is also to be remembered that in the Charges of Management under the Head of Land Revenue is included an annual average increase of 4,24,901 Rupees on account of works of irrigation which more than covers the Total increase of Charges.

This will be seen from the following abstract.

Land Revenue and Extra Sources—

| 1 | Average annual Collection 2 | Gross annual Charges 3 | Average annual Charges of Management including Saramut 4 | Per Centage of Col. 4 in Col. 2. 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1st Series— | 4,75,99,390 | Rs. 99,25,767 | 58,39,250 | 12,288 per Cent |
| 2d Series— | 4,73,04,961 | 92,29,934 | 62,47,228 | 13,298 per Cent |
| | 2,94,429 | 6,95,833 | Increase 4,07,978 | |

W.H.B.



15. The Board cannot close these Proceedings without remarking that the Total abolition of the Transit Duties, and the great modification in the Sea Customs render the Quinquennial comparison when applied to all Sources of Revenue together unsatisfactory. They trust however that under the most important item Viz. Land Revenue they have shewn that there has been no uncalled for increase of Expenditure they beg to express the hope that the increase that arises from a more liberal outlay on works of irrigation will not be considered a charge requiring restriction.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
14th February 1853

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary
Land.

J.G.B.

1994

## Fort St. George

| | Fusly 1230 | | | Fusly 1231 | | | Fusly 1232 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | as | | Rs | as | | Rs | as | |
| **Land Revenue** | | | | | | | | | |
| Arrears | 17,04,481 | 8 | 7 | 2,00,8,733 | 10 | 8 | 2,81,83,67 | 13 | 5 |
| Current | 3,08,93,689 | 1 | 2 | 3,02,94,279 | 8 | 2 | 3,01,56,761 | 2 | 2 |
| Extra Revenue | 15,3176 | 12 | 6 | 5,33,726 | 4 | 8 | 1,82,811 | 8 | 8 |
| Extraordinary | 5,22,353 | 5 | 3 | 7,53,142 | 15 | " | 11,55,218 | 2 | 2 |
| Total Land Revenue | 3,32,75,700 | 11 | 6 | 3,36,94,882 | 6 | 6 | 3,43,13,158 | 12 | 5 |
| Abkary | 16,47,42 | 6 | 7 | 17,14,571 | 6 | 6 | 17,95,742 | 13 | 11 |
| Sundry Small Farms and Licences | 3,00,713 | 2 | 7 | 2,68,361 | 4 | 4 | 2,76,179 | " | 3 |
| Moturpha | 10,24,349 | 1 | 4 | 10,49,199 | 5 | 5 | 10,69,741 | 1 | 7 |
| Land Customs | 3,60,155 | " | 3 | 3,69,13,18 | 5 | 8 | 3,65,2,073 | 4 | 2 |
| Sea Customs | 11,25,476 | 10 | 11 | 10,98,186 | 11 | 5 | 11,73,941 | " | 1 |
| Salt Monopoly | 3,78,478 | 10 | 7 | 4,05,616 | 6 | 11 | 4,39,131 | 12 | 6 |
| Tobbacco Monopoly | 1,02,5,775 | 13 | " | 1,11,4,120 | 11 | 2 | 1,06,673 | 7 | 5 |
| Stamps | 4,63,729 | " | 9 | 4,44,179 | 8 | 11 | 4,66,976 | 13 | 6 |
| Total Collections | 4,62,79,120 | 9 | 6 | 4,70,80,935 | 2 | 10 | 4,77,55,670 | 5 | 10 |

Grojo

| | Total | | Average | | Fusly 1232 | | Fusly 1233 | | Average | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | as | Rs | as | | | | | Rs | as | |
| | 10,58,94,44 | 11 | 11,77,18,88 | 15 | 2 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1,69,48,55,18 | 13 | 10,33,87,103 | 12 | 2¼ | 74,12,982 | 12 | 9 | 9,60,799 | 12 | 4 |
| 3 | 7,13,32,003 | 11 | 9,26,64,00 | 11 | 11¼ | | | | | | |
| 4 | 18,60,133 | 10 | 2,37,2026 | 11 | 7½ | 86,374 | 13 | " | 91,776 | 13 | 11 |
| 4 | 10,18,15,37,100 | 15 | 8,26,30,7420 | 3 | 1½ | 27,656 | 13 | 2 | 28,39 | 9 | 11 |
| | 10,10,43,39,20 | 14 | 10,20,86,784 | 7 | 11½ | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1,51,30,22 | 6 | 11,30,26,04 | 7 | 9¼ | 33,855 | 2 | 3 | 32,86 | 11 | 11 |
| 6 | 5,80,50,52 | 2 | 4,11,61,010 | 6 | 10½ | 7,75,620 | 12 | 8 | 69,127 | " | 3 |
| " | 10,29,768 | 1 | 8,20,593 | 9 | 11¼ | | | | | | |
| 11 | 5,79,8270 | 2 | 5,11,59,654 | " | 5 3/12 | 36,6123 | 9 | 6 | 2,01,28 | 15 | 11 |
| 2 | 5,23,38,5007 | " | 10,4,67,601 | 6 | 6½ | 7,42,689 | 12 | 5 | 69,148 | 12 | 9 |
| 9 | 53,61,864 | " | 4,10,72,372 | 12 | 10½ | | | | | | |
| 7 | 1,65,7,800 | 14 | " 33,1560 | 2 | 9½ | 4,86,954 | 2 | 1 | 2,07,68 | 2 | 1 |
| | | | | | | 627,64 | 3 | 10 | 35,432 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | 23,65,24,806 | 11 | " 4,73,04,961 | 5 | 4¾ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 99,50,224 | 7 | 8 | 12,01,178 | 1 | 5 |

Fusly 1233 — Average

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | Rs | as | |
| | | | | | | | | | 69,514 | 9 | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | 99,084 | 15 | " |
| | | | | | | | | | 34,255 | 13 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | 26,733 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | 1,61,74 | 15 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | 35,299 | 11 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | 18,938 | 8 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | 23,106 | 10 | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | 6,805 | 4 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | | | 22,933 | 11 | 6 |

1996

# Fort St. George 12th February 1853.

## No. 3. Gross Charges Shewing Charges of Management.

| | Gross Charges | Deduct Charges not properly those of Collection | Real Charges | Per Centage of Real Charges on Gross Collections |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fusly 1250 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 6851524 16 6 | " " " " " " " " | 6851524 16 6 | |
| Compensation in lieu of resumed Lands and Offices and Privileges | " " 637859 1 11 | " " " " " " " " | 637859 1 11 | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances chargeable on the Revenue | " " 1125213 | " " " " " " " " | 1125213 | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of Resumed Lands and Privileges &c. | " " 65960 11 11 | " " " " " " " " | 65960 11 11 | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | " " 289106 2 5 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| | " " 1695732 13 3 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Advance for Cultivation and repairs | " " 311395 12 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Dr. Charges Collection of Sequestered Zemendaries | " " 112706 2 9 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| | " " 2119826 14 | 4731668 1 6 | | |
| Abkarry | 77920 3 6 | " " " " 77920 3 6 | | |
| Sundry Small Farms and Sequestered | 41404 2 11 | " " " " 41404 2 11 | | |
| Moturpha | 37291 5 6 | " " " " 37291 5 6 | | |
| Land Customs | 674256 9 10 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Drawback | " " 293680 2 10 | 380376 7 " | | |
| Sea Customs | 283702 2 11 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Drawback | " " 122476 7 4 | 161225 11 7 | | |
| Salt | 714823 18 6 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Salt price compensation and Advances &c. | " " 330198 5 11 | 384625 9 7 | | |
| Tobacco | 406532 7 7 | " " " " " " " " | | |
| Cost of Tobacco and advance to Cultivators &c. | " " 347109 4 2 | 59423 3 5 | | |
| Stamps | 29655 1 10 | " " " " 29655 1 10 | | |
| Total | 9117111 1 1 | 3213321 2 3 | 5903789 14 10 | 12 12 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and Commission | 119604 4 6 |
| Honzoor and District Establishment | 209077 10 8 |
| Saderwarea | 69562 1 2 |
| Extra Charges | 27859 9 1 |
| Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses | 74097 9 10 |
| Extra charges connected with the revenue but not chargeable to any particular branch of Revenue | 128975 12 7 |
| Tent allowance &c. | 93267 3 8 |
| Surgeons allowance &c. | 31509 5 7 |
| Law Charges | 523 7 6 |
| Government Plantation &c. | 82376 12 10 |
| Profit and Loss | 4 6 |
| Refunds of Revenue | 293312 14 1 |
| | 4731668 1 6 |

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| | Gross Charges | Deduct charges not properly those of Administration | Real Charges | Per Centage of real Charges on Gross Collection |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Fusly 1251. | | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 6743609 4 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Compensation &c. | " " " | 639110 6 5 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pensions and charitable Allowances | " " " | 108146 3 9 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands and privileges &c. | " " " | 108490 13 3 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | " " " | 29861 7 5 | " " " | " " " | |
| Advances for Cultivation | " " " | 350804 3 3 | " " " | " " " | |
| &c. for Charges Collections of Sequestered Zemindaries | " " " | 87917 11 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| Ab Karry | " " " | 209360 13 5 | 46352448 7 " | " " " | |
| Sundry small Farms & Licences | 81260 12 6 | " " " | 81260 12 6 | " " " | |
| Motursha | 32486 12 3 | " " " | 32486 12 3 | " " " | |
| Land Customs | 34217 4 2 | " " " | 34217 4 2 | " " " | |
| Drawback | 758026 4 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Sea Customs | " " " | 369181 14 5 | 388824 6 4 | " " " | |
| Drawback | 415212 4 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Salt Monopoly | " " " | 253942 10 11 | 161269 9 5 | " " " | |
| Salt price compensation and advances &c. | 750141 15 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | " " " | 399387 10 11 | 353754 4 11 | " " " | |
| Cost price and advance to Cultivators | 399931 10 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Stamps | " " " | 337087 6 9 | 56844 3 6 | " " " | |
| | 28735 5 4 | " " " | 28735 5 4 | " " " | |
| | 9242621 13 10 | 3452760 8 5 | 5789861 5 5 | 12 4 9 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| + Salary and Commission | 1255493 4 1 |
| Hugoor and District Establishments | 2147909 15 1 |
| Sadurwarad | 70861 3 6 |
| Extra Charges | 29384 3 5 |
| Repairs of Tanks and Watercourses | 78805 2 8 |
| Extra Charges connected with revenue &c. | 111808 13 2 |
| Tent allowances | 9421 15 1 |
| Surgeons allowances | 33635 11 1 |
| Law Charges | 2222 10 6 |
| Company's Plantations &c. | 6990 2 8 |
| Refund of Revenues | 32231 13 9 |
| On account of Improvements in the Culture of Cotton | 2060 7 2 |
| | 4652448 7 " |

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| 1 | 2 Gross Charges | 3 Deduct Charges not Properly those of Administration | 4 Real Charges | 5 Per Centage of real Charges on Gross Collection |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1852 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 7412982 14 9 | " " | " " | " " |
| Compensation Ven. | " " | 637896 1 1 | " " | " " |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | " " | 123005 10 9 | " " | " " |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands Ven. | " " | 673799 6 2 | " " | " " |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | " " | 292590 14 9 | " " | " " |
| Advance for Cultivation | " " | 340146 3 3 | - - | - - |
| Do. for charges Collection of Sequestered Remendaries | " " | 92818 13 " | " " | " " |
| Purchase of Lands Ven. | " " | 811616 11 11 | " " | " " |
| | " " | 2971872 13 " | 442110 1 9 | " " |
| Abkarry | 86374 15 " | " " | 86374 15 " | " " |
| Sundry small Farms and Licences | 27656 15 2 | " " | 27656 15 2 | " " |
| Moturpha | 33855 2 3 | " " | 33855 2 3 | " " |
| Land Customs | 775626 12 8 | " " | " " | " " |
| Drawback | " " | 399399 10 1 | 376221 2 7 | " " |
| Sea Customs | 366125 9 6 | " " | " " | " " |
| Drawback | " " | 207302 12 2 | 158822 13 4 | " " |
| Salt Monopoly | 742689 12 5 | " " | " " | " " |
| Salt price compensation and Advances Ven. | " " | 374986 2 3 | 367703 10 2 | " " |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 486964 2 1 | " " | " " | " " |
| Cost of Tobacco and Advances to the Cultivators | " " | 429921 4 " | 57032 14 1 | " " |
| Stamps | 62764 3 10 | " " | 62764 3 10 | " " |
| | 9995024 7 8 | 4383482 9 6 | 5611521 14 2 | 11 11 3 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and Commission | 123487 3 6 " |
| Huzoor and District Establishment | 214477 4 7 |
| Saderwared | 6900 3 4 2 |
| Extra Charges | 2246 7 5 |
| Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses | 63037 15 10 |
| Extra Charges connected with Revenue Ven. | 11249 12 10 |
| Tent allowance | 2585 10 9 |
| Surgeons allowances | 3918 8 7 |
| Law Charges | 766 10 9 |
| Cotton Culture | 4622 10 10 |
| Refund of Revenue | 8721 6 " |
| | 442110 1 9 |

1999

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| 1 | Gross Charges 2 | Deduct charges not properly those of Administration 3 | Real Charges 4 | Per Centage of Head charges on Gross Collection 5 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fasly 1253 | | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 9,60,1999 12 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| Compensation Vca | " " " | 25,29,862 14 1 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | " " " | 16,1049 1 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands Vca | " " " | 6,11,324 2 1 | " " " | " " " | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | " " " | 3,14,255 14 5 | " " " | " " " | |
| Advance for Cultivation | " " " | 37,0547 2 | " " " | " " " | |
| Dc for charges Collections of Ser- vices tered Zemindaries | " " " | 9,1360 11 4 | " " " | " " " | |

| | |
|---|---|
| × Salary and Commission | 13,82,695-13 3 |
| Huzoor and District Establishment | 2,47,695-14 10 |
| Saderavad | 73,717 1 1 |
| Extra Charges | 24,349-6-6 |
| Repairs of Tanks Vca | 7,57,869 14 6 |
| Extra Charges connected with revenue Vca | 15,699 13 9 |
| Tent allowances | 1,09,666 12 11 |
| Surgeons allowances | 58,848 4 4 |
| Law Charges | 929 6 11 |
| Profit and Loss | 6,737-6 " |
| Refund of Revenue | 82,040 3 " |
| Expences on account of the Culture of Cotton | 2,929 8 1 |
| | 65,179 9-2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Purchase of Lands Vca | " | " | " | 3,52,013 | " | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | " | " | " | 4,43,6820 | 3 | 2 | 5,165,179 | 9 | 2 | " | " | " | |
| Abkarry | 9,1776 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | 9,1776 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licences | 2,8739 | 9 | 11 | " | " | " | 2,8739 | 9 | 11 | " | " | " | |
| Moturpha | 3,2986 | 11 | 11 | " | " | " | 3,2986 | 11 | 11 | " | " | " | |
| Land Customs | 69,627 | " | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Drawback | " | " | " | 36,353 | 5 | 1 | 3,22,273 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | |
| Sea Customs | 4,01,848 | 15 | 11 | 2,45,129 | 2 | " | 1,56,719 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " | |
| Salt Monopoly | 6,99,698 | 12 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Salt price Compensation and Advance | " | " | " | 3,19,487 | 11 | 11 | 3,80,211 | " | 10 | " | " | " | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 4,07,568 | 2 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| Cost of Tobacco Vca | " | " | " | 3,45,943 | 3 | " | 61,621 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | |
| Stamps | 55,432 | 2 | 2 | " | " | " | 55,432 | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| | 120,11,678 | 1 | 5 | 57,16,733 | 9 | 2 | 6,29,944 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 4 | |

2000

# Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| 1 | Gross Charges 2 | Deduct charges not properly those of administration 3 | Real Charges 4 | Per Centage of real Charges on Gross Collection 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1254 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 7419539 7 6 | | | |
| Compensation Pcas | " " " 859567 6 6 | | | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | " " " 119844 15 8 | | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands Pcas | " " " 739896 2 8 | | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | " " " 164970 " 7 | | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | " " " 379267 8 7 | | | |
| Ditto for charges Collections and Sequestered Zemindaries | " " " 36236 9 7 | | | |
| Purchase of Lands Pca | " " " 446719 11 4 | | | |
| | " " " 2746502 6 11 | 4673037 " 7 | | |
| Abkarry | 103738 3 7 | " " " | 103738 3 7 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licences | 32125 15 8 | " " " | 32125 15 8 | |
| Moturpha | 31388 " 8 | " " " | 31388 " 8 | |
| Land Customs | 948558 8 11 | " " " | | |
| Drawback | " " " 16361 1 3 | 784977 8 | | |
| Sea Customs | 329908 2 8 | " " " | | |
| Drawback | " " " 154182 11 4 | 175725 4 4 | | |
| Salt Monopoly | 748484 7 | " " " | | |
| Salt price Compensation and Advance | " " " 366878 11 2 | 381605 11 10 | | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 446363 10 9 | " " " | | |
| Cost of Tobacco Pca | " " " 382360 9 11 | 64003 " 10 | | |
| Stamps | 55993 15 6 | " " " | 55993 15 6 | |
| | 9262400 8 3 | 3666285 11 7 | 5596114 12 8 | 12 " 11 |

*

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and Commission | 129685-2-11 |
| Huzoor and District Establishment | 222866-10-7 |
| Sadervared | 68520-13-4 |
| Extra charges | 27306-4-10 |
| Repairs of Tanks Pca | 724232-11-2 |
| Extra charges Pca | 140571-11-5 |
| Tent allowances | 9506-" " |
| Surgeons allowances | 36249-11-2 |
| Law Charges | 1828-14-4 |
| Refund of Revenue | 30505-11-11 |

Escpences on account of the Improvements in the Culture of Cottons 23902-4-11

4673037-" 7

# Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| 1 | Gross Charges 2 | Deduct Charges not properly those of administration 3 | Real Charges 4 | Per centage of real charges on Gross collection 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1255 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 6,98,333 4 10 11 | | | |
| Compensation &c. | | 83,364 4 14 5 | | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | | 11,528 0 11 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances &c. resumed | | 7,392 6 9 8 5 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | | 15,839 3 10 | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | | 28,382 1 10 | | |
| Ditto for charges collections of Sequestered Zemindaries | | 21,330 3 1 | | |
| Purchase of Lands &c. | | 5,707 8 1 11 | | |
| | | 2,20,881 7 7 | 4,74,517 3 4 | |
| Abkarry | 1,01,637 9 9 | | 1,01,637 9 9 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licenses | 52,520 12 5 | | 52,520 12 5 | |
| Moturpha | 36,194 10 10 | | 36,194 10 10 | |
| Land Customs | 50,033 9 8 | | | |
| Drawback | | 4,776 2 9 | 45,257 6 11 | |
| Sea Customs | 2,95,502 1 11 | | | |
| Drawback | | 1,10,532 5 9 | 1,84,969 12 2 | |
| Salt Monopoly | 6,95,188 1 | | | |
| Salt price Compensation and Advance &c. | | 3,35,704 2 11 | 3,59,483 13 2 | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 4,11,459 15 4 | | | |
| Costs of Tobacco advance &c. | | 3,43,335 8 5 | 68,124 6 11 | |
| Stamps | 48,184 7 | | 48,184 7 | |
| | 86,74,055 13 11 | 30,03,165 11 5 | 56,70,890 2 6 | 12 5 1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and commission | 13,02,704 4 2 |
| Huzoor and District Establishment | 22,68,574 7 2 |
| Sadermaried | 73,386 6 9 |
| Extra charges | 15,465 3 7 |
| Repairs of Tanks &c. | 7,77,320 14 6 |
| Extra charges connected with Revenue Dept. | 14,194 3 8 |
| Tent allowances | 1,081 34 " " |
| Surgeons allowance | 38,850 8 7 |
| Law charges | 968 15 8 |
| Refund of Revenue | 20,663 2 8 |
| Expences for the culture of Cotton | 27,255 1 1 |
| | 4,74,517 3 4 |

# Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| | Gross charges | Deduct charges not properly those of administration | Real charges | Per centage of real Charges on Gross Collection |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1256. | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 7692534 6 | | | |
| Compensation Ycs | | 8745831 4 10 | | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | | 109862 11 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances Ycs | | 74280 6 13 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | | 169252 6 9 | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | | 193747 4 | | |
| Ditto for charges Collections of Sequestered Zemindaries | | 81021 6 | | |
| Purchase of lands Ycs | | 355223 3 3 | | |
| | | 24535771 2 3 | 5238956 9 10 | |
| Abkarry | 101128 1 4 | | 101128 1 4 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licences | 28626 15 8 | | 28626 15 8 | |
| Moturpha | 27279 15 11 | | 27279 15 11 | |
| Land Customs | 56358 12 | | | |
| Drawback | | 2874 6 | 53484 6 | |
| Sea Customs | 253006 13 6 | | | |
| Drawback | | 54230 1 6 | 198776 12 | |
| Salt Monopoly | 6057218 11 | | | |
| Salt price compensation and Advance | | 296433 9 11 | 309287 15 | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 121759 1 9 | | | |
| Cost of Tobacco | | 351967 5 2 | 69791 12 7 | |
| Stamps | 47572 1 10 | | 47572 1 10 | |
| | 9233987 13 | 3159083 2 10 | 6074904 10 2 | 12 8 7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and commission | 1240245 8 3 |
| Huzoor and District Establishment | 2325454 6 |
| Saderwared | 81320 6 |
| Extra Charges | 12927 9 |
| Repairs of Tanks Ycs | 1201802 11 |
| Extra Charges Ycs | 1611812 5 |
| Tent allowance | 98488 9 5 |
| Surgeons allowances | 39755 10 8 |
| Law Charges | 1422 3 2 |
| Profit and Loss | 190 1 |
| Refund of Revenue | 190329 4 |
| Expences on account of the Improvements in the Culture of Cotton | 57129 2 9 |
| | 52,38956 9 10 |

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| | Gross Charges | Deduct charges not properly those of administration | Real Charges | Percentage of real charges on Gross collection |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1257 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 827577 7 5 | | | |
| Compensation &c. | | 86103 4 10 6 | | |
| Pensions and charitable allowances | | 103177 15 9 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances &c. | | 74296 4 5 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | | 169917 8 8 | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | | 217567 7 1 | | |
| Ditto for charges collection of Sequestered Zemindaries | | 7892 5 10 | | |
| Purchase of Lands &c. | | 19631 10 4 | | |
| | | 2298869 11 7 | 597690 7 8 10 | |
| Akkarry | 103849 6 3 | | 103849 6 3 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licenses | 27146 8 | | 27146 8 | |
| Moturpha | 22333 15 6 | | 22333 15 6 | |
| Land Customs | 140431 12 6 | | | |
| Drawback | | 87 10 3 | 140344 2 3 | |
| Sea Customs | 245162 6 4 | | | |
| Drawback | | 14978 9 1 | 230183 13 3 | |
| Salt Monopoly | 696973 3 | | | |
| Salt price compensation and advance &c. | | 332031 14 | 364941 5 | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 405820 4 2 | | | |
| Cost price of Tobacco | | 338002 4 5 | 67817 15 9 | |
| Stamps | 47259 5 9 | | 47259 5 9 | |
| | 9964754 4 11 | 2983970 4 4 | 6980784 7 | 14 4 7 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Salary and commission | 127758 12 6 |
| Huzoor and District Establishments | 231017 3 |
| Saderwared | 84086 9 5 |
| Extra Charges | 26901 15 11 |
| Repairs of Tanks &c. | 144518 2 6 |
| Extra charges connected with Revenue &c. | 151080 15 9 |
| Tent allowances | 10369 11 4 |
| Surgeons Do. | 43603 15 10 |
| Law charges | 743 15 11 |
| Profit and Loss | 402 7 |
| Refund of Revenue | 46262 3 4 |
| Expenses for the Culture of Cotton | 40853 8 4 |
| | 597690 7 8 10 |

Fort St. George 11th February 1833

| 1 | Gross charges 2 | Deduct charges not properly those of administration 3 | Real charges 4 | Percentage of real charges on Gross collection 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1258 | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 7,336,913 13 11 | | | |
| Compensation &c. | | 89,509 11 | | |
| Pensions and charitable allowances | | 108,099 4 10 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances &c. | | 74,695 13 2 | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowance | | 72,861 4 9 | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | | 177,147 9 1 | | |
| Ditto for charges Collection of Sequestered Zemindaries | | 100,641 0 9 | | |
| Purchase of lands &c. | | 25,991 8 1 | | |
| | | 212,339 13 8 | 5,209,574 3 | |
| Abkarry | 93,908 4 8 | | 93,908 4 8 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licenses | 31,847 11 10 | | 31,847 11 10 | |
| Moturpha | 26,791 9 8 | | 26,791 9 8 | |
| Land Customs | 40,484 4 2 | | 40,484 4 2 | |
| Drawback | | | | |
| Sea Customs | 189,971 0 11 | | | |
| Drawback | | 6,847 7 5 | 183,126 9 6 | |
| Salt Monopoly | 788,496 9 11 | | | |
| Salt price compensation and advances &c. | | 314,196 13 8 | 446,299 12 3 | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 454,462 13 11 | | | |
| Cost of Tobacco | | 383,611 | 70,851 13 11 | |
| Stamps | 58,423 1 2 | | 58,423 1 2 | |
| | 9,021,302 6 2 | 2,859,995 2 9 | 6,161,307 3 5 | 13 2 8 |

+ Salary and commission 127,962-13-9

Huzoor and District Establishment 233,369-14-10

Saderwared 8,641-15-8

Extra charges 28,138-12-4

Repairs of Tanks &c. 113,837-13-9

Extra charges &c. 14,648-5-3

Tent allowances 10,912-0-10

Surgeons allowances 43,370-0-4

Law charges 1414-5-2

Refund of Revenue 24,750-9-8

Expences on account of culture of cotton 40,527-4-8

5,209,574-0-3

2005

# Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

| 1 | Gross Charges 2 | Deduct charges not properly those of administration 3 | Real charges 4 | Per centage of real charges on Gross Collections 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1262. | | | | |
| Land Revenue | 7559012 10 4 | | | |
| Compensation &ca | 907827 1 5 | | | |
| Pensions and Charitable allowances | 98232 13 | | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances &ca | 738139 1 1 | | | |
| Pagoda and Mosque allowances | 170229 15 3 | | | |
| Advance for Cultivation | 24792 4 1 | | | |
| Ditto for charges Collection of Sequestered Zemmindaries | 29681 8 9 | | | |
| Purchase of Lands &ca | | 18163 9 4 | | |
| | | 22103 98 1 10 | 5348614 8 6 | |
| Abkarry | 94901 4 11 | | 94901 4 11 | |
| Sundry small Farms and Licenses | 31137 3 7 | | 31137 3 7 | |
| Moturpha | 21065 8 4 | | 21065 8 4 | |
| Land Customs | 43566 5 10 | | | |
| Drawback | | | 43566 5 10 | |
| Sea Customs | 192853 3 1 | | | |
| Drawback | | 9907 13 4 | 182946 5 9 | |
| Salt Monopoly | 8084134 8 | | | |
| Salt price compensation and Advance &ca | | 32930 11 10 | 479105 8 10 | |
| Tobacco Monopoly | 422031 2 | | | |
| Cost of Tobacco | | 35770 14 9 | 64323 3 3 | |
| Stamps | 82587 9 | | 82587 9 | |
| | 9255683 9 9 | 2907321 9 9 | 6348266 10 | 13 10 11 |

Salary and Commission 126417-8-2
Huzoor and District Establishment 236095-14-1
Saderwarid 83311-5-
Exctra charges 15732-8-6
Repairs of Tanks &ca 211244-1-4
Extra charges connected with revenues 176871-6-7
Tent allowance 10996-9-11
Surgeons allowances 41899-15-9
Law charges 3258-9-8
Refunds of Revenue 57433-12-1
Expences on account of the Improvements on the Culture of Cotton 23019-13-5
534861-8-6

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Total | 2896 | 3244 | 2699 | |
| Vizagapatam | Sold at Public auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government — Part of Jeypore Zemindary Estate comprizing the Mootahs of | Condakerlah | 23803 | 19800 | 27189 | |
| | | Ettoor | 9916 | 9067 | 10686 | |
| | | Vulchoorocodoor | 7403 | 7267 | 8254 | |
| | | Deemby | 22426 | 16577 | 19907 | |
| | | Total | 63108 | 52711 | 68036 | |
| | Purchased in consequence of disputes in variation with proprietor — Surwasiddy | Royaverum | 23039 | 18194 | 20325 | |
| | | Grand Total | 87147 | 70905 | 88361 | |
| | Sold at Public Auction for Arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government — Part of Peddapore Zemindary comprizing 13 Mootahs | | 513868 | 355408 | 398075 | Reverted chiefly from 1844 to 1847 |
| | Goonoopoody | Garagapurroo | 6566 | 9800 | 6950 | |
| | Doodeputla | Tantepantra | 4690 | 5083 | 4400 | |
| | Nagumpully | Tuntacondah | 4608 | 3800 | 4150 | |
| | Ragoodavapoorum | Moogolah | 2059 | 2018 | 2160 | |
| Rajahmundry | Cauza | Cauza | 2625 | 2363 | 2920 | |
| | Cauza | Degamurroo | 6939 | 6206 | 5630 | |
| | Attely | Munchily | 4351 | 3673 | 3100 | |
| | Panoomadum | Panoomadum and Goommaloor | 16104 | 15026 | 12605 | |
| | Achenta | Achenta | 46868 | 46759 | 41694 | |
| | Poleveram | Taudemullah / Taudoovoy | 30175 | 25150 | 20710 | |
| | Cottapilly | Cottapilly | 23126 | 12789 | 14245 | |
| | Penugoonda | Panoomunta | 26191 | 21969 | 21831 | |
| | Rajahmundry | Rajahmundry | 7985 | 5774 | 6847 | |
| | Cotta Ramachendrapoorum | Two Mootahs | 167893 | 124597 | 150642 | Reverted 11th September and 9th December 1846 |
| | | Total | 869848 | 640415 | 695909 | |

Fort St. George, 14th February 1853.

Surrendered to Government on account of Arrears of Public Revenues or for other causes.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cuddapah | Cuddapah | 21,599 | 27,445 | 32,471 |
| Ragoodavapoorum | Moonecodaly | 2,148 | 2,105 | 1,720 |
| Attely | Attely | 25,099 | 22,169 | 21,439 |
| Siddavuntum | Thundavilly | 12,628 | 13,212 | 13,924 |
| Peddapoor Zemindary | Bheemanapally | 7,255 | 5,393 | 3,870 |
| Panoogondah | Panoogoondah | 26,218 | 22,159 | 20,333 |
| Parvomadum | Podoor | 15,607 | 14,994 | 13,730 |
| Rajahmundry Circar | Total | 111,354 | 107,487 | 107,493 |

Escheated to Government in consequence of Rebellious Acts on the part of the Proprietors &c.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Suddenghy | Suddenghy | " | " | 4,764 |
| | Total | 981,202 | 747,902 | 808,166 |
| Agraharums not included in the above | | " | " | 10,346 |
| | Grand Total | 981,202 | 747,902 | 818,512 |

Sold at public auction for Arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Masulipatam | Padanah | Vurtullapully | 558 | 627 | 320 | |
| | Goodewadah and Nyealoor | Three Mootahs | 165,016 | 98,738 | 122,515 | Reverted 1st April 1846 and 1st January 1847. |
| | Ellore | Padapandoo | 17,439 | 15,370 | 10,438 | |
| | Bezorah | Valavadum | 10,926 | 9,111 | 13,929 | |
| | Pedanah | Toommedy | 6,118 | 6,030 | 4,412 | |
| | Tiroovoor | Chintalapoody Purganah | 21,924 | 11,550 | 17,174 | |
| | Ellore | Four Mootahs | 43,250 | 21,121 | 25,745 | |
| | Bezorah | Condapully Purganah | 13,601 | 15,750 | 21,421 | |
| | Nundegamah | Nanala Mootah | 865 | 662 | 1,412 | |
| | | Total | 279,697 | 198,959 | 227,366 | |

Fort St. George, 14th February 1853.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Reverted to Government from the want of bidders. | | | | | |
| Masulipatam Collr. | Bezorah and Nundegamah | Bezorah Zemindary | 54,262 | 36,183 | 40,339 | |
| | Tytialoor | Carroocalla Mootah | 17,786 | 11,735 | 18,705 | |
| | Padanah | Chinnapondrara Do. | 3,285 | 6,014 | 3,758 | |
| | Nundegamah and Juggiah-pettah | Nundegamah Zemindary | 158,402 | 105,000 | 88,002 | Reverted 1st January 1849 |
| | Decoy | Six Islands Mootah | 8,617 | 8,750 | 8,708 | |
| | Bunder | Two Mootahs | 11,204 | 8,890 | 6,915 | |
| | Tycaloor | Cuddindy Purganah | 5,522 | 3,150 | 5,561 | |
| | Total | | 259,078 | 179,722 | 177,988 | |
| | Surrendered to Government on account of Arrears of Public Revenue or for other cause. | | | | | |
| | Tirroovoor | Chanoobundah Village | 1,733 | 788 | 2,480 | |
| | Grand Total | | 540,508 | 379,469 | 407,834 | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sold at Public Auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government. | | | | | |
| Guntoor | Vajsereddy Estate comprizing 5 Mootahs | | 852,483 | 540,737 | 543,452 | Reverted 1st April 1846. |
| | Mulcunza Estate comprizing 3 Mootahs | | 547,296 | 338,792 | 321,926 | |
| | Chilkaloorpad | Chilkaloorpad | 194,987 | 126,700 | 142,896 | |
| | Suttanapully | Suttanapully | 193,771 | 126,700 | 137,435 | |
| | Rapully Estate comprizing 2 Mootahs | | 147,382 | 92,529 | 104,721 | |
| | Grand Total | | 1,935,899 | 1,225,458 | 1,250,480 | |

2010

# Fort St. George, 14th February 1853.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sold at Public Auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government | | | | |
| Chingleput | Caroongooly Talook | Agaram | 403 | 84 | 577 |
| | Ditto | Mamundoor | 3,261 | 2,934 | 2,560 |
| | Monimungalum | Etchoor | 2,514 | 2,558 | 2,729 |
| | Poonamalle | Poontundalum | 2,776 | 2,364 | 2,806 |
| | Monimungalum | Ayacoluttoor | 4,403 | 3,577 | 5,026 |
| | Peddapollium | Rammeveid | 312 | 293 | 220 |
| | Nayer | Avoor | 3,049 | 3,066 | 3,420 |
| | Ditto | Woopporonelloy | 443 | 527 | 277 |
| | | Total | 17,221 | 15,383 | 17,015 |
| | Sold under Orders of Civil Court and purchased on account of Government | | | | |
| | Nayer | Soonnapoogoontah | 1,685 | 1,566 | 1,329 |
| | Surrendered to Government on account of Arrears of Public Revenue or for other causes | | | | |
| | Sydapet | Paudy | 2,338 | 2,076 | 1,434 |
| | | Grand Total | 21,244 | 19,025 | 20,378 |
| Nellore | Sold at Public Auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government | | | | |
| | Sydapoorum | Sydapoorum | 87,630 | 32,984 | 38,154 |
| North Arcot | Surrendered to Government on account of Arrears of Public Revenue or for other causes | | | | |
| | Trivullum | Avelcondach | " | 6,108 | 23,171 |
| South Arcot | Sold at Public Auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on account of Government | | | | |
| | Cuddalore | Thondamanuttum | 3,539 | 3,414 | 3,789 |
| | Ditto | Annavelly Vca | 2,881 | 2,585 | 3,578 |
| | Ditto | Cadacandoo Vca | 2,933 | 2,824 | 2,963 |
| | Ditto | Vanamahaday | 7,480 | 6,878 | 9,290 |
| | Ditto | Alaghemuttum | 3,287 | 3,003 | " |
| | | Nullatoor | | | |
| | | Grand Total | 20,120 | 18,704 | 19,620 |

2011

Fort St. George. 14th February 1853.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sold at Public auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on Account of Government. | | | | |
| | Ramagherry | Thogamalay | 3763 | 2834 | 3599 |
| | Surrendered to Government on Account of arrears of Public Revenue or for other Cause. | | | | |
| Madura. | Tandecomboo | Yamookalapoorum | 4910 | 3437 | 2977 |
| | Ditto | Thavashanady | 2359 | 1651 | 824 |
| | Ditto | Pulleappanaikkoor | 4966 | 3311 | 2246 |
| | Ditto | Sookumputty | 8044 | 5423 | 8608 |
| | Nellacotay | Doddurcotay | 3809 | 2662 | 2735 |
| | Shenkurray | Combay | 9295 | 6507 | 8378 |
| | Nellacotay | Ammataray | 5767 | 4037 | 3498 |
| | | Total | 39150 | 27028 | 29266 |
| | | Grand Total | 42913 | 29662 | 32865 |
| Salem<br>Tinnevelly | No Estates reverted to Government since 1840/41. | | | | |
| Trichinopoly<br>Tanjore<br>Coimbatore<br>Bellary<br>Cuddapah<br>Kurnool | No Estates reverted to Government since the Permanent Settlement | | | | |
| | Grand Total of all Districts | | 3719559 | 25334461 | 26820774 |

Abstract.

