13346

30th Octr Con.
9th Novr 1843.

55

Proceedings thereon.

Relative to the management of the Revenues in the District of Kurnool for Fusly 1252.
A. D. 1842/43

Fort St. George 16th October 1843

Read the following letter from the Commissioner in Kurnool containing his report on the Management of the Revenue of that District for Fusly 1252.

(Were Enter 36)

The Revenue settlement of the District of Kurnool during Fusly 1252 was conducted upon Ryotwar principles being the second year since its assumption by the British Government that that system had been acted upon as far at least as the circumstances of the Country would admit. Previously to Fusly 1251 the existing usages and prevailing customs had formed the bases of the management of the Revenue settlement tho' at the same time efforts were made and which were attended with considerable success, to introduce a more definite and settled mode of administration, by gradually preparing the Native Talook servants and the Ryots generally for the future establishment of the principles acted upon in the Ceded Districts.

2 During the period embraced in the report some progress was also made in the survey of the District and it is observed that in the two talooks of Dhone and Goodoor it was completed and the accounts adjusted in

Acres

Acres and Guntahs superceding the former scale of measurement of Candies Coodaos and Pyalies — which altho prevailing as the standard of the district were extremely uncertain and indefinite —

3. Of the whole Eight Talooks now comprising the extent of the District Mr. Scott observes — that the settlement of only one devolved upon himself the remainder having been settled previous to his arrival in the District by his Predecessor Mr. Bayley and the assistant Commissioner Captain Newbold his observations are therefore chiefly directed to the subject of the remissions granted during the year —

4. The season for Fusly 1252 is represented as having been highly favorable but the consequent superabundance of agricultural produce caused a fall in the prices of grain which was scarcely felt by the Ryots and effected the punctual realization of the Revenue of which there was a large sum outstanding at its termination chiefly in consequence of the above cause altho it would appear that this was also in some degree produced by the negligence of the Native Establishment allowing the kists to fall into arrears —

*balance at close of Fusly 130181 — 7 — 10

5 The Statement annexed to para 6 of the report exhibits the state of prices obtaining in the District from Fusly 1249 the year of the assumption to 1252 from which it will

will be observed that there has been a progressive depreciation in the value of produce in each successive year and in the last, Viz.t, that under review the rates are quoted in some instances at little above half the prices realized in the first year of the comparison —

6. Altho the season was as above stated, of a decidedly favorable Character the actual extent of land engaged for at the cultivating season, is shewn in the Statement No. 3 which accompanies the report to have undergone a considerable diminution compared to what was agreed for in Fusly 1251 an abstract of which is entered in the Margin —

	Candy.	Coodaos	Pyly
1251 —	5107 —	14 —	6½
52 —	4838 —	10 —	14¾
Decrease	269 —	3 —	7¾
Assessment			
1251 —	654338 —	4 —	11
1252 —	603929 + 5 —	7	
Decrease	45409 —	9 —	4

7. The large decrease in the Demand amounting as per abstract to Rupees 45,409 — 9 — 4 is in the opinion of the Commissioner attributable to three causes, Viz.t, first to the scantiness and 2ndly to the general poverty and reduced condition of the agricultural portion of the population consequent upon the continuance of unremunerating prices and thirdly to the breaking up of the old Village system of joint responsibility which has been gradually brought about by the change of system recently introduced & the distribution of Individual Puttahs The Commissioner observes that habituated as the Ryots

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Ryots have long been to a joint and collective village responsibility they exhibited an unwillingness and hesitation to undertake an engagement for the due fulfilment of which they now become personally and exclusively responsible that such apprehensive feeling should exist on the part of the Ryots as is a natural result of the arbitrary system to which they had been formerly accustomed and which can only be expected to cease when a more just and settled administration based on a survey and field assessment shall have been permanently introduced. It is desirable however that every effort should be made to remove this objectionable feeling from the minds of the people by taking care that they are not saddled with land without knowing the assessment it bears and by explaining to them at the time of distribution the importance and value of the Puttah not only in securing them against fraud and oppression by the Curnums and Reddies but in vesting in the parties whose names are entered in the Puttahs a permanency in the lands as long as they are in a condition to meet the fixed demand upon it. and as observed in the extract from the Minutes of Consultation noted aside if when Remissions are granted they are endorsed on the Puttahs the illegal collections might be prevented and the Ryots readily learn the real protection they possess in these documents against all extra impositions

Extract Mins Con 16th Sept. 1843 para 14 In Cons. 12 Oct. 1843.

The

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

The Board doubt not that the decrease in the demand of the year is attributable in some degree to the causes above assessed by Mr. Scott but they are disposed to consider that the principal ground for the falling off is, as he implies elsewhere, to be traced to the general practice which would appear to prevail on the part of the superior Ryots of relinquishing the higher assessed lands for those of a more moderate assessment.

8 On referring to the statement explanatory of the details of the settlement of Fusles 1251 & 52 noticed in the Margin it will be observed that the falling off in the Poonjah land engaged for at the time of Vellaiary amounted to about 264 Candies upon which the decrease in the assessment amounted to the large sum of Rupees 4474 whereas in the comparative diminution of the land actually cultivated in the two years the decrease in the land brought under the plough was 365 Candies but the decrease in the assessment was only 55,426 It would then appear that the falling off in the Demand had been in great degree the consequence of the abandonment of the higher assessed lands for the occupation of those of lower assessment Mr. Scott indeed observes that under the Mogulyee rule it was the direct interest of the Village Reddies & Curnums to force the cultivation to

Stat. No. 3.

Average assessed rate 157-1-7 per candy

Average assessed rate per Candy 151-13-7

to the utmost they being held responsible for the realization of the full amount formerly imposed upon the Village. but that in consequences of the altered state of things now prevailing under which each individual becomes solely liable for the demand upon the land he engages to cultivate their aim is to get rid of the highly rated lands in their own holding and he quotes the frequency of the custom in vogue among the influential ryots to put forward at the cultivating season a mere mum of straw in whose names the objectionable lands is entered which when once get rid of they to cause refuse again to cultivate and thus retain only such lands as are either highly assessed or which from local situation or other favorable circumstances are the most advantageous and profitable.—

9. The Board are disposed however to attribute the decrease in the cultivation of the Circar land in some measure also to the extensive occupation of Enam lands by the Ryots to this subject the Commissioner should direct his particular attention in order to check the abuse so likely to arise in a village community such as appears to exist in Kurnool where the

the Reddies and Curnums exercise so extensive an influence over the Ryots generally and where in consequence the more influential individuals have opportunities of securing for themselves a monopoly of land of this discription to the partial or total exclusion of the poorer classes great care should be also taken to regulate the extent of the Enam held with reference to the general holdings of the Ryots.—

10 In the present circumstances in which this district is placed the Board do not expect a too rigid observance of the rules laid down on this subject for guidance of the authorities in the neighbouring districts of Cuddapah & Bellary and it will rest therefore with the Commissioner to exercise due caution and discretion in regulating the privilege of the occupancy of land of this discription, respect being had to the usages and acknowledged customs previously prevailing in the Country on the subject.—

11 The following comparative statement exhibits the result of management of the Revenue derived from land in this District for Fusly 1252 from which it will be seen that the net settlement of the year was less by Rupees 34,887–5–8 than the settlement of the preceding Fusly.—

Kurnool

13353

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

Kurnool Settlement A exclusive of extra sources of Revenue

	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Land Revenue Ryen	6,54,338 14 11	6,08,929 5 7	.. .. ..	45,409 9 4
Add ready Money Collection				
Sadee including Ryen Sadee	45,754 5 2	45,561 2 8	.. .. ..	193 2 6
Enamity	27,419 13 ..	31,803 9 ..	4,383 12 ..	.. .. ..
Enamity Teerva Jastee & Fasly Jastee	919 6 4	666 12 11	.. .. ..	252 9 5
	74,093 8 6	78,031 8 7	3,938 .. 1	.. .. ..
Total Revenue	7,28,432 7 5	6,86,960 14 2	.. .. ..	41,471 9 3
Deductions				
Cabsolaty Burjee &c.	10,675 10 1	10,674 6 3	.. .. ..	1 3 10
Village Sadermared &c.	12,419 1 7	5,836 1 10	.. .. ..	6,582 15 9
	23,094 11 8	16,510 8 1	.. .. ..	6,584 3 7
Net Settlement	7,05,337 11 9	6,70,450 6 1	.. .. ..	34,887 5 8

The

13353

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

12. The Ryen Demand at the time of Summabundy amounted to Rupees 6,08,929-5-7 on Rupees 4,54,09-9-4 Less than the first year inclusive of ready Money Collections the Grab Revenue was Rupees 6,8,9,60-14-2 but what was subject to deduction amounting to Rupees 10,510-8-1 to be hereafter noticed — leaving the Net Revenue Rupees — 6,70,450-6-1 which was Less than the Net Revenue of Fasly 1250 by Rupees 34,887-5-8-

Cabsolaty Burjee	8944-12-1
Teerva Cumty	876-9-1
Planee	549-13-9
Inundation	202-3-4
Pyamalle	101-"-"
	10,674-6-3.

13. The entry in the Rayan cowlcha the several items of remission granted at the time of Summabundy the largest of which was the sum of Rupees 8944-12-1 on account of Land engaged for at the time of Villany but subsequently left unoccupied with the amount granted on this account in the preceding year the above is exhibits a decrease of Rupees 1,376-3-6 which the claims for indispence of the allow the claims for indispence of the nature would allow to have been made "now and Inspiring. In this Proceedings on the Settlement of this district dated 20th Para 4. February 1843 the Board resolved a

wish to be more fully informed of remissions
of this nature and of the measures which
had been adopted to ascertain the correctness of
Para 8 Vo the extent of Bunjer entered in the Cumum
Accounts - In reply Mr. Scott states that in the
existing state of the District with a fluctuating
teerwa and a merely nominal assessment unregu=
lated by any definite and fixed rules that
great opportunities were offered for the re=
linquishment of those fields which are highly
rated and the retention only of such as bear
a moderate teerwa and that the Claims for
remission are not so much upon the extent of
uncultivated land as it is upon particular
fields highly rated - In order to meet this
attempt to evade the Commissioner further
states the rules which he laid down for
giving remissions and which appear to the
Board to be judiciously framed in view to
protect the just rights of Government -
The Board have already directed the
Pro 20th Feby 1845 attention of the Commissioner to the expe=
Para 15 diency of adopting as far as practicable -
the rules in force in the adjoining district
and which would appear in great measure
to be suited to the circumstance of Kurnool.

14 With respect to the remission
denominated

denominated Tenua kumry the sum granted
in the year under review exceeding the preced=
=ing by Rupees 594-14-7 of the whole amount it is
stated that Rupees 434 was allowed on land
which had been once cultivated with Sugar
Cane but in consequence of the excessive amount
of assessment and insufficiency of water that
species of produce had been abandoned for
the culture of rice - The Board do not clearly
understand the particulars of the Dittum
of the District in respect to this instance whether
the land in question having been cultivated
with sugar Cane was subject to a higher
rate than that of ordinary cultivation
or whether an Extra teerwa on Sugar Cane was
entered in the Cabooliat which was subsequently
remitted on the Crop being charged to rice -

It seems desirable to direct the attention
15. of the Commissioner to the instructions from
the Hon'ble Court and Government relative
Circular to the practice which should be followed in
dated 13th Novr 1837. respect to the assessment of Land employed
for the cultivation and Sugar Cane and
they therefore resolve to furnish Mr. Scott
with Copies of the correspondence on that
subject which was communicated by Circular
to all Collectors for adoption in their
respective

respective districts and which should be also acted upon in future in Kurnool.

16. The Remissions on account of Fal- =mustum or Dried Crops amounted during Fusly 1252 to Rupees 521 this and the remaining items en- =tered above in the Margin do not seem to call for particular remark the amount in each case being very trifling - but with respect to the principles which ought to be observed in deciding upon the claims to remission for destroyed Crops the Commissioner will be furnished — with the correspondence transmitted in the year 1831 to all Collectors of unsettled districts but — which would not appear to have been subse- =quently supplied to the District of Kurnool and in conformity with the rules thereon laid down he will be careful to regulate remiss- =ions of this description in future.—

Circular 13th June 1834.

17. In para 23 of the report under considera- =tion the Commissioner details the particulars of a decrease which occurred under the Head of Sodee in the Village of Yelgode and which amounted to Rupees 298.-

18. Under the explanation furnished in Mr. Bayley's memorandum adverted to by Mr. Scott the Board approve of the relinquish- =ment on the part of Government of the exor- =bitant demand to which the land originally Serna

Enam

Enam had been subjected under the arbitrary rule of the Nawab and the reduction of the Meerah to be paid the Curnums to the rate originally fixed.-

19. It would have been satisfactory to the Board to have been informed whether this Village afforded the only instance of a similar oppression on the part of the late ruler of the Country, or whether there were other Serva Enam Villages in which the Me- =rahs had been converted into a Money pay- =ment and transferred to the Circar under that administration.-

20. The Board do not consider it necessary in this place to make any com- =ments on the Subject of Enamity or Sader- =ward noticed in Paras 26 and 27 of Mr. Scott's report as the questions involved will more properly be disposed of in the review of the reports referred to by the Commissioner and the general subject embraced in the Surveys.

Demand.

1250-Fy 17526-13-10.

1249-Fy 17281-13-11.

21. The Net Revenue free of all deductions amounted to Rupees 670450-6-1 which was less than the Net demand of the preceding year by Rupees 34887-5-8- and fell below the demand for Fusslies 1250 and 1249 respectively in the sums of 47076-7-9. and Rupees 46931-7-10.

The

22. The Revenue derived from the Extra sources amounted to Rupees 116521-4-5. but was less than the Revenue on this account for 1251 by Rupees 25354-9-5- caused entirely by the decrease in the Sayer Revenues in Consequence of the abolition of this item at the commencement of the year reported on- Under all the other heads of Extra Revenue the receipts of Fusly 1252 exceeded those of the prior year- In the Abkarry rents the excess was Rupees 11,450 but the Collections falling short of the demand as shewn in the Margin would indicate that the real value of the rents have as observed by the Commissioner not been previously well ascertained and that they had risen to an excessive rate from competition among the bidders stimulated by the prospect of large gains from the low price of food and the universal habit among the people of indulging in the use of ardent Spirits-

Extra Revenue
1251- 141875-13-10.

Abkarry rents
1251- 58930-
52- 70380
11450

Collections
1251- 57579-2-10
1252- 65891-10-8
8312-7-10

Motunpha. 23 The revenue of Fusly 1252 from this source amounted to Rupees 41940-11-5 which exceeded that of the previous year by 1520-10-10 the particulars of which are detailed in the enclosure 8- Submitted with the report- The assessment of the Motunpha would not appear to have been made upon any definite and

and settled principles but to be a severe modification of the practice existing under the former Government and consequently to include many inconsistencies- and anomalies- As a revision however of the general question of the Motunpha throughout the Districts under this Presidency is about to come under consideration it would appear unnecessary to dwell further on the subject on this occasion- It may be sufficient to remark that the Gross Collections under this head are shewn to have been Rupees 36604-4-9 which in the absence of any specific charges from its being collected through the same agency as the ordinary Land Revenue, was also the Net amount of Revenue realized therefrom.-

Rio- 20 Feby 1843 para 13

24 In reply to the Board's requisition for further information on the subject of the tax denominated "Stullabutti" and an explanation of the way that tax operated in encouraging the Ryots to cultivate more land than previously, Mr. Scott proceeds to state that the late Nawab conceiving that the town Duties of Kurnool were evaded by his relatives and other influential persons, imposed an increased assessment in the shape of a tax on produce on certain Villages in the neighbourhood

Para 31 of

of the city and which was ultimately extended to other parts of the District and being incorporated with the general Sayer of the Country was collected by the renter of that item of Revenue.

25 As regards the effect of this obnoxious impost on the extent of land taken up for cultivation the Commissioner remarks that on its discontinuance as a separate item of Demand against them, the Ryots undertook to make up the difference by agreeing to cultivate additional land by which arrangement the existing rates were not influenced but the cultivation extended. The result of this method was in point of fact to put an end to the existence of the tax itself by superseding the previous money demand and rendering it optional with the Ryots to extend the cultivation as compensation for the loss sustained on two fusles the Board are content to allow the question to rest until the final introduction of the Survey shall correct this and any other anomalies in the District.

26. With respect to the life grants of 14 Villages to certain Jagheeredars noticed in para 32 which it appears have been returned under the Management of the Officers

Officer of Government and the Net proceeds paid over to the Grantees the Board would remark that if the terms of the grant authorized the continuance of the Villages to these parties there would appear an inconsistency in retaining them under Management. Should however this arrangement have been in accordance with the expressed wishes of the Jaghiredar it should have been duly confirmed by Superior authority previous to being carried into effect.

27. Inclusive of the demand on account of Extra Sources which amounted to Rupees 116,521-4-5 the total Revenue of the year under report was Rupees 786971-10-6. Of this the Collections made within the Fasly were Rupees 646064-2-5 leaving a balance of Rupees 140907-8-1 the land Revenue balance. Viz. Rupees 130181-7-10 and the remainder on account of Extra Sources.

This was reduced at the end of Fasly 1252 to Rs 68890-2-4

28. The Board will be glad to find that much of this large arrear had been brought to account although it would appear that the Commissioner anticipates that greater part will be found totally irrecoverable.

29- Exclusive of Current balance the E.I.d. outstanding

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

outstanding arrears at the commencement of the Fusly were 2,32884-2-8 but with respect to them the Commissioner has not made any direct reference and he is requested to state distinctly if there is a prospect of any portion being liquidated.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be Submitted to the Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Commissioner of Kurnool for his information and guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845

Read the following letter

To T. Sycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

56

From S. Scott Esqre.
Commissioner of Kurnool

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a plan of the piece of Ground on which my Bungalow is built and to beg that the Board will do me the favour to recommend to Government my application for a grant of this land, subject to such a quit rent as the Board may think proper.

The Ground Consists of 9A. 6G. 14A. the Bungalow was Commenced by my Predecessor and finished by myself.

Kurnool
26th. September
1845

(Signed) S. Scott
Commissioner

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Commissioner in
Kurnool

56-57

Sir,

To the Commissioner in
Kurnool

I am directed By the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th. Ultimo submitting a plan of the piece of Ground on which your Bungalow is

13365

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

is built and soliciting their recommendation for a Government Grant and in reply to inform you that the proper Channel thro' which your application should be forwarded is the Register of Government Grants.

With reference to the latter part of your letter I have also to inform you that the quit Rent to which the land will be subject will be its taro assessment or if there be none that of the nearest assessed land of similar quality.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
16th October 1845

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following Letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

58

Sir,

From the Ag. Collr of Chingleput

Para 1 In conformity with the instructions conveyed in Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward a list of the Mootahs on which arrears of Revenue are due at this date.

2 With the exception of the Mootahs of Trifusore, Vacantom, Muspaidoo and Ayacculttom respecting which some doubt exists it is anticipated that these arrears will be liquidated previous to the day fixed on for the sale.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pullecarney
30th September 1845

(Signed) E. Maltby
Ag. Collector

Statement

13366

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

A Statement shewing the amount of Revenue outstanding against certain Mootahs under the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 30th September 1845 - Fasly 1254

Mootahs

Pullicondah
Vacantom
Caudalloor
Vellangaree
Mallaudoo
Nuspaidoo
Ayacculttom
Collattamalore
Moodumbedl
Teroovannum
Tandalum
Mamallapuram
Comarcoopum

Balance due for Fasly 1254

Sibbandy
Peishcush
Interest
Charges

| No. of Pergunnah | | |

1336 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Resolution thereon. The Acting Collector will be pleased to report the result of the sale of such of the Mootahs referred to in the foregoing letter as it may be found necessary to sell for the recovery of the arrears against them.

(58. 59.)

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(60)

From the Actg. Collr. of North Arcot - - - } Para. 1. With reference to your letter of the 11th April last, I have the honor to report

(Report in the accompanying Petition re. 26th Sept. 1845 respecting the restoration of certain Villages in the Cavaleeruggur Zemindary)

+ 36 of 1845.

that no Villages or lands, permanently assigned by the Zemindar of Cavaleeruggum, were restored as set forth in the accompanying + Petition on Rut of certain Villages taken under his Management the Shrotriem Village of Anumbut was restored by Mr. Goldingham to the Shrotriemdar fully discharging the arears due by him. Another Shrotriem Village, Hastnajamapooram, had been taken under the Zemindar's Management on the Shrotriemdar refusing to pay a sum of 38 12/16 Pagodas over and above the usual Sodee of Pagodas 86 4/16. Mr. Golding ham therefore restored the Village to the Shrotriemdar on the former Tenure.

20th February 1843.

6th February 1845

2 It was further ascertained from enquiry that the Zemindar had, in contravention of Mr. Stratton's accounts granted Certain

1336 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

certain Vajakbundoo Villages in lieu of other Villages paying a smaller Revenue, Mr. Goldingham therefore resumed the former and restored, as hereunder specified, the Villages originally allotted.

Name of Villages allotted by the Zemindar in contradiction to Mr. Stratton's account.	Amount Benz	Name of the Villages restored by Mr. Goldingham as per Mr. Stratton's accounts.	Amount Benz
	Rs A P		Rs A P
Damanary - -	531 14 8	Narroyanapooram	214 13 10
		Bozzanumtum	197 14 8
		Seerunga Chervo = Sundayat	656
Seeayaputtadah -	479 4 6	Seerunga Chervo agraharum	24 8 0
	Rupees 1011 32		Rupees - 1143 10 0

3 Independently of the foregoing the petty Emoluments of sixteen several Enamdars were from some cause or other entered by the Zemindar in his accounts under the head of "Sowcar Jummah." Enquiry at Sowcar appeared

13369 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

appeared that these Enams were still in the enjoyment of the Enamdars. Mr. Babington therefore ordered that they should be entered in the accounts under the head of Jamas.

4. As the Zemindar has been reinstated in the management of his estate since the date of the petition he has it now in his power to take measures to enforce his claim to the above Villages and Enams if he still considers that he is entitled to possess them.

North Arcot — Collectors Cutcherry Chittoor — — — 3d October 1845 } (Signed) E. C. Lovell Acting Collector

Order thereon. Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Petition No. 36 of 1845.

60-61

From the report of the Acting Collector to whom this Petition has been referred it appears that no lands which the Zemindar was entitled to assume have been made over to any parties by the District authorities whilst that zemindary was under management. The Estate is now in charge of the proprietor and no orders seem called for."

Read the following letters.

To

13370 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

To

I. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

62

From the Collector of Salem — — — Accompanying Copy of a Statement No 2 forwarded with the letters from the Sub Collr requesting to obtain Sanction of the Board to collect from the Sarkalay Aggrarundars Pags 943-3-5 instead of Pags 958-4-" same stated by the Board as fixed Jodeghee payable by them.

Minutes of Consultation dated 27th Octr Para 41 of the Report on the Land Rent of Fasly 1250 dated 5th October 1841 — Paras 39-40 and 41 of the Report on the Land Revenue for Fasly 1252 dated 15th October 1843.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board that agreeably to the instructions conveyed in Para 6 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th November 1844 for reducing the Jodeghee of the Sarkalary Aggrarum in the Paramutty Talook to the extent of remission Viz. 354-9-" with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin — leaving the Remainder Rupees 958-4-" to be collected as the fixed Jodeghee payable to Government, My Predecessor issued a Sakeed to the Tahsildar of Paramutty and summuds to the Aggraundars to the above effect on the 28th January last, but the Sub Collector wrote to me on the 7th May alluding to Statement No 2 forwarded with his letter of the 28th June 1843 / Copy of which accompanies / that Sanction of the Board should be obtained to reduce only Rupees 319-9-7 instead of 354-9-" Above mentioned. It is found to be quite correct, for after making a reduction of Rupees 662-2-3 at 40 per Cent on the total amount of the Bariz of the Village 1655-5-8 there remains

Rupees

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Rupees 993 - 3 - 5 to be collected as fixed Jodyah payable to Government instead of Rupees 958 - 4 - 0 as there appears to be a difference of Rupees 34 - 15 - 5 between the Amount sanctioned and the present calculation I issued a Takeed to the Tahsildar to recall the Summuds granted by Mr. Igleig to the aggrarumdars, but however Rupees 958 - 4 - 0 have been carried to account as Jodeghee after deducting Rupees 354 - 9 - 0 agreeably to sanction for fusly 1254 should the Board approve of the calculation and difference shewn in Statement No. 2. by the Sub Collector I request you will obtain their sanction for making the required alteration.

dated 28th May 1845

Salem Zillah, ---
Ofsoor, Coll.r Cutchery
29th July 1845 ---

/ Signed / W. C. Lockhart
Collector.

No. 1.

To

W. C. Lockhart

Acting Principal Collector of

Salem.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 10th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit the following papers.

No. 1. Statement shewing the Original Ayacut of the Agraharum of Serkalay in the Paramuty Talook and Aumanee Nunjah lands adjoining the

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

the Ayacut of the Luddivady anicut for Fusly 1252 in the Trichengode Talook, and the probable profit Va to be derived from a partial repair of the Serkalay Tank.

No. 2. Statement shewing the collections of Land Revenue Va in the Serkalay agraharum attached to the Mootah of Serkalay in the Talook of Paramuthy from Fusly 1207 to 1252, and the proposed amount for Fusly 1253.

According to the Estimate Rupees 1470 - 5 - 5 is the portion of the expense to be defrayed by the Circar for the repair of the Serkalay Tank after deducting the sum payable by the Agraharum, and the profit is estimated at Rupees 268 - 9 - 1 The inhabitants of the Agraharum are willing to bear their share of the expense amounting to Rupees 334 - 13 - 7 It is objected however on the part of the Village of Luddivady that acres 24 - 9 - 15 assessed at 156 - 2 - 1 of their lands will be thrown out of cultivation, if the plan proposed of partially repairing the tank be carried into effect, and as there is no tank or other means of cultivating their lands excepting the anicut they state it would be very injurious to their cultivation, if the Village of Serkalay were to be allowed a share of the water at other

Seasons

seasons in addition to the supply afforded by the occasional floods.

3. There are probably good grounds for the 1st of these objections, and the 2d appears to me to be fatal to the proposed plan, as there is no drainage by which the Serkalay tank can be supplied, or any source for filling the Tank besides the river, and the probability of the supply from the floods only being adequate and sufficiently regular is very doubtful. Whether it was contemplated by the Civil Engineer that the Village of Serkalay should only obtain the flood water is not clear, but other sources are necessary generally to secure a regular supply of water, and in Rivers of the Size of Veroomanee Moottar, I consider this is the case. To the flood water being conducted to the Serkalay tank there can be no objection but it would be unjust I think to deprive the Village of Luddivady of any of the water to be held at other times. It is also objectionable at all times for two Villages to share in the same source of supply.

4. Under these circumstances instead of incurring an expense the profit from which is insecure, and which at the utmost

almost would fall below the return usually expected, I recommend that the 1st of the Punjah assessment be imposed on the Punjah lands belonging to the Agraharum which have been thrown out of cultivation from the failure of water, and a readjustment made of the Jodeghee, as shewn in Statement No. 2 whereby the loss consequent on the destruction of the Tank as appears from Statement No. 2 would be diminished to Rupees 319-9-7.

5. In addition to the loss accruing from the diminished Jodeghee of the agraharum lands assessed at 714-7-7 of Amany lands were dependent on the Serkalay Tank. Of these it appears that 90-4-11 assessed at 438-3-5 were capable of being cultivated on the Tank being partially repaired. Of the latter quantity 47-32-12 being already cultivated with dry crops, the Punjah Leevah is to be deducted from the anticipated profit, which then amounts to Rupees 288-7-4 on the Amanie lands, but a permanent Punjah Leevah being imposed at the whole of the Punjah lands formerly irrigated by the tank, it may be expected that lands now waste will be brought into cultivation and there will be a further decrease in

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

in the profit to be resigned if the plan be given up. If the whole be gradually brought to account the actual loss from the breach of the Tank in Arnanie Lands will amount permanently to Rupees 283 15 8 and the loss in Jodeghee being added, the whole amount will be 603 9 3.

6. As I do not consider the work expedient the form of Statement furnished by the Civil Engineer which is now returned has not been filled up.

Salem Collr's Office 28th June 1845. } Signed/ A. A. Brett Asst. Sub Collr.

To W. C. Lockhart Esqr. Collector of Salem

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 18th November 1844, in which authority is given "for reducing the Jodeghee of the Serkalay Aggraharuns "on the Paramutty Talook to the extent "of the present remission Viz.t Rupees 354 "9 " leaving the remainder to be collected "as the fixed Jodeghee payable to Govern "ment." I have the honor to state that the reduction

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

reduction in the permanent Jodeghee will amount only to Rupees 319 9 7½ if the 1st class Poonjah Assessment be imposed, as is usual in such cases, on the Nunjah Lands belonging to the Aggraharums as shewn in Statement No 2 which accompanied my letter to you dated 28th June 1843.

As the parties have agreed to pay the highest Poonjah Assessment and the difference in favour of the Circar amounts to Rupees 34 15 5, I request that the Board's Sanction may be obtained for adjusting the Jodeghee in the way proposed in the Statement above referred to.

As I understand that a new Summud has been sent to the Aggraharuns from your Office in which the reductions Amounting to Rupees 354 9 " has been made. I beg that this summud may be recalled.

Salem District - - - Trichengode - - - - - Sub Collr's Circuit - - - - Catcherry 7th May 1845. } Signed/ A. A. Brett Sub Collr.

Statement

13377

Fort St. George

No. 2. Statement shewing the Collection of Land Revenue &c. in the Serkaly Agraram

Berij fixed by Mr. Macleod in Fusly 1207 Peerwah fixed by the

Number	Items	Extent of Land	Permanent Settlement	Jaury to Bramins at 40 per Cent	Jodigay payable to the Circar @ 60 per Cent	Extent of Land	Permanent Settlement
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8
		acres grs	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	acres grs	Rs as
1	Poonjah or dry land	897 . 6	1,168 8			897 . 6	1,168 8
2	Bagayet or Garden	206 .	118 3			20 6 .	118 3
				875 3	1,312 13 .		
				Deduct Curnum's Salary	14 . .		
				Total Amount	1,298 13 .		
3	Nunjah or Wet land	114 14	881 8			114 14	881 8
4	Trees and Moturpha	" " "	19 12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	1,031 20	2,188 . 6	" " "	" " "	1,031 20	2,168 5 4

15th October 1845.

attached to the Mootah of Sookhay in the Talook of Paramutty

Mootadar on Fusly 1232 in consequence of tank having Broken.			Jeerwah paid by the Cultivators for cultivating the lands under cultivation in Fusly 1244 and which stands in force up to this time.		
Settlement made by the Mootadar on the transfer of cultivation.	Saury to Bramins.	Sodighy payable the Circar.	Extent of Land.	Permanent Settlement.	Jeerwah for which the Bramins let out the lands to Ryots to Cultivation.
9	10	11	12	13	14.
Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Acres. g. a	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
1108 8 0			719 2115	991 5 0	843 9 u
118 3 11	503 9 5	931 0 u	20 8 u	118 3 11	30 8 u
	Deduct Curnums Salary	14 u u			
	Net Amount	917 0 u			
Poryah Jeerwah.					
148 3 u			53 1 2	38851	84 3 u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
1434 15 8	u u u	u u u	792 9 1	1495 15 0	958 4 u
			Deduct Curnums Salary		14 u u
			Net Amount		924 4 u

13378

Fort St. George 10th October 1845

from Fusly 1207 to 1252 and the proposed Amount of Teeruh for Fusly 1253.

Teeruh proposed to be fixed on lands for Fusly 1253.

Lands under cultivation or those lying waste.	Extent	Permanent Settlement Teeruh.	Proposed Teeruh	Saury to Bramins at 40 per Cent	Sodeghy to the Circar at 60 per Cent.	Increase between the Teeruh of last year and that now proposed.	Remarks.
15	16	17	18	19.	20	21	22.
	Acres g.s a	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.		
Under Cultivation	762335	1040 1 2	1040 1 2				This Mootah reverted to Government in Fusly 1243 in which year the Teeruh mentioned in Column 17 was collected From Fusly 1244 to 1252 the Teeruh mentioned in Column 17 was collected. From Fusly 1253 the Teeruh mentioned in Column 20 is proposed to be levied.
Lying waste	134 7 1	128 7 4	128 7 4				
Totals	897 a 6	1168 8 6	1168 8 6				
	15 39 7	93 12 11	93 12 11				
	4 6 9	24 7 "	24 7 "				
Total	20 6 "	118 3 11	118 3 11				
				132 2 3 Deduct Bramins Salary Nett Amount	993 3 5	34 15 5	The Teeruh to be paid by the Bramins in Fusly 1244 was calculated on incorrect principles, as the permanent Beriz on the Punjah and Bagayet should have been entered in Column 17 but no material difference appears between the amount realized by the Moottadar, and afterwards by the Circar.
					14 " "		
					979 3 5.		
Punjah ain cultivation	5 " 1	14 15 "	14 15 "				
Converted into Garden	7 10 12	59 6 4	42 0 6				
Converted into Punjah and revised for fasteras	34 9 2	275 " 8	90 5 8				
Total	46 19 15	379 5 9	177 14 2				
Punjah waste lands upon which Punjah Teeruh to be fixed	67 34 5	502 3 2	179 3 7				
Total	114 14 4	881 8 11	357 1 9				
	" " "	19 7 8	11 7 8				
	1031 20 1	2179 12 10	1885 6 8	" " "	" " "	" "	

E. C. J. S. Signed J. A.

A. Brett.
Acting Sub Coll.

Statement.

Fort S. George

Agraharum of Sirkalay in the Paramutty Taluk &c. to be derived from a partial repair of the Sirkalay

of th profes	ated on the ated if s d and stre dy Annut	nual Fash yah 124 ns ple- ns ent-	5	6	west by the River) which are expelled Bank of the Sirkalay Tank, Calingalatt carried to the Tank by a channel from as proposed by the Civil Engineer-	Extent Fasl which included Settlement-	Nett Amount of addition- al Rusum Teerah-	Amount due to the agraharum people at 20 per Cent-	Profit to the Circar-	If repairment of the lands belonging to Luddevady which will be flooded if a channel is made as proposed-	Profit to the Circar-	7	8	9	10	11
												a P.	Rs.	a P.	Rs.	a P.
			3	5	0	31	288 " 4	" " "		288 " 4	" " "					
			" 2	1	3	5	227 12 9	91 1 11	136 10 10	" " "	" " "					
			3	1	6	6	515 13 1	91 1 11	424 11 2	156 2 1	268 9 1					

the Luddevady Annicut for Fusly 1252 in the ce

Luddevady Annicut of Fusly 1252-

Original Settlement-	Fasl	Rs.	a P.	Rs.	a P.	Remarks-
		17		18		19-
		526 15 1		526 15 1		There being no Tank or other sources of irrigation for the Luddevady lands excepting this annicut the Ryots of Luddevady state that this source alone will not be sufficient for themselves and the Village of Sirkalay and besides they object that some of their cultivated lands assessed at 150 a 2 0 will be flooded if the proposed repairs should be executed-
		413 12 "		" "		
		1060 11 1		526 15 1		

10th October 1845.

and Amanee Punjah lands adjoining the Ayacut of Tank.

Particulars of sums to be contributed by the Circar and Agrahanum people for the work in question			Ayacut under the and Cultivation Vacant	
Amount Estimated	Amount payable by the Agrahanum People	Balance to be paid by the Circar	Items	Land
12	13	14	15	16
Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Rs. a P	Acres	g. a.
908 1 " " " "	908 1 "	Cultivation of Fasly 1252	100	34 14
837 2 "	334 13 /	502 4 8	Lying waste up to Fasly 1252	74 36 10
1806 3 "	334 13 /	1470 5 5		175 31 8.

C. C. Jr. / Signed / H. A. Brett
Acting Sub Collector

13380 Fort St. George, 16th October 1845.

Order thereon: (Read again in Cons: 20th October) (62 63) Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

64

From W. C. Lockhart Esqr Collector of Salem

To J. Pycroft Esqr Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir

Report on the Extra sources of Revenue of that District for Fusly 1254.

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the undermentioned Statements in English and Tundoovee explanatory of the settlement of the Extra sources of Revenue of the District for Fusly 1254 (A.D. 1845) and trust they will prove satisfactory.

No 1. Statement of Sayer Revenue.
" 2. Ditto of Abkarry.
" 3. Ditto of Sundry small farms and Licenses.
" 4. Statement of Valuation of the Jory and Amony Villages.
" 5. Abstract Statement in Triplicate of the gross Collection and charges of Fusly 1254 compared with the previous years.
" 6. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue in each source in Fuslies 1253 and 1254 Compared.
" 7. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Revenue of previous years.
" 8. Comparative Statement shewing the Sayer Collections on all articles imported and exported and on transit in the Salem District between Fuslies 1253 and 1254.

2. The Gross Collection of Sayer for the present Fusly Compared with those of the preceding year is exhibited in the Marginal Abstract Statement No 1.

No 1.

Items	For Fusly 1253	For Fusly 1254	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
1	2	3	4	5
Sayer	103173 11 4	15783 3 3	" "	87390 8 1
Betel and Tobacco	17955 6 11	1044 8 5	" "	16810 14 6
Total	121129 2 3	16927 11 8	" "	104201 6 7

3. From the above it will be observed that the decrease amounted to Rupees 104201 6 7 in the year under review and the cause of so large a decrease was the abolition of the Town, Inland, and Transit duties from the 1st April 1844, agreeably to the orders conveyed in the Board's letter of the 28th March 1844 but the Collections made up to that date was included in the accounts of Fusly 1253. No such Collections being made or entered in the accounts of the present Fusly is the cause of this large amount of Decrease of Rupees 87249-2-0.

4. The amount of the duties levied under Act V of 1844. On articles imported and exported through the 5 frontier Chowkies viz. Joojoovaudy &c. up to the 28th of March 1845, exceeds the amount of the duties levied up to that date in the year 1844 by Rupees 1247-11-11. These frontier Chowkies were also abolished in conformity to the instructions of the Board dated 17th March 1845.

5. The Net decrease after deducting the increase shown in para 4 from the decrease in Para 3 is Rupees 104201-6-7.

6. Of the duties levied in the above frontier Chowkies under Act VI of 1844 the duties on the 36 Articles specified in previous rules, amounted to Rupees 15313-5-6 and the duties on Articles mentioned in the act as liable to duty, amounts to Rupees 1605-4-6 making in all Rupees 16918-10- to which Rupees -9-1-8 (accumulated from the fractional parts of the Collections) being added, makes a total collection of duties for Fusly 1254 of Rupees 16927-11-8 as shewn in the Statement in para 2. The description of the Articles on which duty was levied is shewn in Statement No 8.

7. The subjoined Statement shews the charges on account of Sayer for Fusly 1254, as compared with those of the former year.

Items

13382

Fort St. George 16th October 1848.

No. 1.

Items.	For Fusly 1253	For Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease.
1	2	3	4	5
Sibbendy — Huzzoor	2,313 12 3	" " "	" "	2,312 12 3
Sibbendy — Talook	12,387 7 6	1,792 14 7	" "	10,594 8 11
Sibbendy — Total	14,700 3 9	1,792 14 7	" "	12,907 5 2
Saderwared — Huzzoor	28 10 10	" " "	" "	28 10 10
Saderwared — Talook	428 8 "	53 " 6	" "	375 7 6
Saderwared — Total	457 2 10	53 " 6	" "	404 2 4
Sundry Charges	7 12 "	" " "	" "	7 12 "
Refund	" " "	186 97	186 97	" " "
Grand Total	15,165 2 7	2,032 8 8	186 97	13,319 3 6

13381

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

8. The decrease of Rupees 13,319-3-6- shewn above has been occassioned by the abolition of the Sayer Establishment as exhibited in Paras 3 and 4.—.

9. On Articles subjected to duty and passed through the Chowkey of the Mysoor frontier unaccompanied by a certificate from the Sea Custom Office, duty was levied by order of the late Principal Collector, but subsequent to the payment of duty, the parties produced Certificates to show that the articles paid duty before leaving Madras &c.a, the late Principal Collector, wrote to the Board requesting their instructions on the subject, when the sanction of Government dated 30th December 1844 was received to refund all such duties to the parties, accordingly the sum of Rupees 186-9-7 was refunded as follows.—.

	Rs	as	Ps
To Colonel Williams—	19	2	"
" Lieutenant Thomas—	43	9	2
" Commissioner for Mysoor—	113	1	10
" Major J. W. Hands—	9	6	11
	185	3	11
" Nevasinda of the Village of Tully named Streena-vasa Iyen on account of his pay—	1	5	8
	186	9	7

The

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Abkarry-10- The Abkarry rent of this year as per accompanying Statement No. 2 amounts to Rupees 89230- which exceeds that of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 4655 but when compared with Fusly 1247 exhibited an increase of Rupees 26490- The rents of 9 Talooks Viz. Salem, Tripatoor &c. were increased by competition at the time of Sale- The above amount Rupees 4655 is the net increase, occasioned from the competition, after deducting the decrease Rupees 2000 in the 3 Talooks of Kistnagherry Ossoor, and Denkencottah.—

Abkarry rent of Fusly 1247 Rs. 62740-

Sundry small farms & Licenses- 11. The demand accounts of small farms and Licenses during the year, amounted to Rupees 8621-15-9 being Rupees 948-7- below that of the preceding Fusly as shewn in the undermentioned Abstract Statement.—

No. 3.

	Particulars-	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5
1	Bamboos	136 " "	110 " "	" " "	26 " "
2	Tamarind	3376 8 "	2894 8 "	" " "	682 " "
3	Ferries	776 4 9	908 2 9	131 14 "	" " "
4	Giddoogavel &c.	2730 2 "	2497 14 4	" " "	232 38
5	Fisheries	2309 12 "	2211 6 8	" " "	98 5 4
6	Vapallay leaves	1 12 "	" " "	" " "	1 12 "
7	Sealing wax	40 " "	" " "	" " "	40 " "
	Total-	9706 9	8621 15 9	131 14 "	1080 5 "
	Nett Decrease				948 7 -

As

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

12. As shewn in the above Statement, the increase is in the Rent of Ferries in the Talooks of Namcal &c. Trichengode Rupees 131-14- the decrease in the Bamboo rent of Coollymalay Hills in the Namkal Talook is Rupees 26- the decrease in the Tamarind Rent owing to the failure of produce in the same Talook is Rupees 1285- of which deducting increase Rupees 603 in the same item in the Kistnagherry and Trippatoor Talooks, there remains a Nett decrease of Rupees 682- decrease in the Giddoogavel. Honey, Wax &c. Rent in Denkencottah Talook is Rupees 45-5-— " —8 from this deducting increase Rupees 222-13- in the Salem and Razepoor Talooks, there is left a Nett decrease in this item of Rupees 232-3-8- The Net decrease in the Fisheries after deducting the increase Rupees 193-12- is Rupees 98-5-4- There is also a decrease of Rupees 41-12-1 owing to the Wapalay leaf and sealing wax in the Denkencottah Talook, not being rented out, which added to the above items makes a total decrease of Rupees 948-7-

13 Of the present year's Bariz Rupees 8621-15-9- Rupees 8281-3-9. have been collected within the Fusly and Rupees 297-10- " Subsequently in the Month of August, making

a

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

a total of Rupees 85,78-13-9- and leaving a balance of Rupees 43-2 which will also be collected.—

Moturpha 14. The subjoined State-ment exhibits the Moturpha tax of the present year, amounting to Rupees 82,758-5-2,- being Rupees 492-12- above that of the preceding — Fusly.—

Particulars-

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Particulars		Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
1		2	3	4	5
Bariz	Ain Moturpha	43,085 9 3	41,870 15 4	" " "	1,214 9 11
	Loom tax	41,660 5 9	43,328 13 9	1,668 8 "	" " "
	Total	84,745 15 "	85,199 13 1	1,668 8 "	1,214 9 11
Ain Moturpha	Sibbendy	1,513 14 7	1,466 10 2	" " "	47 4 5
	Agraarumdars share	205 4 8	201 6 7	" " "	3 14 1
	Total	1,719 3 3	1,668 " 9	" " "	51 2 6
Loom tax	Sibbendy	714 5 9	731 7 7	17 1 10	" " "
	Agraarumdars share	46 12 10	41 15 7	" " "	4 13 3
	Total			17 1 10	4 13 3
Total Moturpha & Loom tax	Sibbendy	2,228 4 4	2,198 1 9	" " "	30 2 7
	Agraarumdars share	252 1 6	243 6 2	" " "	8 11 4
	Total	2,480 5 10	2,441 7 11	" " "	38 13 11
Net	Ain Moturpha	41,366 6 "	40,201 14 7	" " "	1,163 7 5
	Loom tax	40,899 3 2	42,558 6 7	1,656 3 5	" " "
	Total	82,265 9 2	82,758 5 2	1,656 3 5	1,163 7 5
Jaury	Ain Moturpha	11,393 12 8	19,838 13 7	" " "	553 4 15 1
	Loom tax	14,439 3 9	15,090 9 3	651 5 6	" " "
	Total	25,833 " 5	25,928 6 10	651 5 6	553 4 15 1
Ammany	Ain Moturpha	29,972 9 4	29,364 1 "	" " "	608 8 4
	Loom tax	26,459 15 5	27,464 13 4	1,004 13 11	" " "
	Total	56,432 8 9	56,828 14 4	1,004 13 11	608 8 4

The.

13389

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

15. The total demand on account of Moturpha during the present Fusly. — amounted to Rupees 41,940-3-4 from which deducting Rupees 103-7-4 the amount — recently collected from the Enam Villages noted in the Margin agreeably to the orders of Govern- =ment dated 1st October 1844, in consequence of the Moturpha and Loom taxe not being included in the Summuds granted to the Enamdars, there remains an Am Moturpha of Rupees 41,838-12- being Rupees 1,248-12-3 — below that of the preceding Fusly- The Loom taxe amounted to Rupees 43259-9-9- which exceeds — that of Fasly 1253. by Rupees 1599-4- this — increase has arisen from the augmentation in the number of Looms in the year under review- The undermentioned Abstract Statement exhibits the particulars of the — decrease in the Moturpha collection.—

Bommasamoodrum in the Talook of Kumbul	1
Ammapoliem in the Talook of Salem	2
Veeraltapooram in the Talook of Kistnagherry	3
Nallaramputty in Dr —	4
Mollagarumputty in the Talook of Tripatoor	5

Comparative —

13389

Comparative Statement shewing the Revenue between Fusly

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

Moturpha for Fusly 12.

Mines.—

	Amount under Moturpha	Amount Decreased this Year	Amount of Moturpha Fusly 1253	Amount of Moturpha Fusly 1254
1 Tax on Forge	5,714 8 10	0,552 5 5	5,505 10 3	5,599 14 7
2 Caste	951 8 6	1,036 9 5	0 13 "	1,030 " 11 6
3 Profession	8,975 3 8	7,470 8 9	2 3 9 "	7,520 " 5 8
4 Trade	12,752 9 7	12,193 1	8 14 9 7 "	12,907 " 7 14 6
5 Houses	6,459 10 8	8,153 5	7 8 4 2 "	8,095 " 8 5 6
6 Carts	2,232 " "	1,991 " "	2,378 " " 106 14 6	1,887 " " 106 14 6
	38,084 9 3	37,308 4	1,830 12 " 106 14 6	38,050 " 1,894 13 3

Net decrease = 1,554 1,248 13 3

From

13390

Fort St. George 16th October. 1845.

16

From the above it will be seen that the increase under the head of Moturpha is from the tax on Carts alone, the cause of this increase is the high roads throughout the District being kept in repair, the facility of transit causes greater traffick. There is a decrease of Rupees 603 in the tax on trades occasioned by death and emigration, and the reduced circumstances of the Traders of the Talook of Trippatoor. There is also a decrease of Rupees 675 in the tax on Houses occasioned by the abolition of the tax on those belonging to the poorer classes, a decrease to a large amount on this item took place in 2 Talooks Viz^t. Namkul and Paramatty, as shewn in the Margin, after deducting the increase from the tax on Carts there is left a net decrease as mentioned in para 15 of Rupees 1248-13-8

Items

In Namkul Rs 266-1-9-
In Paramatty Rs 250-5-9-

13391

Statement of Particulars of the Land Tax Sayer &c. for Fasly 1254 corresponding with 16th October 1844 to 15th October 1845.

Commissioner's Decision.

	Particulars of Tax on Trade as per Shop &c.	Number of Shops &c.	Amount of Tax	Number of Shops &c.	Amount of Tax	Increase	Decrease
1	Tax on Cloth Bazars	243	1158 8 2	15	8 11		
2	" on Oil Ditto	429	1154 5 8	23	14 1		
3	" on Bazars of sundry Articles	2939	6112 2 8	28			
4	" on Bazars of Sheops	106	348 13 5				
5	" on Oil Bazars	41	48 14 5				
6	" on Shoe Sellers	174	285 12 10				
7	" on Sellers of Sundry Articles	2049	1995 15 1				
8	" on Dealers in the convey Mein Articles on Bullocks	242	43 10 1				
9	" Houses of Traders	5454	4765 5409 1 3				
10	" On Oil Traders	29	39 40 11 8	10	10 8		
11	" on Mem. that carry Mein Articles on back & for sale	70	63 1 28	26	2 2		
12	" on Cloth Merchants	409	769 4 1				
13	" on Sellers of the Ditto Articles	632	479 4 8	224	10		
14	" on Manufacturers of Oil &c. &c.	8	2				
		12903	18149 9 9	345	300 11 2	989	902 11 2
					Net Decrease	644	603

Rs

13392

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Stamps- 17- The Collection under the head of Stamp Revenue as per subjoined Abstract, shows a Balance of Rupees 12736-1-2 being Rupees 4005-7-10 below that of the preceding year.

	Fusly 1253-	Fusly 1254-	Increase	Decrease
Stamps Papers	9924 " "	12347 9 2	2423 9 2	" " "
Sayer Rowannahs	6817 9 "	388 8 "	" " "	6429 1 "
Total	16741 9 "	12736 1 2	2423 9 2	6429 1 "
		Net Decrease		4005 7 10

From the sale of Stamp papers there has been an increase of Rupees 2423-9-2- the cause of which is from more litigation in the Civil Court of Salem- There is a large decrease of Rupees 6429-1-a- in the receipts of Sayer Rowannahs owing to the total abolition of Sayer and frontier Chowkies in the District.

