
105
21
202
330

WP 1928

WP
1928

1194 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. Diary

At a Meeting Present

John, Dent, Esqre Senior Member

Monday 26th August 1844 } A. Robertson, Esqre Second Member

and

Walter, Elliot, Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.

Read the following letter

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Sir, I am directed to call your attention again to the letter addressed to your Board under date the 12th of March 1839. No. 335, transmitting for any observations and explanations you might desire to offer a copy of a Minute by the Honorable Mr. Sullivan, relative

(1)

6

11195

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

to the survey and Field Assessment introduced into Tanjore, by Mr. Sinderson

2 The Honorable Court having in para 18 of their Despatch dated 14th December 1842, No. 36 expressed their anxiety for the early Settlement of this long pending question, the Most Noble the Governor in Council is desirous with reference to the communications noted in the Margin that there should be no further delay in submitting to Government the result of your deliberations on the subject and which he trusts you will be able to do at any early period.

Communicated to Board 2nd May 1843

To the Bd of Revenue 9th Dec. 1839 No. 1570.
To the Bd of Revenue 5th July 1840 No. 139.
Extract Mins of Cons. 6th July 1841. No. 983. para 3
To the Bd of Revenue 8th Feby 1842, No. 182
Extract Mins of Cons. 2nd May 1843 No. 1464 para 4.

Fort St. George 24th August 1844

/Signed/ G. D. Drury.
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon

1-2

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date 24th August 1844

From the Chief Secy to Government

3

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board

11196

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Malabar forwarding a Petition from the Renters of the Erinjolly Ferry situated on the high road from Pellicherry to Mysore and supporting his recommendation that a remission of Rupees 600 may be granted to them in consideration of the loss they have sustained by the decreased transit of Salt consequent on the price having been raised by Act VI. of 1844.

/Here Enter 11th July 1844 No. 282/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council does not consider that the Renters of the Erinjolly Ferry have any claim to remission on the grounds advanced in the foregoing letter

11198 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th August 1844.

5

Read the following a letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras reporting the death of Arcot Sam Row who with his son Venkatarow held a Here Enter 20th June 1844 No. 2547 Salt compensation Certificate for Rupees 80-4-0 per annum and recommending that sanction may be granted for the renewal of the Certificate in the name of Venkatarow and for the payments to him of the arrears of the compensation now due.

Before acceding to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in the foregoing letter the Most Noble the Governor in Council desires to have before him all the Deeds connected

11197 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

and therefore declines to accede to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue on their behalf

/ A true Extract /

/ Signed / P. D. Drury /

Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

3-4

Resolution thereon

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letter dated 13th June 1844.

Extract

11199 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

connected with the case of the Salt com-
pensation certificate of Great Sam Rowpukeer
are adverted to in the communication
of the Collector of Madras Dated 14th
June 1844.

A true Extract,
/Signed/ G. D. Drury,
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Resolution thereon- Resolved that a copy of the
5-6 foregoing Extract from the Minutes of C
Consultation be transmitted to the C
Collector of Madras who will be please
to furnish the Documents required by
Government-

7
From the Chief Sec.y to Government } Read the following resolute
Extract from the Minutes of Consulta
Dated 24th August 1844.
/From Board 25th July/

The Government entirely
approve of the instructions issued to the
Collector of Guntoor that all salt be piec
into store and brought to account wit
two Months of its manufacture

/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

7-8 Ordered to be recorded
Order thereon Rea

11200 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Read the following Extract-
From the Secretary to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 23rd August 1844.-
4. Read the following letter.
Marine Department No. 860.

To The Secretary to the Government at
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed by the Honorable
the Governor in Council to transmit for the
early attention and consideration of the Most
Noble the Governor in Council at Fort St. George
the accompanying Copies of a letter from the
Superintendent of the Indian Navy No. 466
dated 1st Instant, and of its enclosures, relating
to the felling Timber in the Forest of "Anna Malai-
The Timber, I am directed to state,
is urgently needed at Bombay, but whether other
considerations do not outweigh the value of this urgen-
cy, is matter for the judgment of the Madras Government.

Bombay Castle, 16th July 1844.

/Signed/ J. A. Melvill
Lieutenant Colonel
Secretary to Govt.
Marine Department.

A
No. 466 of 1844.

To The Honorable Sir Jno. Arthur Bart K.C.H.
President & Govr. in Council

Honorable Sir,

I have the honor to transmit the

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

the accompanying letter to my address from Captain Williams, Government Agent for the purchase of Timber at Calicut, with its enclosures, relative to the permission of the Madras Government being obtained to the felling of Timber in the Forest of "Anna Mala" by Mr. Gardner, who has contracted with Captain Williams to fell such Timber as may be required for the service of Government.—

2. In making this communication, I do myself the Honor to request your Honorable Board will be pleased to represent the subject to the Madras Government, in the most favorable manner, and at the earliest possible period, it being a great object that the Forests should be worked at once, so as to admit of the Timber being brought to the Coast this season.—

Superintt. Office,
Bombay,
1st July 1844.

/Signed/ R. Oliver
Captain R.N.
Supt. of the I. Navy.

No. 157 of 1844.

To Captain Sir Robert Oliver R.N.
Superintendent I.N.
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the

Copy

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

copy of a communication which (with reference to the 13th Para of my letter quoted in the margin) I addressed to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore requesting permission for my Contractor Mr. Gardener to be allowed to cut Teak Plank for the use of the public service, in the Government Forests of "Anna Mala" situated in the Coimbatore Talook.—

Timber Agents letter to the Superintendent I. Navy dated 30th May 1844. No. 131.—

2. In the reply of the Collector (a copy of which is also forwarded) it is stated that certain restrictive orders which are in force relative to the Forests in Coimbatore, prevent compliance with my request, except under the special sanction of Government for which it is suggested that I should apply.—

Conceiving that I have not authority to communicate direct with the Government of Madras on the subject, I do myself the honor to solicit that application may be made from Bombay tending to my being permitted to obtain supplies of Timber or plank from the "Anna Mala" Forest in Coimbatore, by the aid of Mr. Gardner or such other persons as may from time to time be willing to enter into a contract, to cut and transport

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

transport the wood to the Sea Coast at Ponany.—

Govt. Agents Office
Calicut
19th June 1844.

/Signed/ A. S. Williams
Captain I. N.
Government Agent.

No. 136 of 1844.—

To
J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore—

Sir,

I do myself the honor to bring to your notice that in consequence of the scarcity of Teak Timber in Malabar and the urgent want of material for the construction of the Line of Battle Ships which the Bombay Government have undertaken to build for the Crown, I was induced so long ago as the year 1840 to open a negotiation with the Rumboodee Rajah of Collengode for a lease of his Forests, which he agreed to let to Government for the annual Rupees Twenty a thousand, when however the agreement was on the point of being concluded, the Rumboodee refused to sign the papers necessary to secure to Government the sole right of working the Forests, and the negotiation was in consequence broken off.—

2.

In the year 1841 Mr. Gardner purchased

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

purchased from the Rumboodee the right of felling a certain number of trees in the Collengode Forest, these he has, during the last two years, converted into plank and supplied to me for the service of Government, other parties have however of late obtained permission from the Rumboodee to cut timber, by which I am led to fear that Mr. Gardner's means of continuing the supply may be cut off, as well as that the Collengode Jungles, which are the only ones, the property of private individuals, whence the same description of timber can be obtained, will be worked out and exhausted of all available timber in the course of a very short time.—

As the difficulty of procuring timber of good quality in Malabar is still greater than formerly, owing to the very exhausted state of the greater number of the Teak Forests, and as large quantities of material are annually required to supply the wants of the Dock Yard, as well as of the Gun Carriage Manufactories and Ordnance Departments both of Madras and Bombay, I take leave to solicit your favorable recommendation to the Board of Revenue at Madras that

that my Contractor Mr. Gardner may be allowed to cut timber from the "Anna Mala" Forests of Coimbatore, which I have been given to understand are the sole property of Government.

I beg to add that I submit this application in favor of Mr. Gardner on the supposition that he will not be allowed to work the jungles save on the condition of his supplying the whole of the Timber which he may cut exclusively for the use of the public Service.

Govt Agents Office
Cedicut
1st June 1846

/Signed/ A. S. Williams
Captain I.N.
Government Agent.

To
Captain A. S. Williams
Govt Agent for the Purchase
of Timber in Malabar Canara

Sir

In reply to your letter of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to acquaint you that in consequence of the restrictive orders of Government prevailing in this District, Mr. Gardner cannot be permitted to fell Timber in the Annalli Forests except under the special sanction of Government I would therefore suggest the expediency of an

application on your part being made direct to Government on this subject.

Coimbatore,
Print Collrs Office,
Annalli 13th June 1846

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Print Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. S. Williams
Capt. in I.N.
Government Agent.

Ordered that the foregoing communication be referred for immediate report to the Board of Revenue.

/Signed/ J. F. Thomas
Secretary to Govt.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the papers to which it refers be transmitted to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, who will be pleased to report immediately whether there is any objection to Mr. Gardner being permitted to fell Timber in the "Anna Mala" Forests on account of the Bombay Government.

Read the following letter.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have been disqualified for my duty

9-10

Resolution thereon.

11

From the Collr of Rajahmundry.
Reporting of being disqualified for duty several days past

past by inflamation of liver and that the Zillah Surgeon is preparing his Statement of the case for submission through the regular Channel with the Medical Certificate recommending to have leave for Six Months Request ing to appoint Successor to act pro-

duty for several days past by inflamation of the liver, and that the Zillah Surgeon is preparing his Statement of my case for submission through the proper Channels recommending that I should proceed to Sea for Six Months for the benefit of my health.

Vide Section V. VII of the Rules

2. As Mr. Knox my Acting Head Assistant is now performing almost the whole of the arduous duties of my Office, it is of consequence that he should be relieved as speedily as possible, and therefore I beg to urge the necessity for the immediate appointment of some person to act for me.

The

3. The Sick Certificate will be sent by the Zillah Surgeon to the Medical Board for the countersignature of one of its Members and for transmission to the Revenue Board as provided for in Section V of the Absentee Leave Rules.

Rajahmundry District, 19th August, 1844.

I Signed T. Prendergast. Collector.

Resolved that the Resolution thereon.

11-12

foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

Referring to the second Para the Board would solicit that some person should at an early date be permitted to relieve Mr. Prendergast.

Read

11209 Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Read letter requesting

(12) leave of absence for Six Months

to proceed to Sea on Medical

Certificate under Section V and VII

of the Absentee Rules.—

Order thereon.— Ordered to be submitted

(13-14) thro' the Channel of the Civil

Auditor for the favourable con-

sideration of Government.—

The Medical Certifi-

cate will be forwarded as soon

as received from the Medical

Board.—

Read.

11210 Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To C. B. Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(15)

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. Sir, Para 1. With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date 13th June last, authorizing the sale of the Padapaud Mootah I have the honour to acquaint you for the information of the Board, that the Mootah was put up to sale by public auction accordingly to advertisement and purchased on the 5th Instant on account of Government for the sum of (Rs.) (53,000) Fifty three Thousand Rupees, and request the Board's confirmation for the same.—

2. I beg also to forward a Mojeem Zabitah of the Establishment employed for the management of the Mootah in question, involving a monthly charge of Rs. 66—0—0. to be defrayed by Government, and request that you will obtain the sanction of Government for the same.—

(Signed) R. T. Porter

Collector.

Mojeem.

Masulipatam Coll.r's Cutcherry
17th August, 1846.—

11211 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 1.

Moyen Zabitah of Establishment employed for the management of Padaspaud Mootah purchased by Government in the Zillah of Masulipatam.—

Former No. / Present No.	Employments.	Amount of monthly pay paid when the Mootah was held under attachment.	Amount Proposed.	Increase.	Decrease.
		Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.
1 1	Addition to the Salary of the Tahsildar of Ellore	15 "	15 "	" "	" "
1 1	Goomastah	10 "	10 "	" "	" "
2 2	Silladars at 10 Rupees each	20 "	20 "	" "	" "
4 4	Peons at 4 Rupees each	16 "	16 "	" "	" "
8. 8.		61 "	61 "	" "	" "
	Saderwared	3 "	3 "	" "	" "
	Total	64 "	64 "	" "	" "

Masulipatam, Coll.rs Cutcherry, 17th August 1844.

E. E. Jr. / Signed / R. S. Porter

Collector.

K.C.B.

11212 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Resolution thereon. 16.

From Coll.r 31st May in Cons. 13th June 1844. Rec.d Rec.d 13th Do.

Resolved that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject noted in the Margin, be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.—

The Board recommend.— that the purchase of the Mootah — above referred to for Rupees 53,000, may receive the sanction of Government.—

The Moyen Zabitah for the management of this Estate submitted by the Collector not being drawn according to the Form direct.— ed by Government, he has been called upon to furnish a revised Statement which will be submitted as soon as received.—

From Govt 4th Cons 21st March 1844.

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To

11213 Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

To

The Collector of

Masulipatam.

15-17

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam.

Sir,

Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant No. 87. reporting the purchase of the Podapaud Mootah on account of Government and requesting sanction for the Establishment required for its management.—

Infors. 26th Augt.

2. The Mayen Zabitah submitted by you not being drawn according to the form directed in the † order of Government circulated under date 21st March last the Board request you will furnish a fresh Statement so according to the form.—

† 4th March 1846 infors. 21st March.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th August, 1846.

/Signed/ E. B. Lovell

Acting Secretary.

Read.

GB

11214 Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

To

E. B. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

18

From R. T. Porter Esqre

Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 4th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter received from the Commissioner for the Government of Mysore under date 5th Instant together with its enclosure, requesting exemption from frontier duty on the quantity of Opium Exported from that Territory into this District, under the circumstances therein stated, and request the Board's orders on the Subject.—

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 17th August 1846.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter

Collector

No. 1.

To

The Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose for your information copy of a letter from the Superintendent of the Bangalore Division of this Territory with a Telugoo translation of the Urzee which accompanied it from same

11215 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Some Merchants residing in the Taluke of Chintamanny, having to Notice some circumstances connected with a demand for the increased rates of Duty fixed in Schedule A of Act VI of 1844 which has been made upon them under your orders for a certain quantity of Opium sold in your District.—

2. As there can be no reasonable doubt, as observed by Captain Haines, and on the grounds he assigns, that the Merchants would not have persisted in Exporting their Opium into the Honorable Company's Territory on such ruinous terms as have been demanded in Your District and in that of Guntoor, had the frontier Cuttak of the Cuddapah District not been removed, I trust that in the event of your not feeling Authorized under the orders of Government dated the 27th June last to exempt Opium, the produce of Mysore from all duty in future, that you will see grounds under the full explanation afforded in the enclosures for not enforcing the new Rates of Custom duty on the quantity which has already been disposed of in Your District under the peculiar Circumstances of the Case.

Bangalore, 5th August 1844.

(Signed) M. Cubbon
Commissioner &c.

11216 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

To The Secretary to the Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of the Rajah of Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir

I have the honor to forward, for the Consideration of the Commissioner, an Urzee Yesterday received by me from certain Merchants of Chintamanny:—

2. They state, that some time in April last, they Exported 90¾ Bullock loads of Opium to the Company's Territories by the usual road, and frontier Cuttak (Rendueon) of Cuddapah; where no duty was either levied or demanded from them; and that this Opium was disposed of by them at Juggiah pettah into the Masulipatam District, Rajahmundry, Guntoor and Vizagapatam.—

3. A few days after the dispatch of the above they sent another lot of 59½ Bullock loads, which was also permitted to pass the frontier without let or hindrance, and to proceed as far as Korrapettah in the Guntoor District, where it was stopped, and there it was that duty was first demanded of them to the Amount of Company's Rupees 85,680 on the above quantity Viz.

