BIR

Vol. 2016

52

18-5-15
14/2/28 11

1 D25/76

11/96/R
8/4/56 RH

2216

6-5-57
9-4-58
7-8-59
27-4-60

15703. Fort St. George — November 1849.

Diary

29th October.

(1) Received the following Statements.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 20th October 1849.

Name of the Port.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported up to 20th October 1849	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remark.
	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	
Coringa as per last report	72,500				
Add further quantity to meet the proposals since received	2,500				
	75,000	66,500	8,500	8,500	

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 22d October, 1849.

(Signed) T. Prendergast. Collector.

15703. Fort St. George — November 1849.

Diary

29th October.

(1)

Received the following Statements.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 20th October 1849.

Name of the Port.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported up to 20th October 1849	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remark.
	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	
Corringa as per last report	72,500				
Add further quantity to meet the proposals since received	2,500				
	75,000	66,500	8,500	8,500	

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 22nd October, 1849.

(Signed) T. Prendergast. Collector.

15703. Fort St. George in November 1849.

Diary

29th October.

Received the following Statements.

(1)

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 20th October 1849.

Name of the Port.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported upto 20th October 1849	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remark.
	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	
Coringa as per last report	72,500				
Add further quantity to meet the proposals since received	2,500				
	75,000	66,500	8500	8500	

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 22d October, 1849.

(Signed) T. Prendergast.
Collector.

15703. Fort St. George — November 1849.

Diary

29th October.

(1)

Received the following Statements.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation to Calcutta at the undermentioned Port on the 20th October 1849.

Name of the Port.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported since 20th October 1849	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	Mds.	
Coringa as per last report	72,500				
Add further quantity to meet the proposals since received	2,500				
	75,000	66,500	8,500	8,500	

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 22d October, 1849.

(Signed) T. Brendergast.
Collector.

15705 Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.

J. Goldingham Esqre Third Member

Thursday, 1st. November, 1849. } *Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member*
Absent on duty as Commsr. Wn. Circar.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract.

4

From the Secretary to Government Communicating observations and orders on the Board's relative to the Settlement of land Revenue in the District of South Arcot for Fusly 1257. } *Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 2d. October, 1849. No. 940.*

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of South Arcot. (Here enter 20th August 1849 No. 348)

Para. 1. *The season of Fusly 1257 in South Arcot seems to have been a very favorable one and this circumstance together with the encouragement held out by the high prices of previous years has caused a Net Increase in the "Demand" as compared with Fusly 1256. of Rupees 35,202. whilst it is to be remembered that Fusly 1256 itself shewed a Net Increase over the year preceding amounting to no less than 40,899 Rupees.*

The

15704. Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

(2) *Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt available in store and ready to be exported in the District of Nellore as on 20th October 1849.*

No.	Places at which Salt is stored and ready to be exported.	Quantity of Salt available.	Quantity remaining stored and ready to be exported.	Quantity actually in store and ready to be exported.
1	Extapully	35000	25218. 20	25218. 20
1	Kristnapatam	25000	9781. 20	9781. 20
		60000	35000 "	24000 "
			20218. 20	33781. 20

Indian Revenue Department.

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry (Signed) D. White
22d. October, 1849. Co. Collector.

(1-3) Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

2. The following abstracts will shew the differences between Fuslies 1256 and 1257 under their severab items:-

	1256.	1257.	Differences.	
Land Revenue.	Rs.	Rs.		
Dry land	12,98,994	12,96,407	2,587	
Wet land	11,83,287	12,47,181	63,894	
Garden Do.	26,371	23,478	2,893	
	25,08,052	25,67,066	58,414	
Assessor	66,089	69,276	2,587	
	25,75,341	26,36,342	61,001	
Add				
Tree Tax, Fishrent, Enam cultivation, &c.	29,934	31,771		* See para 11.
Shrotriums	21,548	21,729		
Jahry Mootahs	12,118	12,118		
Peishcush	945	945		
Rented Villages	31,956	30,180		
Total	96,501	96,743		
	26,71,842	27,33,085	61,243	
Deduct.				
Minha, Remissins of Cowle and Well cultivation	34,784	35,641		
Curnums, Monigars and village Servants	199,513	206,697		
Total	234,297	242,338		
Net Revenue	24,37,544	24,90,747	53,202	

The

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

3. The prices of grain fell considerably in the year under review and the consequence has been that a difficulty was experienced in making the Collections within the Fusly, at the expiration of which the balance was 3,12,734 Rupees, but by January (1849) it was diminished to 23,025, and by the end of May to 12,030 the remarks of the Board on this subject require the serious consideration of the Collector.

4. The Collector (in para 8) refers to the fact of the Indigo cultivation being one of the great resources in this District, and it is observed that in this fusly of 1257, the cultivation has been reduced 47 per Cent. This appears to be owing to the great reaction that has taken place with regard to foreign trade, as noticed in the Report on the "Extra Sources."

5. There was a considerable falling off in 9 Talooks out of the 13 in the Pooryah (or Dry) cultivation whilst in the Talooks of Mullacoorchy and Verdachellum, a great increase took place, thus reducing the Net decrease in Pooryah to 962¼ Cawnies.

The

6. The cause of this diminution in part of the District and its great increase in another is by no means clearly accounted for in para 15 of the Collector's Report, and as he lays so much stress (in para 16) on the exorbitant rate of Ponjee teerwa, the Board of Revenue might reasonably have expected that he would have remarked on the increased cultivation of Dry land in 2 Talooks assessed above the average rate. The Sub Collector (in whose division these Talooks are situated) does not notice the subject at all in his Report.

7. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not prepared to offer any observation on the rates of Poonjah land in South Arcot as compared with other Districts as the subject is one that must be treated of in another place.

8. The Wet cultivation in South Arcot shews a net increase of 7,882½ Cawnies (or 63,894½ Rupees) above Fusly 1256, whilst in that fusly the wet cultivation

cultivation was 25 per Cent more than it was in 1255.

9. It is justly remarked in para 4 of the Boards' Proceedings that considering the great outlay for works of Irrigation in the Talooks of Chellumbrum and Manargoody a large proportion of the above mentioned increase might have been expected in those 2 Talooks, whereas the extent of wet cultivation has rather diminished there in the fusly under review, and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council cannot consider the result of such works as the lower Coleroon and Vuddavar Anicuts at all satisfactory when it is observed from No. 3 of enclosure A in the Collector's Report that in fusly 1248, when the first of those works was just completed and the other not commenced, the Nunjie cultivation of these Talooks was nearly as great it is now.

	Cawnies	Rupees
1248	24,062½	2,52,022
1249	25,327½	2,58,514
Difference	665	6491
Increase	27 per Cent	2. 56. per Cent

10. There is another fact connected with these Talooks which has engaged the attention of the Board, namely,

namely the increase of "Huzoor" or extra Tax levied on Dry lands when irrigated by Circar water and producing Nunjee crops. In the two Talooks above alluded to, it has increased as per margin, and taking the whole District it has increased from Rs. 10,842 in Fusly 1246 to 60,394 in 1257; no doubt this occurs as the Collector remarks from improvement in the irrigation of the District. It is evidently more profitable to the Ryot to take up land classed as Poonjey and raise a Nunjee crop by paying an Extra 6 Rupees a Cawnie*, than to cultivate Nunjee land at once. It might be as well to ascertain the average teerwa of the Dry lands taken up, and whether the supply of water is permanent, in which case the land is to all intents and purposes Nunjee, but the Right Honorable the Governor is desirous of obtaining complete information on this subject before proposing any alteration in the Survey Accounts.

	1246.	1257.
Mannargody-	1,270 -	10,345
Chellumbrum	2,187 -	24,336.
	3,457 -	34,681

From

* Huzoor to the amount of 6,510 Rs. is also levied under other circumstances not alluded to in this para.

* The average teerwa of the cultivated Dry land in South Arcot is Rs. 3—11—3 per Cawney. (Margin para 16. Collectors Report.)

11. From the total Demand on the Land including Tree tax, Fish Rents, Shrotriums &c., Amounting to Rupees 27,33,085. there are Deductions Amounting to 2,42,338 which require no remark except that the allowance remitted to Brahmins and Muhsulmans 25,128 seems disproportionately high in comparison with North Arcot where it amounts to 15,578 and as it is an item subject to much abuse, the attention of the Collector should be drawn to it.

	Fusly 1257 Rupees
Fish Rents	7,277
Tree Rents	10,657
Enam cultivation	7,010
Orchards	1,677
Quit Rent	1,420
Manool Muzzur	2,730
	31,771

12. It is also observed from para 17 of the Collector's Report that the small sum of 179—2—3 was struck off the Demand as being the tax on land where the crops were destroyed &c. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that these items as is usual elsewhere should be entered first in the Demand and then deducted under the head of "Remissions" with the requisite explanations.—

13. As the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819.

Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the writing off to profit and loss the respective old Balances of /637—11/ Rupees Six hundred and thirty-seven and Pice eleven /100—1—2/ Rupees One hundred, Annas four, and Pice two; and /126—7—9/ Rupees One hundred and twenty Six, Annas Seven and Pice nine, but with regard to the latter outstanding balances, he observes that the reasons Mr. Davis gives in para: 26 for not pressing their collection have by no means answered the purpose proposed which was to expedite the current collections. So far from this being the case no less a Sum than 3,12,734 Rupees stood uncollected at the end of the fusly.

14. An abstract of the Settlement in every branch is exhibited in the margin.

	1256	1257.
Land Revenue	24,37,544	24,90,746
Salt	2,55,470	1,99,371
Sayer	49,431	34,133
Abkarry	73,250	83,730
Sea Customs	62,915	40,332
Licenses	3,905	4,040
Moturpha	41,576	39,216
Stamps	8,853	14,090
	29,32,945	29,01,658

15. With regard to the correspondence adverted

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819.

adverted to in the 11th para of the Board's Proceedings, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is at a loss to understand the unwillingness of Mr. Davis to afford information, It appears proper in nature to enforce a Registry of land in the 2 Southern Talooks as "one crop" and "Two crop", since the effects of the works of Irrigation are not yet fully developed. and more especially as there are no regular Survey Assessment Accounts of those Talooks; but there ought indubitably to be a detailed account shewing what lands have been cultivated with two crops, and what is the "Pymash teerwa on those lands". This might be done without registering them in the Chitta as "two crop" and thus obliging the Ryot to raise a 2d. crop, or prove a deficiency of water.

Vide his letters 3d. August 1817 and 30th. October 1818.

16. With reference to the other propositions made in para 11 of the Board's proceedings, the Right Honorable the Governor fully concurs in the propriety of levying a "Fasul Jastee" on all one crop lands on which a 2d. crop has been raised

raised by Circar water as well as the equity of making a remission on "two crop" land where the water has really failed, but would postpone the proposition to alter any land now registered in the Cuttla as "one crop". into "two crop," as being a measure requiring more extensive and detailed revision than there are at present means for. With regard to lands entered as "doubtful two crop" he is of opinion that the assessment should neither be increased if a 2d. crop is raised nor decreased if a 2d. crop is not raised under any circumstances.

17. The Board of Revenue will observe that the Minutes of Consultation quoted by them (16 May 1837) have no reference to the case of altering the present Assessment Registers by classing "one crop" land as "two crop" where it has yielded two crops, but only to the cases of "Fusul faslee," and Remission on "two crop" land.

18. Notwithstanding the increase of Revenue in last years in South

South Arcot, the District would appear capable of yielding further Revenue unless as has been often urged, the Assessment in it: regular and disproportionate to the productive capabilities of some of the Talooks. Statement A forwarded with the Collectors Report shews that 65 per Cent of the Cultivable Circar land is lying waste. The Abstract in the margin is compiled from the above Statement A.

		Cawnies
Total Land		22,17,057
Deduct Perumboke		8,17,194
		13,99,863
Add reclaimed Perumboke		57,038
Total cultivable		14,56,201
Deduct Enams	Poonjee 44,378 / Nunjee 17,365	61,743
Circar cultivable		13,94,458
Cultivated in fusly 1257		4,85,927
Waste		9,08,531*

* Of this — Poonjee 8,04,400 / Nunjee 4,04,131 = 9,08,531

(A True Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To, The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

5

Order thereon;

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 20th August last.

Ordered

Ordered also that the follow:
:ing letter be addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

In the concluding part of Para 16 Extract Minutes consultation dated 2d. October 1849, relative to the Settle:
:ment of the Land Revenue in the District of South Arcot in Fusly 1257 (1847/48) the Government are pleased to observe with regard to lands classed as "doubtful third crop" that the Assessment should "neither be increased if a 2d. crop is raised nor decreased if a 2d. crop is not raised under any circumstances." In review:
:ing the settlement, the retention of this head in the accounts appeared to the Board not only likely to affect the Revenue by affording an opening for the cultivation of a 2d. crop in lands bearing a low assessment without any additional benefit to Government, but as calculated also

4-6

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Containing explanation on the subject of the instructions issued to the Collector of South Arcot Regarding the head opened in his settlement Accounts "doubtful Two crop land" and the revision of the assessment on single crop lands by transferring them to two crops and desiring to be informed whether certain remarks of Government apply to the case of doubtful two crop land.—

Dr. Coms. 1st Nov. 1849.

also to keep out of cultivation lands highly assessed on which one crop only is ordi:
:narily raised. With this view they were desirous that all lands of the description above stated should be at once placed under distinct and appropriate heads, and in carrying out the arrangement the Collector was instructed to take as his guide the Hooloos and the old accounts, and where these may not be forthcoming he was told that the position, soil and irrigation of the land, as compared with those of adjoining Fields of similar quality, should determine under what head the land should be placed. The instructi:
:ons of the Board also embraced the re:
:vision of the Assessment of single crop lands in certain circumstances, by trans:
:ferring such lands to two crops.—

2. The arrangement as — directed by the Board carefully carried out would, they think, be very beneficial, but as regards the transfer of "one crop" to "two crop" in the cases stated, the Government are

See note at the foot of the Form of Statement which accompanied the Proceedings of the Board to the Collector on the Settlement for Fusly 1257 Copy of which is herewith submitted.

are of opinion that the measure should be postponed as requiring more extensive and detailed revision than there are at present means for. It does not appear that this remark is intended to apply to the case of "doubtful two crop" also - If this inference is correct I am directed to bring the proposition again under the consideration of Government and to recommend under the explanation now afforded that the Collector be required to submit accounts for all doubtful lands in the Form prescribed preparatory to final orders being issued on the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st. November, 1849.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

7

From the Secretary to Government Stating that the validity of the adoption of the child Veerasawmy Naick by the Mootadar.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, Under date the 16th. October, 1849 - No. 988 -

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 27th. September 1849. No. 422)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in

DS.

Mootahdar of Chinnama Naick pollium in South Arcot should be left for the decision of the Courts of Law & concurring in the opinion that the Estate should not be taken under management of the Court of Wards.

in Council considers that the validity of the adoption of the Child Veerasawmy Naick should be left for the decision of the Courts of Law and he therefore declines passing any orders regarding it. The Estate being now under the management of the Collector for arrears will continue so till those arrears are recovered. In the meantime the parties opposing the claim of the Widow of the deceased and consequently the adoption which she upholds, as well as the widow herself, should be referred to the Civil Court and informed that the removal of the attachment and the registry of the Estate will be stayed after the realization of all arrears till the Court decide on the succession or direct one of the parties to be placed in possession, or if no suit shall have been filed at the period the Estate shall become clear or within 6 Months from the date of the decease of the last holder, if the arrear shall be earlier liquidated, that Registry will be made in the name of the Widow and possession given to her as the presumptive heir.

The

2 The Governor in Council agrees with the Board that if a decision of the Court were in favor of the child Veerasawmy Pauck it would not be advisable for the Court of Wards to undertake the Management of the Estate.

(A true Extract)

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley

Acting Secretary to Government

(7-8)

Order thereon. Resolved that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 31st August last.

Jafnos 27th Sept. 1849.

(9)

From the Aff Secy to Government — Communicating letter from the Govern: ment of India sanc: tioning as a special case the continuance to the family of Sashaloo deen of Rs. 23 Apt 13 per annum retrospectively from the 6th March last being a moiety of the Rusoom enjoyed by him in the Histnagherry

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 19th October, 1849 — No. 1005 —

Read the following letter

To

Sir H. le. Montgomery Bart

Secretary to Government of

Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 857 dated the 8th Instant

Histnagherry Talook of the Salem District, and intimating that it should be distinctly explained to the family that the grant will lapse entirely upon the death of the Widow in whose name the grant is to be continued.

Instant with enclosures and to state that under the peculiar circumstances of the case the President in Council is pleased to sanction as a special grant the continuance to the family of Shahaloo deen of Rupees 23 — 13 co. per annum retrospectively from the 6th March last being a moiety of the Rusoom enjoyed by that person in the Histnagherry Talook of the Salem District. It should however be distinctly explained to the family that the Grant will lapse entirely upon the death of the Widow in whose* name the portion of it now sanctioned will be continued.

* Sathee

The original enclosures of your letter are returned.

Fort William,
The 29th September, 1849.

/Signed/ W. Grey

Under Secretary to Government of India.

No. 1.

To F. J. Halliday Esqre

Secretary to the Government of India

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will

Fort St George 1st November 1849.

20th August 1849 No. 374 with 6 original Enclos:

will bring under the consideration of the Government of India the accompanying communication from the Board of Revenue submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Salem on the subject of a lapsed Roosoom of Rupees 47-10-0 per annum held by the late Shahaboodeen in the Rastnaghery Talook of that District.

2. It will be seen from an Extract para 11 of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th Junnary last No. 102 which is also furnished that the Roossoums paid from the Salem Treasury are charitable grants, having been originally conferred by the Nabob of Cudalapah for the maintenance of the Grantees in the shape of a privilege to collect a certain * amount of fee on Grain and other articles brought for sale to the Sayer Chowkies of the Talook. On the assumption of the Country these petty exactions were abolished and a fixed money payment from the Public Treasury substituted for which the Roomindar received

* 2 Cash per Bullock load.

Fort St George 1st November 1849.

received a Takeed to the Tahsildar from Colonel Reid then employed in the settlement of the District.

3. It would however appear that the terms* employed in this Takeed, a Copy and translation of which accompany, have been construed as a grant in perpetuity and have generally been considered by Collectors as sufficient authority for the continuance of the Roossoums to the descendants of the original Grantees, without a reference to the Board of Revenue or the Government for sanction. Under this Mistaken view of the authority conveyed in Colonel Reids' Takeed, which was only lately corrected on a review of the retrenchments from the Collectors accounts, most of these Roossoums have been renewed at the pleasure of the local Officers in favor of the sons and grand sons of the original grantees, a practice which has hitherto continued unchecked in consequence of the imperfect system of audit obtaining to a late period in the Civil Auditors' Office,

as

* the Roossoom allowed to Augee Ahmed Kadry was on enquiry found to be granted in perpetuity."

as already brought to the notice of the Government of India in the application submitted through the Public Department for an increase to the Establishment of that Office.

4 In the case under reference I am directed to observe that the Poossoom + is stated by the Collector to have been originally granted in charity to Sujee Ahmed Shaha Kadry by the Nabob of Cudalapah and on the assumption of the Country was commuted by Colonel Reid in the year 1800 to a money allowance of Rupees 47-10-- per annum to the Grantees son ally Shah Kadry. On the demise of this individual it was continued without authority to his son Shahaboodeen whose death is now reported, the allowance having thus been enjoyed for three generations. Both the Collector and the Board of Revenue recommend the renewal of the full amount of the Poossoom in consideration of the large and young family left by the deceased, but adverting to the nature of the grant

+ A Doogaunnee per Gunny bag brought to the Sayer Chowkey of Jagadavoo as per Colonel Reids Sakeed.

grant which is purely charitable and to the injunctions of the Honorable Court for the gradual extinction of pensions not strictly hereditary as well as to the abolition of the Sayer, the source whence the Poossoom was originally derived, the Governor in Council would propose the continuance of one half of the Poossoom or Rupees 23-13-- per annum which with the Ryrati Enams in the possession of the family valued at Rupees 43-11-7, he considers will be a sufficient provision, and should this be approved he requests that authority may be granted for its payment in arrear from the 6th March 1849 the date of lapse in the name of Sailee the widow of the deceased Poosoondar for her life.

Fort St George,
8th September, 1849.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Acting Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue together with the letter to which it is a reply

reply, with reference to the Extract from their Proceedings dated 20th August 1849 No. 374, and also to the Offices of Account and Audit -

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secy. to Govt.

(9-10)

Order thereon - Resolved that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the papers which accompanied it together with copy of the Board's Proceedings dated 20th August last be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem, in reference to his letter dated 1st idem -

In Lres 20th Augt. 1849.

Read the following Resolution -

(11)

From the Ag. Secy. to Government - Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 20th October, 1849 - No. 244 -

From Board 8th October)

Resolved that a revised Certificate for a reduced pension of Rupees 6-0-0 per Mensem be issued to the family of the late Mr. Goodman in lieu of the Certificate returned with the foregoing letter.

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secretary to Govt.

(11-12)

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded - Read

D.G.

Read the following Extracts -

(13)

From the Ag. Secretary to Government - Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 23d October 1849 - No. 7012 -

Declining to sanction the expenditure on the part of Government for the repairs of the dwelling House of the Zemindar of Ramachendra-pooram but authorizing the Collector of Rajahmundry to advance Rupees 1200 to be recovered from the Zemindar's allowance if he wishes it and directing that the rights of Government be asserted to the buildings within the Fort in the event of his Creditors putting forth pretensions -

Read the following letter -

from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue -

(Here enter 5th July 1849 - No. 2971)

Para 1. Under the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in the above letter, and with reference to orders of the Honorable Court of Directors conveyed in Para 34 of their Revenue letter dated 31st January 1849 No. 11 prohibiting the grant of allowances to dispossessed Zemindars - the Right Honorable the Governor in Council feels himself precluded from sanctioning the expenditure on the part of Government for the repairs of the dwelling house of the family of the late Zemindar of Ramachendrapooram - As however expectations may have been raised in the Zemindar by the enquiry which has been made into the state of the buildings and by the Estimates for their repair that some assistance would be afforded him to put his dwelling in decent and habitable order, - the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Rajahmundry to advance to the Zemindar for this purpose, if he wishes it, the sum of 1200 Rupees to be recoverable

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

recoverable from his allowance by 12 Monthly Instalments.—

2. The Governor in Council agrees with the Board that the Government officers should assist the Zemindar to the best of their power by asserting the rights of Government to the buildings within the Fort in the event of any pretensions being put forward to them by his Creditors—He is of opinion that as the Fort &c constituting the place of residence of the Zemindar are "appurtenances" of the Zemindary and pass with it without specification a plea to this effect would be successful against any claims of Creditors.—

(A True Extract)
(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Actg. Secretary to Government—

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(13 - 14)

Order thereon.—

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to his letter dated 24th May last.—

In Cons. 5th July 1849—

Extract

(15)

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

From the Actg Secy to Government—

Calling for further information respecting the lands proposed to be granted in Endowment of the Choultry built by Appoo Row Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th October 1849—No. 1018—

Read the following letter.—

from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 24th September 1849 No. 421)

Para 1. Before sanctioning the proposed grant of a Choultry Enam to Appoo Row the Head Sheristadar of Cuddapah, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is desirous to obtain further particulars such indeed as should have been afforded by the Collector.—

2. The Sheristadar in his Urzee states that the only portion of land remaining waste at Bakarapett is unfit and useless Ayacut Bunjer—The extent of Lak Bunjer as exhibited in the Village accounts should be shewn, and, what portion of it has been under cultivation within the last 3 years.—

3. The Village of "China Chowk" is stated to be "only a short distance" from the Choultry—It is required to know what this distance is, as also its distance from the Town of Cuddapah.—

4 The Collector should also be called upon to explain why the lands adjoining those that are asked for are liable to a Peerwajasteo of 1-3-0 whilst the general rate is 3 Pagodas whether

15730

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

whether the former favorable rate is only a temporary one for lands taken on Cowle, or if it is Perpetual, and whether if an ordinary Ryot were to take up such land he would be charged Peerwajasteé at the lower rate the Board of Revenue will also inform Government if the rate of 3 Pagodas received their sanction as a general rule for the District.—

3. It is also considered desirable to know for what length of time the land proposed to be granted as Enam for the Choultry has been waste who was the last occupant and why it was given up—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

(15-16)

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secretary to Government

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 12th Ultimo.—

2. Mr. Cochrane is requested to furnish information on the several points noticed by Government in Paras 2 & 3 of the above Minutes of Consultation.—

(17)

From the Ag. Secretary to Government.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 25th October 1849 No. 1019.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 8th October 1849 No. 2342)

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor in

15731

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

in Council sanctions the purchase on account of Government of the three Mootahs in the Chingleput District named in the Margin, which were brought to

1. Tencarcanay Rupees 420—"—"
2. Prayemcoopsum —"— 150—"—"
3. Cottayoor —"— 850—"—"
Rupees 1420—"—"

sale for arrears of Revenue for the sum of Rupees one thousand four hundred and twenty—

2. The arrears for which these Estates have been sold comprize the whole of the Peishcush for fusly 1258 besides Interest and sibbendy but it does not appear under what circumstances, no portion of the Peishcush has been realized—

It is presumed that the Peishcush of Estates is leviable in the Chingleput District as in all others under defined instalments, and that under Regulation XXVII of 1802 such Estates are liable to attachment on the failure of the first Kist the Governor in Council desires to be informed what precautions were taken for the security of the Government revenue in the instances noticed.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Ag. Secretary to Government.—

(17-18)

Order thereon—

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput.—

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Chinglepat with reference to his letter dated 1st ultimo.—

2. Mr. Freese will be pleased to furnish information on the points noticed by Government in Para 2 of the above Extract from Minutes of Consultation.—

(19)

From the Ag. Secretary to Government — } Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors Dated 18th July 1849 — No. 15 —

6. Instructions as to the disposal of certain hidden treasure deposited in the custody of the Principal Sudder ameen of Chinglepat —

Para 9 The amount in question is not stated but seems to be of in considerable value —

We approve of your having directed that it should be made over to the respective finders. —

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 26th October 1849 No. 701

Para 6. Will be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter dated 26th June 1848 No. 328

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Ag Secretary to Govt

(19-20)

Order thereon —

Ordered to be recorded. —

Read the following Resolution

(21)

From the Ag Secy. to Government — } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 26th October 1849. No. 1021

(From Board 11th October 1849)

Resolved that the Map referred

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

referred to by the Board of Revenue be furnished to them.—

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Ag Secretary to Government

(21-22)

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that the Map received with the foregoing order be forwarded to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the Board's letter of 11th ultimo.—

Read the following Extracts.—

(23)

From the Ag Secretary to Government — } Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors' Dated 5th September 1849 — No. 17. —

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th October 1849 No. 703.—

2.8 Correspondence relative to petitions complaining of the proceedings of the Magistrate of Cuddapah against Atchut Row late Deputy Moonshee in the office of the Head Assistant Magistrate and to the dismissal of Hobal Row from the situation of Sheristadar in the Civil and Sessions Court —

Para 2. We concur in the conclusion you came to, that it was proper to dismiss from the public service both the Deputy Moonshee in the Assistant Magistrate's Office and the Sheristadar in the Zillah Court, the former for having thrown false and libellous imputations on Mr. Cochrane, the Magistrate, and the latter for having conspired with him for that purpose and

Para 3. A copy of this para will be furnished to the Board of Revenue and Foujdaree Court for communication to the officers concerned.—

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

and having abstracted official records in furtherance of their object. At the same time, we entirely approve of your animadversions on Mr. Cochrane's unwarrantable proceedings towards the Deputy Moonshee in a case in which he was himself personally concerned, as well as on the conduct of Mr. Ratliff, Assistant Magistrate in destroying the recorded copy of an order issued by him.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Sy Secretary to Govt

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(23-24)
Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah and to Mr. Ratliff through the Collector of Madura.—

(25)
From the Chief Secy to Government.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Dated 27th October 1849 No. 839.—

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 17th September and recorded in Diary to Consultation 2d October 1849.—

The question of establishing a Public Dispensary at Chittoor having been referred to the Honorable Court, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not prepared to sanction

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

sanction any expense on this account until the orders of that authority have been received— and resolves to request the early instructions of the Honorable Court on the subject.—

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary.—

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.—

(25-26)
Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 8th September last.—

Read the following letter—

To
W. Elliot Esquire
Commissioner in the
Northern Circars
Waltair.—

(27)
From the Collector of Rajahmundry.—

Sir,

With reference to a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 11th June last, I have the honor to submit a Return prepared in the form therewith received shewing the several trades professions &c. which are assessed to the payment of Moturpha in this District and the amount realized from each for the three fuslies 1255-1256 and 1257.—

No. 1.—

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 13th October
1849

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Statement.—

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

and having abstracted Official records in furtherance of their object. At the same time, we entirely approve of your animadversions on Mr. Cochrane's unwarrantable proceedings towards the Deputy Moonshee in a case in which he was himself personally concerned, as well as on the conduct of Mr. Pattiff, Assistant Magistrate in destroying the recorded copy of an order issued by him.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Sy Secretary to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(23-24)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Cuddapah and to Mr. Pattiff through the Collector of Madura.—

(25)

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 27th October 1849 No. 839.—

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 17th September and recorded in Diary to Consultation 2d October 1849.—

The question of establishing a Public Dispensary at Chittoor having been referred to the Honorable Court, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not prepared to

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

sanction any expense on this account until the orders of that authority have been received— and resolves to request the early instructions of the Honorable Court on the subject.—

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

(25-26)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated Jud Con 17 Sept 1849 8th September last.—

Read the following letter—

To W. Elliot Esquire
Commissioner in the Northern Circars—
Waltair.—

(27)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

With reference to a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 11th June last, I have the honor to submit a Return prepared in the form therewith received shewing the several trades professions &c. which are assessed to the payment of Moturpha in this District and the amount realized from each for the three fuslies 1255-1256 and 1257.—

No. 1.—

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada, 13th October 1849.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Statement.—

Ville on Applesauce / Taxe on Contents	233 8"	315 4"	352 " "	300 4"
2 Ville Gold Amalia	1285 2"	1350 9"	1436 8"	1359 64
3 Ville Iron Amalia	123 8"	143 " "	137 " "	134 8"
4 Ville Standard	140 4"	161 8"	193 12"	158 8"
5 Ville Marked	38 4"	48 12"	46 12"	44 94
6 Ville Goodness	2642 49	3162 12	4821 128	3512 48
7 Ville Cotton Masters	3512 149	3692 6	3700 36	3635 610
8 Ville Battered	101 8"	118 8"	132 12"	117 10"
9 Ville Tennessee Tentacles / Armenia Cadaver Pass	1230 " "	2524 11"	2578 10"	2511 28
10 Ville Pneumatic Pass	649 96	717 1"	734 5"	700 52
Total	11568 10	12235 91	14113 132	12502 1"
Ville on Hand	12 2"	12 2"	12 2"	12 2"

Ville on Applesauce / Taxe on Contents	233 8"	315 4"	352 " "	300 4"
2 Ville Gold Amalia	1285 2"	1350 9"	1436 8"	1359 64
3 Ville Iron Amalia	123 8"	143 " "	137 " "	134 8"
4 Ville Standard	140 4"	161 8"	193 12"	158 8"
5 Ville Marked	38 4"	48 12"	46 12"	44 94
6 Ville Goodness	2642 49	3162 12	4821 128	3512 48
7 Ville Cotton Masters	3512 149	3692 6	3700 36	3635 610
8 Ville Battered	101 8"	118 8"	132 12"	117 10"
9 Ville Tennessee Tentacles / Armenia Cadaver Pass	1230 " "	2524 11"	2578 10"	2511 28
10 Ville Pneumatic Pass	649 96	717 1"	734 5"	700 52
Total	11568 10	12235 91	14113 132	12502 1"
Ville on Hand	12 2"	12 2"	12 2"	12 2"

1736 John Kemp's Farmers 1849

Statement showing the nearest Federal Reserve Pass Outside Area obtained to the payment of Obstacles in the District of Pennsylvania and the amount loaning upon the three Judicial Districts 1535 1536 and 1537—

Banks	Collateral
11 Ville Banning Collateral Loan	513 1" 611 3" 680 7" 619"
12 Ville Willing Capitalize Pass	658 "4 649 1" 831 " " 725 11
13 Ville King Standard	12 " " 21 " " 28 2" 20 6"
Total	14698 4 15923 " " 16739 14" 15787 "9

15738

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

(27-28)

Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter

To.

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(29)

From the Collr of Guntoor 5

Sir,

As it is important that Mr Newill should be able immediately on rejoining the District, to proceed with the work of defining the River boundaries and the additional records of the former Survey applied for in the letter noted in the margin, not yet having been received, I have the honor to suggest that if the Board consider it necessary they will be pleased again to bring the subject to the notice of Government with a view to repeating the application to the Surveyor General of India.

15th December 1848.

See Para 5 Minutes Consultation 27th June 1849.

Guntoor Zillah Collectors Office Condaveed, 24th October 1849.

(Signed) A. Stokes

Collector

Order thereon.

(29-30)

Ordered to be recorded. The Maps referred to by the Collector were received from Government with Extract Minutes of Consultation 16th October 1849 and have been forwarded on to Guntoor

In Cons 29th October

Read

15737

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Tax on Looms	1	Tax on silk Looms				2	8	0
	2	Ditto Fine cloth Looms	3	8	0			
	3	Ditto Coarse Ditto	1,438	8	0	1,403	15	4
	4	Ditto Carpet Ditto	10,499	2	0	10,499	14	0
	5	Ditto Gunnies Ditto	11			10	4	0
	6	Ditto Sale Ditto	280	10	0	241	0	0
	7	Ditto Woollen carpets &c	8	10	0	4	12	5
			15	8	0	14	13	4
		Total	18,533	8	0	18,090	14	0
		Grand Total	49,403	5	2	40,391	5	1
Cost of		Weighing and Measuring Tax	4,880	"	"	5,520	"	"
Ditto of		Gold Dust	1,590	"	"	1,328	13	4
		Total	6,470	"	"	6,848	13	4
		Deduct Allowances for Village Servants	32,843	5	2	32,239	2	5
			51	"	"	51	"	"
		Remaining Balance	25,822	5	2	25,180	2	5

Collr's Office Guntoor 13th October 1849

(Signed) A. Stokes

Collector

15739 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Read the following

To J. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

(31)

From the Collr of Bellary — Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 27th ultimo, and that it was the intention of the Board by their Circular of 27th November 1848 should only to the large Tanks of the District which have hitherto yielded a Revenue to Government not to authorize the renting of the small Reservoirs, channels &c which have never been subject to tax and I am directed to state that no demand be made upon these heads.

2. In reply I have the honor to inform you that there were hitherto only 6 Tanks in the Bellary District, that yielded a Tax of Rupees (in the fasl fusly 1257) to Rupees 22 — was also one Fishery in the Tombadra river

3. During last fasl (in accordance with the Circular Order of the Board of Revenue alluded to) there were 20 new Fisheries put which yielded in the aggregate Rupees — These

× Fusly 1258.—

15737 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Tax on Looms	1	Tax on silk Looms	Rs 900 Rs 900
		Ditto	" "
	2	Ditto Fine cloth Looms	1084 "

15740 Fort St George 1st November 1849.—

no offers could be obtained for a great many others including the large Tanks which had hitherto never been rented. The Palanqueen bearers and some of the poorer classes are in the habit of deriving a small livelihood from fishing in some of these reservoirs.

4. I have the honor to enclose a statement shewing the Tanks that have been usually rented and those that have been newly rented, and with reference to the Order of the Board now under reply I propose with their approval, to strike out of the rents for the Current fusly* all the newly entered ones, because though some may be termed large Tanks, they are certainly very paltry Fisheries— The other Tanks as well as the river may as usual be retained if the Board approve.—

* Fusly 1259.—

Tanks.—	Rent of 1258 Fusly
	Rupees.
Goondegarroor Tank	3
Kolagull — Ditto	6
Darsjee — Ditto	150
Kamalapoor — Ditto	51
Anvenamadoogoo — Ditto	25
Kuobah Dhurmavar Ditto	57
	292.
River Tombadra at Cuobah Rumplee	11
Total	303

Bellary Collector's Office.
22d October 1849.—

Signed / C. Pelly
Actg Collector.—

Statement.—

15741

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Statement shewing the Tanks that have hitherto yielded a Revenue to Government from Fishery and those newly rented in Fusly 1258. in the Bellary Division of the Ceded District.

Talooks	Names of Village	Tank or Rivers	Rent of Fusly 1257			Rent of Fusly 1258			Comparison between Column 5. and 7.			Detail of Column 8.					
												Old Fishery			New Fishery		
			No	Amount		No	Amount		Increase		Decrease	No of Tanks	Amount		No of Tanks	Amount	
1	2	3	4	5		6	7		8		9	10	11		12	13	
				Rs			Rs	a	Rs	A	Rs	A	Rs	A		Rs	A
Bellary	Goondeganoor	Tank	1	1	"	1	3	"	2	"	"	"	1	2	"	"	"
	Kolagull	Ditto	1	3	"	1	6	"	3	"	"	"	1	3	"	"	"
			2	4	"	2	9	"	5	"	"	"	2	5	"	"	"
Kumplee	Kumplee	Toombadra River	1	22	"	1	11	"	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Darojee	Tank	1	142	"	1	150	"	8	"	"	"	1	8	"	"	"
	Kamalapoor	Ditto	1	50	"	1	51	"	1	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"
	Auvenmadoogoo	D°	1	80	"	1	25	"	"	"	55	"	"	"	"	"	"
			4	294	"	4	237	"	9	"	66	"	2	9	"	"	"
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]															
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					30	"	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	30
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					20	"	20	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	20
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]					50	"	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	50

1742

Fort St. George

1st November 1849.

Talooks	Names of Villages	Tank or Rivers	Rent of Fasly 1257			Rent of Fasly 1258.			Comparision between Columns 6 and 7.			Detail of Columns 8					
												Old Fishery			New Fishery		
			No.	Amount		No.	Amount		Increased		Decreased	No. of Tanks	Amount		No. of Tanks	Amount	
1	2	3	4	5		6	7		8		9	10	11		12	13	
				A.	P.		Rs	A	Rs	A	Rs A		Rs	A		Rs	A
Pencondah	Penacondah	Tank				1									1	2	"
	Ganapaty Chetty	Ditto				1									1	23	
															2	25	
Codecondah	Codecondah	Tank				1									1	2	"
	Maravanchetty	Ditto				1									1	3	"
	Gorentla	Ditto				1									1	12	"
	Chelamatoor	Ditto				1									1	14	"
	Cruchearamoodaum	Ditto				3	12	"	10	12	1				1	8	"
	Colacondah	Ditto				1									1	2	"
	Serevarum	Ditto				1									1	5	8
	Veeborchetty	Ditto				1									1	8	"
	Chendoor	Ditto				1									1	2	8
	Threeur	Ditto				1									1	16	"
	Sunkerdaver	Ditto				1									1	4	"
	Rawentilly	Ditto				1									1	5	"
	Viedaghar	Ditto				1									1	5	"
						15									15	108	8
Dhurmaver	Kusbah Dhurmaver.	Tank	1	30	"	1	57	"	27	"	"	"	1	27	"	"	"	"
	Total		1	328.	"	27.	454	8	192	8	66	"	5	41	"	20	151	8.

Note The Tanks entered in red Ink denote the newly rented Fisheries.—

Net —— 126—8.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Asst Collector

The

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

(31-32)

Proceedings thereon.— The Board of Revenue approve and sanction the proposal of the Acting Collector for striking out of the rents for the Current Fusly all the Tank and River fisheries newly rented in Fusly 1258 and confining the public demand in future to those fisheries which have hitherto been usually subject to it.—

Read the following letter.—

To J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

(33)

From the Collector of Cuddapah — Sir,

With reference to Para 2d. Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th August 1849 I have the honor to forward the defence of Casava Row in the several instances in which he has been considered to have exhibited neglect or breach of duty and for which he has been removed to the Tahsildarship of an inferior grade also copy of a letter from the Sub-Collector explanatory of the light in which the removal of the said Officer has been viewed by him.

DESTROYED

2 Adverting to the remarks contained in Para 4 of the said Extract above referred to I beg to state that I made the arrangements I did with reference to circumstances connected with

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

with the District.—

3. The Gooramcondah Talook requires also an energetic Tahsildar and one in whom some reliance can be placed as there has existed for years past a faction at the Cumbah station (a Commercial Town of importance) between the leading merchants of the place, who by the influence they have acquired, are constantly getting up complaints against the Parties opposed to them respectively— The charge of this Talook notwithstanding that the Pay is Rupees 130 is preferred to most Talooks on the highest salary of Rupees 140 — To have simply caused an interchange of appointments between the Gooramcondah and Cumbum Tahsildars would have in no ways remedied matters, and as it appeared to me advisable to remove Casava Row to one of the Talooks the duties of which were comparatively less onerous, the arrangements I made (by which also a Servant more deserving of promotion than any other holding a similar Tahsildarship on the pay of Rupees 100 was nominated to an appointment of a higher grade) appeared to me the most advantageous towards securing the due efficiency of the public Service.

(Signed) J. R. Cochrane
Collector

Cuddapah Collector's Office
4th October 1849.

No. 2.

To J. R. Cochrane Esqre
Collector of Cuddapah

Sir, With reference to your letter under date the 22d ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that I

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

I entirely approve of the removal of Casava Row late Tahsildar of Cumbum to a lower grade, since the time of his permanent appointment as Tahsildar of Cumbum he has become careless and inattentive in his duties particularly that of the Police and from his want of energy, neither Peons nor any one else paid attention to his orders.

Cumbum Sub Collector's Office
11th September 1849 ---- } (Signed) M. Murray
Sub Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

(33-34)

On referring to the defence of Casava Row late Tahsildar of the Cumbum Talook which tho' without translation was forwarded in the above letter, the Board of Revenue perceive that some of the charges which he was called upon to answer were very vague - as regards those which were more specific the Collector has not furnished the Board with the means of judging whether the explanation given by the Tahsildar is or is not sufficient in the absence of such information his replies do not seem to them to be unsatisfactory - adverting to the decided opinion regarding Casava Row's conduct expressed both by the Collector and Sub Collector the Board will not object to his removal and they therefore sanction as the arrangements reported in Mr. Cochrane's letter of 25th July last, but they desire that he be again advanced to a higher Tahsildary if his behaviour in the Talook to which he has been transferred be such as to indicate improvement.

Read.

J. G. B.

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.—

Read the following letter—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue—

(35)

From the Collr of Chingleput — } Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board, that in accordance with the requisition of the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingleput, I this day put the Mootah of Muppadoo up to public competition, and purchased it on behalf of the Government for the sum of Rupees 4,605- and request the sale may receive the sanction of the Board, and that special sanction of Government for the disbursement of the above mentioned sum of Four thousand, Six hundred and five Rupees be obtained.—

2. The enclosed Statement exhibits the revenue realized by the Mootahdar during the last ten years, and considering the low rate of grain during the last two seasons, and the injured state of the sources of irrigation, I feel satisfied that when the reservoirs have been repaired, the purchase will prove a most advantageous one.—

3. The usual Statement for the sale is also forwarded.—

Chingleput District,
Collr's Cutcherry — — —
Pallicarney — — —
17th October 1849 — —

(Signed) A. Freese—
Collr.

Statement.

15747

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

A Statement shewing the Ayacut and annual Beriz of the Muppadoo Mottah under attachment in the Manimungalam Talook, Zillah Chingleput.—

No. of Villages	Permanent Beriz			Fusly	Muppadoo	Yaraimanimgalam	Total
1	2			3	4	5	6
	Rs.	A.	P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
				1248	2,537 9 9	1,009 15 6	3,547 9 3
				1249	4,187 12 "	1,135 2 6	5,322 14 6
				1250	3,180 13 "	1,024 9 9	4,205 6 9
2	3,180	10	2	1251	3,112 " "	923 10 9	4,035 10 9
				1252	2,605 2 4	1,066 1 3	3,671 3 7
				1253	2,591 15 11	824 12 "	3,419 11 11
				1254	3,098 2 11	1,081 15 6	4,180 2 5
				1255	1,522 13 6	558 14 "	2,081 11 6
				1256	2,728 11 "	1,112 14 9	3,841 9 9
				1257	2,758 11 6	1,042 11 9	3,801 7 3
	Total—				28,323 11 11	9,783 11 9	38,104 7 8
	Average—				2,832 5 11	978 5 11	3,810 11 10
				1258	2,900 3 10	808 15 2	3,709 3 "

Chingleput District, Collrs. Cutcherry, —

Ayacut

Description of Land	Muppadoo		Yaraimanimgalam		Total		
7	8		9		10		
	Cs.	As.	Gs.	As.	Cs.	As.	
Punjey	1,405	7 3/4	513	9 7/8	1,919	1 1/4	
Nunjey	1,363	1 1/8	320	12 7/8	1,684	1	
Total	2,768	1 1/2	834	6 3/4	3,603	2 1/4	

Sources of Irrigation—

Names of Villages	Tanks &c.a	The present supply of water	Remarks
12		13	14
		Months	
Muppadoo	1 Large Tank	4	
	1 Tongal	3	
	1 Do.	2	
	1 Do.	2	
	1 Do.	3	
	1 Do.	2	
	1 Do.	2	
Yeraimanimgalam	1 Tank	4	
	1 Tongal	1	

Pullecarney 17th Octr. 1849 E. E. T. Signed A. Freese Collector

Statement.—

15748

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

B. Statement Shewing the Estate sold under the orders of the Civil Court, Zillah Chingleput.—

No.	Name of Estate	No. of Villages	Name of the Proprietor	Permanent or Bunjy—	Balance Peishcush Rs	Balance Peishcush As	Balance Peishcush Ps	Balance Interest Rs	Balance Interest As	Balance Interest Ps	Sibbundy Rs	Sibbundy As	Sibbundy Ps	Total Rs	Total As	Total Ps	Amount due on account of Court Decree Rs	Amount due on account of Court Decree As	Amount due on account of Court Decree Ps	Total Rs	Total As	Total Ps	Highest Bid Rs	Highest Bid As	Highest Bid Ps	Surplus to be paid to the Proprietor Rs	Surplus to be paid to the Proprietor As	Surplus to be paid to the Proprietor Ps	Name of the Purchaser
1	2	3	4	5				6			7			8			9			10			11			12			13			14
1	Muppadoo	2	Bolaveram Narasinga Row		3180	10	2	215	7	2	41	11	2	88	7	5	345	9	9	3688	10	-	4034	3	9	4605	"	"	570	12	3	Government

Chingleput District,
Collr's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney,
14th October 1849.

E. E. J.

Signed A. Freese
Collector—

15749 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

(35-34)

To the Chief Secretary to Government—

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter dated 17th Ultimo from the Collector of Chingleput, reporting the sale, on that date, of the Mootah of Muspadoo in his District, and its purchase on behalf of Government for Rupees 4,605—-—

From Collector 15th inst. Dys Cons 18th Jany. 1849
Proceedings — 17th Ditto
From Collector 19th inst. Cons 22 Ditto
Proceedings 22d — Ditto
From Collector 5th Dys Cons 12th April —
Proceedings 11th Ditto —
From Collector 1st inst. Cons 7th May —
Proceedings — 7th Ditto
From Collector 6th inst. Cons 13th September —
Proceedings 13th Ditto —

2. It will be observed that the Muspadoo Mootah was attached in January last and has now been sold in satisfaction of a Decree passed in favor of one Arcot Vasoodava Row for 3,688-10-. The Estate was purchased in behalf of Government under orders from this Office, and tho' the purchase Money exceeds the permanent Beriz by Rupees 1,424-5-10- and the average Revenue realized by the Mootahdar during the past 10 years by Rupees 794-4-2 the Collector feels satisfied, considering the low rate of grain during the last two seasons and the injured state of the sources of irrigation, that when the reservoirs have been repaired the purchase will prove highly advantageous. The Board accordingly beg to recommend that the special sanction of Government may be granted for the disbursement of the purchase Money amounting to Four Thousand Six hundred and five Rupees and for its adjustment in the mode shown in the statement B which accompanied the Collectors letter.—

Purchase Money	4,605—-—"
Average Revenue	3810—11—10
	794—4—2

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George—
1st November 1849—

(Signed) T. Bycroft
Secretary.—

Purchase Money — 4,605—-—"
Permanent Beriz — 3108—10—2
1424—5—10

15750 Fort St George 1st November 1849.

Read the following letter—

To

A. Freese Esqre
Collector of
Chingleput

Sir,

(37)

From the Collector of Chingleput—

I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to forward to Government my application for 3 Months leave from 10th November, on Private affairs under section XI of the rules.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Rules of January 1840.—

Chingleput, 30th October 1849 } Signed H. T. Phillips Asst. Collector

Mr. Phillips never having had leave, his application is recommended for favorable consideration.—

Chingleput District, Collector's Cutcherry — Pullecarney, 30th October 1849 } Signed S. Freese Collector

(37-38)

Proceedings thereon— The Board observe that Mr. Phillips has not specified the place to which he wishes to proceed on leave of absence— and request that the Collector will call upon him to supply the omission.—

Read—

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

From the Coll. of North Arcot— } Sir. Para 1. With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated. August 27th 1849 I have the honor to enclose a Memorandum of land to be granted as Manium for the Choultry of Goomadupoondy, taken altogether from immemorial waste—

2— In reply to the Board's other question in the same proceedings I beg to say that the Choultry at Ollapooram though situated at about 430 yards from the high road, is constantly used by travellers. Mr. Lovell in his letter of the 8th May 1847 noticed the existence of this endowed Choultry, and stated his reasons for recommending nevertheless that the new one at Goommadipoondy should be endowed also. The Board appear to have considered those reasons sufficient, as their answer implies that the Manium will be granted when the buildings are completed.

Proceedings dated 7th Octo 1547

North Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor 8th Octr 1849 } Signed J. D. Bowdillon Collector

Memorandum—

15753 | | Fort St.te George 1st. November 1849.

Memorandum of land proposed to be granted in the Village of Coorovaidoochairy of the Sutvaid Talook as Maunium for a Choultry and Tank constructed at Goonmadi-poondy for the use of Travellers by Coolanjary Savumy Chetty of Madras.

Description of land	No. of Stalls	Extent of land		Assessment		Remarks.
		C	a	Pag	a	
Poonjah	76	"	6 1/2	"	1 6/16	
	80	"	9	"	2	
	81	"	3 1/2	"	10/16	
	127	1	"	"	3 10/16	
	Total	2	3	"	7 10/16	
Kunjah	58	1	4/2	1	1/16	
	59	"	8	"	2 5/16	
	60	2	4	2	9 11/16	
	61	1	12 3/4	2	1 6/16	
	62	1	5 1/2	1	8 15/16	Immemorial waste
	63	1	1 1/2	"	10 6/16	
	64	"	9	"	10 1/4	
	65	"	12 1/4	"	14 3/16	
	66	1	11 1/4	2	12 11/16	
	70	"	9 1/4	"	6 3/16	
	71	"	2 1/2	"	1 4/16	
	72	"	6	"	7	
	73	"	3 1/2	"	2 1/4	
	74	"	6 1/2	"	5	
	75	1	5 1/4	"	15 10/16	
	87	"	8	1	4 1/2	
	Total	14	8 3/4	16	10 4/16	
	Grand Total	16	11 1/4	17	2 5/16	
	Rupees			60	"	

North Arcot
Coll.re Cutcherry
Chittoor 8.th Oct.r 1849

E = E - 7.th | Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Ordered.

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Acting Collector of North Arcot relative to a Choultry built by one Sawmy Chetty of Madras at Goomadeepoondy on the high road from Madras to Nellore.

2- From these papers it will be observed that the abovementioned individual has applied for the usual endowment for the support of a Choultry which he has constructed for the convenience of Travellers at Goomadeepoondy - The building is represented by the Revenue Authorities to have been constructed at considerable expense of the best materials, and to provide for the reception of Hindoo Travellers of all Castes, Mahomedans and others. A Topee has

(39-40)

To the Chief Secy to Government -

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of North Arcot on the subject of a Choultry built by Sawmy Chetty of Madras at Goomadeepoondy on the road from Madras to Nellore and recommending that sanction be granted for endowing the Choultry with waste land assessed at 60 Rupees specified by the Collector.

Note. The Petitioner quotes the Cost at 5000 Rupees -

From Ag. Coll. 8th inst. 27th May 1849 - Proceedings 27th Do. "
From Ag. Coll. 4th Sept. inst. 7th Oct. 1849 - Proceedings 7th October "
From Coll. 14th inst. 27th Aug. 1849 - Proc. To Do. 27th August "
From Do. 8th Coll. inst. 1st Nov. "

Fort St. George 1st November 1849 -

has likewise been planted and a Tank formed which supplies good water -

3- The Chuttrum Rules published in the Fort St. George Gazette of 1832 Page 125 require that the builder of a Choultry shall obtain the concurrence of the Collector before its erection, to entitle the building to a grant of waste land and it is further provided that no two Choultries on the same line of Road shall be considered entitled to grants of land unless a distance of at least ten Miles intervene between them except under such particular circumstances as may induce a Collector to consider himself Authorized to recommend a deviation from this general rule - In the case under notice the Petitioner failed to obtain sanction before commencing the work, but it is urged on his behalf that he was but imperfectly acquainted with the Rules - There is also an endowed Choultry about 4 Miles distant from Goomadeepoondy on the Road to Nellore - The Acting Collector was nevertheless induced to recommend Petitioners application for an endowment to favorable consideration on the ground that Goomadeepoondy was a place of much resort for travellers, and that the institution would without doubt be

Fort St. George 1st November 18

be a great comfort and convenience t
them— For these reasons the Board
led to encourage the Petitioner to ex
the usual grant on the building be
completed—

4 Under these circumstance
the Board beg to recommend that sa
tion be granted for endowing the Cho
in question with the land specified in
enclosure to Mr. Bourdillon's letter o
In Cons. 1st Nov. 8th ultimo being all waste land and
1849. assessed at 60 Rupees—

From the Collr. 4th Sept. in Cons. 7th Oct. 1849.

5— In reply to a reference from this
Office the late acting Collector has state
that the Choultry is about 300 yards
distant from the Public Bungalow and
that it will not interfere with the
comfort and convenience of persons oc
-pying that building—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st November 1849

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Read the following letter—

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George

Sir,

(41)

From the Collector of North Arcot—

With reference to the Extract Minutes of Consultation of Government of the 21st April 1849 Respecting the Chatriem Village of Mangaud which accompanied an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated May 3d 1849, I have the honor to forward for submission to Government my proceedings in pursuance of the instructions therein conveyed— It was my wish to bring the parties to an amicable adjustment of their differences but I found this impracticable, and I therefore took evidence and have recorded my views of the rights of the case— Independently of these disputes between the Co-proprietors of the Village I found it necessary for the security of the Government demand to sequester the property—

North Arcot, Collr's Cutcherry
Chittoor 24th October, 1849.—

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector
Prosecutors—

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Prosecutors.

Goolam Mohamed Sons of Mahomed Surmar Ferham Bahadar Soobadar Major -

Goolam Mohedeen

Goolam Nabee.

Jamal beebee Daughter of Do

Sahenabeebee Widow of Do

Defendants.

Gholam Moortaza Khan Eldest son of Mahomed Surmar Ferham Bekadar Soobadar Major.

The Collector proceeds to record the result of the enquiry held by him with the view of determining the sums to be awarded to the Contending parties in this case out of the receipts from the Shrotriem Village of Mangaud while under the Charge of the Defendant-

Para 1 On the receipt of the order of Government directing that the Village of Mangaud should be registered in the names of all the Sirdars of the deceased Soobadar Major Mahomed Surmar Ferham and that Gholam Moortaza should pay to the others their shares of the profits which he had received, the Collector sent for all the parties aforesaid and communicated to them in person the order of Government. -

Gholam

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Golam Moortaza then alleged that he had received no profits from the Village inasmuch as all the surplus had been paid by him in liquidation of debts left by his Father - He offered to produce accounts to make good this statement and was allowed to go to Ranipett for the purpose of bringing them, but he returned saying that he could find no particular accounts.

2 The Collector was anxious to bring the dispute between the Contending parties, nearly Related as they are, to an amicable settlement, but he found this desire frustrated by the angry feelings existing between them, and the unwillingness of either to come to any Compromise - He thought however that if the matter were referred to some influential and respected member of their own faith such a person might be able to bring them to a better understanding, and with this view he referred the case, with the Consent of both parties, for the opinion and award of the Cauzy of Arcot - This officer

Officer, after various delays caused chiefly by the defendant Gholam Moortaza on the ground of sickness made a report, in which he stated that after examination of the case he found that the net proceeds of Mangand Village during the three years that it had been managed by Gholam Moortaza was 1,090 Rupees that out of that sum he appeared to have paid 950 Rupees on account of debts left by his Father, and also to have laid out 100 Rupees in the funeral and ceremonial expenses of his deceased Father.

3 The Plaintiffs and the Defendant were then called up by the Collector to know if they agreed to this award, and the Plaintiffs strongly objected to it, declaring that the statement of Defendant that he had paid any thing on account of their Father's debts or funeral expenses was false, and requiring that the alleged creditors should be called up and examined. The quarrel thus

thus seemed no nearer adjustment than when it was referred to the Cauzy, his attempts at mediation had failed and the fairness of his award was denied. Under these Circumstances the Collector Considered it necessary to call up the witnesses and give the Case a personal hearing and this was therefore done.

4 Gholam Moortaza alleged that he had paid the following sums out of the proceeds of the Shrotriem Village to creditors of his Father Viz.t

to	Ramalinga Mudely	500
	Vellala Chitty	200
	Mannika Chitty	250
	Total	950

These parties were called up and examined.

5 Ramalinga deposed that during the life time of Mahomed Sarwar Khan he had advanced money by his (the Soubadar's) order to Moortaza Khan and Mahomed Dream, and that two Months before the Subadar's death his (deponents) accounts were

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

were examined and a balance of
2,000 Rupees was found due to him
(deponent) for which sum, Gholam
Moortaza and Soobadar Shaick Hamed
(Brother to Mahomed Surnar Khan) gave
him a bond, and that seven or eight
months after Mahomed Surnar
Khan's death he gave up that bond to
Soobadar Sulederally (Father-in-law
of Gholam Moortaza and since
deceased) engaging to pay the amount
out of a land debt due by him to the
deceased Soobadar Major. Soobadar
Shaick Hamed was called and exa-
mined and he stated that the said
sum of 2,000 Rupees consisted
entirely of debts incurred by Gholam
Moortaza Khan on his own account
and that he (deponent) put his hand
to the bond because the Creditor
would not accept the bond of
Gholam Moortaza only.

6 Vellala Chitty stated
that Mahomed Surnar Khan
during

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

during the last year of his life, was
incapable of managing his own affa-
irs, and that the Defendant
managed them, that during that
time he (deponent) supplied him
with various household supplies,
and that the sum due for these
was settled shortly after the Suba-
dar Major's death amounted
to 200 and odd Rupees, in part
payment of which he received 70
Rupees from Ramalinga Mudely,
and that he sued Defendant for the
Remainder, and the latter admitted
the claim and promised to pay by instalments
but that he had yet paid nothing.

7 Maunikka Chitty stated that he was
in the habit of supplying both the Soobadar
during his life, and Moortaza Khan
afterwards with various household Commo-
dities of daily Consumption, and that he
having sued the latter for the
money due, the accounts were made
up in August 1847 and a balance
of

of 225 Rupees proved to be due to him (Deponent) for which the Defendants gave a Razinama and Mortgaged to him a certain Garden in liquidation, that he received the Rent of this Garden for one year being 50 Rupees, but that disputes then arose between Defendant and another respecting the ownership of the Garden, and he (Deponent) received no more of from it -

8 It is obvious to observe on these statements, in the first place that it is apparent from them that the Defendant paid no thing whatever to those creditors out of the proceeds of Mangaud Village but there further appears reason to believe that the money that was paid to

D.G.

not paid at all on account of the debts of Mahomed Surwar Khan - On this point the evidence of the creditors themselves is doubtful and hesitating; the first, Ramalinga Moodely, admits that the money in question was not advanced by him to the Soobadar, but he alleges that it was by his order, the two others also say that the goods furnished by them were supplied to Gholam Moortaza, but they plead that they were for the use of the family generally. The evidence of Shaik Hamed Soobadar is direct and clear; this individual was on the most friendly and intimate terms with his brother the deceased, and managed the Shotrium village for him for many years, and he must certainly have been well acquainted with the habits as well as the pecuniary circumstances of the deceased; he is also a man, as the Collector believes of much respectability - He declares most positively that his brother left no debts whatever, that he left property to a considerable amount,

amount, including some bonds for money lent by him, but that he owed nothing; and that he was in the habit of paying immediately for all articles of daily use, and that while he was ill and unable to attend to his own affairs, his money pension was made use of for supplying the daily wants of the house, and as this pension amounted to 112½ Pagodas or Rs a 393-12- a month, it was obviously ample without the necessity of running into debt. It appears also that before the Soobadar's death he divided among his Children all his jewels and such like moveable property and that immediately after his death, the bonds which he left, to the amount of 8000 Rupees, were also divided among them - If any debts had been left by the deceased these would certainly have been ascertained and divided between the heirs, when this property was divided yet no such adjustment is alleged -

Our

9- On the whole the Collector is clearly of opinion that the Defendant has failed to substantiate his allegation that a part of the proceeds of the Village was devoted to the payment of his Father's debts - He is of opinion that there is good reason to believe that no debts at all were left by Mahomed Surwar Khan, and that the debts now pleaded by the Defendant were incurred by himself and on his own account, but it is not necessary indeed that this point should be decided here, it is sufficient for the Collector to declare that it is fully clear that no part of the proceeds of Mangaud Village was employed to liquidate even those debts which are stated to have been paid -

10- The amount of the expenses of the funeral and other customary periodical expenses after the death of the Soobadar is not so clear, the Statements of the various parties and witnesses differ both as to the amount expended in this way and the sources from which the money was obtained - It appears however that 600

or

or 700 Rupees was spent on the funeral Ceremo-
nies and on the other Ceremonies performed
according to Custom at certain fixed periods
afterwards, it is not impossible that among other
sources of supply for these expenses, some money
may have been appropriated from the receipts from
the Shrotrium Village, and though no proof is
offered to that effect, it appears allowable to admit
one hundred Rupees as expended on this account
as the Canzie has done - and this constitutes the
whole admissible deduction from the net proceeds
of the Village for which Moortaza Khan has to
account -

11. The net receipts from the Village
during the three Fuslies 1255 to 1257, amounted as
above said, according to the Curnums' accounts, to
Rs 1,095 - a 12 - p 9. after deducting the payments made
to Government and the expenses of Collection.
There is also a sum of 434 - 5 - 11 outstanding
against the Ryots, making a total of Rs 1530 - 2 -

Another

Another year having elapsed since these disputes
first came under enquiry, it is necessary to include
the accounts of that year also in the calculation -
The gross Settlement of Mangaud for Fusly 1258
was Rs 1,584 - a 12 - p 3 of which Rs 1,132 - a 8 - p 3 had been realized by
Moortaza Khan whereon, the 12th July 1849 the Fusly
having closed and only 408 - 12 - - having been paid
in liquidation of the Circar Jody of Rs 954 - a 13 - p 2, the
Collector considered it necessary to attach the Village,
and the Collections are now in progress by means
of the Talook servants - Up to 10th October the
Collections under attachment amounted to Rs 178 - a 4 - p 3 -
and the total Collections for the year to Rupees
1,310 - a 12 - p 6, the remainder of the Jody will be
realized out of the balance outstanding in the
Village and Moortaza Khan must account for the
sum of Rs 723 - a 12 - p 3 received by him over and above
his payments to the Circar, after deducting from
it sibbendy and other allowable charges to the
amount of Rs 34 - a 4 - p 3 - The remainder

is

is Rs. 689 — a. 8 — p. — and his account will stand thus.

Received by Moortaza Khan —

Net income of the three years — Fusly 1255 to 1257	1095-12-9	
Add clear receipts for Fusly 1258	689-8-—	
Total	1785-4-9	
Deduct on account of funeral expences of the Soobadar Major	100-—-—	
Remaining net receipts to be accounted for	1685-4-9	
Deduct Moortaza Khan's fair share of the above sum being 1/6	280-14-1	
Remaining due to the other lawful heirs	1404-6-8	

Thus the account stands at present but means will be used to realize the balance outstanding in the Village, and the account will require to be readjusted by giving Moortaza Khan credit for his proportion of the sums recovered.