Demand Collection & Balance-

19- The following Statement exhibits the total Demand Collection and Balance on all items of Extra Revenue in the District for the present year- The Amount realized within the Fusly amounts to Rupees 205480-5-8- and subsequently in the Month of September Rupees 2623-9-10- leaving a balance of Rupees 2170-2-1-

Fusly

13393

Fort St. George 16th October 1845-

Statement of Fusly 1254- Collections-

	Balance of Fusly 1253-	Increase	Decrease
	5 7 8		
1 Sayer	1,29,129 2 3	16,924 11 8 " " "	104,201 6 4
2 Military	84,545 " "	89,230 " " "	4,685 " "
3 Sundry small Farms & Licenses	9,570 6 9	802 15 9	8,281 " "
4 Motussilla	82,265 9 2	82,458 5 1	78,305 " "
5 Stamps	10,741 9 "	12,736 1 2	1,994 12 "
Total	3,14,281 11 2	2,10,724 1 9	7,05,480 5 5

Net Decrease 104,201 6 4

13392

20- Of the balance Rupees 2170-2-1- a small sum of Rupees 34-2- is the arrears against the Sundry Small Farms and Licenses which will be realized, and the remainder Rupees 2136-1- is the balance due by Ryots liable to Moturpha in the Talook of Salem- This balance far exceeds that of the preceding year, a Takeed has been however sent to the Tahsildar to recover the same, the results of which will hereafter be reported to the Board-

Extra Collections- 21- The subjoined Statement exhibit the Extra Collections made during the present Fusly-

Items-	Fusly 1253-			Fusly 1254-			Comparison- Increase			Decrease		
Sayer	234	7	10	64	6	11	"	"	"	170	"	11
Abkarry	41	9	6	30	10	7	"	"	"	10	14	11
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	29	12	4	58	13	"	29	"	8	"	"	"
Moturpha	215	"	11	256	8	7	41	7	8	"	"	"
Stamps	695	4	"	656	8	9	"	"	"	38	11	3
	1,216	2	7	1,066	15	10	70	8	4	219	11	1
							Net Decrease			149	2	9

In

22- In consequence of the abolition of Sayer, a decrease of Rupees 170-"-11- has taken place, in that branch of Extra Collections- The increase occasioned, owing to the interest collected on the Balance of Rists of the Sundry small Farms and Licenses amounted to Rupees 29-"-8- In Moturpha the increase is Rupees 41-7-8- The decrease on Stamp Revenue Rupees 38-11-3- is in consequence of less penalties imposed by the Court-

23- The old balance due on the Extra Revenue in the Salem District is shewn in the Statement subjoined.-

Items	Fuslies	Due up to Fsly 1253-			Collections up to Fsly 1254-			Remission			Balance		
Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	1253	1,623	2	8	1,623	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1250	66	14	8	"	"	"	66	14	"	"	"	"
	1251	188	9	8	1	"	"	87	9	8	"	"	"
Moturpha	1252	208	5	9	36	6	7	150	3	"	21	12	2
	1253	1,601	"	2	1,158	14	5	"	"	"	442	1	9
Total		"	1,964	14	3	1,196	5	"	304	11	4	463	13	11
Grand Total		"	3,588	"	11	2,819	7	8	304	11	4	463	13	11

Of

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

24-

Of the old balance Rupees 463-13-11- Rupees 21-12-2- is the balance of Fusly 1252- of which Rupees 9-4-4- has been recovered Rupees 2-13-1 will be hereafter realized and Rupees 9-10-9- due by the indigent and emigrated Ryots, cannot be recovered and the remaining Rupees 442-1-9- is the balance of Fusly 1253, of this Rupees 258-9- will be recovered and Rupees 183-8-9- is due by the indigent, deceased, and emigrated Ryots, as follows-

By the indigent 132-5-7-
" the deceased 9-11-2-
" the Emigrated 41-8-0-

183-8-9- of this

the above Rupees 9-10-9- being added makes a total irrecoverable balance Rupees 193-3-6 for the remission of which I request that the sanction of Government may be obtained.-

25.

In the 2d para of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st December 1844 on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Salem for Fusly 1253; the Most Noble the Governor in Council, desires to be informed under what circumstances duty was collected by the Officers in charge of the Frontier Sayer Stations upon Articles

the

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

the produce of the District, which were sold at the Fairs, when duty was leviable under the provisions of Regulation I of 1812 only on the Articles crossing the frontier into the Company's Territories- Altho the Regulation prohibits it, yet the practice prevailed in the District from the time of the introduction of Chowkies, to levy duty not only on all Articles produced within the limit of each Chowkey when brought by Merchants to the Chowkey Mattoo, but also on those carried for sale in the Weekly Fairs, attached to the Chowkey, by the Chowkey Servants deputed for that purpose. By the instructions of the Board dated 17th July 1837. Chowkies were only established at places where the transition of Articles was extensive, and duty was levied on 36 Articles therein specified.- From this alteration, the Sayer Revenue was reduced to one half of the former collection, when Mr. Gleig wrote to the Board on the 15th September 1838 that the cause of so great a decrease was the restriction placed over the Chowkey Servants who were not permitted to leave their Station. and stated at the same time that "I propose with your Boards

sanction

"sanction and as far as my present Establishment
"will permit, to establish Collection on Articles
"liable to duty at the different weekly fairs co
"throughout the Zillah."—

26. Mr. Gleig further added that
"I hope the Board will concur in thinking co
"that it is the least objectionable mode of co
"securing a more general collection: on a rough
"Estimate it is supposed that an increase of
"about 35 or 40 Thousand Rupees may be realized."
The Board upon this permitted in the 2d and
3rd paras of their Proceedings dated 3rd co
December 1838 to withdraw the prohibition by
which the Chowkey Servants were precluded
from leaving the Chowkey Stations— When the
Servants of the frontier Chowkies, also proceeded
to the weekly fairs within the limits of the
Chowkies, and levied duty as the other Chowkey
Servants did; and therefore the decrease occasioned
in the frontier Chowkies by the non collection of
duty on goods sold at the fairs after the abolition
of the Sayer by order of Government conveyed in
the Circular letter from the Secretary to the co
Board dated 28th March 1844 was noticed
in the 4th para of the Extra Revenue Report
of

the Bareg from the
of the District
the Board to their
1838 regarding this
27. In the
of Consultation above alluded to,
in the 3d para of the Board's Proceedings which
accompanied it dated 13th January 1845, the co
opinion of the Principal Collector has been called
for, regarding the Bamboo, Vapulay leaves, and
sealing wax rent, under the head of Small co
Farms. With regard to the Vapanlay leaves,
I submitted my opinion in my letter of the
21st October 1845 recommending the discontinu-
-ance of its rent, which was always attended
with annoyance between the public and the
Renter—

28. The practice of farming the co
Sealing Wax exists only in Denkencottah, the
raw wax is produced by certain kind of insects—
These are brought by the poorer Class of people
from the Jungles and deposited on trees (called
Sallarey) and produce from the Gum the raw wax
after one year— For the purpose of bringing co
these insects the people are obliged to go to
the Jungle of the Mysoor Territories
where they are to be got in abundance, co

but

For 6 Sts George 16th to 20th October 1845.

"sanction and as far as inconsiderable and the co-
"will permit, to exempt over this produce is co-
"liable to duty ich annoyances both to the Renter
"throughout the People that no offer was received for
"the rent in the Fusly under review; the Revenue
derived from it is a trifling sum, the average
for 5 years amounted to Rupees 47- this Fee
recommend may be abolished-

29- The Bamboo rent exists only
in the Nankul Talook. After the assumption
of the Country until the introduction of the
Mootadary system, duty was levied on co-
Bamboos and Beams cut in the Jungle
at the foot of the Collay Malay Hills, in
the Nankul Talook- As the Jungle was
then very thick and extensive, it produced an
Annual Rent, fluctuating from Rupees 3850
to 3,500- From Fusly 1213. after the forma-
-tion of Mootahs up to Fusly 1224 it was
reduced to Rupees 2625, after which period
the Amount of this rent yearly decreased,
and in Fusly 1254, amounted only to co-
Rupees 110, in consequence of the lands, after
the Jungle had been cleared, being taken
under cultivation by the Mootahdars
of Malacoorchey, Sandamungalum, and
Paraipullumpauay,

the Bariz from th-
Parraipullumpauay,
are included in the Ayse Nagee
30- During Colo- acts
management the Ryots of 3 Talls
Nankul, Paramutty, and Sandamungalum,
were allowed to cut Bamboos &c for their co-
own use free of duty, but duty was levied on
large timber- Under Mr M: D: Cockburne an
exemption of duty, was allowed for the timber
used within the District, and was levied
only on what was taken to other Districts
for sale, consequently the Jungles were cleared,
and the lands taken by Mootahdars for co-
Cultivation-

31- I enclose copy of a letter on
this subject from the Sub Collector dated 10th
October 1844, and as the Amount of this rent is
now so trifling, and its collection, also co-
tends to annoy the poorer class of people, co-
whose sole livelihood depends on selling co-
Bamboos, I recommend that this Rent co-
may also be abolished with the other 2 above
mentioned-

Salem Zillah. (Signed) W: E: Lockhart-
Darraunpoory, Collector.
Coll's Cutcherry,
11th October, 1845-

Statement.

13398 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

"sanction and as far as far as the Bamboo Rent in the
"will permit, to exist the Zillah of Salem from as
"liable to Fasly 1203 to 1253—

"throughout Fasly—

		Fasly	Barij. Rupees	A. P.
1		1203	3,850	" "
2		1204	3,850	" "
3		1205	3,850	" "
4		1206	3,850	" "
5		1207	3,850	" "
6		1208	3,850	" "
7		1209	3,850	" "
8		1210	3,500	" "
9		1211	3,500	" "
10		1212	3,500	" "
11		1213	2,450	" "
12		1214	2,800	" "
13		1215	2,100	" "
14		1216	2,100	" "
15		1217	2,800	" "
16		1218	2,800	" "
17		1219	2,800	" "
18		1220	2,625	" "
19		1221	2,625	" "
20		1222	2,625	" "
21		1223	2,625	" "
22		1224	2,625	" "
23		1225	2,275	" "
24		1226	2,275	" "
25		1227	2,275	" "
26		1228	1,575	" "
27		1229	1,575	" "
28		1230	1,480	" "
29		1231	1,481	" "
30		1232	1,300	" "

13403 Fort St. George 16th

from th

Fasly	Barij. Rupees	
1233	1,150	
1234	1,130	
1235	810	
1236	1,170	
1237	300	
1238	371	
1239	210	
1240	231	
1241	11	
" 2	"	
1	"	" "
2	"	" "
1	80	" "
1247	80	" "
1248	101	" "
1249	135	" "
1250	138	" "
1251	109	" "
1252	135	" "
53	136	" "
Total	88,961	" "
	1,744	5 4

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Coll.

Statement

October: Bx. 16th October 1845

Statement shewing the Bariz from the Sayer of Salem from Bamboo Renewal of Salem for Fusly 1254 & Bariz of Fusly 1253

Chowkies	Villages	Bariz of Fusly 1252								Bariz of Fusly 1253 Betel and Tobacco					
Principal Chowkies	Subordinate Chowkies	Total	Principal	Subordinate	Total	Actual Collection of Sayer under arrance		Actual Collections of Betel and Tobacco under Rent		Sayer	Under arrance		Under Rent		
2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9		10	12		13		
Nos	Nos	Nos	Nos	Nos	Nos	CRs	a p	CRs	a p	CRs	CRs	a p	CRs	a p	C
1	1	2	112	130	242	6827	13 8	1250	" "	8177	13				
1	2	3	148	303	451	11062	9 11	1550	" "	12612	9 11		1085	12 10	10
1	"	1	61	218	279	4269	" 5	1100	" "	5369	" 5	87 5	1244	11 5	124
1	"	1	120	190	310	22283	3 10	1400	" "	26683	3 10	9 1	887	5 11	8
1	1	2	68	231	299	9403	2 5	950	" "	10053	2 5	12 4	3229	1 "	
1	"	1	56	118	174	16318	14 8	1150	" "	17308	14 8	12 0	520		
"	"	"	67	218	285	"	" "	1200	" "	1300	" "	"	92		
1	"	1	71	218	289	7593	5 8	550	" "	8113	5 8	6388			
1	"	1	736	135	871	6974	7 1	1550	" "	8524	7 1	4450			
2	"	2	568	111	679	7026	10 1	3050	" "	10076	10 1	6355	10		
1	"	1	611	141	752	7579	1 7	1000	" "	9179	1 7	5979	7 8		
2	"	2	441	42	483	13770	11 1	1400	" "	15170	11 1	8603	9 3	" " "	1117 " "
13	4	17	3059	2055	5114	113609	3 5	19650	" "	133259	3 5	83012	9 5	" " "	15461 10 3
1	"	1	411	712	1123	2561	9 5	1030	" "	4211	9 5	2054	3 4	" " "	1328 5 4
1	"	1	544	211	755	3467	7 2	1300	" "	4707	7 2	2548	12 8	" " "	1042 9 8
2	3	5	"	"	"	18361	5 4	"	" "	18361	5 4	15557	2 1	122 13 8	"
4	3	7	955	933	1888	24390	5 11	2950	" "	27340	5 11	20160	1 10	122 13 8	23
17	7	24	4014	2988	7002	137999	9 4	22600	" "	160599	9 4	103173	11 4	122 13 8	

Salem Zillah Daroonpoory, Collector's Cu

Barrs of Sugly 1751 under examine comparison

Total		Sayer		Betel and Tobacco		Total		Increase		Decrease	
15		16		17		18		19		20	
CRs	a P	CRs	a P	CRs	a P	CRs	a P	CRs	a P	CRs	a P
5422	" 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5422	" 1
9205	5 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	9205	5 11
1158	12 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1158	12 2
18835	1 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	18835	1 2
8311	8 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	8311	8 3
12932	7 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	12932	7 "
1045	1 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1045	1 10
7031	6 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	7031	6 11
5091	" 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5091	" 3
8801	9 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	8801	9 "
7260	" 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	7260	" 2
9720	9 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	9720	9 3
98475	3 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	98475	3 8
3382	8 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3382	8 8
3591	6 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3591	6 5
15679	15 9	15783	3 3	1144	8 5	16927	11 8	1247	11 11	"	" "
22653	14 7	15783	3 3	1144	8 5	16927	11 8	1247	11 11	6973	11 10
121120	2 3	15783	3 3	1144	8 5	16927	11 8	1247	11 11	105449	2 6
										Net Decrease	104201 6 7

E. E. Dr. /Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

Statement

Forth St. George 16th October 1865

1365

Abstract shewing the Sums of the ordinary Revenue under next in the Tallock
No. 2. of Inlets, in Tuesday 1851. Continued with the preceding year.

	Sums of Tuesday 1852.	Sums of Tuesday 1853	Sums of Tuesday 1854.	Increase	Decrease
	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
1 Allen	2000 " "	2125 " "	2568 " "	338 " "	
2 Hammond	5850 " "	4300 " "	4320 " "	" " "	
3 Hannah	2200 " "	1810 " "	1910 " "	100 " "	
4 Jackson	11500 " "	14000 " "	16368 " "	2368 " "	
5 Hinchingbrook	2000 " "	1800 " "	1800 " "	" " "	
6 Langford	4600 " "	5000 " "	5840 " "	840 " "	
7 Thornton	9500 " "	9800 " "	3910 " "	110 " "	
8 Inchmore	2000 " "	1940 " "	2000 " "	60 " "	
9	3900 " "	6350 " "	6700 " "	150 " "	
10 Hungarville	3900 " "	3320 " "	4100 " "	800 " "	
11 Heathingham	5700 " "	6200 " "	" " "	300 " "	
12 Instanton	6200 " "	6350 " "	8330 " "	1080 " "	
	53850 " "	57075 " "	63110 " "	6335 " "	300 " "
13 Cinderville	12800 " "	14000 " "	13215 " "	" " "	785 " "
14 Dyson	11700 " "	13000 " "	12285 " "	" " "	715 " "
Total	24000 " "	27000 " "	25300 " "	" " "	1300 " "
Total	77850 " "	81075 " "	88910 " "	6335 " "	2000 " "
15 Clarkstady	300 " "	300 " "	620 " "	120 " "	" " "
Grand Total	78350 " "	81375 " "	89930 " "	6635 " "	2000 " "
				4635 " "	

E. E. Sharpe Inspector of Cockhaven Collects

Statement

Inform Talbot,
Examinations,
Collected Cattery,
11th October 1865

Fort St. George 10th October 1845

Small Farms and Licenses in the Zillah of Salem for Fusly

Total Bary	Deduct agrahar share	Net Bary	Ain Increase	Increase from Proposal	Total
4	5	6	7	8.	9
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
25 4 6	" " "	25 6 "	" " "	" 2 "	" 2 "
1,570 4 "	" " "	1,570 4 "	" " "	28 " "	28 " "
214 4 "	" " "	214 4 "	" " "	4 " "	4 " "
227 14 "	7 9 4	220 4 8	40-10 "	99 " "	139 10 "
1,014 10 9	" " "	1,014 10 9	" " "	140 8 "	140 8 "
310 " "	" " "	310 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "
400 8 "	" " "	400 8 "	" " "	26 " "	26 " "
157 4 "	" " "	157 4 "	" " "	50 14 "	50 14 "
341 " "	" " "	341 " "	" " "	29 12 "	29 12 "
123 5 "	" " "	123 5 "	22 8 "	2 15 "	25 7 "
643 12 "	" " "	643 12 "	40 12 "	412 " "	452 12 "
1151 " "	" " "	1151 " "		232 6 "	232 6 "
6179 3 9	7 9 4	6171 10 5	103 14 "	1076 9 "	1180 7 "
2296 4 "	17 6 "	2278 13 4	220 " "	12 13 4	232 13 4
171 8 "	" " "	171 8 "	95 " "	1 " "	96 4 "
2467 12 "	17 6 "	2450 5 4	316 " "	13 14	329 14
8646 15 9	25 " "	8621 15 9	419 14 "	1089 10 4	1509 8 4

Darumpoory 11th October, 1845.

13406

No 3. Statement shewing the Revenue from Sundry

Number and Names of the Talooks	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253
1	2	3
	CRs a P	CRs a P
1 Ahtoor	35 " "	34 4 "
2 Namcul	2676 8 "	2854 " "
3 Paramutty	208 14 "	225 6 "
4 Salem	46 2 8	86 6 8
5 Senkerry droog	683 4 9	876 2 9
6 Raizepoor	294 " "	268 " "
7 Womalore	328 4 "	374 8 "
8 Trichingode	105 10 "	106 6 "
9 Darampoory	388 " "	446 8 "
10 Tengercottah	146 10 "	189 14 "
11 Kistnagherry	451 8 "	212 " "
12 Tripatore	836 " "	938 14 "
Ja 235	6199 13 5	6612 5 8
13 Denkencottah	2602 1 4	2845 1 4
14 Ossoor 8 8	213 12 "	113 " "
Sola 8	2815 13 4	2958 1 4
Grand Total	9015 10 9	9570 6 9

1784 Compared with the preceding year.

3 and 4 Decrease				Net			
Ann. Decrease	Decrease from Proposal.	Total		Increase		Decrease	
10	11	12		13		14	15
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d		£ s d		£ s d	
9		9				8 14	
	1311 12	1311 12				1283 12	
	15 2	15 2				11 2	
5 12		5 12		133 14			
				42			
				26			
				50 14			
134	1 14	135 14				105 8	
92		92				66 9	
21		21		431 12			
20 14		20 14		212 2			
282	1339 2	1621 2		1035 2		1475 13	
343 1 14	456	799 1 14				566 14	
34	3 12	37 12		58 8			
377 1 14	459 12	836 13 14		58 8		566 14	
659 1 14	1798 14	2457 15 14		1093 10		2042 1	

Net Decrease — 948 7

E. E. Pt. [Signed] W. E. Lockhart
Collector

13207

No 4. Abstract Statement of the Bariz of the Mo[illegible]

Number and Names of the Talooks.	Particulars of Moorm		
	Jaury	Justice	To
1	2	3	
1 Athoor			
2 Namcul	4	17	2 0
3 Paramutty	24	13	2 4
4 Salem	11	18	0
5 Shenkerrydroog	6	17	2 0
6 Raizepoot	5	16	0 4
7 Wannaloor	5	29	3 0
8 Trichingode	4	31	0
9 Darampoory	19	19	0
10 Tengaracottah	11	18	0
11 Kistnagherry	3	13	1 4
12 Tripatoor	14	8	2 0
	13	9	1 4
Total	111	208	0
13 Denkencottah	"	"	4
14 Ofsoor	"	"	1 6
Total	"	4	
Total	111	208	3 4
15 Mullapaudy	"	"	4
Grand Total	111	208	3 4

Particulars of the Items composing the Revenue in Columns 3 and 5.	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1251		Comparison Increase		Decrease	
1	2		3		4		5	
	CRs	a	CRs	a	CRs	a	CRs	a
1 Bamboo rent	136	"	110	"	"	"	26	"
2 Tamarind Do	3576	8	2894	8	"	"	682	"
Ferry Do	776	4	908	2	131	14	"	"
Gadegawel Honey wax &c	2730	2	2497	14	"	"	232	3
Rent of Fisheries	2309	12	2211	6	"	"	98	5
3 Veppanla leaves	1	12	"	"	"	"	1	12
Rent of Sealing wax	40	"	"	"	"	"	40	"
Total	9570	6	8691	5	"	"	1980	5
					Net Decrease		948	7

11th October, 1845.

E. E. Jt.

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart

Collector

Abstract

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

...apha ...tahs ...tal — Ready Money Collection in the Fasly Mootahs and Aumany Villages in the Zillah of Salem for Fasly 1254.

Number of Ryots liabding Puttahs				Fasly 1252 after deducting sibbendy charges			Fasly 1253 after deducting sibbendy charges			Fasly 1254 Summabundy		
	Fasly Mootahs	Aumany Villages including Zufta Mootahs	Total	Aim Maturpha	Loom Fasc	Total	Aim Maturpha	Loom Fasc	Total	Aim Maturpha	Loom Fasc	Total
4	5	6	7	8		9	10			14	15	16
							11	12	13			
17	"	2374	2374	2485 6 "	1439 " 5	3924 6 5	2506 11 8	1467 3 7	4033 15 3	2723 15 8	1506 6 2	4230 5 10
37	2456	2234	4690	4069 " 1	3757 11 "	7826 11 1	4226 2 11	3703 8 "	7929 10 11	4087 4 4	3907 1 8	7994 6 "
29	813	1498	2311	1263 13 "	2140 9 5	3404 6 5	1896 " 7	2387 6 4	4283 6 11	1744 3 1	2553 8 "	4297 11 1
23	3420	2259	5679	4949 11 9	6841 13 9	11791 9 6	4927 12 "	7470 11 3	12398 7 3	5214 9 9	8220 " "	13434 9 9
21	223	1997	2220	1142 11 3	3100 9 10	4243 5 1	1167 1 11	3349 15 1	4517 1 "	1246 11 9	3616 10 "	4863 5 9
34	723	2921	3033	2520 13 8					8236 9 1	2517 " 7	6122 6 4	8639 6 11
31	"	2703	2703	1750 11 "	3590 8 "	5341 3 "	1698 13 10	3605 8 "	5304 5 10	1849 10 11	3791 12 "	5641 6 11
38	703	1762	2465	1514 11 6	2724 13 9	4239 8 8	1392 10 3	3079 5 1	4471 15 4	1401 5 11	3433 5 5	4834 11 4
39	1242	4041	5283	4271 3 "	3599 2 9	7870 5 9	4451 15 1	3511 9 10	7963 8 11	4597 6 "	3460 14 "	8058 14 "
16	849	2888	3737	2458 15 7	1513 12 8	3972 12 3	2540 3 9	1447 9 2	3987 12 11	2618 4 8	1531 12 "	4150 " 8
22	1148	3145	4293	3872 3 3	1247 5 1	5119 8 4	3560 3 8	1163 10 3	4723 13 11	3597 14 10	1191 " "	4788 14 10
22	2028	3697	5725	4455 14 4	1857 6 6	6313 4 10	5304 2 "	1799 1 5	7103 6 5	4840 2 8	1870 7 "	6710 9 8
119	13614	31579	45193	34775 2 4	37428 13 9	72184 " 1	36154 6 7	38799 11 2	74954 1 9	36438 10 2	41205 2 7	77643 12 9
"	"	4066	4066	3333 3 1	1166 7 9	4499 10 10	3345 8 1	1124 11 "	4470 3 1	3520 6 6	1130 7 "	4636 13 6
"	"	1728	1728	1630 10 9	900 6 6	2531 1 3	1002 3 1	847 7 11	2449 11 "	1629 3 4	868 8 2	2497 11 6
"	4	5788	5788	4963 13 10	2066 14 3	7030 12 10	4947 11 2	1972 2 11	6919 14 1	5155 9 10	1998 15 2	7154 9 "
19	13614	37367	50981	39719 " 2	39495 12 "	79214 12 2	41102 1 9	40771 14 1	81873 15 10	41594 4 "	43204 1 9	84798 5 9
"	4	469	469	284 11 1	133 6 11	418 2 "	264 4 3	127 5 1	391 9 4	276 11 4	124 12 "	401 7 4
19	13614	37836	51450	40003 11 3	39629 2 11	79632 14 2	41366 6 "	40899 3 2	82265 9 2	41870 15 4	43328 13 9	85199 13 1

Fort St. George

16th October 1825

Compared with those of the preceding years.

Bariz of Fusly 1254 (Contd)

Deduct Sibbundy charges and Agrahardars' share

Moturpha Sibbundy charges 17	Moturpha Agrahardars share 18	Moturpha Total 19	Loom Tax Sibbundy charges 20	Loom Tax Agrahardars shares 21	Loom Tax Total 22	Total Charges 23	Net Bariz Main Moturpha 24	Net Bariz Loom Tax 25	Net Bariz Total 26	Comparison between Columns 13 and 26 Increase 27	Comparison between Columns 13 and 26 Decrease 28
87 11 1	43 10 "	131 5 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	131 5 1	2592 10 7	1,506 6 2	4,099 " 9	537 4 3	472 2 9
143 15 7	" " "	143 15 7	61 5 11	" " "	61 5 11	205 4 11	3943 4 9	3845 12 4	7789 1 1	798 10 1	939 3 11
62 4 10	" " "	62 4 10	50 1 8	" " "	50 1 8	112 6 6	1081 14 3	2503 6 4	4,185 4 7	586 3 3	684 5 7
167 14 7	31 4 1	199 2 8	274 2 9	" " "	274 2 9	473 5 5	5015 7 1	7,945 13 3	12961 4 4	1745 9 2	1,182 12 1
51 1 "	" " "	51 1 "	3 8 6	" " "	3 8 6	54 6 6	1195 10 9	3613 1 6	4,808 12 3	667 8 4	375 13 1
82 1 7	" " "	82 1 7	49 2 5	" " "	49 2 5	131 1 "	2434 15 "	6073 3 11	8503 2 11	1200 3 6	928 9 8
81 6 1	" " "	81 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	81 6 1	1768 4 10	3791 12 "	5560 " 10	834 10 7	578 15 7
55 15 "	" " "	55 15 "	33 11 8	" " "	33 11 8	89 13 8	1345 6 11	3399 6 9	4744 13 8	811 5 7	538 7 3
160 6 8	29 1 4	189 8 "	77 12 "	" " "	77 12 "	267 1 "	4407 11 "	3383 2 "	7791 " "	810 10 9	983 3 8
88 14 11	" 3 8	89 2 7	31 7 4	" " "	31 7 4	123 9 11	2527 2 1	1497 4 8	4026 6 9	433 2 9	394 8 11
126 8 4	44 " 9	170 9 1	26 11 11	" " "	26 11 11	197 5 "	3427 5 9	1164 4 1	4591 9 10	403 6 11	535 11 "
115 11 10	4 3 2	119 15 "	42 " 2	" " "	42 " 2	191 15 2	4690 3 8	1828 6 10	6518 10 6	604 4 11	1189 " 10
1253 15 6	152 7 "	1406 6 6	653 2 9	" " "	653 2 9	2059 9 3	35032 3 8	40551 15 10	75584 3 6	9433 " 1	8802 14 "
139 " 7	39 15 10	179 " 5	41 1 "	38 5 9	79 6 9	258 7 2	3347 6 1	1051 " 3	4398 6 4	346 14 3	418 11 "
62 14 9	8 15 9	71 14 6	34 6 10	3 9 10	38 " 8	109 15 2	1557 4 10	830 " 7 6	2387 12 4	133 8 4	195 7 "
201 15 4	48 15 7	250 11 11	75 7 10	41 15 7	117 7 5	368 6 4	4904 10 11	1881 " 7 9	6786 " 8	480 6 7	614 2 "
1455 14 10	201 6 7	1657 5 5	728 10 7	41 15 7	770 10 2	2427 15 7	38936 14 7	42433 " 7	82370 6 2	9913 6 8	9417 " 4
10 11 4	" " "	10 11 4	2 13 "	" " "	2 13 "	13 8 4	266 " "	121 15 "	387 15 "	48 1 10	51 12 2
1466 10 2	201 6 7	1668 " 9	731 " 7	41 15 7	773 " 2	2441 " 11	40202 14 7	42555 6 7	82758 " 2	9961 8 6	9469 12 6

13409

Particulars of Increase in Column 24.

Moturpha

Ain	Amount of Moturpha not entered in the summads of Enamdars	Total
29	30	31
373 3 5	" " "	373 3 5
322 8 5	9 4 11	331 13 4
164 6 11	" " "	164 6 11
394 10 2	12 15 3	507 9 5
111 4 2	" " "	111 4 2
305 11 11	" " "	305 11 11
321 6 7	" " "	324 6 7
142 10 3	" " "	142 10 3
486 5 6	" " "	486 5 6
235 8 8	" " "	235 8 8
245 15 4	9 13 6	255 12 10
373 10 11	" 15 8	374 10 7
3583 6 3	33 1 4	3616 7 7
230 6 5	" " "	230 2 5
53 15 1	" " "	53 15 1
284 1 6	" " "	284 1 6
3867 7 9	33 1 4	3900 9 1
41 12 "	" " "	41 12 "
3909 3 9	33 1 4	3942 5 1

Jaxe on Looms

Ain	Amount of Jaxe on Looms not entered in the summads of Enamdars
32	33
164 " 10	" " "
466 12 9	" " "
421 12 4	" " "
1181 8 6	56 7 3
553 4 2	" " "
894 7 7	" " "
510 4 "	" " "
668 11 4	" " "
324 5 3	" " "
197 10 1	" " "
140 12 7	6 13 6
225 4 "	4 6 14
5748 13 5	67 11 1
116 11 10	" " "
79 9 3	" " "
196 5 1	" " "
5945 2 6	67 11 1
6 5 10	" " "
5951 8 4	67 11 1

Salem Zillah Da

Particulars of the decrease in Column 28.

Moturpha

From the Ryots who paid house Jaxe having become Cultivators	From the decrease in the number of Cattle that pay taxe	Total
39	40	41
10 14 "	58 2 2	347 11 6
172 13 11	1 12 "	614 11 6
201 6 "	4 6 "	378 9 3
17 2 "	128 " "	419 14 4
20 4 "		85 11 4
51 10 1	" " "	293 5 10
231 4 "	" " "	254 15 7
281 3 7	3 12 "	189 13 7
72 " "	" " "	528 6 7
59 1 "	" " "	216 10 4
100 5 3	" " "	388 10 9
225 8 "	" " "	988 3 7
983 11 10	196 " 2	4736 5 2
23 10 "	" " "	228 4 5
13 2 "	" " "	98 13 4
36 12 "	" " "	327 1 9
1020 7 10	196 " 2	5063 6 11
15 14 "	" " "	40 " 3
1036 5 10	196 " 2	5103 7 2

Loom

Jaxe	Total
42	43
124 14 3	472 9 9
324 8 5	939 3 11
305 12 4	684 5 7
702 13 9	1182 12 1
290 1 9	375 15 1
635 3 10	928 9 8
321 " "	578 15 7
348 9 8	538 7 3
454 13 1	983 3 8
147 14 7	394 8 11
147 " 3	535 11 "
200 13 3	1189 " 10
4066 9 2	8802 14 4
190 6 7	418 11 "
96 9 8	195 7 "
287 " 3	614 2 "
4333 9 5	9417 " 4
11 11 11	51 12 2
4363 3 4	9468 12 6

Net Increase

1844- E. E. fs. Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Collector

Comparison between Columns 13 and 26

Increase	Decrease
44	45
65 1 6	" " "
" " "	140 9 10
" " "	98 2 4
502 13 1	" " "
291 11 3	" " "
271 9 10	" " "
255 11 "	" " "
272 14 4	" " "
" " "	172 8 11
38 9 10	" " "
" " "	132 4 1
" " "	584 11 11
1758 6 10	1128 5 1
" " "	71 12 9
" " "	61 11 8
" " "	133 11 5
1758 6 10	1262 " 6
" " "	3 10 4
1758 6 10	1265 10 10
492 12 "	

Statement

13410

No. 1 to 4 Statement shewing the Bariz of Faury Mo...

Fort St. George 15th October 1845

Talooks	No. of Allotments	No. of Ryots holding Puttahs in Fusly 1254	Bariz of Fusly 1252 after deducting subsidy charges						Total			Amount of Motharpha and Loom Tax not entered in the summuds of Enamdars			Total			Decrease by Death desertion and poverty of Ryots			Total		
			Asin Motharpha			Loom Tax																	
1	2	3	4			5			6			31			35			36			37			38			
			CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p	CRs	a	p																
1 Namacul	24	2,450	2,240	6	4	1,665	6	7	3,905	12	11	164	10		537	4	3	"	"	"	537	2	3	278	4	1	20
2 Paramaty	11	813	439	12	9	1,068	5	5	1,508	2	2	466	12	9	789	5	2	9	4	11	798	10	1	440	1	7	2 CRs a P
3 Salem	6	3,420	3,001	11	5	6,424	13	9	9,426	9	2	421	12	4	586	3	3	"	"	"	586	3	3	172	13	3	3,724 74
4 Shenkerrydroog	5	223	171	"	4	83	1	10	254	2	2	1237	13	9	1678	2	8	69	6	6	1745	9	2	274	12	4	1,822 135
5 Raizepoor	5	732	458	13	9	1,448	8	3	1,907	6	"	583	4	2	667	8	4	"	"	"	667	8	4	63	7	4	
6 Trichengode	19	703	467	13	10	670	1	8	1,137	15	6																10452 " 8
7 Darumpoory	11	1,242	982	15	11	810	5	9	1,793	5	8	891	7	7	1,200	3	6	"	"	"	1,200	3	6	241	11	9	
8 Tengaracottah	3	849	705	4	4	331	5	9	1,036	10	1	510	4	"	834	10	7	"	"	"	834	10	7	231	1	7	2,444 7
9 Kistnagherry	14	1,148	926	"	3	309	2	4	1,235	2	7	668	11	4	811	5	7	"	"	"	811	5	7	157	4	"	7 2,297 153
10 Trepatoor	13	2,028	1,760	7	7	482	"	"	2,248	7	7	324	5	3	810	10	9	"	"	"	810	10	9	456	6	7	1,323 2 1
												197	10	1	433	2	9	"	"	"	433	2	9	187	9	4	
Total	111	13,614	11,160	6	6	13,293	3	4	24,453	9	10	147	10	1	386	11	11	16	11	"	403	6	11	288	5	6	1,725 " 2
												229	10	4	598	14	11	5	6	"	604	4	11	762	11	7	9 1,066 6 9
												5816	8	6	9,332	3	8	100	12	5	9,433	"	1	3,556	9	2	2 1,041 4 1
												116	11	10	346	14	3	"	"	"	346	14	3	204	10	5	
												79	9	3	133	8	4	"	"	"	133	8	4	85	11	4	2,232 " 6
												196	5	1	480	6	7	"	"	"	480	6	7	290	5	9	
												6,012	13	7	9,812	10	3	100	12	5	9,913	6	8	3,846	14	11	3 25,296 6 10
												6	5	10	48	1	10	"	"	"	48	1	10	24	2	3	
												6019	3	5	9860	12	1	100	12	5	9,961	8	6	3871	1	2	

rt

Collector's Cutcherry 11th October

Collector
Statement

rumpoory.

Fort St. George

16th October 1845.—

Potahs in the Zillah of Salem for Fusly 1254.—

Aus	of Fusly, 1253 after ing sibbendy charges		Summahbundy			Barij of Deduct sib.	Fusly 1254.— sibbendy and aggrahar shares.—			Net Barij.—			
	Loom Jaxe	Total	Aun Moturfha	Loom Jaxe	Total	Sibbundy Charges	Moturfha aggrahar shares—	Total	Loom Jaxe and sibbendy charges.	Total charges	Aun Moturfha.	Loom Jaxe	Total
373													
322	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
164	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
391	1,021 5 9	3,809 4 8	2123 2 6	1,734 7 7	3857 10 1	71 13 5	" " "	71 13 5	61 5 4	133 2 9	2,051 5 1	1,673 2 3	3,724 7 4
114	1,262 14 4	1,784 11 4	513 11 6	1,378 8 "	1892 3 6	19 4 5	" " "	19 4 5	50 1 8	69 6 1	494 7 1	1,328 6 4	1,822 13 5
305	7,030 9 3	10,814 14 4	3084 1 1	7737 14 "	10,821 15 1	97 " 5	" " "	97 " 5	272 14 "	369 14 5	2,907 " 8	7,405 " 8	10,452 " 8
112	81 5 1	239 3 "	167 7 6	87 " "	254 7 6	6 10 6	" " "	6 10 6	3 8 6	10 2 11	160 13 1	83 7 6	244 4 7
486	1,669 10 2	2,152 7 3	526 11 11	1,835 " "	2,361 11 11	14 10 3	" " "	14 10 3	49 2 5	63 12 8	512 1 8	1,785 13 7	2,297 15 3
235													
245	851 5 6	1,296 " "	442 8 4	932 1 8	1,374 10 "	17 9 3	" " "	17 9 3	33 14 8	51 7 11	424 15 1	898 3 "	1,323 2 1
373	795 11 4	1,802 9 4	998 8 "	777 " "	1,773 8 "	22 6 5	8 10 7	31 1 "	17 6 10	48 7 10	965 7 "	759 9 2	1,725 " 2
3583	321 9 8	1,068 9 11	762 4 "	328 11 "	1091 2 "	17 1 4	" 3 8	17 5 "	7 6 3	24 11 3	744 15 "	321 7 9	1,066 6 9
230	289 13 9	1,101 10 11	813 10 3	279 " "	1092 10 3	17 11 "	2 7 7 4	45 2 4	6 3 10	51 6 2	768 7 11	272 12 2	1,041 4 1
53	494 14 11	2,511 9 8	1,767 1 "	514 4 "	2281 5 "	33 8 10	4 3 2	37 12 "	11 8 6	49 4 6	1,729 5 "	502 11 6	2,232 " 6
284													
3867	14,439 3 9	25,833 " 5	11197 2 1	15,604 1 3	26,801 3 4	317 11 9	40 8 9	358 4 6	513 8 "	871 12 8	10,838 13 7	15,090 9 3	25,929 6 10
111													
3909													

Salem Zillah Durumpoory Collector's Cutcherry
11th October 1845

E. E. Jt. /Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector
Statement—

13411.

Fort St. George

No. 2. in 4 Statement shewing the Bariz of the Amany Villages in the

Number and Names of the Talooks.—	Number of Mootahs	Number of Ryots holding fun Hahs in Fusly 1254	Bariz of Fusly 1252 after deducting sibbundy charges.—			Bariz of sibbundy	
			Main Moturpha	Loom Tax	Total	Main Moturpha	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
			C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	
1 Aktoor	17	2,374	2,485 6 11	1,439 11 5	3,924 6 5	2,566 11 8	
2 Namcul	13	2,234	1,828 9 9	2,092 4 5	3,920 14 2	1,978 4 11	
3 Paramutty	18	1,498	824 11 3	1,072 4 11	1,896 4 3	1,374 3 7	
4 Salem	17	2,259	1,948 11 4	417 11 11	2,365 11 4	1,969 6 11	
5 Senkerry droog	16	1,997	971 10 11	3,017 8 11	3,989 2 11	1,009 4 11	
6 Raizipoor	29	2,921	2,061 15 11	4,167 8 10	6,229 8 9	1,939 11 10	
7 Womalore	31	2,763	1,750 11 11	3,590 8 11	5,341 3 11	1,698 13 10	
8 Trichengode	19	1,762	1,046 13 7	2,064 11 7	3,101 9 2	947 15 9	
9 Darumpoory	18	4,041	3,288 3 1	2,788 13 11	6,077 11 1	3,445 1 1	
10 Tengercottah	13	2,888	1,753 11 3	1,182 6 11	2,936 2 2	1,795 3 6	
11 Kistnagherry	8	3,145	2,946 3 11	938 2 9	3,884 5 9	2,748 6 6	
12 Trupatore	9	3,697	2,689 6 9	1,375 6 6	4,064 13 3	3,287 7 3	
Total	208	31,579	23,594 11 10	24,135 10 5	47,730 6 3	24,760 9 11	
13 Denkencottah	"	4,060	3,333 3 1	1,166 7 9	4,499 10 10	3,345 8 1	
14 Osoor	"	1,728	1,630 10 9	900 6 6	2,531 1 3	1,602 3 1	
Total	"	5,788	4,963 13 10	2,066 14 3	7,030 12 1	4,947 11 2	
Total	208	37,367	28,558 9 8	26,202 8 8	54,761 2 4	29,708 5 1	
15 Mullapaudy	"	469	284 11 1	133 6 11	418 2 "	264 3	
Grand Total	208	37,836	28,843 4 9	26,335 15 7	55,179 4 4	29,972 9 4	

15th October 1845. —

Zillah of Salem for Fusly 1254. —.

Fusly 1253 after deducting Charges				Bariz of Fusly 1254 Jummahbandy of Fusly 1254 —			
Loom Jasc		Total		Aus Motursha	Loom Jasc	Total	
8 CRs	aP	9 CRs	aP	10 CRs	aP	11 CRs	aP	12 CRs	aP
1,457	3 7	4,033	15 3	2,723	15 8	1,506	6 2	4,230	5 10
2,082	2 3	4,060	6 3	1,964	1 10	2,172	10 1	4,136	11 11
1,124	8 "	2,498	11 7	1,230	7 7	1,175	" "	2,405	7 7
420	2 "	2,389	8 11	2,130	8 8	482	2 "	2,612	10 8
3,268	10 "	4,277	14 "	1,079	4 3	3,529	10 "	4,608	14 3
4,144	6 "	6,084	1 10	1,990	4 8	4,287	6 4	6,277	11 "
3,605	8 "	5,304	5 10	1,849	10 11	3,791	12 "	5,641	6 11
2,227	15 7	3,175	15 4	958	13 7	2,501	3 9	3,460	1 4
2,715	14 6	6,160	15 7	3,600	14 "	2,683	14 "	6,284	12 "
1,125	15 6	2,921	3 "	1,856	" 8	1,202	14 "	3,058	14 8
873	12 6	3,622	3 "	2,784	4 7	912	" "	3,696	4 7
1,304	5 6	4,591	12 9	3,073	1 8	1,356	3 "	4,429	4 8
24,360	7 5	49,121	1 4	25,241	8 1	25,601	1 4	50,842	9 5
1,124	11 "	4,470	3 1	3,526	6 6	1,130	7 "	4,056	13 6
847	7 11	2,449	11 "	1,629	3 4	868	8 2	2,497	11 6
1,972	2 11	6,919	14 1	5,155	9 10	1,998	15 2	7,154	9 "
26,332	10 4	56,040	15 5	30,397	1 11	27,600	" 6	57,997	2 5
127	5 1	391	9 4	276	11 4	124	12 "	401	7 4
26,459	15 5	56,432	8 9	30,673	13 3	27,724	12 6	58,398	9 9

13412

Fort St. George

16th October 1845.—

Deduct Sibbundy and Agrar Shares.—

Bariz of Moturpha

Sibbundy Charges	Agrahar Shares	Total	Loom Tax Sibbundy Charges	Agrahar Shares	Total	Fusly 1254.— Total Charges	Nett Bariz Ain Moturpha	Loom Tax	Total
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P	C RS A P
87 11 1	43 10 "	131 5 1	" " 4	" " 4	" " "	131 5 1	2,592 10 7	1,506 6 2	4,099 " 9
72 2 2	" " "	72 2 2	" " 4	" " 4	" " "	72 2 2	1,891 15 8	2,172 10 1	4,064 9 9
43 " 5	" " "	43 " 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	43 " 5	1,187 7 2	1,175 " "	2,362 7 2
70 14 2	31 4 1	102 2 3	1 4 9	" " 4	1 4 9	103 7 "	2,028 6 5	480 12 3	2,509 3 8
44 6 7	" " "	44 6 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	44 6 7	1,034 13 8	3,529 10 "	4,564 7 8
67 7 4	" " "	67 7 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	67 7 4	1,922 13 4	4,287 6 4	6,210 3 8
81 6 1	" " "	81 6 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	81 6 1	1,768 4 10	3,791 12 "	5,560 " 10
38 5 9	" " "	38 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	38 5 9	920 7 10	2,501 3 9	3,421 11 7
138 " 3	20 6 9	158 7 "	60 5 2	" " "	60 5 2	218 12 2	3,442 7 "	2,623 8 10	6,065 15 10
71 13 7	" " "	71 13 7	27 1 1	" " "	27 1 1	98 14 8	1,784 3 1	1,175 12 11	2,960 " "
108 13 4	16 9 5	125 6 9	20 8 1	" " 4	20 8 1	145 14 10	2,658 13 10	891 7 11	3,550 5 9
112 3 "	" " "	112 3 "	30 7 8	" " "	30 7 8	142 10 8	2,960 14 8	1,325 11 4	4,286 10 "
936 3 9	111 14 3	1,048 2 "	139 10 9	" " "	139 10 9	1,187 12 9	24,193 6 1	25,461 6 7	49,654 12 8
139 " 7	39 15 10	179 " 5	41 1 "	38 5 9	79 6 9	258 7 2	3,347 6 1	1,051 " 3	4,398 6 4
62 14 9	8 15 9	71 14 6	34 6 10	3 9 10	38 " 8	109 15 2	1,557 4 10	830 7 6	2,387 12 4
201 15 4	48 15 7	250 14 11	75 7 10	41 15 7	117 7 5	368 6 4	4,904 10 11	1,881 7 9	6,786 2 8
1,138 3 1	160 13 10	1,299 " 11	215 2 7	41 15 7	257 2 2	1,556 3 1	29,098 1 "	27,342 14 4	56,440 15 4
10 11 4	" " "	10 11 4	2 13 "	" " "	2 13 "	13 8 4	266 " "	121 15 "	387 15 "
1,148 14 5	160 13 10	1,309 12 3	217 15 7	41 15 7	259 15 2	1,569 11 5	29,364 1 "	27,464 13 4	56,828 14 4

Daraumpoory 11th October 1845.—

E. E. Flt / Signed / W. E. Lockhart Collector

Statement

1343

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusties 1252. 1253 & 1254 in the Zillah of Salem*

	For the last three Fusties.									Comparison of the Last two Fusties.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1252			Fusly 1253			Fusly 1254			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture				None in this District												
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase				None in this District												
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																
Total Charges																
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	161338	"	4	121333	10	1	163992	2	7	"	"	"	A 104311	7	0	1 This decrease has arisen from the Sayer being abolished as per letters from the Board dated 28th March 1846 and 17th March 1846.
Charges of Collection	18998	2	4	15165	2	7	1825	15	1	"	"	"	B 13319	3	0	2 This decrease has arisen from the abolition of the Establishment.
Charges „ Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges „ Drawback	"	"	"	"	"	"	166	9	7	C 180	9	7	"	"	"	3 This increase has been occasioned from the Sayer duties collected on European Goods being refunded.
Total	18998	2	4	15165	2	7	2132	8	8	180	9	7	13319	3	0	
Net Revenue	142339	14	"	106168	7	6	149459	9	11	"	"	"	91238	13	7	4 This increase was occasioned from higher offers being made for the Abkarry rent in this year.
Per Centage of the Charges	11	12	5 1/6	12	7	1 1/3	11	15	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	
SEA CUSTOMS.																5 Most part of the balance from the rent of Sundry Small farms of last year which stood uncollected within the Fusly being collected in this year, caused this increase.
Gross Collections																
Charges of Collection																6 No Sayer Rowannahs being sold from the abolition of the Sayer caused this decreaje.
Charges „ Commission																
Charges „ Drawback				None in this District												
Total																7 The Establishment for the sale of the Rowannahs being abolished caused this decrease
Net Revenue																
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	78201	5	2	84616	9	0	89260	10	7	D 4644	1	1	"	"	"	8 This decreas has arisen from less collection of Moturpha in this year.
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	78201	5	2	84616	9	0	89260	10	7	4644	1	1	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																N. B. On a comparison of this Statement with that of the Demand collection and Balance Statement for the 1st 11 days of July for Fusly 1254 the following difference will be observed
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	10435	10	"	8070	3	1	9953	3	5	C 1893	"	4	"	"	"	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	10435	4	"	8070	3	1	9953	3	5	1893	"	4	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamp Revenue.																
Gross Collections	12301	8	"	17430	13	"	13392	9	11	"	"	"	J 4044	3	1	Difference
Charges	886	13	4	835	2	"	626	5	9	"	"	"	G 208	12	3	Sayer Rs. 64-6-11 included in column B. under the following heads
Net Revenue	17414	10	8	16601	11	"	12766	4	3	"	"	"	3835	6	10	Extra Revenue - - - 43-11-1
Per Centage of the Charge	4	13	8 8/8	4	12	7/8	4	10	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	Refunds of charges - - 20-11-10
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																64-6-11
Gross Collections	85945	13	1	81330	10	9	79758	2	10	"	"	"	H 1778	7	11	Abkarry Rs. 30-10-7 included in column B. under the head of Interest.
Charges Refunds of collections	10452	4	5	10452	4	5	10452	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	75493	8	7	71084	6	3	69305	14	4	"	"	"	1778	7	11	Sundry Small farms Rs. 58-13-" included in Column B. under the following heads
Per Centage of the Charges	12	2	7	12	13	14	13	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	Extra Revenue - - - 10-11-6
GRAND TOTAL.																Interest - - - - 48-1-6
Gross Collections	354422	4	7	313023	11	5	299356	13	4	6537	1	5	110194	2	6	58-13-"
Charges	30337	2	2	26452	9	1	13111	2	11	180	9	7	13527	15	9	Stamp Revenue Rs. 332-12-9 included in Column B. under the Head of Extra Revenue.
Net Revenue	324085	"	5	286571	5	4	190238	10	5	"	"	"	96315	10	11	Moturpha. Rs. 256-8-7 included in Column B. under the Head of Extra Revenue.
Per Centage of the Charges	8	9	"	8	7	3 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco																
Charges after deductions for Do.																
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions																

E. E. Rockhart
Collector

Paramporay
1st October 1845

E. H. Hurst Asst

13414

Fort St. George

No. 8 Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under each head of

Items.—

Fasly 1253.—

1 Items.—	2 Settlement excluding Sebbendy	3 Collection to the 11th July 1844	4 Extra Collection	5 Total	6 Balance to 11th July 1844 after deducting the collections mentioned in Column 3.—	7 Gross Settlement
1 Sayer	12,112 9 2 3	12,112 9 2 3	234 7 10	12,363 10 1	" " "	16,927 11 8
2 Abkary	845 7 5 " "	845 7 5 " "	41 9 6	84,616 9 6	" " "	89,230 " "
3 Sundry Small Farms and Licenses	9,5706 9	7,947 4 1	29 12 4	7,977 " 5	1,623 2 8	8,621 15 9
4 Moturpha	82,265 9 2	80,664 9 "	215 " 11	80,879 9 11	1,601 " 2	85,199 13 1
5 Stamp Revenue	16,741 9 "	16,741 9 "	695 4 "	17,436 13 "	" " "	12,736 1 2
Total	3,14,281 11 2	3,11,057 8 4	1,216 2 7	3,12,273 10 11	3,224 2 10	2,12,715 9 8

15th October 1845.—

Extra Revenue in the District of Salem for Fusly 1254.—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Sibbundy Charges	Aggra=gate Share	Total	Fusly 1254 Deduct Net Collection Settle=ment or demand								Net Collection to the 11th July 1845—	Extra Collec=tion	Total
											8	9	10
											16,927 11 8	16,927 11 8	64 6 11 16,992 2 7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	89,230 " "	89,230 " "	30 10 7 89,260 10 7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8,621 15 9	8,281 3 9	58 13 " 8,340 " 9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,198 1 9	2,436 2 2,441 7 11	82,758 5 2 7 8,305 5 3 256 8 7 7,561 13 10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,736 1 2	12,736 1 2	656 8 9 13,392 9 11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,198 1 9	2,436 2 2,441 7 11	2,10,274 1 9 2,05,480 5 10 1,066 15 10 2,06,547 5 8

13415

Fort St. George

16th October 1845.—

Balance of the 1st July 1845 after deducting the Collection mentioned in Column 12.	Comparison Between Columns 2 and 11 Increase	Decrease	Comparison Between Columns 5 and 14.— Increase	Decrease	Particulars of Interest from Certain Renters—	Amount of additional Collections in Layer	Amount not included in the Jummah bundy	Received from the Court on account of penalties on unstamped Deeds.—	Refunds of Charges	Refunds of Commissions	Total
15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
" " " " "	"	1,04,201 6 7	" " "	1,04,371 7 6	" " "	43 11 1	" " "	" " "	20 11 10	" " "	64 6 11
" " "	46 5 5	" " "	" " "	46 4 1 1								
						" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30 10 7
340 12	" " "	94 8 7	"	363 " 4	" " "	48 1 6					
						" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 8 13 "
4,45 2 15 11	492 12 "	" " "	" " "	2,31 7 12 1	" " "						
						" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 11 6
" " "	" " "	4,00 5 7 10	" " "	4,04 4 3 1	" " "						
						" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	25 6 8 7
						" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65 6 8 9
4,79 3 11 11	5,14 7 12 "	10,15 5 5 5	5,00 7 1 5	1,10,73 3 6 8	78 12 1	43 11 1	26 7 4 1	65 6 8 9	20 11 10	" " "	1,06 15 10

Net Decrease 1,04,00 7 9 5 Net Decrease 105 7 26 5 3

Daramjeory 11th October 1845.—

the Extra Collections in Column 13.

