VI 2337

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1655	Pro. Bellary	Pointing out certain discrepancies in the D.C. & B. Statement	9095
1878	From Bellary	Papers reg. the attachment of certain property	9096
1838	From Cuddalah	Respecting the preparation of the D.C. & B. State.	9104
1648	Pro. do.	On the above	"
1860	From Chingt.	Attachment of Mootahs, & the Establishment for their managment	9105
1652	Pro. do.	Sanctg. the above	9112
1651	Pro. N. Arcot	For inserting certain items in the D.C. & B. State.	"
1827	From Trichy	Charges for killing Snakes retrenched &c.	9113
1643	Pro. Govt.	On the above	9110
1550	From Madura	Settlement report of the Sheragunga Zemy. for 1260.	9117
1923	From Madura	Application for leave of absence	9167
1811	From Coimbr.	Cumblies for the use of Peons	9168
1646	Pro. do.	On the above	9170
1843	From Malabar	State. of Sivoy Jummal &c.	9171
1848	From Madras	Application for an Uncovenanted Assistant as Head Salt Superintendent	9173
1642	To Govt.	On the above & the dismissal of certain Servants &c.	9175
1890	From Madras	Information on certain points connected with the Stamping Departt.	9176
1634	To do.	Calling for certain Statement	9181
1653	To Govt.	Salt Statements from Madras	9182
1637	To N. Arcot	Calling for a report upon the Sungerkhanah	9188

Con. 16th Augt.

1939	From Bellary	Leave of absence	9193
1881	From Govt.	On the Entertainment of a Special Assistant for the revision of the Survey & Assessment - N. Arcot	9194
1671	Order N. Arcot	Commg. the above	9198
1920	From Govt.	Respecting the Cawtnugger Trimg.	"
1879	From N. Arcot	On the above	9201
1659	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above	9221
1679	Pro. Nellore	Calling attention to certain items in the Return of Fines &c.	9225

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1852	From Nellore	Statement of Arrears of Goomiah &c.	9226
1664	Remark Sto Govt.	Recommg. the above for Sanction	9227
1723	From Bellary	Respecting the boundary limits of the Public Cattle Farm	9228
1696	Pro. Govt.	Rl. the above	9256
1821	From N. Arcot	State. of Arrears due to Dhal Enamdars	9260
1684	Remark Sto Govt.	Recommg. the above for Sanction	9261
1899	From N. Arcot	Ground occupied by the Natives of the Garrison at Arnee	9262
1669	To do.	On the above	9264
1675	To Govt.	Subg. the above	9265
1898	From N. Arcot	Batta to Goomastahs	9266
1677	Pro. do.	On the above	9269
1661	Pro. S. Arcot	Alteration in the D.C. & B. State.	"
1680	Pro. Tanjore	Returning State. of Fines &c.	9271
1824	From Tanjore	Respecting the Establishg. of a Vady, & certain irregularities connected with the Assistt. Collectorry	9272
1676	Pro. Govt.	On the above	9284
1774	From Trichy	Claim of the Puttah for certain lands &c.	9286
1694	Pro. do.	On the above	9288
1658	Pro. do.	Sanctg. Extra Tent allowce.	9289
1683	Pro. Madura &c.	Settlement of the Minor Jemr. of Sheragunga	9290
1949	From Tinnevelly	Letter from Revd. Hobbs reg. the Hill tribes	9294
1832	From Coimbr.	Respecting the land purchased by Captn. Reilly	9304
1674	Pro. Govt.	Subg. the above	9307
1796	From Canara	Export trade of Grain &c.	9308
1682	Pro. Govt.	On the above	9316
1719	From Malabar	For the entertainment of an Ameen	9318
1660	To Govt.	On the above	9320
1763	From Malabar	Transmitting certain State.	9322
1797	From do.	Abolishing the tax levied on Hill products &c.	9323
1882	From do.	For inviting tenders for supplying Salt	9324
1657	Pro. do.	Sanctg. the above	9327
1918	From Madras	Relative to the Exportation of Salt	"
1902	From Mr. Board	Indent for Cloth	9328
1668	To do.	On the above	"

Board No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1891	From Govt. Vakal	Papers regg the Ramnad Dawstan nuns	9329
1667	To do	Calling for certain information on the above	9346
1670	To Masulipm	Statements of actual Charges	9347
1678	To Cuddapah	Exchange of Sheristadars	"
		On 19th Augt	
1929	From Govt	Disbursement of arrears of Pension to Enamdars	9356
1925	From Govt	Courts despatch to be forwarded to Commr McLeod	"
1919	From do	Disbursement of Pension Cuddapah	9357
1691	Order Cuddapah	Commg the above	9358
1922	From Govt	Lands applied for by Mr Williamson N Arcot	"
1698	To R Williamson Esqr	On the above	9359
1927	From Govt	On the claim of Thanoolabdeen to a share in certain Enam lands	9360
1714	Order Trichy	Commg the above	"
1926	From Govt	Sale of land Neilgherries	9361
1692	Order Coimbr	Commg the above	"
1930	From Govt	Advance to Ryots Canara	9362
1693	Order Canara	Commg the above	9363
1941	From Nellore	Statements of actual and Estimated Charges	9364
1883	From Bellary	Arrears of pension due to a Poligar	9366
1695	Remarks Govt	Recommg the above for sanction	9367
1895	From Turnool	Delay in transmitting Settlement Report for F. 1260, with Statt of Collns	"
1858	From Chingt	Salt traffic at the Depôt at Adyar	9371
1706	Pro. do	On the above	9378
1897	From Salem	For nominating a Mongar	"
1703	Pro. do	Issuing instructions on the above	9376
1892	From Salem	Respecting certain Enam lands attached to the Nynemalay Choultry	"
1701	Pro. Govt	On the above	9378
1906	From Salem	Disbursement of Sums in deposit in the Talook Treasuries	9380
1708	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	9386
1822	From S. Arcot	Tabular report of Lapsed Enams	9387
1702	Remarks Govt	Recommg the resumption of the above	9388

Board No	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1966	From McGantz	Calling for a copy of the Courts despatch, regg his Pension	9388
	Order do Madras	On the above	9389
1709	To Bellary	Petr regarding the appropriation of a Durgah Maniem	9390
1704	To Turnool	Calling for certain abstracts	9392
		On 23d Augt	
1963	From Govt	Endorsement on Petr No 2245 of 1851	9399
1982	From Govt	For making over to Nagumbutlu a portion of Lapsed Enam	"
1724	Order Cuddapah	Commg the above	9400
1976	From Govt	Regarding the disposal of the Estate of the late Mootahoar of Vailoor	"
1717	Order Madura	Commg the above	9401
1731	Pro Govt Vellore	Relative to the settlt of Land Rev. F. 1260	"
1885	From Bellary	Income derived from Topes &c	9427
1729	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	9431
1937	From Bellary	For continuing certain allowce to the Rajah of Anagoondy	9433
1716	Pro do	On the above	9434
2001	From do	Mahratta translation of Sookumnamah	"
139	From Cuddapah	Explanation on certain points connected with the Settlt reports for F. 1258/9	9435
1957	From do	Advertisment for the sale of land	9441
1712	Pro. do	Authg the above	9445
1905	From Chingt	Petn from Meerassidars regg certain waste lands	"
1723	Pro. do	Endorsemt on Petn No 2598 of 1851	9449
2015	From do	Advertisment for the sale of certain Mootahs	"
1722	Pro. do	Authg the above	9452
1873	From Salem	Payments to be made from the Pamalary Mootahs &c	9453
1721	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	9457
1978	From S. Arcot	Respecting the defaulters of certain Village	9458
1713	Pro. do	Sale of lands	9464

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
1842	From Tanjore	Explanation reg^d Adjacent Mariena	9464
1711	Pro. do.	On the above	9466
1803	From Madura	Attachment of the Vailoor Mootah &c^a	9467
1715	Pro. do.	On the above	9470
1959	From do.	Papers reg^g the Rammad Savastanums	9471
1725	Pro. do.	On the above	9483
1947	From Trichy	Security taken from Servants	9484
1718	Pro. do.	Sanct^n the above	9486
1707	Pro. Govt	On the subject of the Arcar Gen^l Estates, Canara	9487
1834	From Canara	Coll^ns received in the Hoozoor Treasuries	9505
1719	Pro. do.	Instructions reg^g the insertion of certain items in the D.C. & B. Statt	9510
1938	From Officer com^g Chingt	Correspondence reg^g the Ball practice Ground	9512
1720	Pro. do.	Statement of the Sales of property &c^a	9525

Fort St. George 7th August 1852

Diary

10th August. Received the following letter.

(1)

To

J. H. Cochrane Esq^re

Collector of Chingleput

B^d's No. 1908.

From the Collector of Chingleput — } Sir.

I have the honor to request leave of absence from my station for a period of ten days / from this date / preparatory to availing myself of the permission granted me to proceed to Europe on urgent private affairs.—

This I have the honor to request in accordance with Section XI Amended of the Civil Absentee Regulations.—

Ennore — — — — — }
6th August 1852 }

/ Signed / Geo: Wylie
Asst. Collector.

The application from Mr. George Wylie Assistant Collector of Chingleput for leave of absence for 10 days under Section XI of the (amended) Absentee Rules preparatory to his proceeding to Europe is submitted for the favorable consideration of the Board.—

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttevauk 9th August 1852 }

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

Order.

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable Consideration of Government.—

(1-2)

Received the following letters.—

No. 148.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(3)

Bd. No. 1910
From the Sub Collr. of Madura ——— } Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the District to Mr. R. D. Parker Collector and to enclose his Receipt for the Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 3,24,750-6-3.—

Madura ———
7th August 1852 } /Signed/ T. Clarke
Sub Collector.

No. 1.

Received from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector of Madura the sum of Company's Rs. 3,24,750-6-3 Three Lacs twenty four thousand Seven hundred and fifty Annas Six Pice three being the amount in the Treasury on the 7th August 1852. Viz.

Am Cash	316144	9	6
Deposits of the late Zillah Court of Madura	5000	"	"
Do. of the Civil & Sessions Court of Do.	2500	"	"
Do. of the Subordinate Court of Do.	500	"	"
Amount of Jodithy Chittah	241	4	"
Do. of refund of Subscription to the late Native Pension Fund	364	8	9
Total	324750	6	3

Madura ———
7th August 1852 } /Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 149.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(4)

Bd. No. 1909
From the Collector of Madura ——— } Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this morning 9 O'Clock resumed charge of the Districts of Madura and Dindigul from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector together with the amount of Cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section LXIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my Receipt has been passed.—

Madura ——
7th August 1852 } /Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

(3-4)

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

(6)

Sent the following letter.

Board's No. 7626
To the Surgeon in charge of the General Hospital } To

The Surgeon in charge
of the General Hospital

Sir,

Mr. William Wicker a clerk belonging to the establishment of the Board of Revenue being unwell I have the honor to request that you will admit him into the General Hospital.—

The

Order thereon.

(1-2)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable Consideration of Government.—

Received the following letters.—

No. 148.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(3)

Bd. No. 1910

From the Sub Collr of Madura ——

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the District to Mr. R. D. Parker Collector and to enclose his Receipt for the Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 324750-6-3.—

Madura ——
7th August 1852

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Sub Collector.

No. 1

Received from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector of Madura the sum of Company's Rs. 324750-6-3 Three Lacs twenty four thousand Seven hundred and fifty Annas Six Pice three being the amount in the Treasury on the 7th August 1852. Viz.—

Am Cash	316144	9	6
Deposits of the late Zillah Court of Madura	5000	—	—
Do of the Civil & Sessions Court of Do	2500	—	—
Do of the Subordinate Court of Do	500	—	—
Amount of Jodithy Chittah	241	4	—
Do of refund of Subscription to the late Native Pension Fund	364	8	9
Total	324750	6	3

Madura ——
7th August 1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 149

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(4)

Bd. No. 1909

From the Collector of Madura ——

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this morning 9 O'Clock resumed charge of the Districts of Madura and Dindigul from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector together with the amount of Cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section LXIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my Receipt has been passed.—

Madura ——
7th August 1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

(3-4)

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

(6)

Board. No. 1626

To the Surgeon in charge of the General Hospital

Sent the following letter.

To
The Surgeon in charge
of the General Hospital

Sir,

Mr. William Wicker a clerk belonging to the establishment of the Board of Revenue being unwell I have the honor to request that you will admitted him into the General Hospital.—

The.

Order thereon.

(1-2)

Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable consideration of Government.—

Received the following letters.—

No. 148.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(3)

Bd. No. 1910

From the Sub Collr. of Madura ----

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day delivered over charge of the District to Mr. R. D. Parker Collector and to enclose his Receipt for the Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 324750-6-3.—

Madura ----
7th August 1852

/Signed/ T. Clarke
Sub Collector.

No. 1

Received from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector of Madura the sum of Company's Rs. 324750-6-3 Three Lacs twenty four thousand Seven hundred and fifty Annas Six Pice three being the amount in the Treasury on the 7th August 1852. Viz.

Am Cash	316144	9	6
Deposits of the late Zillah Court of Madura	5000	—	—
Do. of the Civil & Sessions Court of Do.	2500	—	—
Do. of the Subordinate Court of Do.	500	—	—
Amount of Jodithy Chittah	241	4	—
Do. of refund of Subscription to the late Native Pension Fund	364	8	9
Total	324750	6	3

Madura ---
7th August 1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector.

No. 149.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(4)

Bd. No. 1909

From the Collector of Madura ----

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this morning 9 O'Clock resumed charge of the Districts of Madura and Dindigul from Mr. T. Clarke Sub Collector together with the amount of Cash in the Treasury as prescribed by Section LXIX Regulation II of 1803 for which my Receipt has been passed.—

Madura ---
7th August 1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

(3-5)

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

(6)

Board No. 1626

To the Surgeon in charge of the General Hospital

Sent the following letter.

To
The Surgeon in charge
of the General Hospital

Sir,

Mr. William Wicker a clerk belonging to the establishment of the Board of Revenue being unwell I have the honor to request that you will admitted him into the General Hospital.—

The

Fort St. George 9th August 1852.

The amount of his Hospital expenses will be deducted from his pay which is 30 Rupees per mensem and will be remitted to you from this office.

Revenue Board office, Fort St. George 11th August 1852 } Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary.

At

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 12th August 1852 } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member and T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member absent on duty as Commr Northern Circars

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

Public Department.

No. 707.

(7)

Bd No. 1795.

From the Chief Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 24th July 1852.

Read the following Papers.

Letter from C. P. Brown Esqre Telugoo Translator to Government states that in compiling a Dictionary as the present errors are unavoidable, and as the work is undertaken at the express desire of the Honorable Court Solicits that 4 Copies may be forwarded to that Authority. } Herewith 5th June 1852

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue } " " 15th July "

Referring to the orders of Govt. of

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

of the 1st June last No. 505 wherein the Governor in Council remarked on the many inaccuracies observed in Mr. Brown's Tillah Dictionary. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has now to call Mr. Brown's attention to the review of his work by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of the 15th Instant. The Government observe that the errors pointed out so clearly by the Board, are not the whole that occur in the work, many others are apparent on Examination of it, and it is obvious therefore that as an aid to Strangers or as a guide to the Junior Officers in any of the services of Government, it must be utterly useless if not positively mischievous with these opinions the Governor in Council cannot consent to take any Copies of it for the use of the Officers in the Provinces, or in any way to confer on it the stamp of official approval, and He regrets to remark that it is already published and advertised for sale with Mr. Brown's official designation thereby in some measure giving it the weight of authority - according to Mr. Brown's

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Brown's request of the 5th ultimo, the 4 copies of the Tillah Dictionary which accompanied his letter will be forwarded to the Honorable Court with the proceedings of the Board of Revenue above recorded, and this resolution of Government thereon.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary.

(7-8)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded

---

(9)

Revenue Department
No. 720.

Bd. No. 1759.

From the Chief Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 24th July 1852.—

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly.—

/Here enter 11th June/52 No. 108.

Para 1. The restrictions on the Tobacco Trade of certain portions of the Tinnevelly District complained of by the Petitioner

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Petitioner having been already removed under the orders of the Board of Revenue, it is only necessary for the Governor in Council to express his dis-approval of the proceedings of the Collector and his late Head Assistant Mr. Levinge in the matter. The provisions of Regulation III of 1820 were expressly limitted to a distance "within 20 miles of the limits of the Madras Mettas" and their extension to any other locality is distinctly vested by Regulations IX of 1831 in the Governor in Council by an order of Council. It is clear therefore that the application of that enactment to the Tinnevelly District was beyond the authority of the Collector.—

2. The Governor in Council cannot but consider the restrictions imposed by Mr. Levinge equally impolitic for as a necessary consequence they must have impeded the trade of the Villagers, and given the Travancore contractor a virtual monopoly of the market.—

The.

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

The Head Assistant Collector having had no authority to issue such orders, an infraction of them is clearly not an offence. The Governor in Council therefore desires that if the fine imposed on Petitioner has not been remitted by the Session Court the amount be immediately refunded. Referring to the orders of Government of the 6th January & 9th June 1852. Nos. 22 & 531 the Board of Revenue will ascertain if any similar restrictions exist in other Districts and if so will adopt measures for their immediate abolition.—

Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No. 614 of 1852.

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector who has received instructions on the subject of his complaint."—

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.

Chief Secretary.

90

B. of R. 614

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly for his information and guidance.—

Ordered also that the following circular be addressed to all Collectors in reference to para 2.—

Circular.

Bd No 1866.
To all Collectors, and copy to the Commr N. Circars

9.11

Circular.

In the circular from this office dated 19th January 1852. you were directed to report whether there were any restrictions to the free admission in your district of Salt from the Pans of the neighborring Provinces and if so that you would cause them to be immediately removed.—

1759 Fusly 24th July 1852

The Board under the orders of Government, now request you will state whether there are any restrictions to the free traffic in Tobacco, wholesale or retail either as respects the limiting of the quantity to be kept in store, or the regulation of the sales, &c if so that you will cause all such restrictions to be removed.—

You will further state whether compulsory measures are adopted to cause Carts, Bullocks &c to resort to Cadies, Caravanisaries or Enclosures where fees are levied for the accomodation offered. If so you will immediately abolish the levy of all such fees, and remove all such restrictions as may exist.—

I am likewise directed to transcribe below for your information and guidance the following Extract from an Order of

Government dated 30th July 1852 on the subject of Small Farms and Licences.—

"The Indigo Farm is said to have been introduced some years ago in consequence of the opposition manifested by the Dyers to submit to an equitable individual settlement and has risen from Rupees 1,000 in Fusly 1255. to Rupees 8,120 in the Fusly under report.— The Governor in Council is altogether opposed to the practise of renting out such sources of revenue and desires that no farms of the kind be henceforth concluded by

"by Collectors unless specially sanctioned
"after a full report by the Government:
"all experience testifies that the
"result of the system is to subject
"the people to extortion and to
"vexatious interference still more
"oppressive. — From what is
"stated by the Commissioner
"it is evident that the Indigo-
"Farm at Masulipatam is open
"to great abuse and the Government
"direct that it be forthwith abolished
"and a settlement made with the Dyers individually
"at reasonable rates of Mahturipha"

J.F.B.

Read the following resolutions.

(12)

13d. No. 1865.
From the Secy.
to Government.

No. 507.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.
(From 13d. 19th July)

Resolved that an additional copy of Clarke's code of Regulations and Acts be furnished through the Board of Revenue to the Collector of Tanjore.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy. to Government

(12.13)

No. 1638.
Order thereon.

Ordered that the copy of Clarke's Code of Regulations received with the above order of Government be forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore, with reference to his letter dated 7th July 1852.

---

(14)

13d. No. 1866.
From the Secy.
to Government.

No. 715.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.
(From 13d. 19th July)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the payment of Rupees (274) Two hundred and Seventy four to the Burghers and Todas as

in compensation for the lands resigned and inconvenience sustained by them on account of the Pachiallalla Barracks on the Neilgherries, as enumerated in the letter from the Collector of Coimbatore which accompanied the foregoing Proceedings.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(14·15)

No. 1615.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to his letter dated 1st July 1852.

---

(16)

No. 744.

By. No. 1868.
From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From By. 19th July.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges incurred by the Collector of Coimbatore in Fasslies 1259 and 1260 for the purchase of Ewes for breeding from the Merino Ram and for other expenses, Amounting in all to Rupees (316-1-6.) Three hundred and Sixteen Anna one and Pice Six.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered

No. 1635.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to his letter dated 28th June 1852.

(16·17)

---

(18)

No. 743.

By. No. 1867.
From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From By. 19th July.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges reported in the above proceedings amounting to Rupees (175) One hundred and Seventy Five.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(18·19)

No. 1636.

Order thereon Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 28th June 1852.

---

(20)

No. 506.

By. No. 1869.
From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From By. 22d July.)

Under the Circumstances represented by the Special Commissioner the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is

as compensation for the lands resigned and inconvenience sustained by them on account of the Pachalalla Barracks on the Neilgherries, as enumerated in the letter from the Collector of Coimbatore which accompanied the foregoing Proceedings.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(14.15)

No. 1645.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to his letter dated 1st July 1852.

---

(16)

No. 744.

B/ No. 1868.
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From B/ 19th July.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges incurred by the Collector of Coimbatore in Fasslies 1259 and 1260 for the purchase of Ewes for breeding from the Mercara Raja and for other expenses, amounting in all to Rupees (316-1-6) Three hundred and Sixteen Anna one and Pice Six.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered

No. 1635.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Coimbatore, with reference to his letter dated 28th June 1852.

(16.17)

---

(18)

No. 743.

B/ No. 1867.
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From B/ 19th July.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charges reported in the above proceedings amounting to Rupees (175) One hundred and Seventy Five.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(18.19)

No. 1636.

Order thereon Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 28th June 1852.

---

(20)

No. 506.

B/ No. 1869.
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852.

(From B/ 22d July.)

Under the Circumstances represented by the Special Commissioner the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is

is pleased to sanction the disbursement of travelling batta to the public servants doing duty with that Office from the 20th March to the 30th June 1852 Amounting to Rupees (87-14-3) Eighty Seven, Annas fourteen and pice three.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy. to Govt.

20-21
No. 1647.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar, with reference to his letter dated 3d July 1852.

Read the following Extracts.

Revenue Department.

No. 748.

3d No. 1855

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 2d August 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here Enter 5th July 1852. No. 271.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the Board of Revenue though they recommend the adoption of the rule for refunding the value of unused Stamp Paper proposed by

Letter from Regr. to Sudr Adalut 29th April 1852

by the Court of Sudr Adalut speak doubtingly as to any very beneficial results which may be expected from it, as it will leave the return of unused Stamps optional, and still permit their being employed for other purposes than those for which they were originally purchased. The Government are induced to share in this doubt, besides which the rule seems to them open to the further objection that as apprehended by the Superintendent of Stamps it might lead to a fictitious sale of Stamp Paper. Moreover the Judges of the Sudr Adalut have admitted that in their opinion the most, if not the only, effectual remedy for the existing evils of forgery &c. will be found in the establishment of an Office of Registry throughout the provinces. This measure is at present under contemplation and the Draft of an Act for giving effect to it was sent to the Sudr Adalut under date 6th April last for any remarks that they might have to offer upon it. Pending the consideration

Letter 15th June 52 p. 11.

29th April 1852. P. 1.

the Governor in Council is not prepared to recommend to the Government of India the increase of pension solicited in behalf of Puttabhee Ramasawmy Saver.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(26 27)
No. 1656.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated with the Board's letter for the information of the Collector of Madura.

To
From.

Bd. No. 1880.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 6th August 1852.

(28)

Read Extract, Sanctioning the Sale of the Zemindary of Devi in the District of Masulipatam, to satisfy the demands of Government against it and its purchasers their behalf: and stating that they will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India that a liberal provision be made for the Zemindar either in land or money.

(28 29)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 17th July, and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 5th August last for the month of June last.

(30)

Bd. No. 1649.

Proceedings thereon. The Collections in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 differ from the Treasury receipts, as pointed out in the Margin. These two Items should always correspond with each other or if any difference does exist, however small, it should be accounted for, with reference to the Board's Circular under date the 3d May 1852.

	Rs	a	p.
A.	1,48,004	8	7
B.	1,48,010	4	7
Difference.	6	3	6

Read

the Governor in Council is not prepared to recommend to the Government of India the increase of pension solicited in behalf of Puttalhee Ramaswamy Taver.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

26 27
No. 1656.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated with the Board's letter for the information of the Collector of Madura.

GG

From.

Bd. No. 1880

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 6th August 1852.

28

28 29

Read Extract, Sanctioning the Sale of the Zemindary of Devi in the District of Masulipatam, to satisfy the demands of Government against it and its purchasers thereon behalf: and stating that they will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India that a liberal provision be made for the Zemindar either in land or money.—

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.

30

Bd. No. 1849.

Proceedings thereon.—

	Rs	a	p
A.	148004	8	7
B.	148010	14	7
Difference	6	5	6

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 17th July, and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 5th August last for the month of June last.—

A The Collections in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 differ from the B Treasury receipts, as pointed out in the Margin. These two Items should always correspond with each other or if any difference does exist, however small, it should be accounted for, with reference to the Board's Circular under date the 3d May 1852.—

Read

the Governor in Council is not prepared to recommend to the Government of India the increase of pension solicited in behalf of Puttabhee Ramaswamy Iaver.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(26.27)
No. 1636.

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated, with the Board's letter, for the information of the Collector of Madura.

To

From.

Rd. 28th. 1850.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 6th August 1852.

(28)

Read Extract, Sanctioning the Sale of the Zemindary of Devi in the District of Masulipatam, to satisfy the demands of Government against it and its purchasers thereon behalf: and stating that they will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India that a liberal provision be made for the Zemindar either in land or money.

(28.29)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 17th July, and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 3rd August last for the month of June last.

(30)

Rd. No. 1649.

Proceedings thereon.

	Rs	a	p
A.	148004	8	7
B.	148010	14	7
Difference.	6	3	6

A The Collections in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 differ from the B Treasury receipts, as pointed out in the Margin. These two Items should always correspond with each other or if any difference does exist, however small, it should be accounted for, with reference to the Board's Circular under date the 3rd May 1852.

Read

the Governor in Council is not prepared to recommend to the Government of India the increase of pension solicited in behalf of Puttabhee Ramaswamy Taver.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(26 27)
No. 1656.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be communicated with the Board's letter for the information of the Collector of Madura.

To

From.

Bd. No. 1880
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 6th August 1852.

(28)

Read Extract, sanctioning the Sale of the Zemindary of Devi in the District of Masulipatam, to satisfy the demands of Government against it and its purchasers on their behalf; and stating that they will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India that a liberal provision be made for the Zemmindar either in land or money.-

(28 29)

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.

(30)

Bd. No. 1849
Proceedings thereon.-

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 17th July, and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 3d August last for the month of June last.

The Collections in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 differ from the Treasury receipts as pointed out in the Margin. These two Items should always correspond with each other or if any difference does exist, however small, it should be accounted for, with reference to the Board's Circular under date the 3d May 1852.-

	Rs	a	p
A.	148004	8	7
B.	148010	14	7
Difference	6	5	6

Read

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Read the following Letter
No. 89.

To B. Cunliffe Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 24th June last, and in reply to state, that in consequence of the very limited space under the head "Extraordinary" in Statement No. 1, items not bonafide revenue have been exhibited in the last page of the demand Collection and Balance statements which arrangement will I hope meet with the Board's approval.

(31)
Bd. No. 1693.

From the Principal Assist. Collector in Charge of Vizagapatam.

Vizagapatam,
Collector's Cutcherry,
10th July, 1852.

[Signed] G. Fane
Principal Assistant Collector in Charge.

Bd. No. 650.

Proceedings thereon.

(31.32)

The Board observe that by following the Course adopted by Mr. Fane Viz. the entry of items not bonafide Revenue in the last page of the Monthly Returns, the actual Collections upto the end of the previous month cannot be ascertained.

The

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

The Collector is therefore requested to insert in the Statement No. 1 the sum total of the Collections of those items for the Current month as well as the end of the previous one, and specify the details of the same for the different items in the last page.

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of Bellary, dated 16th and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 29th July 1852, for the month of June last.

(33)
Bd. No. 1655

Proceedings thereon.

	Rs	a	p.
A	152543	-	6
B	152542	13	6
Difference	-	3	-

The Total Collections exhibited in Columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1, differ from the A "Treasury Receipts" in the small sum B of 3 annas, as shewn in the Margin. This discrepancy trifling as it is, ought not to appear in-as-much as it relates to an important head of account. Viz. "the Treasury Receipts." If such a difference is unadvisable it should have been accounted for as directed in the Board's Circular dated 3d May 1852.

Read the following Letter

To

9096 Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 47

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(34)
Bd. No. 1878.
From the Collector
of Bellary - - }

Sir,

I have the honor in obedience to the orders of the Board in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 28th Ultimo to forward for their information Copy of Extract from Proceedings Sudr Udalut under date 6th July 1852, and also Copy of Extract Proceedings Civil Court of Bellary dated 10th July together with my reply to the same dated 19th Instn.

Bellary, - - - -
Collector's Office,
28th July, 1852 - - - }

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

A

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut, under date the 6th July, 1852.

Read Letter under date the 4th June 1852, from the Civil Judge of Bellary -

With the foregoing Letter the Civil Judge has referred for the Consideration of

9097 Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

of the Sudr Udalut Copies of a Correspondence which has passed between him and the Collector of Bellary with reference to the attachment of certain property which has been attached by the Revenue Authorities in anticipation of Revenue dues.

2. The two points on which the Civil Judge and the Collector appear to be at issue are 1st whether it is Competent to the Court to attach property in satisfaction of a decree, which property is already under zuft by the Revenue Authorities in anticipation for the Revenue, dues, and 2nd whether it is Competent to the Court in such a case to interfere with a zuft of a Collector without a regular Complaint on the part of the aggrieved party -

The Court of Sudr Udalut are clearly of opinion that when property may have been illegally attached by the Revenue Authorities as would appear to have been done in the Case referred to, the attachment having been made by the Tahsildar on account of anticipated arrears of Revenue not

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 47

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(34)
2d No. 1878.
From the Collector
of Bellary - - -

Sir,

I have the honor in obedience to the orders of the Board in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 28th Ultimo to forward for their information Copy of Extract from Proceedings Sudr Udalut under date 6th July 1852, and also Copy of Extract Proceedings Civil Court of Bellary dated 10th July together with my reply to the same dated 19th Instnt.

Bellary, - - - -
Collector's Office,
28th July, 1852 - - -

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

A

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut, under date the 6th July, 1852.

Read letter under date the 4th June 1852, from the Civil Judge of Bellary.

1. With the foregoing letter the Civil Judge has referred for the consideration of

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

of the Sudr Udalut Copies of a Correspondence which has passed between him and the Collector of Bellary with reference to the attachment of certain property which has been attached by the Revenue Authorities in anticipation of Revenue dues.

2. The two points on which the Civil Judge and the Collector appear to be at issue are 1st whether it is Competent to the Court to attach property in satisfaction of a decree, which property is already under zuft by the Revenue Authorities in anticipation for the Revenue, dues, and 2nd whether it is Competent to the Court in such a case to interfere with a zuft of a Collector without a regular Complaint on the part of the aggrieved party.

The Court of Sudr Udalut are clerely of opinion that when property may have been illegally attached by the Revenue Authorities as would appear to have been done in the Case referred to, the attachment having been made by the Tahsildar on account of anticipated arrears of Revenue not

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

not actually due it is fully Competent to the Courts to interfere with such illegal attachment in order to the satisfaction of a decree.

4. It appears to the Court of Sudr Udalut that if such a power were not accorded to the Courts, whose engaged in Executing their decrees, such an illegal attachment on the part of the Revenue Authorities Could only be interfered with upon the Decree holder bringing another Suit against the Collector, the ends of Justice would be Materially prejudiced.

5. The Court of Sudr Udalut have no hesitation in stating that the attachment by the Revenue Authorities of the whole property personal and real of a Ryot in anticipation of the Government demand is no where authorized by the Regulations and is decidedly illegal, and the opinion maintained by the Collector that such an attachment must hold good to the prejudice of a claim founded on a decree of Court, appears to the Court of Sudr Udalut to be

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

be altogether preposterous.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Civil Judge of Bellary for his information.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ A. J. Arbuthnot
Deputy Register.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ E. Story
Civil Judge.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

Civil Court,
Bellary,
10th July, 1852.

B.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Bellary, under date the 10th July, 1852.

Read Extract from the Proceedings of Sudr Udalut, under date the 6th Instant.

Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract be furnished to the Collector of Bellary and that that officer be requested to release from attachment the property alluded

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

alluded to in this Court's letter of the 16th January last, and to be so good as to instruct the several Tahsildars not to effect such attachment in future.-

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for his information and guidance by Precept returnable within X days from and after its receipt.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ E. Story.

Civil Judge.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ C. Pelly

Collector.

C

LS

To,

The Collector of

Bellary

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Bellary of this date to the orders, contained in

in which you are required to Conform, returning this Precept so executed or good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed. and what you may have done in pursuance hereof within X days from and after its receipt.-

Given under my hand and Seal of the Court this 10th day of July 1852.

/Signed/ E. Story.

Civil Judge.

Civil Court, Bellary.

With the above Precept from the Civil Court of Bellary the Collector has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Proceedings of the Civil Court under same date, accompanied by Copy of Extract Proceedings under Acknlt. of 6th Instant.-

In the Proceedings of the Civil Court the Collector is requested to release from attachment the property, alluded to in that Court's letter of 16th January last and to be so good as to instruct the several Tahsildars not to effect such attachment in

in future.

The Collector has complied with the Request of the Court and directed the attachment of the property of Iyengowdah and Peddareddy in the Villages of Menahully and Thagasapurty to be released from attachment by the Tahsildar of Rayadroog. The Collector will also issue instructions to Tahsildars pointing out the illegality of attaching in anticipation of Loss, the property of ryots for revenue not actually and legally due.-

The Collector cannot however allow this Return to go without pointing out the Court of Sudr Udalut has misconceived his meaning and attributed to him an opinion which they pronounce altogether preposterous in the 3th para of their Proceedings, alluded to. The Collector did not hold, and no where stated that such an attachment as that alluded to must hold good to the prejudice of a Claim founded on a decree of Court. The opinion

opinion that the Collector held was simply that the Court could not interfere with such an attachment "without having the Case in a regular Suit" and the Collector supported his opinion upon a decision given by the Sudr Udalut dated 4th April 1852. The Collector observes that his opinion on this point was correctly stated by the Civil Court in its letter to the Sudr Court.

Given under my hand and the Seal of Office at Bellary this 19th day of July 1852.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

/True Copy/

(Magistrates Seal.)

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

(34.38)

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following Letter.-

To,

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

in future.

The Collector has complied with the request of the Court and directed the attachment of the property of Iyengowdah and Peddareddy in the Villages of Menahully and Thagaspurty to be released from attachment by the Tahsildar of Rayadroog. The Collector will also issue instructions to Tahsildars pointing out the illegality of attaching in anticipation of loss, the property of Ryots for revenue not actually and legally due.

The Collector cannot however allow this Return to go without pointing out the Court of Sudr Udalut has misconceived his meaning and attributed to him an opinion which they pronounce altogether preposterous in the 3rd para of their Proceedings alluded to. The Collector did not hold, and no where stated that such an attachment as that alluded to must hold good to the prejudice of a claim founded on a decree of Court. The opinion

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

opinion that the Collector held was simply that the Court could not interfere with such an attachment "without having the case in a regular suit." and the Collector supported his opinion upon a decision given by the Sudr Udalut dated 4th April 1852. The Collector observes that his opinion on this point was correctly stated by the Civil Court in its letter to the Sudr Court.

Given under my hand and the seal of Office at Bellary this 19th day of July 1852.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

/True Copy/

Magistrates Seal.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

34.38.

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To,

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 76.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

36

Bd. No. 1838.
From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Para 1. Consistently with compliance with the Board's desire to receive the Demand Collection and Balance Statements from the 1st to the 11th of July within the month, it is not practicable for me to attend to the instructions contained in an extract from the Board's proceedings of the 19th Instant as the preparation of the accounts in the manner desired renders necessary a recalculation for the whole year and would occupy considerable time.

2. In future however care will be taken that accounts are prepared in accordance with the wishes of the Board as expressed in the proceedings above referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) A. Forbes
Collector.

Cuddapah,
Collector's Office,
29th July, 1852.

Bd. No. 1648.
Resolution thereon
The Board of Revenue approve of the course proposed above.

36.37

Read

9105 Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 135.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

38

Bd. No. 1860.
From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement ÷ of Mootahs which have been attached for arrears of Revenue due upto 30th June of fusly 1261 and request that the Board will sanction the Establishment proposed for their management.

÷ Vide Statement A with Moorahstah Statement.

Chingleput District.....
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry.....
Cuttewauk.....
3d August 1852....

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement

For Ft. St. George

Statement of Estates attached in the Zillah

12th August 1852

of Chingleput in Fusly 1262.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages including in the Zemindary or Estates.	Permanent Summary Settlement of the Zemindary or Estates.	Amount of Kist due up to February of Fusly 1261.	Amount Collected.	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment.		Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	13
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p		Rs. a p			Rs. a p		
1. Perwaly	Venkata Reddy and Lutchmana Reddy	1	488 4 10	488 4 10	445 9 6	42 11 4	1	488 4 10	Ameenah and Moothuffs	8 " "			
2. Vatoor	S. Venkatasoobiah	1	320 5 9	320 5 9	279 15 2	40 6 7	1	320 5 9	1 Peon	3 " "			
3 Ottevelagum	S. Aroonachella Moodelly	5	235 1 2	235 1 2	204 " 8	31 " 6	5	235 1 2	Sadarward	" 8 "			
4. Keelacinday	Govinda Row and Suruthama Row and Shashagherry Row and Appoorow	2	177 11 3	177 11 3	121 " "	56 11 3	2	177 11 3					
		9	1221 7 "	1221 7 "	1050 9 4	170 13 8	9	1221 7 "		11 8 "	"	15	21st July 1852
5 Caroovumbau-kum	S. Ramasawmy Moodelly	2	210 14 8	210 14 8	184 " "	26 14 8	2	210 14 8	Ameenah and Moothuffs.	8 " "			
6 Vennalugarum	S. Aroomooga Moodelly	1	102 4 2	102 4 2	89 " "	13 4 2	1	102 4 2					
7. Coomly	S. Aroomooga Moodelly	1	102 1 7	102 1 7	89 " "	13 1 7	1	102 1 7	1 Peon	3 " "			
8 Palacoppum	P. Aroonachella Pillay	1	15 2 3	15 2 3	13 " "	2 2 3	1	15 2 3	Sadarward	" 8 "			
9. Tulleyanellore	C. Appavoo Pillay	3	790 6 6	790 6 6	643 13 "	146 9 6	3	790 6 6					
		8	1220 13 2	1220 13 2	1018 13 "	202 " 2	8	1220 13 2		11 8 "	"	15	Dº Dº

9106. For Fort St. George

12th August 1852

Statement of Estates attached in the Zillah of Chingleput in Fusly 1262.

1. Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	2. Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	3. No. of Villages including in the Zemindary or Estate.	4. Permanent Summum of the Entire Zemindary or Estate. Rs. a p.	5. Amount of Kist due up to February of Fusly 1261. Rs. a p.	6. Amount Collected. Rs. a p.	7. Balance. Rs. a p.	8. No. of Villages (wholly) to be attached.	9. Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached. Rs. a p.	10. Establishment proposed to be employed on attachment.	Rs. a p.	11. Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached. Rs. a p.	12. Date of the attachment.	13. Remarks.
1. Perwaly	Vencata Reddy and Lutchmana Reddy	1	488 4 10	488 4 10	445 9 6	42 11 4	1	488 4 10	Ameenah and Moothsuffs	8 " "			
2. Vatoor	S. Vencatasoobiah	1	320 5 9	320 5 9	279 15 2	40 6 7	1	320 5 9	1 Peon	3 " "			
3 Attevelagum	S. Aroonachella Moodelly	5	235 1 2	235 1 2	204 " 8	31 " 6	5	235 1 2	Sudderward	" 8 "			
4. Reelacunday	Govinda Row and Surnathama Row Shashagherry Row and Appoorow	2	177 11 3	177 11 3	121 " "	56 11 3	2	177 11 3					
		9	1221 7 "	1221 7 "	1050 9 4	170 13 8	9	1221 7 "		11 8 "	"	15 1	21st July 1852
5 Carooumbau-kum	S. Ramasawmy Moodelly	2	210 14 8	210 14 8	184 " "	26 14 8	2	210 14 8	Ameenah and Moothsuffs	8 " "			
6 Vemmalugarum	S. Aroomooga Moodelly	1	102 4 2	102 4 2	89 " "	13 4 2	1	102 4 2					
7. Coomly	S. Aroomooga Moodelly	1	102 1 7	102 1 7	89 " "	13 1 7	1	102 1 7	1 Peon	3 " "			
8 Palacoopum	P. Aroonachella Pillay	1	15 2 3	15 2 3	13 " "	2 2 3	1	15 2 3	Sudderward	" 8 "			
9. Tulliyanellore	S. Appavoo Pillay	3	790 6 6	790 6 6	643 13 "	146 9 6	3	790 6 6					
		8	1220 13 2	1220 13 2	1018 13 "	202 " 2	8	1220 13 2		11 8 "	"	15 1	Do Do

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages including in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jummah of the Entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due up to the February of Fusly 1261.	Amount Collected.	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Assessment estimated for the Villages proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed on the attached.	Percentage of the Estate's Establishment to the Estate's Jummah the value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
			Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.		Rs. a P.		Rs. a P.		
10 Mogayoor	Maragathummal and Kristummall	11	1752 13 3	1752 13 3	1397 12 4	355 " 11	11	1752 13 3	1 Ameenah	8 " "		
									1 Mooshurruff	6 " "		
									1 Peon	3 " "		
11 Theneyambut	Vencataramanoojah Charry Dadayjah Charry Streenevajah Charry and Vurda Charry	1	28 14 "	28 14 "	23 14 "	5 " "	1	28 14 "	Saderwaad	" 8 "		
		12	1781 11 3	1781 11 3	1421 10 4	360 " 11	12	1781 11 3		17 8 "	"	15 8 21st July 1852.
12 Torayjoor	Tr. Ramasawmy Naidoo Pedda Iyaloo Naidoo and Chinna Iyaloo Naidoo	1	147 " 11	147 " 11	104 12 11	42 4 "	1	147 " 11	Ameenah & Mooshurruff	7 " "		
									1 Peon	3 " "		
13 Chittoor	C. Narayanasawmy Naidoo Mr. Moottoo-sawmy Naidoo	1	165 9 4	165 9 5	109 " "	56 9 4	1	165 9 4	Saderwaad	" 8 "		
14 Mambaukum	L. Ramiah	1	205 10 10	205 11 10	180 " "	25 10 10	1	205 10 10				
15 Culput	Iyaloo Naik and Vurapah Naidoo	1	121 3 3	121 3 3	106 " "	15 3 3	1	121 3 3				
16 Noombul	Moottoosawmy Naidoo & Sevaramagoundun	1	245 15 8	245 15 8	175 4 "	70 11 8	1	245 15 8				
17 Seroonagur	Naronnajapah Naidoo & Vadagherry Moodelly	1	130 1 7	130 1 7	109 1 3	21 " 4	1	130 1 7				
		6	1015 9 7	1015 9 7	784 22	231 7 5	6	1015 9 7		10 8 "	1 " 7	Dº. Dº.

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of the Villages in the Zemindaries or Estates.	Permanent Summud of the Ex-tin Jemin dary or Estates.	Amount of Kist due as at 1st February of Fusly 1261.	Amount of Kist Collected.	Balance.	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed or attached.	Percentage of the Estabt. to Kistment to the Estate.	Date of the Establishment	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p		Rs. a p	Rs. a p			
18 Poroor — C. Sanna Row		1	200 15 8	200 15 8	175 " "	25 15 8	1	200 15 8	"	"	21st July 1852	Placed under Manage-ment of the Ameen of Chunamkut-ta Mootah on the 26 May 1852.
19 Seroomyloor —	Kristnieengar and Perratomby Moodelly	1	446 14 5	446 14 5	431 " "	15 14 5	1	446 14 5	"	"	Do	Do Placed under the Management of the Ameen of Chennavulluvaudoo on the 26th May 1852
20 Thathuncoopum	Casapoorum Syedyacoob Hoosain Saib (dead)	1	45 10 7	45 10 7	41 " "	4 10 7	1	45 10 7				
21 Paroongoor —	P. Mary Chetty —	1	409 5 2	409 5 2	358 8 "	50 13 2	1	409 5 2	"	"	Do	Do Placed under the Manage-ment of the Ameen of Coomarasoopum Mootah on the 10th March 1852
		2	454 15 9	454 15 9	399 8 "	53 7 9	2	454 15 9				
22 Narryoor —	C. Kristnareddy P. Ramasawmy Reddy C. Ragava and Reddy	1	127 12 6	126 12 6	107 11 11	20 " 7	1	127 12 6	Ameenah and Moosdruff	7	"	"
23 Shattampatloo	Chenga Reddy (dead)	2	271 12 6	271 12 6	237 " "	34 12 6	2	271 12 6	Peon	3	"	"
24 Neeconnapatloo	Venkatarama Reddy and Appoo Reddy	1	504 8 3	504 8 3	398 9 "	105 13 3	1	504 8 3	Saderward	8	"	"
25 Colattoor —	Seetharama Reddy and Venkatarama Reddy	2	109 11 7	109 11 7	95 5 2	14 6 5	2	109 11 7				
26 Agarum —	C. Thandavaroya Moodelly	1	80 " 4	80 " 4	67 8 9	12 7 7	1	80 " 4				
		7	1093 13 2	1093 13 2	906 2 10	184 10 4	7	1093 13 2		10 8	"	" 154 Do Do

9109

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages in the Zemindary or Estates.	Remainder of Jumma in the Zemindary or Estates.	Amount of Kist due up to the 1st of July 1261.	Amount Collected.	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed or attached.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p		Rs. a p		Rs. a p		
27 Athsambaukum	Ramasaumy Modelly	12	2,494 5 7	2,494 5 7	2,243 15 2	250 6 5	12	2,494 5 7	1 Ameenah 8 " " 1 Moosharuff 6 " " 1 Turfdar 5 " " 1 Peon 3 " " Sauderward " 8 "			
									22 8 "	" 14 5	21st July 1852.	
28 Vullum	C. Ramiah	2	1,140 3 8	1,140 3 8	996 " "	144 3 8	2	1,140 3 8	Ameenah 8 Moosharuff 7 " "			
29 Carymanikna	N. Alamalummal	2	358 14 4	358 14 4	272 " 4	86 14 "	2	358 14 4	1 Turfdar 5 " " 1 Peon 3 " " Sauderward " 8 "			
		4	1,499 2 "	1,499 2 "	1,268 " 4	231 1 8	4	1,499 2 "	15 8 "	1 " 6	Dº	Dº
30 Thundalum	S. Cancamullummall	2	577 15 11	577 15 11	555 " 1	22 15 10	2	577 15 11	1 Ameenah 8 " "			
31 Beemuntongul	Dº Dº	2	311 3 4	311 3 4	281 " 1	30 3 3	2	311 3 4	1 Moosharuff 6 " "			
32 Namely	Basheem Venkata Reddy	1	848 1 1	848 1 1	687 14 7	160 2 6	1	848 1 1	1 Peon 3 " "			
33 Chocuntongul	Dº Dº	1	24 12 4	24 12 4	19 " "	5 12 4	1	24 12 4	Sauderward " 8 "			
		6	1,762 " 8	1,762 " 8	1,542 14 9	219 1 11	6	1,762 " 8	17 8 "	" 15 10	Dº	Dº
34 Mathoor	Munnar Naidoo and Sooba Naidoo	2	2,326 14 10	2,326 14 10	1,885 " "	441 14 10	2	2,326 14 10	Ameenah 8 12 " 1 Moosharuff 7 " " 1 Turfdar 5 " " Sauderward " 8 "			
									24 4 "	1 " 7	Dº	Dº

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages including in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jumma of the Entire Zemindary per Estate.	Amount of Kist due up to February of Fasly 1261.	Amount Collected.	Balance	No. of Villages from which Rents to the amount thereof.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed or attached.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment	Remarks.
1	2.	3	4	5	6.	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p		Rs. a p		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
35 Vyloor	Ratnala Vencata Sawmy Naidoo	2	1,085 5 1	1,085 5 1	951 5 5	133 15 8	2	1,085 5 1	1 Ameenah	8 " "		
36 Periantongul	L. Veroomul Row	2	70 10 9	70 10 9	60 " "	10 9 2	2	70 10 9	1 Mooshuruff	7 " "		
37 Agaram	N. Papana puntoolo	2	565 15 5	565 15 5	498 14 "	67 1 5	2	565 15 5	1 Peon	3 " "		
38 Chittoor	C. Venca-toobummall	1	221 12 "	221 12 "	190 " "	31 12 "	1	221 12 "	Saderward	" 8 "		
		7	1,943 11 3	1,943 11 3	1,700 3 5	243 7 10	7	1,943 11 3		18 8 "	" 15 3	21st July 1852
39 Sooranjary	P. Mooneppa Moodelly and C. Chockunna Moodelly	6	2,488 7 5	2,488 7 5	2,385 4 "	103 3 5	6	2,488 7 5	1 Ameenah 1 Mooshuruff 1 Turfdar 2 Peons at 3 Rs. each	8 " " 6 " " 5 " " 6 " "		
40 Chittocandoo	M. Pole Naidoo	4	1,088 11 4	1,088 11 4	1,022 " "	66 11 "	4	1,088 11 4	Saderward	" 8 "		
		10	3,577 2 9	3,577 2 9	3,407 4 "	169 14 9	10	3,577 2 9		25 8 "	" 11 4	Do. Do.
41 Peroovoor	Vencatasa Naidoo	9	7,931 9 10	7,931 9 10	6,749 " "	1,182 9 10	9	7,931 9 10	1 Ameenah 1 Mooshuruff 1 Turfdar 2 Peons at 3 Rs. each Saderward	10 8 " 8 " " 5 " " 6 " " " 8 "		
										30 " "	" 6 1	Do. Do.
42 Kacaloor	M. Cundapah Chetty	1	965 3 7	965 3 7	642 " "	323 3 7	1	965 3 7	Ameenah and Mooshuruff 1 Peon Saderward	7 " " 3 " " " 8		
										10 8 "	1 1 5	Do. Do.

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages including in the Zemindary or Estates.	Permanent Summary of the Entire Zemindary or Estates.	Amount of Kist due up to February of Fasly 1261.	Amount Collected.	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed or attached.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated value of the portion of the Estates attached.	Date of the attachment	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.		Rs. a P.		Rs. a P.		
43 Vengal —	Yaloombas											
	D. Budavuchala Naidoo	2	2680 13 "	2680 13 "	2466 14 "	213 15 "	2	2680 13 "	1 Ameenah — 8 " " 1 Moosparuff — 6 " " 1 Turfdar — 5 " " 1 Peon — 3 " " Saderwarde — " 8 "			
									22 8 "	" 13 5	21st July 1852.	
44 Yalumbadoo	P. Venagyga Moodely	1	1086 11 7	1086 11 7	908 " "	178 11 7	1	1086 11 7	1 Ameenah — 7 " " 1 Mooshuruff — 6 " " 1 Peon — 3 " " Saderwarde — " 8 "			
									16 8 "	1 1 6	21st July 1852	
45 Gheredarapoo-rum	Runguppa Naidoo	2	423 21	423 21	339 " "	84 21	2	423 21	1 Peon — 3 " " Saderwarde — " 8 "			
Narayanasawmy Naidoo												
		3	1509 13 8	1509 13 8	1247 " "	262 13 8	3	1509 13 8				
46 Colloor —	P. Apparaow Moodelly	1	330 " 11	330 " 11	288 " "	42 " 11	1	330 " 11	Ameenah and Mooshuruff } 7 " " 1 Peon — 3 " " Saderwarde — " 8 "			
47 Erooloput —	Di. — Di. —	1	287 4 11	287 4 11	252 " "	35 4 11	1	287 4 11				
									10 8 "	1 1 2	Di. Di.	
		2	617 5 10	617 5 10	540 " "	77 5 10	2	617 5 10				
48 Mambulum —	S. Vencataragava Reddy	4	2233 4 7	2233 4 7	1893 8 3	339 12 4	4	2233 4 7	Ameenah and Mooshuruff } 8 " " 1 Peon — 3 " " Saderwarde — " 8 "			
									11 8 "	" 8 2	Di. Di.	
									286 12 "	" 12 4		
	Grand Total	108	36977 11 7	36977 11 7	31772 9 7	5205 2 "	108	36977 11 7				

Chingleput District Collector's circuit Cutcherry.

Cutterawakum 3d August 1852.

E. E. Vn. (Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Proceedings

9112

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Bd. No. 1652.

Proceedings thereon,

38.39

The Board of Revenue sanction the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, at an aggregate charge of Rupees 286-12-- per Mensem for the Management of the Estates in the District of Chingleput attached for arrears of revenue for fusly 1261.—

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance, from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 23d and Entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 29th July 1852, for the Month of June last.—

40

Bd. No. 1851,

Proceedings thereon,

21st June 1852.

Under the instructions of the Board, the Acting Collector has detailed the different items of Extraordinary for the Current Collections only, but has not specified these different items for the Collections up to the end of the previous month in a Statement No. 1, he is therefore requested to exhibit them in the Gross in Statement No. 1 both for the current Month as well as for those to the end of the previous one when the space is insufficient, and give the particulars as regards the several items in the last page.—

To.

9113

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 64.

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

41

Bd. No. 1827.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

With reference to the latter part of my letter of the 13th December last No. 105 I have the honor to submit herewith for the consideration of the Board Extract from the Retrenchment of the Accounts of this Collectorate made by the Civil auditor for Fusly 1259, and request the sanction of Government may be obtained for Rupees 14.6-8-7 being the amount disbursed in that year for Killing venomous snakes under the circular order of the Board dated 1st July 1847 as per account Particular, also sanction is solicited for the sum of 2 Rupees the value of 8 Rolls of Stamp Paper for drawing out answers, Motions &c. presented to the Principal sudr ameen at Trichinopoly on account of O.S. No. 162 of 1847, and 74 of 1849 on the file of his Court against the Collector.—

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 24th July 1852.

(Signed) J. Bird

Collector

Account

9114 Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 1. Account Particulars of Charges incurred for Killing Venomous Snakes in Fusly 1259 in the District of Trichinopoly

	Rupees	a/o
2,337. Snakes at 1 anna each	146	1 "
1. Snake	"	" 10
2. Snakes at 9 Pice each	"	1 6
3. Do. at 8 Do.	"	2 "
3. Do. at 7 Do.	"	1 9
3. Do. at 6 Do.	"	1 6
Total Company's Rupees	146	8 7

Trichinopoly
24th July 1852.

E. E. Vfs. (Signed) J. Bird
Collector.

Exctract

9115 Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 2. Extract from the Retrenchments made from the account of the Collector of Trichinopoly from 12th July 1849 to 11th July 1850 on account of Cash Remuneration for Fusly 1259.

No. Charges objected to.

Rupees a/o

Ordinary General Miscellaneous Charges.

11. Amount of Rewards paid for killing Venomous Snakes 146 8 7

Snakes in Fusly 1259 ——

Less Charges.

16. Amount paid on account of 8 Rolls of Stamp Papers for drawing out Amounts, Motions &c. to the Principal Sudr Ameen in Trichinopoly in a Suit pending in his Court against the Collector 2 " "

Collector (Signed) J. Bird

Extract

Proceedings

9116

Bd. 18 1643.

Proceedings 41.42

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

thereon,

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the Charges amounting in all to Rupees 148-8-7 / One hundred and forty Eight annas Eight and pice seven; being the amount disbursed as rewards for the destruction of venomous snakes, and for the cost of 8 Rolls of stampt papers.—

2. The above Charges should have been included in the Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate submitted in the usual course for sanction, In the Collector's letter however, dated 13th December / 51 forwarded to Government with the Boards remarks on the Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1260, it is stated in reference to the omission of the Charge for Killing Snakes, in the accounts for Fusly 1259, that previous to Fusly 1260, the rewards paid under this head were usually retrenched by the Civil Auditor, and passed on the sanction of Govt being obtained for the same

In Cons 18th December 1851.

J.C.B.

9117

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Read the following letter

43

Bd. Cons. 1330

From the Collector of Madura — Dir. per para.

Report on the settlement of the Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1260 together with 12 Statements as per List.

No. 124.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Court of Wards.

Fort St. George.

I have the honor to submit my Report on the Settlement of the Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1260 together with Statements as per List enclosed.—

2. The Summabundy was Conducted by the Manager of the Zemindary under the Rules in force in Fuslis 1258 and 1259 the main feature being the Settlement of the Revenue of wet land on a Division of the Produce—

3. In Conformity to the instructions contained in Para 6 of the Board's Proceedings 26th June last, on my report on the Settlement of the Zemindary for Fusly 1259 the local measurements have been converted into Cawnies which are exhibited in a separate Column.—

4 The season was favourable but not remarkably so—The Rain and River

Fort St. George 12th August 1859.

River fed Tanks both received fair supplies and the irrigation sufficed to increase the Cultivation beyond the extent of the preceding Fusly and also to raise better Crops. Men and Cattle were healthy

5. The increase of Cultivation is exhibited in the subjoined Abstract.

Statement No. 1.

Fuslies.	Nunjah and Nunjah mail Poonjahs.	Poonjah.	Gardens.
	Chcys as Cawds as Cawds as Cawds as Coonds. as Cawds as		
1259	29,607 7 13/16	39,083 4 1/4	73,588 6 3/4	32,008 15	379,018 1 1/2	392 8
1260	35,383 9 6/16	46,691 13	73,940 13 1/4	32,559 13 1/2	393,902 6 1/2	414 4 3/4
Increase	5,775 1 9/16	7,608 10 3/4	352 6 1/2	550 14 1/2	14,884 5	21 12 3/4
Decrease	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

6 And it will be seen from Statement No. 1 that the average outturn of Nunjah Land in Fusly 1260 was 9-7-4 10 3/4/16 Cal: per Chey to 8-2-9 1/4/16 Cal: in 1259 being an increase of 1-5-4 1/4/16 Cal: per Chey or 1-1-2 6 1/2/16 Cal: per Cawny

7. The Increase in the Cultivation and Increase in Crop together Caused an

Fort St. George 12th August 1859.

excess in the Zemindars Share of Produce as follows.

	Cal:		
Paddy	74,730	4	4/4
Nunjah Mail Poonjah	3,811	2	3
Dry Grain	308	10	5

8. This favorable result was however in a great measure neutralized by the very low prices obtained. The Zemindars Share was as usual disposed of by Auction - This was held on the 20th May 1859, in my presence, in the Sessions Court situated in the Old Place of Madura, a particularly favorable place for a large Concourse of people - It was well attended; but the Crops throughout the Madura District generally and in Tanjore had been so good that the Sale proceeded with little Spirit and there was a great falling off in the Average Prices Compared with Fusly 1259 as shown below.

	1259 - Rs	a	P	1260 - Rs	a	P	Decrease Rs	a	P
Paddy	"	15	11 7/16	"	12	7 11/16	"	3	3 6 3/4/16
Nunjah Mail Poonjah	"	12	3 2 1/2/16	"	10	2 5 1/2/16	"	2	13/16
Dry Grain	"	12	8 5 3/4/16	"	9	10 4 1/2/16	"	2	10 4 1/4/16

9. Upon the whole the Settlement of the Year was higher than that of the preceding one by Rupees 26,919-8-8 the Total being 391,803 7-". To shew this more particularly I subjoin the following Statement.

Attend.

