32

1768

725

Pal 92.1.20

81

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

A

Statement of the amount of Commission for the management of the Vizianagrum Zemindary drawn by the Collector and carried to the credit of Government.

Fusties	amount of Commission			
	Rupees	a	p	
1237	9450	"	"	Drawn by the Collector.
1238	9450	"	"	
1239	9450			
1240	8939	7	6	
1241	8306	6	8	
1242	8813	10	11	
1243	7352	7	2	
1244	7842	15	9	
	5223	"	9	
1245	7852	"	11	
1246	8962	14	9	
1247	13642	10	11	
1248	10376	4	5	Carried to the credit of Government.
1249	12243	8	6	
1250	10077	13	2	
1251	12580	13	1	
1252	11403	7	7	

Note.

The above Statement is compiled from the annual accounts Current furnished by the Collector of Vizagapatam.

St.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 26th May 1845. } A. Maclean Esqre Actg. Second Member

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Actg. Third Member

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

Absent on leave

Minutes of the last

Meeting read and approved.

3

From the Chief Secy to Govt. Transmitting for report an Extract from a letter from the Resident at Travancore and Cochin regarding some Points of Dispute between the Collectorate of Malabar and the Cochin Circar.

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2d May.

Read Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department dated 18th April 1845.

Read the following

letter from the Resident at Travancore and Cochin.

Extract from a letter from the Resident at Travancore and Cochin dated 29th March 1845.

I also availed myself

4

fn

of my tour in this direction, to extend my journey to Suttamungalum near Palghaut where there have long been some petty yet vexatious boundary disputes between the Collectorate of Malabar and the Cochin Sircar, the Collector demanding certain taxes from a number of Ryots living on or close to the boundary line, on the plea that such taxes appeared as paid by them some 30 or 40 years ago the Cochin Circar on the other hand resisting the demand on the ground that the Individuals in question, whatever may have been the case formerly all resided now within the Cochin limits, and

and that they held no lands of the Company.

5. The Collector does not seem himself to have visited the spot. I did so on this occasion, and walked round the whole of the disputed line. The whole of the Ryots without exception from whom the Collector demanded tax live within the clear and acknowledged limits of the Cochin Sircar. I have communicated the result of my visit to Mr Conolly and I hope he will be disposed to suggest to Government that all further demands shall be relinquished. The Dispute merely afforded the Ryots an opportunity of evading

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

...vading the just demand of their ...
...respective Governments...

(True Extract
(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Resolved that the ...
subject of paras 4 and 5 of the ...
letter above recorded be referred ...
for consideration in the Revenue ...
Department...

(A true Extract
(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the foregoing ...
Extract and its Enclosure be ...
referred for the report of the Board ...
of Revenue...

(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Fort St. George, 20th May 1845.

Read also the following letter

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(4)

From the Collr of Malabar

Sir.

Referring to Para 17 of my Report on the Settlement of Land Revenue of Malabar for Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 on the subject of a small Balance which has accumulated for many years in the Cochin frontier I have the honor to forward Copy of a correspondence with the Resident of Cochin, and to suggest that under the explanation given in his communications of the 22nd January and 7th February last, all future claims for Revenue from subjects of the Cochin Sirkar residing within the limits of that Territory be relinquished.

To the Resident	dated	10th May 1841
From ditto	"	12th June "
To ditto	"	17th do "
From ditto	"	30th October "
To ditto	"	9th Sept. 1842
To ditto	"	7th March 1843
From ditto	"	22nd June "
To ditto	"	17th July "
To ditto	"	25th Oct. 1844
From ditto	"	22nd Jany 1845
From ditto	"	7th Feby "
From ditto	"	26th do "
To ditto	"	8th March "

Calcut. 2nd April 1845.

(Signed) W. V. Conolly
Collector

No. 1

To, The Resident
Travancore & Cochin

Sir,

In consequence of a boundary Dispute

Fort St George 20th May 1845.

dispute in the Umshoms of Perayambow and Koodoovayoor on the Palghat Taluck a dif-
-ficulty arose in the Fusly year 1240. as
to whether the tax payable by certain
Pyots (24 in number) was payable to the
Company's or the Cochin Government, a
meeting appears to have taken place between
the Palghaut and Chittoor Tahsildars
when it was determined after some con-
-sultations by both parties that 10 of the
Pyots should pay to the former, and 14
to the latter authority.

2. From some unexplainable inadvertence, no notice appears to have been taken of this recommendation and the result has been that no revenue has been collected from either party since that time. I now beg to suggest that as so much time has elapsed since the enquiry was set on foot the Tahsildars of the two Districts be again desired to meet, and that on the receipt of their report such orders be passed as will be for the mutual interest of the Company and Cochin Government.

Pellicherry. 10th May 1841. (Signed) H. V. Conolly
Coll.r

To

Fort St George. 20th May 1845.

To, The Collector of Malabar
Calicut.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow-
-ledge the receipt of your letter under date
the 10th ultimo, and having called upon
the Dewan of Cochin for an explanation
on the subject of the boundary dispute
therein alluded to, I now beg to enclose for
your information a copy of his reply
No. 183 under date the 9th Instant.

Resident's Office,
Travandrum. 12th June 1841. } (Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.

To Colonel W. Cullen
British Resident of Travancore
and Cochin.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 582 under date the 25th ultimo transmitting copy of one to your address of the 10th of the same month from the Collector of Malabar, and in reply to state that it appears from the records of this Office that the Tahsildars of Palghaut and Chittoor have twice met, first in October 1832 and again in October 1836 for the purpose of adjusting the disputes therein alluded to but that on neither occasion

Fort St. George. 26th May 1815.

occasion could they bring it to any decisive conclusion. On their last meeting however they were agreed that of the 27 Ryots (not 24 as stated in Mr. Conolly's letter) respecting whom the dispute in question arose, 23 were Residents in the Cochin Territory, and four only in the Company's. The Tahsildar of Palghaut though he acknowledged that twenty three of the number are inhabitants of Cochin, was still of opinion that the tax was payable by four of them to the Company on the ground of their having paid it at the time of the settlement of the Boundary disputes by the Commissioners.

The Chittoor Tahsildar could not of course agree to the justness of this claim and so the matter has remained unsettled.

Ernacollum, in Cochin. Huz. Cutcherry 9th June 1815. } /Signed/ Shangra Varier Dewan

/True Copy/

/Signed/ T. Cullen Resident.

To. The Resident Travancore and Cochin

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 12th Instant. with its enclosure from the Dewan of

Fort St. George. 26th May. 1815.

of Cochin. It appears to me to be any thing but desirable to leave the Boundary dispute on the allyance in which it has been so long. I beg to suggest that another meeting be directed between the Tahsildar of Chittoor and Palghaut and that they be informed that it is considered necessary that the question be concluded and desired to submit some final proposal for its settlement. a very slight concession on one or possibly both sides would appear to be all that is requisite.

Calicut, 17th June 1815. /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector.

To. The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 17th of June, and to that of the 22nd ultimo from your Assistant requesting that a meeting between the Tahsildars of Chittoor and Palghaut might take place on the 10th Instant for the investigation of the disputes relative to the number of Individuals who should pay tax to the Company. I have now the honor to forward to you a copy of a report from the Dewan of Cochin No. 329 of the 9th Instant. stating that the meeting had taken

Fort St. George, 28th May. 1845.

taken place but with still less satisfac-
-tory result than ever.

On the previous meeting of
1835 you will observe from the letter from
the Dewan of Cochin. Copy of which was
forwarded to you on the 12th of June last: that
the Tahsildar of Palghaut appears to have
acknowledged that only 4 of the 27 Ryots
were residents of the Company's Country,
but he claimed also payment of the tax
from 4 of the Cochin ryots.

3. On the present occasion, the
Palghaut Tahsildar has claimed the taxes
from the whole of the Ryots, though at the
same time acknowledges them to be
subjects of Cochin.

4. Of this new and unreason-
-able demand, I hope you may not approve,
and that you may be disposed to relinquish
all claim of the tax, from any of the
acknowledged subjects of the Cochin Sirkar.

Resident's Office. ———
Travandrum, 30th October 1845 } Signed / W. Cullen.
Resident.

To. Colonel W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore & Cochin

Sir.

With reference to your letter
No.

Fort St. George, 28th May. 1845.

No. 349 under date the 27th Ultimo answering for
my information Copy of one to your address
dated the 22nd from the Head Assistant
Collector of Malabar, I have the honor to
state that the Chittoor Tahsildar met the
Tahsildar of the Palghaut Talook on the 10th
and 11th Instant for the investigation of the
case therein alluded to, and that the result
of the meeting has been any thing but con-
-clusive. The Tahsildars after a long discussion
were of opinion that it was not in their
power to settle the disputed point without
some specific instructions from their res-
-pective Superiors.

2. You will observe from my
letter No. 183 of the 9th June last that when
the Palghaut and Chittoor Tahsildars met
for the settlement of this dispute in
October 1830. the former demanded the tax
from four of the 23 Ryots acknowledged by
him to be subjects of this Circar, and that
the then Tahsildar of Chittoor would not
accede to the claim. The Present Palghaut Tahsil-
-dar goes further than his predecessor, and de-
-mands the tax from all of them, though he
at the same time acknowledges them to be
Cochin subjects.

3. A Copy of the Chittoor Tahsildars
report

Fort St. George. 28th May 1845.

report on the subject dated the 13th Instant is herewith transmitted for your information.

Ernacollom in Cochin, Huz. Cutcherry 19th October 1844

(Signed) Shaingara Varier

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. Cullen
President.

To.
The President
Travancore & Cochin.

Sir.

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your communication of the 30th October 1844.

The Statement drawn up by the Tahsildar of Palghaut which I beg to enclose with an abstract translate, shews so clearly that the claim of the British Government to receive revenue from twenty four Pyots is founded on incontrovertible documents that I feel convinced you will have no hesitation in admitting its justice.

Sungaren Nair.

The Tahsildar of Palghaut who made the enquiry in concert with the Chittoor Tahsildar in 1830 would appear to have taken no pains to satisfy himself of the existence or non-existence of such proofs as have been now brought to light but this is to be attributed to the offer he made to receive revenue from ten only of the twenty four Pyots, leaving the others to pay

Fort St. George. 28th May 1845.

pay to the Cochin Sircar.

Calcutta 4th September 1842.

(Signed) W. T. Conolly
Collector

Dated 26th April 1816 Para 7.

P.S.

As elucidating and strengthening the Tahsildar's Statement I beg to annex a transcript pro Mr. Vaughan's report on the subject of the boundaries between Cochin and Malabar generally.

Abstract translation of the Palghaut Tahsildar's Report.

The Palghaut Tahsildar states in his report of the 27th October 1843 that the Chittoor Tahsildar having arrived at the appointed spot for the purpose of settling the boundary dispute of the Perooveemboo Amshem, the previously disputed ceeded thither accompanied by several respectable old and intelligent Inhabitants of Perooveemboo and Goodaway oor Amshems, taking with them the old accounts connected with the dispute in question and that from the inspection made of the boundaries as described in the Grandway, framed when a dispute occurred on a former occasion, copy of which was in possession of both parties - it appears that of the 24 Pyots by whom arrears of Revenue are due - Attengal Koopah, Vellen and Eyooven Poolanee Kauden have been paying Revenue to the British Government from 1012 / 1836/37 and of the remaining 22 Pyots, Varikal Koopen Son Nayuen and another have their houses in Perooveemboo Amshem within the limits of the Palghaut Talook, which was fixed by measurement made with a Perambalator, and that the

the remaining 20 Ryots have their houses within the Boundary of the Cochin Sircar Territory. He therefore sends an account Particulars of the Revenue of these Ryots, and states that notwithstanding the above circumstances he has communicated the Chittoor Tahsildar and other servants who came with him, by producing the account particulars of the Collections and the Jemma Chits given to the Ryots that from 978/1803/4 the knife tax, and from 1000/1824/25 house tax and Garden revenue, were collected in the Perovombon Umshom from the Ryots, whose names are entered in that account, that he also produced an old account of 978/1803/4 which showed the spots where they were allowed to draw toddy from the Brab trees and the lands bestowed on them on Karaymah (hereditary) right, all which Documents, as also several others of unexceptionable validity, tended to prove that from a long time these Ryots paid Revenue to the British Government, independent of which, that it is set forth in the same Grandwary in which the boundaries are defined, that the Ryots who have their houses on lands which form the limits of the District are to pay the Knife tax to the British authority, and which goes to confirm and support the facts above stated, the Tahsildar and other servants of the Cochin Government were therefore questioned whether they had any Documents similar to those in our possession, to justify their pressing the Ryots in question to pay Revenue

Rice Land & Garden Revenue Rs 1.9. Knife tax 1.9.13.3 Rs 8.4.7 Rs 7.3.4.7

to their Government while they have from a long time paid the same to British Government, when they informed the Palghaut Tahsildar that there was no Knife or house tax in their district but an assessment on Garden Trees and Brab trees from which toddy is drawn, which is rented out to respectable Inhabitants of Sean Caste and the Amount of the assessment Collected from the Renters. that they are in possession of the survey account Particulars of the Gardens, the Trees of which are assessed, and the Custom is to collect this assessment from the Ryots through the owners of the Gardens. that it was only in 1012/1836/37 that a house tax Pymash was made and an account framed. and the tax on Brab trees from which toddy is drawn is as above stated, rented out to Sears of respectability. but that they have no account Particulars of the same as however the above specified 20 Ryots have their houses within their boundary as marked on a former occasion by measurement with a Perambulator, the dues of that sircar on account of the tax above

above denominated are collected from these Ryots as is done from other Ryots through their landlords. But the Palghaut Tahsildar observes that except their asserting as above, they produced no account or documents in proof of their assertion and that he subsequently sent for the 24 Ryots by whom the arrears of Revenue were due and made enquiry of them in the presence of the Chittoor Tahsildar. when they declared that up to this day they paid not a fraction to the Cochin Sircar, adding that in any thing which concerned their Village and the Custom of their Caste, they always joined and conformed to the practice of the Inhabitants of Perovemboo Tirushom and never had any thing to do with any one residing in the Cochin District.-

The Palghaut Tahsildar submits that by the boundary fixed by measurement with the Peramulator there are lands within our limits which pay Revenue to Cochin Government and others within their limits which pay assessment to British Government

Government as also a few houses similarly situated of which some pay to that and others to this Government. It would therefore be proper that both the British Government and the Cochin Sircar should abide by the Custom obtained from a long time, with respect to the Collection of their respective dues, more particularly as the boundaries above referred to were fixed only in 991 which is indeed from a long time before 1815/16 that period the British Government used to Collect Revenue from the abovementioned Ryots and are still collecting it from them and as the Cochin Government have not been able to prove by any documents their right of Collecting the Revenue from the Ryots whose case is under dispute, and as these Ryots do not admit that any Collection was made from them, the Palghaut Tahsildar thinks there is no reason to credit the allegation made by the Cochin servants to the effect that they make the Collection through the landlords nor that they are justified in forbidding the said Ryots from paying Revenue to our Government.-

The Tahsildar of Palghaut sends

sends an account shewing the lands and Gardens situated in the Cochin Territory as per limits fixed by measurement with a Perambulator and which pay Revenue to the British Government in the Peroovemboo Taluk and remarks that it has not been ascertained and shewn in the account framed for the purpose of distinguishing the boundary between the two Governments to which Governments respectively the assessment on lands and Gardens as well as house tax &c. situated as in either side, were to be paid and that this account was made only in 991/1815/16 whereas from a long time before that period until 1006/1830/31 the year from which the assessment of the Ryots in question fell in arrears) the Cochin people made no objection to their paying Revenue to our Government, besides it is a well known fact that although lands Gardens, Houses &c. were by the fixing of the said boundary situated partly in their territory and partly in ours, yet each Govern: ment Continued to Collect the Re: venue which appertained to them as according

Amounting to Rs. 123-12-0.

according to old Custom. If therefore the Cochin Govern: ment are now to Collect the same from the Ryots in question with reference to that boundary it cannot but tend to arise other disputes in future and prevent our Government making Collection of their dues which they have hitherto uninterruptedly done. Further that prior to the fixing of the boundary laid down in that account the assessments of these Ryots were included in this District and that both then, and for 15 years afterwards the same was collected from them through the authorities of the Palghaut Taluk hence it cannot but be very unreasonable that the Cochin Government should press these Ryots, after the lapse of such a length of time, to pay Revenue to their Govern: ment and that they should even interfere with the lands held by Tiers on Caraimah right as appears to be the case with the lands of an individual named Naguen and another, by preventing their drawing toddy from the trees thereon, for although these lands, from their present situation ex: tend on both Districts, the Caraimah is a right of some importance and one that should consequently be respected and the

Consequence

Consequence is that they refuse to pay the Knife tax which they used to pay to this Government and only pay the House tax.-

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. Ct. Conolly
Collector

Extract from a letter from Mr. Commissioner James Vaughan for fixing the boundaries between Cochin and Ma=labar to the Revenue Collector dated 26th April 1816.-

X X X X X

X X X X X

The nexct place where the Commissioner met was Suttanungalom where a few trifling and petty disputes exsisted relative to small Tracts of cultivation land. The Revenue derivable from the whole did not after investigation prove to Amount 1000 Gold Fanams being less than 300 Rupees a year but the Boundaries here were so little under=stood and so intricate that no survey but an immense scale could possibly be laid down distinct enough for refer=ence it was therefore agreed that independent of the survey Captain Arthur was to make an accurate account should

should be taken of the adjoining fields on each side marking their bearings the name of the Proprietors occupants and Cultivators this will form a Record of reference the clearest that we could devise. There are on both sides spots insulated, thus perhaps there may be a Field Completely surrounded by the Cochin Country and acknowledged to be fields which pays Revenue to the Honorable Company and vice versa and what is more singular is that there are cases where a House, Garden, Rice Ground pay House and Land Tax to Cochin Government and pay Knife or Toddy Tax to our Government, how these peculiarities Crept in and unable to learn Custom was always quoted as the authority.-

X X X X X

X X X X X X

X X X X X X

To Major General Cullen
Resident Trav: & Cochin

Sir,

Dated 11th & 28th January 1843.

I have the honor to enclose Copies of two Arzees from the Valyhant Tahsildar, the circumstances detailed in which you will observe, urge the necessity of an early decision on the subject of the claim of

of the British Government to receive the
Revenue from 24 Ryots referred to in my
letter of the 9th September 1842.-

Caliaut -- 7th March 1843 } (Signed) H.V. Conolly
Collector

To H.V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 219 of the 7th March last, on the discussions between the Tahsildars of Palghaut and Chittoor relative to the claims to collect taxe from certain Ryots near the boundaries of the two Districts.-

2. I really cannot see that any just claim has been established by the Company's authorities. In your letter of the 10th May 1841 you acknowledge that a meeting in 1836 it was agreed, that 10 Ryots should pay to the Company and 14 to the Cochin Sircar. If the two Tahsildars were formally authorized in 1836 to agree to any terms, and that they did agree as you say to the foregoing arrangement, Viz. 10 to pay to the Company and 14 to Cochin, then I confess it appears to me hardly just to set aside that agreement as you propose

propose to do in your letter of the 9th
September 1842. but the Dewan of Cochin
positively declares that the agreement
was for 24 only to pay to the Company and
23 to Cochin.-

3. This arrangement too having
continued in operation for so many
years I really do not feel disposed to urge
its re-agitation.-

Residents Office
On Circuit Calicut
Cannanore 22d June 1843 } (Signed) W. Cullen
Resident

To Major General W. Cullen
&c &c Travancore and Cochin

Sir, I do myself the honor of acknowledging
=ing your communication of the 22d Ultimo
on the subject of a boundary discussion
between Malabar and Cochin.-

2. I would most willingly
drop the subject altogether were it not that
my accounts shew a balance on account
of the revenue in dispute which I must ex=
=plain to the authorities in Madras.-

3. Had the arrangement proposed
in 1836 been carried out it would no doubt have
been preposterous to urge its re-agitation
but this was not the case. No orders were passed
on the Tahsildar's recommendation and the
matter is still in abeyance.- The

4 The enclosed Extract from the Grant Tawary or descriptive list of the boundaries of Malabar and Cochin fixed by the Commissioner in 1818 shews that the Dewan's objection to any Ryot who lives in the Cochin Country paying revenue to Malabar is not altogether sufficient but not to waste time in what is certainly a very trifling matter I shall be happy to accede to any proposition which the Dewan may think fit to propose.—

Calicut 17th July 1843

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

To Major General Cullen
Resident Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

I beg to request your attention to my letter of the 17th July 1843 on the subject of the Revenue due by certain Ryots of Peroauembaw Tenshaw.—

Calicut 25th October 1844

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

To H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I have the honor in reply to your letter No. 1289 of No. 365 dated 4th Inst. the 25th October last to forward to you a further report from the Dewan of Cochin on the Contested jurisdiction over certain 24 Ryots of the Peroauembaw and Kodooaayoor Tenshaws of the Chittoor District.—

I hope you will find the Dewan's present reply more explanatory and satisfactory than any that have yet been furnished and as affording strong evidence of the right of the Cochin Sirkar to resist the collection of any tax from individuals residing within that territory.—

I must also confess that I am quite of opinion that if the results of any conference between the two Tahsildars is to be appealed to in support of the claim of the parties, certainly that of 1836 is the one that ought to have the preference.—

It was assembled under competent authority

authority and no objections having been then taken to the report, it should have due weight.

Resident's Office,
On Circuit Trichoor
22nd January 1845.

(Signed) W. Cullen. Resident.

To Major General W. Cullen
British Resident of
Travancore and Cochin.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Memorandum No. 1655 under date 30th Ultimo requesting a reply to your letter No. 1421 of the 7th September 1843 forwarding copy of one from the Collector of Malabar relative to the right of collecting tax from certain Ryots near the boundaries of Malabar and Cochin.—

2. The nature and particulars of the above matter are explained in my letters to your address No. 183 and 329 under dates respectively the 9th June and 19th October 1841. Mr. Conolly however, in the last Para of his letter above adverted to expresses his willingness to accede to any proposition which I may think fit to make on the subject. Anxious as I am to settle a matter which Mr. Conolly himself very properly designates a trifling one, I am unable to make any proposal conferring on the

Company's

Company's Officers the previlege of levying a tax on any subjects or inhabitants of Cochin unless they posses property in the Company's Territory.-

3. It may be very true that at the time of the Settlement of the Boundaries of Malabar and Cochin by the Commissioners in 1815/991 some inhabitants of this Country were in the habit of paying the taxes denominated "Kathy Negady and Chatha Negady" to the Company, but I beg to observe that these taxes are not levied on lands or houses but on individuals of the Ello-oven Caste, the former on persons employed in drawing the Toddy from the Palmira Tree and the latter on those employed in the distillation of Arrack. The Inhabitants said to have paid the above taxes at the percod of the boundary Settlement employed themselves doubtless in the above works in the Company's Country which their vicinity to the boundary will easily account for and on them, even tho they are subjects of Cochin the taxes in question were fairly leviable by the Company's authorities; and upon the same principle Company's subjects so employing themselves in

in this Country are subjected to the same taxes to this Government. In the event of these individuals refusing to pay the above taxes to the Company or Circar, as the case may be, the authorities of the one Government cannot demand from those of the other the surrender of such persons or any interference in regard to them. The only course open to either Government is to deny to such persons the previlege of employing themselves in the said occupations.-

Hazzoor Catchery,
Ernacollom in Cochin.
7th November 1844. ---

(Signed) Shangara Warier
Dewan

To, H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar

Sir,

1. Since forwarding to you in my letter No 96 of the 22nd ultimo. a further report from the Dewan of Cochin on the contested claims to levy taxes on certain subjects of the Cochin Circar and residing within that Territory in the District of Jattamangalam, I have myself visited the Spot.-

2. I walked round nearly the whole of the boundary of the Umshems in question accompanied by the Dewan of Cochin and the Tahsildar of Palghat. There seemed to be no dispute in

Fort St George 26th May 1845

in regard to the line of Boundary, indeed the original Boundary marks on the several trees, and the description of the boundary as contained in the Tahsildar's records seemed to correspond perfectly.-

3. The whole question therefore is whether the British Government have any claim to levy tax on certain subjects of the Cochin State admitted to be living within that Territory.-

4 Whether the Cattee Negadee tax was or was not levied by the Collector of Malabar from those Ryots formerly and at a period when the Boundaries were not well defined, should hardly I think be a subject for discussion now. They are now and have always been subjects of Cochin, and living within that Territory, yet not only is the Negadee tax still demanded, but the house tax as well as the tax on the Gardens in which their houses are built.-

5- I do not know what may be the amount of arrears due previous to 1815, but probably a mere trifle if indeed it can be at all satisfactorily shewn, but I cannot think that the Madras Government would hesitate for a moment about relinquishing all future demands, on the actual state of the case being explained to them.-

I

Fort St George 26th May 1845

6- I feel it necessary to bring to your notice the violent and indeed outrageous conduct of some of the Company's Ryots of Peruvempoo Umshom, headed by one Kristna Menoven who were present the 2nd morning that I was examining the Boundary at Perambulator wheel which accompanied assistant Surveyor Armstrong was seized by the above Menoven and a number of others who declared they would break it in pieces if attempted to be taken on. Neither any expostulations nor those of the Dewan or Palghaut Tahsildar had the least effect, and to avoid a breach of the Peace I was obliged to leave the whole in their hands. There were some 7 or 800 persons on the ground $\frac{3}{4}$ of them Cochin subjects.-

I cannot help thinking that the Peravempoo Addigaree or Provertikar must have been aware of this intended outrage, at all events neither the nor the Tahsildar seemed to exercise any control over the Offenders. I requested the Tahsildar to record the names of the parties and to report to you.-

(Signed) W. Cullen. M. G.
Resident.

Resident's Office,
On circuit, Caroor,
7th February 1845.-

A Sketch of the disputed locality accompanies the letter.- (Here Enter Sketch)

To-

6400

Fort St George 26th May 1845

To H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of Malabar

Sir

May I request the favor of your informing me if you propose making any recommendation to Government, founded on the suggestions in my letter No 168 of the 7th Instant relative to the claim of tax from certain Ryots of Cochin.-

Residents Office,
Cochin, 26th February 1845.

(Signed) W. Cullen
Resident.-

To, Major General Cullen

Resident Travancore and Cochin

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 26th Ultimo I have the honor to state that under the full and satisfactory explanation offorded in your communication of the 22nd January and 7th Ultimo I fully intend to recommend to Government the abandonment of all claims for Revenue from subjects of the Cochin Sircar residing within the undoubted limits of that Territory.-

2. I much regretted to hear of the annoyance you experienced in your late visit to Tuttamungalum as alluded to in your letter of the 7th Ultimo. The Tahsildar had made a report to me before.-

Calicut,
8th March 1845.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

ordered

6401

Fort St George 26th May 1845

3-5

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.-

(Vide Pro: to Govt 29th May.)

Read the following Extracts.-

6

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th May 1845.

To, J. F. Thomas Esqre

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St George

Sir

Dated 18th ultimo.

In reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department No 348, communicating an Extract of a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors No 9. I have the honor by order of the Medical Board to recommend that the Regulation of Government respecting the Establishment of Public Dispensaries at certain Stations adverted to in the Margin may be made applicable to those now ordered to be established at Salem, Calicut Vizagapatam and Kurnool.-

Dated 19th Feby 1845.

Dated 30th March 1842 published in the Fort St George Gazette Page 251.

* Trichinopoly
Madura
Masulipatam
Nellore
Bellary
Cuddapah

2. The necessary instructions I am directed to state for the information of Government will be given to Superintending Surgeons respecting supplies of Medicines &c which it is intended to furnish on

a similar scale to that allowed for the Dispensaries established under the G.O. above referred to.-

Fort St. George
1st May 1845.

(Signed) George Pearse, M.D.
Secy. Medical Board

Resolved that the Rules for the Establishment of Public Dispensaries published in the Official Gazette of the 1st April 1842 page 251, be made applicable to the Civil Dispensaries proposed to be formed at Salem, Calicut Vizagapatam and Kurnool.-

(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

6.7

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collectors of Salem, Malabar and Vizagapatam and Governors Agent in Kurnool with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 15th Instant.-

Extract.

6103 Fort St. George 26th May 1845

From the Chief Secy to Govt

8

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th May 1845.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Chingleput reporting the decease of E. Annasawmy Moodelly Sole Shrotriemdar of Moore-chembadoo Cherewakum Nalloor and Vunrepaukum and joint Shrotriemdar of Elwumbadoo, all Villages in the Chingleput District and recommending that Cunneyapa Moodelly the adopted Son of the late Shrotriemdar be permitted to succeed to the several Shrotriemdars.-

(Here enter 3rd April 1845 No. 216.)

Resolved under the circumstances submitted by the Board of Revenue, that the Collector of Chingleput be authorized to Register the Shrotriems held by the late E. Annasawmy Moodelly in that District, in the name of his adopted Son Cunneyapah Moodelly.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Resolved

Fort St George 26th May 1845

Resolution thereon, Resolved that a copy of
(9-9)
the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation and of the Board's letter to which
it relates be transmitted for the informa-
tion and guidance of the acting Collector
of Chingleput with reference to Mr Freeses
letter dated 5th March last.-

(10)

From the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 19th May 1845.

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-
(Here enter 28th April 1845 N.o 279)

The Most Noble the Governor
in Council sanctions the proposed Establish-
ment for the Survey of
Guntoor, recommended
in the Board's letter.-
But His Lordship in
Council is desirous
that the arrangement
should be considered
altogether temporary dependent on the in-
formation, and the matured opinions of
the Board called for in Minutes of
Consultation

	Pr Mensem
Survey Establishment Rs	342-2--
Sauderwared	28-8--
Total per Mensem	370-10--
Estimated value of Boundary Stones	180----
Iron Chains for Measure-ment	22----
Total	572-10--

Fort St George 26th May 1845

Consultation dated 3rd Instant N.o 561.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon, Resolved that a Copy of
(10-11)
the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation and of the Board's letter to-
which it relates be transmitted for the in-
formation of the 3rd Member of the Board
on deputation to Guntoor, with reference to his
letter to Government dated 1st April 1845.-

The Board observe that a copy
of the Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd Instant
N.o 561 was transmitted to Mr Elliot with their
Secretary's letter dated 12th Instant.-

(12)

From the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t

Read the following Extracts
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 10th May 1845.

Read again letter from the
Officiating Secretary to the Board of Revenue
dated 6th Febraary and recorded in Diary to-
Consultation 4th March 1845 N.o 216.-

Read also the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-
(Here enter 10th April 1845 N.o 240.-)

Under the explanation furnished
in

in the correspondence which accompanied the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th April 1845 the Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the Register of Government Grants to issue the Certificate applied for by Mr Bowden for the premises purchased by him at Palicole, the land being subject to the quit Rent proposed by the Collector of Rajahmundry Viz.t one Rupee per Annum.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) E.P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

Resolution thereon (12-13)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants with reference to his letter dated 4th April 1845.-

Extract

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th May 1845.

(14)

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 14th April 1845 No 246/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charges incurred in Fusly 1253, on account of Dressers and Saderwared of the Silbendy in Goomsur amounting to Rupees /508-9-4/ Five hundred and eight annas nine, and Pice four but he desires that the Acting Collector may be called upon to report whether this Establishment is duly and efficiently kept up and on what duties they are at present employed.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E.P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon (14-15)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Ganjam, with reference to his letter dated 18th March last.

Mr Smollett will be pleased to furnish the information required by Government regarding the efficiency and Employment of

in the correspondence which accompanied the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th April 1845 the Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the Register of Government Grants to issue the Certificate applied for by Mr. Bowden for the premises purchased by him at Palicole, the land being subject to the quit Rent proposed by the Collector of Rajahmundry Viz.t, one Rupee per Annum.-

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
(Signed) E.P. Thompson
Secy to Govt

Resolution thereon (12-13)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants with reference to his letter dated 4th April 1845.-

Extract

From the Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th May 1845.

(14)

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 11th April 1845 No. 946/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charges incurred in Fusly 1253, on account of Dressers and Sadarwarad of the Sibbendy in Goomsur amounting to Rupees /508-9-4/ Five hundred and eight annas nine and Pice four but he desires that the Acting Collector may be called upon to report whether this Establishment is duly and efficiently kept up and on what duties they are at present employed.

/A true Extract/

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

/Signed/ E.P. Thompson, Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon (14.15)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Ganjam, with reference to his letter dated 18th March last.

Mr. Smollett will be pleased to furnish the information required by Government regarding the efficiency and Employment of

16

From the Secy to Government Communicating orders on certain Statements of Charges.

of the Sibbendy in Goomsur.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th of May 1845.

The following List of statements of ordinary Fea Disbursements in the Provinces submitted by the Board of Revenue under Date the 21st Ultimo is ordered to be recorded.

/Here enter List No. 586/

Para 1

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the Actual charges of Fusly 1253, and the Estimated Disbursements for Fusly 1254 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 107548-2-11- One lac Seven thousand Five hundred and forty eight Annas two and Pice Eleven being exclusive of the undermentioned sums

Actual Rs 99654-14-11
Estimates 7896-4-0
107548-2-11

Tinnevelly Statement No. 3633 Items 6. and 7.

Rupees 1129-8-3. Extra Establishments included in the General statement of Extra Establishments.

Item 8 Rupees 263-13-5 Survey Establishment and Saderwared Establishment amounting to Rupees 19564. having been sanctioned by the Government of India under date the 22d of April 1842 for the Survey of the Tinnevelly District the Collector is requested to submit separately for the

the sanction of Government an annual statement of the charges incurred on this account with a brief report of the progress of the survey- Items 9 and 10. Rupees 63-6-9. It is observed that

1st May 1838 R. 876
30th Octr " 299

so far back as 1838- the Government sanctioned the employment of an additional Establishment of 27 Goomastahs Fea for a term of 4 Months for placing the records of the Tinnevelly Cutcherry in a state of complete order and efficiency- the work not having been completed at the period of the Collectors report of the 14th December 1843, the amount espended in Fuslies 1250 and 1251. should have been specially reported to Government and renewal of sanction obtained for the balance of the authorized amount- This course should be adopted now.

Ganjam Statement No. 119. Item 9---- Rupees 2-5-5. Batta to Servants on Circuit overcharged.

Item 11----Rupees 63-12-0 Law Charges objected to in the absence of sanction for the defence of the Suits referred to-

Item 12 Rupees 180-0-0 Compensation in lieu of Enam land The order issued by the Board directing a special application to be submitted for this disbursement is approved-

Tinnevelly statement No. 3636 Item

2

2 Rupees 625 Rewards for apprehending delinquents to be submitted through the Court of Fouzdary Adawlut.

Rt. 28463. 3-9 Item 1. in Statement No. 3634.

2. The Disbursement noted in the Margin it is observed is the pay of the Fixed Establishment of Number Communs in the Tinnevelly District and the allowances appear from the account particulars furnished by the Collector to have been regulated and paid at certain Fixed rates. The authorized amount on account of this Establishment should therefore be considered a Standing charge and the annual disbursement should be checked and passed in the Office of Audit in common with all other charges on account of Fixed Establishments. The Board will accordingly instruct the Collector to exclude the charge from the statements annually submitted for the sanction of Government.

3. The Board are referred to the remarks of Government contained in para 3. of the Minutes of Consultation of the 29th April last No. 544 in respect

Remarks of the Board on Items 2 and 3. of the statement No. 21. from Gunjam Batta to Prisoners and Prosecutors.

respect to the charges noted in the Margin.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly

(16-17)

Sir,

To the Collector of Tinnevelly No. 1. In communicating to you the sanction of Government for the sum of Rupees 14485-9-8 on account of disbursements included in your statement of charges incurred under estimate for Fusly 1253. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Extract containing their remarks with the orders of Government on Items Nos. 6-7-8-9-and 10. and to request your attention to the instructions therein conveyed.

Dated 11th July 1844 in force 14th April 1845

2. Government have further been pleased to sanction the total amount of the statement of charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1253 amounting to

to Rupees 70,101-3-10. you will observe
Para 2 from the accompanying Extract from the
Minutes of Consultation dated 18th Instant that
the pay of the Number Cumnums of your District
need not be sanctioned annually but it is
to be passed in future by the Civil auditor
as a fixed charge.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th. May 1845 ---

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

From the Secretary to Government

18

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 17th. May 1845.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

/Here Enter 17th. April 1845 /N. 265/

Under all the circumstances of the case brought to notice in the correspondence which accompanied the above Proceedings, the Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to await the decision on the appeal preferred by Mr. Smollett from the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court in Original Suit No. 198 of 1843. before deciding whether the Government will bear the costs in the

the suit meanwhile however he desires the Board of Revenue will impress upon that officer the necessity of adhering to the established rules and regulations on all future occasions.

To The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson.
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon

19-19

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Ganjam with reference to his letter dated 31st March last.

From the Secy to Government

20

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th May 1845.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam enclosing one from Brigadier Cooke Commanding the station on the subject of the nuisance and annoyance occasioned by an arrack shop situated in that part of the Town commonly called the French Pettah and stating that the measure suggested by the Collector Viz. that arrangement be entered into with the French Authorities for the transfer of the Pettah to the Company is deserving of consideration.

/Here Enter 10th April 1845/ N. 235/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Collector of Ganjam, dated 10th ultimo and entered in consultation 22d Instant,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Acting Collector of Ganjam,

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th April last requesting sanction for certain charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from your Accounts for fusly 1252.

2. The disbursements on account of Masque and Pagoda allowances corresponding exactly with the Estimate sanctioned by Government under Date 4th March 1819. will be recommended for sanction but the Board require further information regarding the Pensions retrenched by the Civil Auditor.

3. In Statement No. 20. submitted by Mr. Spottiswood with his letter dated 15th October 1813. pensions Nos. 33 – 34 – and 35 are stated to have been granted in lieu of presumed Offices and privileges. The Board wish to know whether the parties now receiving these pensions are the same individuals whose names are entered in the statement above referred

24

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam

Requesting him to furnish further information regarding certain charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from his Accounts for fusly 1252

Fort St. George 22d May

Informs 15th March 1819

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

referred to and whether pensions of this description have in your District generally been discontinued on the death of the recipients or whether they are continued to the representatives. In the latter case whether special sanction has usually been obtained for their continuance or not

4. The Board request you will furnish the same information with regard to Pensions No. 36 and 37. which they find are entered as Charitable grants in Statement No. 22. submitted by Mr. Spottiswood with his letter above referred to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft, Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

25

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

In reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 8th May 1845. I have the honor to forward copy of Mr. Elliots letter

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Collector of Ganjam, dated 10th ultimo and entered in consultation 22d Instant,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply-

24

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th April last requesting sanction for certain charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from your Accounts for fusly 1252.

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam

Requesting him to furnish further information regarding certain charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from his accounts for fusly 1252

Infors 22d May

2. The disbursements on account of Masque and Pagoda allowances corresponding exactly with the Estimate sanctioned by Government under date 4th March 1819. must be recommended for sanction but the Board require further information regarding the Pensions retrenched by the Civil Auditor.

Infors 15th March 1819

3. In Statement No. 20. submitted by Mr. Spottiswood with his letter dated 15th October 1813. pensions Nos. 33 – 34 – and 35 are stated to have been granted in lieu of resumed Offices and privileges- The Board wish to know whether the parties now receiving these pensions are the same individuals whose names are entered in the statement above referred

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

referred to and whether pensions of this description have in your District generally been discontinued on the death of the recipients or whether they are continued to the representatives In the latter, case whether special sanction has usually been obtained for their continuance or not

4. The Board request you will furnish the same information with regard to Pensions No. 36 and 37, which they find are entered as Charitable grants in Statement No. 22. submitted by Mr. Spottiswood with his letter above referred to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845 - - -

/Signed/ J. Lyeraft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft, Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

25

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor - -

Sir,

In reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 8th May 1845- I have the honor to forward copy of Mr. Elliots letter

Fort St George 26th May 1845.

letter upon which I suspended the
Head Sheristadar of my Cutcherry.

Guntoor
Collector's Cutcherry
1st May 1845.

/Signed/ D. White
Acting Collector.

To
D. White. Esqre
Acting Collector of
Guntoor

Sir,

In my letter of the 29th
Ultimo I stated that many of the more recent
Ryotwar balances appeared to have been left
outstanding without sufficient reason and
that by the use of ordinary means they might
at least in considerable part be easily
recovered. Subsequent enquiries have not only
convinced me of the correctness of this view but
likewise of the fact that a large proportion
of the arrears of Fusslies 1252, and 1253, remains
uncollected through absolute negligence
and indifference. Many individuals have
admitted to me in writing that the sums charged
against them in these years, are justly due
and have assigned as the reason of their
remaining unpaid that they had not
been demanded.

This

Fort St George 26th May 1845:—

This result which at first
appeared to be the effect of carelessness or
corruption or of both, has within the last few
days been attributed to a new cause, which calls
for the most thorough investigation. Several
of the Public servants, whose integrity has
lately been called in question, have of their own
accord and with a view to justify themselves,
come forward with disclosures, to the effect
that they acted merely in conformity with
the wishes of their superiors who were desirous
thereby of embarrassing the administration
of your Predecessor Mr. Stokes. I did not think
it proper to attend to such vague statements
when first offered, but their repetition on
several occasions, by parties who volunteered
them, supported by the Testimony obtained
independently and without any apparent motive
for misleading, from the Curnums and Ryots
induced me to take down the accompanying
Statements of yatoor Cotiah Aumin
of Yedlapully, Bashacarloo suspended Aumin
of Coorapand and of the Peishkar of Chilkaloor-
pad, whom you were good enough to remove
at my request to another situation, to facilitate
the investigation of the Zemindar's accounts
now

Fort St. George 25th May 1845.—

now proceeding in that Talook. In consequence of some expressions that fell from the last mentioned individual, I was induced to direct a search to be made in the Public Dufters of the Sumeens' Cutcherry of that Tanah, in the course of which two Documents were found, which I have now the honor to enclose and which if genuine, go far to establish the the truth of the above representations.—

It is known that Mr. Stokes differed materially with the Head Sheristadar regarding the mode of making the collections and of regulating the cultivation and that he submitted both his own orders and the objections of the Sheristadar to the Board. Mr. Stokes likewise, if I recollect right, represented on another occasion that the Sheristadar was thwarting him in the business of the District, without that I am aware, specifying in that manner. To whatever cause attributable, certain it is, that the orders issued by Mr. Stokes have been extensively and wilfully perverted by parties desirous of renting villages, the value of which has been in very many instances depreciated at the time of

Fort St. George 25th May 1845.—

of tendering proposals, by the temporary relinquishment of land, while the absence of exertion in the realization of the Revenue of fasslies 1252 and 1253 is a fact equally indisputable.—

Commissioners Office
Tanally ———
28th April 1845.—

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
3d Member of the
Board of Revenue
On Deputation.—

(A true Copy)
/Signed/ D. White
Acting Collector.

25-26

Ordered to be recorded.—

Order thereon.

To J. Pycroft Esquire.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

27

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of Guntoor ———

Para 1. In consequence of the large arrears of business which have accumulated, and are likely to accumulate in consequence of the suspension from duty of the Head, and Deputy Sherishtadars of this district, unless some immediate provision be made for the emergency, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day appointed Dharwar Streenevafs Row

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.—

Streenevas Row, to officiate as Head Sherishtadar during the suispension of Shesha-=gherry Row.—

2 The pay of the Head Sherishtadar being held in deposit during the engniry now pending Streenevas Row who is a Tahsildar in the Ponah Zillah, and on leave of absence, has expresed his willingness to act for the present, upon his present pay, and as therefore the arrangement will involue no extra expense to the Government, while I hope to be very materially assisted thereby I trust the Board, on consideration of the cir-=cumstances in which I am placed, will sanction the appointment as a temporary measure.

3. I may add that Streenevas Row has produced the highest testimonials from the Gentlemen* under whom he has served. He is the son of Ram Row pen-=sioned Sheristadar of Dharwar who after serving with distinction for 30 years, has retired on his full pension. He has been in the Public service for a period of 18 years of which he has served 8 years as Tahsildar in Mysore under the Commissioner, 5 years as Tah-=sildar in Dharwar, and 16 months as Tahsildar

*Mr. Stokes in Mysore
Mr. Escombe
Mr. Longhman } in Dharwar.
Mr. Mills.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.—

Tahsildar in Poonah. It would appear from Mr. Stokes' testimonials that he had a very high opinion of Streenavas Row's qualifications, and that he would have appointed him to the situation in which I have now placed him, if any opportunity had occurred.

Guntoor Collectors Catcherry 15th May 1845.— } /Signed/ D. White
Acting Collector.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Acting Collector
in the Zillah of—
Guntoor.—

27-28

Sir,

To the Actg. Collr. in the Zillah of of Guntoor } Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th Instant reporting your having appointed Dharwar Streenevas Row to Officiate as Head Sheristadar of your District during the suspension of Shashagherry Row.—

This individual, it would

2. appear, holds a permanent office as Tahsildar in a District under the Bombay Presidency and

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.—

and is now in Guntoor merely on leave of absence. The appointment of a person so circumstanced to act as your Head Sheristadar is obviously open to objection and the Board regret therefore that they are unable to confirm your nomination. They trust that you will not experience difficulty in making another selection or that you will be able to effect such arrangements with your present Establishment, as will be sufficient to provide for the despatch of current business until the proceedings against Shashagherry Row shall be brought to a conclusion.—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845 } /Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Actg. Collr of Guntoor } Para 1.

Sir,

In reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 12th Instant calling upon me to explain

Fort St. George 25th May 1845.—

explain by whom the duties of the Head, and Naib Sheristadars of my Cutcherry are at present performed, my letter of yesterday's date will already have made the Board acquainted with my arrangement regarding the first office, and I beg to state, that I have this day nominated Chackrapany Row Ameen of Datchapully and at present Acting Ameen of Cheybrole to officiate as Naib upon the pay of his fixed appointment during the suspension of N. Soobarow.—

2. Chackrapany Row appears to me to be well qualified for the situation of Naib Sheristadar, and has behaved with remarkable honesty and sincerity, since my arrival in the District, and I trust therefore, that the Board will not disapprove of my nomination.—

Guntoor
Collector's Cutcherry
16th May 1845 } /Signed/ D. White
Acting Collector

29-30

Resolution thereon—

29-30

The Board sanction the nomination of Chackrapany Row to officiate temporarily as Naib Sheristadar upon the pay of his fixed appointment

They

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.—

They wish however to receive some further information respecting his past services the Districts in which he has been employed— the situations which he has filled and the Revenue — Officers under whom he has served.—

Read.—

H.E.B.

Fort St. George 28th May 1845.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

31

From the Collector of Nellore.— Submitting Statements marked from Nos. 1 to 10 of the balances outstanding from Fusly 1249 to 1252 inclusive on account of the Land Revenue and other Sources of revenue closed up to the end of February of Fusly 1254 the Statement No. 1 being prepared in the form which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 18th July 1836 together with remarks on the balances

Para 1. I have the honor to submit herewith Statements marked from Nos. 1 to 10 of the balances outstanding from Fusly 1249 to 1252 inclusive, on account of the Land Revenue and other Sources of Revenue, closed up to the end of February of Fusly 1254, the Statement No. 1 being prepared in the form which accompanied the Board's Proceedings of the 18th July 1836.—

2. Before entering upon the Subject of the Balances I beg to State for the information of the Board that the arrears standing against Shrotriums have not been included in the present report, under the impression that they would appear more properly in the General Report of Shrotriums under preparation, the Submission of which I also hope to forward at an early opportunity.—

5. In Para 5 of the Board's Proceedings under date 19th August 1844 remark is made on the incongruities in the Statement

of

of balances against the Woortawar and Chitte:
=tewar Poligars and in obedience to the instruction
contained in the above Para. the balances on ac:
=count of Peishcush have been entered corres:
=ponding with the D. C. and Balance Statement,
and as it is necessary the balance of Roos:
=sooms should also be disposed of, a separate
account of these is submitted, as well as the
balance of Roofsooms payable on account of
Bhalarow Zemindar of Mootialpaudo against
whom there is no Peishcush balance entered
in the D C & B Statement, tho' a balance of
Roofsooms is exhibited in the Talook accounts
as payable to that Zemindar.

4. No balance under the head of
Dowle Zaphe appears in the D.C.B Statement
such Collections having been entered as extra
Revenue, but as the Dowl Zafa balance must
be disposed of I have entered it amongst the
other balances in the D.C.B Statement.

5. The Board have remarked
on the differences existing between the D.C.
B Statement and the Talook accounts
in reviewing the report on outstand:
=ing balances submitted by Mr. Stonhouse,
whose explanation of the discrepan:
=cies has also been furnished

Similar

Similar irregularities appeared in the accounts
of the Fasslies now under report, arising chiefly
in consequence of a separate and distinct
account of Collections not being Kept in
the Talooks by the Curnums shewing what Monies
belonged to Zyen and what to Dowle Zapah,
hence when no explanation accompanied the
Village remittances to the Talook, the whole
amount was entered as belonging to the
Zyen and in some cases the Collection under this
head thus exceeded the actual Zyen demand
as entered in the D.C.B Statement, which
was subsequently rectified on examination
at the Kachzoor by making the necessary ad:
=justments in the Talook accounts.

6. In obedience to the directions of
the Board, with a view of rectifying such ir:
=regularities, I have taken the necessary steps
to bring the D.C.B statement into exact
correspondence with the Talook accounts and
in preparing the D.C.B Statement for
last Month no discrepancies will appear, and
as it is also necessary to make a similar adjust:
=ment with regard to the balances due from
1240 to 1248. The D.C.B Statement for April
will be prepared accordingly and to exhibit the
alterations

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

alterations, I have transmitted 2 Statements shewing the amount now outstanding in the D.C.B Statement and the correct amount which will stand under each head in the April Statement corresponding with that entered in the Talook accounts-

7. I have thought it advisable in reporting on the balances from 1249 to 52, to adopt the proper sums for each item as they may appear in the D.C.B. Statement for April, which will not of course correspond with the Statement for February up to which time the Statement accompanying this report, are closed, or with that for march already submitted-

8. The following is the amount of balances under the several Heads for the four fuslies now reported on, as would appear from the Statement No. 1.-

Amany	2,37,282	13	10
Motarpha	3578	14	5
Stalla Curnums Roofsooms 23817-4-8 Deduct due from Shrotriums 955-2-6-			
Remainder	22861	14	2
Woortahwar	7,615	15	8
Chittatewar	6,251	4	11
	2,77,590	15	4
Dowle Ejapha which did not appear in the D.C.B Statement heretofore but which would be included in it in april Account	3,385	13	5
Total.	2,81,476	12	5

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.-

9. The trifling differences in the Stalla Curnums Roofsooms between the Talook accounts and the Demand Collection and balance Statement having also been adjusted in the same way as Iyen Vs balances, the balance under this Head ought to correspond with the sum which will be found in the April D.C.B Statement, but the balance entered in it comprizes also the amount of Roofsooms due from Shrotriums, because the whole demand on account of Stalla Curnum's Roofsooms due both for Coroo Villages and Shrotriums is merged in the D.C.B Statement, and as the shrotrium balances are omitted in this report, the net balance exhibited in the Statement No. 1 does not correspond with that Statement, as the former is exclusive of the Shrotriums balance-

10. The balances of land revenue under Amany and Dowle Ejapha specified in Para 7 are exhibited hereunder Fusly war. -

Fuslies	Balance of Amany			Amount of Dowle Ejapha as per Talook account			Total		
1249	59,492	15	3	107	14	8	59600	13	11
1250	46,868	0	10	362	15	1	47230	15	11
1251	65,646	6	0	2,020	1	5	67,666	7	5
1252	65,275	7	9	1394	14	3	66,670	6	0
	2,37,282	13	10	3,885	12	5	2,41,168	11	3

Giving

giving an average annual balance amounting to Rupees 5,320-11-6- or 4 Pr. 2 a. 9 c. on the average land revenue beriz of the District during the same years.-

The total amount of Iyen and Dawle Ejapha balances is 24,1168-11-3-

The recoverable portion of which is 2,523-10-1

Doubtful - - - - - - - - - - - 17,835-1-7

Due by deceased Ryots - - - - 2,520-12-1

Due by absconded -"- - - - - 4,313-2-1

Due by impoverished Ryots - 2,13,976-1-5

Total - 24,1168-11-3

The particulars of the amount entered as doubtful are as follows-

Due from Cundregadars 3101-8-8

Due from Enamdars for Bedegas 5272-8-6

Amount entered by the Tahsildars as recoverable - 9461-4-5

Total - 17,835-1-7

11. The greater portion of the first item Stands against Cundregas which have been attached in consequence of inability or want of punctuality in the payments on the part of the holders, and when under management the Collections are carried to the credit of the fixed beriz on a commutation of the produce at the selling rates, the difference being the

the outstanding balances; most of the Zufted Cundregas came under management from 1242 & 43. the holders in consequence of the adverse seasons and famine being unable to make up their Beriz. In the other Cundregas also great losses have been sustained by the lowness of prices and unfavorable returns and the difficulty the holders have experienced in getting cultivators to till their lands, from the circar Ryots having as much of their own as they could attend to. Under this explanation it would hardly seem advisable to enter the balances otherwise than irrecoverable, but as it may happen that some Cundregadars may wish to be again put in possession of their lands a portion of the outstanding balance would be recovered at the time and on this account I have entered the Sum under 'doubtful'. The balance due on account of Bedegas 5272-8-6 has accumulated under circumstances almost entirely similar to those in the Cundrigas.-

12. The Bedega on all Metta Enams is brought to account whether the land be cultivated or waste and the full demand of Bedega is made on wet Enams however trifling

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.-

trifling the extent of land cultivated may be, except under peculiar circumstances- In many cases the Badega is as high as the Coroo sist of the Neighbouring fields and the Enam lands consequently left waste where little or no profit is derived- This cause and the entry of the Badega has swelled the amount of balance as Shewn above.-

13. I have entered as doubtful Rupees 9461-0-5 reported by the Talook authorities as recoverable on a minute investigation of the individual balances, the result of which has led me to pronounce them doubtful, as from the losses sustained by the Ryots and their present depressed condition a portion only is likely to be realized without resorting to such measures as would reduce the Ba Reedars Still lower and affect their future means of Cultivation-

14. The following Statement Shews Fusly wise, the total Amount of Amany and Dowle Ejapha balance and that which stands as irrecoverable after the deduction of the other items of doubtful and recoverable, and this Amount Rupees 22,809-15-7 I would recommend should be written off.-

Fort St. George 26th May 1845-

Fusly	Total amount of Amany and Dowle Ejapha balance			Amount classed as recoverable			Amount classed as doubtful		
	Cds	As	Ps	Cds	As	Ps	Cds	As	Ps
1249	59600	13	11	327	11	2	3971	3	8
1250	47230	15	11	230	13	6	3024	12	11
1251	67666	7	5	842	2	8	5470	2	11
1252	66670	6	0	1122	14	9	5368	14	1
Total	241168	11	3	2523	10	1	17835	1	7

Deduct the recoverable and Doubtful balances- 20,358 11 8

Remainder irrecoverable 22809-15-7

Stalla Cursums Roofsooms- 15. The Board are fully aware of the nature of these Roofsooms as reported on by former Collectors and the balance under this head exclusive of that due from Shotriems amounts, to Rupees 22,861-14-2 irrecoverable with the exception of Rupees 928-15-5 entered by the Tahsildars as recoverable, tho', on enquiry, considered doubtful and entered accordingly- These balances are in many cases done by individuals against whom irrecoverable Ryen balances is also standing and its realization Hopeless.—— The

Fort St George 26th May 1845.

The following are the Fusly war balances under this Head.—

Fuslies	Total amount of balances	Deduct the amounts due from shrotriems	Remainder	amount clased as doubtful
	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ
1249	6189 5 3	250 12 7	5938 8 8	252 12 1
1250	4573 8 1	184 7 4	4329 . 9	139 13 11
1251	7566 1 .	246 2 8	7319 12 4	264 9 3
1252	5548 24	273 11 11	5274 6 5	260 12 2
	23817 . 8	955 2 6	22861 14 2	928 15 5

Deduct the doubtful balances — 928 15 5

Net balance to be written off — 21932 14 9

Woortawar and Chittatewar.