E. E. Jr/ Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector—

Statement—

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue due on de-

Particulars of Balances.—

	Fusly 1251				Fusly 1252			
	Balance up to Fusly 1253	Collection up to Fusly 1254	Remission	Balance	Balance up to Fusly 1253	Collection up to Fusly 1254	Remission	Balance
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
ance								
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

...ce

...ce

...ce

" "

" "

" " 88

" " "

" " 88 9

...ampa

...ce

...ce

...ce

1347

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

No. 8. Statement shewing the Sayer Collections on all articles which passed the Frontier Chowkies in the Salem District from 12th July to 29th March of Fusly 1254.—

No. of Articles	Names of articles.—	Collections of Fusly 1253	Collections of Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.—
1	Cloths	43,678 1 1	2,756 9 3	" " "	40,921 7 10	
2	Ganjah	235 12 4	" " "	" " "	235 12 4	
3	Bhang	3 " 10	" " "	" " "	3 " 10	
4	Opium	379 " 4	2,797 12 5	2,418 12 1	" " "	
5	Oil &c.	2,550 9 5	521 5 3	" " "	2,029 4 2	
6	Ghee	9,981 15 11	1,038 11 11	" " "	8,943 4 "	
7	Betel Nut	5,523 7 "	2,253 9 3	" " "	3,269 13 11	
8	Tamarind	2,296 13 1	6 1 3	" " "	2,290 11 10	

9 Oak wood Casks — 10232 2 10 35 10 4 " " " 10196 8 6

10 Hungary Oak wood ware — 3691 13 11 60 7 9 " " " 3631 6 2

11 Sugar — 314 1 7 194 14 11 " " " 319 2 8

12 Jamaica — 4804 4 7 35 13 3 " " " 4768 7 4

13 Coconuts — 1408 11 3 135 6 11 " " " 1273 4 6

14 Bay Coconuts — 60 4 1 49 14 " " " " 10 6 1

15 Iron — 2719 6 10 211 11 11 " " " 2507 10 11

16 Cotton wool — " " " 32 3 4 32 3 1 " " "

17 Indigo — 2142 3 208 4 3 " " " 1933 14 2

18 Shells Jetre — 302 3 2 4 " 3 " " " 498 4 11

19 Steam Spun — 1769 6 6 63 8 10 " " " 1108 12 6

20 Gunny — 600 " 3 20 1 10 " " " 579 14 7

21 Cassada — 1178 3 11 117 3 4 " " " 1060 13 7

22 Hides — 1033 15 9 27 13 10 " " " 1379 1 11

23 Upland Cotton — 21 2 1 138 11 3 137 9 4 " " "

24 Cotton — 4 7 2 30 3 11 350 14 9 " " "

25 Silk — 2893 1 10 2130 11 8 " " " 463 3 2

26 Ginger — 23 4 8 17 9 2 " " " 3 11 6

27 Cardamums — " " 7 16 11 11 16 11 4 " " "

28 Cinnamon sticks — 19 12 3 3 9 1 " " " 16 3 2

29 Sandal wood — 190 9 " 26 7 6 " " " 174 1 6

30 Red wood — " " " 1 " 9 1 " 9 " " "

31 Pimento Stones — 8 13 6 " 7 1 " " " 8 6 4

32 Hides — 1033 15 9 27 13 10 " " " 1379 1 11

33 Cinnamon Stones — 782 7 3 38 3 9 " " " 720 3 6

Note: Three items declared ozeca Invoice from the cancellation of damage Cleared up to 9th March 1853. the 27th July 1853. the Permits obtained being only retained, where duly made Collected up to the 9th March 1854. entered and also identified by order of the Board, and thereby the difference of amount Collected to 31st December

Statement of Receipts and Payments for October 1845.

33	Round Cloth			28 26	
34	Shalle			10	
36	Total			8	
38	Lawn Flounce			14 6	9
37	Gauze			40 16	
38	Cloak			12 2	
39	Gloria wove			7 7	6
40	Sleeve			8 7	3
41	Clothing and Lace			14 3	11
42	Glove			11 9	3
43	Commission			8 1	11
44	Callum			10	
45	Gamfiles			12 4	8
46	Gamblesleeve			4 7	1
47	Castle			47 6	10
48	Calmon			10 16	10
49	Tea			6 12	
50	Cofee			19 4	11
51	Tea			14 3	4
52	Gunte			373 4	1
53	Petticoat			78 7	3
54	Lace			1 23	1 2 3
55	Coat			2 14	3
56	Hat			7 12	6 12
57	Robe			23	10
58	Handkerchief			9	10
59	Wage			106	8
60	Candles			117	6

Amount brought forward 1253378 15 7 4568 9 10 9 6 7 13 11 87979 5 1

Entered in the Journal of the 31 October as per Folio 1844.

13420

Fort St. George. 15th October, 1845.

No. 9.

To

J. D. Gloig Esqre

Principal Collector of

Salem.

Sir.

In reply to your yaddasht dated the 21st Ultimo. requesting with reference to my Yaddasht dated 4th idem. to be furnished with an account shewing the extent of land formerly occupied by Bamboos before the decrease took place in the Bamboo farm in the Samaul Talook, and the amount of land brought under cultivation each year, and to be informed to what point the bhedaga and boundary extended formerly; and how far it goes now. and what would be the probable offer for the rent in the present Fusly with reference to the amount of rent from fusly 1247 to 53, and what would be the probable rent according to the amount of rent upto Fusly 1246.- I have the honor to submit copy of an Urzee from the Tahsildar of Samaul dated 28th Ultimo relating to the above points.

2. The question to be decided in this case is whether timber of the larger kind: and valued above two Rupees. which

13419

Fort St. George

Brought Over ... 1,02,553 / 8 15 7 1/4

Sawn duty ... 111 12 / " "

Surplus Collection on Articles ... 105 14 / 8 12 "

Deficiency by Exchange ... 8 11 " 3 "

Collections pounds in the Lascars Godown ... " 12 / " "

Total ... 1,03,778 11 4 15 7 3 4,508 9 10 1/4 959 / 11 8 1390 8 1

Rated ... 8405 6 10 1849 / " " 8 375 15 8 " "

Tobacco ... 9490 " 1 935 1 " " 8 534 4 10 " "

Total ... 1,17,955 6 11 1144 8 3 4,508 9 10 1/4 1870 " 8 1,04,301 0 7

Grand Total ... 1,31,129 2 3 1902 11 8 4,508 9 10 1/4 1870 " 8 1,04,301 0 7

Salem Collectorate ... } J. D. Gloig Collector

Daramsoary ... }

Collectors Cutcherry. }

11th October 1845.

Collectorate

17 Oct 45

which previous to Fusly 1247 had been included in the rent for which the right to levy a duty on bamboos and wood exported to neighbouring Districts was annually disposed of in the Sankul Talook, should now be again included in the farm in question on the abolition of the Sayer duties, under which head a duty had been lavied on this description of timber subsequently to Fusly 1248.

3. The subject of rent is the duty which it has always been customary to levy on wood valued above two Rupees on its transit to other Districts, and not any exclusive privilege of cutting timber of this description though the Tahsildar of Sankul reports that of late it has been usual for the renter himself to cut wood valued at less than two Rupees which continued to form part of the farm, jointly with bamboos, in order to enhance his profits, a practice to which there appears no objection so long as no attempt is made to deprive others of the right common to all of cutting timber.

4. The reason for including timber

timber of the kind in question among the articles bearing duty in the Sayer Department, and withdrawing it from this separate farm, is not apparent, but in Fusly 1247 the Sayer duties were taken under Aumanee, instead of being rented as before, and from that time it has been entered in the list of articles subject to duty.

5. The question therefore is now submitted whether the former practice is to be returned to, as the duties on the timber described formed an item of Revenue distinct from the Sayer duties up to a recent date, and there would be some inconsistency in levying duty on timber of less, and not on that of a higher value.

6. In respect to the other questions in your Yaddasht. The great decrease in the value of the bamboo farm, is partly owing to the duty on wood, and bamboos taken for their own use by the inhabitants of this District having been discontinued from Fusly 1232, on the ground apparently that such duty was not sanctioned in Mr. Macleod's time, as is shewn in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of

Revenue

Fort St. George. 16th October. 1845.

Revenue dated 20th July 1833 which must not only have diminished the Revenue most materially, but probably opened a door to much smuggling, and hurtly to the lands having been gradually cleared of wood and bamboos. But it is impossible to give any accurate account of the lands which were formerly occupied in this way, as these lands are scattered in different places throughout the Mootahs mentioned in the margin, and the Village accounts do not enable us to ascertain the several boundaries or quantities.

Jarae-
Valacorchee-
Illendamum-
galum-
Paliyapullum-
-paray-
Mootahs of Mr.
Paliyapollichum-
Pavitarum-
Amanae-
Mahdevy-

7. Certain portions have been brought to account as cultivated at different times of which a Memorandum is given by the Tahsildar in his Urzee, in which also reference is made to a measurement made by a former Tahsildar of all the lands in the three Mootahs entered in the margin, in high Chedagaunt lands were most extensive. but this account does not distinguish the Chedagaunt lands from other waste lands and the real extent of lands formerly occupied by bamboos and wood in these and other

Valacorchee-
Illendamum-
-galum-
Paliyapullum-
-paray-

Mootahs

Fort St. George. 16th October. 1845.

Mootahs or what portion has been cleared and clandestinely appropriated by the Mootahdars, must still be considered most uncertain.

8. The land disposed of in the last-mentioned way is probably very considerable, as the Mootahs reported to are well supplied with water, and it is not to be expected from the very little regard which was paid to the Chedagaunt lands, and the Government claims in respect to them when the permanent settlements were formed, that the Circar rights should have been much respected, either by preserving the wood or reserving the lands when once they had been cleared. No care having been taken to ascertain their extent at the Survey, nor to distinguish them in many instances from the land entered under the Head of "Anadee Bunjer" at the time of the permanent Settlement.

9. Under these circumstances there can be no surprize that the rent has fallen, or that accounts to shew the extent of these jungle lands cannot now be furnished.

10. The Tahsildar has reported in the accompanying Urzee that the highest

13425 Fort St. George. 16th October 1845.

highest offer made for the rent for the present Fasly if Timber above two Rupees be excluded, is Rupees 70. which is less than usual in consequence of part of the year having already elapsed. the average from Fasly 1248 to 52 being Rupees 123 as R 9 7 and Rupees 100 if this timber be included. As there is thus the prospect of loss from the delay which has occurred in this case, I have directed the Tahsildar to dispose of the usual rent at once, and the right to levy a duty on the higher priced timber, will alone the reserved for future orders. On reference to the Hunzoor Sayer Accounts, it appears that in 5 years the total amount of duty levied on timber valued above two Rupees is Rs 18 a 1 p 1 giving a yearly average of Rs 3 a 9 p 10.

Salem District.
Valoor.
Sub Collr's Cutcherry.
10th October 1845.

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Sub Collector

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Ordered

13426 Fort St. George 16th October 1845

Order thereon — Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting —

64-65

Read the following letters.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

66

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly } Sir,

I request the favor of the Board's instructions relative to my letter of the 22d December 1843, upon the subject of an arrangement proposed to be made regarding a Cauile Enam in Moosery talook —

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

Trichinopoly Collrs Cutcherry 22d September 1845

Order thereon — Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

66-67

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly } Sir, Para 1.

68

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 11th August 1845, relative to an application for continuing the Yeomiah originally granted to Shah Mahomed Ghouse Caudry, I have the honor to state that at the in Mr Travers's Register 3. the Yeomiah is said to be for charity, according to the terms of the Purvannah, of which a Copy and

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

and translation are sent herewith it was granted for service which I beg further to state continues to be performed.

2 It is impossible to trace how the discrepancy between the Register and the terms of the Purwannah occurred.

Trichinopoly Collr. Office 13th October 1845

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

A

Translate of a Purwanah granted by the Nabob Anaverdy Khan to Shah Muhomed Ghouse Cadery for the support of Ausaree Moobareck dated 1st Jamade Ulawal Hijree 1164.

To all Mootasadies now in the service and to those to be hereafter employed in the Sayer Department in the Trichinopoly Talook.

For the expensa of Ausaree Moobareck a daily allowance of 3 Gopally fanams has been granted to Shah Mahomed Ghouse Cadery from the Sayer Department as particularized on the back of this Purwanah you are therefore on the receipt of this Purwanah to pay him daily 3 Gopally fanams taking his receipt for the same.

/ A true translation /
/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Referring

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Resolution thereon. Referring to the Boards Circular of 29th September last the Collector is requested to send the original Purwanah of which a copy has now been forwarded, if still forthcoming with his opinion as to its genuineness, if the original cannot be produced its loss should be accounted for. It is observed that in the copy "the allowance is said to have been made from the Sayer Department," the Collector will be pleased to explain in which manner this allowance has been paid since the assumption of the Trichinopoly District and to what head it has been charged.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 30th May and entered in Consultation 11th August last.

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the above mentioned letter and returned to the Principal Collector with the following address.

To the Principal Collector of Madura

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the List of Pensioners Vizt. which accompanied your Letter of the 30th May last No. 121 with the remarks of the Board entered in the proper Column. You will be pleased to furnish the further explanation therein called for by the Board at your earliest convenience according to the accompanying form.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

68. 69

70

To the Pr. Collr. of Madura

In Cons. 14th Augt. 1845

A

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 16th October 1845

No

13429.

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

No.	Name of the Plaintiff	Amount claimed in the Suit	Amount actually paid in Fasly 1252	Amount Rejected
1	Name of the Plaintiff	Amount claimed in the Suit	Amount actually paid in Fasly 1252	Amount Rejected
2	Institutions			
3	Amount of Stamp paper for the Deeds			
4	Stamp duty on the Plaint			
5	Difference			
6	Fort St. George 16th October 1845			
7	Name of the Plaintiff			
8	Explanation of the Court why they returned the Plaint on the 30th day after the Institution			
9	Signature of the Court on the date the Plaint was returned			
10	Number of the Plaint entered in the Register of the Court			
11	Number of the Plaint entered in the Register of the Court			
12	Orders of Government			

13430. Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 19th Ultimo, and entered in Consultation 13th Instant—

Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted with the following address.

To the Chief Secretary to Government—

71

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting the institution of a Suit against himself and Mr. Ross the Surveyor in the Subordinate Court of Madura by one Soobramanien Chetty and forwarding copies and translation of the Plaint and the answer which he proposes to put in and for the maintaining

Submitting a letter from the P. Collector of Madura reporting the institution of a Suit against himself and Mr. Ross the Surveyor in the Subordinate Court of Madura by one Soobramaniya Chetty to restrain the P. Collr. from removing certain Ungauvimoor buildings in the occupation of the Plaintiff and from interfering with the engagement of certain Grounds and a Well valued in the aggregate at Rs 1270. Stating that the Board consider that the P. Collector has shown in the Answer proposed to be put in good grounds for depriving the Plaintiff of the Item the Pumsand for the removal of

13th Instt. Read letter from the P. Collr. of Madura dated 19th Ultimo, and entered in Consultation 13th Instant—

of the encroachments upon the public Street and recommending that he may be authorized to defend the Suit at the public expence

the defence of which at the public expense he solicits the sanction of Government

2. From these papers it appears that the object of the Action is to restrain the Principal Collector from removing certain "Ungaroms or buildings in the occupation of the Plaintiff and from interfering with his enjoyment of certain Ground and a Well valued in the aggregate at Rupees 1470 on the grounds that the Plaintiff possesses the right to the occupation of the same in accordance with a Deed of mortgage granted to him by certain parties named in the Plaint who lay claim to the property

property in right of succession from the original founders of the buildings.

3. In the Answer proposed to be put in, the Principal Collector after arguing against the regularity of the Suit being brought by the Mortgagee proceeds to state that the buildings in question are not the property of the Mortgagors and that their ancestors appropriated to their own use the Muntapom of the Pagodah and erected without authority or right any kind the buildings under litigation and which having from time to time been extended and converted into dwellings around the Pagodah thereby encroaching clandestinely upon the Public Street.

4. The Board consider that the Principal Collector has shewn good grounds for depriving the Plaintiff of the muntha pom and for the removal of the encroachments in question and therefore they beg to recommend that he may be authorized to defend the Suit at the Public expense

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 16th Oct. 1845

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

13433/to 35 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Read the following letters

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

72

From the Pr. Collr. Sir.
of Madura

I have the honor to forward for the Board's consideration Petition No. 633 of 1834, with the copy of proposed endorsement, which two reasons make me hesitate to give without their prior approbation: the first is its being ten years in petitioners hands before presentation to me. From the inclosure it will be seen it had been presented to my locum tenens, Mr. Morehead in June 1843 and the second is that the Statement respecting the Rupees 3566-13-6 the particulars of which will be found in the Statement No. 2 dated 10th December 1834, inclosed in my letter of that same date, may be twisted into an admission of the Local Officer that such sum is due whereas I am far from satisfied that it is: tho' I am not prepared with means to disprove it.

Madura
19th September
1845

Signed J. Blackburne
Pr. Collector
Proposed.

13436 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

A

Proposed Endorsement

1 Petitioner claims refund of Rupees 16,138 .. 6 said to have been over collected (as per an account Submitted) from his late father Vengapa Chetty on account of Cardamom rent held by him in this Collectorate from fasly 1229 to 1232.

2 An examination of the Treasury accounts exhibits disbursement on account of the renter as follows

31st June 1814	By Cash paid to Thistnama Chetty on account of the Renter	3508	.. ..
8th May Do.	By Do. advanced to the Renter	1500	.. ..
26 January Do.	By Do. paid on account of Cart hire for conveying Cardamoms to the Collector of Trichinopoly	2750	.. ..
28th February	By Do. paid on account of Do. for conveying Do. from Dindigul to Madras	700	.. ..
Do. Do.	By Do. paid to the Renter	1750	.. ..
30th June	By Do. paid to Do.	2861	12 1
Do. Do.	By Do. paid to the Cardamom Establishment and Saderwarad	3918	5 ..
		12878	1 5

3 The above sum is not acknowledged in the petition under consideration. The balance after deducting the above Rupees 3566-13-6 seems to have formed part of the Embezzlement committed by the late Head Shroff, with the Cash Keeper and others and who there is strong belief, held Shares in the rent with petitioners father, as is inferrible from his having abstracted the 3566-13-6 Rupees from the Treasury as on account of the Renter, and petitioners passing it over in silence for a period of 30 years past serves to strengthen the Principal Collector in that opinion, and he accordingly declines any attention to the Petitioners claim.

Madura
19th September 1845

Signed J. Blackburne
Pr. Collector

Resolution thereon. The Board think it will be sufficient if the Principal Collector give an endorsement to

72. 73

13137

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

to the Petitioner rejecting his claim as untenable on account of the length of time which has elapsed since the occurrence of the transaction referred to, and from the protracted silence of the Petitioner in respect to it. It will not be necessary to enter into particulars. Should the Board hereafter have occasion to refer to the Principal Collector for more information on the subject the circumstances may be communicated in detail by letter.

To

T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

74

From the Pl. Coll.r Sir.

I have the honor to request you will correct an omission in my letter of the 8th Instant No. 182 shewing that the late Zemindar of Cunnewady had left only two Widows - whereas in fact he left three the principal and eldest as shewn in that letter, Kagaammal, the second Komah ammal who has made no claim, and who is said to be maintained by the claimant to the Estate, and therefore before overlooked and the third Pauparammal

Madura
19th September 1845

Signed J Blackburne
Pl. Collector

T. C. B.

Ordered

13138

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Order thereon.

74 - 75

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following Letters..

To. T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

76

From E. B. Thomas Esqre Sir.
Coll.r of Tinnevelly } Paral.

Reporting on Petition No. 1473 of 1844 and submitting further information on the subject of succession to the Paiwaly Zemindary in this District.

In reply to the several points directed in the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th June 1845 which accompanied the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 21st July last, for further investigation and report as connected with the subject of the succession to the Paiwaly Zemindary in this District. I have the honor to state that from full and careful enquiries it appeared that the Will though possibly a genuine one, was executed at such a time, and under such circumstances as to give it in my opinion less weight in deciding the claim to succession of the party possessing it though might otherwise be allowed to it.

2. The testator quite a young man was always under the strict surveillance of his uncle, "the Manager" he was deficient in natural ability and from my personal knowledge of him (at several interviews) seemed unlikely to take any such step as bequeathing his Estate by a Will to his Wife. He was moreover taken ill suddenly and died unexpectedly and though the Will bears his signature, it was

Signed

Fort St. George. 16th October. 1845.

signed on his deathbed. and when he was scarcely conscious, these and other points as I had fully ascertained before I mentioned in my former letter to express an opinion as undervaluing the Will.

3. The deceased's family, headed and managed by the Uncle or Manager, had always lived distinct and separate from the half Brother and Family, to whom an independent provision had long ago been made, which was renewed, increased, and secured under a Bond of Engagement written on Stamp Paper, and executed some time before the late Zemindar's death. Both parties were always at enmity with each other and the will was I think prepared by the Uncle to strengthen the Widow's claim knowing the opposition and enmity of the other party.

4. I am unable to discover the existence of any "Law or ancient usage" regarding inheritance which may be said to be peculiar to the class to which the parties now contending for succession belong. Neither party is at present in possession of the Zemindary, which was, as was stated in the end of para 2 of my letter of the 5th December 1844, taken under Circar management pending the receipt of the Board's orders in the case.

I

Fort St. George. 16th October. 1845.

5. I have already expressed my opinion of the Widow's claim as preferable to the Younger half Brother independently of the Will. Her claim is supported by many of the Hindoo Laws and by precedents stated in para 3 of my letter of the 5th December 1844 of Females inheriting, as Sennelgoody, Sungumputty, Caduumboor, and Shendyoor in this District. and Shevagunga in Madura, to which may also be added Talavencottah, Awoodiapooram, Yelaversamundel, Shevagherry, and some others in this, and others in various Districts. I beg to submit Copies in English of ½ Decrees of the Sudr Provincial Courts noted in the Margin which urges the right of Widows and Females to which Zemindaries.

† V. P. 3 Letter to the Board 5th December 1844.

† No. 5 of 1824 of the file of the Court of Sudr Adalut.
No. 31 of 1814 of the file of the Southern Provincial Court.

6. The Petition of Coomara-nad No. 1473 of 1844 with its Enclosures which accompanied the Board's Extract, is herewith returned.

Tinnevelly. - - - - }
Tencarcey. - - - - }
13th September 1845 }

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas
Collector
Present.

Fort St. George. 16th October 1845.

Vol. I of Sudr Court Decrees Page 453.

Enclosure No. 1.

No. 5 of 1824.

Present:

The Honorable J. H. D. Ogilvie Esqr. Chief Judge.

J. Cochrane Esqr. } Puisne Judges
W. Oliver Esqr. }

Stree Vutsavoy Jugganadha Rauze

Versus-

Stree Vutsavoy Boochee Seetiah Respr.

1st Stree Vutsavoy Boochee Seetiah sued Stree Vutsavoy Jugganadha Rauze in the Provincial Court for the Northern Division to recover the Zemindary of Peddapoor with the net profits thereof for Fuslies 1224 and 1225. In her original Petition of Plaint she stated these profits to amount of Rupees 125000, but in a supplemental Petition she estimated them at the sum of Rupees 2,12,069-11-

2nd The suit was filed in the Provincial Court on the 24th May 1817 and the Court by Decree dated the 23rd June 1823 adjudged to the Plaintiff the unalienated portion of the Zemindary with the net profits thereof for Fuslies 1224 and 1225 amounting to Rupees 78090-2-10.

3rd From this Decision Stree Vutsavoy Jugganadha Rauze appealed to the Court of Sudr Adalut by Petition dated the 11th and filed on the 25th

Fort St. George. 16th October 1845.

25th March 1824. The special grounds of appeal were filed on the 4th May 1826 and the answer of the Respondent was filed on the 29th June following.-

4th The Respondent Stree Vutsavoy Boochee Seetiah is the 2nd Widow of Raee Juggaputty Rauze late Zemindar of Peddapoor. On his death which took place in 1804 Stree Vutsavoy Jugganadha Rauze the present appellant obtained possession of the Zemindary: and Lutchmee Nursiah the eldest Widow of the late Zemindar sued him for the recovery thereof in Case No. 89 of 1808 on the file of the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry. The decree of the Zillah Judge declared her to be legally entitled to succeed to the Zemindary on the death of her husband: and on appeal by the present appellant the judgement was affirmed by the Provincial Court. From the Decree of the Provincial Court in that appeal No. 10 of 1810 no appeal was preferred to the Court of Sudr Adalut and Lutchmee Nursiah continued in possession of the Zemindary till her death in 1814 after which the present Respondent held possession for a short time but was then ejected and the present appellant again obtained possession of the Estate.-

5th In his specific objections to the Decree of the Provincial Court the appellant has attempted to shew that under the

Fort St. George. 10th October. 1845.

†Uterine Brother.

the Hindoo Law Women, are incapable of succeeding to Zemindaries, and that her being the nearest male kinsman, was entitled to the succession on the death of the late Raee Juggapatty Rauze. This was the principal plea, on which he defended his title to the succession against the claim set up by the late Lutchmee Nursiah. The Decree of the Provincial Court in that Case, which forms one of the exhibits in the present Suit, shews that the point was then distinctly put in issue and solemnly decided against him and his omission to appeal from that decision effectually precludes him now from contesting Lutchmee Nursiah's right to the Estate on the death of her husband. Of that right so far as is concerned the Decree of the Provincial Court in Appeal No. 10 of 1810 is final and conclusive, and the only question now to be determined is whether the Appellant or the Respondent is entitled to succeed to Lutchmee Nursiah.

6th In the Special grounds of appeal advanced by the Appellant the only pleas relevant to this point are 1st that pending his appeal to the Provincial Court from the decision of the Zillah Judge of Rajahmundry in O.S. No. 89. of 1808. the late Lutchmee Nursiah entered into a Rajeenamah with him, which expressly acknowledged his title to succeed her, and

Fort St. George. 10th October. 1845.

and which was confirmed by various subsequent acts on her part 2nd that after the decease of Lutchmee Nursiah, the Zillah Judge of Rajahmundry recognized his right as heir of Lutchmee Nursiah to defend a Suit instituted against her, which was admitted by the Provincial Court. And 3rd that Boochee Seetah as the second Wife, has no title whatever to succeed to her husband's estate under the Hindoo Law.

7th The Respondent denies that the alleged Rajeenamah was executed by Lutchmee Nursiah; but it is quite unnecessary to determine this question of fact. Admitting that this deed was bona fide entered into by Lutchmee Nursiah, it is perfectly clear that she was incompetent to constitute the Appellant of her heir to the prejudice of those who were by law entitled to the succession. The deed, therefore, supposing it to have been executed, is an absolute nullity so far as the rights of Lutchmee Nursiah's legal heirs are concerned and must be thrown out of consideration in determining the real question at issue between the parties.

8th The second plea is equally unavailing to the Appellant. On the death of Lutchmee Nursiah the Respondent

Boochee

Fort St. George 10th October, 1845.

Boochee Seetiah would appear to have taken possession of the Zemindary: and whatever opinion the Zillah Judge might have had of the appellant's right to it he was not warranted in removing Boochee Seetiah from the possession. He acted still more irregularly in reporting the appellant as a legal heir of Lutchmee Nursiah, and with the knowledge which the Provincial Court had of Boochee Seetiah's claim: they were not warranted in admitting the appellant to defend the suit instituted against Lutchmee Nursiah. But these irregular acts on the part of the Zillah Judge and the Provincial Court cannot be allowed to defeat or to prejudice in any way the Respondent's claim to the succession.

9th The third plea is founded principally on a passage in the opinion given by the Hindoo Law Officer in the Zillah Court in the O.S. between Lutchmee Nursiah and the present Appellant. Upon this passage it is sufficient to observe, that it goes only to shew the right of Lutchmee Nursiah, as the eldest Widow to succeed to her husband's Estate in preference to the other Widows: and that during her life they were entitled to maintenance only. In support of this plea, the Appellant also

Fort St. George, 10th October, 1845.

associates two cases decided by the Sudr Dewanee Udalut in Bengal, No 12 and 17 of select causes adjudged in 1807. The case first cited was a suit instituted by two persons against the Widow of their brother, to set aside an adoption made by the Widow as illegally depriving them of the reversion of a part of a joint Estate. The deed, under which the adoption was made, was set aside as a forgery, and the reversion of Estate was declared to vest in the two brothers on the Widows death. It was in effect determined that the Widow had a life interest only in her husband's landed Estate, and that therefore any alienation of it by her would be invalid and void. In every view of this case, it is scarcely possible to imagine one less adapted to answer the purpose for which it was cited. The other case is equally inapplicable. The only points it determines are the two adoptions in the family of the same man, are valid, and that an adopted son succeeds collaterally as well as lineally in the family of his father by adoption. The Court would hardly have thought it necessary to notice these cases if the Appellant had not complained that the Provincial Court omitted to take them into consideration and they must observe that if these cases had been more relevant

to

to the point now at issue they would have been of little authority, inasmuch as in both the causes of action arose in the Province of Bengal where the law of succession to property differs in many respects from that which prevails in this part of the Country.

10th The authorities cited in note 8 to page 56 of the first Volume of the Elements of the Hindoo Law by Sir Thomas Strange appear to the Court to be decisive of the 2nd Widow's right to succeed on the death of the first; but for the satisfaction of the appellant more than for their own they referred the question for the opinion of their Law Officers. Their answer was that She, the second Widow, is entitled; and they refer to the Mitacshara as their authority. Another question was put to them as to the competency of the eldest Widow, during the life, to constitute by deed any person, other than the second Widow her heir and successor, and their answer declared her total incompetency, referring to the same authority. This question was put with reference to the Rajeramah, which is alleged to have been executed to the Appellant by the late Lutchmee Nursiah and upon which the Appellant so strongly insists.

Upon

11th Upon this part of the case it only remains for the Court to affirm and they do hereby affirm accordingly so much of the Provincial Courts Decree as adjudges to the Respondent the right of succession to the Zemindary of Peddapoor on the death of the late Lutchmee Nursiah.

12th At the death of the late Lutchmee Nursiah the Zemindary consisted of ten Mootahs Viz.t 1. Peddapooram, 2. Veera=veram, 3 Lingumpurtee, 4. Bikkavole, 5. Tingeram, 6. Beemanapullee, 7 Tudeshalayroo, 8. Velloogoo=bunda, 9. Kirlumpoody and 10. Juggamapettah. In September 1814 the Appellant sold the Mootahs of 6. Beemanapullee with the ex=ception of four Villages, 7 Tudeshalayroo and 8 Velloogoobunda: and in October 1816. the Mootahs of 9 Kirlumpoody and 10 Juggama=pettah, were sold by the Collector for an arrear of Revenue. For the recovery of the 3 Mootahs which the Appellant disposed of by private sale, the Provincial Court in their Decree properly reserved the Respondent to an action against him and the Purchasers, and they declared their incompetency to adjudge to her possession of the two Moo=tahs which were sold by the Collector to liquidate an arrear due

due from the Zemindary. They therefore decreed restitution to her of the unalienated portion of the estate with the net produce thereof for Fuslies 1224 and 1225 which they estimated at Rupees 78090-2-10.

13th The appellant objects to the manner in which the account of the net produce has been calculated. He avers that the profits derived by the renters the expenses incurred for repairs the charges of the Amanee Villages and other items of expense had not been deducted from the gross produce of the Villages. He alleges that the Mootahs Velloogoobundah, Vendeshalum and Peemanapullee with the exception of four Villages were sold to discharge the arrears due from the Zemindary for Fusly 1233 when Lutchmee Nursiah died that for the balance of those arrears amounting to Rupees 52,830 1/2 he gave security and afterwards discharged it, that Lutchmee Nursiah had anticipated the collections from the Mootahs of Peddapooram, Veera=verum, Bikkavole and Linguimpuntee to the amount of Rupees 22,000 for which he afterwards gave credit to the Renters

and

and Ryots and that thus he has sustained a loss of Rupees 71,830 1/2. He also alleges that he has paid the sum of Rupees 25000 on account of the allowances fixed by Government of the Mother and third widow of the late Raja Suggaputty Pauze.

14th The Court have minutely examined the accounts from which the Statement of the net produce of the five Mootahs of Peddapooram, Veeraverum, Linguimpuntee, Bikkivole and Vinjeram inserted in the Decree of the Provincial Court was made out and they are unable to discover any error in it. From the gross produce of the Villages every item of charge for which the appellant is entitled to claim credit is allowed to be deducted and his objections on this head are clearly unfounded. His allegation respecting the balance of arrears for Fusly 1223 remaining due after payment to the Collector of the sum produced by the Sale of Velloogoobundah and two other Mootahs is quite irreconcileable with his own exhibits. His exhibits Nos 88, 89 and 90 shew that these Mootahs were sold for the aggregate sum of Star Pagodas 54,998 1/2 and the exhibit No 92 shows that the arrears due on the Zemindary for Fusly 1223 up to the 14th September 1814 amounted with interest and charges to Star Pagodas 60,257-23-5 being only Pagodas 5,259 or Rupees 18,406 1/2 over and above the amount

produced

produced by the Sale of the 3 Inoostahs - Of the alleged anticipation of the collections by Lut= =chme Narsiah, and of the credit alleged to have been given by the appellant to the Renters and Ryots for the collections so anticipated there is no evidence in the Re= =cord, none at east that can be admitted, and the alleged payment of Rupees 25,000 on ac= =count of the allowances to the Inother and the third widow of the late Raee Juggapetty Rauze is equally destitute of proof.

15. There can be no doubt that the appellant is accountable to the Respondent for the net profits of the estate for the time during which he held possession, but the Court are by no means satisfied that the Record affords materials for an equitable adjustment of the accounts between the parties, even in respect to the profits of the two fusslies 1224 and 1225. Although it would appear that the arrears due from the Ze= =mindary on the death of the late Lutchme Nur= =siah, exceeded the amount realized by the sale of the 3 Inoostahs only in the sum of Rupees 15,406 Pr. yet the exhibit No. 91 seems to shew that in point of fact the appellant gave to the Collector security for the sum of Rupees 52830 Pr. on account of those arrears

arrears. It is difficult to understand why security to this amount was taken, unless indeed the appellant retained in his own hands a great part of the amount realized by the sale of the Inoostahs, but this supposition seems to be opposed by the fact that he had informed the Collector, as is shewn by the exhibits Nos. 88 and 90 of the specific purpose for which the Inoostahs, the two most valuable of them at least, were sold, and it is reasonable to pre= =sume that the Collector would have insisted on the payment of the full sum realized by the sale - At all events there is something in this part of the case which requires expla= =nation, before the exact amount for which the appellant is entitled to credit can be determined - It is probable also, though there is no sufficient proof of the fact, that the allowances of the Inother and the third widow of the late Raee Juggapetty Rauze, were paid from the Collections of the Zemin= =dary, and it is very possible that he may have made other disbursements for which he is justly entitled to recieve credit in account.

16. On the other hand the Respondent complains, and with justice that the Provin= =cial Court have not awarded to her the net profit of the two Inoostahs of Kirlumpoody and Juggamapettah

Juggamapettah, and of the four reserved Villages of the Beemanapilly Mootah for Fuslies 1224 and 1225. The Record exhibits the accounts of the two Mootahs above named only for Fusly 1224, and there are no accounts whatever of the Collec= =tions made from the four reserved Villages. It is quite clear that on the principles which governed the decision of the Provincial Court, the net profits derived from his portion of the Zemindaree in the two Fuslies should have been included in the Statement of sums payable by the appellant.

17. The Court have felt considerable embarrassments in determining the best method of dealing with this part of the case. In strict= =ness, perhaps, the Court would be warranted in affirming the judgement of the Provin= =cial Court, because on the one hand the appellant has failed to establish by satis= =factory evidence, his claim to receive credit for any disbursements beyond those for which credit is allowed to him in the statement inserted in the Decree and because on the other hand, the Respondent has omitted to appeal from that part of the judgement which goes to render the appellant unaccountable to her for the net profits of the two Mootahs of Kirlumpoody and Juggamapettah and the

the four reserved Villages of the Beemanapilly Mootah - But after the fullest deliberation the Court are of opinion, that, the right to the Zemin= =dary being determined, the ends of substantial justice will best be answered by leaving the whole accounts between the parties open to the future adjustment. It is quite clear that this course of proceeding cannot operate to the =prejudice of either of the parties; nor will it tend to prolong the litigation between them, for it appears that the Zemindary was held by appellant till April 1817, when it was at= =tached by the Collector for arrears of revenue and has ever since continued under attachment and up to that date the Respondent is of course entitled to demand from the appellant the net profits of the estate - It is not to be sup= =posed that the Respondent will relinquish her rights in this respect, so that matter for liti= =gation would still remain even if the accounts up to the end of Fusly 1225 could be satisfac= =torily adjusted from the imperfect evidence on the record.

18. Upon these considerations the Court order and adjudge that so much of the Provincial Court's Decree as awards to the Res= =pondent the Specific sum of Rupees 78090-2-10 as the net profits of the Zemaindary for Fuslies 1224 and 1225 be set aside and they direct

Fort St. George 16th October 1845

direct that the Provincial Court do receive such additional evidence as is requisite for the purpose of determining the precise sums for which the Appellant is justly accountable to the Respondent for the clear profit derived by him during the whole time he held possession of the estate.-

19th The Court further order and adjudge that each party shall in the first instance pay his own costs in this appeal and that the proportion of costs for which each is justly responsible shall be adjusted in the final settlement of accounts between them.-

/True Copy/

/Signed/ C. B. Thomas

Collector

Southern

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

A. No. 31 of 1817.

Southern Division.

Zillah Court of Tinnevelly. ------ No. 3 of 1817.

Provincial Court of Appeal. ------ No. 31 of 1817.

Appellants.	Respondent.
Murdanachayer and Selemboyee Guardian to the above ------	Versus- Teroovengada Talaven.
Appellants Pleader.	Respondents Pleader.
Parasooraina Naick.	Ellapa Moodaliar.

Copy of the Decree of the Provincial Court.

The Respondent sued the Appellants in the Adalut of the Zillah of Tinnevelly to obtain possession of the Zemindary of Talaven Kollah paying an annual Peishcush of Star Pagodas 800 or Rupees 2800 as also of the Village Tauroogapoorum paying to the Circar an annual quit Rent of Cully Chuckrums 600 or Rupees 1,272 - 3 3/16 fanams, and in support of his claim the Respondent has urged the following grounds Viz.- That Sooraswngoo Indralalaven, the late Zemindar died without male issue. That females both by law and usage are excluded from succession, and that he being the son of the younger Brother of Teroovenmanunda Talaven the Grand father of the late Zemindar, is by that Relationship, the legal heir and entitled to the inheritance.-

The

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

The Appellants admit the Relationship between the Respondent and the late Zemindar, but have resisted his claim to inherit the Zemindary upon the following grounds. Viz.t That Ramasawmy Indratalaven the Father of Maroodanachayar the first Appellant, and the Elder Brother of the late Zemindar, held the Zemindary of Talavencottah by Virtue of Sunnud Imilkent Isteemrar or Deed of Permanent property, granted to him by Government. That previously to his demise, he made over his proprietary Right in the Zemindary to his Brother Soorasungoo Indratalaven, the late Zemindar; at the same time Committing to his care, his only Daughter the 1st. Appellant then an Infant. That the said Soorasungoo Indratalaven did in consequence of that Transfer possess and enjoy the said Zemindary up to the period of his death. That he the said Soorasungoo Indratalaven left a will, in which he bequeathed the Zemindary to his Niece the 1st. Appellant, and that such Bequest is declared to be Lawful and Valid by the fifteenth clause of the sunnud Imelkent Isteemrar or Deed of Permanent Property. The Appellants then state that females are and have been declared eligible to inherit the Property of their Ancestors, and name several Zemindaries now actually held and possessed by females in refutation of the Respondents assertion "that females, both by Law and usage are excluded

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

excluded from Succession." and that as Maroodanachayar the 1st. Appellant is the Daughter of Ramasawmy Indratalaven the former Zemindar, and the Niece of Soorungoo Indratalaven the late Zemindar, she is clearly entitled to inherit the Zemindary as being the nearest of Kin in direct Lineal Descent from the Common ancestor, even though the late Zemindar had not bequeathed it to her by Will.

The Zillah Judge having considered the Pleadings of the Parties deemed it to be necessary to hear evidence or File any documents in this suit, and having questioned the Hindoo Law Officer upon the matter at issue between the Litigants, adjudged the possession of the Zemindary to the Respondent upon the grounds of the answer delivered by that Officer.

The Appellants being dissatisfied with the Decree of the Zillah Judge appealed therefrom to the Provincial Court upon the grounds stated in their answer to the Petition of Plaint and they were subsequently permitted to present a Supplemental Petition with Documents and a list of Witnesses which they requested might be considered by the Provincial Court in passing Judgment upon the Case in Appeal.

The Provincial Court having had under their consideration the whole of the Proceedings held in the Lower Court as well as all the Papers and Documents filed in appeal it appears to be necessary to put the following question to the Hindoo Law Officer.

13459 Fort St. George 16th. October 1815.

Southern Division.


Seal.

Zillah Court of Tinnevelly ..... No. 3 of 1817.
Provincial Court of Appeal ..... No. 31 of 1817.

Appellants. Respondents

Murdanachayar and her Guardian Silemboyee ... } Versus. Teroovangada talaven.

Oodia Talaven



13460 Fort St. George 16th. October 1815.

To Sashadry Saustry Pundit of the Provincial Court for the Southern Division

The Appellant Murdana ... chayar is Daughter of the elder Brother of the deceased Zemindar Sooraasungoo Indra Talaven

The Respondent Teroovenga ... da Talaven is son of the younger Brother of the grand father of the deceased Zemindar.

Question. You are required to consider the above Genealogical table and to state according to the Hindoo Law which of the Parties in this Suit is entitled to inherit the Zemindary of Talaveencottah.

Register's Office Trichinopoly ... 17th. March 1820 ...

By Order of the Court. (Signed) G. W. Saunders Register

Answer of the Pundit. On a perusal and consideration of the foregoing question and the Genealogical Table as well as the Pleadings filed in the original suit therewith forwarded it appears that the Respondent is the grand son of the common Ancestor Oodiatalaven, and Appellant the Daughter of the grandson of the Eldest son of the Common Ancestor.

The Respondent in his Petition of Plaint stated that the Zemindary of Talaveencottah was enjoyed by eldest son, and that others are entitled only to maintenance, which Statement is admitted by the Appellant in her Answer filed in the original Suit. On a consideration of the foregoing circumstance admitted by both Parties it is apparent that eldest sons have an exclusive Right to the Zemindary.

The ancestors of the Appellant had enjoyed the Zemindary from four Generations and the Respondent and

and his father have neglected pursuing their claim, consequently the exclusive Right of the Ancestors of Appellant to the Zemindary is confirmed.

And Doyabhagum or Chapter containing Rules respecting the Partition of Estate between Coheirs of Viggana: swarum and other Hindoo Law Books, declare that any person who shall not pursue his claim to share the Paternal Estate, against his Brothers shall forfeit his claim, which declaration more strongly confirm the exclusive Right of Appellants father to the Zemindary.

Appellants father having died without leaving a male issue, his Daughter is authorized to per: form his funeral (Rites) and to receive his property, wherefore the Appellant Maroodanachayar is entitled to the Zemindary according to the Hindoo Law.

Thus the Widows, Daughters, and other Relati: ons of the Person dying without male issue, are declared to be competent to perform his funerab rites by the Chapter called Upoobra Dhanupracaranum in Doyabhagum of Viggana swarum Jagannada Surkapunchanum and other Hindoo Law Books.

(Signed) Sashadry Saunstry
Pundit

Read in Court this 1st April 1820.

(Signed) G. U Saunders
Register.

In conformity therefore with the Hindoo Law as explained by the Hindoo Law Officer and confirmed by a

a Will (Exhibit B in No 15) proved to have been executed by Soorasungoo Indratalaven the late Zemindar Bequeath: ing the Zemindary to the Appellants: the Provincial Court set: ting aside the Decision of the Adawlut in the Zillah of Tinnevelly do order and adjudge that the Appellant be put in immediate possession of the Lands compos: ing the Zemindary of Talavencottah, together with the Village of Tawroogapoorum and all appertenances thereto belonging, and further that the Respondent do account to the appellant for all the profits which may have accrued from the Zemindary during the period it has been in his possession.

The Provincial Court do also adjudge that Respondent shall pay the costs of Suit according to the following Statement.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Amount Deposited in Court by the Appellants under Section XXIII Regulation XIV of 1816 on account of their Vakeels fees being payable to him, the same is to be refunded to them by the Respondent.	203	9	6 41/100
The Price of Stamped papers used by the Appellants to be paid to them by the Respondent	216	—	—
Costs of Suit awarded against the Appellants by the Zillah Decree to be repaid to them if collected.	565	3	— 50/100
Total Rupees	984	12	7 41/100

Total Rupees Nine hundred and eighty four Annas Twelve Pice Seven and cents of a Pice forty one.

Costs

13463

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

Costs of suit incurred by the Respondents in the Appeal. Viz.t Price of Stamp'd paper ..... Rs 23 — a — p —

Amount of the Respondents Vakeels fees deposited in Court ..... 203 — 9 — 6 4/m

Total Rupees — 226 — 9 — 6 4/100

Total Rupees Two hundred and twenty six annas Nine, Pice six, and cents of a Pice Sixty one.

Given under our hands and the seal of the Court in the Court House at Trichinopoly this 6th day of April A. D. 1820.—

Attested.

(Signed) C. W. Higginson
Asst. 3d. Judge for Register.

(True copy of a copy)
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

76 - 77

Order thereon,
(Read again in Cons: 27th Oct.r)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

To,
J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

78

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly ..... Reporting further

Sir,
Para 1.

I have the honor to Acknowledge the

13464

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.—

further respecting the adoption said to have been made by the late Zemindar of Sungapoody in this District stating the Collector's opinion that the Estate should revert to Government & that an allowance of Rupees 960 per Annum would be liberal provision for the Surviving Members of the family.—

the receipt of your letter of the 7th July 1845, calling for further information respecting the adoption stated to have been made by the late Sungravoody Ummal Zemindar of Singumputty in this District, and to state that it appears clear from full enquiry, that Sungravoody Ummal really made no such adoption at all—her husband Seevasoobramooneya Taven died in October 1826, leaving 2 Widows without issue, and without mention or instructions for any adoption—and from that time down to the death of Sungravoody Ummal, involving a period of 19 Years, no allusion to, or pretence of adoption, has been made by her, in her constant correspondence with this Office—A circumstance which cannot fail to show that she never really made any adoption at all. Though she Knew fully the purport of my letter to the Board of the 21st april last, she made no attempt to contradict the Statement, "that she hitherto had made none"

2. It is usual throughout this District for Zemindars to make a report of any adoption, which she did not do—Even in her last arzee, evidently written for her when near her death, she merely stated indefinitely, that "she had appointed

appointed her adopted Son to succeed her, without saying when, where, and how, she had made any such adoption. —

3. An attempt has been made by the Father of the Boy now put forward, to prove the adoption by a Stampt Document, but this has been found on the clearest proof to be a fabrication. —

4. The husband having left no instructions to make an adoption, nor any having been really made, a party in the Situation of Jungavoody Ummal would not, under the Hindoo law or according to the customs of the District, have a right to adopt.

5. The last male Zemindar Pareasawmy Taven (who was executed) was the Son of the Cousin of Seevatoobramaneya Taven or the husband of Jungavoody Ummal, and his Mother Soodalamootoo Ummal, his Sister Seevanoy and her Son and Daughter, are the only connections still living, and their right and pretensions to the Estate of Singumputty, have been discussed and finally disposed of in the correspondence with the Board and Government noted in the Margin, especially

To the Board	1st	October	1834
From Do.	21st	Do.	
To Do.	13th	November	Do.
From Do.	14th	December	Do.
To Do.	23d	Do.	
From Do.	9th	March	1835

especially in the letter of Mr. Eden dated 1st October 1834. None of those parties can be termed heirs, the estate legally escheats to Government for the reasons enumerated in my letter of the 21st April last. —

6. As regards the present condition of the Estate of Singumputty and its resources compared with the public demand upon it, I have in para 2 of my letter of the 21st April 1845, stated that it did not yield it might and ought; that this is almost invariably the Case with Zuptee Estates; and assigned the chief reasons why it is so. The assumed Bariz, on which the Peishcush was settled, amounted to Rupees 12,421—8 — and during the 5 years in which the Pollum was under Asumanie, in Fusly 1246 alone, it yielded the highest Bariz, or Rupees 13,045—3—2 although the cultivation was greater in Fusly 1252, the settlement did not come to more than Rupees 7,564—5—8 owing to low prices. The Jummabundy is not yet concluded for Fusly 1254, but its amount is

to

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

it is expected will probably be about Rupees 9624. The amount of annual Peshcush is Rupees 8,050, and the average of the 4 Fumanie Years (excluding 1252 in which the Bariz was the lowest) is equal to Rupees 9,675, from which deducting Charges on account of Sibbendy and Maramut, Rupees 425, and also Peshcush Rupees 8,050 leaves Rupees 1,200 as the Net Surplus.

		Rs	A	P
Fusly	1244	9238	11	5
"	1245	7,400	12	2
"	1246	13045	3	2
"	1253	9012	14	5
		38700	9	2
Average		9675	"	"

Annual Charges

Sibbendy	180
Maramut	245
	425

7- The Estate consists of 690 Cottahs of Nunjah and 1120 Cottahs of Poonjah. There are not many arrears at present outstanding against it - Rupees 3,602, — 4 — 9 — are due up to August 1845, to meet which there is held in Deposit from the Surplus collections of fusly 1246 a sum of Rupees 2318 — a — 1 in favor of the Pollum, leaving the net balance only at Rupees 1284 — 4 — 8.