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Items.	Fusly 1259.			Fusly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	£ Rs.	a	p	£ Rs.	a	p	£ Rs.	a	p	£ Rs.	a	p
Sunpah payable in Kind	18,762	5	10	20,812	6	9	2,050	13	11	"	"	"
Do. Do. in money	11,344	3	5	11,831	1	"	486	13	7	"	"	"
	19,106	9	3	21,995	4	9	2,850	11	6	"	"	"
Sunpah mail Poonpah payable in Kind	12,137	4	2	12,521	4	7	384	"	5	"	"	"
Do. Do. in money	1,345	4	10	1,387	3	1	41	14	3	"	"	"
	13,482	9	"	13,908	7	8	425	4	8	"	"	"
Poonpah payable in Kind	992	2	3	958	13	"	"	"	"	33	3	3
Do. Do. in money	36,159	10	5	36,652	3	10	492	9	5	"	"	"
	37,151	12	8	37,611	2	10	492	9	5	33	3	3
Bagayet	3,793	2	6	3,877	11	4	84	8	10	"	"	"
	253,534	1	5	275,354	10	7	21,853	12	5	33	3	3
Ready Money Collections	22,630	8	7	27,757	14	"	5,127	5	5	"	"	"
Total	276,164	10	"	303,112	8	7	26,981	1	11	33	3	3
Poroshoo on Durmasanem Vs.	64,883	"	3	64,913	3	5	30	3	2	"	"	"
Do. on Poliyems	12,643	8	"	12,643	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Village Rents	9,050	"	"	9,050	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Fixed rent on Eleatongioly Poliyem	2,041	13	"	2,041	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chank Revenue	100	15	1	42	6	"	"	"	"	58	9	1
Total	88,719	4	4	88,690	14	5	30	3	2	58	9	1
Grand Total	364,883	14	5	391,803	7	"	27,011	5	"	91	12	4
							Net 26,919	8	8			

Of

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Items.	Fasly 1259.			Fasly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	£	Rs.	a p	£	Rs.	a p	£	Rs.	a p	£	Rs.	a p
Kunjah payable in Kind	18,776	2	10	20,812	6	9	2,036	13	11	"	"	"
Do. Do. in money	11,344	3	5	11,831	1	"	486	13	7	"	"	"
	19,9106	9	3	21,995	7	9	2,085	11	6	"	"	"
Kunjah mail Poonjah payable in Kind	12,137	4	2	12,521	4	7	384	"	5	"	"	"
Do. Do. in money	1,345	4	10	1,387	3	1	41	14	3	"	"	"
	13,482	9	"	13,908	7	8	425	4	8	"	"	"
Poonjah payable in Kind	992	2	3	958	15	"	"	"	"	33	3	3
Do. Do. in money	36,159	10	5	36,652	3	10	492	9	5	"	"	"
	37,157	12	8	37,611	2	10	492	9	5	33	3	3
Dagayet	3,793	2	6	3,877	11	4	84	8	10	"	"	"
	2,53,534	1	5	2,75,354	10	7	21,853	12	5	33	3	3
Ready Money Collections	22,630	8	7	27,757	14	"	5,127	5	5	"	"	"
Total	2,76,164	10	"	3,03,112	8	7	26,981	1	10	33	3	3
Porosnos on Durmasanem Vs.	64,883	"	3	64,913	3	5	30	3	2	"	"	"
Do. on Poliyuts	12,643	8	"	12,643	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Village Rents	9,050	"	"	9,050	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Fixed rent on Cheatongiody Poliyut	2,041	13	"	2,041	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chank Revenue	100	15	1	42	6	"	"	"	"	38	9	1
Total	88,719	4	4	88,690	14	5	30	3	2	38	9	1
Grand Total	3,64,883	14	5	3,91,803	7	"	27,011	5	"	91	12	4
							Net 26,919	8	8			

Of

10. Of Rupees 3,127-5-5 the Net increase under the Head of Ready Money Collections 3,312-14-1 were derived from Fresh Rents owing to the Tanks being better supplied and 1323-10-10 on a Small tax called Satowary, on fields paying warem occasioned by the increased extent Cultivated. The remaining Increase occurred in Sundry Small Items.

11. The Increase of 30-3-2 under the Head of Peishcush or Durmasanum is owing to an increase in the Cultivation of Lands held on a permanently fixed Quit Rent on each Okey or Coorad Rum Cultivated. The Term Durmasanum is used on the principle that every thing beneficial must be supposed to be Charity to religious Institutions as to Brahmins.

12. Of the Settlement* of Fusly 1260 the Collections within and after the

Collection within the Fusly	15,283-13-8	
Do. up to the end of January Fusly 1268	184,785-3-10	
Do. up to the end of April	26,901-14-10	
Total	370,971-0-3	

Fusly were 370,971-0-3 Rs. a. p.
as particularized in the Margin leaving a Balance of 20,832-6-9 Rs. a. p.
Composed of 4,271-7-6 under the Head of Ready Money

* 391,803-7-0 Statement No. 4

Money and 16,560-15-3 under that of Paddy and Grain. The 1st Item Rupees 4,271-7-6 with the exception of Rupees 961-4-8 which is in dispute is in Course of realization as regards the 2d Item Viz: 16,560-15-3 Rs. a. p.
I beg to give the following particulars.

	Rs. a. p.
Amount now in deposit	6,250-11-11
Value of Paddy and Grain to be received by Contractors	689-11-4
Due by Contractors for Paddy already received	960-13-1
Value of Paddy to be sold in retail	341-5-8
Do. of Do. appropriated by Ryots	8,318-5-3
	16,560-15-3

13. Measures have been adopted for clearing this portion of Balance also. In explanation of the quantity of malawarum Produce stated now by Ryots it may be observed that as the Zemindar has with a trifling exception or two no granaries for the Custody of his share of the Produce, it remains in heaps at the Villages on the responsibility of the Ryots who sometimes from fraudulent motives

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

motives and offener to secure themselves from loss by wastage use a portion of it when the Contractors are dilatory in taking it. A Considerable portion of the Value exhibited, above has been already paid and the remainder will be for the most part recovered.-

14. The Peishcush of the Fusly under review has been realized in full, as shewn below.-

Within the Fusly.	Subsequently	Total
9,007 - 6 - 2	- " 17,423 - 14 - "	26,500 - " - "

15. There is no Balance of Peishcush in cash on account of former fusilies and Rupees 34,972 were expended in maramut during the Fusly under Review.-

16. I now proceed to notice the arrears of Revenue outstanding against the Ryots for Fusslies prior to the one under review.-

17. The following is an Abstract Statement of Arrears of Fusslies 1258 and 1259 or during the Management of the Court of Wards, at the end of January 1852.-

Fusly

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Fusly.	Items.	Recoverable			Doubtful			Irrecoverable			Total		
		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
1258	Paddy	13,663	6	11	49	4	3	1,201	3	"	14,914	8	2
	Ready Money	1,749	7	6	328	4	3	1,108	10	"	3,186	5	9
		15,412	14	5	378	2	6	2,309	13	"	18,100	13	11
1259	Paddy	14,166	8	2	12	12	"	31	8	2	14,210	12	4
	Ready Money	2,046	13	10	287	6	4	930	9	3	3,264	13	5
		16,213	6	"	300	2	4	962	1	5	17,475	9	9
Total	Paddy	27,829	15	1	62	10	3	1,232	11	2	29,125	4	6
	Ready Money	3,796	5	4	615	10	7	2,039	3	3	6,451	3	2
		31,626	4	5	678	4	10	3,271	14	5	35,576	7	8

18. I beg to enclose a detailed Statement of the above.

19.

Recoverable Balance	
Paddy	27,829 - 15 - 1
Ready Money	3,796 - 5 - 4
	31,626 - 4 - 5

Vide para 14 of the Settlement Report of Fusly 1259

Of the Balance on account of Paddy Rupees 2,206 - 9 - 5, are due by Mottoosawmy Pillay the Paddy Contractor of Coroovuttoy on Talook for Fusly 1258, against whom a Suit for the same is pending before the Subordinate Court. The sum of Rupees 8,616 - 12 - 8

is

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

is, as regards the Zemindar, a nominal Balance only as it was paid by Vacheapah Chetty before the Estate came under the Court of Wards, but the Paddy not as having been wholly received by him from the Ryots, adjustment is delayed and it is retained in the Accounts - He will soon clear the whole away - Of the ready Money Balance Rupees 1,659 - 3 - 7 have been since Collected; a further Sum of Rupees 1,509 - 9 - 8 will be made good from the income of Certain Poroopoo Villages under Sequestration and the rest 627 - 6 - 1 is in the Course of Collection.

Doubtful Balances.		
	678 - 4 - 10.	
Irrecoverable Balance.		
Paddy	1,232 - 11 - 2	
Ready Money	2,039 - 3 - 3	
	3,271 - 14 - 5.	

20. This is under investigation.-

21. The Sum of Rupees 1230 - 14 - 8 out of 1,232 - 11 - 2 is the difference between the Estimated rate of the price of Paddy entered in the Summahbundy Report and that at which it actually sold and the remainder 1 - 12 - 6 is a double entry made thro' inadvertence. Out of the Ready Money Balance the Sum of 424 - 6 - 2 which

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

which is Composed of the same Items as in Para 10 of Mr. Blackburn's report of 30th September 1841 for Fusly 1250, was recommended and by Para 4 of the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 23rd July 1842. was sanctioned to be written off as nominal and 64 - 13 - 1 is chiefly the Jist Rent on Certain Villages assumed for hunting grounds and long waste and overrun with wood. I would recommend therefore that the whole of the above Balance, classed as irrecoverable for Fusslies 1250 and 1259 should be remitted. I will examine the particular Items with the view of ascertaining whether it will not be expedient to exclude them altogether from the Yearly Accounts rather than enter them merely for the purpose of being afterwards remitted.-

22. With reference to para 15 of the Board's Proceedings of the 26th June 1851 I have the honor to submit a Statement of the old Balances outstanding in the Accounts as against the Ryots from Fusly 1250 to 1257 previous to the Estate coming under the present Management of the Court of Wards - Its preparation has been effected with much trouble and is the cause of the delay

Fort St. George 12th August 1832

delay in this Report. The difficulty was occasioned by the habit of the Zemmidar of giving assignments on Talooks and Villages which remained unadjusted in his accounts. Statements prepared by the Manager having proved erroneous it was found necessary to summon to the Collectors Cutcherry Sumastahs with accounts from every Talook who have prepared the statement of which an abstract is now enclosed. It differs in some degree from the accounts given by the Samoogum Peshcar to Mr. Rattlift my Head Assistant at the period of the Zemmidar's death which was compiled in a more hurried manner, and from less full and accurate accounts.

23. The Total Balance up to the end of April 1832 Amounts as noted in the Margin to Rupees 130595-5-4 of the amount of the 1st Item Rupees 23271 and odd standing against Banesah Muricoyer Vee. of Kheela Karay should be written off as the late Zemmidar both by order to his Pradany and by Wazeet to the Collector intimated that he had remitted the same in adjustment of debts formerly Contracted by him to the said Merchant.

Paddy and Grain	116927-15-5
Ready Money	13667-5-11

Of

Fort St. George 12th August 1832.

A - 68500
B 18000
86500
Bal. 7638

Of the remainder a portion is the value of Paddy and Grain (A remaining) to be (B taken or taken but unadjusted owing) to receipts not having been given by some Durkastdars who paid the Zemmidar for it and the rest is Composed of trifling Items under dispute &c. The Manager has been called upon to explain why receipts were not taken.

24. Of the Balance under the head of Redy Money a large portion is not recoverable, owing to some disputes of long standing and the remainder is in Course of realization.

25. I Cannot now determine with precision what Sums are recoverable and what are to be remitted but I will submit a separate Report on the Subject, as soon as practicable.

26. In reference to the latter part of Para 1 of Board's Proceedings on Fusly 1259 Tilley to enclose a Statement of adjustment relative to the Cattanoor Sub-Division which was transferred to its former Proprietor on the 21st May 1830 agreeably to the Decree of the Sudr Udalut. I request special Sanction for the payment made to the amount of Rupees 4903-2-1.

In

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Para 9 Board's Proceedings 26th June 1851.

27. In this Para the Court notice a discrepancy between Para 9 of my Report of the 7th May 1851 for Fusly 1259 and the Statement A which accompanied it, the former stating 2 and the latter 3 Villages as made over to the Cavaledars. I beg to state that the Statement is correct.

Para 15

28. A Report on the 13 15/16 Villages resumed in Fusly 1258 is under preparation.

29. On the 22nd April 1852 the Court of Wards Communicated to me the Decree of the Sudr Udalut Striking the Appeal Suit No. 7 of 1849 off their file, owing to the death of Unganoottoo Nachiyar but allowing the other parties Claiming to be heirs to the Pettinirar Zemmindar to bring a fresh suit in the Civil Court of the District. I was at the same time informed that the Advocate General as Council for the Respondent / Minor Zemmindar / proposed to move the Court of Sudr Udalut to revoke their permission to sue afresh.

30. For some months previously, the parties were in daily expectation of a Decision on the merits of the Case. I therefore delayed to bring the Minor into Madura as if the Decree proved adverse, the trouble of the removal would have been taken in

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

in vain, and the blow have been rendered more severe In Consequence of the abatement of the appeal he was brought in, after much opposition by his mother, on the 8th June. I examined him some time ago and thought he had made fair progress in his studies which I was aware however from the periodical Reports of his English Tutor had been impeded by interruptions to which they were liable at Shevagungah. In person he has grown unnaturally stout attributable to indulgence and want of exercise. He stammers from being tongue tied and advantage will be taken of proximity to the Hillah Surgeon to have the necessary operation performed. —

Madura, 26th June 1852.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Abstract.

9131

No 1. A.D. 1260

12th August 1852.

Compared to that of 1259.

Average rate per Acre.			Value. Total amount			Average amount per Chey.			Average amount per Caweny.			Land. Cooke			Grant				
6			7			8			9			10							
Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Cheys	as		Cooke	as		Grants	as
			1,87,762	5	10	8	2	1 15/16	6	2	3 31/16	27,225	6 13/16		302	6	"	"	36,132	6 1/4
	15	11/16	11,341	3	5	11	6	10 7/16	11	11	7	972	1 5/16		"	"	"	7 3/4	1182	13 1/2
	"	"	1,99,106	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	28,197	8 2/16		302	6	"	7 3/4	37,316	3 3/4
	12	3 2 1/2 16	12,137	4	2	2	3	3 14/16	1	10	8 1/2	6,802	7 9/16		12	11 3/4	"	"	9,001	11 3/4
	"	"	1,31,5	4	10	3	12	9 7 3/4 16	2	14	"	383	7 11/16		"	"	"	"	507	2 1/2
	"	"	13,482	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,185	15 1/4		12	11 3/4	"	"	9,501	11 1/4
	"	"	2,12,589	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	35,383	7 6/16		315	4 3/4	"	7 3/4	46,825	2
	12	8 5 3/4 16	992	2	3	"	1	8 10 3/4 16	"	11	2 2/16	"	"		3,636	1	"	"	1536	4
	"	"	36,159	10	5	"	8	3 7 3/4 16	1	3	5/16	"	"		69,989	7 1/2	"	"	30,890	6 1/2
	"	"	37,151	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		7,3623	8 1/2	"	"	32,426	10 1/2
	"	"	3,793	2	6	"	"	1 14 3/4 16	9	10	7 1/2	"	"		"	"	"	4	414	4 3/4
	"	"	4,0944	15	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	35,383	7 6/16		7,3625	8 1/2	3,93,901	11 3/4	32,840	15 1/4
	"	"	2,53,534	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		7,3940	13 1/4	3,93,902	6 1/2	79,666	1 1/4
	"	"	22,630	8	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	35,383	7 6/16		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	27,6164	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		7,3940	13 1/4	3,93,902	6 1/2	79,666	1 1/4
	"	"	64,883	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	12,643	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	90,50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	2,041	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	100	15	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	88,719	4	4							"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	364,883	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	35,383	7 6/16		7,3940	13 1/4	3,93,902	6 1/2	79,666	1 1/4

Nunjah
- Sic
- Nun Mau
- Poony

Poonjah
- Dry
- Garden

9132

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

1260.

Proprietors share. Average Quantity of produce per Chey.			Average Quantity of produce per Cawney.			Total Quantity.			Settlement of Tasdy Value. Average rate per Cullem.			Total amount.		
11			12			13			14			15		
Cullᵐ	Mᵈˢ	Mˢ.	Cullᵐ.	Mᵈˢ.	Mˢ.	Cullᵐ	Mᵈˢ	Mˢ.	Rᵘ.	a	P	Rᵘ.	a	P
9	7	4 8¼/16	7	3	2 14/16	2,634	2	1	"	12	7 11¼/16	20,812	6	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,831	1	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,634	2	1	"	"	"	2,19,957	4	9
2	10	3 13½/16	2	2	1 2¼/16	19,646	9	2¼	"	10	2 5½/16	12,521	4	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,387	3	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	19,646	9	2¼	"	"	"	13,908	7	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,83,054	11	3¼	"	"	"	2,33,865	12	5
"	5	14/16	1	"	1 1¼/16	15,559	2	1½	"	9	10 11½/16	9,578	15	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36,652	3	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	15,559	2	1½	"	"	"	37,611	2	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,877	14	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	15,559	2	1½	"	"	"	4,14,88	14	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,84,614	1	4¾	"	"	"	27,33,54	10	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	27,75,7	14	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,84,614	1	4¾	"	"	"	30,3112	8	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	64,913	3	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,643	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9,050.	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,041.	13	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	42 6	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	2,84,614	1	4¾	"	"	"	88,690.	14	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	39,1803.	7	"

Average amount per Chey.			Average amount per Cawney.			Land.								
16			17			18								
Rᵘ.	a	P	Rᵘ.	a	P	Chey.	a	Coorks	a	Gontᵃ	a	Cawᵉ	a
7	10	"	5	12	1 14½/16	4,256	1 10/16	"	"	"	"	5,628	11¾
12	2	7 3¼	10	"	½	185	12 10/16	"	"	"	"	215	5¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	4441	14¼	"	"	"	"	5,844	1½
1	13	5¼	1	6	3 1/16	1,304	10 15/16	12	14¾	"	"	1,731.	¼
3	9	10½	2	11	9 3/16	29	6 6/16	"	"	"	"	33	14¼
"	"	"	"	"	"	1,334	1 5/16	12	14¾	"	"	1,769.	14½
"	"	"	"	"	"	5,745	15 9/16	12	14¾	"	"	7614	"
"	4	2 10/16	"	9	11 13½/16	"	"	274	8¼	"	"	116	6¾
"	8	4 33¾/16	1.	2	11 13/16	"	"	204	11¼	"	"	488	1¼
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	479	3½	"	"	604.	8
"	"	1 14¼/16	9	5	9¼	"	"	"	"	14,884	9¼	21	12¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	479	3½	14,884	9¼	626	4¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	5,745	15 9/16	492	2¼	14,884	9¼	8240	4¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	5,745	15 9/16	492	2¼	14,884	9¼	8240	4¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	5,745	15 9/16	492	2¼	14,884	9¼	8240	4¾

9133 — Fort St. George — 12th August 1852.

Land.

Increase.

Average Quantity per Chey.			Average Quantity per Cawney.			Total Quantity of Proprietors Share.			Total Amount Value.		
19			20			21			22		
Cs.	m	Ms.	Cs.	a	p	Cs.	m	m	Rs.	a.	p.
1	5	4 1/16	1	1	2 6/16	74,730.	4	4/4	20,363	10	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	486	13	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	74,730	4	4/4	20,850	11	6
"	"	7 1/2 16	"	"	5 1/4 16	3,811.	2	3	384	"	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	14	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	3,811.	2	3	425	14	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	78,541	7	1/4	21,276	10	2
"	"	4 1/2 16	"	1	3 2 1/2 16	308	10	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	492	9	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	308	10	5	492	9	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	84	8	10	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	308	10	5	577	2	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	78850	6	1/4	21,853	12	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,127	5	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	78850	6	1/4	26,981	1	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

9134

No 2. Detail of Jamabundy for the George

Land Revenue
Nunjah
Paddy
Paying in Kind

Name of Talook

Deductions.

Number.

		8						9						10					
		Cheys	A.s	Coorooks	A.s	Cawnies	A.s	Cheys	A.s	Coorooks	A.s	Cawnies	A.s	Cheys	A.s	Coorooks	A.s	Cawnies	A.s
1.	Canoor	"	4	"	"	14	3 3/4	107	13 13/16	"	"	142	10 3/4	120	14 5/16	"	"	159	14 1/2
2.	Nuttarasencal	"	"	"	"	5	12 1/4	68	6 13/16	"	"	89	2 3/4	71	12 9/16	"	"	94	15
3	Auroonuttayan	"	"	"	"	21	1/2	105	11 3/16	"	"	139	13	121	10	"	"	160	13 1/2
4	Mullacottay	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Theroopatoor	"	"	"	"	208	11 1/4	306	"	"	"	404	10 3/4	463	12 14/16	"	"	613	6
6.	Lackay	"	"	"	"	"	"	33	15 9/16	"	27	"	52	2	33	15 9/16	17	"	52	2
7.	Amaravath	"	"	"	"	1	6 1/2	94	8 11/16	"	"	125	1/2	95	9 11/16	"	"	126	7
8	Theroovagum	"	"	"	"	42	3	18	6	"	"	24	7 1/2	50	4 4/16	"	"	66	7 1/2
9	Aaroovatty	"	"	"	"	12	5	40	10 8/16	"	"	53	12 1/4	49	15 3/16	"	"	66	1 1/4
10.	Mungalom	"	"	"	"	15	3/4	45	14 2/16	"	"	60	10 3/4	57	4 2/16	"	"	75	11 1/2
11.	Emoonaisuar	2	12	"	"	175	8 1/4	124	4/16	"	"	164	1/4	253	13 12/16	2	12	339	8 1/2
12.	Parthesparoa	"	"	"	"	57	5 1/2	51	3 12/16	"	"	67	12	94	9 8/16	"	"	125	1 1/2
13	Marnad	"	"	"	"	90	1 1/4	56	3 10/16	"	"	74	5 3/4	124	5 6/16	"	"	164	7
14	Theroopoorani	"	"	"	"	98	13 1/2	141	"	"	"	186	7 3/4	215	12	"	"	285	5 1/4
		2	12	"	"	745	7 1/2	1,192	14 10/16	17	"	1,584	13	1,455	11 8/16	19	12	2,330	4 1/2

1. Quit Rent of Dev
2 Revenue of Pod
3 Village Rents
4. Fixed rent on E
5. Chank Revenue

12th August 1852.

Fusly 1260.

Enams &c.

Total

9135

Fort St. George

12th August 1852

Land

Revenue
Nunjah.
Paddy

Balance							Paying in kind. Quantity of actual produce			Proprietors Share.			Deduct grain charges.			Balance		
11							12			13			14			15		
Cheys	as.	Cawnies	as.	Cawnies	as.		Cullo	m.	Mrs.	Cullo	m.	Mrs.	Cullo	m.	Mrs.	Cullo	m.	Mrs.
2,142	13 2/16	3	10	2,835	6 1/4		52,151	"	1 1/2	23,012	3	"	142	6	1 1/2	22,869	8	4 1/2
1328	14 6/16	1	8	1458	1 1/2		30,008	2	3	13,616	5	3	101	10	5 1/2	13,514	6	3 1/2
2,080	8 14/16	4	"	2753	3 1/4		51,824	1	2	24,267	8	3 1/2	317	6	4 1/2	23,950	1	5
"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3031	7 14/16	53	12	4031	14		52,557	8	3 1/2	24,348	2	2	320	3	3 1/2	24,027	10	4 1/2
1311	1 4/16	40	6	1750	15		24,288	3	1 1/2	11,524	2	"	185	"	4 1/2	11,339	1	1 1/2
2,647	3 8/16	10	4	3505	4 1/2		67,664	9	1 1/2	32,173	5	5	607	"	5	31,566	5	"
384	8 12/16	81	2	1204	1 1/2		17,694	2	1/4	8,305	"	3 3/4	611	1	3	8,240	11	3 1/4
1958	15	"	"	2590	11		41,598	2	3 1/2	18,845	4	2 3/4	9	1	2 1/2	18,836	3	1/4
1645	3 2/16	20	4	2134	5 1/2		36,518	9	2 3/4	16,454	6	5 1/4	323	9	3	16,130	9	2 1/4
2092	10 12/16	75	4	2799	5 3/4		34,959	5	2 3/4	15,430	4	5 1/4	181	4	4 3/4	15,249	"	1/2
1353	4 2/16	2	"	1790	8 1/2		28,244	4	1 1/4	12,720	5	5 1/4	171	5	5 1/2	12,548	11	5 3/4
1800	14 12/16	10	4	2336	3 1/4		40,660	10	2 1/4	17,995	4	1 1/2	1080	10	1	16,915	1	1 1/2
2775	5 4/16	"	"	3670	6		61,488	"	2	26,509	10	5	318	3	3	26,191	7	2
25,052	14 12/16	302	6	33,260	4		539,660	11	3 3/4	245,204	"	1/4	3,823	5	5 1/4	241,380	6	1

Total Cultivation				Paying in fixed quantity. Deductions. Shavies.				Enams &c.				Total.			
16				17				18				19			
Cheys	as.	Cawnies	as.	Cheys	as.	Cawnies	as.	Cheys	as.	Cawnies	as.	Cheys	as.	Cawnies	as.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
52	12 14/16	69	13 1/4	"	14 4/16	1	3 1/2	2	8 4/16	3	5 1/2	3	6 15/16	4	9
1307	1 9/16	1728	10 1/4	24	7 4/16	32	5 1/2	88	9 4/16	117	2	113	8 1/16	149	7 1/2
1143	7 18/16	1512	4 1/2	1	3 7/16	1	9 1/2	213	3 5/16	281	15 1/2	214	6 12/16	283	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2503	6 4/16	3310	11 3/4	26	9 6/16	36	2 1/2	304	4 13/16	402	7	330	14 3/16	437	9 1/2

Land

Paying in fixed quantity (Cont.d)

Balance				Proprietor's Share.			Deduct grain charges.			Balance				
20.				21			22			23				
Chey.s	a.s	Cawnies	a.s	Cull.s	m.s	m.rs	Cull.s	m.s	m.rs	Cull.s	m.s	m.rs	Chey.s	a.s
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2142	13 2/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1328	14 6/16
49	5 15/16	65	4 1/4	530	8	3	"	"	"	530	8	3	2129	14 13/16
1194	1 1/16	1579	2 3/4	11442	3	2 1/2	"	"	"	11442	3	2 1/2	1194	1 1/16
929	1 1/16	1228	11 1/4	10059	9	1	5	1	1/2	10054	8	1/2	3960	8 15/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1311	1 4/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2647	3 8/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	484	8 12/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1958	15
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1645	3 2/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2092	10 12/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1353	4 2/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1800	14 12/16
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2445	5 4/16
2172	8/16	2873	2 1/4	22032	9	1/2	5	1	1/2	22027	8		27225	6 12/16

Revenue.
Nunjah (Cont.d)
Paddy (Cont.d)
Total of 20 Items.

Money Face.

Land.				Proprietors Share of Produce			Value.		Total Cultivation				Assessment	
24				25			26		27				28	
Cooks	a.s	Cawnies	a.s	Cull.s	m.s	m.rs	Rup.s	a.s	Chey.s	a.s	Cawnies	a.s	Rup.s	a.s
3	10	2835	6 1/4	22869	8	4 1/2	17593	6	602	13 9/16	794	4 1/4	6566	2 5
1	8	1758	1 1/2	13514	6	3 1/2	11552	12	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	2818	8	24480	10	2	19899	3 1	"	12	1	"	7	9 4
"	"	1579	2 3/4	11442	3	2 1/2	8760	7 11	"	"	"	"	"	"
53	12	5260	9 1/4	34082	6	5	24101	5 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
40	6	1750	15	11339	1	1 1/2	7813	14 6	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	4	3505	4 1/2	31566	5	"	24646	13 11	"	"	"	"	"	"
81	2	1204	1 1/2	8240	11	3/4	6739	1 3	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	2590	11	18836	3	1/4	15622	15 5	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	4	2184	5 1/2	16130	9	2 1/4	13228	2 9	"	"	"	"	"	"
75	4	2799	5 3/4	15249	"	1/2	11356	10 2	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	1790	8 1/2	12548	11	3 3/4	10633	7 8	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	4	2386	3/4	16915	1	1/2	12964	8 "	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	3670	6	26191	7	2	23183	7 2	"	"	"	"	"	"
302	6	36133	6 1/4	263408	2	1	203126	3 9	603	9/16	798	4 1/4	65431	1 9

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Land Munjah Money

Deductions.

Sharee Assessment

Enam &c.a

Revenue /Contd/
Paddy /Contd/
Tax /Contd/

Balance.

Land.				Assessment		Land.				Assessment		Land.				Total Assessment		Land.				Assessment.		Deduct Enam &c.a		Remainder	
29				30		31				32		33				34		35				36		37.		38	
Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs.	a ps	Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs.	a ps	Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rupees	a ps	Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs.	a ps	Rs.	a ps	Rs.	a ps
7	3 14/16	9	9/4	88	15 5	18	4 1/16	24	15/2	204	- 1	26	1 15/16	34	8 3/4	292	15 6	546	11 10/16	462	11/2	6273	2 11	23	5 4	6249	13 7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	8 "	1	1 4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	1 "	7	9 4						
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Revenue (Continued)
(Continued)

Land Munjah Garden Money.

Land.						Assessment.			Cultivation perished when sprouts: Land				Assessment.		
39						40.			41				42		
Cheys	as	Goontahs	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p
26	3 15/16	"	"	34	11/2	10,075	3	8	"	7	"	9/4	6	12	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2 4/16	"	"	"	3	14	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 10/16	"	"	"	10	28	5	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	10 12/16	"	"	20	10 1/4	1,237	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	5 8/16	"	"	"	7/4	32	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	12 6/16	"	"	7	9/4	89	13	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 4/16	"	"	"	9/2	2	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
25	15 1/16	"	7 3/4	33	2 1/4	625	8	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	1	"	"	27	13 3/4	489	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	3/16	"	"	35	11 3/4	322	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
288	6 13/16	"	"	27	4/2	2,012	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
410	10 10/16	"	7 3/4	435	9	5,928	10	2	"	4	"	9/4	6	12	10

Fasc
Deductions.
Enam Rs.

Land				Assessment			Total. Land				Assessment		
43				44			45				46		
Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Cheys	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p
11	7 1/16	"	15	8	14	5	1	2 7/16	1	8 1/4	15	11	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	7 14/16	1	15 1/2	189	6	8
1	7 14/16	1	15 1/2	189	6	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

Land Revenue

Nunjah.

Garden.

Money taxes.

Balance.

Land.						Assessment.			Deduct fixed allowance for cloths to parties.			Balance			Land.						Assessment.		
47.						48			49			50			51.						52		
Cheys	as	Goontahs	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Goontahs	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Cheys	as
25	1 1/16	"	"	33	3 1/4	10,59	8	5	"	"	"	10,59	8	5	601	13 2/16							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
"	2 4/16	"	"	"	3	14	2	10	"	"	"	14	2	10	"	14 4/16							
"	7 10/16	"	"	"	10	28	5	8	"	"	"	28	5	8	"	7 10/16							
14	2 4/16	"	"	18	10 3/4	10,46	5	11	1	15	6	10,46	6	5	14	2 4/16							
"	5 8/16	"	"	"	7 1/4	32	1	4	"	"	"	32	1	4	"	5 8/16							
5	12 6/16	"	"	7	9 1/4	89	13	4	"	"	"	89	13	4	5	12 6/16							
"	7 4/16	"	"	"	9 1/2	2	4	2	"	"	"	2	4	2	"	7 4/16							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
25	15 1/16	"	7 12/16	33	2 1/4	625	8	4	"	"	"	625	8	4	25	15 1/16							
20	8 8/16	"	"	27	2 1/2	486	2	10	"	"	"	486	2	10	20	8 8/16							
24	3 1/16	"	"	35	11 3/4	322	1	8	1	14	3	320	3	5	24	3 1/16							
275	8 11/16	"	"	261	12 1/2	1,899	1	6	23	8	2	1,875	9	4	275	8 11/16							
394	9 11/16	"	7 12/16	419	2	5,607	3	"	27	5	11	5,580	2	1	972	1 5/16							

12th August 1852.

Revenue

Nunjah.

Total money tax on Paddy fields and Garden.

Land.				Assessment.			Land.								Assessment.		
51.				52			53								54		
Goontahs	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p	Cheys	as	Coorooks	as	Goontahs	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	a	p
"	"	795	14 3/4	7,309	6	"	2,744	10 4/16	3	10	"	"	3631	5	24,902	12	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1328	14 6/16	1	8	"	"	1758	1 1/2	11552	12	"
"	"	1	3	15	4	2	2,130	13 1/16	4	"	"	"	2819	11	19914	7	3
"	"	"	10	28	5	8	1194	8 11/16	"	"	"	"	1579	12 3/4	8788	13	7
"	"	18	10 3/4	10,46	6	5	3974	11 13/16	53	12	"	"	5279	4	25147	11	10
"	"	"	7 1/4	31	1	4	1311	6 12/16	40	6	"	"	1751	6 1/4	7845	15	10
"	"	7	9 1/4	89	13	4	2652	15 19/16	10	4	"	"	3512	13 3/4	24766	11	3
"	"	"	9 1/2	2	4	2	885	"	81	2	"	"	1204	11	6741	5	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1958	15	"	"	"	"	2590	11	15622	15	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1645	3 2/16	20	4	"	"	2184	5 1/2	13228	2	9
"	7 3/4	33	2 1/4	625	8	4	2117	11 11/16	75	4	"	"	2832	8	11982	2	6
"	"	27	2 1/2	486	2	10	1343	12 10/16	2	"	"	"	1817	11	11119	10	6
"	"	35	11 3/4	320	3	5	1827	14 15/16	10	4	"	"	2421	12 1/2	13284	11	5
"	"	261	12 1/2	1,875	9	4	3050	13 15/16	"	"	"	"	3932	2 1/2	25059	"	6
"	7 3/4	1182	13 1/2	11831	1	"	28197	8 2/16	302	6	"	7 3/4	37316	3 3/4	219957	4	9

9140

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Land
Nunjah
Paying
Deductions

Total Cultivation

Shares . Enams &c.

Revenue.
Nunjah
Mail Poonjah
in Kind.

Total . Balance: . Actual Produce . Quantity of the proprietors share.

55							56				57				58				59				60				61			
Cheys	as	Cawls	as	Coorooks	as		Cheys	as	Cowls	as	Cheys	as	Cowls	as	Cheys	as	Cawls	as	Cheys	as	Cowls	as	Crooks	as	Cullums	Ms	Mvs	Cullls	Ms	Mvs
494	"	653	5 1/4	"	"		5	5	7	1/2	22	8 8/16	29	12 3/4	27	13 8/16	36	13 1/4	466	2 8/16	616	8	"	"	5,067	11	1 1/2	1,589	4	4
251	1 8/16	332	1	"	"		1	6	1	13	12	14	17	1/2	14	4	18	13 1/2	236	13 8/16	313	3 1/2	"	"	2,130	8	1	724	3	4
419	4 15/16	554	8 1/4	"	"		"	"	"	"	14	3 9/16	18	13	14	3 9/16	18	13	405	1 6/16	535	11 1/4	"	"	4,175	5	1	1,534	2	3
"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
656	2 1/16	873	3 1/4	12	14 12/16		"	"	"	"	62	13	83	1 1/4	62	13	83	1 1/4	593	5 1/16	790	2	12	14 12/16	5,013	6	1	1,712	1	"
187	5 3/16	247	12 1/4	"	"		"	"	"	"	3	4	4	4 3/4	3	4	4	4 3/4	184	1 8/16	243	7 1/2	"	"	1,375	"	2	469	6	1 1/2
407	9 12/16	538	1	"	"		"	"	"	"	15	8 3/16	20	8 1/2	15	8 8/16	20	8 1/2	392	1 4/16	517	8 1/2	"	"	4,117	6	5	1,440	6	3
207	9 8/16	275	8 3/4	"	"		"	"	"	"	1	8	1	15 3/4	1	8	1	15 3/4	206	1 8/16	273	9	"	"	1,763	3	3 3/4	618	3	4 3/4
282	8 12/16	241	6 1/2	"	"		"	"	"	"	1	11	2	3 1/2	1	11	2	3 1/2	180	13 12/16	239	3	"	"	1,096	10	3 3/4	376	8	3 3/4
328	11 4/16	434	11 3/4	"	"		1	14	2	7 3/4	3	11	4	14 1/4	5	9	7	6	323	2 4/16	427	5 3/4	"	"	2,499	2	3	833	3	2
900	1	1,190	5 1/4	"	"		"	"	"	"	26	7 8/16	35	"	26	7 8/16	35	"	873	9 8/16	1,155	5 1/4	"	"	7,949	1	4 1/2	2,633	10	1/4
939	4 2/16	1,242	2 1/2	"	"		9	12	12	14 1/4	18	6 8/16	24	5 1/4	28	2 8/16	37	3 1/2	911	1 10/16	1,204	15	"	"	9,044	"	5	2,958	9	1 1/2
1056	3 11/16	1,396	11	"	"		9	8 8/16	12	9 1/2	16	3 3/16	21	7 1/4	25	11 11/16	31	3/4	1030	8	1,362	13 1/4	"	"	9,579	11	4	2,994	10	1 1/2
1024	12 12/16	1,355	4 3/4	"	"		2	12	3	10 1/4	22	7	29	10 3/4	25	3	33	5	999	9 12/16	1,321	15 3/4	"	"	8,816	1	4	2,664	10	1
7,054	10 13/16	9,335	4 1/2	12	14 12/16		30	9 8/16	40	7 1/4	221	9 12/16	293	1 1/2	252	3 4/16	333	8 3/4	6,802	7 9/16	9,001	11 3/4	12	14 12/16	62,728	10	3 1/2	20,350	8	1/4

9140

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Land
Nunjah
Paying

Total Cultivation

Deductions

Shavee

Enams &c.

Revenue.
Nunjah
Mail Poonjah
in Kind.

Total

Balance

Actual Produce

Quantity of the proprietors share.

55							56				57				58				59				60				61			
Cheys	as	Cawe	as	Coorooks	as	Cheys	as	Cawe	as	Cheys	as	Cawe	as	Cheys	as	Cawe	as	Crooks	as	Cullums	Mds	Mrs	Cwts	Mds	Mrs
494	"	653	5 1/4	"	"	5	5	7	1/2	22	8 1/16	29	12 3/4	27	13 8/16	36	13 1/4	466	2 8/16	616	8	"	"	5,067	11	1 1/2	1,589	4	4
251	1 8/16	332	1	"	"	1	6	1	13	12	14	17	1/2	14	4	18	13 1/2	236	13 8/16	313	3 1/2	"	"	2,130	8	1	724	3	4
419	4 15/16	554	8 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	3 9/16	18	13	14	3 9/16	18	13	405	1 6/16	535	11 1/4	"	"	4,175	5	1	1,534	2	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
656	2 1/16	873	3 1/4	12	14 12/16	"	"	"	"	62	13	83	1 1/4	62	13	83	1 1/4	593	5 1/16	790	2	12	14 12/16	5,013	6	1	1,712	1	"
187	5 9/16	247	12 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	4	4	4 3/4	3	4	4	4 3/4	184	1 8/16	243	7 1/2	"	"	1,375	"	2	469	6	1 1/2
407	9 12/16	538	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	8 3/16	20	8 1/2	15	8 3/16	20	8 1/2	392	1 4/16	517	8 1/2	"	"	4,117	6	5	1,440	6	3
207	9 8/16	275	8 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	8	1	15 3/4	1	8	1	15 3/4	206	1 8/16	273	9	"	"	1,763	3	3 3/4	618	3	4 3/4
282	8 12/16	241	6 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	11	2	3 1/2	1	11	2	3 1/2	180	13 12/16	239	3	"	"	1,096	10	3 3/4	376	8	3 3/4
328	11 4/16	434	11 3/4	"	"	1	14	2	7 3/4	3	11	4	14 1/4	5	9	7	6	323	2 4/16	427	5 3/4	"	"	2,499	2	3	833	3	2
900	1	1,190	5 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	26	7 8/16	35	"	26	7 8/16	35	"	873	9 8/16	1,155	5 1/4	"	"	7,949	1	4 1/2	2,633	10	1/4
939	4 2/16	1,242	2 1/2	"	"	9	12	12	14 1/4	18	6 8/16	24	5 1/4	28	2 8/16	37	3 1/2	911	1 10/16	1,204	15	"	"	9,044	"	5	2,958	9	1 1/2
1,056	3 11/16	1,396	11	"	"	9	8 8/16	12	9 1/2	16	3 3/16	21	7 1/4	25	11 11/16	31	3/4	1,030	8	1,362	13 1/4	"	"	9,579	11	4	2,994	10	1 1/2
1,024	12 12/16	1,355	4 3/4	"	"	2	12	3	10 1/4	22	7	29	10 3/4	25	3	33	5	999	9 12/16	1,321	15 3/4	"	"	8,816	1	4	2,664	10	1
7,054	10 13/16	9,335	4 1/2	12	14 12/16	30	9 8/16	40	7 1/4	221	9 12/16	293	1 1/2	252	3 4/16	333	8 3/4	6,802	7 9/16	9,001	11 3/4	12	14 12/16	62,728	10	3 1/2	20,350	8	1/4

Revenue.
Nunjah
Mail Poonjah
Fasc
Enams &c.a

Paying in Kind (cont.d)

Deduct Urdha: maniem &c.a	Balance	Value	Total cultivation Land	Assess:ment	Land Nunjah Money Deduct Land	Balance. Land	Assess:ment.	Deduct Enams &c.a	Balance
							Assess:ment		
62	63.	64	65	66	67	68.	69	70	71	72
Cull.s m.s m.rs	Cull.s m.s m.rs	Rs. a.p	Cheys as	Cawr as	Rs. a.p	Cheys as	Cawr as	Rs. a.p	Rs. a.p	Rs. a.p
41 1 5	1,548 2 "	971 6 4	200 13 9/16	26 5 10	701 4 6	Cawr as	Rs. a.p	699 4 5	" " "	699 4 5
49 8 5 1/2	674 6 4 1/2	382 5 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 11 1/4	2 " 1	" " "	16 9 9	" " "
28 4 3	1,505 10 "	1,066 12 1	5 14/16	6 11	22 1 9	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 12 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	148 4 57/16	19 6 1 1/4	593 1 6	" 13 1/4	2 11 9	4 6 14/16 5 13 3/4	19 6 "	" " "	565 4 9
5 2 1 1/2	1,706 10 4 1/2	1,176 3 4	16 10 12/16	22 3/4	64 14 7	9 3	27 12 9	141 5 2/16	186 11 1/4	565 4 9	" " "	64 1 2
14 3 1 1/2	452 3 "	294 13 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 3 1/2	" 13 5	16 8 1/16	21 13 1/4	64 1 2	" " "	" " "
93 9 1/2	1,346 9 2 1/2	779 4 8	" 10 "	13 1/4	1 6 5	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 6 5
59 6 1 1/2	558 9 3 1/4	264 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 5 2 1/2	371 3 1 1/4	212 8 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
50 11 1/2	782 4 1 1/2	436 6 8	" 4 8/16	" 6	" 4 3	" "	" " "	4 8/16 " 6	" 4 3	" " "	" " "	" 4 3
51 8 1	2,582 1 5 1/4	1,377 8 10	9 14 5/16	13 1 1/4	23 1 9	" "	" " "	9 14 5/16 13 1 1/4	23 1 9	" " "	" " "	23 1 9
65 6 4 1/2	2,893 2 3	1,943 10 1	1 12 9/16	2 5 3/4	6 3 3	" "	" " "	1 12 9/16 2 5 3/4	6 3 3	" " "	" " "	6 3 3
245 8 5	2,749 1 2 1/2	1,239 8 5	8 5 5/16	11 1/4	31 1 10	" "	" " "	8 5 5/16 11 1/4	31 1 10	6 8 "	24 9 10	" " "
139 6 2	2,475 3 5	1,776 4 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
903 10 4	19,646 9 2 1/4	12,521 4 7	391 12 2/16	518 1 1/2	1443 10 10	10 13	33 6 "	383 4 11/16	507 2 1/2	1410 4 10	23 1 9	1387 3 1

8 4 7/16

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Total of grain and money taxes.

Land Assessment Cheys	a	Cawn	a	Coorks	a	Rs	a	P	Land Assessment Cheys	a	Land Assessment Coorks	a
									73.		74.										75	
666	7 7/16	381	6 3/4	"	"	1670	13	9	3411	1 11/16	3	10
236	13 8/16	313	3 1/2	"	"	382	5	5	1565	11 14/16	1	8
409	8 4/16	541	9	"	"	1069	8	4	2540	5 5/16	4	"
141	5 2/16	186	14 1/4	"	"	565	4	9	1335	13 13/16	"	"
609	13 2/16	811	15 1/4	12	16 12/16	1240	4	6	4584	8 15/16	66	10 12/16
184	1 8/16	243	7 1/2	"	"	294	13	2	1495	8 4/16	40	6
392	11 4/16	518	5 3/4	"	"	730	11	1	3045	11 9/16	10	4
206	1 8/16	273	9	"	"	264	8	"	1091	1 8/16	81	2
180	13 12/16	239	3	"	"	212	8	9	2139	12 12/16	"	"
323	6 12/16	427	11 3/4	"	"	436	10	11	1968	9 14/16	20	4
883	7 13/16	1168	6 1/2	"	"	1400	10	7	3001	3 8/16	75	4
912	14 3/16	1207	4 3/4	"	"	1949	13	4	2286	10 13/16	2	"
1038	13 15/16	1373	13 1/2	"	"	1864	2	3	2366	12 4/16	10	4
999	9 10/16	1321	15 3/4	"	"	1776	4	10	4050	7 6/16	"	"
7185	15 4/16	9508	14 1/4	12	16 12/16	13908	7	8	25383	7 6/16	315	4 12/16

Revenue Kunjah Total		Poonjah Dry Paying in Kind. Assessment		Total Cultivation		Deduct Enams &c	
		76.		77.		78.	
Gantz	a	Cawn	a	Coorks	a	Cawn	a	Coorks	a	Cawn	a
"	"	4512	11 3/4	26573	10	3	360	10	152	5 3/4	18	8	7	13
"	"	2041	5	11935	1	5	174	8	73	11 3/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	3361	4	20983	13	7	429	10 2/16	181	8 1/2	"	4	"	13/4
"	"	1766	11	9354	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	6091	3 1/4	26388	"	4	612	11	258	13 3/4	126	15 3/16	53	10 1/4
"	"	1994	13 3/4	8140	13	"	154	3	65	2 1/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	4031	3 1/2	25547	6	4	66	14	28	1/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	1478	4	7005	13	5	64	6	27	3 1/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	2829	14	15835	8	2	15	8	6	8 3/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	2612	1 1/4	13664	13	8	40	"	16	14 1/2	"	"	"	"
"	7 12/16	4000	14 1/2	13882	13	1	305	13	129	3 1/4	"	"	"	"
"	"	3024	15 3/4	13069	7	10	360	9	152	5 1/2	3	"	1	4 1/4
"	"	3795	10	15148	13	8	1423	4	601	5 1/4	367	12	155	6
"	"	5254	2 1/4	26835	5	4	179	"	75	10	34	8	14	9 1/4
"	7 12/16	46825	2	233865	12	5	4187	8/16	1769	1/2	550	13 2/16	232	12 1/2

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Total of grain and money taxes.

Land Assessment

73 Chey's	as	Cawe	as	Coorks	as	74 Rs	a	p
666	7 7/16	381	6 3/4	"	"	1670	13	9
236	13 8/16	313	3 1/2	"	"	382	5	5
409	8 4/16	541	9	"	"	1069	8	4
141	5 2/16	186	14 1/4	"	"	565	4	9
609	13 2/16	811	15 1/4	12	16 12/16	1240	4	6
184	1 8/16	243	7 1/2	"	"	294	13	2
392	11 4/16	518	5 3/4	"	"	780	11	1
206	1 8/16	273	9	"	"	264	8	"
180	13 12/16	239	3	"	"	212	8	9
323	6 12/16	427	11 3/4	"	"	436	10	11
883	7 13/16	1168	6 1/2	"	"	1400	10	7
912	14 3/16	1207	4 3/4	"	"	1949	13	4
1038	13 15/16	1373	13 1/2	"	"	1864	2	3
999	9 10/16	1321	15 3/4	"	"	1776	4	10
7185	15 4/16	9508	14 1/4	12	16 12/16	13908	7	8

Land Assessment

75 Coorks	as
3	10
1	8
4	"
"	"
66	10 12/16
40	6
10	4
8	2
"	"
20	4
75	4
2	"
10	4
"	"
315	4 12/16

Revenue
Nunjah
Total

Poonjah
Dry
Paying in Kind.

Assessment 76 Gants	as	Cawe	as	Rs	a	p
"	"	4512	11 3/4	26573	10	3
"	"	2041	5	11935	1	5
"	"	3361	4	20983	13	7
"	"	1766	11	9354	2	4
"	"	6091	3 1/4	26388	"	4
"	"	1994	13 3/4	8140	13	"
"	"	4031	3 1/2	25547	6	4
"	"	1478	4	7005	13	5
"	"	2829	14	15835	8	2
"	"	2612	1 1/4	13664	13	8
"	7 12/16	4000	14 1/2	13882	13	1
"	"	3024	15 3/4	13069	7	10
"	"	3795	10	15148	13	8
"	"	5254	2 1/4	26835	5	4
"	7 12/16	46825	2	233865	12	5

Total Cultivation

77 Coorks	as	Cawe	as
360	10	152	5 3/4
174	8	73	11 3/4
429	10 3/16	181	8 1/2
"	"	"	"
412	11	258	13 3/4
154	3	65	2 1/4
66	14	28	1/4
64	6	27	3 1/4
15	8	6	8 3/4
40	"	16	14 1/2
305	13	129	3 1/4
360	9	152	5 1/2
1423	4	601	5 1/4
179	"	75	10
4187	8/16	1769	1/2

Deseret Enams &c.

78 Coorks	as	Cawe	as
18	8	7	13
"	"	"	"
"	4	"	13/4
"	"	"	"
126	15 3/16	53	10 1/4
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
3	"	1	4 1/4
367	12	155	6
34	8	14	9 1/4
550	13 3/16	232	12 1/2

Fort St. George 12th. August 1852.

Land Revenue / Contd. /
Paying in Kind / Contd /
Dry /

Revenue / Contd. /
/ Continued /

Balance				Gross Produce.			Proprietors Deduct Hindamanium Fees			Balance			Value		
79				80			81			82			83		
Coorks	as	Cawnies	as	Culls	Ms	Mrs	Culls	Ms	Mrs	Culls	Ms	Mrs	Culls	Ms	Mrs	Rs	a	p
342	2	144	8 3/4	485	8	2 1/2	149	3	1/2	30	9	1/2	118	6	"	87	2	11
174	8	73	11 3/4	292	1	2 1/2	89	1	1	32	4	5-1/2	56	8	1 1/2	34	2	8
429	6 3/16	181	6 3/4	946	6	1	325	7	"	74	8	3	250	10	3	142	3	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
485	11 3/16	205	3 1/2	474	7	1	155	10	3	3	5	1	152	5	2	96	12	2
154	3	65	2 1/4	213	4	3 1/4	71	4	5	1	10	3	69	6	2	40	5	9
64	6	27	3 1/4	236	8	3	81	8	1 1/2	"	"	"	10	3	5-1/2	10	14	4
15	8	6	8 3/4	7	11	4 1/2	2	4	2 1/2	"	"	4 1/2	80	7	3	31	7	1
40	"	16	14 1/2	26	1	1 1/2	8	8	2 1/2	"	"	3 1/2	2	6	5	1	3	"
305	13	129	3 1/4	270	5	3	89	9	1 1/2	3	4	1/2	5	4	2	3	7	10
357	9	151	1 1/4	625	2	3 1/2	182	4	1	"	"	"	89	9	1 1/2	54	5	4
1,055	3	445	15 1/4	1,903	5	2 1/4	531	5	"	10	4	4	171	11	3	90	10	3
144	8	61	8 1/4	492	1	1	97	1	1	7	3	"	45	4	2	29	3	2
3,636	1	1,536	4	6,006	"	4 1/2	1,795	2	1	235	11	5 1/2	1,559	2	1 1/2	953	15	"

Total Cultivation.

Land.				Assessment.			Shares. Land				Assessment.		
85				86			87				88		
Coorks	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	A	P	Coorks	as	Cawnies	as	Rs	A	P
4,962	11 5/16	2,071	6 1/2	2,939	11	2	2	8		1	1		1 9 5
1,411	10 12/16	596	7	536	1	8	36	12 12/16		15	8 3/4		11 10 10
5,333	"	2,253	3	3,180	1	3	"	"		"	"		" " "
24,533	6 4/16	1915	5-1/2	2,415	8	7	"	"		"	"		" " "
15,941	1 8/16	6,735	2	9,145	2	4	"	"		"	"		" " "
1,352	5	571	5-1/2	531	"	"	"	"		"	"		" " "
10,657	3	5,079	12 1/4	4,153	9	2	"	"		"	"		" " "
2,100	10 8/16	1,575	8	1,953	7	6	"	"		"	"		" " "
2,397	15	1,013	2	783	5	9	"	"		"	"		" " "
1,688	15	713	9	874	11	3	"	"		"	"		" " "
11,413	7 12/16	4,946	10	5,904	15	10	49	13		21	3/4		25 3 "
4,128	" 8/16	1,744	1 1/2	1,934	14	10	"	"		"	"		" " "
2,508	11 4/16	1,059	15	1,096	4	9	"	"		"	"		" " "
6,580	14 8/16	2,782	13 1/2	6,704	4	8	"	"		"	"		" " "
74,950	8/16	33,038	6 1/2	37,373	4	2	89	1 17/16		37	10 1/2		38 7 3.

L. C. B.

Fort St. George

12th August 1837.

Land Revenue
Land Customs
Land Customs

Land Paid by Ryot

Exams

Total.

Total Grain & Money Tax.

Land		Assessment		Land		Assessment	Land	Assessment	Deduct Exams Ves.	Balance.	Land		Assessment
89		90		91			92		93	94	95	96	97		98	
Coorks	as	Cans	as	Rs	As P	Coorks	as	Cans	as	Rs	As P	Rs	As P	Coorks	as	Cans	as	Rs	As P
230	13 8/16	97	8½	144	7 "	233	5 8/16	98	9½	146	" 5	4669	6						
187	12	79	6¼	71	2 10	224	8 12/16	94	15	82	13 8	1187	2						
325	11 12/16	137	10	185	4 1	325	11 12/16	137	10	183	4 1	5007	1 11/16						
363	7	153	8	210	14 1	363	7	153	8	210	14 1	4169	15 4/16						
1295	10 8/16	547	6¾	691	" 2	1295	10 8/16	547	6¾	691	" 2	14645	7						
74	2 12/16	31	5½	27	15 10	74	2 12/16	31	5½	27	15 10	1278	2 4/16						
564	10 8/16	267	6½	223	- 8	564	10 8/16	267	6½	223	" 8	10086	8 8/16						
113	11 8/16	85	4½	106	13 "	113	11 8/16	85	4½	106	13 "	1986	15						
123	9 4/16	52	3¼	40	5 3	123	9 14/16	52	3¼	40	5 3	2274	5 12/16						
83	15 12/16	35	8	42	11 6	83	15 8/16	35	8	42	11 6	1604	13 4/16						
780	9 8/16	335	11½	343	13 3	830	6 8/16	356	12¼	369	" 3	10583	1 4/16						
211	14 8/16	89	9½	96	9 4	211	11 8/16	89	9½	96	9 4	3916	2						
140	15 11/16	59	9¾	65	8 2	140	15 4/16	59	9¾	65	8 2	2367	12						
374	7 8/16	158	3½	200	4 9	374	7 8/16	153	3½	200	4 9	6212	7						
4871	7 11/16	2130	5½	2749	13 11	4960	9	2168	"	2488	5 2	69989	7 8/16						
										2793	10 9	2793	10 9	5011	8	2117	5½	2880	13 8
1977	12¾	2793	10 9																
501	8	453	4 "							453	" "	453	4 "	1361	10	575	3 3/4	490	6 8
2115	9	2994	13 2							6	4 "	2988	9 2	5436	10 12/16	2296	15¾	3130	12 8
1761	13½	2204	10 6							"	" "	2204	10 6	4169	15 4/16	1761	13½	2204	10 6
6187	11¼	8454	2 9							19	" 8	8433	2 1	15731	2 8/16	6392	14¾	5331	14 3
540	"	523	1 "							"	" 1	523	" 11	1432	5 4/16	605	2¼	563	6 8
4812	5¾	4130	8 6							3	11 7	4126	12 11	10153	6 8/16	4840	9¾	4137	11 3
1490	3½	1846	10 6							"	" "	1846	10 6	2051	5	1517	6¾	1878	17
960	4¾	743	" 6							"	" "	743	" 6	2289	13 12/16	967	7½	744	8 6
678	1	831	15 9							"	" "	831	15 9	1644	15 4/16	694	15½	835	7 7
1589	13¾	5535	15 7							8	13 9	5527	1 10	10888	14 4/16	4719	1	5331	7 2
1634	8	1838	5 6							7	14 7	1830	6 11	4273	11	1805	9¼	1921	1 2
1000	5¼	1030	12 7							73	7 9	957	4 10	3423	4	1446	4½	1250	11 "
2624	12	3503	15 11							113	6 9	3390	9 2	6356	15	2685	12¾	3460	22
30890	6½	36834	15 "							232	11 2	66652	3 10	73625	8 8/16	32426	10½	37611	2 10

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Revenue
Punjab.
Money Taxes.

Land.	Assessment.		Deduct Exams &c.		Balance.		
99	100		101		102		
Coorie	as	Cawe	as		Rs	A	P
4,990	5 4/16	59	14		369	"	4
15077	4	12	15		146	5	2
108537	14	117	"		841	6	7
"	"	"	"		"	"	"
6356	5 8/16	29	123/11		201	11	"
22269	14	15	1/2		310	12	"
92732	2	62	9 1/2		933	4	4
15179	8	10	4		143	4	1
114145	2	7	12		107	5	10
8439	2	5	11		92	9	1
43205	14	63	3 3/4		474	1	2
14480	2	15	9		142	12	10
23358	14	26	2		243	3	11
"	"	"	"		"	"	"
404372	6 12/16	423	13 1/2		4027	14	4

Land Garden Paying Educt Exams &c.								
Land			Assessment.					
101			102					
Coorie	as	Cawe	as		Rs	A	P	
1912	3	"	2	8	22	11	6	
1250	12	"	1	1	12	3	2	
139	8	"	"	2 1/2	"	11	9	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
96	1	"	"	7 1/4	3	13	1	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
246	8	"	"	2 3/4	2	8	4	
1158	"	"	"	12 1/2	10	"	10	
712	6	"	"	7 3/4	6	13	"	
803	14	"	"	8 3/4	12	11	1	
2614	4	"	3	12 1/2	31	3	4	
423	"	"	"	7 1/4	4	13	8	
1054	"	"	1	2 1/2	14	1	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
10470	8	"	11	8 3/4	121	14	1	

Balance.								
Land.			Assessment					
103			104					
Coorie	as	Cawe	as		Rs	A	P	
41048	2 4/16	57	6		346	1	10	
13826	8	11	14		134	2	"	
108698	6	116	13 1/2		840	10	10	
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	
6260	2 8/16	29	3 1/2		197	13	11	
22269	14	15	1/2		310	12	"	
92485	10	62	6 3/4		952	12	"	
14021	8	9	7 1/2		133	3	3	
10732	12	7	4 1/4		100	8	10	
7635	4	5	2 1/4		79	14	"	
40591	10	59	7 1/4		442	13	10	
14057	2	15	1 3/4		137	15	2	
22274	14	24	15 1/4		229	4	7	
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	
393901	11 12/16	414	4 3/4		3809	"	3	

Deduct Exams &c.								
Assessment								
105								
Rs	A	P						
"	"	"						
"	"	"						
"	5	"						
"	"	"						
8	10	6						
1	14	"						
3	13	1						
"	"	"						
"	"	"						
"	"	"						
"	"	"						
2	7							
"	"	"						
2	"	6						
11	7	3						
"	"	"						
28	4	11						

Balance.								
106								
Rs	A	P						
346	1	10						
134	2	"						
840	5	10						
"	"	"						
189	3	6						
308	14	"						
948	14	11						
133	3	3						
100	8	10						
79	11	6						
442	13	10						
135	14	8						
217	13	4						
"	"	"						
3877	11	4						

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.—

Land Revenue / Contd.

Poonjah / Contd.