16. These balances of Peishcush are outstanding against individuals in many instances whose Jayen balances are irrecoverable and with the exception of the amount entered as doubtful there is no prospect of their being realized.—

Woortawar

Fort St George 26th May 1845.

Woortawar.

Fuslies	Total amount as per DC & B	amount clased as doubtful
	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ
1249	2186 10 9	305 11 10
1250	1068 7 3	146 11 6
1251	1498 5 6	242 2 8
1252	2862 8 2	293 1 3
	7615 15 8	987 10 3

Deduct doubtful balances — 987 10 3

Remainder irrecoverable to be written off — 6628 5 5

Chittatewar

1249	1792 2 .	27 10 9
1250	1295 9 3	1 10 11
1251	1262 10 2	. .
1252	1900 15 6	20 14 5
	6251 4 11	44 4 1
Deduct doubtful balances.	44 4 1	
Remainder irrecoverable to be written off	6207 . 10	

Motursha. 17. The following exhibits the demand Collection and Balance of Motursha.—

Fuslies	Demand	Collections	Balances
	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ	CRs ᚐ
1249	20493 5 3	19508 . 8	985 4 7
1250	21322 9 9	20212 11 8	1109 14 1
1251	20690 10 5	19720 11 4	969 15 1
1252	20684 13 9	20171 1 1	513 12 8
	83191 7 2	79612 8 9	3578 14 5

The

18. The amount realizable under this Head has been collected with the exception of small portion which is in the course of liquidation. The recoverable and doubtful balances are entered in the following statement leaving to be written off as irrecoverable Rupees 1215-10-11-

Fuslies	Total Balance	Recoverable	Doubtful
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1249	985 4 7	- - -	649 7 4
1250	1109 14 1	21 6 9	659 4 8
1251	969 15 1	47 5 -	666 5 1
1252	573 12 8	52 11 6	266 11 2
Total	3578 14 5	121 7 3	2241 12 3

Deduct recoverable and doubtful balances 2363 3 6

Remainder to be written off 1215 10 11

19. The irrecoverable portion of the balance is that due by Parties who have absconded or have died, and by those whom poverty and adverse circumstances have rendered so poor that the demand against

against them cannot be realized —

20. Under doubtful is entered Rupees 1241-12-3 arising under the following circumstances. —

21. Balance due by the merchants of Cottapatam from fusly 1249 to 1251- Rs. 1472 — a 10 — p 4 — —

The Board are aware from the Moturpha report submitted by Mr Stonhouse and the attention called to the objections against the payment of Moturpha made by the Cottapatam Merchants, that the demand has not hitherto been enforced up to 1251. The orders of Government subsequently received early in 1252 decided that the Collections should be made, and measures have been adopted with regard to the current demand which has been realized with the exception of Rupees 52-3-2 entered as doubtful, the uncollected demand up to 1251 also stands as doubtful waiting the instructions of the Board for its Collection or otherwise, as solicited in the Report of 6th October 1843. —

22. The other items comprising the doubtful balance have also been referred

referred to in the Motussha report and the amount for the 4 fusliés under report is to be duely

Butchers of Angole	252	-
Banians of Pauduty and Rameapatam	27	8 -
by Pariah weavers of Pauduty and Rameapatam	88	- -
by Pullavandoloo of Rawut and Do	168	- -
Cotton cleaners of Cundoocoor	4	- -
Total including the Cottapatam Merchants balance	2039	10 4
In addition to which is added	202	1 11

the amount of sundry items disputed and requiring further enquiry prior to deciding whether they are irrecoverable or not, making a total of doubtful

2241 12 3

Irrecoverable

Due by dead Ryots	34	6 5
" absconded Do	151	1 6
" by poor Ryots	1030	3 -
Total	1215	10 11
Recoverable	121	7 3
Grand Total	3578	14 5

The irrecoverable amount should be written off —

Woortawar and Chittatewar Roosoums.

23. These balances are also standing against individuals in additions of their Syers and is stated

stated in Curnum Roosoums. The Board are aware that the collections under these heads were formerly allowed by the Native Government in certain parts of the District on the understanding that all robberies should be made good by the Polygars. On our assumption of the Country we continued the levy but the conditions on which it was originally paid were discontinued, the collections are now made with much difficulty and are very distasteful to those who have the means of paying and those against whom the present balances stand are in most instances clogged with an Iyen and State Curnum balance, and with the exception of a very small amount entered as doubtful, the remainder may be considered as irrecoverable —

	Fuslies	amount due as per Talook accounts.			Deduct due & reported on as per D.C.B. Statement			Remainder to be written off.		
		CDs	A	O	CDs	A	O	CDs	A	O
Woortawar	1249	4329	12	1	2186	10	9	2143	1	4
	1250	2741	14	1	1068	7	3	1673	6	10
	1251	4669	4	8	1498	5	6	3170	15	2
	1252	2931	8	4	2862	8	2	69	-	2
		14672	7	2	7615	15	8	7056	7	6
Chittatewar	1249	2045	1	8	1792	2	-	252	15	8
	1250	1342	2	11	1295	9	3	46	9	8
	1251	1675	12	7	1262	10	2	413	2	5
	1252	1425	14	9	1900	15	6	-	-	-
		6488	15	11	6251	4	11	712	11	9

Deduct decrease in the D.C. & B Statement on fusly 1252 475 - 9

Remainder 237 11 -

The

Fort St George 26th May 1845.

Bhalarsw

24. The particulars of these Roofsooms are detailed in the report on old balances up to 1239 dated November 26th 1836. and referred to in that dated 6th October 1843, and the orders that may be given on the balances of former fushes will be equally applicable to the present under reference.

The demand collection and balance of the Roofsooms due to this zemindar as per Statement No 5 is hereunder exhibited. —

Fushes	Demand on account of Roofsooms.		Collections		Balances	
	CB	A°	CB	A°	CB	A°
1249	3309	2	3156	9 10	152	6 4
1250	2816	15 1	2699	1 4	117	13 9
1257	3099	13 2	2885	6 6	214	6 8
1252	2686	6 2	2397	10 0	288	12 2
	11912	2 7	11138	11 8	773	6 11

25. From the above Statement exhibiting only a balance of Rupees 773-6-11. the demand may be considered to have been realized nearly to the full, this Rupees 12-1-3. having been entered as recoverable, this amount has been deducted and the remainder Rupees 761—5-8 classed for the present as

Fort St George 26th May 1845.

as doubtful. —

26. It was my intention to have included the balance of fusly 1253 in the present report but owing to the great press of business and the time occupied in enquiring into the outstanding balances of the previous years in addition to the current settlement, I have been unable to prepare the accounts and to save time have postponed the submission of the statement which will accompany the report on the current fusly. —

27. In addition to Statement No 10 I beg to submit another exhibiting the demand under the several Heads of Dowle Gahpha with the Collection and balance of all the fushes from 1240 to 1252. —

The following Statement exhibits the balances under each Head which I would recommend to be written off. —

Land Revenue.

Amany and Dowle Gahpha		220809-15-7.
Mothupha		1215—10—11.
Stala Curnums Roofsooms		21932—12—9.
Woortavar as per Demand, Collection, and Balance Statement on account of Peishcush	6628-5-5.	
Do as per Talook account	7056-7-6.	13684—12—11.
Chittateevar as per Demand, Collection and Balance statement on account of Peishcush	6207—0—10.	
Do as per Talook account	237-11—0	6444—11—10.
Total		264088—2—0

Bearing

28- Bearing in mind the remarks of the Board in the 7th Parah of their Proceedings of the 19th August 1844. I used my best endeavours at the time of the Settlement to ascertain the state of the balances from the Ryots themselves, who were called upon to state what arrears stood against them and whether such as were exhibited in the Talook accounts were just- As the enquiry extended over a period of 12 years arrears, it may naturally be supposed that the information obtained would be vague and unsatisfactory so much so indeed as to be rendered perfectly useless as a means of verifying the balances of any standing, the correctness of which is to be assumed from reference to the accounts submitted at the time the arrears first became due and the reports made on the subject when measures were subsequently taken for their realization, many individuals have died during the period under enquiry, others have absconded and many have thrown up their cultivation from inability to carry it on- The balances against all these standing in the accounts and forming a part of the irrecoverable amount- From the survivors I could gain but little information, many

were perfectly ignorant of the amount for which they were liable, tho they admitted their knowledge of arrears being due by them and their inability to pay appealing at the same time to the Curnum whose Statement was in no cases denied- Some few could recollect the amount of Balances and the circumstances which caused their falling into arrears if they were of a year or two years standing only, but further on that the Curnum was their mouth piece- Numbers did not even know the amount of their Puttah even for the last year, and nearly all had been so careless in the preservation of their old receipts that no help was derived by comparing them with the demand to ascertain if the sum entered as a balance corresponded with the amount of payments- To check the balances of the preceding fusly annually at the time of the Settlement of the succeeding one is a matter of small difficulty and a speedy periodical arrangement of such items as stand over is most desirable, keeping the accounts free from an accumulation of balances and removing from the Ryot a dead weight never likely to profit the Circar, tho it remains as a source of oppression and profit to the Curnums and others in Village authority who

make

make their own terms in pressing or withholding the demand.—

29. With every attention to the subject however it will ever happen in this District under the present System, that the Collections will fall considerably short of the demand, the number of petty cultivators is very great who have nothing to look to, but the produce of their land, should this fall short they receive no remission and have nothing to fall back upon, from whence the Circar demand is to be paid. Again the enforcing full sist on waste land either takes the greater part of the poor Ryots would be profits for its payment, or when his produce is short on his cultivated land the demand stands a balance against him for the waste; this would not be looked upon as hard were the Ryots allowed to contract or extend his cultivation according to his means, but the reverse being the case in many instances makes the accumulation of arrears greater and the propriety of remissions in proportion the more undeniable.—

30. Of the amount entered annually as irrecoverable a great proportion will be found to have arisen under circumstances as above depicted, and in many cases the whole produce of a Ryot barely pays the Circar demand, leaving him to struggle on with diminished means and

find himself the next year in arrears from Parties thus situated it is hopeless expecting the recovery of a single years balance and to attempt enforcing the collection of accumulated arrears would be attended with no profitable result. I do not mean by this to advocate the plan of allowing the lapse of a few years to be taken as a receipt in full for all demands against a Ryot but when arrears have accumulated from circumstances against which he could not guard, remission becomes necessary.—

31. The Board are fully aware of the great losses by price, the Ryots have sustained in this District during the last four years, in particular, in consequence of which there is the greatest difficulty in collecting even the current demand and not having saleable land as in Tanjore this great resource for ready money is denied them. To attempt the collection of the long standing arrears tho' by adopting extreme measures some small amount might be recovered would spread confusion and alarm throughout the District and altho the amount solicited to be written off appears large I earnestly hope the Board will support with their recommendation its removal from the accounts which are encumbered without any probability of the Treasury being enriched by its retention.—

Nellore District
Cottah
Collector's Cutcherry
13th May 1845.

/Signed/ J. F. Bishop.
Collector.

Statement

0153

Fort St. George

N.T.

Statement of the Balances of Land Revenue and of the Extra sources

Section 1

Land

Permanent.

	Zarry Zemindaries	Minor	Attached Zemindaries	Total	Rented for a Series of years	Annuity
	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C°R° a ℘	C°R° a ℘	C°R° a ℘	C°R° a ℘	C°R° a ℘	C°R° a ℘
Recoverable	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	324 11 2
Doubtful	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3971 3 8
Irrecoverable. By Death	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	965 13 1
By Desertion	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	827 1 7
By Poverty	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	53401 1 9
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	55194 " 5
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	59,492 15 3
Recoverable	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	230 13 6
Doubtful	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,024 12 11
Irrecoverable. By Death	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	312 11 3
By Desertion	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	550 5 4
By Poverty	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	42749 5 10
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	43,612 6 5
Total	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	46,868 " 10

1249

1250

26th May 1845.

of Revenue remaining in the Nellore and Ongole Districts

			Revenue.				Extra sources			
Excess of Dowle		Shrotrium	Total Columns 7. 8 & 9.		Total Columns 5. 6 & 10		Sayer		Abkarry	
8		9	10		11		12		13	
C R a P		C R a P	C R a P		C R a P		C R a P		C R a P	
			327	11 2	327	11 2	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	3,971	3 8	3,971	3 8	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	965	13 1	965	13 1	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	827	17	827	17	"	"	"	"
107	14 8	"	53509	" 5	53509	" 5	"	"	"	"
107	14 8	"	55301	15 1	55301	15 1	"	"	"	"
107	14 8	"	59600	13 11	59600	13 11	"	"	"	"
			230	13 6	230	13 6	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	3,024	12 11	3,024	12 11	"	"	"	"
			312	11 3	312	11 3	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	550	5 4	550	5 4	"	"	"	"
302	15 1	"	43112	4 11	43112	4 11	"	"	"	"
362	15 1	"	43975	5 6	43975	5 6	"	"	"	"
362	15 1	"	47230	15 11	47230	15 11	"	"	"	"

6454

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

From Fusly 1249 to Fusly 1252 to February 1845 of Fusly 1254.

Extra sources (cont.)

Sundry small Farms and Licences	Maturpha	Tuccavy	Total	Total Columns 11 and 17	Stalla Currums Rooftooms	Total Columns 18 and 19	Balance of Bandarow's Peishcush	Balance of Woortawar's Peishcush	Balance of Chittatwar's Peishcush	Grand Total Columns 20.21.22&23
14										
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	327 11 22	" " "					327 11 2
" " "	649 7 4	" " "	649 7 4	4620 11 "	254 12 1	4875 7 1	" " "	305 11 10	21 10 9	5,202 13 8
" " "	6 " "	" " "	6 " "	971 13 1	158 8 10	1,130 5 11	" " "	108 " 10	82 35	1,302 10 2
" " "	16 2 2	" " "	16 2 2	843 3 9	128 " 3	971 4 "	" " "	99 11 6	107 " 9	1238 " 3
" " "	313 11 1	" " "	313 11 1	53822 11 6	5,397 3 6	59219 15 "	" " "	1073 27	1539 31	62,432 4 8
" " "	335 13 3	" " "	335 13 3	55,637 12 4	6,831 2 7	61321 8 11	" " "	1880 14 11	1770 7 3	64,972 15 1
" " "	985 47	" " "	985 47	60,586 26	5,958 8 8	66524 11 2	" " "	2186 10 9	1792 2 "	70503 7 11
" " "	21 69	" " "	21 69	2524 3	" " "	2524 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	2524 3
" " "	659 48	" " "	659 48	3,684 17	139 13 11	3823 15 6	" " "	146 10 6	1 10 11	3,972 4 11
" " "	10 43	" " "	10 43	322 15 6	51 3 2	374 28	" " "	2615 1	19 6 10	4208 7
" " "	52 81	" " "	52 81	602 13 5	85 9 2	688 67	" " "	13159	11 23	71387
" " "	366 64	" " "	366 64	43478 11 3	4,052 6 6	47531 9	" " "	8801311	1,263 5 3	49,675 4 11
" " "	429 28	" " "	429 28	44404 8	24,189 2 10	48593 11 "	" " "	921 12 9	1,293 14 4	50,809 6 1
" " "	1,109 14 1	" " "	1,109 14 1	48,340 14 "	4,329 " 9	52,669 14 9	" " "	10687 3	1,295 9	355,033 15 3

6455

Fort St. George

Land

Permanent.

		Zemindaries	Minor Zemindaries	Attached Zemindaries	Total	Rented for a series of years	Amamy
		2	3	4	5	6	7
		C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P
Recoverable		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	842 2 8
Doubtful		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,470 2 11
Irrecoverable — By Death		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	937 1 1
By Desertion		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2034 15 9
By Poverty		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	56361 15 7
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	59334 " 5
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65646 6 4
Recoverable		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1122 14 9
Doubtful		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5368 14 1
Irrecoverable — By Death		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	305 2 8
By Desertion		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	900 11 5
By Poverty		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	57577 12 10
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	58783 10 11
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65275 7 9
Recoverable		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2523 10 1
Doubtful		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17835 1 7
Total Irrecoverable — By Death		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2520 12 1
By Desertion		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4313 2 1
By Poverty		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	210090 4 "
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	216924 2 2
Total		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	237282 13 10

26th May 1845

Revenue.												Extra Sources					
Excess of Double		Shrotrium		Total Columns 7. 8. & 9			Total Columns 5. 6. & 10			Sayer			Abkarry		
8			9			10			11			12			13		
C.R.s	a	p	C.R.s	a	p	C.R.s	a	p	C.R.s	a	p	C.R.s	a	p	C.R.s	a	p
						842	2	8	842	2	8						
						5470	2	11	5470	2	11						
						937	1	1	937	1	1						
						2034	15	9	2034	15	9						
2020	1	5				58382	1		58382	1							
2020	1	5				61354	1	10	61354	1	10						
2020	1	5				67666	7	5	67666	7	5						
						1122	14	9	1122	14	9						
						5368	14	1	5368	14	1						
						305	2	8	305	2	8						
						900	11	5	900	11	5						
1894	14	3				58972	11	1	58972	11	1						
1394	14	3				60178	9	2	60178	9	2						
1394	14	3				60770	6		60770	6							
						2523	10	1	2523	10	1						
						17835	1	7	17835	1	7						
						2520	12	1	2520	12	1						
						4313	2	1	4313	2	1						
3885	13	5				213976	1	5	213976	1	5						
3885	13	5				220809	15	7	220809	15	7						
3885	13	5				241168	11	3	241168	11	3						

Fort St. George
Extra

26th May 1845

Sources /Contd/

Sundry small Farms & Licences		Noturpha		Tuccaivy		Total		Total Columns 11 & 17		Stalla Currants Rooppoons		Total Columns 18 & 19		Balances of Banlarowi Reishcush		Balance of Woortawari Reishcush		Balance of Chittatiwars Reishcush		Grand Total Columns 20. 21. 22 & 23	
14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24	
CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗	CRs	a ℗
-	- -	47	5 -	-	- -	47	5 -	889	7 8	-	- -	889	7 8	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	889	7 8
-	- -	688	51	-	- -	688	51	6,136	8 -	264	9 3	6,401	1 3	-	- -	242	2 8	-	- -	6,643	3 11
-	- -	4	5 2	-	- -	4	5 2	941	6 3	80	1 5	1,021	7 8	-	- -	52	3 6	-	- -	1,073	11 2
-	- -	50	12 7	-	- -	50	12 7	2,085	12 4	74	9 9	2,160	6 1	-	- -	154	12 1	14	15 8	2,330	1 10
-	- -	201	3 3	-	- -	201	3 3	5,858	3 4	6,900	9 11	6,483	14 2	-	- -	1,049	3 3	1,247	10 6	6,780	11 11
-	- -	256	5 -	-	- -	256	5 -	6,510	6 10	7,055	5 1	6,885	11 11	-	- -	1,256	2 10	1,262	10 2	7,184	8 11
-	- -	909	15 1	-	- -	909	15 1	6,836	6 6	7,319	14 4	7,956	4 10	-	- -	1,498	5 6	1,262	10 2	7,871	4 6
-	- -	52	11 6	-	- -	52	11 0	1,175	10 3	-	- -	1,175	10 3	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	1,175	10 3
-	- -	206	11 2	-	- -	206	11 2	5,635	9 3	269	12 2	5,905	5 5	-	- -	293	13	20	14 5	6,219	5 1
-	- -	13	13 -	-	- -	13	13 4	318	15 8	31	6 1	350	5 9	-	- -	35	13 3	-	- -	386	3 -
-	- -	31	10 8	-	- -	31	10 8	232	6 1	34	10 11	967	1 4	-	- -	48	1 10	12	4 2	1,027	7 4
-	- -	148	14 4	-	- -	148	14 4	39,121	9 5	4,938	9 3	64,000	2 8	-	- -	2,485	7 10	1,867	12 11	68,413	7 5
-	- -	194	6 -	-	- -	194	6 -	60,372	15 2	5,004	10 3	65,377	9 5	-	- -	2,559	6 11	1,880	1 1	69,827	1 5
-	- -	513	12 8	-	- -	513	12 8	67,184	2 8	5,274	6 5	72,458	9 1	-	- -	2,862	8 2	1,900	15 6	77,222	- 9
-	- -	121	7 3	-	- -	121	7 3	2,645	14	-	- -	2,645	14	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	2,645	14
-	- -	2,241	12 3	-	- -	2,241	12 3	20,076	13 10	928	15 5	21,005	13 3	-	- -	987	10 3	44	4 1	22,037	11 7
-	- -	34	6 5	-	- -	34	6 5	2,555	2 6	321	3 6	2,876	6 -	-	- -	223	- 8	83	10 3	3,183	- 11
-	- -	151	1 6	-	- -	151	1 6	4,464	3 7	322	14 1	4,787	1 8	-	- -	316	9 2	205	0 10	5,309	1 8
-	- -	1,030	3 4	-	- -	1,030	3 4	21,509	4 5	21,288	13 2	23,695	1 7	-	- -	6,088	11 7	5,917	15 9	24,830	11 11
-	- -	1,215	10 11	-	- -	1,215	10 11	22,202	5 10 6	21,932	14 9	24,395	8 9	-	- -	6,285	5 5	6,207	- 10	25,679	3 15 6
-	- -	3,578	14 5	-	- -	3,578	14 5	24,474	9 8	22,861	14 2	26,700	9 7	10	- -	7,015	15 8	6,251	4 11	28,147	6 15 5

Nellore District, Cittah Collector's Cutcherry 13th

May 1845. E. E. Excepted /Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement

6457

Fort. St. George
No. 2.

Statement Shewing the Comparison between the Accounts received from

Amaury

Fusles	Balance to the end of Fe. 1845 according to the Demand Collection and Balance Statement	Amount to be added on an examination of the Accounts				
	Malacarums Rooſsooms	Woortwar Rooſsooms	Chittatewar Rooſsooms	Doule Ezafah	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P	C Rs a P
1 Fusly 1249	59,104 8 2	211 12 8	142 4 3	" " "	40 6 "	394 6 11
2 " 1250	46,611 5 7	43 " 9	198 9 9	" " "	11 9 6 7	359 1 1
3 " 1251	64,437 14 7	189 9 7	364 7 1	59 " "	598 1 8	1,211 2 4
4 " 1252	65,034 " 6	34 9 9	48 8 10	" " "	261 5 2	344 7 9
	2,35,087 12 0	479 " 9	753 13 11	59 " "	1,017 3 5	2,309 2 1

26th May 1845.

the Talooks and the Demand Collection and Balance

Total Columns 2 and 7	amount to be deducted		Total Columns 9 and 10	Balance deducting Columns 11 from 8	Balance according to the Talook accounts
	Maturpha	Dowle Ezafah			
8	9	10	11	12	13
C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
59,498 15 1	" " "	5 15 10	5 15 10	59,492 15 3	59,492 15 3
46,870 6 8	" " "	2 5 10	2 5 10	46,868 " 10	46,868 " 10
65,649 " 11	" " "	2 10 11	2 10 11	65,646 6 "	65,646 6 "
65,378 8 3	10 " "	93 " 6	103 " 6	65,275 7 9	65,275 7 9
237,396 14 11	10 " "	104 11	114 1 1	237,282 13 10	237,282 13 10

6458

Fort St. George

26th May 1845

Statement in the Zillah of Nellore from Fusly 1249 to Fusly 1257

Stala Curnums.

Woortawar.

Balance according to the Demand Collection & Balance Statement to the end of February 1845	Woortawar	Amount to be added: Amount of Collection not included in the Summabundy	Total Columns 15 and 16	Total Columns 14 and 17	Amount to be deducted: Amaury	Balance deducting Columns 19 from 18.	Due on Shotrium Villages.	Due on Coroo Villages.	Balance according to Saloki accounts due on Coroo Villages.	Balance according to the Statement of old Balance deducting the amount due on Shotrium Villages.	Balance Columns 24.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p
6393 12 10	2 15 4	4 5 9	7 5 1	6401 1 11	2 11 12 8	6189 5 3	250 12 7	5938 8 8	5938 8 8	4474 15 8	4474 15 8
4548 11 4	1 9 5	6 4 1	7 13 6	4556 8 10	43 9	4513 8 1	187 7 4	4329 9	4329 9	2942 1 3	2942 1 3
7755 10 6	" "	" " 1	" " 1	7755 10 7	189 9 7	7566 1 "	246 2 8	7319 11 4	7319 11 4	5033 11 9	5033 11 9
5581 15 7	" "	" 12 6	" 12 6	5582 12 1	34 9 9	5548 2 4	273 11 11	5274 6 5	5274 6 5	2980 1 2	2980 1 2
24280 23	4 8 9	11 6 5	15 15 2	24296 15	479 4 9	23817 " 8	955 2 6	22861 14 2	22861 14 2	15430 13 10	15430 13 10

J.S.B.

Fort St. George

26th May 1845

Wortwar					Chittateewar	Amount to be deducted	Remainder	Balance according to Talook accounts	Baularow		Motirpha	
Amount to be deducted		Total Columns 26 and 27	Balance deducting Column 28 from Column 25	Balance according to Talook account	Balance according to the Statement of old Balances deducting the amount due on Shotrium Villages				Balance according to the Statement of old Balances deducting on Shotrium Villages	Balance according to Talook accounts	Balance according to the Demand Collection & Balance Statement	
Amount included under Summary	Amount included Statacurnums Roofsooms					Included under Summary						
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	
CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	
142 4 3	2.154	144 5 3 7	4,329 12 1	4,329 12 1	2045 1 8							
						" " 4	2,045 1 8	2,045 1 8	152 6 4	152 6 4	978 14 7	
198 9 9	1 9 5	200 3 2	2,741 14 1	2,741 14 1	1342 2 11							
						" " "	1342 2 11	1342 2 11	117 13 9	117 13 9	1094 1 1	
364 7 1	" " "	364 7 1	4669 4 8	4,669 4 8	1734 12 7							
						59 " "	1675 12 7	1675 12 7	214 6 8	214 6 8	910 " 10	
48 8 10	" " "	48 8 10	2931 8 4	2,931 8 4	1425 14 9							
						" " "	1425 14 9	1425 14 9	288 12 2	288 12 2	503 " 10	
753 13 11	4 8 9	758 6 8	14,672 7 2	14,672 7 2	6,547 15 11							
						59 " "	6,488 15 11	6,488 15 11	773 6 11	773 6 11	3,486 1 4	

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Moturpha (Contd)

Amount to be added. Amount deducted under summary.	Amount of Collections not included in the Demands.	Total Columns 38 and 39.	Total Columns 37 and 40.	Balance according to Talook accounts.	Double Exhaustion. Balance according to the Statement of old Balances after deducting Collections to the end of February 1845.	Deduct. Amount included under summary.	Remainder.	Balance according to the Talook accounts.
38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46
CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.	CRs. a P.
" " "	6 6 "	6 6 "	985 4 7	985 4 7	142 4 10	34 6 2	107 14 8	107 14 8
" " "	15 13 "	15 13 "	1,109 14 1	1,109 14 1	477 15 10	115 9	362 15 1	362 15 1
" " "	59 14 3	59 14 3	969 15 1	969 15 1	2,615 8 2	595 6 9	2,020 1 5	2,020 1 5
10 " "	" 11 10	10 11 10	513 12 8	513 12 8	1,563 2 11	168 4 8	1,394 14 3	1,394 14 3
10 " "	82 13 1	92 13 1	3,578 14 5	3,578 14 5	4,798 15 9	913 2 4	3,885 13 5	3,885 13 5

Nellore District Coltah Collector's Cutcherry.

13th May 1845. E. E. Jr. (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Statement.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

No. 3. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Moturpha in the Nellore District from fusly 1249 to Fusly 1252.

Fusles	Demand	Collections to the end of February 1845. According to the Demand Collection and Balance Statement.	Deduct Collections not included in the Demands.	Remainders.	Balance.
1	2 CRs. a P.	3 CRs. a P.	4 CRs. a P.	5 CRs. a P.	6 CRs. a P.
1249	2,0493 5 3	1,9514 6 8	6 6	1,9508 4 8	985 4 7
1250	2,1322 9 9	2,0228 8 8	15 13	2,0212 11 8	1,109 14 1
1251	2,0690 10 5	1,9780 9 7	59 14 3	1,9720 11 4	969 15 1
1252	2,0684 13 9	2,0781 12 11	10 11 10	2,0171 1 1	513 12 8
	83191 7 2	79,7055 10	92 13 1	79,6128 9	3,5781 4 5

Nellore District Cottah Collector's Cutcherry 13th May 1845. E. E. Fr. (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

No. 4. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of Stalacurnums Roofrooms in the Nellore District from fusly 1249 to fusly 1252.

Fusles.	Demand of Coroo and Shrotriem Villages.	Collections to the end of February 1845. According to the Demand Collection and Balance Statement.	Amount included in aumany Collections	Total.	Balance	Due on Shrotriem Villages.	Due on Coroo Villages.
1	2 CRs. a P.	3 CRs. a P.	4 CRs. a P.	5 CRs. a P.	6 CRs. a P.	7 CRs. a P.	8 CRs. a P.
1249	13865 2	7,471 5 2	204 7 7	7,675 12 9	6,189 5 3	250 12 7	5,938 8 8
1250	12,656 6 10	8,107 11 6	35 3 3	8,142 14 9	4,513 8 1	184 7 4	4,329 9
1251	13854 3 10	6098 9 4	189 9 6	6,288 2 10	7,566 1	216 2 8	7,319 14 4
1252	11,670 6 3	6088 6 8	33 13 3	6,122 3 11	5,548 2 4	273 11 11	5,274 6 5
	52,046 2 11	27,766 8	463 1 7	28,229 2 3	23,817 8	955 2 6	22,861 14 2

Nellore District Cottah Collector's Cutcherry 13th May 1845. E. E. Fr. (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector

Statement

6163 Fort St. George 26th May 1845

No. 5. Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Bawlarow's Roofsooms from fusly 1249 to fusly 1252 in the Nellore District.

Fusilies	Demand.	Collections to the end of February 1845 of fusly 1254.	Balance according to the Sa: Book accounts	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.
	CRs. a Ps.	CRs. a Ps.	CRs. a Ps.	CRs. a Ps.	CRs. a Ps.
1249	3309 . 2	3156 9 10	152 6 4	1 5 4	151 1 .
1250	2816 15 1	2699 1 4	117 13 9	. . 3	117 13 6
1251	3099 13 2	2885 6 6	214 6 8	. 11 8	213 11 .
1252	2686 6 2	2397 10 .	288 12 2	10 . .	278 12 2
	11912. 2 7	11138 11 8	773 6 11	12 1 3.	761 5 8.

Nellore District Cottah Collector's Cutcherry. 13th May 1845. E. E. Vs. (Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector
Statement

6464 Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

No. 6. Statement Shewing the Bariz Collections and Balance of the Woorlawar Polygar Roosooms from fusly 1249 to fusly 1252 in the Nellore District

Number.	Fuslies.	Bariz of the Coroo Villages.	Collection to the end of February 1845 of fusly 1254.	Balance	Deduct Balance of Peishcush- as per memorandum of Peishcush Balances sent with the Demand Collection and Balance Statements.	Deduct Collections included under amaung	Remainder	Remainder deducting Columns from Column 4.	Recoverable.	Doubtful	Irrecoverable: From Death.	Absconded	From Poverty	Total.	Total Recoverable and Doubtful & Irrecoverable.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.	CRs. a p.
1	1249	795 2 10	3,622 13 11	4,329 12 1	2,331 14 4	145 3 7	2,186 10 9	2,143 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,143 1 4	2,143 1 4	2,143 1 4
2	1250	7,703 8	4,961 9 11	2,741 14 1	1268 10 5	200 3 2	1,068 7 3	1,673 6 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,673 6 10	1,673 6 10	1,673 6 10
3	1251	8,345 7 7	3,676 2 11	4,669 4 8	1862 12 7	364 7 1	1,498 5 6	3,170 15 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,170 15 2	3,170 15 2	3,170 15 2
4	1252	5861 7 5	2,929 15 1	2,931 8 4	2,911 1 "	48 8 10	2,862 8 2	69 " 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	69 " 2	69 " 2	69 " 2
		29,863 1 "	15,190 9 10	14,672 7 2	8,374 6 4	758 6 8	7,615 15 188	7,056 7 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,056 7 6	7,056 7 6	7,056 7 6

Nellore District Cottah
Collectors Cutcherry
13th May 1845.

Errors. Excepted. &c. (Signed) J. F. Bishop.
Collector

Statement

6165

Fort St. George

No. 7. Statement Shewing the Bariz Collections from Fusly 1249 to Fusly 1252 in

Fuslies.	Beriz of the Coroo Villages.	Collections to the end of February 1845 of Fusly 1254	Balance.	Deduct Balance of Peishcush- As per Memorandum of Peishcush Balances sent with the Demand Collections & Balances Statements.	Deduct Collections one included under Dumany	Remainder
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1249	3742 9	1696 15 1	2045 1 8	1792 2 "	" " "	1792 2 "
1250	3688 5 7	2346 2 8	1342 2 11	1295 9 3	" " "	1295 9 3
1251	3054 14 6	2279 1 11	1675 12 7	1321 10 2	59 " "	1262 10 2
1252	3307 10 3	1881 11 6	1425 14 9	1900 15 6	" " "	1900 15 6
	14692 15 1	8203 15 2	6488 15 11	6310 4 11	59 " "	6251 4 11

Deduct Surplus

Remainder

Nellore District Cottah Collectors Cutcherry 13th

26th May 1845.

and Balance of the Chittateewar Poligars Roofsooms in the Nellore District.—

Remainder deducting Column 7 from Column 4.	Irrecoverable. Recoverable.	Doubtful.	From Deaths.	absconded.	From Poverty.	Total.	Total Recoverable Doubtful and Irrecoverable.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
252 15 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	252 15 8	252 15 8	252 15 8
46 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	46 9 8	46 9 8	46 9 8
413 2 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	413 2 5	413 2 5	413 2 5
Surplus. 475 " 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	475 " 9	475 " 9	475 " 9
712 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	712 11 9	712 11 9	712 11 9
475 " 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	475 " 9	475 " 9	475 " 9
237 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	237 11 "	237 11 "	237 11 "

May 1845. E. E. Y. (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector

Demand

Fort St. George

26th May 1845

No. 8. Demand Collection and Balance on account of Double Ezafah or Shist not included in the Zillah

Tuallies.	List of excess Lands found by measurement.	Cultivation of amount Lands	Fusul Jaustj.	Poollaij	Case of Palmeira Trees.	Concealed Cultivation &c.	Beriz Chayroot Spontaneous produce	Shist of crops which have partially produced in wet lands	Asteiban = gum	Chatoaroo = bagunns	Baode	Total Columns 14 & 17 & 18
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs a p	CRs	CRs a p
1249	347 13 1	281 11 3	" " "	152 15 "	" "	59 12 "	108 12 2	61 5 4	16 14 4	" " "	6	6,345 3 6
1250	62 4 10	" " "	141 10 9	54 1 1	27 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 9 9	" " "	7	6,754 13 7
1251	2 2 9 2 10	55 11 8	328 6 11	479 7 "	30 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 1 10	2 " "	3	7,347 3 3
1252	1,046 " 8	58 14 "	262 3 6	381 7 "	" " "	4 15 "	" " "	" " "	14 3 8	9 3 4 5	31	6 5,459 9 8
	3,749 6 7	395 8 3	732 5 2	1,067 14 1	57 " "	64 11 7	108 12 2	61 5 4	16 14 4	" " "	64	1 4941 8 1
									35 11 9	9 6 3	10	1 6818 8 11
												" 6,366 6 8
												" 8030 2 4
												" 6449 7 10
							108 12 2	61 5 4	35 11 9	9 6 3	10	2 5,451 2 15 10

Nellore District Cottah Collector's Cutcherry 13th May 1845 E. E. J. C.

J. B.

Statement May 1845

Statement of Cowle Ezafah or Shist not included in the Jummabundy in Nellore District from fusly 1249 to fusly 1252-

Dry root spontaneous produce	Shist of crops which have partially produced in wet lands	Astabam = gum	Chatoaroo = lagums	Badegaloo	attached Kundregas	Shist of Cheroovella & Beemavaram Villages	Total Beriz	To the end of February 1845	Collection included in Amanny Collections	Total	Balance
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
										34 6 2	754 11 10	107 14 8
" "	" "	4 9 9	" "	" "	15 13 5	" "	862 10 6	720 5 6			
" "	" "	3 1 10	2 10 9	572 6 2	" "	" "	863 13 5	385 13 7	115 " 9	500 14 4	362 15 1
" "	" "	14 3 8	93 4 5	3 1 8 "	284 2 "	" "	3609 5 8	993 13 6	595 6 9	1589 4 3	2020 1 5
108 12 2	61 54	16 14 4	" "	6 3 8 1	10 " 2	449 8 7	2466 12 9	903 9 10	168 4 8	1071 14 6	1394 14 3
108 12 2	61 54	35 11 9	96 6 3	10 1 10	882 5 9	449 8 7	7802 10 4	3003 10 7	913 2 4	3916 12 11	3885 13 5

May 1845 Q. Q. J. C.°

[Signed] J. F. Bishop
Collector
Statement

6167

No. 9 Statement Shewing the Comparison between the accounts received from the Talooks and the Forfeitures

Fusli	Balance to the end of February 1845 according to the Demand Collection & Balance statement	Amount to be added on an examination of the accounts. Stala Currums	Stala Roofstooms	Woorataour Roofstooms	Chittatavour Roofstooms	Dowle Ejafah	Total	Total Columns 2 and 7	Amount to be deducted on an examination of accounts. Land Shotriums	Land Tuccavy	Total	Balance deducting Column 11 from 6
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	Comps. a/c	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s	Rs a/s
1 1240	40801 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	333 12 10	333 12 10	41135 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	41135 6
2 1241	73270 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 8 5	20 8 5	73291 4 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	73291 4 5
3 1242	29087 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	27 2 7	27 2 7	29090 14 "	" " "	2 " "	2 " "	29090 14 "	
4 1243	91188 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	317 4 5	317 4 5	91506 1 "	228 2 1	" " "	228 2 1	91277 14 11	
5 1244	38704 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	371 1 10	371 1 10	39075 13 10	166 2 11	" " "	166 2 11	38909 10 11	
6 1245	49596 7 3	" " "	194 15 8	" " "	34 10 1	229 9 9	40826 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40826 1 "	
7 1246	62075 8 11	41 8 2	56 9 1	" " "	108 10 1	206 11 4	62282 4 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	62282 4 3	
8 1247	57385 2 5	8 12 8	" " "	" " "	240 14 6	249 11 2	57634 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	57634 13 7	
9 1248	39201 5 7	29 1 "	32 11 3	6 13 4	118 14 "	187 7 7	39388 13 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	39388 13 2	
	734100 1 4	79 5 10	284 4 "	6 13 4	1572 14 9	1943 5 11	736043 7 3	394 5 "	2 " "	396 5 "	7356	

64 11 3

May 1845.

Demand Collection and Balance Statement in the Zillah

Balance as according to the Taluk accounts	Balance according to the Demand Collection & Balance Statement to the end of February 1845.	Amount to be added			Total	Total Columns 14 & 17
		Stale Currency Rooftoons	Dowle	Ejafah	Amount not included in the Statement of Demand Collection & Balance	
13	14	15			16	17	18
C Rs as ₰	C Rs as ₰	C Rs a ₰			C Rs as ₰	C Rs as ₰	C Rs as ₰
4,1135 6 "	" " "	" " "			6345 3 6	6345 3 6	6,345 3 6
7,3291 4 5	" " "	" " "			6754 13 7	6754 13 7	6,754 13 7
2,9090 14 "	" " "	" " "			7347 3 3	7,347 3 3	7,347 3 3
9,1277 14 11	" " "	" " "			5459 9 8	5,459 9 8	5,459 9 8
38,909. 10 11	" " "	" " "			4941 8 1	4941 8 1	4941 8 1
40826 1 "	6,790 14 10	27 10 1	" "	" "		27 10 1	6818 8 11
6,2282 4 3	6,366 6 8	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	6,366 6 8
5,634 13 7	8030 2 4	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	8030 2 4
39,388 13 2	6,449 7 10	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	6449 7 10
7,3,564 9 2 3	27,636 15 8	27 10 1	30848 " 2		30,876 " 2	54,512 15 10	

Fort St. George

of Nellore from 1240 to fusly 1248.-

26th May 1845

Stala Currums Roofrooms (contd)

Amany	Balance deducting Column 19 from 18	Due on Shotrum Villages	Due on Corroo Villages	Balance according to Talook accounts	Balance according to the State ment of fold Balance	Woortavaur amount to be added Dowle Gjafah
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔
1 " " "	6345 3 6	21 2 "	6324 1 6	6324 1 6	" " "	" " "
2 " " "	6754 13 7	22 " 5	6732 13 2	6732 13 2	" " "	" " "
3 " " "	7347 3 3	104 8 6	7242 10 9	7242 10 9	" " "	" " "
4 " " "	5459 9 8	117 14 1	5341 11 7	5341 11 7	" " "	" " "
5 " " "	4941 8 1	141 5 10	4800 2 3	4800 2 3	1877 13 1	" " "
6 " " "	6818 8 11	136 12 9	6681 12 2	6681 12 2	3856 13 9	" " "
7	41 8 2	6324 14 6	260 14 1	6064 " 5	6064 " 5	2587 " 4 " " "
8	8 128	8021 5 8	139 2 2	7882 3 6	7882 3 6	4757 5 6 117 9 5
9	29 1 "	6420 6 10	110 14 11	6309 7 11	6309 7 11	5074 11 2 " " "
	79 5 10	58433 10 "	1054 10 9	57378 15 3	57378 15 3	18153 11 10 117 9 5

Roofrooms

Amount to be deducted Dowle Gjafah	Amany	Total	Balance deducting Column 29 from 26	Balance according to Talook Accounts
26	27	28	29	30 31
Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔ Rs a ℔
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " " " "
1877 13 1	" " "	" " "	1877 13 1	1877 13 1
3856 13 9	156 56	194 15 8	3510 5 2	3505 8 7 3505 8 7
2587 " 4	" " "	56 9 1	56 9 1	2530 7 3 2530 7 3
4874 14 11	" " "	" " "	4874 14 11	4874 14 11
5074 11 2	" " "	32 11 3	32 11 3	5041 15 11 5041 15 11
18271 5 3	156 56	284 4 "	4409 6	17830 11 9 17830 11 9

Fort St. George — 26th May 1845

Chittatevaur Roofsooms

Balance according to the Statement of old Balances	amount to be added Dawle Ejafah	Total	Amount to be deducted Amany	Dawle Ejafah	Total
32	33	34	35	36	37
Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs as ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs as ℔
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1016 59	" " "	1016 59	" " "	" " "	" " "
1454 2 10	" " "	1454 2 10	" " "	61 39	61 39
1533 12 8	10 2 10	1543 15 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
2093 6 7	303 5 7	2396 12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
1292 1 5	" " "	1292 1 5	6 13 4	" " "	6 13 4
7389 13 3	313 8 5	7703 5 8	6 13 4	61 13 9	68 11 1

Motursha

Balance deducting Column 37 from 34	Balance according to Taluk accounts	Balance according to the Demand Collection and Balance Statement	Amount not included in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement	Extra Collections	Amount to be added Total
38	39	40	41	42	43
Rs as ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔	Rs a ℔
" " "	" " "	" " "	918 14 11	" " "	918 14 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	1,353 11 3	" " "	1,353 11 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	2359 14 2	" " "	2,359 14 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	2076 6 8	" " "	2,076 6 8
1016 59	1,016 59	" " "	978 6 3	" " "	978 6 3
1392 5 1	1,392 51	877 1 2	" " "	3 12 4	3 12 4
1543 156	1,543 156	812 4 8	" " "	3 7 5	3 7 5
2396 12 2	2396 12 2	772 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
1285 4 1	1285 41	735 1 1	" " "	6 2 1	6 2 1
7634 10 7	7,634 10 7	3,197 6 2	7687 5 3	13 5 10	7700 11 1

Fort St. George

26th May 1845

	Moturpha (contd)			Balance Roofrooms		Land			Dowle Ejafah						
Total Columns 40 & 43	Amount to be deducted Extra Collections	Remainder	Balance according to Talook accounts	Balance according to the statement of old Balances	Balance according to Talook accounts	Balance according to the statement of Demand Collection and Balance	Succavy Amount to be added by deducting under Aumany	Total	Balance according to Talook accounts	Balance according to the statement of old Balances deducting Collections to the end of February 1845	Deduct amount included under Aumany	Remainder	Balance according to the Talook accounts		
44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57		
Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps		
918 14 11	" " "	918 14 11	918 14 11	1033 3 4	1033 3 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	470 " 6	333 12 10	136 3 2	130 3 2		
1353 11 3	" " "	1353 11 3	1353 11 3	1410 10 3	1410 10 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	591 11 7	20 8 5	571 3 2	571 3 2		
2359 14 2	" " "	2359 14 2	2359 14 2	1840 12 4	1840 12 4	900 " 11	2 " "	902 " 11	902 " 11	714 14 3	27 2 7	687 11 8	687 11 8		
2076 6 8	" "	2076 6 8	2076 6 8	1046 12 7	1046 12 7	127 13 3	" " "	127 13 3	127 13 3	652 3 4	317 4 5	334 14 11	334 14 11		
978 6 3	" " "	978 6 3	978 6 3	276 13 6	276 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4293 3 9	371 1 10	3922 1 11	3922 1 11		
880 13 6	" " "	880 13 6	880 13 6	318 1 9	318 1 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	670 3 2	34 10 1	635 9 1	635 9 1		
815 12 1	" " "	815 12 1	815 12 1	344 6 9	344 6 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	149 4 7	108 10 1	40 10 6	40 10 6		
772 15 3	" 7 1	772 8 2	772 8 2	249 5 6	249 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	352 10 10	240 14 6	111 13 4	111 13 4		
741 3 2	" " "	741 3 2	741 3 2	99 2 1	99 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	703 11 2	118 14 "	584 13 2	584 13 2		
10898 1 3	" 7 1	10897 10 2	10897 10 2	6619 4 1	6619 4 1	1027 14 2	2 " "	1029 14 2	1029 14 2	8597 15 8	1572 14 9	7025 " 11	7025 " 11		

Nellore, District Cottah, Collectors Cutcherry 13th May, 1845. E E Jno / Signed / J. F. Bishop
Collector
Demand

6471

Fork St. George

No. 10. Demand Collection & Balance on account of Dowle Ezafah

Fusliee		Chest of Excess Land found by measurement			Cultivation and assumed as Land			Fufsul-jamsty			Pollary			Firewood			Demand Tax of Palmeira Trees		
	1	2			3			4			5			6			7		
		Rs.	a	ps	Rs	a	ps	Rs	a	ps	Rs	as	ps	Rs	a	ps	Rs	a	ps
1	1240	405	6	3	13	3	11	37	6	4	130	8	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	1241	335	15	7	69	13	2	114	6	"	144	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	1242	547	3	1	"	"	"	29	2	7	205	10	1	41	2	3	"	"	"
4	1243	1752	7	11	"	"	"	334	6	6	2	8	"	44	7	9	"	"	"
5	1244	5377	11	9	179	2	8	350	11	2	778	15	3	40	14	8	"	"	"
6	1245	902	15	6	"	"	"	123	12	"	403	4	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	1246	"	"	"	38	8	9	94	15	9	"	"	"	30	"	"	"	"	"
8	1247	1143	2	5	"	"	"	54	4	1	13	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	1248	587	9	7	56	5	1	6	2	1	180	3	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
		11052	8	1	357	1	7	1145	2	6	1858	14	7	156	8	8	"	"	"
10	1249	347	13	1	281	11	3	"	"	"	152	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	1250	62	14	10	"	"	"	141	10	9	54	1	1	"	"	"	27	"	"
12	1251	2292	10	7	55	11	8	328	6	11	479	7	7	"	"	"	30	"	"
13	1252	1046	"	8	58	1	4	262	3	6	381	7	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
		3749	6	7	395	6	3	732	5	2	1067	14	1	"	"	"	57	"	"
		14801	14	8	752	9	10	1877	7	8	2926	12	8	156	8	8	57	"	"

26th May 1845

or Shist not included in the Jummabundy on the

Concealed Cultivation Yea	Sayroot	Shist of Crops which have partially Produced in wet lands	Tamarind	Astabangum	Chanboor = bangum
8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs a ꝑ	Rs a ꝑ	Rs a ꝑ	Rs a ꝑ	Rs a ꝑ	Rs a ꝑ
" " "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 13 2	47 14 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 2 2	2 12 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	44 10 4	3 9 "
" " "	92 " "	" " "	" " "	19 14 8	56 11 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 10 2	58 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 10 "	67 7 10
59 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	98 6 10
443 2 "	" " "	" " "	1 8 "	" " "	" " "
502 11 9	92 " "	" " "	2 8 "	75 12 6	334 15 10
59 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 99	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 1 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 3 8	93 4 5
4 15 7	108 12 2	61 54	" " "	16 14 4	" " "
64 11 7	108 12 2	61 54	" " "	35 11 9	96 6 3
567 7 4	200 12 2	61 54	2 8 "	111 8 3	431 6 1

6172

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Nellore District from fusly 1240 to fusly 1252.-

Demand [cont.]				Collections																			
Enam Leadegaloo			Infted Kundregas			Shist of Cheroonella & Beemanaz =rum Villages			Total Bariz			To the end of February 1845			Included in Annauny Collections			Total			Balance		
14			15			16			17			18			19			20			21		
Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠	Rs	a	✠
55	9	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	643	2	6	173	2	6	333	12	10	506	15	4	136	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	714	15	9	123	4	2	20	8	5	143	12	7	571	3	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	829	"	2	114	1	11	27	2	7	141	4	6	687	11	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,182	1	6	1529	14	2	317	4	5	1847	2	7	334	14	11
23	6	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	6919	8	2	2626	4	5	371	1	10	2997	6	3	3922	1	11
44	7	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	1534	3	5	864	"	3	34	10	1	898	10	4	635	9	1
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	239	10	4	90	5	9	108	10	1	198	15	10	40	10	6
12	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1381	4	9	1028	8	11	240	14	6	1269	7	5	111	13	4
25	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,300	5	5	596	10	3	118	14	"	715	8	3	584	13	2
166	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	15,744	4	"	7146	4	4	1572	14	9	8719	3	1	7025	"	11
"	"	"	15	13	5	"	"	"	862	10	6	720	5	8	34	6	2	754	11	10	107	14	8
2	10	9	572	6	2	"	"	"	863	13	5	385	13	7	115	"	9	500	14	4	362	15	1
31	8	"	284	2	"	"	"	"	3609	5	8	993	13	6	595	6	9	1589	4	3	2020	1	5
67	8	1	10	"	2	449	8	7	2,406	12	9	903	9	10	168	4	8	1071	14	6	1394	14	3
101	10	10	882	5	9	449	8	7	7802	10	4	3003	10	7	913	2	4	3916	12	11	3885	13	5
267	11	4	882	5	9	449	8	7	23,546	14	4	10,149	14	11	2486	1	1	12636	"	"	10910	14	4

Nellore District Cottah Collectors Cutcherry, 13th May 1845.- E E Fo.6 / signed / J. F. Bishop Collector

Order

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Order thereon
Read again Ink
17th July — in Circulation.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.-

Read the following letter.-

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

From the Actg. ----- Collr. of Cuddapah

Sir

I. A reference having been made by the Sub Collector regarding the resumption of some Bhutwartee Enam Lands in his Division amounting to C. Pags. 15-1-11½ I have the honor to state the Particulars of the case as it involves a doubt in my mind as to it's disposal, and a point in the decision of which (generally) it seems very desirable there should be no misconstruction of the orders of Government elicited on the late Correspondence on the Subject of these Enams.-

Requesting instructions as to the disposal of a certain Case respecting Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enam Lands.-

2

The Enam in question has been claimed by two Individuals on the death of the late Incumbent Sheshummah who as they both state had transferred it to them by a deed of Gift executed in their favor. Neither of the disputing Parties according to the Shastras are considered as far as Relationship is concerned to have any claims thereto.-

3

The question is whether the

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

the deceased Sheshummah having no Heirs or relatives, had the power of willing away the Bhutwartee Enam under dispute. If her right so to have done is recognized, the disputants who both declare they hold the original "Dana Pattram" must be directed to settle their cause in a Civil suit, and the Enam be considered as not coming under the description specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 and consequently amenable to the Courts; if not the Enam lands in question must be considered liable to resumption on account of Government.-

4

In the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th November 1844 the course laid down as proper to be pursued is, that the Collector, as cases of difficulty arise, is to move the local Courts, and if dissatisfied with their Judgment, to appeal to the higher Tribunals. but this rule does not appear to be applicable to the point at issue, as the circumstance of the Court taking Cognizance of the case (the power to do which is in the first instance questioned and gave rise to

to the former reference / necessarily precludes all interference on the part of the Collectors.

5. all disputes regarding hereditary claims to these Enams are of Course decided by the local Courts, and to this there can be no possible objection, but as regards claims of Parties, not relatives to the late incumbent, or legal Heirs to succeed to the same and to whom transfers have been made, such Endowments so claimed may in the opinion of one Collector, be considered revertible to Government and during the life of the Incumbent inalienable either by mortgage, sale, gift or otherwise from the families to whom they may have been granted free of rent or service for Charitable or religious purposes, the transfers being equally considered null and void, while another Collector may take a different view. In the former case the Collector would have no alternative in all and every occasion but to be dissatisfied (not with the Judgment, for if the claims are recognized it would be immaterial in whose favor they

they decide) but at the case having come under their Jurisdiction at all. If it is therefore decided that such case are Cognizable by the local Courts, in neither case will there be any occasion for the Collector to move the Court. There is no medium in the matter. No cases of difficulty can arise requiring the interference of the Collector but a doubt arises as to the propriety of claims so set forth being recognized, and such cases being so disposed of.

6. The point at issue therefore is whether Enam Lands of this description are to be considered thus transferable at the will and pleasure of present Incumbents when they have no legal Heirs to succeed. whether it was intended that these Privileges should be enjoyed hereditarily or that the Courts should be authorized to cause transfers to be made to other Parties in satisfaction of a debt, and recognized the validity of any Documents executed between the Incumbents and all Parties seeking such transfers.

7. It appears to me that the

the object of the reference lately made by Mr. Ashton was with a view to its being decided that the Local Courts should be precluded from taking Cognizance of claims of Parties in all similar cases, which if not excluded from their Jurisdiction decides at once that these grants are transferable. In this case there the matter must rest. The Collector will never have occasion to interfere. No Enams lands of this description will ever revert to Government by lapses on Parties dying without Heirs or those legally entitled to succeed. for if the late Incumbents had not previous to their demise sold their Enams, or willed it away. forged Documents to this effect will invariably be produced causing litigation with all its concomitant Perjury thereby depriving Government of all reversionary interest.

