Block
Rack No.
Shelf No
Serial No
General

2253
279



26th August 1852.

Maunds

Brown Sugar	Raw Sugar.	
Rs	Rs	a/c
21	22	

In the Village Calicut Village, the Under-assessment by the assessment on Lands cultivated with Sugar Cane is by assessing all lands so cultivated at Rupees 40 — per acre. This if the fixed Assessment of an acre of Land is 15 Rupees per acre an extra Assessment of 25 Rupees is levied. If the fixed rate per acre is 35 only 5 Rupees is added.

For all Lands cultivated with Sugar Cane are assessed the same as Lands cultivated with Paddy. If the Lands are 2 crop Lands no extra assessment is levied. If the Lands are one crop 2/3rds of the assessment is levied on the 2nd Crop. If 3 Crop 15 per gore. Fasli Sastra 1012 25 Rupees.

In this Village the assessment on Garden varies with the description of produce reared. There are different established rates for Paddy Sugar Cane, and moreover if Dry Crops are grown on wet Lands Dry crop Assessment is only levied.

At Calicut and Pannion and in all the Villages in the Dhone Taluk no extra Assessment is levied on Sugar Cane Cultivation.

(Signed) A. P. Conway
Agent to the Governor.

Statement

1898

Fort St. George 20th August 1852.

No. 9 Statement shewing the Average Prices of Grain from July 1257 to July 1261 in the District of Nellore

No.	Description of Grain.	July 1257	July 1258	July 1259	July 1260
		Per Garce	Per Garce	Per Garce	Per Garce
		Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
1	Paddy 1st Sort	92 5 8	102 5 8	95 9 4	91 11 7
2	Do 2d Do	92 11 6	91 7 10	81 12 9	79 6 11
3	Do 3d Do	80 7 8	79 8 7	68 10 10	68 4 11
4	White Sonnalos	91 7 11	78 12 7	93 12 1	88 12 6
5	Yellow Do	78 11 6	68 14 10	81 12 10	76 10 11
6	Suzzalos	92 12 1	79 7 1	101 12 9	99 12 6
7	Ragoolos	85 2 2	75 10 11	81 10 4	85 10 6
8	Arcaloo	42 8 3	42 14 7	45 13 8	46 8 2
9	Corralos	67 8 8	59 14 10	67 12 1	66 3 2
10	Wheat	202 13 5	170 9	201 1 1	199 15 5
11	Bengal Gram	215 4 1	153 3 7	175 9 10	185 14 7
12	Avamoolos	110 11 9	98 1 4	95 7 11	104 8 4
13	Redundalos	129 10	108 12 10	109 1 7	112 9 4
14	Horse Gram	95 13 7	80 8	84 5 5	87 3
15	Pesalos	112 5	84 10 4	97 2	96 13 3
16	Cundoloo	97 11 11	95 6	95 9 5	80 15 5
17	Menoomoloo	167 4 6	136 10	163 7	150 11 6
18	Lamp Oil Seeds	96 12 9	81 10	113 4 9	130 12 1
19	Gingily Oil Seeds	142 12	149 13 7	191 13 9	214 13 9
20	Cotton per Candy	35 15 6	43 7	51 2 9	57 2

Nellore Agent's Office 18th August 1852. (Signed) T. B. Conway
Agent to the Governor

Statement

9999

Fete de Pepere 26 Août 1852

Testament olographe de Pepere

Ce jourd'hui 26 Août 1852 Pepere étant en bonne santé de corps et d'esprit, mais considérant que rien n'est plus incertain que l'heure de la mort, et voulant pouvoir disposer de ce qui m'appartient lorsque cette heure viendra me frapper, j'ai fait mon testament olographe ainsi qu'il suit.

Je donne et lègue à ma femme Pepere, née Pepere, la jouissance de tous les biens meubles et immeubles qui me composeront au jour de mon décès, pour en jouir pendant sa vie durant.

Je donne et lègue à mon fils Pepere, la jouissance de tous les biens meubles et immeubles qui me composeront au jour de mon décès, pour en jouir pendant sa vie durant.

Je donne et lègue à ma fille Pepere, la jouissance de tous les biens meubles et immeubles qui me composeront au jour de mon décès, pour en jouir pendant sa vie durant.

Je donne et lègue à mon petit fils Pepere, la jouissance de tous les biens meubles et immeubles qui me composeront au jour de mon décès, pour en jouir pendant sa vie durant.

Je donne et lègue à ma petite

Fort St. George

the District of Purnea prepared agreeably

500 Rupees to 750 Rupees.			250 Rupees to 500 Rupees.			200 Rupees to 250 Rupees.			150 Rupees to 200 Rupees.		
Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.	
	Rs	a p		Rs	a p		Rs	a p		Rs	a p
1	503	8 . 21		7202	2 . 10		221414	. 29		4987	
8	4434	5 1 32		10797	5 2 26		5907	10 11 39		4643	
5	2997	. . 21		6766	6 4 24		5625	10 9 47		4038	
2	1987	. 10 33		10876	2 2 19		4270	3 9 35		3958	
4	2286	2 3 22		9923	11 9 19		4263	15 5 25		4469	
3	1827	4 6 18		5713	10 9 14		3156	11 8 30		4204	11
1	4252	15 5 41		13768	14 1 33		7312	10 6 66		4867	
1	505	9 13		3916	2 11 12		2683	2 7 18		3186	
31	17895	11 10 201		85963	7 3 157		36952	2 7 290		49645	8

Fort St. George

the 20th August 1852.

100 Rupees to 75 Rupees.			75 Rupees to 50 Rupees.			50 Rupees to 40 Rupees.			40 Rupees to 50 Rupees.		
Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.		Number.	Amount of Assessment.	
	Rs	a p		Rs	a p		Rs	a p		Rs	a p
74	8752	7 2 70		6085	6 . 175		10612	13 11 128		5707	12 3
85	10104	10 4 90		7739	4 11 165		9748	5 11 140		6157	12 .
117	14249	7 8 107		8341	11 5 207		11819	8 3 142		6311	2 1
81	9837	12 10 78		6748	12 4 175		10675	. 8 128		5089	6 1
89	9840	10 2 97		8310	2 2 194		11882	9 10 132		5831	14 8
22	4900	6 . 35		4257	1 4 111		6794	1 6 103		4570	2 8
113	13806	8 2 95		8453	13 9 188		11677	. 2 126		5611	1 8
82	7938	3 2 78		4230	11 7 129		8584	. 10 108		4787	11 5
665	79288	3 1 866		56361	8 3 1214		81633	9 . 1006		44722	14 10

9701

Fort. St. George

26th August 1852

30 Rupees & 40 Rupees.		20 Rupees & 30 Rupees.		10 Rupees & 20 Rupees.		Under 10 Rupees.		Total.		Number of Joint Puttahs.		Total Puttahs.	
Number.	Amount of Assessment.	Number.	Amount of Assessment.	Number.	Amount of Assessment.	Number.	Amount of Assessment.	Amount of Assessment.	Number.	Amount of Assessment.	Number.	Amount of Assessment.	
12		13		14		15		16		17		18	
	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p	
175	6151 15 4	298	7121 13 3	470	8246 12 2	845		4366 8 7	2333	70607 9 10	16	2370 8 2	2349 72978 2
176	6018 13 10	306	7243 5 7	520	9398 11 9	875		4188 3 .	2256	86554 3 1	35	1020 5 7	2491 87574 8 8
240	8219 14 11	347	9285 12 9	603	10882 4 1	1141		5486 6 9	3081	96621 4 7	4	480 1 6	3085 97101 6 1
199	6953 15 4	354	8715 12 2	619	8738 13 10	1294		3814 11 10	3017	84399 12 6		3017	84339 12 6
246	8529 10 6	429	10587 7 11	904	12857 6 5	1634		7961 8 7	3783	93743 3 9	16	1181 11 11	3804 94924 15 8
165	5641 12 3	278	6864 5 2	585	7935 3 8	718		3816 6 6	2073	63648 2 4	26	558 2 6	2099 64206 4 10
162	5546 9 4	292	7065 11 6	394	8725 7 9	692		3126 10 6	2203	98196 2 6	2	57 9 1	2205 98253 11 7
189	6173 10 7	288	7005 2 2	602	7214 6 11	1298		5280 8 7	2691	63254 4 2			2691 63254 4 2
1562	53130 5 6	2597	63859 8 10	4647	86191 12 10	8538		39540 .	21642	657024 10 9	99	5668 6 9	21741 662693 1 6

Kurnool Agents' Office, 18th August.

1852 (Signed) T. B. Conway
Agent to the Governor

Statement

9702 Fort St. George

No. 12 Statement Zillah of Land

26th August 1852.

Revenue in the Zillah of Kurnool for Fusly 1260.

Numbers	Names of Talooks	Gardens. Cowle Land.	Assessd. Progressive Assessment.	Teeruah.	Total. Land.	Assessd. Assessment.	Settle. ment.
1		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		A G a	Rs a p	Rs a p	A G a	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Chaugulmurry	20 38 10¼	178 8 2		20 38 10¼	178 8 2	82 4 2
2	Sirwell	6 8 14	69 13 4		6 8 14	69 13 4	66 1 4
3	Nundial	6 12¾	47 6 5		6 12¾	47 6 5	35 10 4
4	Pauniem	4 20 11½	53 4 2		4 20 11½	53 4 2	38 15 4
5	Dhone	22 8 9	58 14 2		22 8 9	58 14 2	58 14 2
6	Goodoor	5 37 11¾	12 5 -		5 37 11¾	12 5 -	12 5 -
7	Nundicotcoor	13 - 2	84 12 8		13 - 2	84 12 8	74 8 -
8	Ateor	7 22 8	40 8 8		7 22 8	40 8 8	40 8 8
	Total	86 12 15¼	545 8 7		86 12 15¼	545 8 7	409 3 -

Deduct waste land	
Iyen Bagayet	374 41
Teerua jaudy	34 1411
	409 3 -
Remainder remitted	135 57
Iyen Bagayet waste	124 9 6
Fasdul jaudy	5 9 7
Teeruah jaudy	5 2 6
Total	135 57

9703

Fort St. Geo

26th August 1852.

Full assessment						Nunjah. Cowle.						Proportion of Teeroah					
Land.			Assessment.			Land.			Assessment.								
18			19			20			21			22					
Acres	G	A	Rs	a	P	Acres	G	A	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P			
14	34	4¼	112	15	7												
29	37	11½	277	9	4												
30	8	14¼	1205	8	11												
9	26	12½	137	6	2												
92	9	12¾	1245	3	9												
22	13	9	36	6	9												
2	"	12	15	"	"												
41	32	3¾	91	8	6	46	5		92	4	"	46	2	"			
243	3	15¾	3121	11	"	46	5		92	4	"	46	2	"			

Total.						Deduct remission of Assessment on ex Nunjah Cowle Lands left waste from a deficiency of water in the Tank.			Net Bariz of waste lands entered in the Summabundy.		
Land.			Assessment.			Settlement.					
23			24			25.			26.			27.		
Acres	G	A	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
14	34	4¼	112	15	7	30	5	4	"	"	"	30	5	4
29	37	11½	277	9	4	177	"	4	"	"	"	177	"	4
30	8	14¼	1205	8	11	392	14	1	"	"	"	392	14	1
9	26	12½	137	6	2	128	12	11	"	"	"	128	12	11
92	9	12¾	1245	3	9	1229	6	1	"	"	"	1229	6	1
22	13	9	36	6	9	32	6	9	"	"	"	32	6	9
2	"	12	15	"	"	15	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"
81	37	3¾	183	12	6	137	10	6	"	"	"	137	10	6
289	8	15¾	3213	15	"	2,143	8	"	"	"	"	2,143	8	"

Deduct.			
Iyen wet	2061	11	10
Teeroah Jastee	81	12	2
	2,143	8	"
Remainder remitted	1070	7	"
Particulars thereof			
Iyen wet	146	3	6
Teeroah Jastee	20	10	8
Fusul Jastee	853	6	10
Loss by mismanagement	4	"	"
Cowle Remission	46	2	"

9704

Fort St. George

26th August 1852.

Total Poonjah, Poonjah Garden and Nunjah.

Land.	Assessment.	Settlement.	Deduct remissions of Assessment on Nunjah Cawle lands left waste from want of water in the Tanks.	Nett Bariz of Cawle waste lands entered in the Summabundy.
28.	29.	30	31.	32
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
3,887 7 7	2,947 15 4	1,071 2 9		1,071 1 9
3,826 18 3/4	2,190 7 9	1,791 5 2		1,791 5 2
2,181 7 10/4	3,100 4 9	1,822 1 3		1,822 1 3
1,498 34 8/4	1,544 3 1	1,176 " 8		1,176 " 8
6,881 37 6/4	5,145 6 2	4,799 10 5		4,799 10 5
8,026 26 8	7,694 3 2	5,688 9 6		5,688 9 6
3,797 9 11/4	3,291 4 4	3,070 4 10		3,070 4 10
4,361 34 13	2,102 2 5	2,051 8 11		2,051 8 11
34,463 16 11/4	28,015 14 10	21,470 10 6		21,470 10 6

Deduct —
- 21,353 15 5 — On account of Iyen waste. — Do. of Tiruwah Jantee.
- 116 11 1

21,470 10 6

Remainder remitted —
- 5,089 14 3 — On account of Iyen waste.
- 512 11 " — Do. of Loss by inundation
- 6 4 " — Do. of Cayamalee
- 860 " 6 — Do. of Faruk Jantee
- 25 13 2 — Do. of Teeva Jantee
- 50 9 6 — Do. of Cawle Remission

6545 4 4

Particulars of the sums entered in Column 32.

Cawle land left waste.						Lands engaged to be cultivated but left waste	
Land.	Summabundy or Settlement.	Remission for Nunjah land left waste from want of water.	Nett Settlement.			Land.	Settlement.
33	34	35	36			37.	38
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P			Rs. A P	Rs. A P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			3,889 7 7	1,071 1 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			3,826 18 3/4	1,791 5 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			2,181 7 10/4	1,822 1 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			1,498 34 8/2	1,176 " 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			6,681 37 6/4	4,799 10 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			8,026 26 8	5,688 9 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			3,797 9 11/2	3,070 4 10
63 25.	50 8.	" " "	" " "			4,298 9 13	2,001 " 11
63 25.	50 8.	" " "	" " "			34,399 31 11/4	21,420 2 6

9705.

Fort St. George

26th August 1852.

Particulars of the sums entered in Column 32

Lands left waste without the intention of Razeenamahs at the proportion per season by Carnums Caridars and others acquainted with the standing Rules.		Lands included in the Jummabundy on account of houses being built thereon without permission.		Lands included in a parcel of land permission after tendering Razeenamah for the waste.		Continued. the Jummabundy on account of land Cultivated without perm:		Causes of Remissions being disallowed.—
Land.	Settlement.	Land.	Settlement.	Land.	Settlement.	Settlement.		
39	40.	41	42	43		44.		45.
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P		
								No Remissions have been allowed on those lands because it has been found that notwithstanding a full supply of water in the several sources of irrigation such as Tanks, Nullahs and Wells, and the possession of means to undertake the Cultivation the Ryots cultivated merely their Enam and lightly assessed fields which were most advantageous leaving the highly assessed lands Bunjer, and because they pursued the same Course regarding the Cultivation of dry lands and left waste some of these fields for affording pasturage to the Cattle.—

Kurnool Agent's Office, 18th August 1852.

/Signed/ T. Conway.
Agent to the Governor.

Statement

Fort St. George

No. 11.

1259 and 1260 of Demand

Extra Revenue

Fusly 1259.

Collection.

Current.	Arrears.	Total.
5	6	7
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
399 11 1	31 11 .	431 9 1
6 2 9	" " "	6 2 9
482 11 4	30 . 7	512 11 11
290 1 9	127 5 11	417 7 8
1178 13 11	189 1 6	1367 15 5
83 4 .	" " "	83 4 .
1 " "	" " "	1 " "
84 4 .	" " "	84 4 .
2 2 6	" " "	2 2 6
117 5 .	" " "	117 5 .
119 7 6	" " "	119 7 6

26th August 1852.

Collection and Balance of various Items of Sevoy...

Balance.			Fusly 1260. Demand.		
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.
9.	10	11	12	13	
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
30 . 11	948 3 1	30 . 11	987 4 .		
" " "	47 " 1	" " "	47 " 1		
10 9 7	15 3 2	25 12 9	77 13 7	625 12 9	103 10 4
73 3 6	33 10 6	76 14 .	107 3 5	76 14 .	184 1 5
113 14 .	18 13 8	132 11 8	1180 4 2	132 11 8	1312 15 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	212 " "	" " "	212 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2 9 .	" " "	2 9 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	214 9 .	" " "	214 9 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	26 .	" " "	26
" " "	" " "	" " "	57 9 3	" " "	57 9 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	57 11 9	" " "	57 11 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	8 " "	" " "	8 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	4 . "	" " "	4 .
" " "	" " "	" " "	8 4 .	" " "	8 4 .

9707.

Fort St. George

Summary in the District of Rumnad prepared agreeably to the 26th August 1852.

Board's Circular dated 12th July 1849 for Fusly 1260.

Extra Revenue

Fusly 1260 Continued.

(Continued)

Collection.			Balances			Increase.			Decrease.		
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.
14	15.	16	17	18.	19.	20	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
635 12 5	9 10 .	645 6 5	312 6 8	20 6 11	332 13 7					22 1 "	22 1 .
47 . 1 "	" " .	47 . 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	235 11 4	" " "	235 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
71 13 1	5 13 7	77 10 8	6 " 6	19 15 2	25 15 8	40 13 4	" " "	40 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
95 8 5	46 12 4	142 4 9	" " "	30 1 8	41 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	410 14 3	24 3 "	435 1 3
850 2 .	62 3 11	912 5 11	330 2 2	70 7 9	400 9 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	194 9 4	80 9 7	275 2 11
119 2 "	" " "	119 2 "	92 14 .	" " "	92 14 .	276 11 8	" " "	276 11 8	605 7 7	126 13 7	732 5 2
2 9 "	" " "	2 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
121 11 .	" " "	121 11 .	92 14 .	" " "	92 14 .	35 14 "	" " "	35 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 26 "	" " "	" 26 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 9 "	" " "	1 9 "
57 9 3	" " "	57 9 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
57 11 9	" " "	57 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 " "	" " "	8 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 " "	" " "	" " "	2 " "
" 4 "	" " "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 4 "	" " "	8 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	59 11 9	" " "	" " "	59 11 9
								61 11 9	" " "	" " "	61 11 9
								" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
								8 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
								" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
								8 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

Extra Revenue.

Fusly 1259.

Collection.

	Current.			Arrears.			Total.		
	5			6			7		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
	34	4					34	4	
	34	4					34	4	
4		4					4		
1	696	13	1				696	13	1
3	85	5	3				85	5	3
8	386	9	8				386	9	8
	1168	12					1168	12	
4	344	13	4	13			357	13	4
3	554	8	3				554	8	3
7	899	5	7	13			912	5	7
6	3,484	15	4	202	16		3,687	10	

26th August 1852.

Balance.						Fusly 1260. Demand.					
Current.		Arrears.		Total		Current.		Arrears.		Total.	
8		9		10		11		12		13	
Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
						66	6 5			66	6 5
						1	5			1	5
						67	11 5			67	11 5
							11				11
						4				4	
						153	153			153	153
						70	5 6			70	5 6
						113	14 8			113	14 8
						338	3 5			338	3 5
1	8	15		8	15	1241	7 6	8	15	1250	6 6
						503	13 6			503	13 6
	8	15		8	15	1745	5	8	15	1754	4
9	122	13	9	18	13 8	141	10 8	3612	5 8	141	10 8	3754	4

9709.

Fort St. George

Extra Revenue

Fasly 1260. Continued.

Collection.						Balance.					
Current.		Arrears.		Total.		Current.		Arrears.		Total.	
14		15		16		17		18		19.	
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
66	6 5	"	"	66	6 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
67	11 5	"	"	67	11 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	" 11	"	"	"	" 11	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
153	15 3	"	"	153	15 3	"	"	"	"	"	"
70	5 6	"	"	70	5 6	"	"	"	"	"	"
113	14 8	"	"	113	14 8	"	"	"	"	"	"
338	3 5	"	"	338	3 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
1121	1 8	8 15.		1130	3 8	120	5 10	"	"	120	5 10
503	13 6	"	"	503	13 6	"	"	"	"	"	"
1624	15 2	8 15.		1633	14 2	120	5 10	"	"	120	5 10
3068	15 8	71 2	11	3140	2 7	543 6.	70	7 9.		613	13 9

Kurnool Agents' Office, 18th August, 1852.-

E E

28th August 1852.

Comparison.											
Increase						Decrease.					
Current		Arrears		Total		Current		Arrears.		Total.	
20		21		22		23		24		25.	
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
32	2 5	"	"	32	2 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
33	7 5	"	"	33	7 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	" 11	"	"	"	" 11	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3 8	"	"	"	3 8	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	542	13 10	"	"	542	13 10.
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	15 9	"	"	14	15 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	272	11 "	"	"	272	11 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	830	8 7	"	"	830	8 7.
776	4 4	"	"	776	4 4	"	"	4	1 "	4	1 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	50	10 9	"	"	50	10 9.
776	4 4	"	"	776	4 4	50	10 9	4	1 "	54	11 9.
1132	7 "	"	"	1132	7 "	1548	6 8	130	14 7	1679	5 3
						Nett	415 15 8	130	14 7	548	14 3.

E E Pr. / Signed / J. Conway

Agent to the Governor

Tabular.

9710

John J. Aspinwall 2d. August 1852.

Gentlemen Allotment drawing the Shares where the Quantity of each Vessel is the Number of Shares was made by the Assistant Treasurer on the 11th. July 1849.

Vessels.	Number of Shares.	Shares where Quantity was made.
	the Office	
Steamer	24	Steamer — the Number of the Vessel.
Ship Columbus	16	Ship — the Number of the Vessel.
Barque	8	
Brig	4	Brig — the Number of the Vessel.
Schooner	2	Schooner — the Number of the Vessel.
Sloop	1	Sloop — the Number of the Vessel.

Certificate of Allotment

Schooner — the Number of the Vessel.

Brig — the Number of the Vessel.

Ship — the Number of the Vessel.

Steamer — the Number of the Vessel.

Gentlemen, I have the honour to transmit herewith a Certificate of Allotment of Shares in the Vessel named in the Margin, made by the Assistant Treasurer at this Port on the 11th. July 1849, as required by the Act of Congress in such case made and provided.

I am, Gentlemen, Your most obedient Servant,

To the Collectors of the Customs and Navy Agents, and Collectors of the Ports of the United States.

Allotment for the Vessel named in the Margin.

Steamer
Ship Columbus
Barque
Brig
Schooner
Sloop

Register issued at this Port

18th August 1852

Signature of the Assistant Treasurer

9/11

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

No. 15. Register of Lands within the District of Kurnool held by Revenue and Police Servants upon the Huzzoor and Salook Establishment and required by them prior or subsequent to their entering into the service of Government for Fusly 1260.

		Land	When acquired		Nature of
Bheema Roy	Record Keeper in the Huzzoor	1014 35 36	Do	Enams attached to the Office of Curnum were acquired by his ancestors during the time of Nawab Mushtkum Baha dor who enjoys Bhuttavurthee thee enams from generation to generation but not known how this was acquired.	Enam held as Curnum Acres Coontahs Ans 73 — 31 — 4. Bhuttavurthee 31 — 4 — 2.
Total		2913 11 104 10 8.			
Salook Establishment.					
Chagalmurry Kistnapah	Sheristadar of Chagalmurry	233 191½ 35 8	Acquired prior to the Employment in the Public Service.	Enjoys Enam Viz. Acres. Coontahs ans 26 — 13 8½ Is not known how acquired by his ancestors the original grantee alas enjoys other Enam Viz. Acres Coontahs ans. 7 — 6 — 56½ Given by gift to his paternal great grand father during his marriage.	Mere right of long possession.
		102 11 32			
Anuntappah	Shroff of	Do 27 36½ 12 12	Acquired previous to his entering in the Public Services	Is not aware how acquired by his ancestors.	Mere right of long Possession.
Total		60 234½ 48 4			

John Jr. Hoover 26 " January 1852

Grand Trees.

Farm Near Pleasant Grove

Cameron Co. Texas.

Cameron Co. 8 30 - 3 / Acquired January Acquired per deed

Bayliss et al

Cameron Co.

Cameron Co. Texas Sept. 26 1876 2 28 1853 Acquired by deed

Bayliss et al

9/13

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

		Assistants &c.							
Dhone Taluk.									
Sooba Row —	Moolky Goomastah of Dhone —	1	4	8	3	10¾	45	8	Acquired prior to the Employment in the Public Services —
									Is not aware how acquired by his ancestors.
									Right of long posession
									Enjoys for a long period.
Venkata Row —	Moonshee of Do.	11	9	15	11	12	—	—	Do.
									acres Cents Anas
									Enjoys 8 — 33 — 15
									is unknown how acquired and also Enjoys 2 16 " given in gift.
Chender Row —	Shroff of Do.	32	3	8	13	"	—	—	Do.
Raw Row —	Gollar of Do.	42	2	11¾	5	15	8	—	Do.
									This Enam was granted by the Reddees and Carnums for having built a Pagoda and Well.
									Right of Enjoyment.
Raw Row —	Moothee Goomastah of Do.	8	"	"	"	"	—	—	Do.
									Not known how acquired by his ancestors
									Right of long posession
									The individual and Veeramala Gowlingty Goomastah, Rundivine Co-partners
Venkata Row —	Shoristadar of Do.	6	3	9¾	"	"	"	—	Do.
									Not aware how acquired by his ancestors
									Bhuttavurthee
	Total —	241	26	3¾	36	3	6		
Goodoor Taluk.									
Ramapah	Carcoon of the Goodoor	24	10	15¾	15	8	"	—	Do.
									Is not aware how acquired by his ancestors
									Service Enam as Curnum assd. contahs Acs. 15 — 36 — " ½
									Bhuttavurthee 8 — 14 — 15.
Vencapah —	Moturpha Goomastah.	16	9	3	1	"	—	—	Do.
									Right of long profession
Sham Row —	Sayer Mootaudy Do.	32	28	½	9	"	"	—	Do.
Dasapah —	Shroff of Do.	24	29	6	4	8	"		Do.
	Total	91	4	15	32	1	"		

9/14. Fort St. George 28th August 1852.

Sundicotoor Talook.

Sooba Row	Shanladar of Sundicotoor	4	8	3	11	18	8	Do	Do	It is a gift by Seeram Row Siva Row at the time of his marriage.	Right by Gift
Nurrasinga Row	Head Goomasta of	Do	52	32	11	29	4	Acquired prior to the Employment in the Public Service Viz: acres Cuntahs and 42 — 13 — 7¾ Do subsequent acres Cuntahs and 10 — 19 — 4	Do	Charity by the former ruling powers is acres Cuntahs and 42 — 13 — 7¾ given him as Gift and also other gift by Bun diatmacoor Dasapah acres Cuntahs and is 10 — 19 — 4	Right of long hereditary
Dasapah	Moolkee Goo ... tah Goomasta of	Do	28	36	14	6	Acquired prior to the Employment in the Public Service	Given to him in charity by the former ruling powers Viz: acres Cuntahs and 2 — 23 — 12 and by his father in law in Gift Viz: acres cuts and 25 — 8 — 2	Do	Do	Do
Venkata Row	Moolkee Goomas tah	113	3½	35	3	Do	Do	Old Enam is not aware how acquired Viz: acres Cuntahs ans 13 — 30 — 3½ enjoys another Enam Viz: 99 — 10 — belonging to Sahajapah his maternal grand father which is also unknown to him	Do		
Dinacara Row	Carcoon of	Do	4	9	15½	2	54	Do	Do	Given in charity by the former ruling powers	Do		
Shashagherry Row	Sayer Mootuddy	31	7	16	12	23	11	Do	Do	Acquired by his ancestors	Service Enam as Curnum.		
Chakrauz Vamala Timmapah	Moonshee	16	4	11½	29	8	10	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do	Do
	Total	581	12	15½	152	11	5						

9/15 Fort St. George 28th August 1852.