Money

Land.		Assessment.		Total Land Revenue.		Jaziah Fish Rents.		Tree Tax.—		Collections. Sundry Taxes.		Total.	Total Land Revenue including Money Collections.	Berij of July 1259.		Increase		Decrease.	
107				108		109		110		111		112		113		114		115	
Coorhs.	as	Cawr	as	Cawr	as	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
5011	8	4.1048	2 4/16	2.174	11½	3.226	15	6 29.800	9 9	723	12 2	332	2 .	859	4 5	1915	5 7	31.715	15 4
1361	10	1.3826	8	687	13/16	624	8 8	12.539	10 1	139	13 11	352	14 10	659	6 5	1145	3 2	13.704	13 3
5436	10 12/16	1.08698	6	2.413	13¼	3.971	2 6	24.955	2 1	1111	5 7	839	5 10	1071	4 8	2056	" 1	27.010	2 2
4169	25 4/16	"	"	1.761	13½	2.204	10 6	11.558	12 10	98	3 9	"	" "	194	5 7	792	9 4	11.851	6 2
15731	2 8/16	6.260	4 8/16	6.422	14/16	8.721	1 8	35.109	2 "	348	" 5	1598	13 3	3343	14 5	5.270	12 1	40.379	14 1
1432	5 4/16	2.2269	14	620	2¾	872	4 8	9.013	1 8	111	2 11	299	10 6	600	14 7	1011	12 "	10.024	13 8
10153	6 8/16	9.2485	10	4.903	½	3.086	10 2	30.634	" 6	239	5 "	1483	5 9	1304	10 3	3.027	5 2	33.661	5 8
2.051	5	14.021	8	1.526	11¼	2.011	4 10	9.017	2 3	63	15 7	423	6 3	400	15 7	888	14 7	9.905	6 10
2289	13 12/16	1.0732	12	974	4¾	845	1 4	16.680	9 6	145	13 10	278	8 3	842	7 9	1266	13 10	17.947	7 4
1644	15 4/16	7.635	4	700	1¾	915	3 "	14.380	" 8	100	" 2	314	10 6	751	12 10	1166	7 6	15.746	8 2
10888	14 4/16	4.0591	10	4.778	8¼	6.024	5 "	19.407	2 1	1021	8 7	378	10 9	1127	3 7	2667	6 11	22.074	9 "
4273	11	1.4057	2	1.820	11	2.056	15 10	13.726	7 8	670	2 7	190	3 1	678	15 "	1546	4 8	16.671	12 4
3423	4	2.2274	14	1.471	4	1.468	8 4	16.617	6 "	1302	8 1	181	13 7	754	15 8	2239	5 4	18.856	11 4
6386	15	"	"	2.685	17¾	3.460	2 2	30.295	7 6	1125	6 2	474	12 6	1666	1 1	3266	3 9	33.561	11 3
73625	8 8/16	39.3901	14 12/16	32.840	15¼	41.488	11 2	2.76.354	10 7	6231	7 9	7.274	4 3	14249	7 "	27.757	14 "	3.03.112	8 7
																		64913	3 5
																		12643	8 "
																		9050	" "
																		2041	13 "
																		42	6 "
																		3.91.803	7 "

116		117																	
Rs	As	Rs	As																
6602	4 4	"	" "																
4003	2 9	"	" "																
2077	13 6	"	" "																
"	" "	491	2 1																
"	" "	4128	13 3																
"	" "	7	7																
3997	15 1	"	" "																
"	" "	1886	10 6																
"	" "	3112	9 11																
3970	13 3	"	" "																
5566	10 4	"	" "																
5329	4 1	"	" "																
7147	2 9	"	" "																
2292	8 "	"	" "																
40987	10 1	14339	11 6																
30	3 2	"	" "																
"	" "	"	" "																
"	" "	"	" "																
"	" "	"	" "																
"	" "	58	9 1																
40147	13 3	14098	4 7																

Madura 11th July 1851.

Net Increase — 76919 8 8

E. E. — Signed P. D. Parker. Collector. Abstract.

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Land Revenue / Contd.

Poonjah / Contd.

Land.	Assess-ment.	Total Land Revenue.	Sunk Fish Rent.	Tree Tax.	Collections. Sundry Taxes.	Total.	Total Land Revenue including Money Collections.	Berij of July 1259.	Increase	Decrease.
107		108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117
Coorks as Cawe as Cawe as	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
5011 8 41048 2 4/16 7174 11 1/2	3226 15 6	29800 9 9	723 12 2	332 2 .		859 7 5	1915 5 7	31715 15 4	25113 11 "	6602 4 4	" " "
1361 10 13826 8 587 13/16	624 8 8	12539 10 1	139 13 11	352 14 18		659 6 5	1145 3 2	13704 13 3	9701 10 6	4003 2 9	" " "
5436 10 12/16 108698 6 2413 13 1/4	3971 2 6	24953 2 1	1111 5 7	839 5 10		1071 4 8	2056 " 1	27010 2 2	24932 4 8	7077 13 6	" " "
4109 25 4/16 " " 1761 13 1/2	2204 10 6	11558 12 10	98 3 9	" " "		194 5 7	292 9 4	11851 6 2	14762 8 3	" " "	1911 2 1
15731 2 8/16 6260 4 8/16 6422 14 1/2	8721 1 8	35109 2 "	348 " 5	1598 13 3		3343 14 5	5270 12 1	40379 14 1	44508 11 6	" " "	4128 13 5
1432 5 4/16 22269 14 620 23/4	872 4 8	9013 1 8	111 2 11	799 10 6		600 14 7	1011 12 "	10024 13 8	10025 5 3	" " "	" 7 7
10153 6 8/16 92485 10 4903 1/2	3086 10 2	30634 " 6	239 5 "	1483 5 9		1304 10 5	3027 5 2	33661 5 8	29663 6 7	3997 15 1	" " "
2051 5 14021 8 1526 11 1/4	2011 4 10	9017 2 3	63 15 7	423 5 5		400 15 7	888 4 7	9905 6 10	11792 1 4	" " "	1886 10 6
2289 13 12/16 10732 12 974 4 3/4	845 1 4	16680 9 6	1145 13 10	278 8 3		842 7 9	1266 13 10	17947 7 4	21060 1 3	" " "	3112 9 11
1644 15 4/16 7635 4 700 1 3/4	915 3 "	14380 " 8	100 " 2	314 10 6		751 12 10	1166 7 6	15746 8 2	11775 10 11	3970 13 3	" " "
10888 14 4/16 40591 10 4778 8 1/4	6024 5 "	19407 2 1	1021 8 7	378 10 9		1127 3 7	2667 6 11	27074 9 "	16507 14 8	5566 10 4	" " "
4273 11 14057 2 1870 11	2066 15 10	13126 7 8	670 2 7	196 3 1		678 15 "	1546 4 8	16671 12 4	11342 8 3	5329 4 1	" " "
3423 4 22274 14 1471 4	1468 8 4	16617 6 "	1302 8 1	181 13 7		754 13 8	2239 5 4	18856 11 4	11709 8 7	7147 2 9	" " "
6356 15 " " 2685 17 3/4	3460 2 2	30795 7 6	1125 6 2	474 12 6		1666 1 1	3266 3 9	33561 11 3	31269 3 3	2292 8 "	" " "
73625 8 8/16 393901 14 12/16	32840 13 1/4	41488 11 2	275354 10 7	6231 7 9	7274 4 3	14249 7 "	27757 14 "	303112 8 7	276164 10 "	40987 10 1	14339 11 6
								64913 3 5	64883 " 3	30 3 2	" " "
								12643 8 "	12643 8 "	" " "	" " "
								9050 " "	9050 " "	" " "	" " "
								2041 13 "	2041 13 "	" " "	" " "
								42 6 "	100 15 1	" " "	58 9 1
								391803 7 "	364883 14 4	41017 13 3	14098 4 7
									Nett Increase	26919 8 8	

Madura 11th July 1851.

E. E. (Signed) R. D. Parker.
Collector. Abstract.

9147 George

No. 3 Assistant

12th August 1852.

of Chevagungah.

No.	Name	Cumbo. Rs	Cumbo. A	Cumbo. P	Sannay Rs	Sannay A	Sannay P	Kada Sheray Rs	Kada Sheray A	Kada Sheray P	Kada Runny Rs	Kada Runny A	Kada Runny P	Grains Eroongoo Rs	Grains Eroongoo A	Grains Eroongoo P	Grains Varagoo Rs	Grains Varagoo A	Grains Varagoo P
1	Kanoor	"	10	6	"	10	4	"	12	"	"	"	4	"	8	4	"	4	4
2	Nallana	"	10	2	"	9	5	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	3
3	Aroodoo	"	8	5	"	10	6	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	8	"	"	4	5
4	Mallacot	"	12	5	"	10	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	3
5	Sooroopa	"	12	3	"	11	5	"	15	6	"	"	"	"	10	1	"	4	4
6	Sakay	"	10	4	"	10	"	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	8	"
7	Amaravat	"	8	8	"	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	8	"
8	Sooroovagoo	"	11	"	"	5	2	"	9	"	"	"	4	"	"	4	"	4	"
9	Oroovatty	"	11	"	"	10	"	"	12	8	"	"	"	"	10	4	"	4	2
10	Mungalum	"	10	3	"	8	8	"	11	6	"	8	"	"	4	10	"	6	11
11	Yemaniah	"	15	8	"	10	3	"	12	2	"	11	3	"	4	11	"	6	6
12	Parthelan	"	11	3	"	10	4	"	11	8	"	8	5	"	8	4	"	4	4
13	Mannah	"	10	6	"	10	5	"	10	3	"	11	"	"	8	6	"	4	1
14	Sooroopoo	"	11	7	"	10	2	"	11	10	"	11	"	"	8	4	"	4	2
		"	15	8	"	11	5	"	15	6	"	11	3	"	10	4	"	5	3
		"	8	5	"	5	2	"	9	"	"	8	"	"	4	10	"	6	6

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

grains boothcora valee		Rape =seed		Green Gram		Black Gram		Thova =ray		Poney Payer		Lamp Oilseed		Horse Gram		Cheetty Payer		Moochey	
17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25		26	
Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
"	7 10	4	3 8	"	" "	1	15 "	1	2 10	1	10 "	2	1 "	1	2 2	"	" "	1	12 4
"	6 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 5	3	7 9	2	8 11	2	" 11	1	4 3	2	14 "	2	12 "	"	15 11	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	3	8 "	2	10 "	1	14 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1	9 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 "	4	1 1	2	12 "	2	2 "	1	6 9	"	" "	2	7 10	1	1 6	2	" 5	2	3 2
"	8 1	3	6 "	"	" "	1	5 4	1	1 "	"	" "	"	" "	1	" 4	"	" "	"	" "
"	8 9	3	10 8	"	" "	1	12 "	1	1 "	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 1	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 "	3	7 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	15 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	15 7	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	15 6	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 3	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	14 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	14 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	6 7	2	12 "	"	" "	2	2 "	"	15 10	"	" "	"	" "	1	2 "	"	" "	"	" "
"	7 5	3	3 9	"	" "	"	" "	1	" 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	11 "	"	" "	1	" "
"	6 11	3	10 1	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 5	1	1 10	2	2 8	"	" "	1	2 1	1	" "
"	6 "	3	5 11	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 4	"	" "	"	" "	1	1 "	1	8 10	"	9 9
"	8 9	4	3 8	2	12 "	2	2 "	1	6 9	2	14 "	2	12 "	1	9 4	2	" 5	2	3 5
"	6 "	2	12 "	2	8 11	1	5 4	"	14 5	1	1 10	2	1 "	"	11 "	1	2 1	"	9 9

Rate of Price of Paddy Contracted for Fasly 1260.

Highest per Colum Rs 8 8

" 15 "

Lowest " — " — " 10 " 6

Madura 11th July 1851 Ea

Ea Jas (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

9149

No 4 Demand Collection and Balance of Sh

No	Talooks	Demand or Settlement of Fusly 1260. Ready money			Value of Paddy			Total			Collections up Ready money		
		RS	A	P	RS	A	P	RS	A	P	RS	A	P
1	Canoor	13063	15	7	18651	15	9	31715	15	4	10661	3	"
2	Nallarasen cottay	1732	9	2	11972	4	1	13704	13	3	1172	1	2
3	Auroorvattagay	5901	15	6	21108	2	8	27010	2	2	5195	3	1
4	Mallacottay	3090	14	3	8760	7	11	11851	6	2	2819	7	4
5	Tripatoor	15005	9	2	25374	4	11	40379	14	1	13862	"	4
6	Lahy	1875	12	3	8149	1	5	10024	13	8	1658	14	10
7	Amravathey	8194	4	9	25467	"	11	33661	5	8	6959	1	11
8	Terovagomput	2870	6	6	7035	"	4	9905	6	10	2623	11	1
9	Oroovatty	2110	7	2	15834	"	2	17944	7	4	1950	4	9
10	Mungalum	2078	6	11	13668	1	3	15746	8	2	1807	"	7
11	Emna swarom	9286	"	8	12788	8	4	22074	9	"	7107	2	9
12	Parithapanoor	4004	"	4	12663	12	"	16671	12	4	2113	8	6
13	Marnaudoo	3759	4	9	15097	6	7	18856	11	4	2196	5	3
14	Terooppovanom	8532	6	3	25029	5	"	33561	11	3	5544	12	8
	Total	81506	1	3	221606	7	4	303112	8	7	65870	13	3
	Poroopoo on Durmasanom &c &c	64913	3	5	"	"	"	64913	3	5	56611	14	1
	Revenue of Poliputs	12643	8	"	"	"	"	12643	8	"	11460	6	6
	Village Rent	9050	"	"	"	"	"	9050	"	"	9050	"	"
	Fixed rent on Eliattoo Coody Puliputs	2041	13	"	"	"	"	2041	13	"	2041	13	"
	Land Revenue	42	6	"	"	"	"	42	6	"	"	"	"
	Total	88690	14	5	"	"	"	88690	14	5	79164	1	7
	Grand Total	170196	15	8	221606	7	4	391803	7	"	145034	14	10

From

Nagunge

Balance to the Value of Paddy			Value of Paddy			Total		
RS	A	P	RS	A	P	RS	A	P
1695	2	10	1008	15	8	1103	1	6
921	9	6	586	7	"	656	14	10
778	9	10	771	1	"	779	11	6
"	"	"	573	4	10	580	9	8
724	2	9	4005	"	8	4262	3	5
187	1	9	1812	2	11	1828	12	8
170	13	1	17660	6	3	17789	7	4
676	6	7	"	"	"	150	15	7
1208	12	5	1177	7	6	1198	"	11
943	12	4	4955	4	11	5100	8	3
2189	13	9	164	8	3	686	15	"
1881	11	8	3303	11	6	4162	5	2
702	14	10	1284	15	6	1667	7	4
2166	1	10	2988	8	11	4100	14	9
14248	14	"	40291	14	11	44067	15	11
		10	"	"	"	3254	12	10
		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		9	"	"	"	369	2	9
		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	42	6	"
		"	"	"	"	3666	5	7
14248	14	7	40291	14	11	47734	5	6
		1	23730	15	8	26901	14	9
		6	16560	15	3	20832	6	9

Collector.

Batta to Coorgoor Cutcherry deumts 1 1 1 — 3332 0

" on account of Fusly 1260 " " " 45 15 "

Extra Allowances

Amany

Ready money 33741 10

Remitted by government — 5 — 66051 8 8

" — 1 — 114803 9 6

" — 1 — 147933 5 1

" — 1 — 144924 11 3

(illegible) 1859

9151

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Collections on account of arrears—

From 12th July 1851 to 31st January 1852.

Fusly 1244		14 113
" 1245		1 " 6
" 1250		" 76
" 1251		" 76
" 1252		" 76
" 1253		" 76
" 1254		38 143
" 1255		54465
" 1256		150324
" 1257		447125
1258	2808 142	
1259	36305 1411	
	45690 103	
unemployed periods	51 3 9	
Sale proceeds of Tycotah Bullocks	61 " " "	17456 10 1
		308260 66
		503215 19

Paid on account of Pishcush of the Zemindary for following Fuslies

1259	34571 156	
1260	172423 14 "	
1261	40500 " "	
	" " "	247495 136

Pay of Sibbendies

Hoozoor Cutcherry	457 " "	
managers Cutcherry	3768 2 4	
Talook Cutcherries	14811 102	
		19136 126

Saderward.

Hoozoor Cutcherry	68 3 3	
Managers Cutcherry	280 102	
Talook Cutcherries	450 4 "	
		799 1 5
Batta paid to Hoozoor Cutcherry Servants while on circuit	" " "	25 1 3

Extra Sibbendies

Hoozoor Cutcherry	139 8 5	
Employed for preparing Chittahs for Fusly 1260	289 13 11	
Managers Cutcherry	381 11 8	
Zemindars life time under sanction of Court of Wards dated 1st July 1850	" " "	11 1 5
Quit Rent for the Zemindars Bungalows	" " "	437 5 10 11
Commission to Government at 1/2 per Cent for Fusly 1260	" " "	52006 139
		299582 11 3
Balance in the Hoozoor Treasury	" " " 24001 17	48676
" in the Managers Treasury	" " " 13137 14 7	15538 98
		503215 19

Madura, 31st January 1852. E. E. Yt. (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Settlement

Rs	13	8
Talook		
Rs		
660	1	1
2188	1	1
5552	6	9
181	12	3
1150	1	4
1693	13	5
251	5	6
116	2	4
584	13	1
230	12	5
11	3	1
1561	1	6
222	13	4
236	15	5
112	1	10
64	3	11
33	10	1
321	3	6
463	12	9
159	2	"
499	11	11
11061	10	8
24522	13	1

Fort St George 12th August 1852

Tusly 1260 (Continued)

In Kind

Dry Grain Quantity			Dry Grain Its Value			Total Quantity			Total Its Value			Total including Ready Money			Difference Increase			Difference Decrease		
14			15			16			17			18			19			20		
Cullums	M×	M^rs	Rs	a	P	Cullums	M×	M^rs	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
57	11	1/2	40	6	2	802	7	1/2	700	10	3	759	"	4						
25	8	1/2	18	3	1	300	5	5	266	10	2	328	9	8						
119	6	5	64	11	8	769	10	2 1/2	617	2	5	671	10	11						
2	3	1/2	1	3	4	197	2	4 1/2	182	15	7	259	12	7						
194	4	1	117	14	4	1199	2	4 1/2	1268	5	8	1465	3	2						
56	4	1	33	10	2	2153	11	"	2027	7	7	2315	14	11						
472	6	3	249	5	10	788	5	5	500	11	4	585	1	10						
21	1	2 1/2	10	8	4	151	8	3 1/2	126	10	8	141	"	6						
60	8	"	29	12	2	706	2	5 1/4	611	9	3	874	"	4						
10	6	2 1/2	7	5	2	263	7	1/2	238	1	7	259	13	8						
2	11	5	1	3	1	83	6	2	73	4	10	88	8	7						
251	10	2 1/2	185	13	3	1885	2	5	1746	11	9	2164	12	8						
36	8	5 3/4	26	11	9	268	"	5 3/8	249	9	8	382	13	9						
176	7	5 1/2	128	9	5	2560	9	3	2498	8	10	2659	12	4						
99	"	2 1/2	67	5	3	116	10	2 1/2	81	13	1	112	15	3						
198	2	"	141	4	6	249	10	1	205	8	5	247	6	10						
30	8	1/2	22	2	1	71	"	4 1/2	55	12	2	87	7	7						
60	5	5	37	"	11	502	11	1 1/2	440	13	8	519	12	3						
199	"	3 1/2	148	5	9	188	7	1/2	472	9	3	673	6	2						
45	6	5	29	3	3	192	2	"	188	5	3	508	15	8						
269	9	2 1/2	199	"	8	692	1	1 1/2	608	12	7	736	13	9						
2392	"	2/4	1560	10	4	14446	6	5/8	13165	5	"	15563	"	9	289	"	7	3255	5	7
															15241	4	4			
															Net Decrease			1730	7	3
583	9	"	399	"	"	3149	3	1/2	2851	13	7	3396	11	7	43	11	9			

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

9154

9155 Fort St George 12th August 1852

No 8. Demand Collection and Balance of Peishcush of Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1260 up to the end of January 1252

Fusly	Demand	Collections		Total	Balance
		Up to the end of Fusly 1260 or 11th July 1851	Subsequent Collections up to 31st January 1852		
1	2	3	4	5	6
1260	2,62,500	90,076 2 -	172423 14 -	262500 - - - - - -	

Madura
31st January 1852

E E per (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

9154

9155 Fort St George 12th August 1852

No 8. Demand Collection and Balance of Peishcush of Shevagunga Zemindary for Fusly 1260 up to the end of January 1252

Fusly	Demand	Collections		Total	Balance
		Up to the end of Fusly 1260 or 11th July 1851	Subsequent Collections up to 31st January 1852		
1	2	3	4	5	6
1260	2,62,500	90,076 2 -	172423 14 .	262500	

Madura
31st January 1852

E E per (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

9157

Fort St George 12th August 1852

Months	Particulars	Amount	
December	Do for filing copy of a Magistrates Decree in A.S. No 7 of 1849	10	- -
	Do for presenting a Motion for recovery of balance of kists against certain properties under attachment of the Moonsiffs Court	5	- -
	Fees paid to the Courts Vakeel, under the provisions of Section 35 Regulation 14 of 1816 for presenting 81 Miscellaneous Petitions at 4 annas each	20	4 -
	Value of Stamp Paper for filing a Petition for recovery of Balances of Peishcush due to the Zemindary	23	8 -
	Fee to the Vakeel on that account	-	12 -
	Total Company's Rupees	289	6 -
		3,441	4 4

Madura, 31st January 1852.

EE for (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Despatched 26th June 1852

Memorandum

Before Sub Court

O.S. No 112 of 1851 for recovery of Rs 2,404-6-7, being balance due by Moottoosawmy Pillay Paddy Contractor in Fusly 1258 Vide Board's Proceedings 21st July 1851.-

O.S. No 37 of 1847 filed before the Minority To set aside certain Cowles on the grounds that the Lands were not entirely waste when so granted and that the cowles were fraudulently obtained.

Before Civil Court

A.S. No 39 of 1850 - - after Do - - - - -

O.S. No 4 of Do for recovery of Kists sustained by the resale of Paddy in Fusly 1258 Vide Boards Proceedings 18th November 1850 decided in favor of Zemindar.-

Before Sudr Court

A.S. No 7 of 1849. Regarding the Proprietorship of the Zemindary.

A.S. No 1 of 1851 brought by Mr Bethsam for recovery of 34,833-5-4 Principal and Interest for services to be performed in England relative to the suit before the Privy Council decided in favor of Zemindar.-

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

9158 | rt St George

N:10. Stat | hevagangah

12th Aug | up to th

1259

Fusly

Items		Recoverable			Doubtful			
		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
1. Value of Pa		4.131	7	-	-	-	-	
2. Dc of Dc ag		3,057	12	9	-	-	-	
3. Dc of Dc en3		6,719	3	3	-	-	-	
4. Lofs to be c								
. of certain		-	-	-	-	-	-	
of annonas								
5. Value of 32		258	1	2	-	-	-	ewer by Natchy appah Chetty which
6. Dc to be co		-	-	-				l in the accounts. The remainder is
7. Value of D								the Chetty before the Estate came
Chetty and a		-	-	-	-	-	-	onstitute a balance due to the zemin-
Mungalam								therefore included in this Statement:-
8. Dc of Dc for								15-8 Of the remainder viz Rs 1412-2-4
during the		-	-	-				Paddy equal to 230-4-2
delivere								has been appropriated by
9. Lofs sus								10-10 Adjustments are to be } Ryots to their own
the Settl		-	-	-				10-6 made for this in future } use.-
10. Value to								
the late Z		-	-	-	-	-	-	
11 Value to d								y adjustment is to be made in
advanced		-	-	-	-	-	-	
12 Double en		-	-	-	12	12	-	
13 Tax of C		-	-	-				sold - It is already under
14 Balance								
one Pully c		-	-	-	-	-	-	
		14.166	8	2	12	12	-	

Paddy and Grain

of s balance	Rec						
Rs	a	p					
			emission				
			anted by				
12	2	3	e Zemindar.				
				18			
				Rs	a	p	
13	2	-		-	-	-	
				-	-	-	
				-	-	-	
				-	-	-	
						309	1 1
						161	14 11
2	9	8	6			297	- 11
						125	5 10
17	5	9				893	6 9
						488	- 2
						1,058	6 10
2	9	12	6			-	- -
						-	- -
9	9	9				1546	7 -
						2439	13 9
67	2	6					

Fort St George

Fusly 1258

recoverable			Doubtful			Irrecoverable			Gross Balance			Recovered		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
"	"	"	"	"	"	712	2	3	712	3	11	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	13	2	"	13	2	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	20	8	6									
"	"	"	29	8	6	"	"	"	65	3	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	20	10	9	296	11	"	381	4	6	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	29	12	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9	99	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	167	26	"	"	"	"	167	26	"	"	"	"

P.C.B.

Fusly 1259

12th Ar

Doubt			Balance			Recove			
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
									h this is the Balance has never been col- lance up to Fusly 1249 was remitted by orders mitted - as regards the balance of Fuslies 1250 r.-
			14	3	"				e Zemindar.
			4	6	"	18			
			"	"	"	Cds	a	p	
			"	6	14	6	"	"	
			5	7	"	5	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	
			"	"	"	309	1	1	
						161	14	11	
			"	"	"	297	-	11	
			1	5	228	125	5	10	
			"	"	"	893	6	9	
									rders of the late Zemindar dated 8th en the Head of ctyn from the said accordingly have been deducted ders have been sent to that effect
			7	"	"	488	-	2	
						1058	6	10	
						"	"	"	in excess by measurement irregularly
			9	3	"	"	"	"	o is very poor.-
			5	9	174	1546	7	"	age was paying Poro-poro at the rate late Zemindar ordered an increase 15 Fanams were fixed per Varyaty in ietor having refused to pay the Col. d. but the same to be entered in necessary enquiry is made on
			7	13	11	1541			
			14	10		2439	13	9	
			12	8					
			11	6					

Fort St. George

Fusly 1258.

Irrecoverable.			Doubtful.			Irrecoverable.			Gross Balance.			Recoverable.		
	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
	-	-	24	14	3	-	-	-	24	12	6	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	47	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	8	11	-	-	-
	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1703	14	9	1703	14	9
	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	151	13	8	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	3	9	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	14	6	-	-	-
	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	242	-	-	242	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	15	1	100	15	1
	-	-	28	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	57	9	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	9	7	6	328	4	3	1108	10	-	3264	13	5	2046	13	10
	2	14	5	378	2	6	2309	13	-	17475	9	9	16213	6	-
										5683	9	8			
										1774	13	3			
										7458	6	11			

12 th

1259

Do

Average

Collection

| | | | | | | | | | |

Fort St George

12th August 1852

Collections during the Zemindar's life time.

Total of Columns 17 and 18.	Balance deducting the amount in Col.n 19 from Col.n 5) on the 31st December 1848.	From January to March inclusive of Fusly 1258 as per monthly account current of sea.ng Cutcherry.	cts per Huskul Jrsalnamahs received at the Collectors Cutcherry after the est.t the Court of Wards up to the end of Dec.r		from the Talooks was taken under of Fusly 1261.	Since the Est.te to come under the Court of Wards:-					
			Amount adjusted.	To be adjusted.	T.o tal.	From April to the end of July of Fusly 1258.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261 up to the end of December 1851.	Total of Cols 25 to 28 inclusive.	
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	
103 3 9	97 1 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
- - -	59 12 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
- - -	119 7 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
340 7 1	1208 13 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5 3 9	
164 12 5	1124 15 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5 3 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	
5240 6 9	3156 10 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
147061 14 8	24934 11 5	9 8 5	31 5 5	35 3 7	65 14 5	2 7 3	57 10 1	3 12.	- - -	63 13 4	
152910 12 8	30701 7 10	9 8 5	31.5 5 .	69 12 7	101 2.	2 7 3.	32 8 10	5 2 7	- - -	90 2 8	
422619 12 10	6107 2 -	109 4 7	2342 2 11	36 13 2	2379 - 1	6 15 7	278 8 3	46 12 6	38 14 3	371 2 7	
432193 6 5	83347 6 2	5386 6 -	38052 9 4	1094 9 9.	39147 3 1	329 3 7	897 4.5	264 5 6	499 8 -	1990 5 6	
268648 9 4	133586 14 11	2146 9 - 1	92913 6 6	957 4 5	93870 10 11	218 1 1	906 1 4	294 13 3	1418 12 9	2837 12 5	
149740 15 3	363014 4 11	9034 9 10 7	211920 5 1	138 9 10	212058 14 11	1223 - 8	3324 1 7	5696 7 3	4366 4 2	14609 13 8	
1273202 11 10	586055 12 -	11731 4 5 3	345228 7 10	2227 5 2	347455 13 -	1777 4 11	5405 15 7	6302 6 6	6323 7 2	19809 2 2	
1426113 8 6	616757 3 10	11732 3 13 8	345259 13 3	2297 1 9	347556 15 -	1779 12 2	5488 8 5	6307 9 1	6323 7 2	19899 4 10	

Fort St George

12th August 1852

Collection

Deduction

Income of some of the assumed Villages erroneously entered under the Head of Inam instead of to that of Survey Summah.			Amount of entries under wrong Heads.			Excess Collection			Total.			Balance.			Add amount improperly entered under wrong Heads.		
30			31			32			33			34			35		
Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	9	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	1	7	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	13	4	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90	2	8	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	10	5	84	3	5	84	13 (13)	10	2864	9		-	-	-
-	-	-	16	8	5	92	6		75	3	2	1915	2	4	3	10	7
-	-	-	7	13	9	2	-	10	9	14	7	2827	13	10	17	4	8
41	11	11	29	1	4	2	7	6	73	4	9	14536	8	11	14	7	1
41	11	11	53	10	2	147	14	3	243	4	4	19565	13	10	35	6	4
41	11	11	53	10	2	147	14	3	243	4	4	19656	-	6	35	6	4

Total of Columns 34 and 35.			Total of Columns 21. 24. & 36.			Balance.			Particulars thereof					
									Ready Money.			Paddy & ca grain.		
36			37			38			39.			40		
Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p
-	-	-	-	-	-	97	1	8	97	1	8	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	59	12	1	59	12	1	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	119	7	1	119	7	1	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	1203	9	7	895	7	2	308	2	5
5	3	9	5	3	9	1124	15	8	887	8	8	237	7	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	3100	5	5	1650	14	3	1449	7	2
21	1	7	56	5	2	24795	7	3	1214	10	1	23580	13	2
63	13	4	139	4	2	30500	10	9	4924	13	-	25575	13	9
90	2	8	200	13	1									
2864	9		2774	9	5	3332	8	7	1808	-	7	1524	8	-
1918	12	11	46452	6	-	36895	-	2	2407	6	3	34487	9	11
2845	2	6	118184	13	6	15402	1	5	1980	15	2	13421	2	3
14551	-	-	31695	9	6	46054	11	5	2770	2	1	43284	9	4
19601	4	2	484371	6	5	101684	5	7	8966	8	1	92717	13	6
19691	6	10	484572	3	6	132185	-	4	13891	5	1	118293	11	3

9164 | Fort St George 12th August 1852

Subsequent collection to the end of April of Fusly 1261 or 1852.-

Balance.																	
Ready Money.			Paddy & other Grain.			Total.			Ready Money.			Paddy & other grain.			Total.		
41			42			43			44			45			46		
Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97	1	8	-	-	-	97	1	8
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	12	1	-	-	-	59	12	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119	7	1	-	-	-	119	7	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	7	6	-	-	-	-	7	6	894	15	8	308	2	5	1203	2	1
-	7	6	-	-	-	-	7	6	887	1	2	237	7	-	1124	8	2
-	7	6	-	-	-	-	7	6	1650	6	9	1449	7	2	3099	13	11
-	7	6	-	-	-	-	7	6	1214	2	7	23580	13	2	24794	15	9
1	14	-	-	-	-	1	14	-	4922	15	-	25575	13	9	30498	12	9
-	-	-	90	-	3	90	-	3	1808	-	7	1434	7	9	3242	8	4
41	5	1	366	3	11	407	9	-	2366	1	2	34121	6	-	36487	7	2
7	9	2	341	7	-	413	-	2	1909	6	-	13079	11	3	14989	1	3
109	2	11	568	-	8	677	3	7	2660	15	2	42716	8	8	45377	7	10
222	1	2	1365	11	10	1587	13	-	8744	6	11	91352	1	8	100096	8	7
223	15	2	1365	11	10	1589	11	-	13667	5	11	116927	15	5	130595	5	4

Madura, - - - - }
11th July, 1851 - - - }

EE ffr / Signed R. D. Parker
Collector.

Statement

9165

No 12 Statement shewing the Collection and Disbursements of Sayer Revenue of Fusly 1258 and 1259.-

Fort St George 12th August 1852

Fusly 1259. Fusly 1258. Total.

Items.	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p
Collections - - - Assessed Taxes	2919	14	4	21340	14	4			
Sunday or Private Lands	-	-	-	21	-	-			
Sayer Summah or Extra Revenue	12	14	5	2921	1				
	2	8		79	2	8			
	-	3	3	20	-	11			
Total	2933	-	-	21653	4	8720			
Disbursements - - - - Sayer Establishment	637	11	5	1276	5	638	9	8
	1363	15	6	14727	15	1363	15	6
Manamut	81	3	6	662	6	8	743	10	2
Total	8083	12	8	8664	1	7	16747	14	3
Balance	-	-	-	-	-	-	4905	2	1

Amount sent to the Civil Court as per Letter dated 19th October 1850 - - - - 4557 8 8
Amount paid to Sharazy by Ramen Chetty of Madura as per the Nazir - - - - 347 9 5
received from the Dist Division Jemindar

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Madura &c - - - - - }
26th June, 1852 - - - - }

List

No. 13. List of Statements which accompany the settlement Report of the Minor Zemindary of Shevagunga for Fusly 1260.-

1 Abstract Settlement of each Item.
2 Detail of the Settlement.
3 Abstract of average rate of selling price of Paddy and dry Grains.-
4 Statement of Demand Collection and Balance.-
5 Account Current of Receipts and Disbursements.
6 Statement of the Settlement of Chuttrums.-
7 Do. of the gross Increase and Decrease in the Settlement.-
8 Do. of Demand Collection and Balance of Seisheshy.-
9 Do. of Law Charges
10 Do. of the arrears of Fuslies 1258 to 1259
11 Abstract Statement of old balances from Fuslies 1246 to 1257.-
12 Statement of Collections and Disbursements of the Cuttanoor Sub Division.-

Madura. - - - }
26th June, 1852 - - } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

(3.44) Order thereon -
Vide Proc. to Govt 16 Augt 52.
Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.-

No. 150

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

45.

Bd. No. 1923.

From the Collector of Madura. . . . }

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Board will obtain me leave of absence for two Months under Section V of the absentee Rules to visit the Pulney Hills for the recovery of my health, and to state that as my Medical attendant is of opinion that I ought to leave the low country as soon as possible, I have forwarded the Medical Certificate to the Medical Board for the purpose of being transmitted to the Board direct under the Provisions of Para 2. of the order of Government dated 6th April 1841.-

Madura . . . . . }
7th August 1852. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Encl. No. 1.

R. D. Parker Esqre Collector of Madura, having applied to me for a sick Certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence, I do hereby certify from personal observation of two Months duration, that he is suffering from

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

/Signed/ J. H. Davidson
Physician General.

from Dyspepsia, and general debility and that this disease which has existed for the last 2 months is marked by the following symptoms, general lassitude nervous irritability Headache and vitiated secretions from the bowels and that in consequence I consider a temporary removal necessary for the benefit of his health and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty for the space of 2 months to visit the Pulney Hills.

Madura. . . . . } /Signed/ I. Colebrook.
7th August 1852. } Zillah Surgeon.

45.46

Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Read the following letter

No. 69.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

47

B. No. 1811.

From the Collector of Coimbatore } Sir,

I beg to forward copy of letter from the Joint Magistrate of the Hills requesting

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

requesting Cumblies for the Hill peons as stated by the Joint Magistrate they seem necessary for the discharge of duty, and I beg to recommend his application. The Cumblies will not cost beyond a Rupee each and should last a year.

Coimbatore . . . } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas
28th July 1852. } Collector.

No. 1.
No. 306.

To E. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

Understanding that Cumblies were supplied by Government to the Peons of the Joint Magistrates* and Office Establishment here in 1849 I have the honor to submit to your consideration that they now solicit a renewal of the Boon, the old ones being worn out.

2. The Rainy season here, and the increased cold, succeeding it, certainly form strong grounds for claiming the same assistance towards bodily protection and warmth in Native Public Servants much exposed thereto, in the execution of public

× Vide Major Hall's letter No. 376. dated 11th July 1849.

*2 Duffadars and 20 Peons of the Cutwalls Chumbry

*1 Duffadar and 6 Peons

public duties almost entirely performed out of doors, day and night, which too, without Cumblies, they may less efficiently perform, not having perhaps adequate means of procuring them themselves.

Cotacamund 15th July 1852.

/Signed/ D. Babington
Joint Magistrate.

/A true Copy/

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas.
Collector

47.48

Bd. No. 1646

Proceedings thereon.

As the Estimate for Extra Charges for the Current Fusly has not yet been received from the Combatore District the Collector is requested to include the cost of Cumblies referred to in the foregoing letter in that Estimate, in order that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the whole of the charges proposed to be incurred at one time.

2. The Board observe that in their Proceedings of 17th December 1849 the Collector was instructed to include the cost of the 35 Cumblies supplied in that year to the Peons on the Pelgherries in his Statement of actual charges incurred

without Estimate for Fusly 1259 in the Magistrates Department. This charge does not appear to have been brought to account in that Statement. Mr. Thomas is therefore requested to state how the amount disbursed has been disposed of.

Read the following letter.

No. 97.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

49

Bd. No. 1843

From the Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 19th Instant and to state that the detailed Statement of Sewaye Jumma required

Fort St. George 12th August 1852

required by the Board's Circular order of the 8th October 1849. forwarded the accompaniment A to Statement No. 1 which was forwarded with my Summabundy Report for Fusly 1260 A. D. 1850.

/51/

Calicut... 29th July... 1852...

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon.

(49.50)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

No. 89.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

(51)

Bo. No. 1848.

From the Collr. of Madras...

Sir,

With reference to the 2d Para of the Board's Proceedings of the 19th July 1852 I have the honor to submit an application in the prescribed form for an Uncovenanted Asistant as Head Salt Superintendent on Rupees 300 per Mensem.

Madras.... 2d August 1852.

/Signed/ I. A. Hudleston
Collector

9174.

Fort St. George

12th August 1852.

Year	Revenue Ordinary	Revenue Extraordinary	Charges Ordinary	Charges Extraordinary	Total Revenue	Total Charges	Net Surplus	Net Deficit
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1849/50	1,09,02,613 4	5,163 6 10	1,32,300 14 7	82,197 1 5	11,04,190 4 2	2,14,698 " "	8,89,492 4 2	
1850/51	11,01,496 11 4	5,038 6 5	1,28,975 8 10	76,857 11 11	11,69,533 1 9	2,05,833 4 9	9,63,899 13 -	
1851/52	10,75,770 3 11	5,704 10 -	1,29,141 7 11	60,869 " 9	10,81,574 13 11	190,010 8 8	8,91,564 5 3	
1852/53. Estimates	11,13,130 " "	5,300 " "	1,30,200 " "	73,250 " "	1118,430 " "	2,03,450 " "	9,14,980 " "	

Extract from Home Department of Govt of India		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge	Proposition. Permanent Increase per month	Permanent Decrease per month	Temporary Increase per month	Temporary Decrease per month	Period	Period	Council or Extraordinary	Local Governments recommendation.	Orders of the Government of India. Home Department Remarks	Financial Department Remarks	Orders.
Number	Date		Present Scale	Proposed Scale										
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	14	15	16	17
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p					
		Madras Collectors Office.														
		"														
		"														

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(51.52)

B. No. 1642.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.....

Submitting application from the Collector of Madras for an Uncovenanted Assistant as Salt Superintendent and a notice for publication in the Official Gazette pronouncing certain Individuals lately engaged in the Salt Department of that Collectorate disqualified for employment in the public service adding that the Board are about to institute a full enquiry with a view to placing the Salt Establishment on a more efficient footing.

Rev. Cons. 9th July 1852.
12th August 52

No. 339.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to Para 8 of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 17th July 1852. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith, an application from the Collector of Madras in the prescribed form for an Uncovenanted Assistant as Head Salt Superintendent on a Salary of 300 Rupees per mensem.

2. I am also desired to annex a Notice for publication in the Gazette as called for in Para 7 of the above quoted Minutes of Consultation.

Notice.

The undermentioned Public Servants, recently employed in the Madras Collectorate having been dismissed from the Public service for Gross irregularities and fraud in the Salt Department it is hereby notified that those individuals are disqualified from again serving the Government in any capacity whatsoever.

Ramapiah

1. Ramapiah. Salt Superintendent.
2. Mooneappah Moodely Salt Peishcar.
3. Ramakistna Pillay. Head Sumpretty.

3. The Board direct me to take this opportunity of informing Government that they are about to institute through Mr. Ellis, a full enquiry into the state of the Salt Establishment with a view of placing it on a more efficient footing.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
12th August 1852.....

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

(53)

B. No. 1890.

From the Collr. of Madras..

Requesting the Boards orders on certain points connected with the stamping Department of this Office.

No. 91

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

Fort St. George,

In consequence of the increasing difficulties that are experienced in the collection of the Revenue derived from the stamping of Weights and measures I have the honor to submit the following information for the orders of the Board of Revenue regarding the Stamping Department of this Office.

2. The business of Stamping Weights

Weights and Measures is a matter of Police detail which was transferred in 1812 by the Police details Superintendent Mr. Flower to this Office.

3. The revenue realized from this source taken on an average of 5 years amounts to Rupees 11,045 — 8 — 8.

4. In the collection of this amount the practise has been to summon half yearly the Bazar people and shopkeepers to produce their Weights and Measures to the Office for the purpose of being stamped.

5. On their delaying to do so, it is usual for the Peons to seize not only the Weights and measures but also the tools and implements of the shopkeepers and detain them at the Office until the regular fee is discharged.

6. The irregularity of this proceeding is obvious and I was induced therefore to apply to Mr. Elliot to ascertain whether assistance might be

be obtained by the Office from the Police in the event of a party refusing to have his Weights and Measures stamped.

7. Mr. Elliots letter is herewith enclosed and the Board will perceive that "while the Police do punish for the use of false light and deficient weights they possess no power to force a person to send his Weights and Measures to this Office to be stamped although in the case of a person being brought up for using no stamped weights and measures the Magistrates generally impose a fine for neglecting to have them stamped." By this I am led to understand that there is no legal authority or certainty for the imposition of the fine.

8. Under the foregoing circumstances I would therefore take the liberty to solicit the orders of the Board on this branch of the subject.

At the same time I beg to forward a Statement of property seized from time to time since 1836 in the Bazars

Bazars of those persons who refused to bring their Weights and Measures to be stamped.

10 Repeated Notices were publicly given and no one came forward to claim the several articles mentioned in the List and as they were brought away in lieu of the Revenue that is due to the Office and are occupying room. I would suggest a final Notice being issued in the Gazette with a view of disposing of them and their being put up to public outcry and the Sale proceeds credited to Government. Their Estimated value is about 250 Rupees.

11. When the stamping Department was made over to the Office by Mr. Flower authority was given for the payment of 1 fanam on every sett of weights and Measures to the Ramasawmy Pagoda in Black Town.

12. The sum thus paid amounted to 75 Pagodas while the allowance

allowance now made in proportion to the Revenue is Rupees 1134. 7. 6.

13. It is questionable whether the intention of the original Grant was that the allowance should increase with the Revenue realized from this source and in the Scarcity of documents on the subject (the only authority being found in a Statement which formed an Enclosure in Mr. Flower's letter dated 6th February 1812) it is difficult to determine whether I have sufficient Authority to continue to make these payments to the Pagoda at the enhanced rate. I would therefore solicit the Board's orders on the subject.

Madras 7th August 1852.

Signed J. A. Budleston
Collector

Encl. No. 1.
No. 201.

To J. A. Budleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras

Sir

With reference to your letter of

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

of the 30th Ultimo I have the honor to state that the Magistrates have power to punish persons convicted of using false light or deficient weights and Measures under Police Regulation No 6 but they have no authority to inforce shop Keepers to send their weights and Measures for adjustment beyond this, when weights or measures are brought up

Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

up unstamped for the current year the Magistrates generally impose a fine for neglect of this precaution.

Madras General Police Office 9th July 1852 — } Signed C. F. Elliott Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police

List

9180

Fort St. George

No 2.

List of Articles of Bazar People which are in the Stamping Department from 28th October 1836 to 11th January 1851.

Particulars of articles	Number
Iron Weights of 56 lbs	26
Do 28 "	4
Do 14 "	2
Do 7 "	1
Viss Weights	6
20 Pollum Weights	8
10 Do	56
5 Do	146
2 Do	177
1 Do	130
½ Do	117
¼ Do	60
Copper and Brass Scales	209
Iron Scales	24
Butchers Iron Knives	113
Wooden Basons	14
Brass Mercalls	3
Iron half Mercalls	11
Do Measures	314
Iron half Measures	38
Iron Quarter Measures	
Do Ollocks	
Do ½ Do	
Do ¼ Do	
50 Pagoda Weights	
20 Do	
10 Do	
5 Do	
2 Do	
1 Do	
½ Do	
Rice Stamps	
Hammers	
Tongs	
Pencers	
Blowing Barrels	
Long Anvils	
Short Do	
Strong Scissor	
Iron Crows	
Brass Founders Anvil	
Adze	

Madras

12th August 1852.

No.	Particulars of Articles	No.
15	Cocoanut Cutting Knives	6
43		
40		
30	Baking Grates	8
5	Tin Models for Baking Cakes	90
20		
33	Brass Turning Sticks	4
28	Cotton refining Do	5
10	Firing Iron Barrels	22
4	Horse Carcombs	7
1	Wooden ⅛ Measures	176
22	Do ¼ Bamboo half Measures	15
83		
37	Red wood cups	1
87	Wooden Gallah Boxes	4
66	Brass and Copper Tumballs Ycs	176
8		
2	Woomen Cloths upper	106
1	Cloths and Europe	
3	Chintzes Ycs	
4	Jam Cullito	25
1	Oval Tin Boxes	17

Particulars of articles	No.
Bolts	3
Inches	6
Iron Buddles	3
Iron Gully Spoons	1
Windows Hinges	2
Iron rings	4
Beef Scales	4

7th August 1852 (Sign'd) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Ordered

9181. Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Order thereon — Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.

53.54

B. of N. 1634.

To the Collector of Madras

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue is directed to request that the Collector of Madras will be so good as to forward to this Office a copy of the Statement alluded to in para 13 of his letter dated 7th August 1852 No. 91 as forming an Enclosure in Mr. Forbes's letter of 6th February 1812.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
12th August 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

S. G. B.

Resolved

9182 Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

53

B. of N. 1650.

To the Chief Secy to Government

No. 342

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Submitting a Statement exhibiting the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1260 and another shewing the discrepancies between it and the Accountant General's General Statement of Gross Collections & charges for the same period.

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d October 1847 and to the Copy of the despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 25th August of the same year, communicated therewith, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying abstract exhibiting in one view, the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1260.

Store

2 It will be observed from the Statement that the quantity of salt in store in all the manufacturing Districts at the commencement of the Fusly was 3 Mds 28,52,294-11-½ which added to that manufactured during the year 3 Mds 47,42,448-37-5½ made a total of 3 Mds 95,94,743-8-6-

The

9181. Fort St. George 12th August 1852.

Order thereon — Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.

(53.54)

Official Memorandum

B. d. N. 1634.

To the Collector of Madras

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue is directed to request that the Collector of Madras will be so good as to forward to this Office a copy of the Statement alluded to in para 13 of his letter dated 7th August 1852 No. 91 as forming an Enclosure in Mr. Forbes's letter of 6th February 1812.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary

S. G. B.

Resolved

9182 Fort St. George 12th August 1852

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

(55)

No. 342

B. d. No. 1650.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Submitting a Statement exhibiting the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1260 and another shewing the descrepancies between it and the accountant General's General Statement of Gross Collections & charges for the same period.

With reference to Contract Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d October 1847 and to the Copy of the despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 25th August of the same year, communicated therewith, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying abstract exhibiting in one view, the transactions in the management of the Salt Revenue of the Madras Presidency for Fusly 1260.

Store

2 It will be observed from the Statement that the quantity of salt in store in all the manufacturing Districts at the commencement of the fusly was 9 Mds 28,52,294-11-1/2 which added to that manufactured during the year 9 Mds 47,42,448-37-5/2 made a total of 9 Mds 95,94,743-8-6-

the

Sale.

3 The Sale of Salt in the several Districts in Fusly 1260 (including Wastage 2,00,240-34-7 was I Mds 53,76,720-11-11½ of which the following is an abstract.

For consumption within the Madras Territories	33,45,171-29-4½	
For transport into Native States	15,29,080-26-	"
To the French Government	50,651-4-	"
For export on requisition from Bengal Government	85,286- " -	"
For export on private trade to Calcutta & other places	166,289-38-	"
	51,76,479-17-4½	
Wastage	2,00,240-34-7	
Total I Mds	53,76,720-11-11½	

Balance

4- The Balance of Salt in store at the close of the fusly was I Mds 42,18,022-36-10½.

Gross Revenue and charges.

5- The Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Fusly 1260, amounted to Rupees 46,17,801-4-4 and the charges of every description, exclusive of recoverable advances, to Rupees 6,38,366-9-10½

Net Revenue

6. The Net Revenue derived was Rupees 4,179,434-10-6- which compared with the results of the previous fusly (Rs 39,17,068-2-1) shews a net Increase of Rupees 2,62,374-8-5- The Chief increase occurred in the Districts of Masulipatam, South arcot, Canara and Madras.

7- There has been no alteration in the price of Salt during the year, and the results do not appear to call for any

any particular remark, as they have been already reviewed in the Boards Proceedings upon the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in each District for Fusly 1260.

8- In conclusion the Board would remark that the Gross Revenue and charges exhibited in the Abstract now submitted differ from the entries in the accountant Generals General Statement of Gross Collections and charges for Fusly 1260- An abstract of these discrepancies is enclosed, and the Board are unable from the papers in their records to account for the differences, the Statement accompanying this letter being prepared from those received from the several Collectors-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
12th August 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Statement

Fort St George

12th August 1852

ing of the year, the Quantity Manufactured and Sold during the year and the Balance in Store

Quantity Sold																							
Quantity Sold for consumption within the Madras Territories			Quantity Sold for transportation across the Frontier for the supply of the Native States in the interior			during the year																	
						Exportation by Sea																	
						Quantity Sold to French Government			Under requisition from Bengal Government			on private account whether to Calcutta or other places			Wastage			Total Columns 5 to 10.					
5			6			7			8			9			10			11					
I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b	I Mds	s	b			
97,360	2	8	1,90,560	7	8										7,174	15	12	2,95,094	25	12			
130,866	27	"	8,571	34	8										5,835	9	"	145,273	30	8			
167,161	"	"	7,467	"	"										830	20	"	202,098	20	"			
60,250	38	5	219,920	"	"	1,420	"	"				25,200	"	"	31,601	11	8	311,772	9	13			
111,301	31	8	314,117	4	"										13,767	12	"	439,186	7	8			
26,477	24	7½	115,102	20	"										17,808	"	"	397,628	4	7½			
29,457	8	"	58	20	"										5,352	12	"	29,998	2	"			
34,722	11	10	93,570	30	"										16,357	3	10	556,637	11	4			
15,361	27	4	3,033	"	"				85,286	"	"	14,200	"	"	2,535	"	"	20,929	27	4			
22,192	26	8	47,772	"	"										26,934	24	"	348,080	14	8			
5,11,590	23	"	"	"	"	36,341	4	"				15,840	"	"	1,503	24	"	52,5214	7	"			
35,097	28	"	"	"	"	12,120	"	"							993	24	"	35,966	12	"			
2,99,516	23	2	163,695	24	"										22,104	32	5	505,316	39	7			
87,453	38	"	52,132	"	"										645	"	"	34,098	38	"			
1,85,631	"	"	313,080	"	"	750	"	"				1,11,049	38	"	26,798	6	4	636,559	2	4			
3,25,171	29	4½	1529,080	20	"	50,651	4	"	85,286			166,289	38	"	200,240	34	7	5376,720	11	11½			

Fort St George

12th August 1852.

rat its close with the financial results of this source of Revenue for Fusly 1260.

Balance in Store at the close of the year or difference between Columns 4 & 11.	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Column 11.	Deduct charges of all description of recoverable advances	Net Revenue Fusly 1260	Net Revenue Fusly 1261	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Mds. Srs. Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
2,12,121 22 8	2,86,728 14 2	18,524 7 4	2,68,204 6 10	2,73,368 9 11		5,164 3 1	
109,362 12 "	139,143 15 "	17,681 9 6	121,762 5 6	128,634 14 9		6,872 9 3	To French Govt at 6 Rupees pr 120 maunds
73,662 20 "	178,180 " "	23,288 3 9	155,191 12 3	186,450 12 5		31,259 " 2	For Export to Calcutta at 15 Rs pr 100 maunds.
2,96,236 20 "	311,772 3 11	10,489 2 1	301,282 15 10	238,832 11 11	62,450 3 11	" " "	
477,612 24 "	412,919 1 5	24,203 3 "	388,715 14 5	331,963 7 9	56,752 6 8	" " "	
223,034 5 14	250,470 12 "	43,626 3 9	206,844 8 3	271,079 1 10		64,234 9 7	
271,894 20 "	299,982 " "	46,603 11 "	253,378 5 "	273,983 11 "		20,605 6 "	For Export to Chittagong at 25 Rs pr 100 Mds
893,172 10 "	460,815 8 2	64,131 10 1	396,683 14 1	464,326 4 8		67,642 6 7	For Export to calcutta at 15 Rs pr Do
12,677 16 "	18,403 10 4	2,229 4 8	16,174 5 8	17,318 1 3		1143 11 7	For Export by Sea at Rs 42 pr Garce
157,311 12 "	277,862 9 11	47,418 8 7	230,444 1 4	156,832 8 11	73,611 8 5	" " "	To French Govt Murkanums at Rs 14-8-9 3/8 pr Garce.
121,175 8 "	512,483 9 6	55,830 9 7	456,652 15 11	436,728 5 10	19,924 10 1	" " "	Do Cuddalore at Rs 8-" -2- "
294,504 36 "	350,972 11 4	39,946 " 9	311,026 10 7	279,175 6 3	31,151 4 4	" " "	For Export by Sea at Rs 42 pr Garce
619,926 39 15	462,429 1 8	87,061 6 7	375,367 11 1	313,109 2 6	62,258 8 7	" " "	To French Government at Rs 13- " - "
221,144 8 5/2	339,644 3 11	78,049 11 5	261,594 8 6	209,250 8 10	52,343 15 8	" " "	
151,186 20 "	515,392 15 "	79,282 11 9	436,110 3 3	335,306 6 3	100,803 13 "	" " "	
4218,022 35 10/2	4817,801 4 4	638,366 9 10	4179,434 10 6	3917,060 2 1	459,296 6 8	196,921 14 3	
					196,921 14 3	Dt Decrease	
					262,374 8 5	Net Increase	

Revenue Board Office Fort St George, 12th August 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Abstract

9187

Fort St George

12th August 1852

No 2 Abstract Statement of the Gross Collections and differences existing between the entries in the accountant General's Statement and in the Board's Salt Revenue Report of Charges for Fusly 1260 under the head of Salt

	Gross Salt Revenue for Fusly 1260						Salt Charges for Fusly 1260.											
	As per accountant General's Statement			As per Salt Revenue Report			Increase			As per accountant General's Statement			As per Salt Revenue Report			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Madras	5,15,392	15	"	5,15,392	15	"	"	"	"	73,807	8	8	79,282	11	9	5,415	3	1	"	"	"
Canara	4,64,094	4	5	4,62,429	1	8	"	"	"	84,856	9	10	87,061	6	7	2,204	12	9	"	"	"
Malabar	3,39,647	5	"	3,39,644	3	11	"	"	"	77,913	3	2	78,049	11	5	136	8	3	"	"	"
Tanjore	5,12,561	14	8	5,12,483	9	6	"	"	"	55,674	6	1	55,830	9	7	156	3	6	"	"	"
Chingleput	2,50,647	1	9	2,50,470	12	"	"	"	"	43,580	12	1	43,626	3	9	45	7	8	"	"	"
Rajahmundry	1,78,496	7	6	1,78,480	"	"	"	"	"	23,304	11	3	23,288	3	9	"	"	"	16	7	6
Maculipatam	2,89,388	12	4	3,11,772	3	11	22,383	5	7	10,890	6	1	10,489	4	1	"	"	"	401	2	"
Guntoor	4,14,721	13	6	4,12,919	1	5	"	"	"	24,750	5	11	24,203	3	"	"	"	"	547	2	11
Vizagapatam	1,41,993	3	6	1,39,443	15	"	"	"	"	21,505	5	6	17,681	9	6	"	"	"	3,823	12	"
Ganjam	2,86,728	14	2	2,86,728	14	2	"	"	"	18,920	11	11	18,524	7	4	"	"	"	396	4	7
Madura	2,98,470	1	1	2,99,982	"	"	15	11	11	41,251	6	3	46,603	11	"	5,352	4	9	"	"	"
Nellore	4,61,303	8	2	4,60,815	8	2	"	"	"	63,289	4	10	64,131	10	1	842	5	3	"	"	"
North Arcot	18,403	10	4	18,403	10	4	"	"	"	2,229	4	8	2,229	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
South Arcot	2,78,376	8	3	2,77,862	9	11	"	"	"	49,604	3	1	47,418	8	7	"	"	"	2,185	10	6
Tinnevelly	3,57,024	12	2	3,50,972	11	4	"	"	"	40,032	13	2	39,946	"	9	"	"	"	86	12	5
Total	48,01,251	5	10	48,17,801	4	4	23,195	4	6	6,31,671	"	6	6,38,366	9	10	14,152	13	3	7,457	3	11
							7,345	6	"							7,457	3	11	Dr Decrease		
							16,549	14	6							6,695	9	4	Net Increase		

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 12th August 1852

Dr Decrease
Net Increase

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved

9187

Fort St George

No 2 Abstract Statement of the Gross Collections and differences existing between the entries in the accountant

Gross Salt Revenue for Fusly 12

	As per accountant General's Statement			As per Salt Revenue Report			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Madras	5,15,392	15	"	5,15,392	15	"	"	"	"			
Canara	4,64,094	4	5	4,62,129	1	8	"	"	"			
Malabar	339,647	5	"	339,644	3	11	"	"	"			
Tanjore	5,12,561	14	8	5,12,483	9	6	"	"	"			
Chingleput	2,50,647	1	9	2,50,470	12	"	"	"	"			
Rajahmundry	178,496	7	6	178,480	"	"	"	"	"			
Masulipatam	2,89,388	14	4	311,772	3	11	22,383	5	7			
Guntoor	4,14,721	13	6	4,12,919	1	5	"	"	"			
Vizagapatam	141,993	3	6	139,443	15	"	"	"	"			
Ganjam	2,86,728	14	2	2,86,728	14	2	"	"	"			
Madura	2,98,470	1	1	2,99,982	"	"	1,511	14	11			
Nellore	4,61,303	8	2	4,60,815	8	2	"	"	"			
North Arcot	18,403	10	4	18,403	10	4	"	"	"			
South Arcot	2,78,376	8	3	2,77,862	9	11	"	"	"			
Tinnevelly	3,51,024	12	2	3,50,972	11	4	"	"	"			
Total	48,01,257	5	10	48,17,801	4	4	23,895	4	6			
							7,345	6	"			
							16,549	14	6			

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 12th August

12th August 1852

Charges for Fusly 1260 under the head of Salt shewing the General's Statement and in the Board's Salt Revenue Report.

Salt Charges for Fusly 1260.

Decrease			As per accountant General's Statement			As per Salt Revenue Report			Increase			Decrease		
Rs	a	p	Rs	A	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
			73,867	8	8	79,282	11	9	5,415	3	1	"	"	"
"	"	"	84,856	9	10	87,061	6	7	2,204	12	9	"	"	"
1,665	2	9	77,913	3	2	78,049	11	5	136	8	3	"	"	"
3	1	1	55,674	6	1	55,830	9	7	156	3	6	"	"	"
78	5	2	43,580	12	1	43,620	3	9	45	7	8	"	"	"
176	5	9	23,304	11	3	23,288	3	9	"	"	"	16	7	6
16	7	6	10,890	6	1	10,489	4	1	"	"	"	401	2	"
"	"	"	24,750	5	11	24,203	3	"	"	"	"	547	2	11
1,802	12	1	21,505	5	6	17,681	9	6	"	"	"	3,823	12	"
2,549	4	6	18,920	11	11	18,524	7	4	"	"	"	396	4	7
"	"	"	41,251	6	3	46,603	11	"	5,352	4	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	63,289	4	10	64,131	10	1	842	5	3	"	"	"
488	"	"	22,229	4	8	2,229	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	49,604	3	1	47,418	8	7	"	"	"	2,185	10	6
513	14	4	40,032	13	2	39,946	"	9	"	"	"	86	12	5
52	"	10												
7,345	6	"	631,671	"	6	638,366	9	10	14,152	13	3	7,457	3	11
									7,457	3	11	Dr Decrease		
Dr Decrease									6,695	9	4	Net Increase		
Net Increase														

1852 (Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

Resolved

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

To The Acting Collector of North Arcot.

56

Bd No 1637.

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to my letters of 18th December 1851, and 24th June 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request that you will submit your report upon the Lungerkhanahs at Arcot and Vellore.

2. The Board have received Reports on the same subject from other Districts, and were desirous of forwarding them to Government along with the one which you have been called upon to furnish, they are therefore anxious to receive your report as early as possible.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
12th August 1852

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

57

Bd No 1650.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput Estimate of Tuccavy proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1262.

Ordered

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board, be inserted in the proper Columns of the abovementioned Statement and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

Order thereon.

57.58

59

Bd No 1907.