8. On the question being submitted by the late Judge Mr. Walker, as to whether these Enams are to be considered as having been granted on condition of service, he was directed by the

the Court of Sudr Udalut to examine the Head Sheristadar of the Collectors Cutcherry as to the peculiar nature of the grants in question, and to decide the point on the best evidence that could be obtained. It does not appear that this has ever been done, but I may here state that there seems to be but one opinion among the servants of my Establishment Viz. that they are strictly of the description specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 and consequently excluded from the Jurisdiction of the Civil Courts, the Endowments having been granted by the former Government to Brahmins and Fakeers as a charitable allowance, the object having been to secure their Prayers and intercessions for the welfare of the state. although, thro' the interference of the Courts, these grants have hitherto been made alienable consequent on transfers being allowed there can be no question that the practice is injurious in its tendency giving rise to peculations, frauds, extortion of documents, forgery &c. one detrimental

to

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

to the interest of Government and one=
which might with all fairness be cancel=
=led and prohibited by precluding in
future the alienation of lands granted =
under Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams
to families for maintenance and Charitable
purposes, and by all transfers of the same
not being recognized.-

9. The question was decid=
=ed in the Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue dated 21st July
1841 having reference to a communication
from the late Principal Collector
on a similar case, but the subsequent
correspondence on the subject of these
Enams (one I consider of great import=
=ance in a general point of view) =
renders this second reference necessary
as the rule laid down for our guid=
=ance in the reply from Government to
the last reference does not embrace
the main point Viz. the right or other=
=wise of Parties to transfer, sell, and =
dispose of their Enam Lands of this =
class. It is evident that Collectors =
cannot interfere in the matter unless
this question is decided in the negative,
in which case they can do so =
by

+ From the Pl. Coll. to the Board dated 22d May 1844.
Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 28th Nov. 1844 annexing copies of Correspondence from the Sudr Udalut Court and Board of Revenue

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

by moving the Civil Court in cases coming
to their knowledge of the local Civil
Courts taking cognizance of such cases
contrary to the spirit of the Regulation.
The inference would appear obvious =
and yet I am doubtful as to the course
to be pursued.-

10- Should however these
Enam Lands be considered so transferable
to Individuals not entitled by the law =
of the Country to succeed to them hereditarily, =
I should recommend with a view to checking
fraud too commonly I fear practised after
the death of an Incumbent, that Parties, to
whom any Documents may be executed =
transferring these Enams to them, should be =
obliged to register the same at the time, at the
Cutcherry of the Tahsildar of the Talook in which
they reside, who should be directed to investigate
the matter as to their validity and Voluntary =
execution reporting the same to the Collector
and that all claims brought forward on
Documents not so registered should =
on the same being ascertained by the
Officer of the Civil Court, be rejected =
in

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

in which case the Enams would revert to Government.-

Cuddapah District ----- }
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry ----- } / Signed / J. H. Cochrane
at Muddenpally 1st May 1845 } Acty Coll.r

Ordered that the follow=
=ing letter be sent in reply.-

To The Acting Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

33-34

To the Acting Coll.r of Cuddapah ---

Directing that the Bhutwartee Enam in the Sub Division of his District referred to in his letter of the 1st Instant be attached & that the death of its late holder be reported also requesting that on its attachment the full demand of Government upon the land be realized & kept in deposit or sufficient security taken for its being eventually paid.-

Ind. Com. 26th May 1845.

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st instant requesting instructions in regard to a Bhutwartee Enam in the sub Division of your District.

2. From your letter it appears that the late holder of this Enam, recently deceased, has left no Heirs or relatives, and that the succession to the Enam is contested by two parties each of whom claims under a deed of gift said to have been executed by the late Proprietrise in his favor. Bhutwartee Enams being assignments of land revenue for "charitable allow=ances" are in the opinion of the Board clearly among the descriptions of grants specified in Regulation IV 1831 extended

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

by act XXXI of 1836 and the power to decide on claims to succession to or participation in such grants is specially reserved to Government to whom all lapses should be reported. I am therefore requested to direct that you will immediately attach the Enam in question and will report to Government thro' this Office the recent lapse the nature and value of the Enam the custom which has usually been followed in the Cuddapah District in respect to the succession to such grants and briefly the nature of the pretensions advanced by the several claimants. In attaching the Enam you will not interfere with the occupancy of the party now in professions but will take care that the full demand of Government upon the lands be realized and kept in deposit or sufficient security given for its being eventually made good when the pleasure of Government in regard to the future disposal of the Enam shall be known. should either of the claimants have recourse to the Civil Court, you will move the Court to dismiss the application as relating to a question which does not under the Regulations fall within their cognizance.-

you

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

3. You will already have received copy of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation 26th April last, directing that the lapses in the case of all grants of the descriptions particularized in Regulation IV 1831. be invariably reported to Government and the Board further request that on the occurrence of such lapses the course prescribed in the preceding Para in regard to the sequestration of the Enam be carefully followed In their letter to Government of 1st July/44 the Board gave it as their opinion that the greater part of the Cuddapah Enams (with the exception of those attached to hereditary Village or other offices in the Revenue

In Cons. 22d May Para 2.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Revenue or Police Department/ are referrible to some one or other of the classes falling under that Regulation.

4. The Board direct me to add that from the observations in the third and following Paras of your letter you would appear to have misapprehended the scope and purport of Regulation IV. 1831. On the occurrence of a lapse among the holders of Enams the only point to be considered is whether with reference to the origin of such Enam and the purpose for which it was first bestowed, it may fairly be classed

Fort St. George 26th. May 1845.

closed among those descriptions of grants specified in Section II of the Regulation. If it be, whether it has usually been considered hereditary or otherwise, and whether there be Legal Heirs to the last holder or not, are points which do not affect the question, all claims of whatever character to succeed to or share in such Enams are specially taken from the jurisdiction of the Courts and are reserved for the adjudication of Government. The Board are led to point this out to you as the distinction taken in Para 5 of your letter between "hereditary claims" and those preferred by "parties not relatives to the late incumbent or legal Heirs" is not recognized by the Regulation.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May 1845.

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Read the following letter.

To,
I. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

Sir,

From the Asst. Coll.r of Cuddapah

As required by your letter of the 10th Ultimo I have the honor to submit for special sanction a Statement shewing the actual charges incurred on account of the Sayer Establishment on the Frontier Chowkies in this District from 12th July 1844 to the 21st March 1845 the date on which they were abolished.

Cuddapah District,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
at Muddenpully, 21st
May 1845.

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

Statement

0487

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

No 7.

Statement shewing the actual Charges incurred on account of the Sayer Establishment in the Frontier Chowkies in the District of Cuddapah from 12th July 1844 to the 21st March 1845 the date on which they were abolished.—

Names of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	No as per Invoice	Rate of Pay per Annum	Amount of Pay & Allowance from 12th July 1844 to 21st March 1845	Amount of Charges actually incurred	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease
Vumpilly	Mootsuddy	1	8 12 0	72 13 2	72 13 2		
	Colekars	3	8 4 0	68 10 7	68 10 7		
	Sauderwared	0	1 7 4	12 2 2	12 2 2		
Cottacotah	Mootsuddy	1	5 12 0	47 13 8	47 13 8		
	Colekars	4	11 0 0	91 8 9	91 8 9		
	Sauderwared	0	1 7 4	12 2 3	12 2 3		
Cundoocoor	Mootsuddy	1	5 12 0	47 13 8	47 13 8		
	Colecars	3	8 4 0	68 10 7	68 10 7		
	Sauderwared	0	1 7 4	12 2 3	12 2 3		
Mahummadi=bad	Vutt Mootsuddy	1	7 0 0	58 4 1	68 4 1		
	Sauderwared	0	0 14 0	7 4 7	7 4 7		
	Mootsuddy	1	8 12 0	72 13 2	72 13 2		
	Colecars	2	5 8 0	45 12 3	45 12 3		
	Sauderwared	0	0 14 0	7 4 6	5 2 1		2 2 5
Kheelkarry	Mootsuddy	1	10 0 0	83 3 7	83 3 7		
	Colecars	4	11 0 0	91 8 9	91 8 9		
	Sauderwared	0	1 2 8	9 11 4	9 11 4		
Ranasamoodrum	Mootsuddy	1	8 12 0	72 13 2	69 13 2		3 0 0
	Assistant Ditto	1	7 0 0	38 4 1	38 4 1		
	Colecars	5	13 12 0	114 7 0	112 7 0		2 0 0
	Sauderwared	0	1 7 4	12 2 2	12 2 2		
Goondoogul	Mootsuddy	1	4 4 0	35 6 11	35 6 0		
	Colecars	2	5 8 0	45 12 5	45 12 5		
	Sauderwared	0	0 14 0	7 4 6	7 4 6		
	Total	0	138 14 0	1155 12 8	1148 10 3		7 2 5

Cuddapah District.
Collrs Circt Cutcherry at Muddenpully
21st May 1845

/ Signed / J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

To

Proceedings of Board

6188 Fort St George 26th May 1845.

To,

T. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

36

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem

With reference to your letter of the 10th ultimo, I have the honor to forward for special sanction, a Statement, shewing the total charges incurred on account of Sayer Establishment from 12th July to 26th March 1844 the date of abolition.

Salem Fillah
Trippatoor
Collectors Cutcherry
22d May 1845

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Statement

0489 Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Statement Shewing the charges incurred on account of the Establishments of Frontier Chowkies from 12th July 1844 to 26th March 1845 in the Zillah of Salem—

Names of Talooks	Names of Chowkies	Officers	Number of Servants	Monthly Salary	Total monthly Salaries	Amount expended from 12th July 1844 to 26th March 1845	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.
Denkencottah	Chowkey Thully	Ameenah	1	12	12	101 12 11	
		Nevesindah	1	7	7	59 6 2	
		Shroff	1	4	4	33 15	
		Pyguat	1	4	4	33 15	
		Colkars	8	3	24	203 9 9	
					51	432 10 10	
		Sauderwared			1 8	12 11 7	
		Total	12		52 8	445 6 5	
	Mettapolliam Chowkey Kopporady	Nevesindah	1	7	7	59 6 2	The decrease shown in the Statement is accounted for by Casualties of Servants being discharged and their places not filled at the time, also fines deducted
		Colkars	5	3	15	125 8 2	
					22	184 14 4	
		Sauderwared			8	4 3 11	
		Total	6		22 8	189 2 3	
		Total of Talooks	18		75	634 8 8	
Attoor	Chowkey Bayalore	Ameenah	1	12	12	101 12 11	
		Nevesindah	1	7	7	59 6 2	
		Shroff	1	4	4	33 15	
		Pyguat	1	4	4	33 15	
		Colkars	8	3	24	197 1 5	
					51	420 2 6	
		Sauderwared			2	16 15 6	
		Total	12		53	443 2	
	Chowkey Vazeankully	Ameenah	1	12	12	94 1	
		Nevesindah	1	7	7	57 6 2	
		Colkars	6	3	18	125 13 1	
					37	277 4 3	
		Sauderwared					
		Total	8		37	277 4 3	
	Chowkey Koppooraady	Ameenah	1	17 8	17 8	137 2 10	
		Nevesindah	1	7	7	57 6 2	
		Shroff	1	4	4	33 15	
		Pyguat	1	4	4	33 15	
		Colkars	6	3	18	142 14 9	
					50 8	407 5 9	
		Sauderwared			2	16 15 6	
		Total	10		52 8	424 5 3	
		Total of Talooks	30		142 8	1144 11 6	
		Grand Total	48		217 8	1779 4 2	

Tripatoor 30th May 1845. E. E. Off. / Signed / W. C. Lockhart Collector

To

Order on the 2 foregoing letters Ordered to lie on the table

35-37

Read the following letter

To, T. Sycroft Esquire

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

38

From the Coll^r of Chingleput

Submitting the further information called for relative to the Enam Village of Paramaswera-vinnagarum

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, bearing date the 1st Instant, I have the honor to state for their information that the Village referred to is entered in Mr Bernards Survey Register of 1773 as well as in the old Village accounts in my records, as an Enam granted by the Mahomedan Government in 1730, to Chobdar Mahomed Bahadar; and which was subsequently confirmed by two Sunnuds issued in 1790 and 1794 by Mr Collector Balfour—

2 During an investigation held in 1815 regarding Shrotrium and Enam Villages one Mahomed Ruvvershkhan, Grandson of Mahomed Bahadar, having produced a Mahazer-namah from the Cazee of Madras and some other Enamdars, to the effect, that the Purvanah of the Village which was originally obtained from the Nabob for the support of a Mosque erected

erected by his Grand father, and also for his own maintenance, had been lost during the war of 1791, the Village in question was registered in his name, as Enam belonging to the Mosque, and the same entry has ever since been continued in the Government accounts. The Mosque which is situated in the same Village Continues to be supported from its proceeds—

3. On a reconsideration of the Nature of the Grant, I am now of opinion that it more properly falls under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1817, and that therefore there is no objection to refer the present claimant to the Civil Court for redress—

Chingleput District—
Collectors Cutcherry—
Pullecarney 13th May 1815

Signed A. Freese
Collector

38-39

Resolution thereon. The Board request the Acting Collector of Chingleput will report whether in the entry of the Village of Paramasweravinnagarum in Mr Bernard's Survey Register of 1773 as well as in the old Village accounts the purpose for which the Village was originally granted is specified and whether the two Sunnuds issued in 1790 and 1794 by Mr Collector Balfour are now forthcoming, and if so, on what Conditions the Village is stated in those documents, to have been granted—

Read the following Statement

Statement

0493 Fort St. George 2d May 1845

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent for publica =
(40-41) = tion in the Fort St. George Gazette. —
Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(42)
From the Collector of Salem, the 2d January 1845.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of last, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from the Sub Collector, and to re = quest the sanction of the Board, to refund the Amount of Rupees 4 - 4 - 3 to Pedda reddy of Anjalum of Nankul Talook. —

Salem Zillah
Darumpoory
Collectors Cutchery
30th April 1845.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

No. 1.

To W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of
Salem

Sir,

With reference to a letter from the
† Dated 2d Jany 1845 Secretary to the Board of Revenue of which a Copy was sent to me on the 9th January 1845 making
† dated 25th Octr 1844 certain observations in reply to Mr. Grieg's letter to the Board in which he requested permission to refund 4 - 4 - 3 on the ground of its having been

0493 Fort St. George 2d May 1845

Statement of Salt for exportation to Ceylon from the Port of Cuddalore in the Talook of Chingleput for the month of April 1845.

(40)

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity actually ready in Store ready for exportation on the Beach.	Remarks
For 1845.		
Bengal Pounds		
1,00,000	26230½	The Salt may be paid for at the Talook Treasury at Chingleput near Madras or to the Person at Cuddalore as it may best suit the convenience of the Exporters
3,43,800	18400½	
2,200		

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector of Salem

Collectors Cutchery
23d May 1845.

been erroneously collected and carried to account by the Nankul Tahsildar in the current fusly from a Ryot named Pedda reddy of Anjalum, as a full Teerwah on his cowle land, in consequence of his having relinquished his puttut land and retained the cowle land before the latter came to full assessment: and requiring explanation as to the circumstance of the Collections having been made in October when the Kists of the fusly do not become due until the month of November. I have the honor to state that in consequence of the Ryot in question desiring on the 30th July of Fusly 1254 to relinquish his puttut land and retain his Cowle land, the Tahsildar annulled the Cowle and Collected and carried to the account of "Sevagamah" full Teerwah (4.4.3) from the commencement of the Cowle in conformity with the provisions of a circular Order issued from your Office on the 14th May 1842 but as this order was rescinded by a subsequent order passed in your Office on the 2d August 1844 which only reached the Talook on the 7th of that Month and the proceeding was not in accordance with the new Hukummanah, I wrote a yadast to Mr Greig on the 4th September 1844 — forwarding

forwarding copy of a Petition presented by the Ryot in question, and requesting orders to refund the Amount.—

2. This sum did not form part of the Kists of the fusly, as it was only the full Teerwah from the commencement of the Cowle that was to be collected from the Ryot by way of penalty on his relinquishing his Mamool puttut before the Cowle land had arrived at full assessment.—

3 With reference to the concluding part of Para 1 of the Board's letter, I beg to be informed to what penalty allusion is made in addition to a Ryot being made to relinquish both his fully taxed land and Cowle land together, as I cannot find any penalty prescribed for the case in question under Rule 2 of the Cowlemamah.—

Salem District
Namkul Talook
Mohanoor — — —
Sub Collr Cutchery
14th April 1845.—

/Signed/ H. A. Brett
Sub Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To

Fort St. George 26th. May 1845

42-43

To the Collector of Salem.

Directing that the sum of Rupees 4-4-3 collected as Teerwah upon certain Coole land from Pedda Reddy be carried to account.—

To

The Collector of

Salem

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th. April last, submitting the explanation given by your Sub Collector respecting the case of Pedda Reddy as called for by the Board and repeating the application previously made by Mr. Gleig for sanction to refund to that individual the sum of Rupees - 4 - 4 - 3.

2 In reply the Board instruct me to state that this refund cannot be allowed Rule 2 of the "revised Coole na- -mah" and the latter part of Rule 10 of the "revised Hookoonnamah" under which a party relinquishing his entire putcut and cultivating Coole land only was liable to the penalty of full teerwah upon his Coole - were indeed objected to by the Board and ordered to be omit- -ted from the Coole and Hookoonnamah late- -ly sanctioned for your District, because as these rules were warded, they seemed in

Fort St. George 26th. May 1845

in same measure to authorize the abandonment of putcut and the reten- -tion of Coole - a practice altogether ir- -regular and which should as far as possible be represed - you will however perceive from the Board's letter of 8th. February 1844 Para 3 that where such irregularity did occur the previous penalty that of full teerwah upon the Coole was to be strictly enforced — The amount therefore collected from Pedda Reddy Rupees 4 - 4 - 3 should be carried to account —

3 In regard to the remark made by Mr. Brett in the concluding Para of his letter, the Board on reference to the Coole- -namah do not find that any penalty has been attached to the relinquishment of his entire putcut on the part of a ryot holding Coole beyond that of his be- -ing obliged to give up his Coole land also - but this they apprehend will be found sufficient —

Rev. Board Office,
Fort St. George —
26th. May 1845. —

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

6498 Fort St. George 26th. May 1845

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44)

From the Collector of Salem ...

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th. Instant, and to inform you that my letter of the 1st. was de = spatched on the same date from Darumpoory to the Post Office at Rayacottah.—

According to your instructions I have moved the Court for an extension of six weeks from the 25th. Instant, and with respect to the delay in applying for leave to defend the suit in Case No. 228: to state that the summons was served on the late Principal Collector on the 18th. December 1844: a Takeed was issued to the Tahsil = dar of Salem on the 3d. January 1845 to report the Circumstances attending the case.— his answer was received on the 20th. of the same month, when Mr. Gleig was on the eve of leaving the District. Owing to some documents not being found a reference was made by the Sub Collector in charge to the Board on the 20th. March, and their reply was received on the 14th. April: when the proposed answer was finally prepared and forward = ed for sanction on the 1st. Instant.—

Salem Gillah
Tripatore, Coll. Cutcherry
24th. May 1845.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Order thereon—

Ordered to be recorded

Read

(44-45)

JGB

6499 Fort St. George 26th. May 1845.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esquire.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Coll. of Trichinopoly ...

Sir,

Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th. Instant, and beg to return my thanks to the Board for their kind consideration in giving me an opportunity of entering into further explanations in support of my proposal that the Salary of the Tahsildars of Coroud, Caulgoody and Lorriore should be increased. I beg accordingly to submit the following observations.

2. My main object in soliciting an increase to the pay attached to the above offices was grounded on the fact that the present amount of Salary

is

is not sufficient to insure an efficient discharge of duty and in my letter of the 20th December 1843, of which an Extract is

9. According to the existing Moyen Zaubitah five of the eight Sahsildars of Trichinopoly receive Rupees 70 per Mensem, and three, Rupees 85. These rates of pay are I believe it will be found very low compared with the rates existing in other Districts, and I should gladly see an addition to each Talook for it is of essential importance that the respectability of the office should be fully recognized, and there is no way in which this can be more effectually done than by awarding a salary sufficiently high to enable the possessors of the office to live as becomes their station and to place them above petty temptations. In the three Talooks of Lonaud, Laulgoody and Lorriore the collections are not less than two Lacs and the duties of the Sahsildars are in no degree less onerous than those of persons holding similar appointments in other Districts who receive Rupees 120 a Month, indeed there are peculiarities in the mode of assessment and general management in Trichinopoly which render their duties in many respects particularly arduous. I trust therefore that the Board will support my recommendation that the salary of the Sahsildars of Laulgoody and Lorriore be raised from Rupees 85 to 100 per Mensem, and that of the Sahsildar of Lonaud than which perhaps there is not a more troublesome talook in the country to Rupees 110 per mensem. I should not venture to make this recommendation but upon the most deliberate conviction of the expediency of the measure.

copied in the Margin, I endeavoured at some length to shew the necessity which existed for increasing the amount. The Board supported my views and in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th July 1844 the Governor in Council recorded his concurrence.

3. It will perhaps be difficult to prove by the past that the administration

administration of the three Talooks in question has been inefficient. The Revenues have been tolerably well maintained, and the management of the police has not elicited any very remarkable censures. and very respectable decent men have occupied the post of Sahsildars in them but this I take it is not the question. I would undertake to get the Offices filled and the duties performed as well as they have been on a smaller amount of Salary. but this would not satisfy me and I trust I shall be able to shew that it ought not to satisfy the Government. It is not sufficient that a District be managed with just such an amount of industry and probity as will prevent animadversion. it is surely worth the while of Government to adopt such a course towards its servants as will incite them to an exercise of zeal. whether the present treatment of the servants in question is likely to call forth an energetic exercise of duty or to produce the inertness which is almost the certain consequence of ill paid appointments I must leave the Government to decide.

4. It will of course be a question

question how it came to pass that the pay of these Tahsildars was originally so small - so much less - for that is an admitted fact, than the pay of Tahsildars in charge of equally - nay - less onerous duties, in other Districts - The answer is that when the District of Trichinopoly first became a part of the British provinces it was divided into a larger number of Talooks* than it now is / there were 21 talooks / and that the several amounts of the Tahsildars pay as they stood were adopted - and had the same mode of administration or the same state of things in general continued, there would have been no grounds on which to propose an alteration in the amount of remuneration to a Tahsildar - The condition of things however has greatly changed - A Ryotwar management has been substituted for a system of Rents, imposing on a Tahsildar all the trouble inseparable from the minute attention required by the species of administration in question instead of having to deal with the head of each Village for the realization of the Revenue - The Tahsildar has become the head of Police

* Note - It should be observed however that in 1818. the pay of the Caulgoody Tahsildar was reduced from 105 to 85 Rupees under the orders of the Board dated 28th February 1820 -

Police and the duties of the Police have become much more minute - a more strict attention to rules and a greater observance of forms being necessary and lastly a Tahsildar is now expected to look to his salary as his sole remuneration instead of to perquisites of Office of various kinds to which I need make no further allusion than to mention that as the people become more and more aware of their own rights and as facilities are opened to them to obtain them by application to European authorities this source of Emolument must, happily, lessen - Formerly I have no doubt it was so prolific as to be the chief inducement to hold Office now the Salary is the main object - at all events it ought to be -

5. I will now proceed to exhibit some of the details of the duties of the three Tahsildars - The annexed Tabular Statement will perhaps give some idea of their extent - but certainly not a complete one - and will shew that in respect of what is generally considered the peculiar duty of a Tahsildar the collection of

Fort St. George

26th May 1845.

№	Talook	Villages			Extent of Nunjay from Government land cultivated	5 Years average Bariz from Fasly 1249 to 1253	№ of Population	5 Years average expenditure of Maramut works	Present Salary of the Tahsildars	Principal Maramut Works	of Revenue they are greatly underpaid in comparison of their fellow servants in a contiguous Zillah. But in Trichinopoly the duty of Collection is peculiarly onerous. The Tahsildar has not merely to urge the Ryot to cultivate to see that his occupation of lands agrees with the Cowmum's record of it and so forth. he has to take
		№ of Villages	№ of Hamlets	Total							
					Cawnies Gs ax	₹ a p		₹ a p	₹ a p		
1	Conaud	156	108	264	42,103 43 13	2,33,398 13 8	124,296	14,375 3 "	85 " "	Excavating Wooyacondom river. Repairing Vaganays and Sarscine works on the south bank of Cavery Tamboyee	
2	Caulgoody	224	54	278	49,785 86 1/2	2,68,546 5 3	77328	13,396 14 8	85 " "	Repairing the banks of Iyem voykal Parvala voykal and under sluices Pungoonee Calingalak and repairing Vaganays and Moodasel works on the bank of Coleroon	
3	Sarriore	158	130	288	57,466 85 15	2,47,792 4 2	108446	3,076 15 2	85	Repairing the banks of the Tanks of Aulatoodianputty and Sirtatioor &c. and excavating Iyaur river	
						Madura District Bariz of Fasly 1231					
1	Madacolum	"	"	"	"	97945 13 11		" " "	120 " "		
2	Sermungalum	"	"	"	"	148,066 12 9		" " "	120 " "		
3	Mailoor	"	"	"	"	119304 1 9		" " "	120 " "		

take care that each channel of irrigation is in a fit state to enable the ryot to cultivate- that sluices dams and banks are in order- what changes have taken place in the rivers during the freshes- who has encroached on the bed of an irrigation channel- who has built a dam across it for his own purpose who wants one &c. &c. and to give some notion of what his troubles in this respect are, I beg to refer to the accompanying Statement of the Channels of irrigation in Conaud talook which I had prepared, for my own information some years ago any one who will be at the trouble of considering all its items will not fail to come to the conclusion that I have not overstated the troubles of this talook- a similar Statement exhibiting an almost equally troublesome detail for Caulgoody has been prepared- but I forbear to send it,- Now to every one of these Channels and every part of them- and some of them extend thro a course of 50 Miles the Tahsildar is liable to be required to go at a moments notice throughout the entire period of the year- for unlike most Districts irrigation, cultivation in Trichinopoly is carried on almost incessantly- and to enable him to

do

do this he must be well furnished with means- It will not do for him to put himself into a palankeen with four bearers and creep up to the Head of the Channel at his leisure or to take the chance of ever reaching it on a Tattoo poney, he must use the utmost expedition or thousands of rupees loss of revenue may be the consequence and breaches causing destruction to life and property incalculable.

6. Such are some of the duties attendant on the Office of Tahsildar in this District- The remarks about irrigation are not so applicable to Lorriore tho' even there this branche of duty is sufficiently onerous. In each of the three Talooks the police duties require great vigilance- Many of the Inhabitants being of the "Kullen" tribe robbers by profession- Lorriore is bounded on one side by Hills famous for giving shelter to robbers, and is, as the Statement will shew an extensive Talook- Caulgoody includes the Island and Pagoda of Seeringham- the high roads to Madras and Combaconum run thro it, and a large portion of the Meerasidars the "Iyengar" Bramins

are

are notoriously litigious, as to Conaud, I venture to affirm that there is not a more or so troublesome a talook in the whole country. The Meerasidars consist of Brahmins, retired Servants of Government, pensioned Sepoys &c. it includes a very large town, teeming with a numerous population of all classes, the head quarters of the Southern Division of the Army, and the largest Military Station in the Carnatic - and is one of the most favorite places of residence for retired Native public Servants.

I would willingly forbear troubling the Board with further observations having I believe sufficiently shewn that the three Tahsildars in question are underpaid but I must beg leave to add a few words more. If the Board will refer to the periodical returns of Tahsildars in this District they will perceive that the changes from Talook to Talook are frequent. The chief cause of this is occasioned by the impossibility of keeping the same man long in Conaud. Upon its being intimated to a Tahsildar that he is to be appointed to Conaud, a request is almost sure to follow

follow that he may be excused or at all events be relieved in a short time - so arduous are the duties and so inadequate is the Salary to meet the expences of living in a large town and maintaining a suitable Establishment for the rapid movements about the District which are required that no one will willingly stay, and how useless it is to keep an unwilling Man I need not attempt to explain - even now I am very much embarrassed by being obliged to relieve the present Tahsildar at his own earnest request - and so it must continue to be till a remedy is applied. In regard to the other two Talooks this objection does not apply. I recommend an increase in them because I think it requisite to promote a zealous discharge of duty and just with reference to the Amount of labour and the remuneration granted to persons holding the same description of situation in other Districts.

Trichinopoly Collectors Cutcherry 19th April 1845

/Signed/ A. D. Onslow.
Collector.

Postscript

Since writing the above an application has been made by one of my best Tahsildars to be allowed to proceed to another District where he has been promised a higher Salary to fill a similar Office

/Signed/ A. D. Onslow.

Statement

Fort St. George 1st May 1845

8509

Fort St. George

Statement of the Channels of irrigation in the Conad Talook of the Trichinopoly District.

Numbers	Names of the Channels.	Names of the Villages in which the Heads are situated	Names of the Villages up to which Coumboo are constructed	Distance between the head of the Channel & Coumboo	Names of the Villages in which Head Sluices are constructed	Distance between the Head of the Channel and Head sluice	Distance from the Head to the termination of the Channel	Branching channels: Voykols that has aqueducts	Earthen aqueducts	Voikols for which no aqueducts &c were constructed	Sibbendy Establishment Sibbendy on Mongars. Number	Monthly Salary	Amount per Year
				Miles furlongs		Miles furlongs	Miles				Mangars		
1	Wooyacondan & Pettanoytalay	Mudoor near the washing place	Oomiapoorum Mooseery Talook	3 — —	One head sluice in the limits of Pettanoytalay	5 — —	Up to Senanadon Column in Cootapaud	30	103	13 —	2	14 —	168 —
											Sampathy 1	3 8	42 —
											3	17 8	210 —
2	Ramavoytalay	Serooganany near the Dº Dº	In the limits of Palanacanery	3 — —	One Dº in the limits of Elamanoor	4 — —	Up to the limits of Codeacoochy	5	1 —	10	"	"	"
3	Elandavoytalay	Tirchandooray near Dº	Up to Ammanagoody beach	1 — —	One Dº in the Dº of Codeacoochy	1 — —	Up to the Dº of Mullacheporum	4	4 —	7	"	"	"
4	Coodoovoytalay	Tiplatoray near Dº	Near the place where water is taken by people	1 — —	One Dº in the Dº of Andamullee	4 — —	Up to the Dº of Moothoorasamellore	6	"	4	"	"	"
5	Codingaul		In the limits of Serooganany from the Rungaseram Reelayee	"	"	"	Up to the place where it disgorges in the Canery.	15	2	"	"	"	"
6	Reelayvoykol		In the limits of Jathadal from the Vethavoytalay sluice on the North bank of Wayacondan	"	"	"	Up to Pathalumpetty	10	8	2	1	"	"
7	Koovalayvoykol		From the Nauttam and Calacadichy Calingulal	"	"	"	In the limits of Monookerwhere it disgorges in the Canery	6	2	"	1	"	"
								120.	15	23	3	17 8	210 —

St George

126th May 1845.

Establishment

dy on

for the Voydalays.

Ready money payments

	Peons.							Total Ready money payment			
	Number.	Monthly Salary. Amount per each.		Amount per Month.		Amount per Year.		Amount per Month.		Amount per Year.	
Corumboo Peons.	7	1	12	12	4	147	"	40	4	483	"
Aluree peons	6	1	12	10	8	126	"				
	13	"	"	22	12	273	"				

6510 ||

Fort St. George.

26th May 1815.

Sibbendy Establishment

Sibbendy paid by

for the Voytalays South of the Villages.

Monigars				Palagagars				Total			Amount in Maniam enjoyed by				
				Monthly Salary in Paddy							Nunjah				
Number	Paddy per Month	Paddy per Year	Price of the Paddy.	Number	Amount per each	Total	Amount of Paddy per Year	Total	Number	Average Amount in Paddy per Month	Amount of Paddy per Year	Amount	Number	Extent of land Ca. Gs.	Amount of Teerwah
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

From St. George 26th May 1845.

Sibbendy Establishment for the Voytalays (Cont.)

Sibbendy Palagayars Thottum

Extent of land.	Amount of Teerwah	Maximum Poonjah Extent of land.	Amount of Teerwah	Ready Money.	Total Varoo-manum per Year.
9 65 "	38 15 8	11.9 54 7/16 "	63 7 7	" " "	167 12 6
9 31 "	88 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
18 96 "	127 " 8	11.9 54 7/16 "	63 7 7	36 " "	291 13 6

Average for 1 year of 3 Months.

Maximum of Boatmen

Average Amount per Month	Number.	Nunjah Extent of land.	Amount of Teerwah	Thottum Extent of land.	Amount of Teerwah.
24 5 1 24	Pettavoitalay	14 32 "	99 1 6	1. 59 "	33 1 6
	Paloucavery	1. 22 "	20 10 6	1.1 62 3/4 "	207 5 7
	2	18 54 "	119 12 "	1.6 21 3/4 "	240 7 1

24 5 1 24 18 54. 119 12 " 1.6 21 3/4. 240 7 1

Fort St. George. 26th May 1845.

Sibbendy Establishment for the Voytalays (Cont.)

Amount in Maximum enjoyed by Sibbendy (Cont.)

Maximum of Boatmen (Continued).

Poonjah.

Extent of land.	Amount of teerwah	Ready Money	Total Amount per Year.	Average per Month.
39 14 6/16 "	48 3 5	1 8 "	181 14 5	54 " 10 1/2
" " "	" " "	238 12 "	466 12 1	
39 14 6/16 "	48 3 5	240 4 "	648 10 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

6513

Fort St. George. 26th May 1845.

Fusly 1231.

Nunjah Extent of land.

Amount of Teerwah.

Nunjah

Amount of Teerwah

Cr. Gs. ax. Rs. A P

18,206 4 10/16 . 175.202 9 10

955 5 1/4 " 9561 1 6

2.309 6 13/16 " 22.129 11 7

" " " " " " " " " " " "

275 5 10/16 " 2.079 3 6

2.129 7 32/16 . 10577 2 8

848-56 9/16 . 3.282 15 7

24.725 49 . 2.228.321 2 8

Barry of the Villages irrigated Highest years' berry.

Nunjah

Amount of Teerwah

Cr. Gs. ax. Ckrs. A P

17587 59/64 " 17741 0 11 3

1.035 88 11/16 " 9.292 1 4

2.718 15 6/16 " 18.500 11 4

" " " " " " " " " " " "

285 5 10/16 " 2.179 3 6

2.239 7 6/16 . 11577 2 8

898 56 9/16 . 3.982 15 7

24.765 49 6/16 " 2.229.42 " "

E. E.

by the channels.

Fusly 1250.

Nunjah

Amount of Teerwah

Cr. Gs. Ax. Rs. A P

17.690 90 12 1/2 1.626.816 1

1.014 1 " 9.279 12 11

1.995 38 15/16 " 17.072 15 9

407 40 3/16 . 27721 8

275 5 10/16 " 2.097 3 6

2.029 7 32/16 " 25771 28

818 56 9/16 " 3.182 15 7

24.231 55 1/2 . 2.066.631 0 2

pr. (Signed) A. O. Onslow. Collector.

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

(46-47)

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 25th January last, forwarding copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Government of India in which further explanation is called in regard to the grounds of the proposed increase of the salaries of the Tahsildars of the Conaud, Torreor, and Laulgoody Talooks in the Trichinopoly district and in reply to submit the accompanying correspondence with the Trichinopoly Authorities upon the subject.

Submitting the explanation called for by the Govt. of India in regard to the Grounds of the proposed increase of the Salaries of the Thasildars of the Conaud Torreor, and Laulgoody Talookes in the District of Trichinopoly and recommending the measure for favorable Consideration.

From A.J. Pigot Esq. Coll. in charge 11th March in Cons. 3d April 1845.
To Coll. 7th April.
From Do. 9th April in Cons. 28th May 1845.

2. In the letter above alluded to the Government of India require to be informed "On what principle the Salaries of Thasildars are fixed generally, and why they are lower in Trichinopoly than elsewhere" and "wherein the necessity or expediency consists for increasing them, since it is not shown that the duties cannot be efficiently performed on the present rates of pay." On the first point the Board beg to state that the salaries of Thasildars are fixed generally with regard to the

the extent of the duties exacted from, and responsibility imposed upon those Officers. the rates of pay in the more important Talooks of Ryotwar Districts being usually 100 Rupees per Month and upwards. That the salaries of Trichinopoly Tasildars fall below that standard arises, it will be seen from Mr. Onslow's letter from the circumstance of those rates having been settled at a time when the District was divided into 21 Talookes instead of as now into 8, and when the mode of Revenue administration was that of renting in place of the system of individual Settlement which now obtains, the latter method of management being far more difficult and laborious.

Note. The rates of Monthly pay for the more important Talooks in the District surrounding Trichinopoly are — —

South Arcot	120
	100
Tanjore	120
	110
	100
Madura	120
Salem	140
	100
Coimbatore	120
	100

3. On the second point Viz. as to the necessity or expediency of increasing the present salaries, the Board beg to refer to the sketch given by Mr. Onslow in Para 5 of his letter, showing the nature and extent of the charge held by each of the three Tasildars whose pay it is proposed to increase, the amount of Revenue which they collect, the works of irrigation which they superintend, and the Police duties which devolve

upon

upon them. That persons can be found to fill these offices at the present rates cannot of course be denied. but it is not to be supposed that they will discharge these with the same efficiency and the Board may add, with the same disregard to unauthorized sources of emolument which they might be expected to exhibit were their exertions rewarded with the same rates of remuneration which they would receive for similar labors in other Districts, nor can it be surprizing that on opportunity occurring they should quit Trichinopoly for better paid appointments in other Collectorates. an instance of which of recent occurrence is given by the Collector in the Postscript of his letter. That the emoluments of an office should be commensurate with the trust and labour which it involves is a principle which has been generally admitted, and the Board feeling assured that its application to the three Tasildars now referred to will be for the benefit of the public service, beg leave again to recommend Mr. Onslows' proposal for the favorable consideration of the Supreme Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845.

/Signed/ T. Dyeroft.
Secretary.

Read.

Read again the following Letters from the Principal Collector of Madura.

26th March in Con. 15th May 1845 No. 73/
16th April in Con. 15th " " No. 87/
3d May in Con. 15th " " No. 108/

48

Proceedings thereon. Para 1. In the letters above recorded the Principal Collector of Madura brings to the notice of the Board certain instances of alleged procrastination and disregard to his orders on the part of his Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate Mr. W. Elliot and solicits that the Board would be pleased to recommend to Government the transfer of that Gentleman to some other District.

Recording observations on the letters from the Princl. Coll.r of Madura bringing to notice certain instances of alleged procrastination & disregard to his orders on the part of his Sub Coll.r & Joint Magistrate Mr. W. Elliot and calling for an explanation from that Gentleman on the Subject.

26th March 1845. The subject to which the first of those letters more immediately relates is the succession to the Cannewady Zemindary and the unsatisfactory nature of the enquiry in regards to that question made by the Sub Collector Mr. Blackburne however has in the same letter submitted the correspondence between himself and Mr. Elliot on several other subjects, as illustrative of the dilatoriness and captiousness which he imputes to the Sub Collector. These subjects are the repair of the Caliingala and Dam of the Pelat Channel

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Channel. the support of a Renter against the Zemindar of Ammianaickenoor the pro: -longed suspension of two Zilladars - and per: tinacious delay in giving effect to the order of the Principal Collector 25th April 1844 for the restoration of the Nattumgar of Dhenadhanapatty - The letters of the Principal Collector of 16th April and 3d May both relative to the same subject viz. the continued delay in bringing to a close the proceedings against the Village Officers of Dhenadhanapatty - the earlier correspondence on which formed one of the enclosures in his letter of the 26th March already referred to.

2. In respect to the Cun: -neevady Estate, the points on which in their order /25th/ 28th November last the Board called for information - and on which the Zemindary being in their hs Sub Division reference seems to have been made to the Sub Collector - were what was the Custom of the family in regard to the succession to the Estate - and in the event of the next Male heir being recognized as Zemindar, what provision should be made

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

made for the widows of the late Proprietor - In regard to the first point Mr. Elliot appears to have taken some depositions but as these have not been laid before them the Board cannot judge how far the information which they furnish is sufficient - upon the last question Mr. Elliot has given no opinion - he has indeed forwarded to the Principal Collector an agreement from the heir presumptive stating the allowance which he is willing to give the widows, but has not communicated of the proposed maintenance, tho' espressly called upon by the Principal Collector to do so. On the whole Mr. Blackburne states that "he has no hope of giving a satisfactory "Report in answer to the Boards' call except "by making his inquiries independent of his "Sub Collector." The Board direct that this may be done, and the result of the investigation communicated to them at an early period, a considerable time having already elapsed since the death of the last Proprietor of Cunneevady in November last.

3. In Para 2 of his letter

of

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

of 12th March Mr. Elliot adverts to an "demand against the Government for "money due to the above Estate which "may possibly be found to amount to "about two lacs of Rupees" Being prefsed by the Principal Collector for more precise explanation on this point, in Para 4 of his next letter 22d March he gives an answer altogether unsatis=factory - It is essential that a question which may so deeply affect the interests of Government should receive the strictest scrutiny - We Board therefore desire that the sub Collector may be called upon to state for their information distinctly & explicitly the nature of the claim alluded to - the years during which it has accrued - the reasons which induce him to suppose that such a claim will be preferred and may be successful, and why it had so long remained dormant - also whether it be or be not well founded and in what manner it should be disposed of Mr. Elliot will be pleased to report upon this subject in a separate Communication

laying

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

laying the whole clearly before the Board, & abstaining from the introduction of all extraneous and irrelevant matter - the Principal Collector will give him the fullest access to all papers and accounts which he may require and in sending up his report will accompany it with such observations as the subject may seem to demand -

The Board cannot approve of the manner in which the sub Collector has met the requisition of the Principal Collector for information respecting the Cunnewady Estate - but they do not think it necessary to make further observations upon this subject nor to remark upon the Correspondence regarding the repairs of the Calingala and Dam and that concerning the Renter and the Ammianaickanoor Zemindar to which the Principal Collector has adverted in the same letter - the merits of neither of these last cases as now laid before the Board being very clear - They will pass on at once to the Consideration of those instances which appear to them to make most strongly in support of the charge of delay and disobedience of orders preferred by the

Principal

Principal Collector and on which explanation is most imperatively called for these are the cases of the Zilladars of Vadamdeor and Vada Madura - and the Nattamgars of Devadhanapatty -

5. In respect to the first of these cases it appears that these Zilladars were suspended in July last and that on the date of the Sub Collectors' letter of 22d March or upwards of 8 months afterwards the investigation regarding them had not been brought to a close. In regard to the Village Officers of Devadhanapatty it appears that the Principal Collector issued an Order directing those parties to be replaced in their situations on the 24th April 1844, A considerable time having elapsed and the order not having been complied with, it was Repeated on the 14th January and 18th February of the present year - and in reply to an explanation from the Sub Collector considered by the Principal Collector to be unsatisfactory on the 6th and afterwards on the 17th of March - On the 19th of that month the joint Magistrate promised that

that a full report should be submitted on the 24th Idem - On the 25th a report was made but it was incomplete - and the Sub Collector stated that the whole investigation would be closed and the result communicated in "a few days" This promise was brought to the recollection of the Sub Collector by the Principal Collector on the 4th April - and no notice having been taken of that Communication on the 11th On the 14th the Sub Collector wrote to the Principal Collector advancing reasons for his delay in submitting his proceedings which cannot be considered satisfactory - On the 3d May when the Principal Collector last addressed the Board on the subject, nearly thirteen months after his original Order for the restoration of the Village Officers and 39 days after the date on which the Sub Collector had promised to complete his enquiries "in a few days" the Nattamgars were still under suspension - and the final report in their case had not been furnished to the Principal Collector -

6. Under these Circumstances the Principal Collector has on the 3d Instant repeated the application which he previously made.

made on the 26th March for the removal of Mr. Elliot from the Madura District and adverting to the instances of apparent procrastination and disregard of orders stated in the preceding Para the Board feel themselves constrained to take his request into consideration before however adopting any further measures they deem it but just to Mr. Elliot that he should have the fullest opportunity of offering explanation upon his conduct. They direct therefore that he be furnished with copy of these proceedings and that he be called upon for explanation upon the following specific questions.—

1st As to the circumstances under which the Zilladars of Vadasundoor and Vada Madura have been kept under suspension for the space of eight months and why the proceedings against them were not closed at an earlier period.—

2d The reasons for which immediate effect was not given to the order of the Principal Collector 25th April 1844 for the restoration of the Nattamgars of Devadhanapatty

Devadhanapatty.—

3d The circumstances under which he has allowed more than twelve months or from 25th April 1844 to 3d May 1845 to elapse without either reinstating the Nattamgars in office or bringing his proceedings against them to a conclusion in disregard of the distinct and re-iterated instructions of the Principal Collector.—

In submitting his explanation upon the above points the Board request that Mr. Elliot will confine himself closely to these subjects to the exclusion of all irrelevant and extraneous topics. He will also be pleased to make his statements on these several subjects intelligible in themselves, giving clearly and briefly the substance of any orders, Cyadasts &c or any other document to which he may have to refer. He will also in stating the charges preferred either against the Zilladars or Nattamgars explain concisely their purport, the date on which they were preferred, the steps taken in consequence, the witnesses examined and the dates of their examinations and particularly the

cause

cause of any delay which may be ob=
=servable in any part of the proceedings.
This explanation as also that called for
in Para 3, (which must however be
separate Communications) will be for=
=warded thro' the Principal Collector and
it is desirable that it should be
furnished at an early date. The Sub
Collector will also be allowed free access
to the records of the Principal Collector's
Cutcherry and will be furnished by Mr.
Blackburne with copies of his letters to
the Board 26th March / No. 73 / 18th April
No. 87 and 3d May 1845 No. 108 - The
Correspondence forming the enclosures
in those letters will be on the Sub
Collector's records.-

Ordered that a copy of
the foregoing Extract from the Boards'
Proceedings be transmitted to the Principal
Collector of Madura who will furnish
copy to his Sub Collector for his infor=
=mation and guidance.-

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinne=
=velly dated 21st Ultimo and entered in Consultation 1st Instant.-

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be

Submitted

submitted with the following address.-

(49)

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

To The Chief Secy to Govt. } Govt. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to
request that you will submit for the consideration
of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accom=
21st April misl. 1st May 1845 =panying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly reporting
that Sunearamoody Ummaul the younger widow of a
former Zemindar of Sungumputty who died in 1826 in
that District had been declared by a decision of the
late Provincial Court at Trichinopoly to be the
rightful heir to that Estate to the exclusion of the
last incumbent Palaneyache Ummaul who was placed
in the Zemindary by a decree of that Court now reversed
on appeal and rehearing and stating that in accordance
with such decision he had reinstated her in the Estate
having previously entered into an arrangement by
which she agreed to liquidate by instalments the
sum of Rupees 4,5,18-13 being the amount of
balance of Peishcush with which the Estate
is encumbered.-

2. The circumstances connected with the various
claims which have been advanced to the possession of this
property since the demise of the original Zemindar Subramone=
=ya Iyan in the year 1826 are fully known to Government from
To Govt 22nd Janry 1835 / From Do 6th in Cons 9th March 1835 the Correspondence noted in the Margin, and it is therefore
sufficient to observe that the restoration of the Estate to
the present possessor to the final exclusion of a female Claimant
=ly reported to be merely the Mistress of the last Zemindar who
was Executed for murder, is in unison with the feelings of the
People

people generally.—

3. In submitting his report the Collector has considered it desirable to suggest that as Sunkara-pody Tummaul has no children of her own, and there appearing to be no legal heir upon whom the Estate would devolve in due course after her death, that some definite arrangement should be at once entered into with her in order to ensure her assuming and claiming at a future opportunity the right to make some fictitious adoption equally at variance with Hindoo Law and the right of Government.—

4. Upon this point however the Board would simply remark that the right of disposing of her Estate by adopting a successor, or otherwise, under the circumstances in which Sunkarapody Tummaul is now placed, are purely questions of law, and upon which it is not their province to decide.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

25th October inbons:
23d November 1843.

Read again Report of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of that District for fusly 1252.—
A.D. 1842/43

(Here enter No. 82.)

30th November inbons
9th December 1844.

Read also the Report of that Officer on the Settlement of Land Revenue for fusly 1253.—
A.D. 1843/44.

50

(Here enter No. 110)

Proceedings thereon Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Coimbatore for Fuslies 1252 A.D. 1842/43 and 1253 1843/44.

1. The Board will proceed to consider the settlement of these 2 Years together, which from so little variation having occurred in the circumstances of season and in the general state of the District will not require a separate review of the outturn of each Fusly—

2. In Fusly 1252 the season is represented as being very favorable. It commenced with advantage to the early Dry crops. The North East Monsoon tho' late was ample, and the Rivers received their full supplies. With the exception of Cotton and Tobacco the harvest was good—The cultivation of every description of land paying full rent was extended and the Settlement exceeded that of the previous year, which was remarked to be one of the most favorable that had come under review.

3. The Settlement of fusly 1253 closed under circumstances equally favorable. The rain in the early part of the season was abundant, and altho' the usual freshes in the Rivers were wanting the later Rains were fully sufficient for an increased extent of cultivation in the wet lands dependent on Tanks, while the harvest of the Dry Grains was successful. The result exhibits an excess above the Settlement of

6530

Fort St. George 26th May 1815.

Description of Grain	Average Price per Garce. Fusly 1251.	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease	Per Centage
Paddy	85 7 9	72 2 4	" "	13 5 5	15 9 8
Cholum	78 11 2	63 8 6	" "	15 2 8	19 4 4
Raghee	67 4 4	55 " 11	" "	12 3 5	18 2 6
Cumboo	71 10 6	53 12 10	" "	17 13 8	24 14 8
Horse Gram	91 2 10	82 6 8	" "	8 12 2	9 9 9
Rape Seeds	190 11 2	227 4 8	36 9 1	" "	19 2 10
Castor oil Seeds	109 9 3	88 9 10	" "	20 15 5	19 2 1

+ (excepting the price of Rape Seeds.)

Description of Grain	Average Price per Garce. Fusly 1252.	Fusly 1253	Increase	Per Centage.
Paddy	72 2 4	73 3 6	1 1 2	1 7 10
Cholum	63 8 6	65 8 9	2 " 3	3 2 9
Raghee	55 " 11	55 10 5	" 9 6	1 1 3
Cumboo	53 12 10	57 14 16	4 2 "	7 10 8
Horse Gram	82 6 8	93 " 4	10 9 8	12 13 10
Rape Seeds	227 4 3	237 15 8	10 11 5	4 11 5
Samp Oil Seeds	88 9 10	104 13 6	16 3 8	18 5 "

: lowing Abstract Statement exhibits a general view of the Settlements of each Fusly compared with that which preceded it.

4. The fol:

Per Centage of fusly 1252, the depression in the Prices of Grain in Fusly 1252 was even greater than in the preceeding year, varying from nearly — 25 per Cent to 10 per Cent. — In fusly 1253 the prices were some what in advance of those of fusly 1252 chiefly in conse: quence of decrea: ed imports from the adjoining Provinces.

Hems

6531

Fort St. George 26th. May 1815.

Items.	Settlement of Fasly 1251		Settlement of Fasly 1252		Settlement of Fasly 1253		Comparison Between Col.s 2 and 3 Increase		Comparison Between Col.s 2 and 3 Decrease		Comparison Between Col.s 3 and 4. Increase		Comparison Between Col.s 3 and 4. Decrease	
	Ps.	A P	Ps.	A P.	Ps.	A P	Ps.	A P	Ps.	A P	Ps.	A P	Ps.	A P.
Fasly Assessment. Dry	967532	4 10	1001118	10 11	1017624	7 8	33586	6 1	"	" "	16505	12 9	"	" "
Garden	457664	6 9	467944	10 8	475968	10 7	10280	3 11	"	" "	8023	15 11	"	" "
Wet	465347	5 "	465980	8 5	452419	8 "	6333	5	"	" "	"	" "	13561	" 5
Total	1890544	" 7	1935043	14 "	1946012	10 3	44499	13 5	"	" "	10968	12 3	"	" "
Cowle Dry	17235	13 6	15116	7 9	10124	12 5	"	" "	2089	5 9	"	" "	5021	11 4
Garden	3172	" 5	2304	5 6	1944	7 9	"	" "	809	10 11	"	" "	359	13 9
Wet	7160	4 3	7214	2 4	18812	7 4	8311	4 1	"	" "	11568	5 "	"	" "
Total	27568	22 2	24694	15 7	30881	11 6	"	" "	2873	2 7	6186	11 11	"	" "
Garden converted into Dry	23746	6 9	26953	9 4	26877	9 10	3207	2 7	"	" "	"	" "	75	15 6
Wet Ditto	4736	12 7	4789	4 4	4830	15 9	52	7 9	"	" "	41	11 5	"	" "
Shavé or withered Crops	13	3 9	32	4 1	20	8 7	19	" 4	"	" "	"	" "	11	11 6
Inam Dry	53333	5 9	47262	8 4	42421	4 "	"	" "	6070	13 5	"	" "	4840	10 4
Garden	9820	2 10	5742	15 7	4583	9 4	"	" "	4077	3 3	"	" "	1159	6 3
Wet	407	3 36	"	5 "	"	" "	"	" "	46	10 "	"	" "	36	" 5
Total	63193	15 10	53041	8 4	47005	7 4	"	" "	10152	7 6	"	" "	6036	1 "
Total Dry	1038101	8 1	1063527	11 "	1070171	2 1	25426	2 11	"	" "	6643	7 1	"	" "
Garden	494403	" 9	502945	9 1	509374	5 6	8542	8 4	"	" "	6428	12 5	"	" "
Wet	477298	" 10	478082	3 7	476083	7 8	784	2 9	"	" "	"	" "	1998	11 11
Total	2009802	9 8	2041555	7 8	2055628	15 3	34752	14 "	"	" "	14073	7 7	"	" "
Deduct 1/5th and 1/8th Remissions and Neglected transferred to this Collectorate	2675	9 3	6512	8 2	2461	11 "	3836	14 11	"	" "	"	" "	4050	13 2
Remaining Settlement	2007127	" 5	2038042	15 6	2053167	4 3	30915	15 1	"	" "	15124	4 9	"	" "
Add Ready Money	83793	1 11	94440	10 3	107186	1 9	10647	8 4	"	" "	12745	7 6	"	" "
Total	2090920	2 4	2132483	9 9	2160353	6 "	41563	7 5	"	" "	27869	12 3	"	" "
Poligars Peishcush	28019	9 10	28019	9 10	28019	9 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
G. C. & F. Total	2118939	12 2	2160503	3 7	2188372	15 10	41563	7 5	"	" "	27869	12 3	"	" "

of the Neilgherry Hills transferred from the Malabar District, exhibits a net increase in the former of Rupees 41,563—7—5 above Fusly 1251 and in the latter of Rupees 27869—12—3. above the Net Settlement of Fusly 1252—

Paras 8—9. Report for fusly 1252

6. The Principal Collector deemed it advisable to regulate the present Assessment on lands occupied for Plantations of Coffee in the Paringanaad division of the Neilgherry Hills with reference to the Superior Poonjah rate of the Village; and thus he has assessed lands in which Coffee has been grown in the 8th. class of land paying the teerwa of Rupee 1—7—6. per Bullah at the rate of the first class of Poonjah lands in the Village, or Rupees 3—8—5 per Bullah. Adverting to the fact that this cultivation is carried on from Hill Streams diverted by the growers themselves for the purpose—the same rules under which they would be allowed to occupy lands under similar circumstances in any part of the District would appear to apply to them—But adverting to the fact that the growers whether European or Native are Capitalists from the low Country, that the improved condition of the land has been effected by them and that Coffee does not yield an immediate return the Board question the

1 Bullah—4 Acres nearly.

the propriety of any alteration of Assessment. This view acquires strength from the consideration that the Burghers may be induced hereafter to attempt the cultivation of Coffee and other new products if no alteration of assessment is made. The subject requires the further consideration of the Principal Collector and the Board would be glad to be favored with his sentiments upon this point as well as in what light an enhanced or Garden Teerwah would be viewed by the growers. The Board do not understand why the Burghers are not allowed to raise other than the inferior descriptions of Grain on any land; it is presumed that the cultivation of Coffee may be carried on by them and if they are disposed to take advantage of the introduction of this valuable product in the Hills there can be no objection to their occupancy of lands for the purpose on the same terms as other speculators.—

See late orders of Government respecting the occupancy of lands by parties in the Public Service

Para 15 Report for Fasly 1252.