		Acres Cottah Cds					
Atcoor Talook.							
Somerdaranz Khan	Amildar of Atcoor	30	208	9/	8/	Do.	A gift by Nawab Allaf Khan to his grand father.	a Sunnud of Goolam Rusool Khan possessed.
Runga Row	W.o Goomastah of	Do:	30	34	9/	22	4.	Do.	Acquired by his ancesters	Right of long possession.
Soobiah	Carcoon of	Do:	34	1	10/	23	13	Do.	acquired by his ancestors for Curnumship	Right of Curnum Enam.
Narrain Row	Moonshee of	Do:	149	6	3/	85	11	6.	Do.	Acquired a long time ago	Enjoys as Bhutta ourthee
Dansapah	Shroff of	Do:	15	2	8	8	2.	Do.	It not aware how acquired by his ancestors.	Right of long possession
Ambiah	Gollar of	Do.	42	8	14	24	8.	Do.	Enam acquired for the duties of Curnumship Viz.t Acres Cottahs Cds 37 — 2 — Do. as Bhattaourthee Enam acquired Viz.t Acres Cottahs Cds 5 — 8 — 12	
Sashapah			94	3	9	6	12.	Do.	This Enam attached to the Office of Curnumship purchased by his ancestors the 5th in ascent	By right of Curnumship.
	Total		396	3	12	32	11	5		
Hurnod Choultry										
Pudmanah	Goomastah Hurnod Choultry		22	38	3/2	5	13	Do.	It not aware how acquired by his ancestors.	Right of long possession.

Abstract

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Abstract

	No.	Area	Rupees	A. P.
Coozoor	4	291 37 11	114 11	8
Chagulmurry	2	60 23 4½	48 4	0
Turvell	2	105 18 0	33 4	2
Nundial	2	394 4 13¾	133 2	0
Panuium	5	266 28 9¼	72 3	9
Dhone	6	241 26 3½	76 3	6
Goodoor	4	91 4 15	32 1	0
Nundicotcoor	7	581 12 15¼	152 11	5
Atcoor	7	396 37 12	323 11	5
Kurnool Choultry	1	22 38 3½	5 1	3
Total	40	2352 30 8	980 3	9

Kurnool, Agents Office, 8th August 1850 /Signed/ T. Conway
Agent to the Governor
Statement.

9717

St. George 26th August 1852

No. 16. Return of Compensation to Rajahs for high assessed lands in their Talooks. administration

No.	Talooks.	Assessment.				Nat. No.	Nat. Land. Acres	Nat. Land. G	Nat. Land. A	Nat. Assessment. Rs.	Nat. Assessment. a	Nat. Assessment. P.	Total. No.	Total. Land. Acres	Total. Land. G	Total. Land. A	Total. Assessment. Rs.	Total. Assessment. a	Total. Assessment. P.
12		13				14							15	16				17	
1																			
2																			
1	Changulum	1¾	25			5	2	"	4½	23	6	8	36	193	1	7¼	327	13	3
2	Sirivelle	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	18	16	7¾	23	3	3
3	Nundial	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	31	11	10	13	10	1	"	31	11	10	13	10
4	Dannums	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	6	4	"	9	2	5
5	Dhone	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	Gordoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Nundicote	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	948	21	5½	1333	13	11
8	Alcoor	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	13	2	1	13	4	2	2	11	2	3	10	3
		1¾	25	8	10	3	3	3	1½	36	1	10	69	1169	6	1½	1708	8	11

9718

Fort B. 26th August 1852.

holding for Fishly 1760 in the District of Kurnool

Dry.						Land given at the time of Garden.						Pymaish. Wet						Total.					
No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.	
18	19			20		21	22			23		24	25			26		27	28			29	
	Acres	G.	A.	Rs.	a. p.		Acres	G.	A.	Rs.	a. p.		Acres	G.	A.	Rs.	a. p.		Acres	G.	A.	Rs.	a. p.
11	21	38	3¼	32	8 6							1	"	25	9	7	7 2	12	22	23	12¼	39	15 8
6	19	14	15¾	24	6 9	1	"	35	12	9	8 0	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	20	0	11¾	33	15 3
3	7	36	12¼	11	2 2							4	"	"	"	"	"	3	7	36	12¼	11	2 2
8	23	37	10½	35	14 7							9	"	"	"	"	"	8	23	37	10½	35	14 7
"	"	"	"	"	"							"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"							"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	306	32	11½	303	10 1							"	"	"	"	"	"	306	32	14½	303	10 1
4	9	3	14	12	12 8							"	"	"	"	"	"	5	9	27	5	18	11 2
4	15	10	9	14	2 5	1	"	"	23	5	14 6	1	"	3	14	"	8 6	5	15	14	7	14	10 11
36	404	14	15¼	434	9 2	2	"	1	19	3	15 7	2	"	"	29	7	7	158	40	406	23	9¼	457 15 10

9719

Fort St. George 6th August 1852.

	Dry.						Land given for Garden.						After Pymaish Wet.						Total.					
No.	Land.			Assessment.			No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.	
30	31			32			33			34	35		36	37			38		39	40			41	
	Acres	G	A	Rs.	a	p.		Acres	G	A	Rs.	a p.		Acres	G	A	Rs.	a p.		Acres	G	A	Rs.	a p.
7	56	28	11	84	8		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	56	28	11	84	8
4	71	13	6¾	89	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	71	13	6¾	89	14 6
13	13	25	11¼	194	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8	25	12	117	15 4	15	147	11	7¼	312	4 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

9719

Port St. Ge[illegible] 6th August 1852.

	Dry.						Land yielding Garden.						After Pymaish Wet.						Total.					
No.	Land.			Assessment.			No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.		No.	Land.			Assessment.	
30	31			32			33				34		35	36	37		38		39	40			41	
	Acres	G	A	Rs.	a	P.	Acres	G	A	Rs.	a	P.		Acres	G	A	Rs.	a P.		Acres	G	A	Rs.	a P.
7	56	28	11	84	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	" "	7	56	28	11	84	" 8
4	71	13	6¾	89	14	6	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	" "	4	71	13	6¾	89	14 6
13	13	25	11¼	194	15	3	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	2	8	25	12 117	15 4	15	147	11	7¼	312	14 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	" "	"	"	"	"	"	" "

9720

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 18th August 1852.

No.	Dry. Land. Acres	G	A	Dry. Assessment. Rs.	a	p.	No.	Garden. Land. Acres	G	A	Garden. Assessment. Rs.	a	p.	No.	Total Wet. Land. Acres	G	A	Total Wet. Assessment. Rs.	a	p.	No.	Total Land. Acres	G	A	Total Assessment. Rs.	a	p.
42	43			44			45	46			47.			48	49			50			51	52			53.		
"	266	33	13/4	395	7	8	"	"			"			.	2	25	13/2	30	13	10	.	272	13	14/2	451	13	7
18	109	4	14/4	137	8	6	1	.			35	12		9	8	6	"	"	"	"	13	110	.	10/4	147	1	"
16	146	22	7/2	206	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	9	17	128	13	2	19	155	39	14/2	334	14	9
10	30	1	10/2	45	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	30	1	10/2	45	1	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	306	32	14/2	303	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	306	32	14/2	303	10	1
"	957	25	3/2	1346	10	7	1	"	"	"	23	7	"	5	14	6	"	"	"	"	1	958	8	10/2	1352	9	1
7	22	37	"	21	42		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	17	"	9	23	14	.	23	10	"
57.	1840	.	1/2	2455	11	7	4	4	11	43/4	40	15	1	5	12	20	4/2	162	"	10	58	1856	31	10¾	2658	11	6

Kurnool, ------
Agent's Office,
18th August 1852.

/Signed/ D. Conway
Agent to the Governor
Malwiment

9721

Fort St. Geo

No. 17 Statement shewing the Particulars

26th August 18

Exam Land Cul

Duty

No.	Taboo Ks.	Land with Putty.				Land without Putty.			
		Acres	G	a		Acres	G	a	
1	Ghagulmurry	13326	37	2¾		6823	11	3	
2	Sirwell	7.283	16	11½		7.621	34	13¾	
3	Sundial	12,902	9	13¾		7.076	39	14¾	
4	Panniem	24564	22	3½		11691	39	14¾	
5	Dhone	28,823	19	4½		14756	5	3¾	
6	Goodoor	11,668	33	4¾		2409	13	5¾	
7	Kundicotcoor	16,119	12	5¾		11.337	30	3¾	
8	Alcoor	9,193	3	4¾		4.681	10	5¾	
	Total	133,881	34	15¼		84,398	13	1	

Total Land Duty

Acres	G	a		Total	Remarks
20,150	8	5¾	11½	20434	12
24905	11	10¼	1½	25276	39 15
19979	9	13¾	2¼	20665	9 10
35256	11	3¾	15¼	35767	35 12¾
43579	20	10¼	13¾	44508	11 1¾
33078	7	7	1¾	33562	37 ¾
27457	2	9	8½	27644	13 12¼
13874	16	10½	6¼	14680	13 7
218280	8	6¼	68¼	222540	5 7½

Turnbull Agents' Office

18th August

error

Statement

Sicca Rs.	Pay & Sunj rent	1256	1	240	3	28½
	Rent 2 days	1254	1	260	3	17
	Nagy 2 days	1254	1	500	8	26 10½

4 - - 259 8 29 8 4 - - 259 8

16 - - 14 12 10 30 12 10 16 - - 14 12 10

2 38 59 15¼ 62 3 - 2 38 59 15¼

None

| | | |

9723

Fort St George 26th August 1852

Particulars	1249	1	558	-	-	13 16 4¾	22 12 3	5 1 8	4 11 5	21 14 4	26 9 9	4 11 5	21 14 4
	1254	1	50	-	-	4 21 9½	17 8 4	4 21 9½	17 8 4	13 9 9	31 2 1	17 8 4	13 9 9
	1255	3	550	-	-	29 20 ¾	15 10 -	14 26 12	11 12 6	33 - 1	44 12 7	11 12 6	33 - 1
	1256	5	1448	-	-	26 20 3/14	34 2 -	13 30 10½	17 11 9	76 2 7	93 14 4	17 11 9	76 2 7
	1257	9	1890	-	-	56 25 8½	101 11 6	32 10 9½	80 11 3	223 - -	303 11 3	80 11 3	223 - -
	1258	3	350	6	6	15 6 6	25 8 -	9 3 9 8	12 3 11	40 9 7	52 13 6	12 3 11	40 9 7
	1259	2	275	-	-	16 7 9	41 - -	13 2 9 5	26 14 8	77 13 4	104 12 -	26 14 8	77 13 4
	1260	1	300	-	-	6 38 4	13 - -	5 2 5	9 7 5	42 14 5	52 5 10	9 7 5	42 14 5
		25	5421	6	6	168 29 -	271 2 1	99 2 3	181 1 3	529 - 1	710 1 4	181 1 3	529 - 1

Kurnool Agents Office
18th August, 1852.

(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

A

No 49

To J. T. Blunt Esqr &c
Agent to the Governor &
Fort St George

Sir,

Agreeably to ordered I have the honor to submit herewith a Letter from the Sheristadar of Kurnool dated 18th instant in which he has stated the Amount of Peishcush Balances for Fusly 1261 which have been collected by the Talookdars and Renters of the Villages under my charge &c.

ABCDEFGHI
1 Shoney
2 Mahpiriotam
3 Pedacotagoor

1 Statement of Balances collected by the Renters of the Villages under my charge &c.

2 Ditto of Balances collected by the Talookdars of the Villages under my charge &c.

3 Statement of Balances collected by the Renters of the Villages under my charge &c.

It is accordingly duly entered in the Collectorate Register and the Amount credited to the Government Account.

I am &c
(Signed) Sheristadar

Fort St George 26th August 1852

Countersigned
(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

No 211 of 1852.

Fort St George 26th August 1852

To
The Sheristadar
Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed by the Agent to the Governor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter of the 18th instant with its Enclosures and to inform you that the Amount of Peishcush Balances for Fusly 1261 collected by the Talookdars and Renters of the Villages under your charge as detailed in your Statement A dated 18th instant has been credited to the Government Account.

I am &c
(Signed) Sheristadar

Countersigned
(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

No 212

Fort St George 26th August 1852

To
The Sheristadar
Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed by the Agent to the Governor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter of the 18th instant with its Enclosures and to inform you that the Amount of Peishcush Balances for Fusly 1261 collected by the Renters of the Villages under your charge as detailed in your Statement B dated 18th instant has been credited to the Government Account.

I am &c
(Signed) Sheristadar

Countersigned
(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

No 213

Fort St George 26th August 1852

To
The Sheristadar
Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed by the Agent to the Governor to acknowledge the receipt of your Letter of the 18th instant with its Enclosures and to inform you that the Amount of Peishcush Balances for Fusly 1261 collected by the Renters of the Villages under your charge as detailed in your Statement C dated 18th instant has been credited to the Government Account.

I am &c
(Signed) Sheristadar

Countersigned
(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

9725

Fort St. George 26th August 1852

Statement shewing the Bunjer in former & and the remissions granted on this head.

Fuslies	Dhone Talook. Bunjer.	Remission.	Nundiveetoor Talook. Bunjer.	Remission.	Attur Talook. Bunjer.	Remission.	Total. Bunjer.	Remission.	Particulars of the remissions. Dry land Bunjer.	Wet land Bunjer.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1253	5993 4 1	1443 4 11	7573 - -	173 2 10	4786 - -	1780 1 9	18352 5 -	3396 9 6	450 9 8	2945 15 10
1254	3178 1 -	1904 -	2641 2 11	1894 1 1	1857 4 10	506 14 8	7676 8 9	886 6 9	265 4 2	621 2 7
1255	3573 7 -	963 11 9	1679 - 6	1425 5 3	1346 11 3	92 10 1	6599 2 9	1481 11 1	811 12 -	669 15 1
1256	3173 11 3	4 1 -	708 4 7	- - - -	860 6 2	- - -	4742 6 -	4 1 -	- - -	4 1 -
1257	2295 1 2	394 -	865 13 5	- - - -	962 1 10	141 13 -	4123 - 5	181 1 -	- - -	181 1 -
1258	7881 11 11	5678 3 11	1122 8 -	- - - -	1122 9 6	- - -	10226 18 5	5678 3 11	- - -	5678 3 11
1259	3697 1 -	1220 4 7	2720 6 5	2402 1 11	2887 3 8	1114 2 11	9304 11 1	4736 9 5	4264 12 11	471 12 6

Statements

9726

Fort St George 26th August 1852

K

Statement shewing the Bunjer agreed on and taken in the 3 Talookas as shewn in the foregoing Statement #s to-od uncultivated during the Jamalandy for 1260.-

Description.		Kaboolaty Bunjer. Dhone Talook.	Nundeeot. -kootah Talook.	etcetorah Talook.	Total.	Of the Bunjer alluded to in Total Remission granted during the Summabundy.
Iyen Goottah	Dry	384 4 3	3,191 1 8	1,877 13 3	8,910 9 2	162 9 8
	Bagayet	50 6 2	80 8 9	33 - 8	163 15 7	10 4 8
	Wet	225 4 6	2 11 -	183 12 6	411 12 -	- - -
	Total	4,116 14 11	3,274 11 5	3,094 10 5	9,486 4 9	172 14 4
Fuzul Jasty	Bagayet	18 - 2	4 3 11	- - -	22 3 11	- - -
	Tary	3,171 - 6	4 1 -	- - -	3,175 1 6	- - -
	Total	3189 - 6	8 4 11	- - -	3,197 5 5	- - -
Teer-wajasty	Iyen Goottah	83 6 2	8 4 -	7 8 -	99 2 2	15 13 8
	Fuzul Jasty	7 11 -	- - -	- - -	7 11 -	- - -
	Total	91 1 2	8 4 -	7 8 -	106 13 2	15 13 8
Total Bunjer		7,397 - 7	3,291 4 4	2,102 2 5	12,790 7 4	188 12 -
Bunjer inundated remission granted for		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	377 15 3
Remission for Bunjer of Cowle Goottah		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	50 9 6
Total		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	428 8 9
Bunjer Remission		- - -	- - -	- - -	617 4 9	- - -
Remaining Bunjer		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,173 2 7
Cultivated subsequent to the Jummabundy Settlement		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2,251 10 5
Remaining Net Bunjer		- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9,921 8 2

Jm

4- In fusly 1259 the crops failed to such an extent that it was absolutely necessary to make some remission and it was chiefly granted under this head, the effect was that more land was left waste the next year and the demand for remission continued as a right considering the irregular system of assessment which exists in this District it was necessary to guard against the consequences of land being left waste without just cause from inability to cultivate, and discourage if possible the practice, by not allowing any Kistbundy Banjer remission without carefully inquiring into the merits of every demand of the kind. With few exceptions land is left waste because it is highly taxed the lightly assessed land, and even that and enam land which are attached thereto, being cultivated the account of the cumams cannot be entirely relied on as to the real extent of waste land, and enquiries have shewn beyond a doubt that in occasions of remission the Ryots only receive a portion of the Government

Government bounty a part of which the Village authorities and other officials appropriate to themselves. I therefore thought it best to decline granting any kistbundy Banjer remission, but to allow the collections to be carried on to the end of the Fusly when the Amildars should submit a Statement of the balances and prospects of Collection or otherwise intending to enquire personally at the next Jammabundy into every individual case of balance.-

5- Under the circumstances alluded to above the remissions made by me for dry land left Banjer were very trifling, and I declined absolutely giving remissions for any banjar included in the Beriz when such was claimed, 1st by Reddies or Cumams enjoying considerable enams in their own right, or enams belonging to other people (to whom they only pay a very small sum besides the perquisities attached to their posts) 2nd by ryots who having means, had left

Shone
Attermandicotta

circa

Fort St George 26th August 1852

Circar land waste, cultivating Enam lands and such lands in their patta as were lightly assessed, both by those who purposely left lands waste for pasturage by those who hold cowles.—

6 The last class of claim-ants for buijer remission are the poor ryots whom the death of their cattle or other calam-ities, precluded from cultivating and I thought that a personal enquiry into the condition of such ryots would be for me satisfactory and open to less objection.

Wet buijer

7 With regard to the wet land left buijer in the Phone Talook, the Talid-dar entered in the Villavary accounts under the head of Fuzul Jastee lands which eventually could not be cultivated from the supply of water failing, and for which a remission had to be granted.

Judges

8. The Beriz under-stated by is less by Company Rupees 135-11-0 than the past Fusly in consequence of the defalcation of the Canums of two villages, whose erams have been in consequence attached.

Balances.

9- The trifling remission granted in the three Talookas of Phone, Nundicotoor and Attoor will explain the apparently heavy balances, into which a

Fort St George 26th August 1852

a careful enquiry will be instituted at the next settlement, however the actual collections altogether are notwithstanding in excess to that of any previous year since the resumption, the system of allowing one years balance to be carried on and sometimes collected in the ensuing year is open to so many objections, and in particular to that of appropriating to a former balance sums paid towards the present Kists and so disheartening to Ryots who thus feel continually fettered, and much at the mercy of Village and Talook authorities, when poverty is the sole reason of defalcation that after a careful investigation of each balance I will do myself the honor of addressing you again on this subject, with a view of getting the Boards sanction to such balances being immediately struck off as irrecoverable and the parties made acquainted with this.

10 Many applications for cowle having been made to me during the

Fort St George 26th August 1852

the last settlement of Nandecotkoor Syu-
-ed some in a few villages under the
same rules as those existing in Ballo
taking a written promise from each ap-
-plicant to the effect that he would
continue his cowle land in case of fai-
-lure on his part to fulfil this promi-

Enamity

Balances under the hea-
of Enamity should be struck off as
irrecoverable with the sanction of the
Board as also that of Sudder as already
explained: and the balances under the
Head of Sundry Enams which became a
balance such enams being under attach-
-ment pending enquiry.

Kurnool Assistant Agents Office
30th September 1851 - - - - - - -

(Signed) John G. Russell
Assistant Agent to
the Governor

(Signed) J. Conway
Agent to the Govr

List

Fort St George 26th of August 1852

List of Statements accompanying the Land Revenue Settlement Report of the Kurnool District for Fasly 1260.

1	Statement shewing the Particulars according to which the Assessment of the Kurnool District for Fasly 1260 in the Districts of the Carnatic has been calculated	This was sent to the Board of Revenue with the Settlement Report for Fasly 1259.
2	Statement shewing the Extents of several Descriptions of the Land Assessment for Fasly 1260 in the District of Kurnool	5th May 1852.
3	Statement shewing the Land engaged by the Ryots at the season and that at a Cowle Calculated in Fasly 1260 in comparison with that of Fasly 1259 in the District of Kurnool	
4	Statements Comparative of the Land Revenue Settlements of Fasslies 1259 and 1260 in the Districts of Kurnool	
5	Statement shewing the Cowle Remissions granted for the Cultivation of Major Lands in the Districts of Kurnool for Fasly 1260	
6	Statement shewing the Distributions and Jamabundy Settlements of Villages and Sunnums in the Districts of Kurnool for Fasly 1260	
7	Statement Comparative between Fasslies 1259 and 1260 of all Sources of Revenue in the Districts of Kurnool	

9733 Fort St George 26th August 1852

8 Statement shewing in details of all Items specified in the Statement No 3 for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.

9 Statement of Sugar Cane cultivation in the District of Kurnool in Fusly 1260. prepared in conformity with the form which accompanied the Board's Circular dated 13th June 1850.

10 Statement shewing the average prices of Grain from Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.

11 Statement shewing the Gross Collections and Charges of Revenue of all branches in the Kurnool Territory for Fusly 1260.

12 Jalookeer Statement shewing the Rent Roll of the District of Kurnool prepared agreeably to the Board's circular dated 2nd July 1849 for Fusly 1260.

13 Statement shewing the Extent and assessment of waste lands included in the Settlement of Land Revenue in the Zillah of Kurnool for Fusly 1260.

14 Statement Comparative between Fuslies 1259 and 1260 of Demand Collection and Balance of various Items of Sewy Jumah in the District of Kurnool prepared agreeably to the Board's Circular dated 12th July 1849 for Fusly 1260.

Memorandum

15. Memorandum exhibiting the places where the Jamabundy of each Talook has been concluded by the Commissioner and Assistant Commissioner for the year 1851 or Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.

16. Register of lands within the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1260 held by Revenue and Police Servants upon the Hoozoor and Talook Establishment and acquired by them prior or subsequent to their entering in to the Service of Government.

17. Statement Shewing the Particulars of land given as Enam or free from Tax, as Compensation to Ryots for high assessed lands in their holdings for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.

18. Statement Shewing the Particulars of Enam Land cultivated by the Ryots for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.

19. Statement Shewing the amount of tax exempted from payment to Circar and enjoyed by the Ryots from Wells dug by them at their own expense.

Kurnool .....
Government Agent's Office
18th August 1852 .....

(Signed) T. Conway
Agent to the Government

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting

Statement

(23.24)

4734.

25

For Fort St. George.

Bn. No. 2035. Return of Thahsildars of the District of Kurnool from 1st. May 1851 to 30th. April 1852.—

26th. August 1852.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook.	Talook length of service as Thasildar in this District.	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such appointment.	Total length of service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5		7	8	9	10	11
								Kurnool District.		
								As Hoozoor Goomastah from 13th. October 1839 to 13th. August 1844	4 9 26	
								As Serristadar of Gooty Talook from 14th. August 1844 to 2d. September 1846	2 . 19	
							2 5 24	As Dº. of Dº. Dº. 14th. December 1846 to 23d. March 1848.	1 3 10	
								As Police Ameen of Kurnool from 24th. March 1848 to 20th. July 1849	1 3 27	
								As Serristadar of Dhone Talook from 3d. October 1849 to 30th. April 1850.	. 6 28	
									10 . 20	
1. Cheluvambier Row inhabitant of Kurnool	Brahmin	40	Chagulmurry	99145	20					

12 6 14

9735.

Fort St. George

26th. August 1852.

Name and place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay.	Length of Service as Shanbogue in this District.	Employments previously to appointment as Shanbogue and length of Service in such appointments.	Total length of Service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
							Cuddapah District.			
							Yemmulmudoogoo Talook.			
							As Sayer Mootsuddy of Goondlacoom-			
							-tah from 1st. January to 31st. December 1824	1 " "		
							Doovvoor Talook.			
							As Carcoon from 5th. August 1826 to			
							31st. March 1832	5 7 26		
							As Goomastah from 1st. April 1832			
							to 31st. May 1833	1 2 "		
							As Nagadee Goomastah from 1st.			
							June 1833 to 28th. February 1836	2 9 "		
							As Head Goomastah from 1st.			
							March 1836 to 15th. September 1838	2 6 15		
							As Acting Sarishtadar from 16th.			
							September to 10th. December 1838	" 2 25		
							As Head Goomastah from 11th. De-			
							-cember 1838 to 31st. July 1840	1 7 20		
							Chittavail Talook.			
							As Acting Sarishtadar from 1st. Au-			
							-gust to 30th. November 1840	2 4 "		
							Doovvoor Talook.			
							As Head Goomastah from 1st. De-			
							-cember 1840 to 8th. August 1841	" 8 8		
							Kurnool District.			
							Hoozoor Establishment.			
							As Head Goomastah from 9th. August			
							to 23rd. March 1843	1 7 15		
								17 7 19	26 8 25	
2. Vencat Row Roodrawar in the Coilgonttah Talook Cuddapah District.	Brahmin	44	Mundial	119 27 12	90 " "	5 7 19				

9736.

Fort St. George

26th August 1852.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Shanbogue on the present Talook.	Total length of service as Shanbogue in this District.	Employments previously to appointment as Shanbogue and length of service in such appointment.	Total length of service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8.	9.	10.	11
								Cuddapah District.		
								Doapaud Talook.		
								As Sayer Mootsuddy of Eerlapaud from 11th March to 26th May 1825	" 2 16	
								As Rent Mootsuddy from 27th May 1825 to 31st July 1834	9 2 4	
								As Carcoon from 1st August 1834 to 14th October 1840	6 2 14	
3. Mudhwa Row of Cuddapah	Brahmin	46	Pannieum	113 3 25	15 3	80 " "	1 3 16		1 3 16	
								Kurnool District.		
								Sirwail Talook.		
								As Head Goomastah from 27th April 1842 to 30th September 1842	" 5 2	
								Chagalmurry Talook.		
								As Head Goomastah from 1st October 1842 to 18th February 1844	1 4 18	
								Sirwail Talook.		
								As Head Goomastah from 19th February 1844 to 12th June 1846	2 3 23	

9737.

Fort St. George.

26th August 1852.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Zillah.	Talook.	Amount of Pay.	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook.	Total length of service as Thasildar in this District.	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such appointments.	Total length of service	Remarks &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
							As Sarishtadar from 13th June 1846 to 2d October 1849	3 3 20		
							Goodoor Talook. As Sarishtadar from 3d October 1849 to 14th January 1851	1 3 13		
								24 3 22		
							Darwar Zillah. Hoozoor Goomastah in the Sub Division from 16th February 1829 to 31st July 1833	10 5 14		
							Salem District. Hoozoor Goomastah from 1st August 1833 to 31st May 1835	1 10 "		
							Hoozoor Head Goomastah from 1st June 1835 to 30th September 1844	9 4 "		
							Hoojoor Talook. As Thasildar from 1st October 1844 to 20th October 1848	4 " 20		
							Dharumpooree Talook. As Thasildar from 21st October 1848 to 27th April 1851	2 6 7		
								28 21	29 24	
							Cuddapah District. Chittawail Talook As Sayer wait Moatsuddy from 1st September 1819 to 31st March 1824	4 7 "		
							Doopaud Talook. As Sheristadar Moatsuddy from 1st April 1824 to 22d May 1825	1 1 22		
							Goorramcondah Talook. As Curcoon from 23d May 1825 to 31st October 1832.	7 " 28		
							Coilgoontulah Talook. As Head Goomastah from 1st November 1832 to 25th July 1841	8 8 25		
							Kurnool District. Serunail Talook. As Serishtadar from 26th July 1841 to 17th Septr 1845	4 1 22		
4. Hanoomunta Row.	Bramin	Cy.	Goodoor	10 4 0	13	9 4				
5. Soorapah of Madapooram Sidhout Talook Cuddapah District	Bramin	Sd.	Serunail	10 7 5	13 22	30 " "				

9738.

