4842/77

N° 34

B. 1984

1984

6607/88

11967 Fort St. George — September 1845.

Diary

25th Septr. Sent the following letter.

(1)

To The Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

To, The Collr. of Bellary. } In Cons: 1st Sept. 1845.

With reference to your letter of the 14th August last, No. 45 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to authorize you to disburse the arrears of Yeomiah due to Conara charry amounting to Rupees 147-10-6 and to continue the payment of his allowance.

From Govt. 20th Septr. 1845 No. 1117 In Cons: 22d Do.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th September 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

25th Septr. Sent the following letter.

(2)

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

To The Collr. of Malabar. } In Cons: 4th Septr. 1845

With reference to your letter dated 27th August last, No. 91, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the sum of Rupees 602-8-1 being one moiety of the Ferry farm on the Mahé River for fusly 1254 paid by you to the Chief of Mahe.

From Govt. 20th Sept. 1845 No. 1120 in Cons: 22d Sept.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th September 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received

11968 Fort St. George — September 1845.

26th Sept. Received the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

3

From the Collr. of Tanjore. — }

Sir, Fort St. George.

As the small supply of Stationery articles now on hand will be almost all expended by the end of October next, I have the honor to request the directions of the Board to the Superintendent of Stationery to dispatch to my Cutcherry at Negapatam without delay the undermentioned articles in advance, to be deducted from my Indent for 1846. The annual supply is never furnished earlier than February or March of every year.

Tanjore
Negapatam
23. September 1845.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Royal Paper	Quires	Ten
Demy Do.	Do.	Fifteen
Long Post Do. Thin	Do.	Fifteen
Quarter Do. Do.	Do.	Fifteen
Foolscap Do.	Do.	Twenty
Kingsarm	Do.	Twenty
Pencils	No.	Twenty
Ink Powder	No.	Twenty

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

The

11969 Fort St. George — September 1845.

Resolution thereon. The Board understand that it is not usual to supply articles of Stationery in advance to be deducted from the annual Indent. If the articles are urgently required the Collector will be pleased to submit an additional Indent for sanction making a corresponding deduction in his Indent for 1846.

3-4

At

11970 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member

Monday. 29th September 1845. } A. Maclean Esqre. acting Second Member.

J. Goldingham Esqre. acting Third Member.

Minutes of the last meeting read & approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th and entered in Consultation 22d. Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

5

Sir,

With reference to the observations of Government in Para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1845 No. 1108. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that it appears from the answer in the original suit No. 288† of 1840 submitted to Government 1st April 1841 in the letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 15th March of that year and herewith again forwarded, that the Plaintiffs in the above suit were allowed in Fusly 1242. to hold certain waste land on grass rent but that in Fusly 1248. offers were received from another party

† Note of the Zillah Court file afterwards 142 of 1843 on that of the Principal Sudr Ameen.

In Cons. 22d. Sept. 1845

In Cons. 1st April 1841

11971 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

party to take the land for cultivation upon on increased rent according to the Cowlenamah of the District and the Plaintiffs having signified in writing their unwillingness to hold the land on the terms proposed it was made over to the proposer on his agreeing to pay the progressive Cowle rent. The Board beg to state that it is the practice of Coimbatore that parties holding on grazing tax pasture land in excess of that allowed them in proportion to their regular holdings are bound to relinquish it or pay the cultivation assessment on other parties proposing to cultivate it. In the case now referred to the Plaintiff had no putent and only took the grass land in question with a view to escape payment of house tax.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th and entered in Consultation 25th Instant.

Ordered that the following letter and Circular be sent in consequence.

To,

To

The Acting Sub Collector
in charge of the
Southern Division
of Arcot.

(6)

Sir,

To the Actg Sub Collr S. D. of Arcot.

I am instructed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be good enough to submit for the information of Government the original sunnud relating to the Enam lands claimed by Syed Anjeem Kandry and Syed Ahmed referred to in Mr. Davis' letter of the 20th July last and to report whether the Durgah for the maintenance of which a portion of the Enam was granted still exists and whether any) and what expense is incurred in keeping it up.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Circular.

Circular to all Collrs and the Commissioner at Kurnool.

(7)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue under instructions from Government to request that in all future communications on the subject of resumption or continuance of Enam lands or other allowances any original sunnuds relating to them which may be forthcoming together with translations may be invariably furnished. In the event also of such enams having been originally granted wholly or in

in part for the support of any religious or charitable institutions it should be explained whether such institutions, still exist and to what extent the expences attendant upon them are defrayed from the proceeds of such Enams. In cases wherein the continuance of any personal grants may be recommended, the Collector should state the age of the party in whose favor the application may be made the number of persons dependant upon him for support and whether he has any and what means of subsistence beyond the allowances applied for.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

(8)

From the Chief Secy to Government transmitting a copy of paras 2 & 3 of a General letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 22d January 1845 No 1 and requesting the Boards attention to the necessity of introducing a reform in all the Districts where the Police continues inefficient.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th June 1845.

The Most Noble the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors. dated 22d January 1845 — No. 1.

Para 1. The Honorable Court will have observed from the proceedings reported in the letters noted below that the Government have had under review the

the improvement of the Police Establishments generally and that measures to that end have been adopted in Salem, North Arcot and Coimbatore, while arrangements are in progress for the Districts of Nellore and Cuddapah and that a reference has been made to the Government of India for the improvement of the Police of the Trichinopoly District. The only difficulty, and the Cause of delay, as the Honorable Court are aware, is the expence of the necessary reforms. a Copy of paras 2 & 3 will be furnished to the Board of Revenue, and their attention drawn to the necessity of introducing into all the Districts where the Police continues inefficient, the reform contemplated in the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 12th May 1843 No. 223.

Extract — from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors.

Dated 22d January 1845 No. 1

Para 2.

As on former occasions we have still to remark that the operation of the system of Village Police in different districts is so unequal as obviously to call for investigation. We therefore desire that your attention may be particularly given to the observations on this subject conveyed to you in our Despatch of the 19th of February 1845 No. 2.

3.

We observe that the Fowzdary Adawlut have very strongly represented the defective nature of the Police Establishments and have urged that they should undergo the systematic examination of the Board of Revenue who are possessed of full information regarding them. We desire that an object of such essential importance to the well being of the community may not be neglected nor unnecessarily delayed.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secy.

8-9

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Extract

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1845.

Read the following letter.

To
J. F. Thomas Esqre.
Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying copy of a Note to my address from His Highness the Nawaub of the Carnatic under date the 6th Instant, representing that the Collector of North Arcot, has summoned the Circar & Officer, employed at Ismail Coopum &c. (the Villages granted as Jagheer for the support of the Mosques at Madras) to attend at his Cutcherry and to furnish the accounts of those Villages. His Highness further represents that as it has not been customary hitherto to require any accounts of the said Villages, he requests that instructions may be conveyed by his Lordship the Governor to the Collector of North Arcot to withdraw such summons.

2 With reference to this application upon the part of His Highness, I have the honor to report that the Villages of Ismail Coopum and Shayanapoorum appear to have been first bestowed in Jagheer by the Nawaub Oomdut Ool Oomrah, as

as an endowment for the support of the Wallajahee and Auwaree Mosques at Triplicane - Further that upon the transfer of the Civil and Military Government of the Carnatic to the East India Company, in the year 1801, this Jagheer was resumed; but at the earnest request of the Nawaub Azeemul Dowlah, who had then succeeded to the Musnud of the Sooba-daree of Arcot this grant in the year 1802 was renewed.-

3. In the year 1806 the subject of this grant would appear to have been brought under dis-cussion, in Consequence of Mr. H. Graeme the then Principal Collector of North Arcot having contested the right of a new Mootoowullee of the Mosques above named, to a continuance of the immunities of this grant.

4 Upon the death of this individual (the Mootoowullee previously in charge of the Mosques above named) in the Year 1822, His Highness the Nawaub applied to Government for a continuance of the endowment in favor of Hafiz Ghoolam Ma-homed, the son of the deceased Mootoowullee, and the person now in charge of these Mosques.-

5 In the Month of December of that Year, the Principal Collector of the Northern Division of Arcot would appear to have been instructed to enter

enter the Villages of Ismail Coopum and Shaynapoo-ram, in his Enam Register as a Jagheer granted as an endowment for the Wallajahee and Auwaree Mosques to be administered by Hafiz Ghoolam Mahomed, so long as he should retain the situation of Mootoowullee of the said Mosques under the orders of His Highness the Nawaub of the Carnatic.-

Letter from Mr. Chief Secretary Wood to Major Mr. Donald Govern-ment Agent at Chepauck, dated 27th December 1822.-

6 This individual is reported to me by His Highness the Nawaub to be still alive, but his title has lately been changed from that of Aazum Nawaz Khan Bahader to that of Touliat Khan Bahader, as reported in His Highness Note enclosed in my letter to your address under date the 10th February last.-

(signed) M. J. Rowlandson.-
Government Agent.

Government Agent's Office
10th September 1845.-

His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic &ca &ca &ca &ca &ca presents his Compliments to the Government Agent and begs to state for the information of his good friend The Most Noble the Governor, that the Collector of North Arcot has summoned the Circar Officers employed at Ismail Coopum &ca (the Villages granted as Jaghire for the support of the Mosques

Mosque at Madras) to attend at his Cutcherry — and to bring with them the accounts of those Villages accompanied by the Coriacopillay's and the Heads of the Villages, as it has not been customary hitherto to require any accounts of the said Villages His Highness trusts Captain Rowlandson will be pleased to communicate the same to His Lordship, and obtain necessary Instructions to the Collector of North Arcot to withdraw the summons above referred to.—

Chepauk Palace
6th September 1845.

(Signed) Mahmood Ally
Secy to His Highness the Nabob

(A true Copy)

(Signed) M. S. Rowlandson
Government Agent

Resolved that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with instructions to call upon the Acting Collector of North Arcot to explain the Cause of his interference with the affairs of the Villages referred to.—

(True Extract & Copies)

(Signed) J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary

Resolved.

Resolution thereon,

10-11

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of its enclosure be transmitted to the Acting Collector of North Arcot who will be pleased to furnish the information required by Government as early as possible.—

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

Transmitting Extract from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 23d July No. 9 of 1845.

Extract from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 23d July No. 9 of 1845.

Marine letter No. 6, dated 9th July 1844.

Transmitting a report on the Teak forests of Malabar and Canara and requesting that a skilful Arboriculturist be sent from Europe to take charge of the forests.—

12

The most important information contained in this Report relates to the forests of Canara which more careful examination has shewn to be much more valuable than had been supposed. They are said to be capable of yielding annually from 3000 to 4000 Candies of timber fit for shipbuilding or about one half of the average quantity annually required for the Public Service both

No. 337

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1845.—

Resolved that paras 2 & 4 of this despatch be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 9th April 1844 No. 105, and they be instructed to adopt if practicable the suggestion contained in the 3d para for the preservation of the Forests of Canara.—

both at Bombay and Madras as another source of supply has been discovered in the districts of Northward of Bombay it will probably be practicable to obtain sufficient quantities of timber without having recourse to Malabar, and time may be allowed for the renovation of the denuded woodlands of that province.—

3. In order to secure this important object as well as to preserve the forests of Canara from exhaustion nothing more seems to be necessary than to employ active, intelligent men as Conservators with a sufficient number of Natives under them to protect the forests from depredations fire and other injuries, to clear away the jungle select the trees fit for felling, and to plant seedlings in place of those removed.—

4 With respect to the question of sending an Arboriculturist from Europe the particulars stated in the papers before us only confirm our opinion that such a measure was unnecessary. Without repeating our objections to it we may remark that almost the only advantage which it could possibly afford would be the advice of a scientific person upon the best means of causing teak seed

seed to germinate. The difficulties experienced in that respect in Malabar have now however been overcome, and we have no doubt that earlier success would have been obtained if enquiries had been made respecting the course pursued in Travancore Guzerat and other parts of India where the culture of the teak tree seems to be better understood.—

(True Extracts)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.—

12-13

Order thereon.— Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

(Read again in Cons. 2d Oct.)

14

(From the Secy. to Government.)

Transmitting Extract from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 2d April 1845 No. 4 with the resolution of Government thereon.—

Read the following Extracts.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 14th July 1845.—

The Most Noble the Governor in Council proceeds to take into consideration the letter in this Department from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 2d April 1845. No. 4.—

Para 1. Resolved that a copy of this Dispatch be furnished for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue with instructions to communicate to Mr. Inglis the orders relating to him.—

2 The Board of Revenue will give their attention to the suggestions of the Honorable Court conveyed

11982

Fort St. George 29 September 1845.—

conveyed in para 2 and report to Government the arrangements they may make for giving effect to them.—

3. Their attention is particularly requested to the observation in para 4 of the importance of the regular and speedy transmission of the Collectors annual reports. The Government have before them a Statement[o] of the settlement Reports for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 which have been disposed of by the Board and there are grounds to believe that but few remain in their hands for review.—

[o] Dy to Cons. 13th May 1845. Nos 667 & 692.

4 The Board have not yet furnished the further Return of arrears of Business of their Office which was required in para 2 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation 20th September 1844 No 955 to be laid before Government at the close of the year, and it is observed that in a letter dated 8th April 1845 their attention was called to the several requisitions for information on important subjec referred for their consideration during the last 6 years on which their sentiments had not been submitted to Government.—

[*] Cons. 8th April 1845. No 64.

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secy to Govt.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.—

E.P.

11983 14-15

Order thereon.— From the Secy to Government.— Conveying orders on certain charges retrenched from the Collector of Ganjam for Fusly 1252.—

16

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th September 1845.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

Submitting a correspondence with the Acting Collector of Ganjam having reference to certain charges for Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1842/43) retrenched by the Civil Auditor, with the remarks of the Board on the several items.—

(Here enter 14th July 1845. No 452).—

The Most Noble the Governor in Council proceeds to pass orders on the several charges retrenched from the Accounts of the Collectorate of Ganjam, for Fusly 1252 and submitted by the Board of Revenue with their remarks in the Extract from the re-audits of the Civil Auditor.—

Item No 33 Rs 14 Paid to Cassooroo Vasacharlo.

This being a grant for the performance of Service in the Pagoda of Sree Aunjavayasamy at Chicacole, Sanction is granted for the amount disbursed in Fusly 1252,— but the Board of Revenue will ascertain and report whether in dissolving connexion with the Pagoda for which this grant was made, the Government did not relinquish revenue which was formerly received into the Treasury of the Collector, and if so, whether it would not be proper that the Pagoda itself should now be made to bear all its expenses of whatever nature they may be.—

The

No. 34. Rupees 8 – – Paid to Fareed Bebee
" 35. Rupees 49 – 10 – 6. Paid to Mahomed Sillar
" 36 Rupees 48 – – Paid to Enoomoolah Paupah.

The allowances to these individuals having been sanctioned by Government under date the 1st of April 1815 as per Statements No. 20 and 22 furnished by the Collector for Fusly 1223. The Civil Auditor will continue to pass them under the instructions conveyed to him in para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 24th November 1818.

+ 20 Compensation in lieu of resumed Offices and Privileges. per Annum.
Mahomed Sillar Sr. Pays 14 – 8 – 34.
Fareed Bebee Sr. Pays 2 – 12 – 68.
22 Charitable allowance per Annum.
Enoomoolah Paupah Sr. Pays 13 – 32 – 8.

Vide Para 3 of Circular instructions to Collrs dated 8th Feby 1819 approved by Govt. 4th March 1819.

No. 815 and letter date 4th March 1819. The sum payable to the parties, extracted from the Registers above referred to, are noted in the Margin.

No. 37. Rs. 2 – 6 – Paid to Punjah Kush.

This payment being in excess of the allowance sanctioned by Government under date the 1st April 1815 as per Extract from the Statement of Charitable allowance for Fusly 1223. The Board will call upon the Acting Collector to explain how the difference has resulted, should they themselves be unable to afford the explanation.

+ No. 22. Punjah Kush Fus. 19 – 6 per Annum.

No. 38 Rs. 2240 – 15 – 5. Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands and privileges.
No. 39 Rs. 1605 – 3 – 8. Pagoda and Mosque allowances.

The payments under the first of the heads noted in the Margin being in excess of the fixed amount annually payable on that account, explanation is

is required of the cause of the difference before sanction is granted for the actual disbursements in Fusly 1252. The allowances particularized in Statements No. 3 and 4 furnished by the Acting Collector under date the 10th April 1845 corresponding with the amount sanctioned on the 4th March 1819 (exclusive of the endowments to Pagodas &ca in the Goomsur Zemindary) the Civil Auditor will continue to pass them under the instructions communicated in Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th November 1818 No. 815 and letter 4th March 1819.

+ No. 3 Rs. 2164 – 4 – –
" 4 – 1631 – 4 – –
Total Rs. 3795 – 8 – –

Estimated charges for Fusly 1228	Rupees 7,647 – 5 – 6.
Deduct endowments to Pagodas in the Goomsur Zemindary	Rupees 3,851 – 13 – 6.
Balance	Rupees 3795 – 8 – –

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Government.

15 – 17

Order thereon.
(Read again in Cons. 2d October.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

From the Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th September 1845.

18

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here Enter 21st Augt. 1845 No. 525)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Grants authority for writing off in the accounts of the Masulipatam Collectorate the balance due by the Sayer renters of certain Chokies for Fusly 1252 now reported to be irrecoverable amounting to Rupees (536 – 15 – 1) Five hundred and thirty six Annas fifteen and Pie one.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt. Resolved.

Resolution thereon.-

(18-19)

Resolved that a copy of the fore-going Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his letter dated 6th August last No. 83.-

In Cons 21st Augt. 1845.

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th September 1845.-

(20)

Read the following Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here Enter 21st Augt. 1845. Nos. 43 & 550)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sees no reason for acceding to the application on behalf of Mr. Burelle St. Hilaire and Mr. Audibert, which have formed the subject of the above correspondence, and is of opinion that in both cases the Provisions of Act IX of 1845 should be adhered to.- His Lordship in Council believes that one of the objects of the new duty is to keep inferior Wines out of the Country.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secy. to Government.-

To

The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon.-

(20-21)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letters dated 6th and 14th August 1845. Nos. 45 & 46.-

Extract.

From the Secy. to Government.

Transmitting Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 5th Instant on Commns. letters from the late Commanding Officer 15th Regt. N. I. respecting his objection to the payment of certain charges for Carriage hire.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th September 1845.-

Read

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department under date the 5th September 1845.-

Read the following letter.-

To

Lieut. Colonel C. A. Browne

Secretary to Government

Military Department

(22)

Sir,

With reference to the concluding Para of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 3092. dated the 11th Ultimo, I have the honor by desire of His Excellency the Most Noble the Commander-in-Chief to forward for the information of Government, the accompanying Copy of a letter from Lieutenant Colonel Justice, affording the explanation called for in the Minute above referred to.-

Fort St. George, 22d August 1845.-

(Signed) W. Strahan Lt. Col.

Quarter Master Genl.

To. The Quarter Master General of the Army

Fort St. George.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of communication from the

Deputy

Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army, calling on me by order of His Excellency the Commander in Chief for an explanation with reference to a Statement made by the Principal Collector of Madura, No. 110 of the 6th May 1845.-

The Collector in his leading Para states. I refer in proof that the Officer Commanding the 15th Regiment perfectly understood, and tacitly, but fully consented to the Terms &c. &c., but in reference to correspondence quoted by Mr. Blackburne in support of this affirmation, my letter / Copy of which I regret I have not, but the records of the 15th Regiment can furnish it / to him of the 22d January 1845 appear to have been lost sight of, for it is directly stated in that reply, to the charges made for the carriage alluded to, that I should consider it my duty to submit the same for the decision of higher authority, and moreover the Principal Collector was perfectly aware at the time that till I have the decision of Government they would not be paid.-

2. My objection to the payment will be also my reply to Paras 3 and 4 of Mr. Blackburne's Letter.-

3. At the time alluded to the 15th Regiment was encamped within three hundred Yards of the Town of Madura, adverting to the locality of which, the Principal Collector cannot deny that upwards of eight thousand Bandies were within half a Mile of my Camp, and which are believe all duly registered by the District authorities.- My Regiment required four hundred and twenty serviceable Bandies. Mr. Blackburne sent me six hundred. three hundred and fifty only were taken the remainder being perfectly useless, the deficiency being supplied from my old or Finically Carriage. I here beg leave to state that I saw every one of the Bandies thus provided for my Regiment, I likewise saw every Bandy delivered over to man or Officer to whom allotted- and touching the mal-treatment of Bandy men, who were also subject to discipline, all complaints &c. were adjusted by myself with the assistance sometimes of my Quarter Master. the reason of my entering into this detail, is to shew that I knew from whence every Bandy came, I had in my Camp Bandies from

every

every part of this Presidency, some pressed. others consenting to accompany the Regi: =ment, I had not many from Madura, the greater portion having been collected from the distant Talooks, and to these Bandies thus relieving those furnished by the Collector of Tinnevelly and which were also brought from the distant Talooks of their District, my Regiment is called upon to pay this extra charge, my objection to the payment is that Mr. Thomas never made the charge whilst his rate of hire was lower and his carriage superior.-

Mr. Blackburne complains- "that "I did not send in my men to Madura to "make Bargains for Carriage which might "have been done from their previous connec: =ion with the inhabitants"- The interests of my men I found best, consulted when every thing was done publickly by my order, and for which I was responsible, and if the Collector could not succeed in procuring Bandies in Madura it may be presumed my men would not have been more successful, and certainly not from their con: =nexion with the people two hundred and fifty of its inhabitants having so recently been

been made Prisoners by these very men, when called out by these very authorities here complaining of me, the Commanding Officer, for not allowing the Sepoy so situated to make his own Bargain- the advantages of which in the Madura District I must always consider very questionable and likely to lead to endless complaints but in the Town itself the poor Sepoy, versus the native authorities, how could I accept of assistance like this.-

In conclusion it becomes my duty to state that my objection to this extra charge, was never founded on the principle that it was not strictly due, but that the Sepoy under the circumstances mentioned, should be made liable to it by Mr. Blackburne and not by Mr. Thomas is a question respectfully sub: =mitted for the decision of my Superior.-

Nizanagrum, 5th August, 1815.

/Signed/ William Justice, Lt. Col.
late Commanding 15th
Regiment N. I.-

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ J. H. Scott Lieutenant
Dy. Asstt. Qr. Mr. Genl.
of the Army.-

Ordered to be communicated to the

11992 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

the Revenue Department, with reference to Minutes of Consultation 28th June 1845. No. 773.—

(Signed) C. A. Brown, Lt. Col.
Secy. to Government.

Referred to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter dated 16th June 1845 No. 380.—

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Secy. to Government.

Order thereon.— Ordered to be communicated

(22-23)

to the Principal Collector of Madura for early report with reference to his

In Cons. 22d May 1845. letter dated 6th May 1845.—

Extract.

11993 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

From the Secy. to Govt.— Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d September 1845.—

(24)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 1st Septr. 1845 No. 546.)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Revised Estimate received with the foregoing letter, amounting to Rupees (17-4-0) Seventeen and annas four.—

(A true Extract)

To The President & members of the Board of Revenue.— (Signed) E. P. Thompson.
Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore.—

Sir,

To the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore.— In Cons. 1st Septr. 1845.

(24-25)

From Govt. 23d Septr. 1845. No. 1137 in Cons. 29th Septr.

With reference to your letter of the 11th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the revised Estimate amounting to Rupees 17-4-0 for making badges for the Duffadar and Peons of the Joint Magistrate's Office at Ootacamand.—

Revenue Bd. Office, Fort St. George, 29th September 1845.— (Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Ordered.

Read the following Extracts.-

From the Secy. to Govt.- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th September 1845.-

(26)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 14th August 1845 No. 512)

As it appears from the foregoing report and correspondence accompanying that the Widow Seetamah is in possession of Enam lands sufficient for her support, the Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Shrotriem village should at once be resumed especially as she is unable to produce any valid title on which she now holds it. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to instruct the Collector accordingly.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson.

Secretary to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.-

(26-27)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 25th July last.-

In Cons 14th Augt. 1845.-

Mr. Davis will resume the Shotrium Village referred to and incorporate it with the Government lands but the

the Enam lands are to be left in the enjoyment of Seetamah for her life.-

From the Secy. to Govt.- } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 26th September 1845.-

(28)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 11th August 1845 No. 504)

Resolved that the following Endorsement on Petition No. 1712 be communicated to the Petitioner through the Board of Revenue, and that the above correspondence be transmitted to the Military Department in view to the consideration of his Excellency the Commander in Chief being solicited to the observations contained in para 7 of the Acting Agent's letter.-

"The Petitioners are informed that Government having ascertained that the ground occupied by them belongs to the Proprietor of Askah, and that the demand made by him for rent is just, decline to interfere further in the matter."

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson.

Secy. to Government

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Resolution thereon.-

(28-29)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Ganjam

11096 Fort St.a George 29.th.a September 1845.-

In Cons.n 4.th.a Aug.t 1845. Ganjam with reference to his letter dated 16.th.a July last

Mr.t Smollett will be pleased to com:
=municate to the Petitioners the order of Governm:
=ment on their Petition.-

From the Sec.ta to Gov.t - Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 27.th.a September 1845.-

30

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 8.th.a Sept.r 1845 No.554)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council concurs in the opinion expressed by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter and resolves to communicate the same to the Military Department in reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in that Department dated 29.th.a July 1845 No.3385.-

(A true Copy)
(Signed) E.P. Thompson
Sec.ta to Government.-

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue.-

Order thereon.-

31-31

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following Statements.-

Statements.