		Rs	A	P
Balance of Peshcush For fusly	1251	2,147	11	3
"	1252	1,454	9	6
		3602	4	9

Deduct Surplus Collections of 1246 in Deposit in favor of the Estate	2318	a — 1
Net Balance	1284	4 — 8

8- If the Estate were put up

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

up to Auction, it may fetch about 40,000 Rupees, it would probably be in request the Situation, near the Hills, getting the first supply of water, is desirable and the Estate under proper permanent management and with some outlay for. Maramut, is capable of much improvement, it lies in the Sub Collectors Division, Brummadasum Talook, but as all the Zemindaries are under my Office, I have been over the whole of it, and speak from personal Knowledge of its locality and capabilities. Since it has been in litigation and frequently changing hands, the Maramut has been neglected and the Estate mismanaged. The occupant Ryots would prefer falling under Government hands rather than of any purchaser or middle man who might oust or impoverish them - as the Estate is in arrears, and there is no real legal heir, I am of Opinion it should at once revert to Government, and that an allowance of

13469 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

	per Month. Rs.
To Soodalamootoo Mother of Paeasawmy Saven	30
To her Daughter Seevavoy	15
To Meenatchy Daughter of Do.	10
To Sevasoobramoonea Saven Son of Do.	10
To Soobramoneya Saven Brother of Sungravoody Ummal	15
Per Mensem	80
Or Per Annum	960.

of Rupees 960 per Annum, with the Family house, (which is use-less to Government) would be an ample and liberal provision for the remaining members of the family (amongst whom the Boy and his Father are included) in deference not to any legal claims, but to the dying wish of Sungaravoody Ummal, and in the liberality of Government to a decayed Family.-

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
15th September, 1845.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

78. 79

Order thereon-
/Read again in Cons. 20th Octr./

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

Read

13470 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Read the following Report

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

80

From the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

Submitting the Statements of the Settlement and Collections of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1254. 1844/45.

1. I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue, the Statements A to G of the Settlement and Collection of the Land Revenue of the Madras collectorate for Fusly 1254. 1844/45.

Demand of Current Revenue-
Fusly 1253 — Rupees 63990-9-9-
" 1254 — " 64712-13-2-
Increase — 722-3-5-

2. Statement A which has reference to the current Revenue exhibits an increase in the Demand to the amount of Rupees 722-3-5 in consequence of the re-assessment of certain Lands which were uncultivated in the Villages of Mylapore, Pursewauk, and Tondiarpett, and the assessment of Ground rent on certain Pontiyaes at Royapooram in 1254. 1844/45 and shewn by the particulars in Statements B and G.

Collections of the Current Land Revenue-
Fusly 1253 — Rs. 57,266-12-2.
" 1254 — " 61,066-0-0
Increase — 3705-3-10.

3. The increase in the collections of the current Revenue is Rupees 3705-3-10 attributable to the great exertions made during the year for the realization of a revenue from all available sources, as I shall now proceed to shew-

4. There were only two small slips of land sold on the 23rd June 1845. but, as the orders of Government confirming the sale were not received before

18th July 1845.

before the expiration of 1844/45 the proceeds were not credited in the public Books within the Fasly-

41287-10-0
12351-4-11
53639-8-11

5. Statement E shews that the Current Demand on Houses and Garden Houses occupied, and Gardens and Paddy fields in a state of productiveness was Rupees 53,639-8-11 of which Rupees 49,750-15-0 were recovered within the Fasly and the Balance outstanding at its termination was Rupees 3888-9-5. This could not have been received within the Fasly, in consequence of the reduced circumstances of a great number of defaulters, the majority of whom live in common Huts and in whose case a warrant of Distraint can be of no use. The impediments of the prompt recovery of the Current Demand under these Heads were explained at some length in Para 15 to 18 of my report under date the 30th June last No 54.

39302-5-2
10428-10-4
49750-15-0
3888-9-5.

The Conicapillays were, therefore, directed, according to the usual practice to collect the amount of arrears from such Tenants and Proprietors as were sufficiently able to discharge the same. It will be observed that the recovery of arrears under the two heads above alluded to amounts to Rupees 4075-9-1. The aggregate amount collected of both current and arrears is Rupees 54420-8-7, exceeding the total demand for the year under review by Rupees 780-15-8-

2302-4-8
1128-4-7.
917-10-1
205-5-9.
4075-9-1
49750-15-0
54420-8-7.
53639-8-11.
780-15-8-

Of

1710-9-2
788-4-5
2479-7-7-
285-1-6
2193-6-1.

Of the Current Demand Rupees 2479-7-7 assessed on Houses and Garden Houses remaining unoccupied, and on Gardens and Paddy fields in a state of unproductiveness, Rupees 285-1-6 have been recovered and the balance at the close of the Fasly was Rupees 2193-6-1. The Collection under the head of arrears was Rupees 564-12-11 as regards property of the descriptions just referred to the realization of any portion of the demand thereon is at all times difficult. The comparatively small collection under this head was, therefore, made by destraint where there was any available property to be found on the premises.

401-11-10
163-1-1
564-12-11.

7. No Bills for waste lands were made out in the year under review. A few applications for a reduction of Quit rent have been received and the necessary enquiry entered into, but I will defer the submission of them until the question now under the consideration of the Board, as to the period for which the indulgence is to be allowed shall be disposed of.

Board's Proceedings 5th Dec 1844 No 662. P 748.
Board 30th June 45 No 54 P 23.

8. Adverting to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I beg leave to explain that the Officers who prepared the Statement No 1 of lands on which a reduction of Quit Rent was recommended committed an error in entry No 75, in as much as the Assessment, as observed by the Board

Board, should have been Rupees 55-4-1 instead of Rupees 23-15-6 for which I take this opportunity of apologizing-

Enclosure No. 1. 9- I now submit a Memorandum of particulars regarding the period for which temporary reductions are proposed in the case of the lands referred to in the Statements which accompanied my reports dated 30th September 1843. and 14th October 1844 respectively; the opinions formed on each item being founded on the relative situation of the lands in regard to the means of irrigation, the inferior nature of the soil and the difficulty of collecting any revenue at all for years past from the produce &c-

10. Of the demand on account of

7,383-12-8. Ground rent Rupees 7,383-12-8 the collections
4,718-15-" amount to Rupees 4,718-15-" and the balance re-
2,664-13-8 maining unpaid at the close of the year was
1,341-11-3 Rupees 2,664-13-8. The recoveries of arrears
5-14-2. amount to Rupees 1,347-9-5. and the aggregate
1,347-9-5 of both current and arrears is Rupees 6,066-8-5. falling
6,066-8-5 short of the current demand by Rupees 1,317-4-3-
1,317-4-3

11. Thus, of the whole demand, Rupees

83,712-13-2 83,712-13-2. of the Current Revenue Rupees 84,900-" were collected leaving at the close of the year, an
84,900-"-"
1,187-13-10 outstanding balance of Rupees 1,187-13-10; but adding
5,052-10-" the recoveries of arrears Rupees 6,017-3-7. to the
1,562-9-7 collection of the Current Revenue the total
6017-3-7. is raised to Rupees 91,583-3-7-
91,900-"-"
91,583-3-7.

It

Houses unoccupied Rupees 1124-7-8- 12-
Paddy fields uncultivated 708-14-5 = 2193-6-1. Current Revenue Rupees 2193-6-1 as composed of items not recoverable immediately

It will be seen that a considerable portion of the balance of

The collection of arrears under the two heads noted in the Margin was in 1254 / 1844/45 Rupees 5,04-12-11 as stated in Para 6 above-

Collection of arrears-
Fusly 1253 Rupees 5,427-5-11.
1254 ——— 6017-3-7-
Increase 1189-13-8.

13- In the collection of arrears in Fusly 1254 / 1844/45 compared with that of the previous year as per Statement C there is an increase of Rupees 1189-13-8. =

The total amount collected in Fusly 1254 / 1844/45 of both Current Revenue and arrears Rupees 91583-3-7 exceeds the total collection of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 by Rupees 4895-1-0-

Total of Current Revenue and arrears-
Fusly 1258 Rupees 86,688-2-1.
1252 ——— 91583-3-7.
Increase 4895-1-0-

14- The following Memorandum shews that the revenue collected in 1254 is considerably in excess of the collections of the previous ten years-

Fusly 1244	Rupees	57,782-11-6
1245	"	54,137-14-6
1246	"	52,629-14-2
1247	"	57,960-1-7
1248	"	55,237-7-7.
1249	"	55,319-6-10.
1250	"	55,405-7-3
1251	"	57,208-6-4
1252	"	57,842-6-3
1253	"	50,088-2-1
Total	"	562,873-12-"
Average	"	50,287-6-2
1254	"	91,583-3-7.
Increase	"	5,295-13-6-

Statement-

Fort St George. 10th October 1845.

15. Statement D shews that the extent of Land cultivated in 1254 was Cawnies 2315-20-64 yielding a revenue of Rupees 11540-8-2. of which Rupees 10448-10-6 form part of the Government demand. The average is Rupees 4-15-9 per Cawney The revenue of 1253 was Rupees 10057-12-11 on Cawnies 2219-11-796 giving an average of Rupees 4-12-11 per Cawney.

16. The following abstract shews the various sources from which the Land Revenue of Fuslies 1253 and 1254 respectively was realized.

	1253	1254.
Shrotriem	229-10-11	239-4-2.
Quit Rent on Houses and Garden Houses occupied	3025-10-9	4279-14-5
Ditto Ditto unoccupied	584-1-7	850-14-5
Gardens and Paddy fields cultivated	10692-2-11	11033-10-2.
Ditto Ditto uncultivated	" " "	" " "
Waste lands	" " "	" " "
Ground Rent	5929-7-11	6088-8-5
	50688-2-1.	61583-3-7.
Fusly 1253	50788-2-1	
Increase	4895-1-6	

17. The total demand of Current Revenue, and arrears at the commencement of the Fusly was Rupees 91107-7-8 of which Rupees 61583-3-7 being collected, the residue is Rupees 29584-4-1. Of this, I recommended, on the 21st August last the remission of Rupees 8183-13-3 should this remission be sanctioned, the outstanding arrears

Rs. 29584-4-1
8183-13-3.
21400-0-10.

Fort St George. 10th October 1845.

arrears at the close of the past Fusly will be reduced to Rupees 21400-0-10.

18. I submit as usual a Statement C marked E shewing the collection of Demand Revenue and the charges against it under the heads of Ordinary and Extraordinary respectively for Fusly 1254. The collections as per Summabundy Statements amount to Rupees 61583-3-7 and the Extraordinary collections to ——— 4179-0-0

65762-3-7.

Charges ——— 1909-12-9

Net Revenue 63852-4-10.

The net collections of 1253 were ——— 59565-4-7

Increase 4287-0-3.

19. I beg leave to subjoin an abstract of the Revenue derived from Land and from the Extra Sources for the two Fuslies under consideration On the latter I shall have the honor to submit at an early date, a separate report accompanied by the annual printed Statement.

Items.	Collections of Current Revenue and Arrears Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Land Revenue	50688 2 1	55583 3 7	4895 1 6	" " "
Abkarry	6068 12 11	1583 3 6	" " "	4931 9 5
Sales of Salt	1,30,793 4 6	1,84,337 " 2	47543 4 8	" " "
Do of Stampt papers &c	10113 14 "	8521 6 6	" " "	1492 8 6
Do of land	443 8 "	" " "	" " "	443 8 "
Sundry small forms &c	11607 6 10	11784 4 9	176 13 11	" " "
Cochrane's Canal	3377 3 1	3208 4 10 3	" " "	168 8 9
Frontier Duty &c	" " "	476 4 7	476 4 7	" " "
Extra Revenue	1455 8 7	2792 4 2	" " "	2763 4 5
Total	6,09839 9 11	9,08524 8 8	53091 15 8	11309 5 1
		Deduct Decrease	11309 5 1	
		Net Increase	41722 10 7	

In

13478

Fort St. George, 10th October, 1845.

A Summonabundy Statement of the Demand and Collection of the Land Revenue on Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 compared with those of the Fusly 1253 in the Collectorate of Madras.

	Demand				Collection			
	Fusly 1253 or from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844	Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1253 or from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844	Fusly 1254 or from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845	Increase	Decrease
Land Revenue including Cust. Rent &c.	8,29,909 9 9	6,31,213 2 7	22 3 5		5,20,812 2 5	4,90,06	3,705 3 10	

Madras, 12th July 1845.

E. E. P.S. (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Comparatoon

13479

Fort St. George,

B. Comparative Statement of the Demand of Land Revenue for Fusles 1253 & 1254. Shewing

Name of the Villages.	Lands exceeding one Cawny not held under grants or certificates. Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase.	Decrease.	Lands less than one Cawny held under Certificates. Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase.	Decrease.
Mylapoor	632 14 9	715 3 8	82 4 11		5711 8 u	5824 9 5		
Poonamallee	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	2,979 4 u	3085 10 2		
Nongumbauk	17 13 10	17 13 10	u u	u u	1126 14 u	1137 2 10		
Chetpuk	18 7 6	18 7 6	u u	u u	497 11 10	574 u 1		
Chepauck Valyacherry	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	37 8 u	38 8 u		
Kelpauck	47 11 6	47 11 10	u u	u u	260 4 9	276 u 5		
Egmore	5 4 5	u 14 1	u u	4 6 4	1153 12 2	1243 6 u		
Condrapauk	6 9 9	6 9 9	u u	u u	218 15 11	218 15 11		
Parroombore	91 1 8	96 14 10	5 13 7	u u	250 u u	364 6 8		
Vyasarpaudy	75 u 4	75 u 4	u u	u u	282 u 10	286 u 10		
Nadambara	14 1 4	14 1 7	u u	u u	80 8 8	82 8 8		
Vapery	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	1294 6 3	1352 11 8		
Croongoondrum	64 12 8	24 14 4	u u	39 14 4	302 14 5	305 12 5		
Jondiarpuk	1769 7	134 7 u	7 13 5	u u	3733 12 3	3828 1 5		
Attopalum	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	683 u 3	688 8 3		
Orasanoore	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	150 6 7	153 2 7		
Poddy Nuicks Pettah	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	18677 15 2	18689 8 8		
Triplicany	8109	8109	u u	u u	2,297 4 7	2,306 11 5		
Village Lamoodayum Lands	u u u	u u u	u u	u u	u u u	u u u		
	1159 2 3	1210 13 6	95 15 11	44 8	39,718 3 7	40,405 13 5		

Name of the Villages.	Lands exceeding one Cawny held under grants or certificates. Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase.	Decrease.
Mylapoor	3988 9 3	3939 15 8	u u	48 9 7
Poonamallee	777 12 9	709 14 11	u u	5 13 10
Nongumbauk	683 4 4	683 4 4	u u	u u
Chetpuk	561 18 11	507 4 8	u u	57 11 3
Chepauck Valyacherry	u u u	u u u	u u	u u
Kelpauck	584 4 2	553 8 1	u u	15 6 1
Egmore	1082 7 3	993 3 9	u u	89 3 6
Condrapauk	723 7 8	723 7 8	u u	u u
Parroombore	959 12 5	845 13 7	u u	113 14 10
Vyasarpaudy	677 9 3	677 9 3	u u	u u
Nadambara	44 15 8	44 15 8	u u	u u
Vapery	504 4 7	503 3 1	u u	1 1 6
Croongoondrum	u u u	u u u	u u	u u
Jondiarpuk	2317 2 7	2294 3 u	u u	22 15 7
Attopalum	189 u 6	189 u 6	u u	u u
Orasanoore	222 1 6	222 1 6	u u	u u
Poddy Nuicks Pettah	21 13 9	21 13 9	u u	u u
Triplicany	245 14 4	245 14 4	u u	u u
Village Lamoodayum Lands	u u u	u u u	u u	u u
Total	13510 1 8	13185 5 6	u u	354 12 2

Madras 12th July 1815.

se-		Total Demand Fusly 1253	Total Demand Fusly 1254	Total Increase	Total Decrease	Remarks
	~	10778 11 3	11058 5 8	328 4 ~		48 9 7
	8	3765 ~ 5	3859 9 1	105 6 2		11 13 6
	~	1800 8 6	1809 13 4	10 4 10		1 ~ ~
	~	1234 9 3	1263 2 3	70 4 3		57 11 3
	~	37 5 ~	38 8 ~	1 ~ ~		~ ~ ~
	~	908 7 6	903 13 3	15 11 8		15 6 1
	9	2251 1 4	2254 1 7	89 9 10		98 9 7
	~	949 1 4	949 1 4	~ ~ ~		~ ~ ~
	5	1312 5 4	1315 6 4	120 4 3		117 3 3
	~	1034 10 5	1033 10 5	4 ~ ~		~ ~ ~
	~	140 9 8	141 9 8	2 ~ ~		1 ~ ~
	~	1882 ~ 10	1940 9 ~	58 15 8		1 1 6
	~	367 11 1	330 10 9	2 14 ~		39 14 4
	~	6300 11 9	6324 14 9	247 2 7		22 15 7
	4	1034 5 9	895 1 9	5 8 ~		145 12 ~
	~	372 8 1	375 4 1	2 12 ~		~ ~ ~
	~	18669 12 11	18681 6 5	~ ~ ~		18 6 6
	~	2664 15 1	2671 6 7	26 7 6		~ ~ ~
	~	10 9 1	14 10 3	4 1 2		~ ~ ~
	10	55595 13 9	56119 ~ 6	1101 9 11		578 7 2
		7184 12 ~	7383 12 8	223 15 1		24 14 5
		63780 9 9	63502 13 2	1325 9 ~		603 5 7
		210 ~ ~	210 ~ ~	~ ~ ~		~ ~ ~
		62990 9 9	63712 13 2	1325 9 ~		603 5 7
		~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	603 5 7		~ ~ ~
			Net Increase	722 3 6		

Collector

Summabundy -

10th October 1845.

The Increase and Decrease of the Dowle.-

Increase	Decrease	Lands less than one Cawny held without Certificates. Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
113 1 6	~ ~ ~	41 5 11 3	57 8 3 11	13 2 13 8	~ ~ ~
10 6 2	~ ~ ~	9 15 8	4 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	5 13
10 4 10	~ ~ ~	6 2 8 4	6 1 8 4	~ ~ ~	1 ~
7 6 4 3	~ ~ ~	15 3 6 ~	15 3 6 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
1 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
15 11 8	~ ~ ~	31 8 11	31 2 11	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
8 9 9 10	~ ~ ~	19 9 6	16 9 9	~ ~ ~	2 13
~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
114 6 8	~ ~ ~	11 7 8	8 3 3	~ ~ ~	3 4
2 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	1 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	1 ~ ~
58 5 6	~ ~ ~	84 ~ 1	84 10 3	~ 10 2	~ ~ ~
2 14 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
94 6 2	~ ~ ~	73 3 4	218 3 4	145 ~ ~	~ ~ ~
5 8 ~	~ ~ ~	182 5 ~	35 9 ~	~ ~ ~	146 12
2 12 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
~ ~ ~	18 6 6	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
9 6 10	~ ~ ~	93 1 5	110 2 1	17 8 ~	~ ~ ~
~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
706 ~ 4	18 6 6	1167 13 2	1302 5 10	295 8 6	166 12

Ground Rent

Total including Ground Rent

Shotrium

Deduct Decrease

Errors Excepted per (Signed) I. A Hudleston.

C

Fort St George, 16th October 1845.

C. Summary Statement of the Demand, Collection & Balance on account of Land Revenue in the Collectorate of Salem for Fasly 1253 viz. the Collectorate of Salem with the Sub-Collectorate of Tindivanam for Fasly 1253 from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844 & Fasly 1254 from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845 Completed.

Demand.

Sircars	Fasly 1253	Fasly 1254	Total
Salem	1,99,054 12 4	40,427 6 11	2,39,481 13 8
Tindivanam	38,409 8 10	2,468 10 8	"

Land Revenue in Fasly
Deduct Peons &c.

Fort St George, 16th October 1845.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Madras, 12th July 1845.

(Signed) C. Mahoney
Accountant

Fort St George, 16th October 1845.

C. Summary Statement of the Demand, Collection and Balance on account of Land Revenue in the Collectorate of Salem for Fasly 1253, and the Demand, Collection and Balance of Peons in the Sub-Collectorate of Tindivanam for Fasly 1253 from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1844 & Fasly 1254 from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

Sircars	Current Demand	Total Demand	Current Collection	Arrears Collection	Balance
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Salem	1,99,054 12 4	40,427 6 11	1,90,123 14 11	24,973 15 7	14,384 8 9
Tindivanam	38,409 8 10	2,468 10 8	35,351 14 11	1,572 7 "	1,953 4 7
Total	2,37,464 5 2	42,896 1 7	2,25,475 13 10	26,545 6 7	16,337 13 4

Accepted per (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Fort St George, 16th October 1845.

Fo

Sircars	Fasly	Demand	Collection	Balance
		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Salem	1253	1,99,054 12 4	1,90,123 14 11	8,930 13 5
	1254	40,427 6 11	24,973 15 7	15,453 7 4
Tindivanam	1253	38,409 8 10	35,351 14 11	3,057 9 11
	1254	2,468 10 8	1,572 7 "	896 3 8
Total		2,80,360 1 9	2,52,021 6 5	28,338 10 4

Accepted per (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Demand

Bill	Rs	a	P	Bills	Rs	a	P	Bills	Rs	a	P	Bills	Rs	a	P	Bills	Rs	a	P
623	4,099	10	7	865	5,771	6	"	537	4,310	3	6	8	388	6	1	60	242	10	7
248	781	5	9	475	1,450	10	2	199	682	"	10	3	190	3	1	25	27	3	6
269	872	12	8	316	1,100	2	3	98	311	"	2	17	135	15	2	11	47	7	6
4	23	4	4	4	23	4	4	3	9	10	10	4	"	"	"	3	1	13	9
1	3	6	1	2	6	12	2	1	3	6	1	"	"	"	"	1	1	3	6
84	981	7	6	131	1,357	15	10	67	734	10	7	16	101	6	8	8	47	48	5
102	1,008	8	8	144	1,433	4	5	78	790	12	11	13	85	12	6	9	42	53	5
8	52	14	3	15	87	4	3	4	36	10	3	"	"	"	"	1	7	11	5
339	2,914	15	"	418	3,462	10	"	298	2,712	1	4	33	174	"	"	33	7	84	6
71	338	15	11	83	383	8	"	71	338	15	11	11	44	8	6	8	15	1	2
18	138	14	3	18	138	14	3	10	115	"	8	"	"	"	"	1	8	8	2
13	339	12	10	13	339	12	10	11	319	8	9	"	"	"	"	1	9	2	2
13	91	7	"	13	91	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	91
3	74	8	6	3	74	8	6	3	74	8	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1790	12,351	14	11	2497	15,721	7	"	1,380	10,447	8	10	4	211	10	9	18	15	2,906	4	1	3	10

13481

E

Rent due to	Balance of the Land Revenue for											
		On Houses and Bungalows										
Total Collection	Ba	Instrum	Dist. Rent on Houses	Gardens	Dist. Rent on Houses	Gardens						
			Vacancies occupied		Vacancies unoccupied							
Rupees a P	Bills		Rs. a P.	Bills	Rs. a P.	Bills	Rs. a P.	Bills				
D 4728 10 5	260											
Colle 852 3 11	22		210 " "	19	810	39	83 5 10	1114	2017 7 11	172		
Rem 488 15 4	24		180 11 10	17	067	36	387 9 2	46	2024 5	129		
Bala 9 10 10			" " "									
Dem 3 6 1			294 2	19	43	35	951 2 8	1008	1815 3 6	43		
mer 836 1 3												
Colle 36 10 3			49 " "	1	605	28	944 4	830	1518 11 8	43		
Rem 2839 5 4			49 " "	1	028	18	094 7	241	381 13 2	11		
Balance 2 380 8			" " "									
Dema 115 " "			" " "		577	10	859 9	589	1137 1 6	32		
Comma 319 3		July										
1253			" " "		2353	60	778 5	2637	5584 6 9	147		
Collection "												
Remission 74			" " "		622	10	563	"	" " "	"		
Balance			" " "		61	11	87 2 9	1836	5370 12 10	21		
			" " "		1887	14	24 8 8	1001	1639 11 11	121		
Demand			259 " "	22	908	14	555 12 7	4781	9070 13 4	306		
Collection			229 11 10	18	717	36	252 10 9	287	584 1 7	14		
Remission			" " "		61	11	87 2 9	1836	5370 12 10	21		
Balance			29 4 2	4	187	9	115 15 1	2682	3185 11 11	26		

Balance of July 1252 Cumbrikiry 1253

Balance of July 1252 and the Demand of July 1253

Total

13481

Form J

Range

D Statement Shewing the Lands actually cultivated in Fusly [illegible] up to 11th July inclusive and Demand thereon of

Names of the Villages	Quantity of Land	Period for which the arrears are due	Arrears			
	Cs Gs Lpt		Bills	Rs	a	P
Saint Thomé	807 3 46		242	1071	10	5
Nonguembacke	152 10 715		227	689	4	5
Porasawacke	85 10 713		47	227	6	"
Vepery	1 10 "		"	"	"	"
Evoungoondrum	" 11 1440		1	3	6	1
Parombour	227 15 921		47	370	8	4
Vyasanpandy	223 19 "		42	429	11	9
Nadoombaray	10 6 311		7	34	6	"
Tondiarpack	694 1 1483		70	572	11	"
Egmore	363 1629		12	44	8	1
Chetpuk	342 21 608		"	"	"	"
Kilpauk	34 11 488		"	"	"	"
Mootteallpalla	" " "		"	"	"	"
Triplicane	144 1790		"	"	"	"
Total	2315 20 874		701	3309	8	1

Madras, 12th July 1845. Errors Excepted

Fort St. George

Fasly 1254 Compared with those of the preceding

| Fasly 1253. | | |

13483

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Details of Land Revenue a
respectively in the Collectorate of

Rupees a P. Rupees a P.

Receipts

Collections as per Summabundy Statement ——— 61583 3 7

Extraordinary

Grants and Certificates fees ——— 3,404 " "

Sale of cowdung ———

From the Contractor of Vegetable Bazars at Mylapoor ——— 23 8 "

——— 4179 " "

Total Land Revenue 65762 3 7

1st October 1845.

Fusly.

		Melvium	On Houses & Bungalows. Dub Rent on Houses Gardens &c. Occupied.				Dub Rent on Houses Gardens &c. Unoccupied.			
		Rs.	A	P.	Bills	Rs.	A	P	Bills	Rs.	A	P	A
Balance of Fusly 1253 and the preceding Fusties.	Demand	210	"	"	1969	14287	10	"	895	1710	9	2	1
	Collection	210	"	"	1852	6	39302	5	2	75	286	1	6
	Remission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance	"	"	"	1166	1985	4	10	820	1424	7	8	
Fusly 1253.	Demand at the Commencement of Fusly 1254.	29	4	2	1943	3595	12	8	1068	1815	3	6	
	Collection	29	4	2	1403	2362	4	8	219	401	11	10	
	Remission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance	"	"	"	540	1233	8	"	849	1413	7	8	
	Demand at the commencement of Fusly 1254	"	"	"	2244	5520	2	5	1590	1300	11	5	
	Collection	"	"	"	543	1128	4	7	122	163	1	1	
	Remission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance	"	"	"	1701	4391	13	10	1468	1137	10	4	
Total	Demand	239	4	2	23879	50403	9	1	3553	4826	8	1	
	Collection	239	4	2	20472	42792	14	5	416	850	14	5	
	Remission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance	"	"	"	3407	7610	10	8	3137	3975	9	8	

E. E. Pr. (Signed) J. A Hudleston

16th October 1845.

F

nd Charges under the heads of Ordinary and Extraordinary
Madras for Fusly 1254.

	Rupees a P	Rupees a P
Charges.		
of the Hoozoor Servants pay apportion: ed to the debit of Land Revenue	2583 15 7	
Register Department	2937 4 4	
Quit Rent Do	8531 4 3	
Yeomiah Do	210	
Totah Fixed Establishment	14262 8 2	
Surveyors' Pay	1680	
Extra Charges as per Annexed Particulars	421 5 6	
Underwased { Hoozoor 114 .. 8 / District 6 1 .. }	120 1 8	
Repairs of Tanks and Water Courses	381 1 1	
2/12th of the Extra Revenue charges apportioned to this Head	446 14 10	
	967 6 7	
Totah Land Charges	16909 14 9	

Madras
12th July 1845. } E. E. Fr. / Signed / J. A. Hudleston
Collector

13484 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Particulars of Extra Charges in Fusly 1254.

	Rs. A. P.
Paid to a Togum Conicapillay employed	51 1 5
Do to 6 Peons employed for watching the produce of land.	245 3 ..
Do to a Water Pundah Brahmin	100 10 ..
Do a Servant employed for Examining yeomiah: dars and female Pensioners	6 1 1
Do for Purchasing materials for binding books of the Quit rent Department	17 .. ..
Do for purchasing cloth for Duftars	1 6 ..
Totah Rupees	421 5 6

Madras
12th July 1845. } E. E. Fr. / Signed / J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Explanatory

13485 Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

G

Explanatory Remarks on the Increase and Decrease in the Demand on account of Land Revenue for Fusly 1254. Compared with that of the previous year & referred to in the Statement Marked B.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.	Rupees a P.		
Saint Thome.						
Grants	3,988 9 3	3,939 15 8	- -	48 9 7	1	This decrease is owing to Certificates having been issued for certain lands originally held under Grants and the demand on them is therefore included under the head of Certificates.
Without Grants	632 14 9	715 3 8	82 4 11	- -	2	Certain lands were assessed in Fusly 1254, which Accounts for this increase.
Certificates	5711 8 -	5,824 9 5	113 1 5	- -	3	The Explanation in No. 1. Accounts for this increase
Without Certificates	445 11 3	578 8 11	132 13 8	- -	4	Vide remark in No. 2.
	10,778 11 3	11,058 5 8	328 4 -	48 9 7		

13486

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Poorasavauk.

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remark.
Grants	775 12 9	769 14 11	" " "	5 13 10	5	Vide remark in No. 1
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	2979 4 "	3085 10 2	106 6 2	" " "	6	Certain uncultivated lands under this head were cultivated in Fusly 1254 which and the explanation in No. 1 Accounts for this increase
Without Certificates	9 15 8	4 " "	" " "	5 15 8	7	The remark in No. 1. is equally Applicable to this Decrease.
	3765 " 5	3859 9 1	106 6 2	11 13 6		

13487

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Noongumback

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks
Grants	653 4 4	653 4 4	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	17 3 10	17 13 10	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	1126 14 "	1137 2 10	10 4 10	" " "	8	Certificates for certain properties under this head were renewed in Fusly 1254 and in consequence they were assessed at a higher rate which is the cause of this increase.
Without Certificates	62 8 4	61 8 4	" " "	1 " "	9	This is a trifling decrease.
	1860 8 6	1869 13 4	10 4 10	1 " "		

13488

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Cheapauk Valicherry.

	Fasly 1253.	Fasly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
Certificates	37 8 "	38 8 "	1 " "	" " "	10	This is a trifling increase.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
	37 8 "	38 8 "	1 " "	" " "		

13489

Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Chetput

	Fasly 1253.	Fasly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	564 18 11	507 4 8	" " "	57 11 3	11	Vide remark in No. 1.
Without Grants	18 7 6	18 7 6	" " "	" " "	"	
Certificates	497 11 10	574 " 1	76 4 3	" " "	12	The remarks in No. 1 & 2 are applicable to this increase.
Without Certificates	153 6 "	153 6 "	" " "	" " "	"	
	1,234 9 3	1,253 2 3	76 4 3	57 11 3		

13490 Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

Keelpauk

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	568 14 2	553 8 1	" "	15 6 1	13	Vide remarks in No. 1.
Without Grants	47 11 10	47 11 10	" "	" "		
Certificates	260 4 9	276 " 8	15 11 8	" "	14	Vide remark in No. 3.
Without Certificates	31 8 11	31 8 11	" "	" "		
	908 7 8	908 13 3	15 11 8	15 6 1		

13491 Fort St. George 16th October 1845

Egmore

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	1082 7 3	993 3 9	" "	89 3 6	15	Vide remark in No. 1.
Without Grants	5 4 5	" 11 1	" "	4 6 4	16	The decrease under this head is owing to a reduction of Quit Rent.
Certificates	1153 12 2	1243 6 "	89 9 10	" "	17	Vide remark in No. 3.
Without Certificates	19 9 6	16 9 9	" "	2 15 9	18	The levy of Quit Rent including two years demand on a property in Fusly 1253 not being the case in Fusly 1254 Accounts for this decrease.
	2261 1 4	2254 1 7	89 9 10	96 9 7		

13492. Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Poodoopauk

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	723 7 8	723 7 8	"	"	"	
Without Grants	6 9 9	6 9 9	"	"	"	
Certificates	218 15 11	218 15 11	"	"	"	
Without Certificates	"	"	"	"	"	
	949 1 4	949 1 4	"	"	"	

13493 Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Parambore

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	959 12 5	845 13 7	"	113 14 10	19	Vide remarks in No. 1.
Without Grants	91 1 3	96 14 10	5 13 7	"	20	Vide remark in No. 2.
Certificates	250 " "	364 6 8	114 6 8	"	21	Vide remark in No. 3.
Without Certificates	11 7 8	8 3 3	"	3 4 5	22	Vide remark in No. 7
	1312 5 4	1315 6 4	120 4 3	117 3 3		

13494

Fort St. George 16th October 1815.

Vyaserpandy

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	N°	Remarks.
Grants	677 93	677 93	" "	" "		
Without Grants	75 - 4	75 - 4	" "	" "		
Certificates	282 - 10	280 - 10	4 "	" "	23	Certain uncultivated lands under this head were cultivated in Fusly 1254 which were in consequence assessed.
Without Certificates	" "	" "	" "	" "		
	1034 10 5	1038 10 5	4 "	" "		

13495

Fort St. George 16th October 1815.

Nedoombara.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease	N°	Remarks.
Grants	44 15 5	44 15 5	" "	" "		
Without Grants	11 1 7	11 1 7	" "	" "		
Certificates	80 8 8	82 8 8	2 "	" "	24	This trifling increase calls for no remark.
Without Certificates	1 "	" "	" "	1 "		Vide remark in N° 9.
	1409 8	1411 9 8	2 "	1 "		

13496

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Vepery.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	504 4 7	503 3 1	" " "	1 1 6	26	Vide remark in No. 9.
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	1294 6 2	1352 11 8	58 5 6	" " "	27	The remarks in No. 6 and the issue of Certificates in Fusly 1254 for certain lands held without Grant in the Village of Eroongoonrum Accounts for this increase.
Without Certificates	84 " 1	84 10 3	" 10 2	" " "	28	Vide remark in No. 10.
	1882 10 10	1940 9 "	58 15 8	1 1 6		

13497

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Eroongoonrum.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	64 12 8	24 14 4	" " "	39 14 4	29	This decrease is attributable to the second cause stated in the remarks No. 27.
Certificates	302 14 5	305 12 5	2 14 "	" " "	30	Certain uncultivated lands under this head were cultivated and certain Certificates were renewed in Fusly 1254, and which Accounts for this increase.
Without Certificates	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
	367 11 1	330 10 9	2 14 "	39 14 4		

13498

Fort St. George 16th. October 1815

Tondiarpat

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	2,317 2 7	2,294 3 "	" " "	22 15 7	31	Vide remark No. 1.
Without Grants	176 9 7	184 7 "	7 13 5 "	" "	32	Certain uncultivated lands held under this head were cultivated in Fusly 1254 having been assessed, accounts for this increase.
Certificates	3,733 12 3	3,828 1 5	94 5 2 "	" "	33	Remarks in Nos. 6 and 8 are equally applicable to this increase.
Without Certificates	73 3 4	218 3 4	145 " "	" "	34	Certain properties which were erroneously entered under similar head in Attapollam in Fusly 1253 have been Assessed and entered under this head in Fusly 1254 and which accounts for this increase.
	6,300 11 9	6,524 14 9	247 2 7	22 15 7		

13499

Fort St. George 16th. October 1815

Attapallium

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	189 " 6	189 " 6	" " "	" " "		
Without Grants	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
Certificates	663 " 3	668 8 3	5 8 "	" "	35	Vide remark in No. 32.
Without Certificates	182 5 "	35 9 "	" "	146 12 "	36	The explanation in remark No. 34 Accounts for this decrease.
	1034 5 9	893 1 9	5 8 "	146 12 "		

13500

Fort St. George 16th October 1815.

Erasanore

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	222 1 6	222 1 6	" "	" "		
Without Grants	" "	" "	" "	" "		
Certificates	150 6 7	135 2 7	2 12	" "	37	Vide remarks in No. 8.
Without Certificates	" "	" "	" "	" "		
	372 8 1	375 4 1	2 12	" "		

13501

Fort St. George 16th October 1815.

Pedoo Naiks Petta.

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	21 13 9	21 13 9	" "	" "		
Certificates	18,077 15 2	18,059 8 8	" "	18 6 6	38	Certain Houses in decay having had no means for collection, issue of bills were suspended in Fusly 1254 and which Accounts for this decrease
	18,099 12 11	18,081 6 5	" "	18 6 6		
Value of Circar Share from the produce of certain lands called Gramasamoodayem	10 9 1	14 10 3	4 1 2	" "	39	Lands under this Head were cultivated in Fusly 1254 to a greater extent than in the preceding Fusly, which Accounts for this increase.
Total Quit Rent	52,950 14 8	53,147 9 11	1,075 2 5	578 7 2		

13502

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Triplicany.

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Grants	245 14 4	245 14 4	"	"	"	
Without Grants	8 10 9	8 10 9	"	"	"	
Certificates	2,297 4 7	2,300 11 5	9 6 10	"	40	Vide remark in No. 23.
Without Certificates	93 1 5	110 2 1	17 - 8	"	41	Vide remark in No. 2.
	2,644 15 1	2,671 6 7	26 7 6	"		
Total Quit Rent including Triplicany	55595 13 9	56,119 - 6	1,101 9 11	578 7 2		

13503

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

Ground Rent.

	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease	No.	Remarks.
Ground Rent	6,408 15 4	6,632 14 5	223 15 1	"	42	Issue of Bills for Ground Rent assessed on Circar Lands at Royapooram occupied for Boliques and Huts Accounts for this increase.
Triplicany D°.	775 12 8	750 14 3	"	24 14 5	43	Suspension of Bills being issued for certain lands claimed to be inclusive of the bounds of the Certificates belonged to private individuals and for certain Circar lands occupied for Bolie: ques without sheds as directed in Boards letter dated 7th. July 1845 Accounts for this decrease.
Total Ground Rent	7,184 12 -	7,383 12 8	223 15 1	24 14 5		
Shrotrium	210 - -	210 " "	"	"		
Grand Total	62,990 9 9	63,712 13 2	1,325 9 -	603 5 7		

Madras 12th. July 1845 E. E. Fr. (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

No. 1.

Additional remarks as to the periods for which a reduction of Quit Rent is recommended in favor of the different spots of land referred to in two Statements, both bearing No. 1, which were submitted to the Board of Revenue on the 30th. September 1843 and 14th. October 1844 respectively.

As per Statement submitted on the 30th. September 1843.

No. 1. The owner not being in a condition to lay out sufficient money at once for the purpose of improving the land in order to carry on cultivation, which was never done before, it is recommended that the proposed reduced rent be continued for 12 Years, from the 12th. July 1845. or Fusly 1255.

No. 3. From the inferior nature of the soil, it is recommended that the proposed reduced rent be continued for 10 Years from Fusly 1255.

No. 4. To enable the owner to improve the land, it is recommended that the proposed reduced rent be levied for only 5 years from Fusly 1255.

No. 5. From the nature of the soil, it is recommended that the proposed reduction be continued until the land shall be so improved as to bear a higher rate of Assessment.

No. 6.

Fort St. George 16th. October 1845.

No. 6. From the soil being of an inferior quality, it is recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 10 Years from 1255.

No. 9. This land requires a large outlay, and a Tank must needs be excavated for its irrigation. It is therefore, recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 10 Years from 1255.

No. 10. The uncultivated portion of land requires a large outlay for the removal of a mound of sand. It is, therefore, recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 5 Years from 1255.

No. 11. The Amount of Rent Rupees 14 2 1. and rate, Rupees 2 10 ; exhibited in the Statement dated 30th. September 1843 were erroneously calculated on the whole extent of land, Viz.t 5 9 400, whereas the actual quantity, after deducting that which was washed by the Sea, is only 3 20 1200. The rent leviable thereon is, therefore, only Rupees 4 8 at the rate of Rupee 1 2 8 per Cawny. This reduction, it is recommended, may be continued as per sanction given in 1821.

No. 16. This is sandy Soil and will require

require a large outlay for its improvement. It is, therefore recommended that the proposed re-duction be continued until the land is found to be in such a state as to admit of its being assessed at a higher rate.

No. 17. Vide remarks in No. 8.

No. 18. This land is entitled to a reduction under the general rules submitted to the Board on the 11th January 1821.

No. 19. Vide remarks in No. 9

No. 21. Vide remarks in No. 18

No. 23. Vide remarks in No. 9

No. 24. Vide remarks in No. 10

No. 25. These lands are now unfit for cultivation. It is, therefore, recommended that the proposed reduction be continued until the improvements, if any, shall admit of higher rates being assessed.

No. 26. Vide remarks in No. 3.

No. 27. Vide remarks in No. 9

No. 28. This land has undergone certain improvements since the date of the owner's application for a reduction of Quit rent. To reimburse him for his outlay, and to induce him to make further improvements it is recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 5 years from 1255.

No. 30.

No. 30. From the nature of the soil and the rate assessed on the adjoining lands, it is recommended that the proposed reduced rent be continued until it shall admit of an increased amount of assessment.

No. 32. Vide remarks in No. 4.

No. 33. Vide remarks in No. 3.

No. 34. and 35. From the nature of the soil and its being entirely dependent on the periodical rains for the means of irrigation, it is recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 10 years from 1255.

No. 36. Vide remarks in No. 30.

No. 37. Vide remarks in No. 3.

No. 38. Vide remarks in No. 4.

No. 40. Vide remarks in No. 34 & 35.

No. 41. and 42. Vide remarks in No. 10.

No. 44. Vide remarks in No. 28.

No. 45. If the proposed reduction be allowed for 5 years from 1255, the owner will no doubt, lay out some expense and improve the soil.

No. 46. and 48 Vide remarks in No. 30.

No. 49. Vide remarks in No. 16.

No. 53. A reduction is recommended for 5 years from 1255.

No. 56. Vide remarks in No. 10.

No. 59. Vide remarks in No. 34 & 35.

No. 60. The land is, at a short distance from the Tank, which can, when a full supply of water is received, be easily irrigated it,

13508-

For Fort St. George 16th October 1845.

it, being partly cultivated since 1833. The owner will have to lay out some expense for improvements, and the proposed reduction is, therefore, recommended may be continued for 10 years.—

No. 61. Vide remarks in No. 9.

No. 63. }
No. 64. } Vide remarks in Nos. 34 & 35.
No. 65. }
No. 71. }

No. 72. Vide remarks in No. 30.

No. 73. From a large portion of this land being waste and the owner having laid out a large sum for its improvement since the date of his application, it is recommended that the proposed reduction be continued for 5 years from 1255.—

No. 75. Vide remarks in No. 4.
As per Statement submitted on the 14th October 1844.—

No. 76. Vide remarks in No. 9.

Nos. 81. and 86 Vide remarks in Nos. 34 & 35.

No. 87. Vide remarks in No. 9.

No. 89. The present owner is endeavouring to improve the land and has commenced Cultivation— The proposed reduction may therefore be continued for 5 years.—

No. 91. Vide remarks in No. 60.

Madras.....
8th October 1845.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston.
Collector.

16th October 1845.

Moodely Quit Rent Collecting Conicopoly.—

llection.

		Fasli year.	Amount			Total Collections of a day			Balance after deducting the Collection			Remarks.
			Bills	Rs	a	p	Bills	Rs	a	p	Bills	Rs.	a	p.
lly		1845	1	12	9	11.								
ty	Do.		1	2	8	10								
tty	Do.	in part	13	"	"		2	28	2	9	491	2,185	12	4

(Signed) Annasawmy Moodely. Conicopillay.

(Signed) Goorooswamiah.
2nd Pt. Sumpratty.

Rupees Twenty Eight
Annas two and pice nine
have been received into the Cash chest.
(Signed) S. Polliah.
Shroff.

True Translation.
(Signed) J. A. Huddleston.
Collector.

Order

13509. Fort St. George 1

No. 2.

No. 378.

1845. September 29th. Collection Dobs of Annadawny

Village	Pettah or Street	Remains of last day- Bills	Rs	a.	P.	Particulars of Co: Description of Bills-	Number of Bills-	Names of Individuals
Tondiarpett	"	485	2,182	15	5	Grant	266	C. Valoo Mooda
							1,028	Columda Mooda
(Signed) L. A. W:	Received from Buzzoor Certificate on Bills for the year 1845-	8	30	15	8	"	1054	L. Chockalingam & Co
	Total-	493	2,213	15	1			

(Signed) C. S.
Gu.

80-81

Order thereon- Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting-

82

From the Asst. Collr. in the Nth. Divn. of Arcot- Read the undermentioned Lists- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 30th September last-

83

From the Asst. Collr. in the Nth. Divn. of Arcot- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to the 30th September last-

84

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 30th September last-

85

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to the 30th September last-

82-86

Order on the four above Lists- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

87

From the Collr. of Nellore- Endorsements- by the Board during the Month of September last- Read Register of Endorsements passed by Govt on Petitions referred

87-88

Order thereon- Ordered to be lodged in the Office-

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched-

89

To the Chief Secy: to Government- To, the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Adverting to the Endorsement of Government on Petition 1410 of 1845 dated the 10th October

October 1845 last, I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will bring to the notice of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that being under the impression that Petition No. 1411 of 1845 was referred to them for disposal in the usual course, they called upon the Collector of Tanjore to furnish a report on the claims advanced by the Petitioner Poonian Woodyar for the payment of certain arrears of pension and with reference to the circumstances explained in Sir Henry Montgomery's letter they disposed of the case - Having however subsequently discovered that the Endorsement above referred to called for a detailed report on the Petition from the Board, they beg to explain that the error in not complying with the requisition of Government was inadvertent, and instruct me to submit the correspondence which has passed on the subject, the instruction in which, will they trust, meet with the approval of His Lordship in Council.

To Collr. 24th April 1845. From 3d June in Cons: 30th Dec. Board's Ro: 30 Dec.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 16th October, 1845.

(Signed) J: Tycroft- Secretary.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government-

90

To the Chief Secy: to Government- Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government

In Cons. 27th October 1836.

Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the Broken set of their Proceedings for the Month of July last, for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 16th October, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary-

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched-

(91)

To the Coll.r of South Arcot-

To, The Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will have the goodness to furnish a Duplicate Copy of your letter dated 25th June last- regarding the entertainment of a Second Moochee - the original having been mislaid-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 16th October, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary-

(92)

To the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura-

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir,

Adverting to the latter part of my letter to your address of the 1st Sept.r last

last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will again call upon the Sub Collector to furnish the information regarding the claim which as he states may be made upon Government on account of the Cummendy Zemindary required in Para 3 of the Proceedings of this Office of 26th May 1845. bringing to his notice that the report of the Board to Government on the subject of the succession to the Estate is delayed for want of this explanation which the Board desire may be supplied without further loss of time-

The Board request that you will be so good as to state in whose possession this Zemindary is at present, and whether there is any balance of Peishcash outstanding against it.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 16th October, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft. Secretary-

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

93 From the Deputy Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of the Military Department of his Office.

93-94 Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

95 From the Principal Collector of Canara. Return of persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.

96 From the Principal Collector of Canara. Return of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.

97 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of

of August last.

98 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Return of persons Charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

99 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Return of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

100 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

101 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Reporting that certain returns for the Month of September last are not sent there being no entry to make.

102 From the Principal Collector of Madura. Indent for Stationery

and

and Sundries required for the use of the Principal Collector and Magistrate for the year 1846.

103

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use of the Sub-Collector and Joint Magistrate for the year 1846.

102-104

Order on the two above Indents — Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

105

From the Collr of Nellore — Informing that no suspension or dismissal has taken place amongst the Kilnad Peons during the Month of September last.—

" J. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

" J. Goldingham

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.
A. Maclean Esqre Acting Second Member.
J. Goldingham Esqre Acting Third Member.

Monday 20th October 1845.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

Read again Official memorandums from the Acting Secretary to Government, and Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 8th January 1845, calling upon the Board to furnish a return of the Districts surveyed in whole or in part, and to report whether any Survey of the Districts in the Northern Circars are in progress &c.—

Minutes 17th April 1845.

1

Proceedings thereon—

Relative to the Districts which have been surveyed in whole or in part under the Madras Presidency.—

The returns called for in these papers would have been furnished at a much earlier date but at the period at which they were received the Board was occupied with the consideration of the general subject of Surveys, and a paper as noted in the concluding paras of their Proceedings of the 26th August 1845 was then in progress in which all the information above called for would have been embodied, thereby superceding the necessity of a separate return to them— The almost simultaneous breaking up of the Board however and the dispersion of all

all the Members by illness and the calls of duty suspended the execution of the design contemplated and it now only remains to submit the specific Statements required by the two Documents above recorded.—

2. The following are the Districts that have been surveyed in whole.—

Salem.
Madura.
Coimbatore.
Bellary.
Cuddapah.
Chingleput.

3. The following have been surveyed in part.—

North Arcot.
South Arcot.
Nellore.
Guntoor.
Tanjore.
Tinnevelly.
Canara.
Kurnool.—

North Arcot.
From Coll. 18 Oct. in Com. 30 Oct. 1806.

4. The whole of the first mentioned District was surveyed and assessed as it then existed by Mr. Graeme in 1806, but five Talooks South of the Palar River into which no survey has not been introduced

introduced having been subsequently incorporated with it from South Arcot, it is necessary to class it among those partially surveyed. The extension of the measure to these latter Talooks has often been proposed and was actually commenced by Mr. Nesbet in 1827; but was suspended soon afterwards on that Gentleman's removal to another District. Nor. by the same remarks apply to South Arcot. The whole District according to its extent at that time was surveyed by Mr. Ravenshaw in 1806 and the assessment completed in 7 Talooks. That of seven more was effected by Mr. Cunliffe in 1827 but since the time of Mr. Ravenshaw two Talooks have been transferred from Trichinopoly in which no regular Settlement has been completed. The 4 Estates of Sunkaranpooram, Checkedi, Pallamcottah and Collanallay comprising the escheated Joghire of the late Collanallay Khan are also unsurveyed. The Commissioner appointed to enquire into the state of the District in 1841 Recommended that all these should be completed on the principles that regulate the rest of the Collectorate.—

South Arcot.
From Coll. 15 Oct. in Com. 5 Oct. 1807.
From 18 May in Com. 11 July 1808.

Mr. Bentley's Report Govt. 31st Augt. 1841.

Nellore.

6. Nellore was entirely measured and

and assessed in 1801 but so inaccurately that it can hardly be called a surveyed District. a revision was ordered and partly executed by Mr. Smalley in 1824/25 but the original work was found to be so faulty and inaccurate that he considered it would be more advisable to execute it denovo. This design was prosecuted to a partial extent but ceased with the completion of 86 Villages. The Board have received an elaborate report from the late Principal Collector / Mr. Stonhouse / of which it may be sufficient for the present to state that he is himself averse to the principle of Ryotwar Surveys generally as hitherto prosecuted and recommends a Village Settlement.—

From Coll. 23d May in Cons. 4th June 1827. From Do. 11th Dec. 1828 in Cons. 3d Jan. 1829.