Fort St. George.

26th August 1852.

Name and place of birth	Caste	Age	Talook of Collection	Amount of Pay	Length of service as Thasildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Thasildar in this District	Employments previously to appointment as Thasildar and length of service in such appointment.	Total length of service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
6. Nizam Addeen Native of Cuddapah.	Mussulman.	45	Dhone	115 6 6 9	1 2		Bunganpillay Talook. As Amildar from 18th September 1845 to 30th April 1848	2 7 13		
							Dhone Talook. As Sarishtadar from 22d June to 22d October 1849	" 3 11		
							Sirwail Talook. As Sarishtadar from 3d October 1849 to 13th June 1851	1 8 1		
								28 " 9	32 8 "	This individual was appointed to officiate as Thasildar of this Talook from 1st March 1851 the then incumbent having been sent to officiate in Nundicoor consequent on the suspension of the late Thasildar of that Talook Streenevasa Row. This Thasildar was confirmed in the appointment in June 1851.
					1 2		Cuddapah District. In the Village Court from 1828 to 1830.	3 " "		
							As writer in the Village Court from 1831 to 1839	9 " "		
							Kurnool District. As Persian Deputy Moonshee in the Hoozoor from 1840 to 28th February 1851	11 3 "		
								23 3 "	24 5 "	
7. Soobba Row of Alcoor Talook in Kurnool District	Bramin	50.	Nundicoolcoor	126 6 7 9	1 2		Kurnool District. Goodoor Talook. As Moozoomdar from 19th October 1839 to 11th July 1841	1 8 28.		
							As Head Jemmadar from 12th July 1841 to 20th May 1842	" 10 10		
					3 3		As Sarishtadar from 21st May to 4th December 1842	" 6 18		
							Nundicoolcoor Talook As Sarishtadar from 8th December 1842 to 12th January 1849	6 1 5.		
								9 2 26.	12 6 15	
8. Alamer Saraz Khan Nayud of Furtoor in the Nundicoolcoor Talook Kurnool District.	Pathan	39.	Alcoor	80 2 16 5 5	80 " "	1 " 8	Kurnool District. As acting Thasildar of Alcoor from 28th April 1851 to 30th April 1852	" " "	1 " 8	Streenevasa Row the late Thasildar of this Talook having been suspended from his duties this individual was sent from the Dhone Talook on the 1st March 1851 to officiate as Thasildar in Nundicoolcoor. The exchange was confirmed in June 1851.

Kurnool Government Agents Office 18th August 1852.

(Signed) T. Conway Agent to the Governor.

Ordered

9739.

For Ft. St. George 26th August 1852.

25:26

Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No. 53.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
For Ft. St. George.

27

B. No. 2003.

From the acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government conveyed in an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th March 1851, I have the honor to forward a Statement of the actual expenditure incurred on account of the Lungur Kanahs at Arcot and Vellore from January to December 1851.

North Arcot,
Collectors Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair 19th
August 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Statement.

I. G. B.

Ft. St. George 26th August 1852.

No. 1 Statement of the actual expenditure incurred on account of the Lungur Kanahs at Arcot and Vellore from January to December 1851.

Name of the Places.

Establishment.

Rice issued.

Sundries.

Total.

Purchase Cattle.

Sundries.

Total.

Grand Total.

Arcot	1550	32,891½	Rs. as. p. 8 0 0	Rs. as. p. 1,243 0 0	Rs. as. p. 33,134 0 0	Rs. as. p. 4,635 0 0	Rs. as. p. 1,000 0 0	Rs. as. p. 5,635 0 0	Rs. as. p. 38,769 0 0
Vellore	4,335	85,757/64	191 12 4	1814 9 2	2310 " "	4,315 13 3			
Total	6,885	118,648 23/64	199 12 4	1814 9 2	2343 12 4	4,950 13 3	1000 " "	5,950 13 3	38,769 0 0

North Arcot, [illegible]
Collectors Circuit Cutchery [illegible]
Palmanair, 19th August 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Order thereon—

(27.28)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter—

No. 85.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit enclosed
From the Collector of Salem - - - - - 3
submitting an advertisement for the sale of the Samoodrum Mootah.—
of the proposed sale of the Samoodrum Mootah, an Advertisement prepared in the form prescribed in the Board's Proceedings dated the 26th Ultimo.—

2. Referring to the Board's observation that they "see no connection between the remissions granted to the Mootah ryots and the arrear due by the "Mootahdars;" I beg to represent that on reference to the general Regulations and particularly to the terms of Section X Regulation XXVII of 1802, it appears to me to be doubtful whether the revenue functionaries in charge of an Estate temporarily attached for an arrear of revenue, are legally competent, whilst that estate

(29)

Rd. 16 No. 945

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

estate is under management, to make to Mootah ryots such final remissions for Sharee and Bunjur lands as are comprised in the amount* to which my remark had reference.—

To B. of R. 30th June 1852 para 11

* Rs 1007-11-2 vide Col. 20 of Statement accompanying above letter.—

It may be thought expedient from time to time to abstain from pressing the realization of Cutgi balances; and it may also occasionally be found necessary to direct that they be withheld from collection; to use the revenue terms, be placed in "Tawukkoff" but I submit that the issue of orders, ex-pressly absolving a Ryot from the payment of a sum due by assessment on lands held by him in an Estate situated as that at Samoodrum has been, is of dubious legality. It would seem that an order of the kind was tantamount to intimating to the Ad-ministrator, that the Government Officer could afford to be liberal to him, as what he owed not pay, would eventually be demanded and collected from the Mootahdar.—

Salem Collector's Cutcherry
Dofsoor
11th August, 1852 - - - -

Signed H.D. Phillips
Collector.

Advertisement

9743

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Advertisement

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the Arrears of Revenue including Interest, Charges of Sequestration and Advances for Cultivation and Repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estate, under attachment in the Zillah of Salem, from fusly 1256 to fusly 1261 shall have been liquidated on or before the 20th September next, the sale of those lands will Commence by Auction at Cusbah Kistnagherry on that day and will Continue until the estate shall be sold. The amount advanced for Cultivation and repairs will be made known at the time of Sale.

Name of the Talook &c.	Name of the Mootah.	Number of Villages.	Permanent Pariz exclusive of Motar. pha.	Arrears to the 11th July or end of fusly 1261.											
				Fusles.	Balance of Principal.			Interest.			Charges.			Total Balance against the Mootah.		
			Rupees	a	p		Rupees	a	p	Rupees	a	p	Rupees	a	p
Shankery Droog	Samoodrum	3	2724	79		1256	1062	15	6	798	5	11	"	"	"	2461	5	5
						1257	1042	2	3	529	3	10	"	"	"	1571	6	1
						1258	1418	7	8	553	5	1	"	"	"	1971	12	9
						1259	1971	11		540	7	9	"	"	"	2511	8	8
						1290	1618	15	11	241	8	2	"	"	"	1860	8	1
						1261	1583	5		42	6	3	17	8	"	1642	14	8
							8896	11	8	2705	5	"	17	8	"	11619	7	8

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels to for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.

3. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase, the names of Constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4. The intention of the Condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion, Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name, the deposit money made in account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the Estate to be resold.

5. A sunnud-i-Milkeut Istemarar or deed of permanent rights has been lodged in the Cutchery of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this Advertisement, all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6. The purchaser of the estate will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue; but in the meantime 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction or within one month from the date of sale; in default hereof the Estate will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

7. In Case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit money will be returned and the persons depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate.

8. The arrears of Revenue which are outstanding against the above mentioned Mootah and the Balance which will accumulate itself up to the date of payment will be received by the local Thasildar until the 20th September next after which date the Proprietors should pay the Balance into the Huzoor Cutchery.

9. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collector's Cutchery between wards of Cash, Sundays excepted.

Salem Collector's Office
Ootpur,
11th August, 1852.

E E P. /Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 2 Tamool. Statement

9744

Fort St. George 26th. August 1852.

No. 3. Statement of a Mootah under attachment for Arrears of Revenue in the Salem District.

Name of the Talook.	Name of the Mootah.	Number of Villages.	Name of the Mootah-dars.	Permanent Berij Exclusive of Mytanpha	Arrears up to the 11th. July 1261. Fusles.	Arrears.	Interest.	Sibbendy.	Total.	Contingencies.	Amount of Assessment on the Mootah.	Amount for which the Mootah may be pursued.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
				Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
Senkerrydevg	Samoodrum	3	Narrania Chetty Son of the deceased Gopana Chetty	27 4 79	1236	1262 15 6	798 5 11	" " "	2961 5 5		The Mootah is said and is supposed to be a losing Concern	2724 79	Narrania Chetty the eldest of the deceased Gopaul Chetty's sons, who are his legal heirs, having lately attained his majority and disputes having arisen between him and the other Joint Mootah-dars relative to their private pecuniary transactions, it appears that the effect of these will be to cause them to fail in the discharge of the Government dues, and allow the Estate to come to sale.
			Seddien — 2		1237	1042 2 3	329 3 10	" " "	1571 6 1				
			Singarayen — 3		1238	1418 7 8	553 5 1	" " "	1971 12 9				
					1239	1971 11 "	540 7 9	" " "	2,511 8 8	326 8 8			
					1260	1618 13 11	241 8 2	" " "	1860 8 1				
					1261	1583 " 5	47 6 3	17 8 "	1642 14 8				
			Total			8,896 10 8	2705 5 "	17 8 "	11,619 7 8				

Salem Collector's Office,
Oojoor,
11th. August, 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Proceedings

9745

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Bd. No. 1738

Proceedings thereon.

(29.30)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale, for arrears of revenue, of the Samoodrum Moolah, and direct the publication in their Office of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being altered to Tuesday 28th September 1852. Mr. Phillips will be pleased to make a corresponding alteration in the Advertisement published in his District.

2. The Board Concur with Mr. Phillips in his views regarding the Remissions stated in para 11 of his letter of 30th June 1852.

In Cons 26th July 1852.

9746

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 65.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(37)

Bd. No. 1962.

From the Collector of Tanjore — Sir,

Para 1. Since the dispatch of my report on Petition. No. 406 under date the 28th July 1852 enquiry has been held on a charge made against the Amildar of the Head Assistant's Cutcherry, accusing him of obtaining Coolies without payment of hire for the purpose of cutting a channel for the irrigation of 3 Valies of land purchased by his Son-in-law.

2. On enquiry it appears pretty evident that Coolies supplied by the Meeras-dars of certain Villages with Subsistence on account of Circar work were Countermanded and employed on the Channel of Mr. Wainhouse and while there is little moral doubt that this was accomplished by the influence of the Amildar, there is no satisfactory legal proof of the fact sufficient for the infliction of punishment under the regulation of abuse of authority. The Amildar denies all

all connexion with the matter, but considering the relationship of the parties, and the fact of a Deloyet under the Amildar's orders having been at times present while the work was carried on, precludes the possibility of believing that the Amildar was as ignorant as he pretends to be, and the accounts produced shew no particulars of payments beyond a general entry of so much for such a day &c. It does not appear that the whole work was unpaid for, as Weeders and other Coolies were employed but I think there can be no doubt that about 30 Rupees worth of work was done by Coolies of neighbouring Villages, obtained by the influence of the Amildar over the Curnums and Meerasidars. These facts in addition to the many suspicions existing against this Officer, further strengthens the recommendations conveyed in my former report that he should not be permitted on any account to return to his duty.-

Tanjore - - - -
Negapatam - - - -
13th August 1852.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.-

Bd's No. 1755
Proceedings thereon.
(31. 32)

From Govt. 9th inst.
14th June 1852.
Pro. to D. 16th August

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.-

It is observed that there is not
2. sufficient evidence to convict the Amildar of having obtained Coolies without payment of hire for Cutting a Channel for irrigating Three Valies of land purchased by his son-in-law still the Board find it stated that there can be no doubt that about 30 Rupees of Work was executed by Coolies of neighbouring Villages employed by the influence which the Amildar exercised over the Curnums and Meerasidars.-

The Board consider that the
3. Deloyets evidence might, had it been throughly sifted, have Established the fact of the Amildar's connexion with the matter, he should have explained why he was engaged on a private work.-

Read the following letters.-

No. 69.

To, W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I do myself the honor to submit

33

Bd's No. 2007
From the Collector of
Trichinopoly -

Para 1.-

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

Submit my reply to the several queries embodied in your Circular of the 12th Instant.

2. There are no restrictions whatever in this District to trading in Tobacco. It is freely and without let or hinderance alike in the Cantonment and Fort, as in the smallest Village. The Merchant and Shop Keeper are at liberty to retain as large a quantity or as small as they wish in store. Tobacco may be sold in shops or in stalls by the road and street side in every possible form whether as Cheroot, or Leaf or Chopped up. The dealers and Bazaarmen are exempt from Moturpha tax in Trichinopoly.

3. With regard to the Bandy and Bullock Pettahs (which are very numerous in this great City) they are private properties and kept by Bandy Maistries and such like people who levy a trifling fee of 4 pice from each Conveyance or Bandy to Ring advantage of the protection and accommodation afforded. The demand is limited to the sum mentioned for any length of time the Bandy remains without Moving out. where so many Pettahs exist as in this station the competition is very great and doubtless the fees is even lower than the trifle I have stated.

4. No compulsory Measures are resorted

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

resorted to, the Bandy men and Pack bullock drivers go to any Pettahs they please, or abstain altogether. It is however their interest to go to one of these places as the proprietor guarantees them from robbery and is bound to make any thing good that is stolen within the

Enclosure.

The Pettahs being private property and very similar to hostleries in Europe, I donot consider I should be justified in abolishing the levy of such fees.

5. The Circular from your office dated 19th January 1852 was not received by me. Beg to state that salt is admitted freely in all parts of my District.

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector.

Trichinopoly,
Collector's Office,
20th August 1852.

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

33.34

Read the following letters.

35

Bd's No. 1833.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Requesting for

No. 139.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying
Copy

for reasons within mentioned to be furnished with a Copy of the Summud issued by Government when the Mittah of Vadhee was conferred on Mootoocoomara: Sawmy Monegar.

Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court at this Station under date the 31st March last requesting to be furnished with the Summud issued by Government when the Mittah of Vadhee was conferred on Mootoocoomara Sawmy Monegar and to a Petition from the Vakeel in behalf of the proprietor of that Mittah praying that in the event of that document not forthcoming from the records of my Office application may be made to the Board for a copy of it from the General Register there of permanent Summuds issued to Zemendars under the Madras Presidency. I have the honor to request as it is not found in this Office that you will be pleased to furnish me with a Copy of the Document in question in order to enable me to comply with the Court's requisition.—

2. In the concluding para: that one of a letter from this Office to the Board dated 29th August 1803 regarding the delivery of permanent Summuds to the Polygars and the sales of the forfeited lands of Colatoor & its Estates (in which former the Mittah of Vadhee was included) the Collector says "It now only remains with your Board to furnish me with the Summuds according to the

"the accompanying Statement containing the "Names of the proprietors and the Estates they "have purchased to complete the permanent "arrangement in the Sequestered Pollams". From which it would appear that the issue of a Summud for the Mittah in question was recommended but no reply from the Board to the letter above quoted nor any copy of the Summud in question is to be found among the Records of this Office.—

(Signed) G. J. Bird.
Collector.

Tinnevelly
Tencaasey
27th July 1852.

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Tinnevelly, under date the 31st March 1852.—

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 3d March 1852 requesting information upon certain points connected with the request for the assistance of the Revenue Officers in giving execution to the Decree of this Court in O. S. No. 2 of 1849 conveyed in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Court, dated 20th February 1852.—

To enable the Acting Civil Judge to reply to this reference it is necessary that he should have before him the Summud issued by Government when the Mittah of Vadhee was conferred on Moottoocoomara Sawmy Monegar and

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.-

and as this Document is stated by the Vakeels to be lodged in the Collector's Office the Acting Civil Judge resolves to request that the same may be forwarded to him.-

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Tinnevelly for his information.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) G. T. Beauchamp.
Acting Civil Judge.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. J. Bird
Collector.

(35.36)
Bd. No. 1743.
Proceedings thereon.

The only mention of the Mootah of Vadhee in the List which accompanied Mr. Parish's letter of the 29th August 1803 is that it formed one of the 61 Villages which composed the Zemindary of Wootamally.-

In Cons 15th Sept. 1803.

2. In their letter of the 25th October 1803 the Board acknowledge the receipt of that Officer's letters of the 29th August and 6th September 1803 and intimated that the measures adopted by him "in delivering Summuds to the newly constituted Zemindars &c. are approved."-

+ not forthcoming

In Cons 25th June 1804.

3. In Mr. Parish's letter of the 8th June 1804 reporting upon the Summuds and Kisthundy of the Madura and Dindigal Districts and sequestered Pollams for Fusly 1213, Enclosure A. No. 8 being a Statement of the Sale of Lands in the sequestered Pollams, shows that Mootoo Comarasawmy Moniagar of

Fort George 26th August 1852.-

of Parryoor was the purchaser of the Vadhee Mootah at a price of Star Pags. 510-- -- -- but neither the Board's letter to Government of 14th July 1804 submitting the foregoing nor the reply of Government of 11th August 1804 make any allusion to the sale.

In Cons 27th August 1804.

4. The records of this Office do not throw any additional light on the transactions.-

---

No. 142.

To
W. H. Bayley Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(37)
Bd. No. 1917.
From the Collector Tinnevelly.
Stating that the Zubtee lands and the Company's ayen Village Pooliary have been made over to the Travancore authorities and the Travancore Village Mulliancolum taken charge of on behalf of this Government and forwarding Copy of a letter received from the Resident of Travancore with it annexed Copy of a Communication from the Dewan as to the proportion

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that in accordance with the orders of Government in Minutes of Consultation dated 5th December last the Zubtee lands and the Company's ayen Village Pooliary have been made over to the Travancore authorities, and the Travancore Village Mulliancolum taken charge of on behalf of this Government. The transfers dating from the end of the past Fusly.-

I have further the honor to enclose Copy

2.

proportion of expense to be borne for the boundary stones and applying for the services of a Surveyor.-

Copy of a letter received from the Resident of Travancore dated 16th June last with its annexed Copy of a Communication from the Dewan of Travancore as to the proportion of expense to be borne for the boundary stones proposed to be erected in the Shencottah District.-

3. It will be observed that in the above communication the Dewan alludes to lands not reckoned among the Zubtee lands which are found to lie on the Travancore side of the boundary in the Map drawn from the Survey of Messrs. Connor and Ward.-

4. The accompanying Statement shows that some portions of several of our Villages are situated on the Travancore side of the boundary in the Map without having been ever occupied or claimed by the Travancore Government, the Annual Revenue derived from which amounts to Rupees 955-5-8. But it is impossible without instruments and a person who knows how to use them to determine accurately the boundary as defined in the Memoir drawn up by Messrs. Connor and Ward.-

5. I therefore consider it important that a Surveyor should be appointed by Government to mark out the boundary between this

this District and Travancore according to the above Memoir before we should consent to the erection of boundary stones and I beg to urge that such Survey should be carried not only in the vicinity of the Zubtee lands but along the whole length of the District for there are several disputed portions in the South respecting which no satisfactory decision can be arrived at without a proper Survey of the boundary being made, and the Civil Engineer has neither time nor authority to render his assistance in such inquiries.-

6. I shall be prepared shortly to submit an Account of the Monies in deposit which have accrued from the Collection of Revenue on the Zubtee lands from the date of their attachment.-

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector.

No. 1.

Tinnevelly
Tenkasey
5th August, 1852.

To,
C. J. Bird Esquire
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir, I have the honor to refer for

9757 Fort St. George 26th August 1852.-

No. 199 of 8th Instant.

for your consideration copy of a communication from the Dewan of Travancore as to the proportion of expense to be borne for the boundary stones proposed to be erected in the Shencottah District.-

Resident's Office,
Cochin, - - - -
16th June, 1852.

(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.

No. 199.

To, Lieut. General W. Cullen.
British Resident
of Travancore
&c &c &c

Sir

I have the honor to submit herewith translate of a report made by the Mel Eltho Pilly on duty at Shencottah under date the 8th Vycausy 1027 / 19th May 1852 stating that Boundary stones are under preparation and asking me for instructions as to whether the expenses incurrable in cutting and setting up the stones are to be defrayed by the Sircar alone, or whether the Honorable Company would also bear a proportion of the

By.

9758. Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

same. further that besides Mylappaporum Gramum, some Hamlets attached to the above village also come within the Travancore side of the line laid down in the Map.

The Sircar would leave it to the Collector whether the above expenses are to be borne by the Sircar alone, or conjointly with the Honorable Company and I would beg of you the favor to take steps with a view to the transfer to this Sircar of all the grounds that may be found to be within the Travancore side of the line already determined upon in compliance with the suggestion of the Mel Eltho Pilly.-

Huzzoor Cutcherry,
Trevandrum, &c
8th June 1852.

(Signed) Kristna Row
Dewan

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident

(True Copies)
(Signed) C. I. Bird
Collector

Statement.

Fort St. George,

No. 2.

26th August 1852.

undermentioned 16.

Villages belonging to the Honorable Company which are within

Poonjah

Name of Village	Total extent of Ayacut Lands			Barren Land not fit for cultivation		Remaining Land fit for cultivation		Name of Village thereof			Toddy trees
	Total										
	Ch.	m	sc	ch.	m	ch.	m		a	R	No.
	1			8		9		10	11		12
Poonjah											
Beriz thereof	68	1	0								
	69	10	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15
	71	10	8	15/8	"	"	"	15/8	5	11	8	"
	196	7	7	55/64	"	"	"	55/64	2	11	9	89.
	"	15	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	4 34/64	"	3 47/64	"	51/64	3	8	9	13½
	157	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	6 11/64	"	"	"	6 11/64	20	2	11	"
	"	"	"	50	"	"	"	50	12½	10	5	"
	250	11	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	5	15	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	24	6	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	12	12	3	21/64	"	21/64	"		15	5	6	
	176	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	45	15	6	1 9/10	"	19/10	"		5	1	4	"
	"	"	"	2 7/10	"	2 7/10	"		10	5	6	"
	2	9	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	10 14	10	7	6 23/64	3 47/64	6 3 50/64			182	3	9	24½

Nunjah

Name of Village	Total extent of Ayacut Lands			Remaining Lands fit for Cultivation		
	Total					
	5			6		
	m	sc	m	m	sc	m	m
	"	"	1/4	2	6	1	4 1/4
	3 3/4	3	14	7/8	11	"	1/2
	2 1/2	11	18	1/2	9	20	6 1/4
	"	2	1	2 1/2	"	2	2 1/4
	"	"	9	6	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	11	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	23	10	7 7/8
	"	"	15	6	"	11	2
	"	"	"	"	5	20	"
	"	"	"	"	"	17	3/4
	"	4	9	4 1/4	1	8	1/2
	"	"	"	"	4	15	1 1/4
	"	5	10	2	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	6	1/4
	6 1/4	29	4	2 5/8	81	10	1/8.

9760

Fort St. George, 20th August 1852.

the Travancore side of the line laid down in the Map drawn up by Messrs. Connor and Ward.

Assessment thereof	Amount of Fish Rent &c.	Mutupha Blaiz	Total of Columns 7 11 13 14 and 15	Particulars of Column 16.		Remarks.
				D. D. on lands &c. under Jyft and now made over to the enjoyment of the Travancore Government.	D. D. on lands &c. in the enjoyment of the Honorable Company	
13	14	15	16	18	19	20.
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
3 2 11		1 15 5	14 12 11			
18 12 4	3 7 10		77 6 4	171	10 8	
25 1 3	1 13 10		221 7 6			* Some of the lands in the Villages Nos. 1 & 2. which belong to the Honorable Company are included in the Jyftee lands and the revenue derived therefrom is held in deposit.
			2 13 5			
			28 10 "			† The whole of the lands in the Village No. 8 are in possession of the Travancore Sircar but the annual Summabundy of that Village is made in this District and the Teerwah thereon stands in arrears against the Ryots since Fusly 1218.
			157 3 "	157 3 "		
			26 2 11	28 2 11		
			12 10 5			‡ Some of the Ayen lands in the Village No. 7 are now enjoyed by the Travancore Government but they are included in our Summabundy accounts since Fusly 1252. the first year they were brought under cultivation and the payment of the Teerwah thereon is withheld by the Ryots.
			250 11 6			
1 4 4			5 15 7			
			24 6 9			
			15 " "			
			176 4 3			
			* 51 10 "	42 2 10		* Some of the lands in the Villages No. 2 and 14. which belong to the Honorable Company are in the enjoyment of the Travancore Govt and no cultivation and Revenue accounts of the same have ever been kept in this District.
			10 5 6			
			2 9 4			
48 4 10	5 5 8	1 15 5	1,252 8 3	39 4 "	955 5 8	

Tinnevelly, Tencasey, 5th August 1852.

E E Pc.

/ Signed / C. J. Bird
Collector

9761

Fort St. George 28th August 1852.

Bo. No. 1733.

Proceedings thereon,

37.38

The Collector is requested to state whether the duty of marking out the boundary in the disputed tracts between Tinnevelly and Travancore according to the line defined in the Memoir of Messrs. Connor and Ward should not be entrusted to one of his Assistants. If not, within what period the work is likely to be completed by a Surveyor.

2. Mr. Bird has failed to afford the explanation called for by Govern-
ment in para 4 of Extract Minutes of
Consultation 5th December 1851, and
15th April 1852, respecting the amount
carried to the credit of Government
and that now in deposit, being the
collections derived from the Zuftee land
which it appears to have been the wish of
Government to have made over to the Travan-
core Circar at an early date and his
attention is called to the subject.

In Cons 5th Jany 52.

In Cons 29th April 52.

To

9762

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

No. 145

To

W. H. Bayley. Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

39.

Bo. No. 1948

From the Collector
of Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 22d Ultimo and to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1851. therein alluded to, I have the honor to inform you that although one year has expired since the receipt of the Sanction of Government regard-
ing remuneration to the Goomastah to be en-
trusted with the Sale of Stamps required for the Brummadasum District Moonsiff's Court the actual Sales by the Individual in question commenced only from the 13th April last on which date he was furnished with the Sunnud prescribed by Clause 7 Section X Regulation XIII of 1816 on his giving the required Security and the quantity of Stamps Sold by him from that date to the 17th Ultimo amounts to 102 Papers of the value of Rupees 381½ the commission to the Goomastah on which is Rupees 11-7. as the Sales during this short period will not

not afford sufficient data to form any opinion on the working of the arrangement shall have the honor to furnish a further report for the information of Government at the expiration of one year from the date of the Sunnud of appointment above referred to.—

Timmevelly, Tencauvey, 9th August 1852. } Signed C. J. Bird Collector

Bd. No. 1754

Proceedings thereon

(39.40)

No. 366

The actual sales not having commenced until the 13 April 1852 / 8 Months after date of Sanction / and the period being too short to admit of sufficient data whereon to form an opinion of the working of the arrangement the Board will await the receipt of the promised report of next April.

2 Meanwhile they resolve to submit the Collector's letter together with copy of this resolution for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 27th May 1851.

In Con. 5th June 1851.

To

No. 155.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(41)

Bd. No. 2032.

From the Collator of Timmevelly.

Sir,

With reference to your Circular of the 3d June last, I have the honor to forward an Hindoovey Translation of my reply of 12th September 1850 to the draft Fort Coomanah therein alluded to.—

Timmevelly, Tencauvey, 21st August 1852 } Signed C. J. Bird Collector

Order thereon

(41.42)

Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter.

No. 72

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(43)

Bd. No. 1914.3

From the Coll.r of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board the death, on the 23d May 1852 of Chennakainal one of the Members of the family of

of the Poligar of Mungalum in the Chuckia-
=ghery Talook in this District and who was
in the receipt of an annual Pension of Rupees
85-13-11 nder the Sanction of Government
dated 10th April 1827.