12- The Collector considers that it will be the best course, and he believes it will also

also accord with the views of Government, to retain the Village of Mangaud under attchment for the present until it shall be seen what can be done towards the realization of the olel arrears due by the Ryots; when it is released some charge will certainly be necessary in the management. Moortaza Khan's operations during Fusly 1258 have fully confirmed the opinion expressed by the Collector last year in writing to the Board, his Management of the Village has been both incapable and dishonest, he has failed to realize a large portion of its revenue, and he has appropriated to his own use a great part of what he did receive, without making any adequate provision for satisfying the Government demand.

31st July 1848.

The Village has been registered in the names of all the six coproprietors, agreeably to the orders of Government; and the Collector is of opinion that when it is released from attachment the best plan will be to recognize Gholam Mahomed

Mahomed being one of the Number and second Son of the deceased Mahomed Surwar Khan as the Manager of it, agreeably to the unanimous wish of all the proprietors except Moortaza Khan himself according to the orders of Government also the share of this latter is to be appropriated to make good to the other five sharers the amount illegally withheld from them by him during the last four years.

13- These proceedings will be submitted through the Board of Revenue for the approval and orders of the Government.-

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 19th October 1849.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector.

41-42 Proceedings thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing letter together with the Proceedings which accompany it, be submitted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in reference to Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 24th April 1849.-

In Cons: 3rd May 1849.

The Board concur in the conclusions at

at which the Collector has arrived, and recommend that the course advised by him in para 12 of his proceedings be followed, except that, unless Goolam Moortaza Khan has other means of support, a fair proportion only, and not the whole of his share of the proceeds of the Maungaud Shrotrium should be appropriated to make good the balance due to the other five coproprietors.-

To, J. Pycroft Esq
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.-

Sir,

43. From the Collector of North Arcot.

I have the honor to submit an Extract from the Audit of the accounts of this District for Fusly 1255 A.D. 1845/46 with my explanation, and I request the Board will be pleased to procure the special sanction of Government for the adjustment of the charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor.-

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon.
Collector.

North Arcot,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 26th October,
1849.

It

15774.

(43-44)

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Proceedings thereon -

It is prescribed by the Audit Regulations that Officers on receipt of retrenchments from the Civil Auditor should furnish direct to him such explanation as may be requisite to induce him to withdraw his objections, and the Statement received with the foregoing letter is therefore returned for that purpose -

2 -

If, on the receipt of the Civil Auditor will not admit the Charges under the explanation now offered by the Collector, a Statement of such Charges, the objections raised originally, the Collector's explanation and the Civil Auditor's rejoinder should then be submitted to the Board for the purpose of being laid before Government, with such further information from the Collector as may lead to the final admission of the Charges under reference -

D.G.

Read

15775

Fort St. George 1st November 1849 -

Read the following letter -

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

(45)

From the Collector of Salem — Recommending to the favourable consideration of the Board the request of Govindrow Jotiram Gordowment of 210 Rupees for the support of Appoo Row Chuttrum lately constructed at Comarapollium

Sir

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board under date the 26th February 1848, stating that the endowment, for the support of the Chuttrum proposed to be built at Comarapollium by Govindrow the adopted Son of Appoorow late Pensioned Head Shevistadar of Coimbatore, will be granted under the existing rules and with reference to the actual cost of construction, I have the honor to state that the Chuttrum is now completed and beg to enclose four Plans shewing the accommodation it contains and a Statement exhibiting an outlay of Rupees 5548 - 8 - 1 for constructing the Chuttrum Vcu according to a valuation made by the Tahsildar of Denkenny - dawg -

The Chuttrum is large, and, considering the amount of expenditure, must be substantially built - It is situated on the high road from Madras and Mysore Vcu to Coimbatore, Caliut Vcu and will afford accommodation, as required by the Board in their Circular letter dated 25th May 1848, to all classes of the Native Community travelling

to

to and from those parts, and the number of whom will become more numerous from the increase of traffic after the completion of the Canvany Bridge near which the Chuttrum is built.

1 Monigaw	5	"
1 Cook	4	"
1 Apoistk. Dr.	3 1/2	"
1 Peon	2 1/2	"
1 Sweeper	1	"
1 Gardener	2	"
per Month	18	"

Govinda row has also an establishment, as detailed in the Margin, for the purpose of supplying poor Travellers with food gratis, at an expense of Rupees 216 per annum, and therefore represents that the usual endowment in lands bearing an assessment of 60 Rupees per Annum for the support of the Chuttrum will not be sufficient and solicits a yearly payment in Money of Rupees 210, there being instances in the Coimbatore District, in which allowances of 170 and 156 Rupees a year have been granted. On enquiring I find this to be the case and one has been particularly brought to my notice, Viz. the Chuttrum built by Mr. Fischer at Errode on the banks of the Cavaery for which Rupees 213 per year have been sanctioned. Under the circumstances

circumstances I beg to bring Govindarow's request to the favorable consideration of the Board, and solicit that the sanction of Government may be obtained for an endowment of 210 Rupees per Annum for the support of the Chuttrum, which is to be named Appavarow's Chuttrum, after the late Head Sheristadar above alluded to.

Salem Zillah
Office
Collector's Cutcherry
8th October 1849

I Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

Statement

15778

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Statement of expences incurred in constructing late pensioned Head Sheristadar's Upper Rows Chittrum at Comarapallliem.

Names of works	Square Contents Cubic contents	Particulars of Work	Rate	Article	Work	Total
	6 Yds					
	474 5/16	Rough stone work to foundation of Principal Walls	" 6 "	177	13 10	
	730 5/16	Brick in Mud to Do including brick in Chunam Arching over doors and windows	1 2 "	821	9 7	
	49 1/4	Flat Stone flooring	2 4 "	111	15 "	
		18 Wodden Pillars with brazen rings and wodden capitals	4 " "	72	" "	
		40 Ditto Ditto to Verandahs	2 " "	80	" "	
		23 Summers	2 8 "	57	8 "	
		41 Ditto	" 12 "	30	12 "	
	39 1/8	Roofing with Palmyrah rafters reapers mats & bamboos &c	14 " "	547	12 "	
	145 14/16	Roofing according to Native Cus	8 " "	367	" "	
	569 1/16	Flooring with Jelly in Chunam	2 " "	113	12 "	
	160	Plastering walls and floor	2 12 "	280	" "	
		3 Folding doors complete	14 " "	42	" "	
		16 Ditto Ditto	8 " "	128	" "	
		1 Ditto Ditto	7 " "	7	" "	
		12 Windows	4 " "	48	" "	
		40 Sinking a well	" 3 "	7	8 "	
	17 ft	Revetment to Do	" 8 "	8	8 6	
	27 27/32 6 Yds	Parapet wall to Do	" 1 4	2	5 1	
	54 1/2	Mud wall including plastering	" 2 "	6	13 "	
	8 3/4	Flooring with Jelly in Mud	" 8 "	4	7 "	
		18 Wodden props in walls	" 6 "	6	12 "	
		18 Ditto Ditto to Verandahs	" 4 "	4	8 "	
	15	Roofing the above adjoining building after the Native fashion	6 " "	90	" "	
		Carried over		3015	6 "	

Chittrum Post

15779

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Names of Work	Squares contents	Cubic contents	Particulars of Work	Rate	Article	Work	Total
			Brought over		3015 6 "		
	400		Excavating and filling up foundation	" 1 "	25 " "		
			Sundries	" " "	106 5 "	3146 11 "	
	445		Brick in Mud to walls with Brick in Chunam over doors and Windows	1 2 "	501 7 6		
	24½		Flat Stone flooring	2 4 "	55 2 "		
	4		Wooden Pillars with dragon rings and wooden capitals	4 " "	16 " "		
	31		Do Do Do	2 " "	62 " "		
	6		Summers	2 8 "	15 " "		
	25		Wooden props to Verandahs	" 12 "	18 12 "		
	46½		Roofing according to native custom with cross beams bamboos, Mats, tiles &c.	8 " "	357 " "		
			Flooring with Jelly in Chunam	2 " "	31 14 "		
	104		Plastering walls and floor	1 12 "	182 " "		
	2		Doors Complete	14 " "	28 " "		
	9		Ditto Ditto	8 " "	72 " "		
	2		Windows	4 " "	8 " "		
	130		Excavating foundations	" 1 "	8 2 "		
			Sundries	" " "	54 9 "	1429 14 6.	
	98 11/16		Brick in Mud to walls including Brick in Chunam arching over doors and Windows	1 2 "	111 3 9		
	4		Flat stone flooring	2 4 "	9 " "		
	2		Wooden pillars	2 " "	4 " "		
	2		Do Do	1 " "	2 " "		
	3		Summers	2 " "	6 " "		
	3		Do	" 12 "	2 4 "		
	5 7/16		Roofing with cross beams, bamboos, Mats, tiles &c.	8 " "	67 8 "		
	10 11/16		Flooring with Jelly in Chunam	2 " "	9 6 "		
	29½		Plastering walls and floor	1 12 "	51 10 "		
					2621 5 9		
			Carried over			4576 9 6	

Chunam for Terrace

Chunam for Terrace

Chunam and other Castes

15780

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Names of Works	Square Contents	Cubic contents	Particulars of Work	Rate	Article	Work	Total
					262 15 9	4,576 9 6	
			Brought over				
			Excavating foundation	" " "	3 " "		
			Sundries	" " "	12 " "		
						277 15 9	
Well in the Garden		288	Sinking well in the Garden	" 2 "	36 " "		
		12/16	Blasting rock	2 " "	24 8 "		
		95	Stone work in well	" 4 "	23 12 "		
		3 12/4	Brick in Chunam work to Parapetwall Pickotah &c.	2 4 "	71 7 "		
						155 11 "	
		229 1/2	Sinking well. Earthwork	" 2 "	28 11 "		
Well near the river		84	Earthwork	" 1 "	5 4 "		
		15 3/16	Blasting rock	2 " "	30 6 "		
		12 6/16	Stone work in well	" 4 "	3 1 6		
		1/4	Brick in Chunam work to Pickotah	2 4 "	2 13 "		
						70 3 6	
Garden		6015	Clearing and levelling garden land	" 1 "	375 15 "		
		1050	Fencing in the above	" " 4	22 1 4		
			Planting various description of Trees	7 " "	70 " "		
						468 " 4	
			Grand Total Rupees				5548 8 1

8th October 1849.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart

Collector

Ordered.

15781

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter with enclosures from the Collector of Salem dated the 8th Instant, reporting the construction of a Choultry by Govindrow the adopted Son of Appa Row late pensioned Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore at Commarapollium on the Banks of the Cauvery and situated on the high road from Madras and Mysore Yca. to Coimbatore Calicut Yca.

2- The construction of the Chuttrum in question was authorized by the Board of Revenue on the 24th February 1848- In the Statement accompanying the letter now submitted the entire buildings is stated to have cost Rupees 5548- 8- 1. and from the enclosed plans the building would appear to afford great accommodation

3- The Collector states that the Chuttrum will provide accommodation to all classes of the Native community resorting thereto and that it is likely their number will increase with the augmented traffic which will result after the renewal of the Cauvery Bridge near which the Chuttrum is built

4- The Collector requests that an endowment of Rupees 210 per Annum may be granted in support of the Chuttrum as Govinda Row has an Establishment which costs him 18- Rupees per Mensem or Rupees 216 per Annum for the purpose of supplying poor Travellers with food gratis- He states that there are instances in the Coimbatore District in which allowances of the extent required have been sanctioned and cites that of the Chuttrum built by Mr. Fischer at Erode which was endowed with an allowance of Rupees 213 per Annum-

5- In the instance adverted to by the Collector it would appear that this allowance was authorized by the Board of Revenue in the year 1840 out of the "Chuttrum Funds" of the Coimbatore District- The Fund in question was formed from the accumulations of the surplus revenue of Enam lands set apart in the years 1805 and 1814 for the maintenance of Choultries- above the expenditure actually incurred- This surplus fund was directed to be placed at the credit of Government in their order, Department of Public Works, 8th May 1843-

6- The Board observe that any Extra

(45-46)

To the Chief Secy to Government-

Submitting letter from the Collector of Salem reporting the construction of a Choultry by Govindarow at Comarapollium on the Banks of the Cauvery, and recommending that the usual endowment of Waste lands in value Rupees 60 per Annum be granted for the same-

15782

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

15783 Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

extra Establishment kept up by the Founder of a Poultry for the supply of food to Travellers does not seem necessary to be taken into account in fixing the endowment to be allotted by Government to the institution which has usually been regulated by the outlay incurred in the construction of the building. The amount expended on this account by Govinda Row is not greater than has been similarly laid out by other individuals on whom the usual endowment of Waste land rent free to the value of Rupees 60 per annum has been assigned

Poultry built by Oodiacawry Lala for which Endowment was applied for by his Son Jaggernath to Perod Rs. 12800
From Chingleput 24th Sept. in Cons 18th October 1847.
To Government 18th October 1847
From Dt. 14th in Cons 20th Dec. 1847.

7- Under these circumstances the Board beg to recommend that the usual quantity of Waste land bearing an assessment of Rupees 60 per Annum be granted in endowment of the Choultry built by Govinda Row to be held free of assessment as long as the buildings are kept in repair and available for the accommodation of all classes of the native community.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st November 1849

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

15784 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(47)
From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to notice, that on searching the records of my Office for the purpose of revising the list of Estates returned with the Board's Proceedings of the 19th April last, it has been discovered that on the occasion of conferring 13 Villages in perpetual Zemindary tenure on the Poligar of Taitavalum under orders of Government noted in the Margin, instead of the sum of Star Pagodas Ten or Rupees 35 as therein directed to be the annual Peishcush, Rupees 70 appear to have been levied from the Poligar according to a Takeed issued by Mr. Hyde dated 27th July 1817- This amount has been paid into the Treasury ever since Fusly 1226, and the sum so over paid amounts to Rupees 1155- as indicated in the Margin.

From Board 25th July . . . 1816
Board's letter to Government 27th June 1816
Government Sanction 15th July 1816
See also Board's letter to Government 13th August 1832
Para 3
From Government 23d October 1832

Fusly
From 1226 to 1228
at 35 Rs each
1155- -

2. I am unable to account for this

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

this discrepancy, but it is not improbable that it may have originated by mistake in the Tabreed— I therefore request the Board's orders on the subject.

South Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 10th October, 1849 — Signed W. D. Davies Collector

(47-48)

Proceedings thereon.

Calling upon the Collector of South Arcot to furnish information on several points connected with the restoration of certain Villages to the Poligar of Vaitavalum—

Brand to Collector 25th July 1846.

Vide Enclosure 1 in From Collector 15th in Cons 20th June 1816

From Government to Board 15th in Cons 25th July 1816

The Vaitavalum Pollium consisted originally of 35 Villages of which 13 were ordered on the 15th July 1816 to be restored to the Poligar subject to an annual demand of 10 Pagodas— The order of Government bearing the above date was communicated to the Collector on the 25th idem, and he was requested to carry out the arrangements from the commencement of Fusly 1226— The Summabundy report for that Fusly shows that this was done But in the communication above recorded Mr. Hyde's Tabreed stating the amount to be levied from the Poligar on account of the Villages is said to be dated 27th July 1817 or nearly a year later

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

4th in Cons 10th November 1847

which is probably a mistake. It should be explained whether this is the actual date, and, if so, how the delay may be supposed to have occurred.

2. Again, while in Statement C which accompanied the Settlement Report for Fusly 1226 it is mentioned that 13 Villages of a revenue of Star Pagodas 1150 43 4 had been restored, it is stated in the Report that two Villages valued at Pagodas 154 29 22 had been given up to the Vaitavalum Poligar; but it does not appear whether they were part of the 13 Villages or were distinct from them — if the latter, for what purpose and on what authority they were given — again according to Summabundy Statement B, the Villages of the Vaitavalum Pollium which had become incorporated with the Circar lands were 21, and this together with the 13 restored Villages makes only 34, whereas the total number of Villages as already shown is 35— These points should be explained, as also why the revenue of the 13 Villages in Statement C is stated at Star Pagodas 1150 — 43 — 47 — whereas

Col 44

whereas in Enclosure No 3 in Mr Hyde's letter of 15th June 1816 according to which the arrangement was made the Pariz was calculated at only Star Pagodas 1113-28-30.-

3. In Column 383 of the same Statement A 20 Pagodas are entered under the head of Peishcush against the Trinomaley Talook. In the corresponding Statement for the subsequent Fusly 1227, the Vaitaoulum Peishcush is entered as below.

	Villages	Peishcush
Trinomaley	7	33
Tricullore	6	37

making a total as before of 70 Rupees. The reason of this change is thus explained in a foot note to the Statement. "In the Summahlundy of Fusly 1226 the whole of this amount was included in the Trinomaley Talook it is now separated as the Vaitaoulum Zemindary Villages are situated in Two Talooks Trinomaley and Tricullore". If the amount at which the Peishcush was entered in Mr Hyde's Tahsil was an error, it seems singular that in framing the accounts of Fusly 1226 and in afterwards

apportioning the Peishcush between the two Talooks in Fusly 1227 as above shewn reference should not have been made to the correspondence on the subject, and that so palpable a mistake should have been overlooked - and that too so soon after the arrangements had been concluded with the Poligars.

4. The Collector is requested to forward copies with translations of all the correspondence which passed between Mr Hyde and his servants relative to the transfer of the Villages, and the charging of the Peishcush and to furnish what further explanation he can obtain upon the points above noticed, and upon the subject generally. He will likewise explain, as far as he may be able, on what principle the amount of Peishcush was divided between the two Talooks in the proportion (Rupees 33 and 37) above adverted to.-

5. The information required should be supplied at an early date.-

Read the following Statement.-

Statement

Fort St George 1st November 1849.

whereas in Enclosure No 3 in Mr Hyde's letter of 15th June 1816 according to which the arrangement was made the Bariz was calculated at only Star Pagodas 1113-28-30.

3. In Column 383 of the same Statement A 20 Pagodas are entered under the head of Peishcush against the Trinomaley Talook. In the corresponding Statement for the subsequent Fusly 1227, the Vaitaoulum Peishcush is entered as below.

	Villages	Peishcush
Trinomalay	7	33
Tricullore	6	37

making a total as before of 70 Rupees. The reason of this change is thus explained in a foot note to the Statement. "In the Summahbundy of Fusly 1226 the whole of this amount was included in the Trinomalay Talook it is now separated as the Vaitaoulum Zemindary Villages are situated in Two Talooks Trinomalay and Tricullore". If the amount at which the Peishcush was entered in Mr Hyde's Table was an error, it seems singular that in framing the accounts of Fusly 1226 and in afterwards

Fort St George 1st November 1849

apportioning the Peishcush between the two Talooks in Fusly 1227 as above shewn reference should not have been made to the correspondence on the subject, and that so palpable a mistake should have been overlooked - and that too so soon after the arrangements had been concluded with the Poligar.

4. The Collector is requested to forward copies with translations of all the correspondence which passed between Mr Hyde and his servants relative to the transfer of the Villages, and the charging of the Peishcush and to furnish what further explanation he can obtain upon the points above noticed, and upon the subject generally. He will likewise explain, as far as he may be able, on what principle the amount of Peishcush was divided between the two Talooks in the proportion (Rupees 33 and 37) above adverted to.

5. The information required should be supplied at an early date.

Read the following

Statement.

Statement

15789 (49)

Fort St. George

1st November 1849.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold and the Wastage on the Quantity stored in the District of South Arcot from Fusly 1258 submitted for the sanction of Government.

Names of the Stations or Depots	Fusly in which stored	Quantity Stored in each Fusly	Total	Quantity Sold	Wastage Amount of Wastage	Wastage Centage	Per Centage of Wastage in each Cotaun fixed by the Collector as per Para 18 of the Salt Rules.	Explanation of the Cause of Wastage.
1	2	3 G M M	4 G M M	5 G M M	6 G M M	7	8	9
Southern Division of Arcot								
Mur Kanum	1257	1408 398 "	1408 398 "	1335 131 "	73 267 "	5-91-2 79/100	12-200 per every 100 Garces	The Wastage incurred in Fusly 1258, being within the rates formerly fixed in this District, any explanation regarding the same appears unnecessary.
Teyanelly	1256 10							
	1257 104 270 "	124 270 "	124 270 "	116 231 "	8 39 "	6-197-7 64/100	18-300- per Do Do	
	1258 10							
Killay	1257 132 90							
	1258 10 " "	142 90 "	142 90 "	138 398 "	3 92 "	2-108-3 36/100	18-300- per Do Do	
		1,675 358 "	1,675 358 "	1,590 360 "	84 398 "	5-28-5 18/100		
Cuddalore								
Cuddalore	1256 23 36 "							
	1257 195 77 "	218 113	218 113	205 324 "	12 189 "	5-285-4 54/100	25 — per Do Do	
		1,894 71 "	1,894 71 "	1,796 284 "	97 187 "	5-58-2 4/100		
Wastage occasioned on the Quantity supplied to the French Government								
Mar Kanum	" " " "		220		14 103 "	6-192-2 12/100	12-200 per Do Do	
Cuddalore	"		30 29		" 141 "	1-68-6 92/100	25 — per Do Do	
			250 29		14 244 "	5-336-7 38/100		
			2,046 313 "		112 31 "	on		
					1344 9-12 "	"		

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 25th October 1849. E. E. Sr. [Signed] W. D. Davis, Collector

(49-50) Order thereon.

Ordered to lie over — Read the following Statements Statement

15790 | (51) Fort St. George

1st November 1849.

Statement exhibiting the actual Charges employed in Fusly 1258 in the Collectorate of Trichinopoly incurred on account of additional Establishment

Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1258. Number of Servants.	Rate of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.	Actual Charges for Fusly 1258.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Increase.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Decrease.	Date of previous Correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments has been authorized.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Months	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		
English Writer	2	10 8 21 "		3	63 " 4	60 3 1		2 12 11		It was found necessary for the speedy Completion of the work that a larger number of Goomastahs should be Employed than provided for but their services were obtained at a lower rate of Pay than that entered in the Estimate.
Additional Goomastahs	17	7 " 119 "	2½	297 8 "	490 2 5		192 10 5	" " "	Authorized by the Board of Revenue 14th Feby 1848.	
Do.	46	5 4 241 8	3	723 8 "	533 3 11		" " "	191 4 1		
Total	63	" " "		1,022 " "	1,023 6 4		192 10 5	191 4 1		
Grand Total	65	" " "		1085 " "	1,083 9 5		192 10 5	194 1 "		
Amount Paid to 1 Sweeper employed in the Jamalundy Cutcherry held at Oreatore in Fusly 1257						1 " "				
Total Company's Rupees						1084 9 5				

Trichinopoly, 26th October, 1849

E. E. Wm. J. Signed J. E. Maltby
Collector
Estimate

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(54)

From the Collector of Madura

Forwarding Copies of Letters from the Collector of Trichinopoly and the Sub Collector regarding the balances against the Abkarry Renters of Jadicomboo Talook for Fusly 1256 and requesting remission of the same in consequence of the impoverished State of the defaulters.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 10th May last, I have the honor to transmit a Statement shewing the Balance still due by Vycondumpillay and Rajalingumpillay Abkarry Renters of the Jadicomboo Talook for Fusly 1256 together with a letter from the Sub Collector Mr. Clarke reporting the circumstances under which it arised, and a letter recently received from the present Collector of Trichinopoly relative to a house at that station in which Rajalingum has an interest—

2.

Rent of Fusly	
1251	1610
1252	2130
1253	2930
1254	3150
Total	9820
Average	2455
Fusly 1255	3600
" 1256	3450
" 1257	2530
" 1258	3000
" 1759	2600

Rajalingum was the Renter for Fusly 1255 at an amount far above the average as will be seen from the Statement in the margin, and the reason of his being hastily allowed to get possession of the Farm for fusly 1256 before

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

before he had provided security seems to have been an expectation that he would thereby be able to clear off a balance due by him at the close of Fusly 1255 as well as the current Instalments. Repeated calls were made upon him to find security without effect owing, I have no doubt, to want of ability rather than of inclination on his part.—

3 All the property of the Renters in this District has been disposed of and the proceeds applied towards the liquidation of the debt, and under the circumstances stated by Mr. Maltby, I deem it inadvisable to press the sale of the house at Trichinopoly. It therefore remains for consideration whether the Defaulters should be confined in Jail or the Balance still outstanding written off to profit and loss. I beg to recommend the latter proceeding as the Farm was apparently bid up beyond its value, and there is no reason to believe that the Renters obtained any profit, or have any more property which can be distrained.

Madura
6th October 1849 } (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Statement

Statement Shewing the Balance due by Vicoondum pillay and Rajalingumpillay defaulting Abkarry Renters of Taudecomboo Talook for Fusly 1256.—

	£	a/ £ a/
Amount Rent for Fusly 1256		3,150 " "
Collections within the Fusly	2,331 13 "	
Do. in Fusly 1257	165 3 3	
Sale proceeds of the property of Vycoondumpillay	67 8 "	
Do. Do. of both defaulters	" 5 7	
Do. of Punjah land sold as per Board's order of the 2d August 1849	24 6 "	
	92 3 7	
		2,579 3 10
Balance		870 12 2

Madura
6th October 1849 } E. E. Fr. (Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

To

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849

No. 2

T. R. D. Parker Esqre.
Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

1 I have the honor to acknow=ledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th. Ultimo, No. 728, with its Enclosures from the Acting Collector of Trichi=nopoly, reporting the attachment of a house of Rajalingumpillay one of the Abkarry Renters of the Taudicomba Talook in Fusly 1256—

2. This farm was rented for a sum of Rupees 3450 in Fusly 1256, to Vycoondumpillay and Raja=lingum who were defaulters in 1255, without any security being taken from them—

3. A balance of Rupees 924 11—0 having accumulated against the renters at the close of the month of March, orders were issued by you to place the rent under Canarry management, but notwithstanding that every

X 3d April 1847.

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849.

every precaution was taken to prevent the alienation of the sale proceeds, the balance at the close of the Fusly amount=ed to Rupees 1118— This balance has been subsequently reduced to 1031—1—3, and every legal measure is being taken to discharge the whole arrear. The Nelacottay Tahsildar has been directed to attach a garden which is stated to belong to one of the renters and enquiries are being made re=garding other property, which has been brought to my notice and which the parties have endeavoured to conceal— But I am afraid that the total sum which may be realized by the sale of the whole of this property will not prove sufficient to cover half the arrear—

4 It will be seen from the Enclosures accompanying Mr. Elliot's letter, that one Vencatasawmy claims to hold a mortgage of 70 Rupees on the house at Trichi=nopoly which it is further stated

is

Fort St. George 1st November 1819

is answerable in the sum of Rupees 17—8—0 on account of repairs made by the Mortgagee and also in Rupees 14—0—0 on account of a second loan made to Rasalingum pillay—

5 This house was not tendered as security by the renter either at the time of the rent being granted to Rasalingum pillay and his partner, or subsequently, and consequently according to the ruling of the Sudr Udalut declared in their Proceedings of the 13th June 1835, which are to be found set forth at Page 70 and following of the Civilians Vade Mecum, the Government can have no prior claim upon it—

6 But it is possible that the alleged liens on the house may not be

Fort St. George 1st November 1819

	Rs	as
Mortgage	70	0
Amount of repair	17	8
Amount of 2d loan	14	0
Total	101	8
Estimated value of the house	152	0
Balance	50	8

all Bonâ fide ones; and even should they prove so, if the value placed on the house be a correct one, there will be a surplus after deducting the amount of the alleged claims, of a sum of about Rupees 50 available towards the liquidation of the Circar arrear—

7. Under these circumstances, it appears to me desirable that the house should be sold.—

8. Should you concur in this opinion perhaps you will communicate your wish to the acting Collector of Trichinopoly, that the house should be immediately sold and that the balance remaining over and above the sums proved to be due to Vencatasawmy Naick should be

15799 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

be transmitted to your Treasury.—

Dindigul —— } / Signed / T. Clarke
21st October 1849 } Acting Sub Collector

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Collector

No. 3.

To. R. D. Parker Esqre
Collector of
Madura

Sir,

In reference to my letter of the 12th ultimo, and the previous correspondence, I have the honor to report the result of my endeavours to dispose of the house belonging to Rajalingum Pillay late Ahkarry Renter in your District.

2 The records show that on the house / or rather a portion of it / being attached in 1847, it was put up to outcry when Venkatasawmy Naick to whom it was mortgaged offered Rupees 105; but this offer was not duly accepted after the receipt of your letter of 15th November 1847, orders were twice

15800 Fort St. George 1st November 1849

twice sent to the Police to put the house up to auction for the purpose of obtaining higher offers, but in consequence of no bidders appearing and the Police Officers being changed no reports were made to the Hoozoor and the matter remained in abeyance.—

3. Attempts have again been made to dispose of that portion of the house mortgaged to Venkatasawmy Naick but without effect. The house is out of order, and in an ineligible situation besides which a portion of it being in the possession of one Ichinna Pillay prevents purchasers appearing. I summoned Venkatasawmy Naick before me to enquire why he did not repeat his bid of 1847, when he alleged his age and infirmities stating that he only wished to receive back his money advanced upon the property Viz. Rupees 70 as the amount entered on the Mortgage Deed, Rupees 17—7—0 spent in repairs and Rupees 14 due by Rajalingum

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

Rajalingum Pillay for a recent debt-

4 It is proper to inform you that on the Police Ameen put-ting up to Auction the entire house, including the portion occupied by Chinna Pillay, one Singarry Naick made a bid of Rupees 107½ - I cannot however recommend that this offer should be accepted as Chinna Pillay would, it appears to me, in this case have good grounds for an action He is a relatives of Raja-lingom Pillay and received from him a Deed acknowledging his title to the portion of the house when the re-mainder was mortgaged to Venea-sawmy Naick in September 1838. I enclose a Translate of this Deed for your information, and consider there is no doubt of its authenticity as it was witnessed by the mort-gagee Venkatasawmy Naick who acknowledges his attestation—

5 If a formal division of the family property has not taken

Fort St. George 1st November 1849

place, this Deed might be invalid-but in Rajalingum Pillay's absence I have no means of ascertaining whether a division has taken-place or not, and it seems to me unadvisable to run any risk in questioning Chinna Pillay's right, as he has enjoyed possession for-some years as the acknowledged proprietor, and if Singaree Naick's bid was accepted the claims of the Mortgagee would leave little for the liquidation of the Govern-ment arrear—

6 Under these circumstances I beg briefly to repeat that the-portion of the property which seems open to attachment and sale has been found not to be saleable-and appears mortgaged to its full value—

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 27th July 1849

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector
Translate

Fort St. George 1st November 1819

Translate of an Agreement Executed by Ranjalingum pillay son of Soobra=maneyapillay of Dindigul to Mooke=tapillay son of Chinnapillay and to Savoogumpillay son of Saturn=pillay residing in the Fort of Trichinopoly dated 27th September 1831 corresponding to 23d Perataty year Velamby.—

There is a house situ=ated west of the Street near Fort wall, North of Audeemoolum Pillay's house, East of a small lane and South of the house of Dasing Taylah Pillay to the South side of it there is a house ground bounded on the North by Ande=moollum pillay's house, East by a drain—South by the entrance of a house and on the West by my own house ground, measuring from East to West 18 English feet—from South to North 16—This house ground and the tiled house on it are your own property and I have no right to it, although from there not being sepa=rate title deeds I hold the original deed

Fort St. George 1st November 1819

deed for all the premises. The entrance is common to us, and we are to enjoy the property in this manner—I execute of my free will this Agreement which was drawn out by Yagambram —o— Maneagaur—

(Signed) Ranjalingum pillay

Witnesses
Vencatasawmy Naick
Govindoo Naick.

(True Translate)
(Signed) E. Maltby
Collector

A true Copy
(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

54-55

Proceedings thereon.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Madura reporting on the balance of Fusly 1256 outstanding in Dindigul Pottah and due by the Abkarry Renter of the Taluk number Two, and recommending that Taxation be granted (price) writing off the amount to Profit and Loss.—

In para 9 of the Board's Proceedings on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Madura for Fusly 1257 it was observed that under the head of "Arrears" of Abkarry Revenue the sum of Rupees 895-2-2 was due by the Abkarry Renters of the Taudecomboo Talook in Dindigul for Fusly 1256 (1846-47) and that the Collector was called upon to report the circumstances which led to these Renters being placed in possession of the Rent in which they became defaulters before they had furnished the security required for the due fulfil=ment of their engagement.—

From

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15805 Fort St George 1st November 1849

2 From his reply now submitted it would appear that one of the parties in question named Rajalingum was the Renter of Tandicombo for Fusly 1255 at an amount far above the average of previous years that he was put in possession of the Farm for Fusly 1256 before providing security with the expectation the he would clear off a balance of Fusly 1255 besides the current demand, and that repeated calls were made upon him for security but without effect. The balance for Fusly 1256 still due by both Vycondum pillay and Rajalingum Pillay appears from the Statement now forwarded to be Rupees 870-12-2. Their entire property in the Madura District has been sold and the proceeds applied to the liquidation of the demand against them and it is observed that altho' their Collections Fusly 1256. Rs. 2579-3-10 collections on account of Fusly 1256 Rupees Average Demand Fusly 1251 to 4 2455-0-0 2579-3-10 fall below the demand of that year in the sum above mentioned, yet that 124-3-10 they exceed the average demand of the four Years ending Fusly 1254 by Rupees 124-3-10.

3. Adverting to the letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly respecting the House at that Station in which Rajalingum had an interest the Board of Revenue considering the claims advanced to that property and the trifling surplus that would be realized on behalf of Government after the private liens upon it have been satisfied, concur in opinion with Messrs. Maltby and Babington that it would not be expedient to proceed to a sale.

4 Under these circumstances the Board would beg to recommend that sanction be granted to the Collector of Madura for writing off in his Accounts the irrecoverable balance of Arrears of Rent for Fusly 1256 amounting to Rupees 870-12-2.

Read

15806 Fort St George 1st November 1849.

Read the following letter.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

56

From the Actg Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Sir,

Adverting to the Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 26th April last, I have the honor to forward a Report in the prescribed form, regarding the case of the Pensioner alluded to.

Coimbatore, 8th October, 1849. I have the honor to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant, Signed E. B. Thomas, Acting Principal Collector.

Report

15807

For A. Sgeorge

Report of lapses of Enam yeomiahs in the District

Cases	Talook and Village.	Extent and value of the entire Enam or yeomiahs.	When and by whom Granted.	Amount or other Documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant whether of yeomiah service or of the Enam only hereditary	Name of the Original Grantee.	Name of the last holder and the relation in which he stood to the Grantee and date of his decease.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs a P 42-14-5 Sfx. annum	Government Sfx. Sanction dated 10th April 1827.	None	In lieu of the Pollipat resumed	Lingandy Naick	Lingandy Naick died on the 9th December 1848.

Pollipat Pensions

Jelly fruity in Chic Bagh

Coimbatore,
Principal Collectors Office,
8th October, 1849.

[Signed]

...wing the Balances during the

of Revenue	Decision of	Balance on hand at the Commencement of the year.	Total Columns 5 to 10
founded.	Government.		
		2	11
...ty Naick is the 6th entered in the revised ...h Mr Sullivan's letter ... it would appear, ...nt of the Poligars ...ion in 1801 is not known, ...were according to ...digul under whose ...heir transfer to the ...ting Principal Collector ...he Courts letter ... on the 15th August ...nction be granted for ...ed Lingandy Naick, ...ed from the 9th ...to his eldest son Ba... ...self and the remainder ...ofti ... Secretary ...e following Statement Statement		In Mds 3,47,978	In Mds 4,17,827	439 620

1580?

Fort St George

Report of Salt at the beginning of the 1st November 1849.

year, the Quantity Manufactured and sold during the

Cases	Quantity Manufactured and purchased during the year including gain by measurement &c.ª	Total.	Quantity sold for consumption within the Madras territories.	Quantity sold for transportation across the frontier for the supply of the Native states in the Interior.	Sold during the year. Exportation by Sea. Quantity sold to French Government.	Under requisition from Bengal Government.	On private account whether to Calcutta or other places.	Wastage.	Total Columns 5 to 10
1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs	In Mds. Srs.
Cottah's Pucka Measure	63,355 23 7 0	9,11 13 33	38 6 0 2	9,7 9 4 0	31 30 1 5	3,1 10 28	" " " "	" " " "	36,4 37 26 7 0
									4,82,439 6 20

Coimbatore
Principal
8th Octo

year, and the Balance in store at its close with the financial results of this Source of Revenue for Fusly 1258.-

Balance Store at the Close of the year or difference between Colns 4 and 11.	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Col 11 exclusive of wastage in Column 11.	Deduct charges of all descriptions exclusive of favorable advances.	Net Revenue.	Remarks
12	13	14	15	16
In Mds Sr Rupees A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
4,29,044 32	4,04,455 0 3	11,939 13 10	3,33,563 6 5	

Mangalore, 22d October, 1849. } (Signed) T. L. Blane Collector.

58-59 Order thereon — Ordered to lie over.-

Read

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqr Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St George.

60

From the Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 20th instant relative to the enquiry made by me in March last on the subject of certain lands at Porsewaw Rum, and to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that, whilst the joint representation from the Surveyor and the Amildar reached me on the 5th of that Month, I waited till the following day for that* from the Sheristadar, in answer to my Memorandum. His explanation, in the concluding part, for its submission separately appeared satisfactory, and I accordingly proceeded to pass orders on the whole case.-

2- The original Petition from Nynah Pillay dated 5th February, which has just been taken out from the Sheristadar's bundle in the presence of the Translator, is transmitted herewith- I gave it to the Sheristadar for enquiry, and, as will be observed by the pencil remark

*Vide Enclosure in letter to Board 30th March 1849

15811

Fort St George 1st November 1849.

remark thereon, she referred it to the Surveyor and Amildar. On the 1st March it was brought to my notice, that Nynah Pillay complained of delay in the necessary enquiry - it was then that my attention was more particularly drawn to it, and I issued the special order of the 1st March, of which I now submit a copy.

Madras, ... } / Signed J. A. Pudleston
22nd October, 1849. } Collector.

No. 1.

To, the Sheristadar the Surveyor and the Amildar.

A Petition was presented to me on the 5th Ultimo by one Nayena Pillay stating among other things, that Quit Rent on certain land registered in the name of one Saravana permaul Modelly has not been collected for 10 years, whilst the demand has been written off to profit and loss on the ground of it's having been assessed on "Waste land," although the land is productive and yields a sufficient return to the Owner. - I now call upon you to inform me whether the representation is well founded, and if so under what circumstances the land has been brought under the head of "Waste land" as I may have to submit your explanation to the Board of Revenue, in order that the neglect, if any of the party most to blame may be brought prominently forward to their notice.

I hope to be able to get your reply on or before the 5th instant.

Madras, 1st March, 1849. / Signed J. A. Pudleston
DC. Collector.

No. 405.-

15812

Fort St George 1st November 1849.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to a letter from the Secretary to Government under date the 11th Ultimo. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the result of their enquiry into certain allegations made in their Proceedings to Government by Just Gantz late Revenue Surveyor and C. Suggunadum late Amildar in the Office of the Collector of Madras. I have also

60-61

To the Chief Secy to Government

Reporting the result of the Board's investigation into the subject referred to in Petition from Mr. Just Gantz late Revenue Surveyor and C. Suggunadum late Amildar in the Collectorate of Madras forwarded for enquiry with the letter from the Chief Secretary dated 11th Ultimo.

No. 1 Examination of Just Gantz before the Board of Revenue 17th October 1849.
" 2 Examination of C. Suggunnadum before Do Do
" 3 Examination of Mr. D'Cruz before Do Do
" 4 Examination of Vencatasoobbiah Sheristadar Madras Cutcherry before Do Do
" 5 Examination of E. Balastry Pillay Translator Madras Cutcherry before Do Do 18th October 1849.
" 6 Examination of Mr. Ireland Writer Madras Cutcherry before Do Do 18th October 1849.
" 7 Examination of Suroomoogum Pillay Pass Writer Madras Cutcherry before Do Do
" 8. Examination of Vurdarajoolos Register Madras Cutcherry before Do Do
" 9 Examination of Bashcarlao Naik Writer Civil Auditors Office before Do Do 19th Octr. 1849.
" 10 Examination of Veeraragavaolos Writer Collectors Cutcherry before Do Do
" 11 Examination of Veerasamy horsekeeper of Mr. Gantz
" 12 Examination of Ramasamy Mr. Gantz's Gardener
" 13 Examination of Wrjoonum Mr. Gantz's Cook
" 14 Examination of Mr. Haskell.
A Letter from Vurdarajoolos to Suggunnadum.
B Copy of Do from Suggunnadum to Vurdarajoolos
C Memorandum Book.
D Mr. Gantz's rough draft - No. 1. 2. 3 & Copy of No. 1

also to forward the various papers connected with the investigation and to return the Petitions transmitted with Sir H. Montgomery's letter.

2. In this latter communication the Board are requested to call before them, the Manager of the Madras Cutcherry Mr. De Vaz and the Sheristadar separately, and without previous notice of the subject on which they were to be examined, and to interrogate them respectively as to their conduct in respect to the Draft report mentioned by Gantz and the Amildar Juggunadhum, particularly as to the allegation that the Sheristadar consented at first to sign a report according to the draft but afterwards refused and his reasons for refusing - and as to the allegation that the Manager persuaded the Surveyor and Amildar to suppress the Draft report and send in another in different terms. It was directed that Gantz and Co. Juggunadhum should be in attendance to be confronted with the other parties if necessary, and that

the summons for the attendance of the Manager and Sheristadar and of the Petitioners, should be sent to them on the day on which it was proposed to examine them. Lastly after the examination the Board were desired to call for any explanations that appeared to be required from the Collector in particular he was to be requested to explain why he received a separate report from the Sheristadar and to submit a copy of that report which tho' it is referred to in the Collectors Memorandum dated 6th March, did not it was observed accompany his report of 30th Idem.

Enc in Jrom Coll. 30th March in Cons 9th May 1819.

3. Before proceeding to detail the result of their investigation, it may be proper that the Board should mention the precautions adopted by them in conformity with the injunctions of Government to secure the attendance of the parties without previous warning and in such a manner as to afford the Manager and Sheristadar no indication of the subject upon which they were to be examined. The

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819.

To Dr. Evans 22d October 1819.

5. The papers sent down from Government viz.t the two Petitions and the Government letter of 11th October 1819 were given by the Secretary to Government in a sealed packet into the hands of the Secretary to this Office and from him passed from hand to hand to the Members and Sub Secretary. They remained in the hands of the Members and Secretaries from their first receipt until the close of the examination and were not sent into the Office nor allowed to be seen by any of the establishment. On the afternoon of the day October 16th preceding that fixed for the investigation the Secretary to the Board called at the private residence of the Assistant Collector of Madras and it was arranged between them that the Assistant Collector on his arrival at the Cutcherry on the following morning should at once despatch the Manager and Shroistadar to the Board's Office and that he should at the same time send Peons to the houses of Gantz and Juggunadhum with

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819.

with orders to bring those individuals direct to the Board. These arrangements were duly carried out by Mr. Taylor and the parties attended accordingly to the Board's Office on the morning of the 17th Ultimo.

5. The attendance of the parties having been secured in the manner above described, the first step taken by the Board was to call before them separately Mr. De Vaz and the Shroistadar D. Vencatawoolyah and to question them apart from each other regarding the original or first report mentioned by the Surveyor and Amildar in their Memorials. Their answers will be found in their depositions Nos. 3 and 4. It will be seen that they are direct denials of the allegations made by Gantz and Juggunadhum. The Manager and Shroistadar both deny all knowledge of any report prepared by those parties except that submitted to the Board by the Collector in his letter of 30th March. The Shroistadar further explains his having sent in a separate report on the ground that the

Surveyor

the Sheristadar called at the house, that on the pencil draft being shown him he approved of it, but desired that it might be abridged and said that he would sign it if brought to him at the Office, the next day—that Gantz then proceeded to make a draft in ink in abridgment of that previously drawn out in pencil and that when he had about half finished it, the Sheristadar left the house, that on the next day March the 3d they i.e. the Amildar and Gantz took the ink draft to the Cutcherry and showed it to Mr. De Vaz who strongly dissuaded them from sending it, in saying it would procure their dismissal, and that they must take the fault upon themselves that in consequence of this advice they on the † next day or the ‡ day following drew up at Gantz's house early in the morning and by themselves the draft of the second report & that ultimately submitted that a fair copy was made which he took to the Office when it was opened and showed to Mr. De Vaz and upon his approving, sent it in to the Collector

† Sunday the 4th
‡ Monday the 5th

Surveyor and Amildar were to blame in the transaction in which the enquiry before the Collector originated and that as he had no concern with the error which they had committed he did not think it proper to join in their report.

6. The Manager and Sheristadar having thus met the charges against them with a direct denial the Board next proceeded to confront them with their accusers and to call upon the latter separately to furnish detailed explanation regarding the particulars connected with the preparation of the two reports. The Board will first state the account of the transaction given by Cn. Suggunadhum

7. This individual represents that he the Surveyor and Sheristadar inspected the land at Puvevaukum upon the 1st March that on the next day the 2d he and Gantz met at the house of the latter and prepared a draft of a report that the draft was written by Gantz in pencil, that when it was nearly completed the

Collector. Juggunadhum also produced the three rough drafts above referred to, viz.t the first draft, or draft in pencil the second or condensed draft in ink reduced from the first the third or the rough draft of the report actually adopted.

8. The Statement given to the Board by Gantz is on the whole to the same effect as that of Juggunadhum tho' less detailed and

Note.

less Gantz states the first report was drawn up on the third of March, Juggunadhum says on the second, Gantz states the second report or draft No.2 was prepared at the Office Juggunadhum that this was done at Gantz's house Juggunadhum states that there was only one inspection of the land at Peroovakkum viz.t that on the 1st March Gantz speaks of two, one on the 1st March and another on the 2d or 3d. It is clear from the Statements both of Juggunadhum and the Sherestadar and from the entry in the Memorandum book which has been produced that there was in fact but one inspection, and that Gantz's assertion is a mistake, but a mistake proceeding from failure of memory in as much as he could have no motive for misrepresentation on this point.

differing from it in some minor particulars. The Board do not however consider that these discrepancies between the two parties throw any suspicion upon the general truth of their Statements they attribute them to failure of memory and want of clear headedness on Gantz's part. They may also notice here that Gantz

tho' he gave his testimony in such a manner as to excite no doubts of his veracity was a confused witness and apparently without the power to recall occurrences in detail and consequently that for such information as they required the Board were induced to apply chiefly to Juggunadhum who is a younger and appears a more intelligent person.

9. The Board would now call attention to the three drafts Nos. 1. 2. 3 produced by Juggunadhum. These drafts are stated to have been since the time of their preparation in the custody of that individual or of Gantz. Their preservation may be readily accounted for not only from the well known custom among natives of keeping all papers which may by any possibility be of service at any future occasion but to the further circumstance that the transaction to which they relate might be, and did indeed after a very short interval become the subject of inquiry in higher quarters

Enclosure D Note. The Collectors decision upon the case was passed on the 8th March the Board called for a report upon the subject on the 22d idem but a few days before the Collector's enquiry or on the 17th February a Petition upon that matter had been presented by Kyna Pillay to Government. If the presentation of this Petition was known in the Collector's Office, as is not improbable, some notice of the subject by the high authorities must have been regarded as not unlikely.

The

10 The genuineness then of these documents does not seem to the Board to admit of doubt and these papers seem to them strongly to corroborate the Statements of the Surveyor and Amildar. The first or pencil draft (No. 1) bears internal evidence of being such a draft as might have been drawn up under the circumstances described that is by parties who had recently made the inspection and inquiry of which they were to give account. It exhibits the actual condition of the land which they had examined and the Statements made by the different parties who had been concerned in the previous affair. At the same time it is confused and ill digested and bears rather the appearance of notes for the preparation of a report than of a report itself. It is just such a document as the Shoristadar

Note.

On this document being produced by Juggunadhum, the Board, to guard against the Chance of some part of the pencil writing being obliterated whilst the draft was passing from hand to hand among the Members in the progress of the enquiry, caused an exact copy to be taken of it in ink shewing all the alterations, interlineations and erasures as in the original. This copy will be found among the accompanying papers.

Shoristadar might as represented have recommended its authors to condense and abridge.

11 The second draft or No. 2 bears evident marks of having been reduced from No. 1. The commencement is the same in both the expression throughout are nearly the same, and the same line of excuse or defence on the part of the Amildar and Surveyor Viz. that their error was attributable to the information given them by the Conicopoly and to the nature of the accounts followed in both drafts. It may here be observed that this plea is that which these parties seem to have made to the Shoristadar when the ground was inspected as is shewn by the notes made by him on that occasion in the memorandum book produced by Juggunadhum.

12 The draft No. 2 is plainly taken from No. 1 as draft No. 3 affords clear indications of having been framed upon No. 2. The first Paragraph in both is the same except that No. 3 some details regarding the revenue derived from

Enclosure C

Note.

Written on the fourth side of the sheet on which the 1st or pencil draft was written.

from the land No. 3261 which were at first inserted were afterwards struck out and that the last sentence in the para is abruptly terminated according to the pencil alteration in draft No. 2 in the hand writing of Juggannadhum. The concluding para of draft No. 3 is merely an expansion of the note in pencil made by Juggannadhum in the margin of draft No. 2 in accordance as he says with the advice given him by Mr. De Vitz.

13 A further remark remains to be made in relation to these drafts. No. 1 seems to the Board to have been evidently prepared by the Surveyor and Amildar in view to its being signed by the Sheristadar as well as by themselves. It contains merely a description of the spot of land No. 3261 as it was found on inspection and details the various Statements given by the parties implicated. It is such a paper as the Sheristadar might have signed without scruple as a simple account of what he seen and what had

be said of the abridged draft No. 2. This also seems to have been intended for the Sheristadar's signature as pointed out by Juggannadhum in the parts "not applicable only to the Amildar and Surveyor those parties are specially referred to. The whole report is one in which with some slight alteration or

See the erasure in the 18th line in which the words Surveyor and Amildar are still perceptible written in pencil these last words tho' clearly discernible when the draft was first produced by Juggannadhum have since became very faint and the Board have therefore had them traced over in red ink.

specification of the Surveyor and Amildar near the end all three Officers might have joined The draft No. 3 is of a different tenor the actual condition of No. 3261 is briefly explained, and the remainder of the draft is a more admission of error palliated on the score of unaccountable confusion at the time of inspection and entreaty for forgiveness. In such a — representation the Sheristadar who had no share in the previous inspection of the Village or in the errors then committed of course could not join and hence the necessity of his furnishing a separate report. The above considerations seem

seem to the Board to give color to the
Statements of Gantz and Jugganadhum that
the Sheristadar did at first agree to sign the
original report tho' he subsequently retracted.

11th. That the Surveyor and Amildar
did in the first instance prepare the draft
No. 2 and afterwards withdrew it and substitute
the report which was submitted with the
Collector's letter of 30th March last seems to the
Board to have been sufficiently established
It is next to be considered whether they did
so under the advice or with the privity of
Mr. De Vaz and what was the motive for
such proceeding whether the Manager was or
was not a party to it.

15. As regards the first of these
points it will be seen that beyond the
Statements of Gantz and Jugganadhum
the direct evidence of De Vaz privity to
the suppression of the first report is
altogether wanting several persons servants of
the Madras Cutcherry were named by Jugganadhum

as having been present on the 3d March
in De Vaz's room in the Office when the
draft No. 2 was brought to him and when
he objected to its adoption - These parties
were sent for to the Board and questioned
upon the subject but as will be perceived
from their depositions, they deny all
knowledge of the circumstance - It seemed
to the Board unnecessary to submit to
paper the several queries put to those
individuals upon the matter which were
all met by professions of total ignorance -
It will suffice to state that no in-
formation could be obtained from them

16. In corroboration of his State-
ment Jugganadhum has however produced
the papers A and B which with their
translations will be found among the
accompanying documents - Of these the first
A is an original letter addressed by the
Virdarajooloo Registrar in the Madras
Cutcherry to Jugganadhum in which inquiry is
made of the latter whether he had been to
Gantz's house yesterday or the day before if
he had seen that person whether Gantz had shown
him

Dated whether intentionally or by mistake 5th September really written on the 5th October

him his Memorial to Government and if so whether any mention was therein made of "our Friend". These particulars it was stated are required to be known urgently and Ingganadhum was requested to write in reply immediately, on receipt of the letter Ingganadhums answer, of which he has produced a copy B, states that as far as he could learn the Memorial had already been sent in, but that he would obtain the information required that evening or the next morning and come with it to the Cutcherry next day. De Vaz admits that a communication to the effect of the letter [A] was at his desire written by Vurdarajooloo to Ingganadhum - and he admits that he is the party alluded to in it under the term "friend" he admits also that an answer similar to the copy produced by Ingganadhum, was received by Vurdarajooloo in reply and torn up by the latter in his presence - He accounts for the correspondence by stating that he had been given to understand, that Ganty had in his Memorial made assertions

to his prejudice that he was anxious to know whether such statements had really been made, and therefore endeavoured to obtain the information from Ingganadhum thro' Vurdarajooloo the latter being of the same caste with, and a neighbour of Ingganadhum - On Vurdarajooloo being examined he admitted having written letter A at Mr. De Vaz's instance and having received such an answer as that produced by Ingganadhum, he also admitted having gone to Ingganadhums house upon the same business on the evening of the day on which the correspondence took place but no further information could be extracted from him - An attempt was indeed made by Ingganadhum to prove by means of parties who were present at the interview on the evening above alluded to, the use of certain expressions by Vurdarajooloo relative to the suppressed draft - but these expressions are denied by Vurdarajooloo and even if under the circumstances the Statements of the two Witnesses were admissible as evidence, the fact of their

both

both being connections of Suggunadhum would prevent the Board from attaching much weight to their testimony. All therefore that can be considered as established by the correspondence produced by the Amildar is that Mr. Delvay exhibited great anxiety as to the nature of the Statements said to have been made respecting him in Gantz's Mamool and that he employed indirect tho' probably the most likely means of discovering what those Statements were.—

17. At Suggunadhums earnest request he was allowed to call before the Board for examination three individuals private servants of Gantz— The fact which these persons were cited to prove was that, contrary to the Sheristadar's assertion the Amildar was at Gantz's house on the morning when the Sheristadar called there, a day or two after the inspection of the land at Purseewaukum The Statements made by the parties on this point will be found in the papers numbered 11— 12 and 13—

considering their position and the improbability of their being

recall such minute occurrences after a lapse of so many months the Board are not disposed to pay much regard to their testimony, and if true it does not in any way affect Mr. Delvay

18. Any further evidence to connect Mr. Delvay with the rejection of the first and substitution of the second report must be presumptive, only looking to his position in the Madras Cutcherry it will be for consideration whether such a step was likely to have been taken by the parties unless with his privity at least if not at his suggestion— It is stated by two servants upon the Collectors establishment to be Gantz's usual practice to submit his official reports to Mr. Delvay for his observations or corrections— It is admitted by Mr. Delvay himself that Gantz did come to his house upon Sunday the 1st March on the subject of the enquiry then in progress It may therefore be regarded as at least probable that Gantz would have consulted him upon the line of defence most proper to be adopted, and that he would

Depositions of Ireland— N° 6.
Do Armoogum Pillay— N° 7
See however Delvays explanation—

have

have shown him the drafts of any reports which he had prepared for submission to the Collector.

19. It is next to be considered what was the motive of the different parties in the alteration of the reports whether Mr. DeVoy was or was not privy to the proceeding. The motives ascribed to Mr. DeVoy by the Surveyor and Amildar are thus stated in their Memorials Gantz says "He" the Manager "after perusal strongly dissuaded your Memorialist from sending in the first report in alleging that its immediate result would be to bring the Conicopoly into trouble and that it might be productive of serious consequences to the entire Department would in all probability suffer great inconvenience from the enquiries and investigations to which it would lead and which would end nobody could tell where. The account given by Suggonadhum is "when the report in the first" was shown to Mr. DeVoy he strongly objected to its being submitted to the Collector declaring that it would

would be the cause of our dismissal from the service at the same time insinuating that by our confessing the fault to be an oversight of our own, the circumstance would be passed off by a caution from the Collector thereby having an wish to exonerate the Conicopoly from blame who happens to be a creature of Mr. DeVoy.

20. That Mr. DeVoy felt any special interest in the Conicopoly or had any particular wish to screen him does not seem to the Board to be established - assertions of this nature are easily made. It will be recollected that in the investigation regarding the land at Cursewaukum reported on in the Collectors' letter of 19th June 1849 it was alleged that another Conicopoly Servan formed Meerally the former proprietor of the land No 3261 was connected with Mr. DeVoy but the assertion was not proved. The only circumstances which Suggonadhum can adduce in support of his present allegation are that the Conicopoly

In letter 16th August 1849

Varasamy

Veerasawmy Moodelly was originally entertained at Mr De Vaz's recommendation that on one occasion when for certain misconduct he ought in his the Amildars opinion to have been dismissed he was merely punished with a slight fine. This and the lenient ground respecting the land at Purseverum are considered by Suggonadhum as at once the consequences and the indications of the favor borne him by Mr. De Vaz

21. These reasons seem to the Board to be wholly insufficient to establish any connection between the Manager and the Conicopoly. As regards the last instance cited by Suggonadhum it is to be observed that although the punishment awarded to all three parties by the Collector on the 6th March was altogether inadequate, yet the fine imposed on the Conicopoly was as heavy in proportion to his salary as that adjudged against the Amildar and very much heavier than

	Rs		
Conicopoly	pay 4th	fine 3	8
Amildar	40 "	10	"
Surveyor	140 "	10	"

Note. Equally futile is the argument used by Mr. De Vaz to disprove Conicopoly's Viz. that he drafted the

Encl in From Board 30th March in Cons 7th May 1849.

for under 3½ Rupees admitted by him was any thing out the superior fault of the too glaring without the notice wholly insufficient.

similar that individual Even if it could be that the fact taken in reference to that order was any thing more than carrying out the instructions of his superior it is clear that the fault of the Conicopoly was too glaring to be passed over without some punishment and that the notice actually taken of it was wholly insufficient.

that inflicted upon the Surveyor.

22. But further it does not appear to the Board that the withdrawal of the original Report and suppression of the statements made in it had any especial tendency to benefit the

Conicopoly. In that report the Surveyor and Amildar had it is true attempted to exculpate themselves at the expence of the Conicopoly and by reference to the nature of his accounts. But the account of the land recommended for remission was prepared by the Conicopoly was in the same form as it is usually drawn up on all similar occasions. It showed only the Nos of fields or of certificates the names of the holders and the arrears due by them. It exhibited the matters to be verified but did not afford the materials for verification. Those materials however, if so

Mr De Vaz's Deposition Nº 8

admitted

From b.

admitted by Ganty in his Memorial were within the reach of himself and the Amildar and their omission to use them is not to be estimated by the character of the Conveyapoly's Accounts With respect to the charge made against the Conveyapoly himself in the first Place that he pointed out a wrong piece of land to the Surveyor and Amildar when they came to examine the Village it is to be remarked that confessedly on the whole proceeding the Conveyapoly was patent upon the very face that for four years consecutively neglected to collect Quit Rent due upon the land Spec 326 and that he had finally entered the arrears of assessment in his account of irrecoverable balances That in addition to this he showed a wrong plot of land to the Amildar and Surveyor as he might have been expected to do if they thought fit to trust him seems to the Board to be the natural consequence and but a trivial aggravation

of his previous and admitted misconduct. To suppress this part of his proceedings while the rest remained palpable and beyond the suppression would have been little to the advantage of the Conveyapoly. The Board may go further and add that the plea of their having been misinformed by the Conveyapoly would have made but little for the vindication of the Amildar and Surveyor in as much as it was their duty not to take his statements for granted but to test and scrutinize them.

28. The other motive assigned by Ganty and Inggamadhum for the withdrawal of the Draft Amirts more attentive I amounts to this that it was considered to be for the credit of the department and for the interest of all parties concerned that as little as possible should transpire relative to the Grand at Conjeveram and that it was hoped that by the Amildar and Surveyor abandoning all attempt at justification and throwing themselves upon the mercy of the Collector, further enquiry would be stayed the matter would be settled

Mint on the Office and would [illegible] further. It is to be remembered that previous to March last, the government had on several occasions expressed their dissatisfaction with the mode in which the business had been conducted in different branches of the Madras Collectorate. It was not desirable that any further irregularities should come to their notice. Again in his Memorandum of 5th March calling upon the Sheristadar Auditor and Surveyor for explanation in regard to the Memorandum affair they were warned by the Collector that it might be necessary to bring the subject prominently before the Board of Revenue. Mr. Derby in his deposition states that Gantz was most apprehensive of such a result and most anxious that the matter should be decided by the Collector and not be brought before the Board. The report as first drafted by the Surveyor and Auditor was not adopted to attain that object. It was an attempt to vindicate themselves in the

sense of the nature of the Comicopoly's Accounts and of the Comicopoly's own conduct. But neither the proceedings of the Comicopoly nor their Accounts did in fact furnish any excuse for the Auditor and Surveyor whose duty it was to check his statements and who had the means of doing so and it was not to be supposed that the Comicopoly would submit to have the whole blame thrown upon him. To send in the original report would therefore have been to provoke recrimination and inquiries which would not have been to the good of any of the parties concerned. But if this were withdrawn and a general admission of error substituted, further investigation would be rendered unnecessary, the matter if so was to be hoped would be settled in the Office and not made known in any higher quarter. The result at least was as here supposed to have been intended. The charge against Gantz and Suggandathum was disposed of by the Collector and no intimation of it would have reached either

either the Board or Government had or
if not been for the Petitioners presented
to them by Arna Pillay Head
It is indeed not improbable
that the fact of Arna Pillay
having sent in a Petition to Government
on the 27th February might
have been afterwards become
known in the Madras Cutchery & must
cause the hope of confining all knowledge
of the transaction to the Office must
have been lessened but it would still be
an object that the matter should be
determined by the Collector before
inquiry had been ordered elsewhere &
so that if a report should be called
for, the affair might be represented
as it ultimately was as one already
investigated and for which the parties
had previously been tried and punished.

24 On a full review of all
the circumstances bearing upon the
case the Board of Revenue have no
doubt that the report actually sent

in

Government Petition of 1849 Dated and received at Government Office 27 Feby 1849
Board's Proceedings No. 15 of 1849

Board's Petition No. 387 of 1849 Dated 1st received 12th March 1849

in by Gantz and Juggannadhum on the
3d March was as they assert adopted in
lieu of one previously prepared by them
and of which the paper No. 2 produced
by Juggannadhum is the draft. They
believe also that as far as those persons
are concerned the motive for the change
whether it were their own idea or the
suggestion of others was to cut short
inquiry and to place the affair before
the Collector in such a shape that he might
be induced to deal with it himself and
the transaction be thereby either kept from
the knowledge of the superior authorities
or if made known be reported only as
a matter already investigated or decided.

It remains for consideration
25 whether the alteration in the reports
was made at the advice of Mr. Debaz
or with his privity. The assertions of
Gantz and Juggannadhum on this head
must be received with great caution

as

as those of dismissed servants seeking
the restoration of their appointments
yet looking to the absence of any extrinsic
improbability in their Statements to the
likelihood from the position and
influence of Mr De Vaz that such
a proceeding as that reported to would
have come within his cognizance be
the fact that whilst the report was
under preparation Gantz did visit
Mr De Vaz to solicit his good offices
to the anxiety evinced by Mr De Vaz
to become informed of the purport
of Gantz's Memorial the Board
cannot but regard it as at least
highly probable that the account given
by the Surveyor and Amildar of the part
taken by Mr De Vaz is substantially
correct

26 It desired by Government
28th October 1849. the Board have called upon the Collector
of Madras to state why he received a
From Collector 22d October in Govt 1st November 1849 separate report from the Sheristadar
and I have to submit the explanation

furnished

furnished by that Officer which seems to
be sufficient. There was evidently
nothing which could have led Mr
Sandleston to suspect the preparation
of the Surveyor and Amildar of any
other report than that presented to
him upon the 5th March and as that
paper contained merely an admission
of error on the part of those by whom
it was signed it would naturally
strike him as but reasonable that the
Sheristadar who had not participated
in the error should prefer sending in a
report separately. The Sheristadars
report was forwarded to the Board
In Govt Mr Sept 1849 with the Collectors letter of 19th June
and laid before Government on the
20th August last.

