Contents

No.	From and To whom	Subjects	Page
1784	From Govt	Cuddapah Reading Examination	10363
1527	Do. to Chingleput	Com.n of the above	10364
1797	From Govt	Reg.d Administration N.th Circars	"
1792	From Do	Sanct.d Estimates of Succary for F. 1261	10365
1790	From Do	Putting in force Act XXVI of 1850 in Bellary	"
1532	Do. to Bellary	Com.n of the above	10369
1735	From Govt	Remission of Tax on Pedlers in Tinnevelly	10370
1533	Do. to Tinn.y	Com.n of the above	"
1782	From Govt	Sanct.d Boat Est.t for removing Salt	"
1531	Do. to Madras	Com.n the above	10372
1701	From Nellore	Gymnadas Bada Rajahdahiba	10373
1536	To Govt	Sub.n the above	10374
1829	From Nellore	Delivered over charge	10375
1830	From Do	Received charge	10377
1852	From Bellary	Reading Examination	"
1708	From Cuddapah	Pension to Ravilla Munjee a Sudarah Pensioner	10384
1535	Rem.k to Govt	Recommending the above for sanction	10385
1629	From Kurnool	Explanation on Cummachundy Report	10386
1628	From Salem	Explanation on the above	10388
1751	From Do	Release of lands from attachment	10389
1534	Do. to Do	Confirming the above	10390
1702	From S.t Treat	Explanation on Rudder lands	"
1537	Do. to Do	Instructions on the above	10393
1807	From S.t Treat	Reporting on Enactment for prohibiting Inoculation	"
1761	From Sanjore	Lateness of the Demand Account	10395
1244	From Tinnevelly	Communication with Dr. Wight	"
1718	From Sanjore	Distribution of American Cotton Seeds	10403
1543	Do. to Govt	Submitting the above	10405
1646	From Tinnevelly	Reporting on Petition No. 907 of 1857	"
1538	Do. to Do	Decision on the above	10418
1847	From Do	Mr. Blair's Application for Leave	10419
1658	From Canara	Peshcar of Bunkwal	10421
1542	To Govt	Submitting the above	10425
1772	From Madras	Forge of Toddy Mooculka	10429
1714	From C. Auditor	Sanction for Contingent Charges	10430
1530	To Do	Charges incurred in Stamp Department	10433
1539	To Cuddapah	To return Pet.r in No. 420 of 1857	"
1541	To Kurnool	Quarterly List of Unclaimed Letters	10434

Contents.

Bo No	From and to whom	Subjects	Page
1864	Fr. Dale & Boyson	Relative to the Sherapunga Ef. Case	10438
1540	Spr. to Madura	Forwarding the above	10439
1778	From Govt	Horticultural Gardens at Ootacamund	10440
1799	From Do	Pension to Seetaram Bunjee in Ganjam	10448
1783	From Do	Compensation to Casavien in S. Arcot	"
1537	Spr. to S. Arcot	Com. J. the above	10449
1786	From Govt	Dismissal of Salamalay Pillay in S. Arcot	"
1537	Spr. to S. Arcot	Com. J. the above	10450
1781	From Govt	Observations on Sett. of Sherapunga Ef. for F. 1254	"
1549	Spr. to Madura	Com. J. the above	10452
1798	From Govt	Raising the salary of Asst. Collr. of Malabar	"
1865	From Do	Forwarding directions to Revl. Officers in Northern Provinces	10453
1813	From Royale J.	Estimate of Extra Charges for F. 1261	10454
1538	Spr. to Do	Determining the above	10455
1185	From Cuddapah	Distribution of Prizes for Agricultural Industry	10456
1707	From Do	Inform. re Shotriem & Butwarkee Inams	10463
1560	Rembr. to Govt	Recom. the above	10464
1709	From Cuddapah	Reporting on Petition No. 2618 of 1850	10465
1559	Spr. to Do	Decision on the above	"
1757	From S. Arcot	Arrears due to Gumashtas	10466
1556	Rembr. to Govt	Recom. the above	10467
1657	From Salem	Copy of retrenchment made by Civil Auditor	10468
1561	Spr. to Do	Submitting the above	10474
1742	From Do	Change of Moomalvoor Talook	10475
1835	From Do	Statt. of Sayer Summah Balance	"
1734	From S. Arcot	List of old records to be destroyed	10487
1550	Spr. to Do	Authorizing to destroy old records	10489
1852	From Cuddp.	Relative to Seed Wheat	10490
1759	From Tanjr	Death of Nagiaswamy Kamies Naicker	"
1548	Spr. to Do	On the above	10491
1859	From Coimbatore	Quantity of English Wheat required	"
1511	From Malabar	Reporting on the Conduct of Tellicherry Tahsildar	10492
1527	From Do	Relative to the above	10592
1765	From Do	On the above	10594
1743	From Do	On the above	10665
1763	From Do	On the above	10675
1791	From Do	On the above	10682

Contents.

Bo No	From and to whom	Subjects	Page
		Tahsildar	10683
1793	From Malabar	Relative to the Cottyam (Tellicherry)	10684
1794	From Do	On the above	10685
1547	To Do	On the above	10687
1774	From Madras	Statt. of Contingent Charges	"
1546	Spr. to Do	Requr. information on the above	"
1545	To Maly. Govr.	To send a Moonshee	
1800	From Govt	Reduction in the Pontier Chowkies in Malabar	10694
1563	Spr. to Malabar	Com. J. the above	10695
1862	From Govt	Abuses and Corruptions in Masulipatam	"
1812	From Do	Grants of land to Village Officers in Madura	10696
1573	Spr. to Madura	Com. J. the above	"
1872	From Govt	Information on the Chintalapoody Moolahs	10697
1752	From N. Circars	Misappropriation of Religious Endowments	"
1564	To Do	Relative to the above	"
1901	From Ganjam	Reporting on Enactment for prohibiting Inoculation	10698
1891	From Madras	Creating Examinations	10708
1806	From Nellore	Abkarry Security Bonds	10711
1568	To Do	On the above	10712
1884	From Bellary	Register of lands held by Revl. & Pol. Servants	10714
1659	From Cuddapah	Reporting on Petition of Sheenarasa Row	10762
1566	To Do	Relative to the above	10765
1910	From Trichinopoly	Report on Extra Revl. for F. 1260	10766
1822	From Coimbatore	Reward for destroying Tigers	10777
1577	To Govt	Submitting the above	10778
1858	From Coimbatore	Extra Sent allowances in F. 1260	10779
1572	Spr. to Do	Information on the above	"
1576	Spr. to Do	Sanct'g Extra Sent allces for F. 1260	10780
1719	From Canara	Advance to Mr. G. A. Smith on land held	"
1575	To Govt	Submitting the above	10783
1578	To Madras	Further information on Weights & Measures	10785
1768	Fr. Sub. Collr. Salem	Proceedgs. & Sentence in A. S. 64 of 1844	10788
1566	To Govt	Competition in Agricultural Industry	10795
1567	To Nellore & Salem Hampes	Cost of certain Chattrums	10802

Contents.

No	From and To whom	Subjects	Page
1832	From Madura	Relative to Sheveroya Hy. Cases	10806
1950	From Do	On the above	"
1569	Pro. to Sale	Forwarding the above	10807
1814	From Govt	Forwarding Brown's Ephemeris	10808
1608	Circulars	Forwarding the above	10809
1817	From Govt	Correspondence relative to Act X of 1850	10810
1601	Pro. to Canara	Com. the above	10817
1955	From Govt Malabar	Com. N. Circars Broken Set	10818
1844	From Do	Arrears to Vermiahdars in S. Arcot	"
1598	Pro. to S. Arcot	Com. the above	10819
1845	From Govt	Confirming Jaury Enams in Canara	"
1600	Pro. to Canara	Com. the above	10820
1873	From Govt	Charges retrenched in the Salem accounts	"
1591	Pro. to Salem	Com. the above	"
1889	From Govt	Vermiah of Sayabee in Salem	10821
1599	Pro. to Salem	Com. the above	"
1890	From Govt	Arrears of Vermiah in S. Arcot	10822
1597	Pro. to S. Arcot	Com. the above	10823
1953	From Govt	Extra Revs. of Granjums for F. 1259	"
1805	From Nellore	Reporting upon Petition No. 22 of 1852	"
1602	To Govt	Submitting the above	10824
1828	From Nellore	Tabular Statt. of lapsed Enams	10825
1626	Rem. to Govt	Recom. the above	10828
1838	From Bellary	Enquiry for Choultry and Bungalow	10829
1609	Pro. to Govt	Submitting the above	10833
1926	From Bellary	Individual Statements and returns	10834
1837	From Cuddapah	Reporting upon Petition No. 1245 of 1851	10838
1584	To Do	Decision on Seemah Justice on a Petn. in No. 1245/52	10859
1593	Pro. to Chingleput	Instns. on Annexed List of Letters	10861
1834	From Do	Cowle of Boraputhumal	"
1596	Pro to Do	On the above	10866
1833	From Do	Statt. of Mootahs attached for arrears	"
1592	Pro. to Do	On the above	10868
1827	From Do	Blank Book for Register	"
1586	Pro. to Do	Sanct. the above	10869
1826	From Do	To destroy old Salt	"
1587	Pro. to Do	To fine and Samples of the above	10870
1740	From Salem	Arrear apt. the Village of Vellicapully	"
1588	To Govt	Submitting the above	10871

Contents

No	From and To whom	Subjects	Page
1788	From Salem	Application for land on Shevaroy Hills	10875
1585	Pro. to Do	Authorizing the Grant of the above	10880
1924	From Do	Register of Petitions	"
1925	From Tuticorin	Retirement of Peon at Rm. the Tahsildar of Cmbd.	"
1921	From Canara	Treasury Examination of	10881
1957	From Madras	Form of Statt. for extent and number of Sales	10885
1920	From Mal. Translator	Moonshee instructed to attend	"
1579	Circular	D.C. & B. Statts.	10886
1574	To S. Arcot	To report upon Petr. No. 445 of 1850	10887

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday, 4th August, 1851. } J. Goldingham Esqr. 2nd Member.
and
J. L. Blane Esqr. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqr. Senior Member.
absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

No. 740.

1.

B. No. 1784 From the Secretary to Government. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 28th July, 1851.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 11th July 1851 No. 292./

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers the excuse offered by the late Collector of Cuddapah for his failure to examine the Cylent Chest Vizt. that the accounts of the disbursement were

were kept in the Native language to be wholly insuffi=
=cient and unsatisfactory and he desires that this view
may be intimated to Mr. Cochrane, with a warning
that any future instance of neglect as to any part
of his duties will lead to the most serious notice
and displeasure of Government.-

To, The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1527.

Order thereon-

1-2

Ordered to be communicated to Mr.
Cochrane, Collector of Chingleput, with reference to his
In Cons: 14th July 1851. letter dated 5th June 1851.-

3

No. 757.

Bd. No. 1797.
From the Secretary to Government-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Revenue Department, under date the 29th July, 1851.

Ten Copies of the "Report by the
Sudder Board of Revenue on the Revenue Administra
=tion, North Western Provinces for the Official year
1848/49." having been received from the Government of
the North Western Provinces- resolved that 4
Copies be forwarded to the Board of Revenue, 2 to
the Commissioner for the Northern Circars and
the

the 4 remaining Copies be retained in store.-

To, The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.

3-4

Ordered to be recorded.-

No. 750.

Bd. No. 1792.
From the Secretary to Government- Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Dated 29th July 1851.

5

The Right Honorable the Governor
in Council sanctions the Estimates of Tuccavy and of
Extra Charges for Fusly 1261 for the Kurnool and
Bellary Districts respectively amounting together to
Rupees (7,201-12-4) Seven thousand two hundred and
one, annas twelve and Pici four.-

/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded. the usual
printed letters will be sent to Collectors.-

7

No. 469.

Bd. No. 1790.
From the Secretary to Government-
Directing that a Notification, for putting in force the provisions of act XXVI of 1850 in the Town

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation,
Judicial Department, under date the 29th July, 1851.

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
(Here enter 10th July 1851, No. 777).

Para 1. Under the representation contained
in

Town of Bellary be published in the Official Gazette, and that it be made known to the inhabitants in various other ways. Requesting the Magistrate to address Government after the expiration of the period specified in the Notice and to furnish information on certain points.

in Mr. Pelly's letter and in it's enclosure relative to the desire of the inhabitants of Cowle Pettah of Bellary to join in the arrangements proposed by the residents in the other division of the Town, Brucepettah, for putting in force the provisions of Act XXVI, 1850, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that under Section III of the act the subjoined notice be published in the Official Gazette, that proclamation to the same effect be made by beat of Tom Tom in and about the Town of Bellary, and that translations of the notice in the Vernacular language of the District be affixed to the Magistrate's Cutcherry, the Court House, the Cutwall's Choultry, the Office of the Superintendent of Military Police and other places of public resort. The Magistrate will keep a book for the purpose indicated in the proclamation and will give every facility to the occupants of Shops or houses in Bellary for inscribing their names as favorable or opposed to the application.

2. On the expiration of the period specified in the notice, the Magistrate will again address Government

Government upon the subject. He will be very careful to inform himself accurately of the real sentiments of the people in respect to the proposed measure, and should the general feeling be in it's favor, will explain whether it is the wish of the inhabitants that the act shall be put in force in their Town for all the purposes specified in Section II Vert." for making, repairing, cleaning, lighting or watching the public Streets, roads, drains and tanks, for the prevention of nuisances and for improving the Town and Suburb in any other manner" or shall be made applicable in part only - and in the latter case to what objects it should be restricted. He will also submit for the approval of Government the names of the inhabitants to be associated with himself under Section VI as Commissioners for carrying out the purposes of the Act. Selecting such as may appear to be most acceptable to the community. The Governor in Council entirely agrees with Brigadier Dowker that it is highly desirable that the Commanding Officer and Superintendent of Police for the time being should be made Members of the Commission. He would also notice that in applying the provisions of the Act to Cowle

Bazar

Bazar or a part of the Town of Bellary now under Military Superintendence due care must be taken, so to adopt the arrangements that they shall in no-wise clash with the Regulations at present enforced by the Military authorities for the preservation of cleanliness and good order within the bazar limits.—

3. The mode in which the Funds requisite for carrying out the Act are to be raised, will come under consideration with the general code of Rules to be prepared under Sections VI, VII, of the Act by the Commissioners in the event of the measure taking effect.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Notification.

Whereas application has been made to Government by certain inhabitants of the Divisions of Brucepettah and Cowlepettah in Bellary praying that the provisions of Act XXVI of 1850, or an Act to enable improvements to be made in Towns, may be put in force within their Town, it is hereby notified under Section III. of

of the Act, that those inhabitants of the said Pettahs who may be desirous of declaring themselves for or against the adoption therein, of the said Act, for all or any of the purposes specified in it may declare themselves accordingly before the Magistrate of Bellary at any time during the usual Office hours within the space of three months from this date.

It is further notified that the

2. Magistrate of Bellary has been directed, besides noting such declarations as may be made in the manner abovementioned, to keep a book, wherein every occupant of a house or shop in the said Town may have himself inscribed, as being in favor of or against the prayer of the application aforesaid, on applying to the Magistrate at any time during Office hours, within the period above stated, or before the 29th day of October next.—

By order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

Fort St. George, 29th July, 1857.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

/ A true Copy /
/ Signed / J. D. Sim.
Acting Deputy Secretary to Governm

7-8

Bd. No 1532.

Order thereon—

In Cons: 10th July 1857.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 7th June 1857.—

Extract

9

Bd. No. 1785.

From the Secretary to Government

No. 746.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 29th July 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

/Here enter 7th July 1857. No. 279/

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will defer passing orders upon the proposition of the Collector of Tinnevelly for a remission of the Tax collected from certain petty Pedlers in that District until he can dispose of it in the general revision of the Mohturpah revenue, which is at present under the consideration of Government.-

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

9-10

Bd. No. 1533.

Order thereon-

In Con. 7th July 1857.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Tinnevelly, with reference to his letter dated 9th June 1857.

11

Bd. No. 1782.

From the Secretary to Government

Sanctioning the arrangements proposed for a Boat Establishment for removing Salt from the places of manufacture near Ennore to those of store at Madras and desiring that measures may be taken to give effect to it. Requesting the Board to report at the close of each year on the present system and the actual expenses incurred. Also directing that the overseer

overseer to be employed should be an individual hitherto unconnected with the Contractors or his Boats-

No. 755.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, under date the 29th July 1857.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

/Here enter 30th June 1857. No. 272/

To

Para 1. The correspondence submitted with the above letter from the Board of Revenue relates to a suggestion of Mr. Taylor late Assistant of the Madras Collectorate in special charge of the Salt Department for maintaining at the expense of Government a Boat Establishment for the purpose of removing Salt from the places of manufacture near Ennore to those of store at Madras, in preference to the present system of contracting with Boat owners for

Owners for the transport-

It appears from the Statement No. 3 2- submitted by the Collector of Madras on the 30th May 1857 that calculating on an Annual manufacture of Salt equal to the quantity which has been ordered for the present Season for Home and Inland sales and for export to Calcutta, and taking the present rates of boat hire, the yearly charge for removing the Salt would be Rupees 6,902-2-3 whereas under the proposed system, the charge for Boats and Establishment calculated for 8 years during which the Boats are expected to continue in a serviceable state, and including the pay of an Overseer and all contingencies, save those which may arise from accidents or unforeseen causes will be in all Rupees 32,154 or 4019 per Annum, thus effecting an Annual saving of Rs. 2,882-14-3, or more than 41 per Cent.-

For 8 years.	
Cost of Boats	6,650.
Wages for Crew &c.	24,160.
Superintendent	1,344
	32,154.
For one year	4019.

The Board of Revenue support the 3- proposition, observing that so much difficulty with regard to Boat Contractors has occurred that even tho' the saving to Government may not be so much as Mr. Hudleston anticipates, the advantage of having

the

the transport of Salt made by Government Officers in Government Boats will be great.

4. Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of Madras and on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to sanction the arrangement proposed, involving as shewn before a charge of Rupees /4019-4-/ Four thousand and Nineteen and annas four per annum for 8 years, or altogether Rupees /32.154/ Thirty two thousand one hundred and fifty four and desires that the necessary measures may be taken for giving effect to it. The Board of Revenue will lay before Government at the close of each year a report shewing the operation of the new system, and the actual expense incurred.

5. It is the desire of Government that the person to be appointed Overseer should be an individual, if possible hitherto unconnected with the Contractor or his Boats.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Bd. No. 1531.
Orders thereon.
11 12
DG.
incurred- Ordered that the proper directing that the Overseer

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes Consultation and

and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 30th May 1851.

In Con. 2/12th June 1851.

2. Mr. Huddleston will adopt measures for carrying out the arrangements now sanctioned by Government and report to the Board as soon as they are completed.

3. The orders of Government noted in Paras 4 and 5 will be attended to.

Read the following letter.

No. 70

13

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd. No. 1701

From the Collector of Nellore. Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th July 1851, calling upon me to state distinctly the rank or position of Bada Rajah Saheba and whether any peculiar circumstances exist to call for any special indulgence in her favor.

In reply I have the honor 2. to state that it appears that Bada Rajah Saheba is the widow of one Syed ally Mohomed Saudry

the transport of Salt made by Government Officers in Government Boats will be great.

4. Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of Madras and on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to sanction the arrangement proposed, involving as shewn before a charge of Rupees /4019-4-/ Four thousand and Nineteen and Annas four per Annum for 8 years, or altogether Rupees /32,154/ Thirty two thousand one hundred and fifty four and desires that the necessary measures may be taken for giving effect to it. The Board of Revenue will lay before Government at the close of each year a Report shewing the operation of the new system and the actual expense incurred.

5. It is the desire of Government that the person to be appointed Overseer should be an individual, if possible hitherto unconnected with the Contractors or his Boats.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Bd. No. 1531

Orders thereon

/True Extract/
/Signed/ T. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government

12

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes Consultation and directing that the Overseer

and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras, with reference to his letter dated 30th May 1831.

In Con. 2/12th June 1831.

2. Mr. Huddleston will adopt measures for carrying out the arrangements now sanctioned by Government and report to the Board as soon as they are completed.

3. The orders of Government noted in Paras 4 and 5 will be attended to.

Read the following letter

No. 70

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

13

Bd. No. 1701

From the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th July 1831. calling upon me to state distinctly the rank or position of Bada Rajah Sahiba and whether any peculiar circumstances exist to call for any special indulgence in her favor.

2. In reply I have the honor to state that it appears that Bada Rajah Sahiba is the widow of one Syed ally Mohomed Saudry

Candry ambassador of Tippoo Sultan and sister of the Jagheerdar of Oo-diaghery who forfeited his Zemindary in the year 1839. I am aware of no peculiar circumstances which call for special indulgence in her case

Nellore Collectors Cutchery
19th July 1831. — } /Signed/ D White
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject, be submitted with the following address

No. 330.

13-14

To, The Chief Secretary to Government of Fort St. George

15th No. 1836
To the Chief-Secy to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letters from the Collector of Nellore dated respectively the 23d June and 19th July 1831. reporting upon a Petition from Badurajah Saibah a female Zemindar above the age of 50 paying for exemption from the rule requiring personal appearance at the Collectors Treasury.

In Con. 7th July 1831.
Gov. No. 832 of 1831.
Bd No. 16 of 1831.

2.

The Board having ascertained that the Petitioner Badurajah Saibah is the Widow of one Syed Ally Mahomed Candry formerly Ambassador of Tippoo Sultan and sister

7th July 1831.

Overseer

sister of the late Jageerdar of Woodiaghery whose Jageer was forfeited to the State, recommended that the indulgence may be granted to her upon the grounds of her rank and position in life in accordance with the spirit of the orders of Government conveyed in Extract Minutes of Consultation 3d December 1830.

In Con. 16th Dec. 1830 = Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
4th August 1831. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following letters

No. 74.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

Bd No. 1829.
From the Collector of Nellore

Sir, I have the honor to inform you that I have this day made over the charge of the District to C. T. Arbuthnot Esqre acting Sub Collector and herewith transmit the Duplicate of the receipt passed by him to me for the balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury amounting to C. Rupees 2,67,337-10-4.

Nellore
Collectors Cutchery,
1st August 1831. } /Signed/ D. White
Collector

Received

10376 Fort St. George 4th August 1831.

No. 1.

Duplicate

Received from D. White Esqre. Collector of Nellore in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees Two Lacs sixty seven thousand three hundred and thirty seven, annas ten and Pice four being the Balance of Cash upto this date according to the account of the Treasury Nellore 1st August 1831.

Sheet	Coins	No. of Coins	Rate of Conversion per Rupee	Amount	Total
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1840	250283	100 " " "	250283 " "	
	Do. Half Do.	24100	50 " " "	12054 " "	
	Double annas	677	25 " " "	169 4 "	
	Company's Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835	2848	12 8 " "	190 6 "	
	Do. Half Do.	849	100 " " "	2848 " "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	709	50 " " "	424 8 "	
	Double annas	822	25 " " "	177 4 "	
	Single annas	339	12 8 " "	102 12 "	
	Furrackabad Rupees	2	6 4 " "	.34 15 "	
	Bombay Rupees	594	100 " " "	2 " "	
	Do. Half Do.	83	50 " " "	594 " "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	12	25 " " "	42 8 "	
				3 " "	266925 9 "
Copper	New Half Annas	8806	3 2 " "	275 3 "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	6007	1 9 " "	93 13 "	
	Single Pice Pieces	795	" 8 4 "	1 " 3	
	Europe Six Pice Pieces	88	3 2 " "	2 12 "	
	Do. Four Do. Do.	953	2 1 4 "	17 13 8	
	Do. Three Do. Do.	385	1 9 " "	6 " 2	
	Do. Two. Do. Do.	410	1 " 8 "	4 5 8	
	Do. One. Do. Do.	74	" 8 4 "	" 6 2	
	Twenty Cash pieces	4457½	1 15 1 13	8 10½	412 1 4
	C. Rupees				26733 10 4

(Signed) C. Arbuthnot

Acting Sub. Collr. in charge

Vo.

Order

10377 Fort St. George 4th August 1831.

No. 15

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

16

Rd. No. 1830

From the Acting Sub-Collector of Nellore.

Sir, I have the honor to inform you that I have this day taken charge of the District and passed my receipt to D. White Esqre. Collector for the balance of the Cash remaining in the Treasury Viz.

C. Rupees 2,67,337-10-4.

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 1st August 1831. - - - -

(Signed) C. Arbuthnot

Acting Sub. Collr. in charge

Order on the two foregoing letters- Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.-

15.17

Read the following letter

No. 59.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

18

Rd. No. 1802

From the Collector of Bellary

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Boards circular under date the 9th Ultimo and with reference to paras 1 to 4 to state the custom which obtains in this Treasury respecting the entry of certain sums as paid in the Treasury Books but

Furnishing in detail the information called for in the Boards circular letter of the 9th June 1831 as to whether the custom prevailing in some Districts of entering certain sums as paid in the Treasury Books wherein as

as they are kept in deposit till a convenient time occurs for their actual disbursement obtains in his Treasury also.

but actually kept in deposit till a convenient time occurs for their Disbursement.

2. The pay of Talook servants and advances for maraimut are never entered in the Hoozoor Treasury Books before they are actually issued from the Treasury. These monies are usually taken to the Talooks by the peons who haur brought the Talook remittances and these disbursements are made from the Treasury generally within the 3d or 6th of every Month.

3 The following small items charged in the Treasury Books are necessarily kept a part until they can be sent out to their destination, Viz. 1st Stamp commission is adjusted from time to time for the whole District and after the actual disbursement is made to parties on the spot entitled to a share the remaining portion intended for those in the Talooks is kept in Deposit awaiting a convenient time for being despatched to them.

2d Hire payable to the Extra Runners in the Post Department of the District for conveying over land mails, Extra Banghy Danks Vca is adjusted periodically and is likewise kept distinct to be eventually transmitted to the different Talooks for payment to the parties

parties to whom it is due.

3d The pay of the Civil Engineers Establishment is charged on their abstracts as drawn from the Treasury but is occasionally held in deposit for a few days until called for.

4 The whole sum thus kept in deposit after being struck off the accounts seldom exceeds 1000 Rupees in any month for which a daily account called here "amount Rozenamah" is furnished every day to the Hoozoor Sadder Cutchery. These monies are examined when the Treasury Examination takes place but a separate memorandum of them is taken, they are not included in the Balance of Cash account sent to the Board of Revenue. They are under the charge of the Cashkeeper; and I do not see that the deposit can be conveniently curtailed below what it now is. The deposit seldom remains more than 15 days in the Treasurer's hands.

5. In reply to para 5 of your circular I beg to state that the practice in the Talooks is as follows:-

There are three Jagady or cash accounts kept Viz. 1st Summa Chitta or account of daily receipts 2d Kurch Chitta, of

of issues - and 3d. Silk Chitta or daily balances after deducting the Disbursements from the Receipts - The first of these accounts the Summa Chitta, comprises all the Talook collections and also all receipts from the Hoozoor Treasury - as servants wages, Maramut advances &c. &c. The second Kurch Chittah comprises all charges or issues of every kind - The third or silk Chitta shews the total Receipts, the total issues, and the Balance on hand on each date - This it appears that in the Talooks no practice obtains in this District of keeping under account any sum of money which has once been entered in the accounts as disbursed -

6. And sums even given in charge of Subordinate officers for discharging Maramut expenses are not entered in the Kurch Chitta i-e are not debited in the Talook accounts until the money is actually distributed to the parties to whom it is payable - It is however shewn as Kurch, Silk, as part of the Balance to be afterwards accounted for.

7. There is a Sum called Mobadilah which is issued from the Hoozoor Treasury (and charged as such in the Treasury Book) to the Hoozoor Cutcherry and the Talook Cutcherries This is adjusted every year - It is entered in the

Overseer

the Talook Summa Chitta, and as Sums are disbursed from it they are entered in the Kurch Chitta - This Mobadilah is to meet contingent charges for advances to peons, supplies to troops, advances to bearers &c. &c. a sum of 50 Rupees for similar purposes has also been kept in the Hoozoor Treasury but has been now discontinued -

8. The Tahsildars furnish two separate Lists of balance of cash on hand in his Treasury for each month - The one is prepared as soon as the monthly remittance is forwarded to the Hoozoor Treasury to shew the exact amount remaining in the Talook Treasury - The other is furnished on the first day of every month shewing the Receipts and Issues to the end of each month - and are examined and checked in the Collector's Cutcherry

9. As respects the practice observed with regard to Accountant Generals' Bills &c. alluded to in the 6th para of the Circular, I have the honor to state that whether at the Hoozoor station or on circuit the Bills are now presented to me, and after examination by the English Accountant with the advice, they are accepted by me, and a

Talked

Fort St. George 4th August 1831.

Takeed is issued to the Cashkeeper to pay the Bills when delivered to him, if the full time has run the date of sight commencing from the date I actually accept the Bills.

10. I adopted a different practice for a short time for the convenience of the Bill Holders allowing them to take their Bills to my Office at Bellary, thence after examination, the Bills were sent to me by post and accepted / the date of sight reckoning from day of presentation at the Hoozoor Office / and by me they were transmitted direct to the Cashkeeper for payment to the party when due. But this practice was found objectionable as parties sometimes unknown to the Cashkeeper were the holders of the Bill and thereby the Cashkeeper might be imposed upon by a rogue presenting himself as the party at least it gave an opening to such fraud - and the practice has been discontinued - and payment is made only to the party who takes the Bill himself to the Treasury for payment - who must also bring or send by his agent the Bill for my acceptance to me.

11. The Cash, whether I am absent or at the Hoozoor, left under the responsibility of the Cashkeeper, to meet the demands of all descriptions

of Merchants

Fort St. George 4th August 1831.

descriptions, is generally about one months amount of disbursements - At every monthly examination a sum sufficient for this purpose is left out and the rest is put into the sealed chests - The amount left under his immediate control is on this day 62,601 Rupees and that sealed up is 1,00,000 Rupees - If the expected receipts from the Talooks are insufficient to meet the coming disbursements, I send an assistant to open a sealed chest and give out what is required - When the Lists are large there is for some 10 or 15 days several lacs in the Treasurers hands not sealed up awaiting the examination - The examining officer examines both both the Cash in the immediate charge of Cash keeper and also the Cash in the sealed chests - The examining officer likewise examines the Abkary deposits kept a part in the Treasury, as Gold and Silver ornaments &c. and Company's Bonds lodged in lieu of ready cash - and any other public monies which may be lodged but not carried to account / for instance the amount lately recovered from the Stamp defalcation pending orders of Government / is examined by the officer but entered in a separate

memorandum

10384 Fort St. George 4th August 1851.

memorandum of Deposits.—

Bellary—
Collectors Office.
24th July 1851.—

Signed/ C. Pelly.
Collector.

Order thereon Ordered to lie over.

18.19

Read again Statement of arrears of Pension from the Collector of Cuddapah dated 27th September and entered in Consultation 11th November 1850.

Read also the following letter

No. 68.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

20

B. No. 1798.

From the Collector of Cuddapah—

Sir,

I have the honor to reply to the official memorandum from your office dated the 11th November 1850.

2. The search made in the records for the sanction of Government for the payment of Ravilla Mungee's pension having been unsuccessful, application was made to Guntoor from which district certain pensioners were transferred to Cuddapah in 1817, no list of the persons then transferred is on the records here although one is referred to as accompanying the

pensioners

J. F. B.
Checked

10385 Fort St. George 4th August 1851.

pensioners and the Collector stated in reply to the reference made that none was to be found in Guntoor.

3. The lists of pensioners which are kept in this Office were made in the incumbency of Mr. Lacon and that in which Ravilla Mungee is entered is called "List of pensions granted to the persons suffered by Pendarees" The lists are stated at their head to be made according as the pensions stood in July 1842 or to the end of April 1833 the first three Columns are headed "Number in Mr. Dalzell's Register" "Ditto in the present Register" and from the last Column was taken the number which appears in the first Column of the Statement now in question.

Cuddapah,
Collectors Office,
19th July, 1851

Signed/ H. Forbes.
Collector.

B. No. 1585

No. 337

Remarks thereon:— Under the circumstances represented by Mr. Murray in this Statement and by Mr. Forbes the present Collector in his letter of the 19th July 1851 the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the arrears due to Ravillah Mungee from June 1849 to August 1851 inclusive

In Cons. 4th Augt. 1851.

20.21

Fort St George 4th August 1857

inclusive being 2 years and 3 Months amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2 The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

Fo. 30

22

3d Sept. 1829

From the Agent at Kurnool.

Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Jamma bundy Report for Fasly 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the Chagulmurry Talook.

Dated 1st May 1857

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

In addressing you on the 25th ult. May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue held on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for Fasly 1259, I wrote under an impression which had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr. Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

No

Overleaf

Fort St George 4th August 1857

Dated 17th June 1857

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for its preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talook I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr. Phillips.

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talook alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings. I beg to state that the remark that Mr. Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has there sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talook and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for it

melusque being 2 years and 3 Months amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2 The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

(22)

Bd 4th 1629

From the Agent at Kurnool. Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Summa Cundy Report for July 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the Chagulmurry Talooke.

To W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

In addressing you on the 25th May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue held on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for July 1259, I wrote under an impression which I had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

No

Dated 25th May 1857

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for its preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talook I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr Phillips.

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talook alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings I beg to state that the remark that Mr Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has there sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talook and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for

do

inclusive being 2 years and 3 Months amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four, and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2. The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

Fr. 30

22

Bd No 1029

From the Agent at Kurnool

Dated 1st May 1857

Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Summa bandy Report for Fasly 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the chagulmurry Talooke.

To W. H. Bayley Esqr Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

Sir,

In addressing you on the 25th May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue held on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for Fasly 1259, I wrote under an impression which I had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

No

Observed

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for the preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talooke I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr Phillips.

Dated 17th June 1857

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talooke alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings I beg to state that the remark that Mr Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has there sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talooke and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for

No

Fort St. George 4th August 1857

melusive being 2 years and 3 Months amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2. The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

22

B/Rs 1629

From the Agent at Kurnool. Dated 1st May 1857

Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Jumma bandy Report for Fasly 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the Chagulmurry Talook.

Sir,

In addressing you on the 25th ult. May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for Fasly 1259, I wrote under an impression which I had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

I/o

Fort St George 4th August 1857

Dated 17th June 1857

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for its preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talook I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr Phillips.

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talook alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings I beg to state that the remark that Mr Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has there sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talook and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for

to

melusgee being 2 years and 3 Months Amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four, and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2 The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

22

Bd Apl 1629

From the Agent at Kurnool. Sir,

Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Jumma bundy Report for Fasly 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the chagulmurry Talooke.

In addressing you on the 25th May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue held on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for Fasly 1259, I wrote under an impression which I had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

No

Dated 17th June 1857

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for its preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talooke I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr Phillips.

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talooke alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings I beg to state that the remark that Mr Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talooke and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for its

Fort St George 4th August 1857

inclosure being 2 years and 3 Months amounting to Rupees (47-4-0) Forty seven and annas four and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual sum of Rupees (21-0-0) Twenty one.

2. The sanction of Government of 1st March 1816 is not forthcoming in either the records of this Office or of the Collector but it is believed will be found on the records of the Government Office.

Read the following letter

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

22

Bd Pro. 1629

From the Agent at Kurnool.

Pointing out with the view of preventing misapprehension in any quarter that the remark contained in para 17 of his Summa Bandy Report for July 1259 was in that place intended to refer only to the chagulmurry Talooke.

Dated 1st May 1857

Sir,

In addressing you on the 25th May in explanation of one point referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue held on review of my report upon the Land Revenue Settlement of Kurnool for July 1259, I wrote under an impression which I had distinct reason for entertaining that I should shortly receive an official letter from Mr Phillips applying for information on certain points noticed by the Board which could only be procured from the records of this Office.

No

Fort St George 4th August 1857

Dated 17th June 1857

2. No such official application has yet reached me and I have now received the Minutes of Consultation recorded by the Government on a review of the Board's proceedings. I much regret if there was any thing in my Report which appeared to reflect upon my predecessor. The Board are aware that the late Head Sarishtadar was dead when it was drawn up but for its preparation I had obtained the best information which was available and in speaking of the Chagulmurry Talook I only expressed opinions in the correctness of which I believed I should have the entire concurrence of Mr Phillips.

3. That a remark which had reference in my Report to one Talook alone may not be misunderstood in any quarter as having been intended to apply to others as I understand it has been although this does not appear in the Board's proceedings I beg to state that the remark that Mr Phillips had to make the Settlement with great rapidity in para 17 has there sole reference to the Chagulmurry Talook and I wrote under the impression that there were urgent reasons which did not exist at a later period for its

its Settlement being carried through so rapidly.

Kurnool,
Govt. Agents Office,
8th July, 1851.

/Signed/ T. D. Lushington
Agent to the Governor -

Order thereon.

22.23

Ordered to be recorded. The Board will notice the above letter if necessary on the receipt of a further communication from the Agent on the outstanding balances. -

---

Read the following letters.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

24

Bd. No. 1628

From the Collr of Salem & Late Agent at Kurnool

Sir,

May 1st 1851.

Adverting to para 11 of my letter to your address dated 9th Ultimo regarding certain observations recorded by the Board in their review of the Kurnool Summahundy Report for Fusly 1259 I have the honor to explain that when I wrote that para I was under the impression that I had entered the Chagulmurray Talook via Sirvail, Alamoor and Cudiah Chagulmurray, and that I had quitted it by the high road which

which leaves Alamoor to the right.

2. It has however been brought to my recollection in a casual conversation this morning that owing to the unexpected breaking out of cholera on the latter road I changed my route and left the Talook also via Alamoor keeping between Sirvail and the Nulla-mulla Hills and that therefore neither on my way to nor from Chagulmurray did I visit that portion of the Talook which borders the direct high road from Sirvail to Cudiah Chagulmurray as may be inferred from the para above noted as it stands.

Salem District,
Office,
11th July, 1851.

/Signed/ A. D. Phillips
Collector of Salem & Late
agent to the Govr of
Fort St. George at Kurnool.

Order thereon

24.25

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 104

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

26

Bd. No. 1757

From the Collr of Salem

Sir,

Adverting to the authority
Cro 5th June 1851 of your Board for the sale on the 11th instant
of

of certain lands in Talook Salem I have the honor to report that the holdings attached for the arrears noted Marginally were redeemed previous to the day of sale and that as no biddings were made for the other on which Rupees 4-8-8 are due it has reverted to Government at the sale upset price one Rupee.

Bd 8-3-11
Rs 30-14-7

Salem District,
Sfoor Collrs Cutcherry
23d July, 1857.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Bd No. 1534
Proceedings thereon.

26.27

The Board of Revenue confirm the sale of the piece of land purchased on account of Government referred to in the fore going letter.

Read the following letters.

No. 51

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

28

Bd No. 1702
From the Collr of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge Extract from Proceedings of Board of Revenue dated 27th March last calling for information respecting the exemption of lands

lands from a charge for "Kusser" in some instances and desiring me to express my opinion on the subject.

2. From fasly 1231. when the Ryotwary system came into operation until 1236 the Kusser rules appear to have been imperfectly defined. the Hookumnamahs merely mention that kusser was to be charged for water taken from tanks &ca and it does not distinctly appear how the charge was practically regulated in the Settlement.

In 1836 a new Hookumnamah was published and in accordance with its enactments Kussur was charged on all Soonjah land cultivated with Punjah produce from whatever source the supply of water was drawn. This practice was continued until 1245 when it was modified by the Principal Collector Mr. Dent who issued orders to the Tahsildars directing that half Kussur only should be charged in the following 5 instances.

Dated 18th May 1836

1st When the water used was taken from Jungle and hill streams.

2d Ditto from Odays or natural gullies and pools.

When

3d When the water used was taken from surplus or discharge Channels when the water was running to waste.

4th Upon lands cultivated in the bed of rivers.

5th Ditto in the beds of tanks.

3 This arrangement remained in force till Fusly 1251 when on the recommendation of Commissioner Mr Dent Kistnah was entirely remitted in the above instances. The subject wards alluded to in the correspondence noted in the Margin and the exemption appears to have been allowed on the ground that Government incurred no expence in supplying water in these instances.

B. of Rev. dated 28th Feby 1842 para 4 } 3 D. 20th Jany 1844 para 20 From D. 24th April 1845 To D. 30th Augt 1845 para 3 From D. 10th Jany 1850.

4 The concession has now been in force for 9 years and as its annulment would cause much dissatisfaction and the cultivators in this district labour under high taxation it appears to me advisable not to disturb the present system until the whole question of a revision of the assessment is

taken

taken into consideration. This appears also to have been the opinion of the Board of Revenue as shown by their proceedings of 10th January 1850.

South Arcot, Collrs Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 18th July, 1851.
B. No. 1537.
Proceedings thereon.
(28.29)

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector.

Under the circumstances referred to in the concluding para of the Collectors letter the Board will not disturb the present arrangement for the regulation of Kistnah.

No. 55

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(30)

B. No. 1807
From the Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Boards Proceedings of the 12th Ultimo enclosing Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated the 27th May last and requiring my opinion as to the advisability of legislation to prevent the extension of

If

of small pox in India by prohibiting the practice of inoculation.-

2 I do not find that any general practice of inoculation exists among the native community in this part of our territories, but it has come under my knowledge that dishonest Government Vaccinators and parties who falsely assume that character do occasionally save themselves trouble by inoculating instead of Vaccinating. This not only tends in ignorant hands to spread the disease but as the highly disadvantageous result of prepossessing the minds of the Native Community against vaccination.

3. At present the law does not reach such offenders and it seems to me desirable on account of the public health and safety that parties practising inoculation should be declared liable to punishment for a misdemeanour.

South Arcot,
Collr's Cutcherry;
Cuddalore,
30th July, 1851.

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector.

Order thereon

30.31

Ordered to lie over.

Read

Read the following letters

No. 49.

To W.H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

32

Sir,

Br. No. 1761.
From the Asst Collr of Tanjore - - - - -

I have the honor to inform you that as the List for June is to be formed after the completion of the Summabundy accounts for the past Fusly the transmission of the Statement of the Demand Collection and Balance for that Month will be delayed a few days later than the prescribed time referred to, in the Board's Circular letter of the 3d November 1828, every exertion is however making to expedite the early transmission of the accounts in question.-

(Signed) T.W. Goodwyn
Asst Collector

32.33

Tanjore, Myaveram, 25th July 1851 - - - -
Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letters.-

No. 114

34

Br. No. 1244.
From the Collr of Tinnevelly - - - -

To W.H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

Para 1

With reference to Extract from the

Fort St George 4th August 1851

the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th Instant I have the honor to forward Copy of my communication addressed to Dr Wight on the subject of the Board's Proceedings of 23rd December 1850.-

2- I should have proposed to submit this copy before but understood from Board's Proceedings of the 18th February 1850- that it would not be considered necessary-

I am etc
26th May 1851.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector

No 1.

To Surgeon R. Wight M. D.
Superintendent Cotton Farms
Coimbatore

Sir

Para 1- I have the honor to submit- for communication to Government the following report upon the points connected with Cotton Cultivation in this District required in Minutes of Consultation under dates 14th and 17th December 1850.-

2 The Cotton seed supplied by Captain Lawford last year at my request was obtained for and at the request of Mr David Lees who you are aware has been

Fort St George 4th August 1851

been for something more than a year past occupied in trying experiments in the Culture of American Cotton on the sandy tracts situated along the Coast of this District- Mr Lees informs me that only a portion of the seed supplied by Captain Lawford has yet been put down and none of it under his own immediate Superintendance- The accompanying copy of a Memorandum by Mr Lees will show all that can at present be stated regarding the appearance and promise of the plants reared from this seed.-

3 I should remark that Mr Lees does not in general water his Cotton plants- There are Wells in some of the enclosures he has made but Mr Lees does not consider that the plants which have been watered thrive better than the others.-

4- The reports made to you direct by Mr Cuxton will have made you aware that the Government Farm- experiments in this District are on a very small scale- I have lately visited Sevacasey and

and seen the fields held by Mr Caxton. The plants of the sowing of 1849 were strong and healthy and seemed to produce as abundantly as the plants of country cotton by which they are surrounded. The young plants of 1850 were also growing well and beginning to yield produce. I enclose a few specimens of each and copy of an opinion of the Cotton given at my request by Mr D; Lees. With the above I enclose also a few specimens of the produce of Mr Lees's plants grown on the Coast and which he considers to be very Superior in quality to that grown on the black Soil of Sevacausey. The seed from which this specimen of Mr Lees's Cotton was raised was brought by that Gentleman with him from England.

5 The produce of the seed received from you is I understand rated by Mr Lees as equal in general to that of the seed brought with him from England and he informs me that the seed out of the pods grown here from both lots is much

finer

finer and larger than what the plants grew from whence he argues the suitableness of this soil and climate for American Cotton cultivation. And I may add that Mr Lees informed me he had just picked in 6 Weeks from a small enclosure near the sea side not nearly an acre in extent at the rate of from 500 lbs to 600 lbs of seed Cotton per acre of very good quality.

Mr David Lees satisfied

6 with the success of his experiments is leaving a young relation of his own to continue them on his account and will return to England from Point de Galle by the Steamer of next Month.

Every opportunity has been

7 taken to make known to the native community that the Government Cotton Gins at Sevacausey may be made use of by them without charge and I shall take care at convenient time to cause the same information to be proclaimed in the hearing of the assembled Royots in every Talook.

I am very truly Yr obedt Servt
24th February 1851.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector

of

Fort St George 4th August

No 2

A. List of Natives who are cultivating the Mexican Cotton from the seed sent by Captain Lawford 1850.-

Near Trichendoor-

A Bramin called Kortycay Mootyen of Trichendoor.-

55451 Square feet of land sown in November 1850 in rows 3 feet apart and 1 foot from plant to plant. 6 seeds in each hole, covered 3 inches deep- On the 6th January resowed some of the land as the bullocks eat away many of the plants, the rats eat some of the seeds before they came up- The plants left by the bullocks and rats on the 10th January looked very healthy and were about 4 inches high-

At Rany proorum.

The son of the Number Curnum sowed 39,601 square feet of land about the beginning of December 1850- December 13th the plants were 2 and 3 inches high.-

February 11th The plants looked well. were 8 inches high and in flower- The field was not full of plants- The rats had eaten the seeds before they sprung up.-

The

Fort St George 4th August 1851

The Oilmonger sowed 12375 square feet of land, about the beginning of December 1850- December 13th the plants were 2 and 3 inches high- February 11th The plants looked very well. some plants were then one foot high with numbers of flowers and unripe pod upon them- The average height of the plants were 6 inches.-

At Rany proorum.

A Native has sown say Near to Arm-o-ganary.- $\frac{1}{4}$ chain- He sowed one chain but $\frac{3}{4}$ of it with Coimbatore grown seed- not seen this field since a day or two after sowing-

A head Shanar (sent by Mr Hobbs of Sathancolum) lives at Vejaramproorum

Was to have sown a chain but when the Writer was there on the 20th February 1851. the Mans son said (the man himself was ill and away) that his father had not sown as there was no rain.-

The seed was given him late in December 1850.-

The

Fort St George 4th August 1851.

The rest of the seed is in bags in one of the rooms of your bungalow Trechendoor February 22nd 1851.

(True Copy)

(Signed) C J Bird
Acting Collector

Extract from a letter from Mr Lees dated 29th January 1851.-

I shall not be able to send you a full account of the fields sown with Captain Lawford's Cotton seed until I have seen them all as far as I can judge the Seven cassey grown Cottons of 1849 and 1850 are equal to each other in quality.-

They are not quite equal in strength nor so long in staple as the "Midling" quality of New Orleans, which quality sold in Liverpool in 1848 for 3½d per lb and was selling on the 5th of October 1850 for 8d per lb. They are however more silky and finer in the staple and of a better Colour than the above quality of New Orleans Cotton and being so they would most likely rank with it.-

The

Fort St George 4th August 1851.

The Cotton in the pods now being gathered at Trechendoor is of a very superior quality and speaks well for the sand Coast land as compared with the black Cotton Soil. I will send you what has most recently been gathered and you may take as many pods as you chose.-

(True Extract)
(Signed) C.J. Bird
Acting Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) C.J. Bird
Collector

No 45

To W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

35

Sir,

In conformity to the instructions contained in Extract Boards Proceedings of 23rd December 1850. I have the honor to report that the 900 lbs American Cotton supplied by Captain Lawford were distributed as per Marginal Statement to ten Talooks of this District, the greater part being sent to Munnargoody

B2 No 1718
From the Actg Collr of Tanjore

Reporting upon the distribution of the American Cotton seed furnished by Captain Lawford and the result of which follows its cultivation.

Talooks contained in	lbs
Trivady	70
Combaconam	51¾
Myaveram	3
Tiruvalore	64½
Kumbaconum	39
Tirutraipondy	61
Munnargoody	20½
Nultroottah	25
Combaconam	6½
Myaveram	5¾
Shealy	
	lbs 900

Fort St George 4th August 1851

owing to that Tahsildar's having shown great interest in the Cultivation and its being a part well suited to the growth of Cotton.

2. The seed was sown on dry ground (Poonjah) and there irrigated by water from wells and Tanks. The results I regret to state are unsatisfactory. In many cases the seeds did not germinate, and where they did the plants were unhealthy and soon withered. The plants that reached maturity did not yield well and the experiment is a failure.

3 The soil of Tanjore is generally considered as unfavorable to the growth of native Cotton and this added to the Ryots well known dislike to the introduction of new methods of Cultivation and new seed, may have induced them to give the experiment a less fair trial than it deserved.

Tanjore
Myaveram
19th July 1851

(Signed) T. W. Goodwyn
Acting Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 4th August 1851

No 340.

Bd No 1543.
Proceedings thereon
(34-38)

In Cons 23d Dec 1850.

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to para 2 of Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 4th December 1850.

Read the following letters.

No 142

To W. H. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

Bd No 1646
From the Collr of Tinnevelly
Reporting on Petition No 907 of 1851 herewith returned.

I have the honor to submit the report required by the Endorsement upon Petition No 907 of 1851 herewith returned. Para 1.

2 The Board will observe that the Statements made in the Petition do not correspond with known events. The Tinnevelly District was not under the Carnatic Government 46 years ago and a deed of Grant dated in the year Fruthava corresponding with the year 1805 could not have been

been produced before Mr Lushington the first Collector of this District as he resigned the service and went to England in 1803.-

3. The date entered in the Telugu Cadjan Document relied upon by Petitioner and of which a correct copy is given in the Enclosure marked A of the Petitioner is in the year Salivahana Sekabdam 1609 corresponding with A.D. 1686 and if it be genuine which cannot now be ascertained it must have been given to a much earlier ancestors of Petitioner than his Grand father.-

Translation accompanies. Enclosure No 1.

4. Mr Lushingtons list alluded to in the Petition bears date 20th March 1802.- The enclosed Extract from it shows that in the Talooke of Codaganellore a ready money Mauniem of 20 Pons in Carr and the same in Peshanum and 1 Seed Cottah Suruva Maniem Nunjah land were enjoyed by Mookeya Pranachary who was Petitioner's Grand father under the head Bramadayum. There is no mention of any Grant or service but in a note in Mr Lushingtons hand writing it is stated "I declare that I have examined these

Enclosure No 2

these with the accounts and that they are correct."—

5 The accounts here alluded to are probably those kept in the Canongo Dufter and in a list of Maniums found in that Dufter for Fusly 1209 A.D. 1799 there is an Entry of the one in question which shows that Mookeya Pranachary above named had enjoyed from Fusly 1173 A.D. 1763 one Seed Cottah in Carr and the same in Peshanum in the Village of Sootoomully in Codgavellore Vaneethum (or Taloork) as also in Narasinganellore Village (which is near Sootoomully) a Maniem of 20 Pons for Carr and the same for Peshanum. There is still no mention of a written Grant or Service and the limit of Fusly 1173 A.D. 1763 does not corroborate the date contained in Petitioner's Cadjan.

6 When Mr Cotton's Register was prepared about 1815 (Vide Extract enclosed) this Maniem was entered in the name of Ramachary son of the above Mookeya Pranachary and is stated to be "for subsistence" and the authority "Manoal."

Enclosure No 3.

This

Enclosure No 4

This Manium is likewise found in Mr Young's Regester of Life Grants dated 1st August 1820 (Extract enclosed)

7 In 1825 several Maniums which were previously accounted for Life were declared hereditary by orders signed by the Assistant Collector Mr Hooper, regarding this subject a correspondence noted in the Margin subsequently took place and resulted in the Board requiring the whole of such Maniums that were not already extinct from failure of heirs &c to be again declared terminable with the life of the then incumbents. Among these the manium in question appears in No 25 of the list which accompanied Mr C.P. Thompson's letter of date 26th August 1840.

To Board	19th	May 1840
From Do	11th	June -
To - Do.	26th	August -
From Do -	17th	Septr -

8. A Life summud was prepared in the name of the Petitioner in 1843 for the Manium in pursuance of the Board's orders but he refused to accept it alleging that it was a Service Manium and hereditary and produced the document above mentioned. But as the arrangement had been made under

under the Board's orders the Collector declined to interfere without orders from the Board - From enquiries now made it appears that the service described in the above document and indicated also in the order declaring the Manium hereditary by the Assistant Collector in 1825 has been always and still is performed by the Petitioners' predecessor and himself.

9. Taking all these things into consideration my opinion is that Petitioner's document (Copy marked A enclosure of the Petition) is of no use to prove his case and I cannot free from suspicion that it is forgery. And although it is clear from the Canoogo Accounts and from Mr Lushington's list that the Manium in question was a Brummadayem manium and was held by Petitioner's Grand father from Fusly 1173 / 1763 A.D it does not follow that it should be regarded as hereditary for many others of like kind have been subsequently limited to the lives of the holders - As to the service performed by Petitioner and his forefathers it amounts to

nothing

nothing more than a great many Brahmins who enjoy no Enams customarily do when they go to the river to bathe and I see nothing to connect this Enam with the service.

10 The chief ground urged by Petitioner Vizt. the Purwanah being untenable it only remains for the Board to determine whether the fact of the Enam having been in the enjoyment of Petitioner's Grand father prior to 1792 is sufficient to make it right fully hereditary. If so many others will have to be recognized as hereditary and some that are already carried to Ayen should be restored.

Tinnevelly,
Tencausey,
9th July, 1851. (Signed) C. J. Bird
Collector.

No. 1

Translation of a Deed of Gift executed on a most propitious day, Saturday, Pooshyanut chatherem Peresthenamayogum Bauagarnum of Mahasoothapowmanarany — in Perapauanama sunnasarum

corresponding

corresponding with Saleevahana Sakab: thum 1609 by Maha Rajestry Renga: Kristna Moothooverappa Nainneraver: gul son of Chockanada Nainneravergul and Grand son of Theroomalay Nainnera: vergul discended from the race of Visvanada Nainneravergal of Tupas: thumba Sootherum and of Caseeyaba Gotherem to Mootteya perana charry Avergal son of Tarahachariaravergul and Grand son of Singamaisuara Na: raisimmachariar avergal of Mowthagolia Gotherum and of Musoovalayana Sootherum :

That is to say I hereby grant to you an annual Enam of Vellie 20 Pons for Carr and 20 Pons for Peshanum in Mailaculloor Village attached to Durmaseneum in the Tinnevelly District near Tambraporney belonging to the Southern Division of our Trichinopoly as also one seed Cottah of Carr and one seed Cottah of Peshanum land (out of lands which are under cultivation for both crops) as

Surranamium

Fort St. George 4th August 1851

Sarvamaniem in Soothamally Covilputh in the same District. As the above grants are made to you together with gold in view that you may read Pooranas daily near the Tambraporney River, you are to enjoy them happily from generation to generation during the exsistence of the Sun and Moon. This deed of grain and ready money manium was executed by Rengakrishna Moothoo Veerappa Nainiaruengal of his own accord and free will to Mookyprana Chary avergal.

(Signed) Stree Rama

The following is written in verse at the bottom of the deed

Among the 2 charities of granting and continuing a grant the latter is more charitable. Those who give a charity will obtain swurg, but those who continue the same will go to heaven or the Region of God. There is twice the charity in continuing a Grant as in making it. Those who discontinue

a

Fort St. George 4th August 1851.

a charity already granted indeed lose the charity resulting from their own gifts.

As the Earth is a gift of Braminst to the Rajahs, they should consider it as their sister, and consequently they should neither enjoy it nor take it from the hands of others.

True Translation)

(Signed) C. J. Bird

Collector

Extract

Fort St. George

No. 2

Lushington's

4th August 1857

Register in Tamil of Maniems dated 20th March 1802.

Serial No.	Name of Village	Name of Cowlpattom	Name of Grain	Net quantity after paying Pottam to Company according to Mamool.	Sale proceeds of the Net quantity.	Ready Money.	Total Ready Money.	Total Carr Amount.
						m Pons.	m Pons	
G-6.	Carr	Cowlpattom						
			Seed Cottah.					
			Nunjah					
			Coolpattom					
			Seed Cottah.					
			Nunjah					
			Coolpattom					
			Seed Cottah.					
			Nunjah					
			Coolpattom					
	Carr					2W	3W	Rp.
						20	30	6¼

10416

Fort. St. George

No. 3

Extract from Mr Cotton's

| No. | Names of the Original Grantee. | Names of the Present Grantee. | Relation of the present Grantee to the original Grantee. | Name of the Village in which the Premises are Situated. | Age. | Feet. | Inches. | The Villages in which the Premises are Situated, | Hereditary | Not Hereditary. | with translation from i | | |

10418 Fort St. George 4th August 1857

Bd N: 1538.
Proceedings thereon

37.38

The Enam in question seems originally to have been considered as one of those upon Life-Sunnuds, to which the rule, prescribed in the letter from Government 7th February 1820, applied, namely that such tenures are resumable on lapse, those cases only being reported for orders which seem entitled to special consideration. It would also appear to be one of Mr Hooper's unauthorized grants in perpetuity, which were however declared by Government to be terminable with the life of the present holders.

2. The original Telogoo Cadjan, on which the Petitioner rests his title to the Registry of the Enam as a perpetual grant, has been inspected by the Collector, who views it as a forgery, and the Board think that there are good grounds for looking upon it with suspicion and under these circumstances cannot comply with the prayer of Petitioner.

Ordered therefore that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition N: 907 of 1851

"The Enam referred to being a

10419 Fort St. George 4th August 1857.

a life grant, the Board of Revenue cannot comply with the prayer of the Petition".-

39.

To, C. J. Bird Esqre
Collector of Tinnevelly-

Bd N: 1847
From the Collector of Tinnevelly-

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to make the necessary application to Government to enable me to proceed to the Presidency, and from thence to Sea in accordance with the terms of the sick certificate herewith forwarded.

Tinnevelly. July 27th 1857

(Signed) J. Hunter Blair
Assistant Collector

No 1

J. H. Blair Esqre Assistant to

From Tinnevelly 28th April in Cons: 14th May 1849 and Board's Resolution thereon

to the Collector of Tinnevelly having applied to me for a certificate on which to ground an application for leave of absence, I do hereby certify from personal observation, that he is suffering from the effects of a severe attack of Intermittent Fever, which first shewed itself at the beginning of June, and that in consequence I consider a temporary removal necessary for the benefit of his health, and that he should be permitted to be absent from his duty for the space of Fifteen Months to proceed to Sea.

(Signed) R. Davidson
Physician General.

Palamcottah,
7th July, 1851. } (Signed) Fred. L. Clementson
Asst. Surgeon &
Zillah Surgeon Tinnevelly.

(Signed) G. Bretson
Supg Surgeon
I.D.

Tinnevelly
Tencandy
29th July 1851 } Recommended for compliance
(Signed) E. J. Bird
Collector

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor, for the favorable consideration of Government.

(3940)

DG

Read

Read the following letter.
No. 47.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(41)

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will again lay before the Board of Revenue the subject which I am directed by your predecessor's letter of the 5th March 1849 to take a future opportunity of bringing to the notice of the Board, viz. the division of the Talook of Buntwal into two separate talooks.

2. Mr Blane has already laid the case so very fully before the Board that I need add but little to what has been already stated. I may however remark that the necessity of the measure has been forced upon my attention in several different ways; by my finding when making the settlement of the talook that the establishment was inadequate to carry on the duty with efficiency, and that it was impossible that the Estates which do not pay the full assessment should be duly examined; by my finding that the service of Government is now only carried on at all by an infringement of the rule which has again been

B. No. 1658
From the Collr. of Canara
Proposes that Puttoor the division of the Peishcar of Buntwal may be raised into a Separate Talook and requests that Sanction may be obtained to that effect and submits a Statement shewing the increase and reduction to be effected in the establishment

+ Letter dated 30th January 1849 No. 4.

been brought to the notice of the Officers of Government by your Circular of the 3d March 1851 the pay of the Peishcar now employed being made up from the pay of three other officers besides his own. and by complaints against the underpaid subordinates of the Peishcar's Cutcherry to whom a degree of authority is now entrusted, for which they receive no adequate remuneration.

3 The measure appears to me to be a most necessary one and I fully agree with Mr Blane in believing that it will in fact entail no actual expense, the cost of the extra establishment being more than compensated by the additional revenue resulting from the increased efficiency with which the business of Government will be carried on.

4 To the establishment proposed by Mr Blane I now beg to recommend a slight increase. The Revenues of this district are chiefly administered through the Shanbogues or accountants. it is through them that the investigations are made upon which we are annually bringing up the assessment upon the Hambunts estates / those paying less than the assessment / In this extensive tract of wild country there are only 17 Shanbogues several being in charge of divisions which it is impossible that they should duly examine, and this is a constant and unanswerable excuse

excuse when the information required at the settlement is not forthcoming - I therefore beg to propose an addition of five Shanbogues and four Ooganies (Village peons) at an expense of Rupees 44 per mensem or 528 per annum.

5 I also trust that the pay of the Buntwal Tahsildar will not be decreased as it is now only equal to that of the Tahsildar of Cundapore, and after the Pootoor taluk is separated he will still be responsible for a revenue of Rupees 3,34,071-

6 The total increase which I beg to recommend is therefore Rupees 204 per month or Rupees 2448 per annum as shown in the annexed Statement.

	Rs
5 Shanbogues at 6 Rs each	30
4 Ooganies at 2½	10
Addn to 8 do at 4 as endn	4
	44

	Rs
Ayan	335,665
Devasthanam	15,488
	351,153

	Rs
Ayan	326,942
Devasthanam	7,129
	334,071

Collectors Cutcherry
Mangalore.
10th July 1851

/Signed/ J. H. Maltby
Collector

Statement

10424 George

Statement showing Talookdary Net

Receipts and Deficit

Pooloot Division	a	o	Rs	a	o
Fusly 1257	3	6	"	"	"
1258	2	1	"	"	"
1259	12	1	"	"	"
1260			"	"	"

Contract from tions

Department of Temporary

Government Increased

India per Month

No.	Dist.	Amount

9

4th August 1851

at Poolpot in the Buntwal Talook.

Total Revenue of the Buntwal Talook.

	Buntwal Division			Poolpot Division			Total		
	Rs	a	o	Rs	a	o	Rs	a	o
Fusly 1257	337,133	4	7	110,978	3	6	448,111	8	1
1258	329,538	"	6	109,851	10	1	439,389	10	7
1259	326,942	"	5	112,421	12	1	439,363	12	6
1260 Estimated	333,991	"	"	113,873	"	"	447,864	"	"

Decrease per Month		Casual or Extraordinary	Grounds of Proposition	Local Government recommendation	order of the Government of India	
Cowries	Amount				Home Department Remarks	Financial Department Remarks Orders
10	11	12	13	14	15	16 17

The insufficiency of the present Establishment for the Superintendence of so large a tract of country and for the due management of so large a Revenue Vide letter of the 10th July 1851.

/Signed/ T. N. Maltby
Collector

Ordered

10425. Fort St George 4th August 1851.

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous Correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

No. 339.

41.42

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

B. No. 1542. To the Chief Secy to Government.

Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Canara, relative to a proposal for erecting the Pootoor division of the Buntwal Talook in that District into a separate Talookdary and recommending the proposition for the favorable consideration of Government.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying papers having reference to the proposition for erecting the Pootoor division of the Buntwal Talook in the Canara District into a separate Huzzildary.

From Coll. 30th January in Cons. 5th March 1849.
To Coll. 5th March — " —
From Coll. 10th July — 1851.

2. The subject was first brought to the notice of the Board in the Collectors Settlement Report for Fasly 1256 and Mr Blane, a year after wards, in submitting agreeably to the wishes of the Board, a separate report, on the Expediency of the measure stated that his further experience, after conducting the settlement of the Buntwal Talook in

Page 525

10426 Fort St George 4th August 1851.

in person, had confirmed his opinion as to the necessity, for providing for the more efficient management of this portion of his district.

The circumstances that led to the proposal of a new territorial division of the Buntwal Talook were the large extent of country which had to be superintended and the small establishment employed for the Revenue and Police management of the Pootoor division.

From Coll. 23d August in Cons. 8th September 1834

In 1834 the Talook of Buntwal first received an accession of territory consisting of the two talooks of Pootoor and amara Sodia in lower Coorg. It was even before this of a size very unmanageable containing 929 square miles, with a population of 182,092 souls, and yielding a Revenue of Rupees 3,05,602- per Annum.

The Pootoor division which was then attached to it was itself larger in extent than many of the other talooks, having a greater number

* See Statement appended to para 2 of late Collectors letter of the 30th January 1849.

number of Villages and Estates than any of them, and yielded a Revenue little short of two of them.*

6. The Country moreover was found to be unhealthy and it was difficult to get any native of respectability to take up the Peishcarship on the small remuneration it afforded with the onerous and responsible duties connected with the charge.

7 On these grounds the late Collector was clearly of opinion that the Poolool division should be formed into a separate Shasildarry which it was thought might be effected at an additional outlay of Rupees 15 per mensem, and that this augmentation in expense would be made good by the improved management of this part of the Country.

8. At the period when Mr Blane's report was received at this office, the Board felt themselves precluded from submitting to Government any propositions involving additional

* The Revenue of the two Talooks will be
Buttuwaid ——— 32,694
Poolool ——— 11,242

additional expense which was not of pressing necessity but as the question has again been urged on their attention by Mr Maltby and coinciding in the desirableness of forming the separate Shasildary as they think that the necessity for the measure has been fully demonstrated they would beg to recommend it to the favorable consideration of Government.

9. It is only necessary to state in conclusion that Mr Maltby has made a slight alteration in the recommendation of his predecessor by suggesting

Para 4 an addition of Rupees 44 per Mensem to the sum at first proposed, a measure which is deemed necessary for the purpose of entertaining a larger number of Shanbogues and Village peons through whom the Revenues of the district are chiefly administered. The total additional charge proposed thus to be incurred amounts to Rupees 204 per Mensem or 2448 Rupees per annum which is shewn in the Statement submitted

submitted by the Collector according to the prescribed form and under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board trust that the proposed division of the Buntwail Talook and the increase in the charges of the district will receive the support of Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
4th August, 1857.

/Signed/ W. H Bayley
Secretary.

Read the following Memorandum.

43

Bd. No. 1772

From the Collector of Madras.

No. 72.

Official Memorandum.

The Collector of Madras has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 24th instant and to transmit herewith the necessary blank printed form of a Toddy Moochilca.

Madras,
28th July 1851.

/Signed/ D. A. Anderson
Collector

Order thereon.

43.44

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To,
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir.