2012

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

Abstract.

| Districts. | Number of Estates or Mootahs. | Average assets on which permanent settlement was based. | Permanent Peishcush. | Settlement of Fasly 1257. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | £ Rs | £ Rs | £ Rs |
| Ganjam | 2 | 2,896 | 3,244 | 2,699 |
| Vizagapatam | 5 | 87,147 | 70,905 | 68,361 |
| Rajahmundry | 38 | 981,202 | 747,902 | 818,512 |
| Masulipatam | 23 | 540,508 | 379,469 | 407,834 |
| Guntoor | 12 | 1935,899 | 1225,458 | 1250,480 |
| Chengleput | 10 | 21,244 | 19,025 | 20,378 |
| Nellore | 1 | 87,630 | 32,984 | 38,154 |
| North Arcot | 1 | " | 6,108 | 23,171 |
| South Arcot | 6 | 20,120 | 18,704 | 19,620 |
| Madura | 8 | 42,913 | 29,662 | 32,865 |
| Total | 106 | 3719559 | 2533461 | 2682074 |

(Signed) W. A. Bayley
Secretary
Read

3

Read the undermentioned Extracts.

Bo. No. 377
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 28th January 1853
Reviewing the letter from the Collector of Masulipatam respecting the number of trials which has been held by his special Assistant Mr. C. Bird.

3·4

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Bd. No. 378.
From the Secretary to Govt. Dated 1st February 1853. Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Guntoor District for Fusly 1260.

5·6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 139. Read the following Extract

Bo. No. 379.
From the Secretary to Government
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 5th February, 1853.

7

Read letters

From the Acting Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah dated 27th January 1853.
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah Dated 27th January 1853.
No. 10 Submitted by the Board of Revenue 31st January 1853.
No. 11 Submitted by the Board of Revenue 31st January 1853.

With reference to the letter from the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah to the Board of Revenue dated 27th Ultimo and by them laid before Government, resolved that the Board be requested to explain whether on the Acting Collector assuming charge there were any Court or other deposits in the Hoozoor Treasury, and if so, whether these were examined and why a separate receipt was not taken for them.

A true Extract
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered



Bo. No. 345.
Order thereon.

7·8

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah with a request that he will furnish the information called for by Government.

Read the following letter

No. 13.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

9

Bd. No. 403.
From the Collector of Ganjam
Reporting that the system of Commutation prices in the tenor intended by the Board in their Circular of the 8th November last does not exist in the District of Ganjam and offering certain explanations on the Subject.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular of the 8th November last calling for information as to the effect which the prices of Grain during the last few years as compared with the prices of former years have had on the condition of the Ryots.

2. As the System of commutation prices in the sense intended by the Board does not exist in the District of Ganjam, I have the honor to transmit a list of the prices of Grain for ten years from the earliest period at which it is procurable compared with the last ten years, and I have added to it the price of a very valuable article of produce - Sugar, which has no place in the old Accounts of the District - The Statement of its prices accordingly is founded on the information recently obtained with express reference to the preparation of this report - as far as the prices of Grain of various description are concerned the position of the Ryot must be considered

as

3

Read the undermentioned Extracts.

Bd. No. 377
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 28th January 1853
Reviewing the letter from the Collector of Masulipatam respecting the number of trials which has been held by his special Assistant Mr. C. Bird.

3·4

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

5 Bd. No. 378.
From the Secretary to Govt Dated 1st February 1853.
Reviewing the Settlement Report of the Guntoor District for Fusly 1260.

5·6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 139. Read the following Extract

Bd. No. 379.
From the Secretary to Government
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 5th February 1853.

7

Read letters

From the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah dated 27th January 1853.
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah Dated 27th January 1853.
No. 10 Submitted by the Board of Revenue 31st January 1853.
No. 11 Submitted by the Board of Revenue 31st January 1853.

With reference to the letter from the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah to the Board of Revenue dated 27th Ultimo and by them laid before Government, resolved that the Board be requested to explain whether on the Acting Collector assuming charge there were any Court or other deposits in the Hoozoor Treasury, and if so, whether these were examined and why a separate receipt was not take for them.

A true Extract
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered



Bd. No. 345.
Order thereon.

7·8

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah with a request that he will furnish the information called for by Government.

Read the following letter

No. 13.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

9

Bd. No. 403.
From the Collector of Ganjam
Reporting that the system of Commutation prices in the tenor intended by the Board, in their Circular of the 8th November last does not exist in the District of Ganjam and offering certain explanations on the subject.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular of the 8th November last calling for information as to the effect which the prices of Grain during the last few years as compared with the prices of former years have had on the condition of the Ryots.

2. As the System of commutation prices in the sense intended by the Board does not exist in the District of Ganjam, I have the honor to transmit a List of the prices of Grain for ten years from the earliest period at which it is procurable compared with the last ten years, and I have added to it the price of a very valuable article of produce - Sugar, which has no place in the old Accounts of the District. The Statement of its prices accordingly is founded on the information recently obtained with express reference to the preparation of this report - as far as the prices of Grain of various description are concerned the position of the Ryot must be considered

as

as being less favorable than it was.

3. But the very large increase of the Cultivation of the valuable article of produce to which I have above alluded, a °Statement of which, being a copy of the Statement forwarded with my report of the land Revenue Settlement of Fusly 1261, I beg to transmit, goes a great way to counterbalance the loss occasioned by the depreciation of grain and to this must be added the moderation which of late years has guided the Officers of Government in their administration of the Revenue as exhibited in the large amount of remissions for which they have obtained Sanction.

° No. 2

4. Upon the whole I am not prepared to say that the value of grain has been so much depreciated as to call for any extraordinary measures of relief. If temporary relief is required it will be afforded by the present method of administrating the revenue affairs of the District.

5. At the same time I beg that I may not be considered as expressing an opinion that the condition of the ryot in Ganjam does not admit of amelioration or that he may not be placed in a position in which he will be more serviceable to the State than he now is. Measures for a better system of revenue administration are I believe in contemplation and



and they will not fail to develope the wants of the District.

6. It may perhaps be serviceable to compare the rates of assessment prevailing in Ganjam with those prevailing in other Districts, I beg accordingly to submit a †Statement exhibiting the former.

† No. 3.

7. As regards the question propounded in Clause VII para II of the Board's Circular, I beg to state that I have no data upon which to state any thing that could lead to a practical result.

8. It is right that I should add that at the present time there seems to be an increasing demand for some of the most valuable products of the District - Oil Seeds and Sugar.

Ganjam District.
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry.
Nowgam 27th January 1853.

[Signed] A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Memorandum.

2017

Fort St. George

No. 1 Memorandum of prices of different sorts of Grain

| Particulars. | No. | Fasslies. | Paddy per Madras Garce. | Cholloo per Madras Garce. | Menoomoolloo per Madras Garce. | Pasaloo per Madras Garce. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| From Fasly 1220 to Fasly 1229. | 1 | 1220 | 46 10 10 | 79 11 4 | 166 8 2 | 157 7 1 |
| | 2 | 1221 | 46 5 8 | 73 5 11 | 141 11 5 | 172 12 8 |
| | 3 | 1222 | 53 4 7 | 82 " " | 127 14 " | 255 " " |
| | 4 | 1223 | 54 14 7 | 83 10 2 | 160 15 6 | 128 11 8 |
| | 5 | 1224 | 64 2 " | 86 8 " | 181 8 7 | 131 " 1 |
| | 6 | 1225 | 67 8 11 | 72 13 3 | 166 7 2 | 103 12 1 |
| | 7 | 1226 | 46 14 3 | 60 12 10 | 137 4 4 | 92 2 " |
| | 8 | 1227 | 59 13 11 | 57 5 8 | 167 12 2 | 120 8 3 |
| | 9 | 1228 | 51 15 3 | 61 11 1 | 114 1 6 | 139 14 7 |
| | 10 | 1229 | 55 15 2 | 67 15 " | 124 3 7 | 148 7 9 |
| From Fasly 1251 to Fasly 1260. | 1 | 1251 | 44 15 3 | 77 11 3 | 114 12 6 | 118 7 9 |
| | 2 | 1252 | 38 3 7 | 47 12 3 | 78 14 2 | 71 8 4 |
| | 3 | 1253 | 33 12 6 | 34 15 " | 74 6 3 | 94 12 2 |
| | 4 | 1254 | 44 7 8 | 43 5 11 | 123 10 1 | 87 6 5 |
| | 5 | 1255 | 61 2 5 | 54 15 10 | 158 7 9 | 95 2 10 |
| | 6 | 1256 | 64 3 5 | 68 14 2 | 142 3 7 | 133 7 9 |
| | 7 | 1257 | 62 9 7 | 67 1 3 | 144 2 " | 126 " 5 |
| | 8 | 1258 | 46 14 10 | 68 14 3 | 126 11 1 | 103 14 3 |
| | 9 | 1259 | 39 5 11 | 52 14 11 | 86 10 8 | 83 11 8 |
| | 10 | 1260 | 41 3 1 | 51 12 11 | 96 10 8 | 100 8 7 |

Ganjam District, Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, Nowgaum

14

nt shewing the Cultivation sly 1260.

| | Talooks. | Pagodas. | Rs | a | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ah | | | " | 4 |
| | | | | " | " |
| | | | 3 | 15 | 5 |
| | | | | " | " |
| | aum Woonidah | | | " | 4 |
| | lsah Ditto | | | " | 4 |
| | Ditto | | | " | 4 |
| | alook | | | " | 4 |
| | | | | " | 4 |
| | | | | " | 4 |
| in the Roozoot Accounts | Total Aumany Talooks. | | | | |
| Jaggery, Sugar and | | | 3 | 15 | 5 |
| Fasslies. But on enquiry | bs in Jerayaty Villages | | | " | 4 |
| where these | Villages | | | " | 4 |
| factured mostly, these | l Do | | | " | " |
| | m Do | | | " | 4 |
| | s & Ysa | | 1 | " | " |
| is District is entered | Total Enam & Ysa | | 1 | " | " |
| t of Sugar Cane sent | Grand Total | | 1 | 3 | 15 |
| Report prepared at the | | | | | |

2d
Collector.

Statement.

2017

Fort St. George

14th February 1853.

No. 1 of Sugar Cane in the Aumany Zemindary and Malucdary Talooks of the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1260.

| Particulars. | No. | Dry Land. Garces. | Burnums. | Acres. | Rates. | Wet Land. Garces. | Burnums. | Acres. | Rates. | Garden Acres. | Rates. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Rs a ℔ | | | | Rs a ℔ | | Rs a ℔ |
| From fusly 1220 to fusly 1229. | 1 | | | | | | | | | 188-9-3-1 | 554 6 " |
| | 2 | " | " | 560-35-4-1 | 1659 11 6 | " | " | " | " | "-2-3-4 | " 1110 |
| | 3 | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " | 96-14-2 | 360 124 |
| | 4 | " | " | 95-16-5-1 | 141 2 9 | " | " | 4-23-32 | 3 15 5 | " | " " " |
| | 5 | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " | " | " " " |
| | 6 | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " | " | " 312 " " |
| | 7 | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " | " | " 4 10 " |
| | 8 | " | 1975-10 | " | 975 3 " | " | " | " | " | " | " 173 12 " |
| | 9 | " | 116 | " | 58 3 7 | " | " | " | 490 | 284-33-5-3 | 1406 42 " |
| | 10 | " | 798 | " | 988 " " | " | " | " | 142-10 | | |
| From fusly 1230 to fusly 1250. | 1 | " | 2889-10 | 656-11-9-2 | 3822 8 10 | " | " | 4-23-32 | 3 15 5 | | |
| | 2 | | | | | | | | 640-10 | | |
| | 3 | " | " | 32-31-12-1 | " " " | " | " | " | " | 22-6-5-1 | " " " |
| | 4 | " | " | 35-28-13-" | " " " | " | " | " | " | 8-24-"-" | " " " |
| | 5 | | | | | | | | | 11-39-4-" | " " " |
| | 6 | " | " | 23-33-2-" | " " " | " | " | " | " | " | " " " |
| | 7 | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " | " | " | " " " |
| | 8 | 63-22 | 5341-8 | " | " " 275-5-5 | | | | 2198-7-2 | | |
| | 9 | | | | | | | | | 42-29-9-1 | " " " |
| | 10 | 63-22 | 5341-8 | 92-13-11-1 | " " 275-5-5 | | | | 2198-7-2 | | |
| | | 63-22 | 8230-18 | 748-25-4-3 | 3822 8 10 | 275-5-5 | | | 2838-17-2 | 327-22-15 | 1406 42 " |
| | | | | | | | | 4-23-32 | 3 15 5 | | |

For St. George

14th February 1853.

| Gyarees. | Burnums. | Acres. | Total Rates. | | Jaggery. | Average rate. Sugar Candy. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs | as | | Rs | as |
| " | " | 749-4-72 | 2214 | 5 6 10 | | 80 | " " |
| " | " | " 23-4 " | 11 | 10 " | | " | " " |
| " | " | 169-" -7-1 | 505 | 14 6 | 5-10 | " | " " |
| " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | 2465-10 | " | 1287 | 3 " | 11-1 | " | " " |
| " | 124 | " | 62 | 13 7 | 10 | " | " " |
| " | 940-10 " | " | 1161 | 12 " | 10-8-7 | " | " " |
| " | 3530 " " | 945-28-2-3 | 5232 | 125 | 9-7-1 | 80 | " " |
| " | 6 | 54-38-1-2 | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 44-12-" 13 | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | 35-32-6 | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | " | " | " " " | " | " | " " |
| 438-27-15 | 7539-15-2 | " | " | " " " | 11-13 " | 75 | " " |
| 438-27-5 | 7539-15-2 | 135-3-4-2 | " | " " " | 11-13 " | 75 | " " |
| 438-27-5 | 11069-15-2 | 1080-31-7-1 | 5232 | 12 5 | 10-10 " | 77 | 8 " |

Ganjam District, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Nowgaum,

for every 20 Maunds.-

| Sugar 1st Sort. | | Sugar 2d Sort. | | Sugar 3d Sort. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rs | as | Rs | as | Rs | as |
| 50 | " " | 40 | " " | 32 | 8 " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 37 | 8 " | 32 | 8 " | 27 | 8 " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 45 | " " | 35 | " " | 25 | " " |
| 44 | 2 8 | 35 | 13 4 | 28 | 5 4 |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| " | " " | " | " " | " | " " |
| 36 | 3 7 | 28 | 15 1 | 23 | 12 8 |
| 36 | 3 7 | 28 | 15 1 | 23 | 12 8 |
| 40 | 3 2 | 32 | 6 3 | 26 | 1 " |

27th January, 1853. /Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Abstract.

Fort St. George

14th February 1853.

No. 3. Abstract Statement shewing the extent of Land and the Amount of Cist in each of the Talooks in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1261.

| No. | Names of the Talooks. | Dry Land. Land in Acres. | Cist. | Average Cist per Acre. | Wet Land. Land in Acres. | Cist. | Garden. Average Cist per acre. | Land in acres. | Cist. | Total. Average Cist per acre. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs as | | | Rs as | | | Rs as |
| 1 | Itchapore | 16,088 | 14,066 | " 14 " | 12,508 | 30,508 | 2 7 | 1,277 | 1,823 | 1 6 10 |
| 2 | Poobacondah | 12,126 | 18,172 | 1 8 " | 27,993 | 68,757 | 2 7 3 | 1,519 | 992 | " 10 3 |
| 3 | Wadadah | 12,146 | 17,474 | 1 7 " | 28,199 | 100,802 | 3 9 2 | 1,828 | 2,596 | 1 6 1 |
| 4 | Palatalagaum | 862 | 1,983 | 2 4 10 | 950 | 1,871 | 1 5 6 | 8 | 12 | 1 8 " |
| 5 | Goomsur | 10,828 | 14,117 | 1 4 10 | 35,137 | 96,811 | 2 12 1 | 1,589 | 1,200 | " 12 1 |
| 6 | Sooradah | 1,706 | 2,976 | 1 11 10 | 3,073 | 6,706 | 2 7 11 | 40 | 36 | " 14 4 |
| 7 | Codoor | 306 | 500 | 1 14 2 | 136 | 108 | " 12 8 | 4 | 1 | " 4 " |
| 8 | Gautalamulsah | 152 | 643 | 4 3 7 | 234 | 535 | 2 4 7 | 4 | 20 | 5 " " |
| 9 | Moherry | 4,043 | 9,604 | 2 6 " | 20,389 | 59,687 | 2 14 5 | 226 | 359 | 1 9 5 |
| 10 | Lutchempoorum | 280 | 548 | 1 13 7 | 506 | 1074 | 2 1 11 | 10 | 12 | 1 3 2 |
| | Total | 58,534 | 80053 | 1 5 10 | 129,125 | 366859 | 2 13 5 | 6505 | 7,051 | 1 14 19 |

| | Total. Land in acres. | Cist. | Average Cist per acre. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs as |
| 1 | 29,873 | 46,397 | 1 8 10 |
| 2 | 41,638 | 87,921 | 2 1 9 |
| 3 | 42,173 | 120,872 | 2 13 10 |
| 4 | 1,820 | 3,866 | 2 2 " |
| 5 | 47,554 | 112,128 | 2 5 9 |
| 6 | 4,819 | 9,718 | 2 " 3 |
| 7 | 446 | 609 | 1 5 10 |
| 8 | 390 | 1,198 | 3 1 2 |
| 9 | 24,658 | 69,650 | 2 13 2 |
| 10 | 796 | 1,604 | 2 " 3 |
| | 1,14,194,167 | 4,53,963 | 2 5 5 |

Ganjam District, Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, Nowgaum, 27th January, 1853.

[Signed] A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon.

(9.10)

Ordered to lie over.

---

Read the following letter.

No. 9.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(11)

Bd. No. 411.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry }

Sir,

Reporting on the extent to which the Standard measures are in use in his District and the mode in which they may be made more extensive-ly to be used reserves his report on the weights for another communication

1. With reference to the Sub Secretary's letter of the 24th March 1851 calling on me to report on the Weights and Measures in use in this District, I have the honor to forward a Statement giving the contents of the measures in use in the principal talooks made out in the prescribed form.

2. The Standard received from the Military Board with reference to your Board's Circular of 2d May 1842 were retained at the Boozoor Cutcherry and the different Tahsildars were directed to send the principal Merchants and Cometies for the purpose of taking counterparts of them for use in their respective Villages - They came and some took the counterparts, others went away without doing so, but it does not appear even in the case of the former that the counterparts so taken have ever been used, or that the Merchants have made the slightest effort or entertain the slightest wish to introduce them generally.

3. The small measures in use

in



in this part of the country are so entirely different from those sanctioned by Government that I cannot hope that the people will hereafter shew a greater desire to adopt them than they have hitherto done, but it appears to me that if the impracticability of generally introducing all the Government measures be admitted, the next best thing will be for the measures at present in use to be so far modified as to be easily reducible to and from the Government measures.

4. The Board dwell upon the great advantages to be derived from the general introduction of even one measure of capacity and I think that the mercal may be established though I cannot say the same for the puddee or ollock.

5. Inconvenience is no doubt experienced by the people in consequence of the present irregularities of the country measures and that inconvenience does not arise from the fact of the measures in use here differing from those in Madras, but because the measures in use in one part of the district differ from those in another. The measures most generally in use in Rajahmundry are the Seer and the Coonchum. These differ slightly in different Villages, but the average Seer is equal to one twelfth of a Mercal and

the

Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

the Coonchum of 4 Seers to one third of a mercal. A Garce is 600 Coonchums or 200 Mercals exactly half the Madras Garce.

6. If then measures of the undermentioned Cubical contents can be established generally over the whole District the inconveniences arising from the differences at present existing between Villages will disappear and for all purposes of internal trade uniformity will have been attained. while at the same time wholesale Merchants and others from Madras or places where the Government Measures prevail will find no difficulty in their dealings with the Merchants of this District since the Coonchum will correspond exactly with $\frac{1}{3}$ Mercal and 1200 Coonchums with the Madras Garce. I am inclined to think that if these measures, duly tested and stamped, be sold by Government at a cheap rate, no difficulty will be found in generally diffusing them.

| | Cubic Inches |
|---|---|
| One Half Seer | $33\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 2 Half Seers = 1 Seer | $66\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 4 Seers = 1600 uchum | $266\frac{2}{3}$ |



Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

| | Cubic Inches |
|---|---|
| 3 Coonchums = 1 Mercal | 800 |
| 600 Coonchums = 200 Marcals = 1 Garce | 16,000 |
| 1200 Ditto = 400 Ditto 1 Madras Garce | 32,000 |

By this plan the accuracy required for the Commissariate lists will be attained since all articles will be entered in Mercals and Garces.

With reference to the remarks of the Board in Para 9 of their letter under reply I abstain from noticing the Weights in this communication.

Rajahmundry District
Samulcotta 5th February 1853

I Signed I. Prendergast
Collector

Statement

2025

Fort Saint George 11th February 1853

No 1 Statement shewing the weights of Rice Grain and Water measured by measures generally in use in the different Talooks of the Rajahmundry District

| No | Talooks | Names of the measures | Inches Diameter | Inches Depth | Tolas weight struck Rice | Tolas weight struck Grain | Tolas weight struck Water | Tolas Heaped Rice | Tolas Heaped Grain |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Peddapoor Talook | Coonchum | 7 | 6 | 282 | 281 | .. | 320 | 319 |
| | | Seer | 3 1/2 | 7 1/2 | 72 1/2 | 71 | 75 | 77 | 77 1/2 |
| | | Half Seer | 2 11/16 | 5 1/2 | 35 | 35 | 37 1/2 | 38 1/2 | 38 |
| | | Quarter Seer | 2 1/4 | 4 | 17 1/2 | 17 1/2 | 18 3/4 | 19 1/2 | 19 |
| | | One eighth of the Seer | 1 1/2 | 4 1/2 | 9 | 9 | 9 6/16 | 10 | 10 |
| | | One Sixteenth of the Seer | 1 | 2 | 4 1/2 | 4 1/2 | 4 6/16 | 5 | 5 |
| 2 | Pittapoor Division | Coonchum | 7 | 6 | 280 | 292 | 346 | 320 | 332 |
| | | Seer | 3 5/16 | 6 | 70 | 75 | 93 | 80 | 84 |
| | | Half Seer | 2 6/16 | 6 6/16 | 35 | 37 | 45 | 40 | 42 |
| | | Quarter Seer | 2 2/16 | 4 | 17 1/2 | 19 1/2 | 22 1/2 | 20 | 21 |
| | | One eighth Seer | 1 5/16 | 4 | 8 3/4 | 9 3/4 | 11 | 10 | 10 1/2 |
| | | One Sixteenth Do | 1 5/16 | 2 3/16 | 4 6/16 | 4 4/16 | 5 | 5 | 5 1/4 |
| | | Maracum | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 3 | Bickavole Talook | Coonchum | 7 6/16 | 6 | 284 | 286 | 352 | 333 | 326 |
| | | 2 Seers or Adda | 4 1/2 | 6 | 141 | 137 | 177 | 155 | 147 1/2 |
| | | Half Seer | 3 | 5 14/16 | 37 | 37 | 49 | 41 | 39 1/2 |
| 4 | Coringa Division | Coonchum of 5 Seers | 8 | 6 | " | " | " | 400 | " |
| | | Half Coonchum or Adda | 5 6/16 | 6 2/16 | " | " | " | 194 | " |
| | | Half Seer | 3 2/16 | 5 | " | " | " | 49 | " |
| | | Ditto as per Coonchum of 4 Seers | 2 5/16 | 7 6/16 | " | " | " | 39 | " |

Rajahmundry District,
Samulcotta, 5th February
1853

(Signed) J Prendergast
Collector

Ordered

Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

11-12

To

The Collector of

Rajahmundry

Sir

B2 No 342
To the Collector of Rajahmundry
In Cons 14 Feby 1853

With reference to your letter of the 5th February 1853 I am desired by the Board of Revenue to point out an evident discrepancy as to the size of the seer in your Enclosures.-

The Peddapoor seer is stated to be 7½ inches deep and 3½ in diameter. The Pittapoor seer to be only 6 inches deep and 3 5/16 in diameter whereas the former is reported as containing 75 Tolas of water and the latter (30 per Cent smaller if it be a cylender) to contain 93 Tolas.-

No doubt the vessels are not correct cylenders, but the want of accuracy in their make can hardly be so discordant as the above remarks tend to show. Besides this, it is observed that in the former 72½ tolas of rice and 71 of Grain, correspond to 75 Tolas of water in the same vessel, whereas in the latter 70 Tolas of rice and 75 of Grain correspond to 93 Tolas of water. The discrepancy cannot be accounted for by any difference in the shape of the Vessel.-

From the experiments made with the Coonchum, the Board gather that 1 Coonchum heaped is about 320 Tolas of rice, and 600 of these heaped measures will about be equivalent to a Madras Garce of 3,200 measures of the New standard. They conclude therefore that for the present you will continue to make your Returns of Price lists, at the above rate of Conversion.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort Saint George,
14th February 1853

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.-

Read



Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

Read the following letter

No 67

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

13

Bd No 2798

From the Collector of Bellary

Sir,

With reference to a Petition addressed by Pumpapaty Raz late hereditary Dalamany of the Anagoondy Estate bearing the Board's Endorsement directing me to enquire and report also in consequence of another Petition addressed to Government by Rumgapaty Raz the son of late Pedda Pumpapaty Raz or the eldest brother of the 1st Petitioner I have the honor to submit the result of my enquiry into the complaint of these two Petitioners.-

2 The Petitioners are members of or closely connected with the Anagoondy Rajah's Family as the Enclosed Sketch in the Genealogical Table will show. Veera Venkatapaty Rayel had a Son and a daughter. The Son Veroamala Dava Rayel received from Government the pension conferred viz the present monthly allowance of Company's Rupees 1377-11-4 at the commencement of the Company's Government in 1802. The daughter Chellamah was given in marriage to Comara Raghava Raz and had 2 sons: her second Son is the 1st Petitioner Pumpapaty Raz, the eldest Son Pedda Pumpapaty Raz is the deceased father of the

Reports on the complaint of the Petitioners of Pumpapaty Raz late hereditary Dalamany of the Anagoondy Estate and the son of late Pedda Pumpapaty Raz the eldest Brother of the 1st Petitioner

A The family called Arnatty war in the place Athaya Gotrum.

× See the 1st Principal Collector's Report dated 20th March 1802

the 2nd Petitioner[15] Rungapaty Raz.

3 Jeroomala[3] Dava Rayel had seven wives of whom the 3rd Casawamah[5] and the last Narasinga=mah[30] each had a son namely Narasimma[7] Dava Rayel and Veera Venkatapaty[8] Rayel. The former of these, died while his father[3] was alive, but left his widow Ammachamah and a daughter Casawamah[14]. The latter Veera Venkatapaty[8] Rayel, in the fifth year of his age (or on the 11th March 1824) succeeded his father[3] Jeroomala Davarayel who died then, but he did not live beyond his eleventh year.

4 The young[8] Rajah having died un=married in his minority his guardian the hereditary Dewan Pumpapaty[10] Raz (the 1st Petitioner) introduced a boy of 4 years of age whose original appellation was Yaty Raz[35] an offspring of the family marked c in the Genealogy. He was the son of Casawamah[14] grand daughter of Jeroomala[3] Dava Rayel who had by c The family called Malava=raz was in the place of Cistnapah Gotrum.

To the Board 9th May 1831.
The Bd to Govt } 19th Do
The Govt to Board } 27th Do
From the Bd 30th Do

been given in marriage to Venkatarama[31] Raz the eldest brother of Rungaraz[32] the present manager or Dalaway. This succession was reported by the Principal Collector Mr Robertson and con=firmed by Government.

5 This young[35] Rajah assumed as usual the name Jeroomala Dava Rayel after a few



few years Rance Narasingamah[20] and her own brother Rungaraz[32] effected the removal of the 1st Petitioner Pumpapaty[10] Raz from his Office of Dalaway or manager and since then this Rungaraz[32] has continued to manage the affairs of the young[35] Rajah. This arrangement as far as regards the Pension paid by Government was approved by the Board of Revenue. Vide the correspondence noted in the margin. The purport of the complaint preferred by the Petitioners[10 & 15] is as follows

To the Board 27th November 1839
From Do 23rd Decr
to Do 14th Jany 1840
From Do 6th Feby Do

6 That he has been unjustly de=prived of the hereditary Dalaway Office and of cer=tain emoluments to which he was entitled. Whether the office of Dalaway is hereditary may admit of Question. That it had been long held by Pumpa=paty Raz the complainant and that he rendered good service in obtaining certain Villages from the Nizam's Government for his former master and family and exerted himself in procuring the re=cognition of the present Rajah there is no doubt. But on the representations of the late Collector in consequence of serious disputes the Board of Revenue (as noticed above) sanctioned the removal of Pumpapaty Raz from the office. But for a long time before the Anagoondy and other Villages

were

Fort Saint George. 14th February 1853.

were made over to the family of the Anagoondy Rajah by the Nizam's Government; Pumpapaty Raz and Veroomala Davarayel had lived together with their families on a Pension from Government at Cavalapoor in Kumply Talook of this District. It is about now 30 years ago since the Rajah's family entered Anagoondy and settled themselves there, after obtaining that and some other Villages from the Nizam's Government as may be perceived from the Persian Sunnuds herewith forwarded with their translations for the Board's perusal. After his dismissal from Office he was compelled to leave the Sunnuds and repair to Cavalapoor the place of his former residence and died there on the 13th July 1851. His now son Cumara Rajhavarauz and his elder brother's son the 2nd Petitioner Rungapaty Raz stand in his place as his legitimate heirs and they are now in the Village in question

7 The points of Complaint in the Petition that can now only affect the son and 1st the claim to two Villages, viz. Mullapoor and Chinnapoor, and for certain lands sowing 8 Poottics of Paddy and 300 Rupees per month from the Pension of the Rajah of Anagoondy - said to have been granted by the late Rance Nursingamah to



Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

to the Father of the present Petitioner according to the document executed by the Lady in question which is herewith sent with translation for the satisfaction of the Board.

2dly One other Village named Vasavanadroog said to have been granted to the Petitioner's Father by Mohomed Said Meejah alias suffdar Khanadowlah Bahundur a tributary Officer under the Nizam's Government which will be seen by a Persian letter herewith transmitted with its translation.

3dly Compensation for the destruction of their private property valued at 30,000 Rupees by Rungaraz -

8 The Petitioners are Members of the family - The late Pumpapaty having pre-established the ruined circumstances managed the affairs of it for many years, and in all probability with as much ability and honesty as the present manager Rungaraze by whom he was supplanted - The Rajah is a Pensioner on the bounty of the British Government and on this ground the Petitioner, the late Hereditary Dalaway, solicits a hearing to his case and the Board will be able to decide whether they feel themselves able to interfere with the matter of the Petitioner's Complaint. Pumpapaty was removed for alledged misconduct and irritated by the ill treatment he received from the present Rajah and being refused support he subsequently

subsequently even carried on a petty warfare against the State; but this is much a matter of common occurence in the Nizam's territories that it is not of great moment. He lost his situation and his removal reduced him to abject poverty and he even had to beg for a livelihood with his family in the Company's Territory. He has never been again reconciled with the ruling power whose interest it of course was to keep him out, and the Petitioners moreover state that the Rajah was appre-hensive should Punnapaty have been restored that the State would have been made liable for debts contracted by Punnapaty but which it appears have been repudiated by the State and for which the minister was held personally responsible.—

9 The proper authority to take cogni-zance of the claim to the Villages said to have been given for his own use seems to be the Nizam's Govern-ment. But it might not be improper to recom-mend to the Board's notice the general claim of the Petitioner to support from the Sumsthanum as any near relatives of the late Rajah and as regards Punn-apaty because he reestablished the ruined Sums-thanum and managed the affairs of the State as Dalaway for many years. The family of the Petitioners are now



now destitute and beggars in the Company's Territories.— It is not meet that a family receiving a large pension (Rupees 16,532-8-0 per annum) from the Madras Government and holding a jaghyr from the Nizam of about 25,000 Rupees annual revenue should without good cause allow any of its members to be in a condition little better than Vagabonds and Exiles in the Territories of the Government by whose bounty they are themselves supported and from which they are expected to keep the family in respectability.—

10 Should the Board view the matter of the Petitioners in this light, it might be proper to submit their case to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the view of its being represented to the Government of His Highness the Nizam for special investigation in order that the distressed and exiled members of the family may be recalled to Ana-goondy by the present Rajah and supported in a

Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

a respectable manner and should they
have been deprived of any of their rights they
may have them restored and as Punnapaty
Raz the principal offender is now
dead there ought not I think to be any
great difficulty in bringing the affairs of
the family to a satisfactory arrangement.—

" There is one other course
that might be pursued— It has been
seen that the Ranee Nursingamah
during the minority of the Rajah allowed
200 Rupees per month out of the Pension
given by Government for the support
of Punnapaty Raz together with some
lands in acknowledgement of
his services— as the pension is in
the hands of Government,

should



Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

should the Board think
fit to recognize the claim of Pun-
-napaty the portion of it assigned
for the late Dalaway's support
might be given for the support
of his family if the Rajah
refuses to do any thing for
them.—

Bellary Collector's Office
31st October 1852

(Signed) C Pelly
Collector.

2036

Fort St. George 4 February 1853

# Genealogy of the Family of Naraipaty Sumstanum of Anagondy




x N.o 10 died on the 13.th July last

Those that are written in red Ink are dead

/ Signed / C Pelly
Collector

Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

Mahomud Rusoolullah
almoothamuputk Tuffuzzulool
myeezoor Rahman Khadim
Shurah Khazinool Gukheer
Khan Hizree 1255

(True Copy)

Copy of a Sunnud issued by Rajah Chundoolal Rajah yabnay Rajah Maharajah Bahadoor with his great seal on the 25th of Zeekayet of Hizree 1253

Know ye Desh mookh Sirdesh Pandias Desh Pandies mokuddums, Cultivators and Ryots of the Purgunah of Nizamgud or Kappul of the Sirkar of Moodkal of the Soobah of Darooz Zur Bijapoor that Sunganaishwar and other Villages under the Sub Division of Hoolghy of the above Purganah yielding in aggregate a revenue of Rupees 8,245- as have been granted from the middle of Fusly 1247 to Pumpapaty paz Deva Mahraz Dulvay of the Anagoondy Sawasthanum for his subsistence instead of lands comprizing 16 Khundies appertaining to Budajimtakul and other Villages of the Gunganaty Talook and you are therefore required to act according to the orders of the Mookuddies of the aforesaid Sanusthanum and to make over to them the

actual



Fort Saint George 14th February 1853

actual produce of the said Villages considering this as a Sakeed.—

Produce of the Village of Sunganaishwarum and its hamlets.— } 7245-...-...

Do. Do. of Koragul 1000-...-...

8245-...-...

