Contents

Book No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
		Con. 18th March & part 1852	
636	From Salem	Regg. Weights & Measures, with Statt.	3921
582	To do	Calling for some information on the above	3929
594	From Tanjore	Expenses incurred in copying Survey Accounts	3930
543	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	3933
604	From Tanjore	Sanction for an additional Estimate	3934
549	From Trichy	Arrears of Jeomiah due to Syed Ghouse	"
560	From do	Returning certain Statt.	3937
659	Remarks to Govt.	Recomg. the above for Sanction	"
551	Pro. Madura	Discrepancies in Salt Returns	3938
478	From Madura	Discretionary Allowce for repair of Roads &c.	3939
551	Pro. to do	Sancty. the above	"
506	From Madura	Pett. from the Younger brother of the late Hmr. of Shevagunga - praying for an Allowce	3940
574	To do	On the above	3944
408	From Coimbre	Respecting the land applied for by Mr. McIvor	3945
538	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	3959
470	From Coimbre	Relative to certain land purchased by Capt. Reilly	3965
580	Pro. to do	Calling for information on the above	3970
660	From Coimbre	Respecting the Tahsildar of Collegal	"
554	Pro. do	On the above	3976
583	From Madras	Extra Tent allowce to Mr. Ellis	"
557	Pro. do	On the above	3978
544	To Govt.	Regg. the Shybut &c. funds	3979
558	To Govt.	Salt Statt.	3983
529	To N. Arcot	Map of his district	3985
		Con. 22nd March	
675	From Govt.	Regg. Suit No. 90 of 1850 - Madura	3990
555	Order Madura	On the above	"
620	From Govt.	Certain Acts from the Govt. of India	3991
581	Order Canara &c.	Forwarding the above	3992

Board's No:	From and To whom	Subject	Page
642	From Govt	Increase of allowce to Curnums in Tinny	3992
563	Order to Tinny	Commg the above	3994
666	From Govt	Lapse of Share enjoyed by Venkataramien in the Cuthambady Agraharam	3994
586	Order Salem	Commg the above	4000
674	From Govt	Copies of Act Vca	4000
562	To Civil Auds	Travelling allowce to Asst Coll, Masulipm	4002
487	From Masulipm	Explanation regg the charge for boats employed over the Colairoe, for the protection of Sea Customs	4003
574	To Govt	Subg the above	4004
569	Pro. Nellore	Reward to informers of concealed cultivation	4004
494	From Bellary	Statt of reward to the informer of concealed Cultivn	4006
570	Pro. to d:	Sancg the above	4008
493	From Bellary	Arrangements in the Stamp Deptt	4009
564	Pro. to Govt	On the above	4012
676	From Kurnool	Extra Sources of Rev. Fusly 1260	4015
592	From Salem	Statt of lands for Coffee Cultivation	4018
571	Order to d:	Authg the above	4021
499	J. J. Cotton - Esqr	Extra tent allowce	4022
582	Pro. d:	Sancg the above	4026
488	From Tanjore	Charges on account of Ringer kanals Vca	4027
572	Pro. to d:	Calling for further information on the above	4028
519	From Madura	Letter from Mr Fisher, complaining of misappropria-ation on the part of the Hrmr of Ramnad of the Funds of the Chutthrums in that Hmy	4029
573	Pro. to d:	On the above	4032
611	From Tinny	Nursery Garden at Vellygoor	4034
587	To Govt	On the above	4035
623	From Canara	Statt of Tobacco Wastage	4036
577	Pro. Govt	Subg the above	4037
670	From Madras	Pett No: 288/1852 from Narasimma Naido, complaining of the sale of certain land	4038
576	Pro. to d:	On the above	4039
572	To Govt	Statt of Ordinary Collns Vca	4039

Board's No:	From and To whom	Subject	Page
568	To Trzagpm Vca	Salt received for Monopoly	4092
575	To Trichy	Pett No: 522/1852 from the Tenure Hmr	"
712	From Nellore	Leave of absence to Asst Collr	4096
626	From Govt	Act for the better regulr of Cantonments	4097
583	To d:	On the above	4100
637	From Govt	Orders on the Extra Rev. report, Nellore. F. 1260	4101
596	Order Nellore	Commg the above	4104
667	From Govt	Teakwood Vca procurable in certain jungle	4105
607	Order to Asst Hmr	Commg the above	4107
648	From Rajahy	Explaining the cause of his protracted stay at Rajahy	4108
590	Pro. to d:	Sancg Extra tent allowce	4109
588	From Kurnool	On the subject of effecting improvements in the Town under Act XXVI of 1850	4110
589	From Chingt	Sales of Salt at Covelong	4113
599	Pro. to d:	On the above	4115
589	Pro. Chingt	Remarks in the monthly returns of persons charged Vca	4116
680	From Chingt	Proceeding to report on the subject of contracting the limits of the Cantonments of the Mount & Talavm	"
704	From N. Arcot	Map of the district	4117
571	From Salem	Compensation to Agraharumdars of Vellapully Vca	4118
595	Pro. d:	On the above	4121
646	From Salem	Relative to the control vested in Collr for directing a Sub Collr to commence his Summahs	4122
597	Pro. to d:	On the above	4123
697	From Trichy	Outstanding balances	4125
570	From Madura	Reporting upon Pett No: 229 of 1852 from the Vakeel of Ramasawmy Tauer	4126
601	To d:	On the above	4129
630	From d:	Statt of Arrears due to a Yeomiaddar	4130
588	Remarks to Govt	Recomg the above for Sanction	4131
641	From Madura	Attachment of certain disputed land	"
598	Pro d:	On the above	4132

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
600	Pro. Gov. Malabar	Extra Sources of Rev. for F. 1260	4132
591	Circular	Rule regg. Sheristadars	4139
584	To Nellore	To report on Petns from the late Police Peishcar	4140
585	To Cuddapah	Exemption from Teerwah Sastee of certain land in the enjoyment of Petnr in No. 531 of 52	4141
592	To S. Arcot	To report on Rep No. 487 of 1852 regg. payments to Davastanum	4142
594	To Canara	To despatch Statt. of Contingent Charges	4143
		Con. 29 March	
593	To Govt.	Broken Set Pro. for Febr	4147
673	From do.	Certain balances of Land Rev. in Tanjore	4148
608	Order Tanjore	Comng. the above	"
672	From Govt	Disbursement of Costs &c. due to Messrs. Dale & Boyson in certain Suits	4149
606	Order to Messrs. Dale & Boyson	Comng. the above	"
692	From Govt	Declining to accede to the request of Petnr in No. 9/52	"
691	From Govt	Approving the remarks on the Settlt. of the Estate of the Minor Mopanmar Vittla Conjee Ramen	4150
609	Order Malabar	Comng. the above	"
690	From Govt	Sanc. certain Charges	"
707	From do.	Confirming Mr. Gibson's Pension	4151
689	From Govt	Memorial from Meer Ismail ally, regg. a Jaghire	4152
613	Rep. to S. Arcot	Comng. the above	4154
687	From Govt	Application from Mr. Sadarlas regg. property at Octacamund	"
624	Order Coimbre	Comng. the above	4155
688	From Govt	On the application from the Supt. of Stamps for an increase to his Establisht	4156
610	Order Supt. Stamps	Comng. the above	4160
577	From Commr. N.C.	Salary of the Head Sheristadar	4161
622	Order S. Arcot	Comng. the above	4165
2779	From Cuddapah	Reporting upon certain Lands by the omission of Fusly Assessment on lands in the Talook of Gooramconda	4166
626	Pro. to do.	On the above	4185

Board No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
723	From Chingt.	Statt. of Mootahs attached for arrears of Rev. & Establisht for their management	4188
623	Pro. do.	Sancg. the above	4194
515	From N. Arcot	Respecting the Cutbadies of Mamundoor & Illam	"
612	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	4196
649	From N. Arcot	Information regg. lapsed Enams	4197
618	Remarks to do.	On the above	4199
669	From N. Arcot	Respecting the Enamdar of Chedwall	4200
530	From Salem	Relative to certain Choultries and the resumption of Enams	4201
611	Pro. to Govt.	On the above	4206
662	From Salem	Statt. of arrears of Yeomiah to be resumed	4209
604	Pro. to do.	On the above	4210
664	From Salem	Compensation to Syed Hyssolla Saheb for land taken from him	"
695	From Salem	Rectifying an error in his letter regg. the Enam Village Veneatapooram	4213
615	Pro. to do.	Declining to grant compensation to an Enamdar	4214
534	From Trichy	Tabular report of lapsed Enams	4216
602	Pro. to do.	On the above	4217
507	From Madura	Regg. the Manager of the Meenatchee Pagoda	4218
617	Pro. to do.	On the above	4224
713	From Madura	Certain complaints regg. the misappropriation of the Davastanum Endowments	4227
625	Pro. do.	On the above	4229
2391	From Coimbre	Explaining certain items noticed by the Board regg. the Land Rev. Settlt.	4230
457	From Coimbre	Property purchased by the Lord Bishop of Madras on the Neilgherries	4232
657	From Malabar	Rent of the Mondel Ferry &c.	4253
628	To Govt.	On the above	4255

3921

Board's No.	From and To whom	Subject	Page
568	From Madras	Report from Asst. Coll. reg. Charges preferred against the Salt Servants	4258
616	To do.	On the above	4342
614	To Nellore &c.	To report on the irrecoverable balances of Sevgrs. sum me	4343

3921 Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir Fort St. George.

59

B. No. 636.

From the Collr. of Salem.

Furnishing as required by the Board, certain information regarding the weights and measures of his Collectorate.

Collector to Secretary to Military Board 18th February 1846.

1 I have the honor to reply to your Board's Circular communication requiring certain information regarding the Weights and Measures of the Salem Collectorate.

2 So far as I can ascertain no complete authoritative arrangement appears to have adopted in this District for introducing the use of the Standard Weights and Measures; and although it would seem that Specimens were received from the Military Board I am unable now trace and identify all of them.

3 Unless by the enactment of a specific law on the subject I consider that the best attempts of the local officers to encourage the use of the Standard weights and Measures will to a certain extent be ineffectual and unavoidably fall short of the desired result. The mercantile and trading community, even in the absence of such law, seem however well disposed to purchase from the Officers of Government measures of that

that description, if made available for sale at an equitable rate. The inference is therefore obvious, that where such disposition exists the legal enforcement of the use of standards will be attended with no material difficulty or objection.

5 The standard weights of the District have been generally regulated on that sent to this Office with the Boards Circular dated the 2d May 1842 - In respect of the measure no such general arrangement has been effected - In a few of the principal Towns and in a considerable portion of some of the Talooks however, the standard measure has been brought into use; in some instances by direct communication with the local officers; in others, by private individuals supplying themselves with measures similar to those stamped by the Thasildar for their neighbours.

6 In consequence of various kinds undoubtedly arises from the present irregularities of weight and measure; and as above stated there is a favorable disposition on the part of the Merchants to accept an uniform one.

7 Should it be determined to enforce

enforce by Law the adoption of standards & supply of specimens by Government will I consider suffice - If it be thought inadvisable to proceed to the length of passing an Act, I submit that no serious difficulty will be experienced in causing petty traders and others to purchase for their own use what the Government may forward for sale - provided the prices fixed on the measures be low, or at all events not higher than the sum at which similar articles could be procured on the spot.

8 The Statement called for in paragraph 8 of your Circular is herewith submitted.

9 The only safe and certain means of ensuring accuracy in the Lists periodically sent to the Commissariat, so far as I understand the wish of that Department, will be to arrange that as regards articles capable of being placed in a measure of capacity, the information sought shall have respect to a Garce or its fractional parts of the several descriptions of grains or pulse embraced

embraced in their lists, which grace might be shewn in the proper columns of the List to be a measure of capacity of 3,200 struck measures of 100 cubic inches each measure. The difference between a Garce of that class and the Garce local use, may thus be ascertained without any difficulty the price reported from the Collectors Office being given after the necessary fractional adjustment has been made.

10. These particulars have not hitherto been attended to. I shall now arrange that the requisite precautions be observed and shall also order the observance of a similar one in regard to the Talook Statements monthly submitted to the Board.

11. In a list received from the Commissariat Department at Trichinopoly which is now before me I am requested to shew the sale rate per pound of rice. Nothing appears why the rate per Garce should not have been sought, it remaining with the Commissariat Officer to calculate such details as the duties of his department may call for. I am also asked what is the cost per pound

of

of firewood. With the best intentions on the part of my Subordinates, I greatly doubt whether it is possible for them to furnish accurate information on a point of so novel a character and so utterly opposed to the practice in force amongst the sellers of that article, which all over India I believe to be sold by the bundle. But as I apprehend the objections raised by the Commissariat have mainly reference to the wholesale rates of the more important grains and pulse, I have restricted my opinion to that one point.

Salem District,
Kistnagherry,
8th March 1852.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Statement.

3926.

Fort St. George

18th March 1852.

Statement of different Measures shewing their exact dimensions and their variations under different circumstances &c. which have been in General use in the Cusbah stations Zillah Salem.—

Taluk.	Name of the particular Station.	Name of the Measure	Inches Dia-meter.	Inches Depth	Tolas weight Rice	Grand	Tolas heaped. Water	Rice	Grand.	Remarks.—
Attoor	Cusbah Attoor.	Nanum	3 3/8	6 1/4	64	68	81	70	73	Of the Nanum two form the Pady in use in Town and 3200 of such Padys have been hitherto considered to form a Garce.—
Namacul	Cusbah Namacul	Do.	4 1/8	4 1/8	57	61 1/2	73	68	71	Ditto.
Salem	Cusbah Salem.	Pady	4 1/4	7 3/4	121	128	132	131	136	Of the Pady 3200 have been hitherto considered to form a Garce.—
Paramutty	Cusbah Paramutty	Nanum	3 1/2	6 1/4	62 1/4	67 1/4	76 1/2	68 1/4	74 3/4	Of the Nanum two form the Pady in use in this Town and 3200 of such Padys have been hitherto considered to form a Garce.—

3927

Fort St. George

18th March 1852

Taluk.	Name of the particular Station.	Name of the Measure	Inches.— Diameter	Inches.— Depth	Tolas weight Rice struck	Grain.	Tolas heaped Water	Rice	Grain	Remarks.—
Raizepoor	Cusbah Raizepoor	Pady	3 3/8	4 7/8	57	62 1/2	73	64	67	Of the Manure two forms the Pady in use in this Town and 3250 of such Padys have been considered to forme a Garce.—
Omalore	Sharamunga Chetty.	Manure	4 3/8	8	60	64	72	64	68	Of the Manure two forms the Pady in use in this Town 3200 of such Padys have been considered to forme a Garce.—
Trichengode	Cusbah Trichengode	Do.	3 5/8	5'	60	62	74	66	68	Ditto
Daramapoory	Cusbah Daramapoory	Do.	3	6 3/4	58	62	74	64	67	Ditto
Tengary	Cottah	Nattangary	Do.	3 6/8	4 7/8	57	61	73	64	68	Ditto.

3928

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

Taluk	Name of the particular Station.	Name of the Measure.	Inches Dia-meter.	Inches Depth.	Total weight Rice	Gram.	Struck Water.	Total heaped Rice	Gram.	Remarks.
Kistnaghurry	Doulla-bad.	Manum	3½	5⅜	57¾	62¾	73	64	67	D.to
Trippatoor	Cuslak Trippatoor.	Pady	4/8	7⅜	116	122	148	128	131	Of the Pady 3200 have been hitherto considered to form a Garce.
Denkenicotta	Cuslak Denkeni-cottah.	Manum	3	7/8	59¾	63	76	64	67½	Of the Manums, two form the Pady in use in this Town and 3200 of such Padys have been considered to form a Garce.
Ossoor	Cuslak Ossoor.	Do.	3/8	4⅞	57¼	60	71¼	63	66	Do.

Salem District.
Kistnaghurry.
8th March 1852.

E. E. P.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Ordered.

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

Order thereon.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

59-60

To

The Collector of

Salem.

B. No. 532.
To the Collector of Salem.....

Sir,

With reference to the Enclosed Statement in your letter of 5th of March, the Board would wish to be informed whether the column of Remarks has reference to Leaped or Struck measures, i-e whether 2 Leaped Measures constitute 1 Pady, and whether 3200 Leaped Padies are supposed to constitute the Garce of the "Price Lists."

I am desired also to take this opportunity of asking what is the capacity of the Candy or Bandaguna of the old survey accounts.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th March 1852.....

(Signed) W. H. Bayley.
Secretary.

S. & R.

Read.

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.—

Read the following letters.—

No. 16.

61

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

B. No. 531
From the Collector of Tanjore.—

Submitting a revised Estimate of Expense to be incurred for copying certain Survey Accounts.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Extract of October 23d, 1851, requiring information as to the provisions proposed to be made for examining the Copies of the Survey Accounts, calling for an Estimate of the expense for having them bound and directing that a binding margin be left, I have the honor to state that I propose to have the Copies examined by certain Curnums who have had nothing to do with the copying, their examination will be placed under the Superintendence of a Goomastah; and that after this examination has been completed the correctness of the same will be tested by the higher Native Officials who will re-examine a few pages of each Volume.—

My letter of 11th September 1851 mentioned that I had endeavoured to reduce the Estimate to the lowest practicable cost, but that it was most difficult to frame a precise Account. Further trial has shewn that when a binding Margin 1/10 is left not more than 10 instead of 14 Survey Numbers could be entered Pl. in a sheet, and that consequently 484 - 8 increase in this head must be

incurred

3931

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Revised Estimate of the Expense that will be incurred in copying the Survey Accounts of Fuslies 1214. 1216. 1226. 1236 and 1237.- from an overweight the Accounts of the same for Fuslies 1214. 1216 & 1226 were omitted, whereby a further increase of Rs. 30. 12 will have to be incurred.

Vide the enclosed corrected estimate.

+Each bundle Contains 240 sheets.

It is supposed that the 1334½ bundles of Paper will form 2,669 books, which will also be bound agreed to bind strongly and neatly in calf for half a Rupee a Volume as per Rate of Term of one already finished. Of the number of sheets in each Volume is known and numbered, checking the practice of Papers ruled will be easy.-

The estimate now submitted for Papers and materials for copying out these 2,669 bound books will be 3,605⅛ as under

1- 5- 7¼ a Volume of 480 pages which cannot otherwise be considered than moderate.-

Tanjore, 24th February 1852.

I have &c.
(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

Received 28th February 1852.-

3932 Fort St. George 18th March 1852.-

No. 1 Revised Estimate of Expense to be incurred for Copying the Survey Accounts of Fuslies 1214. 1216. 1226. 1236 and 1237.-

Description.	as per Sanctioned Estimate. No.	Amount.	Proposed Estimate. No.	Amount.	Increase. Amount.	Decrease. Amount.
		Rs. a p		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
Papers for copying the Accounts Bundle	807	1,210 8	1,334½	2,001 12	791 4	
Ink ℔	"	80	"	100	20	
Quills Bundle.	40	40	50	50	10	
Cloth Wrappers	400	50	"	"		50
Thread Seer.	60	7 8	60	7 8		
Sundries	"	112	"	112		
	"	1,500	"	2,271 4	821 4	50
				Net Increase	771 4	
Cost of binding the Books @ 8 annas each	"	"	2669	1,334 8	1,334 8	
Total	"	1,500	"	3,605 12	2,155 12	50
				Net Increase	2,105 12	

Tanjore, 24th February 1852.

(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector

Resolved

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.-

61-62 B.d W. 543.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a revised Estimate from the Acting Collector of Tanjore for expenses to be incurred in Copying Certain Survey Accounts.-

From Govt. 11th inst. Con. 29th Sept. 1851.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with it's enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the margin.

From Tanjore 11th inst. Con. 29th Sept. 1851.
To Govt. 29th Sept. Do.
From Govt. 18th inst. Con. 23 Oct. Do. noted in the Margin.

2. In sanctioning the previous Estimate Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 18th October 1851, requested the Board to state what provision was to be made for re-examining the accounts after transcription and what would be the estimated expense if the Copies should be bound in books.-

3. Mr. Goodwyn states in reply, that he proposes to have the Copies re-examined under the Superintendence of a Goomastah by certain Curnums, who have had nothing to do with the copying, and that after this has been completed, to test the correctness of the same by the higher Native Officials.-

4. The cost for binding the bundles into books, which will form 2,669 Vols. @ 8 annas each, will be Rupees 1,334-8-0.-

5. In the present Estimate there are two other sums which were not included in the former Viz.t

Rupees 484-8-0 for Paper &c. on account of a binding margin to be left in copying the accounts.-

" - 306-12-0 for &c. for the survey Accounts of Fusilies 1214-16-4-20 and omitted to be included in the former Estimate.-

Deducting

Fort St. George 18th March 1852

6. Deducting therefore the amount already authorized in the Extract Minutes of Consultation quoted above, Viz.t Rupees 1,502-8-0 the Board beg to recommend that sanction be granted for an additional outlay of Rupees 2,103-4-0 the Acting Collector will be instructed to include the whole amount or Rupees 3,605-12-0 in his statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate.-

---

No. 17.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

63

B.d W. 604.

From the Actg. Collector of Tanjore - - - } Sir,

I have the honor to request an early sanction for the Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional servants for 1261 submitted on the 1st September last.-

Tanjore, - - -
Vellum, - - -
6th March, 1852. - - }

(Signed) T. H. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

Order thereon- Ordered to lie over.-

63-64

Read the following letters.-

65

No. 22.-

B.d W. 549.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly - } Sir,

Para 1.

In obedience to the Board's Proceedings

Submits information called for

for by the Board in their Proceedings dated 19th Instant relative to the Yeomiah arrears due to Syed Ghouse.-

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

Proceedings dated the 19th Instant I have the honor to submit the information required relative to the Yeomiah arrears due to Syed Ghouse.

2. Owing to the non-receipt with the Extract from the Proceedings of your Board of the Statement submitted by Mr. Smith I am unable to add the Note in the Formula as required by the Circular of the 18th May 1846. I therefore furnish the particulars by letter.-

3. In the Register of Charitable allow=ances transmitted to the Board on the 29th October 1814 I find this Yeomiah entered as No. 6. in Original or Mr. Wallace's Register and as No. 4 in the list submitted on the 26th October 1812.-

By Mr. Wallace's List of the 27th December 1801 it is entered and marked C. 6 for the 'Attendants of Asdar shariff and Mosque at Valconda poorum" And for the charity and service a sum of 3 Alliya=noor Fanams and half seer of Oil per diem was granted by a Mahazer or Certificate under the seal of Mahomed Farooz Cauzy of Valconda poorum; dated 6th Rumjan, †Hejri 1200, and enjoyed by Syed Abdool Cawder as Peish Emamia, Syed Woomur as Kateeb, Syed Emam as

†4th June 1786.

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

as Sweeper, and Syed Hoosain as Mouzeen at half a fanam each, and the remainder one fanam for the charges of Annual feast. These parties have died, and an allowance of Rupees 63. was granted to the present incumbent, being the Son of Syed Abdool Cawder one of the Original Grantees, by whom or by whose means the Services are now performed.-

4. I am unable to find that the Mosque is known by any parti=cular name other than the Mosque at Valconda poorum. It is the only one there, and has no other endow=ment.-

5. It is proper to apprize your Board that in Mr. Travers's Register dated 29th October 1814, this Grant is set down as one for Charity, whereas by subsequent correspondence as entered in the Margin it appears to have been taken as Service.-

From Bd. 18th Decr. 1815.
To Do. 19th July 1816.
From Do. 5th Augt. 1816.
To Do. 20th Septr. 1816.
From Do. 25th Novr. 1816.
To Do. 11th October 1824.
From Do. 18th Do. — "
Do. Do. 4th Jany. 1847.
With Extract thereof Cons: 31st October 1846.-

Trichinopoly.-
Collector's Office,-
27th February 1852.-

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector.-

To

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.-

No. 24.

(66)

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

B. W. 560
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.-

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 19th Ultimo and my reply of the 27th Idem I have the honor to return the Statement therein alluded to (this day received) with a Note attached.-

Trichinopoly, - - -
Collector's Office, -
1st March, 1852.-

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector.

B. W. 559
Remarks thereon

(65-67)
In Cons. 18th March 1852.-

Under the circumstances stated by the Head Assistant Collector in charge and the further explanation entered into by the Collector in his letter of the 27th ult. February 1852 the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the arrears due to Syed Ghouse from 1st January to 31st December 1851 amounting to Rupees 63-- and for the continuance from the latter date of that sum annually.-

2. The proper dates of authority for this Yeomiah, formerly regarded as a charitable allowance, but since registered as a grant for the performance of service in the Mosque at Valconda: =poorum are noted in the margin and should be substituted

Extract Min. of Con. 1st June in Cons 13th July 1846.-
Do. 31st Oct. 1846.-
In Cons 4th Jan. 1847 Para 2.-

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.-

substituted for that shewn in Column 4 of this Statement in the Collector's Register to save inconvenience in future.-

---

(68)

B. W. 551.
Proceedings thereon.-

Calling for information regarding the Salt Returns for the Quarter ending 30th September 1851.-

Read again Salt Returns from the Collector of Madura, dated 30th September entered in Consultation 30th October 1851, for the Quarter ending 30th September last.-

The Board observe that the entries in Statements B. C. D of the foregoing account shewing the quantity of Salt sold and that remaining on hand widely differ from each other, while Statements A and B give the quantity of Salt in store at Indian Maunds 3,76,329, Statement C exhibits the same item at Indian Maunds 609600. The quantity of Salt disposed of is entered in Statement A at Indian Maunds 56025. In Statement D it is given at Indian Maunds 57044, while according to Statement C the

Vide Statement A.
Balance of salt remaining in store at the end of Fasly 1260. — Indian Maunds 2,77,246
Quantity manufactured & received in store in the quarter under notice — 1,55,095
Gain by measurement — 13
4,32,355
Deduct sales — 56,025
Balance in store — 3,69,208

Add Wastage
In Fasly 1260. — Ins. 5352 Mds.
In Fasly 1261. — 1,769 — 7,121
Total — 3,76,329

Quantity of Salt in store. at Indian Maunds 3,76,329.
Vide Statement B — 376329
Vide Statement C.
Quantity in store according to last quarterly Statement — No. of Heaps 385. — 462,000
Quantity taken into store since last quarterly Statement — 131 — 157,200
Total — 516 — 619,200

Salt sold — 8 — 9,613
Surplus — " — 13
8 — 9,600
Remaining in store. 508 — 609600

Vide Statement D.
Quantity in heaps — 49. — 58800
Quantity sold — " — 57044
Ins. Mds.
13
Excess Deficiency — 1,769.

the quantity sold is only Indian Maunds 9600.- The Collector is requested to afford explanation respecting these discrepancies.-

2. The large quantity entered in Statement C. as Salt remaining on hand, proceeds, the Board presume, from the salt in the pans not received into store being included in the entry. It is not necessary that the quantity in the pans should be reckoned, the account should exhibit only the quantity actually stored.

3. The Salt Statements A. B. C. D should all correspond with each other. when they differ the cause of the same should be explained therein.-

---

69

Read the following letter.-

No. 40.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

Bd No. 478.

From the Collr of Madura. } Sir,

I beg to suggest to the Court of Wards that considerable advantage would be derived from my having a discretionary allowance for repairs in the Shevagunga Minor Zemindary in the same manner as for the Government Talooks. Timely repairs to a small amount especially to Tunnels and bridges often save large expenditure at a subsequent period, and when on Circuit I frequently observe cases in which great improvements could be made at a trifling expense Could they be effected immediately. Another great advantage would be the discretionary allowance possesses is that the Talook authorities can seize the time for its expenditure when there is a pause from the agricultural labour. I would beg to propose 500 Rs. as the amount.

Madura, 18th Feby 1852. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Theroppattoy
Bd No. 591.
Proceedings thereon.-

The Court of Wards grant the Collector of Madura the discretionary power of expending small sums not exceeding 500 Rs. in the aggregate, on Maramut repairs in the Shevagunga Zemindary agreeably to his request contained in the foregoing letter.-

69. 70

To

No. 46

71

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Court of Wards.
Fort St. George.

Bd No. 506

From the Collector of Madura / Para. } Sir,

I have the honor to request you will lay before the Court of Wards the accompanying copy of a Petition from Namasevaya Sawmy Perea Oodia Tavar, younger brother of the late Zemindar of Shevagunga praying for an allowance from the Estate.-

Forwarding Copy of a Petition from Namasevaya Tavier younger brother of the late Zemindar of Shevagunga praying for an allowance for subsistence

2. It is not usual as far as I am aware to grant allowances from the Estates of Minors to Persons who claim a right to the Estate itself which the Court will perceive the Petitioner continues to do, but I deem it advisable to submit the Petition for the orders of Court rather than to reject it myself.-

3. The grounds of the Petitioners claim were stated in my Report of the 20th February 1849.-

J

Fort St. George 18th March 1852

4.- I deem it necessary to add, that about a Month ago, the Vakeel usually employed by the Petitioner presented me an address in which it was stated, that as this Vamavevoya Tavan did not mean to persist in his claim and requested therefore an allowance suitable to his near connexion with the Minor. On this, I addressed Vamavevoya Tavan himself, stating, that on so important a matter I could not act on the Urzee of a Vakeel & inviting him to come to my Cutcherry himself. This he did and acknowledged the Urzee of the Vakeel who accompanied him, but on being asked to give a deposition to that effect, excused himself on the ground that it would be derogatory to his rank and proposed in lieu to give an Urzee. This happened on Saturday afternoon the 17th Ultimo at Theroopavanum. On the ensuing Monday I expected to receive the Urzee, but he had changed his mind and on the 25th addressed to me an Urzee disavowing the former

Fort St. George 18th March 1852

former address of his Vakeel.-

Madura,
Shevagunga,
21st February 1852

Signed / R. D. Porter
Collector.-

Enc. No 1.

To
R. D. Parker Esqre.
Collector in the Zillah of
Madura.

Most Respected Sir,

1.- I Vamavevoya avowing
Paria Vodia Tavar younger brother of Gowry
Villaba Tavar late Zemindar of Shevagungah
Zemindary most respectfully beg leave to state
that if the late Zemindar had been living I
would have been under the Hindoo Law and
according to the order of right of succession
entitled to half of the Zemindary being his
undivided younger brother.-

2.- But in consequence of his having demised and his widow Pitchummal Vatchear had been formerly married to a man and her Minor sons not having therefore at all events any right now to the Estate I am entitled to the whole Zemindary and my continued claim thereto being a correct one is consistent with & relevant to the

the Hindoo Law Morals and usages observable among Rajahs.-

After the death of the said Zemindar in February 1849 your honor had been pleased to propose and to continue to pay accordingly allowances for the maintenance of many individuals including the natural i.e. illegitimate sons and daughters of the late Zemindar and our ancestors the minors and their mother and my sisters and other relations respectively save me who is a unmercifully notorious undivided younger brother of the said Zemindar as shewn in the foregoing paragraphs although it was virtually incumbent upon your honor. However I was in the fullest hope that your goodness will even afterwards be pleased to propose and pray me an allowance for my maintenance but to no effect though it is past 3 years since and as I am not aware that you have contemplated to take any measure on that behalf and as I am suffering much for want of necessaries of life I have presumed to address this Urzee.

And

And I therefore pray you will be graciously pleased to recommend to the Higher Authorities for sanctioning an allowance for my maintenance and for that of my Sibbundies &c. according to my rank in life until I shall be put in possession of the Zemindary or else to Endorse on this representation the order which you may be pleased to issue.

And I your honors addresser will as in duty bound ever pray.-

17th February 1852.

Signed / in Tamil

Mootoo Vizia Ragoonadha

Namaveyaravanny Pana

Codia Tavar.-

/ True copy /

Signed / R. D. Parker

Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

71-72

Bt No 541

To the Collector of Madura

To The Collector of Madura.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 21st February, forwarding copy of an application

3945 Fort St George 18th March 1852

application from Namasavoya Poria Oodia Taver the younger brother of the late Zemmindar of Shevagunga to receive an allowance from the Estate, I am desired to inform you that the Board not being aware that this individual is in actual need of such an allowance, think it unnecessary to entertain his request.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
18th March 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No 8

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

BS No 408

From the Collector of Coimbatore — 1.

The Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 21st August last, and Minutes of Consultation of Government No 967 dated 25th September have reference to the Leave to be granted to Mr. Mac. Ivor in conformity with the Despatch of the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 17th July 1850, and direct that the

Forwarding the original letter from Mr Mac Ivor together with a plan of the land applied for by him and recommending that 2 separate leases may be granted for

3946 Fort St Georg 18th March 1852

for the 885 Cawnies of land near Oataca: rmund and for that at Kartany to make up the full exc: tent as directed by the Court of Directors

That the Lease be issued to Mr Mac Ivor

The Lease directs that the conditions shall be binding according to the Plan annexed I accordingly communicated with Mr Mac Ivor requesting he would cut the Boundaries of the land selected and solicited that Captain Cuchterlony would do me the favor to draw up a Plan to be appended thereto as the responsibility of issuing the lease was to rest with me and as the Compensation to be given to the Todas has to be fixed I considered it advisable to inspect the land and accordingly did so in person accompanied by Mr Mc Ivor and Captain Cutchterlony I suggested that the line marked should be thrown back from Mr Parreaus as it was better not to include Cantonment Land, and also on account of its bringing the Boundary too close to a Mund at another spot there appeared to be a deserted Mund now marked as "meen mund" on the Plan and I wished that the Boundary should be taken through the Sholah so as to exclude it which was agreed to, and further that it should be

thrown

thrown back 50 feet from the Public Road — Mr Mac Ivor having acquiesced in all these suggestions the Plan now forwarded has been executed.

2.— The despatch of the Honorable Court authorizes the grant of 1600 bawnies, whereas the area selected near Cotacca: mund and marked in the Plan consists — of only 665 bawnies and a fraction. Mr Mac Ivor is desirous of obtaining a grant of land at Kartairy to make up the Amount sanctioned by the Court.

Enclosure A

3.— Returning from visiting the land I went over the Lease with Mr — Mac Ivor which appears to be in its — tenor in strict accordance with the despatch from the Honorable Court No 3 of 1850 dated 17th July, and with the letter from that Au, thority to the Brother in England. Mr Mac Ivor however hesitated to sign the Lease referring to clause No 7 in which it is stipulated that the land shall be subject to assessment at the end of 25 years

Enclosure B

Para

Para 3 of his letter contains his sentiments on this head, in which he expresses his wish that the maximum rate of assessment should be fixed. The Tenor of the Lease does not distinctly define whether the present prevailing assessment is to rule or the assessment which may be in force at the expiration of the lease the latter interpretation I conceive to be intended. I observe however that the conditions appear to have been entered at the suggestion of the Board of Revenue and in their dispatch No 3 of 1850. The Honorable Court remark, the Board of Revenue recommend that the land shall be assessed at the "present" prevailing rates. Whether the word "present" was omitted advisedly or otherwise by the Honorable Court is a point to be determined by authority. it is possible the Government having deliberately considered the Tenor of the Lease will not admit of alteration.

† Para 3.

As

4. As the case stands at present I am not able to conclude to the terms finally with Mr Mac Ivor on the four following grounds.

First that the extent of the land is less than sanctioned by the Honorable Court and therefore not in conformity with the exact tenor of the grant Secondly that Mr Mac Ivor is desirous that the maximum rate of assessment may be fixed Thirdly that he may have the deficiency made up from Lands at Kartairy and fourthly that his other Brother Mr John Mac Ivor may be included in the lease, or at least that the one Brother may be allowed to enter into partnership with the other Mr Mac Ivor has however in para 3. introduced a new Element into the Question which if entertained would render the settlement of the assessment interminable and fluctuating annually. He has mentioned the description of produce his Brother proposes to grow, and has suggested that the assessment

shall

shall be fixed per Ballum according to the crop without reference to the quantity of land. Government may possibly be induced to fix a Maximum assessment per Cawny but a Compliance with Mr Mac Ivor's wishes respecting the assessment on the quantity and quality of the produce grown appears to me impracticable.

5. I can see no objection to the grant of land at Kartairy. It is all dense Jungle land and highly desirable that it should be cleared for Cultivation. If Government permit this, and the question raised respecting the lands near Potacamund can be satisfactorily adjusted. I would, with much deference and respect recommend, that the lease be issued for the 565 Cawnies and a second lease exactly similar in its terms granted for the Lands at Kartairy. It may create confusion to have both in one lease.

6. Respecting the proposed Goodoo to the Todas to be entered in the lease, it is

the

Fort St George 18th March 1852

the order of Government that the word shall not be used, and as the word compensation which is the one sanctioned, follows it in the lease, the word may be struck out. With respect to the amount, I conclude it will be fixed at 1 anna the Cawny that being the sum paid for the banton-ment of Colacamund. This will not satisfy the Todas nor in fact will any amount of money. The Head Sheristadar communicated with them on the subject. I assembled them on the ground after the Boundaries had been cut and asked them if they had any objection to point out, as if reasonable, it should be attended to. I afterwards had an interview with them at the Cutcherry and their demand has been on each occasion the same (Viz) that they have given Colacamund to Government and that the re-mainder of the Plateau as mentioned by Mr Conolly is their immemorial right. As this is the first instance in which lands have been proposed to be taken upon Lease beyond the Boundaries of the Cantonment, the amount

of

T.R.

Fort St George. 18th March 1852.

of compensation now given will fix the rate to be allowed on future occasions. In granting the land to Mr Mac Ivor available ground, for beyond their wants will be reserved for the Todas to graze their Cattle between their Munds and morly mund and they will suffer no inconvenience from the grant. Their rights have been distinctly defined and more conceded to them than in my humble judgment they have any ground to expect. The liberality exercised towards them by Government they do not appreciate. I endeavoured to press upon the Todas, in conformity with the desire expressed by the Board of Revenue the Government and Honorable Court, how exceedingly beneficial to themselves it would be, that they should adopt agricultural pursuits. That in the natural course of events one party after another would take up land for cultivation and drive them further and

further

Letter from Board of Revenue to Government No 21 dated 22d January 1849 Para 7.

Court of Directors No 355 dated 5th April 1849 Para 2.

Fort St. George. 18th March 1852.

farther from their accustomed Homes to find pasture for their Cattle; but I fear nothing but sheer necessity will ever induce them to overcome their prejudice, so as to change their present mode of life. We must therefore trust to time to eradicate the notions they have imbibed and to induce them to adopt habits of industry. We have reason to hope the best as, under the mild influence exercised over them. I have reason to hope that the crime of infanticide is now unknown. We may I trust reasonably indulge the expectation that the fear of having all the best Lands snatched from their grasp by strangers, and the gradual influx into their minds of the necessity of providing by other means than those now adopted, for the subsistence of themselves and their families will gradually operate to the extinction of the prejudice which precludes them from becoming cultivators of the soil.

7. I cannot conclude this report without observing that Mr. Mac Ivor proposes

Fort St. George. 18th March 1852.

proposes among other articles of produce to grow the poppy. It thrives well here and in other localities in India, especially Mysore. Of this Opium is made and as it is but little used comparatively, in this Presidency, it is manufactured principally for exportation. As collector of Sea Customs the clandestine export of this article, which is prohibited, has been brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue and a legislative Enactment suggested for its suppression, the Board require proof of the quantity exported, but this with the means at the disposal of Government Officers is impossible. The growth of the Poppy in so many localities, the fact that an European Farmer coming to settle on the Hills considers it worth his while to grow it. The manufacture of milk into opium by Chinese in the Town of Madras and the ability of any party to store any quantity for Shipment within 3 Yards of the surf. The impracticability of its seizure unless found on Board ship, which is next to impossible when

when stowed under the Cargo, or in transit; which is equally so, as it is exported on Catamarans at night, five miles or more on either side of Madras, renders proof of this deception sufficient to satisfy the Board of Revenue to be unattainable.

8. I am clear in my own mind it is requisite either to legalize the trade or to frame an act for its suppression as it is exported from places on the Coast other than Madras.

9. If Mr. Mac Ivor is permitted without marring to grow any amount of Poppy and finds it, as he probably will do, the most lucrative part of the Farm, and European energy is on this side of India, to be permitted to be exerted in the manufacture of opium which will infallibly follow if the Poppy is permitted to be grown, it is, subject at once and adopt such measures of precaution as appear to be requisite before parties are authorized to grow an unlimited quantity of this produce.

The

The Land and climate of the Neilgherries is peculiarly adapted for its production and it grows in fields luxuriously in various parts of the Hills. The Coast is near, firewood for boiling the milk is to be had in any quantity, and the facility for the growth and manufacture of opium is unequalled in any part of India.

Ootacamund, 10th February, 1852. } /Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Acting Collector.

Enc: No 1.

To,
W. E. Underwood Esqre
Acting Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

1 I have selected a tract of immemorial waste land about a mile and a half to the North East of the Valley of Kartary to make up the portion given up from the original plan, to avoid encroachment on the Todars pasture lands.

2. Should it be convenient to grant this tract of land it will entirely avoid encroachment on the Todars which otherwise could not be easily

done

Fort St George. 18th March 1852-

done as their minds are so closely situated that 1,000 Cawnies of land cannot be had in one locality without giving them some reason to complain-

3- The land near Kartary being in a different climate from that already marked off at Catacamund will be of more use to my brother than if it had all been in one situation and climate, as crops can be had in one place at certain seasons when not in the other, a change of climate is also very favourable for the production of good agricultural seeds-

4- By Para 2nd of the lease it to be understood that the rate of assessment will be fixed at the end of 25 years from the date of the lease as in that case nearly the whole of the cultivable lands will come under the highest rate of assessment consequent on the improvements that will be effected at my brothers Cost. It would be for more satisfactory to my brother if the

Fort St George. 18th March 1852-

the maximum rate of assessment were at once fixed, per Cultum, according to the crop, without any reference to the quantity of land. The crops which my brother intends cultivating are-

Tea-	Poppy-
Orchard Lands-	Peas-
Potatoes-	Beans-
Wheat-	Ragee-
Turnips, Carrots, &c.	*Grass (or pasture lands)
Mungel Vurgel-	

any other crop that may be cultivated not named in the above list, it will be in the power of the Collector for the time being to fix the rate of Assessment thereon. This arrangement would encourage my brother to improve the land as he will reap the benefit of the improvements, and it appears to me equally fair to Government as the native cultivator never improves his land and consequently the land degenerates rather than improves under cultivation so that original 1st Class land by 3 or 4 years cultivation comes under the head of 2nd Class

* Lands too poor or too steep to Cultivate will be laid down in Grass also Lands too much shaded by wood-

Fort St George, 18th March 1852.

Class land or is allowed to be fallow for some years.

I have further to add that my brother James is anxious to join my brother John in the speculation and it is their wish that the Lease be made out in both their names (Viz. John and James Mac Ivor) Should it not be convenient for to insert both their names in the lease, will a Deed of partnership, drawn up between them, be considered a legal document by the Madras Government.

Ootacamund, 16th January, 1852. } (Signed) W. S. Mac Ivor
Horticultural Gardens, } Superintendent Horti Gardens.

(73-74)

B. No. 533.

No 2 plan.

No 97.

Proceedings thereon. 1. Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosures, be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the margin.

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of Coimbatore enclosing a letter from Mr. Mac Ivor respecting the land to be leased to his brother and a plan of a portion of the land. Recommending that two separate leases be issued one for that

Proceedings to Government 21st August 1851.
From Do. 25th September
in Cons. 2d October 1851.

2. It is to be regretted that the lands applied for by Mr. Mac Ivor were

Fort St. George. 18th March 1852.

that portion and the other for land to be hereafter chosen and pointing out certain objections to be considered before concluding terms with Mr. Mc Ivor and expressing the Board's views thereon.

Enquire 31 August 1851.

were not selected, the boundaries marked off and the proposed Lease shewn to Mr. Mac Ivor, before application for the use of that document was made on the 2d August 1851.

3. The points now submitted for consideration by the Acting Collector as preventing the final conclusion of terms with Mr. Mac Ivor are.

First that the extent of land is less that that sanctioned by the Honorable Court, and therefore not in conformity with the exact tenor of the grant.

Secondly that the maximum rate of assessment has not been fixed.

Thirdly. that Mr. Mac Ivor may have the deficiency of land made up by land applied for elsewhere and

Fourthly. that both his brothers John and James may be included in the Lease, and be allowed to enter into partnership.

4. The 1st and 3d objections are easily overcome. The extent of 1000 Cawnies specified by the Honorable Court

Court was that applied for by Mr. Mac Ivor, and believed to have been the area of one lot at Cotacammund. It now appears that Cawnies Gos 166—19—11 only are found to be available in one lot there without encroaching on the road or Todas Mundds; the remaining land has been applied for by Mr. Mac Ivor from a different locality "Hartairy" to make up the extent originally named, the Acting Collector represents the Hartairy portion to be Jungle, and that its cultivation is very desirable. The Board concur in the proposal of the Acting Collector that a separate lease should be issued for each of the two holdings, framed on exactly similar terms, and that the lease for the Cotacammund holding should be at once issued.

5. The 2nd objection is the Board observe raised by Mr. Mac Ivor. They think it will be sufficient if he is informed by the Acting Collector that, on the expiration of the Lease for 25 years, the rates of assessment to which the land will be liable would

C. O. Book page 584.

From Govt. 26th Nov. 28th July 1836 para 1 C. O. Book page 272 Foot note.

would be those specified in Section IV and in the Note attached to that Section of the Neilgherry Manual, beyond which rates it is the opinion of Government that the classification should not extend. The maximum rate would depend on whether the land is within or beyond the specified distance from Cotacammund. In the former case the special rates would prevail and in the latter those of the nearest Agricultural Village.

6. With regard to the proposal made by Mr. Mac Ivor in para 4 of his letter the Board concur in opinion with the Acting Collector that it is out of the question to fix the assessment solely according to the crop. It has long been ruled that the productive power of the land and not its actual produce should be taken as a guide in fixing the assessment as to the 4th difficulty, the Board see no objection to the Lease being issued in the names of John and

From Hon'ble Court to Govt of India 12th April 1837 para 33 C. O. Book page 282 Foot Note.

Fort St. George, 18th March 1852.

and James MacIvor as requested in the concluding para of their brother William's letter.

7. Mr Underwood having brought to notice that exception is taken to the term "Goodoo" the Board will substitute the word "Compensation" in all Leases to be issued. They cannot find that it was ever the intention of Government to fix any specified rate of Compensation even for those lands over which the Todas have the right of pasture but they apprehend that if the rate be allowed to vary or increase with improvements on those lands, much discontentment inconvenience and confusion may arise. Adverting therefore to the representation made in para 6 of Mr Underwood's letter and to the papers noted in the margin, the Board consider that it would be fair and just to take the average of one anna per Cawny (being) that at which

Extract Minutes of Cons: 17th Sept. in Cons: 17th October 1842 para 5.

Sec: X of Manual.

To Govt: 22d January 1849 para 7.
From Do: 5th April in Cons: 10th May 1849. para 2.

D.G.

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

which the amount of Compensation was settled for all the land included in the Cantonment, and to fix the Compensation at that rate, in future, on all such lands as may hereafter be taken up, on which a clear claim to Compensation, on the part of the Todas, can be made out.

8. With regard to observations on Mr. Mc Ivor's proposal to grow Poppy and Mr. Underwood's observations on the extension of its culture with reference to the alleged manufacture of its milk into Opium and the seizure of a quantity endeavoured to be clandestinely exported by Sea at Madras, and to that Officer's proposals as Collector of Sea Customs, for the suppression of the Traffic the Board do not think it advisable to consider this subject in connection with Mr. Mc Ivor's grant of land, but they will call upon the Collector to report on the subject separately, if Government deem it necessary, and to submit some distinct proposition

proposition as to the measures he would advise for restricting or regulating the Cultivation of the Opium Postpy.-

9- If the Manufacture of Opium is likely to increase in any considerable extent and to find its way to the Coast for export, as is apprehended by Mr. Underwood, it may then be necessary to impose an Export duty on it as in Bombay.-

---

No. 13.

To,
W. H. Baley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

75

Bd. No. 1170.

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore } Sir,

1. I have had the honor to receive the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 29th January last relative to certain land purchased by Captain Reilly with the observations of Government thereon.-

2- I have the honor to transmit Copy of the Correspondence with that Officer and a plan given

given by him to me showing the extent of the land, and to state that I have paid him the sum of Rupees 85 and taken possession of the same on the part of Government- Captain Reilly's letter states distinctly in what manner the land came into his possession.-

3- I have the honor to state for the information of Government that no other Individual has purchased land in the vicinity, and if the proceedings taken by me are not annulled as reported in my letter of the 13th Instant No. 11, no other party will be enabled to obtain land in the vicinity of the new Cantonment without the consent of Government.-

Ootacamund,
17th February, 1852.

(Signed) W. E. Underwood.
Acting Collector.

Enclosure No. 1.
No. 26.

To,
Captain R. L. Reilly.
Assistant Executive Engineer
Neilgherry Barracks.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the original Extract from the Proceedings of

3967. Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

of the Board of Revenue dated 29th Ultimo conveying the orders of Government of the 23d Idem No. 70, for any observations you may have to offer-

2. I request the favor of your returning the original Proceedings at your earliest convenience-

Coteacammund, 10th February, 1852-

(Signed) W: E: Underwood-
Acting Collector-

No. 65-

To,
W: E: Underwood Esqre
Acting Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter as per Margin and its accompaniments herewith returned, I have the honor to observe that one Cawny of the land referred to therein was purchased by me from the Burghers of Old Coonoor through the medium of Mr. E: B: Thomas, the remaining portion as shewn in the survey which accompanied Captain Francis' letter to the 2d Mr. General was subsequently obtained from them for me through the Medium of

* No. 26 dated 18th February 1852.

3968. Fort St. George 18th March 1852-

of a R. W. Lascelles Esqre for the sum of 50 Rupees the clearing 8ca of which cost about 35 Rupees more, and for which sum (Viz.) Rupees 85 for the whole, I shall be happy to accede to the wishes of the authorities and relinquish all claim to the land in question, and shall feel obliged to your forwarding to me an order for that amount at your early convenience if you consider yourself authorized to make the purchase for Government-

Camp Jackatally, Assistant Executive Engineer's Office, 13th February, 1852

/Signed/ R. Lushington Reilly
Captain Assistant
Executive Engineer

N: B:

/True Copies/
/Signed/ W: E: Underwood.
Acting Collector.

Plan

3969.

Survey of a portion of ground adjacent to the Queens Road Barracks shewing the Piece of Land purchased by Captain A. O'Reilly with part of the Land to be given in exchange and that within the Lines of the same, on which Captain O'Reilly proposes to build. Also the relative position of the said ground with regard to the New Barracks &c.

That which is coloured light yellow is Captain O'Reilly's Plot of Ground.

That which is coloured light green is the Plot of ground within the Lines; proposed by Captain Ramsay to be built upon.

	Rood	Perch
Portion of Captain Ramsay's Ground	1	21
Portion of Captain O'Reilly's Do.	1	15

	Feet
	210
	112

Scale 100 Feet to an Inch

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 342

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 530

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 620

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 375

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 210

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 525

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 475

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 2129 Feet

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 1828 Feet

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 1828 Feet

Boundary of Capt.n O'Reilly's Ground 2129 Feet

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

Bd. No. 550.

Proceedings thereon-1

75-76

Before submitting the foregoing letter and its enclosures for the orders of Government the Board request to be informed whether the one Cawny of land obtained by Captain Reilly thro Mr. Thomas was made over under the rules provided in the Neilgherry Manual-

2 They also request some further information regarding the grant of land to Captain Francis within the Cantonment and whether it was obtained with the consent of the Civil or Military authorities and under what rules the grants of land within the Cantonment are now allowed-

---

No. 19.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

77

Bd. No. 660-

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore-

Reporting the suspension of the Tahsildar of Collegal and waiting for further Instructions-

Sir,

I have the honor to report to you the suspension of Veradacharry Tahsildar and Head of Police of Collegal pending the receipt of instructions from the Board of Revenue, having weighed the matter carefully

Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

carefully, I am reluctantly compelled to state that he is by character and conduct unworthy of the high trust reposed in him, and consequently place before the Board of Revenue the expression of an opinion that in my humble judgment, the Head of Police should be removed from the appointment, leaving with the Collector, at his discretion, the power to employ him in any other subordinate Office, in which he will act under the authority and immediate control of a Superior-

2- The parties against whom the acts of oppression have been committed are Narasien Tahsildar, and Narainappah Killadar of Seevasamoodrum, the principal servants of the individual holding the Jaghire of Seevasamoodrum and Sattagal granted by Government for building a Bridge over the Cavery-

3. It would appear that one Soobaraya Chitty, a Mendicant pilgrim preferred a complaint to the Head of Police that these parties had robbed him of Ramatinki Pagodas and other property to the amount of

Rupees

Rupees 543-8-0 Upon the mere verbal declara-
=tion of this man a stranger, unsupported by
any other evidence, or any corroborative circumstance.
the Tahsildar issued a warrant, to a Peon,
searched the Houses of the Tahsildar and
Killadar and placed them under arrest. The
Complainant absconded, no witnesses were
forthcoming of any description, no property
was found nor any fact elicited before or
after their arrest, which would lead even to
a surmise that they had been guilty of the
offence with which they were charged.

4. There does not appear, on the
record any ground to lead to the belief that
it was the desire of the Head of Police to act
bonafide, or any reason to suppose that the
Complainant ever possessed any property
whatever, much less a description of Pagoda now
rarely to be met.

5. The character of the Parties
arrested, and whose houses were searched,
ought to have of itself deterred the Police
Officer

Officers from taking steps of this character
without reasonable proof, whereas there is none
on the record, and his proceedings therefore do
not admit of palliation as an error of judg=
=ment, but on the contrary it seems to have been
an exercise of authority without warrant or
precedent, or even the slightest ground of
suspicion.

6. Irrespective of this the record
contains many discrepancies as to dates, and
other particulars, which like all endeavours to
make out a defence which is false only
makes the injustice more palpable. Among
other acts the case was not reported to the
Magistrate's Office for 2 months. It is
evident to my mind after careful considera=
=tion of all the Head of Police has to urge,
that the whole proceeding originated in malice
on his part, and that he has abused the
authority committed to him for the protection of
the public to gratify feelings of private revenge.

7. The conduct of this individual

has

has long been obnoscious to censure. Mr. Thomas found it necessary to remove him from the Nile: -gherry Talook to Colligul and to keep him acting for the periods of 18 months; he confirmed him on the 5th. February 1851.-

8- It may will be imagined when a man acts as he has done to his equals, how he will proceed towards his inferiors- The Talook of Collegal is mostly jungle and Hills and is seldom visited by an European functionary and irrespective of this, the climate is unhealthy. A Man of this character is in my humble judgment quite unfit to be placed where he can act almost without control- The parties aggrieved are the head servants of an Individual upon whom the Government have confirmed honor, from benefit derived to the state, and they have been treated with ignominy and insult under cover of the Law, and in the name of the Government- I have transmitted to the Jaghiredar copy of the Takeed suspending the Tahsildar, in which the grounds are stated,

and

and so far as lies in my power relieved him and his servants from the imputation endeavoured to be cast upon their Character- The Offence is now before the Board of Revenue and they will deal with it as in their better judgment they may conceive it to merit. As Collector and Magistrate of the District it is my desire to uphold the authority of Government, but I cannot refrain from expressing the Sentiment, that nothing will in a greater degree tend to bring it into contempt, or to paralize the power of the Collector, than acts of oppression like the one detailed.-

9- When brought to light the least which can be expected is that the party should be deposed, and placed in a position where it cannot be repeated even if, or no other ground than an act of justice towards the native population, that they may learn practically, that acts of oppression will meet due consideration from authority, and

not

not be permitted to be exhibited towards them with impunity.-

Ootacamund, 8th. March, 1852. (Signed) W. E. Underwood.
Acting Collector.

Bd. No. 551.
Proceedings thereon.

77·78

As required by the Circular orders of 24th. June 1850, the Acting Collector is requested to obtain and forward to the Board of Revenue a full explanation from Veradacharry, Tahsildar of Collegal of his proceedings in the case brought to notice in the foregoing letter in the mean time they sanction his suspension pending their further orders.-

---

Read the following letter.

