Block 7
Rack No. 48
Shelf No. 1280
Serial No. 1962
General No. 16168

8/11/80 RH

No 12

4321

Fort St. George 3d April 1844.—

No Diary.—

Thursday 3d April 1845

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esq re Senior Member

A. Maclean Esq re Actg Second Member

and

I. Goldingham Esq re Actg Third Member

Minutes of the last con-

meeting read and approved.—

Read Extract from a Gen l Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors under date 21st August 1844 con-

No 23 accompanied by an Extract from con-

Minutes of Consultation under date

10th December 1844 No 1284.—

General Letter.		Extract Minutes	
Para 384	Para 2.	1	Requires no remark
" 6 to 8	" 5.	2	Ordered that a copy of para 6 to 8 of the Honorable Court's letter be transmitted for the information of the Principal Collector of Madura.—
		3	Ordered to be commu-
" 15	" 7.		nicated to Mr Onslow Collector of Trichinopoly
16	" 8	4	The subject referred to in the para of the General letter is engaging

1

Proceedings thereon Recording observations & orders on a Gen l Letter from the Honble the Court of Directors dated 21st Augt 1844 with the resolutions of Govt thereon.—

In Cons 2 Jan 1845

Genl Letter to Mr. from Coast engaging the attention of the Board and the result of their enquiry will be submitted to Government at an early date.—

Paras 17 Para 9. 5. Ordered that copy of para 17 of the Honorable Court's letter be communicated to the Collector of Salem for record in his office.—

From Pt. Coll. of Salem In Cons. 23d Novr 1843. Rec. 8th Feby 1844.

" 18 " 6 This subject has received consideration in the papers noted in the margin and requires no further notice.—

" 20 to 27 " 11 7. This subject will receive the early consideration of the Board.

" 28 " 14. 8. Ordered that copy of para 28 of the Honorable Court's letter be transmitted for record in the Office of the Collector of Salem.

" 30 " 16 9. Requires no remark.

" 31 " 10. This subject is noticed in para 4 of these Proceedings and will be disposed of hereafter as therein stated.—

" 33. 18. 11. Requires no remarks.—

" 36 20. 12. Requires no remarks.—

" 37 & 38. 21 13. The attention of the Board will be given to the observations of the Honorable Court contained in these paras.—

" 39 to 40. " 22 14. Ordered that a copy of

General Letter 2 Mar. of paras 39 and 40 of the Honorable Court's letter be furnished to the Collector of Vizagapatam for his information.—

Paras 42 & 43 Para 24 15. Ordered that copy of Paras 42 and 43 of the Honorable Court's letter be transmitted for the information of the Collector of Chingleput.—

" 45 & 46 " 26 } 16. Copies of these paras
" 48-49 & 50 " 28 } will be furnished to the Collectors of Madras and Tinnevelly respectively for record in their Offices.—

" 51. 52 & 53 " 17. No order.

" 55. " 30 18. Ordered that copy of Para 55 of the Honorable Court's letter be transmitted for the information of the Acting Coll. of Cuddapah and for record in the office of the Sub Collector and also to Mr. J. J. Cotton for his information.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th inst. and entered in Consultation 20th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.—

To the Chief Secretary to Government.—

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of

2

For the Chief Secy to Govt. Para 1 stating with reference to

Fort St. George 3d April 1844.

to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 7th Ultimo that the Gotalaveilsa Estate in the District of Ganjam was ordered by the Board to be sold for arrears of Revenue due on account of the past and preceding an fusli and that the Mootah of Codoor the property of a Minor was brought to sale for arrears on the representation of the Minor's guardian of his inability to manage it.

From Ganjam 11th Jan 1844 In. Lett. 23d Ult. Enclosure No 2 Para 1.

of an Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 7th March 1844 requesting them to report the circumstances under which the Mootah of Codoor and Gotalaveilsa were brought to sale and whether the Proprietor of the former Estate is still a Minor.

2. In reply I am instructed to state that the Board were induced to order the sale of the Gotalaveilsa Estate because independently of a small balance outstanding for the previous year no part whatever of the Permanent assessment due upon it for the past Fusly 1253 had been discharged by its proprietor.

3. The Mootah of Codoor was purchased from its former proprietor in 1841 by Cavala Kurdinga Rowtulu Loor there being at that time an arrear of Peshcush against the property of Rupees 1113-11-8 Cavala Kurdinga Rowtulu died shortly after he had made the purchase and his son a child was taken away to Vizagapatam by one of his relatives where he appears to have remained ever since. The Guardian of the Minor at the same time requested that the Mootah

Letter from Actg Collr 11th Sept 1844 Para 5.

Actg Collr's letter to Govt dated 30th Jany 1844

Fort St. George 3d April 1844.

Letter of Actg Collr 11th Sept 1844. P. 5.

might be taken by Government but the Collector hesitated to do so in consequence of the minority of the Mootahdar. The Estate which is represented to have been losing one fell still more into arrear and in September last the Guardian of the nominal proprietor being applied to by the Acting Collector - represented the inability of himself or his Ward to manage the property and repeated the request that the Government would dispose of it as they thought fit - these circumstances it was brought under to sale for arrears - The Mootahdar is still a Minor, but the Estate does not appear to have been taken under the Court of Wards. It should be observed that under Regulation X. 1831. Estates of Minors tho' not under charge of the Court are not liable to be sold for arrears accruing during minority, but in the present case there was a balance of revenue against the Estate at the time when it devolved upon the Minor. It may however be a question whether under the circumstances

and

Fort St. George 3d. April 1844.

See his Petition 2d. Sept.r 1844 in Letter Packet Coll.n 4th January 1845

and adverting to the fact that the Guardian appears to have been anxious for the sale of the Estate at the commencement of his Ward's minority this Letter should be held responsible for any part of the arrears which have since accrued and whether the surplus of the purchase money above the balance of public revenue due at his father's death might not be paid to him.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George, - - - - -
3d. April 1845. - - - -

(Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

3

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. Transmitting for reporta communication from the Brigadier Commanding Mount relative to the payment of Alkarry peons.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th. March 1845.

Read the following.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 6th. March 1845.

Read the following letter.

From
Captain Henry Power
Superintendent of Police

To
Brigadier James Fletcher
Commanding Mount.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a letter to your address, from the Acting Deputy Adjutant General of the Army No. and date noted in the Margin, forwarding Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, with enclosures, herewith returned, requesting you to call upon me for explanation on the subject adverted to in the 2nd. Para of the Minutes of Consultation.

In reply I have to state that it appears to me from the records and correspondence that the measures adopted by my predecessors and the Officers Commanding the Cantonment for the preservation of good order and regularity were in accordance with the orders of Government and Local Regulations

Regulations, and have been customary since 1821.

2. By clause 11th Section 37th of G.O.J. dated 30th October 1819 it is specified that "such "establishment of Deloyets and Dundahs" as "as the arrack Contractors may require for "carrying on their Duties must be paid by "themselves, but their number and their names" "and persons must be accurately known to" "the Officer in charge of the Bazar who will" "provide them with Belts and Badges".

3. In consequence of the paid servants of the Contractors conniving at irregularities, to the interest of their employers, it was proposed and arranged by the Superintendent of Police, in conjunction with the Officers Commanding, and with the consent of the liquor shopkeepers, that the Peons so employed should be placed at the disposal of the Superintendent of Police, to be employed or discharged at his discretion, in conformity with the Rules framed by E. Smalley Esquire Ex Collector of Madras and approved of by the Officer then in Command of the Cantonment, and an Extract of which is as follows.-

* 8th July 1831.

"Para 11. There shall be two "Peons on a Monthly Pay of 7 Rupees each to

be

"be hired and discharged according to their" "Conduct by the Police Officer under the" "orders of the Commanding Officer, and to" "receive their pay Monthly through the" "same Officer, but to be paid at the expense" "of the Shopkeeper, for the prevention of sales" "of Arrack to Europeans, their families" "and followers".-

/ Signed / E. Smalley
Collector.

4. Under the authority above quoted my predecessors furnished with Belts and Badges the Peons, employed at the expense of the liquor Shopkeepers, with their consent in writing. and granted them (the Shopkeepers) special license to establish shops in the Villages within the limits of the Cantonment; on their agreeing strictly to adhere to the local Regulations of the Cantonment for the purpose of preventing soldiers entering and drinking in their Shops, and also to prevent persons smuggling liquor out of their Shops. and to see that there was no infringement of the rules established for the guidance of the liquor shops.

5. The Toddy Shopkeepers in the Villages

Villages situated within the limits of the Cantonment pay an established Tax to the Collector of Madras on account of Government, and it does neither increase nor decrease the Revenue by their paying Peons employed at their shops for the purpose above specified. These Peons are always selected as being men of good character and well acquainted with Local Regulations; the with-drawal of a Shopkeepers license would be no loss of employment to the Peons, because if one party relinquishes his shop it would be occupied by another, and thus the Peons continue in employ and should bribery or corruption be detected, they are severely punished by the Superintendent of Police.

6. Should it not be the intention of Government to extend the limits of the Cantonment from 4 to 5 Miles, I would particularly and urgently request that the Villages noted in the Margin now partially situated within the boundary of the Cantonment should be brought altogether within its limits. It is a well known fact and is constantly brought to the notice of the Superintendent of Police that the illicit sale of spirituous and fermented liquors to the soldiers of this Cantonment is carried on to the great extent in those Villages and much trouble and

Undernatum
Veneta Jooram
Vyasarambadee
Keelacattoo
Vaayeanpettah
Chinnamboo
Coolatoor

and inconvenience as well as unnecessary annoyance to the Police officers is experienced in apprehending the offenders and bringing them to justice.

7. It will be observed by the accompanying copy of a List of Villagers situated within 4 Miles of the Mount Cantonment furnished by C. Smalley Esquire Ex-Collector of Madras from a Plan taken in 1816 for the guidance of this office that the Villages noted in the Margin are laid down in the said List as being within 4 Miles of the Cantonment; whereas in the Skeleton Plan furnished by the Quarter Master Generals Office in December 1842 some of the Villages are laid down as partially situated, whilst others are altogether omitted in the said Skeleton Plan, and I would therefore again earnestly and strongly recommend that these Villages should also be brought within the Boundaries of the Cantonment. Until this be done, the illicit sale of spirituous and fermented liquor to the soldiery cannot be suppressed to the extent so much to be desired, notwithstanding the earnest and best endeavours of the authorities and Peons.

Under

8. Under the above circumstances I have to request of you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the limits of this Cantonment being accurately defined by boundary stones placed at several points (as near to the Villages as practicable) within the circumference of the boundaries of the Cantonment which may be hereafter fixed by Government, it being impossible to enforce the Orders and Regulations in consequence of the indefinite manner in which the limits of the Cantonment are at present described.

9. I beg to bring to your special notice that my predecessors repeatedly requested the Collector of Madras to grant licenses to sell spirituous and fermented liquors within the limits of the Cantonment to fit Persons of decent characters only, in accordance with Regulations on that head, and to ascertain the same from the records of the Police office, but this request has not been complied with, and licenses have been granted by that functionary to the highest bidders without reference being made to this Office as to their characters, many of whom had been repeatedly punished previously for infringing upon

upon the rules and Regulations of Government.

10. I would therefore suggest that on the present Contract expiring or being relinquished by the Liquor Shopkeepers that the Superintendent of Police at this station should be allowed to put up the sale of spirituous and fermented liquors by public auction, under Section XLIV of Regulation VII of 1832 and to grant licenses to the highest bidders of good characters upon their agreeing to adhere strictly to the Local Regulations of this Cantonment; when this is done the Superintendent of Police will report their names to the Collector of Madras who will be pleased to make the necessary arrangements for issuing the liquor from the Government distillery on their depositing in his Office the cost of liquor and revenue equal to the sale of 10 days' contract as usual.

11. The arrack and Toddy shops situated in the Villages within the limits of the Cantonment are objectionable, because of the facility with which the soldiers can procure liquor from the said shops, and the Police at this station are so weak in number that they are unable to prevent irregularities of this nature.

Commanding

Commanding Officers of the several Corps at this station have frequently complained of these people selling liquor to the Men under their Commands and have also exerted themselves in every possible way to put a stop to this pernicious practice.-

12. The only motive I have in entering so fully into the subject is my anxious desire to prevent, in every possible manner, Drunkenness, which too often leads to debauchery and licentiousness of almost every nature, amongst the Troops at the station, and for the preservation of good order and discipline so necessary for their own well being as well as for that of Society at large.-

Police Office,
St. Thomas's Mount.
20th August 1844.

(Signed) Henry Power
Supt. of Police

To
Brigadier James Fletcher
Commanding Mount.

Documents Returned.

One copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras to the Officer Commanding Saint Thomas' Mount dated 1st June 1844.

One Copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George No. 69 dated 4th June 1844.

One copy of a letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government No. 238 dated 13th June 1844.

One copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George No. 77 dated 5th July 1844.

One copy of Lists of the Arrack and Toddy Shops from the Collector of Madras, dated 5th July 1844.

One Copy of a letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government No. 274 dated 6th July 1844.

One Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Military Department No. 3299 dated 30th July 1844.

Lists.

4336 Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

List of Villages within 4 Miles of the Mount Cantonment.

Number of the Roads	Roads	Number of the Villages	Names of the Villages	Remarks
1	East	1	Cotoor	
		1	Audiyar	
		1	Gendy	
		1	Valacherry	
		1	Taroonary	
		1	Calacoomum	
		1	Matoocoopum	
		7		
2	West	1	Manapaukum	
		1	Moodelepaukum	
		1	Coalpaukum	
		x 1	Garagumbaukum	
		x 1	Paumechurry	
		1	Polichellore	
		x 1	Maulepaukum	
		7		
3	North	"	Seppootoray	
		1	Nundumbaukum	
		"	Cooremadoo	
		x 1	Nyapaukum	
		x "	Casepettah	
		1	Sydapettah	
		1	Maumbalum	
		1	Aulumdoor	
		x 1	Madoorungaray	
		1	Codumbaukum	
		1	Salegramum	
		1	Paumalpooram	
		"	Dº Coopum	
		1	Valasaravaukum	
		x 1	Shreenevasapooram	
		x 1	Madravoyel	
		12		

4337 Fort St. George 3d April 1845

Number of the roads	Road	Number of the Villages	Names of the Villages	Remarks
	North	x 1	Yeecaud	
		1	Meenumbaukum	
		1	Poodoopettah	
		"	Meenumbaukum Choultry	
		x 1	Ondematum	
		"	Pallaveram Choultry	
		1	Paloovantongel	
		1	Woollavaram	
		x 1	Vencatapooram	
		x 1	Moosarumbadoo	
		x 1	Reelacuttoo	
		1	Pallaveram	
		1	Treesaolum	
		1	Audumbaukum	
		x 1	Vaunyempettah	
		1	Poolooderaukum	
		1	Madepaukum	
		x 1	Soonnambaocolatoor.	
		16		
		42		

/Signed/ C. Smalley
Collector

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ Henry Power
Supt. of Police
Hear.

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845

Here enter letter from the Collector of Madras to the Officer Commanding St. Thomas's Mount Dated 1st. June 1844.

Here enter letter from the Collector of Madras to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George No. 68 Dated 11th. June 1844.

Here enter letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government No. 238 Dated 13th. June 1844.

Here enter letter from the Collector of Madras to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George No. 79 Dated 5th. July 1844 with Lists of the Arrack and Toddy Shops.

Here enter letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government No. 274 Dated 6th. July 1844.

To The Adjutant General

of the Army

Fort Saint George

Sir

I Have the honor in obedience to the orders conveyed in your letter noted in the Margin to transmit to you herewith the documents called for in your said letter, and...

II To request of you in submitting the same to the Most Noble the Commander in Chief respectfully in my name to state to

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845

to His Excellency the following as my reasons for deferring the transmission of the said documents.

1st. I wish to ascertain what are the customs, which obtain in other Cantonments, similarly situate with the Mount in like cases connected with the sale of Arrack and Toddy the which wish has not, I am sorry to say, been realized to the extent that I anticipated: all I have been able to learn, being that wherever European Corps are quartered the practice followed is somewhat similar to that of the Mount;

2d. That when I made inquiry at any of the authorities, I have been led to believe that it was the intention of Government to publish General orders, on the question: the provisions of which would have been applicable to all cantonments, and would have removed all the difficulties experienced by the different functionaries concerned in the performance of their respective duties.

3d. That the limits of this Cantonment, as well as those of the neighbouring one, of Palaveram would hav-

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845

have been fixed by land Marks in accordance with the provisions of the general order quoted by the Superintendent of Police to prevent all clashing of authority between the Command- =ing officers of the said Cantonment, or be- =tween these Officers and Civil function- =aries of the district - and,

4th That had my hopes concern- =ing the publication of General Order of the tenor just described by me, been disappointed it was my intention not to have rested satis- =fied, with the verbal communications on the subject, with the several superior au- =thorities concerned but also to have ad- =dressed a respectful written representation on the subject, through you, for submission to superior authority long before the annual period of the renewal of the arrack and Toddy contract.-

III And it, now, only remains for me to state in conclusion respectfully, that I coincide in the general views and suggestions set forth by the superintendent of Police, in his letter to my address — herewith forwarded; and that I there- =fore humbly solicit the support of His Excellency the Commander in Chief in moving

/c/ bearing No. 152 and date 20th Aug.t 1844

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

moving the Most Noble the Governor in Council to fix permanently by land — marks, the limits of the jurisdiction of the Officer who performs the duties of — Superintendent of Police within the can- =tonment of the Mount; and also further to publish a code of Regulations for the guidance of the several authorities Civil and Military, within the district — and thus, prevent a recurrence of the mis- =conceptions that have so often arisen connect- =ed with the performance of the duties of these said functionaries in their offici- =al intercourse; and the serious in- =convenience of the lengthy written dis- =cussions that have taken place, so frequently during a great many upwards of at least, twenty years past, between the said func- =tionaries; and the trouble thereby caused to superior authority, and the useless intru- =sion on its precious time, by references con- =sequent on such discussions.—

Cant.t Police Office,-
The Mount
22d February 1845

/Signed/ James Ketchen. Brigadier Commanding Mount.

Adjutant Generals Office.
The Commander in Chief has

4342 Fort St. George 3d. April 1845

the honor to submit the accompanying letter from the Officer Commanding Saint Thomas' Mount with its Enclosures, with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 30th. July 1844. No. 3299-

Fort St. George
25th. February 1845

By Order
/Signed/ R. Gordon
Dy. Adjutant General
of the Army-

Resolved that the foregoing paper be communicated to the Revenue Department with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in that Department 23d. July 1844 No. 731. and 11th. February 1845. No. 190-

/Signed/ C. A. Browne Lieut. Col.t.

Resolved that the correspondence received with the foregoing Extract be referred to the Board of Revenue for their report.-

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary

3-4

Order thereon

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government and of the papers to which it relates, together with copy of the Boards' letter to Government dated 27th. January 1845 be transmitted to the Collector of Madras with refrence to the correspondence noted in the Margin.-

Bd. Pro. 29th. July 1844-
From Coll.r of Madras 4th. in Con.n 23d. January 1845-

Mr. Huddleston will be pleased to submit such observations on the letters from Captain Power and Brigadier Kitchen, as he may have to offer.-

Extract.-

4343 Fort St. George 3d. April 1845

From the Chief Sect.y to Govt.

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th. March 1845.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Submitting a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam and recommending for sanction the law charges incurred in that District in Fusly 1253- amounting to Rupees 46-8-

Here enter 10th. March 1845 No. 1731

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charges recommended in the foregoing proceedings, amounting to Rupees (46-8-) Forty six and annas Eight-

(A true Extract)
/Signed/ J. F. Thomas-
Chief Secretary.-

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon-

5-6

In Con.n 10th. March 1845.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to his letter dated 15th. February last No. 20.-

Read the following resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th. March 1845.-

(From Board 27th. February)

From the Chief Sect.y to Govt

7

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the Estimate submitted with the foregoing Proceedings, amounting to Rupees (364.6.3) Three hundred

hundred and Sixty four, Annas six and Pie three

(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

7-8

To the Principal Collector of Coimbatore.—

To the Princ.l Coll.r of Coimbatore.—

Sir,

In communicating to you the sanction of Government for the Estimate for making new Iron weights which accompanied your letter of the 14th January last amounting to Rupees 364-6-3, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that as the above Estimate provides for Weights for the Custom-houses on the frontier of the Mysore territory which has since the sanction of Government above referred to, been ordered to be abolished, you will therefore be pleased to confine the disbursement to the number of sets actually required for the use of the other Custom houses and Departments of your District.—

+ From Govt. 18th March — in Con.n 4 3d April 1845 — No. 364

In Con.n 23d January 1845.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d April 1845.—

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Read the following resolutions.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th March 1845.—

(From Board 6th March)

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.

9

Government having under date the 15th Instant, directed the removal of all the Chowkies established on the frontiers of the Mysore state; the letter received with the foregoing proceedings — calls for no orders.—

/Signed/ I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Ordered to be communicated thereon-

Order 9-10

to the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 1st March last.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st March 1845.—

(From Board 17th March)

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.

11

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the charges

charges reported in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (49-11-..)
Forty nine Annas eleven.-

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To the Principal Collector of Coimbatore.-

(11-12)

To the Princ.l Coll.r of Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 27th February last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Noble the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charges incurred on account of the rent of certain house appropriated for the stowage of Tobacco amounting to Rupees 49-11-

In Cons.n 17th March

From Fort 31st March In Cons.n 3d Apl. 1845 No. 418.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d April 1845.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

(13)

From the Sec.y to Gov.t

Transmitting copy of a letter from the Government of India requesting certain information regarding the Davercottah Zemindary in Masulipatam and directing that if the Board have no observations to offer relative to the course proposed by that Government for the restoration of this Estate to its proprietor to take immediate measures for giving effect to their directions.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 3d January 1845

Read the following letter

To

G. D. Drury Esquire
Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Sir

I am directed by the Governor General in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 31st of March forwarding a report from the Board of Revenue on the condition of the Davercottah Estate, with a Statement of its productiveness at different periods and with certain particulars shewing by what causes its resources have been diminished and its proprietor reduced to a state of dependence.-

2 At the permanent settlement the assessment of this Estate was adjusted thus

	Rs.	as	ps
Assets	154,036	3	2
Zemindar's portion or 1/3	51,346	3	2
Government Peishcush	102690	"	"

For the first twenty one years after the settlement

Settlement i.e from A.D 1800/01 to A.D 1820/21 the profits of the Zemindar averaged Rupees 37,046 per annum

In the second twenty one years i.e from 1821/22 to 1841/42 they averaged only Rupees 5,387.-

In the last ten years of the second period there was an annual average deficit of Rupees 10,716.-

From the beginning of 1819 or from an earlier date to October 1831 the Estate was under the management of the Court of Wards in consequence of the late Zemindar being a Minor. In 1823 the sum of Rupees 81800 was advanced to the Estate by Government.

In 1831 the Zemindar attained his majority and it was then determined to make over the Estate to his management on condition of his paying up all arrears of Peshcush and executing a Bond for the Rupees 81800 payable by half yearly instalments of 6000 Rupees and bearing interest at 6 per Cent.

The arrears were paid up, the Bond executed and the Estate delivered over to the Zemindar in October 1831.

The first instalment due 1st January 1832 was punctually discharged but the season being and unfavourable one the Estate was attached in the 8th May 1832 for arrears of current Peshcush.

Peshcush and has continued to this time under the management of the Revenue Officers of Government.-

In June 1834 the late Zemindar died and his adopted son is still a minor, but approaching his Majority.-

From October 1831 to 1841/42 balance of Peshcush accrued amounting to Rupees 2,77,886-6-4 which sum added to the balance due on the Bond (exclusive of interest) makes a total debt of Rupees 3,17,688.-

3 The Board of Revenue have noticed as materially tending to cause the depletation in the collections from the Estate "the discontinuance of an important item of collection which "formed an integral part of the data upon "which the permanent Peshcush was fixed - the "collection of this item has ceased to be made "from the Ryots whilst the amount of Peshcush "has remained the same and been demanded "from the Zemindar in all seasons alike - "The item alluded to is denominated Dessmook Punjoomoor Durbary Khurchalee "these are said to vary from 15,000 Rupees "in ordinary years to 25,000 in very good years

"years - but were taken by the Committee of
"Circuit at an average of Rupees 190.4.5."

4 With the view of releasing the
Estate from its present burthens the Board
of Revenue propose to allow compensation
to the Zemindar at the rate of two thirds
of the Durbary Kurchaloo Collections as estima=
=ted at the time of the Settlement, Viz^t
Rupees 12,696 which for the period of 22 years
Viz^t from 1820/21 to 1842/43 will amount to
Rupees 279,312 and after adjusting the accounts
on this principle to make over the Estate to the
proprietor on his paying up whatever balance
of Peshcush may still be due. The Board
also propose to re-establish the cuf and to insti=
=tute an enquiry for the purpose of ascertain=
=ing whether in consequence of the fall in the
price of grain or any local causes it is necessary
to make any temporary or permanent reduc=
=tion in the Peshcush payable by this Estate
or any other permanently assessed Estate in
the Northern Circars.

5 The Government of Madras have
approved of these measures excepting that
they observe it does not appear on what grounds
the Board expect to see the re-imposition of
the Durbary Kurchaloo carried out by the
present Zemindar after the failure of the
previous

attempt.

The case of an Estate becoming
impoverished under the management of the
officers of Government appears to the Governor
General in Council to be one of all others that
demands enquiry where the cause is not obvious
The Davracotta Zemindary has lost its productiveness
not by any sudden calamity such as no foresight
could arrest but by the gradual and regular
progress of deterioration, whose advancing steps
through a period of years can be clearly traced.
This result must have been induced by peculiar
causes. other Estates whose resources have not
been equally impaired have been equally subject
to unfavorable seasons - the price of the produce
has not suffered greater diminution in the
Davracotta than in any other Zemindaries of
the Northern Circars - but whatever the cause
of its impoverishment whether to be found in
the negligence or incapacity of those who
should carefully have watched over its interests
or whether to be looked for in some local
peculiarity which should long ago have
been investigated the broad fact remains
that the deterioration has taken place -
whilst

whilst the Estate was in the hands of the
Officers of Government - From the commence-
=ment of their management the proprietor
will date the decline of his family - This con-
=sideration would of itself have suggested
liberality on the part of Government in dealing
with the Estate - but the circumstance of the
abolition of the "Desmook nuffooms" or "Durbary
Khurchalos" without the consent or cognizance
of the proprietor, and the fact that no abate-
=ment was made consequent on this Measure
in the Government demand although these collec-
=tions was actually included in the Estimate of the
assessment on which the Settlement of the Estate
was based - this circumstance demands, as an
act of common justice too long delayed, a much
larger concession to the Zemindar than that which
the Board of Revenue consider would only be
in accordance with the accustomed liberality
of Government and which they designate as a
real boon "The "Durbary Khurchalos" belonged of
right to the Zemindar as much as the produce
of the land - Had its discontinuance been
authorized by Government it would then
have

have been in accordance with the practice of Government
to have granted compensation to the proprietor but not only was he illegally
deprived of his share of these collections but he was compelled to make
good the Government share to compensate to
Government for the loss which they sustained
by the act of their own officer - The justice of
the Zemindars claim to compensation appears at
length to be admitted by the Board of Revenue
who rejected it in 1832 the only question now is
as to the amount which should be allowed him
and the Governor General in Council has no
hesitation in deciding that the whole estimated
value of the Durbary Khurchalos from the time
of its abolition should be granted to the Zemin-
=mundar - I am therefore instructed to request
that you will obtain the sanction of the Most
Honorable the Governor in Council to the
adoption of this course - Taking these collections
(as the Board of Revenue have done) at the
amount as ascertained at the Settlement the share
of the Zemundar was Rupees 6,348 per annum
which after deducting 10 per cent for the
expense of collecting the whole loss (Rupees
19,044) Viz^t Rupees 1904 will be reduced to
Rupees 4444 per annum and this for 22 years
will amount to Rupees 97768 which added

to

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

to Rupees 2,79,312 the deduction from the Peishcush for the same period gives Rupees 3,77,080 a sum more than sufficient to cover the entire debt to Government.

I am further directed to state that the Governor General in Council would wish to be informed on what account the sum of Rupees 81,770 was advanced to the Estate in 1823 what was the amount of arrears of Peishcush due in October 1831 the payment of which was made a condition of the transfer of the Estate to the late Zemindar on his coming of age for what years they were due and from what source he obtained funds to discharge them. It would also be satisfactory to the Governor General in Council to know the reasons which influenced the Board of Revenue in deciding against the Zemindar's claim to compensation in 1832 for they ought to be strong reasons yet no mention is made of them in the Board's report.

There is also another point on which the information appears incomplete. The Court of Directors in a Despatch dated 19th April 1837 called the attention of the Madras Government to the state of the Devaracottah Zemindaree with a view to a reduction of the Peishcush. the Board of Revenue mention this

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

this circumstance but have omitted to state whether any measures were taken in consequence of the Court's instructions.

Fort Williams — }
The 3d October 1844 — }

(Signed) J. C. Davidson
Offg. Secretary to
Govt. of India

Resolved that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with instructions to meet the requisitions for information therein contained as speedily as may be practicable submitting at the same time any objections or observations they may desire to offer relative to the course proposed by the Government of India for adoption in regard to the Devaracottah Zemindary and should there appear no occasion for further reference His Lordship in Council directs that immediate measures may be taken for giving effect to the directions of the Supreme Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. W. Stonhouse
Acting Secretary to
Government

Ordered

4356 Fort St. George 3rd April 1845

13-14

Order thereon.

(Read again in Cons. 14th April)

From the Sect. to Government

Transmitting for report letters from the Collector of Sea Customs and Messrs. Parry & Co. regarding the levy of duty by the Collr. of Madras on some Rums imported into Madras by land intended for exportation.

Ordered to be sent again in Consultation

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

under date the 1st March 1845.

Read the following letters.—

To

J. J. Thomas Esquire

Chief Secretary to

Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to request you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council for his decision and orders the following circumstances respecting Rum Manufactured for Exportation under Regulation 1 of 1813 on a recent occasion certain casks of Rum were imported into Madras for exportation by Messrs. Parry & Co. and brought to the Custom House to be stored for exportation. It appeared that the Rum had passed through the Jurisdiction of the Collector of Land Customs without the knowledge or concurrence of his Department, whereas it is deemed liable by him to a general duty of 8 per cent, and further to an Excise duty of 350 Rupees per Leaguer. The Rum was left in my Custody never having been gauged by any public authority, and if the owner claim compensation for loss or damage while in

Store

4357 Fort St. George 3rd April 1845

store the Public Departments have no criterion for testing the propriety of the claim the quantity actually received never having been ascertained as several questions arise out of this case I have respectfully to solicit the instructions of Government.—

2. The first question is as to the duty to which the Spirit is liable.

3 The License is granted under Regulation I of 1820 and it is distilled expressly for exportation. As the Law stood previous to the 1st April last, the Spirit was liable to a duty of 8 per cent and the excise duty of 350 Rupees per Leaguer, but on Export by Sea obtained Drawback of a portion of the duty of 8 per cent and a return of the whole of the excise duty.—

4. Act VI of 1844 not only rescinds the clauses of Regulation I of 1813 relating to Drawback by Sea, and the Schedules of Drawback above referred to, but permits Rum Manufactured in the manner this has been, to be exported duty free in certain cases, and in the other to an Export duty of only 3 per cent. The Rum is however by the present construction of the Law by the Collector of Land Customs placed in a worse position than before by being subject to a higher duty than it was previously to the

Schedules sanctioned by Government 3rd September 1831.

Section V Regulation I of 1813.

Para

passing of Act VI of 1844 though that Law contemplates its passing to the United Kingdom &c. for Shipment, duty free.—

5. I have therefore to solicit the instructions of Government as to how far this Rum passing into Madras for Exportation and which cannot under any circumstance be permitted to be consumed in Madras is liable to a duty on transit from the place of Manufacture. By this means the Manufacturer is made liable to the penal clauses of Regulation I of 1813 by being compelled to pay duty and the Spirit held liable to confiscation, when it cannot be sold under that law nor can Drawback in Exportation by Sea. I would respectfully submit that Rum Manufactured expressly for exportation is an Shipment liable to the provisions of Act VI of 1844 and not to Regulation I of 1813, which can now only apply to Spirits, which are Saleable, whether by wholesale or retail in the Town of Madras.

The

6. The next subject is the safe Custody of the Rum on entering the Town. The Department of Sea Customs will ever be ready to fulfil the wishes of Government and to aid in every way to protect the Public Revenues, and if the Government see fit so to direct, it will take charge of the Spirit on Import into the Town, in which case I would respectfully urge, that it should be under the entire control as well as being placed in the Custody of the Collector of Sea Customs.—

7. It would be very easy for the public officer within whose Jurisdiction the Spirit is manufactured, to inform this Department of the quantity of Spirits which had at any time left the distillery, and on arrival it could be guaged and remain in the Bonding Warehouse for exportation.—On the other hand if Government see fit, it could remain in Madras in the private Custody of the Importer.— I should have no hesitation in recommending that this course might be adopted with the very respectable firm of Messrs. Parry & Co., but as a general principle it is objectionable, in as much as it would endanger the Abkarree Revenue.—

The

8. The only further observation which it occurs to me to offer, is that all Rum Exported at Ports other than the Town of Madras is undeniably subject to Act VI of 1844 and to that alone, and it is a subject for the consideration of Government, how far Rum merely passing through the Town of Madras, and that from necessity, for exportation by Sea, in conformity with the conditions of the agreement between the Manufacturer and the Government, is liable to any other duty than is provided to be levied on exportation.—

Sea Custom House
Madras 6th February 1845

(Signed) W. E. Underwood
Collector of Sea Customs

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.—
Fort St. George

Sir,

We have the honor to request that you will bring before the notice of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the circumstance that the Collector of Madras after reference to the Board of Revenue has laid a town or transit duty of 8 per Cent ad valorem on fifty Casks of Rum

Rum manufactured by us solely for exportation. He has also required us to give a Security Bond under Regulation I of 1813 for the payment of the particular duty of 30 fanams per Gallon or 100 Star Pagodas per leaguer of 150 Gallons.—

We beg to draw your attention to the first section of the Act No. 6 of 1844 and to submit that it enacts that "all such parts of any Regulations of the said code as prescribe the levy of Inland or transit duties at any town or place within the limits of the Presidency of Fort St. George shall be repealed."—

We take leave to enclose Copies of the correspondence which has passed between the Collector of Madras and ourselves on this subject, and beg to observe in conclusion that we are prohibited by our license from selling our Spirits for consumption within the Presidency, and that by this manufacture we interfere in no way with the Abkarry revenue.—

Madras, February 1845.

(Signed) Parry & Co.
No. 1

To Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,

9th Dec. 1844.

The Collector of Sea Customs has informed me that 10 Casks of Rum, the produce

produce of North Arcot, were forwarded to his Department by you, as having been imported by land to be received into the bonded Warehouse for exportation. I have the honor to acquaint you that the liquor should have been brought to this office with a view to the levy of duty in pursuance of the practice observed heretofore, the provisions of Regulation I of 1813, so far as they relate to this Department, not being in any way affected by Act No. VI of 1844. I request, therefore, that you will be good enough to cause all future Consignments to be brought here, and to inform me of the quantity of Rum contained in the 10 Casks referred to above, to enable me to furnish you with a bill for the amount leviable thereon, and to take the necessary Security for payment of the particular Excise duty.—

Madras, 16th December 1844.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.—

No. 2.

To

The Collector of
Madras.—

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 16th Instant, mentioning that 10 Casks of Rum, the produce of North Arcot, forwarded

forwarded by us to the Bonded Warehouse for Exportation should have in the first instance been brought to your Office with a view to the levy of duty.—

We beg to explain that the Casks were brought to our Office Door, and desiring to place them as soon as possible in legal custody, we forwarded them forthwith to the charge of the Collector of Sea Customs for exportation, in accordance with the conditions of our Licenses.— The number of Imperial Gallons in the 10 Casks is 602½.—

We take the liberty however to demur to the claim of duty, and also to giving Security for the payment of the particular Excise duty.—

It is decided by the Board of Revenue that under no circumstances are we permitted to dispose of the Spirits manufactured under act XXIII of 1841 except by exportation by Sea, and consequently the payment of the particular Excise duty cannot be enforced in respect to Spirits so produced,— because the Article can never become leviable.—

Again the duty of 8 per Cent cannot, we think be legally levied under Regulation I of 1813 on an Article expressly manufactured for exportation, in as much as it is subject to the export duty of 3 per Cent, provided by Schedule

B

Act VI of 1844, and it is not to be pre-
=sumed that the Law intended to levy a
transit duty of 8 per Cent, and an export
duty of 3 per Cent on the same article. The
charge of 8 per Cent can be considered in no
other light than a transit duty, and that
appears to be abolished by the Act VI of 1844.—

It may be further urged in
support of our view of the Case, that Spirits
manufactured for exportation may be exported
at any Subordinate Port without any other
charge than that under Act VI of 1844, and
we apprehend the mere transit thro the
Town of Madras for the purpose of Shipment
does not create the liability.—

Madras, 17th December 1844. } (Signed) Parry & Co.
No. 3.

To
The Collector of
Madras.—

Sir,

We are informed that
20 Hhds of Rum belonging to us manufactur-
=ed for exportation by Sea are detained at
the Land Custom House. We have the honor
to request they may be permitted to pass
the question of duty to abide the result
of our Letter to your address of the 17th Ultimo

or we are prepared to pay any duty you may
demand provided the condition be understood,
that the amount is to be refunded, should
an objection to the charge be maintained.—

Madras, 20th January 1845. } (Signed) Parry & Co.
No. 4.

Messrs. Parry & Co.
Gentlemen,—

In acknowledging the receipt
of your letter of this date, I have the honor
to acquaint you that I considered it expedi-
=ent to submit your communication of the 17th
Ultimo for the orders of the Board of Revenue.
As you express yourselves willing to abide
by the result of the reference in question,
I am prepared to allow the 20 Hhds of Rum
now detained at this Office to be removed
to the Bonded Warehouse, on your sending
some person to take charge of the same.—

Madras, 20th January 1845. } /Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.—
No. 5

The Collector of
Madras.—

Sir,

We have to acknowledge the
receipt of your letter of the 20th Instant, intimating
that you would allow the 20 Hhds of Rum to be
removed

removed to the Bonded warehouse; and request you will be good enough to order the delivery of them to the bearer for that purpose.—

Madras, 21st January 1845.

(Signed) Parry & Co.

No. 6.

Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,—

To Messrs. Parry & Co.
16th December 1844
From No. 17. No.
From No. 20th January 1845
To No. 20. No.
From No. 21. No.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to acquaint you that the Board of Revenue have just informed me that the 602½ Imperial Gallons of Rum adverted to in your communication of date the 17th Ultimo are, under the Provisions of Section IX Regulation I of 1813 as held to obtain in the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th July 1844, subject to the general and particular duty therein specified, and have accordingly instructed me to call upon you for the payment of the full amount of the duty of 8 per cent, and to require you either to pay the amount of particular duty or to give Security for the payment as provided in clause second Section II of the Regulation quoted above.— The Board further remark that if you deem the operation of the existing Regulations onerous, you

you can bring the circumstances of the case under the notice of Government.—

2. In pursuance of the Board's orders I have prepared the accompanying bill for the duty of 8 per cent chargeable on the 602½ Gals. imported in December last, and request that you will be good enough to pay the amount to the bearer, and to discharge also the amount of particular duty for which a separate receipt shall be sent on payment being made, or to give Security for the payment in conformity with the rules laid down in clause second Section II Regulation I of 1813.—

* Rupees 48-3-2

3. I take this opportunity to request that you will favor me with a Memorandum of the quantity of Spirits contained in the 20 casks referred to in your communication of the 20th Instant, to enable me to prepare the necessary duty bill.—

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.—

Madras, 23d January 1845.

No. 7.

The Collector of Madras

Sir, We have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 23d Instant and to inform you that it is our intention to appeal to Government on the subject to which it has reference.— In the meantime we have paid the Bill for duty at 8%

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.—

8%— on the 10 casks and will furnish the required Security for the particular duty—

The number of Imperial Gallons on the 20 casks shall be given as soon as practicable.—

(Signed) Parry & Co.

Madras— ... }
23d January 1845— }

No. 8

Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,—

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter under date the 23d Ultimo, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to furnish me with the required Security for the particular duty payable on the 10 casks of Rum imported in December last, and to inform me of the quantity of Spirits contained in the 20 casks imported in the Month following, forwarding to me at the same time security for the particular duty payable thereon, to enable me to make the necessary communication on the subject to the Collector of Sea Customs.—

Madras— ... }
4th February 1845— }

/ Signed / J. A. Huddleston
Collector.—

No. 9.

The Collector of Madras.—

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant

Instant and beg to inform you, that the 20 Casks of Spirits contain in each Cask 54 Imperial Gallons or 64 Old Gallons.—

With reference to the required Security for the payment of the particular duty on the above, and on 10 Casks imported in December, We beg to enquire what kind of Security will be satisfactory, and we shall also thank you to inform us the amount of the particular duty for which the Security is to be given.—

(Signed) Parry & Co.

Madras— ... }
5th February 1845— }

No. 10.

Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter under date the 5th Instant, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to pay the amount of 8 per Cent duty due on the 20 Casks of Rum imported by you in January last, Rupees 102—6—5 as per accompanying bill.—

This Office was not aware that

2.

there was any difference between the Imperial Gallon and the Gallon measure in general use at Madras, until the receipt of the letter now under acknowledgment, I have therefore caused a supplementary bill to be made out for the duty on the quantity in excess of the 602½ Imperial Gallons mentioned in your letter of

the

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.—

the 17th December last which also is sent herewith for payment, Rupees 8–14–8.—

3. The particular duty on similar Spirits fixed by order of Government to be levied under the provisions of Regulation I A.D. 1813 is 30 fs per Gallon. The Security required according to Section II of that Regulation is that the Importer will at the expiration of Six Months, from the dates of importation, pay the said duty. a Security Bond drawn up accordingly for the Rum imported by you to be deposited at this Office with reference to section IX will be satisfactory.—

Madras 7th February 1845 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.—

No 11.

The Collector of
Madras.—

Sir.

We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant enclosing 2 duty bills on Spirits. With reference to these bills we apprehend that all duties payable to Government are paid on the Imperial Gallon, and beg to know if this supposition be correct.—

With respect to the particular duty, we will, on receiving your answer as to the above point, prepare a bond according to the terms

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

terms required we take the liberty of returning the bills pending the receipt of your answer they being receipted.—

Madras 7th February 1845 } Signed Parry & Co.
No 12.

Messrs Parry & Co.

Gentlemen, With reference to your letter under yesterdays date, I have the honor to observe that whilst under the provisions of Act VI of 1845 duty on Spirits is chargeable on the Imperial Gallon, the correspondence which led to the passing of Regulation I of 1813 and the practice which has always obtained in respect to it clearly shew that the duties provided for in that enactment are leviable on the Gallon measure which is in common use as being equal to 40 Drams.—

2. Under this explanation I beg leave to retransmit herewith for payment the two duty bills returned in the letter now under reply.—

Madras 8th February 1845 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector
No 13.

The Collector of
Madras.—

Sir, We have the honor to acknowledge your

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

your letter of 8th Instant We will pay your peon all demands for duty on the 10 Casks and 20 Casks Rum subject to the decision of Government in the matter and on the understanding that if this be in our favor, the money is to be refunded In the mean while we beg to call your attention to the following Section of act N°VI of 1844—

"It is hereby enacted, that from the First day of April 1844, such parts of Regulation X of 1803, Regulation I of 1812, Regulation III of 1812, Regulation VI of 1812, and Regulation III of 1821 of the Madras Code and all such parts of any Regulations of the said Code as prescribe the levy of Transit or Inland Customs duties at any Town or place within the limits of the Presidency of Fort St. George shall be repealed"—

We shall be much obliged if you will favor us with a form of the bond required for the particular duty—

Madras 10th February 1845 } (Signed) Parry & Co

N°14—

Messrs Parry & Co

Gentlemen,

With further reference to your letter under date the 7th Instant, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

to inform me whether the two consignments of Rum imported in December and January last were accompanied by Certificates of Origin from the place of manufacture, and if so, whether the quantity therein is entered in imperial Gallons or in Gallons such as are in common use at Madras—

Madras 10th February 1845 } (Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collector

N°15

The Collector of Madras

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 10th Instant, We beg to request permission for 20 Casks of Rum belonging to us now at the Land Customs to pass and be delivered to the bearer— We will pay the duties &c on the conditions mentioned in our letter of the 10th Instant— Enclosed is the Certificate of Origin stating the number of common Gallons and in the case of the 20 Casks previously passed a similar Certificate has been delivered into your Office by the peon in charge of them— The Certificate of the 10 Casks imported in December was delivered at the Sea Custom House we will apply to the Acting Collector for it and on receipt forward it to

your

your Office.—

Madras
12th February 1815 } (Signed) Parry & Co.
No 16.

Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to acquaint you that a further consignment of 20 Casks of Rum has arrived from Chittoor, and to request that you will be good enough to let me have a sight of the Certificate of Origin if any, to enable me to ascertain the quantity in order that the duty bill may be made out.— If you will at the same time furnish me with the necessary Security Bond. I shall send the liquor on at once to the Bonded Warehouse to be stored there in the usual manner.—

Madras
12th February
1815 } (Signed) I. A. Hudleston
No 17. Collector

Messrs. Parry & Co.

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 10th Instant and to state with reference to the first part of Para 1 that if you will remit, by the bearer, the Amount of the two bills now with you, I shall be prepared to refund the same, should

should Government decide that I should do so.—

2. As regards the passage from Act No VI of 1814 referred to in the concluding part of Para 1 of your letter, I shall only observe that it appears evident that whilst the object of the said Act is to relinquish the "Transit or Inland Customs duties," the imposition of the import and Excise duties under Regulation I of 1813 is "to provide for the "better Security of the revenue arising from "the sale of Spirituous liquors within the Town "of Madras." It is accordingly laid down in Section II of the Act adverted to above that only "such parts of Regulation I of 1813" as relate to the rates of duty and drawback on Spirituous liquors imported or exported by Sea "shall be repealed".—

3. With reference to Para 2 I enclose a Draft of Security Bond which perhaps may answer until a more formal one can be prepared.—

Madras
17th February
1815 } (Signed) I. A. Hudleston.
Collector

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be requested to report upon the

the subject of the foregoing letters—

To

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

(15-16)

To the Chief Secy. to Govt

Stating with reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st Ultimo that it appears to the Board that the general duty of 8 per Cent levied under Section IX Regulation I of 1813 on Spirits manufactured after the European process on import by land into Madras can be regarded only as a Transit Custom duty and as such must be held to have been abolished by the first Clause of Act VI 1844 and that the particular duty imposed by Regulation I of 1813 cannot be treated as a Transit or Inland duty and that it has not been affected by provisions of

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 1st Ultimo forwarding a letter from the Collector of Sea Customs and one from Messrs. Parry & Co. and calling for a report on the subject therein referred to—

2. The principal questions raised in these letters are 1st Whether, since the passing of Act VI 1844 Spirits manufactured after the European process of Distillation are on being imported by land into Madras, liable to the general Import duty prescribed in Section IX Regulation I 1813:—

2dly Whether such Spirits are liable to the particular Import duty imposed by the same Regulation—

3dly In what manner such liquor is

of Act VI 1844 observing that by the Regulation the owners of the Spirits or their Agents are competent to keep them in their own Custody, also stating that it would be an useful Check if the Collrs in the Provinces were to keep the Collector of Madras advised of all Permits which they might grant for liquor intended to be conveyed to Madras—

Sea Cus 18th January 1845

18th January 1845.

is to be stored whilst remaining in Madras and prior to its being exported by Sea—

3. In regard to the two first of the above points, the Board beg to state, that the questions now brought under the consideration of Government by Messrs. Parry & Co. as to the liability of their rum on its being imported by land into Madras, to be charged with the general and particular import duties of Regulation I 1813 have already been submitted for the opinion of the Board, by the Collector of Madras in his letter of 21st December last. In reply to that reference the Board informed the Collector, that the liquor in question was liable to both the duties above specified, and this opinion was given with reference, more particularly to the view taken by Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation 6th July 1844 in which it was held, that, as by Section II Act VI 1844 only such parts of "Regulation I 1813" are repealed as relate to the "rates of duty and drawback on Spirituous liquors "imported or exported by Sea - the further provisions "of that Regulation are still in force"—

4. On a further consideration of the subject, the Board have however been led to remark that although the expressions above quoted are general and unqualified, yet, that the subject-

immediately

immediately before Government and discussed in the Extract Minutes of Consultation from which they are taken was as to the limitations under which Spirituous liquors might be sold or stored within the Town of Madras, and that the question as to the duties to be levied on Spirits manufactured after the European process on their import by land into Madras, tho' a subject to which those provisions of Regulation I 1813 which have been left unrepealed by Section II Act VI 1844 equally apply, was not at the time under the consideration of Government. Under these circumstances the Board trust that they shall stand excused, if they submit for the judgment of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the reasons, which in Modification of their former opinion on the point, induce them to doubt, whether, altho' the particular Import duty of Regulation I 1813 be still in force the general 8 per cent has not been rescinded by the Provisions of Act VI 1844.