Viz. Maunds 276 and Seers 27 according to the enhanced rates of duty it is supposed, as published in schedule A. of Act VI of 1844.

3. The Petitioners pray that the Embargo on the Opium may be taken off, on the grounds that had the frontier Cutcherry of the Cuddapah District not been removed, and the new rates of duty been demanded of them there they would have returned with their Opium to Chintamany, in preference to exporting it on such onerous terms.

4. The truths of this Averment is obtainable from the following statement—

The market price of the above, Viz. 276 Maunds and 27 Seers of Opium, at the places to which it has been Customary to Export it, varies from Rupees 9,639 to Rupees 9,990. The duty leviable on that quantity according to the Old rates is Rupees 1963—8. The Amount of duty at present demanded on this quantity as above stated, is Rupees 8,568—

5. The Petitioners further state that the Opium is liable to injury if kept long in store and that several of them have stacked their Substance on this Venture, that they transported their Opium by the accustomed road openly and without the slightest intention

of evading the Customs, and that it was from no contrivance of theirs that they had penetrated as far as the Guntoor District unquestioned; on these grounds also they pray that the embargo may be taken off.

7. It is stated moreover, that of the quantity Viz. 90¾ Bullock loads of Opium which was disposed of at first, the Collector of Masulipatam is now demanding from the Petitioners Agents the increased rates of duty for 61¾ loads, being the quantity sold in his District by them: The Collectors in the other Districts may make a similar demand on the remaining 29 loads which have been sold there. These requisitions if enforced would amount to the Enormous sum of Rupees 30,080 in addition to the sum above stated, and must involve them in ruin if the Petitioners are to be made answerable, they pray therefore that they may be exempted from this liability as well.

8. It is needless to expatiate on the obvious hardship of the Petitioners' case, as set forth in their Memorial, but when it is considered, that if the higher rate of

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

of duty had been demanded of them at the frontier Cuttak of Cuddapah these Merchants would undoubtedly have returned with their articles to Chintamany and that it would have been in their power, a very short time afterwards, to have availed themselves of the Opening afforded by the General orders by the Government of Fort St. George 27th June 1844, declaring the produce of Mysore entitled to equal treatment with that of British possessions. I conceive then that the hardship of their case is materially aggravated, and I beg you will solicit the Commissioner's intercession in their behalf.

Superintendent's Office,
Bangalore, 1st August,
1844.

(Signed) G. Haines
Superintendent Bangalore Division.

18-19

Resolution thereon,

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 5th July and 10th August 1844.

Read the following letters.

To,

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

To,
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

20

From the Collr. of Masulipatam.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th. Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the set of Standard Weights and Measures therein alluded to has not been supplied to my Office from the Ordnance Department.

Masulipatam,
Collr's Cutcherry,
19th August, 1844.

I have &c.
(Signed) R. Porter
Collector.

To,
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

21

From the Asst. Collr. in charge of Guntoor.
Dated 2d May 1842.

Sir,
Para 1.

In reply to your Circular letter noted in the margin and letter of 15th Instant I have the honor to state that the Sets of Standard Weights and Measures therein alluded to, have not yet been supplied for the use of this Office.

2. I beg further to inform the Board that the Indent which has been

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

by oversight, been lying in this Office till this time has been sent to the Commissary of Ordnance at Masulipatam this day.

Guntoor
Coll.r's Cutcherry
20th. August 1844.

(Signed) H. Newill
A.t H. Assistant Coll.r in charge.

To, C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22

From the Princ.l Coll.r
of Salem

Sir

With reference to Your letter of the 15th. Instant, I have the honor to Acquaint You, that on the 17th. June 1842, I was furnished by the Commissary of Ordnance at Bangalore, with a set of the Standard Weights and Measures alluded to in the Board's Circular letter of the 2d. May 1842.

Salem Pillah
Office
P.r Coll.r's Cutcherry,
20th. August, 1844.

(Signed) J. Douglas
Principal Collector

To, C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

23

From the Coll.r in the
S.th D.n of Arcot
15th. Aug.t 1844.

Sir

In Answer to Your letter, I

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

have the honor to inform You, that the Set of Standard Weights and measures which were ordered to be supplied to this Collec: torate from the Ordnance Department, were received on the 1st. April last, with the exception of a "Parah," but these Weights being different from those mentioned in the Act XX. of 1844, an Indent for such has been forwarded with letter to Your address.

20th June 1844 my

South Arcot
Coll.r's Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
19th. August, 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

To, C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

24

From the Coll.r of
Trichinopoly

Sir

In reply to Your letter of the 15th. Instant received this day, I have the honor to State that the Set of standard weights and measures therein alluded to has not been supplied to my office.

Trichinopoly District.
Vayalaty-goodaloor,
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry
19th. August 1844.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

To,

11223 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

To

E. C. Lovell, Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(25)

Sir

From the Collr of Madras

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Circular letter under date the 15th Instant, and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I lately received a communication from the Military Board desiring me to submit an Indent in duplicate on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance for 1 Set each of Standard Weights and Measures, and that I have this day forwarded the Indent to that Authority.

I have the honor &c

Madras,
22nd August 1844.

(Signed) J. H. Huddleston
Collector

Order on the foregoing letters.
(20-26)
Ordered to lie on the table.

Proceedings

11224 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

31st May in Cons
6th June 1844.

(27)

Proceedings Relative to the settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Guntoor for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43

Season and crops thereon. Para 1

Read again report of the Collector of Guntoor on the settlements of the Land Revenue of his District for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 (Reference No 44)

Rain, it is stated fell plentifully at intervals in June, July, August, and September and very heavily towards the end of October. In November and December little rain seems to have fallen to which cause is to be attributed a partial failure in the Cotton Crop and the Considerable decrease in the Wet Cultivation which both in Guntoor and Palnaud is stated to have been much under the outturn of an average year and even of the preceding Fusly 1251. The heavy rain of January, if favorable to other produce, was unpropitious to the Cotton crop then ready for gathering, while in the Month of May the District was visited by the disastrous gale reported to Government, 8th June 1843 by which, and by the floods which followed it, the rice crops were in some places almost destroyed, Cattle, Sheep &c carried away, tanks breached, and much damage done to property, not unattended with the loss of human life. On the whole, the season may be said to have been favorable to the dry grains that of Jonna more especially less so to the Cotton crop and from want of rain at one period

and

and the inundations at another decidedly unfavorable to the rice cultivation. With the exception of the prevalence of Cholera at the commencement of the Fusly, and a slight return of that disease towards its close, the season is stated to have been healthy. the mortality among the Cattle was however considerable.

2. The Board regret to perceive that with the exception of rice, the decline in the prices of agricultural produce, which occurred in Fusly 1251, has continued during the years under review. Jonna the principal staple of the district having fallen from 18 " — 9 per Pootty to 13 — 12 — 1 or 23 per Cent. Under this depression, the difficulty of meeting the Muctaa Money rates on their assessed lands, has, it is stated led the ryots of the Zemindary portion of the District strongly to urge recourse to the system of collecting on the estimated value of the produce — and on the efforts of the Collector being directed to check this practice, which tho' prevalent in the Zemindaries, is altogether objectionable and opposed to the principles of a fixed assessment, much land, in the Vassareddy Estate more especially, has been thrown out of Cultivation — "Under these circumstances

July	1241	21	6	2									
"	—	42	18	9									
"	—	43	13	12	1								

circumstances it has become imperative Mr. Stokes adds to relax the Zemindars restrictions on the Cultivators for to refuse to relieve them at such a time of any of their land or the assessment of it would be to ruin them" the precise nature of the relief afforded and the principles on which it was granted, are not stated, but will it is presumed be explained, when the reports on the management of the several Estates are separately furnished.

3. The outturn of the year under review as compared with that of the preceding exhibits a decrease of Rupees 60299 — 7 — 9 of which 45446 — 9 — 8 is in the Zemindaries and 14,852 — 14 — 1 in Palnaud.

	Zemin.	Pal.	Estat.
1251 —	49,973 — 13 — 6	155,200 — 8 — 7 —	9,05,180 — 6 — 1
52 —	7,04,527 — 3 — 10	140,353 — 10 — 6 —	8,44,880 — 14 — 4
Decr.	45,446 — 9 — 8	14,852 — 14 — 1 —	60,299 — 7 — 9

4. Of the five Zemindaries which compose the Guntoor Circar, the Mulrauze Vassareddy and Chilkaloorpaud were under Government management throughout the Fusly. that of Suttanapilly was taken out of the hands of its proprietor on the 10th January, and of Raypilly on the 17th April. the settlement of this latter estate had been nearly completed by the proprietor before its attachment — the Jumma bundy of Suttanapilly was made by the Collectors Establishment but with much difficulty, in consequence of the irregularities which characterized the Zemindar's management and the great confusion in his accounts. In the subjoined table is shewn in a comprehensive form the condition of the Guntoor Zemindaries past and present.

Rayfully

11227

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

	Rayapullay Zemindary consisting of Villages 67	Suttanapul by Zemindary consisting of Villages 80	Vasseireddy Zemindary consisting of 333 Villages	Mulraze Zemindary consisting of 323 Villages	Chikaloor paid &ca. consisting of 79 Villages	Total 882
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Peshcush	92,528 11 8	1,26,700 " "	5,40,737 7 4	3,38,792 4 7	1,26,700 " "	12,25,458 7 7
Collections within the Fusly: Current	63,884 12 "	55,440 " "	2,65,336 6 "	2,07,176 " "	76,440 " "	6,68,277 2 "
Collections within the Fusly: Arrears	1,550 1 10	8,600 " "	24,700 " "	1,400 " "	" "	36,250 1 10
Total	65,434 13 10	64,040 " "	2,90,036 6 "	2,08,576 " "	76,440 " "	7,04,527 3 10
per Centage	70 11 6	50 8 9	53 10 "	61 9 "	60 5 "	57 7 "
Subsequent Collections to the end of May 1844	328 3 10	4,848 12 4	27,611 9 3	7,428 11 11	1,000 12 2	41,218 1 6
Total	65,763 1 8	68,888 12 4	3,17,647 15 3	2,16,004 11 11	77,440 12 2	7,45,745 5 4
Collections Arrears: Fusly 1245	52,177 15 3	74,198 11 4	" "	1,37,240 " "	" "	2,63,616 10 7
" 1246	54,463 15 "	62,548 11 4	2,55,829 3 3	1,55,228 8 8	50,908 3 1	5,84,978 9 4
" 1247	42,204 3 "	54,814 11 4	2,81,034 3 11	1,68,428 8 8	61,876 9 3	6,08,358 4 2
" 1248	68,837 7 11	70,790 11 4	3,15,442 6 7	1,74,704 8 8	78,441 8 8	7,08,276 15 2
Annual current and: " 1249	69,725 7 "	58,200 " "	3,37,530 7 7	2,23,764 8 8	86,102 13 5	7,75,323 4 8
" 1250	68,000 " "	93,559 11 4	3,37,848 6 "	2,31,718 8 10	1,02,575 " "	8,33,700 9 4
" 1251	60,226 13 3	74,258 11 4	3,09,324 7 6	2,19,718 8 "	86,445 5 5	7,49,973 13 6
" 1252	65,434 13 10	64,040 " "	2,90,036 6 "	2,08,576 " "	76,440 " "	7,04,527 3 10
Arrears up to the end of Fusly 1252: " 1241	" "	" "	1,24,697 4 6	62,567 5 10	3,858 8 "	1,91,123 2 4
" 1242	" "	28,875 " "	1,25,047 13 5	18,127 11 11	27,074 2 7	3,62,824 11 11
" 1243	" "	60,001 4 8	1,17,423 " 5	2,37,199 11 11	51,486 10 4	4,66,110 11 4
" 1244	69,684 13 3	92,886 7 11	3,52,525 7 3	2,25,227 8 5	87,821 8 4	8,28,145 15 2
" 1245	37,350 12 5	47,801 4 8	2,56,411 3 3	2,01,552 4 7	64,289 2 3	6,07,404 11 2
" 1246	39,064 12 8	54,341 4 8	2,54,055 8 6	1,83,563 11 11	64,630 5 7	5,95,655 11 4
" 1247	44,573 11 9	76,265 4 8	2,64,755 12 6	1,70,363 11 11	61,575 " 11	6,17,533 9 9
" 1248	29,942 " 8	64,864 4 8	1,97,703 9 3	1,64,027 11 11	53,828 3 11	5,10,365 14 5
" 1249	23,093 15 8	56,625 " "	2,01,735 2 7	1,15,027 11 11	42,396 9 3	4,38,878 7 5
" 1250	24,965 1 7	47,191 4 8	2,49,365 13 8	1,07,073 12 7	25,682 " "	4,54,278 " 6
" 1251	31,524 11 8	43,841 4 8	2,31,945 10 1	1,17,673 12 7	40,254 10 7	4,65,240 1 7
Total	3,00,200 1 8	5,72,692 8 7	23,75,666 5 5	17,66,105 3 6	5,22,896 13 9	55,37,561 " 11

From

For[t] St[e] George 26[th] August 1844-

From the above it will be seen that the Current Collections of Peshcush is within the Fusly and subsequent to it amounted to Rupees 709495-3-6 leaving a balance on the year of Rupees 515963-4-1 of the arrears amounting to Rupees 5573811-2-9 there were realized Rupees 36250-1-10 leaving a balance of Rupees 5537561-4-11 With the single exception of Peyspilly there has been a decreaze in the Collections on all the Estates.