45

Bd. No. 1714

From the Civil Auditor. With reference to the annexed Copies of my Memorandum to the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department dated 1st and his reply thereto dated 11th July 1851 I have the honor to request that

Fort St. George 4th August 1857

that the Board of Revenue will be good enough to obtain for me the special sanction of Government for the contingent charges therein alluded to.

Fort St. George,
Civil Auditor's Office,
22nd July, 1857.

/Signed/ A. J. Bruce
Civil Auditor.

Official Memorandum:

The Contingent Charges incurred by Collectors on account of the Superintendent of Stamps in Salines from 1256 to 1259 both inclusive entered in the latter Officers' Statement No. 3 which accompanied his annual report to the

Fort St. George 4th August 1857

Stamp Revenue for those Fasleis, not having been specifically sanctioned by Government in the orders passed on those reports the Civil Auditor has the honor to request that the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department will have the goodness to obtain the special sanction of Government for those charges to enable him to admit them on audit.

Fort St. George,
Civil Auditor's Office,
1st July, 1857.

/Signed/ A. J. Bruce
Civil Auditor.

Official Memorandum

In reply to the Memorandum of the 1st July 1857 the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department has the honor to inform the Civil Auditor that the special sanction of Government for the Contingent charges incurred in the Stamp Department from Fasleis 1256 to 1259 will be obtained on an application to that effect being made through the Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George,
11th July, 1857.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ A. J. Bruce
Civil Auditor

Ordered

Order thereon -

48.46

Bd. No. 1530

To the Civil Auditor.

In Cons. 4th Augt. 1851.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Civil Auditor

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d July 1851 requesting that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the contingent charges incurred by Collectors on account of the Superintendent of Stamps from Fuglies 1256 to 1259.

2. In reply I am instructed to request that you will furnish the Board with an abstract Memorandum of the charges for which sanction is now required to enable them to submit it for the orders of Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort S. George, 4th August, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary

47

Bd. No. 1539

To the Collr of Cuddapah

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To, The Collector of Cuddapah -

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement on Petition 420 of 1851 reporting the dismissal of Petitioner from Office for absenting himself

himself beyond the period of leave permitted him the Board think that a fine would have been preferable to the severe measure resorted to and desire the restoration of Petitioner to Office.

Revenue Board Office, Fort S. George, 4th August, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 420 of 1851.

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector under the instructions of the Board dated 4th August 1851."

48

Bd. No. 1541

To the Agent at Kurnool.

To, the Agent at Kurnool.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to expedite the dispatch of the Quarterly Lists of Unanswered Letters to 30th June 1851.

Revenue Board Office, Fort S. George, 4th August, 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary.

49

No. 1733

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

Read the undermentioned Statements. - Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Quarter Ending

50
Fr. 1756 Ending 31st March last.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot ..... } Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the

51
Fr. 1693 Quarter Ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly } Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the Quarter

49-52 Ending 30th June last.

Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements ... } Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

53
Fr. 1810. Read the undermentioned lists.

From the Collector of South Arcot ... } List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to

54
Fr. 1811 30th June last.

From the Collector of South Arcot ... } List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered

53-58 up to 30th June last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned lists. Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

56
Fr. 1804 Read the undermentioned registers.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Register of Endorsements passed by him

57
Fr. 1808 on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Madras. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of July last.

56-58 Order on the 2 abovementioned registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned

59
My. 879 Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Collector of Cuddapah. Stating that certain returns were not forwarded for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.

60
My. 904 Return of fines imposed and stoppages from the pay of Public servants for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

61
My. 905 Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

62
My. 903 Return of Public servants suspended and dismissed for the Quarter Ending 30th June last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.

63
My. 895 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Ganjam.

64
My. 896 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

65
My. 906 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

66
My. 893 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

From the Agent at Karnool.

67
My. 898 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Malabar.

68
My. 897 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Statement

Fort St. George 4th August 1851.

M7901

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

69

70

M7894

From the Agent at Kurnool. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

71

M7899

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.

72

M7902.

From the Agent at Kurnool. Acknowledging the receipt of 40 printed forms of Bills drawn on the Accountant General.—

" Woodingham

Compd. & N. Bills

" Blane

"

Fort St. George 4th August 1851.

Diary.

Received the following letter.

6th Augt.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Court of Wards

Fort St. Georges.

1

Sir

Bl. No. 1864

From Messrs Dale & Boyson Solicitors Madras

We the reference to your letter to our address under date the 22d May last we do ourselves the honor of annexing for your information copy of a Petition presented to the Court of Sudder Adawlut by the Appellants for the admission of further evidence.—

Madras 5th August 1851.

[Signed] Dale and Boyson

Solicitors Madras.

To

The Judges of the Sudr Udalut Court.—

The respectful Petition of Boot-kla Nauchiar, Kota Nauchiar and Katama alias Colunthar-soory Nauchiar Step daughters of the late Rama Iyengaroo Nauchia Appellants in Original Suit No 7 of 1849 by their Joint Vakeels James Cochrane Esqre and T. Ramachundriah.—

Sheweth.—

That your Petitioners have only just been

10439

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

been given to understand and have in conse-
quence been unable to bring earlier to the
notice of this Honorable Court, that there is
certain correspondence in the Office of the Collector
of Madura, which may be of value in throwing
a light upon the merits of the present Suit.

The correspondence occurred at
the time of the Sub Division of the Shevagunga
Zemindary in 1818, when this Act was opposed
by one of the wives of the Zemindars named
Valoo Nauchiar who gave a Vakalutnamah
to Mootoo Vadooghia Taver / Grandfather of the
present Minor Zemindar / to represent her in
the matter. Mootoovadooghia Taver acted upon the
Vakalutnamah addressing the authorities on the
subject of the Sub Division, and in his
communications it is understood that im-
portant admissions are made.

Your Petitioners would therefore
pray for orders to have the papers in question
produced and if judged proper filed in this suit.

And Your Petitioners as in duty bound
will ever humbly pray.

Signed J. Chatterton Lony.
Pleader.
" T. Ramachundriah
Pleader.

July 31st 1851

Br. No. 1540
Order thereon
1-2

A true Copy
Signed Dalrymple and Boyson
Ordered that the foregoing papers be
communicated to the Collectors of Madura for
his information.

A

10440

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 7th August 1851

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member
Absent on duty - As Commissioner
Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting
read and approved.

3

Read the following Extract.

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Extract from a letter from the Honble the Court of Directors dated 14th May No. 8 of 1851.

Letter 12th September / No. 22 / 1848.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th July 1851.

Extract of a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 14th May 1851 No. 18 with certain observations of Government thereon.

/ 2 to 12 also para 14 to 17 of letter No. 19 of 1849 /

Proceedings connected with the Establishment of the Horticultural Garden at Ootacamund. Transmission of 10 Copies of the Report for 1848.

Para 7. We agree with you in regarding this institution as highly deserving of encouragement both on public and private grounds, and as there appears to be danger that it might fall for want of

1. Ordered that these paras be communicated to the Board of Revenue for reference to the resolutions of Government dated 31st July 1849 No. 599 with

which now appear in Mr.
Mc. Ivor's report are due
to operations which belong
to the commencement of
the undertaking alone, and
under these circumstances
a Gardener being provided
by Government we anticipate
that this Garden will before
long be in a condition to
defray its remaining
expenses.—

9. We observe that a
portion of the ground occu-
pied by the Garden is the
property of a private indi-
vidual and that your
Government consented
to purchase it for the
purposes of the Garden at a
price considered sufficient
by the Board of Revenue—
The owner (the widow of the
late possessor) refusing to
accept the proposed terms
the negociations was broken
off and the Committee continues
to hold the ground under a rent
free

of funds without some with authority to purchase
assistance from you; we the portion of
approve, for the present, of the public
the grant of Rs. 100 per Mensem Garden at
which, pending our sanction Cotacamund
you assigned for its support. belonging to
8 As bearing upon the Mr Ryan
future prospects of the aborate
Institution it is gratifying not exceeding
to observe that since the Rupees 75/
garden has been fairly Seventy five
established the contributions per Caurney
of the public have also to the
increased much more rapidly Committee of
than before, and appears the Cotacamund
to be the expectation of the Horticultural
Committee that besides Gardens, who
this source of income, will call on
much profit will Mr Mc Ivor
hereafter be realized — to submit the
from the sale of the plants Annual Reports
and seeds raised in the of his proceedings
garden. A diminution in for 1849 and
the expenditure may also 1850 as required
be expected as a larger by the Order
proportion of the charges of Government
which dated 8th March
1848 No 247

free grant made by the late proprietor for a period of twenty years, at the end of which time it will revert to his heirs or their assigns. It is intended, we observe, that no buildings shall be erected on the ground in question but if it is brought into cultivation and has money expended on it, it will be very unsatisfactory if it be ultimately severed from the Garden. We think therefore that you will do well if you are able now to obtain a permanent possession of the land, even at a price which may appear somewhat beyond its present value.

15 We observe with regret that the number of competitors for those prizes has continued very limited and that in the three years under notice the same small proportions of Native Cultivators obtained prizes as we have remarked on former occasions

30 and 31 Also Letter No. 1 of 1849 para 10. No. 11 of 1849 p. 7 No. 20 of 1849 p. 18 No. 22 of 1850 p. 21 & 29. Distribution of prizes for agriculture produce and for Cattle and in 1848, 1849 and 1850.

remarks

2 Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue who will give every attention to the Honorable Courts remarks and submit the Report and Returns called for in Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 334 dated 23rd April 1850.

occasions. So little interest was shown in the production of improved specimens of Cattle that you have decided that no more prizes on this account shall be offered, and with regard to the system generally, you were so much dissatisfied with the results, that you have called for the opinion of the Board of Revenue as to the expediency of continuing it and as to the alterations in the terms which in the event of its being maintained, might be introduced with the object of inducing Native cultivators to enter into the competitions.

16. We approve this reference and shall await with interest the answer of the Board. There seems as far as we can judge, to have been an improvement in the quantity of the articles for which

which prizes have been awarded but it will be for you to determine whether such improvement has been in proportion to the expense incurred and whether by some modification of the System the main object of stimulating the Natives to attempt the improvement of their produce is likely to be attained.

17. It is to be regretted that it was found necessary to relinquish the production of Silk on the Neilgherries in consequence of the opposition attending it. The last specimens were pronounced to be of very superior quality and no doubt seems to be entertained that Silk of the finest description might be manufactured within your Presidency. We are informed in answer to our enquiries, that

that the "cultivation of "New Orleans Cotton "has not spread among "the Native Ryots in the "Coimbatore District." The absence of a certain market is the reason given for this, and Mr. Wroughton states that the presence of European or intelligent "Native "Agents, ready to buy up "the Cotton at a fair "price will alone naturally "and certainly ensure the "production" of this species which is not suitable for the native manufacturers.

23. We approve the sanction given by you to the estimate amounting to Rupees 4612-9- "for building a Dispensary at Tinnevelly. With reference however to the remark at the close of paragraph 17 of our

The Board of Revenue, to whom para 23 will be communicated, will bear in mind the views of the Honorable Court therein expressed.

our Despatch No. 3 of
1850 dated 13th February
we may observe that
under the Agra
Government Circular
more practice for the
inhabitants of a place
where a Government
Dispensary is about
to be established there
provide the requisite
building.—

To

/True Extract/

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.—

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.
Chief Secretary.—

3-4

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent
again in Circulation.—

No. 1799.
From the Secretary to Government
Dated 29th July 1851.

Read Extract concurring
with the Commissioner, Northern Circars
that a moiety of the Pension of Rupees 12-
8 per Mensem, must be considered to have
lapsed by the death of the widow of Jiga-
nauth Burjee of the District of Ganjam,
and that the other moiety should be continued
to Seetaram Burjee.—

5

5-6

Ordered to be recorded.—

Order thereon.

Read the following Extracts.—

No. 756.
B. No. 1783.
From the Sec. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
in the Revenue Department under date the 29th July 1851.—

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

/Here enter 14th July 1851 No. 291./

Adverting to the circumstances under
which compensation was authorized to be
given to the original incumbent Sudimoolum,
as explained in the correspondence noted in
the Margin, the Right Honorable the Governor
in Council is pleased to sanction the payments
made by the Collector of South Arcot in Fusleas
1257 and 1268 to Casavaven the son of the
individual, at the rate of Rupees
/4. 14. 9/ Four Annas Fourteen and
Five

From Board of Revenue
20th November 1828
No. 533.

To Bd of Revenue
28th November 1828
No. 823.—

Pice Nine per annum and the continuance of this allowance from July 1859 to Allamaloo the mother of the last named party - But he does not consider that the payment of compensation should extend beyond the family of the Ryot who gave up the land, and begs desires that the Board of Revenue will bear this in mind on the death of the present incumbent.

/A True Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

7-8

Order thereon —

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 11th June 1851 No 747.

In Cons 14th July 1851.

Bd. No 1786

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 29th July 1851.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue —

/Here enter 10th July 1851. No 787./

The Board of Revenue are requested to state whether the dismissed Curnum Palamalay Pillay referred to in the above letter had any hereditary title to the Office and whether the Memorialists Palamalay Pillay and Moorooga Pillay have any - If the dismissed

dismissed Curnum was not a hereditary Some explanation should be afforded of the reasons for which the Memorialists were removed in favor of his son Manicum Pillay.

/A True Extract/

/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Bd. No 1557.

Order thereon.

9-10

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot, with a request that he will furnish the information now called for by Government.

No 744

11

Bd. No 1781
From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 29th July 1851.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards.

/Here enter 26th June 1851. No 273/

The Settlement of the Sivaganga Zemindary in the District of Madura, exclusive of the Cuttanoor Division which was made over to its former Proprietor under a Decree of the Court of Sudr Adalut, annulled

amounted for Fusly 1259 to Rs. 364883 - and exhibited in comparison with the Basiz

Courts Proceedings Paray. of Fusly 1258 a decrease of Rupees 44,331 which occurred altogether under the receipts from Nunjah, for which as in Fusly 1258 the season was unfavorable owing to the failure of the Monsoon and insufficient supply from the Vigiay River - The outturn of the crops was also deficient, and prices affected by the surplus of former years, and a plentiful harvest in Tanjore were likewise lower.

2. Of the above demand the collections within the Fusly amounted to Rupees 137,372 and subsequently to the end of January 1851 Rupees 112,571 leaving a balance of Rupees 111,939 to be recovered by the sale of the produce -

3 Of the Demand of Government for Peishcush Rupees 262500 only Rupees 30,771 were recovered within the year and Rupees 103,109 subsequently to the end of January 1851 leaving a balance of Rs. 108,619 to be realized hereafter.

4. These results are not satisfactory but they seem attributable to the unsettled position of the Estate - It is trusted that the final decision of the Court of Sudr Udalut in reference to this Boundary will not be long delayed - So that if the present Minor should be confirmed in possession, measures may be taken for carrying into an improved system of settlement.

The

progress of the young Zemindar in his education is satisfactory.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/. True Extract /
/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Govt.

Board of Revenue. B. No 1849 Order thereon. 11.12

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura with reference to the Courts Proceedings dated 26th June 1851.

13

B. No 1798 From the Secy to Government -

No 465

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th July 1851.

Read the following. —

Extract from the Proceedings of the Honorable the President of the Council of India in Council in the Financial Department under date the 27th June 1851. No 1007. —

Read a communication from the Home Department. No 237 dated the 12th ultimo forwarding for consideration and orders a despatch from the Government of Fort St. George. No 236 dated the 17th April last. with enclosures and a Tabular Statement proposing that the salary of Mr Collett the Assistant Collector and Magistrate in the District of Malabar, be raised to that of a Head

10453

Fort St. George 7th. August 1851.

Head Assistant, Viz.t. Rupees 733-5-4 per Mensem, thereby causing an increase of expenditure to the extent of Rupees 208-5-4 per Mensem; and suggesting the appointment of a permanent special Assistant in Malabar.

Resolution.

Under the circumstances of the case as explained by the Government of Madras the President in Council is pleased to permit that the Salary of

Mr. Collett, Assistant Coll.r and Magistrate Malabar { Present Salary as Assistant 525- | Proposed Salary as Special Assistant 733-5-4 | Increase 208-5-4 }

Mr. Collett, Assistant Coll.r and Magistrate of Malabar shall be raised from Rs. 525 to Rs. 733-5-4 per Month, in consideration of his being retained in his present employment, but His Honor in Council does not consider it necessary yet a while to take into consideration the question of appointing a permanent special Assistant in the District of Malabar.

Order.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution be forwarded to the Home Department for communication to the Government of Fort St. George; and that the original papers be returned, copies being kept for record in this Office.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Doris.
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

No. 1400.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Government of Fort St. George, with reference to Mr. Secretary Pycroft's letter, No. 736, dated the 17th April last.

Fort William, Home Department, The 5th July 1851.

By Order of the Honorable the President in Council
/Signed/ H. R. Young.
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Ordered

10454

Fort St. George 7th. August 1851.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector and Magistrate of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 26th February 1851, and also to the Board of Revenue and the Offices of Account and Audit.

/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be recorded.

13.14

Order thereon.

15

No. 797.

B. No. 1865.

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 5th August 1851.

Read the following letter from the under Secretary to the Government of India.

/Here enter 26th June 1851. No. 388/

	Revenue Board	Commissioner Northern Circars	Collr. Malabar	Collr. Canara	Public Works Department	Sr. Mors.
Directions for Revenue Officers N. W. Provinces	5	1	"	"	14	
Statistics of Education N. W. P.	"	"	"	10	"	
Jenwick's Index to the Criminal Law, Bengal Presidency	1	1	14	"	4	

Resolved that the publications received with the foregoing letter be distributed in the manner indicated in the Margin.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Pycroft.
Secretary to Government.

15.16

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

10455 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

No. 1813.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

17

Read Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred for July 1261.—

Bd. No. 1358.

Resolution thereon.—

17.18

Resolved that the foregoing Estimate of Charges to be incurred for certain Articles required for the use of the Servants employed in the management of the Pittapore Talook, under the Court of Wards be returned to the Collector, with a request that he will submit it for the sanction of the Commissioner Northern Circars.—

Read the following letter.—

To

1560.

10456 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

No. 42.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

19

Bd. No. 1185.

From the Asst. Collr. of Cuddapah—

Reporting on the distribution of prizes for agricultural Industry—

Dist. Para 1.

With reference to the Circular letter received from your Office dated the 30th May 1850, forwarding Copy of Para 5 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23d April 1850. and requesting to report what has been done in this District and what results have followed the Sanction for the distribution by the Collectors of the Prizes for agricultural produce—I have the honor to state that nothing has hitherto been effected with regard to the distribution of prizes to the best cultivators of Indian produce, and there seems to have arisen a misunderstanding regarding the intentions of Government with regard to the articles brought for competition. It is believed that the best produce which receives the reward is to be appropriated by the Government on the reward being given and not again restored to the owner Until this misunderstanding be removed the Cultivators will

will be deterred from bringing their produce to competition, the Origin of this mistake seems to have arisen from the Statement made in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st July 1825, that "those products only which are to compete for the Money prizes being forwarded to the Presidency" As no provision is made for the disposal of the produce sent to Madras and the value being remitted to the owner they have come to the opinion that Government intended to appropriate the produce which has been successful in obtaining the reward.

2. I am inclined to believe that the distribution of small medals will not act as sufficient stimulants for the Cultivators to exert themselves in the production of better qualities of the different Indian products. I think that were liberal ready money prizes awarded for quantities of different produce at the Cuddah stations it would act as a greater stimulant to emulation than the distribution of medals in the different Talooks, because the successful competitors and Those who have failed would at once be able to dispose of

of their produce in the Bazar at its full and proper value, but at the same time prizes ought not to be bestowed upon small quantities or any quantity less than 1 Candy for Cotton Indigo and Sugar.

3 There are considerable objections to the Collector and his Assistants distributing the prizes in the different Talooks for the best Cotton &c. at the time of their completing the annual Settlement, because the Cotton at that time is not ready for picking and that of the former year has been disposed of, the returning to the different Talooks for the purpose of distributing Medals would be inconvenient and detrimental to the public service in the great loss of time which is more particularly required for the completion of the Settlements prior to the commencement of the New Fusly.

4. Should the Cultivators enter with spirit into the suggestions proposed by Government, it will no doubt tend greatly to the improvement of the different qualities of produce grown in the District. Were the competition considerable, which no doubt it would be in time, the different houses of Agency in Madras and the Native Merchants

Renewing

knowing that the considerable quantities of Cotton, Sugar and Indigo were likely to be brought for exhibition would be induced to send their Agents to purchase the different qualities of produce forwarded for exhibition as the articles thus sent would be of the best quality, and thus a regular fare might be established where the produce of the District might be easily disposed of to the great benefit of the Cultivators in general, the poorer Cultivators might be induced to bring even inferior qualities of produce in hopes of obtaining better prices from Strangers than they do from the Comities and Merchants in their own Villages who endeavour to reduce the price to the lowest possible scale.

5. The Number of Articles for competition for the District of Cuddapah are limited to three, Vizt. Country Cotton, Indigo and Sugar, the other Kinds of Cotton such as up land, Bourbon &c. for some reason not thoroughly ascertained have failed to yield remunerating crops to the Sowers. but there are other articles which might be grown for exportation, the Culture of

of which would be highly beneficial to the District, such as Flax for which a prize might be bestowed. the best quality of "Kowsoomboo" for dying wheat and Turmeric for exportation for these and other Species of seeds such as Coriander &c. rewards though small might be bestowed. As it appears to me that Government ought to encourage the growth of Articles fit for exportation as much as possible in order to keep up the prices of Rice, Cholum, and other Kinds of grain used for food, the prices of which have for some years very much declined. I would also recommend that prizes be distributed for the best Kinds of Cloths Punjums and others, which are exported to a considerable extent to Hyderabad, Kolloor, Calastry, Chittoor, and Madras, this might induce a better Species of article to be prepared

6. In such a District as Cuddapah the advantage of a yearly Collection of produce at one station would be highly advantageous were it to take place in some central portion of the District. No spot could be more favorable than the Cusbah station as it is nearly the centre of the District. From the Northern portion Indigo and Turmeric might be brought to the general depôt from the Western portion Cotton

to

to a considerable extent, Indigo Linseed, Oils of various Kinds Roccoombee a species of dye the seed of which used for Oil, wheat, Bengal gram, Coriander Seeds might be exhibited, from the Southern portion, Sugar and various other Kinds of Common grains might be brought together with hides, Horns &c. and thus a central mart be established for the disposal of the produce of the District either for Home or Foreign consumption. The hopes of establishing such a depot for the produce of the District where the Inhabitants of the Talooks in the neighbourhood could supply themselves with products not grown in their own, and where Foreign Agents might supply themselves with the produce they required for exportation may appear at first chimerical, but were the Inhabitants once to see the benefit of such a depot the advantage to themselves and to the District cannot be doubted. The distribution of prizes for the best agricultural produce at the Cuttack station at Cuddapah might serve as a commencement to this much to be wished for scheme 7— It will take some time to introduce any new system and break thro' the apathy which now prevails amongst all classes of the

the Cultivators. The only method is to shew them what is actually for their advantage; at present in the article of Indigo little care is taken in assorting the better qualities and drying it in a proper manner. It is dried speedily in the sun this throws the damp into the heart of the Cakes which makes them heavier and more brittle but deteriorates very much the quality of this article for exportation. The Natives looking to weight more than to quality. In like manner with Cotton, inferior qualities are mixed with the better and the latter is often mixed with seed in order to increase the weight, and thus the Merchants will only offer small prices for the dirty specimens sent to Madras. but were prizes distributed and a general depot Established where Agents from Mercantile houses may come to obtain their supplies, the best quality of Cotton would be speedily sold off, the inferior dirty, and mixed would be left behind, the Cultivators would thus practically be taught what was most advantageous for themselves, and in like manner Indigo of the better qualities will be speedily disposed of, and those who thro' carelessness have manufactured an inferior quality seeing that which is in the greatest demand would be induced to take more pains in its preparation finding it would yield a much better profit.

For

10465

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

40 years at the date of the Collector's Report.

The grant of this allowance was sanctioned
In Lrs 17th April 1815 in para 3 of Mr. Secretary Wills letter of the 7th April 1815 to the deceased Bheema Chetty.

The claims to the continuance of the Shotriem in Boddiapully and of the Bhuttwarty Enams in Tollamerpoor will be considered on receipt of the Statement promised by Mr. Forbes in para 6 of his letter above adverted to.

Read the following letter.

No. 69.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(23)

B. of R. No. 1709.
From the Coll. of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Paras 1. I have the honor to reply to your letter of the 27th February last.

2. At the time of the dismissal of the Petitioner in † No. 2418 of 1850, from the Office of Curnum of the Village of Nuvo Mamullah in the Koilgoontla Talook, there were two other Curnums in Office the value of whose enams was higher than the amount fixed by Colonel Munro and the acting Collector not considering it requisite to appoint a third Curnum, carried to the credit of Government the proceeds of the attached enam.

† Herewith returned.

3. The Bering of the Village is Rupees 3013-6-7 and three Curnums in Office would probably not have been more than the duties of the Village required.

Cuddapah Coll. Office
19th July 1851.
B. of R. No. 1559.

Signed H. Forbes
Collector.

Proceedings thereon. The Collector being of opinion that 3 Curnums are not more than the duties of the Village require, he will appoint a suitable person to the vacancy restoring the maniam which has been resumed.

(2.3.24)

2. If there be nothing against the character of the Petitioner himself and he be fit for the duty, the Board are of opinion that preference should be given to his claims.

Read the following Statement

Statement

10466

B. of R. No. 1757. ...ishadars in the

(25)

No. of Register Z

1

Remarks of the Board of Revenue.

9.

100

North. Ar...

Under.

10467. Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

B. of R. 1556.

No. 341.

Remarks thereon. Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the disbursement of the Arrears due to Syed Shah Ghoolam Hoossany from 12th April 1850 to 11th July 1851 or 1 year and 3 Months Amounting to Rupees (68-13-4) Sixty eight Annas thirteen and pice four and for the continuance from the latter date of the annual allowance of Rupees 55-1-1.

(25.26)

The Yeomiah in question appears to form part of Rs. 100 Mootaliguan to the family of Uchur Shaha in the Collectors List dated 21st December 1847 submitted to Government on the 10th February 1848.

Read

10468 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 94.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

Sir,

(27)

B. of W. 1657. From the Collector of Salem. Transmitting Copy of a retrenchment made by the civil Auditor amounting to Rupees 888-11-11 and under the explanation afforded requesting the Sanction of Government for the amount.

Mr. of Cons: 4th Feby. 1848 Para 3.

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit Copy of a retrenchment Amounting to Rupees 888-11-11 made by the Civil Auditor's Department in the Accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1257, for which, the circumstances stated below I beg to request that the Sanction of Government may be obtained.-

2. On the 10th February 1848 your Board communicated the Sanction of Government for the disbursement to the proprietors of the Senkerry droog Mootah of Rupees 1012-14-3- due as Giddagaval Collections, leaving a certain Amount payable to other parties "to be adjusted when they may advance their claims."-

3. Under the impression that the closing part of that order justified the arrangement the authorities here have

considered

considered themselves at liberty to disburse, without applying for separate Sanction, in addition to the abovementioned amount, the Sum of Rupees 364-5-11— due to the heirs of the former proprietors of the Mootah who held possession from Fusly 1217 to Fusly 1220 adverted to towards the close of paragraph 2 of your Board's letter to Government. They have likewise refunded to the respective proprietors of the Cottaverdumputty and Curmapoorum subdivisions, the amount of over collections Viz. Rupees 112-15-6 and Rupees 41-2-3. alluded to in paragraph 5 of the above communication from your Board, which sums form a total actual payment of Rupees 1,531-5-11.

Rs. 1012-14-3.

dated 17th Jan. 48.

Rs.	a.	P
364	5	11
1,012	14	3
112	15	6
41	2	3
1,531	5	11

4. In elucidation of the circumstances under which the disbursements referred to in the retrenchment of these audit Offices have risen to Rupees 1,901—10—2 these amount objected to by the Civil Auditor, it is necessary to

to explain that the accounts of this Office show that consequent on the receipt of the sanction of Government which, as before stated, was believed to extend to all the sums noticed in my predecessor's letters, it was thought to be a convenient arrangement to debit in the Salem Treasury Chittah and hold in deposit, the total amount of Giddagavel revenue realized from Fusslies 1217 to 1229 and Fusslies 1246 to 1255 (exclusive) of the years of Management, which consists of Rupees 1,537-4-3 and Rupees 364-5-11 due to the present and former proprietors of the Senkerry droog Mootah, and it was so done.—

dated 23rd June 1847. 29th Oct.

dated 17th March 1848. Rs. 1901-10-2.

From the former portion 5. was then assumed to have been recovered, the deficit of Peishcush on the Senkerry droog division for 10 years Viz. Rupees 574-6-4; out of this sum the over-collections of Rupees 112-15-6 and Rupees 41-2-3-

being

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.-

being deducted for subsequent payment to the parties entitled to receive the same, the remaining over-collection of Rupees 370-4-3 due on the Curnapoorum division after it reverted to Government was credited in the Treasury Chitta of the same date. The two Sums Rupees 112-15-6 and Rupees 41-2-3- have since been paid to the parties entitled to receive them.-

6. It is this disbursements of Rupees 888-11-11 in excess of the amount actually Sanctioned by Government which has attracted the retrenchment in question. The excess consists of the items noted Marginally but it will be observed that as your Board and the Government had already decided on the justice and amounts of the payments eventually to be made, in reality, no excess has been disbursed

	Rs. a. p.
Geddagavel Collections due to the former proprietors of Senjeerayen droog Mootah from Fusly 1217 to Fusly 1220	364-5-11
Refund of over-collections due to the proprietors of Cottavurdumpatty Subdivision from Fusly 1246 to 1255	112-15-6
Do Do due to the former proprietors of Curnapoorum Subdivision for one year	41-2-3
Do Do due to the same Estate for the subsequent 9 years when it was under Attmany which is therefore both included in the disbursement and credited to Government	370-4-3
Total Excess payment	888-11-11

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.-

disbursed beyond the sum which would necessarily have been sanctioned on the submission by the Collector of the usual formal application at the period when the proper parties brought forward their claims.-

Salem District,-
Ossoor,-----
Collector's Cutcherry
10th July 1851.-

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.-

Extract.-

10473

Fort St. George

Extract from the Retrenchment made from the 1st July 1847 to 11th July 1848 on account of expences

No.	Charges objected to.	Rs.	a	p
	Extra Revenue Charges			
21	Amount refunded to the Joint Proprietors of the Sen Kerry droog Mootah being the arrears of Assessment realized on Giddagavel lands from Fusly 1217 to 1255	1,901	10	2

7th August 1851.

Accounts of the Collector of Salem from the 12th

for Fusly 1257.

Remarks of the Civil Auditor	Collector's Explanation	Remarks Orders of the Board	Orders of Government
No. 1 [illegible] 1848 [illegible] No. 3 [illegible] whereas in the account [illegible] forwarded by the Collector [illegible] accounts for Fusly 1257 the sum of Rupees 1901-10-2 is exhibited and as this Office is unable to [illegible] sanctioned [illegible] Civil Auditor requests that the Collr will be good enough to [illegible] and forward with his reply another Statement shewing distinctly [illegible] what Fusly the sum of Rs. 1901-10-2 No. 3 has been [illegible] for the remainder [illegible] No. 11 [illegible] which he may have [illegible] of it, otherwise the sanction of Government should be [illegible] obtained to enable the Civil Auditor to admit it.	Vide letter from the Collector of Salem dated 10th July 1851 No. 94.		

(Signed) G. L. Prendergast
Ag. Civil Auditor

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

10474. Fort St. George 7th August 1851.-

Bd. No. 1561.

No. 343.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter with it's enclosure be submitted for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Salem forwarding an Extract from the reaudit of his Accounts for July 1257 and recommending that the Civil Auditor be authorized to pass the Charge.-

In Cons. 10th Feb. 1848

27.28

2. In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 4th February 1848 para 3, Government in authorizing the disbursement of Rupees 1,012-14-3- to the Proprietors of the Sent Kerry drove Moolah on account of Giddagavel Collections stated that "the amount payable to the late Proprietors of "the other Sub Divisions of the same Estate "would be adjusted when they may advance their claims" from Mr. Phillips's explanation that the Revenue Officers at Salem supposed this order authorized them to make future payments to the proper parties when they came forward and disbursed the sum of Rupees 888-11-11 on this account, the particulars of which are given in the Margin of Para 4 of the letter recorded at the head of these Proceedings.

4. As the present retrenchment appears to be for this excess disbursement, the Board, under the Explanation now afforded, recommend that the Civil Auditor be authorized to pass the Charge of Rupees 888-11-11 in addition to that already sanctioned in the above Extract Minutes of Consultation.-

D.S.

10475 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

No. 102.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

Bd. No. 1742
From the Collr of Salem — Page 17

26th May 1851.

Sir,

In conformity with the instructions contained in your Board's Circular Order of the 5th January 1824, I have the honor to report that on the date noted marginally I made over the Revenue Charge of Taluk Wonialore to the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. S. R. Hinderskey

Salem District.
Office Collr's Cutcherry
22d July 1851.

Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector

Order thereon

29.30

No. 106.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

31

Bd. No. 1835.
From the Collr of Salem....

Submitting with explanation of a Statement of the Sewai Jumma balance from Fasly 1219 to Fasly 1258.

Sir,

In conformity with the requisitions communicated in your Board's Circular dated 10th March last, which, as I infer cancels the particular instructions conveyed to me in the Board's Proceedings of the previous 23d September, I have the honor to submit a Statement showing fasle-wise the Sewai Jumma balances ranging from Fasly 1219 to 1258 inclusive.

2. For convenient reference, I beg to subjoin an Abstract of these balances as they stood at the end of Fasly 1259; the portions of them then deemed irrecoverable and the causes which have rendered them incapable of realization.

Memo.

1046

Fort St. George

7th August 1851

Items of Savoy Summa.	Amount of balance at the end of July 1259.	Recoverable	By Death	Irrecoverable By Desertion	By Indigence	By parties unknown.	Collected by Mootadars	Total Collected & recoverable
	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
Land Revenue	23885 3 5	916 11 11	9990 9 6	2341 14 2	7199 9 10	3437 6 "	" " "	22969 7 6
Malurpha	2713 12 5	26 5 4	1217 1 1	743 10 11	690 6 3	36 4 10	" " "	2687 7 1
Conully	1233 9 9	28 6 1	370 8 "	181 " 10	82 4 10	565 0 "	" " "	1203 3 8
Porumbakie of Jaury Mootah	373 9 9	" " "	159 9 "	28 14 11	68 5 11	" " "	116 11 11	373 9 9
Due by unknown parties in Poliapah	4763 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4763 1 5	" " "	4763 1 5
	32970 4 9	971 7 4	11743 11 7	3295 8 10	8040 10 10	8802 2 3	116 11 11	31998 13 5

Sic

3. The "Cawilly" was a tax formerly raised for purposes of Police from the agricultural classes, who were rated for it on the number of their Ploughs, whilst others paid it according to their general circumstances. Its collection was discontinued in Fusly 1234 by the then Collector Mr. Cockburn, and as the balances now outstanding appertain to years prior to Fusly 1234, they are obviously impossible of recovery, even if it were not otherwise objectionable to attempt their realization.

4. The next item comprises sundry Mootahs of Parumbokes lands brought under cultivation in certain Mootahs, to which, at the time, the local authorities considered that the Government had a right; when in 1824, the Government relinquished that right in favor of the Mootahdars, the realization of this class of balance became the privilege of the latter parties. The sums shown in the Statement were, however erroneously retained in the public accounts.

5. Certain sums due by ryots of

of the Poliapats in Jaloor Ofsoor, whilst these Estates were under attachment from Fusly 1228 to 1231, have by oversight, it would seem, been allowed to stand in the books subsequent to the restoration of the Poliapats to their owners. It is not known by whom or on what account these sums are due, and the Government does not appear entitled to realize them.

6. The aggregate amount shown to be recoverable is Rupees 971-7-4 of which the sum of Rupees 510-8-11 had realized up to June last. The remainder consists of Rupees 396-12-7 due from Fusly 1219 to 1251, and of Rupees 64-1-10 due for the subsequent years.

7. The measures adopted in one Jaloor for bringing to account the old balances, appear to have caused much dissatisfaction, and probably were attended with considerable hardship, for when I was engaged on settlement duty at a place 45 miles from the Division in question a body of 25 Ryots came to my camp and were loud in complaints of the injustice of now pressing them for payment of what had so

※ Rs. 460-14-5

10479

Fort St. George 7th August 1857.

So long been free—that to remove mistake I made enquiry & finding that the amount demanded for Shore was a balance of date prior to July 1751, I deemed it advisable to direct the Local Collector to suspend from collection, a sum of Rupees 344-10—

The greater portion of the Seroy Jumma balances of similar date, will have to be demanded from individuals who are the heirs or representatives of parties originally in arrear. Where such is the case, sufficient reason seems to exist for altogether removing the amount from the Books. Where the case is different, I hope that distance of time and the fact that the unfavorable season of last year is still felt, will be regarded as fair ground for similar indulgence. In this view I beg permission to recommend the remission, under the above head of Rs 396-12-7 leaving for future adjustment a sum of Rupees 64-1-10 due since July 1751.

8. In addition to the above mentioned amount, I would solicit authority also to write off as unrecoverable Rupees 31998-13-5 on account of Rupees 37395-10—

H.B.

10480.

Fort St. George 7th August 1857.

Take this opportunity of mentioning that in conformity with the Boards' order dated 8th October 1849, a Statement of the Seroy Jumma Collections of Fasly 1259 was submitted with the report on the settlement of the year dated 21st November last.—

Salem District, Ossoor, ---- Cutcherry 29th July 1857.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement—

10148[illegible]

[illegible] George

A.[illegible]ly 1219 to

№		Balance to be recovered of 1259.			Recoverable.		
		10			11		
		£	s	d	£	s	d
1		351	11	3	"	"	"
2		"	"	"	"	"	"
3		169	4	10	"	"	"
4		"	"	"	"	"	"
5		"	"	"	"	"	"
6		19	5	6	"	"	"
7		"	"	"	"	"	"
8		"	"	"	"	"	"
9		5	"	"	"	"	"
10		391	3	1	"	"	"
11		226	1	"	"	"	"
12		93	4	1	"	"	"
13		62	"	6	"	"	"
14		337	10	9	2	4	1
15		212	2	4	"	"	"
16		83	4	4	3	12	2
17		121	3	11	2	2	6
18		284	11	3	3	3	3
19		45	5	8	"	"	"
20		17	2	9	"	"	"

7th August 1851.

Tuesly 1258.—

Moturpha.

Irrecoverable.			By Death.			By Desertion			By Indigence.			By unknown parties.		
12			13			14			15			16		
£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d
"	"	"	6	8	3	"	"	"	28	3	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19	15	1	"	"	"
"	"	"	14	4	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	5	6	17	4	3	2	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	2	12	"	2	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	23	5	4	99	"	4	18	8	7	38	4	10
391	3	1	58	5	9	4	1	3	163	10	"	"	"	"
226	1	"	60	1	"	21	13	11	11	5	2	"	"	"
93	4	1	59	4	3	49	12	7	52	1	3	"	"	"
161	2	1	191	15	4	137	10	10	5	12	6	"	"	"
335	6	8	102	7	"	108	15	4	"	8	"	"	"	"
211	14	4	46	14	"	31	3	2	1	7	"	"	"	"
79	8	2	52	5	3	58	1	4	8	10	10	"	"	"
119	1	5	90	4	6	99	13	2	91	1	4	"	"	"
281	3	"	16	2	9	26	2	11	3	"	"	"	"	"
45	5	8												
17	2	9	17	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

10482

Fort St. George

7th August 1851.

Coorumbore of Saury Mootah.

Cavelly.

Balance up to end of Fusly 1259.		Recoverable.		Irrecoverable.		By Death.		By Desertion.		By Indigence		By unknown parties.		Balance up to end of Fusly 1259.		Recoverable.		Irrecoverable.		By Death		By Desertion	
14		18		19		20		21		22		23		24		25		26		27		28	
Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
38	15 9	"	" "	38	15 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
26	12 8	"	" "	26	12 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
58	1 10	"	" "	58	1 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
51	12 2	"	" "	51	12 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
111	8 1	"	" "	111	8 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
144	" 6	14	9 1	129	7 5	63	2 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
781	10 2	13	13 "	764	13 2	289	6 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	14 4	"	" "	19	14 4	2	12 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	14 5	"	" "	"	14 5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "

10483

Fort St. George

1st August 1851.

Porumbokes of Savoy Moostah (Cont'd)

By Indegence.			Collected by Moostah-dars.			Due by un-known parties belonging to Pollipute			Balance up to end of Fasly 1259			Recover-able.		
29			30			31			32			33		
Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	549	15	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	15	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,241	4	6	84	11	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1522	2	5	20	2	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1053	10	1	7	9	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1565	2	5	194	4	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,123	14	3	126	14	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	4,587	2	2	4,704	8	3	8	14	"
68	5	11	116	11	11	133	14	8	1948	15	8	35	6	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,812	10	10	15	6	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	42	"	4	864	4	6	4	9	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	391	5	4	12	14	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	958	6	"	25	14	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1394	9	10	10	7	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	924	"	3	15	"	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	708	12	3	29	10	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	412	6	8	27	3	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,086	2	10	34	10	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,050	12	4	3	4	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	73	4	10	1	9	6

Total.

Irre-coverable.			By Death.			By Desertion			By Indegence			By unknown parties.			Collected by Moostah-dars.		
34			35			36			37			38			39		
Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P	Rs.	a	P
549	15	9	196	13	4	"	"	"	306	14	2	46	4	3	"	"	"
38	15	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	15	4	"	"	"
6,156	12	6	3,692	8	6	568	12	4	1,895	3	4	"	4	4	"	"	"
1502	"	5	60	6	"	4	13	"	"	12	5	1,435	1	"	"	"	"
1046	"	4	30	"	4	9	13	6	"	"	"	1,006	2	6	"	"	"
1370	14	1	965	5	2	246	4	9	101	2	4	38	1	10	"	"	"
1396	15	5	797	14	5	246	7	4	272	12	2	79	13	6	"	"	"
4698	10	3	21	2	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,677	4	5	"	"	"
1913	9	1	516	4	9	71	3	4	260	14	1	1,065	2	11	"	"	"
3797	4	2	1,794	9	4	493	2	1	1,068	11	4	324	1	"	116	11	11
859	11	6	496	"	1	28	6	4	273	15	"	61	5	10	"	"	"
378	6	9	162	4	11	30	14	4	185	3	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
932	4	4	282	9	5	103	5	"	542	3	"	4	5	11	"	"	"
1384	2	4	782	12	5	244	3	6	352	14	1	4	4	4	"	"	"
908	15	8	428	1	4	271	11	4	209	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
679	2	3	284	1	4	65	12	"	329	4	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
383	3	"	200	9	2	99	2	"	85	7	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
2051	8	3	629	"	9	438	2	"	983	9	5	"	11	10	"	"	"
144	8	6	69	8	9	69	15	5	8	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
71	14	4	42	10	11	15	10	11	13	8	6	"	"	"	"	"	"

10484

St. George

| No. | By Unknown parties. | Balance up to end of July 1857 | | |

10483

Fort St. George

Casualty.

7th August 1851.

Porumboke of Sowry Mootah.

Balance up to end of Fusly 1259.	Recover: able.	Irre- coverable.	By Death.	By Desertion	By Indigence	By unknown parties.	Balance up to end of Fusly 1259.	Recover: able.	Irre: coverable	By Death.	By Desertion.
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28.
Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P
12.33 9 9	28. 6 1.	1,205-3-8	346.8..	181. - 10.	82 1/2 10	565 6 -	343 9 9.	- - -	343 9 9.	159 9 -	28 11/2 11

10486

Fort St. George

7th August 1851.

Porumbokes of Saury Moatah (Cont.)

By Indigence.	Collected by Moatahdars.	Due by unknown parties belonging to Poligats.	Balance up to end of Fasly 1259	Recoverable	Irrecoverable	By Death.	By Desertion	By Indigence.	By unknown parties	Collected by Moatahdars.	Total.
29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	
Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	
" " "	" " "	" " "	127 10 7	3 1 "	124 9 7	55 5 5	26 11 6	42 8 8	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	85 7 9	" " "	85 7 9	22 " 7	39 4 7	24 2 7	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	120 13 4	7 14 "	112 4 11	35 7 9	48 1 4	29 5 10	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	179 " 1	9 6 4	169 9 9	7 2 11	34 7 7	124 15 3	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	85 4 "	6 11 "	78 9 "	13 15 1	30 10 5	33 15 6	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	49 3 3	" " "	49 3 3	13 " 9	11 12 6	24 6 "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	1 8 "	" " "	" 8 "	" " "	" 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	56 1 4	" " "	56 1 4	" " "	" 12 "	50 11 10	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	106 5 6	27 " 5	79 5 1	4 9 6	14 7 "	61 6 1	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	160 13 4	127 4 4	33 9 "	3 10 10	2 4 "	27 10 2	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	154 " 8	2 8 5	151 8 3	70 2 2	20 4 3	61 1 10	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	252 4 1	6 14 4	245 9	38 4 1	10 10 1	196 7 7	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	53 4 2	11 2 11	42 13	8 7 2	" 14 8	32 11 5	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	520 10 8	94 9 5	423 13	" " "	21 14 3	401 3 "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	123 4 11	80 7 6	42 13 5	" " "	20 10 9	22 2 8	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	65 6 2	32 11 1	32 8 1	18 5 1	" " "	14 3 "	" " "	" " "	
68 5 11	116 11 11	4,763 1 5	32,970 1 9	971 7 4	3,199 8 13 5	1,174 3 11 7	329 5 8 10	8,040 10 10	880 2 3	10 6 11 11	

Salem District Office -----
Collectors Cutcherry 29th July 1851.
Order thereon

(Signed) H. D. Phillips Collector.

Ordered to lie over. —— Read

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Read the following letter-

No. 54.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

Bd. No. 1754.

From the Coll.
of South Arcot.

Sir,

In advertence to the Board's Proceedings of the 27th February last I have the honor to enclose a list of some of the old Huzzoor Records which appear utterly useless as the yearly accounts for the periods in question are sufficient for purposes of reference. These old records now inconveniently occupy the rooms and by increasing the risk of damp and vermin endanger the safety of the more important records. I request therefore the authority of the Board for tearing them up at once after the duplicates have been scrutinized. It is proposed to give the old paper to the Manufacturers in exchange for new country paper.

2. I shall address the Board again hereafter regarding the talook records and such other papers in the Huzzoor as

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

as it may appear advisable to destroy.

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Cuddalore 25th July 1851.

Signed E. Maltby
Collector.

No. 1.

List Showing the Nature of the old native records proposed to be destroyed in the District of Southern Division of Arcot.

No. 1. The Monthly cultivation account furnished by Tahsildars from fusly 1231 to fusly 1255.

" 2. Some cultivation accounts during the period of the decennial rents for some villages which were under Amanie prior to fusly 1231.

" 3. The daily ten days and Monthly return of the Salt Department from fusly 1218 to fusly 1255 as also the register of Salt permits.

" 4. The same return furnished by the Monthly dars of inland towns and frontier customs from fusly 1218 to fusly 1253. as also the register of Nuzzanna books.

" 5. The Monthly and bi-Monthly returns of the Nuzzanmut Progress reports from fusly 1228 to fusly 1255.

" 6. The Monthly Cash accounts of receipts and disbursements furnished by the several Tahsildars

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

No. Talooldars from fusly 1218 to fusly 1249.
" 7- The Monthly accounts of receipts and charges furnished by Talooldars on account of the Tusdick Davastanums from fusly 1223 to fusly 1267 the period when their management was transferred to native Trustees--
" 8- The numerous bundles of Monthly cultivation accounts and Monthly Tundel Coorjiwo accounts and Sayer Settahs in Cadjan up to fusly 1255-

/Signed E. Maltby
Collector

B. of R. 1550-
Proceedings thereon-
(33·34)

No most of the Records detailed in the list which accompanies the foregoing letter are reported to be utterly useless to the Board of Revenue authorize the destruction of such as are not connected with the land-Revenue, which may be of use hereafter in fixing the assessment of the two Southern Talooks or in revising that which has been introduced in other parts of the District-

2- The Board are of opinion that the safest mode of destroying these records would be to burn them, but they have no objection to the Collector making them over to the manufacturers in exchange for new country paper, provided care be taken to tear them into sufficiently small pieces-

Read

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Read the following letter-
No 63-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(35)

B. of R. 1854-
From the Collr of }
Trichinopoly }

Sir.

I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 10th July last on the subject of Wheat Seed, and forwarding copy of a communication addressed by Doctor Royle to the Secretary to Government-

2- I am not prepared to make a requisition for English Wheat from its being an Exotic I feel that the introduction would only lead to disappointment neither would I advocate Wheat Seed being imported from Bengal-Good Seed either from the Ceded Districts or Mysoor would surely suffice-

For the culture of this after all mere experiment on lands of so low an attitude as

Trichinopoly-

3- Three (3) Quarters of Seed either Bengal or the Ceded Districts will be ample for our first essay-

/Signed J. Bird
Collector-

Trichinopoly District }
Voylalagoodalore }
1st August 1851 }

Ordered to lie over

Order thereon-
(35·36)

Read the following letter-
No 150-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(37)

B. of R. No 1759-
From the Collr of Para 1-
Tinnevelly

Sir.

I have the honor to report the death on

10491

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Read the following letter dated the 2d Instant from Nagiaswamy Ramia Sa
dier the Zemindar of Sanjeevi in this District
praying a Peshcush Annually of Rupees 9037
There is a balance against the Zemindary of
Rupees 1337 up to this date on account of the last
Fusly 1260. The deceased has left a son of about
2 years of age named Nagiaswamy Ramia
Naiker.

2nd The Tahsildar of Tirunelly reports
Taluk in which the Estate is situated has been
deputed to make the necessary inquiries to ta
an inventory of the property and to arrange the
affairs of the deceased after which Tahsildar
the Amuen to report the arrangements which
to me to be necessary for the management of the
property and the care of the young Zemindar

Tirunelly 21st July 1851 — Tencausey

Bd No 1548 — Sd/ Sidney C. J. Bird
Collector

Proceedings thereon — The Board will await the receipt
of the further reports promised in the fore
going letter.

37.38

39

Read the following letter
No 97.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Bd No 1559 —

From the Collr of Coimbatore — Sir,

In reply to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th Instant I have the honor to state for their information that about 250 lbs of English Seed Wheat will be required for experimental purposes in this District.

Coimbatore 31st July 1851 — Sd/ Sidney E. B. Thomas
Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.

39.40

Read

10492

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Read the following letters —

No 68.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

41

Bd No 1511 —

From the Collector of Malabar — Reporting on the conduct of the Coteyom (Tellicherry) Tahsildar as connected with the recent trial of an alleged attempt to poison Mr. Forsyth late Sessions Judge of Tellicherry, and recommending his dismissal —

Sir,

Para 1. It is my duty to write a report on the conduct of the Coteyom (Tellicherry) Tahsildar, as connected with the recent trial for an alleged attempt to poison Mr. Forsyth, late Sessions Judge of Tellicherry.

Three parties were committed to the Subordinate Court of Calicut on this charge — the Nazir of the Tellicherry Court, a Court Batta Peon, and Mr. Forsyths Garden boy. the latter having confessed, that at the instigation of the second (the Peon) who said he had been sent by the first, (the Nazir) he had put two pills into the soup preparing for Mr. Forsyths dinner on the 28th April last. — There was other evidence, but the Garden boys was the most direct.

2

The Subordinate Judge in dismissing the case expressed an opinion that the charge against the Nazir and Peon was a "base conspiracy" supported by "infamous" evidence, and that

Vide Appendix A

that the Garden boy had been led to make his false deposition by the means of the Coteyam Tahsildar.-

4. On hearing on the evening of the 14th. Instant of the result of the trial and of Mr. Cook's suspicions with regard to the Tahsildar, I at once sent a Peon to secure the Garden boy on his release, and bring him to my house. The boy had left the Court 'ere my Peon arrived, and gone out into the Country to a relative 2 or 3 Miles away. He was not brought back till after I had gone to bed but I saw him early the next morning. On being asked why he had given evidence against the Nazir and the Peon, he stated that he had done so at the instance of the Coteyam Tahsildar.

This evidence I shall allude to further hereafters.-

5. The next day complaints were brought to me against the Tahsildar by the released Nazir, the Batta Peon and one or two others. I took down the evidence of certain people whose immediate deposition seemed needful, but resolved to make no formal enquiry

enquiry till the Tahsildar was himself present.- On his arrival I explained to him every thing that I had done, furnished him with a Copy of the Sub: Judge's opinion and gave him time to appear and answer to the charges made against him with a recollected and prepared mind.- This was of course nothing but justice, but I was desirous to be scrupulously careful, because I had long 'ere Mr. Cook's decision appeared, entertained doubts as to the Tahsildar's propriety of conduct in regard to this transaction and had resolved that however the trial ended, I would call on him for explanation on various points connected with it.-

6. My enquiry commenced with a number of questions put to the Tahsildar by myself and there can be no doubt that the Tahsildar's answers were far from removing the suspicion which a view of part of the record, in the first instance and of Mr. Cook's decision, followed by the Garden boy's acknowledgment to me in the second had tended to produce in my mind.-

These

10. It was natural to suppose that this remarkably strong and reasonable suspision would have met with peculiar attention and most careful sifting, and it is a matter therefore of astonishment to find that so far as written record goes there is no proof of any having been made as regards the point underlined.-

11. The Tahsildar asserts that he did make a verbal enquiry, which satisfied him that the suspicions entertained by Mr. Forsyth were not well founded, but he is utterly unable to explain how it happened that in a matter of such vital importance, he should have been satisfied with so loose and unsatisfactory a procedure.

12. The Tahsildar is a very experienced Police Officer. He has not been sparing of record on minor points, and I cannot comprehend why he should have spared it on a point which was so evidently important. An immediate deposition from the dismissed Cook and from the party who told Mr. Forsyth of his threats against the new one was clearly as desirable as it was incumbent

with reference to the standing orders of the Fanjdari Adalut on such a point. His answers to my queries on this matter, 1 to 4 are not, it will be seen, satisfactory.

The Tahsildar makes no allusion to his omission to take this record, or indeed to Mr. Forsyth's peculiar suspicion against the old Cook in any of his reports, and I was not aware of this remarkable neglect till I read Mr. Forsyth's Malayalim Paper of the 30th April (above adverted to) the day on which the case had been ordered for committal from Madras, i.e. the 7th Instant.

That the Tahsildar's neglect

13. was intentional. that he preferred not to follow up this clue but the one against the Nazir which was opening on him is the only way in which I can account for his conduct.

The evidence on which the

14. Tahsildar choose to think himself justified in entirely abandoning this first clue and concentrating all his energies on the new one (of the Nazir) is not in my

opinion

opinion such as to disprove the view I have just given- The first person who set him on this trace was, he says, one Hufsain Cooly, a man without employment, formerly in Mr. Chatfield's service as a Peon, and who had lately been suspended by that Gentleman for a discreditable fault brought to Mr. Chatfield's knowledge by the Nazir's brother, the Havildar of Mr. Chatfield's Peons (The brothers, the Havildar and the Nazir, are as shewn by all the Proceedings particularly identified in feeling and interests, and a blow to the one seems to have been considered a blow to the other).

15- Hufsain Coolys' evidence, as shewn by the record,* is so poor and doubtful that the Tahsildar himself now allows that he had put but little confidence in it till it was corroborated by what he heard in the next two or three days- I am unable to see that this further evidence, which was not recorded for some days after he heard it was much better than that of Hufsain Cooly, and some of it as proceeding from a source notoriously hostile to

* The record which professes to contain what was said by Hufsain Cooly on the 29th April was not taken till the 8th May. Vide Tahsildar's answer to query 13.

A person whose name is prominent in the prosecution is one Abdulah for transporting four of whose family the Nazir got a medal from Government in 1836. The Tahsildar's early and to my mind suspicious dealing with this man are not clearly explained. Vide his replies to Queries 11 and 12 and 48—

The Majority of the more important parties who appear against the Nazir in this case are those whose enmity to him can be proved from the Government Records-

to the Nazir should I think have been received with peculiar caution- I will not particularize this evidence here- I have done so in a paper of remarks on the whole evidence which I sent up to the Criminal Court when the trial was about to commence, and which I forward in a separate Packet in case the Board feel inclined to look at it. It will be found more or less explanatory of the whole case- a part of what I have already written has been taken from it almost verbatim.

But as any one may be mistaken

16- in the character of his evidence and only be liable on that account to a charge of want of judgment, I pass on to another feature in the Tahsildar's conduct, which adds to my suspicions of his unfair dealing-

It will be seen by what I have

17- written above that the Tahsildars' doubts against the Nazir were excited (be it more or less) on the 29th April and I have now to remark that these suspicions had taken such root by the next day, the

Extract from Mr. Forsyth's deposition of the 13th May.
"As the Tahsildar "on the 30th came to see "me and said outside "people are concerned "I suspected the "Nazir."-

the 30th, that he was able to assure Mr. Forsyth that "outside people were concerned" in the drugging, and this was said in such a way as at once to lead Mr. Forsyth to believe that the Nazir was the party alluded to. The Tahsildar who was very unwilling to allow that his conversation with Mr. Forsyth was so early as Mr. Forsyth states, admits that by the 2d of May his suspicions had reached to a considerable height, and yet he choose to send me a report conjointly with the Ameen of Tellicherry written too late on the 2d to go by that evening's tappal, in which he distinctly asserted that suspicion so far as the enquiry had gone hitherto, rested alone on Mr. Forsyth's servants. Now I have no other way of accounting for this proceeding than to believe that the Tahsildar intended by this report to keep me blinded as to what was going on, and to give himself an opportunity of dropping the charge

In a subsequent deposition Mr. Forsyth names the 1st May as the date of this conversation. Either date (the 30th or the 1st) is immaterial for my argument H. V. C.

The Tahsildar, it will be seen, was in the horns of a dilemma. If he did not credit what he heard against the Nazir, why did he speak so confidently to Mr. Forsyth about outside people's being concerned. If he did credit it, why did he make no mention of it in his report to me.

The Ameen declares that up to the date of this report his enquiries had not led him to entertain doubt against the Nazir.

charge against the Nazir and taking it up again against the Cook in case Mr. Shatfield then immediately expected at Tellicherry should disapprove of the information he could relate to him.-

Mr. Shatfield having, as I think, been deceived by the Tahsildar's plausible conversation. the Tahsildar had no record to show against the Nazir for two days after Mr. Shatfield's return; naturally encouraged him, and the accusation commenced to be put in written form.- Without this or some explanation which I have failed to conceive, the Tahsildars' conduct seems a meaningless piece of disrespect towards his Chief Superior. He never wrote to offer any explanation subsequently.-

See below Para 28.-

But a far more suspicious and 18- tangible charge against the Tahsildar remains to be narrated.- I mentioned in my 4th Para (and that immediately on hearing that the Sub Judge had dismissed the case and released the Prisoners, I sent for the Garden boy, and that he

he admitted to me (he did so most freely) that the evidence which he had given against the Nazir and the Batta Peon, had been given at the instance and under the teaching of the Coteyam Tahsildar.

The Tahsildar he added had explained to him that no harm would arise from his admission of having been concerned in the drugging, for that Mr. Chatfield would get him made an approver, and in sending on him down to Calicut to take his trial. the Tahsildar had assured him and his relatives who came to take leave of him, that the pardon had actually come, that it was in Mr. Morris' possession, and that he would obtain its benefit so soon as he had gone thro' the ordeal of the Sub. Court.

The general opinion of the Natives, in especial, was that the Sub. Court would commit the case at once, and not take on itself the needless responsibility of examining into so important a matter as one in which a Gentleman holding the high Office of a Sessions Judge was Prosecutor.

19. This Statement the latter part of which the Tahsildar himself agrees[x] with me is above the boy's own capacity to originate, is corroborated not only by one of the boy's relatives who had been sent by his mother to bring him back after his release had been heard of at Tellicherry, and whom I secured ere, as I believe, he had seen the boy, but

[x] I asked the question why he persisted before Mr. Cook in his confession with the view of testing the boy, and with great suspicion that he would break down under it.

Vide evidence of Ramotty No. 3 in appendix B.

by

by the very extraordinary admission conveyed in the Tahsildars 17 and following answers an admission which I only extracted with the greatest difficulty and after he had seen that all attempt at evasion was futile.

Shortly after securing the boy I secured the Peon who brought him down, and it was from his evidence that I got the first knowledge of the way in which the boy had been brought from Tellicherry to Calicut. It will be seen that the boy described as an approver and a witness, were given over to two Peons that one Peon was sent back by the other, and that the boy came on treated in every way as his companion witness stopping for meals at private houses &c. See evidence of Baboo No. 4 in appendix B.

The Tahsildar in his extremity attempted to transfer the fault to one of Mr. Chatfield's Moonshees, but the Moonshee as will be seen from his evidence No. 6 repels it successfully.

It appears that this Garden boy who had allowed his complicity in the drugging of Mr. Forsyth's Soup, and who accordingly could be looked on as nothing but a Prisoner until actually made an approver (which he never was) was sent down to Calicut by the Tahsildar not as a Prisoner but a witness, altho' as is shewn from a reply to a query I made to Mr Chatfield that Gentleman had merely directed that the boy should be sent apart from "the other Prisoners". That the Tahsildar should have taken this curious responsibility on himself in opposition to Mr Chatfield's instructions, cannot I think be accounted for on honest grounds, and I am forced to believe that this illegal lenity was intended to encourage the boy (and his relatives) and to induce him to stick to the story which had been

been invented for him.

20 It may be asked what object could the Tahsildar have had in acting in this manner and criminating the Nazir. The question of motive is of secondary importance of the attempt to do evil is proved, but yet it is of some importance, and I shall attempt to solve the question. It appears to me that there were two reasons which may account for the Tahsildars' behaviour. The one a desire to ingratiate himself with Mr Forsyth the other a wish to injure an old enemy.

21 Mr Forsyth had an ill opinion of the Tahsildar, and expressed it publicly in a report to the Foujdari Udalut of the 11th March last. Mr Chatfield and myself dissented from Mr Forsyth's judgment, and upheld the Tahsildar, and the question is still before the Foujdaree Court.

But the Tahsildar was not improbably afraid of being under the ban of a Gentleman who had such official influence as a Sessions Judge, and felt desirous to recover his favor. He knew, with all Tellicherry, that Mr Forsyth's feelings towards the

the Nazir were and had long been very inimical, and thought most likely that he would be pleased with any one who would rid him of his adversary, and especially by bringing him to justice. The opportunity had presented itself why not avail himself of it. Mr Forsyth's Soup had been drugged by some one unknown. Who was so likely to have done it as a personal enemy, a man who had lately been heard to express his discontent against Mr Forsyth and to wish he were dead. The Tahsildar may at first with his own prejudiced feeling against the Nazir have more or less believed in his probable guilt from such considerations fanned by the loose rumours which Hussan Cooty and others furnished him with, but I fear that on finding that his tale was listened to and (as he supposed) with gratification by one whom he wished to conciliate, he shut his eyes to the improbabilities of the charge, and resolving to let nothing militate against his desire to succeed in it, went on from receiving false evidence to fabricating it.

He may indeed have thought to the last that the Nazir was guilty and on the principle of doing a little evil that much good may ensue, have held himself justified in concocting evidence to hang one whom he considered a great malefactor. But this would hardly improve his position

The

22. The Nazir and the Tahsildar (then the joint Magistrate's Moonshee) had a virulent quarrel in 1846. They were rivals in an enquiry to trace out an ecstensive robbery which had baffled the efforts of the regular Police. The Nazir brought strong charges against the Moonshee of speculation and roguery in the conduct of the enquiry. Counter-charges of a similar nature were brought against the Nazir by a person who had the credit, at least with the Nazir (as shewn in his appeal to the Foujdary Udalut of the 14th April 1847) of being instigated by the Moonshee. The Nazir went to the wall and was dismissed by the Tellicherry authorities, but was honorably restored after more than a year had elapsed by the Foujdaree Udalut. The whole of his pay was ordered to be given back to him, but a good deal had been spent and could not be recovered.

23. Peace was patched up subsequent to his restoration between the Nazir and the Moonshee (subsequently made a Tahsildar) but it may well be believed that the peace was a hollow one under the

the circumstances I have mentioned. "It is natural to hate those you have injured" as well as those who have injured you. the hate may sleep for a time, but is pretty sure to awake and be stirring — when a fair opportunity seems to present itself for its exercise.

This is at least as much the case in Malabar as in other countries. Nowhere are enmities more bitter, and nowhere do you see more instances of foes living on amicable terms until occasion serves for their taking each other by the throat.

28 to 46. 24. I beg to attract attention to the queries put before me by the Nazir to the Tahsildar and the replies of the latter as given in the Appendix B.

The Nazir shews I think clearly that the Tahsildar was guilty of indecent and irregular proceedings as connected with his case which are not to be accounted for on usual grounds.

25. And here I must mention that the Tahsildars mode of answering was in the highest degree

degree unsatisfactory. It was with great difficulty that he could be got to reply to any of the questions that he thought to tell against him, and as regards my questions I was obliged at last to inform him that tho' I had treated him hitherto with every consideration I should be obliged to use unpleasant measures unless he acted with more openness and propriety.

26 That I have no prejudice against the Tahsildar that on the contrary I have defended him more than once (and once very lately) when I thought he was not justly assailed is a matter of record. But I allow no personal feeling to guide me in matters of public duty and being convinced in my own mind that the Tahsildar's behaviour in this matter has been in the highest degree improper and that there is sufficient proof for a Court of Equity at least to convict him of a gross abuse of official influence to injure an adversary, I have no alternative but to recommend his dismissal and in the mean time resolve to suspend him.

27 My task in this business has been

That it was necessary that I should take up the case will be as apparent to the Board as it was to Mr Chatfield himself.

been the more unpleasant that the party I have had to deal with is a Tahsildar of Mr Chatfield's and that Mr Chatfield will naturally be more or less vexed by some of the deductions I have arrived at. I am sorry for this, for I esteem Mr Chatfield on private and public grounds, but I cannot shrink from my convictions, nor would he I believe with his feelings of honor, wish me to do so however distasteful he may deem them.

28 My opinion is (as above alluded to) that the Tahsildar, a clever man and considerably trusted to by Mr Chatfield, as was natural from the official position he had for many years held, deceived that Gentleman in the first instance by his plausible conversation. I think that had he simply sent before Mr Chatfield in writing the evidence on which he relied to criminate the Nazir, Mr Chatfield would have seen its weakness and have at once rejected it.

Vide the Tahsildar's answer 13.

But he did not make any record as regards the Nazir till some days after he had talked with Mr Chatfield and there is a wide difference between listening

to

to the words of a clever narrator and studying a dry paper - Mr Chatfield's mind was I think preoccupied by the Tahsildar's ingenious but incorrect — verbal assertions, and he failed to see their incorrectness when brought some time afterwards to the test of a record. This may happen to the most clever man.