2 Soobbaroya Vullagoonden the surviving
son of the deceased is in receipt of a Pension of
Rupees 42-14-11 per annum for the support
of his family. Under this circumstance and
with reference to the Extract from the Proceed-
=ings of the Honorable President of the Council
of India in the case of the late Sangandy
Naick Poligar of Jellysutty, it does not appear
to contain any portion of the deceased's Pension
to her said son.

Coimbatore, } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
9th August 1852. } Collector

Bd. No. 1742.

No. 364

Proceedings thereon.

(43.44)

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the orders of Government of 10th April 1827 on the audit of the accounts of Collector

In Govt. 19th April 1827.

for Fasly 1234.

2 The Board concur in opinion with the Collector that the pension of Rupees 85-13-11 per annum enjoyed by the deceased Chinna Hamal

Hamal may be considered as having lapsed to Government, her surviving son Soobbaroya Vullagoonden being in receipt of a separate pension of Rupees 42-14-11 per annum.

Read the following letter.

No. 104
1852

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(45)

B/ No. 2033

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 29th Ultimo I have the honor to enclose a supplemental statement of the highest offers made for the rent of the abkary Farms of Palghaut in this District for Fasly 1262 and to request the Board will be good enough to confirm the same. The renter has given good and sufficient security.

2 I take this opportunity of forwarding the acting Sub Collector's further Report dated 7 Instant, regarding the Ipreenad Farm. and to state that under the

authority

9708

Fort St George 20th August 1852.

Authenticated copy with the Revenue Letter of the Board of Proceedings noted in the Margin of this Form

Collection
2/ 28th August 1852

Signed W. V. Conolly
Collector

9708 Fort St George 20 August 1852

No 1 Supplemental Statement shewing the highest Offers that have been made for the Rent of the Abkarry Farm of Palghaut in the District of Malabar for July 1262 M.E. 1852/53 compared with the Rents of the preceding five years.—

Division	Taloot	Name of the Bidder	Highest amount bid for July 1262	Rent of the preceding July 1261	Difference Increase	Difference Decrease	Average Rent of the five preceding Fuslies Viz. 1257 to 1261.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. As. Ps.	Rs. As. Ps.	Rs. As. Ps.	Rs. As. Ps.	Rs. As. Ps.	
Head Assistant Collector's Division	Palghaut	Nalak Perumal Pillah Son of Soonaram Pillah	531	575	.. .. ..	44	462	This was only a temporary Farm since July 1858 and has been given at the recommendation of the Head Assistant under circumstances explained in the accompanying letter dated 29th March 1852
	Walliar		.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
Total			531	575	.. .. ..	44	462	

E. E. Rs. Signed W. V. Conolly
Collector.

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

No 2

To

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of Malabar

Sir

I have the honor to report that I now consider the maintaining of an abkarry contract along the Walyar road established in 1848. not only no longer necessary but now opposed to the interests of the Public.

2 When I applied to be allowed to grant the contract in question, the whole length of the road from Gangavaly to Walyar passed through dense Jungle and there was not a single house or hut for 8 Miles. The formation of a fine road and the grant of liberal Cowle for Cultivation &c. have entirely changed this state of things. In Chully, Muddy and Wattakara / Takady / &c. there are now some 30 to 40 houses, wide clearings have taken place on all sides and Farm houses have or will shortly be formed in the vicinity Estates. The condition of that tract must now be one of progressive improvement.

3 Under these changed circumstances the arrangement to secure by granting a temporary Monopoly of the Toddy consumption

Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

consumption by Travellers the permanent residence of a few individuals along the line is no longer required. There are now houses and inhabitants sufficient and were the supply of the road thrown open Toyers and others would settle which they are now prevented from doing by the existence of a contract.

Malabar,
Head Asst. Collector's Office
Palghaut 29th March 1852

Signed W. Robinson
Head Assistant Collector

True Copy
Signed H.V. Conolly
Collector

No 3.

To

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of

Malabar.

Sir

With reference to the Extract of Board of Revenue's proceedings dated 29th Ultimo I have the honor to submit the report of my Sheristedar on the subject. I have further enquired in the Office &c. From Mr. Chatfield's letter dated 28th June too, may be inferred that as in this case the abkarry farm in question was not put up to fair competition by outcry. The written offer of the Petitioner was represented

to

to Mr. Chatfield and he considering it sufficient Knocked it down to them, no chance of bidding in the usual way was afforded.—

Sub Collector's Office
Tellicherry 1st August 1832 } /Signed/ W. Robinson
Acting Sub Collector

P.S. The Second Sale was made by Public outcry and gave an increase of Rupees 788 – 5 – on the offer which Mr. Chatfield had accepted as sufficient. It has been in force since the commencement of the Fusly

/Signed/ W. Robinson
Acting Sub Collector

To.
W. Robinson Esqre.
Acting Sub Collector of Malabar

The aryee of Venkataramanayen
Shereistadar of the Sub Collector's office

I beg to submit my reply to the order joined to me requiring me to state the manner in which the arrack farms within the limits of the 21 Umshoms comprized in Cottyam and Iruvanad in the Cottayam Talook were disposed of for the present Fusly 1262.

Whilst all the Ferry arrack and Still farms within this Division for Fusly 1262. were being disposed of by Public Auction in the presence of the Sub Collector Mr. Chatfield on the 21st June at the time when the arrack farms of the 21 Umshoms in Cottayam and Iruvanad were about being put up for competition, Informed Mr. Chatfield that Mootkole Ramrony and Vaddogara Karen Channiden had given in a tender offering 500 Rupees and above the amount, Viz.t, Rupees 4,51[illegible]-[illegible] which those farms realized in Fusly 1261 Mr. Chatfield then referred to the accounts showing the several sums realized by them from Fusly 1264. and to accepted the above offer of 500 Rupees by knocking on the Table. The Sub renters (of these farms) of Fusly 1261 then mentioned to Mr. Chatfield that if the said farms were put up at auction they would realize more but Mr. Chatfield answered that the sale had been already concluded.

This is what took place and which I now beg to state for your information

Signature.

Dated 5th August 1832.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ W. V. Conolly
Collector

45.46
Bd. 1st 1732

Proceedings thereon,

The Board of Revenue Sanction the acceptance of the Offer for the Abkarry Farm of

Fort St. George 20 August 1852.

of Palghaut in the District of Malabar for July 1262, reported in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 531 and authorize the Renter being placed in possession of the Farm on his making the necessary deposit and giving the required Security.—

C. O. 11th July/28 Page 79.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.—

47

To The Collector of Salem

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to furnish this Office with a Copy of Mr. Mattby's letter dated 28th October 1848 on the state of the Agraharam of Peroomalanasamoodrum quoted in para 57 of the Settlement Report of the Salem District for July 1258.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 26th August 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

Bd. No. 1728.

To The Collector of Salem.

Bd. No. 2014

From the Collector of Canara. Read Detailed list of the

48

Revenue and Magisterial Establishments as they

4849 stood on the 30th April 1852.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.—

Read

Fort St. George 20th August 1852.

50

From the Collector of Madras. Read Comparation Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the quarter ending 31st July last.—

50.51

Order thereon Ordered to lie over

52

No. 880 Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Collector of Madura. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public

53

No. 881 Servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Madura. Return of alterations that

54

No. 883 have occured in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Ganjam. Abstract of Demand

55

No. 877 Collection and Balance from 1st to 15th July last

From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons

56

No. 884 charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.—

From the Collector of Salem. Report that no Persons were charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.—

Return

9775. Fort St. George 20th August 1852.

57 No. 885 From the Collector of Salem. Return of Village Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.—

58 No. 878 From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.—

59 No. 879 From the Collector of Tanjore. Reporting that no Village Officers have been suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.

60 No. 882. From the Collector of Madras. Acknowledging the receipt of 1000 Salt Rowannahs.—

61 No. 859 From the Collector of Salem. Requesting a supply of the Printed forms of Demand & Collection and Balance Statements.—

61.62 Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.—

" Goldingham

" Millett
" Lewis

Compd. J. G. Boyle

9776 Fort St. George 20th August 1852.

Diary

28th Augt 1852. Received the following letter

1 Board No. 2062. From the Collector of Tuticorin } Sir, I have the honor to request you will do me the favor of submitting to the Board of Revenue for the favorable consideration of Government this my application, together with the accompanying Certificate, recommending a further extension of my leave to the 31st December next.

Dotacamund, 20th August 1852. } I have the honor &c. / Signed / J. R. Gordon. Assistant Collector.

No. 1.

Original.—

J. R. Gordon Esqr Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of Tuticorin having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence, I do hereby Certify from personal observation, that he is suffering from general debility and that in consequence I consider it necessary for

9777

Fort St. George 4th August 1852.

/ Signed / A. Davidson
Physician General.

for the perfect recovery of his health and efficiency, that he should be allowed to be absent from his duty and remain on the Neilgherries with permission to visit Bangalore until the 31st December 1852.

Ootacamund,
21st July 1852.

/ Signed / W. Johnston M.D.
Assistant Surgeon
Medical Officer
Neilgherries.

/ Signed / G. Pearson
Superintending Surgeon
S.D.

No. 10.

In forwarding this application for leave the Collector has the honor to state that there is no objection to its being complied with.

Trichinopoly District
Vyganellore, Collectors Circuit
Cutcherry, 24th August, 1852.

/ Signed / J. Bird.
Collector

Order thereon.

1-2

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Received the following letter.

To

9778

Fort St. George 4th August 1852.

No. 1639.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

3
Board's No. 2042.

From the Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army
No. 226. 14th Augt 1852.

Sir,

I have the honor by order to enclose you a letter from Officer Commanding Masulipatam / to be returned / regarding the disposal of certain Tents found among the effects of the late Captain Elliott of the Engineers, and to request you will have the goodness to acquaint me if the Board have any cognizance of the Tents, as they do not belong to this Department.

If the Board have knowledge of them be so good as to issue instructions for their disposal.

Fort St. George,
20th August, 1852.

/ Signed / F. S. Scott, Major
Deputy Quarter Master
General of the Army.

No. 1
No. 226.

To The Deputy Quarter Master
General of the Army
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that

4 Bechobas
2 Round Hill Tents.

Tents, description as Margin, have been found by the Committee among the effects of the late Captain Charles

Fort St. George 14th August 1852.

Charles Morgan Elliott of the Engineers died at this Station August 4th 1852.

2. It appears from Copies of documents found amongst the papers of the deceased that the Tents were made up by Captain Elliott and Contingent Bills submitted to Government thro' the Chief Secretary.

3. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I should move these Tents or that you will have the instructions regarding them issued from the Department of the Quarter Master General / to whom I shall hand this letter to the proper Department in order that instructions may be issued.

I have &c.

Signed / F. Stratton, Brigadier Commanding Masulipatam.

Masulipatam, 14th August, 1852.

3-4

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 28th August 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that neither the Revenue nor Public Works Department of this Office know any thing about the Tents belonging to the late Captain Elliott.

I have &c.

Signed / W. H. Bayley Secretary &c.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 28th August, 1852.

Board's No. 1740.

To the Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

At a Meeting Present

Monday, 30th August, 1852.

I. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member

T. L. Plane and Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

5

Board's No. 2016.

From the Secy. to Government.

Forwarding for communications to the acting Collector of Gorith Ared a letter from the Commissary General on the subject of the Licenses granted to Mr. Potter for the sale of Spirits Wines &c.

Read the following Extracts -

No. 823.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 23d August, 1852.

Read the following.

No. 829.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department under date the 2nd August 1852.

Read the following papers.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department. / Here enter 6th July 1852. No. 648 /

No. 80.

To,

Sir, H. C. Montgomery Bart.

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to Minutes of Consultation

Fort St. George 31th August 1832.

No. 648. 6th July 1832
(Herewith returned.)

13th July 1832.

Consultation in the Revenue Department
referred to me for my observation by an order
of Government in the Military Department.
I have the honor to state for the information of
the Right Honorable the Governor in Council
that I regret I should have misunderstood the
intention of the General order of Government of
the 27th September 1839, and should have sanc-
tioned under a misapprehension of its applica-
bility to the case in question a licence to be
granted to Mr Potter for sale of Spirituous liquors
&c. in the Cantonment of Arcot.

2.

I was led into this error by the
order declaring "such parts of Regulations
"of 1832 as especially apply to Commanding
"Officers at Military Bazar stations only to be
"equally applicable to the Officer Command-
"ing Arcot" in which parts of the Regulations
I included Section XLVII which states that
"at all Military Stations or Cantonments
"whatsoever, a Register shall be duly kept by
"the Commissariat or other Officer in charge of
"the Police, or by the Station Staff Officer, or

Fort St. George 30th August 1832.

"as the case may be, of all persons licensed to
"deal in spirituous fermented liquors or intoxi-
"cating drugs within the limits of such station
"or Cantonment, no shops for the purpose shall be
"established but under the express permission of the
"Commanding Officer who shall fix their respective
"sites."

3.

Captain Cooke, the Senior Officer in
the Cantonment of Arcot at the time, addressed
me a letter, copy of which I beg to annex, re-
19th February 1832 presenting that it was "the general wish of the
"Residents here / Arcot / that such a description of
"Shop should be established for their convenience
"the want of which has caused them as well as
"myself great expense and trouble."—

Mr Potter was represented
as a most respectable man, and his licence
could not have interfered with the interests of the
Abkarry Farm, as he was bound by good security,
and under a penalty of four hundred Rupees, and
the immediate forfeiture of his licence, not to
sell any Spirits manufactured in the Country.—

5.

I have addressed a letter to the
Officer

Officer Commanding Arcot informing him that Mr. Potter's license is disapproved of and that any future license of the kind must be obtained from the Collector of the District.

Commissary General's Office,
Madras, 31st July, 1852. } / Signed / A. Tulloch, Colonel
Commissary General.

Communicated to the Revenue Department.

/ Signed / C. A. Browne, Lt. Colonel
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the letter of the Commissary General dated 31st July 1852, be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot through the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th July 1852 No. 1645.

/ A true Copy and Extract /
/ Signed / T. Aycroft
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and
Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Board No. 1770.

Order thereon.

(5-6)

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 22nd July 1852.

Read the following letter

(7)

Board No. 1916.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. } Sir,

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

There being no Salt available for Exportation, the usual Monthly Statement marked **F** has not been forwarded.

Rajahmundry District,
Rajahmundry, ... / Signed / T. Prendergast
9th August, 1852 ... Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

(7-8)

Read the following

Statement.

Statement

9786 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Ordered to be sent for publication

Order thereon. in the Fort St. George Gazette.

9.18

Read the following letter.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

11

Board No. 2066.

From the Head Assistant Collector of Bellary. Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will submit to the Board of Revenue for the final sanction of Government my application for extension of leave as per Medical Certificate herewith enclosed.

Under Section XI Absentee Rules.

Ostacamund, 24th August, 1852. / Signed / D. Arbuthnot
Head Assistant to the
Collector of Bellary.

Original

The Honorable David Arbuthnot Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate of Bellary having applied to me for a Certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence, I do hereby Certify from personal observation that he is suffering from general debility, the result of frequent attacks of Intermittent Fever with which disease he is still at different periods

9785 Fort St. George 31st August 1852.

Board No. 1894.

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in store at each of the several places of Depot in the District of Salem up to 31st July 1852.

No.	Places at which Salt is stocked.	Quantity of Salt available for Exportation in the year 1852/53	Quantity actually in store and remaining ready for Exportation.	Quantity of Salt Exported already in the year 1852/53
1	Chaphully	60000	52200	1500
2	Varoor	24000	21000	"
3	Kistnapatam	40000	33000	7000
		1,24000	106200	14800

Salem Collectr's Cutcherry, 6th August 1852

Indian Manufd. & Manufd. Sea Manufd.

Signed / D. White
Collector.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

/ Signed / P. Davidson
Physician General.

periods affected, and which has existed for the last eight Months, and that in consequence I consider it necessary for the perfect recovery of his health and efficiency that he should be allowed to be absent from his duty and remain on the Neilgherries with an extension of leave until the 31st October 1852.

Dotacamund,
27th July 1852.

/ Signed / W. H. Johnston, M.D.
Assistant Surgeon.
Medical Office Neilgherries

18 12

Board's No. 1750.
Proceedings thereon.

/ Signed / G. Patison
Superintending Surgeon N.D.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its Enclosure be returned to the Honorable D. Arbuthnot, with a request that he will submit the same through the Collector of Bellary.

Read the following letters.

No. 79.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

18

Board's No. 1936.
From the Collector of Cuddapah
Encloses copy

Sir,

In Petitions No. 2027 of 1850 and

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Cuddapah which directs Sevaramma Reddy a dismissed Village reddy of Nulcherroo in Poolevendala to apply to the Magistrate for a reconsideration of his case already decided by the Board of Revenue

and Nos. 31. 264. 441. 504. 1101. 1102. 1515. and 1846 of 1851. Sevaramareddy addressed the Board against his removal from the Office of Village reddy of Nulcherroo in Poolevendala, and his petition was referred to me for Endorsement I gave the Board full information of the facts which had led to the Reddy's dismissal and of his general character, and I expressed in strong terms my unwillingness again to admit him to Office.—

The Board's orders on this Endorsement have not been communicated to me but I have understood from the petitioner himself that they were adverse to the prayer of his petition.—

He has however very lately addressed a petition to me demanding a restoration to Office on the strength of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Cuddapah, a copy of which I enclose, from which it appears that he addressed a petition to the Court of Foujdary Udalut which was referred to the Sessions Court for disposal, and which has resulted in a direction to the petitioner to apply to the Magistrate for a reconsideration of his case

The

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

3.

The Board will observe that the order of the Court has been passed without any reference to me, without any enquiry into the merits of the case, or any information being obtained as to the previous disposal of the case by higher authority at Madras.

Circular Order 4.
Page 530.

In the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 10th of June 1850 it appears to be very distinctly laid down that all the public servants in a District whether in the Revenue or Police Establishments are subject to the control of the Board of Revenue exclusively, and as I foresee that much inconvenience may arise from the Sessions Courts receiving and passing orders on petitions from dismissed Servants, whose cases having been already disposed of by the Board it will be impossible for the Magistrate to comply with the Courts orders upon them, if unfortunately as in the present case, from want of full information they shall be in opposition to those already given. I have the honor to bring the question to notice.

Cuddapah Collector's Office,
9th August 1852 ..... } I Signed I H. Forbes
Collector.
No. 1.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Enclosure No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Cuddapah, under date the 6th May, 1852.

Read Petition dated 5th May presented by Sevaramareddy complaining of his dismissal from his Office of Peddy of Nullacheroovoo on account of some report from the Head of Police of Polevendala to the Magistrate stating him to be in league with certain exceptionable characters shewn by his failure to apprehend those mentioned in a Takeed to him.

The Act complained of Viz.

1.

dismissal from employ appears to have occurred in October 1849 or 2½ years back and it is 4½ Months since the Court of Tanjore on Udawlut passed an order on a petition from the said Sevaramareddy directing the party to the Sessions Court.

2.

In looking at the Takeed sent by the Head of Police to Petitioner the Villages where the suspected characters lived is not mentioned, and this, petitioner gives

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

gives as his reason for not being able to ap-
=prehend the parties.-

3. As the period during which the Petitioner has been deprived of his Employ would appear sufficient to induce greater Zeal in future, if no Criminal connivance has been established, the Petitioner is recommended to apply to the Magistrate to consider his case.

Copy of this Extract to be furnished to the Petitioner on his application.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. Elliot

Sessions Judge.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ H. Forbes

Collector.

13.14

Board's Mn 1769.

Proceedings thereon.

The Magistrate should endorse the Petition lately presented by the Village Reddy of Nulcherroo in Poolevendla referring him to the previous orders of the Board upon his case.

He may at the same time address the Sessions Court of Cuddapah pointing the inconvenience arising from its interference in matters placed by the orders of Government exclusively under the control of the Board of Revenue.

To.

Circular Order Book Page 530.

DG.

Fort St. George 30th. August 1852.

No. 83.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

15

B. No. 1971.

From the Collector of Cuddapah.....

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Statement affording the explanation called for by the Board in your letter of the 29th. April last. The second item Viz. 433-4-8 was erroneously entered in Mr. Inglis's letter, and should have been Rupees 431-4-8.—

Cuddapah, Collector's Office, 14th. August 1852....

/Signed/ H. Forbes.—

Collector.

Statement.—

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

gives as his reason for not being able to ap=prehend the parties.—

3. As the period during which the Petitioner has been deprived of his Employ would appear sufficient to induce greater Zeal in future, if no Criminal connivance has been established, the Petitioner is recommended to apply to the Magistrate to consider his case.

Copy of this Extract to be furnished to the Petitioner on his application.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. Elliot

Sessions Judge.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ H. Forbes

Collector.

13-14

Board's Mn. 1769.

Proceedings thereon.

The Magistrate should endorse the Petition lately presented by the Village Paddy of Nulcherroo in Polevendla referring him to the previous orders of the Board upon his case.

He may at the same time address the Sessions Court of Cuddapah pointing the inconvenience arising from its interference in matters placed by the orders of Government exclusively under the control of the Board of Revenue.

Circular Order Book Page 530.

H.G.

Fort St. George 30th. August 1852.—

No. 83.—

To

W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

15

B. No. 1971.

From the Collector of Cuddapah.....

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Statement affording the explanation called for by the Board in your letter of the 29th. April last. The second item Viz. 433-4-8 was erroneously entered in Mr. Inglis's Letter, and should have been Rupees 431. 4. 8.—

Cuddapah, Collectors Office, 14th. August 1852...

/Signed/ H. Forbes.—

Collector.

Statement.—

9793

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement shewing the Amount of ready Money and date of the grant in the District of

30th August 1852.

allowance granted to certain Village Officers the case, authority for

Coddapah

Talook & Number.	Villages	Pay of the Ready	Sheriff	Village Cattlebody Pan	Smithy Cattle body	Total for Fusly 1260	Amount entered in the account for Fusly 1262.	Increase	Decrease	For what paid or given.	The authority for, and date of the grant.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Cumbum	1 Ramvoolapully	8 12								Shre Bung ree Reddy Enam	The grant is entered in the Kistbundy chitta of Fusly 1230 bearing the seal and signature of the Collector.
	2 Chinna Cumbum		22 3 3			22 3 3				Do Shroff Enam	Ditto Ditto of Fusly 1234 and Ditto Ditto
		8 12	22 3 3			30 15 3	30 15 3				
Bodinaick	1 Congalaveedoo			14 9 4		14 9 4	14 9 4			Do Village Cattleba dy.	Do Do of Fusly 1233 and Do Ditto
	1 Bodathoor				17 8	17 8	17 8			Do Do Do	Ditto Ditto of Fusly 1217 and Do Do
	2 Booroojoopully										
	3 Conavarapully				29 28	29 28	29 28			Do Do Do	From Fusly 1219 a remission of Rs. 17½ was granted but being considered inadequate it was increased to Rupees 29. 28. in Fusly 1231 as appears from the Kistbundy chitta.
Jammulamadugoo.	4 Penakalapaud				13 15 5	13 15 5	13 15 5			Do Do Do	In the Kistbundy chitta of Fusly 1217 an allowance of Rs was entered and subsequently a small difference has arisen from remission being granted in the Coolawary pattahs.
						78 21	78 21				

9794

Fort St. George

30th August 1852

Taluk & Number.	Villages	Pay of the Reddy.	Pay of the Shroff	Pay of the Village Cattoobady	Pay of the Jungly Cattoobady	Total for Fusly 1260	As entered in the account for Fusly 1252	Comparison between Col. 4 and 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 4 and 8 Decrease	For what reasons given.	The authority for and date of the Grant
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Devicottah	1 Gunjeakoontah	70				70	70			Shere being no reddy Enam	A order of Mr Ross dated 3d March Fusly 1219. Entered in the Histhundy Chitta of Fusly 1216.
	2 Chelmakoor		35			35	35			Do Shroff Do	
	3 Vellalah			35		35	35			Village Cattoobadies being insufficient in number.	The order of Colonel Munro dated 2d March Fusly 1216.
	4 Sevapoorum		35			35	35			Being no Village Cattoobadies	The order of Colonel Munro dated 13th March 1216.
		70	35	70		175	175				
Hosicotah	1 Wooyalavadah				291 108	291 108	291 108			Granted in lieu of Cattoobady Enams resumed by the former Govt.	By Colonel Munro during the Tareem Jamabundy Settlement as in Fusly 1216 as appears from the Histhundy Chitta.
	2 Padagapandah				23 54	23 54	23 54			Do Do Do	
					315	315	315				
Chittoor	1 Singanamalah	98 143				98 143	98 144		1	Shere being no reddy Enam. Granted to Havildars in lieu of resumed Enams. The Enamdars performed the Police Duties	Entered in the Histhundy Chittah of Fusly 1215. Do Do from Fusly 1214.
	2 Kadoar				94 128	94 128	94 128				
	3 Sreerungarayanpoorum				47 4	47 4	47 4			Granted in lieu of resumed Cattoobady Enams enjoyed as pension and without the performance of Service	By order of Colonel Jagadabhen Sheyshumarayen was on the receipt of Rs 70 per Annum from Fusly 1214 as appears from the Histhundy chitta of that year. On the 4th March Fusly 1240 the Government sanctioned the continuance of a pension of Rs 48 per Annum. the Sum of Annas twelve had been deducted on account Rosum on gains by exchange and the remaining sum of Rs 47-4-- paid.
		98 143			142 8	240 151	240 151				

9795

Fort St. George

Pay of the

Taluk's Number.	Villages.	Reddy.	Shroff.	Total of Village Cuttubady Fanams	Total of Jungly Cuttubady Fanams	Total of Paddy	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	Muntapurumpauly			116 14 8			116 14 8
2	Sidhout			78			78
3	Velagapauly						
4	Moolapauly			14 9 4			14 9 4
5	Nungumbapettah			14 9 4			14 9 4
6	Unkumpauly			17 8			17 8
				21			21
7	Kooroomaloor			16 9 2			16 9 2
				112 3 10	116 14 8		228 18 6

Taluk's Number.

30th August 1852

Accounted in the Account for July 1852	Comparison between Col.s 7 and 8. Increase	Decrease	For what reasons given.—	The authority for, and date of the grants.
8	9	10	11	12
116 14 8			Granted in lieu of resumed Jungly cuttubady Fanam. the cuttubady ladies performe police duties	Entered in the Hist hundy chitta of July 1217.—
28			There being no Village cuttubady ladies	Do Do wherein it is stated that it was granted by the orders of the Collector Mr Clapham dated 15th March of the same July.—
14 9 4			Do Do Do	Do Do Do Do
14 9 4				
17 8			The Fanam of the Village Cuttubady being small	Do Do Do
21				
16 9 2			The only cuttubady in the Village held a small Fanam of Rupees 2-15-3 which was flooded in July 1256 Fanam amounting to Rs 14-13-3 was given him from the Agaunt Bunjee subsequently it also being reported unfit for cultivation land amounting to Rupees 16-9-2 was given him in July 1260.—	An order issued by the Head Assistant Coll.r Mr Ratliff dated 21st January July 1250. Subsequently confirmed by the then Collector Mr Cochrane.—
211 13 4	16 9 2			

9796

Fort St. George

30th August 1832

Taluk	Number	Villages	Pay of the Village Reddy	Pay of the Village Shroff	Pay of the Cuttoo Lady	Pay of the Cuttoo Lady	Total for Fasly 1260	Comparison of the amount between Col.s 7 & 8. for Fasly 1260 Increase	Comparison of the amount between Col.s 7 & 8. for Fasly 1260 Decrease	For what reasons given.	The authority for and date of the grant.
			Vs.	Vs.	Vs.	Vs.	Vs.				
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	1	Rachanapully					17 8			Hone being no village Cuttoo bodies	Entered in the Kistbundy ch.tte of Fasly 1216
	2	Cherlapully					17 8			D.o D.o	D.o D.o
	3	Manillapully					17 8			The number of Cuttoo bodies being sufficient for the discharge of the duties of the Village	D.o D.o
	4	Boggalapully					17 8			D.o D.o	D.o D.o
	5	Ravoolapully					17 8			D.o D.o	D.o D.o
	6	Chinnacampully					17 8			The Crown being considered in sufficient	In the Kistbundy ch.tte of Fasly 1217 Rs 8.12 were entered but subsequently remissions being granted for the land cultivated a small decrease appears
	7	Roodrabharatee patty					17 8			Hone being no Village Cuttoo bodies	The lands in this Village, which is "Beehrack" are cultivated by Poyalums Ryots and the Reddy of the Village applied for a Cuttoolady to collected the circar dues Vs on this account Rs 17.8 were entered in the Kistbundy ch.tte of Fasly 1216, were subsequently continued and again granted on the 6th August Fasly 1237 by Mr. Cockrane the late Collector.
							113 28				
							95 108			17.8	

Fort St. George

30th August 1852.