5. The general duty of 8 per cent hitherto levied on Spirits manufactured after the European process on import by land into Madras, was imposed by Section IX Regulation I 1813. This Section of the Regulation has not, it is true, been repealed

repealed in express terms by any of the rescinding clauses of Act VI 1844 but the first clause of that enactment contains a general rescission of all such parts of any "Regulations" "of the Madras Code as prescribed the levy of Transit or inland Customduties at any town or place within the limits of the Presidency of Fort St. George." The Spirits now referred to viz. those distilled by the European process cannot legally be consumed within the Town of Madras. The general duty is therefore levied upon them on their entering Town, not for consumption, but for the purpose of being exported by Sea and when they are in transit to the place of shipment. It therefore appears to the Board that a duty so collected can be regarded only as a Transit Custom duty and as such must be held to have been abolished by the Extract from the first clause of Act VI 1844 already quoted. The anomalous position in which under the operation of the Act such

Note.

From the reply of the Collector of Madras to a reference made to him upon the point, it appears that this 8 per cent general duty was not levied prior to the passing of Regulation I 1813 and cannot therefore be remarked among those Madras Town duties imposed by Regulation X 1803 and Regulation III 1812, both expressly repealed by Act VI 1844. As an additional reason however for considering the general 8 per cent duty as a transit duty, it

may be mentioned, that this duty was placed by Section X Regulation III 1816 and by the Schedules sanctioned by Government 30th September 1831 in respect to drawback on precisely the same footing as all Transit or inland duties—

The refund of the particular duty forms a distinct case and as granted under Regulation I 1803 Section V—

6. The case of the particular import duty imposed by Regulation I 1813 appears to rest upon different grounds. That duty is a special duty, imposed for a peculiar purpose, Viz: the protection of the Abkarry Revenue within the Town of Madras, the effect of the system being to prevent the sale within Madras except on account of Government of all Spirits manufactured in the interior by subjecting such Spirits on import into the Town to a rate of duty to be refunded only on proof of re-export higher than the price at which the licensed retail vendors are supplied with liquor by the Collector. It is true that the failure to export Spirits such Spirits would be placed, if this duty has not been abolished the consequent injury to the Distiller, and the Board may add, to the Sugar manufacturer— have been clearly pointed out by Mr Underwood in his letter of 6th February last—

manufactured

manufactured after the European process would under Regulation I 1820 expose the Distiller by whom they were manufactured to the loss of his Licence but the imposition of the particular duty now referred to returnable only on the export of the Spirits, provides an additional security. Indeed cases may be imagined such for instance as that of a party about to give up his Distillery, in which the loss of the Licence would involve no penalty. Under this view of the case the Board are of opinion that the particular import duty on Spirits brought by Land into Madras cannot be treated as a Transit or Inland duty, and that it has not been affected by provisions of Act VI 1844. They think it should still be collected, or at least security for it taken as before, by the Collector of Madras, to whose office all such Spirits should be conveyed on their entry into Madras. They may further observe, that as the security will be cancelled on proof of the re-export of the Spirits, such a course would be productive of no hardship to the fair dealer—

7. In regard to the mode in which such Spirits are to be kept or stored whilst remaining at Madras, prior to export by Sea, it would undoubtedly be desirable on account of Government and—

and for the protection of the Abkarry revenue that the liquor should be lodged in the Bonded Warehouse. It does not however appear to the Board that this condition could be insisted on. 6th Section VI Regulation I 1813 - provides for the detention of such liquor in deposit, in those cases only wherein due security may not have been furnished - when such has been given, it is competent to the owners or their Agents to keep the Spirits in their own Custody - and not only so, but to remove them from one warehouse to another but always under permit from the Collector of Madras -

Sec VI Reg I 1813

8. By Section XI Regulation I 1820 the transit of any quantity exceeding one Seer of Spirituous Liquor without a permit is prohibited - and permits for the protection of Spirits beyond the limits of an Abkarry renter's farm or for a greater quantity than 150 Gallons can be issued only by the Collector of the District or his Assistant. It would in the opinion of the Board constitute an useful check, if the Collectors in the Provinces were to keep the Collector of Madras advised of all such permits as they might grant for liquor intended to be conveyed to Madras in order that the latter might be enabled to adopt the necessary precautions to ensure the provisions of Regulation I 1813 as regards the levy of the particular import duty being complied with -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d April 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter -

To T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From Asst Coll. of Ganjam - 17

Para 1 In the Board's proceedings of the 13th Ultimo, I have been again required to state whether I am of opinion that success would attend an appeal to the Civil Court in Suit No 199 of 1843 Auxiliary Courts file of Vizagapatam -

2. In my communication of the 1st December last I had already stated that as the Record stood, with the admission of my predecessor a successful defence was practicable in the suit - I considered that I could not appeal and repudiate Mr. Anstruther's rejoinder and defence that the Officers of Government were bound by his admissions and that farther Proceedings were useless -

I stated most clearly my opinion that the Suit should not be farther contested, soliciting the Board's support - and I need now only farther add that the time for appeal has long passed, application not having been made under the circumstances to prolong it -

I

4384 Fort St. George 3d April 1845

I see no reason to change the opinion I at first expressed—

Ganjam District
Collector's Cutcherry
Chitterpore 15th March 1845.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Asst. Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government

17-18

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir,

With reference to the orders contained in Para 4 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th January last, No 120, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Government Agent in Ganjam stating his decided opinion that in Suit No 199 of 1843 an appeal could not have been preferred with any prospect of success and that the appeal time has now expired—

In Cons 13th Feby 1845

15th March in Cons 3d April 1845

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
3d April 1845.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

4385 Fort St. George 3 April 1845

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(19)

From the agent to the Govt of Fort St George in Vizagapatam.

Acknowledges receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th Decr 1844 and submits translation of the Rajah's Reply to the question whether he will give over the entire charge of his Zemindary to the Government for some definite period, forwards also a Statement shewing the Demands &c of the Vizeanagrum Zemindary from Fusly 1224 to 1253.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 12th December 1844 forwarding, for my information and guidance, an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23rd November 1844 in which I am required to submit a Statement of the Demand, Collection, and Balance of the Vizeanagrum Zemindary from Fusly 1224. when the Collector held the Management of the Estate to the present time, shewing also the charges, Surplus and average for each period when under the Management of the Zemindar and of the Collector, together with the percentage of the charges on the Collection, and to state whether the expence of the Police is borne by the Rajah or the Government.—

2.— I am also required to communicate with the Rajah of Vizeanagarum and to obtain from him a distinct reply to the question whether he will consent to give

give over the entire charge and Management of his Zemendary to the Government for some definite period.—

3 In obedience to these instruc=tions I have addressed the Rajah of Vizea=nagrum and I have now the honor to submit a translation of his Reply to my communication. In this, both he and his son who is now of age express their readiness to make over the Zemin=dary to the Government for a period of 20 or 30 years, and to engage not to disturb or alter, without their sanction, any engagements the Government shall have entered into with the Ryots.—

4. — The Rajah however solicits that his income may be increased to the sum of Rupees 126,000 per annum to cover the ad=ditional expence which he anticipates his intended voyage to Europe will entail upon him.—

5.— The Statement marked A herewith forwarded contains all the inf=formation which the Most Noble the Governor in Council requires regarding this Zemindary but a few brief remarks on the results therein exhibited will not I trust be deemed superfluous.

The

The large increase in the

6. charges since the Estate came under the Collector's Management in Fusly 1237. is mainly to be attributed to the introduction of an individual Settlement.—

Under this system a larger=

7. Establishment is required than when the Villages are rented out, and so long as the Rajah remained in the Country even when the Estate was formerly under the Management of the Collector, large tracts containing numerous=Villages, so that it might almost be said whole Taloks were in many instances rented out to single individuals who were generally the Rajah's relations

Under a Ryotwar system

8.— large disbursements are likewise necessary for repairing Tanks and Watercourses, and advances to a considerable extent are also required — to enable the poorer class of Ryots to carry on their cultivation — These advances indeed are subsequently recovered, and it is owing to this that the Extraordinary Collections have been so much larger since the introduc=tion of an individual Settlement than they were formerly.—

In

9.-

In regard to the Collections it cannot be necessary for me to recal to the recollection of the Board and Government how much the whole of this Northern Circars have suffered of late years from the adversity of seasons, and the fall that has taken place in the price of produce.-

10

This district which formely exported Grain in large quantities now generally imports it.-

11. But of the Revenue which has been realized in the Vizeanagrum Zemindary to compared with that of any other tract in the Northern Division, I think it will be admitted that the falling off in the Net Revenue is by no means so great as might have been anticipated - especially when it is borne in mind that since the Estate last came under the management of the Collector, we have been laboring under a disadvantage never previously experienced, which is that a large portion of the surplus Revenue has been remitted to Benares instead of being expended on the spot.-

12. No part of the Police Establishment is paid from the resources of the Vizeanagrum Zemindary, though the servants employed for the collection of the Revenue are vested with Police authority.

13 In this district where the Police Officers have no Revenue duties to perform the following is the Establishment entertained

1 Head of Police at Rupees - - - - - - - - 35
1 Goomastah - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 10
1 Duffadar - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 6
Peons according to the extent of the Talook - 4 each.

14 The same Police Establishment is maintained by Government in the Talloks of the Vizeanagrum Zemindary, but it is combined with the Revenue Establishment and both are thus rendered more efficient.

15 To exhibit the effect of this I annex a statement of the combined Establishment in one of the Talloks.

District

District Establishment
Bonunghy Tanah.

Numbers	Designation	Amount of Pay. From Government	From Vizeanagrum Zemindary	Total.
	Revenue and Police Departments.			
1	Head of Police and Auneen	35 " "	45 " "	80 " "
2	Peishcar	" " "	25 " "	25 " "
3	Head Muddutgar	" " "	15 " "	15 " "
4	Muddutgars	" " "	12 " "	12 " "
5	Do	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
6	Do	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
7	Sawbnavis	" " "	12 " "	12 " "
	Samastdars.			
8	Sirrorganarapoo cottah	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
	Moottah			
9	Corlaum Do	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
10	Jaumy Do	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
11	Bonunghy Do	" " "	10 " "	10 " "
12	Tufsuntor Do	" " "	6 " "	6 " "
13	Shroff	" " "	4 " "	4 " "
14	Assistant Do	" " "	6 " "	6 " "
15	Revenue Duffadar	" " "	112 " "	112 " "
16	28 Revenue Peons at 4 Rupees each			
17	Police Muddutgar	10 " "	" " "	10 " "
18	Police Duffadar	6 " "	" " "	6 " "
19	40 Police Peons at 4 Rupees each	160 " "	" " "	160 " "
	Total Revenue and Police Departments	211 " "	307 " "	518 " "

The

16 The Head of the above Establishment is both Revenue Auneun and Head of Police. In fact he is placed exactly on the same footing as a Tahsildar in a Ryotwar district but he receives a part of his pay from Government, and the remainder from the resources of the Vizeanagrum Zemindary.—

17 In like manner, each Dewan Samootdar is placed in subordinate charge of a portion of a Tallook, and he is vested with the authority of a sub Officer of Police. The advantage of having such an Officer within a moderate distance of every Village is very great, especially in these districts, where the Village authorities from their ignorance and want of character are unable to perform any part of their duties.—

18 The Peons also are indiscriminately employed in Revenue or Police duties, as there may be a call for their services in one department or the other.—

19 If a separate officer is appointed to conduct the Revenue administration of Vizeanagrum Zemindary on the part of Rajah, I imagine that this will necessarily involve a separation of the Police and

and Revenue Establishments. This, I think, is to be regretted, but it is unavoidable as under the provisions of Act No. XXXIV of 1839 the Superintendence of the Police must continue to be vested in the Governor's Agent, and it would never answer to place the same Establishments under one officer in the Police, and under another independent officer in the Revenue department.—

20. But as I have recorded my sentiments on this subject in considerable detail in my letter of the 3d August 1844, there seems no necessity for my enlarging on it now.—

21. I avail myself of this opportunity to report, for the information of the Board and Government, that I have remitted to Major Carpenter at Benares the full amount of six lacs and a half for the composition of the Rajah's debts, and I shall submit a full Report on the subject in the course of a few days.—

(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor.

Vizagapatam,
Gov.t Agent's Office,
20th March, 1845.

To

(No. 7 Translation.)
W. U. Arbuthnot Esqre
pu " vr " pa "
Agent to the Governor
Vizagapatam

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter dated the 15th Ultimo with enclosures, giving Extract from No. 1225 Paras 2d and 3d from Minutes of Consultation by the Most Noble the Governor of Fort St. George under date the 23d November 1844.

I beg leave to state that I concur in every items of the before mentioned Paras, and earnestly solicit Government, to undertake the whole management of my Zemindary which I am sure will be most beneficial to myself, to my heirs, and to the Ryots, nor will I attempt to disturb or alter any arrangement made by Government, without their sanction. I hereby engage, on behalf of myself and my heirs, that the management of my Zemindaree, shall be under the British Government for 20 or 30 years, and it is my most earnest wish that the Zemindaree should always continue so controlled. my son will also sign this letter in corroboration of our natural concurrence.—

I further beg to solicit that my Khanghee Villages may remain at present, the Wasilabadars being under my control.—

My personal allowance of a Lac of (1,00,000) Rupees annually is ample during my stay in India, but from the date of my embarkation for England I respectfully solicit the amount may be increased to

Six thousand (26000) Rupees per annum, forming an aggregate of one Lac and twenty six thousand (126000) Rupees in order that the sum of seven thousand five hundred (7500) Rupees may be remitted to me monthly in England; and three thousand (3000) Rupees per mensem remitted to my Establishment at Benares; and I trust the Government will comply with my wishes and make arrangements for the regular payment of these sums.—

My friends assure me that the sum above stated, is the least I and my son would require for our support in England and the other sum, is absolutely requisite for my establishment in Benares.—

A reply at your earliest convenience, will be esteemed a favor when it is my intention to arrange for my embarkation to England.—

(Signed)
Rajah of Vizeanagrum

Benares —
14th February 1845.

I have perused this letter, and as a proof of my concurrence in my Fathers views and intentions, I also affix my signature.—

(Signed) Vezzearam Rauze,
the Son of the
Rajah of Vizeanagrum

H. H. The Rajah of Vizeanagrum and his son Vizearam Rauze have requested me to attest their signatures to the foregoing document, and to certify that the latter has expressed in my presence, his full and voluntary concurrence in his fathers wishes as above set forth.—

14th February 1845. (Signed) Thos. D. Carpenter,
Major B. G. G. A.
at Benares.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor
Demand.

4394 — For Ft St George

A. Demand Collection and Balance and Account

Number.	Fuslies.	Demand			Balance.			Current Fusly.		
	1	2			3			4		
	No 1 Mr Smiths time.									
1	Fusly 1224	87,232	12	8	3,939	8	-	832,929	9	8
2	Fusly 1225	96,106	2	9	119,611	7	10	841,453	10	11
3	Fusly 1226	100,034	3	10	107,409	6	6	892,624	13	4
4	Fusly 1227	100,738	7	4	228,292	2	8	779,094	15	8
5	Fusly 1228	97,396	5	11	158,622	12	2	815,342	12	9
6	Fusly 1229	91,386	7	2	37,831	2	2	876,036	3	-
7	Fusly 1230	93,353	4	-	39,229	10	4	899,304	8	8
8	Fusly 1231	94,071	15	7	168,078	7	2	772,635	8	5
	Total	760,789	6	3	894,733	3	10	6,709,422	2	5
	Average	95,098	14	9	111,309	2	5	838,677	12	4
	No 2. Rajahs Management.									
9	Fusly 1232	91,610	15	9	52,843	1	4	863,262	11	5
10	Fusly 1233	91,266	7	8	126,565	8	9	786,099	14	11
11	Fusly 1234	91,460	4	7	34,077	9	1	880,522	11	6
12	Fusly 1235	91,327	7	4	62,735	4	1	850,538	3	3
13	Fusly 1236	92,851	5	8	77,816	3	1	850,668	13	7
	Total	458,516	4	-	354,067	10	4	4,231,092	9	8
	Average	91,703	2	9	70,813	8	5	846,218	8	4

3d April 1845.

Current Shewing Surplus of the Vizeianagrum Zemindary from Fuslies 1224 to 1253.-

Collection. Ordinary. Arrears.	Collection. Ordinary. Total.	Extra-ordinary	Charges. Total.	Charges. Servants Wages &c.	Charges. Government Peishcush.	Total.	Per Centage between Columns 8 and 11.	Surplus.	Remarks.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14.
2577 5 8	8,35506 15 4	" " "	8,35506 15 4	17436 6 9	500600 " "	518036 6 9	62 " "	3,17470 8 7	
11521 15 11	8,52975 10 10	8837 9 9	8,61813 4 7	123923 9 3	500600 " "	624523 9 3	72 7 5	2,37289 11 4	
47082 11 11	9,39707 9 3	20773 13 9	9,60481 7 "	144886 9 "	500600 " "	645486 9 "	66 9 3	3,23994 14 "	
36999 10 5	8,15194 10 1	14984 3 1	8,30178 13 2	157672 2 7	500600 " "	658272 2 7	79 4 8	1,71906 10 7	
15625 7 10 10	9,71600 7 7	5409 9 5	9,77010 1 "	127809 14 9	500600 " "	628409 14 9	64 5 "	3,48600 2 3	
20864 10 6	8,96900 13 6	1340 12 1	8,98241 9 7	110717 3 3	500600 " "	611317 3 3	68 " 11	2,86924 6 4	
3562 " 10	9,02866 9 6	1116 15 7	9,03983 9 1	111464 15 1	500600 " "	612064 15 1	67 11 4	2,91918 10 "	
2851 8 6	7,75487 " 11	" " "	7,75487 " 11	102874 " 6	500600 " "	603474 " 6	77 13 1	1,72013 " 5	
28081 10 7	6,99,0239 13 "	61462 15 8	7,05170212 8	896784 13 2	4004800 " "	4901584 13 2	69 8 2	2,15011 7 15 6	
35102 3 3	8,73779 15 7	7682 14 "	8,81462 13 7	112098 1 8	500600 " "	612698 1 8	69 8 "	2,68764 11 11	
68186 6 6	9,31449 4 11	12000 " "	9,43449 4 11	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	64 14 10	3,30799 4 11	Full accounts of these Fuslies are not forthcoming consequently the Extra Receipts and the charges are inserted upon Estimate.
52525 " 8	8,38624 15 7	12000 " "	8,50624 15 7	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	72 " 6	2,37974 15 7	
135354 6 1	10,15877 1 7	12000 " "	10,27877 1 7	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	59 9 8	4,15227 1 7	
28430 11 4	8,78968 14 7	12000 " "	8,90968 14 7	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	68 12 1	2,78318 14 7	
74356 1 11	9,24924 15 6	12000 " "	9,36924 15 6	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	65 6 2	3,24274 15 6	
3587521 10 6	45,90845 4 2	60000 " "	46,49845 4 2	560000 " "	2503250 " "	3063250 " "	65 14 "	15,86595 4 2	
71750 8 6	9,17969 " 10	12000 " "	9,29969 " 10	112000 " "	500650 " "	612650 " "	65 14 "	3,17319 " 10	

3d April 1845.

Charges.			Per centage between Columns 8 and 11		Surplus.		Remarks.
Govern: ment Peish: cush.	Total.						
10	11.		12		13		14.
500650	"	601984	6 11	67	9	295832	11 4
300650	"	610663	2 4	71	5 9	245087	1 7
500650	"	644381	4 "	73	1 5	237274	2 10
500650	"	660340	15 9	78	12 3	178029	10 1
400650	"	552855	5 7	88	" "	75401	11 1
600650	"	747605	6 1	82	3 1	161942	5 8
500650	"	688746	" 6	72	10 1	259539	" "
500650	"	708442	5 1	66	15 "	349894	3 4
500650	"	721512	14 6	70	6 5	303291	4 11
500650	"	727387	6 9	95	14 5	31068	11 6
500650	"	702561	4 4	67	8 6	337767	5 9
500650	"	694792	" 11	83	11 4	115836	3 9
500650	"	707301	12 5	74	4 1	245196	15 4
500650	"	707776	8 10	87	14 2	97542	7 6
500650	"	710861	10 3	72	9 7	268281	11 6
500650	"	673617	6 2	78	6 3	185687	2 9
500650	"	650274	5 7	74	12 5	219352	6 8
8511050	"	1151104	4 "	76	2 3	360725	3 7
500650	"	677123	12 6	76	2 3	212177	15 3
4004800	"	490584	13 2	69	8 2	215017	15 6
2503250	"	306250	" "	65	14 "	158595	4 2
8511050	"	1151104	4 "	76	2 3	360725	3 7
1501900	"	194739	1 2	72	9 9	343738	7 3
500636	10 8	649197	15 6	72	10 "	244791	4 6

(Signed) H. U. Arbuthnot Agent to the Governor Ordered.

1395.

Fort St. George

Number.	Fusli.	Demand.	Balance.	Collection. ordinary. Current Fusly.	Arrears.	Total.	Extra: ordinary.	Total.	Servants Wages &c.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	No. 3. Collector's Management.								
14	Fusly 1237	9,37,234 3 1	76,554 4 9	8,60,679 14 4	34,366 13 10	8,95,046 12 2	2,770 6 1	8,97,817 2 3	10,133 4 6
15	Fusly 1238	9,07,887 9 5	74,375 10 9	8,33,511 14 8	19,813 10 10	8,53,325 9 6	2,424 10 5	8,55,750 3 11	10,013 2 6
16	Fusly 1239	9,17,688 5 4	1,17,639 4 9	8,00,049 7	58,241 12 1	8,58,290 12 8	23,364 10 2	8,81,655 6 10	14,731 4 6
17	Fusly 1240	9,16,219 15 5	1,36,798 10 5	7,79,421 5 -	42,760 12 9	8,22,182 1 9	16,188 8 1	8,38,370 9 10	1,59,690 15 9
18	Fusly 1241	9,21,952 11 -	3,28,370 15 2	5,93,581 11 10	18,479 6 -	6,12,061 1 10	16,195 14 10	6,28,257 - 8	1,52,205 5 7
19	Fusly 1242	9,13,774 8 6	2,01,179 11 6	7,12,594 13 -	18,758 14 -	9,00,113 11 -	9,434 - 9	9,09,547 11 9	1,46,955 6 1
20	Fusly 1243	9,55,843 9 5	1,07,036 8 11	8,48,807 6	49,629 13 8	8,98,436 14 2	49,848 2 4	9,48,285 - 6	1,88,096 - 6
21	Fusly 1244	9,59,512 14 3	41,112 9 7	9,18,400 4 8	62,249 12 5	9,80,650 1 1	77,686 7 4	10,58,336 8 5	2,07,992 5 1
22	Fusly 1245	10,06,546 7 10	74,978 15 2	9,31,567 8 8	21,914 14 3	9,53,482 6 11	7,321 12 6	10,24,804 3 5	2,20,862 14 6
23	Fusly 1246	10,33,613 4 6	3,61,755 1 11	6,71,858 2 7	15,148 10 2	6,87,006 12 9	7,449 5 6	7,58,456 2 3	2,26,737 6 9
24	Fusly 1247	10,31,125 9 -	1,82,182 1 9	8,48,943 7 3	1,92,160 8 6	9,51,103 - 15 9	89,224 10 4	1,40,328 10 1	2,01,911 4 6
25	Fusly 1248	10,43,741 1 10	3,26,530 8 9	7,17,210 9 1	34,495 8 11	7,51,706 2 -	58,922 2 8	8,10,628 4 8	1,94,142 - 11
26	Fusly 1249	10,45,660 13 9	2,31,948 6 3	8,13,712 7 6	75,669 9 5	8,89,382 - 11	63,116 10 10	9,52,498 11 9	2,06,651 12 3
27	Fusly 1250	10,39,546 13 5	3,38,097 6 9	7,01,449 6 8	37,003 15 2	7,38,453 5 10	66,865 10 6	8,05,319 - 4	2,07,126 8 11
28	Fusly 1251	10,51,954 8 7	1,88,512 - 4	8,63,442 8 3	51,691 - 9 6	9,15,134 1 9	64,009 4 -	9,79,143 5 9	2,10,211 10 6
29	Fusly 1252	8,36,571 - -	39,585 - 8	7,96,985 15 4	22,283 1 4	8,19,269 - 8	40,035 8 3	8,59,304 8 11	1,72,967 6
30	Fusly 1253.	8,85,581 1 6	49,766 2 6	8,35,814 15 -	12,422 7 7	8,48,237 6 7	21,389 - 5 8	8,69,626 12 3	1,49,624 5 5
	Total	1,64,04,454 8 10	28,76,423 7 11	1,35,28,031 - 11	8,45,851 4 5	1,43,73,882 5 4	7,44,247 2 3	1,51,18,129 7 7	30,00,054 4 4
	Average	9,64,967 14 8	1,69,201 6 1	7,95,766 8 7	49,755 15 3	8,45,522 7 10	43,779 3 11	8,89,301 11 9	17,647 3 12
1	Mr. Smith's Management.	7,60,795 6 3	89,847 3 10	6,70,422 2 5	28,081 7 10	6,98,503 13 -	6,462 15 8	7,05,702 12 8	89,684 13 -
2	Rajah's Ditto	45,851 60 4 -	3,54,067 10 4	42,310 92 9 8	3,58,752 10 6	45,89,845 4 2	60,000 - -	46,49,845 4 2	56,000 - -
3.	Collector's Ditto	1,64,04,454 8 10	28,76,423 7 11	1,35,28,031 - 11	8,45,851 4 5	1,43,73,882 5 4	7,44,247 2 3	1,51,18,129 7 7	30,00,054 4 4
	Total	2,85,97,510 3 1	4,12,896 4 1	2,44,68,345 13 -	14,85,421 9 6	2,59,53,967 6 6	8,65,710 1 11	2,63,19,677 8 5	44,56,839 1 10
	Average	95,325 - 5 5	1,37,632 2 4	81,561 8 1	4,951 4 - 10	86,513 2 3 11	28,857 - 1	89,398 4 -	14,856 4

Vizagapatam

20th March 1845 1845. Errors. Excepted. Jno.

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address:—

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

In reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 23d November 1844, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Government Agent of Vizagapatam furnishing the Statement called for respecting the Vizianagrum Zemindary and reporting the result of his reference to the Rajah on the subject of the surrender of the management of that Estate to Government for a definite period.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d April 1845

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

19-20

To the Chief Secy to Government
Inbound 12th Decr 1844

20th March
Inbound 3d April 1845

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Read again the following letters.

From the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry dated 13th December 1843 reporting on the Settlement of the Government Estates for Fusly 1252.—
A. 2d 1842/43.

Inbound 6th Janry 1844.

From the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry dated 18th November 1844 reporting on the Settlement of the Government Estates for Fusly 1253.—
A. 2d 1843/44

Inbound 28th Novr 1844.

21.
Proceedings thereon Para 1.

Relative to the Settlement of the Government Estates in the District of Rajahmundry for Fusslies 1252 A. D. 1842/43 and 1253.— 1843-44

Three modes of Settlement obtain in Rajahmundry Viz.— 1st Individual—money Settlements or Veesabady 2d Division—of the produce or Afsarah and 3d rent. These systems will merge in the Settlement to be—made hereafter based on a Survey, in the—mean time the Collector has been directed to follow the Renting system, as best adapted to draw forth the resources of the District preparatory to the introduction of a survey—afsessment. It will be important to notice in the review of the Settlement during the 2 years to be considered to what extent the—Renting system has been carried out with its effects on the Revenue and the condition of the people, and how far the expectations of the

the Board in this respect have been realized.

2. The Settlement of Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 was made by Mr. Smith but as the charge of the District devolved temporarily on the Commissioner it fell to that Officer to make the report on the Settlement. The Settlement of Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 was made by the Collector Mr. Prendergast but reported on by the Acting Collector Mr. Bird.

3. The season of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 was not throughout favorable. From the scanty rains at the commencement of the Season there was a partial failure of the early crops and the sowing of the principal products of the District, Viz.t Jonnalos and Rice was retarded inconsequence. The late crops of the season, as Gram &c.a were scanty as rain ceased from about the middle of November.

4. Although the sowing of the principal produce of the District, Jonnalos and Rice, was retarded for the time, it does not appear that the season was otherwise unfavorable as regards these products.

The

5. The season of Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 was altogether different. The early rains were seasonable and abundant except in the Talooks of Cauparum, Vadasalairoo, Valangoobundah, Cotapully, Gootalah, Yernagoodum, Polewaram, &c.a situated in the North and West parts of the Districts, in these the early crops were not sown at all and the Rice cultivation was scanty. In other parts of the District the cultivation was extensive, but the want of rain in the early part of August injured, it is stated, some of it, the early crops as well as some Wet land cultivation within reach of the Godavary Freshes were destroyed. The subsequent heavy rains injured the crops, which had been put down after the river freshes had subsided, and retarded the sowing of the late crops of the season as Gram &c.a.

6. The season of Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 is stated by the Acting Collector to have been "altogether unfavorable."

7. The injury from the want of rain in the early part of August appears to the Board to have been but partial or the crops recovered inconsequence of subsequent

Demand Collection and Balance Statement for September Fusly 1253 / 1843/44

subsequent falls of rain as in the report of the season for the month of September the Commissioner in charge observes "where the Freshes have not extended the crops are in a prosperous state" The Principal crops sustained some injury from the heavy rain in the beginning of December but this rain was beneficial to the late crops of the season. no rain fell in November and the Board conclude that the season was favorable rather than otherwise to the Jonna crops as these crops were well advanced and in a prosperous state from the preceding rains. In October the cultivation of wet lands was "backward and of bad promise," to this, to the heavy and protracted River Freshes and to the failure of the early crops is to be attributed the general unfavorable result of the season.

D. D. for Dec.

8. Prices were, in a depressed state as the produce of the year Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 came into the market the white Paddy fell from 7 to 9 Rupees per Pootty, Black Paddy from 6 to 8 Ds. per D. the average prices for every description of Grain for the

Report of Fasly 1252 Para 3.

See Statement No. 4 which accompanies the letter from the Commissioner in charge.

the whole year was considerably below the average Prices of the preceding year. For Jonnaloo, a staple product of the District the price fell from Rupees 10-10- per Pootty in Fusly 1251 / A.D. 1842/43 to Rupees 9-1- in Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. This depression of prices the Commissioner observes "seriously affected the "realization of the "Revenue." Prices underwent a still further depression in Fusly / A.D. 1253. / 1843/44.

	White Paddy		Black Paddy		Jonnaloo		
Fusly	9	9	6	8	4	10	9
"	8	15	1	7	9	9	7

9. The total number of Government Villages in Fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 was 397. This number was reduced to 386 in Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. 11 Villages composing the Yellamunchily Estate having been given over to the Proprietor, Cundacoory Mullicarjoonah Booshanarow on the reduced Peishcush of Rupees 12,000 In fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 18 Villages belonging to the Rauley Estate were added to the number of Government Villages, making a total number of 404 Villages in that year.

	Villages	
Fusly 1252	386	inclusive of
" 1253	404	1 Cuttoobady
		16 Shrotrium
		3 Surva manium

10. The number of Villages classed under the heads of Individual money settlements or Veesabady and Division of

of the produce or Assarah greatly in=
=creased in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 owing to the expiration
of a quinquennial lease in many Villages
and to the retention under Assarah of
many Villages in the Woondy Talook, in
which offers for rent had not been made
commensurate with the improvements effected
in the state of the irrigation. The number
of Villages in comparison between Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42
and 1252 1842/43 settled according to the three
systems noticed are as follows. In this
comparison the 11 Villages comprising the
Estate of Yellamunchily are deducted.

	Individual or Veesabadey.	Division of the produce or Assarah	Rent
Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42	115½	27½	223
Fusly 1252 1842/43	206	63½	96½
Increase.	90½	36	
Decrease.			126½

Para 5 of the Report from the Commissioner in charge & Statement A & 7

The Board are not satisfied with the results in the Woondy Talook
In fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 the number of Assarah Villages
was 11

was 16. in the Fusly under review it was
increased by 36 Villages to 52. The reason
assigned that offers for rent sufficient in amount
were not given is perhaps to a certain extent
borne out as the Revenue
from the 36 Villages newly
brought under Assarah in

	Rs.	A.	P.
Fusly 1252	36942	1	10
" 1251	34724	12	"
Difference in favor of Fusly 1252 1842/43	2217	5	10

Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 was Rupees 2217-5-10 more than
in the preceding Fusly in the 16 Villages
however which were settled according to this system
in both Fusles there is a
falling off of Rupees 2005-5-5

	Rs.	A.	P.
Fusly 1251	15558	4	11
" 1252	13552	15	6
Difference against Fusly 1252 1842/43	2005	5	5

and the total increase on the
whole 52 Villages was Rupees 212-12-5 only. The Acting
Collector will be pleased to explain the cause of the
decrease in the 16 Villages, as above noticed, and to state
whether these Villages benefitted by improvements
in the irrigation, or whether such improvements are
confined to the 36 Villages in which an increase is observed.
In fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 the Renting
12 system was greatly estended but with loss
instead of gain to the Revenue. In fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43
the number of rented Vil=

Villages let for 1 year	15
" for 2 years	333
" for a longer period Jaggapatty Nagarum	1
	349

=lages was 96½ and in the
following fusly the number
was

Para 5-

was 349 the whole of these with the exe=
=ception of 22 Villages 9 of which are without
inhabitants, were let to the Ryots of the
same Villages; the Acting Collector adds that
the Villages were disposed of "as joint rents
between as many of the inhabitants as could
be prevailed upon to become parties
and in most instances the Majorities
have accepted the terms" Collective res=
=ponsibility has been deemed by the Board
to be an important condition of the Rents
and this object should be carefully at=
=tended to.-

Para 9 of the Asst Coll.r's Report on the Settlement of Fusly 1253- A.D 1843/44-

13- It is observed that one
Village only was settled in Fusly 1253 A.D 1843/44
according to a Division of the produce.

14- As the renting system has
been introduced generally in Fusly 1253 A.D 1843/44
throughout the Government Estates length=
=ened observations on the settlement of Fusly
1252 1842/43 are not called for- It is stated in para
12 that the "kham List" was reduced in
Fusly 1252 A.D 1842/43 in consequence of the de=
=pressed state of the Ryots- It is not men=
=tioned on what principles this reduction
was made- The Board have already
noticed

noticed that this cist should not be altered
without previous reference to them- It is
also stated in the same para that the reduc=
=tion was generally made on the average
Collections of the preceding 10 years but in
the Tabular Statement in the same para
the average of 5 years only is given- The
reduction it appears was allowed both in
the Veesabady and Rented Villages, and
the reduced amount constituted the "Amara=
=cam" in Veesabady Villages- The "Amaracum"
was merely nominal, deductions were
made from the amount at the Jummahbundy
but these again do not appear to have been
made under any fixed system as "much land"
the Commissioner observes "entered in the
"amaracum at the reduced rate was left un=
="cultivated," but "is entered in the settlement"
It is unnecessary to notice further the defects
of this system with its injurious tendency
both as regards the Revenue and the Ryot,
as it has been superseded by Rents.-

1st Para 12 of this Proceedings of Fusly 1251 A.D 1841/42 Dated 21st Decr 1843-

See Col.n 4 of Tabular Statt in Para 13. Item Rs 5774-4-

Paras 12 & 21-

In pra 13 it is stated
15- that the Estate of Tademullah is not entered
in the Statement shewing the reduced kham
List of Fusly 1252 A.D 1842/43 as no reduction had
taken

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

taken place in that Estate. It is seen however in the details of the Village of

Amount of anaracum Cist on 35 Pooties of land in Fusly 1251 1841/42		524	4 "
D. D. on D. in Fusly 1252 1842/43		450	" "
		74	4 "

Seroomalapooram included in the Estate of Sandemullah that Rupees 74-4- " were remitted on account of its Hamlet Naraindrapooram.

Veesabady Villages Para 13-14-15-16-

The settlement of the Veesabady Villages in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 exhibits a decrease of Rupees 13,376-"-3 this is large as it is to be borne in mind that the kham Cist had been reduced 19 per Cent and further a considerable extent of land, not cultivated, the assessment on which was not collected was included in the Demand. The decrease as will be seen from the following particulars has occurred chiefly in the Villages brought under Veesabady in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 from rent.

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

	No. of Villages	Fusly 1251			Fusly 1252			Increase			Decrease		
		R.	a.	p.	R.	a.	p.	R.	a.	p.	R.	a.	p.
Villages under Veesabady in both Fuslies	105½	183,483	1	3	187,172	4	10	3,689	3	7	"	"	"
D. under assarah in the past fusly and under Veesabady in the current	"	314	5	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	314	5	11
D. under rent in the past fusly and under Veesabady in the current	100½	210,065	13	"	193,255	14	7	"	"	"	16,809	14	5
Total	206	393,863	4	2	380,428	3	5	3,689	3	7	17,124	4	4
								Net Decrease			13,435	"	9

In fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 31 Villages

17 only exclusive of the Rawley Villages remained under Veesabady and in these a decrease of Rupees 729-2-5 is observed below the preceding Fusly. The settlement on Veesabady of 2 out-of 18 Villages of the Rauly property newly added to the Government Estates was Rupees 5,877-11-6 but this the Acting Collector states was far lower than the cist Rupees 8,950-1-7 of the preceding year when the

Para 8 of his Report.

the Estate belonged to the late Proprietor, the diminution having arisen principally from "the destruction of Lunkah lands by the overflow of the Godavery."—

Afsarah Villages Para 16.

18. The number of Villages settled in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 upon a division of the produce was 63½ being 36 more than in the preceding Fusly. The total settlement of the Afsarah Villages amounted to Rupees 57,223-"-4. The average Gross produce exhibits an increase of Pootties 1 m 13 per Pooty but the Government share of the produce was commuted into money at a dimenation of 1- 2- 2 below the prices of the preceding year.—

	CRs.	As.	Ps.
Fusly 1251 1841/42	10—	2—	10-
" 1252 1842/43	9—	"—	8
	1—	2—	2

19. In Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 the only Afsarah Villages was Samuntacoroo in the Amlapoor Estate yielding a Revenue of Rupees 91-11-8 certain encroachments of land in the Mogultoor Talook yielding Rupees 37-10-3 and certain mustard produce grown within the banks of the Godavary yielding Rs. 4-11- are also included under the Head of Afsarah.

	CRs.	As.	Ps.
Fusly 1253 1843/44	91—	11—	8
" 1252 1842/43	68—	9—	5
Difference in favor of Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44	23-	2—	3

The

The 96½ Villages held under rent in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 exhibit an increase of Rupees 5,597—1-5- above the former year.—

20.

Rented Villages	CRs.	As.	Ps.
Fusly 1251	81,221—	11—	7-
" 1252	86,728-	13—	"
	5,507—	1—	5

The total number of Villages settled according to Rent in Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 was 349 inclusive of 15 Villages of the Rawley Estate. In the present review of the settlement of the Rented Villages in comparison with the Revenue of the preceding year the Rawley portion will be excluded. The early part of the season was favorable; this circumstance and the advantages to the Revenue anticipated from the Renting system would lead to the expectation that the Revenue from these Villages would have exhibited an increase. The result however is otherwise. The diminution in the Revenue in Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 is Rs. 54,890-6-2. The tabular Statement in Para 10 of Mr. Bird's report shews that there has been a falling off both in the Villages held under Rent in the 2 years under consideration as well as in those which came under Rent in Fusly 1253 1843/44 from Veesabady and Afsarah.—

21.

Villages		Decrease.		
95½	Rented in both years	3317-	7-	8-
176	Veesabady in Fusly 1252 1842/43	29704-	8-	"
62½	Afsarah in D°	21868-	6-	6
		54,890-	6-	2-

In

22 In Woondy Talook, in which improvements in the irrigation have taken place there is a diminution in the Rent of no less than Rupees 28656-10-6. below Fusly 1252/1842/43 or 32-4-7 per cent. In fusly 1252/1842/43 the Afsarah system of settlement was purposely adopted it was stated as the offers for rent were inadequate; but the introduction of Rents in Fusly 1253/1843/44 has been without advantage. In the 52 Villages settled according to a division of the crop in Fusly 1252/1842-43 the falling off in the Revenue amounts to

Para 5 of the Commissioners report on the settlement of Fusly 1252/1842/43.

Villages.			
21	Veesabady in Fusly 1252/1842/43 but rented in Fusly 1253/1843/44	Decrease	6691- 10- 6-
52	Afsarah in ___ D° ___ D° rented in ___ D° ___		22046- 1- 4-

		Rs	as	p
Fusly 1251	50,283	"	11	
" 1252	50495	1	4	
" 1253	28,449	"	"	

Rupees 22,046-1-4. The results obtained from these 52 Villages during the last 3 years are very unfavorable. It appears that the Villages have been rented for about ½ of what they produced under the disadvantageous system of a division of the produce.-

23 In examining the Puttah granted for the Village of Deroosoomurroo in the Mogultoor Talook rented for two years, it is seen that the Village is rented for

for Rupees 260-8- for Fusly 1253/1843/44 and for Rupees 402-8-. for Fusly 1254/1844/45. No cause has been assigned for the decreased amount in the former Fusly. On comparing these Rents with the Beriz of former years it is seen that

			Rs	As	P
Fusly 1248/1838-39	under Rent		475	"	"
" 1249/1839/40	D°		475	"	"
" 1250/1840/41	Afsarah		135	5	2
" 1251/1841/42	D°		485	12	8
" 1252/1842/43	D°		441	14	9
" 1253/1843/44	Rent		260	8	"
" 1254/1844/45	Rent		402	8	"

the Rent for Fusly 1254/1844/45 is below the Revenue of former years. The Acting Collector will be pleased to explain the cause of this decrease also why the amount of former years, certainly of Fusties 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43, should not be realized under the present Rents.-

24 It does not clearly appear at what period of the year the Rents were settled. From the concluding part of Para 5 of the Acting Collector's Letter and from Para 12 of the same in which the Acting Collector states that "in giving Rents" the "actual "mischief done by the River could not be "correctly ascertained, the enquiry not having "been made at the time" it appears that some Rents were concluded after losses were sustained

Fort St. George 3d April 1845

sustained by the Godavery Freshes.
The Collector acted properly in reject
=ing the exaggerated Statements of
losses which were brought forward
but it is necessary that it should
be further explained how many Villages
were thus rented after the injuries
sustained by the River Freshes, the
causes of the decrease and particularly
what Villages were given in Rent
for two years and upon what princi
=ples the Rent for the second year
was calculated. Mr. Bird will
further explain how many Villages
were settled before the River Freshes
came down with the cause of the
Increase and Decrease. The propriety
of giving Villages for Rent for more
than one year except in cases in
which the full Beriz or an approximation to

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 5th April 1845.

to it was obtainable at a period when their
Resources were for the time diminished
by the overflowing of the River appears
questionable. On this point the Board
will expect further explanation from
Mr. Bird.

25. The Settlement of all items
composing the Land Revenue in Fusly
1251 / 1841/42 was Rupees 5,32,846—15—10. In the two
Fusles under review the amount was
Rupees 5,24,380—4—9 and Rupees 5,14,380*
—4—2. Respectively. The following Statement
exhibits the amount of Settlement in
each Fusly in each Estate, with the Increase
and Decrease, the amount of the Perma
=nent assessment is also inserted for
comparison.—

Permanent—

*This includes Rs. 45,559-6-6. the Revenue from the Rainleys Estate, without it the amount is Rs. 4,68,820-13-8.

Fort St. George

	Permanent Peiz. Collectors Report for Fusly 1253	Summabundy. Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Peiz. Fusly 1253.	Comparison between Fuslies 1251 & 1252. Increase	Comparison between Fuslies 1251 & 1252. Decrease	Comparison between Fuslies 1252 & 1253. Increase	Comparison between Fuslies 1252 & 1253. Decrease
1 Moogalatoor	139506 7 9	120166 13 11	112600 3 7	102521 3 "	" " "	7560 10 4	" " "	10079 " 7
2 Woondy	150934 10 6	91173 5 8	95217 " 3	66794 8 3	1,243 10 7	" " "	" " "	28622 8 "
3 Amalapooram	107090 " "	78062 5 11	77623 8 5	77,841 14 1	" " "	1,38 13 6	218 5 8	" " "
4 Nagarum	102406 8 "	86378 1 6	77540 14 11	70,916 7 4	" " "	8,837 2 7	" " "	6624 7 7
5 Tanookoo	69276 11 4	65344 3 10	67136 15 8	61,600 3 3	1792 11 10	" " "	" " "	5336 12 5
6 Cottapully	42152 11 "	28611 12 3	30870 9 9	29,572 12 "	2,258 13 6	" " "	" " "	1297 13 9
7 Caupanarum	27561 8 "	18736 3 11	19716 3 "	20153 4 "	979 5 1	" " "	1,37 1 "	" " "
8 Tadmulla	21161 " "	16672 2 4	11,762 13 10	14,909 8 "	" " "	2,509 4 6	7,46 10 2	" " "
9 Pepparu or Goomaloor	255254 "	234210 "	23589 15 9	18,658 " "	177 5 9	" " "	" " "	1,931 5 9
10 Woopparda &c.	2953 " "	8089 8	1695 " 2	1,833 12 6	886 6 6	" " "	138 12 4	" " "
11 Andrunghee &c.	3400 " "	2149 1 5	2798 12 6	2,791 6 4	649 11 1	" " "	" " "	7 6 2
Total Land Revenue	691967 15 7	531510 " 5	523152 1 10	467592 11 9	10988 " 4	19345 14 11	1,540 13 2	57,100 " 3
12 Rauly Mootahmenly reverted	43678 " "	" " "	" " "	Net Decrease 8357 14 7				55559—3—1.
Quit Rent in Manium &c.	" " "	1336 15 5	1227 14 11	45559 6 6	" " "	" " "	45559 6 6	" " "
Grand Total	735735 " 7	532846 15 10	524380 " 9	1227 14 11	" " "	109 " 6	" " "	" " "
				514380 4 2	10988 " 4	19454 15 5	47,100 3 8	57100 " 3
				Net Decrease 8466 15 1.				Net Decrease 9999—12—7.