11097

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred on account of additional Esta-
=blishments employed in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1254.-

(32)

Description of Servants	Estimated for Fusly 1254: Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of Employment	Estimated Charges for Fusly 1254	Actual Charges for Fusly 1254	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Increase	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Decrease	Dates of previous Correspondence in which the employment of similar Esta-=blishments has been authorized	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		C.Rs.	C.Rs.		C.Rs. A.P.	C.Rs. A.P.	C.Rs. A.P.	C.Rs. A.P.		
Land Revenue. Sibbendy Establishment										
Sidar	1	40	40		480 - -				Extracts from the Minutes of Con-=sultation under dates the 27th October 1836 and 11th April and 5th July 1837.	The Decrease under Subbendy Establishment arises partly from the cause assigned to the Increase in the Estimate on this account for Fusly 1255 and partly from the actual Charges on account of Sadarwaid and Dresses for the above having been excluded from this Statement, as per Instructions from the Board dated 13th February 1845. For the Decrease in Salt Revenue Vide Remark to the Estimate on this account for Fusly 1255.
Jamadar	1	16	16		192 - -					
Duffadars	6	10	60		720 - -					
Naib Do	6	7	42	From 1st	504 - -					
Naigues	6	5½	33	July 1844 to 30th June 1845	396 - -					
Peons	100	4½	450		5400 - -					
Jemadah	1	15	15		180 - -					
Sadarwaid	-	-	-		300 - -					
Dresses for the above Peons &c.	-	-	-		935 - -					
Total	121	656	9107	- -	7458 - 4	- - -	1,648 15 8			
Salt Revenue. Peons and Measures &c.	38	2½	95	From 1st April to 31st July 1845	380 - -					
Do — Do	8	2½	20	Do 1st Oct. 1844 to 30 June 45	160 - -					
Peon	1	2½	2½	For January and February 1845	5 - -					
Do	1	2½	2½	Dec. April and May 1845	5 - -					
Total	48	120	550	- -	540 12 5	- - -	9 3 7			
Grand Total Company's Rupees	169	776	9657	- -	7998 12 8	- - -	1,658 3 3			

Ganjam, 18th September 1845. E. E. Jr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Statement

11998 (33) Fort St. George 29th September 1845.-

Statement exhibiting the Actual Charges incurred on account of Additional Establishments employed in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1254.-

Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1254: Number of Servants	Rates of Pay	Amount of Pay	Period of employment	Estimated Charges	Actual Charges for Fusly 1254	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Increase	Decrease	Dates of previous Correspondence in which the Employment of Similar Establishments has been authorized.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		CRs.	CRs.		CRs. A. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.		
Land Revenue Paymash Establishment Goomastahs including Contingent Charges &c.	8	18 3/4	150	From 1st July 1844 to 30th June 1845	1800 " "	67 3 5	" " "	1732 12 7	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th December 1834.	For the Decrease in Pymash Establishment Vide Remark to the Estimate on this account for Fusly 1255.-

Ganjam - - - - - }
18th September 1845 } E. E. Fr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector.

Statement

11999 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.- 1

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of Additional Establishments in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1255.- (34)

Description of Servants	Estimate for Fusly 1255.- Number of Servants	Rates of Pay	Amount of Pay	Proposed period of Employment	Estimated Charges	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1254	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Increase	Decrease	Dates of previous Correspondence in which the employment of Similar Establishments has been authorized	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		CRs.	CRs.		CRs. A. P.	CRs. A. P.	CRs. a. P.	CRs. a. P.		
Land Revenue Pymash Establishment Goomastahs including Contingent Charges &c.	3	18 3/4	56 1/4	From 1st July 1845 to 30th June 1846	675 " "	67 3 5	607 12 7	" " "	Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th December 1834.	During the past year the Establishment had been in great Measure suspended from operation pending some experiments made by Mr. Anstruther as to the correctness of the measurement. Now it is proposed to continue the same in the few Agraharum Villages that remain unsurveyed in order to complete the measurement of the Government Talooks previous to measures being taken to classify and assess the lands.

Ganjam - - - - - }
18th September 1845 } E. E. Fr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

Estimate.

12,000 (35) Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishments in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1255.

Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1255: Number of Servants	Rate of Pay	Amount of Pay for the period of Employment	Estimated Charges	Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1254	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Increase	Comparison of Columns 6 and 7: Decrease	Dates of previous correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments has been authorized.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs. As.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Land Revenue Sibbendy Establishment									
Sirdar	1	40	40	480				Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation under dates the 27th October 1836 and 11th April and 5th July 1837.	This Establishment is considered necessary for the preservation of the peace of the Country and protection of the Villages in Government Estates from attacks of Gang Robbers. They are also employed in escorting Prisoners as also on special Service and the Increase in this is ascribable to certain vacancies not having been filled up immediately on their occurring during Fusly 1254. It has been repeatedly explained and admitted to be really a fixed and not a temporary Establishment, and that it does not require annual Sanction, but its insertion is continued in this Statement in Obedience to the Board's Commands.
Jamidar	1	16	16	192					
Duffadars	6	10	60	720					
Naib Do	6	7	42	504					
Naigues	6	5½	33	396					
Peons	100	4½	450	5400					
Gomastah	1	15	15	180					
Total	121		656	7872	7458 4 4	413 15 8			
Salt Revenue									
Peons and Measures &c.	38	2½	95	380					the employment of these Peons &c. is requisite for the prevention of smuggling of Salt in the different Cotaurs of this District during the period of Manufacture and the trifling Increase in it appears to call for no particular explanation.
Do Do	8	2½	20	180					
Peon	1	2½	2½	5					
Do	1	2½	2½	5					
Total	48		120	550	540 12 5	9 3 7			
Grand Total Company's Rupees	169		776	8422	7998 12 9	423 3 3			

Ganjam 18th September 1845. E. E. Jr. (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Acting Collector

12001 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Order on the 4 foregoing Statements } Ordered to lie on the table.

(32-36)

Read the following letters.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

From the Collr. of Vizagapatam } Sir,

(37)

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that there has been a general and pretty heavy fall of rain throughout this district.

Although too late to save the early dry crops which had already perished in consequence of the long drought, this rain will be of much service for the cultivation of the Wet land. It will also afford a good supply of water for men and Cattle.

Vizagapatam
Collrs. Cutcherry
8th September, 1845 } (Signed) W. E. Arbuthnot.
Collr.

Ordered

12002 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Order thereon.

(37-38)

Ordered to be recorded.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

From the Collr. of Vizagapatam

(39)

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 25th June and 25th Ultimo, requesting my opinion as to the feasibility of supplying the deficiency of Salt at one Cotar from that nearest to

2. I do not think that this system, which appears to have been successfully introduced into Canara would answer here, but I shall enter more fully into the consideration of the subject in my annual report on the Extra sources, which is now under preparation.

Vizagapatam
Collrs. Cutcherry
12th September 1845.

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collr.

Order thereon.

(39-40)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To.

12003 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George,

(41)

Sir,

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Submitting for the consideration of the Board of Revenue translation of the motion presented in the Sub-Court at Rajahmundry together with copy of the Courts' Proceedings thereon, regarding the Suits filed by the ex-proprietors of Cauzah and Mooggollah, and requesting instructions on this subject.

+ No. 2 5/2 21.

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 12th of June last, wherein the Board's orders are conveyed to me regarding the course to be pursued with reference to the suits filed in the subordinate Court at Rajahmundry by the Ex-proprietors of Cauzah and Mooggollah, I have the honor to enclose translation of the Motion presented by me with Copy of the Courts' Proceedings thereon. I trust the Board will not find in the Motion any thing which is not in accordance with the line of argument they wished to be adopted. The Court admit that there is a defect in the Regulations owing to which it would appear that the Plaintiffs are entitled to a verdict in their favor, while on the other hand they observe that the Provisions of section XVIII Regulation XXVII of 1802 might in equity be extended in their application from exrenters to exproprietors. The result of the Courts' proceedings is that "the Collector is called upon to produce the "authority on which he assumed and remitted

"remitted to the Ryots the balances due to the
exproprietors, that the subordinate Judges
"may decide whether the suit lies against
"the Ryots or whether they are absolved by
an act of the Government". As no speci-
-al authority can be shewn for remit-
-ting the balances in question, the Court
must hold that no such remission has
taken place and that the Ryots are
still liable for the amount. If in spite
of the opinion which the Court express
that the provisions of Section XVIII Regulation
XXVII of 1802 might in equity be applied
to the cases under consideration, they still
think proper to pass a Decree in favor of
the exproprietors, the consequence will be
that they will be inundated with suits
filed by all the exproprietors whose
estates have been sold on account of arrears
during the last twelve years, and the re-
-sult will be that the resources of the Ryots
will be crippled, and the revenues will
be seriously affected during the current
year. The Ryots have entered into engage-
-ments for cultivation on a scale, which if they
had known that they were liable for old
balances, they would not have undertaken. If they

fail in these engagements, the Government sustain
damage and on this ground the right of
Government to be included as Defendants,
if they think fit, may fairly be put forth,
as parties on the one hand to the transaction
with the exproprietor, and on the other to
the cultivation agreements with the Ryots,
they cannot be supposed to have acted other-
-wise than under the impression that the
old balances had all been struck off
in accordance with long sanctioned custom.

2. When the Proprietor of Pippa-
-rah whose estate was sold on account of
arrears and was bought in for Government
at a lower price than the amount of the
balance accruing, went into Court to sue
the Ryots for the balances due to her ante-
-cedently to the Zufting of her estate, the
Government who had previously remitted
to her her debts, recalled their former order
in her favor and replaced[+] her in the position
of a debtor. There could have been but one
object in this proceeding and that was to
save the Ryot from being required to pay
balances which by prescription and invari-
-able usage have been considered as finally
and freely cancelled on the occasion of an Estate
falling

[+] Vide correspondence
To Bd. 9th July 1841.
From Do. 29th Do. Do.
To Do. 10th July 1843.
From Do. 20th Do. Do.

falling into new hands when sold in satisfaction of the arrears due by the Proprietor to Government, If in the instance now quoted it was consider= ed a matter of so much moment to relieve the Ryots from the demand of the ex proprie= tor that Government rescinded a previous order by which that individual had been exempted from her liability to be sued for the balance due by her it is very desir= able that some equally decisive measure should be adopted in the present case.-

3. The Subordinate Court have granted one Month for presenting a motion as the time will expire on the 30th Instant I have the honor to request that the instruc= tions of the Board may be communicat= ted to me within that period.-

Rajahmundry
Cocanada
8th September 1845.

Signed / I. Prendergast.
No. 1. Collector

To, The Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry.

A motion from I. Prendergast Esq. Col= lector of Rajahmundry District regarding the suits filed by the late Proprietor of Cauzah and Mogoollah.-

The Government Vakeel having

furnished to the late Acting Collector copy of the Proceedings of the Sub: Court on E. P. No. 358 of 1845 under date 11th April 1845, the Collector begs leave to submit to the consideration of the Court with reference to a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 12th June 1845, that the estates of Cauzah and Mogoollah have passed by sale into the hands of Government, with all the debts due to the late Proprietors on those estates from their subtenants, consequent= ly under the provisions of Regulation XXVII 1802 they have no right to the said balances. The Collector and Government servants cannot also be responsible, as they used their best exertions during the term of attachment in fusly 1253 to collect all realizable balances, and all that was collec= ted was duly carried to the credit of the said Proprietors.-

The Subordinate Judge states in his proceedings that under Clauses V & VI Section X Regulation XXVII the Proprietors are not entitled to collect revenue from the ryots when the estates are under attachment for arrears of reve= nue, but are at liberty to collect the arrears from the ryots after the sale of the estates. To this it is to be observed

observed that since they are prohibited from collecting the revenue when the estate is held under attachment, it is clearly evi=dent that they have no right to do so when the estate is sold. The Law no where allows such exproprietors to re=cover similar balances. The said late Pro=prietors state that they brought the suits against the ryots under Section XVIII Re=gulation XXVII of 1802 but this Section applies not to land holders; but merely to farmers. Since the "Sunnud. I. Milkeyet Istem=rar" or Permanent Cowle were granted in the year 1802 the Original Zemindary estates have been separated into several portions sold for arrears of revenue and bought in on account of Government (for want of other purchasers) for the full amount of arrears in some cases for a part of the arrears in others and for more than the arrears in some others, but none of the exproprietors of such estates have ever followed the course now adopted by these Proprietors of recovering the balances from the Ryots. That course is not warranted by Regulation, and it is contrary to immemorial Custom. It is expressly provided in Section XV Regulation

XXVI

XXVI. A.D. 1802 that purchasers of estates at auction shall be declared successors to all the rights and property of former possessors in the lands. After all their right in the Estates has ceased, your Court will perceive that the exproprietors of Cauzah and Moogoollah are not entitled to bring the present suits. As a proof that Government possess the right to remit the balances due by the Ryots on estates that have come into their possession the Collector begs to state that in fusly 1254 when the Pittapoor Estate was put in possession of the Zemindar the Government received as payment of the balances certain proprietory Estates from the Zemindar and thereupon by procla=mation relieved the ryots from all further responsibility. In the same manner as the arrears of Peishcush due from Cauzah and Moogoollah Estates were not fully recovered from the amount collected from the ryots, these Estates were bought in on account of Government for the full amount of arrears, and the Proprietors were re=lieved from further responsibility: consequently they are not at liberty to recover from their former subtenants the balances outstanding

against

against them By the Laws under which the reve-
=nue system of the Country is administered, reve-
=nue is payable only to the actual Proprietor
of land. The Government who was the pur-
=chaser of the Kanzah and Moogoollah Estates
can be the only party who has a right to
recover from the ryots any arrears that may be
due from this, but Government has been pleas-
=ed to waive their right to collect them:
The Plaintiffs not being at the time pro-
=prietors of the said Estates, the revenue
is not payable to them nor are they entitled
to sue for the arrears. If Plaintiffs gain
their suit the precedent will be most de-
=trimental to the Country, for suits will be
instituted in the Court for the recovery of
all balances due from the ryots in all
the Estates that have been purchased
by Government during the last
twelve years, which balances
have virtually been remitted by the Government.

The Court observes in the
proceedings now adverted to that "the Plaintiffs
Estates was sold for the arrear before such
"sums due from the ryots to the Proprietor
"had been realized, and as the price it
fetched fully realized the Government de-

demand

"demand it is evident that had the Ryots balance
"been paid there would have been just the
"amount due by the Ryots to the former Proprie-
="tor to be refunded to the Proprietor." To this
it is answered that when the Estates were
sold by Auction there were no bidders besides
the Government, who had therefore the power
of purchasing them for a very small sum
=stead of bidding the full amount of arr-
=rears. Had this plan been adopted, the
plaintiffs being answerable for the balance
the Collector under clause 2d or 3d of Section
XII Regulation XXVII A.D. 1802, would
have been justified in throwing them
into prison, but the Government with
the utmost consideration both for the Pro-
=prietors and ryots with a view to relieve
them from any further demands authorized
the Collector to bid for each Estate the full
Amount of the arrears, and to order the Amildar
to abstain from collecting the balances from
the Ryots. The suits of these Proprietors
therefore to recover from the ryots that
which has been remitted to them are
most iniquitous.

The Collector begs the
Court to take the above reasons into
consideration and Strike from it's

file

file all suits of this nature which may have been brought into Court. With re-
spect to the Statement of the Plaintiffs that the Amildars neglected to collect the balances due from the ryots; it is to be observed, that the Tanjore Estates was attached on the 10th of April of fusly 1252 and Mogoollah on the 1st July of the same fusly the recoverable portions of the balances up to those periods were fully collected by the same Proprietors, who were in possession of the Estates in fusslies 1251 and 1252. In fusly 1253 the great floods of the Godavary caused much loss, but the Collector used every exertion to collect through the Medium of the amildars the revenue of the Estates as far as was practicable and the Amount so realized was duly credited to the Proprietors, consequently it is not just for them to sue the Amildars for not recovering more. With regard to the doubt suggested by the Court whether new purchasers have the right to collect old balances, that question does not seem to have been as yet determined judicially Government having made a practice hitherto on an Estate changing hands for arrears

arrears of revenue of remitting all balances to the ryots.

The Collector has the honor as directed by the Court to submit Extract from the Advertisement for the sale of the Estates of Tanjore and Mogoollah also copy of the form of the "Summud-i-Mil Keyet Istimrar."

22d July 1845. (Signed) V. Condiah
Govt Vakeel on be-
half of the Collr.

(True translation)
(Signed) F. Prendergast.
Collector

No 2.

Copy of the Proceedings of the Subordinate Court.

This question has arisen from a singular oversight in the Regulations and in the terms of Agreement between the Government and Proprietor, which omit all provision for the recovery of arrears of revenue from a defaulting ryot, in case where the proprietor having become a defaulter the Estate is sold for arrears of Revenue. The Plaintiff was a proprietor, not having received from his ryots the rent due upon his lands, he became a defaulter, an Ameen was deputed by the Collector who failed to collect

the

the sums due by ryots at the end of the fusly—
the Estate was sold and purchased by
Government for the full amount due by
the defaulting proprietor; the Collector
being fully satisfied for all arrears due by
the former proprietor permitted to the ryots—
all outstanding balances, the Plaintiff in
Court sues the ryots for balances due to—
him being arrears of rent accruing while
he was in posession of the Estate.

The most obvious question—
is, whether the purchaser of the Estate is
a purchaser of outstanding balances due by
ryots or what becomes of these balances—
does the former proprietor still hold his
claim upon them as debts due to him, or—
do they form part and parcel of the thing
purchased, or does the attachment or sale
cancel the obligation on the part of the
ryot.—

In his former proceedings the
Sub: Judge has fully shewn, that the
Collector by his order to the Ameen (saying
that as the Government claim had been
satisfied by the purchased of the Estate
the balances outstanding against the ryots
were not to be collected) acknowledged

that

that he had not purchased the old balances
they were evidently considered as a part
of the assets of the proprietor, from—
which any further sum due to Govern=
=ment might have been realized, but the
Government having been paid in full by
the value of the Estate did not collect
what was due to the former proprie=
=tor.— Although in the first para
of his petition the Collector asserts that
the Estate with all the debts due to the
proprietors had passed to Government as
purchaser, yet at the close of the same
petition he admits that the question of
the right of purchasers to collect old
balances has not been yet determined
judicially, "Government having made
it a practice to remit all balances to
the ryots."—

It does not appear that
Government have any title to the ba=
=lances, consequently the remission can hard=
=ly be considered fair upon the proprietor
it is obvious that the prospect of such
a remission must hold out the strongest
possible inducement to the ryot to with=
=hold payment of rent.—

In

In the 2d Para of the terms of sales of the Estate, provision is made so that all Kists of permanent Summa due to the date of sale shall be paid by the defaulting proprietor, it is an impossibility for him to pay these from the proceeds of the land unless he is allowed to collect them.-

In common equity it would appear that while the Zemindar is held responsible for all arrears of permanent Summa, his ryots should be also held bound to him for their rents for the lands upon which the Summa is due while he continues a defaulting Proprietor he is precluded from recovering arrears from his ryots himself, but such arrears are to be recovered by the Ameen and placed to his credit, his right to recover is not barred as argued by the Petitioner, but his right to recover directly in his own person is barred for a good reason, that all the rents recovered from ryots should be appropriated to the paying off the arrears of peishcush due, but after Government's demand for arrears of peishcush has been fully satisfied, the same cause does not exist

exist for debarring the exproprietor from recovering directly the sums due to him on Moochilka by ryots - If the defaulting proprietors dues were sold with the Estate, when sold for arrears, no question could arise, he would receive value for the debts due to him, but from Petitioners shewing this is not the case, the debtor is arbitrarily released, and the creditor receives obtensibly no consideration for them.-

The present is a case to which no law expressly refers, but altho' the provisions of section XVIII Regulation XXIX do not expressly apply, they might in equity be applied to the cases of defaulting proprietors. It is evident that clause 5 and 6 Section X Regulation XXIX of 1802 only refers to the time the Estate may continue in attachment.-

If the Government by an act of its own or if the Board of Revenue have by an order emanating from them appointed the right to all arrears and granted the same in gift to the defaulting ryots, the Plaintiff will have to sue, in which suit will be admitted after the necessary orders have been received, but if the act emanated from the Collector the Plaintiff may include him as Defendant in the case, the Collector

is

is required to produce the authority on which he assumed and remitted to the ryots the balances due to the exproprietor, that the Subordinate Judge may decide whether the suit lies against the ryots, or whether they are absolved by an act of the Government.

The Sub: Judge has passed over all arguments used by the Petitioner which he considers do not bear upon the question; what may have been done in other cases and what might have been done in this form no part of it, he must say he saw nothing "iniquitous" in Plaintiff's seeking to recover from the ryot according to agreement any more than in the same course followed by a more favoured Zemindar who recovers or seeks to recover balances of the former fusly. Government may have acted liberally in bidding according to custom to the full extent of the balances due at once but no condition was required nor was any requisition implied. the Sub Judge expresses no opinion on the liberality Petitioners claim credit for as it does not enter into the account had an express or even implied condition been annexed, the matter might have been otherwise.

22d July 1845.

(Signed) J. Rohde
Subordinate Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. Prendergast
Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(41-42)

To the Collr. of Rajahmundry.

In Cons. 29th Septr. 1845.

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 8th Instant not received at this Office until the 17th instant forwarding copy of the motion presented by you to the Subordinate Court of Rajahmundry and of the Proceedings of the Court thereon in which the Collector has been called upon to produce the authority on which he assumed and remitted to the ryots of the Cauzah and Mooggollah estates the balances due to the exproprietors and representing that the time allowed you by the Subordinate Court for presenting a motion on the above point will expire on the 30th Instant I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that, adverting to the importance of the question at issue and to the short time granted you for the necessary reference to the superior authorities at the Presidency you will apply to the Court for extension of one Month, within which period the Board will furnish you with full instructions for your guidance.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
29th September 1845.

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters.

(43)

From the Collr. of Masulipatam

To, J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of

12020 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

of Consultation under date 25th Ultimo received with the Board's Proceedings dated 26th of that Month, I have the honor to state that it appears from the report of the Tahsildar of the Bunder Division that Numboory Pooroosh ottama Charloo the person therein alluded to is aged 40 years and that he has no other means of livelihood besides the allowance which he was in the receipt from the Sayer Collections of Bunder.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 20th September 1845.

/Signed/ R. I. Porter
Collector.

Order thereon
/Read again in Cons 2d Octr/

43-44

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Masulipatam

Sir,
Para 1.

45

With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant, I have the honor to forward a Statement shewing the Collections of duty on Goods passing the frontier of this District into the Nizam's Dominions and vice versa, and the Charges of Collections for fuslies 1253/1843/44 and 1254/1844/45 prepared according to the form furnished.

2.

In reply to the concluding part of the letter under reply, I beg to state that in my opinion the removal of Custom Chowkies on the frontier would not have any effect on the Sea Custom Revenue whether on Exports to

or

12021 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

or Imports from the Nizam's Dominions, as there was no importation of Goods thro' the frontier during fuslies 1253/1843/44 and 1254/1844/45 for Exports by Sea.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry, 20th September 1845.

/Signed/ R. I. Porter
Collector

Statement

12023 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie on the table.

45-46

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Collr. of Masulipatam. Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 25th Ultimo, in which the Board desired to know whether there are any Estates of disqualified Proprietors which have not been taken under the Management of the Court of Wards, I have the honor to state that, except the Estates of Deevy and Moonegal already under Manage-ment of the Court from the Minority of the Proprie-tors, there is but only one Village Viz. Bullepurroo not taken under their charge, the affairs thereof under their orders having been entrusted to the Management of the family.

47

Board's Proceedings 15th April 1841 - To the Bd. 30th September 2d
Board's Proceedings 14th October Do.

2. A recent case has also occurred in which, by the death of the Proprietor of the Village Vurtullapully the right has devolved on his widow who is incapacitated by sex only but not by age. A report on the subject was forwarded on the 22d Instant, to which the Board are referred for particulars.

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 24th September 1845.

[Signed] R. J. Porter
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded. Read the following letters.

47-48

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Coll. of Nellore.

Referring to the list of articles appended

49

12022 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Statement shewing the Collections of duty on Goods imported into the District of Masulipatam from the Sayer Customs and Inwards, as well as the Charges of Collection for fasliee 1253 and 1254.

Sayer Customs and Inwards from	Charges	Collections	Charges	Charges
	Estate	Estate	Estate	Estate
	4	5	6	7
1253	1	2	3	8
	9,487	10 9	603	
	12,025	11 22	380	
1254	Total	21,512	14 8	28,480

Fasliee.

Collection of Sayer Customs and Inwards, as well as Charges of Collection for fasliee 1253 and 1254.

Amount in Sicca Rupees of Duties collected on Goods imported into the District of Masulipatam from the Sayer Customs and Inwards, as well as the Charges of Collection for fasliee 1253 and 1254.

Fasliee.	Charges	Collections	Charges	Charges
	Estate	Estate	Estate	Estate
	4	5	6	7
1253	1	2	3	8
	9,487	10 9	603	
	12,025	11 22	380	
1254	Total	21,512	14 8	28,480

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry, 24th September 1845. [Signed] R. J. Porter, Collector.