Collection within the Fusly	668277	2	-
Subsequent to end of May	41218	1	6
	709495	3	6

Peyspilly

No estimate had been furnished from this Zemindary at the time 17[th] April when it was attached. It was settled before its attachment by the proprietor, excepting the Wet Cultivation and some other sharing lands. There is a

Settlement			
Fusly 1251	96616	12	5
1252	97542	8	5
	925	12	-

net increase in the settlement of Rupees 925-12- the improved outturn of the dry produce having more than compensated for the diminution in the rice cultivation and the injury caused to the wet grains by the storm. The Collections exceed by Rupees 6139-7- those of Fusly 1251 an increase attributed to the good dry crops and the exertions made by the Zemindar to retain possession of his Estate. The charges, exclusive of Rupees 65434-13-10 carried to account as

Coll[r] Report Para 18

Government

For[t] St[e] George 26[th] August 1844-

Government Peshcush, amounted to Rupees 30950-7-6 the sum appropriated by the Zemindar for his own expences was up to the date of attachment Rupees 9360-2-10 and exceeds by more than 2,000 Rupees the allowance fixed by Mr Goldingham, among the remaining charges are several of a very questionable character

Allowance to Zemindar's Mother	1019	12	8
Repairs to his House	2480	-	-
Feeding Zemindar's relations	1850	-	-
Repayment of loans	9589	-	-

Sultanapilly

This Zemindary was assumed on the 10[th] January, and the settlement effected according to the engagements made by the Proprietor at the time of cultivation. The result, as shewn in the Margin, is a decrease on the former year of Rupees 10004-8-2, Viz[t] 3254-9-4 in the dry lands attributed to diminished cultivation in 12 Villages which reverted from rent to a Mucta Settlement, and to the mismanagement of the Zemindar, and Rupees 6749-14-10 in the wet lands ascribed to the unfavorable nature of the season. The decrease in the demand and depression in prices have produced decrease of Rupees 22982-8-6 in

Para 24

Settlement	Rs	as	p
Fusly 1251	117768	13	2
" 1252	107764	8	-
	10004	8	2

the

this Collections, but in consequence of the reductions effected by the Collector in the charges after the attachment of the estate the sum credited to Government Pishcush is only Rupees 10,218-11-4 below that carried to account in Fusly 1251- The Suttanapilly like the Rayfully Zemindar has exceeded the allowance fixed for him, and his accounts also exhibit disbursements of an objectionable nature tho to a less amount-

Para 30-34-37

Of the Vasareddy Mulrauze and Chilkaloorpaud Zemindaries, which have been throughout the Fusly under management of the Collector, it may suffice to observe, that in all, there has been a decrease in the wet Cultivation, either from the want of rain, or by the injury occasioned by the Storm. In Vasareddy only has there been an increase in the dry Cultivation in the other Estates there is a large decrease the great depression in prices having discouraged the Ryots, and led to the relinquishment of dry lands to a considerable extent. In the Collections of arrears there has been an increase above those realized

Arrears Increase	Rs.
Vasareddy	16,000-
Mulrauz	1,556
Chilkaloorpaud	2,056

in Fusly 1251- in all three Zemindaries, from the better outturn of the wet cultivation of the preceding fusly brought to account at the commencement of that reported on, and in some cases from the favorable nature of the early dry crops more particularly of Jonna- The Current Collections shew a considerable falling off- the result of low prices, diminished cultivation, and the deficiency in the wet produce caused by the failure of the North-East Monsoon and by the storm- The per Centage of the Current collections within the Fusly to the permanent settlement on each of the 5 Estates as compared with the result of the year preceding is given in the annexed table-

Current- Decrease	Rs.
Vasareddy	6,323-
Mulrauz	16,831
Chilkaloorpaud	11,014-9

Estates	Per centage of Fusly 1251	Per centage of Fusly 1252
Rayfully	64 4/16	68
Chilkaloorpaud	68 3/16	60 5/16
Pattanapully	58 9/16	43 12/16
Vasereddy	52 8/16	49 1/16
Mulrauz	64 13/16	61 2/16

The

11232

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Palnaud. 8

The Collector states that he has bestowed a considerable time on the settlement of Palnaud, which he regards as exhibiting a very encouraging specimen of the advantage to be derived from a fixed field assessment. It is however, he adds, deficient in two important particulars. Of these the first, is the absence of stones or banks to mark the boundaries of fields. a want for which the remedy must in the first instance be looked for at the hands of the Village Officers, who should be compelled in all cases, under penalty of fine and loss of Office, to mark off the limits of their own Lands, and to use their best influence over the other Ryots to induce them to do the same. the subject should also engage the special attention of the Salook authorities. The second defect in the Palnaud system is that there is not a perfect

Para 10. "Ayacut account of each Village, shewing "the total measured extent and appropriation "of land within it or a complete Register "of all fields waste and cultivated "numbered according to their sites." The Board do not clearly understand from

11233.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

from the above passage whether there is no Ayacut account at all, or whether there is one but defective in the above most important particulars. but even if the latter be the States meaning it is difficult to conceive how in the absence of detailed account exhibiting the number, extent, class and site of every field in the several Villages, any check can be maintained over the Village servants how the Summahbundy accounts can be prepared, individual puttahs drawn up, or in fine in what manner a Ryotwary settlement can be made. The whole of the Palnaud has been surveyed, and the dry lands have in addition been assessed. the Board must therefore request further information as to what accounts were taken at the time of the original Pymash, what are now preserved in the Boozoor Records, and what in the hands of the Village Officers, in what respects they are defective and how these defects may be remedied, in illustration of which copy of the Pymash of one Village may be forwarded to the Board, and in whatsoever manner the deficiency has hitherto been supplied in practice.

The

11234 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

9 The Settlement of Palnaud for the year under review exhibits a Net decrease of 15,673—1—8 of which the subjoined is an abstract.—

Decrease on Lands settled on a money Assessment	15,083—6—3
Net Decrease on that under sharing system	393—14—10
Net Decrease on quit rents and minor items	195—12—7
	15,673—1—8

10 The decrease on the first item is in the dry lands inclusive of a very inconsiderable decrease on garden land held on money rates, and is the result of an decrease* of shist to the amount of Rupees 26737—8—3 and of an increase of Rupees #11,654—4—2½ but in this increase is included the sum of Rupees 2,650—13—6 which does not proceed from land newly brought into cultivation but is the advance in the Cist of land taken in former years viz. progressive Cowles deducting this from the "Increase" in the Tabular Statement given in Para 45 we have—

	Acres	
Decrease	19,534—22½	26,737—8—3
Increase	7,397—29	9,063 4 6
Net Decrease	12,136—33	17,734—3—9

*Query 26,665-83- Para 45
#Query 11,674-2-

11235 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Net Assessment to be levied on cultivated lands
Rupees 6,765. 11-3.
9965. 5. 6.
16,734-3-9.

showing the net decrease in the actual cultivation to be Acres 12,136-3- with a shist of Rupees 17734-3-9 of this decrease Rupees 6765-11-3 is stated to have been on land ploughed but not sown for want of rain the remaining decrease 9965-5-6 is ascribed to the depression of prices and relinquishment of land exhausted by continued cropping. Of the land entered in the Statement as partly relinquished by Poor Ryots being decrease 1997-18- Rupees 1530-7-8 Acres 1560-24- at Rupees 637-1-8 have only changed hands the remainder actually relinquished Acres 437-6- at the full assessment at Rs 8933 giving an average of 20 Rupees per Acre and would therefore appear to be land of an ordinary quality. The land taken on Cowle was 960-2- Acres full assessment which would be 1,080 Rupees this averaging 1-2- Rs as per acre. Of this quantity 496-15-10 for the first year. It will be seen from the marginal statement that much less land has been taken on Cowle during the year reported on than was so taken in the preceding fasly.—

Land taken on Cowle-
1251 = 2399 = 2653. full assessment
1252 = 960 = 1080. ----- Do. ----

Sr.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

11. In the figured details given in para 45 of the report, and in the Statement therein submitted there occur some inaccuracies which should be avoided in future reports. Thus the sum of the items in the account of the Shist decrease is given at 26,739-8-3. whereas the items thus given amount only to Rs 26,660-8-3 against the total Shist increase in place of 11,674-2-0 is equal to 11,674-2-0. nor would the difference between the true totals of shist increase and decrease amount to Rupees 15,083-6-3. but as per Margin to Rupees 14,991-6-3. Further on the sum of Rupees 17,734-3-9. is distributed into the two sums of 6,768-14-3. and 9,965-5-6. but these last amount jointly to only Rs 16,734-3-9.

26,665-8-3. Shist Decrease.
11,674-2-0. Shist Increase
14,991-6-3.

Net Decrease Rs 3,93-14-10.

12. The decrease in lands held on division of the crops has had place principally in the wet cultivation and the Dasabhogum or fees on Runjah produce levied for repairs of tanks &c, and is in consequence of the season. Of the fluctuations on the remaining items the trifling + increase on Inam Quit-rent would seem to arise from increased cultivation, while the * falling off in the grazing tax is owing to the mortality among the sheep &c already noticed in para 5. of these Proceedings and

+ 6-15-6.

* 241-7-4.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

and to the diminished resort of Cattle from other Districts.

13. The Garden cultivation has fallen Rupees 36-15-1 below that of Fusly 1251. the decrease has been entirely in the land watered by old Wells and is attributed to the failure of many of them. The Board perceive that of 130 old wells worked in Fusly 1251 only 89 have been used for irrigation in the year under report, and that the Shist from the cultivation under these sources is less by 76-8-7. than that of Fusly 1251. The cultivation under the new wells is the same as last Fusly. no new wells having been brought into operation since, but on comparing Enclosure No. 8 of the present Report with the corresponding Enclosure in that for Fusly 1251. it is observed that the manool dry land held by the parties Nos 29. 6. 19. 33. 43. in the year under review is in the aggregate less by acres 85. 20 than that Cultivated by them in 1251. these Ryots should therefore, it is evident, have been charged with Garden teerwah on the land under their new wells, and the Collector will be careful in all future seasons to restrict the indulgence in favor of these wells to such parties only as shall have kept their manool cultivation up

1251-1292-11-2.
1252-1255-1-1.
36-15-1.

Statement 17. to present rent and that for last Fusly
1251-432-7-5.
52-356-14-10
76-8-7

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

up to the usual standard, he will also please to add two columns to Statement 8. shewing in each case the manual cultivation for the previous year and whether there has been an increase or decrease in the present and when there may have been a decrease he will explain in the "Remarks" to what extent the party has been held answerable.

14. The Board request that a detailed Statement English and Mahratta respecting the other Gardens & lands referred to in Para 18 prepared by Sulakshmar and distinguishing between the original and reduced assessment may be suspended to future settlements reports.

watered by canals Betel Gardens Grama Cantums.

15. Of the total current demand Rupees 15,1095-4-10 the balance at the date of the report was Rupees 10,986-7-3 nearly the whole of this is on account of Quitrent on Inam lands left uncultivated. On other lands the balance is smaller than in the previous year, but is stated to be for the most part irreconcilable. the per centage of the current balance to the demand is nearly the same as in July 1251. Of the arrears due for former years Rupees 278-11-7 only have been realized.—

Per Centage

	Per Cent
1251—	7-6-10.
52—	7-4-8.

Sir

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

16. In Column 9 Enclosure No. 1 in the report the Board find the number of Ploughs in the Palmaud stated at 8,249. those for the previous July having been returned at 10,373. In the last para of their Proceedings on the report for 1251 the Board remarked on the great apparent increase in the number of ploughs in the Sungadoo Purgunnah of the Palmaud above those of the year preceding, which they were led to suppose might be merely a clerical error in the return for the July under review seems to countenance this presumption, the difference between the ploughs entered in this Statement and that for July 1251 being more than could be accounted for by the difference in the cultivation of the two seasons. In Columns 10 of the enclosure above quoted the "Villages free of assessment" are given as 2, whereas in Col. 83 only one Village is so entered. This should be explained.

Col. 9 Enclosure of Report for 1251 July.

1251—	10373
1252—	8249
	2124

17. In reference to the remarks of the Board in para 12 of their proceedings and of Mr. Thackeray in Extract Minutes of Consultation in the Summuckhundy report for 1251. Mr. Stokes explains that the substitution of a division of the Crop for a money assessment, as respects the assessed lands in Palmaud

18th Nov. 1843.

15th April in Cons. 29th May 1844.

har

Port St. George 26th August 1861.

having in no instance been sanctioned by himself nor by his predecessors nor are the money rated lands in fact ever so settled. The practice of regulating the Collections by the produce had indeed been resorted to by the Assessors in the case of some of the poorer Wadans of Pahang but the Collectors states that his best efforts to have been directed to the representation of the System. In the Jemindaree rates when under the management of the Proprietors and Renters both the System of sharing and that of estimating the crop have been extensively adopted. Mr. Stokes has expected himself to uphold the Assessors money rates hitherto in force, and to effect a recurrence to them where they had been departed from, altho' the depression in prices and the imperfect nature of the present assessment have powerfully obstructed his efforts to do it is evident that a perfect remedy for the last of these obstacles is to be found only in the Field Survey, and assessment which has been ordered, and the Board trust will shortly be in progress. In the interim the adoption of Village rents which tho' not extensively introduced in the Province under Report will it is hoped have

Para 15.

Port St. George 26th August 1861.

have become general in Sunly 1253, has already been indicated by the Board as the mode of Settlement best adapted to the present circumstances of the Province rendered Estates.

18. In para 49 of his Report for Sunly 1251 Mr. Stokes intimated his having dispensed with the practice of taking Assessments or estimates of the produce of lands bearing a money assessment with the propriety of which the Board fully concurred. Nevertheless they observe in reference to Columns 103-106 of Enclosure No 1, that the estimated produce both of the dry lands and of that portion of the Garden land which is held on money rates, have been entered in full - they directed that these Columns be omitted in the next accounts, and that the practice of estimating Quick crops be altogether discontinued.

Proceedings 11th Nov 1848 P 21.

19. In para 50 of his Report on the previous year the Collector stated that "the remissions for lands taken up but "not sown, is not extended to parts of "fields less than 8 acres" the Board were induced to remark upon this restriction as arbitrary and unreasonable, and Mr. Stokes now explains that the

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the practice introduced it would seem since the famine, is on land taken up but not sown, to remit the assessment on whole fields of whatever size, but not on parts of the subdivision of fields. In what manner fields in Guntoor are usually distributed into subdivisions, and then again into parts of subdivisions is not stated but if, as may be inferred from the Contract first quoted, these parts approach the extent of 8 Acres, the Board are opposed to a practice which would compel a Ryot to pay for so large a portion of land whence he has derived no benefit. Neither indeed do they perceive why a Cultivator should be allowed, within vicinity to leave waste a whole field of 2 or 3 Acres yet be charged for a part of a subdivision containing 6 or 7. The fairer course, and that which in the present circumstances of the Ceded Districts the Board direct to be adopted, is, as already indicated, to grant remission according to a scale regulated by the size of the field, and the proportion borne by the cultivated to the uncultivated portion of it, and the Collector will be pleased to give his attention to the carrying out some such arrangement.

Boards Proceedings 16th Nov. 1843. Para 22.

20. Mr. Stokes / Para 59 / states that

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

that "his attention continues to be directed to the subject of the relinquishment of land" but in allusion it would seem to the observation of the Board in regard to the throwing up of good and bad land together, he remarks "the present occupant of each field is bona fide its proprietor, and when he possesses a more valuable property than his Neighbour he will of course resist any attempt to deprive him of it." It must be evident that in no case could the Ryot who throws up part of his land be deprived of any portion of that which he is desirous of retaining tho' he might be fairly charged with the assessment on the land which he has relinquished, if abandoned under circumstances which would preclude its being taken up by others. In Mr. Stokes' opinion the capricious abandonment of land might most justly be checked by the suspension of the Lambenmah and he would accordingly appear to have discontinued for many years the grant of Cowles in all Villages in which lands have in the last three individual cultivators who have directly or indirectly thrown out of cultivation any land in which he has an interest should be denied the benefit

Proceedings 16th Nov. 1843. Para 23.

Paras 41-59- 1253 / the

Fort St. George 26th August 1840.

benefit of could it if incurred but rea-
sonable to apply this rule to whole
Villages and refuse Cowle to the Ryot
who has acted fairly towards the
Government because his Neighbour,
over whom he has no control, has
contracted his cultivation seems
scarcely equitable. Not looking to
the results of the Jusly immediately
under review, does such a measure
seem called for. The Board observe
that Jumlike what occurred in Justy

	Acres	Rs.	Average per Acre
Given up	6,107	8938	R. I. 1. 8
Taken	7,635	2451	

1251 the average assessment of the land thrown up during the year and of that taken on full sheet in its place is nearly equal, while the total remission granted on all lands occupied on Cowle was only 2512 being little more than half the remission of Justy 1251 and scarcely exceeding 2½ per Cent on the settlement of the dry lands for the whole of the Pattaund Division.