The

26. The decrease in Fusly 1252 / 1843/44 was Rupees 8357-11-7 and in Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 there is a further diminution of Rupees 55559-3-1 of which as already noticed Rupees 54890-6-2 occurred in the Rented Villages - the remainder Rupees 668-12-11 is the result of a decrease in the Veesabady Villages and of an increase in the Asparah Villages. The Settlement of the Rawly. Estate newly incorporated with the Government Villages, being added to the Settlement in general of Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 the difference between the two Years under Review is reduced to Rupees 9,999-12-7 against Fusly 1253 / 1843/44 -

Decrease in Veesabady Villages Cr. A 8 / 135-2-5

Increase in Asparah Dr. 66-5-6

868-12-11

27. The settlement of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 amounted to Rupees 521,380-9 of which Rs. 466150-10-7 were collected up to the 31st October leaving a balance of Rupees 58229-6-2 on the 1st November. This Balance is chiefly due by Villages settled on Veesabady which the Commissioner is of opinion is "irrecoverable

Collections Fusly 1252 / 1842/43.

Collections within the Fusly 1254605-4-11.
Dr to 31st October 11545-5-8.
466150-10-7.

	Demand			Balance			Centage	
Veesabady	334757	-	-	40858	3	8	12	6/4
Asparah	54693	8	11	2100	3	11	3	13/4
Rented	867	28	13	-	7953	4	7	9	2 3/4
Quit Rent	34437	12	3	3610	13	4	10	7 2/4
Palmyrah Trees	5262	2	-	772	12	-	11	11
Grass Tax	5437	13	10	1254	13	8	23	1
Enam Tofaire	31322	14	9	1679	3	-	5 3	9 1/2
	521,380	-	9	58229	6	2	11	13/4

"irrecoverable unless recourse be had to the sale of Cattle and other Property belonging to the Defaulters, which would interfere with the realization of Current Revenue" the Board have noticed in a former Para the unsatisfactory manner in which the Settlement was made in the Veesabady Villages. Had the Jummabundy been made on the principle of excluding land which the Ryot was unable to cultivate, a considerable portion of what now appears as Balance, would not have been entered -

Para 14.

28 - About 1/2 of the Enam Tofaire remained uncollected as the Collection had been suspended by Mr. Smith pending an investigation of the complaints of the Ryots that the rule regarding excessive cultivation of Enams had been unjustly applied. The Board request the Acting Collector will be pleased to report whether this investigation has been concluded and with what result both as respects the claims which have been preferred and the amount ordered to be collected -

29 - The Balances of former years from Fusly 1242 / 1832-33. inclusive outstanding a-

ab

at the end of Fusly 1251/1841-42 was Rupees 19,244-7 of this Rupees 10,596-14-6 were collected during Fusly 1252/1842-43 of which Rupees 7,577-8-3 appertained to Fusly 1251/1841-42 leaving a Balance of Rupees 180647-2-1 at its close, the larger portion of this was due on rented Villages.

	Balance	
Rented	110,992	2 10
Aumany	69,654	15 3
	180,647	2 1

30. Of the amount of Settlement for Fusly 1253/1843-44 Rupees 5,14,380-4-2 the sum of Rupees 4,28,276-10-9 had been collected to the end of September leaving a Balance of Rupees 86,103-9-5 outstanding. In the preceding year the Balance outstanding was chiefly due by Veesabady Villages. In the present the outstanding sums are also mainly in the aggregate amount against the Rented Villages arising from the general extension of the Renting System but the Centage of Collections is less in the Veesabady Villages. The

Collections in Fusly 1253/1843-44	
Within the Fusly Rupees	4,24,049-11-2
Subsequently to the end of September	4,226-15-7
	4,28,276-10-9

	Demand	Balance	Centage					
Veesabady	57,925	6	17,528	1	30	4	2	
Assarah	134	11	37		29	9	1	
Rent	4,10,222	9	46,855	2	6	11	15	6
Dub Rent	13,275	15	1,743	10	5	13	2	3
Tax on Palmyra Trees	872	3	66	3	9	7	9	6
Grass Tax	934	12	117	3	10	12	8	9
Enam Jaffaric	10,154	10	791	11	10	78		1
	5,14,380	4	2,86,103	9	5	16	11	9

31. The demand on account of "Enam Jaffaric" is about 2/3 less than the demand in the preceding Fusly but the outstanding Balance is larger in proportion. The attention of the Acting Collector is requested to this subject, he will be pleased to report why so large a portion of the demand under this head was not realized.

32. In Para 12 of his report the Acting Collector assigns 3 Causes for the outstanding Balances. 1st. The low prices of Grain, 2d. the failure of early rains in the Hilly parts of the District and 3d. the destruction of Crops by the Godavery Freshes. With reference to the last mentioned cause he observes that "the actual mischief done by the River could not be correctly ascertained. The enquiry not having been made at the time, the misstatements and exaggerations afterwards were so glaring as to oblige the collector, in making the Aumany Settlement and in giving the Rents, to set this consideration very much aside, when determining the Remissions to be made in the one case and the reductions in the other." It is not clear how Balances can

can be due in cases in which "Remissions" and "Reductions" had been already made on account of losses incurred from the Godavery. An allowance having been once made on this account, further claims on the same amount ought not to exist. In the Puttah for Rent there is no mention of a deduction to be allowed on the contrary that for Daravaramurroo noticed in Para 23 of these Proceedings expressly provides that the Rent shall be paid notwithstanding losses arising from contingencies of season and other causes. The Board will expect further explanation from the Acting Collector on this point, also a Statement distinguishing what portion of the Balances is due on Villages rented before the Godavery Freshes and on Villages rented subsequently.

Para 72 of the commissioners report to Government dated 18th March 1844. From Govt 25th May. I Cons 17th June.

Innoor, rented for Rs	1668	for Fusly 1253/1843/44
Jagapatynagarum Do	900	for Do
	1400	for Fy 1254/1844/45.
Vamalavoda	1600	for Fy 1253/1843/44
Lamalcotah	8600	for Fusly 1253/1843/44
Bheemavaram	1100	for Fusly 1253/1843/44
Gaverah	7850	for Fusly 1253/1843/44

33 It is further desirable that it should be explained what Balances if any are due on the Villages rented by the Commissioner when in charge of Rajahmundry with the causes of such Balances.

34 The Balances of former Fusslies from Fusly 1242/1832/33 inclusive amounted to Rupees 250421—13—11. at the commencement of Fusly 1253/1843/44 of this amount Rupees 18200—10—9. of which Rupees 17514—11—11. belonged to Fusly 1252/1842/43. were collected during Fusly 1253/1843/44. To this Rupees 33115—14—7 amount of Remission granted by Government being added there is shewn a Balance of Rupees 199105—4—7 at the close of Fusly 1253/1843/44.

Minutes Cons 7th in Cons 29th Augt 1844

35. The Board would express in this place their satisfaction with the exertions of the Collector to introduce generally rents in supercession of the Ajsarah and Veesabady system but they must state at the same time their apprehensions that the object has not been attained with that improvement in the Revenue and condition of each Village which had been anticipated. The Board are disposed to make due allowance for the losses incurred from the Godavery Freshes, but these losses are confined to localities and are temporary and ought not to affect the general condition of a Village or District. The inconvenience experienced from this cause has been felt at

at all times, as the excessive and protracted inundation experienced in Fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ occurs only at intervals and the overflow of the River under other circumstances is of beneficial tendency, an occasional overabundant supply cannot operate as a permanent cause affecting general prosperity. It is important to attend to the interests of the whole body of Ryots in carrying out the System of Rents. The Board expect that the Lands will be equally apportioned among the Ryots and that the proportion to be borne by each individual will be clearly defined and that each shall enjoy the fruits of his Industry and Capital, otherwise the condition of the Village will be found to have retrograded at the termination of the Rents.

Board's Proceedings 26th Sept. 1842 Para 5

36. The Board would again impress upon the local authorities that the Kharam List must remain unaltered in the accounts till superceded by the new survey assessment.

37. They would also call attention to the subject of "Grama Kharch" or extra collections on Villages. The abolition of these is

essential to the welfare of the Revenue and of the People.

Total demand from all sources of Revenue.

38. The total demand in Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ on all items of Revenue inclusive of Peishcush and extra sources was Rupees 220,577 — 6 — 10 and the collections current and Arrears amounted to Rupees 204,673 — 5 — 10. The general result was a Net decrease of Rupees 38,411 — 1 — 3 in the Demand and a Decrease of Rupees 117,050 — 11 — 2 in the collections. The Settlement of the Land Revenue shows a diminution of Rupees 81,166 — 15 — 1 as noticed

Tabular Statement

in Para 25 of these Proceedings. The Extra sources in which there is a slight increase in Abkarry, Customs and Motunpha indicate a favorable condition of the District.

39. The charges exhibit a Net Increase of Rupees 25,413 — 7 — 1 arising from the attachment of several Estates and from increased Revenue and Police Establishments sanctioned by Government.

40. In Fusly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ the Demand on all sources was Rupees 22,00,599 — 7 — 11 of which, including arrears, the collections were Rupees 18,02,789 — 9 — 1. The demand for Land Revenue exhibits.

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

exhibits a decrease, and the extra sources sh
an increase, from all sources except Land
Customs, and Stamps, making a Net d
decrease of Rupees 3977—11—11 on the whole
The collections exhibit a net decrease of
Rupees 243883—12—9 below the former fig
occurring Chiefly under the head of Land Reven
41.

The charges in Fasly $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ were
Rupees 289848—3—4, being Rupees 75598—11
—11. below the charges of the preceding years
the whole, after deducting 875—13—7 increase
from the Rawley Estate being newly added, occa
:red in the Extra Sources.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing
Extract from the Boards' Proceedings be sub:
:mitted to Government and a transcript of the s
same be forwarded to the Acting Collector of
Rajahmundry for his information and guidance.

Read the following Letters.—

To

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

To

J. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22

From the Actg Collr of Rajahmundry—

Sir,

With reference to the
Board's Proceedings of 27th January 1845
and the Extract from Minutes of Consultation
annexed dated the 11th Idem regarding
the disposal of the Buff Accoutrements,
I have the Honor to state that none are
required for the Civil Establishments
of this District.—

Rajahmundry District,
Toony, 25th March
1845.

Signed C. S. Bird
Actg Collector.

To

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

To

T. Pycroft Esquire
Officiating Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

23

From Agent to the Govt. at Neilgherries dated 25th March 1845 forwarding Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 24th December 1844.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 27th Ultimo. I have the honor to state that I do not consider that the Buff accoutrements referred to, can with any advantage to the state, be made available for the armed Peons of the Civil Establishments. such accoutrements have never yet been in use with this description of force, and if once introduced will hereafter require to be renewed, and so entail an expenditure which appear unnecessary.

Camp Ootacamund
25th March 1845

Signed J. Scott
Agent to the Governor

Order on the two foregoing letters.—
22-24

Ordered to lie on the table
Read

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

25

From the acting Coll. of Guntoor - - - - - dated 2nd Para 1.

States that on the 28th December last one Mangaya Charloo who had been for some years Dewan under the Royapilly Zemindar was appointed by the late acting Coll. Sub or Deputy annaum of the Royapilly Talook on a monthly salary of 40 Rs. being competent as was supposed that from former experience in that Talook he could have the villages rented out to greater advantage that the appointment is an extra and unauthorized charge + mangaya charloo has in consequence been relieved from that employment. request that the Board would be pleased to obtain sanction for his pay from 23 Dec. 1844 and states that the present ameen will be required to perform the duties required of him with efficiency and has been warned that any marked deficiency in this respect will subject him to dismissal from office.

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board that on the 23rd December last, one Mangaya Charloo (who had been for some years Dewan, under the Royapilly Zemindar until the time of the surrender of his Estate to the Government) was appointed by the late acting Collector as Naib or Deputy ameen of the Royapilly Talook on a Monthly Salary of 40 Rupees. The reason given for this appointment is the former experience in the Talook of Mangaya Charloo, which it was supposed would enable him to rent out the villages to greater advantage and the ameen was directed to include his pay in his monthly account.

2. As this appointment constitutes an Extra and unauthorized charge, I have considered it my duty, to relieve Mungaya Charloo from his present employment, and I request that the Board will obtain sanction

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

sanction for his pay from the 23d December last, the date of the appointment to the 16th March, the date on which his services were dispensed with.

3 I may at the same time inform the Board that the present Ameen will be required to perform the duties required of him with efficiency, and has been warned that any marked deficiency in this respect will subject him to dismissal from Office.

Guntoor Zillah —
Collector's Cutcherry —
17th March 1845 —

/ Signed Daniel White
Acting Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

Para 1.— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council

(25-26)

To the Chief Secy to Govt — 3-3
Submitting a letter from the Acting Collr of

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Guntoor requesting sanction for the charge incurred on account of the pay of one Mungaya Charloo Deputy Ameen of the Rayapilly Talook from 23rd December 1844 to 16th March 1845 at 40 Rupees per Mensem.

2 It appears that this person who had been for some years Dewan under the Rayapilly Zemindar was appointed by the late Acting Collector on the ground that his former experience in the Talook would enable him to rent out the Villages to greater advantage, but the present Acting Collector considering that the appointment was unauthorized and that the Ameen of the Talook ought to be able to perform the duties required, has dispensed with the services of Mungaya Charloo.

3 The employment of this individual without authority was irregular, but taking into consideration the reasons which induced Mr. Lockhart to make the appointment, the Board beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for the charge amounting to Rs. 112-4-1½.

From 23d Decr 1844 to 16th March 1845 at 40 Rs. per month 112-4-1½ —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
3d April 1845 —

/ Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collr of Nellore dated 12th and entered in last 27th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To

I Guntoor Recommending that sanction may be granted for the charge of Rupees 112-4-1½ incurred on account of the pay of one Mungaya Charloo who was appointed by the late Acting Collector Mr. Lockhart Deputy Ameen of the Rayapilly Talook from 23d December 1844 to 16th March 1845 on a monthly salary of Rupees 40-0-0

1429

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

To

The Collector of

Nellore

(27)

To the Collector of Nellore

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 12th Ultimo. representing the continued falling off in your sales of Salt for inland consumption.

Answd 27th March.

2 The Board regret to learn that the Inland Sales at your Pans especially for export to the Ceded Districts still exhibit a considerable decrease as compared with the Sales for the corresponding Quarter of the previous year. They are however led to ascribe this result not so much to any very greatly increased production of Earth Salt in Bellary or Cuddapah as to the late very considerable and sudden changes in the Monopoly price of Salt, which, as suggested by the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, have tended to check operations in that branch of trade, and to circumscribe for a while the transactions of the whole sale dealers. This effect will also it is probable continue to be felt for some time after the causes which led to it have been removed.

The Board however request that you will continue to direct your attention to the subject and that you will keep them informed of any measures which may in your judgment seemed called for with a view to the improvement of this important branch of Revenue.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd April 1845.

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following Statements.

Statement

1430

Fort St. George 3rd April 1845.

(28)

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready to be exported to Calcutta for the year 1844-5 at the undermentioned Ports in the Collectorate of Nellore up to 23rd March 1845.

No.	Names of Ports.	Latitude.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1844-5.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity of Earth Salt in Store and ready for exportation.	Remarks.
1	Pakapolly	14 - 44	119,131	4½	62208	1046	56922	2566
2	Kaistapatam	14 - 10	109,137	1330	"	109137	1330	"
	Total —		228268	1742	62208	1046	166060	696

Nellore.
Collector's Cutchery,
28th March 1845.

/ Signed / J. Pycroft
Collector.

E. C.

Malamandalam

4432 Fort St George 3d April 1845.

33 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cannore from 24th to 30th March 1845.

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1844.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1845.	Quantity actually in store at the Depôt ready for exportation.	Remarks.
	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Mds.	Bengal Mds.	Bengal Mds.	
Madras	193931	167330	26601	25265 14/20	No application has been received since the date of last report. Quantity of Salt shipped up to the date of last report. Bd Mds 158210. Ship "Sophia" in Completion of her application Ship. per Bd Mds — 9120. Bd Mds. 167330.

Madras, 31st March, 1845. } C. C. Fr Chingleput & A. Budleston Collector.

Assessed

4431 Fort St George 3d April 1845.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Cuddalore in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 25th March 1845.

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation at the Beach.	Quantity remaining in the Godown ready for the Exportation of the Cottaroo.	Remarks.
For 1843 Bengal Maunds.	450,000	354,600	45,400	47,602 1/2	64,463 1/2	The Salt may be sent from the Beach Cuddalore to the Godown at Cuddalore as it may best suit the convenience of the Exportation.

Chingleput Division, Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, Trivellore, 31st March, 1845.

C. C. Fr Chingleput & A. Budleston Collector. Statement

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Order on the three abovementioned Statements (28-31) Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 5th and entered in Consultation 20th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

(32)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.—

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput, reporting the decease, on the 18th January 1845, of Elevembadoo Annasawmy Moodelly sole Shrotriemdar of Mooreechumbadoo, Cherewankum, Naloor, and Vunnepankum and joint Shrotriemdar of Elevembadoo all Villages in the Chingleput District.—

2. It will be seen that the Shrotriems of Mooreechumbadoo, Cherewankum, and Elevembadoo, were conferred upon the original grantee Mootyah Moodelly, father of

Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Chingleput reporting the decease of E. Annasawmy Moodelly sole shrotriemdar of Mooreechumbadoo, Cherewankum, Naloor, and Vunnepankum and joint shrotriemdar of Elevembadoo, all Villages in the Chingleput District & recommending that Cunneyapah Moodelly the adopted son of the late shrotriemdar be permitted to succeed to the several shrotriems

Sanctioned 20 March 1845

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Nos. 251-252. 328-360 of 1845.

of the deceased Annasawmy Moodelly, his Heirs and Successors. "but that the Villages of Naloor, and Vunnepankum were granted only for three lives. of which Annasawmy Moodelly was the second. This individual has died without Male issue, but on the day of his death is represented to have adopted his sister's Son, Cunneyapah Moodelly, a boy of ten years of age. The adoption is denied by the collateral branch of the family whose petitions to the Board on the subject are herewith forwarded, but it is declared in his will executed in the presence of several respectable witnesses on whose testimony Probate has been granted in the Supreme Court. and Mr. Freese states that he entertains no doubt that the adoption did really take place in all due form.—

3 Under these circumstances adverting to the principle maintained by the Board in their letter of 28th January and approved by Government 22d February last. in favor of the recognition of adopted sons as successors to Shrotriems in the Character of legal Heirs, they would beg

Fort St George 3d April 1845.

beg to recommend that Cunnegapah Aboo-delly be permitted to succeed his adoptive father Annasawmy in the enjoyment of the several shotrums held by the latter, as above is enumerated. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George, — — —
3d April, 1845 — — — —

I signed J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letters. —

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

From the Sub Collr in Charge of Salem

Sir,

33

1. Endorsement on Report made by the Principal Collector of Salem to the Board on the 10th September 1841 on Petition No 355 of 1841 from Munnar Iyen and others agraharamdars of Cottampaudy subject to the Talook of Salem. —

1. Endorsement on Report

Copies of the papers mentioned in the Margin having been mislaid in this Office, and the same being required for reference; I

Fort St George 3d April 1845.

Report made by the Principal Collector of Salem to the Board on the 1st July 1841 on Petition No 392 of 1841 from Rayomada Iyen and others agraharamdars of Appasamoodrum attached to the Salem Talook thereon dated 8th July and 13th December 1841. —

Salem District,
Athoor. — —
Collector's Office, —
20th March, 1845.

I signed H. A. Brett
Sub Collector
in Charge.

I have the Honor to request I may be favored with Copies of the papers in question and with Copy of the Board's Endorsements

334
Resolution thereon —

33-34

Resolved that copies of the papers referred to in the foregoing letter be transmitted to the Sub Collector in Charge of Salem.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

35

From the Collr of Salem

Sir,

I have the Honor to report for the information

1437 Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

information of the Board that I have this day taken charge of the District from H. A. Brett Esqre. Sub Collector in charge of Salem, and to transmit Duplicate of the receipt for the Treasury and Stamp Papers which I have passed to that Gentleman.—

Salem, — — — —
Collectors Cutcherry, — —
31st March, 1845.

} Signed W. C. Lockhart
Collector.

Received—

1438 Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

Received from H. A. Brett Esqre. Sub Collector in charge of Salem the sum of Company's Rupees Two Lacs seventeen thousand, three hundred and twelve, and annas four, in the following Coins.

Species	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion for 1/1 Pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
			C Rs A P	C Rs A P	C Rs A P
Silver	Company's Rs of 1835/1840	165.174	100 - -	165174 - -	- - -
	Do. — ½ — Do.	26389	50 - -	13444 8 -	- - -
	Do. — ¼ — Do.	18774	25 - -	4693 8 -	- - -
	Do. — ⅛ — Do.	1630	12 8 -	203 12 -	- - -
	Old Madras Rupees	18995	100 - -	18995 - -	- - -
	Do. — ½ — Do.	3682	50 - -	1841 - -	- - -
	Do. — ¼ — Do.	1428	25 - -	357 - -	- - -
	Do. — ⅛ — Do.	67260	12 8 -	8407 8 -	- - -
	Do. — 1/15 — Do.	1416	6 4 -	88 8 -	- - -
	Bombay Rupees	2635	100 - -	2635 - -	- - -
	Do. — ½ — Do.	1669	50 - -	834 8 -	- - -
	Do. — ¼ — Do.	673	25 - -	168 4 -	216842. 8. -
Copper	Half anna Pieces	765	3 2 -	23 14 6	- - -
	Quarter anna Pieces	2645	1 9 -	41 5 3	- - -
	one Pice Pieces	6656	- 8 4	34 10 8	- - -
	Duggaunees	38045½	- 15 $6\frac{66}{100}$	369 13 7	469. 12 -

Total Company's Rupees 217312. 4 -

31st March, 1845. E. E. Jrs. (Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Colr. Received

4439 Fort St George 3d April 1845.

Received from H. A. Brett Esqre Sub Collector in charge the undermentioned Stamp papers and Cadjans amounting in value to Company's Rupees 25581-14-..

Size Nos	Description.	Number of paper ea	Amount of Value.		Total of each Size.	
			Rs	As	Rs	As
	4 Annas Stamp Paper	1473	369	8		
	8 Do Do	3477	1738	8		
	1 Rupee Do	583	583	-		
	2 Do Do	343	686	-		
	4 Do Do	479	1916	-		
	8 Do Do	36	288	-		
2	16 Do Do	48.	768	-		
	32 Do Do	12.	384	-		
	50 Do Do	6.	300	-		
	100 Do Do	9.	900	-		
	150 Do Do	3.	450	-		
	250 Do Do	3.	750	-		
	350 Do Do	3.	1050	-		
					10183	-
		6,480				
	4 Annas Do	1	-	4		
	8 Do Do	13	6	8		
9	1 Rupee Do	5	5	-		
	2 Do Do	3	6	-		
					17	12
		22				
	8 annas Europe Decree Paper	261	130	8		
	1 Rupee Do Do	426	426	-		
2	2 Do Do Do	288	576	-		
	4 Do Do Do	5	20	-		
		980			1152	8
	1 anna Rowannah Do	27334	1708	6		
7	4 Do Do	15191	3797	12		
6	8 Do Do	6131	3065	8		
5	1 Rupee Do	2018.	2018	-		
4	2 Do Do	771.	1522	-		
3	4 Do Do	430.	1720	-		
2	10 Do Do	8.	80	-		
2	20 Do Do	1.	20		13951	10
		51884.			25304	14
		59366.				
	4 Annas Stamp Cadjans	62	15	8		
	8 Do Do	23	11	8		
	1 Rupee Do	58	58	-		
1	2 Do Do	78	150	-		
	4 Do Do	6	24	-		
		3	12		277	-
2	4 Do Do	230				
		59596.				
	Total Company's Rupees				25581	14

31st March 1845- E. E. Fort Signed W. E. Lockhart Collector- Ordered

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Order thereon -

(35-36)

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government. —

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Tanjore - -

Sir,

I have the honor to return the

(37)

Petition No. 1972 of 1842 with the following explanation. —

The Lands of the Villages in question were put up to auction for arrears of Revenue on the 9th January 1822, and that a part purchased by Circar Servants was ordered by Government to be restored to the previous owners. Between the period of the date of sale and that of the order of restoration, upwards of three years, the lands which were previously held in joint proprietorship by all the Meerasdars of the Village were by the mutual consent of the then owners devided into portions, and separate Cattays assigned to each. The Petitioner now demands as that

Fort St. George 3d April 1845. —

that the land on the same tenure which it was held previous to the sale may be restored to him, instead of the portion which fell to the purchaser according to the arrangement entered into by all the Meerasidars. This cannot be done without disturbing the present possession of all the landed property in the Village, nor does it seem just that it should be required. The restoration of land to the Original possessor was an act of grace towards him, and the portion restored to him was equivalent to the extent he previously held. The possessor during the interim of purchase and restoration was fully competent to enter into such engagements with his fellow Meerasdars, and the land as it now stands under these engagements was accepted by the original owner without demur when the order for restoration was carried into execution 13 years ago. Moreover Petitioner is not the original possessor but acquired his present right to the lands by purchase from the original Meerasdars a year subsequent to the restoration

restoration.—

The other requests contained in Petition cannot be acceded to.—

Tanjore
Vellum, } /Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.
18th March 1845. } Collector.

Resolution thereon.— Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1927 of 1842.—

(37-38) "Under the explanation furnished by the Collector of Tanjore the Board consider the request of the Petitioner to be inadmissible."—

Read the following letters—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

From the Ag. Hd. Asst. Collr. in Charge of Trichinopoly } Sir,

Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 20th Ultimo on the duties which the Tahsildars therein alluded to, have to perform.—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's proceedings dated 20th Ultimo together with the Copy of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th January 1845— calling on the Collector of Trichinopoly to state on what principle the salaries of the Tahsildars are fixed generally and why they were lower in Trichinopoly than elsewhere also wherein the

the necessity consists for increasing on them since it is not shewn that the duties cannot be effectually performed on the present rate of pay.—.

2. I beg to state that when the salary of 87½ Rupees monthly to the three Tahsildars before mentioned was first recommended the district was not under aumanie but under the system of Village rent, when the chief work was performed by the several Heads of the village in the year 1821 & 1822. the Ryotwar system was introduced. Previous to this a Circular order bearing date the 8th February 1819 was transmitted to the several Collectors submitting a revised system to be observed in the audit accounts in the Revenue Department. It is stated that a great increase had been gradually taking place in the Moyen Zumbitahs of the several districts. not however particularising the districts in which this increase had taken place, and laid down rules to be carried into effect classing the several rates of pay into

into three Clafses- Ordering that in all cases where the pay shall exceed the scale it be reduced to the next lowest rate- This was accordingly done in the three before mentioned Talooks- The Tahsildars pay by an order of the Board of Revenue bearing date 28th February 1820, being reduced from 87½ to 85 Rupees per Mensem- It also appears from a letter of Mr Lushington the then Collector bearing date 1st February 1820 that he considered the Tahsildars even then underpaid under the Head village system, so that it will be seen that as the work increased and the responsibility became greater was the emolument reduced.—

3. The fact, that these three Tahsildars the amount of whose collection equals the collection of their Brother Tahsildars in other districts whose Police duties from local accidents are even more onerous, are drawing less pay to so great an amount as the difference between 80 Rupees and 120 Rs must engender dis-content and make a good man who knows and

and feels his power desirous of removing into another district where he has so much more scope this is borne out by the frequent changes taking place in the before mentioned Talooks- The local diffeculties such as Trichinopoly being the Head quarter of the Southern Divisi-on and the Inhabitants being for the most part thieves by profession have all been pointed out by Mr Onslow in his previous Letters.—

Trichinopoly Coll.rs Office 14th March 1845 } (Signed) R. J. Sullivan
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector in charge

(39-40)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

(Read again in Cons. 7th April.)

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(41)

From the Ag. Hd. Asst. Coll.r in charge of Trichinopoly } Sir, Fort St. George

With reference to the advertisement sanctioned by the Board on the 10th February last for selling the Defaulters lands in the Conand Talook, I have the honor to report that the sale was commenced and continued till this day, but there were no bidders the land belonging to only one Individual No. 13 Named Shashadry Iyengar and Ven-Katacharry was sold- a list showing the particulars there of is herewith submitted for the confirmation of the Board.—

Trichinopoly ------- } (Signed) R. J. Sullivan
Coll.rs Office 20th March 1845 } Ag. Hd. Asst. Coll.r in Charge

List

4446 | Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

List shewing the Extent of lands sold at the Hoozoor Cutcherry on the 14th March 1845. in satisfaction of the arrears against the undermentioned Defaulters in the Conaud Talook and the Names of Purchasers. —.

Village	Names of defaulters.	amount of arrears due	Extent of land sold in Public auction	amount of Sale	Names of Purchasers.
		C. R. a P.	Cawnies Gs as C. Rupees a P.		
Mootarasanellore	Shashadry Iyengar and Venca tacharry	150 10 4	7 « 14	185 « «	Coduraday Valenpillay of Warriore 14th March 1845.
	Trichinopoly 20th March 1845	/ Signed / R. J. Sullivan. A. Z. Hd. Asst. Collector in charge.			

Resolution

4446. Post Office Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Read the Board's explanation thereon. — Directed the Board explain the value of the land belonging to Shadradiyen Iyen. and Venca tacharry referred in the foregoing letter. —.

Read Mr Assistant Collector Sullivan's report to the Board.

He will be careful to realize the balance due to Government from the proceeds of any other property belonging to the Defaulters which may be made available. —.

Ordered.

To
R. J. Sullivan Esqre.
Actg Asst. Collr.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir.

I am the honble to
Your most obedt
humble Servt

Secretary.

43

acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings dated the 6th Instant and beg to state that the Durmacurtahs of the Shree-rungum Covil gave their consent to the repairs of the drains from the Surplus funds of the Pagoda.

Trichinopoly District Mooseyr. Coll.rs Circuit Office 24th March 1845.

Signed R. J. Sullivan
Acting Head Asst.
Coll.r in Charge
Under

Proceedings thereon.
43-44

Under the explanation furnished in the foregoing letter the Board authorize the repair of the drains of the Sherungum Pro-carums at the estimated Cost of Rupees 436-8- " to be defrayed from the surplus funds of that Pagoda—The Head Assistant Collector in charge will confine himself to the simple disbursement of the sum and will in no way interfere with or control its expenditure.—

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 8th February and entered in Consultation 6th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the abovemen-tioned letter and the previous Correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.—

45
45

To The Chief Secretary to Government,

Sir,

To the Chief Sec.y to Government ---- } 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura, on the subject of the Police Establishment to be

Submitting a correspondence with the Principal Coll.r of Madura on the Subject of the Police Establishment to be maintained in the Sheveganga Zemindary of his District stating that the Police Management of

From Princ.l Coll.r	31st October in Cons.t
To Do.	28th Nov.r 1844
From Do.	28th November "
in Cons.t	20th Dec.r 1844.
To Do.	16th Jan.y 1845.
From Do.	16th January "
in Cons.t	8th February "
	6th March 1844.

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

of the Estate may remain as at present under the Zemindar's Revenue officers, the lower ranks only being appointed and paid by Govt. and recommending for sanction an establishment proposed on that account at a Monthly cost of Rupees 280-

11th Sept. 1844-

be maintained in the Shevagunga Zemindary of his District

2. By the Sunnud i milkeut Istemrar of the Shevagunga Estate the expense of the Police establishment to be maintained in it is to be defrayed by Government, and from Mr. Blackburnes letter of the 31st October last it will be perceived that the arrangement originally proposed by him, on the Zemindary being given over to its Proprietor and in which that individual concurred, was that the higher Police duties should as at present be discharged by the Zemindars Revenue ameens, and that the establishment to be supported by Government should consist only of Peons the monthly expense including Saderwared being Rupees 280-0-0 The Board were however led to doubt how far it would be expedient that the Police administration of the Zemindary should be conducted, partly by servants paid by Government, and in part by those maintained by the Proprietor, and they consequently called upon the Principal

Establishment during Rs.	as.	P
Sequestration	218	12	4
Proposed addition	41	4	4
Saderwared	20	0	0
	280	0	0

Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

Principal Collector to submit for approval a revised Moyen Zabitah, exhibiting the total Establishment which would in his opinion be required, including Police Officers of all ranks, supposing the entire administration of the Police to be conducted by servants in the pay of Government- In his letter of 8th February Mr. Blackburne has complied with their request, and has furnished a Statement of the Establishment required on the above principle at a Monthly Cost of Rupees 359 being upon the most moderate scale that he would venture to recommend- at the same time he expresses his decided preference for the arrangement which he first submitted, and states that he still continues of opinion, that in place of employing as Police ameens and others independent of the Zemindar, it would be more for the interest of Government and the advantage of the people to administer the Police affairs so long as he is willing and so long as none of his principal Talook Servants are removed or reduced in pay without the sanction of the Principal Collector

on

3. On a further consideration of this subject, adverting to the decided opinion expressed by Mr. Blackburne and to the fact that the Government after consulting with the Court of Foujdaree Udalut as to the legality of the measure, we have sanctioned in the case of the Ramnad estate an arrangement similar to that recommended by the Principal Collector for Shevagunga, the Board see cause to modify their former views upon this question, to the extent at least of acquiescing in the proposal contained in the Principal Collectors' letter of 20th December last, Viz.t, that the Police management of the Estate may remain as at present under the Zemindars' Revenue Officers, until any act on his part shall render a change of system necessary, the lower ranks only being appointed and paid by Government. Under this impression they beg to recommend that the smaller Police establishment for the Shevagunga Zemindary submitted in Mr. Blackburnes' first letter on the subject /31st October 1844/ and amounting to Rupees 280 /per mensem may receive the sanction of Government.—

	Rs
Cons.	260
Underwarad	20
	280

Revenue Bd. Office ---
Fort St. George 3d April 1845.— } /Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secy.

Read

Read again letter from the Principal Collecter of Madura dated 24th February and entered in Consultation 31st ultimo.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address.—

(46)

To the Chief Secy. to Government -} 1.

Submitting a Correspondence with the Principal Coll.r of Madura on the subject of two consignments of Chay root imported by Sea in that District on which duty has been levied as the article had been Cassia & recommending that the amount overcharged Rs. 165-12-10 may be returned to the Importers.—

From P.l Collector 6th Dec.r in Cons.s 9th January 1845
Board's Proceedings 9th Jan.y "
From P.l Coll.r 9th January in Cons.s 10th Feb.y —"
From D.o 21st January in Cons.s 10th February "
Board's Proceedings 10th D.o —"
From P.l Collector 24th Feb.y in Cons.s 31st March —"

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Principal Collector of Madura on the subject of two consignments of Chay root imported by sea into his District, on which by a mistake in the Tamul translation of the Schedules of Act VI 1844 furnished, prior to the receipt of the official version, for the guidance of the Sea Custom House servants, duty appears to have been levied as tho' the article had been Cassia. The Board beg to concur with the Principal Collector in recommending—

4454. Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

74-8-5
91-4-5
165-12-10

recommending that amount overcharged being Rupees 165-12-10 may be returned to the Importers.—

2 With regard to the Rowan= =nahs which have been forwarded by the Principal Collector it appears to the Board that the use of Export Rowannahs as vouchers for the payment of Import duty on goods imported is inconsistent and objectionable It would seem to them desirable that the practice in this respect at the outports should be assimilated as nearly as circumstances will permit with that obtaining at the Port of Madras and that the same forms of Import Duty Bills or of Import Certificates to protect Goods imported by Sea on their passing across the Frontier as are issued at the Madras Sea Custom House should also, with the necessary translations in the Native Languages, be adopted at the Sea Custom Houses in the Provinces.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d April 1845— } (Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

4455. Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Read the following letters.—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

47

From the Pl. Collr of Madura— Sir, In reference to my letter of the 21st November, I have the honor to inform you the Thoganalai Estate in the Talook of Maroongapoory was put up to sale in my Office on the 15th Instant and again yesterday; but not a bidder, came forward: and there was no fomal purchase on be= =half of Government.—

Saturday and Monday.

I have the honor to request instructions if it is now to be considered as Government land, and to inform you the allowance of 10 per Cent to the Zemindar has been paid up to the end of last Month.—

Madura 18th March 1845. (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

47-8 Resolution thereon.— The Principal Collector will be pleased to advertise again for sale the Thoganalay Zemindary and to purchase it on account of Government. He will furnish for publication in this Office the usual Adver= =tisement, fixing the day of sale with reference to the full notice required by Section XI Regulation XXVI of 1802.—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pl. Collr of Madura— Sir, A piece of Punjah land, measuring 2 1/16 Cawny, taxed at Rupees 0-5-3. being Mannien of the Madayen of the Village of Silayman, in Mayloor, having been taken to widen road No. 15. I have the honor to request you will obtain me the ne=

=cessary

necessary sanction to grant him an equivalent out of 20 year's waste of the same class and tax in the Village.—

Madura, 20th March 1845.— /Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted with the following address.—

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(49-50)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting that a piece of Poonjah land measuring 2 5/16 Cawny assessed at 0 1 5 3 the property of the Madayen of the Village of Silayanian has been taken to widen the public road and requesting authority to grant him an equivalent out of 20 years waste of the same Class and assessment in the Village.—

20th March in Com. 3d April 1845.—

The Board recommend that this arrangement may be sanctioned.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd April, 1845.— /Signed/ J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

Read the following letters.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pr. Collr. of Madura.

(51)

Sir,

In reference to my letter of the 31st January giving cover to proclamation of sale of lands of 5 defaulters in the Neliyoor Village of Madacolum Taluk, I have the honor to inform you they were duly offered for sale on the 15th Instant; when, no Villager offering, and strangers desiring time to inspect the ground, sale was postponed till the 17th when the defaulters in the 2d 4th and 5th items paid their balance, and the 1st and 3d plots were sold to Soobramanuny Moodeliar, a house holder in the town of Madura, subject to the approbation of the Board as per annexed particulars.—

Defaulters.	Total amount of arrears.			Land sold. Nunjah. No of Cuttas	Extent.		Sale.			Purchaser.	Price.		
	Rupees	d	c		Cawny	Acre	Rs	d	c		Rs	d	c
Rackeyapilley	13	1	4	1	0	11	5	4	0	Soobramanuny Moodeliar	32	0	0
Soombramanian	14	5	9	1	0	10	6	3	10	Madura	26	0	0

Madura, 24th March 1845.— /Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Collector.

The

4458 Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

Resolution thereon.— The Board confirm the sale of the Lands reported in the foregoing letter.—

(51-52)

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Principal Collr of Madura (53)

Sir,

Since his arrival in this Collectorate the Zemindar of Chokumpully has been residing at the great Pagoda Village of Thiroopparangoondrum, 4 Miles South of Madura, and after having instituted a Suit against me / of which you will be shortly duly apprised / for recovering of a piece of ground belonging to the Zemindar of Chokumpully, informs me in a letter of yesterday's date of his proceeding to Tinnevelly, which letter I have just had the honor to communicate to the Collector of Tinnevelly, furnishing him at the same time with copy of your letter to me of the 10th Instant and of all its accompaniments.

Madura, 29th March 1845.— / Signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.—

Proceedings thereon.— (53-54)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to Extract from the

4459 Fort St. George 3d April 1845.—

In Cons. 3d March 1845.

the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st March last.

No. 285.—

Read the following letter.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Collr of Coimbatore (55)

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to forward the usual Statement of Demand, Collection, & Balance for the Month February 1845 together with a Certificate of the Examination of the Treasury dated the 1st March 1845.—

2. The Treasury was not examined formally in February although it was virtually so, the closing of the accounts being deferred until the despatch of the Remittance to the Presidency on the 28th ultimo.—

Ootacamund, 25th March 1845.— / Signed / I. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

Order thereon.— (55-56)

Ordered to be recorded.—
Read the following letter.—

To J. Pycroft Esquire
Secy. to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.—

From the Collr of Malabar (57)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter dated 20th Ultimo, and to forward the Statement therein requested, of the sales of Salt in this District for Home consumption from Fusly 1230 to Fusly 1252 inclusive.—

Malabar Collr's Office, On Circuit Tirowangaddy 28th March, 1845.— / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Statement

4460 Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home Consumption from Fusly 1230 to 1252 inclusive in the District of Malabar.

Fusles.	Quantity of Salt Garce	Ps	S.	Rate of Value per Garce Rs.	A	P	Amount of Sales Rs	d	P	Remarks
1230	1,795	222	7	90	11	8 233/700	162917	10	"	The variation in the prices for these years arises from the Salt during that period having been sold with reference to the place of Manufacture Viz.t Bombay Salt at Rs. 110, Goa Ditto Rupees 100. Canara Do. Rupees 80. and Malabar Do. Rupees 70 per Garce.
1231	1,093	370	"	101	11	6 233/700	111272	9	6	
1232	1,248	214	1	97	4	8 611/700	121475	6	6	
1233	1,111	81	5	106	5	1 401/700	118142	15	2	
1234	1,739	301	"	69	15	2 245/700	121694	4	2	
1235	1,880	229	7	70	"	"	131640	2	10	
1236	1,845	129	7½	70	"	"	129172	11	"	
1237	1,802	128	7½	78	11	3 571/700	141855	15	6	The price was increased to Rs. 105 per Garce during the two last months in this Fusly
Total	12,517	76	11¼	"	"	"	1038171	10	6	
Average	1,564	259	7½	"	"	"	129771	7	4	
1238	1,539	338	5				161687	8	4	
1239	1,653	77	2				163070	13	"	
1240	1,260	386	2				132401	6	"	
1241	1,293	372	"				135862	10	5	
1242	1,367	370	8				143622	5	4	
1243	1,414	86	4				148492	11	"	
1244	1,696	200	9½				178132	12	2	
1245	1,645	343	8	105	"	"	172815	3	6	
1246	1641	366	8½				172401	5	3	
1247	1,797	119	4				188716	5	5	
1248	1,904	28	5				199927	8	"	
1249	1,982	203	4				208163	7	7	
1250	1,803	170	9				189359	14	9	
1251	1863	205	5				194619	"	2	
1252	1,905	10	6				200027	14	9	
Total	24660	99	8				2589310	13	8	
Average	1,644	6	7½				172620	11	7	

Malabar Collector's Office,
On Circuit, Sroovangaddy,
28th. March 1845.

E. E. Fs. /Signed/ H.V. Conolly
Collector.

4461. Fort St. George 3d. April 1845.

57-58 Order thereon.- Ordered to lie on the table.-

From the Collector of Malabar- Read Statement shewing

59 the Extra Tent allowance drawn by himself and subordinates for Fusly 1253.-

Ordered that the following

letter be sent in reply and Copy of the same be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.-

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

59-60 To the Collr. of Malabar. 11th July on Cons: 3d April.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Statement of Extra Tent allowance submitted by you for Fusly 1252 and to convey their sanction for the Amount drawn by yourself and subordinate Officers respectively for the Number of days noted in the Margin.-

	No of days.
Mr. H.V. Conolly Collector	115.
Mr. J.H. Crozier Sub Collector	274.
Mr. J. Silver Head Asst. "	109.
Mr. A.D. Cook Do.	257.
Mr. R.S. Garratt Do.	2.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 3 April 1845.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the Undermentioned Statements.

61 From the Acting Collr. of Ganjam- Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold from 1st. to 15th. March last.-

62 From the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold from 16th. to 31st. March last.-

From

From the Collector of Tanjore.— Statement shewing the
63 Quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th March last.—

From the Ag. Print. Collr. of Canara.— Statement shewing the
64 Quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th March last.—

From the Collector of Madras.— Statement shewing the
65 Quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 31st March last.—

Order on the fore abovementioned
61–66 Statements.— Ordered to lie over.—

Read the undermentioned Registers

From the Ag. Collector of Rajahmundry.— Register of Endorse-
67 ments passed by him on Petitions referred by
the Board for the Month of February last.—

From the Collector of Nellore.— Register of Endorsements
68 passed by him on Petitions referred by the
Board for the Month of February last.—

From the Acting Collector in the
69 Northern Division of Arcot.— Register of Endorsements
passed by him on Petitions referred by the
Board for the Month of February last.—

Order on the three abovementioned
67–70. Registers.— Ordered to be
lodged in the office.—

Resolved that the following
letters be dispatched.—

To.

To. The Collector of
Masulipatam.—

Sir.

To the Collector of
Masulipatam.
71

I am directed by the Board of Revenue
to transmit for your information Copy of Endorse-
ment by order of the Board on Petition No. 353 of 1845
from the Inhabitants of Vivanadapully in the
Dewy Estate and to request with reference to the
instructions of the Board contained in Para 2d. of
Mr. Lovell's letter to your address dated 30th May
1844, that you will furnish the Petitioners with
a Puttah defining the lands which the Petitioner
hold and the demand of Government thereon.—

Endorsement on Petition No. 353 of 1845.—

"Petitioners are referred to the Board's
Endorsement of the 28th November 1844 on their previous
Petitions on this subject. They will pay in money
in common with the whole Estate, but a separate
Puttah will be given to them for the lands they hold.—

†Nos. 843 & 1207 of 1844.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d. April 1845.— /Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

To. The Acting Collector of
North Arcot.—

72

To the Ag. Collr. in the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir.

Referring to your Predecessor's
Inlos: 10th Febp. letter dated 5th February last No. 44 requesting
sanction

1845

Fort St George 3d April 1845.

Requisition for Prefatory Sheets of Counter and Weights at the ensuing Quarterly Audit, for the Year 1845-46.

13—Y. Sam directed by the Board to say that they have to observe that as the Customs Establishments are the Province of the Supreme Government, they are not entitled to receive Stationary for the Revenue Boards established, to which necessary to take further notice of the subject.

To Collector of Madras 1846

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 3d April 1845.

I am Sir, Your most obedient Servant, Signed/ C. D. Greenway, Secretary.

(73)

To the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Petition from Cadambur Pillay for the Collectorship of Tanjore, and directed by the Board of Revenue to request that the necessary Reference may be made thereon.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 3d April 1845.

I am Sir, Your most obedient Servant, Signed/ C. D. Greenway, Secretary.

1845 April 3 — 4355 of 1845.

Petition from Cadambur Pillay for the Collectorship of Tanjore.

Letter from the Revenue Board dated 3d April 1845.

Transmitting Petition of the above mentioned Cadambur Pillay for the Collectorship of Tanjore, and requesting that the necessary Reference may be made thereon.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 3d April 1845.

Signed/ C. D. Greenway, Secretary.

1845

Fort St. George 3d April 1845.

(74)

Indent on the Commissary General Fort St. George.

Sir.

Be pleased to order the delivery of the undermentioned Articles required for the use of my Office.

Articles.	Number Indented for.	For what purpose required	Remarks.
Almirahs	4 Four	For Keeping Records.	Musters will be shewn
Blackwood Arm Chairs	12 Twelve	For the use of the Members of the Board.	at the Office.
Teakwood Chairs without Arms	12 Twelve	For the use of the Office.	
Stools	6 Six.		

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3d April 1845.

Signed/ T. Tycroft, Secretary.

Received

Received the Undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Aj. Collr. in the Northern Division of Arcot. (75) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore (76) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Aj. Collector of Ganjam (77) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Commissr. of Kurnool (78) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Malabar (79) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Nellore (80) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Sub Collr. in Charge of Salem (81) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of February last.

From the Sub Collr. in Charge of Salem (82) — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore (83) — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Acting Collr. of Ganjam (84) — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From

From the Collector of Malabar (84A) — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Nellore (85) — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Aj Pl. Collr. of Canara (86) — Stating that the Returns of persons charged with Offences and of Persons committed and in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last are not sent there being no Entry to make.

From the Collector of Madras (87) — Reporting that no Endorsement was made on any Petitions during the Month of March last.

From the Collector of Nellore (88) — Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for the Month of March last.