Folio in Ledger	Name of Inhabitants	Pay of the Reddy	Pay of the Shroff	Pay of the Village Cuttoo-lady	Pay of the Sunghy Cuttoo-lady	Total for Fasly 1260	As entered in the account for Fasly 1252	Comparison between Col.s 7 & 8.	For what reasons given	The authority for and date of the grant.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Goonnamoodoo = continued =									12
	1 Coonamoodoo									
		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	4 13 10	" " " "	4 13 10				
	1 Poolevendalah	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	17 8	" " " "	17 8				
	2 Lingalah	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	8 12	" " " "	8 12				
	3 Seenalakoontah									
	4 Luckamoodoo	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	8 12	" " " "	8 12				
	5 Veeparalah	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	8 12	" " " "	8 12				
	6 Goondlapentah	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	8 12	" " " "	8 12				
		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	17 8	" " " "	17 8				
	Boyalty =									
	1 Gurneemutta	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	70	" " " "	70				
		" " " " " "	" " " " " "	32 2 3	" " " "	32 2 3	3 5 8	1 8 2	The number of Cuttoo ladies being insufficient	It appears from the Hisabundy chitta of Fasly 1233 that Rs 16. 13. 6 was granted as Enaam of which the sum entered in the previous column is half the amount entitled as rusoom remission now granted.
							17 8		The Village Cuttoo-ladies being insufficient	Entered in the Hisabundy chitta of Fasly 1218.
							8 12		There being no Village Cuttoo-ladies.	Do. Do. Do. Do.
							8 12		Do. Do.	Do. Do. Do. Do.
							8 12		Do. Do.	Do. Do. Do. Do.
							17 8		The Village Cuttoo ladies being insufficient	Do. Do.
							70		Additional Enaam was granted to the three Cuttoo ladies of the Village and a garnam was nominated.	Entered in the Hisabundy chitta of Fasly 1231 from which a period remission has been granted.
							32 2 2			

9798

Fort St. George

Pay of the

Abstract.

30th August 1852.

No.	Villages.	Ready	Shroff	Village Cutcha = lady Dues	Cuttabady	Total for Fasly 1260.	As entered in the account for Fasly 1252	Comparison between Columns 7 & 8. Increase	Decrease	For what reasons given	The authority for and date of the grant.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Coondoor	8 12	22 3 3	" " "	" "	30 15 3	30 15 3	" "	" "		
2	Budwail	" "	" " "	14 9 4	" "	14 9 4	14 9 4	" "	" "		
3	Immundmudgoo	" "	" " "	78 2 1	" "	78 2 1	78 2 1	" "	" "		
4	Doovoor	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	175 " "	175 " "	" "	" "		
5	Kailacoor Mah	70 " "	35 " "	70 " "	" "	315 " "	315 " "	" "	" "		
6	Chittwail	" "	" " "	" " "	315 " "	240 14 11	240 15 "	" "	" 1		
7	Sidhout	98 14 3	" " "	" " "	142 " 8	228 8 6	211 15 4	16 9 2	" "		
8	Chennoor	" "	" " "	112 3 10	116 4 8	113 2 8	95 10 8	17 8 "	" "		
9	Gourrumcondah	" "	" " "	113 2 8	" " "	4 13 10	3 5 8	1 8 2	" "		
10	Poolewandlah	" "	" " "	4 13 10	" " "	70 " "	70 " "	" "	" "		
11	Roychoty	" "	" " "	70 " "	" "	32 2 3	32 2 3	" "	" 1		
		" "	" " "	32 " "	" "	1303 4 10	1267 11 6	35 9 5	" "	1	
1	Deduction on account of Village Cutcha = lady Dues	78 12 "	57 3 3	445 8 8	" "	581 7 11	640 11 2	" "	59 3 3		
2	Cuttabady Kunda = charums	" "	" " "	" " "	" "	526 1 4	431 4 8	94 12 8	" "		
3	Ready Money allow = ance	98 14 3	" " "	49 9 4	47 4 "	195 11 7	195 11 8	" "	" "	1	
		177 10 3	57 3 3	495 2 "	573 5 4	1303 4 10	1267 11 6	94 12 8	59 3 4		

Increase Rupees 35 9 4

Cuddapah Collector's Office, 14th Aug. 1852.

Sd. H. Forbes.
Collector.

Resolved.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 1753

Proceedings thereon.—

15.16

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council on the responsibility to para 16 of the Board's Proceedings of the 3d June 1852 regarding allowances payable to the Districts by deductions from the Kistbundy Bonds.—

2. The Statement submitted to substitute the figures of the items numbered 2. 3 and 4 in the General Abstract furnished with the abovementioned Proceedings

The

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.—

3. The Board consider that no change should take place in the existing arrangements for adjusting these particulars but that it be left to the Collector on lapses occurring to suggest some measure whereby they may no longer appear in his account as deductions from the Kistbundy Bonds.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 152

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

17

Sir,

Dr. No. 7090.

From the Collr of Chingleput.

I have the honor to request you

9801.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Sir

I will have the goodness to return the Map which accompanied my letter of the 28th February last, as a reference it is required in carrying into execution the instructions of Government in Mr. Waddell's case conveyed in Contract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 19th Ultimo.

Chingleput District.
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry.
Cuttavauk 23d Augt 1852.
No 1771

Signed J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

Order thereon.

17.18

Ordered that the Map referred to by the Collector be returned to him in compliance with his request.

Read the following Statement.

Statement.

S. & R.

9802

No 1. Statement of Establishment entertained (19) incurred during 1262

Description of Servants	No.	Remarks.	Proposed period of Employment
1	2	11	5
District Sibbendy in the Salt Department			
Peons	3	Sanction for this Estimate not having been granted only on the 8th by 1852 the Salaries of the 30 Establishment entertained for 1261 are being disbursed Currently for, and will be included in the actual Charges of the latter Fusly.	
Police			
Duffadar	1		
Peons	38		

Chingleput J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Total Cuttavauk

Fort St. George

30th August 1852

On account of Additional Establishment in Fusly 1262 Zillah Chingleput.

Estimated Charges	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly	Comparison between Col 6 & 7. Increase	Decrease	Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized	Remarks
6	7	8		10	11
Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as		
784 " "	" "	" "	" "		This Estimate is proposed for the revision of the Survey of the Villages recently transferred to this District from North Arcot which has been found incorrect on many instances both in regard to the Extent of lands, and the Arrangement of Numbers affording thereby great facilities for concealed Cultivation and embezzlement, and exhibiting irregularities in the Accounts.
196 " "	" "	" "	" "		
120 " "	" "	" "	" "		
1100 " "	" "	1100 " "	" "		This temporary Establishment is proposed for watching over the Produce of the Mussulman Villages, which recently reverted to Government from the Zemindary tenure and are now under the Amany Management.
280 " "	" "	280 " "	" "		Of this sum, Rupees 815 forming the amount of the Estimate for Fusly 1261 have been included in the Estimate of this year as Sanctioned was accorded to it so late as the 12th July 1852 and as the disbursements under that Sanction are being made in the Current Fusly. The remainder Rupees 477-8-0 constitutes the proper Amount of Estimate for Fusly 1262 which is considered necessary for the same reasons as those set forth in the Estimate for Fusly 1261.
180 " "	" "	" "	" "		
325 " "	" "	" "	" "		
787 8 "	" "	" "	" "		
1292 8 "	" "	1292 8 "	" "		This Establishment is required for the Maintenance of Peace during the annual festival at Conjeveram the attendance of the Military Guard having been discontinued as per Minutes of Consultation dated the 6th May 1843.
28 "	28 "	" "	" "		
668 "	668 "	" "	" "		
69 " "	69 " "	" "	" "		
2741 8 "	69 " "	2672 8 "	" "		

Received 24th August 1852.

Ordered to lie over.

E. E. F. / Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

Read the following Statement
Statement

9802.-

22

B.d N.o 1961.- Fort S.t Geo

30.th August 1852.-

Statement shewing the Amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Dekhayet Enamdars in the District of North Arcot.

No. of Certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Per Annum	Date of Government Authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total Amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
"	Sied Ahmed Cauder Son of the late Peerah Saib and Nephew of the late Meer Mahommed Ally one of the Dekhant Enamdars of Sooraly in Sholinghur Talook	R. a P. 417 " "	13th June 1851	In lieu of Wet Dayes Kettenam Village	From 1st Fasly to 20th	R. a P. 417 " "	This Pensioner was from Sickness prevented from appearing personally to receive his Allowance. As his age and appearance correspond with the Descriptive Roll, the acting Collector recommends that Sanction be obtained to disburse the arrears due and to continue the payment of the Pension		
"	Mahomed Tippoo one of the Dekhayet Enamdars of Patra a Village in Poloor Talook	112 " "	Do.	Do.	Do.	112 " "	This Pensioner was prevented by Rheumatism from appearing personally to receive his Pension. As his age and appearance correspond with the Descriptive Roll the acting Collector recommends that Sanction be obtained to disburse the arrears due and to continue the payment of the allowance		
		529 " "							

North Arcot Collectors Circuit Cutcherry Pallmanair 14th August 1852.

E.E. 7.

Signed H. A. Brett
Acting Collector
Under

9805.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

22

B. of R. No. 1756.

Remarks thereon.—

22.23

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector, the Board recommend that Sanction be granted for the payment of the Arrears due for Fusly 1261 to Syed Ahmed Khadry and Mahomed Tiffsee in the proportions noted opposite to their names and Amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 629 " " Five hundred and Twenty Nine and for the continuance of their respective pensions from Fusly 1262.—

2.— The ages of these claimants to Arrears should have been specified with reference to the Board's Circular 22 December 1851.—

Read the following letters.—

To,

9806

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Read the following letter.—

No. 80

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—
Fort St. George.—

24

B. of R. No. 1893.

From the Collector of Salem

Requesting authority for the registry of certain parties as co-proprietors of the Mootahs of Keerambore & Numeul, Moonambully, & Poodoocottah.—

Sir,

Adverting to the grounds on which your Board issued instructions for the registry of the widow Munguammaul as a co-proprietor of the Anundoor and Cadaga Mootahs: I have the honor to submit for consideration that there are four other Mootahs in this District, the circumstances of which appear to me clearly to prove that the surviving registered owners are bent on taking advantage of the unprotected position of the widowed heirs of the late Joint Mootahdars: to throw vexatious obstacles in the way of their assuming that position in respect of the Estates, to which, both by law and in equity they have an undoubted and obvious right.—

Keerambore.
Numeul
Moonambully
Poodoocottah

My personal communications in

2

with the objecting proprietors have been attended with no success - Their object clearly is to force the females into concessions, with the nature of which I have no official knowledge, or leave them the melancholy alternative of resorting to the aid of the Courts, the costs and perplexities of a suit in which and its other expenses will go far to neutralize the benefits likely to be derived from an eventual decree in favor of the claimant.—

3.— I have little doubt that the refusal of the registered proprietors to assent to the registry of the widows, is based on what, in this Collectorate, has generally been believed to be an insurmountable difficulty Viz. that no proper registry can be effected, unless all the proprietors of a Mootah agree to a particular individual or heir being entered in the registry book as their coproprietor; or unless a judgment of Court on the subject has been passed.—

4 By their order in respect of

Mungummaul,


Pro. 26th April 1852.— Mungummaul, your Board have taken a different view of this fancied strong ground; and I trust that when it becomes generally known that a resort to judicial proceedings is not the only alternative available to a much bullied widow or other female there will be a better understanding of their respective interest between proprietors in issue and those who seek a registry.—

5. That the Board may with the least possible trouble, perceive the bearings of the two cases to which I advert, I beg to subjoin a genealogical tree of the families, and such notes as seem necessary to support the request I have the honor to make, that I be authorized to register the persons marginally named as coproprietresses of the Mootahs of Keerambor and Numcal, Moonambully and Poodoocottah.—

Venkataramareddy and Mantay — Daughters

Keerambor. Numcal Moonambully Poodoocottah

Mootah Keerumboor Permanent Bary Rs 3721-13-3.—



A Venkataramareddy, the former Mootahdar.—

B

Teroomalareddy.-
Ramasawmyreddy.-
Nullapareddy.-

B.E.F.- his three sons, whose names were registered on Venkataramreddy's death.-

C and D are the two wives of B, deceased.- their names being Venkatammal and Mootaiammal alias Veerummah.

6.- The two widows demanded the registry of the Mootah in their names, to the extent enjoyed by their husband, on the ground that there had been a division of property between him and his two brothers, & that he had demised without male issue.- When, however, they had been cited and had come to my Summahbundy tents, in order, as I had expected, that the needful registry might be made, the younger widow had changed her mind and stated that she was willing to forego her claim and to remain as a member of the family and under the protection of Ramasamy reddy and Nullapareddy.-

7.- The elder widow Venkatammal reiterated her request. It was objected to by her two brothers-in-law under the plea that no division of property had occurred between them and their deceased brother;- that consequently they

they and they only were lawful heirs to the deceased's interest in the estate: that his widows were entitled to maintenance at their hands and nothing more; that the registry of the Mootah should be transferred to their two names, and that Venkatammahs right, if any had been nullified before Teroomalareddy's death by her immoral conduct, which they alledged, had caused her to be turned out of the caste.-

8 The truth of this story was strenuously impugned by Venkatammal, who affirmed her innocence and asserted that the tale was trumped up to keep her out of what she was justly entitled to.-

Under these circumstances, I


9.- beg to submit that as the duty of a Collector in the matter of a registry seems to be to restrict his acts to acknowledging only those as heirs, who are obviously such, without reference to family dissentions, Venkatammal and Mootayammal ought to be entered in the registry instead of their late husband.-

If

10.— If the coproprietors have any thing to allege against their conduct as wives, or see reason to regard them as not fairly entitled to claim the succession to the estate, they should, I believe, establish their objections before a judicial tribunal.—

11. In the event of both or one of the widows feeling disposed to relinquish the registry in favor of other cosharers in the estate she can do so after the registry, should she still be so inclined.—

Permanent Pairs
Mootah Nameul—Rs 10,005-5-4.
" Moonambully " 1,814-11-5
" Poolooccottah— " 1,725-4-7
15,575-5-4.



A Chetumbarareddy, deceased, and
B Papeereddy, are sons of a private individual of the two sons/ **A** became the registered proprietor of the three Mootahs.—
C Mullayunnmal is the wife of **A**
D Appooreddy, deceased
F Polamareddy
G Mootooreddy, deceased } are sons of **A**
E Papeeammal is the widow of **D**

The

12.— The registry of these three Mootahs stood originally in the name of Chittumbareddy, and after his death was transferred to the joint names of his three sons, of whom the first and third have demised, the latter leaving neither widow nor issue.—

13.— Adverting to the fact of herself, her husbands brother Polamareddy, Mullayunnmal the widow of the father-in-law, and Papeereddy that individuals brother, being the only four surviving individuals who have any right of succession to what her husband Appooreddy possessed, the widow of the latter demands that her name be registered as the proprietress of one-third of that portion of the Mootahs in which Appooreddy had an interest.

14.— Her request is challenged by Poolamareddy, who contends that his brothers widow should reside in his family as a member of his household and that if she declines doing so and prefers living apart, she should receive from him the wherewithal to maintain her and nothing more; and his view is taken up and repeated by the members of the family designated

Fort St. George 30th August 1852

designated by C and B.

15.- As the mere act of registry conveys no title, I submit that on the death of Appooreddy, his widow may fairly demand what she does; and I therefore solicit authority to comply with Papeeammal's application for registry.

Salem, Collectors Cutcherry, Ootacoor 6th August 1852

Signed H. D. Phillips Collector

B. No. 1776. Proceedings thereon.

24-25

Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue authorize the Collector to register the extent enjoyed by the late Veerannala Reddy in the Kurumboor Mootah in the names of his two Widows, Venkataummal and Mootayummal, leaving it optional with either of them to relinquish her interest to another- and to the proprietors to establish their objections in the civil courts.-

2.- The Board also authorize the registry, by the Collector of the share enjoyed by the late Appooreddy in the Estate consisting of the Mootahs of Nunneul Moonambully and Poodoocottah in the name of his Widow Paupe-

To

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 86.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

26

B. No. 1974.

From the Collr of Salem

To B. 20th Dec. 1849 No. 87.
From Do. 17th January 1850.
From Do. 5th August "
From Do. 2d March 1851
From Do. 3d April "

O. No. 4 of 1851.

Sir

Adverting to the correspondence marginally quoted, I have the honor to transmit a copy of a decision passed in the Subordinate Court at Salem from which the Board will observe that the suit instituted by Mr. Fischer Mootahdar of Salem against the Collector of this District relative to the alleged illegality of the charge of interest on certain kists paid by means of Cheques on a house of agency at Madras, has been decided in favor of the Collector.-

Salem Collectors Cutcherry, Ootacoor 12th August 1852

Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector.

20th July 1852.

Subordinate Court Zillah Salem.

Present

R. R. Cotton Esquire Subordinate Judge.

O. S. No. 4 of 1851.

Mr. G. F. Fischer
Mootahdar of Salem
By Vakeel Rungachari
Vers
Government in the person
of the Collector of Salem
Mr. H. D. Phillips
By Government Vakeel
Gholam Hyder Saib.

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

This suit filed 6th February 1851.

was instituted by the Plaintiff under Section IX. Regulation XXVII of 1802 for the recovery of Rupees 79-7-10 on account of Interest charged.

II. The Plaintiff states that he is Zemindar of Salem, that his ryots from failure of seasons being unable to pay their kists, and the Salem Treasury being short of cash, he applied to the late Collector to allow him to pay his kists as they fell due by Bills on Messrs. Binny & Co's at the Presidency at 10 days sight, and the permission was granted under the sanction of Government, that he immediately gave a Bill at 10 days sight for the kist then due, and subsequently paid his other kists as they fell due in the same way, that subsequently many months after, Interest was not only demanded on the sight of such Bills but for the time the Shasildar and the Collector took to transmit them to the Residency and the time the Accountant general took in realizing them, which he (Plaintiff) objecting to pay his property was distrained and he was compelled to pay under protest, deeming which Contrary to Custom and unjust and illegal in equity, he brings this action to recover the abovementioned sum.

III. The Defendant in answer pleads that the permanent assessment fixed on the Plaintiffs Mootah is payable by eight monthly kists, the first of which falls due on the 11th. November, the others on the 11th. of the seven succeeding months, that by Section II of the Regulation quoted by Plaintiff he the Plaintiff is bound to pay the whole of the instalments of his monthly kists into the Treasury of the Collector of the Zillah or to the Shasildar or other Officer who may be appointed to receive it before the 1st. day of the following month without waiting to be called on for it, that by 2nd. Clause of the same Section, the kist or balance of kist then due and not paid shall be deemed an arrear of revenue which by Section VIII shall bear interest at 1 per Cent per mensem. That the Plaintiff failed to pay the kist due for June in Fasly 1258. and seven of those due for Fasly 1259 either on the dates when they fell due or within the first of the following month, that interest to the amount sued for was consequently levied under Section VIII abovequoted from the first of the Month to the date

date on which the amount of the Plaintiffs Bills were realized and carried to account by the Sub Treasurer of Madras the Agent appointed to receive it: that the Plaintiff objects to being charged Interest pleading his Bills were equivalent to Cash payments that he [Defendant] would explain that the Plaintiffs Bills were received by the Collector of Salem at the Plaintiffs own request as a convenience to himself and a relief to him from the Embarrassments referred to in the Plaint: that the Plaintiffs objections will not stand, both because the money due for the kist was not paid into the Collectors Treasury or Tahsildar or other Officer appointed to receive it within the first of the month following those in which the kists fell due, and because by a Collector accepting payments of kist in any but the usual mode actual payment is deferred sufficiently long to constitute the unrealized portion or the whole an arrear of revenue under clause

2 of section II. the Defendant in conclusion urges that unless unnecessary delay is proved to have taken place in transmitting his Bills to Madras, the Plaintiff has no cause for objection on this score.

In reply the Plaintiff

IV.

urges that the question at issue should be determined on pure grounds of equity, that if the Defendant sticks to the letter of the law, he should have charged him [Plaintiff] Interest until the money paid by him at Madras should have reached the Treasury of the Collector at Salem; that it is admitted by both parties that owing to difficulties, the Government sanctioned Plaintiffs paying his kist by Bills on Madras at 10 days sight: that if any reservation had been intended when this sanction was accorded, it should have been expressed at the same time; that Regulation of 1802 does not state in what manner the kist is to be paid but merely mentions that payment you you that the Circular order of the Board of Revenue dated 13th April 1837 embodying the views of the

the Government itself of the 16th February 1835 is to the point at issue, that Government when it sanctioned the acceptance of Bills on Madras at 10 days sight did not contemplate putting an extra tax on him: that the payment of his Plaintiffs Kist Bills payable at the Presidency is most advantageous to Government saving them the espence of remitting it thither, and that doubtless this circumstance weighed in his favor when the payment of kists by Bills at Madras was sanctioned that with reference to his Plaintiffs being charged with interest for the period occupied in transmitting his Bills to the various authorities it is unjust, inasmuch as had he paid specie in the Shasildar's Treasury he would not have been charged interest for the period it occupied in transmitting it to the Huzzoor Cutcherry. That after her Plaintiff had paid his Bill to the Shasildar she had further nothing to do with it, Government being responsible for the subsequent delays in realizing the amount

amount of it.—

V. The Defendant Rejoins by stating that the Plaintiffs statement that the matter at issue is one that should be determined on pure grounds of equity will hardly avail when the law is clear on the point, as it is in Regulation XXVIII of 1802. and which Regulation the Defendant himself admits was framed after mature deliberation on principles of the purest equity. That by the Regulation Plaintiff is legally liable to be charged Interest until payment of his kists is made to the officer appointed to receive, who in the present case is the Sub Treasurer of Fort Saint George, who was appointed by the Collector of Salem to act for him, that Interest has not been charged for any period subsequent to that on which the Plaintiffs kists reached the Treasury of that Officer the Sub Treasurer. That there is nothing in his Office to support the Plaintiffs assumption that an admission had been made by the Collector of

VI

of Salem that failure to pay their
kist to Plaintiff by his ryots and other
difficulties led the Government
to sanction Bills on Madras at
10 days sight being accepted by
Defendant in payment of kists
that when the Plaintiff complained
on the 6th July 1849 by letter to the
Collector of his difficulties the
latter merely forwarded his letter
to the Accountant General
for instructions: that the Plaintiff
throughout his reply, contrary to
fact, has assumed that the Govern=
=ment sanctioned the payment
of his kists by Drafts on Madras at 10
days sight; that in reality Government
were not consulted in the matter and as
will appear by the Plaintiffs letter
above alluded to there is nothing to
show that his draughts would not
be at sight and immediately
realizable; that neither directly
or indirectly was any pledge given
to the Plaintiff that there would be even a
partial

partial relinquishment in his
favor of the claim to interest which
the Regulations support. That Plaintiff
argues that "if any reservation was
"intended by Government when they
"accorded sanction for the acceptance of Bills in
"payment of kists it ought to have
"been expressed at the time and that
"no word or communication conveyed
"such an idea that they had: that
"if any doubt could have suggested
"on the matter a glance at the C.O.B.R.
"quoted would have dispelled it" That
it has been explained already that
Government had nothing to do
with the matter; that the C. O referred
to does not affect the matter.
That the orders of Govern=
ment are explicit: that
if kists are not paid by
certain dates they are to be
charged with interest and that the
payment of a kist cannot be considered as a
payment

payment until credited in Govern=
=ment accounts in some one of the
Government Treasuries. That
the Plaintiff is well aware
that the 11th of the month is fixed
for the payment of kists and it
is obvious he would not incur a
liability for interest if he tendered
his Bills on that day or any other
sufficiently early in the Months to
admit of their being realized before
its close, that the parallel which
Plaintiff has drawn between a payment
in specie and that by a draft on
Madras is extremely imperfect and
obviously wrong. That unless the Plaintiff
can shew that there has been unnecessary
delay in forwarding his drafts, he has no just
cause for complaint That it is clear that
if he be dissatisfied with the liability
legally resulting from unavoidable delays the
remedy is with himself, he has but to draw his own funds
from

from his own Bankers at the Presidency
and make specie payment into the
Salem Treasury when the Mootah
kists fall due That as Government
had nothing to say to the matter
they could not have taken
nor would they have been
likely to take any notice
of the barely calculable gain
which would result from
receiving at the General
Treasury at Madras the kist
of a single Mootahdar amount=
=ing to about 15,000 Rupees while
a general system prevailed of
bringing to that Treasury the entire
available surplus of the collections of
all the collectorates in the
Presidency. That the Plaintiffs'
request to be permitted to pay his kist at

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

at Madras appears to have been granted solely in order to carry out those liberal views by which the servants of Government are bound to be guided.—

VII. Points were recorded as follows. Plaintiff to prove that the Collector and Government admitted his orders at 10 days' sight on Messrs. Binny & Co as cash payments from the date of their being presented.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

presented at the District or Talook Treasury and that the 26 days delay in paying up the instalment of the Kist alluded to was not attributable to him, and that subsequently he paid his Kist as prescribed in his Cabooleat to file receipts granted for the several payments made to prove; also that an unnecessary delay took place in the transmission of his Bills thro' the various authorities.—

Defendant to shew that the orders of the Plaintiff were merely received from him as an accommodation and that they were not so as Cash payments to shew the dates on which the Kists should have been paid and those on which they actually were so in Current Coins or notes.

VII. The following M.P. were filed and have been duly considered M.P. No. 79/1851 by the Defendant and M.P. Nos. 407-417/1851. 86—136/1852 by Plaintiff. No Witnesses were exted by either Party or Examined by the Court.—

Plaintiff filed XIII Documents.—

I Letter from the Collector of Salem to Plaintiff dated 23rd July 1849.

Letter

II Letter from the Collector of Salem to Plaintiff dated 13th November 1849

III — Do: — Do: — 14th April 1851.

IV Letter from the Collector of Salem to Plaintiff dated 14th May 1851.-

V Do: from the Tahsildar to Plaintiffs Goomastah 9th April 1851.-

VI — Do: — Do: — 31st January 1850.

VII Do: — Do: — 31st May 1850.

VIII Copy of Do: from the Plaintiff to the Collector 6th July 1849.

IX — Do: — Do: — 27th November 1849

X — Do: — Do: — 12th April 1851

XI Do: — Do: — 13th May 1851.

XII Copy of Istiyar by the Collector 17th December 1849.

XIII — Do: — Do: — 19th February 1848.

Defendant filed XXXII Documents.

I. Copy of a letter from Plaintiff to Defendant dated 6th July 1849.

Same as Plaintiffs VIII Document

II Do: by Collector to the Accountant General 9th July 1849.-

III Do: from Accountant General to the Collector 19th July 1849.

IV Do: from Collector to Plaintiff 23d July 1849.

same

Same as Plaintiffs 1st Document.

V Copies of Tahsildars Anzee to Collector 27th July 1849.

VI Copy of a letter from the Collector to the Accountant General 31st July 1849.

VII Do: from Accountant General to Collector 17th September 1849.

VIII Copy of Anzee from the Tahsildar to the Collector 1st December 1849.

IX Do: of letter from Collector to Accountant General 1st December 1849.

X Do: from Accountant General to Collector 22d December 1849.

XI Do: of Anzee from Tahsildar to Collector 3d January 1850.

XII Do: of letter from Collector to Asst Treasurer 3d January 1850.

XIII Do: from Asst Treasurer to the Collector 17th January 1850.