29. I had wished to have assisted the Board in the judgment they have to form by giving them the benefit of the opinion of the Sessions Judge of this place in addition to that of the Sub: Judge - Mr Forsyth, dissatisfied with the decision of Mr Cook, appeal: :ed to Mr Morris, who occupied him: :self incessantly with the case for more than two days, and was about, as he informed me publicly, to come to an immediate judgment, when Mr Forsyth chose to withdraw his appeal on the ground that it might prejudice the enquiry, he heard, I was about to make into the Tahsildar's behaviour - I remonstrat: :ed urgently against this withdrawal, and pointed out how important — it

I make no comm: :plaint against the Sessions Judge in this matter, Mr Morris no doubt acted on his own views of what was right. I wish simply to state what occurred - It may avoid future embar: :rassment. I sent the correspondence to avoid, mis: :takes Appendix D.

it was for the public interest that I should have such a valuable opinion as Mr Morris' (after his laborious search into the record) must needs be; But Mr Morris declined to give any opinion, on what I consider technical grounds.

30 It stands however to reason that Mr Morris' judgment must in the main agree with that of Mr Cook: He could not possibly have allowed the Prosecutor to withdraw his appeal in a case where life and death were alleged to be concerned unless he believed the charge to be groundless - His acquiescence in the withdrawal speaks much in favor of Mr Cook's views generally, and therefore against the Tahsildar:

31 It will be seen that as regards myself I have not treated of Mr Cook's views except so far as the Tahsildar, a Government Servant, is con: :cerned - It would, I feel convinced, be a waste of labor to enter into the — general question of a conspiracy, and to attempt to bring forward against Anulah and other private individuals, sup: :posed by Mr Cook to have been partners

partners in it, such evidence as would suffice for their conviction in a Court of Law. It will be for the authorities to consider what notice is to be taken of the behaviour of the other Government servants whose evidence is branded by Mr Cook as "infamous". They are Court servants and therefore out of my jurisdiction. A reference to my Memorandum of the 7th instant, para: 17, will shew that my opinion coincides with that of Mr Cook as to the nature of their evidence

Calicut, 28th June, 1851. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

P.S. The Board may remark, and with natural surprise, that the Court Batta Peon so often alluded to as the 2d Prisoner in this case is the half brother of the Coteyam Tahsildar.

It is well to mention that the Tahsildar himself states in a report to Mr Chatfield of the 18th ultimo that the Peon tho' a son of the same father is not considered by him to belong to his family or to have any right to its property, and that he adduces as a proof

DG

of his want of interest in the Peon the fact of his allowing him to obtain his subsistence as a Batta Peon in the service of the Court Nager (a man with whom he was as shewn above not friendly.) I allude to this because I have reason to know that the Tahsildar, wishing to have forgotten what he said in this report about the want of connection between himself and the Peon, has been anxious to fall back on the argument of the improbability of his desiring to disgrace his own family by bringing his Brother into trouble

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Mitchell informed the people there was in the Navigation a very extraordinary circumstance which was not generally known to the Public - that Captain Phillip the Governor about the Harbour distant from the Town about 5 or 6 Miles at which place he had appointed to lay the Foundation Stone of a Battery for the protection of the Harbour -

Again when, as he alleged, the 2d Division left him the Lieutenant must have no effect on the Gentlemen, who the Boy was sent on board as to enjoin secrecy on the Subject, many people would have no effect, any just reasons for the Step -

Again enjoined the Boy not just the detachment in Running till it must last, why should he do so as the detachment of a man about return to Port Phillip / to oblige a man he knew little of -

Again, he desired that he was more engaged in any secret & confidential matter for the 2d Division before, that the Major Mitchell must be here ordered the execution of such a despatch Chime & the agency of a Boy about whom he knew little -

Mitchell - Nothing?

Mitchell seem loath to put anything the Boy wrote immediately from dictates the matter & two months (an intelligent Person) and then wrote & himself and

1815
on ret'd
He says he did not carry
Mitchell seemed leaving her any communication with the Major -
to wrap, was to get the Step as aforesaid -

Fort St George 7th August 1851

the immediate down-fall of the Nagir. To suppose this, is an insult to common sense. Such a man as the Nagir, is represented to be, would have taken surer means.

He says he was told it would do no harm - were his suspicions not likely to have been roused when he was told (as he says) that if he divulged the drugging, he would be murdered - couple this expression with that used by the 2d Witness and another link of a similarity of thought between the parties will be obtained - Viz the fear of the Nagir murdering them!

Again, who is this Boy? He is the brother in law of the dismissed cook! Is it not more natural to suppose that if he administered a potion, he did so to procure the favor of his master not upon the Nagir but upon his brother-in law the exc. cook?

Again, consider that the Boy did not confess at first but only after he had been kept by the Head of Police in confinement for 15 days! and who is this Head of Police a man well known to be an old enemy of the Nagirs!

Looking at this confession, the Court has no hesitation in stating, that as regards the 1st and 2d Prisoners, it is utterly false and devoid of credit - manufactured under the auspices of an officer who has not hesitated to use his official influence in the preparation and development of a conspiracy to ruin the 1st Prisoner, an old enemy.

The confession as a confession is worthless, 1st because it was not free but was obtained by improper means - what happened in these 15 Days the Court has not the means of knowing, but it can very well suppose.

2d There is no evidence to corroborate this confession - it stands alone and doubtless was made for the Boy to be the pedestal, upon which, to raise the future conspiracy.

Looking at the deposition, of the present cook - the Court has great suspicion whether it was the Boy who put in the substance at all.

The ex-cook was petitioning his master to restore him - he was frequently about the house - what was to hinder the new cook therefore to try and cast such a suspicion upon the exc. cook as would effectually bar his return.

He states in reply to a question that he did taste the soup, but spat it out that is a suspicious answer - at all events the one case is just as likely as the other.

The Court observes that when the Head of Police visited Mr Forsyth he hinted at

outside

Fort St George 7th August 1851

"outside people" being concerned - when Mr Forsyth alluded to the Court servants he answered "dont ask questions." He saw the tendency of that Gentlemans mind, and that he was prepared to believe any thing against the Nazir - doubtless he left him fully impressed with the idea that the scheme would succeed -

The 7th Witness, he says, was the person who first spoke to him about the Nazir on the 29th if he believed that Statement, he would immediately have acted upon it and informed the Magistrate - he did not do so, but reported on the 2d May that suspicion still rested upon the servants of the Prosecutor - who is this 7th Witness? He is a Peon dismissed by the Joint Magistrate for stealing fish and he was informed against by that officer Havildar, the brother of the Nazir! here again is another link -

It is proved that the Head Police Officer was in frequent and close conference with the 5th & 6th Witnesses from the beginning to the end of the business - who are they? the 6th the open and avowed enemy of the Nazir - by whose active exertions a member of his family was apprehended, tried and convicted of murder - here is another link -

The evidence of all the Witness of course rests upon the credit given to the 'confession' the Court holds it of no importance - it was illegally obtained by violence, persuasion and standing uncorroborated by a spark of evidence is worthless both in reason, law and equity -

It is necessary only to remark as regards the 1st & 2d Witnesses they are both hostile to the Nazir - the 1st was passed over, and was told that he would not be promoted, he was found an opportunity of coming forward, and he did so, but gave evidence, which if credited, subjected him to have been committed as an accomplice - he concealed what he says the Nazir told him, eight days thro fear! such an answer as this is indeed pitiful - Yet he was tempted to hold to it, for he saw the situation of the Nazir vacant and the Salary of the office had already been divided amongst the officials of the Court -

The evidence of the 1st 2d & 3d Witnesses is not only false, it is infamous -

It is needless to go on - a careful and earnest consideration of the evidence on this base has led the Court to the conclusion that as regards the 1st & 2d Prisoners it is a base conspiracy fabricated by the 5th & 6th Witnesses in conjunction with the Head of Police - all enemies of the 1st Prisoner - advantage having been taken of the drugging of the Soup

The

10518

Fort St George 7th August 1851

The Court resolves
the Prisoner the conviction of the
evidence either direct or circumstantial
There is no evidence
and as no analogous case can be made out
rejected by those who partook of it,
falls to the ground.

To The Magistrate of Malabar.

With reference to the Sessions
15th June 1851
Trans
Magistrate

Sess

10519

Fort St George 7th August 1851.

B

No 2.

Examination of Poothenvitil Ramoony Taksildar of Cotyom Talook, held on the 29th Mithonum 1026 corresponding with the 21st June 1851 before the Magistrate of Malabar.

Questions — Answers.

Mr Forsyth in his Complaint stated that the 30th April suspects that it was his former Shangaren who got the Garden for Cook Shangaren in the question who was dismissed on the 16th April. who got the Garden for boy Pokan his brother in law, to put some Poddeene in the Soup, and states that he is led to this suspicion because he (the dismissed Cook) was heard to tell someone that he would do all he could to injure the new Cook. Consequently

Mr Forsyth in Enquiry was made of the individual who heard the former Cook Shangaren (alluded to in the question) speak against the new Cook as well as of the boy Pokan both before and after the date of Mr Forsyths Complaint but neither of them admitted the charge — Pokan was examined in writing only with regard to the Stain seen on his Cloth

Consequently it was essential that this Shangaren as well as the person / for the identity of whom enquiry should have been made / to whom he spoke after his dismissal against the new Cook and the boy Pohan should have been immediately examined. Why were they not so examined? It is further set forth in the Complaint that this Shangaren either entered the Kitchen or was near it on the 27th or 28th April and that his brother-in-law entered the Kitchen on the 28th at the time when the

Cloth - I do not recollect whether the examination of any one else was taken down. The old Cook's examination was not taken down. It ought to have been taken down.

the Soup was boiling and again it appears certain circumstances have come forth in the course of the examination held of some of that Gentleman's household servants which gave weight to the suspicion above alluded to. Was it not therefore still more important and necessary that no time should have been lost in examining Shangaren and others?

2 - You have stated in reply to the first question that you sent for the people whose examination should have been recorded and

The angry expression used by the dismissed Cook Shangaren were not against his successor, the new Cook, they were used.

and made inquiries of them. How did you come to know who heard the dismissed Cook make use of threatening words. What is his name and what did he say?

3. Mr. Forsyth states in his Complaint that the dismissed Cook Shangaren made use to some one else of angry words against the New Cook but your Statement is not so. You state that the angry words made use of by Shangaren were against the Cooks Maty Kodolly Karen Shangaren. Now ought

used as I was told by the Cooks Maty Vallyalipparambil alias Kodolly Karen Shangaren against himself and to his face. I asked him if any one heard it. He said no one heard it.

They ought to have been record-ed and incorpo-rated.

ought not these dif-ferences to have been recorded at the time and the same incor-porated with the proceedings?

4. On looking over the proceedings held by you in this Case it appears that you were not sparing of record on points comparatively immaterial. Why did you not then think it was necessary to take down the evi-dence of Shangaren and others on points the importance of which was so very obvious?

I ought to have done so.

5. It appears from the Report sent in by you under date the 16th May last

I did not place much dependence on the said Koos-sam Cutty's words. He

last that suspicion against the Nazir Manually arose in consequence of what an individual of the name of Hoos-san Coolly whom you met on the 29th April when you were going to Mr. Forsyths had stated to you. On inquiring who this Hoosan Coolly is it turns out that he is a person of low Character and was suspended by Mr. Chatfield for bad conduct; and that you were fully cog-nizant of this cir-cumstance. Was then there sufficient reason for placing much

He said that he saw Nazir Ma-nually and Cha-kyatha Ramootty (the Catta Peon) near the Tank below Mr. Forsyths house at 7 O'Clock in the night in which the Gentle-man was taken it. I told him not to mention it to any one but to make further inquiries.

much confidence on the words of such a worthless man as this?

6. Did you obtain from any other person after midday of the 29th April when you met Hoosan Coolly on the following day any in-formation calculat-ed to arouse suspici-on against Nazir Manually?

Besides what I heard a news to the effect that Chaky-atha Ramootty went to a Conjurer for advice upon and asked him and secondly the Nazir's

7. The information you obtained on the 29th or 30th April was first the mention made to you by Hoosan Coolly which you admit you did not place much dependence upon,

Besides what was mentioned to me by Hoosan Coolly and others. the Nazir's know-ledge-

The

name

the vague rumour you allude to. Such being the case, what induced you to inform Mr. Forsyth, whole conversing on the subject in his house on the 30th April, that outside people was concerned in the affair, and express the words "outside people" in such a way as to make it apparent that the Nazir was the person you meant?

name, whether a Medicine intended for a certain purpose would have a good or bad effect consequently I mentioned it (it was I believe on the 30th) to Mr Forsyth. What I stated was, that it is said outside people are concerned in the matter and that I was making enquiries. I do not recollect well the date I said nothing against the Nazir.

8. In the report made to me by you and

and the Ameen under date the 2d of May, it is unequivocally stated that from the enquiries held up to that date, no others but Mr Forsyth's own servants were suspected and that no other clue was obtained. If before the date of this report any thing had been heard throwing suspicion on other quarters as was mentioned by you to Mr. Forsyth, what was your reason for not making the least mention of it in your report to

It was not done at the time because I thought it best to do so as after I should have ascertained the correctness of the rumour.

to me?

Q. When did you commence taking measures for seizing Chakyatha Ramootty (the batta peon) either as a Criminal or as a suspected person?

A. I made inquiries after him on the 29th April, but could not find him again on the 2d or 3d of May a peon was sent to bring him in as he was suspected.

Q. Ramootty being your half brother, it may be supposed you would not seek to seize him without some strong suspicion; why then, I again ask did you not consider it necessary to mention anything of this in your report?

A. The Report of the 2d of May was written out and brought from the Ameen's Cutcherry I signed it below the Ameen's signature, and then despatched it. This is what took place. The circumstances of the suspicion entertained should have been mentioned in it.

It

Q. It appears from the deposition of Viran the younger brother of the Nazir that Cotalla Athwoolla had informed him on the 1st of May, that the Tahseldar was trying to turn this Case against the Nazir that subsequently on the 2d of May he (Viran) called on you and mentioned what he had heard that upon this you answered that you were not doing any such thing but that it was Cotalla Athwoolla who had spoken to you against the Nazir and that you further observed to

A. I think it was on the 2nd of May that this Viran came to the house on which I live and told me that there was a news to the effect that endeavours were made to get up evidence to prove that it was his elder brother who got medicine administered to Mr. Forsyth and then he remarked "Why is this done" and asked not to do so. Thinking that if this was made public it would prevent proof being obtained and considering that

to Viran that you that the Cutwall only told Athwoolla was acquainted that if he could with the circumstance mentioned to produce by Hoosain Cootty evidence to that effect I suspected that it was communicated by the Cutwall—

I therefore asked him (Viran) who told him such a thing; and he answered that Cotalla Athwoolla told it to him— I then remarked to Viran that the truth will come out and that the trial would only take place when the Gentleman arrives

With these words I sent him away— I sent Hoosain Cootty to ask Athwoolla if he had mentioned any such thing to Viran, Athwoolla sent word to say he did not mention any

thing of the kind to Viran. This is what occurred.

12. Did you at any time between the 29th April and the 3d May see Cotalla Athwoolla and hold any conversation with him with regard to this Case?

I saw Athwoolla on the 1st or 2d of May and spoke to him on the subject I heard during my inquiries that on the night Mr. Forsyth was taken ill an entertainment was given in the Coonatha house to chowkara Coonhamod, and that Manally was also of the party and made use of some violent expressions. Coonhy Cootty ally the son of Chowkara Moosah is married in the Coonatha house and having heard this from him also I on seeing Athwoolla asked him if the above had really occurred. at first he seemed backward to speak. but

Soon.

Soon after said "I must not be examined on this matter. If I am, I will not devulge what happened some expressions were used."-

13. It appears that you had a conversation with Hoojoon Cootty on this subject on the 29th April- why did you not take down his examination at once instead of delaying it till the 8th of May?- I thought it better to take his Kyfiut after enquiry and ascertaining the fact/- Moreover the Joint Magistrate was not at the time there, and I thought it best to wait his arrival when I could inform him every thing and proceed with the examination according to orders. When the Gentleman arrived I informed him of all that had transpired, and according to orders went on with the investigation

In

14. In whose Custody was the garden boy Pol Ean placed after his apprehension?- At first he did not admit the charge, so how is it that after 14 days he confessed himself guilty?-

Pol Ean was seized on the 29th April and Kept in the Cutwall's Choultry, and on the same day he was conveyed to the Taloot Cutcherry at Caderoor, where he was Kept in confinement until the arrival of the Joint Magistrate at Tellicherry. When that Gentleman arrived I dont recollect the date he was brought to Tellicherry and Kept in Custody in the Ameen's Cutcherry one or two days afterwards when the Taloot Cutcherry was held in the Bungalow belonging to Chowakara Coonhamod, he was according to orders Kept in confinement there. He continued so, but under the Surveillance of Joint Magistrate Tookeddy Peons as well as police peons from the day the Joint Magistrate commenced the investigation- One Morning, I do not

Remember

remember the date, while the Case was under inquiry at the Joint Magis=trates' Office, the Talook Naick came to me and said that permission should be given to Poſeen to go and make his prayers at the Kottyoor Pagoda and that he has stated the truth. I then had Poſean brought before me and asked him what it was. He said that it was he who put two pills in the Soup, that they were given to him by Ramootty for that purpose and that Ramootty said they were sent by the Nazir. I then brought the circumstance to the notice of Mr. Chatfield, and afterwards heard that he was questioned before the Gen:=tleman and his examination taken down.

15. How was Poſeen kept after making this admission? Was he kept as a Prisoner or as a Witness?

He was kept as a Prisoner.-

16. How was Poſeen forwarded to the Criminal Court, was it as a Prisoner or as a Witness?

The Moonshy Amboo informed me that Mr. Chatfield's order was

was that if Poſeen was sent in Company with Nazir Manally the latter would gain over him and that as he has been recommended to be admitted as an Approver he should be sent separately. Consequently he was sent apart from the 1st & 2nd Prisoners. He was delivered over to the Choultry Peon Bappoo and other peons and so taken. I was not present when he was taken away.-

17. Are you not I am. He was able to say whether Poſeen was taken as a Prisoner or a Witness?

18. How did you come to know that Poſean was actually taken as a Witness?

According to the intimation given me by Moonshy Amboo, I directed the Peons, on the preceding day, to take Poſean as a Witness and hence the reason that I know it.-

19. Did you believe what

I thought such were

to the Gentleman himself.[2]

21. Is what you have heard read over the same as you stated.[2]

Yes.

Signature

(Signed) H. V. Conolly

Magistrate.

Further Examination taken on the 23d June 1851.-

22. When did you last see at Tellicherry the lad Achatha Poeyan.[2] Had you any Communication with him on that occasion.[2]

The last time I saw Poeyan at Tellicherry was on the day (I do not recollect the date) on which the Nazer Manally was sent to the Criminal Court at Calicut, and he was taken to Calicut on the following morning. when I saw I told him not to speak to any one on the road.-

Did.

No

what the Moonshy amboo told you to be the orders of the Gentleman.[2]

were the orders of the Joint Magistrate.-

20. As Poeyan was a person who confessed himself guilty of a serious crime, and as no sanction had been received from the Presidency to the recommendation to admit him as an Approver, it must have struck you as hazardous to send him as a witness without a written order to that effect. and if you had doubts as to the Moonshee's order, should you not have it cleared up by a reference to the

The case was inquired into at the Tookiddy (Mr. Chatfield's Office) I therefore thought that what the Moonshy of that Officer told me was sufficient, and consequently did not go and ask the Gentleman.-

The Tahsildar's testimony in this reference was sent to Mr. Chatfield's house, where he had full access to that gentleman when needful.- answer to query 35.- signed H. V. C.

23. Did any of Pokan's relatives come and hold any interview with him between the time it was known that himself and others were to be sent to the Criminal Court at Calicut & that of his actual despatch?

No one came and saw Pokan with my knowledge. He might have been seen & talked to secretly when at his meals, but not otherwise.-

24. It appears from the proceedings that Pokan is much loved by his mother. Such being the case would not she on hearing that her dear son was to be sent to a Criminal Court at a different place feel anxious to see him and exert herself to effect a meeting with her son? would she

No one to my knowledge came to see him or entreated such an interview

she remain indifferent?

Memorandum Pokan's Examination before the Magistrate being read over, the following question is put.-

25. You have heard the examination which was just now read over (in which Pokan declares he made a false confession at the instance of the Tahsildar). What have you to state with regard to it?

I heard "Pokan's" examination read over, the Statement he made is false as regards me.-

26. The answer to the last question put to Pokan, to know why he had continued his confession before Mr. Cooke) for the purpose of eliciting the truth is a clear.

I am led to think that after this lad was released by the Criminal Court, the Nazir or his younger brother Kiran or

Some

clear one. Could he have invented a false reply on this point so readily? Is he, in the Tahsildar's opinion of sufficient Capacity for that?

27. Is what you have heard read over the same as you stated?

Some other friends must have tutored him what to say.-

I want to say some more but I found pain very soon after we had left the Court house. To avoid any tampering. I do not believe and I [illegible]

(Signed) H. V. C.

Yes.

Signature

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate.

Questions put by Nazir Mamally on the 23d June 1851 before the Magistrate.-

28. When the Joint Magistrate caused the seizure of Mamally on the 12th May did he not represent to that Gentleman that the whole of the Tahsildar's proceedings against him

I am not aware that Mamally had made such a representation to the joint Magistrate, nor did I receive any order as alluded to here.

This cannot be entered from the answer sent by the joint Magistrate to a query on the subject. (Signed) H. V. C.

him were conducted with spite and replete with falsehood, and therefore begged of the Gentleman to investigate the case himself and to stay the Tahsildar's proceedings. Also that the Tahsildar had gained over Ramootty Pollean and others since some days and was trying his best to induce them to make false statements, that they should therefore be taken away from him? and did the Tahsildar receive any order on the subject from the Joint Magistrate?

29. Where were the Prisoners Ramootty and Pollean lodged till the 12th May when Mamally was apprehended? and where were they lodged after that day?

I am not quite sure of it.

30. Where were the other Prisoners kept when Mamally was.

I do not recollect whether according to the Joint

was sent to the Jail on the 14th. May.[2]

Joint Magistrate's Order Ramoolty was sent to the Jail together with Mamally or Kept in the Ameen's Choultry Pokan was either confined in the Saloote or the Ameen's Cutcherry.-

31. When Mamally was being conveyed to the Jail, the Tahsildar, Cotalla Ahwoolla and Saban Cootty were standing at and looking at him from the Tooteddy Cutcherry Gate- and some of the Tahsildar's Cutcherry people and others accompanied Mamally as far as the Jail

I was neither Standing at the Saloote Cutcherry gate or at the Tooteddy gate at the time when the Nazir was being conveyed to the Jail. Some body came and told me that the Nazir was confined in the Jail.[2]-

and

I do

and saw him- Did none of them inform the Tahsildar what prisoners were confined in the Jail.[2]

32- After Ramoolty was confined in the Jail did not the Tooteddy Moonshy Amboo and Deloyet Manaw on the 17th. bring him from the Jail to the Tahsildar and did not the Tahsildar then send for Ramoolty's Mother & Sister and allow them to speak with him and afterwards send him back to the Jail.[2]

I do not recollect who were the persons that brought him from the Jail and on what day of the Month it was. Ramoolty's Mother and Sister having one day expressed a desire to see him, I sent for him but I do not know whether it was from the Jail or the Choultry. When he came he was shewn to his Mother and Sister and-

and they spoke together
Some thing. He was
sent back on that or
the following day. I think
he was sent to the Foosdy
for trial.-

33. Did the Tah=
=sildar send the Foo=
=sdy Peon Narana
Dhas to the Jail on
Sunday the 18th May
to bring away Ra=
=moolly from the
Custody of the Peons
Kohol Kel Ramen
and Karyaden Am=
=boo Numbiar who
were there on guard?
and did the Tah=
=sildar detain him
(Ramoolly) all that
day & Night and send
him back on the
following day? If
so

I do not recollect whether it was on the day mentioned that Ramoolly was brought away once or twice. Nor do I recollect by which Peon he was brought. He was brought in view to ascertaining if any proofs could be obtained from him or if he would admit the charge.-

I did

so, what was the reason
of this?

34 On the 12th May the whole of the records of the proceedings held up to that date were delivered over to the Joint Magistrate, by whose orders Mamally and Ramoolly were carefully secured in the Jail. Such being the case, did that Gentleman give the Tahsildar the power of sending for and examining prisoners who were confined in the Jail by the express orders of the Magistracy—

I did so in obedience to the Joint Magistrates Orders to the effect that it was essential to know if Ramoolly would state any thing which would go to connect the Nayer, and that he should be called and questioned and that I was to make enquiries in other quarters. I received no written orders—

35 When this case was under investigation before the Joint Magistrate and the Tahsildar merely directed

After receiving orders to institute enquiries I was in the habit of communicating to the Gentleman verbally

directed to institute inquiries, was there any reason for the Tahsildar to hold a separate investigation himself? Did the Tahsildar do so?

verbally such information as I received, when I was directed to take down the same in writing, and I have acted accordingly.

36. Was Mr. Forsyth at his house at the time the Tahsildar was holding investigation there? Did any conversation take place between that Gentleman and the Tahsildar regarding this Case?

Mr. Forsyth was not present at the time I was carrying on the investigation. One day he was upstairs, I do not recollect whether he was in the house or at the Court, during the other days. I have already stated the particulars of the conversation which took place between that Gentleman and myself. Afterwards I mentioned once or twice to Mr. Forsyth that the Hazir had caused the Batta Peon

Peon Ramoothy to make an Astrological Calculation that there is a common report throwing suspicion against the Nazir, and that Ramoothy was not to be found but that inquiries were made after him. I am not certain whether I made this communication during the days I was conducting the investigation or any other day.

37. It is known that the Tahsildar had examined Nada Yambatha Canan. Did he come on his own accord or was he summoned by the Tahsildar?

He came in his own accord.

38 What made this Canan who kept himself away for a long time & who did not appear before the Joint Magistrate during his trial, come

This Canan is the son of a Court Peon, and my belief was he came at the instance of this Court people, or probably at the desire

come before the Tahsildar on his own accord and state some particulars?

desire of some other people to be of some sort of aid to the Nazir at a future time, I conceived, that this Statement was not true, on the contrary that it was made with the view of obstructing the attainment of truth this I brought to the notice of the Joint Magistrate.

Besides this, I questioned Pokan's Mother with the view of ascertaining the correctness or otherwise of Canan's Statement, and she solemnly affirmed that his Statement was not true. This circumstance also I communicated to the Gentleman verbally—

39 Canan's Statement was taken down in writing and kept as a record. now, if on

The Gentleman was satisfied with my verbal Communication. and as he [Canan] was

on subsequent inquiry it was found to be devoid of truth, why was not this circumstance likewise reported in writing?

40 In this case depositions of many persons appear to have been taken, and out of them several that were considered important were not included in the list of record, but they were sent in a separate bundle. If you and the Joint Magistrate were of opinion that Canan's Statement was worthless, why was it not put aside instead

was not afterwards sent for and examined, no report was made in writing.

I do not know anything about that—

instead of being entered in the Count List and sent with other records.

41 Does the Tahsildar know that Madayambatha canam was totalla Ahwoollas man and that as such did he ever before appear in the presence of the Tahsildar or go to the Tookeddy?

I do not recollect that this canam ever came before me with any Document shewing that he was Ahwoollas man. Nor do I know that he was Ahwoollas man.

47 Is there any relationship or connexion between the said canam & the Tahsildar?

There is no sort of connexion between us now.

45 Was the Tahsildars first wife the daughter of a Sister of canams mother?

I left that woman 10 or 12 years ago, & she born of her holds a situation in the Cherikal Talook

Mother or his/canams Sister? How many children had she? Is not one of them holding a situation in the Cherikul Talook which he obtained on your recommendation and is not one of the daughters given in Marriage to the Nephew of the Moonshy Ondane Amboo?

I look but I did not recommend him for it. I did not give her daughter in Marriage. The said Woman is a connexion of canams and I hear they have quarrelled on account of some property.

44 T. Manally state, that my wifes house, which is his own, was searched on the 7th May on the plea that Ramootty was in it. What was the reason for making this search? and on what clue was it done?

I did not cause any Search to be made.

45 Did not the Tahsildar

I did not tell these people

Tahsildar send on the abovementioned date the Mookyastan Bayil Canaren, Parayatha Ramen, Tookeddy Peon, Karyaden Amboo Kumbar, Koheksil Ramen, Talook Peons Karyaden Krishnen, and Bapoo and acting Choultry Peon Cheunanul Bapoo to search the house? and did not the Tahsildar examine some of them regarding this circumstance?

46. Is what you have heard read over, the same as you stated?

people to search the Nazir's house I sent some Peons to inquire after Ramootty and bring him and I heard that when they went to the Nazir's house and inquired, the Nazir himself opened the rooms and allowed them to search—

Yes.

Signature
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate

Further

Further Examination taken on the 25th. June 1851.

Memorandum Amboo's Examination No. 6 on this being read over the following questions are put:

47 You have heard read over the examination which was taken of the Moonshy Amboo of the Joint Magistrate's Office What have you to state in answer thereto?

I have heard it read over. As stated in Moonshy Amboo's examination / the party therein alluded to / was required to be conveyed with precaution — / Bandobust / and he was so sent—

This reply it will be seen is a reference to Ambed absence as a new evasion

(Sig.) H. V. C.

48 It appears by the Report of the Ameen of Canamore of the 23d. Instant just now read to you that the Tahsildar mentioned to him, on

I did not tell the Ameen Ramoony Marysar any such thing and am not aware what had induced him to make such an assertion—

on the 2d of May close to the Tank near Mr. Forsyth's house respecting the suspicion entertained against Nazir Mamally and that in mentioning this the Tahsildar said that he heard it from Cotalla Ahmoolla on the preceding days. What have you to state with regard to this?

49 Is what you have heard read over, the same as you stated? Yes.

Signature
Signed/ H.V. Conolly
Magistrate

Continued Examination on the 26th June 1851.

50 You stated/ in answer to the 37th and 38th questions/ when you were questioned with regard to Mandayambathu

On thinking over at the time what Canan stated and making inquiries about it I

Mandayambathu Canan that the said Canan appeared before you of his own accord, and gave a Statement against the Nazir, that you did not depend on that Statement because you thought that he gave it either at the instance of the Court people or at the desire of some other people for the purpose of screening the Nazir afterwards, that you reported to the Joint Magistrate this circumstance as well as what you afterwards ascertained to the same

I came to the conclusion that his Statement was not true, and brought the same to the Notice of the Joint Magistrate this is a fact, I am led to think that this has now escaped the Gentleman's recollection and hence the discrepancy. Canan appeared before me of his own accord and his Statement that he came because I sent for him, is not true I did not say positively that he was on the side of the Nazir I only suspected that he came on behalf of the Nazir or some other

same effect during the inquiries you instituted that because the Gentleman also thought so he did not examine him/Canany.

On referring to the Joint Magistrate's Answer to a Yadast which was sent to him on this subject it appears that you not only did not report the circumstance which you here stated you did, but on the contrary spoke to that Gentleman in a manner to shew that the substance of Canan's Statement was true, Moreover when that Gentleman now examined Canan he stated that other person and stated so. Considering that he has stated to the same effect both before and now, there is reason for concluding that he did not come forward in aid of the Nazir.

J.C.B.

that he was sent for by you and examined, and his Statement is almost to the same tenor as what he stated when he was first examined. If the Statement you made here be true what could be the reason that it does not agree with assertions of the Joint Magistrate and Canan? and if Canan was on Mamally's side what could have induced him to state against him both before and now?

51. Is what you have heard read over the same as you stated?

Yes.

Signature.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.
Magistrate.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

No. 2.

Examination of Achathu Tokan, aged about 17 years, Nephew of Achathu Ony, Mr. Forsyth's Gardner residing at Theruvangad

in

in Tellicherry held on the 3d of Mithonum 1026.
corresponding with the 15th June 1857, before
H. V. Conolly Esqre. Magistrate of Malabar.

Questions.

1. You have already stated that you put in the Soup which was being prepared in Mr. Forsyth's house the pills which were brought and delivered to you by Ramootty. What have you now to state regarding the matter?

Answers.

I will state truly all what I know and all that had taken place.- On the morning after Mr. Forsyth was taken ill I was called and taken inside the house, and that Gentleman asked me "Did you go into the Kitchen, "and did you put any thing in the Soup? I answered first that I did go into the Kitchen for ashes to mix with manure, and afterwards said that

10555 Fort St. George 7th August 1857.

that I went in for fire to light a Cheroot; but I also said that I did not put any thing in the Soup. About this time, the Cutwall and others arrived, and I as well as my brother-in-law Shangaran and others were delivered up to the Cutwall and we were taken to the Choultry. It was then 9 O'Clock. Afterwards the Cutwall and soon after him the Tahsildar arrived. The Tahsildar Ramony put me questions in different ways. I said that I knew nothing more than what I had already stated to my Master. At 4 O'Clock on that day I and Shangaran were conveyed to the Talook Cutcherry at Cadroor, and kept there. On the following day, I was again questioned in different ways. My answer was that I knew nothing more than what I stated before. We were detained there some days. Afterwards, myself and Cheryah Shangaran, another servant who was brought and kept at Cadoor two or

three

+ about 5 or 6 miles from Tellicherry.

three days after we were taken thither, were conveyed back to Tellicherry to a Bungalow situated close to the Joint Magistrates' house, and in which the Talook Cutcherry was held. I was kept in a separate room. On that night the Talook Naik Panikar came, and taking me out of that room conducted me to the Kitchen. He there told me to speak the truth, and frightened me by threatening to beat me, but as I did not say anything on that occasion also, he conducted me back to the room. The next day the Tahsildar Ramoony came and sent for me at night time to another room, and spoke to me thus, "You must declare that Ramootty "came to you from Nazir Mamally, "that he (Ramootty) gave you some "pills, which he asked you to put in the "Soup and that you, received and "put them in the Soup adding "that no fault whatever would attach to "me

10555 Fort St. George 7th August 1837.

"me, but that I would be made an Approver" &c. I consented to this, and on the next day when I was taken and examined before the Joint Magistrate; I stated as I was directed to do by Ramoony Tahsildar, but I did nothing of what I stated and this is the truth I feared that if I did not state as desired by Ramoony Tahsildar, I should be entrapped; for it was remarked to me "Beware, you are not caught in your Youth" and this it was what induced me to consent to say as I was required.

2- After you were apprehended (by the Police) in what manner, and in what places were your person secured.

After I was seized I was secured in a separate room both at the Talook Cutcherry at Cadoor, and in the building at Tellicherry wherein the Cutcherry was held, but after I had declared as desired by Ramoony Tahsildar, I was not so closely confined and could come out of the room when

when I wished-

3- In what manner were you brought from Tellicherry to the Crimi: =nal Court at Calicut?

Myself and Cheria Shangaran were sent in charge of a Peon Tahsildar Kolkar. We reached Baragherry the same day on which we left Tellicherry, and there we went to the house of a Peon whose name I do not know, and remained there that night- We left the place the next day, and on reaching Calicut, we went to the House of a servant woman, whose name also I am not aware, but who is in the employ of the Collector, and after taking our meals, we proceeded to the Court the same day subsequently we were detained in the Jail.-

4- Whilst you were in the Jail did not Mr. Cook the Judge on seeing you tell you, that you would not be made an Approver? if so how could you afterwards during the trial persist in admit: =ting the Crime without any kind of fear?

During my detention in the Jail, the Judge came there and saw me, and whilst speaking to me I mentioned to

10555 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

to that Gentleman that I was an approver, when that Gentleman told me, that I would not be made an Approver. My reason for admitting the crime after this was the following: When we were sent hither Ramoony Tahasildar told me "A Summud has been recewed to make you an "Approver: it will not be communicated to you "at this place, neither in the Sub: Court at "Calicut, but it will be made known to you by "Mr. Morris in the Sessions Court". My fathers elder brother, my Aunts husband, and my brother-in-law Shangaren were also afterwards sent for by the Tahsildar and the same thing told them- It was this assurance that induced me to admit the crime here.-

5- Does what you have heard read over, agree with your Statement?

Yes-

Signature-
(Signed) H. V. Conolly-
Magistrate-

Questioned by Tahsildar Ramoony before the Magistrate on the 23d June 1851-

6- Are there any persons who heard Ramoony Tahsildar dictate to you

When the Tahsildar spoke to me there were

you what you should tell by way of admitting the Crime? and at what time was it that the Tahsildar spoke to you so?

were present-

1. Rambil Bapoo of Darampatam-
2- A servant of his who was then with him, and whose name I do not know-
3. Mamootty Bapoo, a writer in the Chowdy,
4. My brothers-in-law Shangaran-

The Tahsildar spoke to these persons also on the subject of the admission I was to make, and it was then about 11 O'Clock at night.-

7- Besides the Tahsildar having as stated above, spoken to you on that one night, did he ever before that speak to you on the subject?

The subject was mentioned before that also.-

8- Does what you have heard read, agree with your Statement?

Yes.-

Signature.
(Signed) H. V. Conolly.
Magistrate.

No. 3.

Depositions of Manathaparambil Ramootty Nephew of Tian Toudan, aged 45 Years, as Peon in the service of the arrack Farmer of Tellicherry, inhabitant of Cherakal Deshom, Talasherry Tirnevangaad Umshom, in the Cotote Talook, taken on oath before H. V. Conolly Esqre Magistrate of Malabar, on the 7th Medithoonom 1026 corresponding with the 19th June 1851.-

Questions.

1. State why and when you left Tellicherry and came to Calicut?

Answers.

I am a relation of the lad Pokan, a garden boy in the service of Mr. Forsyth, and who is alluded to in the Complaint preferred by that Gentleman- After the said Pokan was sent to the Court, I heard at Tellicherry, that he had been released, but as he did not return to Tellicherry, Pokan's Mother, Achala Vadowaty, asked me to go to Calicut and bring him back, which has brought me here- Besides this on hearing that orders were received to the effect that Forsyth's case should be tried by Mr. Morris, and that the persons (concerned) were going

there

there (Calicut) I, as well as Pakan's uncle Parery Kannen, and Vellandy Changaren the dismissed Cook of Mr. Forsyth, went to see Pakan a day before he was sent to Calicut. Pakan was then kept in confinement in a room close to the building in the Fort at Tellicherry where the Talook Cutcherry was then held. We then saw the Calicut Tahsildar who told us "Don't you be afraid, Mr. Chalfield "has recommended Pakan to be made an "approver, and Mr. Morris has received orders "to that effect, and when Pakan goes to the "Court the said order will be read over to "him, tell this to Pakan's Mother." Hallala Awoolla Mopla was there at the time and he also told us the same thing. We then saw Pakan and left the place. When I came to Calicut to see Pakan, I arrived at the house of Mr. Morris' Maty Choje, which is at the foot of the Hill on which is situated the House of the Magistrate, at 11 o'Clock A.M. Yesterday after my arrival in Calicut I heard that altho' he was released by the Court, he was subsequently taken and examined before the Magistrate, and then again forwarded to the Court in consequence of Mr. Forsyth's appeal

appeal where he was held to bail. I have now come here having heard that the Magistrate ordered me to do so. It is my intention to return to Tellicherry tomorrow morning.

2 Is what you have heard read, the same as you stated, or is there any difference?	I have heard read what has been written it is as I have stated and there is no difference.

(Signed) H.V. Conolly
Magistrate

Question put by the Tahsildar, Ramoony, before the Magistrate on the 23d June 1851.

3 What could have been the object of the Tahsildar in telling you all what you have stated above?	I am a relation of Pakan.

By the Magistrate

4 Does what you have heard read, agree with your statement?	Yes, when (the Tahsildar) spoke to us there was no one else present but Awoolla Mopla.

Signature

(Signed) H.V. Conolly
Magistrate

Examination

Fort St.e George 7th. August 1851.

No. 4.

Examination of Tierkoonnel Bapoo, Son of Koondan, Hindoo Tiem, aged about 30 Years, a Kalkar in the Tellicherry Cutwal's Choultry, residing at Chela Coonoo in Tellicherry, taken on Solemn affirmation before the Magistrate of Malabar, under date the 4th. Mithonaum 1026 corresponding with the 16th. June 1851.

Questions	Answers.
1 Which were the Prisoners, concerned in the case of drugging Mr. Forbes Sau: that were brought by You (from Tellicherry to Calicut)? What instructions were given to You when they were made over to Your charge; and in what manner did you bring them? State the particulars.-	The parties, concerned in this case, who were in custody in the Talook and who were delivered over jointly to me and a Talook Kalkar - whose name I know not; - were 1st. Achala Pakun; and 2d. the witness Vallia-parayil Shangaren- It was on Sunday before last, at 7 in the morning that Ramoony Tahseldar delivered them over to us from the temporary Talook Cutchery near the Joint Magistrate's Office &c.

Fort St.e George 7th. August 1851.

In doing so the Tahseldar said "This Pokun is not a Prisoner, but one who has been admitted as an approver in the cases. You must therefore take him and the Witness Shangaren as well as the six other Witnesses who are on the other side of the Make River and make them over to the Tahsildy Peon who has taken the Nayar Mannally to Calicut - The Tahseldar did not say, that they should be taken on with any particular care (Bundobhust) - We proceeded to Make with the two Individuals above mentioned, and on reaching that place, made enquiry for the other witnesses but could not find them - I therefore told the other Kalkar who was with me, to make further enquiries after the said Witnesses and to bring them with him and I proceeded on with the above two men - at 4 or 4½ P.M. we passed Barragherry, and arrived near the Cotta Ferry when Pokan being unable to walk further, we entered the house of a Teer, whose name I know not and taking our meals, passed the night there - We set out from thence the next morning and on asking the Ferry man whether the other Kalkar and witnesses had Crossed the

Ferry

I was informed that they had passed on during the (preceding) night - We then proceeded on, and on our way met two Barragherry Kolkars who were returning - They told us that we should reach Calicut without loss of time, we therefore without stopping any where on the road and eating any thing but some dry provisions at Quilandy, hastened on to Calicut, where we arrived at 5 in the evening - and going with us the Tookeddy Peon, went into the Court - and made over to the Court Major the two persons who were under my charge - These are the particulars of what took place - The List* which was given to me (when I took charge of the Parties) is the one which I have now produced here -

2 Is what you have heard read over the same as you have stated, or is there any difference?

Yes, there is no difference -

* A paper to allow such and such parties to pass the ferries without payment Pakan is marked among the witnesses -

Signature

(Signed) H.V. Conolly
Magistrate
Examination

No. 5.

Examination of Oonny Panikker, Lance Naik of the Calicut Talook, nephew of Kolothoo Krishnen Menon, 43 years of age, of Tetheri-mangalom Deshom and Umshom, in Nedunganaad Talook, held on the 11th Mithonnen 1026, corresponding with the 24th June 1851. before H.V. Conolly Esqre Magistrate of Malabar -

Questions.	Answers.
1 What do you know with regard to the Confession made by Pakan - the Prisoner who was seized for having concerned in Mr. Forsyth - He was administering medicine to	Pakan was seized and placed in Confinement on suspicion of being concerned in administering medicine to Mr. Forsyth - He was confined at the Talook.
	One morning in last May/June at half past 6 O'Clock Pakan called me and said that he wanted to go out - when the door was opened and he came out, he said that he wanted permission to go and pray in the Pagoda situated on

on the East side and that he would state truly all what had occurred. I asked him what it was. He said "Chakyalu Ramulu "brought and delivered to me two pills on "Medom, I do not know that date, and april-may "desired me to put them in Mr. Forsyths "food saying by so doing the Gentleman "would favor us- and then we can get "some good situation. He delivered the "pills to me saying that they were sent "by Nazir Manually I put them in the food Immediately conducted Pakan before the Tahsildar who was putting up in the Taluk Cutcherry. The Taluk Cutcherry is on the East side of the Tookedy Cutcherry. I re-=peated to the Tahsildar the above par-=ticulars mentioned by Pakan- The Tahsil-=dar forthwith asked Pakan what he had to say, and he told the Tahsildar the same thing he told me. Upon this the Tahsildar took Pakan before the Gentleman where he was examined and afterwards conveyed to the Taluk and there confined- and as when the Record of the case and the people were sent thence to Calicut Pakan was also forwarded thither. These particulars I know

St.

2. It appears by the proceedings that Pakan was confined for 14 days- What could be the reason for his making this statement to you for nothing after he had kept silent for 14 days?	I do not know why.
3 What do you think could have induced him to state so?	I think he did so be-=lieving that by stating the truth he would be released from confine-=ment-
4 Is it customary to release a person who admitted that he ad-=ministered poison to a Gentleman? Was he not aware that he would not be released if he ad-=mitted it?	It is not customary. He admitted probably because he thought he would be released if he admitted I do not know whether he was certain of this or not.-
5 Is what You have heard read over the same as you stated?	Yes- there is no dif-=ference

Signature

Signed/ H.T. Conolly

Magistrate

Examination

No. 6

Examination of Ondeen Ambia, Moonshee of the Joint Magistrate's Office, taken on the 12th Muthoonanu 1026 or 24th June 1851, before the Magistrate of Malabar

Questions	Answers
1 When the lad Pokan concerned in the case of drugging the Sook prepared for Mr. Forsyth's table, was about being sent to the Criminal Court at Calicut, did you mention any thing to the Catteyom Tahsildar regarding his transmission? If so, state the particulars.	Either on the day on which Pokan was sent up to the Criminal Court, or the day previous, I spoke to the Catteyom Tahsildar regarding his transmission in pursuance to the Joint Magistrate's order, and I well state the particulars. I told him that as the Gentleman had sent a letter recommending Pokan the 3d Prisoner, as an approver, and as he was kept on confinement apart from the 1st and 2d Prisoners to prevent their holding any conversation with or giving him bad advice, he should in the same manner be sent up separately

so that no opportunity should be allowed to other Prisoners to hold conversation with him - Independently of this, I sent a Yadahst to the Nazir of the Sub Court informing him that the said Prisoner was kept under the above precaution in order that he might be aware of it on taking charge of the Prisoners.-

Memo: That part of the Tahsildar Ramaony's Deposition wherein it is stated that in sending up the Prisoner Pokan as a Witness he acted according to the directions communicated to him by the Moonshee and not on his own responsibility being read out the following question is put:

2 You heard what was just read - What have you to state regarding it?	The Tahsildar has admitted in his first examination that what I communicated to him was precisely (as I have now stated. When a person is sent as Witness, he is exempted from all restraint. I know that this Prisoner was kept and sent up under the surveillance and charge of Peons and was not treated as a Witness He was sent up from Tellicherry in the manner directed

by

by the Gentleman as communicated by me to the Tahsildar, and I heard that such was the case after all the Prisoners were for-warded. I informed the Gentleman of this as well as of the transmission of the said Yadahast by me- Such being the case, I am at a loss to know on what grounds the Tahsildar stated that Pahan was sent up as a Witness-

3	Is what you have heard read correctly recorded?	Yes-

Signature
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate

Further Examination of Moonshee Ambo taken on the 28th June 1851 before the Magistrate

Questions- Answers-

4 In you examination of Yesterday you stated that you had sent a yadhast to the Nazir of the Criminal Court (at Calicut) informing him that the Prisoner Pahan was sent up as a Witness- I did send a yadhast as I have stated. It was forwarded thro' the Cottayam Tahsildar and I cannot account for its not reaching the Nazir as mentioned by him

kept in confinement at Tellicherry apart (from other Prisoners) and so forth in order that he might be aware of it on taking charge of the Prisoners- It does not appear that the Nazir was put in possession of any such Yadhast nor does he recollect receiving it. If you actually sent such a Yadhast what may be the cause of its not reaching the Nazir?

5	The Tahsildar Ramoony after due reflection and consideration stated that Pahan was sent up as a Witness-	If the Tahsildar and Pappas had made such a Statement I am unable to account for the cause of it-

In addition to which the Peon Pappoo avered in his examination that when he took charge of Pahan the Tahsildar's directions to him were "This Pahan is not a Prisoner but one admitted as an approver, he should be taken to Calicut along with the other witnesses, and made over to the Tookeddy Peon;" and that the Tahsildar did not order him to convey Pahan under any

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

any particular restraint. In your examination of yesterday You stated that You knew from the enquiries You made that Pokan was sent from Tellicherry as a Prisoner and subsequently conveyed as such. If Your statement be correct what may be the reason for the Tahsildar and Peon Bapoo making a contrary admission involving responsibility on themselves?

6 Is what you have heard read, correctly recorded?

Yes.

Signature

(Signed) H.V. Conolly

Magistrate

No. 7.

Examination of Cottalla Atwoolla son of Mooryatta Cootyathru, 35 years of age, a Cultivator residing at Coteyam Deshom and Amshom in Coteyam Talook taken on the 18th Mithonam 1026, corresponding with the 27th June 1851. before the Magistrate of Malabar.

Questions.

1. Had you any Conversation with the Coteyam

Answers.

One day in last Month at noon, I don't recollect

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Coteyam Tahsildar regarding the case of Poison, administered to Mr. Forsyth? If so state truly when, at what place and what all you told him?

recollect the date, a Tookeddy Peon came to me saying that the Coteyam Tahsildar wanted me. I left my house. The Peon told me that the Tahsildar was in the house or where he was holding his office situated, close to the Tookeddy. I went there. The Tahsildar was there at the time. He called me close to him and asked what were the words which passed in the Coorathu house. I said that it was true some words had passed, but that I wished not to be made a witness. that there will be many enemies and that therefore much loss to me would be the result. He then desired me to state what I knew. and I told the Tahsildar all what I had heard. Soon after this people came from Tookeddy and when I went there the Gentleman (Joint Magistrate) desired me to state all what I knew and I repeated to the Gentleman what

I.

I had just then stated to the Tahsildar. My Statement was taken down in writing I stated nothing more than what is mentioned in that Deposition.

2. Besides Your having sent for, questioned, and Your Hyfjut taken down as above stated, had you at any time before any Conversation with the Tahsildar or the person he sent to you on this subject?

I do not recollect of any such thing having taken place.

3 The Tahsildar Ramasamy stated that Havildar Viran, communicated to him, asked one if I saw, on the 2d. of May, Havildar Viran the something which you previous night, I so had told him - and said that I did not that the Tahsildar see him - Upon this immediately got he (Hussain Cootty) Hussain Cotty enquire told me that Havildar Viran want to of you on the subject- Did any thing of the the Tahsildar and told

One Hussain Cotty came to me one day; I forgot the date, and

him that I had hinted to him the course to be pursued in respect to the case of Poison administered by the Nazir which was under examination, I observed to Hussain Cotty that I did not then see and speak to Viran - that I saw Nazir Mamally - when he came to the Cutcherie house in which I am married - that I told him that I heard that the Tahsildar was making enquiry regarding what had occurred there on that night with Poodicherry County Cotty ally and others - Hussain Cotty went away - I might have mentioned something else to him - I do not recollect now, I was not aware that Hussain Cotty came to me at the instance of the Tahsildar nor did he say so to me.

4. Do you know Mr. I know him - He was employed under me but not in my employ either

10571 Fort St. George 7th August 1851

State whether he was formerly in your employ as a Servant or Hanyastem

either as a servant or Hanyastem - I may have given him a Vakulathnama to do my business but I am not certain of this - It must have been 2. or 3 Years ago - but since these 2 or 3 years we have not been on terms in consequence of his having contended against me as the Vakeel of the Defendant Para 5 even in the cases between him and me. Those records may be here -

5 Is what You have heard read over, the same as you stated?

Yes, there is no difference. -

Signature

Signed/ H. V. Conolly

Magistrate

True Translation/

Signed/ H. V. Conolly

Collector

D.C.

10572 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Calicut 7th June 1851.

No. 1256/1851

C.

Para 1. Mr. Ichatfield with his letter of the 2d Instant has sent for my inspection the Proceedings in which Mr. Forsyth accuses the Court Nazir of Tellicherry of having thro' the instrumentality of his batta peons, induced his Mr. Forsyths Garden boy to put poison into the soup preparing for Mr. Forsyths' dinner.

2. On a careful inspection of these Proceedings, I observe that the suspicion of drugging the soup attached itself in the first instance to parties connected with Mr. Forsyths household. -

Mr. Forsyths butler, Mapsalchee, dressing boy and Maty unite in this suspicion - The primary evidence of the two latter and of the last in especial, is striking - The Maty believed that the drugging was the act of the Garden boy who thought that if he put any thing bad in the soup, the new Cook would be dismissed, and his (the garden boy's) brother in law, the lately discharged Cook reinstated -

The fact of a dismissed Cook spoiling or getting spoiled a dish to spite his successor, is not I imagine an uncommon one in any country. -

Mr. Forsyths own opinion, as shewn in the Malayalim deposition given before

before the Tahsildar on the 30th April last, was still stronger - Mr. Forsyth suspected the discharged cook because he understood he had been heard to say that he would in some way or other injure the new cook who had displaced him.-

3. The way in which this natural and strong suspicion which appears to me to be more or less corroborated by the statements relative to the discharged cook's habits some 14 Months ago when he was a Mate under a Portuguese cook, lately deceased (now turned from the said discharged Cook, to the Court Nazir, is stated to have arisen from the information of one Hussen Cooty, who told the Pillicherry Tahsildar of facts relative to the Nazir, which the Tahsildar thought to be of sufficient importance to warrant his totally abandoning the original clue and concentrating his enquiries on the new one.-

Vide the Portuguese Cooks' deposition and my remarks on Mr. Forsyth's strictures on it - Letters of 21st and 31st May -

4 Hussen Cooty it appears is a person without employ - formerly in Mr. Chatfield's service as a peon and dismissed by that gentleman a few Months ago in

consequence

consequence of some discreditable fault brought to Mr. Chatfield's knowledge by the Nazir's brother who is the Bavildar of Mr. Chatfield's peons.-

Vide the Nazir's cross questions and Hussen Cooty's reply at the close of his examination before the Joint Magistrate.-

5. It is a matter for question whether the Tahsildar should not have been more chary of evidence derived from such a doubtful source and the more so that it is not altogether, and prima facie, of so unimpeachable a nature as to warrant its being implicitly trusted to by an experienced police officer.-

6. Hussen Cooty's evidence was taken not on the 29th April, the day Hussen Cooty is said by the Tahsildar to have volunteered it, but on the 8th May. The chief grounds of suspicion alleged by Hussen Cooty against the Nazir were that the Nazir was a foe of Mr. Forsyth's - that the batta peon accused of being the Nazir's tool was a friend of Mr. Forsyth's garden boy; that he had been seen by the witness talking to him secretly of late and that both he the peon and the Nazir had been observed

It is a fair query what especial opportunities the Moplah had of assuring himself of this friendship which, in se, as I have remarked elsewhere, seems not likely between a man in respectable employ - and a boy engaged in menial work - one evidence however speaks to some family connection between him-

observed / also by the witness / at a tank on the public road near Mr. Forsyth's house the night on which the drugging took place. On the latter, and as I think prima facie suspicious part of this evidence, I shall make a comment in a succeeding paragraph.

7. The support which Hussen Cooty's evidence regarding the Nazir derives from Cotola Avolah, Sawan Cooty &c. who speak to the Nazir's conversation shortly after he had been seen / as alleged by Hussen Cooty / near Mr. Forsyth's house on the night of the drugging, is to me very doubtful.

8. It seems to me hardly credible that the Nazir just after having, as he supposed, murdered Mr. Forsyth / and probably the commanding Officer who was dining with him / should, on going to a party where Cotolah Avolah and Sawan Cooty men whom he knew to be more or less as friendly to him, were present, have proclaimed in their hearing, as he is asserted to have done, the deed of which he had been guilty.

They have an old feud with the Nazir who has the credit of having got two of the family transported in 183[illegible] date not exactly known. Avalah is well known to be the style of person who never forgets or forgives a deep injury and his local influence / from wealth &c. / is as great, as his character is unscrupulous. a man of this sort, would be tempted to make use of any fair opportunity to feed fat his ancient grudge tho' until that opportunity arrived, he might be on civil terms with his foe.

The

9. The Nazir must have known that the poisoning of two European Gentlemen, one of them of very high rank, would excite the most searching enquiry and it is unconceivable to my mind that with this knowledge he should have told to such parties, at such a time, what would be so likely to lead to his apprehension and conviction, the moment the news of Mr. Forsyth's soup having been drugged got abroad.

10. I observe a difference in the deposition of Sawan Cooty as given before the Sub Collector and / subsequently / the Joint Magistrate.

In the latter it would appear as if the Nazir had acknowledged to having just made an attempt on Mr. Forsyth's life and then gone on to abuse him - in the former it only appears that the Nazir spoke very abusively of Mr. Forsyth, and expressed generally a wish that he would leave the country or die; without saying any thing which would lead to the impression that he had just tried to kill him.

"He will now die" Sawan Cooty thinks this expression of the Nazir's referred to the poison which had been given that night.

11. Now it is / with allusion to the evidence

evidence before Mr. Chatfield / difficult to credit that if the Nazir thought that Mr. Forsyth was dead, or dying, from the effects of the poison just administered by his agent, he would have indulged in this rabid and as it would then be, senseless abuse. It would be like reviling a corpse.

12 But putting this aside as a probable after-thought of Sawan Cooly, I think it very likely that the story given by him and others before the Tahsildar is more or less true that the Nazir, a bold and I believe foul-tongued man long irritated at Mr. Forsyth's openly avowed intention of never giving him any promotion, although reinstated by the Foujdaree Adalut, did indulge at various times in unseemly remarks on Mr. Forsyth, and especially at this convivial party, but this very belief makes it difficult for me to credit that the Nazir was conscious of the drugging that had shortly

This would account for his apparently proved attempt to prevent sundry Witnesses from coming forward. His abusive words he might not unreasonably fear would be taken hold of and turned against him.

Vide Reply to Mr. Forsyth's query by Chundoo Menon.

That a man in a state of anger at what he would consider injustice, should wish his superior to leave the country or to die / the words the Nazir is at first said to have used is not less natural than wrong /

shortly before been perpetrated. That a murderer should choose to indulge / and before people not in his confidence / in open abuse of a person whom he believed himself to have just secretly assassinated, seems incredible.

The subsequent abuse is incompatible with the previous murder.

Para 6. 13 Evidence is adduced by Nafsen Cooly as before remarked to prove that the Nazir was seen near Mr. Forsyth's house the night in question, and an inference drawn that he was therefore a party to this drugging.

14. The evidence I think proves too much. It is hard to believe that a man of the Nazir's astuteness would have gone to a let himself be seen in such a locality, at such a time, if really guilty. He could gain no particular benefit by going. In place of running such a useless risque, a clever scoundral / and his worst foes give the Nazir the credit of being / would have been sure to have been in his house if not at a greater distance, at such a time. I think therefore that

murder, on his own confession. Govinda Menon knew, he says, one or two days before the attempt from the Nazir's own mouth that it was his full intention to poison Mr. Forsyth and the Acting Principal Sadr Ameen, and yet he chose to keep this knowledge to himself for 14 days and until he saw the Nazir was lodged in prison.

Note

The conversation was secret says Coonyamen a Court Writer who was sitting 3 or 4 yards off, but not so much so, as to prevent me hearing the commencing enquiry by Vanat Kristna Menon, and the frequent repetition by the Nazir in his reply of the word die.

18. The Garden boy's evidence is the most direct testimony towards the Nazir having had any hand in drugging the soup, but he, it will be seen, only implicates the Nazir, on the alleged information of a third party the Batta Peon / the Peon told me, says the Garden boy, that the Nazir would reward me, if I put 2 Pills into Mr. Forsyths soup / But it is to be remarked, that this statement was given not when the Garden boy was first apprehended, but many days after and when the hue and cry had been turned from his brother-in-law the discharged cook, to the Nazir.

It may be a matter of some

GG

question as to what influence the boy was subject to during this time, and whether he may not have been guided more or less by a desire to relieve his brother-in-law from whom suspicion had receded though at another person's expense. His primary Statement of knowing nothing had been thoroughly disproved, and he was fairly driven to lay the fault on some one.

19. I conclude with a few general remarks.

It is extremely unfortunate that no examination was made of the soup that was left or of what was thrown up by the partakers of it. The importance of that struck me the moment I heard of the matter, and I at once wrote about it. but this was too late. we are forced now to content ourselves with conjectures.

20 What is it probable that the soup was drugged with?

The Witnesses for the prosecution say, the Nazir told them it was arsenic prepared with the fat of a serpent.

Mr. Forsyth thinks some mineral and that the reason why he was more ill than his guest Lieut. Barclay was the latter was helped to the upper portion of the soup, while he partook

of

that if he were seen that night where it is said he was, it is more in proof of his innocence than guilt.

15. A good deal of the evidence for the prosecution seems to me of an extremely suspicious nature.

16. That of Mudiambata Icannen who is, I believe a former servant of Avoolah the Nazir's ancient foe, vide note to para 8, has to me evident marks of fabrication. He states against the Nazir, that he accompanied him as a friend when he went to bribe the Garden boy's Mother with 100 Rupees to induce her not to have him criminated. Why this witness turned against his friend does not appear, but is easily explained by the connection just mentioned with his friends enemies.

17. The Statements of the Court Mufsulchee, Vanat Kristna Menon, a Court writer, and Govinda Menon, a writer in the Moonsiff's Court, are very doubtful to my mind. The Mussalchee declares he heard the Nazir abuse Mr. Forsyth and say "what is he not

dead" when his illness was reported in the open Court. Vanat Kristna Menon declares that the Nazir detailed to him, also in Mr. Forsyth's Court, the nefarious plan he had formed to murder Mr. Forsyth and the acting Principal Sudr day alluded to by the Mussal after the attempt, when it was well known that Mr. Forsyth had escaped, and that a stringent enquiry had commenced to find out the guilty parties. He does not explain sufficiently to my mind, why coming forward at all, he did not come forward sooner and tell what he knew. By his own admission he left the Principal Sudr Ameen in danger of poison for some days after he heard of the Nazir's evil designs against him. His case in this respect is nearly that of Govinda Menon, which follows, and I doubt if he should not be subjected, with him to the penalty of being consid-ered an accessory to the attempt to murder,

Note. Vanat Kristna Menon. I find was formerly a deep enemy of the Nazir's this witness instrumentality he had been dismissed. His enmity had been patched up on his restoration - but enmity of this sort amongst Natives, even though it may sleep, rarely dies. In turning against the Nazir, Kristna Menon may have hoped not only to avenge his former enmity but to ingratiate himself with Mr. Forsyth whose favor he had not obtained subsequent to his restoration

murder, on his own confession. Govinda Menon knew, he says, one or two days before the attempt from the Nazir's own mouth that it was his full intention to poison Mr. Forsyth and the Acting Principal Sadr Ameen, and yet he chose to keep this Knowledge to himself for 14 days and until he saw the Nazir was lodged in prison.

Note

The conversation was secret says Coonyamen a Court Writer who was sitting 3 or 4 yards off, but not so much so, as to prevent me hearing the commencing enquiry by Vanat Kristna Menon, and the frequent repetition by the Nazir in his reply of the word die.

18. The Garden boy's evidence is the most direct testemony towards the Nazir having had any hand in drugging the soup, but he, it will be seen, only implicates the Nazir, on the alleged information of a third party the Batta Peon / the Peon told me, says the Garden boy, that the Nazir would reward me, if I put 2 Pills into Mr. Forsyths soup / But it is to be remarked, that this statement was given not when the Garden boy was first apprehended, but many days after and when the hue and cry had been turned from his brother-in-law the discharged cook, to the Nazir.

It may be a matter of some question

DJ

question as to what influence the boy was subject to during this time, and whether he may not have been guided more or less by a desire to relieve his brother-in-law from whom suspecion had receded though at another persons expense. His primary Statement of knowing nothing had been thoroughly disproved, and he was fairly driven to lay the fault on some one.

19. I conclude with a few general remarks.

It is extremely unfortunate that no examination was made of the soup that was left or of what was thrown up by the partakers of it. The importance of that struck me the moment I heard of the matter, and I at once wrote about it. But this was too late. we are forced now to content ourselves with conjectures.

20 What is it probable that the soup was drugged with?

The Witnesses for the prosecution say, the Nazir told them it was arsenic prepared with the fat of a serpent.

Mr. Forsyth thinks some mineral and that the reason why he was more ill than his guest Lieut. Barclay was the latter was helped to the upper portion of the soup, while he partook of

of the lower in which more of the sediment would be found.—

Were this correct the bearers who partook of the residue of the soup should have suffered more than any one—But this does not appear to have been the case

In the Friend of India of the 22d ultimo No. 855, there is a mention of a man who took so powerful a dose of arsenic that the stomach rejected the greater part of it. But he did not thus escape. He lingered for 10 days and then died in the most excruciating tortures 4th Report of Shangee Hospital.

21. But this is shewn to be unlikely by Dr Ierdon who is convinced by the account he heard of the symptoms that the substance was vegetable.

22. But whether vegetable or mineral is there any sufficient reason to believe it was poisonous i.e intended to kill and not as was originally supposed to disgust in the hope of getting a discharged cook reinstated.— Vide para 12.

23. Were the symptoms of those who partook of the soup such as proves this intention to kill.

From Dr Ierdon's deposition as also Mr apothecary Tyrrell, it would seem they were much the same as would follow the administration of any strong emetic.

Had

Had it been virulent poison, is it probable that it could have been retained in the system so long as it was especially by Lieutenant Barclay, without doing more damage. It seems to me likely that if the drugging had really been the Nazirs deliberate handwork, as is asserted in the evidence throughout, he would have secured its being an effectual drugging.—

24. The Nazir is said to have had recourse through the Batta Peon to a Hindoo Fortune teller to exercise his trade to shew which of two prepared drugs would be best. Query, is it probable a Mussulman of the Nazir's station, would have had recourse to a Hindoo for such a purpose and that so openly, as is alleged. Query again would the Batta Peon who allows that he did go to the Fortune teller (he is himself a Hindoo) as he says his own affairs, have been likely to have mentioned

There are fortune telling Books if not fortune tellers amongst the Mussulmans as amongst Christians: in both religions they are forbidden.

If it be said the Nazir had faith in this particular (Hindoo) fortune teller, would he have persisted in the drugging when the answer was unfavorable "the blessing of God would not rest on either of the drugs" said the Fortune teller.—

mentioned the Nazirs name so publicly as asserted before 5 Witnesses, had he been sent for an evil, or at all events, suspicious purpose by that individual.—

25 Query if the Batta Peon had been guilty of administering the drugs thro' the garden boy, would he have had the boldness to come into Mr. Forsyths' kitchen that very day, as he allows he did "to assist Mr. Forsyths servants in moving a heavy ce table".