From the Collector of Nellore (89) — Reporting that the returns of Persons suspended or dismissed amongst the Village Moonsiffs for the Month of February last is not sent there being no entry to make.

From the Aj Collr. of Rajahmundry (90) — Reporting that the Return of Persons charged with Offences and of Persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last are not sent there being no entry to make.

From

From the Collector of Tanjore.— Informing that no persons were
91 either charged with Offences or confined in Jail
under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of February last.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.— Informing that there have
92 been no Cases of persons charged with Offences
under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of
February last.—

From the Collector of Tinnevelly.— Stating that there were
93 no Cases of persons suspended or dismissed
under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month
of February last.—

" J. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

" Goldingham

Comp. F. Boyle

No Diary.

At a Meeting Present

Monday 7th April 1845 } J. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.

A. Maclean Esqre Actg Second Member.

and

J. Goldingham Esqre Actg Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 17th December
1 1844 and entered in Consultation 20th January last.
Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Masulipatam with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 7th November 1844.

From Collr 16th Novr 1844 in Cons. 13th Jany 1845.

With reference to Para 6 the Board observe that the report on the Bezoarah and Kurroocollo Estates has been received and is now in course of transmission to Government.

Read

Read the following Letter-

To

The President and Members of the

Board of Revenue

(2)

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Gentlemen,

With reference to your Secretary's letter of the 27th Ultimo, I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to transmit to you the accompanying Certificate No. 113, for a superannuation Pension of Rupees /239-3-10/ Two hundred and thirty nine Annas three and Pies ten per Mensem, granted to Nellacunta Row, late Head Sheristadar on the Establishment of the Collector of Chingleput, with an attested copy of the same, the original for delivery to that individual, and the copy to be lodged in the records of the Collector's Office-

Fort St. George } /Signed/ J. F. Thomas.
28th March 1845. }

Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

To,

The Collector of

Chingleput

(2-3)

To the Collector of Chingleput in Cons. 27th Feby.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 18th February last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying

accompanying Certificate for a superannuation pension of Rupees 239-3-10 formerly granted to Nellacunta Row late Head Sheristadar on your Establishment, with an attested Copy of the same, the original for delivery to that individual and the Copy to be lodged in the records of your Office-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 7th April 1845. } /Signed/ T. Pycroft

Secretary-

Read the following Extract-

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th March 1845-

(4)

Read the following Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 27th February 1845 No. 143/

Referring to the report furnished by the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Salem of the circumstances under which the water of the Tank at Malagherry was left off, the Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion with that Officer, that the proceeding of Overseer Johnston was irregular, and that any emergent circumstances requiring the preservation of the water in that reservoir, should have been in the first instance brought to the knowledge of the Principal Collector-

/A true Extract/

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue-

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas

Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

4-5

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Salem with reference to Mr. Britts letter dated 22d February last.

In Cons. 6th March 1845.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry under date 30th January 1845, forwarding the original record of his decision passed under Regulation IX 1822 in the case of Sawmara Veneat Row late Manager of the Cottah Talook Goodemettah Venkataraydoo late Shroff and Canchy Nunund Row late Head Muddutgar of the same, charged with misappropriating public money and keeping false accounts, transmitting also appeal Petitions against his proceedings, preferred by the two first named Defendants.

In Cons. 6th March

Read again also letter from the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry dated 7th February last forwarding the Appeal Petition against his judgment in the same case, presented by Canchy Nunund Row the third Defendant.

6

[Here enter No. 18]

Proceedings thereon.

Modifying the sentence passed by the Collr. of Rajahmundry under Regulation IX of 1822 against S. Venkat Row late Manager of the Cottah Talook G. Venkataraydoo late Shroff and C. Anund Row late Head Muddutgar

The record of this case forwarded by the Acting Collector clearly shews, that the first Defendant has been guilty of various irregularities whilst entrusted with the Management of the Cottah Zemindary. In many instances, the money sent to the Talook to be

Modifying the same and directing the Actg. Collr. to have a vigilant supervision and control over the proceedings of the Talook Amuns in general.

be distributed as Maramut or Tuccavie advances seems to have been at once carried to account in satisfaction of the outstanding balances; Tuccavie and Maramut bonds in the usual Form being at the same time taken from the Villagers, and the amount being left for subsequent realization. The object of this measure seems to have been, to increase the apparent collections of the Talook, and thus avert its threatened sale for arrear of revenue. but such a diversion of the funds intended for the improvement of the Estate, could not but have had a prejudicial effect upon its cultivation. In some cases, prior to the receipt of the Maramut advances from the Collector's treasury the Manager appears to have borrowed money from the more substantial ryots for the execution of the required repairs. and in one instance at least the loan to the extent of Rupees 105-9-9 was not repaid. He is also shown to have received from the Hoozzoor 60 Rupees Davastanum Money and to have neglected or delayed to bring it duly to account. The second and Third Defendants are shewn to have assisted the first in the proceedings abovementioned and to have made false entries, in the public Accounts to cover his

his irregularities. The Acting Collector has convicted all three Defendants the first of misappropriation of public Money the Second and third of keeping and signing false accounts. he has dismissed them from their situations and has adjudged them under Regulation IX. 1822 to be fined the first 500 Rupees commutable to 6 Months and the two last 50 Rupees each commutable to four Months imprisonment.

2. The conduct of the Defendants as developed in the enquiry now reported to the Board has undoubtedly been highly irregular and improper. Adverting however to the peculiar circumstances under which the 1st. Defendant was placed in the management of the Estate and considering that in no case does there appear reason to suspect that the funds diverted from their proper objects have been appropriated either by that individual himself or by the other Defendants to their own private purposes. that the sums in question seem to have found their way back to the public Treasury without causing any direct loss to Government, and that the parties have been dismissed from their situations the Board are induced so far to modify the sentence of the Acting Collector as

as to limit the fine imposed upon the several parties to a sum equal to the amount of their respective salaries for one Month, commutable in default of payment to imprisonment for that period. The Manager will however still be held responsible for the 60 Rupees Davastanum money which he neglected to carry to the account of the Village of Nursakapully and for the sum Rupees 105-9-9 borrowed from certain Ryots for the Sunka Maramet and not repaid to them. Those Ryots in the Villages / Shankapilly Sunka- Nullore Coormapoor / who admit having received the Suekavie money will of course be made answerable for it. In the others it must remain as a balance to be adjusted hereafter.

3. The assertions of the District authorities in the investigation of the present case have disclosed a lax system of administration in the Estate Estate, which the Board fear can hardly be confined to the Management of that Zemindary only. The attention of the Collector should be directed to the subject and to the necessity of vigilant supervision and control over the proceedings of the Zuftly Ameens in general, both by a strict scrutiny of their accounts at the

the

Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

the Huzzoor Cutcherry and by careful enquiry and examination of the Village Accounts on the part of the Sheristadars Establishment at the time of the Settlement or whenever the Collector may visit the Estates. In particular, the distribution of the Maraimut and Tuckavie advances. the latter more especially should always, as far as practicable, be superintended by the Collector or one of his assistants in person.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions 2 and 3 in No: 433 and Petition 1 in No: 518.

Endorsement. "Petitioners are referred to the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry to whom instructions have been sent regarding them".

Read again letter from the Collector of Masulipatam submitting an appeal Petition from Mullapoody Venkanah late Doom Goomastah attached to the Hoozoor Sayer Division of that District against an decision passed upon him under Regulation IX of 1822.

27th January 1845
Rec'd 31st March 1845

(7)

Proceedings thereon. Requesting the Coll: of Masulipatam to submit an Abstract of the Case of Mullapoody Venkanah late Doom Goomastah attached

/ Have enter No: 13 /

Before proceeding to dispose of the appeal submitted by Mullapoody Venkanah the Board request that the Collector will furnish a brief Abstract Statement of the

Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

Abstract to the Hoozoor Sayer Division to be transmitted under Regulation IX of 1822 directing him to explain the Charges which have prevented the proceedings

the case together with any additional observations he may desire to make upon the evidence adduced both for and against the appellant, and also a translated Copy of the Original Decrees in both the two instances of Malversation with which the Goomastah stood charged.

The Board also request the Collector to state the reasons of the great delay which has occurred in every stage of the Proceedings held in the case. They observe that the original complaint preferred by certain Weavers of Rammaidpettah the Appellant is stated to have been made on the 22nd of July 1842 and that he was suspended from the service on the 3rd of August following. From which date the investigation commenced and seems to have been protracted over a period of nearly twelve Months as the Collectors decision bears date the 8th of August 1843. Again the appeal Petition is dated the 11th of September of the same year but was not dispatched to the Board until upwards of twelve Months had elapsed and was only received in the Board's Office on the 5th (last) of February 1845.

The Board moreover direct the attention of the Collector to the total omission of this case in the Monthly returns of persons charged.

Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

charged under the Regulation above quoted and which have been regularly transmitted from this District without any reference having been made in any single return from the year 1842 downwards to the case now under consideration.

Until they are in possession of the abstract of the Case now called for and are informed of the causes which have protracted to the undue length of time above noticed the proceedings against Mullapoody Venkanah the Board will postpone any further remarks on the case.

7-7A

Order thereon,

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam.

To J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

8

From D. White Esqre
Jr. Collr of Guntoor.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that in consequence of a communication received from the Commissioner, I have considered it necessary to suspend from Office Tolati Kistnamah Ameen of Chaybole, who is reported to be deeply implicated in the irregularities which have been for some time past carried on in that Talook.

Guntoor Collr Cutcherry
1st April 1845.

/Signed/ D. White
Jr. Collector

Resolution thereon.

8-9

The Board approve of the measure taken by the Acting Collector and will await the issue of the enquiry into the conduct of the Ameen Tolati Kistnamah.

Read

Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft. Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From H. D. Davis Esqre
Collr of South Arcot....

Recd 17th Feby 1845
Dis. No. 9
Govt. No. 94

1845.

10

Parat. In returning the Petition received with your letter, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board and Government, that part of the Maniem land claimed by the Petitioner, being "Tarapady Enam," or of a charitable nature, appears to embrace the provisions of Regulation IV of 1831, and the other part, according to the survey accounts, being "Vadaverthe Maniem" or a grant allowed for teaching the Vedas to Brahminboys. Altho' the land is entered in the accounts as service Enam, yet, from the nature of the duties attached to it, it may be considered of a religious description under Regulation VII of 1817.

2. The Petitioner states that the Enam under dispute, was a share which one of his uncles enjoyed, who, dying without issue or an heir, has been taken possesion of and enjoyed by his defendant Krista Iyengar, a Member of the family, by fictitiously taking his deceased Uncles name; but the defendant alleges that his name is Kristna Iyengar, alias Vathantha Iyengar, and that, by a deed of the division of the family

family property executed many years ago between himself and the Petitioner, the latter is not entitled to any share in it, the Petitioner refusing to admit the defendants' assertion, the dispute is therefore, clearly of a civil nature.

3. The order of Government does not appear necessary for prosecuting the defendant for the recovery of any share in the "Vadavar thee Enam," and I am not aware of any objection existing for allowing the Petitioner to seek redress in the civil Court for the recovery of any portion of the Enam falling under Regulation IV of 1831.

South arcot,
Coll^n Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
14th March, 1845.

/ Signed / W. D. Davis
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated the 7th February last on Petition No 94 of 1845, I am directed by the Bd of Revn to forward the accompanying letter from the Coll^r of South Arcot dated the 14th ultimo, from which it will be seen that a part of the Manium land claimed by the Petitioner is of a charitable nature, falling under the provisions of Regulation IV of 1831, but the other part is a Religious Endowment coming within the scope of Regulation VII of 1817.—

There does not therefore appear to be any objection to Petitioner being allowed to prosecute his suit in the Civil Court, regarding the former portion of the Enam, but in respect of the latter the permission of Government is not required.

Revn Board Office,
Fort St George,
7th April, 1845.

/ Signed / S. Pycroft
Secretary.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

10-11

Infm 7 April 1845

Read

12

From the Coll^r in the S^t D^t of Arcot

Returning Petition No 41 of 1844 from Syed Hoossaine oodeen Khan with a report thereon.

D 5th January 1845. Petition of Syed Hoossaine oodeen Khan with a report thereon.

Read the following letter—

To S. Pycroft Esq^re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

Para 1. On the subject of the claim set forth in the Petition, received with your letter for the recovery of 9 C^s 14/18 of Nunjah sunnud Enam land of a charitable nature, as being originally given to the paternal Grandfather of the Petitioner, Syed Hoossain-ood din khan, I have the honor to state, for the information of the Board, that on enquiry being made into the subject by my predecessor, it appears that this Enam was entered annually in the Enam Summahbundy accounts, in the name of Syed Ahamed until Fusly 1222, and that, on his death, it was continued in the same account in the name of one Mahamed Hussanee, "Navasay" / grand son by a daughter / from Fusly 1223 to 1249, who held a greater portion of the land in his possession for a period of 27 years, and enjoyed its proceeds.—

2. The individual dying in Fusly 1250 without issue, leaving only a Widow, the Petitioner and others, as well as the widow, disputed the right of succession; the former on the plea that the last incumbent was not a lineal descendant of the male line, to the original grantee, and the latter, that the said grantee was the paternal Grand Father of her late husband.

x Abeebeebaibah

My-

fr-

4482 Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

3. My Predecessor finding the Petitioner to have been in possession of 3 c 2¼ a of land out of 7 16. the entire Enam, from fusly 1247, decided that the portion of land in the possession of each party should remain undisturbed until the Petitioner can establish his right, before the Civil Court, or Cauzee, on the parties agreeing to abide by the Officers decision.

4. The Cauzee of Porto Novo, in accordance with the orders of the Government, having given a Hyfeethnamah respecting the disposal of the disputed Enam, solely on hearing the Petitioner's pretensions without any reference to that of the widow, or taking an agreement from her to the above effect, I informed the Petitioner that I could not dispose of the matter, or compel the parties to conform to the tenor of the document in question, from the irregular manner in which the proceedings of that officer had been conducted.

5. As the Enam appears to fall under Regulation IV of 1831, and from the circumstances above stated, I am not aware of there being objection to the Petitioner seeking redress in the Civil Court, and in the event of the decision of the Court terminating in favor of the Widow, I think, the land should revert to Government on her demise, in consequence of her having no issue.

South Arcot
Coll.r Cutcherry
Cuddalore
14th March 1845.

/ Signed / W. D. Davis
Collector.

Ordered

4483 Fort St. George 7th April 1845.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

(12-13)

Sir,

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

With reference to the orders of Government dated the 30th December 1844 on Petition No.1798 of that year, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot dated the 14th ultimo, from which it will be seen that the Petitioners claim relates to a portion of Nunjah sunnud Enam lands of a charitable nature, originally granted to his paternal Grand-Father Sied Hoossain oodeendkham - which afterwards descended to one Mahomed Hoossain, who died without issue in Fusly 1250. The right to the land is now contested for by the widow of the deceased, the Petitioner, and others.

Bd. No.45 of 1844.

Salem 7th April 1845.

The Enam in question is stated by the Collector to come within the scope of Regulation IV of 1831, and there would appear to be no objection for permission being granted to Petitioner to prosecute his suit regarding it in the Civil Court, in the event, however, of the decision being in favour of the widow

The-

on Pet.n No.1186 of 1842.

The Board concur in opinion with Mr. Davis that as she has no issue the lands should, on her decease, revert to Government.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th April, 1845.

(Signed) I. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Acting Head assistant Collector in charge of Trichinopoly dated 11th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 3d Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To
The Collector of
Trichinopoly.

(14)

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Requesting him to submit such further elucidation of the labor and responsibility devolving on the Tahsildars of Conaud, Laulgoody & Soryore as may best serve to bring his recommendation for an increase to their salary within its full merits under the reconsideration of the Supreme Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your Acting Head assistants letter of the 11th Ultimo, furnishing the information with regard to the Tahsildars of three Talooks in your District called for in the Board's Proceedings of 20th February 1845.

2. The Board observe with satisfaction the promptitude with which Mr. Sullivan has replied

replied to their requisition, and the attention which he has paid to the subject. It has however occurred to them that as the measure is one of great importance, and one in which you take much interest, it would be satisfactory to yourself to have an opportunity of offering such further explanation as you may deem called for, and of submitting any additional remarks which your long acquaintance with the District may suggest to you. You will observe that the points on which reference was made to you in the Boards' Proceedings of 20th February last are, 1st, Why the salaries of Tahsildars are lower in Trichinopoly than elsewhere. 2dly, wherein consists the necessity or expediency of raising them - it not having been shewn that the duties cannot be efficiently performed on the present rates of pay. In treating on these particular questions it would however, in the opinion of the Board, be desirable that you should enter in some detail into the nature and extent of the duties both Revenue and Police which fall upon the Conaud, Laulgoody and Soryore Tahsildars. The area, population, and revenue of the Talooks under their charge, the public works

of-

of irrigation &c. under their superintendence with any such further elucidation of the Labor and responsibility devolving on these officers as may best serve to bring your application in their behalf on its full merits under the reconsideration of the Supreme Government.

Revenue Board office,
Fort St. George,
7th April 1845.

(Signed) S. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 3d Feby. and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address

To

15

To the Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collr. of Tinnevelly forwarding translation of a decree passed by the Principal Sudr. Ameen at Tinnevelly in certain Suit regarding the claim of one Vydelingum Chetty to the Village of Pommiapooram in the Shevagunga Zemindary stating that the circumstances of the Case seem fairly to justify the belief that an attempt has been made under the plea of exacting the penalty of a Bond to claim a Necessity right in a Village on which no such right has ever existed and recommending that the Sanction of Govt. may be given to enable the Collr. to prefer an Appeal to the higher Court.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 3d February 1845 forwarding a translation of a Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen at Tinnevelly and a translation of an appeal Petition which he proposes to address to the Civil Court.

2. The circumstances which have led to the suit against which the appeal is now proposed to be made, were first brought to the notice of Government by the Board in their Secretary's letter dated 21st December 1837, and, adverting to the correspondence with the Collector of Tinnevelly noted in the Margin, it is desirable to revert briefly to the cause which led them to suggest, that an application might be made to the Sudr Adawlut to restrain the Auxiliary Court at Tinnevelly from enforcing a Decree passed by the Sudr Ameen in Suit No. 129 of 1834. This suit was brought by one Vythealingum Chetty against

From the 26th July in Cons. 29th Augt. 1836
Proceedings 9th Augt. 1836.
From Sub. Collr. 5th in Cons. 19th Octr. 1837

against 25 inhabitants of a Village called Bommiapooram in the Talook of Mreevgoontum and claiming the entire village on the ground that the Defendants had borrowed a sum of money from Plaintiff, and on their own behalf and that of others had executed a bond, stipulating that on failure of payment within 15 years, this village should be made over to him (Vythealingum Chetty) a copy of the Decree of the Court awarding the village to the Plaintiff being sent to the Collector under Section XI Regulation III of 1802 and on the supposition that the Village was held on Merrassy tenure directions were given to Register the Village in the name of the Plaintiff. On further enquiry however it was found that the village in question was not of the description declared by the Court but was Be. Meerassy having no Merassy tenure attached to it, and a conformity to the Decree would therefore involve an abandonment of the just rights both of the Government and of the Ryots. A discussion between the Judicial and Revenue authorities of the District followed, which ended by the Assistant Judge peremptorily enjoining the registration of the Village as of

of Bommiapooram in the name of Vythealingum Chetty or the institution of a suit on the part of Government to set aside and cancel the Decree.

3. The Board proposed that a reference should be made to the Sudr Adalut, to restrain the Auxiliary Court from enforcing the registry of the village in the name of the Plaintiff on the ground that it was a more desirable course to pursue than the institution of a suit in Court. The Government were however pleased to doubt the propriety of this measure and according to their order the Collector was instructed to appeal against the Decree, and to apply to the Court to suspend the order for registration while the appeal suit was pending. A suit was accordingly filed by the Acting Collector ex officio in the Auxiliary Court to set aside the Decree which so materially affected the right of Government. At the same time the Acting Collector suggested that this suit might be withdrawn and that the opposite party claiming the village of Bommiapooram should be left to bring an action to prove that they were the

20th Jany. 1838
Subsists 25th

25th Sept.
Subsists 14th Feb. 1839

the proper owners of the village and not the Government. The Board acceded to this suggestion which however does not appear to have been adopted.

4. The Board would observe that no sanction has been formally obtained to prosecute the cause at the Public expense - but a transcript of the orders of Government having been furnished to the Collector for his guidance; - He was directed to give them effect which he seems to have considered as conveying sufficient authority for the immediate institution of the suit.

25th Jany 1838

5. In reference to the point at issue it is of importance to revert to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board on the Settlement of Simmelly for Fusly 1235: and to the opinion recorded therein on the subject of Villages in which meerassy right did not exist "the lands being held directly by the "habitants from the Government with "right of occupancy but not of Sale." and contrasting the opinions and statements of two Collectors on the same subject at different

dated 8th Feby 1827 Para 37

Para 37.

Mr Lushington 29th Dec. 1800 in Consn 22 Jany 1801 Para 96.

different periods, The Board were led to draw the inference that much land which was formerly considered to be held immediately from the Government (Viz. Peramboke) had been surreptitiously included under Meerassy, and their inference was corroborated by facts which had been brought to light in the Settlement of Fusly 1235. The circumstances of the present case seem fairly to justify the belief that an attempt has been made under the plea of exacting the penalty of a bond to claim a Meerassy right in a Village in which no such right has ever existed - and thus to alter the nature of the landed property of the village, and to deprive the Ryots not connected with the suit, of the right of occupancy at the pleasure of the Government without the extra demand of Swamy Bhogum.

Mr Cotton 23 Nov. 1818 in Cons. 7th May 1818.

6. The Draft of the appeal proposed to be submitted to the Civil Court thro' the Government Vakeel is necessarily of great length to meet the various points which have been urged in the Decree of the Principal Sudr Ameen and to explain the

Fusly 1235. A.D. 1825/26

the accumulation of documentary evidence &
which is favorable to the appeal. The Board
will only remark on two material points
by which it can be supported. first &
that in their opinion there can be no
doubt the Village of Bommiapoorum
was included in the number of 19 Villages
situated in the Sheengoontum Talook in
which it was reported by Mr. Cotton
the Collector in 1818 that Meerassy Privileges
did not exist and secondly to use the
words it contained in the translation
of the proposed appeal Petition that &
"neither the persons who executed the
"Bond upon which the Village of Bommia-
"poorum is claimed, nor those that attested
"their signatures to it have pleaded in
"their defence, that of the persons who are
"said to have signed the deed some died
"before the date of the Bond. that the
"three persons who enjoy land yielding a
"trifling teerva to Government of Pr. 57-V.
"add are neither those who executed the
"Bond or those who attested the signatures
"to it, and that all that are concerned in the
"Bond have not even a small portion
of

28th Apl. in Cy. 7th May 1818

8th Para of the Draft of appeal Suit q.

of land or habitation at Bommiapooram &
"or Pattah or Pullee ever since fusly 1211".-
The attention of the Civil Judge is drawn
to these facts and the Board presuming
that the Collector can have no difficulty
in substantiating these as well as the other
grounds of his defence do not hesitate to
recommend that the sanction of Government
may be given to enable him to prefer &
his appeal.-

Revenue B. Office,
Fort St. George,
7th April, 1845.

(Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

J. Sycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

16

From J. A. Huddleston Esqre
Coll. of Madras - - -

Para 1

To B. 12th April 1845
From D. 29th Di

Reporting that nearly the whole quantity of Salt authorized to be supplied for export to Calcutta by a letter dated 9th April 1845 has been already shipped requesting in case it should be ascertained to be manufactured so that the same should not be disposed of in the usual manner and stating that if unobjectionable an additional supply of 10000 Candies can be got ready to be conveyed to Calcutta at the commencement of the ensuing season

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to bring to the notice of the B. of Rs that of the 19931 mds of Salt authorized to be supplied for Export to Calcutta the whole has been already shipped, leaving in Store a residue of only 1158 mds 14/20 I have in consequence been under the necessity of refusing two applications which have just been made to me one for 14000 and the other for 9561 mds

In

† Sanctioned by Government vide the 7th Jan.y 1845.

2. In my Statement of proposed Saccaiy advances* under date the 15th November last, I suggested the expediency of manufacturing G. 2,500 for export including the quantity then available for the purpose viz.t 1,13,741 Mds the additional quantity to be stored now on that account is thus 1,86,259 Mds. It is my intention to take immediate steps for removing from the pans as much of this supply as circumstances will admit of the passage of heavily laden Boats from the places of manufacture along the line of the River to the Depot at Ennore, being comparatively easy where as the navigation of the canal for the conveyance of Salt to Madras is at this time of the year, somewhat difficult.

2500 G. equal to 3,00,000 Mds 1,13,741 / 1,86,259

3. From the repairs recently made to the pans and the quantity of Salt already scraped I have every reason to hope that in a few days, there will be a sufficiently large store of it heaped in the platform at Ennore for delivery to exporters. I therefore request the Board's instructions as to whether I may consider myself at liberty to meet all future applications from the 1,86,259 Mds referred to above, it being evident that the whole will be shipped off in about two months

The quantity now in Store there is G. 859-17-5/4.

5. I take this opportunity to report that, in the event of the weather continuing favorable, I shall be enabled, with the sanction of the Board, to get a further supply of 1,000 G. manufactured in the present year, to be removed to Ennore at the close of the Season, for export to Calcutta, so that it may be held in readiness for shipment in the early part of the ensuing year.

Madras, - - -

(Signed) J. D. H.[illegible]

From the Asst. Collr. of Canara. Reporting that there were
(24) no seizures of smuggled tobacco during the Month of December last.

From the Asst. Collr. of Ganjam. Stating that the whole of
(25) the accounts referred to in the Board's letter of the 17th Instant has been already forwarded with the exception of the Statement of Contingent Charges.

From the Collr. of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand Collection
(26) and Balance for the Months of February last.

From the Collr. of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the
(27) average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.

From the Collr. of Nellore. Stating that no revenue
(28) defaulters were either confined or released from Jail during the Quarter ending 31st March 1845.

From the Asst. Collr. of Rajahmundry. Stating that no public
(29) Servants were either suspended or dismissed from Office during the Month of February last.

" E.P. Drury

" A. Maclean

" Goldingham

Compd. by [illegible]

Diary.

8th April

From the (1) Collector of Bellary. Received letter submitting an application from this Head Assistant Mr. J. H. Goldie requesting leave of absence for two Months on private affairs under the provisions of Section II and XII of the amended absentee Rules, in addition to the period allowed him to join his station.

Order thereon. (1-2) Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Thursday 10th April 1845.

At a Meeting Present

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

A. Maclean Esqre Ag. Second Member

and

John Goldingham Esqre Ag. Third Member.

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th January and entered in Consultation 3d February last.

Ordered.

Fort St. George 10.th April 1845

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence.—

3

To the Chief Secy. to Government } Para 1.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir:

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes of Consultation 28.th January 1845 in Para 3 of which the Board are requested to report, whether the measure suggested by the Collector of Chinglepat as a check upon fraud in the sales of Salt, viz.t the issue of a Circular order to the Collector of the different Districts to return all Salt Rowannahs to the Collector of the District from which they were issued could be acted upon without interference with the freedom of trade.—

2. In reply the Board direct me to state that it appears to them to be questionable whether the delivery of the Salt Rowannahs as contemplated in the arrangement proposed by Mr. Freese could be made compulsory upon the Salt Merchants - Regulation 1. 1805 provides for the confiscation of any Salt which may be unaccompanied by a proper Rowannah - but not for the delivery of the Rowannah when the Salt

Stating with reference to Para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th January last that the Board think that the measure proposed by the Collector of Chinglepat as a Check upon fraud in the Sales of Salt could not be resorted to with advantage.—

L. Cons 32 July

Report of Extra sources of Revenue for Fusly 1253 (21st October 1843) Para 3 in Cons 28th —"—

Fort St. George 10.th April 1845

Salt covered by it may have been sold or consumed. Under the late Sayer System indeed, Rowannahs protecting goods which had paid the inland duty were under Clause IX Section XVII Regulation 1. 1812 to be delivered up to the Chokeydars on the arrival of the goods at the ultimate destination specified in such Rowannahs — and this requisition was, the Board believe, in practice extended to the case of Salt Rowannahs, altho, as Salt is by Section X. Regulation 1. 1805 exempt from transit duty, it seems doubtful how far it was properly applicable - Regulation 1. 1812 has now been rescinded by Act VII 1844. the Inland Sayer establishments have been discontinued - and admitting that the delivery of Salt Rowannahs could be legally enforced (which to the Board seems questionable) it does not appear to them by what agency the measure could be satisfactorily carried out - If the duty of collecting these certificates were confined to the Thasildars and superior Talook servants who are frequently called from their Cusbah stations or large Towns in their Talooks on business into the interior, the returns would be imperfect if such power were given to the lower Revenue and Police Officers, Peons &c.a, it might lead to annoyance and extortion

extortion which might in some measure prejudice the Salt trade and in the end tend to raise the price of that article to the consumer. Under these considerations the Board are led to think that the measure proposed by the Collector could not be resorted to with advantage.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
10th April 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo.—

Order thereon—

(4)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation 28th March 1845 be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance and in reference to his letter of 27th January last Mr. Bird will be pleased to give immediate effect to the orders contained in Para 3 for the grant of the Monthly allowance of 100 Rupees to the Cotah Zemindar. He will also communicate with Jugganadaraauze and ascertain whether that individual be willing to surrender his Estate to Government and to accept in lieu of it a reasonable annual allowance. In the event of his acceding to this proposal the Board request to be favored with the opinion of the Acting Collector as to the Amount at which this Pension

Pension should be fixed Mr. Bird will also state whether under all the circumstances the surrender of the Estate or its sale for arrears of public revenue appear to him the more eligible course. The Board will represent to Government the circumstances stated in Para 12 and 13 of the acting Collectors' letter of 27th January Viz. that the Cotah Zemindar has been deprived by his creditors of the garden and dairy lands alluded to in Para 3 of the within Extract. and will leave it for the consideration of Government whether his Monthly allowance should be raised in consequence. They will also apply for copy of the Commissioners' report or for such parts of it as may relate to "the Fees and presents to public servants" mentioned in the last Para of the Extract. on receipt of which they will communicate further with the District authorities in respect to the enquiries to be instituted.—

Read again also letter from the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry dated 27th January and entered in Cons. 31st Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.—

(5)

To the Chief Secy. to Government—
Submitting with

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

with reference to the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 28th Ultimo a letter from the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry stating that subsequent to the enquiry of the Commissioner the Zemindar of Cotah has been stripped by his Creditors of all benefit and interest in his garden and Sary lands and that he must henceforth be indebted for his entire means of support to the sole bounty of Government also requesting that Copies of such parts of the Communication of the Commissioner as may serve to throw light upon the circumstances relative to the Conduct of the Public servants suspected of having received Fees or Presents from the Zemindar be allowed to the Board to assist them in the enquiry they are directed to institute on the subject.

27th January in Cons 31st March 1845 Paras 12 & 13.

Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract, Minutes of Consultation under date 28th March 1845 communicating instructions on various points connected with the Cotah Zemindary of the Rajahmundry District.

2. In reply the Board direct me to state, that reference has been made to the Acting Collector on the several matters discussed in the Extract now acknowledged and on the required information being furnished they will take the earliest opportunity of submitting for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council their sentiments in regard to the course to be pursued towards the Estate - The Acting Collector has further been requested to give immediate effect to the orders contained in Para 3 of the Extract, which authorize as a temporary arrangement, the payment of a Monthly allowance of 100 Rs to the Zemindar for the maintenance of himself and family - The Board however observe that the allowance thus granted to the Zemindar, would seem to have been limited to 100 Rupees per Mensem, on the supposition of his being still in the possession of his garden and sary lands - From the accompanying letter of the Acting

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Acting Collector. it will be perceived, that such is not now the case - that subsequently to the Commissioners enquiry, Iggavada rawz has been stripped by his creditors of all benefit and interest in those lands, and must henceforth be indebted for his entire means of support to the sole bounty of Government - Under these circumstances, the Board have been induced to forward Mr. Bird's letter, in which the actual extent of the distress to which the Zemindar has been reduced is clearly explained with the view that Government being fully informed of the real position of the Zemindar may be enabled to pass any further orders on his case which may appear to them to be called for - The extent and value of the Sary & Cu. lands attached to the Cotah property have not been stated - but it will be seen that those annexed to the other or Ramachendrapooram Sub Division of the old Zemindary

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Zemindary, are 91-6- Pattias bearing an estimated Cist of 1,635- Rupees.—

3. In the concluding Para of the Extract Minutes of Consultation now under acknowledgment the Board are directed to institute enquiries in respect of the conduct of some Public Servants, who would appear to be suspected of having received Fees or presents from the Zemindar- It is presumed that the investigation has been deemed necessary in consequence of some facts disclosed in the report of the late Commissioner or in the papers which may have accompanied it- but as the Board have not these documents upon their records, they beg to request that Copies of such parts of the communication of the Commissioner as may serve to throw light upon the circumstances alluded to, may be allowed them for the purpose of assisting them in the enquiry.—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George, ----
10th April, 1845 --

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Extracts.—

Extract

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

From the Chief Secy. to Government— (6)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th March 1845.—

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 359 dated 14th November last, I am directed to transmit for the information of the Most noble the Governor in Council at Fort St. George the accompanying Copies of a letter from the Superintendent of the Indian Navy No. 93 dated 6th Instant and its enclosures, relative to the working of the Anna Malla Forest—

Bombay Castle ---
24th February 1845-

/Signed/ I. L. Melvill
Lieutenant Colonel-
Secretary to Government-

A.

To The Honorable Sir. Geo= Arthur. Bt. K. C. B.
President and Governor in Council-

Honorable Sir,

Para 1. With reference to Secretary Lieutenant Colonel Melvill's letter No. 1547 of the 11th December last, enclosing for my report thereon Copies of a correspondence that had been received from

from the Government of Fort St. George, relative to the working of the Anna Malla Forest, I have now the honor to state to your Honorable Board that I referred the subject to the Government Agent for the purchase of Timber at Calicut, whose reply is herewith enclosed, from which it will be seen that he considers the best mode of working the Forest in question will be by means of a Government Establishment, in which view of the question, I beg to state my concurrence.—

2nd I also do myself the honor to submit herewith a further Communication No. 391 of the 31st December last received from Captain Williams, together with the Copies of correspondence therein referred to as having taken place between himself and the Collector of Coimbatore on the subject of the working of the Anna Malla Forest.—

Superintendent's Office
Bombay 6th February 1845.

/Signed/ John Pepper.
Captain and acting Superintendent
I. Navy.

To
Captain I. Pepper.
Acting Superintendent I Navy.
Bombay

Sir,

As connected with the subject of my

9/21. 5 Dec.r

my letter of this days' date No. 389 — I have the honor to forward the accompanying Copy of a communication received from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore and of my reply thereto, which I trust may meet your approval.—

Govt. Agents Office,
Beypoor 31st December 1844.

/Signed/ A. S. Williams
Captain I. N.
Government Agent.

To Captain I. Pepper.
Acting Superintendent I. Navy.
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt (on the 28th instant) of your letter No. 1987 of the 19th instant, transmitting a correspondence relative to the working of the Anna Malla Forests in the Coimbatore District and directing me to report thereon.—

Letter from the Sec.y to Govt. in the Marine Department No. 1547 of the 11th December with enclosures herewith returned.—

2. In reply I beg to state that the correspondence in question has arisen out of an application, submitted in my letter No. 157 of the 19th June last, requesting that permission might be obtained for Mr. Gardener or other Contractors to cut and prepare in the Government Forests of Anna Malla Teak Planks similar to those which the

the present Contractor Mr. Gardner has during the last 3 years, been in the habit of supplying from the private Forests of the Rajah of Collungode.—

3. It appears that the Government of Madras have on the recommendation of the local authorities, decided that the Anna Malla Forests shall be worked by a Government Establishment in place of being rented to private individuals, and that the Principal Collector of Coimbatore has been authorized to provide such supplies of Timber or Plank as can from time to time be made available for the purpose of the Bombay Dock yard.—

4 Ibeg leave most respectfully to submit my opinion that the system of working the Forests by means of a public establishment engaged for the purpose, will be found far preferable in every way to the plan suggested by me of renting them to Contractors, not only as effecting a considerable saving to Government but as tending to a more careful conservation of the Forests and the ensuring a certain and plentiful supply of material for very many years to come— that I did not in the first instance suggest such a measure is attributable to my not possessing that local knowledge which could

could alone enable me to form a just opinion as to whether such an arrangement could be fully and advantageously carried out.—

5— I take leave to remark that the two Estimates of the probable expense attending the cutting and transporting of Teak plank to Ponany, as prepared by Venkatachella Moodelly and marked B and C in the appendix are in my opinion incorrect and incomplete, and that consequently Mr. Wroughton basing his calculations upon them has been led to expect a greater amount of advantage to attend the proposed arrangement than will probably be realized, the Estimates assign much too large a size and value as the average of each plank to be brought from the Forests and make no allowance for natural defects or injuries sustained during the removal of the planks from the Forests, and for which experience shows that a discount of at least 20 per cent should be set down— There will however with this alteration remain a large amount of saving to Government, not so much as that assumed by Mr. Wroughton, but still more than sufficient to prove the greater advantage of working the Forests by means of a Govt. Establishment—

Govt. Agents Office, Beypoor— 30th December 1844— ——— /Signed/ A. S. Williams Captain— I— Navy— Govt. Agent— To—

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

To

Captain Williams I. N.

Sir,

Government Agent

Adverting to your Communication dated 10th September 1844, I have the honor to acquaint you that under the Sanction of Government, Copy of which is enclosed for your information, arrangements are about to be made for the supply of Teak Timber to the Bombay Govern=ment from the wallier Jungle and I shall feel obliged by your informing me what quanti=ty you will require in the coming year, and the conditions upon which the supplies will be received together with the description of Timber that ought to be furnished —

It appears to me that the difficulties of transporting heavy Timber — alluded to in para 5th of your letter dated the 10th of September are in nowise exaggerated, and I am informed that the price of 22 to 25 Rupees per Candy in solid contents is an in=adequate remuneration — Under these cir=cumstances my supplies must be confined to Planks solely without you are empowered to augment the price of Timber in bulk. —

In my opinion however, even these

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

difficulties might be over come by the adoption of one of two measures, either to increase the existing rate or add to it the difference of elephant labor and land carriage — I prefer the former which will be made on an average to the latter which leaves an opening for chicanery and fraud, but I submit this for your consideration and great experience. —

May I request you will be — so obliging as to let me have as early a reply as possible to this communication. — —

Coimbatore —
Pt. Coll.r Office —
21st December 1844 —

/Signed/ I. C. Wroughton
Pt. Collector

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Dated 14th November 1844

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue. —.

(Here enter 24th October 1844 —)

1st The Most Honorable the Governor in Council concurs with the Board of Revenue that the Coimbatore Forest should be worked by Government with an Establishment on Fixed salaries, and that the transport of the Timber to the Western Coasts should be effected by Contract. —.

2nd The Board of Revenue will be pleased to

to submit a statement of the required Establishment and of its cost with an Estimate of Charges for the conservancy and working of the Forests.—

3d Under the foregoing order the Board will instruct the Collector to place himself in communication with Captain Williams or the local Agent for the Bombay Government, and to use every exertion to meet the immediate demands of that Government for Timber without awaiting the completion of his Establishment or of the arrangement to be made for the working of the Forest.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Secretary to Government.

To
J. C. Wroughton Esq re
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your communication under date the 21st instant, relative to the supply of Teak wood which it may be desirable to obtain from the Anna Malla Forests for the use of the Bombay Dock yard.—

In reply I beg to state, first as regards

regards Timber in log that the kinds mostly required at Bombay are straight Timber of the "superior" and First sorts also curved Timber of the "First" "Second" and "Third" sorts but should there prove to be any doubt (as appears probable) as to the practicability of providing these timbers within the limit of the prices assigned to each sort, in the Memorandum marked "Confidential" which accompanied my letter to your address No. 317 of the 10th of September last I would in such case submit it as expedient, at least for the present to confine the supply of material solely to the article Plank.—

3. The kinds of Plank most serviceable to the Dock yard are those designated in Mr. Gardners' present contract as "First," "Second" and "Third" sorts, it is not at all desirable to receive planks smaller than "Third" sort as such can readily be converted and at a far cheaper rather than procurable here from Timber obtainable from the Teak Forests North of Bombay, my only object in admitting a "Fourth" and "Fifth" sort into the present contract was with a view to lessen the loss to which

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

which the Contractor would otherwise be subjected by the entire rejection of such First, Second or third Sort Planks as might sustain serious injury During their transport, but which if still capable of conversion to plank of a smaller scantling might then be taken at a reduced price as planks of the Fourth and Fifth sorts.—

4. All planks adapted to ship building purposes increase in value in the ratio of their length it is therefore desirable to obtain them as long as possible, the same remark does not however apply equally to breadth, as owing to late improvements in naval architecture planks are now but seldom used of a width exceeding 9-10-11- and occasionally 12 Borrells, it is therefore an object to obtain planks as nearly to those breadths as possible, as all in excess is liable to be wasted in trimming the planks to the purpose intended, as regards thickness it is not material, but if less than three Borrells, I think they will be found very liable to split and to take injury during their transport, it may be proper to add that planks are expected to be cut straight, fair edged

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

edged, to be sound or free from defects of a serious nature, and to be made from the side pieces of the tree so as not to contain the centre of the tree or water course which would render them quite useless and consequently subject to rejection.—

5. It has been found that nearly all the planks brought from Collongode during the last three years have been more or less injured by rough usage and exposure during their removal from the Forest, and as it is customary to receive planks only at the measurement to which they will trim to a square edge, after cutting out the defects, they have consequently been taken at a reduced measurement averaging on the whole about 10 per cent less than their extreme dimension added to this out of 1582 planks tendered for delivery in virtue of the first contract, 307 planks were found either so greatly injured or naturally defective as to be rejected altogether, making a further reduction equal to nearly 20 per Cent more I consider therefore it may be preventive of future disappointment if in framing present estimates a probable discount of at least

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

least 20 per Cent be allowed.—

6. As regards the quantity of the supply for the coming year, I beg to state that any number of planks from 500 to 1000 will be acceptable and prove highly serviceable to the Dock yard at Bombay, but at the same time I take leave to add that it is of the very first consequence that the planks should be made from Timber that has been allowed at least one or two years to dry otherwise, if cut from wood that is green they will be found to split and become in a great measure unserviceable. I would therefore beg to suggest that if a sufficiency of dry wood cannot be found, that some few thousand trees should at once be girded and allowed to dry partially in a standing position of at least one year, when a portion equal to the probable supply for one season might be felled, cut into planks and transported to the banks of the River in time to be floated to Ponany during the rains of 1846.—

7. With reference to the amount of Charge which it may be deemed proper to debit the Bombay Government for each

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

each description of Plank I would beg to suggest it should be left as a subject for after consideration and be determined with reference to the quality of the supply and the actual expence incurred in providing it. I will merely add here that had Mr. Gardner been permitted to work in the Anna Mala Forest it was my intention to have required him to supply Plank from them, at a reduction of at least 20 per Cent below his present Contract rates.—

Government Agents,
Office, Beypoor, ---
31st December 1844 ---

(Signed) A. S. Williams
Captain I. Navy
Government Agent

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue, with reference to the Extract from Minutes of Consultation No. 358 dated 14th November 1844.—

(Signed) I. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Ordered to be recorded.—

6-7

Order thereon from the Chief Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Cons Under date the 28th March 1845

8

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Submitting a letter from the Principal Collector of Madura, and recommending that his request for certain portions of the Grand Trigonometrical Survey Map relating to his District may be complied with if they are available.—

(Here enter 27th Feby 45 No. 138)

Resolved that the Chief

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Chief Engineer be requested to supply the number of the Trigonometrical Survey Map, required by the Principal Collector of Madura.—

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary—

Read also the following letter.—

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George—

From Lieutenant Colonel D. Sim Chief Engineer
(9)

Sir

With reference to the Minutes of Consultation, dated 27th February last No. 398 (Revenue Department) I have the honor herewith to send Nos. 62 and 63 of the Indian Atlas for the use of the Principal Collector of Madura.—

Chief Engineer's Office,
Fort St. George,
4th April, 1843.

(Signed) D. Sim
Lieutenant Colonel
Chief Engineer
In charge of the late Deptt
Surveyor Genl's Office—

Order on the two foregoing letters—
(Vide letter to the Pr. Collr of Madura 11th April)
(8-10)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

Read

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Read the following Extracts.—

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.
(11)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 31st March 1845.—

Read the following letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th July 1844 a letter From the Collector of Tinnevelly furnishing certain information called for regarding the Streevygoontum Vellar Fort and the ground of dispute between its inhabitants with the Board's opinion on the Subject.—

(Here enter 10th Feby. 1845 No. 106)

1. Upon a full consideration of all the circumstances reported in the foregoing letter and in the correspondence recorded in the Consultations noted in the Margin, respecting the Vellalars of the Streevygoontum Fort as brought to the notice of Government by the Collector of Tinnevelly, the Most Noble the Governor in Council considers it expedient to leave matters as they are for the present, the Collector continuing to defray as usual the annual allowance on account of the Fort.—

20th July 1844 No. 44-45
15th Augt. — 1 & 4
5th Sept. — 67-68

2. His Lordship in Council observes that upon proof of harbouring thieves or their delinquents in the Fort, or resisting process the

the parties would be liable to punishment in the same degree as others.—.

/A true Extract—

To

The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary—

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a Copy of
(11-12) the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to— which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter dated 4th December last No. 230.—.

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.
(13)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th April 1845—

Read the following letter—

To T. V. Monhouse Esqre.
Secretary to Government—
Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 200 dated the 11th Ultimo, with original enclosures, and in reply to state that for the reasons therein assigned and under the recommendation of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the appropriation of an extent of land subject to an annual assessment of Rupees 60, for the support of the Choultry in the District of Chingleput built by

by the former Proprietor of the Streekaricotah Mootah for the accommodation of native Travellers—

2. The original Enclosures of your letter are herewith returned.—.

Fort William—
The 1st March 1845

/Signed/ G. A. Bushby
Secy. to the Govt. of
India

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to their Proceedings Dated 16th January 1845 No. 28.—

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas—
Chief Secretary.

Resolution thereon— Resolved that transcripts of
(13-14) the foregoing order of Government and of the letter from the Secretary to the Government of India and of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings therein referred to be furnished to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 16th December last No. 157.—

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.— Read letter transmitting
(15) certain printed Copy and Translations of the acts of the Supreme Government.—.

Order thereon— Ordered that the acts received in
(15-16) the abovementioned letter be lodged in the Office.—

Read the following resolutions.—.

Extract from the Minutes of Cons. dated 4th April 1845—

From Bd. 20th March /

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.— The Military Board will be
(17)

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

be pleased to supply two Tarpaulins required for the preservation of Treasure bags on route from Rajahmundry to Masulipatam.—

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary—

Resolution thereon—

(17-18)

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing orders of Government be communicated to the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to his letter dated 7th Ultimo.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th April 1845.—

/From Bd 6th March/

From the Chief Secy to Govt—

(19)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion, that the course proposed by the Board of Revenue in respect to the debts of the Cavetnuggur Zemindar is the most expedient, and accordingly approves of it.—

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary

Resolution thereon—

(19-20)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing orders of Government be transmitted to the Acting Collector of North arcot for his information and guidance with reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings under date 6th March last—

Extract

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th April 1845.—

(From Bd 6th March)

(21)

From the Chief Secretary to Government—

The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants authority for the annual Charge of Rupees (48-12-3) Forty Eight, annas twelve and pice three, recommended by the Board of Revenue on account of the lands in the Salem District taken up for the great western road, the same commencing from Fusly 1254.—

His Lordship in Council also grants authority for the payment of the sum of Rupees (57-13-4) Fifty Seven, annas thirteen and pice four, in compensation for loss of produce &c. referred to in the concluding paragraph of the above letter.—

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Chief Secretary—

Resolved—

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted to the Collector of Salem for his information and guidance in with reference to Mr. Bretts letter under date 10th February last.—.