Pates 88.—

Guntoor.

6. The detached Talook of Palnad which was never included in the Guntoor Circars nor subjected to the Permanent Settlement was surveyed soon after it came under the British authority, but the work proceeded slowly and was confined to the dry lands. The measurements were made by the Curnums and the Settlement was prosecuted under several Collectors from the time of Mr. Crawford in 1804 to its completion by Mr. J. Oakes in 1820.—

In

Tanjore. 7. In 1828 the Principal Collector of Tanjore commenced a Survey and Settlement of that District which after being carried thro' 8 Ta. Taloks was suspended in consequence of a difference of opinion between the Board and the Settling Officers regarding the principles on which it should be conducted. The Board recorded their sentiments at length in their Proceedings of the 2d May 1831 and again under date 1st June 1837 to which the Government replied forwarding a Minute from the Honble Mr. Sullivan under date 12th March 1839. many circumstances have concurred to delay the final disposal of this subject. more particularly the remarkable and unexpected depression in the price of Grain in the Tanjore as well as in other Districts. The matter still continues under discussion.—

Tinnevelly. 8 A Survey in supercession of the imperfect measurement and assessment effected by the first Collectors in 1802/3 has recently been ordered by the Home Authorities and has been commenced by the present Collector who has reported upon 4 Villages which formed the subject of the Board's Proceedings of the 8th February last and received

received the orders of Government on the 6th May 1845.—

Canara.— From Coll.r 20th Sept.r in Canara 3d Oct.r 1825. From Do 24th Augt. in Canara 30th April 1827.

9. A portion of Canara was surveyed by the Collector / the Honorable T. Harris / in 1824/5. The greater portion of the Balaghat Taloks / Soonda and Soopa / were measured but the Settlement was only completed in five mugannes or subdivisions of the Soonda Talook. In obedience to the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors the Board had the honor to submit a detailed examination of the assessment of this District in their Proceedings of the 16th November 1843.—

Kurnool. 10. The Kurnool Zaghire on its resumption from the late Nawab was placed under a Commissioner who shortly afterwards introduced a Survey under the immediate instructions of Government.

From Commissr in Kurnool 22 inst. 31st July 1843.— a report from Mr. Bayley the late Commissioner containing an account of his proceedings for the proposed Settlement of 6 Villages is now before the Board. It is understood that the whole area has been surveyed but the classification and assessment have been confined to the 6 Villages above referred to.—

Trichinopoly. 11. A Survey of the dry lands only in Trichinopoly was effected by Mr. Wallace in 1806 but

but so imperfectly that the Board have not included it in the list of Districts surveyed either wholly or in part.— The rates underwent considerable reduction by Mr. Lushington in 1819, but the original measurements as made by the Cummums continue unaltered.

Dft. Pro: 18th Octr. 1824.—

12. A more special call has been made regarding the present state and progress of the surveys in the Northern Circars These have been undertaken in all the 5 Districts comprised under that denomination.— That in Guntoor has been commenced under the immediate orders of Government and the correspondence connected with it that has lately taken place between the Board and the Commissioner has been too recently laid before Government to require further notice.— In Guyanm three Talooks have been surveyed but not assessed. They also regret to state that the measurements have been partially examined by the Assistant Surveyor General Lt. Balfour and pronounced to be very imperfectly executed. With reference to this subject the Board beg to submit the following correspondence with the Acting Collector which induced them to direct that Officer

From Govt. 3 inst. 12th May 1843 to Commissr Dr. From Dr. 24th May in Cons: 16th June Proceedings 16th Dr. " From Commissr 27th June in Cons: 3d July — " Proceedings 3d July — "

× From Coll.r 2d inst. 24th October 1844 To Dr. 31st Dr. " From Dr. 21st Nov.r in Cons.r 17th Febry 1845 To Dr. 14th July — " From Dr. 4th inst. 18th August — " To Dr. 11th Septr. — "

to

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

to suspend his proceedings until further orders.

Two Villages in Rajahmundry settled provisionally by the late Collector / Mr. Smith / were reviewed in the Board's Proceedings of the 6th February 1845 already submitted to Government. The work has not been carried further, and the Board are now in communication with the Collector regarding the principles on which its further prosecution is to be based.

To Coll.r 29th April 1845.

13

From Coll.r of Masulipatam 11th April inclus: 15th May 1842.

From Coll.r of Vizagapatam 19th November insa: 17th Dec.r 1840

In Vizagapatam and Masulipatam the Board have received reports from the Collectors of the survey of one Hoonda in the former and one Village in the latter. These were merely experimental essays made without regular establishments beyond which nothing has been attempted.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government.

Ordered also that the following address be submitted.

To

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Cadaloo ——— " 5 10 "
Deduct Ryots share ——— " 2 13 "
Remaining 2 13 "

Pesaloo ——— " 8 7 14 "
Deduct Ryots share ——— " 4 13 5
Remaining ——— 4 13 5 @ 5 m 9
7 3 2 5

Gross measurement of produce
C 5 M G
14 6 4 10

Price of Jonnaloo - 5C. 8. 7. 6 at 12 Rupees per Candy ——— 65 1 3

Chennagaloo ——— " 3 14 " at 32 Rs per Candy ——— 6 3 2

Red Paddy - 1C. 3. 12 at 13 Rupees per Candy ——— 15 7 "

Condooloo 2 Tooms 13 M. at 8 Rupees per Candy ——— 1 2 "

Pesaloo - 4 - T. 3 M. 15 G at 8 Rupees per Candy ——— 1 11 2
39 8 7

Ambarum for Fusly 1253.

Menavamoonooloo 10 Tooms - Byots Share 5 Tooms - remaining Ambarum 5 Tooms - at 16 Rupees per Candy ——— 4 " "

Jonnaloo 1 Candy - Deduct 1/2 Candy Remaining Ambarum 1/2 Candy at 9 Rupees per Candy ——— 4 8 "
8 8 "

Grand Total ——— 212 9 4
together

13586. Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

together with the land of the following present limits yielding an Annual produce of 8 Rupees 8 Annas, Viz.

East. The boundary of the Village Pottlewarro

South. The 2d. Defendant's Shary land.

West. The 6th. Defendant's Colle Ghumtas — Shary land along the boundary, and

North. The boundary of the Village Vemawarum.

I request that my Witness and Documents may be examined and that a Decree be passed as against the Defendants who should be directed to make over to me the said Tukkerauze land of the limits described together with costs of Suit.

11th April 1844 } /Signed/ Pleader

Tandepully Christniah

/A True Translation/

/Signed/ D. White

Acting Collector

Draft

E.B

13587. Fort St. George 20th October 1845

C.T. 2

Draft Answer

Plaintiff

Moondrate Vendatadry of Poddapoollecur.

Defendant

Rajah Manesarow Jangama Row 3 zemindars and others 7 in number.

Supplemental 8th Defendant

Answer filed by the Acting Collector of Guntoor.

The plaint sets forth that in fusly 1203 Manesarow Bawanarow gave Plaintiffs father a Surenud for one Coocherlah of surwadoonbalah Enam in the Village Soorapilly, and caused the Villages to execute a Chekoonamah of it; that he and his father enjoyed the same from that time up to fusly 1245. the responsibility of as such in the accounts resting with the Curnams who wrote that Chekoonamah documents; and his hours, tho this particular may not have been inserted in the accounts during Bawanarow's Row's management, and that of his wife; and which if taken as a precedent for an objection being made, would be quite unsatisfactory, that he cultivated that land himself for some years from fusly 1203 to fusly 1241 and caused it to be cultivated in some years by Cowmaravole Ryots ranze when two cabooleyets were respectively obtained by him from the 2d. Defendant one of a lease from fusly 1243 to 1245, and the other for fusly

fusly 1246 that the Enam thus cultivated so entitled the Plaintiff to enjoy the arrabarum share of the produce together with the grazing tax and which procedure was duly corroborated in fusly 1244 by these two persons we therefore refer to the zuffy ameen, that in fusly 1244 the Collector fined the Curmum 3 Rupees for his misconduct in omitting to insert the Enam in Plaintiff's name in the accounts, and gave an order for Doombalah that in fusly 1246 the 1st Defendant demanded a Nazeranah, which being refused by Plaintiff he intrigued with the other Defendants to withhold his (Plaintiffs) share of the produce, in consequence of which a summary Complaint was instituted against some of the Defendants before the Collector, alleging that his arrabarum share of the produce had been withheld from him, that the Collector investigated into it, and passed a decision, referring the Plaintiff to the Civil Court for redress, and that the subject of the Petition submitted to the Magistrate on the 21st February 1843, by the 2d 6th and 7th Defendants admitting having executed cabuleyets in the aforesaid manner together with the circumstance of Plaintiff having enjoyed that Enam since 50 years, will at once prove, that the documents in his (Plaintiffs) possession could not have been fabricated..

In regard to Purda Veeriah Curmum

Curmum having been fined 3 Rupees for omitting to enter the Enam as such in the accounts, and the order issued to him for a Doombalah, It appears by the Kyfeyet given by him in the examination made by the Zuffy Ameen, in the subject of a complaint referred by the Plaintiff for the produce of his Enam land for fusly 1244 which had been with held from him, that the Enam alluded to has devolved on Plaintiff by inheritance that as the Enam had no produce in Fusly 1239 and his (the Curmums) father was infirm, he (the Curmum) was ignorant as to the course he should pursue, and did not therefore insert it in the Enam accounts for that fusly, that in fusly 1244 he included this Enam in the "Goodeuttoo" account but the Head Mudutgar of the Taluk would not receive it, whereby he was forced to detain that account in his possession and file another in which this Enam was omitted, and which account is now produced..

In answer to this Statement it is to be observed that Curmum Veeriah stated in the record of the examination made on a summary complaint preferred by the Plaintiff in Fusly 1245 that in fusly 1244 the zuffy ameen placed him in custody levied a fine from him, and otherwise maltreated him, that although he had presented an accurate Goodeuttoo account to the Kazoor Mootasaddee for fusly 1244 prepared according to the account

account of fusly 1239 ; yet; he caused to be written by some one to the effect, that the Plaintiff had a Manniem land whereupon he signed and received the same, Moreover Veneatachellamiah the renter of that Village stated in a letter to the Judge of the said zuffy ameen in Fusly 1244 that this Plaintiff was in the enjoyment of a Manniem land, in direct contradiction to which he alleged in the summary investigation in fusly 1246 that the circumstance of the Plaintiff not being possessed of any Enam land was true.

Further in the investigation made in fusly 1244, the 2d Defendant Khansa Nursiah stated in his Kyfeyet that the land in dispute was cultivated by him since 14 years during which he made over the produce to the Plaintiff, whereas in the summary enquiry made in fusly 1246 he stated that the Plaintiff had no Manniem, whilst he and the rest stated in their answers, that the land in dispute was cultivated by them (and others) on share. Moreover when Plaintiff in the summary Suit in Fusly 1246 produced 2 cabuleyets executed by 2d Defendant one in the hand writing of Curnum Veeriahs father from fusly 1243 to fusly 1245 and the other in Fusly 1246 in the hand writing of Curnum Veeriah which Cabuleyets set forth that he (5th Defendant) had cultivated his Plaintiffs' Enam land, the said Veeriah gave

a

a deposition at the same time that they were not those which had been written by him and his father.

Further, on inspecting two other accounts Viz.t, one Esahoo account of persons holding lands on share, and the other a Goodecuttoo account containing the particulars of the Enams and the account of shary cist for fusly 1244 which were produced at the same time bearing the signature of the said zuffy ameen, the 1st account shews that one Cutchelah of shary land is entered in the name of the 2d Defendant Khansah Nursiah together with the amount of ambaruno and cist, whilst the 2d account shews that after the entire Village, together with the extent of enam land under each, and a total thereof, is entered are items shewing the Plaintiff to possess a cutchel of Enam land has been subsequently closely added upon the line just above the total, and that the different totals have been altered to correspond with it, therefore there is every reason to believe that the said Curnum Veeriah gave in fusly 1244 a true account framed from the account of fusly 1239 of the Plaintiff not having any Enam land, — It is therefore evident by this and the entire omission of Plaintiffs' enam land in the enam account for fusly 1239 filed previous to fusly 1244 and which the said zuffy ameen Pinnapaty Sheshiah

admitted

admitted had come under his inspection, and the Good decuttoo accounts for fusly 1237 and 1239 that the Plaintiff should not be allowed the enjoyment of the Nanniem alluded to, that the zuffy Ameen combined with the inhabitants of the abovementioned Village, and with the view of causing the Plaintiff somehow or other to be established in the right to the nanniem land, took some favorable depositions from Commaravole Bogaranje and others, and took by forcible means a Kuttyeh from Curnum Veeriah also to substantiate the above circumstances, which are however contrary to the true accounts alluded to above, and it is clearly evident that he wrote a letter to the Collector Misrepresenting the exact particulars, and obtained a Hookum whereby he caused the stems to be inserted in the accounts of that fusly for the first time, and issued a Doombalah, that with the view of still further carrying out his intrigues in compliance therewith he caused the erasures to be made in the accounts of the particulars of Enam and shery Cult. for fusly 1244 alluded to above. under these circumstances it is evident that the record of the examination made by the zuffy Ameen in which there are several differences and objectionable stems and which bears on its face the partiality made by the zuffy ameen in fusly 1244 and to which the

the Plaintiff clings as documentary evidence in his favor cannot be viewed in any light than an unfavorable record for the Plaintiff.-

2. In reference to the circumstance of the 2nd 6th and 7th Defendants having presented an Arzee to the Magistrate on the 21st February 1843 admitting that they cultivated his (Plaintiffs) land, it is to be remarked that in the summary investigation made in Fusly 1246 the same Defendants admitted that the Plaintiff had no Enam in the Village Soorapilly, and that they the Defendants were cultivating a land under the Sharing system. They moreover presented an answer with similar assertions in the present dispute, and even now say that the Plaintiff must have fabricated the Arzee in their name, but that they never wrote such a one. under these circumstances it will be shewn to the Court that the particulars alleged by the Plaintiff on this portion of the subject are unworthy of credit.-

3. Referring to the Plaintiffs statement that he has, regarding his Enam, summud executed for fusly 1203 together with a Chittoonamah with the same fusly, 4 Doombalahs granted by the zemindar in Fusslies 1229-30-32 and 1233, and 1 Doombalah given by the zuffy Ameen in fusly 1244, total Seven Vouchers, and to his statement in the summary complaint in Fusly 1246 that owing to the animosity between

between him and the Zemindaree Atchemmah, no Doombalah had been granted to him since Fusly 1234. It is to be remarked that the atchemmah may not have given him Doombalahs from fusly 1234 to 1236 in consequence of any enmity which may have existed, yet merely on the plea that the renter had uninterruptedly continued in possession of the Enam, there appears no reason why he should not have taken Doombalahs from the Manager of the Estate while it was under the Court of Wards. The Talook was under attachment from fusly 1237 to 1244 and the accounts &c produced in the intermediate time are particularized here under no Enamdar of any sort can be obtained previous to that date, because the Talook was under the management of the zemindar up to fusly 1236. It is apparently Mr. Collector Ram's English Register of Enams of this Talook and the Goodecattoo and Ploendah accounts of the Village severally for fusslies 1237. 1239 and 1244 at the Hazoor that the Plaintiff never had any Enam land, the Enamdars of the Village, the cultivators, and the Ryots of the neighbouring Villages who gave depositions on behalf of the Plaintiff and Defendants at the summary investigation of 1246 declared, that the Plaintiff had no Enam land in the Village. The Enam alluded to was never entered in the accounts as such, nor do any

any Doombalahs with exception of that in 1245 which was evidently granted under misrepresentation appears to have been given for it.

under all these circumstances it is quite clear that the Plaintiff has from the outset endeavoured to establish an illegal right to an alleged Enam with a fraudulent design. It is therefore hoped that it will be manifest to the Court that the documents which the Plaintiff states he has to substantiate his claim, are unworthy of notice, and that the Plaintiff has no legal right to the land which he calls Enam.

(Guntoor — 27th September 1845.)

(Signed) D. White
Actg Collr.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(26. 27)

No. 640

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Chief Secretary to Government. / Sir, / Board of Revenue

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the acting Collector in Guntoor bearing date the 27th Ultimo regarding a Suit No. 59 of 1844 on the file of

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collr of Guntoor regarding a Suit No. 59 of 1844 on the file of the Sr. Zillah Adawlut Court of Guntoor instituted to establish a Claim on the

In Council 6th October 1845.

Fort St. Georgen 20th October 1845.

Read of the Plaintiff to one Cutcheli of land represented as having been conferred upon his father by the Zemindar of Reypully and recommending that the Asst Collr may be permitted to undertake the defence of the Suit at the Cost of the Estate.

of Principal Sudder Ameens Court at Gunteroy and furnishing Copies with translations of the Plaint and Answer which he proposes to put in as supplemental Defendant in the cause and for the defence of which at the Expence of the Reypully Estate now under management he solicits the authority of Government.

2. From the foregoing papers it appears that the object of the action is to Establish a claim on the part of the Plaintiff to one Cutcheli of land represented as having been conferred upon his father in Fusly 1203 by the Zemindar of Reypully and to recover from the defendants, the 2nd to the 7th of whom are shewn to have been the cultivating Ryots the Umbaram share of the produce from Fusly 1245 to 1253 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 212. 9. 4. together with restoration of the land in question to himself from the Defendants.

3. From the proposed answer it would seem that the Plaintiff possesses no claim whatever to the land under litigation as Enam, and that there is good ground for considering that by fraud and collusion between the plaintiff, Zuffy Ameen and others, the village accounts were garbled so as surreptitiously to include the land, fraudulently claimed in the list of Enams.

4. The Board consider that the defence of the Suit may be supported on the view taken by the acting Collector in the proposed answer,

Fort St. Georgen 20th October 1845.

answer, and they therefore beg to recommend that he may be permitted to conduct its defence at the cost of the Estate.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

From the Collr of Nellore

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 22nd September last, and its correspondence, I beg leave to state that exclusive of the quantity of 40,000 Maunds of Salt allotted for exportation to Calcutta on account of private Trade there are at present 132,000 Maunds of Salt ready for exportation to Chittagong for the year 1845/46. but as no salt has been as yet exported on account of private Trade I would suggest that 80,000 Maunds therefrom may be taken to make up the quantity of 218,000 Maunds required

13598 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

required for Chittagong. The remainder will be quite sufficient to meet the demands for private Trade till the months of March, by which period the difference can be made up.

Nellore ——— Collector's Cutcherry 6th October 1845. } Signed J. F. Bishop Collector

Resolution thereon. The Board approve

29

of the Collector's suggestion that 80,000 Maunds be taken from the quantity of 4,90000 Maunds allotted for exportation to Calcutta on account of private trade, to make up the quantity of 2,18,000 Maunds allotted for exportation to the year 1845/4

1 Cuttapully
2 Kistnapatam

Nellore Collr's Cutcherry 11th October 1845

J. F. B.

13599 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Ordered also that the following letter be submitted in consequence.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

28. 30

To the Chief Secretary to Government. Sir

Submr. 18th Septr. 1845. With reference to the order of Government dated 8th September last No. 1055. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Nellore from which it will be seen that the whole of the Salt required for exportation to Chittagong on account of the ensuing Season 1845/46 can be supplied from that District.

Revenue Board 20th Octr. 1845.

To Statement of Salt for Exportation to Gilla

13601

32

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.

Dist. allotted

Signed J. Pycroft Secretary

Read the following Statements.

Statement.

13001

Ward Pe January 20th October 1845.

Statement of details for Estimation to Calculate from the Part of Income ing in the Gillock of Chingleput date 7th October 1845.

(32)

Quantity of Salt	Quantity	Quantity actually in store ready	Quantity Remarks.
remitted to be carried	cleared	remaining for inspection the Godowns to be inspected on the 7th Inst.	

Samuel Pillamalee

1,00,000	34,020	5980	5418	97676½		the Salt may be found for at the Store, both Inwards & Outwards Measures are to be the Standard.

Chingleput Collectors Office 16th October 1845.

Collector & Agent for Salt.

Ordered to be recorded.

Statement

(31 - 33)

13000

Ward Pe January 20th October 1845.

Statement showing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for delivery on the 1st Instant.

Gillock of Chingleput date 8th October 1845.

(31)

Quantity of Salt	Quantity remitted to be carried	Quantity actually in store cleared	Quantity remaining to be inspected	Quantity actually in store ready for inspection	Remarks

Name of the Store.

Pe

Quantity of Salt	Quantity remitted to be carried	Quantity actually in store cleared	Quantity remaining to be inspected	Quantity actually in store ready for inspection	Remarks

Pulicode

2 Pulicode Salt Store

			5	6	7	8	9	
Salt Pe	2	Salt Pe	2	Salt Pe	2	Salt Pe	2	Salt Pe
1,24,830	1,25,000	1,23,750	1,25,000	1,22,500	1,25,000	1,21,250	1,25,000	1,20,000
1,24,830	1,25,000	1,23,750	1,25,000	1,22,500	1,25,000	1,21,250	1,25,000	1,20,000

Total Pe 2,98,704 2,98,704 2,98,704

Collr. Agent for Salt

Collr. Chingleput

Collr. Chingleput

13603

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Order thereon—

34 – 35

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read again letter from the Coll.r of Bellary dated 13th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 16th Instant—

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

36

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

To the Chief Secretary to Government—

Sir,

With reference to the Resolution of Government dated 4th May 1843 28th April 1843 No. 431 conveying Sanction of the Government of India for including in the fixed Moyenzabetah of the District of Bellary the pay of three Writers entertained up to that time as a temporary addition to the Establishment at a Monthly charge of Rupees 105, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Coll.r of Bellary stating that the above sum of Rs. 105 thus originally appropriated to the payment of three Writers has for some years past been distributed among the six persons noted in the margin all of whom were distinctly exhibited in the Moyenzabetah submitted by him for Sanction in his letter of 17th January 1843 the Sanction of the Government of India 24th March 1843 being only for three Writers the Civil Auditor has passed the charge for one Writer at 35 Rupees but declines to admit the charge for the remaining five persons—

13th Sept.r instant
16th Oct.r 1845
under Sanction
of Govt. 17th Nov.r 1837

Pay of 3 Writers at 35 Rs. each per Mensem — 105 Rs.

Distribution—

1 Writer	35.
1 Do.	25
1 Do.	14.
2 Ditto at 10½	21
1 Moochee	10
	105.

† Enclosure No. 2 in Coll.r's Letter of 17th Jan.y 1843.
in Cons. 30th January 1843.
‡ From Govt. 28th April in Cons.
4th May 1843.

In Submitting to Government the Coll.r's Letter of the 17th January 1843 above referred to, the Board inadvertently solicited Sanction for three Writers at 105 Rs. according to the original arrangement in place of requesting Sanction for the establishment at the same Cost which had subsequently superseded it and was entered in the Collectors Moyenzabetah as however this last Establishment appears to have been entertained in order to ensure the due performance of the increasing duties in the English Department and as the expense of the arrangement is the same as the Cost of that already sanctioned by the Government of India the Board beg to recommend that the employment from the Commencement of Fasly 1253 of the three Servants above recorded to, at the rates already given, in all at 105 Rs. per Mensem in place of three writers at the same aggregate charge may receive the special Sanction of Government—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845.

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read.

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Statement of Stores for exportation per the Ship Wash of Chingleput Dist.t

34

Description of Stores	Quantity of Stores intended to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation	Remarks &c.
For 1843 August Monsoon	140,000	54,18	Shall be sent for at the Custom House.
	3,94,020	20,18,5½	

Chingleput Collectorate
Collr's Cutcherry
Pallaveram 10th October 1845

Signed/ C. Mallitt
Collr.

Ordered

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah dated 4th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 18th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

37

To the Acting Collr. of Cuddapah

Dirctg. him in the event of any claim being presented in the Court for succession to, or participation in any Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams to move the Court to dismiss the suit as beyond their cognizance and in the event of the motion being rejected to forward copies of all the proceedings which may have passed with draft of an Appeal Motion to the Sudr Adalut. In Cons. 18th Octr 1845

To The Acting Collector of Cuddapah

Sir,

Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 4th Ultimo, with enclosures reporting the result of your application to the Civil Court on the subject of the Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams of your District.

2. From this the Board learn that on receipt of their letters of 22nd and 26th May you presented a motion to the Cuddapah Civil Court requesting the Judge to issue instructions to his subordinate Judicial Officers prohibiting them from taking Cognizance of all claims to Bhutwartee or Kyratee Enams but that the Judge declined to comply with the application. You therefore suggest that it should be decided by a precise declaratory order

of

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

In Cons. 22nd May 1845.

of Government whether their order of 26th April last was intended to apply to these Enams and whether these together with the various other descriptions of Enams particularized in the lists (enclosures Nos. 5 and 6) which accompanied your letter should be considered as falling under Regulation IX 1831 extended by act XXXI 1836.

3. In regard to the first part of the proposed declaratory order viz. that Government should declare whether these Enams were or were not contemplated in their order of 26th April last it may be observed that that order went only to direct that lapses among the holders of Grants of Money or land revenue should be duly reported to Government, the decision of the question whether this order did or did not apply to Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams would in no way determine the question as to the jurisdiction to which those Enams should be subject. As respects the second part of your suggestion that the declaratory order should expressly state whether these Enams are among those specified in Regulation IX 1831 the Board would point out to you that the interpretation of the provisions of the Regulations and the application of them to existing cases is the peculiar province of the Judicial tribunals.

tribunals. When the meaning of any part of an act or Regulation be obscure or ambiguous it can only be cleared up by some subsequent legislative Enactment it would not consist with the functions of an executive Government to issue a declaratory order on such a subject nor would such order carry any authority in the Courts.

4. The Board fully concur with you in the view which you have taken of the nature of Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams, and agree with you in opinion that these as well as most of the other Classes of Enams shewn in your lists Nos. 5 & 6 must fall under the provisions of Regulation IX. 1831 as conferred originally for some one or other of the purposes specified in S. I of that Regulation.

5. It does not however appear to them that the course which you have adopted in your recent application to the Civil Court was under all circumstances that best adapted for bringing the question to issue. They think it would have been preferable to have instructed the Government Vakeel to inform you of the first claim which might actually be preferred in the Civil Court for succession to or partition in any Enam of the Classes now referred to

Note
Clause 3 & 4 in Board's Rules seem to admit of doubt whether referrible to those specified in Regulation or not.

to in which you should have moved the Court to dismiss that particular suit as beyond their cognizance. The Board direct that this be now done, on the next occasion of any such claim being prosecuted in the Court and that in the event of your motion being rejected you will forward Copies of all the proceedings which may have passed, with a draft for approval of an appeal motion to the Sudr Adawlut. You will be careful at the same time to explain all particulars connected with the special case to which your motion has reference - whether the Enam be held with or without a grant in the latter case Copy of the grant should be forwarded, how entered in the Register, and all other details necessary for a full understanding of the Subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845

Signed I. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To I. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

38

From the Agent
of Cuddapah

Sir,

With reference to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 21st August last I have the honor to state that the Records of my Office have been carefully searched to enable me to report under what circumstances the rate of conversion between the Mittah and Canteray Pagodas

13608

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Pagodas was first introduced in this District but without success. The Peishcush on the Venkatagherry Zemindary was originally fixed in Mitta Pagodas at the time the Country was first Ceded to Government and was payable in any Coins at the rate of exchange of C.P. 1. 3. 3. for the Mitta Pagoda which was raised to C.P. 1. 3. 4. in July 1214 by the order of Colonel Munro; this latter rate of exchange has prevailed ever since.—

There are no other Estates or lands in this District the Public Demand of which is fixed in Mitta Pagodas.—

Cuddapah—
Coll.rs Office—
3d October 1845.

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Coll.r

Order thereon.

/Read again in Consy 7th Oct.r/

38 - 39

To
J. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Actg Coll.r of Cuddapah

40

I have the honor to inform you that the sub Collector of this District Mr. Stirling gave up charge of his Division on the 2d Instant under the leave of absence granted to him.

Cuddapah—
Coll.rs Office—
11th October 1845

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Coll.r

Proceedings thereon.

40 - 41

The Board observe that the circumstance of a Sub Collector having taken or relinquished charge of his Division has not been usually reported to their Office.—

Read the following Register

Register

13608

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Register of Permits to Land for Sale Remainder
Sale of Liquor for the Month of September 1845.

Name of the Commander
Date of Importation
No. of Casks
Quantity
Sale
Remainder.

Bengal Mamuds
Vessels
Do
Do

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

42

Signed/ E. Stirling
Acting Collector

Collectorate Cuddapah
Collectory 10th October 1845

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Order thereon.

(42-43)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 18th and entered in Cons: 25th Ultimo.

Proceedings thereon. Panl.

(44)

It appears from the enclosed Endorsement which bears the signature of the late Principal Collector that the Enam in question represented to be a Bhuteruntee grant was entered in the settlement and quantity &c. accounts in the name of Aulumbandy Ramiah who died upwards of 30 years ago leaving no son but two Nephews of whom the present claimant is one.

2. It is also stated that in Fasly 1230 the Enam was sized in Common with many others pending enquiry into the claims set forth by the Enamdars but that in consequence of the absence of the Petitioner this Enam was not restored but is entered in the jamy accounts the proceeds of the cultivated portion being carried to account under the head of Extra Collections.

3. As it appears therefore that the Petitioner is only a Nephew of the original incumbent and that the Enam has not been in the enjoyment of any member of the family for a period exceeding thirty years and consequently that no claims on the score of Subsistence can now be admitted in

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

accordance with the original purport of the grant, the Board resolve to submit the case for the decision of Government whether under all the circumstances set forth it should be granted as Enam to the Petitioner, or whether it should be at once resumed and carried to the Syen account.

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 29th July and entered in Consultation 16th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(45)

To the Coll[r] of Salem

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 29th July last forwarding Copy of a Communication to your address from the Sub Collector and suggesting that for the reasons given by that Officer the reduction recently authorized in the Jodighee of the Sirkelly Agraharam be fixed at Rupees 319-9-7 in place of at Rupees 354-9-0.

In reply I am directed to forward for your information Copy of a letter from this Office to Government 22d June 1843 to which allusion is made in Para 6 Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 1st November last.

Jan

Transmitting with reference to his letter regretting that the reductions recently authorized in the Jodighee of the Sirkelly Agraharam be fixed at Rs 319-9-7 in place of at Rs 354-9-0 a copy of a letter from the Board to him dated 22 June 1843 stating that under the circumstances stated no alteration seems necessary and requesting him to return these documents to the Agrm Collector adding that the reduction in the Jodighee ordered by Government Rs 354-9-0.

Cons 25 Oct 1845

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

you will thence perceive that the grounds on which the reduction in the Sirkully Sodigy was proposed by the Board to be fixed at Rupees 35-4-9 and on which that extent of reduction was sanctioned by Government were that this was the amount of the remission which had been granted annually to the owners of the Agraharms for several previous years and that these grounds had no reference to the application of 1st Class Poonjah Abolished adverted to by Mr Brett in his letter of June 28th 1843. Under these circumstances no alteration seems necessary. you will be pleased to return their Summuds to the agraharumdars allowing them the reduction in their Sodighee ordered by Government Viz Rs. Rupees 35-4-9 ——

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Hon. Sub Collr
In charge of South Arcot
Sir, Para.

Reporting on Petition No 27 of 1845 and enclosing a Memorandum of lapses.—

B. No 234 27th of 1845.
Govt No 242 1061 of 1845.
with 4 Enclosures
8th September 1845.

In returning the Petition received with your letter I have the honor to report that on referring to the records of this Cutcherry it appears by a Purwannah given by the late Nabob Omdoot-ul-omrah dated 14th Shaval of Hizree 1213 that a Jeomiah of 1 Rupee per diem was conferred on Mahab. ally Shah Lawdy father of the Petitioner.—

2. In this document it is clearly mentioned that the grant is of a Mootaliquance tenure and that it was previously enjoyed by the Ancestors of the Petitioner in the time of the former Nabob Wallajah the original grantee appears to have died on the 27th Ramzan of the Hizree 1216 previous to the transfer of the two Southern Talooks from Tricheenopoly to this District.—

3. In Fusly 1216 the members of the family dependant for support on this Jeomiah were registered in the records of this Cutcherry when Mr Ravenshaw granted a Jeomiah Certificate in the name of the Petitioner as head of the family to whom the periodical payments have since been made.

2nd Oct 1807

4. The Jeomiah being clearly of a Mootaliquance nature and entered as such in the

13614 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

* Vide Mems: which accompanies this.

the original grant the share of each member was resumed as lapses occurred.

5. The Petitioner wishing to have the original amount of Yeamiah changed for one of a religious nature his Petition was rejected by the late Collector Mr. Hallett.

South Arcot ——— }
Coll[r]s Cutcherry — } / Signed / H. Wood
Cuddalore ——— } Acting Sub Coll[r]
30th September 1845 } In charge.

Memorandum

13615 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Memorandum Showing the Names of Sharers in the Commutation Allowance granted to the late Sahukar Comaraiah Chetty Merchant of Cuddalore.

No. 2 Division of the Pagoda Amount Date
Amount of the Pagodas Shared by the Sharers Commutation allowed by Government for the Deceased Sahukar Comaraiah Chetty Merchant of Cuddalore from the date of his decease 20th October 1845.

Particulars.

1	Yeamiah Sahukar	1 share	70	13	3	Amount of the Commutation allowance granted to the Head of the family after ascertaining the existence of the Members
	Family Pleader	1 share				
	Malo Cully	1 share				
2	Sawdeekham	2 Do.	70	12	9	31st Dec. 1839
3	Nalamelkham	3 Do.	70	12	9	2d January 1832
4	Nalamelchellatamma	Daughter of Do.	70	12	9	28th Dec. 1839
5	Ragavachatam	Nephew of Do.	70	12	9	1st Sept. 1842
		Total	354			

Sd / Signed / H. Wood
Acting Sub Collector
In charge

13616 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(46 . 47)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

1. In reply to the requisition of Government dated the 8th of August last for a report on the subject of a Petition presented by Ghoolam Alli Shah, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Officer in charge of the District of South Arcot.

Your Dis. 27th of 1845.
Do. No. 2.
Govt. No. 1061.
1845.

30th Sept. 1845 Encl.
20th Oct. 1845.

2. From this report it will be seen that a Jeomiah of one Rupee per diem was originally conferred by the former Ruler of the Country on the father of the Petitioner, on whose death the Amount was descended to his Eldest Son Ghoolam Alli Shah as the head of the family and on behalf of the several participants in the grant, which being of a Mootalequnee nature gradually lapsed to Government on the death of the respective incumbents as shown in the Statement which accompanies Mr. Wood's letter.

3. The Petitioner is the only surviving Member of the family and as such is in the enjoyment of the Amount to which he is entitled viz. one fifth or Rupees 70. 13. per Annum.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845.

/ Signed / F. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

13617 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read the following letter

To

F. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(48)

From the Coll. of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Statements shewing the principal Articles exported from, and Imported into this District, into and from the Pondicherry Territory, prepared agreeably to the form which accompanied your letter of the 21st August last.

South Arcot
Coll. Cutcherry
Cuddalore
16th October 1845

/ Signed / W. D. Davis
Collector.

Statement

13018

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

No. 1

Statement shewing the Principal Articles exported by Sea or Land from the District of South Arcot to the settlement of Pondicherry and the duty levied upon each for Fusly 1254.

Articles	Duty Land	Sea	Total
	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs as ps
Indigo	2,530 6 1	1,703 10 "	4234 " 1
Piece Goods	24249 96	" " "	24249 96
Cotton	1924 1 1	" " "	1924 1 1
Sugar	220 2 10	" " "	220 2 10
Tobacco	1710 2 6	" " "	1710 2 6
Lamp oil & Seed	910 8 6	" " "	910 8 6
Redes	713 2 10	" " "	713 2 10
Ghee	643 12 9	" " "	643 12 9
Nuts	570 4 1	" " "	570 4 1
Ghee	465 11 3	" " "	465 11 3
Tamarind	364 13 3	" " "	364 13 3
Chillies	328 3 4	" " "	328 3 4
Jaggery	386 2 7	" " "	386 2 7
Cloofray Seeds	283 4 7	" " "	283 4 7
Gingelly Seeds	189 2 8	" " "	189 2 8
Planks &c	156 12 7	" " "	156 12 7
Indigo Seeds	166 8 2	13 4 "	179 12 2
Coriander Seeds	84 7 8	" " "	84 7 8
Oil	75 2 5	" " "	75 2 5
Wax	67 " 8	" " "	67 " 8
Coir Ropes	61 8 1	" " "	61 8 1
Sundries	805 3 9	50 8 "	855 11 9
	36806 3 2	1767 6 "	38573 9 2

South Arcot Collr Cutcherry
Cuddalore 16th October 1845

Exd &c Sd/ Signd/ W. D. Davis
Collector

13619

Fort St George 20th October 1845

No 2.

Statement shewing the Principal Articles imported by Sea or Land into the District of South Arcot from the Settlement of Pondicherry and the duty levied upon each for Fusly 1254.

Articles	Duty		
	Land	Sea	Total
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Wine	1,335 10 3	2 " 5	1337 10 8
Spirituous Liquors	3487 1 "	" " "	3487 1 "
Piece Goods	597 7 "	" " "	597 7 "
Coffee	39 8 9	" "	39 8 9
Copper	412 1 9	" " "	412 1 9
Cloves	1881 7 11	" " "	1881 7 11
Nutmeg	849 153	" " "	849 153
Benjamin	705 11 1	" "	705 11 1
Plantations	380 11 2	99 141	480 9 3
Paper	244 10 1	" " "	244 10 1
Nut	89 13 1	" " "	89 13 1
Iron	79 10 6	64 7 4	144 1 10
Mace	73 6 "	" " "	73 6 "
Thread	67 10 11	" " "	67 10 11
Brass	" " "	7 14 "	7 14 "

13621. Fort St.e George 20.th October 1845.

Read the following letter.—

To T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.

50

From the Coll.r of Tanjore ——— } Sir.

I have the honor to solicit the sanction of the Board for selling the lands of the Defaulters named in the accompanying Statement. An impression having got abroad that the Government is unwilling to give authority for the sale of the lands of defaulting Meerassdars has interfered with the punctual realization of some of this years' Revenue. The persons whose lands are entered in the accompanying Statement are undeserving of further indulgence and as the exposure of their lands for sale will afford a good example throughout the District, it is desirable I should have the orders of the Board at an early date—Until I receive these I do not propose issuing the usual Notices of sale.—

Tanjore, ———
Combaconum,
29.th September
1845.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Collector &c.

Statement.

13619 Fort St.e George 20.th October 1845.

Statement shewing the Principal Articles imported by Sea or Land into the Districts of South Arcot from the Settlement of Pondicherry and the duty levied thereon each for July 1845.

Fort St.e George 20 October 1845

Mad.s	"	125	125
Sund.r Articles	51	61	51 61
Sundries	480	2	136 493 89
			188 12 3 19 65 10

South Arcot Coll.r's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 30.th October 1845

E. C. Montgomery
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded.

Board

Ordered Thereon.

48-49

Fort St. George

st the within named Defaulters at the close of Fusly 1254, with interest and and of that held by each Defaulter.—

Fusly 1254	Total	Arrears of Revenue on Lands belonging to Pagodas	Interest on the Arrears and Sibbundy Charges	Grand Total from Column 7 to 9.	Landed Property. In what Village Situated	Property whether Taruf or Srothreum
6	7	8	9	10	11	12.
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	RS A P	Rs A P		
24 7 5	38 1 7	" "	4 6 3	42 7 10	Unjanacottay	Taruf
113 13 2	152 5 11	8 15 5	24 10 11	186 " 3	Pundarawaddy Calavalore	Taruf Sheithum Total
1,311 14 9	1,753 2 7	411 13 6	221 3 9	2,386 3 10	Vadayaravayel Kempathy	Taruf
232 " "	232 " "	" " "	16 7 8	248 7 8	Salepary	Do
123 " "	123 " "	" " "	8 11 6	131 11 6	Nunnelum Vadagoody Total	Do Do
4	37 6 3 4	" " "	38 7 "	614 10 4	Adumbaur	Taruf
"	641 4 8	" " "	121 13 6	763 2 2	Do	Do
"	728 " "	" " "	51 2	779 2	Seithuramungalum	Do
	3,588 10 1	420 12 11	44 0 13 9	4,450 4 9		

13577 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the other is a list of the Enams in the Village omitting the Plaintiff's but which has been subsequently altered to include it.

7. Upon a review of the whole case it appears that the Zufty Ameen (since deceased) combined with Plaintiff and others in setting up a false claim to the land in question and by false representations induced the Collector to furnish the Curnum for omitting the Enam in the Accounts and to grant Dombala to the Plaintiff.

8. The frauds which have been practised having now come to light it clearly appears that Plaintiff has no title to the Land, and I request therefore that after a perusal of the plaint and Answer (Copies and translations of which accompany) I may receive authority to defend the Suit at the expense of the Rayfully Estate.

9. The time for filing this Answer will expire on the 27th Proximo and I shall be obliged therefore by an early intimation of the Boards order upon this subject.

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry 27th September 1845.

I Signed D. White
Acting Collector

To.

13578. Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

No. 1.

No. 25 of 1844.

To The Principal Sudr. Ameen Court in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Plaintiff

Moondraley Venceta reddy who gets his livelihood by having Enam lands; and an inhabitant of Peddapotawarum

Defendants.

1 Rajah Maneka Row Jungavarow of the Vellama Caste Zemindar and an inhabitant of Rapully

2 Bhansa Nursiah of the Bulaja Caste and a Cultivator.

3 Dr Venkiah } Sons of the
4 Dr Venkiah } aforesaid Nursiah of Allamoodi by Vencatachellum
5 Dr Appiah } of Sooracpully
6 Cola Condamarey Vencatachellum

7 Sauvagapully Kristna Mah of the Brahmin Caste and a Cultivator of Conatey posseum.

The Complaint I have to prefer against the Defendants is as follows:-

Rajah Maneka Row Bhava Narain Row gave my father Moondraley Bauppenah on the 15th increasing Moon of the Month Jaisteum year Anundah or Fusly 1203, one Cootchula of Enam

13579 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Enam land in the Village Sooracpilly in the Reypully Talook subject to the Zemindary of the 1st Defendant and issued on the same date a Takeed or Summuds to the Circumms of that Village directing them to point out one Coocherlah of land and fix limits thereto; in obedience to which order the Villagers pointed out a spot of land of the undermentioned 4 limits.-

East the boundary of the Village Poolewaroo
South Coringoo Boochiah's field.-
West the boundary of the Village Vamanaraum along Yapoony Sooramah's pasture land and
North the boundary of the Village Vamawaraum - and executed a Chekroonamah to my father on the 2d decreasing Moon of the Month Jaisteum, Year Anundah or Fusly 1203 wherein were specified the above limits and that he should continue to cause the land situated in the above limits to be cultivated, and enjoyed by succeeding generations. My father enjoyed it from the above date during his life time to Fusly 1245, and subsequently, I enjoyed the produce annually by having the land cultivated. In Fusly 1246, the 2d 6th and 7th Defendants offered to cultivate the land on share provided I gave a Coul

in

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in the name of the 2d Defendant to which proposal I agreed: the 2d Defendant then tendered me a Cabuleyet on the 2d increasing — Moon of the Month Aushadum year Doormookey on condition that he would take a share of half of each poatey of the several descriptions of Grain which the land may yield by the assistance of his own bullocks and implements and that he would have the "Ambarum" share of the Grain delivered at my house in the Village Poolevarvo, and having accordingly obtained a Cowl from me the 2d Defendant and his joint cultivators the 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants cultivated the land. In Fusly 1246 the 1st Defendant asked me to give a Nazaranah or present from the produce of that land, but as I did not consent, the Defendants withheld in their possession the whole produce, and would not give me my Ambarum share according to the conditions of the Cabuleyet, I preferred a summary Complaint on the 6th April 1836 to the Collector of this Zillah against the 1st 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 114-8-5 being the value of the "Ambarum" Grain withheld from me. While the suit was pending I frequently every year represented to

the

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the Collector that as the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants were annually cultivating the land since Fusly 1247 they would be likely to misappropriate the produce of the subsequent years. The Collector issued orders that as there was no produce in Fusly 1251 of the 5 years from Fusly 1247 to 1251 the Ameen of Pachoor should cause the produce of the remaining 4 years to be measured and that after deducting the Ryots share he should have the "Ambarum" kept in deposit upon sufficient security. The Collector then held proceedings on the 12th February Fusly 1242 after perusing the said summary Complaint that I should recover the amount of Ambarum thro' the Civil Court and that the Grain in deposit should be made over to the Ryots who were cultivating the land. In Fusly 1252 the Ameen of Rapully caused the produce of that year to be measured, and retained the "Ambarum" share in the possession of the Ryots the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants. I then instituted a complaint in No. 961 of 1843 in the Chabrole District Moonsiff's Court against the 2d 3d 6th and 7th Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 204-1-4 being the value of the Ambarum to me in Fuslies 1246, 47, 48, 49, 50, and 52

the

13582

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the District Moonsiff held proceedings on the 31st January 1844 and dismissed the Suit on the grounds that I should if willing sue the 1st Defendant the Zemindar and other Byots mentioned in my summary Suit for the value of the produce of Fusly 1246, and for the land, and that a Suit should be laid against the cultivating Byots for the Ambarum share of the produce for the remaining Fusles. This Suit is therefore brought to recover the produce of the said land from Fusly 1246 to Fusly 1253 together with the land itself as follows.

. Amount recoverable from the 1st 2d 3d 4th 5th & 6th Defendants, as Ambarum share of the produce of Fusly 1246 - 114 - 8 - 9

Sellama Paddy 3 Candies - -
Deduct Byots share 1½ Candy -
Remaining 1½ Candy . Ambarum which at the rate of 22 Rupees per Candy — 33 " .

	Candies	Tooms	M.	
Jonnaloo	5	15	9	deduct
Byots share	2	17	12½	re-
maining Ambarum	2	17	12½	at
the rate of 26 Rupees per Candy				76-8-9

Coondooloo ½ Candy - Byots share ¼ Candy - Ambarum ¼ Candy at 20 Rupees per Candy — 5 - -

114 8 9

Amount.

13583

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

. Amount recoverable from the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants, value of the . Ambarum after deducting the Byots share of the produce from 1247 to Fusly 1252 (6 years) excepting Fusly 1251 in which there was no produce therefore I have to get only for 5 years — 89 8 7

. Ambarum for Fusly 1247 -

Jonnaloo — 8 . Maunds - deduct Byots share 4 . Manekas - — F. M. " 4

	Tooms	M.
remaining . Ambarum		
Channagooloo	7 —	12
Deduct Byots share	3 —	14 -
Remaining Ambarum		3 14 -
Paddy	12 —	8
Deduct Byots share	6 —	4 -
Remaining . Ambarum	6	4

F. M. 10 6

. Ambarum for Fusly 1248

Jonnaloo 4 Candies — . . . . . deduct — Candies
Byots share - 2 C. remaining — 2 -
Pepalloo - 7 Manekas - deduct Byots
3½ Manekas - remaining — 3½
Candies — 2 3½

. Ambarum for Fusly 1249 -

Paddy - 1 Candy - 15 Tooms - Deduct —
Byots share 17 Tooms - 8 Manekas.
Remaining — Tooms M. 17 - 8 .

Jonnaloo 12 Tooms - Deduct Byots share 6 Tooms - remaining — 6 " .

Condooloo - 5 Tooms 10 Manekas - Deduct Byots share - 2 - 13 — remaining 1 Candy — 2 13 / 6 6

. Ambarum

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Ambarum for 1250 Fusly.

	@	F.	M.	G.
Jonnaloo	3	15	9	
Deduct Ryots share	1	17	12½	
Remaining Ambarum	1	17	12½	

Pesaloo 9 M. 6 Giddaloo

Deduct Ryots shares 4 — 11 — " remaining 11 Giddaloo

Candy 1 18 — 1 3.

Ambarum for Fusly 1257.

Jonnaloo 2 Candies 8 Tooms 13 Manekas 12 G.

Deduct	1	4	6	10
Remaining	1	4	6	10
Pesaloo		7	8½	
Deduct		3	4	
Remaining	3	11	12	

Candy 1 8 2 10

Grand Total 7 8 2 5

Particulars

	@	F.	M.	G.
Jonnaloo altogether	10	16	14	12
Deduct Ryots Share	5	8	7	6
Remaining	5	8	7	6
Chennagaloo		7	12	"
Deduct Ryots share		3	14	"
Remaining		3	14	"
Red paddy		2	7	8
Deduct Ryots Shares		1	3	12
Remaining		1	3	12

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in the year under review is represented to have been produced by an arbitrary mode of Assessment introduced by the Tahsildar, the subject as brought to notice in the papers before Government is incomplete and demands further investigation and report. The impropriety of the Tahsildar's proceeding in the matter without authority is unquestionable, but it is presumed there must have been reasons to have influenced that Officer in fixing an uniform rate on each plough instead of observing the previous classification, and His Lordship in Council is of opinion that he should be called upon for an explanation. The Government do not understand upon what principles the original rates of Assessment were previously regulated or applied nor what the Board intend in proposing to form a correct settlement on principles more in accordance with justice and equity.-

Para 17. 9. His Lordship in Council will be happy to have before him the report called for respecting the increase in the number of cultivating ryots, and the

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the large number who have given up that mode of occupation.-

Para 21. 10. For the reasons assigned by the Principal Collector of Salem, and agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, His Lordship in Council Sanctions the remission of the sum of Rupees 285-11-10 (Two hundred and eighty five, Annas Eleven and Pice Ten) outstanding against the Ryots of the Village of Oravandoor, and for the sum of Rupees 158-8-8 (One hundred and fifty eight, annas eight and Pice eight) stated to have

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

have been irregularly collected from them.-

Para 22. 11. His Lordship in Council will defer entering on the subject of lands cultivated under wells contructed at the Ryots expense, until the report on the general question has been submitted by the Board of Revenue as required in the proceedings of Government dated 17th June 1845.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.-

The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th August 1845.-

(6-7)

Mr. Lockhart's attention is called to Paras 5-7-9- and 10 and he will be pleased to furnish the explanation called for by Government in Para 8.-

Extract

13536 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

From the Secy. to Government

(8)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 13th October 1845.-

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 4th September 1845 No. 549)

Agreeably to the recommendation
of the Collector of South Arcot and of the
Board of Revenue the Most Noble the
Governor in Council is pleased to grant
authority for the Share of the Civil Vil-
-lages and other emoluments enjoyed by
the late Mosttoo Aeekub Naick Canilgaro
of Trivandapooram being continued to his
adopted son Balala Achal Naick.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.-

(8-9)

Resolved that a copy of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Con-
-sultation be transmitted for the information
and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot.
in Cons. 4th Sept. 1845. with reference to his letter dated 13th August
last.-

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1845.

(10)

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 592)

The Most Noble the Governor
in

13537 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in Council authorizes the acting Collector
of Guntoor to file the proposed answer to
the Suit instituted by certain inhabitants of
Moondraidoo in the Vaisareddy Zemindary
against the Abkarry renters of the Village,
in which he has been made a party, and
to conduct the defence at the expense of that
Estate.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon.-

(10-11)

Ordered to be communicated for
the information and guidance of the
Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor
in Cons. 22nd Sept. 1845. with reference to Mr. Mules letter dated 18th
September last.-

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1845.-

(12)

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 595)

The Most Noble the Governor in
Council sanctions the Estimate recommended
by the Board for making up 50 new boxes required
by the Collector of Tanjore for remitting treasure
amounting to Rupees (700) Seven hundred.-

DESTROYED

(True Extract
(signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered.