27 It was stated by Juggannad
See his Deposition Gantys' house on the Morning of the 2d March
that the Sheristadar on leaving
said that he was going to the residence
of Mr Haskell. This Statement
denied by the Sheristadar who asserts
that he proceeded on the occasion referred
to

15843 Fort St George 1st November 1849.

to from Comrys direct to his own
house. Mr Haskell is the Manager
of the Boards Office & the Board has
called upon him for explanation both
as to whether Venkatasoobyah did
visit him upon the morning in
question and as to the nature of the
intercourse between them. The answer
will be found among the accompanying
papers. It will be seen that he
states his inability to recollect whether
Venkatasoobyah did or did not
call upon him upon the fourteenth
day named & that he has given an
account of the origin and extent
of the acquaintance subsisting between
himself and the Shristadar which
seems to the Board to be sufficient
satisfactory.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
1st November 1849.

[signed] T. Pycroft
Secretary

15844 Fort St George. 1st November 1849.

No 1.

Examination of Just Grantz before the
Board of Revenue 17th October 1849.

2. You have presented a Petition to
Government containing statements to the effect
that a certain report was prepared by your-
self and the Amildar respecting the land
referred to by Nunah Pillay, and in reply
to the Collectors Memorandum of 1st March,
that you shewed it to Mr D'Vaz and
that by his persuasion you cancelled it,
drew up and sent in another of a different
purport. Mr D'Vaz denies the whole
transaction. what proof can you give in
substantiation of your assertions.?

A I drew up the original report at
my house and shewed it to the Serishtadar
there. this was on Saturday the 3d March.
I brought it to the Office the same day,
I shewed it to Mr D'Vaz he dissuaded
me from sending it in. I prepared a
second report at the Office on Monday
the 5th March, and sent it in to the Collector.
The Amildar, Serishtadar and Register, know
that I did prepare this first report and
submit it to Mr D'Vaz. The Report
I

I first gave it to Mr. D'Vaz was a rough draft. Afterwards gave it to the Amildar it was in my hand writing, he gave it back to me, and I have returned it to him but I cannot speak as to the precise dates on which these occurrences took place I called upon Mr. D'Vaz at his house on Sunday the 4th I do not recollect exactly the conversation which then passed between us, but it was in connection with this subject.

Question by Mr. D'Vaz

2. When did you inspect the land referred to by Kinah Pillay.

A. I and the Amildar inspected the land after Office hours on the 1st and again at the same hour on the 2nd or 3d. which I cannot recollect, the Serishtadar was with us on one occasion, I don't remember which.

/Signed/ Just Gantz.

Examination continued 18th October 1849.

2. In draft No 2. some words have been scratched out with a Penknife why was this done and when, and if you wished to strike out the words why did you not

run the pen through them as has been done in another part of the draft- who put in these words in pencil, why and when were they inserted-

A. These alterations were made in the preparation of the draft. I cannot recollect any more than that-

/Signed/ Just Gantz

No 2.

Examination of C. Juggonadum before the Board of Revenue 17th October 1849-

2. State all the particulars connected with the different reports which you prepared on the subject of the land referred to by Kinah Pillay-

A. On the 1st March we received the orders of the Collector to examine and inspect the land referred to in Kinah Pillay's Petition, we proceeded and inspected the spot in the afternoon of the same day, the next day, the 2nd Mr. Gantz and I prepared a report at his house, the same as that of which a copy has been appended to my Petition to Government, whilst we were preparing it, the Serishtadar came in. he saw the report. assented to it,

and

and agreed to sign it if brought to the Office next day. the next day, the 3rd, we brought the report to the Office and shewed it to Mr. D'Vaz, there were present myself. the Serishtadar, the Surveyor, the Register Vardarajalo Naick. and Mr. Ireland. Mr. D'Vaz dissuaded us from sending in the report saying that it would procure our dismissal, and that we must take the fault upon ourselves. On the Sunday or Monday. I do not recollect which. Mr. Gantz and I drew up a second report at his house, no other person being present. this was early in the morning. when the Office opened I took it to Office and shewed it to Mr. D'Vaz there was no other person present. he said it would do, and I then gave it to the peon to take to the Collector. The land was inspected by us on the 1st March. and subsequently by us and Mr. Taylor, but not between those two occasions. Here are the drafts of the two reports. that in pencil was prepared by Mr. Gantz and myself, the writing

is Mr. Gantz's when it was nearly finished the Serishtadar came in, we showed it to him, he desired us to write it more briefly and that he would sign it. thereupon Mr. Gantz wrote out in ink No. 2 when he had about half finished it the Serishtadar went away. This No. 2 is the draft we took to Mr. D'Vaz and which he advised us not to send in. In consequence of his advise, we drafted No. 3 at Mr. Gantz's house on Monday Morning, the pencil writing in the Margin of No. 2 was written by me at that time according to the tenor of the advice given us by Mr. D'Vaz and according to this pencil Memorandum the last paragraph of draft No. 3 was prepared. The fair copy was written in Mr. Gantz's house, I dont recollect by whom. These papers with others were sometimes with me and sometimes with Mr. Gantz Here is mine and Mr. Gantz's Memorandum book in which is an entry made by the Serishtadar in pencil shewing the particulars of the inspection

which

which he made on the 1st March: The Serishtadar afterwards refused to make a joint report with it and said he would report by himself which he did, but I do not know for what reason

/Signed/ C. Juggunandum.

2. You have stated that after No 3 had been half written the Serishtadar went away where did he go?

A. He said that he was going to Mr. Haskells house, he did not say why.

/Signed/ C. Juggunandum

Examination - Continued 18th October 1849.

2. In Draft No 3 some words have been scratched out with a Penknife why was this done and when - and if you wish to strike out the words why did you not run the pen through them as has been done on another part of the draft, who put in these words in pencil, why and when were they inserted.

A. The erasure with the knife

was made with a view of bringing in the pencil Memorandum which is written in the Margin - We found it would take some time and therefore ran a pencil through the rest of the draft and I wrote the Memorandum in the Margin as it now stands - I don't recollect about the insertion in pencil.

† N.B. Stated after some interval -

On further consideration - I recollect that the words Surveyor and Amuldar were used in the two places, that is in the place scratched out, and the place in pencil because those parts of the draft related to us two and not to the Serishtadar whereas the rest of the draft was applicable to him also, and it was intended to be a joint draft signed and presented in behalf of us all three.

/Signed/ C. Juggunandum

Examination

Examination Continued 19th October 1849.

2. You state that Mr D'Vaz induced you and Mr Gantz to change your report with a view to screen or favor the Comicspoly. what interest has Mr D'Vaz in the Comicspoly and why should he wish to screen him?

A. Mr D'Vaz got him appointed as Comicspoly and from that time he supports him, and befriends him if he makes any mistakes. On one occasion, I don't exactly recollect when he gave unsatisfactory Evidence before the Police, for which he was punished with a small fine instead of being dismissed. I attribute this to the favor shewn him by Mr D'Vaz. I also consider that his having been so slightly punished by the Collector for his misconduct relative to the land at Purasawalkum arose from the same cause, I cannot mention any other occasion

/Signed/ C. Juggannadum

No 3.

No 3.

Examination of Mr D'Vaz before the Board of Revenue 17th October 1849.

2. You have had read to you Mr Gantz's statement and one to the same effect from the late Quit Rent Amildar C. Jaggannadum relative to the draft of the joint report originally prepared by them in reply to the Collectors Memo of the first of March last — was that draft shown to you — what was its purport — did you dissuade them from submitting it, and if so for what reasons, and did you take any part in the preparation of the report which was eventually sent in to Mr Hudleston?

A. I have heard what has been read to me and understand its purport, no such paper as the original report mentioned by Mr Gantz was ever shewn to me, I never saw any report on the subject except that which was actually given in to the Collector and which was submitted by him to the Board with his letter of 30th March last. The Copy now shewn me is

is a copy of that report, Sruneer s
any other.

2 Are you aware of the S
-ristadar having in the first inst
agreed to sign the original dra
and of his having subsequently
declined. what were the reasons
his refusal. did he communicate
you upon the subject, and what
advice did you give him. 2

A I am entirely ignorant of
the Serishtadar having seen the dra
in question or of his having agreed
to it or agreed to sign it. He never
told me a word about such draf
or about any communication having
passed between himself the Surveyor
and Amildar respecting that draft.

2 As the Collectors Memo of
March 1819. was addressed jointly
to the Surveyor Amildar and
Serishtadar why did the latter
make a separate report. 2

A. The Report actually sent in
was delivered in to me on the day
that it bears date or the 5th March
Serishtadar was with me at the time

I asked him why he had not signed
it, he replied that as he had had no
share in the fault committed by
the Surveyor and Amildar, he did
not think proper to join in their
report, but would submit a separate
report by himself.

2 Are the Memoranda or lists
of lands recommended for remission
usually prepared by the Conicopilies
in the same form as that drawn
out for the Purwanaeum lands by
the Conicapely Veerasawmy Moodiliar
that is, do they show only the Nos
Names of proprietors, and sums
due, without any specification of
area or boundaries. 2

A. They are all in the same
form containing only the Numbers
Names and sums due, but no fur-
ther specifications.

2. Do you wish to make
any further observations in refer-
ence to this subject. 2

A. On the 1st March the
Collector issued an Order to the Amildar
Surveyor and Sheristadar for the
inspection

inspection of the land referred to by Ninah Pillay, the inspection took place accordingly on the 3d. On Sunday the 4th. Mr. Ganty called upon me at my house stated that he had discovered the error which had been committed, and begged me to use my exertions that the matter might be settled, and any punishment of which he might be thought deserving, should be inflicted by the Collector, but that the affair should not be brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue. I replied that on this report being submitted I would speak to the Collector, and see what could be done. The Report was sent in the next day and sent in to my room by the Collector in the course of business. The Shirastadar was with me at the time, I observed to him what a stupid Report and asked him why he had not signed it, he said that they were to blame and that he would make a separate report. This was in the early part of the

afternoon. I do not know at what time and place he drew up his report, it was sent in the next day, that is the 5th. or late on the previous Evening, it here date the 5th. The next day, that is, the 6th. under instructions from the Collector, I drafted an order by which the Veeriapillay was fined Rupees 3-8-, from which it will be evident that I had no wish to screen that individual, I had no communication with Mr. Juggannathum upon the subject on the 3d. 4th. or 5th. March, he, the Amildar, might have said that he had done wrong, and hoped he would be excused: At the time when the report actually submitted by the Surveyor and Amildar was sent into the Office, I had no idea or knowledge of any previous report upon the subject having been prepared. Neither did I ever hear any such statement as that of a report having been originally prepared, suppressed, and another substituted until I heard it at the Board Room this morning

Morning. The report actually delivered
in came first to Mr. Cuddleton who
opened it put his initials upon it
and sent it on to me, previous to
which I had never seen it nor
the draft from which it was prepared

Signed S. D'Vaz.

Mr. D'Vaz.

Examination continued 10th October 1849

2 It has been stated that P. Vur-
darajeloo wrote at your request to
C. Juggunadum to make enquiry
regarding Mr. Gantz's Memorial why
was this done? Were the letter
addressed to Juggunadum and his
answer to the same effect as the
papers you have just heard read?

A I desired Vurdarajeloo to write
to Runganadum to ascertain whether
my name had been mentioned in
Mr. Gantz's Memorial. I did not see
the letter he wrote but believe it
was to the purport of that which has
been read. I have seen the answer it
was to the same effect as the
paper which I have just heard read.
The answer was taken up by Vurdarajeloo

in my presence. I had heard from my
friends that Mr. Gantz had applied to
one of them, Mr. Boswell, to draw up
a Memorial in which my name was
to be mentioned as having attempted
to screen the Conicapolly. I was anxious
to know whether he had actually made
such a Statement. My informant was
Mr. Clarke the Magistrate, by screen-
ing the Conicopilly I mean. That
I was to have been represented by Mr.
Gantz as having induced him to sup-
press all mention of the Conicopilly
in the report made by him to the
Collector in March last. Subsequently
I saw the Amildar and spoke with
him on the subject. He stated that
Gantz could have no intention of making
such a charge against me for that he
could have no grounds for so doing. This
was before the letter was written
by Vurdarajeloo back to the
Amildar.

2 As Juggunadum assured
you that Mr. Gantz could not bring any
such charge against you, and as Mr. Boswell
had seen Mr. Gantz's papers, and must have
heard his story, why did you instruct her
apply

apply direct to Mr Boswell the afterwards indirectly through Vurdarajoolo to Juggandum if you are wished to know whether Mr Gantz had made such a statement against you in his Memorial

A I was aware that Mr Gantz had applied to Mr Boswell to draw up a Memorial containing such a charge against me but that Mr Boswell had refused to prepare the draft, I wished to know whether Mr Gantz had got it drawn up by any other party and whether it contained the same charge against me I desired Vurdarajoolo to write to Ranganadum rather than write to him myself because they are Neighbours intimately acquainted and I believe connected.

2 When the Surveyor and Amildar report of the 5th March came into your hands why did you observe to the Serishtadar that it was a stupid report?

A By stupid, I meant unsatisfactory.

Signed S. D'Vaz.

Examination Continued 19th October 1849.

2. Is it your usual practice to revise the rough drafts prepared by Mr Gantz

A. The reports prepared by Mr Gantz on various matters of business are sometimes sent not always. submitted to me for any verbal alterations. the report of the 5th March being of the nature of a defence against a charge is not a document which would have been brought to me or with which I would have interfered.

D.G.

Signed S. D'Vaz.

No 4.

Examination of Venkatasoobyah Serishtadar Madras Cutcherry before the Board of Revenue. 17th October 1849.-

2. State what you know respecting the preparation of the different reports drawn up by the Amildar and Surveyor relative to the land referred to in Ninah Pillay's Petition.-

A. I received an order from the Collector to inspect the land referred to in Ninah Pillay's Petition, on the 1st of March, and in that or the following day I proceeded with the Amildar and Surveyor to make the inspection.- On the second or third day I went to Mr Gantz's house in the Morning I did not see any one there.- I asked him about the error which had taken place which he attributed to the hurry and confusion of business; nothing further passed.- I saw no draft of a report.- I know nothing whatever of the report having been prepared, shewn to Mr D'Vaz, and subsequently withdrawn and another substituted.- I never heard of any report by Mr Gantz except that actually submitted to the Collector.- I reported by myself.

myself and not jointly with the Surveyor and Amildar because they were to blame and I had had no concern with the case which they had previously committed. I saw the rough drafts now shewn me, I Know not of them, never saw them before, had no concern in their preparation. The entry now shewn me in the Memorandum book is my writing. it was made by me on the occasion of our inspecting the land and contains the particulars connected with it.-

(Signed) D. Venkatasoobyah

Examination Continued 18th October 1849.

2. You stated that a day or two after the measurement you went to Mr. Gantz's house. How long were you there, and where did you go to from thence?-

A. I remained there a few minutes and afterwards went home.-

(Signed) D. Venkatasoobyah.

No. 5.

Examination of E. Colatee Pilly Translator Madras Cutcherry before the Board of Revenue 18th October 1849.-

2. Do you Know any thing of a report regarding the land referred to

in Ninah Pillay's Petition having been prepared by Mr. Gantz and Inggunadum, of it's having been cancelled by the persuasion of Mr. D'Vaz and another report substituted?-

A. I Know nothing about this matter nor did I hear any thing about it.-

Question by Inggunadum.-

2 Here is the order fining me 10 Rupees brought by you for the Collector's signature- Did the Peristadar then remark to you that the fraud perpetrated by the Conicopillay had fallen upon the heads of myself and the Surveyor.-

A. He observed that the fraud had been perpetrated by the Conicopilly- that that the amildar and Surveyor had made a report and they had been fined.-

(Signed) E. Colateepillay.

No. 6.

Examination of Mr. Ireland, Writer, Madras Cutcherry, before the Board of Revenue 18th October, 1849.-

2. Do you Know any thing of a report regarding the land referred to in Ninah Pillay's Petition having been prepared by Mr. Gantz and Inggunadum, of it's having been

Fort St. George 1th November 1849.

been cancelled by the persuasion of Mr. D'V
and another report substituted.-

A. I know nothing at all about
it.-

2 When Mr. Gantz makes rep
to the Collector is it usual with him in the
first instance to shew the rough draft
to Mr. D'Vaz.-

A. Yes, he is in the habit of
shewing his rough draft to in the first in
=stance to Mr. D'Vaz for his suggestions-

2. Do you know whether he shewed
him the one now put into your hands.-No.3.

A. I cannot say whether he shewed
this particular one.-

2. Jugganadum states that he and
Mr. Gantz brought a first report about
the land mentioned by Ninah Pillay to Mr. D'Vaz
in his room in the Office.- that you were present and
that Mr. D'Vaz in a loud voice told them
that if they sent in that report they would be dis=
=missed did such a circumstance occur.-

A. It might have happened but I did not hear-

(Signed) J. W. Ireland,

Fort St. George 1th November 1849.-

No. 7.

Examination of Armoogum Pillay, Pafs
Writer, Madras Cutcherry, before the
Board of Revenue.- 18th October 1849.-

2. Do you know any thing of a
report regarding the land referred to in
Ninah Pillay's Petition having been prepared
by Mr. Gantz and Jugganadum of its
having been cancelled by the persuasion
of Mr. D'Vaz and another report substi=
=tuted..

A. I do not know any thing
about it.- I did not hear any thing
about it.-

Question by Jugganadum.

2. Did you not hear it said in
the Cutcherry that I was misled by
the Conicopillay.-

A. Yes.- I heard it in the Cutcherry
and outside generally.-

(Signed) Armooga Pillay,

15865. Fort St. George 1st November 18[illegible]

Examination Continued 19th October 18[illegible]

2. What is the general practice of the Office in respect to reports pre=pared by the Surveyor.—

A. Mr. Gantz some times writes his rough drafts in his own house. some=times in the Office—they are usually given to the Manager or Sheristadar for their suggestions—I speak to what I understand to be the general practice, but I recollect on two occasions after I had fair copied Mr. Gantz's rough drafts the fair copies were taken by him to Mr. D'Vaz & brought back to me with pencil alter=tions in whose writing I do not remem=ber, I then recopied the fair drafts as altered.—

(Signed) Armoogum

No. 8.

15866 Fort St. George 1st November 1819.

No. 8

Examination of Vurdarajooloo. Register Madrasbutelerry before the Board of Revenue 18th October 1819.

2 Did you at Mr. D'Vaz's request write to Jaggannadum on the subject of Mr. Gantz's Memorial if so, why and what occurred.—

A. At Mr. D'Vaz's desire I wrote to Jagganadum because he is my Caste Man to desire him to ascer=tain what mention has been made of Mr. D'Vaz in Mr. Gantz's Memorial. This is the letter I wrote this is Copy of the answer I received Store up the original answer. I went to Jagganadums house the same Evening and saw him again the Morning after I received the answer. On both these occasions he told me he had not seen Gantz. and Could give us information. I dont know why Mr. D'Vaz was anxious to know what had been said about him in the Memorial.—

2 Jagganadum has stated that he and Mr. Gantz prepared a report respecting the land prefered to in Nimak Pillay's petition that they took it to Mr. D'Vaz who advised them to suppress it and to send in a different report and that you were present when this advice was given what do you know about this.—

A. I was not present and neither know nor have heard any thing about it.—

(Signed) V. Vurdarazooloo.—

Examination

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

Examination 20th October 1849, Continued

2 You have heard the Statement made by Bashecarlo Naik and Vencatasoo Naik did you hold with Juggannadam Naik the conversation which they have mentioned?

A I did not use the expression which they have attributed to me — we examined the letter and had some discussion as to the meaning of some words and that is whether the purport of it was that Gantz's Memorial had been sent in he said he had seen Gantz and could not tell me anything but would see him to morrow and come to the Cutcherry and tell me; Nothing more passed between us all the rest that these men have stated is false. but they were present.

(Signed) P. Vurdarajooloo.

No 9

Examination of Bashecarlo Naik Writer Civil and Sessions Office before the Board of Revenue 19th October 1849.

2 Do you know any thing of Vurdarajooloo Coming to your house to visit Juggannadam about 15 days ago and of what then passed?

A Yes I was present during this visit

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

visit he said you have promised to come once or twice and have not come and Mr. D'Vaz is very uneasy at your not having come, I have had your Note read to Mr. D'Vaz in Tamil and told him that there is mention of his name in Mr. Gantz's Memorial Juggannadam replied that is not my meaning, thereupon Vurdarajooloo produced the letter and to Juggannadam pointed out that all he meant was that he had heard Gantz had sent his Memorial in. thereupon Vurdarajooloo remarked that had the Conocapillay been dismissed on the receipt of the Government report Matters would not have ended so seriously, they then spoke aside and I could not hear what was said on rising to go away Vurdarajooloo said pray go to Gantz ascertain what he has said in his Memorial and come and tell me to tomorrow morning. to this Juggannadam answered I cannot go to night I'll go tomorrow Morning and will come to the Cutcherry or Mr. D'Vaz's house and report. he that is Vurdarajooloo, asked him two or three times over whether he would go, and said that he Vurdarajooloo would be glad to hear that Juggannadam had gone before he

Mr. Lawrence of Rhode Island.

Quarter Session of the Peace held at Providence for the County of Providence aforesaid on the first Tuesday of October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven.

Present

The Honorable Joseph Lawrence Esquire Chief Justice
Thomas Arnold Esquire
Benjamin Greene Esquire
Samuel Ward Esquire
Daniel Jenckes Esquire
Richard Ellery Esquire
Jeremiah Olney Esquire
Stephen Hopkins Esquire
Henry Ward Esquire
Nicholas Brown Esquire
Joseph Nightingale Esquire
Jabez Bowen Esquire
Thomas Arnold Esquire
Benjamin Greene Esquire
Samuel Ward Esquire
Daniel Jenckes Esquire
Richard Ellery Esquire
Jeremiah Olney Esquire
Stephen Hopkins Esquire
Henry Ward Esquire
Nicholas Brown Esquire
Joseph Nightingale Esquire
Jabez Bowen Esquire

Justices

2

Whereas Thomas Lawrence late of the Town of Providence in the County aforesaid Gentleman was by the Grand Jury for the County aforesaid at the last Court of Common Pleas held at Providence aforesaid for the County aforesaid on the first Tuesday of June last past presented for that he the said Thomas Lawrence on the twenty fifth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven at Providence aforesaid in the County aforesaid with force and arms did make an assault upon one Jeremiah Olney Esquire and him the said Jeremiah Olney Esquire did beat wound and ill treat so that his life was greatly despaired of to the great damage of the said Jeremiah Olney Esquire and against the peace and dignity of the State aforesaid as by the Indictment thereof remaining of Record in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas for the County aforesaid more fully appears

And the said Thomas Lawrence being now brought to the Bar in his own proper Person and having heard the Indictment aforesaid read and the Matter therein contained to him fully understood for Plea thereto says that he is not guilty of the Premises charged upon him in the Indictment aforesaid and of this he puts himself upon the Country

And the Attorney General who prosecutes for the State aforesaid doth the like

Therefore let a Jury come before the Justices of our next Court of Common Pleas to be held at Providence aforesaid for the County aforesaid on the first Tuesday of December next who neither &c to recognize &c the same day is given to the Parties &c

2

1587/ Oct/Nov Quarter / Mr. Lawrence / Rhode Island

Mr. Lawrence

Whereas Thomas Lawrence late of the Town of Providence in the County aforesaid Gentleman was by the Grand Jury for the County aforesaid at the last Court of Common Pleas held at Providence aforesaid for the County aforesaid on the first Tuesday of June last past presented for that he the said Thomas Lawrence on the twenty fifth day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and eighty seven at Providence aforesaid in the County aforesaid with force and arms did make an assault upon one Jeremiah Olney Esquire and him the said Jeremiah Olney Esquire did beat wound and ill treat so that his life was greatly despaired of to the great damage of the said Jeremiah Olney Esquire and against the peace and dignity of the State aforesaid as by the Indictment thereof remaining of Record in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas for the County aforesaid more fully appears

And the said Thomas Lawrence being now brought to the Bar in his own proper Person and having heard the Indictment aforesaid read and the Matter therein contained to him fully understood for Plea thereto says that he is not guilty of the Premises charged upon him in the Indictment aforesaid and of this he puts himself upon the Country

And the Attorney General who prosecutes for the State aforesaid doth the like

Therefore let a Jury come before the Justices of our next Court of Common Pleas to be held at Providence aforesaid for the County aforesaid on the first Tuesday of December next who neither &c to recognize &c the same day is given to the Parties &c

1587

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819

Translation of No. 13

Examination of Mr. Jones Mr. Gantz's Cook.

2 Do you know any thing about the measurement that took place in Purwak some time back?

A Yes I know that my Master went to Purwakum for measurement some seven or eight months ago.—

2 How do you recollect your Master having gone to Purwak for measurement seven or eight months ago so well?

A It is usual with my master when he goes out to tell me where he is going and what to prepare for his table. The same was the case on this occasion.—

2 Do you know any thing about the Sheristadar having come to your Masters house about this time?

A Yes. he came one day after the measurement. I do not know exactly how many days subsequent to it.—

2 Is the Sheristadar in the habit of

Fort St. George 1st. November 1819.

coming to your Masters house?

A I did not see him come any time before this occasion on mark of Mr. Jones.

No 14

Mr. Maskell.

You are requested to afford explanation upon the following points.—

2 C. Juggunadum has stated that the Sheristadar D. Venkatasobiah on quitting Mr. Gantz's on the morning of the 2d March last, said that he was going to your house.— Did he call upon you on that morning and if so for what purpose and as what passed between you.—

A I really cannot say whether he called at my house or not, on that particular day.—

2 Is he in the habit of coming to your house, and if so, why?

A He is not in the habit of coming to my house. About 14 or 15 years ago Venkatasobiah was a clerk in the Sea Custom House at which time

time I was employed in the Madras Attendants Office. We saw each other frequently then, but since he went to the Madras Cutcherry and I came to the Board's Office we rarely meet. He has since I came to this Office called occasionally at my house but very seldom. On these occasions I received him with the Courtesy due to a Native of his respectability and our conversation was common place or of a general nature.

Signed C. Mackell
20th October 1819.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(62) From the Collector of Trichinopoly ..... } Statement Exhibiting the Collections of Land Revenue for ..... July 1258.

(63) From the Collector of Trichinopoly ..... } Statement exhibiting the charges incurred for July 1258.

(62-64) Orderon the two abovementioned Statements ..... recorded. } Ordered to be

Read the undermentioned Lists

(65) From the Collector of Trichinopoly ..... } List of Letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th September last.

(66) From the Collector of Trichinopoly ..... } List of Letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th September last.

(67) From the Collector of Canara ..... } List of Letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th September last.

(68) From the Collector of Canara ..... } List of Letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to 30th September last.

(65-69) Ordered on the four abovementioned Lists ..... recorded. } Ordered to be

Read the undermentioned registers

(70) From the Collector of South Arcot ..... } Register of Endorsements passed on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of September 1819. } Register

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly ... } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of September 1849.

71

From the Collector of Canara ... } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of August last.

72

From the Collector of Canara ... } Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of September last.

73

Order on the four abovementioned Registers ... } Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

70-74

From the Collector of Ganjam ... } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

75

From the Collector of Ganjam ... } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

76

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

77

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

78

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Salt account Current for the quarter Endg 30th September last.—

79

From the Collector of Guntoor. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

80

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

81

From the Collector of Nellore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

82

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the

83

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

the average Bazar wholesale price
grain and salt for the month
September last.

84
From the Acting Collector of
Bellary
Abstract of D
and Collection and Balance
the month of September last.

85
From the Acting Collector of
Bellary
Statement
shewing the average Bazar whole
sale prices of Grain and Salt for
the month of September last.

86
From the Collector of
Chingleput
Return of fines
and stoppages made from the
pay of Public servants for the
quarter Ending 30th September last.

87
From the Collector of
Chingleput
Stating that certain
return for the quarter Ending 30th
September last are not forwarded.

88
From the Collector of
North Arcot
Return of fines suspen
and stoppages made from the
pay of Public servants for the
quarter Ending 30th September last.

L.B.

Fort St. George 1st November 1849.

89
From the Collector of
North Arcot
Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th September last.

90
From the Collector of
North Arcot
Return of Public servants suspended and dismissed for the quarter ending 30th September last.

91
From the Collector of
Salem
Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

92
From the Collector of
Salem
Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

Return

93 From the Collector of Salem . . . . . Return of persons Charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last.

94 From the Collector of Salem . . . . . Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of September last.

95 From the Collector of Tanjore . . . . . Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

96 From the Collector of Tanjore . . . . . Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

97 From the Collector of Tanjore . . . . . [illegible]ter of the State

[illegible] State of the River Cowery at the annicut for September last.

98 From the Collector of Trichinopoly . . . . . Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

99 From the Collector of Trichinopoly . . . . . Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

100 From the Collector of Trichinopoly . . . . . Stating that no persons were charged or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last

101 From the Collector of Trichinopoly . . . . . Stating that no persons

persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of September last.

(102) From the Collector of Madura ..... Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th September last.

(103) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly ..... Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

(104) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly ..... Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

(105) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly ..... Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the

month of September last.

(106) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly ..... Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of September last.

(107) From the Collector of Canara ..... Return of Villages Officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of August last.

(108) From the Collector of Malabar ..... Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

(109) From the Collector of Malabar ..... Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

Acknowledging

15887

(110)

Fort St. George 1st. November 1849

From the Collector of

Madras.

Acknowledging the

receipt of 1000 Salt Rawannahs

Comp. Bon. Gals.

" G.T. Bury

" Wyld. Wingham

15888 Fort St. George November 1849.

Diary

3d. November Received the following

letter.

From J.B. Pinnell Esqre. Sub Secretary to the Board of Revenue

To J. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(1)

Sir I have the honor to request that you will submit to Government subject to the recommendation of the Board, my application for (2) Months leave of absence on Neilgherry Hills under Section XI and XII of the amended Rules and to that the permission to absent myself from my duties may be considered as taking effect from the date of the departure from the Presidency.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. November 1849.

Signed J.B. Pinnell
Sub Secretary.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted thro' the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable Consideration of Government.

(1-2)

A.P.

15889 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

At a Meeting Present:-

Monday 5th November 1849 } J. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member
S. Goldingham Esqre Third Member
Walter Elliott Esqre Second Member

absent on duty &c
Commissr No. Circar

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

3 From the Secy. } Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
to Government } under date the 26th October 1849 No. 1075

Read the following statement shewing the amount of arrears due to certain Zemindaries in the District of Nellore submitted by the Board of Revenue on the 15th October 1849 No. 1349:

(Here enter)

Resolved, that the Collector of Nellore be authorized to disburse subject to audit the arrears due to the Zemindaries Khyrumbee and Bujpumbee to September 1849 amounting respectively to Rupees 18-12-

Eighteen

15890 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Eighteen and annas twelve, and ex
Rupees 1812 - / Fifty Six and an
nas four -

/ A true Extract /

To The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Acting Secretary to Govert

3-4 Order thereon Ordered to be commu-
nicated for the information and guid-
ance of the Collector of Nellore in th
reference to his Statement dated 3d Ultimo.

In ord. 15th Augt 1849

5 From the Acting Secretary } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
to Government } under date the 26th October 1849 No. 1076.

Read the following

Petition.

From Seppoo Shaw Hoos-
saing son of the late
Mohedeen Shaw Kolipaky
Grand son of the deceased
Shaw ally Hall Shaw
ally and Nephew of the
present Fazala Ally Shaw
Surgeon Inhabitant of
North Arcot -

/ Here Enter 13th October 1849 /

Para 1 The Right Honorable
the

the Governor in Council observes that
the renewal of the allowance in which
the Petitioner enjoys a share, was spe-
cially referred for the orders of the
Honorable the Court of Directors and
received their sanction in Para 3 & 7
of the Despatch dated 2d June 1841 Pub.
Under the instructions then commu-
cated, the grant was rearranged and
the amount payable to each person
was ordered to be disbursed direct
from the Collectors' Treasury and co
continued for his life and these ar-
rangements were finally approved by
the Honorable Court as being in
accordance with their intentions. It
appears from the Endorsements of the
Collector of South Arcot and the Board
of Revenue that the Petitioner has been
in the regular receipt of his share of the
allowance; and the Governor in
Council does not see therefore that
the Petitioner has any just grounds
for Complaint.

2 Before however transmitting the Memorial submitted by the Petitioner to the address of the Honorable

To Hon'ble Court 18th July 1839 Pt. 17 Para 6

Minutes of Cons. 21st January 1841 Pt. 62.

Despatch dated 21st May 1845 No. 9 Para 14.

Honorable Court, the Governor in Council
deems it necessary to obtain from the
Collector of South Arcot through
the Board of Revenue a report upon
the allegations contained in the latter
part of Para 1 regarding the names of
certain pensioned Sepoys having been
included in the List of pensioners
furnished by Fazul Ali Shaw and
submitted by the Board with their
Proceedings of the 22d December 1847
No. 505, and also on the Statement
in Para 2 that certain arrears due
to the Petitioner under the original
grant and prior to the date on which
effect was given to the arrangements
sanctioned on the 21st January
1845, were unjustly withheld
from him.

/ A true Extract /

To The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley
Acting Secretary to Govern.t

(5-6)

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the
foregoing

foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation together with the original

*to be returned

Copy of the Memorial which accompanied it, be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot with a request that he will submit a report upon the allegations contained in Sirpoo Shaw Hoosanjee's Memorial adverted to by Government in Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation

7
From the Asst. Sec.y to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1829 No. 1043.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Fort St. George 18th October 1829. No. 1452 /

Under the circumstances represented by the Collector of Masulipatam in the letter which accompanied the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has no other alternative left than to grant sanction for writing off to Profit and Loss the balance appearing standing against the Stamp accounts of that District amounting to Rupees 4[illegible]3 1 4 / Four hundred and forty three and annas one. He observes that some of

the items comprising this balance have been continued in account since the years 1826 1827 1828 and 1829 without any steps having been taken for their adjustment, a circumstance not to the credit of those Collectors who preceded Mr Porter and even during his Collectorship (now 7 years) the matter has been left unnoticed. He desires that the views taken by Government may be notified to that Gentlemen, together with his disapprobation of the conduct of the Sheristadar whose bounden duty it was to see all items of Revenue duly accounted for and adjusted.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / W. A. Bayley.
Acting Secretary to Government

7-8
Order thereon.

Ordered that the communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his letter dated 5th Ultimo, Mr. Porter will intimate to the Sheristadar of his Cutcherry the observations of Government in that portion of the Minutes of Consultation which refers to him.

In Cons.n 18th October 1829.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Extract

15895 Fort St. George 5th November 1819.

From the Acting Sec.y to Government

9

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st November 1819 No. 10110

Received the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 18th October 1819 No. 1155)

Resolved that the foregoing Report be communicated to the Civil auditor with reference to para 3 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 13th January 1820 No. 53 and that the Acting Collector of Bellary be authorised to disburse the arrears due to Sunkulloo the surviving Sharer in the Ayeomah to the 31st August 1819, and to continue the payment of his share at the rate of Rupees 3 — 3 — 7 1/2 Three Annas three and Vice Seven per Measem as fixed by the Orders of Government of the above date.

Rupees 113 11 7 One hundred and Thirteen Annas fourteen and Vice Seven

/ A true Extract /

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley. Acting Secretary to Government

9-10

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance

15896 Fort St. George 5th November 1819

11

From the Acting Secretary to Govt. 18th October 1819.

guidance of the Acting Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 15th September last.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st November 1819 No. 10115

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/ Here enter 11th October 1819 No. 1143 /

The Governor in Council is of opinion that as the family has become extinct by the death of the last holder without issue, the Enam might now be resumed, but in consideration of all the circumstances set forth in the Board's Proceedings, he has no objection to the continuance of the Enam to H. Kistnum Bhuttoo the nephew of the deceased suitably as a matter of grace it being distinctly understood that the grant is only for his life.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract / Signed / W. H. Bayley. Acting Secretary to Govt.

11-12

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of

of the acting Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 19th September last.

In cons.d 11th October 1849.

2 The original document forwarded by Mr. Kelly is returned herewith - and he will be pleased to inform Canagherry Jaya Veneata charry that his claim to the continuance of this Emam is inadmissible.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(13)

From the Collector of Ganjam. } Sir With reference to the

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 5th February 1849 I have the honor to state for their information that the Increase in the quantity of the Broad Cloth indented for on the 18th January last above that of the Indent of the 23d December 1848 as therein remarked has arisen from the

appropriation on similar former occasions from the Broad Cloth Pces left by G. E. Russell Esqre of such portion of Cloth as suited for the borders of the Belts of the Deloyets Pces and to request that they will under the above explanation be pleased to issue the necessary orders for the supply of the indented Cloth at an earlier date, the greatest portion of the remaining Woollens Pces left by Mr. G. E. Russell having been sold and the proceeds thereof credited to Government under instructions from the Accountant General.—

Ganjam Collectors Cutcherry Chatterpore 23d October 1849.

Signed A. C. Onslow
Collector

(13-14)

Proceedings thereon. The Collector is informed that see the date of the Notification in Page 657 of the Fort St. George Gazette, the

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

the Jeug of Wallers from
the Clothing Stores for
Peons Belts and Jabbo
Covers &c. has ceased. He
will therefore be pleased
to obtain the Cloth
the Market and ind
the value of the same
with the cost of mak
ing up the Belts, in
his Statement of actual
Charges incurred within
Estimate in Fusly 1259

Read

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

Read the following letter-

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

From the Acting Collr. of Bellary - - - submitting a Statement of the Balance of Land Revenue of the Bellary District for Fusly 1257 as it stood on the 30th ultimo, and requesting to obtain the Sanction of Government for remitting the total.

Sir,

Para 1 I do myself the honor to forward a Taloo-kwar Statement of the Balance of Land Revenue of this District for Fusly 1257 as it stood on the 30th ultimo, distinguishing the recoverable portion thereof, from that proved to be irrecoverable. The following is a brief abstract of the same.

Balance of Fusly 1257 on the 30th September 1849. vide Column 4 of the accompanying Statement.	Rupees.	3499. 14. 8
Deduct amount recoverable hereafter vide Column 5 of Do.	"	463. 6. 8
Net amount irrecoverable Vide Column 12 requiring Sanction to be written off	"	3036. 8. "

This

This last Mentioned sum consists of the undermentioned Particulars

Viz.t

Balance against 44 Individuals on account of Ryssack Bunjer / the Estimation at the Summalundy of the 2d Crop Cultivation / which was not afterwards sown from insufficiency of water or other causes. - - -

1903

Fort St. George

Statement Shewing the recoverable and

Ceded Districts for Fusly 1257-

Collectors' Division. Kumlee.

	Talooks.	Balance of Fusly 1257 at the beginning of Fusly 1259.	Deduct Subsequent Collections up to 30th September 1849.	Net Balance on the 30th September 1849	Amount recoverable hereafter	Total including Nos. of Ryots	Nos. of Ryots	Amount
	1	2	3	4	5		12	
		C.R.V. a.s.p.	C.R.V. a.s.p.	C.R.V. a.s.p.	C.R.V. a.s.p.	C.R.V. a.s.p.		
1	Bellary	216 8 3	.. .. ..	216 8 3	.. .. ..	216 8 3	18	3 10 1
2	Kumplee	95 4 10	22 - 10	73 4 ..	.. .. ..	73 4 ..	13	.. .. ..
3	Hurpunhully	487 4 2	.. .. ..	487 4 2	6 11 8	480 8 6	86	2 5 ..
4	Hoodlighee	31 6 9	.. 6 9	31	.. .. ..	31	3	.. .. ..
5	Hoovenhudgaly	87 8 10	.. .. ..	87 8 10	.. .. ..	87 8 10	14	2 43
6	Adonie	54 4 1	.. .. ..	54 4 1	.. .. ..	54 4 1	3	.. .. ..
7	Goollem	1386 12 10	41 2 1	1345 10 9	338 1 11	1007 8 10	79	.. .. ..
8	Punchapollem	279 8 9	101 .. 11	178 7 10	69 6 10	109 1 ..	6	.. .. ..
9	Gooty	75 11 9	.. .. ..	75 11 9	9 13 9	65 14 ..	11	.. .. ..
10	Yadakee	377 15 4	.. .. ..	377 15 4	.. .. ..	377 15 4	19	7 59
11	Raidwag	22	.. .. ..	22	.. .. ..	22	2	.. .. ..
12	Jandpatry	91 10 10	12 2 10	79 8 ..	.. .. ..	79 8 ..	19	41 405
	Total	3206 - 5 176 13 5		3029 3 ..	424 2 2	2605 .. 10	273	ctors O

Ordered

1903

Statement

Fort St. George.

Particulars of Irrecoverable balance from land Cultivated

5th November 1849.

Division Number.	Taluk	By Deaths		By Emigration		By Poverty and other Causes		Loss Produce Fcal Yr.		Total		Total including Vysack Bunjer.	
		No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount
		7		8		9		10		11		12	
			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
		1	1 3 4	1	3 7 2	14	44 9 2	33	115 2 10	49	164 6 6	49	164 6 6
Collector.	1 Bellary							10	75 .. 10	10	75 .. 10	13	85 15 10
	2 Rumplee												
	3 Hurpunhe												
	4 Hoodlighe					4	6 6 5			4	6 6 5	6	11 12 ..
	5 Hoovenh												
	6 Adonie							2	66 12 ..	2	66 12 ..	4	109 12 ..
	7 Goollem												
	8 Punchap							4	59 8 10	4	59 8 10	4	59 8 10
	9 Gooty												
	10 Yadakee	4	1 .. 1 3 4	1	3 7 2	18	50 15 7	49	316 8 6	69	372 2 7	76	431 7 2
	11 Raidwag												
	12 Jandpatry	8 5	17 69 8 ..	58	361 15 3	148	1370 12 0	85	828 11 7	308	2630 15 7	349	3036 8 ..

Office, 9th October 1849. E. E.

J.R. / Signed / C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(15-16)

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Submitting letter from the acting Collector of Bellary on the subject of the balances of Land Revenue in his District for Fusly 1257 and recommending that the irrecoverable portion may be written off to profit and Loss.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Bellary dated the 9th. October 1849 on the subject of the Balances of Land Revenue of his District for Fusly 1257 - 1847/48

2.

From this letter it will be observed that the balances of Land Revenue on account of Fusly 1257 - 1847/48 outstanding on the 30th. September 1849 was Rupees 3499 - 14 - 8 of which Rupees 463. 6. 8 is reported to be recoverable hereafter and Rupees 3036-8-" is considered to be irrecoverable. Of this last mentioned sum of Rupees 405. 8. 5 is stated to be due on account of Uysack Bunjer, of extent of 2d. Crop cultivation estimated at the Jummabundy but not afterwards sown from deficiency of water and other causes Rupees 69. 8. " by persons who have died Rupees 361. 15. 3 by those who have

Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

have emigrated Rupees 1370 - 12 - 9 by those who are reduced to poverty - and Rupees 828 - 11 - 7 by those whose crops were destroyed by floods. It is stated that the above balance, upon careful enquiry instituted by the Acting Collector and his European Subordinates in their respective divisions during the settlement of Fusly 1258, was found to be totally irrecoverable, and under these circumstances the Board beg to support the application of the Acting Collector for writing off in his accounts the irrecoverable balance of Land Revenue of Fusly 1257 amounting to Rupees 3036 - 8 - " Three thousand and thirty Six and annas eight.

3.

From the Demand Collection and Balance Statement received from Bellary for September 1849 it is perceived that the only balance for years prior to Fusly 1257 is Rupees 89 - 1 - on account of Fusly 1256 and this sum would appear to be recoverable.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

(Signed) T. Bycroft
Secretary

Read

Read the following letter.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(17)

From the Acting Collector of Bellary — Sir, In a Circular letter of the 15th. March last the Collectors are enjoined to settle the Inoturpha in person at the season of Summahbundy. The Inoturpha in the Bellary District comprise two heads, Veesabady and Bughyr Veesabady.— The former as the Board of Revenue is aware is regulated under a special Regulation of Government.

2. The provisions of this Regulation limit the duties of the Collector to the regulating the proportion of the aggregate Assessment determined by the Governor in Council on each Taloog and to the receiving and deciding on Appeals from the decision of referees on any matter connected with the Veesabady the details of which are to be conducted by the Tahsildar in the respective Talooks, and also to the investigation of charges of corruption and

Reporting in reply to the Board's Circular dated 15th March last certain objections to making the Settlement of Veesabady in person as being contrary to a special Regulation and moreover as being inexpedient until the question of the aggregate Assessment of this Tax altered before the Board is finally disposed of, requests permission to allow the settlement of Veesabady for the present to remain as heretofore made.

Regulation IX of 1818.

* See Section V

See Section VIII

See Section IX

and fraud — all the other duties are to be conducted by the Merchants themselves under the immediate management of the Tahsildars. To these special duties I conceive I must confine myself under this Regulation.

3. There is also another difficulty which presents itself to me, and which would render it inexpedient at present for the Collector to interfere directly in the settlement of the Veesabady. I allude to the discussion on the subject of framing the quinquennial Estimate which in the correspondence noted in the margin took place between the Collector Mr. Mellor, the Board of Revenue and the Government. This important point has never yet been disposed of. Mr. Mellor gave his reasons why the Estimate could not be, as it never had been framed on the precise terms of the Regulation and proposed that if the Estimate as framed upon other data,

† To the Board Dated 5th. September 1845.
From Do — Dated 13th. November — "
To — Do — Dated 5th. November 1846.
From Do. Dated 1st. May — "
To — Do. — Dated 18th. June — "
From — Do. Dated 13th. July — "
To — Do. Dated 29th. August — "

Section III

was

was not satisfactory that Section III of the Regulation should be modified.

4. This point as I have remarked has not yet been disposed of and in fact according to the views expressed by the late Most Noble the Governor in Council the Assessment as now collected is contrary to Regulation.

5. Perhaps the expressions of Section III are intended to have a general scope and it may not be necessary to interpret them as strictly requiring that the Accounts of Export and Import should be forthcoming in order to regulate the Amount of Tessabady to be assessed on the whole Province; but whether such is the case or not in the present uncertainty it would not be safe for the Collector to enter upon a new course of making the Settlement of the Tessabady when it is more than probable he would see cause to assess individuals higher than they now are and who might if dissatisfied object on the ground that the aggregate Assessment was

not lawful. Until therefore this point is first settled I would beg to recommend that no change in the present mode of making the Tessabady be entered on. Years have given sanction to the present system but innovations will raise disputes to meet which the Collector must have law to support him.

6. The amount of the Tessabady tax in Bellary as compared with the taxes imposed on Merchants in other parts of the Madras Presidency is said to be very heavy. The entire abolition of taxes on Merchants in the Bombay Territory as stated by Mr. Mellor and the general falling off in the profits of this class of the Community since the Tessabady system was legalized by a special Act have given rise to some discontent on the part of the Merchants of this District.

7. It is probable that Mr. Mellor's Estimate No. 2 forwarded with his letter of 29th August 1846 and framed on a new principle was too high. The Estimate No. 1 framed on Colonel Munro's

Munro's data was possibly more correct. But whatever the amount fixed may be the distribution of it requires modifications which under the present Regulation the Collector would find it impracticable to make. In the Town of Bellary for instance the Merchants there have increased very considerably in wealth and the profits of trade bear no proportion to the tax imposed. When compared with the Assessment on Merchants in the District generally - But in order to enable the Collector to raise the tax on these Merchants some modification enabling him to Assess the Towns separately would be requisite.

8. Should the Board of Revenue think fit to make any alternation in the System as now conducted, I shall be prepared to submit what alteration in the Regulation I think it will be necessary to make. But until these alternations are made I would beg permission for the two reasons above stated to allow the Settlement of the Veesabady to remain as it is at the

9. The Settlement of the Ayen Poturpha or Baghyr Veesabady can be conducted as the Board require tho' it will impose considerable extra labor upon them by the Collector and his Subordinates - The result of a personal investigation into this branch will I apprehend lead to the introduction of several alterations in the Assessment and which I shall do myself the honor of reporting on at the close of the current Fusly -

Bellary, Collector's Office,
11th. October, 1849.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector.

17-18
Proceedings thereon.

In the letter above recorded the Acting Collector in reference to the Circular from this Office under date 15th. ult. March last directing Collectors to settle the Poturpha in person at the season of Summabandy, brings to notice, that the Poturpha in the Bellary District consists of two branches the Veesabaddy and Baghyr Veesabaddy. The former of these is regulated by a special enactment - Regulation IV of 1818 the provisions of which restrict the duties of the Collector to the apportioning

Section 6.

Sec: IV among the several Talooks the aggregate Assessment for the whole Zillah is determined by the Governor in Council to the admission and decision of Appeals

Sec: VIII from the awards made by referees respecting the Neeseem or shares to be assessed on individuals, and Sec: IX to the investigation of charges of corruption and fraud - To these special duties Mr. Pelly considers that he must confine himself under the Regulation all other Proceedings connected with the Settlement of the Tax being conducted by the Merchants themselves Sec: VI. under the immediate superintendence of the Tahsildar.

2.

As a further reason why it would be inexpedient at present for the Collector to interfere directly in the Settlement of the Veesabady Mr. Pelly has also referred to the discussion still pending relative to the framing of the Quinquennial Estimate for the Veesabady of the Bellary District and under both the considerations adverted to, he has submitted it as his opinion that for the present at least

least no change should be made in the mode of regulating the Veesabuddy, and that the Settlement of that head of revenue should be allowed to be conducted in the same manner as has hitherto obtained.

The Settlement of the Iyen Inolurpha on Baghyr Veesabuddy can be observed be carried out in the way desired by the Board but he apprehends that a personal investigation into the details of this branch will lead to the introduction of several alterations in the Assessment on which he will report to the Board at the close of the current Fusly.

The Board of Revenue

3.

observe that their orders of 15th March last must be understood to apply only to the Settlement of the Inolurpha or Baghyr Veesabuddy. The nature and extent of the Collectors interference respecting the Assessment of the Veesabuddy proper, is laid down in Secs: IV VIII, IX XII Regulation IV - 1818 - and pending the revision of this branch of Revenue the Collectors of Bellary and

Cuddapah

15915.

Fort St. George 5th. November 1819.

Cuddapah to which District copy of these proceedings will be communicated will restrict themselves to the exercise of the powers devolving upon them under the provisions referred to. The Settlement of the Inuns will be made by the Collectors at the Summabundy but Mr. Petty will be careful not to make any alternations in the existing rates of Assessment without the previous sanction of the Board.

Read the following letters.

To, T. Bycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

19

From the Collector of Sir,
Chingleput } I have the honor to submit
for the consideration and orders of the
Board the accompanying Report regard-
ing the Registry of a Yeomiah allowance
of 12 Rupees per Annum granted for
the support of a Mosque situated at
Nasarulpettah attached to Conjeveram
in the name of Mahomed Hafeejoollah,
the eldest son of the late Yeomiahdar Ma-
homed Ebrahim deceased and who was a
Cousin of the Original grantee.

Chingleput District, }
Collector's Cutcherry, }
Pullecarney, 27th. October, 1819. }

(Signed) A. Greese.
Collector.

Report on the Regis

15916

nd 9th. August 1819.

Case.	Taluk and Village.	Extent and Value of the enam or Geome	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.	Decision of Government.
			13	14
1	2	3		
1	Mosque at Nasa: rut, spettah in Conjeve: ram	Yeo: mial allowance per Taluk Annum Rs. 12.	ul. the ed. Rs. 3 8 or per ka with his) w nend d	

Chingleput
Collector's Cu
Pullecarney, 27

The

15915.

Fort St. George 5th. November 1819.

Cuddapah to which District copy of these proceedings will be communicated will restrict themselves to the exercise of the powers devolving upon them under the provisions referred to. The Settlement of the Inuruftha will be made by the Collectors at the Summah bundy but Mr. Pelly will be careful not to make any alterations in the existing rates of Assessment without the previous sanction of the Board.

Read the following letters.

To J. Bycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

(19)

From the Collector of Sir,
Chingleput } I have the honor to submit
for the consideration and orders of the
Board the accompanying Report regard-
ing the Registry of a Yeomiah allowance
of 12 Rupees per Annum granted for
the support of a Mosque situated at
Nasarulpellah attached to Conjeveram
in the name of Mahomed Hafeejoollah,
the eldest son of the late Yeomiahdar Ma-
homed Ebrahim deceased and who was a
Cousin of the Original grantee.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 27th. October, 1819. } (Signed) A Greese
Collector.

Report

15916

Report on the Regis nd 9th. August 1819.

Case.	Talook and Village	Extent and Value of the entire Enam or Geome	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue and grounds on which it is founded.	Decision of Govern-ment.
			13	14
1			Mosque Yeo: at meah ul: rut Nasarulpellah per allowance Conjeveram Rs. 3 8 ram or per Talooke Annum Rs. 4 as 12.	

Chingleput
Collector's Cr
Pullecarney, 27

The

Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

Proceedings thereon;

(19-20)

The Board observed that the conditions of the Purwanah forwarded in support of the continuance of the Jeemiah lately enjoyed by Mahomed Ibrahim were to continue "in charity daily the allowance of 2 Annas in a "Rupee for 12 months so long as the Mosque" "or Tomb" and which word it is remarked have been erased/"remains" or as it is stated in Column 6 of the Collector's Statement so long as the Mosque is kept in proper order. The Collector has however omitted to state the nature of the duty to be performed at the Mosque or Tomb and whether the building is kept in repair and he is requested to supply the omission. He will likewise state what has become of the Purwanah said to have been granted by the Nawab Anwurdi Khan and continued by the document of 12th July 1795 whether the building of which the Allowance forms the endowment be really a Mosque or a Tomb, and how the Jeemiah is entered in the District account. He will also if he be able

explain

Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

explain the meaning of the expression in the Purwanah of "the daily allowance "of two Annas in a Rupee for twelve "months" and how this is rendered equivalent to a yearly allowance of twelve Pagodas.

It will not be necessary to send a letter accompanying each Tabular report of the Jeemiahs in future unless it be necessary to enter into further explanation than can be given in the Statement.

To, J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(21)

From the Collector of Chingleput, Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of Yesterday's date, I have the honor to state that Mr. Phillips will have leave to be to the Presidency.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarney, 2nd. November 1849.

(Signed) A. Steeve
Collector.

Ordered

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

15919 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Order thereon;

(21-22)

30th October income Tax No: vember 1849.

Ordered that the foregoing letter, and the previous one from Mr. Phillips applying for 3 months leave of absence on private affairs under Section XI of the Rules of January 1840 be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government

To

DG.

15920 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(23)

From the Collector of Singleput } Sir

Adverting to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 29th Ultimo. I have the honor to forward an Abstract Statement for the year on the 10th December of the Lands alluded to in the Statement which accompanied my letter of the 19th Ultimo.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Singleput District.
Collectors Cutcherry....
Pullecarney.....
3d November 1849....

} Signed A Freese
Collector

Notice.

15919 Fort St. George 5th November 1840.

Order thereon;

(21-22)

Ordered that the foregoing letter, and the previous one from Mr. Phillips applying for 3 months leave of absence on private affairs under section XI of the Rules of January 1840 be submitted through the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

30th October in Cons: 1st November 1840.

RECEIVED

J.

D.G.

15920 Fort St. George 5th November 1840.

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(23)

From the Collector of Sir
Chingleput } Adverting to Extract from
the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
under date the 29th Ultimo. I have the honor
to forward an advertisement for the sale
on the 10th December of the lands alluded
to in the Statement which accompanied
my letter of the 19th Ultimo.

Chingleput District.
Collectors Cutcherry.... } Signed A Freese
Pullecarney..... } Collector
3d November 1840...

ORIGINAL RECEIVED DEC 1840

Notice.

15921 Fort St. George 5th November 1819

Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue outstanding against certain Ryots in the Zillah of Chingleput amounting to Rs. 257-6-4 shall have been liquidated on or before the 10th December next the undermentioned Lands will be sold by Auction in their respective Villages by the Sahukdars of Peddapolliem and Conjeveram at 12 o'Clock P.M. on the abovementioned date.

Taluk	Names of Villages	Names of Ryots	Fasly	Amount of Balance due	Particulars of Land: Description of Land	Nos	Extent of Land: Cawnies	Annas	Amount of Assessment	Estimated Value
				Rs. A P					Rs. A P	Rs. A P
		Daramy Moodelly and Mottoosawmy Moodelly	1258	18 - 6	Nunjey	3	2	4 3/4	18 15 2	18 15 2
		Saravana Moodelly	1257	5 3 2	Nunjey	5	2	7/2	10 12 8	10 12 8
			1258	10 11 8						
				15 1 10						
		Sabapathy Moodelly and	1256	- 1 -	Punjey	4	4	5 1/4	7 3 0	7 3 0
			1257	6 2 7						
									85 8 1	85 8 1

15922 Fort St. George 5th November 1849

Order thereon.

(23-24)

Ordered that the advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter be published in the Board's Office

Read the following letter

To I. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(25)

From the Collector of North Arcot

Sir, Fort St. George.

Report on Petition No. 880 of 1849 regarding a Sumpratty Enam in Saty hur Talook.

Enam is returned

I have the honor to transmit herewith the Petition 880 of 1849 addressed to the Board of Revenue and referred to me to dispose of it by endorsement: but it appears more proper to report on it by letter. The subject of dispute is a Sumpratty Enam in the Saty hur Talook to the value of 134 Rupees annually

2 It appears from enquiry that one Sunnia Kistnien held the Enam and more, at the time of the British Assumption, shortly after that event his Enam in common with the other Sumpratty Enams was charged with one fourth of the Jumma assessment and in Fasly 1216 the holder fell into arrear and absconded, leaving a balance due. The demand was

15923 Fort St. George 5th November 1849

realized by sale of his property and on his returning next year and applying for the Enam, two thirds were restored to him, one third being permanently attached. The two thirds is the land now at issue being 17 7½ as of Nunjah and Poonjah in five villages of Santyhur Talook bearing a Jumma assessment of Rupees 13½ 9 ½.

3 Sunnia Kistnien died in Fasly 1278 leaving no issue but two brothers Venkataramanien and Sooboo, who enjoyed the Enam after him. The latter died many years after leaving two sons still living, who however have never enjoyed any share of the Enam, and who on one occasion, when the Members of the other branch of the family were litigating the matter in the Civil Court deposed that they had no claim at all to it though they now claim a share in it.

4 The other brother Venkataramanien left three sons, and all of them are now dead but the widows of two of them survive. One of these

these widows Lutchmammaul alone enjoys
the Enam and has held it ever since the
death of her husband Soobrow eldest son
of Venkataramanien and last registered hol-
der who died in July 1743 The Petitioner
who is married to the Grand daughter of
the other widow Cavariammaul, widow
of Venkien another son of Venkataramanien,
claims in her right.

5 There are thus three parties
claiming shares in the Enam viz The
sons of the elder Soobrow 2d the widow
of the younger Soobrow and 3d the widow
of Venkien. Of these the first are cut off by
their own formal disclaimer in the Civil Court
The claim of the 3d Cavariammaul, has been
formally litigated both in the Subcourt of
Chittoor and in appeal in the Civil Court
and was in both decidedly negatived
and though under Regulation IX of 1831 the
Courts had not jurisdiction, yet their de-
cisions may properly be adopted as just
and according to right.

It appears therefore that the

* O.S. 107 of 1821

* A.S. 18 of 1821

Claimant in Petition 080 of 1849 has no title and
that the only party having any right to the
Enam is Lutchmammaul the party now
in possession. Her own right to it how-
ever though good by the law of inheri-
tance against other Members of the family
is of little validity against the Government
She succeeded to it on her husbands death
but was never confirmed or registered,
and the grant is not of an hereditary
nature.

7. Under the Nabobs Government
the Sumpretty Enams were remuneration
for services regularly performed but on the
British assumption, the Office was abolished
and a portion of the Enam continued, only
as maintenance to the deprived party with-
out any right to transmit it to his succes-
sors, and it is very doubtful whether the
two brothers of Ammatar Kistnien had any
right to it. Lutchmammaul has no sons
but

15920

Fort St. George 5th November 1819.

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt stored in each heap at the several Golauns and the actual Outturn of each heap with the excess & deficiency for the 1st Quarter or from 12th July to 30th September 1819 in Fusly 1229.

I have also to request that you will be pleased to recommend to the Board the Allowance of such Deficiency as may appear to you reasonable under the circumstances of the case.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 31st October 1819.

J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(25-26)

Read the following Letter from the Collector of Chittoor dated 31st October 1819 forwarding a Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt stored in each heap at the several Golauns and the actual Outturn of each heap with the excess & deficiency for the 1st Quarter or from 12th July to 30th September 1819 in Fusly 1229.

Also the Petition of Appavoo Chetty praying that the Collector may be directed to make the necessary allowance.

Ordered that the following Letter be written to the Collector of Chittoor.

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith the Petition of Appavoo Chetty praying that the Collector may be directed to make the necessary allowance.

I am Sir Your most obedient Servant

Secretary

(27) Fort St. George 5th November 1819.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt stored in each heap at the several Golauns and the actual Outturn of each heap with the excess & deficiency for the 1st Quarter or from 12th July to 30th September 1819 in Fusly 1229.

No.	Villages	No. of heaps	Estimated quantity of Salt stored in each heap		Actual Outturn of each heap		Excess		Deficiency		Remarks.
			Indian Maunds	Seers	Indian Maunds	Seers	Indian Maunds	Seers	Indian Maunds	Seers	
1	Poongalum	1	1030	8	1031	20	"	12	"	"	The Salt was heaped on the 10th January 1819, its sale commenced on the 23d July & lasted till the end of August. The decrease amounts to 8 2/12 per cent. being within the allowed deficiency of 10 per cent.
		1	967	20	889	20	"	"	"	78	
	Total	2	1997	28	1920	"	"	12	78	"	

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 31st October 1819.

E.E. E.E. Fr. Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

Ordered

15928 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Order thereon

(27-28)

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter

To J. Ryecroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir, Fort St. George.

(29)

From the Collector of
Salem. } With reference to Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board under
date the 15 January 1849. forwarding a Petition
No. 1534 of 1848 and requesting me to ascertain
and report whether the Petitioners Vizia Ve-
necatachella Reddy and Mootoo Surdaraja
Reddy, have any right to participate in the
allowance granted to Mootoo Venecatachella
Reddy, or whether he has been in the habit of
making separate payments to them from
it; I have the honor to state that it
appears that the Petitioners were in the
habit of receiving their shares from the
allowance paid from my Treasury, from Mootoo
Venecatachella Reddy; but the names of the
Petitioners are not entered in the
Register of my Office. The Petitioners made no
complaint to me when as they state the pay-
ment of their respective shares were withheld
by Mootoo Venecatachella Reddy

15929 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Summonses were issued for the attend-
ance of the parties on the receipt of the
Proceedings under acknowledgment. Mootoo
Venecatachella Reddy whereupon resident in the Village of Polyhoor
in the Talook of Tanjy came before me on the 2d Instant: on
[illegible] appeared before me on the 2d Instant: on
enquiry it was found that he withheld the
payment of the half share of the allowance due to the
Petitioners for six years, Viz. Fusslies 1249. 50. 55. 56. 57 & 58.
that he lately paid a portion of the balance to the
Petitioners and that Pn. 103 0 0 are still due
to them.

The case has however now been compromised
among the parties, Mootoo Venecatachella Reddy has
promised to pay them the balance of Pn. 103 0 0 on the
Month of July 1850 and at the same time agreed
to continue to pay them their respective shares from fusly
1259 punctually. A Pagoda mah to this effect has been
drawn out by both the parties at the Huzzoor Cutchery
on the 10th Instant consequently I directed them to act up to the
tenor of the agreement without any infringement.

The Petition No. 1534 of 1848 is herewith forwarded.

Salem District
Sfoor
Collectors Cutchery
25 October 1849
Order thereon.

(29-30)

Signed W. C. Lockhart
Collector

Ordered that the following Endorse-
ment be passed on Petition No. 1534 of 1848.

"From the report of the Collector
on the subject of this Petition it appears that the
matter to which it relates has been settled by the
parties among themselves and that no orders from
this Office are necessary."