Note Query Again. Is not the dismissed Cook the more likely person to have explained to the Gardin boy which was the Gentlemans soup Pot than the Batta Peon

26. The suspicion against Ramoty the Batta Peon, for having left Tellicherry for Calicut shortly after the drugging occurred, I have shewn in my letters of the 21st. and 31st. May 1851, not to have the weight which was originally supposed.—

He came to Calicut for apparently a sufficient purpose. to visit a sick relative. He demeaned himself with all freedom and openness while at Calicut, and returned to Tellicherry in 3 days of his own accord. There is

nothing

nothing in all this which betakes any desire for concealment. His evidence before the Tahsildar and Mr. Chatfield seems to me frank and natural. He utterly denies the charge brought against him

27 The Nazir, while vigorously / however vaguely / aspersing other parties, being either those who really have or those whom he probably thinks have aspersed him, in no way allows his own complicity in the drugging.—

28. On a review of all the circumstances mentioned above, I am led to entertain a considerable doubt whether the real clue has been followed up in this case, and whether it is not probable that the Nazir has been accused of a crime committed by Mr. Forsyths discharged Cook by the influence of his enemies, who profiting by the circumstance of Mr. Forsyths' soup having been drugged and of the Nazir having indulged in unseemly language against Mr. Forsyth'

I wish here to guard against the imputation of reflecting on Mr. Chatfield. The clue had apparently been given up by the Tahsildar before Mr. Chatfield took up the case, and Scent once lost is difficult to be regained.—

built

built on these two facts a false superstruc=ture.—

29. With this impression, I should have hesitated in committing the Nazir for the charge of which he is accused, had I had the primary investigation of the case. but considering that Mr. Chatfield, an intelli=gent Magistrate, and who has had the bene=fit of seeing and hearing the Witnesses, is of opinion that notwithstanding the much that is suspicious in the evidence for the prosecu=tion, there is enough of sufficient probability to warrant committal considering further the great desirableness of having the most full, free and impartial enquiry imaginable into so complicated and important a case and lastly considering that the permission for committal has actually come from me I resolve now merely to record these my remarks, and to submit them with the other record for the judgment of the Criminal Court or Courts as the case may be.—

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

D

D Calicut 19th June 1851.—

To H. Morris Esqre
Sessions Judge
Calicut.—

Sir

I beg to know whether you are aware of any objection to my commencing to examine the Ootyam Tahsildar on the subject of his having, as asserted, induced Mr. Forsyth's garden boy to give false evidence.—

An early answer will oblige

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate.—

No. 729.

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Magistrate of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor in reply to your letter without date, just received to suggest that the enquiry should be postponed until I have disposed of the case now pending before me and which I hope to do immediately.—

Sessions Court
Calicut
19th June 1851.

(Signed) H. Morris
Sessions Judge

To

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

No. 1318

To

H. Morris Esqre

Sessions Judge Calicut

Sir,

In reply to your letter of this date No. 229 I have the honor to inform you that I will delay making any examination of the Cotyam Tahsildar till I am further advised.

2. I think it will be let you know that I have already taken two or three depositions in this matter in the view of receiving information from the parties who gave it could be tampered with.

But no formal enquiry has been commenced nor indeed could be properly pending the absence of the accused Tahsildar.

Calicut.... } / Signed / H. V. Conolly
19th June 1851. } Magistrate.

No. 230

To

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Magistrate of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to your second communication of this date I have the hon

to

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

to state that I can see no objection to your examining any further parties with the view of obtaining information from them ere they can be tampered with.

Sessions Court .... } / Signed / H. Morris
Calicut, 19th June 1851. } Sessions Judge

No. 232.

To,

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Magistrate of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to our correspondence of yesterday I have the honor to state that as Mr. Forsyth has withdrawn his appeal against the decision of the Sub Court in order that no obstacle may be offered on his part to the inquiry you propose instituting into the conduct of the Cottayam Head of Police, I can no longer see any objection to its immediate commencement.

Sessions Court .... } / Signed / H. Morris.
Calicut, 29th June 1851 } Sessions Judge

To,

H. Morris Esqre.

Sessions Judge. Calicut.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of this days date in which you inform me that Mr. Forsyth has withdrawn his appeal against the decision of the Sub Court in order that no obstacle may be offered on his part to the enquiry I propose instituting into the conduct of the Cottayam Head of Police. If this be the only reason for Mr. Forsyth's withdrawal I would beg to remark that I do not think that any injury to the cause of justice would arise from a slight postponement of the trial and I should be very sorry,

that

that any consideration of this nature should prevent Mr. Forsyth from obtaining whatever benefit he may think his appeal to you would be likely to produce in case it were prosecuted to the end.

It would of course be a great advantage were I favored with a knowledge of the opinion which you have formed of the Tahsildar's conduct after the searching investigation which I am aware you have been making into the record of this case for the last 2 or 3 days and I would solicit on public grounds that I be furnished with it when formed.

Calicut, 20th June 1851.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Magistrate.

To,

H. V. Conolly Esqre
Magistrate of Malabar

Sir,

Having allowed Mr. Forsyth to withdraw his appeal and returned the proceedings to the Subordinate Court I feel that I should not now be justified in passing any opinion upon the case, even if I had formed one, and must therefore

therefore decline doing so.

Calicut 20th June 1851.

/Signed/ H. Morris
Sessions Judge

/True Copies/

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 70.

(42)

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

B. No. 1527

From the Collector of Malabar

Dated 30th ultimo

Sir,

In compliance with Mr. Chatfield's request I have the honor to forward an original letter just received from him in which he solicits that the Board will suspend the expression of any opinion on the subject of my report on the Cotyoor Tahsildar, till he has had an opportunity of discussing the grounds of my proceedings.

2. I have informed Mr. Chatfield that his proposed discussion must of course be forwarded thro' me. I shall at once forward it to the Board with any remarks that may appear called for

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

for on my part.

3 The Tahsildars appeal will no doubt meet with due consideration. I shall merely wish to see it in case it contains any assertions of facts which have not been alluded to in my report.

Calicut 1st July 1851 [Signed] A. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am informed by Mr. Conolly that he has suspended, and recommended for dismissal, a Tahsildar of one of the Talooks under my immediate control. I have the honor, however, to request that the Board will kindly suspend the expression of any opinion on this matter until I have had an opportunity of discussing the grounds of the Magistrate's Report and until the Tahsildar has submitted his vindication.

Joint Magistrate's Office
Tellicherry,
30th June 1851.

[Signed] R. Black
Joint Mag.

No. 79.

To

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

43

Bd. No. 1765.

From the Collector of Malabar, dated 10th July 1851.

Submitting his remarks on a letter from Mr. Shatfield commenting on his recommendation to the Board that the Head of Police of Tellicherry be dismissed the service for gross abuse of official authority.—

Sir,

With reference to my communication of the 28th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward a letter from Mr. Shatfield commenting on my recommendation that the Head of Police of Tellicherry be dismissed the service, for gross abuse of Official authority.—

2- Mr. Shatfield in his attempt to refute my views, has wandered into details which to my mind are not altogether relevant or becoming. I am exstremely desirous that as little personal feeling as is posible should be mixed up with this matter, and have endeavored in my replies, which for convenience sake I have given in parallel Notes on his letter, to confine myself to the elucidation of the question in dispute. I regret that in so doing, it has been necessary in various instances to expose mistakes of a serious nature on Mr. Shatfield's part.—

3- My impression as to the criminality of the Tahsildar is in no way shaken by any

any thing that Mr. Chatfield has brought forward. The comparatively minor charges of his not having recorded important evidence, and having deceived me willfully - are not I think duly met while the very important charge of his having illegally and against the orders of the Joint Magistrate, sent down Mr. Forsyths garden boy to Calicut as a Witness in place of a Prisoner, is answered in a way which I am compelled to think in the highest degree unsatisfactory.

Paras 11 to 14.

Para 17.

Para 19.

4. It seems to me that Mr. Chatfield's generally good judgment has been utterly obscured in this affair and that partly from this obscurity, and partly from a failure of recollection, he has allowed himself to indulge in conjectures and conclusions which when his eyes become enlightened, he will be the first person to regret.

Calicut, 18th July, 1851.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.
Collector.

P. S.

I enclose marked **B** a short Memorandum on a suspecious circumstance connected with the Tahsildar's trial.-

My Notes on Mr. Chatfield's letter are many, but most of them short. The important ones are at Nos 12-13-19-21-23-25-27-30-31-34-36-38-39-41-46-49-51-53-56-63-65-72- and 76.-

Enclosure **A**.-

To,

W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Referring the Collector & Magistrate.

Para 1.- Having received Mr. Conolly's Report regarding the Cottiyore Tahsildar, I have the honor to place before the Board my reply to the strictures of the Magistrate against a man whom little more than two months since, he declared "one of the most efficient Native Functionaries in Malabar".-

1. Ability is no apology for criminality.-

2. It is not requisite I should enter into a very minute detail of the circumstances connected with this Case. The Tahsildar will do this for himself, and I shall restrict my observations to those points of Mr. Conolly's Report which bear more strongly against the Head of Police in certain Official proceedings. The most important accusations are his omission to pursue the clue against the dismissed - Cook - his aiding in or hatching a conspiracy against the Nazir, his with-holding important information from the Magistrate, he knowingly deceiving me, his forwarding the 3d Prisoner as a Witness - his obtaining a compulsory confession, and omitting to record some necessary evidence.-

On

3. On the 28th of last April Mr. Forsyth and Lieutenant Barclay, who was dining with him on a chance meeting and not on a pre-engagement, were seized immediately after partaking of soup, with violent retching and purging; similar effects were produced on two Bearers and a couple of Dogs who partook of the remaining contents of the Soup Tureen. The effects produced on Mr. Forsyth were exctremely severe, and lasted for several days; the Bearers thought themselves poisoned and resorted to Native panaceas for their recovery. Lieutenant Barclay from having tiffed at midday, was less affected than Mr. Forsyth, but even he did not fully recover for a couple of days. I beg to call particular attention to the very peculiar circumstances under

Mr. Shatfield is writing without due recollection of the record which is at Calicut. The record does not support this view of the severity of the consequences attendant on partaking of the soup. The only person who was much affected was Mr. Forsyth. Mr. Barclay vomited once after a hearty dinner of Mutton chops, and was well enough to walk home and come to see Mr. Forsyth early the next morning. The Bearers depose to having been little affected. The necessity of this correction of Mr. Shatfield's Statement will be seen hereafter.

under which the attempt was made. I was away on Circuit. the Medical Officer was at Bangalore, and for once no visitors were at Mr. Forsyth's house. On the 29th about 12 O'clock the Cotteyom Tahsildar came into Tellicherry to investigate the Case. Mr. Forsyth and his household had very naturally at first attributed the attempt to poison him to a dismissed Cook, who, with his brother-in-law, a Garden boy, was seized and questioned. The Garden boy was seen on the 28th in the Kitchen, and on being asked a few questions made some very bungling excuses which increased the suspicion against him. The whole of the 29th and 30th was taken up by the Tahsildar in interrogating Mr. Forsyths servants, and not a little not the smallest gain, of evidence was elicited against the dismissed Cook. Words of anger said to have been heard used by him against his successor were disproved and found, in a very modified sense, to have been applied not to the new Cook but to the old Maty.

3 This fact rests not on evidence taken at the time, but on recollection, and I think very suspicious recollection of many days after. One of my Charges against the Tahsildar is that he did not take this evidence at the time.

Vide my Report Para 12

The

4. The deposition taken on the 15th. July - a month after the trial in place of the 29th. April I consider worthless - On this important point see below ¶s. 19.

5. Mr. Chatfield's recollection is not clear from want of the record now before me - It is true that the dismissed Cook was told that he was only to consider himself acting early in 1850 - but as he had continued to act for 11 months, he might not unnaturally have considered himself pretty secure in his place - Mr. Forsyth did not offer him the situation of Cook's maty when the new Cook arrived. He was dismissed summarily with 5 Rupees and turned out of the compound when he came to entreat for restoration, or a Maty's place.

"The Maty's deposition on this point will I suppose be considered conclusive, and if so, all the reasoning from this supposed important fact is totally destroyed. The dismissed Cook knew, for he had been explicitly told so, that "he was merely acting until a more efficient artist could be obtained" - Mr. Forsyth offered him when the new Cook arrived the situation of Cook's Maty, which he however, at first declined, and when he changed his mind and asked for the subordinate place, Mr. Forsyth refused him. He was finally rewarded and dismissed. ~~~

As

"The Prisoners may perhaps bear me a grudge for his dismissal (says Mr. Forsyth on the 26th. May) though I made him a present at the time - He twice petitioned me to reinstate him but without success."

It is almost too apparent to need a passing remark that if the dismissed Cook contemplated a return to Mr. Forsyth's service, he could hardly effect it by murdering him -

6. Of course not, but he might very naturally have supposed he would gain his object by ruining his successor - I have all along held that it is most probable the intent was not to poison - but simply to disgust in order to throw discredit on a man who had displaced him -

As all this happened about 12 days before the attempt to poison Mr. Forsyth, it was natural the first suspicions should rest upon this man, but investigation, if it did not entirely remove them, was unable to give the slightest force or reality to them - ~~~

This Cook - the Maty, and the Garden boy, were kept in confinement whilst evidence was being sought for amongst the servants and other parties considered likely to throw light upon the subject - Nothing however of any importance was elicited, and so very vague and trivial was the suspicion against these 3 persons that, without stronger grounds for their detention being discovered, I should on my return to Tellicherry, have thought it my duty to release them on temporary and personal security. -

Mr.

7- Mr. Chatfield is in error — and can hardly have read — what I did say- I complain — =ed that the Tahsildar while — not sparing of record in com — =paratively unimportant matters, had utterly failed to record — what was of evident importance-

whole days were being questioned and cross — questioned and the general answer elicited was that they knew nothing- The Mate and New Cook — however did know something but as the former was a Prisoner, the information of the latter was alone then recorded- They knew that in addition to the Garden boy being seen in the Kitchen on the

8 So far from skulking it — appears that he was moving — about freely, and that on being asked he went into the Kit: — =chen and gave his aid to — move a heavy table-

28th. the Batta Peon Ramootty was skulking in the neighbourhood- and the as: — =sociation of this man's name and the rumors in circulation and re: — =ported to him against the Bazir first drew the Tahsildar's attention to the import — =ant and only clue-

4. Mr. Conally blames the Tahsildar for not recording — every thing whether releavant or irrelevant regarding this Case — when it concerned the servants- Now I differ on this particular point as much as on many — others- I can prove that Mr. Forsyth's servants for nearly two

5- Mr. Forsyth in one place has stated

stated that the Tahsildar referred to "outside people" on the 30th. but in another place he thinks it was on the 1st. of May- I am inclined to believe the last — was the real date, as the two previous days had been fully occupied in examining the household — servants, and it was in discussing the result of this examination that attention was directed to — another and better clue- There was nothing then against the servants beyond the vague suspi: — =cion with reference to the Garden boy, and, — from his relationship to the dismissed Cook- It struck Mr. Forsyth as it naturally must any one else (his servants and the people at large) that "if it was intended to poison him there must have been deeper motives than the disappointment of a Cook" and here I must

9. I am unable to comprehend — this complaint which I suppose is directed against the Sub: Judge- — The evidence not being sufficient to commit the Prisoners arraigned even if poison had been proved to have been put into the Soup, — there could have been no benefit that I can see in further — agitating

Complain of this all important question having been entirely — lost sight of- it was a question for the Medical men, and will scarcely be credited that — except in my preliminary in: — =quiry it has been totally — omitted, and the real question

of

agitating the question whether it was so or no- What evidence there was as shewn in my Paper of Remarks C para 20 (Enclosure to Report dated 28th Ultimo) tended strongly to prove that the poison suspected by Mr. Forsyth had not been put into the Soup.-

10. How should Hussen Cootty a Peon suspended for stealing fish have had such early intelligence.-

of "poison or not" has been lost in questionable disputes tending to com= promise the evidence, and injure the Characters of those engaged in the prima= ry investigation.-

6 The Tahsildar heard from Hoossain Cootty as he was coming into Tellichery that this busines was not an act of servants but of more con= siderable people. The idea was treasured up in his memory but not pursued until the clue as regarded the servants was perfectly lost, or at least incapable of being unravelled- This man Hoossen Cootty is the subject of much discussion and abuse, so

11. On the nesct day- or the nesct to that at the furthest, the Tahsildar told Mr. Forsyth decidedly that "outside people were concerned."

so I will mention how he comes to act so conspicuous a part in this matter- He lives just below Mr. Forsyths house and was well acquainted with his servants, several of whom on the morning of the 29th came to canvass the matter over with him. It was at once clear to them that so kind and liberal a Master as Mr. Forsyth could hardly be the subject of a servants= passing anger much less of a determination to kill him, and Ramootty, the Nazir's shadow, having been noticed about the premises and both together seen at Mr. Forsyths Tank on the night of the attempt, Hoossen Cootty knowing the hatred of the Nazir to Mr. Forsyth, let his suspicions wander in that direction, and on seeing the Tahsildar coming in talked over the matter acquitted

12- We have the evidence of almost every one, if not of every one of Mr. Forsyths servants taken on the 29th and 30th after this conversation is said to have occurred. How is it that after this "canvassing" they should have deposed in such strong terms as I have remarked on in my Paper of Remarks C (paras 2 and 3) that their suspicion was against the late Cook alone.

acquitted the servants, and said it was the act of out-side people". The evidence of this man is said to be worthless because he was suspended by me on the information of the Nazir's brother, my Havildar.

13. It is not clear why under these circumstances the Havildar was not punished for his bad conduct. Hoosein Cootty's irritation against the Havildar would doubtless have been in proportion to the grievousness and falseness of his exaggeration against him.

The Ameen I see in his report on the fish stealing Case speaks in the strongest terms against this Hoosein Cootty as a "Notorious bad Character" and "unfit for public employ".

It appears that a relative of the Nazir's was implicated in the transaction and my Havildar to save his relative informed against Hoosein Cootty - and left his relative out of the question, grievously and falsely exaggerating the Case against Hoosein Cootty. I heard more fully of the matter on the same day from other quarters and I suspended Hoosein Cootty on suspicion for 6 months. He and the Havildar were subsequently friends, and the latter had several times requested me to pardon him but I declined, and when the attempt against Mr. Forsyth was made all but one month of the punishment had expired. As for the man being a "loose Character" he is much on a par with his neighbours in this respect. As in regards to

14. As he was not pardoned Hoosein Cootty probably doubted the sincerity of the Havildar's recommendation and was no cordial friend therefore.

15. In other words he is a clever rogue - mean enough to steal a fish, and ready therefore for any dirty work.

How

How the Tahsildar can justify the use of this suspended Man of notorious bad character he was evidently as shewn by the record a good deal used I cant say.

to acuteness he is considerably above them.

16. Whether or no a threat was used by the late Cooke against the New one is a point which, as no record was taken of it at the time it ought to have been taken, it is vain to argue about now.

7. The whole argument of Mr. Conolly's 9th Para. is overthrown by the mistake that a threat was used against the New Cooke; and the reasoning of the Maty which is under-scored in the 8th para: is but a natural conclusion so long as no other & better was forthcoming. Even without the mistake alluded to I deny that a "remarkably strong & reasonable suspicion". - could on this point have been entertained against the dismissed Cooke. For what was the evidence? Why no more than the ipse dixit of the Cooke's Maty who was himself in Confinement under suspicion of having a hand in the business - who had a grudge against the Accused - and who was of course willing to relieve himself by accusing another and more suspected person. The only written evidence that was possible

17. What I underscored was not the reasoning of the Maty in the 8th para: but that of Mr. Forsyth in the 9th. -

18. This does not appear from the record. -

19. On sending for this written evidence Mr. Chatfield has to explain that he has

regarding

has made a mistake. No such evidence had been taken from the Maty. To amend this omission Mr. Chatfield takes one now. i.e. on the 15th Instant. 5 weeks after the trial- instead of as it should have been 5 weeks before.- I send No. 1 a translate of it. It will be seen to be any thing but satisfactory.-

20 And nine servants more including poultry boy and such like who did assert nothing but their ignorance. Of their unimportant evidence was recorded notwithstanding it's "lumber."- Why not record what was clearly most important & not lumber therefore.-

Regarding this threat was eventually taken from the Cook's Maty himself, and as there was not another soul in existence acquainted with the fact it was useless to make a lumbering records of their ignorance. the Batter, two Bearers & another servant were however examined, and their evidence recorded on the 29th & 30th April.-

8. On Mr. Conolly's 12th & 13th paras regarding the Tahsildar having given up the clue against the Cook and taken up the darker one against the Nazir, I would observe that the clue against the Cook was never given up but merely suspended during the investigation into the much stronger one against the Nazir. the clue against the one diminished on investigation, whilst the clue against the other unfolded and presented an amount of suspision which is at the present moment as strong if not stronger than ever.

21. This is I think a little "too strong". The Nazir has been released

released with honor by a Criminal Court & restored to his place by the New Sessions Judge of Pellicherry- Are a Joint Magistrate's "suspicions" to outweigh the deliberate belief of a subordinate Jude- a Session Judge and a Magistrate. Myself.

22. There is no sort of relation between the two Charges.-

The Cook was suspected of having put something into the Soup (thro' means of his brother in- law the garden boy) for his own benefit, not for the Nazirs.-

It has been strangely overlooked that even provided the evidence against the dismissed Cook had been much stronger than it is it would not in the least have invalidated the charge against the Nazir. The Cook might have been an accomplice and, as such, would have been made a 4th Prisoner; but how his crimination or acquittal can affect the other Prisoners I am perfectly unable to Comprehend.-

9. Mr. Conolly in his 12th para; says the omission to record certain depositions was against the standing orders of the Foujdaree Udalut.- Mr. Conolly alludes to the dismissed Cook in particular who was a Prisoner & whose deposition I hold ought not, and very rightly was not taken, so long as witnesses were forthcoming to accuse or acquit him- Circular Order Foujdaree Udalut of 4th June 1825 & Clause first & second

23 If Mr. Chatfield had looked more carefully to what I did say he would have seen that my allusion was more general. But I do not quite comprehend what Mr. Chatfield writes about the duty of a

of

has made a mistake. No such evidence had been taken from the Maty. To amend this omission Mr. Chatfield takes one now. ie on the 15th Instant. 3 weeks after the trial- instead of as it should have been 5 weeks before.- I send the translate of it. It will be seen to be anything but satisfactory.-

regarding this threat was actually taken from the Maty himself, and as there was not another soul in existence acquainted with the fact it was useless to trust to a lumbering record of this ignorance. the Batty, two Boys & another servant were examined, and their evidence recorded on the 29th & 30th April.-

20 And nine servants more including poultry boy and such like who did assert nothing but their ignorance. Of their unimportant evidence was recorded notwithstanding it's lumber.- Why not record what was clearly most important & not lumber therefore.-

8.

On Mr. Conolly's 12th para regarding the Tahsildar having given up the clue against the Cook and taken up the one against the Nazir, I would observe that the clue against the Cook was never given up but was suspended during the investigation into the much stronger one against the Nazir. the clue against the one diminished on investigation whilst the clue against the other unfolded and presented an amount of suspision which is at the present moment as strong if not stronger than ever.

21. This is I think a little "too strong". The Nazir has been released.

10606

released with honor by a bench of the Court & restored to his place by the New Sessions Judge of Tellicherry- A rea Joint Magistrate's "suspicions" to outweigh the deliberate belief of a subordinate Judge- a Sessions Judge and a Magistrate. Myself.

22. There is no sort of relation between the two Charges.-

The Cook was suspected of having put something into the Soup (thro' means of his brother in- law the garden boy) for his own benefit, not for the Nazirs.-

first to understand [illegible] that was [illegible] against the dismissed Cook had been much stronger than it is, it would not in the least have invalidated the charge against the Nazir. The Cook might have been an accomplice and, as such, would have been made a 4th Prisoner; but how his crimination or acquittal can affect the other Prisoners I am perfectly unable to Comprehend.-

9. Mr. Conolly in his 12th para; says the omission to record certain depositions was against the standing orders of the Foujdaree Udalut.- Mr. Conolly alludes to the dismissed Cook in particular who was a Prisoner & whose deposition I hold ought not, and very rightly was not taken, so long as witnesses were forthcoming to accuse or acquit him- Circular Order Foujdaree Udalut of 4th June 1825 & Clause first & second

23 If Mr. Chatfield had looked more carefully to what I did say he would have seen that my allusion was more general. But I do not quite comprehend what Mr. Chatfield writes about the duty of a

of

of a Head of Police with regard to a Prisoner.

It is clearly his duty to take some written Statement before he Commits or releases a man whom he has apprehended on reasonable Suspicion.

This is implied in the very C. O. quoted by Mr. Chatfield himself. Mr. Chatfield is Confusing the duties of a Head of Police and Magistrate apparently.

of Section XXVII. Regulation XI of 1816 define with clearness the duties of the Head of Police and shew that in this particular instance, they were not infringed. Common equity as well as common practice, directs a Magistrate to hear every thing against the Prisoner before his own deposition is required. a Contrary step is in fact flying on the face of precedent and established rules by laying an unfair trap for an unwary & perhaps innocent Prisoner, who, thro' mistake or lying may place himself in inextricable trouble if he speaks before being acquainted with the whole tenor of the evidence until in fine the part for the Prosecution is closed.

10 In this Case numerous and damnatory surmises have been adduced from the presumed hostility of the accusers to the Nazir (Vide para: 15) I will now see how this affects the evidence on a very important fact.

24. There is no presumption at all. the enmity as I have said in my Report para: 15 can be proved from Government Records.

On the evening of the 28th a feast was given in the house of a highly respectable and wealthy Merchant of Tellicherry at which the Nazir attended, and where his Batta Peon was seen to accompany him.

25. The principal of them, Avodah for transporting 4 of whose brothers the Nazir got a Medal from Government is a man whose bad character can be abundantly proved from Mr. Chatfield's own records. I have now before me a paper in Mr. Chatfield's hand writing dated February 1850 in which he holds the man to bail for 200 Rs. on Account of his turbulence.

As to Sawan Cootty his brother-in-law what is to be thought of the character of a man who will "improve" upon his first evidence in the impudent manner which I have pointed out in the 10th para. of my Paper of Remarks C.

The Nazir was in personal Chat with three men of wealth, birth and character, when one of them, Jaban Cootty inquired whether he would be promoted

the

2b. i.e. the evidence of Awoolah and his connexions. For my opinion as to this threatning conversation, I beg to attract attention to my paper of Remarks C. para: 12.-

promoted in the changes then about to be effected in the Court. Upon this the Nazir launched out into a abusive tirade against Mr. Forsyth calling him a "Kafir" and "hog" whom he would have killed before if possible and ended by saying "he will die." This conversation is substantiated by incontestible evidence, and the attempt to refute it by the presumed hostility of Awoolla, is rebutted by the known intimacy of the two other men, the father of one, and he a Man of the highest respectability being threatned on the next or following day by the Nazir himself unless he made his son hold his peace regarding the conversation which had occurred at the feast and which the son narrated to his father on the following Morning! The probability of this threatening Conversation is considerably

27. This conversation took place about 18 Months ago. Whether the Principal Sudr Ameen's recollection, sufferings as he was in body and mind when he gave it on the 17th May last, is worthy of particular attention, is to me a matter of much doubt. The Principal Sudr Ameen, says Mr. Chatfield in a letter

enforced by a similar conversation with the Principal Sudr Ameen some Months previous when the Nazir let that high native Officer

of the 17th May, forwarding a first deposition "was so unwell that it was very difficult to obtain a clear Statement." "He is in a state of the greatest prostration & suffering" says Mr. Chatfield in a letter of the next day. If the Nazir had such bad intentions why refrain from them for 18 months

to understand that he would make away with Mr. Forsyth & Mr. Conolly has reasoned on the improbability of this conversation, but all such reasoning is surely futile when the evidence substantiate the fact as in this instance, it undoubtedly does.-

11. On the Morning of the 3d May I had returned from Jumalundy and went to see Mr. Forsyth & talk over the matter with him. All the information I then elicited went to shew that there was nothing to compromise the servants beyond a vague suspicion against the Garden

28 The late Cook and his brother-in-law were avowedly on good terms. The Tahsildar and his bastard brother, the Tahsildar's own admission were nothing to each other as was pretty evident from their respective positions.-

* It is amusing enough to find the legal relationship between the boy & the Cook assigned as a strong motive of criminal action & the Tahsildar's blood relationship to the 2d Prisoner considered no difficulty against his conspiring to destroy him and disgrace his family. The Nazir however had a very different opinion & considers the relationship a reason why the Tahsildar should deal tenderly by the 2d Prisoner thinking it naturally no doubt that he would not wish to see his brother hanged.-

boy and his relative the dismissed Cook. On my return home I sent for the Tahsildar who in stating what he had done and how small

Fort St. George 7th. August 1851.

small a suspicion rested upon the servants, informed me of the rumours about the Nazir and his attendant, the Batta-Peon.- This conversation Mr. Conolly thinks "deceived" me and pre"occupied" my mind & attention. without pretending to more acuteness than my neighbors, I am not inclined to be thought more than ordinarily stolid - and if such a concise & informal conversation is capable of leading a man into error, he must be either very gullible or very foolish. That same day I sent for Atwoolla & questioned him and took his deposition about the conversation at the feast. He mentioned the circumstances merely expressing a wish that he might not be unnecessarily brought forward as he had much to occupy him, and two other persons heard the conversation as well as himself. Mr. Conolly observes that if the Tahsildar had written, instead of spoken

29 Of whom Mr. Chatfield must have heard from the Tahsildar.

The early appearance of Atwoollah the Nazirs known foe, has always to my mind been suspicious.-

Fort St. George 7th. August 1851.-

spoken, to me, I should not have credited the evidence.- Now I neither credited nor discredited the evidence, and most certainly did not act upon it. It raised suspicion and nothing else.- I did not apprehend the Nazir upon it, and had not the Court Servants subsequently come forward, altogether independent of the Tahsildar, the case would never have been committed; but for his threats against Mr. Forsyth. I should have held the nazir to security and reported his conduct to Government.-

12 Mr. Conolly asserts in his 15th. para: "that the majority of "the more important "parties who appeared "against the Nazir "are enemies." Now I must altogether deny this. In the case of Atwoolla it is extremely doubtful. In the case of Vanote Kristnan, the doubt is greater, and in all the other numerous Cases there is no doubt at all.-

30. The more important parties who appeared against the Nazir were

Cundoo Menon.
Venat Kristna Menon.
Govinda Menon.
Avoola.
Sawun Cooty
Hutson Cooty

Reasons more or less strong for the ill-feeling of everyone of these, except the first were proved in the trial.-

Of

31. Govinda Menon & Cooyamen (as Mr. Chatfield has subsequently explained) The mistake as to the first is pretty evident from the Nazir's Cross examination of Mr. Forsyth before Mr. Cooke. The second is one of the men whose evidence I have described as palpably suspicious in my Paper of Remarks &c. Note to para 17.

Of the Court Gomastahs two were his intimates, and one of them a man who was peculiarly tied to him by acts of Kindness extending over several years, and all the other Witnesses, were either indifferent or perfectly independent parties. If in a Mass of evidence where there are some 20 Witnesses, the presumed hostility of two or three is supposed enough to throw discredit on all, where can evidence ever be sustained? Because the Nazir, acting subordinately as Police Officer, aided in apprehending, so far back as 1833 some brothers of Awoolla, it is argued that he cannot be trusted, although the strongest amount of independent evidence

evidence substantiates his assertions. - I deny most emphatically that the men were enemies in the ordinary acceptation of the term, and on the contrary, I assert and can prove, that they were at the time on very respectable terms of intimacy. -

13. Mr. Conolly considers the recantation of the Garden boy a peculiarly strong reason

32. His previous confession was thought vague & insufficient by the Foujdaree Adalut who declined making him an Approver until his truthfulness was further tested by Mr. Cook - Mr. Cook did test it & the boy broke down at once.

Reason for suspecting his previous confession - I do nothing of the kind. The parties had been acquitted after the boy had twice before me, & once in the presence of the Criminal Court, made a clear & explicit assertion of his part in the transaction - Is it reasonable to expect with the known dread entertained of the Nazir, that under such circumstances a boy should

33. How known -

If the fear of this man was sufficient to keep him silent until he saw him seized and in difficulty, his release and triumph were surely certain to produce a similar result.

voluntarily risk himself by a useless exposure to his vengeance; And can Mr. Conolly satisfy himself as to what occurred between the boy's quitting the Court House in the afternoon and appearing before him on the following Morning. It is well known that the boy was taken that night to the House of a Havildar named Cannan whose brother is a Goomastah of the Nazirs and had been active in defending him. That the boy thus passed the night I can assert on the best authority. Viz. the boy himself.

34 I know this perfectly well and would have told Mr. Chatfield so myself had he in place of the somewhat questionable step of re-examining the boy after my examination, asked me the question. A Peon was sent from the Cutcherry to find the boy and bring him to my house. He did not find and bring him till I had gone to bed - at which time he took him to the Havildars house close to my residence and slept in a room with him there.

There was no sort of concerted plan to bring him to this house. That the Havildar should have taken the opportunity to school the boy is possible but not probable - I cannot imagine what he was to gain by it. His brother it is true is a Volunteer in the Sessions Judge's Court of Tellicherry and employed under the Nazir but his Uncle is a Goomastah in Mr. Chatfield's Office on a pay of 15 Rupees and his policy would naturally have been to become obnoxious to neither party - as for his brother having been active in defending the Nazir it rests on mere assertion which I have not heard of before - So far as the record goes the whole assistance he gave was in declining to say any thing against the Nazir when questioned by the Tahsildar. The Tahsildar seems to have been beating up for accusers against the Nazir among the Court Servants - The only man applied to whose honesty appears to have been proof was this Volunteer who would testify to nothing injurious to his Superior -

CA

14

A few words regarding this confession are necessary. Up to the evening of the 13th of May the boy had remained silent or steadfast to his former tale. On the 12th I apprehended the Nazir, not on evidence adduced by the Tahsildar but on evidence of a Court servant, and whether his evidence is true or false has nothing to do with the point I am arguing. On the 13th I began the enquiry; and the boy heard all the evidence which to his mind must have appeared conclusive, for he can hardly be supposed capable of balancing the chances of an acquittal because the Statements were generally of a hearsay nature. Well he left the Cutcherry on the evening of the 13th with his mind impressed that the Nazir and Ramoo

35

35

were fairly entrapped and that he had better escape from the perils surrounding him. On the morning of the 14th he asked the Nauck of the Talook to let him go and perform his prayers at the Pagoda where he would tell all he knew. He was asked what

35 A good word. The boy may very likely have thought that it would not be amiss to travel with such a goodly Company as he now saw marshalled against the Nazir and Peon.

He had full opportunity during the whole of this day of informing me of any ill-treatment or Cajolery but he merely listened to the trial and was silent.

36. And which the Foujdaree Adalat deemed so doubtful that they would not grant a conditional pardon on it. See above note 32.

The

what he knew, and then declared what is now on record. He was taken to the Tahsildar and from the Tahsildar was brought to my house and clearly and without embarrassment, and with many circumstantial details, related his part in the transaction. Is there a particle of evidence to shew that the Tahsildar by intimidation or cajolery elicited this confession? there

The boy's statement differs from what Mr Chatfield gives here in the important point that he declares that it was after prompting that he told what he knew.

See Note No 38.

37 Any thing the boy said afterwards must of course be taken with caution and weighed chiefly by its corroborative evidence as to the truth of his Statement is very strong. It tallies with the evidences of his relatives the Tahsildars Peon and the Tahsildars own confession of the illegal manner in which he sent him from Tellicherry to Calicut.

I need hardly observe that since the boy by his own acknowledgment and recantation, is an unscrupulous liar who did not hesitate to swear away the lives of a couple of men, his subsequent assertions regarding the Tahsildar cannot be considered even worthy of examination of his evidence against the Nazir was unworthy of belief. I suppose it is not worthy of credit because it is now against the Tahsildar. Corroborative circumstances favored his first story but are clearly opposed to the second.

38

The confession itself broken down as it was before Mr Cook is of itself sufficient to throw the greatest suspiccion on the person who had charge of the boy for the 16 days before he confessed confess. The boy in that very confession gave as his reason for confessing that "the Peons and others said "you must in the name of Kekot Ish. "wara reveal the facts, if you are ac. "quainted with any".

left Tellicherry that he would be admitted an approver by Mr Morris and not by Mr Cook is so extravagantly absurd that I can scarcely allude to it without a smile.

39

I doubt the propriety of a Subordinate writing in this style of his Superiors opinion But let that pass unmoved by Mr Chatfield's sarcasm I reiterate my belief that the story is not absurd, and that on the contrary it bears great marks of probability. The Tahsildar if he did so was utterly wrong and that Mr Morris would have given as honest a judgment as if Mr Forsyth had been unknown to him every European who is acquainted with him would at once allow.

himself was likely to believe, that if the boy

there is merely the boy's own assertion after he has been acquitted and left the night in very suspicious Company.

15. The account of the boy's having been told before he admitted an approver

Tahsildar desirous to keep up the boys courage and believing as a native without principle ing, and the very next

boy once got to Mr Morris' Court he would be tenderly dealt with by such a particular friend of Mr Forsyth as Mr Morris is well known to be many very likely have given him the assurance asserted to have been given by himself and his relatives The Tahsildar might think himself sure of Mr Morris tho in doubt of Mr Cook.

40

I never accused the Tahsildar of stolidity. My charge against him has been that he was too clever. that it was of a difficulty and the concoction is so apt and so necessary after the release of the Prisoners that I cannot but

41

What Mr Chatfield may mean here I cannot say. I can hardly suppose he intends to accuse me of being the deplomatest who primed the boy but his language is less cautious than it should have been. The question was put by me (as I mentioned in my Report to the Board of the 28th ULtimo) entirely from my own minds.

the Havildar Cannas.

In his 17th Para Mr Conolly says

16

next we have a degree of foresight which is really wonderful. Can any unbiassed person on perusing this strange anecdote hesitate to assent to anticipation

attribute it to a more clever deplomatist than even

says great stress on the Tahsildars omitting on the 2nd of May to mention in his report that there were suspicions against the Nazir. In that report the Tahsildar and Ameen stated the result so far their enquiries and it does not seem to me necessary or even desirable that mention should be made of a rumour which had not been enquired into and which if false would have called down severe animadversion on the too ready credulity of those who reported it. In fact had it been thought desirable to circulate a false story the rumour would not have been omitted but paraded with as much publicity as possible. and here I would refer to a strange occurrence which at once destroys the foundations of "the hostile" Atwolla being the originator of the conspiracy. My Havildar the Nazirs brother went to the Tahsildar on the 2nd to remonstrate against his encouraging tales adverse to his brother. On being asked

42

It seems to me that Mr Chatfields train of argument goes to prove the very thing he wishes to disprove.

43

asked who told him such was the case, he answers. Atwolla the very man whom Mr Cook and Mr Conolly accuse and consider the primal mover in the conspiracy. So here is the Nazirs own brother on the charge against Atwolla, and shewing that the rumour had at the time reached the brother and of course by a lying excuse, refused at the last moment to attend me on Jummabandy, and as I started on the very day of the attempted poisoning I looked upon him with considerable suspicion, which was not abated by being told by Koofsain Coorty on the 3rd of May that on the 1st the Havildar had called and directed him to be silent on what he had seen, and not make any further search into the case. I sent this man off at once to Calicut where he labored with considerable assiduity by lying

44

I never accused Atwolla of being the "primal" mover in the "conspiracy". It was foreign to my purpose to discuss this point. I think there is great and reasonable suspicion of his having been a Conspirator and an early one.

45 I dont see how he could have done much. He was in disgrace with me for having publicly and before me said something disrespectful of Mr Chatfield in relation to the Nazer his brother.-

46 I cannot imagine any matter which tends to shew how thoroughly Mr Chatfield's better judgment is obscured in this case, and how willing he has been to listen to any thing the Tahsildar chose to bring before him.-

The two brothers in this instance are said to have been engaged in private conversation in an open shop. the one of them

It has since been proved that on the night of the attempted crime this Kavildar and his brother Sacky the Sail Kavildar were in private conversation on the road leading to Mr Forsyths house. In fact the Nazers family were alive and naturally anxious to learn the result of an attempt which if it was intended to kill Mr Forsyth had more persons implicated than is readily imagined. This may be said to be a trivial occurrence but in so great and mysterious

by any as in motives to promote the interests of his brother.-

17 Allowing that my mind received any impressions unfavourable to the Nazir Mr Conolly ceases from the plausible conversation of the Tahsildar, is it not possible that Mr Conolly might himself be personally impressed by the numerous reports diversly circulated in his neighbourhood, and of course most undersignedly brought to his ears." The cleverest man" says Mr Conolly "may be deceived" and I think Mr Conolly was deceived by the numerous

them the Kavildar having as it further comes out taken pains to have a witness to this assupreconcerted act by stopping one of his peons and making him accompany him. The "evidence" was taken some days after Mr Chatfields enquiry was over.-

47 All of which I communicated to and discussed with Mr Chatfield immediately. I beg to offer my thanks" says Mr Chatfield in a letter of the 2nd June 1851. "for all the hints and information you have been so kind as to collect and send me." If

mysterious case very real accident deserves to be weighed

numerous and well concerted

circumstances to which he naturally lent an attentive hearing. I might

if an illustration was required point

to the charges of a Portuguese Cooke which are amusingly and

48.
If the Board have any desire to see what was thought by Mr Chatfield and myself respectively on these points and how far Mr Chatfields sneers are justifiable, I would suggest that the following papers be asked for from the Fouzdaree Adalut to whom the whole record of the case is being sent.-

I doubt the relevancy as well as the propriety of Mr Chatfields remarks and will not swell this record unnecessarily for my opinion of Ramsthys conduct I shortly see paper of Remarks C Para 26- that the Portuguese Cooks deposition was not I think refuted either "amusingly" or otherwise by Mr Forsyth is evident from Para 3 of my paper of Remarks C.

× Letters of 21st & 31st May to Mr Chatfield.

and most decisively refuted by Mr Forsyth I might be excused for shewing that the journey of the Batta Peon 2m Prisoner, but another motive than the peons visit to one of a sick relative and that the innocence is apparent to Mr Conolly has to other people much more of the semblance of guilty cunning.- As Mr Conolly has forwarded his "remarks" these concise illustrations will be understood without any wearisome exposition on my part.-

18

18. The Taksildar is declared to have shewn a decided bias in as much as he forwarded the Garden boy as a Witness and not as he really was, a Prisoner. I ordered this boy to be forwarded apart from the other Prisoners who were proceeding, be it remembered in a very easy manner, without irons and with a Bandy for the Nazir. It was well known that I applied to be allowed to make the boy an Approver, and that I had directed he should be treated with the

49 I refrain from criticizing this extraordinary explanation of Mr Chatfield in detail. I merely beg the Board to read my charge against the Taksildar on this head- the admissions of the Taksildar and Moonshee Amboo, and, the deposition of the Peon who brought down the boy, and then say if this novel defence can stand. I ask the Taksildar question 17th are you not able to say whether Pockan was taken as a Prisoner or a Witness? The Taksildar with great difficulty answers "I am. He was taken as a Witness." To refine now on the word "as" and to deduce from it a meaning which the Taksildar and Moonshee Amboo never dreamt of deducing, anxious as they were for any loop hole of escape, is not, I submit, defensible. The sudden change by Mr Chatfield of the "boy" into a "child" is not ingenuous, (to say nothing of the indirect slur on me) What his exact age may

be

be I do not know, My Moonshee may have made him a little too old. In Mr. Chatfields examination of the 14th May sent to the Foujdaree Adawlut, he is aged about 15 years."

Mr. Frere whose opinion I asked publicly, thinks him 16 and would designate him in a public document as a young man; "He has some beard, and admits that he has been shaved."
Letter from Sessions Judge of Tellicherry 3/18th Instant.

He is certainly no child in the sense evidently intended by Mr. Chatfield here, but a knowing and bold young scoundrel. He stood the cross questioning of the Tahsildar before me with extreme coolness and sang froid, and shewed his independence pretty clearly by resolutely declining to sign any thing before the Joint Magistrate when brought up after his return from Calicut to account where he had been the night subsequent to his release from the Calicut Court. This I have from Mr. Chatfield himself in a public Memo.

the utmost leniency compatible with his position, since from his own shewing, and the probabilities of the case, he had committed an offence in entire ignorance of the consequences. He was told the pills would not injure Mr. Forsyth, but turn his heart in kindness towards the Nazir, and the promise of reward was enough to lead a child of 14 years of age to do a thoughtless act. This Childs age I

see is magnified into 17, whereas he himself declares he is under 15; and his appearance bespeaks him not above 14. He was forwarded to Calicut under charge of a Peon, and the Peon was instructed to treat him kindly and (as it would be in Tamil so in Malialim sarchi pole) like, that is as leniently and considerately as a Witness. Had a reference been made to my indictment the position of this Child as 3rd Prisoner would have removed much of the suspicion which seems to have inspired Mr. Conolly on this trivial and, when explained, as regards the Peons, simple transaction

50

Here is the indictment
W. A. Forsyth Esqre.
Versus.
1 Canothe Namally 2. Chackeatha Ramootty 3. Achatha Pockan.

The 1st and 2d Prisoners with having caused to be administered, and the 3d with having at their instigation administered, some deleterious substance or poisonous drug, to the food served up to Mr. Forsyth on the evening of the 28th April last.

How it has the effect imagined by Mr. Chatfield, I do not see.

transaction. The Tahsildar himself may have supposed there was every chance of the boy being admitted an approver, and I can see nothing objectionable in his saying so. The boy had been in confinement until he started, and was well aware that I had committed him as well as the other Prisoners. There are however suspicious circumstances attending the child's treatment, which require an interpretation. I cannot conjecture. Every Case when forwarded to a Criminal Court is accompanied with a list of the Prisoners addressed

If the Court Nazir be telling a falsehood in stating that he did not receive the particular Yadast which Amboo, the Joint Magistrate's Moonshee, declares to sent him, the only possible deduction is that he wished to favor not the Tellicherry Nazir as imagined by Mr. Chatfield, but the Tellicherry Tahsildar. Had the

See his Yadast in which Amboo evidence. No. 6 in an: B to Report of 28th June 51 states that he described the Garden boy as a Prisoner

been forthcoming it would of course have told against the Tahsildar who wishes to prove that Amboo told him to send him as a Witness.

Mr. Chatfield's refinement on the words as Vide Supra No. 49 is sufficiently disproved by a yadast which I got from the Peon who brought down the Garden boy and which was needful to enable him to cross the ferries. In this (Enclosed a copy) the Garden boy is particularly put down among the Witnesses, and not the Prisoners. I alluded slightly to this yadast at the end of the Peon Bapoo's evidence in my report of the 28th Ultimo.

addressed to the Nazir, but the Nazir of the Calicut Court most conveniently loses and as conveniently forgets even having received such a document.

They were kept in the Court under a Military Guard I believe, but this is a matter on which Mr. Cook of course must answer if called on so to do.

Behold the end of the boy's expectations! He was thrown into Jail at Calicut, whilst the 1st and 2nd Prisoners were left comfortably under the charge of the Nazir. he was assured by Mr. Cook in the Jail that he would not be admitted as an approver, and yet, when brought forward in the dock for examination as a Prisoner he publicly confirms his previous Confession. The Tahsildar we are assured had provided for these marvellous contingencies by telling him he would be pardoned when he

How.

he appeared before Mr. Morris. The boy discredited the Joint Magistrate disbelieved the Sub. Judge disregarded the realities of his prison house and rested in simple reliance on the sure promise of the Tahsildar.

19. Mr. Conolly says the Natives expected Mr. Cook would commit the case, and the Natives formed a correct estimate of evidence and the responsibility of a Sub. Judge when he has the confession of a Prisoner with the direct and indirect evidence of some 16 Witnesses to direct him. There were points however of apparent improbability in European eyes and it was summarily dismissed. The evidence says the Sub. Judge is false

53 Mr. Chatfield in a public letter (sent by me to Government) of the 18th May last, writes thus of this case and evidence.

"I began the investigation of "this strange case in doubt, and "leave it more in doubt than "when I began. We have no evidence "that a deadly drug was exhibit "ed, and it rests on a garden "boy to state that two pills were "given him by the Nazir's attend "ant to be put into the soup "pot. The attendant promised the "lad a reward on the part of the "Nazir before he inserted the "pills in the broth, and then on "the part of the Nazir threatened "him with destruction if he mentioned a

"a word of the transaction. Seve "ral people suddenly start up "who hear the Nazir say he "will make away with Mr. "Forsyth, and in fact, indulges "in this kind of conversation, as "if poisoning a Judge was as "common as thing as poisoning "a puppy dog" and again in a letter of the 20th May, Mr. Chatfield says: "The evidence is "to my mind most unsatisfactory, "and on many points as depend "ing on hearsay and surmise, "would be inadmissible in any "English Court of Justice."

I do not believe he thought he was putting himself in danger. It was I who first pointed out to Mr. Chatfield the man's real position.

sparing evidence for a Court of Justice. Had they conspired we should have had clearer evidence, and every fact revolting to our ideas, I mean the agency of sorcery and astrology would have been carefully concealed. It is incomprehensible on the ground of a conspiracy, that a Witness should criminate himself, as the first has done, when he had a hundred other

"false and without credit," and still more amusingly in another place it is designated as "false and infa "mous." It was probable to Natives, and the very fact of its improbability to us bespeaks a substratum of truth, for the men who are accused of inventing it are not ignorant of Judicial proceeding but in the daily practice of seeing, hearing and pre

other means of accusation open to his cunning without any possible injury to himself.

i.e. not by Mr. Chatfield. Mr. Cook made no mention of the Acting Principal Sudr Ameen nor did I.

20. The Court Servants are not even suspected of having seen or conversed with the Tahsildar on this extraordinary business and the Principal Sudr Ameen has had no motive attributed which can account for his falsely accusing the Nazir. If then there has been a conspiracy, the Merchants, the Tahsildar, the Court Servants, a crowd of witnesses and Principal Sudr Ameen, have combined, or separately undertaken, to lie away a man's situation and place his life, or at least his liberty in jeopardy. Such an amalgamation of disjointed interests would be more marvellous than the most unintelligible absurdity throughout the record of this voluminous trial.'

21. To assert a conspiracy seems to me a pure petitio principii. for

The direct evidence of the more intelligent Medical men tends to prove it was not. Vide the Statements of Dr. Jerdon and Apothecary Tyrrel abstracted in the Enclosure C to my report of the 28th Ultimo. See also the Deposition of the 1st Dresser who attended Mr. Forsyth the night he was taken ill. To the question "Did you suspect that it was poison," he answered "No" and the probabilities of the case are in favor of the opinion at first entertained by Mr. Forsyth and his Servant. Viz. that the act was that of a disappointed Cook.

I see no good evidence to this fact. Vide Supra No. 27.

58 Vide Supra No. 8 where I shew this is not a correct term.

for if it was intended to kill Mr. Forsyth, and who can say it was not, then the man who had often threatened his life, was the man first of all to be suspected. The dismissed Cook is said to have threatened a Maty with his displeasure. the Nazir is known to have threatened Mr. Forsyth with death. The Nazir's Batta Peon was seen skulking about at the time the Garden boy was in the Kitchen. The

represented that for many years past he has held the honorable post of Nazir, and in it received high commendations from his superiors and the Government.

I say he may have been as bad. I do not myself believe it. Mr. Chatfield should have mentioned that in the case cited by him where the Nazir was held to security by Mr. Crozier and myself on a point not affecting moral character, our judgment was annulled by the Sessions Court, and that in the case where the Nazir was dismissed by Messrs. Chatfield and Forsyth, he was restored with honor by the Foujdari Udalut, who thought that the charge was a false one got up by a disappointed and envious Police (of whom the suspended Tahsildar then Mr. Chatfield's Moonshee was the most prominent). Vide Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalut under date the 28th August 1848. Para 3.

had been committed and tried for a rape that a Provincial and Assistant Judge had branded him with the Chance of a suspected thief, Mr. Crozier had held him to security in 500 Rupees and Mr. Conolly had confirmed the sentence. I had recommended his dismissal

As for the "suspicion of complicity in the worst description of murder being still attached" to the Nazir, i.e. as Mr. Chatfield explains to me the suspicion that he was guilty of poisoning Mr. Forsyth, I can only repeat my remark (No. 21) that I think it is any thing but decorous for the Joint Magistrate to persist in the expression of his opinion after the Nazir has been honorably released by the Sub: Court of Calicut and restored to his place by Mr. Frere the new Judge of Tellicherry.

dismissal and Mr. Forsyth had backed the recommendation with all his influence! For such a man as this, with his accumulated crimes, with suspicion of complicity in the worst description of murder still attached to him; are we to hold the Tahsildar on the ground of a few trivial omissions perhaps and the mere supposition of conspiracy, deserving of degradation and dismissal?

64 That the evidence of some of them is to be so branded I have no doubt. See the Enclosure C to my Report of the 28th Ultimo — Para: 17.

Are the Court Servants and 3 Natives of character and wealth to be branded as unscrupulous liars, and the Principal Sudr Ameen declared the

Fort St. George 7th August 1857.

33d Question - In so long a case I am surprised not that there should be a few blunders, but that their number should be so small and of such very harmless tendency. A keen scrutiny will discover some in every case that ever was, or ever will be examined. I had authorized, as he correctly says, an interview between the 2d Prisoner and his mother: but that I had encouraged any communication with him in order to elicit evidence

D.F.

against the Nazir is an idle imagination and I shall leave the Tahsildar to make what explanations he is able." By his candidly avowing however the course he pursued, I attribute his conduct to error rather than a design to pervert the ends of justice -

Candid avowal he made none - See my account of his demeanor Report para 25 -

23. In Malabar, more than perhaps any other Province in India, it is customary for Prisoners when they find themselves in difficulty to accuse the Police of cruelty. On the present occasion we have escaped that charge from the precaution used in having the Police Office transferred to a house adjoining mine. This avenue of Crimination closed the charge of Conspiracy and collusion was alone available for the defence -

See what I did allow, in Enclosure C, para 29. Nothing could have been more guarded than my allowances or more evident that so far as I could see there was not a substratum of truth in the case against the Nazir -

24 - Mr Conolly has allowed (as every body must) that there was sufficient grounds for

for commitment by the Magistrate, and I am consequently justified in supposing he considers the charge to have been built upon a foundation of truth, but I cannot allow there are consistent reasons for believing a Conspiracy without having an assurance that no effort was made against Mr Forsyth's life- If it could be shewn by evidence or even fair deductions that a potion was administered merely to disgust, or annoy, or even charm, I should be more ready to allow the possibility of a conspiracy- But as long as this point is one of pure conjecture, and in opposition to many suspicious occurrences, I consider the very framework of a conspiracy to be destitute of all plausible support- There was no conspiracy if Mr Forsyth's death was designed, and to entertain its supposition on mere conjecture seems to me liable to fetter free inquiry by intimidating the Police, while it offers an unhappy facility to crimes by diminishing the chances of discovery-

See Note 56.

Mr

9- Mr Chatfield should allude to my para as a whole- It will be found as a whole to do the Tahsildar little good-

25- Mr Conolly allows in his 21st para that the Tahsildar may have been deceived, and by this charitable hypothesis destroys, I think the whole force of his previous argumentation, founded on the idea of his being the instigator of a collusive conspiracy- for if he entertained an honest conviction it was either intuitive or derived from evidence; but as the former is hardly to be expected from ordinary men, I must suppose it resulted from a deliberate consideration of the latter, and, if so, what was the evidence exception the very evidence adduced before me which, as I have stated before, was only just sufficient to awaken suspicion in my own mind-

26- I trust it will be remembered if the examination of the Tahsildar before Mr Conolly appears in part unsatisfactory, that it refers to a most intricate case and was taken more than six weeks after the event,

and

and let it be further

The Tahsildar by Mr. Chatfields own shewing Vide 4th para 21 was no ignorant native - He well knew that the Nazir was on his trial on the day that Mr. Forsyth's removal was gazetted at Madras Friday the 13th Ultimo -

be remembered - that Mr. Forsyth was removed, and in the opinion of the ignorant Natives his removal was attributed to the influence of the Nazir with these facts in view - I think some excuse may be fairly offered for a man's not speaking with the readiness and determination that might be expected under less extraordinary circumstances - I should myself be extremely loth to undergo a cross examination regarding this mysterious transaction, and I dare say Mr. Conolly will not hesitate to confess that the Ordial, as far even as he is intended in it would

Many of my grieves were very simple and required nothing but honesty to answer at once. I have seen many unwilling Witnesses - I never saw a more unwilling Witness than the Tahsildar - he shewed himself throughout -

Mr. Chatfield should have been contented with referring to his own unwillingness to submit to a cross examination and not referred to what he supposes, (I think very improperly) would be my feeling on the subject. All I can say is that there is nothing concerned with this transaction to which I am not ready to give the fullest and readiest answers to any one privileged to ask them - I have nothing to be ashamed of - nothing that I would wish to be concealed from my best friend or most rancorous foe -

would not be pleasant, and the result possibly not the most satisfactory -

Undoubtedly it is my duty to make an enquiry into any apparently well founded complaints against my Heads of Police by whomsoever brought forward, and to make them with as little delay as possible. Delay here would not improbably have been very injurious to the cause of truth -

With regard to the provision of the Law and it's execution in cases similar to the present, I beg to refer to Clauses first and second Sec. XXXIX act VII of 1843, and respectfully to ask whether Mr. Conolly has not been premature in his enquiry and judgment, particularly when no review of the Sub: Judges decision has been made, or at least received in the manner required by G.O. N: 185 of Foujdaree (18th January 1847)

Whether Mr. Morris allowing the appeal to be withdrawn without remark after looking well into the papers bears out my construction or no, is a matter of opinion. Some it seems evident that Mr. Morris could not have refrained from expressing his views had he thought Mr. Cook wrong in an affair involving a question of life and death -

27- Mr. Forsyth appealed from Mr. Cook's decision to the Sessions Judge of Calicut, but withdrew his appeal when Mr. Conolly commenced his enquiry into the conduct of the Tahsildar at the termination of that enquiry Mr. Forsyth renewed his appeal which Mr. Morris rejected on the ground of his having once withdrawn it, and Mr. Forsyth has now, I am told, appealed directly to the Foujdaree Adalat.

Under

10647- Fort St. George, 7th August 1857.

Under these circumstances Mr. Conolly cannot claim the silence of Mr. Morris as evidence in support of his and Mr. Cooke's opinion-

28- I have now, I believe travelled through Mr. Conolly's Report and canvassed every point on which he has founded his opinion of Collusion, conspiracy and gross impropriety- I might continue to discuss subjects of minor importance which are fairly deducible from many questionable positions; but, as such a discussion could have little influence on the result, I shall conclude with the sincere hope that no inability to defend, or other adverse circumstances, may lead to the disgrace and ruin of one of the "ablest native functionaries in Malabar" whilst a great Criminal may possibly be left at large, to triumph in his successful opposition to justice.

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Sub Coll. & Jt. Magte.

Since

10648- Fort St. George, 7th August 1857.

P.S.

Since writing the preceding remarks, I find, on a reperusal of the Tahsildar's examination in answers to questions 37 and 38 that exception is taken to his replies respecting the evidence of a man named Nadayambata Cannon, who gave evidence against the Nazir. To the best of my recollection the Tahsildar in reporting this evidence to me, said it was "incapable of proof," but he thinks he told me "it was false." Here is merely a verbal difference of no moment. If the man Cannon lied, I can conceive no motive for his conduct, as it was apt to irritate and estrange the Nazir, with whom he was on good terms

The differences between the Tahsildar and Mr. Chatfield on the point of this man's evidence is irreconcilable. Mr. Chatfield still thinks he may be a true man. The Tahsildar gives him up as too suspicious to produce. Mr. Chatfield still thinks that "the evidence true or false was voluntarily given". Nadayambata Cannon, in a second Deposition which Mr. Chatfield thought it proper to take from him on the 24th June 1857. and in which he repeats his first story in so determined a way that Mr. Chatfield is considerably inclined

Vide supra. where I shew the impropriety of thus designating a man who has been acquitted by his superiors and restored to his post of Nazir.

inclined to believe in his truthfulness, asserts that he was sent for by the Tahsildar, a point which has escaped Mr. Chatfield's attention apparently. How any man accustomed to weigh evidence could ever suppose that this man had not some motive or some one to urge him to tell such a story as he did tell (not till after 14 days of unexplained delay Vide Nazir's Question to Tahsildar No. 38. Enclosure B No. 1. to Report of 28th June 1851.) passes my comprehension. I cannot better end this letter than by begging the Board to read his Deposition here translated in full. The perusal and a reperusal of the Tahsildars answers to the Nazir's cross examination will, I think, be sufficient to shew how utterly Mr. Chatfield's judgment has been led astray in this matter.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate.

terms and had clearly no favorable influence with the Tahsildar. This man repeated his assertions to me a short time since and they are so far corroborated that it is known and proved the Nazir borrowed 100 Pagodas from a respectable Merchant with the view; it was generally asserted, of bribing off evidence. How this can be turned against the Tahsildar I cannot conceive as it seems to me to speak in his favor. He did not invent or force the evidence which whether true or false was voluntarily given.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate
Examination

No. 5.

Examination of Rodoolly Valia-poarahil Shangaren of Rodoolly Deshom, at Tellicherry, in the Cotteyam Taloork, taken on the 1st Karkadagom 1026 or 15th July 1851, before the Joint Magistrate of Malabar.

Questions - Answers -

1. Did Mr. Forsyth dismissed Cook Changaren, at any time, make use of any intimidating expression against the business of the any person or how? Cook he was taken ill and absented himself on leave. During his absence the Cooks business was carried on by me. One day 8 Gentlemen were invited and I prepared dinner for them without any fault. On another occasion, when there was a dinner for 10 Gentlemen, Mr. Chatfield the Tookeddy Sahebs' Cook was sent for and

The dismissed Changaren was formerly the Cooks mate. When he was in office, for some days doing, I heard no complaint against the business of the Cook.

Fort St. George, 7th August 1851.

and caused to prepare the meal. The next day I believe, several Gentlemen were invited. A Pariah, the Cook of the General of Cannanore, having come that day was taken in the service. The following day the old Cook, Changaren, came and made a representation to the Sahib (Mr. Forsyth) through the Butler, and the result, as communicated by the latter, was, that the Sahib ordered that he (Changaren) should be dismissed, and Ja appointed in his place, which was accordingly done. Afterwards Changaren said to me, "Was it not I who got you employed here? I am now without a situation. You did not intimate to me that a new Cook was coming you have put sand in my meat." I answered that I did not know any thing of the matter. It is known to God. One day either before or after the Sahib got sick, I mentioned to the Butler what Changaren said to me as above; but did not inform the Sahib of it. I do not know whether the Butler

Fort St. George, 7th August 1851.

Butler brought it to the Sahib's notice, nor am I certain whether I told the Sahibdar of it or not. When that Officer came to Mr. Forsyth's to hold an enquiry, he questioned me Vivâ Voce, but I do not know what answer I gave, as I was then sorrowful in consequence of the Sahib having dismissed me.

2. Do you know of the said Changaren of his having made use of any such angry expression as expression to the new Cook? I hear it.

3. Is what you have heard read correctly recorded? With the exception of that part where it is mentioned that Changaren had said "you did not intimate to me that a new Cook was coming, all the other parts are precisely the same as I have stated, and there is no difference therein.

The underscoring is mine.

S.d. H.V.C.

Signature.
Signed/ R. Chatfield
Joint Magistrate.
/True Translation/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate.

List

Fort St. George, 7th August 1851.

and caused to prepare the meal. The next day I believe, several Gentlemen were invited. A Pariah, the cook of the General of Cannanore, having come that day was taken in the service. The following day the old Cook, Changaren, came and made a representation to the Saheb (Mr. Forsyth) through the Butler, and the result, as communicated by the latter, was, that the Saheb ordered that he (Changaren) should be dismissed, and I appointed in his place, which was accordingly done. Afterwards Changaren said to me, "Was it not I who got you employed here? I am now without a situation. You did not intimate to me that a new cook was coming you have put sand in my meal" I answered that I did not know any thing of the matter. It is known to God. One day, either before or after the Saheb got sick, I mentioned to the Butler what Changaren said to me as above; but did not inform the Saheb of it. I do not know whether the Butler

Fort St. George, 7th August 1851.

Butler brought it to the Saheb's notice, nor am I certain whether I told the Talisildar of it or not. When that Officer came to Mr. Forsyth to hold an enquiry, he questioned me Vivâ Voce, but I do not know what answer I gave, as I was then sorrowful in consequence of the Saheb having dismissed me.

2. Do you know of the said Changaren of his having made use of any angry expression likely to create fear in the new Cook? I hear it.

I do not know having made use of any such expression to the new Cook, nor did the new Cook tell me.

3. Is what you have heard read correctly recorded?

With the exception of that part where it is mentioned that Changaren had said "you did not intimate to me that a new Cook was coming, all the other parts are precisely the same as I have stated, and there is no difference therein.

Signature.
(Signed) R. Chatfield
Joint Magistrate.

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate.

List

The underscoring is mine.
S.d H.V.C.

10053 Fort St. George, 7th August 1851

No. 2.

List -

Produced by the Poon Banpoo before the Magistrate on the 16th June 1851

Mark of the Poon

1. Achata Poken ---- the Pandaram boy -
2. Poradata Ojpain Costly -
3. Kanishen Kelloo --
4. Kanishen Pyrapen -
5. Kottale Awoollah -
6. Vayaprata Saban Costly -
7. Veliaparamben Coven -
8. Poolento Ali Coonjoony -
9. Valiapoorail Changaren -

As the above persons are taken to the Subordinate Court at Calicut in the matter of a complaint preferred by W. Forsyth, they should be taken across the ferry without delay and without payment of toll -

7th June, 1851 -

/Signed/ Ramoony
Tahsildar

(True Translation)

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate

10054 Fort St. George 7th August 1851

No. 3 A

Examination of Madayambathu Canan, Hindoo Teen, Nephew of Koondan, 24 years of age, a writer of Thiruvangad Deshom & Unshom in Cotyam Talook, held on the 1st Eddawom 1026 corresponding with the 13th May 1851 before the Tahsildar of Cotyam Talook.

Questions	Answers
1 You stated that you knew some particulars. State truly what they are?	I forget whether it was on the 19th or 20th of Medom that when I was returning from Erinholly I entered the house of Achathen Poken at 11 O'Clock for the purpose of drinking water. I drank water and was sitting there when Poken's mother Bademathy spoke thus: "The Nazir Manally "having caused the boy to do something against "the Judge, the boy was now seized and taken "to the Talook. We get 3 Pice as hire. He is a "good gentleman & now we have lost his pro- "tection. The Nazir is not to be seen now. "My boy is not restored to me, you must "tell the Nazir to give me back my boy. "Otherwise I will cause the truth to be "stated." On the same day at about 5 or half after 5 O'Clock I mentioned this to the Nazir at his Narinhaportha house He

He said "This should not be known to any "one - I will give them something and release "and give back the boy. I spoke to Poyaprathu "Coonky Packey for 100 Rs. we can get this "money & go there tomorrow night & you must "come here". I went the following night and taking 100 Rs we proceeded at 10 O'Clock in the night to Badamathy's house. There was then there a person whose name I do not know - Badamathy was called & the 100 Rs. given to her - and we left the place after she was requested not to mention to any one - This is what occurred.

2 What confidence led Badamathy to speak thus to you & you to relate it to the Nazir?

I have a house at Chi: rakarah, and when I go there I sometimes enter that house. The Nazir and I are acquainted with each other - My father was formerly employed as Batta peon under the Nazir - I used then to go there sometimes and consequently I continue to keep up acquaintance with him as before This is the reason which induced me to mention the circumstance to him.

3 Did you mention this to any one besides here?

I did not mention it to any one besides here

4 Is what you have heard read over the same as you stated?

Yes -

(Signature)
(Signed) Ramoony
Tahsildar -

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector and Magistrate -

C. No. B.

Examination of Madeambatah Kannen, nephew of Tolen, aged 24 years, Hindoo Tsien, an urzee writer, residing at Tiruvan: gad Deshom & Umshom in Cotoyam Ta: look, taken, on Solemn affirmation, before the

the Joint Magistrate of Malabar, on the 12th. Mithoonum 1026 corresponding with the 24th. June 1851.

Did the Coteyam Tahsildar examine you regarding any points connected with the investigation lately held against the Nazir Manally?