(21-22)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th April 1845.—.

(From Bd. 27th March)

From the Chief Secy. to Government.— } The Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the Charge reported in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees (28) Twenty eight.—

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas.
Chief Secretary.

(23)

Order thereon.— Ordered to be recorded.

Proceedings.—

(23-24)

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Read letter from the Acting Collector of Ganjam under date 6th December 1844 reporting on the settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in his district for fasly 1253 A.D. 1843-44.

/Here enter No. 132/

The annexed Abstract will shew in one view the result of the Settlement of fasly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 compared with the preceding years.—

Inform 19th Dec. 1844.

(25)

Proceedings Relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Ganjam for fasly 1253 A.D. 1843/44.

Items	fasly 1252	fasly 1253	Increase	Decrease
Salt	295,108 15 1	254,551 6 7	— — —	40,557 8 6
Sayer	27,884 12 9	21,309 7 —	— — —	6,575 5 9
Abkarry	28,022 — —	34,855 — —	6,833 — —	— — —
Sea Customs	9,109 15 1	22,900 1 8	13,790 2 7	— — —
Motunpha	8,132 5 8	8,366 14 10	234 9 2	— — —
Stamps	8,112 14 —	9,511 3 —	1,398 5 —	— — —
Sundry Small farms & Licences	3,388 3 —	3,403 3 —	15 — —	— — —
	379,759 1 7	354,897 4 1	22,271 — 9	47,132 14 3
Deduct			22,271 — 9	
Net Decrease				24,861 13 6

From the foregoing Statement it appears that the falling off in the settlement of the year under review compared with the year preceding amounted to Rs. 24,861. 13. 6.

This

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

1 40,557-8-6.
2 6,575-5-9.
47132-11-3.
Aggregate Increase 22271-4-9.

This was the result of a decrease of Rupees 47,132-11-3. under the heads of Salt and Sayer and the Aggregate Amount of increase obtained from the other items composing the Extra Sources of Revenue.

3. The greater portion of the decrease is thus shewn to have occurred in the Salt Revenue the actual sales of which were Rupees 25,455-6-7 in fusly 1253 A.D./1843/44 in the fusly previous they were raised to Rupees 29,510-15-1 the deficiency being Rupees 4,055-8-6 which was the result of a Net decrease in the Sales for Home Consumption of Rupees 32,198-11-8 and in those of the inland trade of Rupees 8,358-12-10. The Acting Collector attributes this decline in the Revenue from Salt "to the failure of the Manufacture and supply of the Woomervelly Pans which compelled the dealers to resort to other districts, and where alone there was a decrease of upwards of fifty four thousand Rupees. The enhanced rate from 105 to 180 Rupees per Garce which obtained for a portion of the year is also considered as having operated detrimentally to the Sales of Salt.

On

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

4. On referring however to the Statements Nos. 1 and 8. which accompany the above report it appears to the Board that they do not fully bear out the explanations offered by the Acting Collector on the subject of the decline in the revenue from this source. As regards "the failure of the Manufacture and supply at the Woomervelly Pans which compelled the Dealers to resort to other districts" it may be remarked that the quantity actually made at these Pans in fusly 1253 A.D./1843/44 Amounted to 773 G. 193 M. of which the sales in the same period Comprised only 265 G. 259 M. thus shewing a Surplus in hand at the close of the year of upwards 500 Garce, a quantity amply sufficient to meet the demands of the trader in Addition to which the residue of the previous year's stock should be added Amounting to 42 G. 292 M. according to the entries in Columns 5 and 6 of the same Statement, but which has been omitted in Computing the balance in hand of 1252 as shown in Column 9 a discrepancy to which the Acting Collectors attention is drawn again the balance remaining unsold at the

termination

Nowpadah 841 — 315
Ganjam 670 - 7 -
Wunsoorcottah 120 — 168 -

termination of fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 at the other depôts in the district was considerable — as shewn in the Margin. Adverting to the further reason for the deficiency observable in the revenue from Salt adduced by the Acting Collector Viz.t the enhanced rate of 180 Rupees per Garce which obtained during the year the Board have no means of accurately ascertaining from the Statements before them to what extent the above advance from 105 to 180 has affected the sales the total results only being furnished in the accounts. By these however it appears that the aggregate extent of Sales at Wunsoorcottah and Nowpadah were 100 Garce above the sales effected in fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 the decrease in the sales being confined to Ganjam where they were Garce 133 less and at Woomenvelly where the deficit in the actual quantity disposed of amounted to Garce 5 6/4 at which Pan the falling off in the outturn of the year or rupees exceeded the preceding by 54,000 as mentioned above. From what has been now stated the explanations

Garce excesp.
1 - 70.
2 - 30
100

State.t I

explanations offered by the Acting Collector do not account satisfactorily for the heavy decrease from this source of Extra revenue especially as regards the transactions at the Cotaur of Woomervelly, and the Board accordingly request that further enquiries may be made and the result reported for their information.

Charges
57. 15032. 15. 11.
53. 18225. 8. 1.
Increase 3192. 8. 2.

5. The disbursements in the Salt department shew an increase of Rupees 3192. 8. 2. above those incurred in the antecedent year. caused by additional expenditure for the purchase of Salt and advances to the Manufacturers.

Gross Collections
52 — 29837. 5. 5.
53 — 25761. 13. 11.
Decrease 4075. 7. 6.

The gross receipts were Rupees 4,075. 7. 6 below those of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842. 43 and adding the increase in the Charges specified above, the Net Revenue of the year was Rupees 43,267. 15. 8 less than the year preceding.

Sayer
52 — 2984. 12. 9.
53 — 2309. 7. 2.
Decrease 675. 5. 9.

6. After the promulgation of Act VI of 1844. the Sayer Revenue compared with that of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 fell short in this year under review by Rupees 6,575 - 5. 9. Including Extra Collections this Amount was reduced to Rupees 6526. 10. 6. The same Cause occasioned a diminution in the disbursements to the extent of Rupees 1630. 13.

Deduct increase in Extra Receipts 18. 11. 3.
Total defic.t 1,652. 10. 6.

Charges.
52 — 6521. 15. 1.
53 — 4891. 1. 9.
Decrease 1630. 13. 4.

13.

13. 4 thus making the Net Revenue derived from this source for the year reported on less in Comparison with the past by Rupees 1895.13.2-

Abkarry

7. The Settlement of the Abkarry farm for the year under review amounted to Rupees 34855-- which is above the rent of fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 by Rupees 6833---- Including the Extra Collections and recoveries of Arrears the net excess in the revenue from this Source Amounts in the year under consideration to Rupees 6620-5-7. The sum of Rupees 41-7-6 due by the renter of the Vizianagrum Zemindary on account of fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42. which was expected to have been collected is considered by the present Acting Collector totally irrecoverable: and under the explanation given in para 11 of his report, by which it appears that the whole of the property of the defaulter has been sold and carried to account the Board recommend that that Amount may be written off the Accounts. The old arrears outstanding under this head are stated to Amount to Rupees 185-8-9. The Board request that the Acting Collector will scrutinize the items composing this Amount with a view

Net Revenue

	Rs	a	p
fusly 1252	29080	7	1
1253	35180	12	8
excess	6620	5	7

view to their early realization or final remission.

Sea Customs.

8. The augmentation of the Revenue derived from this Source arose from the duty leviable under Act VI of 1841 on exportation of various Articles of raw produce to Madras such as Paddy, Grain, Gingely, Oil Seeds & Pulse. The causes of these unusual Exports is attributed by the Collector to the unprecedented extent to which the prices of all Sorts of grain had fallen in fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 which occasioned their exportation to Madras in search of a better market than could be found in the district. Deducting the Charges from the Gross receipts the Net Revenue from this Source amounted to Rupees 21304-11-- being in excess of the past year by Rupees 13798-9-9 On referring to the Collections made from this Source for the last preceding five years it would appear that those of fusly 1253 exceeded the average by no less a Sum than Rupees 11176-4-5 as shewn in the annexed figured Statement.- Statement

Collections 1253 22900-1-8.

Gross Receipts	22907-1-8
Charges	1602-6-8
Net Revenue of fusly 1253	21304-11--
Deduct Do of Do 1252	7506-1-3.
In fusly 1253 Excess	13798-9-9.

Collections-	Rs	a	p
1248	22895	11	8
49	2846	10	9
50	2075	11	8
51	6720	14	
52	9115	15	1
	43654	2	8
Average	8730	13	3
1253	22907	1	8
Increase	14176	4	5

Fort S^t George 10^th April 1845.-

Sundry small farms Fees & Stamps.

9- In the settlement of the former of the items entered in the Margin there was an excess over the past fusly of Rupees 15- the Net Revenue however shews an increase of Rupees 349-11-9- The increase under the head of Stamps was caused by the Augmented judicial processes consequent on the Establishment of a Sessions Court at Chicacole and by increased sales of Custom House & Rowanahs- Deducting the Charges from the Net receipts the Net Revenue from the sale of Stamp papers amounted to Rupees 9513-2-5 or Rupees 1363-15-1 above the Net Revenue of the antecedent year.-

Motunpha

8366-11-10 for fusly 1253.

10- Of the demand for Motunpha Rupees 7311-4-8 were realized upto the date of the Collectors report leaving a sum of Rupees 1052-11-2 for future adjustment. Under the head of Motunpha there was an outstanding balance at the close of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 of Rupees 4501-6- due from fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 downwards- The Collections credited on this account during the year immediately under consideration were Rupees 521-7-2 and the remissions written off during the same period under the Sanction of Government dated 31^st May 1844 being unrecoverable items

Fort S^t George 10^th April 1845.-

items of Revenue due on account of fusties 1250 & 1251 A.D. 1840/41-1841/42 amounted to Rupees 1201-9-11 thus leaving an arrear still unadjusted of Rupees 2778-1-11. The Board consider that these balances and also the old arrears due under the heads of Abkarry and Jamma and licences should receive the early attention of the Collector and they request to be furnished with the promised report as early as practicable-

Gross Collections
1252- 380740-9-9
1253- 356337-9-10
Decrease 24402-15-11

Charges
1252- 23744-7-10
1253- 25331-13-7
Decrease 1587-6-9

Net Revenue:
1252- 357296-2-3
1253- 331005-12-3
Decrease 26290-6-0

The total Collections of the year under review amounted to Rupees 356337-9-10 the charges of the fusly were Rupees 25331-13-7- the Net Revenue therefore was 331005-12-3- being Rupees 26290-6-0 below the Net Revenue of the preceding years.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Ganjam for his information and guidance.-

Ganjam.

4536

Fort St. George 18th April 1845.

Ganjam July 1253.

		July 1252	July 1253	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a‡	Rs a‡	Rs a‡	Rs a‡
Salt	Demand	295,108 15 1	251,551 6 7	" "	40,557 8 6
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	295,108 15 1	251,551 6 7	" "	40,557 8 6
	Extra Dr	728 6 4	1210 7 4	482 1 "	" "
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	295,837 5 5	252,761 13 11	" "	40,075 7 6
	Charges	15,032 15 11	18,225 8 1	3,192 8 2	" "
	Net Revenue	280,804 5 6	234,536 5 10	" "	46,267 15 8
Sayer	Demand	27,884 12 9	21,309 7 -	" "	6,575 5 9
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	27,884 12 9	21,309 7 -	" "	6,575 5 9
	Extra Dr	5 8 -	54 3 3	48 11 3	" "
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	27,890 4 9	21,363 10 3	" "	6,526 10 6
	Charges	6,521 15 1	4,891 1 9	" "	1,630 13 4
	Net Revenue	21,368 5 8	16,472 8 6	" "	4895 13 2
Sea Customs	Demand	9,109 15 1	22,900 18	13,790 2 7	" "
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	9,109 15 1	22,900 18	13,790 2 7	" "
	Extra Dr	6 "	7 "	1 "	" "
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	9,115 15 1	22,907 18	13,791 2 7	" "
	Charges	1609 13 10	1602 6 8	" "	7 7 2
	Net Revenue	7506 1 3	21,304 11 "	13,798 9 9	" "
Abkarry	Demand	28,022 " "	34,855 " "	6833 " "	" "
	Balance on the 12th July	2653 5 8	2099 " 7	145 10 11	" "
	Current Collection	25,308 10 4	32,155 15 5	6787 5 1	" "
	Extra Dr	93 7 3	413 6 1	319 14 10	" "
	Arrears	3598 5 6	3111 7 2	" "	486 14 4
	Total	29,060 7 1	35,680 12 8	6,620 5 7	" "
	Charges	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Net Revenue	29,060 7 1	35,680 12 8	6,620 5 7	" "

4529

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

4. On referring however to the Statements Nos. 1 and 8. which accompany the above report it appears to the Board that they do not fully bear out the explanations offered by the Acting Collector on the subject of the decline in the revenue from this source. As regards "the failure of the Manufacture and Supply at the Womerwelly Pans which compelled the Dealers to resort to other districts" it may be remarked that the quantity actually made at these Pans in fusly 1253 (A.D. 1843/44) Amounted to 773 G. 193 M. of which the sales in the same period Comprised only 265- 259. 3 thus shewing a Surplus in hand at the close of the year of upwards 500 Garce, a quantity amply sufficient to meet the demands of the trader in addition to which the residue of the previous year's stock should be added Amounting to 12- 292- G. M. according to the entries in Columns 5 and 6 of the same Statement, but which has been omitted in Computing the balance in hand of 1252 as (1842/43) shown in Column 9 a discrepancy to which the Acting Collectors attention is drawn. Again the balance remaining unsold at the

termination

Charges
Sel. Revenue

Noopadah
8411— 315
Ganjam
670- 7-
Wunsoorcottah
120— 168-

termination of fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 at the other
depôts in the district was considerable —
as shewn in the Margin. Adverting to
the further reason for the deficiency observ-
able in the revenue from Salt adduced
by the Acting Collector Viz: the enhanc-
ed rate of 180 Rupees per Garce which
obtained during the year the Board —
have no means of accurately ascertaining
from the Statements before them to what
extent the above advance from 105 to 180 has
affected the sales the total results only
being furnished in the accounts. By —
these however it appears that the aggregate
extent of Sales at Wunsoorcottah and
Noopadah were 100 Garce above the sales
effected in fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 the decrease in the
sales being confined to Ganjam where they
were Garce 133 less and at Woomenvelly where
the deficit in the actual
quantity disposed of —
amounted to Garce 564 at which
Rate the falling off in —
the outturn of the year or rupees
exceeded the preceding by 54,000 as mentioned
above. From what has been now stated, the
explanations

Garce Excess.
1- 70.
2- 30
100

State[t] I

explanations offered by the Acting Collector
do not account satisfactorily for the heavy
decrease from this source of Extra revenue
especially as regards the transactions at
the Cotaur of Woomervelly, and the Board
accordingly request that further enquir-
ies may be made and the result report-
ed for their information.

Charges
52. 15032. 15. 11.
53. 18225. 8. 1.
Increase 3192. 8. 2.

Gross Collections.
52— 29837. 5. 5.
53— 25761. 13. 11.
Decrease 4075. 7. 6.

Sayer
52— 29884. 12. 9.
53— 23309. 7. 2
Decrease 6575. 5. 9.

Deduct increase in Extra Receipts 1. 8. 11. 3.
Total deficit 7652. 10. 6.

Charges.
52— 522. 15. 1.
53— 1891. 1. 9.
Decrease 1630. 13. 4.

5. The disbursements in the Salt de-
partment shew an increase of Rupees 3192. 8. 2.
above those incurred in the antecedent year —
caused by additional expenditure for the pur-
chase of Salt and advances to the Manufac-
turers. The Gross receipts were Rupees
4,0075. 7. 6 below those of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 and —
adding the increase in the Charges specified
above, the Net Revenue of the year was
Rupees 43267. 15. 8 less than the year preceding.—

6. After the promulgation of Act VI of
1844. the Sayer Revenue compared with that
of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 fell short in this year under
review by Rupees 6575- 5- 9. Including Extra
Collections this Amount was reduced to Rupees
7652. 10. 6. The same Cause occasioned a diminu-
tion in the Disbursements to the extent of Rupees 1630-
13-

13. 4 thus making the Net Revenue derived from this source for the year reported on less in Comparison with the past by Rupees 1895.13.4

Abkarry

7. The Settlement of the Abkarry farm for the year under review Amounted to Rupees 34855 — — which is above the rent of fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 by Rupees 6833 — — including the Extra Collections and recoveries of Arrears the net excess in the revenue from this Source Amounts in the year under consideration to Rupees 6620-5-7. The sum of Rupees 41-7-6 due by the renter of the Vizianagrum Zemindary on account of fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 which was expected to have been collected is considered by the present Acting Collector totally irrecoverable: and under the explanation given in para 11 of his report, by which it appears that the whole of the property of the defaulter has been sold and carried to account, the Board recommend that that Amount may be written off the Accounts. The old arrears outstanding under this head are stated to Amount to Rupees 185-8-9. The Board request that the Actg Collector will scrutinize the items composing this Amount with a view

Rs a-P
52-29022-4-4

Net Revenue
Fusly 1252-29060-7-1
" 1253-35680-12-8
excess- 6620-5-7

to their early realization or final remission.

Sea Customs.

8. The augmentation of the Revenue derived from this Source arose from the duty leviable under Act VI of 1844 on exportations of various Articles of raw produce to Madras such as Paddy, Grain, Gingely Oil Seeds & Pulse. The cause of these unusual Exports is attributed by the Collector to the unprecedented extent to which the prices of all Sorts of grain had fallen in fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 which occasioned their exportation to Madras in search of a better market than could be found in the district.

Collections 1253: 22900-1-8

Gross Receipts	22907-1-8
Charges	1602-6-8
Net Revenue of fusly 1253	21304-11--
Deduct Do of Do 1252	7506-1-3
In fusly 1253 Excess	13798-9-9

Deducting the Charges from the Gross receipts the Net Revenue from this Source amounted to Rupees 21304-11-- being in excess of the past year by Rupees 13798-9-9 On referring to the Collections made from this Source for the last preceding five years it would appear that those of fusly A.D. 1253 exceeded the average by no less a Sum than Rupees 11176-4-5 as shewn in the annexed figured Statement.—

Collections-	Rs	a	p
1248	22895	11	8
49	2846	10	9
50	2075	11	8
51	6720	14	
52	9115	15	1
	43654	2	6
Average	8730	13	3
1253	22907	1	8
Increase	14176	4	5

Statement

4534

Sundry small Farms Fees & Stamps.

Fort-St. George 10th April 1845.

9. In the settlement of the former of the items entered in the Margin there was an excess over the past fusly of Rupees 15= the Net Revenue however shews an increase of Rupees 349-14-9- The increase under the head of Stamps was caused by the Augmented judicial processes consequent on the Establishment of a Sessions Court at Chicacole and by increased sales of Custom House Cowries- Deducting the Charges from the Net receipts the Net Revenue from the sale of Stamp papers Amounted to Rupees 9513-2-5 or Rupees 1363-15-1 above the Net Revenue of the antecedent year.-

Motunpha 10- Of the demand for Motunpha Rupees 7314-4-8- were realized upto the date of the Collectors report leaving a sum of Rupees 1052-14-2 for future adjustment. Under the head of Motunpha there was an outstanding balance at the close of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 of Rupees 4501-6-0 and from fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 downwards- The Collections credited on this account during the year immediately under consideration were Rupees 521-17-2 and the remissions written off during the same period under the Sanction of Government dated 31st May 1844 being irrecoverable items

8316-14-10 for fusly 1253-

| | | |

Read letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam containing his report on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1253. A.D. 1843-44.

/Here enter No. 61/

22d Nov. 1844 to 5th December 1844.

Proceedings thereon.

Relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1253. A.D. 1843-44.

The annexed figured Statement exhibits the Settlement of the Net Revenue derived from the several items forming the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 Compared with the Net Revenue similarly obtained in the preceding years.

26

Items	Fusly 1252			Fusly 1253.			Increase			Decrease		
1 Salt	81260	"	11	1,04,142	15	6	22,882	14	6	"	"	"
2 Sayer	39,772	4	11	39,454	3	4	"	"	"	318	1	7
3 Sea Customs	11,886	8	9	16,634	2	1	4,747	9	4	"	"	"
4 Abkarry	42,466	13	-	51,864	6	8	9,397	9	8	-	"	"
5 Stamps	21,231	7	8	22,745	1	10	"	"	"	1,486	5	10
6. Moturpha	6044	11	3	5914	4	"	"	"	"	130	7	3
Total	2,05,661	11	6	2,40,765	1	4	37,028	1	6	1,934	14	8
							Deduct Decrease			1,934	14	8
							Net Increase			35,093	2	10

Demand	8192	5	8
Balance on the 12th July	1371	1	11
Current Collection	6754	13	10
Extra Do.	"	"	"
Arrear	693	13	10
Total	7448	11	5
Charges	"	"	"
Net Revenue	7448	11	5
Demand	379391	1	11
Balance on the 12th July	45100	11	11
Current Collection	325182	6	8
Extra Do.	1149	5	11
Arrear	4,08,114	2,30,42	11
Total	380409	9,35,53,9	10
Charges	23444	1,15,0,132	1150
Net Revenue	35,7290	2,33,1005	123

Moturpha

Total

Salt.

Sales
1253- 112,616-10-11
52- 95,183- 9- 7
17,433- 11- 5

2. The sales of salt throughout the district compared with those of the previous year exhibit an increase of Rupees 17,433- 11- 5 the result of a gross increase of Rupees 30353- 11- - at the Pans of Cunnasiah and Nellemookoo and a gross decrease of Rupees 12,920- 13- 7 at Conadah and Coopelly. The increase at the two former Pans is attributed by the Collector to two causes viz.t the absence of any interruption in the sales from a deficiency of supply and the enhancement in the price of salt which for some time obtained. The falling off at the latter Pans above mentioned would appear to have arisen from the diminished quantity manufactured for their supply in consequence of the early setting in of the S.W. Monsoon and as the stone was disposed of before the 1st of April the effect of the alteration in the price which conduced to the increase at Cunnasiah and Nellemookoo was not there felt.

† inclusive of Rs 26- 6- 7 from confiscated Salt.

Gross receipts
1252- 97,733- 9- 7
53- 113,666-10- 0
159,33- 11- 5.

3. The Gross receipts including the recovery of Sudr Kavy shaw

an increase compared with the Gross receipts of 1252 of Rupees 15,933- 11- 5 deducting Charges the net Salt Revenue of the fasly 1253- A.D. of 1843-44 exceeded that of the year previously 22,882- 11- 6 as shown in the Statement appended to para 1 of these proceedings. The decrease in the charges noticed in the margin above is explained to have been caused chiefly from the circumstance of there having been a considerable supply of salt remaining in store at the close of fasly 1252- which the Board were led to A.D. of 1842/43 expect would have been nearly sufficient to meet the current demand at Cunnajah and Nellemookoo in fasly 1253 the Collector however adds that he was compelled to make unusually † large advances to provide for the great reduction in the stock on hand from extensive sales and the destruction occasioned by the hurricane of October last.

Charges
1252- 10,273- 8- 8-
53- 9,523- 10- 7
19,796- 19- 1

† Succanie
1252- 7,150
1253- 2,375
less 1,525

Sayer

4. The decrease in the demand on account of Land Customs which in this instance included

1252- 81791-15-4-
53- 57813-15-2-
26948 a: 2

included also the rents of the Betel farms was Rupees 26948- a-2 mainly entirely attributable to the abolition of the transit duties from the 1st of April last under the provisions of act VI of 1844- The gross collections were Rupees 25543- 11- 4 below those of the preceding fusly a balance of Rupees 525- 11- 2- being left outstanding against the Betel renters in regard to a which the Collector states that he would enter more at large hereafter in connection with a further balance due by this person- The charges under this head however were less by Rupees 25225- 9- 9 on account principally of the Amount disbursed for Drawback in fusly 1253 being less than in the preceding years-

5- The Collector observes that subsequently to the termination of the fusly under review a repayment of Rupees 3120- 10- 4 has been made on goods shipped previous to the promulgation of the Act above quoted and

as

as this change could not appear in the accounts of the past year he solicits the sanction of Government for the purpose of adjusting the amount in his accounts- The Board observe that the Collector should furnish a separate Statement with account particulars of the sums when it will be submitted for sanction in the usual course-

Sea Customs Collections
1252- 13831- 8- 9-
1253- 18490- 1- 5-
4655- 8- 8-

6- The Collections of Exports and Imports at the several Sea Custom Houses within the district exhibits a considerable improvement upon the preceding year as shown in the Margin which is traced by the Collector principally to a brisk trade carried on by a party of Cholias who are stated to have located themselves at Bimlipatam and a part also to the "change of system consequent on the abolition of the transit duties-

Charges exclusive of Collected duties fusly 1252- a-a- 19148- a-a-
fusly 1253 for 1853- 1854- a-a- 14493- 12- a-a-
Difference 4654- 12- a-a-

7- The Board observe a discrepancy in the Amount Charges inserted under this head for fusly a.D. 1252- 1842-43 as stated in the Margin and which the Collector is requested to explain-

A Kearny
Demand
1252- 40114- 8-a
53- 54237- 8-a
Excess- 9123- a-a-

8- In the demand and Collections from this Source held under rent of

the

the result of the year show an increase in the former of Rupees 9123 and in the latter of Rupees 8749-11-8 to which being added the receipts in the Amount of arrears realized within the same period the Net Revenue was Rupees 9397-9-8 in advance of Fasly. 1252.

Net Revenue
1252- 42466-13-11
53- 51864-6-8.
9397-9-8.

9. It appears that at the date of the Collector's Report there was a balance of Rupees 1878-8- of current demand unliquidated the whole of the which with the exception of Rupees 48-13-4 as stated to be due by the same individual who farmed the Betel Rent alluded to in para 4 the total demand outstanding against the party being thus Rupees 2355-5-10. The Collector remarks that considerable losses were sustained by the holders of the Abkarry rents from the effect of the hurricane which visited that part of the Country in October 1843 and that he fears it will not be practicable to collect from them during the present year the whole of their balances as they are in consequence much reduced in circumstances.

The

The results however he adds will be stated in his next report. The Board will be prepared to accede to his recommendation on their behalf after the Collector has satisfied himself that they have made good their arrears as far as their condition and the obligations of their securities will fairly admit.

Stamps.
Current Collection
1252- 27888-6-11
53- 20444-9-11
1443-13-11

10. The current revenue from this item was below that of fasly A.D. 1252/1842-43 by Rupees 1443-13-11 and as there was a trifling addition in the charges

Charges.
Fasly 1252. 3656-14-11
53- 3699-7-2.
42-8-10

of the year under review the net revenue exhibits a decrease of Rupees 1486-5-10 which was caused by the diminished sales of sayer Romanahs owing to the abolition of the town and transit duties.

11. In the report on the Extra Sources of Revenue submitted for fasly A.D. 1252/1842-43 the then acting Collector recorded his opinion that the operation of Rule 8 framed for the guidance of the Agent in the administration of Civil justice was opposed to the interests of Government without at the same time being of much service to Bonafide litigants.

In

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

In their Proceedings dated 11th March 1844 the Board remarked that in the event of the present Collector and agent coinciding in the views expressed by Mr. Smollett as to their system involving expenses without being attended by a corresponding practical benefit, it would be necessary that he should address Government direct upon the subject as the Board possessed no controuling power in the question. In reply Mr. Arbuthnot states that he is "by no means satisfied that the abrogation of the rule is desirable" and tho' not prepared to say that advantage is not occasionally taken of it for obtaining decrees in a manner not contemplated by the spirit of the Rules yet that it tends to allay litigation is of essential service to bonafide suitors, reduces the labor of the Courts and introduces "an uniformity of the practice" in all the Courts within the Agency.

12. The enclosure No. III and the figured Statement annexed to para 24 of the report shows a decrease of Rupees 1,14,3-13. on the Gross sales

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

of Stamp Paper as stated above in the year under review and an increase in the refunds under the operation of the rule in question of Rupees 78 for the same period in the total charges which were composed entirely of the refunds and the Venders Commission the total Increase Amounted to Rupees 12-8.

10. "owing to the re-payment of a some "what greater Extent of Institution stamps "duty in cases settled by Razeenamah:" the Statements just quoted also show that the sale of revenue papers slightly exceeded those of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842-43 and that the total refunds since the introduction of the rule into the Courts of the Agency has amounted to Rupees 6281. deducting the actual refunds from the Amount of sales of revenue Papers in fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 gives the sum of Rupees 17,561. realized from this item of extra revenue, but the accounts furnished do not show precisely to what extent the revenue is affected by the rule in question. The Collector however adds that it must be borne in mind that if the rule is abrogated many of the stamps purchased for the institution.

193. + rec. sales 55,199 9 4
2,348 refunds
17,561.

institution of suits would not be sold at all, and thus tho' there would be a de= duction in the charges there would also be a corresponding decrease in the sales. Upon a review of all the circumstances stated on this subject as detailed + para 26 @ 36. in the present report the Board would support the recommendation of the Collector that no change should be made in the existing System but it will remain with Government to decide with the in= =formation now submitted on the bear= =ings of the rule generally whether it = should undergo any modification or be permitted to continue in force as at pre= =sent constituted.—

Motumpha Demand. 1252 - 6095-13-7- 53- 6,170-12-5. Excess 79- 14-10.

13. In the Motumpha revenue there was a small increase of Rupees 79- 14- 10. but owing to the Collection of arrears having fallen short of the preceding fusly the net revenue exhibits a decrease of Rupees 130-7-3 as shown in the Mar= =gin. Of the Current demand there = was a small + balance due at the close of the fusly exclusive of which the old arrears under this head outstand= =ing from fusly 1249 to 1252 inclusive amounted to Rupees 537- 11- 2. of this sum

Net Revenue 52/ 60 14- 11-3. 53/ 59 14- 4- 3. 130- 7- 3.

+ Balance 131- 11- 5.

Rupees

Rupees 31- 8- 6. are stated to be recoverable and the remainder or Rupees 505- 7- 8 = desperate, and the Board accordingly beg to solicit the sanction of Government for authorizing the Collector to write that Amount off to Profit and loss.—

The total Demand on account of all the several items of Extra revenue noticed above for fusly 1253 = A.D. 1843-44 was Rupees 275808- 8- or Rupees 2899- 10- 9. above the year preceding, the Gross Collections in = clusive of Current, Extra and Arrears amounted to Rupees 274807-3-1 and the charges for the same period were Rupees 34052- 1- 9 under which head there was a decrease of Rups. 32224- 15- 8 compared with the Disbursements in= = curred in fusly 1252 = A.D. 1842-43 deducting the charges from the Gross receipts the net revenue brought to account was Rupees 240755-1-4 exhibiting an advance on the net Revenue of the year pre= = ceding of Rupees 35093- 2- 10 as detailed in the figured Statement annexed to para 1 of these Proceedings.—

Ordered that a Copy of the forego= =ing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Vizagapatam for his information and guidance.

1549

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

A

Vizagapatam Fasly 1253.

		Fasly 1252		Fasly 1253		Increase		Decrease	
		Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
Salt.	Demand	95,183	9 7	112,616	10 "	17,433	" 5	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Current Collection	95,183	9 7	112,616	10 "	17,433	" 5	"	" "
	Extra Dr.	2,550	" "	1050	" "	"	" "	1500	" "
	Arrears	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Total	97,733	9 7	113,666	10 "	15933	" 5	"	" "
	Charges	16,473	8 8	9523	10 7	"	" "	6949	11 1
	Net Revenue	81260	" 11	104142	15 5	22882	14 6	"	" "
Sayer.	Demand	84791	15 4	57,843	15 2	"	" "	26,948	" 2
	Balance on the 11th July	965	" "	525	11 2	"	" "	439	4 10
	Current Collection	83826	15 4	57318	4 "	"	" "	26,508	11 4
	Extra Dr.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Arrears	"	" "	965	" "	965	" "	"	" "
	Total	83826	15 4	58283	4 "	"	" "	25543	11 4
	Charges	44054	10 5	15,829	" 8	"	" "	25225	9 9
	Net Revenue	39,772	4 11	39,454	3 4	"	" "	318	1 7
Sea Customs	Demand	13,834	8 9	18,490	1 5	4655	8 8	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Current Collection	13,834	8 9	18,490	1 5	4655	8 8	"	" "
	Extra Dr.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Arrears	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Total	13,834	8 9	18,490	1 5	4655	8 8	"	" "
	Charges	1,948	" "	1,855	15 4	"	" "	92	" 8
	Net Revenue	11,886	8 9	16,634	2 1	4747	9 4	"	" "
Abkarry.	Demand	45,114	8 "	54,237	8 "	9,123	" "	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	3,121	12 8	5494	14 "	2,373	1 4	"	" "
	Current Collection	41,992	11 4	48,742	10 "	6,749	14 8	"	" "
	Extra Dr.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Arrears	474	1 8	3121	12 8	2,647	11 "	"	" "
	Total	42,466	13 "	51,864	6 8	9,397	9 8	"	" "
	Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Net Revenue	42,466	13 "	51,864	6 8	9,397	9 8	"	" "
Stamps	Demand	27,888	6 "	26,444	9 "	"	" "	1443	13 "
	Balance on the 11th July	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Current Collection	27,888	6 "	26,444	9 "	"	" "	1443	13 "
	Extra Dr.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "

Almanach ... 1143 13

Soldat ... 24444 9 ... 27858 6

Schmied ... 4642 11 8 ... 4699 7 2

Bett Bauer ... 11856 5 10 ... 22743 1 10 ... 24231 7 8

Bauer mit Pferd ... 79 14 10 ... 6175 12 5 ... 4696 13 7

Bauer mit Ochsen ... 3 7 ... 131 11 5 ... 128 10 10

Bauer mit Esel ... 16 13 ... 6044 1 ... 5467 2 9

Bauer mit Kühen ... 207 5 6 ... 22 8 6

Bauer mit Schafen ... 130 7 3 ... 6358 4 ... 6155 11 3

Schmied ... 130 7 3 ... 6044 11 3 ... 5914 4 ...

Bett Bauer ... 2599 10 9 ... 2,7358 8 ... 2,7208 13 3

Bauer mit Pferd ... 1963 13 1 ... 6152 4 7 ... 4215 7 6

Bauer mit Ochsen ... 9?2 13 8 ... 2,6466 3 5 ... 2,5862 5 9

Bauer mit Esel ... 1?30 ... ... 1030 ... 2530 ...

Bauer mit Kühen ... 3405 5 6 ... 4165 15 8 ... 695 10 2

Bauer mit Schafen ... 2585 3 2 ... 2,7147 3 1 ... 2,7198 15 11

Schmied ... 3922 15 8 ... 3052 1 9 ... 6277 1 5

Bett Bauer ... 3509 2 10 ... 2,1765 1 4 ... 2,0566 11 6

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Actg Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to report having published the modification required of by Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 20th February regarding the remission Amounting in the total to Rupees 89718. 1. 6 of all balances outstanding against the Ryots in the Inoolahs ceded from the Zemindary of Pittapoor to Government at the time of the installation of the Zemindar at the beginning of the present fusly and with reference to the question put in Para 5 of Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 2d January last, to state that the reason why no Commission was charged for the Management of the Pittapore Zemindary during fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 was that it is customary to charge in the subsequent fusly after sanction obtained from the Chief Auditor, the Amount of Commission for the Management of Estates, and there being no account open in the Collectors Office during fusly 1254 A.D. 1844-45 for the management of the Pittapore Estate and all liabilities up to the end of fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 having been remitted by Government no method suggested itself of setting down the charge referred to.

27

Rajahmundry District Cutcherry
24th March 1845

(Signed) G. J. Bird
Actg Collr

Ordered

Order thereon.

27-28

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

29

Sir,

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Suggesting that the French Pettah situated in the centre of the cantonment of Masulipatam may be retransferred to the British Government with the view of remedying the evils therein prevailing.

Para 1

I have the honor to forward for the purpose of being laid before Government the accompanying Copy of a letter from Brigadier Cooke, Commanding Masulipatam, bringing to Notice the various evils resulting from an arrack Shop situated in the French Pettah at this Station, which is not only a nuisance in itself, from the filth, and effluvia caused by the manufacture of arrack on the premises, but a fertile source of annoyance to the inhabitants of the Town generally, from its affording asylum to rogues and Vagabonds of every description, who after bringing themselves to a state of intoxication frequently sally forth and commit assaults and depredations in the Town, to the great discomfort of the peaceably disposed portion of the community.

2. The French Pettah as it is called, is a small extent of ground, little more than 1/4 Mile square, situated

in

*To Board 11th September 1829

+From the Board 24th Sept. 1818.

in the centre of the Cantonment; which in common with other small pieces of territory in different parts of the Country, was restored to the French Government in 1819 the peace agreeably to a treaty concluded in the year 1783+. It contains besides the arrack Shop in question, 4 or 5 small bungalows a few native huts and a small chapel. A report has been for some time current, that the French Chef de service at Yanaam was intending to make some proposition for making over this ground again to the British Government, which caused me to delay forwarding the Brigadiers letter longer than I should otherwise have done. but finding that there is but slight foundation for such a report, I have the honor now to request that the matter may be laid before Government, with such observations as the Board may deem proper.

3. That the nuisance complained of does exist to the full extent represented, I can bear ample testimony from my own experience. The means by which it may be abated must rest between

between the two Governments. but I conceive that it can only be effectually removed by the re-transfer of the Pettah to our jurisdiction by which a considerable benefit might be secured to the Town and Cantonment of Masulipatam, at, I should imagine, a comparatively small cost, as the revenue derived by the French Government from the Pettah is, I am told, extremely insignificant.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 19th March 1845.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector

No. 1

To The Collector of
Masulipatam.

Sir,

I do myself the honor to bring to your notice the perfect nuisance that the arrack shop in the French Pettah, is to the Cantonment at large, not only from the bare fact of its being in the very centre of the Cantonment and from the smell and dirty state of its neighbourhood, but from its forming a safe place of resort to all the dissolute people of the place where they can go, without the fear of detection by our Authorities either Civil or Military, and

procure

procure a very inferior and deleterious spirit at a reduced price to the injury of our revenue and the discipline of the Troops in Garrison, the dissolute portion of the latter can frequent that place for the purposes of drinking, gaming and other debauchery without a chance of being disturbed in their orgies by any measures which can be adopted by the authorities on the spot. It is needless for me to dwell upon a subject with which yourself are so well acquainted and I therefore request that you will be good enough to bring the subject to the notice of Government with such comments as your experience and superior sources of information may enable you to give with a view to a representation being made to the French Government for the removal of so mischievous an establishment from the very heart of the Station and whereby so much injury is done both to Civil order and Military discipline.

Masulipatam 11th November 1844

/Signed/ A. Cooke Brigadier Commanding Masulipatam

/True Copy/
/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Collector

Ordered

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

29-30

To the Chief Sect to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collr of Masulipatam enclosing one from Brigadier Cooke Commanding the Station on the subject of the nuisance & annoyance occasioned by an Arrack Shop situated in that part of the Town commonly called the French Pettah and stating that the measure suggested by the Collr viz. that arrangements be entered into with the French authorities for the removal of the Pettah to the Company's Aldeering of consideration.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Masulipatam enclosing one from Brigadier Cooke Commanding the station on the subject of the nuisance annoyance occasioned by an Arrack shop situated in that part of the town commonly called in the "French Pettah."

From these letters it will be perceived that the "Pettah" referred to is a small piece of ground little more than ¼ Mile square situated in the centre of the cantonment which in common with some other small shops in different parts of the Country was in accordance with the terms of the treaty concluded in 1783 restored to the French Government after the peace in 1819. It contains a small chapel, some native huts and small bungalows

2

together

together with the arrack shop complained of by the Brigadier, which from its being beyond the control of the authorities of the station, is, as might be expected the usual resort of idle dissolute characters, to the prejudice of quiet and good order in the Town - while from its situation in the very heart of the Cantonment, it cannot but be injurious to the discipline of the Troops in garison -

3. The Board observe that the existence of this Arrack Shop and the evils resulting from it were brought to notice by two former Collectors of Masulipatam. by Mr. Lane in 1822 and by Mr. Dent in 1829 - at the instance of the Brigadier the present Collector has also been induced to call attention to the subject - and it appears to the Board that the measure which he has suggested - Viz.t that arrangements be entered into with the French authorities for the retransfer of the Pettah to the company's jurisdiction is deserving the consideration of Government.

28.th Feb.y 1822 in Cons: 7.th March Subm.t to Govt. 7.th March 1822
11.th Sept.r 1829 in Cons: 21.st Sept.r - Subm.t to Govt. 19.th may 1831 -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St.t George - - - -
10.th April 1845 - - -

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read,

J.G.B.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 24.th Ultimo upon the subject of S. Veeranah seeking to the Moccasadars of Gotaveru Comararum, and Soorumpoody Villages belonging to the Nedadavole Zemindary.

2 The Statement of S. Veeranah noticed by the Board as having been made in his Petition N.o 585 of 1844 Viz.t that at the time when he applied to be relieved from further responsibility (in fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44) the Beriz of the two preceding years had been paid in full is not correct in as much as there is up to this time a balance of Rupees 253 8 - outstanding which accrued prior to the commencement of fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44 on account of the Moccasa Village in question, and for which amount at all events I conclude that there can be no doubt of the security being responsible.

(31)

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam, Sir, Para 1

Stating that he shall be prepared to take steps for the recovery from S. Veeranah of the arrears due to the close of fusly 1252 and suggesting that the principals who are in confinement should be released. Para 3

Rs a p
+ Fusly 1248 - 10 - 0
" 1251 - 146 - 0
" 1252 - 97 - 8
Total 253 - 8 - 0

3 Neither do I conceive that the Petitioner has any fair ground to claim relief from his responsibility

on

on the specious pretext of his prayer for relief having been preferred in September of fusly 1253/1843/44 before any of the kists of that year had become due because his request could not, as the Board appear to imagine have been then "complied with without injury to the current revenue."

The Villages for which the Petitioner stood security being settled like the rest belonging to the Nedadavole Zemindary under money rents the usual arrangements were concluded with the Ryots in the Months of april and May of fusly 1252/A.D. 1842/43 for the cultivation of the ensuing year and such had been the conduct of the Mocadadars as explained in my former letter, that the Villages would never have been continued to them had it not been for the guarantee afforded by the Security of the Petitioner. If therefore S. Veeranah was desirous of withdrawing from his engagement at

45557 Fort St. George 10th April 1845

at the close of fusly 1252/A.D. 1842/43 he should have signified the same in the Month of March or april of that year, by not doing so he deprived the Circar of the opportunity which would then have been afforded of taking efficient measures for the realization of the Revenue by assuming the management at once.

Such being the case it appeared to me that S. Veeranah might fairly be held liable for the balance of fusly 1253 as well as for those of the preceding years to which the terms of his engagement extended. The more so, as he was in fact, as has been already noticed, the real manager of the Villages, tho' nominally, only security for the peishcush and balances due on them. but should the Board still take the same view of the case as communicated in their

their letter under reply, I shall be prepared to take steps for the recovery from the Petitioner of the arrears due to the close of ~~July 1252~~ 1842/43 only.-

2 In this case I would suggest that the principals Pamoo-=mucka Veerabadra Rauze, and Nursimha Rauze sharers of the Villages and Guardians of the Minor Mucadsadar who are already in confinement on account of the arrears in question, should be released as there is no chance whatever of the amount being re-=covered from them.

Masulipatam Coll.r Cutcherry 28th March to 31st March 1845

Signed/ R. J. Porter
Collector

Ordered to be sent again (Order thereon, Read again in Cons.n 17th April) in circulation.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(3339)

From the Asst Coll.r of Guntoor, Sir, Para 1

States that the civil Judge of Guntoor having under the instructions of the Sudr Udalut issued warrants against the personal property of Vaseredddy Ramanadutta Baboo in execution of certain decrees Mulrauze has repre-=sented that she has prior claim upon the property having been mortgaged to her in a former Razeenamah suit the col-=lector has taken no part in the Razeenamah filed, and it therefore rests with him (Collector) to put in a claim to the attached property on the part of Govern-=ment in the event of Mulrauze's claim thereto being rejected by the Civil Court - that Mulrauze's creditors will if she carries out this course move for execution of the decrees against her &c.a &c.a

I have the honor to re-=quest you will be so good as to lay the following circumstances before the Board.

2 The Civil Judge at this station having under the instructions of the Court of Sudr Udalut issued warrants against the personal property of Vaseredddy Ramnath Baboo in execution of certain decrees the Mulrauze Zemindar has, through her Vakeel represented to me that she has a prior claim upon the same in virtue of a Razee-=naumah filed in a former suit, in which Razeenaumah, all Ramanath Baboo's personal property has been mortgaged to her, and has requested me to move the Court to prevent the appropriation of the property to other purposes than the satis-=faction of her own decree. In reply to this I have recommended the Zemindarnee, the Collector having up to this time taken no part in the suit in which the Razeenaumah was filed, to move the Court by her own Vakeel setting forth her claim upon the pro-=perty, reserving to myself the opportunity

in

in the event of her claim under the Razeenaumah being rejected, of putting in my claim to the attached property on the part of the Government as principal creditor of the Vasereddy Zemindar.

3 The Mulrauze Zemindarnee although I believe about to act according to my suggestion, has objected to the mode of proceeding adopted by me stating that if she bring forward and establish her claim to Raumanath Baboo's personal property, her own creditors of whom one is a party now proceeding against Raumanath Baboo, will immediately move for execution of the decrees in their favor against her, and further urging that as the Government have assumed the management of her Estate, they are bound also to take whatever steps may be necessary to protect her interest.

4 In regard to the first point it appeared to me that it was unnecessary at the present juncture, to anticipate the possible results of a personal application to the Court, on the part of the Zemindar and in regard to the second, I consider it advisable not to incur further law

expenses

expenses to which the Board have already expressed themselves adverse unless absolutely necessary.

5 The suit in which the Razeenaumah in question was filed, having been throughout conducted without the interference of the Board or the Collector, and it being in point of fact immaterial to the real interests of Government, whether the property at stake form a part of the Vasereddy, or of the Mulrauze Estate, both being debtors to the Government, I am of opinion that my interference, as solicited was not only unnecessary and inexpedient, but would have also in a great measure pledged me in future to move in every judicial proceeding connected with the several surrendered Estates.

As however it does not clearly

6 appear to me how far I am expected in matters of this kind, which do not admit of time for reference, to proceed on the part of Government, and as the question as to the title of the Zemindars now that all their property has been surrendered, to look to the Government for the right conduct even of their law suits, is a delicate and a doubtful one, I have taken the

the liberty of representing what I have done, that the Board may give such orders on this occasion, and for my future guidance as they may deem proper.

Guntoor Collr's Cutcherry
29th March 1845 ------

Signed/ D. White
Acting Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following draft.