12024. Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

appended to the letter from the Secretary to the Agrihorticultural Society under date the 29th March regarding the improvement of Agriculture and the distribution of prizes to the most deserving Cultivators in each Tallook and now sanctioned by the Government, I have the honor to remark that with the exception of Indigo, and a very trifling extent of Country Cotton, none of the other articles for which Medals are to be awarded are grown in the Nellore District, and my object in addressing you is if possible to obtain, a supply of Cotton Seeds Bourbon Egyptian, Sea Island &ca that I may endeavour to introduce the cultivation of these Crops. Should the Board be pleased to sanction the supply of Seed from the Agrihorticultural Society's Stock if they have any my best attention shall be paid to its cultivation, and should there be no seed in Madras perhaps the Board will have no objection to authorize the Collectors of Chingleput or North Arcot (where I believe foreign Cotton is grown) to supply me with a Bandy load of Seed for experiment and from the nature of the Soil in many parts of the District, I am in hopes success will attend its introduction.-

Nellore ..... Collector's Cutcherry, 15th September 1845.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.-

(Read again in Cons. 2d Octr. 49-50)

To

12025. Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

To

T Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

51

From the Collr. of Nellore ..... Reporting on the Extra branches of Revenue of fusly 1254 and 1844/45 transmitting six Statements Connected therewith.- No. 2384.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit herewith the usual Statements of the Extra Sources of Revenue for the expired fusly 1254 / A.D. 1844/45.-

2. There is a net decrease in the Salt Revenue of fusly 1254 / 1844/45 inclusive of the extra Collections of Rupees 72631-15-4 below that realized in the previous fusly. The items composing the extra Collections are enumerated in the Margin.-

Salt.-		
Fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Rs. 571,685-6-11.	
" 1254	" 499,053-7-7	
Decrease	72,631-15-4.	

Extra Collections.- Refunds of advances for manufacturing Salt - 947-"-"-

Do. of Extra Charges - 39-7-"
986-7-"

3. The following Statement exhibits a comparison of the Home, Inland, and Ship Sales together with the amount of Extra Collections in fuslies 1253 / 1843/44 and 1254 / 1844/45 shewing a net decrease in the Salt Collections of fusly 1254 / 1844/45 of Rupees 72631-15-4.

Fusly

12026 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Fusly 1253/1843/44 — Fusly 1254/1844/45

Quantity Amount Quantity Amount Increase Decrease

	MG	MN	Rs	a	Ps	MG	MN	Rs	a	Ps	Rs	a	Ps	Rs	a	Ps
Home Consumption	1,476	2332¾	183,016	10	9	1,549	2956¼	193,732	12	4	10,716	1	7	"	"	"
Inland Sales	2,105	383 "	236,348	5	5	1,964	205 "	237,128	4	3	779	14	10	"	"	"
Total	3,582	2162¾	419,365	"	2	3,514	1006¼	430,861	"	7	11,496	"	5	"	"	"
Ship Sales	4,403	1051¼	151,250	"	9	2,039	2983½	67,206	"	"	"	"	"	84,044	"	9
Total	7,985	3214	570,615	"	11	5,553	3991¾	498,067	"	7	11,496	"	5	84,044	"	9
Extra Collections	"	"	1,070	6	"	"	"	986	7	"	"	"	"	83	15	"
Grand Total	7,985	3214	571,685	6	11	5,553	3991¼	499,053	7	7	11,496	"	5	84,127	15	9
Deduct Increase														11,496	"	5
Net decrease														72,631	15	4

St

12027 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

4. It will be seen that the Net Increase in the Home Sales of Fusly 1254/1844/45 is Rupees 10,716-1-7 inclusive of the gain in the retail Sale &ca and in the Inland Sales there is an increase of Rupees 779-14-10 There is a falling off of Rupees 84,044-"-9 in the Ship Sales below the previous year in consequence of a decreased export for the Calcutta Market arising perhaps from the interruption to the trade by the change of prices and the uncertainty that prevailed among the Merchants as to what would be the final rate fixed by Government

5. The following Statement exhibits a Comparison of the Home Collections in the different divisions exclusive of the gain in the retail Sale &ca.

Divisions	Fusly 1253/1843/44			Fusly 1254/1844/44			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	a	Ps	Rs	a	Ps	Rs	a	Ps	Rs	a	Ps
Pandurty	29,004	4	10	32,876	11	"	3,872	6	2	"	"	"
Paukala	40,092	4	3	43,841	4	2	3,748	15	11	"	"	"
Eskapully	46,139	1	5	49,857	5	"	3,718	3	7	"	"	"
Kristnapatam	38,980	"	11	39,014	11	7	34	10	8	"	"	"
Doogarauzpatam	18,002	9	"	18,124	15	2	122	6	2	"	"	"
Tuddah	10,473	15	2	9,695	13	1	"	"	"	778	2	1
Total	182,692	3	7	193,410	12	"	11,496	10	6	778	2	1
Deduct decrease							778	2	1			
Net Increase							10,718	8	5			

The

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

6. The only Division in which there is a decrease in the Home Sales it will be observed is Tudida, and the amount trifling. A portion of the increase in the Money receipts for Home Sales has arisen from the enhanced price paid for the article but at the same time a reference to the quantity of Salt sold is satisfactory; exhibiting as it does an increase of 73 Garce above that of the preceding Fusly in spite of the higher rate charged for the Salt

Fusly 1253 / 1843/44. ——— 1476

" 1254 / 1844/45 ——— 1549

73

7. The subjoined Statement shows the results of the Inland Sales of Fusly 1254 / 1844/45 Compared with the previous year.—

Divisions	Fusly 1253 / 1843/44			Fusly 1254 / 1844/45			Increase			Decrease		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Pauduty	9,166	2	1	9,362	10	2	2,458	8	1	"	"	"
Caukala	29,013	1	9	38,160	11	9	9,147	10	"	"	"	"
Eskapully	48,515	14	7	48,720	2	3	204	3	8	"	"	"
Kristnapatam	11,710	11	3	15,810	9	3	4,099	14	"	"	"	"
Doogarauzpatam	8,427	11	11	5,066	11	4	"	"	"	3,361	"	7
Tuddah	47,514	11	10	35,745	7	6	"	"	"	11,769	4	4
Total	2,36,348	5	5	2,37,128	4	3	15,910	3	9	15,130	4	11
						Deduct Decrease	15,130	4	11			
						Net Increase	779	14	10			

From

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

From this it will be seen that there was an increase of Rupees 15,910—3—9. in the first four Divisions and a decrease of Rupees 15,130—4—11 in the last two Viz. Doogarauzpatam and Tuddah, leaving a net increase of Rupees 779—14—10.

8. Tho' the money amount of Inland Sales is slightly in excess of the last year's receipts, the quantity of Salt sold exhibits the large deficiency of 141 Garce, chiefly at the Ports of the Tudda Division. In Para 9 of the Report on the Extra Revenue of last year an increase in this Division is remarked and ascribed to the resort thither of Strange Traders in consequence of the want of Salt in the neighbouring ports of Soonnapoogoonta Vopadamoodrum and Reddy polliem in the Chingleput and Chittoor Districts, and as this cause did not exist in the year under report a part of the deficiency in the Sales of Tudda is thus accounted for, but the fact of an increased consumption of Inland manufactured Salt which in the Bellary and Cuddapah Districts, appears unchecked doubtless must, and does affect the Sales of Sea Salt; the sudden rise of the price of Salt in April 1844 from 105 to 180 Rupees the Garce, induced many to use earth Salt who had never used it before and tho' the price of Sea Salt has

been

12030 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

been again reduced, the Cheapness of the earth Salt and the habit of using it causes the Continuance of its Consumption, at least this is the Cause assigned by the Bringarry Traders for the Smaller quantity of Sea Salt purchased by them for the Inland market, and they Can have no object in making such a Statement if unfounded on fact. From personal enquiries among these people, I learn that earth Salt Carefully manufactured is very little inferior to moderate Sea Salt, and they now make great objections to purchase any Salt which is not of the best quality that they may ensure its Sale. The purchase of Salt for Inland Sale has also doubtless been very much affected by the disturbance in the market from the variation of prices during the last 18 Months, but as the impression that a further reduction in the price would be made, no longer exists, this Cause should not interfere materially with the future trade.

9. The quantity and value of Salt exported by Sea in fuslies $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ and $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ are shewn below exhibiting a decrease of Indian Maunds of 2,44,583 or Rupees 84,044 — " — 9. alluded to in Para 4.

Fusly

12031 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

	Fusly 1253/1843/44		Fusly 1254/1844/45		Increase	Decrease
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
	I Mds	Rs as	Mds	Rs as	Mds	Rs as
Calcutta	302,143	1,057,50 " 9	73,160	25,606 " "	" " "	228,983 80,144 " 9
Chittagong	182,000	4,55,00 " "	166,400	41,600 " "	" " "	15,600 3,900 " "
Total	484,143	1,512,50 " 9	239,560	67,206 " "	" " "	244,583 84,044 " 9

There

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

10. There is a decrease in the extra Collections of Rupees 83-15-- which arises in the amount of recoveries of advances for the manufacture of Salt.

11. The total Salt Charges in fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ amount to Rupees ——1,05,646——13——3-

In fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ —— 83,607 14 6.

Increase in fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ —— 22,038 14 9.

arising from a gross increase of Rupees 24,129—2—2 and a gross decrease of Rupees 2,090—3—5.

Quantity received into store in fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ 5337-107 " $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ 8629-242

12. The increase is owing to a larger quantity of Salt having been received into store in the year under review raising proportionably the amount paid for manufacturers' share and the decrease is chiefly in the extra charges attributable to there being a Reduction in the Shipping and weighing Charges &c. in the fusly under review in proportion to the deficiency in the quantity exported.-

13. The actual net revenue from Salt in fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ is Rupees 4,88,077—8—5-

In fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ —— " —— 3,93,406—10—4-

Decrease in fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ —— " —— 94,670—14—1-

Layer 14. Under the Head of Layer Rupees 427-13- has been realized, of which Rupees 67-9-8- was levied from the Security for the Tungella Layer Renter on account of his rent for fusly $\frac{1246}{1836/37}$ and Rupees 360—3—4- from the sale of Confiscated goods &c. seized in fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ &c.

The

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.-

Abkarry. 15. The following statement exhibits the amount of the rent and Collections under the Head of Abkarry in fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ and $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$ shewing an increase of Rupees 2,914—"—" in the rents, and an increase also in the Current Collection of Rupees 3169—6—10 with a net increase in the Collection of the year of Rupees 3215—12—11- arising from an increase in the amount of Rents.-

	Fusly 1253/1843/44			Fusly 1254/1844/45			Increase			Decrease		
Rented	23219	2	"	26133	2	"	2914	"	"	"	"	"
Collections of the Current year	22963	11	2	26133	2	"	3169	6	10	"	"	"
Ditto of Arrears	223	2	9	255	6	10	32	4	1	"	"	"
Extra Collections	4	6	"	18	9	7	14	3	7	"	"	"
Total	23191	3	11	26407	2	5	32,15	14	6	"	"	"
Balance	255	6	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Sales of Palmira Trees &c. Rs. 18-9-7

The Extra Collections under this head appear in the Margin shewing an increase of Rupees 14-3-7 above those of last year.-

Sea Customs 16. The following statement exhibits the Sea Customs Collections for the last two fuslies.-

	Fusly 1253/1843/44			Fusly 1254/1844/45			Increase			Decrease		
Export duty	12884	14	5	10854	6	11	"	"	"	2,030	7	6
Import duty	664	9	8	1744	7	3	1079	13	7	"	"	"
Total	13549	8	1	12598	14	2	1079	13	7	2,030	7	6
Extra Collections being the sales of Flotsum wood &c.	502	10	11	172	5	8	"	"	"	330	8	3
Total	14052	6	"	12771	3	10	1079	13	7	2,360	15	9
Deduct Increase										1079	13	7
Net decrease										1281	2	2

The

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.-

17. The net decrease in the export duties has been Rupees 2030-7-6 arising from a gross decrease of Rupees 3,613 — " — " and a gross increase of Rupees 1583 — " — " The increase has been principally in Salt peter, Horsegram, Paddy and Gingly Oil Seed &c. chiefly in consequence of the increased rate of duty levied under Act VI of 1844, and partly to an increase in the quantity exported. The Chief articles of trade in which the decrease had occurred are the following.-

Bengal Gram	81
Green Gram	133
Black Gram	42
Red Gram	267
Lamp Oil Seed	419
Natcheny	577
	1549
Coriander Seed	1018
Firewood	1777
	3344.

18. The decrease in the export of the first six articles above enumerated, has arisen from the produce having been less on the cultivated lands from the backwardness of the season, and from an increased extent of Cultivation with Indigo on the lands in the Talooks Cnamanamellor, and Chendaloor, which formerly were sown with Grain &c. The next item in which there is a considerable decrease is Coriander seed, principally owing to a larger quantity having

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.-

having been exported by land in March, when there was a demand for it at Madras and no Vessels ready to receive it. The last item of material decrease is firewood solely from the present reduced rate at which duty is levied on this article. The increase in the import duties has been Rupees 1079 — 13 — 7 chiefly on Paddy and raw rice owing to the operation of the Act VI of 1844, duty being levied throughout the fusly under review while in the preceding year these articles were exempted from duty until April when the Act was brought into force.-

19. The decrease in the Extra Collections under this head is Rupees 330 — 8 — 3 flotsom &c. wood to a smaller amount having been sold.-

Moturpha

20. Below is a Comparison of the Collections under this head in fuslies 1253/1843/44 and 1254/1844/45.-

	Fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Fusly 1254 / 1844/45	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	20,727 4 "	21,180 11 6	453 7 6	" " "
Collections of Current year	19,383 4 11	20,351 14 "	968 9 1	" " "
Ditto of arrears	1,186 42	946 5 6	" " "	239 14 8
Extra Collections	16 " "	1 4 "	" " "	14 12 "
Total	20,585 9 1	21,299 7 6	968 9 1	254 10 8
Balance	1,343 15 1	828 13 6	" " "	" " "

Of

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Of the Moturpha Bariez Rupees 828—13—6 uncollected at the close of the fusly, Rupees 127—4—1. have been since realized up to the 15th August leaving a balance still due of Rupees 701—9—5. Of the amount outstanding on the Principal Division about Rupees 300—"—" will probably be realized and as regards that due by individuals in the Sub Division the necessary particulars will be stated in the Sub Collectors' Jamabundy Report.—

Principal Division Rupees 326-5-3 + Sub Division 375-4-2 = 701-9-5

21. Of the balance due from the Principal Division for fusly 1253/1843/44 at the date of the Report for that fusly, a Sum of Rupees 200 was estimated as recoverable, and the Sum actually realized up to the close of the fusly under report is Rupees 224—11—5. Of the balance due from the Sub Division a Sum of Rupees 47—7—8. has also been realized.—

22. The Sum of Rupees 1—4—" entered as extra Collections for fusly 1254/1844/45 is that Collected on account of the balance due for fuslies prior to 1245/1835/36 when the actual Collections only were entered as the demand and for which years, of course, therefore no balance is exhibited in the Demand Collection & Balance Statement.—

Stamps

23. The following is a Comparison of the Stamp Revenue for fuslies 1253/1843/44 and 1254/1844/45 exhibiting a Net decrease of Rupees 4948—12—"

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

	Fusly 1253/1843/44	Fusly 1254/1844/45	Increase	Decrease
	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p
Sales of Stamp paper	7363 8 "	7531 " "	167 8 "	" " "
Do. of Sea Custom Rowanas	1109 10 "	924 10 "	" " "	185 " "
Do. of Sayer Rowanahs	5566 4 "	" " "	" " "	5566 4 "
Total	14039 6 "	8455 10 "	167 8 "	5751 4 "
Penalties levied in the Zillah Courts on admitting Documents not written on Stamp'd paper	435 " "	377 8 "	" " "	57 8 "
Extra Revenue	" " "	692 8 "	692 8 "	" " "
	14474 6 "	9525 10 "	860 " "	5808 12 "
		Deduct Increase	860 " "	
		Net Decrease	4948 12 "	

The decrease of Rupees 185 in the Sales of Sea Custom Rowanahs is in proportion to the decrease in the Revenue derived under that head and that in the Sale of Sayer Rowanahs in Consequence of the abolition of the Land Customs.—

24 There is an increase in the amount of Penalties levied in the Court on unstamped paper amounting to Rupees 635—. Of the total amount under this Head 1070 Rupees 377—8—" is entered as

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

as usual and the remainder Rupees 692-8-4 under that of Extra Revenue, as per instructions from the Accountant General, being the amount realized between the 1st Nov. and 11th July 1845. The receipts depending on the number of Cases in the Court require no Remarks.

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses

25. The following is a Comparison of the Collections under this Head.-

	Fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Fusly 1254 / 1844/45	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	2043 "	2061 "	28 "	" "
Bhalarow's Cutnum	964 "	964 "	" "	" "
	3007 "	3025 "	28 "	" "
Collections of the Current year	2861 "	2001 "	" "	854 "
Ditto of Arreard	213 "	131 "	" "	79 "
Extra Collections	" "	" "	" "	" "
	3074 "	2149 "	" "	933 "
	131 "	102 "	" "	29 "

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Of the balance outstanding at the close of fusly Rupees 96-4-" has been since realized and the necessary steps have been taken to recover the remainder being Rupees 5-12-"

26. The amount due at the date of the last years report on account of fusly 1253/1843/44 has since been recovered.-

27. The two Statements required in the Board's Proceedings annexed to the Minutes of Consultation dated the 16th May 1837 are herewith forwarded.-

Vellore Collectors Cutcherry 20th September 1845.

(Signed) I. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement.-

No. 1 1240

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1252. 1253 and 1254 in the Nellore Ongole Districts.* 1842/43 - 1843/44 1844/45

	For the last three Fuslies. Fusly 1252 Rups. A. P.	Fusly 1253. Rups. A. P.	Fusly 1254. Rups. A. P.	Comparison of the Last two Fuslies. Increase. Rups. A. P.	Decrease. Rups. A. P.	REMARKS.
SALT.						
Gross Collections	512953 15 9	571685 6 11	499053 7 7	- - -	72631 15 4	Note. The following items compose the Salt Charges in the last Fusly.
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	72784 12 5	39808 15 7	63843 14 2	24034 14 7	- - -	Fixed Establishment 26768 10 9
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	51167 15 -	43798 14 11	41802 15 1	- - -	1995 15 10	Sundewarred 948 - 7 — 27716 11 4
Total Charges	123952 11 5	83607 14 6	105646 13 3	22038 14 9	- - -	Extra Charges
Net Revenue	389001 4 4	488077 8 5	393406 10 4	- - -	94670 14 1	Wire for Conveying prisoners 45 5 3
Per Centage of the Charges	24 2 8	14 10 -	21 2 9	- - -	- - -	D.o for bringing Salen Salt to Nellore 7 5 -
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.						Wages of Sweepers 132 - -
Gross Collections	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Repairing Charges 11075 10 10
Charges for Purchase	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Weighing Sales 500 - 9
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Cloth for laying Murder Salt 5 7 -
Total Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	Repairs of Wall of watching Room 70 - -
Net Revenue	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	D.o of Mercalls 32 - 5
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	D.o of Scales 23 9 3
LAND CUSTOMS.						D.o of Record Boxes 9 4 -
Gross Collections	107103 14 9	83281 1 5	427 13 -	- - -	82853 4 5	D.o of Rule of Cash Chest 6 6
Charges of Collection	17695 11 6	13046 15 8	- - -	- - -	13046 15 8	Wages of Rowanah Painter 86 12 10
Charges „ Commission						Value of Stamp Paper for Security Deeds 77 - -
Charges „ Drawback						11960 11 10
Total	17695 11 6	13046 15 8	- - -	- - -	13046 15 8	Sold Manufactures share 63342 14 7
Net Revenue	89408 3 3	70234 1 9	427 13 -	- - -	69806 4 9	Salt Rusoems in Compensation 1173 10 -
Per Centage of the Charges	16 8 4	15 10 7½	- - -	- - -	- - -	Advances for Salt Manufactures 959 - -
SEA CUSTOMS.						Repairs of Catcheries 27 13 11
Gross Collections	10446 4 6	14052 6 -	12771 3 10	- - -	1281 2 2	103646 13 3
Charges of Collection	2309 - 4	2313 4 9	2305 10 8	- - -	7 10 1	Note. The following items compose the Sea Custom Charges in the last Fusly.
Charges „ Commission						Fixed Establishment 2225 10 8
Charges „ Drawback						Sundewarred 78 - - 2303 10 8
Total	2309 - 4	2313 4 9	2305 10 8	- - -	7 10 1	Extra Charges
Net Revenue	8137 4 2	11739 1 3	10465 9 2	- - -	1273 8 1	Value of Stamps for Security Deeds 1 - -
Per Centage of the Charges	22 1 8	16 7 4	18 - 11	- - -	- - -	Repairs of Catcheries 8 - -
ABKARY.						
Gross Collections	21433 9 11	23191 3 11	26407 2 5	3215 14 6	- - -	Note. The following items compose the Abkarry Charges in the last Fusly.
Charges	336 - -	333 3 2	336 - -	2 12 10	- - -	Fixed Establishment 336 - -
Net Revenue	21097 9 11	22858 - 9	26071 2 5	3213 1 8	- - -	
Per Centage of the Charges	1 9 1	1 7 -	1 4 5	- - -	- - -	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.						
Gross Collections	305 7 -	308 - -	214 9 -	- - -	93 7 -	
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Net Revenue	305 7 -	308 - -	214 9 -	- - -	93 7 -	
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)						
Stamps						
Gross Collections	13976 6 -	14474 6 -	9525 10 -	- - -	4948 12 -	Note. The following items compose the Stamps Charges in the last Fusly.
Charges	641 4 11	704 4 -	426 2 1	- - -	278 1 11	
Net Revenue	13335 1 1	13770 2 -	9099 7 11	- - -	4670 10 1	Commission to Darogah & Peons 423 6 1
Percentage of the Charges	4 9 5	4 13 10	4 7 7	- - -	- - -	Value of Stamps for Security Deeds 2 12 -
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.						426 2 1
Gross Collections	19845 3 8	20585 9 1	21299 7 6	713 14 5	- - -	
Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
Net Revenue	19845 3 8	20585 9 1	21299 7 6	713 14 5	- - -	
Per Centage of the Charges	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	
GRAND TOTAL.						
Gross Collections	686064 13 7	727578 1 4	569699 5 4	- - -	157878 12 -	
Charges	144934 12 2	100005 10 1	108714 10 -	8708 15 11	- - -	
Net Revenue	541130 1 5	627572 7 3	460984 11 4	- - -	166587 11 11	
Per Centage of the Charges	21 2 -	13 11 11	19 1 4	- - -	- - -	
GRAND TOTAL.						
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	613280 1 2	657769 1 9	505855 7 2	- - -	151913 10 7	
Charges after deductions for Do.	72149 15 9	60196 10 6	44870 11 10	- - -	15325 14 8	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	11 12 3	8 12 -	8 13 11	- - -	- - -	

This Statement has been Compared with the Abstract Statement Sent to the Accountant General & with the Abstract of the Demand Collection & Balance forwarded to the Board of Revenue.

Nellore Collr Cutcherry 20th Septr 1845

J. P. Parker
Collector

F. Wm. Ward
Jr. Asst

12041

Fort St. George

No. 2. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance under the Head of Extra Sources of Revenue

	Fusly 1253					Fusly 1254			
	Settlement of fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Collections to 11th July 1844	Extra Collections of fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Total Collections fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Balance of fusly 1253 on 11th July 1844	Settlement of fusly 1254 / 1844/45	Collections to 11th July 1845	Extra Collections fusly 1254 / 1844/45	Total Collections of fusly 1254 / 1844/45
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P	Ck. a P
Salt	570,615 " 11	570,615 " 11	1070 6 "	571,685 6 11	" " "	498,067 " 7	498,067 " 7	986 7 "	499,053 7 7
Sayer	83,015 14 8	83,015 14 8	245 2 9	83,261 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	360 3 4	360 3 4
Sea Customs	13,549 8 1	13,549 8 1	502 13 11	14,052 6 "	" " "	12,598 14 2	12,598 14 2	172 5 8	12,771 3 10
Abkary	23,219 2 "	22,963 11 2	4 6 "	22,968 1 2	255 6 10	26,133 2 "	26,133 2 "	18 8 "	26,151 10 "
Sundry Small farms & Licences	300 7 "	286 13 "	" " "	286 13 "	13 10 "	302 15 6	200 15 "	" " "	200 15 "
Moturpha	20,727 4 "	19,383 4 11	16 " "	19,399 4 11	1343 15 1	21,180 11 6	20,351 14 "	1 4 "	20,353 2 "
Stamps	14,474 6 "	14,474 6 "	" " "	14,474 6 "	" " "	8833 2 "	88[illegible]	[illegible] 8 "	9,525 10 "
	725,901 10	8,724,288 10 9	1838 12 8	726,127 7 5	1,612 15 11	567,115 13 3	566,184 15 9	2,231 4 "	568,416 3 9

Nellore Collectors Cutcherry 20th September 1845

E. E.

29th September 1845.

in the Districts of Nellore & Ongole for fuslies 1253 & 1254
1843/44 1844/45.

Balance of fusly 1253 on 11th July 1845.	Comparison of the Settlement of fusly 1254 with that of fusly 1253 Col. 1 & 6		Comparison of the Total Collections of fusly 1254 with that of fusly 1253 Col. 4 and 9.	
	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
10	11	12	13	14
Cks a P	Cks a P	Cks a P	Cks a P	Cks a P
" " "	" " "	72548 " 4	" " "	72631 15 4
" " "	" " "	83015 14 8	" " "	82900 14 1
" " "	" " "	950 9 11	" " "	1281 2 2
" " "	2914 " "	" " "	3183 8 10	" " "
102 " "	2 8 "	" " "	" " "	85 14 "
828 13 6	453 7 6	" " "	953 13 1	" " "
" " "	" " "	5641 4 "	" " "	4948 12 "
930 13 6	3369 15 6	162155 12 11	4137 5 11	161848 9 7

Pr. (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collection
Statement.

12042

Fort St. George

No. 3. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of arrears of Extra Sowe.