C.L. 136 Ins.
Chowl Sheh.
Col. 46 Ins.
Chowl Sheh.
Rs 98821-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Guntoor for his information and guidance.

Read the following Letters.

To

11245.

Fort St. George 26th August 1840.

28

From the Collr of Bellary -5

To E. B. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Reporting on the claims preferred regarding the Inam lands of Kadetotum in the Bellary Dist: trict forwarding a memorandum with documents in detail and recommending that Cawdee Ameen Shaw the Pershumow in possession of the Durgah performing the ceremonies there of be allowed to continue the same and that the amount in deposite be held in deposit and the lands still held under sequestration at present until the result of a decision by the Civil Tribunal to whom his adversaries should be referred is made known.

+ Letter from the Collector to the Board dated 3d February 1840

Board's letter to Govt 2d March

Minutes of Consultation dated 2d April 1840.

* Proceedings of the Board thereon 23d April 1840.

1. The Board will be aware from the correspondence noted in the margin, that I long ago obtained their sanction for the restoration of the Kadetotum Inam lands at the time I made my report of the 3d February 1840 there appeared a probability or rather certainty of settling the matter at once as then proposed; since the receipt of the Board's authority so to do, great and unlooked for difficulties have arisen, one plaimant has died, a new claimant has appeared, and the person who agreed to drop whatever claim he had, for the allowance specified in my letter, has declined fulfilling his agreement, giving various reasons for so doing. The examination of the case has been deferred from time to time, under various

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

various representations, the Parties sometimes holding forth an intention of an amicable arrangement, and those at length been compelled to lay the particulars at great length before the Board. — By an erroneous expression in my former letter, the Board and Government were led to suppose that I referred to the great Mosque at Adwanie, the Jumma Majeed; I beg to explain that this matter has no reference thereto, the lands are at Kadiatalum, the ceremonies of the Durgah only being performed at Adwanie, I ought to have described it as the great Durgah at Adwanie, the great Mosque, the Jumma Majeed, is a distinct institution. —

2. Mr. Chaplin, in his Register of the 30th May 1815, enters the Kadiatalum Enam as alienated Land and describes it, "is not expressed whether hereditary or not". The Board when sending instructions as to the Form of this Register directed "the alienations to Pagodas, Mosques, and other Institutions may be entered as Perpetual Endowments".

There

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

3. There is great doubt whether this Enam was ever intended as these claimants seem to assert, as land appropriated to a particular Family in connection with the Durgah, and perhaps the easiest way of deciding the matter would be, to reconsider it, in which case the party in possession who performs the ceremonies on would remain in possession, and his adversaries might be referred to the Civil Tribunal for power to eject him. It does not appear that the Lands ever reverted to Government. Government, in sanctioning the arrangement referred to in my letter of the 5th February 1840, required to be informed whether the institution had been Kept up and the terms fulfilled. — This has been done by the present Incumbent Cawder Ameen Shaw who took possession in virtue of the Will of his Predecessor. —

4. — The order directing that no one interference

‡ Hubbanamal

11248

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

interference on the part of the officers of Government with instructions of this nature would have prevented my enquiry into this matter, had not my former letter been addressed to the Board long before that order was issued, and because it is necessary to explain why the affairs cannot be settled agreeably thereto.—

5. The parties are in my opinion deserving of no consideration, they have behaved during the whole enquiry, in deprecations and contumacious a spirit that every attempt at an amicable adjustment has been found impossible and their contradictions and ill-will render every Statement unsupported by written evidence doubtful.—I have been compelled to take a written Statement from each of them to prevent their afterwards denying their own account of the matter, and I have now to submit the enclosed Memorandum to the Board together with the statements of the contending Parties, recommending as

11249

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

to my own opinion of the case, that the ceremonies be still performed by Cawder Ameenshaw, that his adversaries be referred to the Civil Court, and that the money in deposit remain in deposit till the result of the Court's decision is made known, the Jagheer still remaining under sequestration.—

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector.

Bellary Collector's Office, 9th August 1844.

No. 1.

Memorandum.

The Muckan and Durgah which is now in the possession and under the management of Cawder Ameenshaw at Adonie was established in the name of "Juggunthgosroo" or "the great Priest of the people" (he being the original founder thereof) for the purpose of religious worship, Brahmins reading Prayers, distributing Alms, food, &c. to all who resort to that place. This Charity is still continued by the said Cawder Ameenshaw, the person concerning whom it was stated in the minutes of Consultation dated 2d April 1840 that "His Lordship in Council sees no objection

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

objection to the management of the Shro-
=tium, and the affairs of the Marquee con
=tinue them entrusted to him." The Builder
has reported that the institution is in
good condition, except that the com-
=pound wall is out of repair, that the
Establishment, Vizt. 3 servants, attached
thereto is kept up at its usual com-
=plement, and that the ordinary duties
as well as the annual ground Ceremo-
=nies called "Wooroo" have been duly
performed since the demise of the
last incumbent.—

Report of the minutes of consultation.

Although the case under refer-
=ence was considered applicable to the
provisions of Regulation VII of 1817, it
appears doubtful, in consequence of the
Government having ceased to interfere
at all with places of native worship of
such as Pagodas, Mosques &c. as to whether
they have now any control over the
Institution in question; should however
the present condition of the Durgah
render

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

render any repairs necessary, the same should
be undertaken by the party to whom the
Government may order the Shrotrium
to be restored, and therefore no Estimate
need be submitted.—

The collections made from the
Shrotrium subsequent to the Report to the
Board dated 3d February 1840, have increased
the deposit in the Treasury to the amount
of Company's Rupees 3,366-6-2 to the end of
May 1844.—This sum is merely held as a
deposit, and not carried to the account
of Government, as it is Property which
does not legitimately belong to Government
but is liable to be restored when ordered.

The Shrotrium was granted
by Nabab Mohobut-jung A.D. 1790:
and a Sunnud issued under his seal
and signature in the name of Ch
Roohool Ameendhaw the son of Jug:
=jeebgoorroo.—The Sunnud is now
produced by the aforementioned Ch
Claimant Cander Ameendhaw, together
with several other documents in Ch

support

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

support thereof. —

The Shrotriem in question appears by the Records of this office to be Surna Enam or Land alienated free of rent to Mr. Chaplin a former Collector, on behalf of Ameen Rush in the Register in Line 41st of his Letter dated 30th May 1815, in reply to the Board's Circular Letter and Form dated 30th June 1814, and although in the 8th Column of the Register so mentioned, that it is not expressed "whether hereditary or not," the Board have nevertheless directed by note in their Form, that Lands or alienations to Pagodas, Nirvanums and other institutions be entered as "Perpetual Endowments." From this circumstance and by the view taken in the Letter to the Board above referred to, the Shrotriem appears to have been granted for the support of Pagodas Nirvanums and is not an Individual or life grant, confining the benefits thereof to any Particular Person, as granted to be enjoyed by him and inherited by his heirs in order of succession. — In point of fact, the management of Shrotriems appears

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

appears to have been always vested in the succeeding Priest or Fakeer who may have been expressly nominated and ordained by the last incumbent. — On this ground, the nomination of Cauder Ameenshaw by Hyderally Shaw, the last incumbent, appears to be valid, especially as it is made under a deed of ordination called Hibbonamah, which Law has held is not susceptible of Annulment. —

The arrangement entered into by Cauder Ameenshaw and Hyderally under a Ranjeenamah by means of Pumchayet, Viz^t. that the former should pay the latter 5 Rupees per Mensem or 60 Rupees per annum, and which was reported in the 6th Para of the letter above referred to, has been broken since the receipt of the order of Government above quoted, as Hyderally has disputed that arrangement, and has been continually at variance with Cauder Ameenshaw

Shaw,

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

and ordained him under a written deed to that effect called the Hibbonamah.- He holds the original summed, and has since his appointment, conducted the Charities of the Institution and still supports certain female relatives of the origi-nal grantee.- He further states that in consequence of the proceeds of the Jaghire being held in deposit he has been obliged to contract debts to repay the expenses of the Mucharfa.

The 2d Claimant asserts that previous to the death of Fysoollally Shaw or in July 1843 he preferred a claim to the Chroteum before the late Prin-cipal Collector, stating that his great-grand-father married the sister of Juggutthgooroo, and that he is a con great-grand son in the female line, and therefore entitled to the Jaghire in question, but Mr. Robertson rejected his orders in his case.- He further states

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

shaw; frequent efforts appear to have been made to bring the matter to an amicable settlement by leaving them to adjudicate matters among themselves or by means of Punchayet; but to no purpose- these endless disputes have retarded the final adjustment, and besides this, a recently preferred claim of a third person has added to the difficulties which have already occured.- The following is an outline of each individual's claim.-

The present Claimants are three in number, Viz:-

1 Cauder Ameen Shaw
2 Hyderally
3 Mahamud Shaahiraolah.-

The first claimant asserts that the original grantee Rohaal Ameen Shaw the son of Juggutthgooroo, having no male issue, adopted his daughter's son Fysully Shaw as his heir. Mr. Chaplin confirmed this in April 1816.- This man having also no issue, appointed first claimant as his successor

and

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

and ordained him under a written deed to that effect called the Hibbonamah. He holds the original summed, and has since his appointment, conducted the Charities of the Institution and still supports certain female relatives of the original grantee. He further states that in consequence of the proceeds of the Jaghire being held in deposit he has been obliged to contract debts to repay the expenses of the Muckan &c.

The 2d Claimant asserts that previous to the death of Fyzoollally Shaw or in July 1843 he preferred a claim to the Shrotrium before the late Principal Collector, stating that his great grand-father married the sister of Juggutthgooroo, and that he is a consequent grand son in the female line, and therefore entitled to the Jaghire in question, but Mr. Robertson rejected his orders in his case. He further states

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Shaw; frequent efforts appear to have been made to bring the matter to an amicable settlement by devising plans to adjust the matters among themselves or by means of Punchayet; but to no purpose. These endless disputes have retarded the final adjustment, and besides this, a recently preferred claim of a third person has added to the difficulties which have already occured. The following is an outline of each individual's claim.

The present Claimants are three in number, Viz:—

1 Cauder Ameen Shaw
2 Hyderally
3 Mahamud Shahirabah.

The first claimant asserts that the original grantee Rohaul Ameen Shaw the son of Juggutthgooroo, having no male issue, adopted his daughter's son Fyzulla Shaw as his heir. Mr. Chaplin confirmed this in April 1816. This man having also no issue, appointed first claimant as his successor

and

11256.

11257.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Males (and which is more confirmed by certain Decrees of the Zillah Court of Ramnaad) that the Shrotriem of Chokkanaikenpatnam was granted to Mahomed Ghoss and Buckkur Saheb, grandsons of Mahomed Ghoss Saheb, and that the Right to succeed to the Shrotriem devolved on the Elder of the two grandsons, that is to say, Buckkur Saheb, and that when the Right was disputed by the 3d Claimant, Ayyavally Khan gave a written agreement surrendering all claim to the Shrotriem except to an allowance of Rs 8 per month for his support. Ayyavally Khan's mother is the 3d Claimant's Brother of his mother & Chinnakkakkannar preferred his claim to the Shrotriem in Fasly 1241 on the ground that her Father succeeded the Grandson of Mahomed Ghoss, but it does not appear to have been admitted by the Collector, and the Claim does not appear to have been established by evidence.

Determined

11257.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Determined neither of them nor their Sons ever known to have had any Right to, or possessed at any time of the Shrotriem in question. - In consequence of a reference made to the Collector regarding the 3d Claimant, a Mahomedan, who was submitted stated that Ayyavally Khan had originally played false by signing in Mr Chaplin's time, that he was a grandson of Rookool Ameen deceased, to which he had no right. - He also stated that Mahomed Ghoss Saheb & Rookool Ameen were the Brothers of the above named Buckkur Saheb, and that he is great grandson of Mahomed Ghoss, the grandfather of the grandfather of the female line, and grandson of Rookool Ameen Saheb. - In consequence of the conflicting nature of this Statement, Mahomed Ghoss Rookool Ameen deceased having the last Jummabundy to

11258 Fort St. George 26th August 1824

to attend before certain respectable Persons whom the Amildar was ordered to select as a Punchayet, but he has refused to comply with that order. —

Documents have been produced by each party in support of his claim the more readily to Judge the merits thereof. — They consist of Mahazars by the people of the place, and decision of Punchoyet, genealogical Tables &c.

+ Vide sealed Packets A, B, & C requested to be returned. —

* Note Translations of these are also enclosed. —

Some of the parties who originally signed the Punchayetnamah in favor of the first claimant have given their own names to Mahazars on behalf of the others in direct opposition

11259 to 11262 Fort St. George 26th August 1824.

opposition to their former statements, thereby rendering it doubtful as to which is entitled to credit, and difficult to draw any conclusion on the subject. —

Cauder Ameenshaw

who is now in charge of the Muckan &c. being the person referred to in the Minutes of Consultation already quoted, appears to have a claim to the Jaghire, inasmuch as it was from the commencement of his nomination held

Fort St. George 26th August 1834

held valid, by certain respectable Persons and Inhabitants of the place, who stated in their Pookaz or memorial, that Canderameen Khan was adopted by Fyzullally Khan under a Cowritten deed, called Dathnamah as his disciple, and successor to the property, he being the nearest relative of Ebrahim Khan on Jugguthgoorao. —

(Signed) C. A. Bell

Collector

To,

Fort St. George 26th August 1834

Order thereon. — Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting. —

28-29

30

J. E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From A. Bellary Esqre
Collr of Bellary

Sir,

1. With reference to your advertisement of the 12th which appeared in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 13th Instant at Page 633 declaring "that Goods and Merchandize imported by Sea on which import duty has been paid at any Port of this Presidency, will on the production of a certificate to that effect, be permitted to pass across the Frontier into the territories of Native Powers without further demand". I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have for the present issued orders conformably thereto, to the Chowkies on the Nizams Frontier only in respect of Articles conveyed through the Company's territories, for instance from Madras to Hydrabad via Cuddapah &c. But the order does not, in this District, apply to "Mysore" as it enjoins the passing

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Packing of Goods and Merchandize into the territories of Native Powers and not from those territories into this District, the latter being the question Mooted in my letter to you under date the 12th June last, which remains as yet unanswered.—

2. I would at the same time wish to be informed whether the present advertisement is intended to embrace also Articles imported by Sea, on which Import duty has been paid at any Port of the Bombay Presidency and conveyed circuitously to Hydrabad and Mysore through the Bellary District. Instances of this kind occur, the Words "this Presidency" would seem not to embrace this point.—

Bellary, Collector's Office, 17th August 1844.

(Signed) A. Medlar
Collector

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th in Con. 12th Augt. August last No. 801.—

Read

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Read the following letters.

To E. B. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(32)

From J. Scott Esqre
Agent to the Governor
of Fort St. George
at Kurnool

Reporting on the experiment made to introduce the Cultivation of Mauritius sugar cane.

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the 6th instant, I have the honor to inform you that I distributed the Mauritius sugar cane cuttings in the four gardens that are considered to produce the best sugar canes, and in which this Cultivation is carried on to some extent.— The cuttings were received very gladly, and I could have disposed of ten times the number.—

I regret however to add that the experiment must as yet be considered a failure, of all the cuttings only about 130 were alive when I received the last report of them about a week ago, and they were sickly with the exception of 30 in one of the Gardens, specimens of the dead cuttings have been sent in to me and from their appearance it would seem that the principle of life was extinct when they were put into the ground for there is not the smallest

smallest symptom of any attempt to shoot.