No. 21.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the

79

Bd. No. 583.
From the Collector of Madras,

the accompanying application from my Assistt. Mr. Ellis for Extra Tent allowance and to recommend with reference to Para 2 of Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 18th. May 1819, circulated with the Board's letter of the 4th. June of the same year, that in consideration of the circumstance mentioned in the application the request may be complied with.-

Madras, 6th. March, 1852. (Signed) J. A. Hudleston.
Collector.

No. 1.

To,
J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will, should you approve of my application, submit to the Board of Revenue my request to be allowed to draw Extra Tent allowance.-

I

3978. Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

I am induced to make this application in consequence of my having been put to considerable expense by being obliged to visit very frequently the Salt Pans at Ennore, Attiput, and Puttivak, to Superintend the increased Manufacture of Salt at those places.

March 5th, 1852. (Signed) R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector.

3d. No. 557.
Proceedings thereon.
(79-80)

Under the circumstances stated in the Enclosure to the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue will be prepared to authorize the payment of Extra Tent allowance to Mr. Ellis, for the number of days he may be out visiting the Salt Pans at Ennore, Attiput and Puttivak.

2.

Mr. Huddleston, in submitting the Statement will be good enough to quote these Proceedings in support of the Charge.

HF

Resolved

3979. Fort St. George 18th March 1852.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched together with the papers therein alluded to.

No. 98.

(81)

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government.

P. No. 544.
To the Chief Secretary to Government.
Submitting a report in reply to the instructions of Government regarding the abolition of Gybut and similar Funds.
(Cons. 29th April 1852)

Sir,

Para 1. In accordance with the instructions conveyed in para 21 of Extract Minutes of Consultation of 22nd May 1851 directing the abolition of the Fund known as Gybut in whatever District it may be found to exist that no public money should be charged in the Treasury Accounts till it be actually and bonafide disbursed and that the Periodical Returns of Collectors should be prepared so as to include all money remaining at the time in the Treasury of whatever denomination, I am desired by the Board of Revenue to state that the Several Collectors were called upon to report whether a Gybut Fund or any similar custom prevailed in their Districts, whether any sums were debited in account before being paid off, and if so, how these were retained in deposit; also with regard to Accountant General's Bills, how they

are

Fort St. George, 18th March 1852.

are accepted, and lastly what portion of money is left in charge of the Cashkeeper for this and daily disbursements exclusive of the Treasure in other Chests under the Collector's Seal to which the Cashkeeper has no access.

From Ganjam 10th in Cons: 21st July 1851.
From Vizagapatam 18th in Cons: 29th Sept. 1851.
From Rajahmundry 27th Aug. in Cons: 4th Sept. 1851.
From Masulipatam 2d in Cons 11th August 1851.
From Guntoor 12th in Cons: 17th July — 1851.
From Nellore 19th in Cons: 26th June — 1851.
From Bellary 24th July in Cons: 4th August 1851.
From Cuddapah 19th in Cons: 24th July — 1851.
From Kurnool 17th in Cons: 28th January 1852.
From Chinglaput 1st in Cons: 10th July — 1851.
From Salem 25th August in Cons: 1st Sept. 1851.
From North Arcot 26th June in Cons: 3d July 1851.
From South Arcot 7th in Cons: 14th July — 1851.
From Tanjore 21st in Cons: 28th July — 1851.
From Trichinopoly 17th June in Cons: 31st July 1851.
From Madura 5th in Cons: 14th July — 1851.
From Tinnevelly 18th in Cons: 28th August — 1851.
From Combatore 17th in Cons: 26th June — 1851.
From Canara 19th in Cons 28th August — 1851.
From Malabar 25th Aug. in Cons: 4th Sept. — 1851.
From Madras 23d in Cons 30th June — 1851.
From Sea Customs 19th in Cons: 23d June — 1851.

2- From the replies of these Officers, it would appear that funds are set a part under the head of Deposit or other Native terms, in most Districts though they do not exactly correspond with the Cuddapah "Gy but" these are in some Districts kept in Chests separate from the Collector's Chest, and sometimes not.- In some Districts, the deposits are examined when the Treasury is examined, and sometimes not-

3. It seems sufficient to meet the wishes of Government (as suggested by the Collectors of Vizagapatam, Masulipatam and Canara) that sums entered as "Paid" when due, but not always disbursed at once and

Fort St. George, 18th March 1852

and therefore remaining in Cash should be debited to Syen and credited to Deposits in the Treasury Books, under which head the disbursement, can be exhibited when the sums are paid off, and that the money, altho' in separate bags, should on no account be kept with the Syen funds and be subjected to periodical examination-

4- In regard to Accountant General's Bills it will be seen that in some Districts the rule is, when the Collector is not at the Hoozoor, for the date of acceptance to run from the time the Cashkeeper or no other Officer certifies their presentation to him at the Hoozoor, but the rule is not general throughout the Districts and the Collector of Bellary objects to it- The Board are however of opinion that the rule should be extended to all Districts-

5 It is observed that the sums in charge of the Cashkeepers for the above and for daily disbursements (exclusive of the Treasure in other Chests under the Collector's Seal to which the Cashkeeper has no access) are as follows-

follows-

Ganjam-	Chetterpoor	Average Rupees	15,000
	Chicacole	"	50,000
Rajahmundry		"	80,000
Nellore		"	35,000
Kurnool		"	50,000
Madura		"	70,000
Tinnevelly		"	75,000
Canara		Maximum	50,000
Coimbatore-		"	but-t- 50,000
	Months in the year		1,00,000
Malabar	(exclusive of a large sum in Copper)		60,000
Vizagapatam	Generally		1 Lac
Salem		"	2 Lacs-
North Arcot		Maximum	1¼ Lac but
			4 or 5 Lacs
South Arcot		"	1 Lac
Tanjore	Average		2½ Lacs-
Trichinopoly	Nearly		1 Lac-

6- In Masulipatam the Cashkeeper has access to all the Cash in the revenue & Treasury and in Guntoor the entire Sum is in his custody during Kist-time, but Surplus is remitted to the General Treasury Masulipatam. In Cuddapah Rupees 68954- was in the Cashkeeper's charge on the day of report and in Bellary Rupees 62,000 but during Kist-time it is stated to Amount to several Lacs. In Chingleput the Amount

is not stated but it must be inconsiderable as Remittances of all surplus are made to the Madras General Treasury-

7. In bringing to the Notice of Government all the facts mentioned in this letter the Board suggest that the opinion of the Accountant General as the Financial adviser of Government may be obtained in reference to the proposed Modifications, and in order to establishing a more general rule in respect to the Maximum Amount to be left in custody of the several Poozoor Cash-keepers in those Districts in which it is found to be excessive-

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St. George. - - -
18th March, 1852. - - -

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley-
Secretary-

Resolved that the following letter be despatched-

No. 100-

(82)

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

B. No. 588-

To the Chief Secretary to Government- - -

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Return of the Sales of Salt for the Quarter ending 31st October 1851 prepared according to the order from the Government of India dated 17th May received with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 7th June 1845-

Revenue Board Office.-
Fort St. George. 18th March, 1852.-

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary-

Comparative

3984-

Fort St. George

No: 1-

18th March 1852-

Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland Consumption, its price per Maund and the proceeds realized from its sale, in all the Maritime Districts under the Madras Presidency for the Quarters ending 31st October 1849-1850-1851 respectively-

	1st August to 31st October 1849-			1st August to 31st October 1850-			1st August to 31st October 1851-			Remarks-
	Quantity of Salt-	Rate of price per Indian Maund-	Amount-	Quantity of Salt-	Rate of price per Indian Maund-	Amount-	Quantity of Salt-	Rate of price per Indian Maund-	Amount-	
	Mds. SC.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Mds. SC.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	Mds. SC.	Rs. aP.	Rs. aP.	
Ganjam	18772 10	1	18772 4	7162 32 8	1	7162 32 8	14430 32 8	1	14430 13	
Vizagapatam	30235 11	1	30236 9	36911 23 8	1	36911 23 8	35928 3	1	35930 10	
Rajahmundry	47057	1	47057	37897	1	37897	†44830	1	44870	†Two heaps of 2000 Maunds each and one heap of 1200 Maunds were sold to wholesale dealers at the reduced rate sanctioned by the 11th para of the Salt Rules-
	360	9 60/100	18	360	9 60/100	18	360	9 60/100	18	To the French Chief of Ganjam-
Masulipatam	18607 2	1	18607 9	23226	1	23226	16807 20	1	16807 8	
Guntoor	30142 32	1	30142 12 10	29206 32	1	29206 12 8	35065 32	1	35065 12 8	
	18000		15417250	8400		154	12000		152 40/100 11400	
Nellore	95586 30	1	95586 14 5	87730 32	1	87730 12 10	97362 24	1	97362 9 7	
	16800		15416100	18200		154	4800		152 40/100 4500	
Chinglepat	72297	1	72297	61952 20 2	1	61952 8	99518 332 1/2	1	99518 13 3	
Sundry Parts of Arcot	44505 24	1	44505 9 7	78133 8	1	78133 3 3	15937 20		152 40/100 15714 10	
Tanjore	128442	1	128442	149759	1	149759	85436 4	1	85430 1 7	
	3600		18 80/100 390	3600		390	134550	1	134550	
Madura	90504	1	90504	77787	1	77787	12000		†152 40/100 11400	†The price of a Maund when a whole heap is sold Viz:t at 11/4 Rupees the Same supplied to Harricul-
Tinnevelly	91769 4	1	91769 1 8	97385 28	1	97385 11 3	3600		18 80/100 390	
Canara	22370 2	1	22370 1 7	21003 6 2	1	21003 14 4	85332 36	1	85332 14 5	
Malabar	1247	1	1347	203	1	203	1200		152 40/100 1140	
	55850 33		15116 80/100 55839 15 2	50776 13 8		50776 11 8	108357	1	108357	
Madras	125916	1	125916	131709	1	131709	24520 20	1	24520 8 4	
							2285	1	2285	This difference arises from a portion of confiscated Salt which was of an inferior quality having been Sold at 1 1/2 and 3/4 Rupee per Maund-
Total	912050 32 2		90645 5	905952 4	10	97028 4	2823 1/2		97017 5 29	

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 18th March 1852-

(Signed) W. H. Bayley-
Secretary-

Resolved-

Resolved that the following Official Memorandum be despatched -

83

B. No: 529 -

Official Memorandum -

Official Memorandum. To the Acting Sub Collr. of North Arcot -

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Acting Sub Collector of North Arcot will be so good as to forward to him for reference, the Map of the District of North Arcot x belonging to the Office of the Sub Collector. The Map will be returned without delay -

x The engraved Sheet Map -

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 18th March, 1852. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley, Secretary -

---

Read the undermentioned Statements -

84

B. No: 532 -

From the Collector of Chingleput - Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851 -

85

B. No: 567 -

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore - Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851 -

86

B. No: 609 -

From the Secretary Savings Bank - Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Month of February last -

87

B. No: 629 -

From the Superintendent of Stamps - Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Month of February last -

84-88

Order on the 4 abovementioned Statements - Resolved that the necessary Orders be passed -

Read the undermentioned Registers -

89

B. No: 652 -

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of December 1851 -

90

B. No: 653 -

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of January last -

91

B. No: 654 -

From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of February last -

92

B. No: 655 -

From the Collector of Madura - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of February last -

93

B. No: 656 -

From the Collector of Malabar - Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of February last -

Ordered -

Order on the 5 abovementioned Registers - - - } Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

(89-94)

(95) Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements:-

Mr. No 286- From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851-

(96)

Mr. No 288- From the Collector of Chinglepute - Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of January last-

(97)

Mr. No 283- From the Collector of Madura - Reporting that there were no Returns for certain Statements for the Months of February last-

(98)

Mr. No 284- From the Collector of Nellore - Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent up to 29th February last-

(99)

Mr. No 260- From the Collector of Ganjam - Forwarding a duplicate Copy of the Indent for Stationery for the

(99-100) the year 1852-

Order thereon - Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the above-mentioned letter be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the

(101) Military Board-

Mr. No 275- From the Collector of Canara - Statement of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of

(102) January last-

Mr. No 270- From the Collector of Malabar - Statement of smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of

(101-103) of January last-

Order on the 2 Abovementioned Statements - - - - - } Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized-

From

3989- Fort St. George, 18th March 1852.

No. 285-

From the Collector of Madras- Requesting to be
104 furnished with a further supply
104-105 of 1000 Salt Rowannahs-

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.-

" Holdsworth

Comp.d D.Gnls. " H Blane

3990 Fort St. George - March 1852.

Diary.

1 19th March 52. Received the following

B.d No. 675. Extract.-

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 18th March 1852.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Herewith 4th March 1852 No. 81)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence at the public expense of the O. S. No. 90 of 1850 filed in the Subordinate Court at Madura by Soobaroya Sastry & others. and directs that the Draft answer prepared by the Sub Collector, which he considers a very abbr. paper, be put in para 36 of the same being omitted as suggested by the Board of Revenue.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

B.d No. 555 20th March 52.

Order thereon.- Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of paras 6 and 7 of the Boards Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated 16th February 1852.-

1-2

In Cons.s 4th March 1852.

At

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday, 22nd March 1852.

J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member.

and

T. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member.

Absent on Duty (as Commissioner Northern Circars)

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

3

Read the following Extract

Judicial Department

No. 187.

B.d No. 620.

From the Secy. to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 9th March 1852

Read the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India.—

(Here enter 19th Febraary 1852 No. 16

Para 1.

Ordered that Copies of the Printed Minute and Draft act received with the above letter be forwarded to the undermentioned Authorities for their opinion & Suggestions on the Provision of the Act.—

2.

The Court of Sudder Udalut and Board of Revenue will be pleased respectively to consult and submit the sentiments of the Judges and Collectors in those Districts in which the practice against which the Draft act

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852.

Act is directed is most prevalent.

To the Court of Sudder Udalut.

To the Board of Revenue

To the Advocate General.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secy. to Govt.

3-4

B.d No. 581.

Order thereon.— Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation together with one copy of the Printed Draft Act and Minute, be forwarded to the Collectors of the Districts noted in the Margin, for their opinion and Suggestions on the Provisions of the Proposed Act.—

Canara
Malabar
Tanjore
Cuddapah

5th

It is requested that the printed Paper may be returned.—

No. 240.

B.d No. 642

From the Secy. to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th March 1852.

Read the following.

No. 280.

Communicating the Sanction of the Supreme Government for raising the Cur allowances of certain Curnums in the Tinnevelly District, as well as for bringing a further Number of Curnums on the Collectors fixed establishment at an additional Charge of Rupees 34-4-— per annum.—

Extract from the Proceedings of the Most Noble the Governor General of India in Council in the Financial Department under date the 14th Febraary 1852.

Read an Endorsement from the Home Department No. 669. dated 17th December last forwarding for consideration and orders a Despatch from the Government of Fort St. George, No. 1214. dated 6th Idem, with Enclosures, relative to an application from the Collector of Tinnevelly for raising the allowances of certain Curnums in his District and bringing a further number of Curnums on the fixed Establishment.—

Under.

3993 Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

Resolution.

Under the explanation furnished by the Government of Fort St. George, the Most Noble the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the raising of the allowances of certain Curnums in the District of Tinnevelly, the bringing of a further number of Curnums on the Collectors' fixed Establishment, at an additional charge of Rupees 34-4- per month or Rupees 411 per annum, as per Margin, to be defrayed from the unappropriated Surplus Land Revenue from the resumed

No. Curnum Establishment in the District of Tinnevelly.

No. of Curnums	Present			Now Sanctioned			Increase per Month.		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
536.	2,372	8	"	2,372	8	"	"	"	"
10 Curnams already on the present List	22	8	"	29	4	"	6	12	"
26 Do. proposed to be added thereto	"	"	"	23	13	"	23	13	"
572	2,395	"	"	2,425	9	"	30	9	"
7 Do. — Do.	"	"	"	3	11	"	3	11	"
579. Total Rupees	2,395	"	"	2,429	4	"	34	4	"
				2395	"		"	"	"
				34	4	"	"	"	"

Rs. 411 per annum

Curnum Marah / Fee / Fund of the District in excess of the aggregate Salaries payable to the Curnums

Orders.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution be sent to the Home Department for Communication to the Government of Fort St. George and that the Papers noted in the + margin be returned.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / J. Dorin
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Copy

+ Copy of a letter from the Government of Fort St. George to Home Department No. 124 dated 6th December 1851.
Four Enclosures in Original.

3994 Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

No. 181.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St. George with reference to Mr. Secretary Pycroft's letter No. 1214 dated the 6th December last, the original Enclosures of which are herewith returned.—

Fort William, ...
Home Department.
The 27th February 1852.

By order of the Governor General in Council.
/ Signed / A. R. Young
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their letter of the 27th October 1851 No. 463, and also to the Offices of Accounts and Audit.—

5-6

/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.—

B. No. 563.

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly, with reference to his letter dated 6th October 1851.

In Cons. 27th Oct. 51.

7.

B. No. 688.

From the Secy. to Government ...

No. 252.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 16th March 1852.

Tabular report of the Collector of Salem on a lapsed share in an Agraharam in that District dated 18th December 1851 and submitted with the remarks of the Board of Revenue 22nd January 1852.

Para 1. Under the circumstances represented by

Averaging Rs 5-14-2 p. per annum

by the Collector of Salem regarding Venkata-
=ramyen's share in the Cathanpady Agraharam
the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs
that this share be considered to have lapsed with the
death of the incumbent, and that the proceeds which
have since accrued on account of it be carried to
the credit of Government.-

2. With reference to the point submitted
by the Board of Revenue in their remarks on the
case, the Governor in Council desires that the grant
sanctioned in para 2. of the Extract Minutes of
Consultation 27th April 1841. No. 584, may be report
=ed for orders on lapse with particulars as to
the family of the deceased incumbent and the
state in which they have been left.-

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

B. No. 580.
Order thereon.
7-8

Ordered that copy of the foregoing
Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's
Remarks, to which it refers, be communicated to the
Collector of Salem, with reference to his Tabular Report
dated 18th December 1851.-

Sub nos 22 Jan 1852.

9

B. No. 674.
From the Secy to
Government —

Read the following Memorandum
Revenue Department

Memorandum

With reference to the Memorandum of the
Secretary

Secretary to the Board of Revenue of the 15th
Instant, the Secretary to Government has the honor
to forward two additional Copies of the draft
act and Minute respecting fraudulent trans
=fers of property.-

Fort St. George,
18th March 1852.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon-
9-10

Ordered to be recorded - Vide
Proceedings on Extract Minutes Consultation
11
9th March 1852.-

No. 683.

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 19th March 1852.....
/for information/

Read Extract. Return-
=ing a Petition from the Ex. Sheristadar of Ra-
=jahmundry, appealing against his dismissal, and
directing that it be submitted through the Col-
=lector and Commissioner Northern Circars,
who are required to forward the same with
their views on the case.-

11-12

Ordered to be recorded.-

Order thereon.-

No. 264.
From the Commissioner Northern Circars
Dated 17th February 1852.....
13

Read letter transmit-
=ting a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam,
together with its enclosure, being a letter from the
Head Assistant Collector claiming his travelling
allowance from Guntoor to Masulipatam.-

Ordered.

Order thereon—

(13-14)

B. No. 562.

To the Civil Auditor.....

From Masulipatam dated 9th Commissrs Endorsement of 17th February 152. From Head assistt Collector 9th Feby 152.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To The Civil Auditor

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you, the papers noted in the margin, transmitted to this Office by the Commissioner for the Northern Circars, respecting certain travelling allowance applied for by Mr. A. G. Tweedie, Head assistant Collector of Masulipatam, as the charge to which they relate appears to belong to your Department.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
22d. March 1852.....

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letter

(15)

B. No. 487.

From the Coll. of Masulipatam—

Submitting the information called for regarding the charge incurred for boats employed over the Colleroon and of a charge incurred for the protection of Sandy Nuts—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt on the 3d Instant of your letter of the 26th Ultimo requesting at the earliest possible date the information called for by Government in Paras 6 and 7 of the minutes of Consultation dated 28th March 1851

No

No. 305. conveyed in an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 8th May following and in conformity thereto to submit the following observations—

2 The Sykaloor Purganah which was purchased by Government in Fusly 1256. contains within its limits some of the islands in the Colair Lake. These are uninhabited, but as the waters subside some of the Villagers on the neighbouring mainland cross over, sow rice in suitable spots and after the crop has been reaped remove it for sale to Villages beyond the Lake. The Zemindar was in the habit of keeping up Boats for conveying the Cultivators to and fro with their agricultural implements and their produce, and it appears that latterly during the existence of the sharing system a fee of 6 pies or 1/2 Anna per Candy of Produce brought from the Islands to the shores of the Lake was levied from the Ryots for the accommodation thus afforded to them. In computing the average annual Collections for fixing the tarum sist in Fusly 1259. the amount realized from this fee appears to have been included after the Purganah became the property of Government Boats were rented and charged to the

the Government and in 1850 on a representa=
=tion from the Tahsildar, Mr. Porter thought
it desirable to purchase a Boat for Rupees
34, and to pay the charges of the Establish=
=ment during the time it was required.—

3. This Boat having become
unserviceable the Tahsildar has recommended
the payment of monthly hire for the use
of 2 Boats at different places at the rate
of 7 Rupees each per Month, the period for
which they will probably be required being
about 6 Months.—

4. The extent to which the Culti=
=vation on these Islands is carried is very
fluctuating but it is quite certain that the
Ryots require encouragement to lead them
to undertake it. I am not prepared at this
moment to express my opinion as to what
will hereafter be the best arrangement in
regard to the transit to and fro of the Ryots
across the Lake, but I shall turn my attention
to the subject when I visit the Palook for the Investi=
all that I have seen of the effect of farming out
ferries in this District, especially at the Ferry
across the Kistnah at Bezoarah, where the
Farmer is a Court Pleader convinces me that a farm

of the ferries on the Colair open to general
Competition would not only be productive
of great oppression to the Ryots but would
cause a very Conviderable loss to the Govern=
=ment.

5 In regard to the 2d Item referred
to in the same Minutes of Consultation
the Charge of Rupees 121 for the wages of
Tyguntics for preserving the soap Nuts pro=
=duced in the Jungle I have the honor to sub=
=join as directed a Return of the Revenue
realized from this source for the last 5 years
Contrasted with the expense of the Establish=
=ment for Collecting the soap Nuts.

Fusli	Actual Charges	average expense for Cutting	Total	Price realized from Sale	Net Revenue
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1256	82 13 5	214 14 9	297 12 2	2730 8 9	2432 12 7
1257	111 11 11	214 14 9	326 10 8	2652 5 9	2325 11 1
1258	80 0 0	214 14 9	294 14 9	2572 15 9	2278 1 0
1259	73 0 6	214 14 9	287 15 3	1523 9 8	1235 10 5
1260	66 9 2	214 14 9	281 7 11	1123 1 0	841 12 1

as it appears to me that it is desirable to
attempt to farm this out for the future I
propose

propose to invite tenders for the removal of the produce of the current fusly the season at which the seed nuts being now at hand.

Masulipatam Zillah
Collrs. circuit Cutcherry
at Ellore 20th. February 1852

(Signed) T. D. Lushington
Collector.

Order thereon — Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 104.

15-16

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

Bd. No. 574.

To the Chief Secry. to Government

In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 28th March 1851 Government in sanctioning the actual and Estimated Charges for fusly 1259 and 1260 and account of Extra Establishments observed that they saw no reason why the amount paid for the value of a Dhoney purchased for conveying the Ryots across to the Islands in the Collair in the District of Masulipatam should be borne by them and requested the Board to state whether the ferries at which certain men were employed may not be farmed out without inconvenience to the Ryots instead of maintaining the ferry men at the public expense Government also directed that a Return of the Revenue derived from Soap nuts produced in the jungles for the last five years.

Submitting with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation of 28th March 1851 a letter from the Collr. of Masulipatam respecting the farming of ferries in the Collair promising to give his attention to the subject during the ensuing Fusly and stating that he intends inviting tenders for renting Soap nuts as suggested by Government.

Extd. 8th July 1851

Extracted

J. G. B.

contrasted with the expense of the Establishment maintained for collecting the nuts, may be submitted and whether it may not be conveniently farmed out. like other Jungle products.

2. Mr. Lushington to whom the Board have referred on the subject, states in reply that the Ky Raloor Purganah purchased by Government in Fusly 1250 contain within its limits some of the Islands in the collair Lake, to which places as the waters subside some of the Villagers on the Main land cross over, sow rice, and after the crop has been reaped, remove it for sale to other Villages beyond the Lake. The late Zemindar was in the habit of keeping up Boats for conveying the cultivators to and fro and during the sharing system a fee was levied on the produce brought to the Lake for the accommodation afforded the Ryots. on the Perguinnah however becoming the property of Government Boats were rented and charged to that authority as in calculating the demand the fees had been included. In 1850 on a representation from the Tahsildar Mr. Porter purchased a Boat and paid the charges for the Establishment during the time it was required this Boat has became

Letters 20th Feby. & 22d March 1852

more

now serviceable and the Shroff at Salem has recommended that two Boats be engaged for about 6 Months at a charge of Rupees 7 per Mensem thus accounting for the several items noticed by Government.

3 With reference to the enquiry of Government respecting the farming of the ferries the Collector states that he is unable to express and opinion, but has promised to give his attention to the subject during the ensuing Fasly. He however adds that he is convinced from what he has seen in the district that the ferry renting would not only be productive of great oppression to the ryots but would cause a heavy loss to Government.

4 From the return given in Para 5 of the revenue derived from Soap Nuts there appears to have been a decline in each year. The Board observe that the Collector intends inviting tenders for the renting of this product as suggested by Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
22d March 1852

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

No 594.

From the Collectors of Nellore. Read Statement

(17) shewing the amount of reward due to parties who have given information, whereby concealed cultivation has been detected.

(17-18)

B. No 569

Proceedings thereon. The Board request that the Collector will be good enough to forward separate applications, for each case in the foregoing Statement as it will be necessary to obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of the sum in case No 2 as the amount collected has been carried to account.

page 524 2 Mr White's attention is called to their circular order of 27th May 1850, respecting sums recovered which should be held in deposit and he is also requested to submit his applications in the prescribed forms.

Read the following letters

No 9.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(19)

B. No 494 Sir.

From the Collr of Bellary } Agreeably to the orders conveyed in the Board's Proceedings under date

Fort St George 22d March 1852.

date the 21st October 1850, I have the
honor herewith to forward a Statement
prepared according to the Form
received with the Board's Circular
dated 27th May 1850 on the subject
of a reward payable to the person
who had detected and proved the
fraud committed by the Curnums
of Andry Kirwady - The Curnum
appears to possess no property to
satisfy the demand entered in the
Statement of Rupees 82 the en-
deavours to levey the amount from him
accounts for the delay that has
occurred in transmitting the state-
ment - on a personal enquiry he
has promised to pay 25 Rupees which
being the most he can do, I request the Board
will sanction that sum,

after

Fort St George 22d March 1852.

after being brought to account being
paid to the informer for his
reward and the remainder Rupees
57 Rupees which is irrecoverable
remitted.

Bellary District
Coll.rs Office on Circuit
Puttecondah 4th February
1852

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector.

Report

4007

Fort St George

No 1

Report of Application for Rewards to Informers

Revenue dated February 1852

Talook	Village	Name and occupation of Informers	Name and occupation of accused	When and by what Government Servant the fraud was investigated
Punchapollem	Andry Kiravady	Veerapah one of the share holders of the Shroff mocusy of the Village	1 Curnum Sashapah 1. Narrain rowjil Sadar 2	Ramasawmy the late Talisildar in the Month of June 1850 Corresponding to fusly 1259.

22d March 1852

of Concealed Cultivation in the Bellary Division of

Nature extent and Teirvals of concealed Cultivation	Amount of concealed Cultivation	Amount of Sist. under grazing Tax or Other Remissions due	Amount recovered	Reward proposed
Dry land cultivated in Jusly 1259.			160-15-6	25-0-0
Acres Assessment			To be recovered from the 1st accused	
403-15-7 — 177-7-5			25-0-0	
of which usual remission — 27-9-1			185-15-6	
Remaining — 149-14-4				
Extra Collection made from certain Ryots for the same Lands — 11-9-2				
Total — 161-7-6				

Detail

Embezzled by the 1st accused — 81-9-0

Balance due by the Ryots — 79-14-6

161-7-6

Bellary District, Coll.rs Office on Circuit Puttecandah 4th February 1852

4.6

ceded Districts to accompany the letter to the Board of

Ja

Detailed Remarks

Pun

The Informer at the time of the Jummabundy Settlement of Fusly 1259 reported to the Hoogoor the concealment of some cultivated lands, the Tahsildar was ordered to investigate the matter and report the result. On enquiry it was proved against the Village authorities that cultivation of lands particularized in Col 6 had been concealed. The 1st accused gave a false return of the cultivation and the 2d entered the fields in his accounts without examination.

9-- Amount collected from the 1st accused.
14-6 From the Ryots.
9-- Fine ordered to be paid by accused not yet collected.
14-6

The Curnum (1st accused) was sentenced to pay a fine equal to the amount embezzled and dismissed from his office for Fusly 1260 the Zilladar (the 2d accused) was suspended for 4 months on account of his having written the accounts as the 1st accused dictated without proper enquiry. The amount of the Fine 81-9-- cannot be recovered from the accused Curnum he has no means of paying it and it is therefore recommended that 25 Rupees only which sum it is recommended to be paid as reward to the Informer be collected and the remainder remitted.

C. Po. /Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

The

B°. N°. 570

Proceedings thereon.

(19-20)

CO Page 523

The Board sanction the payment of Rupees 125/ Twenty five to Veerapa the informer of concealed cultivation in the case of the Curnum of andry Kirwady out of the amount recovered, which it is presumed has been held in deposit pending their orders in conformity with the circular orders on the subject.

2. The Board observe that the Curnum in default of payment should have been confined in accordance with the clause fourth Section V Regulation IX of 1822. this course appears, however, not to have been followed out in the above case.

3 In the remarks in the Statement it is stated that the Curnum was dismissed for Fusly 1260 perhaps the individual was discharged from the fusly and the word for is a clerical error

4 On referring to the monthly returns in which this case is reported to the Board, no notice that the period of confinement in commutation of fine is not entered in it. Mr. Pelly will be good enough to attend to this in the preparation of future returns.

(21)

B°. N°. 493.

From the Collector of Bellary - - - -

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the orders of the Board of Revenue contained in Extract from their Proceedings under date the 10th November last, directing me with reference to the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st November 1851 No. 1084 Communicated to me for my information and guidance, to report to the Board what modifications I propose to make in the payments of the Darogah and Venders in the Stamp Department.

2. In reply I have the honor to observe that my former proposition was to pay the Stamp Officers by fixed Salaries instead of giving them a commission, for the reasons explained in my letter to the Board, and I proposed to have.

1	Darogah on	35 Rupees
1	Vender at Bellary	15 - -
7	Venders at the Moonsiffs Courts on 7 Rupees each	49 - -
	Total per Month	99 - -
	Per annum	1188 - -

Average

Replies to Orders of Board dated 10th November 1851 shews what modifications he proposes in the payment of Stamp Department objects to the Moonsiffs Courts Servants being made Venders forwards a revised statement of receipts and proposed Salaries for Darogah and Vender and requests their salaries be sanctioned subject to reduction when necessary so that the whole Charges do not exceed 5 per Cent of the Total Revenues.

* 21st June 1851.

Average Commission to Tahsildars selling Stamps ——— } Pr. 10 — — —

Making an annual Charge of Rupees - 1198 — — —

3. This proposition has been generally approved of but as it would involve an increase of expenditure beyond the present Charge of 167 Rupees and would make the per centage Rupees 5-3—. on the annual Stamp Revenue (a per Centage it is observed slightly in excess of that usually allowed for the Management of the Stamp Revenue) it has been proposed to modify my plan by employing a person holding Office at the Moonsiffs Courts instead of a Separate Vender, and paying him by a small Commission in addition to the salary of his other Office. And the Board recommend that the Moonsiff himself should be the Vender. But the Government have objected to this thinking that the Judge of the Court should have no connection whatever with the sale of Stamps and are of opinion that the Head or other Poomastah in the Establishment of the Moonsiffs Courts should be made a vender of Stamps with a

a Commission of 3 per Cent on the sales in addition to his salary.

4. In my opinion I think it very undesirable where it can be avoided that the Moonsiffs Court servants should in anyway be connected with the sale of Stamps. There are daily and Monthly accounts to be prepared, examinations constantly to be made, money to be taken care of and regularly remitted, and if the work is not performed with satisfaction to the Collector, or if any frauds are committed or suspected the Collector will come into immediate collision with the Courts as he may have to send for the Vender or require his dismissal, so that if it can be avoided I would much prefer having my agents quite independent of the Courts and entirely under my own Control.

5. Under this impression I have deferred replying to the Boards orders until I had a full and fair account of a whole years transactions regularly brought to account in the Stamp Revenue for former years accounts could not be safely taken for a guide. The sums of the receipts upon which the average was struck in my former letter was 20,610 Rupees as the Collections for one year. The annexed Statement will show the actual receipts at each of the Stamp Venders stations for Stamps sold during last year from 1st January to 31st December 1851 to be Rupees 23,085—8—. the average Monthly Collection being Rupees 1923-12—8. The usual Commission allowed on these sums at 5 per Cent would be 1154-4—5 per annum and 96—. —3 per Month.

Months

Fort St. George. 22d. March 1852.

Veuders employed in the Monsiffs Cutcherry

Months.	Veuder at the Court of Bellary.	Coorgode.	Ottoor.	Adonie.	Pooty.	Tadamurry.	Pungee.	Cullumdroog.	Total.	Taluk Veuders.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
January	817 . .	171 . .	182 8 .	174 4 .	74 8 .	128 8 .	171 12 .	49 8 .	958 . .	28 4 .	1,803 4 .
February	823 8 .	113 4 .	57 12 .	171 . .	80 . .	63 8 .	94 12 .	40 12 .	621 . .	35 8 .	1480 . .
March	1453 8 .	123 4 .	72 12 .	124 8 .	43 . .	. . .	110 8 .	90 . .	564 . .	15 12 .	2033 4 .
April	1139 12 .	305 12 .	58 8 .	127 4 .	31 12 .	118 . .	85 8 .	71 8 .	828 4 .	9 4 .	1977 4 .
May	537 . .	100 4 .	74 4 .	61 . .	39 4 .	102 8 .	82 12 .	28 8 .	488 8 .	7 12 .	1033 4 .
June	1182 8 .	140 12 .	42 4 .	128 12 .	56 12 .	58 12 .	136 . .	33 8 .	596 12 .	18 . .	1797 4 .
July	1624 4 .	150 . .	192 4 .	149 4 .	54 8 .	158 4 .	171 . .	57 12 .	927 . .	167 4 .	2718 8 .
August	1297 8 .	96 8 .	106 4 .	128 12 .	95 12 .	159 4 .	138 . .	69 12 .	794 4 .	14 . .	2105 12 .
September	1325 . .	102 4 .	120 . .	257 12 .	88 8 .	184 8 .	180 12 .	64 8 .	994 4 .	20 8 .	2343 12 .
October	1072 12 .	86 4 .	170 12 .	191 12 .	148 4 .	161 12 .	213 8 .	47 4 .	956 8 .	16 12 .	2046 . .
November	1025 . .	74 . .	153 8 .	117 8 .	39 4 .	108 . .	165 . .	68 8 .	725 12 .	15 . .	1765 12 .
December	984 8 .	152 4 .	172 4 .	123 . .	67 8 .	125 12 .	275 . .	52 4 .	968 . .	29 . .	1981 8 .
Total	13282 4 .	1621 8 .	1340 . .	1754 12 .	819 . .	1398 12 .	1824 8 .	667 12 .	9426 4 .	377 . .	23085 8 .
Average Collections per Month	1106 13 8	135 2 .	111 6 8	146 3 8	68 4 .	116 9 .	159 . 8	55 10 4	785 3 .	31 6 8	1923 12 8

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

6. I have thus a sum of Pd A. P. 1154—4—5 at my disposal for distribution among the Venders and Darogah - and as the sole ground on which my former proposition was objected to, was because the Charges exceeded the usual Commission; I have now revised my scale of fixed salaries in order to meet this objection and I beg to propose that the salaries be as follows:-

		Rs	A.	P.
1	Darogah	30	—	—
1	Vender at Bellary	17	—	—
3	Dº at the first Class Moonsiff Stations at 7 Rupees each	21	—	—
4	Dº at the 2d Class Moonsiff stations at 6 Rupees each	24	—	—
	Average Commission to Tahsildars	1	—	—
	Total per Month	93	—	—
	Total per Annum	1116	—	—

7- The following Statement will shew the sales of Stamps the usual Commission on them, and the proposed salaries at each station during the year 1851.-

Vendors

4013

Fort St. George 22d & Grand 1852

Statement of Commissions &c. Estimate of Proposed Commission on Salaries.

Stations	Amount of Stamps sold during the year 1851-	Average Per Annum.	Per Month.	Proposed Salary.	Per Annum.	Increase	Decrease
Vendor at Cudah Bellary	13282 4 0	664 1 10	55	8	96		
	2	3					
	1						
Vendors at Ongole	1621 8 0	81 1 4	6	7	84	11 0 0	
" at Adonie	1757 12 0	87 11 11	7	7	84	5 0 0	
" at Kadamoory	1398 12 0	69 14 5	5	7	84	21 2 5	
" at Cottoor	1340 0 0	67 0 0	5	7	84	21 2 5	
" at Gooty	819 0 0	40 11 3	3	6	72	11 1 2	
" at Pungee	1824 8 0	91 3 8	6	6	72	35 2 6	
" at Allamdroog.	664 12 0	33 6 5	2	6	72	41 14 4	
8 Stat Venders of Moonsiff's Court	9426 4 0	471 5 0	35	5	6	45	115 14 6
2 Salah Venders - - - - - -	347 0 0	18 13 7	1	1	12	1	12 0 0
Salah - - - - - -	23086 8 0	1154 4 5	86	4	63	4	756 0 0
Darogah at Nozgot - - - -	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	2	30	2	360 0 0
Grand Total - - - -	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	3	93	3	1116 0 0

	Amount of Commission	Proposed Salary	Increase	Decrease
	84 — —	11 0 7		
	84 — —	5 0 7		
	84 0 0	21 2 5		
	72 0 0	11 1 2		
	72 0 0	35 2 6		
	72 0 0	0 0 0		10 1 8
	72 0 0	41 14 4		
	540 0 0	115 14 6		
	12 0 0	0 112		
	756 0 0	0 0 0		144 4 5
	360 0 0	106 0 0		
	1154 4 5	1116 0 0		38 4 5

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

Rs A. P.
Sales - 23085 - 8 - 0
Commission @ 5 per Cent. 1154 - 4 - 5
Salary 1116 - 0 - 0
Saving 38 - 4 - 5

8. The foregoing Statement makes the saving of the Salaries proposed Rs 38 - A 4 - P 5 below the authorized Commission which saving might properly be kept in deposit to meet any deficiency in a future year.

9. Should the receipt fall short in any year I propose to carry out the principle laid down by Government in para 5 of the Minutes Consultation above referred to, by so regulating the fixed allowances of the Department that the entire payment of the Stamp Establishment shall not form a larger Charge on that source of revenue than 5 per Cent.

10. With this modification of my former proposition I trust the Board will be pleased to support my recommendation that the Darogah and all the Venders at the Moonsiff Courts be paid by a fixed Salary and that the Venders may be selected and appointed by myself without my having to select them from the Court Servants.

11. Until the final orders of the Board are received the present temporary arrangement will not be disturbed.

Bellary District, Coll[r] Office, on Circuit at Jaldoorgum, 6th February 1852.

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Collector

Resolved

21-22

Bd Rs 564.

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

No. 102.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Bellary suggesting a modification in the payment of the Stamp department of his District and recommending that authority be granted for the adoption of the arrangement if the Government see no objection.

Fin. Con. 10th November 1851.

Resolved that the letter above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 1st November 1851.

2. The Board having requested the Collector to report what modifications would be necessary to carry out the intentions of Government in the arrangements for remunerating the Stamp Venders of his District, that Officer urges for consideration that it would not be desirable to allow the Servants in the Moonsiffs Courts to take part in the sale of Stamps, because they would require to prepare daily and monthly accounts, have the Custody of money and need not remit it regularly, and if they should fail to give satisfaction or Commit or be suspected of fraud, the Collector could neither send for nor dismiss them without being brought into collision with the Court

3. He states that he would prefer having his Agents under his own Control and independent of the Courts and he submits a proposal which will enable him to do so, and to bring the remuneration to the Stamp Venders within the 5 per Cent generally allowed on the sales of Stamps.

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

4. In reference to the Cantage which was calculated on Rupees 20,610 as the average sales of past years, Mr. Pelly points out that the sales of those years are not to be depended upon, in consequence, as the Board suppose of the frauds which took place. He therefore takes the transactions of 1851 as a more safe guide which amount to Rupees 23,085-8-0 and shews that the 5 per Cent allowable on it would be Rupees 96-3-0 per Mensem or Rupees 1154-4-5 per Annum. The aggregate cost of the revised arrangement which he now proposes is Rupees 93-0-0 per Mensem or Rupees 1116-0-0 per Annum shewing a net saving of Rupees 38-4-5 below the authorized Commission on the sales of 1851, and he adds that if the receipts from Stamps should fall short of that year he will regulate the disbursements so as not to exceed 5 per Cent on the sales.—

1 Daragah.	Rupees 30-0-0	
2 Vender at Bellary.	17-0-0	
3. " at 1st Class Moonsiffs at 7 Rupees each	21-0-0	
4. " at 2d Class Do's at 6 Rs each.	24-0-0	
Average Commission Tahsildar.	1-0-0	
Per Mensem	93-0-0	

5. The Board do not find that it was contemplated as a general rule to include all Judicial Servants among the Classes who were to be authorized to vend Stamps, and under the further representation made by the Collector they recommend that Officer may be authorized to adopt the revised arrangement now submitted if the Government see no objection.

Read

Fort St. George 23d March 1852

Read the following letter

No. 7 of 1852.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(23)

Bd. No. 676.

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool.

Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue of the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1260

Sir,

I have the honor to report on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue, in this District, for Fusly 1260, and to transmit the prescribed Statements relating thereto.—

A Statement, shewing the Demand Collection & Balance, under the head of Extra Revenue, in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1260.—

B. Do. Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue, in the District of Kurnool due on account of former Fuslies in Fusly 1260.—

C. Do. comparative between Fuslies 1259, and 1260 of the Moturpha Revenue in the District of Kurnool

comparative

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

D Comparative Statement, shewing the Collections and disbursements of Layer, Abkarry &c. for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.—.

E Statement shewing the Increase, and Decrease in the Export and Import of different articles, between Fuslies 1259 and 1260 in the District of Kurnool.—.

F Statement shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Items of Moturpha, for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.—.

I Statement shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusly 1260. of the Kurnool District, in Triplicate—

Berij for Fusly 1259. 15,365-9-8-11
D.o for D.o 1260 14,143-11-7

2. The outturn of the year was Rupees 14,143-11-7 and the subjoined Statement exhibits a comparative view of the Settlements in Fuslies 1259 and 1260 of each Item composing this branch of Revenue The result shewing a Net Decrease of

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

of 12,228-5-4- against the year under report, there being an increase of Rupees 95-3-11. under the head of Layer, and a decrease of Rupees 12,323-9-3 on the remaining Items.—.

Vide Statement A.—.

№	Items.—	Fusly 1259		Fusly 1260		Increase		Decrease	
		Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
1	Layer	5,924	8 9	6,019	12 8	95	" "	"	" "
2	Abkarry	83,826	" "	73,315	" "	"	" "	10,511	" "
3	Sundry Small Farms & Licences	4,865	1 11	4,626	4 6	"	" "	238	13 5
4	Moturpha	56,843	3 3	55,642	15 5	"	" "	1,200	3 10
5	Stamp Revenue	2,200	8 "	1,827	" "	"	" "	373	8 "
	Total	153,659	5 11	141,431	" 7	95	3 11	12,323	9 3
					Net Decrease			12,228	5 4

Layer— 3. The amount brought to account, under the head of Layer, was Rupees 6,019-12-8. being an increase as before observed, of Rupees 95-3-11 in excess of the amount realized in the preceding year.—.

4. Subjoined is the usual Statement.

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

Statement shewing the Increase, and Decrease, in the principal Articles, on which the duty was levied, I have added to the Statement, the Collections of Fusly 1258 on the same articles, and if any dependance could be placed on such data, it may be inferred that the increase and decrease, in respect to most of the articles, is ascribable to the ordinary fluctuations in trade.—

C. No

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

Vide Statement E.—

No.	Items.	Collection on Importation and Exportation for Fusly 1258			Collection on Importation and Exportation for Fusly 1259			Collection on Importation and Exportation for Fusly 1260			Comparison between 1259 and 1260. Increase			Decrease		
		Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps	Rupees	As	Ps
1	Cloths	3,597	12	11	3,406	7	5	3,344	4	10	"	"	"	62	2	7
2	Tobacco	115	9	8	261	9	8	333	4	5	71	10	9	"	"	"
3	Betel	74	5	4	75	12	10	143	14	7	68	1	9	"	"	"
4	Opium	40	10	3	150	3	"	75	10	1	"	"	"	74	8	11
5	Oil of kinds	42	13	3	108	7	8	83	2	7	"	"	"	25	4	11
6	Ghee	15	3	5	25	2	8	59	"	1	33	13	5	"	"	"
7	Nuts	136	1	"	56	9	4	87	13	3½	31	3	11½	"	"	"
8	Gingely	15	4	5	40	4	11	4	10	2	"	"	"	35	10	9
9	Sugar	527	1	3	118	1	8	79	9	4	"	"	"	38	8	4
10	Jaggery	443	7	10	227	3	11	265	10	5	38	6	6	"	"	"
11	Dry Cocoanuts	73	8	"	129	4	1	84	6	5	"	"	"	44	13	8
12	Chayroot	264	7	6	162	8	11	263	8	11	101	"	"	"	"	"
13	All other Grains	459	11	4	449	10	10	401	"	½	"	"	"	48	10	9½
14	Materials Timbers &c.a	27	4	2	77	"	10	53	2	7	"	"	"	23	14	3
15	Thread Cotton	62	3	9	93	6	4	69	9	2	"	"	"	23	13	2
16	Silk	94	6	11	69	12	2	146	6	7	76	10	5	"	"	"
17	Cossoomba or dying Flowers	220	7	8	66	9	3	141	13	11	75	4	8	"	"	"
	Articles in which there has been no marked increase or Decrease	572	15	2	406	5	5	382	13	3	"	"	"	23	8	2
	Total	6,783	5	10	5,924	8	9	6,019	12	8	496	3	5½	400	15	6½
								Net Increase			95	3	11			
	Importation	1,456	9	3	1,071	5	11	1,607	14	7	648	11	6	112	2	10
								Net Increase			536	8	8			
	Exportation	5,326	12	7	4,853	2	10	4,411	14	1	309	8	4½	750	13	1½
								Net Decrease			441	4	9			
	Total	6,783	5	10	5,924	8	9	6,019	12	8	958	3	10½	862	15	11½
								Net Increase			95	3	4			

The

5. The Progressive decrease observable in the article of Sugar, since 1258 appears to be traceable to certain vexatious Proceedings on the part of the custom Officers towards some Mysore Merchants which, tho' redressed at the time, has probably induced them to change their route.—

6. It will also be observed that there has been a progressive increase, since that period, in the Items of Betel and Tobacco, which are local products, and are in fair and apparently in improving demand in the Hyderabad Territory. The increase in the year under review may partly be owing to the enquiries, which were instituted into various malversations committed by the late Tahsildar of Nundicootcoor, in which Talook, the Ghauts are situated, from whence these articles are Exported, the fear of detection, when no longer protected by his influence, and whilst enquiries were on foot, having no doubt had

had the effect of checking Smuggling to some extent, and also of leading the Chouky Officers to bring to account, an increased amount of duty which otherwise they would have appropriated to their own use.—

Abkarry—— 7. The Abkarry Farms for Fusly 1260 were disposed of for C Rs. 73,315 or Rupees 10,511 below the demand in Fusly 1251. The Statement below exhibits the demand in each Talook, and the Town of Kurnool.—

Names of Talooks	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	CRS AP	CRS AP	CRS AP	CRS AP
Chagalmurry——	1,830 "	1,800 "	30 "	" "
Sirwell——	2,180 "	2,200 "	20 "	" "
Nundial——	5,480 "	4,500 "	" "	980 "
Pranium——	9,300 "	8,100 "	" "	1,200 "
Dhone——	16,140 "	13,500 "	" "	2,640 "
Goodoor——	9,702 "	8,510 "	" "	1,192 "
Nundicootcoor——	9,860 "	8,320 "	" "	1,540 "
Atcoor——	6,960 "	6,300 "	" "	660 "
Kurnool Choultry—	2,2374 "	2,0025 "	" "	2,349 "
Total—	82,826 "	73,315 "	50 "	10,561 "
		Net Decrease——		10,511 "

The

8. The lapses occasioned by the speculations of previous years, have tended to reduce the number of competitors for this rent, and the small profits derived by the Renters in Fusly 1259, owing to the general prevalence of Cholera, and the unfavorable nature of that season, combined with the decrease in the Raw Material for the Farmers "speculation," as brought to notice by Mr. Lushington in his letter to the Board of the 11th June 1851. sufficiently accounts for the diminished Revenue under this head.—

+Para 3

9. The Chagulmurry and Sirivell Talooks, were rented in Fusly 1259 by persons belonging to the Cuddapah District, The small increase in those two Talooks, arises, from other parties of that District having bid slightly in advance of the former renters.—

Sundry Small Farms.

10. The demand under this head, amounted as shewn in the Sub-joined statement to Rs. 4,626-4-6. or Rs. 238-13-5. below the demand of the previous year Items

Vide Statement A.

Items.	Fusly 1259.		Fusly 1260		Increase		Decrease	
	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
Orchards and Groves	4,536	6 "	4,370	6 "	"	" "	166	" "
Diamond Mines	326	9 11	255	14 6	"	" "	70	11 5
Fishery	2	2 "	"	" "	"	" "	2	2 "
Total	4865	1 11	4626	4 6	"	" "	238	13 5

Total Decrease 166 — —

11. The decrease under the head of Orchard and Groves, arises in the former +case from the circumstances of a five years lease of certain Gardens, farmed by the late Nawab, having ex-=posed in this Fusly, and to their having been no competition at the period of renewing the leases and in the latter case, to low offers having been made for the rent of the Tamarind and Mam-=goe Fee'd groves. The decrease under each head is shewn in the Margin.—

Decrease under head of Orchards - 39 — —
Tamarind & Fee'd Groves } 127 — —
166 — —

I propose in the ensuing

12. Fusly to include the above Items, in the Land Revenue as more properly belonging to that head.—

Diamond Mines.

The continued ill success

13 that

that has attended the operations of the Contractors for the Diamond Mines, has caused the de= =crease under this head, There were no bidders for the rents in the Dhone Talook but a little over the amount of last year's Revenue has been Realized under the Management of the Tahsildar Under a rent the Contractor Sublets the rights of digging to persons of the poorer Class, who pay from Rs. A. P. Rs. - 0 - 0 : " - 1 - 3 to " - 1 - 7 each day they work at the Mines and receive from the Contractor the value fixed by arbitration, of any Diamonds they may discover. The same rates were collected from the Diggers, under the arrangements it was found neces= =sary to adopt this year, Consequent on no party coming forward to take the contract. —

	Rs	A	P
Fusly 1259 —	326	9	11
" 1260 —	255	14	6
Decrease —	70	11	5

Dhone Talook

	Rs	A	P
Fusly 1259 —	166	9	11
" 1260 —	168	14	6
Increase —	2	4	7

Fishery

Rs. A. P
2 - 2 - "

14. The decrease under the head of Fishery, arises from the abolition of this rent in accordance with the

the orders of the Board dated 27th September 1849. —.

Moturpha — 15. The Settlement of the Moturpha Revenue amounted to Rupees 55,642 - 15 - 2. being less by Rupees 1,200 - 3 - 10 than the demand of the previous year. —

Vide Statement C.

Items	Fusly 1259. Amount	Deduct pay of the Shroff of Goodoor.	Remainder.	Fusly 1260. Amount	Deduct pay of the Shroff of Goodoor	Remainder.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Veesaluddy or Tax on Shops —	14,265 10 "	" "	14,265 10 "	13,975 5 "	" "	13,975 5 "	" "	290 5 "
Tax on Handicrafts	14,877 7 9	29 4 "	14,848 3 9	14,788 11 "	29 4 "	14,759 7 "	" "	88 12 9
Tax on Houses —	5,514 10 5	" "	5,514 10 5	5,454 3 "	" "	5,454 3 "	" "	60 7 5
Loom Tax —	10,700 6 "	" "	10,700 6 "	10,558 15 8	" "	10,558 15 8	" "	141 6 4
Miscellaneous such as — Poollary or Tax on Cattle and flocks of Sheep	11,514 5 1	" "	11,514 5 1	10,895 " 9	" "	10,895 " 9	" "	619 4 4
Total —	56,872 7 3	29 4 "	56,843 3 3	55,642 3 5	29 4 "	55,612 15 5	" "	1,200 3 10

The.

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852.

Tax on Sheep	Rs	a	p
Fusly 1259	9976	1	1
" 1260	9384	4	9
Decrease	591.	12.	4

Decrease from deaths and Emigration	Rs	a	p
	681	4	9
Discontinuance of Trade	599	14	8
	1,281	3	5

Increase			
Individuals newly entered on Trade	221-1-1		
	689.	7.	1.
Net Decrease	591.	12.	4.

16. The above Statement exhibits a decrease in all items of this Tax. The largest amount of decrease, is under the head of Miscel=laneous which the Marginal entry shows has principally arisen from a decrease in the Tax on Sheep, to the extent of Rupees 591-12-4. after the Settlement, the Assistant Agent received information of false returns having been made from the Nundicoodoor Talook, of the Number of Sheep on which the Tax should have been collected, and the result of his enquiries proving the correctness of the information the

J. B.

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

the sum of Rupees 381—1—0 was recovered as reported to the Board on the 6th December 1851. and carried to the Sewoy Jumma account of Fusly 1261 after deducting the amount of reward sanctioned to be paid to the Informer.-

x Ex: Bd's Pro: dated 3d January 1852.

17. The decrease in other Items has been caused by deaths, desertions and discontinuance of trade.-

18. I propose to address the Board hereafter, on the nature of several of the Items included under the head of Veesabuddy and tax on handicrafts, which appear to me to be of a very oppressive and objectionable Character.

19. I may instance one under the head of Conjatee, which is an Extra Tax on the produce of the Land, and which in its Character corresponds with the Town duties which have elsewhere been abolished. The Tax referred to is levied on Vegetables, Fruits, &c. &c. brought into the Market of Kurnool.-

Vide C. Statement Item 8 of Veesa=buddy.-

20. It was collected under the late Government, and on coming to the notice of Mr. Commissioner Blane, was ordered to be abolished. The order however by some unaccountable circumstance was not carried out, and the omission does not appear to have attracted

Fusly. Collections
1250 - 510-3-0
1251 - 386-7-0
1252 - 427-15-0
1253 - 348-7-0
1254 - 410-0-0
1255 - 486-0-0
1256 - 514-0-0
1257 - 540-0-0
1258 - 605-0-0
1259 - 640-0-0

attracted attention since that period. The right of levying the Tax is vested, and the yearly Revenue which has been collected since the resumption of the Province is shewn in the Margin.-

21. No Puttah is given to the Party holding the rent, nor is any check exercised over him to prevent the exactions, and oppressions, inseparable from the nature of the Tax.-

22. Under the late Government it was the practice to take a handful or a certain quantity of the article brought into the Market in lieu of which, one Dub or more as according to the article has since been substituted In Fusly 1258 the levy was extended to Chillies, Betel, Sugar Cane and similar products by which an increase of Revenue appears to have been obtained. The rent having been given for the current Fusly it would be necessary to remit the future Kists in the event of the Board at once authorizing its discontinuance, on the information I have now supplied, but if it is not considered necessary to disturb the arrangement for this year, I shall trust to obtain their sanction for its abolition in the ensuing Fusly.-

The

Stamps

23. The decrease in Stamps, is owing to the few Suits instituted during the year.-

Collections

24. The aggregate demand for the year under review on the Extra Sources of Revenue, was, as shown in the Statement below, Rupees 14,1460-12-7 from which has to be deducted the customary pay to a Shroff of Goodoor, amounting to Rupees 29-4-0 leaving the actual amount for realization 14,1431-0-7- The Collections within the Fusly were Rupees 13,7532-11-8 leaving a balance at the date of Rupees 3,898-4-11 of which Rupees 3,570-11-7 have been collected up to the end of February 1852. The balance outstanding is alone under the head of Moturpha; and an enquiry is being now instituted, as to the amount capable of being realized.-

× 327-9-4 Rupees.