The conversion of dry lands allotted for the remuneration of Village Officers into gardens or wet lands, if it is in accordance with the rates provided for such conversion by all other Ryots is not objectionable. all that is necessary is to secure to the Government the additional rent to which the land becomes liable by such

such conversion leaving the Village officers in the enjoyment of the emoluments they would derive from the land annexed to their Offices. This was understood to have been done in Fasly 1251 but in Fasly 1252 it appears that the Principal Collector has allowed "the Village officers to "retain a portion of the lands in satisfaction "of their emoluments, and the excess has been "carried to the account of Government, or where "this could not be done the whole extent was "brought to account and fresh lands given "in lieu of it." A Village officer cannot be debarred from improving the land annexed to his Office and if he pays the additional rent he cannot be deprived of it so long as he is allowed to perform his duties. The resumption of his land in part or in whole is an error which the Principal Collector will be pleased to rectify, and bearing in Mind that no fresh lands can be given in lieu of those which have already been annexed by the State to a Village officer without the sanction of Government he will cause such lands as may have been carried to the account of Government in consequence of their having been converted into Gardens or Nunjah lands to be restored to those persons who are entitled to

Principal Coll.rs report Fasly 1251 29th. October in Cons.s 10th. Nov.r 1812 Para 52.

Principal Coll.rs Rep.t for Fasly 1252 25th. October 1813 Para 15.

held

hold them; and credit the increased assessment as before under the Head of fixed Sourmadayem.

Paras 30 & 37. Report for fasly 1252.

8. The subject of Kaim or Permanent Cowles has been so frequently discussed and the Government having been pleased to confirm the Proceedings of the Board, which determined that they should no longer be allowed to appertain to the Settlement of the Coimbatore District, The Board feel persuaded that a recurrence to the subject again will only tend to promote unnecessary correspondence, to the embarrassment of the public interests - and they resolve in reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin to solicit the final orders of the Government, which may put an end to further discussion of the question: so that the Principal Collector may be directed to carry out the orders of the Board which have already received its sanction and entirely discontinue the pernicious system of these Cowles.

Boards Proceedings 5th. April 1840.
From Govt. 29th. May inbons: 17th. June 1841.
Boards Proceedings 5th. April 1841
From Govt. 17th. Inbons: 27th. July -
Boards Proceedings 2d. May 1842.
Do. — Do. — 1st. June 1843
From Govt. 29th. July inbons: 7th. Augt.

Princl. Coll.rs Report fasly 1252 Paras-19-20-21 & 22

The subject of the Cowle proposed to be allowed in the Villages of Animalli and Coolour will be considered in Separate Proceedings. -

In

9. In reference to the two Cowles granted by the Collector of Malabar in the Village of Segoor they would seem to have been entered by mistake under the Head of Permanent Cowle, and to belong properly to the Head of Ordinary Cowle to which head the Principal Collector is requested to transfer these items correcting also the terms of these Cowles which are not consistent with that established in the Coimbatore District. The sum of 15 Rupees belongs properly to the Cowle granted to one Vencataramaniah, and not to that of Mr. James as stated by the Principal Collector. -

10. The Board observe that the deficiency in the land originally entered in the Survey Accounts of Ettemaddy and Poodoor is not distributed over the whole Ayacut but is confined to particular spots now under cultivation, and on a reference to the Statements B and C Submitted in explanation of adjustment of this deficiency it is remarked that some fields are altogether wanting. Their extent as entered in the original survey is on remeasurement omitted, and in calculating the deficiency of measurement in the particular spots under cultivation this deficiency in some instances

In the Villages of Ettemaddy Nos. 82 & 74 Poodoor Nos. 91 -

instances is within 10 per Cent. In the 2 Villages the deficiency of cultivated land actually found on re-measurement Amounts to Pullas 42 5/2 while the extent of Ayacut in both is Pullahs, 1454 — 3as as entered in the above Statements.

Memo in Para 39

	Bal	as
Estimate per Survey rate	107	9/4
Estimate per Measurement	125	3/4
Difference	42	5/2

	Bal:	as
Ettemudday	1067	5
Podoor	386	14
	1454	3.

The Board do not concur with the Principal Collector in the opinion that the surveyors can only take a correct measurement of cultivated lands, particularly when so large an extent of land in these Villages is shewn to have been jungle lands brought under cultivation. The Accounts now submitted cannot therefore be received in explanation of the deficiency in the lands originally entered in the Survey accounts and the Principal Collector is requested to take care that the measurement may be made complete by including both cultivated and waste, and in the examination of the whole extent of lands according to the original Survey records, it is expected that the fields which have been altogether omitted may be brought to account and the deficiency in the fields which have been cultivated be discovered. The Board desire that the rule under which a

a deficiency of measurement by survey in Dry and Wet lands has been regulated in the Coimbatore District may be pointed out to them in the mean time until the actual extent of all the lands in the Villages of Ettamady and Podoor is ascertained by remeasurement they are unable to acquiesce in the remission of demand on the particular spots now under cultivation. On comparing the Statements B and C now submitted with the Statement No. 20 received with the Settlement Accounts for Fusly 1251 there is a discrepancy as shewn in the Margin which the Board request may be explained.

	As per Statement No. 20 Enclosure in the Summabundy Report for Fusly 1251.		As per Statements B & C Enclosures in the Summabundy report for Fusly 1252		Difference	
	B	a	B	a	B	a
Ettemaday	974	8	1067	5	92	13
Podoor	363	1/2	386	14	23	13/2
	1337	8/2	1454	3	116	10/2

Para 43 Summa Taarwa

11. The Board have considered the arguments offered by the Principal Collector for the continuance of the charge of half garden rent on garden lands cultivated with Poonjah produce which is opposed to the rules of the existing Dootcummanah. This charge is not affected by the rule under which lands are permitted to be given up in due proportion of "Good and Bad" such rule relates to the abandonment of entire fields and not to the demand

demand upon a portion of a field assessed with garden rent, which for the convenience of the Ryot or from the State of the Well or of the Season is cultivated with common Poonjah. It does not follow that because such portion may be assessed with the Teerwa demandable on Poonjah lands of similar description and quality (not in the same neighbourhood only the demand may be always less than the rate of half Garden rent which has heretofore been imposed or that the Government

Para 43. will experience such a loss as is anticipated by the Principal Collector. The calculation in the Memorandum given in para 43 of the

Report on Fasly 1252. Principal Collector's report appears to have been made according to the Estimated rate of one fourth of ordinary Garden Assessment, without reference to the Assessment of the Poonjah lands whether in the same or in an adjoining Village of similar capability and class. On examining this Memorandum the Board observe that the average assessment per Bulla on the extent of 1929-14. Bullas assessed at Rupees 30054-1-7 will Amount to Rupees 15 9as. If therefore in a garden of ten bullas two only are cultivated with garden produce, and the remainder bearing only a Common Poonjah crop is assessed at half

garden

garden rent, the Government might sustain a considerable loss and the Ryot gain an undue advantage and vice versa but if this portion is charged with the assessment of Poonjah lands of similar description and quality the relative interests of the Government and of the Ryot will be in no way infringed. Thus for the sake of example if Garden land is cultivated to the extent and in the manner shewn as follows the Government or the Ryot might be the loser by the imposition of half Garden Rent Viz.th

	Bullahs	Assessment per Bulla	Total Assessment
Extent of Garden	10	15	150
Garden cultivation	2	15	30
Poonjah Cultivation	8	15	120
Deduct Remission of half Garden rents			60
Remaining Settlement			60

If however the above Poonjah cultivation is charged with the rent of land of similar description and quality it may be found to be 1st class Poonjah assessed at 10½ Rupees per Bulla or on

Bullahs	Assessment per Bulla	Total Assessment
8.	10-8-	84.

Present Settlement - - - - - - 60.

The difference to Government will be 24 on the other

land

hand if the land of similar capability is of the 8th class and assessed at the rate of Rs 3 8 per Bulla thus 8 38 28

Present Settlement 60

Loss to the Ryot 32

Seeing therefore that in the working of this principle the just rights of the Government and of the Ryot will be maintained the Board are of opinion that the provisions of Govt Hookumnamah should be followed and they feel satisfied that if in the settlement of the ensuing Fusly the adjustment of the demand on portions of Garden land cultivated with Poonjah produce is regulated by the Assessment of Poonjah lands of similar capability and class a loss of Revenue such as is now anticipated by the Principal Collector will not ensue It is understood however that this remission of Garden rent will only be permitted when garden produce cannot be raised-

Para 44 12 With reference to rule 8 of the Hookumnamah the Board approve of the view taken by the Principal Collector - the intention of this rule is to prevent the Ryots from spoiling or neglecting their Wells in the expectation of obtaining a remission of demand while they can still raise superior Garden pro-duce

produce - If bowle is required for the restoration of their Wells there can be no objection to their receiving it on the Principal Collector being satisfied that it is absolutely necessary.-

Para 55 13 The Principal Collector will be pleased to make further enquiry into the causes of the exemption in the Village of Canatalcodavoo from the established rule for the payment of 2 crops rent on Nunjah land and if the privilege has been assumed without sufficient authority the usual assessment should be charged - The Board presume that the cultivators keep only such portion of the works of irrigation in repair as enable them to cultivate their own land which depend for a supply of water upon sources provided by Government - The Principal Collector will however explain what works of irrigation the Ryots themselves keep in repair and whether any outlay has been made by the Government in their works and to what amount submitting at the same time a statement shewing the extent of 2 crop land and 1 crop land and their assess=ment on the Villages alluded to-

Para 56-57 14- Before acceding to the proposition of the Principal Collector for the entertainment of an Establishment for recopying the survey accounts of the District the Board would desire to

to receive a Statement shewing the condition of these important records in each Talook, with information as to the causes which have led to their obliteration and decay, and shewing in how many Villages they are complete, to what extent they are dificient, and what measures have been taken for their preservation

The result of the examination of the survey accounts of some Villages in Fusly 1252 requires explanation the details in Statement E. exhibit an increase to the amount of Rupees 14261-4-3

By Examination	9,674	9	10
By Remeasurements	4,586	10	5
	14261	4	3

by examination of the survey accounts and by remeasurement of land but the particulars of this increase in dry, Garden or wet land are not shewn in Statement No. 5. nor does not appear that any allowance has been made for a decrease which might be expected on such investigation. The Board will await a further report on this subject.

Para 59.

15. With respect to the identification of fields as described in the Agent the Board will be glad to know what measures the Principal Collector would propose to secure the integrity of the boundaries of Fields as declared by Survey.

They

17 Where the boundary stones put down at the survey, have been removed, or lost, they are to be replaced in the following manner

1st If removed from fields still occupied by Kadeem ryots or their descendants they shall be replaced by the occupant

2d But if the land be occupied by a new ryot the boundaries shall be restored in one of the two following ways

1st If the Merasedar of the Village was the renter during the decennial lease and if he or his descendants continue to hold the Meras the stones shall be replaced by them

2d If the Village was rented to any other person, the Reddy and Curnum shall cause the Village servants to replace the boundary stones; this is just, because it is thro their carelessness, that the original limits have been lost.

3d In the Maunum lands, the boundaries to be replaced by the Mauniemdars.

4th In the Sircar waste lands, at the expense of the Sircar.

They would suggest the adaption of the rule laid down by the Board in their instructions for carrying on the cultivation in the Bellary District in Fusly 1234, copy of which is rendered in the Margin as far as local circumstances will permit for rectifying any confusion that may have arisen in the relative boundaries of fields, which should, in all practicable cases, be marked by stones. and with proper precautions it at the time of making the Settlement for the Principal Collector to arrange with the Ryots that their fields may be thus distinguished without interfering with their ordinary occupation

occupation.

Para 62

16 The Principal Collector solicits sanction for writing off the sum of Rupees 1552 14 8 on account of lands which from the depression of the price of Grain and other local circumstances could not be cultivated He does not state that these lands are in the holding of poor Ryots, but that such is the case the Board do not doubt, and they accordingly recommend that the sanction of Government may be given for the remission of this sum. They however desire that the Principal Collector will cause an entry to be made hereafter in the Puttahs of these Ryots that in conformity with the Hookoomnamah no claim for remission will be admitted for lands which they hold without cultivating them, when they have the means of doing so.

Report on the Settlement of Fusly 1253 Para 10

17- The Board see no objection to that portion of the Neilgherry Hills within the Peringanad Division being again annexed to the Talook of Potakamund, and to the transfer of the Village of Soondapatty to the Coimbatore Talook They would have preferred that a given time had been allowed for these changes in order that the Ryots might

might have been prepared for them but as no complaint or opposition has been made they will trust that due care will be taken to prevent confusion.

Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1250 Dated 2d May 1842. Para 18

From the Prin.l Coll.r 30th Nov.r in Jud.l & Dep.t 1844- Fusly 1253- Para 19

18 In reference to their instructions the Principal Collector has submitted to the Board with the accounts of the settlement of Fusly 1253 a Statement No. 18 purporting to shew the manner in which all Grass lands in excess of one fifth are carried to account This excess is shewn to be 580 Bullahs of Punjah and Garden lands which have been now given for cultivation but it appears also from the Statement now received that an allotment of waste has been allowed to make up the proportion of one fifth of a Patent Farm - Perumbooke waste has also been given to those Ryots who had no Grass lands and other Ryots have been allowed to retain "Grass lands for Fusly 1253 on "Parnoopillwaary" Grass tenure paying a rent according to the number of cattle grazing in them - The particulars of the land so granted for the current year only are not shewn in the Statement - The Board did not expect that land would be given to make up the complement of one fifth of the cultivated land of any Ryot - If a Ryot held ten bullahs of land

land and appropriated only one bullah per pasture age it was never intended that he should be allowed to hold additional Waste without paying full Teerwa and in like manner the Board do not approve of waste being appropriated to Ryots who possess no Grass lands. Upon these principles the Principal Collector will be guided hereafter in regulating the distribution

2d May 1842 of Grass lands and with reference to para 18 of their proceedings, The Board request he will furnish a Statement shewing the number of Ryots who hold Grass lands in excess of one fifth of their Farms the number of Ryots whose Grass lands are less than one fifth and of the Ryots who have no Grass whatever distinguishing the extent of those lands held under cultivation, and Grass with their assessment and Settlement.

19 The Statement which the Principal Collector has submitted No 19 shewing in detail the nature and extent of the Enam lands proposed to be exchanged which are now held by Village officers does not in the opinion of the Board sufficiently justify the application for that indulgence Referring to Column 9 of this statement the number of years during which the Enam lands have never been cultivated vary from one to eight years, and the chief claimants for the exchange of lands are the Monegars and Curnums of the Villages enumerated in the Statement

The

The short periods during which their lands have been unproductive may be accounted for by their possessing other lands which it may be more for their own interest to cultivate and if they have neglected their Enam lands they can have no claim to seek an exchange for better land. The Board cannot recommend the exchange proposed for sanction unless it is clearly shewn that the lands allotted for their services respectively have been deteriorated by causes over which they could have no control. It is not shown for what period the fresh lands proposed in column 12 to be given in exchange have been waste. In submitting any further application on this subject the Principal Collector will be pleased to supply this information.

Para 23 20. Before complying with the suggestion of the Principal Collector that a reduction may be made in the Nunjah assessment of the Village of Mandapoor in the Cheyoor Talook the Board would be glad to have before them an account shewing the original survey assessment of these lands and how they are irrigated if they were assessed originally at 2 crop rent and can only be cultivated with one crop. Remission might be allowed as Fasal cummi. but they will await further information on the subject.

21. The following Abstract Statement exhibits the result of the Collections in Fuslies 1252 and 1253 and the arrears which were outstanding on account of each Fusly at the end of March 1845.

Fusly 1252 Para 61.

Settlement Rupees	2160503 3 7
Collection within Fusly	2142485 8 2
Balance	18017 11 5
Subsequent Collection	12239 10 7
Remaining Balance up to 31st March 1845	5778 1 4
Deduct amount to be remitted on account of Waste land included in the Settlement P. 62	1552 14 8
Remaining Balance	4225 2 8

Fusly 1252 Para 25

Settlement	2188372 15 10
Collection within Fusly	2173892 15 2
Balance	14480 . 8
Subsequent Collection	8803 13 4
Remaining Balance up to 31st March 1845	5676 3 4

Deducting

Collectors Report on the Settlement of Fusly 1253 Para 28

22. Deducting the sum of Rupees 1552-14-8 the arrears outstanding for previous years from fusly 1248 to fusly 1252 inclusive amounting to Rupees 3,640-12-7 are stated to be upon enquiry irrecoverable. With respect to a further sum of Rupees 3,793 11-1 which in his report on the settlement of fusly 1252 was stated by the Principal Collector to be difficult of immediate adjustment, he proposes to furnish more detailed particulars in his next report. The Board are desirous of having a full explanation of these arrears on account of both fuslies, and they request that the Principal Collector will make them the subject of a separate letter at his earliest convenience.

Statement in para 23 Col 7

23. The Board have been called upon to record their sentiments on numerous questions which have been submitted by the Principal Collector for their considerations and they have derived from this discussion the satisfaction of observing the deep interest he has taken in the welfare of the District under his charge. As the Sub Collector Mr. John Bird has remarked with respect to the settlement of his Division in Fusly 1252 the result of that year throughout the District and of Fusly 1253 also "proves that the country is gradually progressing "to its former standard of Revenue" and the Board feel assured that no exertions on the part of Mr. Wroughton and of his subordinates will be wanting to promote this end.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information and guidance.

Read

Read the following Report of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of the Assessment of the Wet lands in the Villages of Anemalle and Cotoor in the Pulachey Talook of that District

51

51

In Cons. 16th July 1845. dated 18th June 1845.

Here enter No. 46.

Proceedings thereon.- In their Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1251 (A.D. 1841/42) the Board observed that the return to the Survey rates had been effected without difficulty in the Pulachey Talook, but they have learnt from the Principal Collector's report on the Settlement of the Land Revenue in Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1842/43) that the arrangement by which one half of the difference between Mr. Sullivan's Cowle and the Survey rates of Assessment in the Villages of Anemalle and Cotoor in that Talook was charged in Fusly 1251 (A.D. 1841/42) and the other half in Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1842/43) in which the Ryots had already acquiesced for one year, was clamorously opposed by them and they one and all determined upon the relinquishment of their lands. On his proceeding to the Spot the Principal Collector, time not admitting of a reference, on his own responsibility, suspended the operation of the final order, until he could lay the circumstances of these Villages

Recording observations on a report from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of the Assessment of the Wet lands in the Villages of Anemalle and Cotoor in the Pulachey Talook of that District & stating that the Board withhold their sanction to any abatement of the Survey Assessment on these lands.- 25th Oct. 1843 to 23rd November 1843. Para 11.

before

before the Board.—

Proc. on F. 1251 13th June 1815 Para 12

2. The Board were fully sensible that some dissatisfaction doubtless would be created by the recall of privileges so long enjoyed as the Principal Collector himself had apprehended. but they were not prepared that he would so readily give way to the clamours of the parties interested and with respect to the step he has taken the Board are constrained to remark that they are unable to support the assumption of a responsibility which thus sets aside a measure deliberately discussed and approved of by them. and the propriety of such a proceeding they will leave to the consideration of Government.—

Same 18th 1814. 3. In the report recorded above on the condition of the Wet lands of Ammalle and Cotoor, the Principal Collector has represented that on

Paras 3. "these lands from the peculiarity of their position "and the constant ravages of wild Animals which "are destructive and numerous do not appear "to have been cultivated with confidence by

Paras 5 to 17. "the Ryots at any time." and he proceeds to give in detail the various modes of settlement which have been followed during the period of 45 years in these Villages.

Of

Of the data upon which these details are furnished the Board have no record and no means of judging. It is stated that when the lands were

Para 8. Wet rate. surveyed and assessed in Fusly 1212 A.D. 1802/3 the rates of assessment were determined as shewn in the Margin.—

		Rs	A	P
Puddegay or alluvial	1st Class	17	10	..
Tenkaresel or Red & Black mixed Sand or red	Do	11	12	..
Do	2d Do	8	13	..
Do	3d Do	7	11	5
Manal or Sandy	1st Do	6	9	9
Semmanal or red Sandy	2d Do	7	11	5
Do	3d Do	6	9	9
Seoul	1st Do	5	8	2
Do	2d Do	5	14	..
Seoul	3d Do	5	12	4
		5	2	3.

Moderate rate.—

Manal or Sandy	1st Class	4	15	4
Ditto	2d Do	4	6	6
Calur or Salt soil	3d Do	2	15	..

On reference to a Statement in their records of the rates of assessment Established throughout the District of Coimbatore which was submitted by Mr Sullivan under date 15th of August 1823 at the requisition of the Board. it is observed that in the two Villages in question the rate of Punjah assessment was fixed at 3/4ths of the original survey rate, in consequence of the Cultivation being exposed to Wild Elephants and other Animals. The Principal Collector has not adverted to this Statement. or to the fact that the rate at which he has stated the assessment

In Cons. 25th Aug. 1823

to

to have been determined was in reality 3/4ths of the original assessment to meet the difficulties which existed at the time of the Survey and which are stated to prevail at the present time.

from Para 5. to 17. 4. With respect to the details now submitted it is to be remarked that the amount of the Settlement of the Wet lands only of the Villages in question is exhibited - there are no particulars of the extent of the Wet lands actually cultivated and of the dry lands brought under cultivation in each year successively on of the original assessment and of the other sources of Revenue upon which the Settlement of these Villages was determined. Nor has it been shown what was the amount of Collections and of arrears outstanding in each year. The Records of the Board however supply much of this information and in the following Statement

Statement it will be observed that since Fusly 1232 (A.D. 1822/23) the Revenue derived from all sources in the Village of Animalle has increased from Rupees 10,874—4—2. in that Fusly to Rupees 17,726—4—— in Fusly 1253 (A.D. 1843/44) and in Cotoor from Rupees 1,871—8—6. to Rupees 5,304—8—10. during the same period.—

Fuslies.

6556

Fort St. George

26th May 1845.

Annualle.

Collcor.

Fusilies.		Assessment of Wet Land.	Assessment of Dry Land including ready money Collection	Total.	Assessment of Wet Land.	Assessment of Dry Land including ready money Collection	Total.	Marches.		Settlements.	
								pollium.			
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
		Rupees As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P		Rs As P	
1	1232	7.997 " 3	2.877 3 11	10.874 4 2	1.056 1 "	815 7 6	1.871 8 6	665 12 4		671 13 5	
2	1233	7.873 15 9	2.526 11 4	10.400 11 1	1.059 " "	869 4 3	1.928 4 3	626 " 3		739 1 1	
3	1234	7.915 4 9	2.975 4 "	10.890 8 9	1.057 8 "	903 13 6	1.961 5 6	666 10 10		817 6 5	
4	1235	7.943 11 6	2.985 " 6	10.928 15 "	1.057 8 "	930 2 10	1.927 10 10	379 11 9		908 4 2	
5	1236	7.945 10 1	2.929 9 2	10.875 3 3	1.059 4 6	919 2 5	1.978 6 11	406 5 1		926 9 11	
6	1237	7.950 5 5	3.198 4 10	11.148. 10 3	1.046 3 "	895 3 10	1.941 5 10	409 9 7		963 12 5	
7	1238	8.203 14 8	3.040 11 1	11.244 9 9	859 1 9	868 2 10	1.727 4 7	367 11 11		921 2 9	
8	1239	8.314 2 1	2.938 14 10	11.253 " 11	1.018 1 "	854 13 2	1.872 14 2	777 12 4		957 9 "	
9	1240	8.582 15 4	3.151 15 4	11.734 14 8	1.073 10 3	1.063 15 3	2.137 9 6	723 13 10		969 13 "	
10	1241	8.467 12 "	3.237 15 11	11.705 11 11	1.396 12 9	1.192 4 4	2.589 1 1	654 15 11		682 10 8	
11	1242	8.585 15 10	2.593 4 11	11.179 4 9	1.393 8 6	1.303 14 11	2.697 7 5	720 15 11		1.193 7 10	
12	1243	8.713 11 9	2.624 2 "	11.337 13 9	1.582 3 4	1.489 1 6	3.071 4 10	647 1 "		1.487 13 2	
13	1244	8.707 5 8	2.754 10 6	11.462 " 2	1.912 11 1	1.536 3 7	3.448 14 8	537 13 4		1.428 5 7	
14	1245	8.738 9 6	2.726 15 6	11.465 9 "	1.931 9 3	1.443 13 11	3.375 7 2	441 11 2		1.511 11 6	
15	1246	8.737 2 4	2.971 15 5	11.709 1 9	1.931 9 1	1.231 13 9	3.163 6 10	427 14 3		1.477 8 3	
16	1247	8.732 7 5	2.968 5 8	11.700 13 1	2.112 10 7	1.416 2 11	3.528 13 6	510 10 7		1.591 5 1	
17	1248	8.730 4 10	3.680 8 6	12.410 13 4	1.449 4 7	1.905 9 6	3.354 14 1	791 11 3		1.906 2 7	
18	1249	8.757 10 6	3.346 3 8	12.103 14 2	2.039 14 10	1.629 11 6	3.669 10 4	1.142 4 "		1.913 " 5	
19	1250	8.802 11 8	4.098 4 8	12.901 " 4	2.102 1 9	1.994 9 8	4.096 11 5	1.440 " 6		1.921 10 10	
20	1251			16.859 2 2			5.175 11 11	1.717 11 8		2.020 14 7	
21	1252			20.409 12 5			5.614 11 "	2.223 8 9		2.039 13 6	
22	1253			17.726 4 "			5.304 8 10	2.165 1 8		2.068 12 5	

The

The revenue derived from Poonjah lands is nearly doubled in both Villages shewing clearly that the obstruction from Wild Animals, and the unhealthiness of the locality have not operated, to restrict their cultivation. The Revenues of two Villages Marchinaicpollium and Jellipetty situated in the same Talook in the same neighbourhood and partaking of the same local disadvantages are also shewn in this Statement, they exhibit an annual increase in the Settlements from Fusly 1232 / A.D. 1822/23 and yet no abatement of demand has ever been allowed in the Wet lands of these Villages on account of Wild Animals and other causes.—

5. It would seem that exposure to Wild Animals and unhealthy locality are not the only grounds upon which a remission is urged. The Principal Collector subjoins a Statement to Para 20 of his report shewing the capabilities / of the Wet lands only / in the 2 Villages in question, the extent of land occupied for the cultivation of Dhrupire and exhibiting also the lands bearing a moderate assessment on which he considers no reduction necessary and the lands which in his opinion do require relief. From the Statement it appears that the Dhrupire lands assessed at Rupees 17—10 per Cawney and lands assessed

18th June 1846.

Aminallie and Cotoor.

below

below 5 Rupees per Cawney require no exemption from the survey rates but the Principal Collector proposes that the assessment shall be fixed on all lands in the Village of Aminallie assessed above 5 Rupees indiscriminately at one uniform rate of 5 Rupees per Cawney. "I am of opinion" "he states" that the Complaints of the "Ryots merit the favorable consideration of the Board. "They solicit that the demand of 14 / four Rupees a "Cawney which was fixed by Mr. Whish should be "continued, but I am disposed to consider that this is "too low and would therefore recommend 5 Rupees "a Cawney as the fair scale of assessment." The grounds on which this recommendation is made are not clearly perceptible. but according to the Statement above referred to lands in Aminallie assessed at Rupees 17—10 per Cawney would be charged at the same rate of teerwa as lands assessed at Rupees 5—8.2 per Cawney. Lands also of the first Class in Aminallie assessed at Rupees 17—10 are proposed to be reduced to Rupees 6 per Cawney. while lands of the second and third Classes in Cotoor rated at Rupees 11—12, and Rupees 8—13 respectively are proposed to be fixed at Rupees 6 per Cawney the Board cannot suppose that the capabilities of these lands should have charged so much as to

require

require such an alteration of Assessment and again 580 Cawnies in Aninalli assessed at the rate of Rups 7–11–5. per Cawney are recommended to be reduced to Rupees 5 per Cawney – while 92 Cawnies of the same class of land in Cotoor are proposed to be rated at Rupees 6 per Cawney – Lands rated at Rupees 6–9–9. per Cawney in Cotoor are proposed to be divided into two classes of which Cawnies 59 are to be charged at the rate of Rupees 5 per Cawney and Cawnies 17. at Rupees 6 per Cawney looking to the Statements in detail – The Board are unable to judge why

Nos 1 and 2.

Aninalli and Cattoor.

As per Survey account.						Proposed assessment by Mr. Wroughton.
Two Crop assessment.			One Crop assessment			
Rupees	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rupees
17	10	"	"	"	"	5
11	12	"	"	"	"	6
8	13	"	8	13	"	"
"	"	"	7	11	5	"
"	"	"	6	9	9	"
"	"	"	5	8	2	"
"	"	"	5	2	3	"
"	"	"	4	6	6	"
"	"	"	3	10	9	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"

lands of one and the same class should thus bear different rates of assessment. The attention of the Principal Collector would not seem to have been directed to the extent of the Wet lands in Aninalli and Cotoor which was originally assessed with two Crop and one Crop rent nor would he seem to have borne in mind that his suggestion "for the sake of

Para 19.

"of simplicity" to fix one uniform rate of assessment would effectually subvert the classification by which these lands have been brought to account in the General Survey of the District –

6. It may be further remarked that the proposition of Mr. Wroughton to fix an easy rent at one uniform rate of 5 Rupees per Cawney on the Wet lands of Aninalli does not provide for any distinction whether these lands are cultivated or not – The Board infer from Marginal Statement of Para 17 of his letter that the reduction of the Survey assessment by Mr. Whish indiscriminately on all lands has enabled the Ryots to appropriate their lands for pasturage and thus to secure the profits they have derived from letting their fields to be grazed by the Cattle of other Villages in the dry season, they have on the plea of their land being waste urged their claims for a reduction of the rent which they had agreed to pay according to the full survey rent. and the Board are disposed to attach the greater weight to this impression from observing that Mr. Wroughton in his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 has shown that the Ryots of the adjoining Village were willing to occupy the Wet lands of Aninalli paying the Survey assessment

29th October 1842 to 10th Nov. 1842 Para 22.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

assessment. The Board will only add that by fixing an uniform rate at 5 Rupees per Cawney the richer and more substantial Ryots would obviously have an advantage over those in poorer circumstances and taking every argument into consideration which has been urged by the Principal Collector they are persuaded that the claims to exemption from the authorized assessment which has been so clamorously sought on the lands in question are neither justified by the circumstances of the Ryots - or by the condition of the lands they now occupy - Wild Animals can no longer be received as a ground for remission because lands assessed as Dry[illegible] and below 5 Rupees the Cawney in which it is recommended that no change of assessment shall be made are still as liable to this evil as other Wet lands in the Villages in question - The Board accordingly resolve to withhold their sanction to any abatement of the survey assessment on these lands and to direct that the Principal Collector will take measures to secure the just demands of the Government.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information and guidance.

Read.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Read the following Letters.

52

To.
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Asst. Coll.r of Canara. — — Sir, / 5th Circt.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to request that you will obtain from me the instructions of the Board whether adverting to the peculiar circumstances under which the Cargo of the wreck Ceylon originally consigned to Bombay has been landed at Mangalore Import duty should be demanded on it either as regards such portion as may be exported by Sea to Bombay or such as may be sold at Mangalore -

2. With regard to the former it is to be observed that if manifested for re-export in the same Vessel it would have been free of Import and Export Duty under Section LVII of the Act but the manner in which it was brought to Mangalore precluded the observance of this form - If regularly imported it would have been entitled on re-export to drawback of the amount of Import duty less 1/8 if claimed - The act in question does not expressly provide for any system of bonding, otherwise as these goods have been kept at the Custom House they

Requesting instructions whether Import Duty should be demanded on the Cargo of the wreck Ceylon originally consigned to Bombay and subsequently landed at Mangalore -

1st M. Proceedings 4th January 1845
Board's Proc.s 2d Ditto. —
4th February —
5th March —

ORIGINAL / RETURNED / DEPT. REC.

they would have a claim to its advantages.

The question seems to be of no real consequence to the Revenue of India but merely whether the Duty should be levied at Mangalore or at Bombay.

3. As Mr. Robinson is despatching portion of the cargo to Bombay, I have provided him with the accompanying Certificate pending the orders of the Board.

4. With regard to such part of the cargo as may be sold at Mangalore I do not see any reason why it should not be charged with duty.

5. But I beg to be informed whether any and what Duty is to be levied on the old Cordage, anchors, chains, planks and other parts of the Ship, as also on the damaged Cabin Furniture.

Prin.l Coll.rs Cutcherry;
Mangalore, - - - - -
12th May 1845. - - - - -

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acg Princ.l Coll.r

This is to certify that the under-mentioned articles, being part of goods saved from the Ceylon wrecked on the Amee-dewi Island and landed at Mangalore have been permitted to be exported to Bombay on Board the Pattamar Ramthan Jindab Ladha without payment of Customs

Customs duty, pending the decision of the Board of Revenue at Madras on a reference made to them whether duty is leviable at the Port the Articles having been saved from a wrecked and originally consigned to another Port.

Articles.	No. of Packages.	Quantity	Value. Rupees	Rate of Duty.	Amount of Duty.
Sherry	105	Doz 333	3990	10 per cent	399 9 7
Champaign	128	" 137	4932	10 per cent	493 3 3
Porter	82	" 240	1470	3½ per cent	51 10 7
Port wine	70	" 210	3150	10 per cent	315 " "
Ditto in Pints	13	" 39	585	10 per cent	58 8 "
Brandy	30	" 90 Gal 25	- - - - -	9 Rup.s p.r Gal.	121 8 "
Pickles	80	" 80	1440	3½ per cent	50 6 5
Tart fruits	28	" 84	750	Ditto	26 7 4
					1576 5 2
				Stamp Duty	20 " "
					1536 5 2

The Contents of the undermentioned Seven Packages are not known.

5. Packages.

1. Box of Iron Machinery.

1. Box addressed to Capt.n Tweedale.

Prin.l Coll.rs Cutcherry;
Mangalore, - - - - -
12th May 1845. - - - - -

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acting Princ.l Coll.r

Resolved

6565

Fort St. George, 28th May, 1845

52-53

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the fore=going letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th July 1844 and the correspondence noted in the Margin—

From Govt. 5th Feb. 1845. No. 17.
To Do. 17th do do.
From D. 22nd March 1845 in Cons. 27th March. Sel. Cons. 5th Feb.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

54

Sir,

From the Asst. Pl. Coll. of Canara

Fort St. George.

I have the honor to trans=mit a Statement shewing the Sales of some of the lands proclaimed under the Board's Sanction dated 9th January and 26th February last, and to request the early confirmation of the same—

Princ. Coll's Cutcherry.
Mangalore, 14th May 1845.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Asst Princ. Collector

List—

6566

Fort St. George, 28th May, 1845

List of the Sales of the lands proclaimed for Confirmation by the Board in the Taluk of Canara—

Sales when recommended—

Nos in the List of the Collector—

Dates of the Boards Sanction thereto—

Dates of the Proclamations—

Names of the Purchasers—

Amounts—

Rupees—

1 — 9th January — Mahabala Mallya — 31 — 0

2 — 26th January — Narasimha Pai — 158 — "

3 — Ditto — Ditto — 30 — "

4 — Ditto — Ditto — 40 — "

5 — Ditto — Ditto — 30 — "

6 — Ditto — Ditto — 37 — 8

7 — Ditto — Maraddy Shanaya Shetty — 13 — "

8 — 9th January — Mahabala Mallya — 31 — "

9 — 26th January — Narasimha Pai — 158 — "

10 — Ditto — Ditto — 30 — "

11 — Ditto — Ditto — 40 — "

12 — Ditto — Ditto — 30 — "

13 — Ditto — Ditto — 37 — 8

14 — Ditto — Ditto — 13 — "

15 — Ditto — Maraddy Shanaya Shetty — 13 — "

Note. Lands Nos 3/19 23 mentioned in this List passed by the Board on the 12th February 1845 have been released from attachment and the arrears due thereon drawing Sea duly discharged—

Princ. Coll's Cutcherry,
Mangalore, 14th May 1845.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Asst Princ. Collector

(Resolution

545

Resolution thereon. The Board confirm the Sale of the lands reported in the Statement which accompanies the foregoing letter.

54-55

Read the following letter—

To. I. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

56

From the Coll.r of Madras. Sir, Para.

Requesting sanction for a refund of Rs. 9—5—0 entered in the Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1253.

Adverting to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on item No. 37 in the Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1253. communicated to me in your letter under date the 28th Ultimo, I have the honor to report that, on the 27th September 1843. 45 pieces of Native Cloth were imported by two Merchants, Lutchmia and Govindoo. who represented that the Rowannah from the place of export in Masulipatam was lost, on the road, and that they would produce an attested Copy so as to entitle them to a drawback of the Inland duty. In the meantime the full amount payable at Madras, Rupees 32-8-0 was paid here, and the Cloths were removed.

2. In October 1843 it was shewn by an attested Copy of the Rowannah that the Inland duty was actually paid by the Merchants was Rupees 9—5—0. This sum was accordingly refunded to them on the 21st of the same month. I beg leave, therefore, to request the necessary sanction for the disbursement.

Madras. 15th May 1845.

(Signed) I. A. Ruddleston
Coll.r of Madras.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To. The Chief Secretary to
Government

56-57

56

To the Chief Secr.y to Government
Dated 6th Feb.y 1845.

Sir. With reference to remarks of the Board on Item No. 37 in the Statement of Charges incurred without estimate in the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44. I am directed to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in

6569

14th in Cons. 26th May 1845.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

In Council the accompanying letter from Mr Hudleston reporting the circumstances under which the sum of Rupees 9-6-- being part of the duty levied at Madras on some Native Cloth imported from Masulipatam was refunded; and to recommend for sanction the disbursement, as under the explanation furnished by him.-

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George, 26th May 1845. } (Signed) J. Pycroft Secretary

Read.

6570

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

58

Sir

From the Coll.r of Madras & Register of Government Grants } 1.

Submitting application from Staff Serjt. W. Holmes for a fresh lease of land on the Neilgherries in substitution of No. 97 granted to Thomas Ray.

From Coll.r of Coimbatore 15th April 1845. From D.o 18th D.o

From Bs. 24th June 1841

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, an application from Staff Serjeant W. Holmes for a fresh lease of land, C.L. 5.924, at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Coimbatore, in substitution of No. 97 granted to Mr. Thomas Ray under the sanction of Government dated 21st June 1841.-

2.

As the lease to Mr Ray has been assigned over by a special Deed to Staff Serjeant Holmes, who has purchased the property thereon described for C Rs. 11000, I beg leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my issuing in lieu thereof, a separate Deed, by way of consent and ratification of the transfer, in the form submitted to the Board on the 19th March 1839, providing for the payment of Dail Rent at Rupees 5-4-- per Cawny on C.L. 2, on account of the two Houses which are now built within the Enclosure, and at Rs. 1-2-4 per Cawny on the remaining C.L. 2.5.924, according to the terms of the Notification at page 60 of the Fort St. George Gazette of date the 1st February 1837.-

To 2d Coll.r of Coimbatore 15th April 1845 From D.o 18th D.o

Madras 14th May 1845 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston Coll.r & Reg.r of Govt. Grants.

To,

6571 Fort St. George 26th May 1845

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir

I beg leave to inform you that I have purchased two Houses of Thomas Ray late staff Serjeant at this place, in January last, for the sum of (11000) eleven thousand Rupees to be paid by yearly instalments of 2000 Rupees, the Houses are situated a little above General Kings' late residence and under the Hill Called "St. Annes."

At the time I made the purchase I was led to believe, that I could not make an application to Government for the transfer of the property until the whole of the purchase money was paid. In a letter recently received from the House of Binny and Co. that Firm inform me from Legal advice, that from the nature of the assignment made between Mr. Ray and myself that it is absolutely necessary that the sanction of Government should be applied for before the deed can be considered legal. Under these circumstances I beg respectfully you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the transfer of the property to me in the usual way.

The

6572 Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

The Government Lease of the land is in the possession of Messrs. Binny and Co. Madras the Attornies of Mr. Ray, and if required I shall forward them to you with as little delay as possible.

Pottacamund 24th October 1844

(Signed) W. Holmes
Staff Serjeant

Pottacamund 3rd December 1844

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir

Agreeably to the request contained in your letter of the 28th of October last, and with reference to my letter to your address under date 24th October, I beg respectfully to forward the original Grants of the Houses, lately the property of Thomas Ray, Staff Serjeant of this place, for the purpose of new Grants being made to me as the purchaser of the above mentioned House and Ground.

I beg leave to forward also the Plan and Survey of the Ground.

(Signed) W. Holmes
Staff Serjeant

Resolution

Resolution thereon, The Board recommend that the Register of Government Grants may receive authority for issuing the deed applied for by Serjeant W. Holmes in lieu of the lease which was granted to Mr. Thomas Ray, at the rates of assessment recommended by Mr. Hudleston.—

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll. of Madras and Register of Government Grants

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, an application from Mr. Francis Fletcher for a fresh lease of certain land at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Coimbatore, Cl. 2: 335, which is included in the Deed, No. 15, issued to Mr. Charles Rounds with reference to the sanction of Government dated 30th August 1842.

Submitting an application from Mr. Francis Fletcher for a fresh lease of land at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Coimbatore

From Bd. of Rev. 5th Sept. 1845

2. In Para 2 of my letter under date the 20th November last, No. 119, I reported to the Board that of the original extent of Mr. Rounds' property he transferred the Cl. 2: 335 referred to above to Mr. Fletcher. He made this transfer by an Endorsement on the lease in his name. I therefore, beg leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my issuing in favor of Mr. Fletcher a separate Deed, by way of consent and ratification of the transfer in the form submitted to the Board on the 19th March 1832, at the same rates of assessment as those provided for by

by the Notifications at page 60 of the Fort St. George Gazette of date the 1st February 1837.

Madras 14th May 1845 } (Signed) S. H. Hudleston
Collector and
Register of Govt. Grants.

"Extract"

To S. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collr. of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I beg to enclose an attested Copy just received, of the assignment executed on the back of Government Grant, No. 15, by Mr. Chas. Rounds, and beg you will have the kindness to forward this my application to the Registrar of Government Grants Madras for the purpose of granting me a Government Lease for the Ground therein specified.

(True Extract)

Octacamund dated 30th April, 1845. } (Signed) S. C. Wroughton
Prin. Collr.

Resolution thereon. (60-61)

The Board recommend that the Register of Government Grants may receive authority for issuing the document applied for by Mr. Francis Fletcher for Co. 1-2-335 transferred to him by Mr. Charles Rounds, subject to the rates of assessment recommended by Mr. Hudleston.

Read

From the Collr. of Salem. (62.)

Contingent charges Sub. exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the quarter ending 31st March 1845.

Order thereon. (62-63)

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Asst. Collr. of Rajahmundry. (64)

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th May last.

From the Asst. Collr. of Guntoor. (65)

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th May last.

From the Collr. of Nellore. (66)

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th May last.

From the Pol. Collr. of Madura. (67)

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th May last.

Order on the above Statements. (64-68)

Order to lie over.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To The Acting Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to your Monthly Returns

(69)

To the Asst. Collr. of Guntoor.

Fort St. George 26th May 1845

Returns of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for March and April 1845, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to explain the particular circumstances under which in the three last cases of the former Returns and in the last case but three of the latter, such heavy fines as Rupees 500- 200- 150- and 75- respectively, with long periods of imprisonment in commutation have been awarded. The Board further desire that you will be pleased to dispose of the remaining cases entered in those Returns as early as practicable, it being some time since the charges were preferred against the accused parties.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
26th May 1845

(Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary

70

To the Collr of Salem

To The Collector of Salem.

Sir,

With reference to the 9th Para of my letter of the 20th Instant I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying list of letters received by the Board from your Cutcherry from the beginning of the

Fort St. George 26th May 1845.

year 1844 to 31st January 1845. The Board request that you will furnish them with a list of communications received by you from this Office during the same period.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
26th May 1845

(Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned

71 From the Asst Collector of the Nth Divn of Arcot — Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

72 From the Asst Collr of the Nth Divn of Arcot — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of publick Servants for the quarter ending 31st March last.

73 From the Asst Collr of the Nth Divn of Arcot — Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 31st March last.

74 From the Asst Collr of Cuddapah — Stating that no public servants were either suspended or dismissed from Office during the quarter ending 31st March last.

75 From the Asst Collr of Cuddapah — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of April last.

76 From the Asst Collr of Cuddapah — Return of persons suspended or dismissed from Office under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of April last.

From

77 From the Asst Collr of Chingleput Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

78 From the Asst Collr of Guntoor Abstract of Demand Collection & Balance for the Month of April last.

79 From the Trichy Collr of Madura Abstract of Demand Collection & Balance for the Month of April last.

80 From the Collr of Vizagapatam Abstract of Demand Collection & Balance for the Month of April last.

81 From the Collr of Vizagapatam Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

82 From the Asst Collr of Chingleput Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

83 From the Asst Collr of Guntoor Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

84 From the Trichy Collr of Madura Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

" A. Maclean

" Boldinghem

Compd

Diary.

26th May. Sent the following letter

1 To the Chief Secty to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying return of the sales of Salt for the 2d half of the month of April last prepared in the form prescribed in the letter from the Government of India dated 6th April 1844, received with the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government under date 19th Idem.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th May 1845

I have &c
(Signed) T. Pycroft,
Secretary

Statement

0581

Fort St George

Half Monthly

Comparative Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold its price per Maund and the proceeds realized from its sale in all the Maritime Districts under the Madras Presidency.

May 1845.

	16th to 30th April 1844									16 to 30th April 1845								
	Quantity of Salt			Rate of price per Indian Maund			Amount			Quantity of Salt			Rate of price per Indian Maund			Amount		
	Maunds	S	Chittacks	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Maunds	S	Chittacks	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
Ganjam	9,960				14		8715			33,603	6		1			33,603	2	5
	12,155	32	2 2/5	1	8		18,233	11	6									
Vizagapatam	1,789	9	8	1	8		2,684	8		5,970	37	8	1			5,971		
Rajahmundry	5,111			1	8		7,666	8		6,162			1			6,162		
Masulipatam	1,665			1	8		2497	8		8,205			1			8,205		
	829	20			14		725	13										
Guntoor	14,650	8		1	8		21,975	4	11	22,542	36		1			22,542	13	7
Nellore	16,697	28		1	8		25,046	8	10	14,103	12		1			14,103	4	10
Chingleput	11,985			1	8		17,977	8		25,504	20		1			25,504	8	
North Division of Arcot										1,045	20		1			1,045	8	
South Division of Arcot	111	9	12	1	8		166	13	10	2,952			1			2,952		
Tanjore	11,346			1	8		17,019			17,847			1			17,847		
Madura	6,456			1	8		9,684			11,388			1			11,388		
Tinnevelly	6,736	20		1	8		10,104	12		11,356	20		1			11,356	8	
Canara	5,674	30	9	1	8	12/100	8,575	9	1	20,221	32		1			20,221	13	5
	82	25	10 1/5			2 55/100	83	11	10									
Malabar	4,244			1	8		6,366			13,240			1			13,240		
Madras	8,091			1	8		12,136	8		5,182			1			5,182		
Total	117,585	23	9 3/5				169598	13		199,324	23	8				199,324	10	3
										117,585	23	9 3/5				169598	13	
										81,738	39	14 2/5				29,725	13	3
							Net Increase									Net Increase		

2

From the Secretary to Government

Concurring in the opinion expressed by the Board in regard to the general and particular duty prescribed by Regulation I of 1831 and directing that the necessary instructions on the subject be issued to the Collector of Madras for the disposal of the reference from Messrs. Parry & Co.

26th May

Received the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d. May 1845.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Here enter 3d April 1845 / No. 13 /

Para 1

The Most Noble the Governor in Council having given his attentive consideration to the circumstances represented by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter, concurs in the opinion expressed by them, in regard to the general and particular duty prescribed by Regulation I of 1813. The general duty of 8 per cent prescribed by Section IX of that Regulation will accordingly cease under the operation of Act VI of 1844, but the particular duty will continue to be collected or security for it taken as heretofore for the protection of the Abkarry revenue.

2. His Lordship in Council also concurs in the opinion expressed in para 7, of the competency of parties importing spirits to keep under the provisions of Section II of the same Regulation

Regulation such spirits in their own custody and to remove the article at pleasure under permission of the Collector of Madras.

3. The suggestion in the concluding paragraph would seem desirable if effect could be given to it without imposing any restrictions or obstructions in the transit of the Article which it is the object of Act VI of 1844 to abolish, the Board will be pleased therefore to reconsider their proposition and if they still deem it free from objection, they will be pleased to issue the necessary instructions to the local Officers.

4 Resolved that Messrs. Parry & Co. be required to apply to the Board of Revenue, for the decision on the subject of their reference to Government.

5 Ordered that the foregoing correspondence and Resolution be transmitted to the Collector of Sea Customs for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 6th February 1845.

6. Ordered further that the

the above Resolution be communicated to the Board of Revenue, for information and guidance and in view to the issue of the necessary instructions to the Collector of Madras for the disposal of the reference from Messrs. Parry & Co.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon (2-3)

Ordered that copy of Paras 1 and 2. of the foregoing Extract and of the Board's letter to which it refers be communicated to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance. In conformity with the views taken by Government Mr. Hudleston will henceforth discontinue the levy on spirituous Liquors imported into Madras of the general 8 per Cent duty prescribed by Regulation I. 1813 and will refund to Messrs. Parry & Co. on application the amount collected at the rate of duty on the several consignments of Rum referred to in his letters to that Firm of 16th December 1844 & 20th January and 12th February 1845.

The particular duty of Regulation I. 1813 being still leviable the Collector will continue to collect or take security

security for it as heretofore.

27th May

Received the following Memorandum.

(4)

From the Secy to Government

Official Memorandum

The Secretary to Government has the honor to request the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, will furnish a Copy of the Board's Circular order directing the local revenue Officers to submit their reports on the Settlement of the Land and Extra Revenue within a specified period.

Fort St. George
27th May 1845

/ Signed / E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

28th

Sent the following Memorandum in reply

(4-5)

To the Chief Secy to Government

Official Memorandum

With reference to the Official Memorandum from the Secretary to Govt. Dy Secy to Govt 29th May ment in the Revenue Department dated 27th Instant, the Secretary to the Board of Revenue has the honor to forward a copy of the Board's Circular order dated 23d January 1840, requesting Collectors to submit their reports on the Settlement of the Land and Extra Revenue within a specified period.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th May 1845

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

0586 Fort St George 29th May 1845

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 29th May 1845 — A. Maclean Esqre Acting Second Member
and
J. Goldingham Esqre Acting Third Member
G. D. Drury, Esqre Senior Member
Absent on leave

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors under Date 6th November 1844, No. 28. accompanied by an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 11th January 1845. No. 51.

Ordered that a copy of Para 3, of the Honorable Courts letter and of the Resolution of Government thereon be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Guntoor who will be pleased to take measures to trace the parties of Lumbadies alluded to, and report

Inform 5th May 1845

6

Proceedings Recording observations on a general Letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 6th November 1844, No. 28. with the Resolution of Government thereon

Genl Letter Court Dirs Para 3

Genl Letter E. M. of C. Para 5

Genl Letter E. M. of C. Para 2

Ke

4

Ordered that a copy of Para 5 of the Honorable Court's Letter be transmitted for the information of the Collectors of Tanjore and Chingleput respectively.

" 7 "

Requires no remark

" 9 " 3

Requires no remark

" 14 " 4

Requires no remark

" 18 " 5

Resolved that a copy of Para 18 of the Honorable Courts letter and of Para 5, of the foregoing Extract be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Canara.

" 19 " 6

Resolved that the following letter be addressed to Government.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

6-7

To the Chief Secy to Government

With reference to the observations of Government in Para 6, of the Extract from

In Cons 5th May 1845.

from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 11th January last No 51- I am
directed by the Board of Revenue to
state that as they were not favored
with the sentiments of Government
in regard to the propriety of employing
strong iron Chests for the preservation
of Cash in Sall Depôts situated at
a distance from large and populous
Villages as suggested in Para 2 of
their Proceedings dated 1st June 1843,
they have not felt themselves
at liberty to take further measures
on the subject but should Government
approve they will communicate with
the Collectors and report the result
for the order of Government-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th May 1845

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

6589

9

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt. Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Guntoor for Fusly 1252-

Fort St George 29th May 1845-

Read the following Extract-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 20th May 1845-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Guntoor for Fusly 1252- A.D. 1842/43-

(Here enter 28th Aug.t 1844: No 384.)

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council deems it unnecessary to enter into a particular consideration of the management of the Zemindaries in the Guntoor District in Fusly 1252 reported in the foregoing Proceedings, as it will have received the special attention of the Member of the Board, who has been deputed to Guntoor. His Lordship in Council regrets to observe that the results are not more promising than in the past years-

2- The Peishcush payable on the Estates was fixed at 2/3rds of the gross estimated assets, while the land revenue settlement for the year was with one exception below even the Government demand as hereunder exhibited, omitting fractions in which is also shewn the

amount

Fort St. George. 29th May 1815.

amount of Peishcush actually realized.

	Peishcush.	Land Revenue Settlement.	Difference.	Peishcush paid.
Rapully—	92,528	97,542	5,014	65,434
Suttenapully	1,28,700	1,07,764	18,936	64,040
Vafsareddy—	5,40,737	3,70,959	1,69,778	2,90,036
Mulrauze—	3,38,792	2,75,980	62,812	2,08,576
Chilkalorpaus—	1,26,700	1,20,049	6,651	76,440

	Settlement	Collections
Rapully—	97,542	78,361
Suttanapully	1,07,764	76,804
Vafsareddy	3,70,959	2,79,988
Mulrauze—	2,75,980	2,36,513
Chilkalorpaus	1,20,049	90,107

3. The Collections of the land revenue current fell considerably short of the Settlement as exhibited in the Margin.

4. The season is stated to have been on the whole favorable to the dry cultivation, which every where produced good, and in some parts, abundant crops. The Cotton also produced well, though partially injured, by want of rain at one season and heavy rain when the pods were ready for gathering: but the wet cultivation was much

Fort St. George. 29th May. 1815.

much under the average owing to very few of the tanks having filled.

5. The main cause of the unfavorable results of the year was the low price of grain coupled with the progressive decline in prices during the last five years, which led to the extensive relinquishment of lands at the cultivation season, and the continued depression rendered the collections of current revenue difficult.

6. The small amount of Peishcush paid by the Rapully and Sattanapully Zemindars was in part owing to an improper expenditure of the revenue realized when the Estates were in their hands. The former paid away 9,589 Rupees to liquidate a private debt, and considerably exceeded the amount sanctioned for his personal allowance.

7. The Suttanapully Estate was taken out of the Zemindars hands in the course of the year, a measure which is stated to have been followed by a great reduction of expenditure.

Para 32 Collectors report. 8. The short collections of current revenue in the Vafsareddy Estate is attributed to low prices, and in the Para 35 Coll.r report. Mulrauze Estate chiefly to a decrease in the

the demand a cause not very intelligible
when the Collections as already noticed
Settlement 273980- did not reach even the Demand for the
Collections 236513- year by nearly 40,000 Rupees-
39467-

9- The short Collections in
Para 38 Coll.rs report- Chulkaloorpaud is also stated to have been
owing to a decrease in the demand a dif-
=ficulty in disposing of the produce a delay
in the thrashing of the crops, and partly
to the inefficiency of the Aumeen placed
in charge of the Zemindary-

Para 41 Coll.rs report- 10- His Lordship in Council
observes that the Suttanapully and Chulka-
=loorpaud Estates were not settled by the
Collector, or his Assistant, but by his
Establishment, a proceeding at all times
objectionable, but particularly so under
the present circumstances of these Estates,
and the reason of it is not explained-

11. The total current
demand of Peishcush on the 5 Zemin-
Para 16 Coll.rs report- daries was 12,28,488-7-7 Rupees, of which
the outstanding balance at the date
of the Collector's report was 81,597 63-
4-1. Of the Peishcush due for former
Fushlies amounting to Rupees 55,738 11-2-9-
Rupees

Rupees 30,250-1-10 was realized in the year
under review-

12. Palmaud is stated to have
Para 41 Coll.rs report- been settled on the usual principles- The
Collector seems to have contemplated a change
namely the discontinuance temporarily of the
grant of Cowles on favorable leases on the cul-
=tivation of old waste in all Villages on which
lands have within the last three years been
relinquished and this measure was adopted
under the belief that it would prove an ef-
=fectual check upon the relinquishment
of land from improper motives, but it is stated
that the change did not take effect till on
Fusly 1253-

13 In Fusly 1230 / 1820 the dry lands
of Paulmaud were surveyed and a field As-
=sessment fixed, which has been the basis
of the annual Settlement from that period-
The whole of the wet lands were measured
x Fusly 1237 / 1827-8- and marked off many years back into fields
and classed by the Ryots, but not assessed,
and the money assessment has not up to
the present period been fixed on them,
and the annual settlements have been and
still continue to be made on a division
of the crop- The original instruction by the Board

were

were given more than 25 years back to have this part of the work completed and the Collector then stated that the Ryots were prepared for the change and that he thought the substitution of individual money rents for a division of the produce might be accomplished without difficulty. The first attempt to do so was made in Fusly 1238 1828/9 when the Ryots raised objections which the Board did not consider valid. and in Fusly 1239 the Collector reported that he found it totally out of the question to expect even to gain the consent of the Ryots to a money assessment. and from that time it would appear that nothing effectual has been done towards it, and the Government is still annually settling with the Pauhaud Ryots for their wet lands on a division of the produce.