The Tahsildar took down a deposition from me - After the Nazir had been apprehended, one day, at 9, or 10 O'Clock A. M. in the Month of Eddavam, the Tahsildar sent for me, by a Kolkar whose name I know not, to the Bungalow where he was holding his Cutcherry, and asked me if I knew any thing regarding the investigation which was then going on against the Nazir, adding, that I should in that case state the truth. Determining to depose all the particulars I knew, I did so. One day; either the 19th, 20th. or 21st. of Medom, I saw the Prisoner Poken's Mother, who then told me thus: "The Nazir having "got my Son to do Something or other to the "Judge Sahib (Mr. Forsyth), my Son has been

"been apprehended and confined in the Talook "Cutcherry - & the 3 Pice which he used to earn "every day, is also lost in consequence of this. "The Nazir now takes no notice (of us)". She then desired me to tell this news to the Nazir, which I did the same day evening - Whereupon the Nazir observed, "Tomorrow we both can go together (to her house) - I have applied to Coorky Packey for 100 Rupees, and when these are obtained, Something should be given to her" - I then left the place - On the following day evening at 6 O'Clock, I went to the Nazirs Nattangapurata house, & after 8 O'Clock, the Nazir & myself set out from thence - the former taking from inside the house some Rupees, but I know not how many - We went to the house of Poken's Mother at 9 P.M, & on arriving there, found her & a Tien, whose name I know not, engaged in some conversation - The lamp (of the house) was then burning, and on our reaching the door of the house, the Tien came out & spoke privately to the Nazir - The latter then said, "call her" - & the tien called the woman aforesaid - The Nazir delivered the Rupees he had with him into her hands, but I am not certain what was

the

amount - The Nazir told her thus: "Dont "mention this to any one - I will get the boy "released - no evil will result to him". We both then left the place immediately and on reaching near the Nazir's house, he went in, and I proceeded to my house. The Nazir told me, that I also should not mention this to any one - As I Knew all these circumstances, I stated them before the Tahsildar, & gave a Kyfeut also to this effect - This is what has occurred. -

At what place did you see the Woman above mentioned? | I saw her in her own house - I have a Parambo in the neighbourhood thereof - I went there to see it, & from thence proceeded to Erinjolly - It was on my return from that place, that I entered her house for the purpose of drinking water. We are acquainted with & friends to, each other. Her name is, I think Buddomathy -

Are you a friend or an enemy to the Nazir? | I have been a friend to the Nazir from my infancy. My father

Kannen

Kannen was formerly a Batta Peon under the Nazir, & since that time we have been on friendly terms with each other - There is no enmity between us.

Are you in the habit of frequenting the Nazir's house? | I do not go there every day, but use to do so once in every one or two Months. The fact of my visits being few, does not, however, lessen the friendship which exists between us.

You have stated that while you went to the tiety's house, there was a tiety whose name you do not Know - Can you point him out now? | I shall not be able to point him out, nor do I know where he lives.

What was the cause which led the Tahsildar to send for, and examine you? | Every one Knows that the Nazir & myself are intimate friends - It might have been on this account that I was sent for (by the Tahsildar).

Is what you have heard read over correctly recorded? | Yes.

Signature

Further

Fort St. George 7th August 1851:-

Further questions.

Did the Nazirs younger brother Moopa come to your house this day?

yes he came and stood on the high road, down the steps leading to the gate of my house, and told me to write (a Petition) for him. I answered I had no Ink; upon which, he went back. I have not written any Petition whatever regarding this investigation

Signature

Solemnly affirmed before me this 24th June 1851.

(Signed) R. Chatfield
Joint Magistrate

(True Translation)
(Signed) H.V. Conolly
Collector and Magistrate

Vide letter from Malabar 25th June 1851 N:85.

Note The evidence was given when the Tahsildar was at Calicut for his trial. The party appears to have thought he would aid the Tahsildar. A query had been sent to Mr Chatfield on a point referring to his former evidence.

B

Memorandum

Towards the conclusion of

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

of the first day's examination of the suspended Tahsildar I had reason to suspect what he said about the garden boy Veera, and gave orders in his hearing that Mr. Chatfield should be requested by yadast to send down his Moonshee Ameen, a Tahsildar Naik and Anoolah, immediately, in order to test the Tahsildar's assertions. To avoid the Tahsildar communicating with these parties ere their arrival, I continued the examination till the post had gone out to Tellicherry.-

Some days ago a Brahmin Moonshee reported to me that he had ascertained that the Tahsildar on being allowed to go to his house that evening, had at once sent off a Brahmin hanger-on as a private express to Tellicherry with instructions to Amboo, the Naik & Anoolah as to what they were to say when they appeared before me with reference to my expressed suspicions. The instructions had been written in great haste on an open piece of Paper which the Brahmin was to give with a letter. The Moonshee Amboo to whom they were directed had after reading the letter and instructions sent the Brahmin on with the letter to

Anoolah

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

Arolah and in the confusion of having to appear before me himself had forgot to reclaim them. My Moonshee had met this Brahmin on his return from Tellicherry and in conversation had wormed this out of him.

The story was one which did not recommend itself to attention at first. It seemed very like a trick on the part of the Tahsildar's foes; but the natural suspecion on this head could hardly stand against the fact, that the paper of instructions when produced had every appearance of being what it was represented.

No. 485

I enclose the Original and it's translate. The hand writing, My Head Shenistadar (on whom I called publicly as a disinterested and thoroughly sufficient Witness for an opinion) states decidedly is that of the suspended Tahsildar, and on examination of its contents as shewn in the translate, compared with the latter part of my first days inquiry, give strong internal evidence as to its being a bona fide production. Ambo and the Naik did take as much as they could the line indicated for them - and Arolah did not appear (as is recommended in the paper) till Ambo had left Calicut.

His

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

His delay may have occurred from his not being at Tellicherry when my Yadast to Mr. Chatfield arrived, but from what I have heard, I think he was.

I wish the circumstance I am reporting to have no more weight than it deserves. The information gained by treachery was none of my seeking but having been gained I think it right to submit it - Valeat quantum

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Magistrate.

No. 5

Particulars of what Ambo should state.

You should state with respect to the report of the 2d May, that it was not then known to a certainty by whom the poison was given - that the first intimation given to the Saib was upon common rumour & upon what Koolsum Coolly said - that when it was afterwards mentioned to the Saib that they were the persons who did it, the Saib ordered you to tell me to find means to obtain proof - to keep them in confinement till the suspecion against them is cleared up, and that you communicated these particulars to the Tahsildar.

2d You should also state that

that you were ordered by the Saib to tell me that, He who was confined 14 days was, when sent to the Court, to be forwarded as a witness, but to prevent his run=ning away, he should be looked after as a Prisoner would be.

Particulars to be stated by Muroolah.

That Mr. Forsyth was poisoned and that Mamally & some others were the persons who gave the Drug- was men=tioned to him (Muroolah) by Woojsan Rootty and some others. besides which there was rumour to that effect. What he was stated should not differ from what he has already stated in his examination he should refresh his me=mory (by a reference) and keep it well in mind and state all without any difference. He should compare the above hints with what was stated in his former Kyfeut and state accordingly. He should ask for a Copy of his Kyfeut and make his Statement.

When I was being ex=amined the Saib was very angry and rough towards me:- mention this

this to the Saib.

moreover, you should get the Naigue to say that he who was 14 days in confinement made the first confession to him If any en=quiry be made from hence about the subject connected — with the 14 days confine=ment there will be no harm in your send=ing in a reply saying that you don't — precisely

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

precisely recollect whe-
ther any order was
given or not.

It will be suf-
ficient if Anwallah
comes after you shall
have come and returned.

To Friend Sirdar.

(Signed) Ramasamy

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

D.F.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

4H

B. No. 1743
From the Lt. Coll. } Instant
of Malabar ... }
Continuation
Remarks on
Mr. Conolly's
Report upon
the Collectorate
Tahsildar.

In my letter of the 10th
I omitted to allude to the remark
in the 14th para of Mr. Conolly's reports
namely, "that the necessity of this taking
up the case will be as apparent to the
Board as it was to Mr. Chatfield".
I am not aware of ever having expressed
a public opinion on the subject, and
if I had it would be the exact contrary
to the one Mr. Conolly attributes to me.
I have considered Mr. Conolly's proceedings
in this case as the exact of supervision
authority beyond my influence and as far as
the ends of justice were concerned, rather unfortu-
nate than otherwise. It seems to have
been prematurely lost sight of that I conducted
the Original enquiry committed the case, and
was of course the most competent person
to answer suspicious questions; but the
matter was removed from my
supervision transferred to Calicut,
and

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

and the Tahsildar who had been acting under my immediate directions, suspended and recommended for dismissal, without the slightest reference to me. This might be all perfectly legal, but I opine it hardly courteous to me, unless my abilities or integrity were impugned, or just to the Tahsildar if he had a right to the benefit of all my information. Under these peculiar circumstances the punishment of the Subordinate must be regarded as a direct slur upon his Superior, and the upshot cannot fail of evil consequence to my authority and independent action, altho' I am fully persuaded nothing of the kind was intended or even contemplated.

2. Another point was omitted, which on mature reflection I feel it necessary to canvass in vindication of the Tahsildar, however much I could desire to refrain from recording any thing distasteful to Mr Conolly. In the closing para of his report Mr Conolly refers to his Memorandum of the 7th and in another place draws the

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

the attention of the Board to this most extraordinary paper. When I had terminated the enquiry Mr Conolly requested to see the proceedings and I to gratify his curiosity forwarded them, the act was wrong and I have reason to regret it, Mr Conolly detained the Documents for about a couple of days, and what was my astonishment to find on their return, and after I had committed the case that he had, from his cursory perusal actually written and submitted to the Sub Court a long and formal judgment of acquittal with many condemnatory strictures against the Head of Police; I replied as quickly as possible, but my reply was not in time, I fancy to remove the impression of his remarks, but, whether in time or not I believe it was never included in the Record of the case. In this paper I exposed the error regarding the imaginary Shroff of the dismissed Cook and and consequently surprised to see it repeated as if it

it had never been refuted. Mr. Conolly observed in the para under review that Mr Cook agrees with him in his estimate of the evidence, and from a comparison of Mr Cooke's decision with Mr Conolly's remarks I have no doubt the assertion is correct, nor is it subject=ing that the Sub Judge should receive a color to his opinion from the decided observations of the Magistrate.

3. And here I would ask a question which I am at present totally incapable of answering, viz^z why if the evidence was so clearly "infamous" "incredible" and "false" were not the Witnesses put upon their trial? the Garden boy surely deserved some punishment and if a formal accusation had been preferred to me I should have considered it imperatively necessary to have sifted the matter and convicted the Court servants, or by acquitting them, have of course further implicated the Nazir. What is the present state of affairs? I have no power to act, and

and, if I had, there could be no appeal from me to Mr Conolly since by exercising his authority, in the primary investigation, he has disannulled his appellate jurisdiction without exerting it,— The Court Servants remain in the unmolested enjoyment of their Offices, the Garden boy is at large— the Nazir is reinstated in his post, and the Tahsildar on a surmise of complicity is alone punished and threatened, with eventual ruin— and disgrace.

4. To descending from Mr Conolly and Cooks objections to the evidence of Hoosein Cootty "a suspended Peon and of loose character" I omitted in the 6th para of my letter to state that another witness, a Nair unexceptionable in character and unaccused of "hostility" confirmed all that Hoosein Cootty asserted. Neither Mr Cook or Mr Conolly met this fact which of course sweeps away a main objection to evidence which however was not of the most important description.

It

Fort St. George 7th. August 1857.

It was a circumstantial detail regarding locality, and Hossein Cooly is proved to have spoken the truth, and the Nazir and his Batta Peon to have been at Mr. Forsyth's Tank on the evening of the attempted murder. Mr. Conolly reasons against this fact on the ground of improbability, but I must be allowed to consider his reasoning inconclusive and unphilosophical so long as the annals of criminal history show us in almost every page that the conduct of great villains is often surprizingly opposed to the first rudiments of prudence and the ordinary dictates of common sense.

5.

To avoid any mistakes of inference I beg to guard against my argumentation here and elsewhere being taken as a proof of my entire belief in the evidence or even the foundation on which this mysterious case is constructed. The evidence I have always considered unsatisfactory and from being in general hearsay and the crime so great could scarcely warrant a conviction but it was enough to leave a

Fort St. George 7th. August 1857.

a strong suspicion in my mind which has not been weakened by any subsequent discoveries or occurrences and this very minuteness and circumstantiality by, showing an absence of collusion were in my eyes the best criteria of its truth.

6. As Mr. Conolly delayed the transmission of my vindication for some days and has undertaken I presume to refute my assertions I trust the Board will allow me an opportunity of examining such remarks as may seem to throw discredit on any of my facts. I have no doubt of being fully able to substantiate all I have said / and much more if it was required / at this I draw more upon memory than is desirable, but as all the Original documents are in the Magistrates possession I have merely my recollection to rely upon to guide me through the mazes of this mysterious transaction.

I

10675 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

7. I have sent a copy of this letter to Mr. Conolly and only do not forward it to Travancore in consequence of the Tahsildar I am told, having submitted his appeal.

Tellicherry, 19th July 1851. } Signed R. Chatfield. Asst. Collector.

No. 83.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

B. No. 1763. From the Collr. of Malabar... Submitting the replies to one or two points of Mr. Chatfields letter of the 19th Instant & sent to the Board direct.

1. Since writing my communication of the 18th Instant, which goes by today's post, I have received from Mr. Chatfield a copy of his letter of the 19th Instant sent as he informs me, direct to the Board, to one or two points of this letter it is imperative on me to reply.

2. As regards Mr. Chatfields first para, I have to remark that I shall certainly been under the impression till this time that Mr. Chatfield was aware of and consented to the

10676 Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

the necessity of my examining the Tellicherry Tahsildar in place of my conducting the enquiry through Mr. Chatfield; part of the charge against the man was that he had grossly deceived that Gentleman.

Mr. Chatfield sent the Tahsildar down to me without any remonstrance or hint of objection to my examination and we wrote to each other freely and amicably though not publickly, about the trial, not only as it proceeded but after its termination. The way in which Mr. Chatfield in my report of the 28th Ultimo, will shew how desirous I was to avoid the charge of uncourteousness, which I certainly did not expect to receive.

3. As regards Mr. Chatfields 2d para I must remark that the statement contained in it is not so far as in justice to me it should have been. The impression of any one reading the para would be, that I had obtained the removal of the proceedings viz. Mr. Forsyth's case

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

case by a sort of quibble after the
enquiry on Mr. Chatfield's
part was terminated. That
I made use of them to write
a deliberate Judgement of
acquittal for the use of the Sub Judge
and that Mr. Chatfield seized with
astonishment at my most extraordi-
=nary paper at once remonstrated
against it.

I request attention to my reply.
I proceed to take a more
active part than I could have wished
in the primary enquiry into the alleged
attempt to murder Mr Forsyth from
the fact of information tending to
exculpate one of the principal prisoners
having come to me direct.

This led to a public corres-
=pondence with Mr Chatfield involving
many points.

The more I went on the
less satisfied was I of the justness of
Mr Chatfield's views and asked to see the
proceedings to satisfy myself regarding what to

As to my Competency to call for the proceedings and to interfere in any way I thought proper there can be no doubt under the provisions of C.O. 8th April 1830.

Vide my letter of the 7th & 31st May.

A great number of Petitions referring to the case were sent to me direct also.

Fort St. George 7th August 1851

to me was very dark - My request which
was long before the termination of the enquiry
/ the enquiry did not terminate till the 31st May /
was replied to, on the 25th of May, with
a promise that the proceedings should be sent -
They were actually sent with a public letter
on the 7th June.

On looking thro' the proceedings
I was astonished at / to me / the extreme
suspicious-ness of the evidence generally.
What was I to do.

To pass it over without re-
=mark, publickly and the part of
had been engaged in the enquiry, would,
it struck me, be shrinking
from duty, and expose me to
censure at the end.

On the other hand to take
on myself the responsibility of -
dismissing it at this late stage, would
under the well known circumstances
of the case have laid me to great aspers-
=ions from the prosecutors side -

I

Fort St. George 7th. August 1851.

I could not say for a certainty also that some of the evidences seen and heard by Mr. Chatfield might not be better than they seemed on paper. In this dilemma, it struck me, as the best and most straightforward proceeding to send on my Memorandum "for the consideration of the Court or Courts as the case might be" as I mentioned in the last para of the said Memorandum to which I wrote and informed Mr. Chatfield of what I had done and proposed doing, in the matter of this Memorandum. Mr. Chatfield doubted the policy of my intended proceedings. I replied to the effect that under the peculiar circumstances of the case an expression of opinion was I thought essential on my part and sent the Memorandum for his inspection, offering at the same time to make any modification I properly could in points to which Mr. Chatfield might

J. C. B.

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

might personally object. Mr Chatfield's letter returning the Document did not lead me to imagine that his views were the same as he now explains, but as this letter is not on my public record, I cannot quote it. With his reply Mr. Chatfield sent me his counter remarks which however as appears from what is expressedly stated in the last para were intended "merely to shew that there were grounds enough for the commitment and that no other course was open to him".

These counter remarks which did not arrive till the day the trial was brought to a close, Mr. Chatfield now "believes" were "never included in the record of the case". Had Mr Chatfield referred to a public letter of mine to him of the 20th ultimo he would have seen that his suspicion might

I regret now that every note and letter was not public but it is impossible when a stream of correspondence is going on between two Officials like a Magistrate and joint Magistrate on good terms with each other, always to adhere to what is regular — always to be thinking that one may turn on the other. Mr Chatfield and myself were writing constantly and unreservedly

I am still most willing to acquit Mr Chatfield of wilful misstatement and to attribute his mistakes and omissions to the obscurity of recollection and judgment alluded to in my letter of the 18th Instant.

might have been spared. The paper was put on the record and is now before the Foujdaree Udalut. Mr. Morris having called for it in consequence of a letter sent to him by Mr Chatfield direct.

5. My judgment may have been wrong in submitting the Memorandum to which so much allusion has been made, to the Court, but it was an honest judgment. Mr Chatfield thinks the Court to whom it was sent was unnecessarily harassed by it. That the Memorandum may have proved of some aid to the Sub. Judge, that it may have assisted his own labours is not improbable (I proposed it should do so) but that it prevented him from making his own investigation and probing the evidence to see whether my doubts and hints were justified may be disproved

Had I wished to bias the Sub. Judge and avoid after trouble to myself. I might easily have sent my notes as private notes. I presume there would have been nothing objectionable in my offering and his accepting any aid I could give him as a pioneer. I should be glad myself in any important case to get the notes of a precursor. But I preferred the more open course I did take.

disproved. I imagine from the records of his trial which Mr Chatfield has not seen.

6. The pith of the question is not whether or no I have erred in judgment in submitting notes "to the Court or Courts as the case might be", but whether or no my notes were fair notes.

Whether they were one sided ones, intended or likely to mislead

This can only be determined after a perusal of the Original depositions which in a day or two will be before the Foujdaree Udalut. The Board will perhaps make a reference to that Court on this point.

Calicut, 23d July 1851. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector and Magistrate

No. 84.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

46

Bd No. 1791
From the Collector & Magistrate of Malabar. } I have the honor to request that this be substituted for

for the one sent yesterday dated 23d July 1851 N:83, My former letter was written in a hurry and I have thought it well to expand and improve my observations on Mr Chatfield's remarks as contained in his 2d para.

Calicut, 24th July 1851. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector & Magistrate.

N:85.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

47

From the Coll.r & Mag.te of Malabar. } Sir,

The following note should have been entered under the Second evidence of Madeambatah Nannen submitted to the Board with the Collector and Magistrate's letter of the 18th July N:79. It is requested it may be inserted now.

+ N:38 dated 24th June 1851.

This evidence was given when the Tahsildar was at Calicut for his trial. The party appears to have thought he would aid the Tahsildar; a query had been sent to Mr Chatfield on a point referring to his former evidence.

Calicut, 25th July 1851. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Coll.r & Mag.te

N:87

To, W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

48

Sir,

I sent some days ago for the Police Diaries of the Nuneen of Tellicherry & Head Police Officer of Cotyam from the 27th April last, in order to see what was recorded in each regarding the events connected with Mr Forsyth's case.

2. The Nuneen's Diary is quite regular, and every thing of importance connected with his conduct in the case is duly and fully entered under it's respective dates.

3. The Diary of the Head of Police on the contrary exhibits any thing but a satisfactory appearance.

4. Up to the 27th April all is in order. The events of the day are duly noted and the signature of the Tahsildar attached. From the 27th to the 14th June 48 days the Diary seems to have been regularly written though without the Tahsildar's signature,

with

with the important exception, that no notice all has been taken of any of the facts attending Mr. Forsyth's case - This extraordinary omission has been attempted to be rectified subsequently, but so clumsily and by such evident interpolations, that it simply adds to the suspicion ..

5 I have requested Mr Chatfield to make an enquiry into this matter ..

Calicut, 26th July 1851. } (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Coller & Magistrate.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:
To the Collector of

41.49

Malabar.

Sir,

B2 N:1547
To the Coller of Malabar, 31

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the letters noted in the Margin on the subject of the conduct of the Cotyem Tahsildar, connected with the recent trial of parties alleged to have been concerned in the attempt to poison Mr. Forsyth.

From Coll:r 28th June 1851 N:88
1571 in Cons: 7th Augt — — —
From " 1st July — " — 70
1527 in Cons: 7th Augt — " —
From " 18th July — " 79
1765 in Cons: 7th Augt " — "
Fr Sub Coller 19th July — " —
1743 in Cons: 7th Augt — " —
Fr Coll:r 23d June — " 83.
1763 in Cons: 7th Augt "
Fr " 25th July — " 84
1791 in Cons: 7th Augt "
Fr " 25th July — " 85
1793 in Cons: 7th Augt "
Fr " 26th July — " 87
1794 in Cons 7th Augt "

In

In reply the Board desire me
2 to inform you that it would be premature for them to pass any decision upon the case until all proceedings in the Judicial Department have been closed. You will therefore be so good as to intimate to the Board the result of the Appeal to the Foujdaree Court and they will then take into consideration and pass orders upon the charges preferred by you against the Tahsildar

3. The Board desire me to observe that it was irregular in Mr Chatfield to submit direct to this Office the representations he was desirous of making in respect to this case and you will be so good as to inform him that he should address all his communications to you leaving it to you to forward them with such observations as to you may seem called for.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 7th August, 1851. } (Signed) F. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Read

B. No. 1774
From the Coll. of Madras
50

Read Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

B. No. 1546
Proceedings thereon
50.51

Before sanctioning the foregoing Statement the Board request that the Collector will afford some explanation respecting item No 11 "Boat hire for the Assistant Revenue Surveyor to proceed from the Basin to Pulicat" as the charge appears to be an unusual one.

Resolved that the following

52

Memorandum be despatched.

Memorandum

B. No. 1545
To the Malayalum Translator to Govt.

An important petition in Appeal having been received by the Board of Revenue from Malabar and there being no person in their office conversant with Malayalum, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Malayalum Translator to Government will be good enough to permit his Moonshee to attend at the Board's Office

Office that in conjunction with the Tamil Translator in the office, the petition may be translated.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 7th August, 1851.

(Signed) B Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Read the undermentioned

53

Statements.

B. No. 1856
From the Ag Coll. of Tanjore -

Detailed list of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishments including Sea Customs Department as they stood on the

54

30th April 1851.

No. 1820
From the Collector of Tinnevelly -

Detailed list of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishments as they stood on the 30th April last.

53.55

Order on the 2 above-mentioned Statements

Ordered to be recorded.

No. 1824
From the Coll. of Coimbatore
56

Read Abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged &c under Regulation IX of 1822 for 1st half year ending 30th June last.

56.57

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole, will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read

Read the undermentioned Lists.

58
No. 1848
From the Collector of Bellary — List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

59
No. 1849
From the Collector of Bellary. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

60
No. 1815
From the Collr of Chingleput. — List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last

61
No. 1816
From the Collr of Chingleput. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

62
No. 1850
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

63
No. 1851
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

64
No. 1852
From the Collector of Canara. — List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

65
No. 1853
From the Collr of Canara. — List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

58-66
Order on the 8 abovementioned Lists. — Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

67
No. 1823.
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the

the Board for the Month of June last.

68
No. 1860
From the Collector of Canara. — Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of May last.

67-69
Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers. — Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements:-

70
Ms. No. 905
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

71
Ms. No. 909
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — Return of alterations made in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

72
Ms. No. 913
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — Stating that certain returns were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

73
Ms. No. 915
From the Collr of South Arcot. — Reporting that the Returns of revenue defaulters &ca were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

74
Ms. No. 919
From the Collr of Canara. — Reporting that the returns of revenue defaulters &ca were not forwarded for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

75
Ms. No. 908
From the Collr of Coimbatore. — Abstract of Demand Collection

76 Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 921
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

77 Abstract of Demand Col.: lection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.
Ms N. 907
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore -

78 Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 922
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

79 Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.
From the Coll.r of Nellore

80 Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent from 16th to 23d July last.
Ms N. 911
From the Coll.r of Coimba: tore

81 Return of persons Sus: pended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 920.
From the Coll.r of Canara

82 Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 912.
From the Coll.r of Coim: batore

Stating that certain returns were not forwarded for the Month of June last.

From

Ms N. 914
From the Coll.r of Nellore

83 Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Re: gulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.

84 Statement of smuggled To: bacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 804
From the Coll.r of Canara.

85 Statement of smug: gled Tobacco recommended for con: fiscation for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 799
From the Coll.r of Malabar

84. 86 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements - Ordered that the Confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.

87 Acknowledging the receipt of 3000 Salt Rowan: nahs:
Ms N. 925
From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

88 Acknowledging the receipt of Salt Rowannahs.
Ms N. 916.
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

89 Acknowledging the receipt of Salt — Rowannahs.
Ms N. 917
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah -

90 Requesting for fur: ther supply of 1000 Salt Row: annahs
Ms N. 926.
From the Coll.r of Madras -

ordered

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

90.91

Order thereon

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

M.R. No. 882.

From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for a counter and 3 Stools for the use of the General Treasury.—

92

92.93

Order thereon

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

Comp. D. Gill

" J Goldingham

" J Blane

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 11th August 1851 } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member and J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Resolution.

1

Bd. 8 Jan. 1800

From the Secretary to Government.

8 Jan. 51.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 29th July, 1851.

From Board 17th July

Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing Proceedings the Right Honorable the Governor in Council agrees with them that it is not expedient to reduce the frontier Chowkies in Malabar.—

Signed J. Rycroft

Secretary to Government

Resolved

10695 Fort St. George 11th August 1831

Bd. No. 1563

Resolution thereon

1-2

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar, with reference to his letter dated 30th June 1831.

3

Bt. No. 1862.

From the Secretary to Govt. Dated 31st July 1831. Read Extract proceedings upon observations upon the enquiries of the Commissioner Northern Circars on the abuses and Corruptions in the Masulipatam District - Ordering the removal of the Collector and his assistant and appointing Messrs. Lushington and Bird to take charge, also directing that all implicated in these transactions, be prosecuted rigorously -

3-4

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded. -

Read the following Extract

Extract

10696 Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

No. 763.

Bd. No. 1812

From the Secretary to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 31st July, 1831.

Read the following letter -

5

To, No. 404

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to Government

Fort St. George -

Dated the 4th July 1831.

Sir,

I am directed in reply to your letter No. 591 dated the 17th ultimo to convey the sanction of the President in Council to the assignment to certain Village Officers in the Madura District of Poonjah Lands to the extent of Cunnies 118-9 assessed at Rupees 242-8-6.

Fort William, The 4th July 1831.

(Signed) A.R. Young

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings dated 6th March 1831. No. 114 paras 4 and 5.

5-6

Bd. No. 1573

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government -

Order thereon -

Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th June 1831.

Read

10697 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

No. 1872

From the Secretary to Govt. Dated 5th August 1851. Read Extract letter requesting the Commissioner Northern Circars to furnish further information respecting the Amitalapoody Mootah

7

7-8

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Memo:

9

Memorandum.

Bd. No. 1752

From the Commissioner Northern Circars

The Commissioner has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to be good as to forward a Copy of the last orders of Government, respecting the misappropriation of religious Endowments, and the course to be pursued in the case of Complaints under Regulation VII of 1817.

Masulipatam, Commissioner's Camp, 23d July, 1851. - - -

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Commissioner.

Ordered that the following Memo: be sent in reply.

9-10

Official Memo.

Bd. No. 1564

To the Commissioner Northern Circars

In Cons 11th Augt. 1851.

In reply to the Official Memo of the Commissioner Northern Circars dated the 23d July 1851. the

10690 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to state that it does not appear that any order of Government has been communicated to this Office pointing out the course to be taken respecting the misappropriation of Pagoda property of a later date than 17th July 1848 contained in Page 466 of the Board's Circular Orders, in reference to which the practice since prevailing is believed to have been for the Collector, if he sees necessity to investigate Charges of malversation or fraud, under Regulation VII of 1817 and in his Capacity of Magistrate, to take cognizance of the facts.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th August, 1851. - - -

(Signed) W. H. Bayley

Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No. 113

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Sir,

11

Bd. No. 1701

From the Collector of Ganjam - - -

Submitting his sentiments on the

Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated the

Fort St.e George 11th August 1851.

proposed means of prohibiting the practice of Inoculation.

the 12th June 1851 relative to the proposed measure of prohibiting the practice of Inoculation.—

2. The practice of Inoculation does obtain throughout at least that portion of the District of Ganjam in which the Toriah Language prevails and I have for some time had it in contemplation to propose that it should be formally prohibited— But it is remarkable that Vaccination has in the same tract of country been for many years partially practised by the Teekahdars who were induced to adopt it by Mr. Peter Sherry who held Office in Ganjam from 1802 till 1804 and it has been ever maintained ever since, tho' inoculation undoubtedly has generally obtained.—

3. I was first made aware of the fact upon the occasion of requiring Vaccination for a child of my own last year. An impression was prevalent at the time that the efficacy of the Vaccine virus in the Ganjam District and I believe in a great portion of Vizagapatam was, if not altogether suspended, extremely difficult of development, and for some time before I had occasion for any the Medical Gentlemen had been exerting themselves to procure supplies by post from Madras and Calcutta— Great was my surprize then

Fort St.e George 11th August 1851.

then when I was informed that Vaccine virus, or, as it is popularly called, "Company's Teekah" was in force in the town of Ganjam and its neighbourhood. Several children were brought to me accordingly with inoculation pustules and one was selected from which the matter was communicated to my own child's nothing could be more favorable than the result, and a crust from my child's arm was transmitted to Mr. M. Rogers from which a child of Mr. Glass's the Civil and Session Judge and other children were vaccinated. I have not since heard of any of those peculiarities which were supposed up to that time to interfere with the efficacy of inoculation in Ganjam or the theories by which this supposition was supported and I trust that the foregoing narration of a fact bearing so immediately upon the important subject under Consideration will not be deemed out of place.—

4. I was engaged in making enquiries on the subject at the time I received the orders to which I am replying— I have questioned many persons regarding it and I do not find that any prejudice exists against Vaccination— The more intelligent undoubtedly prefer it— and are acquainted with it as "Goa Russum" or "Vaccine Liquid;" one of the principal

Fort St. Geo. 11th August 1837.

principal servants of the Cutcherry looking upon the extension of Vaccination as a work of charity. The classes composing the great body of the people are unacquainted with its virtues and are ignorant of the difference between it and inoculation and in the parts of the District where the Inoculators have been accustomed to practice they give them the preference over the paid Vaccinators of Government, but they would as willingly receive vaccination at the hands of the former as inoculation - The Peckadars have expressed their willingness to abandon the old practice and adopt Vaccination - About thirty of them having promised to abide by any regulations I may make -

5. There thus exists in the District of Ganjam the groundwork upon which to introduce Vaccination generally by means of private practitioners and I submit for the consideration of the Board the translation of a project drawn up in the shape of a notification which originated with the servants of my Cutcherry - I have allowed the translation to stand exactly as it was given into my hands for the purpose of shewing the feeling which exists on the subject - The paper will require some

Fort St. George 11th August 1837.

some Modification if the project generally is approved of

6. I mean at once to encourage the private Vaccinators to commence operations in the Villages in the vicinity of my residence where they can be supervised by the Zillah Surgeon and the Dresser and I see no reason to doubt that in the course of a short time the attempt will be crowned with success -

7. In regard to the proposition of prohibiting inoculation by legal enactment I beg leave to state my opinion in favor of the measure - The length to which prejudice is carried by the people of this country, is such that it behoves us as far as possible to guard a salutary innovations from the effects of it, and I cannot conceive that the abolition of inoculation will be attended with any degree of mischief - If any benefit whatever belongs to inoculation it seems to be admitted on all hands to be extremely limited. while there is not the remotest doubt that, as giving extension to the disease which it is professedly used to modify it is largely productive of positive evil - I have ascertained moreover that in this District the visits of the inoculators are very precarious - many tracts remaining unvisited

Fort St.e George 11th August 1837.

unvisited for years. So that the benefit of the system, small as it is, at the best, is not by any means fully disseminated.—

Ganjam District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chutterpore, 30th July, 1837.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Sd.a/

Notification.

The Hindoos are for the most part ignorant of the causes of many evils which befall them; and when they befall they are equally slo to avert them by the application of suitable remedies. The disease known by the name of Cow-pox is one which is most rife among them, and frequently makes miserable havoock when it does not put an end to the existence of the person whom it infects is often produces dole-ful effect upon him such as depriving of eye-sight, and deforming the complexion. This is an epidemical evil which may be avoided by submitting our-selves to the art of vaccination on the European system. The Hindoos ever jealous of the European most studiously endravour to keep aloof from them who,

Fort St.e George 11th August 1837.

who are always ready to help and benefit them in all ways. They have therefore counterfeited the European art of vaccination, and that which they practice now-a-days is not unfrequently attended with deplorable effects. It is not only inefficient to keep off this evil, but when the art is practised the patient undergoes a very hard operation. There are some, strange to say who submit neither to the European nor Hindoo mode of vaccination and therefore suffer themselves to go bare exposed, a prey to this epidemical disorder.—

The introduction of the European mode of Vaccination is productive of manifest advantages.—

The seed must be first procured from the udder of a Cow and inserted in slight erazures formed on the scapula of persons, at the end of the third day the pox will be visible and obtain its full growth by the seventh day. The pus or matter extracted from this pox on the seventh or eighth day may be secured as seed for a second operation. In about a fortnight the patient will be recovered and after

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

after the twentieth or twenty first day he is again ready for every avocation of life.

No age nor sex is excluded from the benefits of this act. No attention is required on the person under the treatment of a vaccinator as on one under the influence of the evil itself, when he has been once vaccinated he may be left at his liberty. He will be subject to no additional evil as he who is affected with the disease from any commence of whatever kind.

When a child is under the treatment of a vaccinator the parents need not anxiously watch for the consequence as is the case when the evil is infecting, for it will always be salutary. Zemindars, Tahsildars, Heads of Police, subordinates of Police and public servants of every other appellation will give every lawful assistance to the vaccinators.

The Curnum and Carpie of the Village in which a vaccinator enters are to bring to him every one of the Village people to be vaccinated, and when the operation is performed they are to give him a certificate of stating the number of males and females vaccinated with the name of the vaccinator, and the date on which the

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

the operation is performed.

The vaccinator will at the end of every week hand over the certificates thus got to the neighbouring available authority who at the end of every Month will furnish the agent a statement according to the following form.

Statement shewing the number of individuals vaccinated by ——— Vaccinator in the Taluk of ——— in the Month of ——— 1851.

Number.	Names of Moolahs	Number.	Names of Villages	Males	Females	Total.	Remarks.

The public servants of the Government will occasionally be deputed to inspect whether the art practised is of the European or Native system Government vaccinators alone will go on this commission

The

10707 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

The servants of the Government will apprehend such persons as may hereafter practice the native art and commit them to the Agent.

Form of Circular to be issued to the servants of the Government.—

A person named — inhabitant of — has been permitted to practice the art of European Vaccination in your District according to the instructions contained in the accompanying notification to which you are required to give general publicity. You are moreover required to give every lawful encouragement in your power to promote the practicability of this art.

Form of permit to the Vaccinators

To,

inhabitant of —

You are permitted from this day to practice the art of European Vaccination in the District of — If you be convicted of having at any time henceforth practised the native art you will be obnoxious to punishment.

Translation/
(Signed) A. D. Anstruther
Collector

11.12
Order thereon.

Ordered to be over.

Read

10708 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Read the following letter—

No. 31

To W. H. Bayley Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

13

Bd No. 1891

From the Collector of Masulipatam

Submitting report in regard to the practice observed in his District of keeping the Treasury accounts and the custody of Treasure

Sir,

Para 1 With reference to your letter of the 9th June last requesting to know whether the Custom of keeping in deposit sums entered as "Paid" in the Treasury Books prevails in this District and if so what sums, I have the honor to state that except in the case of the pay of the Hoozoor and Talook Servants no money is debited in the Treasury Book on any account which has not been immediately disbursed to the party or remitted to the Talook - The pay of servants is debited once in the Treasury account in order that the Total disbursements on account of the pay of the Establishment may appear at once, though it occupies some time before the actual disbursements is made or remitted into the Talooks. For this purpose the money is lodged in a separate Chest under the charge of the Cashkeeper but is not subject to be examined as forming a portion

× About Rs. 8000

+

portion of the Balance of Cash usually examined in each Month by the Collector or his assistants. This course as the Board remark appears to be open to objection, and it occurs to me that the evil Complained of would be remedied by crediting to deposit of revenue the amount first debited in the Chitta on account of the pay of the Establishment, and exhibiting the daily disbursements under the same Head until the fund is finally disposed of. By this Method while the total charge on account of the Establishment continues to be debited at once in the Chitta it will by the exhibition of the receipts and disbursements under the head of deposits in the same document comprise the total balance of Cash remaining on each day, and thus it will afford an opportunity for the examining Officer to check the balance of Cash in the Treasury.

2. In the Talooks an account is kept called the "Kustantum Puttee," and includes all receipts from the Ryotwar on account of advances of Maramut Takavy, Pay of the Establishment as well as Collections from all branches of revenue, together with the actual disbursements which

which may be daily made under each of the above Heads, but this does not exhibit the detail which is to be found in separate accounts called Chittahs kept for each branch of revenue. I think however that as an improvement on the present system there ought to be only one account denominated the chitta, in which the receipts and disbursements of all branches during the Month should be exhibited in detail with the balance of cash, so that the same may be examined when necessary; this of course separate Abstracts of transactions of each branch might be advantageously be kept for easy reference.

3. In reply to Para.a 6 of the letter under acknowledgement, I have to state that Accountant-General's Bills are presented during my absence to the Head Accountant of my Cutcherry who fills up the date in Pencil which has been adopted as the day of acceptance and orders issued to the Cashkeeper for discharging the amount thereof. To meet daily disbursements the whole sum remaining in the revenue Treasury is lodged in the Current Cash Chest, the Keys

keys of which are sent daily to the Treasury by the Collector in the morning and returned in the evening. In the General Treasury a large sum sometimes exceeding 4 or 5 lacs of Rupees is exposed on shelves in Bags for want of sufficient chests to contain the whole. I have lately (as indented for some more chests, and when these are supplied I shall have the whole amount under my own charge with the exception of what may be sufficient for the usual demand for Cashing bills. The keys, in my absence are delivered every morning either to the Assistant or Sheristadar, should he be absent likewise, to the Judge.

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
2d August, 1831.

Signed/ R. T. Porter
Collector

Order thereon
(13.14)

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter

No. 71.

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(15)

Bd No. 1806
From the Collector
of Nellore.

Sir,

In forwarding Copy of the Arbitrary Security Bond

Bond referred to in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 24th Instant, I beg permission to observe that altho' as a general rule a Security may have a right to withdraw on going due intimation, I do not perceive how that rule can be held to apply to the present case where the Security given was for a specific period Viz. one Year, and where on the strength of that Security an amount of Revenue was staked, nor is it clear to me, when one surety is permitted to withdraw immediately after deliberately pledging himself what is to prevent another that succeeds him seeking to do the same. The endless trouble and annoyance as well as risk of revenue to which such a course must give rise must I think be apparent to the Board.

Nellore,
Collector's Cutcherry
29th July, 1831.

Signed/ D. White.
Collector.

Order thereon
(15.16)

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To
The Sub Collector in charge of
Nellore.

Bd No. 1568.
To the Sub Collr in
Charge of Nellore.

Sir,

As it appears from the Copy of the

10713 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

In Cons 11th Augt 1851.

the Security Bond forwarded in Mr. White's letter of the 29th July 1851. that Hhader Khan bound himself to be security for the Abkarry Rent of the whole fusly, the Board consider him legally responsible for the default, even though he had previously expressed a desire to withdraw from the responsibility They therefore confirm the Collector's endorsement on the Petition.

† i.e. the difference between the Rent due and the amount obtained at the 2d sale of the Abkarry

2 At the same time they are of opinion that when the Petitioner first applied to withdraw, which was before any arrear of Rent had occurred, Mr. White might at once have called on the Renter to find another Security, or else put the Abkarry up again to Auction. The decrease in the 2d offer would probably at that early period have been made good by the Deposit of the 1st Renter remaining with the Collector.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th August, 1851.

Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary.

DF.

Read

10714 Fort St. George 11th August 1851

No. 58.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(17)

Bs. No. 1884.

From the Collr. of Bellary

Sir,

Adverting to my note in a letter to the Board of Revenue under date the 31st October 1850 No. 65, I have the honor to forward the Register of Lands held by Revenue and Police Servants in the Hoogoor and Talook Establishments as called for in the Board's Circular of 28th August 1849.

† Both Saligar & English.

Bellary Collectors Office 24th July 1851.

Signed C. Pelly Collector

Register

10713 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

In Cons 11th Augt 1851.

the Security Bond forwarded in Mr. White's letter of the 29th July 1851. that Ithoder Ithoan bound himself to be security for the Abkarry Rent of the whole fusly, the Board consider him legally responsible for the default, even though he had previously expressed a desire to withdraw from the responsibility. They therefore confirm the Collector's endorsement on the Petition.

† i.e. the difference between the Rent due and the amount obtained at the 2d sale of the Abkarry.

2 At the same time they are of opinion that when the Petitioner first applied to withdraw, which was before any arrear of Rent had occurred, Mr. White might at once have called on the Renter to find another Security, or else put the Abkarry up again to Auction. The decrease on the 2d offer would probably at that early period have been made good by the Deposit of the 1st Renter remaining with the Collector.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th August, 1851.

Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary.

Read

10714 Fort St. George 11th August 1851

No. 58.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

17

Bo. No. 1884.

From the Collr. of Bellary.

Sir.

Adverting to my note in a letter to the Board of Revenue under date the 31st October 1850 No. 65. I have the honor to forward the Register of Lands held by Revenue and Police Servants in the Hoozoor and Talook Establishments as called for in the Board's circular of 28th August 1849.

† Both Salugee & English.

Bellary Collector's Office 24th July 1851.

[Signed] C. Pelly Collector

Register

10715 | | Forth St Steeple 11th January 1851

A Regulation of Wages with the Millers & Millers Men & Boys

Names of Men | Employment | From the Date Mills discontinued | Reasons why discontinued

Edward Lambe — 2 - 14 - 23 - 1 - .. — Sawing Lambs

Polyxena Evans

Ann a

Edward Lambe — 2 - 14 - 23 - 1 - .. — Sawing Lambs

Elizabeth Evans

Ann Lambe — 93 - 17 - 185 - 8 - .. — Grocer Milliner &c — 23 - 1 Dec 1851 — Mrs Lambe is retired

Ann Lambe — 95 - 01 - 125 - 9 - .. — Grocer Milliner &c — 23 - 1 Dec 1851 — Mrs Lambe is retired

Mary Ann Lambe — 185 - 8 - 29 - 12 — Grocer Milliner &c — 23 - 1 Dec 1851 — Mrs Lambe is retired

Mary Ann Lambe — 59 - 12 - 9 - 10 — Apprentice to the Trade — 2 Dec 1851 — Engagement Ended

Mary Ann Lambe — 9 - 10 — Apprentice to the Trade — 1 Dec 1851 — Engagement Ended

Thomas Lambe — 4 37 59 12 — Labourer & Employed — 1 Freelsmanship

Thomas Lambe — Grocer Draper &c — Gentlemen Tenants to the Landlord Threescore Estate Mansion House — 1 Dec 1851 — Mrs Lambe is retired

10716

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Collectors Cutcheree

			Acres G Rs a				
4 Rageona thareu	Head Goo mastah	29 23 36 6	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgee Enam	She land is his own		
		141 38 226 7	Ds	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	Village Chowkoor Talook of Kodecandah	
		171 21 262 13					
5 Pengundah Goordapah	Goumas tah	49 23 73 1	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgee Enam	She land is his own	She is a third share holder of this landed property	
						3 In the Pengondah Talook	
						1 Cusbah Pengondah	
						1 Kondoor Kotah	
		86 15 113 12	Ds	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	1 Srothura Wobala proorum	
		135 38 186 13				3 —	
6 Poolmuthee Soobbarsee	Goomastah	5,341 12 8,915	Previous to Employment	Villages obtained as Jaygeer by his father during the Honorable company's Government	She Jaygeer is his own	2 Jaygeer Villages in the Kodecondah Talook	
						1 Poolmathee	
						1 Hotteppy	
		10 3 4	Ds	Circar Puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	2 — 1 Cusbah Avantpoor	
		5,341 22 8,918 4				3	
7 Bellagoopah Sunjeevapah	Goumas Tah	62 33 28 7	Previous and subsequent to Employment	Circar Puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	His Kurnee Kum and Dusaye Enams of the Villages of Bellagoopah and Kenumpully the lands being undivided are entered under the head of his partner the Talookdar of Avantapoor this land is also situated in the land attached to the above mentioned two Villages.	

10717

Collector's Enfactory

Fort St George 11th August 1851

			acres	g	Rs	a					
8	Kundingaram Venkatal row	Goomastah	37		12	5	Previous to employment	Hereditary Circar Poottah land Woolgee Enam	She land is his own	2	In the talook of Goolcum 1 Kraikara 1/2 Koorumully
			44	38	52	8	Previous and subsequent to Employment	Circar Puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce		
			81	38	64	13					
9	Venkatal Venkat row	Goomastah	24	39	26		Previous to Employment	acres - g - Rs a 6 - 13 - 12 - - Hereditary Enam 18 - 26 - 14 - - Circar Woolgee Enam 24 - 39 - 26 - Butturam Rice Enam	She land is his own	3	In the Sandputty talook of which 2 are Cuttack Kum mirasee Villages. 1 about 1 Thalaree chervoo 1/2
			5	2	6	10	Dº	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	1/3	Dhigavapully
			30	1	32	10					
10	Soondoor Kurunga row	Hoogoor Goomastah	9	8	74	3	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Circar puttah land under the head of Cuttagoothu.	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.		Village Thalaree in the talook of Kumpily
11	Panda Rungapha	Goomastah	79	28	155	10	Subsequent to Employment	Obtained as gift by himself from Sirdasai Kishtarow Moorarrow and Anamuntarow being Sirdasai Enam.	She land is his own.	1 1 1/4	Village of Countanah all in the Talook of adonie. Village Ramagonda -nahully in the Goolcum Talook. Village Kundvana -tee Dº Machreevana dodee both in the talook of Pun-chapollum.

10718

Court Storage 11th January 1851

11	Hayden	Hammocks	74 9 333 12	Revenue & Miscellaneous Enrolments	Hereditary Revenue Enrolments	1 Collector Hammocks 1 Deputy Collector 1 Clerk 1 Messenger 1 Office Keeper 1 Watchman 1 Boat & Crew 1 Cart & Horse 1 Office Furniture 1 Stationery 1 Postage 1 Incidents
			74 9 333 12	Carried forward		
12	Collins	Hammocks	3 3 7 5	Revenue & Miscellaneous Enrolments	Hereditary Revenue Enrolments	1 Collector Hammocks 1 Deputy Collector 1 Clerk 1 Messenger 1 Office Keeper 1 Watchman 1 Boat & Crew 1 Cart & Horse 1 Office Furniture 1 Stationery 1 Postage 1 Incidents
			3 3 7 5	Carried forward		
13	Collins	Hammocks	153 92 216 6	Revenue & Miscellaneous Enrolments	Hereditary Revenue Enrolments	1 Collector 1 Deputy Collector 1 Clerk 1 Messenger 1 Office Keeper 1 Watchman 1 Boat & Crew 1 Cart & Horse 1 Office Furniture 1 Stationery 1 Postage 1 Incidents
			153 92 216 6	Carried forward		

Collectors Establishments

---

11 Hayden Hammocks 74 9 333 12 Revenue & Miscellaneous Enrolments — Hereditary Revenue Enrolments

48 - 6 - 89 - 3 - Rathcoole and Newcastle Hundred Enrolments
17 - 33 - 39 - 8 - Rathmichael Enrolments
8 - 10 - 57 - 8 - Rathcoole Enrolments
74 - 9 - 180 - 3 - Rathdrum Enrolments
" - " - 153 - 9 - Above from the Incidents
74 - 9 - 333 - 12 - of Cases allotted to Collectors Office

9 - Collectors Salary
Deputy Collectors Salary
Clerks Salary
Messengers Salary
Boat & Crew
Cart & Horse
Office Furniture
Stationery
Postage
Incidents

2 9 17 8 9 - Carried forward

1 Collector
1 Deputy Collector
1 Clerk
1 Messenger
1 Boat & Crew
1 Cart & Horse
1 Office Furniture
1 Stationery
1 Postage
1 Incidents

7

1 Collector at Rathdrum
1 Deputy Collector
1 Messenger
1 Office Keeper
1 Watchman
1 Boat & Crew
1 Cart & Horse
1 Office Furniture
1 Stationery
1 Postage
1 Incidents

13

1 Collector at Rathdrum
1 Deputy Collector
1 Messenger
1 Office Keeper
1 Watchman
1 Boat & Crew
1 Cart & Horse
1 Office Furniture
1 Stationery
1 Postage
1 Incidents

8

Collectors Establishments

---

12 Collins Hammocks 153 92 216 6 Revenue & Miscellaneous Enrolments — Hereditary Revenue Enrolments

Collectors Establishments

---

2 5 12 6 - Carried forward

5 8 19 11

1 Collector
1 Deputy Collector
1 Clerk
1 Messenger
1 Boat & Crew
1 Cart & Horse
1 Office Furniture
1 Stationery
1 Postage
1 Incidents

13

10719

Fort St George 11th August 1851

			acres	G	R	a				
15	Mudderarow	Goomastah	121	14	217	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	4 Villages in the Bellary Talook. 1 Badhanuttee 1 Kholamandy 1 Kolooroo 1 Dhummoor 4
16	Venkat ramana rapah	Goomastah	223	7	79	13	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam acres G R a 94 – 36 – 23 – 11 – Vernum Woolgar Enam 88 – 32 – 14 – 4 Dasai Enam 39 – 19 – 21 – 14 – Butworthy D° 233 – 7 – 79 – 13		1 Village Thoomchela in the Talook of Dhurmavaram 3 Villages in the Talook of Anantapoor 4 1 Anantapoor 1 Bookarayasamoodrum 1 Bundameethapully 3 The Butworthy Enams.
			5	9	5	5	D°	Butworthy Enam land purchased by Mullapah from Latchmanah Wife and Soobiah brother of Nookrennadgoo Unnumbutloo Thoomchela Butworthy Enamdar.	The land is his own	in the above 3 Villages was enjoyed by his certain Vengavah and since his demise has been in the enjoyment of his Widow Soobamah
			159	23	180	15	D°	Circar puttah land acres G R a 147 – 23 – 175 – 10 – Obtained from Circar 12 – " – 5 – 5 – Received in Mortgage on the understanding that the produce thereof is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest. 159 – 23 – 180 – 15 –	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	
			387	39	266	1				

to Masters Certificate

10720

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Collectors Cutcherry

			acres	G	Rs	a				
17	Jungum Kotah Kristnarow	Goomastah	2	12	12	15	Previous to employment	But worthy Cram obtained by his father in mortgage from Soobarayapa chetty who had obtained it from Nagaverganah, on the understanding that the produce thereof was to be enjoyed in lieu of interest.	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	2 Villages in the Talook of Anuntapoor 1 Cuchalah Anuntapoor 1 Bookarayasamoodrum 2
18	Pennacondah Ruamrow	Goomastah	2	24	32	8	Previous to employment	Cram land Purchased by his father Nursingarow from Siddaramporum Nobanagaree Brojapah	The land is his own	3 Villages in the Pennacondah Talook 1 Bookapatnum 1 Hottadenavoo 1 Siddarampoorum 3
			4	15	84	1	Do	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce	
			6	39	116	9				

Total Woogvor Cutcherry 7323 13 12405 13

Establish.

Collectors Treasury

19	Hanoomun-toorow	Treasury Goomastah	72	3	58	"	Subsequent to employment	But worthy Cram obtained by his grand son as gifty from charge moor Le Karadhanee and Bellary chedumberapah.	The lands are his own	2 Villages in the Bellary Talook 1 Chaganoor 1 Bellagul 2
			1	21	20	12	Do	But worthy Cram purchased in the name of his son Narraina row from Kavanoo Kola Naranapah.		1 In Cuchalah Anuntapoor. 3
			73	24	78	12				
20	Raghnah	Treasury Goomastah	15	"	17	8	Subsequent to employment	But worthy Cram obtained by himself and his Brother Samapah from appasastrew the Grand son of Pendvalee appanah Suttoo	The land is his own.	1 Village Hanulgoo in the Gooty Talook.

Total of the Treasury

Establishment 88 24 90 0

10781

Scotch Store Queen's 11th August 1851

Acres 0 0 8

24	Grassmere	Meadow	255	29	250	19

Allotment to Employment

Acres 0 0 8

215	13	859	15	Common Waste		

Contract between Lord Lonsdale and Thomas Wilkinson for Cottage Allotment

Thomas Wilkinson of Grassmere in the County of Westmorland Labourer and Lord Lonsdale

Whereas the said Thomas Wilkinson hath erected a Cottage and Garden on a piece of Waste Land belonging to the said Lord Lonsdale at Grassmere aforesaid and hath agreed to pay to the said Lord Lonsdale the Rent of One Shilling per Annum for the same Cottage and Garden and also to perform the Covenants hereinafter mentioned on his part to be performed and kept

Now this Indenture witnesseth that in consideration of the Premises and of the Rent hereinafter reserved and of the Covenants on the part of the said Thomas Wilkinson hereinafter contained the said Lord Lonsdale doth hereby grant unto the said Thomas Wilkinson his Executors Administrators and Assigns All that Cottage and Garden erected by the said Thomas Wilkinson on the said piece of Waste Land at Grassmere aforesaid and marked on the Plan hereunto annexed with the letter A To Have and to Hold the said Cottage and Garden unto the said Thomas Wilkinson his Executors Administrators and Assigns from the Twenty Ninth day of September One thousand eight hundred and fifty for the term of Twenty One Years thence next ensuing and fully to be complete and ended Yielding and paying therefor unto the said Lord Lonsdale his Heirs and Assigns the yearly Rent of One Shilling of lawful money of Great Britain at the Feast of Saint Michael the Archangel in every year during the said Term

And the said Thomas Wilkinson doth hereby for himself his Executors Administrators and Assigns covenant with the said Lord Lonsdale his Heirs and Assigns that he the said Thomas Wilkinson his Executors Administrators or Assigns shall and will during the said Term keep the said Cottage and Garden in good and sufficient Repair and Condition and shall and will at the end or sooner determination of the said Term peaceably and quietly yield up unto the said Lord Lonsdale his Heirs or Assigns the said Cottage and Garden with the Appurtenances in such good and sufficient Repair and Condition

And it is hereby agreed and declared that if the said Rent of One Shilling hereby reserved or any part thereof shall be behind or unpaid for the space of Twenty One days next after any of the days on which the same ought to be paid as aforesaid whether the same shall be lawfully demanded or not or if the said Thomas Wilkinson his Executors Administrators or Assigns shall not perform and keep the Covenants hereinbefore contained on his or their part to be performed and kept then and in either of the said cases it shall be lawful for the said Lord Lonsdale his Heirs or Assigns into the said Cottage and Garden or any part thereof in the name of the whole to enter and the same to have again repossess and enjoy as in his or their former estate anything hereinbefore contained to the contrary thereof in anywise notwithstanding

In Witness whereof the said Lord Lonsdale hath hereunto set his Hand and Seal and the said Thomas Wilkinson hath hereunto set his Hand and Seal the Eleventh day of August in the Fourteenth year of the Reign of our Sovereign Lady Victoria by the Grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland Queen Defender of the Faith and in the Year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and fifty-one

Signed Sealed and Delivered by the said Thomas Wilkinson in the presence of

Thomas Wilkinson

Lonsdale

Thomas Wilkinson

Lonsdale

Thomas Wilkinson

Lonsdale

Fort St George 11th August 1851

6. Collectors Cutcherry.

			acres G Rs a " 33 2 6 250 16 250 0	Subsequent to Employment		Circar puttah land	Pay annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	
25	Mohamed Ghouse sahib	Persian Moonshy	56 36 22 11	Previous to Employment		Hereditary Enam.	Hereditary Enam	The land is his own.	In the Village of Seedra gudda in the Bellary Talook.
26	Seetaramapah	Moonshy Goomastah	19 34 67 6	Previous Employment.	acres G- Rs a 9- 10- 54- 7 10-24-12-15 19-34-67-0	Hereditary Enam Being Yejamanthum Enam in Ketpee Bulworthy Enam		The land is his own.	1 Village of Salkoor in the Penconda Talook. 2 In the Hodeconda Talook 1 Ketapee 1 Chalawaindala 2.

J.F.B.

Total of the Moonshee Department			333 6 54 4						
27	Buddee Venkatarow	Sayer Goomastah	30 -	17 8	Previous to Employment	Obtained by his father Streenewasarow from Roodroogoodah Potail of Honnore in Mortgage being Potail Woolgy Jodee Enam with the understanding that the produce thereof is to be Enjoyed in lieu of interest.		Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	Village Honnore in the Talook of Roydroog.
28	Venkataramanapah	Hoozoor Rachtawer	161 38 83 10		Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgee Enam.		The land is his own	Village Ettikelpillah in the Talook of Anuntapoor.
			4 32 14		Do	Circar puttah land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	
			166 30 97 10						

10723

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Head or Cutcherry	7323	13	12405	13
Do Treasury	88	24	96	6
Record Department	76	26	126	7
English Do	3	14	8	1
Moonshee Do	333	6	526	4
Sayer Do	30		178	
Ructawan	166	30	970	
	8021	33	13298	1

Total

Sub Collr & Cons Cutcherry

1 Chettumbarrow Sheristadar of the Sub Collectors Department — 7 28 41 — Previous to Employment — Hereditary Curnum Woolgee Enam — The land is his own — Particulars of where this landed property is situated 6 In the Sengendah Talook 1 Village Cottragoontah being Curnee Kam Meuseey & 5 other Villages Vizt.

9 22 85 6 — Subsequent to Employment — Enam land purchased — Do

5-6-48-10 In the name of Senkerow
1-28-20-- From Bickooly Cayloo
3-18-28-10 From Beechyan-
5-6-48-10 pully Goorooya-
-charloo Jagachar-
-loo and and Gun-
-demah being Bat-
-worthy Enam-

2-16-21-- Batworthy Enam purchased from Beechyanpully Nar-
-simma Sastaroo Loo in the name of Shamrow--

-36-7-12 Batworthy Enam purchased from Beechyanpully Door-
-vasee Soobla Lathoo in the name of Shaikdaee Soorapah-

1-4-8-- Batworthy Enam purchased from Brammasamoodrum Cadda-
Sadiah & Venkapah in the name of Narrapah.-

9-22-85-6-

1 Gotkeroo
1 Vittahally
1 Cargaee
1 Beechyanpully
1 Brummas amoledrum
6
1 In Cusbah Raisoo
7.

10724

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Sub Collectors Cutcherry

		21 29 40 5	Previous and Subse-	Sircar Pattah Land.				
			-quent to employment	19-24-22-5	Obtained from Circar	Pays annual assess-		
				2-5-18-4	Shroffpore or Gordon land	-ment and Enjoys		
				21-29-40-5	situated in the Village	the produce.-		
					Sittapally obtained by			
					purchase-			
		38 39 166 11						
2 Kumply Rajamah Goomastah of the Sub Collectors Cutcherry		38 9 13	Subsequent to Employ-	Batworthy Enam obtained from		Holds the land in	1 Cusba Kumple	
			-ment	Rachamarse Sreenevasa charlu on		lieu of interest on	1 Bookarey as annexed	
				Mortgage on the understanding		debt.-	rum in the Amuntapoor	
				that the produce is to be enjoyed			Talook	
				in lieu of interest.-				2
		2 - 9	Previous to employ-	Circar pattah Land		Pays annual assess-		
			-ment-			-ment and Enjoys		
						the produce-		
		1 - 10 6						
3 Harpenhally Goomastah Rajamah		4 15 2 15	Previous to Employ-	Hereditary	Batworthy Enam	The Land is his own	Village Huggeroon	
			-ment.-				in the talook of Har-	
							-penhally.-	
4 Bheemasamoodra Goomastah of the Collectors Cutcherry.-		184 39 319 15	Previous to employ-	Hereditary	Batworthy Enam	The land is his own	Particulars of where	
			-ment-				this landed property	
							is situated 9 Villages	
							in the Bellary Talook-	
							1 Vuddahay huttly	
							1 Soogoor	
							1 Dasanoor	
							1 Nuttoor	
							1 Thakalakotah	
							1 Kurroor	
							1 Yammaganoor	
							1 Chanhall	
							1 Seedhungaddah	
							9	
							1 Moordy in the	
							Talook of Ra-	
							-drooy.-	
							10	
Total of Sub Division		229 13 499 15						
Total Principal and Sub Divisions		8257 6 13708 4						

10725

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Bellary Talook

1 Dasa i Veneat now	Talsildar of this Talook	117	37	279	6	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam "-35-8-3- Total Enam of the Village of Shrotriem Soobarayapatah 63-32-34-6- Carnam Enam of Cushah Dharmavaram 27-18-101-13- Jamedaree Enam 25-32-72-8- Butworthy Do ----62-8- Share in the Shrotriem of the Village Soobbarow pettah. 117-37-279-6	The Land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated In the Talook of Dharmavaram. 1 Cushah Dharmavaram 2 Mallah Kalvah 3 Uppereyacherroovo 4 Mallah poovam 5 Kommatheroo 6 Shrotriem Soobarayapettah 6
		13 -	78	12	Subsequent to Employment		Granted by Government on the 2nd March 1836 on account of his having built a Chultram in Dharmavaram	The Land is his own	There are five shares to this landed property	
		14	36	6	8 Do		Butworthy Enam situated in Shrotriem Soobarow pettah purchased in the name of his brother Goondapah from Gottoor Vasdevachariar	Do		
		14	21	130	5 Previous and Subsequent to Employment		Circar Puttah Land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.		
		190	14	49	15					
2 Kalahavee Bheemarow	Peishcar of Sirgoopah	14	10	61	12	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Carnam Woolgee Enam	The land is his own	Village Kulloolhavee Talook &c &c	
3 Sondoor Gurdar Bellary Talook -row- Goomastah		57	29	237	3	Previous to employment	Hereditary Enam Total Gs Rs A 57-29-195-2 Butworthy Enam "--"--42-1 A 2½ share in the Shrotriem Village Chultram of the talook of Madagaserah	The land is his own His own share of the Shrotriem	Particulars of where this landed property is situate 4 Villages in the Bellary Talook. 1 Hirray hall 1 Seeroogoppah 1 Koodoothany 1 Kallagallroo. 4 1 Village of Koottanoor in the Talook of Kodacondah 1 Shrotriem Village Chultram in the Madagserah Talook 6 Koodlegly Goomastah Bheemsaina Row his ensign has a half share in the Koodathany Enam.—	

10726

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Bellary Talook

4 Bheemanow	Zillahdar of Uyandey	72	23	129	Subsequent to Employment	Obtained as Gift from Bat worthy Enamdar Panagal Venty Govinda-pah and Krishtummah-	The land is his own	Village of Maddecary Talook of Punchapalem
5 Venketraw	Zillahdar of Sugoppah	54	29	99	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Daisapondy or Zemindary Enam	The land is his own	Coorgode in the Talook of Bellary
6 Kundlee Seenapah	Zillahdar of Coorgode	4th	1	63	1 Previous to Employment	Particulars of Hereditary Enam: a g B a 18-5-12-11 Reddy Curnam Woolgu Enam- 2-34-20-8 Tank Dasawandam 23-2-29-14 Batworthy Enam 4th-1-63-1	The land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated- In the Pungondah Talook.-

		29	23	49	3	Do: Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.-	1 Maussa Village Mandlee 4 Batworthy Villages 1 Nagaloor 1 Bomasamoodram 1 Gotkoor 1 Veetahpally 4 5 There are 3 Shareers of this landed property.-
		73	24th	112	4			

7 Sadheeka Venkanah	Gomastah	68	36	75	3 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam: 4 g B a 58-3-62-9 Sadheeka Enam 10-33-12-10 Batworthy Do: 68-36-75-3	The land is his own	Coorgode in the Bellary Talook

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Bellary Talook

8	Gurrapah	Talook Sheriff	65	11	787	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Batworthy Enam	The land is his own	Particulars of where the landed property is situated. 1 Somasamoodrum in the Bellary Talook 2 In the Talook of Raidroog 1 Vazapanala 1 Malakapooram 2 3
9	Konan Rao	Bellary Talook Munroot Sair Mootsaddy	376	13	899	5 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam Acres G M a 120-16-263-8 Dasac or Jamindary 214-13-539-9 Tank & Baiey Dasalumram 6-214-96-4 Batworthy 376-13-399-5	The land is his own	In the Talook of Raidroog 1 Hanoomapooram 1 Koorooville 1 Solakpooram 1 Kamaykal 4
	Total of the Bellary Talooks		1000	29	2187	8				

Kamply Talooks.