No.	Items	Total Demand on account of old arrears at the close of fusly	Arrears due on account of fusly 1245 1835/36	Collections	Balance	Arrears due on account of fusly 1246 1836/37.	Collections	Balance
		1253 or 1843 42.						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Sayer	6798 " "	" " "	" "	" "	6798	6798	" "
2	Ubkary	25510 " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
3	Moturpha	80,88109	87712 " "	" "	" "	87712	81248	" "
4	Sundry Small Farms and Licences	1310 " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
		81,25539	87712 " "	" "	" "	87712	87914 4	6798

27th September 1845 –

...ces of Revenue on account of former fuslies in the Nellore and –

Arrears due on account of fusly 1247 / 1837/38		Collections		Balance		Arrears due on account of fusly 1248 / 1838/39		Collections		Balance		Arrears due on account of fusly 1249 / 1839/40.	
9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
Cks	as	Cks	as	Cks	as	Cks	as	Cks	as	Cks	as	Cks	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
772	15 3	"	"	772	15 3	735	1 1	"	"	735	1 1	978	14 7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
772	15 3	"	"	772	15 3	735	1 1	"	"	735	1 1	978	14 7

12043

Fort St. George

29th September 1845.

Ongole Districts in Fusly 1254 / 1844/45

Collections	Balance	Arrears due on account of fusly 1250 / 1840/41	Collections	Balance	Arrears due on account of fusly 1251 / 1841/42	Collections	Balance	Arrears due on account of fusly 1252 / 1842/43	Collections	Balance	Arrears due on account of fusly 1253 / 1843/44	Collections	Balance
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p

1264

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

No 4. Memorandum of Total Collections on account of Extra Sources of Revenue in the Nellore & Ongole Districts during the

fusly 1254
1844/45

	Salt	Sayer	Sea Customs	Abkarry	Sundry Small Farms and Licences	Stamp Revenue	Motorpha	Total
	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
For fusly 1254	49806 7	" "	12598 14 2	26133 2 "	200 15 "	8833 2 "	20351 14 "	56,184 15 9
" 1253	" "	" "	" "	255 6 10	131 0 "	" "	913 9 4	1182 10 2
Total Collections as per Monthly Abstract Statement of Demands Collection and Balance closed to 11th July 1845 No 1	49806 7	" "	12598 14 2	26388 8 10	214 9 "	8833 2 "	21265 7 4	56,736 7 11
Do Do Do No 3	" "	67 9 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	32 12 2	100 5 10
Extra Collections	986 7 "	360 3 4	172 5 5	18 9 7	" "	692 8 "	1 4 "	2231 5 7
Total as per Printed Statement	49,053 7 7	427 13 "	12771 3 10	26407 2 5	214 9 "	9525 10 "	21299 7 6	58,699 5 4

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 20th September 1845.

E.E.P. / Signed J.F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

12045

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

No. 5. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Manufactured and Sold in fuslies 1253/1843/44 and 1254/1844/45 in Comparison of the former with remarks for Increase and Decrease in the Nellore Collectorate.

Names of the Cottours or places of Manufacture	Fusly 1253: Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fusly 1253: Quantity Sold	Fusly 1253: Rate of Garce	Fusly 1253: Value	Fusly 1254: Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fusly 1254: Quantity Sold	Fusly 1254: Rate of Garce	Fusly 1254: Value	Increase of Manufacture	Decrease of Manufacture
	M G M M	M G M M	Cks a p	Cks a p	M G M M	M G M M	Cks a p	Cks a p	M G M M	M G M M
Canoopurty Home and Inland Sales	852 " "	173 376 "	105 " "	18,263 11 2	" " "	3 203 "	180 " "	631 5 8	" " "	852 " "
		12 69 "	180 " "	2191 " 8	" " "	134 113 "	120 " "	16,113 14 5	" " "	795 " "
Davarempaudoo Do	795 " "	96 265 "	105 " "	10,149 9 1	" " "	2 366 "	180 " "	524 11 3	" " "	896 90 "
		7 356 "	180 " "	1420 3 2	" " "	67 89 "	120 " "	8066 11 2	" " "	" " "
Pandirty Do	996 90 "	271 352 "	105 " "	28547 6 5	100 " "	7 373 "	180 " "	1427 13 8	" " "	" " "
		20 396 "	180 " "	3778 3 2	" " "	647 352 "	120 " "	77745 9 7	" " "	" " "
Alloor Do	" " "	451 389 "	105 " "	47457 1 10	700 " "	9 256 "	180 " "	1735 3 3	700 " "	" " "
		46 185 "	180 " "	8363 4 "	" " "	168 320 "	120 " "	20256 " "	" " "	" " "
Paukalah Do	258 205 "	172 108 "	105 " "	18088 5 7	403 200 "	20 316 "	180 " "	3742 3 2	144 395 "	" " "
		56 308 "	180 " "	10218 9 7	" " "	193 178 "	120 " "	23213 6 5	" " "	" " "
Penganapulla Do	205 55 "	300 237 "	105 " "	31562 3 6	524 354 "	8 366 "	180 " "	1604 11 2	319 299 "	" " "
		29 36 "	180 " "	5236 3 2	" " "	445 139 "	120 " "	53441 11 2	" " "	" " "
Sold by Heaps	" " "	40 " "	100 " "	4,000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Toommalapenta Home and Inland Sales	342 80 "	43 392 "	105 " "	4617 14 7	231 200 "	3 303 "	180 " "	676 5 7	" " "	110 280 "
		17 25 "	180 " "	3071 4 "	" " "	60 18 "	120 " "	7205 6 5	" " "	" " "
Ship Sales Calcutta	" " "	50 81 5	38 7 9	1932 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" Chittagong	" " "	186 360 5	27 7 10	5137 8 "	" " "	66 267 "	30 " "	2000 " "	" " "	" " "
Joovuldinna Home and Inland Sales	" " "	110 159 "	105 " "	11,591 11 10	200 " "	12 7 "	180 " "	2163 2 5	200 " "	" " "
		34 117 "	180 " "	6172 10 6	" " "	96 185 "	120 " "	11575 8 "	" " "	" " "
Eskapully Do	1150 " "	91 222 "	105 " "	9613 4 7	700 " "	2 91 "	180 " "	400 15 4	" " "	450 " "
		15 395 "	180 " "	2877 12 "	" " "	170 9 "	120 " "	20402 11 3	" " "	" " "
Ship sales Chittagong	" " "	434 111 7¾	27 7 10	11937 8 "	" " "	366 266 3	30 " "	11000 " "	" " "	" " "
" Calcutta	" " "	2052 304 2	38 7 9	78996 " 9	" " "	518 165 "	38 7 9	19950 " "	" " "	" " "
						55 200 "	42 " "	2331 " "	" " "	" " "
Gogoolapully Home and Inland Sales	1400 " "	397 279 "	105 " "	41,758 3 10	800 " "	14 204 "	180 " "	2611 12 10	" " "	550 " "
		83 27 "	180 " "	14,952 2 5	" " "	446 72 "	120 " "	53541 9 6	" " "	" " "

1204

Fort St. George

29th September 1845.

Names of the Cottairs or places of Manufacture	Fusly 1253									Fusly 1254						Increase of Manufacture			Decrease of Manufacture		
	Quantity of Salt manufactured			Quantity Sold			Rate ℔ Garce		Value	Quantity of Salt manufactured			Quantity Sold			Rate ℔ Garce		Value					
	M.G	M	M	M.G	M	M	C℔	a℘	C℔	M.G	M	M	M.G	M	M	C℔	a℘	C℔	a℘	M.G	M	M	M.G	M	M
Ship Sales Chittagong	"	"	"	570	102	5	27	7	15675	"	"	"	481	100	1	30	"	14437	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tarany " Do	100	"	"	463	337	7/4	27	7	12750	250	"	"	472	33	2	30	"	14162	8	"	"	"	"	750	"	"
Gungapatam Home and Inland Sales	200	250	"	109	31	"	105	"	11453	200	100	"	10	288	"	180	"	1929	9	7	"	"	"	"	150	"
				33	249	"	180	"	6052	"	"	"	104	302	"	420	"	12570	9	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
Histnapatam Do	903	"	"	232	40	"	105	"	24370	592	200	"	22	134	"	180	"	4020	4	10	"	"	"	310	200	"
				48	389	"	180	"	8615	"	"	"	302	216	"	120	"	36304	12	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ship Sales Calcutta	"	"	"	645	6	1	38	7	24822	"	"	"	79	66	5/2	42	"	3325	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cottapatam Home & Inland Sales	"	"	"	27	267	"	105	"	2905	93	"	"	2	210	"	180	"	482	8	"	93	"	"	"	"	"
				10	59	"	180	"	1826	"	"	"	44	177	"	120	"	5333	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tropely Do	"	"	"	27	247	"	105	"	2899	100	"	"	3	176	"	180	"	619	3	3	100	"	"	"	"	"
				6	376	"	180	"	1249	"	"	"	27	71	"	120	"	3261	4	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
Doogavanzepatam Do	264	365	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	264	365	"
Mullam Do	"	"	"	122	246	"	105	"	12874	200	"	"	5	121	"	180	"	954	7	2	200	"	"	"	"	"
				25	389	"	180	"	4675	"	"	"	104	297	"	120	"	12569	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
Valoocandoo Do	"	"	"	36	174	"	105	"	3825	79	50	"	3	298	"	180	"	674	1	7	79	50	"	"	"	"
				15	313	"	180	"	2820	"	"	"	49	294	"	120	"	5968	3	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
Tudah Do	"	"	"	227	24	"	105	"	23841	233	200	"	13	3	"	180	"	2341	5	7	233	200	"	"	"	"
				100	4	"	180	"	18001	"	"	"	182	351	"	120	"	21945	4	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
Poody Do	"	"	"	16	210	"	105	"	1735	63	150	"	96	185	"	120	"	11575	8	"	63	150	"	"	"	"
				57	130	"	105	"	6019	80	"	"	24	83	"	120	"	2904	14	4	"	"	"	20	"	"
Raumapooram Do	100	"	"	9	233	"	180	"	1724	"	"	"	"	71	"	180	"	31	15	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8467	245	"	7985	179	1	"	"	570290	5601	254	"	6553	335	3/2	"	"	497745	"	3	2133	294	"	4999	285	"
Sales of Smuggled and Muster Salt	"	"	"	"	142	2/4	"	"	57	"	"	"	"	63	6/4	"	"	20	5	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8467	245	"	7985	321	3/4	"	"	570348	"	"	"	6553	399	1/4	"	"	497765	5	5	2133	294	"	4999	285	"
Fractions in retail sale	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	213	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	166	12	10	Net Decrease			2865	291	1
Sunday	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	14	4						
Fines &c	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	117	"	4						
									57645									49806	"	7						

Nellore Collector's Cutcherry 20th September 1845.

E E P

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

12047

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

No. 6. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt transported to different Districts or places according to the Rowanahs issued from the Cottaurs of the Zillah of Nellore in Fusly $\frac{1254}{1844/45}$.

| Fusly | Cuddapah District | | |

1048

Fort St. George 29th September 1815

Order thereon

51-52

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting—

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Nellore—

53

With reference to my letter dated 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that the Yeomiahdar Hafiz Goolam Nabee Cany whose removal was therein suggested died on the 10th Instant.—

Nellore

Collector's Cutcherry

27th September 1815

} [Signed] I. F Bishop

Collector

53-54

Order thereon

(Read again in Cons 2nd Octr) on Circulation

Ordered to be sent again—

Read the following—

Statement

Statement

12057

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

57

Sir,

From the Collr. of Bellary — Further Report on the Case of the Tasildar of the Codecondah — Talook in the Bellary District.

Para 1. I had the honor to receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 23d January last, returning the Appeal Petition of Sooba Row Tasildar of Codecondah, together with the Sub Collector's report &ca. connected with his case, and desiring that I should proceed judicially to the investigation and disposal of the appeal, and after examining the record of Mr. Pelly's Proceedings, and taking further Evidence if any, that I should pass a regular judgment, reporting to the Board the result of the appeal and if the Sentence of the Sub Collector is affirmed that I will probably repeat my recommendation for Sooba Row's dismissal.

2 In reference to my former letter, I beg to state that I did not report upon Sooba Row's Case in that letter without first examining Mr. Pelly's Proceedings involving an investigation of the Record. Soobarow's defence consists chiefly of

a

55

12049 to 12056 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready to be exported to Calcutta for the year 1844 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 23d September 1845.

Number	Names of the Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Quantity Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1844	Quantity Quantity remaining already manufactured to be exported and ready remaining under the current for exportation year	Remarks Estimated Yeaps
1	2	3	4	5	6 7 8 9
1	Eskapully	14 — 44	147131 — 412	128304	1046 18826 2566 18826 2566
2	Kristnapatam	14 — 10	81137 — 1330	16600	64637 1330 64637 1330
	Total		228268 — 1742	144804	1046 83464 696 83464 696

Nellore
Collector's Cutcherry
27th September 1845
Order thereon — Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette — Read.

E. E. Bishop
Collector

55-56

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

a charge of relationship and consequent collusion of the accusers. I stated in my letter that this could hardly avail him as it was the second time he had been accused, and the sort of distant relationship which exists very frequently, in fact generally amongst the Curnums of a Talook would not afford a plausible reason for their combining to accuse <u>without cause</u> the Amildar of that Talook. The second charge is of certain irregularities. This I have in some measure admitted. I do not think in any way affecting the main truth of the charge but allowing him the advantage of it I stated that I thought Mr. Pelly should have confined himself to a smaller number of cases, and should have proceeded to exact the Penalty laid down in Section X Regulation IX of 1822, instead of in one view fining the Amildar 1000 Rupees for I think it very doubtful whether such a decision is legal, as I do not find it laid down in the Regulation above quoted. A specific amount may indeed be awarded in cases in which the Offences specified in the 4th and 5th Clauses of Section II of this Regulation are proved. But in other

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

cases, the fine legally to be exacted would be double the Amount of the specific charge proved. Sooba Row does not deny that the Statements were made, and does not demand that the Parties should be again called up.

3. The Complainants and Witnesses are the Potails and Curnums of the Villages and were I to have called them before me it would not only have proved detrimental to the Cultivation, but would have been quite unnecessary as they would adhere to their former Statements.

4. This report has been delayed by the necessity of some references, and by the difficulty of conducting any judicial Enquiry where the accused does not deny that the Evidence shown on the record was given, but merely grounds his defence upon the general improbabilities of the case. The following is a summary containing my decision on the appeal.

"It would appear from the
"Report of the Sub Collector Mr. Pelly dated
"24th October 1844, and its enclosures, that the sum
"of Company's Rupees 1876 was proved to have been
"exacted by Sooba Row from certain Villagers
"of the Codecondah Talook, that
he is sentenced to pay a fine of one Thousand
Rupees.

"Rupees to be dismissed from the situa-
"tion of Tasildar of Codecondah and
"be rendered incapable of holding any
"situation under Government for the peri-
"od of 3 years".

"From this the accused Soo-
"ba Row appealed to the Collector under
"date the 12th November 1846, and in the
"Appeal Petition he stated that by his
"zeal and strictness in the discharge of
"his Public duties he incurred the
"enmity of certain leading men in the
"Talook who most unjustly got up a
"conspiracy involving charges of Bribery
"against him, that some of the Conspirators
"have come forward as Complainants and
"some as Witnesses, that the Sub Collector
"did not conduct the engeiry in an
"impartial manner, neither was he
"allowed to cross examine Witnesses
"nor the Statements of Princi-
"pal Witnesses taken in his presence

"and in conclusion requests that jus-
"tice may be done to him by restora-
"tion to his rank and Pay"

"The complaints preferred
"before the Sub Collector against this
"Tasildar are 73 in number, and
"the amount of Bribe stated to
"have been paid is Rupees 2768--4--
"of which the Sub-Collector states
"Company's Rupees 1876 as being
"proved in 61 Cases. The Record
"of these Cases was re-examined
"and a figured Statement prepared
"shewing in one view the result of
"these numerous complaints. The
"subjoined is an Abstract of that
"Statement"

Items

12062

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Items	Number of Cases	Number of the Complainants	Number of Principal Witnesses	Amount received by Anamappah of Bhoc-pasam on account of Tasildar	Amount received by Cut-tah Vistniah on account of Tasildar	Amount received by other Persons on account of Tasildar: No. of Persons	No. of Cases	Total	Amount proved by more than one Witness	Amount proved by a single Witness	Amount to which no Evidence is forthcoming	Total	Total amount stated to have been proved before the Sub Collector
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Land Revenue	34	77	29	211 "	353 4	4 "	141 "	705 4	412 "	246 12	141 "	799 12	1505 "
Moturpha	14	46	2	4 "	110 "	2 "	63 8	177 8	22 "	" "	71 8	93 8	271 "
Other Sources	3	5	2	50 "	30 "	" "	" "	80 "	20 "	" "	" "	20 "	100 "
Total	51	128	33	265 "	493 4	6 "	204 8	962 12	454 "	246 12	212 8	913 4	1876 "

The

"The sum of Company's Rupees
"1876 stated by the Sub Collector to have been
"proved against Sooba Row consists of the
"following items according to the Statement
"herein before referred to, Vizt. Company's
"Rupees 1505 stated by the Villagers to have
"been paid as Bribe on account of Land
"Revenue Rupees 271 stated by Merchants
"to have been paid by them on being threaten=
"ed to be taxed with a heavy Moturpha
"and in order to avoid further procrastina=
"tion in the Settlement and Rupees 100 as
"Bribe for other purposes"

"With reference to this it is
"to be observed that of the aggregate sum
"stated to have been proved, the amount paid
"according to the Statement of the Com=
"plainants to the Agents of Sooba Row for
"delivery to him (to the actual delivery of
"which 8 Persons (agents) have declared on
oath and confessed before the Sub Collector)
is Rupees 962-12 " among these 8 Per=
"sons are two Principal agents of Sooba Row
"employed in his private affairs named
"Bhoopasamoodrum Audenarranapah
"and Cutta Vistnayah alias Vistnoo. The
"former is the Curnum of certain Villages
"of the Talooks and the latter a substantial
"Merchant of Hindoopoor the Talook Sudr
Station

Cutta Vistnoo alias Vistniah Boopasamoodrum Audenarranapah &ca

"station, It is through the medium of these 2
"Persons that large sums are stated to have
"been paid to Sooba Row, Vizt. Rupees 265 through
"the first mentioned Person Rupees 493-4-"
"through the 2d Person and Rupees 204-8-"
"through 6 other Persons of whom 3 are Mer=
"chants of Hindoopoor, 2 Curnums of the
"same Talook, and one is Reddy"

"The remainder of the amount
"stated to have been proved is C Rupees 913-4-"
"which the Complainants declare having paid
"to Sooba Row in person - Particulars as follows -
As proved by more than one Witness to have

	Cks	a	p
been paid to Sooba Row	454	"	"
" " Do by a Single Witness	246	12	"
" Items for which there is no proof	212	8	"
Company's Rupees	913	4	"

"Independent of the above Evidence
"the Complainants in 25 Cases who are chief=
"ly Reddies and Curnums of Villages have
"produced before Mr. Pelly their own Accounts
"&ca as documentary Evidence alleging that
"the payments of Bribes to Sooba Row are
"debited in their respective Accounts - These
"Documents are among the Records re=
"ceived from Mr. Pelly"

"In the 9th Para of his Report
to

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

"to the Collector. Mr. Pelly has pointed out the "undermentioned five cases from those 51. as "being charges of which the proof is stated "to be conclusive —

Number of Case	Name of the Villages	Amount CRs.	a	P
1	Somaghutta	100	"	"
8	Sereveram	82	"	"
17	Kheereekarah	90	"	"
19	Condapoorum Mallabah	200	"	"
20	Mydoo Gollah	5	"	"
	Company's Rupees	485	"	"

"Of this sum CRupees 105 was "received from the Complainants by Bhoopa-"samoodrum Sudenarranapah, and Rs. 157 "by Cutta Vistniah, and paid to the Tasildar "as acknowledged by these two Agents. The "Complainants in Case No. 19 named Peddy — "Reddy states that he sent 150 Rupees to his Goo-"masta by two servants while the Tasildar — "was in another Village, with written instructions "to pay the same to the Tasildar. That the Goo-"mastah paid the sum to the Tasildar in the "presence of the Two Servants, and sent an answer "to his Master by the same servants stating his "having paid the money to the Tasildar. The correspondence was produced before the Sub Collector

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

"Sub Collector during the enquiry and the Goomas-"tah as well as the two Servants have given testimony "to the above effect. Regarding the remaining sum "of 70 Rupees the 2 Complainants in Case No. 8 — "state that they themselves paid it to the Tasil-"dar personally and one Curnum says that he "was an eye Witness to the transaction. Besides "the correspondence produced for the payment "of 150 Rupees above noticed, the parties for — "Company's Rupees 282 have put in Evidence letters "which they addressed to each other and Accounts "which they had kept. But no documentary proof is "forthcoming for the remaining 50 Rupees."

"Under these circumstances the "Collector is of opinion, that though there can "be no doubt of Sooba Row's misconduct in the "Talook, yet, looking at the Proceedings as belong-"ing to a judicial Enquiry under Regulation IX "of 1822, they will not stand the test of investi-"gation because they were not conducted according "to the terms of that Regulation."

5 In the first place no regular decision was given by Mr. Pelly with copy of the judgment to Sooba Row (as it should have been) but the whole Proceedings, were sent to me, for approval, and I forwarded them with my opinion to the Board as a simple case

case against the Tasildar - In the 2d place, for the reasons given in the 2d Para of this report 3dly I think it very possible that there is truth in Sooba Row's Statement, that there was a combination and therefore that it is dangerous to receive the Statements of the Ryots to their full extent - 4thly. Money is proved to have been paid, but the Evidence is not sufficiently distinct as to the precise object for which any particular sum was paid, and I do not think the testimony of the Agents trust worthy 5thly. It appears that the Provisions of the Regulation were deviated from in as much as that some of the depositions were taken in the absence of the accused -

6 - For all these reasons I have not confirmed the decisions, Mr Pelly wished to give, but propose that the Tasildar be removed or suspended from Office, because from these Proceedings it is proved that Sooba Row retained Persons* in his confidence who received on his behalf Presents of money from Villages or Individuals -

*Cutta Vistniah Bedudenarranapah -

7 - I do not think in this enquiry any direct Bribe for a particular purpose is proved, the combination against the Tasildar seem to think they proved enough if they showed that he or his Agents received money I think -

I think it would be dangerous to admit such a conclusion - But that the Amildar had these Agents about him will I think be the conclusion drawn - I shall have no objection to restore Sooba Row, should the Board think proper - At the same time, I am of opinion, that his restoration should on no account take place at present - not only as a warning to himself, but that others may also be aware that such misconduct will not pass unpunished - In this decision I consider that the Amildar is treated with great leniency, and it is only on the ground of his being an old servant that I ask the Board to allow him to the advantage of the irregularities I have admitted in the Examination - I think the enquiry perfectly satisfactory and sufficient as proving the maladministration of Sooba Row -

8 The several Papers forwarded with the Board's Proceedings now under reply are herewith returned -

Bellary District
Coll's Office on Circuit at Hospett.
16° August 1845

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector

Order thereon -

57-58

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting -

To -

12069 Fort St. George 29° September 1845

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(59)

From the Collector of Bellary ------- Sir.

Since despatching my letter of the 14° Instant No. 46. I received a communication from the Civil Auditor which has redered the present reference necessary - a Copy of the above Communication and of the one to which it is a reply is herein enclosed, and I have the honor to request, that the Board will be pleased to obtain the special Sanction of Government in Malgery Aucharry's Case or to make such intimation to Mr. Morris on the subject as they may think proper, with reference to their proceedings quoted in the Correspondence.

Bellary District
Collrs. Office on Circuit at Camalapoor
26° August 1845

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector

To

J. C. Morris Esqre.
Civil Auditor
Fort St. George

Sir

Referring to item No. 60 in the Extract

12070 Fort St. George 29° September 1845

Extract from the list of Pensions marked L to which your letter of the 26° May last gave cover - I have the honor to inform you that an error appears to have occurred in the preparation of that list* for Fusly 1252 in regard to the amount of Malagherry Aucharry's Pension - The sum erroneously entered in the above Document is Company's Rupees 38-7-11* that actually paid from my Treasury and debited Monthly being Company's Rupees 38-7-1. The latter is as you will observe the correct amount being (for 11 Months ie from July 1842 to May 1843) at 3-7-11 per mensem as mentioned in the accompanying Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 14° February 1842 and sanctioned by Government on the 29° March of that year Vide Copy of a letter from the Board dated 11° April 1842 herein enclosed.