(True Translation)

(Signed) C Pelly

Collector

Translation of a Persian Purwanah issued by Rajah Chundhoolaul Maharajah bahadoor on the 27th of Rajab of Hizri 1245.—

Rajah Chundoolaul Maharajah Bahadoor Servant of Ausuf jah Nizamul Mookk Nizamoodhowlah mooz uf Jumool Manahlie Arasthoo Zaman Roostoomadhouran.—

Hizri 1235

Know ye Dashamooks Surdeshaspandies Dashapandies, Mokadhums, Puttwadies, Ryots, and Cultivators of the Purganah (Sub Division) of Gungavalee of the Talook of Kanakagiry of the Circar of Moodhagul, a dependancy of the Subah of Darooz zuffer Bejapoor, that the Village of Annagondhy and the undermentioned four hamlets appertaining thereto inclusive of the Abkary and Sayer thereof were granted on a Sunnud by Circar in the name of Pumpapaty Raj

Fort-Saint George 14th February 1853

Raj Dhavanaharany Dalvay or Devan of Mahany Nupathy, and that a continuance of the said Village and hamlet is in accordance with the above mentioned Summud as formerly granted from Fusly 1239 to the said individual ye are therefore required to be subservient to him and to deliver over to him correctly the produce of the above places considering this as a Takeed for the same

1 Cusbah Amagoondy
1 Hamlet Sungahpoor
1 Do Sanapoor
1 Do Mullahpoor
1 Do Sunganagoondoo

/True Translation/
/Signed/ C Pelly
Collector

Translation of a Persian letter/ directed on the Envelop/ to the address of Poocheray Pumpapaty Rauz; written by one Rama Row/ as appears from the Envelop/ by order of Mr. E Ravenshaw Head Assistant dated 24th Shawban/ Hijree/ 1244

/Mr Ravenshaw.

/Signs here/

After the usual Compliments it is written as below.—

Agreeably to the Summud you



Fort-Saint George 14th February 1853

passes and the representation you made an order has been written to Mahomed Sayeb Meyah Talookdar of Hanakeghery Vca as per order of Mr. Ravenshaw Bahadoor directing him to survey a portion Equal to 3/2 Candies out of land consisting of 16 Candies & to restore the same to you and also to make over the Village monja Basavanagoody to you from Fusly 1239 and it is directed that you will according to the Circar Summud take possession of the said land and Village from the Talookdar above alluded to. But should the Meeyah in question make any objection either to restore the land or to put you in possession of the Village in question, you will bring the matter to the notice of the Gentleman.—

Initial of Mr. Ravenshaw
/True Translation/
/Signed/ C Pelly
Collector

(seal)

Two verses usually written in documents like this are mentioned here/

Deed of gift executed by Nara-singama legitimate wife of Shreemadrajadhiraj Raja Parameshwar Shree Veraprataapa Shreeveera Immaldevaraya Maharajah son to Veera Venkataputty Rayel

Fort-Saint George 14th February 1853

Rayel and grand son to Arwaily Dasarayel, of the tribe of Ahiya of the creed of Anpastumbhum and of the shakah of Yajoo; in favor of Pumpapaty Raz son to Coomara Raz and grand son to Shreemummaha-mundalaiswar Poochi Raz Rungapaty Raz of the tribe of Vishwa Mitha, of the creed of Anpastumbhum and of the shakah of Yajoo on the 3rd Maghashood of the year Kharah of Shalivahana Shakah 1753.—

In consideration of your efficient exertions towards the support and prosperity of our Samastanum or state ever since you have been invested with the Office of Dalaway under our stamp I do hereby grant you as did R. Ry Rayalavaroo / mean-ing her late husband / the landed property, monthly allowance &c as follows—

8 Khundoogas of Wet land appertaining to the Kusbah of
1/2 Khundoogas land called Cheroonndy payar
1/2 Do. Do. called Mudewadaiva Putty Bhemmara
3/2 Do Do called Jedder Hasghee
1/2 Do. & 3/p Cooly as of Do. called Jeggiy a chavo Hadava
putty chainoo
1/2 Khundoogas of land of Punchapondavacla chainoo
1/2 Do Do. called Kellimurda chainoo Total 8 Putta or Khundoogahs

Besides the above I do also hereby grant you the Jahageer of the 2 Villages of Mullapoor and chunnapoor with their lands pet and dry, Motinpha, Mango Topes, levy of duty on Toddy and Arrack, sayer rents on pasture grounds Toll collections and what ever else appertains thereto. I further grant you the sum of Company's Rupees 200 / Two hundred / to be paid monthly from the monthly allowance I receive from the Circar.—

May you and your posterity enjoy the landed property Jahgeer monthly allowance &c. which I have granted you with my free will.—

(Seal) / True Translation
/ Signed / C Pelly
Collector

S.R.



Fort St George 14th February 1853

Bd. No. 344

Proceedings thereon 1. The first of the foregoing petitions was presented to the Board by the late Pampapaty Raz, late Dalavoy of the Anagoondy Estate, and the second to Government by Plunga-paty Raz, nephew of the 1st Petitioner; both complaining of the unjust deprivation of the Office held by the 1st Petitioner and of certain emoluments and lands to which the family were entitled.—

Proceedings of 18th Feb 1853

Joining instructions on the subject of Petitions Nos 1268 and 1158 of 1849 - No 1158 of 1849.

13.14

2. Pompapaty Raz, the late Dalavoy or Manager of the Anagoondy Estate, held the Office for many years, during which he seems to have rendered good Service; but in 1839 owing to serious disputes and alleged misconduct, Complaints were preferred against him and the Board after enquiry deemed it

From Colr 27th Novr in Cons 19th Decr 1839.
To Do. 23rd December —— "
From Do. 14th in Cons 27th January — 1840
To Do. 6th February —— "
From Do. 15th in Cons. 29th April — 1841.
Proceedings to Collector 29th D:— D:

necessary to sanction his removal from Office in 1841 with the loss of his situation, the Villages and emoluments enjoyed by the late Dalavoy appear to have been transferred to his successor; this circumstance renders it probable that those emoluments and lands

were

were no other than the remuneration attached to the Office.

3. The Summud of Chundoolal, the late Minister of the Nizam which forms No 4 of the Enclosures to the Collector's letter expressly mentions the grant therein alluded to as conferred on Pampaty Razy, Dalavoy of the Anagoondy Samusthanum, for subsistence and although the deed said to have been executed by the Ranee Hanasingamah to the father of this individual, is designated a deed of Gift, it seems reasonable to infer that she associated the grant rather with the Office than with the individual; but contemplated making the Office hereditary in the family of Pampa paty Razy whose descendants would doubtless have continued to enjoy the same had he not forfeited his right thereto by misconduct. If the transaction is to be viewed as a grant to the individual the Deed of Gift (Enclosure 7 of Collectors letter) appears to the Board an unauthorized alienation and cannot therefore be upheld.-

Enclosure No 7.

It



4. It does not appear that the connexion of the Petitioners with the present Rajah's family is so close as to justify the Board's recommending, on that ground, that a portion of the pension allowed to the latter should be assigned for their maintenance; The objections to interference in the family arrangements of State Pensioners are so numerous that the Board are unwilling to resort to it, and would leave it to the Head of the family to distribute the pension to his dependants as he may think proper and direct the latter to look to him for their support..

5. As to the lands in the Nizam's Country, the Board are of opinion that they cannot interfere or make any representation regarding them. They were granted by the Nizam's Government without any interference on the part of the British authorities, and therefore the disposal of them must be left entirely to that Government..

6. Under these circumstances it is resolved that the following Endorsements be

be passed on the petitions and that Copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for his information, and for communication of the Board's decision to the 2nd Petitioner, Rungapaty Razu and to the heirs of the deceased Pampapaty Razu.—

Endorsement on Petition Nos 1268 & 1158 of 1849.

"The petitioners is referred "to the Collector of Bellary who will "inform him of the Board's decision on the "subject of this petition.

---

Read the following letter.

15

No 5.

From the Agent to the Governor at Hurnool

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St George—

Sir,

Recommends that the Fusul Jastee rates may be reduced to half the assessment on the first Crop cultivated on certain lands at Yeldoorty in

Lieutenant Russell the assistant Agent who has had charge of the Dhone Talook for several years has brought to my notice the increasing difficulty experienced in realizing the Revenues of the Yeldoorty Village



in the Dhone Talook.

Village, in that Talook, which he ascribes to the depressing effect of the high assessment on certain lands, on the means of the Ryots, and suggests as a measure of relief that the rates of assessment on the lands cultivated under this Tank with a second Crop should be altered to accord with the principles on which the same is levied in other Districts, Viz.t at half the assessment of the first Crop.

2. Under the former administration there is no doubt that the usage was to tax the 2nd Crop under this Tank at the same rates as the first, but it appears that the cultivation of a 2nd Crop was then only carried on to a limited extent. The accounts prepared at the first Settlement in Fusly 1250 show that the sum of Rupees 481 was only realized on the 2d Crop and the greater part of that sum was the consequence of certain repairs made to the Tank in that year at an expenditure of Rupees 383-5-1 = 3-

In the following Fusly 1251

1251, there was a further outlay in the repair and improvement of the Tank, and the Assessment on the 2nd Crop rated the same as the first, a: mounted to Rupees 1,251-6-1. In Fusly 1252 the Revenue derived from the same source

Maramut Expenditure

increased to Rupees 1,450-4-11

| Fusly. | Amount. Rs | a | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1250 | 383 | 5 | 11 |
| 1251 | 214 | 13 | " |
| 1257 | 225 | 1 | 11 |
| 1258 | 17 | 5 | " |
| 1259 | 154 | 11 | " |
| 1260 | 770 | " | " |
| 1261 | 74 | 4 | " |
| Total | 1859 | 8 | 10 |

and with the exception of 2 or 3 years in which the supply of water failed it has since varied only to a trifling extent as will be seen below.

4. The aggregate expenditure on the Tank since the Assumption of the Province amounts to Rupees 1859-8-10, and it will be seen by the Marginal Entry that owing to the extension of Cultivation of a 2nd Crop created by the outlay

| Fusly | 1st Crop. Rs | a | P | 2d Crop. Rs | a | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1250 | 1484 | " | 6 | 181 | 13 | " |
| 1251 | 1439 | 13 | 2 | 1281 | 6 | 1 |
| 1252 | 1450 | 2 | 6 | 1450 | 2 | 6 |
| 1253 | 1440 | 2 | 6 | 1440 | 2 | 6 |
| 1254 | 1440 | 2 | 6 | 288 | 7 | " |
| 1255 | 1443 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 14 | " |
| 1256 | 1443 | 10 | 6 | 1443 | 10 | 6 |
| 1257 | 1450 | 6 | 6 | 1450 | 6 | 6 |
| 1258 | 1450 | 6 | 6 | 1450 | 6 | 6 |
| 1259 | 1496 | 11 | 5 | 1457 | 1 | 6 |
| 1260 | 1504 | 13 | 4 | 1476 | " | " |
| 1261 | 1503 | " | 4 | 1474 | 3 | " |
| Total | | | | 13698 | 9 | 1 |
| Deduct expenditure | | | | 1859 | 8 | 11 |
| Net profit | | | | 11839 | " | 3 |



outlay the net profit to the Government has been Rupees 11,839-"-3.

5. Lieutenant Russell notices the loss to the Cultivator by the great fall in prices since the Assumption of the Country, and he has submitted a return furnished by the Shanbogue of Dhone shewing the estimated value of the produce of certain fields at the last harvest, the result in most instances leaving nothing to the Cultivator after paying the rent and expences of Cultivation, and in the other cases showing him to be a Considerable loser. These fields only formed a portion of the holdings of particular ryots and were selected from a few remaining unreaped at the time when the enquiry took place. There is no reliance to be placed on the Correctness of the return but even if there was it would afford no useful data, in my opinion, in the present Case as it is well known that in this District the existing Assessment on particular fields has no reference to their productive powers, the whole holding in the occupancy of the ryot and the Enams attached thereto being the basis on which

which the demand against him is fixed.

6. Neither do I think it necessary to enter into a Consideration of the fall in prices because altho' the fact cannot be disputed its effects do not apply to this Village more than to others and any relief based on those grounds would require to be general.

7. Abstractedly considered as will be seen by a Statement annexed the 1st Crop Assessment itself on many of the lands under this Tank appears excessive, but the Comparatively light assessment on the Dry and Garden lands and wet lands irrigated by other Sources added to the Enams Cultivated by the ryots Compensates for these high rates.

8. The responsibility however for the Jusseljastee assessment to the same amount and extent as is now exacted was not imposed on the Village under the former rule, and whilst we have derived a Considerable increase of Revenue from this source the Cultivator has manifestly not been adequately remunerated for his labours and responsibility in producing those results for whilst a 2nd Crop is invariably reckoned inferior to the first in quality and value and the



the risk is greater yet we have exacted an equal Assessment.

9. It is known moreover that the rates of Assessment under the late rule were never fixed on any just principles and it seems probable that the usage of taxing the 2nd Crop the same as the first originated in the known or supposed ability of particular individuals to pay the demand from other resources which the general body of Cultivators do not now possess.

10. Viewing therefore the subjects as one deserving of the favourable Consideration of the Board, I would Submit the inexpediency of perpetuating an usage, the evils of which have greatly increased under our rule, by forcing the extension of the Cultivation of a 2nd Crop after a more certain and abundant supply of water had been secured in the Tank, and I beg to recommend that the Jusseljastee rates may be reduced to half the Assessment on the first Crop.

11. The loss of Revenue it will be observed will be about 720 Rupees annually but a portion of this loss I expect will be made good by an additional Assessment on about 27 acres of resumed Enam lands which have been left in the possession of the original holders at mere nominal rates without a proportionate share of Sirkar lands having been imposed.

/Signed/ J. B. A. Conway.
Agent to the Govt. at Kurnool.

Kurnool District;
Govt. Agents' Office;
On Circuit Sirwell;
24th January, 1853

Statement

2052 Fort St George 14th February 1853

Bd No 340

Resolution thereon. (15:16)

Under the circumstances brought to notice by Mr Conway the Board authorize him to levy on the Punjah lands under the Yeldoorty Tank half teerwah for the 2nd Crop when cultivated in the manner usual in other Districts.

Read the following letter

(17)

Bd No 419

From the Collr of Chingleput.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following replies to the Queries contained in your letter of the 27th Ultimo having reference to the Jungles in this District.—

Submits replies the Queries contained in the letter of the 27th Ultimo on the subject of the Jungles in his District.

Replies to Queries 1-2-3

The Island of Sreeharecotah lying North of Pulicat is the only part of the District which is covered with Jungles of any importance or extent. It is a narrow tract of Country extending 32 Miles from North to South and varying from 1 to 6 Miles in breadth. The cost of the carriage of the wood from the Jungles to the Coast is inconsiderable. The toll levied on the wood transported

2051 Fort St George 14th February 1853

Settlement shewing the average rates per acre on lands under the Tank of Yeldoorty in the Dhoro Taluk.

| Acres | Assessment on first Crop. Rs a D | Average per Acre. Rs a D |
|---|---|---|
| 2 5 1/2 | 6 8 | 3 2 |
| 6 13 12 3/4 | 16 6 | 2 8 |
| 16 18 5 1/2 | 35 1 | 2 3 |
| 20 1 1/4 | 34 8 | 1 11 |
| 24 9 1/4 | 31 5 | 1 5 |
| 21 9 3/4 | 14 9 | 0 10 6 |
| 15 2 15 3/4 | 5 1 | 0 5 6 |
| 105 19 1/4 | 145 0 | 1 6 |
| 27 35 8 1/2 | 37 | 1 5 9 |
| 34 6 9 1/4 | 44 2 | 1 2 15 3 |
| 1 32 6 | 13 8 7 | 1 11 9 |
| 396 9 6 | 182 7 3 | 0 7 6 |
| 119 6 3 1/2 | 56 5 14 3 | 0 13 6 |
| 1552 15 5 1/2 | 218 1 | 0 5 5 |
| 1857 30 15 | 966 5 7 | 0 8 4 |

Other lands

| Lands assessed from | Rates |
|---|---|
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |
| Do | Do. |

30 to 33
25 to 30
20 to 25
15 to 20
10 to 15
5 to 10
Rate as per 25 1/2 to 5

Total

Remarks. Land assessed at 2 1/4 Rupees per Acre

Wet land irrigated by Spring Channel

Garden Do Do

Dry { Regur, Mauld, Sand

Total

Forwarded District Govt Agents Office, Cuddalore 24th January 1853 Signed J Conway Agent to the Govr

Answered

Under the Tank.
Assessment on 2nd Crops.

transported from that place to Madras in the last fusly 1261 amounts to Rupees 9,724-8-2 a/s and the actual value of the wood in question at Madras exclusive of the profits derived by the Merchants may on a rough Calculation be taken at Rupees 30,000.-

The Jungles in the other parts of the District have of late Considerably declined. They are scattered over numerous Villages principally in the Ootnamalore, Carangooly, Chingleput and Tripolore Talooks. Those in Ootnamalore and in the western parts of Carangooly forming by far the greater portion of these Jungles are situated at a distance of upwards of 30 Miles from the Coast. There are however Jungles of some importance in the limits of Veenapauttum and Pullumbauttum near Goodoovanjary, Vanoo: mundapoorum and Tiruadesoolum near Tiruntchecoo: dnum, Velvoyputtum and Lingychetty's Choultry which are within 10 Miles distance from the Coast. The extent of these Jungles will be intimated to the Board on the requisite information being obtained from the Tahsildars, the rents at present levied on them being



Vide letter to Bd 18th Decr 1852

being disproportionate and not fairly indicating their Capabilities.-

Although the quantity of firewood furnished from the Streeharecotah Jungles above mentioned is Considerable, it is inadequate to the demand of the Madras Market as I am aware that large supplies are also received from different Villages in the Triporoor, Chingleput, Carangooly and Ootnamalore Talooks, by the Southern Road and from Calastry Vcer Divisions of North Arcot. No parts of this District however are so densely Covered with Jungles as to form in conjunction with Streeharecotah a sufficient Source of supply for the Consumption of Madras.

Reply to Query 4.

At Streeharecotah a toll is levied on the transit of the wood which is Cut by the Merchants themselves to Madras according to the Scale mentioned in my letters of the 25th November 1852 and 15th January 1853. In the other parts of this District the Jungles are rented out mostly to the inhabitants at sums which vary Considerably in different parts. No further impost is levied on firewood. The Cost or hire for Cutting a full handy load may be taken at 1 Rupee being more or less according to the demand for labourers

and

labourers - and its price at Madras varies from 3 to 4 Rupees.

Reply to Query 5

The Canal as at present extending from Madras as far as Sreeharecotah to the extreme Northern Bordens of this District could from the nature of the Soil and from the various Backwaters which intercept the line with Comparative facility be also extended to Sadras on the South side of Madras through Vellacherry or Injambaccam. The Civil Engineer of the Division is at present engaged in framing an Estimate in reference to correspondence that has already passed on the subject.

There are no made roads leading from any of the Villages in the vicinity of the Jungles above referred to, to the Coast, but the Country is so intersected with Cart Tracts that Materials can be conveyed with moderate facility to the Coast. The further extension of the Canal to the Southern limits of the District by Connecting it with the Backwaters near Lingychetty's Choultry, the place above mentioned, and Marcanam in the Southern Division of Arcot would form a work of vast importance and be the means of facilitating the transport of Materials within the whole Range of this District as well as from the Northern limits of the adjoining District of South Arcot, where the Country is particularly productive in Cocoanuts and other Agricultural and Garden products.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecanney, 10 February, 1853.

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Ordered



Order thereon (17.18)

Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter

(19)

B. No. 98

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

B. No. 13

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant, requesting me to forward a Memorandum shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of land and Extra Revenue Sayer Jummah Current and arrears for each of the Monthly Accounts of Fusly 12.62, which have been already sent to the Board, I have the honor to forward a Memorandum embodying the particulars required by the Board. The instructions conveyed in the Board's Proceedings with regard to the exhibition of the Sayer Jummah Revenue in the Demand Collection and Balance Statements will be duly attended to in future.

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry.
Chittoor 28th December 1852.

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

B. No. 339.

Proceedings thereon.

(19.20)

From the Memorandum accompanying

accompanying the foregoing letter the Board observe that the items "Interest" and "Commission" have not been included in the Sewoy Jummah Revenue the Statement is therefore returned to the Sub. Collector in charge, for the purpose of a revised one being furnished with reference to the above remark.—

2 Mr. Binning is requested to class the items "Interest" and "Commission" either under the head of land Revenue Sewoy Jummah or Extra Revenue Sewoy Jummah, according to the heads which they respectively belong.—

Read the following letter

No 16

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

21

Bd No 308

From the Collector of Salem. Sir

Proceedings 23d Decr 1852
Dd 13th January 1853

Adverting to the sanction of your Board for the sale at Dariumpoory of certain land in Tulook Tripatoor, I have the honor to report that as offers were not made for it when it was put up for Auction on the 24th Instant, it was purchased for 4 annas and so included



included with the Agent lands.—

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Dariumpoory . . . .
27th January 1853 . . . .

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Bd No 337
Proceedings thereon.

21.22

The Board of Revenue approve of the Proceedings of the Collector reported in the foregoing letter.—

Read the following letter.

No 8

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

23

Bd No 402

From the Collector of South Arcot Sir

In acknowledging your Circular letter of 8th November last I have the honor to forward an explanatory Statement shewing the suits brought against public Servants of this District, in which Costs remain to be Collected on the part of Government. The Suits amount to Six of which one has been entered under the 2d class described in your letter. The remaining five have been entered under a new head of class No 4. which has been opened by me for Suits of this description on which the Costs appear capable of recovery hereafter.—

South Arcot . . . .
Collector's Cutcherry . . . .
Cuddalore 5th February 1853

(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 11th February 1853

| Amount remaining to be recovered on behalf of Government. | Explanation regarding the unrecovery of the Costs | Remarks |
|---|---|---|
| 12 | 13 | 14 |
| L86 6 2 | From the Plaintiff being a pauper the balance of the Costs due to Government has not been recovered. He was kept in Jail for some time by order of the Court for some fraudulent Conduct and is now dead. | Sanction was given for defending this suit on the 15th November 1845 Copies of the decrees were forwarded to the Board of Revenue on the 10th November 1846. |
| " 13 2 | Orders have been again issued to the Government Vakeel and this sum will be shortly recovered. | Sanction was given for defending this Suit in Extract Minutes by Consultation 28th January 1846 Boards Proceedings 22d January 1846. |
| 11 8 " | These Costs will be recovered when the Appeal which has been preferred is decided. | Sanction was given for defending this Suit. See Boards Proceedings 11th January 1849 Decree and appeal answer Submitted 5th June 1852. |
| 1 8 5 | Application has been made for the recovery of these Costs and their early Collection | Sanction granted in Boards Proceedings 30th September 1850 See also letter to Board 23d January 1852 reporting that this Suit has been Struck out by the neglect of the Plaintiff. |
| 2 15 10 | D° D° D° | |
| 36 9 8 | Instructions have been sent to the Government Vakeel to move the Sudr. Adawlut for the recovery of this sum. | Sanctioned to be defended in Extract from Minutes of Consultation 13th January 1852 Judicial Department. |
| 539 13 3 | | |

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore 5th February 1853 ... 3

/ Signed / E. Maltby
Collector

Order thereon

(23-24)

Ordered to lie over

Read



Fort St. George 11th February 1853

Read the following letter

Ft. G.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(25)

B. Ft. 313.

From the Collector of Tanjore

Sir

The Naib Sheristadar of this district Runga Row has been absent on sick leave under Medical treatment at Trichinopoly for upwards of 4 Months during the greater part of which period the duties of the Office have been conducted by Kistna Row appointed to act for him.

Rungarow has now sent in his resignation in consequence of continued ill health, and I have the honor to request the concurrence of the Board in the nomination of Kistna Row to the vacant Office, the duties of which he has carried on to my entire satisfaction. Kistna Row is a man of considerable talents and a good English scholar well read on the regulations and proceedings of the Board and although he has never served as a Tahsildar, I feel assured that he possesses character and qualifications fitting him for the important Office now vacant. A list of his services is forwarded and the sanction of the Board solicited for his nomination as Naib Sheristadar of Tanjore.

Tanjore ... /Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Vellum 25th January 1853 Collector.

Service

2062 Fort St. George 14th February 1853

No. 1

Service List of Kistna Row Acting Deputy Sheristadar of Tanjore.—

| | Years | M. | D. |
|---|---|---|---|
| As English Writer to the Commissioners of the Northern Circars on a pay of 30 Rupees from the 1st July 1843 to the end of May 1844 | " | 11 | " |
| As Davastanum Goomastah in the Tanjore Collectorate on a pay of 17½ Rupees from 1st June to the end of September 1844 | " | 4 | " |
| As Hoozoor English Writer in the above Cutcherry on a pay of 30 Rupees from 1st October 1844 to 31st July 1845 | " | 10 | " |
| As Magistrate Record Keeper on 35 Rupees per Mensem from the 1st August to the end of November 1845 | " | 4 | " |
| As Translator on the receipt of 40 Rupees from the 1st of December 1845 to the end of June 1847 Pay subsequently raised to 50 Rupees | 1 | 7 | " |
| As Judicial and Maramut Indexer to Government on 100 Rupees from the 1st July 1847 to the end of September 1852 | 5 | 3 | " |
| As Acting Deputy Sheristadar of Tanjore on the receipt of 100 Rupees from the 1st October 1852 to 20th January 1853 | " | 3 | 20 |
| | 9 | 6 | 20 |

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Under

2063 Fort St. George 14th February 1853.

Bd. No. 335

Proceedings thereon

(25.26)

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Board will not object to the nomination of Kistna Row to the situation of Naib Sheristadar of Tanjore as a special case.—

Read the following letter

No. 9

To Captain J. H. Bell
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
In the Dept.t of Public W.
Fort St. George.

(27)

Bd. No. 364

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

Para. 1. On the 29th of October last year I did myself the honor to submit the information required by your Board, on the subject of the taxation of Bamboo bushes or Clumps in this District and took occasion to solicit sanction for discontinuing the unpopular tax.—

2 The period for commencing the Annual Settlement is at hand, and I should therefore esteem it a favour if definite instructions were transmitted me either to remit or continue the tax as heretofore.—

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
27th January 1853

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

Ordered

2064 Fort St. George 11th February 1853.

Order thereon

27.28

Ordered to be recorded the orders of the Board of Revenue on the previous letter from the Collector having been already forwarded to him under date 3d February 1853.—

Read the following letter.

No. 225

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

Bd. No. 8

From the Collector of Madura

Sir

With reference to the Boards Proceedings of the 9th December accompanied by Specimens of Chintzes I have the honor to inform them that no Chintzes are manufactured at Madura either for Home or Foreign consumption. The supply in the Bazar of this Description of Goods is obtained from Bombay or England. The Madura manufactures for Exportation are red Turbands and handkerchiefs and white and dyed cloths.—

Madura 23d December 1853

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

29.30

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

To

2065 Fort St. George 11th February 1853

No. 4

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

31

Bd. No. 172

From the Collector of Madura

Sir

With reference to the

Explaining what separate charge Mr Hodgson held during the period he drew Extra Tent allowance 113 days while at Madura viz. 1st July 1851 with reference to Board's Proceedings &c. dated the 3d Instant.—

Board's Proceedings dated the 3d Instant I have the honor to inform them that Mr. Hodgson's separate charge consisted of the Revenue and Police of the Talooks of Meylore and Ramgherry and the Police of the Shevagunga Zemindary. During a portion of the period embraced by the Statement he also had charge of the Police of the Ramnad Zemindary.—

2 The cause of Madura being Mr. Hodgson's chief place of residence is the absence of any European house in Ramgherry Meylore and Shevagunga save those belonging to the American Mission. During the Rains and during the extreme heat also / except on the Punnumahbundy and occasions of necessity / the Board I think will not deem it desirable that a European should live under canvas.—

It

3 It never occurred to me that after granting this allowance to Mr Ratliff the Board would hesitate to pass it to Mr Hodgson or I would have made a Special reference.

If the Board are of opinion that Ramnad, as was once proposed, should be made the chief station of the Head Assistant, I beg that they will inform me I have not placed the Head Assistant there, because I found on him at Madura when I joined the District and did not consider Ramnad upon the whole so central. Moreover there might be a difficulty about a residence the only 2 houses in addition to that occupied by the Commanding Officer, being taken up the one as a Public Bungalow belonging to the Zemindar and the other as the residence of a native to whom it belongs.

Madura
11th January 1853

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

B. P. 32.
Proceedings thereon

(31.32)

The Extra Tent allowance was passed in the Board's Proceedings of the 3d January 1853 to Mr Hodgson as Head and acting Head Assistant as his predecessor appears to have enjoyed the



the same allowance under similar circumstances. It will not however in future be allowed to the Head Assistant, unless according to the rules, he provides public accommodations for his Cutcherry when residing at the chief station of his own Division.

2 The Board cannot pass the Extra allowance to Mr Hodgson as Assistant Collector, and as not fulfilling the condition expressed in Para 11 of the Rules.

On the question as to the

3 Board's wish that the Head Assistant reside at Ramnad, they observe that the Collector is the best judge of the station in which it is desirable for the public service that his Assistants be located, but when such station has been fixed on, they do not think the reason assigned in Para 2 of the Collector's letter for permitting him to reside elsewhere, is a valid one.

Read the following letter

(33)

B. P. 315

From the Collectory Malabar

No. 10

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

Under the Board's instructions

Fort St. George 14th February 1853

Bd No. 341
Proceedings thereon
(33.34)

thereon: Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue authorize the sale, for arrears of revenue of the lands adverted to, and direct the publication in their Office of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being attended to Tuesday the 22nd March 1853. Mr Conolly will be pleased to make a corresponding correction in the Advertisement published in his District.

2. In transmitting future Advertisements the Collector is requested to submit them in the Form given at Page 69 of the Board's Circular Order Book making however such modifications as may be necessary.--

(35)
Bd No. 282

From the Acting Collector of Madras. Read Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.

Bd No. 334
Proceedings thereon.
(35.36)

Resolved that the foregoing Statement be returned to the Acting Collector with a request that the last item in No. 3. 28 men at 1/2 Fanams each may be rectified. The amount as entered is Rupees 6-72-3 whereas the correct sum, at the above rate, should be Rupees 3-4-4 it is presumed there must be some error either in the rate of the hire or the number of men employed.

2. Mr Stokes is also requested to



Fort St. George 14th February 1853

to state under what date the item No. 28. 13 Annas. entered in the Column of Actual charges in the last quarter was sanctioned, as no entry appears in that Statement for Cooly-hire paid for conveying Bamboo Mats.--

3. The Board also direct that in future an Estimate be submitted for binding Books previous to incurring the charge and that the same be included in the Estimate of Extra charges annually submitted for sanction.--

---

Read the following letter.
No. 22.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(37)
Bd No. 342.
From Clement Dale
Hon'ble Company's Solicitors

Sir

Having been requested by the Military Board, to prepare a Lease from the East India Company to the purchaser of the premises formerly used as the Government Dispensary and with the view of assimilating as far as practicable the different leases used in this Presidency, I have the honor to request you will send me at your convenience two of each of the printed forms of the Leases forwarded in my letter to your address under date the 30th May 1850, No. 174 as finally approved

of

of for use by the Board.

Madras, 1st February, 1853. /Signed/ Clement Dale.

Hon'ble Company's Solicitor

Bd Pr 338.

Proceedings thereon.

(37.38)

Resolved that 2 Copies of each of the undermentioned printed forms of Leases be forwarded to the Honorable Company's Solicitor as requested by him in the foregoing letter.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Building Lease | | 2 Copies |
| Do. | Do. Counterpart | 2 " |
| Agricultural Lease renewable | | 2 " |
| Do. | Do. Do. Counterpart | 2 " |
| Agricultural Lease for a positive term | | 2 " |
| Do. | Do. Do. Counterpart | 2 " |

Resolved that the following Official Memorandum be despatched.

(39)

Official Memorandum.

Bd Affairs No. 339.

To The Coll.r of Sea Customs...

The Collector of Sea



Sea Customs is requested to State, if his records supply the information, the rate per bushel at which Salt is sold in Ceylon both for Consumption and Exportation..

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 14th February, 1853. } /Signed/ D Cunliffe Sub Secretary

Read.

Read the undermentioned Lists.

40
Bd No 394
From the Agent at Hunnool. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st December 1852.

41
Bd No 225
From the Collector of Chingleput. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered upto 31st December 1852.

42
Bd No 393
From the Collector of Canara. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered upto 31st December 1852.

40.43
Order on the 3 abovementioned Lists. } Ordered to be recorded.

Bd No 416
From the Acting Collector of South Arcot. Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board of Revenue for the Month of January last.

44
44.45
Order thereon— Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

46
Ms No 181
From the Collector of Chingleput. Return of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.—

From



Ms No 178
From the Sub Collector in charge of South Arcot } Return of fines

47
imposed and stoppages made from the pay

48
of public servants for the Quarter ending
Ms No 179
31st December 1852.—
From the Sub Collector in charge of South Arcot } Return of alterations

49
that have occurred in the list of fines and
Ms No 183
stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.—
From the Collector of Chingleput. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending

50
Ms No 183
31st December 1852.—
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Quarter

51
Ms No 184
ending 31st December 1852.—
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of the public

52
servants suspended and dismissed for the
Ms No 196
Quarter ending 31st December 1852.—
From the Collector of Madura. Salt account Current for the

53
Ms No 197
Quarter ending 31st December 1852.—
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.—

From

67
Mis. No. 192
From the Collector of Malabar. Salt account Current
55
Mis. No. 191 for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.
From the Collector of Malabar. Tobacco Account Current
56
Mis. No. 186 for the Quarter ending 31st December 1852.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month of
57
Mis. No. 185 December 1852.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month of
58
Mis. No. 187 December 1852.
From the Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month of
59
Mis. No. 189 December 1852.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average
Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt
60
Mis. No. 188 for the Month of December 1852.
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt
61
Mis. No. 190 for the Month of December 1852.
From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt for the Month of December
1852.

From



Mis. No. 195
From the Collector of Tanjore. Register of the Rivers
62
Caveries at the Annicut for the Month of
63
Mis. No. 194 December 1852.
From the Collector of Madura. Return of persons
charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822
64
Mis. No. 193 for the Month of December 1852.
From the Collector of Madura. Return of persons suspended
and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for
63a
Mis. No. 180 the Month of December 1852.
From the Collector of Chingleput. Stating that no persons
were suspended and dismissed under Regulation
VI of 1831 for the Month of December
66
Mis. No. 177 1852.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Acknowledging the
67
receipt of the 24 Forms of the Demand Collec-
Mis. No. 100 tion and Balance Statements.
From the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah. Requesting that 52 Copies
of the printed Forms of Demand Collection and
67 68
Balance Statements may be forwarded.
Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied
69
Mis. No. 81 accordingly.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Indent for Stationery and
Sundries required for the years 1853.

From

69.70

Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.

71

Mis. Ltr. 122

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of Smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of December 1852.

71.72

Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.

" Goldingham

Comp. D. Gals.

" Blane

"



# Diary.

15th February. Received the following letter.

No 9

To W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St George.

1

Bo. No 457 Sir,

From the Actg Sub Collector of Cuddapah - - - - }

I have the honor to forward, as requested in your letter of the 31st ultimo, the duplicate Certificate for the stamps granted by the acting Collector on assuming charge of the District from me on the 27th ultimo.-

Cuddapah Sub Collectors Office, on Circuit at Budwail, 9th February, 1853. - - - - - - }

(Signed) W. Wedderburn
Acting Sub Collector.

Received

Received from Mr A Wedderburn acting Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah the undermentioned stamp papers of the Value of Rupees 8,781-- being the balance remaining in hand up to this date according to the accounts of the stamp Darogah.-

| Size No. | Description of Paper. | Number of Rolls. | Amount. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 4 Annas Paper | 1324 | 331 - - |
| | 8 Do Do | 1201 | 600 8 - |
| | 1 Rupee Do | 470 | 470 - - |
| | 2 Do Do | 322 | 644 - - |
| | 4 Do Do | 148 | 592 - - |
| | 8 Do Do | 43 | 344 - - |
| | 16 Do Do | 111 | 1776 - - |
| 2 | 32 Do Do | 56 | 1792 - - |
| | 50 Do Do | 7 | 350 - - |
| | 100 Do Do | 11 | 1100 - - |
| | 150 Do Do | 1 | 150 - - |
| | 250 Do Do | 2 | 500 - - |
| | 8 Annas Europe Decree Do | 175 | 87 8 - |
| | 1 Rupee - Do - Do | 10 | 10 - - |
| | 2 Do - Do - Do | 17 | 34 - - |
| | Total | 3898 | 8781 - - |

Cuddapah. - - - - }
27th January 1853. } EE Jpn (Signed) M. Murray
Asst. Collector

Bc No 338

Proceedings thereon.

(1.2)

No 66

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosure be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to the Board's endorsement on Mr Wedderburn's letter of the 27th January 1853 forwarded to Government under date the 31st idem.-

Received



Received the following letter

No 10

To

W.H. Bayley Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St George.