Board's Rec: 16th December 1830 Para 25.

14. Adverting to the fact that in every other District of this Presidency a money assessment on the wet lands has been introduced and is acted on, at least that there is no District in which an Ammanie division of the produce is now in force or to a very inconsiderable extent

the

the Most Noble the Governor in Council considers it particularly necessary that full information should be furnished in an early and separate report of the causes which have prevented the introduction of a money assessment on the wet lands of Palnaud. His Lordship in Council is not aware of any peculiarity in the tenures in that division of the Guntoor District to which this result can be attributable. It appears to His Lordship in Council that such a state of things may greatly embarrass the work of forming a money assessment on the wet lands of the Zemindaries which will form a part of the labors of the member of the Board who has proceeded on deputation to Guntoor. the Ryots especially when it conforms to former usage, as is the case in Guntoor, are averse to substituting a fixed money payment for a division of the produce as they have to bear the fluctuations both of price and produce, while under the latter system they can sustain no loss from either cause and have great means of successfully defrauding Government through the dishonesty of the public servants. but the same objections as those

those made by the Palnaud Ryots have been made in other Districts and have been overcome and it seems to His Lordship in Council that if proper measures had been adopted there would have been no greater difficulty in fixing a money assessment on the wet lands in Palnaud than in other Districts more especially as the extent of wet cultivation is very limited. The Zemindary Ryots will naturally point to Paulnaud as an instance of successful opposition to the system which may embarrass the Commissioner in his operations.

Fasly 1251 - 718 acres.
" 1252 - 580 "

15. The delay which has taken place has probably rendered it at this period impracticable without a new measurement and classification of the lands for even as regards the dry lands which have been annually settled field war there are no boundary marks to the fields, and even where they have been replaced, have been again removed by the Ryots. Under these circumstances it appears to His Lordship in Council very improbable that any traces of the survey fields in the wet lands are to be found. The survey accounts seem to be

Para 40 Coll.r's report.

in

in the same unsatisfactory state no perfect account of each village is forthcoming shewing the total measured extent and appropriation of land within it. There is no complete Register of all the field waste and cultivated, and numbered according to their sites. there are no boundary stones to the fields and though peremptory orders had been issued by the Collector for their replacement it is stated that the interests of the Revenues and the indolence of the Establishment had hitherto hindered its execution.

Para 40 Coll.r's report.

16. The Board from the Government in Council is unable to reconcile such a state of things with the annual Settlements having been made on the field Assessment, and the Board will be pleased to instruct the Acting Collector to furnish in a separate communication a full explanation of the manner in which they have been effected. The Board will at the same time explain, if they were cognizant of these defects in the accounts prior to the receipt of the Collector's present report: and if so what measures they adopted

Fort St. George, 29th May, 1845.

adopted to rectify them. The attention of the Commissioner to whom copies of Paras 13 to 16 will be transmitted will be drawn to the state of the Assessment and of the Survey Accounts with the view of his offering such observations as his temporary residence in the District may enable him to make.

17. The Board of Revenue as before noticed will report the causes which have obstructed hitherto the fixing of a money assessment on the wet lands. The instructions they have from time to time issued to the Collector to effect it; and the measures which are now requisite for bringing it to an effective and satisfactory completion.

Settlement in Para 43 Coll.s report: Settlement of Fasly 1251 18,708-8-8. Do. of Fasly 1252- 17,095-4-10. Para 45 Coll.s report.

18. There was a net decrease in the Settlement compared with the preceding year of Rupees 15,673-1-8. The net decrease in the extent of dry land cultivated, omitting fractions, was 12,130. acres assessed at 17,734-3-9 and consisted of 9965 Rupees. the assessment of land entirely relinquished from the progressive

reduction

Fort St. George, 29th May, 1845.

reduction of agricultural profit and fields impoverished by continued culture. and 9708 Rupees for land ploughed but not from want of rains the decrease of cultivation in the wet land was 138 acres occasioned mainly by the storms in May of that year.

Para 19 Coll.r report.

19. It is satisfactory to His Lordship in Council to find that the revenue was realized with punctuality and that out of a land revenue Pagotah and Assamie exclusive of quit rent amounting to Rupees 18,692. the whole was collected within the Fasly excepting Rupees 1,234-13.

Demand 47,605 Balance 9,785 Para 50 Coll.r report.

20. The balance of quit rent is large, which is explained to arise from the enams having been for the most part uncultivated.

Para 18 Board's Pro:

21. The Most Noble the Governor in Council approves of the Board's observations in this para. His Lordship concurs also with the Board in the principle on which deductions for Shamilat Bunjer as prescribed in para 22 of their Proceedings of the 16th November 1843. should be made. the Board will be pleased to state if it is

Para 19 Board's Pro:

Parts of fields left waste.

the

Fort St. George. 29th May. 1845

the practice in other Districts to make deductions for Shamilab Punjer on dry lands. The fields and subdivisions referred to by Mr. Stokes appear to be either the original fields as they stood before the survey with natural boundaries which were subdivided into smaller fields by the survey or the waste measured at that time in large tracts and which were subdivided into plots not exceeding 8 acres each, so as to be cultivable by a single plough as reported by the Collector on the settlement of Fusly 1240-

Para 20-

22. Respecting the rule which requires the relinquishment of good and bad lands together. Mr. Stokes's meaning is not very clear. The rule is now necessary for the security of the revenue, and should be conformed to in Pauhaud as it is in other Districts.

23. The Most Noble the Governor in Council is disposed to concur in the justice of the Board's observations in this para. To withhold the advantages of the Cowleranah to a whole village because

Para 20 Board's Pro:

Fort St. George. 29th May. 1845.

because some of the Ryots have abused the privilege and relinquished their usal lands to obtain land on Cowle would appear to be inequitable, but on a question of this general nature applicable to all Districts, the Board should state their opinion in a separate report when the whole question can be taken up distinctly and disposed of by the Government, and their orders carried out generally-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary-

To,
The President and
Members of the
Board of Revenue-

8-9
Order thereon.
(Read again in Cons: 16th June) in circulation-

Ordered to be sent again

10
From the Chief Secrt. to Govt.

Read the following Extracts-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th May 1845-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

Soliciting sanction for the Establishments entertained for the Collector of the Sea Custom and Frontier Duties in the Provinces under this Presidency-

(Here enter 10th April 1845 No 254-)

Para 1- The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions for the commencement of Fusly 1254 the Establishments employed

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

employed at the several maritime Districts for the Collection of the Sea Customs Duties and those entertained for the collection of the frontier duty in the Districts of Rajahmundry Masulipatam Guntoor Kurnool South Arcot Tanjore Madura and Tinnevelly amounting in the aggregate as per Margin, to Rupees (8987-2-11)

	per Mensem.
Sea Customs Establishments	6754-8-2.
Frontier Establishments of 8 Districts	2232-9-10.
Total	8987-2-11

Eight thousand Nine hundred and eighty seven, and two annas per Mensem.-

His Lordship in Council also sanctions the sums actually disbursed from 1st to 11th July 1844 on the above account amounting to Rupees (22,280-3-8) Twenty two thousand Two hundred and Eighty annas three, and Pice six.-

Charges on account of Sea Customs Establishments	17,951-10-7.
Do. of Frontier Establishments of 8 Districts	4,328-8-11.
Total	22,280-3-8.

3.-

In reporting upon the Establishment for the Frontier Chowkies of the remaining Districts referred to in para 8 the Board of Revenue will be pleased to furnish a clear Statement of the Saving upon Establishments obtained by the abolition of the Transit duties and change of System.-

(A true Extract)

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.- (10-11)

Order thereon (Proceedings 5th June)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

From the Secty to Government (12)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1845.-
(From Board 28th April)

Read the following Resolution.-

Resolved that the Collector of Cuddapah be authorised to disburse the arrears due to the Yeomiahs amounting to Rupees (14-4-4) fourteen, annas four and pice four and to continue the payment of the Yeomiahs.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon (12-13)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letters dated 3d March and 18th April 1845.-

Extract

29th
No. 1306604.

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.-

From the Secty to Government (14)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1845.-
(From Board 1st May.)

Resolved that the arrears of Pension due to Mahobuth Ally Khan Vizt. Rupees 395-7-11. Three hundred and Ninety five and Annas seven be disbursed to him from the Treasury of the Collector of North Arcot.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.

Order thereon. (14-15)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letters dated 29th March and 23d April 1845.-

From the Secty to Government (16)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1845.-
(From Board 28th April)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions as recommended by the Board of Revenue, the remission of Rupees 2,773-3-8. Two thousand seven hundred and seventy three Annas three and Pice Eight, from the Akkarry Cowlaul of North Arcot for Fasly 1253.-

(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.-

Order thereon. (16-17)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 23d April last.-

From the Secty to Government. (18)

Read the following letter.-

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

With reference to your Secretary's letter of the 1st Instant, I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Certificates Nos. 1128-1129 and 1130 for Superannuation Pensions granted to the Servants noted in the Margin, lately on your Establishment together with attested Copies of the same the originals for delivery to the parties pensioned, and the Copies to be lodged among the records of your Office.-

P. Iyen Moodelly Writer Pension Rupees 25	-- --
C. Dunnaroyen Moodelly	-- -- -- 9-3-10.
T. Perriatumbee Moodelly	-- -- -- 8-2-3.

(Signed) R. G. Clarke
Sy Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George, 12th May 1845.-

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letter.- (18-19)

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen,

From the Accountt General (20)

I have the honor to transmit to you the Accompanying General Statement of the Revenue Department for the past Fasly year 1253 A.D. 1843/44 which has been prepared with reference to the instructions conveyed in the General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Revenue Department dated 22d May 1818.-

Fort St. George,
Accountt Genl Office,
16th May, 1845.-

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Statement.

6604.

General Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of

Revenue not Permanently Settled.-

1 Board of Revenue
2 Superintendent of Stamps
3 Collector of Madras
4 Ditto of Sea Customs at Madras
5 Canara
6 Coimbatore
7 Bellary
8 Cuddapah
9 Malabar
10 Tanjore &c.a { 1 Tanjore / 2 Nagore

Revenue Permanently Settled.-

11 Zillah of Chingleput
12 Ditto of Rajahmundry
13 Ditto of Masulipatam
14 Ditto of Guntoor &c.a { 1 Guntoor
15 Ditto of Vizagapatam
16 Ditto of Ganjam
17 Ditto of Salem &c.a { 1 Salem
18 Ditto of Dindigul &c.a { 1 Dindigul

Carnatic.-

{ 2 Cangoondy
{ 2 Cuddalore

24 Hurrool Territory
25 Total of the Company's Districts

27 Grand Total Company's Rupees

Fort St. Geo

...s of the Presidency of Fort St. George for the Fusly Year 1253, and Shewing also the Demand, Collections, Remissions and

Demand on account of Land Revenue -

Arrears -

	Old Balance antecedent to Fusly 1250			Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251.			Fusly 1252.			Total Arrears			Current Fusly 1253.			Total.			Balances antecedent to Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1250.		
	1			2			3			4			5			6			7			8			9		
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	15,751	"	5	6,296	5	11	5,747	"	6	10,615	"	"	38,409	6	10	62,990	9	9	1,01,400	"	7	11,986	8	"	4743	15	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1,516	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41,731	5	9	47,528	13	"	1,51,960	"	8	1,99,488	13	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1,842	12	6	1,522	13	7	2,757	15	2	18,017	11	5	25,557	9	"	21,88,372	15	10	22,13,930	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	3	2,296	9	11	3,400	8	4	21,801	15	7	24,860	3	6	22,82,740	12	11	23,08,601	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
	11,073	6	"	"	"	"	3,058	3	11	33,489	10	11	49,925	3	9	20,52,052	"	4	21,01,977	4	1	10,119	2	7	2,017	13	5
	482	1	9	2,412	3	10	2,949	14	3	10,076	2	4	12,281	15	6	16,40,927	8	8	16,53,209	8	2	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2,21,185	1	"	463	9	10	1,260	2	4	117,264	15	9	3,73,320	"	7	35,40,727	9	8	39,14,047	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	26,830	6	11	14,571	7	11	20,298	7	"																		
			10	2,012	10	"	4,672	"	6	14,681	3	6	48,196	4	10	2,39,039	2	10	2,87,235	7	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	19,435	1	6																			6	3	4	6	3	4
	951,375	2	6	9,089	7	10	25,689	"	8	193,895	7	2	2,48,109	1	2	10,85,183	2	10	13,33,292	4	"	6	3	4	6	3	4
	16,33,122	6	8	356,796	7	1	307,637	7	9	334,915	12	9	19,70,774	14	3	17,07,954	10	11	36,78,729	9	2	358,961	15	3	160,067	5	5
	46,18,042	1	6	235,821	"	1	291,045	15	4	547,311	2	4	27,07,300	7	3	9,80,929	2	2	36,88,229	9	5	"	"	"	"	"	"
			10	454,278	"	6	465,240	1	7	557,181	5	7	6,94,742	6	6	12,25,458	7	7	7,32,0200	14	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
	1,71,718	8																									
	2,30,328	2	10	30,123	5	6	9,848	12	8	101,283	10	6	3,12,974	5	6	12,39,945	11	"	15,52,920	"	6	29,500	"	"	"	"	"
	3,128	7	9	83,116	3	3	135,024	12	4	534,445	12	7	9,82,917	14	11	9,04,749	8	7	18,87,667	7	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
			3	4,013	11	"	2,800	10	11	44,476	13	11	50,419	11	4	17,51,217	4	8	18,05,637	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	12,656	15																									
			10	3,311	14	10	3,419	5	9	15,952	4	4	35,370	8	9	8,85,965	14	2	6,21,236	6	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
	502	5	9																								
			6										974	9	1	47,977	5	2	48,951	14	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	68	"	10	65	9	6	63	5	1	340	5	"															
			8										14,090	13	3	47,528	12	8	61,619	9	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
				15	"	5	293	11	9	13,714	"	5															
	574516	"	8																								
	8493,675	9	8	720223	10	3	707,914	14	9	670,450	6	1	2673101	15	9	575,180	5	2	32,48,235	4	11	30,564	3	9	40,000	"	"
			8	19,26,430	3	6	1993,202	6	7	330,651	1	11	137,14,359	5	8	2,40,11,901	1	7	397,26,760	7	3	4,41,130	"	11	206,535	5	5
	10121,015	11	9	2033,305	10	5	2267,409	11	9	4038,218	9	"	1345,9949	10	11	34,43,097	13	1	52,90,3047	8	"	4,41,138	"	11	206,535	5	5

No 306604.

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.—

From the Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1845.—
(From Board 8th May.)

14

Resolved that the arrears of Pension due to Mahabutt Annas Imam be disbursed to him from the Treasury of the Collector of North Arcot.—

14-15

Order thereon.

/Signed/ C. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letters dated 29th March and 23d April 1845.—

16

From the Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th May 1845.—
(From Board 28th April.)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions as recommended by the Board of Revenue, the remission of Rupees 2,773—3—8. Two thousand seven hundred and seventy three Annas three and Pice Eight from the Arbitrary Contract of North Arcot for Fasly 1253.—

16-17

Order thereon.—

/Signed/ C. P. Thompson
Secy to Government.—

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 23d April last.—

18

From the Secy to Government.

To. The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen, With reference to your Secretary's letter of the 1st Instant, I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Certificates Nos. 1128—1129 and 1130 for Superannuation Pensions granted to the Servants noted in the Margin, lately on your Establishment together with attested Copies of the same the originals for delivery to the parties pensioned, and the Copies to be lodged among the records of your office.—

P. Iyen Moodelly Within Pension Rupees 25 — — —
C. Durmarayen Moodelly — — — — 7—3—10.
T. Perriatumbee Moodelly — — — — 5—2—3.

/Signed/ R. G. Clarke
Sy. Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George
12th May 1845.

Order thereon.

18-19

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.—

To. The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue.

From the Accountt General.—

20

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to transmit to you the accompanying General Statement of the Revenue Department for the past Fasly year 1253 which has been prepared with reference to the instructions conveyed in the General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors in the Revenue Department dated 22d May 1818.—

Fort St. George
Accountt Genl's Office
16th May 1845.

/Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
Accountant General

Statement.

29th May 1845.—

Balances of Land Revenue of that Year for the three preceding.

Remissions.—

Arrears

Fasly 1251.			Fasly 1252			Total arrears.			Current Fasly 1253.			Total.		
10			11			12			13			14		
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
526	12	11	"	"	"	17257	4	"	"	"	"	17257	4	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3,010	6	4	"	"	"	3,010	6	4	"	"	"	3,010	6	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	12,137	"	"	"	"	"	12,137	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	822	15	5	822	15	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	3	4	6	3	4	24	13	4	"	"	"	24	13	4
104158	13	4	119232	1	11	74,420	3	11	14,947	12	5	88,368	"	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	29,500	"	"	"	"	"	29,500	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	70,565	3	9	"	"	"	70,564	3	9
107702	3	11	119238	3	3	374,913	15	4	145,770	11	10	10,20,684	11	2
107702	3	11	119238	5	3	374,913	15	4	145,770	11	10	10,20,684	11	2

6604.

Fort St. George

Years, and in the Old account antecedent to Fusly 1250.-

	Old Balances 15			Fusly 1250. 16			Arrears Fusly 1251. 17			Fusly 1252. 18			Total Arrears 19			Current Fusly 1253. 20		
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	328	7	8	202	9	"	586	12	"	4,309	9	3	5,427	6	11	51,260	12	2
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	181	"	3	198	13	2	546	3	1	38,124	15	10	39,051	"	4	1503,936	6	11
6	147	"	6	300	12	"	153	12	3	11,178	6	7	11,779	15	4	217,592	15	2
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	47	13	7	16,396	14	6	16,444	12	1	2254,516	8	6
8	343	6	3	301	12	6	477	"	3	32,158	8	2	33,280	11	1	2017,397	6	1
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	106	7	6	9,554	7	"	9,660	14	6	1619,012	4	7
10	1,895	6	8	17	1	2	3,849	"	10	94,433	10	10	100,195	3	6	3394,739	11	2
11	4,362	14	9	706	6	9	305	9	11	11,863	14	6	12,302	9	8	232,858	14	3
12	24,620	9	3	2135	10	"	4188	12	3	188,301	12	11	197,659	14	8	916,477	11	"
13	1,10,128	1	6	63,753	1	"	3,076	14	5	60,813	8	6	70,649	10	2	1154,771	13	4
14	1,150	1	6	359	1	"	46,551	14	6	245,214	6	3	485,647	7	3	476,225	12	2
							3,486	4	10	45,074	6	4	53,069	12	8	601,228	9	"
15	35,664	7	9	7,450	1	7												
16	1,735	7	1	69	10	6	3,487	13	1	85,566	12	1	132,169	2	6	1105,013	9	8
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	63,475	9	9	179,521	2	9	244,801	14	"	4,25,996	5	4
							252	9	2	38,517	1	4	38,769	10	6	1706,136	11	10
18	180	3	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,981	10	5	13,161	14	3	562,802	3	2
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	287	6	2	287	5	2	46,780	14	8
	"	"	"	"	"	"	175	15	5	10,027	13	3	10,203	12	8	27,609	12	5
24	543,951	12	11	674,998	9	1	638,545	13	7	601,186	4	3	2453,682	7	10	502,148	12	10
26	724,822	1	2	750,496	7	7	769,314	6	5	1691,512	8	11	3936,145	8	1	2087,705	15	2
27	502,165	15	5	752,227	9	5	779,023	4	1	2292,012	13	8	4623,429	10	7	3067,678	9	"

29 th May 1843.

Particulars of Large Collections.

Land Revenue.

Ordinary.

Station.	Collectorate.	Treasury.	Amount of Collection.	Extraordinary Charges.	Amount of Collection.	Remarks.
Ellore	Vizagapatam	Vizagapatam	4,03,000			
Ellore	Rajahmundry	Rajahmundry	2,18,000			
Ellore	Masulipatam	Masulipatam	2,69,800	11	2,69,811	
Ellore	Guntoor	Guntoor	9,17,000			
Ellore	Nellore	Nellore	1,42,000			
Ellore	Cuddapah	Cuddapah	1,42,000			
Ellore	Bellary	Bellary	1,42,000			
Ellore	Kurnool	Kurnool	1,42,000			
Ellore	Chittoor	Chittoor	1,42

6604

Fort St. George

Sundry Small Farms and Licences

Madurpha

Land Duties.

Sea Customs.

	Collections within the Fasly.	Extra Revenue.	Interest account	Total.	Collections within the Fasly.	Extra Revenue.	Refunds & Charges	Total.	Sayer or Inland Town and Frontier duty Collected within the Fasly.	Extra Revenue.	Refunds & Charges	Total Land Customs.	Import & Export duties.	Collections Fees received from Individuals.	Extra Revenue.	Refunds & Charges.	Total Sea Customs	Collections within the Fasly.	Extra Revenue.
	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	45,380 7 10	9 14 9	"	45,390 6 7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	473 6 4	8 6 3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	35,172 " 6	28 8 "	"	35,200 8 6	15,147 12 10	172 13 6	"	15,320 10 4	292 2 7	4 6	"	625 8 9	"	"	47,273 14 "	"	"	"	"
6	708 4 1	12 8 "	"	720 12 1	35,374 14 4	11 14 11	"	35,386 3 3	151 3 6	8 1	"	"	"	"	42,758 6 6	2,054 11 5	434,151 6 1	"	"
7	20,497 14 4	24 5 10	"	20,522 4 2	261,393 5 "	90 3 6	"	261,483 8 6	251,120 13 "	"	"	"	"	"	1,462 5 2	"	"	"	"
8	15,346 1 9	137 2 2	"	15,483 3 11	100,994 12 9	130 4 11	"	101,125 1 8	204,715 13 7	"	"	1991 1 11	8 "	29,243	713 7	324,046 11 7	"	"	"
9	45,214 6 2	1 8 "	"	45,215 11 2	115,703 8 6	126 11 6	"	115,830 4 "	107,123 10 7	"	"	56 12 7	15 8 8	15,141	711 2	"	"	"	"
10	1 24,346 9 2	2 4 "	"	24,348 13 2	36,576 12 3	6 5 7	"	36,603 1 10	226,797 8 1	"	"	10 4 1	12 1 3	28,114	3 2 4	"	"	"	"
	2 1795 14 2	"	"	1795 14 2	3535 11 9	"	"	3535 11 9	9,632 15 1	"	"	81 14 3	19 13 7	20,431	7 9 10	"	"	"	"
11	18,598 2 7	4 12 "	"	18,602 14 7	33,381 " 11	19 7 6	"	33,400 2 5	39,262 15 7	"	"	511 " 2	"	10,763	7 10 9	2,500	29 4 11	"	"
12	6782 6 3	"	"	6782 6 3	43,745 8 9	184 "	"	43,763 12 9	64,667 10 10	"	"	223 8 6	"	2,170	21 " 7	34,775	4 7	"	"
13	169 10 "	"	"	169 10 "	17,012 15 3	7 1 "	4 6 5	17,024 6 8	80,538 5 3	"	"	"	"	"	9,652	15 1	67,461	1 11	"	"
14	1 7,016 6 9	" 8 "	17 7 11	7034 6 8	35,280 7 5	"	"	35,280 7 5	35,161 15 9	"	"	57 3 8	"	"	39,320	3 3	"	"	"
	2											217 6 1	1 14 "	64,336	16 4	28,806 " 1	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	57 9 4	14 15 "	80,610	13 7	8,081 8 5	"	"	"
16	3,308 11 10	"	"	3,308 11 10	2,749 5 "	"	"	2,749 5 "	559 4 "	"	"	117 4 2	22 8 "	35,304	11 11	4,869	13 8	"	"
17	1 3040 6 9	4 3 "	25 9 4	3070 3 1	50,929 " 6	213 " 11	"	51,144 1 5	121,129 2 3	"	"	"	"	"	58,283	4 "	13,490	1 8	"
	2											54 3 3	"	"	21,363	10 3	22,900	1 8	"
18	1 3239 10 9	" 1 4	"	3239 12 1	"	"	"	"	64,612 8 6	"	"	200 8 10	33 15 "	12,136	3 10 "	"	"	"	"
	2											4 6 2	2 6 4	64,619	3 "	"	"	"	"
	2 190 5 "	"	"	190 5 "	399 4 5	"	"	399 4 5	15,597 2 9	"	"	"	"	"	15,597	2 9	"	"	"
	2 614 8 1	"	2 1 7	616 9 8	2,904 2 7	" 2 3	"	2904 4 10	20,690 10 11	"	"	49 8 7	"	"	20,690	3 6	10,756	13 3	"
24	12,037 3 7	19 "	"	12,056 3 7	185,410 1 9	272 12 11	"	185,683 14 8	163,661 14 5	2,622 10 3	"	"	"	"	146,281	3 8	"	"	"
26	285,089 4 7	244 11 1	45 2 10	285,379 2 6	107,687 " "	1070 2 6	4 6 8	107,766 8 11	21,247 6 "	3 5	120 13 7	131 8 10	243,006	6 8	119,773	1 "	2,586	15 8	9,235 12 11
27	307,371 8 6	343 5 5	45 2 10	307,760 " 9	473,832 14 9	2,532 12 8	4 6 8	476,370 1 10	314,538 9 4 4	6,439 5 10	208 3 9	315,226	13 11	128,541	0 5 10	2,586	15 8	10,161	12 8

	Extra Revenue	Refunds & Charges	Total Land Customs	Import & Export duties	Collections Fees received from Individuals	Extra Revenue	Refunds & Charges	Total Sea Customs	Collections within the Fasly	Extra Revenue
	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	625 8 9	"	47,273 14 "	"	"	"	"	136,793 7 "	"	"
6	"	"	42,758	6 6	2,054	11 5	434,151	6 1	"	"
7	1991 1 11	8 "	29,243	7 13 7	324,046	11 7	"	"	"	"
8	56 12 7	15 8 8	15,141	7 11 2	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	10 4 1	12 1 3	28,114	3 2 4	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	81 14 3	19 13 7	20,431	7 9 10	"	"	"	"	"	"
	511 " 2	"	107,607	10 9	2,500	29 4 11	"	"	"	"
	223 8 6	"	21,702	1 " 7	34,775	4 7	"	"	"	"
	"	"	9,652	15 1	67,461	1 11	"	"	"	"
	57 3 8	"	39,320	3 3	"	"	"	"	"	"
	217 6 1	1 14 "	64,336	16 4	28,806	" 1	"	"	"	"
	57 9 4	14 15 "	80,610	13 7	8,081	8 5	"	"	"	"
	117 4 2	22 8 "	35,304	11 11	4,869	13 8	"	"	"	"
	"	"	58,283	4 "	13,490	1 8	"	"	"	"
	54 3 3	"	21,363	10 3	22,900	1 8	"	"	"	"
	200 8 10	33 15 "	12,136	3 10 "	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4 6 2	2 6 4	64,619	3 "	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	15,597	2 9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	49 8 7	"	20,690	3 6	10,756	13 3	"	"	"	"
	"	"	146,281	3 8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	131 8 10	243,006	6 8	119,773	1 "	2,586	15 8	9,235 12 11	2,207	2 3
	6,439 5 10	208 3 9	315,226	13 11	128,541	0 5 10	2,586	15 8	10,161 12 8	2,212 9 2

	12,141	902	15 10	293,317	15 7	600	12 10			
	130,337	14 4	42,566	13 5	309	15 10				

29th May 1845.-

Monopoly. Refunds of Charges. 54	Recoveries of advances for the monopoly of Salt. 55	Total. 56	Tobacco Monopoly. Collections within the Family 57	Extra Revenue 58	Refunds of Charges 59	Recoveries of advances for the purchase of Tobacco Monopoly. 60	Total. 61	Stamp Collections without the Family. 62	Grand Total of Receipts. 63
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,966 3 5	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	3,573 13 "	140,367 4 "	"	"	"	"	"	10,134 9 2	134,056 15 2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,257 8 "	436,138 13 1
24 8 5	"	32,165 10 6	276,739 11 "	9 11 4	9 2	21,550 " "	297,303 5 6	35,796 " 7	325,773 9 4 2
"	"	"	13,291 10 5	"	50 5 7	136,263 6 11	149,610 6 11	16,494 12 "	265,909 1 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22,029 5 "	323,193 " 8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24,376 3 "	261,256 15 11
"	307,717 6 7	546,190 10 6	"	"	"	"	546,190 10 6	32,714 6 "	312,035 5 10
"	328,67 5 7	"	"	"	"	"	"	45,207 7 6	435,813 7 8
"	"	92,165 10 4	"	"	"	"	"	"	431,821 13 4
1,635 1 "	"	462,345 12 10	"	"	"	"	"	12,760 5 11	1,730,981 15 11
4 4 2	"	250,243 5 9	"	"	"	"	"	15,191 5 "	1,803,466 3 9
69 1 1	3,669 10 1	250,323 1 5	"	"	"	"	"	16,369 7 6	1,399,245 1 4
10,145	1,904 5 9	345,082 10 3	"	"	"	"	"	7,031 5 2	1,019,838 12 9
"	2241 " 6	114,338 5 6	"	"	"	"	"	27,613 2 6	1,542,486 4 7
"	1200 " "	258,761 13 11	"	"	"	"	"	10,125 18 6	1,057,132 1 4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17,436 13 "	2,072,199 11 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,142 5 "	671,644 9 5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	692 11 "	69,271 10 7
7 3 3	724 " "	19,788 9 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	120,399 5 "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1927 9 "	369,852 1 10 2
1751 " 4	18,313 8 4	2,409,813 3 1	835,222 2 11	9 14 4	50 14 9	157,813 6 11	993,101 6 11	321,331 5 10	36,780,822 12 5
1786 3 "	27,526 " 4	4,286,769 6 7	835,222 2 11	9 14 4	50 14 9	157,813 6 11	993,101 6 11	427,214 8 10	50,280,252 9 "

6604.

Port St Jerry

	Salary and Commission to the Collrs Fees 64	Horses and District — Establishment 65	Indemnified 66	Extra Charges 67	Repairs of Tanks and water Courses 68	Misc Manrement Extra Charges connected with Revs but not chargeable to any particular branch of Revenue 69	Tent allowance 70	Surgeons allowa 71
1	2,07,527 1 6	70,723 10 9	314 10 6	" " "	18,786 4 6	218 13 "	" " "	" "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
3	3,509 14 3	16,025 3 7	87 9 4	405 " 4	169 5 11			
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	23 8 6	" " "	" "
5	84,233 8 3	1,54,047 9 2	4,810 2 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
6	60,463 5 8	1,16,198 10 11	1,836 12 8	" " "	230 " "	14,086 7 3	6,513 3 1	358 3
7	64,251 11 6	1,46,867 " "	6,217 " 9	" " "	40,939 11 4	15,573 9 "	5078 3 5	732 12
8	79,345 4 1	1,17,966 1 6	3,701 15 11	" " "	72,402 10 4	6,019 3 2	7,091 10 4	417 11
9	62,455 9 11	1,69,694 4 5	3,012 13 10	" " "	31,465 13 5	6,574 3 11	6,921 11 8	420 "
10 {1	62,672 11 8	2,01,459 " 1	6,045 14 2	" " "	17,636 " 6	15,092 4 1	6,128 7 1	354 2
10 {2	" " "	11,552 6 2	294 " "	" " "	5,000 " "	6,509 12 2	8,417 " 9	483 10
11	47,526 13 1	1,11,697 6 11	3,061 1 9					
12	40,844 2 6	72,750 6 5	3,158 8 "	107 14 3	29,307 7 3	2,772 3 11	3,417 4 9	572 9
13	35,900 " 3	31,160 10 10	1,354 3 7	3,121 15 7	35,836 15 7	12,664 13 7	2,449 14 7	659 8
14 {1	38,617 12 9	16,084 9 10	714 13 10	1,314 7 "	29,859 3 3	2,752 8 4	3,412 10 8	363 5
14 {2				135 13 7	" " "	900 1 4	2,974 2 "	412 12
15	69,709 9 7	38,134 12 9	1423 " 10					
16	44,893 1 4	31,423 0 6	1,518 3 8	" " "	19,815 5 1	2,794 12 9	5,174 4 3	566 "
17 {1	80,550 8 9	1,11,251 7 1	3,376 6 7	12,759 7 9	6,368 12 2	3,191 8 "	5,773 11 8	2,193 10
17 {2				859 11 4	14,856 4 3	6,911 13 3	5,027 5 8	2,250 13
18 {1	" " "	20,003 12 4	741 8 2					
18 {2				" " "	11,364 12 4	1,210 2 5	4,491 6 "	" "
19 {2	" " "	1,71 13 4	64 7 "					
20 {2	" " "	2,305 15 8	100 " "	" " "	670 " "	" " "	" " "	" "
24	85,933 8 9	3,19,078 6 "	10,525 " "	4 14 9	13 12 3	2 12 6	" " "	" "
25	10,52,017 8 10	17,85,726 7 3	55,558 3 11	3,665 9 1	35,262 6 2	28,400 15 5	11,328 2 8	28,200 2 5
27	1,32,695 13 3	24,71,695 14 10	75,717 1 "	22,424 13 8	485,326 12 10	130,729 10 7	84,501 3 5	55,138 8 2
				24,349 6 6	797,869 14 6	156,699 13 9	109,666 12 11	58,388 6 4

29 ℔ Chest 1845

Contents of Steel Chests

Ordered	Name	Class & No.	Description	Remarks	Page
	Ammonia Carbonate	Class A.	Powder Phosphate		
	Ammonia Phosphate		Ammonia Chloride		
	Ammonia Chloride		Ammonia Sulphate		
	Ammonia Sulphate		Ammonia Nitrate		
	Ammonia Nitrate		Ammonia Carbonate		
	Ammonia Carbonate		Powder Phosphate		
	Powder

	Abkarry on exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors.					Sundry Small Farms and Licences.					Motorplus.					Sayer Land Duties		
	Commission to the Collectors &c.	Hoozoor and District Establishments	Saderavard	Extra Charges	Total.	Commission to the Collectors &c.	Hoozoor and District Establishments.	Saderavard.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Commission to the Collectors &c.	Hoozoor and District Establishments.	Saderavard	Extra Charges	Total.	Commission to the Collectors &c.	Hoozoor and District Establishments.	Saderavard
	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	19,405 7 4	16,552 11 8	39 10 9	51,663 11 10	87,661 12 7	370 " 7	4523 5 "	5 8 "	15083 7 6	19,982 5 1	"	"	"	"	"	15,354 11 1	34,936 2 "	221 " 10
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	534 3 "	"	7552 4 10	8086 7 10	"	"	"	"	"	30,088 12 2	466 6 9	
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,337 13 4	595 " "	
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,979 6 8	209 4 7 4	
8	"	"	"	315 11 2	315 11 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	162 10 "	162 10 "	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	669 7 "	669 7 "	"	"	"	"	"	11,228 4 1	770 1 5	
10 1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10,800 6 10	267 5 8	
10 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	615 13 8	615 13 8	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	95 3 7	95 3 7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16,274 12 3	594 1 7	
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	267 6 5 "	11 4 165 8 1	433 9 10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	704 4 "	24 12 "	
14 1	"	"	312 " "	12 " "	5 14 1 329 14 1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,677 13 10	241 " "	
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17 1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,960 " "	11,960 " "	"	"	"
17 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,537 10 2	361 3 3	
18 1	94 " "	"	"	"	94 " "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16,435 5 "	569 4 10	
19 1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19 2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,615 6 11	126 15 6	
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
21	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,132 15 3	299 4 1	
22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,639 1 9	252 " "	
24	"	"	2126 2 "	"	2126 2 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14,700 3 9	457 2 10	
25	19,499 7 4	18,258 4 1	62 6 1	52,246 3 9	91,056 5 3	370 " 7	5057 8 "	5 8 "	23305 3 4	28738 3 11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
27	19,886 12 10	19,591 7 3	62 6 1	52,246 3 9	91,776 13 11	370 " 7	5057 8 "	5 8 "	23306 9 4	28739 9 11	120 1 6	5355 9 4	330 " "	26681 1 1	32986 11 11	17549 5 4	290742 7 2	11818 7 10
																644 14 9	4,507 " 1	238 1 6
																1657 12 11	66 " "	
																1582 4 9	63 " "	
																79 3 6	" " "	
																17,765 10 1	978 11 4	

29th May 1845.—

See Customs.

Extra Charges 105	Drawback 106	Total. 107	Commissions to the Collectors 108	Charges and Establishments 109	Undetermined 110	Extra Charges 111	Drawback 112	Total. 113
629 " 6	276 729 12 3	327 870 5 8	55715 1 2	26,539 1 11	146 7 2	3,377 13 5	22,953 6 "	107,021 13 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,024 9 10	327 3 "	1,120 " 7	194,425 7 3	213,897 4 8
464 4 11	17,546 3 9	45,543 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
19 12 2	" " "	7,002 9 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	22,873 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	11,998 5 6	" " "	21,626 " 7	502 3 11	726 13 11	23,612 11 1	46,467 13 6
283 8 2	33,494 6 11	44,525 13 5	" " "	3,916 " "	96 8 5	63 " "	" " "	4,074 8 5
61 25	3,825 4 9	20,756 5 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2766 " "	84 " "	588 " "	199 14 9	3,637 14 9
" " "	" " "	729 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
61 47	185 3 3	6,165 5 8	" " "	3739 14 6	171 9 7	1 10 "	" " "	3,943 2 3
156 3 9	1,464 3 1	13,569 9 3	" " "	2222 5 11	85 8 "	2 4 "	" " "	2,310 1 11
7 " "	410 9 7	17,442 3 5	" " "	477 " "	24 " "	3 5 "	" " "	504 5 "
64 165	" " "	9,107 5 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1153 13 8	" " "	1669 15 4	24 " "	162 " "	" " "	1855 16 4
" " "	12396 13 4	15829 " 8	" " "	1576 6 8	27 " "	" " "	" " "	1602 6 8
" " "	" " "	4,891 1 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	16,165 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1961 " 1
36 130	" " "	5,326 14 2	" " "	1,346 " 1	42 " "	36 5 "	" " "	" " "
104 1 "	6852 6 8	14,641 9 4	37 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	36 129	2,219 12 "	73 " "	15 8 9	" " "	2356 1 6
16 7 "	" " "	12,593 8 2	" " "	623 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	15,331 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1723 12 11	" " "	24,857 5 8	68 14 "	134 10 6	3937 10 11	7,598 9 1
3 2 5	1,462 5 11	2,003 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9 " "	" " "	1,645 13 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2145 15 1
12 "	" " "	6,277 6 "	" " "	2240 18 1	72 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
46 7	1,534 12 "	13,484 1 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
82 13 5	" " "	18827 3 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1848 18 9	26,603 12 11	597,292 10 3	55715 1 2	36312 12 5	1,557 6 3	6,179 9 5	245129 2 "	394,893 15 3
314 7 "	2,362 " "	74,334 6 2	74 4 1	6,043 8 "	172 " "	51 9 "	" " "	6,953 " "
2,163 7 "	369,363 5 1	691,627 " 5	55789 5 11	92,950 2 7	1749 11 3	6,230 10 2	245129 2 "	401,848 15 11

6604. Fort St. George

Salt Monopoly.

	Commission to the Collectors &c.	Charges and Establishments	Sales.	Extra Charges.	Salt Manufacturer's Share.	Salt Compensations	Advances for the Monopoly of Salt.	Total.	Tobacco Charges and Establishments.
	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122
1									
2									
3	4,472 3 11	6,431 6	6 7 5	13,194 4 8	26,407 14 1	2,582 14 4	3,602 8	56,695 10 5	
4									
5		13,073 11 2	320 7	76,240 14					
6							59,685 2	71,64 1 1	
7								5,233 1 1	
8									
9		5,079 8	132 10 3	91,307 11 2		237 6		96,756 6 7	9,198 11 4
10	1	11,612 4 4	224 11 3	824 5 6	25,215 10 7			40,876 15 8	
		1,476	42	1,55 12	3,732 2			10,755 14	
11		11,829 5	383 4	12,670 5 3	49,475 12 1	3,100 1 9		77,408 7 6	
12		7,294 1 6	271 10 7	9,897 11	2,200 15 6			6,025 6	25,689 7 1
13		5,520 8 6	83 4	4,125 12 6	8,396 2 11			8,648 7	26,772 12 6
14	1	11,662 7	221 13 8	1,787 11 5	6,378 12 5	155	600	21,305 12 6	
15		3,993 2 9	181 8	801 1 7	1,902 16 3			5,326 2 7	12,173 13 2
16		3,760 4 6	38 13 11	1,216 5 1	11,941	7 69	1,200	18,225 8 1	
17									
18									
	1,349 8	1,807 5 4	399 9	1183 10 4	26,010 6 6	275 12 7	7,792 8	39,213 8 9	
19	2,150 12 2	2,638 10 1	1,190 7 9	13,466 3 9	49,508 15 7	1,138 11	582	86,344 8	
		797 4	34	1	1,204 10 2			2,136 14 3	
21		1,801 14 6	184	3,255 3 6	7,736 2 11	15,920 11 2	450	31,152 2 1	
22	1	1114 5 4	24	89 8 8	2,403 8		366	3,966 6	
		10,205 12	246	367 4 1	3,903 3	1,502 8		16,223 4	
24									
26	4,472 3 11	81,846 4 2	1,848 10 4	12,581 6 10	146,604 12 6	6,144 7	25,765 11 8	479,263 1 11	24,535 13 6
	8,520 4 3	65,692 13 11	1,974 9	15,269 4 8	112,913 1 3	18,397 10	7,130 8	230,836 10 10	
27	8,002 8 2	137,545 2 1	3,822 11 1	23,850 11 6	259,549 13 8	25,541 10 7	34,896 3 8	697,693 12 9	24,535 13 6

Fort Saint George, Accountt Genlt Office, 16th May 1846.—

29 th May 1846.

Chemistry

Candidates	Class.	Subjects.	Total.	Remarks.
Edwin Champ	1st Class	Elementary Treatment of Chemistry	3479	
Thomas Tatlock	2nd Class	Chemistry	2742	
Henry Wilson	3rd Class	Chemistry	2613	
Robert Hall	3rd Class	Chemistry	2613	
Thomas Hall	3rd Class	Chemistry	2613	
Thomas Hall	3rd Class	Chemistry	2613	
Thomas

Appendix to the Fifty Statement of 1853 intended to

	Balances of Cash at the beginning of the Year.			Grand Total of Revenue Receipts.			Dep
Board of Revenue	"	"	"	5,766	3	8	"
Superintendent of Stamps	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Collector of Madras	36,310	6	9	240,605	15	2	62,
Ditto of Sea Customs	12,798	13	8	236,438	13	1	"
Canara	10,08,600	4	2	2,57,709	4	2	2,27
Coimbatore	4,25,067	5	9	2,81,909	1	"	65,
Bellary	8,62,469	1	8	2,62,193	"	8	3,
Cuddapah	5,30,672	13	4	2,12,506	15	11	29,
Malabar Province	8,15,673	5	8	2,20,550	8	10	21,
Tanjore &c.	18,84,457	4	4	4,98,760	14	1	4,62,
Zillah of Chingleput	63,436	8	11	1,80,951	15	11	105,
Ditto of Rajahmundry	2,32,131	1	11	1,08,466	3	9	12,
Ditto of Masulipatam	79,058	2	9	1,97,245	1	4	47,
Ditto of Guntoor &c.	1,42,749	12	11	1,69,433	15	10	86,
Ditto of Vizagapatam	5,11,418	7	11	3,42,486	4	7	1,43,
Ditto of Ganjam	3,44,291	2	6	1,37,132	1	4	21,
Ditto of Salem &c.	2,00,565	"	4	2,93,980	3	4	69,
Ditto of Dindigul &c.	5,62,123	8	10	52,851	6	7	4,
Nellore and Ongole	2,18,371	12	8	54,582	5	2	13,
N. Div. of Arcot &c.	9,20,230	15	2	2,66,099	9	1	84,
S. Div. of Arcot &c.	3,20,186	12	7	2,36,560	10	7	1,21,
Trichinopoly	1,98,006	10	"	1,32,530	4	1	46,
Tinnevelly	5,36,006	7	6	2,71,540	"	1	159,
Kurnool Territory	"	"	"	1,93,521	10	2	12,84,
Total Company's Rupees	9,97,624	"	4	31,80,252	9	"	5,43,

Fort St. George, Accountt. Genl. Office
16th May, 1846.

29th May 1845.

Transactions of the Several Revenue Treasuries within the period of that Year.—

	Grand Total of Revenue Disbursements.	Deposits.	Judicial Charges disbursed from the Revenue Treasuries.—	Remittances and Transfers between Collectors.—	Disbursements from the Revenue Treasuries under the Head of — General Books.	Balance of Cash in the several Treasuries at the end of the year.—	Grand Total of the payments to the Credit of the Accounts of the different Treasuries.
Board of Revenue	297.570 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	5.966 3 8	" " "	303536 11 10
Superintendent of Stamps	30.553 " 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30.560 " 9
Collector of Madras	550.732 14 10	54.405 8 10	" " "	11.042 7 "	400.722 14 3	25.977 2 4	1742.880 15 3
Ditto of Sea Customs	111.538 3 11	" " "	28 " "	182 1 4	40.261 4 10	8.015 10 1	522.278 9 6
Canara	895.309 2 8	211.296 8 11	2.20.042 4 10	10.402 4 11	2.33.343 5 11	7.54.726 " 8	4930.119 11 10
Coimbatore	547.585 " 1	64.943 1 3	89.486 8 4	256.191 " "	1925.932 2 11	86.316 11 1	3451.454 7 7
Bellary	444.996 15 1	24.657 4 "	1.69.560 9 4	313.871 " 4	2726.539 1 3	567.600 13 11	4247.225 12 1
Cuddapah	358.719 14 11	31.450 7 1	1.78.892 " 1	31.627 10 6	2242.668 8 4	741.627 12 7	3584.996 5 8
Malabar Province	851.489 10 9	23.371 1 5	2.75.644 12 8	16.124 6 3	2340.143 14 3	913.938 " 6	4120.716 13 10
Tanjore Do.	7.27.670 13 3	4.88.581 12 6	1.71.701 2 8	40.166 9 3	4275.182 10 2	1667.811 11 1	7371.114 3 11
Zillah of Chingleput	351.369 15 8	139.612 14 11	39.208 6 9	22.415 14 11	1249.289 4 1	150.698 8 2	2102.595 1 1
Ditto of Rajahmundry	3.32.043 8 6	1.66.675 " 6	1.27.559 11 9	2.98.653 1 6	1695.730 7 3	243.198 1 8	2863.737 15 8
Ditto of Masulipatam	469.124 4 "	54.859 " "	1.64.626 14 11	21.316 5 2	1215.801 10 3	17.853 15 3	2437.312 2 1
Ditto of Guntoor Do.	139.297 " 5	89.469 6 4	1.22.349 13 2	7.586 3 4	1034.599 2 2	112.247 10 11	2307.548 4 4
Ditto of Vizagapatam	175.819 15 3	46.750 2 10	92.429 8 9	46.890 2 11	2382.271 1 3	213.646 8 7	3480.987 7 7
Ditto of Ganjam	207.512 9 1	4.23.563 12 4	81.481 7 1	28.503 2 1	1241.059 3 "	3.31.118 3 3	2313.238 4 10
Ditto of Salem Do.	316.047 9 2	87.446 14 11	1.00.013 10 2	51.310 9 10	1576.944 15 "	443.539 14 1	2525.353 9 2
Ditto of Dindigul Do.	422.982 12 9	475.431 15 8	1.40.659 5 10	82.543 10 2	2642.106 1 2	286.055 8 10	4019.779 6 6
Vellore and Arcole	332.996 13 5	130.402 7 2	99.184 5 1	14.171 11 9	2224.475 13 2	169.229 2 6	3020.469 5 1
Do. Do. of Arcot Do.	445.052 5 3	90.445 9 7	1.66.573 4 "	8.089 3 7	2367.582 10 4	611.628 15 1	3769.374 15 10
Do. Do. of Arcot Do.	654.392 8 2	129.246 2 2	131.978 10 3	9.707 15 11	1904.202 9 6	440.821 10 10	3170.347 8 10
Trichinopoly	207.807 6 6	69.770 5 5	1.23.978 4 11	26.363 10 "	1787.123 11 10	330.588 2 5	2645.631 9 1
Tinnevelly	530.841 2 3	149.367 11 2	87.444 " 5	822.248 " 11	1458.866 " 9	422.830 1 7	2472.096 1 1
Kurnool Territory	2560.224 14 7	1166.945 11 11	2.57.651 6 8	163.227 11 5	1210.490 14 10	457.246 6 "	5815.787 1 5.
Total Company's Rupees	1201.1.678 1 5	580.5208 14 11	2.93.524 3 8	23.42.633 15 1	4131.8.661 1 3	954.7.581 4 5	7444.9.287 8 9.

Errors Excepted 7th. /Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
Accountt. General.

Ordered

6605 / 6606 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

20-21

Read the following letter.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Agent to the Govr. of Fort St. George in Tanjore.

22

Sir.

In returning to you the accompanying Petition from one Tomasoondraputnaik transmitted with Mr. Lovell's letter of the 16th December last, I beg to state that I have nothing to report but what is fully set forth in the Endorsement given to the Petitioner by Mr. Anstruther.—

The Petitioner is possessed of some four hundred Burmuns of land in the Rammpallee Village, he claims to hold it rent free, but it has been shewn by the Accounts of the last five and Twenty Years that he has always submitted to an Annual Jenit Rent of Rupees 35 to the Zemindar. He has lately quarrelled with the Zemindar, and hence the complaint but I see no reason for any summary interference in his behalf seeing his long indifference to the charge.—

So much for the facts of the case in the Argumentation and conclusions drawn therefrom by Mr. Anstruther I do not participate.—

Office of the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George, in Tanjore Chitterpore 2nd May 1845.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Actg. Agent to the Governor.

Resolution thereon,— The Acting Agent is requested to ascertain if practicable and report the grounds upon which the Petitioner's land was exempted from land tax previous to the Permanent Settlement the plea on which Cuttoobady was afterwards imposed upon it by the Zemindar. He will also be pleased to state whether any engagement was entered into between the parties at that time whether the land be now in possession of the same party who held it at the Permanent Settlement or of one of the same family. and if not by what means Petitioner has acquired it also the proportion borne by the Cuttoobady to the Annual value of the land.— Read.

22-23

Comp. Rec.

29th May 1845.

6607 Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Read the following letter

To T. Sycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

24

Sir

From T. A. Anstruther Esqre late Asst Coll. of Ganjam — Para 1. The present Acting Collector of Ganjam in a letter dated the 3d Instant informs me that he has not advised an appeal from the Decree of the Principal Suder ameen of Vizagapatam in Original Suit No 199 of 1843 concerning the right to water from Daragudda Channel in the Weedada Talook of Ganjam he cannot say what the determination of Government may be on the point.

2. To save the useless trouble of a double appeal on the same side I beg you will do me the favour to inform me whether it is the intention of the Board or of Government that that Suit shall be appealed. Should such not be intended, I intend to appeal myself and prove the reasoning finding and Decree of the Suder Adalut in Appeal Suit No 23 of 1843 the circumstances of which are very similar I should expect a Decree in my favour.

3. My right to appeal is grounded on my being made personally a party by having

to

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

to pay the Costs under Orders of Government.

4 For the information of the Board I beg to forward a printed Copy of the Suder Adalats Decree above named, which I request may be returned to me.

Rajahmundry 12th May 1845 } / Signed / T. A. Anstruther late Actg Collr of Ganjam

Order that the following letter be sent in reply.

To T. A. Anstruther Esquire late Acting Collector of Ganjam

(24.25)

To T. A. Anstruther Esqre late Actg Collr of Ganjam } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 12th Instant requesting to be informed whether it is intended that an appeal shall be preferred from the Decree of the Principal Suder Ameen of Vizagapatam in O. S. No 199 of 1843 on the part of Govern=ment.

In Board's 29th May

2. In reply I am instructed to state that in Para 4 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th January 1845 Government directed that the Suit should not be further contested unless the present Acting Collector

In Board's 13th February 1845

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Collector were of opinion that the case could be established against the Plaintiffs on appeal a reference on the subject was made by the Board to Mr Smollett who has in reply stated that in his judgment an appeal would not be successful and further that the time for preferring the appeal has long since expired. Mr Smollett's letter was submitted by the Board to Govern=ment on the 3d April last no answer has yet been received and it appears to the Board to be doubtful whether under the circumstances explained Government will consider it necessary to pass any further orders upon the subject.

To Actg Collr of Ganjam 13th February 1845

From Dy 15th March in Boards 3d April

3. The printed Copy of the Decree of the Suder Adalut which accom=panied your letter is herewith returned.

Revenue Board Office Fort St George 29th May 1845 } / Signed / T. Pycroft Secretary

Read the following

Returns

Return

6610

(26)

Fort St. George

29th May 1845

Return of Tasseldars of the

District of Ganjam on the 30th April 1845

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Swumah-=lundy Rs. A. P.	Pay Rs. A. P.	Length of service as Tasseldar in the present Talook Y. M. D.	Total length of service as Tasseldar in this Collectorate	Employments previous to appointment as Tasseldar and length of Service in such Employments	Total length of service	Remarks
									Years M. days	Years M. days
Chanoor Sooreanarain-=row and Birth-place Pudmanabum of the Hillah of Vizagapatam	Bra-=min	57 years	Goom-=sur	1114 5 7	13 11 120 0 0	From 21st March 1836 to 30th April 1845 9 1 6	9 1 6	As English Writer in the Sub Collectors' Office of Ganjam from 9th September 1829 to 27th April 1834	4 7 19	15-7-24
								As Head Goomastah in Dº from 28th April to 8th July 1834	" 2 11	
								As Wodia Javabnevis in Dº from 9th July to 30th September 1834	" 2 23	
								As English Writer in Dº from 1st October 1834 to 1st June 1835	" 8 1	
								As English Deputy accountant in the Collectors' Office of Ditto from 2d June to 31st August 1835	" 2 29	
								As translator in Dº from 1st September 1835 to 25th March 1836	" 6 25	
									6 6 18	
Tunkasalah Rama-=chendrarow and Birth place Cumbum of the Hillah of Cuddapah	Dº	60	Dº Wadadah	134 2 3	2 100 0 0	From 1st May 1841 to 30th April 1845 4 0 0	4 0 0	As Moonshee under the Tasseel-=dar of Doapanda Cumlapoor of the Hillah of Cuddapah from 1st March 1815 to 30th September 1816	1 7 0	23-6-11
								As Carkoon at Cumbum of Dº from 1st June 1816 to 11th July 1829	11 1 11	
								As Town Police at Chicacole of the Ganjam District from 1st November 1833 to 30th September 1835	1 11 0	
								As Sheristadar of Wadadah Talook of Dº from 1st June 1836 to 30th April 1841	4 11 0	
									19 6 11	

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

6611

Fort St. George.