(33-34)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government -- 33 / Sir

Submitting a letter from the Actg Collr of Guntoor representing that on a warrant having been issued against the personal property of the Vatsavaddy Proprietor Ramanadad Babooke was requested by the Zemindar of Mulrauze to move the Court to prevent the property being applied to other purposes than the satisfaction of his claims upon it in virtue of a razeenamah filed in her favor in a former suit which he has declined to accede

29 March & Cons 10 April 1845

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Guntoor, representing that on a warrant having been issued by the Civil Judge of the station against the personal property of the Vatsavaddy Proprietor Ramanada Baboo, application had been made to him by the Mulrauze Zemindar who stated that in virtue of a Razeenamah filed in her favor in a former suit, she had a prior claim to the property in question and prayed that the Acting Collector would move the Court to prevent the

acceded to stating that the Board are of opinion that as a general rule it is inexpedient that the Officers in charge of the District should take part in any legal proceedings which may be resorted to by the ex Zemindars of Guntoor & requesting to know whether these principles to be shared the approval of Government

the property being applied to other purposes than the satisfaction of her claims upon it Mr White it will be seen has declined to accede to her request and recommended her to move the Court thro her own Vakeel reserving to himself the power of putting in a claim to the attached property on behalf of Government in the event of the application of the Mulrauze Zemindar being rejected by the Court. At the same time the Acting Collector states that he feels some degree of doubt and difficulty as to whether, under the peculiar circumstances of the proprietors of the surrendered estates, it be proper for him as the Officer of Government to take any part in cases, such as that now represented, arising out of the judicial proceedings of the Ex Zemindars among themselves and in which the interests of Government are in no way affected - and he therefore solicits instructions for his guidance.

2, The course pursued by Mr White in the instance which has led to

the

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

the present reference appears to the Board to be correct and judicious, and as a general rule it is in their opinion inexpedient that the Officer in charge of the District should take part in any legal Proceedings which may be resorted to by the Ex Zemindars of Guntoor, or charge himself in any way with the conduct of their private suits. It will be sufficient that he interfere as the agent of Government when the Public interests are involved As however the question is one of some delicacy and importance the Board before issuing instructions upon it to the Acting Collector for his future guidance are anxious to be informed whether the principles as above laide down have the approval of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th April 1845

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Read the following letter.

To. T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

35

From the Actg Coll. of Guntoor

Sir,

At Nundepaud paid 1-14-—
At Pruttepaud paid 0-18-4
Rs 2-13-4

A loss of Rupees 2-13-4 as per margin having been sustained from the sale of surplus articles of Jonna and Paddy Straw damaged by rain purchased to be supplied to the 30th Regiment N.I. halted at Nundepaud and Pruttepaud — I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to obtain the sanction of Government for writing off the above sum to "Profit & Loss."—

Guntoor.
Collrs Cutchy 31st March 1845.

/Signed/ D. White
Actg Collector.

Resolution thereon.

35-36

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for writing off to profit and loss the sum of Rs. 2-13-4 being the amount of loss sustained from the sale of surplus articles of Jonna and Paddy Straw purchased to be supplied to the 30th Regt. N. I. whilst halted at Nundepaud and Pruttepaud in the Guntoor District.—

Read the following Statements—

Statements

4506 Fort St George 10th April 1845. (37)

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready to be exported to Calcutta for the year 1844 at the undermenteioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore upto 31st March 1845.

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1844	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported in the Current year.	Quantity ready stored and ready for exportation	Quantity Manufactured & remaining under Estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Ins Mds Tolas	Ins Mds Tolas	Ins Mds Tolas	Ins Mds Tolas	Ins Mds Tolas	
1	Eskapully	14 . 44	119131 442	62208 1046	56922 2566	56922 2566	- - -	
2	Kristnapatam	14 10	109137 1330	- -	109137 1330	109137 1330	- - -	
	Total		228268 1772	62208 1046	166060 696	166060 696	- - -	

Nellore -
Collectors Cutcherry
8th April 1845

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector.

Statement

4507 Fort St George 10th April 1845. (38)

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput upto 1st April 1845.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity actually in Store ready for exportation on the beach.	Quantity ready in the Colours.	Remarks.
For 1743 Bengal Maunds					The Salt may be paid for at the Talook Treasury at Sydapet near Madras or to the Peishear at Covelong as it may best suit the convenience of the Exporters.
400,000	354,600	45,400	47,602½	60,393	

Chingleput District,
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry,
Streepermatoor 8th April 1845

C. C. For

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

4568 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 31st March to 6th April 1845.—

(39)

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1844	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1843.	Quantity actually in store at the Depot ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Bengal Mds.	Bl. Mds.	Bl. Mds.	Bl. Mds.	
Madras	193931	173690	20241	18840	Since the date of last report applications have been received to supply the "John Adam" & "Gilbert Munro" with Bl. Mds. 24107
					Quantity of Salt shipped upto the date of last report Bl. Mds. 167330
					The "John Adam" and "Gilbert Munro" in part of their applications have already shipped ---- 6360
					Bl. Mds. 173690-

N.B.

From the number of Boats employed in compliance with the request of the Exporters the whole of the 24107 Mds would have been shipped ere now if the wind at Ennore did not induce the "John Adam" to leave the Port— She has not yet returned but is every moment expected there.—

Madras 7th April 1845. } E. E. Jr (signed) I. A. Hudleston Collector

Ordered

4569 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Orders on the three foregoing Statements— Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.—

Read the following letters.—

(37-40)

(41)

From the Collr of Chingleput stating that no lands have been alienated in the Mootah of Vullacottah since the formation of the permanent settlement.

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

1. With reference to the transcript of the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d February transmitted with Extract of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date 6th Instant, calling upon me to ascertain whether any portion of the land included in the assets on which the permanent settlement of the Vullacottah Estate was fixed, has been alienated, I have the Honor to report that no lands have been alienated, nor are any of the lands of the Village, with the exception of those Manems entered in the Sarapudy accounts prior to the formation of the permanent assessment, held on free tenure.—

2. The annual settlements in this Estate, since it has, in obedience to the orders of the Court of Wards, been placed under the superintendence of the present

Fort St George 10th April 1845.

present Managers have been conducted on the same system as was adopted by the late zemindar, that is by a division of the gross produce of the wet lands and of a portion of the dry lands according to the rates of respective warums long established in the Villages, whilst a small portion of the dry lands paid fixed money rents as regulated by the deceased Zemindar, and which when compared with the rates of neighbouring Government Villages, do not appear to be disproportionate. —

3. The averages actual produce derived during the period the Manager has been in charge, is not, as has been ascertained from a reference to the zemindars accounts, less than was realized by him, but the very low prices at which grain was selling has been the main cause of the assets of the zemindary not yielding an amount even equal to the Peishcush during the last three years. —

4. The conviction that the proceeds

Fort St George 10th April 1845.

of this Mootah would not, except in seasons when grain was dear, cover the Peishcush and expenses attending its management, together with the maintenance of the family, was the main reason of my so strongly urging that the estate should not be taken under the charge of the Court of Wards, but that it should be left to the care of the Brother of the deceased Zemindar for his son, as expressly desired in his will — but which suggestion not meeting the Board's approval was over-ruled, and the Estate placed under the present manager selected by the widow of the deceased. ——

Chingleput District,
Coll[r] Circuit Cutchery
Tirvilloor 26th March 1845.

Signed A. Freese
Collector.

Ordered

4572 Fort St George 15th April 1845.

Order thereon.

(Read again in Bd 17 April)

41-42

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To. J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

From the Collr of Chingleput

43

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the Zemindar of Pedacattoor having suffered his Current & Peishcush to fall in arrears to a large amount, I have thought it proper to attach the Estate, and request the Board of Revenue will sanction the Establishment proposed for its management, as per list herewith transmitted.

Chingleput District,
Collrs Cutcherry.
Tiruvallore 31st March 1845.

I have &c
Signed A. Freese
Collector.

Statement

4573 Fort St George 10th April 1845.

A

Statement of an Estate attached in the Zillah of Chingleput for fusly 1254.

Name of the Zemindary or Estate.	Name of the Zemindar or Proprietor.	No. of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kist due up to 1st February 1845.	Amount Collected	Balance	No. of Villages proposed to be attached.	actual or estimated annual Value of the proportion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment.	Date of attachment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P	
1 Yacautoor	Marcum Beebee Sahebah	5	2992 5	1112 - -	1112 - -	5 2992 5	1 Ameenah 1 Mooshurruff 2 Peons at 3 Rupees each Sunderwarah	8 12 - 1 - - 6 - - - 8 -		
								22 4 -	- 11 - 11 -	29th March 1845.

Chingleput District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Tirivallore 31st March 1845.

E E. for (Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Resolution

Fort St George 10th April 1845-

Resolution (43-44) thereon- The Board sanctions the Establishment deputed for the attachment of the Execution Moottah for arrears of Revenue, the total charge being Rupees 22-4—a per mensem-

Read the following letter-

To J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue-

Fort St George-

(45) Sir,

From the Actg Collr in the Wn Divn of Arcot } With reference to the Board's Proceedings under date 24th January 1845 desiring that I would report the result of my enquiry into the charges against Streenevasa Row the Tahsildar of the Cauvery pauk Talook for their information, I have the honor to report that I have acquitted him and reinstated him in his situation-

Reports that the Tahsildar of the Cauvery pauk Talook whowas suspended from Office on the 22d Jany last has been acquitted and reinstated in his situation under the Circumstances set forth-

2. A Bramin Cultivator named Seshayer a man of very bad character stood forward as the accuser of the Tahsildar. He preferred the charges not as of his own knowledge but merely as charges which he was prepared to prove. The parties however whom he called upon denied that any such transactions

Fort St George 10th April 1845-

transactions as alleged had taken place with the single exception of one individual, the accusers brother, who professed to have given the Tahsildar a sum of Rupees seventy from the Shavy Collections in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom was not forthcoming. The other professed to have been present on the occasion but gave such answers to the questions put to him as clearly shewed that he was deposing falsely-

3. As regards the outstanding balances of current revenue- The Tahsildar pleaded the lateness of the season and the inability of Ryots to pay it- I think with some reason the Nowarah being this year the Chief Crop, so that about the time of the Tahsildar's suspension, (+ 22d Jany 1845-) a season when the Ryots are generally reaping or preparing to reap their Crops, they were this year more engaged in getting them into the ground-

4. The Tahsildar is an Officer of long service and at one time certainly of high reputte- He was named by Mr. Ogilvie to me when sub Collector as

as a proper person to succeed to the Office then about to be vacant, of Sub Collectors Secretaar and was only prevented from being appointed to it in consequence of the Boards happening at the time to have occasion to provide for another person.—

North Arcot — — —
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
Satghur 28th March 1845.

} I signed C. C. Lovell
Acting Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To The Acting Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

(45-46)

To the A.C. following the Nos. Dr. of Arcot.

Requesting him to furnish further information regarding his enquiry into the charges preferred against Streenevasa Row Sahseldar of the Cauvery paunk Talook

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo reporting the issue of your enquiry into the charges preferred against Streenevasa Row Sahseldar of the Cauvery paunk Talook and stating that you have acquitted him and reinstated him in Office.—

Ltr Dd 27 January.

2. From your Predecessors letter of the 22d January last it appears that the offences charged against the Sahseldar and which led to his suspension were [illegible] the

Ltr Dd 10 April

the Tuckavie advances; 2. levying for his own use exactions both in money and grain; 3. Forcing labor and cutting down Government Timber to a large amount. From your letter now before them the Board learn that in one case only, that of receiving a sum of Rupees seventy from the Shavy Collections does any evidence appear to have been forthcoming; in the rest the parties called upon denied that any such transactions as alleged had taken place. Considering the various and serious nature of the accusations brought against Streenevasa Row in the first instance, it would be satisfactory to the Board to have some further information in regard to your proceedings in his case. I am therefore instructed to request that you will state who were the parties who originally accused the Sahseldar before Mr Goldingham. if there were others besides the Brahmin Soobaroyer whether these persons were also called upon to substantiate their respective charges. what were the charges on which the witnesses adduced by Soobaroyer [illegible] were

Fort St George 10th April 1845.

were examined, but without criminating the Tahsildar - whether all the charges preferred to your Predecessor were gone into and what reason is, in your opinion, to be assigned for so serious an array of accusations in the first instance, and subsequently for the total absence of any thing like evidence in support of them. —

3 As a reason for being so backward with his Collections, you state that the Tahsildar pleaded the lateness of the season and the inability of the Ryots to pay their Rents and to this plea you appear to attach some weight, because, at the time when the Tahsildar was suspended, the Cultivators in place of cutting their Crops, as in ordinary seasons, were more engaged in getting them into the ground. This appears to the Board to be hardly a valid defence, as the Collections referred to by Mr. Goldingham in Para 3 of his letter of 22d January last were those due according to the return of the Harvest as it

Fort St George 10th April 1845.

Demand 31523 - 5 - 4
Collections 22899 - 8 - 4
8623 - 13 - —

it then was, and the Tahsildar might at least have brought up his Collections to the extent of the Crops then in course of cutting, whereas he fell short by more than one fourth. —

4 I have further to point out to you that in reinstating the Tahsildar without the previous sanction of the Board you have gone beyond their order of 24th January 1845. which directed you to submit to them such recommendation as to his dismissal or restoration as might seem to you to be proper. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George. —
10th April 1845. —

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

4580 Fort St George 10th April 1845.

Read the following letter.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

From the Actg Collr in the Nth Divn of Arcot — — — } Sir,

47

I have the honor to enclose, for submission to Government, a Sygenamah, or Certificate of existence of Mahomath Ally Khan up to the 31st December 1844 as required in the Board's Proceedings of the 6th Instant. —

North Arcot, — — }
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry — } Signed C. L. Lovell
Satghur 29th March 1845. } Acting Collector.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply. —

To The Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot —

(47-48)

To the Actg Collr in the Nth Divn of Arcot — } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to furnish a translation in English of Sygenamah or Certificate of existence of Mahomath ally Khan which accompanied your letter

4581. Fort St George 10th April 1845.

in ref to 10th April letter of the 29th Ultimo. — —

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 10th April 1845. } Signed J. Pycroft Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

From the Subollr in charge of Salem } Sir,

49

I have the honor to request the instructions of the Board whether, with reference to section 16 of the new Cowlenamah for this District, the rule that a Ryot is to be exempt from fasulgaste for land cultivated as Totum or Nunjah by means of a well dug at his own expence, provided he keeps up Mamool putcut, is to be considered as absolute, or does it refer only to Cases recently changed by such means. —

It appears to me that the rule should only have a limitted application, that is to say, that a Ryot, who may propose to give up part of his putcut, should pay the fasulgaste only

4582 Fort St George 10th April 1845.

only when there is ground for believing that his manorb cultivation has been contracted by his digging his well, for after a time many other causes may affect his means. But according to the construction put on it in the orders issued by the late Principal Collector preparatory to the Summah hundy it is thought to be a bar to any Ryot resigning any part of his land, however long he may have been enjoying his well, excepting under the penalty of faul jaste.—

Salem District,
Athoor.—
Coll[r]s Catcherry.
19th March 1845.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Sub Collector in Charge.

Order thereon.
Read again in Cons. 4th April
Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

49-50

To

4583 Fort St George 10th April 1845

To. T. Pycroft Esq[r]
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From H. A. Brett Esq[r]
Sub Coll[r] in charge of Salem
51

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 10th February 1845 authorizing the sale of certain Lands on Mor. day the 24th March. I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the sale has not taken place as the amount of balace for which the Lands had been attached was paid in this Office by the defaulter on the 24th Instant.—

Salem, Coll[r]s Catcherry
26th March 1845.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Sub Coll[r] in Charge.

Ordered to be recorded.—

Order thereon.
51-52

To. T. Pycroft Esq[r]
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

From H. A. Brett Esq[r]
Sub Coll[r] in charge of Salem
53

I have the honor to forward for publication in the Board's Office, an Advertisement for the sale of certain Revenue Defaulters lands in the Talook of Darumpoory.—

A Statement is also forwarded shewing the particulars of the Lands sequestered, and the balance due by the defaulters.—

Should the Board approve of the sale taking place I request that the date may be fixed by them and the same made known to me in sufficient time for the necessary Advertisement to be made in the Talooks.—

Salem, Coll[r]s Cutcherry
26th March 1845.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Sub Coll[r] in charge
Advertisement.

4584

Fort St. George

No. 1.

Advertisement.

Notice is hereby given that the Lands particularized below situated in the Village of Admancotah and Pennappah absence of Moveable property, been attached in consequence of the holders thereof not having paid the Assessment due there by Public auction to the highest bidder on the 26th May 1845 at 11 o'clock A.M. at the Taloke Cutcherry of Daramposry.—

The purchaser is required to pay 15 per Cent of the purchase Money at the time of sale and the balance to be paid with Taloke Treasury, and in default thereof the Lands will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser. It is to be unvalid until the orders of the Board are received confirming the same.—

Names of the Talooks	Name of the Villages	Names of the Defaulters	Amount	Names of the Villages in which the Lands are situated	Particulars of the Poonjah				Particulars of the Garden			
					Names of the Land	Extent	Assessment		Names of the land	Extent	Assessment	
			Rs a p			A G A	Rs a p			A G A	Rs a p	
Darumpoory	Admancotah	Soobien 1 Sashien 2	38 7 4	Admancotah	No. 5 Duttmarayen goody Poonjah No. 6 Satiwaram Poonjah Secoy Bush exist in the above Spot No. 234 Visvara goody Poonjah	1 36 3 2 8 14 1 . 3	2 15 5 4 7 1 - 10 8					
		The above Sashien 1	11 8 1	Admancotah.								
		The above Soobien	2 11 1	Pelluppa goundenhully	No. 19 Mayajoundenpoonjah	3 38 11	2 13 -					

Salem
26th March 1845.

10th April 1845

Goundenhully in the Talook of Darumpoory having in the
on to Government for Fasly 1253 the same will be sold

in 30 Days from the date of the sale either in the Hooz oor of
derstood that the sale of the Lands will not be considered

Lands for sale

Names of the Land	Nunjah Extent of			Nunjah Assessment			Total Extent			Total Assessment		
	A	G	A	Rs	a	p	A	G	A	Rs	a	p
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	4	8	1	2
№392 Nagoo from Nunjah	-	36	9	5	14	10	-	36	9	5	14	10
"	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	38	11	2	13	-

Signed H. A. Brett
Sub Collector in Charge

List

4585

Fort St George 10th April 1825

No 2

List of immoveable property belongeng to the undermentioned Indwiduals and sequestered on account of Government demand for Fasly 1253 in the Daramporay Talook in the Zillah of Salem.-

No	Names of Villages	Names of the Ryots holding Puttahs.	Puttahs	Beriz	Collections	Balance due to Government	Names of the Fields sequestered	Extent	Assessment	Probable Value
			Rs a o		Rs a o	Rs a o		A g st	Rs a o	Rs a o
		Soobbien ——— 1 Sashien ——— 2	38 7 4		- - -	38 7 4	5 Durmaroyengoody Poonjah ——— 1 6 Sutteram Poonjah ——— 1	1 36 3 2 8 14	2 15 5 4 7 1	35 - -
								4 5 1	7 6 6	
							234 Yisvaragoody Poonjah ———	1 - 3	10 8	7 - -
1	Admanco tak	Sashien ——— 1 Nullan ——— 2 Sashien ——— Do ———	44 11 10 5 14 10 - 15 10		40 2 5 - - -	4 9 5 - 5 14 10 - 15 10 11 8 1	392 Coopum Nunjah Statum ———	- 36 9	5 14 10	140 - -
		Soobbien ——— 1 Punnampatty Ramen ——— 2 Soobbien ———	- 2 4		- - -	- 2 4 2 8 9 2 11 1	19 Mayagounden Poonjah	3 38 11	2 13 -	10 - -
			5 6 9		2 14 -					
			95 10 11		43 - 5	52 10 6		10 - 8	16 13 -	66 - -

Salem 26th March 1825.

(Signed) H A Brett
Sub Collector in charge
(Here enter No 3 in Tamil)

Resolution thereon-

(53-54) The Board authorize the foregoing letter; Monday the 26th May being fixed for the day of Sale.- Read the following Statement & letter-

Statement

4580 (55)

Fort St George

Statement shewing the Mootahs sequestered. for arrears

appointed. to their

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estate.	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate			Amount of Kists due for Current Fusly		
1	2	3	4			5		
			Rs	a	p.	Rs	a	p
Bavoohally — Mootah in — the Talook of Darumpoory	Sashageriah and Venkataramanah	55	3,255	5	1	1,629	.	.
Woonjeeree Mootah in the Talook of Trichengode	Paramasevagounden and Sengoodavalagounden	3	2,666	10	1	1,298	.	.
	Total	58	5,921	15	2	2,927	.	.

Salem Zillah, Salem, Coll.ᵗᵉ

10th April 1845

of Revenue to 11th February or Jey Kist of Fusly 1254 in the Zillah of Salem with the Establishment charge requiring the sanction of the Board of Revenue.-

6 Amount Collected	7 Balance	8 Number of Villages proposed to be attached	9 Actual or estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached.	10 Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment.			11 Per Centage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estates attached.	12 Date of the attachment	13 Remarks
Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p			Rs a p	Rs a p		
345 . .	1,284 . .	55	3,255 5 1	Ameenah ——	1	10 8 ,	8 7	20th February 1845	
				Peons ——	2	7 . .			
					3	17 8 .			
769 . .	529 . .	3	2,666 10 1	Ameenah ——	1	10 8 ,	8 5	20th Febraary 1845	
				Peons ——	1	3 8 .			
					2	14 . .			
1114 . .	1,813 . .	58	5,921 15 2		5	31 8 .			

Cutcherry 26th March 1845. E E Jr (Signed) H. A. Brett
Sub Coll. in Charge

4587 Fort St George 10th April 1845

Proceedings thereon. The Board sanction the Establishment appointed for Management of the Estates the attachment of which is reported in the foregoing Statement amounting to Rupees 31-8- per mensem.-

(55-56)

To, J. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

From H A Brett Esqr
Sub Collr in charge
of Salem - - - - -

(57)

I have the honor to forward for the sanction of Government a Bill of Extra Charges incurred in Fasly 1253 for binding letter Correspondence &c amounting to Company's Rupees 82 - - - under an Estimate passed by Government on the 18th October 1844.-

Salem - - - - -
Collr Cutcherry,
28th March 1845.

(Signed) H. A. Brett
Sub Collr in Charge

Bill

4588 Fort St George 10th April 1845

A

Bill of Extra Charges incurred in Fasly 1253 at D. in the Zillah of Salem.

For Binding Letter Correspondence Statements &c	Rs	82 a 0
	Rs	82 at 0

Total Rupees 82

Salem, Collector's Cutchery } E E &c (Signed) H. A. Brett
28th March 1845. } Sub Collector in Charge

4589 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

57

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the fore-
(57-58) -going letter with its enclosure be sub-
-mitted for the orders of the Most-
Noble the Governor in Council-

The charge having been
incurred under an Estimate passed by
Government under date 18th Octo-
-ber last the Board begs
to recommend for Sanction
the actual disbursement amount-
-ing to Rupees 82-.-.-.-.-

Read

J.H.B.

4590 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Read the following letter.

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

59

54

From the Collector of South Arcot. Sir,

Para 1.

Affording further information regarding Enamdar of the Village of Davanun-thull and submitting several Documents in regard to his Claim with reference to Board's Proceedings dated 14th February 1845.-

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, I have the honor to report that on my calling upon the heirs of the deceased Enamdar of the Village of Davanunthull to produce any original instruments that may be in their possession in support of their Claim, they stated that the deeds they formerly possessed having been lost many years ago they have now no other Documents except those in the Huzzoor Records herein enclosed.-

2. On Comparing the translation of the above mentioned two Documents attested by Colonel Graham the first Collector of this District I find some inaccuracies in the translation of the Iyelcaumy, but not having a Persian Moonshee in my establishment I have thought it advisable to forward the said attested documents* with a fresh translation thereof for the inspection of the Board.-

* Two Documents to be Returned.

3. From the above Iyelcaumy which was given by the Shadareth at Arcot in the Fizlee

1214,

1214, it will be found that a former Nabob Zoolfakar Khan gave the Village in question as Enam to the then incumbent in the 22d Rum=zan in the 43d year of his reign, which period corresponds with A. D. 1761 as entered in the Register forwarded to the Board by Mr. Collector Hyde in 1815. The original grant issued by the Nabob Zoolfakar Khan was lost during the time of the War.

4th

On searching my records I found two Persian Pharist of Dehaut Enam Villages for fushlies 1206 and 1209 containing briefly some circumstances connected with the Village in question, although they differ in the year in which the Village was given by the Nabob Zoolfakar Khan, yet it appears that the grant was given for Muddutt=mash or subsistence of the grantee. An Extract from these Pharists with a translation thereof are also forwarded.

5

It is impossible to ascertain whether the original grant was intended for the Support of the Muddums or not, but it is a Known fact that the produce of the Village has been ap=propriated from a long time for the Support of the Muddum, and I believe Mr. Hyde upon the strength of that fact from verbal enquiry stated to the Board that it was a religious grant.

6.

On enquiry I have ascertained that

† 17th Feby. 1815 Item 4 & 8 Under the head of "Dehant Enam"

† To Board 4th Sept. 1823

that the Muddum is still Kept up from the pro=ceeds of the Enam, and as the recommendation of Mr. Hyde had been twice sanctioned by the Board and Government I have followed the same Course in the renewal of the grant of the Village in the name of the son of the last deceased incumbent.

7th

Agreeable to the Survey account there are exclusive of Porom=boke and Enam Cawnies 349/4 assessed with a Teerwah of Rupees 797-11-5.

	C	as	Ps	as.	P
†Ponjee	339	4	673	6	2
Nunjee	10	"	124	5	3
	349	4	797	11	5

South Arcot ....
Coll'r's Cutcherry ...
Cuddalore 28th March 1845.

[signed] M. D. Davis
Collector

No. 1.

Translation of the Copy of a Enayet namah under the Seal of late Mooddeen Khan the Town Cauzee of Arcot dated the 15th of the Month of Rubeeulawal Hizree Year 1204.

Sooboo Row the Renter of the Dis=trict of Trinamul is hereby informed that the Village of Dave Gundel in the said District has been granted to Roopnath Gosayee according to the Sunnud given by Zoolfakar Khan and after his death the same was granted to Pursootama Gosayee and is still continued in his favor. Now according to the request of Mooftee Sandooddeen it is hereby enacted that

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

that if the said Village is continued up to Fusly 1198, it should be placed in possession of the aforesaid person from the Fusly year 1199 on the condition that he fix his residence &c within the Country belonging to the Circar.-

/True Translation/
as near as can be.-
/signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector.

No. 2.

Translation of the Copy of an Ayelcawney or life Certificate bearing the seal and the signature of Mahommud Shareef Allee Khan the Sudur of the Town of Arcot dated the 5th of the Month of Shawal Hizree year 1214.-

That in conformity to the orders written to Looboo Row the Renter of the District of Tirnamul under the seal of the late Nabob dated the 15th Rubee ulawal 1204 Hizree Accor=ding to the Sunnud given by the late Joolfakur Khan bearing date the 2nd Ramzan in the 43d year of His Highness' accession to the Throne and with reference to the records of last year the Village of Dive Mundel in the aforesaid District was granted by way of Enam or as the means of Subsistence in favor of Pursoathama Gosayee, the disciple of the late Roopnath Gosayee after the demise of the latter, and the said Village is continued in his name till the Fusly

Year

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

year 1208.- Now in the present Fusly 1209 the order is issued on the 6th of the Month of Rajub 1214 Hizzree under his Highness seal directing that the Maniem lands and Dehaut Enam, Ma=yamay and Maray in the Talook of Tervooretlore Vca attached to the Town of Arcot having been continued up to last year they should Ac=cording to former Custom be continued for the present Fuslee year 1209.- The Original Enayet=namah has been entered in the Dewans record and a copy thereof is also entered in the Re=gister of the Enams Vca accordingly and agree=ably to the request of Mohuzum Sung Bahadoor /His Highness' Deputy/ the abovementioned Village has been continued in favor of the aforesaid Go=sayee residing in the said Purganah.-

Atemah Raw Oodasee the Agent of the said Gosayee has made his appearance and produced the Certificate of life together with a Copy of an Enayetnamah and a Sun=nud bearing the seal of Cauzy Mahommud Syeddoodeen Khan residing at the Town of Arcot and entered in the records of the Sadarut.- Of these, the said Copy of the En=ayetnamah and a Copy of the Sunnud are in his hands.-

Average Bariz of 3 Villages ------- 170 Chukrums
Deduct Bariz of Veeranundell Vca 2 Villages under Circar Zuft 150.- — Dca —
Nat Beriz of one Village 20 — Dca —

(True Translation)
as near as can be
/signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

No. 3

Translation of an Extract from the Pharist of Dehaut Onams for the Fasly year 1208.

That in conformity to the orders written to Sooboorow Renter of the Dis=trict of Trinamul under the Seal of the late Nabob dated the 15th Rabeenlawal Hizree 1204 and to the Sunnud given by the late Zoolfakur Khan bearing the date 22d Ramzan in the 33d year of his High=ness accession to the Throne the Village of Davanunthal in the aforesaid Dis=strict was granted after the death of the late Roopnauth Gosayee for the subsis=tence of his disciple Poorsuthma Gosayee and the Bariz of the said Village is 20 Chuckrums.

As per Sunnud.

Bariz of 3 Villages	170	Chuckrums
Deduct Bariz of 2 Villages under Cirkar Zuft	150	Do.
Nett Bariz of one Village	20	Do.

/True Translation/
as near as can be
/signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

No. 4

Translation of an Extract from the Pharist of Dehaut Onams for the Fasly year 1209.

That in conformity to the orders written to Sooboo Row Renter of the District of

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

of Trinamul under the Seal of the late Nabob dated the 15th Rabeenlawal Hizree 1204 and to the Sunnud given by the late Zoolfakur Khan bearing the 22d Ramzan in the 43d year of his Highness accession to the Throne the Village of Davanunthal in the aforesaid District was granted after the death of the late Roopnauth Gosayee for the subsistence of his disciple Poorsuthma Gosayee and the Bariz of the said Village is 20 Chuckrums.

As per Sunnud

Bariz of 3 Villages	170	Chuckrums
Deduct Bariz of 2 Villages under Cirkar Zuft	150	Do.
Nett Bariz of one Village	20	Do.

/True translation/
as near as can be
/signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore containing his report on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1253.-
/Hereanter No. 85/

57-60

Order thereon.
/Read again in Consn 17th April/
2d Consn 23d Decr 1844.

61

Proceedings thereon.--
Relative to the Manage=ment of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1253
A.D. 1843/44.

Settlement			
Fusly 1252	1000208	15	9
" 1253	931704	"	1
	68504	15	8

Para 1. In the Settle= of all the items of Revenue forming the Extra Sources in the Fusly under review in the Dis=trict of Tanjore there appears a decrease of Rs. 68504-15-8.-

The

FORT St. George 10th April 1845.

The Abstract Statement annexed to para 2 of the Collectors Report exhibits the heads of increase and decrease which produce the above result on the year.

Salt

In the sales of Salt there was an increase in the receipts of Rupees 4,868- or about 1 per Cent above the sales of the preceding Fusly the actual quantity dis=posed of in excess being Garce 45 as shown in the entry in the Margin.

Garces
1252- 3800-
53- 3845-
Excess- 45-

2. The sales for Home Consumption exceeded those effected in the previous year by Rupees 29,901 in price, but fell below in quantity to the extent of 189 Garce, which is attribu=ted by the Collector to the enhancement of the price of a Garce of Salt under orders issued by the Bengal Government from Rupees 105 to 180, introduced during the Fusly under consideration; that this cause produced the result above stated is sufficiently evident from the Comparison instituted in para 4 of the above letter with=regard to the sales effected prior and subsequent to the alteration in the selling value. The same causes produced an increase in the receipts for Inland Consumption of Rupees 3966 attended by a decrease of Garce 10 in the actual quantity disposed

FORT St. George 10th April 1845.

disposed of.

3. The Collector remarks that the general depression amongst the land holders which a succession of unfavorable seasons had produced is still affecting the Salt sales and that tho' some advance had been made in the price of Agricultural produce in Fusly 1253, that it had only partially ameliorated their condition, in addition to which he adds that the crops from Tamarind and Mango Trees continued deficient - large quantities of those fruits being usually preserved in Salt.

Exports by Sea.
1252- 1235
1253- 14791
10556

Rs
+ 9946

4. It is satisfactory to observe that the Export sales by Sea have risen consider=ably in the year under review the excess thus dis=posed of being 259 Garces amounting in value to Rupees 10,556 the greater proportion of which arose from the consignment supplied to the Island of Ceylon, at Rupees 42 per Garce on a requisition from that Government to be furnished with Salt from the Coast. The remainder arose from Vessels taking in Salt as dead weight in preference to grain as ballast.

5. In the sales for Karrical and Tranquebar there was a falling off in the former to a very trifling amount but in the latter

+ Rs as P.
20 5 4

latter a Deficiency appears of Rupees 1235. being at the rate of 36 per Cent between the sales for this Settlement in Fusly 1252. The Collector attributes this circumstance to one of two causes either that a portion of the supply required by Tranquebar is supplied from Karrical or that the poorer classes collect for their own use "the scrapings of the Salt with which the swamps in the Tranquebar Coast are covered during the hot season."

1252—3814
1253—2579
1235-

6-

The Board observe that although the consumption in Karrical nearly equals that of Fusly 1252, yet that that year again shews a slightly decreasing consumption compared to Fusly 1251 the inference therefore would be that the deficiency in the supply furnished for Tranquebar arises from the poorer Classes supplying their wants from the spontaneous Salt, in the manner noticed above- combined probably with some excent of illicit Traffic- The Board are glad to observe that a representation has been made on this subject to the Danish Government of these statements which they trust will lead to the prevention in future of any practices detrimental to the interests of the Salt Revenue of Tanjore-

+ decrease
Garce-8-30
Rs
105-10-

In

7

In para 9 of his report the Collector proceeds to furnish the explanation required by the Board and Government relative to a balance of Rupees 13835 which appeared in the Accounts under the head of Salt at the close of Fusly 1252, this amount as an arrear is stated to be merely nominal being the price of the Salt sold between the Date of the last remittance received into the Treasury and entered in the Accounts and the close of the Fusly year, shortly after which the whole was duly received from the several Depôts and carried to account The Board observe that in future it would be convenient that a foot note in explanation of any similar balance outstanding be entered in the Statements which accompany the Collector's report to this office-

8-

The total receipts under this head of Exctra Revenue including Current Exctra Collections and arrears during Fusly 1253, amounted to Rupees 420840—3—10. being Rupees 39386—4—11. above the receipts of 1252. the charges were however Rupees 911—1—10. less than in the past year and the Net Revenue was 369207—6—2. which exceeded by Rupees 40297—6—9. the Net receipts of Fusly 1252.

£1252-381453-14-11
1253-420840-3-10
Increase 39386-4-11

Charges
£1252-52543-15-6
£1253-51632-13-8
911-1-10

Net Revenue
£1252-328909-15-5
1253-369207-6-2
40297-6-9

In

Sayer

Sayer demand
1252-31,706-8-10
1253-22,026-10-11
96,679-13-11

9. In the aggregate Settlement of Inland customs there was a decrease on the outturn of the year under review of Rupees 96,679—13—11. This decrease is attributable to the operation of Act. VI of 1844 and occurred in the proportion entered in the Margin, on the several items composing the "Sayer" the particulars of which are detailed at length in paras 12 at 17, of the Collectors Report and do not call for further remark. It may be noted however that in explanation of the great decrease which is observed to have taken place in the Exports the Collector states that in the Article of Cocoanut Oil alone there has been decrease of duty of Rupees 2,461 from Karrical to Mauritius in consequence of the duty on that Article having been recently raised from 5 to 16 per Cent when imported into that Island in Foreign Bottoms.

Aumanie .... 59,551—14—9
Betel and Tabacco 27,141—15—4
Frontier duties .. 9,986—4—2
96,679—13—11

Gross Collections
1252-318035-14-3
1253-227,341-9-1
Decrease 90,693-5-2

Charges
1252 37,893-4-4
1253- 21,484-5-3
Decrease 16,408-11-1

Net Revenue
1252-280,142-13-11
1253-205,857-3-10
Decrease 74,285-10-1

10. The Gross Collections of Sayer during the year under review amounted to Rupees 2,27,341—9—1. the charges for the same period were 21,484—5—3. and the net revenue was 2,05,857—3—10. being Rupees 74,255—10—1 below that of the Fusly preceding.

The

11. The sea Customs revenue exhibits a decrease of Rupees 11,254 as shewn in the Statement annexed to para 19 of the Collectors letter, the results of a Net decrease of 7,283 on Exports and a Net decrease of Rupees 3,971 on the duty levied on Imports The Chief item of decrease in the Export trade is stated to have been Paddy and Rice, owing entirely to a diminished demand for this staple at the usual ports of importation in Ceylon, and throughout the Southern Districts. The increase of Rupees 2,173 under the head of Piece Goods appears to be owing to the addition of ½ per Cent in the duty leviable under the provisions of the Act quoted above which was thereby raised from 2½ to 3 per Cent on the Exportation of this Description of Article.

Garee 2561 Value Rs 4,946

† Increase 2173

12. The Gross Collections of the year amounted to Rupees 1,02,596-12-9. and deducting charges which were less than in

Fusly

Fusly 1252. by Rupees 1129–11–10. the Net revenue from the Sea Customs was Rupees 12,375–11–2. below that of the past year.

Abkarry 13. The Abkarry net profits exceeded that of Fusly 1252. by the large increase of Rupees 7,183–11–10. owing to the more general consumption of spirits in the Town of Combaconum, "which" the Collector observes "is no longer confined to the lower classes and inferior castes".

Moturpha 14. The Moturpha Net revenue on the other hand exhibits an increase of Rupees 99–14–3. the aggregate result of the fluctuations in the several items under this head and under the head of stamps

Stamps the net decrease owing principally to the diminished sale of Sayer Rawannahs on the abolition of that source of Revenue amounted to Rupees 8934.

15. The Gross Collections on all branches of Extra Revenue for the year under consideration amounted

amounted to Rupees 9,34788–6–10. the charges to Rupees 82,948–5–4. leaving a net revenue, of Rupees 851840–1–6. which exhibits a falling off of Rupees 47,069–4–4. compared with the Net receipts brought to account from this source in the District of Tanjore, in Fusly 1252.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed comparative statement of the Collections and Charges and Net Revenue, be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Tanjore for his information and guidance.

Fusly

4605

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Tanjore for Fusly 1253.

		Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Salt	Demand	38,756 13 3	42,413 14 9	4,295 1 6	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	13,335 13 2	17,216 12 "	3,380 14 10	" " "
	Current Collection	36,742 " 1	40,699 2 9	3,957 6 2 8	" " "
	Extra Dr.	3 " 8	7 3 11	4 3 3	" " "
	Arrears	14,029 14 2	13,335 13 2	" " "	194 1 "
	Total	38,145 3 14 11	42,034 3 10	3,938 6 4 11	" " "
	Charges	5,254 3 15 6	5,163 2 13 8	" " "	91 1 10
	Net Revenue	32,890 15 5	36,920 7 6 2	4,029 7 6 9	" " "
Sayer	Demand	31,670 8 10	22,002 6 10 11	" " "	9,667 9 13 11
	Balance on the 12th July	6,723 7 1	299 10 10	" " "	6,423 12 3
	Current Collection	30,998 3 1 9	21,972 7 " 1	" " "	9,025 6 1 8
	Extra Dr.	896 3 2	891 1 11	" " "	5 1 3
	Arrears	7,126 9 4	6,723 7 3	" " "	403 2 3
	Total	31,800 5 14 3	22,734 1 9 1	" " "	9,066 4 5 2
	Charges	3,789 3 " 4	2,148 4 5 3	" " "	1,640 8 11 1
	Net Revenue	28,011 2 13 11	20,685 7 3 10	" " "	7,425 5 10 1
Sea Customs	Demand	11,526 8 4 10	10,401 3 13 1	" " "	1,125 4 7 9
	Balance on the 12th July	2,289 10 8	4,064 1 3	1,774 6 7	" " "
	Current Collection	11,297 8 10 2	9,994 9 11 10	" " "	1,302 8 14 4
	Extra Dr.	448 3 2	357 6 3	" " "	90 12 11
	Arrears	2,675 6 5	2,289 10 8	" " "	385 11 9
	Total	11,610 2 3 9	10,259 6 12 9	" " "	1,350 5 7 "
	Charges	884 2 3 "	771 2 7 2	" " "	112 9 11 10
	Net Revenue	10,726 " 9	9,438 4 5 7	" " "	1,237 5 11 2
Sharry	Demand	6,581 " "	7,243 " "	662 " "	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	138 13 10	50 1 1	" " "	88 12 9
	Current Collection	6,567 2 2	7,237 9 14 11	670 8 12 9	" " "
	Extra Dr.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Arrears	5 " 6	138 13 10	133 13 4	" " "
	Total	6,567 6 2 8	7,251 8 12 9	684 2 10 1	" " "
	Charges	341 1 9	" " "	" " "	341 1 9
	Net Revenue	6,533 " 11	7,251 8 12 9	718 3 11 10	" " "

4606

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

		Fusly 1252			Fusly 1253			Increase			Decrease		
		Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P	Rs	a	P
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	Demand	26,864	12	2	25,991	8	7	"	"	"	873	3	7
	Balance on the 12th July	320	6	11	169	8	2	"	"	"	150	14	9
	Current Collection	26,544	5	3	25,822	"	5	"	"	"	722	4	10
	Extra Dr	"	"	"	2	4	"	2	4	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	398	10	"	320	6	11	"	"	"	78	3	1
	Total	26,942	15	3	26,144	11	4	"	"	"	798	3	11
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	26,942	15	3	26,144	11	4	"	"	"	798	3	11
Stamps	Demand	53,828	2	11	44,893	12	6	"	"	"	8,934	6	5
	Balance on the 12th July	1,134	1	"	820	6	"	"	"	"	313	11	"
	Current Collection	52,694	1	11	44,073	6	6	"	"	"	8,620	11	5
	Extra Dr	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	1,099	2	"	1,134	1	"	34	15	"	"	"	"
	Total	53,793	3	11	45,207	7	6	"	"	"	8,585	12	5
	Charges	2,868	2	"	1,502	13	7	"	"	"	1,365	4	5
	Net Revenue	50,925	1	11	43,704	9	11	"	"	"	7,220	8	"
Maturpha	Demand	40,474	5	9	40,134	4	3	"	"	"	340	1	6
	Balance on the 12th July	11	15	8	13	11	11	1	12	3	"	"	"
	Current Collection	40,462	6	1	40,120	8	4	"	"	"	341	13	9
	Extra Dr	17	11	8	6	5	7	"	"	"	11	6	1
	Arrears	9	2	10	11	15	8	2	12	10	"	"	"
	Total	40,489	4	7	40,138	13	7	"	"	"	350	7	"
	Charges	1,066	2	11	615	13	8	"	"	"	450	5	3
	Net Revenue	39,423	1	8	39,522	15	11	99	14	3	"	"	"
Total	Demand	1,000,208	15	9	931,704	"	1	"	"	"	68,504	15	8
	Balance on the 12th July	24,454	4	4	22,634	3	3	"	"	"	1,820	1	1
	Current Collection	975,754	11	5	909,069	12	10	"	"	"	66,684	14	7
	Extra Dr	1,365	2	8	1,264	5	8	"	"	"	100	13	"
	Arrears	25,343	13	3	24,454	4	4	"	"	"	889	8	11
	Total	1,002,463	11	4	934,788	6	10	"	"	"	67,675	4	6
	Charges	103,554	9	6	82,948	5	4	"	"	"	20,606	4	2
	Net Revenue	898,909	1	10	851,840	1	6	"	"	"	47,069	"	4

4607 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Read the following letter

(62)

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Sir,
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Tanjore. } I have the honor to submit the statement called for in your letter of the 20th February last.

Tanjore. }
Vellum 2d April 1845. } I / Signed / H.C. Montgomery,
Collector.

No 1
Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home Consumption from Fusly 1230 to 1252 inclusive in the District of Tanjore

Fuslies	Quantity of Salt Garce	M	M	Rate of Value per Garce CRs	Amount of Salt CRs	a	Q.	Remarks
1230	2925	287	3		2,04,800	4	6	
1231	2809	307	"		196,683	11	8	
1232	2604	268	"		182,326	14	7	
1233	2923	203	4		2,04,645	9	11	
1234	2922	328	4	70	2,04,597	7	9	
1235	2942	83	4		2,05,954	9	9	
1236	2808	391	"		196,628	6	11	
1237	2957	361	2		208680	"	4	
	22395	230	1		1604317	1	5	
Average 1238	2361	378	6		200539	10	2	
1239	2822	32	1/2		296304	6	"	
1240	2910	156	"		305591	10	8	
1241	2898	80	"		304,311	"	"	
1242	2911	343	6		305745	3	9	
1243	2991	57	4		314070	7	5	
1244	3113	258	"		326932	11	7	
1245	3166	312	"		332,511	14	5	
1246	3,055	1	4	105	320775	5	4	
1247	3072	258	4		322,627	13	9	
1248	3128	263	"		328506	6	6	
1249	3,204	212	6		336,475	13	5	
1250	3152	99	"		330985	7	3	
1251	3090	195	"		324,501	3	"	
1252	3095	311	"		324,956	10	3	
	29271	254	"		3071351	10	10	
Average	45,541	25	1/2		4731647	7	2	
	3036	28	2/2		318776	7	1	

Tanjore 31st March 1845 E.E. Pte / Signed / H.C. Montgomery
Collector

4608 Fort St. George. 10th April 1845.

To
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Asst P.C. Coll. of Canara } Parl.

(63)

I have the honor to forward the Statement called for in your letter of the 20th Ultimo, according to the form transmitted with it. The Statement exhibits the specific quantity of Salt sold for Home consumption at each rate since Fusly 1237 / 1827-28. The same detail cannot now be furnished for previous years, the old accounts having been destroyed.

2. I have appended such explanation of the fluctuations in different years as it is in my power to afford in the Col. of remarks.

Pt. Coll.s Catcherry.
Mangalore, - - - -
27th March 1845 - -

/ Signed / R. D. Parker
Acting Asst. Collector

Statement-

4609 Fort St. George, 10th April 1845.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold for Home Consumption from Fusly 1230 to 1252 inclusive in the District of Canara.

Fusly. 1	Quantity of Salt. 2 Garces	Md.	P.	Rate of Value per Garce. 3	Amount of Sale. 4	Remarks. 5
1230	1700	73	1	70 " " / 10 8 "	118187 15 9	The Excess in 1230 above 1231 was occasioned by much of the Salt exported Inland having been entered under the Head of Home consumption in the former year. Merchants were allowed to buy in the manufacturing Taloots and sell the Salt themselves in the Southern Taloots and
1231	709	25	3	70 " " / 10 8 "	48789 10 11	
1232	1100	388	0	87 8 " / 70 " " / 10 8 "	86575 5 10	
1233	1224	14	4	2 87 8 " / 70 " " / 10 8 "	97254 10 8	The Salt purchased by them was entered under the Head of Home consumption though a great portion was subsequently sold to Bringaries and carried inland. This cause will account for the decrease in the following year when the sales were taken by Government.
1234	1438	292	6	" 87 8 " / 77 " " / 70 " " / 10 8 "	116143 " "	
1235	1488	193	"	" 87 8 " / 77 " " / 70 " " / 10 8 "	119822 3 2	
1236	1488	13	5	2 87 8 " / 77 " " / 70 " " / 10 8 "	1208906 2	

4610

Fort St. George. 10th April 1845.