(3)

Bc No 456 Sir,

From the Sub Collector in Charge of North Arcot. } I have the honor to re-port for the information of the Board that I have this day delivered over charge of the Northern Division of Arcot to Mr H. A. Brett, acting Collector, whose receipts for the balance of Cash in the Treasury and Stamped papers are herewith transmitted.-

North Arcot. - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry. - - -
Chittoor, 12th February, 1853. } (Signed) R. B. H. Binning
Sub Collector in charge

Received

2082

Fort St George — February 1853

Received from R. B. M Binning Esqre Sub Collector in charge of the Northern Division of Arcot the sum of Company's Rupees 7,83,226-5-5 Seven lacs eighty three thousand two hundred and twenty six, annas five and pice five, being the balance of Cash up to 11th February 1853 according to the Accounts of the Treasury.—

| Specie. | Description of Coins. | No. of Coins. | Rates of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins. | Amount. | Total Current Coins. | Total uncurrent Coins. | Grand Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| Silver. | Company's Rupees of 1835/40 | 64,945 | 100 - - | 64,945 - - | | | |
| | D: Half D: | 64,662 | 50 - - | 32,331 - - | | | |
| | D: Quarter D: | 289830 | 25 - - | 72,457 8 - | | | |
| | D: Double annas | 82040 | 12 8 | 10,255 - - | | | |
| | | | | | 7,64,493 8 - | | |
| | Company's Rupees of the Coinages previous to 1835 | 6230 | 100 - - | 6230 - - | | | |
| | D: Half D: | 1123 | 50 - - | 561 8 - | | | |
| | D: Quarter D: | 471 | 25 - - | 117 12 - | | | |
| | D: Double annas | 608 | 12 8 - | 76 - - | | | |
| | D: Single D: | 272 | 6 4 - | 17 - - | | | |
| | Bombay Rupees | 753 | 100 - - | 753 - - | | | |
| | D: Half D: | 182 | 50 - - | 91 - - | | | |
| | D: Quarter D: | 22 | 25 - - | 5 8 - | | 7,851 12 - | |
| | | | | | | | 7,72,345 4 - |
| Copper. | New Half Annas | 181983 | 3 2 - | 5,686 15 6 | | | |
| | D: Quarter D: | 131405 | 1 9 - | 2,053 3 3 | | | |
| | D: Single pice pieces | 7152 | - 8 4 | 37 4 - | 7,777 6 9 | | |
| | Europe four pice pieces | 131 | 2 1 4 | 2 11 8 | | | |
| | D: two D: | 90 | 1 - 8 | 15 - | | 3 10 8 | |
| | | | | | | | 7,781 1 5 |
| | Madras Bank Notes amounting to Rupees | | | | | | 3,100 - - |
| | | | | | | Total Rupees | 7,83,226 5 5 |

North Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 12th February 1853. E E pr (Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

Received

2083

# Fort St George the February 1853

Received from R. B. M. Binning Esqr Sub Collector in charge of the Northern Division of Arcot in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees (21.697-2-10) Twenty one thousand Six hundred and ninety Seven, Annas two and Pice ten, being the balance of Cash Deposited by the late Provincial Court Centre Division and late Zillah Court and Civil and Sessions and Subordinate Courts of Chittoor up to 11th February 1853 according to the accounts of the Treasury:-

| Species. | Description of Coins. | No. | Rates of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins. | Amount. | Total Current Coins. | Total uncurrent Coins. | Grand Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| | Late Provincial Court Centre Division | | | | | | |
| Silver. | Company's Rupees of Coinage previous to 1835 | 747 | 100 - - | 747 - - | | | |
| Copper. | Double annas for 1835/40 | 1 | 12 8 - | - 2 - | 747 2 - | | |
| | One pie pieces | 10 | " 8 4 | - - 10 | - - 10 | | |
| | Late Zillah Court and Civil and Sessions Court of Chittoor. | | | Company's Rupees | | | 747 2 10 |
| Gold. | One third Gold Rupees | 9 | 500 - - | 45 - - | 45 - - | | |
| | Star Pagodas | 259 | 350 - - | 9,068 - - | - - - | 9,068 - - | 951 8 - |
| | Company's Rupees of 1835/40 | 4,664 | 100 - - | 4,664 - - | | | |
| | Half Do | 7,047 | 50 - - | 3,523 8 - | | | |
| | Quarter Do | 1200 | 25 - - | 3,000 - - | | | |
| | Double annas | 1277 | 12 8 - | 159 10 - | 11347 | | |
| | Company's Rupees old | 1,000 | 100 - - | 1,000 - - | | | |
| Silver. | Company's Rupees of Coinage previous to 1835 | 6 | 100 - - | 6 - - | | | |
| | Half Do | 180 | 50 - - | 90 - - | | | |
| | Quarter Do | 27 | 25 - - | 6 12 - | | | |
| | Double Do | 60 | 12 8 - | 7 8 - | | | |
| | Single Do | 4 | 6 4 - | - 4 - | | | |
| Copper. | Mylee fanams | 1716 | 7 12 6 | 133 6 - | - - - | 124 3 14 - | 125 9 1 - - |
| | Do-gganies | 1800 | - 15 6 | 17 8 - | - - - | 17 8 - | 17 8 - |
| | Bank notes amounting to Rupees | | | | | | 1340 - - |
| | | | | | | Company's Rupees - - | 4,900 - - |
| | Subordinate Court of Chittoor. | | | | | | |
| Silver. | Company's Rupees of 1835/40 | 6,050 | 100 - - | 6,050 - - | 6,050 - - | - - - - - - | 6,050 - - |
| | | | | | | Company's Rupees - | 21,697 2 10 |

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, - - -
Chittoor, 12th February, 1853 - - - - -

E E Pr (Signed) A A Brett
Acting Collector.

Received

2084 Fort St George — February 1853

Received from R. B. M. Binning Esqr Sub Collector in charge of the Northern — Division of Arcot the undermentioned Quantity of Stampt papers remaining up to 11th February 1853 according to the Accounts of that Department.—

| Size Number | Description of Papers. | Rolls. | Value. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Stamped Paper** | | | | |
| | 4 Annas Country paper | 1411 | 352 | 12 | |
| | 8 D: D: | 750 | 375 | - | |
| | 1 Rupee D: | 2,033 | 2,033 | - | |
| | 2 D: D: | 580 | 1160 | - | |
| | 4 D: D: | 199 | 796 | - | |
| | 8 D: D: | 90 | 720 | - | |
| | 16 D: D: | 26 | 416 | - | |
| | 32 D: D: | 92 | 2944 | - | |
| 2 | 50 D: D: | 12 | 600 | - | |
| | 100 D: D: | 8 | 800 | - | |
| | 150 D: D: | 3 | 450 | - | |
| | 250 D: D: | 2 | 500 | - | |
| | 350 D: D: | 2 | 700 | - | |
| | 500 D: D: | 1 | 500 | - | |
| | 750 D: D: | 1 | 750 | - | |
| | 1000 D: D: | 1 | 1000 | - | |
| | 2000 D: D: | 1 | 2000 | - | |
| | | 5212 | 16096 | 12 | |
| | 8 Annas Europe paper for deeds | 452 | 226 | - | |
| 2 | 1 Rupee D: | 139 | 139 | - | |
| | 2 D: D: | 153 | 306 | - | |
| | | 744 | 671 | - | |
| | 8 Annas Stamped Europe paper for deeds, | 63 | 31 | 8 | |
| 2 | 1 Rupee D: | 12 | 12 | - | |
| | 4 D: D: | 1 | 4 | - | |
| | | 76 | 47 | 8 | |
| | Total Rupees | 6032 | 16815 | 4 | |

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor, 12th February, 1853.

EE for (Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

To

Fort St George — February 1853

No 11

To. W.H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Fort St George.

4

Bd No 455

From the As Collector of North Arcot — Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have this day received charge of the Northern Division of Arcot and Treasury and have granted Receipts in Duplicate of this date for the balance of Cash and stampt papers to Mr R.B.M. Binning Sub Collector in charge.—

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor 12th February 1853 — (Signed) W.H. Brett As Collector.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

3-5

6

Bd No 488

From Lt R McDonell Esqre — To. The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

As I am very anxious to remain in Madras, until the 1st of next month and as it is now too late for me to apply thro' the Collector of South Arcot I have the honor to request that you will lay before the Board my application to be permitted to be absent from my district for 10 days under section XII amended of the absentee Rules in extension of the leave granted me under date the 14th January 1853.—

6-7

Guindy, February 14th, 1853. (Signed) Lt E.R. McDonell

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.—

Lt



Fort St George 17th February 1853

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 17 February 1853 — J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
J.L. Blane Esqre Third Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member
Absent on Duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting

8

Bd No 228

read and approved.—

From the Secretary to Government Dated 21st January 1853. — Read Extract reviewing and praising orders on the report of Mr Newill, Head Assistant to the Collector of Guntoor, submitted by the Commissioner Northern Circars, upon his operations in laying down a boundary line along the course of the Kistna between the Masulipatam and Guntoor Districts, and in the Settlement of the numerous disputes regarding Islands

between

Fort St George 17th February 1853

between the Villages on both banks of the river.-

8.9

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Extract-

10

Bd No 329

From the Secretary to Government.-

No 113.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 28th January, 1853.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be requested to expedite the transmission of the informations required by Government in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 14th September last, No 912, on the subject of the Circar Guein Estates in the District of Canara.-

(Signed) T. Tycroft
Secretary to Govt

Read also the following letter.-



Fort St George 17th February 1853

No 100

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

11

Bd No 2876

From the Collector of Canara.-

Furnishes information on the subject of Gueny-states in his District as called for by the Board in their Proceedings dated 4th October 1852

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 4th October 1852, and proceed to furnish the information called for by the Government on the subject of the Gueny Estates of this district.

1st Whether these Mirgs are distributed throughout the Province or are confined chiefly to particular Talooks

The annexed table will show that the Gueny Estates are distributed throughout the Provinces and not confined to particular localities.

The proportion however of Gueny to Mooly Estates is large in those talooks where cultivation is least advanced or where the assessment is heavy- Thus with reference

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

to this proportion the Talooks range as follows.

| | | Guony | Mooly |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Soopah | 3613 | 2216 |
| 2 | Footoor | 1436 | 2830 |
| 3 | Ankola | 1966 | 4554 |
| 4 | Sonda | 951 | 2725 |
| 5 | Buntwal | 1151 | 3758 |
| 6 | Honore | 1285 | 4425 |
| 7 | Bilghi | 244 | 1058 |
| 8 | Oodipy | 516 | 3310 |
| 9 | Cundapoor | 439 | 3565 |
| 10 | Barcoor | 363 | 3178 |
| 11 | Mangalore | 321 | 5707 |
| 12 | Bekul | 327 | 7272 |

This tends to elucidate what I stated in my previous letter that the Guony Estates are not escheated of valuable property which lapsed to the Government, but lands left upon their hands from the absence of the proprietors during times of oppression and war.

2d. The amount of Revenue now received from them.

The assessment now realised from 12612 Guony Estates is 212327–5–10 being less than the Beriz by Rupees 83709–8–3 a P

The



Fort St. George 17th February 1853

3d. The proportion borne by it to the whole Revenue of the District.

The total land Revenue of the District is 1898002–15–11 as P of which the above sum of Rupees 212327–5–10 is realised from the Guony Estates.

4th. Whether those wurgs are generally more highly assessed than other estates of the same extent in the same Talooks.

The fact of these Estates having become Guony Estates is to a certain degree a proof of their being more highly assessed than other Estates of the same extent (and productive powers) in the same Talook since they became guony in consequence of their being abandoned by their proprietors. But now that the value of property has risen, out of 12612 Estates 7892 pay the full assessment.

5th. What is the estimated sacrifice or prospective loss to Government, if mool Suttahs were to be given to all holders of these Circar Guony Wurgs.

As the Government does not receive any landlords share upon these Estates, but merely collects the Government Beriz, as it does on the rest of the Estates of the District, and as I believe no change in this respect has ever been contemplated, no sacrifice or prospective loss is involved in restoring these Estates from Guony to Mooly wherever proprietors exist or persons can be found willing to apply for them.

2. The sum of 83709–8–3 as P which is

Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

is now uncollected will be realisable wherever the Estates are found compe-
-tent to pay it when they are entered as mooly as much as now when they are entered as Guiny— The only instances in which the balance will not be levi-
-able are those in which a portion of this has been after enquiry entered for permanent remission / Board Sifarish/ for at the time of Mr. Vivealis settle-
-ment the Guiny Estates were treated exactly as the Mooly and many of them are entered in the classes Wayda and-
Board Sifarish—

3. I believe that these remarks furnish the information which the Government require— It appears more in detail in table which I annex, in which I have added the average assess-
-ment of the Mooly and Guiny Estates and the highest and lowest of each—

4. I would beg to add with re-
-ference to Para 9 of the Board's pro-
-ceedings that the measure which I submitted for their consideration does not

* No. 1.



Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

not appear to me to preclude an adjustment of the Beriz where it is proved to be too high— This was the very object pursued by Mr. Vivealis and by Mr. Cotton whose aim was to render the occupants of these lands full proprietors of a saleable and improvable property both by modifying the assessment where it is more than the land can pay and by confirming the Estate to the pro-
-prietor wherever one could be found— It was not my object to propose an indis-
-criminate declaration that all present occupants are proprietors, but to revert to the former system of endeavouring to discover occupants capable of becoming so, expressing it to be the object of the Government to concede the proprietary right wherever there are parties ready to claim and exercise it—

I take this opportunity of laying before the Board copy of a recent order passed by the Civil Court in this case out of which the present discussion has arisen and of a Motion which it has in consequence appeared to me to be necessary to file which I trust will be approved by the Board—

* No. 2.

No. 3.

Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit, Hosdroog
11th November 1852—

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Collector

Memorandum

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

is now uncollected will be realisable wherever the Estates are found compe=tent to pay it when they are entered as mooly as much as now when they are entered as Guery. The only instances in which the balance will not be levi=able are those in which a portion of this has been after enquiry entered for permanent remission / Board's Separate / for at the time of Mr. Vincent's settle=ment the Guery Estates were treated exactly as the Mooly and many of them are entered in the classes Wayda under Board's Separate—

3.

I believe that these remarks furnish the information which the Government requires—It appears more in detail in table which I annex, in which I have added the average assess=ment of the Mooly and Guery Estates and the highest and lowest of each—

4.

I would beg to add with re=ference to Para 9 of the Board's pro=ceedings that the measure which I submitted for their consideration does not



not appear to me to preclude an adjustment of the Beriz where it is proved to be too high—This was the very object pursued by Mr. Vincent and by Mr. Cotton whose aim was to render the occupants of these lands full proprietors of a saleable and improvable property both by modifying the assessment where it is more than the land can pay and by confirming the Estate to the pro=prietor wherever one could be found—It was not my object to propose an indis=criminate declaration that all present occupants are proprietors, but to revert to the former system of endeavouring to discover occupants capable of becoming so, expressing it to be the object of the Government to concede the proprietary right wherever there are parties ready to claim and exercise it—

I take this opportunity of laying before the Board copy of a recent order passed by the Civil Court in this case out of which the present discussion has arisen and of a Motion which it has in consequence appeared to me to be necessary to file which I trust will be approved by the Board—

* No. 1.

No. 2.

No. 3.

Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit Hospdroog
11th November 1852

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Collector
Memorandum

Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

is now uncollected will be realisable wherever the Estates are found compe=tent to pay it when they are entered as mooly as much as now when they are entered as Guany. The only instances in which the balance will not be levi=able are those in which a portion of this has been after enquiry entered for permanent remission / Board's Sefarish for at the time of Mr. Vivash's settle=ment the Guany Estates were treated exactly as the Mooly and many of them are entered in the classes Waydu and Board Sifarish—

3.

I believe that these remarks furnish the information which the Government require— It appears more in detail in table which I annex, in which I have added the average assess=ment of the Mooly and Guany Estates and the highest and lowest of each—

4.

I would beg to add with re=ference to Para 9 of the Board's pro=ceedings that the measure which I submitted for their consideration does not

* No 1.



not appear to me to preclude an adjustment of the Beriz where it is proved to be too high— This was the very object pursued by Mr. Vivash and by Mr. Cotton whose aim was to render the occupants of these lands full proprietors of a saleable and improvable property both by modifying the assessment where it is more than the land can pay and by confirming the Estate to the pro=prietor wherever one could be found— It was not my object to propose an indis=criminate declaration that all present occupants are proprietors, but to revert to the former system of endeavouring to discover occupants capable of becoming so, expressing it to be the object of the Government to concede the proprietary right wherever there are parties ready to claim and exercise it—

I take this opportunity of laying before the Board copy of a recent order passed by the Civil Court in this case out of which the present discussion has arisen and of a Motion which it has in consequence appeared to me to be necessary to file which I trust will be approved by the Board—

No 2.

No 3.

Collector's Cutcherry
On Circuit, Hoshdroog
11th. November 1852—

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector
Memorandum

Fort St. George

17th February 1853

Total Mooly and Gueny

| No. of Estates | Beriz | Jummah-bundy | Average Per Mooly Estate | Average Per Gueny Estate | Highest Highest Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ |
| 6028 | 2,20,428 13 9 | 2,16,711 11 10 | 37 5 4 | 22 14 11 | 1016 10 10 |
| 7599 | 2,06,136 8 1 | 2,04,450 9 3 | 27 11 6 | 13 15 2 | 2433 10 5 |
| 4909 | 1,77,362 4 " | 1,66,463 15 2 | 39 3 1 | 26 2 1 | 1058 12 5 |
| 4266 | 1,31,317 9 7 | 1,08,154 3 " | 35 5 9 | 21 11 11 | 632 1 5 |
| 3856 | 1,79,692 2 6 | 1,76,907 13 1 | 48 15 2 | 31 6 1 | 1570 14 10 |
| 3541 | 1,94,731 3 6 | 1,90,305 6 8 | 56 " 1 | 46 2 1 | 2671 3 2 |
| 4004 | 2,50,777 13 1 | 2,33,441 11 1 | 62 15 1 | 60 1 7 | 4446 10 " |
| 5710 | 2,10,496 9 " | 1,87,032 8 7 | 41 2 2 | 22 2 6 | " " " |
| 6520 | 1,53,441 2 9 | 1,20,631 10 9 | 23 11 2 | 22 11 1 | " " " |
| 5829 | 1,15,632 12 1 | 94,549 2 5 | 27 5 5 | 15 3 9 | " " " |
| 3676 | 1,69,264 3 " | 1,38,728 11 10 | 52 3 3 | 28 6 5 | " " " |
| 1302 | 69,069 8 7 | 60,625 8 3 | 57 7 2 | 33 15 8 | " " " |
| 57,240 | 20,78,353 9 11 | 18,98,002 15 11 | 39 13 6 | 23 7 7 | " " " |

Collector's Cutcherry, On Circuit, Hosdroog, 10th

and lowest proportion

| Mooly Lowest Assessment | Gueny Highest Assessment | Gueny Lowest Assessment | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ | Rs a ℘ | |
| " 1 7 | 114 12 " | " 6 " | |
| " " 5 | 149 4 5 | " 3 2 | |
| " 4 10 | 644 15 7 | " 8 " | |
| " 6 " | 464 1 7 | " 2 " | |
| " " 10 | 598 6 10 | " 4 " | |
| " 1 7 | 540 5 7 | " 6 10 | |
| " 1 7 | 740 11 2 | " 3 2 | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| these Talooks are not at hand. | | | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | |

The details of

November 1852 E. E. ff's (Signed) F. N. Maltby

Collector

Extract

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Mangalore, under date the 8th July 1852.—

Read the Miscellaneous Petition 620 of 1852 presented by Vakeel Soobrow on behalf of Viswa Treeya Teerthan Swamy of Sodaj Mhutt appealing against the orders passed by the Acting Subordinate Judge on the motion of the Collector Mr. F. N. Maltby and the Petition 269 of 1852 presented by the Petitioner directing that the land of Sircar Gueny which was transferred to Petitioner in execution of a Decree passed by the Sudr Udalut in Special appeal suit 12 of 1851 be released and made over to the Petitioner in No. 850 of 1851 and one Sheik Bapoo.

Read also copies of the orders appealed against the decree of the Sudr Udalut in No. 12 and an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 25th September 1851 together with the other proceedings held in the case—

The order of the Sudr Udalut under date the 25th September 1851 was conclusive on this point and precluded all



all further interference or delay by any inferior authority—Without entering into the merits if any subsequent proceedings it is merely necessary to refer to the previous directions of the higher Court and order the execution of its order in favor of Petitioner

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Acting Subordinate Judge for his information and guidance—

(True Extract)
(Signed) R. Chatfield
Acting Civil Judge.
(True Copy)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

No. 3.

Motion made in the Zillah Court by the Collector.

1. The Collector having received information that Ramnath Bhutt a tenant on a Government estate held by him direct from the Government has been removed by an order of the Civil Court and another party put in possession and the Collector having been furnished with a copy of the order of the Court directs the Government Vakeel to move the Court as follows—

2 The Collector begs that the Court will be pleased to reconsider the order

order which is now passed, with refer-
=ence to the order passed by the Court of
Sudr Adalut under date 25th September
1851 on the motion of the Collector—

3 As the circumstances of the case
are already before the Court at considerable
length the Collector trusts that it will be
sufficient for him to request the Courts
particular attention to the fact that he
as landlord of the estate has been no
party to the suit and that the Sudr
Court have ruled that their decree in
no way infringes his right. The order of
the Court which displaces the tenants
who have for years been paying rent to
him as landlord, and without his
consent places other parties in possession
of his land clearly does so, and the Col-
=lector therefore urges that the order now
passed is not in accordance with the de-
=cree of Sudr Court as explained by that
Court. The Collector trusts that the
Civil Court in reconsideration of the
case will be pleased to confirm the order
of the lower Court which he thinks was
a right order and the only one which can be
passed without setting aside the rights of
the landlord, Should the Court on due
consideration



consideration of these circumstances not
think fit to replace in possession the Govern-
=ment tenants who hold under the Col:
=lector, he requests that the Judge will be
pleased to refer the question for the decision
of the Sudr Court, or should the Judge
see fit that he will pass an order on this
motion to enable the Collector to move
the Sudr Court on the subject.

/Signed/ T. N. Malthby
Collector

(10·12)

B/d No 369.

Proceedings thereon Submitting a letter from the Collector of Canara furnishing the information called for by Government on the subject of the Query Estates in his district, with the Board's observations on the concession of proprietory right and the Survey of those estates—

Sen Consn 11th Oct 1852

No 68.

Resolved that the foregoing
letter be submitted for the information of the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council
with reference to Extract from Minutes
of Consultation dated 11th September 1852.

2. Adverting to Mr. Malthby's
remarks in para 4 the Board would observe
that altho' the measures proposed by him
will not preclude an adjustment of the
Beriz where it is proved to be too high
yet it will certainly prevent the raising
of the assessment where it is manifestly
too low. and for the reasons stated in
their Proceedings of the 23d August 1852
/Paras 6 to 14/ the Board are still of opinion
that a determination of the important
questions connected with the Revenue
system of Canara, now before Govern-
=ment is desirable before a Proprietory

right

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Para 4.

right is declared as a general measure to exist in all Circar Guzny Estates, but as the Collector explains that it was not his object to propose an indiscriminate declaration that all present occupants are Proprietors, but the Board think that where the occupants of Guzny Estates are desirous to exercise the rights of Proprietors such rights may be surrendered to them, provided the parties do not fall within the class of petty tenants to whom the Board have alluded in Para 11 of their Proceedings referred to above and provided the concession shall not be considered to bar the right of Government to revise the assessment, should it hereafter be considered desirable to do so.

3. As a desirable preliminary to the concession of Proprietary right the Board ordered (Para 9 of the same Proceedings) that an investigation of the Capabilities of the Estates, with a view to the equalization of the assessment, whether it be too high or too low, should be made, even though no regular survey should take place. Nearly two thirds of the entire number are now paying the full assessment, but the opportunity, the Board think, should not be lost to obtain by a survey on right principles correct accounts of the Estates to be given up. A small Establishment under a Surveyor of experience would suffice & in this way the foundation might be laid for a more general Survey of the District the object and advantages of which might be better understood and appreciated by a beginning made in the manner proposed in the Board's Proceedings dated 8th May 1852.

Para 2.

J. E. B.



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.—

13

B. No. 319.

From the Secretary to Government.

Read the following Extracts—

No. 127.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 1st February 1853.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 3d January 1853 No. 5./

Joining instructions on the subject of the allowance of the families of Mohedeen Hoossain and Mohideen Beebee of North Arcot.

It is observed that of the one fourth share of the proceeds of the Dehât Enam of Mailcoopum which was held by Mareem Bee, and after her death registered in the name of her eldest son the late Gholam Mohedeen, the portion in the actual enjoyment of the latter was one third or one twelfth of the whole, and the remaining two thirds were in the possession of the families of his brother and Sister.—

1.

2. Under the Rules applicable to Mootalikhana grants the one third share enjoyed by the late Gholam Mohideen was declared by the orders of Government 11th March 1857 No. 240 para 3 to have lapsed from the 7th of February 1850, the date of his decease, and the remaining shares of Rupees 132—1—10 per annum calculated on the Beriz of Fusly 1258 were

were on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, continued to the families of Mohomed Hoosain and Mohideen Beebee, the parties in actual possession.—

3— By the subsequent orders of the 15th. August. 1851. No. 827 the shares of the two families were continued to the several members for their respective lives in the following proportions Viz.t Rupees 33 per annum to each of the 4 Members of Mohomed Hoosain's family and Rupees 16—8—a.—per Annum to each of the 8 Members of Mohideen Beebees' family being Rupees 132. (omitting fractions) per annum to each family— In fixing the proportion payable to each member out of the proceeds of the Enams, it was not intended that the arrangement should interfere with any measures which the Government might take for commuting the shares of the North Arcot Dehât Enam Villages from sums fluctuating with the outturn of the year to fixed sums determined according to the average of a certain number of preceding years, and as payments fixed on the above principle



principle for all the holders of the Dehât Enams in North Arcot were sanctioned by the orders of Government 13th. June 1851, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no reason why any exception should be made in favor of the Enamdars of Mailcoopum. He therefore directs that the actual proceeds of the two share in the Mailcoopum Enam should be distributed in the proportions specified in the Eextract Minutes of Consultation of the 15th. August 1851, for the period prior to that on which the orders of Government for the commutation of the Enams generally took effect, and that thenceforward the commuted shares of the Members of the two families of Mahomed Hoosain, and Mohideen Beebee should be fixed at Rupees 27—10—a. and Rupees 13—13 per annum respectively for each member, being Rupees 110—8—a. per annum to each family, in lieu of the scale sanctioned by

+ Viz.t 1/2 for each of the two families being one third of the 1/4 share registered in the name of the late Gholam Mohideen

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Family of Mahideen Hoosain | | | |
| Mahomed Avaliah alias Avaliah Hoosain | 27 | 10 | a. |
| Abdool Cawder | 27 | 10 | a. |
| Hoosain Mahomed | 27 | 10 | a. |
| Marreem Beebee | 27 | 10 | a. |
| | 110 | 8 | a. |
| Family of Mahadeen Beebee | | | |
| Syer Bee | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Meerah Bee | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Nunnee Bee | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Cawder Bee | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Abdool Gaffer | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Cawder Mahadeen | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Mahomed Essoof | 13 | 13 | a. |
| Meerah Bee | 13 | 13 | a. |
| | 110 | 8 | a. |

A Rupees 83 per annum to each family—
B Rupees 132 per annum to each family—

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

by the previous orders of the 13th June and 15th August 1857 for the two families. The Civil Auditor will accordingly admit all payments with arrears, at the rate as above sanctioned.

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

(13·14)

B. No. 363

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings, to the Acting Collector of North Arcot, for his information and guidance.

---

(15)

B. No. 350.

From the Secretary to Government authorizing the transfer of the payment of the commutation allowance held by Amtool Fatimah from the Treasury of the Collector of North Arcot to that of the Madras Collectorate.

No. 129.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 1st February 1853.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 3d January 1853, No. 1)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the disbursement to Amtool Fatimah, from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras of the arrears of her Dehât Enam of Cuneemeah



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Cuneemeah in North Arcot due to her for the periods noted in the Margin, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees (1797-10-4-) One thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, annas ten and Pice four. He also grants authority for the commutation allowance of Rupees (575) five hundred and seventy five per annum, granted to her in lieu of her Enam, being transferred from North Arcot to Madras, and for its payment in future from the Treasury of the latter Collectorate.—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| For Fasly 1258- | 634—12—7- | |
| " 1259- | 587—13—9- | |
| Fixed Pension 1260- | 575—"—"- | |
| | 1797—10—4. | |

Extract Minutes of Consultation 13th June 1857, No. 364.

2. The Governor in Council will not at this distant period notice the circumstances under which the Mootalequan Pension of Rupees 840 per annum was renewed without orders in the names of the Mother and three Sisters of Syed Abdool Kader nor will he object to the payments at present

Ameen Beebee alias
Amtool Fatimah — 210—"—"-
Ameeroonissah Begum
1st Sister — 210—"—"-
Fyzoo Beebee 2d Sister — 210—"—"-
Paudshaw Beebee 3d Do. — 210—"—"-
840—"—"-

2105. Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

As per Extract from the State-ment of Yeomiahs enclosed in a letter from the Collector of Madras to the Board of Revenue dated 7th April 1847-

present made to the Surviving Members noted in the Margin, for the continuance of whose allowances he now grants special authority; but he desires they may be distinctly informed that their stipends will cease with their lives;-

Ameen Beebee alias Umtool Fatmah — 210.
Ameeroonissah Begum - 210-
Fyzoo Beebee - 210.
Total Yearly Amount Rupees — 630-

3- Adverting however to the very advanced age of Ameen Bebee, whose son it is observed died 25 years ago being then 30 years of age, and to the fact that the inquiry as to her existence was made by the Chief Magistrate more than a year since./ Board's Proceedings Para 4/ the Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires that the Acting Collector of Madras before disbursing the payments now sanctioned on her account will satisfy himself that she is still alive and that he will give his particular attention to her case on each successive occasion of her applying for her allowance.

/ A true Extract/
/ Signed/ J. Pycroft-
Secretary to Government-

Order

2106 Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

B. No. 370.
Order thereon-
15.16

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings, to the Acting Collectors of North Arcot and Madras for their information and guidance.-

---

Read the following letter

No. 27.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

17

B. No. 3843.
From the Collector of Rajahmundry-

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 18th Ultimo, returning for revision the Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate for fusly 1261, I have the honor to state that some of the Villages of the Cota Rama-chendrapoor Talook bordering on the Capa-veram talook having been subsequently to the submission of the Estimate for sanction/ annexed to the latter talook and the Estate of Pullapollem having reverted to Government by purchase and included in the Bicha-vole talook, the amount of advances made

Explains the discrepancies pointed out by the Board in the Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate in Fusly 1261 in his District-

to

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

to those Villages was also charged to the Talooks to which they are now annexed. Hence the discrepancy observed by the Board.-

2. The difference of 1000 Rupees alluded to in the 2d para of the above Proceedings arose from Tuccany having been joined to the Ryots of Vellompallem (as per authority of the Commissioner, Northern Circars, dated 22d August 1851) when that Estate was under attachment owing to the death of the Zemindar, but which shortly afterwards reverted to Government and was annexed partly to the Cota Ramachendrapoor and partly to the Cajaneram Talooks the amount of advance also was proportionally charged to those two talooks.-

3. Under the foregoing explanation I beg to retransmit the statement with the dates of sanction quoted in Column 2 in red inks as directed.-

4. The instructions contained in para 5 will be duly attended to in the preparation of my future statements.-

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 6th December, 1852. } (Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Order thereon-
(Vide Remarks of 3d & 17th February)
17.18

Ordered to be recorded.

---



Fort St. George 17th February 1853

No. 3

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,

19

B. P. No. 168

Sir,

Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } With reference to the

Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 16th December last I have the honor to submit a Memorandum shewing the Demand Collection and Balance from 12th July to 30th November 1852, of fasly 1262, on account of Land and Extra Revenue sewoy Jumma, Current and arrears.-

The instructions contained in the 1st Para of the above Proceedings will be attended to in the preparation of future Returns.-

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 11th January, 1853. } (Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector.

Memorandum

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Revenue Savoy Summa, Current and arrears, from 12th July to 30th November 1852.

Abstract Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balances for fusly 1262 of Land and Esctra Revenue.

| | | Demand. | | | | Collection | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Arrears at the beginning of Fusly 1262. | Current Demand. To the end of last months. | Current Demand. For the Current Month. | Total. | Total arrears and Current. | Collection To the end of last Month. | Collection on Account of arrears. In the Current Month. | Collection on Account of arrears. Total. | Collections on Account of Current Revenue. To the end of last Month. | Collections on Account of Current Revenue. In the Current Month. |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Summa | Land Revenue | 2 2 u | u u u | 438 9 11 | 438 9 11 | 440 11 11 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 438 9 11 |
| | Esctra Revenue | 345 11 u | u u u | 68 6 5 | 68 6 5 | 111 1 5 | u u u | 5 u u | 5 u u | u u u | 68 6 5 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | u u u | 507 u 4 | 507 u 4 | 854 13 4 | u u u | 5 u u | 5 u u | u u u | 507 u 4 |
| Summa | Land Revenue | 2 2 u | 438 9 11 | 1118 6 5 | 1557 u 4 | 1559 2 4 | u u u | u 6 u | u 6 u | 438 9 11 | 1118 6 5 |
| | Esctra Revenue | 345 11 u | 68 6 5 | 468 15 11 | 537 6 4 | 883 1 4 | 5 u u | 21 4 u | 20 4 u | 68 6 5 | 468 15 11 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | 507 u 4 | 1587 6 4 | 2094 6 8 | 2441 2 8 | 5 u u | 21 10 u | 20 10 u | 507 u 4 | 1587 6 4 |
| Summa | Land Revenue | 2 2 u | 1557 u 4 | 565 11 10 | 2122 12 2 | 2124 14 2 | u 6 u | u u u | u 6 u | 1557 u 4 | 565 11 10 |
| | Esctra Revenue | 345 11 u | 537 6 4 | 577 6 10 | 1114 13 2 | 1460 8 2 | 26 4 u | 41 11 6 | 87 15 6 | 537 6 4 | 577 6 10 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | 2094 6 8 | 1143 2 8 | 3237 9 4 | 3585 6 4 | 26 10 u | 41 11 6 | 88 5 6 | 2094 6 8 | 1143 2 8 |
| Summa | Land Revenue | 2 2 u | 2122 12 2 | 290 1 4 | 2412 13 6 | 2411 15 6 | u 6 u | u u u | u 6 u | 2122 12 2 | 290 1 4 |
| | Esctra Revenue | 345 11 u | 1114 13 2 | 741 4 5 | 1856 1 7 | 2201 12 7 | 67 15 6 | 458 u | 113 5 6 | 1114 13 2 | 741 4 5 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | 3237 9 4 | 1031 5 9 | 4268 15 1 | 4616 12 1 | 68 5 6 | 458 u | 113 11 6 | 3237 9 4 | 1031 5 9 |
| Summa | Land Revenue | 2 2 u | 2412 13 6 | 937 6 10 | 3350 4 4 | 3352 6 4 | u 6 u | u u u | u 6 u | 2412 13 6 | 937 6 10 |
| | Esctra Revenue | 345 11 u | 1856 1 7 | 438 10 11 | 2294 12 6 | 2610 7 6 | 113 5 6 | 12 2 u | 12 5 7 6 | 1856 1 7 | 438 10 11 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | 4268 15 1 | 1376 1 9 | 5645 u 10 | 5992 13 10 | 113 11 6 | 12 2 u | 12 5 13 6 | 4268 15 1 | 1376 1 9 |
| Revenue. Revenue | Sundry Items — Interest received from different Proprietors &c | 2 2 u | u u u | 172 15 11 | 175 1 11 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u 6 u | u u u | 172 15 11 |
| | | u u u | u u u | 3177 4 5 | 3177 4 5 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 3177 4 5 |
| | | 2 2 u | u u u | 3350 4 4 | 3352 6 2 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 3350 4 4 |
| | Fines and forfeitures &c — Interest from Shroffs &c — Marine Receipts | 345 11 u | u u u | 744 6 4 | 1090 1 4 | u u u | u u u | u u u | 125 7 6 | u u u | 744 6 4 |
| | | u u u | u u u | 13 11 8 | 13 11 8 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 13 11 8 |
| | | u u u | u u u | 1536 10 6 | 1536 10 6 | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | u u u | 1536 10 6 |
| | Total | 347 13 u | u u u | 2294 12 6 | 2610 7 6 | u u u | u u u | u u u | 125 7 6 | u u u | 2294 12 6 |
| | | u u u | u u u | 5645 u 10 | 5992 13 10 | u u u | u u u | u u u | 125 13 6 | u u u | 5645 u 10 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Esctra Revenue as per Statement of Demand | 1265 3 3 |
| Collections and Balances for November 1852 | |
| Interest account as per Do | 3491 u 1 |
| Marine Receipts | 1536 10 6 |
| | 5992 13 10 |

| | |
|---|---|
| | 1043 3 9 |
| | 3191 u 1 |
| | 1536 10 6 |
| | 5770 14 4 |

| Remission | Balance on account of Arrears | Balance on account of Current Revenue | Total Balance |
|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| " " " | 2 2 " | " " " | 2 2 " |
| " " " | 340 11 " | " " " | 340 11 " |
| " " " | 342 13 " | " " " | 342 13 " |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | 319 7 " | " " " | 319 7 " |
| " " " | 321 3 " | " " " | 321 3 " |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | 277 11 6 | " " " | 277 11 6 |
| " " " | 279 7 6 | " " " | 279 7 6 |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | 232 5 6 | " " " | 232 5 6 |
| " " " | 234 1 6 | " " " | 234 1 6 |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | 220 3 6 | " " " | 220 3 6 |
| " " " | 221 15 6 | " " " | 221 15 6 |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 1 12 " | " " " | 1 12 " |
| " " " | 220 3 6 | " " " | 220 3 6 |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 220 3 6 | " " " | 220 3 6 |
| " " " | 221 15 6 | " " " | 221 15 6 |

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 17th January, 1853. } /Signed/ T. Prendergast Collector.