29th May 1845.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Summah: Sundy	Pay	Length of service as Tasseldar in the present Taloak	Total length of service as Tasseldar in this Collectorate	Employments previous to appointment as Tasseldar and length of service in such employments.	Total length of service	Remarks.
						y m D	y m D		y m D	
Mayoondar Vurdungarow and Birth place Chicacole of the Zillah of Ganjam	Bramin	51 Years.	Poba-condah	93723	9 2 100	From 16th January 1842 to 31st April 1845 3 3 10	3 3 10	As Peishcar of Santoor in the Zillah of Vizagapatam from 18th June to 14th August 1824	2	
								As Sausneen of Surpingee of the District of Ganjam from 2d to 21st February 1826	20	
								As Do of Tickaly of Do from 1st May to 10th July 1826	2 10	
								As Do of Do of Do from 6th April to 21st August 1827	4 16	
							3 3 16	As Do of Blankee of Do from 25th November 1827 to 15th December 1828	9 11	
								As Do of Tickaly of Do from 9th February to 2d March 1830	22	16 4 1
								As Sayar Goomastah in the Hoozoor Cutcherry of Do from 21st August 1830 to 25th May 1832	1 9 5	
								As Sheristah Goomastah in Do of Do from 26th May 1832 to 25th Do 1833	1	
								As Do Dy Do in Do of Do from 26th Do 1833 to 31st January 1834	8 6	
								As Do Head Do in Do of Do from 1st February 1834 to 15th Do 1842	7 11 15	
									13 15	
Comaragery Venkatachellum and Birth place Gopavaram of the Talook of Budvale in the Zillah of Cuddapah	Do	33	Do	Mekaz-pore	39144	2 4 100	From 29th February 1842 to 30th April 1845 3 2 2	As second Goomastah in the Sub Coll.rs Office of Ganjam from 10th June 1836 to 26th February 1842	5 8 19	8 10 21
							3 2 2			

Ganjam 19th May 1845

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Ag Collector

E. E. Jr

Return

6012

Fort St. George

Return of Tasildars of the Collectorate of Tanjore

27

29th May 1845.

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age Years	Age Months	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay per Annum	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Years	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Months	Total Length of Service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Years	Total Length of Service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Months	Employments previous to the Appointment as Tasildar and Length of service in each employment and in what District.	Years	Months	Years	Months
					Rs a p	Rs	Years	Months							
Naraen Row of Coimbatore	Bramin	44	"	Trivady	3,75,672	120	3	6	6	3	Huzzoor Goomastah and Head Goomastah in Coimbatore	5	6		
											Davastanum Head Goomastah in Tanjore	2	10		
											Davastanum Seristadar in Dº	5	5		
											Sayer Amildar in Dº	1	1		
												14	10	21	1
Sama Row of Vittore	Dº	44	"	Porapanasam	2,40,599	210	1	11	12	4	Division Goomastah and Savabnivis in Tanjore	1	7		
											Division Head Savabnevis in Madura	3	9		
											Tasildar in Dº	1	4		
											Tasildar in Trichinopoly	1	6		
											Davastanum Tasildar in Dº	"	3		
												8	5	20	9
Arcolumnum-dum Pillay of Carricat	Soodra	78	"	Trivalore	2,14,668	7	10	3	11	3	11	Reskear at Paralum Talook in Tanjore	3	10		
											Deputy Tasildar at Combaconum and Sheally in Dº	6	9		
											Acting Tasildar at Combaconam and Trivalore in Dº	"	7		
												11	2	15	1

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

6 0613

Fort St. George

29th May 1845.

Names and Place of Birth.	Caste	Age Years	Age Months	Talook	Amount of Collections Rs a P	Pay per Annum Rs	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Years	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Months	Total Length of service as Tasildars in this Electorate. Years	Total Length of service as Tasildars in this Electorate. Months	Employments previous to the Appointment as Tasildar and Length of service in each employment and in what District.	Years	Months	Total Service Years	Total Service Months
Naraia Row of Bavangherry	Bramin	41	"	Heevalore	28,195 6 4	120	1	5	9	8	Huzzoor Savabuivesh in the Southern Division of Arcot	14	2	23	10
											Huzzoor Stamp Joomastah in Tanjore	"	7		
											Dº Revenue Dº in Ditto	7	5		
Tyaga Row of Singamellore	Dº	38	"	Thetcrapondy	28,427 11 9	120	"	"	6	"	Mazumdar at Puttoocottah & Codavapel Talook in Dº	2	6		
											Deputy Tasildar of Senjepetty in Dº	1	5		
												11	11	17	11
Punchanadien of Trivady	Dº	39	"	Manargoody	26,578 14 11	120	1	"	2	6	Huzzoor Davastanum Sumpretty in Tanjore	"	11		
											Dº Savabuivesh in Dº	1	6		
											Dº Magistrate head Savab=nevish in Ditto	5	6		
											Police Ameen at Tanjore	1	6		
												9	5	11	11
Vencut Row of Wadoomala Cultay	Dº	54	"	Nunnil=lum	23,690 4 9	100	1	"	12	"	Talook Seristadar in Tinnevelly	2	"		
											Police Ameen in Salem	"	5		
											Peishcar in Coimbatore	3	1		
											Tasildar in Ditto	2	2		
											Talook seristadar in Dº	4	10		
											Tasildar in Trichinopoly	"	2		
												13	8	24	8

0 6614

Fort St. George

29th May 1845

Names and Place of Birth	Caste	Age Years	Age Months	Talook	Amount of Collections	Per Centage	Length of Service as Tasildar in the present Talook Years	Months	Total Length of Service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Years	Months	Employments previous to the Appointment as Tasildar and Length of Service in each employment and in what District.	Years	Months	Total Service Years	Months
					Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Years	Mos.							
Apsoo Row of Tanjore	Bramin	55	"	Cotaveyal	2,15,618	8 6	100	1	"	5	4	Hoozoor Sayer Jemmadah in Tanjore	4	10		
												Do. Javabneviss in the Myaverum Division in Do.	2	11		
												Do. Magistrate Head Javabneviss in the Myaverum Division in Do.	11	4		
												Police Ameen of Negapatam in Do.	3	3		
												Acting Tasildar of Chivalore in Do.	"	2		
													22	6	27	10
Narainpillay of Manargoody	Soodra	37		Myaveram	2,50,800	3 7	100	9	6	11	8	Sayer Cumum Manayagar Salt Sumpity, Rokcar and Deputy Tasildar in Tanjore	22	1	33	9
												Huzzoor Dufter Javabneviss of the Myaverum Division in Do.	1	11		
												Do. Magistrate Javabneviss of the Myaverum Division in Do.	8	2		
												Do. Head Javabneviss of Do. in Do.	3	3		
												Police Ameen of Negapatam in Do.	1	10		
													15	2	18	8
Culliana Ramiah of Combaconum	Bramin	41	"	Combaconum	2,57,435	3 6	110	1	5	3	6					

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

6615

Fort St. George

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age Years	Age Months	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay per Measem	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Years	Length of service as Tasildar in the present Talook Months
					Rs as p	Rs		
Vencat Row of Durmapoory	Bramin	31	~	Sheally	2,45,229	10 6 100	~	6
Gopaul Row of Pondicherry	Do	35	~	Valinga = man	2,15,909	57 100	~	6

29th May 1845.

Total Length of service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Years	Months	Employments previous to the appointment as Tasildar and Length of service in each employment and in what District.	Years	Months	Total Service Years	Months
		Pymash Goomastah in the Huzzoor from 25th November 1829 to 11th July 1834 in Tanjore	4	7		
		Mazundar in Tritaray poondy and Nunnillum Talook in Do from 18th July 1834 to 4th August 1837	3	2		
	6	Deputy Tasildar in Trivalore, Codavasel, Mannargoody and Tritoray poondy Talooks and Sumpretty in Do	7	~		
		Acting Tasildar of Paupanasem Talook in Do	~	1		
			14	10	15	14
		Revenue Javahnivess at Huzzoor from 4th April 1827 to 30th September 1841 in Tanjore	14	5		
	6	Deputy Tasildar of Codavasel and Police Ameen at Sengipatty and Tanjore from October 1841 to April 1844	3	7		
		Tasildar of Puttoo Cottah and Paupanasem Talook in Tanjore	~	6		
			18	6	19	~

6616

Fort St. George

9th March 1845

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age Years	Age Months	Talook	Amount of Collections	Pay of Peishcar	Length of Service as Tasildar in the present Talook Years	Length of Service as Tasildar in the present Talook Months	Total Length of Service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Years	Total Length of Service as Tasildar in this Collectorate Months	Employments previous to the appointment as Tasildar and Length of Service in each Employment and in what District.	Years	Months	Total service Years	Total service Months
					Rs a p	Rs a p	years	Mos							
Sooba Row of Manargoody	Bramin	45	"	Puttoo Cottah	1,64,699 12 2	85 " 6					Maramut Deputy Superintendent at Coimbatore from 15th December 1823 to 26th February 1826	2	2		
											Maramut Superintendent at Coimbatore from 26th March 1826 to 21st October 1838	12	8		
									"	6	Tasildar at Errode in Coimbatore from 15th March to 3d April 1844	"	1		
											Tasildar of Maudacolum in Madura from 14th January to the end of April 1843	"	3		
												15	2	15	8
Kistna Row of Mulliem	Dº	44		Paralum	2,56,673 6 7	100	2	6	2	6	Sundoomoodel Ameen at Combaconum Talook in Tanjore	"	5		
											Pymash Coomastah at Shealy Talook in Dº	"	4		
											Deputy Tasildar at Trivady and Puttoo Cottah Talook in Dº	"	11		
											Reshear of Combaconum and Valengman Talook in Dº	3	11		
											Deputy Tasildar at Myaveram and Shealy Talook in Dº	9	3		
												14	10	17	4
No Tasildar was appointed in this Talook on the 30th April 1845	"	"		Coottalum	2,86,555 4 10	100	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Tanjore 30th April 1845. C. C. Jr

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Ordered on the two foregoing Returns - Ordered to be recorded

26-28

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

29

Sir,

From the Collr of Chingleput stating the services of Narraiah and the duties performed by him prior to his late promotion as Head Sheristadar

Para 1. With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 15th Instant, I have the honor to state that P. Narraiah's service extends to a period of 36 years 5 Months and 15 days, as hereunder specified. -

	Years	M.	Ds.
Goomastah, Diary Writer and Native Register in the Zillah Court of Verdachellum from January 1808 to December 1821 as per M. Gowans Certificate dated 5th December 1824	14	—	—
Sheristadar of the Chittum-baram Talook in South Arcot from September 1822 to June 1824	1	—	9 — 25
Head Monshee in the Chingleput District from 26th June to 30th November 1824	—	5	— 5
Naib Sheristadar in the Chingleput District from 1st December 1824 to 15th February 1845 when Narraiah was promoted as Head Sheristadar	20	—	2 — 15
Total period	36	—	5 — 15

2. - While employed as Naib Sheristadar

Narraiah

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

† See Board's Proceedings 7th March 1831.

Narraiah not only assisted the Sheristadar in transacting the current duties of the several Departments, but also conducted the survey of the District until 1833, from which period until 1835 he also had the immediate charge of the Extra Revenue Departments, and was occasionally employed in several important Revenue duties, such as the annual settlement of the Talooks and the

† Vide Mr. Macleane's letter to the Board dated 12th October 1834. Para 13.

adjustment of the large balances outstanding for many years against alienated Villages &c. On the 1st January 1836, he was again placed at the head of the Survey Department in which he continued until the end of 1840, and from that time he conducted the Revenue duties of a portion of the District and assisted the Sheristadar in the general Manage-ment —

3. The Salary of 175 Rupees originally sanctioned for the survey Sheristadar was disbursed until June 1827, and from January 1836 it was paid under the sanction of Government dated 22nd December 1835, at the rate of 100 Rupees to a temporary Naib Sheristadar who was employed to act as Naib Sheristadar whilst Narraiah was employed in the Survey Department. This arrangement ceased from February 1841 and Narraiah has since continued to perform without any additional remuneration the duties of the Survey Depart-ment as connected with the Talooks already settled and the

6819 Fort St George 29th May 1845.

the Zemindary and Shoteums Villages annually reverting to Government —

Chinglepute — }
Collectors Cutchery, — } (Signed) A. Freese
Conjeveram 19th May 1845. } Collector —

29/30/7
Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again in —
(Read again in Cons 12th June) Circulation —

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George —

31

From A. Freese Esqre Collector of Chinglepute & Cavr. Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instant requiring further information relative to the annual allowance granted to the Pagoda at Soobramaney aproorum, and to submit the subjoined statement shewing the annual Collections of the Village, and the amount paid to the Pagoda from Fusly 1236 A.D. 1826-27. to 1252 A.D. 1842-43 —

		Collections			amount paid		
		Ps	F	C	Ps	F	C
Fusly	1236	34	-	-	34	-	-
"	1237	60	-	-	60	-	-
"	1238	81	-	-	70	-	-
"	1239	103	12	-	70	-	-
"	1240	74	-	-	70	-	-
"	1241	43	4	-	43	4	-
"	1242	19	4	8	19	4	8
"	1243	22	12	-	22	12	-
"	1244	23	8	-	23	8	-
"	1245	31	8	-	31	8	-
"	1246	9	13	5	9	13	5
"	1247	50	-	-	50	-	-
"	1248	77	10	10	70	-	-
"	1249	77	10	1	70	-	-
"	1250	78	10	4	70	-	-
"	1251	84	4	5	70	-	-
"	1252	100	13	1	70	-	-
Totals for 17 years		971	14	10	852	2	1
Average		57	2	9	50	3	11

6820 Fort St George 29th May 1845.

Sheep 3 — 14 — —
Cows 7 — 16 — 10
Buffaloes — 24 — 69 — —
Sheep — 25 — 164 — 5 — 4
Land — 92 — 141 — 10 — 2
Annuity — 141 — 305 — 10 — 2

300 Rupees

2. The assessment fixed on the Village according to the survey, amounts to Rupees 304 — 10 — 2, as shewn in the margin, and the average Collections for the last five years, during which the survey assessment has been collected, is Rupees 83 — 12 — 11 as this amount might in all ordinary seasons continue to be realized — I think the annual allowance may be fixed at 70 Rupees —

3- I must at the same time bring to the notice of the Board that a similar fluctuatory allowance has been granted to the Pagoda of Cholaveram under the orders of the Board dated 1st June 1835 —

Chinglepute District Collectors Cutchery, — — Conjeveram 19th May 1845. } (Signed) A. Freese Collector —

31-32 Resolution thereon — With reference to Para 3 of the foregoing letter, the Board request the Collector will furnish a Statement shewing the surplus revenue of the Village of Cholaveram, after deducting Government Rent, from Fusly 1244 to Fusly 1253, together with the allowance annually paid during those years to the Pagoda of that Village —

To

6021 Fort St George 29th May 1845.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(33)

From the Collr of Chingleput

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instant, forwarding for my report, a Petition from certain Merasidars of Aminjeecaray, and to state in reply that although the Village is entered in the accounts as a Pasumkany Village, yet the Merasidars have according to the admission of the Petitioners, held the lands in lease shares without any interchange for many years past in undivided enjoyment for a great length of time and several of them have also individually mortgaged portions of their lands. The Merasidar of one of the six shares who sold the piece of land mentioned in the Petition in January 1843, having held the exclusive possession of it for many years past, and all, except the Holders of one and half shares, as well as the Village Curnum having subscribed as witnesses the Bill of sale and given their written consent to the transfer, I did not consider it proper to interfere in the matter and therefore referred the objecting parties to

6022 Fort St George 29th May 1845.

to the Civil Court.

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry, Conjeeveram, 19th May 1845.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(33-34)

Under the explanations furnished in the foregoing letter the Board approve the course adopted by the Collector.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 467 of 1845.

The Board having received a report from the Collector on the subject of this Petition see no reason to disturb his decision.

Read again letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 5th and entered in Consultations 10th February last.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.

(35)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit to the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot, reporting upon the Balances outstanding in that District from Fusly 1240 to the close of Fusly 1252 and recommending that Rupees 66070-2-5 may be written off.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of North Arcot reporting upon the Balances outstanding in that District from Fusly 1240 A.D. 1830-31 to the close of Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 and

Fusly 1255 A.D. 1845

2.

The total amount of Balances at the

and recommending that the irrecoverable portion of it amounting to Rs. 62818-14-7 may be written off—

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

the close of fusly 1252 (1842-43) was Rupees 184221-14-4 of which Rupees 102523-8-5 are classed as recoverable and the remainder Rupees 81698-5-11 as "doubtful and irrecoverable"—

3. Of the recoverable portion Rupees 9500 are due on account of the Canetungur Zemindary and Rupees 7523-8-5 for Land Revenue, Pyotwas and Casual sources: both items it is stated will be realized in due course.—

4. The irrecoverable portion of the balance is classed as follows.—

Doubtful			
By Death Desertion and Poverty	53464	15	5
Objected to	9524	1	1
Crops perished	6945	10	8
Land left waste	2330	13	4
Loss in Remittance	4	—	—
Due by Ryots transferred to the Chinglepat District	111	7	5
	81698	5	11

5. Of the amount entered as doubtful Rupees 15628-3-6 appear against Mr Turner the Abkarry Renter in Fusly 1252 (1842-43) pending the adjustment of his claims to Remission, founded on the Military Abkarry Renters at Vellore having established Shops within the limits of the Civil contractor. These claims are in course of settlement and will be

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

reported on separately. The entire sum is in deposit. The remaining portion of the item "doubtful" is Rupees 13,689-2-6 due by Ryots: as this amount cannot be collected without sale of Property, thus reducing the Parties by whom it is due to Poverty. the Board concur in opinion with the Collector, that the demand should not be enforced.—

6. Under the head of "objection" Rupees 43-1—0 advanced in fusly 1242 (1832-33) for the repair of a Ghoree, and Rupees 10-6-11 in fusly 1249 (1839-40) as Batta to Bearers appear as arrears of Land Revenue, the advances having been made out of the Village collections. separate sanction should be obtained for these items and credit given to the Villages in which the disbursements were made. The Acting Collector will be instructed to this effect. The explanation contained in Para 6 of the letter now submitted, regarding the other items composing the Head of "objection" is considered satisfactory. Items of this description should not be allowed to remain unadjusted and should be disposed of in the following year. The attention of the local authorities will be called to this rule. The claim of the Canarese

of—

Minutes of Cons. 15th inst. No 20 dated 28th January 1845.

Fort St George 29th May 1845.

of Codeangee has been admitted by Government.—

7. The amount which appears against the Curnum of Codiangee is blended with a small sum due on account of Enam Jodee. The Acting Collector will be called upon to explain whether the land included under this item as well as that referred to in the item of Land left waste" Rupees 2,330-13-4, being lands assessed with a Jodee have become incorporated with lands paying full assessment, and if not to explain on what grounds they are retained under the present tenure. In the mean time the Board are not prepared to recommend that these items should be written off.—

Jodee on Enam Rupees 862-14-7
Land left waste. — — — 2330-13-4
3193-11-11.

8 It is necessary that the Acting Collector should apply separately for sanction for Rupees 4, Loss on "Remittance" being money taken by a Peon which appears to be irrecoverable, and Credit given to the Village to which it appertains.—

9 Deducting the items objected to there is an outstanding balance of Rupees 62,818-14-7 which being quite irrecoverable, the Board beg to recommend may be written off.—

Amount as recommended by the Collector — — — — — 66070-2-5.
Deduct
Advances for repairs of Gharee — — — — 23-1-4
Do Batta to Bearers — — 10-6-11
Jodee and Land left waste 3193-11-11
Loss on Remittance — — 4 — —
3257-3-10.
62818-14-7

Fort St George 29th May 1845.

10. The Acting Collector will be directed to enter in each Ryot's Puttah his proportion of the Balance which may be written off in conformity with the sanction for which application is now made.—

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot — 15 December 1843 inst. 11 January 1844. Board's Proceedings 6th June 1844.

11. The correspondence referred to in the Margin of Para 1 of the letter now submitted is also herewith transmitted. It will be seen that the report of the Balances made in the first instance attended to Fusly 1250 (1840/41) and that the Board in calling for further information regarding certain items composing these Balances also directed that the Balances of Fusles 1251 (1841-42) and 1252 (1842-43) might be included and reported on which led to the transmission of the revised statement of Balances which forms the subject of the present communication.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George — — 29th May 1845. — —

Signed J. Dyercroft Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Salem dated 1st inst. and entered in Diary Consultation 22d Instant.—

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

(36)

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

To the Chief Secy. to Government—

Sir

In Cons. 24th April

With reference to Para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th April last No. 479. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward, for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of Salem, from which it will be seen that he has received instructions from the Foujdaree Adawlut to commit his Head writer, who has been charged with intercepting correspondence, to the Criminal Court for trial.

From Salem 1st in 27 Cons. 22d May 1845.

The result will be duly reported to Government.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George; 29th May, 1845.

(Signed) J. Sycroft Secretary.

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Read again the following Report from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 11th October 1843 on the settlement of his District for Fusly 1252—

In Cons. 19th Octr. 1843.

(37)

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1252

Para 2

(Here enter No. 87)

1. In Fusly 1251 the Village of Afsoor was entered as deserted—its settlement in Fusly 1252 exhibits a decrease of Rupees 128-9-7 below the original assessment but an increase in the extent of lands above Fusly 1250 of 40 Cawnies. The Collector has again allowed the Ryots to hold their lands on a reduced rate of assessment and thus the Village has been settled "Ryotwar." The amount of settlement as shewn in the Margin, would lead to the inference that there was some other cause for a decrease of Revenue than the partial injury by Salt washed down from the neighbouring Village in the Tondaimans Country. Previous to Fusly 1250 this cause would seem not to have operated against the Cultivation of the lands, and the Board desire the Collector to explain when it first took effect, and whether the Ryots who cultivate the lands in Afsoor now are

	Rs. a. p.
Settlement in Fusly 1247	1110-8-8
" 1248	1359-14-0
" 1249	1086-1-3
" 1250	1100-0-0
" 1251	—-—-—
" 1252	603-5-1

Collector's letter dated 17th in Cons. 29th September 1843 Para 4

are the same who occupied them previous to Fusly 1250 and he will also state if they possess or cultivate lands in other Villages when those in Assoon are left waste - In the mean time the Collector will not allow the lands to be held at a reduced rent without the sanction of the Board.-

2- The season is represented to have been unfavorable. Slight and partial rain fell during the Cultivation of the early dry Crops. Heavier, but still partial rains fell in September, and in October the Monsoon Continued with vio: -lence, and was injurious to the Cur & Harvest:- Para 4 The prices of Grain were slightly enhanced at the end of the season but the lamentable effects of the Cholera upon the labouring Classes or Pullers and the disease of the Cattle interrupted the Course of industry and with ample supplies of water from the Channels of irrigation, there was a falling off in the wet Talooks which might have been still more serious the Collector observes, had not rain fallen opportunely in the Month of January. The meaning of the Collector is not clearly expressed, but the Board are led to infer that notwithstanding an abundant supply of water in the Channels the irrigation in

Common

Common with other labors of the Field, was neg: -lected in consequence of the panic caused by the Cholera, and that the fall of rain in January was opportune, furnishing water to the Crops which, without it, would have suffered.-

Para 5- 3- The Collector has given an abstract Statement of the extent of cultiva: -tion in the dry Talooks only. shewing a de: -crease of Cawnies 17,486-54-5½ Dow lay looking however to Statement A the Total Decrease of Cultivation both in the wet and dry Talooks Compared with Fusly 1251 will be found to be as follows.-

	Wet Talooks.			Dry Talooks.			Total.		
Fusly 1251 —	190205	9	8½	133271:	66	6½	323479:	75	15
" 1252 —	183918:	92	8½	120633:	5:	7½	304551	98	-
Decrease —	6286	17	-	12641	60	15	18927	77	15

4 The following abstract State: -ment shews the result of the Not Settle: -ment of Fusly 1252 Compared with that of the previous year. and exhibits a decrease of Para 10 land Revenue amounting to Rupees 90,641-2-1 of which a decrease of Rupees 28,654-4-8 arises in the wet Talooks and the remainder Rupees 61,986-13-5 has occurred in the Dry Ta: -looks of the District.-

Items

6631

For A. 1st George

Fusly 1251

Items	Lands	Assessment			
	Cau	Goon	Rupees	a	p
Nunjah or wet	95,700	125/15	7,62,659	4	3
Poonjah or Dry	20,1837	62 27/32	1,81,068	4	4
Bagayet or Garden	2292.2	25/32	12,674	4	8
Total	323479	75 15/16	13,70,471	12	11
Ready money Collection			29,896	15	11
Grand Total			14,00,368	12	10
Deduction: Shavie			1,762	5	5
Deduction: Aleghaul or Betel			3,306	8	2
Deduction: Iwaz Lumme			810	14	7
Total			5,843	12	2
Remaining Shrotrium Tax Peishcush and Quit Rent			13,94,525	4	8
House Tax Dca			19,015	5	9
Grand Total			14,38,657	8	4

29th May 1845

Fusly 1252 Lands	Assessment	Increase Lands	Assessment	Decrease Lands	Assessment
Cau Goon	Rupees a p	Cau Goon	Rupees a p	Cau Goon	Rupees a p
91959	79 6/16 7,22,691 15 3			3740	32 15/16 39,967 5 4
19006	40 10/16 1,33,371 9 1			14777	22 7/32 1,76,996 10 11
22531	78 1,23,047 2 11			410	22 25/32 3,697 1 9
304551	98 12,79,110 11 3			18927	77 15/16 9,1361 1 8
2765	1 6				2231 11 5
1306775	12 9				93593 4 1
349	6 7				1376 11 10
637	6 7				2669 1 7
1406	8 10	595	10 3		
2393	6 4				3450 6 2
1304382	6 9				90142 9 11
19015	5 9				
21648	9 5				498 8 2
1348046	5 11				90641 2 1

Fhe

Para 12 Col. 25. Statt. No 3

5 The Board observe that one item of increase is shewn to amount to Rupees 24939-3-4 "from lands newly taken up by Ryots "relinquishing a portion of the extent held "by them in Fusly 1251." The assessment of the portion which was relinquished however appears to have amounted to Rupees 87589-4-11½ If lands assessed at this sum were relin=quished by those who from various causes could not undertake the cultivation of the entire quantity of their holdings in Fusly 1251. it would have been satisfactory to have known how the Ryots who could not cultivate their usual lands, were permitted to take up new lands for those which they relinquished. There is an obvious inconsistency in this proceeding, and the Board trust it will hereafter be avoided by following out the rules of the revised Hookumnamah which have been directed to be enforced, and by which the relinquishment and exchange of lands will be properly regulated.—

Statement No 6

29th July 1844

Para 15

6 Any considerable emi=gration of Ryots should be verified as far as practicable and the Board request that the

Collector will satisfy himself in future that the Statements he may receive are not founded upon supposition. and will take measures to ascertain that Ryots proceeding to other Villages to cultivate as Parasoodries paying the reduced rate of Seerwa allowed in the Trichinopoly District, are not repre=sented to have emigrated to other places in search of subsistence.—

Para 7 From the Collr 1st in Cons 20th November 1843

7 The Report of the Collector in reply to the Boards Proceedings on the Settle=ment of Fusly 1251 in explanation of the Condition of the Village of Arasengody does not furnish the information which was ex=pected. The Board desire to know to what causes the falling off in this Village is to be attributed and particularly to what extent the inundations occasioned by the works at the head of the Vinnar have impaired its lands. In Fusly 1249 the Cultivation extend=ed to Cawnies 1000 in Fusly 1252 it extended only to Cawnies 611 and the decrease of Revenue as shewn in the Margin was nearly 37-15-2 per Cent. Mr. Onslow will be pleased

Fusly	Land Cawnies	Settlement Rupees-a-p
1249	1000	4,274-11-5
1252	611	2651-5-5
Decrease	389	1622-11-11

pleased to furnish a Statement shewing
the extent of land which has been destroy:
=ed or otherwise rendered incapable of cul:
=ture by the inundations alluded to, or by
any other cause and their assessment, with
any information respecting the difference
in the number of Pyots, Ploughs, &c. in the
two years now referred to.

Para 21. 8 The particulars of the in-
crease from Cowle lands are not to
be found in the Statements submitted
with the Collector's report, and the Board
have no means of judging of the prin:
=ciples upon which the Cowles have been
granted. A form of Statement used
in Poytuar Districts is now furnish:
=ed and Mr. Onslow will be pleased to adopt
it to the lands held under Cowle in his
District, and submit it hereafter with
his Settlement Accounts.

Para 29 9 The losses sustained by
individuals in consequence of the improve:
=ments of the works of irrigation in the
vicinity of the boundary of the Trichinopoly
District should form the subject of a sepa:
=rate communication with a detailed &c
Statement

A

Statement of each individual case. The
Board do not suppose that it is Mr. Onslow's
wish to prevent the improvement of the
works in Tanjore from which both the Go:
=vernment and the inhabitants of that Dis:
=trict derive such important benefits, because
individual losses may be experienced and
they would remark that exact accounts of
the losses of produce in Cowle should
have been obtained, so that no allowance
at the Settlement might have been grant:
=ed unless it was absolutely unavoidable.

10 On the Total Demand
Rupees 1348046-5-11- the amount of

Demand	1348046-5-11-	Rupees 1256592--11
Collection within the Fasly	1256592--11-	was collected with:
Balance	91454-5--	=in the Fasly. Sub:
Subsequent Collection	70375-11-2-	=sequent collections
Remaining Balance to the end of March 1815	21078-9-10-	to the end of March 1815 of Rupees

70375-11-2- leave a balance outstand:
=ing of Rupees 21078-9-10.- The
Statement marked No. 16 appears
to shew the Demand Collection and Balance
of

Para 7 & 34.

of 22 Villages in the Conaud Taluk to which the Merasidars belong who have refused to take first the general and afterwards the Individual Puttahs. The Board cannot learn from this Statement what their liabilities are. In one Village Moor-coor No 12 the Settlement of Fusly 1252 amounted to Rupees 1103-7-9 of which Rupees 119-1-1 were collected up to the 25th September 1843 leaving a balance of Rupees 984-6-8, the value of the recoverable property is stated on Estimate to be only Rupees 10-15-9. The Board desire the Collector will explain how the arrears in this Village have occurred, whether the lands were fully cultivated to the extent of the Settlement, and what became of the produce. He will further explain the necessity of continuing to grant general Puttahs when individual Puttahs are distributed. the refusal of the Merasidars to take a general Puttah for lands they do not occupy

occupy and thus to bind themselves for the liabilities of others seems no less natural than just, and the Board desire that these general puttahs may be entirely dispensed with.—

Boards Proceedings 9th February 1837 P 88
Do 12th March 1838 P 15
Do 22nd November 1838 P 21

Para 37

With respect to the arrears of Land Revenue due on account of previous years at the close of Fusly 1252 amounting to Rupees 56,71.6-13-5.— The Collector has been called upon to furnish separate and individual accounts shewing the particulars of the arrears he had proposed to be written off in his Communication of the 13th December 1843 and the Board request he will lose no time in supplying them with the information they require on this important subject.—

Boards Proceedings dated 28th January 1845

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards' Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.—

Ordered No 11 11th January 1846

Register

1838

Form

Register of lands held on Cowle in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1254.

Description of Land.	Term of years exclusive of the Fusly for which full Teerwah is payable. From Fusly	To Fusly	Total years	Further Term of Cowle after Fusly 1254.	How long the Cowle Land was made.	Condition of the Cowle land according to the Cowlenamah in force. 1st year	2nd "	3rd "	4th "	5th "	6th "	Extent of Cowle lands in Fusly 1253 Land	Deduct Decrease of Assessment	Deduct Decrease of Assessment	Net Teerwah	Transferred Land	Transferred Assessment
1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Dry Land																	
Garden Land																	
Wet Land																	
Grand Total																	

Total Punjah

Total Garden

Total Nunjah

(The addition of any Column or Columns to be made if necessary)

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

occupy and thus to bind themselves for the liabilities of others seems no less natural

Boards Proceedings 9th February 1837 P 88
Do —— 12th March 1838 P 15
Do —— 22nd November 1838 P 21

than just, and the Boards desire that these general suttahs may be entirely dispensed with.—

Para 37 11 With respect to the arears of Land Revenue due on account of previous years at the close of Fusly 1252 amounting to Rupees 56,71,6-13-5.— The Collector has been called upon to furnish separate and individual accounts shewing the particulars of the arears he had proposed to be written off in his communication of the 13th December 1843 and the Board request he will lose no time in supplying them with the information they require on this important subject.—

Boards Proceedings dated 28th January 1845-

Indent. 11th January 1844-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards' Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance.—

Register

29th May 1845.

Decrease in Fusly 1254.

	to full assessment		Land lying waste for certain causes.					Total		
	Dedct decrease of assessment	Net Revenue	Land	Assessment	Dedct decrease of assessment	Net Revenue	Land	Assessment	Dedct decrease of assessment	Net Revenue
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28

From St George 29th May 1845.

29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Remainder				Increase				for Fusly 1254				Total			
Land	Assessment	Deduct decrease of Assessment	Net Increase	Waste land newly cultivated in current Fusly	Assessment	Deduct decrease of Assessment	Net Increase	Quantity of land which after the enjoyment of Cowle for 1 or 2 years had lain untilled for certain causes but now again brought under cultivation	Assessment	Deduct decrease of Assessment	Net Increase	Land	Assessment	Deduct decrease of Assessment	Net Increase

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Total for Fusly 1854.

45	46	47	48	49	50	51
Land	Assessment	Deduct Decrease of Assessment	Net Increase	Deduct Coubs Remission	Net Increase after Collection	Remarks

1841

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Enclosure in a letter from the Actg. Asst. Collr. in Charge of Tah. dated 31st March 1845. No. 1253. 1843/44.

38

Proceedings thereon - 1.

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 10th August 1844 reporting on the Settlement of that District for Fusly A.D.

(Here enter No 791.

Until the 15th of September the season is represented to have been very unfavorable, the falls of rain were partial and insufficient for cultivation. From that period however until the end of October the Monsoon was seasonable. Subsequently the rains failed, there was no disappointment in the supplies from the river Cavery. but the Collector states that in consequence of the state of the Grain Market, there was a great falling off in the cultivation of the second crop. Low prices cannot be viewed as the cause for the usual second crop not having been cultivated if, as is not improbable, the Ryots have allowed their lands to lie fallow in order to secure a better first crop in the following year, their object should be explained, and as in other Districts the want of water alone is admitted as a bar to the cultivation of a 2d crop and to the payment of the rent, the Collector will report whether remission has been hitherto allowed for a 2d Crop, when with the means of cultivation it has not been raised - The Board remark

Statement Para. remark the price of Grain during the year rose 24 per Cent.

2. The Board regret to observe the grievous effects of the Cholera Morbus amongst the labouring classes during the year under review, which, conjointly with the high wages of labor in Ceylon, is stated to have induced several Pullers and other laborers to emigrate to that island. The total number of Emigrants in Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 was 1878.

Para 7. 3. The Collector states that the settlement was commenced in February and concluded in May, in all about four months. This seems to have been a deviation from former practice, November and December having been generally the Months in which the accounts of the land revenue came under examination. He observes that in two respects, Viz.t, "rejection from the Jummabundy of all waste lands" with some exceptions and Remissions on Patent Poonjee lands in the wet Talooks, the general principles of the settlement have been carried out to a fuller extent than they ever were before. The explanation of the Collector on this point is not clear, but the Board understand him to mean that when the Jummabundy is commenced at an early period as heretofore, the full extent of cultivation cannot be known, and consequently land which, it is expected will be

Cultivated

cultivated, is included in the Jummabundy, a portion of which from the circumstances of the season or other causes remains waste. For this it has been necessary to grant remission, but by commencing the Jummabundy at a period after the cultivation for the year has closed the real extent of cultivation is known, and such only carried to account. The Board conclude that the remarks of the Collector apply both to wet and Dry lands. The whole subject requires further and fuller explanation, which the Collector will be pleased to supply. He will also state under what circumstances the practice of commencing the Jummabundy early originated. As at present informed the Board are inclined to consider the arrangement made by Mr Onslow judicious as it affords the Talook and Huzzoor Cutcherries a fuller insight into the actual cultivation of the season and of the necessity or otherwise of admitting claims to remission.

Para 8. 4. The arrangements by which the settlement was commenced at a late period of the season are stated by the Collector to have enabled him to distribute individual Puttahs during his tour instead of postponing their distribution till the close of the Fusly. The Board desire that he will explain how the accounts were finally made up and whether the individual Chittahs were prepared and the Ryots were

made

made acquainted with the particulars thereof and were required to sign these Chittahs before their Puttahs were delivered to them —

Para 9. 5. Mr. Onslow has submitted the Settlement account of the Village Thennacaunum Collor to shew the severity with which the fixed cuttay charges or payments to the Village servants fall upon the Ryots without reference to the extent of cultivation in the Village. On a reference to the accounts of Villages received in their office with the annual settlement Report of the Trichinopoly District, the Board observe that the Beriz of this Village has varied from Fusly 1231 to Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1821/22 to 1842/43) as shewn in the Margin from Rupees 588-9-5 to Rupees 262-15-7 and they are at a loss to understand from what cause the Settlement has been reduced in the year under review to the very low amount of Rupees 38-0-11. In furnishing the account of the Village this extraordinary diminution of

Thennacaunum Collor Settlement

		Rs.	A	P	
for Fusly	1231	461	8	2	Fusly 1231
"	1232	461	8	2	
"	1233	584	8	2	
"	1234	588	9	5	Fusly 1252
"	1235	445	8	7	
"	1236	348	9	1	
"	1237	348	3	1	
"	1238	341	14	10	
"	1239	390	12	1	
"	1240	428	5	1	
"	1241	398	3	4	
"	1242	376	15	-	
"	1243	377	8	4	
"	1244	430	6	4	
"	1245	442	9	-	
"	1246	428	2	8	
"	1247	499	8	-	
"	1248	452	14	5	
"	1249	386	15	-	
"	1250	383	2	8	
"	1251	278	11	11	
"	1252	262	15	7	

of demand should have been made known to the Board and before they can take the question of the Cuttay charges into consideration they request that it may be fully explained. —

Para 10. 6. The inconvenience alluded to from the rate of assessment including a fraction may be obviated by calculating the total demand upon each Ryot according to the original assessment and then making the reduction of 15 per Cent as indicated in the Margin. This is the usual mode followed in other Districts in which a reduction of the original assessment has been sanctioned and no confusion has been found to arise from fractions. all that is required is a proper entry in the accounts and Puttahs of the Ryots.

	Assessment
Sugar Cane	1 — 5 — 5
Tobacco	1 4 — 4
	2 — 9 — 9
Remission of 15 per Cent	- 1 - 7
Remainder Settlement	— 2 - 8 - 2

Para 11 29th July 1844. The Collector has already received the Hor Roomnamah sanctioned by the Board for his guidance.

7. The following comparative abstract statement exhibits the decrease in the settlement of the land Revenue below that of Fusly 1252 (A.D. 1842/43) to the amount of Rupees 67,780-10-5. —

Fusly

1815 Fort St George 29th May 1815.

Items	Fusly 1252 Land	Fusly 1252 Assessment	Fusly 1253 Land	Fusly 1253 Assessment	Increase Land	Increase Assessment	Decrease Land	Decrease Assessment
1	2 bs gs	3 Rs as	4 bs gs	5 Rs as	6 bs gs	7 Rs as	8 bs gs	9 Rs as
Nunjah or Wet	91832 66 3/16	72178 3	88891 8 7/32	68874 6 5	- -	- -	2941 57 3/32	3297 3 10
Poonjah or Dry	189080 4 10/16	432225 9 9	182828 40 11/32	408306 6 -	- -	- -	6257 64 9/32	23919 3 9
Bagayet or Garden	22488 22 11/16	12289 5 9	21946 26 1/16	12036 15 11	- -	- -	541 76 7/16	285 7 10
Total	303400 93 1/2	127683 9 9	293665 94 15/16	121708 7 12 4	- -	- -	9734 98 11/16	5975 5 5
Ready Money fea	27665 1 6		26114 5 5		- -	- -		1550 12 1
Total	1304504 3 3		1243202 1 9		- -	- -		61302 1 5
Shavie or Dried	251 2 2		4183 11 1	3932 8 11			- -	- -
Aleghaub or Betel destroyed	637 6 7		2950 7 3	2313 - 8			- -	- -
Iwaz Cummee or Short produce	1406 8 10		434 - 7	- - -				972 8 3
Total	2295 1 7		7568 2 11	5273 1 4			- -	- -
Remaining	1302209 1 8		1235633 14 10	- -				66575 2 10
Shotrum tax Peishcush and Quit rent	19015 5 9		19028 14 11	13 9 2			- -	- -
House tax fea	24647 15 5		23428 14 8	- - -				1219 - 9
Grand Total	1345872 6 10		1278091 12 5	- -				67780 10 5

The

8 The low prices of the articles enumerated in para 13 can afford no grounds for a falling off in the extent of cultivation the abolition of the Sayer duties would obviously tend to lower their prices in the Market and at the same time promote their consumption. —

Para 20. 9. The Board request that the circumstances under which Poroopoo Anam land in the Village of Chintamany in Conaud has been sold may be made the subject of a separate letter and that they may be informed upon what principle the full assessment was demanded. —

Para 34. 10. The Collector states that in the remission of Rupees 4183-11-1 on account of withered Crops which exceeds the amount remitted in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 by Rupees 3932-8-11. no claims were admitted in which the loss did not exceed 5 per Cent - In the detailed accounts of the Village of Moosery submitted with his report it is observed that one Ryot Poovalinga Pillay holding 201 Cawnies 58 Goontahs of Wet and Dry lands and paying rent inclusive of ready money Collections to the amount of Rs. 667-11-4 has been allowed to

No 51 Poovalinga Pillay in the Village of Moosery -

	No 1 Cawnies	G.	a	Amount Rs. A. P.
Nunjah land	75	34	6¾	539-15-1.
Poonjah Do	105	3	10	35-13-5.
Totacal Do	21	20	3	90-12-4.
	201	58	3¾	666-8-10.
Soornadoyem				1-2-6
Total				667-11-4
Deduct Molapaul or Crop becoming rotten as it shoots from the ground				3-15-4
Net Settlement				663-12-4.

to claim the remission of Rupees 3-15-4 on account of Sharee being less than 1 per Cent. — The Collector will be pleased to explain this entry —

Para 40. 11. Of the total Demand Rupees 1278-9-1. 12-6. the sum of Rupees 1269-6-4-3 was collected within the Fusly and subsequent collections to the end of March 1845 of Rupees 3698-9-4 leave a balance of Rupees 4746-14-10. —

Para 40 Marked A 12. The Statement submitted by the Collector in explanation of remission to be granted amounting to Rupees 297-11-6. is considered satisfactory, as it appears that these items ought not to have been included in the Jummahbundy the Board beg to recommend that the amount may be written off as suggested by the Collector after the completion of the accounts of the settlement -

Para 45. 13. The proposition of the Collector to expose lands attached for arrears of Revenue to sale without previous reference to the Board is opposed to the Regulations. — Reg. XXV of 1802 Sec. XI Reg III of 1828 Sec. IV.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be fowarded to the Collector of Trichinopoly for his information and guidance. —

Read

Read the following letters.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

39

39
From the Pt Collr of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy of plaint and proposed answer with translates in O.S. No 7 of 1845 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura, in trust the Government may think proper to maintain the defence at the public expense. The time fixed for filing the answer expiries on the 11th Current and extension would have been procured but for the adjournment of the Court till the 28th Current which it is trusted will afford sufficient time for the Board's Commands.

Madura 10th May 1845

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No 1.

Translate of a Plaint in Suit No 7 of 1845 on the file of the Subordinate Court of Madura.

Plaintiff — Versus — Defendants.

Valengopooley Tauer Sons Vellaya Tauer Zamindar of Chockumpatty in Tinnevelly and aged about 60 years. Thro' Vakeel Arnachellum Pillay.

1 J. Blackburne Esqre
2 Mr Surveyor Ross.
3 Permal Maistry.

This suit is for recovery from Defendants of a portion of the Plaintiffs Garden valued at

at 7 Rupees situated within the boundaries specified below measuring 15 by 189 Yards after removal of an outlet newly made on it, as well as Rupees 27 being loss of produce and trees cut down, and for the Plaintiff being allowed to raise hedges on the North and South sides of the new opening for protection of the fruits of the Cocoa and Mango trees on the east of the opening valued at 26 Rupees, and enjoyment of them as hitherto to exclusion of any interference on the part of the Defendants.

1. The Plaintiff enjoyed the Molgoocary Garden situated on the Southern bank of the Vighey west of Dhunsing Thobay Jees Garden, north of Anoopanady Channel running East to west, East of Mootooramalingum Pillays Garden and South of the Vighey, with all the trees on it purchased about 20 years ago, in his own right.

2. The Defendants formed a new outlet to the North of Sellathummon Coil, but instead of leading it thro Dhunsing Thobayjees Garden, the position of which is in straight line with it, deverged for a distance of 20 yards East to west, and unjustly took in lieu of it the portion in question lying west of it, which never was at all an outlet, and which the

Plaintiff

Fort St. George 29th. May 1845.

Plaintiff has hitherto enjoyed in his own right inclosed by strong hedges. In May 1844 the Defendants removed the hedges on the North and South of the Compound and took the above portion contrary to Regulation II of 1820, and as Carts, cattle &c. pass through since that time it not only caused loss of fruits of 25 Cocoa and of 4 Mango trees, situated 13 yards east of the new opening, but destruction of a Margosa tree that stood within the Compound of the Garden, to the North of the Aniopanady Channel, which was cut down under the orders of the 2d. and 3d. Defendants.——

3.

On Plaintiffs application to the 1st. Defendant, under date the 1st. July of that year, thro' his Interpreter Coottanlanada Pillay he passed an Endorsement on the 4th. of that Month to effect "that the formation of an outlet thro' the Garden of Dhunsing Shobayjee would not only have necessitated destruction of many Cocoa and other trees, paying tax to Government, and the building of a Permal Pagoda and a Bungalow, but that also it was neither a direct way to Sellore, nor one likely to be frequented, that because the spot in question was unoccupied, and destitute of either trees or buildings and because it afforded a direct

direct communication to Sellore, and was likely to prove of great utility to the public the opening in question had made thro' it that be= =cause all Zemindars take much delight in making Charities of this nature he (the 1st. Defendant) hoped that as an act of benevo= =lence it would, when brought to the notice of the Plaintiffs, meet with his ready approbation and consent it being Capable of securing him a blessing which he least expected or sought for and perhaps even induce him to under= =take construction of a bridge over the Anico= =panady Channel".

4. The Garden of Dhunsing Shobayjee had for many years been Manium of the Mosque of Kamund Dheen and the trees on it pay no tax whatever to Government. It is a Sakrajee, or entire free-gift land and has always been enjoyed by him as such. Its position is parallel to the Pagoda to which the Defendants refer. The formation of an outlet through it will no wise affect the seite of the Pagoda or other buildings, they being at a dis= =tance from it, but the 1st. Defendant little considering the above reasons or the regula= =tion above quoted, did thro' regard for Dhunsing Shobayjee and instrumentality of

the

the other Defendants and servants of his Cutcherry pass endorsement to the above effect which being unjust for the foregoing reasons would seem to the Court invalid.-

5. In no case in which they had occasion to remove encroachments of buildings, and cut down trees, whether inside or outside the fort of Madura did the Defendants do so without previously satisfying their owners by giving equivalent lands or payment of their value but in the instance in question they departed from that custom too, and their above endorsement is the consequence of favour to Dhursing Hobayjee and one not grounded on justice. As portion of Garden in question which has been under enjoyment of the Plaintiff to this time and which has long paid taxes to Government, was taken by the 2d and 3d Defendants to form a communication under the orders of the 1st in filing this Suit against them on behalf of the Plaintiff.

6. Particulars to be recovered from Defendants to Plaintiff.

A piece of Garden land valued at 7 Rs measuring

measuring 15 by 189 yards being portion of 308 by 189 yards forming the above plot after deducting 13 yards East to west on the East of it, and removing the newly formed communication with Rupees 25 the value of produce (damaged) of 25 Cocoa trees at 1 Rupee each, as well as Rupee 1 the value of produce of 4 Mango trees at 4 Annas each for 1844, as also Rupee 1 value of a tree cut down total Rupees 27.-

The Plaintiff prays the Court will be pleased to recover the Amount from Defendants adjudging him at the same time possession and enjoyment as hitherto of the 25 Cocoa and 4 Mango trees, situated on a piece of land measuring 13 yards broad East of the opening by raising hedges on the north and South sides of it, for their protection to exclusion of any molestation or interference on the part of the Defendants.-

7th February 1845
Copy furnished to 1st Defendant on the 2d March 1845.----

(Signed) Annachellum Pillay
Court's Vakeel.

(True Translation)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pr. Collr.

Translate of proposed Answer to Suit No. 7 of 1845 on the file of the Subordinate Court at Madura.-

1. In 1839 the Plaintiff was deposed from all rights to the Zemindary of Chokkumputty in Tinnevelly, when the Estate and

other

other property including the ground in ques-
tion fell to his son Caroonalaya Valam-
pooly Sawer and are now in his possession
The garden alluded to in the plaint was rent-
ed out by the latter to some one now in
charge of it and because from the Plaintiff
having lost all claim to the estate he has
no right to, or possession of this dependen-
cy of the estate the institution of this
suit does not seem Competent to him, tho'
it has been said he has instituted one
for possession of the ground in question
and it is hoped that on a preliminary
investigation and ascertained of these
points the Court will now exist him
without bringing the matter to trial.

2. It will be sufficient to say
there were many objections to / open a
road thro' the garden of Dhunsing Dubaje
alluded to in the plaint and whereas the for-
mation of one thro' the ground in ques-
tion situated immediately west of it
and on which there were neither trees nor
building of any kind was no further injurious
than reducing the extent of private proper-
ty to which the real owner made no
objection

objection and where there was already an
inconvenient foot path in use from tacit
acquiescence afforded a direct commu-
nication with the South bank of
the Vighey the 1st Defendant for the
great convenience of the community
widened, straightened and improved pro-
curing the building of a good stone
bridge over the Anoopandy Channel
running between the Garden and the Town,
and tho' he did cause removal of the Mar-
gosa tree which interfered with the
work yet the timber was made over
to the agent of the owner Viz. of the
son of the Plaintiff the fruit trees ad-
jacent to the land in question are not
more exposed to loss than they were before.

3. The endorsement alluded to in the
Plaint was intended to have been given
to the son of the Plaintiff then supposed

to

to be the Complainant and not to the plaintiff. The act of the 1st Defendant in converting a foot path through the ground in question into a road of great convenience and utility to the public had the tacit acquiescence of the Plaintiffs son the legal owner of the property and the object of the Plaintiff in instituting this suit seems to be rather the paving of the way to recovery of his confiscated estate than to any objections he would have raised to the invasion, had he been in quiet possession of the Garden.

4. The 2nd and 3d Defendants are not responsible in this suit, they having acted under the orders of the 1st.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

39-40

39-40

Proceedings thereon. It appears that the acts which have led to the institution of the suit reported in the foregoing letter were performed by Mr. Blackburne in his Magisterial capacity the subject does not fall within the province of this Office. The Board are of opinion that Mr. Blackburne should address Government direct for permission to defend the suit.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

From the Principal Collr of Madura

As directed by the Board's Proceedings of the 17th received on the 27th April with copy of their letter to Government of the 17th March, and of the Minutes of Consultation of the 12th April thereon, I have the honor to forward copy and translate of the Punchayets Decision of the 28th May 1837 awarding certain land in dispute between Ammaterrah and Ammianaicknoor Pollums to the latter, and in explanation of the difference in the averment calling the disputed spot "a village" and my letter calling it a "patch of land;" and of the desire to be informed if proof exists of the land belonging to either claimant and which of them; and at what period the dispute arose; and under what circumstances the matter came only in March under the notice of Government; I beg to state first that I was correct in using the word "patch" or parcel, in contradiction to a whole Village and that if petitioner used the word "Village" in English the petition being at the

Forwarding copy and Translate of a Punchayets decision awarding a patch of boundary land disputed by the Pollium of Ammuterrah to that of Ammianaicknoor, on maintaining right usage of the word "Patch" of land by the Collector, suggesting possible origin of improper usage of word "Village" by Petitioner; in belief that the Patch of the land did belong to Ammianaicknoor Pollium, and to no other estate; shewing the dispute to have originated in 1832 and that it was only brought to the notice of Government in March last because only known to the Board of Revenue by Petition 4th 1210 of 1844.

Presidency

Presidency I cannot refer to it, and my memo-
ry does not retain the particular, which at
the time did not strike me as of the slight-
est consequence; it may be attributed to
misapprehension of the translator in
a matter of supposed non-importance
if he used it in the vernacular language
it may have been used in vagueness as a
word that from the general nature of
the subject could not occasion any doubts.
2ndly that I conceive there is satisfactory
proof that the "patch of land" does belong
to the Village of Malaiyoor, in the
decision of the Punchayet, in addition
to which it was claimed only as form-
ing parcel of the Village, Keelacotay
in the Pollums of Ammatturrah, fully
surrendered to the Company subsequent-
ly to the decision being passed;
and because being surrounded by lands
of the two Pollums in question
no one on the spot could doubt its
belonging to the one or other of them.
3dly that the dispute arose in Fasly
12 to 2 as shewn in the 2 para of my
1832/33
letter of the 17th February; and 4th and
lastly that on Petitioner's first address

to

to the Board, in No. 1210 of 1844, it was re-
ferred to me to report upon by endorse-
ment, when the subject matter, ap-
pearing to me to be too long for such
mode of disposal, I took the liberty of
reporting upon it by letter in preference,
as I have done on 4 or 5 other occasi-
ons, without meeting the displeasure
of the Board, on the 12th February: and
it is very probable that usual and
necessary forms and time for considera-
tion prevented it being brought be-
fore the Most Noble the Governor in
Council till the 17th March.

Madura ---- } / Signed / J. Blackburne
10th May 1845 } Print. Collector

No. 1.

Translate of a Punchayet decision dated 28th
May 1837 in the case of a disputed bounda-
ry between the Villages of Siroondayoor
in Ammeanaickenoor and Keelacotay
in Anattiurrah Pollums of Nellacotay
Members of the Punchayet.

1. Abishagom pillay Curnum of Adur-
moo in Sadicomboo.
2. Aroomoogum pillay Curnum of Vadamadura.
3. Aroomoogum pillay Curnum of Covilloor.
4. Ramasamy pillay Curnum Goomastah of
Nellacotay

of Nellacotay.

5. Sivaparangeria pillay Cumnum of Modu-
-talaperanam.

Nominated by the Government for investigation and disposal by reference to the accounts of the disputed Hill lands, we have examined the accounts of both Villages and the result is as follows.

On examination of the Survey account of Keelacotay Village consisting of 52 Cadjans, the incorrectly summoned up as only 51 as well as 6 other leaves being also accounts of Survey of the disputed boundary of Jusly 1234, total 58 leaves, and calculation of its contents, the total extent of land amounted to 7,941 2/10 Goolies and if from it be deducted 4,856 3/10 Goolies being the extent including Peramboke or unproductive lands, as entered in the Ayacut Accounts of Jusly 1245, in reference to which the settlement of that Village had been made from the time of Survey to the

the above Jusly, there is still an additional extent of 3,084 2/10 Goolies. The above 51. Cadjan leaves forming the colloge or Survey accounts are in the handwriting of 5 different persons, with exception of the 52d leaf, for 51st which does not appear to have been written at the time or by those persons, but at a subsequent period.