13538 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

Order thereon-

(12 - 13)

In Cons. 15th Sept. 1845.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore, with reference to his letter dated 30th August last.-

From the Sec.y to Government

(14)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th October 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 597.

Under the circumstances stated the Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Charge of Rupees 316-15-) Three hundred and Sixteen, and annas fifteen, incurred by the Collector of Tinnevelly on account of the Sayer Establishment Kept up in that District from 1st to 10th April 1844.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon-

(14 - 15)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 19th September last.-

In Pro. 25th Sept.r 1845.-

Extract.-

13539 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

From the Sec.y to Government

(16)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th October 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 22d September 1845 No. 582.

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Sums disbursed in Fuslies 1249 to 1254 on account of Sibbendy and Saderwared for arranging the Native records of the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Tinnevelly amounting to Rupees 1034-7-1 one thousand and thirty four annas seven and Pice one, and grants authority for the expenditure of the Balance of the Sanctioned Estimate, Viz.t Rupees 140-8-11 one hundred and forty annas eight and Pice eleven, for completing the remaining portion of the work.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secretary to Government.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon-

(16 - 17)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

In Cons 22d Sept. 1845. From the Sec. to Government

18

Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 2d September last.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th October 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 22d September 1845 No. 583)

Resolved that the Principal Collector of Coimbatore be authorized to pay to his Head Sheristadar Ramakistna Pundit the increased allowance of Rupees 437-8- (Four hundred and thirty Seven, and annas Eight per Mensem from the 10th August 1845, the date on which he became entitled to that amount of Salary under the Rules sanctioned by Government under date the 22d March 1816.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon-

18-19

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to his letter dated 3rd September last.-

In Cons 22d Sept. 1845.

Read

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read the following Letter.-

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

20

From the Asst Coll. of Ganjam

Submits the usual accounts connected with the Extra sources of Revenue in Ganjam District for Fusly 1254 with certain Explanations thereon.-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board of Revenue, the usual accounts framed to elucidate the annual Report on the Extra sources of Revenue in the Ganjam District, for the year ending the 12th July last, Fusly 1254 A. D. 1844/45.-

2.- The gross receipts in the season under review from every Head amounted to Rupees 389993-12-11 and the Charges to Rupees 13625-8-8 The Nett Receipts therefore were Rupees 376368-4-3 In the preceding year the gross receipts from all sources of Extra Revenue were Rupees 356337-9-10, and the Nett Revenue after deducting Charges was Rupees 331005-12-3. It will be seen therefore, that the Nett Increase on all sources in the year under notice was Rupees 45362-8-. An Increase the more gratifying as tending to shew the prosperity of the District and the Buoyancy of its resources, where it is considered that one source of Revenue the levy of Sayer, or at least so much thereof, as constituted the excise on articles consumed within the

the District, had been wholly relinquished in the latter season.

3 In accordance with custom I shall now proceed to notice succinctly each Item of Revenue, and the Increase or Decrease of charge observable thereon in the year under observation, and first as regards Salt.-

Salt 4 It will be seen from the accounts that the actual sales under this Head produced a sum of Rupees 3,02,755-- - - while the sales of the previous Fusly had yielded a Rupees 2,54,551-6-7 The Increase upon the year therefore was Rupees 48,203-9-5 In the preceding season there had been a Decrease as compared with Fusly 1252 of Rupees 40,557-8-6 in the present season the Revenue had risen again to the former standard.-

5 The entire sales whether for home Consumption, or for Foreign Export by Inland Frontier were £ 2,496. 206. 4. 2 in comparison with £ 2,210. 300. - - 7 in the previous Fusly- The Increase of Salt sold was therefore £ 285. 306. 3. 3 or Bengal Maunds 34,291. 37. 5. It will be borne in mind that the price had been slightly raised on this article of Consumption 5.-

This Charges in the Salt Department

Department in the year under reference amounted to Rupees 10750-4-10 falling short of the expenditure in the preceding year by Rupees 7,475-3-3. This diminution of charge was caused by a very considerable decrease in the Manufacture in all the Pans, consequent on the large supply on hand at the close of the previous year, and the smaller amount thence defrayed in purchases from the Ryots.-

7 It has been already shewn that the gross sales yielded Rupees 3,02,755-- - - adding Fines and Forfeitures and Recoveries of advances for Salt Manufacture, and deducting Charges, the Net Receipts will be found to be Rupees 292790-9-5 in this District from Salt.-

Sea Customs. 8.- Under the Head of Sea Customs the Duties brought to account within the Fusly were Rupees 25527-5-9 exceeding the Collections of the preceding year by Rupees 2,627-4-1 The present was the first season in which the New Duties imposed under act No. VI of 1844 came into operation The charges under this Head were Rupees 1611-- - and the Net Receipts were 23916-5-9.--

9.- Almost the whole of this Revenue was derived from duties on grain, and other articles of gross produce carried

coastwise

Fort St.e George 20.th October 1845

coasting from this District in search of a market to the southward; for the Duties on Imports are almost a Blank. This trade was greatly stimulated in the year under notice by the partial failure of the Monsoon to the Southward. The revenue is termed a duty on Exports, but an Export in my judgment, is a shipment of produce or of goods to a Port out of India or to a Foreign Port in India, and not a shipment of produce from one Port to another of the same Territory These dues are in fact transit duties on grain, and when reference is made to the heavy land tax previously paid and regard is had to the exorbitant Sea Duty on the Article with reference to its market value, the impost is in my judgment as impolitic as it is unjust.-

10 In Akkarry the rented Demands of the year was Rupees 37,236-- exceeding the Rents of the former year by Rupees 2,381-- The current Collections within the Fasly amounted to Rupees 34640-6-2 and the entire Receipts current and arrears, with some trifling extra Collections were Rupees 37702-9-9.-

11.- The Balances of Current Revenue on the 12.th July were Rs.e 2,595-9-10 reduced to 311-7-11 only the 31.st August, the whole of which is under Collection and will be speedily brought to account.-

12.- The Settlement of Moturpha in the Ganjam District for the year under notice was Rs.e 8,569-- 6

of

Fort St.e George 20.th October 1845

of which Rupees 7397-2-5 were brought to account within the Fasly year, and adding arrears the Total Collection reached Rupees 8079-4-1 The Balance of the current Fasly on the 12.th July was Rupees 1172-1-4 of which a good part is recoverable but the exact amount cannot at present be ascertained.-

13 Under the Head of Small Farms and Licences the Receipts of the year were Rupees 3,422-3-5 inclusive of Rupees 28-6-6 collected from the sale proceeds of a certain quantity of smuggled Tobacco brought to account after the abolition of Sayer dues. The Demand was Rupees 3,584-6-6 and the Balance was Rupees 162-3-1 at the close of the Fasly.-

14.- The Stamp Revenue of Fasly 1254 Yielded a gross receipt of Rupees 1415-2-2 The Charges were Rupees 1264-3-10 and the Net Dues were Rupees 10150-14-4 The Increase over the preceding year notwithstanding the abolition of Stamps or Rowanahs was owing to larger sales of Stamped Papers for purposes of litigation.-

Fasly 1253 - 10125-15-6
Ft. 1254 - 1415-2-2
Increase - 1289-2-8

15.- The Board of Revenue will not fail to observe that there was an Increase of Revenue under every head during the Fasly under review, and that the upshot of the whole was, as previously stated, a gross Revenue of Rupees 3,89,993-12-11 and a Net Revenue of Rupees 37,6368-4-3 shewing a Net Increase on the year notwithstanding the abolition of the Inland Transit Duty of Rupees 45,362-8-- .-

Ganjam District
Coll.r Cutty
Chittapore 4.th October 1845

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
A.g Collector

Statement

13540

Fort St. George

Balance under each head of Extra Revenue in the

Fasly 1254

A. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Ba

Fasly 1253

	Balance on the 1st July 1844	Collections Settlement to 1st July 1844	Extra Collections	Total Collections	Balance on the 1st July 1845		Collections Settlement to 1st July 1844	Extra Collections	Total Collection
	7	8	9	10	11	12			
	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	1 2 3	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
11	- - -	30,275 - -	30,275 - -	795 14 3	30,354	0 14 3	1 Salt	25,451 6 7	26,451 6 7
3	- - -	28 6 6	28 6 6	- - -	28 6 6	- - -	2 Sayer	21,309 7 -	21,309 7 -
18	- - -	25,527 5 9	25,527 5 9	- - -	25,527 5 9	- - -	3 Sea Customs	22,900 1 8	22,900 1 8
46	2,699 - 7	37,236 - -	34,640 6 2	93 9 11	34,734 - 1	2,595 9 10	4 Abkarry	34,855 - -	32,155 15 5
21	403 6 11	3,556 - -	3,393 12 11	- - -	3,393 12 11	162 3 1	5 Sundry small Farms & Licences	3,403 3 -	2,999 12 1
156	- - -	10,879 11 -	10,879 11 -	535 7 2	11,415 2 2	- - -	6 Stamps	9,511 3 -	9,511 3 -
13 10	1,699 1 -	8,569 - 6	7,397 2 6	- - -	7,397 2 5	1,171 4 1	7 Motorpha	8,366 14 10	6,667 13 10

Tanjam 11th July 1845 - Errors Excepted

	Collections Extra Collections	Total Collection
	4 5	6
	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
1 Salt	1210 7 4	25,361 13 11
2 Sayer	54 3 3	21,363 10 10
3 Sea Customs	7 - -	22,907 1 8
4 Abkarry	413 6 1	32,569 - 6
5 Sundry small Farms & Licences	- - -	2,999 12 1
6 Stamps	614 12 6	10,125 15 9
7 Motorpha	- - -	6,667 13 10

qe 20th October 1845

the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1253 and 1254

Comparison of the Settlements of Fuslies 1253 and 1254		Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1253 & 1254		Remarks
Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease	
13	14	15	16	17
CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	
48203 95			47779 4	Vide Explanations given in the accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate.
	21281 6		21335 39	
2627 41			2620 41	
2381			2164 107	
	152 13		394 10	
	1368 8		1289 28	
	202 18		729 47	

Dft / Signed / P. B. Smollett
Ag Collector.

Statement

13547 Fort St. George

B Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Extra Peve

No.	Items of Revenue	Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of Fusly 1253	Arrears due on account of Fusly 1250	Collections on account of Fusly 1250	Remission for Fusly 1250	Balance of Fusly 1250	Arrears due on account of Fusly 1251
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as
1	Abkarry	3181 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	41 7 6	
2	Sundry Small Farms & Licences	748 12 6	60 2 6	" " "	" " "	60 2 6	48 7 10
3	Moturpha	4477 5 11	1254 6 7	" " "	" " "	1254 6 7	659 7 1

20th October 1845.

...nue of Former Fusliesin the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1254.—

Collections on account of Fusly 1251	Remission for Fusly 1251	Balance of Fusly 1251	arrears due on account of Fusly 1252	Collections on account of Fusly 1252	Remission for Fusly 1252
9	10	11	12	13	14
CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
...	41 7 6	...	44 3 10	44 3 10	...
1 8 ...	...	46 15 0	236 11 3	10 ...	...
0 ...	...	659 7 1	864 7 3	...	...

13518

Fort St. George

20th October 1845

Balance of Fasly 1252	Arrears due on account of Fasly 1253	Collections on account of Fasly 1253	Balance of Fasly 1253	Total Collections of old arrears in Fasly 1254	Total Remittains in Fasly 1254	Total Balance remaining to the end of Fasly 1254	Comparison of the Collections of arrears in Fasles 1253 and 1254 as per Column 19 Increase	Decrease	Remarks
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	
- - -	2,699 - 7	2614 5 10	84 10 9	3058 9 8	41 7 6	84 10 9	- - -	52 13 6	Of the Balance outstanding under the head of Abkarry Revenue on account of Fasly 1253 as per Column 18 of this Statement Viz.te Rupees 84-10-9 Rs. 68-12-2 were realized to the end of August 1845 and the remainder Rupees 15-14-7 it is expected will be collected hereafter.
220 11 3	403 6 11	204 13 6	198 9 5	216 5 6	- - -	5327 - -	- - -	92 13 3	The Balances due under the heads of Sundry Small Farms and Licences and Motupha Revenue for Fasles 1250 to 1253 are Rupees 327-11-3 as per Column 21 The greater Portion if not the whole of this is reckoned desperate, but on this subject Report will be made separately to the Board. -
864 7 3	1,699 1 4	682 1 8	1,016 15 4	682 1 8	- - -	3795 4 3	160 10 6	- - -	

Jaffna 11th July 1845. E. E. Jr.

Signed P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement

13549

Fort Jackson 20th October 1843

Sir I have the honor to transmit herewith a Return of the Ordnance & Ordnance Stores in the Garrison of Fort Jackson for July 1843 compared with those of July 1843.

	Increase	Decrease
Iron 32 pdr.		1
Iron 24 pdr.		2
Iron 18 pdr.		3
Iron 12 pdr.		4
Iron 6 pdr.		5
Iron 4 pdr.		6
Iron 8 inch Howitzers		
Iron 24 pdr. Carronades		
Iron 32 pdr. Carronades		
Shells 8 inch		
Shells 10 inch		
Grape 32 pdr.		
Grape 24 pdr.		
Grape 18 pdr.		
Grape 12 pdr.		
Grape 6 pdr.		
Grape 4 pdr.		
Canister 32 pdr.		
Canister 24 pdr.		
Canister 18 pdr.		
Canister 12 pdr.		
Canister 6 pdr.		
Canister 4 pdr.		
Blank Cartridges		
Port fire Stocks		
Friction Primers		
Portfire Sticks		
Musket Cartridges		
Rifle Cartridges		
Pistol Cartridges		
Musket Balls		
Rifle Balls		
Pistol Balls		
Buck Shot		
Flints		
Gun Locks		
Gun Barrels		
Sponges & Rammers		
Ladles & Worms		
Powder Horns		
Cartridge Boxes		
Waist Belts		
Shoulder Belts		
Bayonet Scabbards		
Gun Slings		
Knapsacks		
Haversacks		
Canteens		
Tin Cups		
Drum Cases		
Drum Heads		
Fife Cases		
Fife Heads		
Drum Sticks		
Fife Sticks		
Drum Slings		
Fife Slings		
Drum Cords		
Fife Cords		
Total		

Increase of Ordnance Stores 1 Dr.

Increase of Ordnance Stores 1 Dr.

Increase of Ordnance Stores 1 Dr.

Commerilly Pans.

Home consumption	30,793 15 6	92,019 3.	6,225 3 6	" "
Inland — D°	1932 15 9	" "	" "	1932 15 9
Fines and Forfeitures	" "	9 14 5	9 14 5	" "
Recoveries of Advances from Manufacturers	300 "	227 12.	" "	72 4.
Total	33,026 15 3	92,256 13 5	6,235 1 11	2,005 3 9
Total Home consumption	120,139 3.	162,654 7.	62,653 10 3	20,138 6 3
D° Inland — D°	134,412 2 7	140,100 9.	15892 2 9	10,203 13 4
Total	254,551 6 7	302,755.	78,545 13.	30,342 3 7
D° Fines and Forfeitures	10 7 4	10 10 3	9 14 5	9 11 6
D° Recoveries of Advances from Manufacturers	1200 "	775 4.	" "	424 12.
Total Gross Collections	255,761 13 11	303,540 4 3	78,555 11 5	30,776 11 1
Actual Increase and Decrease excluding Extra Collections			78,545 13.	30,342 3 7
Deduct Decrease in Sales excluding D° D°				30,342 3 7
Net Increase as p° Statement A				48203 9 5

For the variations in this vide explanations given in the accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate.

13550 Fort St. George 20th. October 1815

	Chs. Rs. as.	Chs. Rs. as.	Chs. Rs. as.	Chs. Rs. as.
Charges.				
Purchase of Salt, Vizt.,				
At Ganjam	3360	639 1 11	.. .. ..	2720 14 1
At Munsoorcottah	848 7 5	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	848 7 5
At Nowpadah	3865 1 6	1740	.. .. ..	2125 1 6
At Wommaravilly	3867 7 8	1311 9 6	.. .. ..	2555 14 2
Total	11941 .. 7	3690 11 5	.. .. ..	8250 5 2
Servants Wages Vizt.				
At Hoozoor Cutcherry	240	240	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
At Ganjam	1088 12 1	1138 11 11	49 15 10	.. .. ..
At Munsoorcottah	614 3 ..	656	41 13 ..	.. .. ..
At Nowpadah	1190 7 6	1194	3 8 6	.. .. ..
At Wommaravilly	626 13 11	974	351 6 1	.. .. ..
Total	3520 4 6	3966 15 11	446 11 5	.. .. ..
Total Servants Wages	3760 4 6	4206 15 11	446 11 5	.. .. ..

Additional Pans &c. Vizt.				
At Ganjam	35 8 7	31 9 10	.. .. ..	3 14 9
At Monsoorcottah	29 11 ..	40 10 1	10 15 1	.. .. ..
At Nowpadah	364 11 2	379 12 6	15 1 4	.. .. ..
At Wommaravilly	80 .. 5	88 12 ..	8 11 7	.. .. ..
Total	509 15 2	540 12 5	34 12 ..	3 14 9
Sauderward Vizt.				
At Ganjam	6	6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
At Monsoorcottah	6	6	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
At Nowpadah	18	18	.. .. ..	.. .. ..
At Wommaravilly	8 13 11	12	3 2 1	.. .. ..
Total	38 13 11	42	3 2 1	.. .. ..
Repairs of Pans, Vizt.				
At Ganjam	4 7 ..	4 9 ..	.. 2 ..	.. .. ..
At Munsoorcottah	96 2 10	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	96 2 10
At Nowpadah	125	120	.. .. ..	5 .. ..
At Wommaravilly	.. .. ..	250 9 ..	250 9 ..	.. .. ..
Total	205 9 10	375 2 ..	250 9 ..	81 2 10

13551 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

	6Rs. a⁰	6Rs. a⁰	6Rs. a⁰	6Rs. a⁰
Extra charges Viz^t.				
At Ganjam	218 10 "	280 3 .	61 9 .	" " "
At Monsoorcottah	9 2 .	4 10 .	" " .	4 8 .
At Nowpadah	252 12 11	206 11 9	" " .	46 1 2
At Wommaravilly	20 3 2	134 2 1	113 15 2	" " "
Total	500 12 1	625 11 1	175 8 2	50 9 2
Advances to Manufacturers Viz^t.				
At Ganjam	250 " .	250 " .	" " .	" " "
At Monsoorcottah	150 " .	150 " .	" " "	" " "
At Nowpadah	500 " .	500 " .	" " "	" " "
At Wommaravilly	300 " .	300 " .	" " "	" " "
Total	1200 " "	1200 " .	" " "	" " "
Compensation at Nowpadah	69 " .	69 " .	" " "	" " "
Total Superintendence and Sales &^ca	6,284 7 6	7,059 9 5	9 10 12 8	135 10 9
Total charges	13,225 8 1	10,750 4 10	9 10 12 8	8385 15 11
Net Revenue	2,37,536 5 10	2,92,790 9 5	7,764 4 9	22,390 11 2

N. B. Increase and Decrease in the Collections including Extra Revenue on Fines and Forfeitures 73555 11 5 30776 11 1

Deduct Decrease including Di: Di: 30776 11 1

Net Increase as p^r. Statement A 47779 . 4

Ganjam 11th July 1845. Errors Excepted. p^r. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement.

Sonnapore Sea Port	Export Duty	3,950 10 11	2,213 9 9	" " "	1,737 1 2	
Baurwah Sea Port	Export Duty	761 14 8	825 14 "	63 15 4	" " "	
	Fines and Forfeitures	5 " "	" " "	" " "	5 " "	
	Total	766 14 8	825 14 "	63 15 4	5 " "	
Poondy and Bauranapandoo Sea Ports	Import Duty	60 10 2	" " "	" " "	60 10 2	
	Export Dº	4,234 8 1	4,141 " 9	" " "	93 7 4	
	Fines & Forfeitures	2 " "	" " "	" " "	2 " "	
	Total	4,297 2 3	4,141 " 9	" " "	156 1 6	
Calingapatam and Seddalapandoo Sea Ports	Import Duty	33 7 1	15 7 11	" " "	17 15 2	
	Export Dº	9,362 1 1	4,412 7 8	" " "	4,949 9 5	
	Fines & Forfeitures	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

13552 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

No. 2. Statement Shewing in detail the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue of Sea Customs under Aumaunee in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1254 Compared with those of Fusly 1253.

Items of Revenue &c.		Fusly year		Comparison of the two Fuslies		Remarks.	
		1253.	1254.	Increase	Decrease		
1		2	3	4	5	6	
		C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p	C Rs. a p		
Gross Collections as follows.							
Ganjam Sea Port	Import Duty	8 14 7	2 7 1 "	18 2 5	" " "	Sea Custom Duties for Fusly 1253	22,900. 1. 8
	Export Dº	1,246 4 1	4,016 3 2	2,769 15 1	" " "	Fines and Forfeitures for Dº	7. " "
	Total	1,255 2 8	4,043 4 2	2,788 1 6	" " "		22,907. 1. 8
						Sea Custom Duties for fusly 1254	25,527. 5. 9
Munsoor cottah Sea Port	Export Duty	3,261 " "	9,875 9 6	6,633 14 6	" " "		

Total	9395 8 2	4,427 15 7	" "	4,967 8 7	
Total Export Duty	22,997 1 10	25,484 12 10	9,467 12 11	6,730 1 11	For the variations in this — vide explanations given in the accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate. —
Di Import Di	102 15 10	42 8 11	18 2 5	78 9 4	
	22,900 1 8	25,527 5 9	9,485 15 4	6,858 11 3	
Di Fines and Forfeitures	7 "	" "	" "	7 "	
Grand Total of Gross Collections	22,907 1 8	25,527 5 9	9,485 15 4	6,865 11 3	
Actual Increase and Decrease, excluding Extra Collections				9,485 15 4	6,858 11 3
Deduct Decrease excluding Extra Collections				6,858 11 3	
Net Increase as Pr Statement A.				2,627 4 1	

13553

Fort St. George 20th. October 1845.

	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as	CRs. as
Charges as follows				
Servants Wages &c.				
District.				
At Ganjam Sea Port	214 3 1	216 " "	1 12 11	" " "
At Munsoorcottah Di.	240 " "	240 " "	" " "	" " "
At Sonnapore Di.	216 " "	216 " "	" " "	" " "
At Baurvah Di.	216 " "	216 " "	" " "	" " "
At Poondy Di.	209 3 7	216 " "	6 12 5	" " "
At Bauvanapandoo Di.	108 " "	108 " "	" " "	" " "
At Geldalapandoo Di.	108 " "	108 " "	" " "	" " "
At Calingapatam Di.	264 " "	264 " "	" " "	" " "
Total	1575 6 8	1584 " "	8 9 4	" " "
Sunderward, Viz.t,				
At Ganjam Sea Port	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
At Munsoorcottah Di.	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
At Sonnapore Di.	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
At Baurvah Di.	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
At Poondy Di.	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
At Calingapatam Di.	4 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	" " "
Total	27 " "	27 " "	" " "	" " "
Grand Total of Charges.	1602 6 8	1611 " "	8 9 4	" " "
Net Revenue	21304 11 "	23916 5 9	9477 6 "	6865 11 3

N.B.

The Increase and Decrease in the Collections including Extra Revenue or Fines and Forfeitures — 9485 15 4 — 6865 11 3

Deduct Decrease including Di. Di. — 6865 11 5

Net Increase as p.r Statement A. — 2620 4 1.

Ganjam 11th. July 1845

Errors Excepted. p.r (Signed) P. B. Smollett

Acting Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

Collection and Balance on account of Abkarry Revenue

Fusly 1254. Settlement. 5	Collections within the Fusly. 6	Balance on the 12th July 1845. 7	Comparison of the Statement of Fuslies 1253 and 1254. Increase - 8	Decrease - 9
C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.
8300 " "	7,672 9 9	624 6 3	250 " "	" " "
921 " "	905 11 6	15 4 6	21 " "	" " "
595 " "	549 10 "	46 6 "	155 " "	" " "
5,750 " "	5,281 6 6	468 9 6	550 " "	" " "
680 " "	680 " "	" " "	105 " "	" " "
240 " "	240 " "	" " "	10 " "	" " "
490 " "	486 13 "	3 3 "	85 " "	" " "
5,025 " "	4,632 6 8	392 9 4	405 " "	" " "
1,900 " "	1,900 " "	" " "	280 " "	" " "
435 " "	435 " "	" " "	55 " "	" " "
225 " "	225 " "	" " "	55 " "	" " "
70 " "	70 " "	" " "	15 " "	" " "
690 " "	603 12 "	86 4 "	370 " "	" " "
440 " "	440 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
800 " "	768 14 6	31 1 6	210 " "	" " "
600 " "	574 14 "	25 2 "	80 " "	" " "
900 " "	900 " "	" " "	" " "	20 " "
540 " "	480 " "	60 " "	190 " "	" " "
620 " "	527 15 "	92 1 "	120 " "	" " "
2,700 " "	2,362 9 "	337 7 "	" " "	400 " "
125 " "	36 5 3	68 10 9	30 " "	" " "
160 " "	" " "	160 " "	" " "	" " "
450 " "	432 6 6	17 9 6	115 " "	" " "
910 " "	804 8 6	105 7 6	" " "	" " "
2,350 " "	2,350 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,320 " "	1,260 8 "	59 8 "	" " "	300 " "
37,236 " "	34,640 6 2	2,595 9 10	3,101 " "	720 " "
" " "	93 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
37,236 " "	34,734 " 1	2,595 9 10	3,101 " "	720 " "

13554

No. 3. Statement Shewing in detail the Demand

Names of Farms. 1	Fusly 1253: Settlement. 2	Collections within the Fusly. 3	Balance on the 12th July 1844. 4
	C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.	C Rs. a Ps.
Chicacole Pcah	8,050 " "	7,612 8 "	437 8
Ragoonadapoor Pcah	900 " "	819 13 "	80 3
Purlah	440 " "	300 4 "	139 12
Purlah Kennedy	5,200 " "	517 12 "	28 4
Jaluntrah	575 " "	533 6 8	41 9
Mundasah	230 " "	205 " "	25 "
Bauroovah	405 " "	392 8 8	12 7
Mokerry	4,620 " "	4,059 1 "	560 15
Itchapoor	1,620 " "	1,438 8 "	181 8
Cheyuttee	380 " "	361 " "	19 "
Soorunghee	170 " "	122 1 11	47 14
Jaradah	55 " "	30 8 "	24 8
Muntreddy	320 " "	277 11 "	42 5
Vizianagram	440 " "	439 14 "	" 2
Atchah	590 " "	488 9 6	101 6
Daracote	520 " "	467 11 "	52 5
Poobbacondah	920 " "	675 10 "	244 6
Soordah	350 " "	280 10 9	69 5
Bodagadah	500 " "	470 2 "	29 14
Goomsurah	3,100 " "	2,900 " "	200 "
Seerghur	95 " "	87 9 3	7 6 "
Pratapagerry	160 " "	160 " "	" "
Cullecote	335 " "	335 " "	" "
Hautghur	910 " "	679 6 "	230 10
Ganjam Pcah	2,350 " "	2,350 " "	" "
Munsoorcottah	1620 " "	1497 4 8	122 11
	34,855 " "	32,165 15 5	2,699 "
Fines and Forfeitures	" " "	413 6 1	" "
Total	34,855 " "	32,569 5 6	2699 "

20th October 1845

in the District of Ganjam for Fusslies 1253 and 1254.—

Comparison of the Collections of Fusslies 1253 and 1254.			Increase			Decrease			Remarks.
10						11			12
CRs.	as	ps	CRs.	as	ps	CRs.	as	ps	
60	1	9	"	"	"				Rents for fusly 1253 ——— 34,855. — —
85	14	6	"	"	"				Do. for Do. 1254 ——— 37,236. — —
249	6	"	"	"	"				Increase — 2381. — —
109	10	6	"	"	"				
146	9	4	"	"	"				Collections in Fusly 1253
35	"	"	"	"	"				Rents ——— 32,155. 15. 5
94	4	4	"	"	"				Fines and forfeitures Rs. 413. 6. 1.
573	5	8	"	"	"				——— 32,569. 5. 6.
461	8	"	"	"	"				
74	"	"	"	"	"				Collections in Fusly 1254.
102	14	1	"	"	"				Rents ——— 34,640. 6. 2
39	8	"	"	"	"				Fines and Forfeitures — 93. 9. 11
326	1	"	"	"	"				——— 34,734. — 1.
"	2	"	"	"	"				
280	5	"	"	"	"				Increase — 2,164. 10. 7.
107	3	"	"	"	"				
224	6	"	"	"	"				
199	5	3	"	"	"				For the above Increases vide ex-
57	12	"	"	"	"				
"	"	"	537	7	"				=planations given in the accompanying
"	"	"	31	4	"				
"	"	"	160	"	"				printed Statement in Triplicate.
97	6	6	"	"	"				
125	2	6	"	"	"				
"	"	"	"	"	"				
"	"	"	236	12	8				
3449	14	5	965	7	8				
"	"	"	319	12	2				
3449	14	5	1285	3	10				

13555

Fort St. George

20th October 1845

Names of Farms.	Fusly 1253 Settlement.	Fusly 1253 Collections within the Fusly.	Fusly 1253 Balance on the 12th July 1844.	Fusly 1254 Settlement.	Fusly 1254 Collections within the Fusly.	Comparison of the Settlements of Fuslies 1253 and 1254. Balance on the 12th July 1845. Increase	Decrease	Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1253 and 1254. Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as	C Rs as		
Arrears as follows.											
Of Fusly 1249	" " "	134 5 8	" " "	" " "	" " "				134 5 8		
" Dº 1250	" " "	80 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "				80 6 "		
" Dº 1251	" " "	687 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "				687 9 8		
" Dº 1252	" " "	2,209 1 10	" " "	" " "	444 3 10				1764 4 "		
" Dº 1253	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,614 5 10			2614 5 10			
Total	" " "	3111 7 2	" " "	" " "	3058 9 8			2614 5 10	2667 3 4		
Grand Total	34,855 " "	35680 12 8	2,699 " 7	37,236 " "	37,792 9 9			25795 9 10	3101 " "	720 " "	6064 4 3	3952 7 2	
								3101 " "		720 " "	31469 4 5	1285 3 10	
Statement A								2381 " "			2164 10 7		

N.B.

Particulars of the Balance outstanding at the Commencement of Fusly 1254 as follows.

Fusly 1251	41 7 6
Dº 1252	444 3 10
Dº 1253	2,699 " 7
	3,184 11 11

Collections as detailed in Column 19 of the Statement 3058 9 8

Remission as Dº in Dº 20 of Dº 41 7 6

3,100 1 2

Balance as Dº in Dº 21 of Dº 84 10 9

Actual Increase & Decrease in the Settlement and Collections including Fines and Forfeitures and excluding the Collection of arrears.

Deduct Decrease in the Settlement and Collections including Dº and excluding Dº of Dº

Net Increase as Yº

Ganjam 4th July 1845

J. C. B.

E. E. Yº (Signed) C. B. Smollett
Asst Collr

Statement

13556

Fort St. George

20th October 1845

No. 4 Statement Shewing the Demand Collection and Balance in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1253

Balance on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses and 1254.

Names of Talooks &c.	Fusly 1253. Settlement	Collections within the Fusly	Balance on the 12th July 1845	Fusly Settlement	Fusly Collections within the Fusly	1254 Balance on the 12th July 1845	Comparison of the Settlements for Fusly 1253 and 1254 Increase	Decrease	Comparison of the Collections for Fusly 1253 & 1254 Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Fishery Rents	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	
Itchapore	1845 - -	1845 - -	- - -	1895 - -	1895 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	50 - -	- - -	Demand for Fusly 1253 3403-3--
Poobacondah	560 - -	520 12 -	39 4 -	556 - -	552 - -	4 - -	- - -	4 - -	31 4 -	- - -	Do for Do 1254 3536----
Wadadah	313 2 -	240 7 -	72 11 -	319 2 -	307 10 -	11 8 -	6 - -	- - -	67 3 -	- - -	Increase 152-13--
Goomsoor	125 1 -	66 5 1	58 11 11	129 4 -	70 11 8	58 8 4	4 3 -	- - -	4 6 7	- - -	
Soordah	12 8 -	6 12 -	5 12 -	12 12 -	9 - 3	3 11 9	- 4 -	- - -	2 4 3	- - -	Collections for Fusly 1253 2999-12-1
Palatalagam	547 8 -	320 8 -	227 - -	570 - -	559 7 -	10 9 -	22 8 -	- - -	238 15 -	- - -	
Coatalamsah	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Do for Do 1254 3393-12-11
Total	3403 3 -	2999 12 1	403 6 11	3556 - -	3393 12 11	162 3 1	156 13 -	4 - -	394 - 10	- - -	Increase 394-- 10
Arrears as follows											
of Fusly 1251	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1 8 -	- - -	
" Do 1252	- - -	309 2 9	- - -	- - -	10 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	299 2 9	- - -	For the above vide explanations given in the accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate.
" Do 1253	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	204 13 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	204 13 6	- - -	
Total	- - -	309 2 9	- - -	- - -	216 5 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	206 5 6	299 2 9	
Grand Total	3403 3 -	3308 14 10	403 6 11	3556 - -	3610 2 5	162 3 1	156 13 -	4 - -	600 6 4	299 2 9	

Particulars of the Balances at the Commencement of Fusly 1254 as follows.

Fusly 1250	60 2 6
" 1251	43 7 10
" 1252	236 11 3
" 1253	403 6 11
	784 12 6
Deduct Collections as detailed in Col. 10 of the Statement B	216 5 6
Balance as Do in Do 21 of Do	532 7 -

N. B. Actual Increase and Decrease in the Settlement and Collections excluding the Collections of arrears

	156 13 -
Deduct Decrease in the Settlement of Do Do of Do	4 - -
Net Increase as Do Statement	152 13 -

Ganjam 11th July 1845

E E Do / Signed / P. B. Smollett
Jr. Collr.

Statement

1355/

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

No. 5 Statement shewing in detail the Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of Stampt Paper sold in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1253 and 1254.

Items	Collections of Fusly 1253	Collections of Fusly 1254	Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1253 and 1254. Increase	Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1253 and 1254. Decrease	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Cs Rs a p	Cs Rs a p	Cs Rs a p	Cs Rs a p	
Actual Sales as follows.					
Judicial Dea Papers	6833 4 "	10184 12 "	3351 8 "	" " "	Sales in Fusly 1253 9511-3-"
Sayer Romanahs	1724 15 "	" " "	" " "	1724 15 "	Penalties Dea 614-12-6
Sea Custom Do	953 " "	694 15 "	" " "	258 1 "	10125-15-6
	9511 3 "	10879 11 "	3351 8 "	1983 " "	Sales in Fusly 1254 10879-11-"
					Penalties Dea 535-7-2
	246	231 "	20 12 6	" " "	11415-2-2
Additional Fee levied on the Stampt Europe Paper for Deeds as Pr. sanction of Government dated 31st March 1835					Increase 1289-2-8
More &c for the Conveyance of a Box containing Stampt Papers sent by the Superintendent of Stamps at Fort St. George on account of this District from Vizagapatam to Chetterpoor	" " "	5 24	5 24	" "	
Total Charges	612 13 1	1264 3 10	651 6 9	" " "	
Net Revenue	9513 2 5	10150 11 4	2721 3 11	2083 8 "	
N. B. Actual Increase and Decrease in the Collections including Penalties &ca			3372 10 8	2083 8 "	
Deduct Decrease in the Collections including &c				2083 8 "	
Net Increase as Pr. Statement A				1289 2 8	

Ganjam 11th July 1845. E. E. Pr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Aj. Collr.

Statement

13558

No. 6 Statement shewing in detail the Demand Collected

Fusly 1253.

Collections to 11th July 1844.

1 Names of Talookas &c	2 Settlement Cds	a	p	3 Cds	a	p
Ittchapoor	2119	8	"	1079	7	2
Poolacondah	1996	"	"	1690	4	3
Wadadah	2421	11	4	1688	4	5
Palatalagaum	83	4	"	46	8	"
Goomsoor	2619	1	6	2082	12	6
Soordah	127	6	"	81	9	6
Gantalamulsah	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	8366	11	10	6667	13	10
Arrears as follows						
Of Fusly 1251	"	"	"	8	6	6
" Do 1252	"	"	"	513	"	8
" Do 1253	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	"	"	"	521	7	2
Grand Total	8366	11	10	7189	5	"

S. B.

Particulars of the Balances outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1254. as follows

Fusly 1250	1264	6	7
" 1251	659	7	1
" 1252	864	7	3
" 1253	1699	1	"
Deduct	4477	5	11
Collections as Detailed in Column 19 of Statement B	682	1	8
Balance as Do in Do 21 of Do	3795	4	3

For the variations in

this statement see Explanations

Remarks at the end of

Statement No 6.

Amount of Receipts from the 4th to 6th

the Governor of Fort St George on

Gunjam and the Principality of Jeypore

Amount of Collections in Ittchapoor Talook

levied by them for not having Stamped the

under Regulation VIII of 1819 —

Sale Proceeds of a Box received with

Stamps &c from the Collector of Ganjam

for Stamps at Fort St George

Total Collections — 11125 15 10

Charges as follows —

Vendors Commission at 5 p.c. on Do — 390 13 7

Amount refunded to Parties for Stamps

Rejected by the Court of Proceeding

in certain Cases on the Files of the

Sale Proceeds of Do Court and

Value of the Stamps used in the Execution of

Fees of the Curator in Gunjam

ment

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

Comparison and Balance of Motursika Revenue in the District of Ganjam for Fuslies 1253 and 1254.

Balance on the 12th July 1845		Fusly 1254 Settlement		Collections to 11th July 1845		Balance on the 12th July 1845		Comparison of the Settlements of Fuslies 1253 and 1254 Increase		Decrease		Comparison of the Collections of Fuslies 1253 and 1254 Increase		Decrease		Remarks
4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12
Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	Cds	a/s	
41	— 10	1343	15 —	1167	8 4	176	6 8	224	7 —	—	— —	89	1 2	—	— —	Demand for Fusly 1253 — 8366 — 14 — 10
305	11 9	1976	9 3	1855	11 5	120	13 10	—	— —	19	6 9	165	7 2	—	— —	Do — for Do — 1254 — 8569 — — — 6
733	6 11	2460	1 9	2201	11 6	258	6 4	38	6 5	—	— —	513	7 —	—	— —	Increase — 202 — 1 — 8
36	12 —	104	8 —	92	8 —	12	— —	21	4 —	—	— —	46	— —	—	— —	
536	5 —	2521	11 6	1999	9 5	522	2 1	—	— —	97	6 —	—	— —	83	3 1	Collections for Fusly 1253 — 6667 — 13 — 10
45	12 6	127	14 —	80	1 10	47	12 2	—	8 —	—	— —	—	— —	1	7 8	Do — for Do — 1254 — 7397 — 2 — 5
—	— —	34	5 —	—	— —	34	5 —	34	5 —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	Increase — 729 — 4 — 7
699	1 —	8569	— 6	7397	2 5	1171	14 1	318	14 5	116	12 9	813	15 4	84	10 9	
—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	8	6 6	For the above vide explanations given in the accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate.
—	— —	—	— —	682	1 8	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	513	— 8	
—	— —	—	— —	682	1 8	—	— —	—	— —	—	— —	682	1 8	—	— —	
699	1 —	8569	— 6	8079	4 1	1171	14 1	318	14 5	116	12 9	1496	1 —	606	1 11	
Actual Increase and Decrease in the Settlements and Collections exclusive of the Collections of arrear								318	14 5	116	12 9	813	15 4	84	10 9	
Deduct Decrease in the Settlements & Collections — Do — Do								116	12 9	—	— —	—	— —	84	10 9	
Net Increase as per Statement A								202	1 8			729	4 7			

Ganjam 11th July 1845 — E E Jr.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Ag. Collr.

Statement

1365

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

13550

Fort St. George

No. 7 Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt
Rowanahs for Conveying the

No.	Names of Stations where Salt was transported by Merchants	Number of Rowanahs	Ganjam Pans. Quantity of Salt Sold	Value	Number of Rowanahs issued	Aumsooreattah Pans. Quantity of Salt Sold.	Value
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			mds Mds Pds	Rs as Ps		mds Mds Pds	Rs as Ps
1	Goonsoor	526	299 206	2 " 37,232	254	80 238	6 " 10,667 "
2	Somalapooram	55	223 122	4 " 26,807	4	35 72	4 " 4,221 12 "
3	[illegible]						
4	Chittasoorgadah	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Ranyagodah	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	Bancodoo	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	Gonnapooram	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Chakrapandoo	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	581	522 328	6 " 64,230	258	121 311	2 " 14,688 3 "

Ganjam

ct together with the different places of destination to which
on for Fasly 1254.

Total Quantity Salt Sold.	Value	Remarks.
16	17	18
Mds Mds Pds	Rs as Ps	
230 " "	48065 1	From Ganjam to Goonsoor via Pooshettapoor & Kanutghur from Munsoorcottah to Goonsoor via Brohery and Pellah &c. pilly Ittahapore Berhampore Conchoor and Petalah.
118 6 "	32566 2	From Ganjam to Somalapore via Kantghur Borgodah & Davoopettah Pittah aska Bellagoontah & Davoopettah and from Nowpadah to Somala: poor via Baurvah Ittahapore Berhampore Conchoor
223 3 2	116046 7	From Nowpadah to Chittasoogadah via Cottalommally Paurvatepoor & Ragagadah and from Nowmarvilly to Chittasoogadah via Bhyroo Paulcondah and Ranyagodah.
91 7 "	24991 8	From Nowmarvilly to Royagadah via Chiracole Inoolagaundah Purlah Kennedy Varanassy and Gopagodam.
106 2 "	2311 11	From Nowpadah to Bocah via Cottapilly Boodita Paulacondah Curagottaund and Paurvateepooram.
72 4 "	126 12 "	From Nowpadah to Goonsoopoor via Do.
70 5 "	981 3 "	From Do. to Chokapah via Do.
206 4 2	30,2758 " "	

Mollett
Ag. Coll.

Statement

13500.

No 8 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manu...

20th October 1845

Sold at each of the Cotaurs in the Ganjam Distr... 253.
same have been issued from 12th July 1844 to 11th July 184...

Number	Names of Cotaurs or places of Manufacture	Quantity of Salt remaining at the beginning of the Fasly	Quantity of Salt manufac=tured	Total	Quan... of S... Sold	Nawpadah Pans: Number of Pans: =nahs issued	Quantity of Salt	Value	Wommercilly Pans: Number of Pans: =nahs issued	Quantity of Salt Sold	Value	Number of Pans: =nahs issued	Quan... of ...
		Fasly 1253											
1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
		Mds Mds ℔ a	Mds Mds ℔ a	Mds Mds ℔ a	Mds Mds	Mds Mds ℔ a	Chs a ℔		Mds Mds ℔ a	Chs a ℔		Mds	Mds
1	Ganjam	516 20 " "	560 " " "	1076 20 " "	406 12	9 1 185 " "	175 8 "		" " " "	" " " "		789	387
						9 12 323 6 "	1537 2 "		" " " "	" " " "		68	271
2	Mausoorcottah	239 210 " "	121 84 " "	360 294 " "	240 126	192 91 19 3 "	10938 7 "		383 549	123 6 "	66677 10 "	575	640
						156 964 10 7 2	115666 6 "		3 2	212 4 "	350 1 "	159	966
						" " " " " "			639 202	91 7 "	24991 8 "	639	202
						46 19 106 2 "	2311 11 "		" "	" " " "		46	19
3	Nawpadah	855 71 1 7	1288 146 " "	2143 217 1 7	1298 301	8 1 22 4 "	126 12 "		" " " "	" " " "		8	1
						36 8 70 5 "	981 3 "		" " " "	" " " "		36	8
4	Wommaravilly	" " " "	773 198 " "	773 198 " "	265 259								
	Total	1610 301 1 7	2743 28 " "	4353 329 1 7	2210 300	456 109 338 3	213187 4 "		1025 754	38 1 "	92019 3 "	2320	2196

11th July 1845 E & O E (Signed) P. B. ...

Fort St. George

20th October 1845

Abstract of Ganjam for Fasly 1254 Compared with that manufactured in Fasly 1253.

Manufactured and Sold at the different Cotaurs in the District

No.	Name of Station	Quantity of Salt manufactured	Rate per Garee	Value	Quantity of Salt remaining at the beginning of the Fasly	Quantity of Salt manufactured	Total	Quantity of Salt Sold	Rate per Garee	Value	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Fasly 1254						Comparison of Columns 4 and 10					
		4	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
		Mds Mds Srs a	Rs	Rs a	Mds Mds Srs a	Mds Mds Srs a	Mds Mds Srs a	Mds Mds Srs a	Rs	Rs a	Mds Mds Srs a	Mds Mds Srs a	
1	Gan.	4 " {105/180}	4736	4 "	660	27 4 "	106 208 " "	766	235 4 "	522 328 6 " {150/120}	64,230 6 "	" " "	153 192 " "		
2	Sum.														
3	Jay.	6 " {105/180}	29439	4 10	121 84	" " "	" " "	121 84	" "	121 311 2 " {180/120}	14,688 3 "	" " "	" " "	121 84	" "
4	Cha.														
5	Ra.	3 7 {105/180}	14502	2 6	845 39	4 "	580 " "	1425 39	4 "	1097 338 3 2 {180/120}	131817	4 " "	" " "	708 146	" "
6	B.														
7	Gan.	3 " {105/180}	32726	15 3	509 166	5 "	262 128 " "	771 294	5 "	754 28 1 " {180/120}	92019	3 " "	" " "	511 70	" "
8	Cha.														
		7 "	254551	6 7	2135 317	5 "	948 336 " "	3084 253	5 "	2496 226 4 2 "	302755	" " "	" " "	1794 92	" "

Vide explanations given in the Accompanying printed Statement in Triplicate.

Ganjam 11th July 1845 E E Y.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett

Asst to Collr

"Duplicate."

13561

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1252, 1253 and 1254* — of the District of Ganjam

	For the last three Years. Fusly 1252 Rups. A. P.	Fusly 1253 Rups. A. P.	Fusly 1254 Rups. A. P.	Comparison of the last two Fuslies. Increase Rups. A. P.	Decrease Rups. A. P.
SALT.					
Gross Collections	20583 2 5	23376 13 11	30336 14 3	6779 - 11	- - -
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	9453 2 11	11041 - 4	3690 11 5	- - -	8250 5 2
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	5530 13 -	6284 4 6	7059 9 5	775 1 11	- - -
Total Charges	15032 15 11	18225 8 1	10750 4 10	775 1 11	8250 5 2
Net Revenue	28084 11 5	23376 - 10	20279 00 9 5	5322 4 7	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	53 1 3/4	72 - -	3 8 8	- - -	- - -
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.					
Gross Collections	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Charges for Purchase	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Total Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
LAND CUSTOMS.					
Gross Collections	27800 4 0	21565 10 5	28 6 6	- - -	21535 3 9
Charges of Collection	6521 15 1	4801 1 9	- - -	- - -	4801 1 9
Charges ,, Commission	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Charges ,, Drawback	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Total	6521 15 1	4801 1 9	- - -	- - -	4801 1 9
Net Revenue	21278 5 8	16764 8 7	28 6 6	- - -	16733 2 -
Per Centage of the Charges	23 6 1/2	22 5 3/4	- - -	- - -	- - -
SEA CUSTOMS.					
Gross Collections	9115 15 1	22007 1 8	25827 5 0	2620 4 1	- - -
Charges of Collection	1600 15 10	1602 6 8	1611 - -	8 9 4	- - -
Charges ,, Commission	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Charges ,, Drawback	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Total	1609 15 10	1602 6 8	1611 - -	8 9 4	- - -
Net Revenue	7506 1 3	21304 11 -	24216 5 0	2611 10 9	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	17 10 1/2	7 - -	6 4 11/12	- - -	- - -
ABKARY.					
Gross Collections	29060 7 1	35680 12 8	37792 9 9	2111 13 1	- - -
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	29060 7 1	35680 12 8	37792 9 9	2111 13 1	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.					
Gross Collections	2959 - 1	3308 11 10	3610 2 5	301 3 7	- - -
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	2959 - 1	3308 11 10	3610 2 5	301 3 7	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)					
Stamps —					
Gross Collections	8628 14 -	10125 15 6	11415 2 2	1289 2 8	- - -
Charges	279 10 8	612 13 1	1264 3 10	651 6 9	- - -
Net Revenue	8149 3 4	9513 2 5	10150 14 4	637 11 11	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	3 5 1	6 - 10	11 1 2 1/2	- - -	- - -
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.					
Gross Collections	7418 11 4	7189 5 -	8079 14 1	889 15 1	- - -
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Net Revenue	7418 11 4	7189 5 -	8079 14 1	889 15 1	- - -
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
GRAND TOTAL.					
Gross Collections	38044 10 9	35633 9 10	38003 12 11	3901 6 10	21535 3 9
Charges	2344 15 7	2335 13 7	1362 5 8	1165 2 -	13141 6 11
Net Revenue	35296 2 3	33100 5 12	36641 7 3	6180 10 -	16444 2 -
Per Centage of the Charges	6 4 1	5 14 3 1/4	3 14 20	- - -	- - -
GRAND TOTAL.					
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	34284 6 10	34439 9 3	35830 1 6	5100 6 10	1385 14 11
Charges after deductions for Do	13991 14 7	13390 13 -	9934 13 3	1435 2 -	4801 1 9
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	3 12 3 1/2	3 1 2 1/2	2 9 13 1/4	- - -	- - -

REMARKS.

Particulars of Gross Collections and Charges of Salt Revenue for Fusly 1253 as follows —

Gross Collections	30076
Fines and Forfeitures	10.10.3.
Proceeds of Allowance	375 14 4 478
	30336
Deduct Charges as follows	
Servants Wages	1206.15.11.
Gunners and	12 - -
Extra Charges	151 9 6.
Contingencies	60 - -
Allowances to Manufacturers	1200 - -
	2630 9 5
Purchase of Salt	3690 11 5 10256
	20079

N.T. Revenue

Particulars of Gross Collections and Charges of the Customs Revenue for Fusly 1253 as follows —

Gross Collections	2558
Deduct Charges as follows	
Servants Wages	138 - -
Gunners and	27 - - 1611
	2506

Nett Revenue

Particulars of Gross Collections of Abkarry Revenue for Fusly 1253 as follows —

Gross Collections	37608
Interest	93
	Total 37702

Particulars of Gross Collections and Charges of Stamp Revenue for Fusly 1253 as follows —

Gross Sales of Stamp Papers	10876
Penalties &c received from Courts	535
	11411
Deduct Charges as follows	
Commission to Vendors	561 1 6
Rent &c for removing to present Office containing Stamp Papers	5 - -
Amount remitted to Comrs to be issued to certain Cutcheries as per Receipts	608 - - 1264
N.T. Revenue	10150

The Abkarry Revenue Sundry small Farms and Licenses and the Motorpha Revenue have presented no Charges having been processed on these Accounts —

Increased Sales of Salt during the Fusly just reviewed and a slightly enhanced Rate of the Article itself will so fully account for the Increase of Revenue, the Charges fall short of those of the preceding year consequent on separate Superintendence from the Manufacturers —

The Decrease in Gross Collections and Charges of Collection of Land Customs results from the abolition of Inland Transit and Town Duties from the 1st of March 1844 under Act XVI of the same year and from there being no Town Chowkies on this District —

The Increase in Gross Collections of the Customs originates particularly from the enhancement made in the Tariff under the above Act. The Increase in Charges of Collection being trifling requires no Comment —

The Increase in Gross Collections of Abkarry and Sundry small Farms and Licenses is attributable partly to the Vigilancy in the Management of the Establishments of these Branches of Revenue and partly to the more stringent measures adopted for the realization of Public dues during the Fusly under notice —

The Increase in Gross Collections of Stamps is ascribable to the Sales of Stamp Papers having been heightened owing to increased litigation during Fusly 1253. The Increase in Charges arises chiefly from a larger number of Stamps remains having been filed before closes of Proceedings in certain Causes on the Files of the Court of the Agent to the Governor of Ganjam and Vizagapatam and the amount of Substitution Stamps Duty refunded on this account during the Fusly under observation —

For the Increased in Gross Collections of Motorpha vide Remarks to the same of Abkarry &c —

Ganjam Errors Excepted
11th July 1845

Per.