Order thereon.

(19.20)

Ordered to be recorded.

Rad



Read the following letters-

No 2.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St George.

(21)

B. No 132

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 3rd Instant, I have the honor to state that the Sewoy Jumma Revenue will in future be exhibited in the Demand Collection and Balance Sheets and the Statements A.B.C.D. under two heads only "Land Revenue" and "Extra Revenue."

The Memorandum called for in Para 2 shewing the Sewoy Jumma as Current and Arrear up to November last Fusly 1262, is herewith forwarded.

Masulipatam, Collectors Cutcherry, 19th January, 1853. } /Signed/ T. D. Lushington Collector.

Memorandum

2113. Fort St George. 17th February 1853.

No 3.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(23)

B. No 447.
From the Collector of Masulipatam.
Reporting upon the present Condition of Telugu and English Records of his District.

Sir,

Parat.

With reference to para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th November 1850 communicated with the Board's Circular of the 25th idem calling for a report on the condition of the Records, English and native, I have the honor to state that under instructions from Government the native records were arranged by Extra Establishment employed for the purpose as reported by the Collector to the Board of Revenue under date 6th May 1840. It would appear that in consequence of a further accumulation at a subsequent period, the late Collector ordered the arrangement of the Telugue records which was effected in the year 1849 up to July 1853. There is now a further

2114. Fort St George. 17th February 1853.

further accumulation of records for 5 years which are lying in the record room and require arrangement.

2. Some instances of irregularity and gross negligence on the part of the Telugue record keeper, came to the notice of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars during his late enquiries in the District. These and other circumstances as well as the very confused state of the records which attracted my attention very shortly after my arrival in the District, have rendered it necessary to remove the record keeper from his Office. The examination of the records in the course of delivery to the now acting Record keeper is now in progress, and as soon as that is completed, I shall be better able to form an opinion of the true state of the Records, and to submit plans for ensuring the efficient and regular arrangement of the records hereafter immediately on their transfer to the record room, and at the same time to secure the

2119

Fort St George. 17th February 1853.

a Statement shewing the relative proportion of Capacity of the Moontah, Coonche, Toom and Poty, all of which measures are in use in this District:-

The Madras Garce is not reckoned in the Price lists by Moontahs or Manickays but by Nellore seers, twelve of which are considered equivalent to one Madras Mercal on actual measurement however the Mercal is found to contain only 11 Seers and 3/8 or 3/8 less. The Statement forwarded shews also the number of Moontahs heaped and struck contained in a Madras Mercal and Garce-

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry
23d November, 1852. } /Signed/ D. White
Collector.

No 1. Statement shewing the relative proportions of Capacity of certain measures used in the Nellore District-

| | |
|---|---|
| 3 1/2 Nellore Seers = | 1 Moontah |
| 4 Moontahs or 14 Seers = | 1 Coonche- |
| 4 Coonchas or 56 Seers = | 1 Toom |
| 20 Tooms or 1120 Seers = | 1 Poty or Candy- |

Moontahs. Seer-

1 Madras Mercal = 3 1/2 1/4 = 13-5-7143 Moontahs = 3-42857 Struck
Do = 3 1/2 1 = 13-5-285 7143 Do 3-214286 heaped

Moontahs.

1 Madras Garce = 400 x 3.42857 = Struck
= 1371. 428 m Struck
= 400 x 3.214286 heaped-
= 1285- 714 m heaped

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry
23d November, 1852. } /Signed/ D. White
Collector-

DG.

To

2131

Fort St George 17th February 1853.

Order thereon (29.30)

Ordered to lie over-

No 8.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(31)

Bd No 324.

From the Collector of Nellore

No 2432 of 1852

Sir,

In returning the Petition noted in the Margin I have the honor to inform the Board that the Endorsement accompanying was made upon Petition No 699 of 1852 and given to Petitioners under date the 11th September 1852.-

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry
27th January, 1853 } /Signed/ D. White
Collector.

Bd No 366

Proceedings thereon. (31.32)

With reference to the foregoing letter the Board observe that no copy of the Endorsement, alluded to was inserted in the Register of Petitions for the Month of September 1852, and would therefore call the Collector's attention to para 54 of their Circular order under date 13th July 1826.-

+ Co. P. 66

No 9.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(33)

Bd No 309

From the Collector of Nellore
Submitting correspondence with

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the

with the Special Assistant Collector Masulipatam relative to certain claims advanced to the property attached in the District belonging to the suspended Head Serishtadar of that District and requesting the opinion of the Board whether Clause 3 Section V Regulation IX of 1822, renders it obligatory on him to pass an award on the case in question

Enclosed

the information and orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying Copies of a correspondence with the Special Assistant to the Collector of Masulipatam on the subject of the claims advanced to the Property attached in this District as belonging to Soondara Shree Ramanoojen Row the suspended Head Sheristadar of that Collectorate.

2. The Board will observe that at Mr. Bird's request I held an investigation into the claims preferred to portions of the Zuffud Property, and transmitted the records for his decision, but as he is of opinion that that decision should be passed by me, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the opinion of the Board as to whether clause 3 Section V Regulation IX of 1822, to which he refers in support of his view of the case renders it obligatory on me to pass an award, or whether that responsibility does not rather rest with the Officer adjudicating under the Regulation quoted and on whose responsibility alone the property in question was distrained and still continues under attachment. The Board will remember that certain Company's Securities which were seized at Mr. Bird's instance in December 1851 from Ramanoojen Row's nephew were under their orders forwarded to that Officer, and that the claim advanced to the same was also

To Board 31st Dec. 1852
From Do. 17th January 1853

investigated by him.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry, 28th January, 1853. } (Signed) D. White Collector.



No. 1.

To D. White Esqr.
Collector of Nellore

Sir,

Para 4. The claims of S. Venkata Runga Row (the Nephew of the suspended Head Sheristadar) preferred in Petition No. 1215 to a portion of the upstair house at Nellore, the half of a Rundiga land in Goodoor, the Puttu land Gordrwand Cattle at Codoor depends upon the evidence in support of an alleged division of family property between the suspended Head Sheristadar, the late N. Venkatnar-soo, and S. Venkata Runga Row in 1841. I see strong grounds for suspecting that no such division ever really took place and that Venkata Runga Row and the Suspended Head Sheristadar have in reality ever since been Members of an undivided family. The admitted absence of the usual Panik-huts or deeds of release passed between the dividing parties on these occasions is a point which naturally leads to a doubt regarding the reality of the alleged division and a Copy of a deposition given before me by S. Ramanoojah Row enclosed will show you that though asserting that

Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

that such a division had taken place between his Nephew and himself, he admits that they had since the division held landed and house property in common, and that he held in his possession and disposed of certain Monies on the funds which he alleges belonged to his Nephew but which he allowed to stand for years in his + Bankers' Books here in his own name. I observe also that Woopilly Kistna Row of Cudd apah (whose Statement as given on oath must be depended on in preference of those of the 1st. 2nd. 3d. 4th. and 5th. Witness es examined by the Nellore Tahsildar without of Course Being Sworn) denies all knowledge of any such division having taken place though the 2nd. and 3th. Witness state he was present in the Room when the division occurred in 1841. Under these circumstances and as my doubts as to the alleged division are confirmed by the evidence on oath (Copy Seal) recorded before myself by S. Ramanow- date of Nellore (now employed in this District) I do not consider that the Petitioner S. Vencat Runga Row has hitherto satisfactory established his right to the Property he lays claim to. Should you con- = cur with me in this view of the evidence I would request you would be good enough to Continue the + attachment of this property or if necessary cause such further inquiry on oath to be instituted as shall remove the strong doubts as to the reality of the division

+ This deposition was sent name of de- ponent in Majesty Papinnaly Huzoor Treasury Shroff at Masulipatam.

+ The 1/2 Share of the upstair house at Nellore- The 1/2 Share of the Kundrigam Godoor Puttah Land Gardn and Cattle at Codoor



Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

in 1841 which Ramanoojah Row's deposition and the facts elicited in the inquiry naturally gave rise to- With regard to S. Vencat Runga Row's claim to the 10,000 Rupees Bonds seized in his possession under your orders some time since and which he claims in virtue of this same division of property advanced now as his title to the House, Lands, &c. in Nellore.- I have the honor to Submit to you Copy of the Commissioner's Proceedings under date 27th. March 1852 approving of the attachment in question on the grounds that S. Ramanoojah Row- "failed to show the existence of any Division of the "Family property the House and Lands being he admits "still undivided."-

5. The Mortgage claim of Goothapully Soobiah preferred in his Arzee No. 619 under date 8th. November 1851 laying claim to S. Ramanoojah's Row share of the upstair house at Nellore and his half share of the Goodoor Kundrega and Cattle at Goodoor under a Bond under date 5th. 13. Mar- -gusera Sowmya (4th. December 1849) appears to me as it seems to have done to the Nellore Tahsildar very suspicious. Neither G. Soobbiah nor his brother Appiah Sastralu nor his son-in-law Andra- -vaniapah (the latter of whom alleges they gave Rupees 1500 in all to the former for payment to Ramanoojah Row) can produce accounts or vouchers that

that such payments were made or that the alleged portions of the same were made good against the sum- G: Soobbiah moreover says he holds no account of former dealings with Ramanoojah Row the latter having him there all up when the Bond was executed. The evidence as to the fact of execution of the Bond exhibits discrepancies in some points and the admission of the Bond holder that even the payments on the Bond by Hoondies cannot be corroborated by any entries in Merchants accounts and that he has no letters or vouchers to shew the money was paid leads me to believe that the claim is a spurious one and set up through the suspended Head Sheristadars influence merely to evade the legal attachment of his house and land. I would beg to suggest (with deference to your opinion) that the deposition held in the case should be confirmed on oath before the Collector and a formal decision passed as above should you concur in the view I take of G: Soobbiah's claim. The claimant will not probably rest contended with any decision passed except upon the spot, and I doubt whether the provisions of Regulation IX 1822 authorize the determination of claims of this nature unless the investigation is conducted by the Collector on sworn evidence or



by a Punchayet appointed under clause 3 Section V.-

(Signed) E. W. Bird
Special Assistant Collector
Revenue Department

No. 133

Extract from the Proceedings of the Commissioner for the Northern Circars, dated Vizagapatam 27th. March, 1832.-

Read the following letter from the Special Assistant Collector of Masulipatam, dated 19th February 1832.-

(Here enter No. -)

The Commissioner concurs with the Special Assistant Collector in considering that S. Ramanoojah Row failed to shew the existence of any division of the family property (the houses and lands being he admits still undivided). The Bonds for 10,000 Rs. transferred in January 1830 with blank Endorsements by Mapetty Bapunnah on behalf of S. Ramanoojia Row- to whom they clearly belonged with other bonds similarly circumstanced for Rs. 3,000, which he acknowledges to be his, the blank endorsement having since been filled up with the name of his Nephew- S. Venkata Runga Row- appear therefore to have been properly detained by Mr. Bird to satisfy any demand which may be established against

Ramanoojah

2127

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Ramanuja Row under Regulation IX. of 1822 If Runga Row can adduce any better evidence to shows that the Bonds should not be held liable for the above purpose it will meet with due attention from the Special Assistant and from the Collector.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Commissioner.

(True Copy)
(Signed) E.W. Bird.
Special Assistant Collector.

No. 274.

To, E. W. Bird Esqre
Special Assistant Collector
Masulipatam.

Sir,

In reference to paras 4 and 5 of your letter dated 7th October last, I have the honor to forward to you the original Proceedings held before me respecting the claims advanced by Soondaraghiri Venkata Runga Row and Gullapully Soobbiah to portions of the property attached in this District as belonging to S. Ramanuja Row.—

2. You will observe that I have examined some of the old servants on my Establishment who from being natives of the place might be supposed to be cognizant of the alleged division of family property on which Venkata Runga Row rests his claim.

As

2128

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

As the right of Mungammah to the houses at Stonhouse and Runga Naickloo Pettahs depends also upon the division in question I have not deemed it necessary to examine here Witnesses separately.—

3. Pending the Communication of your decision on the papers now submitted I shall of course continue the attachment of all the Property hitherto with the exception of those already released under your instructions.—

Vide Paras 2 and 3 of letter under reply

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry, 24th December 1852.

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

To, D. White Esqre
Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th December 1852 giving cover to a Telugoo Record of an investigation held before yourself in reference to certain claims on property attached in Nellore as that of the suspended Head Sheristadar of this District, and requesting me to pass a decision on the matters at issue in reference to the evidence elicited in the enquiry.—

2. I do not feel sure that the provisions of Clause 3 Section V Regulation IX of 1822 justify a decision being passed in a matter of this sort by

Fort St. George 17th February 1833.

by an Officer at a distance from the spot and who has had no opportunity of judging from the manner in which the evidence has been given whether it be true or false.-

3. As you have referred these papers to me however I think it necessary to give you the opinion which their perusal has forced upon me, but I do so in deference to your judgment and without reference to any decision which you may think it right eventually to pass upon the inquiry you have instituted on the claims set up by the parties interested.-

4. As regards the claim of Goothooty Soobiah, I can see nothing in the deposition now forwarded to lead me to form a different Conclusion to that I expressed to you in Para 5 of my letter to you of the 7th October last- Soobiah can produce he says no vouchers to prove the alleged refund of Money (Rupees 1,300) under the Mortgage Bond of Ramanooja Row, and he has no accounts to support his assertion that the Rupees 5,300 and odd were ever given at all. The Bond too was, he admits, drawn up on Common paper, a Circumstance which considering the large sum involved is certainly not very creditable. The two Witnesses who allege they signed the Mortgage Bond in virtue of which Soobiah now claims a portion of the property

† Candalla Veraghava Charlao
2 Pronumrauze Chenchul Row-



Fort St. George 17th February 1833.

property of Ramanooja Row differ in their evidence from each other. Veeraraghava Charlao states that the Signature of D. Chenchulrow was on the Bond before he attested it. D. Chenchulrow affirms on the other hand that Veeraraghava Charlao had signed the Bond before he did so. Neither of them pretend that they were present when the Bond was written as G. Soobiah would seem to assert. Taking all these circumstances into Consideration together with the fact that the claim of G. Soobiah is wholly unsupported by documentary evidence of any kind and was not preferred till some time after the attachment, I am of opinion that this claim ought to be rejected.-

5. As Regards the alleged division of property in 1814 between Ramanoojah Row and his nephew S. Venkatranga Row, the evidence now obtained cannot I conceive in the slightest degree support the claim of the latter to the half share of the property claimed. The 6th Witness Somdaraghavy Ramachendra Row- (a relation I suppose of the suspended Head Sherestadar) the 8th and 9th Witnesses both respectable Public servants in your Establishment here denied all knowledge of the alleged division and state they would have certainly been

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

been cognizant of the fact had it ever taken place. Hoggully Kristna Row, a relation of the family stated on oath to the same effect before the assistant Collector of Cuddapah. The 4th and 5th Witnesses C. Veeraraghava Chetty and Surranye Narrainiappah state that they know nothing of the actual division but heard it was to take place in Consequence of a family quarrel about 10 years ago. Their evidence is mere hearsay, and therefore worthless. They state too that Hoggully Ramarow was present when the alleged Division took place, an assertion the latter totally denied when examined on oath before me on the 7th June 1852. The 7th and 8th Witnesses Dippavajoola Ramasawmy and Calavacollee Vencata Soobah both state before you on oath that they saw the division made, but the Statement of the 7th Witness is to be received with Caution, as he admits he is the family Priest of the Soondaraghetty family and therefore I Consider not a disinterested Witness. The 10th Witness admits he was a hanger on of the suspended Head Sheristadar's. Both these parties moreover state that Hoogully Kristna Row was present when they saw the division made an assertion Contradicted on oath by Kristna Row himself who declared he never heard of the division.-



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

6. I Consider the above to be material and important discrepancies in the evidence adduced by S. Vencatarungaraw in support of his claims to a portion of the property attached in your District as the suspended Head Sheristadar's, and the reasons given in para 4 of my last letter to you on this subject for the Continuance of the attachment appear to me in no way invalidated by the papers which I have now the honor to return to you.-

7. I have to request you will be good enough to inform me what decision you intend to pass on this subject and I should feel much obliged if you would favor me with a Copy of the same for Record in my Office.

8. I shall feel glad also if you would favor me with a Copy of Hoggully Kristnarow's deposition on oath before the assistant Collector of Cuddapah which was not retained here inadvertently before it was returned to your Office lately.

Masulipatam Special Assistant ---
Collector's Office at Gooduvadah,
19th January, 1853.

(Signed) E. W. Bird
Special Assistant Collector.

(True Copies)
(Signed) D. White
Collector.

The

2133 Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Bd. No. 367.
Proceedings thereon -
(33.34)

The Board are of opinion that it is for Mr. Bird to pass Judgment and levy execution (if necessary) in the case referred, and that Mr. White cannot be called upon to do more than forward the depositions taken at Mr. Bird's request with such observations as he may deem necessary. -

(35)

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 350
From the Collector of Nellore - - -

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of Petition No. 137 of 1853 from Vanragunty Kistna Row the late Tahsildar of Cnanrianamellore bearing the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue I beg to remind them that they have already passed their final order on the Petitioner's case which was laid before them in my letter dated 28th October last accompanied by the Original Proceedings. It is not therefore clear to me what course I am to adopt on the reference now made but if the Board mean that the original Papers should again be forwarded to them, I shall be most happy to do so. -

Nellore, Collector's Cutcherry
2d. February, 1853. (Signed) D. White
Collector.

Bd. No. 354
Proceedings thereon.
(35.36)

The Collector is requested to return the Petition alone alluded to together with the original Proceedings. -

By Read

2134 Fort St. George. 17th February 1853.

Read the following letters -
No. 75 -

(37)

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 3097 -
From the Collector of Bellary - - Replying to the requisitions contained in his letter of the 19th January last on the subject of the Measures of the Bellary District. - See Para 1

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th January last calling for further information regarding the Measures and their equivalents used in this District on which I had the honor to report in my letter of 25th November 1851. -

2. The Board require in the first place to know "what is the size of the Garce, not the "Madras Garce, but the size of the Garce of the Price "Lists." -

There is no such measure as a Garce in use in Bellary - The measure by which the Garce (which is the Madras Garce) is calculated, is the Seer alluded to in the 4th Para of my letter of 28th November 1851. -

See Para 2 - 3. The Board next desire information as to how the Tahsildars convert the Country measures into the Madras Garce. -

The Seer as above stated being the standard the conversion is made into Garce by multiplying it by 4800, the number of Bellary Seers equal to the Madras Garce - As shewn in the Statement No. 1 that accompanied my former letter - The Seers are heaped not struck -

See Para 3 - 4. In the third place the Board observe "that the Estimate of the Pucka Seer as given in "para 4 of my letter would give a result of 66 2/3 "Cubic inches nearly and they are inclined to think "that the calculation has been made to suit

the

Fort St. George, 17th February 1853.

"the general supposition (often erroneous) that the "Seer measure is 2/3 of a Madras measure of 100 "Cubic Inches" And they would wish me to state "whether I do not think the actual trial made with "water (enclosure 2 of my letter) to be more trust-"worthy. Where the seer is made to contain 98½ "Tolas weight of water. The Madras measure con-"tains exactly 140 Tolas weight and thus "(the Board observe,) "the Pucka Seer would really ap-"pear to be 70 instead of 66⅔ Cubic inches.

In reply I beg to state that the Dimen-sions of the Seer in its Diametre and Depth was given as accurately as it could be made in a rough manner. Four Seers were measured and gave the following results.

| | Diametre Inches | Depth Inches |
|---|---|---|
| 1st Seer | 3 — 8½ | 5 — 9½ |
| 2d Do. | 3 — 8½ | 6 — 8½ |
| 3d Do. | 3 — 8½ | 5 — 9½ |
| 4th Do. | 3 — 8½ | 6 — 8 |

The calculation of its comparative contents with the Madras measure was made simply on the supposition that it contained 2/3 the contents of the latter in which proportion I stated "it was considered to be equivalent" "and according to this the measurements in the Price "Lists were adjusted." It is however very possible the correct dimensions by which the cubical contents might be obtained were not given with sufficient exact accuracy, and it is not improbable that the trial made with water may be more trustworthy. The Seer was then made to contain 98½ Tolas weight of water, this sum 98½ was entered as an average of several measurements. But on examining another Statement of measurements since made it is found that the average would give only 98 1/8th instead of 98½ and this will strengthen the supposition that the Seer contains 70 Cubic inches

Note
See Enclosure
No. 1



Fort St. George, 17th February 1853.

inches, for there would then be only 7/8th instead of ½ Tola difference; the exact proportion being 98 Viz.-

| Cubic Inches | Tolas | Cubic Inches | Tolas |
|---|---|---|---|
| 100 | 140 | 70 | 98 |

But then I have also to observe that a Madras Padi has also been tested and the result given is 138 Tolas Weight of water contained in it; not 140 as the Board say the Madras measure contains. And calculating upon the actual results found here in the measure-ment by water the contents of the Seer would be a trifle more than 71 Cubic Inches as follows.- For if the Madras Padi said to contain 100 Cubic Inches was found to contain only 138 Tolas weight of water. And the Bellary Seer contained 98 1/8th Tola weight of water. The Cubic contents of the Seer would be $71\frac{10\frac{1}{2}}{100}$.

| Tolas | Cubic Inches | Tolas | Cubic Inches |
|---|---|---|---|
| 138 | 100 | 98 1/8 | $71\frac{10\frac{1}{2}}{100}$ |

5.- I beg leave to enclose a Statement shewing the results of the measurement 3 times of four dif-ferent Seers and of a Madras Padi with different kinds of Grain and with water which however will not be sufficient to test the accuracy of the Cubic contents of the Vessels themselves which if the Board please can be sent for examination at Madras. The Board will observe considerable differences in the weight of the contents between the measurements taken at Adonie and Bellary. The former were taken under my immediate Super-intendence in January last, those at Bellary in May last by the Tahsildar and others.

6.- In reply to the 6th Para of your letter I have to state that the Rice used in the trials noted in the Enclosure No. 2 of my former letter was the kind called "Sunna Beeyum".

Bellary District.-
Collector's Office on Circuit
at Adonie, 9th December, 1852.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Memorandum

2139. Fort St. George. 17th February 1853.

Heirs / Continued /

| Black Soil Garden | Paralloo. | Cumballoo. | Single Sluice. | Water. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 259½ | 253½ | 246 | 195 | 297 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 258 | 252 | 246 | 195 | 292½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 394½ | 384 | 375 | 297 | 514 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |

Bellary Tahsildar Enquiries Collector's Remarks "B"
Heir being absent from Village
Immediately became liable for Revenue
again Heir being absent
Heir absent

(37.38) Errors Excepted S.E. /Signed/ C. Pelly Collector

Order thereon - Ordered to lie over

Jo

2140 Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

No.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

B. No. 357

From the Collector of Bellary. Sir

Perceiving that my last appointed Assistant Mr. Horsley has obtained leave of absence I fear there is no prospect of my receiving any assistance during the present Summabundy. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request the Board's permission to allow me to make the Summabundy of some of the Talooks at places out of the Talook to enable me by holding the Summabundy of more than one Talook at the same place to get through the business, which otherwise I cannot do without very considerable inconvenience to myself and the people. Several Talooks being conveniently situated for making the settlement of two at one place no inconvenience will be occasioned to the Ryots.

Bellary District Collector's Office on Circuit at Adonie 29th January 1853 / Signed/ C. Pelly Collector

B. No. 349

Proceedings thereon. The Board have no objection, as a special case to the Collector acting as proposed

(39.40)

2139. Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

(Accts/Continued/)

| Black Grain | Paroolloo | Cumbolloo | Single Seed | Water |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 259½ | 253½ | 246 | 195 | 297 |
| 86½ | 84½ | 82 | 65 | 99 |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 258 | 252 | 246 | 195 | 292½ |
| 86 | 84 | 82 | 65 | 97½ |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |
| 394½ | 384 | 375 | 297 | 514 |
| 131½ | 128 | 125 | 99 | 138 |

Errors Excepted /S.E./ /Signed/ C. Pelly Collector

(37.38) Order thereon — Ordered to lie over

/S.E./

To

Bellary Tahsildar has reported that "By Weighing at the Pahud of 3 Cottahs of these Articles it became 1½ Seer or 120 Rupees but by Weighing it became 1½ Seer or 129 Rupees"

2140 Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

No. 6.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

Bd. Fo. 357

From the Collector of Bellary

Sir,

Perceiving that my last appointed Assistant Mr. Horsley has obtained leave of absence I fear there is no prospect of my receiving any assistance during the present Summabundy. Under these circumstances I have the honor to request the Board's permission to allow me to make the Summabundy of some of the Talooks at places out of the Talook to enable me by holding the Summabundy of more than one Talook at the same place to get through the business, which otherwise I cannot do without very considerable inconvenience to myself and the people. Several Talooks being conveniently situated for making the settlement of two at one place no inconvenience will be occasioned to the Ryots.

Bellary District Collector's Office
on Circuit at Adonie
29th January 1853

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Bd. Fo. 349

Proceedings thereon. The Board have no objection,

(39.40) as a special case to the Collector acting as proposed

proposed by him in the foregoing letter.-

Jr. 71.

Incons: 7th Oct. 52

Read again letter from the Collector of Cuddapah dated 28th September 1852 reporting on the Land Revenue Settlement of that district for Fusly 1261.-

41

(Here enter Jr. 102)

B. Jr. 376

Proceedings Reviewing the report on the Settlement of Land Revenue in the Cuddapah District for Fusly 1261.-

thereon. The State of the season was upon the whole favorable. The Cotton and other dry crops raised on the black lands are stated to have yielded a good return, and although there were

Parag 9

short crops in the wet lands of some of the Villages the Collector observes that these were exceptions to the general state of the district. The supply of

Parag 7

water in the tanks as exhibited in the table appended to Parag 7 shews a considerable increase in the number fully supplied, but it is explained that the excess was chiefly in the Goorumconda taluk where the tanks are of a very small size. In the taluks of Chennoor, Budwail and

Parag.

Cumbum, the water proved insufficient for the cultivation and remission had to be given to the amount of Rupees 1375 on this account. The great Storm which occurred in May 1851 served to fill many of the tanks but



but in some places it was attended with very disastrous consequences the number of Tanks Anicuts and Channels which were breached or otherwise seriously damaged having been 212 as separately reported by the Collector in his letter dated 6th January 1852 in the Department of Public Works.-

Para 5

2 The season was not marked by the prevalence of any particular disease in the Collector's Division. The usual quantity of fever and ague occurred, and in some of the taluks the cholera broke out, but without any considerable number of deaths. In the subdivision however the small

Sub Coll.rs report P. 5.

pox prevailed to a great extent 7000 persons having been attacked, of whom 1,100 are reported to have died. Mr. Forbes has been conspicuous in his endeavours to promote and extend the practice of Vaccination, and the Board think that the system adopted by him of requiring a Vaccinator to accompany his Cutcherry during the Jummabundy tour is one which might be generally followed with advantage.- Of the people that assembled on these occasions no less than 1234 were vaccinated

Prices

3 The tabular Statement given in Para 10 of the Collector's report shows that the price of every description of grain was below the

average

average of the last five years, and for the most part also below the year immediately preceding. Cotton is the only produce which shows an increase above the average. The price was Rupees 53 per Candy which this slightly below that of Fusly 1260 is greatly in excess of the price which it commanded in any other of the ten preceding years. If a comparison be made between the average prices of the last ten years, and the average of 17 years taken by Colonel Munro when the survey and assessment was fixed it is seen that there has been a fall in prices of from 7 to 25 per Cent in the different descriptions of grain, as this important question however is now under the separate consideration of the Board as directed by Government in Minutes Consultation 24th September 1852 it is unnecessary to enlarge on it on the present occasion.

Statement 14

Sir Com. 8th Nov. 1852

4 The following abstract exhibits comparison between the Settlements in Fusles 1260 and 1261.

Stents.



| Items | Fusly 1260 | | Fusly 1261 | | Increase | | Decrease | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Extent of land | Assessment | Extent of Land | Assessment | Extent of Land | Assessment | Extent of Land | Assessment |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Total & Lands agreed for — Dry | 127033 | 129036 | 127563 | 129033 | 530 | " | " | 3 |
| Garden | 55543 | 57788 | 55252 | 57255 | " | " | 291 | 1533 |
| Wet | 99400 | 97585 | 99784 | 97672 | 384 | " | " | 113 |
| Poonasah | 3490 | 17542 | 3455 | 17373 | " | " | 35 | 169 |
| Turry Bayayet | 499 | 6330 | 498 | 6332 | " | 2 | 1 | " |
| Teerwahyasty | " | 132521 | " | 113076 | " | " | " | 19445 |
| Fusly jasty | " | 119036 | " | 127329 | " | 8293 | " | " |
| Total | 1435965 | 2946108 | 1436552 | 2933140 | 914 | 8295 | 327 | 21263 net 12968 |
| Deduct Land left waste in whole numbers | 318622 | | 341011 | | 24389 | | " | |
| Remaining | 2627486 | | 2590129 | | " | | 37357 | |
| Deduct Established Remissions | 581792 | | 572152 | | " | | 9640 | |
| Deduct other deductions | 105951 | | 108249 | | 2266 | | " | |
| Total | 687743 | | 680369 | | " | | 7374 | |
| Remainder | 1939743 | | 1909760 | | " | | 29983 | |
| Particulars — Land Sown | 1878305 | | 1850713 | | " | | 27592 | |
| Waste brought to account | 61438 | | 59047 | | " | | 2391 | |
| Total | 1939743 | | 1909760 | | " | | 29983 | |
| Amount of Concealed Cultivation brought to account Subsequents to the Immeasurement | 5355 | | 7717 | | 2362 | | " | |
| Casualty Sooty &c. | 1682.45 | | 163925 | | " | | 4320 | |
| Peishcush | 7698 | | " | | " | | " | |
| Total | 2189541 | | 2158600 | | 3362 Net Decrease | | 34303 30941 | |

From

5. From the above Statement it will be observed that the extent of land agreed for in the year under report exhibits little variation from that of the previous year, and the same result is apparent in the assessment except in the items of Tirvahjastee and Fusul Jasti. In the former there occurred a decrease of Rupees 19,445 and in the latter an increase of Rupees 8,293. The Net result therefore under the head of Caboolate is a falling off of Rupees 12,968. The decrease in the item of Tirvah Jastee is partly owing to the storm of May 1851, and partly to a remission which the Board directed to be made of the increased revenue, newly imposed on certain basket wells (gursi bowrie) which it appeared had been exempt for many years. Complaints were made respecting the hardship of this excess being suddenly imposed without warning, and as the question of assessing such wells was then under reference to the Honorable Court of Directors, the Board resolved to direct the Collector to abstain from imposing the additional assessment on wells hitherto exempt, and to remit the demand which had been made during the Current year. The total demands on this account was Rupees 6,15k — 6 — 3 of which Rupees 1,75k — 10 — 9 had

Proceedgs 18th Decr 1851

Collector's Endorsement on Petition No 1864 of 1852.



had been collected previously to the receipt of the Board's orders by the Collector. This will be referred to in a subsequent part of these proceedings. The causes here stated, and the unfavorable nature of the season account for the decrease of Rupees 37,357 under the head of Cultivation and the increase of Rupees 24,389 in remission for Caboolate waste. There was also a falling off of Rupees 2391 in the amount of waste paying tax, and an increase of Rupees 1,000 in the Peishcush of Punganoor in accordance with the progressive rate formerly established. The net settlement of the district was thus Rupees 2,158,600 being below that of Fusly 1260 by Rupees 30,961 as shown in the abstract Statement given in Para 18 of the Collector's report.

P. 18

+ Rs. 24389

6. + In that Statement a large increase in the remissions granted for Caboolate waste is shown but no explanation of the cause is here given. The different descriptions of land for which it was granted are however shown in Statement No 1 and are given

Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.-

given in the margin from which it will

| Items | Fasly 1260 | Fasly 1261 | Incr. | Decr. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry | 85,106 | 85,257 | 151 | " |
| Garden | 43,243 | 45,249 | 4,006 | " |
| Wet | 183,979 | 202,526 | 18,426 | " |
| Wet garden | 833 | 472 | " | 161 |
| Poonasalo | 2,270 | 1,533 | 1263 | " |
| Dry and Garden converted into Wet | 2,394 | 3,093 | 699 | " |
| Total- | 318,621 | 343,010 | 24,650 | 161 |
| Net Increase | | | 24,389. | |

be seen that the greater part of it was for garden and wet land. It was probably therefore occasioned by the general nature of the season as previously referred to. The waste lands paying tax amounted to Rupees 5,904 of which Rupees 1,571 was for waste within 10 per Cent of the ryots whole Puttah.- The letter referred to by the Collector was separately submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings dated 15th. November 1852, and their orders upon this subject were passed in Extract Minutes Consultation 7th December 1852.-

P. 19

Fasly 1260 - 1083 1/2 - 1888 1/2
1261 - 1072-07- 1887 8

Page 18

The decrease in quitrent amounting to Rupees 1163 is composed of a falling off under the head of Iyen Joody of Rupees 1157 and of Rupees 6 under the head of Hayem Joodi. The former is liable to change depending as it does on the extent of cultivation, but the causes of any decrease in the latter should have been pointed out.