Sublies	Quantity of Salt			Rate of Value per Garce		Amount of Sale		Remarks
1	2			3		4		5
	Garces	Mds.	P. O.	£ Rs.	a P.	£ Rs.	a P.	
1237	7	150	" "	105	" "	774	6 "	
	999	38	1 3	87	8 "	87,420	13 10	
	23	76	" "	77	" "	1,785	10 5	
	500	127	2 "	70	" "	35,022	4 5	
	1	91	" "	10	8 "	12	13 3.	
	1531	82	3 3	-	- -	125015	15 11	
Total	10,680	283	6 1	"	" "	830,976	4 5	
Average	1335	35	3 6	"	" "	103872	" 6	
1238	1436	24	7 "	105	" "	150,786	8 0.	
	44	137	" "	87	8	3879	15 6.	
	"	25	" "	10	8 "	"	10 6.	
	1480	186	7 "	-	- -	154,667	2 6	
1239	1495	164	6 2	105	" "	157018	4 1.	
1240	7	280	" "	110	" "	818	10 4	
	1471	87	4 "	105	" "	154,477	15 7.	
	1478	373	4 "	"	" "	155,326	9 11	
1241	8	339	" "	110	" "	973	3 6	
	1529	54	5 3	105	" "	160,559	5 9.	
	1537	393	5 3	"	" "	161,532	9 3	
1242	9	175	" "	110	" "	1038	2 "	
	1307	393	7 4	105	" "	137,338	6 6	
	1316.	168	7 4	"	" "	138,376	8 6	
1243	10	140	" "	110	" "	1138	8 2	
	1384	71	6 1	105	" "	145,338	13 3	
	1394	211	6 1	"	" "	146,477	5 5	

Before the establishment of a Salt Holy at Buntival in this year the Sales at Mangalore used to be entered under the head of Home consumption, but subsequently it has been practicable to distinguish in the accounts at Buntival between the Home and Inland consumption which has caused an apparent decrease in the former-

4611

Fort St. George, 10th April, 1845

Fusleis-	Quantity of Salt-			Rate of Value per Garce-		Amount of Sale-			Remarks-
	Garces-	M.	P. O.	C R.	a P.	C R.	a P.		
1244	11	123	" "	110	" "	1,213	13	3	
	1,341	34	7 3¼	105	" "	140,814	2	6	
	1,352	157	7 3¼	"	" "	1,42,057	15	9	
1245	16	324	" "	110	" "	1,849	1	11	
	1,393	382	7 2	105	" "	1,46,365	7	10	
	1,410	306	7 2	"	" "	1,48,214	9	9	
1246	1	36	" "	110	" "	119	14	5	The disturbances in the Umr Soolia district put a stop to the sales in some Taluks.
	1,249	349	" 1	105	" "	1,31,236	10	1	
	1,250	385	" 1	"	" "	1,31,356	8	6	
1247	1,490	189	3 3	105	" "	1,56,499	11	5	
1248	1,494	387	1 "	105	" "	1,56,971	9	11	
1249	1,610	223	2 "	105	" "	1,69,108	9	9	The favorable prices of grain were the cause of the increase in this year-
1250	1,477	397	2 "	105	"	1,55,189	4	6	
1251	1,486	99	1 "	105	" "	1,56,056	2	5	
1252	1,505	363	2 "	105	" "	1,58,120	10	8	
Total	21,785	8	6 3¼	"	" "	2,28,697	3	10	4
Average	1,452	133	7 4	"	" "	1,52,464	14	7.	

Mangalore
27th March 1845-

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acting Princl. Collector

Ordered

Order on the two foregoing letters — Ordered to lie on the table.

(62–64)

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(65)

From R. J. Sullivan Esqr
Collr & Magt & Asst Collr
in Charge of Trichinopoly

Sir
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant calling for a detailed explanation in the appeal case of Pichoovien late Curnum of Parriacoorchy Village in Conaud Talook.

Submitting detailed explanation in the Appeal Case of Pichoovien late Curnum of Parriacoorchy Village in Conaud Talook.

2nd The amount of the settlement of Fusly 1253 of the above mentioned Village was Rupees 1,556 annas 12 Puce 11. The amount collected (up to the end of June) and remitted to the Treasury was Rupees 1,500 annas 14 Puce 8 leaving a balance of Rupees 55 annas 14 Puce 3. The appellant when called on by the Talsildar gave an account of balance against three Ryots to the amount of Rupees 26 annas 11 Puce 4 which was collected from them and paid into the Treasury the remaining balance of Rupees 29—2—11 became due by the Appellant as there was no balance due by any ryot.

During

During his examination before
3rd
the Talsildar he paid Rupees 18 Annas 6 — a balance of Rupees 12 Annas 12 Puce 11 remained due by him when he was forwarded to the Huzzoor Cutcherry. In his deposition before Mr Onslow it appeared that a sum of Rupees 10 Annas 4 was deducted from his remittance on account of Saut Curnums pay in Talook Cutcherry.

4th It is the custom for the Saut Curnums doing duty at the Talook Cutcherry to be paid by the Curnums at different rates the highest being 30 Cullums annually and the lowest one according to the revenue of the Village.

5th The Village Curnums receives from the cultivators as a fee at the rate of 8½ Marcals of Paddy for each Parachay of land yielding a produce of 40 Cullums this fee appears to have been fully realized by the Appellant for Fusly 1253 he therefore was answerable for the Saut Curnums pay of Rupees 10 Annas 4 which ought to have been paid from the before mentioned fee this sum Rupees 10 Annas 4 was accordingly collected from him still leaving a balance of Rupees 12 Annas 12 Puce 1, due to the Circar to which Mr Onslow superadded a fine of Rupees 6 making in all 18 Rupees

omitting

omitting the fraction -

6. As the case appears clearly to have been one of fraud I should imagine the appellant should be held responsible -

7. The confession before the Tahsildar does not appear to have been extorted by torture, as Tundarawoo is the word used and he made no complaint when before the Magistrate -

8. Mr. Onslow's decision appears to have been made from a deposition taken by himself from the appellant -

Trichinopoly District,
Cutlay, - - - - -
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry,
20th March 1845 - - -

} [Signed] R. J. Sullivan
Asst. to Coll.r in Charge -

Proceedings thereon,

(65-66) The Defendant is charged with fraudulently misappropriating to his own use the sum of Rupees 12-12-11 being part of the collections made by him on account of Government from the Ryots of Parrea Coorchy in his capacity of Cumum -

On an attentive perusal of the evidence taken in this case which accompanies the above correspondence together with the defence set up by the accused, the Board are of opinion that the offence with which

which he stands charged is clearly substantiated and proved, and they accordingly resolve to confirm the sentence of fine and dismissal from Office passed by the Collector under the Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 -

Under the impression of the guilt of Pitchoo Tyen above recorded the following Endorsement is ordered to be passed on his appeal Petition submitted to the Board -

Endorsement - "After careful consideration of the Proceedings held in this case the "Board of Revenue approve and confirm the decision of the Collector passed under the "Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 of fine "and dismissal, and they therefore reject the "prayer of the Petitioner."

Read the following letter -

To,
J. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

From J. Blackburne Esq.re
Principal Collector - -
of Madura - - - -

} Sir,

(67) The joint Magistrate in having convicted Sham Row of extorting 13 Rupees in the year 1840 in No. 107 of 1844 fined him under Regulation III of 1819 in 50 Rupees on the 31st December 1844 -

which

which was immediately issued and carried to the credit of Government in the accounts of the subsequent Month.

Having reversed his decision on the 4th and submitted both his and mine to you on the 6th Instant, I have the honor to request sanction for its repayment.

Madura, — } 22d March 1845 }

/Signed/ J. Blackburne.
Principal Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secy to Govt } (67-68)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura stating that the amount of a fine imposed by the Joint Magistrate upon Sham Row Tahsildar of the Tenneray Talook of his District under a sentence passed by that Officer under Regulation III of 1819, but reversed on appeal by the Magistrate, had, prior to that decision in appeal, been levied and carried to account, and requesting sanction for its repayment. The Board beg to recommend that this sanction may be granted.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George. — } 10th April, 1845. — } /Signed/ J. Pycroft. Secretary.

Read

Read the following letter

To, J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Princl Collr of Madura (69)

In reference to the 2d para of my letter of the 11th Instant I did not mean to have troubled you with my decision cancelling that of the Joint Magistrate in case N° 104 of 1844, but finding your orders necessary to the restoration if you deem proper of Lukshmanaraniah, Police Poysam of Taudicomboo, I think it more fair to send you the two decisions than a more abstract of the state of the case.

See 6th Para 8 Decision of this date in case of Sham Row & Lukshmanaraniah.

Madura — } 22d March 1845 }

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Collector

No 1

Joint Magistrate's Register N° 102 of 1844.

Plaintiff — Versus — Defendants

Circar on the information of Palaneyandy Pilly alias Palaniappah Pilly

1 Sham Row late Head of Police of Taudicamboo.
2 Lakshmanarania Police Poysam in the Cachry over which 1st Prisoner presided.

Charge.

The 1st Prisoner is charged with having on

Fort St George 10th April 1845

on a certain day about April or May 1842 extorted from one Tirumanikam Pilly 2d Witness, the sum of 200 Rupees, to release him from the restraint & ill usage to which, as Head of Police, he subjected the said 2d Witness, against whom a charge of having caused the death of his own servant Velleen or Velliah Poonan, inhabitant of the same Village Rotta-pully patty, had been preferred & with having so released him on receipt of the said sum. The 2d Prisoner is charged with extorting from the same individual on the same occasion, the sum of 50 Rupees—

Witnesses—

Palaneyandy Pilly alias Palaneyappah Pilly, informer, 2 Tirumanickam Pilly son of Poosiah Pilly, 3 Sholey; Tirumanikam 4 Pilly son of Katchi-mottoo Pilly; Kalliappah 5 Gounden, 6 Poonnah Pilly; Carupah Pilly Alagha 8 mottoo Shevvakaren, Palaneyandy 9 Pilly; kylasum 10 Talook Suffadar, and Abdul 11 Kadir Talook Peon—

Witnesses made ready on the investigation of No 59 of 1843, & sent for by a Peon—

Decision

For the better understanding the bearings of this case, it will be necessary to give an outline of the Police & Magisterial cases &c. preceding it, Before the Joint Magistrate one Palaneyandy Pilly, as informer, stated in a Petition dated 6th of June 1843 / No 909/43 / and gave a Deposition, on solemn affirmation, on the 10th to the effect that in the Village of Rottapully

Fort St George 10th April 1845

Rottapully patty attached to the Maramoot Division of the Talook of Tadekamboo, one Tirumanikam Pilly, 2d Witness, son of Poosiah Pilly, had a man in his service by name Vellien, whom he called to thrash some grain, but as he was slow to obey the call, he gave him a kick on the Testicles, by which he died, that the Tahsildar examined the case, but with the Talook Poysam Lakshmanaruven / 2d Deft / took bribes and concealed the same; that he had informed the subject to the Principal Cachary, but no notice had been taken of the matter. His Witnesses would fully prove his case. The son of the deceased, being sent for, he also gave a Petition / No 952/43 / dated 12th June, stating to a somewhat similar effect, on which a summons for the Witness & a warrant for the Defts were issued. The Joint Magistrate examined the Defts and 2 Witnesses in their own Village, on the following day, but, by the evidence sufficient was not elicited to fix the matter on the Defts, and they were therefore released on the 13th of June. It appeared by the Records that Palaneyandy or Palaniappah Pilly, on the 11th of March 1842, first brought the case to the notice of the Head of Police, stating that the same had been hushed up by money being given, or promised to the family of deceased. 2 days afterwards the son of deceased also petitioned the Head of Police, and was, examined, but on what date

date does not appear, nor is the signature of Sham Row, the Head of Police, affixed thereto. A further Deposition was taken from him on the 22d of March 42 / after the examination of the Witnesses / but Sam Row's signature is missing there also. To a third instalment of this Deposition, there is no date but the Tahsildar's sign. The questions put by him to the Plaintiff, are not particularly useful, nor the answers free from suspicion. The Petitioner had written 15 Witnesses, which was altered, apparently, by the taker of the Deposition to 16. It appears by the Talook ephemeris that instead of examining any of the said 16 Witnesses or so many of them as were made ready on the 17th of March in consequence of his summons of the 13th idem, he proceeded on the 19th to the Village of Manganamoot where to examine to Kottiapully patty case, and took the evidence of 4 persons not mentioned in the Petition or summons as if they were the 9 cooters. He should have examined them all, if any, and after, not before, those mentioned in the Petition, since those at the Talook Cachery would be afraid to give their evidence against the Village Officers' statements, so that the arrangement of the Head of Police thus at once prevented the summoned parties from divulging the matter in their Depositions before him. A summons was apparently sent for deceased's wife Pange and relative Sholey, but whether as Witnesses or Defendants, is not known. The deceased's son

son Madaley stated in his Petition that when he went there crying, the Natamgar's son Tirumanickam Pelly would not allow either him (Madaley) nor any of the family to see the dead body but sent it away by his own people. The carrying of a dead body in a cumbly, is of itself an unheard of event. A quite sufficient of itself to raise the strongest suspicions, even if Sham Row had not acknowledged receiving Palaneyandey Pelly's Petition, mentioning the bribes paid to hush up the matter. The Head of Police having proceeded to the adjoining Village might, and certainly should, have examined the Defendants, particularly the Natamgar's son, more especially when he deemed it necessary to state in his Arzy to the Acting Joint Magistrate that he would enquire further respecting the truth of the stated murder. Such, however, does not appear to have been done or ever intended, though it could not fail to keep up the fears of the Defendants in that case, and of which due advantage appears to have been taken. It further appears that on the 13th of April 1812, the Acting Joint Magistrate (the Joint Magistrate being in the Zillah Court) sent a Petition dated 8th idem, received from the Magistrate, to whom it was addressed by the abovesaid Palaneyandey Pelly, stating to the effect that the violent death of the Pallar Vellien, had been concealed, and Sham Row had told him that if he would give a

Petition

Petition to the Cutcar, he would then examine the case and show how 50 Rupees profit would come to him/ the Petitioner Pallaneyandey Pilly/ that afterwards the Head of Police gave orders to bring Teerumanikam Pilly with hand cuffs on which occasion 200 Rupees had been extorted, but nothing had yet been given as promised for the Petition on the subject, for all which he had ten Witnesses; and that since Sham Row came to the Tadikombu Talook many Ryots had been perfectly ruined. In reply to this accusation Sham Row stated that he had received a Petition from the said Palaneyandy Pilly but as he was not connected with the deceased, he could not trust it and therefore sent for deceased's son Madaley, who stated he knew nothing of the particulars, but hoped his Witnesses and those of Palaneyandy Pilly, would be examined, all of whom he sent for, and that Petitioner was a bad man.

On the 16th of June 1843, the day following the release of the Prisoners before the Joint Magistrate in No. 59 of 1843, the Complainant Tirumankam Pilly son of Poosiah Pilly, deposed on solemn affirmation, that although he had not committed the act imputed to him, the Head of Police and Roysam (the 1st & 2nd Defendants) he had been put in the stocks and otherwise ill treated for 7 or 8 days, and promised, if released, to yield to the demands

demands of the Prisoners for 200 Rupees to one and 50 Rupees to the other, and that he had Witness ready to prove it.

The 1st Witness Palaneyandey Pilly, who had already given information on the said subject, deposed to having seen 200 Rupees delivered to Sham Row, and heard from others that Lakshmanaranien had extorted 30 Rupees, but did not see it given.

2nd Witness Tirumanikam Pilly son of the Katangar, deposed to his having given 200 Rupees to the Head of Police Sham Row and 50 Rupees to the Police Roysam Lakshmanaraniah, to be released from such ill-treatment. The 3d 4th 5th 6th 7th & 8th and 9th Witnesses deposed to seeing the sums stated by Tirumanikam Pilly, paid 10th Witness, the Talook Duffadar, Thylasam stated that when he proceeded to Sham Row, on circuit for certain Maramut purposes, he was ordered to make the parties, in the said case, ready in the Talook Cutchery on the following day, which he did, and when he found the Defendants released, he enquired the result, and was told that they had been released on payment of money. The 11th Witness, Police Peon, Abdul Kawder, lately Revenue Peon, deposed to making the Witnesses ready according to summons in one case, but he only recollects making a return to one summons, although he made the 2 persons mentioned in the other, ready. On reference to the said summons as it certainly appears that the signatures are different, & it is most likely that although

although he carried the summons for 12 persons, so dated 13th March 1812, he wrote the return on the one for 2 persons. My opinion on this subject is founded on the following points. The sign on the summons apparently dated 19th, corresponds with his writing in his Deposition, and the 10 specimens of his handwriting enclosed in the said Deposition, whilst that on the summons dated 13th, is quite different, and without mention of his duty, which is not the case in any other of his specimens. The return itself shews it could not have been written on the same day by the same person, In such slow writing and could scarcely be necessary, or, if so, it would be more requisite on the last word or signature than other parts; but there being none on the signature, shews it was not written immediately after the return and by the position, there is reason to believe the Head of Police signed his name before the Peon's was placed there. In the other summons, in which the Peon's name is not entered as authorizing him to carry it, the date will be seen to have been written 20th and the first letter of the word Vindigul written, when it appears to have been remembered that the Head of Police, was, on the 19th at Collapattady, and the date altered accordingly. The Peon's statement respecting carrying only one summons, appears therefore to

to be fully borne out by appearances and facts, and he must have been deceived as to the summons on which he made a return. With reference to the omissions, the Head of Police laid the blame on 2nd Defendant, the Police Roysam, as if it was the Roysams duty to write Sham Rows name. Similar omissions occur in very many cases, as will be shown on a future occasion. Both Defendants denied the charge of Extortion, but declined to put any questions to the Witnesses who were made ready for that purpose. The charge having been fully proved against the said Defendants, they are sentenced each to one months imprisonment in the Zillah Jail at Madura, according to the provisions of Clause 2 Section 3 Regulation IV of 1819.

In consequence of there being more than one case against the 2d Defendants and several against the 1st Defendant which had not been brought to a close, and their presence being required before the Judicial Tribunals, particularly that of the former, for several months, this case with others, was not disposed of at an earlier date. The Prisoners being Circar Servants under suspension the dates of their apprehension in this case, can only be considered with reference to the dates of their Depositions in the present and other cases Magisterial and Revenue brought against them.

/ Signed / W. Elliot
Joint Magistrate

15th February 1815

Translate

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

N° 2.

Translate of a Memo: to the Joint Magistrate.

On the 23d May last the Joint Magistrate suspended Letchmenarainien Police Koysum of Tadicomboo for not taking down properly the Deposition given before the Tahsildar by Natchy Cotty Defendant in the case of murder of Soobramony pilly,—and the case not being closed, it was appealed against on the 19th August, when the proceedings being called for it was received with the Memo: of the 2d Instant.

From the depositions given on solemn affirmation on the 10th 11th 19th and 20th May before the Joint Magistrate by Veeraputtrah pilly Prosecutor and four Witnesses and the Tasildar's Report of the 11th May there is no proof of such improper engrossment.

On the 6th June Palany appa pilly complained that Sham Row Tahsildar had received 200 Rupees and the aforesaid Koyasum 40 in a case of Murder.—On the 18th and 28th June and 2d August Thiroonaraiken (Prosecutor) and 8 Witnesses depose on solemn affirmation that a person having died from pains in the Stomack, they were intimidated into giving the bribe, but their Statements contain great contradictions and improbabilities,

nor

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

nor does it any where appear that the accused Koyasum was ever called and examined, or any statement taken from him.

From these circumstances the Magistrate concluding the Joint Magistrate was of opinion the accusation is void of proof directs the Joint Magistrate will restore the said Koyasum to his situation.—The Proceedings &c. are herewith returned.

6th September 1843.

/ True translate /

/ Signed J. Blackburne

Magistrate.

Decision in appeal by the Magistrate under Clause 3 Section V Regulation II of 1827, on one passed by the Joint Magistrate in N° 104 of 1844 on the 15th February 1845, convicting the then Tasildar of Tadicomboo, Sham Row, and his Police Koyasum, Lukshmanaraniah, of extortion in the year 1842, and sentencing them to one Month imprisonment in Jail under provision of Clause 2 Section III Regulation IV (an evident mis-quotation for Regulation III) of 1819.—

To support the correctness of his decision in this case, the Joint Magistrate Mr. William Elliot finds it necessary to state his view of a Charge of Murder out of which it had risen, and I would have endeavored to follow, in his own

own order, Mr Elliots shewing of the case and bearing of the evidence, but it seems easier to give my own view of the prior proceedings rather than follow the Joint Magistrate in his—

2 On the 11th March 1842 a Volunteer informer brought to the notice of Shanrow the homicide, by Tirmanikam, of his Agricultural servant, Vellian, and the suppresion of the offence on the gift, or promise, of money to the relations of the deceased, and it was confirmed by the son of the deceased two days after when questioned on the subject by the Tasildar, but on the examination of the widow, in common with the son of the deceased, and a sufficient number of witnesses to satisfy him there had been no homicide, and that the party had died from natural causes, he reported the same to the Joint Magistrate, on the 25th March.—

On the 8th April the same informer addressed himself, by post, to the Magistrate repeating the assertion of the homicide, and that the Tasildar had gone to the Village and had so intimidated the accused as to have received, thro' him, the informer, 200 Rupees, for its suppresion. Referred to the Acting Joint Magistrate, and by him to the Tasildar, it was reported on by him, on the 16th April, and his denial was readily accepted.—

Brought

Brought again before the Joint

No. 59 of 1843 3

Magistrate by the same informer, on the 6th June 1843, it was personally investigated by him, and in No. 59 of 1843 he records under date the 15th Idem, that there was not the least doubt of the death having occurred as stated by the informer but that from the refusal of all the chief people of the village to speak out, and from the unnatural part taken by the widow, nothing remained but to dismiss the case: but, at the same time, he dismissed the Nattamgar as unworthy, from his own statement of further trust.—

On the next day, the 16th June 1843, the Joint Magistrate entering on the investigation of case No. 104 of 1844, on the prosecution of Government against Sham rao and Lukshmanaraniah, for corruption, records the 1st Witness, the informer Palaniandy Pilly, as deposing on solemn affirmation to having seen 200 Rupees given by another person to Sham rao, and as having heard that 30 Rupees had been given to Lukshmanaraniah. He records the 2d Witness Tirmanikom pillay, as stating upon solemn affirmation that having been put in the stock, and otherwise ill treated for 7 or 8 days, and promised, if released, to yield to the demand of the prisoners for 200 Rs. to one and 50 Rs. to the other he had accordingly given it. The seven next Witnesses deposed to seeing the money paid—the 10th Witness talook Duffadar, deposed to having brought the parties to the kutcherry, and being subsequently informed of their release after

Examination dated 16 June 43
8th Nov. 44
4th Jan. 45
16th June 43
18th Nov. 44

Examined at 1, 2 and 3 different times from in June 1843 November 1844 January 1845 & August 1843.

after payment of money; and the 11th Witness, then a revenue peon deposed to having caused the attendance of witnesses.—

Examined in March 1844.

In his address to the Magistrate of the 8th April 1842, 1st Witness Palanyandy pillay, states that the 200 Rupees were given to Sham rao thro' him.—

1 Witness — Palanyandy pillay

In his deposition before the Joint Magistrate of 10th June 1843 he states that the 200 Rupees were paid to Sham rao, in his presence by the Natamgar of Munganamooth, at the pagoda of that village, no one being present at the time but one Vencatasawmy naik, which name does not appear against the Witnesses.—

Nachymootoo pillay.

The Witness in his address of the 6th June 1843, to Joint Magistrate states that he had complained of the homicide to the Tasildar at his instigation, on his [Sham Rao] promising him fifty Rupees for so doing, but he never received the money [the object being to enable the Tasildar to extort hush money]; and in the deposition of the 10th June 1843 the informer asserts that Sham rao promised to give him employment if he would be silent in the matter.—

The 2d Witness Tirvianickom pillay maintains the deceased died a natural death, and that it was 2 months after the occurrence that the 2 defendants came to his village for the enquiry, after which he was sent for to Dindigul, the busbah of the talook

2d Witness Tirmanickom pillay.—

talook where he was detained in custody for 7 or 8 days, and to avoid the threat to put handcuffs on him [the Joint Magistrate is in error in stating handcuffs ever were put upon him] he "one morning in presence of the 3d and 4th Witnesses [sholay and a second Tirmanickom pillay] gave Sham rao 200 Rupees, whilst sitting on the pial of his house at Dindigul, that when the above 3 persons went to sham rao's house, two other persons were there, names and features unknown, which last two persons were sent away by the Tasildar before the money was given: that no others were present— and that he then went with the said 3d and 4th Witnesses, and paid the 50 Rupees to Lukshmanaraniah, at the 7½ Indian hour of the morning".—

Improbabilities of his Evidence.—

There is no attempt on the part of the Joint Magistrate to elicit the cause of this witness coming forward to criminate himself, at the same time with the 2 defendants, 14 months after the giving of the bribe; no attempt to elicit the discrepancy between his and the 1st Witness' evidence on the fact of giving the bribe to Sham rao, nor is any attempt made to elicit how the money was obtained, and how the second Witness contrived to leave the guard room, if indeed he was in custody as he says, or in handcuffs, as recorded by the Joint Magistrate.—

The 3d and 4th Witnesses Sholay [a Piller

Puller whose caste would prohibit approach to a Bra=
=min/ and a second Permanikom, relation of 2d Wit.
=ness, states that the decease of Vellien took place in
Massee Feby & March
=gation two months afterwards and that from fear to be
made a prisoner the 2d Witness Permanikam, gave
the bribes to the 1st and 2d accused in the man=
=ner, and under the circumstances deposed to by him/2d Witness/-

The 5.6.7.8. and 9th Witnesses were residents,
of 3 different villages, and appearing upon summons,
depose to witnessing the bribes being given respectively
to the 1st and 2d accused in Mausee Feby & March yet there is no
attempt to elicit how they recollect the particular
month, when the other witnesses speak to the occur=
=rence as having taken place two months subsequently-
and I cannot find in the record any clue by which
the Joint Magistrate should have known how
to address, his summonses.—

4 The 1st Witness gave his original —
information to the Tasildar on the 11th March 30 mausee, and
the record shews the Tasildar proceeded to the village on
the 19th march 81 Pangoony and they prove also that he made his report
on the matter to the Joint Magistrate on the 25th March 1842.
11th Pangoony

Taking into consideration the tolerable
promptitude of proceeding in the investigation and
report of the Tasildar, the improbabilities and
inconsistencies,

inconsistencies of all the witnesses, their great discrepancies
one from another, as well as to time, place, circumstance, and
persons - the worthless character of the 1st Witness, by his
own showing, and as corroborated by the proceedings, the
implication of the 2d witness in the prior charge of homicide-
these two being the chief witnesses to the bribe, they two —
being, also, parties to the pretended corruption, I find it
my duty to annul the decision of the Joint Magistrate
and fully acquit Sham Rao and Lukshmanaraniah
of the charge laid against them.—

The contradictions in the case are too nu=
=merous and dispersed to allow the magistrate to unra=
=vel each with distinctness, and he has therefore
confined himself to the principal and most
important ones.—

5. The case might well have been dis=
=posed of by Mr Elliot in June 1843, when the —
investigation was all but closed, and might have been
fully, for Sham rão was not sent to the Criminal
Court till March 1844, or at all events in November
of that year when the renewed investigation was —
made;- and the continued procrastination till
the 15th February 1845 seems to the magistrate very
unjust towards the accused, and may countenance
the 1st defendants belief in his apertain that the

Joint

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Joint Magistrate has determined on his own.—

In his decision of the 15th February the Joint Magistrate dwells much on the omissions of dates and signatures and other irregularities, and on the want of skill of putting pertinent questions to the parties, and seems to infer a tampering with returns made by the 11th witness to two summonses, in which however he has erroneously fixed upon one summons the opinion applied by the deponent to the other, and he seems to have overlooked that the alterations or omissions in the summonses are /if true/ of no importance whatever to the case in question.—

6. There is scarcely any Officer, native or European, who does his duty with such precision that, on subsequent enquiry and research, error, and often great error, and irregularity shall not be found upon the record; but it is harsh to take advantage of such, and upon them to doubt the integrity of the subordinate officer. and in elucidation of this observation I will remark that the record under consideration has a summons of the Joint Magistrate dated the 14th November 1844 in which the name of the peon to whom it was entrusted is not entered. nor does the name of the returning peon appear, and the Joint

Magistrates'

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Magistrates initials only are there instead of full signature.

Again, having signed his English decision in No. 59 of 1843 he adds a "P.S. The further enquiry into the bribe deposed to have been taken by the Tasildar tends considerably to strengthen the suspicion of the truth of the charge in the above case, but no further direct proof of the actual homicide has been elicited."—

/Marked/ "W.E. Joint Magistrate"

now in the above few lines, there is erasion of the words "to this day" and in lieu of them are written in the Joint Magistrates own hand "to have been taken by the Tasildar" and at the end, there is no signature but only the initials W.E and no date

Again, the Joint Magistrate makes use of the documents taken in No. 59 of 1843 as valid evidence in No. 104 of 1844.—

And, again, the 2d witness in No. 104 of 1844, being one of the two principal parties in the case of bribery and corruption, should not have been required to /should have been prevented from/ criminating himself on solemn affirmation.—

He has recorded the sentence as under Regulation IV instead of Regulation III of 1819. and I am inclined to believe, under deference to superior authority, that the Regulation quoted does not authorise

incarceration

incarceration in Jail, except in commutation, on re-
-fusal to pay the fine; and I am further of opinion
the power of fine being so limited in proportion to the
50 Rupees. bribe believed to have been given, and the believed of-
-fenders being of such high rank and power, it was
not competent to the Joint Magistrate to have dis-
-posed of the case; but it was incumbent on him
(being satisfied of the sufficiency of the proof)
to have committed the case to the criminal court.—

7. On the very day of the decision, the
15th Feby. Royasum (2d Defendant) appealed to me, stating
that on a prior appeal to me against his suspension by
Mr. Elliot in May 1843, I had, after inspection
of the grounds, directed his restoration:— That on a
subsequent false complaint against him by an
informer Pillapa Chetty he had been committed to
the criminal court, and forwarded by the subordi-
-nate to the sessions Judge where he was acquitted:— That on
production of his verdict I had again directed his re-
-storation, when the Joint Magistrate revived the
present case, on perusal of which I had before ordered
his restoration to employ, and being now sentenced to one
months imprisonment in Jail for the very offence of
which I had before pronounced him innocent, he made his appeal

8. The Royasum Lukshmanaraniah
was suspended by Mr. Elliot on the 23d May 1843,
pending

pending his investigation into this charge of extortion
and of suppression of murder out of which it grew:
On his appeal of the 19th August, I examined the grounds,
and on the 6th September pronounced him innocent,
and desired the Joint Magistrate to restore him to
Office, Copy and translate is annexed to this decision—
The Joint Magistrate restored him accordingly but
suspended him again on the 13th February 1844. but with-
out favoring me with any communication and I was left to
believe my instructions had been obeyed in full spirit.—
Mr. Elliot committed him on
the 23d February to the criminal court on a charge
of preparing false registers and for fraudulent conceal-
-ment of a document, and for perjury; on the 2d April
he was transferred from the subordinate to the sessions
Judge, by whom he was acquitted, on the 13th May. of
the first charge, as well for want of proof, as that
if their had been proof, it was competent to the Joint —
Magistrate himself to have disposed of the case by fine,
suspension or dismission.—
And on the 18th Idem, the
sessions Judge declined to try him for the perjury
because the evidence was the same in both this case,
and the one above alluded to and further that the
points on which he was charged to have given con-
-tradictory depositions were not material to the issue of the
case

lease them pending before the Joint Magistrate.

On the 1st July 1844 I directed his restoration to Office and on the Joint Magistrates reverting in his answer, protracted till the 8th August, after being again called upon on the 31st July, to his not yet having passed his decision in his complicity in the murder case Vca as a case for non compliance, I begged him, on the 12th Idem, to keep the pay at least in deposit till the matter should be settled,- meantime having given some of the pay to a person he had appointed to act, and kept the rest in deposit, it was on the 15th February before he could be induced to pass the decision now in consideration and judging from these circumstances Mr. Elliots determination not to reemploy him, I do not reiterate my request but leave it to the consideration of the Board.

9. On the 21st of the same Month of February, the 1st Defendant Shamrão also made his Appeal, resting his Defence from the supposed suppression of murder on his two accepted reports to the Joint Magistrate at the time of the occurrence- attributing the revival of that charge and the new one of extortion to the malice of a notorious informer, Pillapa Chitty, and insinuating that Palaniandy pillay has been rewarded for his services against him / appellant by the Nattamai of

10th Witness of Coombaspilly, and the Duffadar, Kylasum, by restoration to his situation from which he had been previously suspended by Mr. Elliot.

I am not aware of the two latter circumstances, but neither do they appear of any importance in the present state of the case.

Madura 22d March 1845 } / Signed / J. Blackburne Magistrate

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

69-70

To The Principal Collector Madura

To the Pl. Coll.r of Madura. Stating that it is open to him in the exercise of his own powers of control to cause the restoration of Lutchmanarayenah to the Office of Police Roysum. Jud. Cons. 10th April

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d Ultimo giving cover to copy of your decision cancelling that of the Joint Magistrate in Case No. 104 of 1844, and leaving it to the Board to order the restoration of the Police Roysum Lutchmanarroyenah if they think proper

2 It would appear that you have been induced to make the present application, in place of restoring Lutchmanaroyenah yourself, because from the delay which has occurred in the disposal of the case by the Joint Magistrate and from the general tenor of his proceedings towards the Roysum, you are of opinion that the question as to reinstatement of that individual may most fitly be referred for the consideration of

1640

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

of this Office. The Board cannot think that their interposition is called for. It is clearly open to you the exercise of your own powers of control to cause the restoration of Lutchmenarayenah if you see fit. From the papers which you have submitted it does not appear that since your acquittal of the Royaum you have addressed any order to Mr. Elliot regarding his re employment. It will remain for you to do so. and the Board do not doubt that the Joint Magistrate will pay due regard to any instructions which he may receive from you on the subject.-

3 The Board see no reason to question the conduct of the Tahsildar and Royaum in case No. 104 of 1844 nor the propriety of your reversal of the sentence passed upon them by Mr. Elliot but as your proceedings in this appeal were held by you in your Magisterial capacity it does not fall within the province of this Office to offer remarks upon them.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th April 1845,

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To

1641

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Principal Collector of Madura

71.

Sir

On the 2d Instant I had the honor to receive the Board's Proceedings of the 27th Ult= =imo in the matter of the Tahseldars Sham Rao and Dhoondoo Rao. I am sorry to trouble you again on a subject supposed to be finally disposed of, but it seems necessary to inform you why I cannot for the present carry out the Board's wishes in favor of Sham Rao.

The Board will be aware from

2d my letter of the 22d Ultimo that I have reversed Mr. Elliot's second magisterial decision against Sham Rao dated 15th February 1845 I have no other before me, for notwithstanding Mr. Elliot's intimated intention of the 8th March (communicat= =ed in my letter of the 11th Idem) to submit several more decisions against Sham Rao within the month, not one was received, but, on the 25th of that month he sent him again to the Criminal Court, charging him with having, on the 1st June 1843, taken depositions from witnesses to do away the impressions they had given to a former Tahseldar of the same taluk, as proved by a note from him, subsequent to his suspension, to the assistant Roy= =asem Sendhana Pillay under Regulation VIII of 1829.

as per Tamil report of the case to the Criminal Court.

a

a Police officer being bound to give decision on evidence first taken it is a very serious offence to take new evidence in Contradiction of the first and therefore sent him to the Criminal Court under Regulation III of 1819.

Date of obtaining knowledge of the offence 14th January 1845. apprehension 7th Februe=ary - Government complainant - no personal wit=nesses - evidence documentary.

3 The accompanying correspondence

Mag.te to Jt. Mag.te 8th November 1844. Dº 29th March 1845

marked No. 1 was the result of its coming accidentally to my knowledge

Jt. Mag.te to Mag.te 31st March 1845.

for Mr. Elliot avoided to inform me of it.

4 I have communicated to Sham Rao that his release on this charge by the Cri=minal Court will be followed by instant re-instatement in office and payment of full arrears.

Madura 4th April 1845 } (Signed) J. Blackburne Asst. Coll.r

No. 1

To W. Elliot Esqre Joint Magistrate of Madura Dindigul

Sir

In reference to one of your communi=cations assuring me you could bring the 15 cases

cases against Sham Rao to a disposal as far as you are concerned, in 15 days, I have the honor to request you will at once proceed to do so, and report the progress you may have made, as I trust you will have already taken steps thereto as soon as you knew the man was no longer in custody of the Courts.

It is essential all the cases in which he is to be sent to the Subordenate Court should be sent up at one and the same time, and I have desired him, if in waiting at Madura, to proceed to you.

Madura 8th November 1845. } (Signed) J. Blackburne Magistrate

To W. Elliot Esqre Joint Magistrate of Madura. Dindigul

Sir.

In reference to your transmission on the 25th Instant of Sham Rao to the Crimi=nal Court under Regulation III of 1819 I have the honor to call your attention to my instructions of the 8th November 1844 desiring that all cases in which he was amenable to the Courts might be sent up at the same time and request you will be so good as to inform me if you conceive you

No. 150

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

you have therein acted up to the letter and spirit of it or if you had forgotten it, or if, not approving of it, you had determined not to be guided by it; and I take the liberty to advise you that your answer will probably be forwarded to the Board of Revenue.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Magistrate

To, The Magistrate of Madura.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 29th Instant and to state that I am not aware of having in any manner differed either in letter or spirit, from the contents of your communication dated 8th November 1844

2. The case for which the Tahsildar Sham Rao, was forwarded to the Criminal Court on the 25th Instant, Vizt. suppression of records, writing: note to conceal them, and abuse of authority, was only brought to light on the 11th of January last and could scarcely there be alluded to in your letter abovementioned. I forwarded it to the Criminal Court, directly it was closed.

(Signed) W. Elliot
Joint Magistrate

Dindigul
Sub Collr's office
31st March 1845

Resolution thereon.- The Board observe that under the circumstances within explained the Principal Collector has no course open to him but to await the issue of the trial of Sham Rao by the Court. Should he be acquitted, Mr. Blackburne will of course give full effect to their orders of the 27th March last.

Read

71-72

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Read the following letter.-

To

J. D. Bourdillon Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(73)

From the Collr. of Malabar } Sir

With reference to Acts XV of 1839 and XI of 1842 I have the honor to request to be favored with the Board's sentiments as to whether or no it is obligatory on the part of Individuals importing, by land, sugar, the produce of the Districts under the Madras Presidency or of the Mysore Country to be provided with Certificates of Origin.- At present sugar is brought for the Consumption of this District (where none is manufactured) from Salem, Mysore &c. without any such Document.- Should the Board be of opinion that sugar in transit should invariably be covered with a Certificate of Origin, I would beg to suggest that the authorities in the Districts where the same is manufactured be desired to take such measures as will ensure the taking out of the required Document by the Exporters.-

With reference to Acts XV of 1839 and XI of 1842 requests to be informed whether or no it is obligatory on the part of individuals importing sugar the produce of the Districts under the Madras Presidency or of the Mysore Country to be provided with Certificates of Origin.-

I enclose Copy of a Correspondence with

with the Principal Collector of Canara which explains the cause of this reference

Calicut ------
29th January 1845 } (Signed) H.V. Conolly
Collector

Extract from a Letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Canara to the Collector of Malabar dated 13th December 1844

Para 2 I also beg to forward Certificate No 49 issued from the Cannanore Custom House, and will thank you to inform me whether the Certificate of Origin provided for by Act XV of 1839 was produced before the Custom Officer to enable him to state that the Sugar was Mysore produce as entered in the Certificate granted by him.

Extract from a Letter from the Collector of Malabar to the Acting Principal Collector of Canara dated 30th December 1844.

Para 2 With respect to the Mysore sugar exported from Cannanore the place of Produce was proved to the Manager by the production of the Pawannahs obtained by the Exporter at the frontier Chowkey of Bowally. The rules relating to the Certificate of Origin and Declaration referred

referred to in Act No XV of 1839, are, I believe, only required to be strictly attended to, in cases where sugar is exported for any port of the United Kingdom.

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore 9th January
1845

To
H.V. Conolly Esqr
Collector of Malabar.

Sir

With reference to the 2d Para of your letter of the 30th December, I beg to observe that the Act XV of 1839, appears to me to leave it optional with the Exporter of Sugar to the United Kingdom or to any place not in the Madras Presidency, to take a Certificate of Origin or not as he pleases, but that all Sugar imported into the Madras Presidency, whether from one District to another ought to be covered with a Certificate of Origin, otherwise the penalty provided by the act is liable to be enforced.

Prin'l Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore. ----
9th January 1845 -- } (Signed) E.P. Thompson
Acting Dy Collector

(True Extracts & Copy)

(Signed) H.V. Conolly.
Collector

Order

4626 Fort St. George 10th April 1845

(Order thereon.
(Read again in Cons. 17 Apl.)
in Circulation
73-74)

Ordered to be sent again -

Read the following letter -

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir
Fort St. George -

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras. - - -
75

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Bourdillon's letter under date the 13th January last relative to a piece of Ground in Meersaibpettah, and with reference to the concluding part of Para 2 to report that I am not aware of there being any circumstances connected with the spot, excepting the Burial ground, sufficient to entitle it to further exemption from the usual Quit Rent. -

To Board 18th December 1844

2 Although the land is only a part of what was originally held under Purwannahs from the former Nabobs of the Carnatic Vizr, on Inaniem tenure, yet the three sisters Janira Begum, Mustra Begum and Syeda Begum having obtained exemption Certificates from this Office in 1822 on the condition of appropriating the produce for the support of a

4627 Fort St. George 10th April 1845

a Charity Mosque and Choultry and these institutions being no longer in existence I submit that the whole extent, excepting the site of the Burial ground, is liable to be assessed hereafter with the Quit Rent provided for by the Certificates. - The rent which the Occupants of the Several Houses pay in such as will enable the Grantees to meet the public demand without inconvenience. -

× The rent paid by the Occupants of the Houses is about 300 Rupees per annum, whereas the annual Quit rent on Cs 2-7-2304/8 will be only Rupees 12-3-10-

Madras - - -
25th March 1845

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Proceedings thereon.
75-76)

Under the explanation furnished in the letter recorded above and in the further correspondence on the same subject noted in the Margin which has passed with the Collector of Madras, the Board of Revenue are satisfied that as the present grantees of the land in question at Meersaibpettah have failed to fulfil the conditions required by the Certificates to entitle them to exemption from Quit rent there is

From Collector 9th in Consultation 26th September 1844
From Do. 13th December in Con. 9th January 1845
To Collector 13th January

is now no bar to the ground, referred to in the correspondence, bearing the usual demand, with the exception only of that portion of it represented as comprising about one ground which is appropriated for the purposes of Burial as regards the claims put forth by the Petitioners for a fresh issue of rent free Certificates in their respective names for the purpose of appropriating the income recieved from the land to the support of a charity school the Board observe that being merely tenants of the Grantees, their request is inadmissible — Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on the several Petitions presented by Auroumugum Naick and others Nos. 51 and 267 of 1844.

Endorsement.—

"The Petitioners are referred to the Collector of Madras to whom the orders of the Boards on the subject matter of their Petitions have been communicated."

Read the following letter

J. P.

To

J. Dyroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

77

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Coll. of Madras & Registr of
Government Grants.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 13th March last 6th Ultimo together with the order of Government thereon referred to, and to submit herewith Copy of a letter which I addressed in consequence to the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry and of his reply dated 28th March. The latter contains the necessary explanation relative to the amount of Land Rent proposed to be fixed on Mr Bowdens property at Palicole.—

Madras
4th April 1845.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston.
Coll. & Regr. of Govt. Grants.

No. 1.

To

C. J. Bird Esqre
Acting Collector of
Rajahmundry

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 15th January last

and to acquaint you that, before authorizing the issue of the Certificate applied for by Mr. Bowden for the premises purchased by him at Palicole the Most Noble the Governor in Council desires to be informed whether the Quit Rent proposed by Mr. Prendergast to be levied on the land is at the same rate as on similar land in the Village, and upon what principle it has been rated at ¼ pooly and converted into Money at 4 Rupees per pooly. I request that you will be good enough to furnish me with the information called for by Government as soon as you conveniently can.

From B. of Rs. 4th March 1845.

Madras
13th March 1845

/Signed/ J. A. Sadleston
Coll. & Rect. of Govt. Grants

To
J. A. Sadleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras and Registrar of
Government Grants.

Sir
I have the honor to explain in reply to your letter of the 13th Instant, that the land for which a grant has been solicited by Mr. Bowden at Palicole, is a part of the Village Site and not Ryotty liable, nor is any tax levied on other similar land in

in the Village. Besides which originally the house and premises belonged to the Dutch government and the building was used as a Warehouse. One Rupee seems to have been proposed because some quit Rent is usually charged and in this case it was laid under the circumstances as low as possible. At Maddapollem, which is very close to Palicole, where the Government Factory was sold the quit rent fixed upon the ground amounted to the rate of only 3 Rupees a Pooly. So that it appears to me Mr. Prendergast's recommendation in the present case was fair as respects both Government and Mr. Bowden.

I trust this explanation will be considered satisfactory.

Rajahmundry District
Kranavaram 25th March 1845

/Signed/ C. I. Bird
Acting Coll.

Proceedings thereon. Canal. Resolved that the foregoing letter be transmitted for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st March last No. 273.

77-678

2. Under the circumstances stated by Mr.

Letter 6th March

Fort St. George 15th April 1845.

Mr. Bird the Board concur with him that the amount of Quit Rent proposed to be levied on the land in question is fair as respects both government and Mr. Bowden.—

Read the following letter.

To
J. Dyer Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce

79

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge your letter of the 21st. Ultimo with the sample of Sugar from Thisoor which accompanied it and of the quality &c. of which the Board of Revenue wish the opinion of the Chamber of Commerce. In reply I have in the name to state that the Sugar is what generally goes under the denomination of Country Sugar, being unchrystallized and not manufactured according to the West Indies mode. It is worth in Madras about Rupees 25 Pr. Candy and is good of its kind but it is not of the description of Sugar suited for the English Market. For Home consumption Sugar should be well chrystallized and carefully cured so as to be free from Molasses. The color also is of much consequence and should be not darker than a bright yellow, and the nearer the same approaches

Fort St. George 15th April 1845.

approaches to white avoiding any thing of a greyish shade, the higher will be the price which the Sugar will realize in the English Market.—

Madras 9th April 1845.

Signed J. Thomson
Chairman to the Chamber of Commerce.

Order thereon.

79-80

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be transmitted for the information of the Commissioner in Kurnool, with reference to his letter dated 12th March last No. 5.—

From the Superintendent of Stamps.

81

Read Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of March last.

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

Order thereon.

81-82

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

From the Acting Collector of Guntoor

83

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 31st March last.—

From the Collector of Nellore

84

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 31st March 1845.—

From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot

85

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 31st March last.—

From the Principal Collector of Madura.

86

Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 31st March last.—

Ordered Blie over—Read the four aforementioned Statements.

Order on the

83-87

Read the undermentioned Lists.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. List of Letters addressed
(88) to the Board and remaining unanswered to the
31st March last.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. List of Letters received
(89) from the Board and remaining unanswered
to the 31st March last.

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore. List of Letters addressed
(90) to the Board and remaining unanswered to
the 31st March last.

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore. List of Letters
(91) received from the Board and remaining
unanswered to the 31st March last.

From the Collector of Madras. List of unanswered Letters
(92) up to the 31st March last.

Order on the five above mentioned Lists. Ordered to be
(88-93) lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following
Letter be dispatched together with the
Statements therein referred to.

To

To The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

(94)

To the Chief Secretary to Government. Soliciting Sanction for the Establishment to be entertained for the Collection of the Sea Customs & Coasting Duties on the Rivers under this Presidency.

Para 1. With reference to Para 4 of the Letter from this Office dated 22d August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Statements received from the Collectors named in the Margin, with an Abstract prepared in this Office, exhibiting the Establishment which it is proposed to maintain at the several Sea Custom Houses in the Provinces under this Presidency & the rate of Salary to be allowed.