Acting Collector

13562

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

Order thereon (20 · 21) Ordered to be sent again in Consultation.

Proceedings thereon The Board proceed to examine the results of the

Relative to the Management of the Vizianagrum Zemindary in the Dist. of Ganjam for Fuslies 1252 & 1253 management of the Vizianagrum Zemindary in fuslies 1252 and 1253.

A.D. 1842/43 & 1843/44

+ / Here enter 30th November 1843 / Sic 44 / A.D. 1842/43 1843/44

Rec'd in Cons. 8th Jan'y 1844 -

In Cons. 5th June 1845 o / Here enter 21st May 1845 / 128 /

22

Para 1 The season in fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 was unfavorable, the

Rains in July August and September were insufficient for the ordinary cultivation and at a later period although more abundant in some Talooks they were partial and in some places altogether too late. The prices of every species of Grain had fallen below those of the preceding year and considering the general depression throughout the Country the Board cannot doubt that the season was as stated an indifferent one -

Para 3

2 In fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 the Collector represents the season to have been unusually favorable but that the Southern Talooks in the Estate suffered from a Storm in October 1843 - the prices of every description of produce still continued extremely low - No accounts are given for either fusly of the relative prices with those of the previous year which in future the Collector will be pleased to furnish -

Para 16

3 The following Abstract Statement establishes a Comparative view of the Settlement in fuslies 1252 and 1253 A.D. 1842/43 1843/44 including all sources under the head of Land Revenue - it will be observed that there was a net decrease in the Settlement of Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 below that of fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 of Rupees 99175-6-10 and that the Net demand of fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 compared with that of the preceding year shows an excess of Rupees 4901-1-6 -

	Fusly 1251		Fusly 1252		Fusly 1253		Comparison Fusly 1251/52 Increase		Comparison Fusly 1251/52 Decrease		Comparison Fusly 1252/53 Increase		Comparison Fusly 1252/53 Decrease	
	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a
Gerogetty Villages	97503	4	70421	4	13 10	76981	14	6					93288	6
Moodubrent Do.	77205	4	72054	4		64580	10						5151	
Permanent rent Do.	8784	6	8784	6		8784	6							
Agraharum Do.	20004	5	19902	5		19902	5						102	
Mocasa Do.	1746	11	1646	11		1885	5				138	10		
Enam Cattoobady Do.	1120	9	1120	9		1120	9							
Cantonment	1596	2	1595	2		1596	2							
Road	697	6	697	6		697	6							
Total	211858		213116	9	4	862548	10			98541	6	8	56905	10
Moturpha	24083	6	23454	6	8	23032	7	6			634	2		
Total	235746	6	236571			885581	1	6		99175	6	10	56905	10

7895 9 2

4901 1 6 Net Increase

In

13563

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

4. In his report on the Settlement of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 the Acting Collector has explained the causes which have led to the results in the management of that year and to which "the difference in the condition of some Zemindars of the Zemindary is attributable" and that notwithstanding the inequality of season its comparative adversity and the fall of prices the settlement and the Collections in the four Talooks named in the Margin, nearly approximating the latter fell little short of the Collections of the preceding most favorable year

Para 7 to 14

Para 8 Peddagandy Goodavadah Guzzapatty-nagaram Vapandah

	Settlement			Collection		
Fusly 1251	273172	8	9	250364	11	1
1252	247150	6	8	241614	6	1
Settlement						
Fusly 1251	260980	8	2			
" 1252	229508	3	5			
	31472	4	9			

In three Talooks viz Vizianagrum Bonnuppy and Comarsum the decrease in the demand was the largest and the Arrears of Current revenue at the end of the year proportionately greater. The Causes of the apparent success in the Management of the first four Talooks are accounted for by the Settlement having been made Villagewar and not Ryotwar while in the three latter Talooks the deficit both in demand and Collections is attributed to the individual pottah system carried out in the usual manner and with the usual results.

† Arrear 18414-12-6

Para 13

5. With respect to the System of Village rents which has been introduced by Mr.

13564

Fort St. George 20th October 1855

Mr. Snodlett without the accordance of the Board he had in his Report on the Settlement of the Vizianagaram Estate for fasly 1251 / 1841-42 / para 33 / stated that he had made arrangements for its introduction in two or more entire Talooks in the Current fasly the particulars and results of which he was convinced the Board would approve. They cannot however discover from the Accounts which have accompanied his Report under review any details to show how this system was brought into operation.

Para 10

"It is stated generally that Accounts of the extent of "land brought under Cultivation having been obtained "and verified by Competent Hoozoor Servants when "doubted the Settlement was then fixed on the Villages admitting "its accuracy and one joint puttah was given to the head "inhabitants or in some instances with the consent of the "Villagers to some other cabuliat holder generally for one, "and never for more than three years," but the Board are unable to learn from the Acting Collectors letter or from the Accounts he has submitted whether all or only a portion of the Villages in the four Talooks in which the Settlement and Collections nearly approximate were rented or how many were rented for one year or more or what was the amount of their respective rents.

6. On comparing the settlement of fasly 1252 / 1842-43 / which was thus made Villagewar in four Talooks Viz Peddagaudy Goodewadah Guzzapatynagaram and Vapandah with the Settlement of the preceding year under an individual settlement in these Talooks it is observed that while the aggregate decrease is considerable there is a great difference in the relative settlement of these Talooks. In Peddagaudy there is scarcely any difference between the Settlements of the two years but in Goodewadah the decrease below the Settlement of that Talook in the preceding year amounts to 28-7 per cent and in Vapandah to 8-8 per Cent as shewn in the following Abstract Statement.

See Statt. No 4

Fasly

13505

Fort St. George 20th October 1855

	Fasly 1251 Demand on the Lands taken up	No of Villages	Decrease in the Actual Settlement on the Lands taken up	Decrease per Centage
	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a p
Peddagaudah	3,30,308 15 9	77	83039 7 6	63219 131
Goodewadah	52013 9 "	11	60133 11 5	3,20,193 14,812 " " 28 7 "
Guzzapatynagaram	76,201 5 3	80	82351 10 8	70,428 10 5,773 " " 7 9 "
Vapandah	4,47,37 15 7	62	75,968 13 2	54,297 5 2 25,670 " " 8 8 "
Total	9,05,561 13 7	253	3,01,783 10 4	2,30,106 " 9 25,135 " " 10 3 "

The

7. The Board can only account for these results by supposing that in Peddagandy the settlement of all the Villages was adjusted according to the demand of the previous year and that in the other Taloocks the renting system or Settlement Villagewar was not entirely carried out.

Para 4. In his letter on the Settlement of fusly 1253 / 1843/44 the Collector states that no uniform system has been adopted and that some Villages have been rented out on leases varying from 2 to 6 years instead of 3 years as stated by Mr. Smollett. The Board would be glad to receive from the Collector further explanation on this point and they request that he will inform them how the rents were actually given, whether to the Head inhabitants for whole Villages or for more than one Village or with their consent to individuals ("other capable persons" or influential local "inhabitants") upon the same terms and he will state who these individuals are, showing the number of Villages held by each and for what period, and why it has exceeded the said period stated by Mr. Smollett. The Collector will at the same time submit a Copy of the form of Moochilkah received from the Renters.

A Collector's letter to the Board dated 30th Novr 1843 in Cons 8th January 1844 — Para 11 and 10th Feby in Cons 27th Feb'y 1843 Para 32

8. However desirable it might be to reduce both the extent and duration of the Agents Circuit tours and to

Mr Smollett's letter to the Board dated 10th Feb'y 1843 in Cons 27th Feb'y 1843 — Para 32 & 33.

to diminish the labor of the Collector such advantages should not be sought under a System which would effectually prevent that officer from acquiring a knowledge of the real resources of the Zemindary. In their proceedings under date 28th October 1833 the Board recording their sentiments on this subject remarked that it was the Collectors duty to proceed on circuit through the Country to make the final Settlement with the people in person a measure which Mr Arbuthnot had carried out but which would be entirely defeated under the renting System which Mr Smollett has proposed to introduce. The Collector seems to think it is not desirable to interfere with present arrangements unless a Revenue Survey is introduced but the Board would observe that without any information of the grounds upon which these arrangements were made, and of the principle upon which they were regulated they would not be justified in maintaining them. It might not however be practicable to disturb them abruptly without risk to the interests of the Zemindar and they desire that on failure of the conditions of the Village leases the Collector will revert to the individual settlement which was introduced by Mr Russell and was approved by the Board as the usual mode of settlement under the Zemindars Management.

Para 3. From Coll'r of Vizagapatam 14th in Cons 24th Feb'y 1840 Para 28

To the Board dated 24th May in Cons 6th June 1840 Para 18.

Note Mr Arbuthnot observes (Para 14) "I find the utmost difficulty in extracting any thing from the Renters"

Bd's Proceedings 28th Oct'r 1833 Pa.

In Para 18 of his Report Mr Arbuthnot has given a figured Statement showing the net excess in the Demand for fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 Compared with that of fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 to amount to Rupees 49,010 — 1 — 6 — whether this increase is owing to the amount of the Rents having been increased, or to a greater extent

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

extent of land in the Amanie Villages being brought under Cultivation the Accounts do not explain—

10. Mr. Smollett in para 23 of his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1252 stated that the Settlement of the year in which he wrote / Fusly 1253 / exceeded in Current Revenue Nine Lacs of Rupees the whole of which it might be confidently expected would be realized. In what manner this Calculation was made the Board are left to conjecture from an examination of the Statements which accompanied the Collectors' Report on the Settlement of that year and they conclude that Mr. Smollett assumed that the Jamabundy prepared by the Tahsildars would stand as the settlement of the year whereas on a reference to Statement No. 1. submitted with the Report of the Collector under date 21st May 1845 the actual Settlement amounted

30th Nov. 1843 In Cons. 8th Jany. 1844.

In Cons. 5th June 1846.

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

amounted to Rupees 8,85,581 1 6 and fell short of the Jamabundy by Rupees 65,401 11 8 as will be observed in the Marginal Statement

11. The following abstract Statement exhibits the Comparative Demand Collection and Balance in the two Fusslies under review distinguishing Rented and Amanie Villages.

Settlement

Estimate Jamabundy or Land Settlement Fusly 1253

Statement of Tahsildars for Fusly 1253

Col. 82 and 83.

Jamabundy Settlement by Statement No. 1 dated 21st May 1845 Col. 73 in Cons. 5th June 1846

Col. 82 and 83.

Sundry Remitted and Unrealized Balances

Total Jamabundy 1,45,503 13 1 / 2,80,102 15

Rented Villages Mr. Smollett 3,80,879 13 1 / 2,80,879 13 1 6 Columns 82

Moodut Aumal Pentapa 1,24,599 3 " Report 24th May 1845 Para 18 in Cons. 5th June 1846 Columns 82 83

Do. 82. 1,24,599 3 " Report 24th May 1845 Para 18 in Cons. 5th June 1846 Columns 82 83

9,509 92 13 2 88,5,581 1 6

Cp. 25. 27. 11.

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

		Settlement	Collection	Balance	Per Centage
		Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘	Rs a ℘
Fusly 1252	Rented	174257 7 3	171692 14 5	2564 8 10	1 8 ∘
	Aumany	529957 6 7	497885 8 7	32071 14 ∘	6 ∘ ∘
	Total	704214 13 10	669578 7 ∘	34636 6 10	4 14 ∘
Fusly 1253	Rented	380879 13 1	359718 15 9	21160 13 4	5 8 ∘
	Aumany	380102 1 5	356212 3 1	23889 14 4	6 4 ∘
	Total	760981 14 6	715931 2 10	45050 11 8	5 14 ∘

A Balance at the ends of Fusly 1252 — 34636 — 6 — 10
Deduct Collection in Fusly 1253 as per Statement No 1
Colm 37 — 6558 — 1 — 9
Balance 28078 — 5 — 1

B Balance at the end of Fusly 1253 — 45050 — 11 — 8
Subsequent Collection — 11071 — 5 — 2
Balance as per Collector's Report para 31 — 33979 — 6 — 6

P. 31

12. The decrease in the Collections on account of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 is stated by the Collector to have arisen Chiefly from the effects of the storm in October 1843 in the Talooks within its influence — The Collector up to the date of his Report had been disappointed in the expectation of recovering a larger portion of the arrears of which nearly

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

nearly half has accrued under Rents, in consequence of the adverse state of the Current season and he was apprehensive that nearly the whole balance was desperate —

13. In para 12 the Collector has annexed a Statement exhibiting the details of the arrears outstanding under every head of Revenue in the Zemindary of which he purposes to submit a full report — The Board are desirous that this report may not be postponed, and they request that Mr Arbuthnot will explain under what circumstances the sums actually remitted to the Statements A and B which accompanied the Acting Collector's letter dated 15th May 1843 the total amount recommended to be remitted was Rupees 1194999 — 1 — 7 Comprising Rupees 25235 — 2 — 8 on account of Rents and Rupees 1169763 — 14 — 11 on account of Aumanie for the remission of which the sanction of Government was obtained — In the rented portion of the arrears the difference may have been collected — but in the Aumanie portion of the balances there is an increase above the amount which had been recommended to be written off to profit and loss — On these points the Board request explicit information from the

Inform 22d June 1843.

Deduct sum of 30 March Bank Coins 22d April 1841
Rs a ℘
25325 — 2 — 8
24625 — 6 — 5
Difference 699 — 12 — 3

Ref. 30 Statt. No 31

13542

Fort St George 20th October 1845.

the Collector

14. The Board remark that the Collector has omitted to furnish accounts in the Native language corresponding with those sent in English with his report for the years under Review. They request that in future the necessary accounts in the Native language may be transmitted.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Vizagapatam for his information and guidance.

Read again letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 20th Ultimo and entered in Cons 16th Instant.

23

Proceedings thereon. Under the explanation furnished in the foregoing letter the Board sanction the sum of Rupees 14-8 (Four and annas Eight) paid for Gentoo Ink, and included by the Collector in his Statement of Contingent charges for the Quarter ending 30th June 1845.

The revised Estimate of Saderwared Charges transmitted with the foregoing letter will be submitted to Government and their orders Communicated to the Collector in due course.

Ordered also that the following letter be submitted in consequence.

To

13543

Fort St George 20th October 1845.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

23-24

To the Chief Secretary to Government. Submitting a letter from the Collr of Vizagapatam forwarding for sanction a revised Estimate of Hoozoor and Summabundy Saderwared amounting to Rs 927-8-0 & recommending that it may be sanctioned from the Commencement of the Current Fusly 1255.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam forwarding for sanction a revised Estimate of Hoozoor and Summabundy Saderwared.

2. The Annual charge proposed in the estimate now submitted amounts to Rupees 927-8-0 and exhibits an increase of Rupees 211-10-4 above the sum sanctioned by Government on this account in 1837 and of Rupees 140-9-11 above the average of the last 3 years actual disbursements. This last increase proceeds from the circumstance of five Estates having reverted to Government only during the last Fusly.

Adverting

Present estimate	927-8-0	
Amount sanctioned under date 29th June 1837	715-13-8	
Increase	211-10-4	
Present estimate	927-8-0	
Average charge for the last 3 years	786-14-1	
Increase	140-9-11	

Fort St George 20th October 1845.

3. Adverting to the Number and Revenue of the Estates which have reverted to Government since 1837 as shewn in Para 4 of the Collectors letter the Board consider the proposed increase of Saderwarees to be moderate and they beg to recommend that the revised Estimate amounting to Rupees 927-8- may be sanctioned from the Commencement of the Current Fusly 1255.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George
20th October 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Guntoor dated 24th Ultimo and entered in Cons 16th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Head Assistant Collector in Charge of Guntoor

Sir,

25

To the Hd Asst Coll.r in Charge of Guntoor
In Cons.s 16th October 1845

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. White's letter of the 24th Ultimo submitting Translations of the deposition taken by the Auneen of Innacondah and of the report of that Officer regarding the Spot of Ground selected by the Reverend Mr. Griffa for the purpose of erecting a Roman Catholic Chapel.

2. As it appears from these papers that the parties who transferred the land in question to Mr. Griffa have no legal title to it, and as the objections of the Inhabitants of Condramootta to the erection of a Chapel upon that Spot seem to be well founded, the Board direct that Mr. Griffa be desired to make arrangements for the selection of a piece of Ground in some place without the Gramakantum or Village Site.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St George 20th October 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read.

Fort St George 20th October 1845.

Read the following Letter.

To. T. Pycroft. Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

26

From D. White Esqre Acting Coll.r of Guntoor

Submitting Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in Suit No 59 of 1844 on the file of the Pr.l Sudr Ameens Court and requesting early instructions upon the subject as the time for filing the Answer will expire on the 27th Proximo

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit for the sanction of the Board Draft of an Answer which I propose to file in Suit No 59 of 1844 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameens Court.

The Plaint set forth that plaintiff being in possession of one Cutchall of Enam land granted to his Father in Fusly 1203 by the Zemindar asked by the Zemindar (the 1st Defendant) to give a Nuzzeranah or present and upon his refusal the Zemindar instigated the Ryots cultivating his Enam to withhold his share of the produce, for which in accumulation from Fusly 1246 to 1253 as well as for the recovery of the land he now sues the Defendants, the Collector being included in the Suit as the Rayfully Estate is now under Government management.

2. Plaintiff supports his claim by two depositions given in Fusly 1246 before a Gufty Ameen in which the two deponents state that they had cultivated Plaintiffs Enam land upon an order of

13576 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

of the then Collector in 1244 fining the Curnums for omitting to insert the Enam in Plaintiffs name in the Accounts and upon the Collectors order for Dombala in favor of Plaintiff.

4. The Answer shews that although in Fusly 1244 the Witnesses in this Case may have deposed favorably on behalf of Plaintiff they in Fusly 1246 upon a summary enquiry before the Collector affirmed that Plaintiff had no Enam land. The Curnum of the Village who in 1244 stated before the Zufty Ameen that in 1239 he had omitted the Enam in the Accounts through ignorance but in 1244 included it but was compelled by the Head Mudiutgar to write a fresh Account which it was alleged he omitted this same Curnum in 1246 states that in 1244 the Zufty Ameen compelled him to write an Account shewing that Plaintiff had an Enam land which in reality did not exist.

5. The 2d Defendant who in Fusly 1244 stated that he had for several years cultivated Plaintiffs Enam land, in Fusly 1246 states that he had been cultivating shaving land and not Plaintiffs Maunium.

6. Two Documents under the Zufty Ameens signature go to support the defence, the one shews that one Cutchell of Shavy land was cultivated as alleged by the 2d Defendant

the

13521. Fort St. George. 20th October 1845.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir.

2

To the Chief Secy to Government — Submitting a Return of the arrears of business in the Board's Office.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Return of the arrears of business in this Office. This list has been brought up to the end of July last the papers received since that date being in course of circulation among the Members or under their immediate consideration and disposal and therefore not properly falling under the designation of arrears.

2. In this Return the papers summarily disposed of are arranged as closely as possible according to their date, where this arrangement has been departed from it has been with a view of keeping together the several reports, &c letters &c bearing upon the same subject.

3. This arrangement possesses the advantage of shewing at one view what are the descriptions of subjects of which the Board have been unable fully to dispose. These may be stated to be principally.

Survey and Assessment.

Enams.

Maturpha.

Reform of the Village Establishments.

4. It will be seen that by far the

larger

larger portion of the arrears remain the Records of the Board relate to questions of survey and assessment there being scarcely a District under Madras Presidency into which, within a few years past, if not hitherto assessed Surveys and assessments have not been ordered to be introduced - or in respect to which if assessed some objection has not been advanced against the existing assessment or at least against some parts of the Revenue System and some alteration or modification recommended. The magnitude of these questions, the minute and careful enquiry which they demand and the laborious examination of accounts which they involve must be apparent. It must be no less evident that these subjects can only be disposed of by the Board gradually in addition to their heavy current business and that as the investigation of these questions requires continuous attention and research, it must have been liable to much interruption from the changes which have so frequently taken place (and of late years more especially) in the constitution of the Board arising from the death or sickness of their Members or their deputation on other duty. That the Board have not however during the past year been neglectful of the subject will however they think sufficiently appear if the detailed reviews of the Chingleput and Tinnevelly Surveys, their Proceedings on the survey of the Villages of Rajahmundry, their report upon

upon the principles which should regulate the conduct of the Guntoor Survey and their Proceedings on the papers addressed from time to time to Government by the Commissioner in charge of that work, be taken into consideration -

On the 8th January last the Board were requested to furnish a Return shewing the Districts which had been surveyed in whole or in part and to what extent, more particular reference being made to the Northern Circars. They take this opportunity of submitting the required information which will be found in the accompanying papers.

Board's Proceedings 20th October 1815.

It will be observed that a few Districts only have been completely surveyed - in the rest but partial progress has been made. The Board are in correspondence with the local Officers on the subject; all actual Survey operations are for the present suspended. The Board would recommend that they should not be recommenced nor the disposal of the various papers and reports connected with them, as contained in the list now submitted, be proceeded with until the principles on which the Guntoor Survey is to be regulated, and the leading points connected with it shall have been definitively laid down, when these shall have been carefully determined, they may, the Board trust, with no very considerable modifications be made applicable

applicable to all other Districts - and the re-
-form of the revenue System of this Pre-
-sidency be prosecuted on a regular and re-
uniform plan -

6. The Second subject to be noticed
is the question of Enams and the enquiry demanded
into the title and tenure on which these are held
throughout the Presidency - This question is closely
related to that of the Survey - in the Bombay
Presidency the prosecution of both is vested re-
in the same Officer In Districts hitherto un-
-surveyed such as are all the Northern Sircars
Survey operations must be considered as preceding
before an enquiry into the extent and tenure of
Enam lands can be successfully entered upon -
In determining the rules for regulating the
conduct of Surveys the Board hope also to be
enabled to draw up such instructions as shall be
available for the guidance of Revenue Officers
in their enquiries into the subject of Enams -

Motwrpha - 7. The general report of the Board
upon the Motwrpha and Varvabuddy Taxes
of this Presidency was laid before Government
on the 18th April 1844 more full and detailed
information was required in Extract Minute
of Consultation 20th August 1844 a Circular
calling

calling for the necessary explanation and
local details with illustrative Statements ac-
-cording to Forms furnished was in consequence
addressed by the Board to the several Collectors
nearly all the replies have been received and
are under consideration - but the infinite re-
diversity of the subject and the difficulty among
so many anomalies and irregularities of laying
down simple and general rules may cause re-
some further time to elapse before the question
can be submitted to Government in a re-
complete shape -

Remuneration of Village Servants - and reform of Village Police revenue Establishments -

8. This subject is still engaging
the attention of the Board and
they have at present under their
more immediate consideration
the Minute of Mr. D. Elliot on the Village Police
of Trichinopoly sent to them for their observ-
-ations on the 20th September 1845.

General subjects unconnected with the preceding Condition of Weavers - Loom Tax - Armed Civil Establishments - Refusal to receive Foreign Carrency - Result of extending Sect. XVII Act VI 1844 to exports to Foreign Settlements -

9. Of these the greater part,
(See margin) have been disposed
of since the commencement of
the present year - the only
remaining questions viz. regarding
small Farms, on which it was
found necessary to call for
more information from the
Provinces

Provinces and "the sales of Salt" will shortly be laid before Government.

10. Of the Land Revenue Settlement Reports for Fusly 1252 - all have been disposed of as regards Fusly 1253 - Tanjore, Kurnool and Ganjam (Government Estates) are the only Districts of which the Settlement Reports have not been received. The other Land Revenue Reports entered in the Return now under submission (and those are few in number) are chiefly minor reports on single Estates or small tracts of country under management for minority or other causes. The Board hope that nearly the whole of these Reports will be cleared off before those for Fusly 1254 (of which few have yet reached the Office) will require attention - all the reports on Extra Revenue for Fusly 1252 or 1253 have been disposed of.

Current Business. 11. The current business is in a state of forwardness.

12. In concluding this letter the Board beg leave to express their belief that at scarcely any previous time have the arrears in their Office been as light as at present and when their multifarious duties and their voluminous correspondence with the local Officers in the provinces, of which that which falls under the observation of Government forms by no means the larger portion, are duly taken into consideration they trust that the account of the state of business in the Office now submitted will be deemed satisfactory.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 20 October 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

No.

A

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
			Survey.	
1039	From Guntoor	May 7th 1838	Submitting explanation relative to the proposed terms of Pedoogoorlah and Yeragalah as called for in the Board's letter dated 26th March 1838.	
2814	" Guntoor	Dec. 14th 1841	Relative to the remission of the Assessment in Palnaad.	
1074	" N. Arcot	May 25th 1839.	Reporting on the Proceedings of the Board dated 20th February 1839 respecting the apportionment of Assessment on the 1st and 2d Crop lands &c.	
123	" N. Arcot	Jan. 6th 1843	Bringing to the Board's notice certain points which call for early attention having reference to the Assessment on two Crop Lands and to the rules of Fusulyasty.	
186	" N. Arcot	Jan. 15th 1845.	Returning Petition No. 1119 of 1843 and reporting on the allegations therein set forth by the Ryots regarding the burthensome nature of the Assessment of their land.	
2084	" N. Arcot	Aug. 17th 1843.	Submitting information regarding the resources of the Anel Coondah Jaghire.	

Submitting

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
2680	From Vizagapatam	Novr. 19th 1840.	Submitting the views of the Collector as to the best mode of introducing a Revenue Survey in the Government Estates together with copies of the instructions framed for the guidance of the Surveyors &c.	
1000	" Masulipatam	April 11th 1842.	Reporting on the survey and Assessment of the Government Villages in the Zillah of Masulipatam.	
1713	" Nellore	Augt. 8th 1842.	Submitting the report called for on the existing System of Revenue Settlement in this District.	
2134	" S. Arcot	Septr. 27th 1842	Submitting opinion as to the instruction of a new or Woolooo Assessment into the Six Talooks of Munnargoody and Chellumbrum.	
2726	" S. Arcot	Novr. 28 1842	Reporting upon the Collection of certain Tax in the Villages of Cheekaday Talook comprising the assumed Jaghire of Sunkarapooram and forwarding a Statement of Collections made from Fusly 1248. to 1250 as also Petition No. 2149 of 1842.	
2216	" S. Arcot	Septr. 1st 1843.	Stating that a Petition has been presented by certain Ryots of Cheekaday regarding the excess of Teerwah levied by the late Principal Collector Mr. Ashton and requesting instructions.	

Submitting

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
1849	From Kurnool	July 22d. 1843.	Submitting a plan for the field assessment of Kurnool on Ryotwar principles and explaining the results of an experimental Assessment on 6 Villages which he superintended in person.	
534	" Government	March 12th 1839	Transmitting, for any observations and explanation the Board may wish to afford, a Minute of Honble Mr. Sullivan relative to the Survey Assessment introduced by Mr. Kindersley in Tanjore.	
297	" Government	Feb. 8th 1842.	Calling the Board's attention to the orders of Government having reference to the Survey & field Assessment introduced in Tanjore by Mr. Kindersley and requesting reply to them.	
1025	" Government	May 2d 1843.	With Courts letter regarding the Survey field Assessment of Tanjore and Woolungoo System.	
3213	" Government	Novr. 10th 1840.	Requesting that the report relative to the Tanjore Survey and Field Assessment be speedily submitted.	
808	" Malabar	Apl. 7th 1841.	Soliciting the further orders of the Board of Revenue before carrying out their instructions to annul the Survey of certain Escheated lands in Ernaad and Timalapooram made under the orders of Mr. Clementson.	

Reporting

No.	Districts	Date	Report	Remarks.
3227	From Malabar	Decr. 5th 1843	Reporting upon the result of the Survey of the Rice lands and Gardens formerly the property of Belutnaad Rajah and lapsed to Government in 1792 for want of heirs.	
752	" Canara	April 4th 1842	Forwarding Coolenamah and Hoo: Kunnamah in use in Canara to: gether with a Draft of rules rela: tive to the reclamation of waste land for the Approval of the Board.	
3020	" Bellary	Novr. 1st 1843.	Submitting the information called for by the Board of Revenue regard: ing the Sugar Cane Cultivation in this District and requesting early orders for abandoning Enaum Jastee.	
3126	" Bellary	Novr. 1st 1844	Transmitting a detailed State: ment of Cowle lands cul: tivated under the great Plough prepared agreeably to the Boards Form marked A. Sent with their Proceedings of the 18th March 1844.	
			Transmitting	

13523 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport.	Remarks.
			Enams	
220	From Govern: ment	Jan. 7th 20th 1842	Transmitting for the Boards consideration copy of the Proceedings of Fouzdaree Udalut respecting the propriety and practicability of improving the condition of the Village Watchers.	
1147	" Government	May 12th 1843	Transmitting Copy of a Minute of the Honorable Mr. Chamier and requesting the Board to lay before Government the written instructions they pro: pose to issue for the guidance of the Officers to be employed on the investigation of the Enam tenure in Bellary and Cuddapah.	
1494	" Kurnool	June 10th 1843	Reporting on the nature of Enam lands in Kurnool and proposing a revision and reallotment.	
1757	" Ganjam	July 5th 1844	Forwarding for the approval of the Board a form of one of the Accounts of the Enam Vouchers under preparation in this District affording certain explanations in re: gard to the same.	
3363	From Tinnevelly	Mutarpha Decr. 11th 1843	Reporting the difficulty of intro: ducing the high rates of Mutarpha	

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			Moturpha Taxes fixed by the Board and recommending for adoption a modified arrangement in case the Board cannot accede to Sir H. Montgomerys rates and proposal, and forwarding a Statement shewing the result of the proposed arrangement in comparison with former Estimates.	
2170	" Government	Aug. 20th 1845	Recording observations on the Board's report relative to the Moturpha and Veesabady Taxes levied in the Provinces under this Presidency and calling for further information on the subject.	
1625	" Bellary	May 24th 1845	Submitting a report on the Collections of Manaba and proposing to discontinue certain Items thereof from the objectionable nature of their Collection.	

Requesting

13523 Fort St. George 20th. October 1845.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport.	Remarks.
			Village Officers &c.	
2337	From Government	Sept. 9th 1843.	Requesting to be furnished with further information regarding the grant of land on Enam tenure proposed to be made in commutation for the money allowances enjoyed by certain Village Officers in North Arcot	Collector called upon to furnish further information on the subject.
3106	" Government	Nov. 21st 1843	Stating that Government will await the further information required by the Board before passing orders on the subject of the reform of the Cuddapah Police and sanctioning the remission of Rupees 180 12 5 overcharged on land held by certain Police Servants.	Collector called upon to furnish further information.
909	" Chingleput	April 19th 1844	Reporting on the subject of the Emoluments of Village Servants in his District.	
1802	" Chingleput	July 17th 1844	Reporting on the present state of the Village Police & the emoluments enjoyed by the Village Moonsiffs Manigars & Watchmen in the Zillah of Chingleput.	

Requesting

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
2261	From Government	Augt. 26th 1844	Requesting the Board to refer to their former records and ascertain beyond a doubt whether their present Statement regarding the Amount enjoyed by the Kooly Kavilgars in the District of Trichinopoly is accurate.	
3243	" Trichinopoly	Novr. 16th 1844	Reporting the Collector's partial success in ascertaining the condition of each of the persons assuming the right to collect the Mera Canib fees in that District.	
508	" Government	Feby. 11th 1845	Stating that Government are not disposed to allow of a postponement of a revision of the system of remune: rating the Curnums in the Jaghire of Sunkarapooram in South Arcot till the Survey of that Jaghire has been completed, unless there is prospect of its early completion, and requesting the Board to report within what period it may probably be completed, the progress which has been already made in it, and the causes which have prevented its non completion	
			Transmitting	

13523- Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport.	Remarks
492	From Government	Feby. 11th 1843	Transmitting certain reports from Mr. Cotton and Mr. Sullivan on the subject of the decay of financial and general prosper: rity of the Madras Presidency, and requesting the Board to submit their sentiments on the various important subjects there: in discussed.	
873	" Government	Apl. 10th 1843	Transmitting Extract from a letter from the Honorable Court of Directors and desiring the Board to institute a thorough scrutiny into the several Revenue and Ma: gisterial Charges coming under their cognizance.	
3083	" Government	Novr. 7th 1844	Transmitting Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalut on the Criminal reports of the 2d half of the year 1842, with the orders of Government thereon.	
1657	" Government	June 29th 1844	Transmitting for report	a

No.	District	Date	Purport	Remarks
			a letter from the Commissioner in the Northern Circars respecting the disorderly state of the Office of Curnum in the District of Rajahmundry.	
2742	From Government	Octr. 8th 1844 P 24	Calling for an enlarged Manual of instructions for the disposal of lands having reference to this Presidency in general.	
2446	" Chingleput	Augt. 19th 1844	Submitting three Statements of outstanding Balances on account of Land and Extra Revenue from Fusly 1254 to 1251. and requesting to obtain authority to write off the irrecoverable portions of the Balances.	
614	" Government	Jany. 6th 1845	Requesting to be informed why Syed Mahomed made no application for the continuance of the Enam enjoyed by the late Mahomed Ismael in North Arcot till 3 Years after the demise of the last incumbent, and desiring the Board to report on the circumstances of this person.	Collector called upon to furnish the required information no reply yet received

Desiring

No.	District	Date	Purport	Remarks
365	From Government	Jany. 31st 1845	Desiring the Board to submit without further delay the required Draft Act for the proposed extension of Act IV of 1840 to this Presidency.	
773	" Government	March 11th 1845.	Calling for further information regarding certain Yeomiah allowances in the District of Trichinopoly renewed without the authority of Government.	Reply just received from the Collector.
864	" Government	March 25th 1845.	Directing that the Acting Collector of Ganjam be called upon to report upon the extent of the security offered by the Zemindar of Chegully for the discharge of the arrears outstanding against his estate.	Collector called upon to furnish explanation but no reply yet received.
980	" Government	April 3d 1845.	Calling for an explanation from the Collector of Rajahmundry on certain points noticed by the Board in their Proceedings dated 6th February last relative to the Survey of the Vandrak and Palacoodooroo Villages in the Woondy Talook, and requesting the Board to expedite the transmission of the Return of Surveys called for under date 8th January last	Collector called upon to furnish the required information in regard to the Survey of the two Villages the Return of Survey is now forwarded.

Observing

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
1099	From Government	Apl. 15th. 1815.	Observing that it was incumbent on the Board to ascertain whether the alleged defects of the Revenue administration of the Madura District originated with Mr. Blakburne or his Predecessor and requesting that the subject be brought properly to issue.	
1113	" Government	Apl. 19th 1815	Transmitting for report an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department with a Petition from Fathemah Beebee widow of Mahomed Fakir soliciting that she may be allowed to interest the Sayhure bestowed upon her husband's Father the late Subadar Major Mahomed Ghouse. K. B.	Subject under enquiry by the Collector but final report from that Office delayed pending the issue of certain proceedings before the French Courts as explained under date 18th Augt. 1815
1205	" Government	Apl. 22d. 1815	Communicating a letter from the Government of India respecting the holding of lands for farming purposes by the Company's Servants and requesting the Board to call for and submit a detailed Statement of such lands.	Statements were called for by circular from all Districts The Returns from some Districts have not yet been furnished. On receipt a General Statement shall be drawn up & submitted

Authorizing

13523- Fort St. George 20th. October 1815.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
1241	From Government	Apl. 20th. 1815.	Authorizing the Actg. Collector of Ganjam to write off the sum of Rupees 50122 11 6 being the balance of Peishcush and charges of sequestration outstanding against the Gumsoor Zemindary and requesting him to report whether he is satisfied that no portion of the arrears due by the late Zemindar has been made good by the Ryots and collected from them subsequently.	Collector called upon to furnish explanation re: duly not yet submitted.
1284	" Tinnevelly	Apl. 24th 1815	Requesting Boards Orders on certain applications from parties holding Grants and requesting to be allowed to hold the lands on which their houses are on the full assessment leviable on the same if cultivated.	
1290	" Government	May 1st 1815.	Requesting that the Collector of South Arcot be instructed to ascertain and report whether there is any ground for allowing certain Inamum in that District of a charitable nature.	Collector called upon to furnish Explanation no reply yet received.
1309	" Government	May 3d 1815	Directing that the arrears which originally accrued from the deficiency of the Proprietors of the Innagadapah.	Collector called upon to report.

Moolah

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			Mootah in the District of Masu: lepatam be immediately levied and that a report of the recovery be made to Government.	
1340	From Government	May 6th 1815.	Requesting that the Collectors of Tinnevelly and Chingleput be called upon to submit their explanation on each important point noticed by the Board in their Proceedings on the Survey of those Districts.	Collectors of Chingleput and Tinnevelly called upon to furnish explanation.
1497	Government	May 12th 1815.	Transmitting the report of Commissioner in the Northern Circars on the affairs of the Cottah Zemindary and requesting the Board to state with reference to the altered circumstances of the Zemindar what amount they would recommend for the maintenance of the Zemindar & his family.	Collector called upon to report.
1451	Government	May 13th 1815.	Sanctioning the charge of Rupees 508. 9–4. incurred in Fusly 1253 on account of Dresses and Sundrywaked of the Sibbendy in Guntoor, and desiring that the Acting Collector of	Collector called upon to report.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			of Guntoor be called upon to report whether this Establishment is duly and efficiently kept up, and on what duties they are present employed.	
1496	From Government	May 16th 1815	Sanctioning from the commencement of Fusly 1251. the establishments employed at the several Maritime Districts for the collection of the Sea Custom Duties, and those maintained in certain Districts for the Collection of the Frontier Duty, and desiring the Board to furnish a Statement of the saving upon Establishments obtained by the abolition of the Transit duties.	The information required regarding saving obtained from Establishments in consequence of abolition of transit duty will be furnished as soon as the question regarding the abolition of the Chokies on the Cochin and Hyderabad Frontier is decided.
1652	Masulipatam	May 29th 1815	Submitting report on the Petitions presented to the Board of Revenue by the Ryots of Ellore & Cowally Mootahs complaining of the levy of certain items of revenue against them.	
1664	Government	June 5th 1815.	Transmitting Copies of Nos. 2 and 3 of a General Letter from the Honble Court of Directors dated 22d. January 1815. No. 1. and	

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			and requesting the Boards' attention to the necessity of introducing a reform into all the Districts where the Police continues inefficient.	
1762	From Government	June 14th. 1815.	Requesting that it be ascertained from the Collector of North Arcot what is the custom of the District when Porumpoke Land is occupied for building by other than the Pyots of the Villages.	Collector called upon to furnish information.
1782	" Government	June 17th. 1815.	Communicating observations & orders on the Boards Proceedings relative to the terms on which lands cultivated by Wells should be granted in the Districts under this Presidency.	
1837	" Government	June 21st. 1815.	Requesting the Board to submit their opinion on the suggestion of the Collector of Malabar to abolish the Custom Houses on the Cochin Frontier.	Collector called upon to furnish explanation on certain points
1910	" Government	June 28th. 1815.	Calling for further information regarding the highe rate at which Tobacco imported into Travancore is fixed.	Collector called upon to furnish explanation.
			Offering	

13523- Fort St. George 20th. October 1815.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
2096	From Trichinopoly	July 12th. 1815	Offering explanation to the comments of the Board on the Summahlundy Report of the Trichinopoly District for Fusly 1253 conveyed in their Proceedings dated 29th. May last.	
2098	" Trichinopoly	July 11th. 1815	Replying to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 29th. May last relative to the Summahlundy report of the Trichinopoly District for Fusly 1252.	
2085	" Government	July 12th. 1815.	Requesting to be furnished with a special report of the cases in which remissions have been adjusted on a principle different to that laid down by the Government of India in the case of the Zemindary of Davercottah in Mulipatam and the Amount that will be payable on a readjustment on the new principle. Also sanctioning disbursement of a further Sum of Rupees 881-15-7½ for repairs to the Palace of the Zemindar.	Circular has been sent and replies received from all Districts except Masulipatam when the reply from that District is received the special report shall be furnished without delay.
			Transmitting	

13523 Fort St. George 20th October 1815.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
2108	From Government	July 11th 1815.	Transmitting Extract from a General Letter from the Honble the Court of Directors dated 2d April 1815. No. 4 with the Resolution of Government thereon	
2119	" Government	July 19th 1815	Directing that it be ascertained whether or not M Ramiah the Proprietor of certain Estates in the Ganjam District was or was not Minor at the death of his Father and that of his Aunt.	Instructions have been sent to the Collector to investigate and report.
2311	" Government	Augt 2d 1815	Concurring in the measure recommended by the Board for the abolition of the Jana Hasseb tax levied in Guntoor on the Ferries on the Kistna River and stating that the privilege of conveying passengers and Goods across the river should be farmed out. Also requesting the Board to state whether the abolition of the Jana Hasseb tax will in any way interfere with the passage of troops across the river.	Collector called upon to furnish information
			Stating	

13523 Fort St. George 20th October 1815.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
2312	From Government	Augt 2d 1815	Stating that before complying with the application for an apothecary to be attached to the Sub Collector of Bellary living at Anuntapore, Government resolved to ascertain whether in the Boards opinion there is any necessity for continuing the appointment of an Assistant Surgeon to do duty with the Collector whilst stationary at Bellary, and not on duty in the District	Reply to a reference to the Collector on the subject just received Octr 16th will be submitted to Government forthwith
2318	" Government	Augt 2 1815	Directing that the Acting Collector of Chingleput be instructed to obtain and Submit the original Purwanah under which the Village of Nullor in that District was conferred on Shrotrium tenure.	Collector called upon to transmit Purwanah
2374	" Government	Augt 8 1815	Stating that it is of the greatest importance that a comprehensive view should be taken of Messrs Arbuthnot and Coes proposition to rent the Talook of Palecondah with Wanjaram in the Vizagapatam District, to cultivate the Cane and	Board have communicated with the Collector & with Messrs Arbuthnot & Co. but have not yet received their replies.

N°	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			manufacture Sugar and desiring the Board to call upon those Gentlemen to state more fully the nature of the Agreement they may be prepared to enter into with the Ryots of the Talook and to submit it accompanied with the Boards sentiments upon it.	
2487	From Government	Augt 12th 1815	Requesting to be furnished with explanation on certain points regarding the Jeemah enjoyed by Hanifa Syed Coodooratoollah and two others in the District of Nellore	Reply just received from Collector.
2489	" Government	Augt 18th 1815	Transmitting Extract from a correspondence with the Government of India and requesting the Board to submit a statement exhibiting the charges of the Collection & Collections of duty on Goods entering the Company's Territories from His Highness the Nizam's Territory with their sentiments as to the effect which the removal of the Chowkies on that line would have on the Sea Customs Revenue.	Circular has been sent to the Districts adjoining the Hyderabad Frontier replies have been received from all except Dejah: mundry, when answer is received from that Dist: the Board will make their: needful re: port to Government.

13523- Fort St. George 20th October 1815.

N°	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks.
			Sea Customs.	
139	From Government	March 31st 1815.	Transmitting for report a letter from the Collector of Sea Customs proposing an increase to the Customs Establishment to enable him to carry out the provisions of Act VII of 1814.	The Board have received a revised List from the present Acting Coll: They have received it and recently communicated with the Officer to ascertain if further reduction be not practicable to They are awaiting his reply.
181	" Government	May 1st 1815	Transmitting for report a letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of Bengal with its Enclosures respecting certain illegal Proceedings of the Officers of Customs at Cannanore in having granted permission to export Cargo to Calcutta on the Ship Indien.	Collector called upon to furnish information and again reminded.

General

13523- Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
			General Subjects	
2153	Circular	Octr 2d 1843	Requesting to know whether besides the Rent on Wax, Honey, Jungle Produce &c. there be any other objectionable petty farms comprised Among the Sources of Extra Revenue in their Districts.	
1689	Circular	July 18th 1845	Requesting them to furnish a Statement shewing separately the sales of Salt for Home and those for Inland Consumption from Fusly 1230 to Fusly 1252.	

Reports

13523- Fort St. George 20th October 1845

Reports on the Settlement of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1253

No.	Districts	Date	Purport	Remarks
1553	From Ganjam	7th Cons. 19th Decr 1844	Forwarding the accounts of the Purlah Kemedy Zemindary for Fusly 1253	
170	" Do	5th Cons. 17th March 1845	Settlement of the Amanie of Jalooks for Fusly 1253	
1411	" Masulipatam	30th April 15th May 1845	Settlement of Government Estates for Fusly 1253	
425	" Tanjore	12th Cons. 27th Feby 1845	On the Settlement of the Land Revenue of his District for Fusly 1253	
463	" Madura	5th July 2d Cons. 1845	Reporting on the Settlement of Ramnad for Fusly 1253	
2194	" Kurnool	28 Octr 2d Cons. 25th Novr 1844	Settlement of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1253	
2406	" Masulipatam	11th July 2d Cons. 15th Augt 1845	Submitting report on the Settlement of Fusly Estates for Fusly 1253	

Read

13524. Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th Ultimo, and entered in Consultation 16th Instant.

(3)

Resolution thereon } Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of

(end

13525 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

of Coimbatore with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 26th May last Nos. 364 and 365.

Mr. Wroughton's attention is called to Paras. 4. 5. 6. 12. 13. 15 and 21.

30th Nov. in Cons. 9th December 1845.

With reference to Paras 29 to 31 of the Principal Collector's Report for Fasly 1253 in which he requests instructions as to the means to be adopted for the realization of the arrears of Quit Rent on lands held by individuals on the Nilgherries, the Board are of opinion that the usual course should be followed and due notice issued that the property or such portion of it as may be necessary, will be sold by Auction unless the arrears are liquidated within a reasonable time which should be specified.

Read the following Extracts.

(4)

From the Secy. to Government Communicating observations and orders on Board's proceedings relative to Settlement of Bandy Zemindaries in District of Guntoor for Fasly

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th October 1845.

Read the following papers.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 21st August 1845. No. 521.)

Reporting on the Settlement of the Bandy Zemindary in the District of Guntoor for Fasly 1253. 1843/44.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards. (Here enter 21st Do. No. 526.)

Reporting on the Settlement of the Bodagadah Zemindary in the District of Guntoor for Fasly 1253. 1843/44.

Paras 1. Altho' the Settlement of both these Estates

13526 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

Estates for Fusly 1253 slightly exceeded that of Fusly 1252, the Collections were much below the amount realized in that year, more so in the Boredy Zemindary in which there was an outstanding balance at the end of September 1844, of Rupees 3,201—0—0. being above one-third of the Demand.- No reasons assigned by the late Acting Collector, Mr. Anstruther, for this large balance an omission which, it is requested, may be supplied in the promised report on the balances of the Estate.-

	Settlement.	Collection	Balance.
Boredy:-			
1252—	8,860	7,268	1,591
1253—	9,319	6,118	3,201
Bodagadah.			
1252—	14,759	12,955	1,803
1253—	14,972	12,188	2,784

2. The balance of Peishcush due by the Zemindar of Boredy amounting to Rupees 6,232—9—2 was further reduced in the year under review to Rupees 4,774—13—10. by a payment of Rupees 1,457—11—4. in addition to the current demand of Rupees 4,500—0—0. As the above balance will probably be shortly liquidated, the Acting Collector should give his early attention to the subject of the claims of the zemindar's creditors, and take such arrangements for their adjustment as may appear to him proper.—

3. It is evident from the result of the late Acting Collector's inspection the

13527 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

the resources of this Zemindary may be greatly improved by restoring such of the ruined works of irrigation as will ensure an extension of Cultivation, and especially that of so valuable a product as Sugar. The state of the communications as brought to notice demands equal attention, and His Lordship in Council will be prepared to give his consideration to any measures which the Board in communication with the Civil Engineer may think fit to recommend in furtherance of the above object.—

4. The Settlement of Bodagadah consisting of the items noted in the Margin, amounted to Rupees 14,972—3—0. of which there was a balance of Rupees 2,784. outstanding at the end of September 1844. With the exception of Rupees 671—14—5. due by parties "reduced to entire poverty," the remainder it is expected will be gradually realized. The particulars of these balances ought to be furnished immediately with a view to their adjustment.—

Bodagadah.-	
Veroytee	Rupees. 11,566—14—1
Small farms—	832—8—11.
Puttoobadytum—	1,068—14—0.
Mutarpha—	1,508—14—0.
	Rupees 14,972—3—0.

5 Agreeably to the recommendation of the Court of Wards the Government grant sanction for writing off the sum

13528 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

of Rupees /1,597-9-4/ One thousand five hundred and ninety Seven Rupees nine, and Pice four, being the arrears of Fusilies 1251 and 1252 as stated to be irrecoverable from the poverty of the renters and Ryots.-

Fusly 1251	Rupees	140	9	9
" 1252	"	1456	15	7
	Rupees	1597	9	4

6 After paying the Government Peishcush Rupees 4,000-0-0 and the charges of Collection Rupees 2,866-8-3. there was a surplus at the end of August of Rupees 15,419-13-3. of which Rupees 8,087-3-10. appear to have been since appropriated towards the discharge of the Zemindar's private debts, thus leaving yet a considerable sum in favour of the Estate.-

7. The discrepancy in the accounts furnished with the report on Fusly 1250 / 1840/41 and in those which accompanied the one under review ought to have been ascertained before the present proceedings were transmitted to Government. The Court of Wards will be pleased to call upon the Acting Collector for an explanation of the same.-

Para 3.

8. The information on the several points which the Board of Revenue and the Court of Wards have called

Board of Revenue Para 3, 4, 5 and 6. Court of Wards Para 1 and 2.

13529 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

in the above proceedings the Acting Collector will lose no time in supplying.-

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon.-

Resolved that a of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Ganjam with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 21st August last.-

4-5

The attention of the Acting Collector is called to Paras 2 and 3. He will be pleased to submit thro the proper Department his recommendation for any works which he may consider desirable. He will also furnish the explanation called for in Para 7 as also the information referred to in Para 8.-

6

From the Secy. to Government communicating the resolution on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of Land Revenue in Fusly District for 1253.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th October 1845.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Salem for Fusly 1253.- / More enter 23rd Aug. 1843. No. 540./ 1843/ 1.

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1253 in the District of Salem is represented to have been less favorable than the preceding; partial showers

showers fell at different periods, which from the smallness of the supplies and their untimely nature, produced no decidedly advantageous results either to the Wet or dry Cultivation; but on the whole the season is regarded to have been more favorable to the former than to the latter.-

Paras 3 & 6. 2. In the Cultivation of the District, exclusive of the Village of Oravandoor recently transferred from the Trichinopoly District, there was a Net decrease of Acres 7,340—39—10, and consequent diminution of Revenue to the extent of Rs. 4157—13—11.