1	Nursingerow	Goomastah of this Talook	29	2	20	9 Previous to Employment	Batworthy Enam		The land is his own	Village Kodoor in the Raidroog Talook
2	Sreenevass row	Goomastah of this Talook	1 18		8	7 Previous to Employment	Batworthy Enam obtained as gift		The land is his own Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	In Hindoopooram in the Kodaecondah Talook In Ballagodahall in the Kamply Talook
			4 8		214	7 Subsequent Employment	Circar Puttah land			
			5 26		32	114				
3	Shenkerow	Killadar cutcherry of Kamply	30	10		Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land situated in Cushah Maddaesserah purchased from Nunjaberyot		Pays annual assessment & Enjoys the produce	In the Maddaesera Talook 1 Cushah Maddaesserah 1 Village Kumladoor in the Talook of Dharmavaram 2 In the Gooty Talook 1 Cushah Gooty 1 Konakondah 2 4
			43 5		69	10	Do.	Purchased Batworthy Enam Acres G M a 4-1-7-. from Cammadhoor 3-7-41-11 Purthanah Gooty 35-37-20-15 Krishabhye 43-5-69-10 Vydam Appiah	The land is his own	
			43 35		79	10				

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Kumply Talooke

4	Gooduroo	Tillader of Darogee	26	5	76	4	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	Village Kuttacheli-kogel in the Goolum Talooke.
5	Tamull	Tillader of Kamalapooram	8	23	6	3	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	Village Pootloor in the Jaudpurry Talook
6	Govindarow	Mastramut Mootsuddy of the Talook	2	31	6	-	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	Village Kindoopooram in the Hodoor-condah Talook
7	Venkatagah	Goller of the Talooke	1	10	28	-	Previous to Employment	Circar Puttah land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce	Cusbah Kumply
8	Ramanatarow	Kulagetadagar Mootsuddy of the Talooke	204	8	164	12	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Curnam Woolgee Enam	The Lands are his own	Pays Jodee amounting to 45 Rapees and annas 8 for the Woolgee Enam in Cullear in the Raedroog talooke.
			2	36	21	3	Dº	Hereditary	Butworthy Enam	Dº	Village Karthwapetty in the Dhurmavarum Talooke.
			207	4	185	15					
9	Suthiaybundy	Atvance Shaik Emon Daffadar of the Talooke	1	6	17	10	Subsequent to Employment	Circar Puttah land.		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce	Cusbah Kumplee
	Total of the Kumplee Talooke		325	22	453	1					

10729 Fort St George 11th August 1851

Talooke Hurrumkelly

1 Rogawanedra row-	Goomastah of this Talooke	67	38	33	12	Previous to Employment	Circar pattah land held by him in his Shrotriem Village-	Pays annual assessment deducting 22 Rupees 4 annas therefrom on account of his share of the Shrotriem.-	Shrotriem Kance nackenhally in the Hoovinhadgelly Talooke.
2 Ramchendra row-	Zillahdar of Manneadi Mooselavadah.-	79	19	202	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam 67-2-163-6 Butwarthy Enam 12-17-38-15 Dufsawundum Do 79-19-202-5	The land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated.- 1 Maddecera in the Punchapelliem Talooke. 2 In the Gooty Talooke 1 Cushah Gooty 1 Shamdoor 2 5 In the Yadakee Talooke 1 Sheroor 1 Yeeragoontah 1 Sheemarum 1 Kpaderapatah 1 Kamampellee 5 8
		16	4	2	Do:	Circar Pattah Land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce-	
		79	35	206	7				

3 Narsingarow	Zillahdar of Hoonabool	65	24	111	14	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Carnam Woolgee	The land is his own	He pays 9 Rs and 2 annas on account of Jodee for the Court nay Carnam Enam Bellary Talooke-
4 Thrieambaka row-	Goomastah of the Raidroog Talooke at present doing Shroff- Pays duety in this Talooke.-	6	17	28	2	Previous to Employment	Butwarthy Enam obtained as gift from and Kanala Appana-	The land is his own	Village Sodanapelly in the Talooke of Muntapoor.-

Fort St George 21st August 1851

Harponhally Talook

5	Govindasow Marwanut Mootuddy of this Talook	64	22	133	9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	But worthy Enam	The land is his own	3 In the Gooty talook 1 Honakondla 1 Goovalapolliem 1 Enchenahall 3
		4	19	9	4	Do	Kenchanagowd Bheemakals Jodee Enam in the Village of Hullagodu in the Raidroog Talook obtained in Mortgage on the understanding that the produce there of is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest.	Holds this land in lieu of interest on debt.	1 Village Hullagode in the Talook of Raidroog 4
		69	1	143	7				
6	Venkapah Gollar of this Talook	69	17	70	1	Previous to Employment	Circar Puttah land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	In the talook of Harponhallee 1 Bookasamgur 1 Goodyhully 2
		83	10	27	3	Do	Potail Kambathy Enam obtained in mortgage with the understanding that the produce there of was to be enjoyed in lieu of	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	
		152	27	97	4	D				

I & B

Interest from Potail Ramapah
a.f. Rs. A. of Bookasagur.
43-20-8-4.
39-30-19-3 from Goodyhully
83-10-27-3 - Narrainagowdah & Gowdapah.

7	Boodensahib. Duffadar of this Talook	30	26	11	3	Previous to Employment	Hereditary potail Woulgu Enam.	The land is his own.	He pays 3 Rupees and 15 Annas on account of Jodee for the Potail Enams of the Villages of Enchah Harponhully and Singarathotah.
		24	21	14	7	Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	
		53	7	25	10				

Total of the Harponhully Talook 496 29 643 14

Nuncan Water

10731 — Fort St. George 11th August 1851

Taluk of Goodlegiru

1	Tirmulrow Tahsildar of this Taluk	30	37	650	6	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam A. G. Rs. A 256-11-562-2 Carnum Woolgee Enam of four Villages 27-5-31-11 Palky Enam 19-16-56-9 Butwarky Enam 307-37-650-6.	The land is his own	The Village wherein the landed property is situated 1 Annaled 4 In the Talook of Adoneekanty Mirasdar 1 Halakarun 1 Ch: Kaval Enam 1 Combadkarun 1 Dhurmakarun 4 1 Butwarky Enam in the Village of Perumallapooram Talook Goodlegiru 5
		2	20	29	5	Ditto	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce	
		305	17	679	11				
2	Ramadroog Peishcar of Hanconda Hostelt Row	53	30	127	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butwarky Enam		In the Talook Goodlegiru
		29	26	88	12	Do	Butwarky Enam obtained as gift by his Mother Honamah from Shreenekramachary.	The lands are his own	1 Yerroor 1 Nainkal 1 Ramdroog. 3.
		6	28	5	"	Subsequent to Employment	Obtained with consent being a portion of the Circar puttah land held by Jungum Curry Cupsiah.	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	
		90	4	221	"				
3	Bheemasain Goomastah Row of this Talook	4	3	122	6	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam A. G. Rs. A 4-3-80-5 Butwarky Enam " " 42-1 Half share being ½ Villages of the Shrotrium Village Chuttrum of the Muddugerah Talook	The land is his own His share of Shrotrium	Particulars where this landed property is situated 1 Butwarky Enam in the Village of Venkatapooram Talook Kumply 2 In the Hoodleghy Talook 1 Cuslah Hoodleghy 1 Veerkullaw 2 1 Village & Chuttrum in the Muddugerah Talook 4 Besides the above he has a half share in the Hereditary Enam land entered under the name of his cousin Gowindarow Goomastah of the Bellary Talook
		5	11	15	4	Subsequent to employment	Circar puttah land in Hoodleghy and other places	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	
		9	14	137	10				

Talook of Hoodleghee.

4	Ragavendra Row	Goomastah of this Talook	2	12	12	1	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	Cusbah Hoodleghee.
5	Soobarow	Goomastah of this Talook	1	11	12	11	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the Produce.	Village Nurkul of the Hoodleghee Talook.
6	Govindarow	Goomastah of the Talook	4	30	18	6	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual Assessment & Enjoys the produce.	1 Cusbah Hoodleghee 1 Nurkul. 1/2 In the Hoodleghee Talook.
										Besides the above he cultivates 2 Acres and 28 Goontahs Assessment Rs 38:3 of Jodee Enam land belonging to Gowry people and pays 26 Rupees and 4 Annas and account of Jodee thereon.
7	Sreenevasa Row	Goomastah of this Talook	18	7	65	4	Previous and Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce.	2 Villages in the Talook of Hoodleghee 1 Cusbah Hoodleghee 1/2 Nookul. 2 In the Hudgully Talook. 1 Hunspapetnum 1/2 Hunnakapoornum 4
8	Ramachender Row	Moonshy of this Talook	1	10	7	5	Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce.	Village Podeshinlah of the Talook of Hoodleghee.

10733

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

Talook of Hoodleyhee.

9	Shamarow	Killadar of Narrain dhawana: keery.	2	21	12	8	Subsequent to Employment	Butworthy Evans purchased by his brother Ramarow from Subneevis Kristtah Chye.	The land is his own	Village Paramata yalayrew in the Talook of Chandagiri.
10	Bhaskara: pah.	Killahdar of Goday Hotah.	132	8	83	7	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butworthy Evans	The lands are his own	Particulars of where the said landed property is situated. 5 In the Hoodleghy Talook. 1 Belladagoo. 1 Goondinapally. 1 Davalapoor. 1 Neerajillee. 1 Cundah Hoodleghee. 5
			"	32	7	2	Previous to Employment.	Butworthy Evans purchased from Shashava dhanee.		
			3	"	39	7	Do.	Butworthy Evans received in mortgage from Himapah Joshee in the name of Vasdawapah on condition of enjoying the produce thereof in lieu of interest.	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	5 In the Pandaroog Talook. 1 Pedda Hanchentla 1 Sinna Hanchentla 1 Pakatah. 1 Kadaroopully 1 Kaserpoorchee. 5
			12	36	60	12	Do.	Circar pattah land.	Pays annual assessment & enjoys the produce.	10 Tal.
			148	36	190	12				

11	Bheema Row	Mahramutt Goomastah of this Talook.	100	38	118	12	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butworthy Evans	The land is his own	2 Village in the Goody Talook. 1 Guddahatoor. 1 Chabalah. 2
12	Seenapah	Shroff of this Talook.	2	30	23	2	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butworthy Evans	The land is his own	Particulars of where his landed property is situated. 12 Villages in the Runpoo: hully Talook. 1 Hurpanhully. 1 Komana hully. 1 Singainahully. 1 Nichapoorum. 1 Moothagee. 1 Neeloogoondha 1 Yellapoorum 1 Khanhully. 1 Mummar Mosalawdeh. 1 Vuddairhully. 1 Blyrapoor. 12
			371	8	305	4	Previous to Employment.	Circar pattah land.	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	8 In the Hoodleghee Talook. 1 Cusbah Hoodleghy 1 Davalapoor. 1 Synehully.
			373	38	328	6				

Hoodleghee Talook.—

								1 Hunsey.	
								1 Veerkullee-	
								1 Hoolleekoontah.	
								1 Bonnanahully.	
								1 Bonnalapoorum.	
								8	
								1 Hanumankunhully in the Hoosenhudgelly Talook.—	
								21.	
								21.	
13	Mahomed Hyance Duffadar of this Talook—	10	11	13	10	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce.	In the Hoodleghee Talook.— 1 Jyenahully.— 1 Jagata Hirioh. 2

Talab of the Hoodleghee Talook 1069 9 1818.—

Hoonahully Talook.—

1	Poonarow Shenistadar of this Talook	220	20	446	15	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a. g. 84. A. 184-26-395-1 Butwarthy Enam. 15-27-13-11 Kyrathee Do. 20-7-38-3 Jamadaree or Sanathee 220-20-446-15 Gowdkee Enam—	The land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated.— 4 In the Goolimee Talook. 1 Jakarapoorum. 1 Ruggaroor. 1 Espanee. 1 Malleharjoonahalli. 4
		59	15	12		Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce.	6 In the Pinnahapollum Talook.— 1 Pottseendah 1 Hannunchee. 1 Madeekarah. 1 Allardinny. 1 Hinoapully. 1 Paranalee. 6 10
2	Govindapah Hellahdar of Enabah Hoosinhudgully of this Talook.—	207	37	677	2	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam a. g. 84. A. 63-22-91-14 Potail Enam 104-20-274-4 Curreem Do. 3-8-26-7 Dufsaunda Enam	The land is his own.	Particulars of where this landed property is situated.— 17 In the Hoodleghee Talook.— 1 Hoodleghee. 1 Moodalapoorum. 1 Veerkullee. 1 Jyenahully.

10735

Fort St. George 11th August 1837.

Hoovenlindgally Talook.

					171. 10. 392. 9	In the Koodlegy Talook.		1 Goondinahollay 1 Surmasettytully 1 Jogeekistoo. 1 Dhusmahpoorum. 1 Ooparagutty. 1 Belladagoo. 1 Gungalapoorum. 1 Busaahpoorum. 1 Amaradaivaree Gooddah 1 Gooddah 1 Hooshamhully. 1 Hoskaree. 1 Karanapoor.
					99. 27. 284. 9.	Butwarthy Enams.		
					270. 37. 669. 2			
	132 19 15	Subsequent to Employment	Enam purchased by him from Hay madras Bustoo of Adonie which is situated in Cushak Koodleghee.			Ditto.		
	9 9 95 13	Previous and subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land in the Koodly Talook.			Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	17	1 Vurdahully in the Hurpenhully Talook. 1 Hagaloor in the Talook 19 of Hoovenlindgallee He pays 19¼ Rupees on account of Jodee on the Curanum and Talook Woolgky Enams in the Koodleghey Talook.
	281 38	792 14						

3	Ram Row	Zillahdar	76 18	164 12	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Butwarthy Enam	The land is his own.	2	2 In the Goety Talook 1 Honakondah 1 Mullinnapully. 2 1 In Middan in the Hunchoopain Talook. 3
4	Hunmanta Row	Zillahdar of Magalum	186	8 287 10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Curnum Woolghee	The land is his own.	2	2 In the Bellary Talook. 1 Dummoor 1 Koloor 1 Somasamoodra 1 Srothria nigada vanahully. 4 He pays 37½ Rupees on account of Jodee on this.
			67 37	66 8	Do.		Circar puttah land.	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.		
			254 5	334 2						

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Hoovinhudgalle Talook.

5	Ragavendra Row	Ex Mahsildar of Sojah	40 34 50 7	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam land a.g. Rt. A. 24-32-36-7 Cummum Woolgy Enam. 16-2-14-0 Dasawundum Enam. 40-34-50-7	The land is his own.	Mirasee Village Madwegary in the Goolum Talook.
			" 4 " 5	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land in the Village of Sojah possessed from Shaboor Bhadrapah	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Village Sojah in same Talook.
			40 38 50 12				
6	Sheenapah	Ex Mahsildar of Thumbarahilly of this Talook	83 10 92 7	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Curneekum Enam.	The land is his own.	In the Talook of Hoovinhudgally. 1 Hoovinhudgallee. 1 Kodeehully. 1 Poorah. 1 Dasarahully. 1 Vurahanahully 5 He pays 22 Rs. and 6 annas on account of Jodee on this.
7	Chuppoor Moonshee Venkatarow	of this Talook	26 26 217 1	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam. a.g. Rt. A. 22-24-189-12 Dispondayor Jamabandy Enam. 4-2-27-5 Dufsawundum Enam. 26-26-217-1	The land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated In the Hodecondah Talook 1 Venkatapooram 1 Poolairoo 1 Seerevarum 3
			" 78 5 3	Do	Butwarthy Enam viz Poolairoo attached in mortgage from Goondachanvattam with the understanding that the produce thereof was to be enjoyed in lieu of interest.		
			74 7 1148 7	Do	Circar puttah land.	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	
			101 21 370 11				
8	Boodoor Naik.	Duffadar	6 3 3 9	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce.	Katanakul in the Talook of Hoovinhudgallee.
9	Badah Muctoom.	Duffadar of this Talook	13 11 78 9	Previous to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce.	Enabal Hoovenhudgally.

For the Year George IV. August 1851.

10	Booday Innetoomy	Duffadar	12	30	1	14	Previous to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Hoodeepully in the Hoovinhudgally Talook.
	Total of the Hoovinhud=galee Talook.		1150	9	2318	9				
1	Krishta Row.	Peishcar of Nagaladinny of this Talook.	3	34	20	4	Previous to Employment.	Enam obtained by his brother Chendamah as gift from Sir Dasai Hurnumta Row.	The land is his own.	Village Hundanatee in the Pinchapollium Talook.
			18	9	95	11	Subsequent to Employment.	Surdasai Enam purchased a.f. Pt. A.	Do.	
			22	3	115	15		3-34-20-4 Purchased from Hurnumta Row being the other half of the land 18237 given to his mother as gift		
								14-15-75-7 Purchased from Hundanatee Surdasai Moora Row.		
			189	95	11					
2	Prakatoor Venkata Row.	Goomastah of this Talook.	7	20	87	14	Subsequent to Employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Sheikathumbdaur of the Talook of Adoni.
3	Govinda Row.	Goomastah of this Talook.	111	29	261	2	Previous and subsequent to Employment.	Enam obtained as gift a.f. Pt. A. 10-13-10-10. Butwarthy Enam obtained as Gift by Nagaiah his father from Mootheya Bhoo=mariah the Butwarthy Enamdar of Nagumath Sully.	The land is his own.	In the Adoni Talook. 1 Hathurnoor. 1 Chelakaladony. 1 Naganathanahully 1 Esnee. 1 Bufsalpoorum. 1 Sathanoor. 6
								101-16-250-8- Obtained as gift by his father and himself from Sir=dasai or Jamadar Hristna Row and others.		
			111	29	261	2				
4	Boojunga Row.	Mounshee of this Talook.	154	25	135	11	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam	The land is his own.	In the Gooty Talook. 1 Vellapoordjoo. 1 Mullapoorum. 1 Guddacullee. 1 Vidavanacullee. 1 Dunayeullee. 5
5	Soobba Row	Sayer Most=Seddy of the Vth Regt Mussly.	19	13	32	8	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Enam	The land is his own.	In the Talook of Pinchapollium. 1 Sundrabully. 1 Hoofsainpoorum. 2

10738

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

6	Streenevas Row	Sayr Mot. Siddy of the Village Munchalee of this Talook	97	14	183	11	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam 81-13-155-8 Samedary or Dasaidum Dasai by Name Cumum Dos Enams 16-1-28-3- But worthy. 97-14-183-11-	The land is his own.	In the Talook of Goolicum. 1 Johorapoorum 1 Auspatee 1 Nunchala 1 Munchalagunta 4.
7	Takeerodeen	Duffadar of this Talook	11	9	10		Subsequent to employment.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	Village Hulloochary in the Adonie Talook.
			13	8	26	14	Do.	Nagala Lenny Dasai Enam received by himself and Chota Takeerodeen in Mortgage with the understanding of enjoying the produce thereof in lieu of interest.	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	
			24	8	36	8				
8	Hyapully	Atturee Duffadar of this Talook	13	13	27	2	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Hyrathee Enam	The land is his own.	Darsanoor of the Bellary Talook.
	Total of the Adonie Talook		450	7	880	7				

J.G.B.

Goolicum Talook.

1	Hunde Tahsildar Shasha of this Gemyrow Talook		24	63			Subsequent to Employment	Jameendaree Enam obtained by him as Gift from Boladonee Dasai Streenevas Sparow	The land is his own	Particulars of where this land of prosperity is situated. 2 - In the taloork of Goolicum. 1 Alvee 1 Belladonna 2 3. In the Tandputtay Talook 1 Cushah Tandputtay 1 Vellalvee 1 Digavapully 3 5.
			58	37	113	8	Do.	Purchased Enam a G. R. a 44-28-22-9- Hyrathee enam in the Tandputtay taloork purchased in the name of Vasadavee now his Sons and that of his brother in law Govindarow. 14-9-70-15- Enam of the Village Vellalvee of the said taloork belonging to Mooda giving Streenevas Sparow Munloo Butwaitty Enamdar purchased in the name of his Son Vasadavarow 58-37-113-8	Do.	
			18	26	13	15	Do.	Tandputtah Pagavarin dravarow Butwaitty Enam of the Village Digapullay of the said Taloork received Mortgage in the name of his Son Vasadavarow with the understanding that its produce is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	
			1	1	4	7	Do.	Circar puttah lands	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	
			202	24	194	14				

10738

Stort & Forge 11 Augusta 1837.

10739.

2 Armature-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	" 16 2 10	Adelantamiento hasta fin de mes.	Cierre y letra de cambio.
6 Pulleys Cruz de hierro 38 9 11 lb.	19 25 58 7	Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
7 Hierro-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	37 30 59 6	Recibo a cuenta. Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
8 Hierro-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	42 9 20 5	Recibo a cuenta. Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
9 Hierro-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	15 24 83 "	Recibo a cuenta. Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
3 Hierro-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	20 " 6 3	Recibo a cuenta. Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
4 Hierro-Plancha de madera de olmo 45 pies.	2 " 2	Recibo a cuenta. Cierre y letra de cambio.	Pago cumplido Orden de Gonzalo Pinto.
	20 2 6 3		

10740.

Fort St. George 11th. August 1851.

Goodiem Taloork.

9	Ramanpah	Sheriff of this Taloork	39	16	32	10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary thy Enam	Butwor	The land is his own	Village Alwathwo Noor in the Puncha pollieem Taloork
10	Ramachenia Drapah	Goollery of this Taloork	4	8	8	9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary thy Enam	Butwor	The land is his own	Village Kummimpully in the Nyadaree Taloork
11	Wenkatah Hagaru the Saiheb	Duffadar of this Taloork	33	56	14		Previous to Employment	Hereditary thee Enam	Tykra	The land is his own	Village Peraru valee in the Puncha pollieem Taloork
	Total of the Goodiem Taloork		318	45	15						

Pundapollieem

1	Ramasawmy	Tahsildar of this Taloork	"	5	"	6	Subsequent Employment	Circar puttah land		Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	Custah Putteecondah.
2	Streeramajoo Row	Sheristadar of this Taloork	"	10½	"	12	Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land		Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce	Custah Putteecondah.
3	Venchatarow	Gumastah of the Taloork	39	19	57	10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary thy Enam	Butwor	The land is his own	1 Village Sunnee Wotar in the Gootty Taloork 1 Village Shuckrugapettah in the taloork of Anuntapoor. 2
4	Ven Rata Bheema row	Zilladar of Bylo-pullee in this Taloork	89	33	91	15	Previous to Employment	Hereditary worthy Enam	But	The land is his own	2 In the Puncha pollieem taloork 1 Sienna polly 1 Yerragoody 2

Stock Exchange 11th August 1837.

Bankers & Discount Brokers.

2 Shares Equity Bank			
1 Scottish Life			
1 Edinburgh Life			
3. Shares Caledonian Stock			
1 Commercial Bank			
1 Union Bank			
1 Bank of Scotland			
1 Royal Bank			
1 Glasgow Union Bank			
1 Aberdeen Banking Co.			
3. Shares Arbroath & Forfar Railway			
1 Dundee & Arbroath			
1 Dundee & Newtyle			
1 Arbroath & Brechin			
1 Edinburgh & Glasgow			
1 Monkland & Kirkintilloch			
1 Garnkirk & Glasgow			
1 Paisley & Renfrew			
1 Glasgow & Ayr			
1 Dundee & Perth			
1 Leith & Edinburgh			
1 Edinburgh & Dalkeith			
1 Dundee & Forfar			
1 Aberdeen & Inverness			
1 Perth & Dunkeld			
1 Stirling & Dunfermline			
1 Glasgow & Greenock			
1 Glasgow & Paisley			
1 Edinburgh & Leith			
1 Edinburgh & Musselburgh			
1 Edinburgh & Portobello			
1 Edinburgh & Newhaven			
1 Edinburgh & Granton			
1 Edinburgh & Trinity			
1 Edinburgh & Canonmills			
1 Edinburgh & Duddingston			
1 Edinburgh & Portobello			
1 Edinburgh & Musselburgh			
1 Edinburgh & Dalkeith			
1 Edinburgh & Leith

10742 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

8	Shaik Ikram	Uttarnee Duffadar of this talook	5	38	9 9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary	Flyra Thee Enam	The land is his own	Village Paravalee in the Puncha pollium Talook
	Total of the Puncha pollium Talook		762	15	17	15	14			
1	Rondul now	Tahsildar of this Talook	10	17	127	6	Subsequent to Employment	Pal Tree Enam of Flyra. Tree land purchased by him from Apsiah Son of Gouindapah Cur. num of Cudalah Gooty.	The land is his own	Cudalah Gootty
			"	18	"	10	Do "	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and enjoys the produce	Do
			10	35	128	"				
2	Dodda Krishta now	Sheristadar of this Talook	53	15	77	13	Previous to Employment	Chuttrum Enam Granted to him by the circar in consideration of his having built a Chauttry at Puttacundah in the Puncha pollium talook.	The land is his own	Village Kundacara in the Puncha pollium Talook.
3	Seevana maiah now	Peishcar of Voorvoor cundah in this Talook	143	9	126	"	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Bulwarthy The land is his own	5. In the Dhurmavarum Talook 1 Dhurmavaycum 1 Moochiaramee 1 Voorvoburrapully 1 Gollahpully 1 Apparjacherroo 5.
4	Sunjeeva now	Moonshy of this Talook	155	30	337	"	Previous to Employment	Curnum Wool. Gylay Enam.	The land is his own	1 Mirasee Village Ruthtee. Collagut in the Gooliem Talook 1 Kellagoondah in the Gooty Talook.
			"	7	8		Do "	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment Enjoys the produce	
			155	37	337	8				
5	Annaja now	Goomastah of the Talook	131	5	183	4	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam.	Butworthy The land is his own	5. In the Gooty Talook 1 Kurroor 1 Paulatoor 1 Rayempully 1 Voorvacundah 1 Kumbegullee
			5	34	17	"	Do "	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	
			136	39	200	14				5.

10743.

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

6	Sagarum Gomad- -ren Venkobatah of this Taloork	94	12	97	6	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Butterworthy	The land is his own	Particulars of where this landed property is situated 2 In the Punchayet Pollicum Taloork 1 Thalatree 1 Pahpully 2 3 In the Gooty Taloork 1 Yerrademara- -yacherevelvo 1 Ennegunadod- -dee 1 Buithapully 3 4 In the Ayadakee Taloork 1 Royel Cherrovvvo 1 Rovalupsand 1 Pulpalvor 1 Tavanapully 4 5 Villages in the Ring chapelli in Taloork 1 Tistahendah 1 Pagulpandevo 1 Thumburpullee 1 Chellachellama 1 Mygolee 5
		40	18	21	13	Do	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce		
		134	30	119	3					
7	Tummapah Tellahiden of this Taloork	43	6	8	4	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a G.R.a 21-27-3-2 Dasathra Enam on account of Services rendered 21-19-5-2 Thyrathree Enam- 43-6-8-4		The land is his own	
8	Soobiah Tillah Yerradhi- -mervoy- -cherovin this Taloork	15	28	15	11	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Vaithiee Gutton Thyrathree Enam		The lands is his own	Village Cumba- -dour in the Dhur- -maravaram Taloork
		2	20	23	13	Do	Circar puttah lands	Pays Annual Assessments and Enjoys the produce		
		18	8	39	8					
9	Bummuta- -vow Tillah- -dar of Va- -gadamvo- -drum in this Taloork		25	9	14	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butterworthy Enam-		The land is his own	Village Cheek- -Royapettah in the Taloork of Anuntapoor
10	Kristhna -vow Tilladar of Koomar- -Bondla in this Taloork	56	4	72	15	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Dashapan- -day or Jamaedary Enam-		The land is his own	Village Seegama- -tully of the Pen- -nakonda Taloork
11	Seevarow Tilladar of Woorava- -condah in this Taloork	56	30	116	12	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a G-R-a 33-20-26-6 Curnum Woolgy Enahm 23-10-70-6 Batworthy Enam 56-30-116-12		The land is his own	In the Anuntapoor Taloork 1 Bos Karayasamee- -drum 1 Reddy pullee 1 Singana Mullah 1 Chukarayapattah 1 Pardarlala 1 Tandacherla 6
		400	21	628	8	Do	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce		
		457	11	745	4					
	Total of the Gooty Taloork	1209	15	1885	3					

10742

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Yadakee Taloork

1 Soobarow	Taholdar of this Taloork	480	11	188	10	Previous and Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	In the Mudugoor rah Taloork 1 Govindharaw row 1 Seendal Bunda Karrah 2
2 Venkata row	Sheristadar of this Taloork	8	25	26	"	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a G Rl a 6-5-4- " Polaib Cumum Woolgy Enam 2-20-22- " Butwarthy Enam 8-25-26- "	The land in his own	Cusbah Peneondah in the Peneondah Taloork
		344	1	389	4	Previous and Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	
		352	26	415	4				
3 Soobarow	Gurmastah in this Taloork	7	14	10	12	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam Butwarthy Enam obtained as Gift by his Mother Soobamah from Soobamah of Singanamullah of the Taloork of Anaumtapoor	The land is his own	1 In the Yadakee Taloork 1 Chundanum 1 Heenapookum 2 2 In the Anuntapoor Taloork
		818	38	2		Do		Do	
		57	57			Do	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the produce	1 Singanamullah 1 Chinnayullah pookum 2 4 Besides this he rents out for 7 Rl 4 annas 8 a year another Butwarthy Enam Comprising 22 acres and 18 Cunts take Assessment thereon Rl 6-9- " Some other Enam land is entered under the head of the Taholdar of Tundpuddy.
		20	39	49	5				
4 Thuama row	Gurmastah of this Taloork	25	12	47	10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a-G-Rl-a 12-32-26-7 Curnum Woolgy Enam 12-23-21-3 Butwarthy Enam 25-12-47-10	The land is his own.	2 In the Gooty Taloork 1 Murrema Kalva pully Meravedel Village 1 Thoorapully 2 1 Beddayeek in the Yadakee Taloork 3
Total of the Yadakee Taloork		879	8	700	13				

10725.

Fort St. George 11th August 1867.

1 Rassarow	Talaiyar of this Talook	8	1	3	Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land at the Rood Ry rate a well and Tope being situated there	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the Produce	Are Theriem Muck Ramanarpully in the Dhummanar cum Talook near a high road.
2 Govinda row	Peishcar of Thumakul in this Talook	1	6	15	6 Previous to Employment	Butwar by Enam obtained from Sreeneevas Charles of Rodecondah as gift at the time of his marriage.	The land is his own.	Village Thunchee cumvodrum in the Rodecondah Talook.
3 Vem Retnow	Peishcar of Cushah Baidroog.	34	20	11	13 Previous to Employment	Thy rathee Enam purchased by his father Veeta kul Seenapah from Maity Sooga pah	The land is his own	In the Talook of Bay droog. 1 Cushah Baidroog 1 Cullogode.
		9	7	26	10 Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and Enjoys the Produce	
		43	27	38	7			
4 Seenapah	Moonshy of this Talook	16	26	29	14 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam a G. Rent a 14-22-15-6 Curnum Woolgy Enam 2-4-14-8 Tank Bhaga cum dum Enam 16-26-29-14	The land is his own.	Village Pundeepunthy in the Penicondah Talook
		66	4	191	3 Do.	Circar puttah land	Pays Annual Assessment and enjoys the produce	
		82	30	221	3			
5 Hanvoman tarow	Goomastah of this Talook	66	32	237	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam.	The land is his own	Enam is in the Village Yerradamoor in Thurry in Harroo in the Gooty Talook for which 25 Rupees are paid on account of Judee & he is a third share holder in the Curnum of Mirasee Enam situated in Harroy pully in the said Talook Bhoopasamoodrum in the Talook of Royadroog.
		68	28	28	12 Subsequent to Employment	Punchangum Enam situated at Bhoopasamoodrum obtained as Gift at his marriage from his father in law Vem Ratagerry Achaulvo and his two brothers.	Do.	
		235	20	265	12			

10725.

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

10746	6 Ramajeyam Pedaiah	Vadar Tigeydee of Tree of this Talook	22	17	58	2	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Butworthy	The land is his own	In the Anuntapoor Talook 1 Singanamullah 2 Pinathoree 3 Salabumcherroo 4 Kondakinda Augraharum
	7 Soobaroo	Vadar Tigeydee of this Talook	88	34	91	11	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Butworthy	The land is his own	In the Royedroog Talook 1 Gungaverum 1 Vajaparala 1 Dhoodhaykon dah 3
	8 Ramanmantaroo	Vadar Tigeydee of this Talook	98	7	81	15	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam	The land is his own	In the Rudroog Talook 1 Hoolee Karah 1 Kullimpully 1 Pullumpully 3
	9 Appiah	Zillahdar of Ballagoods pah of this Talook	2	39	12	4	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Butworthy	The land is his own.	In the Rodecondah Talook. 1 Kindoopoor 1 Kolnoor 2
			2	21	25	"	Subsequent to Employment	Woolgy Enam belonging to Potail Nursapah and others obtained in Mortgage by Govindapah and Krishnapah on the understanding that the produce was to be enjoyed in lieu of interest.		Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	
			14	8	103	13	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land		Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	
			19	28	141	1					
	10 Alavadee	Surchesum	5	3	5	3	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Pyrathee Enam		The land is his own	In the Village Ruppatrala in the Pancha polliem Talook.
	Total of the Roydroog Talook		605	12	919	15					

10747

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

1	Venkata row	Tahsildar of the Talook	86	20	95	3	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam	Palait Enam of Thimmapoorum Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	In the Yadakee talook 1 Cusbah Yadakee 1 Chundanah 1 Nurssapoorum 1 Roomatha 1 Thimapoorum 5
							Acres 11	G. 35	Rs. 36	A. 7	
			74	25	58	12					
			86	20	95	3					
2	Ramdasepah	Moonshy of this Talook	70	5	72	9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnium Woolgy Enam		The land is his own	Village Nellagondah in the Gooty Talook. He pays 4 Rupees on account of Jodee
3	Kasul Venkobee row	Goomastah of this Talook	104	28	96	"	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam	Butworthy	The land is his own.	9 Villages in Talook of Saudsputhy 1 Saud puthy 1 Sujjeldinna
	Saudsputhy		"	1	"	1	Do	Circar puttah land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	1 Talary Chervvo 1 Kadavaculloo. 1 Chalavaimala. 1 Cherlopully. 1 Pootloor. 1 Kundecaupala. 1 Roomanamullah 9. 2 In the Yadakee Talook 1 Chookaloor. 1 Chermalanagaia spully hamlet of Chookaloor 2 11
			104	29	96	1					
4	Ram Row	Goomastah of this Talook	32	20	93	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam.	Butworthy	The land is his own..	In the Gooliem Talook 1 Belladona 1 Nagaradona 2

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

5	Jeevajee Annajee row.	Goomastah of this Talook.	11	2	6	5	13	Previous to Employment.	Butworthy Enam from Enamdars Shamacharry Gopaala Kristnacharry and Royachoor Venca pacharry by his father Venkutrow.	Enam purchased	The land is his own	In the Gooty Talook 1 Cusbah Gooty 1 Thondapaud 1 Yerradimaraya cherroo. 3
6	Rama row	Hilladar of Cusbah Saadputtry	308	24	608	12.		Previous to Employment	Hereditary Acres G Bs a 182 17 300 6 Curnea kum Enam 86 4 264 11 Saukaud Valak Dasavandum Enam 40 3 43 11 Butworthy Enam 308 24 608 12.	Enam	The land is his own	1 Village Pootloor in the Saadputry Talook. 1 Do Bhogasamoodrum in the Yadakee Talook
7	Nursing row	Hilladar of Vellaloor of this Talook	91	3	68	12.		Previous to Employment	Hereditary acres G Bs a 48 20 24 1 Reddy Enam of Jallalpoorum. 30 32 13 9 Curnum Enam of Jallalpoorum and Karnatakum Sully 11 31 31 2 Butworthy Enam 91 3 68 12.	Enam	The land is his own.	In the Anuntapoor Talook. 1 Chinna Jullah poorum. 1 Singanamullah 1 Korrapaudoo 1 Chedhulla 1 Kurnatakumpully 1 Chukaroza pett 1 Sodhanapully 7
8	Atchootha row.	Hilladar of Seenna palagoodoor of this Talook.	97	13	126	6.		Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam.	Do	The lands is his own.	2. In the Anantapoor Talook 1 Cusbah Anantapoor 1 Bookasamoodrum 2. 1 In the Gooty Talook Cusbah 3
			3	7	32	9	Do		Butworthy Enam belonging to Tenkasala Achamah purchased by his brother Seevapah.			
9	Sunjeva naidoo	Duffadar of this Talook	15	7	27	8		Previous to Employment	Circar Puttah land		Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	Vellaloor in Saadputry Talook.
	Total of the Saadputry Talook		817	37	1254	5						

Saadputry Talook Contd/

10749

For Ft. St. George 11th August 1851.

1 Sanomun tarow	Tahsildar of this Talook.	816	28	1039	6	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam. Acres G. Ps. a 211 2 287 1 Curnum Woolgy Enam. 578 27 662 5 Jameedaree Dasai Enam 86 39 90 " Butworthy Enam. 816 28 1039 6	The land is his own	In the Talook of Royadroog 2 Meerasee Villages 1 Bellagoopah 1 Konunpully 2 The Curnee Kum Enam of these Villages held in shares one half for the Kaganagoomastah Tristarow and the remaining half for the others Viz:- 1 Sunmuntarow 1 Bellagoopah Sumjeevapah Koozoor Goomastah. 1 Kristtamah 3/11 Dasai Villages 1 Boodegoomah 1 Summapoorum 1 Unkumpully 1 Bellagoopah 1 Yerragoody 1 Ramapoorum 1 Mavenamurdshapully. 1 Konumpully 1 Palakoor. 1 Seerpee 1 Rachayypully 11 13 as first Rt. a Dasi Enam 1300-9-262-8 Resumed by Circar 633-4-131-4 662-5-131-4

Sanctioned

Sunmtarow

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Anantapoor Talook Enclosure 2.

2	Uggalee Vencata row.	Rishcar of this Talook.	40	17	219	2	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam. Acres. G. Rs. a. 19 — — 179 — 1 Potail Enam of the Village of Mathoody. 19 — 38 — 28 — 2 Curnum Enam of the Village of Irrigainapully 1 — 19 — 11 — 15 Butworthy Enam 40 — 17 — 219 — 2.	The land is his own.	10 In the Mudduck-seerah Talook. 1 Uggalee 1 Irrigainhully 1 Koonsanggainhully 1 Siddhenarainahully 1 Kalavedee 1 Mathoody 1 Ramapoorum 1 Kesapoorum 1 Kodeehully. 1 Ravoody.
			1	"	12	—	Do.	Ramdasacharry's Butworthy Enam purchased in the Name of Uggalee Lingapah	Do.	
			6	38	21	10	Do.	Vencataramabutto Thimanah Somayajooloo's Butworthy Enam Obtained by Uggalee Lingapah in Mortgage with the understanding that the produce thereof is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest.	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt.	10
			376	15	2	110	"	Do.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce.
			424	30	2	331	8			
3	Nursapah Goomastah of this Talook		35	18	81	8	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam Acres. G. Rs. a. 35 — 7 — 78 — 7 Butworthy Enam " — 11 — 3 — 1 Nalah Dufsarund 35 — 18 — 81 — 8.	The land is his own.	7 In the Anantapoor Talook 1 Taudmurry 1 Chinna Hotta 1 Sodhanapully 1 Thalaparee 1 Dumpetta 1 Niddegulloo 1 Pappoorou 7. 1 In the Village Koon Pontlah in the Talook of Taudpuetry. 8
4	Govindrow Nagadys Goomastah of this Talook		6	6	13	2	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The lands are his own	In the Anantapoor Talook 1 Anantapoor 1 Thalapoor 1 Alamoor. 3
			34	12	19	"	Subsequent to Employment	Hoodair Ramapah's Butworthy Enam obtained as gift at the time of his marriage.		
			40	18	32	2				

10/51

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

Anantapoor Talook Continued.

5	Ramrow	Joomastah of this Talook	39 25 95 9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam acres. G. R. A. 12 – 20 – 8 – 6 Curnum Woolgy Enam of the Village of Thalapoor. 27 – 5 – 87 – 3 Butworthy Enam. 39 – 25 – 95 – 9.	The land is his own.	In the Anuntapoor Talook 1 Thalapoor In the Pengondah Talook 1 Cothacherroo. 2.
			9 18 45 1 ——Do.——		Circar Puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	
			49 3 140 10				
6	Ramrow	Killahdar of Handa Poor in the Talook	44 15 34 10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Woolgy Enam 1 Potail Enam 1 Pathegee Do 1 Curnum Do 1 Yajamanika Do 1 Punchangee 5	The land is his own.	In the Raydroog Talook 1 Roopungoody 1 Londoor 2.
			59 5 134 7 ——Do.——		Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	
			103 20 169 1				
7	Venketa maranapah.	Killadar of Kuddimadoody in this Talook	5 28 7 3	Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam.	Butworthy The land is his own	Morasadhapully in the Rodecondah Talook.
8	Ronapah	Killadar of Coodair of this Talook	48 5 63 14	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Potail Curnum Woolgy Enam.	The land is his own	In the Rodecondah Talook. 1 Kulloorroo. 1 Oopara pully 2.
			31 36 119 2. ——Do.——		Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	
			80 1 183 .				
9	Bundagee	Duffadar of this Talook	36 21 11	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	1 Village Bookaroza Samodrum in the Anuntapoor Talook.

10752

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

Anantapoor Sub Collectorate

10	Abdool Sumbbee	Duffadar of this Talook	1	28	36	15	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and Enjoys the produce.	Village Bookaroya Samoodrum in the Anantapoor Talook.
11	Goodoobbye	Duffadar of this Talook	1	33	2	8	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam	Pyrathee The land is his own.	Cushak Anuntapoor.
	Total of the Anam Anapoor Talook £				3	4	8			
1	Ragavain Draroo	Peishcar of Bookaput Sum of this Talook	1	38	21	11	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam.	Butwarthy The land is his own.	Thaloor in the Talook of Rumply.
2	Ramapah	Goomastah of this Talook.	35	30	54	9	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam.	Butwarthy The land is his own.	11 Villages in the Pengondah talook 1 Pengondah 1 Beechgonpully 1 Mullagoor 1 Mageakerah 1 Pydatee 1 Mooneemudgoo 1 Cothoor 1 Pundy spurthy 1 Cholamurry 1 Purghee 1 Thalamurla 11 1 Barenapully in Kodecondah Talook. 12
				27	6	8	Do.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	
				361	7	1				

Regulated Talook

10753

Fort St. George 11th August 1857.

Pongondah Talook Continued.

3	Kasapah	Goomastah of this Talook	21	4	15	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	Cusbah Pongondah
4	Narrain pow	Goomastah of this Talook	38	7	8	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Cusbah Pongondah
5	Paravas thiah	Gillahdar of Purgee of this Talook	173	22	135	7 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam.	The land is his own.	In the Gooty Talook 1 Konakondla 1 Gooliapolliam 1 Kunchnahall 3
			142	30	243	3 Do.	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	
			316	12	378	10			
6	Ram pow	Gillahdar of this Talook	57	1	25	9 Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	In the Muddack seerah talook 1 Ramapoorum 1 Dasaylingasha hally 2
7	Venket pow	Gillah of this Talook	7	28	18	6 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam.	The land is his own.	Hullamuddee in the Gooty Talook.
8	Ramapah	Kamgar ry Mootsuddy of this Talook	172	4	50	6 Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam.	The land is his own	Kuncheeramoodrum in the Kodecondah talook.

10753

Fort St. George 11th August 1857.

10754 to 55

Pengondah Taloob Continued.

9	Gopiah	Shroff of this Taloob	1	13	5	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Srothreem Brauh. manapolly in the taloob of Anan-tapoor
10	Gollemar raiah	Goller of this Taloob	"	111	31	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys to produce.	Cusbah Pen-gondah.
11	Bheema naick	Stoonee Duffadar of this Taloob	38	7	777	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce.	Rodhum of the Pengondah Taloob.

Total of the Pengondah Taloob 2434 13 649 2.

Pendecondah Taloob.

1	Honaree row	Shrestadar of this Taloob	2383	38	1724	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Enam - Acres - G - Rs a - 43 - 35 - 140 - 10 Patail Enam - 90 - 21 - 125 - " Curnum Do. 11 - 34 - 36 - 4 Butwarthy Do. 2237 - 28 - 1422 - 7 Jameendary Do. 2383 - 38 - 1724 - 5	The land is his own -	Particulars of where this landed property is situated Villages Talooks 1 Bellary 20 - Adonie 17 - Gooliem 5 - Punchapolliem 43. He pays 28 Rupees on account of Jodee on the Halharvey Enam - =nee Enam -
			43	"	125	7	Do.	Given as gift by Pagavaindra Sawmy to his [Honareerow's] Ancestors in the Village of Nem - =chala -	Do.	
			2426	38	1849	12				
2	Venkapah	Goomas - tah of this Taloob	3	3	7	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Woolgy Enam -	The land is his own -	Cusbah Hindoo - =poorum in the Rodecondah Taloob -
			1	15	6	4	Subsequent to Employment	Butwarthy Enam obtained by himself in Mortgage from Soomboor	Holds the land in lieu of inte - =rest on debt -	

Fort St. George, 11th August 1854-

						Venkatanarainacharloo with the understanding to enjoy the produce thereof in lieu of interest - Circar puttah land -	Pays the annual assessment and Enjoys the produce -	
			116	8	312	2 Previous and subsequent to Employment -			
			120	26	325	11.			
Hodecondah Talook.	3 Kummun Tilladar -tapah of Dapah -hee of this Talook -		10	12	19	0 Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Enam - Acres 4. 65 a. 9 — 20 — 18 — 7 Pinchaungum Woolgy Enam 0 — 20 — 0 — 9. Butwarthy Enam	The lands is his own -	In the Hodecondah Talook - 1. Pooloomathy - 1. Hotapee - 2 -
	4. Venkatara= -manapah of Chowloor of this Talook	Tilladar	2	28	5	6 Previous to Employment -	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam -	The land is his own -	Village Chagalore of the Hodecondah Talook -
	Total of the Hodecondah Talook		250	14	5199	13 -			

	1. Timulrow	Tahsildar of this Talook -	2	23	18	12 Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam -	The land is his own -	Kathacotah of the Pongondah talook -
	2 Govinda row -	Goomastah of this Talook -	11	33	16	15 Previous to Employment -	Circar puttah land -	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce -	In the Muddugserah Talook - 1 Muddugserah - 1. Gollumpully - 2
Muddugserah Talook.	3. Bheema row -	Goomastah of this Talook -	1	22	0	13 Previous to Employment.	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam	The land is his own -	3 Villages in the Muddugserah Talook - 1. Burray Samoodrum 1. Anantapoorum 1. Gowdanahully - 3
			4	4	29	9 Subsequent to Employment -	Butwarthy Enam obtained by himself in Mortgage from Sreenivoshtee Narsinivocharry Venkatachutloo's wife Venkamah and others, on the understanding that produce thereof was to be enjoyed in lieu of interest -	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debts -	1. Village Chuntha bedhanoor in the Hodecondah Talook - 1
			5	20	30	0 -			

Fort St: George, 11th August 1857-

4	Dasapah	Zilladar of Amrapoor of this Talook-	4	12	6	2	Previous to Employment-	Hereditary & Butworthy Enam	The land is his own-	In the Kodecondah Talook- 1. Hindoopooram 1. Kodegantully- 2
5	Pamarow	Coomastah of the Hoovenhud-galy Talook-	4	25	49	1	Previous to Employment-	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The land is his own-	In the Penemdah Talook-
			7	36	48	7	D:	Circar puttah lands-	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the pro-duce-	1. Bookaputnum 1. Siddarama-pooram- 2.
			12	21	97	8-				
6	Narana-pah-	Moonshee of this Talook-	27	15	57	3	Previous to Employment-	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The land is his own-	Cusbah Pungondah Vcm in the Pun-gondah Talook-
			7	18			D:	Circar puttah land-	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce-	
			27	22	58	11				
7	Sauhapah.	Shroff	2	22	4	3	Subsequent to Employment-	Circar puttah land-	Pays annual Assessment and Enjoys the pro-duce-	Cusbah Muddy-serah-
8	Ramanah.	Asham Duffadar-	60	33	181	7	Subsequent to Employment-	Circar puttah land-	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce-	Cusbah Amunta-poor in the Amuntapoor Talook-
	Total of the Mud-duckserah Talook		127	32	420	-				
1	Bashkara-pah-	Talsil-dar of this Talook-	15	35	52	8	Previous to Employment-	Hereditary Curnum Enam-	The land is his own-	In the Kodecondah Talook-
			-	13	4	5	Subsequent to Employment-	Chetty pully Krishtna-charloo's Butworthy Enam purchased in the name of his brother Jalapah-	D:	1. Palsamoodrum- 1. Katapully- 2
			48	17	178	13	Previous to Employment.	Circar puttah land-	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce-	
			64	25	235	10-				

Muddyserah Talook-

Bhummaraum Talook-

Fort St. George, 11th August 1857.

Dhurmavarum Talook Continued.

2. Sagarum Saoha=gerry=row-	Sheristadar of this Talook-	80	25	180	Previous to Employment-	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam-	The land is his own-	3 Villages in the Gooty talook- 1. Cusbah Gooty- 1. Bajay pully- 1. Anegandhody- 3 1. Pandee in the Yadahee talook- 2. Villages in the Punchapollium talook- 1. Chensumpully- 1. Tummumpully- 2 5. There is one Circar puttah land under the name of Sagarum=Venkatarow Goo- =mastah of the Gooty Talook in which he and Vencobrow have 1 Share and the other share belongs to Venkata Bheema row Zilladar of Pyloor in the Punchapollium Talook-
3. Soobarow-	Peishcar of Kullian=droog of this talook-	1	19	18	10. Previous to Employment-	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam-		In the Dhurma=varum Talook- 1. Cusbah Dhurmavarum 1. Mullah Kalava- 2.
		1	25	13	6. Subsequent to Employment-	Enam purchased by his brother Nagapah from Dhurmavarum Undhagala Honna-pah's wife Bhagamah-	The lands are his own-	
		4	8	18	4. — Do. —	Circar puttah land-	Pays the annual assessment and Enjoys the produce-	
		7	12	20	4-			

Fort St. George, 11th August 1857.

4	Paramai-swarapah	Moonshee of this Talook	62 25 70	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butwarthy Enam Acres G. Ds a 61 38 53 1 Butwarthy Enam " 13 8 12 Sanparavara-shee " 11 8 12 Kalavacur-num 62 25 70	The land is his own	In the Talook of Dhurmavarum 1 Dhurmavarum 1 Sungala 1 Chapatla 1 Gollapully 1 Gollor 1 Gollapully 1 Mullah Kalava 1 Chenna Cothapully 8	
			3531 55 5	Subsequent to Employment	Obtained himself and Krishtapah as Gift from Dumnavarum Vasai Chinna Sevaram-pah's wife Venkamah	Dº		
			1 31 17 9	Dº	Purchased by himself and Krishtapah from Kasavacharloo and Gattoor Venkitramacharloo	The land is his own		
			1 8 21 3	Dº	Obtained in Mortgage in the name of Girry-apah from Mylaveram Sobamacharloo with the understanding that the produce thereof is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest	Holds the land in lieu of interest on debt		
			6 39 20 1	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual Assessment and enjoys the produce		
			10814 193 11					
5	Pagapah	Goomas-tah of the Talook	19 10 28 3	Previous to Employment	Ghathamaniam Enam obtained by his father Donahul Lutchmeechanthapah as Gift at the time of his Marriage from Danapah the Curnum of Kurroor	The land is his own	Kurroor in the Gooty Talook	

Dhurmavarum Talook Cont.d

Fort St. George, 11th August 1857.

4 Paramai Swarapah	Moonshee of this Talook	62 25 70	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butworthy Enam Acres G Bs a 61 38 53 1 Butworthy Enam " 13 8 12 Sanparavara Sheo " 11 8 12 Kalavacur num	The land is his own	In the Talook of Dhurmavarum 1 Dhurmavarum 1 Sungala 1 Chapatla 1 Gollapully 1 Golloor 1 Gollapully 1 Mullah Kalava 1 Chouna Cothapully 8	
		62 25 70					
		3531 55 5	Subsequent to Employment	Obtained himself and Krishtapah as Gift from Durmavarum Vasai Chinna Swaram pah's wife Venkamah	D°		
		1 31 17 9	D°	Purchased by himself and Krishtapah from Kasavacharloo and Golloor Venkatramacharloo	The land is his own		
		1 8 21 3	D°	Obtained in Mortgage in the name of Giry pah from Mylaveram Sobamacharloo with the understanding that the produce thereof is to be enjoyed in lieu of interest	Holds the land in lieu of interest in debt		
		6 39 26 1	Previous to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce		
		108 14 193 11					
5 Pagapah Goomastah of the Talook		19 10 28 3	Previous to Employment	Ghathanamniam Enam obtained by his father Donakul Lutchmeechanthapah as Gift at the time of his Marriage from Danapah the Curnum of Kurroor	The land is his own	Kurroor in the Gooty Talook	

Dhurmavarum Talook Cont.d

Fort St. George. 11th August 1857.

6	Ranga row	Goomastah of this talook	134	33	168	5	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	5 Villages in the Anantapoor Talook 1. Anuntapoor 1. Bookaroyasamoodrum 1. Bundanneedapully 1. Peddy pully 1. Hahalapully 5 2. Villages in the Pengondah Talook 1 Kothacheroovoo 1. Kunnesetty pully 2 7
7	Soobba row	Tillaclar of Cusbah Dunnavarum	1	36	18	10	Previous to Employment	Enam obtained as gift by himself and his brother Saishapah at the time of marriage from Chetumberapah and Lutchmeedavamah	The land is his own	Cusbah Dunnavarum
8	Appaya pah	Tillaclar of Pairoor of this Talook	34	23	38	11	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Butworthy Enam	The land is his own	Chennacottapully in the Dunnavarum Talook
			17	14	1	14	Subsequent to Employment	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	
			51	37	40	9				
9	Goondapah	Tillaclar of Hoondurpee of this Talook	8	27	29	10	Previous to Employment	Hereditary Curnum Enam	The land is his own	2. Villages in the Hodecondah Talook 1. Chilmatoor 1. Dainnakatanar pully
			669	78	4		Do	Circar puttah land	Pays annual assessment and enjoys the produce	
			72	30	107	14				
10	Summapah	Shroff of this talook	52	12	61	12	Previous to Employment	Butworthy Enam obtained as Gift by himself at the time of his Marriage from Shri Vickramasastroolu	The land is his own	In the Dunnavarum Talook 1. Gattoor 1. Gollapully 2

Talook Cont'd

Dunnavarum

10/62

Fort St. George 11th August 1857.

Read the following Letter.

No. 62

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

19

Bd's No. 1659.

From the Collector of Cuddapah — Sir, Para 1.

Reporting upon the accompanying 3 Petitions presented to the Board of Revenue and to Government by M. Streenevasa Row of Cuddapah — No. 2,384 of 1850. " 2,565 " 12 of 1857.

I have the honor to report upon the Petitions noted in the Margin presented to the Board of Revenue and to Government by M. Streenevasa Row of Cuddapah.

2 Petitioner claims to succeed to a portion of the Carneekum Enam in the Town of Cumbum, in succession to his uncle lately deceased and his claim has hitherto been disallowed by the Government, on the recommendation of the Board, made in accordance with the Collector's remonstrance, against the continued appropriation of the land, to purposes other than those for which it was originally granted.

3. Petitioner does not attempt to gainsay the fact that the land does actually form part of the Carnam's enam, and that it is entered as such in all the public Accounts, but grounds

Fort St. George 11th August 1867.

in the possession of his portion of the Curnum's
Enam land and a Teloogoo taked from Chendoolu,
dated Fusly 1215 / 1805 to the same effect.—

6. C. Venkummah, represented as the
descendant of C. Boochanah, the person who
originally sold the land, has given a deposition
in 1849 acknowledging the sale, and resigning
all claims to the property, and the present Curnums
of the Village have in like manner admitted the
fact, and stated that the land has been for many
years in the enjoyment of Petitioner's family.—
Petitioner therefore Petitioner's
claim to this land is to be decided on the same
grounds as would a similar claim to any
other property, it must be admitted that he
has established his right, and is entitled
to a decision in his favor— but it remains
for the Government to determine whether
under Regulation VII of 1831 his claim is not
barred by the fact of the land he claims, forming
part of the Enam set apart by the state for the
maintenance of the Curnum's Office.—

8. The question of the alienation
of the Curnum lands in Rajahmundry was
reported

Fort St. George 11th August 1867.

grounds his claim solely on the land having
been uninterruptedly enjoyed by the persons
whose heir he claims to be, for a period of 160 years.

4. The first person who held
this land as a private Enam was one Rama-
chendrapantooloo, who purchased it from
C. Boochunnah, the Curnum of the Village,
and Petitioner has produced the original
bill of sale bearing a kindoo Date corresponding
with the year 1688. The land descended
in a direct line from Ramachendrapantooloo
for four generations, and Ramaroo the last direct
heir dying without issue in 1827 his funeral
ceremonies were performed by the present or
Petitioner and his father. The question of succession
to the land which then arose, was settled by the
Sub Collector Mr. A. Freese, in favor of Petitioner's Father.

5. Petitioner has further produced
the following documents in support of his claim.—
A Marattah taked, with a Persian
endorsement and illegible seal, but without signa-
ture, dated in Fusly 1207 / 1797 addressed to the
Tahsildar, directing that the then Curnum should
offer no obstruction to Ramaroo mentioned above as
the last lineal descendant of the original purchaser
in

10765 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

reported on by the Commissioners in 1843, and it is right that I should inform the Board that similar alienations to a large extent are said to have been made in Cuddapah, the decision on the present petition is therefore of some importance, inasmuch as it will form a precedent for the decision of all future cases.—

Cuddapah, Collector's Office, 14th July, 1851.— } /Signed/ H. Forbes Collector.—

Order thereon.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

19.20

Bd. No. 1565 To the Collector of Cuddapah— } Inform 11th Augt 1851

To, The Collector of Cuddapah.—

Sir,

With reference to your report of the 14th July 1851. relative to the claims of Streenivasa Row to an Enam formerly included among the Enolments of the Cumbum Carnum, the Board wish you to explain how it came into the possession of Venkatrow the uncle of Petitioner, when you state that the present Petitioner and his father performed the funeral ceremonies of Ram Row the last direct heir in 1827 with a view, as the Board imagine, of succeeding to his property.—

Table of lapsed Enams by quarters ending September 1849.

Para 5

2. It is not clear how the interference of Chandoodall could be recognised in 1805 or five years after the District had been ceded to the British Government.—

3 You will also state the present extent of the Carnum Enam of the Village of Cumbum, the number of shares and the amount held by each and whether it is sufficiently remunerative for the duties performed.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George.— 11th August, 1851.— } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley Secretary.—

Read.

10766 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 64

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George—

21

Bd. No. 1910.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly— } Sir, Para 1.

I do myself the honor to transmit the usual Statements in English and Marratta, and to submit my Report on the Extra Sources of Revenue for the past Fusly 1260 A.D. 1850/51 in the Collectorate of Trichinopoly.—

2. The following abstract or Comparative Statement exhibits the different items of revenue derived, and shews that in the Fusly year under review there was a net increase of Rupees 1,735-15¼.—

Items.	Barez of Fusly 1259.			Barez of Fusly 1260.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Abkarry	2,4310	0	0	2,4684	0	0	374	0	0	0	0	0
Sundry small farms & Licenses	5061	12	6	4746	11	7	0	0	0	315	0	11
Motarpha	6444	7	7	6492	15	10	48	8	3	0	0	0
Stamps	18,343	4	0	19971	12	0	1,628	8	0	0	0	0
Total	54159	8	1	55895	7	5	2,051	0	3	315	0	11
							Deduct Decrease			315	0	11
							Net Increase			1735	15	4

Taking

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

Fusly 1260 Rs. a. p. 55,895-7-5

Average of last 5 years 47,436-6-3

Taking the average of the past five years namely from Fusly 1255 / 1845/46 to Fusly 1259 / 1849/50 inclusive, we find the revenue amounts to Rupees 47,436-6-3. In the present period it gives a much higher sum and shews an increase of Rupees 8,459-1-2.—

Abkarry. 3 The Abkarry farm which forms the principal head of extra revenue in this Collectorate exceeded the preceding year by Rupees 374, and this increase was derived from a trifling enhanced bidding in four of the talooks of this District. It seems superfluous to bring this to notice again as your Board have had full information on the subject when the sales were reported by my predecessor.—

June 1st 1850

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses 4. Under this head there is a small decrease amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 315-4-11 resulting from an actual increase of Rupees 456-9-2 occasioned chiefly under the items of Hill rent and Fisheries, and from a gross decrease of Rupees 771-10-1 produced by the falling off of the rent of Grass in the Ditch of the Fort and by the sale of Durbah grass.

&c

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

Motarpha 5. In the Motarpha which is comprized chiefly of tax on Looms and Bazaars there is a small increase of Rupees 48-8-3 and which calls for no detail.—

Stamps. 6 The stamp Revenue exhibits an increase of Rupees 1,628-8-4 which is attributable partly to the greater intrinsic value of papers sold, and partly to the operation of the act No. XVII of 1848. The nomination of the District Moonsiffs also as venders of stamp papers has in a great measure assisted the sales.—

7. Of the total demand on account of Extra Sources of Revenue for the year under report Rupees 55,895-7-5 the sum of Rupees 54,141-4-5 has been realized within the Fusly or the 11th July, leaving a balance of Rupees 1,754-3-4 which was reduced on the 31st July to Rupees 545-6-11, and this sum will be collected in a few days. No old balances are outstanding under any of the Heads of Revenue embraced in this report.—

&c

10769

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

8. In explanation of the increase in charges which the accounts now submitted exhibit to the extent of Rupees 311—12—6. I beg to observe that it arises from a larger amount of commission having been paid to the Stamp Venders in proportion to the increased sales and also from the re-fund of the value of stamps to parties claiming under act XVII of 1848.

	Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Increase		
Commission to the venders	912	8	1	987	12	7	75	4	6
Refunds of Stamps Revenue under the act XVII of 1848	608	"	"	1115	8	"	236	8	"
Dº as per order of Sudr Court	362	"	"	91	"	"			
Total Rupees	1882	8	1	2194	4	7	311	12	6

9. There has been no sugar manufactured in the Province of a description suitable for exportation to the United Kingdom, the Statements are in consequence forwarded blank.

May

10770

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

10. My report upon the several roads and communications of the District has already been submitted to the Board through the Secretary, Department of Public Works, under date the 16th April 1851.—

Trichinopoly, Collectors' Office, 4th August, 1851.—

/Signed/ J. Bird

Collector.—

Statement.

10771

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Statement shewing the Particulars of the No. 1 Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1260 Compared with those of Fusly 1259 in the District of Trichinopoly.—

	Beriz of Fusly 1259	Beriz of Fusly 1260	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
Abkarry	24,310 " "	24,684 " "	374 " "	" " "
Sundry small Farms	5,061 12 6	4,746 11 7	" " "	315 " 11
Moturpha	6,447 7	6,492 15 10	48 8 3	" " "
Stamp	18,343 4 "	19,971 12 "	1,628 8 "	" " "
Total	54,159 8 1	55,895 7 5	2,051 " 3	315 " 11
Net Increase			1,735 15 4	

Trichinopoly 4th August, 1851. E. E. Fr. / Signed / J. Bird. Collector

10772

Fort St. George 1st August 1851.

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of Extra Source of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1260.— No. 2

Item.	Statement of Fusly 1260.	Collection with in the Fusly.	Balance at the beginning of Fusly 1261.	Since Collected.	Balance.
	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
Abkarry Revenue	24,684 " "	24,058 10 9	625 5 3	471 9 4	153 11 11
Small Farms and Licenses	4,746 11 7	4,007 7 6	739 4 1	370 8 1	368 12 "
Moturpha	6,492 15 10	6,361 6 2	131 9 8	108 10 8	22 15 "
Stamp Revenue	19,971 12 "	19,713 8 "	258 4 "	258 4 "	" " "
	55,895 7 5	54,141 " 5	1,754 7 "	1,209 " 1	545 6 11

Trichinopoly 4th August, 1851. E. E. Fr. / Signed / J. Bird. Collector.

...n and Balance under each

...xtra ... Collection.	Total Collection of Fusly 1259.	Balance of Fusly 1259. on the 12th July 1850.
...es A P	Rupees A P	Rupees A P
" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "

10774 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.—

Fuslies 1259 & 1260

Comparison of the settlement of Fusly 1259 with that of Fusly 1260.—				Comparison of Total Collection of Fusly 1260 with that of Fusly 1259.—			
Increase.		Decrease.		Increase.		Decrease.	
Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P	Rupees	A P
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
374	" "	"	" "	128	14 3	"	" "
"	" "	315	" 11	"	" "	160	5 11
48	8 3	"	" "	194	12 5	"	" "
1,628	8 "	"	" "	1,520	8 4	"	" "
2,051	" 3	315	" 11	1,844	2 8	160	5 11
Net Increase	1735 15 4			1,683	12 9	"	"

Trichinopoly, 4th August 1851

E. E. Per/ Signed/ J. Bird.

Collector.

1077/5

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

Statement shewing the Manufactory of Sugar in the District of Trichinopoly for fusly 1260 or A. D. 1850/51.—

Name of the Village.	Name of the Persons	Quantity of Sugar	Remarks

Trichinopoly 4th August 1851.

E. E. Per/ Signed/ J. Bird

Collector.—

10776 Triplicate

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1258 - 1259 and 1260.*

	For the last three Years.						Comparison of the Last two Fuslies.						Remarks.
	Fusly 1258			Fusly 1259			Fusly 1260			Increase			Decrease			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase																
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection																
Charges ,, Commission																
Charges ,, Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection																
Charges ,, Commission																
Charges ,, Drawback																
Total																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																

None in this District

None in this District

None in this District

None in this District

ABKARY.																	
Gross Collections	22660	-	2	24115	11	6	24438	11	3	323	2	9	-	-	-		
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Net Revenue	22660	"	2	24115	11	6	24438	11	3	323	2	9	-	-	-		
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																	
Gross Collections	4832	2	5	4792	7	7	4901	6	7	108	15	-	-	-	-		
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Net Revenue	4832	2	5	4792	7	7	4901	6	7	108	15	-	"	"	"		
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																	
Stamps																	
Gross Collection	16105	4	-	18193	"	"	19863	12	-	1670	12	-	"	"	"		
Charges	1025	12	11	1882	8	1	2194	4	7	311	12	6	"	"	"		
Net Revenue	15079	5	1	16310	7	11	17669	7	5	1358	15	6	"	"	"		
Per Centage of the Charges	6	5	11	10	5	6	11	-	8	"	"	"	"	"	"		
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																	
Gross Collections	6194	-	5	6525	5	11	6639	4	-	113	14	1	-	-	-		
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
Net Revenue	6194	"	5	6525	5	11	6639	4	-	113	14	1	-	-	-		
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"		
GRAND TOTAL.																	
Gross Collections	49791	7	-	53626	9	-	55843	4	10	2216	11	10	"	"	"		
Charges	1025	12	11	1882	8	1	2194	4	7	311	12	6	"	"	"		
Net Revenue	48765	8	1	51744	-	11	53649	"	3	1902	15	4	"	"	"		
Per Centage of the Charges	2	-	11	3	8	2	3	14	10	"	"	"	"	"	"		
GRAND TOTAL.																	
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco																	
Charges after deductions for Do.																	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions																	

Collection on account of Current Fusly 1260 Rs 24058 - 10 - 9 as N.
Do. on account of arrears . . . 380 - 3 - 6.
Total Rupees 24438 - 11 - 3

Collection on account of Current Fusly 1260 Rs 4007 - 7 - 6.
Do. on account of arrears . . . 893 - 15 - 1
Total Rs. 4901 - 6 - 7.

The increase of per Centage of the Charges is in consequence of the Reduction of the Value of Stamp papers under the new Act.

Collection on account of Current Fusly 1260 . . Rs 6361 - 5 - 2. as N.
Do. on account of arrears . . . 277 - 13 - 10
Total Rs. 6639 - 4 - --

E E Jr.

Richmopoly
4th August 1851.
E. T. Lewis

Wood

10777 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Order thereon

21.22

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

Read the following letter.—

No. 75.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

23

Sir,

Bde No. 1822.

From the Collector of Coimbatore } With reference to my letters

of the 28th February and 1st & 8th November 1850.