They were sent up by Candy and much too late in the year, if they had been dispatched by Coaly so as to reach me by the end of January or beginning of February I have very little doubt that they would have succeeded. - I propose reserving the heart of the Plants that I may have for future cuttings.

Kurnool, 14th August, 1844. } (Signed) S. Scott.
Agent to the Govr. of Fort St. George

33

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From W. H. Babington Esqre. Actg. Coll. of Northern Division of Arcot

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to annex a statement exhibiting the progress which the cultivation of the Mauritius sugar cane has made in my District from the cuttings supplied by the Agri. Horticultural Society in 1843.

+ dated 13th April 1843. dated 8th Augt 1844.

2 I received on the 21st April 1843 the Board's letter of the 13th of that Month directing me to apply to the Secretary of the Agri. Horticultural Society at Madras for cane cuttings and

I applied for them on the same day. They reached me on the 8th May at Ra- chepet, I could find no ryots willing to accept, I could find no ryots willing to take them and was obliged at last to force them on several who happened to have ground ready for planting and by this means I got all the cuttings planted within the month but the result has not been fortunate, nearly the whole of them having failed. The reasons assigned by the ryots for their unwillingness to try them, was that the experiment was new and they did not know that their methods of cultivation would answer. - They ryots say the cause of the failure was that the canes were too dry and that the Knots had been injured in the Bandy in coming from Madras. - I don't Know whether this is the true reason, or whether the ryots injured them by overwatering them. The cuttings were kept in straw as they were received up to the time of their delivery to the ryots. -

(Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector.

North Arcot, Coll.r's Cutchery, Chittoor 22nd August, 1844. -

Memorandum

1126 g:

No. 1 Statement Shewing the Extent of Land Cultivated with Mauritius Sugar Cane in North Arcot in July 1253 from Cuttings received from the Agri-Horticultural Society.—

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Name of Collectorate	Names of Villages	Number of Ryots Mauritius Sugar Cane	Extent of land Cultivated Nunjah Land	Nunjah Teerwah	Total Land	Total Teerwah	Remarks.
1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	
			℔ a	℔ a ℗	℔ a	℔ a ℗	
Cuddapah	Poodoopandy	2 200	" 2¼	2 15 8	" "	" " "	These Canes were planted and every care taken of them for three months, but they did not grow.—
	Sautempachum	1 200	" ½	2 " 4	" "	" " "	
		3 500	" 3¾	5 " "	" "	" " "	
	Sautoor	1 100	" ¾	" 15 9	" "	" " "	These canes were planted by cutting them into 320 pieces, of which 267 sprung up and withered, the remaining 53 came to perfection, and appeared better than the ordinary ones.— These were planted on ℄ ¼ of land during the current year, but they do not promise to grow well from want of rain.—
Arcot	Moopputtutty	3 200	" 1	1 1 6	" "	" " "	These Canes did not grow.—
	Chuckramullaar	1 100	" "	" " "	" ¼	" 5 8	
	Arcot	1 100	" ½	1 " 7	" "	" " "	
		6 500	" 2¼	3 1 10	" ¼	" 5 8	
	Total	9 1,000	" 6	8 1 10	" ¼	" 5 8	" 6¼ 8 7 6

North Arcot Collr's Cutcherry ℄ Chittoor 22d August 1844 } E E Jos Signed ℄

W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Order on the 2 foregoing letters.— Ordered to lie on the table.

(32-34)

Read the following Reports.

From the Collector of Chingleput 75 in Con. 20 of 1st June 1839
From Do. 94 September in Con. 5 October
From Do. 31 October in Con. 9 November
From Do. 31 July in Con. 8 August 1839
From Do. 8 February in Con. 4 March 1841.
From Do. 21 September 1836 in Con. 7 November
From Do. 28 February 1837 in Con. 16 March
From Do. 8 March " in Con. 16 "
From Do. 31 March " in Con. 1 May
From Do. 13 September 1841 in Con. 30 September
From Do. 13 December in Con. 3 January 1842

(35)

Proceedings thereon.—

Recording observations on the survey of the Chingleput District.

Para 1

An attempt to survey a part of the Chingleput District was made by Mr. Hepburn as early as the year 1803.— His operations were confined to the Taluk of Putwaid since transferred to North Arcot, and to the Mootahs of Conjeveram and Madrantacum.— These with the exception of a few Villages were surveyed by a regular Establishment, the standard of measurement being the Cawnie, and classed with the aid of the inhabitants.—

Para 2

The wet lands were very moderately assessed on a calculation of the produce taken at a very low

Para 1 Minute of 5th January 1818 Para 253 to 258.—

From Collr. 10th Jan. Con. 22 Augt. 1825 P. 15 Proceedings 24th Octr. 1825 P. 9:—

From Collr. 4th July 1805 Con. 28 Sept. 1805

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

low average, of which 2/5th were assumed as the Government share, and converted into money at the average price of the preceding ten years.. But in the dry lands the old native practice of assessing the particular Crop grown on the land instead of the land itself was retained.—

Para 3. The result of the survey & Assessment of the Ceded Districts having induced the Honorable Court of Directors to order the application of its principles to all the Districts of this Presidency into which it could be introduced with safety a circular was issued transmitting Colonel Munro's Reports to the several Collectors to serve as the ground work of the proposed measure.— This was followed by a second desiring each officer to select a Village in his District for survey and Assessment on the results derived from which it was proposed to draft a Byelaw Regulation for the general establishment of the system.—

Para 4. The intimate acquaintance with the Ancient landed tenures and revenue system of the Tamil Districts, possessed by the late Mr. Ellis Collector of Madras, induced the Board to request that he would undertake the settlement of

of one Village of the Jaghier, whilst Mr. Cook the Collector concluded that of another. —

From Mr. Ellis 15th in Con. 18th January 1819.

5th Mr. Ellis chose Tayalcar but did not consider it necessary to survey the land. He contented himself with ascertaining the respective shares of the produce enjoyed by Government and the people, which he apportioned on each field or parcel of land, entered in the Account registery. — the Government portion being converted into a money equivalent to be paid by the Ryots. — The Cuttak of Manimangalum was selected by Mr. Cook Collector of the Jaghier, but the survey and assessment concluded by him failed entirely, thro the determined opposition of the inhabitants to accept of any money Rates. — Mr. Smally the next Collector therefore proceeded to effect a settlement of Chenambadoo in the Poddapolliam Talook which he conducted as Mr. Ellis had done without making a previous survey and measurement of the lands.

From Coll. 4th Nov. in Con. 19th July 1819 Proceedings 14th Oct. 1819.

From Coll. 7th in Con. 24th July 1820.

From Coll. 4th in Con. 9th Nov. 1820 Para 27. —

Said Paras 27 & 46 Proceedings 28th 1820 p 32.

6th At the same time however he advocated the extension of the survey to

From Coll. 4th Nov. in Con. 9th 1820 p 4 & 26

to the whole District in preference to an Assessment on the existing divisions of the land and proposed a series of rules for carrying it out. — These were examined by the Board and approved by Government, and in 1822 Mr. Smally reported the completion of the survey of Chinglepet Talook, and its contemplation in that of Tripooloor and other parts of the District. — The earlier operations were conducted on the model of those in the Ceded Districts. — The standard of measurement was the Canonic Rhot of Account the Pagoda. — The conversion of these to the Acre and Rupee was contemplated but never took place. The principle of the assessment was an average of the Produce and Prices of a series of years. The Sirkumdars with the ryots ascertained the Produce. — This was converted into money at the average price of 21 years and the result was compared with the old standard Berijes. —

From Government 23rd Feb. 1821 in Con. 26th

From Coll. 31st Oct. in Con. 4th Nov. 1822

Scarcely had these operations, &c. after a careful Analysis and examination by the Board, received the confirmation of Government than Mr. Smally was removed to another Charge † — The short period during

Proceedings 14th April 1823 p 52.

Proceedings 14th April 1823 From Lov. 9th May in Con. 15th Do.

† App. Rein. Coll. of Collore 26th Sept. 1823. —

1274 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

during which his immediate successors Mr. Babington and Nisbet remained in the District permitted them only to superintend the progress of the Survey. Mr. Cunliffe in 1825 resumed the subject of the assignment and in reply to some proposed alterations was directed to proceed on the principles laid down by Mr. Smally. The Board were at much pains to discuss their views fully with him both by personal communication and in writing but even before he could act upon them he was nominated to the Principal Collectorate of South Arcot. The task was then taken up by Mr. Vincash who completed the assignment of 4 Talooks. but on his appointment to Madura in 1828 he left the District transmitting a short intimation of the progress he had made, but without any explanation of the principles on which he had acted.

8. The next Collector Mr. Hawley Thompson remained in charge little more than a 12 Month and was succeeded by Mr. Cotton in 1829. On this occasion the Board took occasion to observe that 6 Collectors had presided over the district between 1823 and 1829, and to point out the tendency

1275 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

tendency of such frequent changes to produce errors and irregularities in a work like the Survey and Field Assessment, independent of their injurious effects on the district in other respects.

9. Mr. Vincash had stated that the settlement concluded by him "had been effected generally agreeably to the practice of the Ceded Districts, under the Board's instructions of the 22nd May 1817," but the accounts accompanying this meagre announcement were found not only to be unsatisfactory but to suggest doubts of the correctness of the results at which he had arrived. The Board therefore directed Mr. Cotton to go through them in detail, on the spot, Village by Village, observing the rules laid down in their Proceedings of 19th May 1825, and in the mean time to suspend the prosecution of the work in the remaining parts of the District of which three Talooks were still unsettled. Mr. Cotton's removal to Madras interrupted this process and the subject remained in abeyance during Mr. Davis' administration and afterwards under Mr. Maclean's till 1834, when Government recalling attention to it

on

appd 10th Feby 1826

appd 26 Augt 1828

appd 6th Octr 1829

Proceedings 25th March 1836 ¶ 21-22.

31st Regulations 8th September 1828 ¶.

Proceedings 19th May 1831 ¶ 21.

appointed 17th Feby 1832.

¶ 17th Feby 1832.
¶ 11th May 1833

on receipt of instructions from the Court of
Gen.l Letter from Hon.ble Directors, Mr. Maclean, the Collector
County Cod. 18 Dec. 1833 No 3
forwarded in Extract stated his readiness to proceed with the work
Mint. Cons. 3 June 1834 in the following year, and requested an addi-
No 45 Paras 25-26 =tional Establishment for the purpose. This
Ext. Mint. Cons. 3d June 1834 in Cons. 18th Dec.
To Coll.r 22 Sept. 1835
From Coll.r 22 April 1835 in Cons. 30th
Proceedings 7 Dec.r was recommended by the Board and sanctioned
by Government on the 22nd of December 1835. The
In Cons. 28 Dec.r 1835. Collector was directed to complete the assessment
of the three Taluks above mentioned which had
already been measured and classed, and to be
In Cons. 4th Nov.r 1822 guided by the principles laid down in Mr.
Smalley's Report of 31st October 1822 and the Board's
Proceedings of 14th April 1823 and 19th May 1825.
His attention was further directed to the revision of
the 4 Taluks prescribed by the Board's letter of
7th March 1831. It was originally intended that
the revision should precede the new work, but
circumstances led to the latter being under-
=taken first, the Board intending to superintend
every stage of the progress. The instructions
prescribed for the review and prosecution
of the work above mentioned render it
desirable to recapitulate the principles
involved in them as well as to trace in some

detail

detail the history of their application to the
preceding stages of the Survey for the better
understanding of the subsequent operations
about to be examined.

10. The principal difficulties to
be overcome in carrying out a Survey Assessment
in Ellingleputt were 1. The repugnance of the
people to a money demand. the revenue
having been previously realized by sharing
the produce and the ryots being afraid to
substitute for this a fixed payment in
money. 2. The absence of statistical information
owing to the introduction of the Permanent settle-
=ment and the administration of the Moota-
=dars, which had deprived the Government
Officers of the means of becoming acquaint-
=ed with the resources of the land
while the Village accounts, whether of produce
or Collections were incomplete, and inaccurate.
3. The deteriorated condition of the lands
caused by the improvident conduct of the
From Coll.r 31st Oct.r 2822 Pg. Mootahdars, who, by mismanagement, ex-
travagance, and by suffering the sources of
irrigation to fall into decay had reduced
the extent of cultivation. 4. The fact that

the

the revenue being almost all derived from wet cultivation which is dependent, in vicissitudes of season, fluctuated more than in Districts in which the produce is raised on dry lands.

First stage of the Survey &c. Mr. Smalley's Settlement.

11.- Under these circumstances the first step taken by Mr. Smalley was to endeavour to ascertain the amount of revenue that the District was capable of yielding in the gross.- The past revenue he considered to be high and admitted the necessity of a light assessment.- The total once fixed, he remarked, could be easily apportioned on the Villages, and then on classes and fields, the subdivision helping to bring to light errors of measurement, or of calculated produce.- He laid down the broad principle that the survey assessment must be the highest that can possibly be obtained, and that the Sheigal or arable lands constituted the whole available assets of the revenue, including charges, remissions, Tank repairs, &c. He showed the unfitness of an average

of past collections as a standard, this useful as a check against over-assessment. If correctly ascertained they afford one good guide for a fixed rent, but not for a varying ryotwar tax, fluctuating with the extent of cultivation.- But even were it not so he proved that in almost all the average collections of many Villages could not be ascertained at all, because the revenue had been realised by a division of the produce of whole Mootahs, of which accurate accounts had not been kept.- Moreover he showed that the Merassidars in anticipation of the future use to which they might be turned had in not a few instances systematically understated the produce for years together.

The Survey Rules were adapted 12. from those of the bedded Districts, modified to meet local peculiarities.- The standard was the Rogee Cawnie of 57,600 Sq. Feet measured by a 24 ft. chain.- All the lands were entered as wet and dry, the garden-tillage not being permanent.- The arable area (Sheigal) included the cultivation

F.

* In this province a Cawnie is one fifth (1/5) of the crops is supposed to be appropriated

Cachoor Pukka 210 Mills of Mr. Smalley's report 31st October 1822

11280 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

1210 Fasly / 1800-1 A.D. - Fields were limited in extent to the quantum that could be worked by one yoke of Oxen - The Sirmadars elapsed the lands in conjunction with the Ryots, assisted by those of the neighbouring Villages, and by the Nattawars - The Sirmadars also took an account of the gross produce of each field for 5 years, by means of which they checked the classifi-cation of the ryots - The Sirmadars revised the whole, sentencising in particular the cummums' accounts, and fixing a rate for each class from the produce converted into money at an average price. They too were assisted by the Nattawars whose maniems were held responsible for their honesty.

Enclosure C Rules 4 & 7 of Mr Smalley's report

Rules 4. 5. 6. 10. 13

Enclosure D of Mr Smalley's report.

Enclosure E of Mr Smalley's report.