Read the following Statement

Circular

1930 (31) Fort St. George

5th November 1849

No. 62 Tabular Report Shewing the amount of [illegible] Service or Misbra[illegible] the claim set up there to by the Brother of the deceased in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Case	Talook & Village	Extent & Value of the entire Yemiah.	When and by whom granted	Summary of other documents in support of the Grant	Nature of the Grant Charity or Service, if service nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant	Names of the Original Grantee	Na[illegible] [illegible] am[illegible] pot[illegible] wh[illegible] sto[illegible] that Gr[illegible] dat[illegible] dec[illegible]	recommendation whereon is found	Decision of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		13	14
Service yeomiah No 81. rented in the names of Shaik Hamed under Date the 28 June 1820 as per Sanction of the Board of Revenue.	Tindevanum Talook Permanut Village	Rs as P 70 9 4 per annum	Not known but believed to have been granted by the Nabob Wallajah	No document but a Shabajumundah bearing the seal of the Cazy of Arcot with translation is forwarded by the former to be returned This yeomiah will be found entered in the list of yeomiah dars, a Copy of which was sent to the Board under date the 8th December 1816	For keeping lights in the Mosque at Permanut.	Mahomed Ismail	Sh[illegible] Bo[illegible] gra[illegible] die[illegible] 7th[illegible] Per[illegible] no[illegible] an[illegible] ab[illegible]		

South Arcot
Collectorate Cutcherry
Cuddalore
3d October 1849

Signed [illegible]

No. 82 Tabular Report Shewing the amount of [illegible] there to by the Brother of the deceased in the Southern

Case	Talook & Village	Extent & Value of the entire Jemiah	When and by whom granted	Summary of other documents in support of the Grant
1	2	3	4	5
Service Jeemiah No 81. renewed in the names of Shaik Hamed under Date the 26 June 1820 as per Sanction of the Board of Revenue.	Sirdawanum Talook Permanul Village	Rs. as 70-9-4 per annum	Not known but believed to have been granted by the Nabobs Wallajah	No Sunnud but a Muhazurnamah bearing the Seal of the Cazy of Arcot with Translation is forwarded by the former to be returned This Jeemiah will be found entered in the list of Jeemiahdars, a Copy of which was sent to the Board under date the 8th December 1816

South Arcot
Collectorate Cutcherry
Cuddalore
3d October 1819

learned Brahmins conversant
in the Puranic systems declare it as their
dynasties

| the Grant Charity or service if Jeemiah nature of the duty prescribed by the Grant | Name of the Original Grantee | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible]

Order thereon. Ordered that the following remarks be inserted in the proper Column of the foregoing Statement and be submitted for the orders of Government.

(31-32)

As the yeomiah in question appears to have been granted for the performance of service to be rendered in a Mosque, and as those services are represented by the Collector to be still kept up and to be regarded as necessary by the Mussulmen Community of the place, the Board of Revenue beg to support the Collector's recommendation that the yeomiah enjoyed by the deceased Shaik Hamed be from the date of last payment, continued to his brother Shaik Hossain

To J. Pycroft Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(33)

From the Collector of South Arcot, Sir, In reference to the correspondence which accompanied the Board's Proceedings dated 23d July last, I beg to state that the few learned

learned Brahmins connected with the Paramé system declare it as their conviction that the several dynasties mentioned by Captain Ellis existed at the earliest period of the world and deny all knowledge of their succeeding generations.

2 The intelligent Natives also say they know nothing of any history relating to the Rajpoot tribes but that this District had at a period beyond the memory of man been under the Government of the Tondeman, or Shazpoot Princes, and are of opinion that the Rajpoots generally settled in the Northern parts of Hindoostan.

3 A few of the lower order of Rajpoots are employed as Peons, but they can give no information on the subject under investigation.

South Arcot,
Collectors Cutchery,
Cuddalore,
29th October 1849.

Signed H. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered

15933 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

33-34 Order thereon.— Ordered to lie over.—

35 From the Collector of South Arcot.— Read Statement of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his Subordinates for Study 1258.

35-36 Proceedings thereon The Board of Revenue

Mr. H. D. Davis Collector	sanction the amount
for 02 Days.	
" H. Wood Sub Collector	of Extra Tent-allow
for 285 Days.	
" Mr. R. Mc Donell Hd.	ance drawn by each
Assistant for 6 Days	Officer for the number
" C. R. Roberts Assistant	
Collector for 28 Days	of Days specified in the

Statement.

2 It is observed that Mr. Wood resided at Cuddalore from 20th August to 20th September 1848 and again from 1st to 20th March 1849 together 52 days. The explanation given is insufficient to enable the Board to pass the charge for Extra Tent allowance drawn in these two instances. and the Collector will be pleased to ascertain whether Mr. Wood has any further explanation to offer as to the necessity for his residence at Munjacoopum in the instances adverted to.

Ordered that the Extract of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

J. G. B.

15934 Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Read the following letters.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37 From the Collector of Tanjore— Sir

I have the honor to transmit the Sall Statements called for in your letter of the 1st Instant.

Tanjore ---
Pullocottah
22d October 1849

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Statement

15935

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement Shewing the Balance of Salt at the Balance in Store at its close with the finance

Fusly	Balance on hand at the Commencement of the year	Quantity manufactured during the year including gain by measurement &c.	Total	Quantity sold			Quantity sold to French Government	Remarks.
				Quantity sold for Consumption with in the Madras territories	Quantity sold for transportation across the Frontiers for the supply of the Native states in the interior			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	
Fusly 1257	186578	1063606	4.082264	2.30	480051	24	12.000	

Tanjore District
22d October 1849

5

Salt at the Balance
the financial

Quantity sold								
Quantity sold for transportation across the Frontiers for the supply of the Native states in the interior	Quantity sold to French Government							
6	7							
Price per Garce	Gs. Mds. Secrs.	Rs. A. P					Secrs.	Rs. A. P
Rs. A. P								
ution 120	" "	480051. 24.	480054	9. 7				462534 9. 7
42	" "	9720 "	3402	" "				
nt. 13	" "	12000 "	1300	" "				2856 " "
			Total	484753	9. 7			1326 " "
								466716 9. 7

Signed

15935

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement

5th November 1849

beginning of the year the Quantity Manufactured and Sold during the year and the Balance of Stock and results of this source of Revenue for Fusly 1258.

Balance on hand at the commencement of the year	Importation by sea		Wastage	Total Columns 5 to 11	Balance in store at the Close of the year or difference between Columns 4 & 11	Gross Revenue derived from the sale of Salt in Column 11.	Deduct Charges of all descriptions exclusive of recoverable advances	Net Revenue	Remarks
	Under Requisition on Behalf of Government	On private account whether to Calcutta or other places							
1	2		8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Fusly									
1257/1847		For the Eastern Island							
		8160		672 24 48 3607	8 43 57 62	26 46 616 9 7			

Price per Garce

		Rs. A. P.	I. Mds.	Rs. A. P.
Home & Inland Consumption	120	462534	24	462534 9 7
Export by Sea	42	8160	2856	
French Government	13	12240	1326	
Total				466716 9 7

5 31 4 19 11 3 4 13 29 6 14 4

/ J. F. Bishop
Collector

37-38 Order thereon

Ordered to lie over.

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir.

(39)

From the Collector of Tanjore — Furnishing information obtainable in Tanjore &c regarding &c Rajpoot Tribes.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 23d July accompanied by Copies of Six letters from Captain Ellis, Political Assistant in Bundlekund, requiring any information obtainable from Rajpoots resident in this district, as to their descent and separation from the Original main stock of the family to which they belonged.

There are but few Rajpoots resident in Tanjore, and most of these are the grand children of those who originally &c migrated from their native country, and are quite ignorant of all matters connected with their descent. In the service of His Highness the Rajah of Tanjore are 2 Soobadars who claim their descent from the Rajah "Prethoo"

who

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

who reigned in Minapoor 150 years ago, and are of the family of Chavan. One of the above parties named Bhavan Sing states that he left his country about 30 years ago, the other Jagut Sing states that his grand father left Minapoor about 70 years since. Bhavan Sing further states that his father Bhagavan Sing resided in the Red Street in Allahabad, and that 2 of his Brothers enlisted in the 5th Bengal Cavalry at the time he left Calcutta, but whether they are dead or alive he knows not, and is quite ignorant of all matters connected with his tribe.

Tanjore, Vellum, 30th October 1849.

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector.

(39-40)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

Read

15939 Fort St. George 5th November 1849

Read the following letter

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

41
From the Collr. of Madura.

Sir.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to transmit the accompanying Petition from the Zemindar of Cunnewady addressed to the Right Honorable the Governor which although dated the 30th April was only received by me this morning being posted as will be seen by the Envelope yesterday.

I have &c.

Madura
19th June 1849.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

To Board 25th May 1848.
To Do. 23rd December "
To Do. 6th March 1849
From Govt. 6th Do.

15940 Fort St. George 5th November 1849

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

42
From the Collector of Madura.

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 30th Ultimo I have the honor to submit a statement shewing the nature of the remissions granted in all the Zemindaries that have been under the Government management.

A short description of each case for which it appears to me that remission could be claimed with some colour of right are discretionary remissions which however Cunnewady have granted with the sanction of the Board of Revenue.

I directed that the account should be framed so as to shew the total amount granted under each head for the whole period of attachment but it has been prepared for a single year only, the interval from the short time the two Estates were under management & the moderate amount of discretionary remission granted the present account will answer the Boards purpose. I do not delay my answer till the receipt of the other which will forward when it arrives from Madura.

I have &c.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Collector

Madura Collector's Office 2nd September 1849

Statement

15941

Fort St. George

5th November 1849

Statement shewing remissions granted in the Fasly preceding made ons to the proprietors

Abstract in which the Several Poliputs hereinentered were en

No.	Poliputs	Faisly assessment	Faisly remissions granted	Faisly Remissions	Total remissions granted in the 5	Description any remissions	Details																	
							Shares remissions			Compensation in lieu of mounieu to the Village Officers			Hos-loos Mnoff			Shamiluit Bungo Mnoff			Cowle Moff			Decrease of Jaco		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			9			10			11			12			13		
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1	Mootacoolay	25	47	46																				
2	Sedoocoolay	25	34	36	15 2 5	" " "	15	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	Keelacoolay	26	34	33	" " "	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	Ammia Soiekroor	24	29	28	194 1 10	" " "	194	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Gantama Soiekroor	24	32	31	913 7 7	913 7 7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	Cunnewady	26	53	52	" " "	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Marcongapoory	26	54	53	1308 9 3 7	2471 3 8	3288	6	2	11	2	7	21	7	7	396	15	7						
8	Codapa Soiekroor	30	53	52	561 2 6	" " "	561	2	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Edacattay	45	49	48	" " "	" " "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Nambaray	45	49	48	517 3 10	66 5 7	268	4	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Madura																							
	Collarcoil																							
	27th September 1849																							

E. E. Off. (Signed) W. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address

To

(41-43)

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting correspondence with the Collector of Madura on the subject of the claims preferred by Mr G. F. Fischer on behalf of the Sunnewaddy Zemindar in that District for compensation on account of certain remissions made whilst the Estate was under management of the Officers of Government and for a reduction of Peishcush from Fusly 1253 and conveying the Board's views on the subject—

Rd 5th Novr & 4th December 1849

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

1. With reference to the Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 28th November 1849

From Madura 3d in Cons 29th May 1848.
From Do 20th in Cons 29th May
Board's Proceedings 14th Dec. 1848
From Madura 13th December 1848 in Cons. 30th August 1849
From Madura 30th January in Cons 8th March 1849
To Do 8th March 1849
From Do 9th March in Cons 30th August 1849
From Do 19th June in Cons 8th November 1849

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of Government the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Collector of Madura upon the subject of a claim preferred by Mr G. F. Fischer on behalf of the Zemindar of Sunnewaddy in that District—

2 The claim thus advanced as set forth in Mr. Fischer's Memorials is for compensation to the Zemindar on account of certain remissions alleged to have been unduly made to the Ryots of his Estate by the Officers of Government whilst it was under their

management—the total amount claimed being stated at Rupees 323897—11—7 together with interest thereon at the rate of 12% per annum

A further claim is for reduction of the Peishcush of the Zemindary to an amount corresponding with the remissions which Government found it necessary to make to their own ryots throughout the rest of the Dindigul such reduction to have effect from the beginning of Fusly 1253 or the date when the estate was released from attachment and made over to its Proprietor the late Zemindar—

3. The nature of the first of these claims and of the grounds on which it is rested has been already in some measure brought under the notice of Government in a report from the Sub Collector of Madura dated 31st January 1846 and in the letter from this Office in submitting that report under date 2d May of the same year—and a recapitulation of what was then stated will however be necessary—

Encls in From Madura 24 Feby in Cons 28th May 1846

4 The land assessment in Dindigul of which Sunnewaddy forms a part was originally made by Mr Munro in Fusly 1210—11—12 The demand fixed by that Officer upon the Collum for

Fusly

Fusly 1213 which has continued the same to the present time was formed not upon the average assets or actual Collections of a series of preceding years according to the usual mode of determining the permanent assessment of Zemmindaries but by the application of the recent Survey rates to the extent of land actually under cultivation in the Estate during the single Fusly 1212 Of the product thus obtained Rupees 54485-8-9-70 per cent was taken for the Sircar, and the remainder 30% left as the proprietor's share - the Peishcush thus standing at Rupees 38139-14-2 After Mr Hurdis's rates had been for some years in force, they were found to be too high, and were in consequence reduced throughout the dry lands of Sindgut according to a revised scale introduced by Mr Principal Collector Pitts in Fusly 1227 - Summewadi having been attached and taken under management for arrears about this time, the revision of the assessment upon the Sircar lands was extended to the lands of that Estate also, and from Fusly 1227

to the year in which the Zemmindary was restored to the Proprietor or to Fusly 1232 remission to the extent of the difference between the new and old rates were granted annually to the Ryots -

5 Mr Fischer on behalf of the Summewadi proprietor now represents that these remissions have been throughout granted by the Officers of Government without due authority from and at the expence of the proprietor and that although his means of meeting the public demand upon him have thus been diminished, and the assets upon which the Peishcush was fixed have been reduced, he has nevertheless been compelled to make good that Peishcush, from Fusly 1227 throughout the whole period of attachment, and also, on the condition of recovering his estate, to pay off the arrears which accrued prior to Fusly 1226 calculated at the same rate - On these grounds Mr Fischer claims for his constituent a refund to the amount, exclusive of interest, of Rupees 323897 11-7

6 The above sum however includes Rupees 7,002 5-2 on account of Peishcush May which was

Note
In his Memorial but from the Statement appended to it the amount inclusive of Munasib Summee for Fusly 1227 of 71231 would seem to be Rs 336049

was deducted by Mr Rundle from the settlement of Fusly 1212 and forms no part of the assets of the estate — It includes also a demand of Rupees 49,842 - 1 - 3 — for kowle and similar remissions which make part of the ordinary system of revenue administration pursued by every judicious zemindar, and which the Government officers vested with the management of estates, for whatever cause have always been held free to grant and must grant for the benefit of the proprietors confided to them. Deducting these items the amount of Nuzzeranah Summees or remissions made to the ryots according to Mr Peters revised rates which only could be claimed by Mr Fischer upon the grounds which he has taken is Rupees 21,220 4 - 1 - 4 with interest to nearly an equivalent amount — Mr Fischer further demands a reduction retrospectively from Fusly 1253, in the Summeah Peishcush so as to correspond with the remissions which the Government thro the rest of Sindigul made to their own ryots What is the precise extent of this reduction, or on

what principle it is to be calculated is not stated - If by adaptation of Mr Peters' rates to the cultivation of Fusly 1212, the assets would be Rs 47358 and the Peishcush of 70% Rupees 33150 or Rupees 4989 below the present - If the average Collections from Fusly 1243 to Fusly 1252 or the last ten years of management Rupees 53195 - 14 - 3 / be assumed as the basis of the settlement, the Summa would be Rupees 37166 - 2 9 or less than that now paid by Rupees 972 - 13 - 9. If the present condition of the property were alone taken into account, no reduction would seem demandable, inasmuch as there is reason to believe that the revenue now yielded by it exceeds that of Fusly 1212 upon which the Peishcush was imposed —

Omitting fractions of a Rupee do. — do

Basis of Fusly 1257 about Rs 55,000 Zemindars department Enclosure No 3 in Collr's letter of 7th March 1849 —

8 With respect to these claims the Collector in his letter of 5th May and 28th December 1848, after expressing his apprehension that tho' entitled to little consideration in equity they might prove difficult to resist in Law suggested various modes, wherein if it were thought fit to award it compensation should be calculated — The compensation he stated should not it appeared to him be equivalent to the amount of remissions given in each year as claimed by the Memorialist —

The

From Coll.r 5th May 1848 Para 10- 15

"The Peishcush having been fixed on the
"narrow basis of ten years Collections only,
"the Zemindars equitable claim to remission
"would appear to be confined to such an
"amount as was shewn to be beyond the
"ability of the Estate to pay on an average
"of several years"

9. Of the modes which he proposed the first was.—

From Coll.r 23d December 48

Note

To take the aggregate of the settlements for the time of the Government attachment calculated at the amount of the Settlement of Fusly 1212 on which the Peishcush was fixed/ compared with the total of the actual Settlements for that period the net decrease of the latter below the former is Rupees 20,4202- 2- 4 of which 70% the proportion which the Peishcush bears to the Settlement of Fusly 1212 /is 14,2940- 14- 2, and the

Was it may otherwise be put, assume 30% of the average annual Settlement during sequestration as the Peishcush and remit to the Proprietor the difference between that and the present Peishcush for the 27 years of sequestration.

Average annual Settlement from Fy 1226 to Fy 1252 = 46,972- 8- 1

Peishcush at 70% of Do = 32,815- 12- "

Difference between that and present Peishcush—

Rs 38,139- 5293 4 "

Do for 27 years Fy 1226 to 1252 14,2917 12 "

Principal	142940 14 2	the interest thereon at 12%
Interest	140445 5 6	is Rupees 140445 5 6 total
	283386 3 8	Rupees 283386- 3 8 To deduct
Deduct Commission	34354 3 4	from this sum Collectors
	249032 " 4	Commission with interest
Add deduction from Peishcush for 6 years or Fusly 1253 to 1258 at Rs 972- 12- 3 per Annum	3886 9 6	of Rupees 34354- 3- 4 and to added to the balance Rs 249032 " 4 the amount
	253918 9 10	of deduction from the Original Peishcush at the rate of Rupees 972- 12- 3

per Annum for the extent it be subject to should be reduced if calculated upon the average of the last 10 Fusslies 1243- 1252 years of management for the years subsequent to Fusly 1252 The sum payable to the Zemindar as compensation will be Rupees 264808- 9- 10

Note

Or in other words to calculate the assets of Fusly 1212 at Mr. Peters rates of assessment and take the Peishcush at 70% thereon refunding to the Zemindar the difference Rs 5989- 1- 1 between the two Peishcushes for the 27 years of Management.—

Vide Encls. No 4 in Coll.r letter of 23 December 1848.

The second proposal is

To take 70% of the Peishcush remission granted to Mr Peters rates added to the Collections of Fusly 1212 and to assume this as the remission for each year with the same deduction and addition as before the compensation thus would be Rupees 226523 8- 4 The

The third is

To grant as compensation 40% of the discretionary remission [Rupees 2,12,123-2-6] actually given year by year by Mr Peter this with deductions and additions as in the two previous plans would amount to Rupees 2,62,382-1-6-

Encl. N° 4 in Coll.r's letter of 23d December 1848

The fourth and last is to give as compensation throughout the whole series from Fusly 1226 to Fusly 1252 and for the subsequent Fusles at the rate of annual deduction of Peshcush already proposed for these latter Fusles, Viz.t Rs. 972-12-3

Encl. N° 5 in Do

This adding interest and deducting commission would be only Rupees 23,155-10-6.

10. Of the four several modes of compensation thus suggested Mr. Parker seems to have preferred the second or that involving a disbursement of Rs. 2265-23-8-4 his reasons are given at length in Paras 10 11 of his report of 23d December last of but it will be seen that his views as to the necessity or propriety of making any compensation afterwards underwent a change

11 In treating the present question

it will be proper to separate the consideration of the zemindar's claim to the refund of the remissions made to his ryots by the Government Officers from that of his claim to reduction of Peshcush. These claims are entirely distinct refer to different periods and rest on different grounds.

12 With regard to the remissions granted by the ryots of the zemindary during the period of attachment it is to be observed that in allowing those remissions the Government Officers clearly consulted the interests of the estate - That the rates of assessment which had previously obtained in Cummwade were too high seems beyond question - It had in fact been by the sequestration been practically admitted by the zemindar who as stated by the Collector of Madura in his report upon the settlement of Fusly 1227 dated 18th November 1818 had been in the habit of granting to his tenants different kinds of Cowles amounting practically to a lowering of the assessment - It was shown by the conduct of the revenue

Pg. authorities

authorities of the District who reduced the same rates throughout the dry lands of Dindigul and is indeed unquestionably admitted by Mr. Fischer in his Memorial— The rates then being excessive and having been lowered in the Great lands their reduction in Dindigul was a measure not simply of expediency but of necessity and one which had the zemindar remained in possession of his property, he would have been compelled to concede as he not, his ryots would of course have deserted his fields for the dry lands of the neighbouring Villages, which were to be had on more favorable terms— Lastly as the measure was both expedient and necessary so as it resulted greatly to the benefit of the property— The settlement of Cummewadi for Fusly 1225 and 1226 or the two years preceding the grant of Meenasie Cummee was only Rupees 43,131 — 7 — 6 and Rupees 49329 — — 10 respectively, that for the last ten years of management Fusly 1243 to Fusly 1252 has averaged Rupees 53095 — 14 — 3 which is only

only Rupees 1389 — 10 — 6 less than the Summabundi Rupees 54485 — 8 — 9 of Fusly 1242 whilst the income obtained in several single years of that series exceeded that Settlement— The revenue yielded by the Estate since its release from attachment is not known except that for Fusly 1251 which is admitted by the zemindar himself to have been about Rupees 53000 — The zemindar cannot be entitled to a refund of the remissions actually made to his ryots unless he can prove (which of course is out of the question) that the same extent of land would have been cultivated under the Sibi as under the reduced rates of Cowle— He can only claim compensation as to the loss which the grant of such remissions may have occasioned him and it has been above shewn that the measure has resulted in the benefit not the injury of the property—

13. It may however be objected by the zemindar and his Agent as insisted has in a great measure been urged by Mr. Fischer in his Memorial that at the

15954 Fort St. George 5th November 1849

The Honorable Commissioners remissions & whether necessary and advantageous or the contrary were made without due authority without the consent of the Zemindar and accompanied with any equivalent reduction in his Peishcush and were thus a breach of the compact made between him and the Company at the time of the permanent Settlement and that the Government are therefore bound to make good those remissions to him to their full extent 2ndly that the improvement which has taken place in the estate is the result of the extension of cultivation the taking up of ground previously waste and that the profit arising from the cultivation of waste is a part of the advantages guaranteed to the proprietor by the permanent Settlement and is not therefore available as a set off against the diminution of the resources of the Zemindary caused immediately by the remissions authorised by the Government Officers—

14 These objections proceed upon

15955 Fort St. George 5th. November 1849.

upon the same assumption, viz.t that Cummewadi was a permanently settled estate and consequently that in virtue of the compact contained in the permanent Settlement, the Poligar had a clear and indefeasible right to hold his Pollam in perpetuity upon the Peishcush fixed in July 1913 and not only to the full enjoyment without alteration or reduction of the assets or revenue of the property in reference to which that Peishcush was fixed but to any further profit which might be derived from the reclamation of the waste lands of the estate.

This assumption is not well
15
founded. To demonstrate its fallacy as well as to ascertain the actual position of the unsettled Pollams of Dindigul of which Cummewadi is one it will be desirable to give a brief sketch of the mode of revenue Settlement pursued in Dindigul after that Province passed into the possession of the British Government.

The 26 Pollams of Dindigul
16
with the District of that name formed a part of the territory ceded to the East India Company by Tippoo Sultan under date

B.d to Govt 3rd Augt 1815 Ps 18 Q. Exn Mins Comm 28th Feby & in Comm 11th March 1816

date 1792. For some years after the acquisition of the Province the revenue was realized by means of village leases but in July 1210-11 17 the Collector Mr. Hurdis introduced a detailed Survey and Assessment extending not only to the Aim lands but to those of the 17 Pollams which had fallen under Circar management three having been forfeited for rebellion three escheated to Government on failure of heirs and the remaining six having been attached for arrears of revenue. During the progress of the undertaking the lands usually under cultivation were rented out to the inhabitants on a triennial lease at progressively increasing rates of rent, the rents for the third year being equal to the full value of the several Villages as determined by the Survey. These proceedings were preparatory to the introduction of the permanent Settlement and it was proposed by Mr. Hurdis that the Government lands together with the 12 Pollams under management should be formed into forty estates to

From Mr. Hurdis 6th April 1803 incl 25th June 1804

to be held on Zemindary tenure and that the Peishcush on each should be fixed at the average of the Russed or triennial rent already mentioned. The Board however suggested for consideration whether the Six Pollams which had been attached for arrears of revenue and which had been formed into eight estates might not be restored to their former holders at rates of Peishcush equivalent to 2/3rds of Mr. Hurdis full Survey valuation and they recommend that the permanent Summa proposed by Mr. Hurdis for the remaining 32 estates which seemed to be too high should be modified as to leave to the parties who might purchase those properties, an average profit of about 16% upon their full Survey Value. These proposals were approved the Government Extract Minutes Consultation 21st October 1804 confirmed the Settlement of the 40 Estates in perpetuity in the manner above described. The Six Pollams were restored to the Poligars the remaining 32 created estates raised in number by subdivisions to 35 were sold by auction. Permanent Sunnuds were issued by Government

To Govt 3rd Sept 1804

Para 42

In Cons 1st Nov 1804

Note. but were not delivered to the Zemindars see from Madura 31st May inclosure 11th June 1838 para 4.

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Government for these Pollams and estates under date the 17th August 1805.

17. The experiment was not successful. Owing in a great measure to the excessive rates of assessment fixed upon the land by Mr. Hurdis 29 out of the 38 created Estates reverted to Government before the end of Fusly 1216 of the remaining 6 estates and 6 permanently settled Pollems only four now remain with their Proprietors the rest have been either sold for arrears of public revenue or surrendered to Government by their owners on condition of receiving a Malikana or allowance.

18. The above remarks apply to that part of the Dindigul Province in which the permanent Assessment was carried out. Of the 26 Pollems of Dindigul 11 Cunnowady being one remained after the cession at the Country in the hands of the Poligars. These Pollems were surveyed by Mr. Hurdis in Fusly 1212 and the Peishcush which before seems to have fluctuated was settled with the proprietors for Fusly 1213 at 70% of the Survey autturn of the

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

similar arrangements were made by Mr. Hurdis and his successor Mr. Parish in respect to the 10 Pollems of Madura and 6 Pollems of Nanaparam

Fusly 1212-13. The public demand upon these Pollems has since remained unaltered. At the time when it was imposed it was probably intended to extend these Pollems in the permanent settlement which had been made in the 6 Pollems of Dindigul mentioned in Para 16 but the intention was abandoned. These Pollems have consequently always been treated by the Government Authorities as temporarily settled Pollems and are still borne under that designation in the accounts of the District.

19. The Board will now proceed to cite some extracts from the correspondence upon their records in illustration of the history of the Dindigul temporarily settled Pollems and of the light in which they have hitherto been viewed by the Authorities both in India and England. The quotations will be at some length, but it is trusted not beyond what is required by

[1] Except in one instance Nanjanaraya the Peishcush of which was reduced under orders of Government 11th June 1839.

Fort St. George 5th November 1809

by the magnitude of the claim upon Govern
ment and the general importance of the
subject.

20. In Para 264 of his report upon the
permanent Settlement of the Dindigul Province
dated 6th April 1803 Mr. Hurdis states—
"Having thus brought before your Board the
Settlement as made and as offered for per-
manency. I beg leave to show the present years
Summa in Dindigul including the Pollums
[i.e. the N. there held by their proprietors]
which will be treated on in my next address
but which have not any reference to the
Zemindaries now settled." In laying this report
before Government the Board in their letter
of 3d September 1804, Para 25, remarked that
"of the twenty Six Polliems formerly comprised
within the Province of Dindigul fourteen
remained in the possession of the Poligars
and as no permanent assessment has been
proposed for them by Mr. Hurdis it is our in-
tention at an early period to require his successor

Mr.

[1] Cunnewady
Syagoody
Mambara
Gancalapoarum
Tamasinada
Ammainaignoar
Smallere
Doddeecotta
Vadagacary
Ganta Ramaiknoar
Bodinaignoar
Taverem.
Ganackinaignoar
Cambay.

Fort St. George 5th November 1809.

Mr. Parish to report on the subject. "In pursuance
of this intention that Officer was informed in
their Secretary's letter of the 9th November 1804
that "the Board being desirous of completing the
introduction of a Permanent Assessment of
the land tax of the Polliems of the Southern
Districts desired that he would proceed to con-
solidate the information obtained respecting the
Nanapara and Madura Polliems and the re-
maining fourteen Polliems of Dindigul on
which Mr. Hurdis has not reported and submit
for their consideration the Summa which he
would propose being fixed on each with the
necessary Statements of the information collected."
This requisition does not seem to have been
complied with. Mr. Parish in his report upon
the Settlement of Dindigul for Fusly 1213 "
Para 10. simply stated that "the Peishcush of the "
Dindigul Poligars amounting to Star
Pagodas 3,7237 31 56 having been adjusted
by the late Collector Mr. Hurdis upon
actual Survey in Fusly 1212 has been collected
accordingly

accordingly agreeably to the accompanying Demand Collection and Balance Account.

21 The next mention of those Pollams to which it will be necessary to draw attention occurs in the proceedings of this Office under date 3d August 1815 upon the Settlement Reports of Madura and Dindigul for Fusly 1220 21 22 23. In Para 73 the Board observe "The Right Honorable the Governor in Council will have perceived from the former part of this address that although the Government demand has been fixed in perpetuity upon a part only of these lands the amount of the Settlement for each of the four fusles under notice has been the same on all, Viz.t

		A.	P.	C.
Settled temporarily				
10 Pollams of Madura	7968	31	74	
14 Do. of Dindigul	37237	13	56	
6 Do. of Manapaud	27172	32	15	
Settled in perpetuity				
Ramnaud	94733	"	"	
Shevagunga	75000	"	"	
6 Pollams of Dindigul	16327	30	11	
6 Estates of Dindigul	17844	25	70	

From

Para 74.

From Mr. Hurdis 20th July in fasly 16th August 1807.
From Mr. Parish 8th Infasly 25th June 1808.

"From the Collectors reports on the Settlement of the revenues for Fusles 1211 - 12 and 13 it appears that previously to the latter year the Survey commenced by Mr. Hurdis in the District of Dindigul was extended by that Gentleman and Mr. Parish his successor to the 10 Pollams of Madura the 14 Pollams of Dindigul and the 6 Pollams of Manapara and that the same mode of assessment was followed with respect to these lands as with respect to those of the Sirkar, except that in these Pollams the rates of teerwa were in some instances rather lower than in the Sirkar Villages. It further appears that in lieu of the inadequate and irregular peishcush formerly collected from the Poligars of these Districts a settlement was then formed with them for Fusly 1213 regulated by this Survey - On the principle of taking the Survey valuation of the collections from Punjah Poonjah and Swurnadayam at 100 per cent and of this granting 30 per Cent to the Poligar and remaining 70 per Cent being the revenue payable to Government. On

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Para. 75.

"On these grounds the Settlement of 1213 was fixed as follows.—

10 Pollams of Madura ——— 4969 — 4 — 74

14 —— " —— of Dindigul ——— 37237 — 31 — 56

6 —— " —— of Manapara ——— 27726 — 35 — 15

and with the exception of a difference of a few fanams for which we cannot satisfactorily account, this has continued ever since to be the Annual demand against these lands."

Para. 76.

"At the period when this arrangement originally took place it was fully intended to extend to these Pollams the permanent Settlement on the Zemindary tenure but whether in consequence of the Collector having neglected to submit the previous report necessary for this purpose or in consequence of the dissensions which subsequently arose respecting the inexpediency of extending that system it does not appear that this intention has ever been carried into effect the result has been that altho' the demand on the Pollams in question has since continued invariably the same it has

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

has not been considered regular and legal on the occurrence of arrears to enforce the Regulations of 1802. The public demand upon these lands not having been fixed in perpetuity no one will buy the lands because the Assessment on them is liable to alteration at the option of the Government and it would manifestly be unjust to hold the Poligars responsible in their property, and persons for an assessment which on due investigation may be found greater than the resources of the country will justify."

Para 77.

"Until we receive the orders of Government in reply to this address it is our intention to devest the Collector on the occurrence of an arrear in any of these Pollams to assume the lands and retain them under Sumanic management allowing the Poligars a Malikana of 10 per Cent on the Net Collections, but as it appears desirable that some definitive arrangement should be adopted with regard to the future management of these lands we request to be favored with the orders of the Government respecting them."

The

Fort St. George 5th November 1819

Para 78. "The Governor in Council may perhaps be disposed to extend the principles which were adopted in settling permanently the revenue of the Polliam of Poonganoor to all other Pollams of which the Summa is not yet fixed".

Para 79. "Besides the Pollams abovementioned there are several others in Coimbatore in Balaghaut and in Nellore in a similar predicament and the decision of the Government on the particular case now submitted will therefore involve the general question respecting the whole of these lands."

22. In communicating to the Collector the Proceedings from which the above extract is taken, the Board direct that "on the occurrence of arrears in any of the Polliams not settled in perpetuity you will strictly adhere to the orders laid down in para 77 of the enclosed paper which are hereby prescribed for your guidance. The orders of Government respecting the final Settlement of these Polliems will hereafter be communicated to you. In the meantime it will be proper that you should prepare Statements shewing the actual value of each Polliem exclusive and

5t To Coll.r 11th Septr 1815 P.C.

J. A. B.

Fort St. George 5th November 1819.

inclusive of all alienations whatever whether granted with or without due authority and a Statement of the actual Collections from each Polliem since it came under the Company's Government. It will be necessary also to shew what has been at different periods the Peishcush and what is now the Summah assessed on each Polliem"

In a subsequent Para 17 of the same letter the Board observe "Madoor and Pullapanaik noor are the only Polliems permanently settled which are proposed to be taken under the Court of Wards, the others have not been settled in perpetuity. It may therefore be doubted even in the event of no arrears being due, whether the Government will consent to place any of the Polliems in question under the Court of Wards except the two settled in perpetuity"

23. In the Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 23d February 1816. in review of the proceedings of this Office of the previous 3d of August the Government after recapitulating what had been stated by the Board regarding the temporarily settled Polliems of Madura

5 In Cons 4th March 1816.

Dindigul

Dindigul and Manapara remarks Para 19-20. "it has been considered as it certainly is, illegal to attach and sell these Pollams for arrears of revenue. The Board of Revenue on the other hand consider that it would also be unjust to hold the Poligars answerable in their persons and property for such arrears, which are due on an over assessment of the lands. The Governor in Council concurs in the views of these Pollams taken by the Board of Revenue and authorizes the Collector to take under Amanie such of them as may hereafter fall in arrear and to allow a Malikana of 10% to the ejected Poligars The recommendation of the Board that these Pollams and others under similar circumstances in Coimbatore Balaghaut and Nellore should be settled in perpetuity on the same terms recently granted in favor of the Pongunoor Poligar, will be submitted to the favorable consideration of the Honble the Court of Directors."

Para 20.

In Cons 1st April 1816
Para 11 From Collr
22d March 1816.

74 In his report under date 27th March 1816. Mr. Peter states "With regard to the temporary

settled Pollams, I have the honor to forward Statements shewing the value of each exclusive and inclusive of all alienations of the 14 in Dindigul the Peishcush, Pagodas 37237 31 56 was adjusted by Mr. Hurdes, since which the above sum has been considered the Istimrar, and the amount collected from each is demonstrated in Statement No 4.

Nos 2 & 3

Against Kummundi there appears a balance of 1451 41 53 This sum which has arisen partly for charges of Sibbendy while under segregation for arrears, the Zemindar has given an agreement to discharge in the course of Fasly 1226 & 1227 and when the havoc the fever has made is considered and the consequent loss of so many cultivators, it cannot be deemed a large balance. I would therefore recommend that if at the end of the present Fasly there is no balance outstanding for this year, that the time prayed for may be allowed and that the "regular Summud-i-Istimrar be granted to him."

Para 12.

In the following para 13 the Collector recommended that the same

course in regard to the conferring of per
manent Summuds should be pursued
in the case of several of the other most
tled Pollams against which there were
none or but small balances.

25. In their Proceedings of the 11th
April 1816 in reviewing the above letter the
Board observe "The next balance of Pg 57
13 36 outstanding against the ten Pollams
of Madura is due from five of them only
but for the greater part (Pagodas 3116-6-2)
is outstanding against the Pollam of Valla
patty alone and the Poligar of this, as
well as those of several of the other Pol
lams in arrears, offer to liquidate their
balances by instalments in the course
of three or five years. The Board however
are of opinion that great inconvenience might
arise out of a departure from the general
orders already laid down by the Govern
ment respecting all lands of this description
it is accordingly resolved to refer Mr. Peter
to the 20th Para of the Minutes of Council under
date the 23d February last directing that
he take, and continue to retain under Summary

management such of the unsettled Pollams
as may fall in arrear granting to the ejected
Poligars a Malikoma of ten per Cent on the
net Collections from the Pollam and that
he be required to carry these orders into effect
in all the Madura Pollams against which
balances remain unliquidated.

"12 Of the balances Pagodas 45,955-13-21
outstanding in the Pollams and Estates of
Dindigul. Pagodas 9592-12-41 are outstanding
in the 14 unsettled Pollams of that Province
Pagodas 12078-9-65 in the Six Estates of
Dindigul permanently assessed and Pagodas
21,684-35-75 in the Six Pollams of Dindi
gul also settled in perpetuity.

"13. The balances outstanding in
the 14 Pollams of Dindigul are due from
eight of them only; and for the realizatio
of the amount Mr. Peter proposes various
temporary expedients similar to those he
has recommended for the liquidation of the
arrears in the Madura Pollams. but the
Board, for the reasons given in para 11, de
sire that he will carry into effect the
orders already issued by the Government for
the realization of arrears in the unsettled
Pollams

Pollams, by applying to the Pollams at Dindigul the instructions given in the former part of these proceedings respecting the arrears in the unsettled Pollams of Madura.

"34 The Collectors abovementioned as well as Mr. Peter in assuming the management of any of the unsettled Pollams, as directed in these proceedings will be careful to explain to the ejected Poligars that it is merely a temporary arrangement in order to ascertain the real value of the Pollams preliminary to the final settlement of them, on which subject the orders of the Company will be obtained and hereafter communicated to them.

In Cons 11th Jany 1821

26. Under date 5th January 1821 the Government granted authority for writing off to profit and loss the arrears Rs. 231,806 11 1 which had accrued upon the Madura and Dindigul Pollams then surrendered or under attachment, viz. upon four of the permanently settled Pollams of Dindigul, eight of the temporarily settled Pollams of the same Province five of the temporarily settled Pollams of Madura, and five

of those under similar tenure in Manapparai. They at the same time sanctioned the grant to the ejected Poligars of an allowance of 10% upon the average collections of the five fusslies 1225-1229 during such time as the lands might be retained in the possession of Government. From some cause however which cannot now be explained the Malikhana was from the first calculated upon the net annual proceeds of each estate year by year instead of the above five years fixed average and it still continues to be regulated in the same manner.

27. It has been already seen that the proposal to settle in perpetuity the unsettled Pollams of Madura Dindigul and other Districts upon the terms granted in favor of the Coonganoor Poligar was to be referred for the consideration of the Honorable the Court of Directors. The reply of the Court to this reference was received in the year 1822 but before adverting to it it may be proper to show what was the nature of the arrangement concluded with the Poligar of Coonganoor and recommended to be extended to other

ther Polygars. This is very clearly explain-
ed in a Despatch from the Home authori-
ties under date 22d May 1818 Paras 79. 80. 81. 82.

"79 It appears from these Proceedings
that the Pollam of Pongamoor was settled
in 1802, agreeably to the recommendation of
Lieutenant Colonel Munro, in the same
manner as the Estates of the neighbouring
Poligars in the Ceded Districts that is to
say, that the annual Settlements of Revenue
were made directly with the Inhabitants by
the Collectors Servants, that an allowance
of 1/5th or 20 per Cent upon the gross
revenue was assigned to the Poligar for
his maintenance and for the trouble and
expense of making the Collections with which
he was entrusted during his good behaviour
This arrangement was continued down to 1815, and
during the whole period, the Polygar is represented
by the successive Collectors who had charge
of the District to have been distin-
guished by uniform good conduct,
and to have evinced on all occasions
a submissive deference to the authority of
the Company unusual among the class of

people

people to which he belonged."

"80 In 1812 a decennial Settlement of
the Pollam was concluded by Mr. Rose with
the Inhabitants, at an annual Jumma of
Star Pagodas 27,019 and in April 1815 after
a good deal of correspondence with the
Collector respecting the resources of the Es-
tate and the revenue derived from it in pre-
ceding years, your Board of Revenue recom-
mended its restoration to the Polygar upon
the following ground. "The Country was granted
"to ascertain its value not in consequence of
"any misconduct on the part of the Poligars
"This value has been ascertained and as the
"Poligar's conduct during the assumption of his
"Country has been exemplary, the restora-
tion of it to his entire control is a measure
of strict justice only." That the Board did
not mean the expression "entire control" to be
understood without qualification is to be
inferred from the subsequent Paras of their
Proceedings in which they observe that
as the linear Villages of the Pollam
have been rented to the Inhabitants for
ten years and as they have received bowles

from

from the Collector, the Zemindar will not be at liberty during the continuance of the lease to exact more than the rent defined in those Cowles or even to assume the waste land which had been made over to the inhabitants who have rented the Villages. Without an express stipulation to this effect, the arrangement would have been stamped with bad faith and injustice. All the we are decidedly adverse to the general doctrine laid down in the Memoir written by Mr. Hodgson in 1806. that the permanent settlement ought not only to be made with Zemindars where Zemindars exist, but that Zemindars ought to be created where none are found we are by no means disposed to object to the restoration of the Poligar of Poonganoor to the management of his Pollum".

81. The average of the Poligars allowances during the period in which the Pollum was under the management of the Company is stated to have been Star Pagodas 7866 per Annum, out of which he had to defray the charges of Collection

and of Tank and Pagoda repairs. That allowance has been increased during the term of the decennial lease, to Star Pagodas 9006 per annum or about one third of the gross Jumma so that the net revenue of Government from the lands comprised within the Pollam will be reduced to Star Pagodas 18,000 per annum. Had the Poligars allowance been graduated upon the scale on which it is now fixed during the ten years in which the Estate was under the Company's managment, it would have yielded according to the calculation of your Revenue Board Star Pagodas 611 per Annum less than was actually derived from it. Had the Poligar allowances during the decennial lease, continued the same as he had received during the preceding ten years, the Net revenue of Government from the Pollam would have exceeded, according to the same calculation, what it will yield under the increased scale by Star Pagodas 1146 per annum. The arrangement cannot therefore be considered as favorable to Government in a fiscal point of view, on the contrary

it

it appears to have been attended with some pecuniary sacrifice.

"82. In 1802 Lieutenant Colonel Munro expressed an opinion that the Poligars ought not to receive more than a fifth of the net revenue, and if even less than that the better. But Mr. Ross very justly observed with reference to this opinion that it was formed and recorded at a time, when the Ceded Districts were in a very different state both as to revenue and quiet from that in which they are now happily placed and there can be little doubt, that it would be willingly altered if the Officer who give it were to see the Country in its present condition and to be made acquainted with the uniform loyalty and good conduct of the Poonganoor Poligar. Under all the circumstances of the case, therefore, we are disposed to sanction the engagement which has been entered into with the Poligar for the annual Summa of Star Pagodas 18,000 during the decennial lease, we see no reason however for making an exception in favor of this Pollam

from the general instructions which have been conveyed to you prohibiting the permanent settlement of Districts where that arrangement has not been already introduced. The settlement must therefore be considered as limited to the period of the decennial lease."

28 With respect to the extension to other Poligars whose Estates had not been settled in perpetuity of the arrangement sanctioned with the Poligar of Poonganoor, the Court in their General Letter of 12th December 1821 after describing the circumstances of the Madura, Manapara and Dindigul unsettled Pollams proceed to say,

Para 18. "It is necessary to remind you that we have refused our sanction to the proposition of making perpetual the arrangement with that Poligar and by our Letter dated 22nd May 1818 have declared it limited to the period of the decennial lease. In the next place, the circumstances which chiefly induced us to approve of that arrangement for a limited period are wanting on the present

ie. of Poonganoor Para 82.

present occasion. In the case of the Poligar of Poonganoor, the settlement had at first, according to the plan pursued by Sir Thomas Munro in the cases of the Poligars of the Ceded Districts, been annually made with the Inhabitants by the Collector and his Servants, while the business of collecting was committed to the Poligar during his good behaviour and one fifth of the revenue was allotted for his maintenance, and the charge of collecting. After thirteen years exemplary behaviour on the part of the Poligar a settlement was concluded by the Collector with the Inhabitants for ten years, and it was thought that under this agreement the District might be given up to the management of the Poligar. In this case you possessed a knowledge of the resources of the Country and of the good character of the Poligar. As you do not pretend that you are in possession of such advantages on the present occasion it appears to us to be highly preferable to follow for a time the course which was found so successful in the case

of the Poligar of Poonganoor from 1802 to 1815 namely to form a settlement with the Inhabitants through the Collector's Agency from year to year, and to leave the Collection, during good behaviour, to the Poligar on such terms as may appear to you adopted to the circumstances of the case."

29. These instructions were not acted upon. The unsettled Pollams of Dindigul and Madura which had not been attached for arrears continued to be held by the Poligars upon the rates of Peshcush fixed by Mr. Hurdise and Parish in Fusly 1212, 1213. those which had been attached remained under Sequestration. the Poligars receiving their Maliekana of 10 per % upon the net annual income of their estates. but without any share in their management. This state of things lasted till the year 1840. Before adverting

Note

With some few exceptions Nadooncottah & Mailcondah attached in Fusly 1225 were released respectively in 1827 and 1837 on payment of the arrears by their proprietors to Coll.r 6th Sept.r 1827 & 20th May 1837. Reelacottah was released in 1824 the balance upon it having been realized under Sircar Management. To Coll.r 28th Oct.r 1824. Guntamanaickanoor was given over to the Mother of the Minor proprietor in 1836 on condition that the Peishcush should be punctually discharged and the arrears should be over for adjustment on the termination of the minority. From Govt. 7th Aug.t 1837.

advertising to the changes which then took
place it may however be proper to shew
what was the final settlement made with
the Poonganoor Poligar, that already explained
having, as above stated, been, by the express
command of the Honorable Court limited
to the term of the decennial lease.-

On the expiration of the Decennial
Lease, the reductions upon the Survey rates
of Assessment of 1/3 for Garden and 1/4 for wet
and dry lands / corresponding in some degree
to the Musarib Cowlee-remissions of Dudi-
-gul / were introduced into Poonganoor in
common with the rest of the Ceded Districts.
and for some years the Poligar was allowed
by Government to remain in the management
of his Pollum under the general control of
the Collector, and in the enjoyment of 20 per %
upon the Net revenue of the estate e-
calculated on the original Survey e-
rates- which was about equivalent
to 25 per % of that revenue after deduction
of the established remissions. This arrange-
-ment subsisted till 1831 when it was again
recommended by the Government to the
Court

Govt to Bd 14th inst 23d June 1825.

State B. in letter from Princ. Coll. of Cuddapah dd 4th in Cons 14th Feby 1831.-

Court of Directors that the Pollum should
be settled in perpetuity. The present value of the
property calculated as its average revenue
for the 7 years- Fusly 1234 to 1240 inclusive,
with additions for the income derivable
from Shrotrium villages and assessment
on 2d Crops was estimated at Rupees
76,000 and it was proposed that the
Peishcush should at first be Rs 51,000* and
should be increased by gradual e-
instalments until in the 20th year it
should rise to Rs 63,000 which
was thence forth to constitute
the fixed Summa. The Court
however refused to sanction
this settlement in perpetuity
and would only consent
to grant the Poligar a
Cowle upon the terms above stated, for 20 years
subject to reconsideration at the termination
of that period. Under this Cowle the Poon-
-ganoor Pollum is still held. It should
be observed that the average revenue for
the 7 Fusslies 1234 to 1240 in reference
to which the arrangement was made
was taken at the reduced rates of
Assessment

* About 67 per % of the then value- Bd's Proceedings 29th Dec. 1831-

° about 83 per % of the same value but it was supposed that the gradual enhancement of Psh-cush would be met by pro-portionate extension of culti-vation.-

Govt Letter 23d Oct. 1838. Pa. to 12.
Do 20th March 1835 Pa. 6.

assessment, and that the Poligar was specially prohibited as indeed he had previously been, from collecting higher rates from his ryots without the permission of Government.-

From Madura 30th September Cons. 5th November 1840.-
Do. 25th November in Cons. 10th Decr. Do.
Board to Govt. 17th December.
East. Mins. Cons. 21st Janry. Cons. 4th Febry. 1841.-

31. In the year 1840 the Cunnewadi Poligar tendered payment of the arrears due upon his Pollum and demanded its restoration. This offer drew attention to the condition of the attached Pollums of Madura and Dindigul and it was considered that as the allowance granted to the Poligars in 1821 was conferred upon them during such time as the lands remained in the possession of Government, and as these parties had not resigned their estates by any formal document, such applications as those made by the Cunnewadi Poligar could not be refused. To obviate similar demands on the part of other Poligars it was, however, determined to call upon all those whose Pollums were then under attachment, either to pay their arrears

East. Mins. Cons. 5th Nov. in Cons. 11th Jany. 1821.

or to surrender their estates on condition of continuing to receive the Malikana which they had hitherto enjoyed. In those cases where neither of these alternatives was accepted, the Pollums were to be sold by public auction for the balances outstanding against them. On this arrangement being carried out, the Poligars of 17 of the Pollums then under suit gave up their Estates. Two Pollums were redeemed on payment of the balances due upon them. one was sold for arrears - one was restored to the proprietor by order of the Court of Directors with remission of all previous arrears but at the same Peishcush. In the remaining four Pollams a claim to reduction of Peishcush has been preferred and the question is still under consideration.-

+ Cunnewadi Woodipanaigmoor
* Togamallay
# Mooroongapoory
# Gen. Letter Court of Directors 12th Nov. 1845. Para 4.-
* Togamallay

32. It should be remarked that in directing the sale of the attached unsettled Pollums which in one instance took effect for arrears of revenue in default of their redemption or surrender by their proprietors, both the

the Board and Government departed from the views held in 1815, that Estates so circumstanced were not liable to sale under the Regulation of 1802. It may also be regarded as doubtful from what has been represented in the previous paras, whether as respects this class of estates, the fact of their never having been surrendered by any formal deed and of the Mahl Kana having been granted for such time as the lands remained in the possession of Government, did confer upon their proprietors the power to redeem them on payment of the balances as a matter of legal right and whether in point of law it would not have been competent to the Government to refuse such offers and to take such measures for the management of the Estates as to them might seem advisable. Similar offers had however been admitted in some few previous instances.-

B^d to Govt 3 Aug^t 1815 Ext^t Mins Cons: 23 Feb^y 1816

Note
Some 3/ of the Pollums under attachment in 1840 were permanently settled Pollums. These of course could revert to Government only by sale or surrender. The rest 11/ were temporarily settled.-

See Note on Para 29.

93. From what has been above stated it will appear that the position of the temporarily settled Pollams of Madura Dindigul and Manapara is the following. They were surveyed and assessed in Fusly 1212 - 1213 in view to their settlement in perpetuity, and rates of Peishcush were fixed by the Government Officers which were probably meant by them to be permanent, and which with one exception have since continued to be collected. Nevertheless the design of settling them on Zemindary tenure, if originally entertained, was never carried out.-

Mambaray- vide Supra Para 18.

Note.
The permanent Sunnuds issued by Government for the created Zemindaries and permanently settled Pollams of Dindigul were never delivered to the proprietors See From Coll^r 31st May in Cons 4th June 1838. The settlement of these estates in perpetuity was however fully sanctioned by Government From Government- 24th Oct^r in Cons 1st Nov^r 1804 P 8.

No permanent Settlement of these estates was ever sanctioned by Government nor were any Sunnuds issued. The applications preferred by the Collector in 1816 for the settlement of the Pollums in perpetuity upon the rates of Peishcush then paid were refused, and the arrangements to be made for them were referred by Government for the consideration of the

the Court of Directors, such of the Estates as fell into arrears were attached, and a Malikhana granted to their Holders. the chief object of the attachment being declared to be to ascertain their value for the purpose of fixing a permanent Assessment if such a measure should be approved by the Court.

The Court however distinctly declined to authorize the introduction of the permanent Settlement into these Pollums, and would grant to the proprietors, or at least to those whose estates were under attachment no other terms than that after the settlement with the ryot to through the Collector's Agency the Collections should during good behaviour be left to the Poligars upon such conditions as might appear best adapted to the circumstances of the case.—

It is true that the Court desired that this course should be followed "for a time" from which it might be inferred

Note.

It seems uncertain whether the orders of the Court in their Despatch of 12th December 1821. were intended to apply to all the unsettled Pollams or to those only which were under Sequestration. The expressions of the Court are however general.—

inferred that some other arrangement might be ultimately adopted. The known disinclination of the Court to the extension of the Zemindary System even in instances having the greatest claims upon their favor renders it however impossible that they would have eventually assented to the adoption of that System in the unsettled Pollams. or that they would have accorded to their proprietors more indulgence than was in 1835. conceded to the Poongaroor Poligar. Under any circumstances, if the permanent Settlement had been sanctioned it would not have had retrospective effect or have conferred upon those whose estates

Note

This may be exemplified by the course pursued towards the Chittoor Pollams.

The Chittoor Pollams were temporarily settled by Mr. Stratton in Fusly 1211. They were attached in Fusly 1213 and the Poligars pensioned upon 18¾% of the revenue of their Estates. In 1819 five of the Pollams were permanently settled in virtue of a pledge to that effect given in the proclamation of November 1804. The settlement took effect from the termination of the Decennial lease Fusly 1231, and it was decided by Government that the Poligars were to have no concern in the adjustment of accounts for the previous period. In the case of these Estates the Cavelly and Sayer which had been included in Mr. Stratton's Peshcush were resumed whilst the Pollams were under attachment and had the accounts been adjusted upon the principle of Mr. Stratton's settlement for the years Fusly 1214 to 1230 i.e. from the date of sequestration to that of permanent settlement there would have been a balance in favor of the Poligars.

See Bd's Pro: 16th Nov.r 1815 P. 83.
Do. 29th April 1819 P. 14.
Do. 30th Oct.r 1819 P. 5.
From Govt. Acct.s Nos. 6 and 13 forwarded with the Coll.rs letter of 10th July 1823.
From Pol. Coll.r Northstreet 30th May 1825. Statement Enclosure No. 2.

estates had previously been under attachment, any claims on account of past years except to the Mali Ranas.—

34. The instructions of the Court were not acted upon—and in the course of years the distiction between the Settled & unsettled Pollams of Madura tho' still maintained in the District accounts has almost ceased to be recognized either by the authorities in this Country or in England. After the years that have elapsed it certainly would not be proper that the terms upon which the unsettled Pollams have so long been held should now be departed from: but their history as set forth in the preceding paras. of this letter seems to the Board decisive as to the non-existence of any such covenant or compact between the State and the Poligars as is contained in the Permanent Settlement—or as could alone give color to such claims as those now asserted on behalf of the Cunnewadi proprietor.—

35. It now remains to advert to the Special circumstances of this latter individual. His Pollam as already shewn

was

was attached in Fasly 1226. For 28 years his predecessor continued to receive a Mokhasa of 10 per % upon the net annual income of the property freed from the risk and trouble of management, and without reference to the Peishcush having been discharged or otherwise. Had the grant of Munasabi Cunnuce reduced that income, it must have lowered his allowance in an equal ratio. Yet he continued to receive it year after year without remonstrance or objection. Had Cunnawadi been settled in perpetuity it might have been sold for arrears and for ever lost to the Poligar. Previous to the sequestration of his Pollam there does not appear to have been any engagement on the part of Government towards him which could have prevented them from dealing with his Pollam in such manner as might have seemed best to them, or as had been practiced towards Poligars in many other parts of the Madras Presidency. No pledge was given him at the time of the attachment, except that the measure was in view to the final Settlement of the Pollam, which settlement would depend upon the

decision

decision of the Home authorities. The Court of Directors would not consent to the permanent settlement of the Estate the alternative which they suggested was not adopted, and the Poligar therefore remained in receipt of his Malikana, his Pollum continuing under charge of the Officers of Government who managed it to the best advantage. After this state of things had subsisted for several years he was allowed on payment of arrears, which did not equal a moiety of his original peshcush a payment voluntarily tendered by himself to regain possession of his Pollam which by that time had been so improved under care of the Officers of Government the remissions now complained of being a main cause of that improvement, as to yield him a profit of 30 per% upon its annual outturn. His position thereby became better than that of the less favored Poligar of Poonganoor and better than he would in all probability have occupied had the orders of the Court of Directors of 12th December 1821 been carried out. The Board have above given

Proceedings 14 Sept. 1830 P. 4.

given it as their opinion that the claim to compensation which has been preferred in his behalf is not tenable in law and they cannot consider it to be admissible in equity.

30. The Board may here refer to the case of the Congoondy Pallum in support of the above views, and as furnishing an analogy to that of Cummanadi. The Congoondy Pallum was assessed by Colonel Reid in 1792. The Peishcush then imposed tho' only fixed provisionally has since continued unaltered. In 1827 the Poligar preferred a claim to compensation on account of the loss of the Sayer duties of his Estate, which tho' included among the resources of the Pallum, on its settlement by Colonel Reid, had been assumed in 1802 by Government. It was then held by the Board and Government that as there had been no permanent settlement of the Estate the compensation claimed by the Poligar could not be demanded as a matter of right, and, under the circumstances of the property as there appeared no reason for granting it as a boon,

Board's Proceedings 7 September 1830 from Govt 21st Oct 1829.

the

the application was rejected. The princi-
-ple upon which this decision was passed,
applies with still more force to the
Cumnavadi than to the Cowgoondy claim; in-
-asmuch as the resumption of the Sayer
was a clear loss to the latter proprietor,
whilst the remissions granted in Cumn-
-navadi resulted in the improvement
of the Estate.

37. The Board will now cite
the conclusion arrived at by the Collector
of Madura who has investigated the
subject with much attention and fairness
and whose impression, as already shown,
was at first in favor of the Zemindar's
title to compensation as good in Law,
at least if not in equity.

"The Government were not bound
to reduce the Peishcush under any cir-
-cumstances. The Government Officers,
as Trustees in charge of the Estate, con-
-sulted its interests, and did not
exceed their powers, in reducing the
rates of Teerwah, in the prospect of
which the then Zemindars acquiesced,
by accepting the 10 per Cent allowance
on the actual receipts, and praying

the

the Balance with Interest without
objection before the restoration of the
Estate. The Peishcush not being permanently
fixed the increase from cultivation did
not, of right belong to the Zemindar
alone. The income under assumption
by the nonexaction of interest on inter-
-mediate balances or the Collector's Com-
-mission was made to cover, not only
the whole Government Peishcush, and
the 10 per Cent allowance, but also a
part of the Balance due at the
period of sequestration. It cannot be
said, therefore that the Zemindar was
made responsible for the outturn of
the management by the exaction of
a Balance."

Such being the case there seems
to be no difficulty in adopting the view
set forth in the first part of Para 10 of
my letter of 23d December 1848. The Zemin-
-dar was relieved of the burden of an
Estate on which the Peishcush, not
permanently fixed, being calculated on
an oppressive Teerwa, was for a time too
high. He has received it back with a
revenue raised to the amount of the
former calculation, or nearly so, but resting

on

on the stable basis of extended cultivation moderately assessed. In the mean while he was supported by an allowance. This treatment would seem to have been sufficiently liberal. Had the Estate been permanently assessed, it might have been sold for the arrears, and for ever lost to him. Under these circumstances a claim for the amount of the remissions of Peishcush to the Ryots which may be considered the main cause of the present prosperity of the Estate seems unreasonable. The utmost that the most liberal consideration would seem to call for would be the refund of the balance collected at the time of the restoration of the Estate, with or without the reduction caused by crediting the surplus income under management with interest to the present time on the ground that the Peishcush was too high at the time the balance accrued.

The settlement of the Chittoor Pollems in 1819 was as already shewn, Vide supra note in Para 33, to be in bar of any persons claims upon or on behalf of Government.

38. Under all the circumstances of the Immawadi Estate as they have been already detailed and considering

But.

But these Estates were formally settled in perpetuity in virtue of the pledge given in the proclamation of 1804 whereas the Immawadi Proprietor was suffered to regain possession of his Estate in some measure from the previous orders of the Court of Directors having been overlooked and without any permanent settlement having been sanctioned in his case.

that the payment of the balances was voluntarily tendered by the late Zemindar in 1840, and as a means of regaining a highly valuable property the Board are not inclined to support this latter suggestion. In the event of its being adopted it would also seem but consistent to make similar concessions in favor of the proprietors of the ten Pollems which were sold or surrendered in 1802 and the following years, and to replace them in possession of their Estates. A refund would also be called for in the case of the arrears paid by the Woodapanaigoor Poligar for recovery of his Pollems in 1844.

1 RA 318-14-0

39 The grounds then upon which the claim of the Zemindar to the refund of the Immawadi Immunities remissions made by the Government Officers

Officers during their management of the Estate are to be resisted as appears to the Board to be.

First, that the remissions in question were necessarily intended for the good of the Estate and resulting in its benefit.

Secondly, that there was nothing in the position or circumstances of the Cummawadi Pollams and no such compact between the Zemindar and the Government as should prevent the revenue authorities from making those remissions or give the proprietor a valid title to the refund of the remissions thus made.

It will be remarked that the line of argument adopted in the preceding paras is not wholly the same as that taken in reference to the claims of the Cummawady Proprietor in the Board's letter of 21st May 1846. In that communication the claim was discussed only generally and as a demand which might possibly be preferred and the attention of the Board had not at that time been drawn to the distinction between the permanently and temporarily settled Pollams of Dindigul.

10. The second part of the Zemindar's demand or that for a reduction of Peishcush from Fasly 1253 when the estate was released from attachment, has been in some measure disposed of in the preceding remarks.

It has been shown that the average revenue during the ten last years of sequestration nearly equalled the outturn of Fasly 1212 and that the bariz of Fasly 1257 was above that standard. The Board therefore, even if they could admit the Poligar's title as a matter of right to a continuance of the same rate (30 per %) of profit as was contemplated in the arrangement of Fasly 1212, would still agree with Mr Parker that "any reduction of the Peishcush is of doubtful necessity and might be deferred until the Zemindar proves that it is called for by the present circumstances of the Estate."

11. In support of his claim for refund of the remission granted during the sequestration of the Estate Mr Fischer has referred to the alleged precedents of the Ramnad, and Shevagunga Zemindaries and Manbaray and Edaiyattah Pollams. These cases present no parallel to that of Cummawadi. Ramnad and Shevagunga were permanently settled Zemindaries. Edaiyattah also was settled in perpetuity.

And

10000

Fort St. George 5th November

† Mo Inwards Commence ... Manbaray ... From Coll. 27th September ... in Cons. 5th November 1849.

and the Peishcush upon it and of
Manbaray (which last only was an
unsettled Pollum) was lowered
not on account of the Monsoon
Commissioner remissions allowed on
them, but because it was found
disproportionate to their resources
which cannot be said of
Commissioner

12.