* a revised will be sent

* C.Rs. 38-7-11
" 38-7-1
Difference -- -- 10

2. The present incumbent is the identical Party whose name was exhibited in the List forwarded by the Collector of Cuddapah to the Board under date the 18° September 1812 and the amount of the Pension then sanctioned* for him still remains the same unaltered - Vizt. 44-75 (F. C.) per mensem or Star Pagodas 11-44-20 (F. C.) being equal to Company's Rupees 3-7-11 per mensem or Company's Rupees 41-15-0 per annum - The transfer

* 17° Novr. 1812

transfer of this Pension from Cuddapah having so recently taken place as in 1842 - under the sanction of both the Government and Board, I am of opinion that there is no necessity for having that sanction renewed.-

3- I trust that these Explanations will enable you to pass the item in question.-

Bellary Collector's Office
25° July 1845 - - - - - -

(Signed) A Mellor
Collector

To

A. Mellor Esqre.
Collector of Bellary.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipts of your letter of the 25° Ultimo with its accompaniments and with reference to the enclosures Nos 8 and 5 forwarded with your audit and reaudit replies for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842 & 43 in support of the continuance of the Yeomiah in the name of Malagherry Aucharry to acquaint you that the order of Government under date the 29° March 1842 is merely an authority to transfer the payment of the Yeomiah from the District of Cuddapah to that of Bellary, but this is not sufficient for continuing the Yeomiah - As the original order of Government under which the Pension was allowed to the present Yeomiadar is not forthcoming I have the honor to request that you will obtain the special sanction of Government

Government for the continuance of the Yeomiah in favor of the present Incumbent.-

Fort St. George - - -
Civil Auditor's Office
14° August 1845 - - -

(Signed) J. C. Morris
Civil Auditor

Order thereon
Read again
In Cy 20th Novr. in Circulation.-

Ordered to be sent again

59-60

Read the following letter.-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

61

From the Collr. of Chingleput } Para 1

Submitting certain information relative to the dismissal of Bhoojunga Row from the Office of Jr. Tahsildar of Nayer and to his previous dismissal in this district.-

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18° Ultimo and to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the records of this office shew that Bhoojunga Row was removed from the Situation of Tahsildar of Nayer for gross neglect in his Police duties inconsequence of his having failed to trace or to take any measures to apprehend the perpetrators of 15 cases of robbery involving a loss of property to the extent of 4500 Rupees which occurred during the Short period of 4 Months he was acting Tahsildar, and also for his remissness in examining the Parties concerned in certain other cases of robbery.-

2 It also appears that this Individual was previously employed as acting Tahsildar of the Terooporoor Talook for a few months in

12,073 to 75 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

in 1825 and 1826 when inconsequence of a complaint of Malversation in the Villages of Cattoor and Cannagaput brought against him and other Talook Servants Mr. Viveash suspended and ordered him to reappear before him with the other suspected persons and though no fraud was proved he was then also dismissed for neglect of duty in not having discovered the embezzlement committed in the vicinity of his station.

Chingleput District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Royapettah 17th September 1845

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

To
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(62)

From the Collr. of South Arcot

Sir,

Para 1 In reply to the Board's Proceedings[x]

Submitting information regarding the Service of Boojunga Row Tahsildar of Villapooram agreeably to the Board's direction.

x 14th August 1845

I beg to state for their information, that Boojunga Row the Tahsildar of Villapooram, has been in the Service of the Jagheerdar of Arnee in North Arcot from the year 1834 until appointed by me to his present situation, previous to which he was employed as Peishcar in the Talooks of Villapooram, Tindevanum and Chaitput from Fusly 1222/1812 to 1232/1822 a period of 10 years when that office was abolished on a revision of the Establishment sanctioned by Government under date the 20th September 1822.

2. I am not aware of the particular cause which led to his dismissal from the Tahsildarship of Chingleput. I have employed him in his present situation having not only collected the heavy arrears of the preceding fusly but also the revenue of fusly 1254 with the exception of the tifling sum of Rupees 1134-4 which is in a train of recovery.

South Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore
23d August 1845

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.

(61-63)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

Read

12076 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Read the following letters.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(64)

From the Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that Mr. E. Maltby received Charge of this Collectorate on the 25th Instant together with the Balance of Cash being Company's Rupees 72,515-14-3 for which I enclose his Receipt.

Chingleput District,
Collrs. Circuit Cutcherry,
Royapettah,
26th September, 1845

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Received

12077 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Received from A. Freese Esqre Collector of the Zillah of Chingleput in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees Seventy two thousand Five hundred and fifteen, annas Fourteen, and Pice Three being the Balance of Cash up to this date according to the accounts of the Treasury:-

Species	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per % pieced of Coins	Amount	Total
			Rs a p C	Rs a p	Rs a p
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835	36650	100 " " "	36650 " "	
	Do. 1/2 Do.	2126	50 " " "	1063 " "	
	Do. 1/4 Do.	4384	25 " " "	1096 " "	
	Company's Rupees	129	100 " " "	129 " "	
	Do. 1/2 Do.	92	50 " " "	46 " "	
	Do. 1/4 Do.	81	25 " " "	20 4 "	
	Double Annas	6331	12 8 " "	791 6 "	
	Single Do.	1223	6 4 " "	76 7 "	
					39872 1 "
Copper	One Pice Coins	113608	" 8 4 "	5935 7 4	
	Doodies	96	" 15 6 7 "	1 4 11	
					5936 6 3
	Balance as per Revenue Cash account				45808 7 3
	Amount of Balance of Cash deposited by the Court at Chingleput				
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835	26707	100 " " "	26707 " "	
	Single annas	7	" 64 " "	7 "	
					26707 7 "
	Total Company's Rupees				72515 4 3

Chingleput District
Collectors' Cutcherry
Conjeveram 25th September 1845.

E. E. (Signed) C. Maltby
Acting Collector.
To

12078 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

65

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of Chingleput

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that I have this day received charge of the Zillah of Chingleput together with the Balance of Cash amounting to Company's Rupees 72515-14-3.

Collectors' Cutcherry
Conjeveram 25th September 1845.

(Signed) C. Maltby
Acting Collector.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.- Ordered to be submitted

64-66 for the information of Government.-

Read the following statement.-

Statement.-

12079

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Statement of Demand, Receipts and Balance of Land Revenue in the Northern Division of Arcot for Fusly 1254, or form 12th July 1844 to 11th July 1845.

(67)

	Demand at the beginning of Fusly 1254.	Receipts in Fusly 1254.	Balance at the end of Fusly 1254.	Remission	Net Balance at the end of Fusly 1254.
	Rupees a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Old account previous to Fusly 1226	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Revenue of Fusly 1226					
1227					
1228					
1229					
1230					
1231	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1232					
1233					
1234					
1235					
1236					
1237					
1238					
Total as entered in the Statement sent to the Accountant General	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Fusly 1239	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1240	4,409 2 2	1 11 "	4,407 7 2	4,199 10 1	207 13 1
1241	3,386 1 "	52 " 6	3,334 " 6	2,945 8 4	388 8 2
1242	11,164 2 4	169 " 9	10,995 1 7	9,592 4 7	1,402 13 "
1243	6,028 14 6	61 4 8	5,967 9 10	5,408 7 6	559 2 4
1244	7,821 9 6	47 12 6	7,773 13 "	7,245 10 "	528 3 "
1245	7,571 8 10	12 " 8	7,559 8 2	6,638 5 7	921 2 7
1246	4,236 15 6	9 4 7	4,227 10 11	3,469 14 2	757 12 9
1247	2,413 15 6	" " "	2,413 15 6	1,613 1 4	800 14 2
1248	2,496 9 7	1 2 5	2,495 7 2	1,949 14 9	545 8 5
1249	31,399 15 5	30,001 11 8	1,398 3 9	792 5 6	605 14 3
1250	5,071 4 5	47 15 3	5,023 5 2	4,219 2 10	804 2 4
1251	1,05,423 12 "	68,598 8 5	36,825 3 7	8,245 3 5	28,580 " 2
1252	4,291 10 8	468 2 1	3,823 8 7	2,562 9 1	1,260 15 6
Total as per Demand, Collection, and Balance Statement No. 3 for 11th July 1845	1,95,715 9 5	99,470 10 6	96,244 14 11	58,882 1 2	37,362 13 9
Fusly 1253	1,24,226 14 3	1,19,083 11 1	5,143 3 2	" " "	5,143 3 2
Grand Total corresponding to the Abstract of Receipts and Disbursements for 11th July 1845, of Fusly 1254, sent to the Accountant General	3,19,942 7 8	2,18,554 5 7	1,01,388 2 1	58,882 1 2	42,506 " 11

North Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor, 23d September 1845.

E. C. Fr. (Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Ordered

Order thereon.-

(67-68)

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 8th and entered in Cons. 25th Instant.-

Ordered that the abovementioned letter and the previous correspondence on the Subject be submitted with the following address.-

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

(69)

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of Salem on the Subject of a loss sustained by the Mootahdar of Rayacotah in the District from the Left Wing of the 2d European Light Infantry on their March from Bangalore to Trichinopoly in January last encamping on some of his cultivated fields.-

From Collr. 17th in Cons. 31st July 1845.- To Do. 31st July.- From Do. 8th in Cons. 25th Septr.

2.

Mr. Lockhart states that the loss sustained by the party has been estimated at Rupees 33 inclusive of the expences of cultivation, and that the Mootahdar has agreed to receive as compensation Rupees 16-8-10 being the assessment of the land.- It does not however occur to the Board why the Mootadar should forego the remaining sum of Rupees 16-7-2 and they therefore beg to recommend that the full

full amount of the estimated loss Rupees 33 may be disbursed to him.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 29th September 1845.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of the Southern Division of Arot dated 29th May and entered in Cons. 14th Ultimo.-

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.-

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

(70)

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot transmitting an Extract from the Re-audit of his accounts for fusly 1252.-

29th May in Cons. 14th Augt. 1845.-

The remarks of the Board upon the items retrenched by the Civil Auditor will be found entered in Enclosure No. 3 of the Collector's letter above referred to.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 29th Septr. 1845.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letters.-

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(71)

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In the Extract Minutes of Consultation and

under date the 14th July 1845 containing the orders of Government relative to a correspondence upon the subject of the disposal of certain lands attached to a Pagoda which has fallen to decay it is directed that the lands in question "should be incorporated with "the Government lands and included in the annual Settle=ments." From these expressions I am induced to suspect that my explanation has misled Government as to the method in which the lands were attached to the Pagoda. They have all along been incorporated with the Gover=ment lands, and included in the annual Settlements the right of the Pagoda being confined to that of cultivating and enjoying the produce of them.—

2. I have thought it proper to bring this circumstance to notice and if it should still be deemed unnecessary to sell the lands, the question arises to whom the right of cultivating them shall be given? I presume to the other Cultivators of the Village upon Sammodayem tenure but I beg the favor of instructions on this point.—

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
28th August, 1845

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Resolution thereon—

(71-72)

Under the circumstance stated the Board are of opinion that the lands should be left in possession of the present occupant, or if there be no one now in possession they may be made over to any one willing to take them on the Sircar assessment, in the

the latter case it would be will to give Appagoorooval Petitioner in No. 256 of 1845 the preference.—

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly—

Sir,
Para 1.

(73)

With reference to the orders of the Board under date 7th July last, I have the honor to state that I lately for=warded to the Principal Sudr Ameen to be registered under the provisions of Act XIX of 1843, forty security Bonds executed by Public Servants and 2 executed by Abkarry Renters which the Court informs me cannot be registered without the payment of a fee of 2 Rupees for each bond.—

2. As regards the Abkarry securities the Renters will pay the fees—as regards the public Servants I request that I may be instructed whether the individuals

individuals themselves are to pay the fees, or whether the charge is to be borne by Government - In the latter case it will be necessary that sanction should be obtained for the disbursement of 80 Rupees - and I request also that I may be authorized to pay the fee on all future occasions.-

Trichinopoly ---, Collector's Office, 13th September, 1845. } (Signed) A. P. Onslow. Collector.

Resolution thereon - The Collector will be pleased to state who are the public servants from whom the 40 Security bonds alluded to have been taken, and in what situations they are employed.-

(73-74)

Read.

Read the following letters.-

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Pl. Collr. of Madura ---- } In reply to the Boards' Proceedings of the 21st received on the 26th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you, the alienation of the Village of Narecoody in Shevagunga, to the Avadyar Covil in Tanjore took place prior to the permanent Settlement, and the amount of surplus funds in deposit in the Madura Treasury, up to the end of last Month, is Rupees 68-14-5.-

(75)

Madura ------- 4th September 1845 } (Signed) J. Blackburne Principal Collector.

Resolution thereon - Under the explanation furnished in the foregoing letter the Board sanction the disbursement of Rupees 68-14-5 out of the surplus funds of the Village of Narecoody in deposit, to enable the Zemindar of Shevagunga to execute certain repairs on the tank in that Village.-

(75-76)

To, T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(77)

From the Pl. Collr. of Madura --- } Sir,

1. In reference to such part of the Boards' proceedings of the 26th May as calls upon me for information

Para 2.-

12086 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

information regarding Succession &ca to the Cunnevady Zemindary, in Nellacotay Talook, I have the honor to in=form you that a renewed enquiry, thro' the Tasildar, confirms me in the opinion submitted on the 2d of last November, that Comara Narasunma Appia Naik has legal claim to succeed his deceased Cousin Dhorasawmy Appia Naik, to the estate, according to the Custom of the family, since the deceased left no Male issue, nor was there any posthumous Offspring.—

2. Premising my own opinion that there is no right in Govenment to make provision of any kind for the widows of the late Zemindar out of the funds of the Estate, I proceed to inform you that Nagaammal, the elder of the widows, claims 100 Rupees a month, on a deed of Jointure, passed on the 3d September 1843, and under pre=text of full effect having been given to it till her husbands decease, in November 1844, and she claims the arrears with-held from that time.— For the younger widow, Paupa Ammal, the elder, advocates a Monthly allowance of 35 Rupees.— But having expressed my opinion of the illegality of any sum being assigned from the Assets of the estate, except by a Court of law, you will hardly expect me to state what I think a fair allowance.— The average settlement of the Estate prior to its restoration to the late Zemindar in 1843 for five years was Rupees 52,762—14—4— its peshcush Rupees 38,139—14—2.

Madura
8th September 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pl. Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

(77-77a)

To

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(77b)

From the Pl. Collector of Madura

Reporting how Cunnevady is the only Zemin=dary on which the realization of any item of land Revenue included in the assets on which its permanent settlement was originally cal=culated had been discontinued by a Government officer shewing the advantage from the fact and submitting statements of the annual amount and of the rate of reduction ac=cording to pro=duct and to Village.—

Sir,

1. In answer to your letter of the 18th received on the 24th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you, Connewady alone exhibits an instance in which the realization of any item of land revenue included in the assets upon which a Zemindary's permanent settlement was origi=nally calculated, has been discontinued under the orders of an officer of Government; and in the case in question no Compensation has been made to the proprietor.

2. The reductions appear inti=mately connected with the prosperity of the estate, for till made, it was declining from year to year, till sequestered from the proprietor, and as the reductions gradually took effect and extended, it again became remunerative till at last in 1844, the Proprietor was urgently anxious for, and made happy in its recovery.—

3. Accompanying are two State=ments, the first shewing the annual deductions made since fusly 1227; and the second the rate of reductions on particular items in particular Villages.—

No. 1
No. 2

4. It may be proper to note that the fixed

fixed Peshkush is demandable from Cunnewady, it has never been permanently settled as also that the Pallams of Ediacotay and Maumbaray were similarly benefitted by discretionary remissions, but they were restored to the proprietors on a reduced demand on the 5th July 1839, and do not therefore seem to require particular report, but of those two last Ediacotay was originally permanently settled.

Min: Con: dated 14th June 1839

Madura 18th September 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Memorandum

Memorandum of amount of assessment deducted from the settlement of each year as a discretionary reduction to the ryots under rules of Mr. Peter during sequestration of the Cunnewady Zemindary from fusly 1227 to 1252 A.D. 1817-18 to 1842/43.

Fusly	Amount of discretionary reduction.
1227	5518 5 1
1228	6264 15 8
1229	5844 9 7
1230	5353 8 9
1231	6634 15 4
1232	8256 3 10
1233	953 8 6
1234	4894 5 4
1235	5077 5 10
1236	5473 6 11
1237	6391 8 7
1238	5859 " 1
1239	6765 10 1
1240	7876 15 4
1241	8275 12 7
1242	11085 " 1
1243	11800 11 6
1244	12368 3 1
1245	13654 " 10
1246	10824 6 1
1247	10485 12 7
1248	11693 14 9
1249	11011 3 11
1250	10132 " "
1251	10204 6 6
1252	9371 " 8

Madura 18th September 1845

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pr Collr

1840

Friday morning 29th September 1843

No 2. Memorandum of Sales of Mr Grant's Property / Trees / Shrubs / Plants / Bulbs / &c. &c. &c. sold by Mr Lee Auctioneer Estate Agent &c. &c. &c. on the premises of the late Mr Lockton Peter in the Kingsmead Nurseries Bathwick Road Bath