13. Mr Smalley adopted the accounts of produce thus ascertained, to which as being their own voluntary statements according to the customary rates of Division of each Village, the people could not object. They were in fact notoriously understated and fell short of the (Kundumoodul or) Village Produce accounts

11281 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

accounts themselves for from correct. These were converted into money on an average of the prices of 21 years, excluding 2 seasons of famine - Two crop land was assessed as in Bellary but garden being a fluctuating pro-duce paid an additional cess on Nunjah and Punjah when so cultivated - The result was compared with a more favorable rate of conversion calculated by the Collector and with one devised by certain Mediators selec-ted for the purpose; also with the Samil-and Mootah and other Berizd rejecting the Permanent Assessment and the Rented Berizd and with the average rate per Cawnie; and having been adjusted was pronounced to be the maximum Rent which the District could possibly bear under favorable circumstances - The Collector stated that the concurrent testimony of the best in-formed, pronounced it moderate and easy of collection - The ryot would not lose in a bad year, but would receive at least 30 p.c. out of enough to subsist and pay the public demand - In a fair year he would benefit largely, and return not less than 60 p.c. Mr Smalley proposed some further reductions

F 1218 1231.

varying

varying from 2 to 15 p.c. but in the settlements now proposed by him, and sent the detailed accounts of one Village (Colatoor) by way of example - This these proceedings were generally approved and sanction specially granted for the remission in Colatoor, it will be shewn that this settlement has never been carried into effect -

21 September 1823. Report on Settlement of 1233 Fusly from Collector 10th Con. 13th September 1824. Read.

14. Before Mr. Smalley had time to act upon the sanction thus conveyed to his settlement he was removed to Nellore. In addition to these 22 more Villages were settled but by whom is uncertain - Mr. Maclean ascribes the work to Mr. Babington, but their is reason to suppose that it was done by Mr. Smalley himself, who revised the whole of his work on receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 14th April 1823 but seems only to have finally disposed of the Villages of Odeumbakum to which he granted a deduction of 11 p.c. How the others were settled in 1232 Fusly does not appear, but the Ryots seem to have objected to the new rates, and it is certain that the proposed abatements were not made

+ Proceedings 28th Augt 1825 - 1 - 5 Mr. Nisbet appears to have given Pottahs to 131 only in 1233 Fusly -

From Coll.r 31st October 1822 - Enclosure No. 4 - 3.

made from the Beriz first proposed -

15. Altho' Mr. Smalley surveyed and assessed 125 Villages in 1232 Fusly only 46 were under Amanie management in that year, the remaining 79 having been rented * - The Annual Settlement of the former only therefore for 1232 Fusly / 1822-23 A.D. could have been actually disposed of by Mr. Smalley and these with exception of Codiambakum probably according to the old system - The Settlement of 1233 Fusly / 1823-24 A.D. was made partly by Mr. Babington partly by Mr. Nisbet and included the 79 Villages of which the rents had expired - At first the Ryots of the settled Villages absolutely refuses to accept their Puttahs, and it was not till Mr. Nisbet went in person and examined the produce of several Villages which was found greatly to exceed that on which the settlement had been founded that they consented to receive their Puttahs - Two Villages, Nuttum and Poolewakum persisted in their refusal and tho' the Ryots of the latter were eventually induced to submit they refused to cultivate in 1234 Fusly / 1824-25 A.D. - Their lands were therefore again placed under Amanie management together with those of Nuttum

From Coll.r 10 Augt 1825. Bd.s Rec.a 24 Octr. Para 15.

the

Note—
Mr. Prossadds that Goodaloor and Sheik Imam Cundragay were also kept under Sumanie for the same reason, but no mention of this fact occurs elsewhere—

From Coll.r 8th February inclt. 4th March 1841 P. 57.—

the objections to which Mr. Cunliffe after careful investigation pronounced to be groundless. The final settlement of their objections

From Coll.r 23d Jany. Inclos. 26th —" To Coll.r 2d Feby —"

was afterwards suspended indefinitely and they continued to be settled on the old system till assessed by Mr. Maclean. In 1234 Fusly 1824-25 A.D. Mr. Cunliffe allowed a temporary remission in another Village Ootewakum of 2 per cent in accordance with Mr. Smalley's proposition. Both this and that granted by Mr. Smalley himself in Oodeambakum are still deducted under the head of "Discretionary Remissions" * With these exceptions all the other Villages accepted Puttahs for a money assessment but it was not the assessment concluded by Mr. Smalley and sanctioned by Government—

From Coll.r 18 Augt 1826. Report on 1234 Para 36. Proceedings 24th Oct.r 1825-15.

16.

The remissions originally proposed by Mr. Smalley having been sanctioned by Government—Mr. Nisbet Collector in 1824 proposed to deduct them in 1233 Fusly 1823-24 A.D. and the Board surprised that this had not already been done, directed him to give effect

From Govt. Inclos. 15 May 1823.

Proceedings 20th January 1835. Para 11.

effect to them without delay but he was prevented by his removal to Chittoor shortly afterwards—On a further reference from Mr. Cunliffe† it was considered advisable* to defer the measure till greater progress had been made in the survey of the other Talooks—subsequently Mr. Vieasch* pronounced Mr. Smalley's settlement so moderate that the reductions would not be required at all or only in a few cases, but this opinion afterwards underwent modification for reasons to be stated hereafter—

† From Coll.r 28th March 1825. inclt. Letter.

* Proceedings 19th May— Para 34.—

* From Coll.r 26 Augt 1826. inclos. 31st Do— Proceedings 25 Jany 1827.

Para 29.

17.

Such is the account of the transaction derived from the Board's Records, that given by Mr. Maclean of the above matters is very different—He states that Mr. Babington the successor of Mr. Smalley so far from confirming himself to the authorized deductions altered the former settlement essentially in 122 out of the 125 Villages, the first proposed Beriz of which had already received the confirmation of the Board and Government—He is also stated to have carried out the same measure in the remaining 22 Villages of the Talook* and the subsequent enquiries and observations of Messrs. Nisbet, Cunliffe and Vieask, are considered to have been

From Mr. Maclean 31st July inclos 8th August 1839. P. 91.

† Para 93.

Fort St. George 28th August 1846

been made in ignorance of these proceedings—

18. This Statement is opposed to all that appears on the records of the Board's Office—Mr. Babington was in charge of the District for about 6 months, and during that time had to conclude the settlement for Fusly 1233 (1823-24 A.D.)—In a report submitted by him of the affairs of the Jageer during his administration he states that the prosecution of the survey had been greatly impeded by objections to Mr. Smalley's settlement on the part of some of the Chungleput Villagers—He had therefore after much investigation agreed to re-measure, Classify, and assess the lands of 20 out of the 156 Villages assessed by Mr. Smalley and had deputed persons for the purpose—But this measure does not appear to have been executed either by Mr. Babington himself or his immediate Successors—The complaints which had been allayed for the time by that proposition were after his departure carried direct to Government and Mr. Nisbet* who at the outset had expressed an opinion unfavourable to Mr. Smalley's Assessment as excessive was ordered to investigate them* The result is thus stated* after having most attentively considered

Appointed 26th Sept. 1828
Joined 23d Oct.

Mr. Nisbet appointed 28th May 1824.
From Coll. 21st Feby. in Cons. 26th 1824
From the late Coll. 5th June in Cons. 12th July 1824.

Para 2.

Para 5.

*From Coll. 15th Sept. 1824 being report on Mr. Babington's Settlement of 1233 F. Paras 11 to 20.

*From Govt. 15th in Cons. 21st October 1824.

*From Coll. 3d in Cons. 6th December 1824. No 3.

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

considered the subject and conducted a partial investigation" he found "the Settlement on the whole moderate" and "if the deductions proposed by Mr. Smalley were carefully made it would be so in every instance"—It seems scarcely possible that the important changes ascribed to Mr. Babington should have escaped notice on such an occasion, and the Board therefore were disposed to believe that Mr. Maclean had written under some misconception but from personal communication with the present Collector and a careful examination of the Berij Jabitahs of about 30 Villages received from him and which are the identical Puttahs distributed under Mr. Babington's signature in Fusly 1233 (1823-24) it is clear that the Settlement promulgated to the Ryots was not that sanctioned by Government but differs from it to the extent noted in the Tabular Statement at Para 9½ of Mr. Maclean's *Report—From the tenor of the proclamation issued at the time it does not appear that Mr. Babington was aware of the rates being different from those established by his predecessor Translate of this document will be found in Enclosure A—

× 31st July 1839.

*Report

19. The judgment of the controlling Authorities

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

authorities on Mr. Smalley's labors which may be called the first stage of the Chingleput Survey was in every respect favorable. The Board entirely approved of all his proceedings particularly of the view taken of the Survey Beriz as the maximum Land Tax. They considered it to be extremely moderate and such as by securing a liberal profit to the Merasidars would stimulate his industry and speedily recover the Country from the impaired state into which it had fallen and they approved of the Rules that had been laid down for the Subordinate agents.

Proceedings 14th April 1823.

Para 4 & 10

Para 29

Para 49

Sir Thomas Munro.

20 The Governor in Council likewise expressed himself highly satisfied with the great care and attention devoted by Mr. Smalley to this important undertaking and he considered the basis of the Settlement and the Calculation on which the Beriz was proposed to be fixed as perfectly satisfactory.

Second stage of the Survey Mr. Vivash's statement.

21. The second State of the Survey was that executed under Mr. Vivash's Superintendence in 1827-8. The disinclination of the Ryots of Chingleput to substitute a money rent for a division of produce as already described had induced the Board at an early

Proceedings 20th January 1825 P. 12.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

period to direct the prosecution of the work in that part of the Jagheer bordering on North Arcot where a fixed money demand had long been in use. They therefore desired that the Sir Tehsildars should be ordered to close and value the lands in communication with the inhabitants of both districts commencing with the Village that bordered on the Talook of Caverypak. They at the same time took the opportunity of recalling the Collector's attention to the more important considerations by which Mr. Smalley's previous Labours had been directed. They particularly adverted to the fact that the Survey assessment must be the highest that can at any time be Collected, because it embraces all the land that is likely ever to be Cultivated, whereas the usual Annual revenue being derived from that portion only which happens to be under the plough in any one season, must necessarily fall more or less short of it. For the same reasons it would be necessary to take credit in the Survey assessment for all remissions that might have been allowed in former years whether

Proceedings 19th May 1825.

whether for season, poverty, outstanding balances, repair of works, Tyazgaree &c. They pointed out the inapplicability of the Permanent settlement in particular, as a Standard of comparison because it was specially framed with a view to its discharge in full, under all disadvantages of circumstances and seasons, &c. to its liability for the very charges and for the amount of the remissions above mentioned. They cautioned him against the danger of placing confidence in the accounts of produce and Collections brought forward by the Curnums, who has been entirely emancipated from the control of the Circar denying the continuance of the Mootahdari System. These men had not only an object in deceiving the Mootadars who were often non resident and ignorant of revenue affairs, but even where they acted honestly, their accounts only shewed the returns derived under a reckless and extravagant Management in which the means of irrigation were neglected and the resources of the estate permitted to fall to decay —

Proceedings 19th May 1825 Para 9 & Proceedings 25th March 1830 Pa. 14. —

From Collr 31st Octr in Cons 1st Novr 1822 P. 19
From the late Collr 5th June 1824 P. 6.
in Cons 12th July — Pro. 19th May 1826 Para 7 & 10.

22. Under these instructions Mr Vivash completed the Settlement of Conjeveram and

and Ootramaloor, but in conveying information of the fact he stated his wish to defer his report till he had furnished the whole District. The Board however seeing reason to believe that his Assessment was too low and aware how difficult it would be to remedy such an error at a later period desired him to suspend his operations until they had an opportunity of testing what had been done already. Before he could submit a report however he was nominated Principal Collector of Madura on which he stated that he had finished two more Talooks or four in all the details of which would be explained by his successor. All this has not been done the materials collected for the report on the two Talooks that had been already called for, together with a Memorandum drawn up for the same purpose by Mr Vivash himself have been found amongst the Native Accounts and from these together with the first part of the Collector's report of 8th February 1814. a tolerably accurate notion of his proceedings may be formed.

Proceedings 19th May 1825 Para 15-16.

26th Augt 1828

From Collr 31st Augt in Cons 8th Septr 1828

+ Conjeveram Ootramaloor Caroongody & Trepassoor

Enclosure Marked B

Enclosure Marked C

in Cons 4th March 1841

Mr

23 Mr. Vineash's labors extend over 596 Villages in the 4 Talooks of Conjeveram, Oatramalaor, Karoïngoody and Treporoor, and 29 in Chingleput. The prilimenary steps as far as the Accounts of the Sir Temdar were the same as those introduced by Mr. Smalley and had in fact been for the most part completed before Mr. Vineash came into the District but from that point the course was wholly different. The Villages were formed into Divisions or Circles of 30 more or less generally with reference to their distribution in the recently lapsed Moatahs. The inhabitants of each were desired to form a Collective Beriz on their circle, based on the cultivation of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. for which purpose the accounts of the Sir Temdar with the Data on which they were founded were placed in their hands. The general Beriz was required to be adjusted equally and in fair proportions on each separate Village, so that if the Collector, on revision of the former

Total 625.

Called Nerwadah Beriz

Called Pyduwar Beriz.

former should see cause to alter it any reduction or addition might be apportioned at once by a uniform rate per cent among the several Villages.

24. The people accordingly under the Superintendence of 2 or 3 headmen, of each circle proceeded to form the general (or Nerwadah) Beriz by taking the average of four others Viz. the average produce of the previous 23 years, the average collections of the same period the Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. and the proposed Beriz of the Sir Temdars. This was next divided among the Villages and the proportion of each was farther indicated by the Average rate per Cawny it bore on the whole land Cultivated in 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. A more particular investigation was then made into the Circumstances of particular Villages. Those that had been improved by recent works of irrigation or other advantages received a moderate addition and allowances were made in like manner for such as had fallen to decay. The general

Berizes

Berizes as again revised with reference to these and farther reductions made which varied from 2 to 10 per Cent in each Division for which no cause was assigned but which Mr Freese suggests to have been made for the purpose of meeting any increase that might be determined by the Collector. This done an agreement was executed by the ryots in a set form binding themselves to abide by such alterations as the Collector might propose and divide them equally by a per Centage on the several Villages of the Circle. The subject was then taken up by the Collector. Having compared the result at which the Ryots had arrived with the former standard Berizes, such as that of 1210 Fusly - 1800/1 A.D. - the permanent Mootah, Kamil and old Collections he came to the conclusion that the Collections of 1232 Fusly - 1822/23 A.D. - afforded the best general indication of the capabilities of the District. He therefore fixed a Beriz on all the wet lands by this standard, generally with some abatements in consideration of its being

From Lr 8th July 1841. Para 8.

Enclosure Marked D

being a permanent demand. The dry lands were assessed at the average produce of the preceding 23 years.

25. The Ryots Beriz was confirmed wherever it agreed with these and differences were adjusted in the terms of the Moochilka. The Ryots were informed that the benefits of all subsequent improvements would be guaranteed to them that they would receive remissions for losses sustained by adverse seasons, and that errors now inadvertently made in the Calculations would be rectified if brought to light.

26. Finally the adjusted Beriz was again committed to the Ryots who fixed the rate/s or Term/s for each class/or deeyat/ of land in every Village and executed a second Moochilka to abide by the rates so imposed.

27. It is clear from the above that Mr Vincash departed from the System laid down by Mr Smalley and from the instructions prescribed by the Board in every particular save in the solitary instance

Fort St George 26th August 1814.

instance of commencing with the Villages that bordered on North Arcot but even in these the classification and estimates already executed were not revised in conjunction with the Ryots of the Neighbouring District as had been ordered.

28 The plan on which he actually did proceed was calculated to save trouble and laborious research but at the expense of the most essential requisite of a permanent Ryotwar assessment for,

1 It only went to ascertain a general average demand without making a provision for its accurate distribution on fields according to their respective productive powers.