It will be observed
that the Zemindar ... was
having appointed Mr Fischer
as his Agent and that the
Collector has been unable
to discover the mode in
which copies of public
documents have been
procured from his own
Office and that of the
Sub Collector. The Board have

no

10001

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

no reason to suppose that Mr Fischer had
obtained Copies of any of these papers from
their office allowing the documents which he
has quoted in his Memorial might have been
and probably were procured from the Madura records

43 The Board have called upon the Collector
of Madura to state whether there are any other
estates in his District on account of which claims
might be preferred against Government on
grounds similar to those taken by the Commissioner
Poligars. From Mr Parkers reply it will be seen
that similar claims could only be advanced
in the case of two Pollums and that owing to
the short time that these Estates were under
management the amount would be but
trifling. Of these Pollums one Ammindanaik-
ancum is an unsettled Pollum and the same
remarks as are applicable to the admission of the demand
objections would be to the admission of the demand
in this as in Cummumadie. The other Estate,
Edaiyacottah is a permanently settled Pollic-
um but its Peishcush was reduced in 1839
which might perhaps lead to any claim on
account of remissions previously granted or
if not the sum to be paid would be in-
significant.

B. to Coll. 30th Aug. 1849
Coll. to B. 27th Sept.
in Cons. 5th Nov.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th November, 1849.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Statement.

Statement

Fort St. George

...ining of the year the Quantity ...

Total.	Quantity sold for Consumption within the Madras Territories.		Quantity sold for transportation across the frontier for the supply of the Native States in the interior.	
1	5		6	
Mds	Mds	S	Mds	S
82302	32	27057	24	— —
95157	8	33236	20	— —
70484	31½	33168	38½	1 0 20
31172	—	39159	28	1281 —
75328	4	31807	36	— —
23391	30	32313	38	— —

5th November 1849

...manufactured and sold during the year and the Balance

Quantity sold during the year.

Quantity sold to Foreign Government.	Exportation by Sea. Under requisition from Bengal Government.	Exportation by Sea. On private account whether to Calcutta or other places.	Exportation by Sea. Under requisition for Ceylon Government.	Wastage.
7	8	9	10	11
Mds S	Mds S	Mds S	Mds S	Mds S
— —	— —	— —	3905 —	3088 32
— —	— —	— —	— —	6252 12
— —	— —	— —	— —	6252 24
— —	— —	— —	— —	5583 12
— —	— —	— —	— —	2133 —
— —	— —	— —	— —	2709 —

16003

Fort St. George

5th November 1849

in Store at its Close with the Financial results of the Farm of Revenue from Fusly 1253 to 1258 in the District of Madura.

Total Columns 5 to 11.	Balances in Store at the Close of the year or difference between Columns 14 & 17.	Gross Revenue derived from the Sale of Salt in Column 17.	Deduct Charges of all descriptions however collected & of Recoverable nature advanced.	Net Revenue	Remarks
12.	13	14	15	16	17
Pkgs. Lbs.	Pkgs. Lbs.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	
312743 16	169559 16	2871.85	9 9 1.7059	2 .. 21.0.26	59
338617 32	156539 16	35682	1. 10 515.63	10 6 305.266	10 1.
337979 7/2	132505 32	337979	1 .. 1.9.119	9 3 288.859	7 9
398457. ..	32715 ..	398457	7 1.7067	1 6 351.389	14 8
320205 36	155122 8	320205	11. 5 55318	5 8 264.887	8 9
325843 38	177517 32	325843	15 3 17.135	8 8 278.108	6 7

Madura, 11th July, 1849. E. E. F.

(44-45) Order thereon.

Remarks

17

In Fusly 1252 the actual price was at 105 Rupees per Garce and a whole heap of 10 Garces at 100 Rupees

The same rates were continued up to March 1848 when the price was raised to 180 Rupees per Garce Salt to be for exportation to Columbo was priced at 62 Rupees per Garce under a special Order

Up to August, salt was sold at 180 Rupees per Garce subsequently at 120 Rupees per Garce-

Sold at 120 Rupees per Garce-

Particulars of the Charges entered in Column 15 are as follows

Sibbundy and Sadarmaret Charges for the Superintendance of the manufacture Collectors Commission & value of wastage entered in Column 11-

Vide Remarks above with the exception of the Collectors Commission

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector

Ordered to lie over-

16004

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

Read the following letter-

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George-

Sir,

(46)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly-

Submitting the information called for regarding certain Charges objected to by the Civil auditor-

Para 1 With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 1st [illegible] I have the honor to state that the Charges under items Nos 17 and [illegible] referred to were incurred under the G.O.G. No 85 dated 5th June 1838 on account of batta due in July 1755 to the 2 Native Doctors Appanna Pillay and Soondara Ranzoo attached to the Offices and that of the Sub Collector as Heir of 2d Dressers-

2 The 1st of these viz Appanna Pillay having been included in the last Muzzenzalitah Sanctioned by Government under date the 14th August 1827 and the 2d viz Soondara Ranzoo appointed on the Establishment of the Sub Collector under the Sanction of Government dated

16005

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

dated 22d June 1830 were not included in the Statement of actual Charges on account of additional Establishment yearly forwarded to the Board for Sanction-

Vide also Extract from a nominal list of Native Medical Servants which accompanied the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 20th June 1837 and was forwarded with a letter from the Board dated 21st August 1837.

3- The Charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor under items Nos 23 and 29 were incurred under the same Govt Order dated 20th September 1815 commenced taking the Board's Proceedings dated the 9th October 1815-

Tinnevelly 20th October 1849

Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector-

(46-47)

Proceedings thereon- Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly dated 20th September 1849 enclosing [illegible] from the Sub Collector on the Account for July 1755 and Establishment for Dressers and requesting sanction for the Charges retrenched-

Resolved that the letters above recorded together with their Enclosures be submitted

Submitting letters from the Collector of Tinnevelly with Extract from a Determination of the Civil Auditor on his Accounts for July 1755 and recommending that a Sanction be granted for admitting the

Fort St. George 5th November 1819

the Charges in his accounts.

Submitted for the orders of Government.

2 The objection to pass the Batta to the two Native Doctors is that the authority forwarded by the Collector applies only to Native Dressers. The acting Collector explains that the Native Doctors were attached to his own office and that of the Sub Collector in lieu of 2 Dressers and quotes the authority for their employment.

3 Under this explanation the Board would recommend that Sanction be granted for the admission of the Charge of Rupees 8-2-0 and as there would appear to be no rule for regulating the payment of Batta to Native Doctors on Circuit with the Collectors and Sub Collectors Establishments the Board would suggest that either the Rules applying to payment of Batta to Native Dressers or those Controlling the disbursement of Batta to Hoozoor Servants should be made applicable to the Class of Servants termed Native Doctors.

Nos 28 & 29
Rs 268-9-2.

4 The Charges for the pay of

Fort St. George 5th November 1819

Peons and Messengers under Instructions on account of lighting the Tambaram Poorney Bridge Rupees 268-9-2 are recommended by the Board of Revenue for the Sanction of Government under the Standard Disbursement Sanctioned in Extract Minutes of Consultation in Department Public Works under date

In Cons. 9th October 1815. the 20th September 1815.

5 The Collector will be directed to submit the Charges annually in future in order that this account in future through the Offices for the Sanction of Government to obviate any future retrenchment under this head.

I have the following letters

To J. T. Pycroft Esq.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

48

I have the Honor Collector of Chingleput to return the accompanying Petition of Returning Petitions of 1819 & 1819 and Shewing the Inexpediency of granting any more

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 18[illegible] bearing the endorsement of the Board of Revenue dated the 20th August

1808

more licences for the sale of Spirits at Cotacamund.

† See to Board's 2nd Aug.t 1848 No 3 From Dr.t 9th Sept.r 1848 & Do. 18th Dec.r 1848 Rec.d 25 From Dr.t 25th Janry 849.

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

August last.

2 The circumstances connected with Mr. Atkins's claim for a license to retail Foreign Spirituous liquors at Cotacamund having previously been fully brought to the notice of the Board of Revenue (vide Correspondence noted in the margin) no further explanation now seems called for—

3 A license was issued in the name of Messrs. Prager and Co. on the registration of a Mr. Atkins, which was not renewed in the beginning of the Current Year, under the discretion vested in me, by the orders of the Board, conveyed in Extract from their Proceedings dated the 11th June 1849. such appearing unnecessary with reference to the number of Licenses already issued to Parties on the Neilgherries and the great prevalence of drunkenness (from the numerous Shops) deprecated by the Officer Commanding, and all respectable Residents

Mr. H.R. Dawson Cotacamund

Messrs. Finnyes Ryan & Co. Jehangeer Hill Do.

Mr. Dawson Coroor

1809

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

Residents at Cotacamund—

Coimbatore, 11th October 1849.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas acting Principal Collector

To, J. T. Pycroft Esq.re Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort St. George.

Sir,

(49)

From the acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore—

Returns Petition No. 1678 of 1849 and states that the Petitioner Mr. Prager has no claim to the renewal of the license alluded to—

I have the honor to return Petition No. 1678 of 1849 with the Boards endorsement of the 28th August last—

The Petitioner Mr. Prager obtained a license to sell Foreign Spirits on the Neilgherries for the first time, in the past Fasly on the registration of a Mr. Atkins which was not renewed in the beginning of this year, such appearing unnecessary with reference to the number of Licenses already issued, having been considered sufficient to Justify those circumstances, I do not see that Mr. Prager has a claim to the renewal of the license alluded to—

I take this opportunity also of observing with reference to repeated applications from

1040

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

from various parties for Licenses to sell
Spirits that in order to prevent the drun-
=kenness so prevalent on the Neilgherries,
it is desirable that the issue should be re-
=stricted as far as practicable as recom-
=mended by Mr. Broughton in para 5 of
his letter to the Board dated the 4th
August 1848, No. 63.

4th The parties now holding re-
Licenses are amply sufficient, and
are all respectable, and to be trusted

Coimbatore, 1st October, 1849. (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Acting Prin. Collector

48-50

Proceedings thereon- The Board of Revenue
Directing the fully appreciate the anxiety expressed
Pr. Coll. by the Acting Principal Collector
of Coimbatore to confine the sale of Foreign spirits
to grant licenses at Ootacamund to as few parties as
for the sale of possible. It is however understood
spirituous that the Messrs. Prager and Co.
liquors on the Strains are Shopkeepers and Auc-
Neilgherries =tioneers and in those capacities are
by Messrs. Prager frequently employed to dispose of
& Co. Strains and property
Atkins and
Popping Endorse-
=ment on their
Petition Refer-
=ring them to
the Collector.

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

property of parties who may be leaving
the Hills- such property of low in-
=clusive terms and spirits for the sale
of which no license is necessary.

Under these circumstances and con-
=sidering the small amount for the
=revenue at present yielded by the
sale of Foreign Spirits on the
Neilgherries the Board direct that
the application of Messrs. Prager
and Atkins be complied with;
but the Acting Principal Collector
will be so good as to explain to

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

to those parties that the indulgence now accorded will be at once withdrawn in the event of there appearing to be any reason to suppose that it has been abused.

Endorsement on Petitions of Mr. Ebby and 1678 of 1849 Respectively.

"Petitioner is referred to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of his Petition."

DG.

To

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

To,

J. Lycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

51

Sir

From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

States that the objections of the Berghers to the site of the proposed Barracks are unfounded; that their fears have been worked upon by some injudicious persons on the Hills, and that if left to themselves they will eventually consent to give up the Lands required for Military Purposes.

Para 1 I beg to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th July 1849 - In Extract Minutes of Consultation, 7th November 1848, No. 1208, the Principal Collector was directed "to use his endeavours to persuade the "Berghers to resign, on receiving compensation, the additional Ground "required" - In the present, I am desired to obtain the same "with the free "will and perfect concurrence of the "Berghers so as to prevent all future "complaints or representations" - In my letters to the Board of the 30th April, and 4th June 1849, I reported that I had personally visited the spot selected by the Military Authorities, for the Barracks, in company with His Excellency the Commander

Fort St George 5th November 1849.

Commander in Chief, and again by myself on several other occasions.

Little or no objections were then made by the Berghers. they insisted only on liberal and fair remuneration from Government, which I assured them would be given. one small Hamlet also would probably be removed, but that not necessarily - with the exception of one village, old Coonoor, all the others are distant from the Barrack site. the large space included in the map being only intended to secure space for Military evolutions and exercises of the troops, and to prevent other speculators from occupying the ground.

3. That the Berghers are now less willing to give up the land, is undoubtedly true, and equally so that their prejudices and groundless fears have been designedly worked upon by some injudicious or ill disposed persons on

	Feet	M. S. yds
Old Coonoor to the Tree	2,200	0 - 3 - 13
Dundeymey — Do.	700	0 - 1 - 13
Trees — Do.	6,000	1 - 1 - 10
Theygohuttay — Do.	9,550	1 - 6 - 103
Sacratalla — Do.	8,500	1 - 4 - 193 - 0
Pookerkeen — Do.	8,000	1 - 4 - 27 - 3
Marekarey — Do.	4,750	0 - 7 - 43
Munjeelee — Do.	4,300	0 - 6 - 113

Tide distances subjoined as measured by Captain Cuchterlony in my report.

Fort St George 5th November 1849

on the Hills - the objections they have lately brought forward, are quite new, and manifestly suggested to them by some European - for example, at a late interview they informed me that "they "had been told that the soldiers so "would have "six Weeks of leave" on "Sundays, and would, on that day, especially overrun their Villages, and be "without control". I mention this merely to show the character, and the groundless nature of their objections.

4. That any molestation of their Villages or Families, can be, and will be, under efficient Military arrangements, and disciplines easily prevented, is obvious - that all fair and full compensation in money and lands, to the Berghers, will be liberally accorded by Government, is equally undoubted - that these very villages will ultimately be greatly enriched and benefitted by a large and sure market for all their produce, is certain - it remains therefore for Government to decide, whether the important public object in view, the

health

Fort St George 5th November 1849

health and efficiency of a large body of European Soldiers, with all the benefits anticipated from its location on the Neelgherries, shall be set aside on the objection of a few uninformed and misled Berghers, ignorant of their own real interests.

Para 5. 5. For the real result to the Berghers and others, of settlements on the Neelgherries, I would beg respectfully to refer to my letter to the Board of Revenue, under date 8th august 1849.

6. It may also be right to mention that I did, in mere deference to the prejudices of the Berghers, groundless as I still thought them to be, point out to his Excellency the Commander in Chief, another site for his consideration; tho' I cannot but concur in the opinion of the Engineers and others capable of judging, that "Jackatalla" offers the best locality, for space, water, and shelter. Considering myself, however, as the "Berghers" proper advocate, and protector, and not bound nor competent to

Fort St George 5th November 1849.

take a professional or Military view of the matter, I made known the Berghers wishes, and fully considered them, so far as I properly or reasonably could; and I have been during the last few months, at least six times to the spot, hearing personally all the Berghers had to say about the matter.

7. Some of the Parties whose names are on the Petition to the Board dated 30th May 1849, have since denied their signatures - others signed without knowing its contents, "because they were asked" and all from an indefinite and ungrounded fear of possible molestation - the Petition is evidently the production of an European, in idea and language, and no genuine native production.

8. Tho' I am unable therefore at present, to report that the Land can be obtained with the free will and perfect concurrence of the Berghers, I am perfectly convinced that the very same Parties who now object,

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

would if left to themselves, be, after a short experience, as anxious for the continuance of any European settlement as they now profess to be against its commencement. That as rude and uneducated Hill Tribes, like the Berghers should at first be jealous of and object to strange settlers amongst them, is usual and natural all over the world; and if all new comers are to retire before such prejudices, few new settlements will be made, or rude tribes civilized. The new settlements cannot fail eventually to benefit the Berghers independent of the present liberal compensation offered by Government.

9. With reference to paras 3 of my letter of 30th April last, I beg to add that the 50 Rupees requested, and since sanctioned, were immediately so applied; and a young plantation is now coming up on the spot.

10 I would also take this opportunity of respectfully explaining that Government in Extract from

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

No 532

†Minutes of Consultation of the 30th May 1849, mistook my meaning as intended in para 6 of the same letter (30th April) in which I said the amount of compensation to the Berghers would be about the same "rate" not the same total sum as before given. Viz. 350 Rupees. The amount to be given to the Berghers for the whole 600 Cawnies would be about 350 Rupees namely 350 Rupees as before fixed, but never yet paid, and 1000 Rs. for the additional land since required, being chiefly waste but occasionally cultivated.

Coimbatore District,
Kamp Guzzelhully,
20th October, 1849.

Signed C. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

57-52

To the Chief Sect.
to Government
Submitting letter

Sir,

Para 1. In Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 7th November 1848, and

letter from the Jr Princl Collr of Coimbatore reporting that he has been unable to overcome the scruples of the Berghers to their giving up the additional lands on the Neilgherry Hills required by the Military Authorities for the construction of Barracks and the formation of a Military Cantonment.

Jr Letr 13th July 1849

Dr 16th July 1849

in that of the Military Department received with the order of Government of 4th July 1849, it was desired that measures should be taken for obtaining on payment of compensation and with the free will and perfect concurrence of the Berghers, so as to prevent all future complaints or representations, possession of the whole of the lands at Jakatalla on the Neilgherry Hills required by the Military Authorities for the construction of Barracks for a European Corps, and the formation of a Military Cantonments.

2. The above orders were duly communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore by the Board of Revenue and the necessary instructions issued to him. In reply they have received the accompanying letter in which I am directed to request you will submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

22nd Octr in Coll
5th Novr 1849.

3. It would seem that Mr Thomas, from certain causes which he has fully explained, has as

D.C.

yet, notwithstanding his earnest endeavours been unable to overcome the scruples of the Berghers to their giving up the required land, although liberal compensation has been offered to them.

4. Mr Thomas has also captained that the total amount which would be payable to the Berghers for the whole of the land at Jakatalla will be about 1350 Rupees, and that the sum (Rs 350) referred to in his letter of 30th April 1849, Para 6, was the amount previously sanctioned, that is for the smaller extent of land since ascertained.

Jr to Govt 21st May 1849

5. Mr Wroughton in his letter of 15th April 1848, This latter sum has not yet been paid to the Berghers, having been withheld under the circumstances explained in the Principal Collector's letter of 19th June 1848.

Jr to Govt 1st May 1848

Jr to Govt 3d July 1848

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
5th November 1849

Signed T Pycroft
Secretary

From the Acting Pr Collr
of Coimbatore

Read

53

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

(53-54)

of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and his subordinates for Fasly 1258.

Proceedings thereon.

The Board of Revenue

Mr. J. C. Houghton Principal Collector for 29 Days
" E. B. Thomas Sg. Dr. for 7 Days
" C. J. Bird, Sub Collector for 30 Days
" B. J. Sullivan, Sg. Dr. for 4 Days
" Head Asst. Coll. for 29 Days
" M. J. Pelhouse, Asst. Coll. for 29 Days
" C. F. Chamier, Asst. Coll. for 22 Days

ORIGINAL DISAPPROVED

Office during the number of days noted in the Margin.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Proceedings be forwarded to the Civil Auditor.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(55)

From the Collector of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Petitions noted in the Margin forwarded to me for report and to state for the information

No. 38 of 1849
" 40 of "
" 1133 of "

of the Board that the returns of the Land Customs duty claimed respectively by the Petitioners have been already adjusted in common with other similar claims in accordance with the sanction of Government dated 15th August 1849 as communicated in the Board's proceedings of the 20th idem.

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore
16th October 1849

Signed T. L. Blane
Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following

(55-56)

endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos. 38 and 1133 of 1849 and 40 of 1849.

"The Board of Revenue having called for a report from the Collector of Canara on the subject of this Petition are informed that the Petitioners claims have been adjusted."

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(57)

From the Coll. of Madras submitting the

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the

16024

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

the necessary explanation relative to certain lands which Coopoo Naick alludes to in his Petition No 1178 of 1849.

The receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 25th instant, and to state that the Ground respecting which Coopoo Naick has made an observation in petition No. 1178 of 1849 is situated in the West Esplanade and is that referred to in Paras 2 to 4 of his petition of 1840 No 839.

2— It was represented in the latter that the value of the Ground in question was recommended to be given to the Merasidars of Erwongonum, although they were entitled to receive half-yearly payments for it by way of compensation.

3— In my Endorsement of 21st June 1840, I stated that the petitioners assertion was untrue, for, as explained to the Board in Mr Robertson's letter of 11th January 1838, No 7 and in Enclosure B to which Mr Taylor refers in the last Para of his report—portion of the land pointed out by the Merasidars of Perumbore and Kedumbore measuring C. 26—8—1350, were deducted from

16025

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

from their claim because it was found to form part of the spot on which salt was formerly manufactured, for the suppression of which compensation was already paid half yearly to the Merasidars of Erwongonum.

4— The plan of the West Esplanade, which was submitted with the same letter will more clearly shew that the petitioners statement is without foundation.

5— The observation in No 1178 of 1849 noticed by the Board occurs also in all previous petitions from the same party, which accompanied my letter of 15th June 1848.

Madras 30th October 1849. } (Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collector.

Read also the following

In Cons 25th Oct 1849. (57-58) Letter from the Collector of Madras dated 9th October 1849.

Proceedings thereon— Under the explanation contained in the last of the above letters and in the Report of the Assistant Collector

Govt. No. 1478 1849.

Collector relative to the petition No. 20 of 1849 presented by the late Salt Ameen Coopoo Naick. the Board of Revenue resolve to pass the following endorsement upon that Petition.

"The Statements put forward in this Petition have been duly investigated by the Assistant Collector but found to be unsupported by proof."

2. Referring to Para 2 of Mr. Taylor's letter the attention both of the Collector and of his Assistant will be given to prevent the servants of their Offices from being in any way concerned in such subscriptions as those said to be raised by the Salt Manufacturers for Pagoda purposes. They will caution the servants against being engaged in such transactions, and will make inquiries, from time to time to ascertain whether their orders on this head are duly complied with.

Read the following letter.

To J. Paycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Boards of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Revd. W. T. Blenkinsop
Cuddalore

While recently on a tour of

Requesting an explanation of certain Clauses in the Tamil Pullah granted to his son a Coffee planter on the Sherawy Hills in the Salem District.

of duty at Salem and the Sherawy Hills my son Mr. L. Blenkinsop, put into my hands in Tamil Pullah stamped by the Collector of Salem granting him 69 acres of jungle land on the Sherawy Hills, for the purpose of Coffee planting, under certain conditions.

I have had three translations of this document made and although there are slight differences all agree as follows.

1st If future orders are received regarding the above remission you are to comply with them.

3 And whatever order is made by Government regarding the land after 21 years you are to agree to it.

Another translation says. Twenty one years after date you should be willing to submit to any order of the Government regarding the land.

And the third says

Twenty one years after date.

you

Fort St. George 5th November 1849

you shall be willing to submit to the Government if it requires the land.

Now Sir as the Father of Mr. L. Blenkinsop providing him funds to cultivate the land I trust the Board of Revenue will allow me to request you as their Secretary to favor me with their meaning in these two clauses. It must depend on this, whether I can sink my money in Coffee planting.

The cultivation of the Coffee tree is a work of considerable expence precariousness and even danger in some cases to the cultivator as my son in clearing the jungle and planting already nearly 2000 trees has experienced.

If then this 3d Clause means that after 21 years on the Collector of the District looking at the plantation and seeing a good crop on it can say (without reference to the planters books) This land while you were getting it into cultivation has only paid 1 Rupee per Acre assessment: Now I see there is a good crop on it I must therefore in future assess it 5-6 or perhaps 10 Rs per

× My son planted in the usual month June instead of rain during following for nearly a month and murders of his trees died.

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

per Acre and if you refuse, I shall take the land according to your Pattah or Government account." If this is the meaning, then, I must decline furnishing my son with the means of cultivating, or else he must cultivate on the principle of working the land and trees as much as he can for the first 16 or 17 years, and then for the remaining four or five taking what ever produce he can get from the trees without bestowing any labour on them in digging manuring, any other way, but in picking the berry, and exhausting the trees. Such a mode of cultivation would be ruinous to the Government interest, for if the Government did not require the land at 21 years the planter would find his plantation ruined and would throw up the land. At the same time if the Clause has such a meaning (and it bears this construction in the minds of many) it would ruin the prospects of the planter, rendering his property, in the event of his wishing to retire after a toil of say Twenty years, either on account of ruined health

health in a fever ish climate or other cause utterly unsaleable, for no man in his senses would think of purchasing a property liable to be taken from him by Government in four, five or six years or else submit to be taxed at a rate which would deprive him of nearly or perhaps all profit

My son is a young man and not able to seek this information himself. I trust therefore the Board will kindly allow me to solicit it for him. Hitherto my son has been cultivating a piece of ground I purchased for him and which is held under a grant of some years standing and also a small portion of that recently granted by the Collector. His future cultivation will depend on the Board's reply to my inquiries. I am quite willing to find him in funds and allow him to submit to any regulations in reference to the uncultivated portion of his lands but I must guard against encroachments on the cultivated portions.

I

Again in conclusion I pure the Board I have personally no interest in my sons cultivation (on my recent visit to the Hills I only once saw his plantations) except what I should derive in providing for him - At the same time his funds are wholly from my purse.

Cuddalore 18th October 1849

Signed W. L. Blenkinsop

60

Proceedings thereon - From the foregoing letter it would appear that the Puttah given to Mr. L. Blenkinsop for certain lands taken up by him on the Shevaroy Hills in Salem

Mr. L. Blenkinsop for land upon the Shevaroy Hills is in a similar form to that at first issued to Messrs Ouchterlony Casamajor and Fletcher for the land taken up by them in the same locality. Upon the representation made by those Gentlemen, that

5th September in Form 12th Oct. 1848

Proceedings 12th Oct. 1848

Puttah was ordered to be cancelled and a fresh document issued in which two clauses drawn up by the Board were to be substituted for Paras 3 and 4 of the original instrument. The Board of Revenue now resolve to forward to the

Collector

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Collector of Salem the Reverend Mr. Blenkinsop's communication and to direct that the Puttah granted to his son be recalled and a fresh one issued therein, in place of the clauses objected to, which seem to be Paras 3 and 4 of the form [No. 2] submitted to this Office with Mr. Lockhart's letter of 17th April 1847. The annexed paras are to be substituted. The Collector will further be pleased to make a similar change in all Puttahs which may henceforth be granted for land taken up on the Shevaroy Hills and in the Counterparts

In Cons. 17th May 1847

Revised Paras.

3. "As it is in contemplation that whenever circumstances may permit, a revenue survey and assessment shall be introduced into the Shevaroy Hills. You will further be liable to pay from the date that such arrangement shall be carried out, such revised rate of assessment upon the whole of the lands comprised in this Puttah as may then be determined upon be the same more or

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

or less than that now payable under the provisions of this Puttah. Provided, however, that as regards such of the lands granted to you under this Puttah as may be entitled to five years Casuly remission, the revised assessment, if introduced within such period of exemption, shall not have effect until after its expiration."

4. "On the expiration of the term of 21 years to which this Puttah has reference, you will be at liberty to continue to hold the land entered in it so long as you shall pay the Government demand, which demand will further be liable to revision on a Revenue Survey and assessment being introduced, if such a measure shall not have been carried out prior to the termination of your lease."

Ordered also that the following letter be addressed to the Reverend T. Blenkinsop.

To

To,

The Reverend W. J. Blenkinsop.

Sir,

59-61

To the Reverend W. J. Blenkinsop

Informing him that the Board have instructed the Collector of Salem to recall the Puttah now held by his Son and to issue in its place a new one in which shall be introduced two revised Paras furnished from this Office.

Dated Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Ultimo, upon the subject of certain clauses in the Puttah granted to your Son Mr. L. Blenkinsop for the land taken up by him upon the Shevaroy Hills, and in reply to inform you that they have desired the Collector of Salem to recall the Puttah in question, and to issue a fresh instrument, in which, in place of the Paras to which you have objected, theres will be substituted to the effect understated.

2nd With reference to those revised paras and in answer to some of the remarks contained in your letter, I have also to explain that it is in contemplation, when circumstances may permit to introduce upon the Shevaroy Hills a survey and assessment to be conducted upon similar principles to that which is in force in the low country and

and that it has been ordered, that whenever grants of land may be made upon the Hills, a stipulation shall be inserted in the lease or Puttah, binding the holder to pay from the time when the survey may be made, such revised rate of assessment (be the same more or less than that now chargeable) as may then be determined. It is not however intended that the Government demand should in any case be altered arbitrarily, or except as part of a general fixed arrangement carried out throughout the Hills after due consideration and inquiry, and in any revision which may take place the assessment will be regulated by the natural quality and situation of the land, and not according to its actual state of cultivation, or to the extent to which improvement may have been effected in it.

Revised Paras.

Para 3. "As it is in contemplation that whenever circumstances may permit, a revenue survey and assessment shall be introduced into

into the Shevaroy Hills, you will further be liable to pay, from the date that such arrangement shall be carried out such revised rate of assessment upon the whole of the land comprised in this Puttah as may then be determined upon be the same more or less than that now payable under the provisions of this Puttah - Provided however, that as regards such of the lands granted to you under this Puttah as may be entitled to five years Cowle remission the revised assessment, if introduced within such period of exemption, shall not have effect until after its expiration."

4. "On the expiration of the term of 21 years to which this Puttah has reference, you will be at liberty to continue to hold the land entered in it so long as you shall pay the Government demand, which demand will further be liable to revision on a revenue survey and assessment being introduced, if such a measure shall not have been

carried out prior to the termination of your lease."

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th November 1849.

(Signed) J. Sycroft.
Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter and Proceedings be dispatched -

To,
The Acting Collector of
Bellary.

(62)

To the Acting Collector of Bellary

Sir,

Para 1- It has been observed that the references from your District on the subject of lapsed Enams have of late been numerous, and I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that instead of sending single Statements you will furnish quarterly Returns in the prescribed Tabular form, containing the cases of such Enams as you may have investigated during the preceding three months and which may require report.

2- Your first Return of this kind may be submitted for the quarter ending the 31st December

December 1849. and all cases previously enquired into and undisposed of may be inserted in it. It should be despatched so as to reach this Office within 6 weeks after the end of the quarter and so as regards all successive quarters.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th November 1849.

(Signed) J. Tycroft.
Secretary.

63
Proceedings-

Read Return of Fines and stoppages from the Pay of public servants in the District of Salem for the quarter ending 30th September 1849.-

DESPATCHED 23rd October and 1st November 1849.

The Board consider that the Fines in the instances numbered 7- 8 and 9 are high and they resolve to call the attention of the Sub Collector through the Collector, to the object to be kept in view in imposing Fines on public servants referred to in the Circular from this Office dated the 28th July 1825.-

64
From the Collector of Salem-

Read Statement of Contingent Charges

DESPATCHED

Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the year 1848.-

64-65
Order thereon-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the undermentioned -

Registers.

66
From the Collector of Chingleput- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions preferred by the Board for the month of September last.-

DESPATCHED

67
From the Collector of Madras- Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions preferred by the Board for the month of October last.-

DESPATCHED

66-68
Order on the two abovementioned Registers-

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

69
From the Collector of Guntoor- Acknowledging the receipt of the Bills and Printed Forms-

70
From the Collector of Nellore- Acknowledging the receipt of 10000 Salt Rowannes.-

From,

71 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of persons tried for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last.

72 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last.

73 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of September last.

74 From the Collector of Shingleput. Salt and Money accounts Current for the quarter ending 30th September last.

75 From the Collector of North Arcot. Salt account Current for the quarter ending 30th September last.

76 From the Collector of Tanjore. Reporting that no persons have been charged with offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last.

77 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th September last.

78 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the quarter ending 30th September last.

79 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Reporting that certain returns for the quarter ending 30th September last are not sent.

80 From the Collectors of Madura. Salt account Current for the quarter ending 30th September last.

81 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th September last.

82 From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th September last.

83 From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

84 From the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt

Fort St. George 5th November 1849.

Salt for the months of September last.

(85) From the Collector of Malabar- Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the months of July, August and September last.-

(86) From the Collector of Malabar- Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public servants for the quarter ending 30th September last.

(87) From the Collector of Malabar- Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th September last.

(88) From the Collector of Malabar- Reporting that no Public servants were suspended or dismissed, nor any case tried under Regulation IX of 1822 from July to 30th September 1849. and stating that no Revenue Defaulters were confined during the above quarter.-

Compr. Dm. Srls.

" G. D. Drury

" J. Goldingham

16043

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre. Third Member

Thursday 8th November 1849.

Walter Elliot Esqre. Second Member

Absent on duty as Commr. Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract

No 967

(1) From the Secretary to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th October 1849.

Containing the observations and orders of Government relative to the Manufacture of Earth Salt in certain Districts of the Madras Presidency on the measures which should be taken for securing the Monopoly thereof and the prevention of illicit traffic and on the encouragements which should be given to the Consumption of sea Salt to the gradual supercession of Earth Salt also

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Here enter 10th July 1848 No 357

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the Manufacture of Earth Salt in the Provinces of the Madras Presidency is a subject that has come under the notice of the local authorities, the Board of Revenue and the Government at different times and

also on the means which should be employed by the Local Officers of keeping themselves informed of the proceedings of this branch of Manufacture

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

and in a variety of shapes, and being beset with difficulties has never been conclusively settled.

2. Under Section 3 Regulation I of 1805 "the manufacture or sale of Salt; and the transit, export or import of it, whether by Sea or Land "excepting on account of Government; is forbidden. Petty Offences against the Salt Laws were formerly cognizable by the Criminal Courts and the inconveniences arising from this mode of procedure, since modified by Act XVII of 1840 vesting this power in the Magistrates was exemplified in an instance brought before Government in 1840.

Jur. Cons. 14th January 1840 No. 13-14
" Dis. 31st May 1844 Para. 77

3. Earth Salt is manufactured extensively in the Bellary District, and exists also in Cuddapah and in Kurnool, the article it is stated may be imported with facility from the adjoining Native States. It is prepared also in the Tondiman Country, and a recent correspondence has brought to notice an instance of extensive smuggling detected in Madura. There is therefore

Dis. 4th April 1848 No. 11-15.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

great reason to believe that not only clandestine Manufacture exists at other places, but that an illicit traffic is also carried on to a much greater extent than is known to the Local Officers, or causing to a nearly equal extent injury to the revenues of Government arising from the Salt Monopoly.

4. In the Bellary District the Manufacture of Earth Salt has existed from time immemorial; Mr. Pollard's report of the 25th April 1844 shews that the subject had engaged the attention of the Board of Revenue and the Local Officers so far back as the years 1808 and 1812, that in 1813 Mr. Chaplain stated that the Board having directed the gradual abolition of the Manufacture of Earth Salt, he had of late discouraged it and contemplated its early extinction. It is however now shewn that the Manufacture still continues as a matter of necessity, the article being extensively used by the great body of the poorer classes both for themselves and for their Cattle, not only is it so manufactured for consumption in the District, but for extensive exportation

exportation. In Cuddapah and Kurnool, no such necessity would appear to exist although clandestine Manufacture is continued.

5. The Earth Salt of Bellary is reported to be a wholesome condiment; in Madura the Earth Salt confiscated in illicit traffic was destroyed as being deleterious. In Cuddapah the article is stated to be inferior to that of Bellary and that of Kurnool to be inferior to both.

6. The Earth Salt in Bellary is manufactured in 800 Villages, the number of Maunds or places of Manufacture being 2805; the article previous to Act VI of 1844 paid a transit duty exceeding Rupees 3,000 per annum which has since been discontinued and the Manufacturers paid and continue to pay a Mohturpha tax bordering on Rupees 13,000 per annum. Like all other items of Mohturpha the tax is arbitrary, regulated on no fixed principle, and is as various in its details, as are the classification of the Mounds and the means by which they are worked. The quantity of Salt produced in Bellary is 1100 Garces,

of which it is represented that one fourth is exported.

7. In Cuddapah the Manufacture though prohibited in 1812 is still clandestinely carried on, there are 1708 hillocks capable of producing Salt partly of the first quality and partly of the inferior kind, no revenue is realized and the Collector proposes to have each Maund. In Kurnool the Manufacture is very limited.

8. The Honorable the Court of Directors remarking on Mr Keller's report of the 25th April 1848 approved of the Government having withheld consent to the strict enforcement of the provisions of the Law as it regarded the Earth Salt in Bellary, and observed that if the practice is to be permitted it would be desirable to place the Manufacture under a more defined system of Regulation, and instead of levying Mohturpha on the Manufacturers, to have the produce moderately

9. In pursuance of these orders the Board of Revenue recommend a plan of procedure in Bellary, which to the Government

Government appears to be as complicated as the one it is intended to supercede. They propose to reduce the number of classes now existing from 16 to 8, to Estimate according to a graduated scale the value of each mound, according to the means employed in its produce and to tax that valuation at 12 per Cent, where the old Classification is not adopted to the present scale, such are to be added to the nearest classes, with an addition to the tax according to circumstances of system upon similar principles is proposed for Cuddapah with the difference only in the percentage which is limited to 9. In Kurnool it is proposed to assimilate the mode of assessment with that of Bellary.

10. The financial effect of these propositions will be the levy of the usual Mahtarpha tax in Bellary on the produce, so adjusted that a great reduction will be effected in the lighter rates now in force, while the lowest rates will be increased more than 100 per Cent without any advantage in point of revenue. In Cuddapah, if the Government

understand the matter rightly, a new revenue will be raised of Rupees 6,000 per annum, while in Kurnool there will be a small diminution of Rupees 89/-

11. One object which the Board of Revenue have in view in these arrangements is (para 11) to leave as little as possible to the discretion of Native revenue Servants, yet in para 10 they propose to lay on an Extra Tax on Villages where extra hands may be employed, a measure which necessarily involves the very interference they desire to prevent; and the classification moreover which they desire to reduce to 8 is actually increased by their means to 12 if not more.

12. The Governor in Council is of opinion that the orders of the Honorable Court "to place the Manufacture under a more defined system of regulation" have not been accomplished by the propositions of the Board of Revenue, nor does it appear to him that their Orders to impose "a moderate tax proportioned to the ex-

quantity manufactured "contemplated a plan to tax the poorer classes in Bellary more than 600 per Cent.

13. If as in 1812 in Cuddapah, and as stated by Mr. Chaplain in Bellary in 1813, the prohibition of the manufacture of Earth Salt had been steadily persevered in from year to year and the attention of the local Officers especially directed to this subject there seems reason to believe that the necessities of the people would have derived other means of supply and the Manufacture if not altogether abandoned would have been too limited to admit of export.

14. The distance of Bellary from the Coast may with some justice be urged as an argument in favor of the poorer ryots there, the high prices of Marine Salt may induce the clandestine Manufacture of Earth Salt, but no less may it be inferred that the permission for this manufacture has tended to check the admission of Marine Salt in the degree necessary to meet the wants of the Community thus causing a

a rise in its price beyond the means of the poor.

15. The reports furnished by the Collectors of the Ceded Districts on the subject of Earth Salt convey only information recently obtained "to the best of their power" and from "interested parties," they would seem not to have given that attention to it which its importance demanded.

The Governor in Council apprehends that what was intended by the Honorable Court of Directors as a defined system of regulation, was such a one as would keep the local Officers in a condition to command complete information at any time, and to check undue interference with the general trade or consumption of the Marine Salt.

16. Now that the manufacture of Earth Salt in the Ceded Districts and on Nurnool has existed upon such a length of time unnoticed and unchecked, the point for enquiry is in what way the views of the Honorable Court may be carried out without inconvenience to the people, or detriment to the revenue, and the Governor in Council would suggest for

for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, whether the manufacture should not be legalized under Section III Regulation II of 1805 and permitted under a licence, or whether it would not be possible to farm under suitable rules and restrictions either to the principal manufacturers themselves, or to others both the manufacture and sale of the article, in connexion with other sources of revenue on principles similar to that by which the Ahtarry rents are already farmed.

17. In the latter of these plans, the Governor in Council thinks that by a well arranged contract the present revenue from Moturpha may be fully secured without detriment to the interests of the manufacturers or consumers. The contract should stipulate that principal Mounds alone should be worked and such a number as would make produce equal only to the wants of the community as far as they can be ascertained, another stipulation should be that no part of the produce should be exported out of the District; especially to native states.

The contract should distinctly specify the places and the particular Mounds which are to be worked, so that the Officers of Government may have the means of Commanding all information in respect of them, and to guard against undue advantage on the part of the Contractors, the rate at which the article is to be sold should be defined, as well as the maximum quantity to be sold to each individual on each occasion, the same being just sufficient to admit of retail.

18. The system of illicit traffic requires also that the attention of the Board should be given to rendering more efficient the means for preventing the import of Earth Salt the Manufacture of native states into the Company's Provinces.

19. The general question of the Moturpha revenue of which the tax on Earth Salt forms a small portion in Bellary, is at present engaging the attention of Government. The Honorable Court of Directors have likewise in paras 27 & 30 of their Revenue Despatch dated 31st December 1847 No 29 desired that

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

that the Board of Revenue should be called upon to report the bearing of the Salt tax and other details connected with the general operation of the Salt Monopoly, and as the subject is at present before them, the Governor in Council would wish them with the information collected from the local Officers to consider whether by any means the consumption of Sea Salt might be encouraged to the gradual supercession of the Earth Salt in places where the use of that article may be extensive.

20. The Governor in Council therefore thinks it desirable that pending the decision of Government on the questions abovementioned and the suggestions now offered for the consideration of the Board, the system existing in Bellary of taxing the manufacturers of Earth Salt should continue, but that every means should be employed by the local Officers of this and the neighbouring Districts of keeping themselves informed of the proceedings in this branch under their respective charges, of limiting both the quantity and place for this manufacture, and of encouraging the use of Marine Salt.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

D.G.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Order thereon.

(1-2)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation and of the Proceedings of this Office under date 10th July 1848 be communicated to the Collectors of Cuddapah and Bellary and to the Government Agent at Kurnool in reference to their letter of the dates noted in the Margin.

From Cuddapah 2d April in Cons. 1st May 1848
From Bellary 22d May in Cons. 5th June
From Kurnool 17th February in Cons. 15th March

2. The particular attention of the Collectors and Agent is called to the observations of Government in Paras 16 17 of the Extract. They will state their opinion in detail respecting the two proposals therein suggested, viz, the plan of legalizing the manufacture of earth Salt under Section III Regulation 1805 and permitting it under a License and that of farming out the manufacture and Sale under suitable rules and restrictions either to the principal manufacturers themselves or to others on principles similar to those by which the Abkary rents are already farmed. They will further furnish a sketch of the arrangements which in their judgement should be adopted for carrying out either the Licensing or Farming system and state the probable financial result of each.

3. Until improved measures can be duly introduced under the sanction of Government the present system of the manufacture and sale of Earth Salt must be allowed to continue both in the ceded Districts and Kurnool, but the Officers in charge of

those

10150 Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

those Provinces will as directed in Para 20 of the Extract be careful to keep themselves informed of the proceedings in this branch under their respective charges to limit both the quantity and place of manufacture and to encourage the use of Marine or Salt.

3

From the Jr. Secretary to Government

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1849 No. 1014.

(From Board 18th October 1849)

There being no male heirs to the

W 12 a 6 per annum

Calldar H 17 13 3

by the late Appaul Bhuttoo in the Shrotrium of Canagamacoolapully and the three Enams held by him in the Bellary District be continued to the three widows named in the Margin during their lives, as recommended by the Board of Revenue the whole to revert to Government after the death of the last survivor.

See Summah aged 80
Soobbummah " 30
Pammiah " 40

Signed W. H. Bayley
Jr. Secretary to Government

3-4

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 19th September last.

Jun 18 Octr 1849

Exctract

10057 Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

From the Jr. Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1849 No. 1014.

(From Board 22nd October)

5

Resolved accordingly that the Yeomiahdar Mahomed Ameer be permitted to remain at Madura for the period of four years, his Deputy discharging the duties for him and receiving his allowances as proposed by the Collector of North Arcot.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Acting Secretary Government

5-6

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 20th Ultimo.

Jun 18 Octr 1849

From the Jr. Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st October 1849 No. 1041

7

(From Board 18 October 1849)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants special sanction for the charges incurred on account of the Shank Fishery of the Tinnevelly District for Fasly 1258, amounting to Rupees (Fifty three) Fifty three thousand five hundred and sixty three rupees seven annas and three pice.

Signed W. H. Bayley
Jr. Secretary to Govt

7-8

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 4th Ultimo.

Jun 18 October 1849

Read

9

From the Sec. to Govt. to Govt.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 1st November 1849. No. 1304.

No. Sir,

H. C. Montgomery Esq.

Secretary to Government

Fort St. George.

Sir

I am directed in reply to your letter No. 429 dated the 28th April last with Enclosures to convey the sanction of the President in Council to the alterations proposed to be made by the Collector of Madura in the Moyen jubitah of his District, no increase of expense being incurred thereby.—

2 The original papers forwarded with your letter are herewith returned.—

Fort William
the 22nd Oct. 1849.

Signed H. Grey
Under Secretary to the
Government of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their letters of the 19th February and 12th April last, and also to the Civil Auditor, to whom the Statement of alterations now sanctioned will be furnished.

for

for registry in his Office.

Signed H. D. Bayley
Jr. Secretary to Government

9-10

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madura with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 17th May last.

11

From the Jr. Secretary to Government

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9th November 1849. No. 1333

(From Board no. 2 October 1849)

Under the circumstances represented by the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to take no further notice of the Petition of Appavoo Iyengar, which will accordingly be lodged in the Petitions Department.

Signed H. D. Bayley
Jr. Secretary to Govt.

11-12

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his Letter dated 29th ultimo last.

Read

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Recd 22nd Oct. 1849.

Read the following letter

To

L. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(13)

From the Commissioner Sir

Northern Circars

In reply to your letter of the 22d Instant I have the honor to inform you that the servants of the Native Cutcherry have drawn their Salaries here for the Months of September last, and will continue to do so. The sums drawn by you on their account should therefore be refunded to the Sub Treasurer.

Vizagapatam Commissioner's Office 30th October 1849

I have &c. (Signed) Walter Elliot Commissioner

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence and a Copy of the same be transmitted to the Civil auditor.

(13-14)

To the Acting Sub Treasurer

To the Acting Sub Treasurer Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith the sum of Rupees Six hundred and Seventy Six being the Salary for two Months from the September

and

and October 1849 of the servants of the Native Cutcherry attached to the Office of Sharpur who accompanied the Commissioner for the Northern Circars to Vizagapatam having received their pay at Vizagapatam thro' the Commissioner the amount drawn as here upon the Indent of the Board of Revenue is now refunded, with a view to it's being placed to the Credit of Government.

Royapah Naib Sheristadar Rs.		250
Dasapah Comastah "		53
Ram Row Ditto "		35
		338
	2	
For 2 Months		676

Revenue Board's Office, Fort St. George, 8th November 1849

(Signed) L. Pycroft Secretary

Read the following Statement.

Statement

1062

Statement [illegible] of Gauja[illegible]
for S[illegible]

Number	Name[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible] Canarese Dep[illegible]	
1		2 10
1	Gaujam [illegible]pon the [illegible]ge of the [illegible]t the Gaujam [illegible]hadah Pand [illegible]able part th[illegible] [illegible]tion of the [illegible]ufactured [illegible]e previous [illegible]258 and 1750 [illegible]ing been ac[illegible]t of till [illegible] of July 1757 [illegible]d to their	
2	Munsoor ood	
3	Nowpada	[illegible]ffered from [illegible]ning the [illegible]257 and 1758
4	Hommeret	

Gaujam [illegible] Collector

1063

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Read the following letter

To J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the favorable consideration of the Board copy of a letter from the Sessions Judge of Nellore suggesting the construction of a new road across the fields on the North East side of the Fort which he states will not only be convenient both to the inhabitants of Nellore and Travellers but will also enable Troops to pass their stations without passing through the Fort which is densely populated.

2 The accompanying Statement shews the extent and nature of the land proposed to be given up for the proposed road which consists of

Survey Number		
[illegible]	24 46	of Government land assessed at [illegible] 12 3
[illegible]	2 10 40	of Government land in exchange for 3 35 84 Coontas of Maniums land to be taken for the road to which the Maniumdar has consented [illegible] 11 10
[illegible]	7 42 64	of Government lands in lieu of 19 11 16 Coontahs of Bilmue= =tahs Do [illegible] Do [illegible] Do [illegible] 2 7 7
	34 22 64	9 10 8

I have

The party who now holds the Government land proposed to be taken for the road and to be given to the Enamdar and Silmuctadars in exchange for their lands has agreed to the measure and the annual loss to Government by the arrangement will be Rs. 9 10 8 as above shown.

3. In consideration of the advantages anticipated from the construction of the road in question, I solicit the authority of the Board for carrying the proposed arrangement into effect.

Nellore Collectory
27th October 1849

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

No. 1.

To A. Purvis Esqre
Sub Collector in charge
Nellore

Sir,

It is very desirable that there should be a road between the new Travellers Bungalow and the River such as may enable persons to approach the Bungalow or leave it without having to pass through the Fort and it appears to me that the most convenient line for such a road would be one right across the fields on the North East of the Fort beginning from the Bridge

lately erected near Amayakoo garden on the road from the Travellers Bungalow towards Nabob pettah and carried on to the Road between the Cavur gate of the Fort and Ranganaik pettah crossing the grounds of Calapipah Satrum also as well as the fields above mentioned as pointed out by me to you this morning.

2. Should you concur with me in my opinion, I beg you will have the goodness to cause arrangements to be made for placing a sufficient piece of the ground at my disposal.

3. I may point out that if such a Road be made it will enable Troops on the march and their followers to move past Nellore without marching through it a thing considered desirable in times of Cholera.

4. And I think that the line proposed by me will turn out very convenient for the people of the place as well as for Travellers passing through it.

Nellore
Zillah Court
3rd October 1849

(Signed) John Walker
Sessions Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) D. White
Collector

Statement

16066 George

8th November 1849

Statement of the Fort and the of Sellore shewing by whom they are occupied at present

No.	Individual occupying	Total Assessment		Lands to be given to the parties in exchange		Government Lands to be given up for the Road		Total Government Lands to be given up	
				Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment	Extent	Assessment
		A	Ps a p	A	Ps a p	A	Ps a p	A	Ps a p
1	Abdul Ca	24 1/10	6 12 3	" " " " " "		24 1/10	6 12 3	24 1/10	6 12 3
2	Panjacha	2 25/64	" 11 10	"		2 10/10	" 11 10	2 10/10	" 11 10
3	Joolam	3 54/64	1 " 6	"		3 42/64	1 " 6	3 42/64	1 " 6
4	Subamah	4 57/64	1 2 1	"		4	1 2 1	4	1 2 1
		34 19/64	9 10 8	"		10 18/64	2 14 5	34 22/64	9 10 8

Signed D. White
Collector

Ordered

10005

Fort St. George 8th. November 1849

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address to the Chief Secretary to Government

(17-18)

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration of the Right Honble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tanjore enclosing copy of a communication from the Superintending Engineer relative to the construction of a new road across the Cauvery at the Grand Anicut

I am Sir
Your most obedient Servant
By Order
Signed J. Thomas
Secretary

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore dated 2nd November 1849 with its enclosure

Ordered that the Board do report thereon

Read the following statement

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10008

Fort St. George 8th. November 1849.

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of export in the District of Nellore up to 31st. October 1849.

(19)

No.	Places at which Salt is shipped	Quantity of salt available for exportation in the year 1849-50	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready to be exported
		Md.	Md.	Md.	Md.
1	Eskapilly	35000	26218 20	9781 20	9781 20
2	Kristnapalam	25000	1000 .	24000 .	24000 .
		60000	26218 20	33781 20	33781 20

Nellore
Collector's Cutcherry
2nd November 1849

E. E. H. Signed D. White
Collector

(19-20)

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Read the following report

To J. Dyer Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(21)

From the Asst. Collector of Bellary. — Sir — I have the honor to submitting his Report on the Management of the different sources of Revenue for fusly 1258 accompanied by the undermentioned statements in the English and Native languages

No 1 Talookwar statement of the settlement of Extra Branches of Revenue received for fusly 1258 compared with fusly 1257 — A D 1848/49 — A D 1847/48

No 2 Printed statement Baliwar in triplicate exhibiting the Gross collections Charges and net Revenue of all branches of Land Revenue received for fusles 1250 — 57 & 58.

No 3 Comparison of the settlement of Veesabady and Motarpha between fusles 1257 & 58

No 4 Abstract statement of Veesabady & Baghire. Veesabady according to the Board's Form of 5th March 1849.

No 5 Statement of the Amany Collections of Duties on the Nizams Frontier for fusly 1258 according to the Board's Form of the 18th June 1823

No 6 Statement A of Demand Collection and Balance under each head of the Extra Revenue for fusles 1257 and 1258.

No 7 Statement B of Demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Branches of Revenue due on account of former fusles.

2 The settlement of the Extra Revenue for fusly 1258 as Compared with the preceding fusly was as follows.

	Settlement Fusly 1257	Settlement Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees	Rs	Rs	Rs
1 Soyer	6711	6919	208	"
2 Abkarry	30,1997	31,0789	11792	"
3 Sundry small farms and Leases	21193	19978	"	1215
4 Motarpha	27,2231	27,1030	"	001
5 Sales of Stamp Papers	4,057	10877	6810	"
	6,06,199	6,24,193	19994	"

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

There

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Sayer

3 There is a net increase in this Branch of that Revenue. The Sayer is confined exclusively to the Customs Collected on the Toombadra River as far as that extends along the frontier Territories of His Highness the Nizam dominions. The following is a Table of the Articles on which duty was collected.

	Collections in Fasly 1257	Collections in Fasly 1258	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1 Betel leaves	215	233	18	"
2 Tobacco	100	89	"	17
3 Betel Nut	417	497	80	"
4 Dyes	726	607	"	119
5 Piece Goods	772	421	"	351
6 Sugar	890	494	104	"
7 Jaggery	4803	7313	510	"
8 Woollens	203	204	1	"
9 Metals	265	214	"	51
10 Ghee	749	720	"	29
11 Cocoanuts, kernel & dried	921	800	"	121
12 Pepper	171	46	"	125
13 Grain	192	217	25	"
14 Other sundry Articles	267	200	"	7
Total Imports	9697	6615	738	820
Exports	1802	1370	"	432
Extra Collections	4895	5245	350	"
Total	14	304	290	"
	67 11	69 19	1028	820
			208	

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

The Decrease of 870 Rupees exhibited in the foregoing Table is to be accounted for partly by a smaller traffic in a few of the articles but more probably by the goods taking a different route, passing through the Bombay Territory on route to and from Hydrabad and so avoiding our Chowkies. The decrease is observable both in the Imports from Hydrabad chiefly in the articles of Dyes and Piece Goods, and also in the Exports of Metals, Cocoanut and Pepper principally. The increase is almost confined to the 3 articles of Betel Nut, sugar, and Jaggery which are the Exports from Bellary. The latter articles was not exported to such an Extent as it might have been owing to the great fall in the Market price which fell to 6 as from 6 to 8 and as per Maund. There is an increase also of Rupees 290 in the Extra Revenue the

the first proceeds of the sale of some Goods attempted to be smuggled without paying duty.

Vide B. of Proceedings dated 9 Novr 1849.

11 The Imports on the whole have diminished and the Exports increased throwing the additional burden of the Maintenance of the Customs on the Produce and Manufacture of the Company's Territories, a circumstance which was noticed by me in the Report of last year (para 4) and which has been fully discussed by the Board and Government, and the final disposal of which still awaits the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors. The Charges of Collection for the year were Rupees 3269 just 50 per cent

Abkary
Fasly 1257 — 21397
" 1258 — 31789
Increase 10392

5 The settlement of Abkary for the year was Rupees 31789 being Rupees 10392 above last year arising from considerable competition amongst the bidders in some of the Salooks in which however

formerly the realization of the Demand was not so punctual as it should have been, evidently owing to the high rent. The sum of 31789 does not express the entire consumption of Arrack in this District. The Town of Bellary is rented separately under the Commissariat Department and yields by itself the large amount of Rupees 62,500. The Population of the Town including the small adjacent Villages is 12157 Families and 54727 Inhabitants. The Consumption of Spirits in the Town, is at the rate of Rs a p 5—7—3 per family or Rs a p 1—1—9 per individual. In the District it is at the rate only of Rs a p 1—14—11 per family and Rs a p 1—8— per Individual

Sundry small Farms and Licences

5 The items comprising the branch of Revenue for the year are as follows.

	Revenue Fasly 1257	Revenue Fasly 1258	Increase	Decrease
	C Rupees	C Rs	C Rs	C Rs
Produce of Government & Plantations Rent of	13901	12889	-	1011
Old Sopes	1450	1511	155	-
New Sopes	15350	14500	-	850
	2350	1757	-	593
Rent of Hills & Woods	3481	3266	-	215
" on pasture land & Trees called Salu Topes	-	455	455	-
" of Fisheries	-	-	-	-
Total	21193	19978	-	1215

There

There was in the preceding year a considerable increase from the old Sopes, but the season being unfavorable in the year under report the offers for the rent of the Fruit Trees fell 1011 Rupees below the year preceding it. The increase in the new Sopes arises from more trees becoming productive this year.

The decrease of Rupees 599 is in the items termed Pedacauly and Endacauly consequent on the discontinuance of a few Pitty farms this year, as authorized by the Boards in their instructions under date the 16th March 1848 which took effect, as stated in my last report would be the case, from the beginning of the fusly under review.

The Rent of the Fisheries has been transferred from the head of Sundry Sources to Rupees 328 which sum with a further increase of Rupees 177 arising chiefly

Note See Bd Circular Dated 25th July 1847 To Do Dated 11th April 1847

chiefly from more Tanks having this year been rented in obedience to the Boards Circular dated 27th November 1848 Accounts for the increase of Rupees 455 above exhibited.

Veesabady and Moturpha-

7 The annexed Abstract from the Accompanying Statement No 3 gives all the information on this important source of Revenue.

	Veesabady		Baghayet Veesabady		Total	
	Individuals	Amount	Individuals	Amount	Individuals	Amount
		Rs		Rs		Rs
Beriz of Fusly 1257	9544	111277	37378	160953	46922	272230
Increase on Fusly 1258: New Ryots	662	2543	2847	4836	3509	7379
Increase of Tax on old Ryots	-	2446	-	4366	-	6812
	662	4989	2847	9202	3509	14191
Beriz of Fusly 1258	10256	116266	40225	170155	50181	286421
Decrease in Fusly 1258: Deaths	37	117	173	312	210	429
Emigration	281	1764	1545	3319	1828	583
Poverty	12	60	78	115	90	175
Decrease of Lands: Transfers to Land Revenue	-	-	83	105	83	105
Relinquishment of Profession	79	370	323	746	402	1116
Transfers of Fisheries to the Sundry sources	-	-	8	328	8	328
	411	2311	2210	4925	2621	7236
Decrease of Tax on old Ryots	-	3057	-	4499	-	7556
To Tal Decrease	411	5368	2210	9424	2621	14792
Net Demand for Fusly 1258	9845	110893	38015	160731	47863	271629
Increase above fusly 1257	251	-	637	-	888	-
Decrease below fusly 1257	-	379	-	222	-	601

The

16077

Fort St George 8th November 1849

The Settlement made by the Tahsildar
for the year amounted to 271629 Rupees
and was 601 Rupees below the
Settlement of the previous Settle-
ment. The Board of Revenue
have by a Circular order
dated 15th March 1849 directed
this branch of the Revenue to be
settled in future by the Collectors
and their Subordinates in person
as the Tahsildars of Summabundy &c
are difficulties in this District
as regards the Veesabady chief-
ly in carrying this order into
effect which I shall take an
early opportunity of bringing to the
notice of the Board.

Fasly 1258.
Veesabady ---- 110898
Baghire Veesabady 160431
271629
Fasly 1257 ---- 272230
Decrease -- 601

Stamp Revenue.

The Net Revenue de-
rived from the sale of Stamp Paper
during the Fasly under report amounts
to Rupees 10849 being Rupees 6810 more
than last year as shewn below.

16078 11

Fort St George 8th November 1849

Collection of Fasly 1258	Collection of Fasly 1257	Increase	Decrease
	Cds	Cds	
Sheriffs Sheriffs Summons	10577	6810	
Serjt Summons	1605	165	
	12482	6975	
Courts of Law Commissions to Vendors	476	275	
Carriage hire	18	18	
Refunds of Stamp duty according to Act No XVII of 1848	1089	1089	
	1583	1382	
Provinces & Courts Commissions	3 12		
Refunds of Stamp duty	8 12		
	12 8		
Net Revenue	10849	5503	
	5,306		

16079

Fort St George 8th November 1849

The increase of Revenue is comprised of Rupees 1033 arising from a greater sale of Law Papers for Suits filed in the Adalut Courts, Rupees 5,777 from the substitution of Stamp Paper in the District Moonsiffs Courts in lieu of fees and act XVII of 1848 which came into operation in November 1848 and Rupees 165 Penalties levied on one Cantt Taker. The Charges amount to Rupees 1382 and are considerably higher than Last year. The item of Commission is necessarily increased by the larger sale of Paper. The refunds of Duty is an entirely new item under the operation of the above quoted act for Suits withdrawn from the Courts before completion. This item amounts to 1089 Rs.

Collection

9. The following abstract shews at a glance the Collection of arrears, and Current Revenue and the Balance at the end of the year, for each of the 5 Branches of Extra Revenue.

Items

16080 | | Fort St George 8th November 1849

Items	Collections: Arrears	Collections: Current	Total Collections	Refunds & Drawbacks	Total Net Revenue	Balance at the end of Current Year	Balance at the end of September Last
	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
Salt	6,919		6,919		6,919		
Opium							
Customs	3,153	31,589	34,742	3,070	31,672	2,003	2,003
Stamps	85	19,978	20,063	84	19,979	101	101
Abkarry	111	27,624	27,735	695	27,040	2,695	2,695
Small Cash	165	10,877	11,042		11,042	12,482	12,482
Total	3,231	96,142	99,373	3,849	95,524		

Fort St George 8th November 1849

10.

And the following Statement exhibits the Collections of the whole during the Current and two preceding Fuslies.-

Items	Collections of Fuslies 1250	Collections of Fuslies 1254	Collections of Fuslies 1255	Comparison between last & present year. Increase	Decrease
	CRs.	CRs.	CRs.	CRs.	CRs.
Arrears	6,472	3,666	2,782		3,882
Current	593008	603020	613594	10,568	-
Extra Collections	2,848	1737	2,154	417	-
	602328	608424	618532	10,103	-
Charges	3,416	3,460	5,101	1641	-
Proportion of Charges	" 9 -	" 9 -	- 13 -	-	-
Net Collections	598912	604909	613431	8462	-

The

Fort St George 8th November 1849

The Charges of Collection fall on the Sayer; which as before stated are very large in proportion to the Revenue and on the Stamps, which are larger this year than hitherto as already explained - The amount on the whole 5 Branches is only Rs. a. p. - - 13 - - per Cent.-

Balances

11- There is an outstanding Balance of Motupha Revenue for Fusly 1254. The amount at the end of that Fusly as noted in Col. 10 of Statement marked B was Rupees 4-4-4-8 of which only 21-2-7 has been received and 25-11-2 is expected to be realized, leaving an irrecoverable balance of 398--11 as noted in the Margin. The causes of this irrecoverable balance are hereunder explained for each Taluk and as each item was carefully examined at the Jummabundy by myself or my Assistants I have the honor to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for striking off this balance of Rupees 393--11.-

Vecalady 104-3-3
Baghur - 289-13-8
Vecalady 308-0-11

Names

16083

Fort St George

8th November 1849

Divisions	Number	Names of Talooks	Casualties				Emigration				Poverty				Total			
			Persons	Amount			Persons	Amount			Persons	Amount			Persons	Amount		
				Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p
	1	Bellary	2	10	4	-	26	73	15	8	51	118	6	8	79	202	10	4
	2	Kumply					2	18	4	-	2	2	3	8	4	20	7	8
	3	Hurpenhully					15	40	10	8	2	2	12	-	17	49	6	8
	4	Koodlegheé									1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
	5	Kooninhadagaly					1	3	7	8	-	-	-	-	1	3	7	8
	6	Goollem									7	37	12	3	7	37	12	3
	7	Punchapollem					3	13	13	8	-	-	-	-	3	13	13	8
	8	Gooty					1	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	8	-
	9	Yadakee					1	2	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	4	-	-
	10	Tadpatry					10	13	2	8	3	3	11	-	13	16	13	8
		Total	2	10	4	-	59	174	14	4	67	187	13	7	128	372	15	11
Sub Collector	1	Anantapoor	3	3	3	-	16	15	8	-	10	5	3	-	29	23	14	-
	2	Codecondah					2	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	3	-
		Total	3	3	3	-	18	16	11	-	10	5	3	-	31	25	1	-
		Grand Total	5	13	7	-	77	191	9	4	77	193	-	7	159	398	-	11

Sugar.