Fasly Rs as p
1260 - 5757 - 2 - 11
1261 - 5751 - 2 - 8
6 - - 3

On a reference to Statement No. 2 it seems to have occurred in the Chittoor talook, but in the Hindoovi Villagewar account



Fort St. George 17th. February 1853.

account it is not traceable. On the contrary

| | Iyen Joody | | | Hayem Joody | | | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rs | as | p | Rs | as | p | Rs | as | p |
| Fasly 1260 | 212 | 14 | 8 | 5564 | 10 | 9 | 5760 | 9 | 5 |
| Fasly 1261 | 212 | 14 | 8 | 5566 | 1 | 4 | 5779 | " | " |
| Increase | " | " | " | 18 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 6 | 7 |
| Decrease | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

an increase of Rupees 18-6-7 appears to have occurred in three Villages. A further discrepancy of Rupees 3 in the total Hayem Joody of this talook for Fasly 1260 and of Rupees 28 in Fasly 1261 is observable between the two Statements Viz No 2 and the Hindoovi Villagewar account. The causes of these differences should be explained as it is desirable that a strict correspondence should be preserved between the English and native Statements.

| | Fasly 1260 | Fasly 1261 |
|---|---|---|
| | Rs | Rs |
| as per Statement No 2 | 5757 | 5751 |
| as per Hindoovi Villagewar accounts | 5760 | 5779 |
| Difference | 3 | 28 |

8. The Collector notices that the Peishcush of the Poonganoor Pollium has now reached the sum of Rupees 62,000 being the maximum fixed in the cowle granted to the Pollegar in 1263 which terminates at the close of the current Fasly. The question of its renewal is now before the Board and they propose shortly to address

address Government upon the subject.—

Rs
Circar Ayacut 1308878
Circar Cultivation 2476085
Enam Ayacut — 1983229
Enam Cultivation — 757870
exclusive of Pongamoor

† Exclusive of Pongamoor

From the tabular statement given in Para 23 it will be seen that the percentage of cultivation on the total quantity of Circar land was 57—7—5 and that of Enam lands 78—4—7. The decrease in the Enam Cultivation is somewhat less during the year under review than in the Circar the former being — 12 — and the latter 1—8 — per cent. There has in the ten years since Fusly 1257 been an increase in the assessed value of Circar Ayacut of Rupees 61,555 and a decrease in that of Enam amounting to Rupees 3,945. The former is probably owing to the occupations of Paramboke and excess from resumed Enams to which latter cause the decrease in Enam lands is also attributable.—

10 Some useful information respecting the cultivations of Indigo, Cotton and Sugar Cane, is given in Paras 25 and 26 of the Collectors report. The extent of land occupied by each description of Crop, and its assessment were as follows.—

| | Acres | Assessment |
|---|---|---|
| Indigo | 36,189 | Rs 1,2,021 |
| Cotton | 95,835 | 14,8809 |
| Sugar Cane | 5,022 | 68,397 |

| | Increase Land | aft. Rupees | Decrease Land | aft. Rupees |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Indigo | 6598 | 5,309 | " | " |
| Cotton | 6629 | 9,604 | " | " |
| Sugar Cane | " | " | 278 | 3388 |

There was an increase in the two former and a decrease in the latter as shewn in the margin



margin below the last year, but taking the cultivations of the year under review these descriptions of Crops bore in assessment a proportion to the whole cultivation of the district of Indigo 4 per cent. Cotton 3—11 and Sugarcane 1—3—2 per cent. There is however a discrepancy between the abstract given in Para 25 of the Collector's report and Statement No 19 in which latter the amount of Jody payable to the circar is only given for the Surva and Jodee Enam lands occupied by Cotton while in the abstract Statement (Column 11) in Para 25 the full Jarum assessment is shown. This should be Corrected in the future report and due Correspondence preserved between the Report and its accompanying Statements.

Collection P. 29

11 The Revenue was Collected with more than ordinary regularity which the Collectors attributes in a great measure to the Summary Settlement having concluded at an earlier period than usual. Out of a total demand of Rupees 2,158,600. Rupees 21,24,511 were Collected within the Fusly leaving a balance at that time of Rupees 34,089 of which Rupees 23,698 were subsequently realized. The balance at the date of the report was Rupees 10391 — but from what the Collector States in Para 29 it would appear that the greater portion of this will be subject to

At the close of Decr 1852. Rs 6923-9-7

to remission, after a full enquiry at the Summabundy now in progress.

12 The amount of arrears for previous years was at the conclusion of Fusly 1260 Rs. 17,687-2-5 of which Rupees 884-6-9 were subsequently Collected, leaving a balance of Rupees 16,802-11-8 which the Collector classes as follows.—

At the close of Decr. Rupees 16335-3-2

| | Rs | a | p |
|---|---|---|---|
| Recoverable | 405 | 7 | 4 |
| Doubtful | 201 | 10 | 11 |
| Irrecoverable | 16195 | 9 | 5 |

which latter amount he requests permission to write off the accounts. Of this sum Rupees 4,399-7-6 is the balance of Tirvah Jastee imposed in Basket wells which the Board ordered to be remitted as explained in Para 5 of these Proceedings. Explanations respecting the remainder is given in Para 32 of the Report and as the Collector states that particular enquiry was made at the Summabundy into the balances due by each ryot, the Board begs to support his recommendation that the amount by irrecoverable balance Viz Rupees 16,195-9-5 may now be remitted.

13 The present report does not contain the usual comparative abstract of the Revenue from all Source but the following has been prepared.



prepared in the Board's Office shewing a net decrease of Rupees 26,352.—

| | Items | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Demand | Peshcush | 76,198 | 77,198 | 1000 | " |
| | Assessed Land Revenue Assessed Arrears | 21,13343 | 20,81402 | " | 31941 |
| | Total | 21,89541 | 20,58600 | " | 30941 |
| | Abkarry | 11882-1 | 12340-2 | 458 | |
| | Sundry Small Farms & Licenses | 14,583 | 14,101 | " | 482 |
| | Moturpha | 152,058 | 152,060 | 2 | |
| | Stamps | 27588 | 27221 | " | 367 |
| | Total | 3,13049 | 3,16784 | 3736 | |
| | Total | 2,50,290 | 24,75,385 | " | 27205 |
| | Sayer Summabundy | 22,340 | 23,193 | 853 | " |
| | Grand Total | 25,24,930 | 24,98,578 | " | 26352 |

14 In Para 34 the Collector has given the proportion borne by the charges of every description to the Collection of Land Revenue alone making it to be 15-2-6 per cent but the Comparison should be between the gross Charges and total Collection from all sources which

| | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total Collections | 24,57,533 | 1,62,1063 | 169530 | " |
| Charges | 280575 | 346626 | 66051 | " |
| Percentage | 11-7-" | 13-3-7 | 1-12-7 | " |

it will be seen from this entry in the margin to make the percentage Rs 13-3-7. If the demand be taken instead of the Collections they amount to Rupees 13-4-" but the former seems to be the more correct mode of Comparison. The causes of increase in the percentage are satisfactorily explained by the Collector.—

| | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total demand of all sources of Revenue | 1,52,49,30 | 24,98,578 | " | 26352 |
| Charges | 280575 | 346626 | 66051 | " |
| Percentage | 11-1-9 | 13-14-" | 2-12-3 | " |

The

15 There are one or two remarks which the Board wish to make on the statements which accompany the Report. In Columns 77 and 85 of Statement No. 2 they observe that the number of Cultivating ryots exactly corresponds with the number of Puttahs and in Column 84 the number of "Goonchee Puttahs" is given at 4,830, but the number of ryots holding them is not stated, but should in future be entered. In Column 76 the number of Paycarree ryots are given at 21,723 and in the Hindoo Statement the districts to which they belong are given in detail as shewn in the margin. Among them are shown 2 from Madura and 3 from Dharwar which leads to a doubt as to which description of persons is included in both the Statements. The Board understand a Paikwarrie ryot to be one residing in one district but cultivating in another Village, but this could not be the case with respect to ryots from such distant parts of the Country. If they have emigrated from those districts and established themselves in Cuddapah they should not be classed as Paikwarries but as resident ryots. The Statement No. 1 the number of Indigee Ryots is entered at 1302 2 while the number of Puttahs is only 1229 or less than 10 per Cent. There is apparently some error in this which the Board would wish to have explained.

| from | |
|---|---|
| Cuddapah | 20039 |
| Bellary | 250 |
| Kurnool | 340 |
| North arcot | 13 |
| Madura | 2 |
| Mysore | 203 |
| Dharwar | 3 |
| Guntoor | 34 |
| Vencatagherry | 839 |
| | 2723 |

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Cuddapah.

S.G.B.

Read



Read the following letter

No. 6

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(42)

Bd. No. 301

From the Agent
at Kurnool

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that as I shall be engaged for some time in the Annual Settlement of the District, I have placed the Treasury in charge of the Assistant Agent.

2. That Officer however is himself employed on a similar duty and it will be necessary to leave the Treasury in charge of the Cash Keeper during the greater part of each Month, until the Settlement is concluded; but as the Talooks under Lieutenant Russel's charge are within easy reach of Kurnool he will from time to time be able to visit the Treasury, and I have requested him to arrange his duties so as to admit

Reporting on the arrangements made respecting the disposal of the Treasury Consequent on his absence from Kurnool during the annual Settlement of the District.

Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1852 Para 8 forwarded with the Board's Proceedings dated 4th Novr 1852.

admit of his doing so about the time the remittances are received and then to lock up such amount of Cash as may not be required to meet the expected disbursements during the interval, until the Monthly examination of the Treasury takes place.

3- After the examination of the Treasury on the 1st of this Month a sum of Rupees 53,294-1-8 was left in the hands of the Cash keeper to meet the expected disbursements for the period which Lieutenant Russell expected to be engaged in his duties in the Talooks.

Kurnool District;
Govt. Agents Office
On Circuit Sirsell;
25th January, 1853.

/Signed/ T. B. A. Conway
Agent to the Governor

42.43 Bd No 350
Proceedings thereon.

Bd No 267. The Board of Revenue approve of the arrangements reported in the foregoing letter.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot

44 Read letter forwarding with reference to Board's Proceedings dated 22nd April 1852 a Statement shewing the names of the Sunnies Yeomiahdars who have forfeited their allowances and requesting the Boards Orders for permanently transferring these Yeomiahs to the parties named in the Statement.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To



44.45
Bd No 359
To the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

The Acting Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to your letters of the 26th January 1853 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the Statement therewith forwarded for explanation or amendment of the following discrepancies between it and the Statement

In Cons 23d February 1852 No 546 submitted by your predecessor, Mr Ward, on the 17th January 1852.

In this case Syed Abdoollah the suspended Vakeel is stated in Mr Wards report to have no relatives except a boy aged 6 Months whom he proposes to substitute in the room of his father. In your report no mention is made of the son but of a brother named Syed Mohideen.

No 553 The name of the son in this case is stated in your report to be Shaike Ebram. In Mr Wards Statement it is entered as Shaik Meenah.

No 581 From Mr Ward's report the suspended Vakeel Meer Goolam Ally would appear to have no relatives to succeed him, in your report the name of Meer Jynoolabdeen is entered as his son in law.

No 575 } 621 } In this case the name of the son proposed as the successor of Mahomed Sippoo is

Ally

ally Hossain in Mr. Wards Statement, while in yours it is entered as Vullee Mahomed.

2. You will be pleased to submit the necessary explanation on the foregoing points and return the Statement with as little delay as possible.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
17th February, 1853.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

Read the following letter.

No. 19.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(46)

Bd No. 400

From the Collr of Salem

14th Decr 1852

O.S No. 80 of 1850.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that in conformity with a requisition addressed to me by the Subordinate Court of Salem the Pollipat of Bagalore attached in Satisfaction of the decree passed by the Court is taken under Government management till the decree be satisfied from the net proceeds thereof.

2. I beg now to request the sanction of your Board for disbursing on account of the Pollipat a Monthly Sum



Sum of Rupees 49-0-0 for the Establishment entertained

| | | Rs. A. P. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Ameen | 14-0-0 | per Mensem |
| 1 | Hwasinolah | 7-0-0 | Do. |
| 1 | Do. | 5-8-0 | Do. |
| 1 | Shroff | 3-8-0 | Do. |
| 6 | Peons at 3 Rupees each | 18-0-0 | Do. |
| | | 48-0-0 | |
| | Sundenmanad | 1-0-0 | Do. |
| | | 49-0-0 | |

entertained and approved by the Court for the Management of the Estate as particularized in the Margin.

Salem Collectors Office,
Womaloor.
5th February, 1853.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Bd No. 351

Proceedings thereon.

(48.47)

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the temporary Establishment exhibited in the foregoing letter at an aggregate charge of Rupees 49 per Mensem for the Management of the Bagalore Pollipat attached by order of the Subordinate Court at Salem.

---

Read the following letter

No. 11

(48)

Bd No. 312

From the Collr of Tanjore

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St George.

Sir,

I the natural mother of the late Zemindar of the Gundracotah Estate named

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

ally Koosain in Mr. Wards Statement, while in yours it is entered as Vullee Mahomed.

2. You will be pleased to submit the necessary explanation on the foregoing points and return the Statement with as little delay as possible.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
17th February, 1853.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

---

Read the following letter.

To,
No. 19.
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

46

Bd No. 400

From the Collr of Salem

14th Decr 1852

O.S No. 80 of 1850.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that in conformity with a requisition addressed to me by the Subordinate Court of Salem the Polliput of Bagalore attached in Satisfaction of the decree passed by the Court is taken under Government management till the decree be satisfied from the net proceeds thereof.

2. I beg now to request the sanction of your Board for disbursing on account of the Polliput a Monthly Sum



Fort St. George 17th February 1853

Sum of Rupees 49-0-0 for the Establishment entertained and approved by the Court for the Management of the Estate as particularized in the Margin;

entertained

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. A. P. | |
| 1 Ameen | | 14-0-0 | per Mensem |
| 1 Hwasindah | | 7-0-0 | Do. |
| 1 Do. | | 5-8-0 | Do. |
| 1 Shroff | | 3-8-0 | Do. |
| 6 Peons at 3 Rupees each | | 18-0-0 | Do. |
| | | 48-0-0 | |
| Sundemmaud | | 1-0-0 | Do. |
| | | 49-0-0 | |

Salem Collectors Office,
Womaloor,
5th February, 1853.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Bd No. 357

Proceedings thereon.

47

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the temporary Establishment exhibited in the foregoing letter at an aggregate charge of Rupees 49 per Mensem for the Management of the Bagalore Polliput attached by order of the Subordinate Court at Salem.

---

Read the following letter

48

No. 11

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards,
Fort St. George.

Bd No. 312

From the Collr of Tanjore

Sir,

The natural mother of the late Zemindar of the Gundlacolah Estate named

named Ayee Ummaunee having died, on the 2nd Instant a sum of 150 Rupees has been paid from the Renennes of the Pauliput for defraying the Expenses of her funeral, for which the sanction of the Court is requested.

The deceased was on the receipt of a Pension of Rupees 159¾ per annum which will lapse to the Estate from the date of her demise.

Tanjore, Vellum, 27th January 1853. } /Signed/ J. F Bishop Collector.

SBd No 368

Proceedings thereon.

48-49

The Court of Wards sanction the disbursement of Rupees 150 from the funds of the Gundracottah Estate for defraying the funeral Expenses of the natural mother of the late Zemindar.

---

Read again Statement, from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 31st December 1852 and entered in Consultation 27th January 1853 of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

50

SBd No 355

Proceedings thereon.

The Board observe that the Treasury receipts for the Current Month as per Book No 2 } 202471-15-2 receipts entered at the Collections in Columns 8 & 11 of Statement No 1 } 202446-15-2 Book of Statement No

Difference 25-0-0



No 2 of the foregoing Returns do not correspond with the Collections inserted in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No 1. The Collector is requested to explain the cause of this discrepancy and his attention is called to the Circular Orders of 3rd May 1852 on this subject.

---

Read the following letter

No 9

To W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Court of Wards, Fort St George.

51

SBd No 399

From the Collector of Madura

Submitting for sanction a revised Statement of Establishment required for the Pollianeoil Jungle.

To the Court of Wards Fort St George dated 25th Ult.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to solicit sanction for the Establishment specified in the enclosed revised Statement for measuring the Pollianeoil Jungle.

2. It differs from the preceding in providing for 2 Ameens instead of one, adding 4 Tolies and omitting the Moyasum the result being an Increase of 16 Rupees per Mensem.

I

3. I regret the trouble I am giving the Court by a second application but on discussing the operation with the Manager on the Spot, I am led to fear that owing to the extent of the Jungle it will occupy a great length of time if 2 Measures are not employed at the same time. The total expence to the Estate will not be increased because the time consumed will be shorter and to make the charge as small as possible the Manager will supply Rozasums from the Talooks thus reducing one Item. I agree with him in thinking that great delays will occur if Ooties are not employed as it will be necessary to send for persons from the newly established Villages as well as to convey and use the measuring chains.—

Madura, ...
Caliancovil, ...
3rd February, 1853

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

Estimated



No. 1.

Estimated charges for the proposed Establishment for Surveying the Caliancovil Jungle in the Shevagungah Zemindary.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2 Ameens at 17½ Rupees each | 35 | — |
| 4 Coons/2 under each Ameen/at 3½ Rs. each | 14 | — |
| 4 Ooties for measuring/2 under each/ at 2 Rupees each | 8 | — |
| Sundrymand charges for Paper, Cadjan & Lamp Oil, at 2 Rupees to each Ameen | 4 | — |
| Total Rupees | 61 | — |

Madura, ...
Caliancovil, ...
3rd February 1853

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

B. of R. No. 353
Proceedings thereon.

(51.52)

The Court of Wards sanction the employment of the revised temporary Establishment exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter at an aggregate charge of Rupees 61 per Mensem for Surveying the Caliancovil Jungle in the Shevagunga Zemindary.—

Read the following letter.

No. 19.

To
W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(53)

B. of R. No. 353.

Sir,

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 20th Instant noticing certain omissions in the Quarterly list of letters received from Board

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

Board and remaining unanswered to 31st December 1852, I have the honor to state that the Proceedings of 26th August last on the last census of this District has been answered in full in Para 65 of the Summahbundy report for Fusly 1261. The revised Indents called for by Proceedings dated 18th November 1852 were prepared the same day the unanswered Lists were signed but sent the following day. The concise report required in para 7 of the Proceedings of 2nd August last regarding the payments made to each of the Creditors of the Saptoor Minor Estate and the redemption of the 2 Jewels thereon mentioned is given as directed in the Annual report now under preparation and which will be transmitted very Shortly. The enquiry to the claim for Rupees 1,982-6-7 is now about to be set on foot, the party having only this day appeared, the result of which will be reported hereafter and the Extract from the Proceedings of 2nd August entered in the next Quarterly List of unanswered letters if not before disposed of.—

Tinnevelly, 28th January 1853.

/Signed/ C. S. Bird
Collector.

53.54
Order thereon.
Ordered to be recorded.

55
From the Collector of Malabar. Read letter forwarding a fresh Estimate of the
55.56 Sanctioned Expenditure of his District.
Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded. Read



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Read the following report.

57

B. & N. 454.

From the Collector of Malabar

Reporting on the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1261.

No. 243 Salt

S. G. A

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

I have the honor to report on the different branches of Extra Revenue of this District for Fusly 1261 A.D. 1851/52, and to submit the usual Comparative Statement in triplicate exhibiting the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of each source, as well as the two general Abstract Statements Annually furnished

| | Fusly 1259 | | | Fusly 1260 | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gross Collections | 336693 | 6 | 3 | 339647 | 5 | " | 319666 | 7 | 2 | " | " | " | 19980 | 13 | 10 |
| Charges for Purchase or Manufacture | 117592 | 4 | 7 | 69487 | 15 | 2 | 76585 | 9 | 5 | 6097 | 10 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Do. Superintendence and Sale | 9317 | 14 | 10 | 8425 | 4 | " | 17448 | 8 | " | 9023 | 4 | " | " | " | " |
| Total Charges | 126910 | 3 | 5 | 77913 | 3 | 2 | 93034 | 1 | 5 | 15120 | 14 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 209783 | 2 | 10 | 261734 | 1 | 10 | 226632 | 5 | 9 | " | " | " | 35101 | 12 | 1 |
| Per Centage of the Charge | 37 | 11 | 1 1/16 | 22 | 15 | 5/16 | 29 | 1 | 7 14/16 | " | " | " | " | " | " |

2. The Gross Collection in this Department during the Fusly under to Rupees 319666-7-2. which

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

which is below the Collection of the preceding year by Rupees 19980-13-10. This deficit attaches to the Sales for Inland consumption or in other words for exportation to Coimbatore before the falling off under this Head being 13383-8-5. But the decrease on this head is not altogether real for there is reason to believe that a good deal of the Salt purchased at the Inland Gollahs of Ottapalam, though registered for Home Consumption has found its way into Coimbatore surreptitiously. I have been making a good deal of stir on this subject having on a visit to Palghat detected an instance in which the evasion of the Government rights was carried on to a considerable Amount. It is very hard to provide effectually against cheating of this nature, but I will do my best to have it checked.

| | Inland Consumption | Home Consumption | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | In. Mds. | Sd. Mds. | |
| Fusly 1260 | 108463 | 23948 | 9 |
| " 1261 | 86033 | 23981 | 4 19 |
| Increase | " | " | 32514 |
| Decrease | 14813 | 10 | " |

Vide Statement No 3.

3- Out of the Decrease of Rupees 19980-13-10 above noticed Rupees 8695-8-5 may be said to be owing to the reduction in the Sale price of Salt at the Coast and Inland Depots adjoining the Cochin territory which was introduced on the 1st April 1852 under the sanction



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

sanction of Government conveyed in the Board's Proceedings dated the 18th of the preceding Month. The residue of the decrease Amounting to Rupees 14,355-5-5 arises in a measure at least from the contraband traffic which was going on up to the 1st April 1852. The Sales at the Depots in question during the 9 Months commencing from 1st April 1852 have surpassed those of the same period of the previous year by 63099- In. Mds. as shewn in the Margin.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Salt sold at the reduced price from 1st April to December 1852 | Depots from | In. Mds. 82591- | " |
| Do at Do at the old rate from April 1st to December 1851 | | 19492- | " |
| | Excess | 63099- | " |

4- The total quantity of Salt sold during the year under report was 2715-48 Mds. being 120 Garces less than the quantity disposed of in 1260.

| | Garces | Mds. |
|---|---|---|
| Sales in Fusly 1260 | 2836 | 15 |
| " 1261 | 2715 | 48 |
| Decrease | 120 | 87 |

5- The increase of Rupees 6097-10-3 which stands under the Head of "Charges of Purchase", is mainly attributable to the necessity of paying higher rates for the Salt required for the use of the Monopoly in Fusly 1261 than those at which the article was procured in the previous year, the average purchase price of 1261 being Rupees 27-11-7 per Garce whereas that of 1260 was only Rupees 23-15-6 It may not however

× See Para 4 of the Report dated 20th January 1852.

Fort St. George 17th February 1863.

however be out of place to remark that in 1260 there was a decrease of Rupees 1,8104- on account of "Charges of Purchase."

| Salt purchased - | C. | Mds. |
|---|---|---|
| in Fusly 1260 - | 2898 - | 14 |
| 1261 - | 2707 - | 115 |
| Defference | 191 - | 19 |

The quantity of Salt that could be procured in 1261 fell short of the supply of 1260 by 191 Garce -

6- The increase of Rupees 9,023 - 4 - attached to the Item of "Charges for Superintendence and Sale" is consequent upon a larger quantity of Salt having been sent up to the Inland Gollahs during 1261 than in 1260 as shewn below.

| | C. | Mds. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1261 - | 633 - | 25 - | 19263 - | 9 - 1 |
| " 1260 - | 127 - | 55 - | 1463 - | 3 - 8 |

7- The decrease in the Gross Collection added to the increase in the Charges have produced a deficiency in the net Revenue decrease from Salt of Rupees 35,101 - 12 - 1 but if the contract is carried back to Fusly 1259, the result will be found to be an Increase of Rupees 16,829 - 2 - 11 in favor of 1261 -

| | |
|---|---|
| x Rupees - 19,930 - 13 - 10 | |
| Rupees - 16,720 - 14 - 3 | |
| Net Revenue of | |
| 1260 - 2,61,734 - 1 - 10 | |
| 1261 - 2,26,632 - 5 - 9 | |
| Decrease 35,101 - 12 - 1 | |

| Tobacco | Fusly 1259 | | | Fusly 1260 | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gross Collection | 5,35,008 | 15 | 7 | 5,18,219 | 11 | 3 | 5,67,962 | 11 | 6 | 49,743 | " | 3 | " | " | " |
| Charges for Purchase or Manufacture - | 10,936 | 9 | 8 | 8,276 | 5 | 2 | 12,045 | 10 | " | 3,769 | 5 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Superintendence and Sales | 23,154 | " | 6 | 23,299 | 15 | - | 25,568 | 11 | 8 | 2,268 | 12 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Total Charges | 13,282 | 10 | 2 | 10,606 | 5 | 3 | 2,461 | 2 | 8 | 3,997 | 13 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 4,02,188 | 5 | 5 | 4,12,154 | 8 | 1 | 4,21,934 | 5 | 10 | 9,779 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 24 | 13 | 27/32 | 20 | 7 | 8 3/4 | | 25 | 11 | 4 1/2 | " | " | " | " | " |

8- This branch of Revenue exhibits this year a favorable result in as much as there is an increase of nearly half a



Fort St. George 17th February 1863.

lac of Rupees over and above the Gross Collection of 1260, arising partly from the goodness of Tobacco supplied by the Collector of Coimbatore and partly from the vigorous measures taken for the suppression of the contraband trade in this Article. The quantity of Tobacco sold in 1261 was C. m. lb. 3234 - 15 - 18

" in 1260 - - - 2949 - 18 - "

Increase on 1261 — 285 - 0 - 18

9- The excess of Rupees 37,694 - 5 - 10 in the "Charges for Purchase" arises from two causes. The first is that a larger quantity of Tobacco was purchased in 1261 than in 1260 the supplies of the two years being C. m. lb. 3330 - 16 - 2 and C. m. lb. 2764 - 9 - 8 respectively. Difference 566 - 6 - 26 The necessity for this additional supply received in 1260 having been below that of 1259 by C. m. lb. 503 - 17 - 4

| Average purchase price per Candy in 1261 | Rs. 36 - 2 - 7 |
|---|---|
| 1260 " | — 29 - 15 - " |
| Excess | — 6 - 3 - 7 |

The second is that the price charged in 1261 was much in excess of that of 1260 the increase being as shewn in the Margin Rupees 6 - 3 - 7 per Candy

10- The increase of Rupees 22,68 - 12 - 8 standing against the "Charges for Superintendence and Sale" may be accounted for as follows.

On

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

On account of Charges of Conveyance Rupees 1620, on account of Reward paid for confiscated Tobacco Rupees 585 and the residue on account of Extra Charges.

11. The excess in the Charges above noticed, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 39963-2-6 reduced the increased Revenue derived from this Source from Rupees 49743-3-3 to Rupees 9779-13-9 Contrasting the Net Revenue of Fusly 1261 with the result of 1259, the difference is Rupees 19746-5 in favor of the former year.

| | |
|---|---|
| Net Revenue of 1261 Rupees | 421934-5-10 |
| 1260 " | 402154-8-1 |
| Increase | 9779-13-9 |

| | |
|---|---|
| 1261 Rs. | 421934-5-10 |
| 1259 " | 402188-5-5 |
| Increase | 19746-"-5 |

12. The fluctuations apparent under these Heads are too small to call for particular remarks.

Frontier Duty and Sea Customs.

Ab Kawry

| | Fusly 1259 | | | Fusly 1260 | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Settlement | 65971 | 2 | 3 | 66599 | 10 | " | 64822 | 10 | 7 | " | " | " | 1776 | 15 | 5 |
| Gross Collections | 64989 | 4 | 1 | 67507 | 1 | 2 | 64766 | 2 | 3 | " | " | " | 5740 | 14 | 11 |
| Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 64989 | 4 | 1 | 67507 | 1 | 2 | 64766 | 2 | 3 | " | " | " | 5740 | 14 | 11 |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

Sums realized in 1260 by Rupees 2200 which was

13. The Buildings of the Ab Kawry Farms of Fusly 1261 fell short of the



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

however reduced to the amount exhibited in the Margin by increase in others.

The decrease of Rupees 5740-14-11 in the Gross Collection may be accounted for thus. Decrease in the Demand above noticed 1776-15-5 Balance of 1259 collected in 1260 which raised the Gross Collections of the latter year Rupees 1006-4-8 Balance remaining uncollected at the close of 1261 which was however realized (out of Item 21-14-10) since the commencement of the present Fusly (1262) Rupees 3141-11-4.

Farms and Licenses

| | Fusly 1259 | | | Fusly 1260 | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Settlement | 51179 | 11 | 5 | 49154 | 8 | 6 | 53723 | 11 | 2 | 4569 | 2 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Gross Collection | 50315 | 10 | 3 | 49035 | 11 | 3 | 52768 | 9 | 2 | 3732 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Charges | 652 | 8 | " | 630 | " | " | 655 | " | " | 25 | " | " | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 49663 | 2 | 3 | 48405 | 11 | 3 | 52113 | 9 | 2 | 3707 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 1 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 64/16 | 1 | 3 | 10/16 | " | " | " | " | " | " |

15. The excess apparent in the Settlement of this Branch of Revenue was produced by the Cardamon Farms and to this cause is attributable the increase of Rupees 3732-13-11 in the Gross Collection and Net Revenue.

16. Out of the Demand for the year Sicca Rupees 53723-11-2, Rupees 52358-4-9 was realized in the course of it leaving at its close an outstanding Balance of Rupees 365-6-5 of which

Fort St. George 17th February 1863.

which Rupees 1026-13-3 was collected since the beginning of the present year. Measures are in progress for the realization of the residue Amounting to Rupees 338-9-2.

Stamps

| | Fusly 1259 | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Gross Collection | 58597-5-6 | 62238-11-9 | 67325-8-" | 5084-12-3 | " " " |
| Charges | 8556-14-3 | 10015-8-8 | 12346-15-7 | 2330-6-11 | " " " |
| Net Revenue | 49741-7-3 | 52223-3-1 | 54977-8-5 | 2754-5-4 | " " " |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 15-1-9½ | 16-1-5 11/16 | 18-3-5 | " " " | " " " |

17- This item of Extra Revenue continues on the increase under the operation of Act XVII of 1848; and the refunds authorized by it occasion the excess in the Charges shewn in the Marginal Abstract. There has however been an increase of Rupees 2754-5-4 in the Net Revenue credited to account.

Return Stamps

| | Fusly 1259 | Fusly 1260 | Fusly 1261 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Settlement | 111308-11-3 | 115929-5-" | 111502-11-7 | " " " | 4426-9-5 |
| Gross Collection | 115742-8-5 | 115917-7-1 | 111604-11-10 | " " " | 4312-11-3 |
| Charges | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| Net Revenue | 115742-8-5 | 115917-7-1 | 111604-11-10 | " " " | 4312-11-3 |
| Per Centage of the Charges | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |

18- This decrease arises from the remission of Government dated the 12th May 1857 to discontinue the demand of the Tax on all houses not



Fort St. George 17th February 1863.

not exceeding half a Gold Fanam (2-3 2/100 as 0) The aggregate on this account amounted to Rupees 5002-8-9 Other unavoidable Remissions Annually granted on account of Taxes on houses, Shops, professional Implements &c.a &c.a no longer in existence or held by people in impoverished circumstances amount to Rupees 4164-13-10 total Rupees 9167-4-7, which was however reduced to the Sum noted in the Marginal Abstract by bringing to account new items assessable to this branch of Revenue the Tax thus laid amounting to Rupees 1740-13-2.

The decrease of Rupees 4312-11-3 in the Gross Collection speaks for itself it being consequent upon the reduction in the demands as above noticed.

19- The Current Collection during the year amounted to 111064-6-" leaving a bitto close an outstanding Balance of Rupees 438-5-7. of which Rupees 335-4-11 was realized up to November of the present Fusly year the residue Rupees 103-"-8 will it is expected be realized ere long.

20- Independent of the Current Collection a Sum of Rupees 540-5-10 was realized on

Demand 111502-11-7
Collection 111064-6-"
Balance 438-5-7

Fusly 1256 - 9-2
" 59 - 1-2-4
" 60 - 538-11-2
Rupees 540-5-10

* In this is included about 200 Rs being remission allowed in consideration of the destruction by fire of a whole range of Bazars in the town of Tanore.

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

on account of arrears of former years thus making the totab amount collected in 1261 Rupees 111,604-11-10.

Grand Totab

| | Fusly 1259 | | | Fusly 1260 | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Grosp Collection | 11,94,695 | 4 | 3 | 1188682 | " | 2 | 1212612 | 13 | 5 | 28930 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Charges | 289604 | 2 | 4 | 212973 | " | 1 | 270092 | 2 | 3 | 57119 | 2 | 2 | " | " | " |
| Net Revenue | 904991 | 3 | 11 | 975709 | " | 1 | 944520 | 11 | 2 | " | " | " | 28188 | 4 | 11 |
| Per Centage of the Charges | 24 | 3 | 10 1/16 | 17 | 15 | 4 11/16 | 22 | 3 | 9 1/2 | " | " | " | " | " | " |

21- Taking together all items of Extra Renenue the result is an increase of Rupees 28930- 13-3 in the grosp Collection, and a deficit of Rupees 28,188- 4-11 in the Net Renenue the latter having been occasioned by the charges of 1261 having exceeded those of the antecedent year by Rupees 57,119- 2-2 Comparing the Net Renenue of 1261 with that of 1259, the result is Rupees 39,529- 7-3 in favor of the first quoted Fusly

1261- Rs. 944520- 11- 2
59- " 904991- 3-11
Increase 39,529- 7- 3.

Outstanding Balance-22- By the accompanying Statement No 7 the Board will perceive that at the commencement of the Fusly year now reported upon there was an outstanding Balance of Rupees 2391- 3-5 on account of different Items composing the Extra Renenue- Out of this sum Rupees 1035- 13-3 was collected during the year Rupees 17- " " struck off the Balance Sheet



Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Sheet under the sanction of Government dated 23d April 1852 leaving still an outstanding Balance of Rupees 1179- 5-9 of which Rupees 858- 8-8 is deemed recoverable and Rupees 320- 13-1 irrecoverable. I beg to recommend that the authority of Government be obtained for the latter amount being written off to Profit and Loss.

Calicut 21st January 1853.

Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement-

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Shewing the Quantity of Salt purched and Sold in the Provinces of Malabar in Faslies 1260 and 1261
1850/51. 1851/52

| Name of Gollahs | Fusly 1260 | | | | | | | | Fusly 1261 | | | | | | | | | | Balances of the previous years | Quantity purchased in 1261 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Balances of the previous year | | Quantity purchased in 1260 | | Khajarah found in 1260 | | Total | | Deduct Wastage | | Nett Quantity | | Sales Quantity | | Rate per Indian Maund | | Value | | | |
| | I M | S T | I M | S T | I M | S T | I M | S T | I M | S T | I M | S T | I M | S T | R | a p | R | a p | I M | S T | I M | S T |
| | 46399 | 13 7 8¾ | 46326 | " " | | | 92725 | 13 7 8¾ | 57 | " " | 92668 | 13 7 8¾ | 54787 | " " | 1 | " " | 54787 | " " | 37881 | 13 7 8¾ | 45494 | " " |
| | 42795 | 39 19¾ | 69525 | " " | 191 | 20 " | 112512 | 19 19¾ | " | " " | 112512 | 19 19¾ | 72823 | " " | 1 | " " | 72823 | " " | 38939 | 19 14¼ | 58639 | 20 " |
| | 26117 | 20 61¼ | 99950 | 37 " | 1292 | " " | 127360 | 17 61¼ | 172 | " " | 127188 | 17 61¼ | 7330 | " " | " | 2 5 8 6¾ | 116 | 10 8 | | | | |
| | 76854 | 22 12¾ | 132093 | " " | 400 | " " | 209347 | 22 12¾ | " | " " | 209347 | 22 12¾ | 90208 | 37 " | 1 | " " | 90208 | 14 10 | 39979 | 20 61¼ | 90697 | " " |
| | 3007 | 12 8 2¼ | " | " " | " | " " | 3007 | 12 8 2¼ | " | " " | 3007 | 12 8 2¼ | 101811 | " " | 1 | " " | 101811 | " " | 37144 | 22 12¾ | 126838 | " " |
| | 1072 | 25 1¼ | " | " " | " | " " | 1072 | 25 1¼ | " | " " | 1072 | 25 1¼ | 1121 | 20 " | 1 | " " | 1121 | 8 " | 1885 | 32 8 2¼ | 3287 | " " |
| | 5737 | " " | " | " " | " | " " | 5737 | " " | 13 | 10 " | 5723 | 30 " | 360 | 30 " | 1 | " " | 360 | 12 " | 711 | 35 1¼ | " | " " |
| | 8287 | 20 " | " | " " | " | " " | 8287 | 20 " | 21 | 20 " | 8265 | " " | 2819 | 30 " | 1 | " " | 2819 | 12 " | 5304 | " " | " | " " |
| | 1083 | 5 40 | " | " " | " | " " | 1083 | 5 40 | 30 | 27 " | 1052 | 18 40 | 8265 | " " | 1 | " " | 8265 | " " | 45599 | " " | " | " " |
| | 4005 | 7 40 | " | " " | " | " " | 4005 | 7 40 | 104 | 23 " | 4000 | 24 40 | 1052 | 18 40 | 1 | " " | 1082 | 7 5 | 4200 | " " | " | " " |
| | 241 | 22 40 | " | " " | " | " " | 241 | 22 40 | " | " " | 241 | 22 40 | 3095 | " " | 1 | " " | 3095 | " " | 12498 | 24 40 | " | " " |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 241 | 22 40 | 92 | 1 60¼ | 183 | 3 " | " | " " |
| Total | 215454 | 18 34 7 8 9 4 3 7 | 2176 | 2 40 | 56512 | 5 28 | 645 | " " | 56443 | 6 28 | | | 340335 | 38 " | | | 339643 | 11 | 224144 | 8 22½ | 324955 | 20 " |

2179 Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Fusly 1261 (Continued)

| Kufs drawn found in 1261 | Total | Deduct Wastage | Net Quantity | Quantity | Sales Rate per Indian Maund | Sales Value | Balance at the Close of Fusly 1261 | Comparison between the Quantity purchased Increase | Comparison between the Quantity purchased Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. | Rs. a. P. | Rs. a. P. | Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. | Md. Sr. |
| 1627 " " | 84902 13 78¾ | 60 " " | 84842 13 78¾ | 54881 " " | 1 " " " | 54881 " " | 29961 13 78¾ | " " " | 832 " " |
| 1104 35 " | 98683 34 14¼ | " " " | 98683 34 14¼ | 56211 20 " | 1 " " " | 56211 8 " | 41612 14 14¼ | " " " | 10885 20 " |
| 4318 20 " | 134995 " 61¼ | 8 " " | 134996 " 61¼ | 88750 " " | " 3 6 53 | 190 8 " | | | |
| | | | | | 1 " " " | 88750 " " | 46245 " 61¼ | " " " | 9253 37 " |
| 784 10 40 | 16476 66 32 32¾ | 42 " " | 16472 4 32 32¾ | 60231 20 " | 1 " " " | 60231 8 " | 10449 3 12 32¾ | " " " | 6255 " " |
| " " " | 67232 54½ | 86 " " | 67146 32 54½ | 65018 20 " | " 10 2 87½ | 3211 11 7 | 68 12 52¼ | 3287 " " | " " " |
| " " " | 711 35 1½ | " " " | 711 35 1½ | 473 15 " | " 11 3 96¼ | 335 3 5 | 238 20 1½ | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 5304 " " | 148 " " | 5156 " " | 4733 " " | 1 " " " | 4733 " " | 423 " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 30 " | 45602 30 " | " " " | 45602 30 " | 42040 30 " | " 12 11 92 | 39395 10 1 | 3562 " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 2200 " " | 3 " " | 4197 " " | 3587 " " | " 13 9 " | 30829 3 | 610 " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 12498 22 40 | " " " | 12498 22 40 | 8903 17 " | " 15 1 19½ | 8402 6 1 | 3595 7 40 | " " " | " " " |
| 158 1 " | 158 1 " | " " " | 158 1 " | 158 1 " | " 10 1 30 | 99 13 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 7896 26 40 | 556996 4 62½ | 339 " " | 556657 4 62½ | 325848 3 " | " " " | 319524 13 9 | 230709 1 62½ | 3287 " " | 26226 17 " |

Deduct Increase 3287 " "
Net Decrease 22939 17 "

Calcutt 11th July 1852.

E. E. Y. Signed W. V. Conally
Collector's Statement.

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Statement of Demand Collection and Balance on account of Arrears of Revenue (Land Revenue excepted) in the Province of Malabar for Fusly 1261 A.D. 1851/52.

| Items | 1 | 2 Total Demand on account of the Abolished Cuzes for Fusly 1261 | 3 Arrears on account of Fusly 1255 | 4 Do Do Fusly 1256 | 5 Do Do Fusly 1257 | 6 Do Do Fusly 1258 | 7 Do Do Fusly 1259 | 8 Total of Columns 3 to 7 | 9 Collections on account of Arrears of Fusly 1256 | 10 Do Do 1257 | 11 Do Do 1258 | 12 Do Do 1259 | 13 Total of Columns 9 and 12 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 104 14 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 11 " | " " " | 5 12 7 | 4 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4 " " |
| Farms and Licences | | 1574 1 " | " " " | " " " | 204 " " | 212 8 " | 321 2 10 | 737 10 10 | 6 " 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 5 1 8 |
| | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | | 712 54 " | " " " | 92 4 15 | 24 14 1 | 88 4 2 | " " " | 109 12 10 | " 9 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1 11 6 |
| Total | | 2391 3 5 | " " " | 92 208 54 | 229 6 1 | 415 3 7 | " " " | 853 8 2 | " 9 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6 13 2 |

2182

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

| | Irrecoverable Balances written off under Minutes of Government dated 25th April 1852 | Balance on account of Duty 1256 | Do Do Duty 1257 | Do Do Duty 1258 | Do Do Duty 1259 | Total Balance on Total of 15 Columns 15 to 18 | Arrears at the Commencement of Duty 1261 | Collections of Duty 1261 | Balance of Duty 1261 | Total of Columns 13 and 21 | Total of Columns 19 and 22 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 5 | 14 10 " | " " " | " 18 " | " " " | " " " | 18 " | 98 13 6 | 85 3 " | 13 10 6 | 85 3 " | 13 12 2 |
| 6 | 116 9 2 | 204 " " | 212 8 " | 199 8 " | 616 " " | | 836 53 | 405 2 9 | 431 2 6 | 410 4 5 | 1027 2 6 |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | 63 8 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 54 8 11 | 54 8 11 | 602 8 6 | 538 10 4 | 63 14 2 | 540 5 10 | 118 7 1 |
| | 176 " 5 | " " " | 204 1 8 | 212 8 " | 254 " 11 | 670 10 7 | 1537 11 3 | 1029 " 1 | 508 11 2 | 1035 13 3 | 1179 7 1 |

Calcutta 11th July 1852.

E. E. J. / Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector
Statement

2184 Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

| Balance proposed to be remitted | Fuslies to which the amount proposed to be remitted belong. 1257 | 1258 | 1259 | 1260 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| | 3 8 | " " " " " " " " " " " " | 3 8 " |
| | " 1 8 | " 1 8 " " " " " " " " | " " 4 |
| | 10 2 6 | " " " " " " " " " " " " | 10 2 6 |
| | 13 12 2 | " 1 8 " " " " " " " " | 13 10 6 |
| | 17 9 6 | " " " " " " " " 1 6 11 | 16 2 7 |
| | " " " " " " " " " " " " " |
| | 4 6 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 4 6 " |
| | 61 13 10 " " " " " " " " 29 " " | 32 13 10 |
| | " 9 1 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 9 1 |
| | 84 6 5 " " " " " " " " 30 6 11 | 53 15 6 |
| | 17 9 4 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 17 9 4 |
| | 7 5 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 7 5 " |
| | " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " |
| | 92 9 4 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 92 9 4 |
| | 8 9 2 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 8 9 2 |
| | " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " |
| | 8 9 2 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 8 9 2 |
| | 121 8 " " " " " " " " " " " " | 121 8 " |
| | 320 13 1 " " 1 8 " " " " 30 6 11 | 290 4 6 |

Calicut 11th July 1852 E. E. Jr. / Signed / H. Conolly Collector

J. L. B.

2185 Fort St. George 17th February 1853

57.58.

Order thereon Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Read the following letter

No 17.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

59

B. No. 335 Sir

From the Actg Collr of Madras

To Board 5th Nov. 1852 From do 2d December. Referring to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 2d December last communicating instructions regarding Calutty Pillay late Translator in this Office. I have the honor to state that this individual has produced before me the accompanying Memorandum from Dr Heller declining to grant the Certificate required by the Pension rules.

Under these circumstances, I beg the permission of the Board to offer to Calutty Pillay a situation of inferior emolument to that which he lately held.

Madras 1st February 1853 / Signed / H. Stokes Acting Collector

No 1

The bearer Callatyee Pillay Sawabnevis and Translator of the Land Custom House of Madras having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

an application for pension. I certify that I have examined him and can discover nothing to justify my granting the Certificate referred to.

28th January 1853 / Signed / J. Kellie

Madras Second District } Surgeon 2d District.

Bd. Pr. 365

Proceedings thereon. The Board permit the re-employment of Calutty Pillay as above requested.

59.60

---

Pr. 19.

To

W. A. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

61

Bd. Pr. 366

Sir

From the Acting Collr. of Madras } I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to instruct me with reference to para 2 of their Proceedings dated 20th Ultimo and para 7 of the Honorable Court's Despatch therein referred to, whether the practice of selling Salt by the Mercal is to be discontinued, or whether as appears to



Fort St. George 17th February 1853

to be more desirable, the present mode of measurement being retained, the accounts be kept in Indian Maunds.

Madras } / Signed / H. Stokes

3d February 1853 } Collector

Board Pr. 364

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue

61.62

see no objection to the Sale of Salt by the Mercal as heretofore, the accounts being kept in Indian Maunds.

---

Read the following

Statement.

Statement.

2190

Fort St. George 17th February 1853.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

No. 69.

(65)

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

B. No. 396.

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th July to 30th November 1852 of Fusly 1262 - Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1261.

A.

2. Abstract B shews a Net Decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 204-869- 10-3- resulting from a Net Decrease of Rupees 2,49,225-11-2 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net Increase of Rupees 44,356-11 in the Collections from Exstra Sources of Revenue.

Decrease in the Collections of Arrears..... 1,69,000-8-11.

Do in the Collections of Current..... 80,225-2-3.

2,49,225-11-2.

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Exstra Sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, 17th Feby. 1853.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary
General.

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

2189

Madras 15th February 1853

(63. 5x)

Arden Thomas

Signed H. Stokes
Acting Collector
Ordered to be entered.

Signed A. J. S.

Madras 9th Feby 1853. 12 Sears.

The mortgage on the ground by exhibited to the Govt was Fusly 1262 Mauzd 8th Sept. and Maunds 14: 6: 12 per Cent.

The result of the above calculation taken together to produce the total amount to be advanced on the mortgage in Fusly 1263 of Indian Govt Rupees 29 Sears, which at Maund 9-12 per Candy to Govt the gross Indian amount of Maund 9-12 be 8964, 12 Sears as shewn in the Statement yields annually 4½ per cent on the Govt Rupees 3,96,000 the mortgage of the Revenue of Fusly 1262 the mortgagee under the Kistbundy of the 2nd Fusly 1263 will receive 3½ per cent on the Govt Rupees 3,96,000 the mortgage of the Revenue of Fusly 1262 and 1 per cent on the Govt Rupees 3,96,000 the mortgage of the Revenue of Fusly 1263 and 5½ per cent on the Govt Rupees 3,96,000 the mortgage of the Revenue of Fusly 1262 and 1263 and 5½ per cent on the Govt Rupees 3,96,000 the mortgage of the Revenue of Fusly

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

ctions of Ordinary Revenue in the several Districts under the Madras Presidency from 12th July to 30th November 1852 of Fusly 1262.

Collection of arrears Land Revenue.

and current.

| | Fusly 1261. | | | Fusly 1262. | | | Increase. | | Comparison | Decrease. | | | Net. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Arrears. | Current. | Total | Arrears | Current. | Total. | Arrears | Current | Total | Arrears | Current | Total. | Increase | Decrease |
| | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| | 810 11 1 | 1,48,801 13 3 | 2,01,712 8 4 | 12,147 9 1 | 1,56,834 14 5 | 1,68,982 7 6 | " " " | 7,933 1 2 | 7,933 1 2 | 40,663 2 " | " " " | 40,663 2 " | " " " | 32,730 " 10 |
| | 499 3 6 | 1,71,372 9 " | 1,79,871 12 6 | 10,914 8 4 | 1,66,236 " 8 | 1,77,150 9 " | 2,415 4 10 | " " " | 2,415 4 10 | " " " | 6,134 8 4 | 6,136 8 4 | " " " | 3,721 3 6 |
| | 426 11 10 | 2,47,742 8 " | 2,95,169 3 10 | 55,185 13 1 | 1,54,621 9 9 | 2,09,807 6 10 | 7,759 1 3 | " " " | 7,759 1 3 | " " " | 93,120 14 3 | 93,120 14 3 | " " " | 85,361 13 " |
| | 811 2 11 | 27,867 2 7 | 1,55,678 5 6 | 41,635 10 3 | 39,817 15 6 | 81,453 9 9 | " " " | 11,950 12 11 | 11,950 12 11 | 86,145 8 8 | " " " | 86,145 8 8 | " " " | 74,224 11 9 |
| | 017 9 2 | 37,750 " 11 | 69,767 10 1 | 21,111 4 3 | 63,809 15 11 | 84,921 4 2 | " " " | 26,059 15 " | 26,059 15 " | 10,906 4 11 | " " " | 10,906 4 11 | 15,153 10 1 | " " " |
| | 153 " 6 | 70,550 2 5 | 2,41,708 2 11 | 2,19,151 2 6 | 16,339 5 4 | 2,35,490 7 10 | 47,993 2 " | " " " | 47,993 2 " | " " " | 54,210 13 1 | 54,210 13 1 | " " " | 6,217 11 1 |
| | 214 15 1 | 74,551 9 8 | 1,11,766 8 9 | 48,321 1 4 | 76,557 " 11 | 1,24,878 2 3 | 1,110 6 2 3 | 2,005 7 3 | 13,111 9 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 13,111 9 6 | " " " |
| | 499 " 8 | 64,527 4 3 | 2,37,026 4 11 | 1,30,721 9 5 | 82,578 1 9 | 2,63,299 11 2 | 8,222 8 9 | 18,050 13 6 | 26,273 6 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 26,273 6 3 | " " " |
| | 659 " 6 | 2,96,301 5 5 | 3,50,960 12 11 | 79,866 4 11 | 2,87,865 2 6 | 3,67,731 7 5 | 25,006 13 5 | " " " | 25,006 13 5 | " " " | 8,436 2 11 | 8,436 2 11 | 16,570 10 6 | " " " |
| | 234 9 1 | 1,95,896 8 8 | 5,25,131 1 9 | 2,61,493 10 11 | 1,36,439 11 " | 3,97,938 5 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 67,735 11 2 | 59,456 13 8 | 1,27,192 11 10 | " " " | 1,27,192 11 10 |
| | 342 3 6 | 46,734 9 4 | 1,84,076 12 10 | 15,963 3 8 | 92,220 4 2 | 2,51,843 7 10 | 22,311 " 2 | 45,485 10 10 | 67,796 11 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 67,796 11 " | " " " |
| | 630 15 1 | 1,22,710 5 7 | 1,88,341 4 8 | 79,931 11 11 | 1,28,802 14 5 | 2,08,734 10 4 | 14,300 12 10 | 609 2 8 10 | 20,393 5 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 20,393 5 8 | " " " |
| | 722 9 3 | 2,81,344 13 " | 2,98,067 6 3 | 29,373 1 6 | 2,79,329 3 9 | 3,08,707 5 3 | 12,655 8 3 | " " " | 12,655 8 3 | " " " | 2,015 9 3 | 2,015 9 3 | 10,639 15 " | " " " |
| | 410 6 11 | 1,89,934 8 7 | 2,39,344 15 6 | 47,597 3 9 | 2,17,216 15 " | 2,64,813 5 2 | " " " | 27,281 3 10 | 27,281 3 10 | 1,813 3 2 | " " " | 1,813 3 2 | 25,468 5 8 | " " " |
| | 274 11 6 | " " " | 47,274 " 6 | 23,912 4 11 | " " " | 23,912 4 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 23,362 6 7 | " " " | 23,362 6 7 | " " " | 23,362 6 7 |
| | 655 12 2 | " " " | 132,655 12 2 | 26,587 1 2 | " " " | 26,587 1 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 106,068 11 " | " " " | 106,068 11 " | " " " | 106,068 11 " |
| | 14 13 8 | 92,229 2 " | 109,543 15 8 | 23,876 7 4 | 93,399 10 3 | 1,17,276 1 7 | 6,561 9 8 | 1,170 8 3 | 7,732 1 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 7,732 1 11 | " " " |
| | 405 1 10 | 658 " " | 16,363 1 10 | 18,145 8 4 | 583 " " | 18,728 8 4 | 2,440 6 6 | " " " | 2,440 6 6 | " " " | 475 " " | 475 " " | 2,365 6 6 | " " " |
| | 93 " 7 | 2,25,777 3 " | 2,32,680 3 7 | 7,937 14 " | 2,21,810 7 7 | 2,29,548 5 7 | 1,034 13 5 | " " " | 1,034 13 5 | " " " | 4,166 11 5 | 4,166 11 5 | " " " | 3,131 14 " |
| | 71 13 7 | 15,586 11 " | 17,858 8 7 | 2,817 1 6 | 15,444 2 4 | 18,261 3 10 | 545 3 11 | " " " | 545 3 11 | " " " | 142 8 8 | 142 8 8 | 402 11 3 | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 864 9 9 | 10,320 6 8 9 | 11,707 2 6 | 5,942 7 8 | 10,471 2 6 | 11,065 4 2 | " " " | 150 5 8 9 | 150 5 8 9 | 7,922 2 1 | " " " | 7,922 2 1 | " " " | 6,416 9 4 |
| | 427 9 2 | 24,13,642 13 5 | 39,52,070 6 7 | 13,56,132 11 10 | 23,33,417 11 2 | 36,89,550 " 7 | 1,62,352 3 3 | 1,47,535 15 4 | 309,888 6 7 | 34,647 4 7 | 2,27,761 1 7 | 5,72,408 6 2 | 2,05,907 13 4 | 4,68,427 12 11 |
| | " " " | " " " | 1,737 2 3 | " " " | " " " | 30,665 6 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 10,873 15 9 | 6,584 11 4 |
| | " " " | " " " | 39,69,441 8 10 | " " " | " " " | 37,20,215 13 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 2,25,786 13 1 | 4,75,012 8 3 |
| | | | 22,43,349 11 11 | | | | | | | | | | Dr. Increase | 2,25,786 13 1 |
| | | | 17,26,091 12 11 | | | 18,71,008 13 " | | | | | | | Net Decrease | 2,49,225 11 2 |
| | | | | | | 18,49,204 " 8 | | | | | | | | |

A. General Statement shewing the Collections of Ordinary

Fusly 1261.

| | | Arrears. Rs | a | p | Current. Rs | a | p | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 |
| 1 | Zillah of Ganjam | 52,810 | 11 | 1 | 1,48,901 | 13 | 3 | 201 |
| 2 | Vizagapatam | 8,499 | 3 | 6 | 1,71,372 | 9 | - | 179 |
| 3 | Rajahmundry | 47,426 | 11 | 10 | 2,47,742 | 8 | - | 295 |
| 4 | Masulipatam | 1,27,811 | 2 | 11 | 2,78,67 | 2 | 7 | 153 |
| 5 | Guntoor (including Palnaud) | 32,014 | 9 | 2 | 37,750 | - | 11 | 69 |
| 6 | Chinglepat | 1,71,158 | - | 6 | 7,05,50 | 2 | 5 | 241 |
| 7 | Salem (including Balaghaut) | 39,214 | 15 | 1 | 74,551 | 9 | 8 | 113 |
| 8 | Madura | 17,2499 | - | 8 | 64,527 | 4 | 3 | 23 |
| 9 | Nellore | 54,659 | 7 | 6 | 2,96,301 | 5 | 5 | 351 |
| 10 | Northern Division of Arcot | 3,29,234 | 9 | 1 | 1,95,396 | 8 | 8 | 525 |
| 11 | Southern Division of Arcot | 1,37,342 | 3 | 6 | 46,734 | 9 | 4 | 184 |
| 12 | Tanjore | 65,630 | 15 | 1 | 1,22,710 | 5 | 7 | 188 |
| 13 | Trichinopoly | 16,722 | 9 | 3 | 2,81,344 | 13 | - | 299 |
| 14 | Tinnevelly | 49,410 | 6 | 11 | 1,89,934 | 8 | 7 | 239 |
| 15 | Bellary | 47,274 | 11 | 6 | " | " | " | 47 |
| 16 | Cuddapah | 1,32,655 | 12 | 2 | " | " | " | 132 |
| 17 | Coimbatore | 17,314 | 13 | 8 | 92,229 | 2 | " | 109 |
| 18 | Canara | 15,705 | 1 | 10 | 658 | " | " | 16 |
| 19 | Malabar | 6,903 | " | 7 | 2,25,777 | 3 | - | 232 |
| 20 | Madras. Land Customs | 2,271 | 13 | 7 | 15,586 | 11 | - | 17 |
| | Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| | Sea Customs | " | " | " | " | " | " | |
| 21 | Kurnool | 13864 | 9 | 9 | 10,320 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| | Total | 15,38,424 | 9 | 2 | 24,13,642 | 13 | 5 | 3905 |
| | Add Old Balances | " | " | " | " | " | " | 17 |
| | Grand Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3906 |
| | Deduct Collections to the end of October | | | | | | | 2243 |
| | Collections in November | | | | | | | 1720 |

Indian Mails 9th 12th 23d. 12 hours.
The wastage on the quantity
exhausted from the granaries was Indian
Mails 5th 8th & 20th Indian Mails
11th 12 hours Cent.
The results of Mails & above taken
together produce the Old Account
for wastage on the quantity
Indian Mails 5th 12th 19th 23d 12 hours
as shewn in the Statement given
merely for the Cent per Cent
Old Account
Mails 12th 17th 20 hours which
are the quantity to be
Indian Mails 5th 12th 19th 23d 12 hours
as shewn in the Statement given
for the wastage of the Re-
ceiving Tanks
the Old wastage on the
Mails 5th 8th 12th 20th 23d
3d 4th and 5th Nov 1851
for the quantity to be
for publication
has been given
Collectors Lists to the
ending the 22d January 1852

Fort St. George 11th February 1853

Madras 15th February 1853

Accountant General

Examined

Signed H. Stokes
Acting Collector

Signed J. Lloyd
Assistant

2189

Fort St. George

17th February 1853

Salt.

| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262. | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps |
| 1 | 35911 2 - | 39030 12 6 | 3119 10 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 2 | 55390 5 - | 62258 4 - | 6867 15 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 3 | 70359 " - | 70208 9 3 | " " " | 150 6 9 | 639 8 8 | 984 13 1 | " " " | " " " |
| 4 | 24386 9 3 | 28195 7 9 | 3808 14 6 | " " " | 15474 11 5 | 9487 1 5 | " " " | " " " |
| 5 | 72192 4 10 | 105362 5 3 | 33170 " 5 | " " " | 573 6 6 | 843 3 8 | " " " | " " " |
| 6 | 14656 5 3 | 50410 5 6 | " " " | 9655 15 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 8 | 139438 14 5 | 165042 " 6 | 25553 1 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | 139892 " 11 | 138155 6 5 | " " " | 1736 10 6 | 141 " 10 | 85 13 11 | " " " | " " " |
| 10 | 2761 8 " | " " " | " " " | 2761 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 11 | 120879 13 5 | 140799 15 1 | 19920 1 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 12 | 21938 9 6 4 | 20695 2 " 4 | " " " | 1243 7 6 " | 1827 3 8 9 | 2469 15 4 | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 1100 9 1 3 | 1229 2 11 3 | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | 11480 6 9 8 " | 14514 2 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 2927 8 " | 1773 10 10 | 1486 4 10 6 | " " " | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 956 14 8 | 220 4 10 3 | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 18 | 67840 3 " | 60059 6 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 19 | 82303 15 11 | 98500 8 11 | 15696 9 " | 7780 12 9 | 501 6 3 | 492 7 " | " " " | " " " |
| 20 | 131715 " " | 195388 15 3 | 63673 15 3 | " " " | 9 2 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 95 4 9 | 101 9 6 | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 1457646 " 4 | 1505506 " 6 | 171810 3 11 | 123950 3 9 | 6843 7 2 11 | 7221 12 6 | 1331 9 2 6 | 9586 8 11 |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 1457646 " 4 | 1505506 " 6 | 171810 3 11 | 123950 3 9 | 6843 7 2 11 | 7221 12 6 | 1331 9 2 6 | 9586 8 11 |
| | | | 123950 3 9 | Dt. Decrease | | | 9586 8 11 | Dt. Decrease |
| | | | 47860 " 2 | Net Increase | | | 3732 9 7 | Net Increase |
| | 1139314 4 8 | 1172427 4 8 | | | | | | |
| | 318331 11 8 | 330781 11 10 | | | 55356 4 3 | 53699 15 " | | |
| | | | | | 1320 11 8 | 1851 9 6 | | |

Ab Karry

| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262. | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps | Rs a ps |
| | 18408 8 8 | 23679 " 5 | 5270 7 9 | " " " |
| | 19242 10 8 | 15722 6 9 | " " " | 3520 3 11 |
| 295 4 5 | 33800 2 11 | 25153 14 1 | " " " | 8646 4 10 |
| " " " | 11152 12 7 | 12126 12 2 | 973 15 7 | " " " |
| 269 13 2 | 4788 " " | 4491 3 9 | " " " | 296 12 3 |
| " " " | 19912 1 " | 20882 14 10 | 970 13 10 | " " " |
| " " " | 29657 " " | 32106 " " | 2449 " " | " " " |
| " " " | 18984 " " | 19816 13 4 | 852 13 4 | " " " |
| " " " | 9978 10 8 | 9768 6 10 | " " " | 210 3 10 |
| " " " | 46857 " " | 55174 7 6 | 8317 7 6 | " " " |
| 1196 6 7 | 28024 8 2 | 34051 15 8 | 6027 7 6 | " " " |
| 1283 10 " | 37962 8 " | 32530 7 8 | " " " | 5432 " 4 |
| " " " | 9910 5 3 | 8784 " 3 | " " " | 226 5 " |
| " " " | 2907 4 | 8239 " " | 5703 " " | 2536 " " |
| 247 11 7 | 101131 13 " | 97095 10 " | " " " | 4036 3 " |
| " " " | 37994 5 4 | 31349 " " | " " " | 6645 5 4 |
| " " " | 15495 " " | 21190 " " | 5695 " " | " " " |
| " " " | 11 15 3 | 22875 11 9 | 2317 6 1 1 | 300 5 4 |
| " " " | 9 2 11 | 18068 7 6 | 21394 " 9 | 3325 9 3 | " " " |
| 26 4 9 | " " " | 204204 14 8 | 222283 9 5 | 18073 10 9 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | 615 9 6 | 24582 2 1 | 22898 " " | " " " | 1684 2 1 |
| 1331 9 2 6 | 9586 8 11 | 720349 10 3 | 739377 12 6 | 52261 10 10 | 33233 8 7 |
| " " " | " " " | 61 11 6 | 22 12 " | " " " | 38 15 6 |
| 1331 9 2 6 | 9586 8 11 | 720411 5 9 | 739400 8 6 | 52261 10 10 | 33242 8 1 |
| 9586 8 11 | Dt. Decrease | | | 33242 8 1 | Dt. Decrease |
| 3732 9 7 | Net Increase | | | 18989 2 9 | Net Increase |
| | | 556393 1 1 | 569093 1 5 | | |
| | | 164018 4 8 | 170307 7 1 | | |

# Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Sundry small farms and Licenses.

| | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | | Increase | | Decrease | | Fusly 1261 | | Fusly 1262 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 28 | | 29 | | 30 | | 31 | | 32 | | 33 | |
| | Rs | a p | Rs | a p | Rs | a p | Rs | a p | Rs | a p | Rs | a p |
| 1 | 999 | 4 - | 1,219 | 4 5 | 220 | - 5 | - | - - | 3,732 | 12 10 | 4,450 | 5 4 |
| 2 | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - | 3,517 | 4 1 | 3,573 | 10 10 |
| 3 | 4,631 | - - | 5,494 | 1 1 | 863 | 1 1 | - | - - | 22,333 | 5 8 | 23,232 | 15 1 |
| 4 | 602 | 15 1 | 176 | 2 - | - | - - | 426 | 13 1 | 3,526 | 9 4 | 529 | 4 2 |
| 5 | 156 | - - | 900 | - - | 744 | - - | - | - - | 21,774 | 12 9 | 22,894 | 8 11 |
| 6 | 8,278 | 9 2 | 7,716 | 1 5 | - | - - | 562 | 7 9 | 7,202 | 11 9 | 6,138 | 10 11 |
| 7 | 2,508 | 11 2 | 2,778 | 13 7 | 270 | 2 5 | - | - - | 18,434 | 2 10 | 20,457 | 11 5 |
| 8 | 2,181 | 3 7 | 2,398 | 1 2 | 216 | 13 7 | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - |
| 9 | 294 | 3 5 | 380 | 10 - | 86 | 6 7 | - | - - | 14,060 | - - | 15,114 | - 5 |
| 10 | 3,654 | 8 - | 1,967 | 7 5 | - | - - | 1,687 | - 7 | 12,332 | 15 6 | 6,923 | 13 10 |
| 11 | 3,399 | 11 1 | 3,436 | 3 - | 36 | 7 11 | - | - - | 11,941 | 7 10 | 13,708 | 13 10 |
| 12 | 7,157 | 13 1 | 7,492 | 2 7 | 334 | 5 6 | - | - - | 18,103 | 5 10 | 18,047 | 6 6 |
| 13 | 1,307 | 15 10 | 1,483 | 9 5 | 175 | 9 7 | - | - - | 3,758 | 11 6 | 4,600 | 9 2 |
| 14 | 12,337 | - 3 | 2,418 | 11 10 | - | - - | 9,918 | 4 5 | 26,527 | 12 1 | 26,118 | 3 4 |
| 15 | 1,325 | 1 7 | 541 | - 5 | - | - - | 784 | 1 2 | 5,707 | 6 9 | 2,867 | 1 6 |
| 16 | 2,833 | 8 9 | 1,126 | 13 7 | - | - - | 1,706 | 11 2 | 7,872 | 1 10 | 2,244 | 2 4 |
| 17 | 99 | - - | 97 | 14 9 | - | - - | - | 1 3 | 5,240 | 8 7 | 4,364 | 5 3 |
| 18 | 2,931 | 6 9 | 14,515 | 8 9 | 11,584 | 2 - | - | - - | 565 | 15 4 | 821 | 7 - |
| 19 | 11,499 | 12 8 | 12,801 | 7 4 | 1,301 | 10 8 | - | - - | 23,210 | 14 8 | 22,566 | 8 9 |
| 20 | 4,052 | 2 - | 3,797 | 14 1 | - | - - | 254 | 3 11 | - | - - | - | - - |
| | 10,350 | 10 3 | 11,421 | 4 7 | 1,070 | 10 4 | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - |
| | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - | - | - - |
| 21 | 123 | 12 3 | 45 | 6 6 | - | - - | 78 | 5 9 | 17,959 | 6 2 | 19,576 | 9 4 |
| | 80,724 | 4 11 | 82,208 | 9 11 | 16,903 | 6 1 | 15,419 | 1 1 | 2,27,802 | 5 4 | 2,18,320 | 5 11 |
| | 108 | 15 1 | 139 | 12 4 | 78 | 2 5 | 47 | 5 2 | 224 | 10 4 | 718 | 11 - |
| | 80,833 | 4 - | 82,348 | 6 3 | 16,981 | 8 6 | 15,466 | 6 3 | 2,28,026 | 15 8 | 2,19,038 | 14 11 |
| | | | | | 15,466 | 6 3 | Dt. Decrease | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1,515 | 2 3 | Net Increase | | | | | |
| | 59,856 | 8 4 | 47,047 | 7 8 | | | | | 99,326 | - 6 | 87,716 | 13 4 |
| | 20,976 | 11 8 | 35,300 | 14 7 | | | | | 1,28,700 | 15 2 | 1,31,322 | 1 7 |

Moturpha. Sea Customs.

| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
| Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 717 8 6 | - - - | 4,300 2 - | 8,645 1 8 | 4,344 15 8 | - - - |
| 56 6 9 | - - - | 4,050 14 5 | 4,207 6 5 | 156 8 - | - - - |
| 899 9 5 | - - - | 16,781 14 - | 18,826 2 1 | 2,044 4 1 | - - - |
| - - - | 2,997 5 2 | 7 6 10 | 249 8 7 | 242 1 9 | - - - |
| 1,119 12 2 | - - - | 468 11 8 | 18 - 9 | - - - | 450 10 11 |
| - - - | 10,64 - 10 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 2,023 8 7 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | - - - | 11,410 - 10 | 1,502 1 - | - - - | 1,388 15 10 |
| 10,54 - 5 | - - - | 184 9 2 | - - - | - - - | 184 9 2 |
| - - - | 5,409 1 8 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 1,857 6 - | - - - | 11,024 4 2 | 9,884 2 10 | - - - | 1,140 1 4 |
| - - - | 55 15 4 | 74,853 13 2 | 71,460 12 4 | - - - | 3,393 - 10 |
| 841 13 8 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | 409 8 9 | 8,229 4 6 | 7,872 6 11 | - - - | 356 13 7 |
| - - - | 2,840 - 3 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | 5,627 15 6 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | 876 3 4 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 255 7 8 | - - - | 2,289 15 11 | 990 - 8 | - - - | 1,299 15 3 |
| - - - | 644 5 11 | 3,974 15 10 | 7,025 9 4 | 3,050 9 6 | - - - |
| - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| - - - | - - - | 1,76,103 6 9 | 1,93,188 4 5 | 17,084 13 8 | - - - |
| 1,617 3 2 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 10,742 12 4 | 19,924 13 9 | 3,13,679 7 3 | 3,32,388 9 - | 26,923 4 8 | 8,214 2 4 |
| 582 6 4 | 88 5 8 | - - - | - - - | - - - | - - - |
| 11,025 2 8 | 20,013 3 5 | 3,13,679 7 3 | | 26,923 4 8 | 8,214 2 11 |
| Dt. Increase | 11,025 2 8 | | | 8,214 2 11 | Dt. Decrease |
| Net Decrease | 8,988 - 9 | | | 18,709 1 9 | Net Increase |
| | | 2,71,504 10 11 | 2,82,536 - 10 | | |
| | | 42,174 12 4 | 49,832 8 2 | | |

# Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

## Tobacco Stamps.

| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,181 9 6 | 6,449 3 6 | 267 10 " | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,205 " " | 6,945 4 " | " " " | 159 12 " |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,190 8 " | 5,832 12 " | " " " | 357 12 " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4,598 4 " | 4,418 " " | " " " | 180 4 " |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3,163 9 " | 3,496 " " | 332 7 " | " " " |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 5,067 3 " | 4,734 8 " | " " " | 332 11 " |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 9,313 12 " | 8,829 8 " | " " " | 484 4 " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 12,262 7 " | 14,781 2 " | 2,518 11 " | " " " |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 5,760 " " | 6,451 12 " | 691 12 " | " " " |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 10,693 12 " | 9,349 5 " | " " " | 1344 7 " |
| 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6,821 2 6 | 10,725 10 6 | 3,904 8 " | " " " |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 26,950 " " | 28,270 12 " | 2,220 12 " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 9,252 8 " | 10,198 4 " | 945 12 " | " " " |
| 14 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 12,306 8 " | 9,863 4 " | " " " | 2443 4 " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 33,926 12 " | 7,066 12 " | " " " | 26860 " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 11,029 12 " | 11,274 " " | 244 4 " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 8,019 8 " | 9,264 " " | 1244 8 " | " " " |
| 18 | 89,631 6 " | 80,189 " " | " " " | 9,342 6 " | 13,387 9 6 | 19,983 4 " | 1595 10 6 | " " " |
| 19 | 192,222 14 8 | 184,359 12 10 | " " " | 7,863 1 10 | 25,309 " " | 24,330 13 6 | " " " | 978 2 6 |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3,653 9 6 | 2,607 12 6 | " " " | 1045 13 " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 889 12 " | 853 12 " | " " " | 36 " " |
| | 281,754 4 8 | 264,548 12 10 | " " " | 17,205 7 10 | 225,082 2 " | 204,825 11 " | 13,965 14 6 | 34,222 5 6 |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | 281,754 4 8 | 264,548 12 10 | " " " | 17,205 7 10 | 225,082 2 " | 204,825 11 " | 13,965 14 6 | 34,222 5 6 |

Dt Increase 13,965 14 6

Net Decrease 20,256 7 "

204,892 15 5 | 190,772 10 8

176,945 10 6 | 160,193 15 "

13,861 5 3 | 64,776 2 2

48,136 7 6 | 44,631 12 "

## Total of the Extra branches of Revenue.

| | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease | Net Increase | Net Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 48 | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| | 69,533 7 " | 83,473 4 10 | 13,940 4 10 | " " " | 13,940 4 10 | " " " |
| | 88,406 2 2 | 91,807 " " | 7,080 13 9 | 3,679 15 11 | 3,400 13 10 | " " " |
| | 154,785 7 3 | 149,733 2 8 | 4,102 3 " | 9,154 7 7 | " " " | 5,052 4 7 |
| | 59,749 4 6 | 55,182 4 1 | 5,024 15 10 | 9,592 " 3 | " " " | 4,567 " 5 |
| | 103,116 12 9 | 138,005 6 4 | 35,636 " 9 | 744 7 2 | 34,888 9 7 | " " " |
| | 187,026 14 2 | 89,882 8 8 | 970 13 10 | 98,115 3 4 | " " " | 97,144 5 6 |
| | 59,913 10 " | 64,172 1 " | 4,742 11 " | 484 4 " | 4,258 7 " | " " " |
| | 184,447 10 8 | 212,144 15 5 | 29,141 7 6 | 1,444 2 9 | 27,697 4 9 | " " " |
| | 170,169 8 2 | 169,870 3 8 | 1,832 3 " | 2,131 7 6 | " " " | 299 4 6 |
| | 76,299 11 6 | 73,415 1 9 | 8,317 7 6 | 11,202 1 3 | " " " | 2,884 9 9 |
| | 200,364 7 11 | 242,166 12 3 | 42,942 5 8 | 1,140 1 4 | 41,802 4 4 | " " " |
| | 394,525 15 8 | 377,046 4 8 | 3,838 11 6 | 21,318 6 6 | " " " | 17,479 11 " |
| | 23,329 8 7 | 25,066 6 10 | 1,963 3 3 | 226 5 " | 1,736 14 3 | " " " |
| | 233,480 11 8 | 211,982 4 7 | " " " | 21,498 7 1 | " " " | 21,498 7 1 |
| | 144,048 " " | 109,775 2 2 | 247 11 7 | 34,520 9 5 | " " " | 34,272 13 10 |
| | 59,729 11 11 | 45,993 15 11 | 244 4 " | 13,980 " " | " " " | 13,735 12 " |
| | 28,854 " 7 | 34,916 4 " | 6,939 8 " | 877 4 7 | 6,062 3 5 | " " " |
| | 204,925 10 6 | 200,227 2 9 | 13,735 9 6 | 18,435 1 3 | " " " | 4,699 7 9 |
| | 354,099 4 2 | 370,978 13 5 | 23,374 6 5 | 9,494 13 2 | 13,879 9 3 | " " " |
| | 343,700 14 11 | 424,179 12 9 | 81,778 14 9 | 1,300 " 11 | 80,473 13 10 | " " " |
| | 10,350 10 3 | 11,421 4 7 | 1,070 10 4 | " " " | 1,070 10 4 | " " " |
| | 176,103 6 9 | 193,188 4 5 | 17,084 13 8 | " " " | 17,084 13 8 | " " " |
| | 45,563 6 7 | 44,766 8 5 | 1,617 3 2 | 2,414 1 4 | " " " | 796 14 2 |
| | 3,375,525 5 8 | 3,419,395 8 2 | 305,626 6 10 | 261,756 4 4 | 246,300 13 1 | 202,430 10 7 |
| | 395 4 11 | 881 3 4 | " " " | " " " | 485 14 5 | " " " |
| | 3,375,920 10 7 | 3,420,276 11 6 | " " " | " " " | 246,786 11 6 | 202,430 10 7 |

202,430 10 7 Dt Decrease

44,356 " 11 Net Increase

2,566,589 7 8 | 2,547,507 4 7

809,331 2 11 | 872,769 6 11

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue.