Items

4034

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

Comparison Extra Sources of Revenue in Fuslies 1259 and 1260.

25.

The Subjoined Statement exhibits a Comparison of the Collections in Fuslies 1259 and 1260, and also the increase and decrease of all Items including Extra Collection the result showing a diminished amount of Collections to the extent of Rupees 7,242 —0— 7 which is accounted for by the diminished demand of the year.

Items.

4033

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

4to

Items.	Boris of Fusly 1260.	Deduct amounts remitted.	Remainder.	Collections within the close of the year.	Remainder.	Collections effected in this amount up to the end of February 1852.	Balances
	Rupees A D	Rupees A D	Rupees A D	Rupees A D	Rupees A D	Rupees A D	Rupees A D
1 Sayer	6019 12 8		6019 12 8	5934 10 3	85 2 5	85 2 5	0 0 0
2 Abkary	7335 " "		7335 " "	7005 9 9	1309 6 3	1309 6 3	0 0 0
3 Sundry Small Items &c.	4626 4 6		4626 4 6	4571 0 6	55 4 0	55 4 0	0 0 0
4 Motor Ada	55042 3 5		55042 3 5	53494 2 2	2448 8 3	2120 14 11	327 9 4
5 Stamps	1824 " "		1824 " "	1824 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Fuslis	14446 2 7		14446 2 7	13532 11 8	3898 4 11	3570 11 7	327 9 4

Sd/-

4036

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

Items	Fusly 1257		Fusly 1258		Fusly 1259		Total			Since Collected	Balance
	Amount of Balances	Deduct Collections effected	Remainder	Amount of Balances	Deduct Collections effected	Remainder	Amount of Balances	Deduct Collections effected	Remainder		
	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps
Sayer							121 6	121 6			
Abkarry	394 2 11	394 2 11					4759 13	4759 13	4	5754 3	5754 3
Sundry Small Farms &c.							609 2	609 2		609 2	609 2
Moturpha	36		36	187 11 11	36 1 9	151 10 2	2209 4 1	2064 11 5	23488	2523	2100 13	2422 2 10	9	413 2 10
Stamps											
Total	430 2 11	394 2 11	36	187 11 11	36 1 9	151 10 2	7789 3 11	7554 11 3	23488	8407 2 9	7984 15 11	2422 2 10	9	413 2 10

The

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

Charges Net Revenue.

27. The Abstract comparative Statement entered below, exhibits the entire Collections of the 3 last Fuslies, including arrears, the expenditure the Net Revenue and the percentage of the Charges to the Collections. The Net Revenue of Fusly 1260 being Rupees 1,43,508—4—7 which is Rupees 5,338—10—6 below the Net Revenue of the preceding Fusly, and less also than that of Fusly 1258 by Rupees 11,956—0—6.

Items.

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

Items.	Fusly 1258	Fusly 1259	Fusly 1260	Comparison of the last two Fuslies. Increase	Decrease
	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.
Sayer Collections	6,91811 7	6,06311 4	6,11366	49112	.. ..
Establishment Expenditure	2,179 8 .	2,180 6 10	2,173 8 6	.. ..	6 11 4
Remainder	4,739 3 7	3,883 4 6	3,939 14 .	56 9 6	.. ..
Per Centage	31 8 .	35 11 9	35 8 10	.. ..	.. 5 11
Collections of Abkarry Revenue	87,801 6 6	81,642 13 11	77,227 5 5	.. ..	4,415 8 6
Do. of Sundry Small Farms	5,042 4 8	5,041 3 3	5,188 7 5	147 4 2	.. ..
Do. of Stamp Revenue	2,887 12 .	2,200 8 .	1,827 ..	.. ..	373 8 .
Expenditure of Commission to Vendors	1,14 6 2	110 . 4	91 5 7	.. ..	18 10 9
Remainder	2,743 5 10	2,090 7 8	1,735 10 5	.. ..	354 13 3
Per Centage	5 ..	5 ..	5 ..	.. ..	.. ..
Motursha Collections	55,138 1 10	55,992 2 5	55,416 1 8 4	.. ..	575 3 1
Total Collections	1,57,788 3 7	1,50,940 6 11	1,45,773 2 8	196 15 4	5,364 3 7
Total Expenditure	23,23 14 2	22,290 7 2	22,64 14 1	.. ..	25 9 1
Net Remainder	1,55,464 5 5	1,48,649 15 9	1,43,508 4 7	196 15 4	5,338 10 6
Per Centage	1 8 .	1 8 3	1 9 .	.. ..	.. ..

Net Decrease. 5,141 11 2.

The

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852

Sugar.
Fasly - acres. G. as
1259 - 78-7-5/4
1260 - 80-13-9

28. The quantity of Land on which Sugar Cane Crops were raised in 1260, was a little more than 2 acres in excess of the quantity cultivated in the previous year. It is not expected I should in a report of this nature, enter on a consideration of the Causes as which appear to me to operate as in preventing an extension of the cultivation of this product, and I shall therefore submit my views on the subject in a separate letter.--

Salt
+ 18th Nov. 1847.

29. Adverting to the instruction[x]

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

of the Board of Revenue calling for some notice every year in the Extra Revenue Report of the operations of the Salt Monopoly I beg to state that nothing occurs to me to add to the remarks of my predecessors of the importance of affording greater facilities to the inhabitants of this Province for procuring Sea Salt by improving the lines of Communication with the Coast.--

Kurnool District,
Government Agent's Office.
On Circuit, Siriwell,--
5th March, 1852...

[Signed] T. Conway
Agent to the
Governor.

Statement

4041

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

A Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under the head of Extra Revenue in the Distr

Items.	Fusly 1259. Settlement Beriz for Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1259. Collection for Fusly 1259 to the 11th July 1850.	Fusly 1259. Extra Collection.	Fusly 1260. Total Collection on account of Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260. Balance outstanding on the 12th July 1850.	Fusly 1260. Settlement Beriz for Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1260. Collection for Fusly 1260 to 11th July 1851.	Fusly 1260. Extra Collection.	Fusly 1260. Total Collection on account of Fusly 1260.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
Sayer	5924 8 9	5803 0 3	119 7 6	5922 15 9	121 " 6	6019 12 8	5934 10 3	57 11 9	5992 6 "
A. C. Parry	83026	77900 4 6	34 4 "	78022 8 6	5837 11 6	73315 " "	72005 9 9	67 11 5	72073 5 2
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	4066 1 11	4255 15 11	" " "	4253 15 11	609 2 "	4626 4 6	4571 " 6	8 4 11	4579 5 5
Moturpha	56,043 3 3	54,543 15 2	84 4 "	54628 3 2	2299 4 1	55642 15 5	53194 7 2	121 11 "	53316 2 2
Stamps	2200 0 "	2200 0 "	" " "	2200 0 "	" " "	1027 " "	1027 " "	" " "	1027 " "
Total	153,639 5 11	144792 3 10	237 15 1	145030 3 4	8867 2 1	144431 " 7	137532 11 0	255 7 1	137788 2 9

Kurnool Agent's Office, 5th March, 1852.

District of Kurnool for Fusly 1260

	Comparison of the Settlement of Fusly 1259 with that of Fusly 1260 as specified in Columns 2 and 3		Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1259 with that of Fusly 1260 as specified in Columns 5 and 10.	
Balance outstanding on the 12th July 1837.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase	Decrease.
11	12	13	14	15
Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P.	Rs a P	Rs a P.
(85 2 5	95 3 11	" " "	69 6 3	" " "
(1309 6 3	" " "	105 11 "	" " "	5949 3 4
(55 4 "	" " "	230 13 5	323 5 6	" " "
(2,440 8 3	" " "	1200 3 10	" " "	1312 1 "
(" " "	" " "	373 8 "	" " "	373 8 "
3890 4 11	95 3 11	12323 9 3	392 11 9	7634 12 4
		Net Decrease 12228 5 4	Net Decrease	7242 " 7

(Signed) T. Conway
Agent to the Governor.

(

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue in the District of Kurnool due on account of former Fusslies in Fusly 1260.-

Particulars	Fusly 1257.			Arrears on account of Fusly 1258.			arrears on account of Fusly 1259.			Total -		
	Collection.	Balance -		Demand.	Collection.	Balance.	Demand	Collection.	Balance.	Collection.	Remission -	Balance.
	5			6			7			8		
	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
Cuddapah	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Bellary	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	121 " 6	" " "	121 " 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
Anantapur	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	4759 13 4	4759 13 4	" " "	5754 " 3	" " "	" " "
Cuddapah	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	6092 "	6092 "	" " "	6092 "	" " "	" " "
Kurnool	2 11	36 " "		187 11 11	36 19	157 10 2	2299 4 1	2064 11 5	234 8 8	2100 13 2	" " "	422 2 10
Nellore	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	2 11	36 " "		187 11 11	36 19	157 10 2	7789 3 11	7554 11 3	234 8 8	7984 15 11	" " "	422 2 10

(Signed) T. Conway
agent to the Governor

Statement

4043 Fort St. George 22d. March 1832.

C. Statement Comparative between Fuslies 1259 and 1260 of the Moturpha Revenue in the District of Kurnool

Sl. No.	Name of Articles Assessed	Number of Boutiques Assessed	average rate for the Settlement Beriz of Fusly 1260	Highest Rate	Lowest Rate	Settlement Comparison: Beriz of the last year.	Beriz of the Current year.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
	Granaries	334	7 4 10¾	638 " "	12 " "	2543 1 "	2,440 4 "	" " "	102 13 "	
	Shops for retail of Gram, Salt & Sundries	547	5 9 0	82 " "	4 " "	8801 6 "	8669 3 "	" " "	132 3 "	
	Cloth Shops	62	6 8 11¼	25 " "	1 8 "	4308 "	4068 "	" " "	24 " "	
	Sweetmeat Shops	18	10 2 8	36 12 "	" 8 "	317 4 "	327 " "	9 12 "	" " "	
	Shroffs Shops	27	23 12 3½	938 "	3 " "	641 4 "	641 12 "	" 8 "	" " "	
	Maniars	9	10 " 5¼	34 " "	1 8 "	90 4 "	90 4 "	" " "	" " "	
	Betel Sellers	44	8 5 23¼	42 " "	1 " "	368 4 "	366 6 "	" " "	2 1 "	

Trade	Vegetable sellers or greengrocers	2	321 1/4	"	640	"	"	3 12	684 12	"	643 12	"	"	"
	Otter Shops (Perfumers)	19	10 3 9/2		36	"	"	0	1970	"	1948	"	"	"
	Flower Sellers	11	16 10 2/4		25	"	"	0	1760	"	183	"	60	"
	Fruit Sellers	3	4 4	"	8	"	"	1 4	14 12	"	12 12	"	"	"
	Total	2076	"	"	"	"	"	"	14265 10	"	13975 5	"	16 12	"
	Grain Purchasers	1	11	"	11	"	"	"	10 8	"	11	"	8	"
	Lace Sellers	18	3 12 3/4		19	0	"	1 0	67 8	"	60	"	8	"
	Sachagarsor Hookah Snake Makers	5	3	"	3	"	"	3	13 8	"	15	"	1 8	"
	Bracket Makers	48	3 10 5/2		13	"	"	0	181 14	"	175 6	"	"	"
	Goldsmiths	144	3 5 2 3/4		16	"	"	6	432 4	"	479	"	"	"
	Carpenters	17	2 1 7 3/4		3	"	"	10	36 12	"	35 12	"	"	"
	Iron Smiths	35	3 2 9		8	"	"	1	104 4	"	111	"	6 12	"
	Tinners	14	5 11 5		21	"	"	12	77	"	80	"	3	"
	Braziers	11	2 10	"	12	"	"	0	296	"	284	"	4	"
	Cotton beaters	409	4 5 9		44	"	"	4	2142 7	"	2131 8	"	"	"
	Rungrazecloth Dyers	409	4 12 1/4		510	"	"	4	2282 13	"	2323 3	"	59 4	"
	Shoe Makers	27	7 7 6 3/4		11	10	"	1	205 8	"	201 12	"	"	"
	Bamboo mat makers	20	1 4 3/4		3	4	"	"	4	39 4	35 2	"	"	"
	Tailors	64	2	" 3/4	8	"	"	"	4	134 8	129 2	"	"	"
	Measurers of Grain or Assaty	17	9 12	"	75	"	"	5	10	195	195	"	"	"
	Iron Manufacturers	30	5 1 1/4		7	0	"	2	"	231 8	193 4	"	"	"
	Sculpture or Stone Cutters	21	1	130 1/2	3	8	"	1	"	35 8	39	"	3 8	"
	Saddlers	14	1	130 1/2	2	"	"	1	"	26	26 4	"	"	"
	Paper Manufacturers	1	4	"	4	"	"	"	"	4	4	"	"	"
	Potmakers or Sellers	40	5 2 11		9	"	"	4	"	257	2075	"	"	82
	Painters	16	3 7	"	12	12	"	0	"	54 8	55	"	8	"
	Salagadoogoovars or Gold Seekers	9	7 14 8		8	12	"	1 4	"	71 12	71 4	"	"	"
	Bestawars or chayroot Diggers	320	"	131 1/4	25	"	"	1 4	"	266 3	2624	"	36 1	"
	Atchacut or Loom Makers	3	5	13 4	16	"	"	0	"	13 8	17 8	"	4	"
	Persons keeping Carts Bullocks Horses &c. for hire	62	4 6 1/2		100	"	"	1	"	3818 9	2717	"	"	"
	Manufacturers of mats	38	"	12 10 3/4	1	8	"	"	8	294	30 12	"	1 8	"
	Silk thread Makers	6	7	3 4	11	12	"	"	8	40 8	43 4	"	2 12	"
	Chucklers or Slipper makers	47	4	10 9/2	8	42	"	12	"	218 3	219 11	"	1 8	"
	Shepherds	49	9	133 3/4	24	"	"	2	8	525 4	481 12	"	"	"
	Metal Polishers	10	1	10 4 3/4	2	"	"	"	8	16	16 8	"	8	"
	Farriers	9	4	8	"	4	8	"	4 8	"	41 4	"	48 8	"
	Farmers	1	318	"	"	318	"	"	"	"	714	"	318	"
	Tank Diggers and those who keep Mudas or Salt Mounds	245	3	6 9 3/4		25	"	"	4	"	811	"	8393	"
	Carried Forward	2336	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	96299	9127	1	"

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

| | | |

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

House Tax.

	641	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1494	4	2	1497	5 " 4 4 2 " 4 1 1 2
Brought Forward	4930	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3880	14	3	3825	" " 16 2 " 72 " 3
Chumbar	99	"	6	"	1	"	"	"	2	"	27	14	" 37 1 " 9 3 " " " "
Dher or Pariahs	77	"	5	7/4	1	"	"	"	2	"	38	12	" 26 15 " " " " 11 13 "
Barber	26	1	"	8	4	"	"	"	4	"	28	9	" 27 1 " " " " 1 8 "
Ganapooja	1	12	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	3	" 12 3 " " " " " " "
Kalabvanla	4	"	8	"	1	"	"	"	4	"	2	4	" 2 " " " " " " 4 "
Vuntacooly or Cooks	4	1	6	"	2	"	"	"	1	"	10	8	" 5 8 " " " " 5 " "
Goorny Vandloo	3	"	8	"	"	8	"	"	8	"	3	12	" 1 8 " " " " 2 4 "
Dairy Buketal	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	" 1 " " " " " " " "
Lohur or Ironsmiths	4	"	13	6	1	10	"	"	4	"	1	8	" 3 6 " 1 4 " " " "
Suthany or Beggers	1	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	" " 12 " " " " " 4 "
Dansary or Beggers	12	"	4	10	"	8	"	1	4	"	2	"	" 3 10 " 1 10 " " "
Woppurs	8	1	2	3	2	"	"	"	4	"	8	6	" 9 2 " " 12 " " " "
Coomar Potmakers	3	"	9	4	1	"	"	"	4	"	1	12	" 1 12 " " " " " " "
Total	5773	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4020	6	3 3956 4 " 299 " 93 13 Net Decrease 63-8-3
Total House Taxes	5814	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5514	10	5 5454 3 " 73 11 " 134 2 5 Net Dr. 60-7-5

DG

Silk Looms	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	" 12 " " " " " " "
Fine Cloth Looms	581	4	8	4	36	"	"	"	12	"	2714	6	" 262620 " " " 88 3 4
Coarse D°	3976	1	13	3	49	"	"	"	2	"	7256	8	" 7270 " " 13 8 " " " "
Carpet D°	64	4	"	3	13	8	"	1	8	"	329	12	" 257 " " " " " 72 12 "
Cumblies D°	165	2	6	7/4	8	"	"	"	8	"	387	12	" 39313 " 6 1 " " " "
Total	4787	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	107006	"	10538158 199 " 16015 4 Net Decrease Rupees 141:6:4.
Pollary or Tax upon Cattle grazing Meadows	138	10	15	2	49	58	"	"	4	"	1538	4	" 151012 " " " " 270 "
Tax upon Sheep Flocks	1648	5	11	1/4	95	5	"	"	4	"	9,976	11	938449 " " " 591 12 4
Total	1796	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11514	5	1 10895 " 9 " " " 619 4 4
Total Trade	2076	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14265	10	" 139755 " 16 12 " 307 1 "
Total Professional Tax	2988	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14877	7	9 1470811 " 7709 " 659 5 9
Total House Tax	5814	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5514	10	5 54543 " 73 11 " 134 2 5
Total Loom Tax	4787	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10700	6	" 10538158 199 " 16015 4
Total Poollary Tax	1796	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11514	5	1 10895 " 9 " " " 619 4 4
Grand Total	17451	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	56072	3	5 567235 6 8 9 " 1000 12 10 Net Decrease Rupees 1200:3:10.
		Deduct pay of the Goodoor Shroff											294 " 294 "

Kurnool, Agents Office, 5th March 1852

(Signed) T. Conway
Agent to the Governor.

Comparative

4046

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

Comparative Statement shewing the Collections and disbursements of Sayer, Akkarry, &c. for Fusly 1260 in the District of Kurnool.—

Number		Fusles. 1258.	1259.	1260.	Comparison. Increase.	Decrease.
1	Sayer					
	Collections	6,912 11 7	6,063 11 4	6,113 6 6	49 11 2	" " "
Disbursements.	Establishment of Servants	2,179 8 "	2,180 6 10	2,173 8 6	" " "	6 14 4
	Vendors Commission	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Draw Back	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	2,179 8 "	2,180 6 10	2,173 8 6	" " "	6 14 4
	Balance	4,739 3 7	3,883 4 6	3,939 14 "	56 9 6	" " "
	Centage	31 8 "	35 14 9	35 8 10	" " "	" 5 11
2	Akkarry					
	Collections	87,801 5 6	81,642 13 11	77,227 5 5	" " "	4,415 8 6
	Disbursements	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Balance	87,801 5 6	81,642 13 11	77,227 5 5	" " "	4,415 8 6
	Centage	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	Small Farms and Licenses					
	Collections	5042 4 0	5,041 3 3	5,188 7 5	147 4 2	" " "
	Disbursements	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Balance	5,042 4 0	5041 3 3	5,188 7 5	147 4 2	" " "
	Centage	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	Stamps					
	Collections	2887 12 "	2200 8 "	1027 " "	" " "	373 8 "
	Disbursements, Servants Wages	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Venders Commission	144 6 2	110 " 4	91 5 7	" " "	10 10 9
	2 : 1 3 11 : 5 0 : : : 4 0 : 5 : 2 2					
	11 : 1 8 11 : 4 6 : : : 11 11 : 9 : 1 2 8					

4046 Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

| | | |

4047

E. Statement shewing the increase and decrease on

Number	Names of Articles	Import. Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.
		2	3	4
		Ckl. a p.	Ckl. a p.	Ckl. a p.
1	Cloths of all Sorts	510 7 11	869 10 "	359 2 1
2	Tobacco	23 7 6	67 11 8	44 4 2
3	Betel leaves	41 10 "	30 4 1	" " "
4	Ganjah	0 8 7	" " "	" " "
5	Bang	" " 6	" 1 6	" 1 "
6	Goodauk	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	Opium	150 3 "	75 10 1	" " "
8	Oils of all description	9 10 6	42 14 6	33 4 "
9	Ghee	6 9 11	2 7 "	" " "
10	Betel nuts of all description	" 3 "	" 14 7	" 11 7
11	Tamarind with or without seeds	9 5 7	16 14 10	7 9 3
12	Castor Oil Seeds	" 5 7	15 12 0	15 7 1
13	Gingely Oil Seeds	" 6 11	3 6 0	2 15 9
14	Sugar of all Sorts	" " "	" " "	" " "
15	Jaggery and Toddy Jaggery	" " "	" " "	" " "
16	Cocoanuts of all Sorts	" 1 1	" " "	" " "
17	Cocoanut dry Do of Do	" " "	" 9 1	" 9 1
18	Iron of all Sorts	3 4 6	1 15 1	" " "
19	Cherunjee or Chayroot	162 8 11	263 8 11	101 " "
20	Indigo	6 7 3	4 4 9	" " "

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

the Export and Import of different articles between Fusslies 1259 and 1260 in the District of Nurnool.

Export.

Decrease.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1259.
5	6	7	8	9	10
Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.
" " "	2895 15 6	2474 10 10	" " "	421 4 0	3406 7 6
" " "	238 2 2	265 8 9	27 6 7	" " "	261 9 0
11 5 11	34 2 10	113 10 6	79 7 0	" " "	75 12 10
8 8 7	1 6 4	2 15 2	1 3 10	" " "	9 14 11
" " "	" " "	" " 11	" " 11	" " "	" " 6
" " "	" " 4	" 4 5	" 4 1	" " "	" " 4
74 8 11	90 13 "	40 4 1	" " "	" " "	150 3 "
4 2 11	10 8 9	36 9 1/2	30 6 4 1/2	30 8 11	100 7 6
" " "	56 6 4	86 14 8 1/2	30 8 4 1/2	" " "	25 2 8
" " "	" 2 1	" 5 "	" 2 11	" " "	56 9 4
" " "	17 3 9	3 4 "	" " "	" " "	9 7 8
" " "	39 14 "	1 3 6	" " "	13 15 9	17 9 4
" " "	110 10	79 9 4	" " "	30 10 6	40 4 11
" " "	227 3 11	265 10 5	30 6 6	30 8 4	110 10
" 11	1 " 7	" 5 9	" " "	" " "	227 3 11
" " "	129 4 1	83 13 4	" " "	" 10 10	1 1 0
1 5 5	15 7 0 6	134 12 10	" " "	45 6 9	129 4 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 11 8	160 13 "
2 26	30 13 7	70 13 2	" " "	" " "	162 8 11
			" " "	10 " 5	95 4 10

Total Export and Import Comparison.

Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
11	12	13	14	15
Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.	Cks. a P.
3344 4 10	359 2 1	421 4 0	" " "	62 2 7
333 4 5	71 10 9	" " "	71 10 9	" " "
143 14 7	79 7 0	11 5 11	68 1 9	" " "
2 15 2	1 0 10	8 8 7	" " "	6 15 9
" 2 5	" 1 11	" " "	" 1 11	" " "
" 4 5	" 4 1	" " "	" 4 1	" " "
75 10 1	" " "	74 8 11	" " "	74 8 11
83 2 7	33 4 "	50 8 11	" " "	25 4 11
59 " 1	30 " 4	4 2 11	33 13 5	" " "
97 13 3/2	31 3 11/2	" " "	31 31 1/2	" " "
17 3 10	7 12 2	" " "	7 12 2	" " "
19 " 0	15 7 1	13 15 9	1 7 4	" " "
4 10 2	2 15 9	30 10 6	" " "	33 10 9
79 9 4	" " "	30 8 4	" " "	30 8 4
265 10 5	30 6 6	" " "	30 6 6	" " "
" 5 9	" " "	" 11 11	" " 4	" 11 11
97 6 5	" 9 1	45 6 9	" " "	44 13 0
156 11 11	" 4 "	4 1 1	" " 4	4 1 1
263 8 11	101 " 4	" " "	101 " "	" " "
83 1 11	" " "	12 2 11	" " "	12 2 11

4048

Fort St. Ge. 22d. March 1852.

Import.

Export.

Number	Names of articles.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1259.	Fusly 1260.	Increase.	Decrease.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
21	Saltpetre	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 4 6	4 2 6	" " "	1 2 "
22	Grain and Pulse	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 10 2 11	401 " 1/2	" " "	17 2 10 1/2
	Paddy and Rice	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	31 7 11	" " "	" " "	31 7 11
23	Gunnies of all Sorts	2 7 1	" " "	" " "	2 4 6	1 2 1	" 7 9	" " "	" 10 4
24	Cumblies	55 13 "	" 2 7	" " "	5 0 9	2 11 "	2 7 2	" " "	" 3 10
25	Materials Timber &ca.	2 12 10	61 5 9	" " "	" 9 2	74 4 "	50 14 11	" " "	23 5 1
26	Cotton with Seed and Thread	" " "	2 3 8	" " "	" " "	93 6 4	69 9 2	" " "	23 13 2
27	Cotton	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
28	Raw Silk	2 4 4	" " "	" " "	1 8 2	67 7 10	145 10 5	70 2 7	" " "
29	Pepper	1 8 "	" 12 2	" " "	1 8 "	1 10 "	1 4 2	" 4 2	" " "
30	Cardamums	6 8 9	" " "	" " "	4 1 8	" 5 "	" 4 5	" " "	" " 7
31	Cummin Seeds	" " "	2 7 1	" " "	" " "	8 3 3	4 13 4	" " "	3 5 11
32	Sandal Wood	" " 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 1 5	15 4 10	15 3 5	" " "
33	Red wood	" " "	" 1 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
34	Coossoomba or dying flowers	66 4 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 4 10	" 1 "	" " "	" 3 10
35	Hides	" 5 11	141 12 11	" " "	2 9 11	26 " 4	6 8 7	" " "	19 7 9
36	Goa Salt	" " "	2 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	1071 5 11	1607 14 7		640 11 6	112 2 10	4,853 2 10	44 11	14 1
			Net Increase					309 8 4/2	750 13 1/2
								Net Decrease	441 4 9
					536 8 8				

Kurnool, Agents' Office, 5th March, 1852.

Total Export and Import. Comparison. Net.

Fasly 1259.			Fasly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.			Increase.			Decrease.		
10			11			12			13			14			15		
Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
5	4	6	4	2	6	"	"	"	1	2	"	"	"	"	1	2	"
410	2	11	401	"	½	"	"	"	17	2	10½	"	"	"	17	2	10½
31	7	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	31	7	11	"	"	"	31	7	11
3	9	2	"	10	4	"	"	"	2	14	10	"	"	"	2	14	10
58	8	"	63	12	11	5	8	9	"	3	10	5	4	11	"	"	"
77	"	10	53	2	7	"	"	"	23	14	3	"	"	"	23	14	3
93	6	4	69	9	2	"	"	"	23	13	2	"	"	"	23	13	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
69	12	2	146	6	7	78	2	7	1	8	2	76	10	5	"	"	"
3	2	"	1	14	2	"	4	2	1	8	"	"	"	"	1	3	10
6	13	9	2	11	6	"	"	"	4	2	3	"	"	"	4	2	3
8	3	3	4	13	4	"	"	"	3	5	11	"	"	"	3	5	11
"	2	3	15	6	"	15	3	9	"	"	"	15	3	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
66	9	3	141	13	11	75	8	6	"	3	10	75	4	8	"	"	"
26	6	3	9	8	5	2	9	11	19	7	9	"	"	"	16	13	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
924	8	9	6,019	12	8	958	3	10½	862	15	11½	526	3	7½	431	1	3½
Net Increase			95	3	11	Net Increase			95	3	11						

/Signed/ T. Conway

Agent to the Governor

Kalamazoo

1852

4049

F Statement Shewing the Increase and Decr

Numbers.	Items.	Settlement of July 1259.		
	1	2		
1	Shops	14,265	10 „	4
2	Looms	10,700	6 „	
3	Sheep	9,976	1 1	
4	Cattle	1,530	4 „	
5	Sundry professions &ca	20,392	2 2	1
	Total	56,872	7 3	2
	Deduct 1/2 of the Goodoor Shroff	29	4 „	
	Remaining	56,843	3 3	2
	Extra Revenue	84	4 „	
	Total	56,927	7 3	2

Kurnool, Agents Office,

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852.

...ases in the Items of Moturpha for Fusly 1260. in the District of Nurnool

Deduction.						Additions.		Total Settlement for Fusly 1260.	Comparison between Columns 2 & 11	
...parties ...ad or ...ended.	On parties given up trade.	Total.	Remaining.	Newly Set up.	On trades exercised for broken periods of last year	Extended trades.	Total.		Increase.	Decrease
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
96 11 "	484 4 "	980 15 "	13,284 11 "	359 8 "	" " "	331 2 "	690 10 "	13,975 5 "	" " "	290 5 "
577 10 "	437 15 4	1,015 9 4	96,84 12 8	559 3 "	" " "	315 " "	874 3 "	10,535 15 8	" " "	141 6 4
681 4 9	599 14 8	1281 3 5	8,694 13 8	468 6 "	" " "	221 1 1	689 7 1	9,384 4 9	" " "	391 12 4
3 12 "	172 8 "	176 4 "	1,362 " "	21 4 "	" " "	127 8 "	148 12 "	1,510 12 "	" " "	27 8 "
43 " 7	1,766 13 "	2908 13 7	17,403 4 7	1503 5 7	" " "	1,256 3 10	2739 9 5	20,242 14 "	" " "	149 4 2
901 6 4	3,461 7 "	6,362 13 4	50,509 9 11	2,911 10 7	" " "	1,230 14 11	5,162 9 6	55,672 3 5	" " "	1,200 3 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	294 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	294 "	" " "	" " "
901 6 4	3,461 7 "	6,362 13 4	50,400 5 11	2,911 10 7	" " "	2,230 14 11	5,162 9 6	55,642 15 5	" " "	1,200 3 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	84 4 "	" " "	" " "	130 5 "	130 5 "	214 9 "	130 5 "	" " "
901 6 4	3,461 7 "	6,362 13 4	50,564 9 11	2,911 10 7	" " "	2,381 3 11	5,292 14 6	55,857 8 5	130 5 "	1,200 3 10

Nett Decrease - 1,069 14 10

5th March, 1852.

Signed J. Conran
Agent to the Governor.

Statement

4050.

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1260 of the Tinnevelly District.*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	Rupees	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	6918	11	7	6063	11	4	6113	6	6	49	11	2	-	-	-	
Charges of Collection	2179	2	-	2180	6	10	2178	2	6	-	-	-	6	14	4	
Charges „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	2179	2	-	2180	6	10	2178	2	6	"	"	"	6	14	4	
Net Revenue	4739	3	7	3923	4	6	3939	14	-	56	9	6	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	31	2	-	35	14	9	35	2	10	-	-	-	-	5	11	
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection																
Charges „ Commission																
Charges „ Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	87801	5	6	81042	13	11	77227	5	5	"	"	"	4415	8	6	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	87801	5	6	81042	13	11	77227	5	5	"	"	"	4415	8	6	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	5042	4	8	5041	3	3	5788	7	5	147	4	2	-	-	-	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	5042	4	8	5041	3	3	5788	7	5	147	4	2	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges																
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamps.																
Gross Collections	2887	12	-	2200	8	-	1827	-	-	-	-	-	373	8	-	
Charges. Venders Commission	144	6	2	110	-	4	91	5	7	-	-	-	18	10	9	
Net Revenue	2743	5	10	2090	7	8	1736	10	5	-	-	-	354	13	3	
Percentage of the Charges	5	-	-	5	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	55139	1	10	55992	2	5	55416	15	4	-	-	-	575	3	1	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	55139	1	10	55992	2	5	55416	15	4	-	-	-	575	3	1	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	157780	3	7	150940	6	11	145773	2	8	196	15	4	5364	3	7	
Charges	2323	14	2	2290	7	2	2264	14	1	-	-	-	25	9	1	
Net Revenue	155464	5	5	148649	15	9	143508	4	7	196	15	4	5338	10	6	
Per Centage of the Charges	1	70	-	1	8	3	1	9	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
GRAND TOTAL.										Net			5141	11	2	
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco																
Charges after deductions for Do																
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions																

Tinnevelly Agency Office
15th March 1852.

Agent to the Govt

4051. Fort St George 22nd March 1852.

No 2 List of Statements which accompanied the Report of the Extra Sources of Revenue of the Hurnool District for Fusly 1260.-

A. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under the Head of Extra Revenue in the District of Hurnool for Fusly 1260.-

B. Statement shewing the demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue in the District of Hurnool due on account of former Fusslies in Fusly 1260.-

C Statement Comparative between Fusslies 1259 and 1260 of the Motturpha Revenue in the District of Hurnool.-

D Comparative Statement shewing the Collections and disbursement of Sayer, Abkarry &c. for Fusly 1260.- in the District of Hurnool.-

E Statement shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Export and Import of different articles between Fusslies 1259 and 1260 in the District of Hurnool.-

F Statement shewing the Increase and Decrease in the items of Motturpha for Fusly 1260 in the District of Hurnool.-

I Statement shewing the Gross Collection Charges and Nett Revenue of all branches of Revenue + Land Revenue excepted for Fusly 1260 of the + Hurnool District in Triplicate.

Hurnool District,
Agents Office,
5th March, 1852.

Signed J. Conway
Agent to the Governor

S.G.

1052 Fort St. George 22d. March 1852

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting. Read the following letter.

23.24

No. 24.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

25

B. No. 592. Sir,

From the Collr of Salem.....

I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the extent and particulars of land applied for by certain individuals for Coffee cultivation on the Shevaroy Hills, which I recommend may be granted to them.

Salem District,
Trichatoor,
4th March 1852.

Signed H. D. Phillips.
Collector.

Statement.

Fort St. George

No. 1. Statement shewing the Extent of land applied for by Individuals for cultivating Coffee on the Shevaroy Hills in Salem for Fasly 1261 in the Zillah of Salem. 22nd. March 1852.

As per Board's letter dated 8th. September 1842

Tax free for 5 years to lands lest waste upwards of 20 years. Full teerwah on lands lest waste not exceeding 5 years.

1. Name of the Applicant.	2. Name of the Village.	3. Name of the Field.	4. From what Period the land is waste.	5. Extent.	6. Estimated value of the lands at Rs. 5 per Star Pagoda per Acre.	7. Assessment.	8. Extent	9. Assessment	10. Extent.	11. Assessment.	12. Remarks.
				Acres Yds	Rs A P	Rs A P	Acres Yds	Rs A P	Acres Yds	Rs A P	
Mootyew Yarooca= =doo		Paroomunnaru Patta Valasadoo, bounded on the West by Nama= =naru Patta Caudoo Sara =doo and Vyramaru, on the East by Naga =naru and Caradoo and Thadachemaru, also below Mr. Murray's land, on the South by River Caroonsalamaru =doo and Vellasalana= =ruvu and on the North by Vyramaru and Cully maru.	Perpetual	6 " "	48 " "	6 " "	6 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	The Applicant has given a Purcash Moochilka offering to take the land for Coffee cultivation from Fasly 1261, for a term of 21 Years and subsequently free of Assessment for the first 5 years. He agrees to pay the Assessment whether the land be cultivated or not and to submit to any modification in the present rate of Assessment which may hereafter be introduced by Government. He further agrees to the resumption of the land in the event of its not being brought under cultivation within 3 years from date of its grant and to the formation of roads through it by Government whenever required. The Mullyallies had 30 days notice and have signified their assent in writing to the land being given to the Applicant who is able to carry on the cultivation of Coffee. He holds other lands to the extent of Acres 1-6-2 yielding an annual Assessment of Rupee 1-2-6.
Aval Sengadoo Ramasawmy Naidoo		Kittarecadoo bounded on the South by Chittuma =goolly Pally River, on the	Anady Thursoo	12 " "	96 " "	12 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	This Applicant has agreed as above shown. The Mullyallies having received 30 days notice have

Fort St. George

22d March 1852

1 Name of the Applicant	2 Name of the Village	3 Name of the Field	4 From which Period the land is waste	5 Extent	Estimated value of the lands entered in Col 5 at a Rent after the rate of $ Rs. per Acre.	6	7 Assessment
				acres gs as	Rs. A P		Rs. A P

As per Boards letter dated 8th September 1842.

Tax free for 5 years. Full Peerwah on to lands left waste up wards of 20 years.

Lands left waste not exceeding 5 years.

8 Extent	9 Assessment	10 Extent	11 Assessment	12 Remarks
acres gs as	Rs. A P	acres gs as	Rs. A P	

Sundry Kolyoor Gounders

Ally maram Moocandoo Land, bounded on the North by Namamarum and Pully; on the East by Manieparamadoo; on the South by Paryapalamarum, and on the West by Nathacudoo

Bunjer 1 " 8 " 1 "

" " " " 1 " 1 "

have signified their assent to the land being given to the Applicant, stating at the same time that there are no other lands on the Hills for pasturing cattle, but the Head Assistant Collector recommended that the lands may be given to the Applicant, on the ground which is obviously correct, that there are numerous other extensive tracts on the Hills which affords pasturage. He holds other lands on the Hills of the extent of Acres 15. 4. 1 yielding an annual Assessment of Rupees 15-1-8 under Coffee cultivation, and is able to carry on the proposed great cultivation.

These Applicants have agreed as above. Although their applications are scarcely worth complying with from the very limited nature of their extent, yet as they are Mullyallies and have already brought under cultivation the lands

Solicited

1,055

Fort St. George

22d. March 1832

1 Name of the Ahyakaud.	2 Name of the Village.	3 Name of the Field.	4 From what Fasly period the land is waste.	5 Extent.	6 Estimated value of the land entered in Col. 5 at such sum as shall appear to be the fair rent for an acre.	7 Assessment.	8 Free for 5 years of lands left waste upwards of 20 years. Extent.	9 Assessment.	10 Full Peishcush on lands left waste not exceeding 5 years. Extent.	11 Assessment.	12 Remarks.
				Acres Grs	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Acres Grs	Rs. A P	Acres Grs	Rs. A P	
		Nattacandoo Palamarum.									
Sundy Gounden.	Kelyoor	Palamarattoo Vatatotum Burjer land, bounded on the West for 12bo. by Moolamarum and a River, on the North by Cannoomarum; on the East by Attoocandoo Undavaly and on the South by Maunnaru Attoocandoo Undavaly. —		" 15 "	3 " "	6 "			" " "	15 " 6 "	Solicited, I recommend that it may be given to Mono. —
Enaaky Mootoo alias Cottee. —	Moondagumpuddy attached to Mootoondoo. —	Soodalacadoo bounded on the East by Mully coody Saradoo on the North by Sunamalay's land, Coovuttymarum, Cadoomarum, on the West by Soodalacandoo cultivatory, on the South by Mancoo thachy Paray. —	Anaudy Fasloo	6 " "	48 "	6 "	6 "	6 "	" " "	" "	Agreed as above. The Mullyallies who received 30 days notice have signified their assent to the land being given to the applicant who is able to carry on the cultivation of Coffee. He holds other lands to the extent of acres 3-5- " yielding an annual assessment of Rs. 3- 2- " under Coffee cultivation. On examining this land the Goudoo or head of the Village stated that the Shodalay or burning ground of his Village had been included in the ground applied for. This being pointed out to the applicant he drew up a fresh Durcast excluding the the said "Shodalay" and the land within 15 yards on each side of the stream which passes by it. The remainder may be given to the applicant I consider and I recommend accordingly. —
		Total		25 15 "	203 " "	25 6 "	24 " "	24 " "	1 13 "	1 6 "	

Salem District, Triplatore, 4th March 1832.

Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector. —

The

Bd. No. 371

Proceedings thereon.—

25-26

The Board of Revenue authorize the grant of the several spots of land referred to in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter, on the usual conditions.—

Read the following letter.—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—

27

Bd. No. 499

From the late Sub Collr of Tanjore.....

Requesting the Board to inform him whether they are inclined to sanction certain Tent allowance claimed by him at once if otherwise that he may take the necessary steps to recover it by other means.

Fort St. George—

Sir,

It is just 2½ Months after the receipt of my letter of the 25th November last that the Board appear to have "read" and taken into any consideration its contents./ It was not till the middle of December 1851 that I received my deputation allowance as Acting Resident at Tanjore in 1846 and 1847 at the average rate of £ 4. 6 per diem/

2. I have not retained copies of all my own correspondence on the subject but I observe, from a few of the letters — received that I wrote to the Civil Auditor on the 11th July last, having previously applied officially to the Collector of Tanjore for these allowances with others. The C.A. in his reply

reply of 26th August refers me to the Board of Revenue for sanction for the present Charge. I accordingly applied through the Acting Collector, who appears to have addressed to the Civil Auditor on the 6th September, his Answer of the 26th of the same month was in substance of course the same as above Viz referring him to your Board; this was forwarded to me on the 11th October - I replied on the 21st October and the Acting Collector of Tanjore addressed your Board on the 29th of the same Month upon which was founded your Board's Proceedings of the 10th November - Copy of which was dispatched to me on the 14th November - On which I addressed the Board direct, on the 25th of that month and have now to acknowledge the Extract of Proceedings of the 12th February 1852 thereupon.—

3. The Board must be aware that the only refusal that I could have received would be from the Board itself who alone could sanction or object to the charge in question.—

4. There was no question or difficulty raised by the Civil Auditor, on my return to India in March last, in passing my abstracts for salary and fixed Tent allowance due to me previous to my going

Home

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

home, and I observe also a retrenchment ordered I conclude by the Board in 1849 / when I was in England / of 2 days Extra tent allowance overdrawn in 1844-5. I do not however mention these cases as precedents. As I have placed my claims to the allowances in question on the broad principle of justice and right founded on the rules of the service and sanctioned by the Government.

5. I have merely therefore to reiterate my claims as already preferred in my letter of the 25th November last, and shall be obliged by your informing me with as little delay as possible whether the Board are inclined to sanction the charge above with reference to the concluding para of that letter, if otherwise, that I may take the necessary steps to recover the amount due by other means.

Rajahmundry, 26th February 1852.

(Signed) J. J. Cotton.
Late Sub Collector of Tanjore.

B. No. 582
Proceedings thereon.

(27-28)

The Board sanction the Extra Tent allowance drawn by Mr. J. J. Cotton for 7 days, or from 1st to 7th February 1848, as Sub Collector of Tanjore.

Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Tanjore and Civil Auditor.

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852

Read the following letter.

No. 14.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(29)

B. No. 488.
From the acting Coll. of Tanjore.

Forwarding the detailed accounts of Lunger Khanahs for 1851.

Sir,

As requested by your letter dated 18th December 1851, I have the honor to enclose the usual detailed accounts of the expenditure incurred from the Davastanum Funds in the Lunger Khanas of Combaconum and Negapatam / the only ones in this District / for the year ending 31st December 1851 and to request that sanction may be procured for the disbursed amount, Vizt. Rupees 813-2-2.

Combaconum 242-0-0
Negapatam 571-2-2
813-2-2.

2. The former of these is under the superintendance of the Zillah Surgeon the latter under that of Revd. Mr. Pinkney Wesleyan Missionary.

3. The benefits of these establishments is not confined to any particular class of persons all castes and conditions participate in them.

4. At Combaconum sick persons only are admitted, Persons from a distance are at their request admitted as in patients to the Hospital.

On

Fort St. George 22d March 1852

On consideration of their condition, they are required to furnish themselves with food, or are provided from the Hospital funds.

5. No enquiries are made as to the circumstances of out patients Medical relief is afforded to them upon application.

6. I am of opinion that the Superintendance exercised at both these establishments is sufficient to prevent relief from being afforded to undeserving objects

7. It will be seen by the enclosed accounts that the Institution at Combaconum is a hospital, while that at Negapatam rather of the nature of a poor house. Its object is not only the medical relief, but the support of the persons admitted to its advantages Mr. Pinkney states that, "We have no definite "rules under which persons are admitted, but our constant supervision of the Establishment has been considered a sufficient check against all imposition.

Tanjore,
Vellum,
21st February 1852

/Signed/ J. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector

Report

Fort St. George 2

No. 1. Report of the Inmates of the Lazar House Negapatam

		No. of persons during the last month and that admitted in the present	No. of persons discharged during the same	Deduct No. of persons who left the Lazar House? Cured but not discharged	Sick	Recovered from discharge	No. of Deaths	Total
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	January	39	15	"	"	"	"	15
2	February	25	4	"	"	"	1.	5
3	March	28	3	"	"	"	"	3
4	April	27	4	"	"	"	"	4
5	May	35	11	"	"	"	"	11
6	June	33	7	"	"	"	2	9
7	July	31	7	"	"	"	3	10
8	August	31	9	"	"	"	"	9
9	September	31	7	"	"	"	"	7
10	October	31	10	"	"	"	"	10
11	November	29	5	"	"	"	"	5
12.	December	36	11	"	"	"	1	12
	Total	374	93	"	"	"	7	101

Negapatam 31st December 1851.

Signed John
Wes. M

2d March 1852

...n and of the Expenditure for the year 1851.-

	Remaining				Expenditure							
	Poor but not sick	Recovered from sickness	Still sick	Total	For Food			Salaries of Servants	Total.			
	9	10	11	12	13			14	15			
	7	"	17	24	34	3	8	12 - -	46	3	8	
	7	"	3	20	31	12	10	12 - -	43	12	10.	
	7	"	16	23	33	15	5	12 - -	45	15	5	
	7	"	16	23	33	9	8	12 - -	45	9	8.	
	7	"	17	24	34	4	4	12 - -	46	4	4	
	7	"	17	24	36	2	8	12 - -	48	2	8	
	7	"	14	21	37	6	1	12 - -	49	6	1.	
	7	"	15	22	34	4	4	12 - -	46	4	4	
	7	"	17	24	35	2	2	12 - -	47	2	2	
	7	"	14	21	34	12	1	12 - -	46	12	1.	
	7	"	17	24	33	"	10	12 - -	45	-	10	
	7	"	17	24	36	8	1	12 - -	48	8	1	
	84	"	190	274	415	2	2	144 - -	559	2	2.	

For Medicine — 12 - -

Grand Total Rupees — 571 2 2.

... Pinkney
...ionary

/Signed/ J. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

Report

4062

Fort St. G

No. 2. Report of the Inmates of the Lazar

Months	No. of persons during the last Months & that admitted in the present.	Deduct. No. of persons discharged during the Month	Deduct. No. of Deaths
1	2	3	4
1 January	30	23	"
2 February	20	10	"
3 March	30	22	2
4 April	18	10	1
5 May	21	11	3
6 June	29	17	"
7 July	36	24	"
8 August	28	10	"
9 September	34	17	"
10 October	38	20	"
11 November	49	25	2
12 December	49	24	2
Total	382	219	10

Tanjore 20th February 1852.

eorge 22d March 1852.

house at Combaconum for 1851.

		Remaining Still sick	Amount expended. For Food	For the salary of Servants	Total	
	5	6	7	8	9	
23		7	7 5 6	6 " "	13 5 6	
10		10	7 2 5	6 " "	13 2 5	
4		6	11 4 1	6 " "	17 4 1	
11		7	5 5 "	6 " "	11 5 "	
14		7	7 15 9	6 " "	13 15 9	A† Toty 3 " "
17		12	10 15 11	6 " "	16 15 11	A book 3 " "
24		12	13 7 8	6 " "	19 7 8	
16		12	11 1 "	6 " "	17 1 "	
17		17	15 12 "	6 " "	21 12 "	
20		18	20 6 2	6 " "	26 6 2	
27		22	23 3 6	6 " "	29 3 6	
26		23	25 7 3	6 " "	31 7 3	
29		153	159 6 3	72 " "	231 6 3	

Charges incurred for medicines 10 — 10 9 9

Total — 242 " "

(Signed J. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector.

4063. Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

Bd. No. 572.
Proceedings thereon.
(29-30)

The Acting Collector is requested to state, whether the persons admitted in the Lazar House at Negapatam are furnished with food or money. He will also mention whether the Buildings in which the Lungers Khanahs of Combaconum and Negapatam are located are the property of those Institutions.—

Read the following letter.

No. 43

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(31)

Bd. No. 519
From the Collr. of Madura.....

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will lay before the Board of Revenue the accompanying copy of a letter from Mr. G. T. Fischer accusing the Ramnad Zemindar of misappropriation of the Funds of the Chuttrums in that Zemindary, Superintendence of which was vested in a former Zemindar, from the time of the permanent settlement up to the time of the Zemindary being taken under Government management, and committed to the present Incumbent on the Estate being given into her hands.. This letter purports

purports to be copy of one given last year — which however I have been unable to find —

2. I request instructions whether I should proceed against the Zemindar either under Regulations VIII of 1817 and IX of 1822. or under Act XIII of 1850.—

3. As the Zemindar is a female I would recommend, that I should be directed to take the Chuttrums under Government management and to call upon her to make good any sums that may appear on investigation to be due to the Chuttrums.—

Madura, ...
Sheevagunga,
21st February 1852.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 1.

Duplicate.

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of the Zillah of
Madura.—

Sir,

From the great interest I take in Chuttrums in general I have built some myself and have induced others to build many more and in the Chuttrums in the Ramnad Zemindary in particular from having had the opportunity of ascertaining their condition and

and capabilities and great public utility — when agent for the Ranee Purvatha Vurdany Nachear between the years 1842 and 1845 and solicited thereto besides by numbers of people who however much they perceive the propriety or necessity of it, have not the courage or public spirit to do it — I think it right to bring to your notice their present miserable plight — their buildings are neglected and allowed to go to ruin and the liberal Revenues allotted for their maintenance and for feeding the way-farers and pilgrims to the shrine of Ramaswerum, who avail themselves of their shelter are embezzled and misappropriated. That I may not be supposed to make an idle complaint, I pledge myself to prove what I have stated if needs be. The evil is such a crying one and causes so much privation and suffering that I trust you will not refuse it your earliest and best attention —

I would beg leave to submit for your information and consideration an Abstract of Statements made to me by parties evidently well informed on the subject.—

The Jummabundy of the Chuttrum Endowment Villages for the following Fuslies.—

Fusly

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

Fusly	Money Revenue			Paddy Revenue			Total		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs	a	p
1256	11,464	1	6	10,363	8	9	21,827	10	3
1257	10,852	11	10	11,435	1	11	22,287	13	9
1258	10,376	11	8	7,028	15	1	17,405	10	9
1259	9,260	10	3	8,740	5	9	18,001	"	"
	41,954	3	3	37,567	15	6	79,522	2	9
	Of this was collected						55,000	"	"
Leaving a balance outstanding							24,522	2	9

The above Statement not only shews a lamentable falling off in the Jummabundy, but a more lamentable falling off in the Collections both implying neglect inability and inefficiency Next, of the amount actually collected

	Rs
55,000	"

Besides this there was a sum of 10,000 and odd Rupees belonging the Chuttrums handed over. The establishment and feeding expenses at all the Chuttrums were reduced to about 600 Rupees a month which will give for a year

Rupees a Head Cutcherry, at 100 Rupees a month for a year Rupees	1200	"
For 4 years	4800	"
Leaving a surplus of Rupees	33,600	"
Of this the Zemindar has up to the 16th November 1850 embezzled	21,400	"
	21,300	"

This

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

Thus not only neglecting the Revenues and Interests of the Institutions under his charge but defrauding the public and violating his trust and that for a bad purpose, Viz.t, his own gain which I submit renders the Zemindar liable to the penalties of Act XIII of 1850.

Before concluding I ought to mention that the lower classes of the people have a notion from the Institutions and their Establishments having been delivered over, bound hand and foot into such unworthy hands, and from the impunity with which their operation has been carried on, perhaps designedly instilled into them that the Circar consents to and shares in the misappropriation which is a notion deserving of correction more than any thing else if the Circar desire the confidence and good will of the people.

/Signed/ G. J. Fischer

/True Copy/

/Signed/ R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Madura
25th. February 1851.

31-32

B.o. N.o 573.

In their Proceedings of the 15th. March 1852, the Board pointed out

Proceedings thereon

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

out that the Devastanum Endowments of Rannad had been declared by an order of the Court of Sudder Udalut to be an appendage to the Estate that therefore these endowments had been placed by the Courts order beyond the jurisdiction of the Collector, and that in the opinion of the Board the Courts alone can interfere, on proof of malversation.

2. It the Chuttrum Endowments of Rannad were made over simultaneously with the Devastanum lands under the orders of Government of 28th February 1845, then the Board infer that the former were a portion of the Endowments referred to in the Courts order, and they should in this event be subject to the same authority

If otherwise, the Collector will report more fully on the subject, to enable the Board to obtain the orders of Government thereon.

S. F. B.

Read

Fort St. George 22d March 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 46.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

33

Bd. No. 611
From the Collr. of Tinnevelly
Reporting upon the State of the Nursery Gardens at Vullyoor and requesting to continue for a further term of 2 years for reasons within mentioned.

Trees raised 3351.
Distributed 1291.

Para 1. With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 8th April 1850 and to the Minutes of Consultation of 25th March subjoined thereto directing the Nursery Garden at Vullyoor to be continued for a further period of 2 years and to submit a report upon it at the expiration of that time, I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the description and No. of trees that have been raised and distributed up to 31st December 1851; the totals of which are noted in the Margin.

2 On reference to the abovementioned paper it will be seen that the Nursery has not succeeded

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.—

succeded during the last 2 years equally well as it did previous to my last report on the subject dated 21st February 1850.—

3. The Tahsildar states that the interest felt by the people of his Talook in the experiment has declined in consequence of their circumstances having sunk from the effects of failure of the usual rains and crops during both the last 2 years. This reason may be good to some extent but I believe the decline observable in the state of the Garden itself as well as in the amount of distribution of plants has arisen principally from the removal by promotion of the former Tahsildar who took an interest in it and from the frequent change during the last 2 years of European Officers in charge of the Head Assistants duty, that Officer having the management of

Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.

of the Vellyoor Talook.—

4. Enough I am of opinion has been done to show the value of a Nursery in the Southern part of this District and I would beg to recommend the continuance of it for a further term of two years when as it is to be trusted the many disadvantages experienced in the last 2 years will not recur, there is every reason to anticipate an enhanced degree of benefit from it.—

Tinnevelly
Trinchinopoly
March 30th 1852.

(Signed) C. J. Bird.—
Collector.—

Statement A.

4072

Fort St. George

22nd. March 1852.

No. 1 Statement shewing the description and No. of trees raised in the Nursery Gardens established at Vellyoor Talook in the District of Tinnevelly agreeably to the Minutes of Consultation dated 23rd. May 1848.—

Description of Trees.	Total No. of plants raised up to last Report dated 21st. February 1850.	Total No. plants distributed up to Dec.	Remaining	Total No. of plants raised subsequently up to 31st. December 1851.—	Total of Columns Nos. 4 & 5.—	Total No. of plants distributed up to 31st. December 1851.—	Number of plants withered.	Total of Columns Nos. 7 & 8.—	Remaining No. of plants.	Of which.— No. of plants fit for transplantation.—	Do. of Do. unfit for Do. from age—
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Mango	413	267	146	322	468	291	"	291	177	157	20
Jack	48	48	"	118	118	118	"	118	"	"	"
Goya	168	108	60	"	60	7	53	60	"	"	"
Cadjie	24	24	"	15	15	6	"	6	9	9	"
Punnacoy	622	602	20	275	295	190	"	190	105	95	10
Eloopia	1519	319	1200	"	1200	20	1180	1200	-	"	"
Lemon	322	172	150	42	192	192	"	192	"	"	"
Tamarind	585	515	70	"	70	14	56	70	"	"	"
Custard Apple	58	28	30	"	30	"	19	19	11	11	"
Morgosa	994	424	570	"	570	280	290	570	"	"	"
Negadaley	39	22	17	"	17	1	16	17	"	"	"
Curroovapalay	34	32	2	"	2	1	1	2	"	"	"
Pumplemose	12	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Orange	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Pomegranate	3	3	"	14	14	14	"	14	"	"	"
Seema Agathy	42	12	30	"	30	"	30	30	"	"	"
Grape	27	13	14	"	14	7	7	14	"	"	"
Nelly	39	19	20	"	20	18	2	20	"	"	"
Kedarasarathy	16	16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Coffee	3	2	1	"	1	"	1	1	"	"	"
Cocoanuts	32	32	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Nartay	26	15	11	4	15	15	"	15	"	"	"
Navel	250	"	250	"	250	28	222	250	"	"	"
	3277	2686	2591	790	3381	1202	1877	3079	302	272	30

Tinnevelly Trichendoor 3d. March 1852.