12 I have to report that there are only about 20 Small Sugar Manufactories in Codaconda the most Southern of the Talooks of this District. The Sugar Manufactured in them is either consumed in the District or exported to the Nizams Country none is exported beyond Sea. The extension of the Manufacture of Sugar is still an object to be attained in this District. The want of success hitherto experienced and the difficulty in procuring practical men to teach the art of Sugar making leave it doubtful whether the Country generally affords facilities for improving the coarse material of Jaggery which can be Cultivated to a large extent and which for want of a ready Market has considerably decreased in price.-

Salt.

13- As respects the Grade in Salt ordered by the Boards Circular instructions of 18th November 1847 to be reported on I have nothing to add to the observations in my last report on the Extra Revenue and the more full report on this Subject transmitted to the Board on the 15th March last. The price of Sea Salt remains it an article of luxury attainable only by few. The quantity imported during the year into this District was 48 Garce Viz 1½ from Chingleput 46 from Nellore and half a Garce from the Chittoor District.-

Roads- 14 The Annual Report on Roads will as directed be submitted separately.-

Bellary Collectors Office 10th October 1849- -

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Statement

76086

2

Fort St George

A Statement shewing the Demand Collection & Balance under each head of the Extra Branches of Revenue of former

8th November 1849

Branches	Settlement of Fusly 1257	Collections to the 11th July 1848	Extra Collection	Total Collections of Fusly 1257	Balance of Fusly 1257 on the 11th July 1848	Settlement of Fusly 1258	Collections to the 11th July 1849	Extra Collections of Fusly 1258	Remarks
	Fusly 1257					Fusly 1258			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	C Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	C Rs a p
Sayer or Land Customs	6,711 4 5	6,711 4 5	- - -	6,711 4 5	- - -	6,919 6 -	6,919 6 -	" 4 -		Subsequent Collections to the end of September 1849 - - -
Abkarry	30,997 - -	30,397 - -	149 12 5	30,546 12 5	600 - -	31,678 9 5	31,011 7 8	352 15 4 3		Do - - -
Small Farms and Licenses	21,193 3 -	20,891 - 1	20 1 -	20,911 1 1	302 2 11	19,977 8 -	19,262 14 3	85 10 - 1		Do - 8 -
Motarpha	27,223 0 8 -	26,995 3 11	127 9 6	27,008 13 5	2,271 4 1	27,162 9 6 -	26,617 4 -	110 5 9 2		Do 22 13 -
Stamps	4,067 4 -	4,067 4 -	144 0 -	5,507 - -	- - -	10,877 4 -	10,877 4 -	160 4 8 -		Do - - -
Total C Rupees	6,06,199 3 5	6,03,025 12 5	1,737 6 11	6,04,763 3 4	3,173 7 -	6,26,199 13 -	6,13,593 13 11	2,153 11 1 6		" 23 5 -

Bellary Collectors Office

10th October 1849

J.C.B.

Comparison

16086

16087

A. Statement in the Bell

B. Statement shewing the

ars

1267

Branches	Total Collections of Fusly 1258	Balance of Revenue the 1st July 1849
	10 Rs a p	11 Rs
Sayer or Land Customs	6,919 10 -	-
Abkarry	10,478 1 -	6,672
Small Farms	9,348 8 3	714
Motarpha	66,527 9 9	5,212
Stamps	12,481 12 -	-
Total	15,747 9 -	12,598

Total Compa

8th November 1849

Branches of Revenue on account of former Ceded Districts for Fusly 1258.—

Balance	Collections of old Balance in Fusly 1258	Remission	Balance at the end of Fusly 1258	Remarks
	Total			
10 Rs a p	11 Rs a p	12 Rs a p	13 Rs a p	14 Rs a p
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Subsequent Collections to the end of September 1849 - - -
- - -	600 - -	- - -	- - -	Do - - -
- 8 -	315 6 11	- - -	- 8 -	Do - 8 -
4 4 4 14 8	1868 14 1	203 8 -	4469 1	Do 2213 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Do - - -
4 4 5 6 8	2,784 5 -	203 8 -	4471 1	2357

(Signed) C. Pelly
Acting Collector

Comparison

Fort St George

xtra Branches of Revenue

Fasly 1257

dry ll Farms nd enses	Vees.abady and Motarpha	Total
½		
1 a 0	5 Rs a 0	6 Rs a 0
¼ - -	28009 9 -	48873 14 8
5 - -	16,113 8 -	39710 10 1
7 8 -	11214 3 -	17,301 11 -
1 - -	20263 1 -	43200 4 -
2 8 -	14930 4 -	21,777 10 3
2 10 -	40516 13 -	105607 2 5
1 - -	8512 10 -	18523 10 -
6 4 -	21977 1 -	51023 5 -
2 4 -	23189 5 -	54108 9 -
5 4 -	10132 7 -	23437 11 -
5 4 -	16301 6 -	33849 10 -
4 12 -	10667 13 -	17112 9 -
10 6 -	22,828 - -	47452 10 5
2 12 -	12408 7 -	32221 3 -
9 8 -	9998 9 -	28738 1 -
6 13 -	6413 14 -	15630 11 -
3 8 -	6444 12 -	13695 4 -
3 4 -	15136 14 -	37320 2 -
2 13 -	50402 8 -	127605 5 -
13 3 -	27,230 8 -	60,131 15 8

8th November 1849

in the Bellary Division of the Ceded Districts for Faslies 1257 and 1258.

Settlement of Fasly 1258

Land Customs	Abkarry Revenue	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Vees.abady and Motarpha	Total
7 Rs a 0	8 Rs a 0	9 Rs a 0	10 Rs a 0	11 Rs a 0
617 9 11	22,500 - -	1304 8 -	27,868 4 -	52,290 5 11
1906 3 6	21,300 - -	1202 8 -	15,930 5 -	40,339 - 6
- - -	6200 - -	1063 8 -	11,205 15 -	18469 7 -
551 3 5	19,800 - -	2088 - -	20317 8 -	42756 11 5
197 7 11	6300 - -	611 - -	14910 9 -	22019 - 11
3646 13 3	57,000 - -	14510 -	40,701 9 -	102794 - 3
- - -	12,000 - -	864 12 -	8451 - -	21315 12 -
- - -	34,000 - -	1143 8 -	21878 12 -	57022 4 -
- - -	30209 5 -	656 - -	23155 - -	54020 5 -
- - -	12200 - -	261 12 -	10129 14 -	22591 10 -
- - -	17,150 - -	1405 4 -	16504 4 -	35059 8 -
- - -	6070 - -	375 - -	10741 9 -	17186 9 -
6919 6 -	24,4729 5 -	12421 6 -	221796 9 -	485864 10 -
" - -	18450 - -	1487 12 -	11643 15 -	31581 11 -
" - -	18900 - -	2253 - -	9943 3 -	31096 3 -
" - -	8400 - -	918 - -	6449 11 -	15767 11 -
" - -	6310 - -	875 14 -	6626 6 -	13812 4 -
" - -	20000 - -	2021 8 -	15171 10 -	37193 2 -
" - -	72060 - -	7556 2 -	49834 13 -	129450 15 -
6919 6 -	316789 5 -	19977 8 -	271629 6 -	615315 9 -

Fort St George

8th November 1849

Increase					Comparision		Decrease				Net	
Land Customs	Abkarry Revenue	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Vessabady and Moturpha	Total	Land Customs	Abkarry Revenue	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Vessabady and Moturpha	Total	Increase	Decrease
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p
37 4 3	3,500 - -	20 8 -	- - -	3,557 12 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	141 5 -	141 5 -	3,416 7 3	- - -
304 1 5	300 - -	207 8 -	- - -	811 9 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	183 3 -	183 3 -	628 6 5	- - -
- - -	1,200 - -	- - -	- - -	1200 - -	- - -	- - -	24 - -	8 4 -	32 4 -	1167 12 -	- - -
- - -	300 - -	- - -	547 -	547 -	14 15 7	- - -	483 - -	- - -	497 15 7	- - -	443 8 7
- - -	- - -	- - -	300 - -	300 - -	27 6 4	- - -	11 8 -	19 11 -	58 9 4	241 6 8	- - -
- - -	3,000 - -	- - -	184 12 -	184 12 -	90 14 2	2,700 - -	207 - -	- - -	2,997 14 2	- - -	2813 2 2
- - -	6,100 - -	- - -	- - -	3,900 - -	- - -	- - -	1464 -	61 10 -	207 14 -	2792 2 -	- - -
- - -	25 - -	- - -	- - -	6,100 - -	- - -	- - -	2 12 -	98 5 -	101 1 -	5998 15 -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	25 - -	- - -	- - -	56 4 -	34 5 -	90 9 -	- - -	884 -
- - -	1140 - -	- - -	202 14 -	1342 14 -	- - -	800 - -	43 8 -	29 - -	846 1 -	- - -	846 1 -
341 5 8	15542 5 -	228 4 -	515 13 -	16627 11 8	133 4 1	- - -	133 - -	- - -	133 - -	1209 14 -	- - -
- - -	350 - -	- - -	- - -	350 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	74 - -	- - -
- - -	2400 - -	13 8 -	- - -	2413 8 -	- - -	3,500 - -	1107 4 -	549 4 -	5289 12 1	15528 15 4	4190 15 9
- - -	- - -	101 3 -	35 13 -	137 - -	- - -	- - -	225 - -	764 8 -	989 8 -	- - -	639 8 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	181 10 -	181 10 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	556 -	556 -	2358 2 -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	34 12 -	34 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	137 - -	- - -
- - -	2750 - -	114 11 -	252 3 -	3116 14 -	- - -	- - -	64 10 -	- - -	64 10 -	117 - -	- - -
341 6 8	18292 5 -	342 15 -	768 - -	19744 9 8	133 4 1	- - -	161 12 -	- - -	161 12 -	- - -	127 - -
Net 208 1 7	14792 5 -	- - -	- - -	15000 6 7	- - -	- - -	451 6 -	819 14 -	1271 4 -	2612 2 -	766 8 -
						3,500 - -	1558 10 -	1369 2 -	6561 - 1	18141 1 4	4957 7 9
						- - -	1215 11 -	601 2 -	1816 13 -	13183 9 7	- - -

Bellary Collector's Office 10th October 1849.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Collector

Statement

Duplicate

16090 | | Fort St. George 8th November 1849

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1256-1257-&1258* Bellary District

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1256			Fusly 1257			Fusly 1258			Increase			Decrease.			
SALT.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A	P.	
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAND CUSTOMS.																This Chiefly arises from the sale proceeds of certain Smuggled Goods Sequestered under the orders of the Board dated 9th November 1848.
Gross Collections	86 31	5	10	67 11	4	5	69 19	10	-	208	5	7	-	-	-	
Charges. of Collection	3270	3	-	3258	9	9	3517	15	4	259	5	7	-	-	-	D2 — D2 — from a Deposit included in the 3 yrs Collections being refunded under the orders of Govt. dated 9th November 1848.
Charges. „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges. „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	3270	3	-	3258	9	9	3517	15	4	259	5	7	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	2361	2	10	3452	10	8	3401	10	8	-	-	-	51	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	58	1	-	48	11	-	88	13	-							
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges. of Collection	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges. „ Commission	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges. „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ABKARY.																The increase of Revenue under this head arises from Competition.
Gross Collections	30333	12	5	30154	13	5	31107	1	-	9523	4	7	-	-	-	
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	30333	12	5	30154	13	5	31107	1	-	9523	4	7	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																In consequence of certain Petty taxes being remitted [illegible] 1848 and
Gross Collections	1014	2	7	2108	6	4	1066	12	2							

1258.

Total

for	Number	of	Amount	
	18		19	
			Cds a d	
45	9,845		110898	9 -
15	38,015		160730	13 -
60	47,860		271629	6 -
90	34,90		27868	4 -
47	1844		16930	5 -
46	21146		11205	15 -
70	20,70		20317	8 -
75	25,75		14910	9 -
20	5020		40701	9 -
79	14,79		84571	- -
72	35,72		218778	12 -
55	3555		23155	- -
21	2841		10120	14 -
62	2962		10504	4 -
13	2813		10741	9 -
00	35000		221794	9 -
2	2882		11643	15 -
6	2638		9943	3 -
3	2093		6449	11 -
9	2059		6626	6 -
6	3196		15141	10 -
20	12860		49834	13 -
20	47860		271629	6 -

16090 | | Fort St George 8th November 1849

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1258-1257-&1256 Bellary District*

	For the last three Fuslies.			Comparison of the last two Fuslies.			Remarks.
	Fusly 1256	Fusly 1257	Fusly 1258	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1258	
	Rups. A. P.	Rups. A. P.	Rups. A. P.	Rups. A. P.	Rups. A. P.	Rups. A. P.	
SALT.							
Gross Collections							
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture							
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.							

16091 | | Fort St George 8th November 1849

No 2 Comparative Statement of the Ceded Districts for Fusly 1258.—

Bellary Division

Collector

Division No.		Settlement Veesabady of Fusly 1258.— Amount	Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Motuthpha Amount	Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Total Amount
		11 Cds a p	12		13 Cds a p	14	15	16 Cds a p
1	Veesabady	8 - -	9,845	9,845	- - -	9,845	9,845	110,898 9 -
2	Motuthpha	- - -	-	-	- - -	38,015	38,015	160,730 13 -
		8 - -	9,845	9,845	- - -	47,860	47,860	271,629 6 -
1	Bellary	9 - -	759	759	110,898 9 -	38,015	38,015	160,730 13 -
2	Kumpla	8 - -	469	469	- - -	2,731	2,731	17,174 4 -
3	Hurpanhully	3 - -	388	388	10,694 - -	1,378	1,378	9,878 4 -
4	Hoodeh	1 - -	976	976	6,052 1 -	1,758	1,758	7,362 8 -
5	Howinhully	4 - -	772	772	3,843 7 -	1,694	1,694	11,671 12 -
6	Adoni	13 - -	1,053	1,053	8,645 12 -	1,803	1,803	8,250 6 -
7	Goolla	10 - -	316	316	6,660 3 -	3,967	3,967	27,438 6 -
8	Pancha	1 - -	813	813	13,263 3 -	1,163	1,163	4,075 4 -
9	Gootty	5 - -	528	528	4,375 12 -	2,759	2,759	13,013 8 -
10	Yadaky	7 - -	255	255	8,865 4 -	3,027	3,027	14,473 8 -
11	Raidroog	6 - -	594	594	8,681 8 -	2,616	2,616	7,020 - -
12	Tandpatry	13 - -	472	472	3,109 14 -	2,368	2,368	10,082 8 -
		- - -	7,395	7,395	6,421 12 -	2,341	2,341	6,144 14 -
					4,596 11 -			
		7 - -	445	445	85,209 7 -	27,605	27,605	136,585 2 -
1	Anumpilly	9 - -	597	597	6,247 8 -	2,437	2,437	5,396 7 -
2	Penacherla	14 - -	333	333	5,369 7 -	2,033	2,033	4,573 12 -
3	Codecondah	12 - -	529	529	4,054 3 -	1,760	1,760	2,395 8 -
4	Madaksheer	14 - -	546	546	2,891 2 -	1,530	1,530	3,735 4 -
5	Dhurmavaram	2 8 -	2,450	2,450	7,126 14 -	2,650	2,650	8,044 12 -
		30 8 -	9845	9,845	25,689 2 -	10,410	10,410	24,145 11 -
					110,898 9 -	38,015	38,015	160,730 13 -

Sub Collector

						12,860	12,860	49,834 13 -	
						47,860	47,860	271,629 6 -	

16092

Fort St George

8th November 1849

Vessabady						Net	Difference	Motarpha				
Increase			Decrease					Increase			Decrease	
Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Amount	Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Amount			Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Amount	Number of Ryots	Number of Puttahs	Amount
20	21	22	23	24	25			26	27	28	29	30	31
		Rs a p			Rs a p					Rs a p			Rs a p
251	251	- - -	-	-	378 15 -			-	-	- - -	-	-	12 - -
"	"	" " "	"	"	" " "			-	-	" " "	-	-	222 3 -
251	251	" " "	"	"	378 15 -			637	637	" " "	"	"	222 3 -
25	25	" " "	"	"	" " "			637	637	" " "	"	"	22 8 -
4	4	5 13 -	"	"	118 13 -			1	1	" " "	"	"	189 - -
6	6	" " "	"	"	" " "			66	66	" " "	"	"	1 - -
35	35	24 13 -	"	"	8 12 -			8	8	" " "	"	"	80 - -
17	17	" " "	"	"	" " "			45	45	29 10 -	"	"	" " "
28	28	" " "	"	"	21 14 -			27	27	2 3 -	"	"	67 8 -
6	6	5 14 -	"	"	30 10 -			43	43	215 6 -	"	"	" " "
4	4	" " "	"	"	" " "			74	74	8 2 -	"	"	11 - -
22	22	" " "	"	"	106 7 -			65	65	6 8 -	3	3	17 - -
11	11	" " "	"	"	40 13 -			-	-	" " "	"	"	47 - -
4	4	13 2 -	"	"	67 13 -			68	68	65 4 -	"	"	3 - -
14	14	34 - -	"	"	" " "			60	60	189 12 -	"	"	" " "
176	176	103 10 -	"	"	395 2 -			48	48	19 12 -	"	"	279 - -
16	16	" " "	13	13	25 8 -			505	505	537 1 -	3	3	739 - -
-	-	" " "	"	"	30 10 -			-	-	" " "	181	181	24 12 -
27	27	19 11 -	"	"	69 4 -			98	98	105 1 -	"	"	" " "
50	50	18 4 -	5	5	" " "			92	92	161 15 -	"	"	" " "
93	93	37 15 -	"	"	" " "			64	64	16 8 -	"	"	" " "
269	269	141 9 -	18	18	125 6 -			62	62	283 8 -	181	181	763 12 -
Net 251	251	" " "	18	18	520 8 -			316	316	820 9 -	184	184	1042 12 -
		" " "	"	"	378 15 -			821	821	" " "	"	"	222 3 -
								637	637				

16093

Fort St George 8th November 1849

Total Increase Number of Ryots	Total Increase Number of Puttahs	Total Increase Amount	Decrease Number of Ryots	Decrease Number of Puttahs	Decrease Amount	Per Centage	New Comers Number of Ryots	New Comers Amount	Increase of Assessment	Total Increase
32	33	34 CRs a p	35	36	37 CRs a p	38 CRs at p	39	CRs a p	40 CRs a p	41 CRs at p
251	251	- - -	-	-	- - -	- 5 -	662	2542 10 -	2446 1 -	4988 11 -
637	637	- - -	-	-	378 15 -	- 2 -	2847	4836 8 -	4365 13 -	9202 3 -
888	888	- - -	-	-	222 3 -	- 3 -	3509	7379 - -	6811 14 -	14190 14 -
26	26	- - -	-	1 -	601 2 -	- 8 -	238	620 - -	971 - -	1591 14 -
70	70	- - -	-	1 -	141 5 -	1 2 -	156	400 - -	267 14 -	668 9 -
14	14	- - -	-	-	183 3 -	- 1 -	83	205 - -	140 - -	345 1 -
80	80	54 7 -	-	-	8 4 -	- 4 -	192	586 15 -	394 15 -	981 14 -
44	44	- - -	-	-	- - -	- 2 -	180	376 5 -	383 2 -	759 7 -
71	71	184 12 -	-	-	19 11 -	- 7 -	264	882 - -	1077 2 -	1959 13 -
80	80	- - -	-	-	- - -	- 11 -	157	459 8 -	165 6 -	624 14 -
69	69	- - -	-	-	- - -	- 7 -	269	405 8 -	519 12 -	925 4 -
19	19	- - -	-	-	61 10 -	- 7 -	229	595 - -	658 9 -	1254 9 -
79	79	- - -	-	-	98 5 -	- 2 -	241	586 10 -	256 10 -	843 - -
64	64	202 14 -	-	-	34 5 -	- - 4	186	495 - -	487 1 -	982 1 -
62	62	73 12 -	-	-	2 9 -	1 4 -	244	401 9 -	279 13 -	681 6 -
678	678	515 13 -	-	-	- - -	- 11 -	2439	6016 5 -	5601 4 -	11617 9 -
			-	-	549 4 -					
114	114	- - -	194	194	764 8 -	6 11 -	179	279 12 -	363 4 -	642 16 -
87	87	-35 13 -	-	-	55 6 -	- 9 -	241	244 15 -	174 11 -	416 10 -
91	91	181 10 -	-	-	- - -	- 9 -	173	130 13 -	110 6 -	241 3 -
112	112	-34 12 -	-	-	- - -	2 13 -	169	210 - -	168 15 -	379 15 -
404	402	252 3 -	194	194	819 14 -	- 4 -	308	500 - -	393 6 -	893 6 -
1082	1082	768 - -	194	194	1369 2 -	1 2 -	1070	1362 9 -	1210 10 -	2573 3 -
888	888	- - -	-	-	601 2 -	- 3 -	3509	7379 - -	6811 14 -	14190 14 -

8th November 1849

Detailed Statement of the Difference

Death		Emigration		Inability		By occupying Circumlands		Relinquishing trade or profession		Library transferred to the Madras Museum		Total		Decrease of Assessment	Total
No. of Ryots	Amount	Number of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount	No. of Ryots	Amount		
42			43		44		45	46		47		48		49	50
	Cr. a p		Cr. a p		Cr. a p		Cr. a p		Cr. a p		Cr. a p		Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p
37	116 11 -	283	1,763 13 -	12	60 8 -			76	369 11 -			4,11	2,310 11 -	3956 15 -	5367 10 -
173	311 14 -	1545	3319 8 -	78	115 1 -	83	104 12 -	323	476 12 -	8	328 - -	2210	4925 15 -	4498 7 -	9424 6 -
210	428 9 -	1828	5083 5 -	90	175 9 -	83	104 12 -	402	1116 7 -	8	328 - -	2,621	7236 10 -	7555 6 -	14792 - -
22	53 13 -	137	579 10 -	6	35 15 -	12	24 - -	34	143 12 -	1	4 - -	212	841 2 -	891 14 -	1733 - -
5	7 12 -	63	193 13 -	1	1 - -	"	" "	13	28 2 -	4	294 - -	86	524 11 -	327 1 -	851 12 -
8	22 9 -	40	146 10 -	12	26 10 -	"	" "	9	28 12 -	"	" "	69	224 9 -	128 12 -	353 5 -
7	14 3 -	72	290 4 -	"	" "	"	" "	33	106 5 -	"	" "	112	410 12 -	516 11 -	927 7 -
6	15 - -	77	219 2 -	4	2 8 -	"	" "	40	129 7 -	"	" "	136	375 9 -	403 9 -	779 2 -
21	87 14 -	119	394 4 -	9	21 10 -	9	9 8 -	44	263 5 -	"	" "	193	767 1 -	1008 - -	1775 1 -
5	8 2 -	61	211 11 -	"	" "	"	" "	11	35 8 -	"	" "	77	255 5 -	431 3 -	686 8 -
19	47 5 -	140	319 8 -	2	1 7 4	"	" "	12	37 12 -	"	" "	200	423 1 -	600 28 -	1023 9 -
22	47 13 -	162	586 9 -	9	11 8 -	27	11 4 -	4	9 - -	"	" "	210	674 2 -	614 4 -	1288 6 -
19	23 14 -	109	269 1 -	"	" "	13	19 4 -	34	43 - -	"	" "	162	335 15 -	509 12 -	845 11 -
6	15 3 -	91	256 4 -	"	" "	"	" "	25	72 - -	"	" "	122	344 1 -	435 10 -	779 11 -
8	6 12 -	123	262 3 -	16	13 8 -	22	40 12 -	13	31 7 -	-	- -	182	354 10 -	253 - -	607 10 -
148	350 4 -	1194	3728 15 -	59	119 15 -	83	104 12 -	272	929 - -	5	298 - -	1761	5530 14 -	6120 4 -	11651 2 -
17	15 15 -	259	575 12 -	"	" "	"	" "	97	128 11 -	"	" "	373	720 6 -	686 13 -	1407 3 -
15	26 7 -	101	184 10 -	"	" "	"	" "	11	14 14 -	"	" "	127	225 15 -	246 7 -	472 - -
8	9 10 -	65	114 2 -	9	5 10 -	"	" "	4	8 6 -	"	" "	86	137 12 -	67 10 -	205 6 -
7	5 12 -	46	72 10 -	17	14 12 -	"	" "	8	6 5 -	"	" "	78	99 7 -	98 3 -	197 10 -
15	20 9 -	163	407 4 -	5	3 5 4 -	"	" "	10	29 3 -	3	30 - -	196	522 4 -	336 7 -	858 11 -
62	78 5 -	634	1354 6 -	31	55 10 -	"	" "	130	187 7 -	3	30 - -	860	1705 12 -	1435 2 -	3140 14 -
210	428 9 -	1828	5083 5 -	90	175 9 -	83	104 12 -	402	1116 7 -	8	328 - -	2621	7236 10 -	7555 6 -	14792 - -

J. C. B.

16095

Fort St George

8th November 1849

Increase

New Comers &c.		Increase of Assessment	Total	Relinquishing Trade or Profession.	
No. of Ryots	Amount			No. of Ryots	Amount
51		52	53		54
	Cr. a p	Cr. a p	Cr. a p		Cr. a p
662	2,542 10 -	2,446 1 -	4,988 11 -	411	2,310 11 -
2,847	4,836 6 -	4,365 12 -	9,202 3 -	2,210	4,925 15 -
3509	7,379 6 -	6,811 14 -	14,190 14 -	2,621	7,236 10 -
238	620 11 -	971 - -	1591 11 -	212	841 2 -
456	1,001 11 -	267 14 -	668 9 -	86	524 11 -
183	205 1 -	140 - -	345 1 -	69	224 9 -
192	586 15 -	394 15 -	981 14 -	112	410 12 -
180	376 5 -	383 2 -	759 7 -	136	375 9 -
264	882 11 -	1077 2 -	1959 13 -	193	767 1 -
157	459 8 -	165 6 -	624 14 -	77	255 5 -
269	1,405 8 -	519 12 -	925 4 -	200	423 1 -
229	895 8 -	658 9 -	1254 1 -	210	674 2 -
341	588 3 -	256 10 -	843 2 -	162	335 15 -
186	495 8 -	487 1 -	982 9 -	122	344 1 -
244	1,001 9 -	279 13 -	681 6 -	182	354 10 -
2,439	6,016 7 -	5,601 14 -	11,617 11 -	1,761	5,530 14 -
179	379 7 -	363 14 -	642 11 -	373	720 6 -
241	241 15 -	174 11 -	416 10 -	127	225 15 -
173	130 13 -	110 6 -	241 3 -	86	137 12 -
169	210 5 -	168 15 -	379 4 -	78	99 7 -
308	506 6 -	393 6 -	893 7 -	196	522 4 -
1070	1362 9 -	1210 10 -	2,573 3 -	860	1,705 12 -
3509	7379 6 -	6811 14 -	14,190 14 -	2,621	7,236 10 -

Result

Decrease				New Comers			for Fasli
Decrease of Assessment		Total		No. of Ryots		Amount	maining Amount
55		56		57			
Cr.	a p	Cr.	a p		Cr.		Cr. a p
3056	15 -	5367	10 -	251	231		
4498	7 -	9424	6 -	637	-		
7555	6 -	14,792	- -	888	231		
891	14 -	1733	- -	26	-		1349 3 15 4
327	1 -	851	12 -	40	-		862 4 -
128	12 -	353	5 -	14	-		
516	11 -	927	7 -	80	176		1013 12 4
403	9 -	779	2 -	44	-		628 7 1 8
1008	- -	1775	1 -	71	11		
431	3 -	686	8 -	80	20		142 2 9 8
600	8 -	1023	9 -	69	7		51 11 4 -
614	4 -	1288	6 -	19	-		
509	12 -	845	11 -	79	25		867 2 11 8
435	10 -	779	11 -	64	15		
253	- -	607	10 -	62	14		199 8 10 4
6120	4 -	11651	2 -	678	94		989 7 8
686	13 -	1407	3 -	-	-		308 7 7 4
246	1 -	472	- -	114	-		407 9 11 -
67	10 -	205	6 -	87	-		446 4 4
98	3 -	197	10 -	91	1		
336	7 -	858	11 -	112	-		
1435	2 -	3140	14 -	404	1		1007 5 - 4
7555	6 -	14,792	- -	1082	10		

Net - 888

Bellary Collector's Office 10th October 1849. (Signe

1609

160 ...orge

No 4. ...ce in

1258.

Net Increase

New C...		Increase of Assessment	
No of Ryots	...ount		
3		58	
	a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
662	15 -	" "	" "
2847	- -	" "	" "
3509	15 -	" "	" "
238	- -	79 2 -	
456	- -	"	
83	- -	11 4 -	
192	3 -	-	
180	12 -	-	
264	5 10 -	69 2 -	
157	4 3 -	-	
269	- -	-	
229	- -	44 5 -	
341	2 9 -	-	
186	1 7 -	57 7 -	
244	6 15 -	26 13 -	
2439	5 11 -	282 1 -	
179	- -	"	
241	6 - -	"	
173	- -	42 12 -	
169	10 14 -	70 12 -	
308	- -	56 15 -	
1070	26 14 -	170 4 -	
3509	72 9 -	452 8 -	

42

...y C. Pelly

...cting Collector

Items ...

...ount

Fasly ...ections

...y transfer... ...d of Sundry ...d Licens...

Accountant

Asst to Collector

8th November 1849

the Bellary Division of the Ceded Districts for Fasli...

1258

Decrease of Rent Ryots present	Transfers to others Heads.		Total		Remaining No of	
	No of Individuals	Amount	No of Individuals	Amount	Individuals	Amount
6		7		8		9
Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p		Rs a p
397 6 4	138	1187 13 -	224	1957 13 -	1674	13493 15 4
196 2 4	60	893 15 4	81	1313 3 -	465	8620 4 -
266 2 4	42	859 11 -	44	1160 13 4	332	10131 12 4
1299 12 4	93	898 5 4	309	3469 12 4	4302	46287 1 8
30 10 -	9	209 4 4	11	241 5 8	91	1422 9 8
32 1 4	2	3 10 4	5	43 4	54	511 14 -
303 5 4	15	2123 -	25	6237 -	195	8672 14 8
37 14 8	11	37 14 8	15	883 8	150	1998 10 4
38 10 4	16	583 5 4	17	639 7 8	58	989 7 8
88 15 4	15	340 1 8	32	2564 8	491	3081 7 4
156 8	5	476 4	18	2882 12 -	308	4079 11 -
209 14 -	16	1153 4	55	4431 5 -	638	4461 4 4
3056 15 -	425	51283 8	836	105267 8	8758	100757 2 4

16097

Fort St. George 8th November 1849

Additions								Total Settlement for Fusly 1258		Comparison between Col.s 2 and 14			
New Ryots		Increase of Rent on Ryots		Transfers brought to it		Total				Increase		Decrease	
No. of Individuals	Amount			No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount
10	Rs a p	11	Rs a p	12	Rs a p	13	Rs a p	14	Rs a p	15	Rs a p	16	Rs a p
14	14 14 4	457	3 -	45	183 10 4	194	1155 11 8	1868	14649 11 -	-	- - -	30	802 1 4
34	0 14 3 8	135	10 -	66	899 12 8	100	1179 1 4	565	9799 5 4	19	- - -	-	134 1 8
30	107 14 8	122	8 -	33	1101 12 4	63	1332 3 -	395	11463 15 4	19	171 5 8	-	- - -
270	1269 7 8	1210	13 8	111	1603 7 -	381	4083 12 4	4683	50370 14 -	72	614 - -	-	169 14 4
4	24 12 8	22	9 8	5	24 1 -	9	71 7 4	100	1494 1 -	-	- - -	2	- - -
17	26 15 8	21	2 4	4	19 11 -	11	67 13 -	65	579 11 -	6	24 12 8	-	- - -
17	39 12 8	62	11 4	13	220 3 4	20	342 11 4	215	6015 10 -	-	- - -	5	280 11 8
6	15 12 8	49	9 4	1	45 15 -	7	100 10 -	157	2099 4 4	30	12 6 4	8	- - -
2	11 10 8	8	13 2 -	11	169 14 4	13	194 11 -	71	1184 2 8	-	- - -	4	444 12 8
51	113 - 4	134	14 4	14	56 14 -	65	304 12 8	556	9386 4 -	33	482 - -	-	- - -
29	143 10 4	95	8 4	17	87 8 -	46	326 10 8	354	4406 5 8	28	374 8 -	-	- - -
73	221 10 8	120	5 -	105	646 - 8	178	988 - 4	816	5449 4 8	123	544 1 4	-	- - -
662	2542 10 -	2446	14 -	425	5158 13 8	1087	10147 8 8	9845	110898 9 -	300	1452 10 8	49	1831 9 8
								Net		251	- - -	-	378 15 -

16097

Fort St George 8th November 1849

Additions								Total Settlement for Fusly 1258		Comparison between Col.s 2 and 14			
New Ryots		Increase of Rent on Ryots	Transfers brought to it		Total					Increase		Decrease	
No. of Individuals	Amount		No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount		No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount
10		11		12		13		14		15		16	
	Cds a p		Cds a p		Cds a p				Cds a p		Cds a p		Cds a p
149	4 14 14 4	457 3 -	45	183 10 4	194	1155 11 8		1868	14649 11 2			30	802 1 4
34	0 14 3 8	135 10 -	66	899 12 8	100	1179 1 4		565	9799 5 4	19			134 1 8
30	107 14 8	122 8 -	33	101 12 4	63	1332 3 -		395	11463 15 4	19	171 5 8		
270	1269 7 8	1210 13 8	111	1603 7 -	381	4083 12 4		4683	50340 14 -	72	614 - -		
4	24 12 8	22 9 8	5	24 1 -	9	71 7 4		100	1494 1 -			2	169 14 4
17	26 15 8	21 2 4	4	19 11 -	11	67 13 -		65	579 11 -	6	24 12 8		
17	39 12 8	62 11 4	13	220 3 4	20	342 11 4		215	6015 10 -		12 6 4	5	280 11 8
6	15 8	49 9 4	1	45 15 -	7	100 10 -		157	2099 4 4	30		8	
2	11 10 8	8 13 2 -	11	169 14 4	13	194 11 -		71	1184 2 8			4	444 12 8
51	113 - 4	134 14 4	14	56 14 -	65	304 12 8		556	9386 4 -	33	482 - -		
29	143 10 4	95 8 4	17	87 8 -	46	326 10 8		354	4406 5 8	28	37 14 8		
73	221 10 8	120 5 -	105	646 8 -	178	988 - 4		816	5449 4 8	123	544 1 4		
662	2542 10 -	2446 1 4	425	5158 13 8	1087	10147 8 8		9845	110898 9 -	300	1452 10 8	49	1831 9 8
								Net	251	- - -		378 15 -	

Fort St George 8th November 1849

Fusly

1258

Deductions. On parties who have given up Trade			Item of Fishery trans. ferred to the Head of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.			Decrease of Rent Ryots pre. sent.	Transfers to other Heads		Total			Remaining		
No. of Indivi. duals	Amount		No. of Indivi. duals	Amount			No. of Indivi. duals	Amount	No. of Indivi. duals	Amount		No. of Indivi. duals	Amount	
4			5			6	7		8			9		
	Cks a p			Cks a p		Cks a p		Cks a p		Cks a p			Cks a p	
-	- -	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	-	- -	36	-	100 72	-
-	- -	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	2	4 12	45	-	151 4	-
9	21 12	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	72	293 3	1120	-	4,289 5	-
2	50	-	-	- -	-	122 8	-	- -	9	143 8	108	-	2382 9	-
12	60 4	-	-	- -	-	13 8	-	3 76	79	4421 2	2125	-	14,221 7	-
13	102 4	-	-	- -	-	189 14	-	22 148	83	1427 14	991	-	6743 6	-
-	- -	-	-	- -	-	165 12	-	4 17	2	8	34	-	1483 4	-
2	1	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	9	2354	218	-	3224	-
5	16	-	-	- -	-	147 4	-	7	87	7478 5	1694	-	11492 4	-
14	50	-	-	- -	-	533 4	-	21	32	1554	360	-	1867 11	-
15	36	-	-	- -	-	57 4	-	5 18	161	3313 6	714	-	1758 12	-
56	82	-	-	- -	-	60 12	-	81 146 12	253	9388 10	3760	-	12,565 5	-
13	28	-	-	- -	-	443 13	-	35 98	134	4404 10	853	-	3,205 7	-
141	447 4	-	-	- -	-	67 8	-	82 225	1923	4,162 6	1237	6	63,505 6	-
212	231	-	-	- -	-	1801 7	-	239 649 12	1611	9726	3785	-	69,568 9	-
						227 5	-	72 111						

16099

Fort St George

4th November 1849

Additions								Total Settlement for Fasly 1258		Comparison between Cols 2 and 14			
New Ryots		Increase of Rent on Ryots		Transfers brought to it		Total				Increase		Decrease	
No of Individuals	Amount			No of Individuals	Amount	No of Individuals	Amount	No of Individuals	Amount	No of Individuals	Amount	No of Individuals	Amount
10	Cks a p	11	Cks a p	12	Cks a p	13	Cks a p	14	Cks a p	15	Cks a p	16	Cks a p
			1 12 -				1 12 -				1 12 -		
86	172 12 -		104 - -					36	102 8 -			2	4 12 -
12	70 4 -		183 13 -	3	78 - -	86	276 12 -	45	151 4 -				16 7 -
140	354 4 -		387 6 -	17	34 8 -	157	776 2 -	1206	4566 1 -	14	138 9 -		
78	237 10 -		193 12 -	68 7 -	111 12 -	85	443 2 -	123	2716 10 -	6	335 - -		
3	4 4 -		2 8 -			3	6 12 -	2280	15017 9 -	78	154 - -		
10	93 - -		36 8 -			10	129 8 -	1070	7186 8 -	2	64 - -		
121	408 14 -		254 3 -	8	17 12 -	129	680 13 -	344	1490 - -	1			95 12 -
63	95 - -		47 14 -	1	6 - -	64	148 14 -	228	3350 8 -	1			89 8 -
52	72 4 -		61 - -	10	27 12 -	62	161 - -	1823	12173 1 -	42			2 6 -
305	467 12 -		388 4 -	58	172 4 -	363	1028 4 -	424	2016 9 -	32		99	152 6 -
63	113 12 -		67 2 -	23	81 9 -	86	262 7 -	776	1920 12 -		89 10 -		
								4132	13593 9 -	110		48	142 3 -
933	2089 12 -		1728 2 -	127	429 9 -	1060	4247 7 -	941	3467 14 -			149	503 6 -
532	1404 5 -		328 14 -	107	162 4 -	639	935 2 -	13434	67752 13 -	286	5867 -		
									Net	137	831 -		
								4424	7885 11 -	28			371 -

Fort St George

Fusly

Deductions

Decrease of Rent Ryots present	Transfers to other Heads		Total		Remaining	
	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount
	6		7		8	9
Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
1587 9 ..	27	99 12 ..	845	3426 9 ..	14412	61535 15 ..
7	..	.. .. ..	7	.. .. ..	8	268 ..
428 13 ..	92	269 4 ..	244	1112 4 ..	3663	137355 15 ..
.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	3	8 4 ..	59	1422 12 ..
2023 3 ..	119	339	1092	4554 1 ..	18140	75441 2 ..
116 8 ..	3	11	7	135 8 ..	394	3508 2 ..
330	..	.. .. ..	2	381	8	870 8 ..
.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	8	328	..	.. .. ..
.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	1	10 .. ..
.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	33	1323 ..
446 8 ..	3	11	17	844 8 ..	436	45201 3 ..
4498 7 ..	433	1410 12 ..	2643	10535 2 ..	34735	1504174 ..
7555 6 ..	858	6269 9 8	34792	1061 9 8	43493	2511684 4

Item of Fishery transferred to the Head of Sundry Small Farms and Licensed Parties who have given up Trade					
No. of Individuals	Amount		No. of Individuals	Amount	
	4			5	
	Rs.	a. p.		Rs.	a. p.
43	111	.. ..			
..	..	.. ..			
8	11	4 ..			
..	..	.. ..			
51	122	4 ..			
1	..	8 ..			
2	51	.. ..			
..	..	.. ..			
..	..	.. ..	8	328	.. ..
..	..	.. ..			
..	..	.. ..			
2	51	.. ..	8	328	.. ..
406	8521	8 ..	8	328	.. ..
485	12213		8	328	.. ..

10/101

Statement for Fusly 1250/Con.ta/

Additions.

New Ryots				Increase of Rent on Ryots				Transfers brought to it				Total			
No. of Individuals	Amount							No. of Individuals	Amount			No. of Individuals	Amount		
10				11				12				13			
	CRs	as	Ps	CRs	as	Ps		CRs	as	Ps		CRs	as	Ps	
1138	1842	3	..	1834	12	..		122	323	8	..	1260	14000	..	
..	..	..	..	..	..	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
231	427	7	..	448	15	..		65	182	4	..	296	1058	10	
8	14	8	..	..	8	..		12	13	3	..	20	28	3	
1377	2284	2	..	2284	3	..		199	518	15	..	1576	5087	4	
4	11	..	..	21	7	..		..	..	..	..	4	32	7	
..	..	..	..	..	..	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
..	..	..	..	..	..	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
..	..	..	..	..	..	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
1	7	8	..	3	3	..		..	..	..	..	1	10	11	
5	18	8	..	2410	..	..		..	..	..	..	5	43	2	
2847	4838	6	..	4363	13	..		433	1110	12	..	3280	10312	15	
3509	7379	..	..	6841	14	..		858	6269	9	8	4367	20460	7	

Bellary Collectors Office 10th Octr

8th November 1849.

Comparison between Col. 2 and 14

Total Settlement for Fusly 1258		Increase		Decrease	
No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount	No. of Individuals	Amount
14		15		16	
	CRs as Ps		CRs as Ps		CRs as Ps
15672	65536 6 ..	415	573 14 ..	..	.. .. ..
6	26 8 ..	..	.. .. ..	..	7 .. ..
3959	14794 9 ..	52	.. .. ..	..	53 10 ..
79	170 15 6	17	19 15 ..	..	.. .. ..
19716	80528 6 ..	484	593 13 ..	..	60 10 ..
	Net	484	533 3 ..	..	.. .. ..
398	3540 9 ..	..	.. .. ..	9	103 1 ..
8	870 8 ..	..	.. .. ..	2	381 .. ..
..	.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..	8	328 .. ..
1	10	..	.. .. ..	..	.. .. ..
34	142 14 ..	1	10 11 ..	..	.. .. ..
441	4563 15 ..	1	10 11 ..	13	812 1 ..
	Net	..	.. .. ..	12	801 6 ..
38015	160730 13 ..	799	1190 15 ..	162	1413 2 ..
	Net	637	.. .. ..		222 3 ..
47860	271629 6 ..	1099	2843 9 8	211	3244 11 8
	Net	888	.. .. ..		601 2 ..

1849 Cr. Coll.r/ Signed/ C. Pelly
Ag. Collector

Fort St. George

Revenue under Amanee for Fusly

Betel Nut		Dyes & Sorts		Piece Goods	
5		6		7	
Cᴿˢ	aˢ P	Cᴿˢ	aˢ P	Cᴿˢ	aˢ P
2	5 7	1	6 5	48	6 8
19	23	13	8 6	63	4 7
3	8 5	..	10 10	26	11 1
1	14	2	11 4	8	14 5
470	9 7	588	9 7	273	6 10
496	11 2	607	1 8	420	14 7

8th November 1849

the Bellary Division of the Ceded Districts

1258-

Total Collections

Sugar	Jaggery	Woolen Cumblies	Metal Iron	Cocoanut Dry Cocoanut &c.	Grain of Sorts.
8	9	10	11	12	13
Cᴿˢ aˢ P	Cᴿˢ aˢ P	Cᴿˢ aˢ P	Cᴿˢ aˢ P	Cᴿˢ aˢ P	Cᴿˢ aˢ P
17 6 3	208 .. 10	27 12 3	36 1 11	92 1 10	126 15 7
1945 11	1183 10 ..	9 .. 2	45 25	198 10 ..	17 11 8
1	310	23 7 3	.. ..	5 11 8	6 8 11 8 36 5 7
1	151	79 9 11	.. 31	28 12 8	42 25 8 33
432	136	603	126 167 .. 8	98 13	398 68 27 14 ..
494 ..	7 2312	86	204 .. 2	213 11	800 37 217 21

16103 | |

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Total Collections (cont.)

Ghee	Pepper	Other Articles	Total Imports	Total Exports	Total Collections of Fasly 1257
14	15	16	17	18	19
Cwt. a. P	Cwt. a. P	Cwt. a. P	Cwt. a. P	Cwt. a. P	Rupees a. P
13 3 10	 6	24 4 6	76 7 9	541 2 2	617 9 11
152 15 3	.. 12 4	73 .. 8	225 12 9	1080 6 9	1906 3 6
30 9 4	.. .. ..	9 9 7	57 15 7	493 4 3	551 3 5
4 15 9	.. 6 2	3 5 4	20 9 6	176 6 5	196 15 11
18 10 6	44 5 1	147 .. 6	989 5 1	2353 10 6	3342 15 7
220 6 8	45 8 1	260 4 7	1370 2 3	5244 14 1	6615 .. 4
		Extra Collections			304 5 8
					6919 6 ..

Bellary Collections Office 10th October 1849

[illegible] November 1849

[illegible] brought forward

[illegible] following letter

[illegible]

[illegible] ry to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

[illegible] me the honor to

[illegible] Statement shewing

[illegible] Resumed Jagheer which

[illegible] payment of Mo-

[illegible] t and the amount

[illegible] the three Fasles

[illegible] spared agreeably

[illegible] on[illegible] anied by your

[illegible] last.

H. Cochrane

Collector

[illegible] Statement

16103 | 1 | Fort St. George 8th November 1849

Comparison

Ghee	Oil	Increase	Decrease
14		21	22
Cwt.	a. P. C.	Cwt.	a. P.	Cwt.	a. P.
13	3 10	37	4 3	..	.. ..
152	15 3	306	11 10	..	.. ..
30	9 14	..	.. ..	9	7 5
4	15 9	..	.. ..	27	14 4
18	10 6	..	.. ..	388	15 8
220	6 8	344	.. ..	426	5 5
		290	6 11	..	.. ..
		634	7 ..	426	5 5
		Net 208	1 7		

Bellary

/Signed/ C. Pelly
Acting Collr.

16101 | Fort St. George 8th November 1849

Order thereon
(21-22)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letter

To
F. Ryesoft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(23)

Sir,

From the Collector of Cuddapah's dispatch

I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement shewing the several trades Professions &c. which are assessed to the payment of Moturpha in this District and the amount realized from each for the three Fusles 1255-1256 and 1257 prepared agreeably to the Form which accompanied your letter of the 17th June last.

Cuddapah
Collector's Office
3rd November 1849

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Statement

1815

Book of Assize 8th November 1814

Statement shewing the several Trades Professions &c. which are assessable to the Assessment of Mutilation in the District of Dublin for the amount assessed upon each for the three Years 1812 1813 and 1814

Names of Persons	Trade, Profession or Employment	Amount of Assessment for the Year 1812	Amount of Assessment for the Year 1813	Amount of Assessment for the Year 1814	Remarks
Thomas Adams	Esq.	35	35	35	
Thomas Adams	Esq. for Estate late Thomas Adams	3	3	3	
Thomas Adams	Esq. for Estate late Thomas Adams	2	2	2	
Thomas Adams	Esq. for Estate late Thomas Adams	1	1	1

Carried — Qrs ditto Qrs — 35 9 — 38 2 — 578 — 43 1 —

Total 34,030 16 3508 15 9 3537 11 6 3519 6 10 7

Tare on Gall. Cleared 1862 13 — 1,904 4 — 1,965 13 — 1,910 15 4

Qrs on del Hard — 18218 15 5 18516 9 2 19099 4 5 18629 4 4

Qrs on 9 ds Casks — 1831 11 — 1817 99 1845 4 9 1831 96

Qrs on Empty Casks 305 13 — 309 8 — 302 11 — 303 11 8

Qrs on Calves Leave — 50 15 — 56 7 — 50 11 — 51 12 —

Left Qrs on Store Casks — 217 103 218 7 — 229 11 — 221 39

Qrs on Home Utens — 70 11 — 72 7 — 72 2 — 74 13 —

Total 2174 2 3216 7 69 2201 — 9 2181 13 11

Tare on Qrs ditto 738 11 — 721 11 — 743 11 — 734 12 —

Qrs on Qrs ditto 16 15 — 114 — — 128 1 — 116 6 4

Total 34,030 16 3508 15 9 3537 11 6 3519 6 10 7

Tare on Gall. Cleared 1862 13 — 1,904 4 — 1,965 13 — 1,910 15 4

Qrs on del Hard — 18218 15 5 18516 9 2 19099 4 5 18629 4 4

Qrs on 9 ds Casks — 1831 11 — 1817 99 1845 4 9 1831 96

Qrs on Empty Casks 305 13 — 309 8 — 302 11 — 303 11 8

Qrs on Calves Leave — 50 15 — 56 7 — 50 11 — 51 12 —

Left Qrs on Store Casks — 217 103 218 7 — 229 11 — 221 39

Qrs on Home Utens — 70 11 — 72 7 — 72 2 — 74 13 —

Total 2174 2 3216 7 69 2201 — 9 2181 13 11

Tare on Qrs ditto 738 11 — 721 11 — 743 11 — 734 12 —

Qrs on Empty Qrs — 16 15 — 114 — — 128 1 — 116 6 4

Qrs on Empty Qrs — 224 1 — 228 — — 214 11 — 222 4 —

1811 — Total Qrs Landed 8 — Qrs Entered for 1814

Qrs Landed	Tare	Weight of Casks	Allowance of Casks	Remarks
1255				

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Qrs landed Qrs Tare Weight

Tare on del Qrs — 198 2 — 206 — 218 3 — 207 9 8

Qrs on Tare del Qrs 306 16 210 109 216 2 9 207 3 11

Qrs on Casks Qrs Qrs — 2814 5 3292 9 4 — 2867 6 9 2836 — —

Qrs on Casks Qrs Qrs — 1934 9 — 1934 10 — 1966 5 — 1945 2 8

Qrs on Casks Qrs Qrs — 28 5 — 30 13 — 31 1 — 30 1 —

Qrs on del Qrs Qrs 128 7 — 130 12 — 127 13 — 129 — —

Qrs on Empty Qrs — 224 1 — 228 — — 214 11 — 222 4 —

1817

Yale & Lane 8th December 1849.

Description of Produce	Quantity of Produce	Price	Amount of Sales	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Qrs	Qrs	Qrs	Qrs	Qrs
Use on Plantagenet Down Wheat	328 12	241	335 4	
Lincoln or Spring Oats				
Qr on Sweet Meadows	107	107 8	109 4	107 12 8
Qr on Potatoes Yellow	516 12	526 8	509 4	517 8
Qr on Mangel Wurtzel	51 4	30	36 8	42 4
Qr on Clover Red				
Use Hay	19 4	23 4	31 8	24 10 8
Qr on Clover Red				
Use Hay	30 8	31	26 8	29 5 4
Qr on Tare or Vetch	10	10 12	9	9 14 8
Qr on Canary or Rape	218	204 12	202	208 4
Qr on Peas Grey	44 8	43	45 12	44 1 4
Qr on Mixed Grain Oats	101 8	107	109	105 13 4
Qr on Cattle and Calves	32 12	30	31 12	31 8
Qr on Clover Red				
Use Hay	14	9 12	12 8	12 14
Qr on Barley Malting	81 12	93 4	90 4	88 6 8
Qr on Common Oats	316	310 4	312 8	316 4
Qr on Tares	52	47	43 4	47 6 8
Use on Meadow Hay	319 4	512	346 12	326
Qr on Common Barley	81	80	86	82 5 4
Qr on Oats	145 8	148	149 4	147 9 4
Qr on Beans	120 12	122 8	140	127 12
Qr on Peas Grey	4	4	4 6 8
Qr on Vegetable Cattle	5 4	5 12	2	4 5 4
Qr on Clover Red				
Use of the Manures of Cattle and Hay	7	7	6	6 13 8
Qr on Clover and Rye Grass				
Use Hay	31 8	32 12	29 8	31 4
Qr on Turkey Red Wheat	123 12	115 12	127	122 2 8
Qr on Cheese Cattle	543 13	536 15	567 15	550 3 8
Total	3666 13	3632 3	3688 11	3662 9

1818

Scale of Poonah 8th December 1849

	Quantity of Land in 1855	Quantity in 1856	Quantity in 1857	Quantity of the Year	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Bighas	Bighas	Bighas	Bighas	
Cash on Bunds or Embankments	940 11	364 2	367 11	367 18	
Land on which Government Tax is not collected in Villages					
Cash on Common Grass Lands	320 11	315 6	324 6	320 34	
Uncultivated Land					
Cash on Garden Lands	7838 11	7968 32	8245 10	8017 6 11	
Total	8579	8647 32	8937 11	8704 14 11	
Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated	12195 32	12980	12626	12367 7 11	
Remain Land Cultivated	1340316	350815 9	3577 16	35196 10 7	
Cash on Remunerative Land	3862 15	4004 11	4165 15	4010 15 11	
Cash on Old Trees	18248 53	18546 2	19092 45	18629 11 11	
Cash on Land Revenue	21779 2	21676 9	220 11 9	21811 13 11	
Difference	Cash on Garden Lands	738 11	721 14	743 11	734 12	
	Cash on Common Grass Lands	106 15	114	128	116 6 11	
	Cash on Remunerative Land	1195 32	1298	1262 9	1236 7 11	
	Total Square Measure	73160 7	73816	75335 8	74137 5 10	
	Remainder of Land Cultivated	1463611	7137161	3 9	1489745	1474 45 13 1	
	Square Measure					
	Cash on Garden Lands					
	Cash on Common Grass Lands					
	Cash on Remunerative Land					
	Cash on Old Trees					
	Cash on Land Revenue					
	Difference					
	Remainder of Land Cultivated					

Deduct 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Cash on Land Revenue 21779 2 21676 9 220 11 9 21811 13 11

Difference Cash on Garden Lands 738 11 721 14 743 11 734 12

Cash on Common Grass Lands 106 15 114 128 116 6 11

Cash on Remunerative Land 1195 32 1298 2 1262 9 1236 7 11

Total Square Measure 73160 7 73816 10 75335 8 74137 5 10

Remainder of Land Cultivated 1463611 7137161 3 9 1489745 1474 45 13 1

Square Measure

Cash on Bunds or Embankments 940 11 364 2 367 11 367 18

Land on which Government Tax is not collected in Villages

Cash on Common Grass Lands 320 11 315 6 324 6 320 34

Uncultivated Land

Cash on Garden Lands 7838 11 7968 32 8245 10 8017 6 11

Total 8579 8647 32 8937 11 8704 14 11

Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Cash on Land Revenue 21779 2 21676 9 220 11 9 21811 13 11

Difference Cash on Garden Lands 738 11 721 14 743 11 734 12

Deduct

Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Deduct

Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Deduct

Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Deduct

Deduct Trees on Land not Cultivated 12195 32 12980 2 12626 9 12367 7 11

Remain Land Cultivated 1340316 350815 9 35774 6 35196 10 7

Cash on Remunerative Land 3862 15 4004 11 4165 15 4010 15 11

Cash on Old Trees 18248 53 18546 2 19092 45 18629 11 11

Deduct

16109 Fort St. George 8th November 1849

(23-24) Order thereon Ordered to lie over

Read the following letter

F. Gyroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(25)

From the Agent
At Kurnool

Reporting on Petition No. 1837 of 1849 presented by Sayd Khajah Hoosain inhabitant of Kurnool for account of his yeomiah resumed C.Rs. 195.-

Sir,

I have the honor to reply to the order by your Board appended to the Petition noted marginally dated 27th September 1849.-

Petition No. 1837 of 1849
"The Collector is requested
"to report the steps
"taken in the disposal
"of this case."-

2. The Petitioner's father Sayed Yasin Sahib was one of the late Ex Nawab's numerous personal adherents. On the resumption of Kurnool he was found to be drawing an annual allowance of 240 Gudwal Rupees from various sources which were then closed by Mr. Commissioner Blane's order and a money stipend allowed him equal to the above-mentioned sum ie C. Rupees 16-4 per Mensem.-

3. I find in a list prepared at the time the following works in Mr. Blane's hand writing "To be continued for the present"-The list bears no other date than "the 20th October" but I consider it must have been drawn up in 1840-

Syed

16110 Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

4. Sayed Yasin Sahib died on the 2nd October 1843 and the yeomiah drawn by him is thus referred to in Mr. Scott's letter to your Board under date 30th December following.-

"One of the yeomiahdars receiving
"16-4-- per mensem has died since Mr. Bayley's
"report was sent to Government and his
"name has been struck out of the list now
"forwarded.-

5. In Mr. Commissioner Bayley's time an enquiry into the origin of the Kurnool yeomiahs was made by the Assistant Agent Captain Newbold, whose list refers to that of Sayed Yasin Sahib, as entered below.-

"Produced a Sunnud from Nabob
"Asuf Khan dated 1229 H. for the Rufsooms
"of ½ price on every Jumma, the terms of which
"are Duai Doulet.. These in the Chouri Rufsoom
"account are valued at 20 a month 16-4--
"which he now enjoys- His father Moulana
"Ali Sahib came originally from Savanoor
"in Runmust Khan's time. He became Murshid
"of Nabob Asuf Khan who conferred in Jaghire
"the Village of Deenoor in the Nundicatoor
"Talook. This he subsequently zufted and
"conferred on him the Village of Murti
"Kistnapoor in the Sirwel Talook Munnawar
"Khan zufted it and gave his son (the father

Syed Yasin Sahib Murshid 16-4--

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

"father died in Aluf Khan's time (Deenoor)
"again. The late Nabob again granted Deenoor
"and gave the sanad-e-tunkhah of 1800 f. P.
"after they had enjoyed it two or three years
"the Tunkhah was taken from them and
"the Villages of Kistnapelly Gooinpilly and
"Walsala in Dhone talook given instead-

"The revenues are still enjoyed by you in
"Sahib eldest brothers, Ahmud Sahib and
"Hissa Muddin has only the yeomiah which
"he enjoyed in lieu of the Village Jokulpur
"in the Gooty talook with Summud by
Nabob Aluf Khan-

6. The following endorsements have been given to the Petitioner since I have had charge of Kurnool-

Number and year of Petition on the Revenue Board's List-	Agent's Endorsement.	Date of Endorsement
2276 of 1838.	"The matter of Yeomiahs such as that referred to in this address "was carefully enquired into by the "late Agent and reported "on to grounds appear to have "been found for recommending the "continuance of the one claimed by "Petitioner and I cannot ascertain "that there are any-"	4th April 1849
856 of 1849	"The Petitioner was furnished "with an endorsement on the	15th May 1849

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

5th April 1849 which he does not appear to have submitted to the Board of Revenue.

Kurnool-- Agent's Office 26th October 1849

(Signed) H. D. Phillips.
Agent to the Governor.

Resolution thereon

(25-26)

Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 183 of 1849.

"From a report received from the Agent to the Governor in Kurnool there do not appear to be any sufficient grounds for recommending the continuance to the Petitioner of the Yeomiah resumed on the death of his father in 1813. The Board of Revenue cannot therefore interfere on Petitioner's behalf."

Read the following Memo-

(27)

Official Memorandum

From the Collector of North Arcot

The Collector of North Arcot has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will favor him with a copy of the Board's Circular dated 30th November 1830 together with the form of Quarterly Statement of Salt prepared to in Para 9 of their Standing order of 18th July 1828 as it is not to be found on the records of this

ORIGIN DEC 30 1849

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry Chittoor 1st November 1849.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collector

To J. Sykes Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Order thereon.

27-28

Ordered accordingly that Copy of the Board's Circular dated 30th November 1820, and of the Statement annexed to it be furnished to the Collector of North Arcot in compliance with the request contained in the foregoing Official Memorandum.

Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

From the Collector of South Arcot

Sir

In reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 25th Instant and its Enclosures from the Under Secretary to the Government of India I have the honor to inform the Board that the orders of the Supreme Government abolishing the duty on Brass and Copper Utensils and Brass Rings have been duly communicated in the Agency Department to the French Government, and I beg further to state that I am not aware of the existence of any objection to extend the concession to Glazed Bangles also, as it must be evident from the fact of this article being included in the Statement forwarded with my letter dated 30th May last, that from its insignificance I did not notice it, except casually the deduction shown in the Statement was not intended to bar this article from the abolition, but merely to exemplify the amount of duty actually collected on Copper

which it will be seen came prominently under notice from their being connected with former correspondence.

The Board will see that the duty levied on Bangles in the three Fasties enumerated in the Statement amounted on Exports and Imports to Rupees 24-3-6 on average of annums

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 30th October 1820

Signed W. D. Davis
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

29-30

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to Para 2 of the letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, dated 15th September last, communicated with Extract from Minutes of Consultation of 13th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit herewith the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated 30th Ultimo suggesting that the exemption from Frontier duty of certain Copper and Brass Utensils and Rings sanctioned by the Government of India, may be extended to Glazed Bangles.

In the Revenue pledged on the 3 Glass ware in question amounted on average of three years to only Rupees 24-3-6 per annum, the Board beg to recommend that the duty of Frontier duty may in future be discontinued.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
Dated 25th October 1820

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Statement

10115 (31) Fort St. George

8th November 1849.

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the Pensioners mentioned hereunder in the District of South Arcot submitted for sanction of Government.

No. of Certificate	Name of the Pensioner	Amount of Pension per annum	Date of Commencement of Pension authority	Nature of the Grant whether annuity or for life	Period of payment	Total amount of arrears	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.			
19	Syed Hoossain	81 8 0	Bd. of Revt. 1838	Khush Hyaut	From 12th July 1846 to 11th Octr. 1849	101 11 0	The Statement of the Pensioner that he was prevented by sickness from attending to draw his pension earlier being confirmed by the Tahsildar, the Collector solicits sanction for the disbursement of the arrears and for the continuance of the pension.		Ordered

Signed W. D. Davis
Collector

South Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore
3rd November 1849

10116 — Fort St. George 8th November 1849

Order thereon — Ordered that the following remarks be inserted in the proper Column of the foregoing Statement and submitted for the orders of Government

(31-32)

Remarks of the Board — Under the circumstances represented by the Collector, the Board of Revenue beg to recommend that sanction be granted to the disbursement proposed of the arrears of Cyes. amounting due from 12th July 1848 to 11th October 1849, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 101 11 11.

Read

J. F. R.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10117 — Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

(3.3)

From the Asst. Pl. Collr of Coimbatore .... 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Petition No 19 of 1849 from certain Todas of Colacammud bearing the Board's endorsement of the 18th August last.

The question of the right of Todavurs to compensation for lands comprised in the Cantonment of Colacammud having been fully discussed in the previous correspondence on this subject any explanation in further elucidation of it, would seem hardly called for.

3. The amount of compensation Viz. 150 Rupees per Annum as ordered by Government on the 1st June 1840 was paid to the Todavurs for Fasly 1250 and 1251 by the Collector of Malabar, for which the special sanction of Government was communicated by the Board on the 20th April 1843: and no further payment appears to have

2.

From the Board	10th	Nov.	1834
To the Board	9th	February	1835-36
From Do.	20th	Do.	1835.
To the Do.	2nd	March	1835-36
To the Do.	13th	August	1836
From the Do.	6th	October	1836
From the Do.	6th	February	1837
To the Do.	27th	Do.	Do.
To the Do.	17th	March	1837
From the Do.	17th	April	1837
To the Do.	17th	Do.	Do.
From the Do.	17th	Do.	Do.
From the Do.	9th	April	1840
From Do.	1st	June	1840.
To the Do.	4th	Do.	Do.
To the Bd.	5th	Do.	Do.
To the Govt.	13th	July	1840
To the Board	23rd	Do.	Do.
From the Do.	17th	Octr.	1842
To the Do.	4th	November	1842
To the Do.	29th	Decr.	1842
From the Do.	20th	Febuary	1843
To the Do.	14th	March	1843
To Do.	20th	April	1843
From the Bd.	1st	August	1844

have been made subsequently. The Todavurs still graze their Cattle in the immediate vicinity of Ootacammund and the 300 Rupees already given them would seem to be an ample premium for the small quantity of pasture land they have lost having abundance still in the neighbourhood with a valuable market for the sale of their Cattle Milk and Ghee.