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Net Increase | | | Net Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 54 | | | 55 | | | 56 | | | 57 | | | 58 | | | 59 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 2,71,245 | 15 | 4 | 2,52,456 | 3 | 4 | 13,940 | 4 | 10 | 32,730 | " | 10 | " | " | " | 18,789 | 12 | " |
| 2 | 2,68,277 | 14 | 8 | 2,67,957 | 9 | " | 7,080 | 13 | 9 | 7,401 | 3 | 5 | " | " | " | 320 | 5 | 8 |
| 3 | 4,49,954 | 11 | 1 | 3,59,540 | 9 | 6 | 4,102 | 3 | " | 94,516 | 4 | 7 | " | " | " | 90,414 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 2,15,427 | 10 | " | 1,36,635 | 13 | 10 | 5,024 | 15 | 10 | 83,816 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 78,791 | 12 | 2 |
| 5 | 1,72,834 | 6 | 10 | 2,22,926 | 10 | 6 | 50,787 | 10 | 10 | 7,447 | 7 | 2 | 50,042 | 3 | 8 | " | " | " |
| 6 | 4,28,735 | 1 | 1 | 3,25,373 | " | 6 | 9,770 | 13 | 10 | 1,04,332 | 14 | 5 | " | " | " | 10,3362 | " | 7 |
| 7 | 1,71,680 | 2 | 9 | 1,89,050 | 3 | 3 | 17,854 | 4 | 6 | 484 | 4 | " | 17,370 | " | 6 | " | " | " |
| 8 | 4,21,473 | 15 | 7 | 4,75,444 | 10 | 7 | 55,414 | 13 | 9 | 1,444 | 2 | 9 | 53,970 | 11 | " | " | " | " |
| 9 | 5,21,130 | 5 | 1 | 5,37,401 | 11 | 1 | 18,402 | 13 | 6 | 2,131 | 7 | 6 | 16,271 | 6 | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | 6,01,430 | 13 | 3 | 4,71,353 | 7 | 8 | 8,317 | 7 | 6 | 1,38,394 | 13 | 1 | " | " | " | 1,30,077 | 5 | 7 |
| 11 | 3,84,441 | 4 | 9 | 4,94,040 | 4 | 1 | 110,739 | " | 8 | 1,140 | 1 | 4 | 109,598 | 15 | 4 | " | " | " |
| 12 | 5,82,867 | 4 | 4 | 5,85,780 | 15 | " | 24,232 | 1 | 2 | 21,318 | 6 | 6 | 2,913 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " |
| 13 | 3,21,396 | 14 | 10 | 3,33,773 | 12 | 1 | 12,603 | 2 | 3 | 226 | 5 | " | 12,376 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " |
| 14 | 4,72,825 | 11 | 2 | 4,76,795 | 9 | 9 | 25,468 | 5 | 8 | 21,498 | 7 | 1 | 3,969 | 14 | 7 | " | " | " |
| 15 | 19,322 | 11 | 6 | 1,33,687 | 7 | 1 | 247 | 11 | 7 | 57,883 | " | " | " | " | " | 57,635 | 4 | 5 |
| 16 | 1,92,385 | 8 | 1 | 72,581 | 1 | 1 | 244 | 4 | " | 1,20,048 | 11 | " | " | " | " | 119,804 | 7 | " |
| 17 | 1,38,398 | " | 3 | 1,52,192 | 5 | 7 | 14,641 | 9 | 11 | 877 | 4 | 7 | 13,794 | 5 | 4 | " | " | " |
| 18 | 22,289 | 12 | 4 | 2,18,955 | 11 | 1 | 16,101 | " | " | 18,435 | 1 | 3 | " | " | " | 2,334 | 1 | 3 |
| 19 | 5,89,779 | 7 | 9 | 6,00,523 | " | 23,374 | 6 | 5 | 12,626 | 11 | 2 | 10,747 | 11 | 3 | " | " | " | |
| 20 | 3,61,559 | 7 | 6 | 4,42,441 | " | 7 | 82,181 | 10 | " | 1,300 | " | 11 | 80,881 | 9 | 1 | " | " | " |
| | 10,350 | 10 | 3 | 11,421 | 4 | 7 | 1,070 | 10 | 4 | " | " | " | 1,070 | 10 | 4 | " | " | " |
| | 176,103 | 6 | 9 | 193,188 | 4 | 5 | 17,084 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " | 17,084 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " |
| 21 | 1,62,634 | 9 | 1 | 1,55,421 | 1 | 7 | 1,617 | 3 | 2 | 8,830 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " | 7,213 | 7 | 6 |
| | 73,27,595 | 12 | 3 | 71,08,945 | 15 | 2 | 5,11,534 | 4 | 2 | 7,30,184 | 1 | 3 | 39,0092 | 12 | 8 | 6,08,742 | 9 | 9 |
| | 17,766 | 7 | 2 | 31,546 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 20,324 | 11 | " | 6,604 | 8 | 2 |
| | 73,45,362 | 3 | 5 | 71,40,492 | 9 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4,10,477 | 7 | 8 | 6,15,347 | 1 | 11 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Dr Increase | | | 4,10,477 | 7 | 8 |
| | 48,09,939 | 3 | 7 | 44,43,516 | 1 | 7 | | | | | | | Net Decrease | | | 2,04,869 | 10 | 3 |
| | 25,35,422 | 15 | 10 | 26,96,976 | 3 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

J.G.B.

Collections of Old Balances.

Land Revenue

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Sayer | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
| | 60 | | | 61 | | | 62 | | | 63 | | | 64 | | | 65 | | | 66 | | | 67 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| | 5,900 | 7 | 1 | 883 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 5,016 | 11 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 783 | 7 | " | 2,849 | 5 | 10 | 2,065 | 14 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 104 | 12 | 5 | 3,576 | 12 | 1 | 3,471 | 15 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1,284 | 7 | 8 | 825 | 4 | " | " | " | " | 459 | 3 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 183 | 12 | 2 | 376 | 5 | 8 | 192 | 9 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 162 | 6 | 11 | 140 | 4 | 1 | " | " | " | 21 | 7 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 512 | 2 | 4 | 2,864 | 7 | 3 | 2,352 | 4 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 742 | 9 | 1 | 803 | 3 | 11 | 60 | 10 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1,733 | 14 | " | 3,379 | 4 | 8 | 1,646 | " | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1,342 | 6 | 5 | 7,372 | " | 4 | 6,027 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 8 | 15 | 5 | 1,595 | 1 | 1 | 1,586 | 1 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 65 | 5 | 11 | 1,105 | 6 | 4 | 340 | " | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 369 | 8 | 1 | 232 | 14 | 8 | " | " | " | 136 | 9 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 659 | 10 | 3 | 1,174 | 3 | 5 | 514 | 9 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 813 | 11 | 1 | 1,750 | 8 | 10 | 936 | 13 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 229 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 5 | " | " | " | 218 | 9 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 73 | 13 | 5 | 183 | 1 | 9 | 109 | 4 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 728 | " | 4 | 1,303 | " | 5 | 575 | " | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 1,669 | 14 | " | 937 | 11 | 11 | " | " | " | 732 | 2 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 17,341 | 2 | 3 | 30,663 | 6 | 8 | 10,878 | 15 | 9 | 6,534 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |

# Fort St. George

17th February 1863.

## Abkary

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 68 | | | 69 | | | 70 | | | 71 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 61 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 38 | 10 | 2 |
| 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | " | 5 | 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 5 | 4 | |
| 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 61 | 11 | 6 | 22 | 12 | " | " | " | " | 38 | 15 | 6 |

## Sundry Small Farms and Licenses

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 72 | | | 73 | | | 74 | | | 75 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | 61 | 9 | 11 | 130 | 3 | 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | 12 | 4 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 12 | 4 | 3 |
| 9 | " | 11 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 11 | 9 |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | 34 | 5 | 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 34 | 5 | 2 |
| 17 | " | " | " | 9 | 8 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 108 | 15 | 1 | 139 | 12 | 4 | | | | | | |

## Motupha

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 76 | | | 77 | | | 78 | | | 79 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 61 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 5 | " | " | " | " | 58 | 9 | 2 |
| 2 | " | " | " | 7 | 12 | " | 7 | 12 | " | " | " | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | 31 | 4 | " | 31 | 4 | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | " | 3 | " | 8 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 9 | " | " | " |
| 5 | " | 4 | " | 7 | " | 11 | 3 | " | 6 | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | 29 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 9 | " | " | 19 | 8 | 7 |
| 7 | 68 | 9 | 7 | " | " | " | 3 | 2 | 11 | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | 12 | 4 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 9 | " | " | " | " | 11 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | 1 | 4 | 3 | 38 | 13 | 5 | 34 | 9 | 2 | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4 | " | " | " | " |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | " | 14 | 13 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 | " | " | 10 | 3 | 11 |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | 34 | 5 | 2 | 34 | 2 | 10 | 68 | 4 | 7 | 31 | 1 | 9 |
| 17 | " | " | " | 44 | 9 | 6 | 124 | 1 | 7 | 79 | 8 | 1 |
| 18 | 9 | 8 | 10 | " | " | " | 342 | 7 | 10 | 342 | 7 | 10 |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 8 | " | " | 8 | " |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1 | 11 | 6 | 7 | " | 2 |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 178 | 25 | | 147 | 5 | 2 | 224 | 10 | 4 | 718 | 11 | " |

| | Increase | | | Decrease | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 80 | | | 81 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 582 | 6 | 4 | 88 | 5 | 8 |

Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

Tobacco

| | Fusly 1261 | | | Fusly 1262 | | | Increase | | | Decrease | | | Total Fusly 1261 | | | Total Fusly 1262 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 80 | | | 81 | | | 82 | | | 83 | | | 84 | | | 85 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 6923 | 11 | 5 | 909 | 13 | " |
| 2 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | " | " | " | 7 | 12 | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 783 | 7 | " | 2880 | 9 | 10 |
| 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | " | 8 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 9 |
| 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 108 | 12 | 10 | 3583 | 13 | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 1375 | 8 | 11 | 965 | 6 | 3 |
| 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 213 | 8 | 8 | 397 | " | 10 |
| 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 163 | 1 | 8 | 140 | 14 | 1 |
| 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 513 | 6 | 7 | 2903 | 4 | 8 |
| 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 742 | 9 | 1 | 803 | 7 | 11 |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 1751 | 11 | 1 | 3387 | 7 | 10 |
| 12 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 1344 | 6 | 5 | 7372 | " | 4 |
| 13 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 8 | 15 | 5 | 1695 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 65 | 5 | 11 | 405 | 6 | 4 |
| 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 441 | " | 1 | 301 | 3 | 3 |
| 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 704 | 3 | 9 | 1307 | 13 | 10 |
| 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 813 | 11 | 1 | 2093 | " | 8 |
| 18 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 229 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 5 |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 75 | 8 | 11 | 190 | 1 | 11 |
| 20 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 728 | " | 4 | 1803 | " | 5 |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 1678 | 14 | " | 978 | 3 | 7 |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | 17766 | 7 | 2 | 31546 | 10 | " |

Grand Total of Collections.

| Increase | Decrease | Fusly 1261 | Fusly 1262 | Increase | Decrease |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 |
| Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| " " " | 5113 14 5 | 377269 10 9 | 253366 " 4 | " " " | 23903 10 5 |
| " " " | " " " | 268277 14 8 | 267965 5 " | " " " | 312 9 8 |
| 7 12 " | " " " | 450738 2 1 | 362421 3 4 | " " " | 88316 14 9 |
| 2097 2 10 | " " " | 215428 2 4 | 136640 11 7 | " " " | 78783 6 9 |
| 8 5 5 | " " " | 172993 3 8 | 226510 7 6 | 53514 3 10 | " " " |
| 3475 " 2 | " " " | 430110 10 " | 326338 6 9 | " " " | 103772 3 3 |
| " " " | 410 2 8 | 171893 11 5 | 139447 4 1 | 17353 8 8 | " " " |
| 183 8 2 | " " " | 421637 1 3 | 475585 8 8 | 53948 7 5 | " " " |
| " " " | 22 3 7 | 521643 11 8 | 574304 15 9 | 18661 4 1 | " " " |
| 2389 14 1 | " " " | 602173 6 4 | 472756 15 7 | " " " | 130016 6 9 |
| 60 14 10 | " " " | 386192 15 10 | 497427 11 11 | 111234 12 1 | " " " |
| 1635 12 9 | " " " | 584211 10 9 | 593152 15 4 | 89141 4 7 | " " " |
| 6024 9 11 | " " " | 321405 14 3 | 335368 13 7 | 13962 14 11 | " " " |
| 1536 1 8 | " " " | 472891 1 1 | 477201 " 1 | 4309 15 " | " " " |
| 340 " 5 | " " " | 191763 11 7 | 133988 10 4 | " " " | 57795 1 3 |
| " " " | 139 12 10 | 193089 11 10 | 73888 14 11 | " " " | 11920 12 11 |
| 603 10 1 | " " " | 139211 11 4 | 154285 6 3 | 13073 10 11 | " " " |
| 1279 5 7 | " " " | 221519 12 " | 218964 9 6 | " " " | 2552 2 6 |
| " " " | 218 1 3 | 589855 " 8 | 600417 4 11 | 10362 4 3 | " " " |
| 114 9 " | " " " | 362287 7 10 | 443744 1 " | 81456 9 2 | " " " |
| 5575 " 1 | " " " | 10350 10 3 | 11421 4 7 | 1070 10 4 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | 176103 6 9 | 193188 4 5 | 17084 13 8 | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | 164313 7 1 | 156399 10 2 | " " " | 7913 12 11 |
| " " " | 700 5 5 | 7345362 3 5 | 7140492 9 2 | 407677 6 11 | 612547 1 2 |
| 20384 11 4 | 6604 8 2 | | | Dr. Increase | 407677 6 11 |
| | | | | Net Decrease | 204869 10 3 |

# Fort St. George

17th February 1853.

| | Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing | | | Balance under 15 years standing | | | Total | | | Particulars of Arrears of Fasly 1261 Land Revenue permanently Settled. Jaury Mootahs | | | Minors | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 92 | | | 93 | | | 94 | | | 95 | | | 96 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 45 | 9 | 10 | 55,664 | 10 | 1 | 55,710 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 6 | " | " | " |
| 2 | " | " | " | 138 | 12 | 4 | 138 | 12 | " | 6,455 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " |
| 3 | " | " | " | 74,182 | 5 | 11 | 74,182 | 5 | 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 4 | " | " | " | 572 | 1 | 8 | 572 | 1 | 8 | 1077,899 | 8 | " | 130,133 | 11 | 9 |
| 5 | 177491 | 7 | 11 | 567,942 | 7 | 1 | 745,433 | 15 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | 8,542 | 12 | 2 | 8,542 | 12 | 2 | 4,917 | 3 | 4 | 945 | 12 | 3 |
| 7 | " | " | " | 17,596 | 3 | 6 | 17,596 | 3 | 6 | 12,545 | " | 7 | " | " | " |
| 8 | " | " | " | 445 | 2 | " | 445 | 2 | " | 9,542 | 3 | 6 | 67,973 | 13 | 8 |
| 9 | 821 | 8 | 5 | 40,555 | 8 | " | 41,377 | " | 5 | 1,675 | 7 | 2 | " | " | " |
| 10 | " | " | " | 1,340 | 15 | 9 | 1,340 | 15 | 9 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 11 | " | " | " | 36,081 | 9 | 4 | 36,081 | 9 | 4 | 1,811 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " |
| 12 | 60 | 11 | " | 38,881 | 14 | 9 | 38,942 | 9 | 9 | 143 | 4 | 3 | " | " | " |
| 13 | " | " | " | 1,191 | 8 | 3 | 1,191 | 8 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | 5,450 | 14 | " | 11,562 | 13 | 8 | 17,013 | 11 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | " | " | " | 7,130 | 7 | 10 | 7,130 | 7 | 10 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | " | " | " | 16,545 | 1 | 8 | 16,545 | 1 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | " | " | " | 8,159 | 8 | " | 8,159 | 8 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | " | " | " | 527 | 5 | 5 | 527 | 5 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 19 | " | " | " | 23,206 | 15 | 1 | 23,206 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 20 | 270 | 5 | 11 | 23,530 | 10 | " | 23,800 | 15 | 11 | 5,000 | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | " | " | " | 12,876 | 5 | 5 | 12,876 | 5 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | 184,140 | 9 | 1 | 946,681 | 1 | 11 | 1,130,821 | 11 | " | 1,120,036 | 4 | 1 | 199,053 | 5 | 8 |

Balances and Balances of prior date in train of liquidation.

| | Balances and Balances of Settled. | | | Land Revenue not permanently settled. Rent | | | Summary | | | Total Land Revenue. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sequestered. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 97 | | | 98 | | | 99 | | | 100 | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | " | " | " | 16 | 6 | 6 | " | " | " | 5,729 | 13 | 11 |
| 2 | " | " | " | 16 | 6 | 6 | " | " | " | 8,877 | 4 | 9 |
| 3 | " | " | " | 6,455 | 10 | 8 | " | " | " | 21,058 | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 422,562 | 5 | 3 |
| 5 | 952,665 | 7 | 4 | 216,698 | 11 | 1 | 347 | " | 9 | 76,245 | 9 | " |
| 6 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 38,755 | 7 | 1 |
| 7 | " | " | " | 5,862 | 15 | 7 | " | " | " | 11,422 | 7 | 9 |
| 8 | " | " | " | 12,545 | " | 7 | " | " | " | 1,053 | 12 | 11 |
| 9 | 1217 | 13 | 6 | 78,733 | 14 | 8 | " | " | " | 200,986 | 11 | 2 |
| 10 | " | " | " | 1,875 | 7 | 2 | " | " | " | 7,084 | 3 | 6 |
| 11 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 2,823 | " | 10 |
| 12 | " | " | " | 1,815 | 8 | 1 | " | " | " | 14,719 | 7 | 7 |
| 13 | " | " | " | 143 | 4 | 3 | " | " | " | 2,517 | 9 | 2 |
| 14 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,446 | 3 | 11 |
| 15 | 42,507 | 15 | 1 | 42,507 | 15 | 1 | " | " | " | 7,160 | 9 | 6 |
| 16 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 7,502 | " | 2 |
| 17 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1,017 | 2 | 6 |
| 18 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 5,560 | 4 | 5 |
| 19 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 3,085 | 10 | 4 |
| 20 | " | " | " | 5,000 | " | " | " | " | " | 6,385 | 8 | 10 |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 8,805 | 7 | 2 |
| | 9,963,912 | 11 | 2 | 2,315,430 | 13 | 8 | 342 | " | 9 | 854,799 | 5 | 5 |

| | Total Land Revenue. | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 101 | | |
| | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 5,746 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 15,332 | 15 | 5 |
| 3 | 21,058 | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | 2583,802 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 76,245 | 9 | " |
| 6 | 44,619 | 6 | 8 |
| 7 | 23,997 | 8 | 4 |
| 8 | 79,787 | 11 | 7 |
| 9 | 202,662 | 2 | 4 |
| 10 | 7,084 | 8 | 6 |
| 11 | 4,634 | 8 | 11 |
| 12 | 14,862 | 11 | 10 |
| 13 | 2,517 | 9 | 2 |
| 14 | 439,54 | 3 | " |
| 15 | 7,160 | 9 | 6 |
| 16 | 7,502 | " | 2 |
| 17 | 1,017 | 2 | 6 |
| 18 | 5,560 | 4 | 5 |
| 19 | 8,085 | 10 | 4 |
| 20 | 6,385 | 8 | 10 |
| | " | " | " |
| | " | " | " |
| 21 | 8,805 | 7 | 2 |
| | 3170622 | 3 | 10 |

Particulars of Balances. (Continued).

Arrears of Fusly 1261 and Balances of prior date in train of

| | Salt | Sayer | Abkarry | Extra Revenue: Sundry small Farms and Licenses | Moturpha | Sea Customs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | 155 4 " | 5 3 " | 16 3 4 | " " " |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 10 11 | " " " |
| 3 | " " " | 51 13 3 | " " " | 10 " " | 238 3 4 | " " " |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 24 12 " | 213 7 4 | " " " |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 140 " 5 | " " " |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | 2269 2 5 | 328 14 1 | 630 9 11 | " " " |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 525 7 7 | 202 1 5 | " " " |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 743 4 1 | " " " | " " " |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | 8 " " | 1 4 " | 178 " 3 | " " " |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | 5000 " " | 43 9 4 | 203 5 4 | " " " |
| 11 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 6 10 " | 9 7 10 | " " " |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | 1499 10 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 14 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 2 " " | 21 5 1 | " " " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | " " " | 11 " " | 533 13 7 | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 464 4 6 | " " " |
| 18 | " " " | 18 2 8 | 300 " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 19 | " " " | " " " | 21 14 10 | 338 9 2 | 44 2 6 | " " " |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 103 " 8 | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 326 1 1 | " " " |
| | " " " | 69 15 11 | 3966 8 14 | 4996 6 3 | 3379 13 6 | " " " |

Balances of Current Demands of Fusly 1262

Liquidation (Contd.)

| | Tobacco | Stamps | Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109. | Land Revenue | Extra Revenue | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 108 | 109 | 110 | 111 | 112 | 113 |
| | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p | Rs a p |
| 1 | " " " | " " " | 5970 11 9 | 6050 1 9 | 4849 " " | 10899 1 9 |
| 2 | " " " | " " " | 15336 10 4 | 7948 11 1 | 4621 10 7 | 12570 5 8 |
| 3 | " " " | " " " | 21358 5 3 | 447337 7 3 | 47125 11 3 | 518463 2 6 |
| 4 | " " " | " " " | 258340 4 5 | 20598 4 3 | 2539 14 6 | 23138 2 9 |
| 5 | " " " | " " " | 76385 9 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 6 | " " " | " " " | 71198 15 1 | " " " | 18418 4 2 | 18418 14 2 |
| 7 | " " " | " " " | 24725 1 4 | 10309 4 7 | 2660 " " | 12969 4 7 |
| 8 | " " " | " " " | 80530 15 3 | " " " | 2683 8 " | 2683 8 " |
| 9 | " " " | " " " | 207841 7 3 | 11546 7 3 | 725 " 11 | 12271 8 2 |
| 10 | " " " | " " " | 12363 11 2 | " " " | 15270 " 6 | 15270 " 6 |
| 11 | " " " | 2 4 " | 46650 10 9 | " " " | 7044 " 4 | 7044 " 4 |
| 12 | " " " | " " " | 163626 4 | 74182 9 7 | 8497 13 10 | 82680 7 5 |
| 13 | " " " | " " " | 2517 9 2 | 248 " " | 90 " " | 338 " " |
| 14 | " " " | " " " | 43975 8 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 15 | " " " | " " " | 4698 7 1 | " " " | " 6 " | " 6 " |
| 16 | " " " | " " " | 4977 4 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 17 | " " " | " " " | 1017 2 6 | " " " | 9411 " " | 9411 " " |
| 18 | " " " | " " " | 59222 9 7 | " " " | 49293 5 11 | 49293 5 11 |
| 19 | " " " | " " " | 8549 3 " | 4705 12 3 | 2111 10 3 | 6817 6 6 |
| 20 | " " " | " " " | 153851 8 10 | 153222 10 4 | 107 " 6 | 153329 10 10 |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 21 | " " " | " " " | 9131 8 3 | " " " | 770 " " | 7770 " " |
| 22 | " " " | " " " | 2 4 " 3208739 9 11 | 195649 4 4 | 136019 " 9 | 331668 5 1 |
| | " " " | | | | | |

2200 Fort St. George 17th February 1853

| | Total Columns 110 and 113. | | | Total Balance Columns 94 and 114. | | | Remission. Of recent Arrears. | | | Of old Balances. | | | Total. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 114 | | | 115 | | | 116 | | | 117 | | | 118 | | |
| | Rs | a | p. | Rs | a | p | Rs. | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| 1 | 16669. | 13 | 6 | 72380. | 1 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 27907 | " | " | 28045 | 12 | 4 | 25848 | " | 5 | | | | | | |
| 3 | 73221. | 7 | 9 | 147403. | 13 | 8 | | | | | | | 25848 | " | 5 |
| 4 | 2606978 | 7 | 2 | 2607556 | 8 | 10 | | | | 13804 | 14 | 10 | 13304. | 14 | 10 |
| 5 | 76385. | 9 | 6 | 82181. | 8 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 89617. | 13 | 3 | 98180. | 9 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 27894 | 5 | 11 | 55290. | 9 | 5 | | | | 85 | 2 | 9 | 85 | 2 | 9 |
| 8 | 83214 | 7 | 8 | 83859. | 9 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 215112 | 15 | 5 | 256489 | 15 | 10 | | | | 18 | 6 | 7 | 18 | 6 | 7 |
| 10 | 27633 | 11 | 8 | 28974 | 11 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 11694 | 11 | 1 | 47746 | 4 | 5 | | | | 130 | 13 | 9 | 130 | 13 | 9 |
| 12 | 99042 | 13 | 9 | 134985 | 7 | 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 2855 | 9 | 2 | 4047 | 1 | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 43975 | 8 | 1 | 60939 | 3 | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 7698 | 13 | 1 | 14829 | 4 | 11 | | | | 1272 | 9 | " | 1272 | 9 | " |
| 16 | 79774 | 4 | 8 | 24522 | 6 | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 10428 | 2 | 6 | 18587 | 10 | 6 | | | | 717 | 15 | 10 | 717 | 15 | 10 |
| 18 | 55215 | 15 | 6 | 55743 | 4 | 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 15366 | 9 | 6 | 38573 | 8 | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | 29815 | 3 | 8 | 45616 | 3 | 7 | | | | 295 | " | 11 | 295 | " | 11 |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | | | |
| | " | " | " | " | " | " | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 9901 | 8 | 3 | 22777 | 13 | 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3540407 | 15 | " | 4671229 | 10 | " | 25848 | " | 5 | 16884 | 4 | 3 | 42732 | 4 | 8 |

S.G.S.

No. 220

Fort St. George 17th February 1853

B Comparative Abstract of the Collections of Sudder Land Revenue, Decrease, Increase, Decrease.

| Heads of Revenue | July 1261. Rs a p | July 1262. Rs a p | Increase Rs a p | Decrease Rs a p |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Land Revenue | 3961944 8 10 | 3720215 13 8 | | 241225 11 2 |
| Salt | 1457646 " 4 | 1505566 " 6 | 47920 " 2 | |
| Sayer | 68487 2 11 | 72219 12 6 | 3732 9 7 | |
| Abkarry | 120411 5 9 | 139400 8 6 | 18988 2 9 | |
| Sundry Small Farms and Licences | 80833 4 " | 82338 8 3 | 1505 4 3 | |
| Natchpha | 225026 15 8 | 249038 14 11 | 24012 " 11 | |
| Sea Customs | 313879 " 3 | 332388 9 " | 18509 8 9 | |
| Tobacco | 281754 4 8 | 264548 12 10 | | 17205 7 10 |
| Stamps | 22582 2 " | 20482 11 " | | 2099 3 2 |
| Total of the Coctoa Branches of Revenue | 3375920 10 7 | 3420210 11 6 | 90806 " 11 | 46449 15 7 |
| Grand Total of Land and Coctoa Branches of Revenue | 7134562 3 5 | 7140429 2 " | 90806 " 11 | 296565 10 9 |
| Customs | | | | 205869 10 3 |
| Collections to the end of October | 4809939 3 7 | 4443516 1 7 | | 366423 2 " |
| Collections in November | 2535422 15 10 | 2696906 " 7 | | 161553 7 9 |

Decrease in the Collections of Current 169000 - 8 - N

Do in the Collections of Current 80225 2 3

+ 249225 11 2

Signed H. Cliff Bayley
Secretary.

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30th November 1852.

| | Land Revenue. | | | | | | Extra Sources of Revenue. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Increase. | | | Decrease. | | | Increase. | | | Decrease. | | |
| | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p | Rs | a | p |
| Ganjam | " | " | " | 3746 | 11 | 11 | 13843 | 1 | 6 | " | " | " |
| Vizagapatam | " | " | " | 3724 | 3 | 6 | 3408 | 9 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Rajahmundry | " | " | " | 83295 | 14 | 2 | " | " | " | 5021 | " | 7 |
| Masulipatam | " | " | " | 74224 | 11 | 9 | " | " | " | 4558 | 11 | " |
| Guntoor | 18625 | 9 | 9 | " | " | " | 34891 | 10 | 1 | " | " | " |
| Chingleput | " | " | " | 6676 | 14 | 9 | " | " | " | 97095 | 4 | 6 |
| Salem | 13304 | 3 | " | " | " | " | 4249 | 5 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Madura | 26251 | 14 | 5 | " | " | " | 27696 | 9 | " | " | " | " |
| Nellore | 18922 | 15 | 5 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 261 | 11 | 4 |
| Nw Dn of Arcot | " | " | " | 127132 | 1 | " | " | " | " | 2884 | 5 | 9 |
| Sw Dn of Arcot | 69442 | 11 | 8 | " | " | " | 41792 | " | 5 | " | " | " |
| Tanjore | 26420 | 15 | 7 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 17479 | 11 | " |
| Trichinopoly | 12226 | " | 8 | " | " | " | 1736 | 14 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Tinnevelly | 25808 | 6 | 1 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 21498 | 7 | 1 |
| Bellary | " | " | " | 23499 | " | " | " | " | " | 34276 | 1 | 3 |
| Cuddapah | " | " | " | 105554 | 1 | 10 | " | " | " | 13646 | 11 | 1 |
| Coimbatore | 8668 | 15 | 8 | " | " | " | 6404 | 11 | 3 | " | " | " |
| Canara | 2146 | 13 | 3 | " | " | " | " | " | " | 4698 | 15 | 9 |
| Malabar | " | " | " | 3022 | 9 | 8 | 13884 | 13 | 11 | " | " | " |
| Madras — Land Customs | 977 | 11 | 4 | " | " | " | 80478 | 13 | 10 | " | " | " |
| Madras — Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal | " | " | " | " | " | " | 1070 | 10 | 4 | " | " | " |
| Madras — Sea Customs | " | " | " | " | " | " | 17084 | 13 | 8 | " | " | " |
| Kurnool | " | " | " | 7148 | 11 | 5 | " | " | " | 765 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | 222796 | 4 | 10 | 472022 | " | " | 246542 | 1 | 9 | 202186 | " | 10 |
| Deduct Increase | 222796 | 4 | 10 | 202186 | " | 10 | | | | | | |
| Net Decrease | 249225 | 11 | 2 | 44356 | " | 11 | | | | | | |

Net Increase

/Signed/ H. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved



Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

(66)
B. of R. No. 356

To, The Acting Collector of Madras.

To the Acting Collr of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to forward to this Office in future the returns of Fines, and alterations in Fines, and of Dismissals or Suspensions of Public Servants as required by the standing orders of 13th July 1826 and 15th January 1852.—

C.O. Book P. 59

2. When there are no entries to be made in these Returns it should be intimated to the Board in compliance with the instructions contained in their Circular orders of 7th July 1823 and 13th July 1826.—

C.O. Book Page 16 Para 2
C.O. Book Page 50 Para 9

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 17th February 1853.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

(67)
B. of R. No. 20

From the Acting Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah - Estimate of Extra Charges incurred for July 1852.—

From

Fort St George 17th February 1853

68
Bd No 72

From the Collector of Tinnevelly Estimate of Establishment changes incurred for Fusly 1262.

69
Bd No 98

From the Collector of Malabar Statement of actual charges on account of Sadeerwanel for Fuslies 1257 & 1259 and of the Estimated disbursements for Fusly 1260.

67.70

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements Ordered that the necessary remarks be inserted in the proper Columns of the above Statements and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

71
Bd No 3043

From the Collector of Rajahmundry Statement of Actual changes under Estimate for Fusly 1261.

71.72

Order thereon Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

73
Bd No 441

From the Collector of Madura Read Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged Rs under Regulation IX of 1822 for the half year ending 31st December 1852.

73.74

Order thereon Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

15
Bd No 258

From the Collector of Coimbatore Read Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the quarter ending 31st December 1852.

Resolved



Fort St George 17th February 1853

75.76

Order thereon. Resolved that the necessary

77
Bd No 395

orders be passed.-

From the Collector of Canara Read List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 31st December 1852

77.78

Order thereon Ordered to be recorded.

Bd No 451

From the Collector of Tanjore Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions presented by the Board of Revenue for the Month of November 1852.

79

79.80

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office

81
Mp No 198

From the Collector of Madura Returns of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 31st December 1852.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Statements and letters.

82
Mp No 201

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

83
Mp No 200

From the Agent at Kurnool Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December 1852.

84
Mp No 199

From the Agent at Kurnool Statement shewing the average Bagar

2206 Fort St George 17th February 1853

85

Mrs No. 211

Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December 1852.

From the Collector of South Arcot. Requesting to be supplied with 20 Copies of the printed Fasla Revenue Statements.

85.86

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

" Goldingham

" W Blane

Comp. D. Wills