Wds 18. 25. and 126 I think it right to report, from the information of the Board, the result of the Extra reward temporarily sanctioned for destroying Tigers and Cheetahs, in the neighbourhood of Bhowany in the Andioor Talook, 14 Tigers and 26 Cheetahs, of a large size, have already been killed in that locality, the people are regaining their confidence, traffic is less obstructed, and no further loss of human life has lately occurred.

2. The result has been effected partly by enhanced reward and practical clearing of the Jungle, and partly by strenuous exertions made by the local authorities in shooting and trapping the Animals. Danger however still exists, and as the infested Road is one much frequented by Merchants and travellers to Mysore, I would earnestly request sanction for a further outlay of 200 Rupees, which the Tahsildar represents as still necessary to

Sanctioned by Government on the 24th December 1850 No 1236.

to complete the clearing in the worst parts of the Road.—

Coimbatore District, Camp. 22d July 1851 } (Signed) C. B. Thomas Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

No. 345.

23.24

Bde No. 1577

To the Chief Secretary to Government } To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Coimbatore dated 22d July 1851, reporting the result of the Extra reward temporarily sanctioned for destroying Tigers in the Andioor Talook.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore reporting the result of the Extra reward temporarily sanctioned for destroying Tigers in the Andioor Talook and recommending that a further sum of 200 Rs be placed at his disposal.

Sd. 11 Aug. 1851
Sd. 6 Aug. 1851

2. In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 24th December 1850 Government were pleased to sanction the disbursement of Rupees 200 for clearing the jungle of the Talook since that date it will be seen that 14 Tigers and 26 Cheetahs of a large size have been Killed. It is also

also said that the people are gaining more confidence traffic is less obstructed, and no further loss of human life has occurred.

3 Mr Thomas however observes that danger still exists and with the view of completing the clearing of the jungle in the worst parts of the road, which is much frequented by Merchants and Travellers to Mysore, he solicits that a further sum of Rupees 200 may be placed at his disposal.

4 The Board consider that the facts adduced by Mr Thomas are such as to justify them in recommending to Government that his request be complied with.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George.
11th August 1851

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

25

From the Collector of Coimbatore. Read letter forwarding two Statements of Coctra Sent allowance drawn by himself and his Assistants in Fusly 1260.

Bd No 1572.

Proceedings thereon.

26

Before passing orders on the foregoing Statement, the Board request that the Collector will afford some explanation as to why the Coctra Sent allowance drawn by his Assistant Mr Walhouse for 12 and 16 days respectively for Fusly

Fusly 1259, was not included in the Statement for that Fusly, which was sanctioned on the 9th September 1850.

The Board also observe that in their Proceedings of 14th October 1847 upon a similar application to the above being submitted by the late Principal Collector, on account of arrears for Fusly 1255, they remarked upon the inconvenience of submitting separate Statements for the allowances of one Fusly.

25-27

Bd No 1576

Further Proceedings thereon.

Mr C. B. Thomas, Collector for 177 days
" W. M. Molle, Sub Collr for 66 days.
" J. Walhouse, acting Head Assistant Collr for 57 days.

The Coctra Sent allowance drawn by each Officer for the number of days noted in the margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Comptroller Auditor for his information.

Read the foregoing letter.

No 48.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

28

Bd No 1719

From the Collector of Canara

Sir.

I have the honor to forward copy of

Fort St George 11th August 1851

of an application from Mr Smith for an advance of 200 Rupees repayable in two years to enable him to improve his property. The application was forwarded to me through the Acting Head Assistant and as I am aware that Mr Smith is exerting himself in a praise worthy way to improve the land he holds, I beg to recommend his application to the favorable consideration of the Board. I have in the meanwhile authorised an advance on the usual terms of repayment in one year.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
15th July 1851

I have &c
(Signed) T. N. Malthy
Collector

No 1.

To C. S. Chamier Esqre
Head Assistant Collector of
Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice the following circumstances. I rent from Government nearly the whole of Ollully Grammah in the Cochin and Nogany of this Talook. My house and lands are situated on the banks of the Suddery river or as it is there called the Shongholly

Fort St George 11th August 1851

Shongholly - From the vicinity of this river on any flood happening three or four times every year the water in a small stream by which my grounds are watered is blocked up to that degree that it overflows its banks and causes great damage not only to my paddy lands but to a Gainy plantation immediately facing my house. I have already spent a large sum on these lands and the year before last I was a severe loser by an unusually high flood which carried off about 1/3 of my gainy plants, besides spoiling entirely the paddy crop of one half bungy of land. To remedy this evil I am desirous about the Month of September to erect a wide and high bank sufficiently strong as to keep out the waters when they overflow their banks, as in a few years the plantation may become extremely valuable and I am willing to go to the expense. It would have been a useless attempt to try and bar out the waters of the large river, but the water of which I complain is a backwater merely with very small current. This attempt will cause a large outlay and as I feel desirous of making the same

Fort St George 11th August 1851

same; I should feel much obliged if you would recommend to the Collector of Canara that I might be allowed as Tuccavee the sum of 400 Rupees to be paid before the expiration of the fusly 1262 in the usual manner and for which I am prepared to give the necessary securities.

I beg to add that this advance may be the means of preserving from loss a property on which I have already, expended upwards of 1500 Rupees, and which for its cultivation even now requires an outlay of 16 Rupees per month.

I have &c

July 7th 1851

/ Signed / G. N. Smith

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / C. F. Chamier

Act 1st Asst Coll.r

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / F. N. Mattley

Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

No 340

28.29

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir.

B.d No 1575

To the Chief Secretary to Government

I am directed by the Board of

Fort St George 11th August 1851

In Cons.n 11th Aug.t 1851

of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Canara dated 16th July 1851, enclosing an application from Mr G. N. Smith, to the address of the Head Assistant Collector, for an advance of Rupees 400, as Tuccavee to enable him to improve his property, by keeping out flood water and recommending the same for favorable consideration.

2 It will be seen that pending the orders of Government, Mr Mattley has authorized an advance on the usual terms of repayment in one year.

3 In Contract Minutes of Consultation dated 26th September 1848 Government were pleased, thro the Department of Public Works, to sanction an advance of Rupees 400 to the above individual, to repair a channel of irrigation, and the Board now recommend that the same indulgence may again be granted to him to the extent solicited. Viz. Rupees 400 payable in two equal instalments in Fusly 1261 and 1262.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
11th August 1851.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley

Collector.

Read

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras, dated 2d. and entered in Consultation 7th July 1851.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(30)

To The Collector of Madras

Sir.

B2 N. 1578

To the Collector of Madras

With reference to your letter of 2d July 1851 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that as there appears but little difficulty in introducing the Standard Weights and Measures of the Government Proclamation in the Gazette of 1845† you will be good enough to make an Estimate of the expense that would be incurred in providing a sufficient number of new Weights and Measures.

Requesting further information respecting Standard Weights and measures.

Page 969

2 With reference to the orders of Government quoted by you, the Board request you will inform them if the Assay Master has ever since that date adjusted any Measures or Weights for your office according to the old Standard. They would also wish to know where the Standard "Puddee" and Mercal of your office were

† 19th January 1847 inserted 25th Dec.

were originally obtained, as they do not agree as to their contents with the capacities laid down in all the Almanacs since 1801. as noted in the Margin

Almanac of 1801		
1 Viss. — 3/8	lb avoir	
1 Mercal — 750	cubic inches	
	Mercal	
Drawn	Depth	
10 9/16	9 9/16	7 50 in

3 The Mercal to which you are in the habit of fixing your official Stamp contains 135½ inches Diameter by 8 9/16 deep / close upon 840 cubic inches or 12 per Cent above the Mercal of 1801 and 5 per Cent more than that fixed as "Standard" by the Government Proclamation.

4 There also appears to be some error in the Weights of the Rice and Grain in the Enclosure to your letter. From several experiments made by the Board's directions a Mercal of 840 cubic inches such as yours would contain about 955 Tolas weight of Rice and 1020 Tolas of Coottee — whereas your results are given at 961-5- and 960-3- respectively. It does not appear possible that any varieties of these two Kinds of grain can weigh the same.

5 The Board also wish to be informed whether your office has been in

10787 Fort St. George 11th August 1851

in the habit of Stamping the Weights and the Measures for the Commissioned and Police, according to the old Standard and they also wish to have some information as to the contents of the Pudder as stamped by you: shewing its contents in Tolas weight of Water. Rice. and Coultee.

6 Lastly, I am directed to obtain from you a List of the Stamping Department of your Office, and you will be good enough to state what changes have from time to time taken place as to this Department of your Office. referring by marginal note to the dates of Correspondence on the subject with the Board of Revenue.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
11th August 1851

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

10788 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

31

Bd. No. 1788.—

From the Register
Sudder Udalut.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 14th Instant I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudder Udalut to transmit to you the accompanying Copies of their Proceedings dated 30th November 1830 and of their Decree in Appeal Suit No. 64 of 1044.

Sudder Udalut,
Register's Office,
26th July, 1831.—

/Signed/ G. T. Branchamp
Register.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut, under date the 30th November, 1830.

Read letter dated 31st October 1830 from the Civil Judge of Guntoor submitting Copies of two letters from the Acting Collector of that District dated 19th and 30th Instant requesting information as to the course to be pursued for enforcing the decisions of the Collector in the Summary Suits referred to in Regulation VI of 1831.—

It.

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

It appears that the Office
Collector has entertained a suit instituted by
Barbers of Old Cheerala for the recovery of cer
fees and dues payable to them as the hereditary
Meerassy Barbers of the Village, on the sup
position that a similar Meerass was held by
the Court of Sudder Udalut in their Circular
Order dated 19th October 1831 to be Cognizable
under Regulation VII of 1831 and the follow
questions are now submitted by that Office
for the Consideration of the Court.—

1st What steps are to be taken
"to enforce the recovery of the fees in money
"and grain for the past years and their re
"gular payment in future, and whether the
"occasional fees for attendance at marriages
"for shaving &c. are to be considered as part
"of the Meerassy privilege in common with
"the regular fees in grain"

"Also whether Curnams
"can under the said Regulation sue for their co
"fees the parties by whom they are due and
"if so how the payment is to be enforced."

2. The Court of Sudder Udalut are of
opinion that the Suit in question has been
erroneously entertained by the Officiating
Collector

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

Collector the provisions of Regulation VII of 1831
being applicable solely to "emoluments derived
"from land — from fees in money or grain and
"from other sources annexed by the state to
"various hereditary village and other offices in
"the Revenue and Police Departments as co
"wages for the performance of Public services."
that is services rendered to the State not to the
members of a Village community —

3 That Claims of the nature
now under Consideration are not even deemed
recognizable by the Courts must be considered
to have been authoritatively ruled by the
Court in their decree in Appeal Suit No. 64
1844, a Copy of which will be forwarded to the
Officiating Collector and as the point specially
ruled by the Circular Order 19th October 1831
was that Appeals from decisions already passed
were not affected by Section III Regulation VII of 1831
it appears to the Court of Sudder Udalut altogether
a forced Conclusion that Modigy and other similar
Meerassee claims were held by the Sudder Udalut
when that order was passed to fall within the
scope of the Regulation in question —

4. In respect to the claims of
Curnums for fees &c. in Districts not permanently
Settled

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

settled being recoverable under Regulation VI of 1831 the Court of Sudder Udalut would observe that the Board of Revenue seems to be the proper authority to which Mr. Newill should apply for the instructions solicited in the 10th para of his letter to the Civil Judge dated 19th October 1830.—

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Civil Judge of Guntoor for his information and Communication to the Acting Collector.—

/True Extract/

/Signed/ G.T. Branckamp

Register

Decree

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

The Decree of the Court of Sudder Udalut.

District Moonsiffs Court of Treepooragoondum— Original Suit No. 660 of 1840—

Tillah Court of Madras— Appeal Suit No. 23 of 1842.—

Court of Sudder Udalut— Special Appeal Suit No. 64 of 1844—

- Appellants - - Respondents -

Coppa Mudliar and 5 others — Versus — Banjen and Another

/Signed/ M. Lewin

— " A. Maclean

L. S.

Opinion of the Acting 2d Judge

The Plaintiffs sued in this case for the value of sums received by the Defendants for shaving certain inhabitants living within the limits of their Meerassee, also, to be established in the right to shave the inhabitants of certain villages to the exclusion of the Defendants— The Moonsiff of Treepooragoondum, who heard the original Suit, nonsuited the Plaintiffs on the following grounds— "As the Plaintiffs witnesses and

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

and the Defendants witnesses have deposed in favor of the Defendants - and as it appears from the deposition of the Plaintiffs Vakeel that the Defendants have held long enjoyment of the Village and the Plaintiffs neglected to file the documents alluded to in their motion the Plaintiffs are nonsuited and with costs.-

In Appeal from the foregoing decree the Sudder Ameen decreed that the Meerassy duty should be divided between the parties, the parties to pay their own Costs in the Original Suit and in the Appeal.-

From the foregoing decree the Sudder Udalut admitted a Special Appeal on the grounds that the decree which declared the parties entitled, saddled a third party, not represented in the suit with a liability viz. the party by whom the sums sued for were paid - that "it is absurd to declare that a man "shall be shaved by a particular "person to the Exclusion of all others, and

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

"and that a suit of this nature cannot be en-
"tertained."

For the foregoing reasons I would confirm the nonsuit of the moonsiff and adjudge the Plaintiffs to pay all costs if the Plaintiffs have a demand on any one, it must be on the parties who refused to Employ them as barbers, and who paid the money alleged to be their due to the defendants - but the claim is against common sense and never could be upheld in a country where the law allows the freedom which is enjoyed under the British Government.-

(Signed) M. Lewin
Opinion of the Acting 3d Puisne Judge.

On general principles I concur with the Acting 2d Judge in nonsuiting Plaintiffs - The suit is not for the recovery of any vested rights such as the fixed emoluments or lands appertaining to the alleged Barber Meerassy, but merely for certain sums paid to Defendants for shaving a third Party - and to prevent Defendants from exercising their calling in certain villages in future - It is not possible to prohibit any one - from following the profession of Barber much less is it possible to prohibit any man from employing any barber he may please.-

(Signed) A. Maclean
Jur

In accordance with the foregoing opinions the Court confirm the Decree of the Lower Court and adjudge the Respondents to pay all costs.—

Given under our hands and the Seal of the Court this 19th day of August in the Year of our Lord 1844.—

By Order of the Court of Sudder Adalut

Signed/ W. D. Phillips
Register.

/True Copy/

Signed/ G. T. Branchamp
Register.

31.32

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded—

Resolved that the following letter be despatched together with the Papers therein alluded to.—

No. 344

To,

33

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

B. R. No. 1566
To the Chief Secretary to Government—

In Con 30th May 1831.

of Revenue to forward with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 23d April 1830, the accompanying papers for the information of Government on the best means that should be adopted for Stimulating competition in agricultural Industry among the natives of this Presidency.

2 The local authorities in replying to the Circular from the Board dated 30th May 1830, have without an exception reported, that their offers of medals and prizes for agricultural produce have failed to secure the end in view, no rayats having come forward to compete for such prizes. It is noticed also that many of the products for which reward were offered, are not such as are generally cultivated.—

3. The general impression also appears to be, that a silver medal is not

Fr Ganjam 6 in Con 25th July 1830
Fr Vizagapatam 21st Dec. 1830 in Con 2d Aug. 1831
Fr Rajah. 15 in Con 19th Dec 1830
Fr Masulip. 15 in Con 22d July — —
Fr Guntoor 14 in Con 25th July — — —
Fr Nellore 10 in Con 19th Dec — —
Fr Bellary 14 in Con 26th Aug. — — —
Fr Cuddapah 21st May in Con 7th Aug. 1831.
Fr Kurnool 17 in Con 26th Sept. 1830
Fr Chingleput 26th July in Con 8th Aug. — —
Fr N. arcat 14 in Con 20th June — — —
Fr Salem 29th June in Con 8th July — — —
Fr d. arcat 14 in Con 17th June — — —
Fr Tanjore 29th Jan. Con 22d Aug. 1830
Fr Trichinopoly 10 in Con 25th July — —
Fr Madura 6 in Con 11th July — — —
Fr Tinnevelly 25th Jan. Con 1st Aug. — — —
Fr Combatore 21st in Con 27th June — — —
Fr Canara 13th in Con 24th June — — —
Fr Malabar 11th in Con 10th July — — —
Fr Soc. agri Horticultural society 3d Con 5th August 1830. —

Note.
In Coimbatore one individual competed for and gained a prize at Madras for Cotton and Sugar produced by himself.

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

In accordance with the foregoing opinions the Court confirm the Decree of the Lower Court and adjudge the Respondents to pay all costs.—

Given under our hands and the Seal of the Court this 19th day of August in the Year of our Lord 1844.—

By Order of the Court of Sudder Udalut

Signed/ W. D. Phillips
Register.

/True Copy/

Signed/ G. T. Branchamp
Register.

31.32

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.—

Resolved that the following letter be despatched together with the Papers therein alluded to.—

No. 344

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of

33

B.R. No. 1566

To the Chief Secretary to Government.—

Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

of Revenue to forward with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation 23d April 1830, the accompanying papers for the information of Government on the best means that should be adopted for stimulating competition in agricultural Industry among the natives of this Presidency.

2 The local authorities in replying to the Circular from the Board dated 30th May 1830, have without an exception reported, that their offers of medals and prizes for agricultural produce have failed to secure the end in view; no ryots having come forward to compete for such prizes. It is noticed also that many of the products for which reward were offered, are not such as are generally cultivated.—

3. The general impression also appears to be, that a silver medal is not

In Con 30th May 1831.

Fr Ganjam 6 in Con 25th July 1830
Fr Vizagapatam 21st Dec. 1830 in Con 2d Aug. "
Fr Rajah 13 in Con 19th Dec 1830
Fr Masulip 15 in Con 22d July — —
Fr Guntoor 14 in Con 25th July — —
Fr Nellore 10 in Con 19th Dec — —
Fr Bellary 14 in Con 26th Aug. — — —
Fr Cuddapah 21 May in Con 7 Aug. 1831.
Fr Kurnool 17 in Con 26 Sept. 1830
Fr Chingleput 26 July in Con 1 Aug. — —
Fr N. arcot 14 in Con 20 June — — —
Fr Salem 29 June in Con 3d July — — —
Fr S. arcot 14 in Con 17th June — — —
Fr Tanjore 29 Jan in Con 22 Aug. 1830
Fr Trichinopoly 10 in Con 25 July — —
Fr Madura 6 in Con 11 July — — —
Fr Tinnevelly 25 Jan in Con 1 Aug. — — —
Fr Coimbatore 21 in Con 27 June — —
Fr Canara 13 in Con 24 June — — —
Fr Malabar 11 in Con 10 July — — —
Fr Secy. agri Horticultural Society 2 in Con 5 August 1830.—

Note.
In Coimbatore one individual competed for and gained a prize at Madras for Cotton and Sugar produced by himself.

not that description of reward which cultivating ryots are likely to appreciate and suggestions have been made by Collectors for the adoption of some honorary distinction, more in accordance with native ideas while some have advocated a remission of rent on land cultivated with any new or valuable product.—

From Collector 13th in Con. 19th December 1850.

4. The scheme proposed by the Collector of Rajahmundry resolves itself into this latter place, but the Board are precluded from supporting this measure as the Honorable Court and the Government are opposed to a general remission of assessment, as encouragement to the growth of new and superior crops.

5. It may there be considered that the attempt to develope the agricultural resources of the country by stimulating competition by means of honorary prizes has failed and the same result has been would appear attended, in a great measure the distribution of prizes at Madras which on

the Government have remarked usually fall to the lot of merchants of European descent, the successful competitors of former years.

13th February 1850 received from Govt. 23d April in Con. 30th May 1850 No. 335.

6. The Honorable Court appear to be of opinion that it would be advisable to divide the prizes into two classes, the one for Europeans, the other for natives, and have again reverted to the subject generally in their despatch 14th May 1851. The Board think that the Agri Horticultural Society has made it clear that such a distinction would have the effect of defeating the great object of the competition, which is to stimulate natives to adopt the means and appliances of their European competitor; the necessary tendency of a second set of prizes for natives, being to perpetuate the low standards, which it is now sought to raise.

From Government 25th July in Con. 7th August 1851 No 1778

From Acting Secretary P. in Con 5th August 1850.

7. Difficulties (not separable to their minds) beset the ryots competing at so long a distance from their homes. The Agri-Horticultural Society have therefore proposed a plan for the partial relief of these dis-advantages

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

disadvantages which evinces the interest they take in the prosperity of the native farmer, but which the Board apprehend is too complicated for practical enforcement. Remarking that the objections of the Honorable Court and the Government above alluded to, have reference to a general exemption from tax, they would propose in simplification of the Society's scheme, that the Collectors of Cotton and Sugar Cane growing districts be permitted to reward any praiseworthy individual endeavours to produce these articles, by a reduction of the demands on the Puttah of the grower. These awards to be confined to three or four in each District and not to be granted, until a sample of the product, forwarded to the Committee at Madras had been declared by them entitled to such remuneration; not as being superior to other specimens, but as meriting encouragement the Collector satisfying himself that the general outturn corresponded with the portion submitted for decision.

8. The quantity of land under tillage should, the Board think, also be left to the judgement of the Collector and the Experimental Cultivator fettered with the fewest possible

DG.

Conditions

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

indeed the Board would prefer that the Collector be authorized to reward in the above manner after reference to the Board and Government, any spirited and meritorious endeavour to cultivate a superior product, when entered upon in good faith, under the likelihood of permanency and with the desire of improvement. On this principle it might even be advisable to meet with relief a partial or total failure in which expense and labour has been incurred.

9 The local rewards, are not in these remarks, substituted for the competition of Natives in the exhibition at Madras, which they might still be advised to adopt.

10 The interchange of seed proposed by the Society appears to the Board a good suggestion, and might be pressed upon the notice of the ryots, these however carrying out the exchange among themselves and bearing all the expences of it.

11 The Society has deprecated the abandonment of the present system of distributing prizes.

12. The Board would also regret the discontinuance of the existing practice without some further trial, hoping that under

a

a modified system of the character proposed by the Society, in the last para but one of their acting Secretary's letter. future results may prove more favorable. It will be seen that it is suggested that a Prize shall not be awarded under ordinary circumstances unless 3 competitors come forward: and that in special cases in which the results obtained are important, the Committee shall exercise a discretion in awarding prizes altho' the required competition is wanting. The Board concur in the suggestions of the Society to the foregoing extent.

13. The Board express their conclusion, that more is to be looked for in the extension of commercial operations than in any adventitious aid or rewards proceeding directly from the Government. If a demand were created and a sure market found for the disposal of superior produce, they think that such would speedily be furnished to the extent required.

C.O.P.257 14 The concluding remarks of the Honorable Court in their dispatch of the 4th July 1848 embrace the project of which the country is most in need, and in the consummation of which also any

to Government

any real advance is to be expected. It is obvious that permanent results cannot be expected, until the parties most interested shall take the matter into their own hands by suitable arrangements for the purchase of Native products from the Cultivators themselves.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
11th. August 1851.

/Signed/ W. H. Baylay
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

(34)

To. The Collector of Nellore.

B. No. 159

To the Collectors of Nellore. . . . .
The same to Salem 18th. Sept. 1850 and Tanjore 16th. April 1851.

Sir,

With reference to the Statement of Endowments contained by Government to Chuttrums in your District which accompanied your letter of the 20th. August 1850, and now returned, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that the cost of the construction of several of the Chuttrums has been omitted. That being an essential point to ascertain the Board direct me to request that in those instances in which the cost cannot be given

given with accuracy, you will specify instead the "probable cost" or "Estimated Value" of the buildings and will retransmit the statement as early as possible.

Para 2 to Sayjose only.

2. You will at the same time submit a Statement of the Two Bungalows referred to in your letter and will explain from what joint endowment they are supported.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
11th August 1851. } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

35
No. 1902.
From the Collector of Chingleput. Read Detailed List of the Revenue and Magisterial Establishment including Sea Customs Department, as they stood on the 30th April last

35.36
Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.

37 No. 1795
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool. Read the Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1260.

37.38
Resolution thereon Resolved that the necessary orders be passed and returned.

39
No. 1899
From the Collector of South Arcot. } Read abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged, commuted &c. under

to Government Regulation

Regulation IX of 1822 for 1st half year ending

39.40
30th June last.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

41
No. 1875.
From the Collector of Chingleput. Read the undermentioned Statements Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

42
No. 1803.
From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of Contingent charges incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

41.43
Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned

44
No. 1869.
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Registers. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the months of July last.

45
No. 1900
From the Collector of South Arcot. Register of Endorsements passed on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of July last.

44.46
Order on the 2 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

47
No. 927
From the Collector of Canara. Stating that the return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed was not sent for the quarter ending 30th June last.

48
No. 932.
From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last.

49
No. 931.
From the Collector of Nellore. Reporting that no Persons were tried or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of July last.

50
No. 930
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last.

51
No. 929
From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of Persons confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of June last.

" Goldingham

" W. Blaue

Comp.d
H. C. Boyle

Governor-

Fort St. George — August 1851

Diary.

Received the following letters

No. 86

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards.
Fort St. George.

1
B.r No. 1832
From the Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 21st Instant, I have the honor to transmit a copy of the letter addressed to the Board of Revenue under date the 26th October 1830 by Rance Parvathi Vundachary, Nacheer, the original of which was forwarded to this office with the Board's letter dated the 29th November 1830.

2 A translation will be sent as soon as completed.

Madura
29th July 1851

Signed R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 91.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Court of Wards
Fort St. George.

2
B.r No. 1950
From the Collector
of Madura

Sir,

As promised in my letter of the 29th Ultimo.

1080/

Fort St George — August 1851

Ultimo. I have the honor to forward herewith a translation of the letter addressed to the Board of Revenue by Punnutha Vun Mooty Nachiyar under date the 25th October 1830

Madura
Hoda Kanul
6th August 1851

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Bd No 1569.

Resolution thereon.

1-3

Resolved that the translation received with the second letter recorded above be forwarded to Messrs Dale and Boyton with reference to their letter dated 3rd July 1851

At

Government —

1080[illegible]

Fort St George 14th August 1851

At a Meeting Present

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member
and
T. L. Blane Esqre Third Member.

Thursday
14th August
1851

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member
Absent on duty As Commissioner
Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last meeting
read and approved.

1

Read the following Extract.

Bd No 1814

From the Secy to Government

No 254

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department Under date the 29th April 1851.

2. Mr Brown will cause a further number of 155 Copies to be bound similar to those already received and forward them to the Government Office for distribution in the manner indicated in the Margin.

+ Enclosures
93 Copies to the Board of Revenue
For the Board — 3
Commissioner N. C. — 1
Collectors and Agents — 22
Special Agents in Vizianagaram — 1
Sub Collectors — 15
Head and other Assistants to Collectors and Agents — 51
For the use of their respective Offices

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

A true Extract
(Signed) J. Bycroft
Secretary to Govt

Ordered

10809

Fort St George 14th August 1851

Ordered that the following

Circular be sent in consequence

Circular

4-5

Bd No 1608.
Circular to all Collectors
Commissioner Nth Circars
Special agent Vizianagrum
and Madras Sea Customs

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith Copies of "Brounds" Ephemeris" for distribution to the Officers named below.

For the Collector ——— 1

For the Sub Collector ——— 1

For the Head Assistant —— 1

For the Assistants ——— 2

Total 5

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
12th August 1851

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Secretary

To

10810

6

Bd No 1817

From Secy to Government

Forwarding Copies of Certain Correspondence relative to some misunderstanding or oversight on the part of the Collectors of the Malabar Coast, of the provisions of act X of 1850

Fort St George 14th August 1851

No 760

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 31st July 1851

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay

No 7328

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Government of
Fort St George.

Sir, I have been directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, to transmit for such notice as the Government of Fort St George may deem fit, the accompanying copy of a letter No 7. dated the 6th Ultimo, from the Political Agent at Aden, and of the correspondence thereon referred to, together with the original Manifestos therewith submitted, relative to some misunderstanding or oversight on the part of the Collectors on the Malabar Coast, of the provisions of Act X of 1850.

You will have the goodness to inform this Government of the result of the measures that may be taken by the Government of Fort St George, for communication to the Political Agent at Aden, and at the same time to return the original manifestos above alluded to.

Bombay Castle
15th July 1851

/Signed/ A. Malet
Chief Secy to Government

To

Fort St George 14th August 1851

No 7.

To H C Goldsmid Esqre
Secretary to Government
Bombay

Sir,

Having reason to believe that the acts and orders with respect to aden were not clearly understood on the Malabar coast, I addressed a letter marked A Territorial Department Revenue No 28 of 1851. to the Collector of Customs Bombay, for his advice and opinion, and received the accompanying reply marked B by which it would appear that my opinion was correct.

2d I therefore do myself the honor to bring the subject with all correspondence to the notice of Government, that the Collectors on the Malabar Coast may be informed of the misconception, or oversight of orders with respect to aden, and enclose the Export manifests alluded to in the 4th para of my letter to the Collector of Customs, Bombay.

Political agents Office
Aden, June 6th 1851

/Signed/ J.B. Haines
Captain I.N.
Political Agent at aden

A
No 28.

To H. Young Esqre
Collector of Customs
Bombay

Being anxious that all affairs at

Fort St George 14th August 1851

at aden should proceed correctly, I have the honor to submit the following observations for your consideration and advice.

2d Before aden was declared a Free Port, it was subject to the provisions of act VI of 1848. and all Imports and Exports to other Ports in the East India Company's Territories were free. Act X of 1850. in constituting aden a Free Port, declared also it should no longer be considered within the provisions of act VI of 1848, and in consequence goods imported from Bombay and Calcutta to Aden, have since paid the respective duties at the Port of Shipment.

3d I have latterly had reason to think that exportations from various ports on the Malabar Coast have not undergone any alteration since the passing of act X of 1850- as various Nacodas have applied for certificates of Import to enable them to recover duties that have only been "deposited" instead of being bona fide paid at the port of Exportation.

4th This season however I am in receipt of two Export manifests issued from Mangalore;

Mangalore, in which Cargo is clearly stated to have been shipped for aden "free of Duty" consequently Government lose the Duty; which I think an error arising from a mistaken notion that aden is still subject to Act VI of 1848.

5 The Ship "Allee Rahman, master Hadjee Mahomed ibn aboobakr Export manifest dated Calicut 10th March 1851, has a portion of her Cargo destined for aden, marked only as having paid deposit duty. The Buglas "Allee Rahmany, master Sheik Bed. Export manifest No 211 dated Tellicherry 7th March 1851, is in precisely the same case.

6 I enclose two mangalore manifests in illustration of para 4.

Pol. agents Office,
Aden 15th April 1851.

/signed/ S.B. Haines
Captain I.N.
Pol. agent at aden

B

No 343.

To Captain S.B. Haines I.N.
Political agent
Aden

Sir.

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No 28 dated 15th inst I have the honor to inform you that I consider it must be owing to some

misunderstanding or oversight that the exportations of goods to aden from the several ports on the Malabar Coast, have not undergone any alteration since the passing of Act X of 1850, which, having been duly promulgated, ought to have attracted the attention of the proper authorities there.

2 I would suggest your bringing the circumstance to the notice of Government, in order that steps be taken to ascertain how the provisions of Act X of 1850 have been over-looked by the Collectors in the Malabar Coast.

3 The manifests which accompanied your letter are herewith returned.

Bombay
Custom House
13th May 1851

/signed/ A.W. Robertson
Dy Collector of Customs
In charge.

(True Copies)
(signed) S.B. Haines
Captain I.N. &
Pol. agent at Aden.

(True Copies)
/signed/ A. Malet
Chief Sec. to Government

10815

Fort St George 14th August 1851

B

Canara District

Port of Mangalore.
15th February 1851.

No 560

Export Manifest.

General Manifest of Goods exported per Gunjah Harry pursad, Tindal Alloo, under Dutch Colors to Aden.

No of Packages	Description of Goods	Weight or Quantity	Value		To whom consigned
			Rs	As	
	For Aden free of duty				
129 Robbins	Canara Jeera Rice	129 S. Maunds	258	..	Shipped by Ajee ally
186 Ds	Ds Beltgunch Rice	186 do	245	..	
25 Ds	Mysoor Wadacut Rice	25 do	31	4	Yedemba for Dutch medals
Loose	Canara Firewood	4,500 Billets	1	11	
		fee		8	

[Signed] L. F Rodrigues
S.C. Manager

10816

Fort St George 14th August 1851

C

Canara District

Port of Mangalore.
31st January 1851

No 480

Export Manifest

General Manifest of Goods exported per Bagalla Saiab Rahemanjy Tindal Arnodbeen Sejud under Arab Colors, bound to Aden.

No of Packages	Description of Goods	Weight or Quantity	Value		To whom consigned
			Rupees	a	
	For Aden free of duty.				
4 No	Malabar Mangr Ranks	35 Kolls	3	8	Shipped by Ally Sett
1428 Robbins	Mysore Beltgunch Rice	1428 S. Mds	1700	..	
1 No	Malabar rope	4 Maunds 18 lbs	4	10	8
		fee		8	

[Signed] L. F Rodrigues
S.C. Manager

Ordered

10817

Fort St George 12th August 1851

†To be returned.

Ordered that the foregoing letter, and papers accompanying it be forwarded to the Board of Revenue, who will call the attention of the Collectors on the Western Coast to the provisions of Act X of 1850

6-7

Bd No 1601

Order thereon.

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secy to Govt

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing papers be forwarded to the Collectors of Malabar and Canara respectively and their particular attention called to the provisions of Act X of 1850.

P.G.P.

to Governor

10818

Fort St George 12th August 1851

8

From the Secretary to Government } Read Extract recording
Dated 2d August 1851 } to call the attention of the Commissioner Northern
Circars respecting the despatch of two Broken Set
of Proceedings for transmission to the Honorable
8-9 the Court of Directors.

Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon.

Read the following Resolutions.

10

Bd No 1814
From the Secy } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
to Government } Under date the 2d August 1851.

From Board 17th July 1851

Under the explanation afforded by the Acting Collector of North Arcot, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for disbursing subject to Audit the arrears due to the undermentioned Peons and for the continuance of their respective allowances for the future.

			Rs A P
No 87 and 670	Syed Abdollah Hossainy	from 12th April 1850 to 11th April 1851	95-9-"
No 567	Banker Hossain	from 12th January 1850 to 11th April 1851	27-10-6
No 654	Sethoo Sahiba alias Bavale Sahiba	from 12th April 1850 to 11th April 1851	68-12-"
	Mahamed Ghouse	Do Do Do	68-12-"
	Abdool Aggee	Do Do Do	68-12-"

By order

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government

Ordered

Bd No 1598

Order thereon.

10-11

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his Statements dated 24th and 30th June 1851.

Bd No 1845

From the Secretary to Government

12

No 777

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 2d August 1851.

(From Board 7th July 1851)

In the tabular reports above noted the Collector of Canara communicates the deaths of the 4th Rajah of Nileshwar, Sackray Bab Oodia Wurma on the 1st September 1850 and of the 3d Rajah Rama Wurma on the 9th February 1851 and the successions which have in consequence taken place to the Offices and pensions held by the deceased and which are said to be regulated according to the practice observed in the family. The Pension to the Nileshwar family having been granted in perpetuity in lieu of their territorial rights resumed by the Government, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to confirm for the dates of lapse abovementioned respectively the several successions reported by the Collector and to communicate the same for the information and guidance of the Civil Auditor. The Board of Revenue will instruct the

To the Board of Revenue 14th April 1818 No 208.

the Collector to quote in his future reports of lapses in the same family the dates of the original sanction of Government for the grant of the pensions as noted in the margin.

To Board of Revenue 14th April 1818 & 4th March 1819.

By order

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Govt

Bd No 1600.

Order thereon.

12-13

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Canara with reference to his 2 Statements dated 6th June 1851.

2 Mr Maltby's attention is called to the latter part of the above orders of Government.

14

Bd No 1873.

From the Secd to Government

No 789.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date 5th August 1851.

(From Board 24th July)

Resolved accordingly that the Civil Auditor be authorized to admit the charge of Rupees (78-12-0) seventy eight and annas twelve retrenched in the accounts of the Collector of Salem for Fusly 1257.

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secd to Government

Bd No 1591

Order thereon.

14-15

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem with reference to his letter dated 10th July 1851

Extract

10821

Fort St George 12th August 1851

Bd No 1889

From the Sect to Government

No 794

16

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th August 1851.
(From Board 24th July)

As the yeomiah of Rupees 35 per annum referred to in the report of the Collector of Salem is stated to have been originally granted for † service in a Mosque at Ambourpet which is still performed, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion with the Board of Revenue that the money which was drawn by the late Sayanahee should be continued to the surviving incumbent and joint holder Ayat Beg and he accordingly directs that the full allowance be disbursed to that individual in arrear from the 17th of May 1850 the date of Sayanahee's decease, subject to the prescribed condition of service.

† For sweeping and lighting the Mosque and reciting prayers in it.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Salem, with reference to his Salutary Report of the lapsed yeomiah dated 21st June 1851.

Extract

H 17

Bd No 1599

Order thereon.

10822

Fort St George 12th August 1851

Bd No 1890

From the Sect to Government

No 791

18

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th August 1851.
(From Board 24th July)

Resolved that the Collector of South Arcot be authorized to disburse subject to audit, the arrears due to the undermentioned yeomiahdars, amounting to Rupees 984-9-, and to continue the payment of their allowances as sanctioned by the † orders noted in the margin.

† Order of Government 11th February 1840 No 184
Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th July 1846 No 724 Para 3.

Syed Mahomed Sheriff — From 12th July 1847 to 11th April 1851	Rupees	165-15-"
Cauder Beebee — Ditto —	"	165-15-"
Fathima Beebee — Ditto —	"	165-15-"
Rahmah Beebee Ditto —	"	165-15-"
Hoossain Beebee From 12th July 1847 to 11th April 1851 —	"	165-15-"
Mahomed Sheriffe From 12th October 1847 to 11th April 1851 —	"	154-14-"
Total Rupees —		984-9-"

By order

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government

Ordered

10823 Fort St George 12th August 1851

B2 N= 1597

Order thereon - Ordered to be communicated to the
1819 Collector of South Arcot, with reference to his Statement
of arrears of peishcush dated 8th July 1851.

20

From the Secretary to Government
Dated 12th August 1851 } Read Extract Observations
respecting the report of the Commissioner Northern
Circars, on the Extra Sources of Revenue of the District
20.21 of Ganjam for Fasly 1259.

Order thereon, Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

No 72

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
22 Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

B2 N= 1805

Sir.

From the Collector } In returning Petition N= 22 of 1851.
of Nellore I have the honor to state that I am not aware of any
peculiar circumstance connected with the case
of Petitioner which may admit of a relaxation
of the rules by which her personal appearance
before the Officer paying her pension is required.
She is, as stated in her petition the widow of a
public Servant who rose to be Head Sheristadar
of this District and is a Brahmin.

Nellore
Collector's Cutcherry } / Signed / D. White
29th July 1851 Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter
be submitted with the following address

J.G.B.

10824 Fort St George 14th August 1851

No 348

To,
The Chief Secretary to
Government

22.23

Sir

B2 N= 1602

To The Chief Sect } I am directed by the Board
to Government } of Revenue to submit for the consideration and
orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council
In Cont 14th Augt 1851. the accompanying letter dated the 29th July 1851
from the Collector of Nellore reporting upon
B2 N= 22 of 1851. Petition N= 1014 of 1851 presented to Government by
Zamoonah Bhoye Ammal a female Pensioner
above the age of 50 praying for exemption from the
rule requiring personal appearance at the Collectors
Treasury.-

The Board having ascertained
2 that Petitioner Zamoonah Bhoye Ammal is the
Widow of Anandarow formerly Head Sheristadar
of Nellore, recommend that the indulgence may
be granted to her upon the grounds of her late hus-
-band's highly respectable position in life, in ac-
-cordance with the spirit of the order of Govern-
-ment dated 3rd December 1850.-

Revenue Board Office, } / Signed / W. H. Bayley
Fort St George, Secretary
14th August 1851. - - -

Read the following Tabular Statement

Tabular

10825

B2 N: 1828.

24

Tabular Statement of a Lapsed Enam in the Fort St George

14th August 1851

District of Nellore.

Case	Talooka and Village	Amount of Jeemiah	When and by whom granted	Sunnud or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant Charity Service if Service nature of the service prescribed by the grant	Name of the original grantee.	Name of Jeemiah the last holder and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee and the date of his decease	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by the other Members.	Family left by the deceased and the name and age of the parties.	Their circumstances and the means of subsistence professed by the family.	Recommendation and the grounds thereof	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
		Rs 7	By Nabob Wallajah on the 16th Moharrum Hejree 1207 corresponding with A.D. 1791.	Sunnud granted by Nabob Wallajah on 16th Moharrum Hejree 1207. This sunnud is not forthcoming on the records. It appears to have been forwarded to the Board by Mr Travers under date the 28th August 1804 vide Mr Stonhouse letter to the Board 20th April 1842 an abstract Fund order of appointment issued upon the above sunnud and signed by Noorood-deen Mohomed Khan is herewith sent with translation.	For the instruction of Mohomedan Children.	Mohomed Summy	Boodeen Beg 2d son by the 1st Wife died on the 28th March 1848.	Mohuddeen Beg seems to have been in the receipt of 1/2 Rupees from the Jeemiah during the life time of Boodeen Beg under stand-ing between them. The latter.	Deceased 1st wife. 1 Mahabeeq Begum aged 19 years. 2 Daughter by Dc Fajela Beeq 7 years 3 & 4 by the 1st Wife Beeq Begum aged 11 years 4 Step Mother Emam bee 5 Sister Moor-troga Beeq 27 years 6 Dc Hameeda Begum 22 years 7 Another Dc Shareefa Begum 9 years. 8 Brother Hoossain beg 45 years 9 His wife Shareefa begum 25 years 10 Son Rahimetulla 10 years 11 Daughter Cafeejabee 17 years 12 Dc Syada-bee 8 years 13 Another Brother Mohud-deen being 25 years.	No other steady means of livelihood	On the death of Mohomed Summy the Jeemiah was registered in the name of the deceased under sanction of Government dated 26th October 1844 This person being now dead the Jeemiah is claimed by two persons, Hoossain Beg, his uterine brother and Mohuddeen Beg his step brother on the death of the original grantee, the former was entitled to succeed as eldest son, but he was then absent at Madras and resigned the allowance in favor of Boodeen Beg the late incumbent since his decease, the service has been performed by Mohuddeen Beg the step brother and on that ground and because the other claimant has for a long time been absent from the Village and never took any part in the service has been performed by Mohuddeen Beg the step brother and on that ground and because the other claimant has for a long time been absent from the Village and never took any part in the duties of the Jeemiah. Both are reported by the Tehsildar to be equally competent for the office of Teacher and under these circumstances it is difficult to say who has the preferable title. It however Hoossain Beg is the nearest of kin to the deceased as he has a greater number of dependants upon him for support than Mohuddeen Beg as the latter is known to be possessed of other means of livelihood, the continuance of the allowance to the former seems advisable. There are reported to be 9 boys between the ages of 7 and 15 receiving instruction at present in the school, and the subject taught is the Koran. An accession to the number of boys is expected, there being 87 families of Mussalmen and about Anamasamoodrum Pettah. The school is valued by the community Chiefly as being the means of affording religious instruction to their Youth. Under these circumstances it is recommended that the Jeemiah be continued in the name of Hoossain Beg Mohuddeen Beg however receiving the arrears of the allowance since the date of Boodeen Beg's decease, as the party who has actually performed the duties.		

*Vide Gen Letter Dated 5th Aug 1851 No 1.

(Signed) D. C. White
Collector

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 30th July 1851.

A Lapsed Mohuddeen Jeemiah attached to the Village of Anamasamoodrum Talooka.

10826

Fort St George 14th August 1857

N:1

Yadast Esunnerijs Fard Mohomed Samy son of Mowlvi Hoosseiny Baig of Rahamtabad is an expectant for your favor.-

Remark, written by Nooroonnee Mohomed Khan himself, allowance Rupees 7--- from 5th Sefer 1210 Hejeree.-

(Signed) Bavany dass Sadanut Peil

Seal of Aushak Mohomed Khan.-

Esim

Compared with the Original Sunnud bearing the Signature of the Executor.-

(Signed) Chactoortaul Persian Moonshee

(True Translation)

(Signed) D. White Collector

N:2

10827

Fort St George 14th August 1857

N:2

Original Grantee

Mohomed Sumsoodeen

Widow

Grandson

Fayaliba dead

Daughter

Brodeen Baig ace

Sons

Widow

Mirt known dead

Daughter

Hamedpal Begum

Shareefa Begum

Son

Mohaddeen Baigace

Daughter.

Moonbeo- -Y ather

Daughter

Fajiala Bee

Aristocracy Baig ace

Sister

Shareefa Begum

Son

Exclematicia

Daughter

Hortea for Bee

Daughter

Sayinda Bee

Beeamma Baig ace

(Signed) D. White Collector

under

10830.

Fort St. George 14th. August 1857.

annual Repairs and Establishment for the Chuultry at 80 Rupees. but this is I should say more than will be required.—

The Curnum has been at considerable expense and on the Engineers opinion he will have to incur very considerable more expense in keeping up the buildings he has erected, and a favorable view of his application will I trust be taken.—

The Road on which these buildings are situated is a high Road, being on the direct road to Dondwar and the Ramanamullay Hills and other places.—

The Land now proposed to be given in Encouragement of each of the buildings is entirely waste and has been waste for many years as per the enclosed Statement.—

Bellary, Collectors Office, 26th July 1857.

/ Signed C. Pelly
Collector.

No. 300

To
C. Pelly Esqre.
Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 9th Instant, I have the honor to state that the roof of the Bungalow built by the Curnum of Anantapoor is imperfailles to ascertain the extent of the damage done without opening up the whole of the wood work for closer inspection.—

2. From the walls of the building being constructed of stone in mud, and as the roof of the principal room is merely mud supported by country wood and Bamboo mats, it will always be liable to

10831

Fort St. George 14th. August 1857.

to the ravages of the above insects and in want of constant repair. For maintaining in good order such a perishable building it is difficult to estimate what outlay might be required, but it would probably not exceed the rate of Rupees 50 per Annum after having been once placed in thorough repair.—

3. For the protection of the building situated close to the high road one man at least will be necessary and another will be required to keep the place clean these at the lowest will Cost Rupees 6 per month or Rupees 80 per Annum.—

4. The Annual outlay upon this building will therefore probably amount to Rupees 110 or nearly double what such a House with provided with Out Offices would yield in the shape of rent in the Pettah of Bellary. but these are totally wanting to the Anantapoor Bungalow, not a single out office of any description being attached to it and therefore it is of very little convenience to European Travellers.—

5. The Chuultry is a building better suited for its intended purpose. Part of it I found used as a Tobacco Godown, but the rest is in good order and the roof though of very perishable materials appears to have escaped the attack of White Ants. It is situated in the Village which is said to be free from these insects and should this be correct the building might be maintained and kept in repair at an Annual outlay of about Rupees 80.—

Civil Engineers Office 3d Divn.
Anantapoor
9th April 1857.

/ Signed R. Henderson Major
Civil Engineer 3d Divn.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed C. Pelly
Collector
Memorandum

10832

Fort St. George

No 2

Memorandum of Lands applied for by Cinnum Nursinga by him in the Village of Antapoor in the Hurnpply Talook.

14th August 1851

Rent on Endowment for Bungalow and Poultry erected

Total land				Waste the commencement of the Company's Government			Waste for the last 25 years			Waste for the last 10 years			Land waste for the last 6 years			Villages	Box No	For what Building
Acres	Assessment	Rents or Sale Amount Payable		Acres	Assessment	Rents or Sale Amount Payable	Acres	Assessment	Rents or Sale Amount Payable	Acres	Assessment	Rents or Sale Amount Payable	Acres	Assessment	Rents or Sale Amount Payable			
27 34	15	27	11	10	"											Antapoorum	60	For Choultry
23 38	29	28	21	14	"											Chinnoo Antapoorum	73	
610 87	576	417	"	"	"								17	18	"	Yerrabamahully	70	
29 103	26	52	87	"	"													
27 34	15	27	11	10	"								17	18	"			
29 103	26	52	137	31	12	74	96	60	"	2			23	11	2			
610 87	576	417	"	"	"								17	12	5			
64 28	42	32	36	8	10											Antapoorum	60	For Bungalow
26 20	26	14	6	24	"	5										Yerrabamahully	70	
30 26	23	74	23	74	80	26	"	23	74	23	74							
29 103	26	52	87	"	"													
26 20	26	14	6	24	"	5	30	26	"	23	74	23	74	145	14	66	37	60 " 2
27 34	15	27	11	10	"								38	8	"			
29 103	26	52	137	31	12	74	96	60	"	2			38	8	"			

Bellary Collectors Office 26th July 1854.

Sidney C. Pelly
Collector

10833

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Bd. No. 1609.

Proceedings thereon — Resolved that the foregoing letter with its accompaniments be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 15th March 1851.

26. 27.

In Cons. 24th March 1851.

2 — It will be seen from the report of the Civil Engineer of the Division that the Annual outlay and cost of the Establishment of the Bungalow will amount to 110 Rupees and that of the Poultry 80 Rupees per annum. — The Collector is however of opinion that these two sums will be more than what will be required for the buildings. —

3 — It will also be observed that the buildings are situated on the high road, and that the land proposed to be given in Endowment for them is entirely waste and has been so for 6-7 and 10 years a portion ever since the first Settlement of the District.

4 — It is however obvious from the Report of the Civil Engineer that the Bungalow is not a building of that substantial nature that it ought to have been, and with reference to Para 2 of their Proceedings of 27th January 1851 the Board can hardly recommend such an edifice to the special consideration of Government.

To

× Enclosure No. 1 in Mr. Pelly's letter of 26th July 1851.

10834

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

No. 66.

To W. H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

28

Bd. No. 1926
From the Collector of Bellary —

Sir,

With reference to your letters of the 16th December 1850 and 10th Ultimo I have the honor to submit a List shewing the accounts Statements or Returns of every description furnished periodically from this Collectorate to the several Controlling Authorities at the Presidency. —

I have not suggested many reductions in them as they for the most part seem necessary for supplying the information required by the several Offices at Madras. —

One alteration might be beneficial which is to have all the accounts made up either for the Official or the Fusly year and entirely exclude the Calendar year. The feasability and advantage of retaining but one year, by excluding the Fusly year and Calendar year for all accounts has suggested itself to me but it is a subject which must be left for the consideration of higher authorities. —

Signed C. Pelly
Collector

Bellary Collectors Office, 4th August 1851.

List

10835 | Fort St. George 14th August 1851.—

List of Periodical accounts Statements, Returns &c.a prepared in the English Department of the Board of Revenue for the Month of July 1851 in pursuance of Regulation VII of 1831. ———

11	Register of Petitions	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
12	Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each ———	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							Annual Statement might suffice —
13	Returns of Fines imposed and stoppages made from the Pay of Public Servants	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
14	Do. of alterations	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
15	Do. of Public Servants suspended or dismissed	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
16	Return of Revenue Defaulters ———	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
17	List of letters received from the Board remaining unanswered —	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
18	Do. of Do. despatched to Do. ———	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							
19	Abstract of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							It is sent Monthly

Revenue (Cont'd)

20 Statement of Contingent Charges for A Quarter
21 Detailed list of Revenue and Miscellaneous Items
= dividend
22 Return of Cash received and they stood on the 1st May
23 Statement of Revenue Charges received without Estimate Marked A
Estimate Marked A
24 Account of Pecuniary Remarks [illegible] [illegible]
= ting Mail Contracts
25 Do. with Postmasters
Collectors Returns
26 Do. of Cattle Duty
Collectors Returns
Receipt

Board of

27 Do. of Carriage hire &
Remittance Returns
from Deputies
28 Do. of Charges for carry-
=ing Cattle for Duty
Returns
when on Circuit included
=ing Packing Charges
29 Do. of Carriage hire &
Duties of Cattle &c.
=duty Commutation
30 Do. of Stamped Paper sup-
=plied quarterly to Public
Servants for their Accounts
=cities
Do. of Returns
ordered by Board
32 Statement of Revenue Collection
Charges incurred under
Estimate marked B
33 Account of Postmasters Salaries
Fees and Disbursements

No. 198 to No. 223 included in
Twenty years

From No. 211 to 218.—

Port Office Glasgow 14th August 1854.—

34 Account Particulars of Cargoe Ship or Vessel Bound to Dublin Liverpool or Londonderry Extract Manifest and Sea Letter Cargoe Entered ——

35 Statement of Allowances Charged Exempted or Deducted on Entries Outwards ——

36 Account Of Certificate Marked C of the Amount of Drawback Allowed on Goods Exported ——

37 Account of Bounty Allowed on Exportation of Sugar ——

38 Do of Bounty Allowed on Exportation of Refined Sugar ——

39 Do of Drawback Allowed on Exportation of Tobacco ——

40 Do of Drawback Allowed on Exportation of Spirits ——

41 Do of Sundry Charges on the Cargoe Vessel Duties Drawback Allowance &c ——

42 Statement of the Actual Charges Incurred under Estimate on account of Additions or Alterations for Collection ——

43 Statement of Sums Forwarded on account of Advances for Collection ——

44 Statement of Actual Charges on account of Extra Establishment for the Collection ——

45 Estimate on account of Extra Establishment for Duty ——

Revenue (Cont.)

From No. 219 to 221

222

223

224

225

Fourth Series. Page 44

40 Estimate of Costs incurred charges to be incurred for the Collection of Duty ——— " " "
41 Statement of Collections with Remarks ——— " " "
42 Do of charges Do ——— " " "
43 Do of Extra Establishment employed by the Collector, and his Cashkeeper Clerks ——— " " "
=ordered ———
44 Detailed Account on the Part of Government Plantation ——— " " "
45 Statement of Letter =ment of Customs Branch =ed of Revenue =ue ——— " " "
=ection ———
46 Gross Collections charged and net Revenue of Branches ——— " " "

Revenue (Cont'd)

Board of

47 Comparative Statement of the Collections of Customs and Excise Duty ——— " " "
48 Statement of Stamps sold by Collectors ——— " " "
49 Do of Spirits issued from the Bonded Warehouses ——— " " "
50 Do of Arrears ——— " " "
51 Do of Do of Spirits issued from the Bonded Warehouses ——— " " "
52 Statement of the Collections & Sugar duties in detail ——— " " "
53 Do of Drawn Collections and Balances under each head marked A ——— " " "
54 Do of Arrears ——— " " "
55 Do of Do of Spirits issued from the Bonded Warehouses ——— " " "
56 Statement of Expenditure for Establishments ——— " " "
57 Statement of Officers employed in the Collection of Customs Revenue Each Party ——— " " "
58 Do of Peons Coll Cols =lection ——— " " "
59 Summary and Abstract ——— " " "
60 Journal and Cash Book ——— " " "

10839

Fort St. George 14th August 1811.—

| | | |

10840 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Board of Revenue (Cont.d)

76 Statement of demand Collection and balance of Sevoy Jummah ____

77 Statement shewing the Caboolatee Bunjer deducted from the Dittum _____

78 Statement of land occupied by Sugar cane Cultivation _____

79 Report of Sub Collector on the land Revenue Settlement _____

80 List of Packet ___

| | | |

10840 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.—

Board of Revenue (Cont.d)

| | | |

1824

Office Engineer & Surveyor
Fort St George
the 14th August 1824.

Works / Contd

Statement of the Amount of Estimates for Repairs & Improvements of Buildings under the Superintendance of the Civil Engineer & Surveyor for the Year 1825 - 26

Repairs of the Mint			
Estimate for Repairs			
Do of Alterations & Additions			
Estimate			
Do of Additional Accommodation			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs of Roads			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs of Bridges			
Estimate			
Do of Repairing the Revenue Boards Office			
Estimate			
Do of Alterations required for the Revenue Boards Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairing the Old Buildings occupied by the Civil Engineers Department			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs of Buildings above 50 Rupees			
Estimate			

Board of Revenue Department of Public Works

Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Trichinopoly

Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Dindigul			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Madura			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Tinnevelly			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Coimbatore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Salem			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Chingleput			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Chittoor			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Nellore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Cuddapah			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Bellary			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Kurnool			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Masulipatam			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Guntoor			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Ellore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Rajahmundry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Vizagapatam			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Ganjam			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Cuttack			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Balasore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Pooree			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Chittagong			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Dacca			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Jessore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Moorshedabad			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Rungpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Dinagepore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Purneah			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Bhaugulpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Monghyr			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Patna			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Shahabad			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Tirhoot			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Sarun			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Gorruckpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Benares			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Mirzapore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Allahabad			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Cawnpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Futtehpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Banda			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Agra			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Muttra			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Etawah			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Meerut			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Bareilly			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Moradabad			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Shahjehanpore			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Furruckabad			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Mynpoorie			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Cutcherry			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Gaol			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Treasury			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Record Office			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Stables			
Estimate			
Do of Repairs to the Collectors Bungalow at Etah

110 Ø: of charge under the head of Contempt for refusing to take a Notice of Attendance at Parish Vestry.

111 Ø: of Ø2 for Refusing on Tender the Churchwardens Book.

112 Ø: All of actual charges incurred for Defraying and Contesting Appeals and Removing Bastard Children under Ø Years

113 Settlement of Inhabitants Gained by Service

114 Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Intention Ø2

115 Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Appeal

116 Settlement of Wife Parents by Marriage

117 Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Examination

118 Ø: of Inhabitants Examined on Examination in Returning Answers upon Bastardy

119 Ø: of actual Defence of Contesting Pauper Settling Gain by Service

120 Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Chargeability

121 Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Examination

122 Ø: of Inhabitants Examined on Examination in Returning Answers upon Bastardy

123 Ø: of actual Defence of Contesting Pauper Settling Gain by Service

10842

Paper Apprentice Stamps 1st August 1837

Ø: of Ø2 for Notice of Examination

Ø: of Inhabitants Examined on Examination in Returning Answers upon Bastardy

Ø: of actual Defence of Contesting Pauper Settling Gain by Service

1082.3

Form prescribed by Act of August 4th 1837.

115. Statement of matters of Public Utility laid before Parliament by Private Individuals

Bill &c. of Chapel incumbents

on Account of Public Health

Parish under Gathering &c.

Annual Income

Commissioners of Woods

Commissioners of Public Works

Commissioners of Land Revenue

Commissioners of Public Buildings

Commissioners of Fisheries

Commissioners of Crown Lands

Commissioners of Charitable Donations

Commissioners of Inclosure

Commissioners of Copyhold

Commissioners of Tithe Commutation

Commissioners of Exchequer Bills

Commissioners of Stamps

Commissioners of Taxes

Commissioners of Customs

Commissioners of Excise

Commissioners of Post Office

Commissioners of Lighthouses

Commissioners of Harbours

Commissioners of Navy

Commissioners of Ordnance

Commissioners of Army

Commissioners of Militia

Commissioners of Yeomanry

Commissioners of Volunteers

Commissioners of Pensions

Commissioners of Greenwich Hospital

Commissioners of Chelsea Hospital

Commissioners of Kilmainham Hospital

Commissioners of Bankruptcy

Commissioners of Insolvent Debtors

Commissioners of Lunacy

Commissioners of Prisons

Commissioners of Reformatory Schools

Commissioners of Industrial Schools

Commissioners of Emigration

Commissioners of Colonial Land and Emigration

Commissioners of Charitable Trusts

Commissioners of Endowed Schools

Commissioners of Public Records

Commissioners of Historical Manuscripts

Commissioners of Patents

Commissioners of Designs

Commissioners of Trade Marks

Commissioners of Copyright

Commissioners of Railways

Commissioners of Canals

Commissioners of Turnpike Roads

Commissioners of Bridges

Commissioners of Harbours

Commissioners of Navigation

Commissioners of Drainage

Commissioners of Sewers

Commissioners of Improvement

Commissioners of Paving

Commissioners of Lighting

Commissioners of Watching

Commissioners of Police

Commissioners of Fire Brigade

Commissioners of Water Supply

Commissioners of Gas Supply

Commissioners of Electric Light

Commissioners of Telephones

Commissioners of Telegraphs

Commissioners of Post Office Savings Banks

Commissioners of Trustee Savings Banks

Commissioners of Friendly Societies

Commissioners of Industrial and Provident Societies

Commissioners of Building Societies

Commissioners of Loan Societies

Commissioners of Benefit Societies

Commissioners of Assurance Companies

Commissioners of Joint Stock Companies

Commissioners of Limited Liability Companies

Commissioners of Partnership

Commissioners of Bankruptcy

Commissioners of Insolvency

Commissioners of Composition

Commissioners of Arrangement

Commissioners of Liquidation

Commissioners of Dissolution

Commissioners of Winding Up

Commissioners of Receivership

Commissioners of Trusteeship

Commissioners of Executorship

Commissioners of Administration

Commissioners of Guardianship

Commissioners of Wardship

Commissioners of Adoption

Commissioners of Fostering

Commissioners of Apprenticeship

Commissioners of Indentured Labour

Commissioners of Contract Labour

Commissioners of Free Labour

Commissioners of Slavery

Commissioners of Abolition

Commissioners of Emancipation

Commissioners of Manumission

Commissioners of Redemption

Commissioners of Ransom

Commissioners of Exchange

Commissioners of Barter

Commissioners of Trade

Commissioners of Commerce

Commissioners of Industry

Commissioners of Agriculture

Commissioners of Horticulture

Commissioners of Forestry

Commissioners of Fisheries

Commissioners of Mines

Commissioners of Minerals

Commissioners of Quarries

Commissioners of Salt

Commissioners of Coal

Commissioners of Iron

Commissioners of Steel

Commissioners of Copper

Commissioners of Tin

Commissioners of Lead

Commissioners of Zinc

Commissioners of Nickel

Commissioners of Cobalt

Commissioners of Manganese

Commissioners of Chromium

Commissioners of Tungsten

Commissioners of Molybdenum

Commissioners of Vanadium

Commissioners of Uranium

Commissioners of Radium

Commissioners of Thorium

Commissioners of Cerium

Commissioners of Lanthanum

Commissioners of Praseodymium

Commissioners of Neodymium

Commissioners of Samarium

Commissioners of Europium

Commissioners of Gadolinium

Commissioners of Terbium

Commissioners of Dysprosium

Commissioners of Holmium

Commissioners of Erbium

Commissioners of Thulium

Commissioners of Ytterbium

Commissioners of Lutetium

Commissioners of Hafnium

Commissioners of Tantalum

Commissioners of Rhenium

Commissioners of Osmium

Commissioners of Iridium

Commissioners of Platinum

Commissioners of Gold

Commissioners of Silver

Commissioners of Mercury

Commissioners of Bismuth

Commissioners of Antimony

Commissioners of Arsenic

Commissioners of Selenium

Commissioners of Tellurium

Commissioners of Iodine

Commissioners of Bromine

Commissioners of Chlorine

Commissioners of Fluorine

Commissioners of Boron

Commissioners of Silicon

Commissioners of Carbon

Commissioners of Nitrogen

Commissioners of Phosphorus

Commissioners of Sulphur

Commissioners of Hydrogen

Commissioners of Oxygen

Commissioners of Helium

Commissioners of Neon

Commissioners of Argon

Commissioners of Krypton

Commissioners of Xenon

Commissioners of Radon

Commissioners of Francium

Commissioners of Radium

Commissioners of Actinium

Commissioners of Thorium

Commissioners of Protactinium

Commissioners of Uranium

Commissioners of Neptunium

Commissioners of Plutonium

Commissioners of Americium

Commissioners of Curium

Commissioners of Berkelium

Commissioners of Californium

Commissioners of Einsteinium

Commissioners of Fermium

Commissioners of Mendelevium

Commissioners of Nobelium

Commissioners of Lawrencium

Commissioners of Rutherfordium

Commissioners of Dubnium

Commissioners of Seaborgium

Commissioners of Bohrium

Commissioners of Hassium

Commissioners of Meitnerium

Commissioners of Darmstadtium

Commissioners of Roentgenium

Commissioners of Copernicium

Commissioners of Nihonium

Commissioners of Flerovium

Commissioners of Moscovium

Commissioners of Livermorium

Commissioners of Tennessine

Commissioners of Oganesson

Commissioners of Ununennium

Commissioners of Unbinilium

Commissioners of Unbibium

Commissioners of Unbitrium

Commissioners of Unbiquadium

Commissioners of Unbipentium

Commissioners of Unbihexium

Commissioners of Unbiseptium

Commissioners of Unbioctium

Commissioners of Unbienn

1851.–

10844

Fort St. George 14th August 1851

(Contd)

126. Account of Deposits of the Revenue Department	/	
127. Account of sums credited to other Collectorates	/	165
128 Dr of sums debited to other Collectors	/	166
129 Dr of Receipts on account of General Treasury and other Public Department	/	167
130 Dr of Disbursements on account of Dr Dr	/	168
131 Dr of Receipts of Civil Funds Subscriptions	/	169
132 Dr of Refunds of Dr	/	170
133 Dr of Receipts on account of Military Fund	/	171
134 Dr of Apothecary Funds	/	172
135 Dr of sums Credited to the Military Books	/	173
136 Dr of debited to Dr	/	174 Account Current Contains these particulars is sent Monthly
137 Dr of Receipts on account of Bills Payable Revenue	/	175
138 Dr of Disbursements of Dr	/	176
139 Statement shewing the account of the advances on account of Service of Government	/	177
140 List of Packet of accounts	/	No 125 This accounts included in No 125.
141 Register of Interest paid on Bengal Loan at the Treasury	/	
142 Estimate of Gross Collections Charges and Revenue also probable state of the Treasury	/	
143 Abstract of Demand Collection and balance	/	

Account Current Forwarded

10845 For A. G. George 11th August 1851.

144	Draft of closing Entries of the Journals of the whole year submitted for approval	1	
145	Adjustment sheets of the Book of the year	1	
146	Official Ledger for Do	1	
147	Index for Office Ledger	1	
148	A Complete Set of Ledgers for the whole year for the use of the Accountant General	1	The Copy of the one to the Accountant Generals Office might be taken when this Cochin Column was put on this Office on 1840 and the Collectors establishments should be again relieved of it.
149	Index of Do	1	
150	A complete Set of Ledgers with adjustment and closing Entries annexed for the Honorable Court of Directors	1	
151	Index of Do	1	

Accountant General (Contd)

152	A complete Set of Duplicate Journals for the Honorable Court of Directors	1	
153	Prospective Estimate of Receipts and Disbursements with detailed explanations	1	
154	Regular Estimate of Receipts and Disbursements	1	
155	Comparative Statement between Estimate and actual Amount of Collections and Charges	1	
156	Memorandum of the Demand on account of Land Revenue for the period of Official Year of the Collections and Balance	1	
157	Account Particulars of Extra Revenue	1	The Monthly Journal No 12 will suffice.

162 Abstract of Collections and Disbursements of the Revenue

153	Journal Collections	$ 125
154	Account Collections	8225
155	Journal of Revenue	128
156	Amount credited to Other Collectors	127
157	Credited to Do.	128
158	Receipts on account of general Treasury and other Public Do.	129
159	Do. Disbursements of Do.	130
160	Disbursements of Public Money on account of Revenue	131

Comptroller's Office 14th August 1837.

1086

Abstract of Land Patents
358

Abstract of Collections and Disbursements of the Revenue

153	Journal Collections	$ 125
154	Account Collections	8225
155	Journal of Revenue	128
156	Amount credited to Other Collectors	127
157	Credited to Do.	128
158	Receipts on account of general Treasury and other Public Do.	129
159	Do. Disbursements of Do.	130
160	Disbursements of Public Money on account of Revenue	131

Comptroller's Office 14th August 1837.