Coimbatore, 11th October 1849. } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Acting Principal Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(33 – 34)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to an order of Government dated 30th July 1849.

2. The Board of Revenue observe that the arrangement concluded in pursuance of the orders of Government of 1st June 1840, by the Acting Principal Collector of Malabar with the Todas, regarding the land included within the Cantonment of Ootacammund as reported by that Officer in his letter to Government of 13th July 1840 was for the annual payment of Rupees 150.

In Cons 11th June 1849

(being

(being equivalent to the interest at 5% on the amount Rupees 3,000 previously awarded) as compensation for the lands Cowmies 2,158-4-1953 given up. The Proceedings of the Acting Principal Collector were approved by Government in Para 5 of the Extract Minutes Consultation 17th September 1842. and the Court of Directors in Para 18 of their Despatch of 21st June 1843 subsequently ordered that this amount should be paid in arrears from the 27th December 1836. It would however seem that the only payments which have been made were those for fushes 1250 and 1251 which received sanction of Government on 11th April 1843. Under these circumstances it appears to the Board that the parties to the Petition No. 1405 of 1849 have an indubitable right to the arrears of compensation which have accrued both prior and subsequent to Fushy 1251 and to the continuance of the same annually in future provided that they are the same parties or the representatives of the same parties with whom the arrangement was concluded in 1840. They would therefore beg to suggest that the Acting Principal Collector be directed to satisfy himself on this point and on its

Extract Minutes Cons 1st June 1840 P. 1.

In Cons 17th Oct. 1842.

In Cons 20th April 1843.

being

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

being duly established to submit for sanction the amount payable to the Tahsildar under the terms of the agreement of 1844 from 27th December 1836.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I beg the instructions of the Board in the following case, in which the orders of the Honorable Court at Home, and the Bengal Government, appear not yet to have been carried out.

2. In August last, I was somewhat surprized, believing the practice to have ceased in all other Districts, to receive a requisition from several Tahsildars, for leave to disburse, as formerly, a certain amount to invoke Rain, under the names of Varoonajapum and Rookabishakum. I deferred to sanction such expenditure, asked officially by the Tahsildar, a Public servant, and thus to be expended under the direct orders of the Collector, for a purely native religious

(35)

From the Acg Print Collr of Coimbatore

Forwarding translated Copies of two pyrees from certain Tahsildars and suggesting that the longer continuance of the payment for raw Ceremony is inadvisable also proposing that the allowances for Pagodas should be paid quarterly instead of monthly.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849

religious ceremony to produce Rain on behalf of Government, the practice having been distinctly abrogated by very plain orders some time ago.

3 The temporary sanction for this expenditure appears to rest on para 5. Minutes of Consultation 4th May 1846. forwarded with Extract of the Board's Proceedings dated 22d June 1846 - para 5. authorizes the payments "until the arrangements ordered in Minutes Consultation 8th April 1846, are completed" This has since been done as seen by Mr Wroughton's report of 31st August 1847, and I therefore conceive it would not be the Boards' wish for them to be any longer continued. Abundant Rain fell throughout the District about the very time the disbursement was asked for.

4. Its discontinuance would appear less doubtful, in as much as the present recipients Brahmins, are not hereditary claimants, but have only enjoyed the allowance, (which in real truth becomes a mere personal one) to themselves, and kept or spent entirely at their own discretion, since 1846 at which time they

+ No 499

No 68

Fort St. George 8th November 1849

they were nominated to conduct the ceremony on the recommendation of the several Tahsildar's reports.

5.

The longer continuance therefore of this ceremony, and expenditure seems like the Dustoorooj, undesirable, as at variance with the stringent orders of the Home and Supreme Government expressed in letter from Fort William dated 20th September 1846, and other orders on the subject.

6.

The Mahomedan allowances referred to in the same Extract 22d Decr 1846, are small; but of the same character as the above not attached to any shrine or Establishment, but drawn by individuals who appropriate or spend the money without check or control.

7.

I would also propose, if the Board see no objection, to pay the allowances to the several Pagodas quarterly (as in other Districts) instead of Monthly as at present here, the latter arrangement causing extra and constant trouble of remittances and more frequent recurrence and orders regarding the Pagoda Funds in the Collectors Accounts.

Coimbatore 12th October
Despatched 17th Dec 1849

(Signed) E. B. Thomas

Para 2. 18th November 1844. Board's Proceedings. Para 2 of Chief Secretary's letter to Board in Board's Proceedings 24th June 1841.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

No. 1

Translated Copy of Urzee addressed to E. B. Thomas Esqre Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore, by Naraniengar Tahsildar of Cheyoor, dated 19th August 1849.

No. 35.

Since the last two Months no rain has fallen in the Villages subject to this Talook whereby the Cultivation of "Paroovum" Crop for Fusly 1259 is delayed and the Ryots suffer. The usual recipients therefore request permission for performing the usual "Rain ceremonies" of "Varoonayapum" and "Roodrabhishacum," and I beg you will be good enough to direct the Anzoor Treasurer to remit to me the prescribed amount of 105 Rupees for the said purposed.

(Signed) Naraniengar
Tahsildar

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Asst Pl Collr

No. 2

Translated Copy of an Urzee addressed to E. B. Thomas Esqre Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore, by Annial Tahsildar of Denaick cottah, dated 22d September 1849. No. 65.

I beg to inform you that I

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

I have forwarded to the Huzoor Sheristadar Duftar with a Memorandum No. 43 a Statement shewing the quantity of Rain fallen in the Villages Dependent on the Talook from 1st to 15th Avany last, and another (blank) for the succeeding dates Viz. from 16th to the end of the Month.

I beg to bring to your notice that in the Villages of Davaiken Cottah, Cotammgalum, and Gazzlehutty, and in those situated in the vicinity thereof, as also on the Hilly tracts only two or three Showers fell, and the sowing of seed and others Agricultural operations were carried on Between Valamoondy and Coombaraj, and also in the Villages situated adjacent to Bellady Metapolliem, and Streenivogay no good Rain has fallen and consequently lands have been partly sown, and partly left waste. The weather appears very day cloudy, with slight showers, which are not sufficient for the cultivation of lands as usual. The Tanks at Bellady and Eloopanuttum have not received any supply of water and the small quantity in the Tanks at

Connattoor,

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

Connattoor, Annoor, and Modapollium &c. is also subsiding. In some places the crops begin to wither and in others lands are entirely left waste. It is usual in every year to perform the Ceremonies of "Varoonajapum" and "Roodrabhishacum," and I forwarded a Baraward (Estimate) to the Huzoor Treasury for Rupees 52—8—" the amount of annual allowance due for this purpose. In the list of pay received from the Treasury for July, the amount in question is stated to have been forwarded to the Talook, but at the foot thereof it is remarked that the said "amount has been detained under orders of the Huzoor. The Ryots and the Village Officials request that the usual Rain ceremony should be performed, and I therefore beg to bring this to your notice

(Signed) Anmiah
Talsildar.

(True Translation)

(Signed) C. B. Thomas.
Acting Principal Collector

The

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

(35-36)

Proceedings thereon.

The Board of Revenue observe that the disbursements referred to, in the above letter for Rama ceremonies being in all Rupees 630 form part of certain payments for native religious festivals amounting to Rupees 1390-13-2. which were made by Mr W. Garrow in lieu of lands valued at Pd. 760-24-4 resumed by him, and which appear in his Jusdeck. The Government Extract Minutes Consultation 4th May 1846 Para 5 have sanctioned the continuance of these payments with the exception of Rupees 89-2-4 for Veraicha Poongah and Dasser Poongah until the arrangements for the transfer of the Pagoda lands ordered in Extract Minutes Consultation 8th April 1846 were completed. These arrangements, as regards these Pagodas in Coimbatore which are not supported by a fixed Jusdeck have been carried out. On those in other Districts being likewise finished the Board in their General report upon the subject will solicit final instructions from Government in regard to the disbursements Rupees 1309-11. now in question.

In Cons 22nd June 1846.

In Cons 15th June 1846.

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

question. Meanwhile the Acting Principal Collector will continue to make the several payments to the Trustees named in the list which accompanied Mr Wroughton's letter of 22nd December 1845 or to their successors. but he will be careful that none of his establishment take any part in the expenditure of those sums.

In Cons 19th February 1846.

The Board perceive no objection to the disbursement of the Devastannum Jusdeck quarterly as proposed by the Acting Principal Collector in lieu of monthly as at present, and they authorize him to adopt that arrangement.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(37)

From the Asst Pl Collr of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I beg the sanction of the Board to disburse 15 Rupees, beyond the usual reward of 35 Rupees, for killing a Tiger, under the following circumstances. In the Jungly "Andivar" Talook near the River Cavery, Tigers have lately been very dangerous and destructive. no less than 5. Villagers (herdsmen and others) have been killed

killed in a few months, near one spot. Shickarees from other Talooks have been sent in vain, a few Days ago, 2 Ryots faced and killed with ~~Spears~~ only a large Tiger in the locality, where these 5 persons have been killed.* I request permission to add 15 to the usual 35 Rupees, so that they may have 25 Rupees each, as a reward for their courage, and an incitement to others, such a case is not likely to form a precedent, or often to occur.

* A woman's hair and other human remains were found on opening the animal.—
50

Coimbatore District
Pt. Coll.rs Office, Camp.
Colligal, 25th October 1849.

[Signed] E. B. Thomas
Acting Pt. Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(37-38)

Under the representations made by the Acting Principal Collector in the foregoing letter, the Board of Revenue authorize him to disburse to the parties who destroyed the Tiger adverted to, a reward of Rupees 50 — " — being 15 Rupees above the maximum fixed in their Circular of the 10th August 1815.

2. The charge should be included in the Collector's annual Statement

of actual charges without estimate and reference made to these Proceedings in support of the same.—

Read the following letter.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39)

From the Collector of Candeish

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter without number, dated the 26th April last, giving cover to an English Petition dated the 21st Idem with enclosures from Survotum Row, a Gomastah in the Office of the Board of Revenue regarding the account subscribed by his late father Ram Row, to Wardens Official fund, I have the honor to enclose for the information of the Petitioner, copy of the reply of the Duftardar of this Office to a reference made to him by me on this subject. It appears from this document that from the Petitioner not having specified the year in which his father served in this Zillah the information sought by him could not be collected from the records. If therefore the Petitioner will state, and you will communicate to

No 1058 Dated 19th July 1849.

to me, the year and also the name of his father's father, I shall cause a further search to be made in the records and shall communicate the result to the Board for the information of the Petitioner.

Candeish Collectors Office, Dhoolia. 27th September 1849. } [Signed] Alexander Elphinstone. Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(39-40)

To the Collector of Candeish ... } Sir,

In Cons 8th Novr 1849

With reference to your letter dated 27th September last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward herewith a further Petition from Surwotum "Ros" a Goomastah in the Cutcherry, furnishing the information called for in your letter under acknowledgment.

2. From this it will be seen that as far as Petitioner is able to collect, his father served in your district somewhere between Fusly 1228 and 1230 1820.1

The individual however alluded to in your Dufterdar's Memorandum under the name of Rama Row Madakaserah was his father. His Grand father's name was Bhee majee Dofs alias Bheema Row. — Under this further explanation the Board trust that you will be able to trace whether Petitioner's father was a subscriber to Warden's Official — Fund and if so they beg that you will have the kindness to suggest the measures to be adopted for obtaining a refund of the amount due to him.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 8th November 1849. } [Signed] J. Pycroft. Secretary.

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

(41.) From the Collr of Vizagapatam. Supplemental Estimate of advances for Cultivation in Fusly 1259.—

(42) From the Collr of Trichinopoly. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

(43) From the Collr of Madras. Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

Order

DESTROYED DIVISION ORIGINAL DESTROYED

10132 Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

(41-44) Order on the 3 abovd Statements.— Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

Read the undermentioned Lists.—

(45) From the Collr of Cuddapah.— List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered upto 1st September last.—

(46) From the Collector of Cuddapah.— List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered upto 30th September last.—

(45-47) Order on the 2. abovementioned Lists.— Ordered to be rended

Read the undermentioned Registers.—

(48) From the Agent at Kurnool.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the month of October last.—

(49) From the Collector of North Arcot.— Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board for the Month of October last.—

(48-50) Order on the 2 abovd Registers.— Ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

(51) From the Collr of South Arcot.— Comparative Statement

10133 Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 31st October 1847. 1848. and 1849. respectively.—

(52) From the Collector of Madura.— Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 31st October 1847. 1848. 1849. respectively.—

(53) From the Assistant Collr of Madras.— Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 31st October 1847. 1848. and 1849 respectively.—

(51-54) Order on the 3. abovementioned Statements } Ordered to lie over.—

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

(55) From the Collr of Vizagapatam.— Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

(56) From the Collector of Masulipatam.— Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of

of September last.

(57) From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.— Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

(58) From the Coll.r of Nellore.— Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1848/49 up to 23d October last.—

(59) From the Coll.r of Nellore.— Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1848/49 up to 31st October last.—

(60) From the Agent at Kurnool.— Returns of Revenue & Defaulters confined in the Jail for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

(61) From the Agent at Kurnool.— Return of Casualties and Revenue Defaulters released for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

(62) From the Coll.r of South arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

(63) From the Collector of South arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.—

(64) From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 from 1st July to 30th September last.—

(65) From the Collector of Tanjore.— Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

(66) From the Collector of Tanjore. Reporting that no persons were suspended or dismissed from Office nor any Revenue Defaulters confined in the Zillah Jail for the Quarter ending 30th September last.—

From

10136

Fort St. George 8th November 1849.

67

From the Collector of Madura. — Reporting that certain Returns for the Month of October last are not sent.

RECEIVED

68

From the Collector of Canara. — Reporting that no persons were charged with Offences or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

" J. Dury

" Goldingham

Compd. A. G. Boyle

10833

Fort St George 25th July 1850.

		Pr. a/d	Pr. a/d	Pr. a/d
65	Coottady Raman	16 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
66	Sevandy aundy ambalagarum	21 10 8	7 12 "	85 4 "
67	Cunnayera Thondaman	11 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
68	Narayana Vanatharien & ca	10 " "	6 " "	66 " "
69	Sevacaud Cooregely & ca	8 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
70	Camachy Malavarayen	4 10 2	4 8 "	49 8 "
71	Nallatumbe ambalagaren & ca	2 5 2	2 4 "	24 12 "
72	Shunmooga ambalagaren & ca	2 " 4	2 " "	22 " "
73	Karoopah adakhan	4 2 "	4 " "	44 " "
74	Malayandy ambalagaran	32 2 "	11 8 "	126 8 "
75	Karoopen ambalagaran	67 " "	24 8	269 8 "

Cont'd

Carried Forward

76	Karoopen ambalagaren	10 8 0	6 " "	66 " "
77	Karoopen ambalagaren & ca	1 " 4	1 " "	11 " "
78	Thumbachy Pariamaachy	9 9 4	6 " "	66 " "
79	Moottia Malavaroyen	12 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
80	Aroomooga Sholagan	27 " 4	10 " "	110 " "
81	Ramasawmy Panderan	13 11 "	6 " "	66 " "
82	Mootoovera Kavoondan	25 8 6	9 8 "	104 8 "
83	Andeappa Sholathareen	17 6 4	6 4 "	68 12 "
84	Tavamooney Malavaroyen	12 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
85	Koottia Malavarayen	4 4 "	4 4 "	46 12 "
86	Andy Moottien & ca	30 " "	10 12 "	118 4 "
87	Alaga kavoondon Panjaputty	75 10 "	24 8 "	302 8 "
88	Yarathy Kaderam Vadasary	75 10 "	24 8 "	302 8 "

1834

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

		Pd a p	Pd a p	Pd a p
89	Chinna Sembien Mootherean Pea	53 1 9	19 8 "	214 8 "
90	Chinna Sembien Mootherasen	36 4 "	13 4 "	145 12 "
91	Chinna Sembia Mootharasen	54 " 6	19 8 "	214 8 "
92	Karoothan Sembia Mootharasen	7 2 9	6 " "	66 " "
93	Anna Sembia Mootharasen	6 6 "	6 " "	66 " "
94	Mootooraya Moondan Pea	10 " "	6 " "	66 " "
95	Mootoosawmy Royamoondan	10 6 6	6 " "	66 " "
96	Sunneyasy Royamoondan	12 15 8	6 " "	66 " "
97	Auroomooga Rayamoondan	9 3 8	6 " "	66 " "
98	Mootoosawmy Royamoondan	55 6 11	20 4 "	222 12 "
99	Auroomooga Royamoondan	23 9 "	8 8 "	93 8 "
100	Aundy Kangien	5 14 9	6 " "	66 " "

Conand Taloks

101	Mootiocaroopa Mungalam Pea	7 13 4	6 " "	66 " "
102	Paluncandy Kauden	15 10 5	6 " "	66 " "
103	Kolanda Kandian Pea	55 6 10	20 4 "	222 12 "
104	Nulloo Sholatharien	5 " "	5 " "	55 " "
105	Palaneandy Sholatharien	18 2 8	6 8 "	71 8 "
106	Kola thirta Vundan	12 3 6	6 " "	66 " "
107	Arnachella Malavarayen	14 5 3	6 " "	66 " "
108	Pambia Mootioovera Malava-rayen Pea	9 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
109	Mootoovera Malavorayen	9 4 6	6 " "	66 " "
110	Shavamooney Malavarayen	5 " "	5 " "	55 " "
111	Coopana Saroovygaren	54 " 4	19 8 "	214 8 "
	Total Conand Taloks	" " "	912 " "	10,032 " "

10835 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

		Rent	Rent	Rent
1	Carathy Cowndan	46 47	16 8 "	181 8 "
2	Alaga Cowndan	46 47	16 8 "	181 8 "
3	Arasa Cowndan	22 8 "	10 4 "	112 12 "
4	Kulla Caroopan	4 10 8	4 8 "	49 8 "
	Total Vitticulty Talook	" " "	47 12 "	525 4 "
1	Kauttan karooputty	5 13 4	6 " "	66 " "
2	Ramakaderan	5 13 4	6 " "	66 " "
3	Sappany	11 1 4	6 " "	66 " "
4	Iyakontty	4 1 4	4 " "	44 " "

Vitticulty Talook

Moodery Talook

5	Kolandy Thondaman	2 14 8	3 " "	33 " "
6	Sholagan	2 14 8	3 " "	33 " "
7	Aroomoogam	1 2 8	1 " "	11 " "
8	Lutchmanen	2 4 "	2 4 "	24 12 "
9	Ramun	2 4 "	2 4 "	24 12 "
10	Vellian	2 4 "	2 4 "	24 12 "
11	Vecrapanadavaum	2 14 8	3 " "	33 " "
12	Chinnasawmy Thandavan	2 14 8	3 " "	33 " "
	Total Moodery Talook	" " "	41 12 "	459 4 "
1	Conary Sholagan & Co	6 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
2	Rengia Sholagan	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
3	Iyahshelagan Son of Conary Sholagan & Co	15 " "	6 " "	66 " "

Soulgoody Talook

10836 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
4	Rengiah Sholagan	1 2 8	1 " "	11 " "
5	Iya Sholagan	14 2 "	6 " "	66 " "
6	Iyahsholagan son of Conary Sholagan & Co.	17 8 "	6 4 "	68 12 "
7	Iyahsholagan son of Para conary sholagan	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
8	Sennoothee Mottia Moolarasen	14 10 2	6 " "	66 " "
9	Iya Sholagan & Co.	10 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
10	Moottooraya Moondan and 5 others	76 4 "	24 4 "	299 12 "
11	Aramooga Raganoondan son of Sunnady Raganoondan & Co.	10 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
12	Palaneyandy Sumba Mootarasen & Co.	72 4 "	25 12 "	283 4 "
13	Rengiah Sholagan Palaneandy ambalagaren	23 5 4	8 8 "	93 8 "
14	Senna Sembia Mottarasen & Co.	81 " "	29 " "	319 " "
15	Vellayandy & Co.	31 8 "	11 4 "	123 12 "
16	Sunnoothoo Sembia Mootarasen	18 " "	6 8 "	71 8 "
17	Iyasembin Mootarasen ambalagaren	11 " "	6 " "	66 " "
18	Chinna Sembia Mootarasen ambalagaren	11 10 8	6 " "	66 " "
19	Vellayady Sembia Mootarasen & Co.	16 5 4	6 " "	66 " "
20	Chinna Sembia Mootarasen	18 7 1	6 12 "	74 4 "
21	Vellayady sambayah Mootarasen	30 11 "	11 " "	121 " "
22	Vellayady ambalagaren & Co.	11 10 8	6 " "	66 " "
23	Vellayady Mootarasen	10 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
24	Chokanada Kangayen	4 " "	4 " "	44 " "
25	Sandeyaparkangayen & Co.	69 10 4	24 12 "	272 4 "
26	Vellayada Makondan & Co.	12 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
27	Conarasawmy Makondan	11 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
28	Palanyandy Malavaroyen	17 8 "	6 4 "	68 12 "
29	Paria Mathoorayen & Co.	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "

Sankgoody Talook

1837 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
30	Mootoocaroopa Mathoorayen	3	8	"	3	8	"	38	8	"
31	Ambia Vanathorayen P.ca	14	"	"	8	"	"	66	"	"
32	Aroomooga Mootharasen P.ca	8	12	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
33	Iyacooty Malechan P.ca	4	6	"	4	4	"	46	12	"
34	Cootty ambalagaren P.ca	12	8	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
35	Vellaya saroovygaren	4	"	"	4	"	"	44	"	"
36	Vyapoory Thondaman P.ca	15	2	8	6	"	"	66	"	"
37	Colanda Thondaman P.ca	14	"	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
38	Thavamooney Vandarayen	3	2	"	2	"	"	33	"	"
39	Chellamootee Vandarayen	3	2	"	2	"	"	33	"	"
40	Palancandy Makoondan P.ca	22	4	"	8	"	"	88	"	"
41	Iyacootty Estootharien P.ca	6	4	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
42	Suppany Makondan P.ca	3	8	"	3	8	"	38	8	"

(Continued)

Landgoody Salads

43	Palaneandy Elootharien	2	5	4	2	4	"	24	12	"
44	Cootty son of Palaneandy	2	14	8	3	"	"	33	"	"
45	Andy Sholagan	5	15	4	6	"	"	66	"	"
46	Iyacootty ambalagaren	2	14	8	3	"	"	33	"	"
47	Annamalay Pathalun	2	8	"	2	8	"	24	8	"
48	Colandavala Thondaman	3	"	"	3	"	"	33	"	"
49	Palaneandy Elootharien	2	8	"	2	8	"	24	8	"
50	Ammen Vanatharien P.ca	16	4	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
51	Veloyda Mandula Malechan	6	8	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
52	Cootta Vechandan P.ca	23	12	"	8	8	"	93	8	"
53	Thavamooney Makondan P.ca	1	4	"	1	4	"	12	12	"
54	Vyapooryandy Cowrayen P.ca	3	8	"	3	8	"	38	8	"
55	Mootoocarpa Thondaman P.ca	3	8	"	3	8	"	38	8	"
56	Renga Thondaman P.ca	5	4	"	5	4	"	57	12	"
57	Caroopa Maley chetty	1	13	10	1	12	"	19	4	"

10838 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
58	Soobramaneya Thondaman D.ca	5 4 "	5 4 "	57 12 "
59	Moottoocaroopa Mahondan	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
60	Pambia Elootharien D.ca	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
61	Mootoocaroopa Moodan	8 2 8	6 " "	66 " "
62	Iyacootty Elootharien	3 4 3	3 4 "	35 12 "
63	Allappa Malechan	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
64	Andy Thondaman D.ca	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
65	Valayda Vanatharien D.ca	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
66	Chella Moolechan D.ca	5 4 "	5 4 "	57 12 "
67	Mootevera Thondaman D.ca	6 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
68	Valayda Malechan D.ca	5 6 "	5 4 "	57 12 "
69	Palaneandy	2 12 "	2 12 "	30 4 "
70	Iyacootty Sapoolian D.ca	3 " "	3 " "	33 " "
71	Sevandy Elootharien	6 4 "	6 " "	66 " "
72	Valayda Malechan D.ca			
73	Colanday Makoondan	11 6 "	6 " "	66 " "
74	Suppany Mootoovera thondaman	5 5 10	5 4 "	57 12 "
75	Pambia Elootharien	16 6 4	6 " "	66 " "
76	Suppany Mootarasen	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
77	Armooga Makoondan	5 4 "	5 4 "	57 12 "
78	Palaneandy Makoondan	2 14 8	3 " "	33 " "
79	Cootty Vechandan Mooteverien	2 5 4	2 4 "	24 12 "
80	Nalla caroputty Elootharien	" 15 "	1 " "	11 " "
81	Arasa Kandian	3 8 "	2 8 "	38 8 "
82	Chella Mootoo ambalagaren D.ca	6 " "	6 " "	66 " "
83	Arasa Kandian	6 15 6	6 " "	66 " "
84	Colanda Thondaman D.ca	6 8 "	6 " "	66 " "
85	Armooga ambalagaren	2 8 "	2 8 "	27 8 "
86	Vellaya Makoondan D.ca	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
87	Appoo Cootty D.ca	18 10 8	6 12 "	74 4 "

Continued

Landgoody Lalloile

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

		Rd. a. p.	Rd. a. p.	Rd. a. p.
88	Velayda Malechan	5 " "	5 " "	55 " "
89	Arnooya Valechan son of Velayda Malechan	4 10 "	4 8 "	49 8 "
90	Palaneandy Thondaman	5 4 "	5 4 "	54 12 "
91	Annayapa Vanatharien	7 4 8	6 " "	66 " "
92	Arnooya Malechan	1 2 8	1 " "	11 " "
93	Velayda Malechan	1 6 5	1 4 "	13 12 "
94	Iyacootty Elootharien Vcn	14 10 2	6 " "	66 " "
95	Pambia Elootharien Vcn	10 " "	6 " "	66 " "
96	Manoondy Elootharien Vcn	3 2 "	3 " "	33 " "
97	Ramaswara Thondaman Vcn	2 3 "	2 " "	22 " "
98	Mootia Veny thethan Vcn	8 12 "	6 " "	66 " "
99	Arunda Vanatharien	8 12 "	6 " "	66 " "
100	Colanday Panderan Vcn	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
101	Thayela Ariyamar Vcn	2 " "	2 " "	22 " "
102	Kunnayera Thondaman	18 3 4	6 8 "	71 8 "
	F.P.			

(Continued)

103	Arnooya samya Naiker anna Vanoothariem	14 12 "	6 " "	66 " "
104	Pelleccodee Eattariem	7 " "	6 " "	66 " "
105	Iyacootty Sapooliar Vcn	4 9 4	4 8 "	49 8 "
106	Iyacootty Sapooliar	6 " "	6 " "	66 " "
107	Mootiemera Sapooliar	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
108	Mootoomera Iaroopatty Son of Kattoran Iaroopatty	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
109	Aroomooga Thondaman	9 14 8	6 " "	66 " "
110	Kauthan Pullavarayen Vcn	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
111	Kalady Maroondar	8 11 4	6 " "	66 " "
112	Comararayer Pelecotta	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
113	Anna Malavarayon	37 8 "	13 4 "	145 12 "
114	Moorooga Malawarayen Vcn	17 8 "	6 4 "	68 12 "
115	Mootoo caroopa Malaverayen	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
116	Chella Vunniyar Thavasy Vunniyan Vcn	51 12 10	18 8 "	203 8 "
117	Naiken Calady Son of Rasen Calady	29 1 4	10 8 "	115 8 "
118	Marondan	3 8 "	3 8 "	38 8 "
119	Pulla murthy Ramun	" 11 8	" 12 "	8 4 "

Land Cavady Talook

10840

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

		£	a	P	£	a	P	£	a	P
120	Mooteeveeran	10	1	10	6	"	"	66	"	"
121	Sunnasey Vu	10	15	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
* 122	Maurey	5	7	6	5	4	"	57	12	"
123	Iyah mooteryar malwalay	3	4	2	3	4	"	35	12	"
124	Iyah mootan	1	11	8	1	12	"	19	4	"
125	Earoopan Vu	21	6	8	7	12	"	85	4	"
126	Mootear Velan Gunny mangalom	4	12	"	4	12	"	52	4	"
127	Nareyar Maugoody	1	9	8	1	8	"	16	8	"
128	Thoolan Vu	29	5	"	10	8	"	115	8	"
129	Vadamalay Vu	12	2	"	6	"	"	66	"	"
130	Maroothar Vu	11	14	4	6	"	"	66	"	"
131	Ramun	4	9	4	4	8	"	49	8	"
132	Ramun Vu	5	13	2	6	"	"	66	"	"
133	Nai Ran Vu	9	14	"	6	"	"	66	"	"

(Continued)

Laul Goody Talook

134	Arasen Vu	10	2	4	6	"	"	66	"	"
135	Moorooyan Vu	8	5	2	6	"	"	66	"	"
136	Aroolan Vu	24	4	2	8	12	"	96	4	"
137	Rungan Sambalaney	5	8	8	6	"	"	66	"	"
138	Rungan Vu	"	9	10	"	12	"	8	4	"
139	Mal Rondan Vu	"	10	8	"	12	"	8	4	"
140	Vellayady Sembra Mootarasen	11	11	4	6	"	"	66	"	"
141	Murthy Vu Munthoray	3	15	10	4	"	"	44	"	"
142	Murthy Neranjalacoady	3	9	10	3	8	"	38	8	"
143	Murthy Vu	4	10	8	4	8	"	49	8	"
144	Ramun Vu	1	3	"	1	4	"	13	12	"
145	Ramun Vu	22	"	"	8	"	"	88	"	"
146	Earoothan Elamanacoady	3	12	8	3	12	"	41	4	"
147	Sowry Mootoo Pundaravady	2	5	8	2	4	"	24	12	"
148	Chowry Mootoo	"	3	6	"	4	"	2	12	"
149	Selamban Vu	32	5	6	11	8	"	126	8	"

10841

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
150	Mootoo Cavoopon Vau	6 10 2	6 " "	66 " "
151	Selamban Vau	3 5 10	3 4 "	35 12 "
152	Selamban Vau	3 15 8	6 " "	66 " "
153	Mooteeveran Vau	12 "	1 " "	11 " "
154	Andeappa Rangayan	" 8 2	" 8 "	5 8 "
155	Rungan Poovaloor	3 " 10	3 " "	33 " "
156	Mootien Pinnavasul	2 " "	2 " "	22 " "
157	Mootear Mahadanum	1 " "	1 " "	11 " "
158	Shakan Cavoundanulloor	4 2 2	4 " "	44 " "
159	Maury Pullaveram	1 4 8	1 4 "	13 12 "
160	Palaneany	9 " "	6 " "	66 " "
161	Mooroogan	3 4 2	3 4 "	35 12 "
162	Sowry Mootoo Vau Pannacotlangoody	2 6 4	2 4 "	24 12 "
163	Sowry Mootoo Agrara Teroomungalom	2 9 8	2 8 "	27 8 "
164	Maroothy Anunthanoor	" 4 "	" 4 "	2 12 "
165	Maroothun Thenniem	5 15 10	6 " "	66 " "
166	Andy Vau	17 5 10	6 4 "	68 12 "
167	Andy Vau Kooroovecoody	3 2 "	3 " "	33 " "
168	Chellamban Vau	16 11 4	6 " "	66 " "
169	Chellamban Coorchy	2 9 "	2 8 "	27 8 "
170	Chowry mootan Teroomyengoody	5 10 "	6 " "	66 " "
171	Mootooveran Cautoor	11 14 "	6 " "	66 " "
172	Coottan Rotamungalom	6 11 "	6 " "	66 " "
173	Cavoopon Vau Malecamble	7 3 "	6 " "	66 " "
174	Moopan malawalady	4 8 2	4 8 "	49 8 "
175	Rungan Vau Thathanoor	4 1 8	4 " "	44 " "
176	Maury Vau Keeleemungalom	8 5 "	6 " "	66 " "
177	Mooroogan Magaly-coody	4 9 6	4 8 "	49 8 "
178	Mooroogan Narasinga Mungalom	2 3 10	2 4 "	24 12 "

Laulgoody Talook

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

Paulgoody Talook (Continued)

			Cash		Cash		Cash	
			Rs	a P	Rs	a P	Rs	a P
+ 179	Suppany	Sunjandy	3	8 4	3	8 4	3	8 8 4
180	Narayen	Punny sothamungalom	2	8 "	2	8 4	2	7 8 4
181	Maurey	Moomoody Sholamungalom	1	4 8	1	4 4	1	3 12 4
182	Maurey	Sheroothavathery Cuttalay	2	2 4	2	" 4	2	2 " 4
183	Mootooverun	Nachyar Covil	1	8 "	1	8 4	1	6 8 4
184	Naiken	Pareya Volleherry	1	5 8	1	4 1	1	3 12 4
185	Maroothy	Nagarapully Vayel	2	3 4	2	4 "	2	4 12 4
186	Maroothy	Amburn Puttaworthy		5 6		" 4 4		2 12 4
187	Mootooverun	Singamarajapooram	2	15 4	3	" 4	3	3 " " 4
		Total		" " "	96	9 8 4	106	4 8 4
1	Iyah	sholagan Vea	19	4 13 4	29	4 4	32	1 12 4
2	Andiappen	Rangien Vea	12	3 4 2	18	8 " 4	20	3 8 "
		Total Paulgoody Talook		" " "	191	7 4 "	1118	9 12 " 4

Porriore Talook

1	Iyemperamaul	sholagan Sholaganputty	5	14 4	6	" 4	66	" 4
2	Rengasholagan	Vea	6	4 4	6	" 4	66	" 4
3	Iyah	Sholagan Vea	16	11 9	6	" "	66	" "
4	Conary	sholagan	1	4 4	1	4 4	13	12 4
5	Ammypermaul	sholagan	5	10 4	6	" "	66	" "
6	Sholaga	Thondamaun	6	12 6	6	" "	66	" "
7	Conary	sholagan	7	14 4	6	" "	66	" "
8	Suppany	Elaswarien	2	8 4	2	8 4	27	8 4
9	Conary	sholagan	6	4 4	6	" "	66	" 4
10	Mungamy	sholagan	10	10 4	6	" "	66	" "
11	Iyah	sholagun	9	9 4	6	" "	66	" "
12	Iyempermaul	sholagan	3	5 "	3	4 4	35	12 4
13	Conary	sholagen Vea	15	6 4	6	" "	66	" "
14	Renga	sholegen	10	4 4	6	" "	66	" "
15	Conary	sholagan Vea	16	5 4	6	" "	66	" "

		Cᴿˢ a ℘	Cᴿˢ a ℘	Cᴿˢ a ℘
16	Sooba Sholagan	32 " "	3 " "	33 " "
17	Mungamy sholagan	213 "	2 12 "	30 4 "
18	Mungamy sholagan	448	44 "	46 12 "
19	Conary sholagan	28 "	28 "	27 8 "
20	Iyah sholagan	24 "	24 "	24 12 "
21	Iyah Sholagan Vea	51 "	5 " "	55 " "
22	Andeapah Rangien	312 "	312 "	41 4 "
23	Andeapah Rangien	10 " "	6 " "	66 " "
24	Andeapah Rangien	510 "	6 " "	66 " "
25	Semhiah Mootterian	6 " "	6 " "	66 " "
26	Semhiah Mootterian Vea	24 "	24 "	24 12 "
27	Vellayady	448	44 "	46 12 "
28	Sunnasey ambalagaren Vea	64 "	6 " "	66 " "
29	Mierran Randian Vea	35 " -	12 4 -	134 12 -

Continued

30	Appoo Roodombaran Vea	4 " "	4 " "	44 " "
31	Rengia Sholagen	510 "	6 " "	66 " "
32	Ramasholagen Va	4 " "	4 " "	44 " "
33	Theyagaraya ambalagaren Vea	28 "	28 "	27 8 "
34	Moottoosawmy ambalagaren Vea	28 "	28 "	27 8 "
35	Iyasholaga ambalagaren Vea	28 "	28 "	27 8 "
36	Maroothun maRondan	214 "	3 " "	33 " "
37	Rootty Pothalun Vea	96 "	6 " "	66 " "
38	Alagapatholun	32 "	3 " "	33 " "
39	Mooteria Vauatharien Va	78 "	6 " "	66 " "
40	Moottooera Nadavan Vea	510 "	6 " "	66 " "
41	Thannaney Vanathareyan Vea	312 "	312 "	41 4 "
42	Arnachella maRondan Vea	312 "	312 "	41 4 "
43	Mootoocaroopa MaRondan Vea	312 "	312 "	41 4 "
44	Sevandy Pothalun Vea	14 "	14 "	13 12 "
45	Iyacootty Codumbaran Vea	46 "	44 "	46 12 "

Continued

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

		Cw	a	Cds	a	Cw	a
46	Moottoocaroopa Coodumbaran Yeu	7	8	6	"	66	"
47	Mootteocootty Coodumbaran Yeu	5	"	5	"	55	"
48	Aroomooga Cloottarien Yeu	9	4	6	"	66	"
49	Camatchy Cloottarien Yeu	1	14	2	"	22	"
50	Iyacootty Cloottarien Yeu	1	4	1	4	13	12
51	Chellamoottoo Cloottarien Yeu	3	8	3	8	38	8
52	Palaneandy moondan Yeu	3	7	3	8	38	8
53	Iyacoottay Cloottarien Yeu	3	12	3	12	41	4
54	Aroomooga Thondaman	6	4	6	"	66	"
55	Ammayacotta Vechandan Yeu	5	16	6	"	66	"
56	Pambiah Cloottarien	5	4	5	"	55	"
57	Suppany mooleuun Yeu	13	8	6	"	66	"
58	Coolandy Thondaman	2	8	2	8	27	8
59	Coolandy Thondaman	4	4	6	4	4	"
						46	12

Continued

60	Venicattachella Cloottarien	4	"	4	"	44	"
61	Mootea Veechandun	3	12	3	12	41	4
62	Suppany Cloottarien Yeu	7	6	6	"	66	"
63	Moorooga Thondaman Yeu	12	"	6	"	66	"
64	Andeappa Thondaman Yeu	4	6	4	4	46	12
65	Veloyda Thondaman Yeu	3	12	3	12	41	4
66	Chella Cloottarien	5	4	5	4	57	12
67	Pambia Cloottarien Yeu	3	"	3	"	33	"
68	Vyapoory Thondaman Yeu	3	4	3	4	35	12
69	Camatchy Cloottarien Yeu	1	4	1	4	13	12
70	Chella Moottoo Cloottarien	1	4	1	4	13	12
71	Veera ma Rondan Yeu	4	8	4	8	49	8
72	Ammiah ma Rondan Yeu	4	14	5	"	55	"
73	Suppaney Coloothordaran Yeu	3	12	3	12	41	4
74	Camatchy Cloottarien Yeu	2	8	2	8	27	8
75	Moottien ma Rondan Yeu	7	8	6	"	66	"

Fort St George 25th July 1850

		£	a	£	a	£	a
76	Palaney Thondaman Vea	7	"	6	"	66	"
77	Iyacoutty Vanatharien Vea	10	"	6	"	66	"
78	Veloyda Moolichan	3 12	"	3 12	"	41 4	"
79	Camatchy Thondaman	3 12	"	3 12	"	41 4	"
80	Moottia Codambaran Vea	6 4	"	6	"	66	"
81	Cambia Mootharien Vea	9 6	"	6	"	66	"
82	Mootoo caroopa Thondaman Vea	9 9	"	6	"	66	"
83	Arumooga Mootharien Vea	3 5	"	3 4	"	35 12	"
84	Camatchy Mootharien	8 6	"	6	"	66	"
85	Valoyda Vanatharien Vea	10	"	6	"	66	"
86	Sauethondaman Vea	6 4	"	6	"	66	"
87	Soobaramanya Pathalun Vea	6 4	"	6	"	66	"
88	Ammaya Vanatharien	3 2	"	3	"	33	"
89	Moottia Vanatharien Vea	4	"	4	"	44	"
90	Lania Moottian Vea	5 8	"	6	"	66	"
91	Valoyda Ma Rondan	7 9	"	6	"	66	"
92	Suppany Vellayen	2 13	"	2 12	"	30 4	"
93	Palaneandy Mootharien Vea	4 8	"	4 8	"	49 8	"
94	Colandy Pathalun	4 4 8		4 4	"	46 12	"
95	Iyacoutty Mootharien	2 8	"	2 8	"	27 8	"
96	Chella Mootoo Ma Rondan	16 3	"	6	"	66	"
97	Iyah Catty Mootharien Vea	" 12	"	" 12	"	8 4	"
98	Andy Thondaman Vea	8	"	6	"	66	"
99	Iyacoutty ambalagaren Vea	15	"	6	"	66	"
100	Suppany Mootharien	5 12	"	6	"	66	"
101	Mooroonga mathilee chetty Vea	15	"	6	"	66	"
102	Vyapoory Nadan Vea	10 10	"	6	"	66	"
103	Veerapathera Pondaran Vea	7 8	"	6	"	66	"

Continued

Fanam Tables

10846

Fort St George 25th July 1850

Lascoreen Saloop (Continued)

		Cbs a O-l Cbs	a O-l Cbs	a O
104 Sungely Sathooran Vva	7 8 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
105 Thungamoottoo Areyaman	13 8 6	6 " 4	66 " 4
106 Iyarapa mathoryen	12 8 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
107 Cunnayora Thondaman	8 9 9	6 " 4	66 " 4
108 Armooga Vanatharyen	7 2 6	6 " 4	66 " 4
109 Vyapoory Calady Raman Vva	7 6 3	6 " 4	66 " 4
110 Cunnasey nadavan	5 14 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
111 Carooka Paderan	1 4 4	1 4 4	13 12 4
112 Vellaya Nadavan	8 12 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
113 Andy Panderam	5 12 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
114 Vellaya Cawndan	6 4 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
115 Vellaya Cowndan	1 4 4	1 4 4	13 12 4
116 Iyen	5 5 4	5 4 4	57 12 4
Total Lorriore Saloop	" " "	540 " "	5940 " "

Vallcondaporum Saloop

1	Ada Kela Cauttan Cangeau Vva	1 15 6	2 " 4	22 " 4
2	Ada Kela Cauttan Vva	2 3 4	2 " 4	22 " 4
3	Moottien Rangien Vva	11 9 6	6 " 4	66 " 4
4	Andeappa Cangeyen	43 1 9	15 4 "	167 12 4
5	Ada Kola Cauttan Rangayen	2 3 4	2 " 4	22 " 4
6	Anna Sholagan	3 8 4	3 8 4	38 8 4
7	Anna Mothoorayen Vva	9 6 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
8	Iyah ma Kondan	3 8 4	3 8 4	38 8 4
9	Velayady mooteryen Vva Sora Rody putty	4 15 2	5 " 4	55 " 4
10	Velayady Sembia mooterien	20 " "	7 4 "	79 12 4
11	Moorooga Thondaman son of Colanda Thondaman Tousagoody	5 13 6	6 " 4	66 " 4
12	Chella Mootoo ma Kondan son of Moorooga Ma Kondan	10 8 4	6 " 4	66 " 4
13	Arasa malawarayen Kilecottay	21 11 "	7 12 4	85 4 4
14	Chellamba Odian Vva Pasoombaloor Vada Rookaray	89 6 7½	31 12 "	349 4 "

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

				£	s	£	s	£
15	Kalamootoo Odian Vga. Ter Kootaray			74	5 1/2	26	8	291 8
16	Thethapa Odian Vga. Bathangy			22	5	8		88
17	Cataya Thondaman Thovagoody			4	15 2/2	5		55
	Total Volcondapooram Taluk					143	8	1578 8
1	Ranga Thalagan Vga.			164	13 9	24	12	272 4
2	Naika Thodian			157	10 3	23	8	258 8
	Total Volcondapooram Taluk					191	12	2109 4
1	Mootoopadiachy Thatriemdar of Elungachary			183	4	27	8	385

Abstract

448	Total Petty menearvulgars whose annual receipts are less than 100 Rupees		2654 8	29,199 8
4	Total of Individuals whose annual income exceeds 100 Rupees		96	1056
1	Throtriemdar of Elungachary			
452	Individuals Grand Total		2778	30640 8
	Amount of Men canal fees collected and now in deposite up to the 1st July 1850			14,905 12 2
	Balance of Arrears to be paid from the Government Treasury			15734 11 10

Trichinopoly Collector's Office, 10th July 1850

E. E. Fs. (Signed) E. Maltby

Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following addrss.

To,
The Secretary to
Government,

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th May 1850, Paras 3 and 4, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 10th July 1850, forwarding a revised Statement of the compensation proposed to be given to each of the men Cavilgars in that District for their suppressed Offices, prepared in accordance with the wishes of Government, expressed in Para 4 of the above orders.

2. The amount of annual compensation is raised from Rupees 2,259-5-3, as proposed in the original Statement, to Rupees 2,778-1-5, the sum sanctioned by the Government of India, the difference being employed in increasing the compensation of those Parties

56-57
1537

To the Secretary to Government.
Submitting for orders letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly forwarding a revised Statement of the compensation proposed to be given to each of the Men Cavilgars in his District in accordance with the wishes expressed by Government and conveying the Board's observations thereon.
Rec'd 26 July 1850

To Govt 13th Sept. 1849

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

whose receipts have ranged between 6492 Pr. as shewn in the Abstract given at Para 2 of the Collector's letter.

3. The arrears that were according to this scale, due to the Cavilgars at the end of Fusly 1259, amount to Rupees 30,640-8- and the fund in deposit on account of the fees realized since the suppression of their offices, to Rupees 14,905-12-2 leaving a deficit of Rx 15,734-11-10, to be paid by the State.

4. The Collector states his intention to call the Men Cavilgars before him and to ask them if they are willing to receive the compensation now proposed, the result of which he will report hereafter, but he anticipates that most of the Parties will adhere to their former refusal.

5. He also promises early attention to the other subjects referred to in the Minutes of Council and in the Proceedings of the Board, to which they were a reply.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th July 1850

/Signed/ B. Cunliff
Sub: Secretary

Read

Fort St George 25th July 1850

Read the following letter.

To, W. A. Bayley Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(58)

From the Collr of Trichinopoly
Replies to the Board's Circular letter of the 30th May on the subject of the distribution of agricultural prizes in the Trichinopoly District.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your circular letter of the 13th May accompanied by Extract from Minutes of Consultation under date the 23rd April last and to express my regret that there has been no distribution of agricultural prizes in this district.

2. Three silver Medals were sent by the Board on the 28th October 1847 for presentation to the producers of some sugar and silk, but it appears that they were locked up in the Office stationary chest by the directions of the acting Collector Mr Elliot, and they still remain unpresented. It seems that as some doubt existed regarding the parties for whom they were intended, Mr Elliot left the Distribution to Mr Onslow who had addressed the Board on the 18th June 1846 reporting the result of some experiments in Sugar and

Fort St George 25th July 1850

that he might be empowered to present honorary rewards to the parties. The subject must however have been overlooked when Mr Onslow returned from his leave and resumed charge of the District.

3. I should have thought it my duty to present the Medals now, if the records showed the persons for whom they were intended; but, Mr Onslow's letter above referred to mentions the names of 7 persons who produced samples of Sugar, and one party which brought forward some silk, while the medals are only 3 in number. The Board's letter of the 28th October 1847 mentions indeed that the medals were to be presented "to the parties to whom they have been "awarded by the Agri Horticultural "Society;" but I can find no communication to shew who these parties are.

4. The attempt to stimulate agricultural interprise by honorary rewards has not therefore been tried in this District, and although I am unwilling to offer a discouraging opinion of any such endeavours, I fear from the

Fort St George 25th July 1850

the experience of other places that an active demand and more speedy profits must exist before the production of valuable articles as sugar and silk can be successfully attempted by the agricultural classes of this district.

While the system of honorary rewards is pursued I would beg to suggest that they would be more valued in some other shape than a medal as it has too much the appearance of a badge in the Eyes of the native community such ornaments as a silver waistband or bangle with a suitable inscription would I believe be received with more gratification and be worn with pride upon the person.

Trichinopoly 10th July 1850. } I have &c. (Signed) C. Malleby Collector &c.

Resolutions thereon.

(58·59)

The Board resolve to forward to the Collector of Trichinopoly Copy of a letter addressed to the Secretary to the Agri Horticultural Society under date 26th January they hope he will be able on it with

Fort St George 25th July 1850

June 1846 to trace for whom the Medals sent from this Office on the 28th October 1847 were intended.

Read the following letters—

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(60)

From the Collector of Madura } Sir, I have the honor to return Board's Petition No. 1035 of 1850 and beg to state that it appears to have been sent here by mistake instead of to Tinnevelly to which the subject of the Petition refers.

Madura, 16th July, 1850. } I have &c. (Signed) R. D. Parker Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered that Petition No. 1035 of 1850 referred to be forwarded to the Collector of Tinnevelly.

(60·61)

To, W. A. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St George.

(62)

From the Collector of Madura } Sir, In reply to your Circular

Order

10854

Fort St George 25th July 1850

Order of the 8th Instant, I have the honor to state that there is no Military Cantonment in the Madura District.

Madura, 17th July 1850. } I Signed I R. D. Parker Collector

Order thereon

(82-63)

Ordered to lie over.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

(64)

From the Collector of Madura — Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant and the Blank Printed forms, I have the honor to forward 2 Statements the Duplicate and Triplicate of Collection &c of this District from Fasly 1245 to 1254

2. The Statement in the Triplicate called for in Para 6 of the Board's Circular of the 24th September 1849 is not submitted in consequence of no Sugar, Cotton, Indigo

10855

Fort St George 25th July 1850

Indigo and Tobacco being raised to any considerable extent in other lands than those under which they appear in the Statements now furnished.

Madura, 18th July, 1850. } I Signed I R. D. Parker Collector

10856

Fort St George

25th July 1850

Table exhibiting the Heads of certain information relative to the extent and nature of the Cultivation of Lands &c. in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul

	Extent of wet Cultivation.						Extent of Dry Cultivation.							
	Ordinary Produce.		Sugar.		Total.	Cotton.	Indigo.		Ordinary Produce.		Tobacco.		Total.	Extent of Cultivations.	Grand Total.
	Cawnies	as	Cawnies	as	Cawnies	as Cawnies	as Cawnies	as	Cawnies	as	Cawnies	as	Cawnies as	Cawnies as	Cawnies as
Fasly 1245-1835-36	73,954	5½	153	8	74,107	13½ 20,033	7½		2,90,684	13	3,10,718	4½	2,048	9¾ 9,253	5¼ 11,301 15
" 1246-1836-37	57,526	5¼	163	6½	57,689	11¾ 19,022	6	4	2,52,851	5¼	2,71,877	12¾	1,648	5 9,022	11 10,671 4
" 1247-1837-38	66,469	3¼	171	6	66,640	9¼ 20,013	13¼	3	2,34,425	13	2,54,443	9½	2,013	2¾ 10,005	8½ 12,018 11¼
" 1248-1838-39	71,215	6¾	166	7½	71,381	14½ 23,019	7½	7	273,080	5¾	296,107	4½	2,164	9½ 10,572	5½ 12,736 15
" 1249-1839-40	75,350	11	202		75,552	11 21,519	7¼	7	280,959	12¾	308,487	1	1,901	14½ 9,881	13¾ 11,783 12¼
Total	344,516	23¾	856	12	345,372	14¾ 103,608	9½	23	1338002	1¾	1441634	¼	9,776	9½ 48,735	12 58,512 5½
" 1250-1840-41	73,455	13¼	198	13	73,654	10¼ 21,187	11¾	1	284,224	6	305,413	13¼	1,940	15½ 9,755	1¼ 11,696 ¾
" 1251-1841-42	78,767	9	236	5¾	79,003	11¾ 21,415	15¼	1	295,164	11¼	316,581	13½	1,976	8 9,913	6¾ 11,889 14¾
" 1252-1842-43	78,120	4¼	178	2¾	78,298	7 22,354	4¾	1	278,349	9¼	300,705	3¼	1,911	11¾ 10,686	2¾ 12,597 11½
" 1253-1843-44	74,132	11	163	1¼	74,295	15¼ 20,337	1½		244,073	5½	264,410	11	1,893	2¾ 9,790	9 11,683 11¾
" 1254-1844-45	69,526	1	134	6¼	69,660	7¼ 19,480	4¾	5	236,457	5	255,936	11¼	2,030	10 11,314	2¾ 13,344 12¾
Total	374,002	9½	910	13	374,913	6½ 104,775	6	9	1338263	5	1443048	7¼	9,753	51,459	6½ 61,212 6½
Average of first 5 years	68903	3¾	171	5½	69,074	9¼ 20,721	11½	4	267,600	6¾	288,326	12¾	1,955	5 9,747	2½ 11,702 7½
Average of seconds 5 years	74800	8¼	182	2¾	74,982	11 20,058	1	1	267,652	10¾	288,609	11	1,956	9½ 10,291	11½ 12,242 7¾

10857

Fort St George

Land

Demand for the year

	Under Permanent Settlement	Under Lease Settlement	Under annual Settlement	Total.	Other Sources of Revenue	Grand Total.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Fasly 1245 — 1835-36	7,12,075-5-6	"	10,11,579-15-11	17,23,655-5-5	28,205-7-1	17,51,860-12-6
" 1246 — 1836-37	7,12,075-5-6	"	7,74,844-5-6	14,86,919-11-"	27,336-15-7	14,64,256-10-7
" 1247 — 1837-38	7,13,015-4-"	"	80,3988-5-5	1,06,993-9-5	28,609-10-"	1,35,603-3-5
" 1247 — 1838-39	7,13,015-4-"	"	9,05,999-12-11	17,09,015-"-"	29,138-6-"	17,38,153-6-11
" 1248 — 1839-40	7,21,515-4-"	"	10,34,502-15-8	17,56,018-3-8	28,821-3-"	17,84,839-6-8
Total	35,71,696-7-"	"	46,60,905-7-5	82,32,601-14-5	1,42,111-9-8	83,74,713-8-13
" 1250 — 1840-41	7,21,515-4-"	"	9,95,077-13-7	17,16,593-1-7	29,551-3-"	17,46,144-4-7
" 1251 — 1841-42	7,21,515-4-"	"	10,71,030-10-11	17,92,545-14-11	31,383-12-6	18,23,929-11-5
" 1252 — 1842-43	7,21,515-4-"	"	10,47,310-6-10	17,68,825-10-10	33,595-14-"	18,02,421-8-10
" 1253 — 1843-44	7,39,655-2-2	"	9,86,763-13-3	17,26,418-15-5	34,081-8-"	17,70,500-8-2
" 1254 — 1844-45	7,02,239-1-6	"	9,27,647-15-5	16,89,887-"-11	26,534-12-"	17,16,421-14-7
Total	36,86,439-15-8	"	50,27,830-12-"	87,14,270-"-11	1,45,145-"-"	88,59,417-15-7
Average of first 5 years	7,14,339-4-7	"	9,32,181-1-6	16,46,520-6-1	28,423-"-"	16,74,942-11-3
Average of 2nd 5 years	7,37,287-15-11	"	10,05,566-2-5	17,42,854-2-4	29,099-"-"	17,71,883-9-6

23rd July 1850.

Revenue.

Collection for the year current and arrears.

Grand Total.	Under Permanent Settlement	Under Lease Settlement	Under Annual Settlement	Total.	Other Sources of Revenue.	Grand Total.
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
17,51,860-12-6	6,33,591-14-6	"	10,11,850-1-6	16,45,441-13-"	28,205-7-1	16,73,647-4-1
14,64,256-10-7	6,46,925-1-1	"	7,26,164-3-10	13,73,089-4-11	27,336-15-7	14,00,426-4-6
16,35,603-3-5	7,98,274-9-5	"	8,96,246-13-9	16,94,521-7-2	28,609-10-"	17,23,131-1-2
17,38,153-6-11	7,97,459-12-4	"	10,28,892-7-3	18,26,352-3-7	29,138-6-"	18,55,490-9-7
17,84,839-6-8	8,02,767-1-1	"	10,34,502-15-8	18,37,270-"-9	28,821-3-"	18,66,091-3-9
83,74,713-8-13	67,90,018-3-5	"	46,97,656-10-"	83,76,674-13-5	1,42,111-9-8	85,18,786-7-1
17,46,144-4-7	7,18,203-5-2	"	9,95,077-13-7	17,13,281-2-9	29,551-3-"	17,42,832-5-9
18,23,929-11-5	6,95,065-14-3	"	10,71,030-10-11	17,66,096-9-2	31,383-12-6	17,97,480-5-8
18,02,421-8-10	7,07,856-11-4	"	10,05,841-13-9	17,13,698-9-1	31,440-"-5	17,45,138-9-6
17,70,500-8-2	7,40,267-4-"	"	9,99,402-2-5	17,39,669-6-5	24,154-10-10	17,63,824-1-3
17,16,421-14-7	7,95,908-13-7	"	8,84,553-4-4	16,80,462-1-11	28,440-2-10	17,08,902-4-9
88,59,417-15-7	36,57,302-"-4	"	49,53,705-13-"-8	86,13,207-13-4	1,44,969-13-7	87,58,177-10-11
16,74,942-11-3	7,36,803-10-3	"	9,39,531-5-2	16,76,334-15-5	28,422-5-2	17,03,757-4-7
17,71,883-9-6	7,31,460-6-5	"	9,91,181-2-7	17,22,641-9-"	28,993-15-6	17,51,635-8-6

10858

Fort St George

25th July 1850.

Disbursed on Public Works.

	Connected with Irrigation.		Connected with Roads not Trunk Roads.		Other works.		Total.	
	Rupees	a/o	Rupees	a/o	Rupees	a/o	Rupees	a/o
1835 36	18,942	12	726	24	269	2	19,938	4
1836 37	30,814	84	5,737	2	762	1	37,313	11 4
1837 38	26,204	87	6,858	15	2,399	4 4	35,462	12 6
1838 39	26,635	11 5	7,179	5 4	1,650	7 11	35,465	8 8
1839 40	30,759	13 9	12,923	15	221	11 10	43,905	8 7
Total	1,33,357	6 1	33,425	8 3	5,302	11 1	1,72,083	9 5
1840 41	31,162	14 8	25,247	14 1	1,851	2 11	58,261	15 8
1841 42	25,387	9	13,650	6 5	3,123	13 9	42,161	4 11
1842 43	10,280	9 8	1,650	2 9	1,218	11 5	13,149	7 10
1843 44	18,371	15 1	3,474	6 11	1,067	8 4	22,913	14 4
1844 45	27,239	12 1	706	13 5	2,198	10 4	30,145	3 10
Total	1,12,442	4 3	44,729	11 7	9,459	14 9	1,66,631	14 7
Average of first 5 years	26,671	7 7	6,685	1 8	1,060	8 8	34,417	1 11
Average of 2nd 5 years	22,488	7 3	8,945	15 1	1,891	15 9	33,326	6 1

1850. E. E. Jr. Signed P. D. Parker
Collector

Ord

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

3. On a reference to the Map of the Northern Division of Coimbatore it will appear that the road via Caurery poram, runs through a continued range of heavy Forest, interspersed with a few Villages, of which Nerinjel Pett and Shampully are situated 13 Miles apart from each other.

4. The lands applied for are distant from Nerinjel Pett: they are in the limits of Shambully and Moolacaud close together, and consist of four different pieces or parcels. 1 in Moolacaud as stated in the 1st para of the Tahsildar's Urzee, and three in Shambully as communicated in para 3 the situation of these lands, and the difficulty and expence of cultivating them, are the same - being in the heart of thick Jungle, along the line of road, over run by wild animals, the destruction of which is highly desirable. Under these circumstances I request the permission of this Board for a departure from the usual

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

usual strict terms of the Hoo Room-
namah, as respects this particular
locality, where it is of the greatest
importance to afford every facility
and encouragement to Ryots to clear
the forest.

5. I regret that my previous communication should have proved not quite explicit. My object in submitting the reports of the Tahsildar was only, as before stated, to point out to the Board the desirableness of some indulgence to new Cultivators in the unhealthy tract referred to, which, in every point of view, would seem advantageous to Government.

Coimbatore
Pt. Collector's Office
8th July 1850.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Sg. Pt. Collector.

Order thereon — Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous Correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To.

10859 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

Order thereon.

(64-65)

Ordered to be recorded. The Accounts Statements received with the foregoing letter have been found correct and will in due course be submitted to Government with similar Returns from other Districts.

Read the following letter

10860. Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(66)

From the Asst. Pr. Collr. of Coimbatore

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 24th ultimo, requiring further information respecting certain lands in the Kedivoor Talook, applied for by Ryots for cultivation

2. The Urzee of the Tahsildar dated the 30th of April last, was forwarded to the Board merely to show more fully, the necessity for making some immediate arrangements for the clearance of the heavy Jungle close to the Cauvery Poorum Road, and not for their orders, and consequently, I did not deem a particular reference to it necessary had it been otherwise, it would have been submitted to the Department of Public Works. The Tahsildar requiring Rupees 150 to be placed at his disposal for clearing the road, and the subject, therefore, being more immediately connected with that Office than with the Revenue Department.

Om-

Affording further information called for by the Board with reference to certain Jungle lands in the Annr. Talook.

10861. Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

3. On a reference to the Map of the Northern Division of Coimbatore it will appear that the road via Caurery pooram, runs through a continued range of heavy Forest, interspersed with a few Villages, of which Nerinjel Pett and Shampully are situated 13 Miles apart from each other.

4. The lands applied for are distant from Nerinjel Pett: they are in the limits of Shambully and Moolacaud close together, and consist of four different pieces or parcels. 1 in Moolacaud as stated in the 1st para of the Tahsildar's Urzee, and three in Shambully as communicated in para 3 the situation of these lands, and the difficulty and expence of cultivating them, are the same - being in the heart of thick Jungle, along the line of road, over run by wild animals, the destruction of which is highly desirable. Under these circumstances I request the permission of the Board for a departure from the

10862 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

usual strict terms of the Hoo Roomanah, as respects this particular locality, where it is of the greatest importance to afford every facility and encouragement to Ryots to clear the forest.

5. I regret that my previous communication should have proved not quite explicit. My object in submitting the reports of the Tahsildar was only, as before stated, to point out to the Board the desirableness of some indulgence to new Cultivators in the unhealthy tract referred to, which, in every point of view, would seem advantageous to Government.

Coimbatore
Pt. Collector's Office
8th July 1850.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Jr. Pt. Collector.

Order thereon — Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous Correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.

To.

10863.

Fort St. George 25th July 18

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(16 61)

To the Chief Secy to Government Submitting Correspondence with the J. Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of certain proposals made by the Ryots of the Audiore Talook to clear & Cultivate portions of the Jungles bordering the Road from Kerinjee Pett to Shampully and proposing the conditions on which the land might be granted conveying the Boards views on the subject—

Sir,

1— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence between the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore and this Office on the subject of certain proposals made by the Ryots of the Audiore Talook to clear and cultivate portions of the Jungles bordering the Road from Kerinjee Pett to Shampully, on the condition that the land should be given them free of Rent for Five years from Fasly 1260, and upon progressive assessments subsequently—

From Coimbatore 3 Inclosures 24th June 1850.
To Do. 24th June 1850
From Do. 8 Inclosures 25th July 1850

in the Margin between the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore and this Office on the subject of certain proposals made by the Ryots of the Audiore Talook to clear and cultivate portions of the Jungles bordering the Road from Kerinjee Pett to Shampully, on the condition that the land should be given them free of Rent for Five years from Fasly 1260, and upon progressive assessments subsequently—

2— A large portion of the Audiore Talook is stated to be overrun with jungle, and infested with wild Beasts so that Travellers are afraid to pass along the road between

10864.