From the Collector of Salem. Read abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged convicted acquitted &c under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Half year Ending 30th June last.

Ordered to lie over, until the receipt of the returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole, will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

59.60

Order thereon.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

61

Bd No 1915

From the Collector of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of June last.

62

Bd No 1885

From the Collector of Salem. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Months of July last.

63

Bd No 1912

From the Collector of Madura. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the months of July last.

61.62

Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements

65

Md No 817

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed and stoppages

9192 Fort St George 12th August 1852

Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter, be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Military Board.

(81.82)

" Pycroft

" Blane

Comp.d J. F. Boyle

9193 Fort St George — August 1852

Diary

13th August 1852 Received the following letter

No. 51.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(1)

Bs. No. 1939
From the Collector of Bellary } Sir, I have the honor to request that you will submit to the Board of Revenue and eventually to Government this my application for leave of absence for a period not exceeding One Month to visit Mysore on private affairs under the provisions of Section XI of the Absentee Rules.—I purpose leaving the District in next Month.—

Bellary
Collector's Office
9th August 1852 } (Signed) C. Pelly.
Collector

13th " "

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted, through the Channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable consideration of Government

(1-2)

As

Monday 16th August 1852

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member

and

T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved—

Read the following Extracts

Revenue Department—

No. 767.

3

B. of R. 1881.

From the Secy. to Govt. Directing with reference to the Board's Proceedings of 12th July 1852 that the revision of the Survey and Assessment may be extended to all the parts of the district of South Arcot where reform may be needed but stating that it will be sufficient to apply to the Government of India for the services of a special Assistant

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation. Under date the 6th August 1852.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Hons. enter 12th July 1852. No. 278)

Para 1. With the above proceedings the Board of Revenue forward a letter from the Collector of South Arcot in which that Officer submits an application in the usual form for the entertainment of a special assistant on the rank and

Assistant for the term of two years at the expiration of which period a report will be made & a fresh application submitted if necessary

and allowances of a Sub Collector for the purpose of relieving him of a portion of his ordinary duties while engaged in the revision of the Survey and Assessment of the District— The application is limited to two years under the impression that the Orders of Government, Extract Minutes Consultation 28th May 1852, were confined to the Survey and Assessment of the two Southern Talooks— but Mr. Maltby and the Board of Revenue strongly urge the expediency of extending the inquiry to the remainder of the Province in which case the services of a Special Assistant will be needed for four years—

2. The four principal reasons for which the measure is urgently required in the remaining Talooks are represented by Mr. Maltby to be I. To permit the more valuable lands both Poonjah and Nunjah to be brought under cultivation and to prevent the labor of the District being longer confined to the inferior Soils II. To

To ascertain those dry lands which from improvements in irrigation are now capable of being permanently cultivated with wet produce. Thus 68 Villages in the Bomanghevry Talook have benefited by the Vellam anni=cut while many extensive tracts of lands especially in the Trivady and Villapooram Talook draw a surrep=titious advantage from the Government river Channels to the loss of the re=venue and discouragement of the regular Nunjah Cultivation. III For cor=recting the arrangement under which a large portion of the Nunjah lands are now divided into Classes of "double crop" and doubtful two Crops. IV To place the assessment of the Cuddalore Talook on the same footing as the adjoining Talooks and to introduce money rates into the (108) Villages comprised in the Checkady Sunkeram=pooram and Reswandum Jaghires in the Western Talooks from the want of which the Government now sustains an

Ex: Mint Consn 4th Decr 1849.

annual loss of revenue.

3. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the orders contained in Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th May last had refer=ence to the Survey and assessment of the Talooks of Munnargoody and Chellumbrum. At the same time there seem to be strong grounds, the Chief of which are noticed by Mr. Maltby, why the proposed operations should not be confined to the Southern Talooks. The Governor in Council therefore, directs that they be extended to all the other parts of the District where reform may be needed. He however thinks that it will be sufficient to apply to the Govern=ment of India for the services of a special Assistant for the term of two years, in the first instance, at the expiration of which period a report will be made and a further explication submitted

if

if necessary

(True Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

3-4

B.d No. 1671

Order thereon — Ordered to be communi-
=cated with the Board's Proceedings
for the information and guid-
=ance of the Collector of South Arcot
whose attention is also called to
Para 4 of the last mentioned
Paper —

5

B.d No. 1920.

From the Sec.y to Government } Revenue Department

No. 782.

Extract from the Minutes of Consulta-
=tion Under date the 10th August 1852 —

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue —

(Here enter 22d July 1852 No. 800)

Para 1 — With the foregoing Proceedings
the

the Board of Revenue submit a
communication from the Acting
Collector of North Arcot, reporting
the death of Bomaraz Bahadoor, the
Zemindar of Cavetnugger, and pro-
=posing that the Estate be taken
under the management of the Court
of Wards on behalf of Bomara
Vencata Permal Raz his eldest
son, a Minor of 16 years of
age —

2 The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council concurs
in the views expressed by the
Board in respect to the Cavetnug-
=ger estate which has devolved
upon the Minor Bomara Vencata
Permal Raz in consequence of the
demise of his late father — on
the

the Board being further advised by the Acting Collector regarding the state of mind of the minor they will proceed to lay before Government in detail the arrangement proper to be taken for the management of the property under the Court of Wards which will be duly considered Meanwhile, the Acting Collector should be cautioned to enter into no engagements whatever on the part of the Court of Wards or of the young Zemindar beyond the period of the minority—

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Read

S.C.B.

Read also the following letter.

No. 49.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.—

Sir,

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot mm } Para 1. With reference to my letter dated 12th Ultimo No. 45 and to Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 22nd Idem, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that I proceeded to Carnetnugghur on the receipt of the Board's Proceedings, that I might effect the desired interview with the young Zemindar without further delay and might carry out the Board's wishes respecting the moveable property.—

2. In regard to Para 10 of my former letter, I am of opinion after 3 interviews with

B. of R. 1879.

Stating for the information of the Board the result of his interview with the Minor Zemindar of Carnetnugghur and proposing measures for the due management of the Estate if it is taken under the Court of Wards. Encloses translation of a letter addressed to him and an original letter addressed to the Board by the Carnetnugghur family.—

with the Zemindar, that he will not be disqualified by any natural infirmity from taking charge of his estate at the termination of his Minority. His want of education hitherto, and of proper training must render him very unfit, at the early age of 18, to have the administration of so extensive a property but I observed nothing in his conversation, or general bearing to indicate unsoundness of mind.—

3. Regarding the appointment of a Guardian. I was unable to elicit any decided answer on this point either from the Zemindar, or his relatives. They all expressed themselves most anxious that the Minor should be entrusted with the Management of the Zemindary and were unwilling even to name the person whom they would prefer for the Office of Guardian, if the Minor should not be permitted to administer his

his own affairs. The person best suited for this post is the Minor's maternal Uncle, Tencatta Sennall razoo. This person was on bad terms with the late Zemindar and was engaged in a Civil Suit against the deceased, which is still pending, to establish his right to the enjoyment of a Village free of rent; but, since the Zemindar's death he has come to the aid of the family, and is on a friendly footing with his sister, the present Zemindar's Mother and with the young man himself. When it is found that a Guardian must necessarily be appointed, I am satisfied that he would undertake this duty; and that his doing so would be agreeable to the family; tho' I have reason to think that they would not willingly entrust him with the charge of the entire estate. As the Board are of opinion that the necessity of acting

acting under Regulation V of 1804 would be superseded, if any fit person amongst the relatives could be found to undertake this charge. I made enquiries on the point, but without succes- The other male relatives to whom I refer are two persons who are more distantly connected with the family. They would be less acceptable than the uncle for the Office of Guardian, and are not qualified for the charge of the Estate.-

4. According to the Board's desire an inventory was taken of the Jewels and the like property, belonging to the late Zemindar- On this subject I must explain, that an inventory was not taken in the first instance as it appeared to me that the concluding part of Section II Regulation V of 1804 precluded the Court of Wards from

from all interference with the property of a Minor till the authority of the Government had been obtained - It was on this ground that I applied to the Civil Court for special powers under Act XIX of 1841, as soon as I had reason to fear that some portion of the property was in danger. But in taking this course, I thought it proper to limit my proceedings to the immediate necessity of the case- I had "satisfactory grounds" for believing that the Revenue of the Estate would be misappropriated, if some measures were not immediately taken to prevent this, but considering the Minor's age and that his Mother, and Grand Mother, resided with him in the same house, I was under no apprehension that they would fail to secure all that was valuable within their reach.

The

acting under Regulation V of 1804 as would be superseded, if any fit person amongst the relatives could be found to undertake this charge. I made enquiries on the point, but without success - The other male relatives to whom I refer are two persons who are more distantly connected with the family. They would be less acceptable than the uncle for the Office of Guardian, and are not qualified for the charge of the Estate. -

4. According to the Board's desire an inventory was taken of the Jewels and the like property, belonging to the late Zemindar - On this subject I must explain, that an inventory was not taken in the first instance as it appeared to me that the concluding part of Section II Regulation V of 1804 precluded the Court of Wards from

from all interference with the property of a Minor till the authority of the Government had been obtained - It was on this ground that I applied to the Civil Court for special powers under Act XIX of 1841, as soon as I had reason to fear that some portion of the property was in danger. But in taking this course, I thought it proper to limit my proceedings to the immediate necessity of the case - I had "satisfactory grounds" for believing that the Revenue of the Estate would be misappropriated, if some measures were not immediately taken to prevent this, but considering the Minor's age and that his Mother, and Grand Mother, resided with him in the same house, I was under no apprehension that they would fail to secure all that was valuable within their reach.

The

5. The Board have remarked that an investigation into the private debts of the estate, and their liquidation by the Collector, may be rendered incumbent if the estate should be retained under the Court of Wards for a longer period than 2 years. On this point I beg to submit my opinion that the Court will in the present state of affairs, be compelled, however unwillingly to take an active part in regard to these demands as the great majority of the creditors have already brought their claims to issue in the Civil Courts, and other claims are now pending, it will be the duty of the Guardian to proceed with the latter under the instructions of the Court of Wards and it will rest with the Court either to submit, on behalf of the Minor, to the sale of a large portion

portion, or it may be, the whole of the estate, supposing that the executions now in progress shall be carried out; or to consult the interests of the Minor by making as good a compromise as the Creditors will accede to.-

6. In these matters, in which the interests of the Minor are materially concerned, I am not aware that the Court of Wards is exempt from any of the obligations which would attend a private trust under similar circumstances. By Section IX Act XIX of 1841, a Curator is required to defend, and institute Suits, on behalf of the estate, subject to the orders of the Judge. Though a corresponding provision is not to be found in Regulation V of 1804 it would seem that the two cases are precisely the same in principle.-

Para 5. Margin.

7. The Board have referred to a former occasion, when it was proposed to enter into some arrangement for the liquidation of the private debts, and to the orders of Government on the subject at that time.-

8. To this it may be remarked, that the

the Zemindar was not on that occasion legally disqualified from administering his own affairs. Nor was the Board invested with a legal power to superintend those affairs. If the Government had consented to the plan then proposed for relieving the Zemindar, the required arrangements with the Creditors must have assumed entirely a private character, and must have involved very tedious and troublesome enquiries, as few of the Creditors had resorted to the Courts up to that date. The question is now materially altered in the latter respect, the debts, as they stand at present being founded chiefly on Razeenamahs; and in regard to the first difficulty the Court of Wards, in any arrangements to be now made with the Creditors, would make them the subject of formal agreements before the Court. Shaw expressed an opinion that agreements, made for the benefit of the estate during the Minority, will be binding beyond the term of Minority. If the Board entertain any doubt on this point, I suggest that it be made the subject of a reference to the Court of Sudr Udalut. I venture to urge this course because I conceive that the Court of Wards is

is bound to exercise its powers, to their full legal extent, for the benefit of a Ward.—

9. In submitting these remarks I assume that the creditors will continue the proceedings they have already commenced, to enforce an adjustment of their claims. If they should defer their claims till the Minority has expired, it will no longer be obligatory on the Court to interfere. It is possible they may act in this manner, in the hope that by this forbearance they may ultimately obtain the management of the estate.—

10. In order to show the amount of the judgement debts, and the value of the claims for which Suits are now pending, I enclose transcript of a letter, dated the 24th April 1852 from the acting Civil Judge of Chittoor to the Court of Sudr Udalut. The aggregate amount of these Sums, after deducting Rupees 15,300, subsequently paid thro' my Office on account of Suit of 1847, is Rupees 612894-6-1. To this must be added Rupees 67552 arrears of Peishcush, and about 50,000 Rupees floating debt. total claims of every description Rupees 73044 6-6-1. According to this account, the claims requiring an investigation on the

ground

ground that they have not been yet brought before the Courts, amount to the comparatively small sum of Rupees 5,000.-

11. Regarding the mode of arrangement to be now adopted - I have recommended that a Covenanted Assistant be placed in charge, because it has been found from experience that the temporary management of estates, by the ordinary means, is generally attended with loss - This fact can only be ascribed to the want of adequate superintendence by the Officer on whom the extra duty is usually thrown - His ordinary duties are so onerous that they prevent his doing justice to a large additional charge - He is compelled to trust much to a Native Manager, who in his turn, is exposed to unusual temptation, considering the limited term for which he exercises his authority, and the facility with which fraud may be practised when a country has not been brought under a proper revenue system -

In

12. In the Sub Division of North Arcot, the number of Villages is 839 The Zemindary contains 786 Villages - Up to this date the Jamabundy of these Villages for Fusly 1261 has not been commenced - The computed Revenue, including the Peishcush, is Rupees 36,0000 - The expences incurred in Salaries under the recent management (exclusive of the Ordinary establishment) may be estimated at Rupees 1,400 per Mensem - I have proposed that the entire pay and allowances of the Covenanted Officer should be drawn from the estate - I am of opinion that it is good economy to incur any reasonable expense to secure effective Superintendence; but since it is of importance that the same Officer should have the Police charge of the 2 Divisions, it may be considered preferable to continue to him an Assistant's allowance and to draw from the Estate only the difference that the Government may be pleased to sanction - If a covenanted Officer is placed in charge, it will be necessary to have a Shereistadar, and

* Rs
Mr. Sithram 1,000
Dewan — 350
Vakeel — 50
1400

* Conveyance Salary

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

and the usual Talook Establishments. I recommend an allowance of Rupees 175 to the Sheristadar, the same amount as is drawn by the Sheristadar of the Sub Division.—

13. As regards the allowance to be made for the support of the Minor and dependents of the family, I propose that it be fixed at 2200 Rupees a Month, as I find that a similar allowance was given during the Minority, from Fusly 1238 to Fusly 1242. But if this sum be allowed, it should be understood that a portion of it is to be applied to the purpose of repairing the Palace at Carnetnugghur, which is at present in a very delapidated state. It will also be proper to allow a separate sum for the Marriage of the young Zemindar, and his sister. The marriage of the latter should take place at an early period.—

14. In conclusion I submit translations of a letter addressed to me by the Minor, his Grand Mother, and the two widows of the deceased Zemindar and an original letter addressed by the same parties to the Board of Revenue.—

North Arcot, mmmmm
Collectors Cts. Cutcherry, mmm
Palmaneir, 14th August, 1852

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

To

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

Encl. No. 1.

To, F. Lushington Esqre.
Acting Register to the Court of
Sudr Udalut
Fort St. George

Sir,

Agreeably to the request of the Acting Collector, I have the honor to forward copy of his return to a Precept from this Court dated the 1st for submission to the Judges of the Sudr Udalut and to request their further orders upon the subject. The Acting Collector is in error in supposing that the Civil Court acceded to any request for holding the Zemindary in trust for the Creditors / decree holders petitioned the Court in 1845 to release the estate from attachment as Rajeswaramals had been entered into between them and the Zemindar. The estate was accordingly released and by a private arrangement they selected on a Plaintiff to co-operate with the Zemindar It appears that the Zemindar paid these parties

a

Fort St George 15th August 1852

a portion of their dues for 3 years last since three years has paid nothing. Petitions were presented at the closing of the Civil Court that the whole Zemindary might be put under management but no order can be passed on these till the re opening of the Court. Besides the 98232-4-5 due to the decree holder in 61 of 1847 and in whose behalf 13 Villages were attached by the orders of the Court of Sudr Udalut there are 229,866-12-.. due on decrees sued, and 112,789-13-9 due on decrees for execution of which application was made on the closing of Court and in which notices will have to issue to the Defendants, making a gross total of 5,43,841-12-1. In these Suits 124 Villages are mortgaged. There are 2 claims to the amount of 84,952-10-..

+ The Acting Coll.r has concluded that the 50000 Rs paid in will go to liquidate the claims of this party but such application of the funds has been appealed against.

Chittoor Civil Court
24th April 1852

/Signed/ A. Hathaway
Acting Civil Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Hathaway
Act.g Civil Judge

/True Translation/
/Signed/ H.A. Brett
Asst Coll.r

Fort St George 15th August 1852

No 2.

Translation of a letter dated 30th July 1852 addressed to H.A. Brett Esqre Acting Collector of North Arcot by Rajah Comara Vencatapermaul Rajah Bahadur son Stree Vencatam = bagaroo mother Stree Vejayalutchi = mummah garoo and Cammatchum = mah garoo wives of the deceased Zemindar of Carvetnuggur.

/After the usual compliments/

That our Zemindary has been continued unmolested to our ancestors by the Nabobs Government, and subsequently by the Honorable Company's Governments, and in like manner to Rajah Bommarajoo Bahadur who after having enjoyed the Zemindary for a series of years died on the 9th July 1852 leaving behind him 3 sons viz Rajah Comara Vencatapermaul rajah Bahadur aged 17 years Rajah Trimulrajoo Bahadur aged 11 years, and Rajah Soondra Kristnamah rajah Bahadur aged 4 years, and a daughter unmarried aged 14 years I had according to the Hindoo Sastras and to the laws and Regulations of the Honorable Company

Rajah

Rajay Comara Venkatapermaul rayoo Baha-dur is the principal person entitled to inherit the Patrimony, and to succeed to the management of the Zemindary enjoyed by Rajah Bommakrayoo Baha-dur, and by our ancestors, from generation to generation. That on the day preceding his death Rajah Bamma-krayoo Bahadur not only enjoined on Rajah Comara Venkata permaul rayoo Bahadeur to protect and support all who have any connection with the Zemindary to enjoy the Patrimony which has been hereditarily continued and to liquidate and clear the debts in as short a time as possible; but also legally made over to Rajah Comara Venkatapermaulrayoo Bahadur his seal &c. the Summons and other documents granted to the latters Grand father by the Honorable Governor, and the Nuggur / Palace / &c. &c. That moreover Rajah Bommarayoo Bahadur has written to you a letter on the 8th July 1852 stating that the right of inheritance after his death devolved on

on Rajah Comara Venkatapermaulrayoo Bahadur, and requesting that the Zemindary may be continued in his name We have been precluded upto this time from re-presenting our circumstances to you thro' the medium of a letter by having been engaged in the obsequies of the late Zemin-dar. It is clearly known to you that the Zemindary is involved in debts, and that the income therefrom is little or nothing Rajah Comara Venkatapermaul rayoo Bahadur is possessed of wisdom and understanding which render him quali-fied to undertake the administration of the affairs of the Zemindary. The creditors whom Rajah Bommakrayoo Bahadur had sent for previous to his death, in order to effect a compromise and an adjustment of the debts due to them, have agreed to file a razeena-mah as soon as the installation of Rajah Comara Venkatapermakrayoo Bahadur takes place. In consequence of the management of the Zemindary having been entrusted to strangers during the days of the grandfather and father of Rajah Comara Venkatapermaul rayoo Bahadur the affairs of the Zemin-dary with regard to the receipts have been

been most carelessly superintended, and this is the cause that the debts with which the Zemindary is encumbered have not been as yet liquidated. Moreover the payment of the Circar Peishcush the liquidation of the debts and the encouragement of agriculture, will not be superintended by an alien with that care and circumspection, which might be expected from one a Kin to us. This of course is well known to you. The Circar Peishcush and the debts remain to be paid by us, and in default of our doing so, we are aware that this Zemindary which has been in the enjoyment of our ancestors from time immemorial and which is now the means of our maintenance will be in danger of being attached and sold as you have been this the providence of God placed to relieve the calamity experienced by persons impoverished like ourselves, we pray that the Zemindary may be continued in the name of Rajah Comara Venkatapermaul rajoo Bhadur. If the circumstance of his not having attained his legal majority be considered an obstacle to the administration of the affairs of the Zemindary being entrusted to his

hands, we beg to say that during the short period with which his minority ceases we shall be amenable for the due management of the Zemindary and for the protection of the estate moreover Bapparajoo Venkatapermaul rajoo the maternal Uncle and Bapparajoo Makaraja the husband of the paternal aunt of Rajah Comara Venkatapermaul rajoo Bahadur, have also promised to co-operate with us in the management of the Zemindary. If the Zemindary is made over to us we shall not only pay the Circar balance but will also adjust the claims brought forward by the creditors; we shall also adopt such measures as will effectually tend to the welfare of the inhabitants and to the prosperity of the estate when Rajah Shivanna Naidoo Bahadur the Zemindar of Calastry died the Honorable Company was pleased to continue the Zemindary to his wife for the space of 12 years, the Zemindary of Poorganoor was also continued to the Mother of the present Zemindar, during his minority on the death of his father. on the death of the Nargunta Poligar the Polliem was continued and is still in continuance to the widow of the deceased Poligar. Tho' the present Zemindar of Venkatagherry had not attained his legal majority on the death of his father the

Honorable

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

Honorable Company was nevertheless pleased to continue the Zemindary in his name Several instances of the Kind above adduced have taken place- as you are perfectly aware of our circumstances we beg to appeal to you, and to request that you will forward the enclosed Petition to the Board of Revenue with your Kind and favorable recommendation that the Zemindary for which we now contend may be placed in possession of Rajah Bommara Venkata permal Rayoo Bahadur.

The balance of Peishcush now due to the circar will be paid shortly and measures will be taken to adjust the debts at an early period.

/Signed/ Rajah Bommara Venkatapermal Rayoo Bahadar

/Signed/ Vijeyalutchummah gauroo wife to Dr. "

/ " / Laxmanlutchummah younger wife to do

Sree Venkatambagarooroo Mother to Rajah Bommaroo Bahadur

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. A. Brett Acting 1st Asst

No 3 Salaroo Petition-

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

No. 343.

B. No. 1659-

Proceedings thereon 1.

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot and stating why the Court of Wards think it undesirable to take under their Management the Minor Zemindary of Cavetnuggur-

Recd. 16th August 1852.

5-7

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Board's Proceedings, 22nd July 1852, and Extract Minutes of Consultation, 10th August 1852.-

2. It appears that Mr. Brett has found after personal conference with the Minor, that the reports of his being of weak intellect are without foundation and considering the character the Acting Collector gives of the Minor's maternal Uncle Vencata Permal Rauze, the near termination of the Minority- the unwillingness of the family to place the Zemindary under Government Officers and the state of the private liabilities of the Estate, the Board do not see reason to take it under their Management as a Court of Wards.- They would therefore direct the Collector to call upon the Civil Court to name a Guardian under Section III Regulation X of 1831, and confine himself at present to the recovery of arrears of Peishcush which amount to Rupees 67,552.-

The

3- The Board cannot but perceive that the assumption of this Estate under Wardship in the present state of it's private liabilities would bring the Officers of Government either into a position of great responsibility as to the liquidation of the outstanding debts or oblige them, as they would be in charge for a short time only, to decline a portion of that duty which Section XVII Regulation V of 1804 seems to demand.-

4. Besides the arrears of Peshcush there is yet a claim (suspended) on the part of Government for 80,289. and there are private debts for which Suits are now pending or for which decrees are held amounting to 6,12,894 Rupees besides 1/2 a lac of Rupees "floating debt."-

From Government 24th April 1849. in Cons: 17th May 1849- Since increased to 83757 as per Collector's letter of 5th March 1857. in Dy. Cons: 15th April.

5. Comara Vencata Permaul Rauze will attain his Majority on the 5th March 1854 and before the present state of the Country its resources and its liabilities could be thoroughly investigated by the Officer whom it is proposed to send there, it would be almost time for him to consider in

+ From Collector 12th July 1852. in Cons: 22 Oct.

in what manner he might best give up his charge so as to leave it in the most efficacious position; neither can the Board over-look the disadvantages of burdening the Estate with the large Establishment that would be required.-

6- Again even supposing the Decree holders would submit to any arrangement of the Officers in charge with regard to private debts, he could make no arrangement that would bind any parties beyond the next 20 months and his interference might even add to the present embarrassment.-

7. The Acting Collector states the Revenue to be 3,60,000 Rupees. On a former occasion it was stated that the net profit of the Estate after paying Peshcush and expences of Management was 65,903 Rupees. Mr. Brett does not state if the above 3,60,000 Rupees includes the 13 Villages ordered to be sold by the Court which have been valued at about 14,000 Rupees yielding a net profit of about 6000 or whether it includes the 140 Villages Mortgaged besides.-

× From Collector 5th March 1852.

* 1,87,663.

From Collector 22nd April 1852. in Cons: 17th May ---

8- There seems to be no immediate apprehension

Enc: 2 in From Collector 4th August 1852.

apprehension of difficulty as regards the Payment of the Peshcush- The relations of the Minor in their letter to the Acting Collector express themselves fully aware that if the Circar Peshcush is not paid the Estate is in danger of being attached and sold.-

9. Had the Minority threatened to be of long duration, had there been dissensions in the family likely to damage the interests of the Minor, had any of the family expressed a desire to be placed under a Court of Wards or even had the Estate been a small one which could have been Managed with little or no expense by Government Officers, the Board would have been more inclined to support Mr. Bretts recommendation- As it is they consider their interference unnecessary- Under these circumstances they trust they are justified in delaying to forward to the Acting Collector the Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th of August till they have received the final instructions of Government and they would observe that in their Proceedings of 22d July 1852 they did not intend at once to recommend the Estate being taken under the Court of Wards, but to await the result of Mr. Bretts further

further investigations.-

10. They think it desirable that Mr. Brett should be relieved from his duties as Curator under Act XIX 1841. and though they have no doubt that in applying for that authority he was conscientiously acting for the interests of the Minor, they doubt if the Proceeding was altogether regular in-as-much as the act is to be called into operation in cases only of wrongful possession on succession and seems to limit the application to relatives of the deceased or to the Court of Wards acting as such under sanction of Government.-

8

From the Collector of Nellore- Read return of fines and stoppages made from the pay of Public servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.-

B/ No 1679.

Proceedings thereon.- The Board regret to notice from the foregoing Return that the fines for the quarter ending 30th June 1852 are very numerous and that in some cases the same persons have been fined several times- In three instances the total amount from each individual came to Rupees 16-20- and 23 respectively.-

8.9

2- The Board desire again to call the Collectors attention to their Proceedings on this subject, dated 12th August 1850.-

---

Read the following Statement.

Statement

9226.

Fort St. George

B. No. 1852.

10

Statement of arrears due to a Yeomiahdar in

No. of Entry 1260.	Name of the Yeomiahdar.	Amount of Yeomiahdar per Annum.	Date of Sanction.	Period from and to which the arrears are due.	Amount of Arrears
		Rs. A P.			Rs. A P.
24	Sakeena Bee alias Sakeena Catoo a deceased Yeomiahdar.	60 — " — "	26th January 1846.	For two years and 12 days from January 1850 to the 12th January 1852.	121. 15 "

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry,

28th July 1853

16th August 1852.

the Nellore District.—

Remarks.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
This Yeomiahdar who was in receipt of her yeomiah by a Vakeel having on the receipt of the Government's order dated 5th June 1850 failed to attend in person to recevie the stipend the payment was withheld. Lately she expressed her willingness to do so and she was identified as the real Yeomiahdar but died on the 12th January 1852 as reported to the Civil Auditor in a letter dated 27th July 1852 before sanction for the disbursement of the arrears due to her was obtained. The claim of Sarabee the only surviving daughter and heir to the deceased for the arrears was recoginzed on enquiry and sanction for the disbursement of the same to her (Sarabee) is solicited.— (Signed) C. Arbuthnot. Acting Sub Collector in Charge.		

Under

9227. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

B. No. 1664.

Remarks thereon-

10.11

No. 345.

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector the Board concur recommend that sanction be granted for the payment to Sorabee of the arrears due to her deceased Mother Sakeenah Bee alias Sakeenah Catoo from 1st January 1850 to 12th January 1852 amounting to Rupees 121—15—"— One hundred and Twenty one, and Annas fifteen—

The Yeomiah ceased on the 12th January 1852 the Date of the last incumbent's death.—

DG

Read

9228 Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Read the following letter

No. 42.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

12

Bd. No. 1723.

From the Collector of Bellary - Reports that the Boundary Stones to define the limits of the Commissariat Grazing Farm have been laid down as ordered by Govt. on the 27th June 1850 and forwards a Bill for Rs. 393-9- for sanction. Sends Statements shewing the land now included in the Farm and requests sanction for giving 27 acres of Circar land in lieu of about 40 acres of Enam further taken by the Farm. Reports in obedience to Orders of Govt. dated 13 Decr. 1851 upon an application made by Lieutenant Harris the Commissariat Officer for the retrans for to the Cattle Farm of Land already given up. the Collr. strongly objects to the proposal and states the grounds of his objection at length and requests that the arrangement now concluded be considered as final

Sir,

1. I have the honor with reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 4th July 1850, to report that the Boundary Stones directed by Government in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th June 1850, para 3, to be laid down to define the limits as now reduced, of the Public Cattle Grazing Farm in this District, have been fixed. The work was nearly finished some time ago. but owing to a variety of circumstances was not quite completed until November last, when Lieutenant Harris the Commissariat Officer in his Letter of the 18th November 1851 acknowledged the Correctness of the Boundary line and Countersigned a Memorandum of the limits as marked out by the Stones. I am however still awaiting the receipt of the Map prepared in the Quarter Master General's Department as ordered by Government in the 4th Para of the aforesaid Minutes of

of Consultation. This has been drawn, and I believe forwarded to Madras to the Quarter Master General of the Army. But no Copy has yet been sent to my Office.-

2. I have the honor to enclose for sanction of Government the Bill amounting to Rupees 393-9-u for defining by Boundary stones the limits of the Farm, being within the Estimate sanctioned by Government.-

Enclosure No. 1.-
Amount of Estimate } Rs. a. P. 425-u-u
Cost 393-9-u
Decrease 31-7-u

3. I have also the honor to enclose for record in the Office of the Board of Revenue a Copy of the Memorandum signed by the Commissariat Officer and myself descriptive of the position of the boundary stones.-

Enclosure No. 2.
Teloogoo Memo.-

4. It was found that in three places some misunderstanding had arisen respecting the intended position of the stones, and after communication with the Military authorities it was finally arranged that a few Acres (as per enclosed List now sent as supplemental to the Account of Lands retained in the Farm transmitted with my letter to the Board dated 24th April 1850) should be given up to

*Enclosure No. 3.
Acres. G. A. Rs. a. P.
99-35-2-16-5-4.

1 Copy of a letter from the Collector to General Steel C. B. dated 16th July 1857.
1 Do. Do. to Lieutenant F. Harris dated 16th Do. Do.
1. Do. From General Steel to Collector dated 19th July 1851.-
1 Do. from Lieutenant F. Harris to Do. dated 21st July 1851.
1 Do. from the Collector to Lieutenant Harris dated 1st November 1851.-
1 Do. from Lieutenant Harris to the Collector dated 18th Do.
1 Do. from the Collector to General Steel C. B. dated 29th Do.
1 Do. from General Steel to the Collector dated 9th December 1851.-

to the Farm. The correspondence on this point was long but the enclosed Copies of Extracts from it will show that the Military authorities are satisfied with the final decision made by me.-

5. In the supplemental List is entered also 538-32-u Acres belonging to Yettinahutty a Village in the Jaghyr of the Rajah of Soondoor. This land has always been included in the Farm, and no objection has been made by the Jagheerdar. But as it was not land belonging to the Talook of Kumply it was inadvertently omitted by the Jaheerdar and so not entered in the former Statement.-

6. As some Enam Land, not entered in my former Statement, has by the arrangement referred to in para 4 been retained in the Farm, for which no Compensation was then made, I have the honor to request that other Land be given as Enam in lieu of it.-

The

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

The quantity given to the Farm is as follows

No.	Description of Land	Acres	G.	A.	Rupees	a.	P.
129	Mufsul	12	37	-	3	12	4½
130	Do.	25	2	8	3	8	7
		37	39	8	7	4	11½

and I request that the following Circar Lands be given to the Enamdars in lieu of it.

No.	Description of Land	Acres	G.	A.	Rupees	a.	P.
116	Mufsul	17	35	-	5	3	5
118	Do.	9	5	8	1	5	3
		27	-	8	6	8	8

in the statement No. 3 the proposed transfer is shown.

7. The transfers authorized by Government in the Minutes of Consultation quoted para 1 have been all concluded.

See Statement enclosed No. 12.

8. The extent of the Grazing Farm as now settled is 10,558-7-2. Acres assessed at 950-[illegible]-7 Rupees and is Composed of.

	Acres	G.	A.	Rupees	a.	P.
Circar arable Lands	1407	28	6	743	14	-
Do. Parumpoke	8,331	27	7	-	-	-
Enam Lands for which other Circar Land are given to the owners.	225	14	10	175	7	5

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

	Acres	G.	A.	Rupees	a.	P.
Resumed	54	24	3	30	11	2
Total	10,019	15	2	950	-	7
Yettenhutty Land of the Jagheerdar of Soondoor	538	32	-			
Grand Total	10,558	7	2			

As per former Statement acres 4,847-12-[illegible]

Of which subsequently given up to the Commissariat Department 99.35.2

4747.16.14

9. The extent of the Lands not required for the purposes of the Farm and excluded from the old limits consists of 474-16-14 acres assessed at 432-2-10 Rupees Viz.

	Acres	G.	A.	Rupees	A.	P.
Circar Arable Lands	1391	35	11	351	5	6
Circar Parumpoke	2,900	39	-	-	-	-
Enam Lands	454	22	3	130	13	4
	4747	16	14	432	2	10

10. And here I had hoped to have concluded this matter which has given me much trouble in bringing to this point of termination. The definition of the reduced Farm by permanent boundary Stones was intended as observed by the Governor in Council (See para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation quoted above) "to prevent all further question". But since this was settled I have had the honor to receive

receive the orders of Government contained in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation (No. 1241) dated 13th December 1851 forwarded with Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue of the 2nd January last to which I purpose in this place to report on as directed.

11. In these proceedings is transmitted to me part of a certain correspondence that took place between the Commissariat Department and myself respecting the boundaries of the Cattle Farm, and I am directed to submit a report upon the application made by Lieutenant Harris for the transfer to the Commissariat Cattle Farm of the tract of pasture Land referred to in that Officer's letter of 13th August last and a Copy of Colonel Tulloch, the Commissary General's letter, dated 29th November 1851 to the Chief Secretary to Government, is sent.

12. The settlement of the limited Boundaries as ordered by Government in the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th June 1850 have been definitely completed as reported in the former part of this letter, and with much trouble to my Department, as the Board may partly gather from

from the correspondence that has been forwarded through the Commissariat Department to Government, and with respect to that Correspondence before proceeding to the immediate subject now under discussion I would in justice to myself merely say that I endeavored, and successfully, to clear up some misunderstandings and to arrange satisfactorily all matters with the local Officer, as respects the defining the boundaries of the Farm as limited by the orders of Government above referred to and it may be proper to draw the attention of the Board to part of the correspondence noted in the Margin already referred to in para 4 which will show that both General Steel and Lieutenant Harris were quite satisfied with the course I had adopted for arranging all the disputes and misunderstandings that has arisen.

13. The point now to be discussed is the requisition made by the Commissariat Department for the relinquishment of the Eastern Range of Hills which once formed part of the Commissariat Cattle Pasture Lands but which were given up by that Department in conformity to the orders of Government dated

Encl: No 4 to 7.

1 Letter from Coll. to Genl. Steel C.B. dated 16th July 1851.
1 Do Do to Lieut. Harris dated Do
1 From Genl. Steel to Coll. dated 19th July 1851.
1 From Lt. Harris to Collector dated 21st July 1851.

See Minutes of Cons. No. 1181 dated 11th Decr. 1849 in Extract Proceedings Bd. of Revr. dated 17th December 1849.

dated 11th December 1849.-

14. The question of the extent to which the Farm should be limited had been fully laid before the Government, and all the arguments of the Commissariat Department for retaining the old boundaries received due consideration from the Governor in Council. and the Governor in Council passed the resolution as follows "that "the limits of the Public Cattle Grazing Farm "should be reduced at least to the extent specified "in para 5 of Lieutenant Harris' letter dated "16th October 1849, by which, besides the "ground nearest Bellary Marked A. in the "Map being relinquished thirteen numbers "of claimed lands will also be restored to their "owners and he directs that instructions to this "effect be accordingly issued and a revised "Map of the new limits prepared in Duplicate "one Copy for deposit in the Collector's Office, "the other with the Commissariat Officer".-

15. All this, with the exception of depositing the Map, which is however I understand drawn, has been done. and the limits of the Farm have moreover been defined

defined by permanent stones "to prevent all further question".- and the rights of proprietors of the land have been adjusted and everything finally, I had hoped, arranged. and in my opinion further mooting the question with a view to extending the already vast limits of the Farm seems unnecessary. The work, which it has already taken too long to conclude, will be all undone and have to be done again and I would at once recommend that the orders of Government of 11th December 1849, Con= =firmed by their subsequent order of 27th June 1850, should be considered as final except so far as the trifling modification reported in paras 4 and 6 of this letter may affect them.-

16. My reasons for objecting to any disturbance of the present arrangement which has been effected after voluminous cor= =respondence has passed about it are.-

Because, any alteration would involve another defining of boundaries by permanent stones to prevent all further question.-

Because, it would again interfere with

with private rights.-

Because, it would deprive the inhabitants of several neighbouring Villages of pasture ground for their own Cattle, as well as the numerous Cattle belonging to the Brinjaries resorting to the neighbourhood. These Cattle when compared with the less than 400 Head of Commissariat Bullocks which have already 10,558. 7. 2 acres of pasture to Graze over, surely demand a claim to consideration.-

Actual Establishment of Commissariat Bullocks and Camels as mentioned in a letter from Lieutenant Harris dated 18th. May 1852.

Camels — 65
Bullocks — 336
of which about. 120 Kept at Bellary.
216 at the Farm
336.

Because, the chief ground on which Lieutenant Harris rests his requisition for an extension of the Farm has been already conceded. It will be observed that forage for the Camels was made the permanent cause of the supposed necessity. No other ground was urged until I conceded the use of the hills for this purpose. The range of hills given up by the Commissariat in obedience to the orders of Government are said to contain a certain shrub the leaves of

of which are eat by Camels. On representing this to me I informed Lieutenant Harris that the Camels might proceed to this range of hills to forage, and thither they now go. But for the sake of a few wild shrubs which may be procured without hindrance on this range of hills by the Commissariat Camels as elsewhere, why should several thousand acres more Viz.t. 4784 acres, of Common pasture land be enclosed for the exclusive benefit of a very few Government Cattle who in the present limits of the Farm Viz.t. 10558. 7. 2 acres have such an abundance of Grass that the Department can, if that is an object, stock as much Grass as would be required for the use of the public Department at Bellary without having recourse to another range of hills given up. and very properly so, to the ryots and the public.-

* Note
Lieut.t Harris in his letter to Com.r General of 16th. Oct.r stated that the Hills were but thinly sprinkled with the Shrub.

Because, as a matter of revenue Lieutenant Harris Statement is defective. He proposes a saving of 575. 8. 11 to be effected by

Fort St. George 16th. August 1852.

"by not purchasing forage for 80 Camels for 3
"Months, during which a sufficient supply of
"forage cannot be procured from the Kaval limits as
now constituted." But the hills he now asks for, for
procuring forage for the Camels, and so to save
that expense have been, as I have already stated,
given to him for that purpose, and that imagi-
=ary item of saving entirely vanishes away.
The Camels in fact can forage not only there
but throughout the whole Ceded Districts
wherever the particular shrub may be procur-
=able. The other items of saving proposed by
Lieutenant Harris may be equally effected by storing
forage in the present limits of the Farm, where only
about 216 Bullocks graze over an extent of 10,338.
72 acres. But there again, the Cattle may probably
be better employed than bringing in forage to Bellary
and this argument from Profit was already
brought forward before the Government ordered
the reduction of the large Farms and has therefore
been disposed of already.—
Because, Cultivated Land lately given
over to the owners, intervenes between the
two ranges of hills, Vizt. the western
range now compising the limits

+ See Note above.

Fort St. George 16th. August 1852.

limits of the Farms and the Eastern range
now sought to be re-included in the Farms—
and this would be an inconvenience. Even
now the Camel drivers have demanded a right
to drive their Camels over cultivated fields
and if the Hills were entirely re-enclosed as part
of the Farms, there would be continual complaints
and disputes which by the arrangements now
made have greatly decreased and this inconvenience
Lieutenant Harris himself forcibly pointed out
on a former occasion to the Commissary General when
he stated as follows "The Village Cattle also, allowed
"to Graze close to the Edge of the Hills will, as indeed
"they do now encroach considerably on the Hills—
"themselves, and as the Public cattle will have to
"pass over all their low grounds to get to the Hills
"endless disputes will arise between the Public
"Cattle Servants and those to whose the grounds
"is left for cultivation." This would be now the
case, were the Eastern Hills to be given up again,
with the low land intervening left in possession
of the ryots as proposed by Lieutenant Harris.—
And lastly because the matter has already
received full consideration from the Government, and
been settled.—
I trust after this explanation the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council will not think
that sufficient reasons have been shown by the Commis-
=sariat Department for reversing the orders of Government.

See in letter from Lieutenant Harris to Colonel Tullock dated 16th October 1849 para 2 for-warded with Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated 17th December 1849.

Bellary, ----
Collector's Office, ---
7th July 1852...

(Signed) C. Pelly—
Collector.

Statement B.—

9241

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement of Actual Charges incurred in fixing

Date of Operation
Number of Block
Name of Work

Particulars of Works	Earth		Stone		Stone in Chunam		Brick in Chunam	
	Estimated	Performed	Estimated	Performed	Estimated	Performed	Estimated	Performed
Small Stones 1½ Yards long Estimated —— Number of Number of Stones —— Stones used 200 — 191	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Large stones 3 Yards in length 50 — 44	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Contract for quarrying and Shaping the Stones	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Contract for Charcoal, Steel Iron &c. required	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Hire for excavating pits for the stones	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
D°. for fixing the stones in the pits	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Fixing the large stones with stone in Chunam.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Hire to Stone Cutters for engraving Numbers on the Stones	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Sanctioned by the Government in No. 2[illegible] June 1859.

Commissariat & Public Cattle Department
Ootacamund 14th July 1859

Bellary, Collectors Office.

16th Aug

the boundaries of the Grazing Farms in the Taluks of Kumply.—

Estimated	Remarks.	Inspection: By the Collector	By the Civil Engineer	By the Executive Department	Rds	Rotary letter	Board of [illegible]	given in
CR								
200								
100								
75								
25								
15								
10								
"								
"								
"								

Abstract of Measurement.

	CR	A	P	A.
	5			
	1	12	10½	
	3	"	5	
	4	3	1	
	9	"	4½	
	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	
	9	"	4½	

Price 7 " 4

924

Fort St. George

No. 1 ined in the Farm transmitted to the Board
Revenue dated 7th July 1852 See para 4.

Date of Sanction
Number of Sheets
Name of Work

to the

Enam.			Totals			Name of
Acres.	Assessment		Acres.	Assessment		the Enamdar
6	7		8	9		10
Acres	G a	C R A D	Acres	G a	C R A D	
Sur. Est. Num.						
" " " " " "			12 16 8	1	12 10½	"
Large						
" " " " " "			20 29 2	3	" 5	"
Cor. a						
" " " " " "			28 30 "	4	3 1	"
Cor.						
" " " " " "			61 35 10	9	" 4½	
H.						
12	37	" 3 12 4½	12	37	" 3 12 4½	
25	2	8 3 8 7	25	2	8 3 8 7	
37	39	8 7 4 11½	37	39	8 7 4 11½	
37	39	8 7 4 11½	99	35	2 16 5 4	
			538	22	"	
			638	17	2	

Sanctioned by the Government in No. 24 June 1850.
No. 19
Commissioner's Office Cutcherry Fort St. George

July 1852. E. E. J.

16th August 1852.—

of Revenue dated 24th April 1850 to accompany letter

Circar land to be given up to the Enamdar in lieu of his.

No.	Acres.	Rate Jerrum.				
11		12		13		14
				C R	A D	C R A D
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "
"	"	"	"	"	"	" "
116	17	35	"	" 1	"	5 3 5
118	9	5	8	" " 8	1	5 3
2	27	" 8	"	" "		6 8 8
2	27	" 8	"	" "		6 8 8

Signed S. C. Pelly.—
Collector.—

To

Fort St. George 16th. August 1852.

No. 4

No. 473

To

Brigadier General L. W. Steel C. B.

Commanding Ceded District Bellary.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 122 of 11th Instant in reply to mine of 28th June. As you are of opinion that the correspondence respecting the Cattle Farm should be carried on direct with Lieutenant Harris I shall have much pleasure in addressing my future letters to that Officer instead of to yourself; but as I had already on several occasions been in correspondence with you on this subject I considered that a deviation on the last occasion from this course would have been irregular and might have appeared uncourteous. But as respects the Settlement of the Boundary I will not trouble you on the subject further than to remove an erroneous impression as respects the supposed removals of Stones and the final adjustment of the Boundaries which you seem to have formed and I cannot do this better than by sending you copy of my letter to Lieutenant Harris with whom I have arranged all matters in dispute by a personal conference in which

Fort St. George 16th. August 1852.—

in which at my requisition that Officer kindly favored me

Bellary Collrs Office 16th July 1851.

Signed C. Pelly
Collector.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. Pelly.
Collector.—

No. 5.

To Lieutenant J. Harris

Sub. A. Commy. General Ceded Districts.—

Sir,

As requested by Brigadier General Steel in a letter to me No. 122 of 11th Instant in reply to mine of 28th June, I propose to do myself the pleasure of addressing you on the subject of the Boundaries of the Cattle Farm which course instead of addressing my letter to the General on the 28th ultimo I should certainly have pursued, had it not been that, I had previously had correspondence with him respecting the subject more particularly with reference to the Survey Map to which my last communication had also reference.—

I therefore have now the honor to

2.

acknowledge the receipt of your two communications to General Steel noted in the margin copies of which were forwarded to me by that Officer in

No. 1157 dated 7th July 1851
" 700 Do. 7th Do.

York St. George 16th. August 1837.—

in his letter of 11th. Instant. But after the personal communication, I have this day been favored with by you, and the explanations that have been mutually given, my reply need be but brief you were under the false impression as I have endeavored to explain that the Stones had been laid down and subsequently removed by me and that the adjustment and marking out the boundaries had been finally settled I explained that this was not the case and that until the Stones were reported by me to be all laid down and approved of both by your Department and mine the matter could not be considered as finally settled.—

See my letter to Brigadier General Arcot dated 28th June 1837.—

3. Having approved of my proposition for the Settlement of the few points in dispute with the exception of the 2d. Vizt. the Boundary Line at Wudd. It remains only to adjust this point. It is an error to suppose I have removed the Stones here laid down at all. They are in the position in which they were first put down. At the spots supposed by the Talook authorities to be intended by you and Mr. Arbuthnott as

York St. George 16th. August 1837.

as is apparent from the Notes appended to the account made up at the time and subsequently submitted to Government. The Stones as now fixed exclude from the Farm three Fields, Nos. 116. 117. 118 containing 61 Acres and 35 Goontahs which previously were included in the Farm. It does not appear that you and Mr. Arbuthnott went over this piece of the boundary. But it seems to have been understood by the Talook people that these 3 numbers had been rejected. But you have explained to me that it was not your intention to have resigned them and state that they are required for the Farm and cannot be conveniently given up, I shall therefore desire them to be included and have the Boundary Stones removed to a position that will include them in the Farm. I am informed there is a Nullah which makes a good boundary and that it will also give you as a boundary a small piece more land.

But the Map made in the Quarter Master Generals Office is said to include also

4.

Fort St. George 16th. August 1837

also 54 acres and 90 Goontahs being parts of Nos. 115-119 and 190 — to which I have / I believe satisfactorily shown to you were never in the Farm. And you having in consequence given up your / claim to them the boundary at Wudd is now I believe finally ascertained.—

5. All disputes being now disposed of, I shall give instructions to have the stones, altered and put down in conformity with the sketches Nos. 1 and 3 which accompanied my letter to Brigadier General Steel of 28th June as respects the land alluded to in those Sketches, and as respects the land at Wudd in Sketch No. 2 the alteration will be made in conformity with the agreements mentioned in the two preceding paras of this letter.—

6. With respect to the restoration of the parts of the Farm already given up, I have nothing at present to say. The abandonment of it was suggested and ordered by Government and I should apprehend difficulties in its being restored

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

restored. But I hope to be able to suggest a plan by which without difficulty or annoyance to any party the Camels may obtain forage from the Hills adjacent.—

Bellary Collector's Office, 16th July 1831.....

/ Signed / C. Pelly. Collector.—

/ True Copy / Signed / C. Pelly. Collector.—

No. 6

No. 127.

To

C. Pelly Esqre Collector of Bellary.—

Sir,

I have the honor yesterday to receive your letter No. 473 dated the 16th Instant, I beg to state that my opinion in regard to the recent correspondence respecting the boundaries of the cattle Farm at Sultaingoor was formed in consequence of the subject having been originally discussed and adjusted with Lieutenant Harris in whose sole charge, under direct orders from the Commissary General, the Farm is placed and

9249. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

and it therefore appeared to me to be more correct that the correspondence should be continued in the same course especially as Lieutenant Harris had felt, and represented to me, his being passed by on the late occasion.—

I fully appreciate the Courtesy of your address to me; and am glad to find that all matters in dispute will be satisfactorily arranged when you favor me with information that the Boundary stones are permanently fixed I will instruct the Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General of the Division to have the Map of the Farm corrected and a copy furnished to you, as ordered by Government.—

Head Quarters C. D.
Bellary 16th July 1852.

Signed S. W. Steel
Commanding C.D.

True Copy.
Signed C. Pelly
Collector.

To.

J. G. B.

9250 Fort St George 16th August 1852

No 7
No 746.

To C. Pelly Esqr.
Collector of Bellary.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 16th Instant, and with reference to the 3rd para thereof to state that the arrangement proposed by you regarding the boundary line at Wudd has my full concurrence as only claiming for this Department limits as previously settled, I can have no possible right to the 54 Acres & 20 Goontahs which by your accounts appear to have of old always been excluded from the Farm The Nullah assisted by a stone or two here & there will as you very justly remark, make an excellent natural boundary I trust therefore that the stones will be as speedily as possible permanently fixed in the spots of Ground as shown both in rough sketches No 1-2-3 and when so fixed for good on your application to him, the Brigadier General commanding will doubtless direct the Map to be altered so as to exclude the 54 Acres & 20 Goontahs from the Wudd land held by the farmer in all other respects it agrees I believe with present limits.

The explanation you have done me the favor to offer regarding your not having addressed this Department direct, concerning the boundaries of the farm is fully satisfactory & duly appreciated by me.

† with the alterations in the wudd land as above.

9249. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

and it therefore appeared to me to be more correct that the correspondence should be continued in the same course especially as Lieutenant Harris had felt, and represented to me, his being passed by on the late occasion.—

I fully appreciate the Courtesy of your address to me; and am glad to find that all matters in dispute will be satisfactorily arranged. When you favor me with information that the Boundary stones are permanently fixed I will instruct the Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General of the Division to have the Map of the Farm corrected and a copy furnished to you, as ordered by Government.—

Head Quarters C. D.
Bellary 16th July 1852.

Signed S. W. Steel
Commanding C. D.

True Copy.
Signed C. Pelly.
Collector.

To.

9250 Fort St. George 16th August 1852

No 7
No 716.

To C. Pelly Esqre
Collector of Bellary.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 16th Instant, and with reference to the 3rd para thereof to state that the arrangement proposed by you regarding the boundary line at Wudd has my full concurrence as only claiming for this Department limits, as previously settled, I can have no posible right to the 54 Acres & 20 Goontahs which by your accounts appear to have of old always been escluded from the Farm—The Nullah assisted by a stone or two here & there will as you very justly remark, make an exceellent natural boundary I trust therefore that the stones will be as speedily as posible permanently fixed in the spots of ground as shown both in rough sketches No 1-2-3+ and when so fixed for good on your application to him, the Brigadier General commanding will doubtless direct the Map to be altered so as to exclude the 54 Acres & 20 Goontahs from the Wudd land held by the farm—in all other respects it agrees I believe with present limits.

The explanation you have done me the favor to offer regarding your not having addressed this Department direct, concerning the boundaries of the farm is fully satisfactory & duly appreciated by me.

+ with the alterations in the wudd land as above.

I regret that you apprehend difficulties in the range of Hills before ceded, being restored to this Department I trust they may not prove insurmountable as looking on the question in any and every point of view, I consider the transfer most desirable as both the Public battle and revenue will be most assuredly benefitted and that too without as far as I can see, the slightest sacrifice of any just private interests and without any infringement on the rights or comforts of any parties.-

Commissary Office, C. D.
Bellary 21st July 1851

/Signed/ F. Harris
Sub A. Comy General
C. D.

/True copy/
/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.-

No 8.

To Lieutenant F. Harris
Sub At Comy General, C. D. Bellary.-

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that all the stones are now placed round the Farm and some are numbered. There remains only to fix them firmly with a little Masonry. I have therefore to request you will give orders for a responsible person in your Department to inspect the Boundary as now marked out which I trust is quite correct. The Tahsildar will be ordered to attend himself and to deliver the duplicate of a Memo. signed by him showing

showing the position of the stones which if correct, I request you will cause to be signed by your Officer and countersigned by yourself and returned to me.-

Bellary Collrs Office,
on Circuit at Ramandroog
1st November 1851

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.-

/True copy/
/Signed/ C. Pelly.-
Collector.-

No 9.
No 1179

To C. Pelly Esqr
Collector of Bellary.-
Ramandroog.-

Sir,

With reference to the concluding part of your letter, I have the honor to inform you that the Tahsildar of Comply accompanied the Public battle Mutuddy to inspect the Boundaries and they were found to be quite correct.-

1st November 1851.

Enclosed is the Memo. signed and countersigned as you wish.-

Commissariat Office,
C. D. Bellary
18th November 1851.

/Signed/ F. Harris
Sub A. Comy General C. D.

/True copy/
/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.-

No 10.

To Brigadier General P. M. Steel, C. B.
commanding
Ceded Districts.-

Sir,

With reference to my letter of 16th and

yours

Fort St George 16th August 1852

yours of 20th June last, I have the honor to report that the stones round the Cattle Farm at Soolan: poor have now been all put down their position approved of by the Commissariat Department, I have therefore the honor to request that the Map prepared by the Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General may now be corrected and completed - certain alterations in the position of the stones referred to, in my letter to you of the 28th June last have been made and in these parts the Map will perhaps have to be corrected.-

I would request that the larger stones - which are all numbered be shown in the Map. Their position is laid down in the accompanying Memo. which I request may be returned.-

I would also request that a portion of land in the vicinity of the stones № 22 to 25 which appertains to the Village of Yettanur: -hutty belonging to the Rajah of Soondoor be distinctly shown in the Map by a different colour - The talook authorities will be directed to point it out.-

When the Map is completed I should be obliged by its being forwarded for my inspection before

Fort St George 16th August 1852

before a duplicate is made.-

Bellary, Collr. Office,
on circuit at Ramandroog
29th November 1851

Signed / C. Pelly
Collector.

A true copy
Signed / C. Pelly.
Collector.-

№ 11.
№ 213.

To
C. Pelly Esqre
Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 29th November last - and to inform you in reply that after returning from my annual tour of inspection through the Division, I will direct the Deputy Assistant - Quarter Master General to make such alterations in the Map of the Sultanpore Farm as may be required by the new arrangement of the boundary stones.-

Head Quarters, Ceded District,
Camp Gooty, 9th December 1851

Signed / J. W. Steel Brigt.
General Commanding C.D.

A true copy
Signed / C. Pelly.-
Collector.-

Statement

9255 St George

No 12 Statement th the Statement

Board of

and Given up

former account

Assessment

11.

1				
			R	A P.
Circar Arable Lan	310	5	0	
Porumpoke	0	0	0	
Enam land for				
other lands given	138	2	2	
Resumed Enams	0	0	0	
Soondoor Land	0	0	0	
	448	7	0	
Bellary				

16th August 1852

sent to the Board of Revenue with Collectors letter dated 14th

Revenue dated 17th July 1852.

by the Farm. Comparison

As per present account				Increase				Decrease			
Acres		Assessment		Acres	Assessment			Acres		Assess: ment	
12		13		14	15			16		17	
Acres	G a	R a	P	Acres	G a	R	A P	Acres	G a	R	A P
1391	35 11	301	5 7	0	0 0	0	0 0	61	35 10	9	0 5
2900	39 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0
Enam land											
1454	22 3	130	13 3	0	0 0	0	0 0	37	398	7	4 11
0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0
0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0
4747	16 11	432	2 10	0	0 0	0	0 0	99	352	16	8 4

Signed/ C. Pelly

Collector

Resolved

9255

St George

No 17 Statement th the Statement

Board of

16th August 1852

sent to the Board of Revenue with Collectors letter dated 11th
Revenue dated 17th July 1852.-

	Land Given up			by the Farm.				Comparison			
	former account			As per present account				Increase		Decrease	
	Acres	Assessment		Acres	Assessment			Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment
1	11.			12	13			14	15	16	17
	Rd	A P.		Acres	Rd	A P		Acres	Rd A P	Acres	Rd A P
Circar Arable Land	310 3 0			1,391 35 11	301 5 7			0 0 0	0 0 0	61 35 10	9 0 5
Porumpoke	0 0 0			2900 39 0	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Enam land for				Enam land							
other lands given	138 2 2			454 22 3	130 13 3			0 0 0	0 0 0	37 398	7 4 11
Resumed Enams	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Soondoor Land	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0 0			0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	448 7 0			4717 16 14	432 2 10			0 0 0	0 0 0	99 352	16 8 4

Bellary

Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Resolved

B. No. 1696

Proceedings thereon.—

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Bellary with its enclosures relative to fixing the boundary limits of the Public Cattle Farm in that Dis:trict and recom:mending that the boundaries lately settled may not be disturbed: that the actual charge for fixing the stones may be sanctioned; and that the Dr Mr. General's Depart:ment may be requested to name the Map in dup:licate upon the boundaries now defined:—

12.13

To Govt 27th May 1850 Nos 1 to 3.

To Govt 27th May 1850
*From Do 27th June inclos 4th July 1850.—
From Do 13th December 1851 inclos 5th June 1852.—

No. 352

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures, be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honble the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the *Margin.—

2.— In 1849 the extent of the Public Cattle Farm at Suttumpoor near Bellary was 11,767 Acres of land and consisted of

From Govt 28th Augt inclos 3d September 1849.—

	Assessed	Enam	Total
Hills and Perumbokes Acres 11233.—	2799 —	735 —	11,767.—

3.— In 1850 the portion which it was considered would not be required for the purposes of the Farm was 4847 acres consisting of

	Assessed	Enam	Total
Acres	2901 —	1454 —	492 — 4847 —

thus reducing the original extent to

acres	8,331 —	1346 —	242 — 9919.—

4.— Under the orders conveyed in Extract Minutes of Consultation 27th June 1850 it was directed that the limits thus reduced should be defined by means of permanent boundary stones.

that

J.R.

that part of the Enams should be exchanged and the remainder resumed and that a Map of the limits in Duplicate should be prepared by the Quarter Master General's Department and one copy lodged with the Collector and the other with the Commis:sariat Officer.—

Paras 4 and 6. Encl. No. 12.

5. From the Collector's present re:port and enclosures it is observed that a farther portion of 62 Acres of Assessed and 38 Acres of Enam lands have been included in the Farm, as some misunder:standing had arisen regarding the intend:ed position of boundary stones in that locality. The portion not required for the purposes of the Farm is now stated to be

	Hills & Perumbokes.	Assessed	Resumed Enam.	Total
Acres	2901	1392	454.	4747.

limiting the Farms in extent to

	8332	1407	280. 10019.

Para 5. which is however exclusive of 539 Acres of land in Yettinhully a Village of the Jaghire of the Rajah of Soondoor and although not included in the former account has yet been considered as forming part of the Farm and no objection taken by the Rajah to its use for that purpose. This addition makes the total extent of the Farm 10,558 Acres.—

Paras 8 and 9.