Commenced sale precisely at 12 o'clock

Number of Lot.	Description of Property.	Date of Sale	Time of Sale	Purchasers Name	Place of Abode	Time of Sale
1	Peaches	29th September 1843	12 o'clock	Mr Grant	Kingsmead Nurseries	7 o'clock
2	Plums					
3	Pears					
4	Apples					
5	Cherries					
6	Gooseberries					
7	Currants					
8	Raspberries					
9	Strawberries					
10	Filberts					
11	Walnuts					
12	Standard Apples					
13	Standard Pears					
14	Standard Plums					
15	Standard Cherries					
16	Standard Peaches					
17	Standard Nectarines					
18	Standard Apricots					
19	Standard Mulberries					
20	Standard Medlars					
21	Standard Quinces					
22	Standard Filberts					
23	Standard Walnuts					
24	Standard Chestnuts					
25	Standard Laburnums					
26	Standard Lilacs					
27	Standard Scarlet Thorns					
28	Standard Double Scarlet Thorns					
29	Standard Double White Thorns					
30	Standard Double Red Thorns					
31	Standard Acacias					
32	Standard Ash					
33	Standard Elm					
34	Standard Poplar					
35	Standard Weeping Ash					
36	Standard Weeping Elm					
37	Standard Weeping Poplar					
38	Standard Weeping Willow					
39	Standard Weeping Birch					
40	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
41	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
42	Standard Weeping Cherry					
43	Standard Weeping Thorn					
44	Standard Weeping Acacia					
45	Standard Weeping Elm					
46	Standard Weeping Poplar					
47	Standard Weeping Willow					
48	Standard Weeping Birch					
49	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
50	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
51	Standard Weeping Cherry					
52	Standard Weeping Thorn					
53	Standard Weeping Acacia					
54	Standard Weeping Elm					
55	Standard Weeping Poplar					
56	Standard Weeping Willow					
57	Standard Weeping Birch					
58	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
59	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
60	Standard Weeping Cherry					
61	Standard Weeping Thorn					
62	Standard Weeping Acacia					
63	Standard Weeping Elm					
64	Standard Weeping Poplar					
65	Standard Weeping Willow					
66	Standard Weeping Birch					
67	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
68	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
69	Standard Weeping Cherry					
70	Standard Weeping Thorn					
71	Standard Weeping Acacia					
72	Standard Weeping Elm					
73	Standard Weeping Poplar					
74	Standard Weeping Willow					
75	Standard Weeping Birch					
76	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
77	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
78	Standard Weeping Cherry					
79	Standard Weeping Thorn					
80	Standard Weeping Acacia					
81	Standard Weeping Elm					
82	Standard Weeping Poplar					
83	Standard Weeping Willow					
84	Standard Weeping Birch					
85	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
86	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
87	Standard Weeping Cherry					
88	Standard Weeping Thorn					
89	Standard Weeping Acacia					
90	Standard Weeping Elm					
91	Standard Weeping Poplar					
92	Standard Weeping Willow					
93	Standard Weeping Birch					
94	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
95	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
96	Standard Weeping Cherry					
97	Standard Weeping Thorn					
98	Standard Weeping Acacia					
99	Standard Weeping Elm					
100	Standard Weeping Poplar					
101	Standard Weeping Willow					
102	Standard Weeping Birch					
103	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
104	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
105	Standard Weeping Cherry					
106	Standard Weeping Thorn					
107	Standard Weeping Acacia					
108	Standard Weeping Elm					
109	Standard Weeping Poplar					
110	Standard Weeping Willow					
111	Standard Weeping Birch					
112	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
113	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
114	Standard Weeping Cherry					
115	Standard Weeping Thorn					
116	Standard Weeping Acacia					
117	Standard Weeping Elm					
118	Standard Weeping Poplar					
119	Standard Weeping Willow					
120	Standard Weeping Birch					
121	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
122	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
123	Standard Weeping Cherry					
124	Standard Weeping Thorn					
125	Standard Weeping Acacia					
126	Standard Weeping Elm					
127	Standard Weeping Poplar					
128	Standard Weeping Willow					
129	Standard Weeping Birch					
130	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
131	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
132	Standard Weeping Cherry					
133	Standard Weeping Thorn					
134	Standard Weeping Acacia					
135	Standard Weeping Elm					
136	Standard Weeping Poplar					
137	Standard Weeping Willow					
138	Standard Weeping Birch					
139	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
140	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
141	Standard Weeping Cherry					
142	Standard Weeping Thorn					
143	Standard Weeping Acacia					
144	Standard Weeping Elm					
145	Standard Weeping Poplar					
146	Standard Weeping Willow					
147	Standard Weeping Birch					
148	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
149	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
150	Standard Weeping Cherry					
151	Standard Weeping Thorn					
152	Standard Weeping Acacia					
153	Standard Weeping Elm					
154	Standard Weeping Poplar					
155	Standard Weeping Willow					
156	Standard Weeping Birch					
157	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
158	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
159	Standard Weeping Cherry					
160	Standard Weeping Thorn					
161	Standard Weeping Acacia					
162	Standard Weeping Elm					
163	Standard Weeping Poplar					
164	Standard Weeping Willow					
165	Standard Weeping Birch					
166	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
167	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
168	Standard Weeping Cherry					
169	Standard Weeping Thorn					
170	Standard Weeping Acacia					
171	Standard Weeping Elm					
172	Standard Weeping Poplar					
173	Standard Weeping Willow					
174	Standard Weeping Birch					
175	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
176	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
177	Standard Weeping Cherry					
178	Standard Weeping Thorn					
179	Standard Weeping Acacia					
180	Standard Weeping Elm					
181	Standard Weeping Poplar					
182	Standard Weeping Willow					
183	Standard Weeping Birch					
184	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
185	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
186	Standard Weeping Cherry					
187	Standard Weeping Thorn					
188	Standard Weeping Acacia					
189	Standard Weeping Elm					
190	Standard Weeping Poplar					
191	Standard Weeping Willow					
192	Standard Weeping Birch					
193	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
194	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
195	Standard Weeping Cherry					
196	Standard Weeping Thorn					
197	Standard Weeping Acacia					
198	Standard Weeping Elm					
199	Standard Weeping Poplar					
200	Standard Weeping Willow					
201	Standard Weeping Birch					
202	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
203	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
204	Standard Weeping Cherry					
205	Standard Weeping Thorn					
206	Standard Weeping Acacia					
207	Standard Weeping Elm					
208	Standard Weeping Poplar					
209	Standard Weeping Willow					
210	Standard Weeping Birch					
211	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
212	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
213	Standard Weeping Cherry					
214	Standard Weeping Thorn					
215	Standard Weeping Acacia					
216	Standard Weeping Elm					
217	Standard Weeping Poplar					
218	Standard Weeping Willow					
219	Standard Weeping Birch					
220	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
221	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
222	Standard Weeping Cherry					
223	Standard Weeping Thorn					
224	Standard Weeping Acacia					
225	Standard Weeping Elm					
226	Standard Weeping Poplar					
227	Standard Weeping Willow					
228	Standard Weeping Birch					
229	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
230	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
231	Standard Weeping Cherry					
232	Standard Weeping Thorn					
233	Standard Weeping Acacia					
234	Standard Weeping Elm					
235	Standard Weeping Poplar					
236	Standard Weeping Willow					
237	Standard Weeping Birch					
238	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
239	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
240	Standard Weeping Cherry					
241	Standard Weeping Thorn					
242	Standard Weeping Acacia					
243	Standard Weeping Elm					
244	Standard Weeping Poplar					
245	Standard Weeping Willow					
246	Standard Weeping Birch					
247	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
248	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
249	Standard Weeping Cherry					
250	Standard Weeping Thorn					
251	Standard Weeping Acacia					
252	Standard Weeping Elm					
253	Standard Weeping Poplar					
254	Standard Weeping Willow					
255	Standard Weeping Birch					
256	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
257	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
258	Standard Weeping Cherry					
259	Standard Weeping Thorn					
260	Standard Weeping Acacia					
261	Standard Weeping Elm					
262	Standard Weeping Poplar					
263	Standard Weeping Willow					
264	Standard Weeping Birch					
265	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
266	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
267	Standard Weeping Cherry					
268	Standard Weeping Thorn					
269	Standard Weeping Acacia					
270	Standard Weeping Elm					
271	Standard Weeping Poplar					
272	Standard Weeping Willow					
273	Standard Weeping Birch					
274	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
275	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
276	Standard Weeping Cherry					
277	Standard Weeping Thorn					
278	Standard Weeping Acacia					
279	Standard Weeping Elm					
280	Standard Weeping Poplar					
281	Standard Weeping Willow					
282	Standard Weeping Birch					
283	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
284	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
285	Standard Weeping Cherry					
286	Standard Weeping Thorn					
287	Standard Weeping Acacia					
288	Standard Weeping Elm					
289	Standard Weeping Poplar					
290	Standard Weeping Willow					
291	Standard Weeping Birch					
292	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
293	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
294	Standard Weeping Cherry					
295	Standard Weeping Thorn					
296	Standard Weeping Acacia					
297	Standard Weeping Elm					
298	Standard Weeping Poplar					
299	Standard Weeping Willow					
300	Standard Weeping Birch					
301	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
302	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
303	Standard Weeping Cherry					
304	Standard Weeping Thorn					
305	Standard Weeping Acacia					
306	Standard Weeping Elm					
307	Standard Weeping Poplar					
308	Standard Weeping Willow					
309	Standard Weeping Birch					
310	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
311	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
312	Standard Weeping Cherry					
313	Standard Weeping Thorn					
314	Standard Weeping Acacia					
315	Standard Weeping Elm					
316	Standard Weeping Poplar					
317	Standard Weeping Willow					
318	Standard Weeping Birch					
319	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
320	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
321	Standard Weeping Cherry					
322	Standard Weeping Thorn					
323	Standard Weeping Acacia					
324	Standard Weeping Elm					
325	Standard Weeping Poplar					
326	Standard Weeping Willow					
327	Standard Weeping Birch					
328	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
329	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
330	Standard Weeping Cherry					
331	Standard Weeping Thorn					
332	Standard Weeping Acacia					
333	Standard Weeping Elm					
334	Standard Weeping Poplar					
335	Standard Weeping Willow					
336	Standard Weeping Birch					
337	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
338	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
339	Standard Weeping Cherry					
340	Standard Weeping Thorn					
341	Standard Weeping Acacia					
342	Standard Weeping Elm					
343	Standard Weeping Poplar					
344	Standard Weeping Willow					
345	Standard Weeping Birch					
346	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
347	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
348	Standard Weeping Cherry					
349	Standard Weeping Thorn					
350	Standard Weeping Acacia					
351	Standard Weeping Elm					
352	Standard Weeping Poplar					
353	Standard Weeping Willow					
354	Standard Weeping Birch					
355	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
356	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
357	Standard Weeping Cherry					
358	Standard Weeping Thorn					
359	Standard Weeping Acacia					
360	Standard Weeping Elm					
361	Standard Weeping Poplar					
362	Standard Weeping Willow					
363	Standard Weeping Birch					
364	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
365	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
366	Standard Weeping Cherry					
367	Standard Weeping Thorn					
368	Standard Weeping Acacia					
369	Standard Weeping Elm					
370	Standard Weeping Poplar					
371	Standard Weeping Willow					
372	Standard Weeping Birch					
373	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
374	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
375	Standard Weeping Cherry					
376	Standard Weeping Thorn					
377	Standard Weeping Acacia					
378	Standard Weeping Elm					
379	Standard Weeping Poplar					
380	Standard Weeping Willow					
381	Standard Weeping Birch					
382	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
383	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
384	Standard Weeping Cherry					
385	Standard Weeping Thorn					
386	Standard Weeping Acacia					
387	Standard Weeping Elm					
388	Standard Weeping Poplar					
389	Standard Weeping Willow					
390	Standard Weeping Birch					
391	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
392	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
393	Standard Weeping Cherry					
394	Standard Weeping Thorn					
395	Standard Weeping Acacia					
396	Standard Weeping Elm					
397	Standard Weeping Poplar					
398	Standard Weeping Willow					
399	Standard Weeping Birch					
400	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
401	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
402	Standard Weeping Cherry					
403	Standard Weeping Thorn					
404	Standard Weeping Acacia					
405	Standard Weeping Elm					
406	Standard Weeping Poplar					
407	Standard Weeping Willow					
408	Standard Weeping Birch					
409	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
410	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
411	Standard Weeping Cherry					
412	Standard Weeping Thorn					
413	Standard Weeping Acacia					
414	Standard Weeping Elm					
415	Standard Weeping Poplar					
416	Standard Weeping Willow					
417	Standard Weeping Birch					
418	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
419	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
420	Standard Weeping Cherry					
421	Standard Weeping Thorn					
422	Standard Weeping Acacia					
423	Standard Weeping Elm					
424	Standard Weeping Poplar					
425	Standard Weeping Willow					
426	Standard Weeping Birch					
427	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
428	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
429	Standard Weeping Cherry					
430	Standard Weeping Thorn					
431	Standard Weeping Acacia					
432	Standard Weeping Elm					
433	Standard Weeping Poplar					
434	Standard Weeping Willow					
435	Standard Weeping Birch					
436	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
437	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
438	Standard Weeping Cherry					
439	Standard Weeping Thorn					
440	Standard Weeping Acacia					
441	Standard Weeping Elm					
442	Standard Weeping Poplar					
443	Standard Weeping Willow					
444	Standard Weeping Birch					
445	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
446	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
447	Standard Weeping Cherry					
448	Standard Weeping Thorn					
449	Standard Weeping Acacia					
450	Standard Weeping Elm					
451	Standard Weeping Poplar					
452	Standard Weeping Willow					
453	Standard Weeping Birch					
454	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
455	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
456	Standard Weeping Cherry					
457	Standard Weeping Thorn					
458	Standard Weeping Acacia					
459	Standard Weeping Elm					
460	Standard Weeping Poplar					
461	Standard Weeping Willow					
462	Standard Weeping Birch					
463	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
464	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
465	Standard Weeping Cherry					
466	Standard Weeping Thorn					
467	Standard Weeping Acacia					
468	Standard Weeping Elm					
469	Standard Weeping Poplar					
470	Standard Weeping Willow					
471	Standard Weeping Birch					
472	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
473	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
474	Standard Weeping Cherry					
475	Standard Weeping Thorn					
476	Standard Weeping Acacia					
477	Standard Weeping Elm					
478	Standard Weeping Poplar					
479	Standard Weeping Willow					
480	Standard Weeping Birch					
481	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
482	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
483	Standard Weeping Cherry					
484	Standard Weeping Thorn					
485	Standard Weeping Acacia					
486	Standard Weeping Elm					
487	Standard Weeping Poplar					
488	Standard Weeping Willow					
489	Standard Weeping Birch					
490	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
491	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
492	Standard Weeping Cherry					
493	Standard Weeping Thorn					
494	Standard Weeping Acacia					
495	Standard Weeping Elm					
496	Standard Weeping Poplar					
497	Standard Weeping Willow					
498	Standard Weeping Birch					
499	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
500	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
501	Standard Weeping Cherry					
502	Standard Weeping Thorn					
503	Standard Weeping Acacia					
504	Standard Weeping Elm					
505	Standard Weeping Poplar					
506	Standard Weeping Willow					
507	Standard Weeping Birch					
508	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
509	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
510	Standard Weeping Cherry					
511	Standard Weeping Thorn					
512	Standard Weeping Acacia					
513	Standard Weeping Elm					
514	Standard Weeping Poplar					
515	Standard Weeping Willow					
516	Standard Weeping Birch					
517	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
518	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
519	Standard Weeping Cherry					
520	Standard Weeping Thorn					
521	Standard Weeping Acacia					
522	Standard Weeping Elm					
523	Standard Weeping Poplar					
524	Standard Weeping Willow					
525	Standard Weeping Birch					
526	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
527	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
528	Standard Weeping Cherry					
529	Standard Weeping Thorn					
530	Standard Weeping Acacia					
531	Standard Weeping Elm					
532	Standard Weeping Poplar					
533	Standard Weeping Willow					
534	Standard Weeping Birch					
535	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
536	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
537	Standard Weeping Cherry					
538	Standard Weeping Thorn					
539	Standard Weeping Acacia					
540	Standard Weeping Elm					
541	Standard Weeping Poplar					
542	Standard Weeping Willow					
543	Standard Weeping Birch					
544	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
545	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
546	Standard Weeping Cherry					
547	Standard Weeping Thorn					
548	Standard Weeping Acacia					
549	Standard Weeping Elm					
550	Standard Weeping Poplar					
551	Standard Weeping Willow					
552	Standard Weeping Birch					
553	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
554	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
555	Standard Weeping Cherry					
556	Standard Weeping Thorn					
557	Standard Weeping Acacia					
558	Standard Weeping Elm					
559	Standard Weeping Poplar					
560	Standard Weeping Willow					
561	Standard Weeping Birch					
562	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
563	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
564	Standard Weeping Cherry					
565	Standard Weeping Thorn					
566	Standard Weeping Acacia					
567	Standard Weeping Elm					
568	Standard Weeping Poplar					
569	Standard Weeping Willow					
570	Standard Weeping Birch					
571	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
572	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
573	Standard Weeping Cherry					
574	Standard Weeping Thorn					
575	Standard Weeping Acacia					
576	Standard Weeping Elm					
577	Standard Weeping Poplar					
578	Standard Weeping Willow					
579	Standard Weeping Birch					
580	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
581	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
582	Standard Weeping Cherry					
583	Standard Weeping Thorn					
584	Standard Weeping Acacia					
585	Standard Weeping Elm					
586	Standard Weeping Poplar					
587	Standard Weeping Willow					
588	Standard Weeping Birch					
589	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
590	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
591	Standard Weeping Cherry					
592	Standard Weeping Thorn					
593	Standard Weeping Acacia					
594	Standard Weeping Elm					
595	Standard Weeping Poplar					
596	Standard Weeping Willow					
597	Standard Weeping Birch					
598	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
599	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
600	Standard Weeping Cherry					
601	Standard Weeping Thorn					
602	Standard Weeping Acacia					
603	Standard Weeping Elm					
604	Standard Weeping Poplar					
605	Standard Weeping Willow					
606	Standard Weeping Birch					
607	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
608	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
609	Standard Weeping Cherry					
610	Standard Weeping Thorn					
611	Standard Weeping Acacia					
612	Standard Weeping Elm					
613	Standard Weeping Poplar					
614	Standard Weeping Willow					
615	Standard Weeping Birch					
616	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
617	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
618	Standard Weeping Cherry					
619	Standard Weeping Thorn					
620	Standard Weeping Acacia					
621	Standard Weeping Elm					
622	Standard Weeping Poplar					
623	Standard Weeping Willow					
624	Standard Weeping Birch					
625	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
626	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
627	Standard Weeping Cherry					
628	Standard Weeping Thorn					
629	Standard Weeping Acacia					
630	Standard Weeping Elm					
631	Standard Weeping Poplar					
632	Standard Weeping Willow					
633	Standard Weeping Birch					
634	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
635	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
636	Standard Weeping Cherry					
637	Standard Weeping Thorn					
638	Standard Weeping Acacia					
639	Standard Weeping Elm					
640	Standard Weeping Poplar					
641	Standard Weeping Willow					
642	Standard Weeping Birch					
643	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
644	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
645	Standard Weeping Cherry					
646	Standard Weeping Thorn					
647	Standard Weeping Acacia					
648	Standard Weeping Elm					
649	Standard Weeping Poplar					
650	Standard Weeping Willow					
651	Standard Weeping Birch					
652	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
653	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
654	Standard Weeping Cherry					
655	Standard Weeping Thorn					
656	Standard Weeping Acacia					
657	Standard Weeping Elm					
658	Standard Weeping Poplar					
659	Standard Weeping Willow					
660	Standard Weeping Birch					
661	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
662	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
663	Standard Weeping Cherry					
664	Standard Weeping Thorn					
665	Standard Weeping Acacia					
666	Standard Weeping Elm					
667	Standard Weeping Poplar					
668	Standard Weeping Willow					
669	Standard Weeping Birch					
670	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
671	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
672	Standard Weeping Cherry					
673	Standard Weeping Thorn					
674	Standard Weeping Acacia					
675	Standard Weeping Elm					
676	Standard Weeping Poplar					
677	Standard Weeping Willow					
678	Standard Weeping Birch					
679	Standard Weeping Mountain Ash					
680	Standard Weeping Laburnum					
681	Standard Weeping Cherry					
682	Standard Weeping Thorn					
683	Standard Weeping Acacia					
684	Standard Weeping Elm					
685	Standard Weeping Poplar					
686	Standard Weeping Willow					
687	Standard Weeping Birch					
688	Standard Weeping

1209.

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

1 Villages.	2 Description of Cultivation.	3	Rate of Hoolas or Original Survey tase per Hooly		Tase after deducting the Discretionary reductions.		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands Converted into Nunjah and Garden.		Tase after deducting the discretionary reductions.	
			4		5		6		7	
			Puns.	fan.	Puns	fan.	Puns	fan.	Puns	fan.
3. Ummaputty.	Nunjah. Betel Garden		6	3	5	"	"	"	"	"
	Plantain Dº		4	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
			3	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
	Nunjah Cultivation		3	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
			2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	4	"	"	"	"
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	Poonjah. Coday Garden		"	5	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"
			1	3	1	"	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
	Poonjah		"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	5	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
	Nunjah. Paddy fields		1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
4. Cotapully.	Poonjah. Tobacco		2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	8	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	8	1	8
	Coday Garden		"	"	"	"	2	5	1	5
			"	"	"	"	2	"	1	5
			1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
	Poonjah		1	"	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
	Nunjah. Betel Garden		5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
	Sugar Cane		"	"	"	"	3	"	3	"
5. Seeloovarputty.	Nunjah. Paddy fields		2	"	1	6	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	6	1	"
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
	Poonjah. Tobacco		2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	8	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	8	1	5
	Coday Garden		2	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"
	Poonjah		1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	3½	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"

12092

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Number	Villages	Description of Cultivation	Rate of Hooloos or Original survey tax per Hooly		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands converted into Nunjah and Garden		Tax after deducting the discretionary reductions		
1	2	3	4		5		6		7		8
			Puns	fa.	Puns	fa.	Puns	fa.	Puns	fa.	
6.	Alagaputty	Nunjah — Betel Garden	5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	
		Nunjah — Paddy fields	2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"	
			1	"	1	"	1	3	1	3	
			"	"	"	"	"	8	"	8	
			"	5	"	6	"	"	"	"	
		Poonjah — Tobacco	2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	1	8	1	5	
		Poonjah — Coday Garden	"	"	"	"	2	"	1	5	
			1	5	1	1	1	3	1	2	
			1	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	
		Poonjah	1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"	
			"	6	"	4	"	"	"	"	
			"	5	"	3	"	"	"	"	
			"	4	"	3	"	"	"	"	
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"	
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"	
7.	Soolleroora poo.	Nunjah — Paddy fields	"	"	"	"	1	3	1	3	
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	
		Poonjah — Tobacco	2	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	
		Poonjah — Coday Garden	1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"	
			"	"	"	"	1	3	1	3	
		Poonjah	1	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	
			"	8	"	4	"	"	"	"	
			"	6	"	4	"	"	"	"	
			"	4	"	3	"	"	"	"	
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"	
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"	

12093 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Number	Villages	Description of Cultivation	Rate of Hooloos or Original survey tax per Hooly		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands converted into Nunjah and Garden		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions	
1	2	3	4		5		6		7	
			Puns	fat	Puns	fat	Puns	fat	Puns	fat
		Nunjah Paddy fields	"	"	"	"	1	2	1	"
			"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
		Poonjah Tobacco	2	5	1	8	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
		Coday Garden	2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	2	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	2	1	2
	Pulkanooth		1	5	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	2	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	"	7	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	5	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	1	"	1	"	"	"	"
		Coday Garden	1	3	1	3	"	"	"	"
			1	5	"	7	"	"	"	"
	9. Neelamala-cotay	Poonjah	1	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	4	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	"	6	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	5	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	1	"	1	"	"	"	"

Quarter 24 [illegible]

1204. George 2d by the Grace of God &c. 1825.

Ville Marie

Return of Conviction

Date of Conviction	Name of Offender	Trade or Calling	Residence	Nature of Offence	Punishment awarded	Time when Punishment to commence	Time when Punishment to expire
1	2	3				4	5
11 February	Thomas Hackett	Labourer	Montreal	Assault & Battery	Fined 5/ & Costs 1/3	11 February	11 March
10. April	Joseph Lefebvre	Labourer	Montreal	Assault & Battery	Fined 5/ & Costs 1/3	10. April	10. May

12005 Fort St. George 29th September 1815.

1 Number.	Villages.	2 Description of Cultivation.	3	Rate of Hooloo or original Survey Tax per Hooly.		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions.		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands Converted into Nunjah and Garden.		Tax after deducting the discretionary reductions.	
				4		5		6		7	
				Puns	fal.	Puns	fal.	Puns	fal.	Puns	fal.
		Nunjah.	Betel Garden	5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
			Paddy fields	2	5	1	8	"	"	"	"
				2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
				1	5	1	2	"	"	"	"
				1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
				"	8	1	"	"	"	"	"
12	Palaya Cunneady			"	4	1	"	"	"	"	"
			Tobacco	"	"	"	"	1	5	1	5
			Coday Gardens	2	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
				1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
				1	3	1	1	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah.		1	"	"	9	"	"	"	"
				"	8	"	6	"	"	"	"
				"	6	"	5	"	"	"	"
			Poonjah	"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"
				"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"
				"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
		Nunjah.	Betel Garden	5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
			Plantain Dº	2	5	2	5	"	"	"	"
				2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
				2	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
			Paddy fields	1	5	4	"	"	"	"	"
				"	"	1	"	1	3	1	3
				1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
13	Chuttraputty		Plantain Garden	3	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah.		2	"	"	9	"	"	"	"
				1	5	"	9	"	"	"	"
				1	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
				1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			Poonjah	"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
				"	6	"	4	"	"	"	"
				"	4	"	2	"	"	"	"
				"	3	"	2	"	"	"	"
				"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"

1849

Protokoll über Abgang 29ste Abtheilung 1845.

Nummer.

Klasse.

Benennung der Abtheilung.

Datum des Abgangs	Name und Stand	Wohnort	Grund des Abgangs	Dauer der Krankheit	Tag des Todes
			Krankheit oder Verletzung	Jahre Monate Tage	

15 Mannschaften.

Oberjäger — Pointäk

1	"	"	7	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	6	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Oberjäger — Neupäk

3	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	5	"	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	3	"	2	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	1	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	6	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	6	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Oberjäger — Pointäk

"	1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	5	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	6	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	3	"	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	5	"	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	3	"	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	5	"	3	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	"	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	5	"	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	3	"	5	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	5	"	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	3	"	6	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	5	"	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	3	"	7	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	5	"	7	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	3	"	8	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	5	"	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	3	"	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	5	"	9	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	3	"	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	5	"	10	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	3	"	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	5	"	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

11 Jäger-Abth.

Oberjäger — Pointäk

1	3	"	1	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	5	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	3	"	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	5	"	2	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	3	"	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	5	"	3	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	3	"	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	5	"	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	3	"	5	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	5	"	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	3	"	6	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	5	"	6	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	3	"	7	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	5	"	7	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	3	"	8	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	5	"	8	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	3	"	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	5	"	9	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	3	"	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	5	"	10	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	3	"	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	5	"	11	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

12097

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Number	Villages	Description of Cultivation	Rate of Hooloos or original survey tax per Hooly		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands converted into Nunjah and Garden		Tax after deducting the discretionary reductions	
1	2	3	4		5		6		7	
			Puns	fa	Puns	fa	Puns	fa	Puns	fa
16	Iyempollium	Nunjah: Betel Garden	6	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
			5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
		Plantain Do	3	"	3	"	"	"	"	"
		Paddy fields	3	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
			2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	6	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	"	4	"	3½	"	"	"	"
		Plantain Garden	3	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
			2	5	2	5	"	"	"	"
			2	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
			1	"	2	5	"	"	"	"
		Tobacco	"	"	"	"	2	"	1	8
		Coday Garden	1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	3	1	3
		Poonjah	1	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
			"	1	"	1	"	"	"	"
		Nunjah: Paddy fields	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"
			"	"	"	"	"	9	"	9
17	Cullikumputty	Poonjah: Tobacco	"	"	"	"	2	"	2	"
		Coday Garden	1	8	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	3½	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"

12098

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Number	Villages	Description of Cultivation	Rate of Hooloos or Original survey tax per Hooly		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions		Peculiar rate fixed for Poonjah lands Converted into Nunjah and Garden		Tax after deducting the Discretionary reductions	
1	2	3	4		5		6		7	
			Puns	fas.	Puns	fas.	Puns	fas.	Puns	fas.
18.	Hasavanaputty	Nunjah — Paddy fields	2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	4	"	"	"	"
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"
			"	5	"	6	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah — Tobacco	2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	3	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah — Coday Garden	2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	3	1	3	"	"	"	"
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	8	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	4½	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	"	4	"	3½	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	2½	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"
		Nunjah — Betel Garden	5	"	5	"	"	"	"	"
		Sugar Cane Do.	"	"	"	"	3	"	3	"
		Nunjah — Paddy fields	3	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	5	2	"	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	4	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	3	1	4
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	"	6	"	6
			"	"	"	"	"	4	"	6
19.	Chindalagoondoo	Poonjah — Tobacco	2	5	1	8	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	2	"	1	8
			"	"	"	"	1	5	1	5
		Poonjah — Coday Garden	2	5	1	5	"	"	"	"
			2	"	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	8	1	5	"	"	"	"
			1	5	1	3	"	"	"	"
			1	3	1	1	"	"	"	"
			"	"	"	"	1	2	1	2
			1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	8	"	"	"	"
		Poonjah	2	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	5	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	3	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	2	"	6	"	"	"	"
			1	"	"	6	"	"	"	"
			"	8	"	5	"	"	"	"
			"	7	"	4½	"	"	"	"
			"	6	"	4½	"	"	"	"
			"	5	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	4	"	4	"	"	"	"
			"	3	"	3	"	"	"	"
			"	2	"	2	"	"	"	"

12100

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

To

J. Dyecroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(79)

From the Magistrate of Innanelly forwarding for the Sanction of Government an application for a slight Increase to the Police Establishment at Tutacorin in the Innanelly Distrlct.

Para 1. I have the honor to request the recommendation of the Revenue Board for the Sanction of Government of a slight increase to the Police Establishment at Tutacorin in this District, and which I have refrained from requesting till its absolute necessity has rendered it indispensible.

2. The whole Police duty of Tutacorin has been hitherto conducted by a Police Ameen and 2 peons. An Establishment quite inadequate to the present wants of the place; it has never indeed been sufficient, but while Tutacorin remained a quiet unfrequented place, and possessed an European Master Attendant, the Establishment was made to suffice: The case is now altered: there is no Master Attendant the trade, population and Police business of the place has trebled: where formerly 1 Vessel in a year touched for Cotton, there are now several arriving Monthly. Sometimes 1800 Ton Ships. European and other Crews are landing the place full of workmen erecting Cotton Screws, and other Buildings

12101 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Buildings, requiring of course much more Police Surveillance, and vigilance against fire, theft, Drunkenness, quarrels &ca the 2 peons are utterly insufficient so obviously so that the Merchants in the place lately offered themselves to pay for 2 More peons, if appointed, which I deemed unadvisable from private parties. large quantities of Cotton are often exposed on the shore, waiting for Shipping. A Ship+ of 435 Tons lately got on the Rocks and was very nearly wrecked: it was with the greatest difficulty that Boats and Assistance could be procured for her, from the inability of the Police to collect them speedily. A large Cotton screw has been lately burnt in the neighbourhood, and incendiarism suspected. the same may again occur without check or detection unless the Police force be strengthened. As the lowest possible increase of Establishment needful for the prevention of Crime, and protection of property, I request early Sanction for 3 Peons at 4 Rupees each, and one Police Gomastah at 7 Rupees per Mensem the Police Ameen, having now to pay a Volunteer out of his own Salary, having

+ The Rajastan

A Ship has since been on fire in the Roads and Assistance required.

+ as per Enclosed application

no Goomastah attached to him.

3. This application was made to the Court of Foujdary Udalut but was returned as shown in the enclosed Copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of Foujdary Udalut under date the 26th August 1845.

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
12th September 1845.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas.
Magistrate.

Application

12103 Fort St. George 25th September 1845.

No. 1.

Application referred to, in para 2 of a letter from the Magistrate of Tinnevelly to the secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George dated 12th September 1845.

Office to which the proposition refers.	Proposition	Temporary Increase per Month	Casual or Extraordinary Decrease per Month	Grounds of proposition
Tutacorin. Police Establishment in the District of Tinnevelly	Permanent Increase per Month	Increase per Month	Decrease per Month	The trade, population and Police business of Tutacorin having greatly increased of late (with a commensurate increase to Government of Export Revenue) the addition now proposed to the Establishment is absolutely necessary.
	Nature of charges			
	1. Goomastah 7			
	3. Peons at 12			
	4. Rs each			
	19			

Tinnevelly Tencausey 12th September 1845. (Signed) E. B. Thomas Magistrate.

Extract

12104 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

No. 2.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Adalut under date the 26th August 1845.

Read communication under date the 31st Ultimo from the Magistrate of Tinnevelly.

1. The Magistrate submits for the Sanction of Government an application for an increase to the Police Establishment at Tutacorin in the District of Tinnevelly.

2. The Court believe that the Revenue Board is the legitimate channel for the submission to Government

12105 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Government of a communication of this nature, and accordingly direct that this application be returned to the Magistrate.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Magistrate of Tinnevelly for his information.

(True Extract)

To the Magistrate of Tinnevelly

(Signed) A. G. Arbuthnot
Head Assistt. to the Regr.

(True Copy)

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Magistrate

79-80

Order thereon.
(Read again in Conv. 2d Octr.)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

12106 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

81

Sir,

From E. B. Thomas Esqre.
Collector of
Tinnevelly

Submitting copy and Translation of a Plaint in O.S. No. 25 of 1845 preferred against the Collector and 5 others of this District together with a Draft of the Answer and a List shewing the demand Collection and balance on the portion of the land in Dispute and requesting to obtain sanction to defend the suit at public expence

1 I have the honor to submit Copy and Translation of a Plaint in Original Suit No. 25 of 1845 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court in Tinnevelly, preferred against myself in my Official capacity, as also against 5 others of this District, by Saidooramanadapundarum Manager of the Ramaswaram Pagoda in the Madura District and 34 others, Ryots of Poovany Village subject to the Talook of Ottapedarum, together with a Draft of the Answer I propose to file in the Court.

2. On reference to the Plaint and Answer above referred to, it will appear to the Board, that the Boundary dispute in question, arose long before my appointment to this District, or in Fusly 1239, in which the land in question was brought under the plough. Messrs. Mellor and Blackburne (the former Collectors) passed orders in 1833 and 1834 to the effect, "that the then occupants of the disputed land should continue in possession of the land, and the opposite party should seek redress in the

Fort St George 29th September 1815.

the Civil Court; but in Fusly 1216, Mr Bishop who was in Charge of the District, in view to put an end to the dispute, ordered the cause to be disposed of by Punchayet, composed of 5 Members. Of these 4 were appointed on behalf of both the Villages and the 5th on that of Government.