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

Kerinjee Pett and Shampully unless in parties of forty or fifty persons, and the Tahsildar of the Talook applied to the Acting Principal Collector only a short time previous to the receipt of the proposals above alluded to, for a grant of One hundred and fifty Rupees to defray the expences of clearing the Jungle to a distance of 40 yards on both sides of the Road in question for the space of 3 Miles—

3— Under these circumstances the Board beg to recommend that the concession asked for, may be granted and that the three Parcels of Land in Shampully assessed at Rupees 33—6, and one Parcel in Moolacaud bearing a demand of Rupees 6—11, alluded to in the Tahsildars Urzee dated 20th May 1850, may be given to the applicants free of assessment for Five years, one half for the sixth and full rent for the seventh year; and that the Acting Principal Collector be authorized to follow the same course

in

10865.

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

in regard to further applications for land of a similar description.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850

} Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Read the following letter

To
W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

68

From the Collr of Malabar } Sir,

I beg to forward copy of a letter from my Head Assistant to inform the Board that I propose with their permission to make the communication suggested by Mr Robinson in his 6th Para to the Kain (Chief) and Cochin Government respectively.

2 I have had a conversation with Mr Robinson and am fully satisfied that this mission which it will be seen are those of his Predecessors are correct regarding this petty Boundary question. Justice to the Cochin Government as well as regard to the peace of the

10866.

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

it desirable that the question should be at once settled.

Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

Calicut
6th July 1850

No. 1.

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Magistrate of Malabar

Sir, With reference to my report dated 9th October 1848 relative to the boundary dispute existing between the Cochin Circar and the Nelekel Edoms atchen's family, I have the honor to submit the result of my further examination and fuller experience.

2 The appended Map shews the nature of the difference, the disputed tract is colored green. The Cochin Government claims the Alipara Tode Kulla as the boundary stream, the Atchen advances his claim to the Venga meera spur and the Meetontode Kulla.

3 By the printed Trigonometrical survey Map, this tract is shewn to have been at that time considered in dispute that is the difference though it had been the subject of a careful inquiry.

Fort St.e George 25.th July 1850.

inquiry by Commissioners appointed by
the Governments of Madras and Cochin
had not then, 1819 been authoritatively
decided. The same spot precisely was
in dispute before the Commissioners for
the Settlement of their boundary appointed
in 1815 and the bearings of the matter, the
evidence &c.a were all examined and in-
quired into at great length. The result
was, as remarked by the W. Commissioner
Vaughan of the Atchens case
"examination of all parties which
"is herewith forwarded, will
"clearly not only shew the weakness of
"the evidence but decides contradictor
"and that scarcely two of the witnesses
"agree either with one another or the
"Atchen himself. The latter seemed,
"and was so ill informed on the
"subject as well as his follow-
"ers that they could not say
"on what sides of the Hill-
"the wood was cut, and on this
"chiefly rested the matter in dis-
"pute. The over powering evidence
"and documents on the Cochin

Fort St.e George 25.th July 1850.

"side was again equally strong in this
"instance and I am therefore induced
"to propose a total relinquishment on
"our part to these Hills after having
"heard every thing advanced by both
"parties I was clearly of opinion that
"we had no claims on the Hills in
"question and on referring to Captain
"Watsons reports on the Forests of this
"part of the Country I am confirmed
"in my opinion of the Justice of the
"claims of the Cochin Government.
"I need hardly add that Captain Watson
"is the Gentleman first appointed con-
"servator of the Forests on the assump-
"tion of them by the Honorable Com-
"pany and who had turned the
"undivided attention of a fertile
"mind, combined with the most
"active exertions, in ascertaining the
"extent nature situation and re-
"sources of the Malabar Forests" The
authoritative confirmation by the
Government, of this view was recom-
mended in the Commissioner's Repor
but no definite instructions resulting

from

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

from this commission appears ever to have been issued.

4. In 1819 we find the surveyors ordered to trace with peculiar care the boundary between the Cochin territory and South Malabar acquiescing as the result of their inquiry in the probability of the Cochin claim being the better one Vide Extract from the field Book shewn in the Margin.

Course	Distance M	Fl	Y
"On descending which the Vella-ly para Well" it runs thro' Forests to the junction	S. 70 E.	"	4	110
	S. 23 E.	1	"	"
" of Wodi para & Mootam Poley Rivers there	S. 2 W.	"	3	"
the boundary is disputed but we follow	S. 54 W.	"	4	"
the more probable course i e	S. 58 E.	"	1	110
The Winding course of the Wodie	S 45 E.	2	"	"
"para River to Vellat tamoody on the	S. 70 E.	"	7	"
" high Mountains	S. 34 E.	1	1	"
	S. 62 W.	"	4	"

5. Under the circumstances I can see no reason for supporting the Atchens advanced claim which was

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

was then deliberately deemed so weak. To strengthen it nothing new has since transpired. Matters of this description lying over unadjusted lead to all sorts of difficulties and contentions and only tempt aggression and retaliation I regret to find that much wood that was in 1848 ordered not to be removed pending settlement of this matter has been clandestinely floated off. The logs lay it is true to the West of the Nulla and were probably chiefly cut in wholly undisputed land but this impossible to look effectually after wood so situated nor have the Government any interest at the stake

6 I would propose to let Itty Komby Atchen know that no sort of support will be given, or countenance lent to his claim, and that similar advances across the Olicparra tode will be admitted by us to be aggressions on his part. The Cochin Circar might be advised of this view and warned to defend their own property and take such steps as they may consider requisite

10871 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

requisite in the matter.

Malabar
Head Asst. Magte Office
Palghat
2d July 1850

Signed W. Robinson
H. Asst. Magte

True Copy
Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

Order thereon. Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(68·69)

To the Chief Secy. to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Submitting letter from the Collr. of Malabar enclosing Copy of one from his Asst. relative to the boundary dispute between the Cochin Circar and Nelikel Edom Atchens family and conveying the Board's opinion on the subject

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 6th July 1850, forwarding copy of one from his Head Assistant relative to the boundary dispute existing between the Cochin Circar and Nelikel Edom Atchens family.

This dispute was fully investigated and reported upon by Mr. Vaughan, under date of 26th April 1816, who decided in favor of the Cochin Government. In

Para 6 Ent. 1 in From Malabar 9 in Cons 21st October 1816

10872 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

From Malabar 7th February 1831 in Cons 8th March.

In 1831 it was again enquired into by Mr. Sheffield then Collector of Malabar who appeared to question the justness of Mr. Vaughan's decision, but the Board in their proceedings of 18th July 1831 considered his objection to be erroneous, and that it would not be proper to set aside the decision formerly passed without fuller enquiry and more conclusive evidence, in which view the Government concurred.

Exc. Mins Cons. 9th Augt 1831 in Cons 11th Augt.

Mr. Robinson takes exactly the same view of the case as Mr. Vaughan and proposes that Lty Thomby be taken should be informed that no sort of support will be given or countenance lent to his claim, and to advise the Cochin Government thereof in order that they may take such steps to defend their own property as they consider requisite, in which he is supported by the Collector.

With a view to bringing this long disputed matter to a close the Board beg to recommend that Mr. Conolly be authorized to make the communication suggested by Mr. Robinson to the Nair Chief & Cochin Government respectively.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Read the following Statement

Statement

10873.

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

70 Statement shewing separately the Collections Demand Collections and Balances under the several heads of Extra spared with the average Collections of the same on account of the Several items included in the Statement of Revenue from 12th July 1849 to 30th June 1850, com= prised during the preceding Fusles.

	Fusles	Salt	Abkarry	Cochrane's Canal	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	Stamps Paper and Cadjans	Grand Total
	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
From 12th July to 30th June 1256	286,490 " 11		444,680 12 9	24,882 3 7	11,919 7 3	6,747 11 6	7,44,720 4 "
1257	269,990 " "		510,409 3 10	26,294 6 11	11,542 10 9	9,400 14 6	8,27,637 4 "
1258	384,507 8 "		551,795 9 8	24,603 5 6	11,076 4 8	7,478 11 "	9,79,461 6 10
	9,10,987 8 11		1,506,885 10 3	75,780 " "	34,538 6 8	23,627 5 "	25,51,818 14 10
Average Collections	" 303,662 8 3		502,295 3 5	25,260 " "	11,512 12 11	7,875 12 4	8,50,606 4 11
Actual Collections from 12th July 1849 to 30th June 1850 1257	397,659 14 5		549,041 1 10	22,740 10 11	11,016 4 10	8397 1 6	9,88,856 1 6
	Madras 22						

July 1850 E. E. 7a / Signed J. A. Huddleston Collector

70-71 Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

10874. Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

72

Read the following Memorandum

From the Pr. Commissary of Ordnance

The Principal Commissary of Ordnance has the honor to forward to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue the articles noted in the Margin for the use of the Huzzoor Treasury Department at Tanjore agreeably to an Indent dated 9th and passed 23d July 1850 and beg to acknowledge the receipt of the same.

Scales & Beam 2 Sets
Compl. Sets 2 Sets
Beam Irons 2 Sets
Standards 2 Sets No 1.

Arsenal
Fort St. George
25th July 1850.

Signed T. W. Weingates Lt. Col.
Principal Commissary
of Ordnance

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Ordered that the following Memorandum be sent in reply.

Memo

72 73

To the Pr. Commissary of Ordnance

The Sub Secretary Board of Revenue has the honor to return to the Principal Commissary of Ordnance the Scales and Beam intended for the use of the Huzzoor of the Tanjore District and requests that the may be forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore in the usual course at an early opportunity

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 26th July 1850

Signed B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Resolved

10875 Fort St. George 25. July 1850

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

74

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 5th December 1848 the Board of Revenue called upon the Collectors of the Districts noted in the Margin to furnish Special Reports of the Cultivation &c. of Cotton in their respective Districts at the close of each Fusly.

Submitting the reports of the Collectors of the several Cotton growing Districts on the Cultivation of Cotton in their respective Districts for Fusly 1258 with the Boards remarks.

Inbound 8th January 1849
Pro. 18th January 1849

Guntoor
Cuddapah
Kurnool
Bellary
Madura
Coimbatore
Tinnevelly

7. I am now directed to submit for the information of Government, the accompanying letters specified in the Margin, which have been received from the Collectors on the above subject, in respect to the Cultivation &c. of Cotton during Fusly 1258, of which the following is an abstract

Guntoor

3. The extent of Circar land applied to the Cultivation of Cotton in this District during Fusly 1258, was Acres 49715 assessed at

F. Guntoor 11th June Inbound 14th July 1850
F. Tinnevelly 8th " Inbound 22d Novr 1849
F. Coimbatore 7th Inbound 17th Jany 1850
F. Kurnool 7th Inbound 28th Feby 1850
F. Madura 8th Inbound 14th March 1850
F. Bellary 6th Inbound 29th April 1850
F. Cuddapah 13th Inbound 18th April 1850

10876

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

at Rupees 72,642, of Sunna Enam lands Acres 8114, and of Enam lands paying Quit Rent Acres 27,770, assessed at Rupees 12,452, making a total of acres 85,099, assessed at Rupees 85,094-9-6. The extent of cultivation is stated to have been ascertained from the actual examination of the fields—

Tinnevelly

5. The extent of Cultivation in this District during Fusly 1258, was 24,436 Sqr feet 15,84,04-36 acres. Chains, assessed at Rupees 76,380 being a slight increase on the previous year. The Crop is also reported to have been more favorable. Due publicity has been given to the recommendations of Government, in Para 12 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of 5th December 1848, as regards the advantage the Ryots would derive by attending to the careful culture picking and cleaning of Cotton. Measures were also taken for ascertaining the additional quantity of land in the chief Cotton growing Talook that might be brought under Cultivation if a remission of Tax were allowed, and the Tahsildar reported that Poonjah Waste land of 10-20-& 30 years standing to the extent of 1167 chains are available, which the Ryo

* The "chain" being

F 1257—19083 Candies
F 1258—21522 "
Increase 2439

Pt. George 25 July 1850

Offe

Coimbatore

Bullah is

Dindigul

Kurnool

Per

of R

Sub-secretary
Resolved.

10877

Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

offer to Cultivate on a remission of half Teerwah on lands bearing a superior assessment, and of one fourth on those paying an inferior rate. The Ryots of other Talooks are expected to make similar proposals, but the Collector is of opinion that the remission of Teerwah is not likely alone to cause any material extension of Cultivation, a certain market and remunerating prices are required, and would be sufficient to direct enterprize into this Channel. The experiments with foreign seed appear to have failed, in some places they did not even come up.

5. The extent of Cultivation in this District during Fusly 1258 is as follows

Sqr Feet 1,66,464 Acres 3-82 Government land Poonjah and Garden 20731 Bullahs, Nunjah 209 Cawnies assessed at Rupees 122888, and of Enam land 2446 Bullahs assessed at Rupees 792. The produce is estimated at 32200 Candies, of which 9,600 Cs are consumed by the Growers, and 22600 Cs sold in the mark

6. The extent of land on whe Cotton was grower in Kurnool during Fusly 1258, was 86368 acres, of which 3207

a

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

acres were Enams. The crop appears to have fallen short of the quantity expected, owing to a blight it is supposed that it reached 25,700 Maunds. The experiments with foreign Seed appear to have failed in a great measure, but the agent wishes to make a further trial, and asks for a fresh supply of Seed.

Madura
cawnies
Fusly 1257 - 53201
Fusly 1258 - 50846
Decrease 2355.

7. In this District there has been a decrease in the extent of land cultivated with cotton as compared with Fusly 1257. It is stated to have been 50,846 cawnies, assessed at Rupees 10214 of which 17,482 cawnies are kistvar 2674 cawnies Enam and 30689 cawnies Zemindary Lands. The falling off is attributed to the unfavorable season, the supply of Rain in the chief cotton Divisions having proved insufficient. No experiments were carried on in Foreign cotton during Fusly 1258 on account of Government, but the collector alludes to those made by Mr. Fondclaire and Mr. Finnie, as being unsatisfactory.

Bellary

8 The extent of land sown with cotton in this district during Fusly 1258 was 270,257 acres, assessed at Rupees 372329

Fort St. George — July 1850

Resolved

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

of which 165,094 acres were kistvar, and 105,163 acres Enam lands. The Ryots have been advised to pay more attention to picking and cleaning, and experiments to improve the staple by introducing Foreign Seed have been made, and still are in progress. A copy of a Special Report on this latter subject from the Acting Collector to Dr. Wight accompanies his letter. The first experiment was unfortunate but a second has been made at Dr. Wights recommendation, the result of which has not yet been reported.

Cuddapah

9 The special Report from this District is confined to its capabilities for the cultivation of new orleans cotton, but in the annual Settlement Report the extent of land cultivated with cotton during Fusly 1258, is stated to be 87,309 acres, being an increase of 12568 acres compared with the previous Fusly. The success of the Foreign Seed cotton does not appear to have been much greater in this than in other Districts, as it requires more ture than the seasons generally lent in Cuddapah, can lead to be ted. There, however, appears to be

Demand
accounts
Aug
Permanent Establishment
of Paramount Charges
Total Rupees

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

little doubt that this Cotton may be produced to any extent under irrigation but without a ready demand for the pro=duce, and Assistance from Capitalists in the shape of advances, the Ryots are not likely to give it a preference over indi=genous Cotton—

10. The Statements furnished by the several Collectors, are not uniform, and it has consequently been found impracti=cable to draw up a General Statement therefrom for Submission with this Re=port, to prevent a recurrence of which a form of Statement has been circulated to the Collector for adoption in future

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850.

(Signed) B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

75

To The Chief Secretary
to Government

Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government, conveyed in Extra from Minutes of Consultation of the 1st October 1836, I am directed by the Boa Revenue to submit the Broken Set with Proceedings for the Month Fusly 1258 transmission to the Hon Rupees 372329

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 25th July 1850

(Signed)
Sub Secretary

Resolved

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

Resolved that the follo

letters be dispatched

To The Collector of
Masulipatam

76

To the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

Inform: 18th July 1850

Pointing out certain irregularity in the Printed Form returned with his letter of 8th July 1850 and requesting him to show the Correspond= ence for each item in the annual settlement and the Summahbundy accounts with the Printed Table for any one year say Fusly 1254 in a separate Statement.

I am directed to acknowle receipt of your letter dated 8th July 1850 an in reply to state that the printed form returned therein, having been filled up as you remark with reference to the Gover=ment Estates, whether under Aumany or rented, and your Jummabundy account referring exclusively to the former, there are consequently no means of verifying the return, It would therefore be better to confine it strictly to what is borne on the annual accounts of Cultivation— you will also please to state whether the Putty is of the same extent throughout the District and how many square feet it contains—

2 The Demand and Collection under the head of Permanent Settlement agree with the Demand Collection and Balance Statements, but those under annual settlements do not either with these or with the Jummabundy accounts.

Demand as per Summabundy accounts of Fusly 1254.		
Aun - - - - -	Rp. 93241	12.5
Permanent Assist or a moiety of Maramut Charges.	490	9.3
Total Rupees	93732	5.8

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

Brought Over Total Rs. 93132—5—8.

As per Printed Statement Annual Settlement 92005—15—11

other Sources 1114—4—6 93120—4—5

Difference Rs. 612—1—3

Collection As per D C B Statements —— Rs. 1,34,386—9—3

As per Printed Statement Annual Settlement 90218—2—9

other Sources 1114—4—6 91332—7—3

Difference Rs. 43054—2—0

and other Sources of Revenue"

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850. } / Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

To The Collectors of
Guntoor Cuddapah Kurnool
Bellary Madura Coimbatore
& Tinnevelly

77

To the Collectors of Guntoor Cuddapah Kurnool Bellary Madura Coimbatore Tinnevelly ——

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 18th January 1849, I am directed to request you will furnish with your annual Reports of the Cultivation of Cotton in your District a Statement in the accompanying form shewing the extent

Continued.

You should therefore shew the correspondence, for each item, between both these accounts and the Printed Table, for any one year, say Fusly 1254, in a separate Statement, particularizing at the same time the several items comprised under each of the heads of "Annual Settlement and other Sources of Revenue"

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850. } / Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Fort St. George 25th July 1850

extent in acres of Circar and Enam Lands so employed, and the amount of assessment thereon—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850. } / Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

No. 1.

Statement shewing the total extent of land Cultivated with Cotton in the District— Fusly

No. Taluk	Circar land		Sunna Enams	Enams paying Quit Rent		Total	
	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Acres	Quit Rent assessment	Acres	Assessment and Quit Rent
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Fort St. George 25th. July 1850.

To

The Acting Collector of

Bellary

(78)

To the Acting Collr. of Bellary
2 Cons 18th July 1850.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 10th. July 1850, and to inform you that the two Statements enclosed therein have proved on examination correct.

The two remaining Statements of the Cultivation of Sugar Cotton &c. promised in your letter of the 1st. December 1849, have not yet been furnished, and your early attention thereto is requested.

Para 4.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th. July 1850.

(Signed) B. Lushington
Sub Secretary

Official Memorandum

Memo
To the Collr. of Madras

(79)

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Collector of Madras will be so good as to furnish him with information on the following points, connected with the Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1259.

1 The quantity in Gallons of Columbo Arrack Sold.

The

Resolved.

Fort St. George 25th. July 1850.

2 The Amount realized on the Sale of Ditto and rate per Gallon.

3 Cost of purchase of Ditto and rate per Gallon.

4. Proportion of Abkarry Establishment &c. Charges debitable to Columbo Arrack.

5. Number of Columbo Arrack Shops, with their Classification, such as.

10 1st. class Shops purchasing 5 Gallons per diem

20 2d. class ditto purchasing 4 Gallons per diem &c.

Similar

10885 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

6. Similar information with respect to Puttah Arrack Shops within the limits of the Supreme Court, substituting cost of Manufacture for cost of purchase.—

7. Also, the same information respecting Puttah Arrack Shops beyond the limits of the Supreme Court.

It is requested that the above information may be furnished as early as possible.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th July 1850.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

To

10887 Fort St. George 25th July 1850.

To, The Commissary General

80

Sir,

To the Commissary General

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 23rd March 1850, requesting information as to the rates at which Arrack and Toddy are sold to the Natives by Civil Abkaree Renters in the neighbourhood of certain or Military Stations.

In Cons 11th April 1850

2. A reference was accordingly made to the Collectors of the Districts noted in the Margin the result of which is shewn in the accompanying Tabular Statement:

From North Arcot 25th April in Cons 2nd May 1850.
From Trichinopoly 18th in Cons 25th April "
From Malabar 5th in Cons 13th June "
From Bellary 22nd April in Cons 2nd May "
From Masulipatam 10th in Cons 18th July 1850.

3. The existing rates are not considered to be sufficiently high to check consumption, nor to hold out any great inducement for illicit manufacture, although it is known to take place, and doubtless would continue to do so, in the vicinity of Military Stations, whatever were the rates of the Abkaree Renters.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th July, 1850.

/ Signed / B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary

Prices

10888 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

No. 1.

Prices of Arrack and Toddy in the undermentioned Districts.

Districts.	Ceylon Arrack.	Country Arrack. Gal.	Bottle	Toddy. Pot of Yucha 15 Seers.	Seer.	Lottee.	Bottle
North Arcot	None	24 fanams	"	6 fanams	"	"	"
Arcot & Ranneped	Do.	"	"	10 fanams	"	"	"
Trichinopoly	Do.	14 As.	"	"	6 Pice	"	"
Malabar	Do.	"	1 As. 7½ P.	"	"	"	4 Pice
Masulipatam	Do.	"	4 As.	"	"	2 As.	"
Bellary	"	"	4 Fs.	"	4 & 6 Pice	"	"

81 From the Secretary to the Govt. Savings Bank. Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the month of June.

81-82 Order thereon. Resolved that the necessary order be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

83 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Detailed Moyen zabitah of his District as they stood on the 30th April 1850.

84 From the Acting Collector of South Arcot. Detailed Moyen zabitah of his District as it stood on the 30th April 1850.

83-85 Order on the abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be record

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From

10889 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

86 From the Collector of North Arcot. Abstract Statement shewing the Number of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June last.

87 From the Acting Collector of South Arcot. Abstract Statement shewing the Number of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June last.

86-88 Order on the two abovementioned Statements. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an Abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

89 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the month of May last.

90 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the month of June last.

91 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the month of May last.

92 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the month of June last.

Ordered

89-93 Order on the 4 abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

94 From the Collector of Guntoor.. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last.

95 From the Collector of Guntoor.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last.

96 From the Collector of Nellore.. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last.

97 From the Collector of Nellore.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last..

98 From the Acting Collector of Bellary.. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last..

99 From the Acting Collector of Bellary.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last..

100 From the Collector of Cuddapah.. Return of Persons tried for Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of April last..

101 From the Collector of Cuddapah.. Return of Persons convicted and in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the months of April last..

From

102 From the Collector of Cuddapah. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of April last..

103 From the Collector of North Arcot.. Return of Persons Charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last..

104 From the Collector of North Arcot.. Return of Persons convicted and in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of June last..

105 From the Collector of North Arcot.. Return of village Officers Suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of June last..

106 From the Collector of North Arcot.. Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th June last..

107 From the Collector of North Arcot.. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed from employment for the Quarter ending 30th June last..

108 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of June last..

109 From the Collector of Trichinopoly.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of June last..

110 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the month of June last..

From

10892 Fort St. George 25th July 1850

111 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that no persons were charged with offences or Confined under Regulation

112 IX of 1822 for the month of June last.
From the Collector of Madura.. Abstract of Demand Collection

113 and Balance for the month of June last.
From the Collector of Madura.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt

114 for the month of June last.
From the Collector of Madras.. Abstract of Demand

115 Collection and Balance for the month of June last.
From the Collector of Madras.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and

116 Salt for the month of June last.
From the Accountant General.. Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the month

116-117 of August 1850.

Order thereon.. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and Returned..

Comp. Don. Gibb

" Woldingham

" [illegible]

10893 Fort St. George — July 1850.

Diary.

26th July.— Received the following Memorandum.

1

From the Acting Dy. Secy. to Government .....

Official Memorandum.

The Acting Deputy Secretary to Government has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue will be pleased to forward to this Office for reference the report of the Collector of Madras adverted in the Endorsement of the Board of Revenue dated 20th June 1850. on Petition No. 913 of 1850.

Fort St. George 26th July 1850. } /Signed/ J. D. Sim
Ag. Dy. Secy. to Govt.

27th Sent the following Memorandum.

1-2

From the Actg. Dy. Secy. to Government.....

Official Memorandum.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward to the Acting Deputy Secretary to Government the report of the Collector of Madras referred to in his Official Memorandum of yesterday's date.

Inward Dy. Cons. 29th July 1850.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th July 1850..... } /Signed/ B. Cunliffe
Sub Secretary.

Received

10894

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

20th Received the following letter.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

3

From the Collr of Madura ... } Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Board of Revenue will procure me leave of absence for one month under Section XI (amended) of the Absentee Rules, to visit the Pulney Hills I have reason to suppose that my absence at this time will not be attended with inconvenience to the public service.

Madura ... } (Signed) R. D. Parker
July 22d 1850 } Collector.

Order thereon. 27th Ordered to be submitted thro' the channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

3-4

5

Sent the following Memorandum.

To the Collr of Madras ..... }

Memorandum

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to request that the Collector of Madras will be so good as to furnish him with a Statement shewing the Gross Collections charges and Net Revenue on account of Cochrane Canal for fusly 1259.

Revenue Board Office ...
Fort St. George, 27th July 1850 } (Signed) B. Cuthliffe
Sub Secretary.

At

95

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Monday ... } 29th July ... } 1850 ..

At a Meeting Present.

J. Goldingham Esqre Second Member.
and.
J. L. Blane Esqre Third Member.

Walter Elliot Esqre Senior Member

Absent on duty as Commissioner Northern Circars.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation. under date the 19th July 1850. No 86.

6

(From Board 1st July)

the Secretary to Government. Resolved that the Collector of Salem be authorized to disburse to the sons of the late Head Serishtadar annajer Row the difference of Salary between that last drawn and the highest rate for an Officer of his class to which the deceased became entitled from the 12th March 1850.

(Signed) J. Dyeroft.
Secretary to Govt.

6-7

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to Mr. Mottby's letter dated 18th June 1850.

In Cons. 1st July 1850.

Extract

10896

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 19th July 1850. No. 685.

(From Board 1st July)

8

From the Secretary to Govt.

Resolved that the Collector of Tanjore be authorized to disburse to his Head Sheristadar Goondapah from the 1st May 1830, the higher rate of Salary of Rupees "525" per mensem to which he has become entitled under the Standing Rules.

"Five hundred and twenty five"

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

8-9

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 19th June 1850.

In Cons. 1st July 1850.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 19th July 1850. No. 688.

10

(From Board 8th July)

From the Secretary to Government.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the Sayer Rowannahs returned by the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore being torn and soiled as refuse paper and for the value being written off to Profit and Loss Viz. Rupees 29318 twenty nine thousand three hundred and eighteen annas Six.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered

10897

Order thereon.

10-11

Cons. 8th July 1850.

12

From the Secretary to Government.

Fort St. George 29th July 1850

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Superintendent of Stamps with reference to his letter dated 3d July 1850.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th July 1850. No. 693.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 4th July 1850. No. 284)

1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council concurs in the opinion of the Board of Revenue that it should be left to Nuneefa Bebee the Daghiredar of Codumpaukum to make such provision as she may see fit for the son of the Petitioner and that the matter is not one in which the Government are called upon to interfere.

2. Ordered that the following Endorsement be given to the Petitioner.

"Petitioner is informed that he must look to the Daghiredar Nunnefa Begum for such provision as she may see fit to make for his son and that the Government cannot interfere in the case."

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Govt.

Ordered

10898

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Order thereon-
12-13

In Cons 4th July 1850.

From the Secy to Government
14

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is reply be communicated for the information of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to Mr. Conway's letter dated 18th June 1850.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 20th July 1850. No. 697.-

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Saderwared and Extra charges incurred in Fusly 1258 in the Districts specified in the foregoing List, amounting to Rupees (29,335 — 2.) Twenty nine thousand three hundred and thirty five and Pice two and also the estimated advances for Fusly 1260 for cultivation and for the manufacture of Salt in certain Districts, as well as for the purchase of Salt and Tobacco in Canara amounting together to Rupees (2,33,857 — 8 —) Two Lacs, thirty three thousand Eight hundred and fifty Seven and Annas Eight.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secy. to Govt.

14-15

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Extract

10899

...om the Secy to Government
16

Fort St. George 29th July 1850

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d July 1850. No. 703.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

(Here enter 27th June 1850 No. 269)

1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the Board of Revenue should at the time have enforced obedience to their orders of 3d July and 11th August 1845 for the repayment to Balajee Row of his full arrears of Salary, and on non compliance should have brought the conduct of the Collector to the notice of Government considering however the length of time that has since elapsed and during which the claim has been allowed to lie dormant, the Government agree with the Board that it cannot now be recognized.-

2. Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No. 515 of 1850.-

Petitioner is informed that his application cannot be granted.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon.-

In Cons 27th June 1850.

16-17

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Acting Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 11th June 1850.-

Esctract

10900

Fort St. George 29th July 1850

From the Secy. to Government

18

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th July 1850. No. 137.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 8th July 1850 No. 290)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charge of Rupees (10-8-0) ten and annas Eight retrenched from the accounts of the Chingleput District for Fusly 1256, and to obviate a similar retrenchment in the accounts of the following years he confirms the arrangement under which four Ferrymen instead of three are employed at the Cullar river near Carangooly.-

2. The Board of Revenue will require the Collector to furnish through the Department of Public Works a Statement shewing the different Ferries, the number of Boat men employed and the annual charge incurred on their account under the sanction of Government dated 12th June 1838. No. 872- and to report whether arrangements have been found practicable for renting these Ferries.-

To the President and Members. of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Chief Secretary

18.19

Order thereon.

In Cons 8th July 1850.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 22d June 1850. The report required by para 2 will be called for by the Department of Public Works.-

901

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

20

From the Collector of Masulipatam ---

With reference to the Board's Proceedings under date 18th February last I have the honor to submit in English and Telugoo a revised Statement of Estates which have reverted to Government since the permanent Settlement and those still under Management whether for arrears of revenue or other cause.-

Masulipatam, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry at Goodevaadah, 16th July, 1850.

(Signed) R. T. Porter.
Collector.

Statement

Fort St. Geo[illegible] July

A

[illegible]erted to Government since the Permanent Settlement and [illegible] which Shewing the details of the Estates which have rev[illegible]

1	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion	Average Assets on which the Perma. Settlement was made.	Amount of Perma. Settlement Peish. cush.	Settlement for July 1257.	Arrears on account [illegible] Estate was sold or s[illegible]				[illegible] Charges [illegible] Sibbe[illegible]	[illegible] total	17
	10	11	12	13	14		15		16		
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P		Rs. A P		Rs. A P
	Reverted Estates										
	Public auction for arrears of Revenue and purchased on acco[illegible]										
37	Garalagudda Meenanah	5,464 2 8	4,214 2 11	3,274 14 11	14,321 14 3		4,185 15 10	" "		[illegible]ron	18,707 14 1
	Auttoonoory Seetiah	4,116 4 11	3,348 1 5	2,319 5 3	13,703 8 11		3,678 4 1	" " "		[illegible]	381 13 [illegible]
	Canara Venkiah [illegible]ramana	186 9 8	451 15 1	155 14 6	15,73 7 2		464 5 8	" "			1,037 12 10
	Lalé Maune[illegible] dallah Punjiah	357 9 5	676 13 1	319 14 "	2,314 12 5		797 4 8	" "			3112 1 1
	Cannadana Pauppia Row	1,2847 5 8	81,333 " "	88452 " 9	3,13,324 12 5		1,58,593 15 7	" "			47,918 12 "
	[illegible]oorarany [illegible]enkatapully row	36639 " "	8,703 1 10	20,363 7 10	23,983 12 11		21,074 9 9	" "		Goodewah Talook and Flycaloor Talook	45,008 6 8
	D[illegible] D[illegible]		8,702 2 7	13,699 14 4	2,6070 3 7		23,099 2 6	" "			51169 6 1
			17,405 4 5								

1	Name of the Taloo[illegible] in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Zemindaries /	Proprietory Estates.	No. of entire Mootahs included in the Estate.	No. of subdivided Mootahs and Villages included in the Estate. Name of Subdivided Mootah or Village.	No. of Mootahs.	No. of Villages.	Date of reversion to Government	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	Padanah Talook	"	Proprietory	4	Padanah Mootah	1	"	12th July	Sold at
					Vadapatuna Mootah	1	"	D[illegible]	
					Cuppala doddy	"	1	1st Octo	
					Vurtulla pully	"	1	1st Nov[illegible]	
						2	2		
					Vinnacottah and Goode[illegible] wadah Purganah	1	"	1st April	
	Goodewah Talook and Flycaloor Talook	Ancient	"	3	Flykaloor Mootah	1	"	1st Janu[illegible]	
					Bhooja[illegible] balapatam Mootah	1	"	D[illegible]	Gen
						3	"		

108

10902

Statement A

July 1850

Statement and ... which are still held by their respective Proprietors and of those under attachment in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Names of the Estates.	Charges of Sible ...	Amount of Purchase Money.	allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor	Date of sale being sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
1	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
		C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P		
	Government.						
	... on acco...	8707 14 1	4300 " "	180 " "	" " "	17th June 1837	
1 Tadanah. Pu... -ganah.	"	381 13 "	300 " "	" " "	" " "	Do.	
	"	2037 12 10	600 " "	" " "	" " "	11th Sept. 1837	
	"	3112 1 1	3160 " "	" " "	" " "	6th April 1846.	
	"	47,918 12 "	30,000 " "	12000 " "	" " "	9th March 1844.	The original Peishcush on the Vinnacotah and Goodavadah Purganahs forming the Charnahal Zemindary was Rupees 93,779-11-8 but it was reduced to Rupees 81,333 in
2 Charnahall	"	45,008 6 8	55,000 " "	" " "	" " "	2nd November 1846	
	"	51169 6 1	37,000	" " "	" " "	Do.	Fusly 1241 by Government.

10903

Fort St. George 29th July 1851

Names of the Estates.	Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Zemindaries.	Proprietory Estates.	No. of entire Mootahs included in the Estate	Name & No. of subdivided Mootahs or Villages.	No. of Mootahs.	No. of Villages.	Date of reversion to Govern.t	Date of which State is noted.	Ancient Zemindaries.	Proprietory Estates.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2	3	4
3 Padapaudoo Mootah	Ellore Talook	Ancient	Proprie								
4 Mylavaram Purganah	Bezoorah	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5 Toommedy Purganah	Padanah	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6 Chintalapoody Purganah	Tirwoor	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7 Ellore Moota	Ellore	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8 Cavaly	Do.	Do.	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9 Malacacherla Mootah	Do.	Do.	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10 Condapully Purganah	Bezorah	Do.	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11 Nanala Mootah	Nundegamah	Do.	Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1 " " "	1th Bezoarah and Nundegamah										Ancient Proprietory
1 Valavala Mootah											
1 Toommedy Mootah											-prietory
"											roprietory
2 Ne.	Allowance granted by Govern.t to the Sirkar Isla-ment Mootah to the Proprietor of the Purganah per year.	Allowance payable by	Date of sanctioned by	Remarks.							roprietory
3 Zemindary Sirkar Isla-ment Mootah to the Proprietor of the Purganah per year.											
4 Ancolanum Purganah	19 ½ A.P.	20 £192 A.P.	21	22							Do.
5 Culdindy Purganah	"	"	"	"	14th Sept.r 1844.						Do.
"	"	"	"	"	7th Nov.r 1846.						
"	"	"	Do.	Do.							
"	"	"	Do.	Do.							

Fort St. George.

Reverted to Government from the war

Names of subdivided Mootahs and Villages included in the Estate	No. of Mootahs.	No. of Pallegars.	No. of Villages.	Date of reversion to Government.	Names of the present Proprietor or Proprietors	Average assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Amount of Permanent Settlement.	Settlement for Fusly 1257.	Remarks.
							C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
Calavacolanoo				12th July 1846	Lutchmenurrasamah	54,262	36,183	46,339 3 9	
Corvecollum Mootah	1	"	—	Do.	Do.	Do.	17,786 6 4	11,734 15 11	18,704 13 3
Chinna Pondracan Mootah	1	"	1	1st Jany. 1847	Sooranary Venkata Putty Row	3284 14	6013 15	3757 9 9	
"	"	"	1	1st May 1849	Vaseeredy Nunnaka Bauboo	15,840 11 9	10,500	8,002 10	
"	"	"	—	Do.	Do.	Do.	8,617	8,750	8,707 12
"	"	"	—	Do.	Do.	Do.	8576		
"	"	"	—	Do.	Do.	Do.	2677		
"	"	"	—	Do.	Do.	Do.	5		

July 1850

years in account of which Estate was sold or re rented.	Charges of Sibbendy.	Total.	Amount of Purchase Money.	Allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor.	Date of Sale being sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
Fasleeyah.							
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P	C Rs. A P		
4							
Bidders.							
11	7	12,16,448	"	"	30,750	3 3000	" " " " " " 19th June 1846
7	2	30,33,013	4	"	"	10,849 4	" " " " " " Do.
6		13,34,371	3 12 8	"		March 1847	" " " " " " 2nd Nov. 1846
						Do.	
						Do.	
		851 9 11	12,84,733	1	"	800 " " " " " 18th April 1849	This allowance was granted to the Zemindar of Chintala Panty Chuntoo a dependant Talooke in the Nundegamah Zemindary
		380 4 4	31,920	" 11		18th April 1849	" " " " " " 18th April 1849
						Do.	" " " " " " Do.
				1	"	Do.	" " " " " " Do.
				1	"		" " " " " " Do.

10905

Fort St. George

July 1850.

Names of the Estates.	Names of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Zemindary	Proprietary Estates.	No. of entire Mootahs included in the Estate.	No. of Mootahs or shares included in the Estate.	No. of Mootahs or shares divided and not included in Estate.	Date of reversion to Government.	Names of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Average assets on which the Permanent settlement was made.	Amount of Permanent Peishcush.	Settlement for July Sum 1257.
1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
									C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P

Surrendered to Government on account of arrears of Public revenue or

Chanoobunda Village	Tirmoor Taloke	Ancient	"	1	"	"	"	1st May 1848	Late Ivopully Panpanah row	17328	"	787	8	2480	19	"

2 Estates still held by their Proprietors.

Under Management of the Zemindars.

Nedadavole Zemindary	Ralunghy Taloke	"	Proprietary	"	Edadale Purganah	1	"									
	Ellore Do	"		"	Emberpelly Mootah	1	"		Makatanah Apparow	2223913	8	137	6	"	"	"
	Rykaloor Do	"		"	Bahargully Purganah	1	"									
	Ellore Taloke	"		"	Thungella moody Mootah	1	"		Do Simhadry Apparow	1019214	4	5591	"	"	"	"
	Goodevadah and Noonnaatalum Talooks	"		"	Chavaadra Mootah	1	"		Do Venkatadry Apparow	8930	8	3218	"	"	"	"
	Ellore and Ralunghy Talooks	"		"	Goondra golanoo & Ponta paudoo Mootah	2	"		Do Soobanadry Apparow	99101	4	5 61315	"	"	"	"
										3325240		5 21000	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

July 1850

Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Gemin: dasses.	Proprietory Estates.	No. of entire Mootahs included in the Estate.	No. of Sub: divided Mootahs or Villages.	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Average of the years on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Amount of Peishcush.	Settlement for Fuoly 1257.	Annexed Estate. Principal.	Amount of which the Proprietor or surrendered. Charges Fotal.	Amount allowance granted to the Proprietor by Government to the proprietor for years.	Allowance payable to the Proprietor.	Date of Salebeing sanctioned by relations by Government to the Proprietor.	Remarks.
2	3	4	5	6		11	12	13		16	17	18	19	20
						₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P	₹ Rs A P
Gunnatalum and Capully and Rulla Ally Talooks	Ancient	"	6	"	Maka Soobia Nadry Appia Row	175991 8	98000 " "							
Kalpetta Talook	Do	"	1	"	Keesara Cunda ramiah	21396 " "	4497 8 "							
Nirwoor Do	Do	"	1	"	Wooteser Poroo shotum	1207 8 "	728 " "							
Do Do	Do	"	1	"	Wellunky Venkatarama enaotna Gopal row	1225 " "	1312 8 "							
Do Do	Do	"	1	"	Wellunky Lutchme Venkia row	1225 " "	1312 8 "							
Do Do	Do	"	1	"	Do Rama krietna Row	2439 8 "	2219 " "							
Do Do	Do	"	1	"	Do Atchama Row	2439 8 "	2219 " "							
Do Do	Do	"	"	"	Do Venkata uroima row and Venkata Gopal row.	2786 " "	1316 " "							
Do Do	"	Proprietory	1	"	Pandeecherla Narsimharoydoo Seava roydoo Seada naroydoo and Lobiaroydoo.	2439 8 "	2219 " "							

10907.

Fort St. Ge... July 1850.

Names of the Estates.	Names of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Zemindary Estates.	Proprietory Estates.	Whether subdivided into Mootahs and Villages included in the Estates.	No. of Mootahs.	No. of Villages.	Date of reversion to Government.	Names of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Average assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Total.	Amount of Surchaye money.	Allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	17	18	19	20
11 Malacacherla Mootah	Ellore Talook		Proprietory.										
				Tenmana Goodem	"	1	"	Candeecherla Yuroomharoydoo Cavavaroydoo Soodursanaroydoo & Sooliaroydoo	196 1311	"	"	"	"
				Narraim poorum Mootah	"	1	"	Sait Breejee mo: hundoss	5023 2 8	"	"	"	"
				Malaca cherla Mootah	"	1	"	Do Do	1888 13 1	"	"	"	"
				Doodda poody	"	1	"	Do Do	285 10 "	"	"	"	"
				Nudla putta vroola num	"	1	"	Kalioli Noor neeca Bagum	175 6 2	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Muntepragada Narahary & Muttiah	1431 8 "	4	"	"	"
				" " " "				Bommadavara Naganah Naidoo	284 2 8 "	20	"	"	"
				" " " "				Do Do	267 2 8 "	21	"	"	"
				" " " "	1	"	"	Do Do	9995 6 4 7 6	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Vellory Venkata Lutchmanah	365 4 7 4 2 9	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Bhagavatoola Yegganah &c.	" " 23	"	"	"	"
12. Lingagerry Purganah	Juggiapett Talook	Ancient											
Goodoor Do Nulloor	Bunder Do	"											
Samut Vasunta Mootah	Noonatalum Do	"	Proprietory										
	Ellore Do	"	Do										
Samut Veerabheemnoo Doorhee poody	Bunder Do	"	Do										
	Chullapully Talook	Ancient	Do										

Fort St. Geo. July 1850.

An account of which the Estate was sold or surrendered.

Date of reversion to Government.	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Average assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Amount of Peishcush.	Settlement for Fasly 1257.	Charges Principal Interest.	Total.	Amount of Purchase Money.	Allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor.	Date of sale being sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
		₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P		
"	Sameeta Lutchmy Narsima Naidoo	738 12 10	468 15 6	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
"	Late Soonanny Venkatapatty Row	213 12 "	138 11 5	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
"	Maka Soobha nadry Appa Row	" " "	46 " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		

Statement of the Collector on account of attachment for arrears of Public Revenue.

"	Jerlagudda Anke Needoo	15406 "	85550	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	The Peishcush of this Estate fixed at the permanent settlement was Rupees 102,690 but it has lately been reduced to ₹ 85,550 under the orders of the Government of India.
"	Nullunky Venkata Gopaul row and Venkata Rama Row	4210 8 "	3237 8 "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
"	Late Ware gaudoo Lutchmy dawanah	9271 8 "	4886 " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		

10909

Fort St. George July 1850.

Names of the Estates.	Name of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient or Zemindary	Proprietory Estates.	No. of entire Mootahs or Villages in the Estate.	Names of subordinate Mootahs or Villages in the Estate.	No. of Mootahs or Villages.	Name of the present Proprietor or Proprietors	Average amount of Peishcush payable by the Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Amount of Permanent Peishcush which the settlement was made.	Settlement for Fusly 1257.	Arrears on account of which the Estate was sold or surrendered. Principal.	Interest.	Charges.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14 15 16 17
														Rs. As. Ps. Rs. As. Ps. Rs. As. Ps. Rs. As. Ps.
Under Management of the Collector under orders of the Civil Court.														
Mylapore Purganah	Pezoarah Talook	Ancient	"	1	Mylapore Mootah	1	Late Venkatapathy Row	3	10351	5589	5023	9626	17	" " " " " " " " " " " "
Under Management of the Collector on account of the Minority of the Zemindar.														
Deevy Purganah	Deevy Talook	"	Proprietory	1	"	"	Chundra goola Juggannatha Row Gopaul Row	3	7631 2	4788 7	3624	3	1	10 " " " " " " " " " " " "

In determining the Permanent Assessment on the several Zemindaries in this District reference was to the average Collections of the Circuit Committee. In some cases two thirds thereof was calculated in some at than two thirds, while in others it fell below that standard and so that the principle on which it was calculated does pear to be uniform. Some Estates were subdivided and the proportion of the original Peishcush fell upon the visions with reference to the Amount of Collections of the period preceding the date of the Subdivision - conse the Peishcush which is payable in some of the Estates does not correspond with what would be lated on the average Collection taken by the Committee

10910 Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Amount of Purchase Money.	Allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor.	Date of sale being sanctioned by Government.	Remarks.
18	19	20	21	22
£Rs AS	£Rs AS	£Rs AS		
" " " " " "				
" " " " " "				
" " " " " "				

Masulipatam
Principal Collector's
Office, July, 1850.

E. E. Jrs/Signed/ R. D. Porter
Collector

10911. Fort St. George 29th. July 1850.

Order thereon

(20.21)

To,

Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter.

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(22)

From the Coll. of Nellore
Reporting on the Petition No. 2361 of 1849 & Returns

Sir,

Para. 1. In reference to the Board's Endorsement on the accompanying Petition No. 2361 of 1849 requesting me to enquire into, and report on the claim of Petitioners to 4 Villages situated in the Poloor Talook of the Venkatagherry Zemindary I have the honor to state that on a reference to the Zemindar of Venkatagherry it appears that about 66 years ago, the 4 Villages in question were granted to the Petitioners great grand father one Ramasastroolu on Condition of his serving the then Zemindar as a Vakeel. —

2. On the death of Ramasastroolu the Villages were it appears resumed by the Zemindar, but subsequently they were granted to his two sons on a similar Condition of service tenure - The Elder of the two enjoyed the Villages and on his death the younger came into possession — but in consequence of certain fraudulent — practices he was dismissed from his situation as Vakeel and finally deprived of the Villages which had been granted on the Condition of that service. This resumption was made in 1816.

The

3.

The Petitioners have failed to support their claim by the production of the original Sunnud or Deed of gift in which the alleged is specified the grant of these Villages in perpetuity to their grandfather and his heirs. In the absence of any such Documents there is no proof to show either that the Villages were given under a valid title or were illegally resumed by the Zemindar.

4.

The Document A produced by the Petitioners has no reference to the point at issue in the Petition now under report, being merely a Copy of a deed of partition entered into between Petitioners and their Uncle Ramachendrish, whereby the former put the latter in possession of the Enam Villages of Paurlapully in liquidation of the debts due to their uncle. The other Document D is a deed of Donation purporting to be the Zemindars grant to the Petitioners ancestors of one of the Villages now claimed. This however is a mere translation of the alleged Document and in the absence of the original is not to be relied on.

5.

With reference to 2 of the Villages claimed by the Petitioners Viz: Poody and Paroyavathem it appears that on their resumption by

by the Zemindar in 1816 Mr. Fraser the then Collector filed a suit against the Zemindar in the Northern Provincial Court for their Restitution with a view to their being brought under Government on the ground that it was not competent to any but the Government itself to resume Lacarauze Lands. This suit the Court dismissed in 1822 with Costs on the grounds that the Government had not in the case of the Zemindar of Venecatagherry reserved to itself the usual right of resuming Lacarauze lands against this Decision, no appeal seems to have been filed and it consequently became final. The Petitioners silence during the 27 years which have elapsed since the Decree in question was passed would seem to prove that their right to the Villages in question was not capable of substantiation --

(Signed) D. White
Collector.

Nellore, ----- }
Collector's Cutcherry } --
2nd July 1850 }

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 2361 of 1849.

"Under the circumstances stated by the Collector in his report on the subject of this Petition, the Board of Revenue are precluded from interfering in behalf of the

+ Transcript of the Copy of the Decree is forwarded.

Order thereon

22.23

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

the Petitioners. The Petitioners are wrong in supposing that their claims come within the scope of the late orders of Government on Enams falling under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1831."—

Read the following letter—

(24)

To, W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Coll. of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant, desiring that I will submit an Estimate of the Compensation due to the Shrotriemdar of Elopatundalum, founded either on personal interrogatory or on the decision of a Punchayet.

2. Agreeably to the Board's wish I will send for the Shrotriemdar to question him though the Board are already aware what he claims. I do not think it a case in which an equitable decision could be looked for from a Punchayet. To enable me to form an estimate of the amount of Compensation to be given, I beg that the Board will be pleased to inform me of the principles on which it is to be computed. I cannot see that the Shrotriemdar has sustained any pecuniary loss or risk of loss by the occupation of the land taken up by the Channel, and the Board have already dismissed his claim on account of the Paungul. There remain only the injury involved in the infraction of his rights of property (for which it is to be observed he himself has made no demand) and I am at a loss as said in my former letter how to estimate this in money.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Collr.

North Arcot
Collrs Cirk Cutcherry
Palmanair, 19th July 1850.

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To,
The Collector of
North Arcot.

(24.25)

To the Collector of North Arcot—
In Cons. 29th July 1850.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Instant, requesting information as to the principle on which the Compensation proposed to the Shrotriemdars of Elapatundalum shall be computed.—

In the opinion of the Board of Revenue this further reference was unnecessary. If, on the Shrotriemdars appearing before you, you will personally explain to him the view you take of his claim and call upon him to show the amount of loss he has sustained, it does not appear to the Board that you ought to find any difficulty in coming to a satisfactory conclusion as to what would be a fair amount of Compensation to offer him.—

If the injury be slight which the Board from what has passed on the subject are inclined to believe it is, the Compensation will also be inconsiderable, and the principle for which the Board contend not the less satisfied.

In suggesting the aid of a Punchayet the Board had in view the probability that some kind of precedent might be brought to notice in regard to the amount of purchase or rent which it may be usual for private parties to give to a Shrotriemdar for the occupation of waste lands of a similar description or that in other respects their advice or opinion might tho' not binding on you, be serviceable as a guide.—

If the Shrotriemdar does not agree to the amount ultimately fixed, he should be left to seek his redress in the Civil Courts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George

(Signed) W. H. Bayley Secretary

Read the following Return—

10916.

Fort St. Georg 29th July 1850.

Return of Tahsildars in the Collectorate of Sal as they stood on the 30th April 1850.—

26

Names and Place of Birth.	Caste.	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1258.	Pay.	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate.	Employment previous to the appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service on such employment.	Total period of Service	Remarks.
				C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	Years. m D.	Years. m D.		Years. m D.	
Ram Row of Cuddapah:	Brahmin	40	Ahtoor	1,24,526.13.9	100 " "	1 6	10 9 4.	Head Goomastah in the Talook of Cottah in the Zillah of Nellore	3 1 "	
								Sheristadar in the Talook of Paramutty in the Zillah of Salem	2 9 1	
								Do in the Talook of Namcal in Do	" 9 11	
								Do in the Talook of Triputtoor in Do	1 1 "	
Kistna Row of Bovanaghery in the Zillah of Viridachellum.		Do 55	Namcul	2,08,487 9 3	100 " "	3 5 21		Huzzoor Sarabnevesse in Do	" 3 14	
									7 11 26	20 3 13
							14 3 25	Sayer Ameen in Cusbah Tirde: vanum Talook in the Zillah of Viridachellum	3 7 "	
								Magistrate Sarabnevisse in Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem	12 1 15	
									15 8 15	33 6 1
Soondralinga Modelly of Cusbah Salem in the Zillah of Salem—	Caracoudoo Vellalen.	44	Paramutty	1,21,682 11 3	100 " "	1 8 8	" " "	Tobacco Mootsuddy in Huzzoor of Coimbatore Zillah	9 9 6	
								Head Peishcar in the Sultyamungalum Talook in Do	3 4 19	
								Peishcar of Danackencottah Talook in Do	" 6 26	
								Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Collector's Department in the Zillah of Salem	2 1 28	
								Huzzoor Sarabneviss in Do	" 2 2	
								Sheristadar of Namcal Talook in Do	2 9 22	
									18 10 13	20 6 21

10917.

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Names and place of Birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1258.	Pay	Period of service Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previous to the appointment as Tahsildar and period of service on such Employment.	Total period of service.	Remarks.
				CR. a P.	CR. a P.	Years M D	Years M D.		Years M D	
Kistna Row of Poolloor in the Zillah of Verdachellum.	Brahmin	55.	Salem	1,79,454 12 5	120. " "	2 9 "	7 3 20	Tamil Record Keeper in the Zillah of Salem.	" " 18	
								Sayer Goomastah in — Do.	3 7 "	
								Huzzoor Treasury Goomastah in Do.	" 11 "	
								Huzzoor Jawabneviss in — Do.	4 5 "	
								Peishcar in the Raiyepoor Talook in Do	" 5 12	
								Goomastah in the additional Sub Collector's Cutcherry in Do.	" 4 13	
								Jawabneviss in the Sub Collector's Cutcherry in Do.	8 1 25	
								Huzzoor Jawabneviss in the Zillah of Trichinopoly	" 6 18	
								Sayer Tahsildar in Do.	" 7 26	
									19 1 22.	29 2 12
Kistna Row of Poolloor in the Zillah of Salem.	Do.	50.	Senkerrydroog	1,29,196 15 2	100 " "	2 9 "	16 6 16.	Huzzoor Jawabneviss in the Zillah of Salem	10 10 26	30. 2 22.
Ramasawmy Reyloo	Moosulman.	47	Raiyepoor	1,33,805 10 7	100 " "	" 1 7	1 9 25	Jawabneviss in the Zillah of Salem	" 11 12	
								Huzzoor Goomastah in Do.	3 9 20	
								Jawabneviss in the Collector's Cutcherry in Do	9 1 20	
								Huzzoor Jawabneviss in the Sub Division in Do	7 11 9	
									21. 10 1.	23 9 3.
Kistnah Chavarazady of Salem Zillah.	Brahmin.	50.	Woraloor	1,12,781 4 3.	100 " "	" 1 7.	16 2 19	Peishcar in the Coimbatore Cutchah Talook in the Zillah of Coimbatore	1 11 14	" " " suspended
								Muddyastum Goomastah in the Huzzoor Dawestanum in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	4 11 14	21 3 10

10918.

Fort St. George

29th July 1850.

Names and place of Birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection of Fusly 1258.	Pay.	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previous to the appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service on such Employment.	Total period of Service	Remarks.
				CRs. as.	CRs. as.	Years m D.		Years m D.	
Rajavendra Row of Seerungapatam Mysore Division.	Brahmin	39.	Trichengode	1,54,891. 3 1.	100. " "	3 5 2	26 Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem ———	" 5 12	
							Goomastah in the Moonsiffs Court at Bowany in the Zillah of Coimbatore.	" 9 24	
							Magistrate Royasom in the Sub-Coll.rs Cutcherry in the Salem Zillah	" 11 "	
							Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub-Coll.r's Cutcherry in D.o ———	2 7 8	
							Head Goomastah in D.o D.o	5 11 7	
							Huzzoor Sayer Tahsildar in the Zillah of Trichinopoly ———	" 7 15.	
							Acting Naib Sheristadars in D.o	1 2 18	
							Huzzoor Sayer Tahsildar in D.o	" 3 10	
							Police ameen in the Talook of Valecondapooram in D.o ———	" " 10	
							Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Salem.	2 " 12	
								14 10 27	18 5 11
Hanoomanta Row of Badaumhutty in the Zillah of Bellary.	D.o	47.	Darumpoory	1,31,827 6 3.	100 " "	1 6 12	4 " 18 Sub Division Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Darwar ———	10 5 13.	
							Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem	1 10 "	
							Huzzoor Head Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem ———	9 4 "	
								21 7 13.	27 2 13.

10919.

Fort St. George 29th July 1858.

Names and place of Birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1258	Pay.	Period of service Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Period of service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate.	Employment previous to the appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service on such Employment.	Total period of service.	Remarks.
				CRs a p	CRs a p	Years m D.	Years m D.		Years m D.	
Rajah Row Brama of Boodegoot: mintay in the Bangalore Division.		47.	Tengracottah	10,4,638	2 7 100 " "	" " "	1 " "	Javabnevis in the Talook of Tadas and Goomastah in the Sayer Establishment in the Zillah of Darwar —	4 " "	
								Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Divi: sion in the Zillah of Salem ——	10. 10 16	
								Huzzoor Head Goomastah in Dº Dº ——	8. 3. 4.	
								Acting Tahsildar of Sen: Kerrydroog Talook in Dº	5. 20.	
								Dº Dº Ahtoor Dº in Dº	1. 1. 2.	
									24. 8 15	24 9 "
Oopaladedy Sashagherry Row of Trichino: poly.		Dº 44.	Hitnagherry	12,4,588	" 4 100 " "	" " "	1 6 12			
							3 1 1.	Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Madura ——	4. " 3.	
								Huzzoor Head Javabnevis in the Zillah of Salem ——	1. " 13.	
									5. " 16	9 7 29
Rama Chendra Row of Tiroca: connum in the Zillah of. Chengleput.		Dº 39.	Triputtoor	13,5,087	5 10 100 " "	" " "	2 " 5			
							1 " 25.	Huzzoor Goomastah in the Zillah of Salem ——	11. 8 19.	
								Huzzoor Javabnevis in the Zillah of Salem	" 4 19.	
								Dº Goomastah in Dº ——	" 5 21.	
								Sheristadar in the Talook of Woma: loor in Dº	" 3 7.	
								Dº in the Talook of Ahtoor in Dº	3. 5. 28.	
									16. 4 4	19. 5 4.

10920.

Fort St. George

29th July 1850.

Names and place of Birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1258.	Pay.	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Period of Service as Tahsildar in other Talooks of this Collectorate	Employment previous to the appointment as Tahsildar and period of service on such Employment.	Total period of Service	Remarks.
				CRs. as. ps.	CRs. as. ps.	Years m D.	Years m D.		Years m D.	
Sagaroya Pillay of Cushah Salem in the Zillah of Salem.	Vellalum.	40.	Denkencottah	91530-3-	100 " "	" " 15		1 5 26 Jaeabnevis in the Talook of Athoor 9 " 26 in the Zillah of Salem ——— 9 " 26 Mool Ker Goomastah in Dº ——— 1 7 9 Police Royasem of Salem Talook in — Dº — Dº ——— 2 " " Sheristadar in the Darumpoory Talook in Dº Dº ——— " " 9 Sheristadar in the Talook of Kistnagherry in Dº Dº — 1 " " 16 5 26	18 " 5	
Timmapiah of Cushah Salem the Zillah of Salem.	Brahmin.	51.	Osoor	58833 2 5-	100 " "	1 4 18		19 9 2 Goomastah in the Talooks of Salem and Senkerry droog in the Zillah of Salem ——— 2 5 20 Sheristadar in the Talooks of Trichengode and Senkerry Droog in Dº — Dº ——— 3 11 20 6 5 10	27 7 "	

Salem, District Osoor Collector's Cutcherry 20th July 1850.

E. E. Wm. (Signed) H. D. Phillips
Collector

Ordered.

10921. Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Order thereon,
28. 27

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

From the Collr of South Arcot.

Sir,

In reply to your Circular letter of the 11th April last apprizing me that a Standard Mercal had been forwarded for the use of the Salt Department, I have the honor to state that I have caused all the Mercals now in use at the several Salt pans of the District to be tested by it, and the result has been that they correspond in the actual Contents, though the dimensions and size of the Mercals in use differ from the Standard one received.

South Arcot.
Collr's Cutcherry Cuddalore,
3. July 1850.

(Signed) J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Order thereon,
28. 29

Ordered to lie over.

Read.

10922. Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

Read the following letters.

To,

W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue,
Fort St. George.

30

From the Collector of Madura

Reporting that no records are forthcoming regarding the Grant of Pension to the family of the Jelly putty Poligar.

Para 1.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 13th December last, I have the honor to state that search has been made in vain both in the Madura and Dindigul Records for information in regard to the origin of the Grant of Pension to the family of the Jelly putty Poligar and the terms on which it was made. The Records of that part of the Dindigul District which is now included in Coimbatore were made over to the latter at the time of separation. As the allowances to persons similarly circumstanced to the Jelly putty Poligars were paid by the Court previously to the transfer of the Magisterial authority to the Collector a reference has been made to the Judge; but without success. Possibly the information may be obtained from the Judge of Coimbatore.

The

10907.

Fort St. Ge... July 1850.

Names of the Estates.	Names of the Talook in which the Estate is situated.	Ancient Zemindary Estates.	Proprietory Estates.	Whether subdivided into Mootahs and Villages included in the Estates.	No. of Mootahs.	No. of Villages.	Date of reversion to Government.	Names of the present Proprietor or Proprietors at the date of reversion.	Average assets on which the Permanent Settlement was made.	Total.	Amount of Surchaye money.	Allowance granted by Government to the Proprietor per year.	Allowance payable to the relations of the Proprietor
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	17	18	19	20
11 Malacacherla Mootah	Ellore Talook		Proprietory.										
				Tenmana Goodem	"	1	"	Candeecherla Yuroomharoydoo Cavavaroydoo Soodursanaroydoo & Sooliaroydoo	196 1311	"	"	"	"
				Narraim poorum Mootah	"	1	"	Sait Breejee mo: hundoss	5023 2 8	"	"	"	"
				Malaca cherla Mootah	"	1	"	Do Do	1888 13 1	"	"	"	"
				Doodda poody	"	1	"	Do Do	285 10 "	"	"	"	"
				Nudla putta vroola num	"	1	"	Kalioli Noor neeca Bagum	175 6 2	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Muntepragada Narahary & Muttiah	1431 8 "	4	"	"	"
				" " " "				Bommadavara Naganah Naidoo	284 2 8 "	20	"	"	"
				" " " "				Do Do	267 2 8 "	21	"	"	"
				" " " "	1	"	"	Do Do	9995 6 4 7 6	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Vellory Venkata Lutchmanah	365 4 7 4 2 9	"	"	"	"
				" " " "				Bhagavatoola Yegganah &c.	" " 23	"	"	"	"
12. Lingagerry Purganah	Juggiapett Talook	Ancient											
Goodoor Do Nulloor	Bunder Do	"											
Samut Vasunta Mootah	Noonatalum Do	"	Proprietory										
	Ellore Do	"	Do										
Samut Veerabheemnoo Doorhee poody	Bunder Do	"	Do										
	Chullapully Talook	Ancient	Do										

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

2.

The only accounts wherein I can find mention of Jellysutty is one entitled an Abstract of the Revenue of the conquered Distric for Fusly 1211 in which the Net Beriz of Jelly sutty is entered at Star Pagodas 43—13—5, and another, being the account Particulars of the Personal Property of the Rebellious Poligars sold in 1801 and 1802, which includes an Item of 381—2—11¾ as the value of the Property of the Jellysutty Poligar. I conclude therefore that the Poliput and property of this family were confiscated in consequence of its being implicated in the Rebellion of the Veeroopatchee and other Poligars and an allowance granted in lieu. The Sub Collector has been able to find no old person at Dindigul who can supply the information required.

Madura, 9th July, 1850.

(Signed) R. D. Parker.
Collector.

Resolution thereon.

30·31

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter together with Extract Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th December 1849 and the Board's Proceedings thereon of

Fort St. George 29th July 1850.

the 13th Idem be furnished to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 17th April and 8th October 1849.—

In Cons. 25th April 1849
In Cons. 1st Nov. 1849

Mr. Thomas is requested to afford any information in his power respecting the origin of the grant of pension to the Jellysutty Poligar and the terms on which it was made on reference to the records of that part of Dindigul made over to the Coimbatore District adverted to by Mr. Parker in the foregoing letter. and will state distinctly whether the Jellysutty Poligar was implicated in the Rebellion of Veeroopatchee and others and in what degree he was criminal.

To W. H. Bayley Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

32

From the Collector of Madura.

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying Extract from the Readit of my accounts for Fusly 1256. I have the honor to request that you will obtain for me the special sanction of Government for the charge adverted to.

Madura, 22d July, 1850.

(Signed) R. D. Parker
Collector.

Extract