XIV Do: of Anzee from Tahsildar to Collector 31st January 1850.

XV Do: of letter from Asst Collector in charge to Asst Treasurer 6th February 1850.

XVI Do: from Asst Treasurer to Asst Collector in charge 21st February 1850.-

Copy.

XVII Copy of Arzee from Tahsildar to Collector 26th February 1850.

XVIII Do. of letter from S. C. in charge to Sub Treasurer 28th February 1850.

XIX Do. from Sub Treasurer to Sub Collector 14th March 1850.

XX Do. of Urzee from Tahsildar to Collector 30th April 1850.

XXI Do. of letter from Sub Collector in charge to Sub Treasurer 2nd May 1850.

XXII Do. from Sub Treasurer to Sub Collector 16th May 1850.

XXIII Do. of Urzee from Tahsildar to Collector 30th May 1850.

XXIV Do. of letter from Officer in charge to Sub Treasurer 1st June 1850.

XXV Do. from Sub Treasurer to Officer in charge 14th June 1850.

XXVI Do. of Urzee from Tahsildar to Collector 3d July 1850.

XXVII Do. of letter from Officer in charge to Sub Treasurer 6th July 1850.

XXVIII Do. from Sub Treasurer to Collector 22d July 1850.

XXIX Exctract Copy from Book of accounts for July 1211.

Copy

XXX Copy of a letter from Secretary Revenue Board to Collector 27th February 1834.

XXXI Do. from Collector to the Revenue Board 14th June 1834.

XXXII Do. from Secretary to Revenue Board to Chief Secretary to Government dated 24th November 1834 with Exctract Minutes of Consultation 2d December 1834 attached.

VIII The Subordinate Judge has given the case his best consideration and is of opinion that the Plaintiff has entirely failed to shew that exc: case of Kist has been unduly exacted from him.

The Plaintiff pleads that the case should not be determined but on the fine grounds of equity and the subordinate Judge is willing so to determine it. The Question at issue is whether Bills on Madras at 10 days sight should be received as Cash payments when presented in the Talook, and be considered as payment made under Clause I Section II Regulation XXVIII of 1802 or whether the payment of Kist therein referred to means the realization of the Current Coin by some authorized agent. The subordinate

subordinate Judge is of opinion that payment can only be said to have been made within the Treasury of the Sec- quoted when the amount of Kist has actually been realized in some Government Treasury and carried to the credit of Government. The Plaintiff admits in one of his letters that he considers the being allowed to draw on his agents an indulgence he would now appear to claim it almost as a right; he mentions also that Drafts at reasonable sights should be consi: dered as Cash but who is to be the umpire in these matters? A Bill drawn at 10 days sight on one firm or party may be more conveniently cashed by them a similar one at 1 Months sight might be by another. Suppose again the Bill given in payment of Kist should be dishonored, lost or stolen in transit to Madras, is the presentation of the Bill to be considered equivalent to payment? certainly not, the Bill is worthless until it is cashed; and until it is cashed payment cannot be said to have

have been made. The Plaintiffs arguments have been well and successfully met by the Defendant- As urged by that Officer the Plaintiff can easily rectify the evil he complains of either by making specie payments in the Talook or drawing his Bills at such sight as to admit of their being Cashed before the 1st of the ensuing Month- instead of doing this it would appear from the Documents filed that he almost invariably waited till the last day of the Month before he presented his Bill at 10 days sight, he has failed to show that any unnecessary delay has taken place in transmitting his orders to Madras- The justness of the Judge's views in this matter would, he has not doubt, be at once apparent, had it been possible to have obtained an inspection of the Plaintiffs account Current with his Agents Messrs Binny & Co at Madras- Did these Gentlemen debit him with the amount of his Drafts on the date they were drawn or on that on which they

they cashed them? Undoubtedly the latter, consequently it is impossible to maintain that Government have received payment of Hist when neither Plaintiff nor his Agent have paid it. Although it may be usual in mercantile business to accept a Bill at 10 days sight as Cash payment, in like manner as tradesmen allow payment even after one, two and three Months to be considered Cash, yet this arrangement which is entirely of a private nature cannot affect the question at issue, because Plaintiff has failed to show that it was ever entered into, or that Government or its representative were ever pledged to relinquish their claim to specie payments, or to forego the interest they are clearly entitled to under Clause II Section II on arrears of Revenue. The Plaintiff appears to be labouring under an erroneous idea on more than one point for example, when he states his Bill at 10 days sight were sanctioned by Government as payment and that they were probably so sanctioned after having taken into

Consideration

Consideration the advantage that would accrue to it, Government by having the money paid in Madras instead of its being at the expense of transmitting it thither, that point has also been well met by the Defendant, but it appears that in calculating the expense saved to Government by relieving the Treasure Detachment of his Hist the Plaintiff has overlooked the fact that although the half hire of a bandy from Salem to Madras by 5 Rupees may be saved, yet that this indulgence granted to him inflicts on the Government Establishments of the Collector, Accountant General, and Sub Treasurer, not to mention the Post Offices, in the way of correspondence an extra amount of labor that could never have been contemplated as for by the Regulations it is laid down that payment of Hist that is specie shall be paid into the public Treasury without any effort on the part of Government, for by Clause I Section II it need not even make a demand for it, but on its not being produced may proceed at once to realize it as an arrear of Revenue and as such liable to the twist-Vide Clause II of the same Section.

In

In conclusion the Subordinate Judge would express his regret that the Plaintiff should have brought this action, the matter at issue having already been disposed of by Government itself - Vide Extract Minutes of Consultation 18th and 25th July 1850, Revenue Department No. 681. and that the Plaintiff while upholding in his Reply the Government and Board of Revenue an an authority in his favor and generally in Revenue matters, should now have instituted this Suit in direct opposition to their opinions. On the grounds that the Plaintiff has entirely failed to prove his right to a refund of the amount sued for, the Subordinate Judge Decrees, that the Suit be dismissed and that Plaintiff do pay all costs, saving that incurred by the filing of Miscellaneous Petition 79/1851.

Given under my hand and the Seat of the Court this 20th July 1852

/Signed/ R. R. Cotton
Subordinate Judge.

The period for Appealing expires on the 19th August 1852.

/Signed/ R. R. Cotton.
Subordinate Judge.

Costs incurred by the Plaintiff

	Rs as	Rs as
Value of Stamped papers	28-8-0	
Batta for summons Peon	0-8-0	
Pleaders fee	3-15-3	32-15-3
Costs incurred by the Defendant		
Value of Stamped papers	43-0-0	
Pleaders fee	3-15-3	46-15-3
Total Costs		79-14-0

/True Copy of a Copy/
/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Resolved

No. 371.

Bd No. 1763.

Proceedings thereon.

26-27

Resolved that the letter above recorded to.

from Government 20th June in Con. 18th July 1850
Do Do 1st July "
From Do 18th in Con. 25th Do.
To Do 13th March 1851
From Do 28th in Con. 3rd April "

together with its enclosure be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

The Mootahdars

2.

of Salem brought this Suit against

against the Collector alleging that the latter had illegally charged Interest on certain Lists paid by means of cheques on a House of Agency at Madras and the Sub: Court decreed that Plaintiff had failed to prove his right to recover the amount sued for and adjudged him to pay all costs save that incurred by filing a Miscellaneous Petition. The period for appealing expired on the 19th August 1852.

To

No. 88.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

B. of R. No. 1958.

From the Coll.r of Salem

Sir

Reporting the actual payments made to the Pully putty Jageerdar and the average of the disbursements for ten years.

Adverting to the instructions conveyed to me in the proceedings of your Board marginally noted, I have the honor to report that the actual payments made to the Pully putty Jageerdar to the close of the past Fusly (1261) amount to Rupees 1,115-3-1 and that the average of the disbursements to that individual for the last ten years, or from Fusly 1252 to 1261, both inclusive, is found to be Rupees 83-1-9.

2. For any reference which may possibly be required, I beg to enclose two Statements in which are exhibited fasly wise, the several payments from which the abovementioned items of information have been deduced.

3. The gradual improvement visible in the resources of the jagheer Village during the past few years, is understood to be mainly attributable to the exertions of the Jagheerdar himself, who

has

against the Collector alleging that the latter had illegally charged Interest on certain Lists paid by means of cheques on a House of Agency at Madras and the Sub: Court decreed that Plaintiff had failed to prove his right to recover the amount sued for and adjudges him to pay all costs save that incurred by filing a Miscellaneous Petition. The period for appealing expired on the 19th August 1852.—

JC

To

No. 88

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

(28)

B. of R. No. 1958.

From the Coll. of Salem

Reporting the actual payments made to the Pully puttu Jageerdar and the average of the disbursements for ten years.— 4th June 1852.

Adverting to the instructions conveyed to me in the proceedings of your Board marginally noted, I have the honor to report that the actual payments made to the Pully puttu Jageerdar to the close of the past Fusly (1261) amount to Rupees 1115-3-1 and that the average of the disbursements to that individual for the last ten years, or from Fusly 1252 to 1261, both inclusive, is found to be Rupees 83-1-9.—

2. For any reference which may possibly be required, I beg to enclose two Statements in which are exhibited fasly war, the several payments from which the abovementioned items of information have been deduced.—

3. The gradual improvement visible in the resources of the jaghеer Village during the past few years, is understood to be mainly attributable to the exertions of the Jagheerdar himself, who has

9839.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852

Fusly 1254-336-4-4
" — " 60-333-13-2
" — " 61-165-11-3.

has been at much pains to cause waste land to be taken up and cultivated. The falling off in Fusly 1261 was caused by remissions granted for bunger in the holdings of indigent ryots and for fields left waste owing to a deficient supply of water.

Salem, ------
Coll^{rs} Cutcherry,
Ossoor, ------
13th August 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

Statement.

9840 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 1. Statement shewing Fusly year the payments made to Mooddooveneatachelah Reddy, Sayhiredar of Moolapulli = putty in Taluk Raizepoor, Salem Collectorate.—

Number	Fusly	Rs	A	P
1	1244	34	14	5
2	1245	38	12	10
3	1246	30	"	9
4	1247	36	1	"
5	1248	36	13	8
6	1249	48	7	10
7	1250	24	10	5
8	1251	34	4	7
9	1252	34	2	4
10	1253	38	1	5
11	1254	61	8	2
12	1255	61	1	11
13	1256	84	11	10
14	1257	109	9	"
15	1258	125	2	9
16	1259	143	3	"
17	1260	111	6	11
18	1261	62	2	3
		1115	3	1

Salem Coll^{rs} Cutcherry,
Ossoor, ------
13th August ----

E. E. Fr. /Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852

Bd. No. 1762

Proceedings thereon.

28.29

Pro: to Govt. 18th March 1852
From Sec. 6th in Cons: 19th April
Pro: to Sec. 13th May
From Bd. 1st in Cons: 17th June

No. 370

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

2. The average of the disbursements of Ten years Fusly 1252 to 1261 inclusive to Moodoo Veeratachella Reddy Jaghiredar of Moolapelliputty amounts to only Rupees 83-1-9. but it is observed that the gradual improvement in the resources of the Village during the last five years Fusly 1257 to 1261 inclusive is mainly attributed to the Jaghirdars exertions in causing waste land to be taken up.—

3. The Board therefore are induced to hope that some indulgence may be shown to the Jaghiredar and that the average may be struck on the last Five years disbursements thereby fixing his allowance at Rs. 110-4-9 per annum instead of Rupees 83-1-9 per annum calculated on a Ten years average.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852

No. 91.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

30

Bd. No. 2056

From the Collector of Salem, mmm

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit an Advertisement for the sale of certain Estates at present under attachment for arrears of Revenue due on account of the expired Fusly 1261 A. D. 1851-52, also a Statement shewing the Profits estimated to be derivable from each Mootah, together with the proportionable Amount for which each may be purchased. Most of these estates will it is expected be redeemed before the day of sale.—

2. None of the estates requestened for arrears are below the average of 5 per cent.—

3. I beg to propose that the sale shall take place on the 4th October next at Kistnagherry.—

Salem Collectors Cutcherry
30th mmmmmm
27th August, 1852 mmm

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

9844 Fort St. George 30th August 1852

Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the Arrears of Revenue including Interest, charges of sequestration, and Advances for Cultivation and Repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estates under attachment in the Zillah of Salem for Fusly 1261, shall have been liquidated on or before the 4th October next the sale of those Estates will commence

2. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the principals.

3. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of the persons on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

4 The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion, Notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made in a fictitious name the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the Estate to be resold.

5. A Sunnud-i-Milkeut Istimrar or deed of permanent rights has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this Advertisement, all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.

6. The purchaser of the Estate will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue but in the meantime 15 percent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Boards sanction or within one Month from the day of sale in default whereof the Estate will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

7. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate.—

8. The arrears of Revenue which are outstanding against the above mentioned Mootahs will be received by the Thasildars in their respective Talooks until the 25th September after which date the Proprietors should pay the balance into the Hoozoor Cutcherry.—

9. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collectors Cutcherry between 10 and 5 O'Clock, Sunday Excepted.—

Salem Collectors Cutcherry
Hoozoor,
27th August, 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement

9845

Statement of Mootahs under attachment for arrears of

Jaloko.	Mootahs.	Number of Villages.	Names of the Mootahdars.	Permanent Peishcush exclusive of Mootykhas.	Collections to the close of July 1851.	Arrears.	Remarks	Permanent Peishcush exclusive of
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	14	5
				Rs a P	Rs			Rs a P
Salem	1 Cummung coorchy	8	Arumogum Moodely deceased	9,321 7 3	5743 15 11	3577	owing to dissensions among the proprietors this Mootah has come under attachment it is probable that before the day of sale the arrears will be paid up.—	8,633 1 2
			Mootoovanny Moodelly Do					
Senkeghurry	2 Senkeghurry	5	Sunnooga Moodelly					1,925 6
			Khylava Goundan		2507 12 11	759	Do. Do.	
			Ramavanny Pillay	3266 14 3				
Tuchengode	3 Cokalur	3	Khylava Goundan alias Cooty Goundan	2418 14 5	2136 9 1	282	The arrears it is expected will be up before the day of sale.—	2,949 1 2
			Rejah Goundan					
Do.	4 Molypully	6	Khylava Goundan alias Cooty Goundan	4999 15 9	4558 12 4	441	Do. Do.	3177 2
			Khylava Goundan					1569 12 all
Do.	5 Chittalundoor	6	Chittalundoor Khylava Goundah	3667 15 2	2958 " "	709	Do. Do.	7,099 13 2
Do.	6 Munnanbully	4	Govinda Goundah	3404 3 8	2996 14 9	407	Do. Do.	
			Connanarala Goundah					
Do.	7 Manully	9	Khylava Goundah alias Cooty Goundah	2929 10 6	2050 " "	879	Do. Do.	4,689 11
			Rajah Goundah					
Dharampoory	8 Pungoonullum	25	Harnam Row	3860 6 2	3246 8 2	613	Do. Do.	69,416 6
			Ramachendra Row					
								Do.

Jail St. George 30th. August 1852.

Mootahs.	Collections to the close of July 1261.	Arrears to the close of July. 1261. Jemaun.	Interest.	Additions.	Total.	Cesses.	Amount of Jemaun & Interest annexed to Mootah.	Amount for which each Mootah may be purchased.	Remarks.
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	Rs. a. P	
Jalu	53 6,490 " "	6 2,143 14 9	47 6 6	28 " "	2,219 5 3	24 13 3	2,000 " "	10,000 " "	No. 9. The arrear it is expected will be paid up before the day of sale.
	" 24 8 2	1,900 13 10	86 8 9	87 8 "	2,074 14 7	98 11 8	1037 4 2	8,000 " "	No. 10. Do. Do.
Sa	1 3,212 1 1	2,289 3 "	65 " 9	17 8 "	2,371 11 9	41 9 9	3764 15 9	25,000 " "	No. 11. It is likely that this Mootah will not be redeemed.—
Sank									No. 12. Owing to disagreements between the Mootah and their Ryots the Collection of the revenue by the former from the latter seems difficult hence it is likely the Estate will be permitted to be sold.—
Tuch	24 1,706 3 7	1,243 8 9	33 157	16 5 11	1,293 14 3	42 2 6	621 2 "	6000 " "	
G	7 2,792 8 2	384 10 5	5 154	33 13 11	424 7 8	12 1 9	886 10 11	7000 " "	No. 13. The annear it is likely will be paid up before the day of sale.—
	11 1,018 12 1	551 " 10	14 1 9	20 5 2	585 7 9	37 4 9	333 12 9	3,000 " "	No. 14. The profit derived by the Mootahdar being small whilst that enjoyed by his Renter is great no benefit is found to accrue to the former also, there is no qualified person to manage the Estate on behalf of the proprietor, thus the Estate is likely not to be redeemed.
	51 5,192 11 2	1,907 " 11	42 7 11	26 3 1	1,975 11 11	26 13 9	1738 11 1	13,000 " "	No. 15. The annear it is expected will be paid up before the day of sale.—
	19 1,387 15 "	3,301 11 9	118 6 3	22 14 9	3,443 " 9	70 6 6	1611 2 "	12,000 " "	No. 16. Do. Do.
Da	2 48,023 7 11	21,392 14 3	555 5 8	352 1 3	22,300 5 2	" " "	17299 4 "	124000 " "	

Poor, 27th. August, 1852.

(Signed) A. D. Phillips
Collector.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852

B. of Rs. 1759

Proceedings thereon.—

(30·31)

The Board of Revenue authorise the sale for arrears of Revenue, of the Estates advertised to, and direct the publication in their Office of the advertisement forwarded by the Collector.—

2. The Board also authorise that the sale of the Samoodrum

Ord 28th Augt 1852 Mootah be postponed from the 28th September to the 4th October 1852.—

Read

Fort St. George. 30th August 1852.

No. 152. Read the following letter.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(32)

B. No. 1953.

From the Collector of Madura — Sir,

With reference to the

† To Board 29th August 1850. † From Do 28th September Correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to transmit Copy of a Decree for the Plaintiff by the Subordinate Court of Madura in Original Suit No. 48 of 1850 in which I was 1st Defendant and Draft of a Petition of appeal which I propose to file in the Civil Court.—

2. I have no observation to make in additions to the arguments contained in the Draft of the appeal Petition, except that I am assured by the old Public Servants that the Custom of the District is that for which I contend, and further that the interest of the question is not confined to this particular case inasmuch as if the Doctrine of the Subordinate Court of Madura is allowed to stand good, Government may be exposed to Charges for compensation for

1849 - Fort St George 30th August 1852.

for grounds which it has been accus-
-tomed to take for public purposes,
without payment, as being its own property

Madura,
11th August, 1852.

/Signed/ P. D. Parker
Collector

No 1.

Original Suit No 48 of 1850 before the
Subordinate Court of Madura -

Plaintiff - Versus - Defendants -
Iyatoray Pauthen of Mayloor - 1. R. D. Parker Esqr
Collector and Magistrate
of Madura -
2. Narrain Pow
Tasildar and Head
of Police of Mayloor
Taluk -
3. Dewa Sekamany Iyen
Chuttrom Huckdar and
4 - Soobbapillay -
1st Defendant by Vakeel
Soobbarow -

1. Plaintiff seeks confirmation of his
Title to and prohibition of Defendants
interference in a Dwelling ground as
(boundaries given) of 2 spots measuring
40 by 39 and 30 by 30 English feet respec-
-tively valued at 21 Rupees and thereof
thereon valued at 10 Rupees.

The

1850 - Fort St George, 30th August 1852 -

2. — The ground in question was
the ancestral Property of 4th Defendant
after a long occupancy he sold it to
Plaintiff for 31 Rupees on the 27th March
1849 under a Deed of Sale, on the portion
of it to the North, Plaintiff built a thatch-
-ed house at an Expense of 10 Rupees -
and enjoyed the same - In 1849 he com-
-menced erecting a Varandah on the South-
-ern portion, when the 3d Defendant
at the instigation of the 2nd prevented
him on the plea and pretence that the
whole ground belongs to the Chuttrom
of which he is Huckdar upon the 2nd
Defendant's report on the subject the
1st Defendant issued an order on the
9th March 1850 prohibiting Plaintiff's inter-
-ference on the ground in question and
directing it to be vacated and incorporated
with the Chuttrom. The 2d Defendant
in consequence presses upon Plaintiff
thro' the Mettoo Pow to vacate and give
up the same - Filed 18th May 1850
The 2d and 3d Defendants allowed the
Suit to be tried exparte -

4. — In his answer the 1st Defend-
-ant denies the truth of the Plaint-

and

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

The purchase money from the 4th Defend=
=ant. That had the assertions of Plaintiff
that the disputed ground is ancestral to
the 4th Defendant been true he would
not have suffered so long a time to
elapse without coming forward with
his objections when it was incorporated
with the lands of the Chuttrom, or at
least without having recourse to a Law
Suit.

Filed 7th December 1850.

5. In his answer the 4th Defend=
=ant admits the truth of the Plaint=
=iff.

Filed 15th August 1850.

6. The Reply states that habita=
=tions in every Village are entered as Porum=
=boks. The 2d Defendant and others
have purchased grounds and built
houses at Ireylore, where some grounds
have been sold by Public Auction in
satisfaction of Decrees by the Courts.
In 1822 the ground in dispute was
given in security and approved of by
the Cutcha as such. The 4th Defendant
has of his own free will appropriated
some of his grounds to charitable
purposes

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

and states that the Village of Ireylore
wherein the ground in question is situ=
=ated in Pundaravadagay or Government
property. The Ground is included
in Porumboke Lands which tho' oc=
=cupied by Ryots is delivered up to Cutcha
when required. The occupants have no
right to sell it nor does such occupancy
give them a title thereto more than re=
=moving the roofs thereon. the ground in
dispute was incorporated with the back
yard of the Chuttrom at Ireylore in
1845. when the 4th Defendant pleaded
that he purchased the roof thereon
from one Veeren without the knowledge
of the Cutcha. Plaintiff built a thatched
House on this ground and declared he
had purchased it from the 4th Defend=
=ant. The 2d Defendant prevented this
building and brought the circumstance to
the notice of the 1st Defendant who in
with reference to his Predecessors order
on the subject, issued an order on the
9th of March 1850 to inform Plaintiff
that his alleged Purchase was invalid
and that he was at liberty to recover the
the

Fort St George. 30th August 1852.

purposes -

Filed 23d November 1852

Rejoinders were filed sup-
=porting the answers respectively -

(Here enter Points &c.)

Upon Plaintiff's applica-
=tion the Collector was applied to for
a copy of a letter from the Board of
Revenue under date the 31st January 1852
conveying the order of the Honorable
Court of Directors dated 28th July 1852
to the effect that the Government
have no right to the lands but to the
tax thereon. In answer to which the
1st Defendant (Collector) stated he
would send the original for the in-
=spection of the Court -

Decree -

The Points for consideration
in the disposal of this Suit are
whether the 4th Defendant sold the
House ground in question to the Plain-
=tiff, and whether he was justified
in making such alienation -

10- The 2d. 3d and 4th Witnesses
for Plaintiff and the 4th Witness for
the Defendant prove the sale and

Fort St George. 30th August 1852 -

in establishing the right; they and other
Witnesses on behalf of these two parties
state, that the dwelling and other grounds
at the Village of Mayaveram wherein that
now under litigation is situated, are
held by their occupants with the right
of alienation, that as such some of
them have been disposed of in Private
transactions and Bargains and subject-
=ed to Public sale in satisfaction of the
Decrees of the Court as also for arrears
of Betel and Tobacco Rents, and that
the 4th Defendant has long held this
ground under the same right: Add to this
that Plaintiff's Documents Nos 72 and
73 prove that a dwelling ground in the
said Village was attached and actually
sold to meet the demand of the Decree
in Original Suit No 292 of 1850 on the
file of the District Moonsiff of Tiroo-
=parangoondrum and the 4th Defendant's
Documents that a Shop was tendered
in security by the arrack Renter -

11. The order of the Honorable
Court of Directors referred to in Plain-
=tiff's Motion and on which he would
appear

appear to place great reliance, was sent by the Collector for the inspection of the Subordinate Judge and declares the Govern=ment to be entitled to the Revenue deri=vable from the conversion of waste lands into arable and is consequently altogether inapplicable to the present Case=

12. On the other hand the Witness for the 1st Defendant deposes that the House grounds in the above mentioned Village have been entered in the Public accounts as "Brumbohe" that the Govern=ment alone has a right thereto and that the grounds occupied by 4 individuals have been taken by the Collector and in=corporated with the Chuttrom upon paying them the value of the Roofs thereon= now two of these 4 individuals have received from the Revenue authorities other grounds in exchange a Course of proceeding in the opinion of the Court not satisfactorily accounted for if the grounds taken from them were not bona fide their own property= The 2d Witness de=clares that those persons who were paid the value of their roofs removed them to other places

places for the purpose of building= The 5th Witness states that he is well aware that he had no right whatever to the ground at present under his occupancy but the Subordinate Judge observes that he has nevertheless raised walls on which to erect a terraced House which act is merely in direct contradiction to his as=sertion, for a man would scarcely be fool hardy enough to construct a sub=stantial building from which he is liable to be ousted on the application or the Caprice of a zealous Tahsildar=

13= The 1st Defendant has filed 11 documents, 3 of which are attached given by 12 Ryots posterior to this suit to the effect that the ground occupied by them are not their own property but that of the Circar. Three of the four Documents issued by the late Collector to the Tahsil=dar of Ingore subsequent to June 1842. direct that Money be paid to certain indi=viduals "to cover the expenses attendant on the removal and rebuilding the roofs of their dwelling Houses" and in one of=them it is clearly stated that "Enam

Sah

appear to place great reliance
by the Collector

Documents the Subordinate Judge considers himself justified to drawing the conclusion that the Collector himself did not consider that he had the direct right to interfere with the grounds nor would he enforce an order Contrary to or against the consent of their occupants. The order regarding the dispute of one Madar Sahib states that a small piece of the ground attached to the 4th Defendants' house should be appended to the Chuttrom as such would be productive of no loss to him.

14. Of the Documents produced by the 1st Defendant, 1st Witness, one is account of Tuly 1212 in which the habitations and other grounds at Meylod have been included in the survey the Court observes that in the year 1824 they have been entered as "Poumbokk" while in that of 1829 the Village was entered as Pundaravadageg.

15. After mature consideration the

Subordinate Judge is decidedly of opinion that the Poumbokk of Meylore is in every respect precisely the same as that of the Cutcha of Madura. whereas in other Cities and places the unclaimed house grounds alone are the property of Government and are subject to its contract; all others being held by individuals either by right enjoyment and long possession or by purchase and with the free power of alienation and that the Plaintiff in this Case has a lawful right to and possession of the ground in dispute, having purchased it from the 4th Defendant, who has long held possession and was its original Proprietor and cannot therefore be justly ousted therefrom. From the Memorandum which accompanied the Collector's letter of the 3rd of April 1852 it appears that the Number of House built in the Cutcha of Madura on Poumbokk lands

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

lands amounts to 8,534 while as those on Cultivable lands amount to 293 and that Teerwah is Collected on the garden and Cocoanut trees planted in the back yards thereof. will the 1st Defendant state as that the Government has the same right over this large property as he asserts it to have over that at Meylore.

16. Under the foregoing circumstances of the Case the Subordinate Judge awards the House ground in question to Plaintiff together with the roof thereon. The 1st Defendant to pay all Costs.

13th April, 1852. / Signed / C. H. Woodgate
Subordinate Judge

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 2.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 2

Draft of a proposed Appeal against the Decree of the Subordinate Judge of Madura in O.S. No. 48 of 1850.

1. This is an appeal to procure reversal of the Decree of the Subordinate Judge of Madura in O.S. No. 48 of 1850 affirming the right of the Plaintiff to 2 Spots of House ground in the Village of Meylore measuring respectively 40 by 39. and 20 by 30 English feet, which are alleged to have been purchased by him from the 4th Defendant for 21 Rupees.