2. The basis of the assessment was the collections of a single year distributed on the cultivation of the same season or 1232. Fusly which however 1822/23. A.D. will fitted for a standard of comparison was evidently inadequate for determining a permanent maximum demand. Independent of this it was peculiarly defective

Fort St George 26th August 1814.

From Coll.r 8th Feby 1841 P. 10 & 25

defective from other circumstances 610 of the 625 Villages comprised in Mr. Vineash's operations had been rented previous to and were under rent in 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. so that the favorable character of that season even tho it occasioned a great increase of cultivation was not attended with any corresponding increase of revenue the whole profit having fallen exclusively to the share of the Renters.

3 Notwithstanding this fact the Settlement so concluded was not only less than the actual collections of that year but less than the permanent even Settlement also. In the first year of its introduction into the Conjeveram Talook 1237 Fusly 1827/28 A.D. the Settlement, after deducting remissions and Tank repairs was 50 per Cent less than the permanent Peruz and in 1239 Fusly 1829/30 A.D. the Summa of all the Villages settled by Mr. Vineash similarly adjusted fell short by 33 3/4 per Cent of the same standard

4. The confidence placed in the calculations of the Ryots could not fail to produce a sacrifice of revenue. They had learnt from Mr. Smalley's proceedings the

From Coll.r 7th March 1831. P. 4

Proceedings 7th Decr. 1830. Para 13.

Talooks	Total Villages or Circles	Beriz fixed in Mootahs Villages	Beriz confirmed in Mootahs Villages
Conjeveram	155	10 — 150	1 — 5
Oobramaloor	142	4 — 59	3 — 53
Caverypauk	173	3 — 99	5 — 74
Tirupporoor	126	4 — 121	1 — 5
Chingleput	29	" — "	1 — 29
	625	21 — 459	11 — 166

the general principles and objects of the survey and their aim naturally would be to keep the permanent liability on themselves as low as possible. Of the 32 Divisions instituted by Mr Vincash he confirmed the Ryots Beriz in 11 and raised it in 21 the additions varying from 1/2 to 9 per Cent and averaging about 3 per Cent on the whole Mr Smalley and the Board concurred in pronouncing the assessment brought forward by the Chingleput

From Colr 31st Octr 1822 Para 11 in Cons 4th Novr "
Proceedings 14th April 1823. P. 24.
From Colr 15th April 1837 P. 42 in Cons 20th Do

Ryots in 1821 as very far indeed below the fair demand and their subsequent proposal are more likely to have been fraudulent than higher,

29. These facts explain the change in Mr Vincash's sentiments regarding Mr Smalley's Beriz which did not appear to him to need the proposed reductions until he found the standard adopted by himself to be so far below that which had been fixed by his predecessor

Third stage of the Survey Mr Maclean's Settlement Manemungalum. Nayer Peddapalliam

30 The 3d stage of the survey comprises the Settlement of the remaining 3 Talooks together with several Mootahs containing 260 Villages that had subsequently reverted to Government by Mr Maclean in Fusilies 1246 and 1247 / 1837/38 as detailed in his four Reports the review of which forms more particularly the subject of these Proceedings.

From Colr 15th in Cons 20th April 1837
" Do 27th Septr in Cons 5th Octr "
" Do 31st Octr in Cons 9th Novr "
" Mr Maclean 31st July in Cons 8th Augt 1837

In the instructions issued for resuming the Survey the Collector was desired as Mr Vincash had been to be guided by the Report of Mr Smalley on the Talook of Chingleput and the observations of the Board and Government thereupon and more especially by the instructions contained in the Proceedings of the Board under date the 19th May 1825 which were prepared "after much deliberation and discussion "with the Collector and issued with "the full approval of Government &c" "The Collector" it was added "will also "refer to the letter of the Board dated "7th March 1831" and he was directed to apply to

Proceedings 7th Decr 1836 P. 4.

31.

to the Board whenever he might experience any difficulty or require farther instructions. Appended to these Proceedings was a memorandum of more particular directions to the Collector in which he was recommended "to confine himself at first to the Settlement of the grofs Beriz of the Shaical Lands of Villages leaving the adjustment of the field aſsefoment and other Details for after consideration" "Having determined this amount first for the whole Talook and then for the individual Villages, he was requested to lay it before the Board, with a full explanation of the steps by which he had arrived at it, and of the reasons on which he considered it to be just, together with the detailed accounts of its application to any Six Villages. He was then without taking any further steps for the Settlement of the first Talook to

to pursue the same proceſs with the rest, whilst the Board were occupied with the review of his Proceedings in the former one, and the Memorandum concludes with these words, "the final adjustment of the "field aſsefsment will be the last "work of the Collector, and his Cutcherry "after receiving the orders of the Board "as to the Grofs Beriz of the Villages."

32. This course however was not adopted by the Collector. The system on which he acted precluded the submiſsion of a preliminary report and accordingly the results of the field aſsefsment of Maximum Valum were laid before the Board complete in all their Details in April 1837, and those of the other two Talooks in the Months of September and October following. The mode in which they were obtained is thus described

described. "The present proposed
"assessment has been framed without
"any premeditation of its being mate-
"rially altered. It has been deduced
"by proceeding from detail to aggregate
"that is from single fields according
"to their supposed respective produce,
"checked by past Collections to the
"entire Lands of a Village and from
"the latter to a Talook, and not by
"forming a Beriz in the first instance
"for a whole Talook in correspondence
"with any of the old Berizes and then
From the Coll.r 15th April 1837 P.40 ¶s 20th &c. "apportioning the same upon each Villag
"and again on each field. The standard
"Berizes of each Village have always
"been borne in mind &c."

33. It was from the Wrong
conviction entertained by the Board of
the worthlessness of the old Village stand
wards that they pressed so uniformly
and so strongly on the Collectors
attention the necessity of beginning
with a general consideration of
the capabilities of the District and
Talooks before entering on Details

J.C.B.

Their views having been fully explained above it is only necessary to refer to them very shortly here. The length of time that the Villages had been in the hands of the Mootahdars under the Permanent Settlement and the subsequent introduction of Rents for various periods of from one to five or more years, deprived the Village records of all pretensions to accuracy where such documents were found to exist. But in many instances there were no separate accounts of Village Collections for the Cauvery at all. When it was first determined to include the former annual berizes in the Village* Chitta the Gross Collections or produce of the whole Mootah were distributed among the Villages by estimate or guess. "The examination (more) "details of that particular Beriz which on "examination was found to be most applicable "to the natural advantages, capability, and "present circumstances of the particular "Village under consideration" "cannot therefore be expected to have led to accurate conclusions" on the analytical process adopted by the Collector. The neglect of the principle of commencing by an estimate of general resources

derived.

Note. See the first remarks on such accounts applicable whether to those of produce or Collections in the Report on Tanemmungalum Para 21.

* At the time that Mr. Hyde was Collector of the Jaggeer.

From Collector 15th April 1837 Para 19.

derived from past Collections, which in any case "would render a Settlement "merely speculative and could only termin[illegible] in failure and disappointment" must have been attended with still more erroneous results when the isolated data assumed as guides, were, as has been proved, themselves unworthy of confidence.—

From the Secretary to Govt. Gt. in Cl. 15th May 1823 Para 2 containing observations on Mr. Smalley's Survey Settlement.

Examination of Mr. Maclean's Settlement

34. The Board will now proceed to examine the mode in which the general principle of Settlement as laid down by Mr. Maclean according to his own recital has been carried out by him. In doing this they will follow the order which they proposed to the Collector, and will consider in the first place the general bearing of his total proposed Demand with reference to the past capability of the District & afterwards discuss its component parts.

35 With reference then to the aggregate of Mr. Maclean's Settlement the following shews the proportion it bears to all previous and standard Beriz on Record.—

1st. This refers to its general bearing compared with former Standards.—

Number	Items	Beriz	Increase Per Centage	Decrease Per Centage
		Rs as Ps as Ps as Rs as Ps as	Rs as Ps as Ps as Rs as Ps as	Rs as Ps as Ps as Rs as Ps as
1	Fasaily Beriz	1,37,306 9 6/10	1,29,912 10 1/2 9 6/10	" " " "
2	Beriz of Fasaly 1205	1,49,387 4 1/4	1831 15 10/10 1 3 3/4	" " " "
3	Ditto of Fasaly 1206	1,66,812 13 3/4	" " " "	1,65,93 13 10/10 9 16 6/10
4	Ditto of Fasaly 1207	1,39,770 13 10/10	1,19,448 6 1/11 14 14 1/4	" " " "
5	Average of these 3 years	1,47,948 11 2/10	2270 8 3/4 1 8 1/2	" " " "
6	Fasaly 1208	98,960 10 2/10	52,158 9 3/4 53 3	" " " "
7	" 1209	1,22,650 15 1/2	27,568 4 6/10 22 7 14/10	" " " "
8	" 1210	1,45,076 2 2/10	5143 1 3/4 3 8 10/10	" " " "
9	" 1211	1,43,495 10 3/10	6723 9 2/10 4 11	" " " "
10	Mootah Beriz	1,47,813 14 14/16	2405 5 1 10	" " " "
11	Permanent Beriz	1,20,303 13 1/2	29,915 6 6/10 24 14	" " " "
12	Average of produce of 23 years From 1210 to 1232 F	1,29,575 8 14/16	20,643 11 15 14 3/4	" " " "
13	Ditto of Village Collections in By the Raw Woodul Jeas	1,34,830 15 1/4	15,388 4 10/10 11 6 6/16	" " " "
14	Ditto of Circar Collections (viz.) actually paid by Mootahs. Idars and Renters— — —	1,19,948 1 3/4	30,271 2 2/10 25 3 3/4	" " " "
15	Produce of Fasaly 1232	1,44,325 14	5893 5 14/16 4 1 2/10	" " " "
16	Collection of Fasaly 1232	1,45,779 11 10/16	1439 8 1/4 " 15 6/16	" " " "
17	Sir Serindars assessment	1,60,328 3	" " " "	10,108 15 2/10 6 4 14/16
18	Ryots' proposed Beriz	1,44,798 4 1/4	5420 15 10/16 3 11 10/16	" " " "
19	Collectors proposed Beriz	1,50,219 3 14/16	" " " "	" " " "

do

so that it exceeds all except the Collections of 1206 Fusly / 1796-97 A.D. which were nearly 10 per Cent and the proposed assessment of the Sir Termdar which was 6 per Cent, above it while it is higher than the Collections of 1210 Fusly / 1800/1 A.D. by 3½ per Cent, and than the Permanent Beriz by 26 per Cent, and is something more than equal to the Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. the Cultivation of which year was taken as the basis of his assessment. Keeping in mind the conclusion arrived at during Mr. Smalley's operations with reference to the Permanent Beriz as a standard of Comparison the preceding results appear at first sight to be just particularly as Mr. Viveash's Settlement tried by the same rule is found to be 20 per Cent below the Collections of 1210 Fusly / 1800/1 A.D. 6 per Cent below the Permanent Beriz and 5¾ below the revenue of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. *

*Enclosure No. 1 Compare Cols. 23-24 with 30-31.

36 But when descending a step the comparison is carried into the Talooks the results are found to vary in a remarkable manner. Thus the Collector's proposed settlement exceeds the Permanent Beriz.

In Manemungalum by 11½ per Cent
" Nayer - - - - - - 16 - - - -
" Peddapolliem - - - 30 - - - -
" 260 lapsed Villages - - 44 - - - -

It exceeds the Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. - 5

In

In Manemungalum by 11 per Cent but falls below them in the other 3 Divisions.

In Nayer - - - - - by 3 per Cent
" Peddapolliem by a small fraction "
" the 260 lapsed Villages by 2 per Cent

And compared with the Collections of Fusly 1210 / A.D. 1800-1 considered to be the most accurate of all the standards, and the following year, it is found,

	1210 Fusly	1211 Fusly
In Manemungalum to be less by	7	8 per Cent
In Nayer - - - - - - -	7	4 -
In Peddapollieum to be greater by	9	10
In the 260 lapsed Villages by - -	23	25

Reasons why a correct judgement cannot be formed from a consideration of the total amount of Mr. Maclean's proposed Beriz.

These results shew that a general comparison is inapplicable in this instance and it becomes necessary therefore to search for the causes of the difference.

From Collector 31st July 1839 Para 12 in Cons. 8th August.

37. The three Talooks settled by Mr. Maclean have generally been considered as to be lightly assessed in comparison with the rest of the District and they possess other advantages from their vicinity to the Town of Madras which they surround on three sides. But Manemungalum has always been a very productive tract on account of the number of fine Tanks and Channels it possesses which have caused the land to be

See Board's Proceedings 24th October 1825 Para 19.

be more fully occupied and the cultivation to fluctuate less than in the other two. Some causes of discrepancy between the present and earlier Beriges however should be noted.

From Collr. 15th April 1837 Para 33.

Of the present Sircar Villages, were formerly held on Shrotriem tenure, and instead of the light quit rent credited in the old account they now pay the full demand. A large number of Villages too were rented in 1232 Fusly 1842/23 A.D. for which reason the Government accounts of

Report Para 35.

From Collr. 3rd Feby 1841. Paras 13. & 28.

that year do not exhibit the true amount of revenue which thro the favorable nature of the season was reaped entirely by the Renters.—

But at the the assets on which the present assessment is fixed exceed those of the earlier Settlements to the extent here shewn, the

From Sur. Maclean 31st July 1839 Para 20.

Revenue has fluctuated much less than in the other two Taluks.—

From Collr. 31st October 1837. 38.

Jew Nayer which stretches along the coast to the North of Madras, there are

Para 30. Para 19.

few Tanks, and the soil is generally sandy. But there are many small Tangals or Ponds and water is always found close to the

surface which enables the people to eke out the supply of the falling rain when deficient.

Report Para 17 Jew Villages were held on Shrotriem tenure

before

Para 11 & 19

before the Survey and a large share of the Collector's report is occupied in noticing a variety of items which have swelled the assets of the proposed above those of the ancient demand. Subsequent also to the

Para 30

Reversion of the Mootah Villages the irrigation has been greatly extended and improved. Although the effects of the favorable season 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. on the rented Villages were felt in the large number so held

Para 22.

in Nayer, rendering the difference between the actual Collections from the land in that year and the record-ed Beriz very considerable yet the proposed Beriz is 2 per Cent below the recorded Beriz of that year

Para 23.

and differs but little from that suggested by the ryots themselves.—

From Collr. 27th Feby 1837 Pedda pollium Report 39 Para 24

The physical character of Pedda pollium resembles that of Nayer. Large portions of waste have been reclaimed subsequent to the determina-tion of the Permanent Beriz by the unusual cir-cumstance of improvements made by a wealthy Mootahdar and still more recently by a large outlay of public money on works of irrigation. The number of Shrotriem Villages formerly assessed at a light Sedgee was 14. all the rest with the exception of 22 retained by the Mootahdars were under rents in 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. with the same results as already described.—

Para 12. 14

Para 13. 23. Named Swadanum or Enjoy Panchals.

Paras 14 & 23. Para 16

Para 17

The 260 Villages comprised in the last Report consist of lapsed Mootahs and Shrotriems in various parts of the District, of which 37 were held on easy Shrotriem tenure and 37 more were rented in 1232 Fusly. The remainder were in the hands of the Proprietors. a resumed Jaghire Village transferred from North arcot in 1245 Fusly 1835/36 A.D. does not consequently appear in

From Sur. Maclean 31st July 1839.