Signed C. J. Bird. Collector. Ordered.—

L073 Fort St. George 22d. March 1852.—

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

No. 108.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In Extract Minutes consultation dated 25th. March 1850, the Government were pleased upon the recommendation of the Board to authorize the continuance of the Nursery Garden at Vellyoor, for a further period of two years, and directed that, at the expiration of this time a report should be submitted upon it.—

2. In accordance with the instructions of Government, I am directed to submit for the Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 3d. March 1852 transmitting a Statement shewing the Nos. and description of trees that have been raised and distributed to the 31st. December 1851.—

Mr. Bird states that the Nursery has not succeeded quite as well as it did previous to the last report; but as the several reasons assigned for the partial failure appear to be satisfactory, the Board beg to support the Collector's recommendations for continuing the experiment two years longer, he being required at the end of that period to submit a further report for the information of Government.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. March 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary.

J. B. Read.

(33-34)

(Bd. No. 3587 To the Chief Secy. to Government.....)

J. Cons. 22d. March 1852.

L074 Fort St. George 22d. March 1852

Read the following letter.—

No. 18.—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(35)

(Bd. No. 623 From the Collector of Canara)

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board a Statement of wastage of Tobacco for Fusly 1260 (1850/51) amounting to Candies 11-[illegible] 23-6 Cwt. the value of which is Rupees 731-6-[illegible] @ 66-3-10 per Candy and request the Boards Sanction to write off the same in the accounts of the District.—

Collectorate Office Canara — Signed F. N. Malthby Collector

On Circuit Bellary

25th. February 1852.—

Statement.—

4075- Fort St.te George 22.d March 1852-

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Tobacco Stored Sold and the Amount of wastage with its Per Centage for Fusly 1260-

Talooks	Quantity Stored	Quantity Sold	Quantity transported from one Talook to another	Total Wastage	Per Centage	Remarks-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C° M.dd R	C° M.dd R	C° M.dd R	C° M.dd R		
Provincial Depot	261 11 12	" " "	255 3 19 30	6 7 20 10	2 9 "	This wastage includes— C° M.dd R. 8-7-2-2 of Tobacco unfit for use
Bekul	15 2 17 2	14 5 25 2	" " "	16 20 "	5 11 12 "	D.o
Buntwal	5 " "	4 15 10 20	" " "	4 17 20	4 12 14 "	D.o — 8-10- " D.o
Codepy	24 10 15 30	23 8 1 30	" " "	1 2 14 "	4 12 " "	
Barcoor	10 18 17 10	10 13 18 10	" " "	4 27 "	2 5 3 "	
Cundapoor	30 8 7 "	29 18 25 10	" " "	9 9 30	1 11 5 "	
Honore	15 13 5 20	15 6 24 10	" " "	6 9 10	2 " 23 " D.o	" — " 17-20 D.o
Ankola	50 15 27 30	49 14 15 10	" " "	1 1 12 20	2 2 8 "	
Soopah	1 " 5 "	" 19 6 34	" " "	" 26 6	4 13 11 " D.o	" — " 5 — D.o
Sondah	18 12 25 30	18 6 19 "	" " "	" 6 6 30	1 14 19 " D.o	" — " 2-30 D.o
Total	433 13 21 2	167 9 6 6	253 3 19 30	422 12 25 30	11 " 23 6	2 11 " " Total — 17-26 "

Coll.rs Cutcherry On Circuit, Bellikerry 25.th February 18 1852

/Signed F. N. Maltby Collector-

B. of R. 577.

Resolution thereon - Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation - that a return be granted for writting off in the accounts of the District of Canara the wastage of Tobacco for Fusly 1260 amounting to Candies 11- m "-23- th 6 R the value of which at Rupees 66-3-10 per Candy is Rupees (71- 6-") Seven hundred and thirty one and Annas Six -

(35-36)

(37)

No. 671 -

From the Collector of Malabar - Read letter stating that he has already reported under date the 31st January last, that as no cases were tried under Regulation IX of 1822 during the half year ending 31st December 1851, no abstract Statement thereof was submitted -

(37-38)

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter -

No. 24 -

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

B. of R. 679
From the Coll. of Madras — Sir, I —

Reporting on the complaint of A. C. Narasimma Naidoo regarding the illegal sale of a portion of his land by the Meerasdars of the Village

I have the honor to report as desired on Petition No. 288/1852 from A. C. Narasimma Naidoo complaining of the sale of his land by the Grammattars and to submit a Sketch plan of the Ground

Village and praying for its restoration -

Ground referred to -

2 - From an inspection of the Register of grants Kept in this Office it would appear that the land owned by the Petitioners father was originally acquired for the benefit of a Pagoda

3 - The Petitioners have also produced a Bill of Sale from the Meerasidar of the Village at that time bearing date about 47 years ago which deed is admitted by the present Meerasedar to be genuine -

4 - The Petitioners father was Durmacurtah of the Pagoda and one portion of the land was sold by the Supreme Court and another was purchased by the Baigum of the remainder, the Petitioner complains that the Meerasidar sold a portion of this land to Mr. I. B. Norton. On calling upon the Meerasidar for their explanation in this affair they appeared to rest their claim on the purchase of the land - The Ground however is not enclosed has no building on it, and their assertion of having grazed Cattle on the spot was not supported by any proof

5 - It will thus appear that the interference of the Grammattars with the lands belonging to the Petitioner and their sale of a portion of it to Mr. I. B. Norton was illegal -

6 - It was under these circumstances that on an application from Mr. Norton for a Certificate, I refused to order the issue of one in his favor in my opinion his title to the land in question is altogether untenable

The

7- The question as to the restoration of the land by the Revenue authorities is one of considerable difficulty within the limits of the Supreme Court and I would therefore recommend that the parties should settle their differences in a Court of Law-

/Signed/ I. A. Huddleston
Collector-

Madras —
17th March 1852

Bd. No. 576-

Proceedings thereon- With reference to Para 2 of the above letter the Board would wish to have a copy of the Register referred to and to be informed from whom the land was acquired and so whether by sale and for what Pagoda-

39-40

2- The Board do not understand from P.3 if the lands specified in the Bill of Sale is the same extent of land as that mentioned in Para 2 of the Collectors letter or refers to the same transaction-

3- The accompanying sketch (returned for correction) does not shew which portion was sold by the Supreme Court-

4- It does not appear how Mr. Norton obtained that portion marked on the sketch "Mr. J. B. Nortons" If this is part of the land referred to in Para 2 and 3 of the Collectors letter, it should have been stated by whom it was given up to Mr. Norton- Similar information should be afforded regarding the land marked as the Begums-

6- Mr. Huddleston has not informed the Board whether the Merasedars urge that they or their predecessors never sold the land before or if they allow it was sold to Petitioners father, how they make out, they came into possession--

The

J.C.B.

6- The Board request information on each of the above points meanwhile they approve of the Collectors refusal to issue a Certificate--

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched-

No. 105

41

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

Bd. No. 578.

Sir,

To The Chief Secretary to Government - - -

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th July 1851 to 31st January 1852 of Fasly 1261 compared with the Collections in the same period of Fasly 1260.-

A

2- Abstract B shews a net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 2,82,603-10-9 resulting from a net Increase of Rupees 6,55,685-12-11 in the Collections of Land Revenue and a net Increase of Rupees 22,917-13-10 in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.-

Increase in the Collections of arrears	3,58,748-10-10	
Dr. in the Collections of Current - - - - -	29,637-2-1	
	6,55,685-12-1	

B

Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources in the several Districts.-

3

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd March, 1852. - - -

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary
General

C

4080

A General abstract Statement shewing the Collections of Or[illegible]

Fus[illegible] 12[illegible]

	1	Arrears. 2 Rs	a	p	Current. 3 Rs	a	p
1	Zillah of Ganjam	65693	9	-	436489	9	1
2	Vizagapatam	35824	3	8	421083	14	7
3	Rajahmundry	72193	5	-	797607	15	7
4	Masulipatam	190713	1	2	99676	11	9
5	Guntoor including Palnaad	153344	10	9	402565	-	10
6	Chingleput	123680	8	6	106981	1	5
7	Salem including Balaghaut	102512	3	6	421132	3	10
8	Madura	170491	1	10	412711	9	3
9	Nellore	47254	9	1	763973	8	6
10	N[illegible] D[illegible] of Arcot	84577	2	9	656789	14	-
11	S[illegible] D[illegible] of Arcot	163742	15	5	500155	7	2
12	Tanjore	48761	13	11	814666	11	11
13	Trichinopoly	10055	11	4	648826	10	3
14	Tinnevelly	41532	7	4	603806	5	-
15	Bellary	42211	14	9	906841	6	2
16	Cuddapah	79521	4	1	613886	8	9
17	Coimbatore	9091	-	8	610489	7	1
18	Canara	17170	7	2	186639	3	8
19	Malabar	9662	5	11	637779	14	6
20	Madras { Land Customs	2372	13	6	24350	5	3
	Toll Collected on Cochranes Canal	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sea Customs	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Kurnool	14799	2	1	215924	-	9
	Total	1347196	7	5	10282377	10	2
	Add old Balances						
	Grand Total						

Deduct Collections to the end of December

Collections in January

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

dinary Revenue in the several Districts under the Madras Presidency from 12th July 1851 to 31st January 1852 of Fusly 1261 compared with the Collections

Collections of arrears Land and Current.

Revenue Comparison.

Fusly 1261 Total.		Arrears.		Current.		Total.		Arrears. Increase.		Current. Decrease.		Total.		Net Increase.		Net Decrease.	
4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12	
Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
5,02,183	2 11	52,884	6 8	4,53,763	2 10	5,06,647	9 6	-	- -	17,273	8 11	17,273	8 11	12,809	2 4	-	- -
4,56,908	2 3	8,515	9 8	4,33,394	7 11	4,41,910	1 7	-	- -	12,310	9 4	12,310	9 4	27,308	10 -	-	- -
8,69,801	4 7	58,450	15 7	8,00,451	2 10	8,58,902	2 5	-	- -	2,843	3 3	2,843	3 3	13,742	5 5	-	- -
2,90,389	12 11	1,45,257	5 9	1,56,269	2 6	3,01,526	8 3	-	- -	56,592	6 9	56,592	6 9	4,54,455	11 5	-	- -
41,7,899	11 7	38,267	3 10	3,38,573	11 1	3,76,840	14 11	22,932	9 1	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	63,991	5 9
2,30,661	9 11	1,85,455	12 1	1,55,005	9 3	3,40,461	5 4	61,775	3 7	4,802	4 7 10	109,799	11 5	-	- -	-	- -
52,364	7 4	4,194	5 12 5	44,744	6 12 8	4,86,692	9 1	-	- -	23,614	8 10	23,614	8 10	60,566	7 1	-	- -
5,83,202	11 1	24,947	8 4 2	3,91,325	9 6	6,40,803	13 8	78,987	2 4	-	- -	78,987	2 4	-	- -	21,385	15 9
8,11,228	1 7	56,127	10 8	7,17,183	10 9	7,73,311	5 -	8,873	1 2	-	- -	8,873	1 2	-	- -	4,678	9 13 9
7,41,367	- 9	3,66,146	10 3	7,45,202	13 9	11,11,349	8 -	2,81,569	7 6	88,412	15 9	3,69,982	7 3	-	- -	-	- -
6,63,898	6 7	1,41,130	14 3	5,65,642	7 5	7,06,773	5 8	-	- -	65,487	- 3	65,487	- 3	22,612	1 2	-	- -
8,63,428	9 10	66,183	- 11	6,71,800	11 6	7,37,983	12 5	17,421	3 -	-	- -	17,421	3 -	-	- -	1,42,866	- 5
6,58,882	5 7	17,257	12 -	6,59,363	2 -	6,76,620	14 -	7,202	- 8	10,536	7 9	17,738	8 5	-	- -	-	- -
6,45,338	12 4	50,506	11 5	6,45,324	3 8	6,95,830	15 1	8,974	4 1	41,517	14 8	50,492	2 9	-	- -	-	- -
9,49,053	4 11	4,874	9 12 7	9,35,228	2 11	9,83,977	15 6	6,537	13 10	28,386	12 9	34,924	10 7	-	- -	-	- -
6,93,407	12 10	1,35,587	1 5	6,19,976	2 1	7,55,563	3 6	56,065	13 4	6,089	9 4	62,155	6 8	-	- -	3,124	10 8
6,19,580	7 9	17,732	2 9	6,07,364	12 5	6,25,096	15 2	8,641	2 1	-	- -	8,641	2 1	-	- -	3,124	10 8
2,03,809	10 10	16,921	7 3	1,93,232	10 1	2,10,154	1 4	-	- -	6,593	6 5	6,593	6 5	24,815	11	-	- -
64,744	2 5	7,151	11 2	6,37,836	12 8	6,44,988	7 10	-	- -	56,142	-	56,142	-	25,10	10 9	-	- -
2,6,723	2 9	2,639	13 7	23,623	4 5	26,263	2 -	267	- 1	-	- -	267	- 1	-	- -	727	- 10
"	" "	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
"	" -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
-	- -	15,394	7 2	3,84,006	4 -	3,99,400	11 2	595	5 1	168,082	3 3	168,677	8 4	-	- -	-	- -
23,07,23	2 10																
11,62,957	4 1 7	17,21,784	9 2	10,57,931	4 12 3	12,30,109	9 5 5	5,59,842	1 10	5,75,822	1 3	11,35,664	3 1	18,52,54	- 1	2,78,884	15 2
4,35,53	2 4					27,713	11 5										
11,67,312	7 3 11					12,32,881	3 - 10										
72,92,056	10 5					7,95,213	2 5 7										
4,38,107	9 6					4,37,668	11 3										

Net Increase.		Net Decrease.	
13		14	
Rs	a p	Rs	a p
12,809	2 4	4,464	6 7
27,308	10 -	-	- -
13,742	5 5	-	- -
4,54,455	11 5	11,136	11 4
63,991	5 9	-	- -
-	- -	109,799	11 5
60,566	7 1	-	- -
21,385	15 9	57,601	2 7
4,678	9 13 9	-	- -
-	- -	36,982	7 3
22,612	1 2	4,2,874	15 1
1,42,866	- 5	-	- -
-	- -	17,738	8 5
-	- -	50,492	2 9
-	- -	34,924	10 6
-	- -	62,155	6 8
3,124	10 8	5,516	7 5
284	15 11	6,344	6 6
2,510	10 9	-	- -
727	- 10	-	- -
-	- -	-	- -
-	- -	-	- -
-	- -	1,68,677	8 4
4,64,138	15 3	94,1708	8 11
		6147	5 8
		94,7855	14 7
		2,92,170	1 8
		2,92,170	1 8 Decrease
		655685	12 11 Net Increase

Net Decrease.	
15	
Rs	a p
-	- -
14,998	- 8
10,899	2 2
-	- -
4,1058	12 8
-	- -
36,951	14 3
-	- -
37,916	12 7
-	- -
12,5444	13 5
-	- -
-	- -
-	- -
-	- -
-	- -
2,453	12 7
460	- 9
-	- -
-	- -
-	- -
-	- -
2,70,183	5 1
21,986	12 7

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.

	Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		
	28		29		30		31		32		33		
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	
1	3.057	3 -	3.016	8 -	-	- -	40	11 -	5.902	11 1	5666	8 7	
2	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	4.180	15 2	4.132	8 5	
3	4.449	4 6	4.978	15 6	529	11 -	-	- -	24.896	9 3	25826	1 1	
4	1516	15 7	714	7 1	-	- -	802	8 6	9.246	- 10	5.505	11 7	
5	2.041	1 -	233	- -	-	- -	1808	1 -	22.663	8 2	22.612	5 7	
6	7.468	- 9	11.949	4 -	4.481	3 3	-	- -	8.079	6 -	9.374	13 11	
7	3.608	7 4	3.718	11 5	110	4 1	-	- -	55.353	1 9	59.617	1 2	
8	3.603	- 7	2.200	3 7	-	- -	1402	13 -	-	- -	-		
9	529	3 3	546	3 5	17	- 2	-	- -	14.500	11 2	14.756	- 7	
10	660	3 7	3.902	14 7	3.242	11 -	-	- -	8.792	9 -	21225	2 7	
11	5.094	10 3	3.997	1 11	-	- -	1097	8 4	13.877	11 4	15.543	4 1	
12	19.775	1 8	18.680	9 6	-	- -	1094	8 2	44.272	2 10	43.890	2 -	
13	2.116	9 10	2.010	3 7	-	- -	106	6 3	5.533	- -	4.968	12 10	
14	18.384	1 10	12.669	4 3	-	- -	5.714	13 7	47.870	1 -	48.218	1 11	
15	3.529	13 1	2.575	14 7	-	- -	953	14 6	119.526	12 5	120534	6 -	
16	1.934	15 8	3.213	12 1	1.278	12 5	-	- -	9.000	- 6	95.536	10 8	
17	871	12 -	578	4 -	-	- -	293	8 -	20.507	12 7	21.547	4 11	
18	28.470	13 3	16.449	13 7	-	- -	12.020	15 8	4.21	1 5	643	8 8	
19	18.977	3 10	17.849	6 8	-	- -	1127	13 2	48.268	11 11	47.397	5 1	
20	5.001	12 2	4.815	14 2	-	- -	185	14 -	-	- -	-	- -	
	12.460	14 3	14.751	9 2	2.290	10 11	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
21	717	2 7	234	1 4	-	- -	483	1 3	29.339	15 8	39.749	14 4	
	144.268	6 -	129.086	2 5	11.950	4 10	27.132	8 5	574.232	11 1	606.745	12 2	
	59	8 11	247	14 -	214	7 4	26	2 3	291	2 9	262	6 4	
	144.327	14 11	129.334	- 5	12.164	12 2	27.158	10 8	574.524	- 10	607.008	2 4	
					Dt Increase		12.164	12 2					
					Net Decrease		14.993	14 6					
	128.819	4 11	102.099	12 4					427.400	14 3	459.502	2 7	
	15.508	10 -	27.234	4 1					147.123	2 7	147.505	15 9	

Motupha Sea Customs.

	Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1260		Fusly 1261		Increase		Decrease		
	34		35		36		37		38		39		
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	
	-	- -	236	2 6	3.441	- 5	4.300	2 -	859	1 7	-	- -	
	-	- -	48	6 9	4.210	4 8	5.238	4 1	1027	15 5	-	- -	
	929	7 10	-	- -	19.444	3 3	19.856	8 8	412	5 5	-	- -	
	-	- -	3.740	5 3	2.151	9 -	50	- 8	-	- -	2101	8 4	
	-	- -	51	2 7	316	4 11	1190	1 -	873	12 1	-	- -	
	1295	7 11	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	4.263	15 5	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	-	- -	-	- -	9.694	7 6	15086	6 5	5.391	14 11	-	- -	
	255	5 5	-	- -	10	2 5	184	9 2	174	6 9	-	- -	
	12432	9 7	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	5395	5 2	
	1665	8 9	-	- -	17.460	14 5	12065	9 3	-	- -	-	- -	
	-	- -	382	- 10	9.971	14 11	96.030	12 3	5.058	13 4	-	- -	
	-	- -	564	3 2	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	348	- 11	-	- -	8.429	7 3	12.135	13 2	3.706	5 11	-	- -	
	1007	9 7	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	4.536	10 2	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	1039	8 4	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	222	7 3	-	- -	11.074	- 4	19.389	15 10	8313	15 6	-	- -	
	-	- -	871	6 10	11.284	14 1	13.657	13 10	2.372	15 9	-	- -	
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	-	- -	-	- -	27.682	4 2	26.878	1 1	-	- -	8044	7 1	
	1049	14 8	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	38.406	9 10	5.893	11 11	455.313	11 4	467.964	1 5	28.191	10 8	15541	4 7	
	87	8 2	116	4 7	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	
	38.494	2 -	6.010	- 6	455.313	11 4	467.964	1 5	28.191	10 8	15541	4 7	
	6.010	- 6	Dt Decrease						15541	4 7	Dt Decrease		
	32.484	1 6	Net Increase						12.650	6 1	Net Increase		
					360.762	10 4	373.840	13 1					
					94.551	1 -	94.123	4 4					

Fort St. George 22nd March 1852

Tobacco.

	Fasly 1260.				Fasly 1261.			
	40	41	42	43	Increase.		Decrease.	
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	
1	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8067 9 6	8562 8 -	- - -	494 14 6
2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9603 12 -	9491 4 -	- - -	112 8 -
3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	8781 - -	9090 8 -	309 8 -	- - -
4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7861 12 -	6158 12 -	- - -	1703 - -
5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	3954 4 -	4769 9 -	815 5 -	- - -
6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	9002 12 6	8552 14 -	- - -	449 14 6
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	13836 12 -	14799 - -	962 4 -	- - -
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	18784 15 6	20061 14 6	1276 15 -	- - -
9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	7482 12 -	9259 8 -	1776 12 -	- - -
10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	15710 5 6	15303 1 6	- - -	407 4 -
11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10357 - -	10703 3 -	346 3 -	- - -
12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	43395 12 -	37963 8 -	- - -	5432 4 -
13	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10518 4 -	12105 4 -	1587 - -	- - -
14	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	13402 - -	16864 8 -	3462 8 -	- - -
15	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10621 - -	37685 - -	27064 - -	- - -
16	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	14559 9 8	14788 - -	228 8 -	- - -
17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12624 - -	12742 12 -	118 12 -	- - -
18	1465499 6	1438046 6	- - -	2745 3 -	30728 9 6	33563 - -	2834 6 6	- - -
19	259526 - 7	295521 7 10	35995 7 3	- - -	32901 12 6	36040 13 -	3139 - 6	- - -
20	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	5730 11 6	5421 10 -	- - -	309 1 6
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	764 12 -	1177 4 -	412 8 -	- - -
	4060751 1	4393254 4	35995 7 3	2745 3 -	288689 4 6	325103 13 -	44828 8 6	8414 - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	4060751 11 10	4393254 4	35995 7 3	2745 3 -	288689 4 6	325103 13 -	44828 8 6	8414 - -

2745 3 - Dt Decrease
33250 4 3 Net Increase

8414 - - Dt Decrease
36414 8 6 Net Increase

Stamps.

	Fasly 1260.	Fasly 1261.	Increase.	Decrease.
	44	45	46	47
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	8067 9 6	8562 8 -	494 14 6	- - -
2	9603 12 -	9491 4 -	- - -	112 8 -
3	8781 - -	9090 8 -	309 8 -	- - -
4	7861 12 -	6158 12 -	- - -	1703 - -
5	3954 4 -	4769 9 -	815 5 -	- - -
6	9002 12 6	8552 14 -	- - -	449 14 6
7	13836 12 -	14799 - -	962 4 -	- - -
8	18784 15 6	20061 14 6	1276 15 -	- - -
9	7482 12 -	9259 8 -	1776 12 -	- - -
10	15710 5 6	15303 1 6	- - -	407 4 -
11	10357 - -	10703 3 -	346 3 -	- - -
12	43395 12 -	37963 8 -	- - -	5432 4 -
13	10518 4 -	12105 4 -	1587 - -	- - -
14	13402 - -	16864 8 -	3462 8 -	- - -
15	10621 - -	37685 - -	27064 - -	- - -
16	14559 9 8	14788 - -	228 8 -	- - -
17	12624 - -	12742 12 -	118 12 -	- - -
18	30728 9 6	33563 - -	2834 6 6	- - -
19	32901 12 6	36040 13 -	3139 - 6	- - -
20	5730 11 6	5421 10 -	- - -	309 1 6
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
21	764 12 -	1177 4 -	412 8 -	- - -
	288689 4 6	325103 13 -	44828 8 6	8414 - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	288689 4 6	325103 13 -	44828 8 6	8414 - -

Total of the Exstra branches of Revenue

	Fasly 1260.	Fasly 1261.	Increase.	Decrease.	Net Increase.	Net Decrease.
	48	49	50	51	52	53
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
	126482 1 3	183538 10 3	58253 - 5	1196 7 5	57056 9 -	- - -
	126253 12 11	138112 4 6	11858 7 7	2589 3 4	11858 7 7	- - -
	178275 9 8	210648 7 1	32454 6 4	81 8 11	32372 13 5	- - -
	253900 15 3	157284 9 9	2358 4 -	98974 9 6	- - -	96616 5 6
	225861 8 2	190853 5 9	3166 8 6	38174 10 11	- - -	35008 2 5
	167099 2 2	302509 4 4	135860 - 8	449 14 6	135410 2 2	- - -
	116666 8 10	123824 12 7	7158 3 9	- - -	7158 3 9	- - -
	249605 1 10	257079 6 4	11458 1 1	3983 12 7	7474 4 6	- - -
	268789 2 6	340233 6 7	71444 4 1	- - -	71444 4 1	- - -
	89428 14 1	108203 10 8	25357 8 7	6582 12 -	18774 12 7	- - -
	274746 5 10	328013 2 8	59759 10 4	6492 13 6	53226 12 10	- - -
	557324 6 4	563399 3 -	12983 9 8	6908 13 -	6074 12 8	- - -
	30861 1 4	32283 9 8	2093 1 9	670 9 5	14228 4 -	- - -
	319938 15 6	330423 3 3	19451 9 11	8967 6 2	10484 3 9	- - -
	297421 15 6	312055 14 2	28071 9 7	13437 10 11	14633 14 8	- - -
	161639 2 6	171877 12 1	10238 9 7	- - -	10238 9 7	- - -
	65514 6 3	72725 4 11	7504 6 8	293 8 -	7210 14 8	- - -
	421650 10 7	434900 7 1	28015 15 2	14766 2 8	13249 12 6	- - -
	550699 6 11	582460 1 8	41507 7 6	9746 12 9	31760 10 9	- - -
	602986 13 3	466794 12 3	62 13 10	136254 14 10	- - -	136192 1 -
	12460 14 3	14751 9 2	2290 10 11	- - -	2290 10 11	- - -
	276824 8 2	268780 1 1	- - -	8044 7 1	- - -	8044 7 1
	67706 3 11	79412 9 3	12189 6 7	483 1 3	11706 5 4	- - -
	5442137 11 -	5670165 8 1	586126 15 10	358099 2 9	503888 13 1	275861 - -
	1681 15 1	571 15 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	1109 15 3
	5443819 10 1	5670737 7 11			503888 13 1	276970 15 3

276970 15 3 Dt Decrease
226917 13 10 Net Increase

4286000 5 8 — 4437679 10 6
1157819 4 5 — 1233057 13 5

2140687 13 - — 2743819 6
48001 7 6 — 50722 3 6

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

Total including Esetra branches of Revenue.

	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase.	Decrease.	Net. Increase.	Net. Decrease.
	54 Rs a p	55 Rs a p	56 Rs a p	57 Rs a p	58 Rs a p	59 Rs a p
1	628665 4 2	690186 3 9	62717 7 -	1196 7 5	61520 15 7	- - -
2	583161 15 2	580022 6 1	14447 10 11	17587 4 -	- - -	3139 9 1
3	1048076 14 3	1069550 9 6	32454 6 4	10980 11 1	21473 11 3	- - -
4	544209 12 2	458811 2 -	13494 15 4	98974 9 6	- - -	85479 10 2
5	643761 3 9	567694 4 8	3166 8 6	79233 7 7	- - -	76066 15 1
6	397760 12 1	642970 9 8	245659 12 1	4469 14 6	245209 13 7	- - -
7	640311 - 2	610517 5 8	7158 3 9	36951 14 3	- - -	29793 10 6
8	832807 12 11	897883 4 -	69059 3 8	3983 12 7	65075 7 1	- - -
9	1080017 4 1	1113544 11 7	71444 4 1	37916 12 7	33527 7 6	- - -
10	830795 14 10	1219553 2 8	395339 15 10	6582 12 -	388757 3 10	- - -
11	938644 12 5	1034786 8 4	102634 9 5	6492 13 6	96141 11 11	- - -
12	1420753 - 2	1301382 15 5	12983 9 8	132353 10 5	- - -	119370 - 9
13	689743 6 11	708904 7 8	19831 10 2	670 9 5	19161 - 9	- - -
14	965277 11 10	1026254 2 4	69943 12 8	8967 6 2	60976 6 6	- - -
15	1246475 4 5	1296033 13 8	62996 4 2	13437 10 11	49558 9 3	- - -
16	855046 15 4	927440 15 7	72394 - 3	- - -	72394 - 3	- - -
17	685094 14 -	697822 4 1	13020 14 1	293 8 -	12727 6 1	- - -
18	625460 5 5	645054 8 5	34360 5 8	14766 2 8	19594 3 -	- - -
19	1198144 11 4	1227448 9 6	41507 7 6	12200 9 4	29306 14 2	- - -
20	629710 - -	493057 14 3	62 13 10	136714 15 7	- - -	136652 1 9
	12460 14 3	14751 9 2	2290 10 11	- - -	2290 10 11	- - -
	276824 8 2	268780 1 1	" - -	8044 7 1	- - -	8044 7 1
21	2984296 9	4788134 5	180866 14 11	4831 3	180383 13 8	- - -
	17071711 12 7	17971264 13 6	1527835 8 9	628282 7 10	1358099 7 4	4585546 6 5
	452351 5	282851 1 3			5880 5 -	22829 11 2
	17116946 14 -	17999550 8 9			1363979 12 4	481376 1 7
					481376 1 7	Dt Decrease
	1157805 7 1	1238931 2 1			882603 10 9	Net Increase
	553888 13 11	560738 8 8				

Collections of old Balances.

Land Revenue.

Sayer

	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1260.	Fusly 1261.	Increase.	Decrease.
	60 Rs a p	61 Rs a p	62 Rs a p	63 Rs a p	64 Rs a p	65 Rs a p	66 Rs a p	67 Rs a p
	21575 3 9	6900 7 1	- - -	14674 12 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	26 6 9	1149 9 5	1123 2 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	825 - 5	387 6 5	- - -	437 10 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	960 10 6	1775 3 -	814 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	186 2 10	260 9 5	74 6 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	11 5 9	163 - 9	151 11 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	867 8 3	572 4 -	- - -	295 4 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	499 4 2	921 8 1	422 3 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	3427 12 4	2526 9 1	- - -	905 3 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	1325 4 5	1938 1 5	612 13 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	673 14 1	8 15 5	- - -	664 14 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	695 15 3	209 4 7	- - -	486 10 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	391 1 8	387 13 1	- - -	3 4 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	648 - 2	784 15 11	136 15 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	404 1 -	1047 10 4	643 9 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	127 3 2	255 11 2	128 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	10023 12 3	5500 11 9	- - -	4523 - 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	679 14 3	963 4 10	283 6 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	204 9 4	1960 9 8	1756 - 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	43553 2 4	27713 11 5	6147 5 8	21986 12 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

4085

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

Abkarry.

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.

Motarpha.

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease			Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	68			69			70			71			72			73			74			75		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	577	14	10	61	6	2	-	-	-	516	8	8	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-
4	359	1	8	-	5	4	-	-	-	358	12	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	10	11	123	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	7	-	-	11	9	-	-	-	-	11	3
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	5	-
12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	103	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	6	-
17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	1	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	394	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	394	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1331	3	5	61	11	6	-	-	-	1269	7	11	59	8	11	247	14	7	-	-	-	-	-	-

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	76			77			78			79		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
	-	-	-	15	8	6	61	14	2	46	5	8
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	8	-	3	8	-
	-	-	-	1	9	2	10	13	-	9	3	10
	90	5	10	-	-	-	32	11	2	31	7	4
	15	8	-	-	-	-	21	3	11	24	5	2
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	9	8	2	-	3
	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	7	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	13	11	20	15	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	1	9	9	-	-
	214	7	4	26	23		291	29		262	6	4

	Fusly 1260			Fusly 1261			Increase			Decrease		
	74			75			76			77		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
	-	-	-	-	11	-	15	8	6	61	14	2
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	8	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	9	2	10	13	-
	90	5	10	-	-	-	32	11	2	31	7	4
	15	8	-	-	-	-	21	3	11	24	5	2
	-	-	-	-	11	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	9	8	2	-	3
	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	7	-	-	-
	-	-	-	8	5	-	18	13	11	20	15	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	14	3	-	-	-
	103	7	10	-	-	-	98	13	6	39	14	10
	-	-	-	2	6	-	32	10	8	55	14	11
	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	9	7	-	14	1
	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	10	9	-	-	-
	5	1	8	-	-	-	6	6	4	1	11	6
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	1	9	9	-	-
	214	7	4	26	23		291	29		262	6	4

	Increase			Decrease			Motarpha					
	78			79								
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p						
	46	5	8	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	7	-						
	3	8	-	-	-	-						
	9	3	10	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	1	3	10						
	3	1	3	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	10	9	5						
	-	-	-	23	-	7						
	2	1	2	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	14	3	-						
	23	4	3	58	14	8						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	11	6	-						
	-	-	-	8	10	9						
	-	-	-	4	10	10						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	-	-	-	7	1	9						
	87	8	2	116	4	7						

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

Tobacco.

	Fusly 1260.			Fusly 1261.			Increase.			Decrease.			Total. Fusly 1260.			Total. Fusly 1261.		
	80			81			82			83			84			85		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22,169	6	1	7023	11	8
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	14	9	1149	9	8
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	359	1	8	3	13	4
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	826	9	7	398	3	8
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1026	-	7	1929	11	1
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	207	6	9	300	6	7
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	9	163	12	6
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	880	1	11	574	14	3
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	522	14	9	921	8	1
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3454	15	3	2547	8	2
12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1325	14	5	1938	1	3
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	673	14	1	8	15	5
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	708	13	6	209	14	7
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	489	15	2	531	3	9
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	683	-	10	840	14	10
17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	405	10	7	1048	8	5
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	135	13	11	255	11	3
19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10030	2	7	5507	8	11
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	679	14	3	963	14	10
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	614	14	-	1969	9	8
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45235	1	5	28285	11	3

Grand Total of Collections.

	Increase.			Decrease.			Fusly 1260.			Fusly 1261.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	86			87			88			89			90			91		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	-	-	-	15145	10	8	650834	10	3	697209	15	2	46375	4	11	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	583161	15	2	580022	6	1	-			3139	9	1
3	1120	10	8	-	-	-	1048105	13	-	1070700	2	11	22594	5	11	-	-	-
4	-	-	-	355	4	4	544449	13	10	458814	15	4	-	-	-	85834	14	6
5	-	-	-	428	6	2	644587	13	4	568092	8	1	-	-	-	76495	5	3
6	903	10	6	-	-	-	398786	12	8	644900	4	9	246113	8	1	-	-	-
7	92	15	10	-	-	-	640518	6	11	610817	12	3	-	-	-	29700	10	8
8	150	15	9	-	-	-	832820	9	8	898047	-	6	65226	6	10	-	-	-
9	-	-	-	305	13	8	1080897	6	-	1114118	15	10	33221	9	10	-	-	-
10	399	3	4	-	-	-	831318	3	7	1220474	10	9	389156	7	2	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	907	7	1	942099	11	8	1037334	-	6	95234	4	10	-	-	-
12	612	13	-	-	-	-	1422078	4	7	1303321	-	10	-	-	-	118757	3	9
13	-	-	-	664	14	8	690417	5	-	708913	7	1	18496	2	1	-	-	-
14	-	-	-	499	8	11	965986	9	4	1026463	6	11	60476	13	7	-	-	-
15	41	4	7	-	-	-	1246965	3	7	1296565	1	5	49599	13	10	-	-	-
16	157	14	-	-	-	-	855730	-	2	928281	14	5	72551	14	3	-	-	-
17	642	13	10	-	-	-	685500	8	7	698870	12	6	13370	3	11	-	-	-
18	119	13	3	-	-	-	625566	3	4	645310	3	7	19714	-	3	-	-	-
19	-	-	-	4522	9	8	1208171	13	11	1232956	2	5	24784	4	6	-	-	-
20	283	6	7	-	-	-	630389	14	3	649021	3	1	-	-	-	136368	11	2
	-	-	-	-	-	-	124614	3		14751	9	2	2290	10	11	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	276824	8	2	268780	1	1	-	-	-	8044	7	1
21	1354	11	8	-	-	-	2990444	9		480782	14	1	181738	9	4	-	-	-
	5880	5	-	22829	11	2	11716946	14	-	17999550	8	9	1340944	8	3	458340	13	6

458340 13 6 Decrease

882603 10 9 Net Increase

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

	Of old Balance or balance of more than 15 years standing			Balance under 15 years standing			Total			Particulars of Arrears of Fusly 1260 and Balance Land Revenue on			Balances of prior date in train of liquidation.						Total Land Revenues		
													Permanently settled			Land Revenue not permanently settled					
										January Mootah		Minors	Sequestered		Total	Rented		Amany			
	92			93			94			95			96			97			98			99			100			101		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1		23	15	4	43492	9	3	43516	8	7	24452	12	6	272	1	8	"	-	-	24724	14	2	-	-	-	4702	3	2	29427	1	4
2		-	-	-	125	3	9	125	3	9	11995	10	8				15504	7	2	27460	1	10	-	-	-	16027	-	-	43487	1	10
3		-	-	-	70183	4	11	70183	4	11	4270	1	3				-	-	-	4270	1	3	-	-	-	22569	14	6	26839	15	9
4		-	-	-	477	6	1	477	6	1	12457	12	2				963721	11	-	233635	2	11	341	-	9	394305	5	2	273281	8	10
5	15946	11	8	514335	9	7	673792	5	3				130133	11	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81250	4	3	81250	4	3
6		-	-	6257	9	8	6257	9	8							-	-	-	1824	13	6	144	15	-	10612	11	2	12582	7	8
7		-	-	14221	10	9	14221	10	9	879	1	3	945	12	3	-	-	-	14959	12	3	-	-	-	5383	-	10	20342	13	1
8		-	-	104	15	5	104	15	5	14959	12	3				19459	7	7	27479	6	2	-	-	-	609	4	1	28088	10	3
9		592	7	1	29367	1	7	29959	8	8	8019	14	7				-	-	-	1586	3	2	-	-	-	186268	2	5	187854	5	7
10		-	-	-	352	5	11	352	5	11	1586	3	2				-	-	-	20833	8	-	-	-	-	22304	11	23063	12	11	
11		-	-	-	38338	7	6	38338	7	6	20833	8	-				-	-	-	642	10	11	-	-	-	3168	7	2	3811	2	1
12	14322	7	11	129306	6	7	143628	14	6	642	10	11				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23894	2	8	23894	2	8
13		-	-	31033	15	5	31033	15	5	"	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2917	1	10	2917	1	10
14	5416	6	9	11631	13	6	17048	4	3	"	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1654	3	11	2396	12	6
15		-	-	5222	12	7	5222	12	7	"	-	-				742	8	7	742	8	7	-	-	-	8702	-	5	8702	-	5
16		-	-	5497	8	8	5497	8	8	"	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17111	1	8	17111	1	8
17		-	-	7368	15	6	7368	15	6	"	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4503	1	1	4503	1	1
18		-	-	267	1	8	267	1	8	"	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1296	15	4	1296	15	4
19		-	-	7563	5	10	7563	5	10	15000	-	11				-	-	-	15000	-	11	61	6	7	986	13	6	16048	5	-
20		196	6	9	20987	11	1	21184	1	10						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6968	10	6	6968	10	6
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		"	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21		-	-	-	24050	2	7	24050	2	7						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12253	7	3	12253	7	3
	180008	7	6	960186	1	10	1140194	9	4	134837	7	8	13135	9	8	99428	2	4	247915	3	8	547	6	4	807414	3	10	3287120	13	10

4088

Fort St George

22nd March 1852

Balance of current Demand of Fusly 1261.

Revenue

Extra

	Salt.	Sayer.	Abkarry.	Sundry small Farms and Licenses.	Motarpha.	Sea Customs.	Tobacco.	Stamps.	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109.	Land Revenue.	Extra Revenue.	Total.
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	- - -	- - -	238 3 -	59 4 -	6 5 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	29,730 14 2	69,942 8 4	12,876 3 6	82,818 11 10
2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	29 7 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	43516 9 9	4,703 4 7	2,876 13 4	7,580 1 11
3	- - -	- - -	1754 9 11	6 4 -	85 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	26,931 15 9	43,106 10 1	25 - -	43131 10 1
4	- - -	- - -	- - -	27 7 1	191 6 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	2736255 - 4	55,609 10 11	2284 14 -	57894 8 11
5	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	58 1 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	84,308 5 10	- - -	- - -	- - -
6	- - -	- - -	- - -	895 5 3	432 - 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	13,909 13 10	1,925 12 4	18,993 1 4	20,918 13 8
7	- - -	- - -	- - -	33 12 8	137 5 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	2,0513 15 1	4,54,28 14 11	2342 - -	47,770 14 11
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	26 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	28,114 14 3	12,794 8 -	1754 12 -	14,549 4 -
9	- - -	- - -	1065 1 -	- - -	81 - 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	189,000 7 2	17,178 9 10	880 14 4	18,059 8 2
10	- - -	- - -	5000 - -	- - -	72 - 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	28135 13 -	1,675 8 -	31,862 - -	33537 8 -
11	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	17 8 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	3828 10 11	535 5 10	6644 3 7	7,179 9 5
12	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	23,894 2 8	19,0877 8 1	11188 - -	202,065 8 1
13	- - -	- - -	- - -	6 - 3	12 6 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	2,917 1 10	699 - -	135 - -	834 - -
14	- - -	- - -	- - -	57 8 -	857 1 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	24,15 2 10	7,881 7 10	- - -	7,881 7 10
15	- - -	- - -	- - -	42 - -	660 7 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	9,616 10 4	- - -	1139 14 -	1139 14 -
16	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	453 13 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	17813 9 1	- - -	- - -	- - -
17	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	10 6 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	4956 14 7	- - -	2752 - -	2752 - -
18	- - -	- - -	13 10 6	431 2 6	84 10 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	1307 6 -	2,83222 6 4	23924 5 8	3,07146 12 -
19	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	16557 12 7	13,176 1 3	1396 6 5	14,572 7 8
20	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	6968 10 6	19,604 2 8	1914 3 3	21518 5 11
21	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,607 6 7	- - -	922 9 8	922 9 8
	- - -	- - -	8,071 8 5	1,584 15 9	3,543 15 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	3300321 5 1	7,68,361 7 -	123912 5 1	8,92273 12 1

Fort St George 22nd March 1852

| | | |

C. Abstract Shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources up to 31st January 1852.

	Land Revenue.				Extra sources of Revenue			
	Increase.		Decrease.		Increase.		Decrease.	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Ganjam	-	- -	10,210	6 1	56,585	11 -	-	- -
Vizagapatam	-	- -	14,998	- 8	11,858	7 7	-	- -
Rajahmundry	-	- -	9,775	15 6	32,370	5 5	-	- -
Masulipatam	11,136	11 4	-	- -	-	- -	96,971	9 10
Guntoor	-	- -	41,496	6 8	-	- -	34,998	14 7
Chingleput	110,614	3 11	-	- -	135,499	4 2	-	- -
Salem	-	- -	36,877	7 8	7,176	13 -	-	- -
Madura	57,752	13 7	-	- -	7,473	9 3	-	- -
Nellore	-	- -	38,212	- 10	71,433	10 8	-	- -
Nr Dr of Arcot	370,404	11 2	-	- -	18,751	12 -	-	- -
Sr Dr of Arcot	41,973	11 10	-	- -	53,260	9 -	-	- -
Tanjore	-	- -	124,832	- 5	60,744	12 8	-	- -
Trichinopoly	17,073	9 9	-	- -	1,422	8 4	-	- -
Tinnevelly	50,005	8 1	-	- -	10,471	5 6	-	- -
Bellary	34,921	6 -	-	- -	1,678	7 10	-	- -
Cuddapah	62,292	6 5	-	- -	10,259	7 10	-	- -
Coimbatore	6,160	- 9	-	- -	7,210	3 2	-	- -
Canara	6,472	14 6	-	- -	13,241	1 9	-	- -
Malabar	-	- -	6,976	13 1	31,761	1 7	-	- -
Madras — Land Customs	-	- -	176	10 2	-	- -	136,192	1 -
Madras — Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal	-	- -	-	- -	2,290	10 11	-	- -
Madras — Sea Customs	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
Kurnool	170,433	8 8	-	- -	11,305	- 8	-	- -
Total	9,39,241	10 -	2,83,555	13 1	503,124	14 4	2,76,207	- 6
	2,83,555	13 1 Dr Decrease			2,76,207	- 6 Dr Decrease		
	655,685	12 11 Net Increase			226,917	13 10 Net Increase		

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

Resolved

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

42

To The Collector of Vizagapatam.

Bd No 568

Sir,

To The Collector of Vizagapatam.
The same to Masulipatam

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish at an early date the information called for in their Circular dated 20th November 1851, respecting Salt received on account of the Monopoly.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
22nd March, 1852.

(Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

43

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Bd No 575

Sir.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

In Cont 24th Nov 1851.

With reference to the remarks contained in your letter dated 7th October 1851 regarding the Istimrar Village of Shoratoor, I am directed to forward the accompanying Petition No 522 of 1852 for report and to request you to state your opinion on the claims set forth by the Tottiore Zemindar and to what extent if at all they should be

be admitted.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
22nd March, 1852. - - - - } (Signed) W.H. Bayley
Secretary

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

44
B. No 460
From the Collector of Masulipatam.- Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1260.

45
B. No 555
From the Collector of Nellore.- Statement of actuals charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1260.

44-46
Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements.- Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

Read the undermentioned Registers.-

47
B. No 681
From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of January last.-

48
B. No 677
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of February last.

47-49
Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

Statement

M. No 289
From the Acting Collector of North arcot.- - - } Statement shewing the
50 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
51 and Salt for the month of January last.-

M. No 293
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation
52 IX of 1822 for the month of February last.-

M. No 294
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.- - - - } Stating that no persons have been charged with offences or confined under Regulation
53 IX of 1822 for the month of February last.-

M. No 292
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Reporting that the Return of persons confined and released under Regulation IX of 1822
54 was not forwarded for the month of February last.-

M. No 291
From the Collector of Trichinopoly.- Stating that the return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 was not forwarded for the month of February last.-

Statement

M. No 290
From the Collector of Nellore.- Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent up to 8th March 1852.-

55

" Goldingham

Compd. Statt.-

" W Blane
"

Diary

23rd March - Received the following letter.

To D. White Esqre
Collector and Magistrate
of Nellore -

1

Bd No 712
From the Collector of Nellore
Sooloorpett 18th March 1852

Sir.

I have the honor to request you will be so good as to forward to the Board my application for nine days leave under Section XI / Amended / of the Absentee Rules to visit the Presidency on private Affairs.

/ Signed B. Pauncefote
Ag. Head Assist. Collector.

Mr Pauncefote having rejoined the District on the 17th Instant and not having availed himself of the full period of the extension of leave granted him under date 9th March 1852 the Collector sees no objection to the leave of absence solicited in this application being granted.-

Nellore District
Collector's Cutcherry
Kistnapatam
20th March 1852

/ Signed D. White
Collector

Ordered -

(1-2)

Order thereon - 23d - " Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government -

---

At a Meeting Present -

Thursday 25th March 1852 } J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member and T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

---

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member absent on duty As Commissr. Nrn. Circars -

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved -

3

B. of R. 626 -

Read the following Extract

No. 186 -

From the Secy. to Government Judicial Department under date the 9th March 1852 -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Read the following letter

No. 146 -

To T. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Home Departt Legislative

Sir,

With reference to previous correspondence on the subject, I am directed to forward

forward the accompanying Draft Act "for the better regulation of Cantonments" and to request that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will favor the Supreme Government with any observations or suggestions on its provisions which may appear to him to be called for -

Fort William The 6th February 1852 }

I have &c
Signed A. R. Young
Under Secy to the Govt of India.

No. 1

Fort William

Home Department

Legislative

The 6th February 1852.

The following Draft of a proposed Act was read in Council for the first time on the 6th of February 1852 -

Act No. — of 1852 -

An Act for the better regulation of Cantonments -

For the better regulation of Cantonments it is hereby enacted as follows -

I. Any person whether European or Native, not being a Soldier or amenable to the Articles of War, or a Camp Follower, who shall convey or attempt to convey any liquor, Wine or intoxicating drugs of any discription into any Military Cantonment or Bazar without a Written authority from the Officer Commanding at the Station or who shall without such Authority Sell or supply

a Sect

Fort St. George 25th. March 1852.

the same to any European Soldier or European Woman in any such Cantonment or Bazar shall be liable on conviction before any Magistrate or Justice of the peace or such other Officer as the local Government shall think fit to empower on that behalf, to a fine not exceeding Forty Rupees or in the discretion of the said Magistrate Justice or other Officer to imprisonment with or without hard labour, for any period not exceeding two calendar Months, and in case of conviction the said Magistrate justice, or other Officer may adjudge the said liquor, Wine, or drugs and the Vessels containing the same, to be Forfeited to Government and may sell or otherwise dispose of the same for the benefit of Government.

Ordered that the Draft now read be published for general information.

Ordered that the said Draft be re-considered at the first Meeting of the Legislative Council of India after the 6th day of May next.

(Signed) Fred. Jas. Halliday
Secy to the Govt of India

Ordered.

Fort St. George 25th. March 1852.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the Draft Act which accompanied it be forwarded to the Judges of the Sudr Adalut and to the Board of Revenue for any observations or suggestions on its provisions they may respectively desire to offer and that the opinion of the Military Authorities concerned be also obtained through the Military Department.

(True Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Ordered thereon.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

No. 107.

3-4

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Bd. No. 583.
To the Chief Secy to Government.
In Cons 25th March 1852.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th March 1852 forwarding a Draft Act for the better regulation of cantonments and requesting them to submit any suggestions or observations on its provisions they may desire to offer.

2- In reply I am desired to state that

that the Board do not see any thing more to be required than is provided for in the Draft-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th. March 1852

Signed W. H. Bayley
Secretary-

5

B. of R. 637-

From the Secy. to Government Reviewing the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Nellore for Fasly 1260-

Read the following Extracts-

No. 243.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department Under date the 12th. March 1852-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

Here enter 12th February 1852, No. 62/

Para 1. The Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Nellore for Fasly 1260 Amounted to Rupees 6,23,021 being Rupees 7,9147 below that of the previous Fasly- The Current Collection Amounted to Rupees 5,20,491, and the balance of Rupees 2529 outstanding at the close of the year was due chiefly under the heads of Abkarry and Moturpha-

2- With the exception of an increase in Moturpha and Stamps there was a decrease under all heads but most remarkable in Salt in which the deficiency Rupees 7,616 is shewn to have occurred in the Sales for

a Rupees 26,583
b Rs— 13,579
c Rs. 38625-

for Inland trade for transport to Foreign States and for Export by Sea- The Sales for Home Consumption realized Rupees 200,673 or a trifle above Fasly 1259, and it is stated might have been greater, but for the failure during a portion of the year of the Supply in two Cotaurs of the Tudda Division- The Government observe no reason assigned for the deficiency in these Parts, and they desire that in future care may be taken that the manufacture of the article is not allowed to run short of the possible demands for it-

3- The decrease in the Sales for Inland traffic is attributed to various causes- In the Pundarty Cotaurs to the Brinjaries who usually frequented them having resorted to the Cotaurs of the Guntoor District in Es Rapully to an extended manufacture of Earth Salt in the neighbouring Districts of Bellary, Cuddapah and Kurnool having exercised an influence in the Sale of Sea Salt, and in the Tudda Cotaurs to the absence of those Brinjaries who resorted to them in the previous year on account of the investigations then in progress in the Salt Department of the North Arcot and Chingleput Districts which they usually frequented-

The

4- The decrease in the Exports by Sea is owing to the diminished quantity indented for from Chittagong and to the loss of certain Vessels lying off the Coast ready to receive their Cargoes

5- The demand on account of Stamps Rupees 13785 was Rupees 1515 above that of the preceding Fusly, the increase being attributed to the large Number of Suits filed in the Civil Courts during the year under review

6- The fluctuations under the remaining heads are not great importance and call for no particular notice from Government- It should however be remarked that the balance of Arrears at the close of the Fusly was considerable and more than double that outstanding at the end of the preceding year-

7- The Right Honorable the Governor-in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Nellore Collectorate the balances of Mokturpha revenue reported to be irrecoverable amounting to Rupees 1731-2-9 Seven hundred and thirty One, Annas two and pice Nine- The Board recommend that the demand of Mokturpha should in future be limited to the last four of the Six items alluded to in paras 13 and 14 of their Proceedings-

The

The Right Honorable the Governor-in Council however observes that these four last taxes have only been recently revived after having been for many years in abeyance- He considers it objectionable that Collectors should thus of their own authority reimpose such items after long periods of suspension particularly in the present state of the Mokturpha question and he therefore desires that the Collection of none of the Six taxes alluded to be proceeded with until the general question of the revision of the Mokturpha shall have been disposed of-

8- Including Extra Items and Arrears, the Gross Collections of the year Amounted to Rupees 5,23,475 deducting Charges Rupees 68,774, the net revenue Rupees 4,54,701 was Rupees 7,093 below that of Fusly 1259-

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

5-6

Bd. No. 596-
Order thereon-

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Nellore with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 12th Feby 1852-

2- Mr. White's attention is called to paras 247 of the above Extract from Minutes of Consultation-

Extract

7

Bu. No. 667.

From the Secy. to Government

Forwarding a letter from the Dy. M.G. General of the Army with its accompaniment intimating that in a journey between Cumbum and Hurnool Teak Red Wood, and Ebony are procurable with instructions to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah and Agent in Hurnool for Report.

Fort St. George 25th. March 1852.

No. 258.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department Under date the 17th. March 1852.

Read the following

No. 579.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation. Military Department 28th. Feby 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 414.

To, Lieutenant Colonel C. A. Browne
Secretary to Government
Military Department

Sir,

I have the honorby order of His Excellency the Commander in Chief to inform the Government that on a recent journey performed by Captain Dallas Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General Ceded Districts from Cumbum to Hurnool by the Yelligode Pass that Officer has discovered that in the Jungle between Cumbum and a little beyond the Pass, Teak Red Wood, and Ebony, are procurable. An Extract from his letter I have the honor to subjoin. His Excellency thinks that

Government

Government would perhaps like to know more of this forests resources.

Quarter Master General Office, Fort St. George 9th. February 1852.

Signed F. A. Reid
Quarter Master General

Extract from a letter from the Deputy Assistant Quarter Master General Ceded Districts to the Quarter Master General of the Army dated Bellary 26th. January 1852.

"The belt of dense jungle thus crossed measures about 18 Miles, a considerable proportion of which is covered with lofty trees of teak and red wood from which an ample supply of fine timber, the want of which is much experienced at Bellary, might be obtained at all Seasons and in any quantity. Ebony is likewise found here. I saw some fine Specimens of trees of this description of Wood at Hurnool. Large Clumps of bamboos are every where interspersed with the trees, but there is very little high grass, and on this account I do not believe that this part of these jungles is affected by Malaria except perhaps immediately after

after the rains".

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed T. Gilliland
By Actg. 2d. Asst. Genl. of the Army

Ordered to be communicated to the Revenue Department and to the Military Board and Commissary General with a view to further inquiries being instituted on its subject=

/ Signed / C. A. Browne Lieut. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the letter from the Quarter Master General of the Army read with the above Extract be forwarded through the Board of Revenue to the Collector of Cuddapah and Agent in Kurnool for report=

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

(7-8)

Bd. No. 607.