In this leaf is entered a spot said to contain 3,618 1/4 Goolies of Hill lands, comprehending parts of it viz. 11 1/4 Goolies as cultivated, and 230 Goolies as waste, arable lands, and 3,375 Goolies as peramboke, or unproductive, the last forming the Hills. It also exhibits a portion of it to be connected with the Puttah of Sadyen and other ryots and under their occupancy.

With reference to the word "Puttah" written on the 52d leaf, it is to be observed, that the system of Puttahs was introduced 5 years subsequent to the Company's assumption

of

of the country, whence the use of the word
"Puttah" in the above accounts of previ-
=ous years shews its invalidity—
and if to this be added the fact
of the total land, as entered in the
Survey accounts, being in excess of the
Ayakut, entered in the Settlement of
Fusly 1245 it proves the spot describ-
=ed in the leaf in question to be the
one now in dispute, and throws dis-
=credit on its contents—

On Palany Ceemairaw
pillay Curnum of the Village, being required
to produce individual measurement ac-
=counts of Cultivation for the disputed
lands, he furnished a Roll of Cad-
=jans of 7 leaves, said to be accounts
of estimation of crops of the Kilb-
=ums to them satisfied us of its inform-
=ality from its defect in detail and totals
and his failure to produce the Ayakut ac-
=counts of that fusly to enable us to form
a correct judgement of the extent of

of cultivation carried to account, and the
extent withheld from it, leads us to
conclude that the land in dispute was
not actually cultivated—

In the cultivation measure-
=ment accounts of Fusly 1234, the hill
lands are entered as cultivated, and in
the 52d leaf the boundary of the cultiva-
=ted land is described, to be South of
a Shevaranum kottu, whilst on the
contrary it is entered as north of the
above mark in the cultivation Colloguo
accounts of Fusly 34, hence the culti-
=vated land does not seem included
in the above boundary—

The Curnum of the above
Village said he had no other ac-
=counts with him than the individ-
=dual cultivation measurement
of the years previous to 1234, which
he had furnished—

On reference to the ac-
=counts also furnished by Poosa-
=malay pillay Curnum of Mylapore,
said to be the Fusly warry or annual
accounts of cultivation, the result is
as

as follows:-

A.D. 1802/3 The accounts of fusly 1212 Thomthorbee does not only contain a specification of the boundaries of hill lands but exhibits 31 persons as holders of those lands within that boundary consisting of 8 Manieendars and of 23 others, paying revenue to Government, the demand being fanams 9.

A.D. 1807/8 That of fusly 1217 Thabanda exhibits Vattan Ambalagan &c. as the holders of a piece of Hill land of 10 Cadeys or lands capable of being cultivated by use of 10 Bill hooks, commonly called "Mondimamattooca doo" taxed at 14 fanams.-

A.D. 1813/14 That of fusly 1223 Thenmooga shows that Veerappa Goundan and 5 others were the cultivators of 3 different spots of Coolttacadoo with Plantains, taxed at 21 fanams; that Marootha pillay and 6 others had cultivated the Hill lands and that Palamanad yah pillay and 12 others were the reclaimers of the jungles at foot of the hill, total 20 persons, their tax being fanams 26. The total incumbents

incumbents of the 2 items are 26 in Number, their tax amounting to 23 Chuckroms and 6 fanams.-

A.D. 1807/8 That of fusly 1227 Kandara indicates that Andeappah pillay and 15 others raised Plantain cultivation on the ground called Vella Mamoocondoo cadoo and 5 other spots taxed, including some orange trees, at 75 Chukroms and 5½ fanams.-

A.D. 1818/19 That of fusly 1228 Bahoodonya that Veera, a Chucley and 18 others cultivated jungle &c. of 17 Cadeevals at foot of the Hill taxed at 34 fanams, and that Penamaya Goundan and 24 others raised Plantain cultures on certain pieces of land called Va: layen Cadoo and Vellaraventhoorocadoo forming 28 Kanays, taxed at 148 Chuckroms and 5 fanams - total cultivators of the 2 items 45, and total demand being 151 Chuckroms and 9 fanams.-

A.D. 1825/26. That of fusly 1235 Pantapa indicates that Paramaya Goundan and 24 others cultivated certain hill lands &c. both ain and Manieam taxed at 5 chuckroms.

chuckrums and 6 fanams.

A.D. 1829/30 That of fusly 1239 Kara Kkay shews that Paupiah and 15 others cultivated the Hill land called Kodicoy punnaicadoo answering to 16 kodoovals, taxed at 32 fanams.

A.D. 1830/31 That of fusly 1240 Vikrouthee shews that Menthaty Cauen and others cultivated the Hill spot called Thooverankoottoo-doo, of 9 kodoovals, taxed at 10 Chukrums and 8 fanams.

In the accounts of cultivation consisting of 11 kadjan leaves furnished by Curnum Poosanalay Pillay, the spot, included within the boundary of Malayoor Village, it appears that the disputed lands are those cultivated in the abovementioned fusslies.

For the foregoing reasons we are of opinion that the 52d leaf which ought to be the 51st, forming part of the Survey account furnished by the Curnum of Keelacotay Village, is a forgery, for it indicates the disputed land as forming one single spot, and gives the boundary

marks

marks in the survey accounts as being to South of the Thoovaronkoottoo mark, whereas the Cologoo accounts of fusly 1237 shew it to be North east of the above: further the extent of land as entered in the survey account is in excess of the Ayakut of fusly 1245. Had the Statement that "the disputed land belongs to the Keelacottay Village" been true, it ought to have been included in the Survey Ayakut account of that Village, the absence of such added to the fact of Plantains and oranges being actually cultivated in the disputed land every year, and exclusion of any entry in the accounts of that Village of one single year lead us to conclude that the land in dispute does not belong to the Keelacottay Village. Under this impression we adjudge that the land in dispute be attached to the Sirroomalayoor Village.

(Signed) Abrahagoom Pillay
" Auroomoogum Pillay
" Auroomoogum Pillay
" Ramasawmy Pillay
" Sivaparangerie Pillay

(True Translate
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pr Collr Received

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the forego-
ing letter be submitted for the orders of the
Most Noble the Governor in Council with
reference to the Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation dated 12th April 1845 No. 1668.

(41-42)

in Cons 17th April 1845.

2. It will be seen that the land in
question is a "patch of land" and not "a Village"
that from its position in reference to the two
contending Villages of Malayoor and Keelako-
tay it must belong to one or the other and
that the decision of the Panchayet in favor of
Malayoor was founded on the fact that the
land in dispute was regularly recorded as
belonging to Malayoor in the several Ac-
counts brought forward by that party,
whereas the account on which the claim of Keela-
kotay rested was shewn to be a forgery by the
evidence of other accounts of undisputed au-
thenticity.

3. The dispute arose in fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 but the
claim for the Amount, Rupees 193-8-9. for the pay-
ment of which Sanction has been solicited
did not come before the Board till 1844 in
Petition No. 1210 of 1844, which was reported
on by the Principal Collector under
date 12th February 1845.

in Cons 13th March 1845.

Read

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Read the following letter —

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(43)

From the Collr of Tinnevelly — } Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Pro-
ceedings of 23d January last and to express my
regret that the particulars therein called
for were not included in my former letter
the names had been so long and constantly
before me, in the course of the enquiry, that
it led to my omitting to designate the parties
otherwise than by their relative positions to
each other on which the case mainly depended
I beg now to supply the omission and to
annex a list of the names. —.

Submitting as called for further particulars and the original Will executed by the deceased Ze-
emindar —.

†Enclosed —

2. With regard to the †Will it was
not my intention or view to dispose of it slightly
though for the reasons named in my letter
I could not attach much weight to it — Any
Will is unusual with the Zemindars of
Tinnevelly and repugnant to many of their
ideas and prejudices as adverting to their
decease. The time of its being made

/as

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

/as before mentioned/ when the Zemindar was near his death, as well as the improbality of its being free and unbiassed, prevented my considering it a document of much importance - if contrary to established Hindoo law and practice in Zemindaries, it would hardly be considered valid, and if the claim of the party producing it, as in Widow and Daughter, was good without it, the Will would not appear necessary to support it - It may be a genuine one, but it was written when the Zemindar /quite a young man/ was very ill - surrounded only by a few of the widows' presented party - and was altogether so unusual a proceeding that it is in my opinion not much to be depended on as a guide to decision - The Uncle, the "Manager" who had great influence over the young man, and bitterly opposed to the other party, /the half brother/ was currently said to have dictated the Will, and from my personal knowledge of his incapacity from several interviews, I am of opinion that it was not the late young Zemindars' unaided

production

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

production, even if he may have concurred in it - The Manager has since died on the 31st October 1844. all the above circumstances were the subject of full and careful personal enquiry, tho' not all detailed, as I deemed the claim of the Widow and daughter stood on a better and less dubious footing than the Will and did not need it - the intention of it seemed to be to strengthen their claim and oppose the intrigues of the other party.

3. The deceased Zemindar Puteday Mootoo avolapa Naiken and the half Brother now claiming are sons of the same Father and the Mother of the younger was married as 3d Wife to the Father of the Deceased as is supposed in the Boards' letter -

4. In acknowledgment of the Boards' letter of 24th April now received, I beg to inform the Board that the Widow not having sent in the will as requested, till 10th Instant, has caused the delay in this reply. The Widow Bungarummal has since been confined, the infant, a female, died immediately afterwards. —

Tinnevelly Trichendoor,
12th May 1845 — } (Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector -

6673 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Avoodiyan — 1st Wife — Naickan
Bommakummal — 2nd Wife — 3d Wife
Bangarummal — Commarummal

Bommakummal — Her Son Vellayuda Naicken
the deceased Zemindar — is the half Brother
Putchay Mootoo — of the Deceased Zemindar
Avoodiyan Naickan — now claiming exception
but no dom. — to the Estate of —
Pursualy to the exclusion
of the Wife & Daughter of
Putchay Mutto Avoodiyan
Naicken the Deceased.

Zemindar —

Signed C. B. Thomas.
Collector —

Dated 5 October 1843

6674 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Order thereon. — Ordered to be sent again in
(Read again in Cons 12th June) Circulation —
43-44

Read the following letter. —

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

45

Sir

From the Prin. Coll.r of Coimbatore

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Board Extract of Urzee from the Tahsildar of Pulachey stating that a Balance of Land Revenue amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 8172-2-11 is now standing against the Villages of Animalli and Cotoor, on the subject of which I beg to attract the particular attention of the Board of Revenue to para 20 of my Report for Fusly 1252 (1842/43) and also to a subsequent letter dated June 18th 1844- the cause of this balance is therein referred to, and I now request to be favored by the Board with instructions for my guidance to enable me to meet the difficulties which at present prevail against the due realization

6675. Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

realization of the revenue of these Villages.—

Cotacamund — April 23d 1845 } /Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Princl. Collector.—

Extract of Urzee from the Tahsildar of Pulachey bearing date the 17th of April 1845.—

"There is now a balance of
"Rupees 11,386 in land Revenue, of which
"Rupees 6,491-6-" remain in the Village
of Annalli and Rupees 1,680-12-" at
"Cotoor amounting in the aggregate to Rupees
"8,172-2-"."

(True Extract)
/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector—

45-46

Order thereon.—

The Board observe that the subject referred to in the foregoing letter has received consideration in their Proceedings dated 26th Instant

Read

J.P.B.

6676 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Subord 3rd February 1845

Read letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Canara dated 18th January 1845 reporting on the Settlement of his District for Fusly 1253.—

/Hene Interest 8 & 8/

47

Proceedings thereon. 1. The excessive falls of rain which occurred at different periods of the year operated prejudicially to the rice cultivation and agricultural interests generally. The 1st Crop is stated to have been injured by the abundant supplies of water obtained in the Month of July and in some Talooks the Crops became rotten and unproductive. In one part of the district in particular / Bekul / some fields were entirely covered with new earth which had been washed down from the neighbouring Hills whilst the Crops in the Maritime districts suffered materially from the ravages of the insects produced by the early rains in the Month of April. All these causes combined to reduce the Cultivation of Rice in the period under review rather below the average.

Relative to the Settlement of the Sand Rivers in the District of Canara for Fusly 1253. Para 1 (Col 12) A.D. 1843-1 to P. 3.

Para 3.

Para 4. 2. It is however gratifying to observe that with the exception of Pepper the Garden produce Viz. that of Supara and Cardamoms had

Para 2d. had not been affected by the injurious tendency of the season. Diseases are stated to have been prevalent in almost every part of the District during the Months of July, August, September and October and the Cattle are described as having suffered to some exctent from Murrain.

Para 3. Notwithstanding the disadvantage affecting the year under report the exception of Rice the falling short of the past years by Corges 1596. It must however be remarked that this decrease occurs entirely in exportation of foreign Rice as will be seen from the Marginal Statement.

	Coc.	In.
Average of 5 years	27337	35
Fusly 1253	28934	14/16
Increase	1596	10/16

	Canam		Foreign	
Average	23,923.	13.	3,4 Mds	13.
1253	23,623	23/4	5,311	1/2

the deficiency in the home grown Grain amounting to Corges 300.

	Payenghat			Balaghaut	
	Seerah Rice.	Muscat	Rafsy	Paddy	
Fusly 1252	67 1 3	52	44	44 9 8.	16 5 10
D° 1253	69 10 9	57	11 5	52 " 8	15 11 5.

5. Referring to the Statement annexed it will be observed that whilst there is a slight increase

increase as compared with the antecedent fusly in the Payenghat taluk there is a corresponding decrease in the Balaghat Districts. The depression of the Price of grain in fusly 1253 contrasted with that which obtained during the last five years is calculated at a little more than 12 per Cent in the Payen and 34 in the Bala

	Payenghaut			Balaghaut	
	Seerah	Muscat	Rafsy	Paddy	
Average 53	79 7 -	66 4 1/2	58 2 10/16	24 " 2 13/16	
	69 10 9	57 11 5	52 " 8	15 11 5.	
P. Cent	12 4 8/12	12 15 1	10 9 4	34 7 "	

Para 9. Nor does the State of the Market in regard to Garden produce exhibit a more favourable result, the prices of Pepper, Betel Nut and Cardamums being in the year under review 34 per Cent each of them considerably lower than the Average of the last five years. The traffic in these Articles in the year under consideration it appears exceeds the exportation made in the previous year by Candies 1076 in round number. In the price of Cocoanuts there was also a decrease per Mille of 1 Rupee 2. 6.

	Betelnut	Pepper	Cardamums	Nutand Cardamum being
Average	35 14 8 13/16	52 62	380 " 28/16	
53	34 9 8	43 1	" 376 7 14	

Exportation of Pepper Betelnut & Cardamums
52- 23503-
53- 24,579. 1076 increase in 53-

5. Referring to the Statement appended

Fort St. George 29th May 1865.

Settlement para 12 @ 21.
52 — 1815 1146-15-7
53 — 1852 1899-9-1
Increase in 53 — 7046-9-6

appended to para 12 it is stated that the Settlement of the year under report exhibits an Increase of Rupees 7046-9-6 compared with that of the preceding year, but it will be seen that the Statement in question exhibits only a Net Increase of Rupees 6666-7-2 to which however if the increase in 1253 under the head of Dust Rent Viz. 378-2-4, vide Statement A, be added, the Net increase will be as stated 7046-9-6. Irrespective of the results of the additions which have been made to the Settlement of the year, diminished by the Remissions usually granted. The additions are chiefly comprized under the heads Cydah, Moonsaib, and Cultivation of waste &c. making in the Aggregate a sum of Rupees 14,333-1-7 whilst the reductions which have been allowed Amount Rs. 7,666-13-5, a sum less than that which had been allowed in the previous year by Rupees 2,588-4-5.

P. 15-

6. The instalments of former years which should have been brought to account in the year under Report amounted to Rupees 7,814-10-7 of which sum Rupees

Fort St. George 29th May 1865.

Rupees 6,308-13-7 have been realized, leaving still a sum of Rupees 1,535-13- uncollected, the realization of which in consequence of the low price of Rice in the Payenghaut districts, the Collector is of opinion should be for a further time suspended, in order that the resources of the Ryots might not be injured, and the interests of Government protected. With reference to the foregoing indulgences and with which under the circumstances the Board do not find any fault, it is hoped that no specific postponement of the Collection has been granted, inasmuch as such a proceeding would be at variance with the Original Compact. It is obvious that the recovery of these outstanding balances should be made whenever the circumstances of the Ryots enable the Collectors to demand them.

	Bounty Estates-				
	No.	Assessment			
Fasly 1252	42,073	14,65,812	6	8	Rent Rs.
Fasly 1253	42,682	14,98,920	6	11	
	609	32,708	-	3	

7- The number of Bounty estates in the year under Report is stated to be an increase, being 609 more than in the previous year, and the Settlement of these Wuzs exhibit an increase above Fasly 1252 by Rs 3105-

Fort St. George 29th April 1815.

3,105. 12. 1. but the manner in which this is shewn gives but a faint idea of the real merits of the question, inasmuch as the entire working of this branch of the Collector's operations is not fully developed. The aforesaid numbers of 809 is the Nett increase; whilst the details are supplied in the following Statement taken from Statement I in Windsored.

Items Bhunly Estates.	No. of Estates.	Shorrow or Mazam Ghakdi Amand.	Settlement of past fusly.	Settlement of Current fusly.
As per Statement of past fusly—	4,2078	14,65,612 68	14,65,612 68	
Deduct Estates transferred to other head.				
Elder Instalments—	114	63 64	152 63 64	152 " " "
Do Board Serfaish—	18	53 " 11	523 " 11	" " "
Under Enquiry—	22	378 6 "	378 6 "	" " "
Transferred for want of Ryots—	101	1291 " 6	1291 " 6	" " "
Estates Subdivided—	8	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total—	263	8557 67	8557 67	" "
Remaining—	4,18 10	1457055 " 1	1457055 " 1	1,437055 " 1
Add Estates brought to account Estates under Instalments—	631	38,251 2 4	35823 15 3	38251 2 4
Do Boards Serfaish—	1	111 45	108 6 10	111 4 5
Under Enquiry—	130	2037 25	1650 91	2037 25
Do from decrease in No. of Ryots—	59	741 141	516 117	741 14 1
Do Subdivided—	23	" "	" "	" "
Total—	844	41144 7 3	38159 10 9	41144 7 3
Cultivation of Lands newly brought to account—	28	120 157	" "	120 157
Total—	428 62	14,98320 6 11	14,93214 10 10	14,98320 6 11

By

Fort St. George 29th May 1815.

By this it appears that there are no less than 263 Estates transferred to Khumbuly and 872 from the latter to full Teerwa.

8. It is observed that a reduction in the number of entirely waste Estates amounting to 80 has been effected in the year under review, whilst the Number of Wungs under Examination is less than in the preceding year by 114 Estates. In their Proceedings of 16th Novr 1813. Bds Proceedings in Fusly 1251 Parra 12 the Board remarks on the want of information as to the circumstances under which waste Gardens were brought under Cultivation and how they were elapsed. The absence of similar information in the Report under review renders it again necessary to call the attention of the Collector to this omission which they hope will not be without effect in future.

It is gratifying to observe that

Para 21 & 25 9.

Demand. 143530-13-2
Balance. 5160-7-9
Since reduced in the 31st Pauk last 6112 2840-8-4

of the total demand the whole with a small exception had been recovered up to the date of the Report. A view of the result of the whole permanent Land and Extra Sources is given in para 21 of the Collector's Report

Fusly 57. 1207 pa. 12-7
a. 53. 1321 pa. 13. 2-2
Sum 13698. 5-7

whereby it will appear that there is a Net increase of Rupees 13,698. 5-7 above the demand

demand of the previous fusly—

10 Of the Arrears outstanding at the Commencement of fusly 1253, Rupees 1,1622-11-6 were due on account of fusly 1252 and Rs. 5,672-13-1 on account of years antecedent to that amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 17,295-8-7- Of this sum Rupees 38,817-11-11 have been realized within the past fusly and subsequently to 31st December last- Rupees 970-7-5 leaving a small balance of Rupees 7,507-5-8 on the 31st December 1844. The Collector is of opinion that of this sum Rupees 233-15-9 are wholly irrecoverable and under the circumstances explained by Mr. Thompson the Board beg to recommend that permission may be granted for writing off the same from the accounts of the districts.

Para 22 & 23.

Fasties	Arrears as exhibited in D. C. & B. Statement			Proposed to be written off			Remaining		
1245	26	12	11				26	12	11
1246	82	7	2	1	2	11	81	5	2
1247	223	4	1	17	12	5	205	7	8
1248	315	6	3	13	2	11	302	4	3
1249	698	14	3	1	2	11	697	12	3
1250	1176	12	10	1	2	11	1175	10	10
1251	1970	11	5	10	9	7	1959	6	10
1252	2427	1	2	189	1	9	2237	15	5
	6870	10	2	233	15	9	6586	10	5

11. But with reference to the remaining Amount still reported outstanding during a series of eight years the Board are surprised that

that so small amount only should now be sought to be remitted. The attention of the Principal Collector should be immediately given either to the immediate collection or a abandonment of these balances. It is obviously futile to say the least to allow arrears to remain so long pending in a Ryotwar District. In a well regulated Collectorate the Board are satisfied that the balance of the preceding fusly might always be investigated and decided upon in the current year, so that in the third year at latest there ought to be no old arrears.

Para 25 & 26. 12- Referring to the remarks of the Board para 3 on the Settlement of fusly 1251 A.D. 1841-42 the Acting Principal Collector has replied in a general way that the deductions enumerated in the table annexed thereto "have hitherto received and will continue to receive that attention which their importance demand." But on turning to Statement E it will be seen that little or no progress has been made in the investigation of these Remissions. The Board remark this the more after the marked notice

Bds Pro. 9th 1842 Nov. 18th 1843.

drawn

drawn from them on the subject in their Proceedings of the 16th. November /43. Deducting from the Jamma

Statement B.

Fixed Remission 12,158 Rs.
Temporary do 66535 Rs
Total 78994.

Baiz Rupees 2070875-3-4 the Amount of fixed and temporary remission there remain no less a sum than 1145621-3-1 of which the particulars are not given. Detailed information regarding the various sums of which this large Amount of remission is composed is necessary to enable the Board to judge of the sufficiency or otherwise of the causes which have led to the suspension of so large an amount of Revenue. Hereafter it will be the duty of the Principal Collector to supply in all succeeding Reports. The Board take this opportunity of observing that the first three items of temporary remissions might more appropriately be classed under the head of fixed Remission.

	Rs	a	p
Beriz on lands in which Salt Kettles are built	54	10	10
Beriz on lands purchased and added to Cantonment	56	12	5
Beriz on lands taken for roads	380	12	10

Para 26 13. The subject of the additions and deductions exhibited in the Table

Vide p. 17 /30 appended to para 26 under the heads of

of Sunky or investigation and "immemorial waste" as well as "Salt pans not worked" having been fully discussed in former Proceedings on the general condition of the Land Revenue of the Province it is not necessary at present to advert to the subject.

Para 28. 14. On the subject of the transfer of the lapsed Mali right by Government to the present occupant of escheated Estates, the Principal Collector is referred to para 12 of their Proceedings dated 5th December /44 which would appear not to have reached the District at the date of the Report under review. The Board have therein reiterated their opinion that the cession of the right in question would for the present be premature.

Para 32. 15. In para 7 of their Proceedings on the settlement of Fusly 1251 A.D. / 1841-42 the Board have recommended that the existing classification of Estates should be dispended with, and that the Jamma should be held to be "the full demand from which no reduction should be made without full Explanation" This arrangement would obviously do away with

with the head Rumbhurtee and its subordi-
= nate classifications. It would appear
Para 33. that these remarks have been overlooked
by the Acting Principal Collector, as in the
report under discussion the latter are
= still adhered to. It is moreover stated
that in the case of Vydah as well as
Bhurtee Estates, in which the holders
of them fail in their engagements, or
when they are thrown up from want of
means or badness of the soil, or when addi-
= tional time is sought for by the proprie-
= tors they are again placed under Sunky
or under Vydah. The latitude here
described is evidently objectionable as
tending to the continuance of a never
ending system of Coulie and should be
abandoned and remissions when absso-
= lutely necessary should be classed
under the head of Moonasib or "discre-
= tionary Remissions" for general circum-
= stances of distress Statement F Column 17.

P. 33. 16. The explanation given in the Re-
17th Cons 20th Oct 1843. = port on the Settlement of Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 re-
= garding the 129 Estates in Bancoor trans-
= ferred from Bhurtee to Vydah having been
discussed in the Board's Proceedings
para.

para 9. 5th December 44 it is not neces-
= sary to resume the subject again.

With regard to the Estate
para 35. 17.
placed under a new or fifth head
regarding which additional explanation
is given the Principal Collector will
have already perceived from the re-
= marks of the Board on the Settlement of
Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 the errors that have been com-
= mitted. This head should no longer be
allowed a place in the Accounts.

P. 36. 18. Lastly the Principal Collector's
attention is called to the remarks of
the Board in para 11 of the Proceedings
on

6689. Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

on the Settlement of Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842-43 on the subject of Pottahs in reply to his previous explanation on the subject, from which it will be seen that every ryot paying tax to Government is entitled to receive a separate Pottah.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Canara for his information and guidance.—

Read

690 Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Read Report on the Settlement of Land Revenue of Malabar for Fusly 1253.—

(Here Enter.)

Exp. in Sec. Con. 1844—

Letter thereon

Relative Letter of the Revenue in the District of Malabar Fusly 1253. A.D. 1843/44

Para 1. The season is represented to have been not a decidedly favourable one, although there was no lack of Rain; indeed its abundance produced such floods for several days in certain localities as materially injured the First Crop; whilst the second crop which had suffered considerably from a failure in the months of September and October regained some of its lost Condition by the fall of some unexpected showers in the early part of December. The supply of grain however was upon the whole an average one and the quantity taken from the District by Sea and Land was equal to that of the preceding year. There was no want of produce in the District throughout the year, whilst the Market price of Rice was about 5 per Cent above that of Fusly 1252.—

Para 2.

Para 3— 2. With the exception of Pepper, Garden produce of all kinds was abundant. The superabundance of Cocoanuts

Combined

combined with the results of two good
=sons previously, caused a decrease of at
5 per Cent in the wholesale price of C
=nuts and Cotperahs- This deficiency
regards raw produce was however ma
up by an excess in manufactured pro
of the same kind, viz, in oil Coir -a
Rs. 1,64,120 Rope- The decrease under the head
Pepper is stated to be wholly attributable
to a diminished demand for this arti
in Bombay- The total amount of the
deficiency in the exportation of the dis
of the District in Fusly 1253 compar
with fusly 1252 amounts to Rupees 1,6598
which latter year however there was an in
=crease of Rupees 2,60,793-

Para 4

3.

The settlement of the year
Fusly 1252 - Rs 16497-11-8
" 1253 - 16420-9-3
Difference 610-2-5
compared with the preceding one exhibit
=dent upon a sum of Rupees 4,500 transfers
Rs
Neilgherry Revenue 4500
actual Deficiency in Fusly 1253 610
Increase 3890
to the Collectorate of Coimbatoor- If this sum
be deducted from the outturn of Fusly 125
there would have been an increase of
Rupees 3890, instead of the small decrea
aforesaid -

The

Rs as
es-7-

4.

The total amount of additions
to the Beriz of the year was Rupees 9037- from
which the total amount of Remissions viz
Rupees 4903 being deducted the former is
reduced to a net sum of Rupees 4134-

+5.

The additions or items of
Increase do not require notice, comprizi
=ing as they do for the most part annexa
=tions on the retransfer of the Neilgherries
to Coimbatore to the current Beriz of
Remissions granted in former years on
account of waste lands which have been
reclaimed, resumption of temporary
remissions granted in Fusly 1252 - as
well as items of Revenue brought to
account under the heads of New
Cultivation Concealed Do and Do under
Cowle -

6

The items of remissions
are carefully explained by Mr Conolly
and little fault can be found with
them- The remission allowed to Pr. Rama
Menon should hereafter be placed under
the 1st Head or Temporary and not as

now

now classed with remissions allowe
on the score of Death, desertion &
and exhibited along with items
this latter description in the 2nd Sh
amounting in the aggregate to Rup
1620-0-3 A large portion exceeding h
thirds of those under the head of
Failure of Crop was given in cha
and Hoatnaad in consequence of da
occasioned by inundations in these
Talooks, the last of which is said
contain more poor Ryots than any o
Talook in the District.-

7- In a District however
such as Malabar where the Rice
assessment is light, and Paddy Fiel
of small dimensions remissions giv
for the causes assigned and withou
reference to profits in ordinary or
favourable years in which no complai
are made, are obviously at variance
with the principles of a fixed assessm
and should be restricted as mu
as possible to cases in which to exact
the full demand would impair
the

the means of the Ryot to carry on his cultiva=
=tion in subsequent years. It should also have
been stated in what way the failure of pro=
=duce was ascertained whether by estimate or
inspection of the standing Crop.-

8 With regard to the items
of Remission Nos. 9 and 10 relative to which
the Collector has solicited the instructions
of the Board it is only necessary to observe
that in all cases where wet land yielding
Rice has been converted into Garden land
yielding cocoanuts or such like Garden
produce, the proper denomination of
land thus converted is Nunjey Bagayet
and as such it should be entered in
Statement No. 19.- As regards Item No. 10
or Lands which do not bear a fixed
assessment the Board are of opinion that
to retain them under the head of Poonjah
would be to continue a misnomer and that
the yearly outturn only should be distinctly
entered in the accounts under the head
of Nunjey. It is observed the Cultivation
of these lands with Paddy, it is presumed
is attended with extraordinary risk labour

and

and outlay of money but it is not excl
why, when their position and cultiva
become more secure and permanent
should not gradually be brought on
Jummah with a fixed assessment,
if needs be, to occasional remission.

9- A full explanation is g
in para 7 of the circumstances which ha
Mr. Conolly to abandon the scheme fo
amelioration of certain Cherumurs on
escheated Estate, which has been tried
for 2 years by endeavouring to make t
small landed proprietors - All attem
to inspire them with independence
signally failed under authoritative
=fluence. So long as the necessary s
=sistence given whilst at work is do
out to them they seek no more and we
not exert themselves beyond what
insisted upon. The bad outturn of
Farms on which they are located i
the current year is attributed to
supineness and indolence - adjoining
=vate lands having yielded an ave
produce. Mr. Conolly has aptly en
described the characters of these creatur

Sea= as unwilling to plough, weed, or watch their
bout crops as they would have done under the
rcoa= eye of a master - whilst their superstitious
as fears of the effects of simple education on
de their children filled the whole body with
luce horror, and seems for a season at least
raise an insuperable obstacle to their
mental as well as physical improve=
=ment.

10. The Board have every con=
=fidence in the moderation and judicious
arrangements adopted by Mr. Conolly in
his past endeavours to ameliorate the
condition of these poor and ignorant
creatures. They concur with him for the
reasons assigned in thinking that there
would be no use in going on with the
experimental farm any longer, and ac=
=cordingly beg to support his recommenda=
=tion that the Farms be leased to any
one willing to take it, and that the
Cherumurs be left to engage themselves as
free labourers there or elsewhere.

849- 11 Under the head of Dry
-6863-6-6 Land Cultivation there was a net Decrease
-6827-9-2 of Rupees 2035 — 13 — 4. This decrease is dependent
ee 2035-13-4-

upon

upon a diminished extent of cultivation and produce, as well as a slight reduc of price as explained by the Collecto The outturn of 53 however exceeds the av of the last 10 years prior to Fusly 50 - Rupees 3866-6-1-

12. There was a net increa Rupees 1393-1-3 under the head of Ga and of Rupees 398-9-9 at Cochin wh do not require notice.-

Para 14 & 17
Gross Demand
Rupees 1649,104-9-3
Rupees 16,9012-4-7
Rupees 21092-4-8.

13. Of the outstanding Ba at the close of the year amounte to Rs. 21,092-4-8. there has since been c :ed Rs. 18871-4-8. leaving a Balance Rupees 2221 in progress of realization- was also an arrear of Rupees 9,660-14- dependent on Fuslies 1251 and 52 collecte within the year. The outstanding b of years anterior to Fusly 1253 - af deducting this sum and striking out the accounts Rupees 286-8- already s :tioned by Government amount to Rup 2,332-9-1 of which omitting what i supposed to be recoverable and w is inasmuspense there remains 1029-15- irrecoverable, which the Board recom

Fusly 1242-3-4-4
1243-1-12-5
1245-3-4-7
1246-3-4-7
1247-39-9-8
1248-120-11-6
1249-69-11-4
1250-201-6-10
1251-399-5-3
1252-185-9-3
1029-15-9

bout
cod=
as written off to Profit and loss.-
+ 1/4 de
Reduce

In this sum is included a sm amounting annually to Rs. 3 and a fraction which has formed the subject of a long discussion between the Collector and Resident of Cochin. These authorities have now happily brought the discussion to a close as will be seen on reference to the Cor= =respondence noted in the Margin in which will be found Mr. Conolly's a= =abandonment of the claim, and recom= =mendation that all arrears should be remitted. In the propriety of this step the Board entirely concur.-

the Coll.r
of Malabar
ltr. in Cons.n
of 22d
May 1845.
Govt. 26th May

-19- 15. It is satisfactory to observe the extension of plantations during the season under review. No. of Trees a than Cocoa Trees — 75,925
Betel ..... Do. — 77,770
Jack ..... Do. — 11,286
are stated to have been planted anew or in lieu of Trees decayed and unpro= =ductive. Improvements of this kind involving

involving considerable labour and c
carried out at the cost and by the
=ertions of private Individuals without
assistance from Government it is
may be taken not only as a sure
indication of the lightness of the Go
=ment Demand, and of the improva
condition of those engaged in agri
=culture, but of the permanency of
Public Revenue. Profits from Garde
Produce of the description here refer
to are proverbially distant, and me
all the encouragement which it
in the power of the public author
to afford.-

16. In Paras from 20 to 27 Mr
Conolly has replied to the call ma
upon him in the Extract from th
Boards' Proceedings dated 17th October
for his opinion as to the periods at w
Gardens in Malabar should undergo
=inspection, and for what period new Ga
should be exempted from taxation.-

17. As regards the first qu
Mr. Conolly supports the opinio

of Mr. Graeme who had demonstrated the
difficulty of having an invariable tase on
Garden produce, in opposition to those
of Mr. Warden who advocated a per=
=manent Garden assessment. The views
of Mr. Graeme have already received the
approbation of the Government - nor
are the Board disposed to sanction any
alteration in the measures which of
late years have been adopted for the
relief of the poorer owners of Gardens
whose trees, whether Cocoa Nut, Betel
or Jack, may have fallen into decay or
become absolutely unproductive owing to
accidents of season or other causes, over
which they have not had or can be suppos=
=ed to have any influence.-

18. The understanding throughout
the District that it is not the wish
or intention of Government to make periodi=
=cal inspections of Gardens without a
general or specific object in view is
stated by Mr. Conolly and seems to be
sufficiently encouraging to Proprietors
to induce them to improve their Gardens
without apprehension, and such being
the

Fort St. George 29th May 184

the case whilst all reasonable caus
failure arising from good and suff
causes are provided for as at pre
by annual and partial remissio
the Board concur with him in t
that there is no necessity for
-fying any particular interval at
a fresh inspection of the Garden
-out the District should be fix
Doubtless in many cases the owne
Gardens are sufficiently wealthy
enable them to dispense with ann
and partial remissions, but there
indubitably many whose all is cent
in one Garden and whose poverty
such that the collection of the tax
a few trees which may have bee
unproductive would reduce them
the brink of ruin. It is in favou
the latter that annual inspections
necessary. Their condition as well as
fluctuating nature of Garden produ
and the liability to decay of the
from whence this produce is drawn, re
-ing the enforcement of an invariable
permanent tax inexpedient, if no
impractica

impracticable.-

19. On the subject of the period

during which new gardens should be exempted
from taxation the Board see no reason
to dissent from the views recorded by
Mr. Conolly. It is stated that as a general
rule 10 years is the time now allowed.
though in many instances this may be
taken as a liberal period it does not
seem in the main excessive, when the
slow growth of Cocoa nut Trees Jack Trees
and Betel or areca trees is taken into con-
-sideration. Nothing but long patience great
labour, and the command of means without
any prospect of immediate return on the
part of the owners of Gardens would enable
them to bring these trees to maturity and
such being the discouraging terms on
under which produce of the kind here
referred to is brought to a condition which
enables it to bear taxation the Board
are well disposed to sanction the period
of exemption advocated by Mr. Conolly.
25. It will be seen from his explanatory re-
-marks that 12 years in many instances is not
more than sufficient to bring certain

Trees

Trees into a state that would justify the term "Full Bearing".-

Paras 27-28-29-30. 20. The explanations given by Mr. Conolly in the Paras noted in the Margin, on the various topics therein referred to are, under the circumstances stated satisfactory, and do not require further notice.-

21. The general result of the year under review as regards Land Revenue, Extra Revenue combined, exhibits a deficiency below that of the preceding one amounting to Rupees 97,531—0—10—as under, with the exception of Rupees 17,014—12—0 arising from the retransfer of the Nilgiri Hills to Coimbatore is mainly attributable to a falling off in the Salt and Sea Customs Revenue. But the Settlement of the year reported on, is so far satisfactory that it exceeds the average gross revenue from Fusly 1243. to Fusly 1252. by Rupees 1875—4—4 as under.

	Fusly 1252			Fusly 1253		
Land Revenue	16,39,638	9	4	16,19,012	4	7
Extra Revenue	156,383	5	1	148473	9	4
Total	3,19,8021	14	5	3,00,490	13	7
	3,00,490	13	7			
Difference	97,531	"	10			

Para 15.

Average Gross Revenue from Fusly 1243 to 1252	3,98,615-9-3
Gross Revenue of F. 1253	3,00,490-13-7
Difference	1875-4-4

22 The Board are desirous of being annually furnished with an account shewing all gardens, whether new or

planted or replanted under Cowle or otherwise, with the terms of the Cowle, and other information called for in the accompanying form, to which any addition may be made which the Collector may think requisite.-

A

23. Referring also to Para 3. of the Sub Collectors' Report they are also desirous (having no information on the subject in their own office) of receiving from Mr. Conolly a Report on the nature and extent, condition past and present, as well as the assessment ancient and current of all escheated lands in the Province under his control.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a Transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance.-

Statement-

6705 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Form. Statement shewing the extents of the Gardens under Coconut Culture in the Zillahs of Malabar

Taluks	Fasly in which Period of Coconut Cultivation commenced	No. of Gardens	Extent shewing whether wet or Dry land, and whether Gardens Cultivated by the Ryots or Renters.	Ho. feet in which Coconut Trees are planted	Description of Trees	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

N.B. Of Ryots or Renters Commencing the Cultivation of Gardens without Coconut Trees should be entered in this Statement as well as any information the Collector may think necessary in elucidation of any Fasly Figure Calculated in these Gardens such as Ollo, Plantain, Turmeric, Ginger &c. &c. from which it is customary to Assess Revenue.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Secretary.

Read

6706 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Read again letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 25th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 5th Instant.

(49)

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation as In Cons. 8th July dated 5th July 1844.-

Read the following Statements.-

Statements

6707 Fort St. George

Statement Shewing Separately the Collections on account Demand Collection and Balance under the Several Heads Compared with the Average Collection of the same

50

	Fuslies	Sall		
		Rs	A	P
From 12th July to 30th April	1251	93,155	9	7
	1252	1,66,146	5	4
	1253	1,04,664	12	6
		3,63,966	11	5
Average Collections		12,1322	3	10
Actual Collections from 12th July 1844 to 30th April 1845		15,5768	"	2

Madras

23d May 1845

29th May 1845

of the several Items included in the Statement of of Extra Revenue from 12th July 1844 to 30th April 1845 period during the preceding Fuslies.-

Abstract				Sundry Small farms and Licenses			Stamp Papers and Cadjans			Grand Total		
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
	41,911	4	"	10,619	13	7	7,646	14	"	5,23,333	9	2
	4,66,047	15	5	10,513	"	6	5338	13	6	6,48,046	2	9
	48,7748	4	7	10,556	1	7	8843	3	"	6,11,812	5	8
	136,707	8	"	31,688	15	8	21828	14	6	178,392	1	7
	4,55,235	13	4	10,562	15	10	7276	4	10	5,94,397	5	10
	4,86,022	3	3	10,708	9	7	7482	11	6	6,59,981	8	6

C. C. Fort [signed] J. A. Huddleston Collector.

50-51.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

Statement

6708 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cuddalore from 19th to 25th May 1845.-

52

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1844.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1843.	Quantity actually in Store at the Depot ready for exportation	Remarks
	Bls Mds	Bls Mds	Bls Mds	Bls Mds	
Madras	193931	182417	11514	10160	Quantity of Salt Shipped up to the date of last report Bls Mds 180257
					The "Duchess of Leinster" in part of her Application has already shipped Bls Mds 2160
					Bls Mds 182417

Madras
26th May, 1845.

E E Hodgson / Signed / J. A. Huddleston
Collector

52. 53

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

Read

6709 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Read the following letters.

To
J. Dyer Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

54

From the Collr of Madras & Regr of Govt Grants — Para 1.

Submitting an application on behalf of Captain John Poulton for a lease of land at Pulicamund on the Neilgherries.

From Collr of Coimbatore 22d March 1845 — Rec'd 8th April — Forwd 2d May — with Encls A to E

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue the correspondence with the Principal Collector of Coimbatore entered in the Margin, relative to a lease applied for by Captain John Poulson of Pondycherry through his Agents Messrs Trampee & Co for a piece of land at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries, Co's T. B. 598.-

2. On the 29th August 1837 an application from Major W. Salmon for a lease for the same property was forwarded from this Office to the Board and the sanction of Government for compliance with it was communicated to it on the 18th October following

To Collr 10th Oct. 1837

3. The usual Counterpart Agreement sent in 1838. for Major Salmon's Signature has not been returned unexecuted in consequence, it would appear of the land having been previously transferred to another to whose name the lease was, therefore, made out in his name.

4 Having informed Mr Broughton that in this case

case, it should be shown that there was actually an assignment executed by the original proprietor to the purchaser from him, he has furnished me with the several documents to which his letter under date the 2d instant gives cover. These show that the land and the house thereon were sold in 1837 by Messrs Binny and Co on account of Major Salmon to one Sergeant M. Norris; that the latter made over the same in 1841 to Mr H. R. Dawson and that Mr Dawson finally executed a bill of sale on the 6th September 1844 to Captain Poulson. The alleged assignment from Messrs Binny & Co is represented to have been lost; but their communication to Captain Barlow dated 26th July 1837 and his Certificate dated 16th December 1837 afford, I think, sufficient proof of the sale to Sergeant Norris.

Vide Encl. A & B

" C

" A

" B

5. As the sanction referred to above was for the issue of a lease to Major Salmon, it is proper to request fresh sanction for the present application on behalf of Captain Poulson being complied with. I would recommend with reference to the notification at page 60 of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 1st February 1837

1837 that to any lease which Captain Poulson may get for the land a proviso be attached to the effect that if there shall be more dwelling houses erected thereon than the present one Pucka Cauvery on account of each will be chargeable with Pucka Rent at Rupees 5-4- per annum.

Madras
13th May 1845.

/ Signed / J. A. Huddleston
Collector

No 1

To

J. A. Huddleston Esqr

Collector and Registrar of

Government Grants.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Extract of letters to my address from Messrs Crumpe and Co at Octacamund and under the circumstances therein stated, I request you will be good enough to furnish me with another Counterpart agreement to be executed by Captain Poulson in lieu of the one herewith returned should there be no objection to your doing so.

It would appear from the enclosed Copy of a Bill stated to have been furnished from your Department that the amount of the Government fee

Nos 1 & 2 Forwarded with Govt Letter dated 29th May 1845.

For Endorsement on the back of Major Salmon's Petition of 1838.

6712 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

fee for the Registry of the Property preferred to Wit. Rupees 52 8 - 0 had been paid by Majr W. Salmon.

Ootacamund 22nd March 1845 (Signed) J. C. Wroughton Principal Collector.

No. 1 in No. 1.

Extract of a letter from Messrs Framjee Nesserwanjee and Co. to J. C. Wroughton Esqr. Principal Collector of Coimbatore Dated Ootacamund 4th November 1844.

We avail of this opportunity to intimate that on the 6th September last Captain John Poulson of Palghautcherry purchased through us as his constituted Agents from Mr. H. R. Dawson his landed Property known by the name of Salmon House situated at Ootacamund registered in your Office under No. 17 we therefore request that the same may be transferred to Captain Poulson's name from the above date and all claims of Government from thence to be preferred to the latter.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Extract.

6713 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

No. 2 in No. 1.

Extract of a letter from Messrs Framjee and Co. to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated Ootacamund 20th February 1845.

Adverting to the 6th Paragraph of our letter dated 4th November last we beg to state that at the time of the transfer of the "Salmon House" from Mr. Dawson to Captain Poulson through us, we did not receive a Government grant of the property but only a Bill from the Honorable Company against Major Salmon for Rupees 52-8-. (Copy herein enclosed) being the amount paid as Government Fee in order to obtain this Document Mr. Dawson informed us that he had received the accompanying Counterpart Agreement for execution but has remained unexecuted, we therefore request you will be kindly pleased to procure another Copy for execution.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

No. 2 in No. 1.

Madras Cutcherry 25th January 1838.

Major H. Salmon. Dr.

To the Honorable Company.

To the Certificate of the permission of Government to occupy 7 Cawnies 8 Grounds Rs. and 598 Square feet of Ground situated in the Military Cantonment of Ootacamund on the Baikcherry Hills registered in this office as No. 8. 52. 8. -

Received Madras Rupees Fifty two and Annas Eight being the above Amount

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector Regr. of Land Grants.

(True Copy)
(Signed) Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

No. 2.

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 22d Ultimo, and to observe that the original application for a lease for the property therein referred to was made by Major H. Salmon. He alone was, therefore, recognized as the owner and the sanction of Government was obtained for the issue of such a lease to him. Under these circumstances, it would be contrary to the established rules to issue a lease to Captain Poulton without fresh sanction. In order that I may be enabled to apply for the same. I beg leave to add that it will be requisite for Messrs Spranger & Co. to shew that the premises were duly assigned over by Major Salmon to Mr. H. R. Dawson, and by the latter to Captain Poulton.

2. It is proper in conclusion to acquaint you that the Government Fee corresponding with the Bill dated 25th January 1838 is not credited in the accounts of this Office. It was customary about that period to send such Bills before payment was

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

was made. If you think that the information now given is not correct I request the favor of your pointing out the letter which may have accompanied the remittance from Malabar.

Madras
8th April 1845

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Coll. and Regr. of Govt. Grants

No. 3.

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector and Register of
Government Grants.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 8th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward Copy of letter from Messrs Spranger Neperanjee & Co. dated the 28th Ultimo together with the Original Papers therein referred to having reference to the Property of Major Salmon subsequently transferred to Captain Poulton.

Ootacamund
2 May 1845

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

No. in No. 3

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of Coimbatore
Ootacamund.

Sir,

We beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 17th Instant and of its Enclosure and in reply to state that the deed of sale executed by Messrs Binny and Co. in behalf of Major Salmon was

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

was mislaid by Mr. Dawson, in consequence of which when the latter sold the Salmon house to Captain Poulson he gave us a Certificate to that effect and a promise to procure an attested Copy. B. th Mr. Dawson and we wrote to Messrs Binny & Co but regret to say that we received no answer. Under these circumstances we beg to enclose original Documents as per Margin in our possession which we consider in our humble opinion sufficient to enable the Collector to issue the necessary Lease.

A * Letter from Messrs Binny & Co dated 26th July 1837 to Captain G. Barlow.
B * Certificate from Captain Barlow dated 16th December 1837 transferring the Salmon House to Serjeant W. Norris.
C A mutual agreement between Mr. Norris and Mr. Dawson transferring the Salmon House by the former to the latter.
D A deed of conveyance transferring the Property by Mr. Dawson to Captain Poulson.
E A declaration by Mr. Dawson's Certifying the loss of the title deed executed by Binny and Co. in behalf of Major Salmons.

It appears by the accompaniments that the "Salmon House" was purchased by Captain Barlow from Major Salmon through Messrs. Binny and Co in 1837 (Vide A) who in the end of the same year transferred it to Serjeant W. Norris (Vide B) the latter made it over to Mr. Dawson in 1841 (Vide endorsement of the accompaniment B) by this year you will perceive that the only document

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

document wanting is the abovementioned mislaid Title deed. However as the Documents now sent plainly show the manner in which the property came into the possession of the present owner, permit us to hope that the Collector and Register of Government Grants will have no objection to issue the leases prayed for.

Postponed 28th April 1845

Signed Framjee Nosservanjee & Co.

No. 2 to No. 3.
A

Captain G. Barlow
Dy Master Her Majesty's 57th Regt.

Dear Sir,

We are favored with your letter of the 20th Instant, and write by todays dawk to Framjee & Co. to deliver charge of Major Salmon's House to Serjeant William Norris on your account, but as we believe it is rented to some one, we should doubt whether possession can be given on the 1st Proximo as our letter will only reach the Hills on that day and some notice may be necessary before requiring the Tenant to quit. This however we imagine is of no importance to you as you will at any rate be in possession before the price is paid.

We

We observe you intend paying the amount in two Instalments one on the 2nd August of Rupees 1000 and the other on the 1st September of Rupees 1500.—

Madras 26th July 1837. / Signed Binny & Co.

No.3. in No.3.

B

To all whom it may concern.

This is to Certify that a House purchased in my Name, Situated at Otacamund on the Neilgherry Hills from Messrs. Binny & Co of Madras and late the property of Major Salmon of the Madras Army, is the Property of Serjeant William Norris — of His Majesty's 54th Regiment.—

Pitchinspoly — 16th December 1837. / Signed / C. Barlow
Day Master 54th Foot.

This property sold by me this day to Mr. Henry Royal Dawson of Coonoor.

Coonoor 31st December 1841. / Signed / W. Norris.

No.4 in No.3.

C.

We the undersigned do hereby agree to Mutually divide the interest in two Houses at Otacamund known by the names of "Salmon's" and "Saylor's."

The former being transferred in full to Mr. Dawson by Mr. Norris the latter to Mr. Norris by Mr. Dawson.

Coonoor 31st December 1841. / Signed / H. R. Dawson.
" / William Norris

Known

No.5. in No.3.

D

Know All Men by these Presents that I Henry Royal Dawson Hotel Proprietor on the Neilgherries, have bargained, sold, set over and assigned; and do hereby bargain sell, set over and assign, to Captain John Poulson of Pauls-ghaut Cherry my House known by the name of "Salmon House" its Furniture as pa annexed List and Offices, Ground, Water Courses &c. &c. situate at Otacamund on the Neilgherry Hills purchased by Mr. Norris and myself conjointly from Messrs. Binny and Company of Madras as the authorized Agents of Major Salmon, for and in consideration of Rupees 5,000/ Five thousand and which sum I do hereby acknowledge the receipt of in full from Messieurs Framjee Nusservanjee and Company of Otacamund.—

I also agree to make over to Messieurs Framjee Nusservanjee and Company, as the authorized agents of Captain John Poulson the House Furniture, out Offices, Ground Water Courses &c. &c. as per a plan annexed to the Government Grant No8. of 1838 which said plan and Government Grant I have applied for to the Register of Grants and to be handed over to Messieurs Framjee

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

Frampee Nusservanjee and Company on arrival from Madras.

I further declare to have delivered to Messrs. Frampee Nusservanjee and Company all papers and documents connected with the above Property save and except the aforementioned Grant and Claim.

In Witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal at Ootacamund this Sixth day of September in the year of Our Lord One thousand Eight hundred and forty four.—

Signed Sealed and delivered
in the presence of } Signed Henry Royal Dawson

List of Furniture in the "Salmon House."

One large Bed with Matteress and Curtains
One single Ditto — Ditto — Ditto
Three Couches lined with Chintz complete
One Dining table in four pieces complete
One Liquor Case
Four Tea Dogs
One Dressing Table
Two washing Stands Basons and Jugs
Two Cloths Horses
Two looking Glasses
One Leaf of Camp Table
One Ditto Ditto

One

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

One small chest of Drawers
One Meat Safe
Two Globe Lamps with Burners Veg complete
Seven Large Carpets
Five small Ditto
One Hearth Rug
Four Fenders
One Set Fire Irons
Seventeen Chairs good
Curtains complete to all the principal Doors and Windows in the House

Signed H. R. Dawson

No 6 in No 3.

F.

I hereby declare that the Deed of Sale of the "Salmon House" obtained from Regt. Binny Esq. have been mislaid and I promise to procure a Copy duly attested and make over to Messrs. Frampee Nusservanjee Esq. with as little delay as possible.—

Signed H. R. Dawson

Ootacamund
6th September 1844

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

54-55

Under the circumstances stated by Mr. Studleston the Board recommend that the sanction granted under date 11th October 1837 for a lease in the name of Major M. Salmon for a piece of land at Ootacamund on the Quit rent of 7 s. 5 gs. may be cancelled and that sanction granted for the Grant of a lease in the name of Captain Conlon for the land in question on the terms on which it was to have been held by Major Salmon with the additional stipulation proposed in Para 5 of Mr. Studleston's letter.—

To

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

56

From the Collr of Madras and Regr of Govt Grants

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor at the request of Mr M. A. Sale Registrar of the Supreme Court and Administrator to the Estate of the late Mr E. Bannerman, to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue Copy of his communication under date the 17th Instant relative to the application for permission to transfer certain Houses and Lands on the Neilgherries to Mr F. Hutton submitted with my letter of date the 1st Instant.

Madras 21st May 1845

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston

Coll.r & Reg.r of Govt. Grants.

A

To

The Collector of

Madras

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 1st Instant to the address of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore relative to the Estate of the late Mr E. Bannerman, I have the honor to request you will be so good as to call the attention of the Board of Revenue to your application

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

application respecting the title of the late Mr Bannerman to the House at Ootacamund and to request an early compliance as the interests of the Estate are much injured by the assets belonging thereto remaining so long unrealized and I am unable to close my accounts as the amount of the purchase money is still due. I trust you will pardon me in thus urging the completion of this matter, but my duty compels me to do so.—

/Signed/ M. A. Sale

Administrator

/True Copy/

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston

Coll.r & Reg.r of Govt. Grants.

57

Resolution thereon. The Board observe that the application referred to in the foregoing letter was submitted for the orders of Government on the 19th Instant.

Read the following letter.

58

To The Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Commissary General

Dated 15th May 1845

Gentlemen,

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

In acknowledging the receipt of your Secretary's letter I have the honor to inform you that no plans are required to enable this Department to

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

to frame Estimates of the Cost of the Articles mentioned the Bearer is deputed to receive instructions from your Secretary.

Commissary Generals Office
Madras 27th May 1845

/Signed/ A. Tulloch Lieut. Colo.
Commissary General

Order thereon.

58-59

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Letter.

To

The Secretary
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

60

From Messrs Parry & Co. Madras

Sir,

With reference to Minutes of Consultation under date the 23d of May we have the honor to solicit the decision of the Board on our reference to Government.—

Madras
27th May 1845

/Signed/ Parry & Co.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

60-61

To Messrs Parry & Co.

To Messrs Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Instant requesting to be informed of the result of your reference to Government under date February last.—

In Cons. 29th May

2 In reply the Board instruct me to state that

Fort St. George 29th May 1845

that the Government have been pleased to decide that the general 8 per Cent import Duty imposed by Regulation I 1813 has been abolished by Act VI 1844. the Collector of Madras to whom orders have been sent upon the subject will therefore on application refund to you the total amount of duty at 8 per Cent paid on the several consignments of Rum mentioned in the enclosures of your letter to Government.—

3 As regards the particular Import Duty prescribed by the same Regulation, the Government are of opinion that this being a Special Duty for the protection of the Madras Abkarry Revenue has not been affected by Act VI 1844 but is still leviable upon all Spirits imported by land into Madras. It will therefore be necessary that the Spirits manufactured in the Pormeas and consigned to your Firm should on entry into Madras be taken to the office of the Collector of Madras and deposit of the Particular Duty be made or security given. As has hitherto been customary.—

4. I am further instructed to apprize you, that in the interval between

Fort St George 29th May 1845.

between the import of your Spirits into Madras by land and their import by Sea. It is at your discretion to keep them either in the bonded Warehouse, or in your own Custody but the Spirits cannot be moved from the Office of the Collector of Madras to the place in which you may wish to store them - nor from one place of Custody to another without the permit of the Collector. The Board presume that you are aware that - under Regulation I 1820. such Spirits in transit from the Distillery to Madras must be guarded by a permit granted by the Collector of the District in which the Distillery is situated, or by one of his Assistants.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 29 May 1845.