3. The award passed by 4 of the 5 Members above alluded to, in favor of the Poovany Ryots, was set aside agreeably to the final orders of Government dated 21st June 1814 on this ground that all the Members did not sit together and examine the cause as prescribed in the Regulations; and that it did not receive the signature of all the Members consequent on the 5th Member or Circar Punchayt having differed in opinion from the others 4 Arbitrators.

4. It is worthy of remark that the land under litigation though erroneously included in the alavologoo Accounts of both the Villages, has originally been at the time of measurement entered in the accounts, as forming part of the land attached to Secaracoody only.

Enclosed is a list shewing the Demand Collection and Balance on the portion of the land in Dispute.

Under these circumstances, I request you will be pleased to obtain sanction

Fort St George 29th September 1815.

sanction for me to defend the suit at public expence.

Tinnevelly, Tencausey, 15th September 1815.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

No 1.

Translation of a Plaint presented to the Principal Sudr Ameen at Tinnevelly

Plaintiffs.	Versus	Defendants.
1 Saidooramanada pundaram the Manager of Ramaswarum Pagoda in the Madura Zillah Coomarasawmy Naick Nattamygar son of Tawny Naick residing in Poovany a Cutgootah Village attached to Ramaswarum Pagoda and formerly subject to the Talook of Streevycoontum but now to that of Ottapedarum Talook	E. B. Thomas Esqre Collector of Tinnevelly	1
3 Soodalymoottoo Pillay son of Iyenpermalpilly Nattangar	Kullia Cownden son of Ramasawmy Cownden of Seckeracoody in Ottapedarum Talook	2
	Ramasawmy Cownden alias Vellia Cownden	3
	Nagapah Cownden son of Mooteecoomara Cownden	4
	Narayanapilly son of Muroomooqumpillay and Soobramaniapillay son of Sungaralingumchitty the late Curnum of Seckeracoody Village but present Curnum in Punnumpary Village	5

Veeruppah

4 Veeruppah Naick son of Sinnapermal Naick a minor — Village in Punjamahl Talook

5. Ramasawmy Naick the uncle and guardian of the said Minor —

6. Aulour Naick son of Yellappa Naick —

7. Veeruppah Naick son of Cuppelpermal Naick

8 Eraria Naick a Cavilgar son of Erana Naick

9 Sorymootoo son of Puttanasary —

10 Dalayoy Naick son of Periamullia Naick a minor and Cavilgar —

11. Mootoonaicken the uncle and guardian of the said Minor —

12 Vurnanaicken son of Sooba Naicken

13 Vuckery Naicken son of Erama Naicken

14 Sinnamulla Naicken father's name unknown

15. Suckia Naicken son of Rasana Naicken

16. Permal Naicken son of Sooba Naicken

17. Ramasawmy Naicken son of Poovana Naicken

18 Pondya Naicken son of Ramasawmy Naicken

19. Poocheemaden a Pullen son of Sodaly Sottien

20 Pullen son of Aundy —

21 Valaydeiven son of Soobramonia pillay of Saidooramalingapooram —

22 Erra Covenden son of Poolla Cownden

23 Paupoo reddy son of Canoocooreddy

24 Moorooguppilly son of Nellicoomaroo pillay of Sevalinguni

25 Sivalingum reddy son of Viapareddy —

26 Bommooreddy son of Coomarnadyreddy —

27 Mullapparedy son of Sunkerareddy —

28 Lickooreddy son of Lickooreddy —

29 Elavapareddy son of Autoo Viapareddy —

30 Veeracummooreddy son of Caumooreddy —

31 Valapareddy son of Ramooreddy —

32 Peeroomalareddy son of Coomarooreddy —

33 Sungara Cownden son of Poly Cownden

34 Sinnapareddy son of Vauvooreddy

35 Peroomalareddy son of Sinnacoornoorooreddy —

36 Sunglymaden Pillay son of Sooba Pillay —

37 Hary Caurapah Pillay son of Sooba Pillay —

38 Suroonachellum Pillay son of Crooluppapillay —

39 Nullacunnoo pillay son of Soodalapillay —

40 Sevanunjapermalpilly son of Tavascapilly —

41 Madasawmypilly son of Durmapilly —

42 Valoyudum washerman son of Moonady —

43 Vellien the nephew of Sungeralingum —

44 Caroopon son of Alagum —

45 Alvarnaicken son of Capooda Naicken —

46. Pitchoo Naicken son of Sooba Naicken

47 Veeruppa Naicken son of Linga Naicken of Singathasoorchey —

48. Draviempillay Cunnumi son of Perama = nayagumpillay —

49 Suckia Naicken the Cavilgar son of Ramasawmy

Ramasawmy Naicken—
50 Mullaya Naicken son of Permal Naicken
51 Camachyreddy son of Nagooreddy—
52 Sungaralingumpillay son of Soodaliapillay—
53. Sinnaharcharapootrapilly son of Thava=
=sivapillay—
54. Mootoosawmy pillay son of Sunjoopillay
55 Chinnacamooreddy son of Camachy reddy—
Vakeel Sunkaranarayanapilly—

Para 1 The Cutgootah Village of Poovany attached together with its hamlets (Keela=poovany and Lutchmypoorum) to Rama=swarum coil Pagoda, yielding an annual revenue of Rupees 619—14—. is subject to the Pagoda Village of Saithooramalingapoorum. The Defendants from 2nd to 6th object to the enjoyment of 103 28/64 chains of 2d class Pottel paurvia land and 8 27/64 chains of 1st class Pottel land (the former from Fusly 1239 and the latter from 1250) both together amounting 111 25/32 chains of Malgoozary Poonjah land, yielding an yearly revenue of Rupees 691—9—5. The Defendants in question assert that the land under litigation, is Peroombuth or Circar land, and in the enjoyment of the Plaintiff, and granted as Cutgootah to the Ramaswarum Pogoda, and have accordingly

got an order passed by the Collector to the effect, that the Teerwah thereon should be paid to the Circar—Therefore the Plaintiffs pray the Court to set aside the above order and confirm the right of the Pagoda to the Cutgootah tenure—The Plaintiffs sue the Defendants for the recovery of Rupees 252—1—. being the value of their property zufted and sold by public auction, and Rupees 427—10—. being the value of 144 cottahs of grain destroyed in Fusly 1245, by preventing the Plaintiffs from 2d to 4th from cutting their crops and also Rupees 3,626—15—10— collected from the Plaintiffs from Fusly 1247 down to Fusly 1253, on account of Teerwah on the disputed lands in question, amounting in all to Rupees 4,306—10—10— The total amount to be recovered is Rupees 4,998—4—3 inclusive of the annual revenue of Rupees 691—9—5. abovementioned—

2d The Village of Poovany together with its dependant hamlets Keelapoovany and Lutchmepoorum, having from a length of time belonged to the said Pagoda as Cutgootah Village, the other Plaintiffs were in the habit of cultivating the lands with the permission of the 1st Plaintiff and his forefathers and paid their respective Teerwahs to the then Village Moniagar, deputed by the trustee of the

the Pagoda - The eastern limits of the Village of Poovany in which the lands under litigation and some other lands lie being distant from Keelapoovany where the Plaintiffs reside, the lands in dispute together with other lands were left fallow To remedy the evil the Village of Saithooramalingapooram was founded in Fusly 1219 or 1809 in the vicinity and on the east side of Poovany by the trustees of the Pagoda and peopled by some of the Plaintiffs and other Ryots by whom the waste lands included in the Alavoloogoo accounts of the Village of Poovany were cultivated and of the Teerwah collected thereon a part was paid to the Circar on account of Cutgootahs and the remainder to the Manager of the Pagoda —

3d The said Cutgootah Villages having been by the predecessor of the 1st Plaintiff rented out from Fusly 1222 to Fusly 1229 to Rajaraw=joseyer of Tinnevelly the Teerwah was paid to the said Renter, but during the attachment of the said Villages by the Collector of Madura District from Fusly 1230 to 1234 it was paid into the Davastanum kutcherry of Madura and from Fusly 1235 to 1237 the Teerwah was paid to the then renter Ramasingar the

agent

agent of the late Vengoo Moodeliar of Palam=cottah When the Village came under aumany in Fusly 1238, the Teerwah was paid to Circar as per order of the 1st Plaintiff —

4th The Defendants / with the excep=tion of the 1st / having allowed their Cattle to graze in the pasture of the Poovany Village in the enjoyment of the Plaintiffs as aforesaid a dispute arose between them and the Plaintiffs, and through feelings of enmity Defendants / except the 1st / instigated the Kumber Curnum to enter in the Canasagoolady account the lands cultivated by the Plaintiffs from 2d to 4th as if they were attached to the limits of Seeperacoody a Peroombut or Circar Village, and the Curnum having demanded Teerwah, some of the Plaintiffs preferred a complaint before the Tahsildar of Streevygoondum Taluk, who referred the both parties to Mr. Drury the then Collector, who instituting an enquiry into the case, and examining the Aloogoo accounts produced by the Plaintiff, directed Vencataramien one of the Huzzoor Goomastahs, to proceed to the spot and report the result of his enquiry into the subject of the dispute, who accord=ingly forwarded the proceedings of the

case

Fort St. George 29th September 1825

case a reference to which fully convinced the then Collector, that there was no reason for levying any tax on the lands in question, consequently no tax was collected thereon until Fusly 1240, when the Defendants from 2d to 5th and the Number burnum rendering a false account to the Commissioner that the Teerwah on the said land, is included in the Beriz of the Village of Seearacoody, caused the property of the Plaintiffs to be sold by Public auction for Rupees 252-1-0—

5th The 2nd Plaintiff Vea Ryots complained to the Collector of Madura on the subject, who wrote a letter to the Collector of Madura to the effect that the dispute should be decided by Punchayet, and that therefore he should appoint the Punchayetdars in the part of the Plaintiffs from among the residents of Madura, accordingly Ramakrishna Naicker, the Vakeel to Saithoopathy of Ramanad, was deputed as one of the Members of Punchayet, whereupon the Plaintiff preferred a complaint to Mr. Blackburne, the then Collector of Tinnevelly who directed both the parties to have recourse to Punchayet, But the Defendants, with the exception of the 1st, gave depositions signifying their

reluctance

Fort St. George 29th September 1825

reluctance to do so. Hence the Collector ordered the Defendants to have recourse to the Civil Court if they have any real claim and issued orders No. 45 and 280 dated 23d January 1833 and 5th May 1834 respectively, to the Tahsildar of Streevycoontum to the effect that he should present the Defendants from interrupting the enjoyment of the Plaintiffs—

6th Though the Defendants raised no objection in Fusly 1241 and 1242 as regards Teerwah on the disputed land, yet in Fusly 1243, they measured and entered the said lands in the accounts, as belonging to the Seearacoody Village, the other Plaintiffs who are under Ryoto brought the matter to the notice of the 1st Plaintiff, who represented the case to the Collector of Madura, by whom a letter on the subject was sent to Mr. Wroughton then Collector of this District, who with reference to the late orders sent an order to the Tahsildar of Streevycoontum, directing him to refer the Defendants to the Civil Court, if they have any claim, consequently in Fusly 1243 and 1244 the Plaintiffs paid a portion of their Teerwah as usual to the 1st Plaintiff and another portion to the Circar on account of Buttygotah by order of the former—

In

7 In Fusly 1245, the Plaintiffs attempted to cut their Crops, when the Defendants with the exception of the 1st prevented them from doing so for a Month. Subsequently, when the cutting of the crop was over, 16 Stacks were formed consisting of 720 sheafs, the Talook peon at the instigation of the Defendants, kept it in deposit without being enjoyed by Plaintiffs. The grain was in consequence destroyed partly by rain and partly by white ants and no notice was taken of the complaint, made by Plaintiffs before the Revenue Authorities, regarding the above circumstance. In Fusly 1246 the Defendants made no Jackeram 8th

Regarding the loss of produce of Fusly 1245 and sale of property of the Plaintiffs at public auction for the arrears of Peerwah for Fusly 1240, the 1st Plaintiff complained to the Collector of Madura who wrote a letter on the subject to the Collector of this District, who having summoned some of the Plaintiffs directed them to choose 2 Individuals on behalf of each party and to settle the dispute by Punchayet, and in addition to the above members, nominated another Member on the part of the Circar. On the 7th June 1837 the 2d and 3d Plaintiffs

(who were also Vakeels to other Plaintiffs) presented a complaint to the Punchayet, against the Defendants, who on presenting an answer to our Plaint, the Witnesses on the part of both parties were examined and the Documents inspected. All the members of the Punchayet, excepting the one appointed on behalf of Circar, unanimously passed a Decree on the 30th September 1838 in favor of the Plaintiffs and after affixing their signature forwarded it to the Collector who did not execute the Decree in consequence of the misrepresentation of Veerabadrapillay the Circar Punchayet, that one half of the 10 3 28/66 Chains of land under dispute should belong to the Village of Poovany and the other half to that of Checcaracoody. Whereupon the Plaintiffs Petitioned the Board, who having requested the Collector to report on the subject, he has given an Endorsement dated 8th September 1840 stating that the Decree above alluded to is not valid in consequence of its not bearing the signatures of all the Members of Punchayet, and that it was therefore cancelled by him, agreeably to the Orders of the Judges of Sudr Udalut, and that the Plaintiffs may have recourse to the Civil Court, if they like. In the above Endorsement the Collector mistook the meaning of Section VIII Regulation VII of 1816 which Imports that the decree passed by Punchayet should bear either the signature or Mark of the Members of it. Clause first Section 3 Regulation VII of 1816 provide

provide that the Decree passed by the Majority of the Members of the Punchayet, should be considered valid Section XI of the above Regulation also provides that unless any case is partially decided by Punchayet, the party whose case is consulted, should not appeal from their decision, neither is there any authority to admit such. Therefore the 2d Plaintiff presented a Motion to the late Provincial Court, regarding the said Endorsement in violation of the said Regulation, who sent an Extract to the Sudr Court stating that the Decree in question is valid, with reference to clause first Section III Regulation VII of 1816, and that as regards the construction of Section VII of the above Regulation with reference to which the Collector has given his Endorsement they are of opinion to recommend the execution of the Decree in question. The Court of Sudr Udalut replied to the following effect. That they do not deem it expedient to interfere in this subject and to decide the question, whether or not the Collector is authorized to cancel the Decree of Punchayet, and that the said Decree of nouse, in consequence of its not bearing the signatures of all the Members. The above reply sent from the

12120 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

the higher Court being unfavorable to the Plaintiffs' cause, they did not prefer any appeal, but only brought this Original Suit.

9th The 1st Plaintiff being the trustee of the said Ramaswarumcovil Pagoda, to which the land under litigation belongs, and the Plaintiffs from 2d to 46th being the under cultivators as well as Plaintiffs in the Punchayet suit, for the recovery of their property sold by public auction, and loss of produce, caused by Defendants, and the Plaintiffs from 47th to 55th being the Ryots from whom the Circar has collected teerwah on 8 27/64 Chains of Poonjah land, cultivated by them from Fusly 1250, I have presented this Plaint on behalf of all the Plaintiffs.

10 Mr. Drury the then Collector of this District, deputed one of the Huzzoor Goomastahs to this spot, where the dispute arose, who having sent for the Ryots of two Villages and those of neighbouring Villages made an enquiry into the cause of dispute and examined the Alavoloogoo accounts connected with the two disputed Villages. Whereupon he addressed a report to the Collector with a clear and positive proof that the land under dispute is attached

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

attached to Poovany Village. From the foundation of the Village of Saithooramalingapooram in Fusly 1219 by the Predecessor of the 1st Plaintiffs down to the time when the Plaintiffs complained to the Punchayet (a period of 22 years) the Plaintiffs were in the habit of cultivating the disputed lands and paying the teerwah to the 1st Plaintiffs. This circumstance is fully proved by the account called for from the Madura District as well as by those of the Subrenters. From the time the Village of Poovany was founded, the disputed lands are attached to it. To prove which though no Documents, are to be found in the Records of the former Government, yet the Cloogoo or original accounts of 1803 of the Honorable Company substantiate the above fact. The four Members of the Punchayet have passed just decision in favor of the Plaintiffs grounded on the documentary evidences and proofs above mentioned. But Veerabadrapillay the Circar Punchayet made a misrepresentation to the Collector. Had there been any documents to prove that half of the above lands belong to the Circar, he should have mentioned them in his report to the Collector and who also should have

stated

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

stated the same in his Endorsement - as the Collector has mentioned nothing of the kind and has from Fusly 1217 down to Fusly 1253 Collected Rupees 3626-15-10. on the land in dispute according to the rate of teerwah prevailing in the Circar Village of Seccaracody, as he should in consequence refund the said sum together with the amount of tax which will be Collected till the decision of the Court, from the Company's Treasury, and as Mr. Thompson one of the late Collectors &c has cancelled the decree of Punchayet contrary to the Regulations, I am obliged to include the Collector also as the first Defendant in the suit -

11th Mr. Thompson the then Collector having also refused to give Copies of the Documents connected with the said Decree, I have made no mention in this, regarding them - I beg the Court will be pleased to establish the right of possession which the Plaintiffs claim to the lands above mentioned, and recover the amount of teerwah already collected and loss of produce - as also the sale proceeds of their property -

12 The Boundaries of 103 24/64 Os

Claims of Poonjah land under litigation are described

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

described as follows- It is bounded on the east by Vellapatel land lying on the east of Veraipandien Talaven Cairney, on the south by the limits of Singathacoorchey and Nagalapooram Oaday, on the west by a Poonjah land running north of eastern ridge of Alunthany Village, and on the north by the road leading to Checkaracoody- The land from the eastern boundary measuring from east to west 97 rods, is equal to 3 1/32 chains and that from north to south measuring 452 rods, is equal to 14 1/8 chains amounting together on multiplication to 42 49/64 chains- The two fields on the north of the said extent is bounded on the west by a boundary mark of the Poonjah land going north east of the eastern ridge of the said Alunthavoo Village, on the south by a Road (leading to Cheekaracoody & Veeranada Tavem Cairney, on the east by a road (leading from Singathacoorchey to Pandiaporum) and a stone as also a Rock on the northeast of the above stone, and on the north by a Parea Oday- One of the above two fields called South Edoopoo measuring from east to west 120 rod is equal to 33/4 chains and from north to south 240 rods, is equal to 7 1/2 Chains &c

amounting

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

amounting together on multiplication to &c. 28 3/32 chains, and the other field, measuring from east to west 183 rods is equal to 5 23/32 &c. chains- and from North to south 182 rods, is equal to 5 11/16 chains, amounting together on multiplication to 32 1/2 chains- The land included in the boundaries above described, is divided into 3 parts and measured Separately and its total extent according to measurement is 103 28/64 chains- The boundaries of 8 27/64 chains of land on the north of Paria Oday or jungle stream are described as follows- It is bounded on the east, by a Oaday or stream running on the side of the Poonjah land of Parunnullaya Naicken situated northwest of a standing Rock that is within the northern boundary of Parea Oday- which runs through the southern boundary- On the north by northern ridge of a Poonjah land belonging to Mullaya Naicken son of Soobria Naicken the Cavilgar of the northern boundary- On the South by a Poonjah land of (Poocheymadem) included in the western boundary, and on the South west by a ridge of Poonjah land of (Areapoottera pillay) and boundary mark- The abovementioned cultivated land measuring from east

east to west 98 rods is equal to $3\frac{1}{16}$ chains and from North to South 88 rods is equal to $2\frac{3}{4}$ chains amounting together on multiplication to $8\frac{27}{64}$ chains. Particulars of the sum collected by Sirkar - In Fusly 1247 Rupees 414-11-6- were collected on $74\frac{11}{16}$ chains. In fusly 1248 Rupees 441-11-6- were collected on $80\frac{3}{32}$ chains - In Fusly 1249 Rupees 545-10-" were collected on $98\frac{15}{16}$ chains - In Fusly 1250 Rupees 588-15-5- were collected on $106\frac{25}{32}$ chains - In Fusly 1251 Rupees 616-7-11 were collected on $111\frac{25}{32}$ chains - In Fusly 1252 Rupees 596-8-9- were collected on $108\frac{5}{32}$ chains and in Fusly 1253 Rupees 425-11-9- were collected on $77\frac{3}{16}$ chains - The total amount collected during the abovementioned 7 Fuslies on $657\frac{5}{8}$ chains of land is Rupees 3626-15-10-

Filed on the 10th March 1845-

(True Translation)

(Signed) E. B. Thomas.

Collector

No. 2

Translation of Draft of an answer proposed to be filed on behalf of the Collector of Tinnevelly 1st Defendant in O suit No. 25 of 1845 on the file of the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court at Tinnevelly-

Para 1

The statement contained in

in the 1st para of the Plaint suing for the recovery of $111\frac{25}{32}$ chains of Poonjah land & some losses &ca is not true - The land originally in dispute was chains $103\frac{23}{64}$, and the increase by remeasurement chains $8\frac{27}{64}$ making a total of chains $111\frac{25}{32}$ This, as shewn below, appertains to Seccaracoody a Peroombut Village belonging to the Circar and not to Poovany as stated in the Plaint.

2d. In the 2d para of the Plaint the Plaintiffs state that the disputed land is included in the Oloogoo accounts of the Poovany Village, that it therefore belongs to that Village, and that it has been cultivated since Fusly 1219 and enjoyed by the people of that Village. This is not the fact - for the land in dispute was first measured on the 29th August 1803 and included in the Oloogoo accounts of the Peroombut Village of Seccragoody, and although it was subsequently measured a second time on the 31st August and 12th September respectively and entered in the Oloogoo accounts of the Village of Poovany yet as the Plaintiffs, who are the Villagers of Poovany raised no objections when the disputed land was first measured and entered in the Oloogoo accounts of Seccaracoody the 1st Ala-

-voloogoo

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

:woolagoo, and not the subsequent one should be considered valid- Moreover the land under litigation and belonging to the village of Seccaracoody having lain waste from a length of time, was brought under cultivation from Fusly 1239 and included in the Summabundy account of that village, but the Ryots having not paid the Circar dues of that Fusly, it was remitted under the sanction of the Revenue Board- For the realization of the Circar dues of Fusly 1240, the moveable pro:perty of the Ryots was according to Regulations hufted and the proceeds of the sale by Public Auction carried to the Credit of Government- From Fusly 1241 to 1246 the disputed land was cultivated and included in the Summabundy accounts of the village of Seccaracoody, but the Ryots and the Plaintiffs combined together, and raised objections to paying the Circar Teerwah on the plea that the rate of Teerwah ob:taining in the village of Seccaracoody is higher than that in Poovany- afterwards from Fusly 1247 to 1254 the Ryots having been regularly paying the Teerwah due on the portion of the disputed land brought under cultivation- Thus stands the Case- The disputed lands not under cultivation since

Fusly

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

fusly 1219 and those not in dispute are situated at 3 different places- The extent at one place measures 181 Chains, at another 60 Chains and at the 3d Chains 58½ making in all 299½ Chains- Of this, the portion under dispute measures 111 23/32 Chains, and of the remainder or Chains 187 23/32 (the right to which is not disputed) a portion belonging to the Poovany village was cultivated since Fusly 1219 the Plaintiffs falsely state that this forms a portion of the land in dispute- This false statement they have put in merely with an intent to support and strengthen their Plaint-

3d In the 4th para of the Plaint, the Plaintiffs state that the late Collector Mr. Drury having thought the Collection of the Teerwah on the land in dispute on Fusly 1239 to be unjust, ordered its suspension- How do the Plaintiffs know that Mr. Drury was of that opinion- In the same para the Plaintiffs state that it was not just to sell by auction the property of the Ryots in sa:tisfaction of the Circar duties of Fusly 1240- and in the 7th para they state that they sustained a loss of produce in Fusly 1245- If all this were true they would have at once

Complained

Fort St. George 29th. September 1845

Complained to the local judicial authorities and obtained justice, but as the case was otherwise, it will on investigation be evident to the Court that the Plaintiffs' statements are false.

4th. In the 8th. para it is stated that one Venkataramaniem a Huzzoor Gomastah has reported that the disputed land belongs to the Village of Poovany. This is totally unfounded. The said Venkataramaniem never made such a report which will be found true by the Court on a perusal of his Kurzee. The Plaintiffs attach great weight to the Punchayet awards in the said 8th. para which would appear as hereunder explained unnecessary Viz.th. On a Representation of the Plaintiffs, the former Collector appointed 2 arbitrators for the Villagers of Poovany 2 for those of Seccaracoody, and one on behalf of Government, making in all 5 Members, but these 5 Members did not sit together at one place and institute the enquiry. As the Teernah per Chain in the Village of Seccaracoody is 76 fanams while that in the Village of Poovany is only 12 3/4 fanams the Ryots of the latter thinking that it would tend to their advantage if they can get by any means the disputed land

included

Fort St. George 29th. September 1845

included with those of Poovany Village, bought off 4 of the 5 Members of the Punchayet, who passed the Decree in favor of the Poovany Ryots, whilst the Circar arbitrator differed in opinion from the other 4 arbitrators and decided viz. that the disputed land should belong to both the villages, half to each. The late Collector Mr. Thompson being of opinion that the said Decree was irregular and unfair rejected it and ordered it not to be carried into execution. But on the Collector of Madura having written a letter to this office requesting to confirm the Decree, that might have received the signature of the Majority of the arbitrators, Mr. Agilvie the then Acting Collector reported on the subject for the orders of the Board of Revenue and the Board have given their decided answer stating that the Court of Sudr Adalut are of opinion that the Decree of the Punchayet is null and void because it does not bear the signatures of all the Arbitrators. It would therefore appear that the award set aside by the above orders is irrelevant to the cause in question.