Advertising

6. Adverting to Extract Minutes of Consultation of 13th December 1851 the Collector points out that the limits of the 10,558 Acres have been defined by means of permanent boundary stones and recommends that the proposal to retransfer to the Farm the Eastern range of Hills which once formed a part of it, but which had been relinquished under the orders of Government of 11th December 1849, should not now be acceded to, but that the orders of 1849 which were confirmed by those dated 27th June 1850, upon a full representation from both the Civil and Military Departments be considered final, except so far as the trifling modifications now reported may affect those orders.

Paras 12 and 15.

In Cons. 17 Dec. 1849.

Para 16.

7. The following are his chief reasons for not altering the limits of the Farm.-

Because it would involve the necessity of defining it afresh by permanent boundary stones, it would interfere with private rights and deprive the inhabitants of neighbouring Villages, and the Bringaries resorting to them, from pasturing their Herds.-

Because the main ground for extending the Farm to it's original limits is to obtain

obtain Forage for about 65 Camels, and this has been already conceded by allowing them to graze on the Hills in question. Because the proposed saving of food for the Camels might be procured by storing Forage from the wide range of the present Farm in which only 216 Bullocks graze and because cultivated fields intervene between the western range within the Farm & the Eastern which it is now sought to add to it, and if transferred would give rise to disputes and complaints between the Camel or Cattle Drivers and the Cultivators.-

8. The Board would observe that the existing limits of the Farm give upwards of 26 acres per head to the number /216/ actually grazing on it

From Lieut. Harris to Commr. General 16th Oct. 1849 para 6. Encr. B From Govt. 11th in Cons. 17th December 1849.

9. They also remark that Lieutenant Harris admits that during the operations against Kurnool upwards of 2,000 Bullocks and 200 Camels subsisted on the original limits of the Farm for upwards of 5 months without any expense for dry Forage. while Major Brenner a former Commissariat Officer of long experience at Bellary

From Major Brenner to Commr. General 17th December 1836.- Enclosure B. D. D.

considered that extent to be amply sufficient for 1,000 Bullocks which was more than double the number then subsisting on the Farm and Mjor Macleane would appear to have reiterated this as the opinion of the Commissary General.

10. Under these circumstances & for the reasons assigned by the Collector, the Board are of opinion that the boundaries of the Farms as lately settled should not be disturbed.-

Estimate sanctioned by Govt. 27th June 1850 para 3.

11 The Board recommend that the Bill for actual charges for fixing the permanent boundary stones amounting to Rs. 393-9-[illegible] Three hundred and Ninety three and Annas Nine may receive the sanction of Government and be debited to the Military Department and that the Quarter Master General's Depat. be required to frame the Map in duplicate upon the boundaries now defined.-

Sanctioned Do. para 4.

Read the following Statement-

9260

Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1821.

Statement Shewing the Amount of Arrears due to the undermentioned

14

No. of the Certificate	Name of the Enamdar.	Amount of allowance per Annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which arrears are due.	Total amount of Arrears.	Explanation of the Cause of Suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Order of Government.
		Rupees a ℘				Rupees a ℘			
	Hussunully aged 67 years Dehaut Enamdar of Magalairy in Arcot Taluk and Son of the late Mahomed Suffy Khan.-	278 - -	13th June 1851.	Commutation allowance.	For Fusly 1261 or from 12th July 1851 to 11th July 1852 being one year.	278 - -	The Enamdar has been prevented by sickness from attending at the Collector's Cutcherry to receive his allowance within the prescribed period as he has now appeared and claims the arrears due to him the acting Collector recommends with reference to the Board's Circular orders dated 15th February 1847 that sanction be obtained for discharging the arrears in Column 7 and for continuing the allowance.-		

Carvetnuggher, 28th July 1852. (Signed) H. A Brett
Acting Collector.

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry,
16th August 1852.-

9261 Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Bd. No. 1684. No. 351.

Resolution thereon. Under the circumstances

(14-15) represented by the Acting Collector

the Board recommend that

Sanction be granted for the

payment of the arrears due

to Hufsun Ally from 12th July

1851 to 11th July 1852 amounting

to Rupees (278-0-0) Two hun-

-dred and Seventy eight, and for

the continuance from the latter

date of that allowance to him

annually.-

9262 Fort St. George 16th August 1852

Read the following Letter.

No. 50

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(15)

Bd. No. 1899

From the Acting Coll.r of North Arcot

Submits the report called for by Govern:-ment relative to the occu:-pation of the ground de:-signed for the residence of the natives of the garrison of Arnee.-

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated the 8th June 1852, and the order of Govern:-ment requiring a report thro' the Board of Revenue, relative to the occupation of the ground designed for the residence of the Natives of the Garrison at Arnee; I have the honor to state that the space in question is occupied in the manner described by the Officer commanding in his letter dated the 13th March 1852.-

2. The several classes in possession of the huts &c. have been called upon to remove; but to this they object, that the ground was occupied with the sanction of the Officers in command, and that any order obliging them to remove now would entail on them the greatest distress.-

3. As regards the civil in:-habitants it is alleged by some of them, that

that they occupied their houses to previous
to 1834, when the military limits were fixed;
and that the ground on which their houses
stand was included within those limits,
on the understanding that they should not
be disturbed. The Pensioners have averred
in general, that the ground on which they
have built was given for the purpose so
far back as 1813. If they are not the original
occupants, they state that they have
succeeded to the house of others by purchase.

4 As there is reason to believe
that some of the occupants have been in
possession from a very long period; and
that persons generally have been allow-
-ed to take possession, without any
notice that the ground might be required
again; I think it would be severe
measure to remove them at once as
persons possessing no sort of claim. But
on the other hand all the different claims are
not equally strong; and the parties who
have turned some of the land into gardens,
or who have attached more land to a house
than is necessary, are entitled to less
consideration than those who occupy only
sufficient

sufficient ground for a single hut.

5. I have therefore requested the
Sub Collector to make a personal investi-
-gation on the spot, and, in communication
with the Officer commanding to see if he
cannot by making some distinction of
this kind provide as much room as may be
required for the Military residents, and the
details and families of the 9th 35th and
43d Regements.

North Arcot ———
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 6th August 1852

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Ordered that the follow-
-ing letter be sent in consequence.

To The Acting Collector of
North Arcot

Sir,

With reference to your
letter of 6th August 1852, I am directed by the
Board of Revenue to request that you will
be so good as to expedite the enquiry of
the Sub Collector into the claims of the
occupants of certain ground within the
Military limits of the Cantonment of
Arnee

(No 17)

B. No. 169.
To The Acting Collector
of North Arcot.
Inborn 16th
Augt. 1852

Annex, and report the arrangement which he may be able to effect with as little delay as possible—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th August 1852

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Ordered also that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

No. 317—

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

16.18
Bd. No. 1875

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

Sir,

With reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 8th June 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government the accompanying letter from the acting Collector of North Arcot reporting on the occupation of certain ground within the Military limits of the Cantonment of arrack by persons who are unconnected with the Garrison of that place—

2. It will be observed that the residents object to quit the ground because they have been in possession of it for several years under the sanction of the

the authorities—Mr. Brett does not consider the claims of all these parties equally strong and he has accordingly deputed his Sub Collector to make such an arrangement in communication with the Commanding Officer as will provide sufficient room for the Military inhabitants—

3. The Board have directed the Collector to expedite the enquiry of his sub Collector and to report the arrangement which he may be able to effect with as little delay as possible

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
16th August 1852—

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the following letter
No. 51.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

Bd. No. 1898.

Sir,

From the acting Collector of North arcot—

I have the honor to request you will obtain the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the disbursement of Battah according to the enclosed Statement, to the Talook Goomastahs of this District who attended on the Public Works Commissioners—

I

9268 Fort St. George 16th August 1852

Encr. No. 1.

Statement Shewing the Particulars of Batta payable to the undermentioned Talook Goomastahs who proceeded to Madras to attend on the Commissioners of enquiry into the System of Public Works

Names	Employment	Pay per mensem	Time occupied in travelling and the period during which they stayed at Madras on duty	Number of Days	Batta per Day	Total amount of Batta payable
		Rs. a.			Rs. a.	Rs. a.
Venkata Row	Goomastah in Arcot Talook	9 8.	Left Arcot on the 25th April 1852 and arrived at Madras on the 28th Idem	4		
			Remained at Madras from 29th April 1852 to 13th May 1852	15		
			Left at Madras on the 14th May 1852 and arrived at Arcot on the 17th Idem	4		
				23	" 12 "	17 4 "
Rajah Row	Goomastah in Cavery pauk Talook	9 8.	Left Wallajapet on the 22d April 1852 and arrived at Madras on the 29th Idem	3		
			Remained at Madras from 25th April to 15th May 1852	21		
			Left Madras on the 16th May 1852 and arrived at Wallajapet on the 19th Idem	4		
				28	" 12 "	21 " "
				Total Rupees		38 4 "

North Arcot Collector's Circuit, Cutcherry, Palmanair 7th August 1852. / Signed / H. S. Brett
Acting Collector

Enclosure

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

B. No. 1677
Proceedings
(19.20)

From Board 15th Dec. 28
To D. 16th Jan. 29

thereon. The Board of Revenue in reference to the request to the Acting Collector for the payment of Batta to the Goomastahs alluded to in the foregoing letter, would call his attention to the orders of Government published at Page 92 of the Civil Absentee Rules and they direct that Batta may be disbursed as therein prescribed.

---

(21)

B. No. 1661
Proceedings thereon.

A 3,12861-1-7
B 3,33322-12-4
Difference 20,158-10-9

Read again Statement of Demand Collection and Balance from the Collector of South Arcot dated 26th July and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 2d August 1852 for the Month of June last.

The Board observe that the B Treasury Receipts do not correspond with the A collections entered in columns 8 and 11 of Statement No. 1 This discrepancy seems to proceed from this Statement not including certain Items of Extraordinary Revenue, These should be inserted in Statement No. 1 with reference to the Board's instructions dated 3d May 1852. should there be no space in that Statement for the insertion of all the items they may be exhibited in the Gross and the details

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

details thereof as regards the different items Composing them given in the last page. The Collector has omitted to distinguish the actual Money receipts from those of transfers &c. under the head of Current Collections with reference to the instructions contained in the Circular bearing the above date.

These particulars should be carefully shown for the future.

Read again Return of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of the Public servants from the Collector

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Collector of Tanjore dated 20th July and entered in Miscella-neous Consultation 2d August 1852, for the Quarter Ending 30th June last

B. No. 1680.

Proceedings thereon

22

Resolved that the foregoing Statement be re-turned to the Collector with a request that he will resubmit it in the Book Form

---

Read the follow-ing letter

To

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

No. 61.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

23

B. No. 1824.

From the Collr. of Tanjore Furnishing the information called for respecting the establishment of a Vadi at Negapatam and certain irregularities in connection with the Head Assistant Collectors Cutcherry.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow-ledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14th June accompanied by Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th idem calling upon me to ex-plain why I permitted the establish-ment of the Vady on such objectiona-ble conditions as those shewn in the acting Collectors report of the 1st May on Petition submitted to Government against the proceedings of the Head Assistants Cutcherry, into which I am also directed further to enquire and report-

2. The establishment known as Vady has been totally and entirely abolished the enclosure and the Sheds having been re-moved and the Bandymen resorting to Nega-patam permitted to come and go with-out interference. Mr. Menchin has been relieved of his charge as Head Assistant and the miscellaneous duties

duties of a Second Assistant made vue to him, the Amildar of the Head Assistants Cutcherry being suspended according to the instructions of Government.

In obedience to the orders contained in para 15 of the Extract under reply directing that the general and individual charges contained in Petition No. 466 should be enquired into my first step was to procure the presence of the writer of the Petition if possible, that he might aid in substantiating the charges he had made; and with this view a notice was affixed in a public place at Negapatam informing the party that his presence was required in answer to this requisition a petition signed in the name of Sivadrama Pillay was addressed to me, repeating several of the accusations entered in the original complaint to Government, but declining to appear personally for the purpose required. Under these circumstances in the absence of accuser or evidence, I have been unable to obtain credible proof against the parties charged tho' in my own opinion there is little moral doubt of the truth in part

part of some of the accusations, tho' on the whole they bear the stamps of very great exaggeration.

1st item — In the first charge the Amildar is accused of possessing amongst other property ready money to the amount of 60,000 Rupees, it is impossible to ascertain what he may be worth under this item.

2d item — In house property 15,000, on enquiry into this, the acknowledged property is not greater than might fairly be possessed, but there still remains a doubtful property, a large house as yet incomplete said to belonging to the Amildars Son-in-law, and from its appearance it can hardly be worth less than from 8 to 10,000 Rupees, I can not ascertain whose this house really is, but from the circumstances of the two parties I am inclined to think Mr. Wainhouse could not have acquired funds sufficient for its erection and that Mr. Alexander is the real owner.

3d item — The Amildar is charged with having possessed himself of lands to the value of 25,000 Rupees, this Statement I believe to be utterly untrue the Circar accounts shew a very trifling extent as his property, and only

only a portion of this newly acquired.

3. The second charge accuses the Amildar of fomenting quarrels in Negapatam and thereby receiving 15,000 Rs. from the Camalers, as also obtaining dry & Rast lands by intimidation.

This latter charge is untrue as regards the Amildar who has taken no waste land up for cultivation, and with regard to the former I can gain no proof, the heads of the Cummalers or left hand caste have been called up but as was to be expected they deny any payments whatever, and from their condition being the poorer of the two Castes / the operatives / it is absurd to suppose they ever could have paid anything like the amount entered in the complaint, they the left hands in a struggle of 20 years, duration being the wages have generally gone down until very lately when a number of the right hands were imprisoned for violent assault and from general report the petition under enquiry has been written by a right hand among the Brokers of Negapatam.

That

That monies may from time to time have been paid in the hopes of attaining the ends of the several parties in so bitter and long standing a quarrel as that existing in Negapatam is not improbable but proof is altogether wanting in the present instance.

4. In para 6 of the complaint the revenue reader of the Head Assistants Cutcherry is charged with making between 250 and 300 Rupees per Mensem by oppressing parties who come before him, this is assertion without any offer of proof or clue by which to ascertain its truth or falsity but from his position as revenue Javabnevis of two Taloks only the Statement if at all true can only be so to a very trifling extent.

5. In the 8th para the Moochee of the Cutcherry is accused of disposing of Rowanahs to the Salt pans for the purpose of conniving Salt surreptitiously sold. On enquiry into the practice observed relative

to

to the expenditure of Rowanahs it has appeared to me very defective particularly in allowing so low an officer as a Moochee to have any thing to do with these beyond the mere counting when supplied to the Peons and the System has been changed. Mr. Goodwyn examined the papers on hand as compared with the receipts and expenditure and no Rowanahs were missing which ought not to have been the case if the charges of the complainant in this head were true the Rowanahs are now all correct.

6. Para 10 charges the Head Peon with having accumulated 20,000 Rupees and with paying the Amildar Alexander 300 for his exchange from the situation of Jailor to that of Duffadar With regard to the first charge on enquiry into what property he possesses, I find that he has one and a half Caly of land which according to his Statement he purchased for 300 and odd Rupees many years since with the proceeds of presents made him while in attendance on the building of

of a large bridge by His Highness the Rajah of Tanjore, the amount seems large in comparison with the services rendered and probably was partly made up as stated and partly from pice Rings at His Highness expence this land too is entered in the name of the Head Peons Nephew apparently with the view of concealing his ownership and the only excuse offered for neglecting the transfer to his own name in obedience to proclamation lately made is but a lame one, namely that he did not consider such low Servants as Duffadars or Peons included in the general order for registry. It appears also that a few years back the Duffadar lent 250 Rupees to a man at Negapatam for the recovery of which he has now a Suit in Court and that another similar transaction took place 20 months since the house he now occupies being made over to him rent free in lieu of interest on the sum advanced, 250 Rupees besides this he has a house of his own for which he has refused 250 Rupees. This four items

constitute

constitute all the property acknowledged and I can gain no further information regarding his private or ready money estate.

With reference to the second charge Drowsing was nominated originally by myself to the Post of Sailor, and subsequently by my order changed to the Duffadarship of the Head Assistants Cutcherry prior to the appointment of Mr. Alexander as Amildar general report speaks of him as a man assuming much consequence from his position which he has taken advantage of and I have considered it advisable to remove him under my own eye.

7. In para 13 the Amildar is accused of cutting down trees for his brick Kilns on enquiry a Peon in his employ acknowledged with much hesitation that he had procured 2 boat loads of wood from up the Coast for the purpose of burning Chunam for the "new house" before alluded to as the doubtful property of Mr. Wainhouse this in addition to common report strengthens my opinion regarding Mr. Alexander being the real

real owner of the house in question.

8 In para 15 of the Government Proceedings my particular attention is called to petition No. 945 purporting to be from Sivadrama Pillay also. On a perusal of this document the original charges are repeated with a few additions and complaint made of imperfect enquiry on the part of Mr. Goodwyn.

9. In this second petition the Amildar is charged with obtaining 4,000 Rupees at the last disturbance in Nega-patam and with having procured the suspension of the Tahsildar who would not aid him in his prosecution.

The disturbance alluded to was a serious one and the Head Assistant Magistrate was personally engaged in preventing what threatened to end in a severe contest between the right and left hands in the Town on this occasion the right hands were so violent and overbearing that several were apprehended and sent to jail and among them Codaling a Pillay a notorious character who is referred to in the Petition as having been bribed to silence. On this occasion there was no need whatever for the left hands to bribe and the amount said to have been given is beyond all credit while on the other hand

hand the right may have been induced to make the charge, out of revenge for defeat sustained.

The suspension of the Tahsildar arose from irregular proceedings in a murder case under investigation, both the Magistrate and the Sessions Judge having noticed the irregularities for which he suffered punishment with this therefore the Amildar could have no concern.

10. From the enquiry made and the general expression of public opinion as far as Natives will speak out on matters not immediately concerning their own interests. I feel assured that considerable irregularity has been practised in the Head Assistants Office of which the Amildar is the Superintendant and as such allowed the exercise of authority dangerous to himself and the Circars in the absence of strict control, and I think that although there is not sufficient positive proof of corruption to warrant the punishment of Mr. Alexander the apparent value of his property is too great to have accrued from savings altogether lawfully obtained and under these circumstances

circumstances his resignation should in my opinion certainly be accepted.

11. With reference to my own Proceedings connected with the establishment of the Vady. I beg to explain that Mr. Minchin reported to me that the inhabitants of Negapatam experienced much inconvenience in consequence of the streets in the neighbourhood of the Bankshalls being constantly crowded with bandies and bullocks resorting thither with grain for export and that the bandymen would be much benefited also by having some space allotted to them for the purpose of halting their bandies, being obliged to cook V.ca in the public streets and further that as a remedy for the existing evil he had proposed the erection of a Vady, but having no funds for the purpose Mr. Wainhouse had been empowered to construct the sheds V.ca on consideration of receiving so much per head for each bullock and bandy taking advantage of the accommodation supplied. From personal observations I was well aware of the nuisance brought to my notice and tho' not altogether approving

3 piece and 1 piece.

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

approving of the means adopted for its removal I did not wish to check Mr. Minchin's zeal in a cause calculated to prove a real public benefit, and my assent was accordingly given to the arrangement under a full confidence that its working would be carefully superintended and in assenting it certainly was under the impression that resort to the Vady would only be expected from Bandymen who remained over night in the Town the object being to find accommodation for the parties thus situated. It appears however that the Contractor Mr. Wanhouse converted an intended convenience into an open nuisance a circumstance much to be regretted for had the contract been fairly carried out, I am convinced no hardship whatever would have been experienced by the bandymen, but on the contrary they would have been benefited.

About 6 Months subsequent to my assent being given Mr. Minchin personally informed me of the general satisfaction which had resulted from the steps taken towards accommodating the Bandymen and I was surprised on my return after 11 Months absence to find such confusion had ultimately occurred.

Tanjore Codicary
28th July 1852.

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Resolved.

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

B. & R. 1676.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Tanjore respecting the Establishment of a Vadi at Negapatam and certain irregularities connected with the Head Assistant Collector's Cutcherry noticing that such enclosures exist in the Madura District and that the Court of Wards have lately authorized the construction of one at Devakotta and stating the Board's views on the subject.

Ingress Egress & Revenue

23.24

No. 348.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 9th June 1852.

1. The Collector reports that Mr. Minchin has been relieved of his charge as Head Assistant that the Vadi has been abolished and the enclosure removed and that the result of the enquiry instituted, although shewing that considerable irregularity has been practised in the Head Assistant Collectors Office, of which the Salt Amildar was superintendent, yet does not afford positive proof to cause conviction or to warrant the punishment of the Salt Amildar.

2. The Collector is however of opinion that the resignation of the Amildar should be accepted and he points out that Dhrossing formerly Head Peon and recently holding the Post of Jailor has been removed to a situation at the Bosgoor.

3. Mr. Bishop enters into a full and satisfactory explanation of the reasons which induced him to assent to the

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

the establishment of the Vadi which originated in a proposal from Mr. Minchin, which under proper Ma= nagement should have been a pub= lic benefit. He points out that 4 Months after its establishment Mr. Minchin personally enformed him of the general satisfaction which it gave, and he is confident that it would have continued to work well, if it had been carefully su= perintended and if the terms of the Contract had been fairly ob= served by the Contractor.-

5. The Board do not deem it necessary to offer any further obser= vation than to point out that Vadies or Pettahs forming enclosures for Cartle= exist in the Madura District and that as Court of Wards they recently authorized the construction of one at the Town of Shevagunga under the proviso that compulsory means should not be used to force the people to place their cartle within its precincts, and that payment should be made only by those who wish to avail themselves of the protection afforded. If such conditions had been observed in the Negapatam Vady they are of opinion that it would have continued to afford the general satisfaction which was expressed after 4 Months of its esta= blishment.

From Madura 5th Jan. to 22d July. 52. Resolution thereon 22d Do.

J.P.B.

Read

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

Read the following letter.

No. 60.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(25)

Bd. No. 1774.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Petition No. 770 of 1852.-

Replying to Mr. Cunliffe's letter of the 16th Ultimo and reporting on the claim set up by Copanayengar to the Sole Putta for certain lands obtained by Durkhast tender in Fusly 1258.

Sir,

Para 1 I have had the honor to receive your Sub Secretary Mr. Cunliff's letter of the 10th Ultimo communicating the sentiments of your Board on an opinion expressed by me with reference to the claim set up by Copanayengar to the Sole Putta for certain lands obtained by Durkhast tender in Fusly 1258.-

2. It has been my great desire and object since the Annual Settle= ment of Fusly 1260 to endeavour to get all Puttahs to be made out in the name of the parties actually in possession of the land, cultivating the same and rendering the Government tax thereon. And I have in a great measure been able to carry out this desideratum, and exhibit in the Accounts by whom the lands are occupied, whether on mortgage

mortgage tenure, sufferance, occupancy or by purchase simple, yet not duly conveyed over, or registered according to such a purchase.-

3. Thus in the present case my object has been to issue the pattah to either party according to their holding and actual possession as well as occupancy.

4. There cannot be a doubt that from the very first taking up of this land and the digging of the Tank Copa-nayengar took Ragavyengar as his partner and made over to him the portion as stated in my endorsement of the 7th May last. It is also in evidence that both shared all expences incurred at first, but that Petitioner alone, only appeared before the authorities in obtaining the land. Had the facts been otherwise, why should both Copanayengar and Ragavayengar Execute a joint paper or obligation to their surety binding themselves, should they fail in their compact with Government for the land tax, to deliver up.

up all right, title and possession to the land and tank to this surety. It is in evidence also that each party paid the tax due on his respective portion of the land, tho' the first receipt was made out to Copanayengar in consequence of his holding the pattah.-

5. I am now after further consideration of opinion that the more equitable manner would have been to issue a joint Pattah to the parties, who have most certainly divided the land between them in certain allotments.-

6. Should your Board still desire it I am quite prepared to cancel my decision and to reissue the Pattah as heretofore.

The Original petitions and papers are all herewith returned.-

Trichinopoly, Collector's Office, 22d July 1852.
Bd No 1694.
Proceedings thereon.-
(25-26)

(Signed) J. Bird.
Collector

The Board have considered this case in all its bearings and are of opinion that the safest course for the Collector's adoption in this and similar cases of disputed proprietorship is to be guided by the facts proved by

by public documents, if any, favoring either party.-

2. Here the dust & past possession upon it, the receipts for the Government revenues, and finally the pattah for 3 years are on the side of the Petitioner Coopanyengar and outweigh the fact of the Surety bond being signed by himself and his opponent.-

3. The Board think that the Collector should continue present possession, issue the putta as heretofore, and refer the party thinking himself aggrieved to the Civil Court.-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 1090 and 1092.-

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector who has been instructed regarding him".-

27

From the Collector of - - - Trichinopoly. } Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his subordinates for Fusly 1261.-

27.28

Bd. No. 1658.

Proceedings thereon.

by each Officer

Mr. J. Bird Collector for 106 days.
" H. G. Smith Head assistant Collector for — 84 days

The Extra Tent allowance drawn for the Numbers of days noted in the Margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.-

Ordered that a copy of the

the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor for his information.

Bd. No. 1683.

29

Proceedings.

Read letter from Collector of Madura dated 20th June 1852.-

Reporting on the settlement of the Minor Zemindar of Shevagunga for Fusly 1260.-

(Here enter No. 124)

The settlement was conducted by the Manager, who also superintended it in the two previous Fuslies. It is desirable that the Gummahundy should occasionally be made by an European Officer, or in his stead by the Head or Naib Sheristadar.-

This plan was pursued in Fuslies 1250-53.

2. The year reported upon was a favorable, giving more extended cultivation and better crops than it's predecessor afforded; the Zemindar's share was greater by 1-1-2 6/10 per Cawney than in the previous Fusly and was somewhat in excess of the average of Fusly 1258, the Enams and remissions for withered Crops being deducted the extent of cultivation is given in Para 5, but as in this Abstract, the odd 315 coroos Nunjah have been omitted from that heading and added to the Poonjah Estimate, the more correct account is given in

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

Statement 1 which shows the actual cultiv-
=ation to have been.-

	Cawnies
Fusly 1259 ——	71,485
1260 ——	79,666
Increase ——	8,181.

Cawnies composed of an Increase in Nunjah of 7,555, Poonjah 604 and Garden 22 Cawnies.-

3. A plentiful harvest in the surrounding country lowered the price of grain so that the Zemindar's share was disposed of at greatly diminished rates, the Settlement in money was nevertheless higher than that of the previous year by Rupees 26,919. as noted in marginally.

Fusly 1259. 364,883.
1260. 391,803.
26,920

4. Of this Junama Rupees 159,284 were collected within the Fusly and 211,687. up to the end of April 1852. leaving a balance of 20,832 Rupees, the small amount of collections within the Fusly and the greater part of the eventual balance were occa-
=sioned by the faulty system prevailing in the Zemindary of dispos-
=ing of the rents received in Kind. No

remedy.

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

remedy can well be applied during the existing uncertain tenure of the Estate. though should the present possession be confirmed, measures should then be taken for introducing a Money Settlement.-

5. The Peshcush /2,62,500 Rupees/ has been realized in full, though at a long interval.-

No. 10. 6. A Statement is submitted of the Balance outstanding for Fusles 1258 and 1259. and also an Account rendered of the old

No. 11. arrears from Fusly 1250 to 57. This latter has been furnished with diffeculty, and is the cause of the delay in the report of the Settlement. Both Statements are con-
=fused and unsatisfactory arising from the several grain transactions consequent on the existing mode of disposing of the produces.-

Para 25. 7. The Collector promises a report upon the old Arrears, in reviewing which the Court will take also into consideration the Balances from.

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

Statement 1 which shows the actual cultiv-
ation to have been.-

	Cawnies
Fusly 1259 ——	71,485
1260 ——	79,666
Increase ——	8,181

Cawnies composed of an Increase in Nunjah of 7,555, Poonjah 604 and Garden 22 Cawnies.-

3. A plentiful harvest in the surrounding country lowered the price of grain so that the Zemindar's share was disposed of at greatly diminished rates, the Settlement in money was never-
Fusly 1259. 3,64,883. theless higher than that of the previous
1260. 3,91,803. year by Rupees 26,919. as noted in
26,920 marginally.

4. Of this Junama Rupees 1,59,284 were collected within the Fusly and 2,11,687. up to the end of April 1852. leaving a balance of 20,832 Rupees, the small amount of collections within the Fusly and the greater part of the eventual balance were occa-
sioned by the faulty system prevailing in the Zemindary of dispos-
ing of the rents received in Kind. No

remedy.

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.-

remedy can well be applied during the existing uncertain tenure of the Estate. though should the present possession be confirmed, measures should then be taken for introducing a Money Settlement.-

5. The Peshcush 2,62,500 Rupees has been realized in full, though at a long interval.-

No. 10. 6. A Statement is submitted of the Balance outstanding for Fuslies 1258 and 1259. and also an Account rendered of the old
No. 11. arrears from Fusly 1250 to 57. This latter has been furnished with difficulty, and is the cause of the delay in the report of the Settlement. Both Statements are con-
fused and unsatisfactory arising from the several grain transactions consequent on the existing mode of disposing of the produce.-

Para 25. 7. The Collector promises a report upon the old arrears, in reviewing which the Court will take also into consideration the Balances from.

Fort St.t George 16.th August 1852.

from the date of the Collectors management.-

No. 12. 8. The accounts of the Cutanoor Sub Division have been finally closed by the payment of the balance of Rupees 4,905-2-1. which the Court sanction.

9. The Minor has been removed to Madura as report:ed by the Collector under date In Cons: 28.th June 1852. 8.th June 1852. and his tutor having lately deceased, measures are in progress for securing the services of a competent Successor.-

Fort St.George 16.th August 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 143

To W.H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.George.-

30

B.o No. 1949

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Para 1.

Forwarding copy of a letter addressed by the Rev.d Septs. Hobbs a Mis:sionary in this District containing the information regarding the Hill tribes in the District called for in the Board's Circular of 1.st July last.-

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose copy of a letter addressed to my acting Head Assistant Mr. Ballard by the Reverend Septimus Hobbs a Missionary in this District dated 28.th Ultimo which contains the information regarding the Hill tribes in the District called for in your Circular of 1.st Idem.-

2. The Board will perceive that the account here given, which is fuller and more accurate than I could have hoped to obtain by means of inquiries expressly set on foot by means of the Officers of Government, agrees very closely with what Mr. Michael describes to be the condition of the Mulsers in Coimbatore and indeed coincides with which might be

escpected

expected from the relative social and intellectual condition of Hill Peters and the Hill tribes. —

3.- It appears to me very doubtful whether the abolition of the renting system would serve to better the condition of the Hill people at all - If the Renters oppress them they also have an interest in maintaining them and the Hills are so unhealthy during the greater part of the year that the Officers of Government could not do much to protect its inhabitants from wrong, and they will never come down to our kutcherries for redress.

4.- I think the most we can safely do is to fix a Nerruck for Hill produce to be paid by the Renters to the Hill people who gather it, and to take opportunities when the climate allows, to ascertain that the same is fairly paid whether in money or in kind, and to prevent unauthorized interference with the Cultivator of the Hill people and generally to make them know what their rights are, and as far as possible protect them from any invasion of them. —

Tinnevelly
Tencaulsey
9th August 1852

Signed/ C. I. Bird
Collector.

My

No 1

My Dear Mr Ballard.

I am very glad to hear that you have expressed an interest in the welfare of the poor inhabitants of the neighbouring mountains and a wish to have some particulars respecting them.

It was on a little excursion into the mountains, about five years ago that I first met with these people by accident - if indeed any thing can be said to happen by accident - But the place in which I met them being within my spiritual charge I felt most desirous of searching out their habitations in order if possible to make known the Gospel of Christ to them - since that time I have searched after them as opportunity has offered and my other duties allowed, and I have found four or five different settlements the inhabitants of which amount altogether (ie men, women, and children included) to about 150 souls - I have also ascertained that there are many other settlements in other parts of the Mountains and that there is reason to believe that the tribe

is

is exceedingly numerous, though scattered over an immense extent of country and dwelling in detached settlements with very little communication between them.-

The settlements which I have visited are very near to one another, being not more than 10 or 15 Miles apart.

The people I speak of are called Maliaraser contracted I think sometimes into Marser. but I have also heard of another race of men whom I have not yet seen called Palinger - The Maliaraser alias Araser, alias Marser, live in little huts built of sticks either upon the ground, or / as I have heard but not yet seen / in trees - The Palinger are said to live in caves and not to build at all They inhabit very different localities from the others - I have not yet seen them but have planned a journey in search of them, to take place D.V. in September next.-

I mention these two different races lest you might feel puzzled at some things which I mention different from accounts which you may have had from other sources.-

But I find that I am getting diffuse

diffuse and if I were to say all that occurs to my mind I should write a Volume instead of a letter - I shall therefore confine myself to things which may prove practically useful and describe to you as well as I can the present state of the people whom I have seen - you will then be able to judge whether any thing can be done to ameliorate their condition.-

The mountains in which they live are let out by the Government to different Contractors - That is some of the men live in mountains under one Contractor and some in those of another - The accounts which the Araser give of the oppressions of the Contractors are very affecting and the state of abject poverty in which they exciat is a strong presumptive proof that they are under grievous oppresion - They are all very small meagre and thin bearing marks they are a people struggling for a mere subsistence - They are said to have diminished much in number and I have reason to believe not only that this is true but that they would soon cease to exciat in this part of the Mountains unless they received / as they do / continual little reinforcements from Travancore.-

The

The Araser do not seem at all inclined to aggravate their case. I have repeatedly asked them whether the Contractor's people beat them - and they always say "No" The Contractors people frighten them, telling them that if they do not do as they are ordered they will shoot them and so on, but do not proceed to the extremity of laying violent hands upon their persons.

But the Araser tell me and I believe most truly - that the Contractor's people treat them in the manner following.

They positively forbid the Araser to buy, sell, or barter with any but themselves.

Perhaps the Government Contract authorises them to forward the Mountaineers to sell certain articles except to themselves -

Be that as it may, I cannot think that they are authorised to forbid the poor fellows to buy even a cloth, or a little salt from any one but the Contractor what a power of reducing men to miserable poverty does this single principle / what the Contractors claim and exercise to this day / put into their

their hands -

2. When the Contractors are about to barter with the Araser they first divide all the produce which the Araser have procured into two portions, one containing 3/5 and the other 2/5 of the whole. The lesser portion they take to themselves calling it their ~~taxe~~ For this they offer no consideration whatever -

3 For the remaining 3/5 they pretend to barter but -

/1/ They never pay for any thing in money -

/2/ As they monopolise the right of dealing with the Araser they are able to fix their own price on the articles which they give in exchange for the mountain produce and fix their values very high - For example, they divide an ordinary Cloth into four and value each of those four parts at three fanams -

/3/ The Contractor's people measure the mountain produce with a measure of their own, which is stated to be twice as large as the ordinary measure -

/4/ By shaking and pressing with their

their fists and piling up the pro-
duce, they are said to make even
this large measure hold twice as
much as in fair dealing it would
hold.

15/ The Arawer tell me that if
after the above exactions and nomi-
nal barter, any produce remains
for which they have brought nothing
to offer in exchange they take the
remainder promising to compen-
sate for it at some future time,
but never fulfil that promise.

16. The Arawer suffer much from
many diseases especially fever and small
pox. When these maladies rage as epidimics
the Arawer not knowing how to treat them,
not unfrequently suddenly desert the place
as the only means of saving their lives. On
such occasions it is said, that the Contractors
people enter the houses of the dead and take
away every thing that they can find; and that
the surviving relations can never get it back.
I do not find that the Contractors
people

people have ever done any thing to help the Arawer to
proper remedies for their diseases. The poor fellows were
utterly ignorant of vaccination until I told them of
it. Many of them now wish to be vaccinated, and
I have made the necessary arrangements for having
it done.

5. The Arawers cultivate several plots of ground,
which they call grardens. There are I should imagine
four or five Jungalies cultivated near each settle-
ment. The ground cultivated is seldom if ever
the most level which could be procured, but is gene-
rally very sloping, being the side of a hill. Their
reason for this I have never ascertained. The
Contractors people make no objection to the culti-
vation of land by the Arawer but at their plea-
sure go at, accompanied with people carrying
sacks, and entering the gardens of the Arawer
without asking permission, dig up sweet pota-
toes, tapioca and other roots; gather plantains ad
lib until the sacks are full and return with
their sacks full to the plains. The Contractors never
offer any thing in exchange for produce of this
nature, but when the Arawer object, tell them that
the land is theirs.

I have mentioned such things only as

I

I believe to be literally true - I have derived my information almost entirely from personal intercourse with the Fraser whom I can understand very well in common things, though their language is odd mixture of Tamil, Malayalum and I know not what besides - The contractors people would not of course exhibit their oppressions before the eyes of an European, and therefore I have only the testimony of the poor men themselves for some of the foregoing statements - I have however been present at the same time with the contractors people at one settlement, and witnessed the terror which they are capable of inspiring, and heard them make assertions which appeared to me to be equivalent to claiming the right to do as the Fraser accuse them of acting.

I have no doubt that these mountains are capable of very extensive cultivation, and would without any effort on the part of the Government, contain a thriving population, but for the oppression which I have mentioned. Numbers of these people come and having staid but a little while go away again.

The

The contractors, are under the present system, placed under circumstances of strong temptation and having no christian principle to control them I pity more than censure them.

I hope to be in Courtalum on Saturday next, when if you would like to hear more particulars respecting the Fraser I shall be most happy to converse with you on the subject or, if you would prefer to have further information in writing I shall be happy to correspond.

/Signed/ Stephenus Hobbs

Pavoor, July 28th 1852.

P.S. If you can make any use of the information contained in this letter either publicly or privately you are at prefect liberty to do so.

/True copy/
/Signed/ C. J. Bird
Collector.

30·31

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

32

No. 70

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

From the Coll. of Coimbatore

Affording information called

Sir I have the honor to acknowledge the

called for by the Board with reference to the land purchased by Captain Reilly at Sockatallah—

the Esctract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st Instant together with Minutes of Consultation of the 22d Ultimo and to explain for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the land purchased by Captain Reilly of the Burghers was land held on grass Puttah often as in this instance covered with low open Jungle, but fit for grazing Hill cattle it is for just such land, that I informed the

No 93

Board in my letter of the 25th September 1851 that I had resumed the grass Puttahs / that the land might revert to the Government waste and the Burghers have no right to sell it / allowing them to graze over it still free much Jungle land is so held by Burghers in the neighbourhood of their Villages were it is convenient for the cattle to graze, this will at once explain how the Burghers had it in occupation on yearly payment of a light grass tax and thus a title to sell it and yet a purchaser must have considerable expence in eradicating the Jungle and clearing it for a building or a Garden.—

2.— With reference to the Minutes of

of Consultation above alluded to I would beg to refer the Board to my letter of the 3d May 1852 in which I reported that the money was paid by Captain Reilly after I left the District on Medical Certificate and that the arrangement between that Gentleman and the Burghers was subsequently concluded definitely in my absence, and the whole has since been set aside by the order of Government and the land considered as Government waste as reported in Mr Underwood's letter of the 17th February No 13 Para 2 unless the Government should decide it belong to the Barracks as proposed in Colonel Reid's letter of 12th June No 26 it should be returned I think to the Burghers if to any one.—

Coimbatore 28th July 1852

Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector.—

Resolved

Fort St George 16th August 1852

Bd No 1676

Proceedings thereon.

32.33

No 316

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

Proceedings to Govt 27th May 1852
From Do 22nd June in Consn 1st July "
From Do 19th July in Consn 5th August "

2 It would appear that the right of the Burghers to the land was that of pasturage which they relinquished on receiving a consideration from Captain Reilly Mr Thomas states that the arrangement was concluded during his absence but as it has since been set aside by order of Government it remains to be decided whether as Government waste it should belong to the Barracks or should be returned to the Burghers.

3. In accordance with the wishes expressed by Government the Collector was requested to dispose of the papers communicated in Extract Minutes of Consultation 19th July 1852 in connexion with the present reference - but the order was despatched on the same day as that on which his present letter reached this Office.

RR

Fort St George 16th August 1852.

Read the following Letter

No 68.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St George.

34

Bd No 1796.

From the Collector of Canara

Furnishes, with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 13th April, a Statement of the proportion which the export trade of Grain in Canara bears to the home sales - and offers a few remarks to explain the opinion expressed on the influence of the prices in the Arabian Market on the rice trade of Canara.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to reply to the question contained in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 13th April, whether I can furnish a Statement of the proportion which the export trade of Grain in Canara bears to the home sales.

2. I fear that any Statement I might attempt to prepare could be very little depended upon. The Accounts we possess of the produce of land in this District are too vague to form a safe ground of Comparison. I believe that the Estimate made by Mr Blane in his report of the 20th September 1848. approaches as nearly to accuracy as any conjectural estimate of the kind is likely to do, and I therefore think it better to refer to it than to attempt to frame one for the purpose of illustrating

illustrating the subject now under discussion-

3. By Mr. Blane's Estimate the produce of Canara rice may be valued at Rupees 84,85,400- The exports of Canara rice were estimated at 15,69,400- That is to say, the exports are by this estimate one fifth of the Produce-

4. Having thus furnished as far as is in my power the information called for, I beg to offer a few remarks which I think are necessary to explain more fully the opinion I have expressed on the influence of the prices prevailing in the Arabian market on the rice trade of this District.-

5- I have been led to believe that our prices have been here influenced by the Bengal trade, because the Arabians having greatly enlarged their dealings in rice with Bengal which is cheapened by the return Cargo they will not resort to this market until our prices and the Bengal prices are brought to a par; distance of Carriage, quality &c. being all considered.-

6. If I understand the Board rightly

rightly they are of opinion that the exports to Arabia bear too small a proportion to the whole produce of the District, or to the whole of the exports of the district, to have so serious an effect upon the market as is indicated by the prevailing prices.-

7- I am led to believe that the proportion of one to the other does not materially influence the price- I conceive that if a Country produces more than is necessary for its own consumption, the price which its surplus can command must regulate the price of its whole produce, and whether the surplus actually parted with be large or small, this I believe will be the case.-

8. The harvests of this district and of those districts with which we deal will determine the price of each year- but the price never can rise higher than the price prevailing in the cheapest Country accessible to our customers- If therefore the price of Bengal rice has been cheapened to the

Arabians

illustrating the subject now under discussion-

3. By Mr. Blane's Estimate the produce of Canara rice may be valued at Rupees 84,85,400- The exports of Canara rice were estimated at 15,69,400- That is to say, the exports are by this estimate one fifth of the Produce-

4. Having thus furnished as far as is in my power the information called for, I beg to offer a few remarks which I think are necessary to explain more fully the opinion I have expressed on the influence of the prices prevailing in the Arabian market on the rice trade of this District.-

5- I have been led to believe that our prices have been here influenced by the Bengal trade, because the Arabians having greatly enlarged their dealings in rice with Bengal which is cheapened by the return Cargo they will not resort to this market until our prices and the Bengal prices are brought to a par; distance of Carriage, quality &c being all considered.-

6. If I understand the Board rightly

rightly they are of opinion that the exports to Arabia bear too small a proportion to the whole produce of the District, or to the whole of the exports of the district, to have so serious an effect upon the market as is indicated by the prevailing prices.-

7- I am led to believe that the proportion of one to the other does not materially influence the price- I conceive that if a Country produces more than is necessary for its own consumption, the price which its surplus can command must regulate the price of its whole produce, and whether the surplus actually parted with be large or small, this I believe will be the case.-

8- The harvests of this district and of those districts with which we deal will determine the price of each year- but the price never can rise higher than the price prevailing in the cheapest Country accessible to our customers- If therefore the price of Bengal rice has been cheapened to the

Arabians

Arabians whether by the increased products of Arracan and Chittagong, or by the advantage of a return Cargo of Salt, I think that the price in Canara would be regulated by that of Bengal even if the proportion which is actually sold to foreigners bore but a small proportion to the whole produce of the district.-

9. The Board have referred to instances in which large exports have been concurrent with low prices, and others again in which they have concurred with high prices. There have certainly been years in which the low prices in Canara have encouraged foreign demand, and others in which foreign demand has raised the prices in Canara, but I think this cannot affect the question unless the state of prices and the foreign exports of Bengal are also considered. I have not the opportunity of making this comparison, but I think it probable that the trade of the past season will tend to illustrate the subject.-

10. In the season which has just closed an unusually brisk foreign trade has been

carried on. The exports have been about the largest ever known, and yet prices in Canara have ruled unusually low. So low have they been, that I have just received a letter from the Superintendent of Anjengo asking me if I can explain why the prices in his division have ranged one fifth below the former price. I think the answer is, that during the abundant seasons we have lately had, prices have been upheld in hopes of a foreign demand, and a large stock has thus accumulated. For this, owing I have conjectured to the influence of Bengal rice, there has been no demand till prices fell.- When they did so, the ports were crowded with vessels and the trade was the most active I have ever seen. The export was enormous, but still prices remain low.-

11. At an early period in the season there was a rise in price in consequence of high prices in Bombay, but some large Bengal vessels arrived there, and prices fell and remained low till almost the close of the season.-

12. I find in our tables two years only

only in which the exports have been as large as in the past season.

These are the years - 1824/25 — Corges 34,804 — Average price Rs 90-10-4

1845/46 — 34,914 — 59-10-6

last year - 1851/52 — 34,813 — 50-3-5½

13. The average prices annexed will shew at what very low prices the exports of last year were sold in comparison with the previous seasons, and the subjoined Comparison shews this still more strongly, for it shews how large the exports at a low price were without causing any rise in price.

A year of Scarcity on the Eastern Coast.

Export of Rice by Sea from Canara.

	Official year 1845/46. Quantity Exported - Corges	M.	Rate per Corge of Rupees Rs	A	P	1851/52. Quantity exported Corges	M.	Rate per Corge Rs	A	P
May	3099	12	54	5	8½	3,498	20	54	6	6
June	101	31	54	5	8½	299	41	54	6	6
July	"	"	"	"	"	24	"	54	6	6
August	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
September	101	41	54	5	8½	163	4	48	9	"
October	612	3½	68	4	"	1029	18	48	"	"
November	977	6	68	4	"	995	38½	49	7	10
December	5,318	26	59	15	10½	3732	17½	49	6	11
January	6,915	26	59	8	6	6627	23½	49	14	"
February	6,021	½	58	11	9	5785	11	48	"	"
March	6125	31½	58	1	3	5374	16½	47	9	3
April	5640	35¼	60	6	"	7283	83¼	48	3	6
Total -	34914	3	596	4	6	34,813	30¾	552	6	"
Average			59	10	½	Average.		50	3	5½

Highest Exports in previous years:-

	Corges
Fasly 1222 - 1812/13 -	29,425.
1234 - 1824/25.	34804.
1248 - 1838/39 -	32,423.
1253 - 1843/44 -	29,913.

That

14. That the same export which raised the rates to famine prices in 1824, has now not raised them at all, may have been caused either by the abundant harvests of the late seasons and the increased importations of Mysore rice, or by the competition of Bengal rice in the Arabian and Bombay markets or by both - I am inclined to believe that the reduction in our prices has brought back our Customers from Bengal, and although I fully agree with the Board that the Accounts extend over too short a period for any safe conclusions to be founded upon them. I think there is reason to expect that prices will remain below what they used to be.-

15. The trade of this district being put upon a par with that of Bengal by the admission of Arabian Salt and eventually

Sanctioned under date 11th June 1852.

eventually, I hope of Bombay Salt, it only remains to give our Cultivators the advantage of good carriage to the Coast (see letter D. P. W. 24th June last.) for Government to have done all that is at present required. It will be interesting to watch the course of trade and Cultivation, but so long as no unnecessary impediments are placed in the way of fair competition, it is not likely to result in any practical measures. I have therefore thought that it will be more convenient to the Board if I endeavour to answer the question proposed in a separate letter than if I introduce the subject in detail into my Summabundy report.-

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
22nd July, 1852.

/Signed/ F. A. Maltby.
Collector

Resolved

B. No. 1682.

Proceedings thereon.-

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Canara with the Board reviews thereon, relative to the proportion which the export trade of Grain in Canara bears to the Home Sales, and explaining his former remarks on the influence which the prices in the Arabian Market have on the rice trade in his District.-

(Canara Land Revenue Settlt. Jud. Dept. 15th April 1852. Jud. Cons. 22nd August 1850.)

34.35

No. 349.

1. Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Para 6 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 23rd March 1852.-

2. It appears that there are not materials at hand to form a more accurate comparison of the proportion which the Export Trade of Grain in Canara bears to the Home sales than the Estimate framed by Mr. Blane in his report of 20th September 1848, by which the Gross Produce was valued at Rupees 84,85,400. and the Exports at Rupees 15,69,400 or one fifth of the Produce.-

3. The Collector explains that in his opinion that the prices prevailing in Canara are influenced by the Rice Trade of Arabia with Bengal was expressed under the belief that the Arabs had enlarged their dealings in Rice with Bengal, and would not resort to Canara unless its prices and those of Bengal were on a par and under the conviction that the prices of Canara would be regulated by the rates obtained for that portion of the Produce which is not required for the consumption of the District, whether such surplus be large or small.-

The

eventually, I hope of Bombay Salt, it only remains to give our Cultivators the advantage of good carriage to the Coast (see letter D. P. W. 24th June last) for Government to have done all that is at present required. It will be interesting to watch the course of trade and cultivation, but so long as no unnecessary impediments are placed in the way of fair competition, it is not likely to result in any practical measures. I have therefore thought that it will be more convenient to the Board if I endeavour to answer the question proposed in a separate letter than if I introduce the subject in detail into my Summabundy report.-

Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
22nd July, 1852.

/Signed/ F. A. Maltby.
Collector.

Resolved

B. No. 1682.

Proceedings thereon.-

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Canara with the Board's review thereon, relative to the proportion which the export trade of Grain in Canara bears to the Home Sales, and explaining his former remarks on the influence which the prices in the Arabian Market have on the rice trade in his District.-

Canara Land Revenue Settlement. Dy. 15th April 1852. Entd. 23rd Ditto.
In Cons. 22nd August 1850.

34.35

No. 349.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Para 6 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 23rd March 1852.-

2. It appears that there are not materials at hand to form a more accurate comparison of the proportion which the Export Trade of Grain in Canara bears to the Home sales than the Estimate framed by Mr. Blane in his report of 20th September 1848, by which the Gross Produce was valued at Rupees 84,85,400. and the Exports at Rupees 15,69,400 or one fifth of the Produce.-

3. The Collector explains that his opinion that the prices prevailing in Canara are influenced by the Rice Trade of Arabia with Bengal was expressed under the belief that the Arabs had enlarged their dealings in Rice with Bengal, and would not resort to Canara unless its prices and those of Bengal were on a par and under the conviction that the prices of Canara would be regulated by the rates obtained for that portion of the Produce which is not required for the consumption of the District, whether such surplus be large or small.-

The

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

4. The Board do not quite understand how the price of the surplus produce of Canara regulates that of it's whole produce whether the surplus exported be large or small, but they observe that in the season which has just closed the exports have been the largest ever known, yet prices have ruled unusually low as seen in the Memorandum of the Exports at the foot of Para 13 of his letter. The Board think that the prices have been influenced in a great measure by the abundant Harvests of the late seasons and the influx of Mysore Rice referred to in Para 14 and in no way in the manner supposed in Para 10 Viz.t that prices were kept up in expectation of a foreign Demand but were subsequently assimilated to the rates in Bengal in order to attract the Arabian Vessels. The hypothesis put forth by Mr. Maltby presupposes the existence of a general combination in Canara which can raise or lower Prices. The Board believe that it is otherwise in that District, and that local competition has it's due influence in the adjustments of the Market. Improved communications from the interior to the Coast will doubtless be accompanied by important advantages as supposed by Mr. Maltby in the concluding Para of his letter.—

Fort St. George 18th August 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 79.

To, J. D. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

36

B. No. 1719.

From the Collector of Malabar—

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 5th Instant I have the honor to forward an application in the prescribed form for the employment of an Ameen at Poodoonagrom in Palghaut.

Calicut, 13th July 1852.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Revenue

Fort St. George

Revenue Department. Statement of proposed alteration

	Receipts. Year.	Ordinary	Extraordinary	Charges. Ordinary	Total. Extraordinary	Receipts.	Net. Charges.	Surplus.	Deficit.
		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Actual as per Receipts and Disbursement	1848/49	2873093 2 .	32433	1105037	38 5 11	6,59,574	9 5 29,05,526	3 10 11,63,252	15 4 17,42,275 4 6 . .
Ditto — Ditto — Ditto	1849/50	2859408 9 9	18403 3	854477	4 4 3	6,14,543	6 7 28,77,811	13 5 11,59,317	10 10 17,18,194 2 7 . .
Ditto — Ditto — Ditto	1850/51	2840277 1 10	19199 8	4490984	8 5 .	6,13,757	10 9 28,59,476	10 2 11,04,742	3 2 17,54,734 7 . . .
Estimated as per sketch Estimate	1851/52	2833250 . .	18060 . .	526963	11 1	6,13,083	3 9 28,51,310 . .	11,40,046	14 10 17,11,263 1 2 . .

Extract from Home Department of Government India.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.	Proposition Permanent. Increase per Month.	Proposition Permanent. Decrease per Month.	Proposition Temporary. Increase per Month.	Proposition Temporary. Decrease per Month.	Casual or Extraordinary.	Grounds of proposition.	Local Orders of the Government of India. Home Department. Recommendation.	Financial Department. Remarks.	Home Department. Remarks.	Orders.
			Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P					
	District of Malabar	Pay of Sub Officer at Palghaut per Month Rupees — 17 - 8 - 0 Do. of ameen at Poothoonagarum Do. 8 - 4 - 0 Total Rupees 25 - 12 - 0 Proposed to be amalgamated and to make one useful responsible appointment to be styled Police ameen of Poothoonagarum on a salary of Rupees 25 - 12 - 0 per Month.						Vide letter from Head Assistant Collector dated 14th June 1852 forwarded with a letter from Collector of Malabar dated 19th of the same month.				

Calicut, 13th July, 1852. (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered

in this Department recommended for the sanction of the Government.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

No. 344.

To, the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of Government, the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of Malabar, relative to the proposed appointment of a Police Ameen at Pudoonagaum, with the powers specified in Section XL Regulation XI of 1816. Section II Regulation IV of 1821 and act XXX of 1837.

2. The business of the Palghaut Talook in Malabar is represented by Mr. Robinson, the Head Assistant Magistrate, to be excessively heavy and he proposes to relieve the Head of Police of a portion of his charges by investing the Sub. Officer of Palghat

38.37

No. 1660
To, the Chief Secretary to Government—

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Malabar, relative to the appointment of a Police Ameen at Pudoonagaram and recommending that the arrangement proposed be sanctioned.

From Malabar 7th April in Cons 31st May 1852.
Proceedings 31st May—
From Malabar 19th June in Cons: 5th July—
Proceedings 5th July—
From Malabar 13th June in Cons: 16th August 1719.

Palghat a Police Ameen with the prescribed powers. It is intended to locate the new Ameen at Poodoonagaram where the Sub: Officer has been stationed for the last 2 years, and to provide the necessary Establishment from the Talook.

From Govt. 4th in Cons: 17th December 1849.

3. In 1849 the Government of India sanctioned the entertainment of a Police Establishment for the Palghaut Talook, consisting of the undermentioned Officers.

1 Sub Officer	Rupees	17-8
1 Ameen	"	8-4
2 Peons	"	8-0
Total	"	33-12

4. Mr. Robinson proposes to amalgamate the pay of the Sub: Officer and ameen above alluded to and to assign the amount Rupees 25. 12. to the new Office. The arrangement will therefore be attended with no additional expense, and the proposed salary will, it is considered, be an adequate remuneration for the duties to be performed.

The Collector supports the proposition of his Head Assistant and the Board are quite satisfied of the necessity for

for this arrangement, which they beg to recommend may receive the sanction of Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
16th August, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter

No. 90/1852

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

38

Sir,

Bd No. 1763.
From the Collector of Malabar

In transmitting accounts Particular of Batta paid to the Hoozzoor Servants in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments of this District for Fasly 1260, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to cause the accompanying revised Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for the same period, to be substituted for the one which was despatched on the 23d ultimo.

Calicut,
20th July, 1852.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon

38·39

Ordered that the Statement referred to in the foregoing letter, be substituted for the one received in this Office on the 28th June 1852, and that the latter be destroyed

---

No. 95/1852

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

40

Bd No. 1797
From the Collector of Malabar

Stating that he has at Mr Robinsons earnest instance abolished the tax levied on the Hill products in the neighbourhood of Palghaut.

Sir,

At Mr Robinsons earnest instance I have taken the liberty of abolishing the tax levied on the Hill products in the neighbourhood of Palghaut.

The tax only brought in 100 Rupees per annum and its collection gave rise, as Mr Robinson explained, to great tyranny and annoyance.

2. The Hill men who actually collected the produce were in reality in a state of pitiable slavery to the person who farmed the right of collection who further used his exclusive powers to make petty exactions from all the poorer orders

of

for this arrangement, which they beg to recommend may receive the sanction of Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
16th August, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letters

No. 90/1852

To, B. Cunliffe Esqre
Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

38

Bd. No. 1763.
From the Collector of Malabar

Sir,

In transmitting accounts Particular of Batta paid to the Hoozzoor Servants in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments of this District for Fusly 1260, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to cause the accompanying revised Statement of charges, incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for the same period, to be substituted for the one which was despatched on the 23d ultimo.

Calicut,
20th July, 1852.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon

38.39

Ordered that the Statement referred to in the foregoing letter, be substituted for the one received in this Office on the 28th June 1852, and that the latter be destroyed

---

No. 95/1852

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

40

Bd. No. 1797
From the Collector of Malabar

Stating that he has at Mr. Robinson's earnest instance abolished the tax levied on the Hill products in the neighbourhood of Palghaut.

Sir,

At Mr. Robinson's earnest instance I have taken the liberty of abolishing the tax levied on the Hill products in the neighbourhood of Palghaut.

The tax only brought in 100 Rupees per annum and it's collection gave rise, as Mr. Robinson explained, to great tyranny and annoyance.

2. The Hill men who actually collected the produce were in reality in a state of pitiable slavery to the person who farmed the right of collection who further used his exclusive powers to make petty exactions from all the poorer orders

of

of people in Palghaut who went within his limits for a bundle or so of firewood.

The annoyances were evidently so great and that to the poor and the advantage to Government so small, that I was convinced they would agree to the immediate abolition of the farm, in place of allowing it to continue for another Fusly.

3. The Hill men who are only very few in number, have been informed that they are at liberty for the future to collect the products of the Hills and sell them for their own support.

4. The Head Assistant has been requested to take care that they are made fully aware of the liberal course which has been pursued towards them

Calicut,
24th July, 1852. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order thereon -

Ordered to lie over -

40. 41

42

No. 90 1852

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George -

B. R. No. 1882

From the Collector of Malabar - } Sir,

The Board are aware that

that the Bombay Government have authorized a modification of the Parra measures consigned from Bombay for the use of the Malabar Monopoly: in case I find from further trials that such modification is (as supposed in the enclosures to my letter of the 5th April last) absolutely necessary

But looking to the impossibility of making any successful trials until the dry weather returns. and to the views of the Revenue Board as expressed in their letter of the 14th ultimo, I have resolved with the sanction of the Board on inviting tenders for the supply of Salt for the coming season in accordance with the enclosed notification. Should it appear that no person will tender to supply Salt except at a very enhanced rate in consequence of the size of our Parra measures, I can take into consideration what steps to recommend for the future.

Calicut,
30th July, 1852. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector -

No. 1

Notice

Sealed tenders are hereby invited

invited for the supply of 300 Garce, equal to 360,000 Indian Maunds of Bombay Salt, for the use of the District of Malabar, as follows.

At Cannanore Garce 400 of 120 I. Mds each
" Tellicherry " 600 " "
" Calicut " 900 " "
" Ponany " 1,000 " "
" Chowghat " 80 " "
" Cochin " 20 " "

Total Garce 3000

2. One half of the said Salt to be delivered at the abovementioned Ports in the Months of October, November and December, and the remainder in January and February next.

3. Tenders will be received till the 15th September, and will be opened on the following day in the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Calicut, where the parties tendering or their Agents are invited to attend.

4. The expense attendant on the landing of the Salt will be defrayed by the parties tendering.

5. The Salt will be measured by

by Parrahs (of one Indian maund) of the same capacity as the sample received from Bombay.

6. The tenders must specify in words at length, the rate, in Rupees, per Garce of 120 Indian Maunds.

7. The Collector reserves to himself the right of rejecting any or all of the tenders that may be received without assigning a reason for so doing.

5. Tenders to be addressed to the Collector of Malabar and to be superscribed "Tenders for the supply of Bombay Salt."

9 The party whose tender may be accepted will be required to find substantial security for the due fulfilment of his Contract.

Malabar Collector's Office,
Calicut,
August, 1852 } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

N. 1857
Proceedings thereon.

42.43 The Board of Revenue see no objection to the Notification which the Collector proposes to publish.

Read the following letter.