Cultivation Land.—	Assessment.- Acres.	G.	A.	Rupees	A.	P.
Fusly 1252—	698748-	11.	7.	1276887.	8.	10.
" 1253—	691407-	14-	13-	1272729.	10.	11.
Less-	7,340.	39-	10.	4157-	13.	11.

In dry alone the diminution amounted to Acres 8,447—24—2, but there was an increase in the Wet of Acres 1108—27—13.- The prices of Raghee and Cumboo, the principal articles of consumption, were below those of preceding years; in the others they were somewhat higher.-

Para 7. 3 The Settlement of the District inclusive of Ready Money Collections, revenue from Hills, the permanent Peishcush on Zemindaries, and Quit Rent

on Jaghires and other small properties, amounted, exclusive of the revenue from Oravandoor to Rupees 17,64,775. 8—5 and exhibited on a Comparison with Fusly 1252 a Net decrease of Rupees 3,924—12—11.-

	Rupees.	Annas	Pie.
Fusly 1252—	17,68,700—	5—	4.
" 1253—	17,64,775—	8—	5.
Decrease-	3,924—	12—	11.

Para 8. 4. Inclusive of Oravandoor the total public demand for Fusly 1253 amounted to Rupees 17,66,759—14—2, of which Rupees 17,19,975—7—9. were collected within the Fusly

Para 15. leaving a balance at its close of Rupees

25th Nov. 1844— 46,784—6—5, which was reduced at the date of the Collector's report to Rupees 10,040—7—5.- This sum appears to be due only in the Ceded portion of the District and as nearly one half is represented to be difficult of realization.-

Para 16. 5. Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in Para 16,- His Lordship in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Salem District the balances of Land revenue for Fusly 1252, and years antecedent, represented to be irrecoverable amounting to Rupees 4118—0—7/ Four

account of years previous to Fsly 1252—	Rupees—	1104—	14— 8.
account of Fsly 1252—	Rupees—	3,013—	1— 11.
	Rupees—	4,118—	0— 7

thousand One hundred and Eighteen and Pie four—

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

Para 9. 6. The Board alludes to the ingenious working of the Cowle System of Salem as exemplified in the Cultivation of Dry lands in the year under review, but as it is represented that the subject has received the attention of the local Officers and that in a few years hence Cowle tenure will altogether disappear as an item of account in that District, no particular observation from Government seems now to be required.-

Para 11. 7. Regarding the lands conferring the Devastanums Enams which are represented in Para 11 to have been voluntarily relinquished by the parties who formerly held the management of the Pagoda- His Lordship in Council observes that they have no right to abandon property confided to their charge; but that if the Quit Rent is not paid, it is competent to Government to resume the Enam and he directs that on this ground alone the lands be resumed and incorporated with the Government lands.-

Para 12-13. 8. The revenue from the Jawanjee and Yalagherry Hills is obtained by a tax on the plough, and the increase

Total Hill revenue of Fasly 1252 Rs 20,202-3-4.
Do - 1253 - 22,650-8-11.
Increase Rs - 1,448-5-7.

20th - [illegible] 1[illegible]

[illegible] shewing the Arrears of Revenue outstanding agai[illegible]

Subdivn	Names of Pooke in which the following Villages are situated	Names of villages which Land Revenue arrears are returned	Names of Defaulters	Arrears of Revenue in Fusly 1252 Rs A P	Arrears of Revenue in Fusly 1253 Rs A P	F
1		2		3	4	5
Pupparapsum	Ungaco					
Manargoody	Kauttay	Coopsamiengar	" " "	13 10 2		
	Kaum[illegible] be in	Coorpsa	Upsacotty Pharam	29 8 9	9 " "	
	P[illegible]		Ramasawmy Pillay Surety of Pitchoopillay Proposaldar	" " "	4 4 1 3 12	
Do	Nelum	ry	Raghaviengar	" " " " "	10 8	
		m Pa	Venachy Syen	" " " "	3 7	
Godavapsit	Abar					
			Govindasawmy Unna who enjoys under Courts Razeenamah	" " "	75 2 6 4	
					8 6 6	
					10 12 10	
Do	Sengalu	ea	Viswaswara Unna Viapoory Unna	" " "	64 1 12 3 4	
					30 " "	
			Sama row	9 7 8	9 9 66 2,542 3 4	
0		tab	1	16 40	1 14 42	6 6 8 4 "
					8	
"	72 12 83 0		3 3	2 88	39 6 78 8,758 3 10	

20th October 1845.—

Subbundy Charges and the quantity of land to be sold in satisfaction of it

attached Lands held by each Defaulter.—

	Nunjah	Poonjah of ea	Beriz	In how-many Villages	Nunjah	Poonjah	Beriz
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	kutty V m g	V m g	Rs A P		V m g	V m g	Rs A P
1	" 12 4	3 6 53	24 7 5	1 Tarruf	" 12 4	3 6 53	24 7 5
6	1 18 76	" " "	88 6 "	4 Dº	22 18 48	12 10 4	7 5 6
1	" 3 "	" " "	Value 93 " "	"	" 9 12	" " "	5 2 "
7	2 1 76	" " "	181 6 "	4 Dº	23 7 60	12 10 4	7 6 1
6	12 13 99	" 13 20	47 8 6	15	88 3 22	6 10 95	2,9 1 9 "
4	5 11 44	" " "	249 10 8	1	14 3 4	1 9 6	59 8 10
4	1 15	" " "	81 8 "	2	8 3 1	1 2 90	39 3 7
12	1 6 89	" " "	54 14 7				
15	3 1 89	" " "	136 6 7				
40	19 12 47	2 5 59	615 9 "	Tarruf	26 11 79	3 3 22	83 2 6 4
				Sroteriem	7 16 "	" " "	7 8 6 6
				Total	34 7 79	3 3 22	9 10 12 10
9	26 19 24	" " "	78 6 15 6	Tarruf	79 15 40	9 9 66	2,5 12 3 4
				Sroteriem	2 14 38	" " "	30 " "
				Total	82 9 78	9 9 66	2,5 42 3 4
6	2 " "	" " "	94 16 7	1 Tarruff	11 16 40	1 14 42	6 0 8 4 "
"	72 12 83	6 5 32	2,5 6 7	13 3	263 2 88	39 6 78	8,7 5 8 3 10

13023

Names of Talook in which the following Villages are situated	Names of Villages in which the lands the Revenue on which is in arrears are situated.	Names of Defaulters	Arrears of Fasly 1252	Arrears of In Fasly 1253.	Property attached			Lands held by each Defaulter					
								In how many Villages	Kunjah		Ponjah		Beriz
1	2	3	4	5	13	14	15	16	17		18		19
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Kuly	V m G	Rs A P		V	m G	V	m G	Rs A P
Codavasil — Poongelum —		Soobien son of Simna Anna	" " "	" " "	1 8	" 15 45	" " "	1	1	3 45	" 4	5	52 6 5
		Soobien son of Iyaviah	" " "	" " "	2 1 19	" " "	7 5 13 3	1	2	4 30	" 7	64	99 2 "
		Tayarayien son of Mosttooviah	" " "	" " "	1 8 2 4	" " "	82 7 "	1	2	9 31	" 8	52	105 2 10
		Vitenadien son of Soobbaramiah	" " "	" " "	3 2 " 5 21	" " "	10 2 2	1	"	5 21	" "	90	11 3 4
		Lutchoomy Ammah	" " "	" " "	2 5 " 8 "	" " "	15 8 11	1	"	1564	" 2	09	33 2 8
		Sittunnah	" " "	" " "	2 6 1 11 50	" " "	61 4 "	1	3	2 55	" 10	78	132 13 1
		Ramiah	" " "	" " "	6 1 1 50	" " "	41 12 10	1	1	3 47	" 4	8	49 12 "
		Vencapiah	" " "	" " "	6 1 4 50	" " "	47 10 3	1	1	16 87	" 4	51	80 6 11
		Soobbramany Iyen	" " "	" " "	6 " 9 "	" " "	17 8 "	1	"	10 42	" 1	80	22 4 3
		Vanjee Iyah	" " "	" " "	6 " 8 "	" " "	15 8 10	1	"	8 47	" 1	46	18 2 "
		Soobiah Son of Vanjee Iyah	" " "	" " "	2 6 1 2 50	" " "	43 12 "	1	1	8 67	" 4	95	60 15 4
		Soobien	" " "	" " "	7 2 6 90	" " "	91 3 3	1	2	6 90	" 8	6	104 10 5
		Vanjee Iyah	" " "	" " "	9 7 2 4 "	" " "	85 8 10	1	2	6 91	" 8	9	99 7 11
		Chedumbara Pilley	" " "	" " "	2 1 12 45	" " "	63 1 6	1	1	12 45	" "	15	66 12 "
		Mootoo sawmy Pilley	" " "	" " "	7 3 8 "	" " "	132 3 4	1	5	9 46	" 13	97	232 6 3
		Poinachy	" " "	45 1 8	1 8 4 1384	" " "	182 7 2	1	4	13 4	" 16	98	198 15 10
		Total Persons 16	" " "	47 8 2	25 1485	" " "	997 15 1		31	18 32	5	363	1,367 11 3
		Gr^d Total Persons 24	92 9 10		98 7 68	6	5 32 3560	5	12	4	29	1 20	44 10 41 10 12 3 15 1
			29 8 9	12 72 12 6									

Tanjore, Combaconum, 29th September 1843

very

Collector.

The

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.—

Revenue in Iyen lands— In Fusly 1254	Total	Arrears of Revenue on Lands belonging to Pagodas	Interest on the Arrears and Sibbendy Charges	Grand Total from Column 7 to 9	In what Village situated	Landed Property or not
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		
27 9 3	27 9 3	" " "	1 14 11	29 8 2	Poongalum	Yes
66 6 10	66 6 10	" " "	4 10 5	71 1 3	Do	Do
76 8 1	76 8 1	" " "	5 5 8	81 13 9	Do	Do
9 4 1	9 4 1	" " "	" 10 5	9 14 6	Do	Do
9 10 1	9 10 1	" " "	" 10 10	10 4 11	Do	Do
51 4 11	51 4 11	" " "	3 9 5	54 14 4	Do	Do
36 2 7	36 2 7	" " "	2 8 7	38 11 2	Do	Do
38 4 3	38 4 3	" " "	2 10 10	40 15 1	Do	Do
13 10 3	13 10 3	" " "	" 15 3	14 9 6	Do	Do
13 3 7	13 3 7	" " "	" 14 10	14 2 5	Do	Do
40 3 4	40 3 4	" " "	2 13 "	43 " 4	Do	Do
83 13 5	83 13 5	" " "	5 13 10	89 11 3	Do	Do
72 5 11	72 5 11	" " "	5 " 11	77 6 10	Do	Do
54 12 "	54 12 "	" " "	3 13 3	58 9 3	Do	Do
67 5 9	112 7 5	" " "	13 4 6	125 11 11	Do	Do
114 14 5	192 6 7	" " "	19 2 9	211 9 4	Do	Do
805 6 9	898 " 7	" " "	73 15 5	972 " "		
3184 5 5	4486 10 8	42012 11	514 13 2	5422 4 9		

/Signed/ H. C Montgom

13624 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Resolution thereon.— The Board are prepared to sanction the sale of the lands entered in the List which accompanied the Collectors letter.— Sir. H. Montgomery will be so good as to forward the usual advertisement for publication in this Office— The Board request that he will at the same time explain why the shotrium lands of the second Village in the List have been so attached whilst there is Paraff sufficient to meet the demand.—

50 - 51

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 22d Ultimo and entered in Consultation 16th Instant.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.—

52

To the Collector of Trichinopoly— } Sir,

In Cons 18th October 1845.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated 22d September last requesting instructions upon the subject of the arrangement proposed in your letter of the 22d December 1843 for the restoration of a Cowl Enam in the Moosery Talook and in reply to inform you that they will not object to the proposed arrangement as a temporary measure pending the final decision of the general Cavelly Enam question.—

In Cons 18th January 1844.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George, ——— 20th October 1845.— } / Signed / T. Pycroft Secretary

Read

J. B.

13625 Fort St. George 20th October 1845

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

53

Sir

From the Collr of Trichinopoly— } Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 29th Ultimo, forwarding a Petition from Hareemsahibah Beebee with the orders of Government thereon, and to state that the Enam alluded to therein is not allotted for any service, and I see no objection to refer the Petitioner to the Civil Courts.

is herewith returned.

2 I have the honor at the same time to transmit extracts from Mr Travers's and Mr Wallace's Registers of Enams for the information of the Board as regards the Enam in question.—

Trichinopoly Collrs Office 6th October 1845. } (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector

Extract

13626

Fort St George 20th October 1845

Extract from Mr. c. Wallace's Register of Enams.

No.	The names of the Grantees	For what purpose Granted	Title of their deeds	Date of the Sunnad	Relation of the present claimant to the Granter	For life	Hereditary	By whom Granted	age of the Claimant	Caste	Connection and means of Living	The names of the Jaya Manicum Villages	Estimated annual Value of the Village to the Manicum Lars	Residence	Number of persons in family	Appearance of the deeds	General Remarks
													S Rays. 5 C				
2	Shaha Jamalo: deen	as Enam	Purs. wanah	Hejery 1154. 5th Janc. deny	The Enam. dar not re lated to the Grantor	Not Speci. fied	Not Speci. fied	Maupoos Cawn Brthr to late Nabob M. himedally	32 years	Mohome. dan and Syed	The Enam. dar has Jaya manicm. lage nam. ed Cottapalla At Chena. kenpatty in the Hooper District beside this Enam	Sumpatt	1 4 33 7 8 / 95 17 47	Wallecm. dapooram	50 persons	Old	The present claimants Shaw. ney Jamaulda Hoossain is Son and Jaw. maul shaw nephew to Original Monicemdar.

True Extract
(Signed) A. F. Onslow
Collector

Extract

13627

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

Extract from Mr. Travers's Register of Enams.

No. of the Register	Names of the Villages in English	Names of the Villages in the local Language	Actual or Estimated annual Value of the Village	Names of the original Grantees	Names of the Persons at present holding the Village or the Office or Service for the Expenses of which the Village was assigned		By whom Originally Granted and by whom confirmed	Description and date of the Grant		Hereditary during the natural life of the Incumbents	Copy of the Grant registered or not registered in the Collectors Office	Annual rent Payable for the Village	Remarks
					Names	Relation		Month & date	Heezeree				
			S Rupees &c									S Rupees &c	
1	Sumbutt		140378	Shaw Jamaloodeen	Sunnee Jamaloodeen Hoossain Syed Ismaul	Grand Son by a daughter of the original Enamdar. Grand son to the original Enamdar's Sister.	Nauper Khan	Purwanah 5th Jan made early	1157	Do	The Purwanah inserted in the Register dated 5th Jan made early Heezeree 1157 who delivered to Mr. Wallace and the present incumbents hold that Gentleman's receipt for it.			It is necessary to remark that in the English register of Enam Villages sent to the Board by Mr Wallace on 7th November 1802 the present claimants represented themselves (Vide remarks against this number) as the Son and nephew of the original Enamdar, they now differ in their account of relationship: The present incumbents also now produce another document being an Enoyetnamah of Wallyah to Hyfsamal Moolk ordering the three Villages of Sumbutt, Rootapatt & a Muzrah in the Ellapoor Mahanum to be continued to the heirs of Shaw Jamaloodeen Deceased as Hereditary. this Enoyetnamah is dated 8th Rabeeany Heezeree 1208. The incumbents also produce several other documents by Hyfsamul Moolk & other persons. but this grant is suspicious and it is said the documents now produced are forgeries.
2	Rootapaitt		95174										
			239955										

(True Extract)

(Signed) A.P. Onslow

Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 6.th Instant I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the Original Purwanahs produced by the present incumbents calculated to throw light on the nature of the Enam alluded to therein, may be transmitted with translations at your early convenience.-

The Board also request that you will be so good as to submit your own opinion of the authenticity or otherwise of these documents; and one of which it is observed in the remarks appended to enclosure 2 in your letter under reply are said to be forgeries, and the grant itself to be of a very suspicious, and questionable nature.-

Revenue Board Office. - - - - (Signed) J. Pycroft
Fort S.t George, 20.th October 1845. Secretary

53-54

To the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

In Cons.t 20.th Octr. 1845.

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly. Read letter transmitting Statement shewing the amount of Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and subordinates for Fusly 1254.-

55

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and a copy of the same be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.-

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 6.th Instant submitting a Statement of Extra Tent allowance for Fusly 1254 and to convey Sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and Subordinates for the number of days noted in the Margin.-

Revenue Board Office. - - - - (Signed) J. Pycroft
Fort S.t George 20.th October 1845. Secretary

55-56

To the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

In Cons.t 20.th Octr. 1845.

No. of days	
Mr. A. O. Onslow Collector	41
Mr. R. J. Sullivan 1st Asst.	29
Mr. A. W. Sullivan	19

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 15.th Ult.o and entered in Consultations 16.th Instant.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15.th Ultimo, reporting further in regard to the adoption said to

57

To the Collr. of Tinnevelly.

Informing him that it does not appear to the Board certain that

In Cons.t 20.th Octr. 1845.

Para 1.

that the Zemindary of Singumpetty can be regarded as an escheat and requesting him to furnish a genealogical table shewing the present Members of the family in all its branches and their relationships either to Sungaramoody Ummaal to Seva Soobramanya Tavers or to Perasawmy Tavers and to state whether in his opinion there are any parties entitled to claim the succession to the estate as the legal representatives of those persons

to have been made by the late Zemindar of Singumpetty and expressing your opinion that under all the circumstances the Estate must be considered as having legally escheated to Government.

2. In reply the Board instruct me to state that for the reasons which you have assigned they entertain little doubt that the asserted adoption never took place, but it does not appear to them equally certain that the Estate can be regarded as an escheat. They presume that the Singumpetty Zemindary is held under a Sunnud i Milkeat Istimrar, vesting the proprietary right in the Estate in the Zemindar, his heirs and lawful successors for ever: it cannot therefore revert to Government unless in default of all heirs or lawful successors of the late Sungaramoody Ummall entitled to inherit her real property, and it has not yet been shewn to the satisfaction of the Board that there are no parties who stand in that relation to the deceased. The Board also think it open to question whether failing any heirs to Sungramoody Woodiar the property might not revert to the relatives of the former Zemindar Perasawmy Tavar. In Para 5 of your letter you state that the pretensions of the Mother of this person, his sister and her Children have been discussed and finally disposed of in the correspondence which you have noted in the Margin. It should however be observed that in the order

6th March 1835 in Cons 9 March

of Government which closes the correspondence alluded to it is simply stated that supposing Perasawmy Tavar had left no heir, the presumptive heir to the Estate would appear to be Sungaramoody Ummaal: but no opinion is expressed in regard to the question whether Perasawmy Tavar did or did not leave heirs and whether his Mother sister and her Children were to be regarded in that capacity. The claim of these latter parties cannot therefore be said to be disposed of by the Government order referred to.

3 The succession to the Estate as far as the Board gather from your letter is at present contested by Nuttacottah Tavar nephew, and asserted adopted son of the deceased and by Soodasamoottoo Ummall mother of a former Zemindar Perasawmy Tavar on behalf of herself, her daughter, and Grand Children. As however there may possibly be other parties not now before the Board, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish them with a Genealogical Table shewing the present Members of the Singumpatty family in all its branches and their relationship either to the deceased Sungaramoody Ummall to her husband Seevasoobramanya Tavar who died in 1826 or to Perasawmy Tavar who

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

who was executed in 1834. and to state whether in your opinion there are any parties entitled to claim the succession to the Estate as the legal representatives of those persons communicating also your own sentiments on the merits of their several claims.-

4. In connection with this subject the Board desire me to forward to you the accompanying Petitions. Your attention is particularly called to No. 1211 of 1845 preferred by a party who states himself to hold a mortgage to a large amount on the Estate. The Board will be happy to learn your sentiments on the subject.-

No: 8489 961 1192 1201 1211 } 1845.

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St. George,
20th October 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Read the following letter-

To
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George-

58

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly
Reporting on Petition No. 8461 of 1845.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of 4th ultimo calling for a report on Petition No. 8461 of 1845- I have the honor to state that the allegations contained in the petitions, are for the most part entirely false. As the readiest way of affording the information called for, I beg to enclose translation of the Tunnudeyen's Petition, and of the Maniem, and placing it as before, in deposit- Gifting the Maniem and placing it as before, in deposit- The Maniem was gifted in 1838 by Mr. Thompson- I was induced to release it in the month of February 1844- without, as it afterwards appeared, sufficient information of the objections against it. Subsequently, on Petition by one of the Coparceners (Vengooviah) strong suspicion of fraud and Collusion attached to Soondraraien, the Chief Sharer and Holder, and on further enquiry it was clearly elicited that the Gift of Mr. Thompson, was the correct and proper course, and should not be disturbed- and I therefore recalled my order- On instituting a search, at the Petitioner Vengooviens earnest request, for the Original deed, said to be concealed in Soondraraien's House, evidence sufficiently conclusive- though

Fort St George 20th October 1845

though not quite amounting to legal proof, went to shew that the Original Document was, as asserted by Vengoovien, in Soondramien's House, and only fraudulently removed at the very time of the search. Out of this a lengthened trial and correspondence arose with the Tinnevelly Sessions Court, to which I committed Soondramien on a charge of 20 Stamp Papers of old date, and for fraudulent purposes, being found during the search in his House. the whole case is now before the Sudder Adalut. that the Original Deed is in existence, but if produced, it would shew (it is said) only a life tenure (already ceased.) and a different Division of shares to that secured by Soondramien - it is also proved that 2 different Statements were given at different times, before the late Head Shirestadar, by Soondramien, when twice called on to produce the Deed as a Voucher - he once stating "it was lost" and again "that it was burnt" and it was on this and other strong suspicion that it was gifted in 1840 by Mr Thompson (having been in January 1840 released by Mr Murray the Sub Collector in temporary Charge) until the Voucher should be produced. before which the Maniem could not properly be released. The full circumstances of the case were

Fort St George 20th October 1845

were not at first known to me, and only came out after prolonged Enquiry, found on the coparcener Vengooviens Petition. He having been previously silenced by concessions, and only coming forward when he found at last that he was defrauded of his fair share by Soondramien - I was misled at first by the strong and urgent representations of Soondramien, and by other circumstances, but I have now no doubt whatever, that I was wrong in releasing the Maniem and that Mr Thompsons decision was correct, that it should be held in Deposit till the necessary Voucher, the Purwanah was produced. Soondramien and others connected with him, have acted with great impropriety and fraud, throughout the Enquiry, which has now been going on for several Months, and whether they will entirely escape a Criminal conviction, is yet for the Sudr to determine. —

Tinnevelly —
Tencausey — — —
19th September 1845.

I Signed C. B. Thomas
Collector —

A.

Translation of a Yakeed No. 41 sent to the Tahsildar of Shermadavy under date the 14th May 1845. —

With reference to the order sent to you in June 1838, directing you to realize and keep in Deposit on account of Fusly 1247, the 400 Pons of Maniem, which was ascertained

ascertained to have been enjoyed by one Venkataswara
of Gopalasamoodrum in the Shermadevy Talook, 20
from the Village of Gopalasamoodrum, and 200 Pons
the Village of Codaganullore, and that the said Monie
would be released / farried / after ascertaining the purp
for which such a large amount was alienated to one
Individual, and after perusal of the Document he pro
in support of the alienation - Another order was sent
you on the 10th February 1844, that the Maniem, wa
farried, on the statement of Soondraniem / who
at present / that he does not know what is don
with the documents in support of the Monieam,
the time of his Ancestors, that the said Monie
is not enjoyed by him alone, but
continued in the enjoyment of 14 Sharers - and that u
the foregoing circumstances, the Maniem should be
bursed to him. —

Since which, one Vengooaien presen
a Complaint stating that he is entitled to a post
of the said Monieam, that his claim is specified
the Purvanah itself, and that Soondraniem, with a
fraudulent view has concealed the Document - On
receipt of this Complaint directions were issued to inst
a search in the House of the said Soondraniem, to produ
the Purvanah - it was reported that the Docume
was not found in the search - But as a stateme
has been made of the Document having been hidd
it is supposed, that Soondraniem has secured it, in order to avoid th
possibility

possibility of discovery and the subject was under reinvestig
gation, when Ganapathy Iyen, Goorooswamy Iyen, and
Soobien, also in the enjoyment of a part of the Monieam
deposed, a few days after the search, that they heard
that the Document was in Soondraniem's house
up to the date the search was made, that when the
search was going on, Soondraniem's Wife, Vengoo
mahl "secretly removed the Document from the house
Besides the Complainant Vengoo Iyen stated, that
he would swear solemnly to the Purvanah having
been in Soondraniem's house up to the time the
search was instituted - Upon this Soondraniem
was asked to produce the Purvanah, but he declined
affirm to the non-existence of it, but he declined
giving such affirmation - From the above, it appears
that Soondraniem thought, that if he produced
the Document, the Monieam would not be farried,
in consequence of the discrepancies which would be
found in the Shares mentioned in it, and he has
hitherto at different times, deposed in different
ways regarding it - at one time saying that it was con-
sumed by fire, at another that it was mortgaged by
his Ancestors, and that he does not now know where
the Document is, and so forth. —

Under these circumstances, it is evident
that they Soondraniem and others have been up to this
time

13638 Fort St George 20th October 1845.

time fraudulently enjoying the Inoneim without producing the Purwanah when called for by the Surkar and thus deceived the Public Officers and as the Inoneim ought in consequence to be gifted and not jaried to the party you are hereby directed not to restore the Inoneim as per Order of 10th February 1844 but the amount should be deposited as per order of the former Collector Mr. Thompson issued in June 1838 you should immediately on the receipt of this Order recover from Soondrameen and the others the sum of Rupees 3216-14-8 which was paid to them lately from the Huzzoor Deposit and that since paid by you as per order of 10th February 1844 and remit it to the Huzzoor Treasury to be credited under the Head of "Deposit" and continue realizing the allowance from July 1254 and remit it to the Huzzoor Treasury as before.——

I Signed C. B. Thomas
Collector.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

59

From the Colle. of Tinnevelly

Sir,

Having reported under date 19th Ultimo on the former Petitions Nos 846 and 892 of 1845 I have nothing further to add, but that the Petitioners being still either unable or unwilling to produce the "Purwanah" or document on which they profess to hold the Inoneim, it would seem needless to refer to other points, until that first necessary condition be complied with. The Petition

13639 Fort St George 20th October 1845.

Petition No. 1146 of 1845 received with your letter of the 22nd Ultimo, is herewith returned.——

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
7th October 1845

I Signed C. B. Thomas
Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.——

58. 60

To the Collr of Tinnevelly

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

In Cons 20th October 1845

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th Ultimo reporting on Petitions Nos 846 and 892 of 1845, as also of your letter of 7th Instant returning Petition No. 1146 of 1845.

Ditto

In reply the Board instruct me to inform you that they are unable either from your first letter or from the translated Takeed which accompanied it to arrive at a complete understanding of the merits of the Petitioners' case. They request that you will be so good as to state the circumstances connected with it more in detail, explaining the nature and value of the Inoneim, the authority by which it was originally conferred and on whom, the date

13640- Fort St George 20th October 1845.

date, terms and purposes of the grant, the
manner in which it has been entered
in the public accounts since the
Tinnevelly District has formed
a part of the Company's Territories-
the parties by whom the Maunium
has hitherto been enjoyed - the
which induced Mr Thompson
to order its sequestration in 1838-
and which led you to direct its
release and payment of the large sum
in deposit in 1844. with such further detailed in-
formation upon the subject as may enable the
Board to dispose of the Petitions-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
20th October 1845

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary - Read

13641- Fort St George 20th October 1845

Read the following letter-

To J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George-

(81)
From the Coll. of
Tinnevelly

Sir,

In reply to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th July 1845. and in communication with Soolachenum Moodelliar, I beg to state that he does not seem anxious for any title, but if one must be selected, the one alluded to by the Board would be as acceptable and appropriate as any- In Ramasawmy Moodelliar's case, the title was accompanied by the more substantial public acknowledgement of a grant of land: if the Government should think fit to shew a similar favor to Soolachenum Moodelliar- I think it would be highly prized, the amount need not be very large, and waste land might be assigned, capable of improvement; so that no positive loss of Revenue to Government need ensue- In Ramasawmy Moodelliar's case, the Bridge was rather a work of devotion than utility- the Road being only frequented, to a limited extent for pilgrimage to a spot esteemed sacred by Hindoos, but in the case of the Palamcottah Bridge the work is one of undoubted and extensive public benefit- (the expence

†upwards of 58898 Rupees

expence of which must eventually have fallen on the Government) on a high Military and Commercial Road, extensively traversed as shewn in my letter to Board of 25th January 1845. If directed by Government I shall ascertain and report where a small Jaghire of land can be made available in the District, without loss to Government or inconvenience to individuals. I was in the Coimbatore District and Officially cognizant on giving the Jaghire of land to Ramasawmy Moodeliar, and I am bound to say I do think some such mark of public favor due in a much greater decree to Soolochenum Moodeliar, who has spent the best portions of his fortune in the undertaking. A Memo is added, as far as can be obtained from private accounts believed to be the amount granted to Ramasawmy Moodeliar.

Tinnevelly Tencausey
27th September 1845.

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Memo: of Jaghire said to be granted to Ramasawmy Moodeliar of Mysore.

		Amount	
Fusly.		Rs	a p
†Mostly waste but capable of redemption & yielding thus- 1228	Lands equal to		
1233	Do.	2511	10 10
1239	Do.	2,228	1 4
1242	Do	6,105	6 1
		161	3 1
	Total Jaghire	11107	5 4
	Shrotrium Fusly 1236	4245	8 6
	Total Rupees.	15352	13 10

/Signed/ E. B. T.

61 - 62

Order thereon,
(Read again on Consn. 28th Octr.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Read

63

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Govt Dept of Public Works.

Transmitting copy of a Letter from the Collector of Bellary reporting upon the System and extent of Village labour at present obtaining in works of irrigation.

Read the following letter.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works under date the 10th October 1845.

Read the following letter.

To

Captain J. H. Bell
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Department of Public Works.

Madras

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the order of the Board under date the 25th May 1845 No 170 endorsed on the Minutes of Consultation accompanied by an Extract of a letter from Colonel Munro to the Collectors of Wandiwash and Cumbum Divisions dated 17th January 1803 requesting me to submit a Report in conformity with the Resolutions of Government upon the System and Extent of Village labour at present obtaining in works of irrigation and where it has been discontinued to state a maximum sum within which it would be reasonable in the Government to expect the Ryots to make the Repairs to the sources of irrigation by their own labour.

†dated 15th Feby 1845.

2. Although no usage has hitherto existed in this District to exact labour from Ryots and Villagers to any specific amount on works of irrigation, yet their assistance is frequently obtained as instanced in the following

following detail-

Works usually performed by Ryots-

First- At times when the work does not admit of an Estimate being framed Viz.t-

1. Filling up gutters at all times-
2- Repairing Tanks when burst and raising a Dam to stop the Water running through the Breach- Turf work to leaky parts of the bunds-
3. Opening the Bund or Calingulah making a passage for superfluous water when Tanks are becoming too full, cutting off Cuttacalwah or supplying Channel when there is too great an abundance of water and preserving them as far as possible-
4- Constructing a Dam across the supplying Channel in order to conduct water-

Second- At times when works are performed on an Estimate-

1. Opening and closing the bund-
2- Tamping-

3. With regard to watercourses I beg to observe that those from smaller Rivers Rivulets and spring Channels are chiefly cleared out by Villagers themselves- Works for Wells where impossible for the Ryots to execute them are performed by the Cowar-

4- In affording their aid a proportion is followed according to the extent of land each

each holds, but no distinct account is kept of works so performed- It is difficult without such an account to make an exact calculation of the proportion of Village labour- I am of opinion that the present usage may be kept up with advantage- At any rate great discretionary power must be left to the Collector to enable him to judge what will be a proper portion according to the condition of the Ryots under the respective reservoirs-

5- Should it however be the resolution of Government to fix a Maximum of work to be performed by Ryots I would propose that the demand may be confined to a maximum amount of 4 annas per acre, the following exhibits the No. of Tanks, the averaged extent of highest cultivation under each of them and the aggregate amount of works calculated at the above rate- The repairs of Watercourses are already usually made by the Cultivators and more cannot be demanded-

Classification of Tanks-	No. of Tanks-	Extent of Land Cultivated in a favorable year-	Average extent of Land Cultivated under each Tank in a favorable year-	Amount Calculated at 4 annas per acre on the average of the Tanks-	Total Amount at that rate for the whole No. of the Tanks-
Tanks the Ayacut of which is above 500 Acres	28	23,447	832	208	5,824
Do. Do. of above 250 and below 500 Acres	101	22252	220	55	5,555
Do. Do. of above 100 Acres	213	19,855	93	23	4,899
Do. Do. of above 20 Do.	451	15,740	35	9	4,059
Do. Do. of above 20 Do.	320	2034	6	2	640
Total-	1113	83328	75	19	20,977

Ryots

13646 Fort St George 20th October 1815

Ryots who refuse to labour themselves and are unable to furnish Coolies to work for them to pay their share in money.

I have not been able to obtain from the Talooks the information required for a full investigation into this important subject: to make any positive Statement it would be necessary to obtain an account of work actually performed during a series of years by the Villagers and of the system that has prevailed for half a Century. It would not have escaped the attention of the Board that the letter upon which the instructions of Government appear to be grounded is dated 17th January 1803, and that the events of the last 10 years have utterly and entirely changed the prospects of the cultivators.

7. The anticipation of Colonel Munro himself on the Assessment have proved fallacious in some degree, one great and never to be forgotten instance of this is that lands are not saleable, there is hardly one Cultivator in ten who does not wish to decrease his Farm, and Grain has of late years fallen far below any thing like remunerating price. If these prices continue it may be found necessary to propose another reduction over the whole District. Under these circumstances I cannot think it advisable that

13647 Fort St George, 20th October 1815

that any additional burden should be borne by the Ryots but rather (as I have already expressed in every Report I have addressed to the Board) that every assistance not involving an infringment of the rules of the assessment should be allowed to the cultivating Ryots. I am well aware that the present System does not most truly observed "afford opportunities to public servants to appropriate money" but I think it would be far preferable to adopt even the most stringent rules regarding outlay than a System of Free labour to any extent.

8. I am of course only speaking of the District under my charge, and I regret to state that the result of 5 years experience is that a great portion of the lands are most highly assessed, that notwithstanding the frugal diligent habits of the People their poverty is great beyond description, and that there does not appear to me to be any opening for future improvement in their circumstances, and holding these opinions I deprecate any measure involving the slightest additional burden.

Bellary District, - - - -
Coll[r] Office, on Circuit - - -
Hospett 24th September 1815

/ Signed / A. Mellor
Collector

Ordered that copy of the foregoing letter be furnished to the Revenue Department

13648 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Department of the Board.

Signed J. H. Bell
Sect. B. of R. D. P. W.

63 - 64 Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

65 From the Asst Sub Treasurer — Read the undermentioned Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred during the Months of August last.

66 From the Supt of Stamps — Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred during the Month of September last.

65 - 67 Order on the 2 Above Statements — Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

68 From the Coll: of Trichinopoly — Read the undermentioned Lists. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

69 From the Coll: of Trichinopoly — List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

70 From the Pl. Coll: of Coimbatore — List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

71 From the Pl. Coll: of Coimbatore — List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.

68 - 71 Order on the 4 Above Statements — Ordered to be lodged on the Office. Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To

13678 Fort St. George 20th October 1845

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

73 To, the Chief Sect. to Govt

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Comparative Statement of Contingent Charges incurred in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments from 1st January to 31st March 1845 Sanctioned by the Board under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843

A

Fort St. George Gazette of 1843 Page 608.

Accompanying is also submitted a Statement of Contingent charges incurred in the Offices at the Presidency for the same period.

B.

Stamp Office
sub Treasurer
Savings Bank
Civil auditor
Sea Customs
Departments
Dr Grain Weighing Department.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

D Statement

13579480.

Fort St. George 20th October 1845

A Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the Districts under the Madras Presidency from 1st January to 31st March 1845 & sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

	Actual charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1844			Actual charges incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1845			Comparison					
							Increase			Decrease		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Ganjam	3	4	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	4	6
Vizagapatam	"	"	"	46	8	10	46	8	10	"	"	"
Rajahmundry	43	13	9	40	4	9	"	"	"	3	9	"
Masulipatam	32	4	"	16	2	9	"	"	"	16	13	
Guntoor	17	"	"	24	"	3	7	"	3	"	"	"
Chingleput	4	9	3	1	11	10	"	"	"	2	13	5
Salem	16	5	1	22	4	6	5	15	5	"	"	"
Madura	20	15	4	34	14	11	13	15	7	"	"	"
Nellore	18	10	3	24	3	4	5	9	1	"	"	"
Northern Division of Arcot	7	4	"	39	15	10	32	11	10	"	"	"
Southern Division of Arcot	23	15	4	13	14	4	"	"	"	10	1	"
Tanjore	6	10	6	4	10	"	"	"	"	2	"	6
Trichinopoly	16	14	1	6	14	6	"	"	"	9	15	7
Tinnivelly	61	2	5	29	2	1	"	"	"	32	"	4
Bellary	12	"	"	6	10	"	"	"	"	5	6	"
Cuddapah	5	5	4	5	10	4	"	5	"	"	"	"
Coimbatore	16	6	"	37	6	3	21	"	3	"	"	"
Canara	7	15	7	19	3	8	11	4	1	"	"	"
Malabar	45	11	7	95	13	4	50	1	9	"	"	"
Madras	37	13	2	31	9	1	"	"	"	6	4	1
Total	398	"	2	501	"	7	194	8	1	91	7	8
							91	7	8	Dt. Decrease.		
							103	"	5	Net Increase.		

(Signed) F. Pycroft Secretary.

Statement.

13682.

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

74

To the Actg Collr of North Arcot.

To, The Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to refer you to their Proceedings of the 19th June last, in which you were called upon to report for communication to Government, what the customs of your District is, when Perumbokе land is occupied for building by others than the Ryots of the Village - and to request that the above information may be supplied at an early date.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
20th October, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

To The Collector of Madras.

75

To the Collr of Madras.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish them with a Statement in the annexed form shewing the Cost price to Government inclusive of charges of every description of a Garce of Salt Sold for home consumption - The Board will hope to be favored with this Statement at the earliest practicable date.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October, 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Statement.

13681.

B. Statement shewing the Contingent Charges incurred in the undermentioned Offices from 1st January to 31st March 1845 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue under the orders of Government dated 28th July 1843 published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

	Rupees	A	P
Stamp Office	119	9	2
Sub Treasuries	98	11	8
Savings Banks	13	2	"
Civil Auditor	18	5	2
Sea Custom Department	33	1	"
Dr. Grain Survey Department	"	"	"
Total	282	11	3

Establishment of Salt Chokeys &c. incurred from 1st January to 31st March 1845. Rupees 10 Ryots 10

2	6	19	9	6	"	"
6	"	48	10	1	"	"
11	"	"	"	1	5	2
11	11	"	"	4	9	3
3	1	56	2	7	"	"
5	2	156	6	2	"	"
9.	2	280	12	4	5	14 5

5 14 5 Dr. Grains
2 4 13 11 Ryots
(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary
Published.

Articles obtained on Indent from the Commissariat and Ordnance Departments are not entered in this Statement, the articles not being known.

13683

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Statement shewing the Cost price to Government of a Garce of Salt Sold for home consumption inclusive of all Charges of every description, Viz.t.

1. Price paid to Manufacturers
2. Boat hire from the Pans to the Coutars
3. Canal toll
4. Proportion of Muzzoor & Servants pay
5. Do. of the Servants at the Coutar
6. Do. at the Pans
7. Compensation Rupees and Maniums
8. Measuring fees at the Coutars
9. Proportion of Charges on account of repairs of Salt Pans &c.a defrayed by Government
10. Wastage up to the period of Sale at the rate paid to Manufactures
11. Contingencies including covering Charges Palmira leaves &c.a

&c.a &c.a &c.a

Total Cost per Garce.

N.B.

If there are other items of Charges they should be entered as under distinct heads.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

To

13684

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

To,

The Accountant General, Civil Auditor, and Sub Treasurer

Committee for passing Contingent Charges.

76

To the Accountant Genl. Civil Auditor and Actg. Sub Treasurer

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 1st June 1841. published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent Charges incurred in this Office for the Month of September last amounting to Rupees 26-5-6. and to request that they may be passed and returned.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th October 1845.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned

77 — Miscellaneous letters and Statements.
From the Deputy Accountant General. — Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of the Military Department.

77-78 — Order thereon. — Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

79 — From the Coll.r of the S.th Dv.n of Arcot. — Additional Indent for printed papers required for the use of his district for the year 1845. — Ordered to be supplied, accordingly.

79-80 — Order thereon. — Transmitting an Indent in duplicate for Stationery required for the use of his district for the year 1846.

From the Coll.r of Malabar.

81 — Order thereon. — Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and transmitted to

91-82

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in the name of the 2d Defendant to which proposal I agreed: the 2d Defendant then tendered me a Cabuleyet on the 2d increasing — Moon of the Month Aushadum year Doormookey on condition that he would take a share of half of each poatey of the several descriptions of Grain which the land may yield by the assistance of his own bullocks and implements and that he would have the "Ambarum" share of the Grain delivered at my house in the Village Poolevarvo, and having accordingly obtained a Cowl from me the 2d Defendant and his joint cultivators the 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants cultivated the land. In Fusly 1246 the 1st Defendant asked me to give a Nazaranah or present from the produce of that land, but as I did not consent, the Defendants withheld in their possession the whole produce, and would not give me my Ambarum share according to the conditions of the Cabuleyet, I preferred a summary Complaint on the 6th April 1836 to the Collector of this Zillah against the 1st 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 114-8-5 being the value of the "Ambarum" Grain withheld from me. While the suit was pending I frequently every year represented to

the

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the Collector that as the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants were annually cultivating the land since Fusly 1247 they would be likely to misappropriate the produce of the subsequent years. The Collector issued orders that as there was no produce in Fusly 1251 of the 5 years from Fusly 1247 to 1251 the Ameen of Pachoor should cause the produce of the remaining 4 years to be measured and that after deducting the Ryots share he should have the "Ambarum" kept in deposit upon sufficient security. The Collector then held proceedings on the 12th February Fusly 1242 after perusing the said summary Complaint that I should recover the amount of Ambarum thro' the Civil Court and that the Grain in deposit should be made over to the Ryots who were cultivating the land. In Fusly 1252 the Ameen of Rapully caused the produce of that year to be measured, and retained the "Ambarum" share in the possession of the Ryots the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants. I then instituted a complaint in No. 961 of 1843 in the Chabrole District Moonsiff's Court against the 2d 3d 6th and 7th Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 204-1-4 being the value of the Ambarum to me in Fuslies 1246, 47, 48, 49, 50, and 52

the

13582

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the District Moonsiff held proceedings on the 31st January 1844 and dismissed the Suit on the grounds that I should if willing sue the 1st Defendant the Zemindar and other Byots mentioned in my summary Suit for the value of the produce of Fusly 1246, and for the land, and that a Suit should be laid against the cultivating Byots for the Ambarum share of the produce for the remaining Fusles. This Suit is therefore brought to recover the produce of the said land from Fusly 1246 to Fusly 1253 together with the land itself as follows.

. Amount recoverable from the 1st 2d 3d 4th 5th & 6th Defendants, as Ambarum share of the produce of Fusly 1246 - 114 - 8 - 9

Sellama Paddy 3 Candies - -
Deduct Byots share 1½ Candy -
Remaining 1½ Candy . Ambarum which at the rate of 22 Rupees per Candy — 33 " .

	Candies	Tooms	M.	
Jonnaloo	5	15	9	deduct
Byots share	2	17	12½	re-
maining Ambarum	2	17	12½	at
the rate of 26 Rupees per Candy				76-8-9

Coondooloo ½ Candy - Byots share ¼ Candy - Ambarum ¼ Candy at 20 Rupees per Candy — 5 - -

114 8 9

Amount.

13583

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

. Amount recoverable from the 2d 3d 4th 5th 6th and 7th Defendants, value of the . Ambarum after deducting the Byots share of the produce from 1247 to Fusly 1252 (6 years) excepting Fusly 1251 in which there was no produce therefore I have to get only for 5 years — 89 8 7

. Ambarum for Fusly 1247 -

Jonnaloo — 8 . Maunds - deduct Byots share 4 . Manekas - — F. M. " 4

	Tooms	M.
remaining . Ambarum		
Channagooloo	7 —	12
Deduct Byots share	3 —	14 -
Remaining Ambarum		3 14 -
Paddy	12 —	8
Deduct Byots share	6 —	4 -
Remaining . Ambarum	6	4

F. M. 10 6

. Ambarum for Fusly 1248

Jonnaloo 4 Candies — . . . . . deduct — Candies
Byots share - 2 C. remaining — 2 -
Pepalloo - 7 Manekas - deduct Byots
3½ Manekas - remaining — 3½
Candies — 2 3½

. Ambarum for Fusly 1249 -

Paddy - 1 Candy - 15 Tooms - Deduct —
Byots share 17 Tooms - 8 Manekas.
Remaining — Tooms M. 17 - 8 .

Jonnaloo 12 Tooms - Deduct Byots share 6 Tooms - remaining — 6 " .

Condooloo - 5 Tooms 10 Manekas - Deduct Byots share - 2 - 13 — remaining 1 Candy — 2 13 / 6 6

. Ambarum

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

Ambarum for 1250 Fusly.

	@	F.	M.	G.
Jonnaloo	3	15	9	
Deduct Ryots share	1	17	12½	
Remaining Ambarum	1	17	12½	

Pesaloo 9 M. 6 Giddaloo

Deduct Ryots shares 4 — 11 — " remaining 11 Giddaloo

Candy 1 18 — 1 3.

Ambarum for Fusly 1257.

Jonnaloo 2 Candies 8 Tooms 13 Manekas 12 G.

Deduct	1	4	6	10
Remaining	1	4	6	10
Pesaloo		7	8½	
Deduct		3	4	
Remaining	3	11	12	

Candy 1 8 2 10

Grand Total 7 8 2 5

Particulars

	@	F.	M.	G.
Jonnaloo altogether	10	16	14	12
Deduct Ryots Share	5	8	7	6
Remaining	5	8	7	6
Chennagaloo		7	12	"
Deduct Ryots share		3	14	"
Remaining		3	14	"
Red paddy		2	7	8
Deduct Ryots Shares		1	3	12
Remaining		1	3	12

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in the year under review is represented to have been produced by an arbitrary mode of Assessment introduced by the Tahsildar, the subject as brought to notice in the papers before Government is incomplete and demands further investigation and report. The impropriety of the Tahsildar's proceeding in the matter without authority is unquestionable, but it is presumed there must have been reasons to have influenced that Officer in fixing an uniform rate on each plough instead of observing the previous classification, and His Lordship in Council is of opinion that he should be called upon for an explanation. The Government do not understand upon what principles the original rates of Assessment were previously regulated or applied nor what the Board intend in proposing to form a correct settlement on principles more in accordance with justice and equity.-

Para 17. 9. His Lordship in Council will be happy to have before him the report called for respecting the increase in the number of cultivating ryots, and the

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

the large number who have given up that mode of occupation.-

Para 21. 10. For the reasons assigned by the Principal Collector of Salem, and agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, His Lordship in Council Sanctions the remission of the sum of Rupees 285-11-10 (Two hundred and eighty five, Annas Eleven and Pice Ten) outstanding against the Ryots of the Village of Oravandoor, and for the sum of Rupees 158-8-8 (One hundred and fifty eight, annas eight and Pice eight) stated to have

Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

have been irregularly collected from them.-

Para 22. 11. His Lordship in Council will defer entering on the subject of lands cultivated under wells contructed at the Ryots expense, until the report on the general question has been submitted by the Board of Revenue as required in the proceedings of Government dated 17th June 1845.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.-

The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 28th August 1845.-

(6-7)

Mr. Lockhart's attention is called to Paras 5-7-9- and 10 and he will be pleased to furnish the explanation called for by Government in Para 8.-

Extract

13536 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

From the Secy. to Government

(8)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 13th October 1845.-

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 4th September 1845 No. 549)

Agreeably to the recommendation
of the Collector of South Arcot and of the
Board of Revenue the Most Noble the
Governor in Council is pleased to grant
authority for the Share of the Civil Vil-
-lages and other emoluments enjoyed by
the late Mosttoo Aeekub Naick Canilgaro
of Trivandapooram being continued to his
adopted son Balala Achal Naick.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.-

(8-9)

Resolved that a copy of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Con-
-sultation be transmitted for the information
and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot.
in Cons. 4th Sept. 1845. with reference to his letter dated 13th August
last.-

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1845.

(10)

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 592)

The Most Noble the Governor
in

13537 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

in Council authorizes the acting Collector
of Guntoor to file the proposed answer to
the Suit instituted by certain inhabitants of
Moondraidoo in the Vaisareddy Zemindary
against the Abkarry renters of the Village,
in which he has been made a party, and
to conduct the defence at the expense of that
Estate.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon.-

(10-11)

Ordered to be communicated for
the information and guidance of the
Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor
in Cons. 22nd Sept. 1845. with reference to Mr. Mules letter dated 18th
September last.-

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 18th October 1845.-

(12)

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 595)

The Most Noble the Governor in
Council sanctions the Estimate recommended
by the Board for making up 50 new boxes required
by the Collector of Tanjore for remitting treasure
amounting to Rupees (700) Seven hundred.-

DESTROYED

(True Extract
(signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government.

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered.

13538 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

Order thereon-

(12 - 13)

In Cons. 15th Sept. 1845.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore, with reference to his letter dated 30th August last.-

From the Sec.y to Government

(14)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th October 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 25th September 1845 No. 597.

Under the circumstances stated the Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Charge of Rupees 316-15-) Three hundred and Sixteen, and annas fifteen, incurred by the Collector of Tinnevelly on account of the Sayer Establishment Kept up in that District from 1st to 10th April 1844.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon-

(14 - 15)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 19th September last.-

In Pro. 25th Sept.r 1845.-

Extract.-

13539 Fort St. George 20th October 1845.-

From the Sec.y to Government

(16)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th October 1845.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 22d September 1845 No. 582.

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Sums disbursed in Fuslies 1249 to 1254 on account of Sibbendy and Saderwared for arranging the Native records of the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Tinnevelly amounting to Rupees 1034-7-1 one thousand and thirty four annas seven and Pice one, and grants authority for the expenditure of the Balance of the Sanctioned Estimate, Viz.t Rupees 140-8-11 one hundred and forty annas eight and Pice eleven, for completing the remaining portion of the work.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secretary to Government.-

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon-

(16 - 17)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector

13085. Fort St. George 20th October 1845.

83 — to the Secretary to the Military Board.

From the Coll.r of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for

94 — 1844/45 rec.d to 8th October 1845.

From the Coll.r of Nellore — Stating that no persons were either tried or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of

35 — September last.

From the Coll.r of Nellore — Stating that no revenue defaulters were either confined or released from Jail for the Quarter ending

86 — 30th September last.

From the Coll.r of Tanjore — Abstract of Demand, Collection, and

87 — Balance for the Month of August last.

From the Coll.r of Tanjore — Statement shewing the average Bazar

88 — wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

From the Coll.r of Tanjore — Register of the state of the River &c

89 — Cauvery at the Annicut for the Month of August 1844/45.

From the Coll.r of Tanjore — Return of persons charged with Offences

90 — under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

From the Coll.r of Tanjore — Stating that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of September last.

" W. Davy

" A. Maclean

" Goldingham