Order thereon - Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collectors of Cuddapah and the Agent in Kurnool for report=

Read again Statement from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 9th. and entered in Consultation 26th. February 1852 of Extra Tent allowances drawn by himself and his Subordinates for Fusly 1260=

Read

Read the following letter=

No. 6.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(9)

Bd. No. 648

From the Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir.

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 26th. Instant requiring explanation regarding my protracted stay at Rajahmundry with my Assistant Mr. Gostling during Fusly 1260. I have the honor to refer you to the orders of Government bearing date 31st. May 1850 wherein I was desired to take charge of the Ancient Supply Department and to remain as much as possible in the Vicinity so as to afford all possible assistance to the Civil Engineers Department. My Assistant Mr. Gostling having just joined remained with my Cutcherry in order to be initiated into the Mysteries of the Craft=

Rajahmundry District
Samuel Cottah
9th. March 1852

/ Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

Proceedings

Fort St. George 25th. March 1852.

9-10

Bd. No. 590.

Proceedings thereon

Under the explanation afforded in the letter recorded above the Board sanction the Extra Tent allowance drawn by each Officer for the Number of days noted in the Margin, during Fasly 1260.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor for his information.

Mr. T. Prendergast Collr.	for 326 days
" H. Forbes Sub Collr.	for 10 "
" E. Fane Asstt. Sub Collr.	for 213 "
" G. R. Taylor Sub Collr.	for 109 "
" H. C. Master Ag. Head Asstt. Collr. doing duty of Sub Collr.	for 13 "
" Do. doing duty H. Asstt. Collr.	for 188 "
" C. " Gostling Asstt. Collr.	for 218 "

Fort St. George 25th. March 1852.

Bd. No. 693.

From the Collector of Nellore.

11

Read Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees incurred for the year 1851.—

11-12

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

No. 5.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

13

Bd. No. 588.

From the Agent to the Governor at Rurnool.

Furnishing certain information on the subject of effecting improvements in the Town of Rurnool under the provisions of act XXVI of 1850.

Sir,

1. In reply to the Esctract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th. October 1851 I have the honor to state, that after careful enjury and consideration I am led to the opinion, that the prospect is very remote, for effecting any improvements in the Town of Rurnool thro' the provisions of act XXVI of 1850, owing to the social habits, and caste prejudices of the Mahomedan population, which for the Mass of its inhabitants:—

I

× Dated 20th Febry 1852 No. 9.

2- I beg to enclose a Copy of a letter on the subject, from my Assistant Captain Russell who is thoroughly conversant with the habits and feeling of all classes of the Mahomedan community and it will be observed that his views, and the result of various conversations he has held with the most intelligent and influential inhabitants, lead to no expectation of an opening for the early introduction of the act, in this District.

Kurnool District,
Government Agent's Office,
On Circuit at Alawoor,
28th February 1852.

(Signed) T: B: A: Conway.
Agent to the Governor.

No. 9.

To, T: B: A: Conway Esqre
Commissioner Kurnool.

Sir,

22d January 1852.

° Circular dated 19th August 1850.
Extract dated 16th October 1851.

In reply to your × communication and the ° enclosures which accompanied it herewith returned, I have the honor to inform you, that I have at different times conversed with the most intelligent and influential inhabitants of Kurnool, about the introduction in that Town of the operation of act XXVI of 1850, but

I fear the time is far distant yet, when so much wanted and desirable an object shall be attained, or the obstacles be removed; poverty and prejudice, which preclude their availing themselves of the provisions of this act.-

2- The Mass of the population of Kurnool being chiefly poor Mahomedans, every year becoming poorer from want of the occupation they had formerly, and of industry to replace it by personal exertion, whose females are debarred from going abroad as Hindoos may, yet cannot keep servants, the narrowness of the streets, a crowded Town, necessarily much confined by it's position at the junction of two rivers, the Hindree and Tumbuddra, the practice of locating bullocks and buffaloes within the Town, the Market held in the streets; all these cause Kurnool to be a dirty unhealthy Town, in which there is a greater call than in most, for the introduction of a system to make better provision for purposes connected with public health and convenience, but as every inhabitant able to pay excepting Pathans, Brahmins and Guzeraties,

already

already pay a house tax, it would be impossible to induce them to subscribe separately besides, for improvements and advantages contemplated in Act XXVI of 1850.-

Kurnool District,
Assistant Commissioner's Office
On Circuit, Alamoor
20th February, 1852

(Signed) J. G. Russell
Assistant Commissioner

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. B. A. Conway
Agent to the Governor.

13-14

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letter.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

Bd. No. 589.
From the Collector
of Shinglepet

Sir,

Adverting to Paras 5-6. and 7 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 19th Ultimo, requiring me to submit a Statement shewing the sales of Salt at Covelong for the three years previous and subsequent to 1823 and 1817, I have the honor to subjoin a Memo

Memo furnishing the information enquired-

From the Memo inquestion the Board will observe that the results which caused the orders of the Board in 1823 and 1817 respectively for prohibiting and reviving the Inland sales at the Madras Depôt support generally the sentiments submitted in my communication of the 16th January last in regard to the injurious effects likely to be produced on the Salt trade of this District by the extension of the supply to the Madras Collectorate-

Inland inclusive of Home sales at Covelong.

Fuslies.	Garces.	Rupees.
1230	313	27,177
1231	137	9,570
1232	66	4,611
1233	111	7,915
1234	422	29,569
1235	680	47,631
1236	570	35,668
1253	385	41,758
1254	831	100,719
1255	874	101,816
1256	1	129
1257	237	28,138
1258	231	27,687
1259.	354	42,565

Shinglepet District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarry 6th March, 1852

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

The

Bd. No. 599.

Proceedings thereon-

15-16

In Cons. 15th April 1847-

The figured Statement annexed to the above letter shews that the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 22d December 1823. (Fasly 1233.) prohibiting the sale of Salt at the Madras Cotaurs for Inland consumption, and those of Government of 9th April 1847 (Fasly 1256.) removing the restriction, confirm the Board's view that both orders influenced the Covelong sales and it proves that the Salt Traffic at that place has been greater subsequent to the date of the last order. than it was prior to the date of the first order- It is clear therefore that the Covelong Meerasidars have no real claim to compensation on the ground of a diminution of their Salt sales since Fasly 1256.-

---

Read again return, from the Collector of Chinglepute dated 13th and entered in Miscellaneous Consultation 18th March 1852, of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of January last.-

The

Bd. No. 589.

Proceedings thereon-

17

Page 62.

The Board observe with reference to the foregoing return, that the entries in Column 10 should have been omitted, as no specific period of imprisonment is fixed by the Regulation for the 1st 2d and 3d Class of offences, in Clause 2 Section II. In cases where the parties fail to pay the fine adjudged against them, they should be either kept in custody in the Collector's Cutchery under Section V or remanded over to the Zillah Judge agreeably to Section XIII of the Regulation, and para 49 of the Board's Circular order of 13th July 1826.

Read the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

18

Bd. No. 680.

From the Collector of Chinglepute

+ 21st August 1851-
30th October Do
16th February 1852-

Sir,

Adverting to your letters+ noted in the Margin requiring me to report in what manner I would propose to contract the limits of the Cantonment of St. Thomas' Mount and Palaverum, I have the honor to state that in accordance with the instructions of the Board,

I

I have placed myself in communication with the Military authorities at the above stations, and that I shall submit my Report on the co subject at as an early a date as practicable. I here beg to observe that the Map for the Cantonm of St. Thomas' Mount was only received at a this Office on the 21st Ultimo from the Boar of Revenue, Department of Public Works, to co whom I had applied for the same, and that I have subsequently on the 1st Instant personally inspected the Boundary limits of this Canton=ment. The completion of this Map the Board Revenue are aware, was delayed consequent on the demise of Mr. Vanderputt before it was pub completed.—

Singlaputt District,
Collector's Outcherry,
Pullecarney, 18th March, 1852.

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane.
Collector.

Order thereon—

18-19

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following Memorandum

No. 13.

20

Bd. No. 704

Official Memorandum.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot

The Acting Sub Collector of North Arcot has the honor to forward by this

days past the engraved sheet Map of the District of North Arcot belonging to his Office, as co requested by the Secretary to the Board of Revenue in his Official Memorandum of the 18th Instant.

North Arcot,
Sub Collectors Circuit Cutcherry,
Poloor, 20th March, 1852.

/ Signed / J. H. Goldie
Acting Sub Collector.

Order thereon—

20-21

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

No. 20.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

22

Bd. No. 571.

From the Collector of Salem,

Soliciting authority for the disbursement of certain compensation to the Agraharumdars of Villiaputty and the reduction of the Jodeegy payable on the Village.

Pursuance. 19-11-53.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to request co authority for the disbursement of certain compen=sation to the Agraharumdars of Villiaputty in this District, and the reduction of the Jodeegy payable on the Village, under the co circumstances below stated.—

2. In Fusly 1257 the Anacut at Aroor was destroyed by a flood. It was co resolved to construct a new one at a fresh co site, higher up the river, which when completed, in

in Fusly 1258, was also washed away - It had become necessary to excavate a new Channel in front of the new Anicut, in order to its joining the original main line of Channel - But after the destruction of the new Anicut some delay occurred owing to doubts having arisen whether the dam should again be constructed on the spot which had recently been selected - Finally, it was determined not again to change the locality, and the Anicut has since been entirely rebuilt -

3. The line of the new irrigation Channel is through the lands of the Vullaipatty Agraharum, and occupies the space marginally noted, which is liable to an assessment of Rupees 49-11-3 -

Acres G. A.
3—27—13-

4. The operations for excavating this Channel were first undertaken in Fusly 1258, but not until after the ryots had reaped and housed the first of the two crops of the year. For that Fusly, then, compensation for only the later crop is due - For the three* subsequent Fusleis, however, it is ascertained that the proprietors of the Agraharum have suffered in their Cultivation to an aggregate amount of Rs. 119-13 and

*Rupees 21-13-7. Fusly 1259 to 1261-

at the annual rate of Rupees 49-11-3, and the mortgagee of the estate demands compensation to the above extent, as well as for the early crop of 1258, which however should not, in my opinion be granted - He and the proprietors further demand a reduction by Rupees 49-11-3. of the established jodeegy* of the Agraharum, commencing from the approaching Fusly (1262) owing to an area of Cultivable land yielding that sum having as above stated, been occupied as the area of the new Channel, and I submit that the justice of their application should be recognized - I deem it inadvisable to attempt an exchange of the land through which pass the now abandoned Channel, for an equal portion of what has been newly taken up, both because it is reported not to be fit even for dry Cultivation and may be useful hereafter for such supplies of turf and earth as may be wanted for the protection and repairs of the anicut, but also because the Agraharum dars saddle their acceptance of the exchange by a stipulation that there shall be constructed

*Rupees 2364-8-9-

*Acres G. a. Rs A. P.
11—32—4. 3-12-6
at a mipsal rate of assessment.

constructed for their benefit, a new sluice for a supply of water from the new channel. Independently of other objections, an indulgence of the kind would interfere with the right of water possessed by the Ryots of the Amany Village Arcot, who have protested against its concession.

5. As regards the possibility of the area in question being at any subsequent period convertible into wet land, I would mention that such possibility seems extremely remote, and under any circumstances, that assessing it with a mifsal munjaparte, it would become liable to a demand of not more than 10 Rupees odd the acre.-

6. The net amount of compensation for the disbursement of which authority is solicited is Rupees 173-15-4.-

Salem District,
Tripatoor,
1st March, 1852.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

22-23
Bd. No. 595.
Proceedings thereon.-

Before passing orders on the above letter, the Board would wish to be

be informed what is the area of the Cultivated and of the Waste (Layek.) land in the Agraharum Village of Vullia-putty; the assessment to which the total Cultivated and the total waste would be liable were it a Circar Village and the Total Jodigy now imposed.-

2. They would also wish to know if the Rs 49-11-3 annual loss is merely an estimate formed from the fact that the assessment on the land taken up would be 49-11-3. In this case the teerwah for ac 3-27 g. 13 a. would appear escorbitantly high-

---

No. 29.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

24
Bd. No. 646.
From the Collector of Salem,
Regulation VII of 1822.

Sir,

Adverting to your Board's several orders and to the Regulation marginally quoted, relative to the general control vested in Collectors in all cases arising in the division

division under charge of a Subordinate Collector, I have the honor to request that I may be co informed, should there be no objection, whether with reference to probable inconveniences co and eventual responsibility, a Collector is expected to interpose in any way as regards the period at which (the practice of the co District being held in view) the Sub co Collector should enter upon and prosecute the annual Settlement of the Talooks co forming the Sub Division.—

Salem District, }
Ristnagherry, }
12th March 1852. }

(Signed) H: D: Phillips
Collector

Bd. No. 597.
Proceedings thereon.
(24-25)

The Board are of co opinion that a Collector may require co his Sub Collector to commence his co Jummahbundy

Jummahbundy Settlement at co the usual and most convenient time or call upon him to assign his reasons for not doing so which, if not satisfactory may be referred for the decision of the Board.—

2. Mr. Phillips is informed that the Board are unwilling to give opinions upon hypothetical questions. and they would prefer on all co occasions that particulars of the co case should be submitted to them.—

Read

4125. Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 27.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

26

Bd. No. 697.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

In obedience to the instructions contained in the third Para of your Circular dated 11th Instant, I do have the honor to report that there are no balances outstanding in this District for Fusly 1259.

Trichinopoly District,
Moosery,
Collector's Cutcherry,
17th March, 1852.

(Signed) J. Bird.
Collector.

Order thereon.

(26.27) Ordered to lie over.

Read

4126. Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

Read the following letter.

No. 45/52.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

Bd. No. 510.

From the Collector of Madura.....

Reporting upon Petition No. 229 of 1852

Sir,

I think it my duty to return for the more particular consideration of the Board the accompanying Urzee No. 229 of 1852 from the Vakeel of Ramasawmy Taver, because its nature is such as I think requires especial care in its disposal, and I observe, that the English Abstract does not exhibit the most important Statements of the Petition.-

2 After stating that Ramasawmy Taver intends to sue for the Zemindary, and that the present Incumbent and her Brother are wasting its resources, the Petition goes on to say, that one Vurdiengar a Vakeel of the present Zemindar at Madras has written letters to the Zemindar and her Brother by post stating that he has bribed the Government, the Board and the chief Members of the Suder Udalut relative to the Jaree /leaving in posfession of the Proprietor/ of the Zemindary /past or future Jaree is not clear/ and thus slandered Gentlemen of honor. He adds that the letters from and to

to Vurdiengar are with him and can be produced.-

3. The Petitioner then adverts to the complaints laid before me of the misappropriation by the Zemindar of the Devastanum and Chuttrum Funds. The superintendence of these he states to have been obtained by bribery, and asserts that the correspondence between the Zemindar and Vurdiengar on the subject of the said bribery is with him, and that the Zemindar's party gives out that should the Collector bring the Devastanum frands to the notice of the Board they will make interest to prevent an order for enquiry being despatched in answer.-

4 The professed object of the Petitioner is to procure punishment to the persons who thus blacken the characters of Gentlemen

5. The Board have by Endorsement desired the Petitioner to address the Collector-

6. Should he address me however I should be at loss what steps to take- The charge seems to be slander or libel against certain officers of Government not preferred by those Officers themselves but by a third party pretending regard for their honor.. One, and I should say, the chief party accused is stated to be a Vakeel at Madras- As a Collector it appears to me I cannot deal with the accusation at all, and as a Magistrate I do not perceive how

how I can investigate a charge against a party now resident at Madras for an act done at Madras.

7 The real object of the Petitioner I suspect to be to extort money from or to injure the Zemindar of Ramnad. If the Board will peruse the Urzee in original they will perceive the want of clearness and I should say Grammatical correctness of the language which in parts leaves it doubtful whether Vurdiengar is represented as stating himself to be engaged in bribery now or formerly-

It is not necessary for me to remark that if the Petitioner does possess the letters he states he can hardly have obtained them honestly.

8 It appears to me that the Petitioners should be informed that Vurdiengar can only be proceeded against at Madras and invited to attend there with the correspondence alluded to as in his possession and I would recommend that the Advocate General should be consulted as to the proper mode of proceeding.

9 In the case of Andyapah Pillay to which the Petitioner refers I reported to Government my opinion that slanderous letters had been written from Madras but that I had been unable to obtain possession of them It is generally supposed that Andyapah Pillay's purpose had been answered by my investigation the Zemindar being thereby frightened into paying money for the recovery of the letters.

Madura
28 February 1852

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered

4129

Fort St. George 25th March 1852

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To

The Collector of

Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 23 February 1852. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that their objection in endorsing the Petition 229 of 1852 Petitioner should address the Collector was not to oblige you to make any detailed investigation unless you saw reason yourself so to do either from allusions in the Petition of which you might be more cognizant than the Board. or from facts that might be elicited by personal interrogation of the Petitioner

2. It now appears from your letter that there are no tangible points for you to take up, and therefore the Board do not intend to take further notice of the Petition

3. The Board have directed the following Endorsement now to be made. "The Board having received from the Collector his opinion on the subject of this Petition agree with them that no facts are stated to call for investigation

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th March 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

Read the following Statement.

Statement

28-29

Bd Pr 601
To the Collector of Madura

4130

No 30 Statement shewing the Amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Gurumakkal and Dindigul

Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

Name of the Gurumakkal	No of Instalments	Amount of Arrears	Date of Commencement	Cause of Arrears	Cattle mortgaged	Cattle mortgaged for arrears	Value of the property mortgaged	Cattle mortgaged for the payment of Arrears	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
51 Soba Bhagavatar	1	60 Rs a/c	23rd March 1847	Sickness and poverty	6	6	60 Rs a/c	Six oxen since seized towards the demand = two Bulls kept in the Office	Six persons in the family now consisting of =		

Signed/ W. D. Porter
Collector.

Fort St. George 25th March 1852

B No 588

Remarks thereon

30-31

Under the explanation of the Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the payment of the Arrears of Yeomiah due to Sooba Bhagavateen from 1st June 1849 to 30th November 1851, amounting to Rupees 193-10-7 and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual allowance to him of Rupees 77-6-9.

Read the following letter
No 55 of 1852

32

B No 661

From the Collector of Madura

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board dated the 19 Ultimo, I have the honor to request to be informed whether the Collector directed to attach such portions of the land in dispute between the Pundulgoody and Metilpully Villages, the former in Ramnad and the latter in Tinnevelly is the Collector of Tinnevelly or Collector of Madura. I had imagined the Collector of Tinnevelly as the claiming Party on behalf of Government, because he would be the Officer best able to answer the suit of the Zemindar, which would naturally be brought against the Person making

Fort St. George 25th March 1852

making the attachment, but there is room for doubt on the subject, especially as the Civil Court of Madura as nearest to the disputed spot I have thought it necessary to make a reference.-

Madura
10th March 1852

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

B No 598.

Proceedings thereon.

32-33

As the disputed land is claimed as belonging to the Tinnevelly District, the Board are of opinion that the Collector of that District should carry out the orders contained in the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th February 1852.-

In Cons 9th February 1852.

34

B No 600

Proceedings thereon.

Reporting on the Extra Sources of Revenue of the District of Malabar for Fusly 1260

Read letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 20th January 1852 reporting on the Extra sources of Revenue of his District for Fusly 1260.-

The subjoined Statement exhibiting the Settlement of the year under report compared with that of the past fusly, shews a falling off to the extent of Rupees 13,406-15-5.- Fusly

Fort St. George 25th March 1852

	Fusly 1259.		Fusly 1260.		Increase.		Decrease.		
Salt	1	10	3,30,644	3	11	2977	2	1	
Tobacco	1	3	5,18,072	9	8	"	"	16,910	13 7
Sayer		5 "	902	1	9	32	12	9	" "
Sea Customs	15	2	32,912	11	5	"	"	150	3 9
Abkary	2	3	66,599	10	"	628	1	9	" "
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	11	5	49,154	8	6	"	"	2,025	2 11
Stamps	12	0	62,023	1	"	3,436	4	6	" "
Motorpha	11	3	11,592	9	5	"	"	"	39 6 3
Total	3	8	11,54,538	3	3	7064	11	1	19,41 106
						Deduct Increase			7064 11 1
						Total Decrease			12,406 15 5

The

4134.

Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

Salt.

2. The collections under this branch of revenue amounted to Rupees 3,39,644. 3-11. or on increase of Rupees 2,977-2-1. over those of the preceding year.-

3. In the home sales there was an increase of 6,795- Indian Maunds while in those Inland there was a falling off to the extent of 3929. Indian Maunds.- Mr. Conolly reports that the influx of Contraband Salt continues still to affect the sales of two of the Coast Depots, and the Inland Gollah of Palaghaut- The late orders of Government 9th March 1852 / sanctioning cooperi: = mentally the assimilation of the price of Salt at these Depots with that in Cochin may remedy the evil complained of.—

4 It is satisfactory to observe the favorable rate at which the Salt was purchased for the Monopoly of the District, as Compared with that of Fusly 1259. the difference in favor of Fusly 1260 being Rupees-8-14-1. Per Garce.-

Tobacco. 5. The receipts on account of Tobacco were Rupees 5,18,072-9-8. or Rupees 16,910-13-7. below that of Fusly 1259 mainly attributed to the Continued illegal traffic in the Article, from the Cochin Rajah's Country and the French Settlement of Mahe- more particularly brought

brought to the notice of Government under date 19th June and 23d October 1851.

Sayer. Salt Customs Abkarry

6. The fluctuations in the several branches noted in the margin are of an ordinary nature and require no particular remark.

Small Farms and Licences.

7. In Small Farms and Licences there was a decrease of Rupees 2,035-2-11 and would appear to be principally on account of Ferry Farms as the losses sustained by the renters of Fusly 1259. discouraged biddings when the farms were sold by auction.

Stamps

8. The sales on account of Stamps were Rupees 62,023-1-- or Rupees 3,436-4-6 in excess of the preceding Fusly, and ascribed to the operation of Act XVII of 1848.-

Moturpha

9. The demand on account of Moturpha was Rupees 1,15,929-5-- or Rupees 379-6-3 below that of the previous year. At first there was an increase in this branch of revenue, but owing to the large remissions which it was found necessary to make, the result has been a decrease as shewn above.-

In Cons. 12th May 1851

10. Of the balance of Rupees 3343-8-9 outstanding at the beginning of Fusly 1260, the sum of Rupees 2314-7-3 was realized within the Fusly, and Rupees 175-9-4 written off under the orders of Government dated 29th April 1851. thus leaving Rupees 853-8-2 to be still collected. From this last named amount Rupees 176--5 are stated to

to be irrecoverable and the Board beg to recommend that the Collector be authorized to write off the same from his accounts

11 Of the Total demand Rupees 11,83,000-8-- were brought to account within the Fusly leaving Rupees 1,537-11-3 to be recovered hereafter

12. The charges of the year amounted to Rupees 2,12,973--1 or Rupees 76,631-2-3 below those of Fusly 1259 arising chiefly from a lower rate having been paid and a less quantity of Salt purchased in the year as well as to a reduced quantity of Tobacco purchased and a lower rate paid for it.

13. Deducting the charges from the Gross Collections including current Extra and Arrears which amounted to Rupees 11,85,682--2 there remained a Net Revenue of Rupees 9,72,709-0-1 or Rupees 67,717-12-2 above that of Fusly 1259.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be furnished to the Collector of Malabar.

Malabar

Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

Malabar Fusly 1260.

		Fusly 1259.			Fusly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease		
		Rupees	A.s	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Salt	Demand	3,36667	1	10	3,39644	3	11	2977	2	1	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,36667	1	10	3,39644	3	11	2977	2	1	"	"	"
	Extra D.o	26	4	5	3	1	1	"	"	"	23	3	4
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	3,36693	6	3	3,39647	5	"	2953	14	9	"	"	"
	Charges	1,26910	3	5	77913	3	2	"	"	"	48997	"	3
	Net Revenue	2,09783	2	10	2,61734	1	10	51950	15	"	"	"	"
	Demand	5,34983	7	3	5,18072	9	8	"	"	"	16910	13	7
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	5,34983	7	3	5,18072	9	8	"	"	"	16910	13	7
	Extra D.o	25	8	4	143	1	3	121	9	3			

Tobacco	Arrears														
	Total	5,25008	15	7	5,18219	11	3		"	"	"	16789	4	4
	Charges	1,32820	10	2	1,06065	3	2		"	"	"	26755	7	"
	Net Revenue	402188	5	5	4,12154	8	1		9966	2	8			
Sayer	Demand	179	5	"	202	1	9		22	12	9	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	179	5	"	202	1	9		22	12	9	"	"	"
	Extra D°	"	"	"	1	3	6		1	3	6	"	"	"
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	179	5	"	203	5	3		24	"	3	"	"	"
	Charges	2549	"	9	2559	7	"		10	6	3	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
Sea Customs	Demand	33068	15	2	32912	11	5		"	"	"	156	3	9
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	33068	15	2	32912	11	5		"	"	"	156	3	9
	Extra D°	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	33068	15	2	32912	11	5		"	"	"	156	3	9
	Charges	17815	13	9	15789	10	1		"	"	"	2026	3	8
	Net Revenue	15253	1	5	17123	1	4		1869	15	11	"	"	"
Abharry	Demand	65971	2	3	66599	10	"		628	7	9	"	"	"
	Balance in the 12th July	1012	1	3	98	13	6		"	"	"	913	3	9
	Current Collection	64959	1	"	64500	2	6		1541	11	6	"	"	"
	Extra D°	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	30	3	1	1006	4	8		976	1	7	"	"	"
	Total	64989	4	1	67507	1	2		2517	13	1	"	"	"
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	64989	4	1	67507	1	2		2517	13	1	"	"	"
Sundry small Farms and Licences	Demand	51179	11	5	49154	8	6		"	"	"	2025	2	11
	Balance on the 12th July	1012	9	2	836	5	3		"	"	"	176	3	11
	Current Collection	50167	2	3	48318	3	3		"	"	"	1848	15	"
	Extra D°	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	148	8	"	717	8	"		569	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	50315	10	3	49035	11	3		"	"	"	1279	15	"
	Charges	652	8	"	630	"	"		"	"	"	22	8	"
	Net Revenue	49663	2	3	48405	11	3		"	4	"	1257	7	4
Stamps	Demand	58586	12	6	62023	1	"		3436	4	6	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	58586	12	6	62023	1	"		3436	4	6	"	"	"
	Extra D°	10	9	"	215	10	9		205	1	9	"	"	"
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	58597	5	6	62238	11	9		3641	6	3	"	"	"
	Charges	8855	14	3	10015	8	8		1159	10	5	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	49741	7	3	52223	3	1		2484	14	10	"	"	"

4138

Fort St. George 25th March 1852.

| | | |

is precluded, by the operation of the existing rule, from rising in due course to the superior grade.-

3. I am directed to request that you will inform the Board whether there are any of the Sherishtadars either in your own division or that of the Sub Collector, who have not served as Tasyldars and it is desired that in future no one may be ~~appointed~~ to those offices who does not possess that qualification, without a special reference to the Board setting forth the grounds on which it is proposed to deviate from what is to be considered the general rule on the subject.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th March 1852.

} Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

(36)

To

The Collector of
Nellore.

Bd. No. 584

To the Collector of Nellore.

Sir,

In the Petitions herewith enclosed, the Petitioner V. Vencatadri, late a Police

Bd. No. 49 & 20 of 1852
Govt. No. 1889 & 318 of.

Police Peishcar, complains of dismissal from office after 22 years service, and as the Endorsement given by him by you under date the 26th November 1849, affords but little information relative to the grounds on which he was removed, the Board desire me to request that you will be pleased to submit a report giving the particulars of his case.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th March 1852.

} Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

(37)

To

The Collector of
Cuddapah.-

Bd. No. 585

To the Collector of Cuddapah

Nos 1903. 2328. 2405 and 2595 of 1851.

Sir,

Referring to your Endorsement on the Petitions marginally noted, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you, that while they coincide with you in the opinion that the Teerwah jastee imposed on the Petitioner's land in Fusly 1260 should not be continued, they at the same time consider it hard that extra cess should be demanded of her for the portion found on measurement to be in excess, if such excess is part of the original plot

plat which have been enjoyed for the last 24 years, and they accordingly request that if this be the case, the whole extent of the land under reference may be exempted from the imposition of teerwah jastee.-

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 831 of 1852.

Forwarded to the collector for disposal with reference to the Board's letter of this date.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th March 1852 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To

38

The collector of
South Arcot.-

Bd. No. 592.

To the collector of South Arcot. } Sir,

x No. 687 of 1852.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Petition x to you for further enquiry and report, particularly with reference to the assertion of the Petitioners that the payment made by them on Devastanam account is a voluntary contribution, and was originally inserted in their Pattahs at their own request.-

From

From the late Principal
2.
Collector's letter x from Coll. 17th June in Con. 6th July 1837. letter, it does not appear quite clear whether the payment above referred to is what the Petitioners allege it to be, or whether it is a portion of the Moturpha tax given up by Government. If the former, the Petitioners may be entitled to consideration, although the amount has been collected by the Circar for the last 44 years. On this point you will be pleased to submit your opinion.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th March 1852 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

To

39

The Collector of
Canara.

Bd. No. 594.

To the Collector of Canara } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite the despatch of your Statement of contingent Charges for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th March 1852 } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

Read

No. 699.
From the Collector of Canara. Read Abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged convicted and acquitted Brd. under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 2d. Half year ending 31st December last.-
40
40-41
Order thereon.- Ordered to lie over, until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole, will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.-

---

Read the undermentioned Registers.

42
No. 698.
From the Collector of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of February last.-
43
No. 700.
From the Collector of Canara. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of December 1851.-
42-44
Order on the 2 Abovementioned Registers. } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

---

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

45
Mr. No. 305.
From the Collector of Malabar. Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December 1851.-

From

Mr. No. 306.
From the Collector of Malabar. Tobacco account Current for the 2d. Quarter ending 31st December 1851.-
46
Mr. No. 307.
From the Collector of ... } Abstract of Demand
47 Vizagapatam } Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.-
48
Mr. No. 308.
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.-
49
Mr. No. 309.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.-
50.
Mr. No. 310.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.-
51
Mr. No. 299.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Return of Persons convicted under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.-
52
Mr. No. 301.
From the Collector of Bellary. Stating that no persons have been either charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.-
53
Mr. No. 297.
From the Collector of Madura. Reporting that no Persons were tried or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.-
54
Mr. No. 302.
From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore. Reporting that certain Returns were not forwarded for the Month of February last.-

From

55
Mr. No. 304.
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - Return of Village Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last.

56
Mr. No. 298.
From the Collector of Madura - Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last.

57
Mr. No. 311.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Reporting that no persons was suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of February last.

58
Mr. No. 295
From the Acting Collector of Tanjore - Acknowledging the receipt of 25,000 Salt Rowanahs.

Mr. No. 296.
From the Collector of Madras. Acknowledging the receipt
59 of 1000 Salt Rowanahs. -

" Goldingham

" T. Mac

Compd. Thos. Ritchie.

Diary. -

27th March 1852. Sent the following letter

No. 110.

1

To, the Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir

Bd. No. 593
To the Chief Secretary
to Government

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken Set of their Proceedings for the Month of February 1852 for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th March 1852.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Secretary

At

At a Meeting Present

Monday, 29th March, 1852

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member

and

T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

Read the following Resolutions.

No. 248

(2)

Bd No. 673
From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th March, 1852.

From Board 19th February 1852.

The Government will await the receipt of the further information called for by the Board in the above proceedings relative to the recoverable and doubtful balances of land revenue in the Tanjore District which they desire to have before them at an early date.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

(2-3)

Bd No. 808
Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting

Acting Collector of Tanjore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 19th Feb'y 1852

(4)

Bd No. 672.

From the Secy to Government

No. 249.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 16th March 1852.

From Board 1st March 1852.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Sanctions the disbursement of the Costs and fees due to Messrs Dale and Boyson in Special Appeal Suits Nos. 44 of 1850 and 6 of 1851 Amounting to Rupees 1600/ Six hundred.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

(4-5)

Bd No. 606.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to Messrs Dale and Boyson with reference to their letter dated 21st February 1852.

The Bills forwarded by Messrs Dale and Boyson are hereunto returned and will be paid by the Sub Treasurer on their presenting them in the usual course duly signed

(6)

Bd No. 692.
From the Secy to Government

No. 260.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th March 1852.

From Bd 1st March 1852

The Government have received and reports on

on the subject of the Memorial referred to in this Petition and decline to accede to the Petitioner's request.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

6-7

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded

8

B. of R. 691.

No. 259.

From the Sec. to Government — Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th March 1852

(From Bd. 4th March 1852)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves the remarks recorded and orders given by the Court of Wards in their review of the Settlement of the Estate of the Minors Muyenmarnittil Congy Ramen for fusly 1260.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

8-9

Bd. No. 609.

Order thereon — Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Malabar with reference to the Court's Proceedings dated 4th March 1852.

10

Bd. No. 690.

From the Sec. to Government — Read the following Extract.

No. 263.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 19th March 1852.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Council Sanctions the Estimates of Extra charges and of advances for the Manufacture of Salt and purchase of paper for Fusly 1261 submitted with the foregoing Lists amounting together to Rupees 22,157-2 viz. Twenty two thousand one hundred and fifty seven and Annas two.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

10-11

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded. The usual printed letters will be sent to the Collectors.

12

Bd. No. 707.

From the Deputy Sec. to Government —

Public Department.

No. 87

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th March 1852.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Medical Board. Reporting the unfitness for further Service of Mr. Jacob Gibson, as Conditional Pensioner (Hereunder 4 to on the Establishment of the Board March 1852) of Revenue.

Resolved that the following entry be made in the Column of Remarks in the Certificate No. 1522. returned with the foregoing letter. and that the Board of Revenue and the Civil Auditor be requested to have the similar entries made in the Copies of

of the Certificate furnished to them.—

"The Pension is confirmed on the report of the Medical Board dated 4th March 1852.

(Signed) J. D. Sim
Deputy Secretary to Govert.

(12-13)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

---

(14)

No. 708

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 20th March 1852.

Read Extract authorizing under the circumstances represented by the Agent in Vizagapatam the management of the Mocasek granted to the Bhoopaty Family being entrusted to Chinna Bhoopaty, and Sanctioning the disbursement of the proceeds for the support of the family in the proportions suggested by Government.—

(14-15)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Extracts

Revenue Department

(16)

No. 270

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th March 1852.

Read the following letter

B. & No. 689

From the Secretary to Government

To Sir W. C. Montgomery Bart
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Respected Sir,

With reference to the joint Petitions of myself

myself and my Mother Anonmuth Bee, both to the Board of Revenue and Government, as well as to the one submitted by myself subsequent to the death of my Mother, and the several Endorsements thereon as alluded to in the enclosed Memorial in Triplicate to the Honorable the Court of Directors, I humbly solicit your will be kindly pleased to lay the same for the favorable consideration of the Honorable the Governor in Council and in confidence hope that it will be eventually forwarded to the Honorable Court with such recommendations as the merits of my claim may deserve, regarding the Jaghire of Pillary granted by Purwanah in 1797 by the Nabob Omdut-ool Omrah for the valuable services rendered by my father Syed ally Rajah alias Syed almood Khan in the exalted station he held as will be seen by the attested Copy and Translation of the Purwanah conveying the grant, the Originals of which having been already laid before Government are now in the possession of.

Madras.
25th February 1852.

(Signed) Meer Ismail ally

Resolved that one Copy of

of the Memorial received with the above letter be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for their report.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

16-17

Bd. Pr. 613

Resolution thereon, Resolved that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation together with the Original Memorial to the Honorable Court which accompanied it be forwarded to the acting Collector of North Arcot with a request that he will submit a concise report upon Memorialists claim.

+ To be returned

18

Revenue Department

Bd. Pr. 587

From the Secretary to Government

No. 209

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th March 1852.

Read the following letter

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to do me the favor to inform me if Government having claim whatsoever on the property situated at

at this station known as "Walthamston" and stated to belong to Mr. Douglas.

2 An offer which I have made for the property having been accepted, I am only prevented completing the purchase by a general report which can be traced to one of the principal authorities in the district, which affirms that Government has a claim on the property.

Otacamund 1st March 1852 } /Signed/ A. R. W. Lascelles

Ordered that Mr. A. R. W. Lascelles be informed in reply to the above letter that the Government are not aware of any grounds for a claim on their part upon the property at Otacamund known as Walthamston and stated to belong to Mr. Douglas, but that they are not prepared to state that they have no claim.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

18-19

Bd. No. 624.

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Acting Collector of Coimbatore for his information.

Extract.

4156. Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

20

B. of R. 688.

Revenue Department.

No. 272.

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 20th March 1852.

Resolving to submit for the sanction of the Supreme Government an application from the Supt. of Stamps for an increase to his Establishment.

Read again Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th December 1851 and recorded in Diary to Consultation 6th January 1852.

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 29th January 1852 No. 34/

Read also letter from Superintendent of Stamps dated 25th February 1852 — transferred to the Revenue Department by the Private Secretary to the Right Honorable the Governor

Para. 1. In their Proceedings of the 11th December last the Board of Revenue submitted an application from the Superintendent of Stamps for an increase to his Establishment on the ground of the great increase in the Number of Certificates granted in conformity with Section III Act XVIII of 1848 and consequent addition to the duty of auditing the refunds. The Government deferred the consideration of this application until the Board had replied to the requisition of the Honorable Court of Directors on points connected with a measure previously suggested by the Government of India viz. that of Supplying Stamps for the Madras

From Govt. of India 15th Nov. 1851 No. 696.

4157. Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Madras Presidency executed by the Stamping machine at Calcutta.

2 In their letter of the 29th January 1852 the Board submit the reply of the Superintendent of Stamps to this reference, and observe that the proposition to supply Stamps from Calcutta for the use of this Presidency does not appear necessary on the ground noticed by the Honorable Court, as the arrangements in force are both sufficient and effectual in providing against forgery of which not a single instance has yet occurred in the Stamp Department. In these views the Government concur and they resolve to communicate the same to the Government of India in reply to Mr Young's letter dated 14th November 1851 No. 696.

3 In regard to the application of the Superintendent of Stamps which now remains to be considered the Government observe that the increase proposed by that Officer contemplates an additional Monthly charge of Rupees 110 Viz. Increase to the salary of the Manager who has the auditing of the refunds from 120 to 150 Rupees 30

An Assistant Accountant 30

A Translator and Writer 50

Mr Bruce explains that after the trial he has given his Office Establishment since the date of his previous application in 1849 he has found it unequal to perform efficiently the general duties of the Stamp

Stamps Office, especially that of auditing the re-
-funds made under act XVII of 1848 and has
shewn that this duty has greatly increased in the
three years, Fuslies 1258/59 and 60 Viz.

	No. of Certificate.	Amount Paid.
Fusly 1258	1247	8421
" 1259	4,611	24,548
" 1260 Estimated	8,000	30,000

In consideration of the increasing importance of the duties which have devolved on the Manager, the Board of Revenue support the application of the Superintendent of Stamps and recommend that the Salary of the office be raised from Rupees 120 to 150. They also think the services of an Assistant Accountant on Rupees 30 to be necessary but are of opinion that a Translator might be dispensed with, if the Col-
-lectors be instructed to have the certificates referred to in Section III Act XVII of 1848 correctly endorsed in English, though "they add" the Go-
-vernment may not consider the Collectors en-
-dorsement sufficient to warrant the pas-
-sing of the Voucher without a scrutiny of the whole contents".

4. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers that the Superintendent of Stamps

Stamps has shown ample grounds for his proposal to raise the Salary of his Ma-
-nager and to entertain an Assistant Ac-
-countant. With respect to the Translator, it is observed that the person proposed to be employed in that capacity will also be a Writer. It is not desirable to add to the labors of the English Department in the Provincial Cutcherries which there is reason to believe, is in the majority of the Districts already overtasked and it may be doubted whether it would be safe, at all events until the operation of Act XVII of 1848 in respect to the refund of Stamp money be more fully developed, to pass certificates of refund on the mere endorsement of the paying Officer without careful examination of their contents at the Presidency Stamp Office for which purpose a Translator would be necessary. Under these consideration the Government resolve to include the appointment of Trans-
-lator in the recommendations to the Government of

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

of India with the understanding however that this Office shall hereafter be discontinued if it should appear on the system of checking refunds being placed on a proper footing that it can be dispensed with, — under some such arrangement as that suggested by the Board.

A true Extract)

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government.

(20-21)

Bd. No. 610-

Order thereon — Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Superintendent of Stamps, with reference to his letters

In Cons: 11th Dec: 1851. In Cons: 29th Jany 1852. — dated 27th October 1851 and 3rd January 1852.

Read

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Read the following letter-

No. 90.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(22)

Bd. No. 577- Sir,

From the Commissioner for the Northern Circars. — I have the honor to forward a communication this day received from the Collector of Rajahmundry regarding the salary of his Head Sheristadar from the date of quitting Chittoor to that of his joining Rajahmundry. Tolasinga Chitty it appears to me, having proceeded to the latter place on the public service, should have been allowed to draw the Salary of his late Office up to the date of his taking charge of his new one and I beg you will have the goodness to request the Board to issue such orders on the subject as may protect Tolasinga Chetty from being a loser on the occasion.-

Pittapoor, Rajahmundry District, Commissioner's Camp, 28th February, 1852. — (Signed) Walter Elliot. Commissioner

To

A.

No. 21.

To,

W. Elliot Esqre

Commissioner for the Northern Circars

Pittapore.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose Copy Dated 28th. Feby. 1852. of a letter addressed to me by my Acting Head Ca
x " 18th. January — Sheristadar and of the x order (enclosed therein) of the Acting Collector of North Arcot from which it Ca appears that S. Tolasinga Chetty has been Ca deprived of his pay at a time when it was most essential for him to have money to defray his travelling charges. As he cannot draw Ca salary in this district for the time previous to Ca his taking charge of his present appointment I trust that you will take measures to rectify the misunderstanding which led to the issue of the order aforesaid.

Rajahmundry District,
Yalaswaram, 28th. February 1852. } / Signed / T. Prendergast.
Collector.

Honored Sir,

With due respect and sub= =mission I beg leave to lay before your honor the

the following circumstances, trusting that you will be kindly pleased to take it into your Ca consideration and to dispose of it either by Ca interfering with the Acting Collector of North Arcot, or by making a reference to the Com= =missioner of Northern Circars, so that I may not become a loser on the subject.

I beg leave to represent the Ca case as briefly as possible. That in the latter end of December last Mr. Ward the then Acting Collector had passed an order to my address Ca directing me to proceed to this District to hold the appointment of the Acting Head Sheriste= =darship, and in the mean time issued an Ca order to my successor intimating to him that he will settle hereafter about the salary to be Ca drawn by by him; but from the accompanying Official Memorandum received from the Ca Acting Collector of North Arcot you will Ca find that I am become a loser of my pay Ca from 1st. to 25th. January last. It appears by the orders of the Board of Revenue that I am not justified to claim it in this District Ca but

but however I leave the subject entirely at your disposal, hoping that you will be so good as to get it adjusted judiciously-

28th February 1850-

/Signed/ S: Tolasinga Chetty-
Acting Head Sheristadar-
Rajahmundry District-

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J: Prendergast.
Collector.

Official Memorandum-

S: Tolasinga Chetty the Acting Head Sheristadar of Rajahmundry is informed with reference to his letter of the 1st Instant, that in the opinion of the Acting Collector of North Arcot he is not entitled to the Salary of Sub Sheristadar of this District, for the time he has been travelling to join his new situation. If he is entitled to any Salary for this period it should be that of the new Office to which he has been appointed. Tolasinga Chetty's successor has been allowed to draw the Salary of the Office from the 1st Ultimo.-

North Arcot, Cauya Nellore,
18th January, 1852.

/Signed/ H: A: Brett.
Acting Collector.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J: Prendergast.
Collector.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Commissioner.

Ordered

Bd No 622.

Order thereon- 1. Ordered that Copy of the fore=

(22-23) =going letter and of the two Enclosures dated 28th February 1852, be forwarded to the Acting Collector of North Arcot-

2. It has already been ruled that the Salary of the Head Sheristadar can only commence from the date of his taking up his appointment. Tolasinga Chetty cannot therefore draw any Salary at Rajahmundry previous to 25th January 1852.

3. The Board agree with the Commissioner that Tolasingum is entitled to the pay of his late Office to the date of his joining the new one, and during that period temporary arrangements should have been made for carrying on the duties in North Arcot without any escpense to the State.-

Mr. Brett will adjust the Salary of the applicant on this principle.-

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be forwarded to the Commissioner, Northern Circars, for his information.-

Read

Read the following letter.

No. 118.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George.

Sir,

(24)

Bd. No. 2779- From the Collector of Cuddapah

Reporting as required in the Board's Proceedings of the 10th July 1851, upon the frauds referred to in the late Acting Collector's letter of the 20th June last, regarding the omission of Fusuljasty &c. to be levied upon the lands attached to certain large tanks in the talooks of Goorrumcondah and Muddenpully in his District.

Para 1. I have the honor to report upon the frauds referred to in the late Acting Collector's letter of the 20th June last, to which my attention was directed in the Board's proceedings of the 10th July 1851.

2- In the Goorrumcondah talook, which formerly included Muddenpully, as well as in some others, the tanks were at the tarum Jamabundy classed as jair, or large, and Chillara, or small tanks- The lands under the former although in the Survey accounts entered as ek and dofusul, were all in the tarwary account assessed as ek fusal only, and charged with a fusuljasty if a second crop were Cultivated in the lands under the latter, ek, or dofusul teerwah was levied according to their circumstances, no fusuljasty being taken on

on dofusul land when a second crop was raised.

3. The distinction between ek and dofusul assessment on lands under the jair tanks was made with reference to the capability of the land to produce one- or two crops- both being equally liable to a fasuljasty on a second crop being raised- and the difference between them and Chillera tanks is, that whereas fasuljasty is leviable on all lands under the former, it is levied on the ek fusul lands only of the latter-

4. The Tarum Jummabundy accounts of Fusly 1214, contain an entry of five jair tanks; in the accounts of Fusly 1215 sixe more tanks are entered as jair, and under the rules of the district, should have been assessed as ek fusul only, but this does not appear to have been done, and these sixe latter tanks had under them in that year lands on which both ek and dofusul assessment was paid, although fusuljasty for the second crop was collected also.-

5. These latter sixe tanks were all

all classed as jair in the three years lease, but two of them only were so classed in the subsequent ten years lease, and since Fusly 1231, they have remained in the same position, two of them being classed as jair and four as Shillera tanks.

Tanks entered as jair in the Jarum & Jumnahbundy accounts.

1. Pedda Tippasamoodrum.
2. Chinna Tippasamoodrum.
3. Cundoocoor.
4. Rungasamoodrum.
5. Cosantee.

additionally entered as jair in the three years lease.

1. Jarrigondah.
2. Bada Kayalapully.
3. Nimmanapully.
4. Chinna Gottegul.
5. Chittawarepollem.
6. Peddamundiem.

Of which were entered as jair in the ten years lease and subsequently.

1. Jarrigondah.
2. Buddakayalapully.

and on the lands in Nimmanapully fusuljasty

has been collected, altho' the tank is not entered as jair. The tanks now in question therefore are those in Chinnagottegul, Chuttawarepollem, and Peddamundiem, and at the Settlement the question was raised whether the land under these tanks, which had once been entered as jair, was not now liable to a fasuljasty on a second crop being raised on the dofusul land.

6. The explanation of the two tanks of Jarrigonda and Badkayalapully having been left among the jair tanks while the remainder of those entered as such in the three years lease were subsequently not so entered, is said to be found in the facts that the greater proportion of land under them is classed as ekfusul and that the turrum fixed on certain classes of both ek and dofusul lands is the same, while in the lands under the three tanks of Chuttawarepolem, Chinnagittegul and Peddamundiem, the dofusul is higher than the ekfusul assessment. It is

to

to be noticed that the dofusul land of Tarrigondah assessed at the four highest rates have not paid the extra tax- In Nimmanapully all the land is classed as dofusul, and pays a fusuljastee for a second crop.-

+/ Statement for the old jair tanks.
1- Statement for Tarrigondah and Badacoyah: =pully-
1. for the others.

7- Enclosed are three+ Statements of the land under all the tanks which have been mentioned above, the Cultivation in Fusly 1260, the turum, and it's rate per Acre, and in the last Column the fusuljastee hitherto assessed on the second crop and that which it has been proposed to levy.-

8- The question was left in abeyance at the Settlement pending a reference to the Board, and I have now to request that I may receive their instructions regarding the proposal to levy the extra assessment.

9- I am not of opinion that the fact of these three tanks having once been entered as large tanks, can be considered as a stronger argument for their being now again so entered, than the fact for their subsequently

subsequently having been removed from that class, is an argument against it. If we are to suppose that after due examination of their state and capabilities they were by authority considered fit for the one class, the probability must be admitted that it was by similar examination and authority, that they were subsequently re-placed in the other- and the latter supposition is in some degree borne out by the fact of two tanks having been left wet jair, leading to the opinion that examination had actually been made, on the case of each decided on it's merits- If then we may dismiss from the discussion what has passed so many years ago, and decide the present question upon present facts, the difference in the rate of assessment upon the ek and dofusul lands of the tanks in Chinnagottegul, Chittavarepolliem and Peddamunduem appears to me to render it inexpedient to assess a fa: =suljastee on a second crop raised on their dofusul lands.-

Thittoo and Ghuttoo-

10- In Fusly 1216, the then Tahsildar

Tahsildar of Goorrumcondah reported to the Collector that the rates of assessment on the lands of certain Villages were too low, and in accordance with his report and recommenda=tion the assessment on the four Villages of Guttoo, Tettoo, Tumbullapully, and Erasany=pully was raised in the amount of C.R. 842-5-9½ and Colonel Munro's order calling for the accounts of these Villages is forthcoming. During the Settlement of Fusly 1259. a Ryot of the village of Guttoo complained that part of his land was assessessed at a higher rate than was entered in the Turwary accounts, and by the investigation which followed, it appeared that the exctra assessment placed on the lands of five Ryots of Guttoo and Tettoo in Fusly 1216. had subsequently been removed. The increase can be traced partly in the Rulwary accounts of Fusslies 1218. 1219 and 1222 and the whole is entered in the accounts of the ten years' lease, but the total amount only is known, and no tarumjastee puttee, or account particulars of the increase is forthcoming

forthcoming - From the report made by the Tahsildar in Fusly 1216, it appeared that during the Survey the rate of assessment fixed on land brought under Cultivation was not the same as that on the same descrip=tion of land lying waste, and it has only been by comparing the assessment on land entered as waste in the Survey accounts, but since cultivated, with that on lands then entered as Cultivated that the amount now to be fixed on the lands not included in the Rulwaree of Fusly 1231. has been ascertain=ed - Since Fusly 1232 the increased assess=ment has been entirely abandoned and the beriz of those two villages lowered to the original turwary tursum.-

11- The total amount of revenue C.R. F. as thus abandoned was 93—2-5/11. and the following Statement shews as clearly as can now be ascertained the years in which the extra assessment was removed.—

Fusly

	Extra assessment included in the Rulwa: =ry of Fusly 1231 and subsequently abandoned.		Of which amount abandoned on lands always under Cultivation.		Amount abandoned on lands thrown up by the Ryots and subsequently retaken.	
	P.	F. a	P.	F. a	P.	F. a
Fusly-						
1233	8	3 2½	1	11½	7	24
1235	"	38	"	"	4	38
1236	"	" 13	"	"	"	" 13
1213	3	4 7	1	4 3	2	" 4
1246	1	9 15	1	9 15	"	" "
1248	"	1 4	"	1 4	"	" "
1249	"	" 12	"	"	"	" 12
1251	2	9 13	2	9 13	"	" "
1252.	1	8 7	1	8 7	"	" "
	19	2 1½	9	4 8½	9	79
Not included in the Rulwary of Fusly 1231, but ascertained to have been collected during the 10 years lease	50	7 3	"	"	"	" "
Ditto Ditto entered in the Rulwary of Fusly 1231, and collected up to Fusly 1251 since when it has been abandoned	12	6 10½	"	"	"	" "
Total	93	25½	"	"	"	" "

Jno

12. In explanation of the decrease which occurred during his administration of the Talook, Kristna row the late Tahsildar submits that it was occasioned by the connivance of the Curnums who by giving to the Ryots land from the ayacut bunjer, kept up the total to be collected from each, although altering the particulars of which the total was formed.

13. The whole amount was replaced on the lands at the late Settlement and there appears to be no reason whatever to question the propriety of the measure-

Casoowarepully.

14. In fusly 1255, an unemployed Curnum gave a Statement of a large extent of land in the village of Casoowarepully in the Muddenpully Talook having been omitted from the Pymash accounts, and the Tahsildar Kristnarow. the present Sub Sheristadar, was directed to Survey and assess it- The whole extent of land fit for Cultivation was found to be 7401 acres, of which 242 acres had already been cultivated, and brought periodically to account as taken up by the Ryots, since Fusly 1232. On reporting this to the Collector, the Tahsildar asked for instructions as to whether he should at

once

once proceed to assess the whole quantity of land, or should assess it year by year as fresh land was ad-
adopted for Cultivation, and he was directed at
once to proceed with the assessment. No accounts
were however forwarded to the Cutcherry until Fusly
1259, when the present Tahsildar finding in the Talook
the accounts of the Survey of the land sent it to the
Hoozoor Cutcherry and was directed to fix the rates
of assessment.

15. He again surveyed the whole quantity of land, and his measurement was 200 acres in excess of the former Survey. In dividing the lands into numbers he has however placed as many as 950 acres in one number, which is a greater quantity than many Ryots can undertake to cultivate and a greater quantity also than will often be found together of one quality in assessing the lands also he has proposed 1½ and 2 pagodas per Acre for some classes of wet land, whereas the practice of the District does not admit of a lower rate than 3 Pagodas, and has on some dry land placed the apparently too moderate rate of 2 Annas 4 pice per Acre.

16. I have called upon the Naib Sheristadar to explain the circumstances under which, when Tahsildar of the Talook, he omitted for so long a time to transmit the Survey accounts of this land to

to the Collector, and he has assigned as an excuse for what he admits to have been negligence, the constant pressure of immediately important business having occupied his attention to the exclusion of that which it was not at the moment so necessary that he should attend to all the land that was cultivated having been brought regularly to account, he was not impressed with the importance of transmitting accounts connected with that which was still waste.

17. It remains still to recast the numbers which compose the total extent of waste land, so as to bring them within the reach of the smaller Ryots means to fix the assessment on the wet land with reference to the general practice of the Province, and that on the dry land at rates suited to its capabilities.

18 To these matters I propose to give my attention on the spot during the progress of the ensuing Settlement.

Cuddapah, ---
Coll.rs Office, ---
8th November 1851.

Signed H. Forbes.
Collector.

Statement.

4178 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

A Statements of the Tanks the lands under which were classed as arik sasul in the tarum Summabundy of Fusly 1714 on which Fusulgaisty has been levied for a second crop although classed in the Pymash and other Accounts as arik and Doosusul

No.	Name of the Village and Tanks.	Total Ayacut		From which deduct Enam land		Remaining Circar Ayacut					
		Extent of Land.	Amount of assessment	Extent of land.	Amount of assessment	Rate of assessment fixed			Extent of land.	Amount of assessment	
		Acres	Cas Pags Fas	Acres	Cas Pags Fas				Acres	Cas Pags Fas	
1	Rungasamoodrum Tank	1,795	36 .. 2,909 9 5½	184	11 9 5 30 9 10	6	.. ..		32	32 11½	196 8 6
						4	.. ..		79	13 2½	317 2 13
						3	5 ..		40	15 12½	141 2 14
						3	.. ..		10	29 10½	32 1 8
						2	3 ..		118	1 12	295 .. 14
						2	.. ..		76	22 3	151 4 15½
						1	5 ..		62	23 7½	93 8 10
						1	.. ..		1109	23 ..	1109 4 15
						..	5 ..		82	20 11½	41 4 12
									1611	24 4	2378 9 11

| | | |

Fort St. George

29th March 1852.