N. 93.

44

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

B. N. 1918
From the Collector
of Madras }

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to

to the Proceedings of the Board dated 9th August 1852 to submit the accompanying notification in the Gazette relative to the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from this district.

Madras, 11th August 1852. } (Signed) I. A. Huddleston Collector.

No. 1

Notice

Notice is hereby given that for the present there is no Salt available at the Ennore Cotaus for exportation.

Madras, 11th August 1852. } (Signed) I. A. Huddleston Collector.

Order thereon

44.45

Ordered to be recorded - the Notice has been forwarded to the Gazette.

46

Read the following letter

No. 4819

B. No. 1902

From the Military Board — To, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

With reference to your Indent on the Superintendent of Stationery, for Superfine Green Cloth for lining tables for the Members and Secretary's rooms &c. of your Office, I have the honor under the orders of the Military Board, to return the Indent, and to request you will be pleased to state, if any other description of Cloth will not do, as the required quality is not available in the Arsenal.

Military Board Office, Fort St. George, 9th August 1852. } (Signed) A. H. Hope Acting Secretary.

46.47

B. No. 1668.

To the Acting Secretary to the Military Board —

To the Acting Secretary to the Military Board.

Sir,

Ordered that the following letter be sent to

August 1852

In Cons 16th August 1852 1902.

With reference to your letter of the 9th that 54 superfine Blue Cloth will answer as well the Green cloth indented for under date the 28th July

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 16th August 1852. } (Signed) W. H Bayley Secretary

---

Read the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

48

B. No. 1891.

From the Govt Vakeel Sudr Udalut —

Sir,

I received last night by 7 O Clock the Official Memorandum of 6th Instant sent by you, and in obedience with the instructions therein conveyed, I have obtained from the Court of Sudder Udalut and sent herein enclosed Copies of the letter of the Sessions Judge of Madura to the Court of Sudder Udalut dated 18th June 1852 and its Enclosures as well as the order passed thereon by them under date the 13th July 1852 in the matter of Ramnad Devastanum -

Madras Sudder Udalut 7th August 1852. } (Signed) Goolam Moortuza Sahib Government Vakeel - Sudder Udalut

No. 1

No. 159 -

To, T. Lushington Esqre Acting Register of the Sudder Udalut Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an

9330 Fort St. George 16th August 1852

an Extract of the Sessions Courts Proceedings of this date and to beg you will be good enough to obtain the opinion of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut on the point therein referred to -

Sessions Court, Madura 11th June 1852 } Signed C. R. Baynes Sessions Judge -

No. 1 in 1 -

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Madura dated 18th June 1852 -

Read again, M. P. No. 18 of 1852 - presented on the 6th April 1852 by G. J. Fischer Esqre. as the duly authorized Agent on behalf of the Staingul of the Sherrevaipatty Coil attached to the Rammad Devastanum under the management of the Zemindar of Rammad and appealing against an order made by R. D. Parker Esqre. as "Collector of Madura under date 2d April last upon a complaint made to him as Magistrate charging the Zemindar of Rammad as Manager of the aforesaid Devastanums as also two of her Servants employed in the premises with fraud and Embezzlement in the matter of the trusts and duties attached to, and connected with, the same against the provisions of Act XIII of 1850, for such cases provided wherein he refused to entertain the charge

9331 Fort St. George 16th August 1852 -

charge, and merely referred Petitioner to his Endorsement of the 27th March on certain similar charges laid before him as "Collector and Magistrate" in the matter of the Devastanums Sangay Coil, and Minor Coil, and observing that he could perceive no difference in Kind between those charges "and that contained in the Petition"

Read again Return dated 27th May 1852 made by the Magistrate of Madura to this Courts precept of the 13th Idem, requiring him, with reference to the above Petition, to "produce or point out the ruling of the "Sudder Udalut that the Devastanums of "Rammad are an appendage to the Zemindary and so shew and set forth "any other grounds or reasons he may have "to adduce for considering himself debarred "as a Magistrate from receiving as such "the information tendered to him against "the parties in question under Act XIII of 1850 -

1. The Magistrate refers to certain proceedings of the Sudder Udalut of the 14th Dec. 1844, as expressive of that Courts opinion that the Management of the Rammad Devastanum is attached to the party in possession of the Estate and submits an Extract from the Proceedings

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 15th March 1852 shewing that the Board have so understood the Court and have accordingly ruled that the endowments in question being thus declared to be "an appendage" of the Zemindary are placed by those orders beyond the jurisdiction of the Collector; and in the opinion of the Board the Court alone can interfere on proof of malversation, and make a suitable provision for their due administration"

2— This opinion delivered with special reference to the particular case under consideration admits that the Zemindar may be an "appendage to the Zemindary" there nevertheless exists an authority competent to call the Zemindar to account for malversation in their management "the Court alone" say the Board of Revenue, "can interfere on proof of malversation" and would thus appear to repudiate for themselves, and of course for the Collector all concern with, or control over these Devastanums under any circumstances. The Magistrate in the 4th Para of his return observes, that if they did not thus form an appendage of the Zemindary he could not proceed upon the charge without the permission of the Board of Revenue within

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

within the scope of whose jurisdiction they would then fall under Regulation VII of 1817" this question need not now be entered on, if it be granted that they do form such appendage, and that the Zemindar for the time being is Ex Statu et Officeo charged with the trusts thereto appertaining whether the Zemindar is responsible to any parties, or amenable to any authority for his conduct in execution thereof, and if so, to what, how and to what extent, is an entirely different question, and the only one in fact now before the Court—

3— It is asserted and granted that the Zemindar is not responsible to the Board of Revenue to whom then? to any party having an Equitable interest in the due administration of the Trusts? If so, it would seem to the Standard and if to them or others, how? by Civil action on the case? or under criminal prosecution as for malversation in ordinary trusts? These questions appear to the Sessions Judge so important and he considers it to be so desirable that no steps should be taken in the matter which may afterwards be pronounced to be in error that he

resolves

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

resolues to lay the case before the Foujdaree Udalut together with a translate of the Petition and Copy of the Magistrates Return and its enclosure soliciting their instructions as to whether the Zemindar of Ramnad and her Servants employed in the Devastanum management are liable to a criminal Prosecution under Act XXIV of 1850 for breach of trust in that matter

Ordered that Extract of these Proceedings be transmitted to the Foujdaree Udalut and that copies thereof be given to the parties on their application -

(True Extract)
(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Sessions Judge

To C. R. Baynes Esqre
Sessions Judge of Madura -

The respectful Petition of J. F. Fischer Yakeel for the Stanigal of the Hereditary Cooly Coil attached to the Ramnad Devastanum under the management of the Zemindar of Ramnad -

Sheweth,

That your Petitioner presented a Complaint to the Magistrate of Madura under Act 13 of 1850 of fraud and embezzlement against

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

against the Manager of said Devanustanum and two of her Servants and of falsification of the Coil accounts to screen the same against the Stree Pundara Cunnacarvo Goomastah Pullaneycomara Pillay and detailed the particulars of both the instances and solicited enquiry and redress -

That there was a complaint of fraud and embezzlement and falsification of accounts specifically addressed to the Magistrate and under Act 13 of 1850 and yet this complaint too has been returned to your Petitioner with the following endorsement -

The Petitioner is referred to the Collectors endorsement dated 27th Ultimo on the Draft of charges relative to the Nimar Coil and Pagodas - The Collector can perceive no difference in kind between those charges and that contained in this Petition, and this endorsement is signed "R. D. Parker Collector" -

That Mr. Parker herein admits that the present charges and the former ones were of a like kind and the mode of signing himself shews your Petitioner submits that in his addressing his former complaints

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

complaints on behalf of the Kumar Coil and Aotragasamungay Coil to the Collector and Magistrate was not the cause of the treatment they met with, but some other and that the inducement assumption of powers by the Board of Revenue that they do not possess which being irregular and illegal calculated to thwart the course of justice your Petitioner appeals to this Court to reverse the decision of the Magistrate and to order him to take up his present Complaint also and to try it on its merits.

That this Court has the charges and endorsement alluded to above and the complaints that has now been rejected, is herewith submitted.

That with regards to the reasons that the Board assign in support of the decision they have passed under their illegal assumption of power Petitioner would remark that although the Sudr Adalut may have given it as their opinion that the Devastanums in question are an appendage of the Ramnad Zemindary which Petitioner does not believe they can have done yet does not place the Managers of them above the law and exempt her

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

her from the penalties of any breaches of it. It will not make her criminal acts civil ones nor give her liberty to abuse her trust to squander and embezzle and appropriate to herself what was entrusted to her care for other and specific purposes.

And as for their own opinion founded thereon that the Civil Courts can alone interfere on proof of malversation and make suitable provision for their due administration, (the Devastanums) it is not clear how they arrive at this conclusion neither is it good in law or reason and even if it were is not applicable to the present case as the charge is not simple malversation but serious crimes such as breach of trust and fraud and embezzlement and falsification of accounts.

And your Petitioner shall as in duty bound.

Ever pray.

Madura
5th April 1852.

(LS)

In return to the foregoing precept requiring him with reference to his order declining to proceed on a charge of malversation brought by G. F. Fischer Esqre on behalf of the Shanigub of the Therevochooly Coil against the

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

the Zemindar of Ramnad as manager of the said Estate under Act XII of 1850 to produce & point out the ruling of the Sudr Udalut that the Dawastanums of Ramnad are an appendage to the Zemindary and to show and set forth any other grounds & reasons which he, the Magistrate, may have to adduce for considering himself debarred from receiving as such the information tendered to him against the parties in question under Act XII of 1850.

The Magistrate has the honor in the first place to refer the Court to the proceedings of the Zillah Court of Madura under date the 22d October 1846 and to the proceedings of the Sudr Udalut of the 14th December following as the papers which shew the Sudder Udalut to have been of opinion that the Dawastanums of the Zemindaries of Ramnad and Sheavagunga form an appendage to those estates respectively. In the proceedings of the Zillah Court the Judge adverts to proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 2d May 1832, in which on the occasion of Ungamoottoo Nattaver appellant an appeal suit No 3 of 1833 petitioning for the management of the Pagoda Shoultries and other endowments in the Sheveganga Zemindary

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Zemindary that Court refused to comply with his request on the ground that the said Pagodas Shoultries &c. form a part of the Shevagunga Zemindary, but informed her that if the Decree of Her Majesty in Council should be in her favor the Zemindary will them of course be delivered to her when she will also acquire possession of the said Pagodas and Shoultries. In their proceedings of the 14th December 1846 the Sudr Udalut allude to the above proceedings as declaring the Dawastanums as appendage to the estate of Shevagunga and they further express their opinion as regards Ramnad that the Pagodas ought to be with the Ranee in possession of the Estate.

Lest he should have failed to set forth this train of reasoning with sufficient perspicuity and force the Magistrate ventures to enclose an Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th March last, containing the same argument in a some what different order.

In conformity with the invitation of the Court to set forward any further reasons he may have to adduce the Magistrate begs to argue in the 2d place that as

Fort St. George 16th August 1852

as Regulation VII of 1817 vests the Superintendence of Dawastanums in the Board of Revenue, no Criminal prosecution for fraud in regard to them can take place without the Board's sanction or that of their local agent, so that if it were decided that the Rammad Dawastanums did not form an appendage to the estate yet the Magistrate could not proceed on the charge preferred by Mr. Fischer contrary to the orders of the Board of Revenue.

In the 3d place he would submit that under Section XVII Regulation VII of 1817 an investigation if sanctioned must or at least may if the Board of Revenue be so pleased, be conducted under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 that being the enactment providing for the punishment of fraud and embezzlement on the part of the Native Servants of Government employed under the Collector in the Department of Land Revenue, and that if individuals give information to the Collector of Revenue or Dawastanums frauds, they cannot insist either in the one case or the other that he shall proceed under Act XIII of 1850 since Regulation IX of 1822 has not been rescinded.

Finally the Magistrate suggests that

Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

that act XIII of 1850 is inapplicable to the charge now in question, because the alleged Malversation and falsification of accounts relate to July 1257 a period anterior to A.D. 1847-48. its enactment whereas the said Law is expressed in the future tense and has no retrospective effect.

Given under my hand and Seal at Madura this 27th day of May 1852.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Magistrate.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 15th March 1852.

From P. Coll.r 29th January 1845 To Government 24th Feby 1845.

It does not appear that the Rammad and Dawastanums in the Rammad and Shevagunga Estates have ever been under the control of the Officers of Government. It was arranged by the late Principal Collector that they did not form portions of these Estates, but were endowments or alienations irresumable the Sudr Udalut however considered them to be "an appendage to the Estate."

From P. Coll.r 24th Oct.r 1844 and enclosures being the Proceedings of the Fillah Court 22d Oct.r 1844.

2. It is observed that in the case of Shevagunga the Suder Udalut refused to comply with the request of Ungamoottoo Nachiyar a claimant to that Estate, to deliver over to her management.

From Pt. Collector 29th Jany Encls Surat being Proceedings of Sudr Udalut 14th Decr 1848 last Para - To Govt 24th Feby 1845 - From Do 28th March 1848.

management, the Pagodas, Choultries and other endowments on the ground that such formed a part of the Shevaganga Zemindary but they stated that if the final Decree for the estate was in her favour these endowments would also be put in her possession. In regard to Ramnad that Court thought "that the Pagodas ought to be with the Ranee" and the authority of Government for placing them under the Ranees husband was granted under the opinion passed by the Court -

3. The endowments in question having thus been declared to be "appendage" to the Zemendary they are displaced by these orders beyond the Jurisdiction of the Collector and in the opinion of the Board the Court alone can interfere, on proof of malversation, and make a suitable provision for their due administration -

4. Under these circumstances the Board feel precluded from issuing instructions to the Collector to investigate the charges adverted to in his letter and would suggest that he refer the Petitioner to seek redress in the Civil Court -

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

(True Extract)
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Magistrate.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. R. Baynes
Extract

No 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Fouzdaree Udalut under date the 13th July 1852 -

Present G. S. Hooper Esqre. } Judges
W. A. D. Inglis - }

Read letter dated the 18th June 1852 from the Sessions Judge of Madura forwarding Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of the same date.

(Here enter F. C. No. 1546 of 1852)

The above proceedings has reference to an appeal preferred by G. F. Fischer Esqre. on behalf of the Stanigul of the Teeroovelvoly Covil attached to the Ramnad Devastanums under the management of the Zemendar of Ramnad from an order issued by the Collector of Madura on a complaint preferred to him as a Magistrate charging the Zamindar of Ramnad, as manager of the aforesaid Devastanums and also two of her servants employed in the premises with fraud and embezzlement in the matter of the Trusts and duties attached to, and connected with the same -

2. The Collector, it appears declined to entertain the said charge on the ground that it had been ruled by the Board of

of Revenue, that as the endowment in question had been declared by the Court of Sudder Udalut "to be an appendage to the Zemindary" they were placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Collector, and the Court alone could interfere on proof of malversation, and make a suitable provision for their due administration.

3- The Sessions Judge doubtful under these circumstances of the proper course for him to pursue, has deemed it proper to refer the matter for the consideration of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut, and to solicit their instruction as to whether the Zemindar of Ramnad and her Servants employed in the Devastanum management are liable to a Criminal Prosecution under Act XIII of 1850 for breach of trust in that matter or whether the aggrieved parties should have recourse to a Civil action.

4- It being obvious that Act XIII of 1850 has not a retrospective effect, the Court Foujdaree Udalut are of opinion that no prosecution can be under its provisions, in regard to the complaint in question, and with reference to the former ruling of the Court that the Devastanums

Suttrums

Suttrums &c. are an appendage to the Zemindary, they consider that the Zemindar as manager thereof is not amenable as a Trustee within the meaning of the said Act, even if it were otherwise applicable. Under all the circumstances of the case therefore, it appears to the Court of Foujdaree Udalut that the proper course for the Sessions Judge to pursue is to refer the complainant to a Civil suit for redress.

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Sessions Judge of Madura for his information and guidance.

Ordered

9346. Fort St.e George 16th August 1852.

Ordered that the following be sent in reply

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib
Government Vakeel.

(1849)

B.d N.o 1667.

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib Govt. Vakeel

With reference to your letter dated 7th August 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will should Mr. Ouchterlony or any other pleader present any motion to the Sudder Udalut respecting the Ramnad Devastanums inform the Board of the same.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St.e George 16th August 1852.

Signed W.m H. Bayley Secretary

Resolved.

9347. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To,
The Collector of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

50

B.e N.o 1670

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Statements of actual Charges incurred under and without Estimate for Fasly 1260 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 16th August, 1852.

(Signed) W.m H. Bayley Secretary

To,
The Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

51

B.e N.o 1678.

To The Collector of Cuddapah

With reference to their Proceedings dated 8th July 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform

9346. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Ordered that the following be sent in reply

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib
Government Vakeel.

(1849)

B.d. No. 1667.

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib Govt. Vakeel

With reference to your letter dated 7th Augt. 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will, should Mr. Ouchterlony or any other pleader present any motion to the Sudder Udalut respecting the Ramnad Deivastanums inform the Board of the same.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th August 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved.

J. C. B.

9347. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To,

The Collector of
Masulipatam.

(50)

Sir,

B.d No. 1670

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Statements of actual Charges incurred under and without Estimate for Fusly 1260 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
16th August, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To,

The Collector of
Cuddapah.

(51)

Sir,

B.d No. 1678.

To The Collector of Cuddapah

With reference to their Proceedings dated 8th July 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform

9346 Fort St. George 16th August 1852

Ordered that the following be sent in reply

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib
Government Vakeel

(1849)

B. d. No. 1667.

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib Govt. Vakeel

With reference to your letter dated 7th Augt. 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will should Mr. Ouchterlony or any other pleader present any motion to the Sudder Udalut respecting the Ramnad Deivastanums inform the Board of the same.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th August 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved.

9347 Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To,
The Collector of
Masulipatam.

(50)

Sir,

B. No. 1670

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Statements of actual charges incurred under and without Estimate for Fusly 1260 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
16th August, 1852.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To,
The Collector of
Cuddapah.

(51)

Sir,

B. No. 1678.

To The Collector of Cuddapah

With reference to their Proceedings dated 8th July 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform

9346. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Ordered that the following be sent in reply

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib
Government Vakeel.

(1849)

B. de N. 1667.

To Goolam Moortoozah Saib
Govt. Vakeel

With reference to your letter dated 7th Inst. August 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will should Mr. Ouchterlony or any other pleader present any motion to the Sudder Udalut respecting the Ramnad Devastanums inform the Board of the same.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
16th August 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved.

H. C. R.

9347. Fort St. George 16th August 1852.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To,

The Collector of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

(50)

B. N. 1670

To the Collector of Masulipatam.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Statements of actual Charges incurred under and without Estimate for Fusly 1260 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
16th August, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To,

The Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

(51)

B. N. 1678.

To The Collector of Cuddapah

With reference to their Proceedings dated 8th July 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform

inform you. that it has been intimated to them that Dharwar Streenevas.a Row, Head Sheristadar of Guntoor, is desirous of being transferred to your District, and that your Head Sheristadar Appoo Row is willing to replace Streenevas.a Row in Guntoor.-

2. The Board approve of the arrangement and request you will place yourself in communication with the Commissioner Northern Circars with a view to carrying it out if there is no objection on his part.-

3. A copy of this letter will be forwarded to the Commissioner.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
16th August, 1852. ...

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary.-

The

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.-

52
Bd No. 1496
From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department for Fusly 1260.

53
Bd No. 1763
From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1260.-

52-54
Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the above-mentioned Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.-

Read the undermentioned Lists.-

55
Bd No. 1932
From the Collector of Bellary. List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.-

56
Bd No. 1931
From the Collector of Bellary. List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.-

55-57
Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Registers.-

From

Fort St George 16th August 1852

58
Bd No 1934
From the Collector of Bellary. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last.

59
Bd No 1935
From the Collector of South Arcot. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last.

60
Bd No 1951
From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last.

58 61
Order on the 3 abovementioned Registers ordered to be lodged in the office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

62
Md No 858
From the Collector of Bellary. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

63
Md No 836
From the acting Collector of North Arcot. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public Servants for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

64
Md No 848
From the Collector of Salem. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public Servants for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

Return

Fort St George 16th August 1852

65
Md No 837
From the acting Collector of North Arcot. Returns of alterations that have occurred in the List of fines and stoppages for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

66
Md No 849
From the Collector of Salem. Return of alterations that have occurred in the List of fines and stoppages for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

67
Md No 842
From the Collector of Nellore. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

68
Md No 838
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

69
Md No 850
From the Collector of Salem. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

70
Md No 839
From the Collector of Cuddapah. Stating that certain Returns were not forwarded for the 2uarter ending 30th June last

71
Md No 851
From the Collector of Salem. Stating that the Returns of revenue defaulters confined and released were not forwarded for the 2uarter ending 30th June last.

Abstract

72
M.C.N.=846
From the Collector of Masulipatam - Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last.-

73
M.C.N.=847
From the Collector of Masulipatam - Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of June last.-

74
M.C.N.=840
From the Collector of Nellore - Reporting that no persons were tried for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.-

75
M.C.N.=843
From the Collector of Nellore - Stating that no Village Monsiff were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of July last.-

76
M.C.N.=845
From the Sub Collector in charge of Madura. } Reporting that certain Returns were not forwarded for the months of July last.-

77
M.C.N.=844
From the Collector of Nellore - Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 up to 31st July last.-

From

78
M.C.N.=831
From the Collector of Canara - Statement of Smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the month of June last.-

78.79
Order thereon - Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statement be authorized.-

From

9354
80
Mr. N.= 834

Fort St George 16th August 1852

From the Sub Treasurer - Indent on the Commissary General for Carts &c required for the General Treasury -

81
Mr. N.= 835

From the Sub Treasurer - Indent on the Commissary General for Carts and Matrices required for the General Treasury -

80-82

Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents - Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned -

Comp. D. Mils.

" Goldingham

" Mclaus

9355

Fort St George 16 August 1852

Diary -

1

Bd. No. 1665 . 17th August -

Proceedings

Read Medical Certificate granted to R. D. Parker Esqre Collector of Madura, in support of his application for two Months leave of absence. -

(Heere enter)

Forwarded to the Civil Auditor, with reference to the Boards' Endorsement on Mr Parker's letter dated 7th August 1852 -

2

Mr No. 862

From the Collector of Madras - Received a letter requesting to be furnished with a further supply of 1000 — Sall Rowannahs. -

2-3

Order thereon - 18th Augt Ordered to be supplied accordingly. -

At

At a Meeting Present.

J. Goldingham Esqr. Second Member

Thursday, 19th August, 1852 } and

J. L. Blane Esqr. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqr. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

4
Bd No. 1929.

From the Secretary to Government Dated 3rd August 1852 } Read Extract sanctioning the disbursement of the arrears of Pension due to certain Enamdars in the District of Rajahmundry

4-5

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

6
Bd No. 1925.

Read the following Extracts.

No. 775.

From the Secretary to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 7th August, 1852.—

The Right Honorable the Governor

Governor in Council proceeds to pass Orders on the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 23rd June 1852.—

A Copy of this Despatch and of its enclosures will be furnished to the Commissioner of the Northern Circars for his information and a further Copy will be forwarded to the Board of Revenue.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

6-7

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be recorded.—

No. 781.

Bd No. 1919.

From the Secretary to Government

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 10th August, 1852.—

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 19th July 1852 No. 297/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves, in conformity with the modified recommendation of the Board of Revenue now submitted, to direct that the share of Rupees /8-6-0/ Eight and annas six per Annum enjoyed by the late Peddubhee Naidoo and irregularly resumed from

x Out of the late Mundapully Moosul Naidoo's pension Rupees-67-1-4 per Annum.

from the 9th October 1843 be disbursed in arrear to Yellummah the widow of Mundapully Moosad Naidoo until the 9th October 1850 the date of her husband's decease and that from the latter date one half of the original pension, or Rupees /38-8-8/ Thirty three, Annas Eight and Pice Eight per Annum, be continued to her for life as recommended by the Collector of Cuddapah and the remainder be considered to have lapsed.

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

8.9
Bd. No. 1691

Order thereon.

10
Inf. 19th July 1852
Bd. No. 1922

From the Secretary to Government and Revenue Department, under date the 10th August, 1852.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 21st June 1852.—

No. 780.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 27th July 1852. No. 305/ Para/.

With the foregoing Proceedings, the Board of Revenue submit an application from Mr. Williamson for 11 C 6 15 8 1800 of land on the Cumbankum Hills in

in the District of North Arcot, and recommend that a Certificate be granted, the land being subjected to an annual quit rent of Annas 14 per Cawney.—

2. Looking to the consideration that these Hills may one day be more resorted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, before passing orders on the above application, desires to be informed why so large an extent of land is proposed to be taken up by one individual.—

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

10.11
Bd. No. 1698.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To R. H. Williamson Esqr.

To, R. H. Williamson Esqr.

10th in Cons. 19th Augt. 1852

Sir,

With reference to your application, submitted through the Collector of North Arcot, for 11 C 6 15 8 1800 of land on the Cumbankum Hills, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that as these Hill may one day be more resorted to the Government have desired them to report why so large an extent of land is proposed to be taken up by one individual.—

2. The Board request you will state

Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

state why you have applied for so large an extent of land.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
19th August, 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

Read the following Resolutions.—
No. 787.

B. No. 1927
From the Secretary to Government

12

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 10th August, 1852.—

/From Board 26th July/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council agrees with the Board of Revenue that it is desirable the claim of Zainoolabdeen to share in the Enam lands of his Father should be decided on its merits by the Collector rather than by the Zillah Court, and according to the provisions of Clause 2, Section II, Regulation IV of 1831, he therefore authorizes the Collector of Trichinopoly to investigate the case and report the result for the decision of Government.—

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

12·13

B. No. 1714
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly.—

Fort St. George 19th August 1852

No. 778.

B. No. 1926.
From the Secy. to Government

14

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 10th August 1852

/From B. 26th July/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council confirms the Sale of the three Spots of Ground on the Neilgherries to the undermentioned parties at the prices set opposite their respective names.—

	Extent.	Price
Mr. Lowery	5-11-771	50-2-4
" Musgrave	1-18-1773	16-5-2
" W. E. Underwood	1-20-2337	13-4-4

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

14·15

B. No. 1692
Order thereon—

Ordered to be communicated with the Board's Proceedings, for the information of the Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter dated 9th July 1852.—

J. Cons 26th July 1852.

16

B. No. 1978
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 12th August 1852.

Read the undermentioned Extracts.—

Sanctioning the Statement of Sums proposed to be advanced in certain Districts for cultivation and the manufacture of Salt.—

16·17

Order thereon—

Ordered to be recorded.—

Relative

9362 Fort St. George 19th August 1852

No. 1946.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 12th August 1852.....

Relative to the appointment of Cn Chuckrapani Row, Neib Sheristadar of Guntoor, to the Office of Acting Sheristadar of Masulipatam, and to the disbursement to him of the Salary of P. Ramanja Row the Sheristadar of the latter place who has been suspended.

18

18.19

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following Extract.—

No. 792.

20

Bd. No. 1930.

From the Secy. to Government... Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 12th August 1852.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 22d July 1852 No. 301/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions an advance of Rupees /350/ Three hundred and Fifty/ to the two Ryots of the Canara District referred to in the above letter to enable them to improve their properties, subject to the conditions specified by the Board of Revenue in para 3. He however desires that if any such application be submitted hereafter it

9363 Fort St. George 19th August 1852.—

it be particularly explained on what principle the aid of Government should be afforded whether the work is of public advantage as well as a benefit to the individual, and why, if it be likely to be remunerative the party to be assisted cannot raise the required sums from his own resources.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

20-21

Bd. No. 1693.

Order thereon.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to

J. Cons 22 July 1852. his letter dated 6th July 1852.—

Read the following Statement.—

Statement.—

9364

Fort St. George

B. No. 1941.

(22)

Statement exhibiting the actual Charges incurred 1261 in the Nellore District.

Estimate for Fusly 1261.

Description of Servants.	2 Number of Servants	3 Rate of Pay.	4 Amount of Pay.	5 Period of Employment	6 Estimated Charges	7 Actual Charges for Fusly 1261.
1						
			Rs.		Rs.	Rs. A. P.
Goomastahs	6	Rs. 15 each	90	4 Months	360 " "	171 3 8
Sweepers at the Salt Cottaurs	1	2 " "	2 " "	12 Months	24 " "	24 " "
Do. Do.	6	1 " "	6 " "	12 Do.	72 " "	72 " "
Do. Do.	6	" 8	3 " "	12 Do.	36 " "	36 " "
					132 " "	132 " "
					492 " "	303 3 8

Nellore Coll.rs Cutcherry. 11th Augt. 1852. E. E. J.

19th August 1852.

on account of Additional Establishments Employed in Fusly

Comparison between Columns 6 & 7		Date of previous correspondence in which the Employment of similar Establishment has been authorized.	11 Remarks
8 Increase.	9 Decrease.	10	
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
— " "	188 12 4	Estimate submitted 16th September 1851 and sanctioned by Government on 30th June 1852 as stated in Board's letter dated 12th July 1852.	This Establishment was Employed in preparing the Summah Accounts for Fusly 1261 Rupees 60 of the amount sanctioned for Fusly 1260 having been charged in Fusly 1261 is included in the actual Charges in Columns 6 and Rupees 7. 6. 8 of the amount sanctioned for Fusly 1261 has been charged in the accounts of Fusly 1262 making the actual disbursement on account of the Sum sanctioned for Fusly 1261. Rupees 118. 10. 4 the remainder Rupees 248. 5. 8 was not required to be disbursed and causes the decrease.
" "	" "		These Sweepers were employed to keep the different Salt Cutcherries at the Cottaurs clean.
" "	" "		
" "	" "		
" "	" "		
" "	" "		
" "	188 12 4		

Signed D. W. Le. Coll.r

Estimate

9365

Bs. No. 1942.

(23) Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishments in Fusly 1262 in the Nellore District.

19th August 1852.

Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1262.						Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1261.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7.		Date of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments have been authorised.	Remarks.
	Number of Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Proposed Increase or Decrease of Establishment.	Estimated Charges.			Increase	Decrease		
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11
			CRs.		CRs.	A	CRs. A P	CRs. A P	CRs. A P		
Goomastahs	6	15 Rs. each per Mensem	90	4 Months	360	"	171 38	188 12 4	" "	Estimate of Fusly 1261 sanctioned by Government on 30th June 1852 as stated in Board's letter dated 12th July 1852.	The Establishment is required to prepare the Tamalunay Accounts for Fusly 1262 Rs. 60 of the amount sanctioned for Fusly 1260 having been charged in Fusly 1261 is included in the actual charges in Column 7 and Rs. 7-6-8. of the amount sanctioned for Fusly 1261 has been charged in the account of Fusly 1262 making the actual disbursement on account of the sum sanctioned for Fusly 1261 Rs. 118-10-4. the remainder Rs. 241-5-8. was not required to be disbursed and causes the increase.
Sweeper at the Salt Cotaur Do. Do.	1	2	2	12 Months	24	"	24 "				These sweepers are required to keep clean the butcheries of the different Salt Cottaurs.
Do. Do.	6	1	6	12 Do.	72	"	72 "				
Do. Do.	6	8	3	12 Do.	36	"	36 "				
					132	"	132 "				
					192	"	303 "				

188 12 4

Nellore, Coll's Cutcherry, 11th Augt 1852.

E C Rs. / Signed / D. White
Collector.

11th August 1852

(22-24) Order on the 2 foregoing Statements.

Ordered to lie over

Read the following Statement

Statement

J. C. B.

9366

Fort St George

Bt. No. 1883.

25 Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to account of the Collector of Bellary under the head

19th August 1852

the undermentioned Poligar whose Pension is charged in the of Compensation in Lieu of Resumed Lands Offices & Previleges

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioner	Age of the Claimant: Years	Age of the Claimant: Months	Whether the Pensioners appearance corresponds with the descriptive Roll Kept in this Office	Amount of Pension per Annum	Dated of Government authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of suspension of Payment
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					C Rs a P				C Rs a P	
No	Veerarayapah Raiz of Ruttnagery in Madagascar Talook.	About 60	"	No descriptive Roll is Kept	700 " " or 58-5-4 per Mensem	18th July 1820	Pension granted for maintenance in lieu of Resumed Lands &c.	For 28 Months or from 1st February 1850 to 31st May 1852	1633 5 4	Suspended in consequence of the Pensioner having absented himself and resided with his Daughter Ex. Veeramah at Doorapoor. He has appeared before me on the 10th Instant and requested payment of his stipend

Bellary Collectors Office 30th June 1852 / Signed / C. Pelly Collector

Remarks

9366

Fort St. George

B[o]. N[o]. 1883.

25 Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to account of the Collector of Bellary under the head

19th August 1852

the undermentioned Poligar whose Pension is charged in the of Compensation in Lieu of Resumed Lands Offices & Previleges

Number of Certificate	Name of the Pensioner	Age of the Claimant: Years	Age of the Claimant: Months	Whether the Pensioners appearance corresponds with the descriptive Roll Kept in this Office.	Amount of Pension per Annum	Dated of Government authority	Nature of the Grant	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of Suspension of Payment
1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9	10
					C[R]. a. 8				C[R]. a. 8	
No.	Veeranagapah Rauz of Rutnagery in Madagascar Talook.	About 60	"	No descriptive Roll is Kept	700 or 58-5-4 per mensem	18th July 1820	Pension granted for maintenance in lieu of Resumed Lands &c.	For 28 Months or from 1st January 1850 to 31st May 1852	1633 5 4	Suspended in consequence of the Pensioner having absented himself and resided with his Daughter Estawaramah at Doraipoor. He has appeared before me on the 10th Instant and requested payment of his stipend

Bellary Collector's Office 30th June 1852 / Signed / C. Pelly Collector

Remarks

Fort St George 19th August 1852

B. No. 1695

No. 353.

Remarks thereon, 1.

(25.26)

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the arrears of Pension due to Veerarozepuh Range of Rutnagherry from 1st February 1850 to 31st May 1852 amounting to Rupees 1,633-5-4 one thousand six hundred and thirty three annas five and pice four and for the continuance to him from the latter date of the annual allowance of Rupees (700) seven hundred.

2 The name of this Pensioner appears in para 4 of the Board's letter to Government dated 12th June 1820.

3. If this Pensioner has been exempted from the Rule requiring the registry of his personal description he may also be exempted from personal appearance before the Collector to receive his stipend.

† page 513 Co. B.

Read the following letter.

No. 19.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

(27)

B. No. 1895

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St George at Kurnool explaining the

Fort St George 19th August 1852

the causes of delay in transmitting the Settlement Report for Fusly 1260

acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Ultimo, and in reply to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Settlement Report for Fusly 1260 will be forwarded on the 9th or 10 Instant.

In reference to the delay which has occurred in submitting this Report I beg to explain, that the accounts were not prepared until the end of November last, a few days previous to my commencing the Jummabundy operations of the year, which were not brought to a conclusion until the end of June.

2.

3

This important part of my duties circumstanced as this District is, requires much patient and careful personal investigation into details, and has together with the other varied duties I have to perform so fully occupied my time and attention, that I have unconsiderately been led to defer the preparation of the Report till this late period.

4

Although I cannot expect that

9369

Fort St George 19th August 1852

that the above explanation will be deemed satisfactory yet I trust the Board will take a levient view of the circumstances and I beg to annex for their information a Comparative Statement showing the result of the settlements of 1260 and 1261 and the state of the collections at the end of each year respectively.

Kurnool
Government Agent's Office
3d August 1852

/ Signed / T. B. A Conway
Agent to the Governor

Comparative

9370

Fort St George 19th August 1852

Comparative Statement showing the results of the settlement of 1260 and 1261.

Items		Fusly 1260 Collection at end of the Fusly 1260	Balance	Beng.	Fusly 1261 Collection upto the 11th July 1852	Balance	Beng.
Land Revenue including Peon Fee, Peon Contingency	1,22,881	695:233	27,647	14 5	7,25,725	4,71,354	7 9
Sundays Annalle Farms &c	5,881	14 .	47	4 .	5,915	2 .	5,312
Total	1,28,762	709,067	27,695	2 5	7,31,740	4,76,666	13 7
Motinfalea	54,132	57,683	24,458	5 3	57,434	53,298	10
Diamonds Brinjas	255	24,1	8	"	275	260	12 7
Rel Navy	73,015	72,995	1909	6 3	70020	70020	"
Sayer	6017	5,934	85	2 5	5,605	5,605	3 5
Total	133,722	129,871	3857	" 11	134335	129184	" 10
Grand Total	862,485	839,939	31,540	3 4	862,076	846,050	14 5

Kurnool Govt. Agents Office, 3d August 1852 / Signed / T. B. A. Conway
Agt to the Govr

Corrected

Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.

27.28

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir,

29

B2 N. 1858.

From the Collr of Chingleput

Supplying the further information called for by the Board relative to the extent of traffic to be carried on at the proposed Salt Depot at Adyar.

Adverting to Para 3 of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 19th February last, calling for information in regard to the traffic likely to be carried on at the Salt Depot proposed to be opened at the Adyar. I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the extent of such traffic cannot be fairly exhibited by the carts passing by the Elphinstone Bridge which besides being important in regards to their numbers mostly take their supplies of Salt from the Semmenjary &c pans situated on the Southern Coast Road in this District. almost the whole extent of the Salt traffic of Madras with the Southern Country and which is

is expected will be transferred to the proposed Depôt at the Adyar is carried on over the Marmalong Bridge between which and the proposed Adyar Depôt there is a direct and easy line of Communication.

I beg therefore to enter in the margin a statement exhibiting the number of carts and bullocks which entered Madras by the Marmalong as well as the Elphinstone Bridge during the months of June last and those which took a return load of Salt from the Madras Depôt to the Southern Country in the above month. The 283 carts shown in the Statement will at 50 Marcals a Cart amount to 35 Garces per Mensem or 420 Garces per annum. This quantity would

2

Conveyed return Load of Salt from the Depôt in June 1852.	Bullocks	=	
	Carts	=	283
* Entered Madras by the Marmalong Bridge in June 1852	Bullocks	=	50
	Carts	221 = By the Elphinstone Bridge	5,103 = By the Marmalong Bridge

*

would of course form the probable increase of traffic at the proposed depôt over and above the average sales of the Conelong and Semmungary pans which would also be transferred to the Depôt in question.

Chinglepet District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk, 3d August 1852
} [Signed] J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Bd No. 1706.

Proceedings thereon.

29.30

The above letter will be taken into consideration with the Report which the Collector has been called upon to furnish in para 1 of the Board's Proceedings dated 19th February 1852.

Read the following letters

No. 79.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

31

Bd No. 1897
From the Collr of Salem
} Sir
Bringing to notice further circumstances in regard to the Monigarship held by Brummah Gounden and soliciting fresh instructions for the appointment of a successor.

I / Adverting to your Board's Proceedings dated the 21st June last

last on the subject of nominating the son of Brummah Gounden to the Monigarship of his Village.

I have the honor to re-

2.

-present that on a further consideration of the papers connected with this matter, it seems to me to be my duty to soli-cit fresh instructions from the Board.

The Monigar whose retire-

3.

-ment from office was the cause of the Petition from Brummah Gounden being addressed to your Board resigning his position in favor of his fifth son the man whom I appointed to succeed him. This son it appears had received the aged Monigar into his house and had been supporting him, and it is natural to suppose that, under these circumstances, the old man may have been desirous of discharging a debt of gratitude by securing the vacancy for the person to whom he owed so much.

4

Should it be now resolved to

the terms on which it is considered desirable to settle with the proprietrefs of the Nynamalay Choultry in Chitrelva-vady Namacul Taluck, I have the honor to report that after an unsuccessful attempt to elicit through the aid of the local Tahsildar a distinct knowledge of what might be the final wish of the proprietrefs I have personally communicated with her and found that she is unwilling to relinquish her rights in the building in question, and accepts the permanent allowance of Rupees 100-"-"- per annum which in such event it has been resolved to assign to her.

2, I have therefore now to beg

beg your Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Government for the disbursement in question to commence with the Current Fusly all such arrangements as may be necessary being made in my Department for incorporating the Evans lands with the Aiw.

Salem ..... Collector's Cutcherry Office ..... 6th August 1852

/Signed/ H.D. Phillips Collector

No 356

B^d No 1701. Proceedings thereon. 33.34

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration

From Salem 28th August with Boards remarks 2nd October 1851.
From Government 11th in consultation 27th November 1851.
Proceedings to Government 29th March 1852.
From Government 20th April in cons 3rd May 1852.

consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in the reference to the papers noted in the margin.

2. Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted to him to resume the Entire Enam lands attached to the Choultry, and to allow a money payment of 100 Rs per annum to the Lutchmumal being equivalent to the moiety of the Enam which was proposed to be continued to her for life.

To

No 83.

To, W.H. Bayley Esqr &c
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

35

Bd No 1906.
From the Collector of Salem - - -

Soliciting instructions as to whether he is any longer at liberty to continue the practice of holding certain sums in deposit in the Talooka Treasuries for meeting occasional disbursements and periodical outlays.-

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to notice that, under a practice long existing in this District it has been usual to meet certain periodical and occasional outlays unavoidably requiring to be made in the Talooks by holding in deposit in the Treasuries of the local Tahsildars such sums as appear sufficient for the items of expenditure to which I refer and which, for the sake of brevity, I have specified in the enclosure to this communication. The various amounts thus left with the Tahsildars are portions of the deposit funds in the General Treasury of the Collectorate.-

2 If however, your Boards circular order of the marginal date be deemed applicable to deposits of the class in question, the system must cease and some other method be devised for supplying to Tahsildars the money necessary for the occasional disbursements and periodical outlays in question; as there can be no doubt, I submit, that for the reasons shown in the enclosure, most if not all the payments to which I advert must continue to be made

in

in the manner hitherto adopted.—

3. In the event of your Boards Circ-ular order which I have quoted being intended only to prohibit disbursements from the regular collections of the month the difficulties I have supposed do not exist and the system in force may be continued.— Being however impressed with the belief that the views of the Government contemplate the more general prohibition of deposits of any kind being left in the Talook Cutcherry, I beg to submit the matter for consideration by your Board and solicit instructions how the payments in question are to be effected, if I am to consider myself no longer at liberty to furnish the Tahsildars from the General Treasury of the District, with such funds as they are likely to want in each month.—

"To allow Cash "to be lodged "in the Talook "Treasuries for "defraying pro- "bable forth- "coming charges"

4. I have given the subject my best attention and with much respect for the sentiments in this respect which are entertained by the higher authorities am unable, as at present informed to dispossess myself of the notion, that in this District at

at least a certain amount of funds must be deposited in some of the Tahsildars Treasuries for the several payments those Offices are called on to make.—

Salem Collectors Office, Octo-ber 7th August, 1852.— } (Signed) H. D. Phillips Collector.

Payments

9383

Fort St George 19th August 1852

Payments periodical and occasional required to be made at the Talook Treasuries of the Salem District.-

No	Designation.	Amount in Deposit.	Remarks.
1	Hire pca attending the Talook remittances to the Hozoor.	Rs A. P. 95 - - -	The engagements made with the owners of Carts and bullocks employed to convey the monthly collections from the Talooks to the General Treasury require that certain advances be made, the balance hire being paid on the return to their Villages of the drivers or Cartmen. et Tahsildar has no funds to meet an unavoidable disbursement of this kind unless a certain sum has been sent to him from the General Treasury, which he keeps in deposit.-
2			The monthly amount actually expended is indented for at the proper time and having been paid by a separate order on the Collectors Treasury, is returned to the deposit fund so as to make good what had thus temporily been withdrawn from it.-
2	Batta to Prisoners and others at the Tahsildars Cutcherry and Jail.	355 - - -	For this item also a Tahsildar requires funds, which should Submit be supplied from the General Treasury unless he receives the required advance from the above source he will be driven to purchasing supplies and borrowing ready money from the Shop keepers in the Bazar.-
3	For remuneration to extra Tappal Runners employed when the overland Mail arrives.-	100 - - -	The foregoing remarks apply also to this item.-

9384

Fort St George 19th August 1852

No.	Designation.	Amount in Deposit.	Remarks
4	For Laing in sup-lies for Troops on the March-	14-50----	Do.
5	For part payment in advance of bearers who may be posted for Travellers-	770----	Do.
6	Batta to con-victs in the ma-gisterial Jails-	1067-5-5	Do.
7	Pay for the re-gular Troops at Royacottah:-	5,500----	As now arranged the Paymasters Draft for the pay of the Troops of the Line in garrison at Royacottah reaches me about the 19th of the month, on which date the guard which es-corts to Salem the remittance of the Month has left the Talooks- Under such circumstances the pay of the Troops if ordered to be transmitted from Salem by the return guard could not be received in the Talook Treasury at Kistnagherry and there disbursed, under my order founded on the Paymasters Draft, before the 1st of the second month after that for which the pay is due;- and sometimes it will happen that the return guard has quitted Salem previous to the Treasurer there being furnished with the instructions to Cash the Paymasters Draft- To prevent the disagreeables likely to arise from such delay it has been deemed desirable to have in readiness at Kistnagherry the wherewithal at once to meet the Drafts of the Paymasters Department.-
8	For payment of Pensioned Sepays-	1,927----	The Abstracts of the 300/ more or less/ Military Pensioners in my range, cannot be prepared, forwarded to, passed by the Military Paymaster in the Southern Division, and returned to my office earlier than the 28th or 29th of the month, on which dates the Guards which have escorted to Salem the remittances of the month from the several Talooks have left Salem and either have returned to the respective Cutcherries on their way back. The order to the Treasurer at Salem is sent to him immediately on the receipt of the Paymasters Draft, but on account of the reasons above stated the money due cannot then be remitted, but must be retained and forwarded to the several Talooks on the occasion of the next monthly remittances reaching Salem. Should the payment of the Pensioners be delayed till then those old men would not receive their pension until the close of the second month after that for which it became due. It is therefore the practice in this District to place with the several Tahsildars sums calculated to meet the Pensioners pay on the receipt of the Paymasters Draft. The Tahsildars receive from the General Treasury at Salem when next the guards with the monthly remittances arrive, the sums they had previously disbursed and which serve to replace the sums taken from the Deposit fund.-

9385 Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

No. 357.

Bd. No. 1708
Resolution thereon.
(35.36)

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the Consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Board's letter of the 22d July 1852.

Read the following

Tabular Report.

Tabular,

9385 Fort St. George 19th August 1852

Remarked

No. Description. Amount in dispute.

9 Days of Temporary Peons employed to guard the convicts at the rate of one Fanam for every Man Convicted.— 2 2 0 — —

Through in a Left day roll, the above stated difficulties prevail in respect of these Peons. Their pay is not drawn on the Establishment nor can it be, and this it is not transmitted to the said Officer the Peons are serving, much before the commencement of the second month after that for which the pay is drawn.—

Salem, - - -
Collectors Office, -
Ootacamund - - -
7th August 1852.

D. Phillips
Collector

9387 George

Bd. No. 1822

37

Case

...e deceased
...f the parties
...s and the means
...ed by them

Mazoom alias Shaw Mushrooleegum aged 44 a widow
Yeromiah daughter died. His son in-law died Coodoos...
...padeen Hoossain solicits the Continuance of the Yeomiah.

Lucca Babee present claimant
...n Hoossain.
...ter aged 10
...ter of the
Mohadeen Babee
...sband Sumshar
...hildren in Tanjore
...ops an Enam in
...y said to yield an
Rupees 5,000 per annum
...vate property in
...t a house and
...t Naradoor some
...ly appears now to
bad.-

19th August

Recom...

Recommendations of the Board of Revenue

Decision of Government.

The Gov...t of
a member of th...bent
did, Govern...ose
death is n...ebruary
with an intim...
184[illegible] and Bo...ted for
the state of ...ears
granting ...her
that Mazoo...t on
husband ...be
her elder So...
continued to ...
Enam Village ...would
seem entitle...niah
and Manirmi ...mbent-
His proper ...lleged
to be another ...pear
to have been ...into
detail regardin...ffect
the entire pos...emmade

9387
Bd. Ns. 1822.

George

37

Case

19th. August

Recommendation of the Board of Revenue

Decision of Government.

...he deceased
...of the parties
...s and the means
...sed by them

Mazoom Allyt a widow
Shaw Mushrooleqqum aged 44
Yeomiah dam up daughter
died. His son Lucca Babee
in-law died Godoop.
Jpadeen Hoossain
solicits the
Continuance
of the Yeomiah.

...present claimant
...n Hoossain.
...hter aged 10
...ter of the
Mohadeen Babee
...sband Sumshar
...hildren in Tanjore
...ports an Enamee
...y said to yield an
...Rupees 5,000 per annum
...vate property in
...d a house and
...at Maradoor some
...ch appears now to
...bad.

The Gov...t
a member of th...bent
died, Govern...lose
death is n...ble
with an intim...ebruary
184[illegible] and Bo...ted for
the state of ...ears
granting ...ker
that Mazoo...t on
husband ...be
her elder So...an
continued to ...would
Iruam Village ...niah
seem entitle...
and Manurai ...mbent-
His proper ...leged
to be another ...pear
to have been ...nto
detail regarding ...ected
the entire pe...en made

9388

Fort St. George 19th August 1852

Bd. No. 902

Remarks thereon.-

This case is reported for orders with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th February 1847.-

2.

The family of the deceased Mazoom Ali Khan consists of a Widow and two Daughters. The Daughters are married and provided for and they as well as the widow seem entitled to participate in an Enam in Tanjore, the proceeds of which yield an annual income of Rupees 3000.-

3.

Under these Circumstances the Board are precluded from supporting the claims preferred, and recommend the resumption of the Enam and of the moiety of the Yeomiah which have now lapsed.-

Cultivators. Tillaperum Tolaght 13 34 0 0
Poonjah 9 14 12 Nihil
Manjiah 5 10 2 1 5
Palaiel - 2 12 3 2 4
21 0 - 15 7 8 7
Mouzy Yeomiah - - 16 3 11
Total - - 411 2 0

Sd/ Con 21st February 1854

37.38

Read the following letter

39

Bd. No. 906

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

From Mr. Just Gantz
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to solicit the Board will be pleased to favor me with a Copy of the Honorable the Court of Directors dispatch conveyed in

9389

Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

in Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852. in which they decline according to the recommendation made by Government for the grant of a Pension to me.- I beg further to state that my object in desiring to be furnished with a Copy of the despatch is to be guided by it in the preparation of an appeal to the honorable Court, for which purpose the Official Memo received from the Collector of Madras is altogether insufficient, as will be apparent from the Copy of it which I have taken the liberty to annex hereto.-

In the hope that this request will be favorably Considered, I beg to subscribe myself.-

Madras, 17th August, 1852

/Signed/ Just Gantz.-

Official Memorandum.

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 2d August 1852, Communicated to this office in the Board's Proceedings of the 9th Instant Mr. Gantz is informed that the Honorable the Court of Directors have declined according to

9388

Fort St. George 19th August 1852

Bd. No. 102

Remarks thereon.-

This case is reported for orders with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th February 1847.-

2. The family of the deceased Mazoom Ali Khan consists of a Widow and two Daughters. The Daughters are married and provided for and they as well as the widow seem entitled to participate in an Enam in Tanjore, the proceeds of which yield an annual income of Rupees 3,000:-

3. Under these Circumstances the Board are precluded from supporting the claims preferred, and recommend the resumption of the Enam and of the moiety of the Yeomiah which have now lapsed.-

Claimants. { Brt. acre - 14-14 Rent 3-13-5 / Pagoda - 3-12-2 - 11-1-5 / Colonel - 2-14-14-2-4 / 2d - 25-8-7 / Mushy. Yeomiah - - 84-3-11 / Total - - 111-12-0

Sd. Con. 27th February 1847

37.38

Read the following Letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

39

Bd. No. 1966

From Mr. Just Gantz, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to solicit the Board will be pleased to favor me with a Copy of the Honorable the Court of Directors despatch conveyed in

9389

Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

in Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1852., in which they decline, according to the recommendation made by Government for the grant of a Pension to me.- I beg further to state that my object in desiring to be furnished with a Copy of the despatch is to be guided by it in the preparation of an appeal to the honorable Court, for which purpose the Official Memo received from the Collector of Madras is altogether insufficient, as will be apparent from the Copy of it which I have taken the liberty to annex hereto.-

In the hope that this request will be favorably Considered, I beg to subscribe myself.-

Madras, 17th August, 1852

/Signed/ Just Gantz.-

Official Memorandum.

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 2d August 1852, Communicated to this Office in the Board's Proceedings of the 9th Instant Mr. Gantz is informed that the Honorable the Court of Directors have declined acceding to

9392 Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

Petitioners if it be in no other respects just, I am directed to refer the subject to you for a full enquiry and report, and to request that Suggeer Hossain Saheb be personally examined as to the two awards said to have been passed by him, and if he admit the genuineness of that in favor of the Defendants, that he be required to state on what principle it was made.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
19th August, 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To,

43

The Agent at
Kurnool.

Bd. No. 1904

To the Agent at Kurnool

The same to Chingleput Canara and Malabar

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to expedite the despatch of the abstract of persons charged &c. under Regulation IX of 1822 in your District for the first half of the year 1852.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
19th August, 1852.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

9393 Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

Bd. No. 1973
From the Collector of Salem.
44

Read Detailed List of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishments of his District, as they stood on the 30th April 1852.

44.45

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon

Bd. No. 1967
From the Collector of Canara.
46

Read abstract Statement shewing the Number of Persons charged Convicted and acquitted &c. under Regulations IX of 1822 for half year ending 30th June 1852.

46.47

Ordered to lie over, until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Order thereon.

Read the undermentioned

48
Bd. No. 1846
From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Statements.
Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

49
Bd. No. 1829
From the Collector of Bellary.

Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

50
Bd. No. 1830
From the Collector of Cuddapah.

Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June

Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

51
Bd. No. 1896 June last.-

From the Collector of Salem.- Statiment of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th

52
Bd. No. 1831 June last.-

From the Collector of South Arcot.- Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th June

53
Bd. No. 1825 last.-

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th June

48. 54 last.-

Order on the 6 abovementioned Statiments - - - - - - - - - } Resolved that the necessary

55
Bd. No. 1839 orders be passed.-

From the Collector of Madras.- Read Statement of Contingent

55. 56 Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th June 1852.-

Order thereon Ordered to be submitted for the

57 sanction of Government with reference to their

Bd. No. 1921 order, dated 28th July 1843.-

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.- Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred

57. 58 by the Board for the Month of July last.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Received the undermentioned

59 Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.-

M. No. 863

From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Return of fines imposed and

Fort St. George 19th August 1852.-

and stoppages made from the pay of Public servants for the 2d Quarter ending 30th June last

60
M. No. 857

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last

61
M. No. 856

From the Collector Tanjore.- Stating that no Persons have been charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of

62 July last.-

M. No. 854.

From the Collector Trichinopoly.- Stating that the Return of Persons tried for Offences confined and released under Regulation IX of 1822 were not forwarded for the Month of July last.-

63
M. No. 861

From the Collector of Canara.- Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822

64 for the Month of April last.-

M. No. 853.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Stating that the Return of Persons suspended, and dismissed under Regulation XI of 1831 was not forwarded for

65 the Month of July last.-

M. No. 860.

From the Collector of Canara.- Forwarding the half yearly Statiments of Persons charged &c. under Regulation IX of 1822 ending 30th June and also the Monthly Returns due for April last.-

From

9396 Fort St. George 19th August 1852.

Mr. No. 855

From the Collector of Madura.— Acknowledging the

66 receipt of certain Printed Forms of Licenses.

" Goodenough

Comp. D. Gibb

" W. Blane

9397 Fort St. George 20th August 1852.

Diary.

1 20th August Sent the following letter

To, No. 354.

Bd. No. 1697. The Chief Secretary to

Government.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.—

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of July 1852. for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th August, 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.—
Secretary.

At

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member

and

Monday, 23d. August 1852 } T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars

2

Bd. No. 1940
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 10th. August 1852 } Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read Extract adverting to the Report of the Commissioner Northern Circars, on the Survey and Assessment of the Guntoor Tannah and authorizing the reduction, of the present rates in Cases where he and the Collector are satisfied that they are too high.

2-3

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

4

Read the following Resolution.

Bd. No. 1963
From the Secretary to Government } No. 788

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th. August, 1852.

(From Board 26th. July)

Resolved that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No. 2245 of 1851. from Syed Moheedeen, and Communicated to him thro' the Collector of Chingleput.

"The Government permit the Petitioner to prosecute his Claim in the Civil Court under Section II Regulation II of 1831."

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

4-5

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded

Bd. No. 1982. No. 800.
From the Secretary to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 16th. August, 1852.

8

(From Board 5th. August)

Under the Circumstances represented, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, grants authority for making over to Nagumbutloo the Spot of Land in Chintagoonta

9400

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

Chuttagonta, assessed at Rupees 15-1-2/ Five and five Tws. which was included in the portion ordered to be resumed in para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th April 1852. No. 316.

/Signed/ D. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

8-7

Bd. No. 1724

Order thereon

Ordered to be Communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 20th July 1852.

Inlos: 1st August 1852

Bd. No. 1976

No. 799.

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 16th August 1852.

8

/From Board 26th July/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves and sanctions the course recommended by the Collector of Madura and the Board of Revenue for the Charge of the Vaiboor Mootah during the minority of the Proprietor Perumal Saick, and requests the Board will issue instructions for giving effect to it. He also concurs in the views expressed by the Board in the last para of the above proceedings in respect of the Claim

9401

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

Claim advanced by the brother of the deceased Mootahdar to share in the property.

/Signed/ D. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

8-9

Bd. No. 1717

Order thereon.

Ordered to be Communicated with the Board's Proceedings for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura.

No. 361.

Read again letter from the Collector of Nellore dated 15th December 1851, reporting on the Land Revenue Settlement of that District for Fusly 1260.

/Here enter No. 14/

Infos: 5th February 1852

10

Bd. No. 1713

Proceedings Reviewing the Land Revenue Settlement Report of the District of Nellore for Fusly 1260.

1 The season is reported to have been an unfavorable one both for the Dry and Wet Crops. In the Principal Division the rain only fell at distant intervals and in quantities insufficient to fill the tanks and the only lands which appear to have been well supplied were those irrigated by Channels from the Pennar Even the freshes in this river failed in the latter part of the season. It was not

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

4. In Para 7 of his Report the Collector brings to notice the fact that the existence of one entire Village, and six hamlets had been discovered thro' means of the Census. The difference in the number of Hamlets is not so singular but that a Surva Enam Village Consisting of nearly 500 Acres should have escaped notice for the period of 30 years since the Commencement of the Company's administration is indeed remarkable, and the Board will look for a full explanation of the Cause of this omission in the Report which the Collector promises on the Subject.-

Para 9

5. The prices of Grain exhibit no improvement on the depressed state of the market which was noticed in the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of the year (Fusly 1259) with the exception of a very trifling rise on dry grains. There is still a decrease of 7 per% on wet produce (Paddy) and of no less than 39 per% on the different descriptions of Dry grain below the Commutation price. In their review of the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1259 the Government desired

Extract Minutes Consultation 27th May 1852 Inforce 5th June 1851. Para 3.

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

desired that the Collector should be called upon for more specific information upon this point and that he should be required to state explicitly in what quarters and to what extent. The export trade of his District in grain had declined and from what causes, as also what are the districts whose produce has been imported into Nellore. The Government further stated their expectation that the Collector would obtain such ample and Complete information as to enable him to report distinctly whether the falling off in price he refers to is attributable to partial and temporary causes, and whether therefore it will be permanent or not.-

Para 15

6. The explanation now furnished by the Collector is not so full or satisfactory as was expected. He states that the export of Grain to which he referred in his last report was that by land principally to Guntoor and the Ceded districts, but that he is unable to make a Comparison of the

the quantities formerly and now exported, as no record of the export of grain was Kept. The falling off in the export from Nellore to the districts above mentioned he Considers to be owing to the improvement in their means of irrigation, and Consequent increased produce, and both to this Cause as well as to the entire supercession of the Cloth Manufacture in the district which was formerly a source of wealth to the ryots he attributes the fall in price.

7. The Board have referred to the average prices in the Nellore district for the preceding 40 years, and they find that excluding years of decided Scarcity or famine the average of the several periods of ten years is as follows.—

	First sort Paddy per pooty.			Jowary per Pooty.		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
Fusles 1220 to 1221/2 years	25	10	7	30	13	2
"——1222 to 1231——	23	9	3	27	9	8
"——1223 to 1241——	21	13	8	25	7	6
"——1224 to 1251——	21	15	5	23	10	"
"——1225 to 1260——	19	12	6	22	5	8

and

and if from the last period of 9 years Fusly 1255 when the prices were unusually high, be excluded, the average will be for Paddy Rupees 18-13-3 and for Jowari Rupees 21-"-10

Fusly 1255			
Paddy— Rupees	27	6	7
Jowari— "	32	12	6

This indicates a gradual but decided falling off in price particularly during the last 9 years in 6 of which the current price of Paddy was below the Commutation price while in the previous 32 years there appear only 5 in which this was the case. It is different as respects the Dry grains which have seldom reached the Commutation price, and have been subject to great fluctuation.