2. The pieces of Ground in question are portion of the Perumpoke of the Village of Meylore, which Village is not Meerasy It was therefore contended by the Appellant as 1st Defendant in the Original Suit that supposing the alleged sale to have taken place it was invalid because alleged vender, the 4th Defendant had no right of alienation of the proprietary right belonging to the Government, and the Witnesses called by him clearly proved this fact and that when

lands amounts to 8,534 while those on Cultivable lands amount to 293 and that teerwah is Collected on the gardens and Cocoanut trees planted in the back yards thereof. will the 1st Defendant state that the Government has the same right over this large property as he assumes it to have over that at Meylore.

10. Under the foregoing circumstances of the Case the Subordinate Judge awards the House ground in question to Plaintiff together with the roof thereon. The 1st Defendant to pay all Costs.

13th April, 1852.

/Signed/ C. H. Woodgate
Subordinate Judge

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

No 2.

No 2

Draft of a proposed Appeal against the Decree of the Subordinate Judge of Madura in O.S. No 48 of 1850.

1. This is an appeal to procure reversal of the Decree of the Subordinate Judge of Madura in O.S. No 48 of 1850 affirming the right of the Plaintiff to 2 spots of House ground in the Village of Meylore measuring respectively 40 by 39. and 30 by 30 English feet which are alleged to have been purchased by him from the 1st Defendant for 21 Rupees.

2. The pieces of Ground in question are portion of the Perumpoke of the Village of Meylore which Village is not Meerasy It was therefore contended by the appellant as 1st Defendant in the Original Suit that supposing the alleged sale to have taken place it was invalid because alleged vender, the 1st Defendant had no right of alienation of the proprietary right belonging to the Government, and the Witnesses called by him clearly proved this fact and that when

when required by the Collector several persons had relinquished grounds adjoining the very ones in dispute receiving only the value of the wor-

3. As in Para 11 of his Decree the Sub Judge seems unable to reconcile the circumstance of the grounds in dispute being entered as Perumpoke while the Village itself is termed Pundara Vadagy it may be useful to mention that Perumpoke is the term applied in the Government accounts to a portion of a Village which at the Survey was considered unfit for Cultivation, and may exist therefore without any inconsistency in a Pundara Vadagy Village.

4. When parts of the Perumpoke in agricultural Villages are used for the sites of Houses the Government charges no tax, which it would do were the same land cultivated. Neither does it exact a price for the sites, but the Inhabitants build on any portion of the Perumpoke usually appropriated as Houses sites which may happen to be vacant without any payment. It is not unjust or extraordinary therefore, that

that the Government should retain the proprietary right in such land which it parts with temporarily without the receipt of any valuable consideration. And if it be considered that Ryots are permitted, and constantly exercise the privilege of throwing up their lands, it will seem natural that the Government should possess the right to resume if it please, the building site which might be necessary for the new comers, and why should a Person who obtains a building site gratis in virtue of the occupation of land paying tax to Government be able while throwing up the latter to make again by the former. It is evident that if such a right existed, a Ryot might obtain a profit for himself and injure the Government Revenue by removing from Village to Village occupying tax paying lands for a year or two only and then relinquishing them selling at the same time the site of his house which he obtained for nothing.

5. As regards the particular Village of Meylore

Meylore being one in which the proprietary right in the sites of houses situated on the Peramboke remains with Government the Appellant contends in opposition to the Decree of the Sub Court that the evidence adduced by him clearly proves the fact. The Sub Judge draws a conclusion to the contrary because some of the persons whom the late Principal Collector removed from the sites they occupied were allowed to build elsewhere; but surely this circumstance affords no such argument. To say to an individual remove from this place and go there; presumes far greater power and right than being allowed to occupy another site in place of one taken. And while the Government freely permit Persons to occupy the Peramboke for building why should they deny the permission to one who has been put to inconvenience if not loss by the resumption of ground he occupied. Under similar circumstances every private Land Lord would endeavour to mitigate the hardship by providing a fresh site and the Government in addition to the liberal feelings which should influence

influence individuals, must be actuated by the paternal solicitude which belongs to the relation of Ruler and subject. It cannot be supposed that to exhibit its power it would willingly distress any Inhabitant of a Village.

6. No such capricious exercise of authority was shewn in the present instance. Altho' the ground held by the 4th Defendant was much required for the Chuttrum a Public Institution, yet so long as he occupied his house he was not dispossessed, but when the house went to decay and he attempted to sell ground for which the Government had obtained nothing then the Government Officers interfered to prevent the occupation of the alleged Purchaser.

7. The Court will not fail to notice that the Witnesses who depose to the sale of the Respondent state that no Title deeds were given over no deeds of any former purchase. This corroborates the Appellants assertions as to the tenure of such grounds in the Village of Meylore. Moreover tho' the Respondent began his Plaint by stating that the Grounds in question were ancestral property he adduced no evidence whatever in support of

the

the Statement.

8. The Appellant / Respondent is not singular in maintaining that Perumpoke lands are inalienable in Villages not merasee. In the adjoining Districts of Trichinopoly and Tinnevelly no such transfers are recognized. They may occasionally take place when the attention of the Government Officers is not particularly called to them, or from inadvertance; but this proves no right of sale: for when the great number of such tenures are considered; it will be deemed impossible for the Government Officers to be always on the watch to prevent sales, and non-interference where no public inconvenience is occasioned is widely different from a recognition of a right.

9. Moreover in this very District the Respondents right of Government in lands situated in Villages not Meerasey was distinctly affirmed in the Decree of the Register of Madura in Appeal Suit No 46 of 1839, confirmed by the Sudr Adalut which related to a Piece of land close to the Town of Madura, on the road South of the Vegay River and leading to the Tumbukum-

10. The Sub Judge lays great stress upon sites of houses being sold in Madura tho' contained in the Survey Perumpoke. The Respondent submits that large

large Towns like Madura are altogether exceptional cases. It is desirable that in such Towns Houses of a superior description should be built and of late years therefore the Government Officers have been very guarded in objecting to the transfer of House Grounds. but orders of Mr Peter, a former Collector can be procured in which, when allowing persons to occupy Perumpoke land at Madura he expressly stated that they would have no right to transfer them - Moreover Meylore is very different both in size and nature of Madura and even there the Respondent freely states he would exercise the power of resuming House Grounds with great tenderness and caution - He would certainly not, except in a very extreme case, cause a brick and chunam House in good repair to be removed No such arbitrary act was committed in the present instance, but the Government Officers waited till a Thatched house went to decay and the occupier no longer required the site / for which no price is ever shown to have been paid / for his own use as is admitted by the allegation of

of a sale to another party, and it was then resumed for a beneficial public purpose.

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.

(32 33)
Bd No 1764
Proceedings thereon

Resolved that the above letter and enclosures be forwarded for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

2.- The Board are inclined to recommend that the Collector's proposal to appeal against the decision of the Sub Judge be sanctioned.-

3.- But they are not prepared to go so far as Mr Parker in insisting on the right of Government to remove houses built on Perumboke and they would therefore alter para 10 of the proposed appeal in the manner denoted by the red ink alterations.

4 They consider it desirable that Government should maintain its right to Perumbeke and if Mr Parker could shew that the site in dispute was not part of the Village Nattum as specified in the survey accounts it would strengthen his argument.

(34)
Bd No 2062.
From the Collector of Madura

No 163.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir.

I have the honor to report that I have

have at the close of this day delivered over charge of the District to M. T. Clarke Sub Collector and to enclose his receipt for the Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 24,6334—2—8.-

Madura
24th August 1852

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector.

No 1.

Received from R. D. Parker Esqr Collector of Madura and Dindigal the sum of Company's Rupees / 24,6334—2—8 / Two Lacs forty Six thousand three hundred and thirty four Annas two and Pice Eight being the amount in the Treasury on the 24th August 1852 Viz:-

Amount in Cash	237901—5—11.
Deposite of the late Zillah Court of Madura	5000—0—0
Ditto of the Civil and Sessions Court of Ditto	2500—0—0
Ditto of the Subordinate Court of Do	500—0—0
Amount of the Sadithay Chittah	68—4—11.
Ditto Refunds of subscription to the late Native Pension Fund	368—8—9
Total	24,6334—2—8.

Madura
24th August 1852

/ Signed / R. T. Parker
Collector.

To

9869 — Fort St George 30th August 1852

No 164

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

35

B. No 2063
From the Sub Collector of Madura —

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day received charge of the District of Madura and Dindigul &c from Mr R. D. Parker Collector together with the amount of Cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section I. XIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my receipt has been passed.

Madura 24th August 1852

[Signed] T Clarke
Sub Collector.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.

34.36

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read

TR.

9870 — Fort St George 30th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No 165
1852.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

37

B. No 2070.
From the Collector of Madura.
Report on the Settlement of Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1261 with 10 Statements.

Sir,

Parat. I have the honor to report on the outturn for Fusly 1261/1851-52. of the Extra Sources of Revenue and to submit the prescribed Statements* therewith connected.

2. The sales of Salt in the Fusly under report exceeded those of the preceding year by the large Amount shewn in the Margin. The Increase was chiefly owing to the unfavorable nature of the Season which, as in Fusly 1256 when there was a still greater drought drove a number of Cattle generally used in Cultivation into the Salt trade for employment. It is attributable also in a less decree to persons usually resorting to the Arasavangherry and Arasaddy

Salt. Fusly	Quantity sold Tons	Amount of Revenue £	Rs	a.p
1260	29,402	9-28	29,402	11-3
1261	30,329	16-30	59,069	6-5
Increase	7,699	28.	71,339	11-2.

L

Quantity sold Tons	£	Amount of Revenue	
39,273	28	39,273	11-4

L

* 10

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

Aravaddy Pans in the Tinnevelly and Tanjore Districts having come to the adjoining Pans belonging to Madura, owing, it is said, to the supply in the former being exhausted, and to some degree of success having attended the endeavours made to check the sale of Earth Salt in the Shevagunga Zemmudary, the sort of which is particularly favorable to its manufacture.

3. I subjoin a comparative Statement of the quantity of Madura and Tinnevelly Salt consumed in the different Talooks in Fasli 1261 and 1262 and of the quantity exported to the neighbouring Districts.

The Increase in the sales of Madura Salt will be seen to have been 22,247 — 28 in consumption, 49,458 — " Inland Do.

L Statement—

Talooks—	Quantity Consumed in Fasli 1260—	Quantity Consumed in Fasli 1261—	Increase—	Decrease—	Quantity of Tinnevelly Salt consumed in Fasli 1260—	Quantity of Tinnevelly Salt consumed in the Fasli 1261—
	Ms. Ss.	Ms. Ss.	Ms. Ss.	Ms. Ss.	Ins. Ms. Ss.	Ins. Ms. Ss.
Madacolum	20,298 "	15,969 "	" "	4,329 "	7,342 — 20	6,709 — 20
Therasumungalum	17,001	19,555 — 20	2,554 — 20	" "	6,837 — 20	2,766 — "
Meylore	20,860 — 20	20,649 "	" "	211 — 20	1,779 — "	783 — "
Pamghery	7,636 — 20	7,998 — 20	362 "	" "	16 — 20	43 — 20
Tandicoolso	14,293 — 20	17,269 — 20	2,976 "	" "	195 — "	258 — "
Tyampilly	22,837 — 30	28,975 — 20	6,138 "	" "	8,527 — 20	8,739 — "
Thonthurray	22,921 — 20	28,282 — 20	6,261 "	" "	9,690 — "	8,385 — "
Melacottah	13,459 — 20	15,751 — 20	2,292 "	" "	1,162 — 32	337 — — 20
Ramnad Zemmudary	58,564 — 8	61,107 — 16	2,543 — 8	" "	2,773 — 20	1,493 — "
Shevagungah Do	35,745 "	39,400 — 20	3,655 — 20	" "	" — "	271 — 20
Exports for Inland Consumption	232,717 — 8	254,958 — 36	26,782 — 8	4,540 — 20	37,824 — 20	29,766 — "
		Deduct Decrease		4,540 — 20		
		Net Increase	32,241 — 28			

J

Combatoor	33,525 — 20	87,367 "	33,811 — 20	" "	"	"
Trichinopoly	511 — 20	1,650 "	1,138 — 20	" "	"	"
Salem	7,207 — 20	19,557 "	12,349 — 20	" "	"	"
Tanjore	12 "	94 — 20	82 — 20	" "	"	"
Tinnevelly	577 — 20	2,622 "	2,044 — 20	" "	"	"
Poodoocottah	58 — 20	90 "	31 — 20	" "	"	"
	61,912 — 20	111,370 — 20	49,458 "	" "	"	"
L Grand Total	294,629 — 28	366,329 — 16	76,220 — 8	4,540 — 20	"	"
		Deduct Decrease	4,540 — 20	" "	"	"
		Net Increase	71,699 — 28	" "	"	"

The

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

H

4-

	Tusly 1260	Tusly 1261
Balance on the 12th July	2,44,749 "	2,77,246-32
Deduct-Wastage since written off	2,875 "	5,352-12
	2,39,874 "	2,71,894-20
I Supply received	3,31,144-20	2,03,350-20
Gain by Measurement	555 "	552-30
	5,71,870-20	5,35,797-30
Disposed of	2,94,624-28	3,60,329-10
	2,77,246-32	1,69,468-20
Deduct-wastage	5,352-12	11,046 "
Balance at the close of the Tusly	2,71,894-20	1,58,422-20

The Statement in the margin exhibits the manufacture of salt during the year under report and the stock on hand at the close of the Tusly compared with the previous year. The manufacture during the current Tusly was decreased in consequence of a large stock of the salt having remained on hand at the close of the year preceding

5-

Corresponding to the decrease in the supply there was a diminution of 2,624 Kharjs in the amount paid to the Manufacturers. Adding a reduction of Rupees 27-4 " in Extra Charges and Rupees 138-10-4 the amount of an unpaid Yeomiah, the Total decrease amounts to Rupees 2,790-9-11 deducting therefrom an increase

K

Charges-

Tusly 1260	41,251-6-3
Tusly 1261	38,475-8-9
Decrease	2775-13-6

+ Since discontinued under Sanction of Government dated 6th July 1852-

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852-

increase of Rupees 14-12-5 in the payment of Sibbendy the Net decrease is left at Rupees 2775-13-6 as noted in the margin-

Current

6-

The Marginal State-ment shews the Amount of Collections, Cur-rent and arrears, which reached the Hoozoor Cutcherry during the year under review, together with the Charges-

Collections as per Hoozoor Treasury Accounts	3,25,893-14-5	
Arrears as per D°	24,465 " "	
Extra Revenue	70-9-4	
	3,50,429-7-9	
Charges inclusive of Tuccavy	46,190-8-9	
Net Collections	3,04,238-15 "	
D° Tusly 1260	2,57,073-3-8	
Increase	47,165-11-4	

J

7-

I would suggest for consider-ation whether some further insight into the Salt trade might not be gained by the Collectors of Inland Districts making a yearly return of the Rowanahs delivered to them and attempting to account for any considerable increase or Decrease-

8-

A comparative Statement of Demand under the remaining Heads of Extra Revenue for Tusblies 1260 and 1261 is subjoined-

Tusly

Fort St George, 30th August 1852.

	Fusly 1260.			Fusly 1261.			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.
Land Customs	1,351	13	1	784	11	5	"	"	"	567	1	8
Sea Customs	14987	15	3	18863	8	6	3875	9	3	"	"	"
Abkarry	56840	"	"	57790	"	"	"	"	"	5050	"	"
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	11973	5	8	9,208	"	9	"	"	"	2765	4	11
Stamps	30435	4	"	33642	2	"	3206	14	"	"	"	"

Land Customs - 9-
Decrease 567-1-8

The Decrease in Land Customs is attributable to the import of Nuts being less than in last year.

Sea Customs - 10-
Increase 3875-9-3

Owing to greater exportation of grain Ptes to and import of boiled and Raw Nuts and Chayaroots &c. from Ceylon.

Abkarry - 11.
Decrease 5050-" -"

This is owing to the Bidding at Auction being lower than in last year. Consequent it is supposed on losses sustained by the Renters in the year preceding.

Sundry Small Farms &c. 12-
Decrease 2765-4-11.

The decrease under this Head is in the Item of Fish Rent and ascribable to the Tanks and Watercourses not having received the usual supply of Water.

The

Fort St George, 30th August 1852.

Stamps - 13-
Increase 3206-14-"

The following Table shews particulars of the Sale of Stamps -

Items -	Sale Amount of Fusly 1260.			Sale Amount of Fusly 1261.			Increase -			Decrease -		
	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.	Rs	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.
Sale Amount of Stamped Papers &c for Civil and other Courts at Madras	22,994	8	"	22,888	6	"	"	"	"	106	2	"
Ds of Ds in lieu of institution Fees under Act XVII of 1848 -	7,640	12	"	10,753	12	"	3,313	"	"	"	"	"
Total -	30,435	4	"	33,642	2	"	3,313	"	"	106	2	"
							Deduct Decrease			106	2	"
							Net Increase			3206	14	"

14 I subjoin a Statement shewing the Collections and Balance under each Head -

	Demand -			Collections within the Fusly -			Subsequent Collections up to the end of July 1852.			Balance -		
	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.	Rs.	a	P.
Salt	3,65969	6	5	3,65969	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sayer	784	11	5	784	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
Sea Customs	18863	8	6	18,863	8	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
Abkarry	57,790	"	"	49,905	10	8	1,088	5	4	796	"	"
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	9,208	"	9	6,142	13	5	1866	1	8	1199	1	9
Stamps	33,642	2	"	33,642	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total -	4,80,257	13	1	4,75,308	4	6	2,954	7	"	1995	1	9

Gf.

9877. Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

15. Of the Balance of February 780 Rupees have been paid by a Hoondy which has been transmitted to the Sub Treasurer for realization and the remainder together with the Balance of sundry Small Farms and Licenses is in process of recovery. The cause of a Balance in the latter Head is that some of the Fash Rents are necessarily from the quantity of water in the Tanks given very late in the Fusly.

16. From Statement B in the packet, it will be seen that there remains no Balance on account of the Extra Revenue for Fusly previous to Fusly 1261.

Charges for Sea Customs Rs 2 P Decrease 1139-15-1.

17. The Decrease shewn in the Margin is chiefly owing to the Charges for Sea Customs in 1260 having

9878. Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

having been enhanced by Repairs to the Cutcherry at Keelakurray and the absence of a similar expense in the year under review. There was a slight diminution also in the pay of the Establishment the reduction ordered by Government on the 18th July 1850 having been in operation during the whole of the Fusly whereas in Fusly 1260 it came into force only in September 1850.—

Madras
24th August
1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Statement.

Triplicate.

9879

Fort St. George 30th August 1832.

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1259, 1260, & 1261 in the District of Chingleput.*

	For the last three Fuslies.						Comparison of the last two Fuslies.				REMARKS.
	Fusly 1259		Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		Increase.		Decrease.		
	Rupees	A. P.	Rupees	A. P.	Rupees	A. P.	Rupees	A. P.	Rupees	A. P.	
SALT.											
Gross Collections	32276	0 7	29452	9 0	35442	7 9	5990	13 0	"	" "	Increase is owing to the extensive sale of Salt
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	3600	5 6	3233	12 2	2976	" "	"	" "	256	12 2	& manufacture of Salt exceeds the decrease
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	11044	10 10	8396	14 7	11000	12 2	2603	14 5	"	" "	of the Increase is owing to a scarcity for Salt manu-
Total Charges	14644	16 4	11630	10 9	13976	12 2	2346	14 5	256	12 2	facture made in this being in part of that
Net Revenue	17631	15 3	17821	14 0	21465	15 7	3644	13 0	"	" "	of last year
Per Centage of the Charges	120	5 5/16	153	2 11/16	153	2 11	33	11 6	"	" "	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.											
Gross Collections	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Charges for Purchase	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Total Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Net Revenue	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
LAND CUSTOMS.											
Gross Collections	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Charges of Collection	1353	5 7	1302	15 1	1046	11 6	"	" "	256	3 6	The import of Salt being less than in last
" Commission	549	" "	552	" "	549	" "	"	" "	3	" "	Year
" Drawback	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Total	549	" "	552	" "	549	" "	"	" "	3	" "	
Net Revenue	1004	6 7	1232	15 1	1233	11 5	"	" "	1	" "	
Per Centage of the Charges	182	15 3/16	223	5 3/16	224	5 3/16	1	" "	"	" "	
SEA CUSTOMS.											
Gross Collections	35	6 5/16	39	12 5/16	69	15 6	30	3 1/16	"	" "	
Charges of Collection	12317	3 0	14403	10 9	19441	4 11	4927	10 2	"	" "	Increase in consequence of greater export of
" Commission	2496	14 2	3366	13 -	5226	13 11	"	" "	"	" "	Grain to and import of Rice & Paddy & Cha-
" Drawback	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	ya from Ceylon
Net Revenue	2496	14 2	3366	13 -	5226	13 11	"	" "	1139	15 1	Decrease is owing to no expenses being incurred the
Total	9821	4 3	11037	13 9	14243	6 6	4206	1 9	"	" "	year on account of not much Cloathy Cholery and a
Per Centage of the Charges	393	2	327	15	272	1	"	" "	55	14	Decrease of Grain Cotton & Salt
ABKARY.											
Gross Collections	52057	3 7	56011	" "	63260	10 10	"	" "	2550	5 4	Decrease is owing to the rent being less than last
Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	Year
Net Revenue	52057	3 7	56011	" "	63260	10 10	"	" "	2550	5 4	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.											
Gross Collections	12069	7 9	13106	5 6	9339	6 4	"	" "	4766	15 2	Decrease is owing to the Tanks and Water courses
Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	not having received sufficient supply of water
Net Revenue	12069	7 9	13106	5 6	9339	6 4	"	" "	4766	15 2	from deficiency of Season
Per Centage of the Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District)											
Stamps											
Gross Collections	26603	1 6	30435	4 -	33612	2 "	3206	14 "	"	" "	Increase is owing to greater sale of Papers of
Charges	1210	7 2	1582	1 10	1561	" 10	20	15 "	"	" "	high value in this than last Year
Net Revenue	25393	10 4	28903	2 2	32051	1 2	3187	15 "	"	" "	Increase in consequence of excess sale.
Per Centage of the Charges	4	9 21 11/16	5	" 6 12/16	4	10 3	"	" "	4	6 3 11/16	
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.											
Gross Collections	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Net Revenue	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	
GRAND TOTAL.											
Gross Collections	127976	11 0	135413	13 3	146507	11 1	5232	13 10	"	" "	
Charges	24769	5 6	26700	5 1	28527	7 6	3027	2 5	"	" "	
Net Revenue	103206	9 2	108713	8 2	117980	3 7	9267	11 5	"	" "	
Per Centage of the Charges	416	12 27 13/16	407	11 4	413	10 13 10	"	" "	"	" "	
GRAND TOTAL.											
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	391736	9 6	430620	1 1	511102	6 5	80481	4 4			
Charges after deductions for											

9880

No 2. Statement of Extra Revenue [illegible] Augus 6 1852.

Statement of Extra Revenue [illegible] the Districts of Madura and Dindigul for Fusly 1261.-

	Fusly 1261.					
	Total collections received into			Extra Collections in Fusly 1261.	Total Columns 12 and 13.	Balance
	Honorable Treasury	Talook Treasuries	Total			
	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Salt	32,893 12 "	40,075 8 "	3,65,969 6 5	170 9 4	3,66,039 15 9	" " "
Sayer amanee	7 84 11 6	" " "	7 84 11 6	" " "	7 84 11 6	" " "
Sea Customs	18,048 14 "	814 10 6	18,863 8 6	" " "	18,863 8 6	" " "
Abkarry	49,905 10 8	" " "	49,905 10 8	" " "	49,905 10 8	1,884 5 4
Sundry small and Licences	6,142 12 6	" " "	6,142 12 6	" " "	6,142 12 6	3,060 3 3
Stamps	33,642 2 "	" " "	33,642 2 "	" " "	33,642 2 "	" " "
Total	4,34,418 2 "	40,890 2 6	4,75,308 4 6	170 9 4	4,75,378 13 10	4,949 8 7

Certificate-

9881 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1261 with that of Fusly 1260		Comparison of the Collections of Fusly 1261 with that of Fusly 1260		
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
16	17	18	19	
CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	
71329 11 2		71339 11 2		
" " " 8 11 5	6 9 18	" " " "	6 9 18	
" 3875 9 3		" 3875 9 3		
" " " 5	1060 " "	" " " "	3579 " "	
" " " 4	2765 " 11	" " " "	3646 " "	
" 3206 14 "		" 3206 14 "		
78422 5 5	8382 6 7	78422 5 5	7792 9 3	70629 11 2

Madura 11th July 1852. E.E. Pr

Signed/ R.D. Parker
Collector.

9882 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 3. Memorandum shewing the Particulars of Extra Collections realized under each Branch of Revenue for Fusly 1261. in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul Column 13 Statement Marked A.—

	CRs	A	P	CRs	A	P
Salt Revenue.						
Collections from Manufacturers on account of Salt-				68	11	10
heaps damaged in certain						
Salt Pans.						
Salt proceeds of confis-				1	13	6
-cated salt						
Company's Rupees				70	9	4

Madura 11th July 1852. E.E. Pr

Signed/ R.D. Parker
Collector
Statement.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Comparison of the Settlement of Fasly 1261 with that of Fasly 1260		Comparison of the Collections of Fasly 1261 with that of Fasly 1260.	
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
16	17	18	19
CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P
7,329 11 2		7,339 11 2	
" " " 5 69 18		" " " 69 18	
3875 9 3		3875 9 3	
" " " 5,050		" " " 3,579 34	
" " " 3,765 11		" " " 3,646 23	
3206 14		3206 14	
84222 5	8382 6	84222 5	7792 9 3

Madura 11th July 1852 E.E. Pr

(Signed) R.D. Parker
Collector.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 3. Memorandum shewing the Particulars of Extra Collections realized under each Branch of Revenue for Fasly 1261 in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul Column 13 Statement Marked A.—

	CRs	A	P
Salt Revenue.—			
Collections from Manufacturers on account of Salt-			
heaps damaged in certain			
Salt Pans	68	11	10
Salt proceeds of confis-			
-cated salt	1	13	6
Company's Rupees	70	9	4

Madura 11th July 1852

E.E. Pr

(Signed) R.D. Parker
Collector

Statement.

9883

Fort St George

No. 4. Statement shewing the Demand, Collection and Balance of Land Revenue in the Zillah of Madura and Dindigal for Fusly 1259.

	Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of Fusly 1260	Arrears on account of Fusly 1259.	Collections on account of Fusly 1259	Total Collections in arrears prior to Fusly 1261.	Total Balance after deducting Column 15 from 2.	Balance to be collected from the Private Inamdars commencement to the end of the year.
1	2	3	4	14	15	
Salt	24465				24465	11 4 1C
Sea Customs	1362 6 11				1362 6 11	1505 32
Abkarry	3355				3355	2157 36
Sundry small Farms and Licences	2196 8 10	12 11 12	11 11		2196 8 10	1477 20 9874
						1894 20
Total	31378 15 9	12 11 12	11 11		31378 15 9	

30th August 61

Madur Parker
Collector
Statement.

Fort St. George

30th August 1852.

wing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning of the year the quantity Manufactured and sold
Balance in store at its close with the Financial Results of this sources of Revenue from Fasly 1256 to 1261.

Quantity manufactured during the year including quantity by measurement spontaneous and smuggled Salt Mds.	Quantity stored annually from the manufacture of the previous Current years including quantity by measurement spontaneous and smuggled Salt Mds.	Salt store as per Column 2 and 4.	Quantity sold for Consumption within the District	Quantity sold for consumption within the Madras Territories exclusive of Column 6.	Quantity sold for transportation across the Frontier for the supply of the native states in the interior	Quantity sold to French Government	Exportations by sea Under Registration from Government	Exportations by sea On private account whether to Calcutta or other places
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C	M S C
" 2,98,666 8 "	2,98,666 8 "	4,31,172 "	2,94,500 "					
" 4,42,708 32 "	4,42,708 32 "	4,75,423 32 "	2,56,634 16 "					
" 3,48,440 34 "	3,48,440 34 "	4,70,598 30 "	2,42,157 18 "					
" 4,18,074 " "	4,18,074 " "	5,69,561 20 "	2,58,091 20 "					
" 3,32,002 20 "	3,32,002 20 "	5,71,876 20 "	2,32,717 8 "					
" 2,63,903 16 "	2,63,903 16 "	5,35,797 36 "	2,54,983 36 "					

Fort St. George

30th August 1852.

wing the Balance of Salt on hand at the beginning
Balance in store at its close with the Financial
of the year the quantity Manufactured and sold
Results of this sources of Revenue from Fasly 1256 to 1261.