B. Statement of the tanks classed as Shillara or small tanks in the Tarune Summabundy Accounts of Fasly

No.	Names of Villages and Tanks.	Total Ayacut			From which deduct Enam land.			Remaining Circar Ayacut.						Lands agreed for by Ryots.					
		Extent of land.		Amount of assessment.	Extent of land		Amount of assessment	Rate of assessment fixed.			Extent of land.			Amount of assessment.			Extent of Land.			Amount of assessment.		
		A	c	as	A	c	as	Cs	Fs	as	A	c	as	Cs	Fs	as	A	c	as	Cs	Fs	as
1	Tarregonda Rama-samy Chervoo tanks	192	27	11	61	2	7 14/16	31	32	2	122	8	8									
	Dosa's soil of one Crop's land.							5	6	4	9	35	9½	55	5	13	9	35	9½	55	5	13
								4	1	4	6	4	10	24	9	13½	6	4	10	24	9	13½
								4	"	"	4	23	11	18	3	11	2	34	1	11	4	1
								3	7	8	1	38	3	7	3	4	1	38	3	7	3	4
								3	5	"	2	19	9	8	9	3	1	37	"	6	7	6
								3	3	12	9	23	3/4	32	1	2	9	23	3/4	32	1	2
								3	"	"	22	"	7½	66	1	14¼	21	35	12¼	65	7	12½
								2	7	8	2	8	12	6	"	14½	2	8	12	6	"	14½
								2	6	4	7	8	13½	18	9	11	7	8	13½	18	9	11
								2	2	8	22	12	14	50	"	11	22	12	14	50	"	11
								2	"	"	20	37	10¼	4	8	½	18	29	8¼	37	3	15½
								1	5	"	15	32	13½	23	2	4	15	32	13½	23	2	4
								1	"	"	4	33	6	4	7	12	4	33	6	4	7	12
								"	5	"	12	18	10	6	2	1	12	12	13½	6	1	5¼
											142	18	2	364	5	8¾	137	27	5¼	350	5	13½
	Dosa's soil of two Crop's lands.							8	2	8	8	17	6	69	7	14	8	7	14¼	66	6	10½
								8	"	"	1	19	8	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
								7	8	8	"	14	8	2	8	10½	"	14	8	2	8	10½
								7	5	"	"	22	8	4	1	4	"	22	8	4	1	4
								6	"	"	"	20	14	3	1	5	"	18	3	2	7	5
								5	2	8	1	26	7	8	6	3	1	26	7	8	6	3
								4	2	8	"	24	3	2	5	8	"	24	3	2	5	8
								4	"	"	1	7	14	4	7	14	1	7	14	4	7	14
								3	8	12	"	27	8	2	6	9	"	27	8	2	6	9
								3	3	12	1	17	5	4	8	10	1	17	5	4	8	10
								3	"	"	"	14	4	1	"	2	"	14	4	1	"	2
											17	12	5	116	2	15½	15	20	10¼	100	8	12
	Fasly Varayeb.s							8	"	"	1	5	2	9	"	4	1	5	2	9	"	4
											18	17	7	125	3	3½	16	25	12¼	109	9	"
											160	35	9	489	8	12¼	134	13	1½	460	14	13½

B 1214 but in Fusly 1215 entered as "Dair" or large tanks.

Of which extent of land brought under cultivation in Fusly 1260.

No.	Extent of land.	Amount of assessment.	One crop. land. Extent of land.	One crop. land. Amount of assessment	Two Crop. land Extent of land.	Two Crop. land Amount of assessment	Total Fusly	Of which amount to be collected
	A c as Cf	Rs a p	A c as Cf	Rs a p	A c as Cf	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	" " "	" " "	9 35 9½	53 5 13	27 7 14½		27 7 14½	27 7 14½
	1 4 2	4 5 8	5 " 8		20 4 3½	10 2 23/4	10 2 23/4	
	1 2 1	4 2 1	" " 12		" " 12	" " 6	" " 6	
	" " "	" " "	1 38 3		7 3 4	3 6 8	3 6 8	
	" 8 "	" 7 "	1 29 "		6 " 7	3 " 3	3 " 3	
	" 27 2½	2 2 12	8 29 7¼		29 3 9½	14 6 12¾	14 6 12¾	
	15 38 8¼	147 8 15½	3 12 9¼		10 4 "	5 " 8½	5 " 8½	
	" " "	" " "	2 8 12		6 " 11½	3 " 7¼	3 " 7¼	
	5 " 11½	13 " 12	2 6 13		5 6 4½	2 8 2¼	2 8 2¼	
	14 31 9	33 1 14¾	7 19 3		16 7 8¼	8 3 12	8 3 12	
	12 35 6½	75 8 ½	5 34 13¼		11 5 13	5 7 15½	5 7 15½	
	9 21 2	13 7 12¼	6 11 11½		9 4 7¼	4 7 33/4	4 7 33/4	
	2 20 5	2 4 13	2 13 1		2 2 15	1 1 7½	1 1 7½	
	5 37 2	2 9 3½	6 9 15		3 1 10½	1 5 13¼	1 5 13¼	
	69 25 10¾	150 8 12½	63 8 10¼		183 8 15	91 9 5	91 9 5	
	" 7 23/4	1 4 10	6 10 2¼		53 5 15¼	26 7 15½	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" 14 8		" " "	" " "	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" 22 8		2 8 10½	1 4 5¼	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	" 13 13		4 1 4	2 " 10	" " "	
	" " "	" " "	1 26 7		2 " 12	1 " 6	1 " 6	
	" " "	" " "	" 24 3		8 6 3	4 3 1½	4 3 1½	
	" 9 10	" 9 10	" 32 1		2 5 8	1 2 12	1 2 12	
	" " "	" " "	" 27 8		3 2 1	1 6 ½	1 6 ½	
	" 24 6	2 " 7	" 32 15		2 6 9	1 3 14½	1 3 14½	
	" " "	" " "	" 14 4		2 8 3	1 4 1½	1 4 1¼	
	1 1 23/4	4 4 11	12 28 5¼		1 " 2	" 5 1	" 5 1	
	" " "	" " "	" 34 14		83 5 33/4	41 7 9¾	11 4 11	
	1 1 23/4	4 4 11	13 23 3¼		6 9 12	" " "	" " "	
	70 26 13½	155 3 7½	76 31 13½		90 4 15¾	41 7 9¾	11 4 11	
					274 3 14¾	133 6 11¾	103 4 "	

2

1,180

No	Names of Villages and Hamlets.	Total Ayacut.		From which deduct Enam land.	
		Extent of land	Amount of assessment.	Extent of land.	Amount of assessment
		A ca cs	P as	A ca cs	P as
7	Baderayalapa... Mg large tanks	396 39 2	1639 1 2	158 38 10	5 28 9 13

Turn

Fort St. George

Remaining Circar Ayacut.

Land agreed for by ryots.

Rate of assessment fixed.		Extent of Land			Amount of assessment.			Extent of land			Amount of assessment		
C P	Fas	A	C	as	C P	Fas		A	C	as	C P	Fas	
6	"	"	7	7	15	42	4	13	5	10	12	31	1 13
5	"	"	36	13	9	180	4	9	3	6	8	15	6 2
4	"	"	25	37	10	103	7	3	25	31	10	103	1 5
3	"	"	42	17	1	127	8	10	41	17	1	124	8 10
2	"	"	362	12	10	527	3	12	62	22	1	123	3 13
1	5	"	6	29	6	10	"	"	"	34	10	1	2 12
1	"	"	19	38	11	19	9	11	19	38	11	19	9 11
"	5	"	9	6	3	4	7	"	6	20	3	3	3 10
			411	3	1	1016	5	10	165	21	13	424	7 12
6	5	"	2	27	3	16	7	13	2	15	13	15	7 9
5	"	"	7	20	5	37	4	12	7	10	5	36	4 12
4	5	"	1	29	15	7	5	5	1	15	11	6	2 14
2	5	"	7	35	7	19	7	7	6	34	1	17	1 2
1	5	"	6	78	8	10	"	12	3	32	8	5	7 4
			26	21	6	91	5	10	21	28	6	81	3 9
		"	"	16	1	2	"	1	"	16	1	2	" 1
			26	37	7	93	5	11	22	4	7	83	3 10
			438	"	8	1110	1	5	187	26	4	508	1 6

29th March 1852.

Of which extent of land brought under cultivation in Fusly 1260.

One Crop land				Two Crop land.				Fusly				Of which amount to be Collected.			
Extent of land.		Amount of assessment.		Extent of land		Amount of assessment									
A	C	as	C P	Fas	A	C	as	C P	Fas	C P	Fas	C P	Fas		
"	3	6	"	1	11	"	1	11							
"	2	4	"	3	6	"	1	11							
5	7	12	30	8	7	"	2	4	"	3	6	"	1	11	
2	4	12	10	3	11	"	17	4	2	1	9	1	"	12½	1 " 12½
13	23	14	53	5	10	8	18	11	33	7	15	16	8	15½	16 8 15½
33	"	2	98	9	13	5	17	3	16	2	10	8	1	5	8 1 5
53	18	4	107	3	2	2	"	"	4	"	"	2	"	"	2 " "
"	34	10	1	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
17	31	5	17	8	10	"	12	11	"	3	4	"	1	10	" 1 10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
126	5	11	322	2	1	16	98	1	56	8	12	98	14	6	28 4 6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
1	35	3	12	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "
4	34	1	24	3	8	2	12	14	11	6	4	5	8	2	5 8 2
"	15	"	1	3	4	"	21	10	2	4	6	1	2	3	1 2 3
5	29	2	14	2	8	"	35	"	2	1	8	1	"	12	1 " 12
2	11	12	3	4	12	1	2	"	1	5	12	"	7	14	" 7 14
13	5	2	35	8	10	4	31	8	17	7	14	8	8	15	8 8 15
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	1	2	"	1	"	"	"	" " "
15	5	2	55	8	10	5	7	9	19	7	15	8	8	15	8 8 15
141	10	13	378	"	11	21	35	10	76	6	11	37	3	5	37 3 5

A. Wilson Esqr.

Dodsworth.

Bagoyet

Fort St. George

29th March 1852

Taluk ayacut.

Cuddapah ayacut.

From which deduct Enam Land.

Remaining Land agreed for by Ryots.

No.	Names of Villages and tanks.	Extent of Land.	Amount of Assessment.	Extent of land	Amount of assessment.	Rate of assessment fixed	Extent of land.	Amount of assessment.	Extent of land.	Amount of assessme
		A c as c⁰ f as	a ca c⁰ fas	A c as c⁰ f as	A c as c⁰ f as	c⁰ f as	A c as	c⁰ f as	A c as	c⁰ f.
3	Nunnianapully Agobalanaray tank	290 3 7	811 5 5½	165 39 12	481 9 13	4 5 5'	15 " 24	1 14 5'	15 " 24	1 14
						4 " "	8 7 13	32 7 14	8 27 13	32 7
						3 6 "	5 20 3	19 2 5'	4 13 11	15 1
						3 " "	14 27 ½	121 9 3	10 14 7	121 "
						2 5 "	20 12 12½	50 1 11	20 12 12½	50 1
						2 " "	23 13 5'	46 6 7½	22 12 3	44 6
						1 5 "	16 6 7	24 4 1	2 39 15'	4 7
						" 2 8	" 20 4	" 1 4	" 20 4	" 4
							120 1 13	319 4 11½	104 16 1½	392 9
						3 " "	1 17 ½	4 2 12	1 17 ½	4 2
						2 7 8	" 24 14	1 7 "	" 11 8	" 9
						2 5 "	" 7 11½	" 4 13	" 7 11½	" 4
						2 " "	1 32 4	3 6 4	1 22 4	3 6
							4 1 14	10 " 13	3 28 8	9 3
							124 3 11	329 5' 8½	108 4 9½	302 2

Cuddapah, Collector's Office,
8th November 1851.

J. B.

Sign

Of which extent of land brought under Cultivation in Fasly 1250.

No.	One Crop land: Extent of land	One Crop land: Amount of Assessment	Two Crop land: Extent of land.	Two Crop land: Amount of Assessment.	Fusly.	Of which Amount to be Collected.	
24	A c as cp	Fas	A c as cp	Fas	cp Fas	cp Fas	
3							
"	10 8½	1 1 8	1 7 4	5 3 2	2 6 11	2 6 11	
14	" " "	" " "	" 27 11	2 7 11	1 3 13	1 3 13	
10	1 11 6	4 5 2	" 15 12	1 3 8	" 6 12	" 6 12	
11	16 3 1	49 1 1	1 20 8	4 8 6½	2 4 3¼	2 4 3¼	
11	2 15 "	5 9 3	" 35 9	2 1 12	1 " 14	1 " 14	
9½	3 4 9	10 2 12	2 10 2	4 5 8	2 2 12	2 2 12	
13	" 34 4	1 2 12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
8½	25 38 12½	7 2 1 6	6 36 14	20 9 15½	10 5 1¼	10 5 1¼	
12	" " "	" " "	" 7 13	" 3 11	" " "	" " "	
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
13	" " "	" " "	" 7 11½	" 4 13	" " "	" " "	
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
7	25 38 12½	" " "	" 15 8½	1 " 8	" " "	" " "	
15½	25 38 12½	7 2 1 6	7 12 6½	22 " 7½	10 5 1¼	10 5 1¼	

Sd/ H. Forbes.
Collector.

Statement.

C. Statement of the Tanks entered as chillara or S
and in the decennial lease again entered as small

Serial No.	Names of the Villages and tanks.	Total ayacut		From which deduct Enam land.		Remaining C
		Extent of land.	Amount of assessment	Extent of land	Amount of assessment.	Rate of assessment.	Ext of la
		Acres C as CP	Fas A	C as CP	Fas	C & Fas	A
1	Chinnagollagullootank	731 36	7010 1 9½	223 28	11 4 5 45	5 7	3 5 .. 703
							3 .. 3
							7 .. 48
							1 5 .. 136
							1 .. 1
							.. 5 .. 2
							396 1
							1 5 .. 24
							4 .. 39 3
							3 5 .. 47 1
							111 .
							508

Assessment
Poosalum

Fort St. George

29th March 1852.

...mall tanks in the Tarum Jamabundy Accounts of Fusly.
...tanks.

1214 but in Fusly 1215 entered as Pair or large tanks

Cultivation in Fusly 1260.—

Extent of land	Amount of assessment	Land agreed for by the Ryots— Extent of land	Amount of assessment	Extent of land brought under One crop land — Extent of land	Amount of assessment	Two crop — Extent of land.—	Details.— Amount of assessmt.	Fusly	To be levied	Now under reference.	Remarks.
C as	C Fas	A C as	C Fas	A C as	C Fas	A C as	C Fas	C Fas	C Fas	C Fas	
37 -	7 13 2 8	8 32 15	30 8 8	8 17 11	29 5 8	.. 5 -	.. 4 6	.. 2 3	.. 2 3	.. .. ..	The ait fasul lands under these tanks are charged with fasul jasty if a second crop be raised and no fasul jasty is levied on doo fasul lands tho' cultivated with the two crops.
12 10	11 1 8	3 32 10	11 1 8	1 4 5	3 3 4	2 13 13	7 .. 6	3 5 3	3 5 3	.. .. ..	
3 7	96 2 5	42 28 10½	85 4 16	7 5 2	14 2 9½	5 37 15	11 9 3¼	5 9 9½	5 9 9½	.. .. ..	
16 11¼	205 2 9	71 6 ..	107 .. 11	16 .. 10½	24 .. 1¼	12 37 12¾	19 4 7½	9 7 4	9 7 4	.. .. ..	
7 3	1 5 12	.. 17 6	.. 4 4	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. 8 4	.. 2 ..	.. 1 ..	.. 1 ..	.. .. ..	
8 4½	1 3 12	2 2 ..	1 .. 10	1 29 7½	.. 9 2	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	
5 3¾	1028 8 6	128 39 9¼	236 .. 8	34 17 4	72 .. 8¾	21 22 12¾	39 .. 6¾	19 5 3½	19 5 3½	.. .. ..	
19 5	110 2 ..	22 30 15½	102 5 ..	6 .. 5	27 .. 6¼	1 35 1	.. 8 3 12	4 1 14	.. .. ..	4 1 14	
18 9	159 7 14½	39 25 13½	159 .. ½	17 29	70 1 9	7 31 1	31 1 1	15 5 8½	.. .. ..	15 5 8½	
4 3	165 7 14	41 23 3¼	146 .. 7	13 29 1	47 9 6¾	8 28 14½	30 5 10	15 2 13	.. .. ..	15 2 13	
32 1	435 7 12½	104 .. ¼	407 5 7½	37 8 15	145 1 6¼	18 15 ½	70 .. 7	35 .. 3½	.. .. ..	35 .. 3½	
7 4¾	1464 6 2½	232 39 9½	643 5 15½	71 26 3	217 1 15	39 37 13¼	109 .. 15¾	54 5 7	19 5 3½	35 .. 3½	

Number	Names of the Villages and tanks.	Total Ayacut		From which deduct Enam land.	
		Extent of land	Amount of assessment	Extent of land	Amount of assessment
		A c as cP	F. as	A c as cP	F.
3	Peddamuredasane Lunjakoontah Tanki.	87 22 10¼ 290	9 "	31 25½	106 4

Cuddapah 1806

Fort St. George

29th March 1852.—

Number.	Names of the Villages and Tanks.	Total ayacut. Extent of land	Total ayacut. Amount of assessment.	From which deduct Enam land. Extent of land.	From which deduct Enam land. Amount of assessment.	Remaining Rate of assessment.	Circar ayacut. Extent of land.	Circar ayacut. Amount of assessment.	Land agreed for by the Ryots. Extent of land	Land agreed for by the Ryots. Amount of Assessment.	Extent of One Crop land.	Extent of
		A C as cP F as	A C as cP F as	A C as cP F as	A C as cP F as	cP F as	a C as	cP F as	A C as	cP F as	A C as	cP F
	Jammbowpiatt tank } in the same Village }	88 20 9	25 1 2 3	30 27½	86 7 6	4 5 "	11 4 5	49 9 8	8 22 3	37 7 3	" " "	"
						4 " "	6 21 3/11	26 1 4	6 21 3/11	26 1 4	" " "	"
						3 5 "	4 23 27	15 7 7	4 3 4	14 " 3	" 7 10	"
						3 " "	" 11 4	" 8 10	" 11 4	" 8 10	" 8 "	"
						2 11 "	17 34 10½	35 7 11	16 29 8	3 " 4	1 19 3	2
						1 5 "	12 38 10½	19 7 12	7 6 1	10 7 "	" 22 14	"
						Dooffussl. 4 " "	5 3 13 5½	14 8 2 4	43 13 4¾	123 4 8	2 17 11	5
						3 5 "	1 2 12	4 2 12	1 2 12	4 2 12	" " "	"
		820 16 9	2261 3 12½	234 16 2¾	6 32 2 13		3 17 "	11 9 13	3 15 "	11 7 11	1 6 4	1
							4 19 12	16 2 9	4 17 12	16 " 7	1 6 4	1
1	Chittavarapolem Vaccata } Poorumb Tank..... }	205 4 15½	570 5 14	30 23 7½	93 9 4	Assessed. 3 " "	57 33 1½	164 4 13	47 31 3/4	139 4 13	3 13 15	9
						2 " "	566 " 6	1629 " 15½	280 30 10¼	783 " 14½	75 10 2	226
						Dooffussl. 5 " "	30 7 5 3/4	90 7 1	14 20 10¾	43 8 8½	" 75 "	1
						4 " "	102 3 8	303 6 15	19 12 7¾	38 6 4	" 21 4	1
							132 10 13¾	394 4 "	33 33 2½	82 4 12½	1 6 4	2
							13 6 12½	65 8 8	2 18 4½	12 3 2½	" " "	"
							29 3 13¾	116 4 2	27 2 14	108 3 9½	1 7 7¼	16
							42 10 10¼	182 2 10	29 21 2½	120 6 12	1 7 7¼	16
							174 21 8	476 6 10	63 14 5	203 1 8½	3 13 11¼	19

Fort St. George

29th March 1852.

Number.	Remaining Circar ayacut.			Land agreed for by the Ryots.			Extent of Cice	Land brought under cultivation in Fasly 1260								Details.			Remarks.
	Rate of assessment	Extent of Land	Amount of assessment	Extent of land.	Amount of assessment	Extent of land.		Cropland Amount of assessment	Extent of land.	Saro Cropland Amount of assessment.		Fusfal Jastee		To be levied		Now under reference		
	Rs. As. P.	A. C. as.	Rs. As. P.	A. C. as.	Rs. As. P.	A. C. as.		Rs. As. P.	A. C. as.	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		
3	2 7 "	4 34 23/4	13 9 8	2 34.	7 7 9	" 23 6		1 4 12 "	12 12 "	8 2 "	4	1 "	4	1 "	" "			
	1 7 "	2 36 8/4	4 9 11	1 " 15	1 7 10	" 38 5 3/4		1 6 3 "	" " "	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	" "			
	1 2 "	15 25 11	18 5 10	2 29 1	3 3 4	" " "		" " "	37 8	1 1 8 "	5	12 "	5	12 "	" "			
	23 16 6	37 4 13	6 24.	12 8 7	1 21 11 3/4			3 " 15	1 10 4	1 9 10 "	9	13 "	9	13 "	" "			
	7 " "	" 7 4	1 2 11	" 7 4	1 2 11	" " "		" " "	7 4	1 2 11 "	6	5/2 "	"	" "	" 6	5/2		
	67 -	6 3 11/2	39 9 8	4 " 8 3/4	26 8 8	" " "		" " "	1 22 11	10 5 8/2	5	2 12/4 "	"	" "	5	2 12/4		
	47 -	8 10 3/4	39 2 13	8 10 3/4	39 2 13	" 20 8		2 4 11	2 8 3/4	10 3 1	5	1 8/2 "	"	" "	5	1 8/2		
	37 "	18 " 4	66 5 "	8 22 8/2	31 3 10	" 14 14		1 3 8	2 34 1/2	10 6 "	5	3 "	"	" "	5	3 "		
	32 21 3 3/4	114 7 "	" 21 " 5/2	98 7 10	" 35 6			3 8 3	6 32 1/4	32 7 4/2	16	3 10/4 "	"	" "	16	3 10/4		
	55 37 9 3/4	184 4 13	27 21 5 1/2	111 6 1	2 17 13/4			6 9 2	8 2 5/4	34 6 14/2	17	3 7/4 "	9	13 16	3	10/4		

Collectors Office, 8th November 1851

Signed H. Forbes.
Collector.

The

B. No. 626.

Proceedings thereon

Issuing certain instructions regarding some lands whose assessments have been reduced without authority in the Talooks of Goorrumcondah and Mudnapully—

(24-25)

The Collector explains that in the Turrie Summa Accounts of Fusly 1214 of the Talook of Gurrumcondah, which formerly included Muddenpully, five tanks were entered as "jair", and that the lands under them, though assessed at the Survey as "one and two Crop-ek and do fusul", were included in the Jummabundy accounts, and were treated "ek fusl" only, and liable to a fusl jasty whenever a second Crop was raised. That the other tanks were designated "Chillara", the the lands under them being divided into ek and do fusl, and fusl jasty leviable only on the former—

2. To these five tanks were added in Fusly 1218 — The five others noted marginally were so retained during the 3 years lease, but the 4 last reverted to the class of "Chillara" during the 10 years rent, and have subsequently so remained though it would appear that Nimmanapully has been actually created as a jair tank.—

Turricondah
Bodakayapully
Nimmanapully
Chimagottogul.
Chotawarepollimu.
Peddammudium.—

R. 5. 8. 6.

3 At the Settlement it became matter of doubt whether the 3 last named tanks should not be treated

treated as "jair" and fusl jasty levied on "do fusl" land—

The reasons given in Para 6 4.— for the five tanks having been thus entered are, that in Turrikonda and Bodakaya=pully the greater proportion of the land is "ek fusl" that the Turrie is the same for certain classes of ek and do fusl land, and, that in the 3 last Tanks the — do fusl is higher than the ek fusul teerwah. From an examination of State=ments B and C it would appear that the difference between the two rates is greater in the two first than in the 3 last mentioned Tanks.—

It is also stated that the 5 P 6. "4 highest classes of do fusl land in Turriconda have not paid fusl jasty" and that in Nimmanapully such "extra teerwah has been levied though the tank is not entered as "jair" and again that "all the land is ek P. 5 fusl & pays an extra tax on raising a second Crop.— P. 6 6 The enclosed B does not bear out any of these assertions. By it 3 out of the 4 Classes would appear to have been usually charged with fusl jasty, and in Nimmanapully as extra teerwah is exhibited on do fusl

Fort St. George 29th. March 1852.

dofusl land, and the Ayacut is divided into ek and dofusl.—

Pa. 9. 7 The Collector thinks that as there probably existed good and sufficient grounds for taking the 3 tanks out of the classification of "jain" and for entering them as "Chittera", they should remain as at present, but on this argument the Nimmanapully tank should also be treated as Chittera, though it is assessed as jain, and the Collector offers no objection to this course.

8 The Board wish the Collector to take the above noticed discrepancies into consideration and are of opinion that it is preferable to inquire again into the actual state of the lands under the six tanks, and to reclass them according to their capabilities. It is obviously improper to impose Fusul Jastee on dofusl lands if the assessment as dofusl is proportioned to their capabilities.—

9 As to the lands in Thottoo and Gattoo the Board understand that the extra tax abandoned since Fusly 1232 and reimposed in 1260 was during the interim made up from other sources. Being in small sums and distributed over many years, it may for the reason given, have escaped the observation of the Tahsildar.—

10 The Board think it desirable that the lands in Casawarepully, annulled as the Pynash should again be divided into fields of suitable proportions, and reassessed with reference to their productive qualities, and the taxe obtaining on similar lands.—

J.C.S.

Read.

Fort St. George 29th. March 1852.

Read the following letter

No. 45.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)

Bd. No. 723

From the Collector of Chingleput. } Sir, I have the honor to forward a Statement of Mootahs which have been attached for arrears of Revenue due up to 28th. February Vide Statement A with a Maratah Statement of Fusly 1261 and request that the Board will sanction the Establishment proposed for their Management.—

Chingleput District—
Collector's Cutcherry.—
Pullecarney, 19th. March, 1852.

/Signed/ J.H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement

4189

No. 1 Statement of Estates attached in Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

the zillah of Chingleput in Fusly 1261.

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jumma of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Peishcush due up to February of Fusly 1261.	Amount Collected	Balance.	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or Estimated value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed for attachment.	Percentage on the Establishment amount to be estimated Value of the portion of the Estates attached.	Date of the attach = ment.	Remarks.
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		
1	Chunambut	Aroomooga Moodely and Ramasawmy Moodely	3	1651 1511	619 " "	103 " "	516 " "	3	1651 1511	Ameenah 8 12 " Mooshurf 6 " " Turfdar 5 " "			
2	Vennalu-garini	Sattayapa Aroomooga Moodely	1	102 4 2	38 " "	" " "	38 " "	1	102 4 2				
3	Poodooput	Do " ----- Do "	1	208 1510	79 " "	" " "	79 " "			2 Peons 3 Rs. each 6 " "			
4	Eessoore	Do " ----- Do "	1	658 123	247 " "	" " "	247 " "	1	208 1510				
5	Panyoor	Do " ----- Do "	1	244 51	91 " "	15 " "	76 " "	1	658 123	Sanderward " 8 "			
			7	2866 53	1074 " "	118 " "	956 " "	1	244 51				
								7	2866 53	26 4 "	" 148	10th March 1852	
6	Olivelogum	S. Aronachella Moodely	5	235 12	89 " "	" " "	89 " "	5	235 12	Ameenah 8 " " Mooshurf 6 " "			
7	Caroomba-kum	S. Ramaswa-my Moodely	2	210 148	79 " "	" " "	79 " "	2	210 148	2 Peons 3 Rs. each 6 " "			
8	Amdarcoppum	Do " ----- Do "	1	363 135	137 " "	" " "	137 " "	1	363 135				
9	Hynelloor	Do " ----- Do "	1	299 77	112 " "	" " "	112 " "	1	299 77				
10	Illodoo	Do " ----- Do "	1	168 73	63 " "	" " "	63 " "	1	168 73	Sanderward " 8 "			
			10	1277 121	480 " "	" " "	480 " "	10	1277 121	20 8 "	" 910	Do "	
11	Chayoor	C. Comarasawmy Moodely	7	1689 910	633 " "	" " "	633 " "	7	1689 910	Ameenah 8 " " Mooshurf 6 " "			
12	Paravalicant	W. Vencatana rana Reddy	1	146 11	55 " "	9 " "	46 " "	1	146 11	Turfdar 5 " "			
13	Erombadoo	S. Aroomooga = modely	5	939 " 2	353 " "	59 " "	294 " "	5	939 " 2	2 Peons 3 Rupees each 6 " " Sanderward " 8 "			
			13	2774 111	1041 " "	68 " "	973 " "	13	2774 111	25 8 "	" 148	Do "	

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages in each of the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Assessment of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Peishcush or 6 per cent. thereof.	Amount of Collections.	Balance.	No. of Villages suspended or attached.	Actual Collections or Value of the Villages suspended or attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed for attachment.	Percentage of the Establishment to the Collections or Value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
1	2		3	4	5	6		8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p		
14	Thirayoor	T. Ramasawmy Naick, Peria Iyellen naick, Sinna Iyellen naick	1	147 " 11	55 " "	9 " "	46 " "	1	147 " 11				
15	Tondlum Vellaroy	Do	Do	1	117 3 6	43 " "	6 14 0	36 1 9	1	117 3 6	Ameenah and Mooshurf	7 " "	
16	Maumbakum	L. Ramiah		1	205 10 10	77 " "	13 " "	64 " "	1	205 10 10	Turfdar	5 " "	
17	Calpute	Iyellen Naik and Vurdappa naik		1	121 3 3	46 " "	" " "	46	1	121 3 3	1 Peon	3 " "	
18	Sinna Calacandy	V. Umbalavana Pundarum		1	113 8 4	42 " "	5 0 "	36 6 "	1	113 8 4	Saulderward	" 8 "	
19	Poroor	C. Sama Row		1	200 15 8	75 " "	13 " "	62 " "	1	200 15 8			
				6	905 10 6	330 " "	47 8 3	290 7 9	6	905 10 6		158 "	1 114 10th March 1852
20	Saroomboor	Sreenevasa Moodely		11	2670 13 11	1005 " "	167 " "	838 " "	11	2670 13 11	Ameenah Mooshurf Turfdar 1 Peon Saulderward	8 " " 6 " " 5 " " 3 " " " 8 "	
												22 8 "	" 135 Do "
21	Unnamumbakam	C. Sandraya Moodely		1	390 12 8	147 " "	20 " "	127 " "	1	390 12 8	Ameenah Mooshurf	7 " "	
22	Eechengamay	Do "	Do "	1	157 10 2	58 " "	10 " "	48 " "	1	157 10 2	1 Peon	3 " "	
23	Agarum	Do "	Do "	1	80 " 4	30 " "	" " "	30 " "	1	80 " 4	Saulderward	" 8 "	
				3	628 7 2	235 " "	30 " "	205 " "	3	628 7 2		10 8 "	" 109 Do "

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages or hamlets in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Jumma of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Pishcush payable to Government in February of Every Year.	Amount of Mootah.	Balance.	No. of Villages or hamlets to be attached.	Actual or Estimated Collections of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed for attachment.		Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated Gross Collections of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of attachment.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		11	12	13
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.			
24	Vettlapoorum	Ganapaty Moodely	21	3825 3825 134	1434 " "	429 " "	995 " "	21	3825 134	Ameenah Moosharf Turfdar 2 Peons 3Rs. each Sanderward	10 " " 7 " " 5 " " 6 " " " 8 "			
											28 8 "	"	111	10th March 1852
25	Tadencoopum	C Sydayacoob Hoossain Saib Died	1	45 10 7	17 " "	" " "	17 " "	1	45 10 7	Ameenah Moosharf	7 " "			
26	Ponnjoor	P Manickelly	1	409 5 2	153 " "	" " "	153 " "	1	409 5 2	1 Peon	3 " "			
27	Comaracoopum	Venkatnarain Reddy	1	245 8 1	92 " "	" " "	92 " "	1	245 8 1	Sanderward	" 8 "			
			3	700 7 10	262 " "	" " "	262 " "	3	700 7 10		10 8 "	1 0 "	Do.	
28	Caroomarapatnum	Coonatoor Sandraya Moodely	1	102 " 5	38 " "	6 " "	32 " "	1	102 " 5	Ameenah and Moosharf	7 " "			
29	Tipanambut	Do.	1	88 3 10	33 " "	5 " "	20 " "	1	88 3 10					
30	Eroombooleharyt	Do.	1	110 6 7	42 " "	7 " "	35 " "	1	110 6 7	1 Peon	3 " "			
31	Paroombadoo	Do.	1	492 1 10	185 " "	31 " "	154 " "	1	492 1 10	Sanderward	" 8 "			
32	Attavuttum	Do.	1	108 12 3	41 " "	7 " "	34 " "	1	108 12 3					
			5	901 8 11	339 " "	56 " "	283 " "	5	901 8 11		10 " "	1 27	Do.	
33	Vullum	C Ramiah	2	1140 3 8	476 " "	71 " "	355 " "	2	1140 3 8	Ameenah	10 " "			
34	Paulmalloor	Mooltoo Comumpa Modely	1	455 12 6	170 " "	72 " "	90 " "	1	455 12 6	Moosharf Turfdar 2 Peons 3Rs. each	8 " " 5 " " 6 " "			
35	Mantoor	Manaroo Naik Sooba Naik	2	2326 14 10	872 " "	427 " "	445 " "	2	2326 14 10	Sanderward	" 8 "			
			5	3922 15 "	1468 " "	570 " "	890 " "	5	3922 15 "		29 8 "	" 12 "	Do.	

Fort St. George

29th March 1852

No.	Names of the Zemmundaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemmundars or Proprietors.	No. of Villages included in the Zemmundary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due on the Zemmundary or Estate.	Amount Collected.	Balance.	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	Kistdar or Establishment Value of the proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be Employed for attachment.	Percentage of the Establishment to be Drawn on the Value of the Zemmundary or Estate attached.	Value attached.	Remarks.
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
36	Reevullaw	T. Ramasawmy Reddy	2	528 13 8	195 " "	162 " "	2	528 13 8	Ameenah and Moorshurf	8 12 "		
37	Teromamum	W. Venciah	4	1285 9 "	483 " "	298 " "	4	1,285 9 "	Surfdar	5 " "		
38	Caratoor	T. Pinata Ummal	1	327 12 5	126 " "	104 " "	1	327 12 5	2 Peons 3 Rs. each	6 " "		
			7	2142 31	804 " "	564 " "	7	2142 31		20 4 "	" 151 10	10th March 1852
39	Lutchmeporum	N. Gopal Row Dea and Flist Row	15	2308 2 10	865 " " " "	865 " "	15	2308 2 10	Ameenah	8 " "		
									Moorshurf	6 " "		
40	Coonnagolum	N. Flist Row	3	788 9 "	296 " " " "	296 " "	3	788 9 "	Surfdar	5 " "		
									2 Peons 3 Rupees each	6 " "		
									Sanderward	" 8 "		
			18	3,096 11 10	1161 " " " "	1161 " "	18	3,096 11 10		25 0 "	" 132	Do "
41	Veppultoor	Mr. J. K. Naylor	2	367 12 9	139 " " " "	139 " "	2	367 12 9	Ameenah and Moorshurf	7 " "		
42	Sembeem Manaly	V. Varabadra Pillay	1	212 8 "	80 " " " "	80 " "	1	212 8 "	1 Peon	3 " "		
43	Geredarapoorum	Rungapa Naik and Narrani-sawmy naik	2	423 2 1	154 " " 27 34	126 12 0	2	42321	Sanderward	" 8 "		
			5	1003 6 10	373 " " 27 34	345 12 0	5	10 03 6 10		10 8 "	1 19	Do "

Fort St. George

29th March 1852

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	No. of Villages in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Summum of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due at Settlement of Fasli Estate	Amount Collected.	Balance.	No. of Villages subject to the attachment.	Estimated Collection made of Villages subject to the attachment.	Establishment proposed to be Employed for attachment.	Remarks.
44	Aumoor	P. Cundapa Moodely	4	2,610 13	902 " "	482 " "	500 " "	4	2618 13	Ameenah 10 " "	
45	Colloor	P. Appa Row Moodely	1	330 " 11	123 " "	60 " "	63 " "	1	330 " 11	Moosharf 8 " "	
46	Candegay	P. Vengaya Moodely	1	35 15 3	13 " "	" " "	13 " "	1	35 15 3	Turfdar 5 " "	
47	Erroolaput	P. Apparow Moodely	1	287 4 11	100 " "	16 12 11	91 31	1	287 4 11	2 Peons 3 Rs. each 6 " "	
48	Vachoor	Roocmoodeen Khan Saib.	2	448 15 1	160 " "	" " "	160 " "	2	448 15 1	Sanderward " 8 "	
49	Vanbakum	N. Gopal Row	1	284 5 "	100 " "	17 14 10	90 12	1	284 5 "		
			10	4004 10 5	1502 " "	576 11 9	925 43	10	4004 10 5	298 " "	119 10th March 1852
30	Mudravoil	M. Banneedally Khan Badoor.	3	2171 15 8	814 " "	12 " "	802 " "	3	2171 15 8	Ameenah and Moosharf 8 12 " Turfdar 5 " " 1 Peon 3 " " Sanderward " 8 "	
										174 " "	128 Do.
31	Colour	M. Papee Naick & Co. A. Papeeco. Reddy	3	1,926 25	722 " "	120 6 8	601 9 7	3	1926 25	Ameenah and Moosharf 8 " " Turfdar 5 " " 1 Peon 3 " " Sanderward " 8 "	
										16 8 "	139 Do.
32	Autorpakum	T. Baboo Row	2	103 7 4	38 " "	6 " "	32 " "	2	103 7 4	3 " "	2 14 6 Do.
										1 Peon	
										322 4 "	" 14 10
	Grand Total		132	34831 28	13090 " "	2477 13 9	10,612 23	132	34831 28		

Under the management of the Deputy Collector Talook Tahsildar.

Sungleput District, Collector's Cutchery,
Pullecarry, 19th " March, 1852.

Signed S. H. Cochrane
Collector.

No. 623

Proceedings thereon — The Board of Revenue

(26·27) sanction the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the State-ment, which accompanies the forego-ing letter, at an aggregate charge of Rupees 322-4-0 per Mensem, for the Managment of the Estates in the District of Chingleput, attached for arrears of Revenue for the Current fusly.

(28)

Bd. No. 733

From the Collector of Chingleput — Read letter, Forwarding a Statement of Contingent charges in-curred for the year 1851.

(28·29)

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 27th October and Entered in Con-sultation 17th November 1851.

Read also the following letter

No. 14.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(30)

Bd. No. 515

From the Acting Collector North Arcot ---- } Sir, — In acknowledging an

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of

of Revenue dated 17th November last re-questing to be informed whether the objections made by the Cuthadies of the Mamundoor Poliem as brought to the notice of the Board in Mr. Warde's letter of the 27th October 1851, have refer--ence to the proposed reduction in the rates of pay from 2½ to 2 Rupees each or whether the Cuthadies have altered their intentions in the arrangement proposed by Mr. Bourdillon to grant them money payment in Commutation of their present Maniums to which at that time they consented, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that particular enquiries have been made to ascertain on what ground the Cuthadies object to receive the proposed money payments in lieu of their maniums, and that they object to receive the money payments whether the rate be 2½ or 2 Rupees. They universally de-clare now that they are unwilling to ac-quiesce in the arrangement proposed by Mr. Bourdillon and desirous of enjoying their Maniums as they have

have hitherto done

North Arcot, ...

Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, } Signed H. A. Brett

Cangunellore, 25th February 1852. } Acting Collector

No. 112.

No. 612

Proceedings thereon

(30-31)

To Govt. 31st Jany. 1850

To Sr. 22d May

in Con 3d June 1850

Resolved that the letters above recorded be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the margin

2. It is observed that the Cutchaddies of the Mannumdoor Pallium object not only to the proposal to enter. tain 33 of their number on the establishment permanently on the pay of 2 Rupees and a prospective increase on relinquishing their Enams but refuse to receive any money payments whatever in lieu of their maniums.

3. As the Government seem as always to have acknowledged the right of these Cuttuddies to their Manniums the Board see no other course now left but to continue their land as before but with this understanding that should they not perform the services required

required the manniums are liable to resumption.-

Read again Tabular Report from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 31st January and Entered in Consultation 16th February 1852.

Read also the following letter

No. 18.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(32)

Bd. No. 649.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. } Fort, 16th Feby. 1852.

Supplying the information called for by the Board in their Proceedings dated 16th February 1852 respecting the first Lapse recorded in the Tabular report of Lapsed Enams for the 4th Quarter 1851.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th February 1852. calling upon me to furnish certain information respecting the first Lapse recorded in the Tabular Report of Lapsed Enams submitted to the Board for the 4th Quarter 1851.

In reply I beg to explain

2. that a mistake was made in Column 9. It should have been entered as follows; "The "whole was under the enjoyment of the "last holders, but they have now given a "Razeenamah!

The

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

3. The Enam under reference descended to the last holders from their grand father Vencunnah puntaloo by whom it was purchased from one Baboo Row in the year 1808; as will be seen from the entry made in the 5th Column of the said Report, and it has been in the possession of their family ever since. The manium is now relinquished on the ground that the Proceeds of the Enam is inadequate to meet the Jodee payable on it.—

4. The original document adverted to in Column 5 is not for the coming. But the Enamdars are in possession of an authenticated Copy of it which is herewith forwarded, as requested by the Board.—

5. In reply to Para 3 I beg to inform the Board that Baboo Row appears from a report made by the Tahsildar of the late Tirputty Talook, in which the Manium in question is situated, to have been the grand son of Bagavunta Row, the original grantee.—

North Arcot,
Collector's Circuit Cutchery,
Ranneepet, 12th March, 1852.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Acting Collector

Under

Fort St. George 29th March 1852

No. 610.

No. 114

Remarks thereon

Under the Acting Collector's explanation in this statement and in his separate letter of 12th March 1852 it is observed that this Enam was sold in 1808 by Baboo Row Grandson of the original Grantee to one Vencunnah puntooloo and that it descended to the Grandsons of the latter who were the last holders and relinquished the Enam because, as they allege, the proceeds were inadequate to meet the Jodigee payable upon it. The Board Concur in the proposal to resume the Enam.—

The previous irregular transmission of this Enam it's enjoyment by the deceased a female, and it's sale by her subsequent to the prohibitory Notification of 27th July 1840 seem to bar the recognition of the present claim brought by Iyen Gar Christniah upon the plea of his purchase of it from her. The Board therefore recommend that it be resumed.

(32-33)

Case No. 1 Chindragherry Talook
Candrapaun Nunjah 3-1¾-62-14¾-
Jodigee - - - 28-5-3
Remaining - - - 34-9-"

In Con 29th March 1852 649

Case No. 2 Tirputty Talook
Vaidanta poorum Enamaty Village
½ Share of Swastegym - 2-7/16-25-9-9
Enjoyed by Swastegymdars
out of undivided land of
the Village - - - - - 4-4½ 21-5-5
Moturpha - - - - - " -1-4
Topadaygme - - - - - " -2-8
Jodigee on Sundry Enams - - - " -3-"
47-6-2
Jodigee payable to Aicar - - - 1-1-6
Remaining - - - - 46-4-8

Case No. 3 Arcot Talook
Cushah Arcot
Nunjah 1-4-"-14-9-8

The deceased Beebee saibah having succeeded to this Enam in her husband's right by marriage the Board agree with the Collector that there are not sufficient grounds for it's continuance to her nephew and would therefore resume the Enam. They observe that the case of fraud

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

paid brought against the Monigar will be disposed of in usual course

Read the following letter

No. 19

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(34)

Bd No. 669.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot - - - Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th Instant, informing me that the descriptive roll of Syed Candar Mohodeen Saib the Inamdar of Chedwall, was taken by the Superintendent of Police in September last, I have the honor to explain that no evidence was taken by the Superintendent of Police to establish the identity of the person, whose descriptive Roll was taken on that occasion as it is necessary for me to be assured of the Pensioner's existence before I make an application for the transfer. I have applied to the Collector of Madras to prepare a fresh roll after the evidence on this point had been furnished.

During the last 10 years the proceeds of the Chedwall Village have been paid at Madras on the Sahejistna. mah of Cawzy of the Sudr Udalut. The Collector has been informed of this fact and requested to examine the Cawzy as a witness on the pensioner's behalf

North Arcot, - - - - -
Collector's Cutcherry - - -
Rancepett, 16th March, 1852.

/Signed/ A. A. Brett
Acting Collector.

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded

Read

(34-35) Dy

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Read the following letter -

No. 18.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(36)

Bd No. 530.

From the Collector of Salem ..... } Sir,

Furnishing certain information relative to three ruined Choultries whose Enams had been recommended to be resumed -

Order of Government No. 1121. 11th November 1851. Para 2.

I have the honor to reply to the proceedings of your Board dated the 27th November 1851, requiring certain information relative to three ruined Choultries entered as Cases 2, 3 and 4 on a Tabular report submitted by me on the previous 28th August -

No. 2. Royacottah

2. This Choultry stood at about a mile beyond the Town of Royacotta on the road to Kishnagherry. It is a complete ruin - No particular advantage will result from its reconstruction on the original site, as the road to Kishnagherry is not much frequented by native travellers and there is a small Chavady now in existence at the station - The latter however needs considerable repair and adverting to the fact that a sum of Rupees 169 - - - has already been realized

realized and made available for the public account from the Enams which formed the endowment of the old Choultry, I would solicit permission to be allowed to execute the necessary repairs at the public cost, at an expense not exceeding the the abovementioned amount.- As shown in the accompanying Statement the return at the faisul rates of assessment for Fusly 1260 of such of the Enam lands belonging to the old Choultry as are now under cultivation is Rupees 18-9-4-

No. 3 Keernoor. 3. Of the Choultry at Keernoor, nothing I find on visiting the spot is now visible except some mounds, which mark the old foundation- To rebuild it would involve an outlay of Rupees 1,500-"-" but a Choultry at Keernoor is not required, as it is distant from Anilloor, where there is ample accomodation for native travellers only one mile or so, whilst three miles beyond it, or at about 13 miles from Salem, is an excellent and most commodious Choultry erected by Mr. G. F. Fischer the Mootadar. Of the Enams belonging to the Choultry, but Acres 3-25-5, yielding at the Survey rates Rupees 21-2-9, were under the plough in Fusly 1260.

4. Although as before stated, a Choultry is not absolutely requisite a building of the kind would

would of course, to a certain extent, be convenient to travellers.- The expence of its reconstruction would partly be met by the Sum of Rupees 405-15-8- now held in deposit as a "Sevoy Summa" collection The difference might hereafter be realized from the produce of the Enams but from what I observed of a portion of these which I visited and found to have been lying waste for many years past, I am not sanguine that there is any probability of their being cultivated at the present rate of assessment.-

No. 4 Chitrachoory 5. This Choultry is 1½ mile off the high road from Salem to Trichinopoly at about 8 Miles from Namcul, the Cusbah Station of the Talook of that name.- As there are two other Choultries at more convenient distances, it is not resorted to by travellers to and from Salem in the direction of Trichinopoly. There is however, a weekly market of some importance held at Nynomalay the Village where the Choultry stands, and it appears that such of the inhabitants attending the market as cannot reach their homes on the evening of the day of the fair halt for the night in the part of the Choultry still in existence. Occasionally also it may be of use to travellers coming through

4205 Fort St. George 29th March 1852

Statement shewing the Extent of Enam Lands attached to certain Choultries under Cultivation, and those left waste for Fusly 1260.

Item		Total Enam. Land	Total Enam. Seervah	Circar Jodigy	Waste. Land	Waste. Seervah	Cultivation. Land	Cultivation. Seervah
		as gs as	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs. gs as	Rs a P	as gs as	Rs a P
No. 2 Royacottah Choultry	Poonjee	26 24 6	50 4 4	———	16 21 13	31 11 .	10 2 9	18 9 4
	Nunjee	1 17 1	10 10 8	———	1 17 1	10 10 8	" " "	" " "
		28 1 7	60 15 "	. 15 "	17 38 14	42 5 8	10 2 9	18 9 4
No. 3 Keernoor Choultry	Poonjee	8 38 4	41 11 8	1 10 3	5 14 15	25 5 6	3 23 5	16 6 2
	Cocoanut Trees 4	———	4 12 7	———	———	———	———	4 12 7
		8 38 4	46 8 3	1 10 3	5 14 15	25 5 6	3 23 5	21 2 9
No. 4 Chitrachondy choultry	Poonjee	78 7 9	221 5 7	" " "	4 22 2	6 8 6	75 25 7	214 13 1
	Bagayet	22 33 8	192 7 1	" " "	4 11 15	40 4 9	18 21 9	152 2 4
	Nunjee	2 21 14	20 8 .	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 21 14	20 8 .
		103 22 15	434 4 8	" " "	6 34 1	46 13 3	96 28 14	387 7 5
	Cocoanut Trees 16 Lime Tree 1	———	8 15 9	———	———	———	———	8 15 9
		103 22 15	443 4 5		6 34 1	46 13 3	96 28 14	396 7 2

Salem District, Multoor, 27th February 1852. E. E. J. /Signed/ A. D. Phillips
Collector

36-37

B. No. 611.

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting a correspondence from the Collector of Salem affording information regarding three ruined choultries the Enams for which had been recommended for resumption with the Board's views on the subject.

No. 113.

Resolved that the letter above recorded together with its enclosure be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the papers noted in the margin.

From Salem 28th August in Cons 2d October 1851.
Remarks to Government 2d Do.
From Do. 11th in Cons 27th November 1851.

2. It is thought that no advantage will result from the construction of the Choultry at Royacottah a mile beyond the Town of that name on Kistnagherry Road as the road is not much frequented and the Choultry is in ruins but the Collector points out that a sum of Rupees 169 is available from the Enam land of the Choultry and he requests permission to lay out that sum in executing considerable repairs to another Choultry, at the Station, which is now in existence. The Board cannot recommend this course, as the building appears to be a mere Village Choultry. If the Choultry were required for Travellers and similar accommodation did not exist within a reasonable distance, the Board would have been prepared to recommend the transfer of the land as with

with its proceeds for its support in future – as such is not stated to be the case, it remains – that the land be resumed and the proceeds in Deposit carried to accounts.

3. It is stated that nothing of the Choultry at Keernoor remains except some Mounds which mark its foundation, that ample accommodation is to be had at Mullaor one mile from it, while 3 miles beyond it there is an excellent and commodious Choultry erected by Mr. Fischer and that its reconstruction which is not absolutely necessary would cost Rupees 1,500 :– As there are now only Rupees 405–15–8 in deposit from the proceeds of the Enam of this Choultry and the realization of the remainder from the produce of the Enam is doubtful, in consequence of the small portion which it is estimated will be cultivated the Board do not see the utility of reconstructing the Building.–

4. Adverting to the Nynamalay Choultry in Chitrachoondy it is stated to be 1½ mile off the high road from Salem to Trichinopoly, and about 8 miles from Cusbah Ramand and not resorted to, as there are Two other Choultries.

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Choultries at more convenient distances. The want of accommodation for the Weekly market stated to be held in the Village of Nynamalay induced the Board, under the circumstances stated by the Collector in para 5 to suggest that in the event of the owner of the Building surrendering the same, he be authorized to complete its repairs at the public cost, in communication with the Department Public Works, the Enam being retained for its support. Should the owner be unwilling to give up the Building, the only alternative would appear to be, resumption of the Enam with a provision for the widow of the late Holder as recommended by the Board in the remarks on this Grant dated 2d October 1851.

Read the following

Statement.

Statement

J.H.B.

B. R. No. 662.

38

Fort St. George 29th Mar

Statement shewing the amount of arrears of a Yeomiah payable the

Number of Certificate.	Name of the Pensioner.	Amount of Pension Rs. per annum.	Date of Government authority.	Nature of the Grant.	Period for which arrears are due	A ars
1	2	3	4.	5	6	
5	Pad shabee	14	26th January 1820	A fee of one pie on every Gunny bag of Grain imported into and exported from the Town of Nurroor It was granted by Tippoo Sultan to Syed Abdool Roofs aim Khaderee the original grantee up to 1796- On the assumption of the Country by the British Government, Captain Munro commuted the privilege to an annual payment of 36 Star Pagodas- On the demise of the original Grantee, the yearly allowances below particularized were made to his heirs with the sanction of Government dated the 26th January 1820.-	4 Years Nett from 12th January Fusly 1257 to 11th January Fusly 1261	3

1. Syed Mahomed Grand Son/daughters, son/original Grantee ——— } 21 " "
2. Aushabee, step Mother of — Do — 14 " "
3. Budeebee, daughter of — Do — 14 " "
4. Padshabee, the present incumbent or daughter of — Do — } 14 " "

63 " "

On the first named male relative attaining his 18 year in Chittry Month Fusly 1240, his allowance was discontinued.

That made to No. 2 ceased on her demise on the 11th February 1255.

A similar result attended the demise of No. 3 on the 17th October Fusly 1254.

The case of the last Yeomiadar No. 4 Padshabee forms the subject of this Statement.

Salem District, Kistnagherry
Collector's Cutcherry, 13th March 1852.

1852.

Remarks of the Board of Revenue.

Orders of Government.

9

10.

...mentioned Yeomiahdar in the District of Salem which is recommended for resumption.

D.

d. Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.

8

As the Yeomiahdar failed to appear at certain of the annual musters made by the Collector of District, agreeably to standing orders and receive her allowances at the proper period, it was held in deposit

Orders having been issued from my Office to the Tahsildar of Taluk Kingara Cottah to direct her to attend, that Officer on the 2nd December 1851 reported that he had forwarded her accordingly to my Circuit Cutcherry then being held at Daramporay, a town from which Kurrur, the Village where the Yeomiahdar is said ordinarily to reside, is distant about 17 Miles.

An old man named Shaik Jann, who is not a Yeomiahdar then came forward representing himself to be Vakeel on behalf of Padshabee. He stated that being a Gosha female, she was exempt from attendance at public muster. I declined to accept his guarantee and pointed out that by late orders, the advanced age of the Yeomiahdar was a reason why she might show herself, but without success. On being told he must produce Yeomiahdars as Securities for her being in existence, he distinctly alled that she was unknown to persons of that class and went away having failed in his mission and the Yeomiahdar not having appeared before me.

A Vakeel to the Tahsildar in question having been forwarded on the 11th Ultimo, directing him to intimate to Padshabee that if within a month, she did not attend as last year suggested, her allowance would be discontinued the same Vakeel appeared before me with a Vakalutnamah on the 4th Instant at Tripattoor, stating that the cause of the absence of Padshabee during the last three years was her having proceeded to Trichinopoly and other places. At the same time proceeded two guarantees Murad Beg and Myat Beg Yeomiahdars each receiving 35 Rupees per annum who executed a written declaration / Moochilkha / that to the best of their knowledge Padshabee is alive and that at the time of their speaking she was not far from my Camp. They explained that being a female relative of their spiritual director, no objection was ever taken to her ceasing to be in seclusion in their presence. I was not impressed with the truth of their statements which I disbelieve. For this reason & under the circumstances above shown, as the amount has been allowed to remain undrawn for an unusually long period I am of opinion that the necessity for its continuance has passed away and that it should be resumed. I recommend accordingly.

/ Signed / H. D. Phillips:

Collector.

Ordered.

38:39
B. No. 604.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded the lapse of his Yeamiah, dating from the 12th January 1848, and being a life grant is not necessary to be reported to Government.

Fort St. G. to Circular 19th October 1848. C. O. B. Page 474.

No. 30.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to report on an application made by Syed Vysoolla Sahib for compensation claimed under the circumstances stated below.

40
B. No. 664.
From the Collector of Salem.....
Reporting on an application made by Syed Vysulla Sahib for compensation on account of land taken up for the road from Kistnagherry to Bangalore.

2. Vysoolla Sahib is the registered holder of Veneatapooram, a Village in the Kishnagherry Talook of this District, which forms the rent free or Enam endowment of Kishnagherry Mussjeed.

3. From the enquiry instituted by me it appears that when, in 1834, the present high road from Kishnagherry to Bangalore was formed by Principal Collector Mr. Orr, a portion of this Enam Village was occupied for the purposes of the road and is now used as such, no road having previously existed on the line then laid down.