Commutation rate for Wet produce Rs. 20

	Rupees	A.	P.
*Fusles 1237	18	2	7
"——1238——	19	9	9
"——1241——	19	9	9
"——1245——	19	10	4
"——1257——	17	1	10

8. It does not appear however that this state of things has been at all confined to the Nellore District for there has been a Corresponding fall in prices in all the neighbouring districts, as shown in the Margin and the

Fusles		North Arcot	Chingleput	Cudd. Arpoll.	Guntoor	Nellore
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rate of Paddy per Garce	1257——	105	101	103	103	97
	1258——	60	72	98	99	90
	1259——	68	77	88	95	80
	1260——	71	88	84	96	76
Rate of Jowary per Garce	1257——	140	200	116	122	125
	1258——	89	130	106	104	108
	1259——	91	94	91	96	86
	1260——	88	109	97	125	85

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

the Board therefore cannot concur in the opinion that it is attributable to the peculiar local cause referred to by the Collector but would rather ascribe it to the operation of general causes which have had the same effect in lowering prices throughout the Presidency, among these may no doubt be reckoned the ruin of the large class who formerly earned a comfortable maintenance by the manufacture and sale of cloth, but the main cause both in Nellore and elsewhere is probably the increased production of grain without a corresponding increase in the number of consumers. The Collector altho' he does not expressly say so, is evidently of opinion that the fall in price is likely to be permanent. There seems no ground for belief that prices will under existing circumstances rise again to the former rates but neither does it appear probable that they will continue so low as they are at present, except under the improbable contingency of a continued succession of productive years

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

years, both in Nellore and in the contiguous districts. The great improvement in prices during the years 1254-1255-1256 and 1257 as shown in the table annexed to Para 9 of the Collector's present report after they had for a like period ruled even lower than they are now, tends to show that these sudden fluctuations depend more on the character of the seasons than on any permanent cause.—

Rate of Paddy per Pootty or Candy			
Fusslies	Rs	a	p
1251	17	1	1
1252	16	4	9
1253	15	15	8
1254	18	4	8
1255	27	6	7
1256	23	3	11
1257	21	9	1
1260	17	8	4

Dittum Para 11.

The lands agreed for at the Commencement of the season amounted to Rupees 11,26,072 or Rupees 23,040 in excess of the previous year, but the unfavorable nature of the season caused a much larger quantity of land to be left waste and there is an excess of Rupees 28,725 on the Cubboolate Bunjer of the present year. This reduced the gross settlement to Rupees 11,85,400 of which 11,07,703 was actual Cultivation, Fuzil and Trivah Jasti Rupees 26,414 and the remainder / Rupees 57,282 / was assessed Poz-

Cubbulate Bunjer	
Fusly 1259	Rupees 2,11,947
" 1260 "	240,672
Increase	28,725

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

the Board therefore cannot concur in the opinion that it is attributable to the peculiar local cause referred to by the Collector but would rather ascribe it to the operation of general causes which have had the same effect in lowering prices throughout the Presidency, among these may no doubt be reckoned the ruin of the large class who formerly earned a comfortable maintenance by the manufacture and sale of Cloth, but the main cause both in Nellore and elsewhere is probably the increased production of grain without a corresponding increase in the number of consumers. The Collector although he does not expressly say so, is evidently of opinion that the fall in price is likely to be permanent. There seems no ground for belief that prices will under existing circumstances rise again to the former rates but neither does it appear probable that they will continue so low as they are at present, except under the improbable contingency of a continued succession of productive

years

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

years, both in Nellore and in the Contiguous districts. The great improvement in prices during the years 1254 - 1255 - 1256 and 1257 as shown in the table annexed to Para 9 of the Collector's present report after they had for a like period ruled even lower than they are now, tends to show that these sudden fluctuations depend more in the character of the season than on any permanent cause.—

Rate of Paddy per Pootly or Candy Fusley	Rs.	a	p.
1251	17	1	1
1252	16	4	9
1253	15	15	8
1254	18	4	8
1255	27	1	7
1256	23	3	11
1257	21	9	1
1260	17	8	4

Dittum Para 11.

9. The lands agreed for at the Commencement of the season amounted to Rupees 1,26,072 or Rupees 23,040 in excess of the previous year, but the unfavorable nature of the season caused a much larger quantity of land to be left waste and there is an excess of Rupees 28,725 in the Cubboolate Bunjer of the present year. This reduced the gross settlement to Rupees 11,85,400 of which 11,07,703 was actual Cultivation, Fuzil and Tirvah Jastie Rupees 26,414 and the remainder [Rupees 37,282] was assessed Tiz.—

Cubbulate Bunjer		
Fusly 1259	Rupees	2,11,947
" — 1260 — "	—	2,40,672
Increase		28,725

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

the Board therefore cannot concur in the opinion that it is attributable to the peculiar local cause referred to by the Collector but would rather ascribe it to the operation of general causes which have had the same effect in lowering prices throughout the Presidency, among these may no doubt be reckoned the ruin of the large class who formerly earned a comfortable maintenance by the manufacture and sale of Cloth, but the main cause both in Nellore and elsewhere is probably the increased production of grain without a corresponding increase in the number of Consumers. The Collector although he does not expressly say so, is evidently of opinion that the fall in price is likely to be permanent. There seems no ground for belief that prices will under existing circumstances rise again to the former rates but neither does it appear probable that they will continue so low as they are at present, except under the improbable contingency of a Continued succession of productive

years

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

years, both in Nellore and in the Coniguard districts. The great improvement in prices during the years 1254 - 1255 - 1256 and 1257 as shown in the table annexed to Para 9 of the Collector's present report after they had for a like period ruled even lower than they are now, tends to show that these sudden fluctuations depend more in the character of the season than on any permanent cause.—

Rate of Paddy per Pollie or Candy			
Fusslies	Rs	a	p.
1251	17	1	1
1252	16	4	9
1253	15	15	8
1254	18	4	8
1255	27	1	7
1256	23	3	11
1257	21	9	1
1260	17	8	4

Ditum Para 11.

9. The lands agreed for at the Commencement of the season amounted to Rupees 11,26,072 or Rupees 23,040 in excess of the previous year, but the unfavorable nature of the season caused a much larger quantity of land to be left waste and there is an excess of Rupees 28,725 in the Cubboolate Bunjer of the present year. This reduced the Gross settlement to Rupees 11,85,400 of which 11,07,703 was actual Cultivation, Fuzil and Tiwah Jasti Rupees 26,414 and the remainder / Rupees 57282 / waste assessed Vz.

Cubbulate Bunjer	
Fusly 1259	Rupees 2,11,947
" — 1260 — "	2,40,672
Increase	28,725

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Column 131.

up together in the Statement No. 2 under the former head. It would be preferable that they should also be separately shown in the accounts.

No. 8 Para 20.

12. The usual Cowle Statement has been correctly revised in accordance with the Board's instructions given in their Proceedings on last year's Settlement.—

13. The Net Ryotwar demand remaining after the above deductions is Rupees 14,3579 which with the items entered in the table below make the total demand on account of Land Revenue exclusive of Permanent Peishcush Rupees 14,84,881 or Rupees 13,562 less than in Fusly 1259.—

Para 35

JG

Fusly

Fort St George 23d August 1852

	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
Ryotwar demand	11,59,155	11,43,579	"	15,576
Ready Money Collections	2,21,369	2,21,166	"	203
Rented Beriz	13,660	16,021	2,361	"
	13,94,183	13,80,766	"	13,417
Add				
Shrotriums	80,362	80,362	"	"
Amaniums	2,637	2,637	"	"
Cuttuddies	327	327	"	"
Nuzzers Rufums	8,206	8,206	"	"
Stulla Curnums	12,527	12,382	"	145
Quit Rent	200	200	"	"
Total	14,98,443	14,84,881	"	13,562
Zemindary Peishcush	4,17,815	4,17,815	"	"
Grand total	19,16,257	19,02,695	"	13,562

of

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Column 13. up together in the Statement No. 2 under the former head. It would be preferable that they should also be separately shown in the accounts.—

No. 8 Para 22. 12. The usual Cowle Statements has been correctly revised in accordance with the Board's instructions given in their Proceedings on last year's Settlement.—

13. The Net Ryotwar demand remaining after the above deductions is Rupees 11,43,579 which with the items entered in the table below make the total demand on account of Land Revenue exclusive of Permanent Peishcush Rupees 14,84,881 or Rupees 13,562 less than in Fusly 1259.—

Para 35

Fusly

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
Ryotwar demand	11,59,155	11,43,579	"	15,576
Ready Money Collections	2,21,369	2,21,166	"	203
Rented Beriz	13,660	16,021	2,361	"
	13,94,183	13,80,766	"	13,417
Add				
Shotriums	80,362	80,362	"	"
Amaniums	2,637	2,637	"	"
Cuttuddies	327	327	"	"
Nuzzers Rufums	8,206	8,206	"	"
Stulla burnums	12,527	12,382	"	145
Dust Rent	200	200	"	"
Total	14,98,443	14,84,881	"	13,562
Zemindary Peishcush	4,17,815	4,17,815	"	"
Grand total	19,16,257	19,02,695	"	13,562

of

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

Column 13. up together in the statement No. 2 under the former head. It would be pre-=ferable that they should also be separately shown in the accounts.-

No. 8 Para 22. 12. The usual Cowle Statement has been correctly revised in accor-=dance with the Board's instructions given in their Proceedings on last years Settlement.-

13. The Net Ryotwar de-=mand remaining after the above deductions is Rupees 1143579 which with the items entered in the table below make the total demand on account of Land Revenue es-=tsue of Permanent Peishcush Rupees 14,84,881 or Rupees 13,562 less than in Fusly 1259.-

Para 25

JG.

Fusly

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
Ryotwar demand	11,59,155	11,43,579	"	15,576
Ready Money Collections	2,21,369	2,21,166	"	203
Rented Beriz	13,660	16,021	2,361	"
	13,94,183	13,80,766	"	13,417
Add				
Shrotriums	80,362	80,362	"	"
Amaniums	2,637	2,637	"	"
Cuttuddies	327	327	"	"
Nuzzers Rufaums	8,206	8,206	"	"
Stulla Curnums	12,527	12,382	"	145
Quit Rents	200	200	"	"
Total	14,98,443	14,84,881	"	13,562
Zemindary Peishcush	4,17,815	4,17,815	"	"
Grand total	19,16,257	19,02,695	"	13,562

of

Para 35

12. Of the above demand (exclusive of Peshcush) Rupees 14,02,381 were collected with the Fusly and Rupees 52,501 subsequently leaving a balance† then outstanding of Rs 29,999 as detailed in the Margin.

Para 37.

Amanny Shrotriems	Rupees—21,238-13-10.
Shrotriems	"— 8,340-9-9.
Stulla Curmums	"— 419-9-5.
	29,999-1-"-

† Balance to the end of June 1852 Rupees 16,154.

the large amount of which the Collector attributes to the unfavorable season the great floods in May 1851. and the depression in the price of Grain out of the amanni Ryotwar Balance (Rupees 21,239†) there seems no prospect of collecting more than Rupees 5,000 Rupees 2,231- is due by Ryots who relinquished their lands at an improper season. and Rupees 2,400 for doubtful cases of shavi or dried up crops besides balances the amount of which is not stated "due by poor ryots who lost their produce during the flood". These items should be at once enquired into and recommended for remission if necessary. It is observed with respect to the shavi that at the Summahundy no remission was allowed where there was any produce however deficient.

Para 18

Para 38.

15 Of the Shrotriums Balance Rupees

† Balance to the end of June 1852 Rs 7,448.

(Rupees 8321) that due from Inam Shrotriums is only 1008 which is further reduced by Rupees 282 on account of lands lost under Channels, and has been recommended for remission for the three last Fusles The remainder 726 is mainly due upon 5 Villages which the Collector says have consequently been brought under attachment but this measure involves so much loss that it should not be resorted to except on urgent necessity and where all other means for realizing the balance have failed. This is strongly exemplified in the state of the balances on Zuptee Shrotriums particularly those Kept under amani of which there were this year 30 out of a total number of 36. The Jodi on these 30 Shrotriums is Rupees 16,249 and the Collections only 9,494 or little exceeding ½ after the expenditure on account of Sibendy has been deducted. The balance is Rupees 7,323 of which only Rupees 401 is in course of Collection being the balance on the amanni outturn. From this the Board understand that the crops

Para 39.

are

are reaped, or estimated by the Government
servant, and this system is every where
found to be so open to abuse that they are
not surprised at the unsatisfactory state
Para 39 of the balances. The Collector states that "in
a season so unfavorable as that of Fusly
1260 parties were naturally unwilling to
come forward with offers for renting these
shotriums." It does not appear clear how
a bad season could have been anticipated
at the commencement of the season when
the offers for renting the Villages would have been
received nor is the reason assigned for so
Keeping so large a number under annamy
Management altogether satisfactory. There
are always persons in the Village whose
interest it is that they should be so
retained, but with proper enquiry the
Board think that some arrangement
might be effected for giving them on
Joint rents among the Ryots of the Village
Itself or what would be better still that
an individual settlement should at once
be introduced.

16- The Board take this opportunity of pointing out that the Godi demand

demand against these Zuftied Shotriums
exhibited in Para 39 of the report does not
include the roosums whereas these are in-
-cluded in Statement No 15. There is
hence no correspondence between the
report and the Statement and they
request that in future the Dyen Godi
and the Roosums may be distinguish-
-ed in the Statement.

17 The entire demand on account
Para 22 of Zemindary Peishcush Rupees 417,815-
was been collected with the exception
+Balance to the end of June 1852 Rupees 627 of Rupees +653 which has to be realized
from the Roosums of the Chittatywar
Pollegar. The collections and disbursements
on account of this and the Warratawar
Pollium are given in Statement No 21
where it is observed that the net amount
in deposit on behalf of warratawar is
Rupees 1,287-15-3 but no sum is credited
under the head of charges towards liqui-
-dating the advance of Rupees 2,900 made
in excess last year by the Circar
+Para 50. though it was proposed in the report for
Fusly 1259 to recover it from the collections. In
this Statement also there is a sum of Rupees
72-14-5 entered as Peishcush for

Fusly

are reaped, or estimated by the Government
servant, and this system is every where
found to be so open to abuse that they are
not surprised at the unsatisfactory state
Para 39 of the balances. The Collector states that "in
a season so unfavorable as that of Fusly
1260 parties were naturally unwilling to
come forward with offers for renting these
shotriums." It does not appear clear how
a bad season could have been anticipated
at the commencement of the season when
the offers for renting the Villages would have been
received nor is the reason assigned for the
Keeping so large a number under summary
Management altogether satisfactory. There
are always persons in the Village whose
interest it is that they should be so
retained, but with proper enquiry the
Board think that some arrangement
might be effected for giving them on
Joint rents among the Ryots of the Village
Itself or what would be better still that
an individual settlement should at once
be introduced.

16. The Board take this opportunity of pointing out that the Jodi

demand against these grafted shotriums
exhibited in Para 39 of the report does not
include the roosums whereas these are in-
-cluded in Statement No 15. There is
hence no correspondence between the
report and the statement and they
request that in future the Zyen Jodi
and the Roosums may be distinguish-
-ed in the Statement.

17 The entire demand on account
Para 42 of Zemindary Peishcush Rupees 417,815-
has been collected with the exception
+Balance to the end of June 1852 Rupees 627 of Rupees +653 which has to be realized
from the Roosums of the Chittatywar
Polligar. The collections and disbursements
on account of this and the Warratawar
Pollums are given in Statement No 21
where it is observed that the net amount
in deposit on behalf of warratawar is
Rupees 1,287-15-3 but no sum is credited
under the head of charges towards liqui-
-dating the advance of Rupees 2,900 made
in excess last year by the Circar
+ Para 50. though it was proposed in the +report for
Fusly 1259 to recover it from the collections. In
this Statement also there is a sum of Rupees
72-14-5 entered as Peishcush for
Fusly

Fasly 1261" but why this has been charged as a disbursement in the accounts of Fasly 1260 is not explained.

Para 44.

18.

Rs 25385-6-6

Of the Balance outstanding on the 15th October 1850 on account of Land Revenue Rupees 4,283-10-7- have been collected leaving Rupees 21,101-11-11- which the Collector classes as

+ Balance to the end of June 1852 Rupees 20,237

Recoverable - 2,309-10-9-

Doubtful - 18,792-1-2

Para 45

but he explains in the next Para that Rupees 6738- of this is Balance of Jodi on Shrotriums, never likely to be recovered and of the remainder (Rupees 12,053) nearly three fourths is said to be irrecoverable - It thus appears that the practice of entering Irrecoverable Balances as Doubtful and deferring the investigation of them is still resorted to notwithstanding the animadversions of the Board on this head in the Proceedings on the settlement of last year and the recent orders of Government requiring the investigation of all such balances in the year after they accrue or at most within two years.

Para 17.

Circular 11th March 1852.

Para 55

19. Nothing it appears has yet been done towards investigating the old Ryotwar arrears or the still larger amount of arrears on the Shrotriums which Government decreed should be reported on without delay. The Collector offers as an excuse that his attention is at present engaged in the investigation into the Sydapoor and Mr Travers alienations, and promises to take up the subject of these arrears when either of these is completed. Thus no definite time at which the Board may expect the report called for is held out. Mr White adds that "for a satisfactory adjustment of the Shrotriums balances it will be necessary to summon the several Shrotriumdars, and enter into a full and personal enquiry." but the Board cannot accept this as an adequate reason for leaving the subject as yet untouched, nor can they understand the difficulty of at once coming to a decision respecting these balances most of which are of such old standing that it is very unlikely that any investigation, however full

Contract Minutes Consultation 27th May 1851

Para 55.

full and personal will lead to their re-
-covery. It may here be remarked that the
total of the Ryotwar and other balances en-
-tered in Para 55 of the collector's report
differs from the amount given in Statement
16 by Rupees 5,661 as shown
in the margin.

As per report

Ryotwar balance ——	25,806	
Remaining D°- ——	166,228	+
	192,034	
As per Statement 16 Columns 12-	186,373	

+ Rupees 166,228 in Mundown report

20 The only balance recommended to be written off in the present year's report is one of Rupees 931-11-4- in the Chittatwar Peishcush. It is due for Fushes 1258 and 1259.

As per Para 47 of the collector's Report	934-9-8.
Balance to the end of June 1852 as per Demand Collection Balance sheets of do do	931-11-4.
Collected - 2-14-8.	

It may be necessary to observe that there is no land attached to this Pollium the revenue of which entirely consists of Rofsoomy collected from the circar Villages, and as the Collector states that it is irrecoverable the Board support his recommendation that it may be remitted.

21 In the comparative abstract of Revenue from all sources given in Para 48 of the Collector's report, Sewoy Jumma has been included in the entries of some of the Cextra sources such as salt, Sea Customs

Customs &c These should contain only the Jyen demand and the Sewoy Jummah be entered if necessary at the foot as in the following corrected abstract which shows a Net decrease of Rupees 92,709- principally in the Revenue from Salt 78,617-

	Items	Demand for Fusly 1259	Demand for Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	Land Revenue ——	19,16,258	19,02,695	"	13,562
Cextra Revenue	Salt ——	5,39,349	4,60,733	"	78,617
	Sea Customs ——	556	10	"	546
	Abkarry ——	32,003	30,154	"	1,849
	Moturpha ——	17,222	17,651	429	"
	Sundry Small Farms and Licences ——	768	688	"	80
	Stamps ——	12,269	13,785	1,516	"
	Total —	6,02,169	5,23,021	1,945	81,092
	Grand Total —	25,18,426	24,25,717	1,945	94,654
			Net Decrease —		92,709

2d

22 In Para 55 the Collector has given a very full reply to the enquiries of the Board respecting the sudden fall in the Rent of the Village of Serispooram which seems to bear out the remark made last year that the remote cause at all events was the heavy assessment placed on the wet lands by Mr Smalley in Fusly 1256 being an increase on the former assessment of nearly 83 per % The ill effect of this was shown in the decline of the Revenues until it attracted the attention of the Collector Mr Stonhouse who in Fusly 1245 authorized a reduction of 6 Rupees per Goostoo, and the Collections immediately rose again In 1252 the indulgence was cancelled but partially restored in Fusly 1255, and again totally discontinued in 1257 by order of the late Collector Mr Smith, which finally led to the abandonment of the Village. The assessment imposed by Mr Smalley appears to be enormously high and Mr White recommends that the indulgence granted by Mr Stonhouse should be renewed. Under the circumstances of the

case the Board authorize him to adopt this course as a temporary measure but before applying for the sanction of Government to render it permanent they would wish him to ascertain and report what were the reasons which induced Mr Smalley to raise the assessment, which can probably be ascertained from his official orders. also whether a corresponding decrease was made or not at the same time in the Poonjah assessment. He will also be pleased to forward a separate statement shewing the ayacut extent of the Village under the heads of Dry wet &c. their classification and assessment as fixed by Messrs Travers and Smalley respectively together with a detail of the cultivation and number of cultivating Ryots and the demand, collection, and balance of each year since Fusly 1231.

23. The Board have in conclusion to point out to the Collector that he has not fully attended

9424 625. Fort St George 23d August 1852

attended to the instructions in the con-
-cluding Para of their Proceedings on the
settlement of last year, by omitting in the abstract statements given
in his report the fractional parts of the Rupee.
These will be sufficiently accurate in most cases
if he adopts the plan of entering one
rupee more where the fraction is more than
8 annas and the arrangement will save
a great deal of labour in copying besides ren-
-dering the abstracts more clear & intelligible.

24 The present report does not con-
-tain any statement and comparison of
6th May 1852 the Charges of collection &c but the Board's
Circular order directing that a concise
notice of this subject should find a place in
every Summabundy Report here-
-after had not been issued at the date
of the report. It will no doubt be attended
to in that for Fusly 1261.

25 It is noticed that the Sub Collector's Report
is included among the Summabundy statements as
No 2. This practice is not usual in other dis-
-tricts and the Board do not see the advantage
of it. It should in future be included in the
Collector's Report and be separately referred to.

Ordered that a copy of the
foregoing Extract be submitted to Govern-
-ment and a transcript of the same be fur-
-nished to the Collector of Bellary.

J. C. S.

9477. Fort St George 23d August 1852.

Read the following letters.

No 48.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

11

Board No 1885.

From the Collector of Bellary ..... } Sir,

I have the honor to acknow-
-ledge the receipt of the Extract from the
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated
31st May 1852 forwarding Copy of the Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation under date
the 18th Idem sanctioning (in para 4) for the
tending of the Young topes planted at the
Expense of Government, an annual grant
of Rupees 3900 for 12 years, or from Fusly
1262 to 1273, and also sanctioning for an
indefinite period the appropriation of the
yearly income from the produce of the Topes
planted from the year 1825, to the formation
of other new Topes. With regard to the
latter sanction, I beg to state that the
present

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

present is the most favorable season for planting new Topes, and consequently I propose to commence with the work and I propose to apply the proceeds of the produce received in fusly 1261 on account of the new Topes, as per sanction of Government abovementioned to defray the expenses of these now to be planted. But as the proceeds of the topes of that Fusly had previous to the receipt of the sanction of Government been as usual included in the Kistbundy beriz of "Sundry Small Farms and Licenses" and the amount that had been collected credited to Iyen Immah as shewn below, I request the Board will obtain the early sanction of Government for debiting the amount of the proceeds of fusly 1261 of the new topes Viz.t Rupees 1,963-6-" (which includes the sum remaining uncollected) to refunds of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses to meet the expenses of planting new Topes in fusly 1262

Stern

Item.		Amount.
		Rupees A P
Amount of Kistbundy beriz or Demand of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses in Fusly 1261		19,366 12 "
Publick the Amount of beriz of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	Amount of proceeds from Topes planted previous to the year 1825 and from Thoomma Trees	17,272 10 "
	Amount of Fish Contract	130 12 "
	Total	17,403 6 "
The remaining sum being on account of Topes planted from the year 1825.	On account of Topes	1,853 " "
	Do. Do. of Trees on tank banks	96 8 "
	Do. Do. of Do. on Road Sides	6 14 "
	Do. Do. of Palmyrah Trees	7 " "
	Total	1,963 6 "
Particulars of the above.	Amount Collected up to 11th July 1261 and credited to Iyen Immah	1,873 14 "
	Amount remaining uncollected	89 8 "
	Total	1,963 6 "

J

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

I also request your instructions as to whether the income from the produce of the Topes now in existence from fusly 1262 is without being included in the Beriz to be held distinct under "deposits" and laid out for planting new Topes, or to be included as hitherto in the Beriz of "Sundry Small Farms and Licenses" and debited to "Refunds of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses" when withdrawn. If the former, the receipts and disbursements would remain under that head, and not be inserted in any of the accounts that are audited by the Civil Auditor.—

From the sanction of Government as contained in para 4 of the said Extract from the Minutes of Consultation authorizing the appropriation of the income from the produce obtained from the Topes planted from the year 1825 to the formation of new Topes, on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, which the Board appear to have estimated at 1000 Rupees,

I

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

I conceive I am empowered to lay out the full amount of the income from the produce of the topes in question, which as in the year under report is little short of 2000 Rupees which sum will about suffice to defray the expenses for tending 50 topes for 12 years containing 250 Trees each tope.—

(11-12)

Bellary Collectors Office,
29th July 1852 ..... } Signed C. Pelly. Collector.

No. 1729.
Proceedings thereon

Submitting with the Bds views thereon a letter from the Collector of Bellary requesting sanction to apply the proceeds of the Topes received in Fusly 1261 and already included in the Beriz in defraying the expenses of those about to be planted and asking for instructions on points connected with the subject.—

Read 5th June 1852
From Bd. 18 in Proy. 26th May 52.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

2. The Collector reports that previous to the sanction of Government of 18th May 1852 allowing an annual grant of Rupees 3,000—— for 12 years for the tending of young Topes planted at the expense of Government and permitting the appropriation for an indefinite period of the yearly income from the Topes planted from 1825, in the formation of other new topes the proceeds of the Topes planted from 1825 were realized for fusly 1261 and credited to "Ayen Summah" "Sundry Small Farms and Licenses. To enable him to lay out those proceeds to meet the expenses of planting new Topes in the present season, the Collector requests

sanction

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

I also request your instructions as to whether the income from the produce of the Topes now in existence from fusly 1262 is without being included in the Peiz to be held distinct under "deposits" and laid out for planting new Topes, or to be included as hitherto in the Peiz of "Sundry Small Farms and Licenses" and debited to "Refunds of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses" when withdrawn. If the former, the receipts and disbursements would remain under that head, and not be inserted in any of the accounts that are audited by the Civil Auditor.—

From the sanction of Government as contained in para 4 of the said Extract from the Minutes of Consultation authorizing the appropriation of the income from the produce obtained from the Topes planted from the year 1825 to the formation of new Topes, on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, which the Board appear to have estimated at 1000 Rupees,

I

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

I conceive I am empowered to lay out the full amount of the income from the produce of the topes in question, which as in the year under report is little short of 2000 Rupees which sum will about suffice to defray the expences for tending 50 topes for 12 years containing 250 Trees each tope.—

(11-12)

Bellary Collectors Office,
29th July 1852 ..... } Signed C. Pelly. Collector.

No. 1729
Proceedings thereon

Submitting with the Peiz views thereon a letter from the Collector of Bellary requesting sanction to apply the proceeds of the Topes received in Fusly 1261 and already included in the Peiz in defraying the expenses of those about to be planted and asking for instructions on points connected with the subject.—

Cons. 5th Augt 1852 No. 18 & 19 in Sundry Pkt.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.

2. The Collector reports that previous to the sanction of Government of 18th May 1852 allowing an annual grant of Rupees 3,000—— for 12 years for the tending of young Topes planted at the expense of Government and permitting the appropriation for an indefinite period of the yearly income from the Topes planted from 1825, in the formation of other new topes the proceeds of the Topes planted from 1825 were realized for fusly 1261 and credited to "Ayen Summah "Sundry Small Farms and Licenses. To enable him to lay out those proceeds to meet the expenses of planting new Topes in the present season, the Collector requests sanction

9434.

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

Board's No. 1716.
Proceedings thereon.

13.14

The grant of Rupees 131-4-- per Mensem to Ammachamah the Maternal Grand Mother of the present Rajah, was authorized by the Board to be paid out of the Monthly allowance of Star Pagodas 393-28-35 or Rupees 1378-11-4 enjoyed by the Rajah of Anagoondy and was considered a domestic arrangement in consequence of family disputes.-

2. The Board now direct that the share of the deceased Ammachamah revert to the Rajah whose allowance will now be to the full extent sanctioned by Government.

No. 52

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Maharatta translation of my observations on the draft Koolooomamah which accompanied the Board's Circular of 10th January 1850 called for in the Circular dated 3d June last.

Bellary Collector's Office;
16th August, 1852.

/ Signed / C. Pelly
Collector.

Ordered to lie over.

Read

15

Board's No. 2201.
From the Collector of Bellary
+ Submitted with a letter dated 26th Octr 1850.

Order thereon.

15.16

9435

Fort St. George 23rd August 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 61.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

17

Bd's No. 139.
From the Collector of Cuddapah
Submitting explanations on the observations made in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 27th of June 1850 and the 10th of July 1851 in reviewing the reports on the Settlement of the Cuddapah District for Fushes 1258 and 1259.-

Para 18 Proceedings 27 June 1850

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 27th of June 1850 and the 10th of July last, in review of the reports on the Settlement of this district for Fushes 1258 and 1259.

2. The discrepancy noticed by the Board arose from a misconception. It has been customary in Cuddapah since Fusly 1243 to fix the teervajaste on such dry land as may be converted into wet, or garden, at not less than 3 Pagodas the acre where the first rate of teervajaste is equal to or above that sum, and according to the highest rate that may obtain in Villages where the first rate is less than 3 pagodas. On the 11th of September 1849, the Sub Collector wrote to the Collector that he had fixed the teervajaste on the dry land irrigated from the new tank at Racha-condah according to the rules of the Province, and this was understood by the Collector to imply that the rate per acre was 3 Pagodas, and he stated

so

9434. Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

Board No. 1716.
Proceedings thereon.

13.14

The grant of Rupees 131-4-- per Mensem to Ammachamah the Maternal Grand Mother of the present Rajah, was authorized by the Board to be paid out of the Monthly allowance of Star Pagodas 393-28-35 or Rupees 1378-11-4 enjoyed by the Rajah of Anagoondy and was considered a domestic arrangement in consequence of family disputes.-

2. The Board now direct that the share of the deceased Ammachamah revert to the Rajah whose allowance will now be to the full extent sanctioned by Government.

No. 32

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

15

Board No. 2901.
From the Collector of Bellary
† Submitted with a letter dated 26th Octr. 1850.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Maharatta translation of my observations on the draft Hookoomnamah which accompanied the Boards Circular of 10th January 1850 called for in the Circular dated 3d June last.

Bellary Collectors Office,
16th August, 1852 ....

/ Signed / C. Pelly
Collector.

Order thereon.

15.16

Ordered to lie over.

Read

9435 Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 61.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

17

Bd. No. 139.
From the Collector of Cuddapah
Submitting explanations on the observations made in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 27th of June 1850 and the 10th of July 1851 in reviewing the reports on the Settlement of the Cuddapah District for Fuslies 1258 and 1259.-

Para 1 Proceedings 27th June 1850 Para 18

Sir,

I have the honor to reply to the Boards Proceedings of the 27th of June 1850 and the 10th of July last, in review of the reports on the Settlement of this district for Fuslies 1258 and 1259.

2. The discrepancy noticed by the Board arose from a misconception. It has been customary in Cuddapah since Fusly 1243 to fix the teeruvajaste on such dry land as may be converted into wet, or garden, at not less than 3 Pagodas the acre where the first rate of teeruvajaste is equal to or above that sum, and according to the highest rate that may obtain in Villages where the first rate is less than 3 pagodas. On the 11th of September 1849, the Sub Collector wrote to the Collector that he had fixed the teeruvajaste on the dry land irrigated from the new tank at Rachacondah according to the rules of the Province, and this was understood by the Collector to imply that the rate per acre was 3 Pagodas, and he stated

10

so in his Summabundy report. In the Sub Collectors report it appeared that the rate fixed was 1 pagoda the acre, that being the highest rate that obtained in the Village and this was quite in accordance with the usual rule.

Woppalore

3. The Sub Collector having ascertained that land liable to a teeruvaisthee assessment from Fusly 1252 to 1254, had been rated by the Village Officers at one and half pagoda, one pagoda, and half a pagoda the acre, while the highest rate prevailing in the Village was three pagodas, re-assessed these lands according to the rule above stated and reporting his proceedings to the Collector, received his sanction to the change.—

Para 1. Proceedings of 10th July.

4. The tanks in Goorrumconda.— Muddenpully, Roycholy, and part of Poolevandalah are in general small works containing, even when full, less than 3 Months water and a supply insufficient to water all the land that is subject to them. So much land as can be irrigated is cultivated with the Cartieknum crop and if a further supply of water be subsequently received more land is brought under cultivation and a second crop raised on some of the lands which have already produced a first crop. In Fusly 1256

1256 & 1257 the Ryots were able to raise two crops on a good proportion of their land, and a considerable increase of Revenue was received.

In Fusly 1259 the tanks were not so well supplied, and the revenue again fell off while in Fusly 1259, many of these small tanks were filled by the South-west monsoon but received no subsequent supply of water so that a large number are entered in account as having received "a full supply" while at the same time the failure of the North-east rains prevented any great extension of the cultivation or any second crop being raised.—

5. Bellary and Ouddapah although neighbouring provinces, and in some respects similarly situated are not always equally affected by the seasons. In Fusly 1252 the revenue of Bellary was Rupees 2,30,8149 and that of Ouddapah was Rupees 2,01,5993 the percentage of difference being as high as Rs 12—10—6. In Fusly 1257. their respective revenues were Rupees 2,23,152 5 and Rupees 2,21,8072 and on the difference between these

so in his Summabundy report. In the Sub Collectors report it appeared that the rate fixed was 1 pagoda the acre, that being the highest rate that obtained in the Village and this was quite in accordance with the usual rule.

Wooppalore 3. The Sub Collector having ascertained that land liable to a terrwajiatee assessment from Fusly 1252 to 1254, had been rated by the Village Officers at one and half pagoda, one pagoda, and half a pagoda the acre, while the highest rate prevailing in the Village was three pagodas, re-assessed these lands according to the rule above stated and reporting his proceedings to the Collector, received his sanction to the change.—

Para 1. Proceedings of 10th July.

4. The tanks in Goorrumcondah.— Muddempully, Roycholy, and part of Poolevandalah are in general small works containing, even when full, less than 3 Months water and a supply insufficient to water all the land that is subject to them. So much land as can be irrigated is cultivated with the Cartteekum crop and if a further supply of water be subsequently received more land is brought under cultivation and a second crop raised on some of the lands which have already produced a first crop. In Fusly 1256

1256 & 1257, the Ryots were able to raise two crops on a good proportion of their land, and a considerable increase of Revenue was received.

In Fusly 1259 the tanks were not so well supplied, and the revenue again fell off while in Fusly 1259, many of these small tanks were filled by the South-west monsoon but received no subsequent supply of water so that a large number are entered in account as having received "a full supply" while at the same time the failure of the North-east rains prevented any great extension of the cultivation or any second crop being raised.—

5. Bellary and Cuddapah although neighbouring provinces, and in some respects similarly situated are not always equally affected by the seasons. In Fusly 1252 the revenue of Bellary was Rupees 23,08,149 and that of Cuddapah was Rupees 20,15,993 the percentage of difference being as high as Rs 12 as P 10 — 6. In Fusly 1257. their respective revenues were Rupees 22,31,525 and Rupees 22,18,072 and on the difference between these

so in his Summabundy report. In the Sub Collectors report it appeared that the rate fixed was 1 pagoda the acre, that being the highest rate that obtained in the Village and this was quite in accordance with the usual rule.

Woopalore

3.

The Sub Collector having ascertained that land liable to a temporaistee assessment from Fusly 1252 to 1254, had been rated by the Village Officers at one and half pagoda, one pagoda, and half a pagoda the acre, while the highest rate prevailing in the Village was three pagodas, re-assessed these lands according to the rule above stated and reporting his proceedings to the Collector, received his sanction to the change.—

Para 1. Proceedings of 10th July.

4.

The tanks in Goorrumcondah — Muddempully, Roycholy, and part of Poolevandalah are in general small works containing, even when full, less than 3 Months water and a supply insufficient to water all the land that is subject to them. So much land as can be irrigated is cultivated with the Cartieknum crop and if a further supply of water be subsequently received more land is brought under cultivation and a second crop raised on some of the lands which have already produced a first crop. In Fusly 1256

1256 & 1257, the Ryots were able to raise two crops on a good proportion of their land, and a considerable increase of Revenue was received. In Fusly 1259 the tanks were not so well supplied, and the revenue again fell off while in Fusly 1259, many of these small tanks were filled by the South-west monsoon but received no subsequent supply of water so that a large number are entered in account as having received "a full supply" while at the same time the failure of the North-east rains prevented any great extension of the cultivation or any second crop being raised.—

5.

Bellary and Ouddapah although — neighbouring provinces, and in some respects similarly situated are not always equally affected by the seasons. In Fusly 1252 the revenue of Bellary was Rupees 2,30,8,149 and that of Ouddapah was Rupees 2,9,15,993 the percentage of difference being as high as Rs as P 12—10—6. In Fusly 1257. their respective revenues were Rupees 22,31,525 and Rupees 22,15,072 and on the difference between these

these sums the per centage is but Rs a P "—9—7. so,
the per centage in Fusly 1253 was 10—2—4
while in 1256 it was but 2—11—1 and for Fusly
1259 the year now in question, it was Rs a P 7—12—3
while the average for ten years from Fusly
1250 to 1259, is Rs a P 6—6—" the difference against
the former being only Rs a P 1—6—3.—

6. A considerable quantity of Enam land was left uncultivated in Goorrumcondah in Fusly 1258 and in the following year although the supply of water in the tanks was not generally abundant, many of the service Enamdars cultivated their lands with dry crops, and thus obtained a small return which was not open to the Government Ryots, as it is not the practice in Cuddapah to levy dry assessment on wet land even when a dry crop is cultivated owing to there being no means of irrigating a wet one.—

Para 4 7. The practice in Cuddapah is at the time of the preliminary Settlement or Villavanny to include in the Cahoolaty of the Current year the same

same amount of Fusil jastee as was entered in the Jummabundy accounts of the preceding year, and from this remission is granted at the time of the actual settlement, to those Ryots who having raised one crop have no prospect of being able to raise another - if however it be anticipated that land uncultivated with the Cartickum will eventually produce a vysaukum crop the Fusiljastee charged at the time of the Villavanny is deducted and the full assessment entered in account. If from any cause the preliminary Settlement has been too long delayed, and the time for cultivating the Cartickum crop has passed before the Villavanny has been made, Fusiljastee is not entered in the Villavanny accounts for such lands as have not been cultivated.—

Para 6 8. It is not unusual for one number of wet land to be held by several individuals whose shares are particularized in the Puttah, and if the whole number cannot be irrigated from the tank to which the land is subject, while a portion of it is irrigated from a well, remission under the head of shamelat bungey is granted on the uncultivated shares and the state of the season in Goorrumcondah

in

Para 7

in Fusly 1259, as referred to above, explains the fact of these remissions having then been large.—

9. During the Settlement of the district for Fusly 1259, many Ryots of the Summul-mudgoo, Doovoor and Oulcoontla talooks applied for land on Cowle to be cultivated in the ensuing year, and the Summabundy report for Fusly 1258 not having then been forwarded, mention was made in it of the quantity of Cowle land which had been applied for, but as it was not cultivated until Fusly 1260, the accounts connected with it were reserved for the report on that year's Settlement.—

Para 11

10. If land be cultivated with Paddy for the Cartickum, and with Sugar cane for the second crop, the Fusly assessment for the latter is entered at half the original assessment, but if Sugar Cane be cultivated at the Cartickum season the original assessment as well as the Fusly assessment are entered under the latter head, which accounts for the discrepancy noticed by the Board.—

Cuddapah Collector's Office,
on Circuit at Appiapully, the
14th January, 1852 mmmm

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Ordered thereon.

17.18 (Vide Pro. to Govt. 26th Augt. 52)

Ordered to be sent again in Consultation

To

No. 81

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

Bd. No. 1957.

From the Collector of Cuddapah } Sir,

I have the honor to forward an advertisement of the sale of the land referred to in my letter of the 16th Ultimo prepared in the form directed.

2. The form previously adopted by me was copied from one received from the Board with their proceedings dated the 20th of December 1847, in which its use was directed in a case similar to the present, and the only precedent of a sale of land on the records of this Office.—

Cuddapah Collector's Office
12th August 1852

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Notice

in Fusly 1259, as referred to above, explains the fact of these remissions having then been large.—

Para 7. 9. During the Settlement of the district for Fusly 1259, many Ryots of the Summul-mudgoo, Doovoor and Oulcoontla talooks applied for land on Coole to be cultivated in the ensuing year, and the Summalundy report for Fusly 1258 not having then been forwarded, mention was made in it of the quantity of Coole land which had been applied for, but as it was not cultivated until Fusly 1260, the accounts connected with it were reserved for the report on that year's Settlement.—

Para 11. 10. If land be cultivated with Paddy for the Cartiekum, and with Sugar cane for the second crop, the Fusly assessment for the latter is entered at half the original assessment, but if Sugar Cane be cultivated at the Cartiekum season the original assessment as well as the Fusly assessment are entered under the latter head, which accounts for the discrepancy noticed by the Board.—

Cuddapah Collector's Office,
on Circuit at Appiapully, the
14th January, 1852 }
/Signed/ H. Forbes
Collector.

Ordered thereon.

17.18 (Vide Ditto to Govt 26th Augt/52)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation
To

No. 81

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

Bd No. 1957.

From the Collector of Cuddapah } Sir,

I have the honor to forward an advertisement of the sale of the land referred to in my letter of the 16th ultimo prepared in the form directed.

2. The form previously adopted by me was Copied from one received from the Board with their proceedings dated the 20th of December 1847, in which its use was directed in a case similar to the present, and the only precedent of a sale of land on the records of this Office.—

Cuddapah Collector's Office
12th August 1852 }
/Signed/ H. Forbes
Collector

Notice

9442 Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Advertisement

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of revenue including interest charges of sequestration and advances for Cultivation and repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned estates under attachment in the Taluk of Cuddalore for Fusly 1260 shall have

	158	6	23	12	3	13	10
	291	8	12	12	3	10	1
	170	3	"	"	1	8	6
	67		2	38	8	1	11 9
	25		12½	12	6	58	10 3
	161		1	12	"	"	10 11
Irrigated from a new well	162		3	33	"	1	14 1
	57		5	33	"	2	1 7
	3		11	3	"	4	10 10
Total	28		135	15	6	63	5 1
Irrigated from a Circar well	261		"	36	8	7	15 9
	187		1	8	"	10	8 "
	2		2	1	8	18	7 9
Garden Irrigated from a Dasavundum well belonging to other persons	16		"	26	1	8	9 10
	17		1	1	3	16	7 1
	319		"	19	"	5	8 8
	3		2	6	7	30	9 7
Total	5		4	10	15	49	1 4

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

		Acres	C.	a'	Rs.	a.	p.
	41	"	21	9	7	10	6
	42	"	22	14	5	12	9
Irrigated from a Tank	43	1	4	1	9	10	3
	38	1	29	9	17	13	10
	103	"	12	12	2	6	6
	68	"	2	"	"	5	10
	105	"	20	8	4	8	1
	7	4	33	5	48	3	9
Tuseljaaty	41 42 43 38				20	4	2
					68	7	11
Irrigated from Nullahs	135	3	17	12	25	4	3
Tuseljaaty					7	12	5
					33	"	8
	44	1	2	13	15	8	4
	24	1	12	14¼	23	2	6
Dependent on Dasavundum wells belonging to other persons	164	1	9	10	9	7	4
	12	"	19	6	5	11	7
	165	"	3	8	1	"	4
	317	"	7	6	1	10	"
	378	"	1	8	"	7	"
	317	"	22	"	6	6	8
	8	4	39	1¼	63	5	9
Do on Dasavundum well belonging to the defaulter	7	1	4	10	11	6	10
Total	17	14	14	12¼	176	5	2
Grand Total	50	157	1	1¼	288	11	7

2 Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents, or Vakeels, for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels, shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by their Principals

3. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase, the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made

4 The intention of the Condition being to prevent fraudulent Collusion notice is further given, that

9445 Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

B.d N: 1712.

Proceedings thereon.

(19.20)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of Revenue of the land adverted to, and direct the publication, in their office of the advertisement forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being altered to Wednesday the 29th September 1852. Mr. Forbes will be pleased to make a corresponding alteration in the advertisement published in this District.

Read the following letters.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(21)

B.d N: 1905

From the Collector of Chingleput — N: 2598 of 1851

Sir,

I have the honor to report on the accompanying Petition presented to the Board of Revenue by certain Merasidars and Puttamonigars of Iyencolum in the Conjeveram Talook with reference to the Board's Endursement thereon dated the 26th. November 1851—

2. The Petitioners opponent— Ramasawmy Moodely a ryot of the same Village

9446 Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

That in the event of any purchase being made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and this Estate to be resold—

3. The purchasers of Estates sold not paying the amount by the Board of Revenue, but in the meantime to be deposited immediately, and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction or within one Month will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser—

4. In cases of the sale not being confirmed, the deposit money will be returned, and the person depositing it released from all responsibility on the regard to the estate or estates—

5. For further particulars enquire at Collector's Cutcherry at Cuddalore, between 10 and 5 O'Clock Mondays excepted—

Cuddalore Collector's Office 12th. August 1852

(Signed) H. Forbes
Collector

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

Village, presented a Durkast in Fusly 1258 for 17 Cawnies of Anady waste land situated in the Village. The inshabs of the Petitioners who form the body of the Menassidars of the Village, having been consulted in the matter: they undertook to cultivate 10 out of the 17 Cawnies applied for by the Durkastdar leaving the Remaining 7 Cawnies which were of an inferior quality to be occupied by the latter. They at the same time, entered into an agreement to pay the Teerwa on the above 10 Cawnies whether Cultivated or not, as also to bring under Cultivation the full extent of their Shergal lands.

Fusly	Shergal Lands belonging to the Petitioners	Shergal Lands Cultivated by the Petitioners
1258	22 — 10 3/4	9 1/2 — 6 3/4
1259		8 — 13 1/4
1260		9 3 13 1/4

3. The Memo: entered in the Margin will however shew how they failed in fulfilling the terms of their engagement thus fairly forfeiting the right of priority of choice in respect of waste lands Confirmed to merasidars by the Rookoomnamahs of the District as well as by the Orders of the Board.

4. In Fusly 1260 the said Ramasawmy Moodely, having again applied for Cowle for a further extent of 8 Cawnies of Anady waste land, it was granted to him, altho the Petitioners offered to take up the

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

the lands themselves on full Teerwah, and it is against this proceeding that the Petitioners have appealed to the Board in their present address. Considering the large extent of the Shergal lands belonging to the Petitioners, in the Cultivation of which their Industry and stock would have been advantageously employed but which they had left waste & adverting to the circumstance that there are 300 Cawnies of Anady waste land which were also available to them in the Village, their offers to cultivate on full Teerwah the lands applied for by the Durkastdar cannot be regarded as evincing a desire to bring the lands permanently under Cultivation, but merely as an attempt to thwart the interests of the applicant whose exertions and industry seemed deserving of every encouragement. Under these circumstances and as the Petitioners had failed to fulfil their engagements as referred to in Para 3 of this Communication I considered myself justified under Para 3 of the Cowleenamah in granting a Cowle to Ramasawmy Moodely for the lands under

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

Sheegal lands cultivated by the Petitioners
Fusly 1258 — 6 3/16
1259 — 13 3/16
1260 — 13 1/16

Sheegal Lands belonging to the Petitioners as Cawnies — 22 — 10 3/16

Fusly 1258 1259 1260

Village, presented a Durkast in Fusly 1258 for 17 Cawnies of Anady waste land situated in the Village. The names of the Petitioners who form the body of the Morassidars of the Village, having been consulted in the matter: they undertook to cultivate 10 out of the 17 Cawnies applied for by the Durkastdar leaving the Remaining 7 Cawnies which were of an inferior quality to be occupied by the latter. They at the same time entered into an agreement to pay the Teerwa on the above 10 Cawnies whether Cultivated or not, as also to bring under Cultivation the full extent of their Sheegal lands—

3. The Memo: entered in the Margin will however shew how they failed in fulfilling the terms of their engagement: thus fairly forfeiting the right of priority of choice in respect of waste lands Confirmed to merassidars by the Rookoomnamahs of the District as well as by the Orders of this Board—

4. In Fusly 1260 the said Ramasawmy Moodely, having again applied for Cowle for a further extent of 8 Cawnies of Anady waste land, it was granted to him, altho the Petitioners offered to take up the

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852

the lands themselves on full Teerwah, and it is against this proceeding that the Petitioners have appealed to the Board in their present address— Considering the large extent of the Sheegal lands belonging to the Petitioners, in the Cultivation of which their Industry and stock would have been advantageously employed but which they had left waste & adverting to the circumstance that there are 300 Cawnies of Anady waste land which were also available to them in the Village, their offers to Cultivate on full Teerwah the lands applied for by the Durkastdar cannot be regarded as evincing a desire to bring the lands permanently under Cultivation, but merely as an attempt to thwart the interests of the applicant whose exertions and industry seemed deserving of every encouragement— Under these circumstances and as the Petitioners had failed to fulfil their engagements as referred to in Para 3 of this Communication I considered myself justified under Para 3 of the Cowlenamah in granting a Cowle to Ramasawmy Moodely for the lands

under

under discussion. The statements set forth in the Petition that a portion of the above lands was under cultivation by the Petitioners are not borne out by the accounts which show that they were entirely Anaiy waste.

5. The foregoing observations would apply to Parties even who have no interest in the Village as a Meerasdar which Ramasawmy Moodely however happens also to be. He purchased a portion of the Merasay lands of another Ryot for which he has held a Merasdy Pottah for upwards of 20 years and thus become the proprietor of a share of the Merasay of the Village. Dividing the 300 Cawnies of Anady waste land amongst the Merasidars in the proportion of their shares respectively of Merasay, Ramasawmy Moodely would appear to be entitled to a greater extent of land than that for which a Cowle has been granted to him.

6. At the time this Petition was received from the Board another Durkast of Ramasawmy Moodely for

for 8 Cawnies of a similar description of land being under consideration I deemed it expedient to enter into a further enquiry on the subject during the Summah Lundy about to Commence. This further investigation has tended to confirm my former impression and resulted in the granting of a Cowle the Ramasawmy Moodely for the lands subsequently applied for by him.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcheery
Cullevauk 9th Augt. 1852

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

B.d No. 1723.
Proceedings thereon.
21.22

The Board approve of the proceedings of the Collector as detailed above and direct that the following Endorsement be posted on Petition No. 2598 of 1851.

The Board find from the Collectors report that the allegations of Petitioners are incorrect that their opponent is a Meerasdar of the Village and obtained possession of the land regularly from the Collector whose proceedings in this case have been approved of.

23

To W. H. Bayley
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

B.d No. 2015.
From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for publication in the Board's Office, an advertisement for the sale of certain Mootahs on account of arrears of revenue due from Fusly 1261 and request the sanction of the Board for the sale in question. It is expected that several of the Mootahs will be redeemed before the day of sale.

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcheery
Cuttivack August 1852

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Advertisement

under discussion. The statements set-
-forth in the Petition that a portion
of the above lands was under cultiva-
-tion by the Petitioners are not borne
out by the accounts which shew that
they were entirely Anaidy waste.

5. The foregoing observations
would apply to Parties even who have
no interest in the Village as a Meras-
-dar which Ramasawmy Moodely however
happens also to be. He purchased a
portion of the Merassy lands of another
ryot for which he has held a Merassy
Pottah for upwards of 20 years and thus
become the proprietor of a share of
the Merassy of the Village. Dividing
the 300 Cawnies of Anady waste land
amongst the Merasidars in the
proportion of their shares respectively
of Merassy, Ramasawmy Moodely would
appear to be entitled to a greater ex-
-tent of land than that for which a Cowle
has been granted to him.

6. At the time this Petition
was received from the Board another
Dunkast of Ramasawmy Moodely's
for

for 8 Cawnies of a similar description
of land being under consideration I deem-
-ed it expedient to enter into a further
enquiry on the subject during the Immadi
-ately about to Commence. This further
investigation has tended to confirm
my former impression and resulted
in the granting of a Cowle the Rama-
-sawmy Moodely for the lands subse-
-quently applied for by him.

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcheary
Cullavauk 9th Augt 1852

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

B.d No. 1723.
Proceedings thereon.
(21.22)

The Board approve of the
proceedings of the Collector as detailed
above and direct that the following
Endorsement be posted on Petition No.
2598 of 1851.

The Board find from the Col-
-lector's report that the allegations of Petitioners
are incorrect that their opponent is a Merasi
-dar of the Village and obtained possession
of the land regularly from the Collector
whose proceedings in this case have been
approved of.

(23)

To W. H. Bayley
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

B.d No. 2015.
From the Coll.r of Chingleput

Sir,
I have the honor to forward for
publication in the Board's Office, an advertise-
-ment for the sale of certain Mootahs on account
of arrears of Revenue due from Fusly 1261 and request
the sanction of the Board for the sale in question.
It is expected that several of the Mootahs will be
redeemed before the day of sale.

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcheery
Cuttiwack August 1852

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Advertisement

9450

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Advertisement

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue outstanding against the undermarked Mootahs under sequestration in the Villah of Chingleput shall have been liquidated on or before the 4th October 1852 the sale of those Mootahs will Commence by auction

18	Cooonnarroor																		
19	Bonnmarajapoorum		1	409	8	1	308	4	6	10	14	3	12	14	6	332	1	3	
20	Peroombadoo		1	492	1	10	304	1	10	11	7	-	14	9	10	330	2	8	
21	Echincarney		1	157	10	2	147	10	2	5	14	4	31	2	7	184	11	1	
22	Comaracoopjum		1	245	8	1	222	3	5	10	-	-	32	4	5	264	7	10	
23	Porinjoor		1	409	5	2	50	13	2	1	4	10	2	11	5	54	13	5	
24	Narayoor		1	127	12	6	20	-	7	-	15	5	1	2	7	22	2	7	
25	Necoomaputtoo		1	504	8	3	105	15	3	2	14	6	4	10	3	113	8	-	
26	Aroombaukum		12	2494	5	7	250	6	5	6	10	2	17	6	8	274	7	3	
27	Vullum		2	1140	3	8	144	3	8	7	13	5	18	2	-	170	3	1	
28	Naraningapoorum		2	643	6	7	484	1	7	18	3	6	15	10	10	518	2	11	
29	Keenulloor		2	528	13	8	233	13	8	7	2	10	22	1	3	263	7	9	
30	Katagurum		2	98	-	7	34	-	7	1	10	3	7	11	11	43	6	9	
31	Vullacottah		5	2422	9	6	1577	13	3	55	3	6	58	1	-	1691	1	9	
32	Thundalum		2	577	15	11	22	15	10	-	15	6	4	7	3	28	6	7	
33	Coarumungyny		2	358	11	4	11	6	-	2	11	5	3	7	9	17	9	2	
34	Beemuntongul		2	311	3	4	30	3	3	1	3	7	2	3	8	33	10	6	
35	Nemely		1	848	1	1	160	2	6	1	5	10	5	14	11	170	7	3	
36	Mathoor		2	2326	11	10	391	14	10	18	3	10	26	12	8	436	15	4	
37	Agarum		2	565	15	5	42	1	5	2	7	4	3	11	5	48	4	2	
38	Vyloor		2	1085	5	1	133	15	8	2	5	-	7	11	10	144	-	6	

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
39 Chittoor	1	221 12 -	5 12 -	1 3 3	2 14 8	9 13 11
40 Madooravail	3	2171 15 8	2101 15 8	88 4 -	89 6	2279 4 2
41 Mambalum	4	2283 4 7	291 7 -	11 1 3	8 14 5	311 6 8
42 Coorattoor	1	327 12 5	31 12 5	3 8 2	18 15 2	54 3 9
43 Chencuntongub	1	24 12 4	5 12 4 -	3 1 -	5 11	6 5 4
44 Theroopofoor	2	2549 8 9	1213 1 11	40 - 5	65 2 11	1318 5 3
45 Maturncoopfum	2	421 15 1	179 3 1	8 5 7	11 12 2	199 4 10
46 Kakaloor	1	965 3 7	323 3 7	10 12 6	8 2 1	342 2 2
47 Pennary	1	276 3 -	172 2 10	5 11 4	11 15 7	189 13 4
48 Vespattoor	2	367 12 9	261 2 10	11 9 2	37 5 8	310 1 8
49 Thuchoor	2	448 15 1	56 14 7	4 10 -	14 7 3	75 15 10
50 Coonnungalum	3	788 9 -	512 5 4	20 6 7	42 12 -	575 7 11
51 Lutchemapoorum	15	2308 2 10	1234 2 3	53 11 8	31 8 1	1322 6 -
52 Giridheraapoorum	2	423 2 1	15 2 1	1 7 7	7 13 3	24 6 11
	156	47642 14 5	18713 4 6	751 10 11	1157 11 3	20622 10 8

2. Parties desirous of becoming purchasers may attend in person or deputs duly constituted agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by the latter description of individuals shall be held as valid in the same manner as those made by the former—

3. Authorized Vakeels shall be required to name at the time of their bidding the Constituents on whose behalf they may propose to purchase the property—

4. The intention of the above Conditions being to prevent fraudulent Collision, notice is further given, that in the event of any purchase being made, under a fictitious name the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to the Government & the Estate resold—

5. A Sunnud Inilkoat Estimirar or deed of Permanent rights has been lodged at the Collectors Cutcherry & at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchase of land under this advertisement All parties are therefore referred to that Instrument for any explanation they may require upon the subject—

6. The purchasers of Estates will not be put in their posession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the meanwhile 15 per cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited forthwith, and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction; within one Month from the date of sale. in default whereof, the deposit money will be forfeited, and the Estates resold at the risk of the 1st. purchaser.

7. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit Money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility in regard to the Estate or Estates.

8. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collectors Cutcherry, between 10 A M & 5 O'clock P M Sundays excepted—

(Signed) J. Ho. Cochrane
Collector

Proceedings

B. No. 1722.

Proceedings thereon

(23. 24)

The Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of revenue of the Mootahs adverted to, and direct the publication in this Office of the advertisement forwarded by the Collector

Read

No. 78 Read the following letter

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(26)

Board No. 1873.

From the Collector of Salem ----- No. 1.

Requesting sanction for the payment of a Sum of Rupees 106-6-4 due as Jodiggy for Fusly 1251 to the Mootahdar of Yalagherry and for making a reduction in the Peishcush of the said Mootah-

Sir,

Adverting to the order of your Board dated the 27th October 1851 communicating the opinion of the Government relative to the arrangement which it will be desirable to make for the future payment of a fixed annual sum to the son of the late Jaghiredar of Damalary Mootoor and the proprietors of certain other Villages.

2. I do myself the honor to report that on reference to such accounts of the produce of the Damalary Mootoor village as are available, and of which a condensed abstract is exhibited in the enclosed statement it appears that deducting a Jodiggy of Rupees 106-6-4 payable to the Mootahdar of Yalagherry the average of its net annual proceeds for the past ten years, or from Fusly 1251 to

to 1260 both inclusive, is Rupees 561 15-6 of which sum a moiety would be Rupees 280-15-9.

3. If your Board should be of opinion that the test of the above-mentioned period is sufficient, and that an enquiry into the perhaps doubtful, accounts of more remote years, is not required, I have to solicit authority for regarding the sum of Rupees 280-15-9 as the yearly amount to which Sayd Shah Cader Hussain will henceforward be entitled and beg that the necessary sanction may be obtained for its periodical disbursement to that individual.-

4. It has not appeared to me to be advisable to mix up the matter of the Village Damaylary Moottoo with that of the other Villages to which the Government have advverted - as much because the subject of the former is of recent origin and may I submit be disposed of singly, as because the approval by your Board

Board and the Government of an average sum calculated on the data furnished by the accounts of ten Fusles, will serve as a guide to me for fixing the amount likely to be paid to the parties interested in the other Villages to which the rule of an unchanging money payment is to be applied.-

5. I further request authority for now disbursing from the Circar, the sum of Rupees 106-6-4 due as Sodiqy for Fusly 1261 to the Yalagherry Mootahdar who has kept back from his periodical Rists an amount equivalent to the Sodiqy to which he is entitled.-

6. As suggested in my letter of the 8th June 1852 P.2 marginal date, it may further appear to your Board to be advisable to obtain the Sanction of the Government for a permanent reduction Rupees 6,315-5-5. in the Peishcush of the Yalagherry Mootah equal to the sum hitherto paid to the Mootadar Rupees 106-6-4. as Sodiqy for Damalapry Mootoo in which event I beg your Board will communicate to me the necessary authority.-

Salem Collectors Cutcherry
Ajeevr, 3d August, 1852 } / Signed / H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Account

1456. At St. George

23d August 1857.