5 As the disputed land is included in the Cloogo accounts of the Peroomhut Village of Seccaracoody and has been enjoyed by the Ryots of the Circar Village for the last 16 years since fusly 1239 when it was brought under cultivation, and as the Teernah on the cultivated land has been paid to the Circar, and as both the villages of Seccaracoody and Poovany belong to this zillah and the disputed land having been at the time of original measurement included in the Cloogo accounts of the Peroomhut Village of Seccaracoody the disputed land belongs to Seccaracoody and not to Poovany as is stated in the Plaint. It is unnecessary to answer all the other points uselessly discussed at some length in the Plaint.

True Translation
Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector

Statement

12131

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

No. 3

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance on lands the boundary of which is in dispute between Seccaracoody and Poovany in Tinnevelly.

Fusly	Amount of Demand Rs	A	P	Amount of Collections Rs	A	P	Remaining Balance Rs	A	P
1239	288	12	4	"	"	"	288	12	4
1240	295	2	5	252	1	"	43	1	5
1241	299	"	3	"	"	"	299	"	3
1242	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1243	338	13	5	"	"	"	338	13	5
1244	401	14	8	"	"	"	401	14	8
1245	405	14	2	"	"	"	405	14	2
1246	409	2	5	"	"	"	409	2	5
1247	411	14	6	411	14	6	"	"	"
1248	441	11	7	441	11	7	"	"	"
1249	527	2	"	527	2	"	"	"	"
1250	588	15	5	588	15	5	"	"	"
1251	616	6	11	616	6	11	"	"	"
1252	596	8	9	596	8	9	"	"	"
1253	425	11	9	425	11	9	"	"	"
1254	468	2	3	468	2	3	"	"	"

The Ryots of Poovany having refused to pay the duties on their cultivated lands from fusly 1239 to 1246 into the hands of Government servants, paid them into the hands of the Manager of the Ramaswarum Pagoda, stating that the rate of Teerwah obtaining in the Village of Poovany is lower than in that of Seccaracoody. Of the Balance up to fusly 1246 that of fusly 1240, was realized to the amount of Rupees 152 1/16 by sale of produce of that Fusly by public auction. The remaining Balance wh[illegible]ing from the absence of any stringent measures on the part of District authorities, was subsequently remitted under the orders of Government together with other old and irrecoverable Balances of the District. Since fusly 1247 down to the present period the Ryots have been punctual in the discharge of the Circar dues.

Tinnevelly Tencausey
15th September 1845

E. E. P. (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

(81-82)

Order thereon.
(Read again in Cons. 2d October)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

To

12132 Fort St.n George 29th September 1845.

To

F. Pycroft Esq.re

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St.n George.

From E. B. Thomas Esq.re
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

(83) With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 12th May 1845 I have the honor to forward, prepared according to the form which accompanied it, a Statement containing the information regarding the lands held by the Company's European servants in this District.

Tinnevelly
Tencandey
19th September 1845

/Signed/ E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Statement

12133

Fort St. George

No. 1 Statement Shewing the extent of Land occupied &c.

Names of the European Officers	Place of their Residence	Office	Lands when first obtained	Price at which the lands were pur=chased	Name of the Talook	Name of the Village
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
				Rs		
C. B. Thomas Esqre	Tinnevelly	Collector	"	550	Punjamahl	Trichendoor
Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	"	1,500	Tencaudy	Cadmayorpooram
W. Douglas Esqre	Ditto	Civil & Sessions Judge	"	6,000	Nelliamba=lum	Senthoopoonthoray Civil Station
W. H. Horsley Esqre	Ditto	Civil Engineer & Surveyor	"	350	Punjahmah	Trichindoor
Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	25th June 1844	2,000	Tencausey	Courtalum
F. B. Elton Esqre	Shermadavy	Sub Collector	Feby 1854	400	Punjamahl	Trichindoor
Ditto	Ditto	Ditto	"	400	Shermadavy	Shermadavy

29th September 1845.

by Europeans in the service of the Honorable Company

Whether the lands are managed by the proprietors themselves or by their agents or others or rented &ca	Land under cultivation in Fusly 1254. Wet.											
	Land			Assessment			Additional Assessment if any			Total		
8	9			10			11			12		
	Cawny	Gs.	F.	Rs.	a.	P.						
Proprietor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	1	4	1773½	6	3	6	"	"	"	6	3	6
Ditto	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
W. H. Horsley Esqre Civil Engineer 8th Division.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Proprietor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ditto	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	1	4	1773½	6	3	6	"	"	"	6	3	6

12134

Fort St. George 29th September 1845

and the Assessment thereof &ca in the District of Tinnevelly.

Land under Cultivation in Fusly 1254 (Contd.)

Dry				Garden				Total			
Land	Assessment	Additional Assessment if any	Total	Land	Assessment	Additional Assessment if any	Total	Land	Assessment	Additional Assessment if any	Total
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		24
								Cs. Gs. Fs.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 4 17½	6 3 6	" " "	6 3 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 18 1351	" 14 4	" " "	" 14 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 18 1351	" 14 4	" " "	" 14 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 18 1351	" 14 4	" " "	" 14 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 23 724½	7 1 10	" " "	7 1 10

12135

Fort St George 29th September 1845

Land left uncultivated												Total					
Wet			Dry			Garden			in Fasly 1254			Total				Additional	
Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment		Assessment	
25			26			27			28			29			30	31	
Cawny	Gs	Fs	Rs	a	P	Cawny	Gs	Fs	Rs	a	P						
"	"	"	"	"	"	4	3	1300	"	15	11	"	"	"	"	4	3 1300
"	"	"	"	"	"	16	10	2389	14	4	5½	"	"	"	"	17	15 1762½
4	5	2388½	69	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	5 2388½
"	"	"	"	"	"	5	14	158	1	5	6	"	"	"	"	5	14 158
"	"	"	"	"	"	14	2	99	17	3	3	"	"	"	"	14	2 99
"	"	"	"	"	"	3	20	1954	"	14	11	"	"	"	"	3	20 1954
"	16	2259½	8	4	4	"	5	3755/6	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	2223½
4	22	2064/6	77	6	2	45	3	14755/6	34	13	1	"	"	"	"	50	21 123

Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment	Additional Assessment
31			32			33
Cawny	Gs	Fs	Rs	a	P	
4	3	1300	"	15	11	" " "
16	10	2389	14	4	5½	17 15 1762½
4	5	2388½	69	1	10	" " "
5	14	158	1	5	6	" " "
14	2	99	17	3	3	15 15 1450
3	20	1954	"	14	11	" " "
"	22	23½	8	5	5	" " "
50	21	123	113	3	3	52 1 1867½

Land		Assessment	Land		Assessment	Additional Assessment
34			35			
Rs	a	P				
"	15	11	"	"	"	
20	7	11	"	"	"	
"	5	5½	"	"	"	
"	1	6	"	"	"	
18	1	7	"	"	"	
"	14	11	"	"	"	
"	8	5	"	"	"	
119	5	1	"	"	"	

12136 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Total

Remarks

36

Rs. a P.

37

15 11 This Bungalow is held under Company's Grant and pays a Quit rent of Rupees 21-12-5 per annum

20 7 11 The lands together with the Buildings thereon, which are held without Grant have been assessed (whether cultivated or not) agreeably to Board's Proceedings of 23d February 1843 with reference to the rate of Teerwah obtaining in the Village in which they are situated.

69 1 10 This Bungalow is held under Company's Grant and pays a Quit rent of Rupees 21-14-- per annum.

1 5 6 The lands together with the Buildings thereon which are held without Grant have been assessed (whether cultivated or not) agreeable to Board's Proceedings of 23d February 1843, with reference to the rate of Teerwah obtaining in the village in which they are situated.

18 1 7 This Bungalow is held under Company's Grant and pays a Quit rent of Rupees 20-11-3 per Annum.

" 14 11 The lands together with the Buildings thereon which are held without Grant have been assessed (whether cultivated or not) agreeably to Board's Proceedings of 23d February 1843 with reference to the rate of Teerwah obtaining in the Village in which they are situated.

8 5 5

119 5 1

E. E. Pr.

Tinnevelly Tencaussy
19th September 1845

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Ordered

12137 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.

Order thereon

83-84

Order to lie on the table.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 22d Ultimo and entered in Consultation 25th Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.

85

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting with reference to the orders of Govt. dated 10th Septr. & 10th Decr. 1844 letter from the Principal Collr. of Coimbatore on the subject of the extraordinary number of dismissals of Heads of Villages in that District during the years 1838 & 1839 & stating that the number of dismissals & suspensions of these Officers for the last 4 years is not greater than has occurred in the adjoining Districts also stating that the Board were not aware that any reply was expected to the Extract Minutes of Cons. 11th May 1840 on the subject of the dismissals of these Village Officers.

From Government 10th in Cons. 23d Sept. 1844
From Govt. 10th Decr. " in Cons. 6th January 1845.

22d August in Cons. 23d September 1845.

in Cons. 4th June 1840

From Govt. 10th in Cons. 23d Septr. 1844

22d Augt. 1845 in Cons. 25th Septr.

From Govt. 11th May 1840 in Cons. 4th June

Sir,

1. With reference to the requisitions of Government noted in the margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of the extraordinary number of dismissals of Heads of Villages in his district during the years 1838 and 1839 noticed by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 11th May 1840 and subsequently by the Honorable the Court of Directors in their Despatch of 31st May 1844.

2. From Mr. Wroughton's communication it will appear that that Gentleman is unable to furnish any further explanation than what is contained in the Statement which accompanied Mr. Babington's letter to Government dated 28th April 1840 He however remarks that the average number of

Fort St.e George 29.th September 1845.

of dismissals and suspensions of Village Officers during the last four years amounts only to eleven and six respectively - and that the extreme measure of dismissal is never resorted to, except on the most sufficient grounds. —.

3 The Board think it but due to observe that as shown by the Returns furnished to their Office the number of dismissals and suspensions of Village Officers for the last 4 years as given by Mr. Wroughton is not greater than has occurred in the adjoining Districts of Madura Salem and Trichinopoly -

4. In reference to Para 8 Extract Minutes of Consultation 10.th September 1844 in which it is remarked that no reply has been received to Extract Minutes of Consultation 11.th May 1840 on the subject of the dismissal of these Village officers the Board beg to explain that they were not aware that any reply to that communication was expected, the intention of Government being as they were led to suppose only to direct them to instruct the several Collectors in the Provinces not to dismiss the municipal officers upon any but the most serious grounds and in every instance in which recourse may be had to this measure (as the Office is held by inheritance) to appoint the nearest heir of the person dismissed in

In Cons.y 2.d June 1840.

Fort St.e George 29.th September 1845.

in his place unless he be manifestly unfit for the office - Instructions to the effect above intimated were immediately conveyed to the several Collectors in the Provinces by Circular under date 25.th June 1840 - but it did not occur to the Board that any further report upon the subject was required by Government. —.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.e George ---
29.th September 1845 ---

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary. —.

Read the following Letter. —.

To T. Pycroft Esquire -

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue -
Fort St.e George -

(86)

From the P.l Coll.r of Coimbatore ---3

Forwarding Copy of correspondence between this Office and Major Minchin and soliciting instructions as to whether the whole quantity enclosed or the portion cultivated by him is liable to the charge of assessment and also whether the amount of assessment thereon should be regulated by the survey rate or by the terms published in the Official Gazette for 1837 Page 60 -

Sir

Para 1.

I have the honor to forward Copy of correspondence between myself and Major Minchin of the Madras Army as noted in the Margin relative to the land in the occupancy of that Gentleman —

2. This is the same ground which formed topic of correspondence between this Office and the Board of Revenue in the year 1843, and therefore it does not appear to me that any explanation regarding the nature of its acquisition is requisite. —.

3 Major Minchin has purchased the

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

the land in question from Captain Reynolds of the Bombay Army and the Quit Rent due thereon amounts to to Company's Rupees 626-8-9 as exhibited in the Margin.—.

4. Bills were presented to Captain Vallancy the first occupant who transferred the same to Captain Reynolds and by that Gentleman they were handed over to Major Minchin.—.

5. Major Minchin now protests against the payment of the amount on the score that the land under cultivation amounts only to 80 Cawnies and not to the extent charged in the Quit Rent Bills Viz 169-6-900 C. G. Sfeet.—.

6. It appears from a report from the Tahsildar of Ootacamund that the extent appropriated for the plantation of Mulberry &c. is about 100 Cawnies—that 20 Cawnies more are cleared and prepared for cultivation a Compound wall being also erected around the Premises—Under these Circumstances I request to be favored with the instructions of the Board whether the quantity actually under Cultivation should be charged with Quit Rent or whether the full extent enclosed should be subjected to the demand.—.

7.

Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

which amounts to 49-3-900 C. G. Sfeet is still uncleared forming a separate "piece or Parcel."—.

8. The amount of Quit Rent upon these lands was regulated with reference to the rate laid down in the Notice published in the official Gazette dated 1st February 1837 Page 60—Viz—1-2-4 as a P. as on every Cawney, but as it appears ruled in a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated June 21st 1843 that this rate of taxation is applicable to Ground not appropriated for agricultural purposes, I would therefore request the instructions of the Board as to whether the present amount of assessment is to prevail or whether it requires Modification with reference to the Survey rate.—.

9. The Survey Assessment on the above lands amounts to Company's Rupees 98-13-10 Viz. Rupees 68-1-3 on the extent under cultivation and Rupees 30-12-7 on the uncultivated portion.—.

Coimbatore— August 19th 1845.—

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton—
Pl. Collector.—

To Major F. Minchin.—.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Bill of Quit Rent due on the lands in your occupation

12142 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

occupation at Conoor from the 12th of July 1841 to the 11th of July 1844 amounting to Rupees 432 9-7 which I request you will have the goodness to pay into the Talook Treasury at Ootacamond—

C. G. Sft 169-6-900—

A similar Bill was presented to Captain Reynolds who stated that it was transferred to you with the Property considering you responsible for the payment—A Copy of his letter is appended for your information—

Coimbatoor— 7th February 1845—

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton—
Principal Collector—

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore—

Sir,

I do myself the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant giving cover to Bills for Quit Rent for land amounting to 209 Cawnies.—

Before paying the amount as requested to the Tahsildar at Ootacamund, I beg to bring to your notice that Captain Onchterlony who has commenced the survey of the land has told me that altogether he does not think there is more than 80 Cawnies under cultivation.—

His Survey has been interrupted and he cannot at present complete it being engaged to the westward.—

In your letter to Lieutenant

12143 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

Reynolds dated 19th September 1843, you admit that you could never spare a Revenue Surveyor to measure the land and I feel assured therefore you will agree with me that there can be no better authority than the deliberate opinion of the Engineer officer employed by Government to survey it as this opinion was given to me verbally, I beg to refer you to Captain Onchterlony, who no doubt will, if called upon by you, give you the same in writing.—

When I had the pleasure of seeing you on the Hills, you told me you thought it had better stand over till the Survey was completed and as you have now changed your mind, it may be from Orders from the Revenue Board—if so or indeed at all events I wish you would forward a Copy of this letter for their consideration and decision.—

I cannot lose the present opportunity of begging you will bring to the notice of the said Board, the very great expence that is incurred in clearing waste land here and the impossibility of expecting any profitable return for 4 or 5 years to come and that the same indulgence which has been given in other Districts, Canara for example to

12144 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

to all persons undertaking the expence of clearing new land should be extended to those who are clearing land here with so obvious an ultimate benefit to the State.—

Conoor—— 17th February 1845. } /Signed/ F. Minchin—

To Major F. Minchin.—

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 17th Instant intimating to me that the land in your occupation at Conoor is only 80 Cawnies and not 209 Cawnies as entered in the Quit Rent Bill forwarded from my Office and requesting that previous to the payment of the amount, your case may be submitted to the Board for their consideration with a view to the indulgence shewn to Parties situated like yourself in Canara may be extended to you also.—

A reference to the copy of the Bill shews that the extent of ground for which Quit Rent was charged is Rs 169-2-0 C. 900 sft. not 209 as you appear to apprehend. This quantity was entered in the name of Captain Vallancey subsequently transferred to Captain Reynolds and ultimately to yourself and not 80 Cawnies—the demand amounting to Rupees 432-9-7 which is correct with reference to the accounts of my Office,

being

12145 Fort St. George 29th September 1845.—

being still unadjusted I request the favor of your paying up the same forthwith under protest, if you think that you have grounds for such, when your case will be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue.—

With reference to your requisition to be allowed the indulgence granted to Cultivators in Canara, I would venture to remark that this request should have been preferred previous to the acquirement of the Property rather than at this advanced period. I considered it will be necessary in submitting your application for some favorable terms to the Board, to shew that stronger grounds for indulgence exist than you have exhibited in your letter.—

Coimbatore—— 24th February 1845. } /Signed/ J. C. Wroughton— Pl. Collector

To The Principal Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 24th Ultimo.—

With reference to the 1st para of it I beg to explain that it was not intended to state in my former letter that the Land in my occupation was only 80 Cawnies, but that only 80 Cawnies of the land in my occupation was

cultivated

12146 Fort St George 29 September 1845.

cultivated - it having been always understood that for cultivated lands only Quit rent was payable.—

I am well awere that more than 80 Cawnies or ever than 169 Cawnies is in my occupation, but much of it is yet uncleared and can be taken under cultivation only by degrees.—

I am perfectly willing to pay the established Quit Rent on the land actually cultivated by me, but having such good authority as that mentioned in my last letter for believing that that land is only 80 Cawnies and not 169. I feel very unwilling to pay Quit Rent on the last mentioned number as it appears to me to be an unjust demand, and it was this point as well as exemption from Quit Rent altogether for the first five years that I wished to be referred to the Board of Revenue.

Perhaps under the foregoing explanation you will have no objection to make the reference requested to the Board of Revenue should you still object to do so I will make the reference myself.—

You are aware that repeated applications have been made to have the land surveyed, and as it is understood that Lieutenant Onchterlony is in possession of orders from the Court of Directors to that effect, it will perhaps be

Kengoo Ram

12147 Fort St George 29th September 1845.

be the fairest way to all Parties to let the demand of Quit Rent lay over till the Survey has actually taken place - as it is presumed, it must do before long, or I will at once pay Quit Rent to the amount of 80 Cawnies from 12th July 1842 guaranteeing the immediate payment of all above that amount, should it be proved so on the actual Survey and expecting the immediate repayment from your Office should that number of Cawnies prove to be above the quantity under Cultivation.—

I perceive that I was in error in stating the number of Cawnies at 209 instead of 169. I was led into it by adding the smaller number of Cawnies charged for in the first year to the larger number charged in subsequent years.—

Will you kindly forward me a Copy of my last letter to your address as I have mislaid the draft of it.—

Coimbatore
7th February 1845

(Signed) Fred. Minchin
Major M. A.

(True Copies)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Order thereon
(86-87) at a future meeting.

Ordered to be brought forward

Read the following Statement.

Salt.

1844

(9) — Settlement Account for the Estate of Thomas Sanders Esq.r late of Hawkhurst deceased

1844							
July 11th	To Balance of Cash in hand						
	Received on this account						
	Proceeds of the Crop of 1843			516	13	1	
1845							
January 1st	To Amount of Debts owing						
	by the Deceased at his decease						
July 11th	To Rent of Farm Hawkhurst	274	246				
	for Half year			2850	252		
January 1st	To Sundry Expenses						
	in Management of Estate			18	6	5	
July 11th	To Rent of Farm Hawkhurst	2	121	6	1821	5	1
	for Half year						
	Interest of Land Tax			36	6		
July 11th	To Rent of Farm Iyeghurst		70		126	6	
	Total Debit			3998	297	1	

1844							
July 11th	By Balance of Cash in hand						
	Received on this account						
	Proceeds of the Crop of 1843			516	13	1	
1845							
January 1st	By Amount of Debts owing						
	by the Deceased at his decease						
	Received on this account						
	Proceeds of the Crop of 1844			2850	252		
July 11th	By Rent of Farm Hawkhurst	274	246				
	for Half year			1821	5	1	
January 1st	By Sundry Expenses						
	in Management of Estate			18	6	5	
July 11th	By Rent of Farm Hawkhurst	2	121	6	1821	5	1
	for Half year						
	Interest of Land Tax			36	6		
July 11th	By Rent of Farm Iyeghurst		70		126	6	
	Total Debit			3998	297	1	

(9) — Settlement Account for the Estate of Thomas Sanders deceased in July 1845

1845							
January 1st	By Balance of Debts & Effects						
	of the said Deceased						
	for Farming Concerns	234	106				
	By Rent Compensation	892	212		2246	328	
	for Dwelling Messuage						
	& Tenements	693	218				
	By Interest Compensation	403	296				
	for Dwelling Messuage						
	& Tenements	101	311		1203	228	
	By Interest on the Amount of Debts						
	owing by the Deceased as per Account						
	Examined & Settled 24th November 1845				219	218	1
					3729	328	1
	By Balance on hand this day				2268	330	3
	Total Sale				1998	297	1

Cleaning Office:
11th July 1845.

Agreement with Mr. Oldham Peaker

1845.

Dr. to Balance of last Quarter's Account 3751 13 2

July 11th — To one Quarter's Rent of Office 31125 0 "

" Office Communication 11780 5 10

23491 10 3

Dr. to Balance carried to Profit & Loss Account 8708 2 8

July 11th " To one Quarter's Rent of Office 1734 0 4

" Gas 1517 9 "

" Coals 1498 2 "

" Candles and Sundries 1092 2 "

" Office Communication 33008 11 6

" Expenditure by Cash 19803 -

11482 8 11

Dr. to Cash received on Account 380 10 2

July 11th " To one Quarter's Rent of Office 1270 13 7

" Gas 1517 9

" Coals 1498 2

" Candles and Sundries 1092 2

1844.

Jan. 12 To Balance of Income Land Tax
1845 Dec. 31 By Land Tax Redeemed ... 405 1 6 38

Dec. 31 To Amount of Income Tax ... 2010
By Income Tax Redeemed ... 3 2010

Contra Cr. ... 10 1 11 ... 238 1 0 10

Dec. 31 To Amount of Property Tax ... 2535 1 11 12
By Property Tax Redeemed ... 2535 1 11 12

Contra Cr. ... 10 ... 25 1 21 12

To Amount of Assessed Taxes ... 2535 1 11 12
By Assessed Taxes Redeemed ... 2535 1 11 12

Contra Cr. ... 10 ... 25 1 21 12

To Amount of Inhabited House Duty ... 2535 1 11 12
By Inhabited House Duty

12150 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements - Ordered to be recorded.

88-90

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 10th and entered in Consultation 25th Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address -

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Government

91

With reference to Extract Proceedings of Consultation under date 25th August last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras reporting on the Memorial presented by Mr Hoyte stating that Mr Hoyte was not in any way connected with Cochrane's Canal at the time it was placed under his Office in 1837 nor has been at any subsequent period - and that the Canal which he has advanced appears altogether untenable -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary -

From the Coll. of Tinnevelly.

92

Read Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold for Home and Inland consumption during the quarter ending 31st July last -

Order thereon.

92-93

Ordered to lie over -

From the Dist. Coll. of Coimbatore -

94

Read register of Endorsements passed by them on petitions referred by the Board during the months of July last -

Order thereon

94-95

Ordered to be lodged on the Office -

Resolved that the following letters be despatched -

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

To the Coll. of Trichinopoly

Dt. 25 Sept. 1845

96

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Kaumsahibal Beebee with the orders of Government thereon of date the 19th Instant and to request you to report regarding the particular nature of the Enams to which it relates and whether there is any objection to the Petitioners Enams being referred for decision to the Inam Court -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary

To

12151 Fort St. George 29th September 1845

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir

To the Coll. of Tinnevelly

97

With reference to my letter under date the 22nd Instant I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you for report at the same time the accompanying Petition No 1098 of 1845 from the Inamdar of Kaumbaramoney pullay of Sourmamadavy Taloo Boiyaroo District -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary -

To The Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants -

To the Coll. of Madras & Reg. of Govt Grants

98

Dt. 22nd Oct. 1840

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 3rd October 1840 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will furnish a Statement of lands in the Districts under this Presidency inclusive of Madras and the Neilgherry Hills, held on Government Grants up to the present time, distinguishing the lands situated in Military Cantonments from those under Jurisdiction -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th September 1845

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary -

From the Accountant General -

99

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of this Office -

Order thereon

99-100

Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned -

From the Coll. of Bellary -

101

Stating that no persons were either

12152 Fort St. George. 29th September 1845.

other charged with offences on confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 during the month of August last.

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore. Return of persons charged with
102 Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of July last.

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore. Return of persons suspended and
103 dismissed from Office under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of July last.

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore. Stating that no persons were in
104 confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during the month of July last.

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore. Stating that no smuggled Tobacco
105 was seized during the Month of July last.

From the Collr. of Chingleput. Abstract of Demand, Collection,
106 and Balance for the Month of August last.

From the Collr. of Chingleput. Statement shewing the average bazar
107 wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

From the Collr. of Vizagapatam. Abstract of Demand Collection
108 and Balance for the month of August last.

From the Collr. of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the average
109 bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of August last.

From the Asstt. Commissary General. Acknowledging the receipt
110 of unserviceable Chairs from the Board's Office.

From the Collr. of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt
111 ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1844/45 up to 15th September 1845.

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of
112 Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1844/45 up to 23rd September 1845.

From the Collector of Nellore. Requesting to be furnished with
113 a supply of Salt Rammahs.

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.
113-114

G. H. Drury

" A. MacMahon

" J. Goldingham