4. The extent thus taken up was Acres 2-32-8 liable to a fixed assessment of Rupees 5-8-2.

5. Vysoolla Sahib further represents that certain

certain improvements made in 1824 to the Kishnagherry small Tank, had the effect of permanently securing for that reservoir a larger supply of water, and consequently a more extensive area of wet cultivation in the Government lands the further effect having been annually to flood a small portion of the ayacut of the Village Vencata-poorum and thus render it unavailable for tillage. The ground shown to be flooded, in excess of the deduction made in the permanent settlement accounts for the bed of the tank, is Acres 3-25-2 on which Rupees 1-8-6 is payable as teerwah. The total extent of land and teerwah thus lost to the Enamdar, is therefore Acres 3-25-2 yielding annually Rupees 7-0-8.

6. It does not satisfactorily appear why the Enamdar so long abstained from bringing his claim for compensation publicly to notice. It was preferred to my predecessor, the late Mr. Lockhart and your Board were addressed regarding it in the Petition marginally noted which was forwarded to this Office for disposal. There seems to me to be no room for doubting the correctness of the facts on which the Enamdar bases his request, and of its abstract justice I have

No. 7259 of 1850.

have no doubt. I therefore submit it for orders.

7. It is not however the wish of Vysoolla Sahib to receive compensation in money - He solicits permission to occupy rent free and hold as Enam certain Government lands in Giddumpilly Talook Kistnagherry, which are contiguous to his Enam Village and which, although their extent be greater than that of the land lost to him as above described, are assessed with a fixed teerwa, less by Rupees -"-2-8 than the assessment on the Enam taken up for Government purposes.-

8. The Government lands applied for appear in the fixed settlement pymash accounts as Porumboke, - they were subsequently brought under the plough and assessed with a mifsal rate but have been fallow since Fusly 1253 should they again be cultivated by amany Ryots - the rate once levied on them will, according to the practice of the District, be again demanded and no more. The selection of these lands does not, therefore, seem to involve the possibility of any undue ultimate advantage to the applicant.

9. I should add that the improvements to the Tank in question are reported to have been followed

by

by remissions to certain Government cultivators, whose lands were partially flooded by the enlarged area of the tank, and referring to the Board's Circular Order of the 26th December 1831, that the construction of the high road on the border of the Enam Village in question, has not been productive of any obvious or acknowledged benefit to the Enamdar, who is a religious Mahomedan.

C.O Book page 126.

Salem District.
Kistnagherry
13th March, 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector

No. 32.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(41)

B. No. 695.
From the Collector of Salem.....

Sir,

Adverting to my letter of the 13th instant in respect of the Enam Village Vencata poorum, I have the honor to explain that by an oversight I have represented that remissions were made to Amany Ryots for land flooded by the increased area of the Kishnagherry Tank. I ought to have stated that those remissions were made - not for the area of the tank, but for small portions of Amany holdings which were taken up by the new road in question.-

I.

2 It has further occurred to me that in considering this matter your Board may desire to know what are the resources of the Enam Village in question. I therefore beg to state that the assessment which on reference to the accounts of the permanent settlement, it is shown to bear is Rupees 255-5-7, and that it is not liable to any payment for jodee or otherwise.

Salem District
Darampoory &c
19th March 1852.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

40-42 B. No. 618
Proceedings thereon.

Declining to recognize the claim of an Enamdar for compensation for some land of his Enam taken up by the Road in 1834 or flooded by the improvement of the Kistnagherry small Tank in 1824.

In neither of the foregoing letters is it stated that the lands in the Enam in question, taken up by the Road in 1834 or flooded by the improvement of the Kistnagherry small Tank in 1824, was under cultivation at the time or previously, but the Board infer that the latter portion was flooded, as it is stated that the improvement of the Tank rendered that land unavailable for Tillage.

2. It should however be distinctly shewn that the Enamdar sustained a real loss of the produce of the land upon which alone a positive value can be set - and that the delay which has occurred in not advancing this claim to compensation for so long a period is not attributable to any fault on

on the part of the Enamdar.

3. The Board would here notice that on a claim made by a Shotriamdar in North Arcot for abatement of Jodi on account of Waste land in his Village taken up for the improvement of a Channel, Government declined "to recognize a claim to an imaginary "loss, such as the invasion of his proprietory "right which is inappreciable or for a possi-"ble" loss in future of something which he "might have got from the land, improbable as "any such expectation may be from present appear-"ances." They considered it the prerogative of Government as the ruling power to use "unproductive land in making Roads, Canals &c." without compensation to the owner "except for loss of something actually enjoyed "through his possession upon which a positive "value could be set."

From Government 6th in Cons 13th February 1851.

Read the following Tabular Report.

Tabular

4216

B°. No. 534.

43

Fort St. George

29th March 1852.

Tabular Report on the lapsed Enams in the District of Trichinopoly.

Case No.	Taluk and Village Extent and value of the entire Enam.	When and by whom granted name of the original grantee Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant charity or service If service nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder date of his decease and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee	Share enjoyed by the deceased and held by other members.	Family left by the deceased and name and age of the parties their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector and the grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Poottoor Yp a. Wet 182-66-2 Dry 831-76-15 Annual present Revenue Rs 191-1-- Thennoor cs Yp a. Wet 3-1-4 Dry Garden 8-77-- Wet Garden 1-50 -- Assessment A. C. Rupees 84-6-1.	Granted by Nabob as a Inddeen Khan in Hejri 1157 A. D. 1744.	For the support of the Oorous Ceremonies in the Mosque of Shaw Faz Zula Saib.	Zainab Katoon alias Mayonbee died on the 24th August 1851 widow of a disciple of the original grantee who attended the Mosque.	One fourth of the income was appropriated for the Durgha expenses and three fourths for the support of the deceased and her two daughters.	Yamoona beebee Daughter aged 55 years. She is a widow - no issue Runnee beebee another daughter aged 45 years. She is married and is under the support of her husband Yamoona beebee the widow has no other means of support.	These two daughters of the deceased widow have stated their readiness to nominate an agent for performing the Ceremonies of the Mosque. And as the deceased performed the duties of the Mosque by means of an agency there seems no objection to continuing the lands to these females. The Collector solicits sanction accordingly.		
2	Volcondaporam Avattoor Shrotriem Village Apposite cs Yp as Rs a. Dry 34-32-12/35-11-5 and charged with a quit Rent Rs. 14---"--"--	Granted during the administration of Ryza Kistna Royer date unknown. Original grantee Veroen ga atha Iyengar no documents - the Shrotriem is continued from time immemorial.	For charity	Coopana Iyengar Grand Son of the original grantee died on the 21st December 1851.	The Shrotriem was not divided among the family The deceased alone enjoyed the entire emolument.	Lutchmy annual widow aged 13 years - Ranganaiger annual widows aunt aged 40 years Besides this Shrotriem the family possess an extent of 15 Cawnies and 62 1/16 Grolies of land in Carny coody Village in the same Taluk and enjoy the same at a reduced 3/4 Mahajanam Teeroul. The assessment thereof is Rs 6 8 -- as 10 -- Rs 7 1/2 --	The family have other means of support and possess land already held on a favorable tax. The Collector is not prepared to recommend the continuance of this Shrotriem to the widow. It should therefore be carried to the Iyen account at full assessment in the ensuing Fusly of 1862.		

Trichinopoly, 24th February 1852.

(Signed) J. Bird
Collector

Before

4217. Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

B. No. 602

Proceedings thereon-

(43-44)

Before submitting the foregoing for the orders of Government the Board request further information on the first lapse.-

In Column 4 the Grant is mentioned as an endowment "for the support of the Osroos ceremonies in the Mosque of Shah Fuzzala Saheb" and in Columns 5 and 7, the service is stated to be attending and performing ceremonies at the Mosque while in Column 6 a Durgah is mentioned.-

It is therefore doubtful for which of these Institutions the grant was bestowed, and some importance is attached to this point, as if it is found to have been for a Mosque and the service actually performed it must be considered as a permanent grant, but if for a Durgah it must fall within the provisions of the Rule for preventing the undue extension of such grants.-

Circular 27th July 1848 para 7 C. O. Book page 451.

Circular 10th Augt. 1841. Bd. Proceedings 21st May 1846 para 12 Extract Minutes of Cons. 11th July in Cons. 10th August 1846 para 4. C.O. Book page 411.-

J.B.

Read

1218. Fort St. George. 29th March 1852.

Read the following Letter-

No. 44-

To.

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue,

Fort St. George -

(45)

Sir.

B. No. 507-

From the Collector of Madura- 7-3

Forwarding Copy of an address from the Committee with memorial against the Proceedings of the Manager of the Meenatchee Pagoda and Soliciting instructions of the Board of Revenue on the subject-

1 I have the honor to request that you will lay before the Board of Revenue the accompanying Copy of an address from a Committee of the Inhabitants of Madura formed to consult the affairs of the Great Pagoda of that Town-

2- Besides specifying some instances in which the Manager they allege, has misappropriated the Funds of the Pagoda and for which they intimate their intention of seeing him in the Civil Courts they impeach his General conduct, and solicit the orders of the Board that the monthly allowances may be delivered to some person whom the Committee may appoint-

3- In the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 24th June 1841 which directed the withdrawal of all interference with Native Temples, the intentions

intention of Government was stated to be, that the internal arrangements of the Institutions and the administration of their Revenues should be vested in those individuals who professing the same faith may be thought best qualified to conduct that administration with fedility and regularity; such individuals together with their subordinate Officers being held responsible to the Courts of Justice for any breach of the duties and trusts assumed by them.

4- This order does not point to the actions of the Managers being subjected to the Control of a Committee whether selfselected or elected by the Inhabitants. Although therefore I concur in many of the arguments used by the Committee in favor of there being such a check on the Manager, I cannot agree with them in supposing that the Honorable Court of Directors and the Government have in point of fact established it.

5. Seeharam Davjee the present Manager of the Madura Devastanum stated

obtained that Office in succession to his Uncle Dhunsing Davajee who was appointed on the 21st February 1843 and died towards the close of 1845.

6- If the Board are of opinion that the wishes of the Madura Community can be acceded to without departure from existing Rules, I would recommend compliance as I believe that, the Committee although it comprises a considerable number of Public servants fairly represents the public feeling.

7- This absorption of power over the Devastanums by the Public Servants seems to me a circumstance to be greatly regretted, and in case Committees of Control should be prescribed by Law (a provision which I think very desirable) I would limit the number of public Servants to be employed on them. Unless this be done I do not see how complaints of malversation in regard to Devastanum Funds, can be satisfactorily investigated by either the Judicial or Revenue Authorities

4221- Fort St George, 29th March 1852-

Authorities who must necessarily require the aid of their Native Esta=blishments in the examination of accounts &-

× Office Copy not taken

I beg to enclose 3× letters from the Devastanum Manager attributing the excitment of the Public to the sug=gestions and interference of the Public Servants. I was on circuit a long dis=tance from Madura when the Feast took place and therefore applied for information of what occurred — viz. to the Acting Head Assistant Magistrate whose report I also enclose- The ques=tion whether certain duties in the De=vastanum performed by Sooba Bhuttor should or after his death be performed by the Gomastah of his wife, as ar=gued by the Manager, or by the other male Merassidars, as contended by the opposite party is evidently a nice point of Law which a Police Officer or Magistrate is incompetent to determine- When therefore on a com=plaint coming before him the Police Ameen of Madura directed that the Joint Merassidars should officiate. I informed him that he had exceeded his

4222- Fort St George, 29th March 1852-

his powers and referred the Parties to the Civil Court if dissatisfied with the appointment of the Manager: and I think that the Assistant Magistrate would have done better to have taken security from one or both Parties to keep the Peace than to have sanctioned a — Public meeting-

Madura, - - -
Shevagunga, - - -
21st February, 1852-

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector-

Enc: No: 5-
No: 15-

To R. D. Parker Esqre
Magistrate of
Madura-

Sir.

In reply to your letter dated 12th Instant containing Extracts from one from the Manager of the Meenatchee Pagoda regarding certain alleged misconduct of the Public — servants I have the honor to inform you that I am not aware that the Public servants attempted to create — a disturbance on the occasion refer=red to- They asked Mr Pockin leave to allow them to assemble in the Pagoda to make an investigation into the Pagoda affairs

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

affairs which was granted and I hear that they together with the Town peo= =ple assembled on one occasion for that purpose, on the evening of the 2. Instant Mr. Pochin and I at the request of the Town Police Ameen accompanied him to the Pa= =thoo Mundapum. Upon our arrival there was a great crowd assembled and the Pagoda Meerasee Bhutter and a Goomastah who had been appointed by the Manager were present. Each claimed the right to mount an Elephant and ride round the Town in procession before the Idol and each had their party backing them and ready to oppose any attempt on the part of the other to carry their in= =tention into effect. Under these circum= =stances we thought it advisable in order to prevent a disturbance, to order the Elephant to be taken away and prohibited that part of the ceremony from being performed. Beyond this I cannot see that the Manager has any Ground for the assertion he has made but from what I have heard of the circumstances of the case, I am of opinion that the Manager's conduct

Fort St. George. 29th March 1852.

was blameable in attempting to violate the Meerasee rights of the Bhutters and that it was the cause of the excitement which prevailed. At the meeting above alluded to this matter of dispute was settled so far that the Meerasee Bhutter's claim was ac= =knowledged and he performed the usual ceremonies during the Feast= without opposition.

Madura, 15th September, 1851.

Signed / A. P. Hodgson
Asst. Magistrate.

/ True Copy /
Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

(45-46)

B. No. 57.

Proceedings thereon. 1 The disturbance that has lately taken place at the Mee= =natchee Coil pagoda at Madura is the result of a feud between the Manager or Head Priest and a self constituted Committee who consider they have a right as representing the com= =munity to give their opinion as to the Manager's doings.

Intimating that the Board cannot interfere with the charges brought against the Manager of the Meenat= =chy Covil Pago= =da and cal= =ling for cer= =tain inform= =ation regard= =ing that in= =dividual and a self constituted Committee who assert a

2. The immediate cause of the disturbance was a dispute as to who

a right to superintend that Officer.

who were the proper persons to perform certain miracles and accompany the Idol procession on an Elephant: The matter was temporarily settled before it became very serious, but the Board agree with the Collector that his Head Assistant would have acted more judiciously had he not sanctioned a Public Meeting but bound over the parties to keep the peace.

para 14 of Committee's letter to Collector — Enclosure from Madura 21st February 1852.

3. The questions at present seems to be whether the "Committees" have the right as they assert "of dismissing the Manager" or whether it is the Collector to decide as to his removal after investigating the charges made against him.

4. These charges are embodied in the letter of the Committee to the Collector/Enclosure 1 in his letter/ and they refer both to the Manager's arbitrary conduct in assuming the emoluments of other lending the Pagoda money, unnecessary expenditure, falsification of Accounts, embezzlement &c. and to

× paras 4 to 7, of Committees letter.

× para 8 & 11.

to charges of irregularity in the daily performance of worship and offerings, defective celebration of Festivals, removing the "pinnacle of the dome over the sanctuary which is held as adorable as the "Deity itself" making the community liable to an atonement of 6,000 Rupees for his religious offences. For this sum and 30,000 Rupees of embezzlement &c. the Committee "propose to sue the Manager in the Courts of Judicature."

5. With respect to the charges of irregularity of worship &c. the Board do not feel themselves called on to Judge, and as the Manager is about to be sued in the Civil Court for malversation, they see no reason to enter into enquiry on that subject either.

6. They would wish the Collector to inform them with reference to Mr. Drury's letter of 6th February 1843 of the circumstances under which the present Manager was nominated, and to state what is his professional position and also of whom the so called Committee consists.

Informs: 13th February 1843.

The

1227. Fort St George. 29th March 1852.

7. The Board cannot recognize the right of any self constituted Committee to superintend the Manager. At the same time if a certain number of respectable individuals do in the Collector's opinion represent the voice of the community and bring charges against the Manager it will be his duty in conformity with the orders of Government, to ascertain if there is real ground for suspecting misappropriation, and if so, investigate the matter under Regulation VII of 1817.

8. The enclosures to the Collector's letter are returned, Except the Copy of the Head Assistant's communication.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be given on Petition 520 of 1852.

"The Petitioner is referred to the Collector to whom orders have been issued."

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(47)

B. No 78
From the Collector
of Madura. - - - Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings

1228. Fort St George. 29th March 1852.

Proceedings of the 15th Instant I have the honor to inform them that I have received notice of the intention of the Complainants to appeal to the Sessions Court against the interference of the Revenue Board in the matter and to request instructions how I should act in case the Sessions Court should direct me as a Magistrate to investigate the Complaint under act XIII of 1850.

Their line of argument will I presume, be, that Act XIII of 1850, is so comprehensive in its provisions as to include Devastanum cases notwithstanding the Superintendence of such public Institutions is entrusted to the Board of Revenue by Regulation VII of 1817.

If before the receipt of the Board's instructions I should be called upon by the Sessions Court to proceed in the enquiry, I propose to deny its competency to pass such orders on the following grounds.

1st Because Regulation VII of 1817 vests the Superintendence of Devastanums in

in general in the Board of Revenue and that no prosecution for fraud therefore can take place without their consent or that of their local agent-

2nd That under Section XVI of Regulation VII of 1817 an investigation if sanctioned must be conducted under the provision of Regulation IX of 1822-

3rd That the particular Devastanums in question have been declared by the Sudr Udalut to be an appendage of the Zemindary of Ramnad-

And further if these objections do not satisfy the Court of the propriety of rescending its order to request a reference to the Foujdaree Udalut before which Court the Board will probably find the means of interposing to conduct the argument-

Madura. -
March 20th 1852- } Signed P. D. Parker
Collector

B. N: 825-

Proceedings thereon.

(47-48)

The Board approve of the course which the Collector proposes to adopt as reported in the foregoing letter.

Rd

end 4239

Read the following letters-

N: 94-

To,
W. H Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

(49)

B. N: 2391-

From the Collector of Coimbatore-

Affording explanation with reference to certain items noticed in the Board's Proceedings of the 24th March last-

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 24th March last on the report of the Land Revenue settlement of this District for Fusly 1259-

2. In reply to Para 5. I beg leave to state that the Cowle therein referred to was for old well not new Garden well, as erroneously stated in the Summabundy accounts for 1257, and consequently, the levy of full rent in 1259 was correct and unattended with loss or injustice to the Ryot; all Cowles for old wells being chargeable at full rate on the 3rd Year-

3. The Punjah lands mentioned in

Fort St. George, 29th March 1852.

in para 15, were struck off the accounts altogether, they were left waste from sufficient causes, such as their inaccessible position for water, inferiority of soil, &c and their retention in the puttahs of the Ryots was considered useless, in as much as they would not be cultivated in succeeding years, with any prospect of advantage - any others wishing to take up the same or any portion thereof for cultivation are at liberty to do so -

4. The Chuttrum Enams ordered to be resumed were 60, taken in 1266, and the lands incorporated with the Government assets - the revenue derived from them in the preceding year 1259 when they were under temporary resumption was included in "Deposits" in the Demand Collection and balance Statements periodically forwarded to the Board of Revenue -

Conoor. }
25 September 1851 } Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector

Order thereon.
(Vide Proceedings to Pont 1st April 1852) in Circulation -
Ordered to be sent again.

49-50

Fort St. George, 29th March 1852.

No 9.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(51)

B.P. No 157.

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore }

Sir,

Reports the Lord Bishop of Madras has purchased "South Downs" the property of the late W. Harington Esqre on the Neilgherries and requests the Board to obtain for the Department certain information relative to the purchase and resale of the Property to Mr Sullivan and solicits that Captain Ouchterlony shall define the Boundary to 18 Cawnies 23 Grounds and 2330 Sq feet and furnish Plan to that Extent for the Bishop -

1. The Lord Bishop of Madras having purchased South Downs is desirous of having its limits defined and a plan of the ground given to him -

2. Mr Sullivan originally purchased the land from the Todas a right not now recognized - He however seems to have applied to Government for permission to enclose 500 Bullahs or 1445 Cawnies and to have actually enclosed 53 3/4 Bullahs or 161 Cawnies - On the 30th April 1829 he addressed Government on the subject -

3. It would appear that Mr Sullivan sold the property to Government when he disposed of it or how much of the property he retained does not appear from the records of this District - This information appears to be requisite -

4. It appears from a Deed bearing date the 7th December 1839, the Government sold to one T. Ramasawmy Moodeliar the property of South Downs for Rupees Ten thousand

which

which deed however recites that for the sum named they made over to him 18 Cawnies 22 grounds 1077 S. feet; Copy of the deed I enclose which I beg may be returned

Enclosure A.

5. So far as I am able to learn Ramasawmy Moodelly never legally transferred this Deed to Mr. Sullivan by any regular process. Mr. Sullivan seems to have made the property over to Mr. Harington in the same manner as he received it, giving the Deed of Ramasawmy as the title. This Document. The Lord Bishop appears to have received in the same manner on the sale of the ground to him by the administrator to the Estate of the late Mr. Harington, and to have become entitled to the same amount Viz. 18 Cawnies, 22 grounds and 1077 S. feet.

Enclosure B.

Enclosure C.

6. A Pencil Plan made by Colonel King, has been received by the Bishop, and a plan has been drawn up from it by Captain Ouchterlony. The boundaries there mentioned gives 18 Cawnies and 20 grounds A mark, lettered, as laid down in the same plan gives 18 Cawnies, 22 grounds 2,330 S. feet corresponding with the sale to Ramasawmy Moodelly by Government, a which appears to be the Voucher appertaining

Enclosure D.

appertaining to each party as the title to the land.

7. Mr. Harington however up to the period of his demise and up to the sale of the land to the Lord Bishop by the administrator to his Estate paid Quit Rent for 138 Cawnies, 12 grounds 1770 S. feet.

Enclosure E.

8. I enclose Extract from a letter from Mr. W. Harington dated 30th August 1845, from which it seems he had in his possession 78 Cawnies, 22 grounds 3714 S. feet; but how he obtained this I am unable to trace, or upon what reasons shown, the Principal Collector, on the occasion of the receipt of his letter, allowed the transfer of 33 Cawnies, 7 grounds 1519 S. feet to Mr. James; C. 35 G. 7 S. 1519 to be thrown up, and C. 138 G. 12 S. 770 to be retained by Mr. Harington.

9. I shall be glad if the Board will kindly obtain for this Department any information, which the records of Government contain, relative to the purchase and resale of the South Downs property.

10. I also solicit the opinion of the Board whether the Boundary shall be defined as shewn by Captain Ouchterlony Viz. at 18 Cawnies 23 grounds 2330 S. feet and a plan given to the Lord Bishop; the property being

Fort St. George, 29th March 1852.

being registered in his name, reserving any rights which may hereafter be set up by the heirs of T. Ramasawmy Moodelly, who are Minors. The Lord Bishop has required an indemnity for any claim they may make from Messrs. Binny and Co.

I beg the favor of your returning the original enclosures.

Cotacamund,
13th February, 1852.

/Signed/ W. E. Underwood
Acting Collector.

No. 1.

This Indenture made the seventh day of December in the year of Christ One thousand Eight hundred and Thirty nine, Between The East India Company of the one part and T. Ramasawmy Moodelly of Mysore Hindoo Inhabitant, of the other part. Whereas the said East India Company on the Eighteenth day of November last caused the piece or parcel of land or Ground with the House, Stable and Buildings thereon erected hereinafter particularly described to be put up for sale by Public Auction at Madras at which sale the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly was the highest bidder and became the purchaser of the said Premises at or for the price or sum of Ten Thousand and Fifty Company's Rupees

Fort St. George, 29th March 1852.

And whereas the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly hath requested that the said Premises may be conveyed and assigned to him in manner hereinafter mentioned. Now this Indenture witnesseth that in consideration of the said sum of Ten thousand and Fifty Company's Rupees to the said East India Company well and truly paid by the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly at or before the Execution hereof the receipt whereof and that the same is in full satisfaction and discharge of the purchase money for the said land or Ground Buildings and Premises, they the said East India Company do hereby admit and acknowledge and thereof and of and from the same sum do acquit release and discharge the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors and administrators for ever by these Presents. They the said East India Company have granted bargained sold conveyed assigned transferred and set over and by these presents do grant bargain sell convey assign transfer and set over unto the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators and assigns all that

Piece

Fort St. George. 29th March 1832.

Piece or Parcel of Land or Ground situate lying and being at Potacammund on the Nil-gherry Hills bounded on the North partly by unoccupied Land and partly by the Public Road leading round Elk Hill into the East Road and to the cantonment on the South by a Nullah on the West partly by the said Nullah and partly by unoccupied land and on the East partly by the said Nullah and partly by part of the South Downs Property hereby assigned and containing in the whole according to a survey thereof Eighteen Cawnies Twenty two Grounds and one thousand and Seventy seven and a half Square feet; be the same a little more or less as the same appears in the Plan thereof annexed to these presents, Together with the messuages or Tenaments, Dwelling House, Outhouses, Offices, stables and Buildings erected, and built and now standing and being thereon and which together have been for a long time past and still are called or known by the name of the South Downs. Together with all ways easements profits privileges advantages and appurtenances to the said piece or parcel of land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises belonging or in any way appertaining

Fort St. George. 29th March 1832.

appertaining and all the Estate right title entered term and terms of years use trust inheritance property claim and demand whatsoever of them the said East India Company of in to or out of the said piece or parcel of land or Ground Messuages or tenements, Buildings and Premises, and every or any part thereof. To have and to hold the said piece or parcel of land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises and all and singular other the premises hereby assigned or otherwise assured or mentioned or intended so to be and every part thereof with the appurtenances unto the said — T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors Administrators and assigns henceforth absolutely for ever subject nevertheless to the payment of rents to the said East India Company to become due and of right accustomed and the said East India Company do hereby for themselves their successors and assigns Covenant promise and agree to and with the said — T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators and assigns in manner following that is to say

say that they the said East-India Compy are at the time of the sealing and delivery of these presents lawfully and rightfully seized and possessed of the said piece or parcel of Land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises for a good and indefeasible Estate in possession for ever without any manner of condition or limitation to defeat alter change charge or determine the same and also that they the said East India Company now have in themselves good rightful power and lawful and absolute authority to grant bargain sell convey assign and assure in manner aforesaid the said piece or parcel of Land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises hereby conveyed and assigned or assured or mentioned or intended so to be unto the said - T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators and assigns in manner aforesaid according to the true intent and meaning of these presents and further that it shall and may be lawful to and for the said - T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators and assigns from time to time and at all times hereafter

for ever peaceably and quietly to enter upon have hold use occupy possess and enjoy the said piece or parcel of Land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises with their appurtenances and to receive and take the rents issues and profits thereof to and for his at their own use and benefit without any let suit trouble denial eviction interruption claim or demand whatsoever of or by them the said East India Company their successors or assigns or any person or persons lawfully or equitably claiming or to claim by from under or in trust for them or any one or either of them and that free and clear and freely and clearly and absolutely acquitted exonerated released and for ever discharged or otherwise by the said East India Company their successors or assigns well and sufficiently saved defended and kept harmless and indemnified of from and against all and all manner of former and other Estates titles troubles rents and arrears of rent charges and incumbrances whatsoever made done Committed or suffered by the said East India Company their successors or assigns or any person or persons lawfully or equitably claiming or to claim by from through under in trust for them or any or either of them save

Save and except the annual rents to grow due to the said East India Company for the said Land Buildings and Premises and further that they the said East India Company their successors or assigns and all and every other person or persons having or claiming or who shall or may have or claim any Estate right title interest property or demand whatsoever either at Law or in Equity of in to or out of the said piece or parcel of Land or Ground messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises hereby conveyed and assured or mentioned or intended so to be or any of them or any part thereof shall and will from time to time and at all times hereafter at the request and at the proper Costs and Charges of the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators or assigns make do and execute or cause and procure to be made done and executed all and every such further and other lawful and reasonable acts deeds conveyances assignments and assurances in the law whatsoever for the further better more perfectly and absolutely conveying assigning or assuring of the said piece or parcel of Land or Ground messuages or Tenements Buildings and Premises

by the said T. Ramasawmy Moodelly his Heirs Executors administrators or assigns or his or their Counsel in the Law shall be reasonably advised devised and required so that no such further conveyance assignment or assurance contain or imply any further or other Covenants or warranty than against the person or persons who shall be requested to make any such further conveyance assignment or assurance and so as the party or parties who shall be requested to make the same be not compelled or compellable for the making or doing thereof to go or travel from his her or their respective place or places of abode. In witness whereof

4243. Fort St George 29th March 1852.

whereof the said East India Company have to these presents caused their common seal to be affixed and the said

T. Ramasawmy Moodelly has set his hand and seal the day and year first above written. —

Sealed with the Common seal of the East India Company by the authority of the Governor in Council of Fort Saint George at Madras where stamps are not in use - In the presence of - -

Seal

/ Signed / S. H. Steel Lt Colonel
Secretary to Govmt.

/ Signed / Elphinstone
John Bax

/ True Copy /
J. M.

Enclosure No. 2 Plan in Parcel -

4244. Fort St George 29th March 1852.

No. 3

Extract from a letter from W. Harrington Esqr to J. C. Wroughton Esqr Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated Cotacamund 30th August 1851.

I have further the honor to enclose you a plan of a Survey and Memorandum recently made for Mr Sullivan's South Downs Property, showing a portion transferred by me to Mr Samuel James, a portion thrown up and the remainder retained by Mr Sullivan - for which alone he will be able to pay Quit Rent from the commencement of the Current Fusly, such I imagine being the period at which to simplify your accounts you would wish the arrangement to date from - The accompanying survey was made under the direction of Captain Frederick Cotton of the Engineers and will I hope be satisfactory -

(True Extract)

/ Signed / W. C. Underwood
Acting Collector

No. 4 Plan.

This

No. 5

This Indenture made the second day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty one Between William Ambrose Serle of Madras Esquire Administrator General of Fort St. George and as such Administrator of the Estate and effects of William Harington late of the Madras Civil Service Esquire deceased of the one part and The Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry Doctor of Divinity Lord Bishop of Madras aforesaid of the other part. Whereas the said William Harington was in his life time and at the time of his decease lawfully and rightfully possessed entitled and interested of and in the piece or parcel of land or ground with the House Stables and Buildings thereon erected and hereinafter particularly described And whereas Letters of Administration of the Goods Chattels and Credits of the said William Harington deceased were on or about the Twenty eighth day of July One thousand Eight hundred and forty nine granted to the said William Ambrose

Ambrose Serle by the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras as the Ecclesiastical Registrar of the said Court for the time being And whereas it hath become necessary to sell the said piece or parcel of land House and premises for payment of the debts of the said William Harington deceased and the said William Ambrose Serle as such Administrator as aforesaid hath contracted and agreed with the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry for the sale to him of the same at and for the price or sum of Six thousand and five hundred Rupees. Now this Indenture Witnesseth that for and in consideration of the said sum of Six thousand and five hundred Rupees to the said William Ambrose Serle well and truly paid by the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry at or before the execution hereof the receipt whereof and that the same is in full satisfaction and discharge of the purchase money for the said land or ground Buildings and premises he the said William Ambrose Serle doth hereby admit and acknowledge and thereof and of and from the same sum doth acquit release and discharge the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry his Heirs Executors and Administrators

For

for ever by these presents He the said William Ambrose Lesle Hatch granted bargained sold conveyed assigned transferred and set over and by these presents doth grant bargain sell convey assign transfer and set over unto the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry his Heirs Executors Administrators and assigns all that piece or parcel of land or Ground situate lying and being at Ootacamund on the Neilgherry Hills bounded on the North partly by unoccupied Land and partly by the Public Road leading round the Hill into the Kaitee Road and to the Cantonment on the South by a Nullah on the West partly by the said Nullah and partly by unoccupied land and on the East partly by the said Nullah and partly by part of the South Downs property not hereby assigned and containing in the whole according to a Survey thereof Eighteen Cawnies Twenty two Grounds and one thousand and seventy seven and a half square feet be the same a little more or less together with the Messuages or Tenements Dwelling House Outhouses Offices Stables and all Buildings erected and built and now standing and being thereon, and which together have been for a long time past

still

still are called or known by the name of the South Downs Together with all ways Easements profits privileges advantages and appurtenances to the said piece or parcel of land or ground messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises belonging or in any way appertaining and all the Estate right title interest term and terms of years use trust inheritance property claim and demand whatsoever of him the said William Ambrose Lesle of in to or out of the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises and every or any part thereof To have and to hold the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises and all and singular other the premises hereby assigned or otherwise assured or mentioned or intended so to be and every part thereof with the appurtenances unto the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry his Heirs Executors administrators and Assigns henceforth absolutely for ever subject nevertheless to the payment of the rents to the East India Company to become due and of right accustomed - And

the

the said William Ambrose Serle doth hereby for himself his Heirs Executors Administrators and Assigns covenant promise and agree to and with the said Right Reverend Thomas Deatry his Heirs Executors Administrators and Assigns in manner following that is to say that he the said William Ambrose Serle is at the time of the sealing and delivery of these presents lawfully and rightfully seized and possessed of the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises for a good and indefeasible Estate in possession for ever without any manner of condition or limitation to defeat alter change charge or determine the same and also that he the said William Ambrose Serle now hath in himself good right full power and lawful and absolute authority to grant bargain sell convey assign and assure in manner aforesaid the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises hereby conveyed and assigned or assured or mentioned or intended so to be unto the said Right Reverend Thomas Deatry

his Heirs Executors administrators and Assigns in manner aforesaid according to the true intent and meaning of these presents and that it shall and may be lawful to and for the said Right Reverend Thomas Deatry his Heirs Executors administrators and Assigns from time to time and at all times hereafter for ever peaceably and quietly to enter upon have hold use occupy possess and enjoy the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises with their appurtenances and to receive and take the rents issues and profits thereof to and for his and their own use and benefit without any let suit trouble denial eviction interruption claim or demand whatsoever of or by him the said William Ambrose Serle his Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns or any person or persons lawfully or equitably claiming or to claim by from under or in trust for him them or any or either of them and that free and clear and freely and clearly and absolutely acquitted exonerated released and for ever discharged or otherwise by the said William Ambrose Serle his

his Heirs Executors administrators or Assigns well and sufficiently saved defended and kept harmless and indemnified of from and against all and all manner of former and other estates titles troubles rents and arrears of rent charges and incumbrances whatsoever made done committed or suffered by the said William Ambrose Serle his Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns or any person or persons lawfully or equitably claiming or to claim by from through under or in trust for him them or any or either of them save and except the annual rents to grow due to the said East India Company for the said land buildings and premises. And further that he the said William Ambrose Serle his Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns and all and every other person or persons having or claiming or who shall or may have or claim any Estate right title interest property or demand whatsoever either at Law or in Equity of in to or out of the said piece or parcel of land or ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises hereby conveyed Assigned and assured or mentioned or intended so to be

be or any of them or any part thereof shall and will from time to time and at all times hereafter at the request and at the proper costs and charges of the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry his Heirs Executors Administrators or Assigns make do and execute or cause and procure to be made done and executed all and every such further and other lawful and reasonable acts deeds conveyances assignments and assurances in the law whatsoever for the further better more perfectly and absolutely conveying or assuring of the said piece or parcel of land or Ground Messuages or Tenements Buildings and premises by the said Right Reverend Thomas Dealtry his Heirs Executors administrators or Assigns or his or their Counsel in the Law shall be reasonably advised devised and required so that no such further conveyance assignment or assurance contain or imply any further or other covenants or warranty than against the person or persons who shall be requested to make any such further conveyance assignment or assurance and so as the party, or parties who shall be requested

requested to make the same be not compelled or co-
compellable for the making or doing thereof to
go or travel from his her or their respective
place or places of abode In witness whereof
the said parties to these presents have here-
-unto set their hands and seals the day
and year first above written.-

Signed Sealed and delivered
in the presence of ... } /Signed/ William. A. Serle (Seal)

/Signed/ I. B. Iauncey
Solicitor. Madras

51-52

Order thereon.- Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

Read the following letter.-

No. 33.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

53

Board No. 657.
From the Collector
of Malabar ... } Sir,

Adverting to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 29th January last, I have the honor to transmit Copy of a letter from my Sub Collector dated 2d. Instant containing the information desired regarding the Condition on which the rent of the Mondel ferry has been granted 8th.:

Calicut 8th March
1852 ... } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1.

To,

H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.-

Sir,

With reference to your letter to the Acting Sub Collector dated 14th Ultimo and the Boards' Proceedings therewith received, I have the honor to state that as Mondel is in the British and just beyond the limits of the French territory of Mahe, all petty Coast traders took the former route in order to avoid the obnoxious duties which were leived on paſsing a foreign frontier, As soon however as these duties were removed the co- shorter route by Mahe was preferred and the ferry of Mondal comparatively deserted, The Sub Collectors Sheristedar was sent to examine and calculate the probable loſs to the Mondol contractor, and his calculation seems reasonable enough and unsatisfactorily low to the renter- The proceeds from Mahi ferry are equally divided between the British and French Governments and as the contracts are renewed every year the improv- -ed traffic by Mahi will probably influence the next sale and so diminish the present loſs. As the underrenter of the Mondal ferry entered into his eng age- -ment previous to the abrogation of the duties which made his line the favorite one it is hard to make him accountable for what he was unable to foresee.-

Sub Collectors Office
Tellicherry ... }
2nd March 1852

/Signed/ R. Chatfield
Sub Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence upon the subject be submitted with the following address.-

(53-54)

No. 116.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

B.d. No. 628.

To The Chief Secretary to Government ...

From Malabar 24th December inl. 29th Jany. 1852
Boards Pro: 29th January
From Malabar 8th March inl. 29th March.

In Cons: 10th July 1851.

From the Correspondence noted in the margin, submitted herewith, it will be observed that the orders of Government dated 28th June 1851, conveying the sanction of the Government of India for the revised Schedules of duty leviable on the Frontier of Foreign Settlements, have had the effect of diverting the passage of goods and travellers from the Mondel route in the Malabar district, to the direct passage across the French Territory of Malabar.-

2. The contractor of the Mondel and six other Ferries has in consequence claimed to be relieved from his engagement in whole or in part and the Collector after instituting the necessary enquiries has recommended an abatement of Rupees 220 in the amount/Rupees 1205/ of the Rent for Fusly 1261.-

3. As the Mondel Rent for the current Fusly was farmed out previously to the abrogation of the Frontier duties the Renter is entitled to some consideration. the Board would therefore support the Collector's recommendation on his behalf.

4. The increased traffic on the Mahe route will no doubt, as observed by the Sub Collector augment the future Sales of that Ferry farm and compensate to some extent the loss on account of the Mondel Ferries.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
29th March 1852

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

No. 20

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(55)

B.d. No. 568.

From the Collr. of Madras.

Forwarding the report of his Assistant on the result of his investigation into the charges preferred against the Salt servants of this Collectorate and recommending the dismissal of certain parties.-

3d March 1852.

Sir,

In obedience to the orders of Government I have the honor to submit for the information of the Board of Revenue my Assistant Mr. Ellis's report on the charges preferred by the late Boat Contractor Adeem moola Chetty against the Salt Servants attached to this Collectorate.-

2. I would take the liberty to state that in my opinion it has been clearly proved that a double set of Accounts has been forwarded to this Office which it would have been hardly possible ever to detect except on the information of the parties concerned in the fraud especially as in the present case, no disagreement was apparent in any of the English Accounts that are submitted to the Collector daily. Had the document containing a Wastage of 26 Garces been presented and not removed as stated by the Complainants, it would certainly not have escaped attention.-

Under

3. Under these circumstances I quite concur in Mr. Ellis's recommend=ation that the parties against whom these charges have been proved should be dismissed, and I would beg the opinion of the Board, whether I would be author=ized in putting the provisions of Act XII of 1850 against these men into ope=ration, for the recovery of the value of the Salt embezzled by them, as mere= dismissal in their cases, I do not con=sider an adequate punishment, after the opportunities they have had of defrauding the public revenue -

For the prevention of any recurrence of frauds of a similar nature I would beg leave to recommend my Assistants proposition of raising the Salary of the Superintendent to Rupees 100 and taking a Security for his good= conduct to the extent of 10,000 Rupees

5. Another suggestion that I would beg to bring to the notice of the Board is that the System of Keeping Accounts at the Golaus in badjans should be greatly modified, as it is I believe a means of Committing fraud, not easily detected

Further

6. Further charges I find have been made by the late Nerrisindah= Davaraja Pillay of embezzlements of Salt in the months of August and Sept=ember, which are being investigated and should they be proved the result of the future investigation will be duly sub=mitted to the Board -

Madras - 3d March 1852 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras

Sir,

Upon receiving the orders of the Board directing that the petition presented by Adeemoola Chetty late Boat Contractor for the conveyance of Salt from the Salt Pans to the Madras Golaus should be examined and that he should be required to substantiate the charges brought vaguely in his petition against the Salt Superintendent under the management of the Collector of Madras. I called him before me and took his deposition of the charges which he stated himself able to prove and the names of the persons whom he accused

of

of being concerned in the frauds-

2 In his deposition he states the parties who were guilty of the frauds are the late Sheristadar, Veneatasoobiah the present Salt Superintendent, Rama=sjiah the present Salt Penshcar, Moone=appah Moodelly and the present Head Sumpuetty at the Hoozzoor, Ramakistna Pillay.—

3. He makes two separate charges of fraud, one said to have been committed in the Salt received at the Cotaur on the 23d. February 1849 amount=ing to £ 26-2 — and the other em=bezzlements of Salt stated to have taken place in the Months of August and September of the same years- These last embezzlements Amounting to £ance 15—0—0—

4. As the latter charge has already been investigated by Mr. Taylor I preferred examining first the charge of fraud committed in February and without any previous notice seized upon the records pointed out by Adeermoo=thutty as those which would prove his Statements- Translations and Extracts of these records are enclosed-

There

5 Those first examined were 1st a list shewing the measurement on the 23d. of February of Salt from Vellore in Chinglepat of Salt manufactured in Fasolies 1256 and 1257- This list gives the number of the Heap from which the Salt is taken- the number of the Boat and the name of the Boatman, the estimated quantity of Salt placed on the Boat and the actual measurement which took place at the Madras Cotaur on the 23d. February 1849 when the Salt was measured in the presence of the manufacturers and stored- This paper goes by the name of the Mercal Adavoo Dob- 2nd/ the daily Memorandum of the 23d. February 1849 written in Tamil upon Country paper and sent to the Hoozzoor- This daily Memorandum contains- the quantity of Salt actually in store at the Madras Cotaur up to the preceding day- the receipt of the Salt on this day- the Home Sale of this day- the Inland sale of this day- and the quantity of Salt in store on this day.—

6. Both these documents are signed by Davaraja Pillay Nevisinda, Mooneappah [illegible]

Mooneappah Moodelly, Peishcar, and Ramaspiah, Superintendent

7. The accounts in these two documents as regards the receipt of Sold into the Cotaur upon the same day should exactly correspond. Upon examining these two documents both dated 23d. February 1849 they are found to differ as follows. The Invercal Alavoo Dob signed by the Nevisinda, Peishcar and Salt Superintendent states that on the 23d. February 1849 there was received at the Madras Cotaur from Vellore, Salt of Fusslies 1256 and 1257—

Estimated Quantity. Actual measured quantity.

G- 41- 385- - G- 34- 238- -

On Country paper

8. In the Daily Memorandum sent up to the Hoozzoor signed by the same public Servants the receipt of Salt on that day 23d. February 1849, is received from Vellore for Fusly. 1256. Garces. 8- 236- -

9. There in these accounts of Salt received on 23d. February 1849 which should exactly agree, there is a difference of G- 26- 2-

10. These accounts are kept & records under the charge of Ramakistna Pillay, the Head Sumpretty, one of the parties charged by Adeemoola Chetty & being

being concerned in this fraud-

11. The next record examined is the Sumpretty's Duftoon- this is made by him from the Coopoo Inupoo account /Salt in Store/ sent from the Madras Cotaur to the Huzzoor and signed by the same Servants as the two former documents-

12. The entries for the 23d. February 1849 are as follows—

	Coopoo Inupoo account on Cadjan dated 23d. February 1849		Duftor account on Country paper dated 23d. February 1849.	
	G.	m	G.	m
Stock in hand	277	257	277	257
Received from Vellore	8	236	8	236
Total	286	93	286	93

13. The English account Book was next examined and the entry in it under date 23d. February 1849. is Salt received from Vellore- G. 8- 236- -

14. The entries into this English account Book are made from the daily Memoranda in Tamil on Country paper sent up to the Hoozzoor—

15. From the deposition of the late Sheristadar it will be seen that he states that it was the custom for this English account

account Book to be prepared by the Sheristadar's Goomastah he / the Goomastah / compares the entries with the Sumpretty's daily - The daily Memorandas from which these entries are made should be tended by the Sumpretty with the loadjan accounts in his charge - After this comparison has been made and the whole of the accounts have been found to be correct, The English Account Book is submitted to the Collector for his signature -

16. The Sumpretty the Sheristadar continues, should bring to the Sheristadars notice any remarkable circumstance or discrepancy in these Accounts and he is responsible for the agreement & accuracy of all these accounts -

17. The Goomastah who in February 1849 had the preparation of the English account Book is now dead -

18. The examination of these accounts has shewn an agreement in all the accounts at the Huzzoor except the loadjan Mercal Mavoo Dob sent up from the Cotaur to the Huzzoor, which is in the keeping of the Head Sumpretty and which should serve as a check to the other loadjan and Paper accounts -

All

19. All these documents are kept at the Huzzoor under the charge of the Head Sumpretty and were by him given into my hands.

20. I then compared these accounts with a Tamil Daily Memorandum written on Country paper bearing date 23d February / Daily Memorandum / A / which was put into my hands by Adennoolla Chetty. This document, in form, is precisely similar to the Daily Memorandum given me at the Huzzoor by the Head Sumpretty, and is signed by the same persons and has every appearance of being a perfectly genuine document -

21. The entry in it of Salt received at the Madras Cotaurs on the day of which it bears date, is as follows -

Receipt of this day / 23d February 1849 /

Salt from Vulloor of Fusly 1256	G.	8.	236 -
Do. ——— Do. 1257	26	2	-
Total ———	34	238	-

22. There is thus entered a wastage upon the heaps of the Salt of Fusly 1257 sold for Inland Consumption G. 26-2- which is deducted from the whole quantity in store -

23. It will be seen that the part

part of the Memorandum which states the quantity of Salt received from Vulloor on the 23d of February 1849 agrees with the Mercal Alavoo Dob at the Huzzoor which contradicts the other account kept at the Huzzoor of that date—

21. It was necessary that Adeemoola Chetty should explain the existence of the two Daily Memoranda bearing the same date and differing so widely in their contents and also that he should explain how he came into possession of the Daily Memorandum which he produced—

23. He states that the witness Dava=raja Pillay, dismissed Nevisindah gave the document to him, and calls upon him to explain the existence of these two documents

26. From the deposition of Davaraja Pillay it would appear that when this large amount of wastage entered into the Daily Memorandum was sent with the correspond=ing Oopoo Irupoo Accounts to the Huzzoor the Sheristadar came down to the Cutcherry on the 24th February 1849 and stated to him, to Ramapiah, the Serperintendent, and to the Peishcar Moone=appah, that so large an amount of wastage would attract the notice of the Collector and that therefore he Davaraja Pillay as Nevisindah should write off another Daily Memorandum and prepare another Oopoo Irupoo Account in which no mention whatever of

of these 4 26: 2:: should be made

27. He states that he refused to do as desired, but upon being pressed by the Sheristadar and being told by the Superintendent that he had a Tahied authorizing this to be done, and upon being allowed to retain the original Daily Memo brought from the Hoozzoor by the Sheristadar, he prepared a daily memo=randum according to the Sheristadar's instruc=tion, and Oopoo Irupoo accounts, to correspond=and these having been signed in the usual manner by the Superintendent, the Peishcar and himself, that he gave them to the Sheristadar who carried them back with him to the Cutcherry.—

28. Upon being asked what had become of the Oopoo Irupoo accounts which correspond to the Daily Memo which he retained in his possession he states that Ramapiah took possession of it— he also states that afterwards the Sheristadar pressed him to give up the Daily Memorandum which he had retained and that he refused to do so, which caused the Sheristadar to bear him ill will.—

29. By a Comparison of the do=cument pointed out by Adeemoola Chetty it

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

with the empty Boats to the Boat Contractor, stating the quantity of salt actually measured at the Colaur and which he the Boat Contractor keeps to return as Vouchers when he presents his accounts for the Conveyance of the Salt. No trace can be found of these, they ought to have been in the records kept at the Colaur, but they are said to have been lost, a very suspicious Circumstance - especially as I have found Boat Chits at the Colaur up to the 20th of February 1849.-

The proof of this frauds
31
rests entirely upon documents signed by the parties Concerned and not upon the evidence of witnesses.- The statements of Adeemoola Chitty and his witness Davaraja Pillay have only served to guide me in the choice of documents to be examined and in this they have been very useful, the information they gave concerning the records having throughout proved correct.-

The only remaining consideration
32.
is the defence offered by the parties accused.

Defence- 33 The Salt Superintendent Ramapiah upon being shewn the signatures to the two Daily Memoranda and to the Meral Aluvoo Dab accounts

Fort St. George 29th March 1852

it appears evident from the Contradictions they exhibit, that a fraud was committed on the day in question the 23d of February. The quantity of salt shewn in all the accounts at the Koozoor except one, is the small quantity of 2 Garces 2 Meracls as the receipt of salt on that day at the Colaur. The English account Book, the Tamil Memorandum daily sent to the Koozoor, the oopoo Irupoo account in Cadjan of that day, the Sumpratty's Duftar made out from it. all these daily accounts were made to correspond. The only account they omitted to render ready for inspection was a Tamil Cadjan account, the Meracl Aloo Dob, which not being sent daily, but every 10 or 15 days sometimes several Dobs being sent at the end of the month, would not be a document likely to be called for even had any suspicion concerning the transactions of the day been excited, and was therefore not thought worth altering or was altogether forgotten.-

30.

There is one set of accounts which would have completed the evidence These are the Boat chits which are returned with

accounts, all bearing date the 23d. February 1849 acknowledged his signature to each of them but stated that he could not read accounts in Cadjans and that he could only read other Tamil accounts a little, he stated that he was in the habit of signing these papers without ever reading them upon seeing the signature of the Nevesnidah. he further states that these documents were not even read to him but that he remained satisfied with the Nevesnidah's / Davaraja Pillay's / signature.

31. Upon being shewn the two Daily Memoranda he shifts his ground of defence and states that from his knowledge of the business of the office, the one exhibiting the wastage of the 26 and odd garce is the one sent to the Hurzoor, and states that he cannot account for the existence of the other Daily Memorandum in the Hoozoor, he also pleads that the Peishcar Mooneappah Moodelly was appointed to superintend the sales of salt for Inland Consumption on the date, the 23d. February 1849, he further states that as the Peishcar had told him that Mr. D'Vaz had ordered him the Peishcar to enter the wastage to that amount, 26 Garces on the 23d. February 1849. although the Peishcar

Peishcar did not produce any Takeed he allowed it to be entered according to the Peishcar's representation.—

Peishcar's Defence.

35. He denies all the Circumstances stated to have taken place at the interview described by Davaraja Pillay as having taken place at the Salt Cotaur on the 24th February 1849 between the late Sheristadar Venkatasoo lyah, Davaraja Pillay, Mooneapah Moodelly and himself, Ramapiah, he further states that he informed the Sheristadar that the Peishcar was omitting to enter the wastage which took place in the heaps sold for Inland Consumption which was an irregularity.—

36. The Peishcar Mooneapah Moodelly upon being shewn the same documents in the same manner as the superintendent Ramapiah acknowledged his signatures and also stated in the same manner that he never perused these accounts, but that he used to sign them upon seeing the signature of the Nevesnidah, Davaraja Pillay.—

37. Upon being called upon to explain the discrepancy in the Mercal Mavoo Dob dated 23d. February and the Daily Memorandum of that date found in the Hoozoor Records

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Records. He begins by stating that he is unable to explain any of these particulars, but he immediately contradicts himself in this by stating that great wastage having occurred in the heaps of salt sold for Inland Consumption, Mr. D'Vaz / since dead / the then headwriter told him to write off the 26 Garce and odd as wastage. It was accordingly written off in the accounts on the 23d February and sent to the Hoozoor.—

38. Upon being shewn the Daily Mrno given in by Adeemoola Chetty in which the wastage is entered he states that this was the Correct Daily Mrno but he cannot account for the existence of the Daily Mrnos found at the Hoozoor. He denies the charge of fraud brought against him by Adeemoola Chetty. He accounts for the extraordinary entrance of wastage in one days accounts by stating that he had the orders of Mr. D'Vaz. He cannot give any proof of having received orders, Mr. D'Vaz having given the orders to him verbally.— He further states that he frequently brought to the notice of the Sheristadar the wastage that occurred in the Heaps. that the Sheristadar desired him

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

him not to enter this wastage in the regular manner but to wait to see what the wastage on the other heaps would be. he therefore only entered these losses partially until the entry ordered to be made by the late Mr. D'Vaz of the whole wastage not yet entered. He denies having been present as stated by Adeemoola Chetty's witness Davoraja Pillay when the Sheristadar came to the Salt Golaur on the 24th February 1849 and ordered the accounts to be prepared as stated by Divaraja Pillay the late Nevisnidar.—

Sheristadar's Defence. 39. The Sheristadar states in his defence that he exercised no supervision whatever over the Sumpretty who was responsible for all the accounts and whose duty it was to have brought any discrepancies to his notice. He states that he cannot believe that a daily Mrno containing so large a wastage as that shewn in the document given by Adeemoola Chetty and stated by the Peishcar and Superintendent to have been sent up to the Hoozoor could have been received at the Cutcherry without attracting attention. he considers the existence of the 2 daily Memoranda

very

very suspicious. In his first examination he affirms that he never heard of any fraud having been committed in the 23d. February 1849 and begs to put in a written defence.

40. In his written defence he acknowledges having seen the Daily Mems now produced by Adeemoola Chetty as belonging to a Petition sent by Adeemoola Chitty to the Board of Revenue in April or May 1851 and returned to Adeemoola Chitty and that his attention was so far roused that he questioned the Head Sumpretty as to the circumstance, but that the Head Sumpretty assured him that no such account had ever been sent to the Huzzoor and that he rested satisfied with this - he remarks that had he been guilty he would have taken this opportunity to retain so dangerous a document. He simply denies the charge brought against him by Adamoola Chetty and considers the reasons for which Dawoy Pillay was dismissed ought to prevent the evidence being received. He denies entirely the statement made by Ramapiah the Superintendent and Moorgappah Moodelly the Peishcar that

they had brought to his notice the wastage going on in the heaps of Salt sold for Inland Consumption and that this wastage was not being regularly entered -

Sumpretty's Defence

41. The Sumpretty in his defence denies altogether the reception of the Daily Memorandum dated the 23d. February 1849 which shews the large Amount of wastage and states that the Daily Memorandum furnished by him from the Huzzoor records in which the quantity of Salt received on the 23d. February 1849 is entered as G-8-2 is the right account. When shewn the Mercal Alavoo Dob which contradicts this he says that he did not make a practice of looking at this which did not arrive daily and that he cannot offer any explanation. He allows that he saw the Daily Memorandum furnished by Adeemoola Chetty on the occasion of its being returned with a Petition and that the Sheristadar had questioned him about this wastage of 26 Garces and odd on one day that he had answered that there was no such entry made but that he did not look over any of the accounts to test this circumstance he denies all the charges of Adeemoola Chetty and says that he was no party to the preparation of a double set of Accounts.

42. The Sumpretty in his defence adopts the same line as the Sheristadar

and

and contradicts the Statements of the Peishcar and Superintendent who account for the disappearance of the Gzb and upon the plea of that quantity having been entered to account for the wastage but his defence cannot be considered as satisfactory for the Daily Memorandum which he states as correct does not agree with the Cadjan Mercal Alawo Dob which was in his keeping. His not looking at this document which should have served him as a means of checking the accounts for which the Sheristadar held him responsible is unlikely and even if true cannot be held as any justification such carelessness allowed perfect impunity for the commission of frauds and he must be held responsible with the others for the loss which the revenue has suffered.