Ganjam	16th in Cons 27th May 1844	No 1.
	16th in Cons 28th October 1844	No 1.
Vizagapatam	26th October in Cons 4th November 1844	No 182
Rajahmundry	30th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844	No 284
Masulipatam	7th in Cons 16th Decr 1844	No 284
Guntoor	11th in Cons 21st October 1844	No 2446
Nellore	9th Octr in Cons 4th Novr	—
	9th Octr in Cons 4th Novr	No 2
N. Arcot	10th in Cons 11th October 1844	No 284
S. Arcot	25th in Cons 31st October 1844	No 284
	11th in Cons 31st March 1845	
Tanjore	28th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844	No 182
Madura	30th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844	No 183
	4th in Cons 20th February 1845	No 1.
Tinnevelly	18th in Cons 28th October 1844	No 2
Canara	31st October in Cons 11th Novr 1844	No 183
	4th Novr in Cons 19th December	No 1.
Malabar	25th Novr in Cons 5th Decr	No 284

2. It will be seen that the total of the proposed charges

		Rs	A	P
Proposed Charges	6754	8	2	amount to Rupees 6754—8—2 per Mensem.
Present Charges	5718	6	2	and exceeds the present charges by Rupees
Increase	1036	2	—	1036—2——

Explanation—

3. Explanation of the particular causes of increase in the several Districts in which it has occurred is given in the Column of remarks of the Statement prepared in this Office, but it may be in general stated to be owing to the additional duty thrown upon the Sea Custom Department by the change of System under Act VII of 1844 whereby much of the Customs formerly realized at the land Chokies is now collected at the Sea Custom Houses.

4. The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the proposed disbursement as above stated amounting to Rupees 67,54–8–2 per Mensem from the commencement of the current Fusly 1254.

5. The Board further beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for the sums actually disbursed under this head from 1st April to 11th July 1844 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 17,951–10–7 as per accompanying Abstract **B**.

6. I am also directed to submit the accompanying Statements exhibiting the Establishment proposed for the frontier Chowkies and Huzzoor stations

Rajahmundry 30th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844. No 183.

Masulipatam 7th in Cons 16th December 1844. No 183.

Guntoor 11th in Cons 21st October 1844 No 183.

S. Arcot 25th in Cons 31st October 1844. No 183.

Tanjore 28th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844 No 182

Madura 30th October in Cons 7th Novr 1844 No 182

Tinnevelly 18th in Cons 28th October 1844. No 183.

Kurnool and { 16th in Cons 24th October 1844. No 3 / 31st Decr in Cons 16th Jany 1845. No 7.

+C

of Huzzoor & Frontier charges does not admit of a correct comparison with the former total disbursement, in-as-much as the Establishment employed at the Huzzoor stations before the abolition of the transit and Town duties was for the purposes of the general Sayer Department, whereas the disbursement now proposed for the Huzzoor is for the establishment to be kept up for checking the accounts of the frontier Chowkies only. Hence it will be observed that in the Abstract prepared in this Office the Columns intended to exhibit the former disbursements on account

+C

Stations in the Districts noted in the Margin together with an Abstract prepared in this Office, from which it will be observed that the total proposed charges for the Districts in question amounts to Rupees 2,232–9–10 per Mensem. This total charges does not

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

accounts of the Huzzoor Stations are left blank.
But a comparison of the Establishment at the
several Chowkies, as it stood before the 1st
April 1844 and that now proposed, shews an
increase in the latter of Rupees
295—13—4 per Mensem.
which cannot be considered
excessive in reference to the
additional duties required of the frontier Sayer
servants under Act VI of 1844.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Frontier Chowkey Establishment	1661	4	6
Proposed Do.	1957	1	10
Increase	295	13	4

7. The Board recommend that the
total proposed disbursement mentioned in the
preceding Para amounting to Rupees 2232—9
-10 per Mensem may receive the sanction of
Government from the commencement of the
current Fusly. A Statement of the actual
charges incurred on account of the Frontier
Chowkies and Huzzoor Stations of the Districts
abovementioned, from 1st April to 11th July 1844,
is herewith submitted Amounting in the aggre-
gate to Rupees 4,328—8—11, which the Board
recommend may be sanctioned.

8. The Most Noble the Governor
in Council will observe that the accounts
now.

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

now submitted do not include the frontier
Chowkies in the Districts Noted in the
Margin. The accounts of these Districts
require revesion in consequence of the recent
orders of Government abolishing the Chowkies
on the Mysore frontier. They will be submitted
for the orders of Government as soon as the
necessary revesions have been made.

Bellary
Coimbatore
Canara
Malabar
N. Arcot
Salem
Cuddapah

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
10th April 1845.

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Statement.

4660

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

A

Statement shewing the Establishment proposed to be entertained in the Sea Custom Department at the several Ports under the Madras Presidency compared with the Establishment as it stood on the 1st April 1844.

Names of the Districts	Description of Servants	Establishment last sanctioned as it stood on the 1st April 1844. No.	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	Proposed Establishment. No.	Rate of Pay per Mensem	Amount of Pay per Mensem	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Huzzoor.										
Goomastah		"	"	"	1†	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	"	† The Acting Collector states that the Sea Custom accounts have hitherto been kept at the Huzzoor by the Inland Sayer's Establishment. The inland Customs having now been abolished the employment of this Goomastah to write the Sea Custom accounts becomes necessary.
Gunjam District										
Goomastahs		6	11 " "	98 " "	6	11 " "	98 " "	"	"	
		2	7 " "		2	7 " "				
		8			8					
	Peons	17	2 " "	34 " "	17	2 " "	34 " "	"	"	
	Sadermaad	"	"	"	2	4 " "	4 " "	"	"	
	Total District Establishment including Sadermaad	25	" " "	134 4 "	25	" " "	134 4 "	"	"	
	Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	25	" " "	134 4 "	26	" " "	151 12 "	17 8 "	"	
Huzzoor.										
Goomastah		1	15 " "	15 " "	1	25 " "	25 " "	10 " "	"	
Ganjam District										
Tanadars		1	30 " "	65 8 "	2	30 " "	70 8 "	5 " "	"	The increase in the number and salary of the servants is recommended by the Collector in consequence of the additional duty thrown upon the Sea Custom Department by the recent change of system whereby much of the
		1	25 " "		1	10 8 " "				
		1	10 8 "							
		3			3					
Goomastahs		"	" " "	" " "	2	10 " "	20 " "	20 " "	"	
Weigher		1	7 " "	7 " "	1	7 " "	7 " "	"	"	

| | | |

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

			Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
Huzzoor.								
Writer	1	21	21		1	21	21	
Sadermasd			10 3			10 3		
Total Huzzoor including Sadermasd Districts.	1		21 10 3		1		21 10 3	
Superintendent	1	60	60		1	60	60	
Darogah	1	35	35		1	35	35	
Cushear	1	12	12		1	12	12	
Rajahmundry. Goomastahs	2	12			2	12		
	2	10 8	45		2	10 8	45	
	4				4			
Muddulgars	2	10	10		2	10	20	
Shroff	1	6	6		1	6	6	
Keigher	2	6	12		2	6	12	
Peons	1	5			1	5		
	24	4	101		24	4	101	
	25				25			
Sadermasd			13 10 8			13 10 8		
Total District Establishment including Sadermasd	37		304 10 8		37		304 10 8	
Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	38		326 4 11		38		326 4 11	
Masulipatam. Sea Custom Port.								
Head Writer	1	52 8	52 8		1	50	50	2 8
Assistant	1	17 8	17 8					17 8
Aumeen	1	30	30			28	28	2
Cushear					1	11	11	
Goomastah	1	10 8	10 8		1	8	8	2 8
Appraiser	1	12	12		1	10	10	2
Shroff	1	7	7		1	6	6	1
Duffadar	1	7	7		1	7	7	
Peons	7	5	35		10	4	40	5
Sweeper	1	8	8		1	8	8	
Ruler	1	1	1					1
Establishment Government Long Boat.								
Tindal	1	8 12	8 12		1	7	7	1 12
Lascar	1	5	5		1	5	5	
Sadermasd			7 2			7 2		
House Rent			20			9		11
Total Masulipatam Sea Custom Port including Sadermasd & House rent.	18		213 14	20		191 10		22 4

4662

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

	District.		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
Guntoor	Goomastah	1	7	"	"	7	"	"	1	7	"	"	7	"	"	
	Pygusty	1	5	"	"	5	"	"	1	5	"	"	5	"	"	
	Peons	7	4	"	"	28	"	"	7	4	"	"	28	"	"	
	Saderwared					2	"	"					2	"	"	
	Total District Establishment including Saderwared	9				42	"	"	9				42	"	"	
	Huzzoor.															
	Mazoondar	1	28	"	"	28	"	"	1	28	"	"	28	"	"	
	District															
	Superintendent	1	21	"	"	21	"	"	1	21	"	"	21	"	"	
	Nenesundahs	3	12	"	"	36	"	"	3	12	"	"	66	"	"	30
									+ 3	10	"	"				
									6							
Nellore	Shroff	1	5	"	"	5	"	"	1	5	"	"	5	"	"	
	Peons	19	5	"	"	95	"	"	19	5	"	"	95	"	"	
	Saderwared					6	8	"					6	8	"	
	Total District Establishment including Saderwared	24				163	8	"	27				193	8	"	30
	Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	25				191	8	"	28				221	8	"	30

+ It is recommended by the Collector that these 3 Nenesundahs be entertained one at each of three Sea Ports whose Superintendence has hitherto been entrusted to a Peon only this measure is represented to be necessary to check Smuggling for which the recent removal of the Salt and Sayer affords greater facilities than formerly.

	District.															
North Arcot	Superintendent	1	30	"	"	30	"	"	1	30	"	"	30	"	"	
	Writer	1	7	"	"	7	"	"	1	7	"	"	7	"	"	
	Peons	2	3	8	"	7	"	"	2	3	8	"	7	"	"	
	Total District Establishment	4				44	"	"	4				44	"	"	
	District															
	Superintendents	2	70	"	"	140	"	"	2	70	"	"	140	"	"	
	English Writers	2	28	"	"	56	"	"	2	28	"	"	70	"	"	14
									+ 2	14	"	"				
									3 2	15	"	"	30	"	"	16
	Monegars	2	7	"	"	14	"	"								
	Nenesundahs	2	5	4	"	10	8	"	2	10	"	"	20	"	"	9 8
	Beach Conocapillays	1	8	12	"	8	12	"	2	10	"	"	20	"	"	11 4
	Weighing D°	2	4	6	"	8	12	"	2	8	"	"	16	"	"	7 4
	Signal Serjeant	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	15	"	"	15	"	"	15
South Arcot	Duffadars	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	6	"	"	12	"	"	12
	Delegets	4	7	"	"	28	"	"	4	5	"	"	20	"	"	8
	Peons	3	4	6	"	13	2	"	6	4	"	"	24	"	"	10 11
	Flag staff Syrang	1	4	"	"	4	"	"	1	5	"	"	5	"	"	1
	D° D° Lascar	1	4	"	"	4	"	"	1	4	"	"	4	"	"	
	Succepers	2	"	8	"	1	"	"	2	"	8	"	1	"	"	
	Saderwared					7	"	"					7			
	Total District Establishment including Saderwared	22				295	230						384		88	11 4

+ Collector states that an additional English writer is much required at Cuddalore from the increase in the trade of the place. The total charge for the writers proposed by the Collector was Rs. 84 — but has been reduced to 70 Rs. per Mensem by the Board being the pay of one Writer disallowed, the increase in the salary of the Establishment is in consequence of the additional duty thrown upon the Sea Custom Department arising from the abolition of the Inland Duties & the increasing trade especially in sugar.

Town of St. George 10th Mar. 1825.

	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d
Mr. Lumsden.															
Groceries	1	14	11	1 21											
				1 11			+ 25	8		31	8				
				1 10	8										
Flour	2 7			2 7											
	3 5	4		3 5	4		29	12							
				5			5								
Pork	57	3	8	57	3	8	178	8							
Beef	3 1	8		3 1	8										
	1 1			1 1			57	8							
	4			4											
Sundries							12	12		4					
Balance due															

Peter Rollick

	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d	£	s	d
Balance of Invoice	86			535	8		86			594	8		39		

Including Statement

Peter Mackey	87			569	8		90			661			91	8	
and Brother															
Bostwick Jamaica															

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Buzzoor							
English Writer		1 28 " "	28 " "	28 " "	" " "	" " "	The duties attached to these Offices have hitherto been discharged by the inland Sayar Servants their dismissal renders this establishment necessary.
Goomastahs		1 21 " "	49 " "	49 " "	" " "	" " "	
		2 14 " "					
		3					
Total Buzzoor		4 " " "	77 " "	77 " "	" " "	" " "	
District.							
Madura Chowkedars	3 10 8 "	31 8 "	3 10 8 "	31 8 "	" " "	" " "	†Two Peons & 1 Sweeper
Goomastahs	4 9 " "	28 " "	4 7 " "	28 " "	" " "	" " "	required to be stationed
Peons	27 3 8 "	94 8 "	29 3 8 "	101 8 "	7 " "	" " "	at the Ports of Pichondagal
Mussalgee Sweeper	" " "	" " "	1 2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	" " "	and Thungachy a Madura
Saderwared	" " "	38 " "	" " "	38 " "	" " "	" " "	situated North and South
Total District Establishment including Saderwared	34	" " " 157 8 "	37 " " "	166 " "	9 " "	" " "	of the Island of Paumben to prevent Smuggling this duty has been hitherto executed by the Servants of the Sayar Department now superseded in consequence of its abolition.
Total Buzzoor and District Establishment	34	" " " 157 8 "	41 " " "	243 8 "	86 " "	" " "	
Buzzoor							
Writer	1	17 8 "	17 8 "	1 17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	
Goomastahs	1	10 8 "	10 8 "	1 10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	
Total Buzzoor	2	" " " 20 " "	2 " " "	28 " "	" " "	" " "	
District							
Chowkedars	4	10 8 "	42 " "	1 40 8 "	72 " "	†30 " "	This has been already sanctioned in Minutes of Consultation 16th April 1844.
				3 10 8 "			
				4			
Tinnivelly Goomastahs	7	7 " "	49 " "	7 7 " "	49 " "	" " "	
Shroffs	4	5 4 "	21 " "	4 5 4 "	21 " "	" " "	
Peons	8	3 8 "	28 " "	8 3 8 "	28 " "	" " "	
Saderwared	"	" " "	6 " 8	" " "	6 " 5	" " "	
Total District Establishment including Saderwared	23	" " "	146 " 5	23 " " "	176 " 5	30 " "	" " "
Total Buzzoor and District Establishment	25	" " "	174 " 5	25 " " "	204 " 5	30 " "	" " "

4665* Fort St.a George 10th.a April 1845.-

	Rs.a a p.	Rs.a a p.	Rs.a a p.	Rs.a a p.	Rs.a a p.	Rs.a a p.
Muzzoor						
English Writers	1 50 - -	105 - -	1 50 - -	105 - -	- - -	- - -
	1 30 - -		1 30 - -			
	1 25 - -		1 25 - -			
	3		3			
Head Goomastah	1 25 - -	25 - -	1 25 - -	25 - -	- - -	- - -
Goomastahs	1 15 - -	30 - -	1 15 - -	30 - -	- - -	- - -
	2 10 8 -		2 10 8 -			
	3		3			
Duffadar	1 10 - -	10 - -	1 10 - -	10 - -	- - -	- - -
Deloyets	8 7 - -	56 - -	8 7 - -	56 - -	- - -	- - -
Mussalgee	1 6 - -	6 - -	1 6 - -	6 - -	- - -	- - -
Total Muzzoor	17 - - -	238 - -	17 - - -	238 - -	- - -	- - -

Canara. District.-

Manager	1 90 - -	240 - -	2 100 - -	315 - -	75 - -	- - -
	1 75 - -		2 40 - -			
	1 40 - -		3 35 - -			
	1 35 - -					
	4		5			
Sub Manager	2 14 - -	28 - -	1 25 - -	4 28	14 8 - -	- - -
			1 17 8 -			
			2			
Sheristadar	1 40 - -	175 - -	2 40 - -	179 8 -	4 8 - -	- - -
	1 30 - -		5 17 8 -			
	2 21 - -		1 12 - -			
	2 17 8 -					
	2 14 - -					
	8		8			
Clerk's Certificates	2 25 - -	73 8 -	2 30 - -	110 - -	36 8 - -	- - -
	1 23 8		2 25 - -			
	3		4			

For a detailed compilation of the reasons which have induced him to recommend this considerable augmentation of the Sea Custom Establishment the Board beg to refer to the letter of the Acting Principal Collector of 31st. October 1844 the principal causes assigned are that the present Establishment was entertained some years ago when the Collections were little more than half what they now are that act VII 1844 which taxes the export of rice the Chief Staple of the district had greatly added to the labor and responsibility of the Department that the District is 233 miles in length and contain 26 Ports and that of these some which formerly produced but little now yielded a considerable revenue The Acting Principal Collector adds that the Establishment now submitted for sanction had been prepared after the most careful and minute investigation The gross Sea Custom Collections for the past Fusly 1253 amounted to Rs. 3,31,088-8-4.-

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.-

		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Goomastahs	4	14 " "		2	20 " "			
	1	12 " "		2	16 " "			
	1	10 8 "		2	14 " "			
	1	10 " "	121 8 "	2	12 " "	196 " "	74 8 " " " "	
	3	7 " "		3	10 " "			
	2	6 " "		6	7 " "			
	12			17				
Moneyars	1	12 " "		2	25 " "			
	5	10 8 "		1	17 8 "			
	1	9 " "	118 " "	1	12 " "	154 8 "	36 8 " " " "	
	2	8 12 "		4	10 " "			
	3	7 " "		5	7 " "			
	1	6 " "						
	13			13				
Weighiers	1	14 " "		1	14 " "			
	1	10 " "						
	1	8 " "	44 " "	1	10 " "	64 " "	20 " " " "	
	1	7 " "		8	5 " "			
	1	5 " "						
	5			10				
Shroffs	1	15 " "		1	15 " "			
	1	13 " "		1	13 " "			
	2	7 " "	54 " "	2	7 " "	90 " "	36 " "	
				3	0 " "			
	2	6 " "		6	5 " "			
	6			13				
Deffadars	2	7 " "	14 " "	4	7 " "	41 8 "	27 8 " " " "	
				3	4 8 "			
				7				
Kolekars	15	4 8 "	403 8 "	147	4 8 "	661 8 "	258 " " " "	
	84	4 " "						
	99							
Flag Staff Tindal	1	6 " "	6 " "	1	6 " "	6 " "	" " " "	
Do Lascar	1	5 " "	5 " "	1	5 " "	5 " "	" " " "	
Total District Establishment	156	" " "	1,282 8 "	228	" " "	1,865 8 "	583 " "	" " "
Total Kuzzoo and District Establishment	173	" " "	1,520 8 "	245	" " "	2,103 8 "	583 " "	" " "
Saderwared as per separate letter	"	" " "	27 4 3	"	" " "	27 4 3	" " "	" " "
Total Kuzzoo and District Establishment including Saderwared	173	" " "	1,547 12 3	245	" " "	2,130 12 3	583 " "	" " "

Canara Continued

4667 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.-

		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Huzzoor								
Native Accountant	1	70 " "	70 " "	1	70 " "	70 " "	" " "	" " "
English Writer	1	50 " "	109 8 "	1	50 " "	109 8 "	" " "	" " "
	1	35 " "		1	35 " "			
	1	24 8 "		1	24 8 "			
	3			3				
Head Goomastah	1	30 " "	30 " "	1	30 " "	30 " "		
Goomastah	"	" " "	" " "	1	25 " "	25 " "	+ 25 " "	" " "
Moonshee	1	27 8 "	56 8 "	1	27 8 "	56 " "	" " "	" " "
	1	15 " "		1	15 " "			
	2	7 " "		2	7 " "			
	4			4				
Native Record Keeper	1	14 " "	14 " "	1	14 " "	14 " "	" " "	" " "
Book Binder	1	10 8 "	10 8 "	1	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "
Peons	2	6 " "	12 " "	2	6 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "
Massalgee	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	" " "	" " "
Malabar Saderwarad	"	" " "	15 14 1	"	" " "	15 14 1	" " "	" " "
Total Huzzoor including Saderwarad	14	" " "	321 14 1	15	" " "	346 14 "	25 " "	" " "
District.								
Manager	2	80 " "	470 " "	2	80 " "	470 " "	" " "	" " "
	2	60 " "		2	60 " "			
	1	50 " "		1	50 " "			
	2	42 " "		2	42 " "			
	1	35 " "		1	35 " "			
	1	21 " "		1	21 " "			
	9			9				
Sub Manager	1	21 " "	21 " "	1	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "
				1	24 " "			
Assistant Do.	1	24 " "	24 " "	1	17 8 "	56 8 "	+ 328 " "	" " "
				1	15 " "			
				3				

+ Required to check the accounts of Timber measurement.-

+ This extra establishment is necessary in consequence of the abolition of the land Custom Houses at Mokota and Ponany where the great bulk of Timber exported from Malabar has hitherto been measured.-

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.-

		Rs. a d	Rs. a d		Rs. a d	Rs. a d	Rs. a d	Rs. a d
Clerks of Certificate	1	35	165	4	35	165		
	1	25		1	25			
	5			5				
Assistant Do.	1	178	178	1	178	178		
Goomastahs	1	14	2408	1	14	2408		
	16	10 8		16	10 8			
	2	10		2	10			
	1	9		1	9			
	1	8		1	8			
	1	7		1	7 8			
	2	7		2	7			
	24			24				
Weighers	2	8	28	2	8	28		
	1	7		1	7			
	1	5		1	5			
	4			4				
Malabar (Continued) Likars	97	5	485	102	5	510	25	
Timber Measurers				1	7	13	13	
				1	6			
				2				
Round Boatmen	10	5	50	10	5	50		
Mussoalgees	2	1	4 14	2	1	4 14		
	1	12		1	12			
	1	9		1	9			
	5	5		5	5			
	9			9				
Saderwared			34 4 0			34 4 0		
Total District Establishment including Saderwared	161		1,540 2 0	170		1,610 10 0	70 8	
Total Mutzoor and District Establishment	175		1862 7	185		1,957 8 7	95 8	

This extra Establishment is necessary in consequence of the abolition of the land Custom Houses at Mokota and Ponany where the great bulk of Timber exported from Malabar has hitherto been measured.
Do.

4670 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

B Statement shewing the Actual Charges incurred on Account of Sea Customs Establishments in the undermentioned Ports from 1st April to 11th July 1844.

	Establishment	Sadewared	Extra Charges	Total
	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.
Rajahmundry	936 " "	41 13 6	" " "	977 13 6
Masulipatam	626 8 3	234 5	47 3 7	907 10 3
Guntoor	132 3 1	6 11 5	" " "	138 14 6
Nellore	740 " "	26 " "	" " "	766 " "
North Arcot	147 9 10	" " "	" " "	147 9 10
Tanjore	20106 "	2212 4	" " "	20532 4
Canara	5068 5 4	97 8 4	382 12 4	5552 8 10
Malabar	7199 5 0	146 14 4	275 9 0	7621 4 2
Total	16806 5	385 10 4	705 9 10	17951 0 7

/ Signed / T. Pycroft

Secretary.

Statement

4671

Fort St. George. 10th April 1845.

C Statement Shewing the Establishment proposed to be entertained at the Frontier Custom Chowkies in the undermentioned Districts Compared with the Establishment as it stood on the 1st April 1844.

Names of the Districts	Description of Servants	Establishment last sanctioned as it stood on the 1st April 1844. No.	Rates of Amount pay per of pay per Mensem	Proposed Establishment. No.	Rates of Amount pay per of pay per Mensem	Comparison. Increase	Decrease	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Huzzoor								
	Writer	.. .. ..	.. .. ..	1	10	10	.. .. ..	× The Frontier duty accounts were formerly kept at the Huzzoor by the General Sayer Establishment now abolished, the employment of this writer thus becomes necessary.
	District							
	Gomastahs	3	10	1	12	32	.. .. ..	10 .. ..
		1	12	2	10			
		4		3				
Rajahmundry	Peyguesteer	..	.. .. ..	2	8	16	16	.. .. ..
	Peons	24	4	96	24	4	96	.. ..
	Boatmen	3	38 ..	108 ..	3	38 ..	108	.. ..
	Sadewareed	..	.. .. ..	28	..	.. .. ..	2	.. .. 8 ..
	Total District Establishment including Sadewareed	31	.. .. ..	137	32	.. .. ..	150 8 ..	5 8 .. .. ..
	Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	31	.. .. ..	137	33	.. .. ..	166 8 ..	15 8 .. .. ..
	Huzzoor							
	Head Gomastah	..	.. .. ..	1	20	20	20	.. .. .. See Remarks to Rajahmundry Huzzoor Establishment.
	Gomastahs	..	.. .. ..	2	10	20	20	.. .. ..
	Examiner of Cowanahs	..	.. .. ..	1	10	10	10	.. .. ..

Duties of Q. [illegible]

Total Employer Establishment [illegible]

Visited.

Parkes [illegible]

Glassblowers [illegible]

Greenwoodite [illegible]

Millwater [illegible]

Silvalite [illegible]

Q uplands [illegible]

Pyewater [illegible]

Ford [illegible]

Cuxton [illegible]

Chetwynde [illegible]

Total Quarter Establishment including Chetwynde

Total Employer and Quarter Establishment

The numbers in the remaining columns are not of much importance and need not be entered, unless any alteration has taken place in the Quarterly Establishment, when the Employer will be required to enter the Quarter's Establishment in red ink.

Q.L. VII 1844.

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

| | | |

4693

Fort St. George. 10th April. 1845.

Huzzoor

Goomastahs	2	17 8	35	35	See remarks to Pajahmundry Huzzoor Establishment
Sumpettiers	1	14	14	14	
Peons	6	5	30	31	
Saderewared			38	38	
Total Huzzoor Establishment including Saderewared	9		828	828	

District

Amenahs	1	14	54	3 20	75	21
	4	10		1 15		
	5			4		
Mushriffs	1	9 8	34 8	4 9	38	18
	1	7				
	3	6				
	5					

See remarks to Mutchlipatam District Establishment

Tavasindas	1	7	36 2	2 10	69	32 14
	3	6 4				
	1	5				
	1	4 6		7 7		
	1	4				
	7			9		
Shroffs	1	5 4	22 12	4 5	20	2 12
	2	5				
	1	4				
	1	3 8				
	5					
Pygustias	1	5 4	26			26
	1	3 4				
	5	3 8				
	7					
Chaultry Peons	6	3 8	62 8	24 3 8	84	21 8
	10	3 4				
	3	3				
	19					

Ditto Ditto

4674

Fort St. George, 10th April 1845.

Peons	1	3											
	2	2	10										
	3												
Chowky Peons ×	3	3	8										
	4	3											
	17	2	10										
	14	2	8										
	38												
Sweepers	5		8	28	4		8	2					8
Saderwared				138				13					8
Total District Establishment including Saderwared	94			362	4	119			516		181	12	
Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	94			362	4	128			626		264		

× The Collector has applied for 113 Chowky Peons. It appears to the Board that 70 or nearly double the number which were maintained before must with every allowance for the change of system and greater facility of smuggling be efficient if properly posted and a due check kept over them. They recommend that no more be allowed at least for the present. The Statements submitted by the Collector will subsequently differ from this abstract to the extent of the reduction proposed by the Board. Vide Rupees 150 8 4

Huzzoor

Goomastah						1	14		14		14		
District													
Chaukedars	1	17	8										
	1	21											
	2												
	3	10	8										
Monegars	1	7											
	4												
Sumpratties	4	7		28		4	7		28				
Curnums	3	7				3	7						
	4	5	4			4	5	4					
	7					7							
Shroffs	2	5	4	10	8	2	5	4	10	8			
Peons	40	3	8	140		43	3	8	150	8	10	8	
Sweepers	2	1	8	3		2	1	8	3				
Saderwared				5	11				5	11			
Total of two Frontier Chowkies including Saderwared	61			306	3	62			337	11	31	8	
Total Huzzoor and District Establishment	61			306	3	63			357	11	45	8	

Tanjore

See remark to Pyakoimundy Huzzoor Establishment

See remarks to Masulipatam District Establishment

Ditto — Ditto

Ditto — Ditto

4675

Fort St. George, 10th April, 1845.

District

| | | |

4676 Fort St. George, 10th April 1845.

D Statement shewing the Actual Charges incurred on account of Frontier Establishment in the undermentioned Districts from 1st April to 30th June 1844.

	Establishment	Saudermawaul	Extraordinary Charges	Total
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Vijayakmundry	283 8 "	3 " "	" " "	286 8 "
Masulipatam	1375 15 11	26 4 "	" " "	1402 3 11
Guntoor	208 " "	31 7 2	" " "	239 7 2
Kurnool	667 10 "	30 3 "	" " "	697 13 "
South Arcot	181 2 7	" " "	" " "	181 2 7
Tanjore	1055 1 3	19 1 3	" " "	1074 2 6
Madura	188 8 11	4 2 "	" 12 "	193 6 11
Tinnevelly	253 12 10	" " "	" " "	253 12 10
Total	4213 11 6	116 1 5	" 12 "	4328 8 11

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Resolved

4677 Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

To,

The Collector of Bellary.

To the Collector of Bellary
The same to Coimbatore Canara & Malabar.

Circular 17th March 1845.

95

Government having authorized the abolition of all Custom Houses on the frontier of the Mysore Territory, it becomes necessary to revise the Custom Establishment in your District with reference to the remaining Chokies maintained on the frontier of the Soondoor and Nizam's territory. You will therefore be pleased to submit for Sanction Statements in the forms prescribed in the letter from this Office dated 30th September 1844 shewing the Establishment to be employed at the latter Chokies, making also provision for any small charge that may be required at the Huzzoor Cutcherry for the purpose of checking the Custom Accounts, if this duty cannot conveniently be performed by means of your general Establishment.

To Coimbatore	Cochin.
Canara	Goa
Malabar	Cochin

The Board observe that you have submitted with your

Coimbatore 17th Dec.r 1844
Canara 1st Nov.r "
Malabar 25th Dec.r "

your letter of the 23d October last, a Statement of the charges incurred on account of the Custom Establishment in your District up to the end of Fusly 1253. You will now be pleased to furnish a further Statement of the Actual charges incurred under this head from the 12th July 1844 to the date of the abolition of the Chokies on the Mysore frontier to enable the Board to obtain sanction for the total disbursement.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
10th April 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

To,
The Acting Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot

To the Actg Collector
in the Nn Dn of Arcot

Sir,

Government having authorized the abolition of all Custom Houses on the frontier of the Mysore territory, and there being no other frontier in your District the whole of the Custom Establishment must in pursuance of the above orders have been abolished. I am therefore directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for special sanction a Statement of the total charges incurred under this

The same to Salem and Cuddapah. Circular 1st March 1845

96

this head from 12th July 1844 to the date of abolition.

The Board having already received from you a Statement of the charges incurred from 1st April to 11th July 1844 sanction will be obtained for the total Amount disbursed on the receipt of the Statement now called for.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th April 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

To,
The Acting Collector of
Cuddapah

To the Actg Collector
of Cuddapah
No. 136 of 1845.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Vello-pillay Pitchoya Poorohetum Meerasidar of Vontamitta of Sidhout Talook in your Zillah, praying restoration to him of certain lands improperly transferred to one Ramakah by the adjudication of the Civil Court, and to request that you will be pleased to state the circumstances under which the Court saw grounds for interference in this matter, since under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 the Enam in question would appear to be

97

be cognizable by the Revenue Authorities.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
10th. April 1815.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Circular to all Collectors except Madras, Madura Nellore and Tinnevelly.

Circular to all Coll.rs except Madras — Madura Nellore & Tinnevelly —

98

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will at your earliest convenience furnish them for submission to Government with a report upon the Malabar Sea of your District exhibiting the principle on which it has been assessed, and specifying whether it be uniform as regards the same classes of persons rated to it or whether it varies in different parts of the District. shewing also the mode in which it is collected, and the charges when there are any distinct charges, or when it is realized by the same Agency as other branches of revenue the per centage of general charges properly referible to it. You will also be pleased to state the proportion borne by it to the Land Revenue of your District, whether it may be considered to press with severity on any particular class, and

and what items appear to you to be most open to objection with any observations as to the general working of the Tax in the Province under your charge and on the reforms of which it may be susceptible which it may occur to you to offer. You will also be so good as to submit Statements according to

No. 163. the Accompanying forms both in English and whatever Native Language the Summa accounts of your District are furnished in illustration of the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th. April 1815.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Form

4682

Fort St. George 10th April 1815.

No. 1. Form Motarpha.

Items	Number of Ryots paying Motarpha	Rates	Highest	Lowest	Beriz of last year	Beriz of present year	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Trade: Granary; Cloth Shop; Shops for retail of Grain; Salt and Sundries; Shroff's shop; Swellmeat Shop									Under this head each description of Shop Warehouse &c. should be separately entered.
Profession: Carpenter; Goldsmith; Ironsmith									Every class of Artificers, handicrafts men &c. should be particularized.
House: Shepherd; Washerman; Labourer; Oilmonger									Each class of person paying house tax should be shewn separately.
Loom: Silk loom; Fine cloth loom; Coarse Do.; Carpet Do.									Each description of Loom should be entered.

If any more Heads or more Columns appear necessary they may be added.

Form

4683

Fort St. George 10th April 1815.

No. 2. Form Motarpha:

Items	No. of Villages	Summabundy Beriz	Deduct Villages paying Motarpha No.	Deduct Villages paying Motarpha Amount	Remainder No.	Remainder Remarks	Motarpha Remission to the Zemindars &c. in their permanent Beriz
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Circar							
Palapattada							
Polleputs							
Saury Zemindary							
Proprietors							
Jaghire							
Surumanniems							
Shrotriums Mokhassas							
Total							

If any more Columns appear necessary they may be added.

Form

1684

No. 3 Taluq - Melurpha Demand, Collection, and Balance

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

	Taluq	Demand	Collection subsequent to Remission	Remission Remaining with Taluq Collector	Granted Balance
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	Taluq	1244			
2		1245			
3		1246			
4		1247			
5		1248			
6		1249			
7		1250			
8		1251			
9		1252			
10		1253			

1685

Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

To

The Collectors of

Tinnevelly and Nellore.

99

To the Collectors of Tinnevelly and Nellore ...

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will at your earliest convenience furnish them with

No. 163 Statements according to the accompanying forms both in English and Marattah, in illustration of the present state of the Melurpha tax in your District.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th April 1845 ...

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

To

The Acting Principal Collector of

Canara

100

To the A. P. C. Coll. of Canara ...

Sir

The accompanying letter reporting your having received charge of the District of Canara from Mr. E. P. Thompson not being signed, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will sign and return it to this Office.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th April 1845 ...

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

To

4686 Fort St. George 10th. April 1845.

To,
The Acting Sub Treasurer

101

To the Asst. Sub Treasurer

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remit Rupees 48 13 10, being the Amount of fines levied on the Writers and Peons of the Office as noted in the Margin from July 1844 to January 1845, and to request that you will acknowledge the receipt of the same.

J. Lloyd Reader fined in July	Rs.	15	5
W. Brown Indexer " in August	"	11	1
Chengooram Sorter of Paper	Do.	1	2
J. Lloyd Reader	Do.	5	12 10
Cundapen Moochee	Do.	1	2
H. E. Boyle Writer in September	"	3	
R. Davis Do. Do.	"	12	9
Dofsory Peon Do.	"	3	8
W. Brown Indexer in October	"	9	7 8
J. Lloyd Reader Do.	"	4	13 5
C. Sabapathe Writer Do.	"	12	12 4
W. Brown Indexer in November	"	1	10 1
U. Wicker Writer Do.	"	1	5 4
P. Kistniah Writer in December	"	3	
Rungiah Peon in January 1845	"	"	3 6
Gobindoo Do. Do.	"	"	3 6
	Rupees	18	13 10

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th. April 1845.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Received

4687 Fort St. George 10th. April 1845.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Deputy Accountant General. Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of the Military Department of his Office.

102

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

Order thereon.

102-103

From the Collector of Chinglepute. Informing that the Returns of persons in Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of March last are not sent there being no entry to make.

104

From the Collector of Chinglepute. Stating that the Return of persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of March last is not sent there being no entry to make.

105

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Forwarding a Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 31st. December last.

106

From the Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1844/45 at the Ports up to 31st. March last.

107

From

after payment of money; and the 11th Witness, then a revenue peon deposed to having caused the attendance of witnesses.—

Examined in March 1844.

In his address to the Magistrate of the 8th April 1842, 1st Witness Palanyandy pillay, states that the 200 Rupees were given to Sham rao thro' him.—

1 Witness — Palanyandy pillay

In his deposition before the Joint Magistrate of 10th June 1843 he states that the 200 Rupees were paid to Sham rao, in his presence by the Natamgar of Munganamooth, at the pagoda of that village, no one being present at the time but one Vencatasawmy naik, which name does not appear against the Witnesses.—

Nachymootoo pillay.

The Witness in his address of the 6th June 1843, to Joint Magistrate states that he had complained of the homicide to the Tasildar at his instigation, on his [Sham Rao] promising him fifty Rupees for so doing, but he never received the money [the object being to enable the Tasildar to extort hush money]; and in the deposition of the 10th June 1843 the informer asserts that Sham rao promised to give him employment if he would be silent in the matter.—

The 2d Witness Tirvianickom pillay maintains the deceased died a natural death, and that it was 2 months after the occurrence that the 2 defendants came to his village for the enquiry, after which he was sent for to Dindigul, the busbah of the talook

2d Witness Tirmanickom pillay.—

talook where he was detained in custody for 7 or 8 days, and to avoid the threat to put handcuffs on him [the Joint Magistrate is in error in stating handcuffs ever were put upon him] he "one morning in presence of the 3d and 4th Witnesses [sholay and a second Tirmanickom pillay] gave Sham rao 200 Rupees, whilst sitting on the pial of his house at Dindigul, that when the above 3 persons went to sham rao's house, two other persons were there, names and features unknown, which last two persons were sent away by the Tasildar before the money was given: that no others were present— and that he then went with the said 3d and 4th Witnesses, and paid the 50 Rupees to Lukshmanaraniah, at the 7½ Indian hour of the morning".—

Improbabilities of his Evidence.—

There is no attempt on the part of the Joint Magistrate to elicit the cause of this witness coming forward to criminate himself, at the same time with the 2 defendants, 14 months after the giving of the bribe; no attempt to elicit the discrepancy between his and the 1st Witness' evidence on the fact of giving the bribe to Sham rao, nor is any attempt made to elicit how the money was obtained, and how the second Witness contrived to leave the guard room, if indeed he was in custody as he says, or in handcuffs, as recorded by the Joint Magistrate.—

The 3d and 4th Witnesses Sholay [a Piller

Puller whose caste would prohibit approach to a Bra=
=min/ and a second Permanikom, relation of 2d Wit.
=ness, states that the decease of Vellien took place in
Massee Feby & March
=gation two months afterwards and that from fear to be
made a prisoner the 2d Witness Permanikam, gave
the bribes to the 1st and 2d accused in the man=
=ner, and under the circumstances deposed to by him/2d Witness/-

The 5.6.7.8. and 9th Witnesses were residents,
of 3 different villages, and appearing upon summons,
depose to witnessing the bribes being given respectively
to the 1st and 2d accused in Mausee Feby & March yet there is no
attempt to elicit how they recollect the particular
month, when the other witnesses speak to the occur=
=rence as having taken place two months subsequently-
and I cannot find in the record any clue by which
the Joint Magistrate should have known how
to address, his summonses.—

4 The 1st Witness gave his original —
information to the Tasildar on the 11th March 30 mausee, and
the record shews the Tasildar proceeded to the village on
the 19th march 81 Pangoony and they prove also that he made his report
on the matter to the Joint Magistrate on the 25th March 1842.
11th Pangoony

Taking into consideration the tolerable
promptitude of proceeding in the investigation and
report of the Tasildar, the improbabilities and
inconsistencies,

inconsistencies of all the witnesses, their great discrepancies
one from another, as well as to time, place, circumstance, and
persons - the worthless character of the 1st Witness, by his
own showing, and as corroborated by the proceedings, the
implication of the 2d witness in the prior charge of homicide-
these two being the chief witnesses to the bribe, they two —
being, also, parties to the pretended corruption, I find it
my duty to annul the decision of the Joint Magistrate
and fully acquit Sham Rao and Lukshmanaraniah
of the charge laid against them.—

The contradictions in the case are too nu=
=merous and dispersed to allow the magistrate to unra=
=vel each with distinctness, and he has therefore
confined himself to the principal and most
important ones.—

5. The case might well have been dis=
=posed of by Mr Elliot in June 1843, when the —
investigation was all but closed, and might have been
fully, for Sham rão was not sent to the Criminal
Court till March 1844, or at all events in November
of that year when the renewed investigation was —
made;- and the continued procrastination till
the 15th February 1845 seems to the magistrate very
unjust towards the accused, and may countenance
the 1st defendants belief in his apertain that the

Joint

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Joint Magistrate has determined on his own.—

In his decision of the 15th February the Joint Magistrate dwells much on the omissions of dates and signatures and other irregularities, and on the want of skill of putting pertinent questions to the parties, and seems to infer a tampering with returns made by the 11th witness to two summonses, in which however he has erroneously fixed upon one summons the opinion applied by the deponent to the other, and he seems to have overlooked that the alterations or omissions in the summonses are /if true/ of no importance whatever to the case in question.—

6. There is scarcely any Officer, native or European, who does his duty with such precision that, on subsequent enquiry and research, error, and often great error, and irregularity shall not be found upon the record; but it is harsh to take advantage of such, and upon them to doubt the integrity of the subordinate officer. and in elucidation of this observation I will remark that the record under consideration has a summons of the Joint Magistrate dated the 14th November 1844 in which the name of the peon to whom it was entrusted is not entered. nor does the name of the returning peon appear, and the Joint

Magistrates'

Fort St. George 10th April 1845

Magistrates initials only are there instead of full signature.

Again, having signed his English decision in No. 59 of 1843 he adds a "P.S. The further enquiry into the bribe deposed to have been taken by the Tasildar tends considerably to strengthen the suspicion of the truth of the charge in the above case, but no further direct proof of the actual homicide has been elicited."—

/Marked/ "W.E. Joint Magistrate"

now in the above few lines, there is erasion of the words "to this day" and in lieu of them are written in the Joint Magistrates own hand "to have been taken by the Tasildar" and at the end, there is no signature but only the initials W.E and no date

Again, the Joint Magistrate makes use of the documents taken in No. 59 of 1843 as valid evidence in No. 104 of 1844.—

And, again, the 2d witness in No. 104 of 1844, being one of the two principal parties in the case of bribery and corruption, should not have been required to /should have been prevented from/ criminating himself on solemn affirmation.—

He has recorded the sentence as under Regulation IV instead of Regulation III of 1819. and I am inclined to believe, under deference to superior authority, that the Regulation quoted does not authorise

incarceration

incarceration in Jail, except in commutation, on re-
-fusal to pay the fine; and I am further of opinion
the power of fine being so limited in proportion to the
50 Rupees. bribe believed to have been given, and the believed of-
-fenders being of such high rank and power, it was
not competent to the Joint Magistrate to have dis-
-posed of the case; but it was incumbent on him
(being satisfied of the sufficiency of the proof)
to have committed the case to the criminal court.—

7. On the very day of the decision, the
15th Feby. Royasum (2d Defendant) appealed to me, stating
that on a prior appeal to me against his suspension by
Mr. Elliot in May 1843, I had, after inspection
of the grounds, directed his restoration:— That on a
subsequent false complaint against him by an
informer Pillapa Chetty he had been committed to
the criminal court, and forwarded by the subordi-
-nate to the sessions Judge where he was acquitted:— That on
production of his verdict I had again directed his re-
-storation, when the Joint Magistrate revived the
present case, on perusal of which I had before ordered
his restoration to employ, and being now sentenced to one
months imprisonment in Jail for the very offence of
which I had before pronounced him innocent, he made his appeal

8. The Royasum Lukshmanaraniah
was suspended by Mr. Elliot on the 23d May 1843,
pending

pending his investigation into this charge of extortion
and of suppression of murder out of which it grew:
On his appeal of the 19th August, I examined the grounds,
and on the 6th September pronounced him innocent,
and desired the Joint Magistrate to restore him to
Office, Copy and translate is annexed to this decision—
The Joint Magistrate restored him accordingly but
suspended him again on the 13th February 1844. but with-
out favoring me with any communication and I was left to
believe my instructions had been obeyed in full spirit.—
Mr. Elliot committed him on
the 23d February to the criminal court on a charge
of preparing false registers and for fraudulent conceal-
-ment of a document, and for perjury; on the 2d April
he was transferred from the subordinate to the sessions
Judge, by whom he was acquitted, on the 13th May. of
the first charge, as well for want of proof, as that
if their had been proof, it was competent to the Joint —
Magistrate himself to have disposed of the case by fine,
suspension or dismission.—
And on the 18th Idem, the
sessions Judge declined to try him for the perjury
because the evidence was the same in both this case,
and the one above alluded to and further that the
points on which he was charged to have given con-
-tradictory depositions were not material to the issue of the
case

lease them pending before the Joint Magistrate.

On the 1st July 1844 I directed his restoration to Office and on the Joint Magistrates reverting in his answer, protracted till the 8th August, after being again called upon on the 31st July, to his not yet having passed his decision in his complicity in the murder case Vca as a case for non compliance, I begged him, on the 12th Idem, to keep the pay at least in deposit till the matter should be settled,- meantime having given some of the pay to a person he had appointed to act, and kept the rest in deposit, it was on the 15th February before he could be induced to pass the decision now in consideration and judging from these circumstances Mr. Elliots determination not to reemploy him, I do not reiterate my request but leave it to the consideration of the Board.

9. On the 21st of the same Month of February, the 1st Defendant Shamrão also made his Appeal, resting his Defence from the supposed suppression of murder on his two accepted reports to the Joint Magistrate at the time of the occurrence- attributing the revival of that charge and the new one of extortion to the malice of a notorious informer, Pillapa Chitty, and insinuating that Palaniandy pillay has been rewarded for his services against him / appellant by the Nattamai of

10th Witness of Coombaspilly, and the Duffadar, Kylasum, by restoration to his situation from which he had been previously suspended by Mr. Elliot.

I am not aware of the two latter circumstances, but neither do they appear of any importance in the present state of the case.

Madura 22d March 1845 } / Signed / J. Blackburne Magistrate

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

69-70

To The Principal Collector Madura

To the Pl. Coll.r of Madura. Stating that it is open to him in the exercise of his own powers of control to cause the restoration of Lutchmanarayenah to the Office of Police Roysum. Jud. Cons. 10th April

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d Ultimo giving cover to copy of your decision cancelling that of the Joint Magistrate in Case No. 104 of 1844, and leaving it to the Board to order the restoration of the Police Roysum Lutchmanarroyenah if they think proper

2 It would appear that you have been induced to make the present application, in place of restoring Lutchmanaroyenah yourself, because from the delay which has occurred in the disposal of the case by the Joint Magistrate and from the general tenor of his proceedings towards the Roysum, you are of opinion that the question as to reinstatement of that individual may most fitly be referred for the consideration of

4688. Fort St. George 10th April 1845.

From the Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand, Collection, and Balance, for the Month of February last.
108

From the Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of February last.
109

From the Collector of Tanjore. Register of the State of the River Convery at the Annicut for the Month of February 1844 and 1845.
110

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Informing that there were no persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of March last.
111

From the Collector of Vizagapatam: Informing that there were no Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 31st. March last.
112

" J. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

" Goldingham