Quantity manufactured during the year including quantity by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt Mds	Quantity stored annually from the Manufacture of the previous Current years including quantity by measurement Spontaneous and smuggled Salt Mds	Salt store as per Columns 2 and 4.	Quantity sold for Consumption within the District	Quantity sold for Consumption within the Madras Territories exclusive of Column 6.	Quantity sold for transportation across the Frontier for the supply of the native States in the interior.	Quantity sold to French Government	Exportation by sea Under requisition from Government	Exportation by sea On private account whether to Calcutta or other places
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
C. M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.	M. Sd. C.
298666 8	298666 8	431172	294760					
442708 32	442708 32	475423 32	256634 16					
348440 34	348440 34	470598 30	242157 18					
418074	418074	569561 20	258091 20					
332002 20	332002 20	571876 20	232717 8					
263903 16	263903 16	535797 36	254928 36					

9885

Fort St. George

30th August 1852.

Wastage Columns 6 to 12	Total Columns 5 to 13	Balance on store at the close of the year or the difference between 5 & 12 Columns	Gross Revenue = realized from the sales of saleable Cattle	Deduct Cost charges of all sorts: = incurred on account of the Cattle sold	Net Revenue	Remarks
12 m. s. c.	13 m. s. c.	14 m. s. c.	15 Rs. a. p.	16 Rs. a. p.	17 Rs. a. p.	
5,583 12	3,98,457	32,715	3,99,487	28,468	3,50,319	14 8
2,133 "	3,53,265	36 122,1536	3,53,265	14 5,52,148	2,97,947	8 8
3,022 32	3,19,121	10 151,477	20 3,19,121	4 47,438	2,71,683	11 4
4,875 "	3,29,677	20 2,89,574	32,677	8 5,23,580	2,74,297	7 10
5,352 12	2,99,982	27,189,420	2,99,982	46,693	2,53,378	5 "
11,046 "	3,77,375	16 15,542,220	3,77,815	6 50,422	3,27,493	13 8

Sold at 120 Rupees per Garce and at 1 Rupees per Maund.

At 114 Rupees per Garce if sold in complete heaps and 120 Rupees per Garce for retail sales.

Madura,
11th July 1852.

{ Signed } R. D. Parker
Collector

Comparative

9886

Fort St George 30th August 1852

No 6. Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured at each of the Pans of the Districts of Madura and Dendigul.

No	Names of the Pan	Place of the manufacture	Quantity manufactured in Fusly 1260	Quantity manufactured in Fusly 1261	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			M s c	m s c	m s c	m s c	
1	Tharacody	Tharacody	23,980 20 .	" " "	" " "	23,980 20 .	
2	MooKyoor	MooKyoor	45,840 . .	42,375 . .	" " "	34 65 . .	
3	Moderagrunadapatam	Moderagrunadapatam	37047 . .	23,572 20 .	" " "	13,474 21 .	
4	Vaulnokum	Vaulnokum	20,516 20 .	" " "	" " "	21,506 20 .	
5	Moreeclum	Moreeclum	12,138 . .	15,297 . .	3,159 . .	" " "	
6	Suttankurray	Suttankurray	25,120 . .	23,220 . .	1,103 . .	" " "	
7	Vyravanathapatam	Vyravanathapatam	27,808 20 .	21,540 . .	" " "	6,268 20 .	
8	Oopper	Oopper	17,826 . .	20934 . .	3,108 . .	" " "	
9	Oagundaungody	Oagundaungody	20,769 . .	23,944 . .	3,175 . .	" " "	
10	Mawagudy	Mawagudy	14,433 . .	22,947 . .	8,514 . .	" " "	
		Cadanky	10,462 . .	18,138 . .	7,686 . .	" " "	
11	Sholeagody	Sholeagody	17,655 . .	19,050 . .	1395 . .	" " "	
12	Vuttanum	Vuttanum	28,467 . .	" " "	" " "	28,467 . .	
		Narembel	8,155 . .	" " "	" " "	8,155 . .	
13	Cabanaghurry	Cabanaghurry	12,294 . .	19,020 . .	9,726 . .	" " "	
14	Shettundathanum	Shettundathanum	" " "	15,069 . .	15,069 . .	" " "	
		Yadeanpadumy	12,291 . .	12,291 . .	" " "	" " "	
		Total	312,397 20 .	282,401 20 .	74,226 . .	104,223 . .	
					Net Decrease	29,997 . .	

Add Quantity of Salt manufactured in Fusly 1260 on account of Fusly 1261 — 19,050 . .

Deduct Salt manufactured in Fusly 1260 on account of 1261 — 19,050 . .

331,447 20 . 263,350 20 .

Madura 11th July 1852 C.C. Pr /Signed/ R. D. Parker Collector

Statement

9887

Fort St. Geo.

30th August 1852

No 7 Statement shewing the Places to which Salt has been exported whether by Land or Sea.

Name of the Districts	Supplied to other Districts: Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value	Supplied to Foreign States: Names of Foreign States	Quantity	Exported by Sea: Rate per Cent	Names of places	Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value	Total of Columns 4 - 8 - and 12.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Measures	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Measures	Rs. a. p.		Measures	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Coimbatore	83,757 " "	120 " "	83,757 " "								
	3,600 " "	114 " "	3,420 " "								
Salem	18,357 " "	120 " "	18,357 " "								
	12,000 " "	114 " "	11,400 " "								
Trichinopoly	16,500 " "	120 " "	16,500 " "								
Tanjore	1,920 " "	120 " "	1,920 " "								
Tinnevelly	2,622 " "	120 " "	2,622 " "								
Total	1,11,280 " "	" " "	1,11,040 " "					120 " "	90 " "		1,11,130 " "

Madura, 11th July 1852.

S.C.P.C. (Signed) R.D. Parker
Collector

Statement

Statement of Establishments

Estimates

Steam Vessels

Officers

Victualling Yards

Ordnance

Repairs & Guardships

Coals and Contingent charges

Charges of Coasts

Packet Service

Harbours & other Allowances

Victualling Yards Pursers Stores

Civil Establishment

Navy Office

Total Establishments Public Offices

Salaries and Allowances

Total of Establishments

9888

Forth Year 30th January 1850

No 8. Statement shewing the particulars of Money Remuneration in Pursuit and

Explanatory remarks at end of the Year 1850 and 1851.

Amount of the Salaries

Yearly Yearly Quarterly

Number Salaries Salaries

Statement

11th July 1850

Q.889

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 9. Statement shewing the annual Sales of Salt

No	Fusilies	Home Consumption: Quantity	Home Consumption: Value	Inland Exportation: for other Districts: Quantity	Inland Exportation: for other Districts: Value	Inland Exportation: for Foreign States: Quantity	Inland Exportation: for Foreign States: Value	Exported by Sea: Quantity	Exported by Sea: Value	Total: Quantity	Total: Value
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		M. A. C.	Rs. a. c.	M. A. C.	Rs. a. c.	M. A. C.	Rs. a. c.	M. A. C.	Rs. a. c.	M. A. C.	Rs. a. c.
1	1251	260954 16	221285 1 8	46956	20316 8					317910 16	268571 9 8
2	1252	257674 27½	225265 5 7	55157	44174 14					312831 27½	270440 3 7
3	1253	224149 8	224113 15 11	46430 16	25062 2 7			13676 4		309654 24	282852 6 6
4	1254	259184	273362 4 1	73261 20	77214 12					332365 20	350577 1
5	1255	259867 18½	259867 5 7	71818 20	71818 5	39675	40 60			331726 18½	331726 5 7
6	1256	294750	294750	96842 28	96842 11 4					392873 28	392873 11 4
7	1257	256634 16	256634 6 5	94498 20	94498 8	1281				351132 36	351132 14 5
8	1258	242157 15	242157 7 3	73941	73941					316098 15	316098 7 3
9	1259	258091 20	258091 8	66711	66711					324802 28	324802 8
10	1260	202717 8	202717 3 3	61854	61854	58 20				294629 28	294629 11 3
	Total	2546100 11½	2495244 9 9	685702 4	676403 15 4	1380		13676 4	32747 25 35/15	3186704 13 8	
	Average	254610 1½	249524 7 4½	68572 2 6/15	67640 6 4½	138		1367 10	3274 7 25/15	318670 7 9	
	1261	254958 36	254838 14 5	111280 20	111240 8	90		3656 32	327472 23½	366329 16	365969 6 5
	Average	34134 10 12/15	33514 7 ½	42663 17 12/15	43400 1 ½					38856 32½/15	47298 12 8
	Decrease	" "	" "	" "	" "	48		3967 20		1367 10	

Madura, 11th July 1852. E.E. pt.

Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

9890

Fort St George 30th August 1852

No 10 Statement of Number and Tonnage of Vessels that passed through the Pamben Channel in July 1261 Compared with those of 3 previous years in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul

Fusliee	Number of Vessels	Tonnage
1258	2,023	120,532
1259	2,109	141,556
1260	1,996	139,676
1261	2,072	142,524
Total	8,200	543,288

Madura, 11th July 1852 C.C. for Signed / R. D. Parker, Collector

J.H.L.

Ordered that the Copy be forwarded as a future Enclosure

Read the following letter

From

W. Ste. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

Dated 4th August 1852

Transmitting the Collector's Statement of Number and Tonnage of Vessels that passed through the Pamben Channel in July last.

Ordered that the Same this day Acknowledged

And a Charge by the Division to be drawn against the Collector

Ordered that a Letter be addressed to the Collector of Madura & Dindigul requesting that he will explain by what Authority he has granted Passes to Vessels to proceed through the Pamben Channel, and that he will report whether any Duties are levied upon Vessels passing through that Channel

By Order of the Right Honble the Governor in Council

W. Ste. Bayley
Secy to Govt

(39)

(39.38)

9890

Fort St George 30th August 1852

No 10 Statement of Number and Tonnage of Vessels that passed through the Paumben Channel in July 1261 Compared with those of 3 previous years in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul

Fusleis	Number of Vessels	Tonnage
1258	2,023	120,532
1259	2,109	141,556
1260	1,996	139,676
1261	2,072	142,524
Total	8,200	5,50,2,18

Madura, 11th July 1852 C.C. Pr Signed J. R. D. Parker
Collector

J.C.L.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

Read the following letter

From

W. Oto. Oswald Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

(39)

No. A.2088
Transmitting Statement
of Vessels passed through
the Paumben Channel
in July 1261.

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement of the number of Vessels that passed through the Paumben Channel in the month of July 1261 and the Tonnage of the same, as furnished by the Collector of Madura.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
Humble Servant

(39.38)

9892 Fort St. George 30th August 1852

Treasury amounting to Rupees [illegible]
12. 6 for which he has passed m
his receipt and that Sum
about to start for the
Presidency under the
leave of absence granted
me by Government
dated 27th ultimo.

Tinnevelly
24th August,
1852.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector

9893 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

To,

W. N. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(40)
B. J. 2854

From the Sub Collector
in charge of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board my having this day received from Mr. C. J. Bird, Collector, charge of the Office of Collector and Magistrate of this District, as also of the Balance of Cash in this Treasury amounting to Company's Rupees 37,966. 12. 6 for which I have duly passed my receipt to him, of which the enclosed is a duplicate.-

Tinnevelly, 24th August, 1852.

(Signed) C. Whittingham
Sub Collector in charge.

Received

9894

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Received from C. J. Bird Esqr. Collector of Tinnevelly in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 37,966-12. 8 being the Balance of Cash upto 23d August 1852 according to the accounts of the Treasury.

Species	Coins.	No. of Coins.	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount.	Total.
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Gold	Mohur Half	0	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	English Sovereigns	1	750	7 8	
	Ditto Half Ditto	40	2000	400 - -	
	Company's Rupees 1835 & 1840	2	500	10 - -	417 8 -
	Ditto Half Ditto	206654	100	206654 - -	
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	99210	50	49605 - -	
	Ditto Double annas	317684	25	79422 4 -	
	Company's Rupees of the Coinages previous to 1835	223471	12 8	27993 14 -	
	Ditto Half Ditto	2671	100	2671 - -	
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	7052	50	367 - -	30
	Ditto Single Ditto	1698	6 4	106 2 -	
	Bombay Sicca Rupees	43	97 12	42 - 4	
	Ditto Half Ditto	8	48 14	4 - 4	
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	8	24 7 -	1 15 3	
	Half Pagoda Pieces	172	14 5 -	32 13 7	
	Quarter Ditto	76	9 3 -	14 11 10	
	Spanish Doubloons	328	220 - -	721 9 7	
	German Crowns	183	212 - -	387 15 4	368250 12 3
	New Half annas	30223	3 - -	944 7 6	
	Ditto Quarter Ditto	98624	1 9 -	1541 - -	
	Ditto Single Pie Pieces	2000	8 4 -	10 6 8	
	Europe four Pie Pieces	9848	2 1 4 -	205 2 8	
Copper	Ditto two Ditto	5793	1 - 8 -	59 6 8	
	Ditto One Ditto	245	- 8 4 -	1 4 5	
	Forty Cash Pieces	12	3 14 2 68	- 7 5	
	Twenty —— Ditto	759	1 15 1 34	14 12 2	
	Ten —— Ditto	1870	- 15 6 67	18 2 11	
	Five —— Ditto	694	- 7 9 33½	5 6 -	2798 8 3
	In Bank Note	1	- - - - -	- - -	500 - -
				Company's Rupees	37,966 12 6

Tinnevelly, 24th August, 1852. E. E. Jr. (Signed) C. Whittinghame
Sub Collector in charge.

Ordered

Fort St. George 30th August

Order on the foregoing letters - Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

(39.41)

Read the following letter

No 76

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to forward

(42)

B. No. 1740

From the Collector of Canara

herewith the original Proceedings of the Collector of Canara on the case of Baboo Rao late Shanbhogue of Vorlagunee in Sunkola Talook, called for in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 23rd ultimo.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore,
15th July, 1852

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector

B. No. 1745
Proceedings thereon.

(42.43)

The Board approve of the proceedings of the Collector in the case of Baboo Rao late Shanbhogue of Vorlagunee, and desire that this decision may be communicated to that individual with reference to his appeal Petition.

The original documents received with the above letter are returned.

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

No 74.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(44)

B. No. 2055.

From the Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for submission to Government a Tabular Statement for the Establishment of Servants to be kept at the Salt Depôt to be Established at Panemangalore, as required by the Board in an Extract from their Proceedings under date the 22d Ultimo. and another for the additions to be effected in the Establishment for the conservancy of Forests in this district by making the services of Mr. A. Poulton the present Acting Bombay Government Timber Agent available in this District as an Assistant to the Collector in the Forest Department, the same having been called for in another Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 23d Ultimo.

I have also thought it advisable to submit at the same time a Tabular Statement for the additions recently recommended by me to the Revenue and Police Establishments of the Sunkola Talook of the Canara District, that the same may be at once sent on for the sanction of the Government of India in the event of it's having met with the approval of Government.

To Board 11th August 1852 No 70.

Collectors Cutcherry,
Mangalore, - - -
21st August, 1852. -

(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Collector.

Tabular

9897.

Fort St. George.

No. 1. Tabular Statement shewing the additions proposed in the Establishments of the Collectorate of Canara for the Year 1852/53.

Year	Revenue		Charges	
	Ordinary	Extraordinary	Ordinary	Extraordinary
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1849/50	[illegible]	[illegible]	27,141	1550
1850/51	[illegible]	[illegible]	21,090	4023
1851/52	140426	423	25,534	6328
1852/53. Estimated	153150	888	27,000	6000
	156000	600		

Extract from Home Department of Government of India—

Sanctioned in the Public Consultations for the Year 1852/53.

Number Dated

Nature of Charge—

Present scale—

Proposed scale—

Canara—

Attached to the Depôts on the North Bank of the Poonerah Bantwal.

		Rs.	a.		Rs.	a.
1	Moniagar	14	"	1 Moniagar	14	"
1	Shanbogue	8	"	1 Shanbogue	8	"
1	Shroff	7	"	1 Shroff	7	"
2	Measurers	9	"	2 Measurers	9	"
6	Holkars	24	"	6 Holkars	24	"
				Proposed for the Depôt to be established at Pane Mangalore on the South Bank of the same River		
				1 Montaldy	20	"
				1 Shanbogue	7	"
				1 Shroff	7	"
				2 Measurers	9	"
				4 Holkars	16	"
	Total	82	"	Total	121	"
	Add Servants of the Mangalore Talook doing duty at Buntwal—			Total Increase requiring Sanction pursuant to Extract from the Minute of Council		
1	Montaldy	35	"			
1	Duffadar	7	8			
	Total sowing at Buntwal	104	8			

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 21st August 1852.

30th August

ed in the Pa

Additions pro

| | | | |

30th August 1852.

Fort St George

Proposed re the Establishment for the Conservancy of Forests in the Zillah of Canara.

Year	Charges Ordinary	Charges Extra Ordinary	Charges Total
	Rs	Rs	Rs
	2137	7	2144
	2520	12	2532
	2503	13	2516
ated	3120	15	3136

Extras Home of Gove of Inco Number	Permanent Increase per Month Amount	Permanent Decrease per Month Amount	Temporary Increase per Month Period	Temporary Increase per Month Amount	Temporary Decrease per Month Period	Temporary Decrease per Month Amount	Casual Estimated Demand
200	"	"					
26	"	"					
15	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
"	"	"					
240	"	"					
2880	"	"					

Proposition.

Orders of Government.

Grounds of Proposition	Local Government's Examination	Home Department Remarks	Financial Department Remarks	Orders
The increased Value of Teak Timber has led to increased attention being paid to the Forests of this District which are capable under proper management of yielding a very valuable supply of this and other timbers. To introduce a proper conservancy the aid of an experienced officer is essential and the Establishment proposed bears a very small proportion to the value of the timber which the Forests are capable of yielding. See letters to Board Nos 2 and 25 dated 8th January and 15th March 1852. Respectively.				

/Signed/ J. N. Maltby
Collector

Resolved

C, 1852.

9899 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

B. No. 1760.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the Tabular Forms Nos. 1 and 2 be submitted to Government with reference to their orders dated 6th* and 22nd* July 1852 and that the Form No. 3 be placed as an Enclosure in the Collector's letter of 11th August 1852 No. 70.

(4445)

*Inform. 22 July 1852.
*Inform. 17th 25th Do.

Read the following Statement.

B. No. 1970.

(46) Statement exhibiting the Quantity of Salt in Store available for Exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of export in the District of Madras.

Places at which Salt is Shipped.	Quantity of Salt to be exported in the year 1852	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in Store and ready to be exported.
1 Indian Pounds	2 Indian Pounds	3 Indian Pounds	4 Indian Pounds.	5 Indian Pounds.
Ennore	180.000	720.	179.280.	119.280.

Madras. 1st August, 1852.

(Signed) J. A. Ruddleston
Collector.

(6647)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read

9900 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Read again letter from the Accountant General dated 13th March and entered in Consultation 24th June 1852.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(48)

To, The Accountant General.

B. No. 1752.

Sir,

Fort St. George Accountant General.

With reference to the General Statement of the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of the Districts under the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1260 which accompanied your letter of the 13th March 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to explain concisely the cause of the increase and decrease viz. the undermentioned items as entered in that Statement and in the one for the preceding Fusly received from you.

In Cons. 24th June 1852.

Extra Charges connected with Revenue but not chargeable to any particular source	Coimbatore	Fusly 1260.	Rs. 27,600	9 5
		Fusly 1259.	" 33921	6 11
Refunds of Revenue	Masulipatam	Fusly 1260	" —	" "
		Fusly 1259	" 12593	" 5
Expences on account of Improvements in the culture of Cotton	Tinnevelly	Fusly 1260	" 2640	4 8
		Fusly 1259	" 6050	" 9
Receipts Tobacco Monopoly	Coimbatore	Fusly 1260	" 179700	11 "
		Fusly 1259	" 207595	8 1

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George. 30th August 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

9901. Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Read the following letter
No. 5322

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(49)

B. No. 2056.
From the Military Board. — " —

Sir,

In returning your Indents on the Stationery Department for Cloth for covering Tables &c. I have the honor under orders of the Military Board to state that the description of Cloth indented for is not in Store, save those indicated in the Margin and it is of importance that these be disposed of ere other investigations be procured, and the Board trust they may equally answer your purpose.

Superfine Orange " — " Deep Buff " — " Yellow.

Military Board Office,
Fort St. George, - - -
28th August, 1852. - - -

Signed / A. H. Hope
Acting Secretary.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To

9902 Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

No. 3773.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(49.50)

B. No. 1772.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

From Military Board 9th inst. No. 15th August 1852.
To do 16th August 52
From do 28th August in Ors 30th August 1852

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying correspondence with the Military Board on the subject of a supply of Broad Cloth required for covering Tables in the Board Room and in that of the Members and Secretaries.

2. It will be seen that the Military Board are unable to furnish the description of Cloth indented for as none is available in the Arsenal.

3. Orange, Deep Buff and Yellow are the only broad Cloth in store, but as they are not suitable the Board solicit the authority of Government for purchasing 24 Yards of Superfine Green or Blue Cloth for the purpose required.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, -
30th August, 1852. - -

Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Mil. No. 886.
From the Sub Treasurer
(51)
Indent on the Commissary General for 100 Teak wood boxes.

Read Miscellaneous

Ordered

9903

Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

Ordered, that the following letter be sent in consequence.

51-52

B. No. 1778.

To the Sub Treasurer.

In the Pay 30th August 1852.

To,

The Sub Treasurer.

Sir,

With reference to your Indent on the Commissary General dated 23d August 1852 for Rs. Fifteen Hundred I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be good enough to forward a Memorandum of the value in order that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the same.

2nd. In all cases where the Indent for articles on the Commissariat is likely to exceed Rupees 100 you will be pleased to submit a Statement of cost for the special orders of Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 30th August, 1852.

(Signed) H. H. Bayley, Secretary.

Read

9904

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 2216.

53

B. No. 2068.

From the Town Major.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government noted in the Margin I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to request that the temporary services of one of the Officers alluded to in the third Paragraph thereof (as may be most convenient) may be placed at the disposal of His Honor, for the purpose of forming a Committee for the examination of Lieutenant W. R. Newlyn, Sub Assistant Commissary General, a Probationer of the Commissariat Department.

Town Major's Office, Fort St. George, 30th August 1852.

(Signed) E. Armstrong, Lieut. Colonel, Town Major.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

53-54

To the Town Major.

To, The Town Major.

Sir, With reference to your letter of

of 30th August 1852, I have the honor to inform you that if you will intimate to me the time fixed for the assembling of the Committee for the examination of Lieutenant Newlyn, myself or the Sub Secretary will attend.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
30th August 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

53

B. No. 1749.

To the Collectors of
Vizagapatam.
Rajahmundry.
Masulipatam.
Nellore.
Malabar.
Agent at Kurnool.

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from this Office dated 24th March 1851. and to my letter of 15th January 1852.* I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to furnish them as early as practicable, with the information called for respecting the standard weights and Measures in use in your District.

(*This was a reminder)

The

The Board observe that it is now 18 months since their Circular was issued.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30th August 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

56

B. No. 1768.

To the Agent at
Kurnool.
C. C. Book page 637.

To, The Agent at Kurnool.

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from this Office under date 16th September 1850, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will as early as possible forward the Hindoovee Copy of your Settlement Report for fasly 1260.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
30th August 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

57

B. No. 1767.

To the Barrack Master

To, The Barrack Master

Sir,

1. A Rafter having fallen from the Wooden Verandah over the Portico of the Board's Office

Fort St. George 30th August

Office (but fortunately without doing any
damage to the people) which appear to
that the whole wood work is rotten &
directed by the Board of Revenue to
that you will cause their Requisition
examined and repaired or a new one
plied if the present one is so far
as to render such a measure necessary

2. The Board desire me to
their opinion that the whole building
requires careful inspection and if
left neglected for years.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
30th August 1852

Signed [illegible] Bayley
Secy.

58

No. 888.
From the Collector of
Nellore

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and Statements
Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance from 1st to
July last.

59

No. 889.
From the Collector of
Tanjore

Abstract of Demand
Collection and

60
No. 890
From the Collector of Nellore

of June last.
Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.

61
No. 891.
From the Collector of Tanjore.

Statement shewing the
average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and
Salt for the month of June last.

62
No. 900
From the Acting Collector
of North Arcot.

Return of persons
charged with offences under Regulation IX of
1822 for the month of July last.

63
No. 899.
From the Acting Collector
of North Arcot.

Return of persons
confined under Regulation IX of 1822
for the month of July last.

64
No. 892.
From the Collector of
Bellary.

Stating that no persons
have been either charged with offences or
confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for
the month of July last.

65
No. 894
From the Collector of
Chingleput.

Stating that no persons
were

were charged with offences under Regu-

66 lation IX of 1822 for the month of July

Mr. No. 893 last.—

From the Collector of }
Tinnevelly— } Reporting that no persons

was charged with offences under Regu-

67 lation IX of 1822 for the month of

Mr. No. 896. July last.—

From the Collector of }
Singlepute— } Stating that no persons

were suspended or dismissed under

68 Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of

Mr. No. 895. July last.—

From the Collector of }
Tinnevelly— } Stating that no persons

were suspended or dismissed under

69 Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of

Mr. No. 897. July last.—

From the Collector of }
Singlepute— } Statement shewing

quantity of Salt in store and

ready for Exportation as above

Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 upto 15th

70 August 1852.—

Mr. No. 898.

From the Collector of }
Tanjore— } Register of the state

of the River Caveery at the anni-

= cut for the month of June 1851

71 and 1852.—

Mr. No. 868.

From the Collector of }
Singlepute— } Forwarding an Indent

for 400 Printed Bills of Exchange.

72

Mr. No. 876.

From the Collector of }
Madura— } Forwarding a

supplemental Indent for 3,000

Salt Rowanahs.—

71-73

Order on the 2 abovementioned }
letters— } Ordered to be

74 supplied accordingly.—

Mr. No. 865.

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of

smuggled

Fort St. George 14th August 1852.

Charles Morgan Elliott of the Engineers died at this Station August 4th 1852.

2. It appears from Copies of documents found amongst the papers of the deceased that the Tents were made up by Captain Elliott and Contingent Bills submitted to Government thro' the Chief Secretary.

3. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to inform me whether I should move these Tents or that you will have the instructions regarding them issued from the Department of the Quarter Master General / to whom I shall hand this letter to the proper Department in order that instructions may be issued.

I have &c.

Signed / F. Stratton, Brigadier Commanding Masulipatam.

Masulipatam, 14th August, 1852.

3-4

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 28th August 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that neither the Revenue nor Public Works Department of this Office know any thing about the Tents belonging to the late Captain Elliott.

I have &c.

Signed / W. H. Bayley Secretary &c.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 28th August, 1852.

Board's No. 1740.

To the Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army.

Fort St. George 30th August 1852.

At a Meeting Present

Monday, 30th August, 1852.

I. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member

T. L. Plane and Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

5

Board's No. 2016.

From the Secy. to Government.

Forwarding for communications to the acting Collector of Gorith Ared a letter from the Commissary General on the subject of the Licenses granted to Mr. Potter for the sale of Spirits Wines &c.

Read the following Extracts -

No. 823.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, in the Revenue Department, under date the 23d August, 1852.

Read the following.

No. 829.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department under date the 2nd August 1852.

Read the following papers.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department. / Here enter 6th July 1852. No. 648 /

No. 80.

To,

Sir, H. C. Montgomery Bart.

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to Minutes of Consultation

994

Fort St George 30th August 1852.

Smuggled Tobacco recommended for con-
= fiscation for the month of June last.

(74.75)

Order thereon.

Ordered that the confis-
and sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enu-
=rated in the abovementioned Statement
authorized.

" Pocklington

Capt. White

" Greene

Collectors of Customs