(Signed) R Ouchterlony
Secretary

Read the undermentioned Statements

Statement

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

62
From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the quarter ending 31st March last.

63
From the Superintendent of Stamps. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of April last.

62-64
Order on the two abovementioned Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

65
From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th May 1845.

66
From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st

Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

1st to 15th May 1845.

(67)
From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Sold from 1st to 15th May 1845.

(68)
From the Collector of Malabar Statement shewing the quantity of Salt Sold from 1st to 15th " " 1845.—

(65-69)
Order on the four abovementioned Statements. Ordered to lie over.

(70)
From the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry Report of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Months of April last.

(70-71)
Order thereon Ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

Resolved that the following Letters be dispatched.—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St George 29th May 1845

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

(72)
To The Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated 23d April 1845 and published in the Fort St George Gazette dated 6th May last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the accompanying Statements One Shewing the amount of Pensions paid to the relatives of deceased Subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund and the other Shewing the Superannuation pensions paid thro this Office in the Official year 1844/5.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 29th May 1845

I Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Statement

6730

Fort St. George

A Statement Shewing the Amount of Pensions drawn by the deceased Subscribers to the late Native Pension Fund

Number	Names and Employ of the Deceased Subscribers	Amount of Salary for whom drawn	Amount of arrears for drawn in the Course of the year 1844 for the former year	Pay for April drawn in May 1844	Pay for May drawn in June 1844	Pay for June drawn in July 1844
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	John Seared Writer	2550 Widow of the deceased	" "	20 "	20 "	20 "
2	Ramasawmy Sectionar	2548 Do	" "	10 "	10 "	10 "
3	Lyahsawmy Pilly Writer	2549 Do	" "	7 "	7 "	7 "
4	Venicata Row Sheristadar	2552 Do	" "	20 "	20 "	13 5 4
5	Myroo Hale Writer	2546 Do	" "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "
6	M Lambert Do	2551 Do	" "	7 "	7 "	7 "
7	Collector of Trichinopoly / Hareekistna Moodelly Writer	2553 Do	" "	5 "	5 "	5 "
	Carried forward		" "	74 "	74 "	67 94

29th May 1845

from the Public Treasury and paid through the Office of the Board of Revenue on account of the relatives of the Pund on the Official Year 1844/45.—

of Pension drawn in each month.—

Pay for July drawn in August 1844	Pay for August drawn in September 1844	Pay for September drawn in October 1844	Pay for October drawn in November 1844	Pay for November drawn in December 1844	Pay for December drawn in January 1845	Pay for January 1845 drawn in February 1845	Pay for February 1845 drawn in March 1845	Pay for March 1845 drawn in April 1845	Total for the Current Official year	Grant Total including arrears	Amount refunded as per Receipt dated within the year	Remarks
Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	Rs a ♀	
20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 "	240 " "	240 " "	" " "	
10 " "	10 " "	10 " "	10 " "					10 "	120 " "			
7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 "	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	53 5 4	53 5 4	" " "	Died 20th June 1844 Reported to government.
5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4	63 " "	63 " "	" " "	
7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 "	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	
5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 "	60 " "	60 " "	" " "	
54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	54 "	704 5 4	704 5 4	" " "	

6731

Fort St George

Amount of Pensions drawn in each month

Number	Names and employ of the Deceased Subscribers	No. of Certificate	Party for whom drawn	Amount of Arrears drawn in the course of the year 1844/45 for the former year	Pay for April drawn in May 1844	Pay for May drawn in June 1844	Pay for June drawn in July 1844	Pay for July drawn in Augt 1844	Pay for August drawn in September 1844	Pay for September drawn in Octr 1844	Pay for October drawn in Novembr 1844	Pay for November drawn in Decr 1844	Pay for December drawn in January 1845	Remarks
					Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	Rs a/	
	Brought forward				744.	744.	694.	544.	544.	544.	544.	544.	544.	
8	Collector of Tinnevelly Coopoo Naik Genloo Writer	2563	Widow of the deceased		38.	38.	38.	38.	38.	38.	38.	38.	38.	
9	Principal Collector of S. A. of Arcot Coopiah Bt. English Writer	2559	Do		4..	4..	4..	4..	4..	4..	4..	4..	4..	
10	Principal Collector of Bellary Narrain Moodelly Writer	2561	Do		5..	5..	5..	5..	5..	5..	5..	5..	5..	
	Total Rupees				128.	128.	128.	128.	128.	128.	128.	128.	128.	
	Grand Total Co. Rupees				86 12.	86 12.	80 14	66 12.	66 12.	66 12.	66 12.	66 12.	66 12.	

29th May 1845

Pay for January 1845 drawn in Feby 1845	Pay for February 1845 drawn in March 1845	Pay for March 1845 drawn in April 1845	Total for the current Official year	Grand Total including arrears	Amount refunded as per Receipt dated within the year	Remarks
Rs a Φ	Rs a Φ	Rs a Φ	Rs a Φ	Rs a Φ	Rs a Φ	
54 0	54 0	54 0	704 54	704 54	" " "	
38 0	38 0	38 0	42 0 0	42 0 "	" " "	
4 0 0	4 0 0	4 0 0	48 0 "	48 0 "	" " "	
5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	60 0 "	60 0 "	" " "	
128 0	128 0	128 0	150 0 0	150 0 "	" " "	
612 "	612 "	612 "	854 54	854 54	" " "	

6732

Fort St George

29th May 1845

B Statement Showing the Amount of Superannuation

Pensions drawn from the Civil year 1844/45

Number	Names and employ of the Pensioners	No of certificate	Amount of Arrears drawn in the course of the year 1844/45 in the former year	Pay for April drawn in May 1844	Pay for May drawn in June 1844	Pay for June drawn in July 1845	Pensions drawn in the year Pay for July drawn on August 1844	Pay for August drawn in September 1844	Amount refunded as per Receipt dated within the year	Remarks
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
1	Bungaruh Peon	926		5 0	5 0	5 0	5 0	5 0		
2	Govindoo Si	927		7 0	7 0	7 0	7 0	7 0		
3	Chellapen Moochee	929		7 0	7 0	7 0	7 0	7 0		
4	A Johnston Write	426		25 0	25 0	25 0	25 0	25 0		
5	P Tiraadasen Di	427		16 12	16 12	16 11	16 12	16 12		
6	P Rungum Di	428		16 12	16 12	16 12	16 12	16 12		
7	I W Fermar Di	771		24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8		
8	Vejaay Ram Row Translator	869		17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2		
	Collector of Bellary									
9	Hundeerooba Row late Tahsildar of Yadakee	661		100 0	100 0	100 0	100 0	100 0		
	Principal Collr of N Arcot									
10	Aheer Jusferally Tahsildar	948		24 12	24 12	24 12	24 12	24 8		Died 28th Octr 1844 Reported to Government
11	Rajah Row Tahsildar and Head of Police	697		32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32		
	Total C Rupees			276 8 6	276 8 6	276 8 6	276 8 6	276 8		

29th May 1845

Pensions drawn from the Public Treasury and paid through the Office of the Board of Revenue in the Official year 1844/45

Pensions drawn in each Month

Pay for July drawn in August 1844	Pay for August drawn in September 1844	Pay for September drawn in October 1844	Pay for October drawn in November 1844	Pay for November drawn in December 1844	Pay for December drawn in January 1845	Pay for January 1845 drawn in February 1845	Pay for February drawn in March 1845	Pay for March drawn in April 1845	Total for the current Official year	Grand Total including arrears	Amount responded as per Receipt dated within the year	Remarks
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	3 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	60 " "	60 " "	" " "	
7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	
7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	
25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	25 " "	300 " "	300 " "	" " "	
16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	201 " "	201 " "	" " "	
16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	16 12 "	201 " "	201 " "	" " "	
24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	24 8 7	294 7 "	294 7 "	" " "	
17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	17 2 8	206 " "	206 " "	" " "	
100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	100 " "	1200 " "	1200 " "	" " "	
24 12 "	24 12 "	24 12 "	22 3 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	170 138	170 138	" " "	Died 28th Octr 1844 Reported to Government
32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	32 9 3	390 15 "	390 15 "	" " "	
276 8 6	276 8 6	276 8 6	274 2 2	251 12 6	251 12 6	251 12 6	251 12 6	251 12 6	3192 38	3192 38	" " "	

6733 Fort St George 29th May 1845

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government

73

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Sir,

With reference to Col. Steele's letter of the 4th April 1840 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit according to the form annexed thereto a Statement shewing the amount of Pensions paid thro' this Office during the Official year 1844/45 on Certificates to the families of deceased public Servants who are unconnected with the late Native Pension Fund.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th May 1845

} I have &c
(Signed) T Pycroft
Secretary

Statement

Fort St George 29th May 1845

A

Statement shewing the Amount of Pensions drawn from the Public Treasury and paid through the Office of the Board of Revenue on account of the families of deceased Servants who are unconnected with the late Native Pension Fund in the Official year 1844/45

Number	Names and employ of the Deceased Servants	No of the Certificate	Party for whom drawn	Amount of Pension drawn in the Course of the year 1844/45 for the former year	Amount of Pensions drawn in each month. May 1844	June 1844	July 1844	August 1844	September 1844	October 1844	November 1844	December 1844	January 1845	February 1845	March 1845	April 1845	Total for the Current Official year	Grand Total including arrears	Amount of Pension as per Receipt dated within the year	Remarks
					Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	Sub Collector of Tanjore																			
1	Ram Row, Moorsladar, Board of Revenue	Widow of the deceased		21 0 0	21 0 0	21 0 0											63 0 0	63 0 0		This Pension is transferred to the Collector of Nellore
2	W. Goodman, Examiner	dont & daughter of the deceased		9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0	9 0 0		108 0 0	108 0 0		
3	J. Lloyd, Examiner	Widow 32 of the deceased		10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0		120 0 0	120 0 0		
	Total			40 0 0	40 0 0	40 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0	19 0 0		291 0 0	291 0 0		

To

6735 Fort St. George 29th. May 1845

To,

(75)

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

To the Chief Sec. to Government

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying A General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th. July to 31st. January 1845 of Fusly 1254. Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1253-

2. Abstract B shews a Net Decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 8,33,981- 13- resulting from a Net Increase of Rupees + 5,50,352 - 6 - 10 - in the Collections of Land Revenue and Net Decrease of Rupees 13,84,334 - 3 - 10 - in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.

Increase in the Collections of Current	4,97,473	8. 10.
Do. in the Collections of Arrears	52,878	14. -
	5,50,352	6. 10.

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th. May 1845

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary
General

6736

A. General Statement Shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue in the Several Districts under Fort St. George

Collections of arrears and Current Land Revenue:

Comparison Increase

		Fusly 1253. Arrears		Current		Total		Fusly 1254 Arrears		Current		Total		Increase Arrears		Current	
	1	2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p		CRs. a p	
1	Zillah of Ganjam	88170	2 3	182494	2 9	270664		5 "	49719	6 10	320837	11 11	370557	2 9	" "	" "	138343	9 2
2	Vizagapatam	102748	- 10	322141	13 1	424889		13 11	122353	5 9	173633	2 8	295986	8 5	19605	4 11	" "	" "
3	Rajahmundry	60766	9 7	547668	13 10	608435		7 5	51599	2 8	649364	12 4	700963	15 -	"	" "	101695	14 6
4	Masulipatam	197045	5 1	90672	5 -	287717		10 1	244072	13 8	112363	14 9	356436	12 5	47027	8 7	21691	9 9
5	Guntoor (incl. Palnaud)	38012	- 2 5	140960	11 9	178972		14 2	56547	9 7	341223	1 3	397770	10 10	18535	7 2	200262	5 6
6	Chingleput	186692	13 3	126937	- 8	313629		13 11	160857	12 8	77029	4 1	237957	- 9	"	" "	"	" "
7	Salem (incl. Palnaud)	32902	- 11	475427	14 9	508329		15 8	39797	3 -	372360	8 3	412157	11 3	6895	2 1	"	" "
8	Madura	70983	11 4	373071	5 9	444053		1 1	75377	10 11	343703	14 11	419081	9 10	4393	15 7	"	" "
9	Nellore and Angole	177402	7	478563	3 8	656073		6 3	199567	14 2	550892	1 6	750453	15 8	22121	11 7	72328	13 10
10	Northern Division of Arcot	90160	- 1 7	661623	11 10	751783		13 5	118577	3 5	608959	15 11	727487	3 4	28367	1 10	"	" "
11	Southern Division of Arcot	157865	12 3	389592	5 10	547458		2 1	183044	2 8	477897	14 9	660942	1 5 -	25178	6 5	88305	8 11
12	Tanjore	102796	7 9	647110	9 7	749907		1 4	107404	11 4	702739	3 8	810143	15 -	4608	3 7	55628	10 1
13	Trichinopoly	67539	1 -	587890	8 8	655429		9 8	32941	9	604592	5 9	607886	7 6	"	" "	16701	13 1
14	Tinnevelly	47289	1 2	511952	" 4	559241		1 6	36026	7 6	564340	- 2	600366	7 8	"	" "	52387	15 10
15	Bellary	15832	13 2	918014	15 3	933847		12 5	23406	15 1	921006	10 8	947503	9 9	7664	1 11	5991	11 5
16	Cuddapah	32103	1 10	601247	9 3	633350		11 1	32860	8 10	621771	7 3	654632	" 1	757	7 "	20523	14 -
17	Coimbatore	9003	13 2	558465	9 6	567469		6 8	8094	1 3	575238	8 9	583332	10 -	"	" "	16772	15 3
18	Canara	36289	6 4	237240	15 -	273529		5 4	44733	11 1	246193	8 3	290927	3 4	8444	4 9	8952	9 3
19	Malabar	9016	2 -	635858	7 10	644874		9 10	19539	13 6	633054	14 11	652594	12 5	10523	11 6	"	" "
20	Madras. Land Customs	3488	9 5	24033	3 9	27521		13 2	3868	11 5	24068	14 4	27937	9 9	380	2 -	35	10 7
	Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	"	" "	"	" "	"		"	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Sea Customs	"	" "	"	" "	"		"	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	Kurnool	56974	13 1/2	75638	4 1	132613		1 2/2	41734	" "	159737	4 11	201471	4 11	"	" "	84099	" 10
	Total	1583140	3 1/2	8586605	12 2	10169745		15 2/2	1622511	7 1	9084079	5 -	10706590	12 1	204502	8 11	883722	2 -
	Add Old Balances					112924		12 8/2					126502	6 8				
	Grand Total					10282669		11 11					10833093	2 9				
	Deduct Collections to the end of December					6537							6843089	15 6				
	Collections in January					3744		2 7/2					3999003	3 3				
								9 3/2										

6735 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

To,

75

The Chief Secretary to
Government

To, The Chief Sec. to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying A General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly accounts shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July to 31st January 1845 of Fusly 1254 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1253.

2. Abstract B shews a Net Decrease in the aggregate of Rupees 833981-13-- resulting from a Net Increase of Rupees 550352-6-10- in the Collections of Land Revenue and Net Decrease of Rupees 1384334-3-10- in the Collections from Extra sources of Revenue.

Increase in the Collections of Current	497473-8-10.	
Dr in the Collections of Arrears	52878-14--	
	550352-6-10.	+

3. Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th May 1845

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary

General

29th May 1845.

the Madras Residency from 12th July 1844 to 31st January

Total	Decrease: Arrears	Decrease: Current	Total	Net: Increase	Net: Decrease
10	11	12	13	14	15
CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1,38,343 9 2	38,450 11 5	" " "	38,450 11 5	99,892 13 9	" " "
19,805 4 11	" " "	1,48,508 10 5	1,48,508 10 5	" " "	1,28,903 5 6
101,695 14 6	9,167 6 11	" " "	9,167 6 11	92,528 7 7	" " "
68,719 2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	68,719 2 4	" " "
2,18,797 12 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,18,797 12 8	" " "
" " "	25,835 " 7	49,837 12 7	75,672 13 2	" " "	75,672 13 2
6,895 2 1	" " "	103,067 6 6	103,067 6 6	" " "	96,172 4 5
4,393 15 7	" " "	29,367 6 10	29,367 6 10	" " "	24,973 7 3
94,450 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	94,450 9 5	" " "
28,367 1 10	" " "	52,663 11 11	52,663 11 11	" " "	24,296 10 1
113,483 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	113,483 15 4	" " "
60,236 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	60,236 13 8	" " "
16,701 13 1	64,264 15 3	" " "	64,264 15 3	" " "	47,563 2 2
52,384 15 10	11,262 9 8	" " "	11,262 9 8	41,125 6 2	" " "
13,655 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,655 13 4	" " "
21,281 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21,281 5 "	" " "
16,772 15 3	909 11 11	" " "	909 11 11	15,363 3 4	" " "
17,396 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,396 14 "	" " "
10,523 11 6	" " "	2,803 8 11	2,803 8 11	7,720 2 7	" " "
415 12 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	415 12 7	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
84,099 " 10	15,240 13 1½	" " "	15,240 13 1½	68,858 3 8½	" " "
10,83,224 10 11	1,65,131 4 10½	3,86,248 9 2	5,51,379 14 ½	9,34,426 7 5½	3,97,581 10 7
				37,210 " 5½	23,702 6 6
				9,71,636 7 11	4,21,284 1 1
				4,21,284 1 1	Dr. Decrease
				550352 6 10	Net Increase

6737

For St. George

1845 of Fusly 1254 Compared with the Collections in the

29th May 1845

same period of Fusly 1253.

	Salt.						Sayer		Abkarry			
	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254	Increase.	Decrease	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease.
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p
1	92800 11 9	95327 11 3	3026 15 6	" " "	12914 10 7	28 6 6	" " "	12886 4 1	18680 3 10	20824 11 "	2144 7 2	" " "
2	50224 8 5	51207 6 "	982 15 7	" " "	38071 9 4	" " "	" " "	38071 9 4	23653 11 8	25253 9 2	1599 13 6	" " "
3	171617 3 7	83937 8 "	" " "	87679 11 7	43230 11 "	1689 11 8	" " "	41540 15 4	18774 13 9	16042 2 "	" " "	2732 11 9
4	190531 3 6	193418 2 10	2886 15 4	" " "	53794 3 "	21613 2 9	" " "	32181 " 3	15476 6 4	14966 13 7	" " "	509 8 9
5	142769 11 "	157402 12 2	14633 1 2	" " "	30551 11 9	5517 11 3	" " "	25034 " 6	5102 12 5	5474 10 9	371 14 4	" " "
6	257370 15 "	187386 13 9	" " "	69984 1 3	30032 5 8	2 1 10	" " "	30030 3 10	45811 6 5	39830 7 2	" " "	5980 15 3
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	80283 5 2	13941 6 10	" " "	66341 14 4	41536 " "	42281 " "	745 " "	" " "
8	134890 2 1	190921 8 1	56031 6 "	" " "	141312 13 9	1461 7 "	" " "	139851 6 9	27064 8 "	29277 1 10	2212 9 10	" " "
9	219308 2 2	203920 2 "	" " "	15388 " 2	63915 " 5	6364 5 "	" " "	63915 " 5	11254 15 3	13161 8 8	1906 9 5	" " "
10	11710 2 "	8508 12 "	" " "	3201 6 "	80166 13 11	" " "	" " "	738022 8 11	69689 3 10	55946 15 3	" " "	13742 4 7
11	143231 13 5	139154 3 "	" " "	4216 9 2	100488 12 4	26407 4 "	" " "	74081 8 4	41126 7 3	42424 12 8	1298 5 5	" " "
12	215432 11 7	258138 12 2	42706 " 7	" " "	150982 15 11	12089 2 6	" " "	138893 13 5	35293 4 6	36352 4 10	1059 " 4	" " "
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	66986 1 7	" " "	" " "	66986 1 7	10461 11 "	9793 6 4	" " "	668 4 8
14	134444 1 8	183227 11 7	48783 9 11	" " "	96033 3 1	36290 10 5	" " "	59428 8 8	10818 " "	11457 8 "	639 8 "	" " "
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	141806 5 6	49843 11 4	" " "	91962 10 2	136408 10 8	143086 12 8	6678 2 "	" " "
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	133318 " 9	4455 1 11	" " "	128862 14 10	49158 8 8	47634 2 8	" " "	1524 6 "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	105612 10 6	10232 8 10	" " "	95380 1 8	31867 12 "	33257 3 "	1389 7 "	" " "
18	122193 12 "	149487 5 9	27293 9 9	" " "	122328 9 3	19300 14 10	" " "	19027 10 5	28846 9 2	28778 3 7	" " "	68 5 7
19	136620 7 8	179598 1 10	42977 10 2	" " "	72124 11 5	7949 14 5	" " "	64177 13 "	28686 12 5	30127 9 1	1440 12 8	" " "
20	64692 5 1	88495 9 "	23803 3 11	" " "	38688 12 7	233 8 "	" " "	38455 4 7	33860 8 3	33904 9 9	496 " 6	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	28755 10	7068 6 8	" " "	" " "	44909 2 8	45353 15 4	444 12 8	" " "
	2087837 14 11	2179493 8 8	263125 5 11	189469 12 2	1954521 13 4	308439 7 9	4193 " 10	1650225 6 5	1033229 9 1	1030429 7 4	22426 6 10	25226 8 7
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	82249	8398	4193 " 10	590 4 9	1998 7 10	1091 " 1	265 3 7	1172 11 4
	2037837 14 11	2170493 8 8	263125 5 11	189469 12 2	1955144 2 1	308573 1 5	4244 10 6	1650815 11 2	1035228 " 11	1031520 7 5	22691 10 5	26399 3 11
			189469 12 2	Dt. Decrease			Dt. Increase	4244 10 6			Dt. Increase	22691 10 5
			82559 9	Net Increase			Net Decrease	1646571 " 8			Net Decrease	3707 9 6
	1577518 14 1	1743603 14 9			1577571 4 10	201450 " "			857623 11 9	854597 10 3		
	509324 " 10	421889 9 11			377572 13 3	107123 1 5			177604 5 2	176922 13 2		

Sundry small farms and Licenses.

	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254.		Increase		Decrease.		Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254.	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
1	1994	10 1	2402	6 2	407	12 1	"	" "				
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	4572	6 10	5315	4 1
3	4959	7 3	4265	8 "	"	" "	"	" "	3675	15 1	4122	12 1
4	14	" "	30	" "	16	" "	693	15 3	27361	11 9	25858	5 8
5	1097	13 1	983	15 "	"	" "	"	" "	2920	2 6	1620	13 3
6	9887	12 5	6319	6 11	"	" "	113	14 1	19280	12 5	16678	7 9
7	3456	4 "	4566	2 "	1109	14 "	3568	5 6	13665	" 9	6356	5 9
8	3434	2 9	2940	6 7	"	" "	"	" "	63492	7 10	51994	12 4
9	115	7 8	100	9 4	"	" "	493	12 2	"	" "	"	" "
10	446	12 4	1483	11 2	1036	14 10	14	14 4	17407	4 6	17916	12 8
11	2491	" 6	2836	3 4	345	2 10	"	" "	10505	9 11	10715	4 8
12	18828	11 3	18149	7 7	"	" "	"	" "	14319	12 10	16316	11 4
13	2119	14 2	962	1 10	"	" "	679	3 8	39228	7 7	39445	4 9
14	15347	8 5	6812	1 6	"	" "	1157	12 4	4690	" "	4793	4 11
15	2218	10 8	1983	5 1	"	" "	8535	6 11	44112	7 6	49030	9 7
16	1382	3 9	2212	4 3	830	" 6	235	5 7	119482	15 10	122522	12 "
17	153	3 6	137	4 "	"	" "	"	" "	85812	14 6	88254	13 9
18	23459	2 5	11092	11 1	"	" "	15	15 6	28015	1 5	23574	1 4
19	20045	14 7	21473	7 3	1427	8 8	12366	7 4	1961	15 6	1836	9 5
	5796	2 7	5895	3 6	99	" 11	"	" "	47219	5 10	48024	9 7
20	16127	2 1	15683	" 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	444	1 4	"	" "	"	" "
21	939	7 7	676	" 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
							263	9 3	"	" "	"	" "
	134315	9 1	111075	3 8	5272	5 10	28582	11 3	2098813	4½	2161110	4½
	369	6 4	2654	2 7	2407	1 2	122	4 11	567873	5 11½	555990	9 3½
									1377	13 9	1120	12 9
	134684	15 5	113659	6 3	7679	" "	28705	" 2	569251	3 8½	555111	6 ½
					Dt. Increase		7679	" "				
					Net Decrease.		21025	9 2				
	112769	11 11	88153	13 4					412437	9 6	114985	13 6½
	21915	3 6	25505	8 11					156813	10 2½	22125	8 6

Motupha.

	Increase		Decrease.		Fusly 1253.		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease	
	34		35		36		37		38		39	
	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p	CRs.	a p
	742	13 3	"	" "	5004	3 "	2993	4 "	"	" "	2010	15 "
	446	13 "	"	" "	4744	8 "	21407	11 9	16663	3 9	"	" "
	"	" "	1503	6 1	12077	2 1	14942	6 7	2865	4 6	"	" "
	"	" "	1299	5 3	3569	9 1	5233	8 5	1663	15 4	"	" "
	"	" "	2602	4 8	2655	10 5	2369	7 2	"	" "	286	3 3
	"	" "	7308	11 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	11497	11 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	8873	3 4	14908	14 7	6035	11 3	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	9304	1 9	6158	3 7	"	" "	3145	14 2
	509	3 2	"	" "	101	5 8	134	" 9	32	11 1	"	" "
	209	10 9	"	" "	4763	4 3	14846	10 11	10083	6 8	"	" "
	1996	14 6	"	" "	45562	4 6	67529	15 1	21967	10 7	"	" "
	157	7 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	103	14 11	"	" "	26230	8 "	144305	15 7	11807	7 7	"	" "
	4918	2 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3039	12 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2441	15 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	4441	" 1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13850	14 3
	774	9 11	"	" "	13654	10 9	117698	12 6	"	" "	33303	9 3
	805	3 9	"	" "	12706	15 6	93258	6 3	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	228914	10 4	368869	10 7	13995	" 3	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	622	13 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
					615412	" 8	874656	15 9	31734	2 "	58097	7 11
					"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	16769	9 11	28652	6 7					31734	2 "	58097	7 11
	425	12 6	702	13 6					58097	7 11	Dt. Decrease	
	17215	6 5	29355	4 1								
			17215	6 5								
	Dt. Increase											
	Net Decrease.		12139	13 8								
					463042	2 7	67514	0 12				
					152339	14 1	19951	6 3				

Sea Customs.

											259244	15 1
											Net Increase	

Statement of Accounts

Between

Years	Years	Names	Names	Years	Years	Names	Names
1253	1254			1253	1254		

Memoranda.

	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc
1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,417 11 6	7,815 13	3398 1 6	" "
2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13606 6	12220 13	" "	1335 9
3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8940 11	6027 14	" "	2912 12 3
4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10382 "	9140 "	" "	1442 "
5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3982 10 3	2874 13 6	" "	1113 12 11
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6715 11	7137 11 10	1098 3 10	" "
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9794 9	8062 11	" "	1731 11
8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9282 11 6	7737 3 6	" "	1573 "
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9073 "	5154 "	" "	3912 "
10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14960 "	12832 3	" "	2137 5
11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8628 "	6011 "	" "	1631 6
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27688 13	19631 6 1	" "	3209 6 11
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9164 6 11	6611 "	" "	2533 2 11
14	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13324 5	13921 2	" "	768 9
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11834 11	7589 3	" "	4295 3
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15777 8	11033 3	" "	2383 8
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9883 15	8388 8	" "	1293 7
18	13310 6	27096 7	2668 7	9	19441 6	18486 13	8	1203 8
19	12310 11	27096 7	2668 7	9	11030 3 11	23953 6	23997 7	434 13 6
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6816 12 6	5609 11 6	" "	1207 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1040 "	2162 "	" "	1121 12 "
21	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3714 15 "	654 "	279 8 "	" "
	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc
Lipsitz	10	4093	45	3	2668	7 9	11030	3 11
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Lipsitz	10	4093	45	3	2668	7 9	11030	3 11

	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc	Cash acc
Lipsitz	10	4093	45	3	2668	7 9	11030	3 11
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Lipsitz	10	4093	45	3	2668	7 9	11030	3 11

	21370	3	3	20696	14	2	6509	10 10
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	21370	3	3	20696	14	2	6509	10 10

	4093	10	4	2668	7 9	11030	3 11	21370
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Lipsitz	10	4093	45	3	2668	7 9	11030	3 11

Memoranda

	4093	45	3

Fort St. George

29th May 1845

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease
	54	55	56	57	58	59
	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps
1	4,11,048 14 7	5,05,764 10 9	1,09,612 15 3	14,897 3 1	94,715 12 2	" " "
2	5,58,866 8 5	4,10,198 12 5	19,692 11 10	1,68,360 7 10	" " "	1,48,667 12 "
3	8,95,397 3 10	8,53,727 7 6	95,393 12 1	1,37,963 8 5	" " "	41,669 12 4
4	5,64,605 6 6	6,02,459 5 3	79,286 1 "	35,432 2 3	37,853 14 9	" " "
5	3,84,419 15 8	5,89,072 8 5	2,33,802 12 2	29,150 3 5	2,04,652 8 9	" " "
6	6,77,113 1 2	4,85,666 3 "	1,098 3 10	1,92,545 2 "	" " "	19,144 6 14 2
7	7,06,892 9 8	5,33,003 14 5	1,854 14 "	1,75,743 9 3	" " "	1,73,888 11 3
8	7,68,912 13 6	6,66,328 3 5	64,279 11 1	1,66,864 5 2	" " "	10,258 10 1
9	9,36,381 6 "	9,96,865 3 11	96,866 11 "	86,382 13 1	10,483 13 11	" " "
10	9,39,373 5 1	8,23,472 7 2	1,279 4 8	1,17,180 2 7	" " "	1,15,900 13 11
11	8,62,527 8 8	9,09,766 2 5	1,27,207 12 9	79,969 3 "	47,238 9 9	" " "
12	12,82,984 5 8	12,61,528 14 "	1,26,127 " 4	1,47,582 8 "	" " "	21,455 7 8
13	7,48,871 11 4	6,30,647 2 7	103 14 11	1,18,928 7 8	" " "	1,18,824 8 9
14	8,99,551 3 2	10,45,383 12 4	2,14,110 8 9	68,277 15 7	1,45,832 9 2	" " "
15	13,45,649 2 1	12,72,529 5 10	23,373 11 6	96,493 7 9	" " "	73,119 12 3
16	9,13,799 14 9	8,08,221 9 8	24,553 4 9	1,35,131 9 10	" " "	1,10,578 5 1
17	7,43,032 1 1	6,59,122 3 2	17,252 10 4	101,132 8 3	" " "	83,879 13 11
18	8,62,523 13 1	8,59,398 3 "	48,133 9 5	51,267 14 3	" " "	3,134 4 10
19	13,84,605 2 3	13,29,988 11 5	54,415 3 4	109,931 10 2	" " "	54,616 6 10
20	8,31,124 7 2	4,67,276 3 6	24,814 1 11	3,88,662 5 7	" " "	36,848 3 8
	16,127 2 1	15,683 " 9	" " "	444 1 4	" " "	444 1 4
	2,29,954 14 4	3,71,031 10 7	141,076 12 3	" " "	141,076 12 3	" " "
21	2,02,700 15 8	2,76,835 9 7¼	74,398 3 2½	263 9 3	74,134 9 11½	" " "
	1,72,21,433 9 9	1,63,73,362 10 4½	15,72,733 14 4½	24,20,804 13 9	7,65,988 10 8½	16,04,059 10 1
	11,736 2 13 4½	13,145 1 15 9			38,086 15 9½	28,997 13 5
	1,73,38,796 7 11½	1,65,04,814 10 11½			7,94,075 10 6	16,23,057 7 6
					Dr. Increase	7,94,075 10 6
					Net Decrease	8,33,981 13 "
	120,79,957 9 11½	113,30,107 " 10 9½				
	5,25,833 13 2	3,14,706 15 4				

Collections of Old Balances.

Land Revenue.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease
	60	61	62	63
	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps
	46,608 6 1	7,668 11 8	29,060 5 7	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	463 9 7	472 11 9	9 2 2	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	59 9 1	26 13 11	" " "	32 11 2
	7,751 2 2	643 7 "	" " "	7,107 11 2
	55 4 5	665 " "	609 11 7	" " "
	" " "	19 4 5	19 4 5	" " "
	2,752 " 4	2,964 13 8	212 13 4	" " "
	42,051 9 3	30,546 10 10	" " "	11,504 14 5
	3,540 9 9	2,745 5 7	" " "	795 4 2
	5,324 6 8	2,156 15 5	" " "	3,167 7 3
	95 14 "	1,308 11 7	1,212 13 7	" " "
	604 " 11	528 7 10	" " "	75 9 1
	2 4 9	324 " 4	321 " 7	" " "
	1,062 13 11	44 " 8	" " "	1,018 13 3
	179 15 6	1,207 12 4	1,027 12 10	" " "
	38 " 4 7	1,389 3 8	1,007 15 1	" " "
	44 14 8	215 5 10	170 7 2	" " "
	552 13 7	590 4 2	37 6 7	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1,463 7 5½	4,984 10 "	3,521 2 6½	" " "
	1,12,994 12 8½	1,26,302 6 8	37,210 " 5½	23,702 6 6

Sayer.

	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease
	64	65	66	67
	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps	CRs. as ps
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	208 13 3	" " "	" " "	208 13 3
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	16 " "	67 9 8	51 9 8	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 3 4
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	1 8 "	" " "	" " "	1 8 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	50 " 7	" " "	" " "	50 " 7
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	335 11 7	16 " "	" " "	319 11 7
	822 4 9	83 9 8	51 9 8	590 4 9

6741

Fort St. George

29th May 1815.

Abkarry.

	Fusly 1253.		Fusly 1254.		Increase.		Decrease.		sundry small farms and Licences Fusly 1253.		Fusly 1254.	
	68		69		70		71		72		73.	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
1	902	5 4	444	3 10	"	" "	458	1 6	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11	8 "
3	262	10 8	524	14 3	265	3 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	23	" 11	12	6 "	"	" "	"	" "	64	" 3	1	4 "
5	3	3 4	"	" "	"	" "	10	10 11	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3	3 4	52	12 8	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	164	4 3	574	11 1
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1691	14 3
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13	12 "	"	" "
13	299	10 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	299	10 "	35	8 2	178	2 3
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	32	1 "	38	" "
16.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	147	11 5	95	8 "	"	" "	52	3 5	"	" "	"	" "
20	13	14 4	"	" "	"	" "	13	14 4	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	345	15 10	11	" "	"	" "	334	15 10	1	" "	148	11 "
	1998	7 10	1091	" 1	265	3 7	1172	11 4	369	6 4	2654	2 7

Motursha.

	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease	
	74		75		76		77	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
	11	8 "	"	" "	8	6 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	"	" "
	"	" "	65	12 3	224	4 11	133	12 "
	"	" "	"	" "	8	9 "	"	4 "
	"	" "	12	12 8	302	3 4	95	7 10
	407	6 10	"	" "	119	12 9	80	7 "
	"	" "	"	" "	2	1 "	18	4 6
	1691	14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	32	9 3	24	" 9
	"	" "	"	" "	1	5 "	8	4 6
	"	" "	13	12 "	"	" "	6	15 2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	142	10 1	"	" "	2	5 "	"	" "
	5	15 "	"	" "	28	13 10	67	7 6
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	97	10 9
	"	" "	"	" "	191	8 6	4	5 2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	145	13 5
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	133	3 9
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 9
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	147	11 "	"	" "	125	10 8	30	4 7 8
	2407	1 2	122	4 11	1377	13 9	1120	12 9

	Increase		Decrease	
	78		79	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
	"	" "	8	6 6
	"	" "	"	4 "
	"	" "	"	90 8 11
	"	" "	"	8 5 "
	"	" "	"	206 11 6
	"	" "	"	69 5 9
	16	3 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	8	8 6
	6	15 6	"	" "
	6	15 2	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	25	" "
	38	9 8	"	" "
	97	10 9	"	" "
	"	" "	187	3 4
	115	13 5	"	" "
	133	3 9	"	" "
	49	" 49	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "

Fort St. George

29th May 1815.

Tobacco.

	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease		Total Fusly 1253		Total Fusly 1254	
	80		81		82		83		84		85	
	Rx	A P	Rx	A P	Rx	A P	Rx	A P	Rx	A P	Rx	A P
1	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "				
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	47519	7 11	76124	7 6
3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	"	" "
4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1017	9 5	1135	10 "
5	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2407	2	12	10 "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	417	12 5	132	5 9
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	8068	3 2	1298	9 1
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	57	5 5	653	4 6
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	171	1 2 8
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2800	9 7	3056	8 1
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	12052	11 3	30554	15 4
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3564	9 4	2752	4 9
13	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5324	6 8	2156	15 5
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	434	13 2	1486	13 10
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	664	15 9	633	15 4
16	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	42	11 9	42	11 1
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1254	6 5	128	5 10
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	230	" 1	1353	9 9
19	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	381	4 7	1522	7 5
20	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	192	10 1	811	2 7
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	566	11 11	5904	2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2571	13 6½	5464	12 8
									11736	2 13 4½	13145	1 5 9

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1253.	Fusly 1254.	Increase	Decrease
86		87	88	89	90.	91.
Rx A P		Rx A P	Rx A P	Rx A P	Rx A P	Rx A P
28605 5 7		" " "	458568 " 6	5818892 3	123321 1 9	" " "
" " "		" 4 "	5588662 12 5	4101981 12 5	" " "	11868 " "
11 8 " 7		" " "	896411 13 3	8514863 1 6	" " "	1551 11 9
" " "		227 13 2	564845 13 8	6024715 3	37626 1 7	" " "
" " "		285 6 8	384837 12 1	5892041 1 2	204367 2 1	" " "
" " "		676 9 10 1	685181 4 4	4869641 2 1	" " "	19821 6 8 3
625 15 1		" " "	706949 15 1	5336872 11	" " "	17326 2 12 2
255 11 6		" " "	989181 15 7	9999212 "	10739 12 5	" " "
" " "		11497 11 11	9814263 4	8540276 6	" " "	12739 8 12 10
" " "		812 4 4	866092 1 9	9125187 2	46126 5 5	" " "
" " "		3167 3	1288308 12 4	1263685 13 5	" " "	24622 11 11
1052 " 8		" " "	749306 8 6	631534 " 5	" " "	11772 8 1
" " "		31 " 5	900216 2 11	1046017 11 8	14580 1 8 9	" " "
418 12 4		" " "	1345652 " 10	1272921 " 11	" " "	727 0 0 15 11
" " "		1206 " 7	920054 5 2	8082691 5 6	" " "	1117 8 1 5 8
1123 9 8		" " "	743232 1 2	6604751 2 11	" " "	827 5 6 4 3
1141 2 10		" " "	862905 1 8	8609115 8	" " "	19 9 3 2 "
118 8 6		" " "	1384797 12 4	1330299 11 "	" " "	54 4 0 7 11 4
23 8 3		" " "	831691 3 1	4678867 8	" " "	3638 2 4 11 5
" " "		" " "	16127 2 1	15683 " 9	" " "	44 1 4
" " "		" " "	2299541	4371031 10 7	11076 12 3	" " "
" " "		" " "	2052721	32½ 2823006	3½ 770279 1	" " "
2892 15 1½		" " "	3803615	9½ 2399713	5 1733879	7 1½ 1650481

4 10 1½ 7863865 4 16203682 4

Deduct Increase 7863865 4

Net Decrease 8339817 "

6743

For 6 St. George

29th May 1845.

Balance.

Balances of prior date in train of liquidation

Ly Settled

Particulars of Arrears of Fruity 1253 and Land Revenue permanently

Land Revenue not permanently settled

	Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 Years Standing		Balance under 15 Years Standing		Total		Jamy Mootahs.		Minors.		Sequestered		Total		Rented.		Annuary		Total Land Revenue.	
	92		93		94		95		96.		97		98		99		100		101.	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
1	"	" "	665600	15 3	665600	15 3	339823	13 7	"	" "	"	" "	339823	13 7	"	" "	90045	2 8	429869	3
2	"	" "	537	" 2	537	" 2	88286	5 11	"	" "	15673	5 8	103959	11 7	"	" "	32778	8 7	136738	1 2
3	"	" "	264741	9 5	264741	9 5	323765	15 4	14392	1 8	813201	2 4	1151359	3 4	"	" "	84756	1 9	1236115	5 1
4	1562	12 7	44514	7	6008	11 2	125862	13 3	13863	11 10	1003609	6 1	2400868	15 2	"	" "	98114	9 2	2499283	8 4
5	"	" "	127291	1 3	127291	1 3	"	" "	"	" "	6709383	2 3	6709383	2 3	"	" "	13411	7 4	6722794	9 7
6	"	" "	28161	6 2	28161	6 2	5165	7 1	2195	4 10	"	" "	27120	2 11	"	" "	3911	2 5	3103154	
7	"	" "	15235	12 11	15235	12 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	7257	1 3	7257	1 3
8	"	" "	11221	"	11221	"	9948	7 9	"	" "	14863	7 7	24811	15 4	"	" "	3054	12 8	27866	12 "
9	1160	2 4	97267	8 6	97842	10 10	13678	9 9	"	" "	"	" "	15678	9 9	"	" "	226480	5 9	2701581	5 6
10	"	" "	183937	2 4	183937	2 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5699	10 10	5699	10 10
11	17682	8 5	61745	14 9	79428	7 2	8627	" 4	"	" "	"	" "	8627	" 4	1975	9	4722	10 10	13547	" 11
12	58639	6	285477	4 5	291340	13 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	14546	2 8	217	5 1	1476379	
13	"	" "	76885	5 10	76885	5 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5151	6 5	5151	6 5
14	19508	9 1	195409	12 9	214918	5 10	24225	9 "	"	" "	"	" "	24225	9 "	"	" "	4261	8 10	2465208	10
15	"	" "	163	15 "	163	15 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	5727	5 5	5727	5 5
16	"	" "	1362	" 10	1362	" 10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1794	2 5	1794	2 5
17	"	" "	13095	13 "	13095	13 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6385	15 5	6385	15 5
18	"	" "	7165	4 8	7165	4 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3289	11 8	3289	11 8
19	"	" "	34699	3	34699	3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	23463		1318	" 11	155272	
20	491	1 10	15134	8 9	15625	10 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	7861	2 2	7861	2 2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	"	" "	145859	6 2	145859	6 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	46268	11 9	3074409	7	3120678	2 9	232180	9	17498	9 4	8556730	7 11	11051891	10 3	44977	11 8	637835	11 10½	11734705	7 9½

Fort St. George

29th May 1845

Revenue

	Salt	Sayer	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms and Licences	Molunpha	Extra Sea Customs	Tobacco	Stamps	Grand Total of Columns 101 to 109	Balance of Current Demands of 1254 Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Total
	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	" " "	" " "	118 10 9	202 9 5	1,020 11 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,31,210 15 11	1,32,012 3 5	4,446 5 10	1,36,458 9 3
2	" " "	525 11 2	1,829 10 8	" " "	131 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	139,225 5 5	2,24,227 11 8	5,604 10 2	2,29,832 8 10
3	" " "	" " "	569 7 4	226 11 9	1,937 9 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,388 4 9 1 6	71,697 4 7	9,787 10 "	81,484 14 7
4	" " "	" " "	45 " "	6 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24,994 5 1 1 3	1,51,721 8 6	3,901 12 2	1,55,623 4 8
5	" " "	" " "	42 4 "	220 11 6	116 2 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	67,232 5 6 1 5 5	1,09,080 3 "	" " "	1,09,080 3 "
6	" " "	" " "	" " "	96 13 1	199 6 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	31,415 5 11	21,908 8 8	15,517 8 10	18,008 1 6
7	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 " "	287 3 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,770 11 4	1,06,293 2	2,358 " "	1,29,873 2
8	" " "	518 6 11	364 1 "	" " "	506 10 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	28,749 3 11	7,305 13 "	49 15 6	7,355 12 6
9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27,059 6 4	49,305 15 8	381 11 3	49,690 11 4
10	" " "	" " "	273 3 8	" " "	437 6 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,494 7 11	315 " "	8,789 " 6	9,104 " 6
11	" " "	382 " 2	" " "	" " "	21 9 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,949 1 6	" " "	1,704 15 3	1,704 15 3
12	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20 " 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	44,763 7 9	1,10,852 8 11	6,192 12 3	1,17,045 5 2
13	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,151 6 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
14	" " "	216 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24,687 7 11 8	13,303 11 3	8,157 " "	21,460 11 3
15	" " "	" " "	" " "	21 7 8	119 6 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,874 8 2	" " "	305 13 4	305 13 4
16	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	147 2 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,807 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
17	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13 9 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,413 11 1	2,611 " "	1,149 " "	6,760 " "
18	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	27 11 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,359 5 2	2,16,715 13 10	41,298 9 1	2,58,014 6 11
19	" " "	" " "	173 1 6	829 6 6	69 6 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,616 13 10	60,241 10 4	1,279 1 3	7,303 11 7
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	61 14 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,861 2 2	20,762 11 11	1,035 11 3	21,798 10 2
21	" " "	195 6 8	2,516 14 "	15 3 11	1,116 6 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	35,141 7 6½	" " "	7,459 2 4	7,459 2 4
	" " "	1,837 13 11	8,432 4 11	1,626 5 10	6,234 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,75,283 6 1 9½	11,62,056 2 11	1,22,421 14 5	12,84,478 1 4

6745 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

	Total Columns 110 and 113	Total Balance Columns 94 and 114	Remission of Recent Arrears	Remission of Old Balances	Total
	111	115	116	117	118
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
1	567669 9 2	12332708 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	369087 11 3	369594 11 5	24145 10 5	" " "	24145 10 5
3	132334 . 1	155807 5 9 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	2655074 5 11	2661053 1 1	" " "	4340 9 2	4340 9 2
5	6532337 2 5	6959628 3 8	" " "	21730 15 8	21730 15 8
6	494237 5	775841 37	1389 5 2	" " "	1389 5 2
7	507577 11 6	659931 15	" " "	154 8 4	154 8 4
8	36105 . 5	37527 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	320287 1 8	1298714 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
10	175988 5	2015351 09	" " "	" " "	" " "
11	15654 . 9	950827 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
12	1618081 2 11	1531491 0 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
13	51516 5	820361 2 3	32 2 11	273 13 10	273 13 10
14	2683386 11	4832561 2 9	" " "	1103 10 7	1135 13 6
15	61305 6	63446	" " "	316 11 8	316 11 8
16	18071 7	316912 5	" " "	5014 9 11	5014 9 11
17	131731 1	2626981	" " "	3101 7 2	3101 7 2
18	2613731 2 1	2685390 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
19	99209 5	133902 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
	296591 2 4	452856 11	" " "	2888 .	288 8 "
20	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21.	426009 10½	188460 . ½	" " "	" " "	" " "
	13037314 3 1½	16157992 5 10½	25567 2 6	36324 4 4	61892 . 10

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Comparative

6746 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

B. Consolidated Abstract of the Collections of the Month of January 1845.

Dr. to Balances in the Collectors } 497173 8 8
of Canara } 52518 " "
350352 6 10

Land Revenue	102827 10 11	1033093 2 9	55352 6 10	" " "	1384334 3 10
Salt	20873 11 11	217049 8 8	8206 5 9	" " "	82555 9 "
Sayer	1955 14 4	21 30857 1 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
Abkary	1035 22 8	" 10315 20 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "
Sundry Dues & Farms and Licences	13408 4 15 5	113659 6 3	" " "	" " "	" " "
Motursha	592 25 1 3	8½ 5557 11 6½	" " "	" " "	" " "
Sea Customs	615 4 12	" 8 8405 15 9	259 2 14	13 8	259 2 14 13 8
Tobacco	4 17 12 7	1 10 40 8 14 5 5 8	" " "	" " "	" " "
Stamps	24 13 10 3	8 206 6 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total Extra Branches	30 5 5 11	2½ 5 6 17 21	4½ 34 1900	8 10	1720 234 12 8
Grand Total of Land and Extra Branches of Revenue	17 33 8 10	1½ 1050 4 11	10½ 80 2252	10	1720 234 12 8
Deduct Cash Collection to the end of December	20 9 11	½ 3301 0 7	10 9½		8 3981 13 "
Collection in January	2 5 8 8	13 2 5 17 4	36 15 4		74 19 15 2
					84 13 1 13 10.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

6747 Fort St. George 29th May 1845.

C. Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and Revenue from Extra sources up to 31st January 1845

	Land Revenue		Extra Sources of Revenue	
	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
Ganjam	1,28,953 3 4			
Vizagapatam		1,28,903 5 6		5,632 1 7
Rajahmundry	92,537 9 9			19,761 10 6
Masulipatam	68,719 2 4			134,089 5 6
Guntoor	218,765 1 6			31,093 9
Chingleput		82,780 8 4		11,397 15 5
Salem		95,562 8 10		115,435 15 11
Madura		24,954 2 10		77,700 3 4
Nellore	94,663 6 9			75,919 4 7
N. Dn. of Arcot		35,801 8 6		83,923 10 4
S. Dn. of Arcot	112,688 11 2			91,597 4 4
Tanjore	57,069 6 5			66,262 5 9
Trichinopoly		46,350 4 7		81,692 5 4
Tinnevelly	1,10,491 3 1			71,422 3 6
Bellary	13,976 11 11		10,475 11 8	
Cuddapah	20,262 7 9			86,677 11 10
Coimbatore	16,891 2			132,016 13 5
Canara	18,404 13 1			99,647 4 5
Malabar	78,909 9			20,397 15 1
Land Customs	4,533 2			62,388 8 1
Madras: Toll collected on Cochrane's Canal				36,277 11 1
Madras: Sea Customs				1,441 4
Kurnool	72,379 6 3		14,107 6 12 3	
			4,618 2 10	
Total	96,704 13 5	4,14,352 6 7	25,076 10 9	16,34,810 11 7
	4,14,352 6 7 Dr. Decrease		Dr. Increase 25,076 10 9	
	5,50,352 6 10 Nt. Increase		Nt. Decrease 13,84,334 3 10	

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

6748 Fort St. George 29th May 1845

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched

76

To The Acting Collector of Ganjam

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the information called for in Mr. Bourdillon's letter to you dated the 2d January last, in the matter of Government B. No. 39 of 1844, Petition No. 1712 of 1844 therewith transmitted may be furnished at your early convenience

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th May 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

77

To The Acting Collector of Rajahmundry

To the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the report called for in Mr. Bourdillon's letter to you under date the 13th January last in the matter of Government B. No. 40 of 1844 and Petition No. 1777 of 1844, therewith transmitted may be furnished at your early convenience.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29 May 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

To

6749 Fort St George 29th May 1845

78
To the A. Collector of Cuddapah

To The Acting Collector of Cuddapah

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the information called for in Mr Lowell's letter to you under date the 11th November last in the matter of Government Petition No. 1615 of 1844, therewith transmitted, may be furnished at your early convenience.

Bd No. 28 of 1844

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th May 1845

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

79
To the Collector of South Arcot

To The Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to request that the information so called for in Mr Bourdillon's letter to you dated respectively the 2d and 23d January last in the matter of Government Petitions No. 1690 and 1884 of 1844, therewith transmitted may be furnished at your early convenience.

Bd No. 37 of 1844 & 4 of 1845

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th May 1845

/ Signed / T. Pycroft,
Secretary,

80
To the Collector of Tanjore

To The Collector of Tanjore,

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

6750 Fort St George 29th May 1845

Revenue to request that the information called for in Mr Bourdillon's letter to you of the 6th January last in the matter of Government Petition No. 42 of 1844 & No. 1824 of 1844, therewith transmitted may be furnished at your early convenience.

Bd No. 42 of 1844

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
29th May 1845

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and statements.

81
From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of April last.

82
From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Return of Village officers suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831. for the month of April last.

83
From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Reporting that no persons were in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of April last.

84
From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of April last.

85
From the Collector of Bellary. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

86
From the Acting Asst Collector of Canara. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

87
From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

88
From the Acting Collector of Ganjam, Abstract of Demand Collection

6741

Fort St. George

29th May 1815.

Abkarry.

	Fusly 1253.		Fusly 1254.		Increase.		Decrease.		sundry small farms and Licences Fusly 1253.		Fusly 1254.	
	68		69		70		71		72		73.	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
1	902	5 4	444	3 10	"	" "	458	1 6	"	" "	"	" "
2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	11	8 "
3	262	10 8	524	14 3	265	3 7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
4	23	" 11	12	6 "	"	" "	"	" "	64	" 3	1	4 "
5	3	3 4	"	" "	"	" "	10	10 11	"	" "	"	" "
6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	3	3 4	52	12 8	"	" "
7	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	164	4 3	574	11 1
8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1691	14 3
10	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
12	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	13	12 "	"	" "
13	299	10 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
14	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	299	10 "	35	8 2	178	2 3
15	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	32	1 "	38	" "
16.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
17	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
18	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
19	147	11 5	95	8 "	"	" "	52	3 5	"	" "	"	" "
20	13	14 4	"	" "	"	" "	13	14 4	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
21	345	15 10	11	" "	"	" "	334	15 10	1	" "	148	11 "
	1998	7 10	1091	" 1	265	3 7	1172	11 4	369	6 4	2654	2 7

Motursha.

	Fusly 1253		Fusly 1254		Increase		Decrease	
	74		75		76		77	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
	11	8 "	"	" "	8	6 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 "	"	" "
	"	" "	65	12 3	224	4 11	133	12 "
	"	" "	"	" "	8	9 "	"	4 "
	"	" "	12	12 8	302	3 4	95	7 10
	407	6 10	"	" "	119	12 9	80	7 "
	"	" "	"	" "	2	1 "	18	4 6
	1691	14 3	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	32	9 3	24	" 9
	"	" "	"	" "	1	5 "	8	4 6
	"	" "	13	12 "	"	" "	6	15 2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	142	10 1	"	" "	2	5 "	"	" "
	5	15 "	"	" "	28	13 10	67	7 6
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	97	10 9
	"	" "	"	" "	191	8 6	4	5 2
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	145	13 5
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	133	3 9
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	4 9
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	147	11 "	"	" "	125	10 8	30	4 7 8
	2407	1 2	122	4 11	1377	13 9	1120	12 9

	Increase		Decrease	
	78		79	
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
	"	" "	8	6 6
	"	" "	"	4 "
	"	" "	"	90 8 11
	"	" "	"	8 5 "
	"	" "	"	206 11 6
	"	" "	"	69 5 9
	16	3 6	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	8	8 6
	6	15 6	"	" "
	6	15 2	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	25	" "
	38	9 8	"	" "
	97	10 9	"	" "
	"	" "	187	3 4
	115	13 5	"	" "
	133	3 9	"	" "
	49	" 49	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "

6753 Fort St George 29th May 1845.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Reporting that the
109 Returns of persons charged with offences and
in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822
for the Month of April last - are not sent there
being no entry to make -

From the Collector of Salem Stating that the Returns of
110 persons charged with offences and in confine-
ment under Regulation IX of 1822, for the
Month of February last are not sent there
being no entry to make -

From the Collector of Tanjore - Register of the state of
111 the River Cauvery at the Annicut for the Month
of April last.

" N. Maclean

" Bowring Esqr

Compd J.G.Boyle