Remarks on Defence

43. This is the substance of the defence offered by the parties accused. It will be seen that Ramapiah endeavours to shew that he never read the documents which prove the fraud and that he was unable to do so. Have taken considerable pains to ascertain the truth of this and examined two of the writers in the Cutcherry who had served under Ramapiah when he was in the Current Department and their evidence would only go to prove that he was a indifferent Tamil Scholar. but I found a complete contradiction of this

part of Ramapiahs defence in a number of orgees and rough drafts of orders in his handwriting. These are written in Tamil in a bold running hand and completely contradict his Statement of being incapable of reading the documents signed by him. Adeenwala Chetty pui-me[s]o the late Renisindah Dauda[r]ja Pillay stated that the Superintendent was in the habit of reading the accounts sent to the Huzzoor.

44. The fact of the Peishcar having been appointed to the supervision of the Inland Sales does not by the Superintendents own confession exempt him from responsibility for the accounts sent from the Cutcherry to the Huzzoor nor does he attempt to explain away the fact that he must have signed one day signed two Daily Memoranda. This Memorandum being in their shape and contents so different from any other of the accounts sent up to the Huzzoor he could not have failed to observe this, if as he stated one account had been substituted for the other.

45. The Peishcar also attempted at first to throw off the responsibility upon the same plea of not ever reading the accounts that he signed. His subsequent defence of having been ordered to make the extraordinary entry of wastage is contradicted by the English wastage account book kept in the Huzzoor which shews no such wastage but which shews

a

a full average of wastage on all the heaps for the year 1849 entered day by day in the ordinary manner. The late Sheristadar denies ever having had his attention called to the wastage going on in heaps sold for Inland consumption as stated by Moonesamy Moodelly.

46. The defence of the Peishcar and Ramapiah is founded on the Daily Memorandum given by Adeemoola Chetty but not found at the Huzzoor. The entrance of wastage is shewn to be contradicted by all the other accounts at the Huzzoor. The plea of their own neglect in never reading accounts which they themselves signed in order to defend themselves from the charge of fraud appears to be altogether inadmissable and I have no hesitation in stating that after a careful investigation into this portion of the charges brought against them by Adeemoola Chetty I am satisfied that they were concerned in the fraud committed, by which G. 26.2-.. of Salt received on the 23d. February 1849 were embezzled.

47. The part which the late Sheristadar Venkatasooryah may have had in this fraud remains to be considered. The evidence which is brought by Dawaraja Pillay is conclusive against the Sheristadar but the other parties present at the interview in which he is stated to have ordered the fabrication of an entire set of accounts are parties themselves accused and as he deny the circumstance the

48. The fact that Dawaraja Pillay was dismissed for forging documents bearing against the Sheristadar prevents his evidence on this occasion having weight and at this distance of time it is not possible to get evidence of the movements of the Sheristadar on that particular day.

49. But by the late Sheristadar's acknowledgment his conduct as a public Servant holding the most responsible situation under the Collector of Madras has been most disgracefully negligent.

50. He acknowledges that he left the whole of the accounts kept at the Huzzoor to the entire management of the Sumpretty without taking any means to check these accounts. It is a notorious fact that the Salt Department is that in which serious frauds are most easily effected and therefore the Sheristadars following the accounts to pass without any supervision was inviting the corruption which it was his duty to take every means to prevent.

2d. Charge

51. As respects this charge against the late Sheristadar Venkatasooryah of the 23d. February 1849 although no direct proof of his having embezzled the salt deficient in the accounts can be ascertained his total neglect of his duty appears to me to render him responsible for the loss sustained by the Government and to be such as would require his dismissal were he still in the Office.

52. As regards the charge of embezzlement of G15 ℔. Salt in the Month of August and September 1849 Adeemoola Chetty the Petitioner does not produce any fresh evidence but grounds his charge upon the circumstances brought to light during the investigation made by Mr Taylor and refers to the documents which he furnished in proof of his accusation against the late Sheristadar Venkatasooryah the Head Sumpretty Ramakristna Pillay and the Servants at the Salt Cotaur.

Upon

53. Upon enquiry for the most important of these documents furnished by Sideemoola Chetty certain Boat Chits they were not to be found in the records of the Office. The Tamil Cabmulams taken during the investigation are also missing and I have only been able to find English Translations of some of the depositions which were alluded to in Mr. Taylor's report of the investigation held by him in February 1851.

54. With a view to tracing these documents the disappearance of which appears very suspicious, I have questioned the late Sheristadar. He states that all these documents were delivered into the hands of the Translator Calutteé Pillay and the Cavabnevis Jo Sawmy Mood Elly.

55. These men state that they only received them to translate and that they were afterwards returned to the Sheristadar.

56. I have therefore only Mr Taylor's report to examine and such documents for the dates noticed in his report which are to be found at the Huzzoor.

57. In these documents I find no discrepancies. There are a few suspicious erasures in the Tamil accounts and the whole system of keeping the accounts in Cadjan is marked with great carelessness but there is nothing to establish a charge of fraud.

58. I think it proper to mention that the parties employed in the examination of the accounts as ordered by Mr Taylor were the Head Sumpretty Ramakistna Pillay now under accusation, as being concerned in the fraud of the 25th February, the Head Sheristadar's Son and Dubashee who are no longer in the Office. The Sheristadar was also, as deposed by these witnesses constantly present at the examination. He himself upon being questioned denied having been present at Mr. Taylor's investigation.

59. All these parties if any fraud

fraud had been committed would be interested in the originals documents being removed, especially if they had practiced any deceit in the examination of accounts ordered to be made by Mr. Taylor had the Sumpretty been careful and honest no frauds in the accounts could have taken place. It is a matter of regret therefore that he should have been one of the parties employed to examine these accounts.

60. By Mr. Taylor's report it would appear that the 8 Boat Chits produced by Sideemoola Chetty prove the fraud of Garee 15 if these Boat Chits can be considered genuine. Mr. Taylor rejects these Chits because he thinks it unlikely that they would have written 8 fresh Chits agreeing with the Huzzoor accounts while Sideemoola Chetty retained the others differing from the Huzzoor accounts but upon looking at the circumstance of the fraud of the 25th February as reported above we find two Daily Memorandas of the authenticity of which, there can be no doubt existing at one time. Mr. Taylor also considers that the Boat Chits not being signed should prevent their reception as evidence. But all the Boat chits which I have found at the Cutcherry are also unsigned.

The

61- The fact that the accuser and his witness were themselves parties probably to the fraud may go against their evidence having full weight- but as it appears in the other case of February 23d 1849 that almost the whole of the Servants connected with the Salt Department were more or less concerned in the fraud it is doubtful whether this consideration should have led to the entire rejection of their evidence-

62- As regards the difference said to have taken place between the estimates and measurements of Salt in August and September the difference in some cases is so enormous and in others at the same time of the year so small- that the explanation offered by the Salt Superintendent does not appear at all satisfactory- as for example-

Upon 8 Boats in September-

Estimated quantity			Actual Measurement		
G	M	M	G	M	M
24-	200	— "	9-	377	— "

Upon 18 Boats in September

48-	275	— "			
24-	200	— "	29-	36	— "
			10-	163	— "

While in other cases in the same Month we find on 7 Boats-

Estimated quantity			Actual Measurement		
G	19	— 35 — "	G-	19-	197- "

The

The difference of care taken by the different Manufacturers during the time the Salt is at the Salt Pans would hardly make this difference in the proportion between the estimated quantity of Salt and that actually measured at their respective Heaps-

63- Very little information worthy of credit can be obtained from the manufacturers it being their interests to combine with the Public Servants in the Commission of frauds upon the revenue- The illicit sale of Salt by the Public Servants will enable them to realize very large profits even if they pay twice the Government Godewarum of Salt to the Manufacturers and sell it at a price much lower than the Government fixed price to the Merchants and others willing to purchase- All these parties are therefore interested in the concealment of the frauds the discoveries of which can only happen when some of the parties concerned become informers-

64- As some check upon this corruption I would suggest the propriety of the pay of the Superintendent being raised from Rupees 42 per Month to at least 80 or 100 Rupees and that security should be taken from

from him to the Amount of 10000 Rupees. The Salt Superintendent has the immediate controul nearly of Salt to the Amount of 5 Lakhs of Rupees. This Salt can be at once converted into money and the risk of detection is much less than that which attends the commission of fraud in any other department of this Office.

65. The circumstance of the Salt Superintendent Ramasseiah having compromised matters with Kistniah when the latter had commenced an action against him in the Supreme Court appears to me more suspicious than it did to Mr. Taylor.

66. The circumstance that the Money borrowed was returned to the lenders, in so very short a time, a few days would agree with the use said to have been made with it. namely the purchase of Salt from the Manufacturers for illicit sale. The Salt would at once be sold by the parties committing the fraud and they would be able in a few days to return the loan.

67. I do not imagine that had Ramasseiah's accounts been in proper order either he or his relations would have been disposed to compromise matters with a dismissed Servant whose testimony would naturally be regarded with suspicion. The compromise indicates the consciousness

consciousness of Kistniah having the power to produce evidence very dangerous to the Superintendent. I am in a great measure induced to take this very different view of the matter from that taken by Mr. Taylor in consequence of the facts that have come to light in the investigation. I have held into the charge of fraud of the 23d of February 1849. which leaves no doubt in my mind of the dishonesty of the Salt Establishment and the connivance and carelessness of the public servants at the Hoozoor.

Signed R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector

Madras
3d March 1852

The Examination of D. Venkatasoobiah late Sheristadar of the Madras Collectors Office taken before the Assistant Collector R. S. Ellis Esqre on the 13th February 1852.

1 Under whose charge are the Salt accounts of the year 1849 Kept at the Hoozoor.	1 Under the charge of the Head Sumpratty Ramakristna Pillay who is responsible for the whole of the Documents Kept at the Hoozoor by him.
2. You recollect this the Salt account Book	2. It was the habit for the Sheristadars Gomastah

Book- Who was the person charged with making the necessary entries in it in the Month of February 1849 and describe how these entries are made-

Gvernas tak to make these entries and compare them with the Sumpretty daily (before submitting them for signature by the Collector) who is entirely responsible for them- The entries are taken from the Salt Country Paper Memorandum sent daily by the Salt Superintendent to the Office and these are tested by the Sumpretty daily by the Cadjans Accounts in discharge and should any circumstance of an unusual nature occur in these accounts they are brought to my notice immediately, in fact he has done so repeatedly-

3- Look at this account and tell me whether the unusual circumstance of a Mrs. Gargeon's stage of 26 Garee en= tered in it in the Daily Memorandum of

3- Yes it should have been and this extraordenary it was not brought to my notice

of the 25th February 1849 was brought to your notice and if not whether it is not extraordinary it was not brought to your notice-

4- Look at the Second Daily Memo of the same date and state whether you have seen it before-

5- Can you in any way account for the existence of two Documents of the same nature and of the same date and differing so widely from each other-

6- Was this matter ever brought to the notice of Mr. Taylor-

7- You remember the enquiry that took place in 1851 conducted by Mr. Taylor in

4- I saw neither of these but from the contents of the paper being an ordinary Memo they would not be likely to be brought to my notice-

5- The circumstance appears very suspicious but I cannot explain it and am quite at a loss to account for it-

6- It never was nor did I ever hear of any fraud said to have been committed in the Salt Department on that date-

7- I recollect the examination taking place and was never present at

in regard to certain Salt frauds in the Months of August and September Certain Documents were furnished by Adeemoola Chetty, especially Boat Chits. Was any receipt given for them: and what has become of them?2

at the examination. The parties employed by Mr. Taylor were Rama Krishna Pillay Head Sumpretty and Surda Charry Ab Charry Gomastah Calutty Pillay was employed in taking depositions. I know nothing about the Documents given in by Adeemoola Chetty and I dont know whether he ever applied to have them returned and whether they were kept at the Koozoor.

/Signed D. Venkatasoobyah

Taken before me on February 13th 1852.

/Signed R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector

Examination of the 21st February 1852.

1- You have heard the depositions of Ademoola Chetty and Dawaraja Pillay what have you to say to the charge preferred against

1- I will put in a written defence.

against you of your connexion with the fraud in the Salt Department on the 23d February 1849?2

2- Would you like to cross question the Complainant Ademoola Chetty and his Witness Dawaraja Pillay

2- I have no question to ask Ademoola Chetty, but I wish to ask Dawaraja Pillay what he means by the word Takeed which he used in answer to the Question concerning the transaction said to have taken place in an interview between myself, the Salt Superintendent and the Peishcar at the Cotaur.

3- There is a Cadjan document signed by the Peishcar Nenesenadah and the Superintendent called the Mercal Alawo Dub, which is used as a means for checking the Salt Account did you ever see it and make

3- I know the Account you mention it was used for the purpose you refer to but not by me, but by the Sumpretty.

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

make use of it for
this purpose.

4- What means did you take while you were Sheristadar to check the Accounts of the Salt Department.

4- I exercised no personal check on the accounts, I trusted to the Sumpretty to bring to my notice any irregularities.

/Signed D. Venkatasoobyah

Taken before me February 21st 1852.

/Signed R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector.

1- You have heard what Moonesaprah has said that from time to time he brought to your notice Wastage going on in heaps for Inland sale not entered by the Peishcar into daily account Wastage is this true?

1- It is not.

2- In your defence Paras 2 and 3 you state that you have seen the Daily

2- I did not. The Sumpretty also me that no such Documents

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Daily Memorandum bearing date 25th February 1849 which contains an account of Wastage and that it did not excited your attention as to cause you in the Month of April or May 1851 when this document was brought to your notice forming an enclosure in Petition forwarded by the Board to the Parties, as to cause you to examine the Sumpretty as to its contents. Did you take any further steps in regard to the subject of the Petition in which this Document was an enclosure.

Document was ever in the Office nor was any Wastage like this written off. I therefore took no further steps in the matter

/Signed D. Venkatasoobyah

Taken before me on 24th February 1852.

/Signed R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector.

4291 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Defence of Late Sheristadar of the Land Custom Cutcherry, against the Charges preferred by Audeemoola Chetty with Davaraja Pillay his evidence -

1st Allegations

1- I declare most solemnly that I never saw the Document produced in Charge against me at the time it specifies (24th February 1849) nor do I know any thing of it or proceeding from myself either then or at any other time

2- I can however freely admit having seen something like it in April or May 1851 as an Enclosure to a Petition

4292 Fort St. George 29th March 1852. -

Petition to the Board of Revenue from Audymoola Chetty upon the occasion of such Petition having been sent to the Land Customs Cutcherry for return to that party.-

3. I recollect also having my attention struck so far by the Signature to it, as to be induced to send for the Head Sumpretty and question him on the subject, who told me that no such account had ever been received in the Department / or words to that effect / and that I then delivered it with the Petition to the party Audymoola Chetty

5th Allegation. 4 In answer to the 5th allegation of a Davaraja Pillay, I hope it is sufficient for me to deny all participation in the alleged document, and all knowledge of it except on the particular occasion just explained - while I would trust, that it must carry its own refutation, in the fact that no man would be so foolish as to act under such dictation upon such terms and at such risk to himself.

With

6th Allegation 5. With respect to the 6th allegation of the same party, I deny ever having so assured him and trust that such assertion is completely refuted by the admitted fact of my having had the Document in my possession and having freely returned it, as before explained, which it is extremely improbable I should have done had I either attached any importance to it, or been in any way apprehensive of any personal injury from it. I may however state, as far as my memory now serves me, that having been so promptly assured by the Head Sumpratty that no such Wastage had ever occurred, and particularly as the document did not form any matter of reference to the Cutcherry - I did not pay that attention which I have no doubt I should otherwise have done.-

6. Having submitted what I trust will be considered sufficient to refute the accusation against me, it but remains for me to remark that this Davaraj Pillay is the same party who was dismissed in

in July 1850, for his share in the then fabricated charges against me, by means of forged documents; and respecting whom I beg to refer to the Proceedings held by Mr. Taylor in the case, with the order of the Board of Revenue and Government thereon, in the fullest confidence that the present plot will be found as unfounded in truth as were the former Charges just alluded to, so far as I am concerned, and with every reliance in the discrimination and impartiality of the investigation to which I have been subjected.-

(Signed) D. Venkatasoobyah
Late Sheristadar.

24th February 1852.

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Asst. Collector.-

Translation.

Translation of a Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqr. Asst. Collector by Ramappiah Salt Superintendent, dated 12th February, 1852.-

Question.	Answer.
Whose signature is that which these Cadjan and paper Documents bear?	The signature in the Cadjan and paper Documents now put into my hands is mine.
Used you to perus the Tamil Accounts prepared in your Cutcherry?	I cannot read the accounts written on Cadjan. I can read a little the Tamil Accounts written on paper. I used to sign them seeing the signature of the Nivisindah, Native Accountant. I never read the Cadjan Accounts in my Cutcherry.-
If the Accounts of your Cutcherry are read to you will you be able to explain them?-	I will give a proper answer if they are read to me.-
Attend to the Accounts which the Sheristadar reads to you- in which is entered 41 Garce and 385 Measals as an estimated quantity and 34 Garce and 238 Measals as an actual quantity	Yes. I have heard them and understand their contents.-

quantity by measurement received from Valloor on the 23d February 1849. The memorandum on Country paper sent to the Huzzoor which now the Sheristadar reads specifies a total quantity of 8 Garce and 238 Measals received from Vallor. Have you carefully attended to these two Accounts and comprehended well the Contents thereof?-

What have you to say for the variances in these two accounts of the same date?	I recollect that a Memorandum Corresponding with the above said Cadjan Accounts first read to me was forwarded to the Huzzoor.-
Answer my question. State how that difference has originated.-	I am at a loss to account for the difference, I suspect the Accounts on paper.-
Attended to the Cadjan document now read to you and state whether you have any suspicion respecting of them?	I have heard them read and do not doubt of them.-

Do

J.-

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Do you say that the signature affixed in the Daily Memo=randum on a Country paper which the Sheris=tadar now reads is not yours?

I acknowledge that the signature therein is mine but I doubt the particulars therein stated.-

You State that This memorandum shews you suspect the par=ticulars mentioned in this Document. 34 Garces therefore I suspect it.-

Why do you suspect it.-

Were the Daily Memo=randum Country papers read to you before you put your sig=nature thereto.-

They were not read to me but I used to sign them after they have been per=used and signed by Dava=raja Pillay the Nevisindah and handed over to me for my signature.-

Listen to another Daily Memorandum on Country paper which the Sheresta=dar reads to you.-

I have heard it.-

Have you any thing to say with regard to this Memo.?

This Memorandum is correct.

You state that these Memorandum were not read to you but you used to sign them having

From my acquaintance and experience with business conducted daily in the Co=tawrs, I say that this is correct

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

having seen the signa=ture of Davaraja Pillay the Nevisindah therein. How do you know that this is cor=rect.?

State if you have any thing to say more.

I attended to the home sales from 9th September 1848 to 25th February 1849. in consequence of a decrease having occurred in the in=land sales, and a Parwana having been sent to the effect that I should attend to the Home sales and Mooneappa Moodelly Peishcar should attend to the inland sales so that we should conduct the sales on our own respon=sibility respectively. Mooneappa Moodelly Peishcar accordingly attended to the inland sales. So in the course of the inland sales a considerable loss or wastage was occasioned and it was written off in the accounts from time to time besides the wastage of 26 and odd Garce which was written off on the above mentioned date. According

to

to which, Accounts were prepared on Cadjan and on paper and forwarded to the Huzzoor. as to the other daily Memorandum, I do not know how it came to the Hoozzoor. I used to attend the inland sales from 26th

(Signed) Ramappiah.

Taken before me Feb.y 12th 1852.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Asst. Collector.

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Asst. Collector.

Defence given before R. S. Ellis Esqr. Assistant Collector of Madras by Ramappiah dated 21th February 1252.

Question	Answer.
You heard the deposition of Adimoola Chetty and the evidence of his Witnesses. Adimoola Chetty has joined you also in the fraud that took place on the Inland sale on the 23d February 1849. What have you to say in your defence?	As Mooneappah Moodelly Peishcar informed me that he had obtain permission to deduct in the Accounts the excess of loss of 20 Garces sustained by them on the 23d February 1849. I and Peishcar gave.

gave orders to Nevisinda to make the deduction accordingly.

Did the Peishcar show you any Tarreed as having been received from the Hoozzoor, or did he say that he had received a verbal order?

He said that he had received permission from the Mr. D Vaz at the Cut. cherry but he never showed me any Tarreed. Altho' I have been Conducting the Inland sales from the Commencement yet a Tarreed issued on the 9th September 1848 to the effect that I was not to go on with that business but that Mooneappah Moodelly the Peishkar should conduct the sales and that I must not interfere therein and that each of us should hold himself responsible for the business he performs. As Mooneappa Moodelly said that he had obtained leave I was obliged to consent to it. On the 24th of the said Month of the said year he never went to the Cottaur neither did I

You have heard the deposition of Thavaraja Pillay in which it is stated that on the 24th February.

Speak

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.-

February 1849 the Sheris-tadar went to the Cot-taur, and that some thing took place. What do you say about it.2

Speak to him. I really do not know how two daily memoranda were sent bearing the same date with my signature and differing in their contents. this must have taken place by the Nevisinda who prepared them. If I had an intention of committing fraud I would have destroyed the first document and sent the second one. This is all what I have to say in my defence. I have nothing else to say.-

(Signed) P. Ramappiah

Taken before me
February 21th 1852.
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Ajstt. Collector.

Question.

Have you any thing further to say in your defence?

Answer.

When the Sheristadar was frequenting the Cottaur I informed him that Moo-neappah Moodelly was not making entries in the accounts of the Cofset sustained by the inland sale.

(Signed) P. Ramappiah

Taken before me
February 24th 1852.

(True translation)
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Ajstt. Collector.

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.-

Translation of a Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqre. Ajstant Collector of Madras, by Ademoola Chetty Head of the Boatmen at the Cochrane's Canal, residing in Ramasawmy's Street at Mootiala Pettah, Black Town, dated 9th February 1852.-

Question	Answer.
1. Was the Petition dated 7th March 1851 to the address to Government Chief Secretary, now put into your hands presented by you.2 Is the Signature which it bears yours.2	1. It was presented by me and the Signature therein is mine.-
2. You state in the Petition that the Servants of the Salt Department defrauded the Government of 12,000 Rupees who are the parties. Think properly and state their names.2	2. Ramappiah, Salt Superintendent, Mooneappah Moodelly Pischar, Rama Kistna Pillay Hoozoor Head Sumpretty and Venca-tasooby ah Hoozoor Head Sheristadar.-
3. When did this fraud take place.2 State particularly every circumstance of the frauds as far as you know.2	3. The said Cotaur Servants sent to the Hoozoor Accounts of receipts, disbursements and balance of Salt in

in Store in the Cotaurs on the 23d February 1849. in which 34 and odd garces of Salt received from the Vulloor Cotaurs of the Chingleput District is entered and out of that quantity, 2 b Garce and 2 Mercals were defrauded which I will prove by the Accounts, the Document bearing the signature of Davaraja Pillay late Nevisindal or Native accountant in the Cotaurs, as well as by the Accounts of the Vulloor Cotaurs bearing the Signatures of the Servants thereof.

2. In 1849 when my Accounts were settled by the Boat people, I made payments to them according to the number of Chits furnished to them. I took the Chits to the Cutcherry and Compared

Compared them on the number in the Accounts there. Among some other Chits 8 Chits were deficient. They, the Cotaur Servants required me to return to them some other Chits which I have taken from the Boat people, having made payments for them, but I said to them I made payments to the Boat people for 25 Garces according to the number of Chits furnished to them whilst they proposed to pay me for 10 and odd garces: so that I refused to receive it from them.

3 The Cotaur Servants sold the said two quantities namely 25 garces and 15 Garces and defrauded the Government of the Value.

4 How will you prove these two different frauds practised

4 I will prove them by the assistance of Davaraja Pillay as

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

practised in the Months of February, August and September as stated above.2

5. Have you any other Witness besides Davarajah Pillay to assist to prove your Complaint.2

6 What accounts do you require to prove it.2

as well by the Accounts in the Hoozoor Cutcherry as by the Depositions given before Mr. Taylor in the Month of February 1851 and by the Documents in my possession.

5. I have Witnesses to prove it namely Davarajah Pillay Kistnier and Narayana Sawmy.

6. The Memorandum which I now produce will be sufficient to prove it.

(Signed) Ademoola Chetty.

Taken before me the 9th & 16th February 1852.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector.

1. Have you ever informed to any authority the fraud practised on the 23d February 1849.

1. I did not inform it to any other but the Government and Board of Revenue to whom I have presented my Petitions respecting it.

Did

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

2. Did you report the fraud practised in the Month of February at the time when an investigation took place with regard to that committed in the Months of August and September.2

2. I was then ignorant of the circumstances of those frauds. for there was another Boat Contractor my Adversary who might have known them.

3. You state that you have delivered to Mr. Taylor Boat chits and other Documents. Did you take them back from him or did you present a Petition requesting to return them to you.2

3. Mr. Taylor sent a Saleed directing me to produce Boat Chits and Documents and I have delivered them to him. He told me that he would return them to me but he did not.

(Signed) Ademoola Chetty.

Taken before me February 18th 1852.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis.
Assistant Collector.

1. An investigation into the fraud Committed on the 23d February 1849 being instituted, state the

1. I have heard that an account in which 34 Garces and odd Mercals is entered as forwarded to the Hoozoor from

the circumstances of the fraud and the Documents that prove it and the names of the persons who are guilty of it.2

2. You State that the accounts in which 34 Garces and odd Mercals of Salt was entered as per account sent to the Cotaurs from the pans, and which was dispatched to the Hoozoor was returned to the Cotaurs and that another account in it's stead showing therein 8 Garces and odd Mercals was prepared and sent. How do you prove it.2

How.

from the Cotaurs on the 23d February 1849, which the late Sheristadar took himself to the Cotaurs and delivered to the Superintendant and the Peischar and desired them to prepare instead another account entering therein 8 Garces and odd and to dispatch it to the Hoozoor.-

2. The accounts which Shews 8 Garces and odd is in the Hoozoor and that which shows 34 Garces and odd is in my possession.-

Dawarajah

3. How did this Document come into your possession.2

4. How can you prove that this Document was despatched to the Hoozoor.2

5. Have you any further proof with regard to the Charge brought on the 23d February 1849 against the late Sheristadar.2

6. The Boat Chits of that date are not found. Do you know what has become of them.2

7. Here is the Mercal Alavoo Dob account. Is this the account which you state to have been despatched to the Hoozoor.2

Taken before me on 20th February 1852.

3. Dawarajah Pillay late Nevisendah gave it to me.-

4. I can prove by Dawarajah Pillay that it was despatched to the Hoozoor and afterwards returned to the Cotaurs.-

5. The Boat Chits of that date and the Cadjan Accounts in the Hoozoor Cutcherry would prove it.

b. I do not know.-

7. This is the account.-

(Signed) Audeemoola Chetty

(Signed) R. L. Ellis
Asst. Collector.

Have.

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

1. Have you any thing else to complain of against Ramapiah the Superintendent with regard to the fraud practised on the 23d. February 1849.[2]

Taken before me on.. (Signed) Sudemoola Chetty

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Asst. Collector.

1. What charge have you to prefer against the Sumpratty in respect of the fraud practised on the 23d. February 1849.[2]

1. This fraud could not have been committed without the knowledge of the Sumpratty and the Sheristadar.-

True Translation.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Asst. Collector.

Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqre. Assistant Collector of Madras. by Davaraja Pillay late Nevisindah, dated 20th. Febru=ary 1852..

Question	Answers.
1. Adeemoola Chetty states that you can have given this Do:=cument to him. How did you get it..	1. On the 20th. February 1849 about 12 Oclock in the afternoon the Sheristadar brought it

You.

Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

it to the Cotaurs and gave it into the hands of Ramappier the Superintendent, when the Salt Peishcar and myself were present..

2. You state that the late Sheristadar brought it and gave it to Ramappier How then came that to you.[2]

2. Ramappier put it into my hands..

3. who were present at the time when the Sheristadar put it into the hands of Ramappier as you state.[2]

3. Ramappier the Peishcar and myself were present.[2]

4. Was there no body else.[2]

4. There was no body else Would any one presume to stay near the Sheristadar when he comes there!

5. You state that you were then present What passed then.[2]

5. The Sheristadar said to the Superintendent that if a Wastage of 2 b Garces and 2 Mercals is written off in the Accounts, the Collector would not approve of it and so he proposed that out of the quantity of

of Salt of Fusly 125 Measures received from Vellore. 2 Garces and 2 Mercals should be kept separate from being entered in the Accounts, or from being written off in the Accounts as per yesterday's order, but that out of the quantity of Salt of Fusly 1256 received from Vellore 8 Garces and 236 Mercals should be entered in the Accounts and so be required of him to prepare an account entering therein 8 Garces and 236 Mercals and to give it to him immediately. Then the Superintendent put this document into my hands and desired me to prepare an Account as proposed above, but I refused to do so. then the Sheristadar told me that I have nothing to do with the consequence and

and so required me to prepare this Account as he proposed, but I told him I would prepare the required one if this document is left with me in order that I should answer if any question is asked of it by any Gentleman, and so he allowed it to be kept with me for that purpose. Accordingly having kept it with me I prepared the required Account entering therein only eight Garces and two hundred and thirty six Mercals as received and delivered it immediately to the Superintendent who affixed his signature thereto and then the Peishcar and myself signed it and gave it to the Superintendent, who afterwards delivered it

to

1.

to the Sheristadar who having left with me the account first prepared, went away to the Cutcherry with that prepared a second time.

6 Have you any thing else to state against the Sheristadar with regard to this charge of the 23d February 1849?

6. Yes, some days afterwards he required me to return to him the first prepared document but I refused to do it ever since that he is very angry with me.

7 Have you any thing to say against the Sheristadar with regard to the Circumstances of the charge brought on the 25th February 1849?

7 Nothing more.

(Signed) C. Davaraja Pillay

Taken before me on the 20th February 1252.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Actg 4th Collector.

1. You have stated in your answer to the 5th Question of yesterday that the Collector would not approve

The Superintendent having told me that a Takeed in his name bearing the signature of the Gentleman dated 22d

February 7.

approve of the Wastage of 26 Garces and 2 Mercals is written off in the Accounts according to Yesterday's Takeed. What do you mean by the word "Takeed"?

February 1849 was received by him and in which it was stated that 26 Garces and 3 Mercals should be written off in the Accounts required me to prepare the Accounts and I have prepared it according to his orders. This is the meaning of that Takeed.

2. When you were employed in the Cotaurs as Nevisindah you were in the habit of preparing Mercal Alavosee Dobs and daily Memoranda state in particular the mode of preparing them?

2. It was Customary that the Superintendent the Peishcar the Accomen myself and Nevisendah should attend to the measurement of salt received from the five Villages. After that I The quantity of Salt of each Village so measured is

is entered in the Account called Oopoo Errapoo Kanaikoo according to dates adding it to the quantity that remains in the preceding date in store, after deducting both the quantities of the Home and Inland Sales as well as the quantity of Wastage that occurs at the outturn of the salt heaps.

The accounts so prepared is one The corresponding one on paper written by the Peishcar is another.-

The mode of preparing these Mercal Abstract Dob Account is

is this that the number of Boats according to the Villages, names of the manufacturers, names of the Boatmen, the estimated quantity of Salt and the actual quantity measured, are entered in this account. So this account is prepared by me. the Peishcar the Superintendents and myself affix our signatures thereto, and we send it to the Hoozoor.-

3. Used they to peruse accounts before they Sign on them.-

3 They were in the habit of perusing Accounts and then sign on them. They also used.

used to ask me questions whenever they did not understand.-

4. The Superintendent States in his Deposition that he cannot read what is written on a Cadjan. but you now state that he can read what is written both on Cadjan and Paper. Is it true? Can you prove it?

4. No one can write so well as he does. He is a very able Man. He wrote Several Urzees by his own hand writing to the Hoozoor, also he wrote several letters to many persons whom I Know.-

5 Was any other account sent along with the Memorandum on a Country paper dated 22d February 1849 and in which the wastage is shown and what was first dispatched

5. I had prepared a Corresponding account on Cadjans and dispatched it.-

together

together with another account called Pospoo Eroopoo Kanakoo bearing the same date and shewing therein the same quantity of Salt in the store?

6- What has become of that Pospoo Eroopoo Kanakoo where is it? 6- The Sheristadar took it and delivered it to Ramappier-

7- Did you prepare another account for the one returned to you? 7- I made out a Cadjan Account and sent it to the Huzoor-

/Signed/ C. Davaraja Pillay

Taken before me on February 21st, 1852-

/Signed/ R. S. Ellis

Assistant Collector-

Translation of a Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqre Assistant Collector of Madras by Mooneappa Moodelly Salt-ms Peishcar dated 12th February 1852-

Question-

Whose signature is that which these Cadjan and paper Documents bear?

Make the particulars of these Accounts bearing your signature now read to you

I never perused these accounts but I used to sign them seeing the signature of

Fort St George. 29th March 1852.

As per Vulloor Cadjan Dob accounts the Actual quantity by measurement on the 23d February 1849 is Garces 34 and Mercals 238 whilst the Estimated quantity is Garce 1st and Mercals 385. In the Memorandum on paper 8 Garces and 238 Mercals of Salt are shewn as received from Vulloor. What do you say for the difference in the entries in both the Accounts of the same date?

of the Revenue Board therein. He that wrote the Accounts should account for the difference. I know nothing of the particulars there of but when an enquiry was made by Mr. Taylor, Audemoola Chetty produced to him Copy of a daily Memorandum in which it is stated that there was a wastage of 26 and odd Garces then that Gentleman required him to produce the original one but he did not produce it. In the inland sales there occurred a large wastage of 26 and odd Garces which was brought to the notice of Mr. D'Vaz the then Head Writer who told me to write it off in accounts as wastage: accordingly it was written off in the accounts on the 23 February and the corresponding Accounts both on Cadjan and paper have been

Fort St George. 29th March 1852.

What duty have you been doing in the Month of February?

Look at the daily Memorandum on Country paper. What have you to say about it?

You have seen the said two Accounts on paper of the same date. How and why was did you sign these two Memorandums?

Have you any thing to say more?

prepared and forwarded to the Huzzoor.

I was employed in Conducting inland Sales.

I have seen it. This appears to be a correct document.

I know the accounts in which the said wastage of 26 Garce was written off. I do not know how the two Memorandums came to be signed by me. I have nothing to say.

/Signed/ Mooneappa Moodelly. Peishcar.

Taken before me on February 12th, 1852.

/Signed/ R. S. Ellis. Assistant Collector.

Question.

You have heard the deposition of Audemoola Chitty regarding his complaint: and also the deposition of his witness: Now, what defence have you to make?

Answer.

I dont know any thing about the Complaint made by Audemoola Chitty but that Mr. D'Vaz told me, according to the order of (Collector) to deduct 26 Garces and

and odd as in a stage in the account -

What can you produce to prove that Mr. D'Vaz gave orders to this effect -?

Mr. D'Vaz gave verbal orders -

When you had to enter into this account the losses in the Inland sale whenever they occurred what is the reason that you have not done so -?

Sheristadar who frequently came (to the Cottar) told me on all those occasions that I need not enter into the accounts the losses occasioned saying that when some more heaps shall be disposed, rectifications might be made (for the losses), and if so done the losses will come to be only a few. As I have not entered the losses whenever they were occasioned, but only made the entries of partial losses. The Amount of the losses exclusive of those that have been entered, comes to 20 Garces -

You stated that during the enquiry made by Mr. Taylor personally but only heard of it -

I do not know of it

Andremoola

Andremoola Chitty had produced a Copy of the Daily Memorandum in which you alleged some mention was made about the loss and he (Andremoola Chitty) was asked for the production of the Original. do you recollect about it -?

You have heard read out the deposition of Davaraja Pillay in which it is mentioned that you, the Superintendent and Havildar were present when the Sheristadar came on the 24th February 1849 -

I know nothing about it and I have nothing to say more in my defence. -

(Signed) Mooneappa Moodelly -

Taken before me 21st February 1852 -

(Signed) R. S. Ellis

Assistant Collector

Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqre Assistant Collector of Madras by Ramakistna Pillay Fuzzoor Head Sumpretty dated 12th February 1852 -

Question -

1 What Accounts do you receive from the Salt Cotaurs.

Answer -

The Accounts which I daily received from the Cotaurs and.

and what used you to do with them -

Cotaiv's are as follows -

1. Rasgate Chitta or Account shewing the quantities of Salt sold to purchasers individually -
1. Account shewing the quantity of Salt that remains in store what is received and what is sold -
1. Do shewing the sums of Money received and disbursed -
1. Do shewing the different sorts of Coins of money being the Amount of Sale proceeds -

The Accounts shewing the quantities of Salt received from the Villages are as follows -

1. Mercal Alawo Dob or accounts shewing the quantities of Salt received by measurement every day according to dates. this Account is not received daily but once every 10 Days or 15 days or once a Month -
1. Monthly Accounts shewing the quantities of Salt in

in Store -

1. Account shewing the sum of Money received and disbursed -
1. Account shewing the quantities of Salt received from the Villages of Attipat Ennore and Cuttivauk by measurement -

I wrote down in my Dufter the entries shown in the Daily Chitta Account of Salt in store according to dates - Daily Memorandum on paper is received by the Sheristadars Goomastah who entered the particulars thereof in an English Account Book. I compare the entries in my Dufter with those in the English Account-Book once a Month -

2. What sort of Cadjan Accounts are received along with the daily Memorandum sent to the Sheristadars Goomastah

1. Account shewing the quantities of Salt sold to purchasers individually -
1. Account shewing the quantity of Salt remaining in store -
1. Account shewing sums of

of money received and disbursed -

1. Accounts shewing different sorts of Coins of Money being the sale proceeds of Salt These Accounts are received along with the daily Memorandum -

3. Where are your Duffter Accounts of the year 1849 -

3. These are the accounts -

/Signed/ Ramakistna Pillay -

Taken before me on February 12th 1852 -

/Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Asoistant Collector -

1. Have you ever brought to the notice of the Sheristadar the large Wastage of 20 Garces and 2 Mercals of Salt entered in the Memorandum dated the 23rd February 1849?
Here is the Memorandum look at it?

1. In the Cadjan Accounts of that date which I have received, the wastage of 20 Garces and 2 Mercals is not entered - and I did not therefore report it to the Sheristadar - The Memorandum on paper is sent to the Sheristadar Goonastah - When I compared the entries

entries in my book with those in the Accounts Book in English, this Wastage did not appear in either of them.

2 This Cadjan account was in your charge which you have produced when I asked for it Is it not?

2 Yes, this is the Account I have given

3 State what is the estimated and the Actual quantity by measurement, received from Vulloor and entered therein on the 23rd of that Month?

3. According to this account the estimated quantity of Vulloor Salt is 41 Garces and 385 Mercals, and the actual quantity received by measurement is 34 Garces and 238 Mercals -

4 Look at this Cadjan Account that shows the quantity of Salt remaining in store you wrote down in your Duffter Accounts the

4 Yes. -

the entries therein shown on the 23d February. Is it?

5 Read the entry of the quantity of Vulloor Salt received on that date and entered in your Accounts?

5. Eight Ganees and 236 Mercals of Salt appears in my Accounts.

6. State the difference that appears in the above mentioned two accounts of the same date which you have produced?

6 The difference between the entries in the said two Accounts is 26 Ganees and 2 Mercals. The Mercal Mavoo Dobo or the Accounts of the actual quantity by measurement were received once a Month or at once every 10 days, or 15 days and therefore they who signed the Accounts should account for the differences I used to receive the Accounts and keep them with me. I look to them whenever

whenever reference is required. So they have been left with me. In the same manner I used to keep with me all the other Accounts which I received from the other Departments from time to time.

(Signed) Ramakrishna Pillay.

Taken before me on the 14th February 1852

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector

1 You used to prepare Accounts of Wastage. Is it?

1 Yes.

2 From which Accounts did you prepare the Accounts of Wastage?

2 I prepared them from the Cadjan Accounts that show the quantity of Salt remaining in store.

3. What is the custom in the Salt Godowns for the preparation of the Accounts of Wastage?

3 In the Salt Godowns when a heap is measured and a loss found they (the Servants) use to enter the loss in their Accounts and I will write down the entries in my Books and

and add if the quantities once a Month.

4. You have now heard the charge brought by Adeemoola Chetty against you. What have you to say in your defence?

4 Except to what is said in the Deposition I have lately given, I have nothing else to say in my defence.

5. You heard the deposition of Davarajah Pillay in which it is mentioned that on the 23d February 1849, two country paper daily Memoranda and two Cadjan Accounts showing the quantity of Salt in stock were prepared for the said date and sent with your knowledge. What do you say for it?

5. On the morning of the 24th of the said Month at 10 O'Clock when I came to the Cutcherry, there were, as usual on the Table of the Gentleman, the account showing the quantity of Salt in stock, the amounts of the proceeds of the sale in money an account showing the Money in their respective Coins, and another showing the receipts and disbursements of the preceding day all tied up with Varadar/ a thin piece of

of Cadjan/ I took them and when I entered the contents thereof in the book showing the quantity of Salt in Stock there was only one Oopsoo Iroopsoo account but not two which I placed in records after entering the contents thereof/ in the Oopsoo Iroopsoo Book/.

6. You are not asked whether of two sets of Accounts containing different amounts arrived at the Hoozoor on the 21st February, but you are asked whether two Accounts for the said date varying in the contents did not pass through your hands at any time?

6. I never received two/ sets of/ accounts as they assert and never had them.

/Signed/ Ramakistna Pillay

Taken before me on the 21st February 1852.

/Signed/ R. S. Dallas

Assistant Collector.

After

Fort St. George 29th March 1852

1 Look at the wastage mentioned in the daily Memorandum dated 23d February 1849 and state when you first saw it?

After Mr. Taylor left this Office the Shr=istadar one day handed it over to me and said that it had been sent from the Govern=ment where it was pre=sented by Adiemoola Chetty and questioned me if ever there was a wastage to the extent of 26 Garces on one date. I answered there was no wastage to that extent in one day. It was on this occasion only I saw it, and this was in the year 1851, but I do not recollect the Month=

2 When one Memo=randum was shown to you, you saw the amount thereof dif=fering from that of the account of a day. Did you then exa=mine the accounts?

I neither exa=mined it, nor referred myself to the ac=count.

(Signed) Ramakistna Pillay

Taken before me on the 24th February 1852.

(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector

True Translation
(Signed) R. S. Ellis
Assistant Collector
Deposition

Fort St. George 29th March 1852

Deposition given before R. S. Ellis Esqre Assistant Collector of Madras by Narayana=sawmy late Hangami Peon and Louisa=pillay in the Salt Golauns dated 15th February 1852.

Question.—

1. You were in the habit of giving boat Chits to the boat people for the Salt landed in 1849 from Attiput, Voyalore, Villoor, Cuttivauk, Ennore. Is it not so?

Answer.—

1. Yes I used to give them Chits.

2. How did you prepare boat Chits? State the manner in which they were prepared?

2. According to the Chits sent by the Villagr Cursum for the Salt conveyed in boats, we get the Salt measured I used to mark down the actual quantity of Salt so measured from each boat on the back of each Chit and prepare blank Chits equal to the number and enter the quantities therein and

and furnish them to the boat people but I never set my signature thereto.—

3. There are the boat chits, that were issued up to 20th February 1849— Do you know any thing of the other chits that were issued after that date but not found?

3. I gave the chits to the Boat people according to usage. they should remain with the boat Contractor—

4. Do you recol=lect any thing of the measurement of Salt which took place in the Cottaura on the 23d February 1849?

4. I do not recol=lect it—

5. Do you know any thing of the complaint of Adimoola chetty in respect to the measurement of Salt which took place on the 23d February 1849?

5. I know nothing of it on that date, but I know what I heard of it afterwards—

6. What can you state of that complaint?

6. On that date 3¼ Garce of Salt was received

received from Voyatore it was not entered in the accounts at the Cottaura— but out of that quantity 26 Garces were written off on account of wastage and the remaining 8 Garce entered in the accounts that were sent to the Hoozoor— Thus I heard— I also heard that this account was returned from the Hoozoor and some other accounts show=ing therein only 8 Garce of Salt have been prepared and sent instead—

7. You were pre=sent at the time when the Salt was measured on that date— Do not you recollect the cir=cumstances that had passed which you heard?

7. I do not re=collect any thing that passed on that date— but when Mr. Taylor enquired into the case, Ramakrishna pillay repeatedly went to Davaraja Pillay

Pillay and asked for accounts Davaraja Pillay told me that Rania-kistna Pillay had taken away the cadjan accounts one day from him. From what Davaraja Pillay said to me, I believed that which he said was true, I also saw the accounts that show the quantity of Salt in the store dated 23d February 1849.

(Signed) Narayanasawmy Naick

Taken before me on February 13th 1852

(Signed) R. S. Ellis

Assistant Collector

True Translation

(Signed) R. S. Ellis

Assistant Collector

Country Paper A

In No. 557 of 1851.

Daily Memorandum.

An account shewing the quantity of Salt in Stock Salt Golahs of Madras

Fusly 1258 or 23d February 1849.

Salt on hand up to the preceding day belonging to Fusly 56 Garces 43 and Mercals 292

Salt on store belonging to Fusly 57 G. 233 & M. 365

Total Garces 277 and Mercals 257.

Receipt of this day.

The quantity received from Vallore in Chinglepat belonging to Fusly 56 — G: 8 and M. 236.

To ditto 57 — G: 26 and M. 2

Total Garces 34 and Mcls 238.

The Amount of Salt received and also remained in Stock belonging to F. 56. G. 32 and M: 128 and

To F. 57 G. 259 and M: 367.

Total Garces 312 and M: 95.

Consumption of this day.

Home Consumption, by sale Indian Maunds 243 or G: 2 M: 10

Sold for Inland Consumption ditto ditto 534 or G: 4 M: 180.

Total Indian Maunds 777 or G: 6 M: 190

Consumed by Inland Sale.

The loss in excess of the F. 57 was G: 26 and M: 2.

The total expenditure G 32 and M: 192

Remainder

Fusly 56 G: 52 and M: 128

Fusly 57 G: 227 and M: 175

Total Stock of Salt of the two Fuslies G: 279 and M: 303

(Signed) Divaroojin (Signed) Mooneapp (Signed) S. Ramasseen

Moossanda Peshcar Supdt.

True Translation

(Signed) R. S. Ellis

Assistant Collector

Country Paper

B.

Daily Memorandum

An account shewing the quantity of Salt in hand Salt Cottar of Madras.

23d. February 1849.

Up to the preceding day.

Fasly 56 ——— Garces 43 and Mercals 29.

Fasly 57 ——— do. 233 and ——do. ——365.

Total Garces 277 and Mercals 257.

Receipt of this day.—

From Vulloor for F. 56 Garces 8 and Mercals 236

Total Receipt of F. 56 —— do. 52 and —— do. —— 128

Total —— do. —— of F. 57 —— do. 233 and do. —— 365.

Total Garces 286 & Mercals 93.

Home Consumption by sale on this day Indian Maunds 243 or G: 2 and M: 10

——— Inland Consumption Indian Maunds 534 or G: 4 and M 180.

Total Indian Maunds 777 or 6 G: and 190 M:

Remaining Salt of Fasly 56 52 G and 128 M.

do. ——— ——— 57 — 227 G. and 175 M.

Total Garces — 279 and M. 303.

(Signed) Devarasen Nevisindah

(Signed) Mooneappa Peishcar

True Translation

(Signed) R. Ramappier

(Signed) R S. Ellis

Assistant Collector

C.

Mercal Mavoo

Dab

(Cadjan)

Sreeramajayem

Madras Salt Cottar—

A list shewing the measurement on the 23d. February of Salt from Vulloor in — Chinglepat for Fasslies 56 and 57.—

No: 129.

With regard to 3 G and 100 M: of Salt being the estimated quantity brought out of the heap No. 23 in a boat of Lutchoo-manan Son of Choomam Sattee, the actual measurement Garces 3 and Mercals 338.—

No: 130

With regard to 18 Mercals of Salt out of the heap No. 23 and also G. 3 and M: 20 out of the heap No. 19 being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Moottan Son of Soonnam Peria Pien amounting to — estimated G: 3 and M: 100 the quantity of Salt actually measured was Garces 2 and Mercals 302.

No: 131

With regard to 2 Garces of Salt being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Lutchun Son of Soonnam Sattee the actual measurement Garces 2 and Mercals 246.—

No: 132

With regard to G: and M: 330 out of the heap No. 19 and also M: 170 out of the heap No. 16 being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Goonam Son of

of Soonnam Chadayen amounting to estimated G: 3 and M: 100 the quantity of Salt actually measured Garce 1 and Mercals 209.

N°. 133. With regard to 3 G: and 50 M: of Salt out of the heap N°. 12 being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Moonien Son of Soonnam Palayettan, the actual measurement Garces 2 and Mercals 278.

N°. 134. With regard to 3 G: and M: 50 of Salt out of the said heap being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Lutchen Son of Soonnam Peria Pien, the actual measurement Garces 2 and Mercals 278.

N°. 135. With regard to 3 G: and 50 M: of Salt out of the said heap being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Chellum Son of Choonnam Pottan, the actual measurement Garces 2 and Mercals 173.

N°. 136 With regard to 2 G: and 100 M: of Salt out of heap N°. 16 being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Palayettan Son of Ramaporam Thattan, the actual measurement Garce 1 and Mercals 378.

N°. 137. With regard to 2 G: and M: 200 of Salt out of the said heap being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Nogan Son of Ramapooram Moonamen the actual measurement was Garces 2 and Mercals 49.

With

N°. 138. With regard to 250 M: of Salt out of heap N°. 12 and also 2 G: of Salt out of heap N°. 16 being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Moottan Son of Soonnam Peria Pien amounting to estimated G. 2 and M: 250 the actual measurement Garces 2 and Mercals 35.

N°. 139. With regard to 2 G: and 330 M: of Salt out of heap N°. 16 being the estimated quantity brought in a Boat of Toolookonum Son of Soonnam Satty the actual measurement Garces 2 & Mercals 100.

N°. 140. With regard to 3 G: of Salt out of heap N°. 28 being the estimated Quantity brought in a boat of Lutchen Son of Soonnam Satty, the actual measurement Garces 2 & Mercals 140.

N°. 141 With regard to 2 G: of Salt out of the said heap being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Gnanam Son of Soonam Sadayen, the actual measurement Garce 1 and Mercals 122.

N°. 142 With regard to 2 G: of Salt out of the said heap being the Estimated quantity brought in a boat of moonen Son of Soonnam Palayattan the actual measurement Garce 1 and Mercals 285.

Madras Salt boottar

Fasly 1258 or 1259.

A list shewing the measurement

With regard to G: 1 and M 300 of

N°. 143.

4341 Fort St. George 29th March 1852

of Salt out of the said heap being the estimated quantity brought in a boat of Ibrullen Son of Soonnam Pattam, the actual measurement was Garce 1 and M: 199 — No: 144. With regard to 300 M: of Salt out of heap No: 28 and also 1 G: and 55 M: of Salt out of heap No: 21 being the estimated quantity of Salt brought in a boat of Lutchen Son of Soonnam Beria Pien amounting to estimated G: 1 and M: 355, the Actual measurement Garce 1 and Measals 290.

The total number of boats of this day is 16.

Estimated Salt out of heap No: 23 is G: 3 and M: 180
do. ——— " 19 " G 7 and M: 350
do. ——— " 16 " G. 10
do. ——— " 12 " G 10

Total Estimated Salt of F. 57 is Garces 31 and M: 180.

Actual Measurement G 26 and M: 2

Estimated Salt of heap No: 28 is G: 9 and M 200.
do. ——— " 21 " G. 1 and M 55.

Total Estimated Salt of F. 58 is G: 10 and M: 255.

Actual Measurement G: 8 and M: 230.

Grand total of Estimated Salt is G: 41 and M: 385.

Actual Measurement G: 34 and M: 238

The estimated quantity of Salt imported / received into Cottar / up to Yesterday, or 128 boats is G: 107 and M: 395.

Actual measurement G. 368 and M: 307.—

The total estimated quantity of Salt imported / received into Cottar / up to yesterday, or 144 boats is G: 149 and M: 380.—

Actual measurement G: 403 and M: 145.—

/Signed/ Devarasen /Signed/ Mooneappen /Signed/ Kumappiah
Nevisinda Peshcar Sheristadar

True Translation
/Signed/ R. S. Ellis
Assistt. Collector.

J. P. S.

4342 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(55-56)

To The Collector of Madras.

Sir

Bc. No: 616.
To the Collector of Madras.

With reference to your letter of 30th March 1852 enclosing Mr. Ellis's report on the Salt investigation the Board find in the Report that it is alleged that a Tasdeed was given by the Collector authorizing the transfer of 26 Garces 2 Measals to the head of Wastage. I am directed to request a copy of that Tasdeed I should such ever have been issued.

2. The Board also observe that in para 8 of Mr. Ellis's Report it is stated that the "Daily Memorandums" for February 23d 1849 sent to the Hoozoor shews the receipt of 8-230 G. M. whereas in para 20 it is stated that Avidemoola Chetty produced another Daily Memorandum of the same date mentioning G. M. 34. 238 being received. It does not appear which of these Mr. Ellis considers the genuine one. This is an important point and

4343 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.—

"and I am directed to request you will call Mr. Ellis' attention to it.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th March, 1852. } Signed W. H. Bayley.— Secretary.—

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

To,

The Collector of Nellore.—

Sir,

With reference to my letters of the 10th March 1851 and 26th January 1852, I am directed by the Board of Revenue again to request that you will submit at an early date, a full account of the irrecoverable balances of Sayer Jumma in your District.—

(57) Bd. No. 614. To the Collector of Nellore the same to South Arcot.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th March, 1852. } Signed W. H. Bayley. Secretary.

---

(58) Bd. No. 705 From the Accountant General.

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

Statement of the Contingent charges incurred for the Month.

4344 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.—

(59) Bd. No. 706 From the Accountant General.....

Month of January last.—

Statement of the contingent charges incurred for the month of February last.—

(58-60) Order on the abovementioned 2 Statements.....

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

(61) Bd. No. 724 From the Collector of Chingleput.

Read. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of February last.—

(61-62) Order thereon.

Ordered to be Lodged in the Office.—

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

(63) My. No. 317 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Abstract of Demand Balance for the Month of February last.—

(64) My. No. 315 From the Acting Collector of Guntoor.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of February last.—

(65) My. No. 319. From the Collector of South Arcot.

Abstract of Demand Collection.

Collection and Balance for the month of February last.

66 My. No. 321 From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of February last.

67 My. No. 313. From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of February last.

68 My. No. 318. From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the month of February last.

69 My. No. 316. From the Acting Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the month of of February last.

70 My. No. 320. From the Collector of South Arcot. Statement shewing the the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the month of February last.

71 My. No. 322 From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the month of February last.

72 My. No. 314. From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain

Grain and Salt for the month of February last.

73 My. No. 324. From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of January last.

74 My. 326 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of Persons confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of January last.

75 My. No. 312 From the Collector Chingleput. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the month of January last.

76 My. No. 325. From the Collector of Cuddapah. Reporting that no Persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the month of January last.

77 My. No. 323. From the Acting Collector of Tanjore. Register of the State of the River Cauvery at the amount for the month of February last.

78 My. No. 276 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Forwarding an Indent for certain lists and Registers for the use of his Office and requesting the Board to cause the required number to be printed and forwarded.

79 My. No. 309 From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Requesting that the Printed

1347 Fort St. George 29th March 1852.

Printed forms indented for are the 10th Ultimo
(80) may be sent without delay.—

My No 169
From the Collector of Tanjore. Submitting an Indent
for Printed forms of Bills of Exchange and
(78-81) letters of advice required for the year 1852.—

Order on the 3 abovementioned letters. Ordered to be sup-
plied accordingly.
(82)
My No 303.

From the Acting Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of
smuggled Tobacco recommended for confiscation
(82-83) for the Month of February last.

Order thereon. Ordered that the confiscation
and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enume-
rated in the abovementioned Statement
be authorized.—

Comp. 2 Details

H Goldingham

H Blane