1086

For Ft. St. Georges 1st August 1851.

129 Account Particular Receipt of Do			
130 Do of Military Fund			132
131 Do of Apothecarys Fund			133
132 Do of Sums Credited to Military Books			134
133 Do of Do Debited to Do			135
134 Do of Receipts on account of Bills Payable Revenue			136
135 Do of Disbursements on account of Do			137
136 Account Particular of Sudderwards			138
137 Do Extra Charges connected with the Revenue but not Chargeable to any particular Source of Revenue		No 233	Insufficient if sent now in the year to the Board of Revenue for sanction.
138 Do of Tank Allowance		No 244 to 246.	Particulars are entered in the body of the Journal.
139 Do of distribution of Commission on the Sales of Stamps		No 234	
140 Do of Sums debited on account of Repairs of Tanks and Water courses			
141 Do of Advances for the Repairs of Tanks and Watercourses			
142 Do of Pensions and Charitable allowances under 5 heads		No 2108 No 251 to 254.	In annual Statement might suffice.
143 Do of Pensions to the Superannuated Servants		No 2098 205.	
144 Do of Pensions Paid from the Treasury, of the Collector to the families of the subscribers of the late Native Pension Funds		No 2028 268.	

Civil Auditor.

10848

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

187 List of Vouchers ——— 1

188 List of Packet ——— 1

189 Account Particular of Police Establishment. } 1 — In one Statement with Nos 187 & 188

190 Dr of batta to Prisoners &c apprehended ——— 1

191 Account Particular of Contingent charges incurred on account of Police and Cutcherry Establishments &c ——— 1 — Do.

192 Nominal Roll of a party of Kurnool Horse ——— 1

193 Register of Bills discharged on account of Bengal and Bombay Presidencies Pensioners forwarded for the purpose of being audited and transmitted to the Accountant General ——— 1 — The Bills have been audited in the Board's Office and transmitted to the Accountant General

Civil Auditor

194 Account Particular of Charges Merchandize on Treasure ——— 1

195 Statement of Deputy Collectors and Sheristadars of every grade employed in ——— 1

196 Detailed List of Revenue and Magisterial Establishments ——— 1 — No 21

197 Do of Superannuated Pensions with their ages &c ——— 1

198 Do of Pensions and charitable allowances of a General nature ——— 1

199 Do of Compensation in lieu of Resumed lands Offices &c ——— 1

200 Do of Pensions and Charitable allowances Chargeable on the Revenues ——— 1

1834

Abstract of Receipts & Payments in the Office of the Auditor of Public Accounts for the Quarter ending 31st August 1834.

201 Detailed Statement of Receipts and Charges on account of Returned Lands &c. ——

202 Do of Receipts and Charges on account of Patented Lands ——

203 Memorandum of the Amount of Auditors Charges ——

204 Do of Contingent and Extra Charges incidental to the Office ——

205 Memorandum of Auditors Charges incurred during the Quarter ——

206 Abstract of Warrants issued ——

207 Statement shewing the Amount paid by the Collectors Treasury on account of the Returned of the deceased Soldiers and to the late Matrons Pension Fund ——

208 Do Do of Superannuation Pension Fund ——

209 Do Do of Deficit Pension ——

210 Register of Civil Pensions ——

211 Abstract of Warrants paid for Killing wild beasts ——

212 Do Table of Fees to Clerks of Circuit Courts & Chancery Returns ——

213 Do Table of Collectors Percentage ——

214 Do of Carriage Hire of Returns ——

No 24 to 31.

Fo 183

Fo 184

Auditor's Office 18 Aug 1834

Civil Auditor —

Office of Lt Gen. Augusta 1857.

215. Amount of Charges for carrying Cutlery Account ... 1 "
Ditto on Circular ... "
216. Do. of Carriage Hire of Despatches ... "
Duplicate of Do. ... "
Amount of Account ... "
217. Do. of Allowance to Postmasters for the Use of Yacht to Dublin ... "
the Service ... "
218. Do. of Bills found to be Riders by Road ... "
24. Amount of Pay Clerks Horse Hire and Bridle Account ... "
Ordered and with 2 Certificates ... "
220. Do. of Cyper Hire Allowance ... "
Ditto Ordered ... "
221. Do. of Extra Charges ... "
222. Do. of Bills found to have been brought before the Magistracy ... "

(Contd)

223. Do. of Bills found to be Wrong in the Magistrate Do. ... "
Assistant ... "
224. Do. Carriage Hire under Permit ... "
225. Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate on account of Cutlery for Cullinstown ... "
226. Statement of Journeys by the Road Police Station of Clonard in the Month of January 1857 ... "
227. Do. of Ordnance Mapping Returns ... "
228. Do. of Contingencies Charges in the Magistrate Office ... "
Postmen ... "
229. Do. of Bills found to be Wrong ... "

Civil & Judicial

32
42
42
42

10827

Patent dated 11th August 1837.

230 Method of treating and @ Estimate } ... Name
under 20 Sections ... } ... Address
3d Quarter ... } ... Occupation

232 @ ... Ls ... @ ... } ... Witness
234 @ ... 1 ... @ ... } ... Witness
238 @ ... 2 ... @ ... } ... Witness

containing the Family ...
214 Statement of actual Value
upon measurement of Estate
Estimate for the
last year ...
2142 Certificate of Valuation of all
dwelling pottly Compensation
the Land of Estate Office
name Change ...
218 @'s of Collector per Letting
and Commissions ...
244 @'s of Rated Tenements
Allowance ...
245 @'s of Estate Tenements
Allowance ...

Value ... £1179 per Monthly

Value ... £1179 per Monthly

230 Allotment of Acreage } ... Name
made @ Valuation } ... Address
more fool A } ... Occupation

231 Statement of allotment } ... Witness
with Quarterly quoted } ... Witness
more fool B } ... Witness

232 @'s of Manufacture } ... Witness
Charges more fool D } ... Witness

233 @'s of Inhabits of Charges } ... Witness
more fool E } ... Witness

234 @'s of Annual Assessment } ... Value of Estate Monthly
for Yearly and Commission Assessment by } ... 181
the Valuation E } ... @'s

235 @'s of Annual Estate once } ... Ann No 132
assessed for Land Tenant } ...

238 Assessment Valuation of Estate
more fit and Inhabitants
assessed in Hereditaments
and Assessment } ...

(Over)

For the Year ending 14th September 1851.

214 Amount of Particulars of Contingencies Liabilities Credits debited Civil Establishment during the year ending 30th June 1852 viz:

215 Amount of Pensions charged against the Revenue Department

216 Amount of Pensions charged against the Revenue Department

217 Amount of Extra Establishment employed in the Collection of the Revenue

218 Amount of Charges of Collection

219 Amount of Refunds of Revenue

220 Amount of Stamps

221 Amount of Postage

222 Amount of Law Charges

223 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

224 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

225 Amount of Stamps

226 Amount of Postage

227 Amount of Law Charges

228 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

229 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

230 Amount of Stamps

231 Amount of Postage

232 Amount of Law Charges

233 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

234 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

235 Amount of Stamps

236 Amount of Postage

237 Amount of Law Charges

238 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

239 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

240 Amount of Stamps

241 Amount of Postage

242 Amount of Law Charges

243 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

244 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

245 Amount of Stamps

246 Amount of Postage

247 Amount of Law Charges

248 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

249 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

250 Amount of Stamps

251 Amount of Postage

252 Amount of Law Charges

253 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

254 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

255 Amount of Stamps

256 Amount of Postage

257 Amount of Law Charges

258 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

259 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

260 Amount of Stamps

261 Amount of Postage

262 Amount of Law Charges

263 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

264 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

265 Amount of Stamps

266 Amount of Postage

267 Amount of Law Charges

268 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

269 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

270 Amount of Stamps

271 Amount of Postage

272 Amount of Law Charges

273 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

274 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

275 Amount of Stamps

276 Amount of Postage

277 Amount of Law Charges

278 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

279 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

280 Amount of Stamps

281 Amount of Postage

282 Amount of Law Charges

283 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

284 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

285 Amount of Stamps

286 Amount of Postage

287 Amount of Law Charges

288 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

289 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

290 Amount of Stamps

291 Amount of Postage

292 Amount of Law Charges

293 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

294 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

295 Amount of Stamps

296 Amount of Postage

297 Amount of Law Charges

298 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

299 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

300 Amount of Stamps

301 Amount of Postage

302 Amount of Law Charges

303 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

304 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

305 Amount of Stamps

306 Amount of Postage

307 Amount of Law Charges

308 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

309 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

310 Amount of Stamps

311 Amount of Postage

312 Amount of Law Charges

313 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

314 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

315 Amount of Stamps

316 Amount of Postage

317 Amount of Law Charges

318 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

319 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

320 Amount of Stamps

321 Amount of Postage

322 Amount of Law Charges

323 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

324 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

325 Amount of Stamps

326 Amount of Postage

327 Amount of Law Charges

328 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

329 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

330 Amount of Stamps

331 Amount of Postage

332 Amount of Law Charges

333 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

334 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

335 Amount of Stamps

336 Amount of Postage

337 Amount of Law Charges

338 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

339 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

340 Amount of Stamps

341 Amount of Postage

342 Amount of Law Charges

343 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

344 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

345 Amount of Stamps

346 Amount of Postage

347 Amount of Law Charges

348 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

349 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

350 Amount of Stamps

351 Amount of Postage

352 Amount of Law Charges

353 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

354 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

355 Amount of Stamps

356 Amount of Postage

357 Amount of Law Charges

358 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

359 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

360 Amount of Stamps

361 Amount of Postage

362 Amount of Law Charges

363 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

364 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

365 Amount of Stamps

366 Amount of Postage

367 Amount of Law Charges

368 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

369 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

370 Amount of Stamps

371 Amount of Postage

372 Amount of Law Charges

373 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

374 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

375 Amount of Stamps

376 Amount of Postage

377 Amount of Law Charges

378 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

379 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

380 Amount of Stamps

381 Amount of Postage

382 Amount of Law Charges

383 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

384 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

385 Amount of Stamps

386 Amount of Postage

387 Amount of Law Charges

388 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

389 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

390 Amount of Stamps

391 Amount of Postage

392 Amount of Law Charges

393 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

394 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

395 Amount of Stamps

396 Amount of Postage

397 Amount of Law Charges

398 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

399 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

400 Amount of Stamps

401 Amount of Postage

402 Amount of Law Charges

403 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

404 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

405 Amount of Stamps

406 Amount of Postage

407 Amount of Law Charges

408 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

409 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

410 Amount of Stamps

411 Amount of Postage

412 Amount of Law Charges

413 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

414 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

415 Amount of Stamps

416 Amount of Postage

417 Amount of Law Charges

418 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

419 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

420 Amount of Stamps

421 Amount of Postage

422 Amount of Law Charges

423 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

424 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

425 Amount of Stamps

426 Amount of Postage

427 Amount of Law Charges

428 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

429 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

430 Amount of Stamps

431 Amount of Postage

432 Amount of Law Charges

433 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

434 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

435 Amount of Stamps

436 Amount of Postage

437 Amount of Law Charges

438 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

439 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

440 Amount of Stamps

441 Amount of Postage

442 Amount of Law Charges

443 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

444 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

445 Amount of Stamps

446 Amount of Postage

447 Amount of Law Charges

448 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

449 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

450 Amount of Stamps

451 Amount of Postage

452 Amount of Law Charges

453 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

454 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

455 Amount of Stamps

456 Amount of Postage

457 Amount of Law Charges

458 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

459 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

460 Amount of Stamps

461 Amount of Postage

462 Amount of Law Charges

463 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

464 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

465 Amount of Stamps

466 Amount of Postage

467 Amount of Law Charges

468 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

469 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

470 Amount of Stamps

471 Amount of Postage

472 Amount of Law Charges

473 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

474 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

475 Amount of Stamps

476 Amount of Postage

477 Amount of Law Charges

478 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

479 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

480 Amount of Stamps

481 Amount of Postage

482 Amount of Law Charges

483 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

484 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

485 Amount of Stamps

486 Amount of Postage

487 Amount of Law Charges

488 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

489 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

490 Amount of Stamps

491 Amount of Postage

492 Amount of Law Charges

493 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

494 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

495 Amount of Stamps

496 Amount of Postage

497 Amount of Law Charges

498 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

499 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

500 Amount of Stamps

501 Amount of Postage

502 Amount of Law Charges

503 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

504 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

505 Amount of Stamps

506 Amount of Postage

507 Amount of Law Charges

508 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

509 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

510 Amount of Stamps

511 Amount of Postage

512 Amount of Law Charges

513 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

514 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

515 Amount of Stamps

516 Amount of Postage

517 Amount of Law Charges

518 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

519 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

520 Amount of Stamps

521 Amount of Postage

522 Amount of Law Charges

523 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

524 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

525 Amount of Stamps

526 Amount of Postage

527 Amount of Law Charges

528 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

529 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

530 Amount of Stamps

531 Amount of Postage

532 Amount of Law Charges

533 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

534 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

535 Amount of Stamps

536 Amount of Postage

537 Amount of Law Charges

538 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

539 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

540 Amount of Stamps

541 Amount of Postage

542 Amount of Law Charges

543 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

544 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

545 Amount of Stamps

546 Amount of Postage

547 Amount of Law Charges

548 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

549 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

550 Amount of Stamps

551 Amount of Postage

552 Amount of Law Charges

553 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

554 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

555 Amount of Stamps

556 Amount of Postage

557 Amount of Law Charges

558 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

559 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

560 Amount of Stamps

561 Amount of Postage

562 Amount of Law Charges

563 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

564 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

565 Amount of Stamps

566 Amount of Postage

567 Amount of Law Charges

568 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

569 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

570 Amount of Stamps

571 Amount of Postage

572 Amount of Law Charges

573 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

574 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

575 Amount of Stamps

576 Amount of Postage

577 Amount of Law Charges

578 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

579 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

580 Amount of Stamps

581 Amount of Postage

582 Amount of Law Charges

583 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

584 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

585 Amount of Stamps

586 Amount of Postage

587 Amount of Law Charges

588 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

589 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

590 Amount of Stamps

591 Amount of Postage

592 Amount of Law Charges

593 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

594 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

595 Amount of Stamps

596 Amount of Postage

597 Amount of Law Charges

598 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

599 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

600 Amount of Stamps

601 Amount of Postage

602 Amount of Law Charges

603 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

604 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

605 Amount of Stamps

606 Amount of Postage

607 Amount of Law Charges

608 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

609 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

610 Amount of Stamps

611 Amount of Postage

612 Amount of Law Charges

613 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

614 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

615 Amount of Stamps

616 Amount of Postage

617 Amount of Law Charges

618 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

619 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

620 Amount of Stamps

621 Amount of Postage

622 Amount of Law Charges

623 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

624 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

625 Amount of Stamps

626 Amount of Postage

627 Amount of Law Charges

628 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

629 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

630 Amount of Stamps

631 Amount of Postage

632 Amount of Law Charges

633 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

634 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

635 Amount of Stamps

636 Amount of Postage

637 Amount of Law Charges

638 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

639 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

640 Amount of Stamps

641 Amount of Postage

642 Amount of Law Charges

643 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

644 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

645 Amount of Stamps

646 Amount of Postage

647 Amount of Law Charges

648 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

649 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

650 Amount of Stamps

651 Amount of Postage

652 Amount of Law Charges

653 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

654 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

655 Amount of Stamps

656 Amount of Postage

657 Amount of Law Charges

658 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

659 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

660 Amount of Stamps

661 Amount of Postage

662 Amount of Law Charges

663 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

664 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

665 Amount of Stamps

666 Amount of Postage

667 Amount of Law Charges

668 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

669 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

670 Amount of Stamps

671 Amount of Postage

672 Amount of Law Charges

673 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

674 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

675 Amount of Stamps

676 Amount of Postage

677 Amount of Law Charges

678 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

679 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

680 Amount of Stamps

681 Amount of Postage

682 Amount of Law Charges

683 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

684 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

685 Amount of Stamps

686 Amount of Postage

687 Amount of Law Charges

688 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

689 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

690 Amount of Stamps

691 Amount of Postage

692 Amount of Law Charges

693 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

694 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

695 Amount of Stamps

696 Amount of Postage

697 Amount of Law Charges

698 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

699 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

700 Amount of Stamps

701 Amount of Postage

702 Amount of Law Charges

703 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

704 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

705 Amount of Stamps

706 Amount of Postage

707 Amount of Law Charges

708 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

709 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

710 Amount of Stamps

711 Amount of Postage

712 Amount of Law Charges

713 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

714 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

715 Amount of Stamps

716 Amount of Postage

717 Amount of Law Charges

718 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

719 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

720 Amount of Stamps

721 Amount of Postage

722 Amount of Law Charges

723 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

724 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

725 Amount of Stamps

726 Amount of Postage

727 Amount of Law Charges

728 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

729 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

730 Amount of Stamps

731 Amount of Postage

732 Amount of Law Charges

733 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

734 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

735 Amount of Stamps

736 Amount of Postage

737 Amount of Law Charges

738 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

739 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

740 Amount of Stamps

741 Amount of Postage

742 Amount of Law Charges

743 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

744 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

745 Amount of Stamps

746 Amount of Postage

747 Amount of Law Charges

748 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

749 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

750 Amount of Stamps

751 Amount of Postage

752 Amount of Law Charges

753 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

754 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

755 Amount of Stamps

756 Amount of Postage

757 Amount of Law Charges

758 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

759 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

760 Amount of Stamps

761 Amount of Postage

762 Amount of Law Charges

763 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

764 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

765 Amount of Stamps

766 Amount of Postage

767 Amount of Law Charges

768 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

769 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

770 Amount of Stamps

771 Amount of Postage

772 Amount of Law Charges

773 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

774 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

775 Amount of Stamps

776 Amount of Postage

777 Amount of Law Charges

778 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

779 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

780 Amount of Stamps

781 Amount of Postage

782 Amount of Law Charges

783 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

784 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

785 Amount of Stamps

786 Amount of Postage

787 Amount of Law Charges

788 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

789 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

790 Amount of Stamps

791 Amount of Postage

792 Amount of Law Charges

793 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

794 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

795 Amount of Stamps

796 Amount of Postage

797 Amount of Law Charges

798 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

799 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

800 Amount of Stamps

801 Amount of Postage

802 Amount of Law Charges

803 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

804 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

805 Amount of Stamps

806 Amount of Postage

807 Amount of Law Charges

808 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

809 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

810 Amount of Stamps

811 Amount of Postage

812 Amount of Law Charges

813 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

814 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

815 Amount of Stamps

816 Amount of Postage

817 Amount of Law Charges

818 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

819 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

820 Amount of Stamps

821 Amount of Postage

822 Amount of Law Charges

823 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

824 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

825 Amount of Stamps

826 Amount of Postage

827 Amount of Law Charges

828 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

829 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

830 Amount of Stamps

831 Amount of Postage

832 Amount of Law Charges

833 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

834 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

835 Amount of Stamps

836 Amount of Postage

837 Amount of Law Charges

838 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

839 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

840 Amount of Stamps

841 Amount of Postage

842 Amount of Law Charges

843 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

844 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

845 Amount of Stamps

846 Amount of Postage

847 Amount of Law Charges

848 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

849 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

850 Amount of Stamps

851 Amount of Postage

852 Amount of Law Charges

853 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

854 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

855 Amount of Stamps

856 Amount of Postage

857 Amount of Law Charges

858 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

859 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

860 Amount of Stamps

861 Amount of Postage

862 Amount of Law Charges

863 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

864 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

865 Amount of Stamps

866 Amount of Postage

867 Amount of Law Charges

868 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

869 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

870 Amount of Stamps

871 Amount of Postage

872 Amount of Law Charges

873 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

874 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

875 Amount of Stamps

876 Amount of Postage

877 Amount of Law Charges

878 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

879 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

880 Amount of Stamps

881 Amount of Postage

882 Amount of Law Charges

883 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

884 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

885 Amount of Stamps

886 Amount of Postage

887 Amount of Law Charges

888 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

889 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

890 Amount of Stamps

891 Amount of Postage

892 Amount of Law Charges

893 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

894 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

895 Amount of Stamps

896 Amount of Postage

897 Amount of Law Charges

898 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

899 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

900 Amount of Stamps

901 Amount of Postage

902 Amount of Law Charges

903 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

904 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

905 Amount of Stamps

906 Amount of Postage

907 Amount of Law Charges

908 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

909 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

910 Amount of Stamps

911 Amount of Postage

912 Amount of Law Charges

913 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

914 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

915 Amount of Stamps

916 Amount of Postage

917 Amount of Law Charges

918 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

919 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

920 Amount of Stamps

921 Amount of Postage

922 Amount of Law Charges

923 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

924 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

925 Amount of Stamps

926 Amount of Postage

927 Amount of Law Charges

928 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

929 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

930 Amount of Stamps

931 Amount of Postage

932 Amount of Law Charges

933 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

934 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

935 Amount of Stamps

936 Amount of Postage

937 Amount of Law Charges

938 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

939 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

940 Amount of Stamps

941 Amount of Postage

942 Amount of Law Charges

943 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

944 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

945 Amount of Stamps

946 Amount of Postage

947 Amount of Law Charges

948 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

949 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

950 Amount of Stamps

951 Amount of Postage

952 Amount of Law Charges

953 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

954 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

955 Amount of Stamps

956 Amount of Postage

957 Amount of Law Charges

958 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

959 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

960 Amount of Stamps

961 Amount of Postage

962 Amount of Law Charges

963 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

964 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

965 Amount of Stamps

966 Amount of Postage

967 Amount of Law Charges

968 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

969 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

970 Amount of Stamps

971 Amount of Postage

972 Amount of Law Charges

973 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

974 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

975 Amount of Stamps

976 Amount of Postage

977 Amount of Law Charges

978 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

979 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

980 Amount of Stamps

981 Amount of Postage

982 Amount of Law Charges

983 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

984 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

985 Amount of Stamps

986 Amount of Postage

987 Amount of Law Charges

988 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

989 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

990 Amount of Stamps

991 Amount of Postage

992 Amount of Law Charges

993 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

994 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

995 Amount of Stamps

996 Amount of Postage

997 Amount of Law Charges

998 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

999 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1000 Amount of Stamps

1001 Amount of Postage

1002 Amount of Law Charges

1003 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1004 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1005 Amount of Stamps

1006 Amount of Postage

1007 Amount of Law Charges

1008 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1009 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1010 Amount of Stamps

1011 Amount of Postage

1012 Amount of Law Charges

1013 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1014 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1015 Amount of Stamps

1016 Amount of Postage

1017 Amount of Law Charges

1018 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1019 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1020 Amount of Stamps

1021 Amount of Postage

1022 Amount of Law Charges

1023 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1024 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1025 Amount of Stamps

1026 Amount of Postage

1027 Amount of Law Charges

1028 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1029 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1030 Amount of Stamps

1031 Amount of Postage

1032 Amount of Law Charges

1033 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1034 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1035 Amount of Stamps

1036 Amount of Postage

1037 Amount of Law Charges

1038 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1039 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1040 Amount of Stamps

1041 Amount of Postage

1042 Amount of Law Charges

1043 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1044 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1045 Amount of Stamps

1046 Amount of Postage

1047 Amount of Law Charges

1048 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1049 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1050 Amount of Stamps

1051 Amount of Postage

1052 Amount of Law Charges

1053 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1054 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1055 Amount of Stamps

1056 Amount of Postage

1057 Amount of Law Charges

1058 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1059 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1060 Amount of Stamps

1061 Amount of Postage

1062 Amount of Law Charges

1063 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1064 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1065 Amount of Stamps

1066 Amount of Postage

1067 Amount of Law Charges

1068 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1069 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1070 Amount of Stamps

1071 Amount of Postage

1072 Amount of Law Charges

1073 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1074 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1075 Amount of Stamps

1076 Amount of Postage

1077 Amount of Law Charges

1078 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1079 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1080 Amount of Stamps

1081 Amount of Postage

1082 Amount of Law Charges

1083 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1084 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1085 Amount of Stamps

1086 Amount of Postage

1087 Amount of Law Charges

1088 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1089 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1090 Amount of Stamps

1091 Amount of Postage

1092 Amount of Law Charges

1093 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1094 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1095 Amount of Stamps

1096 Amount of Postage

1097 Amount of Law Charges

1098 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1099 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1100 Amount of Stamps

1101 Amount of Postage

1102 Amount of Law Charges

1103 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1104 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1105 Amount of Stamps

1106 Amount of Postage

1107 Amount of Law Charges

1108 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1109 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1110 Amount of Stamps

1111 Amount of Postage

1112 Amount of Law Charges

1113 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1114 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1115 Amount of Stamps

1116 Amount of Postage

1117 Amount of Law Charges

1118 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1119 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1120 Amount of Stamps

1121 Amount of Postage

1122 Amount of Law Charges

1123 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1124 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1125 Amount of Stamps

1126 Amount of Postage

1127 Amount of Law Charges

1128 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1129 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1130 Amount of Stamps

1131 Amount of Postage

1132 Amount of Law Charges

1133 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1134 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1135 Amount of Stamps

1136 Amount of Postage

1137 Amount of Law Charges

1138 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1139 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1140 Amount of Stamps

1141 Amount of Postage

1142 Amount of Law Charges

1143 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1144 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1145 Amount of Stamps

1146 Amount of Postage

1147 Amount of Law Charges

1148 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1149 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1150 Amount of Stamps

1151 Amount of Postage

1152 Amount of Law Charges

1153 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1154 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1155 Amount of Stamps

1156 Amount of Postage

1157 Amount of Law Charges

1158 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1159 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1160 Amount of Stamps

1161 Amount of Postage

1162 Amount of Law Charges

1163 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1164 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1165 Amount of Stamps

1166 Amount of Postage

1167 Amount of Law Charges

1168 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1169 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1170 Amount of Stamps

1171 Amount of Postage

1172 Amount of Law Charges

1173 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1174 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1175 Amount of Stamps

1176 Amount of Postage

1177 Amount of Law Charges

1178 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1179 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1180 Amount of Stamps

1181 Amount of Postage

1182 Amount of Law Charges

1183 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1184 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1185 Amount of Stamps

1186 Amount of Postage

1187 Amount of Law Charges

1188 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1189 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1190 Amount of Stamps

1191 Amount of Postage

1192 Amount of Law Charges

1193 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1194 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1195 Amount of Stamps

1196 Amount of Postage

1197 Amount of Law Charges

1198 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1199 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1200 Amount of Stamps

1201 Amount of Postage

1202 Amount of Law Charges

1203 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1204 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1205 Amount of Stamps

1206 Amount of Postage

1207 Amount of Law Charges

1208 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1209 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1210 Amount of Stamps

1211 Amount of Postage

1212 Amount of Law Charges

1213 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1214 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1215 Amount of Stamps

1216 Amount of Postage

1217 Amount of Law Charges

1218 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1219 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1220 Amount of Stamps

1221 Amount of Postage

1222 Amount of Law Charges

1223 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1224 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1225 Amount of Stamps

1226 Amount of Postage

1227 Amount of Law Charges

1228 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1229 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1230 Amount of Stamps

1231 Amount of Postage

1232 Amount of Law Charges

1233 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1234 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1235 Amount of Stamps

1236 Amount of Postage

1237 Amount of Law Charges

1238 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1239 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1240 Amount of Stamps

1241 Amount of Postage

1242 Amount of Law Charges

1243 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1244 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1245 Amount of Stamps

1246 Amount of Postage

1247 Amount of Law Charges

1248 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1249 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1250 Amount of Stamps

1251 Amount of Postage

1252 Amount of Law Charges

1253 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1254 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1255 Amount of Stamps

1256 Amount of Postage

1257 Amount of Law Charges

1258 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1259 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1260 Amount of Stamps

1261 Amount of Postage

1262 Amount of Law Charges

1263 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1264 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1265 Amount of Stamps

1266 Amount of Postage

1267 Amount of Law Charges

1268 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1269 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1270 Amount of Stamps

1271 Amount of Postage

1272 Amount of Law Charges

1273 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1274 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1275 Amount of Stamps

1276 Amount of Postage

1277 Amount of Law Charges

1278 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1279 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1280 Amount of Stamps

1281 Amount of Postage

1282 Amount of Law Charges

1283 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1284 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1285 Amount of Stamps

1286 Amount of Postage

1287 Amount of Law Charges

1288 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1289 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1290 Amount of Stamps

1291 Amount of Postage

1292 Amount of Law Charges

1293 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1294 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1295 Amount of Stamps

1296 Amount of Postage

1297 Amount of Law Charges

1298 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1299 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1300 Amount of Stamps

1301 Amount of Postage

1302 Amount of Law Charges

1303 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1304 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1305 Amount of Stamps

1306 Amount of Postage

1307 Amount of Law Charges

1308 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1309 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1310 Amount of Stamps

1311 Amount of Postage

1312 Amount of Law Charges

1313 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1314 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1315 Amount of Stamps

1316 Amount of Postage

1317 Amount of Law Charges

1318 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1319 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1320 Amount of Stamps

1321 Amount of Postage

1322 Amount of Law Charges

1323 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1324 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1325 Amount of Stamps

1326 Amount of Postage

1327 Amount of Law Charges

1328 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1329 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1330 Amount of Stamps

1331 Amount of Postage

1332 Amount of Law Charges

1333 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1334 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1335 Amount of Stamps

1336 Amount of Postage

1337 Amount of Law Charges

1338 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1339 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1340 Amount of Stamps

1341 Amount of Postage

1342 Amount of Law Charges

1343 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1344 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1345 Amount of Stamps

1346 Amount of Postage

1347 Amount of Law Charges

1348 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1349 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1350 Amount of Stamps

1351 Amount of Postage

1352 Amount of Law Charges

1353 Amount of Miscellaneous Charges

1354 Amount of Refunds of Land Revenue

1355 Amount of Stamps

1356 Amount of

1883

Auditor's Office, George Town

August 1883.

23½ Account Particular of Civil Engineer in the Revenue Judicial and General Department

24 Do. of Naval Hospitals and Vaccine Establishments

25 Account Particular of Sanitary Do.

26 Do. of Charges on Account of Salt Chowkies

27 Do. of Bengal Pensioners

28 Do. of Military Do.

29 Do. of Police Corps

30 Do. of Stationery

31 Do. of Medical Charges

32 Do. of Public Works

33 Do. of Marine

34 Do. of Jail

35 Do. of Miscellaneous

36 Do. of Civil Charges

37 Do. of Police

38 Do. of Pilots

39 Do. of Pilots repaid

Bank Dr.

To Balance Brought down

To Journal

No 38 @ 39

Civil Auditor

26 Dr. of Charges on the Establishment

27 Do. of Superannuated Pensions

28 Do. of the Pensions of the late Native Pension Fund

29 Account Particular of Charges under the heads of Bengal Pensions

30 Do. of Bombay Do.

31 Do. on Account of Police Establishment for cleaning Streets

32 Statement of Charges incurred on Account of Salt Chowkies

33 Do. Actual Charges on account of Marine

34 Monthly Statement of Establishment

No 37 @ 38

No 38 @ 39

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Civil Auditor's Establishment

274 Statement of Allowance paid unemployed Civil Servants ——— /

275 List of Annual accounts ——— /

276 Replies to the Audit of accounts of the District with numerous enclosures ——— /

277 Do. to the Reaudit ——— /

278 Do. to the further reaudit /

279 Detailed List on the state of Government Plantations ——— / No. 30

280 Statement of Rewards for apprehending thieves ——— / No. 302

281 Do. of batta paid to Peons escorting prisoners to other Districts ——— / No. 303

| | | |

10855

Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

Secretary to the Military Board

288 Indents of Stationery for a year both to the Native and English Cutcherries — 2

289 Journal of receipts and issues of Arms &c. — 1

290 Return of D°. — 1

— 2 — 2

291 Account Current — 1

292 Account Particular of Servants Wages — 1

293 D°. of Extra charges with several enclosures — 1

294 D°. of Talook Tappal Establishments — 1

295 List of unclaimed Letters — 1

Post Master General

296 Detailed List of Servants &c. — 1

297 Estimate of basket Boats for Crossing Rivers — 1

298 Actual charges — 1

299 Estimate of construct-ing and repairing Tappal Huts for Runners — 1

300 Actual charges for Runners — 1

301 Statement exhibiting the amount incurred for conveying the Mails over the Rivers for the Official year — 1

2 — 1 — 4 — 4

1085?

For St. George 14th August 1851.

1085?

Fort St. George 14th August 1851

Registers to the Accounts of Public Debts &c.

302 Statement of Rewards for apprehending Thieves — 1 — No: 280

303 Do. of Batta paid to peons escorting Prisoners to other District — 1 — No: 281

304 Account Particular of Extra Police Establishment — 1

3

305 Statement shewing the Total quantity and Value of Articles imported by land from Nizams Territory — 1

Reports of external Commerce

306 Ditto of Exported to Do. — 1

307 Account of duties Collected on Imports and exports of Frontier Trade — 1

308 Statement of the Value of the Principal Imports — 1

309 Ditto of Ditto Ditto exports — 1

310 Comparative Statement shewing the value of Goods imported into and exported from Bellary with remarks — 1

6

10838

Fort St. George 12th August 1851.

Read the following letter--

No. 77-

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

I have the honor to reply

B. of R. 1837- From the Collector of Cuddapah } 1- to your letter of the 16th of June-
Reporting upon the accompanying Petition No. 1245 of 1851 as required in the Board's letter of the 16th June last-

2- Petitioner has stated his case very truly, and the teerwajasty of Rupees 20-12-6 was assessed on his land (3-38-4) a a a in fusly 1260, on its being represented to the acting Sub Collector Mr. Bathaway, that he had watered it from a well dug in poreumpoke land in Fusly 1229--

3- The acting Collector was appealed to by petitioner, and Mr. Bathaways order was confirmed--

4- The Six goontahs of land mentioned in the petition, is part of another piece of land and were entered in petitioners Puttah in Fusly 1248- the well now in question is dug in the separate piece of Six goontahs, and ordinary dry land assessment is collected on it-

5- I gather from the proceedings on record that Mr. Murrays opinion was that petitioner having a well in poreumboke land from which his Puttah land was watered took up a small part of the Poreumboke in

10859 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

in Fusly 1248 and had it entered in his puttah, as a means of avoiding the assessment of teeruvaiasty and that he did not consider that petitioners freedom from the extra assessment ever since the well was dug in Fusly 1229 - or for thirty one years, gave him any prescriptive right to continued exemption -

Cuddapah Collector's Office 30th July 1851 - } I Signed H. Forbes Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

(30-31)

To

The Collector of Cuddapah -

B. of R. 15.84 -

To the Collector of Cuddapah } 30 July 1851 in Cons. 14 Augt 1851. RC 1837 -

Sir

With reference to your report on Petition No. 1245 of 1851 complaining of the levy of teeruvaiasty on his land cultivated under Well irrigation - I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that in their opinion there would be a manifest hardship in depriving the petitioner of the advantage he has so long enjoyed by assessing his land with Tiruvaiasty. If the Board understand the question correctly he would have been entitled to exemption from Additional Assessment, had he taken up the Poramboke

10860 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

land first and afterwards dug his well on it, but having dug the well first, and afterwards taken up the land he has rendered himself liable to pay Tiruvaiasty under a strict application of the Boo Rummamah: some consideration however is due to the circumstance of his long and continued exemption, and I am directed therefore to request that the demand of Tiruvaiasty may be remitted and the same indulgence allowed to him as if the Poramboke since taken up had been included in his Puttah land when the well was dug -

You will be good enough in future cases of reference of a similar nature to submit to the Board your own sentiments on the merits of the question at issue -

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th August 1851 } I Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary

---

Read again list of unanswered letters addressed 9th ult. from the Collector of Chingleput dated 25th July and entered in Consultation 7th August 1851 -

The

10861 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

B.d. N.o 1593

Proceedings thereon - The Collectors letter of
In Cons 14th Oct.r 50. the 23d September 1850 regarding the
32 robbery of the Remittance of the
Ootramaloor Talook having been re-
corded, as no further notice of the sub-
ject seemed necessary this letter
may be omitted in the next Return
of Unanswered Letters.

To

W.m H. Bayley Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.t George

33

B.d N.o 1832.

Sir.

From the Coll.r of Singlepute. Para 1. I have the honor to ac-
knowledge the receipt of your letter
of the 26th Ultimo and to submit here-
with as therein requested a copy of
the Cowle of Cooraputtum granted
by W.m Greenway to Bhawany Sun-
dara Row, and a Statement of the
amount of the survey assessment fixed
on the Village in question - I beg to state
for the information of the Board of
Revenue that in all cases in which the
original Meerasidars have deserted
their Villages either from poverty or other
causes

10862 Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

causes, Cowles of the description alluded
to appear to have been issued by Messrs.
Place and Greenway to other Individuals.
In some instances however the heirs of
the original Cowledars are in the enjoy-
ment of the Villages, whilst in others the
Cowles issued appear to have been trans-
ferred to other Parties by sale, Gift &c.a -
On enquiry I learn that it has been the
practice to put other Parties in possession
when Incumbents for the time being have
neglected to carry on the cultivation to
the detriment of the interests of Govern-
ment -

Singlepute District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Cuttevauk 29th July 1851

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector.

A

N.o 178 -

Cowle given by Edward Croft Greenway
Esq.re Collector in the Honorable Compa-
nys Jagheer to Pingalah Bavanesum-
Sara Row -

Whereas the Meerasidars of
the Village of Cooraputtum in the
Manimungalum District having Deserted
the Village, after agreeing to, and un-
dertaking the lease of Fusulies 1205. 1206
and

Fort St. George 14th August 1857.

and 1207, whereby the responsibility de-
=volved upon the Pycaccary Inhabitant
who paid the rent of that, and the three
succeeding years, but being Meerasdar
of Caurnay produce keeny have retu-
=ned thither this year on the repair of
the Wundeveram Tank, by which
the Village is watered whereby the
Circar is exposed to a loss of the Re-
=venue usually arising from the
Village of Oorapaukum, and where
=as the Meerasdar has not returned
to resume his right
and pay the just dues of Govern-
=ment thereon Pingalah Bavanee
Sankara Row has offered to take
upon himself the responsibility
and pay the rent thereon and extend
the cultivation provided I will confer
on him the Meerasee.

I therefore grant this
Cowle confirming the aforesaid
Pingalah Bavaneesankara
Row and his heirs in the
Meerasee of the above
Village of Oorapaukum, &

Fort St. George 14th August 1857.

long as they carry on a proper cultiv-
=ation pay all just dues and
are obedient to the Circar.

Given under my hands
and the Seal of my Office in
Carangooly this Twenty fifth
day of September One thousand
Eight hundred and one.

(Signed) E. Greenway.
Collector.

Compared with the Original
(Signed) D. Court
Acting Collector.

Statement.

10866

Fort St. George 12th August 1857.

No. 1596.

Proceedings thereon - Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 436 1514, 2219, of 1850 and 554 and 1044 of 1857.

33.34

"The Village claimed by Petitioner was granted on the departure from it of the original Meerasidars or Cooly to other parties in 1801 - from which period it does not appear that Petitioner advanced any claim - The Board therefore will not interfere - If Petitioner has any claims, he may prosecute them in the Civil Court -

Endorsement on Petition 2336 of 1850.

"The Village having been transferred from Petitioners to other parties so far back as Fusly 1211 the Board will not now interfere" -

35

To

W. H. Barlow Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1833 -

From the Collr. of Chingleput - Vide Encl. A. with a Mahratta Statt.

Sir.

I have the honor to forward a Statement of Mootahs which have been attached for arrears of Revenue due up to 30th June of Fusly 1260 and request that the Board will sanction the Establishment proposed for their management -

Chingleput District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk
30th July 1857

/ Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement. -

10865

Fort St. George 12th August 1857.

B. Statement shewing the Mootahs of the Village of Coorsaum -

No. 153

Whether Partial or Entire	Particulars of Land	Poorjah		Casawah		Total		Casawah		Total		Casawah		Total		Remarks
		Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	Cowles	Fanams	
Entire	Mheerah	14	14⅘	39	91	53	105⅘	Co. and do	Rs. as	do	do					
Entire	Land	2	7⅘	5	41	7	49⅘	do	do	do	do					
Entire	Dry	21	12⅘	47	115	69	127⅘	do	do	do	do					
		39	2⅘	92	117	132	119⅘	170	9⅘	692	3					
		3	14½	9	35	12	49½	15	11	75	7					

Cowles — Signed J. H. Cochrane Collr.

Fanams — Collr.

Chingleput Dist. Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk 29th July 1857

10867 ||

Photographic Survey No. 1 Survey made 1937.

Statement of Objects to be reached by Photographic Survey 1261.

Number	Name of Object	Remarks
1	Mound No. 1	Located on the Mound Farm
2	Mound No. 2	Located on the Mound Farm
3	Mound No. 3	Located on the Mound Farm
4	Mound No. 4	Located on the Mound Farm
5	Mound No. 5	Located on the Mound Farm
6	Mound No. 6	Located on the Mound Farm
7	Mound No. 7	Located on the Mound Farm
8	Mound No. 8	Located on the Mound Farm
9	Mound No. 9	Located on the Mound Farm
10	Mound No. 10	Located on the Mound Farm

Signed: [illegible]

Approved: [illegible]

Chief Engineer.

Washington, D.C. July 30, 1937.

10868

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Bd. No. 1592.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue return the employment of the several Establishments exhibited in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter at an aggregate charge of Rupees 77-4 per mensem, for the management of the Estates in the District of Singlepatt attached for arrears of Revenue for Fusly 1260.

35.36

37.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Bd. No. 1827.

From the Collr. of Singlepatt

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to furnish me with a Blank Book for the purpose of keeping a Register in English of the Shrotriem Villages in this District a measure which appears desirable, and I avail myself of this opportunity of applying also for 2 Sections of Maps of the District. Nos. 77 and 78 as published by James Horsburgh Hydrographer to the Honorable East India Company.

Singlepatt District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk. 31st July 1851.

I Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

The

1869

Fort St. George 15th August 1851.

No. 1586.

Proceedings thereon.

37.38

The Boards of Revenue request that the Collector will be good enough to furnish himself with a blank book and include the cost in his Statement of Actual Charges incurred without Estimate.

2. Mr. Cochrane is also requested to apply to the Board in the Department of Public Works, for the two Maps referred to in the foregoing letter.

To. W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

39

Bd. No. 1826.

From the Collector of Singlepatt

Sir,

I have the honor to request permission to destroy 35 Garcas & 305 Marcals of Old Salt remaining unsold at the Vellour Pans out of the quantity manufactured in Fusslies 1256 & 1257 the Collector of Madras with whom I have communicated on the subject having informed me that the same is unsaleable owing to its quality being deteriorated from the length of time it has been kept.

Singlepatt District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Cuttewauk 1st August 1851.

I Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

The

10870

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Bd's No. 1587

Proceedings

39.40

thereon. The Board of Revenue request that the Collector will forward samples of the Salt referred to in the foregoing letter.

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 9th May and entered in Consultation 9th June 1851.

Read also the following letter.

No. 103.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

Bd's No. 1740.

Sir.

From the Collector of Salem

Para 1. Adverting to the intimation conveyed to me in the proceedings of your Board dated 9th ulting that should the Balances due on the three Agraharums reported on in my letter dated 9th May last, not be paid up in full (including current dues) on the last day of the Fasly, the Board on application from me, will recommend their resumption, I have the honor to renew my request for resumption in so far as regards the villages Davaroy Samoodrum and Paindamungalum on account of which no payments have been made since a date prior

10871

Fort St. George 14th August 1857.

to address your Board.

2. Although the arrear standing against Vellia putty had not been discharged on the evening of the 11th instant, it was tendered to the Talook Authorities for acceptance, three days afterwards. I do not therefore feel at liberty to press for the adoption of severe measures in respect of this Village, and have accordingly directed the local Tahsildar to receive and carry to account the amount due by its proprietors.

Salem District
Office
Collr's Cutcherry
22d July 1857.

/ Signed W. D. Phillips
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted with the following address.

#1

41.42

No. 347.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Bd's No. 1588.

Sir.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letters from the Collector of Salem dated respectively 9th May and 22d July 1857, leaving

Submitting letters from the Collr of Salem relative to certain Balances outstanding against the Agraharum Villages of Vellia putty Davaroy Samoodrum & Paindamungalum and recommending for reasons stated that the former

In Cons 9 June 1851 No 104 & 14 Aug 1857 No 1740

former of these Villages be made over to the proprietor but that the latter two be resumed & charged with full Teerwah- also proposing to instruct the Coll^r to resume in future lands in similar cases of default and to notice the circumstances of each case the ensuing report on the Settlements

Fort St. George 11th. August 1851.

Having reference to certain balances outstanding against the three Agraharam Villages of Vullumputty Dawarvy Samoodrum, and Pandamungalum which have been under attachment since January, May and March 1850 respectively -

2 — It will be seen that the balance due by the proprietors at the date of Mr. Phillips first letter were in the proportions noted in margin and that the Board directed that the owners should be allowed time until the last day of the past Fasly 1260 within which to pay up their balances including current dues and failing this that the Board would on application from the Collector, recommend the resumption of the Villages. At the same time the Board declined to recognize the division of Shares proposed by certain parties in the two last mentioned Villages, as such an arrangement would render each only liable for the proportion of Dodgee on his own holding.

Vullumputty	Para. 5	Pr. 934	4 — 11
Dawarvy Samoodrum	8	99	6 — 1
Pandamungalum	19	152	2 — 2

3. In his last letter the Collector reports that the arrears outstanding against Vullumputty were not discharged until three days after the expiration

Fort St. George 11th. August 1851.

expiration of the Fasly, but that not wishing to adopt severe measures he had directed the Tahsildar to receive and carry to account the amount tendered. No payments having been made on account of the arrears against the Villages of Dawarvy Samoodrum and Pandamungalum, he repeats his request for their resumption. —

4 — Referring to the difficulty which for years has attended the realization of the Dodgee from the Village of Vullumputty and to the fact that the Bramin proprietors have made over by mortgage to others the privileges intended for their own benefit, the preferable course would seem to be the resumption of the Village. The Board are however unwilling to disturb the orders passed by the Collector without affording a further opportunity to the proprietors of meeting the Government dues with greater regularity; but they consider that the parties should be warned that no further indulgence will be shewn them in future.

5 — Under all the circumstances represented in respect to the dues yet unrealized from the Dawarvy Samoodrum and Pandamungalum

10874 — Fort St. George 14th August 1851

Pandamungalum Villages and the utter hopelessness of obtaining regular payment hereafter from the present holders, the Board recommend that sanction be granted for subjecting the lands comprized in these Villages to the full Teerwah —

6 — In similar cases of default in future, the Board propose with reference to Para 20 of W. Phillips letter dated 9th May 1851 to instruct the Collector to resume the lands of Villages on which arrears of Jodigy are due, the circumstances of each case being duly noted in the ensuing report on the Settlement —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
14th August 1851 — Signed W. H. Bayley Secretary

Read

10875 — Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 105.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

43

Sir

Bo. No. 1788.

I have the honor to sub-
From the Collr
of Salem — 1.
mit in the prescribed form, a Statement
of applications for land on the Shevaroy
Hills intended for Coffee cultivation, which
I beg to recommend for sanction.

In so doing, I have not over-
looked your Board's opinion that it is
Pro: Sept. 7. 48. inadvisable to make grants for this pur-
pose on a small scale. In the instances
to which that opinion would apply,
it will, however, be observed, that the
applicants No. 4 to 8 have taken possession
of the grounds entered in the Statement,
and have sent in official applications for
the usual grant only after their irregularity
had been discovered. They thus lose the benefit of the
remission of teerwah of five years, to which they
would have been entitled had they adopted the
usual and proper course of application.

Salem District Office
Collrs Cutcherry
26th July 1851 — Signed H. D. Phillips
Collector.

Statement

10870

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Extent of Land applied for

14th August 1851

No. 1.

by Individuals for cultivating Coffee on the Shervaroy Hills

Names	Names of Villages	Name of the Field	Total Extent	Estimated value of the lands entered in Col. 5 above at the price of 8 Rupees per acre	Full Jeerwah for the lands cultivated without application.		Amount of Jeerwah	Conditional grant dated 8th Sept. Free for first year lands left waste wards of 20 years		
					Extent.	Jeerwah.		Extent.	Jeerwah.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
			Acres Grs		Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps		Acres Grs	Rs As Ps	
1 Mr. G. Ball and Salem Annachella Achary.	Semmadavoo attached to Mohanadoo.	Coodooroo Poonjah lands Bounded on the East by Shervaroy Hill; on the West by Cumbyanoor near Mr. Orwordi's Garden; on the South by Mr. Bayley's land, and on the North by Coorooroo Cootta Aroo	15 " "		120 " "			15		

Poonjah Bungalow

10870

Fort St. George

Statement Shewing the Extent of Land applied for

14th August 1851

No. 1

by Individuals for cultivating Coffee on the Shervaroy Hills

Names	Names of Villages	Name of the Field	Total Extent	Amount of Teerwah	Estimated value of the lands entered in Col. 5 above at the price of 8 Rupees per acre	Full Teerwah for the lands cultivated without application.		Cowles grant dated 8th Sept. Two free for first year lands left waste wards of 20 years	
						Extent.	Teerwah.	Extent	Teer
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Acres Grs	Rs As P	Rs As P.	Acres Grs	Rs As P.	Acres Grs	
1 Mr. G. Ball and Salem Annachella Mohamadoo Achary.	Sommadavoo	Coodoovoo Poonjah land attached to Shervaroy Hill; on the East by Bounded on the East by near Mr. Orwoods Garden; on the South by Mr. Bayley's land; and on the South by Coorooveecootte Huro	15 " "		120 " "			15	

108

Hills in the Salawe Talu

Nam	as per Board's letter September 1862.	Cowle teerwah according to the Cowleamah of the District to lands of left waste between 15 & 20 years Viz. a 1/4 teerwah the first year 1/2 second year 3/4 third year and full teerwah for the fourth year.	Extent	Teerwah
			11	12 13

Rs A P Acres g as Rs A P

1 Wm [illegible] " " "

10878

Fort St. George

14th August 1851.

Names of Villages	Name of the Field	Total Contents	Amount of Assessment	Estimated Value of the lands entered in Col. 5 at the rate of Rupees per acre	Fasli Year in which the lands cultivated without application	Contents	Fasli Year in which the lands cultivated with application	Contents	Cowles granted Sec.	Tax free for to lands lay upwards of
		Acres Grs	Rs A P	Rs A P		Acres Grs		Acres Grs		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
5 Maliya Gowdah.	Comma = Condoo at = tached to Mootoomaud.	Vella totum Poonjah land bounded on the South by Pandamarum, on the North by Soolacottay, on the West by Semmacandoo, and on the East by Mottoofutty.	" 10 "							21 years, location way to
6 Maniya Gowda.	Do.	Vallatotum Poonjah land bounded on the West by Pulliancomb, on the East by Mootoopauray, on the North by a River, and on the South by Samacadoo.	Do. " 15 "			" 6 "	3 " "	" 15 "	" 6 " " " "	1959 for 6 fasi in case No1. ed in the o to pay the 6 6, 6 mission ex, I the
7 Cawder Saib of Matoota = rowoo.	Vanyatoo caudoo at = tached to Mootanadoo.	Coffee totum Palaupoonjah land bounded on the East by Oorcottay, on the West by Vinnyaroo, on the South by Cawder Saibs land, and on the North by Matooraury Sawmy Coil.	Do. 1 38 "	1 15 2	15 9 7	1 38 "	1 15 2		" " "	

10879

Names.	Rent as per Board's letter dated 8th September 1842	Terms	Extent	Yearly Rent
			11	12
			Acres	Rs A P
5 Ma " " " " " " " "				
Gow				
6 Ma " " " " " " " "				
Gov				
7 Law " " " " " " " "				
of				
Pro				

Names.	Terms	Extent	Yearly Rent
		12	13
		Acres	Rs A P
1			
8 Cochia Gounda			

10880

43.44

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Proceedings thereon—

No. 1585.

The Board of Revenue—

authorize the Grant of the several plots of land applied for in the Statement accompanying the foregoing letter, in cases Nos 4 to 8 inclusive—without any 5 years remission of Seerwah; and in the remaining instances, upon the usual conditions— Under the circumstances stated, they direct that the general rule of competition be not enforced in any of the grants applied for in the present instance—

45

No. 1924—

From the Collector of Salem.

Read letter reporting the non-transmission of the Register of Petitions for the Month of July last—

45.46

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded—

Read the following letter—

No. 65—

47

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

B. No. 1925.

From the Collr

of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of your Board the retirement of Veerattee Row the Sheristadar of Coimbatore on a Pension of Rupees 42 granted by Government in their

10881

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Minute of Consultation under date the 30th

July 1851. No. 200—

Trichinopoly } 1st August 1851 }

Order thereon—

47.48

Signed J. Bird.

Collector—

Ordered to be recorded—

Read the following letter—

No. 55—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

49

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 12th June accompanying

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d May 1851 on the subject of the Examination of the Treasury and of the accounts of the District—

Reporting having carried into effect the orders of Govt regarding the Examination of Revenue Treasuries—

With reference to para 23 I have the honor to report that I have communicated the orders of Government to the European Officers of the District and explained the purport of them to the Sheristadars—

2.

It is now distinctly arranged that on every occasion of a search of the Treasury one of the Sheristadars or the Head Gomastah shall attend to represent the Collector who will be the responsible Officer to the

10880

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

43.44

No. 1585.

Proceedings thereon—

The Board of Revenue—

authorize the Grant of the several plots of land applied for in the Statement accompanying the foregoing Letter, in cases Nos. 4, to 8 inclusive—without any 5 years remission of Jeswah; and in the remaining instances, upon the usual conditions—Under the circumstances stated, they direct that the general rule of competition be not enforced in any of the grants applied for in the present instance.—

45

No. 1924.—

From the Collector of Salem.

Read letter reporting the non-transmission of the Register of Petitions for the Month of July last.—

45.46

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following letter.—

No. 65.—

47

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Rr. No. 1928.

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of your Board the retirement of Vencata Row the Jahsildar of Conaud on a Pension of Rupees 42 granted by Government on their

10881

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th July 1851. No. 200.—

Signed J. Bird.—

Collector.—

Trichinopoly 7th August 1851.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Order thereon.—

47.48

Read the following letter

No. 55.—

To W. H. Bayley Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

49

Rr. No. 1921 From the Collr. of Canara.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 12th June accompanied by an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d May 1851 on the subject of the Examination of the Treasury and of the accounts of the District—

Relative to having carried into effect the orders of Govt. regarding the periodical Examination of Revenue Treasuries.—

W. H. reference to para 23 I have the honor to report that I have communicated the orders of Government to the European Officers of the District and explained the purport of them to the Shirestadars.—

2.

It is now distinctly arranged that on every occasion of a search of the Treasury one of the Shirestadars or the Head Goomastah shall attend in presenting to the officer who is to make the examination the

10887

Fort St. George 14th August 1851.

The accounts of the Treasury &c. to that date, certified under his hand to be correct, and to agree with the remittances received from the Talooks. The examining Officers will also there certify that the amount shown is in the Treasury.

4. I have further directed that a supplemental Account shall be exhibited of all sums if there be any such in deposit in the Treasury not being included in the general Accounts. This will be examined and signed in duplicate and one copy taken by the Sheristadar, the other kept by the Treasurer.

5. I trust that the examining Officers will follow out the instructions of Government and take the opportunity afforded them of examining the Cutcherry Accounts.

6 I further beg to state to the Board that with reference to their Circular order of the 14th November 1850 I have directed that on each occasion of an Officer examining a Talook Treasury, he shall call upon the

10888

Fort St. George the 22nd August 1851.

To

The General Accounts will be examination they will be forwarded to

after the signed by him and forwarded to

the Sheristadar Cutcherry for

examination

to forward at the same

from a copy of his

date of the Sheristadar Cutcherry to compare this

with his account

7. Sheristadars

the letter that a copy of the

would be Havaldar

Ordered

Secretaries and Ags

10884 Fort St. George 14th. August 1851.

every Month he sent to the Hoozoor, but I find that this would exact a degree of labour which I cannot impose upon the present establishments. I think however that it is desirable that this should be done at the expense of an Assistant Commissioner to each Taluck on a salary of 5 Rupees.—

Collector's Cutcherry, Mangalore, 1st. August 1851. } Signed T. S. Malthy. Collector.

Order thereon.

(49 50)

Ordered to lie over.—

Read.

10885 Fort St. George. 14th. August 1851.

Read the following letter.

No. 75

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(51)

B. No. 1957.
From the Collector of Madras. } Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your circular letter under date the 31st Ultimo and to observe that the Form therein enclosed does not appear to apply to the Presidency Collectorate. The necessary Statements are not, in consequence, submitted from this Office.

Madras, 12th August, 1851. } /Signed/ J. A. Huddleston Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

Read the following Memorandum.

B. No. 1920.
From the Malayalum Translator to Government. }

(53)

Memorandum.

The Malayalum Translator to Government has directed his Moonshee to attend at the Office of the Board of Revenue on Monday the 11th Instant for the purpose of translating the Malayalum Petition referred to in the Sub Secretary's Memorandum of the 7th Instant received this day.

August 9th, 1851. /Signed/ A. J. Arbuthnot Malayalum Translator to Government.

Ordered.

1886 — Fort St. George, 4th August 1851.

5387

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

55

B. No. 1579.

To all Collectors (except the Collectors of Chingleput, Bellary, & Sea Custom Madras and Tinnevelly) & Copy to Commissioner Northern Circars

Resolved that the following Circular be despatched —

Circular.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to their 28th January 1850 page 508 — 5th September 1850 page 537 — 21st November 1850 page 540 — Balance Statement to 1st July 1851. together with the Memorandum which should accompany it may be despatched as early as possible —

2. You are aware that until all the Statements from the Provinces reach this Office the Board are unable to submit their Annual General Report to Government and as that authority is desirous of receiving the report as soon after the close of the Fasly as possible the Board have directed me to remind you that your Statement must

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 4th August, 1851. — } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley, Secretary.

1887 — Fort St. George, 4th August 1851.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched —

56

B. No. 1574.

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot —

To, The Acting Collector of North Arcot —

Sir,

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot — } Referring to your Endorsement on Petition 445 of 1851 detailing the division of the Mongars Mannium in Conady Coopum, the Board wish to learn upon what grounds Ragavareddy was placed in possession of the 1/2 share of Poonjah No. 4 the moiety of which appears not to have been held by Desavi who it is stated entered into possession of his share, and to whom Ragavareddy succeeded.

2. It appears to the Board, as now informed, that Ragavareddy was entitled only to the share of the field as Poonjah, and not to the improvements made in it by Petitioners, and therefore should receive a moiety of the dry teerwah only, but on this point they await your further opinion —

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 4th August, 1851. — } /Signed/ W. H. Bayley, Secretary.

No. 1941.

From the Agent to the Governor at Hurnoor — } List of letters addressed to

57

Read the undermentioned Lists —

1888. Fort St George 14th August 1857.

58 — To the Board of Revenue and remainder answered up to 30th June last.

No 1942 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — List of letters

57-59 — received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists — Ordered to be recorded

No 1935 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — Road Register of

60 — Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred

60-61 — by the Board for the quarter ending 30th June last.

Order thereon — Ordered to be lodged in the Office

62 — No 1912 — From the Civil Auditor — Statement of the Contingent

63 — No 1913 — charges incurred for the Month of March last

From the Civil Auditor — Statement of the Contingent

64 — No 1914 — charges incurred for the Month of April last.

From the Civil Auditor — Statement of the Contingent

65 — No 1915 — charges incurred for the Month of May last.

From the Civil Auditor — Statement of the Contingent

66 — No 1916 — charges incurred for the Month of June last

From the Civil Auditor — Statement of the Contingent

67 — No 1848 — charges incurred for the Month of July last

From the Sub Treasurer — Statement of the Contingent

68 — No 1917 — charges for the Month of June last.

From the Superintendent of Ramjis — Statement of the Contingent

1889 — Fort St George 14th August 1857.

Contingent charges for the Month of July

62-69 — last.

Order on the abovementioned Statements — Resolved that the

necessary orders be passed.

Received the undermentioned

70 — Miscellaneous letters and Statements —

No 942 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — Return of fines im-

posed and stoppages made from the

pay of the public servants for 1st Quarter

71 — ending 31st March last.

No 935 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — Return of alterations

that have occurred in the list of fines and

72 — stoppages for 1st Quarter ending 31st March last.

No 940 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — Return of fines and

stoppages made from the pay of the Pub-

lic Servants for 2d Quarter ending 30th June

73 — last.

No 944 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — List of Periodical

74 — Statements forwarded to the Board of

Revenue —

No 948 — From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool — Reporting the non

transmission

10890- Fort St. George, 12th August 1851-

transmission of certain Returns for 1st quarter ending 31st March and 2d quarter ending 30th June and for the Months of April. May and June last-

75
No. 925
From the Collector of Chingleput- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July 1851-

76
No. 926
From the Collector of Chingleput- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last-

77
No. 936
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool- Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last-

78
No. 943
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool- Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last-

79
No. 937-
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool- Return of persons convicted and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last-

80
No. 938-
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool- Return of Village servants

10891- Fort St. George 14th August 1851-

servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Months of May last-

81
No. 939-
From the Agent to the Governor at Kurnool- Return of Village servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last-

82
No. 947-
From the Collector of Madura- Reporting that certain Returns were not sent for the Month of July last-

83
No. 948-
From the Collector of Vizagapatam- Requesting for supply of 50 Printed letters of advice-

84
No. 923-
From the Collector of Malabar- Requesting to be supplied with blank forms of Bills of Exchange and Letters of advice for the use of his District-

85
No. 934-
From the Collector of Malabar- Requesting to be supplied with 3000 Salt-Rowanahs-

8386
Order on the 3 abovementioned letters- Ordered to be supplied accordingly-

87
No. 933-
From the Collector of Madras- Acknowledging the receipt of the 1000 Salt-Rowanahs- Indent-

10695 Fort St. George 11th August 1831

Bd. No. 1563

Resolution thereon

1-2

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar, with reference to his letter dated 30th June 1831.

3

Bt. No. 1862.

From the Secretary to Govt. Dated 31st July 1831. Read Extract proceedings upon observations upon the enquiries of the Commissioner Northern Circars on the abuses and Corruptions in the Masulipatam District - Ordering the removal of the Collector and his assistant and appointing Messrs. Lushington and Bird to take charge, also directing that all implicated in these transactions, be prosecuted rigorously -

3-4

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded. -

Read the following Extract

Extract

10696 Fort St. George 11th August 1831.

No. 763.

Bd. No. 1812

From the Secretary to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 31st July, 1831.

Read the following letter -

5

To, No. 404

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to Government

Fort St. George -

Dated the 4th July 1831.

Sir,

I am directed in reply to your letter No. 591 dated the 17th ultimo to convey the sanction of the President in Council to the assignment to certain Village Officers in the Madura District of Poonjah Lands to the extent of Cunnies 118-9 assessed at Rupees 242-8-6.

Fort William, The 4th July 1831.

(Signed) A.R. Young

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings dated 6th March 1831. No. 114 paras 4 and 5.

5-6

Bd. No. 1573

(Signed) T. Pycroft

Secretary to Government -

Order thereon -

Ordered that the foregoing papers be communicated to the Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th June 1831.

Read

10697 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

No. 1872

From the Secretary to Govt. Dated 5th August 1851. Read Extract letter requesting the Commissioner Northern Circars to furnish further information respecting the Amitalapoody Mootah

7

7-8

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Memo:

9

Memorandum.

Bd. No. 1752

From the Commissioner Northern Circars

The Commissioner has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to be good as to forward a Copy of the last orders of Government, respecting the misappropriation of religious Endowments, and the course to be pursued in the case of Complaints under Regulation VII of 1817.

Masulipatam, Commissioner's Camp, 23d July, 1851. - - -

(Signed) Walter Elliot

Commissioner.

Ordered that the following Memo: be sent in reply.

9-10

Official Memo.

Bd. No. 1564

To the Commissioner Northern Circars

In Cons 11th Augt. 1851.

In reply to the Official Memo of the Commissioner Northern Circars dated the 23d July 1851. the

10690 Fort St. George 11th August 1851.

the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to state that it does not appear that any order of Government has been communicated to this Office pointing out the course to be taken respecting the misappropriation of Pagoda property of a later date than 17th July 1848 contained in Page 466 of the Board's Circular Orders, in reference to which the practice since prevailing is believed to have been for the Collector, if he sees necessity to investigate Charges of malversation or fraud, under Regulation VII of 1817 and in his Capacity of Magistrate, to take cognizance of the facts.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th August, 1851. - - -

(Signed) W. H. Bayley

Secretary.

Read the following letter.

No. 113

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Sir,

11

Bd. No. 1701

From the Collector of Ganjam - - -

Submitting his sentiments on the

Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract Proceedings Board of Revenue dated the

76 Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 921
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

77 Abstract of Demand Col.: lection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.
Ms N. 907
From the Coll.r of Coimbatore -

78 Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 922
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

79 Statement shewing the average Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt from 1st to 11th July last.
From the Coll.r of Nellore

80 Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent from 16th to 23d July last.
Ms N. 911
From the Coll.r of Coimba: tore

81 Return of persons Sus: pended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.
Ms N. 920.
From the Coll.r of Canara

82 Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 912.
From the Coll.r of Coim: batore

Stating that certain returns were not forwarded for the Month of June last.

From

Ms N. 914
From the Coll.r of Nellore

83 Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Re: gulation VI of 1831 for the Month of June last.

84 Statement of smuggled To: bacco recommended for confiscation for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 804
From the Coll.r of Canara.

85 Statement of smug: gled Tobacco recommended for con: fiscation for the Month of May last.
Ms N. 799
From the Coll.r of Malabar

84. 86 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements - Ordered that the Confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled Tobacco enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.

87 Acknowledging the receipt of 3000 Salt Rowan: nahs:
Ms N. 925
From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

88 Acknowledging the receipt of Salt Rowannahs.
Ms N. 916.
From the Coll.r of Nellore -

89 Acknowledging the receipt of Salt — Rowannahs.
Ms N. 917
From the Coll.r of Cuddapah -

90 Requesting for fur: ther supply of 1000 Salt Row: annahs
Ms N. 926.
From the Coll.r of Madras -

ordered

Fort St. George 7th August 1851.

90.91

Order thereon

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

M.R. No. 882.

From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for a counter and 3 Stools for the use of the General Treasury.—

92

92.93

Order thereon

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

Comp. D. Gill

" J Goldingham

" J Blane

Fort St. George 11th August 1851

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 11th August 1851 } J. Goldingham Esqre. Second Member and J. L. Blane Esqre. Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Senior Member Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Resolution.

1

Bd. 8 Jan. 1800

From the Secretary to Government.

8 Jan. 51.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 29th July, 1851.

From Board 17th July

Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing Proceedings the Right Honorable the Governor in Council agrees with them that it is not expedient to reduce the frontier Chowkies in Malabar.—

Signed J. Rycroft

Secretary to Government

Resolved

Seven Sheets

10892 Fort St. George, 14th August 1857.

No. N. 928.

From the Accountant General: Indent on the Commissary General for Sundries required for
88 the Military Department of his Office for
88.89 the Month of August 1857.

Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.

Comp.d D. Gib.

" Woldenyham