Account has been partly presumed in Fusly 1261.

Fusly.		Remissions.		Balance.		Maturipha.		Total.		Remarks.
1		10		11		12		13.		14
		Rs	aO	Rs	aO	Rs	aO	Rs	aO	
1	1251		31 4 1	648	12 6	11	" "	659	12 6	
2	1252		31 4 1	608	10 11	11	" "	619	10 11	
3	1253		31 4 1	618	13 2	11	" "	629	13 2	
4	1254		39 15 4	620	8 11	10	11 "	631	3 11	
5	1255		29 11 6	644	7 2	10	11 "	655	2 2	
6	1256		29 11 6	645	2 5	10	6 "	655	8 5	
7	1257		21 13 "	712	10 "	7	3 "	719	13 "	
8	1258		21 13 "	691	14 3	7	3 "	699	1 3	
9	1259		21 13 "	694	1 3	6	14 "	700	15 3	
10	1260		21 13 "	705	12 1	6	14 "	712	10 1	
			280 6 7	6590	12 8	9214	"	6683	10 8	
	Aver		28 " 7¾	659	1 3¼	9	4 7¼ *	668	5 10½	

Signed H. D. Phillips Collector.

* The average being Rupees 668-5-10½ if from that amount be deducted the Sodigay Rupees 106-64 due to the Galagherry Mootadars, the balance is Rupees 561-15-6 of which a moiety is Rupees 280-15-9.-

C. C. Jr

Fort St. George 23d August 1857.

Board No. 1721.

Proceedings thereon:

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Salem and recommending that, as proposed by him a fixed annual payment of Rupees 280-15-9 may be made to Syed Shah Fader Hussain in substitution of a share fluctuating annually of the net revenue of the Cranvillage of Damalapy Mottoo.

25.26

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honble the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the Margin.—

Remarks to Government
30th June 1857
From Do. 2nd in Cons 18th August 1857
Proceedings to Do. 29th September 1857
From Do. 21st in Cons 27th October 1857

2. The Enclosure to the Collector's letter shows the average net proceeds of the Village of Damalapy Mottoo during the past 10 years, Fusly 1257 to 1260, to have been Rupees 668-5-10½ and deducting therefrom Rupees 106-6-4 being the Jodigy payable formerly by the Cranvidar to the Mootadar of Galagherry the sum in actual enjoyment of the Cranvidar is stated at Rupees 561-15-6 a moiety of which Rupees 280-15-9 it is proposed to allow annually to Syed Shah Fader Hussain the recently recognized Cranvidar as a fixed payment in lieu of the system of sharing the net revenue, year by year, between him and the Government.

3. The Board accordingly recommend that sanction be granted for

Fort St. George 23d August 1857.

for fixing the payment to be made to Syed Shah Fader Hussain at the rate of Rupees 280-15-9 per Annum the arrangement to take effect from Fusly 1261, previous to which the moiety granted to him under the orders of Government, 21st October 1857, may be allowed according to the system heretofore observed.

4. The Board consider that the data furnished by the Accounts of 10 years will be a sufficient guide in commuting fixed money payments in lieu of shares in the Villages to which reference is made in para 4.

5. The sanction of Government for the permanent reduction in the peishcush in the Galagherry Mothah was communicated to the Collector on the 2nd August 1857 or 4 days previous to the receipt of the Collector's present reference.—

26th July 1857 in Cons 2d Augt.

Read the following letter

No. 49.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

27.

Board No. 1778
From the Collector of South Arcot
Submitting with

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

with reference to the Board's letter of 12th Ultimo a modified proposal regarding the manner in which the 4 defaulters in the Village of Soranoor may be dealt with.-

the receipt of your letter of the 12th Ultimo on the subject of the 4 defaulters in the Village of Soranoor for the sale of whose lands I requested the authority of the Board.

2. It appeared to me a duty to recommend that measure, as the punishment which I assigned to these parties for systematic and continued misconduct in their public duties was modified by the consideration that they would have to pay the assessment which they had fraudulently omitted from the accounts. It is not however my wish to act with any appearance of hardness, and under the opinion which the Board has expressed regarding their case I propose withdrawing my application for the sale of the lands of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th Defaulters whose names are entered in the Advertisement which accompanied my late letter.

3. Of these parties the 2nd and 3rd who are nearly connected have discharged Rupees 887-4-11 out of the balance of Rupees 1000-9-4 due by them and have engaged to pay the remaining portion in two years: The 4th party has only discharged Rupees 158-10-3 out of Rupees 639-6-2 and makes no satisfactory

Fort St. George 23. August 1852.

satisfactory proposals for the Settlement of the remainder but as he has undergone imprisonment in default of the fine imposed upon him and loses his appointment of Curnum, which is a considerable punishment, it seems unobjectionable to allow his balance to remain over for future adjustment as opportunities may be found of realizing it.-

4.- With respect however to the first defaulter, Sreenivas Reddy, I hope that the Board will support my authority by allowing me to proceed to the sale of his lands, unless his arrear is meanwhile discharged, or he makes some satisfactory arrangement for its future Settlement, and I therefore forward a revised Advertisement which I request may be published in the Board's Office. Only Rupees 76-8-3- have been collected out of a balance of Rupees 721-14-6. due by this individual, who after transferring his moveable property to relations with a view to place it beyond my reach persists in refusing to make any fair proposals for discharging the remaining arrear.-

Even

Even if the fine collected from him for fraud-
-dulent conduct as a public Officer was placed
to his credit on account of this balance, there
would still be an arrear against him of
Rupees 545-6-0 which has accumulated not
from any misfortune or adversities of season
but from systematic fraud. It is further to
be observed that the Office which he has
lost, is not like a Cummumship but is one of
influence and credit rather than of emolu-
-ment. This party seems therefore entitled to
no indulgence, and if he should succeed in
his attempts to evade his dues the native
community would be encouraged to withhold
payment of their Assessment by perceiving
that it was more advantageous to imitate
his conduct than that of the other Monigar,
Ramlinga reddy who has exerted himself
to discharge the balance standing against
him. I will only add that in the case of
a fraudulent defaulter, who transfers or
conceals his moveable property, the Collector's
sole resource is to sell the land, as no

process

process can be taken against a defaulter's
person until this is done, and the mere
announcement of proceeding to such a sale
would in most instances lead to the discharge
of the arrear.

South Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
14th August 1852.

/Signed/ E. Maltby.
Collector.

Advertisement

9463

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

No. 1.

Advertisement.-

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrear of Revenue which is outstanding against the lands of the undermentioned defaulter now under attachment in the Southern Division of Arcot from Fasly 1254 to 1260 shall have been liquidated on or before ~~the 24th~~ Tuesday the 28th September 1852 the sale of these lands will commence by Auction in the Village of Sornavoor in the Talook of Villapooram on that day and will continue until the whole of the lands shall be sold.-

No.	Name of Defaulter.	Land. Assessment	Arrear due to 11th July 1852. Principal.	Interest.	Charges.	Total.
		Canim	Rs. A0	Rs. A0	Rs. A0	Rs. A0 Rs. a0
1	Seeneevasah Reddy	Poonjah 12. 11/1	73	8	8	
		Nunjah 12 4	197	15	1	
	Maneem goomastah	Totacal . 5/2	3	5	5	
		25 4 3/4	274	13	2	645 6 2 " " " " " 645 6 2

2.- Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the Principals.-

3. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their Constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.-

4.- The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusion.- Notice is further given that in the event of any purchases being made under fictitious names the deposit Money made on account of such purchases will be liable to be forfeited to the Government and the lands to be resold.-

5. The purchasers of this land will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Collector but in the meantime 15 per Cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited immediately and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Collectors sanction or within one month from the day of sale in default where-of the land will be resold at the risk of the first purchasers.-

6. In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Collector the deposit Money will be returned and the persons depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the land.-

7. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Collectors at Cuddalore or at the Tahsildars Cutcherry at Villapooram between 10 and 5 O'Clock, except on Sundays.-

North Arcot,
Collectors Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 14th August, 1852.-

/Signed/ E: Maltby.
Collector.

Under

B. No. 1713.

Proceedings thereon.-

27.28

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue authorize the sale for arrears of revenue of the land adverted to, and direct the publication in their Office, of the Advertisement forwarded by the Collector, the date of sale being altered to Tuesday the 28th September 1852. Mr. Maltby will be pleased to make a corresponding alteration in the advertisement published in his District.-

2. Mr. Maltby is also requested to make the following alterations in his advertisement.

Para 5- for Collector, say Board of Revenue and for Collectors, say Boards

Para 6- for Collector, say Board

Para 7- for Office of the Collector, say Office of the Board of Revenue, Collectors Cutcherry at Cuddalore &c.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 4th December 1851 and entered in Diary Consultation 5th January 1852.

Read also the following letter.

29

B. No. 1842.

From the Collector of Tanjore.

No. 62.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 8th July &c calling for further explanation regarding the Sixc Ayacut Maniems alluded to in Boards Proceedings of the 20th May 1852. In the Proceedings under reply the Board remark that with the exception of these Sixc Maniems "all the "remaining items of arrears of Ayacut Maniems "have been taken on the rates fixed, 6th &c "December 1851, which were the average of "5 years disbursements"- In explanation. I beg to state that the arrears of these Maniems are also calculated on the average payments of 5 years and the difference between the rates and the standard is a part of the saving alluded to by Mr. Goodwyn as arising from the adoption of the 5 years average instead of the standard of 1217.-

Note

The Tanjore Maniemdars having been paid in full 100 per % there is no difference between the amount on the 5 years average and the standard of 1817.-

These Maniems appertain to Nagore, while all the rest belong to Tanjore which have been paid in full, whereas by the arrangement described in para 6 of my letter of the 9th September 1850, No. 79, the payments at the rate of 8 Mercals per 100 Cullums on the produce of the Nagore dependency fell short of the

the standard of 1217 which was 6012 Rupees, while the amount calculated on the 5 years average pay=ments was 2287 shewing the difference of 3725 Rupees as explained in Mr. Goodwyn's letter forwarding the list of rates of 6th. December 1851.

Tanjore, Cutchery, 28th. July, 1852. } /Signed/ J. F. Bishop. Collector.

B. No. 1711.

Proceedings thereon.

29.30

Inclos: 5 in

January 1852.

The Board resolve to return the Statement of arrears of pensions due to Atyacut Ma=nindars received with the Collector's letter of the 4th. ult. December 1851. with a view to the necessary alterations being made in respect to the Sick items to which esc=eption has been taken.

1. The Board observe that although the arrears in Column 8 have been calculated on the average payments of 5 years, yet that that average has not been correctly specified in Column 4 or "Amount of Pensions per annum" but that Sick items in that Column still vary from the 5 years average or new standard in the degree shewn in juxtaposition in para 2 of the Board's Proceedings of the 20th. May 1852. In every case the arrears in Column 8 should be calculated on the annual Pensions of Column 4.

The Calculation is not quite exact.

Instead of	It should be
4 — 13 — 10	5 — 7 — 11
4 — 1 — 4	4 — 10 — 5½
4 — 6 — 4	5 — " — 2½
8 — 3 — 7	8 — 8 — 6
1 — 11 — 5	1 — 15 — 2
4 — 2 — 2	4 — 4 — 9

2. In altering these items it will be necessary to correct the total at the foot of the Statement

Statement which the Collector will retransmit at his earliest convenience.—

Read the following letters.

No. 1144.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

31

B. No. 1803.

From the Collector of Madura.

Sir,

In reference to paras 15 and 16 of the Sub Collector's letter of the 30th. Ultimo copy of which was trans=mitted to the Board with Mr. Parker's letter of the 6th. Idem, I have the honor to submit a Ta=bular Statement of the attachment of the Vailoor Mootah, and a List of the proposed allowance for the support of the Minor Mootta=dar and his relatives; and to request that you will be good enough to procure me sanction for disbursement of both charges, amounting to Rupees + 161½

Establishment including Saderwardars	73 — 8 — "
Allowance for the support of the Minor and his relations	88 — " — "
	161 — 8 — "

/Signed/ T. Clarke.
Sub Collector in Charge
Moyenzabitah

Madura, 26th. July, 1852.

9468

Fort St. George

No. 1.

Moyenzabitah of servants entertained for the Management and Dindigul inconsequence of its attachment on account of the Vailore Moottah in the District of Madura during the Minority of its heir.

Names of the Zemindar or Proprietor.	Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Establishment employed in the attachment.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Permanent Jumma.	Date of the Remo attachment.
1	2	3	4	5	6 7
Late Moottoosawmy—	1 Vailoor			Rs. a.	
Naik's sons—	2 Dausseerputty		1 Manager	25 "	
1 Peroomal Naick	3 Poodoocotay		1 Peshcar	10 "	
2 Sumperoomal Naick	4 Bodyvareeputty		1 Goomastah	7 "	
3 Lutchmenarayen Naick	5 Veerulputty		1 Royasum	5 "	
4. Govindapa Naick	6. Chindavaden Putty	10568 2 3	1 Shroff	3 8	
	7. Munjoonaickenputty		8 Peons	20 "	
	8. Davattooray		1 Sweeper	" 8	4 14 4 28th June 1852.
			Total individuals	14— 71 "	
			Saderward	2 8	
			Grand Total	73 8	
			Total per year	882."	

Madura, 26th July, 1852.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / T. Clarke.
Sub Collector in charge
Statement

9469.

Fort St. George 23rd August 1852.

No. 2.

Statement shewing monthly allowance proposed to be appropriated for the support of the Minor Mootahdar of Vailoor and his relations & the Mode of its appropriation.—

The Amount of Peishcush Rupees 10,568—2—3.—

10 Per Cent of the above amount Rupees 1,056—"—"—

Estimated monthly Expenditure of the Minor and his relations.—

Particulars.	For the support of the Minor his Mother Brothers Grand Mother Neice and Brother in-law.	For the support of Govindasswa Naick, Uncle of the Minor and his family.	Total.
	Rs. As	Rs. As	Rs. As
For Food	30 " "	14 " "	44 " "
For Clothes &c.	5 " "	3 " "	8 " "
For Sibbendy and servants	11 " "	3 " "	14 " "
For the keeping of Horses &c.	15 " "	" " "	15 " "
Total	61 " "	20 " "	81 " "
For Bomma Naick Brother in-law of Minor and proposed guardian	7 " "	" " "	7 " "
Grand Total	68 " "	20 " "	88 " "

Madura, 26th July, 1852. E. E. Jr. /Signed/ J. Clarke
Sub Collector in Charge.

The

B. No. 1715.

Proceedings thereon.

31.32

The Government having directed that the Vailoor Estate should pass on at once into the hands of the Minor Peroomal Saick under charge of his Brother in-law Bommanaicken until he attains his Majority, that order renders it unnecessary for the Board to issue any instructions on the subject of the present reference.—

To

No. 153.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Sir.

33

B. No. 1959
From the Collector of Madura

Submitting Copies of correspondence with the Session Judge of Madura regarding Ramnad Devastanums.—

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to transmit Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court of Madura of the 13th May and 24th July last respectively and of my answer dated the 27th May 1852, setting forth the grounds on which I considered myself debarred from receiving information against the Zemindar of Ramnad and others for malversation in discharge of the Office of manager of the Ramnad Devastanums.—

To Board	5th February 1852
From Do.	15th March Do.

2. It will be observed that by their Proceedings under date the 13th July last the Foujdaree Adalut ruled, that the Zemindar of Ramnad was not liable to a Criminal Prosecution under act XIII of 1850 but that

Mr.

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

Mr. Fischer the Vakeel of Stangals has intimated their intention of applying to the Foujdaree Udalut for a review of their order.

3. Under these circumstances I would suggest that the Board should instruct the Government Vakeel of the Foujdaree Udalut to furnish them with a copy of any Petition which may be presented to that Court on behalf of the Stangals, in order that they may adopt such measures as may seem to them expedient to support the objections to Criminal Prosecution.

Madura 11th August 1852. } (Signed) R. D. Parker. Collector.

No. 1.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Madura under date the 13th May 1852.

Read again Petition presented by G. F. Fischer Esqre on behalf of the Staingal of the Thirovachoor by Covil attached to the Rammad Devastanum

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.

Devastanum under the management of the Zemindar of Rammad.—

This is an appeal against an order made by the Collector and Magistrate of Madura as Collector, upon a Petition of information addressed to him as Magistrate, in effect declining and refusing to take cognizance as Magistrate of the matter of the said Petition.

2. The Petition in question was in fact an Information charging the Manager of the Rammad Devastanums or in other words the Zemindar and certain of his Servants employed in the premises, with fraudulent embezzlement and misappropriation of the property held and managed by the Zemindar as in trust for the said Covil, in breach of the said trust and in contravention of the law for such case made and provided, Viz. act XIII of 1850 to the penalties of which, the Staingal as the immediate Wardens of the institution contended the Manager and his accomplices were liable.—

In

3. In his refusal to receive and deal with the said charges as prayed, the magistrate as Collector, refers to an opinion of the Board of Revenue who observe in advertence to the opinion given by the Suder Udalut that the Devastanums are an appendage to the Zemindary that the Civil Courts can alone interfere on proof of malversation and make a suitable provision for their due administration.

4. The Sessions Judge is not aware of such ruling by the Suddr Udalut which would seem under the special circumstances to declare that neither the Revenue authorities under Regulation VII of 1817 nor the Criminal Court under Act XIII of 1850 or any other law are empowered to take cognizance of any mismanagement or breach of trust committed by the Zemindar of Ramnad in respect of the Davastanums within that Zemindary but the only course open to individuals supposing themselves aggrieved by

by such acts is to seek redress in the usual manner by action of debt or Damage in the Civil Court. If this be so there is of course no need to proceed to the consideration of the further questions which may be raised as to whether the Revenue authorities alone have the power of stirring in the matter, whether so it is at their option to do so or not under Regulation VII of 1817 or whether they may move therein under Act XIII of 1850 whether that law be applicable to the particular case and whether if the said authorities do not choose to interfere or prosecute under either law or in any capacity private individuals aggrieved by the acts charged are competent to institute a criminal prosecution &c. &c.

5. The Sessions Judge therefore before passing any final order on the petition resolves to call upon the Magistrate to produce or point out the ruling of the Suddr Udalut to which

which reference is made and in order that the matter may be more fully before him to shew and setforth any other grounds or reasons which / he the Magistrate / may have and desire to adduce for considering himself debarred from receiving as such the informations tendered to him against the parties in question under act XIII of 1850.-

Ordered that Extract of these Proceedings be furnished to the Magistrate of Madura for his information and guidance with precept returnable in 15 days and that copy be given to the Petitioner on application.-

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / C. R. Baynes
Sessions Judge

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector & Magistrate

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of Madura, under date the 24th July, 1852.

Read again M. P. No. 16 and 18 of 1852 presented by G. F. Fischer Esqre on behalf of the Stanigal of the Sinar Pottagasamungay and Terrochooly Covils respectively within the Zemindary of Ramnad and appealing against the refusal of the Magistrate to take cognizance of charges preferred by them against the Zemindar of Ramnad and her Servants under Act XIII of 1850 for misappropriation and embezzlement of Dawustanum Revenue.

Read again Proceedings held by the Court under date the 18th June 1852 on M. P. No. 18 referring for consideration of the Court of Faujdaree Udalut whether the Zemindar was liable to such indictment.

Read again Proceedings Faujdaree Udalut under date 13th July 1852 puling and declaring her to be not so liable 1stly because "Act XIII "of 1850 has no retrospective effect and therefore no "prosecution can be under it in regard to the com- "plaint in question" and 2ndly because with reference to the former puling of the Court that the Dawus- tanum Chuttrums &c are an appendage to the Zemindary, they "consider that the Zemindar as Manager thereof

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

"thereof is not amenable as a Trustee within the "meaning of the said act even if it were other- "wise applicable" and that under all the circum- stances of the case therefore "it appears to the Court "of Foujdaree Udalut that the proper course for the "Sessions Judge to pursue is to refer the Complainants to a Civil Suit for redress."

Read also M.P. No. 59 of 1852 presented on the 22nd July 1852 by the above G.F. Fischer Esqre on behalf of the same parties and praying that this Court will either make a further reference to the Foujdaree Udalut more fully setting forth the circumstances of the case and the arguments by which they conceive that Court would be in- duced to alter its present determination or at least allow them such time as may be necessary for making an application to that Court for review thereof before ordering the accounts now impounded by order of the Sessions Court to be restored to the opposite party.

The Sessions Judge declines to comply with the prayer of the latter Petition so far as it solicits him to make a further reference on the point in question as however it is open to the Petitioners to make direct application to the Foujdaree Udalut and as in case that Court should

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

see fit to modify or alter their ruling, the accounts to which Petitioners prefer ought to remain in the interim in their present safe custody and to be then forthcoming, the Sessions Judge considers it equitable to direct that they so remain until such time, as the case be finally disposed of.

Ordered that Extract of these Proceedings be furnished to the Magistrate for his information and guidance and that Copies be given to the parties on their application.-

/True Extract/

/Signed/ C. R. Baynes.
Sessions Judge

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector and Magistrate.

No. 3

Seal

No. 28.

Sessions Court

To The Magistrate of Madura.

Sir,

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sessions Court of this date to the orders con- tained in which you are required to confirm, returning this Pre- cept so executed or good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in pursuance hereof within fifteen days from and after its receipt.-

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court of Madura this 13th day of May 1852

/Signed/ C. R. Baynes
Sessions Judge.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collr & Magistrate.

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

Seal.

In return to the forgoing Precept requiring him with reference to his order declining to proceed on a charge of malversation brought by G. F. Fischer Esqre on behalf of the Stanigul of the Tevochooly Covil against the Zemindar of Ramnad as Manager of the said Covil under Act XIII of 1850 to produce or point out the puling of the Sudr Udaulut that the Dawstanums of Ramnad are an appendage to the Zemindary and to shew and set forth any other grounds or reasons which he the Magistrate may have to adduce for considering himself debarred from receiving as such the information tendered to him against the parties in question under Act XIII of 1850.

The Magistrate has the honor in the first place to refer the Court to the Proceedings of the Zillah Court of Madura under date the 22nd October 1844 and to the Proceedings of the Sudr Udaulut of the 14th December following as the Papers which shew the Sudr Udaulut to have been of opinion that the Dawstanums of

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

of the Zemindaries of Ramnad and Shevagunga form an appendage to those Estates respectively.- In the Proceedings of the Zillah Court the Judge adverts to Proceedings of the Sudr Udawlut under date the 2nd May 1842 in which on the occasion of Unjamoattoo Sachear appellant in O.S. No. 13/1835 petitioning for the management of the Pagodas, Chaultries and other Endowments in the Shevagunga Zemindary, that Court refused to comply with her request on the ground that the said Pagodas, Chaultries &c, form a part of the Shevagunga Zemindary but informed her that if the Decree of Her Majesty in Council should be in her favor, the Zemindary will then of course be delivered to her when she will also acquire possession of the said Pagodas and Chuttrums. In their Proceedings of the 14th Dcember 1844 the Sudr Udawlut allude to the above Proceedings as declaring the Dawstanums an appendage to the Estate / of Shevagunga / and they further express their opinion as regards Ramnad that the Pagodas ought to be with the Ranee in possession of the Estate.

Lest he should have failed to set forth this train of reasoning with sufficient perspicuity and force the Magistrate ventures to enclose an

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th March last containing the same arguments in a somewhat different order.—

In conformity with the invitation of the Court to set forward any further reasons he may have to adduce the Magistrate begs to argue in the 2nd place that as Regulation VII of 1817 vests the Superintendence of Durustanums in the Board of Revenue no Criminal prosecution for fraud in regard to them can take place without the Boards Sanction or that of their local agent, so that if it were decided that the Ramnad Durustanum did not form an appendage to the Estate yet the Magistrate could not proceed on the charge preferred by Mr Fischer contrary to the orders of the Board of Revenue.—

In the third place he would submit that under Section XVI Regulation VII of 1817 an investigation if sanctioned must at least may if the Board of Revenue be so pleased be conducted under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822, that being the enactment providing for the punishment of fraud and embezzlement on the part of the native servants of Government employed under the Collector in the Department of a Land Revenue and

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

and that if individuals give information to the Collector of Revenue of Durustanum frauds they cannot insist either in the one case or the other that he shall proceed under Act XIII of 1850 since Regulation IX of 1822 has not been rescinded.—

Finally the Magistrate suggests that Act XIII of 1850 is inapplicable to the charge now in question because the alleged malversation and falsification of accounts relate to Fasly 1257 a period anterior to its enactment whereas the said Law is expressed in the future tense and has no retrospective effect. Fa. 1847/48

Given under my hand and seal at Madura this 27th day of May 1852.

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Magistrate.

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Coll.r and Magistrate.

(33.34)

Board No. 1725
Proceedings thereon.

The Board observe that they have been in correspondence with the Government Pleader of the Sudder Udawlut on the subject of the question recently referred for the decision of that Court regarding the Ramnad Durustanum and have asked him to inform the Board should any motion be brought forward on the same subject.—

Read

9184 Fort St. George 23d August 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 144.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

35

Board No. 1947.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

With reference to the Boards Circular of 6th May last and to Extract from their Proceedings dated the 1st Ultimo I have the honor to annex for their information a list of servants in this District other than of the Classes specified in the Circular above alluded to, who have already given securities with remarks explanatory of the reasons under which it is thought that their securities should not be cancelled and to request you will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of the Board for the suggestions therein contained.

Tinnevelly, Tencausey, 9th August, 1852

/ Signed / C. J. Bird

Collector

List

9185 Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

List

Name of the Office	Amount of present Security	Remarks.
Shroff Goomastah at the Huzzoor Treasury	C R 500	As this person generally assists the Head and Deputy Shroffs in Shroffing the Coins &c. and is required to perform the duties of the Deputy Shroff during his absence on any account it is necessary that security should be held on his account.
Huzzoor Stamp Goomastah	500	During the absence of the Stamp Darogah as this person is entrusted to sell Stamps it is necessary that his present security should stand, he being considered as an occasional Stamp Vender.
Head Goomastah at the Huzzoor Treasury	1,000	In the absence of the Treasurer on any account the business of the Treasury is conducted by the Head Shroff and Head Goomastah. The Head Goomastah is moreover entrusted with the preparation of the daily accounts of receipts and disbursements, and consequently it is recommended that his present security should not be cancelled.
Shroffs at the Sea Custom Chowkies	1750	As these Shroffs are also in charge of money like those at the Frontier Chowkies it is necessary that their securities should not be cancelled but the amount of present security of the Sea Custom Chowkey Shroffs may safely be reduced to Rupees 1,000.
Do. at the Salt Pans	1,500	

Tinnevelly, Tencausey, 9th August, 1852.

/ Signed / C. J. Bird

Collector

Under

9480 Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

Ld. No. 1718.
Proceedings
35. 36

thereon. Under the circumstances brought to notice in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue sanction the continuance of the practice of taking security from the undermentioned classes of Servants at the rate specified opposite to each.

2. It must however be understood that the three first named during the absence of their Principals act upon the responsibility of the latter, who must be accountable for the acts done by their Deputies during their absence.

Shroffs Gomastah. Rupees	500
Huzzoor Stamp Do.	500
Head Do. Treasury Gomastah	1,000
Shroffs at Sea Custom Chowkey	1,000
Do. at Salt Pans	1,500

Add.

9487 Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

No. 358

37

Read again letter from the Collector

In Cont. 28th June 1852

of Canara dated 5th February 1852.

B. No. 1707

/Here enter No. 10./

Proceedings thereon. In the above letter the Collector

Submitting with the Board's views thereon a letter from the Collector of Canara on the subject of the hereditary Estates with reference to a late decree of the Sudr Court.

reports the result of the Motion made by the Collector before the Court of Sudder Adawlut in conformity with the instructions issued by Government in their Minutes of Consultation dated 10th November 1849 having reference to a decree of that Court in Appeal Case No. 12 of 1847.

2 The questions arising out of this decree have been very fully considered in the correspondence noted in the Margin, and it is not necessary here to recapitulate the points involved in the discussion. It is sufficient to observe that the decree of the Sudr Adawlut decided

From Coll. 19th Sept. 1849 in Cont. 18th Oct. 49
To Coll. 18th October 1849
To Government 18th Do 1849
From Do 10th November 1849 in Cont. 19th Do
General letter from Honorable Court of Directors 30th July 1851 No. 7 Para 23
Received from Government 25th Nov. in Cons. 15th December 1851

"that the right of occupying hereditary Jenmi

"wurgs could be sold or otherwise transferred

by

"by the immediate occupants of such lands"
and that this bearing held by the Collector to
be incompatible with the Landlord's right
which it was not denied that the Govern-
ment possessed in such Estates, a repre-
sentation to this effect was made by him
19th Sept 1849. to the Board of Revenue and led to the
19 Sept 1849 orders of Government above refered to,
by which it was directed that if the Collector
were called upon to give effect to the decree
"he should make a return to the Precept
"intimating that he is precluded from acting
"because Circar, as Landlord objects to the
"transfer of the warg and because a
"Decree in a Cause in which the Circar
"was not a party cannot interfere with
"its prescriptive right based on the Custom
"and usage of the Country." He was further
ordered to instruct the Government Vakeel
in the Suddur Adawlut Court to present a
motion protesting against the execution of
the Decree.

This

3. This course was accordingly
adopted, and the Collector has now transmit-
Encs: Extract from Collector 5th Feby 1852 ted Copy of the Proceedings of the Suddr Udaw-
lut on the late Collectors Petition in which
after observing that the assumption upon
which the Collector based his arguments is
altogether erroneous it is explained that "no
"rights have been awarded in the Decree in
"question which were not previously enjoyed
"by the tenant from whom the occupancy of
"the land was transferred nor any infringe-
"ment authorized of the existing rights of
"Government which, if the former tenant
"was merely a tenant removeable at will,
"or at the expiration of a certain period is
"Competent to exercise the same power in
"regard to the individual to whom the right
"of occupancy by the previous tenant has been
"adjudged."

4. The Collector is of opinion that
this decision places the respective rights
of the Government and of the occupying

tenants

tenants in as clear a light as it is possible
to do until the Government shall themselves
decide upon the extent of proprietary right
which they have already conceded or which
they think it desirable to concede to the
holders of those lands - but the Board see
some reason to dissent from this conclusion,
and observe that in a subsequent Para
the Collector states that the opening of the
present discussion has "tended in some
"degree to embarrass the proceedings of
"the Civil Courts" This embarrassment the
Board conceive must arise mainly from
the absence of any definite opinion exs-
:pressed on the part of the Sudder Adalut
as to the nature of the Circar Geni tenure
or of the fiction upon which the Suit
referred to was grounded: and from the
anomalous results to which the decree leads.

5. The original Suit was
founded on a claim for "improvements"
but the decree awarded possession

of

of the land itself without any reference
being had to the improvements - their nature
or extent or whether in fact they had ever been
made at all The Court of Sudder Adalut
do not apparently question the fact that the
Government posses a perfect Landlords right
in the Circar geni wurgs. or that the tenure
of the occupiers, is legally speaking, a te-
:nancy at will but they recognize the right
of such tenants to sell or transfer their
tenancy but admit hypothetically at the
same time the right of the Collector to ren-
:der this null and void by the removal
of either the vender or purchaser - The
Board do not think that this is at all a
clear or satisfactory state of affairs - as
regards the relation between Landlord
and tenant or that it can be otherwise
than embarrassing to the Courts to find
that after subjecting the parties to the de-
:lay and expense of a trial, and themselves

to

to the labour of investigating the claim they may adjudge possession to either of the parties — but have practically no power to maintain him in it. It seems to them that this is a position in which no Judicial tribunal ought to be placed either in Justice to the Suitors or to its own authority, and they conceive that it would have been avoided had the Court addressed itself to a consideration and determination of the simple question whether the Landlord's right appertaining to the Government in these Estates was or was not of the same nature as that exercised by the Landlords of private Estates. Had the Court satisfied itself that the right was of the same nature in both cases it would have found no difficulty in determining that such a tenancy is not properly transferrable without the consent of the Landlord and that it is an

infringement

infringement of his privileges to "adjudge the occupancy" of his land to any other than the person whom he has himself allowed to enter on possession.

But what principally requires consideration on the present occasion is the measure which the Collector thinks it will be necessary or advisable to adopt in relation to their circar Genie wurgs. He observes that the recent discussion has "tended considerably to shake the confidence of the holders of these lands in the permanancy of their tenures" and for this and other reasons he seeks the early instructions of the Board as to the course they would wish him to pursue, observing at the same time that he trusts that it will be that of conceding the whole proprietary right in these lands to the occupants, to be held upon the same terms as has always been virtually the

the case / as the rest of the Estates of this
district and that this would only be carry:
ing out what was for many years the
policy deliberately pursued in Canara,
when, as shown by the translations of
Encl: 2 in Com Gen Cots 5th Feby 1852 orders given by Messrs Vieash and Cotton
which he has inclosed, not only was the
option of taking out the full grant of pro:
prietary right / Moolpullah / offered to
the holders of these lands but it was
directed that without any application
for such Moolputtah the individual oc:
cupying them "should be viewed as Mool:
gar / proprietor / so long as he continue to
pay the full Beriz".

7 The large number of circar
Geni Estates still existing however notwith:
standing this free offer of proprietary
right shows that for some cause or other
the occupants of them did not avail them:
selves of the choice of becoming full
proprietors

LR.

proprietors and Mr Malthy in Para 10
of his letter endeavours to account for this
circumstance but in a manner not al:
together satisfactory as the Board think
by observing that it arose partly from
the security of the ryots, and partly from
their fears, that many felt they possessed
the lands as securely as they desired and
others whose Estates paid less than the
assessment were afraid that with the
Moolputtahs would come a demand
for the full Government assessment.
He adds that since Mr Vieash's revision
relieved the over assessed Estates the
occupancy of these lands has been con:
sidered just as valuable and as Market
:able as the proprietory right in any of
the Mooly Estates, and that many of
them have been sold by Govern
ment. The Board think that the
security which the ryots felt in the
possession of these Estates hardly
accounts

the case / as the rest of the Estates of this district and that this would only be carrying out what was for many years the policy deliberately pursued in Canara, when, as shown by the translations of orders given by Messrs Vieash and Cotton which he has inclosed not only was the option of taking out the full grant of proprietary right / Moolpullah / offered to the holders of these lands but it was directed that without any application for such Moolputtah the individual occupying them "should be viewed as Moolgar / proprietor / so long as he continue to pay the full Beriz".

Encl: 2 in Mr Cotts 5th Feby 1852

7 The large number of Circar Jeni Estates still existing however notwithstanding this free offer of proprietary right shows that for some cause or other the occupants of them did not avail themselves of the choice of becoming full proprietors.

proprietors and Mr Maltby in Para 10 of his letter endeavours to account for this circumstance but in a manner not altogether satisfactory as the Board think by observing that it arose partly from the security of the ryots, and partly from their fears; that many felt they possessed the lands as securely as they desired and others whose Estates paid less than the assessment were afraid that with the Moolputtahs would come a demand for the full Government assessment. He adds that since Mr Vieash's revision relieved the over assessed Estates the occupancy of these lands has been considered just as valuable and as Marketable as the proprietory right in any of the Mooly Estates, and that many of them have been sold by Govern ment. The Board think that the security which the ryots felt in the possession of these Estates hardly accounts

accounts for their neglect to obtain a full grant of them which would be done without trouble or expense, had they desired such a title, and it is not easy to understand why those whose Estates paid less than the assessment should fear that a demand for the full assessment would be made if they applied for a Moolputtah, when they had before them so many examples of Moole Estates receiving the benefit of Mr Viveash's remission known as Board Shifarish. They are also under the impression that many of these Estates for which no Moolputtahs were taken already pay the full assessment and could therefore have been subject to no increase as regards the sale of these Estates by Government, the Board cannot find that the instance of such sales were numerous. a list of

In Cons 21st April 1845 10 Estates is given in Mr Thomson's letter of the 19 March 1845.

1845. as sold between 1836 and 1844 but the practice was clearly irregular and was no sooner brought to the notice of the Board than it was ordered to be discontinued.

Bd's Pro: 21st April 1845.

8. The practice of transferring the Circar Jemi Wungo to Moole and granting to the holders of them the full proprietory right formed the subject of much discussion between the Board and Mr Blair when Principal Collector, but the Board continued of opinion that such a concession would be premature at present in which view the Government expressed its concurrence, in

Bd's Pro 5th Dec. 1844 para 12

Extract Minutes Consultation 30th June 1845, since which time the practice has been in abeyance.—

Para 11 In Cons 7th Augt 1845.

9. After a full consideration of what Mr Maltby has urged on the present occasion the Board think that the present time would be inappropriate for taking any steps to alter

alter the tenure of these Estates. The dis-
cussions which have been proceeding for
sometime respecting a revision of the
assessment, will probably ere long lead
to a final determination upon that
question and until the pleasure of the
Government shall be known re-
garding it the Board would be most
averse to recommend any measure
which could not do otherwise than —
fetter their freedom of action more
or less in dealing with these Estates, up-
wards of 12000 in number. They observe
also that altho' Mr Mallby has urged the
immediate concession "of the whole proprie-
tory right in these lands to the occupants" he
has not stated his views as to certain details
which would necessarily enter into the arrange-
ments. It does not appear for instance whether
he proposes to fix the assessment on them abso-
lutely at the recorded "ancient berig" without any
enquiry as to its fitness or otherwise. The Board
have

have been under the impression that in many
of these Estates this berig is fixed at too high
a standard while in others it has been
reduced by frauds or other means below
what it should properly be, and they have
considered that an investigation of the capa-
bilities of the Estates with a view to the
equalization of the Assessment, even tho'
no regular survey should take place, would
be a fit and proper measure as preliminary
to any concession of the full proprietory right.

10. Again as the occupants of twelve —
thousand of these Estates did not when the option
was open to them avail themselves of it to
obtain the proprietory right there seems no
reason to believe that they would all desire
it at the present time. The measure which he
recommends would not therefore have the effect
of abolishing this class of Estates altogether or of
doing away the inconveniences which are incident
to this tenure and which form the main reason for the
proposed measures. —

11. There is another point
which

Jo71 St George 23rd August 1852

which seems to present considerable difficulty viz: with regard to that class of Estates which

19th September 1849 Paras - 11-12-13

are referred to in the late Collector's letter as being occupied in small portions by a number of petty tenants on terms confessedly temporary. A General Measure like that proposed by Mr Maltby would confer proprietory right in each small spot out of a large Estate thus occupied and break up the integrity of the Estate in a manner most inconvenient and detrimental to the interests of the Government without any corresponding advantage being conferred on the present occupants. The Board are under a strong conviction that the concession of proprietory right on this class of people would in numerous cases be the signal for their richer creditors taking measures thro' the Courts for the purpose of ousting them, and by this means obtaining the better lands in the Estates and leaving the inferior lands still on the hands

Jo71 St George 23rd August 1852

hands of Government. In such cases it would either be necessary to make these Estates an exception not very easily maintained or to leave a discretion in the hands of the Collector.

Para 16

It is true that Mr Maltby adds that the Concession which he proposes might if necessary be accompanied by a proviso that the Government will not sanction the Sub Division of these Estates except with the express consent of the Revenue authorities but this reservation would in itself involve much of that kind of interference which he has referred to in Para 13 as so objectionable and would the Board think be very difficult to carry out practically when the question of recovering debts by the sale of such lands or of mortgage inheritance and the like came in the shape of Suits before the Courts.-

12. The necessity for adopting immediate measures is not the Board would hope so urgent as it appears to the Collector, he observes that

Paras 17

the parties occupying them are themselves possessed of. In the cases which have already come before him he appears to have adopted this course already and it appears to the Board no more than is rendered necessary or rather obligatory under the terms of the recent decree of the Sudder Uddalut. They can see no objection therefore to his adopting it in future; but they would prefer that the question should remain on this footing until the decision of Government in relation to the several points now under its consideration shall have been communicated.—

14. Where however a division of the Estate is proposed which in his opinion is unfair or adverse to the interests of Government they think that he should interpose to prevent it by warning the parties that he will exercise the right which seems to be left to him under the Sudder Adawlut's decree.—

Read.

Read the following letter

No 69.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

38

Bd No 1834

From the Collr of Canara

Requests instruction of the Board on the existing practice of bringing the Collections to account in the Hoozoor Treasuries which appears to be at some variance with the Circular dated 15th March 1849.

Sir.

Paras 1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board a practice of bringing the Collections to account in the Hoozoor Treasury at the end of the fusly year, which appears to me to be at variance with the rules prescribed by the Board in their Circular of the 15th March 1849.

2. The Rule prescribes that the sums brought to account in the Books of the Hoozoor Treasury within the 11th of July shall be considered and entered, as the Collections of the Current demand, this course being calculated to make the accounts furnished with the annual Report on the annual settlement of the extra Revenue, correspond with those supplied to the Accountant

Accountant General.

3 In this district the Board are aware of the existence of a principal Treasury at Mangalore, and of a subordinate one at Honore, the former receiving the collections of seven of the Payenghaut Taloks, and the latter those of the five Northern taloks. The money accumulated in this latter Treasury is from time to time called to the Hoogoot Treasury on its reaching a certain amount. The accounts of the district are thus made up upon the collections of both these Treasuries, the balance of cash in each being distinguished by means of a N. B. in the periodical Reports of the Balance of cash sent to the Accountant General. The collections of this subordinate Treasury therefore cannot well be kept back from the accounts until they reach the Hoogoot Treasury at Mangalore.

4 What I have now found to be objectionable is the practice which appears to have long prevailed in this district of

of bringing to account as current collections up to the 11th July, the whole of the money realized in the taloks, although these collections are received into the Hoogoot and Subordinate Treasury after that date and as far as the end of the month.

5 In order to effect this without creating confusion in the accounts, two books are kept in my principal Treasury at Mangalore on the commencement of a new fusly year, one being intended to show the transactions of the new year, and the other the last remittances received from the seven Taloks of the money collected to the end of the expired fusly or to the 11th of July. The amount appearing in this latter Book is then brought to account in the Journal sent to the Accountant General up to the end of the fusly or from 1st to 11th July. The receipts into the Treasury of the Talook Remittances of collections subsequent to that date are thus made to appear as having reached the Treasury within that date.

6. The same course is followed with regard to the Receipts into the subordinate treasury at Honore. The Talook Remittances

to

to it as to the Hoozoor Treasury at Mangalore made up as received to the 11th of July have generally been received in that Treasury really between the 15th and 30th of that Month and these also are included as Current Collections within the 11th of July in the same manner as is observed in the Hoozoor Treasury.

7 I have thought it necessary to bring this subject to the notice of the Board with the view of obtaining their instructions on the continuance or otherwise of this practice which seems to be irregular. The benefit resulting from this mode of keeping the accounts is that of adjusting the accounts of the several branches of Revenue as far as practicable within the Fusly to which they appertain and reducing the apparent Balances at the end of the fusly in the accounts sent to the authorities at Madras

8 In the case of Stamps, Salt and Tobacco no demand is shewn in the Journal sent to the Accountant General, but the amount, if the proceeds of sale up to the end of the Fusly and actually received, before

before the account is made out into the Hoozoor Treasury is carried to account as Collections and the same being taken as the demand, is so exhibited in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance sent to the Board. This course is followed under special instructions from the office of the Accountant General.

9 In the event of the Board considering it necessary to order a change in the practice above shown, this will make it necessary that the amount of Demand in the case of Stamps, Salt and Tobacco Revenue be shown, according to the actual sale of those articles, to the end of the Fusly, and an outstanding Balance will appear in the accounts, of the sums which have not reached the Hoozoor treasury by the 11th I shall have to address the Accountant General, requesting him to furnish me with his instructions in order to meet the wishes of the Board.

10 I beg to add that the practice of bringing the Collections received subsequent to the 11th of July into the accounts of the period within that date was not, it appears, altered

altered on the receipt of the Board's Circular of the 15th March 1849 because this existing mode did not give rise to any difference between the annual accounts sent to the Board, and the periodical Statements furnished to the Accountant General.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
26th July 1852.

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Collector

B° N° 1719

Proceedings thereon.

(38.39)

The 2 points brought to the Board's consideration by Mr. Maltby are (I) Entering in the accounts as "current collections within the Fusly", monies not received into the Hoogoo treasury till after the end of the Fusly year, namely, between the 11th and 30th July, and (II) with regard to the Sale proceeds of Stamps, Salt and Tobacco exhibiting as "demand" in the D.C.B. Returns forwarded to the Board, only such sums as are received into the Hoogoo treasury within the time of the preparation of accounts. In order that one uniform system may prevail, the Board consider it necessary that

Para 5

+ The same remark applies to the Subordinate Treasury at Honore.

that only the sums received into the Hoogoo treasury by the 11th of July should be entered as collections within the Fusly as to the Stamps, Salt and Tobacco Revenue, it is essential to enter in the D.C.B. Returns transmitted to the Board, the actual sale proceeds as "Demand" the portion thereof received into the Hoogoo treasury by 11th of July, as "collections" and the "remainder" as "balance".

2. If as the Collector states in Para 8, only such portions of the sale proceeds of Salt, Stamps and Tobacco, as are received into the Hoogoo treasury by the time of the preparation of accounts, be exhibited as Demand in the D.C.B. Statements, he should explain how the remaining portion of those sale proceeds is brought to account.

---

(40)

B° N° 1981

From the Collector of Canara. Read letter stating that no Register of Petitions was forwarded for the Months of May last.

(40.41)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read

Fort St George 23d August 1852

Read the following letters

No 115

To

The Secretary to the

Revenue Board

Fort St George.

(42)

Bd No 1938.

From the Officer Commanding Chingleput

Sir.

I have the honor to annex copies of correspondence between the Collector the Head Assistant Collector of Chinglepput and myself and to request that the Board would solve the Knotty point. All this correspondence would have been obviated had I not been deprived of the old Ground and had the Head Assistant replied to my first letter instead of which I hear nothing of it for a month and then this the Tahsildar which surely is not the proper course.

I also forward copies of correspondence dated 29th October 1851 just to shew the lamentable ignorance displayed by Mr Fullerton when in charge of the Collectors Cutcherry.

Chinglepput 9th August 1852.

/Signed/ S. Hay captain Commanding Chinglepput

To

Fort St George 23d August 1852

No 36.

To G. F. Fullerton Esqre

Head Assistant Collector

Zillah of Chinglepput

Pullicarny

Sir.

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether there is any ground in the immediate vicinity of Chinglepput where the Detachment can fire Ball without the danger of Killing any of the Inhabitants of the place or their Cattle as the place where the Detachment used to fire is now under cultivation.

Chinglepput 29th October 1851.

/Signed/ S. Hay captain

No 37.

To The Head Assistant Collector of Chinglepput

Pullicarny

Sir. I have to call your attention to my letter of the 29th Ultimo No 36 which is still unanswered.

Chinglepput 5th November 1852

/Signed/ S. Hay Captain

To

Fort St George 23d August 1852

Read the following letters

No 115

To

The Secretary to the

Revenue Board

Fort St George.

(42)

Bd No 1938.

From the Officer commanding Chingleput

Sir.

I have the honor to annex copies of correspondence between the Collector the Head Assistant Collector of Chinglepput and myself and to request that the Board would solve the Knotty point. All this correspondence would have been obviated had I not been deprived of the old Ground and had the Head Assistant replied to my first letter instead of which I hear nothing of it for a month and then this the Tahsildar which surely is not the proper course.

I also forward copies of correspondence dated 29th October 1851 just to show the lamentable ignorance displayed by Mr Fullerton when in charge of the Collectors Cutcherry.

Chinglepput 9th August 1852.

/Signed/ S. Hay Captain Commanding Chinglepput

To

Fort St George 23d August 1852

No 36.

To G. F. Fullerton Esqre

Head Assistant Collector

Zillah of Chinglepput

Pullicarny

Sir.

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether there is any ground in the immediate vicinity of Chinglepput where the Detachment can fire Ball without the danger of killing any of the Inhabitants of the place or their Cattle as the place where the Detachment used to fire is now under cultivation.

Chinglepput 29th October 1851.

/Signed/ S. Hay Captain

No 37.

To The Head Assistant Collector of Chinglepput

Pullicarny

Sir.

I have to call your attention to my letter of the 29th Ultimo No 36 which is still unanswered.

Chinglepput 5th November 1852

/Signed/ S. Hay Captain

To

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

No. 42

To. The Head Assistant Collector
of Chinglepute

Sir.

I have again the honor to call your attention to my letters No 36 and 37 of the 29th October and 5th November last and to request an early reply for the information of the Officer Commanding the Division.

Chinglepute
21st December 1851 } /Signed/ S. Hay Captain

No. 3.

To.
The Collector of Chinglepute

Sir. Pullicarny

I have the honor to annex Copies of letters addressed to the Head Assistant Collector here and to inform you that up to the present time of writing I have received no reply to any of the above communications which I trust will account for the necessity of troubling you on the present occasion

Chinglepute
7th January 1852 } /Signed/ S. Hay Captain

To.

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

No. 7

To. The Officer Comanding
Chinglepute.

Sir.

In reply to your letter of the 7th Instant, regarding the grounds required for the Detachment under your command for Ball practice, I have the honor to inform you that on the receipt of your first letter dated 29th October last to the address of the Head Assistant Collector, the requisite instructions were issued to the Chinglepute Tahsildar on the subject. That Officer has reported on the 30th November last that he has pointed out to you the different localities in the vicinity of Chinglepute available, amongst which he mentions the place formerly used by the Detachment with the exception of the portion now under cultivation, Orders have again been issued to the Tahsildar to place at your disposal for the purpose required any of the above sites that you may select.

Chinglepute District
Collector's Cutchery
Pullicarny, 19th January. } /Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
1852 Collector

9516

Fort St. George 23d August 1852

No. 17.

To

The Collector of Chingleput.

Sir. Pullicarny

In reply to your letter of the 19th Instant, I beg to inform you that I never saw the Tahsildar in as much that I happened to be absent at that time but on my return I learnt that he had pointed out two new pieces of ground through both of which Captain Heathorn and I found thoroughfares and to both of which I objected at the time for these reasons. With regard to the place formerly used by the Detachment I am given to understand that not a word was mentioned about it, moreover almost the whole of it is under cultivation. So much for sending native officials instead of the Head Assistant communicating with me in person. On the usual discourtesy of which conduct I cannot help remarking, in fact. I decline receiving any messages from Natives.

Chingleput
23d January 1852

(Signed) S. Hay Captain
Commanding Chingleput

To

I. G. B.

9517

Fort St. George 23d August 1852.

No. 95.

To,

The Collector of Chingleput
Kutteewaut.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the favor of a reply to my letter No. 17 dated 23d January 1852, as in the event of my not being furnished with one I purpose forwarding the whole of the Correspondence to Government.

Chingleput,
9th July 1852.

(Signed) S. Hay Captain
Commanding Chingleput

No. 134.

To,

The Officer Commanding
Chingleput.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 9th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that as in your former Communication of the 23d January, you declined to receive any Messages from Natives I have been precluded from taking any further Steps in the matter as the Officer who is best able to point out to you the different localities which are available for Ball practices for the Detachment under your Command

command happens to be the Local Tahsildar should you, under these circumstances, have no objection I shall again direct the Tahsildar to wait on you for the purpose required.-

Chinglepat District.
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry.
Cuttewauk, 19th July 1852.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

No. 104.

To, The Collector of Chinglepat.
Kutteewauk.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 134 of the 19th instant I regret to say that after the silent contempt with which your Head Assistant, who, I presume has again gone on Pammondy to see the Ethiopean Serenaders, has chosen to treat my several communications with that I am precluded from complying with your letter under acknowledgment and shall be exceedingly sorry to be under the painful necessity of forwarding the correspondence to Government particularly after you ordered him to point out the ground to me in person and that he has even evaded your orders. It would

be different were I the only one who complains of your Head Assistant's treatment but there are others however I will never for one instant submit to the like from any person whosoever he may be. In conclusion I must decline any further communication on the subject unless the ground is pointed out to me by the Head Assistant Collector particularly as Members of your own Service are of the opinion that it is his duty and not the local Tahsildar's.-

(Signed) S. Hay, Captain
Commanding Chinglepat

No. 107.

To, The Collector of Chinglepat
Kutteewauk.

Sir,

I have the honor to annex a Copy of a letter from the Deputy Assistant Adjutant General, Centre Division, regarding the Ball practice ground at this station, and to request that you will take early measures to have the Major General's wishes carried out.-

Chinglepat,
30th July 1852.

(Signed) S. Hay, Captain
Commanding Chinglepat.

To

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.-

No. 145.

To

Captain Kay.
Officer Commanding
Chinglepute.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th. Ultimo annexing copy of a Communication from Deputy Asistant Adjutant General on the Subject of Ball practice Ground at your Station.-

I am not aware that there has been any hinderance or obstacle to ground being made over to you as available for Ball practice, further than what has originated in yourself in declining to accept of the services of the Tahsildar, the Head Native Revenue and Police Officer of the Taluk, an objection which, so unreasonable as it evidently is, in my opinion, it would be advisable you should waive if to be put in possession of Ground for the purpose required, is the only object you have in view. I again repeat that the Tahsildar shall be directed to wait on

Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.-

on you if you are willing to avail yourself of his services.-

I beg to request that further correspondence on this subject may cease and that you will adopt the course you said it was your intention of pursuing as referred to in your letters of the 9th. and 22d. Ultimo should you think proper.-

Chinglepute District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Cutteewauk 3d August 1852.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Collector

No. 110.

To

The Collector of Chinglepute
Cutteewauk.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 3d. Instant and to simply refer you to mine of the 23d. January last as also to annex copy of a letter to the address of the Deputy Asistant Adjutant General of the Division for your special edification and to inform you that I shall forward the correspondence to Government

particularly

particularly since it is your wish that it should be.-

Chingleput, 5th August, 1852. } (Signed) S. Hay Captain Commanding Chingleput

(True Copies)

(Signed) S. Hay Captain Commanding Chingleput.

No. 176.

To,

The Officer Commanding Chingleput.

Sir,

Katterbee Sied Mahomed of the Village of Chinna Nuttum in Chingleput having preferred a complaint to me, stating that certain huts were recently erected under your orders on his Manniem or Rent free land at the above place by the Sepoys under your command without previously obtaining his permission, which appears to me essentially necessary, inasmuch as it appears from the records of this Office, as also from the Decrees of the Civil Court at Chingleput produced by him, that he is the legal owner of the land

I

I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to instruct the Sepoys who have constructed their huts on the land in question to pay him rent for the same at the rate of 2 Annas per hut, the Amount stated to be paid by Sepoys occupying similar huts in the same locality, and in the event of their refusing to do so, that you will adopt the necessary Measures for their removal.-

Chingleput District, Collector's Cutcherry, Pullicarnay 29th October, 1851. } (Signed) George Fullerton Head Asst to Collector in Charge

No. 304.

To, The Head Assistant Collector of Chingleput.

Pullicarnay.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 29th Ultimo I have simply to refer you to Appeal Case No. 75 of 1848 which is the latest decision by the Civil and Sessions Judge (a Copy of which was I am given to understand given to you some months ago.

9524 Fort St. George 23d. August 1852.—

ago and which you said you would forward to the Collector and the Decree in Case No. 195 of 1850 on the file of the Cauzee's Court which leaves it optional with the people living in houses on the ground you allude to paying or not according to the Mahomedan Law Moreover the Purwanah of Kutteebee Syed Mahomed does not specify where the ten Cawnies are situated which the Civil and Sessions Judge makes particular mention of in the Decree of the above case.—

Chingleput, — } (Signed) S. Hay, Captain
2d. November, 1852. } Commanding Chingleput

(True Copies)
(Signed) S. Hay Captain
Commanding Chingleput

9525 Fort St. George 23rd August 1852

No. 120.

To,
The Secretary to the
Revenue Board
Fort St. George.

43

Bd. No. 1968

From the Officer Commanding
Chingleput ..... } Sir,

I have the honor to request that the correspondence forwarded with my letter No. 115 of the 9th instant may be returned, as the case has since been disposed of.—

Chingleput, ... }
16th August, 1852. } (Signed) W. Hay, Captain
Commanding Chingleput.

Bd. No. 1710

Proceedings on the 2 foregoing letters.— The Board of Revenue do not see reason to return the correspondence forwarded by Captain Hay to the address of their Secretary, under date the 9th August 1852; but as the case appears to have been settled they will pass no orders upon it.—

42:44

Infons 23d August 1852.

45

Bd. No. 1720

Proceedings—

Resolved that the following Proceedings be recorded.—

Under date the 27th February 1851 the Board of Revenue submitted to Government a list of Estates which had reverted to Government in the Districts under the Madras Presidency since the Permanent Settlement.

In order to complete their information on the subject, the Board on the 31st July 1851 issued a Circular

Jon/ Ag. Orders 23rd August 1852.

Circular to all Collectors desiring them to furnish a Statement of the extent and number of sales of property personal and real, on account of arrears of Land Revenue under individual Settlements, from Fusly 1235 to 1260, together with a Separate Statement for a similar period shewing the properties of Ejaradars or Renters sequestered in cases of Leases of more than one year.—

From the replies received two General Statements have been prepared of all such sales in the Ryotwar Districts, one as regards the property of ryots and the other on account of that of Renters. The Board resolve to place both these Statements on their Records for future reference.—

Statement

of arrears of Land Revenue

of Ejaras mentioned

for arrears.			Remission granted during the last 26 years from Fusly 1235 to Fusly 1260.	Pro Contingent
ly-	Houses, wells, Topes, &c.	Total.		ng 30th June last.
				Personal Contingent
				property ing 30th June last
	9	10	11	
Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	that the
46	37	4,043	2,91,688	
69	42	6,766	3,21,969	" mentioned
2	156	7,471	6,693	"
	299	9,737	14,40,769	"
	887	4,778	2,42,303	" orsements
21				" the Board
03	563	11,943	1,27,273	" last.-
392	11,456	55,265	13,61,414	" Endorsements
73	6,119	33,444	80,388	195 the Board
56	132	9,274	3,79,395	" last.-
	"	403	68,742	" dged in the Office
69	271	2,026	1,59,348	" ementioned
701	1,199	18,441	2,36,345	"
267	"	48,349	79,325	" mand Collection
1835	307	37,583	3,52,469	" t.-
				" mand Collec
				y last.
114	21,468	2,49,523	51,48,121	" the average
			Statement	" t from 1st to
				stating

3. In his refusal to receive and deal with the said charges as prayed, the magistrate as Collector, refers to an opinion of the Board of Revenue who observe in advertence to the opinion given by the Suder Udalut that the Devastanums are an appendage to the Zemindary that the Civil Courts can alone interfere on proof of malversation and make a suitable provision for their due administration.

4. The Sessions Judge is not aware of such ruling by the Suddr Udalut which would seem under the special circumstances to declare that neither the Revenue authorities under Regulation VII of 1817 nor the Criminal Court under Act XIII of 1850 or any other law are empowered to take cognizance of any mismanagement or breach of trust committed by the Zemindar of Ramnad in respect of the Davastanums within that Zemindary but the only course open to individuals supposing themselves aggrieved by

by such acts is to seek redress in the usual manner by action of debt or Damage in the Civil Court. If this be so there is of course no need to proceed to the consideration of the further questions which may be raised as to whether the Revenue authorities alone have the power of stirring in the matter, whether so it is at their option to do so or not under Regulation VII of 1817 or whether they may move therein under Act XIII of 1850 whether that law be applicable to the particular case and whether if the said authorities do not choose to interfere or prosecute under either law or in any capacity private individuals aggrieved by the acts charged are competent to institute a criminal prosecution &c. &c.

5. The Sessions Judge therefore before passing any final order on the petition resolves to call upon the Magistrate to produce or point out the ruling of the Suddr Udalut to which

9530 — 1071 — St. George 23rd August 1852

Mr. No. 875 53

From the Collector of Madura. Stating that the Returns of persons
56 charged with offences and confined under Regulation
Mr. No. 858 IX of 1822 were not forwarded for the Month of July last.

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Stating that the Returns of persons
57 charged with offences and confined under Regulation IX
Mr. No. 864 of 1822 were not forwarded for the Month of July last.

From the Collector of Canara. Stating that the Returns of persons
58 charged with offences and confined under Regulation
Mr. No. 867 IX of 1822 were not forwarded for the Month of July last.

From the A.J. Collector of North Arcot. Return of Village Officers
59 suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of
Mr. No. 874 1831 for the Month of July last.

From the Collector of Madura. Return of persons suspended
60 and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for
Mr. No. 869 the Month of July last.

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Return of persons suspended
61 and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the
Mr. No. 866 Month of July last.

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Quantity
of Salt in store and ready for Exportation on account
of Chittagong Indent for 1851/52 up to 8th August 1852.

" Goldingham

Comp. D. Mills

" H. Blane