Para 22

Para 11.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

in any of the older Berijes. Generally speaking a great increase of cultivation took place between 1210 and 1232 Fusleis. Inm Mootahs belonged for a series of years to the proprietor mentioned in the preceding Para, a man of wealth and enterprize who administered them as advantageously as other lands already noticed. Some of the Villages were peculiarly susceptible of improvement having been the fastnesses of certain Poligars by whom they were systematically left waste as a means of security. It is not surprising therefore that the Beriz of 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. altho' derived solely from the accounts of the Mootahdars Cumums, unchecked by Government Officers, should be found to be nearly half as much again (47½ per cent) as Permanent Beriz formed in 1210 Fusly 1800-1 A.D.

Para 15

Para 16 & 18

41. The peculiarity of the Talooks settled by Mr. Maclean is further exemplified in a table lately received from the present Collector which shews that though the Cultivation and Collections of 1210 Fusly 1800-1 A.D. exceeed in every instance those of 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. and likewise the proposed Beriz in the Villages settled by Messrs. Hepburne, Oliver, Smalley, and Vincash, they fall short of both in those fixed by Mr. Maclean:-

From Collector 11th in Cons. 29th February 1844 Para 5

42. Any conclusions therefore regarding the general bearing of the proposed Settlement as a whole must be drawn from an examination of the Beriges separately, due regard being had to the disturbing causes that have been described

Necessity of examining each Talook separately

Statement

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Reasons adduced by Mr. Maclean to explain the varying result of his settlement in the several Talooks.

Enclosure 2.

43. Statement 2 shews the proposed and the several standard Berijes for the various descriptions of land in each Talook. Of these the one Crop Punjah being the staple cultivation is the best adapted for comparison. Looking at Cols. 5 and 7 of the statement we find that both the extent and Assessment of the proposed Beriz on it, are less than those of 1210 Fusly 1800-1 A.D. in Manimungalum and Nayer, and exceed them in Peddapollium and the 260 Lapsed Villages. Compared with the collections of 1832 Fusly 1822-3 A.D. they are less in all except Manimungalum. To account for the former of these differences the Collector states that in Manimungalum the accounts of 1210 Fusly 1800-1 A.D. are incorrect and that the measurements of that year were not properly taken; In Nayer they are attributed to the absence and Neglect of the Proprietors and Meerasidars and in Peddapollium to the improvements in irrigation and extension of Cultivation

Manimungalum Report Paras 26 & 27. 15 April 1837.

Nayer Report Para 12 & 13. 31st Octr. 1837.

Peddapollium 12 & 13. 27 Septr. 1837.

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

cultivation. Admitting the correctness of the facts mentioned as exemplified in the improvements of Arakonum Caupaik &c. does not appear why the other two cases which are of general operation should not have effected also the Talooks. The accounts of 1210 Fusly and 1209/1. A.D. materially characterised as the most trustworthy. Peetant, and Chy Mudelian added his testimony to their accuracy in his final report of the consideration be given as the Board believe it to be, some other explanation must be found for the peculiarity exhibited in the Manimungalum Villages. —

Sem Reg. Mudelian 31st July 1839. Para 115-

44.

On the following Table a Comparison is made between the Amount obtained by Mr. Whitty the late Cauney of the Assessment of 1210 Fusly to the area / Illegal / of the new Survey, and the Amounts of Chy Mudeli's Proposed Rates.

Names of the Talookes.

Names of the Talookes.	Sheyal land as per survey.	Amount average per Cauney according to Fusly 1210	Amount at that rate.	Proposed by the Collector.	Increase	Centage	Decrease	Centage.
	C. As	Ps As	As Ps	As Ps	Ps As		Ps As	
Manimungalum	16034 15 6/16	1 14	30065 8 14/16	32043 8 6/16	1977 15½	6 9		
Nayer	18999 1	1 9½	30279 12	30129 3 10/16	" "	" "	150 8 6/16	" 8
Peddapolliem	13615 2 14/16	1 10¾	22762 13 14/16	22833 10 3/4	70 12 14/16	" 5	" "	" "
Subsequently sequestered	180 55 9 3/4	1 14 6/16	34276 8 4/4	34209 5 2/16	" "	" "	67 3 2/16	" 3
Total	66704 13	1 12 7/16	117384 11	119215 11 11/16	2048 12 10/16 Net 1831 14	1 "	217 11½	" "
Settlement of Mr. Vreash	45246 2 14/16	1 14	84836 9 6/16	79165 11 6/16	" "	" "	5670 14	6 11
Total	111950 15 14/16	" "	202221 4 6/16	198381 7 1/4	7048 12 6/16	" "	5888 9½ Net 3839 13 3/16	1 14

The

11315.

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

Names of the Talookes.	Shegal Land as per Survey.	Amount according to the Abstract of Fusly 1232.	Amount at that rate.	Proposed Amount by the Collector.	Increase	Centage	Decrease	Centage
	Cs As	Ps As Ps	As Ps	As Ps	As Ps	As	As Ps	As
Manimungalum	16034 15 6/16	1 12 2/16	28164 5 10/16	32043 8 6/16	3879 2 3/16	14	4	
Nayer	18999 1	1 10 1/4	31192 3 1/4	30129 3 10/16	- -	-	1062	15 10/16 3 6 2/2
Peddapolliem	13615 2 14/16	1 10 3/4	22757 7 1/4	22833 10 3/4	76 3 1/2	-	5 1/4	- - - -
Subsequently sequestered	18055 9 3/4	1 13 1/2	33235 8 3/4	34209 5 2/16	973 12 6/16	2	15	- - - -
Total	66704 13	1 11 19/16	115349 8 14/16	119215 11 14/16	4929 2 10/16 Net 3866 - 3	3	6	1062 15 10/16 - -
Settlement of Mr Vivesh	45246 2 14/16	1 12 19/16	80929 11 6/16	79165 11 6/16	- -	-	1764	- 2 3
Grand Total	111950 15 14/16	- -	196279 4 1/4	198381 7 1/4	4929 2 10/16 Net 2102 3	1	1	2826 15 10/16 - -

I have made the Amount of Fusly 1232 as per Abstract, and the Amount at that rate, the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment for that Fusly.

I have also made the Amount proposed by the Collector the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment proposed by him.

I have made the Increase and Decrease of Land the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment.

Thos Oliphant
Surveyor

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

This will make the Amount of Fusly 1232 as per Abstract, and the Amount at that rate, the same.

I have also made the Amount proposed by the Collector the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment proposed by him.

I have made the Increase and Decrease of Land the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment.

Thos Oliphant
Surveyor

11315.

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

Names of the Talookes.

Shegal Land as per Survey.

Amount according to the Abstract of Fusly 1232.

Amount at that rate.

Proposed Amount by the Collector.

Increase

Centage

Decrease

Centage

Cs As | Ps As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As | As Ps | As

Manimungalum 16034 15 6/16 | 1 12 2/16 | 28164 5 10/16 | 32043 8 6/16 | 3879 2 3/16 | 14 | 4

Nayer 18999 1 | 1 10 1/4 | 31192 3 1/4 | 30129 3 10/16 | - - | - | 1062 | 15 10/16 | 3 | 6 2/2

Peddapolliem 13615 2 14/16 | 1 10 3/4 | 22757 7 1/4 | 22833 10 3/4 | 76 3 1/2 | - | 5 1/4 | - - | - -

Subsequently sequestered 18055 9 3/4 | 1 13 1/2 | 33235 8 3/4 | 34209 5 2/16 | 973 12 6/16 | 2 | 15 | - - | - -

Total 66704 13 | 1 11 19/16 | 115349 8 14/16 | 119215 11 14/16 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 3866 - 3 | 3 | 6 | 1062 | 15 10/16 | - -

Settlement of Mr Vivesh 45246 2 14/16 | 1 12 19/16 | 80929 11 6/16 | 79165 11 6/16 | - - | - | 1764 | - | 2 | 3

Grand Total 111950 15 14/16 | - - | 196279 4 1/4 | 198381 7 1/4 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 2102 3 | 1 | 1 | 2826 | 15 10/16 | - -

I have made the Amount of Fusly 1232 as per Abstract, and the Amount at that rate, the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment for that Fusly.

I have also made the Amount proposed by the Collector the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment proposed by him.

I have made the Increase and Decrease of Land the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment.

Thos Oliphant
Surveyor

11315.

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

Names of the Talookes.

Shegal Land as per Survey.

Amount according to the Abstract of Fusly 1232.

Amount at that rate.

Proposed Amount by the Collector.

Increase

Centage

Decrease

Centage

Cs As | Ps As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As | As Ps | As

Manimungalum 16034 15 6/16 | 1 12 2/16 | 28164 5 10/16 | 32043 8 6/16 | 3879 2 3/16 | 14 | 4

Nayer 18999 1 | 1 10 1/4 | 31192 3 1/4 | 30129 3 10/16 | - - | - | 1062 | 15 10/16 | 3 | 6 2/2

Peddapolliem 13615 2 14/16 | 1 10 3/4 | 22757 7 1/4 | 22833 10 3/4 | 76 3 1/2 | - | 5 1/4 | - - | - -

Subsequently sequestered 18055 9 3/4 | 1 13 1/2 | 33235 8 3/4 | 34209 5 2/16 | 973 12 6/16 | 2 | 15 | - - | - -

Total 66704 13 | 1 11 19/16 | 115349 8 14/16 | 119215 11 14/16 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 3866 - 3 | 3 | 6 | 1062 | 15 10/16 | - -

Settlement of Mr Vivesh 45246 2 14/16 | 1 12 19/16 | 80929 11 6/16 | 79165 11 6/16 | - - | - | 1764 | - | 2 | 3

Grand Total 111950 15 14/16 | - - | 196279 4 1/4 | 198381 7 1/4 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 2102 3 | 1 | 1 | 2826 | 15 10/16 | - -

I have made the Amount of Fusly 1232 as per Abstract, and the Amount at that rate, the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment for that Fusly.

I have also made the Amount proposed by the Collector the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment proposed by him.

I have made the Increase and Decrease of Land the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment.

Thos Oliphant
Surveyor

11315.

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

Names of the Talookes.

Shegal Land as per Survey.

Amount according to the Abstract of Fusly 1232.

Amount at that rate.

Proposed Amount by the Collector.

Increase

Centage

Decrease

Centage

Cs As | Ps As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As Ps | As | As Ps | As

Manimungalum 16034 15 6/16 | 1 12 2/16 | 28164 5 10/16 | 32043 8 6/16 | 3879 2 3/16 | 14 | 4

Nayer 18999 1 | 1 10 1/4 | 31192 3 1/4 | 30129 3 10/16 | - - | - | 1062 | 15 10/16 | 3 | 6 2/2

Peddapolliem 13615 2 14/16 | 1 10 3/4 | 22757 7 1/4 | 22833 10 3/4 | 76 3 1/2 | - | 5 1/4 | - - | - -

Subsequently sequestered 18055 9 3/4 | 1 13 1/2 | 33235 8 3/4 | 34209 5 2/16 | 973 12 6/16 | 2 | 15 | - - | - -

Total 66704 13 | 1 11 19/16 | 115349 8 14/16 | 119215 11 14/16 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 3866 - 3 | 3 | 6 | 1062 | 15 10/16 | - -

Settlement of Mr Vivesh 45246 2 14/16 | 1 12 19/16 | 80929 11 6/16 | 79165 11 6/16 | - - | - | 1764 | - | 2 | 3

Grand Total 111950 15 14/16 | - - | 196279 4 1/4 | 198381 7 1/4 | 4929 2 10/16 Net 2102 3 | 1 | 1 | 2826 | 15 10/16 | - -

I have made the Amount of Fusly 1232 as per Abstract, and the Amount at that rate, the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment for that Fusly.

I have also made the Amount proposed by the Collector the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment proposed by him.

I have made the Increase and Decrease of Land the same, because I do not know the Rate of Assessment.

Thos Oliphant
Surveyor

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

This shews the excess of the survey Berij to be 14 per Cent in Manimingalum, a fraction in Peddapollium, and nearly 3 in the 260 Villages while it is upwards of 3 less in Nayer and 2 in Mr. Vivash Villages.—

46. The same results have been obtained by carrying out the comparison in the average collections of 23 years, in the Berij proposed by the Sir Tsermdar, and in that by the Ryats, which are exhibited in a separate Statement No. 3.—

Enclosure 3.

47. But the revenues of the last four or five years having been realized under the new rates the proportion of the average collections to the different standard Berijes will afford one of the truest indications of their relative bearings, that can possibly be obtained particularly as the three years 1249/1839-40 1250 & 1257/1840-41-1841/42. have been most favorable both with regard to Collection and produce. Accordingly they have been selected for Comparison in the subjoined Table. Items

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

	Items.	Berij.	Average of 3 years from Fusly 1249 to Fusly 1257.		Increase		Decrease		Centage.	
		Pags.	As	Pags.	As	Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As
Talooka Manimingalum	Fusly 1210	40848	6 3/4	32431	6 3/4	-	-	8417	-	20	10
	Permanent Berij	34325	8 1/4	32431	6 3/4	-	-	1894	1 1/2	5	8
	Collection of Fusly 1232	33728	11 3/8	32431	6 3/4	-	-	1297	4 5/8	3	14
	Proposed Berij	37384	15 1/8	32431	6 3/4	-	-	4953	8 3/8	13	4
Nayer	Fusly 1210	36709	15 1/2	29355	11	-	-	7354	4 1/2	20	-
	Permanent Berij	31331	14 3/4	29355	11	-	-	1976	3 3/4	6	5
	Collection of Fusly 1232	36288	7 7/8	29355	11	-	-	6932	12 5/8	19	2
	Proposed Berij	34502	4 7/8	29355	11	-	-	5146	9 7/8	14	15
Peddapollium	Fusly 1210	25203	10 5/8	23708	3 1/2	-	-	1495	7 1/8	5	15
	Permanent Berij	20577	11 7/8	23708	3 1/2	3130	7 7/8	-	-	15	3
	Collection of Fusly 1232	26853	1 1/2	23708	3 1/2	-	-	3144	14	11	11
	Proposed Berij	26734	5 1/4	23708	3 1/2	-	-	3026	2 1/4	11	5

* This column is the Collections minus certain items deducted in the Survey on account of Mutchlipatam Villages. Motupha. Onam Turm Eastee which have therefore been necessarily deducted from all the other Berijes also.—

This.

Fort St George 26th August 1824.

Thus the revenue realized under the survey rates has never come within 20 per Cent of the Collections of 1210 Fusly / 1801/1 A.D. and even falls short of the Permanent Beriz by 5 or 6 per Cent in Manimungalum and Nayer. But while in the former it nearly reaches the Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23. A.D. it falls greatly short of them in the latter, as well as of the gross survey Beriz in both. But the improvements introduced into Peddapollium have brought the survey Collections to within 6 per Cent of those of 1210 Fusly / 1801/1 A.D. and they actually exceed the Permanent Beriz by 15 per Cent. But they fall short of the revenue of 1232 Fusly / 1822/3. A.D. and of the full survey Beriz by 11 per Cent.—

From this it is apparent that the Collectors settlement being based on the circumstances of Fusly 1232 / A.D. 1822/23 it is only in Manimungalum that he has approximated nearly to the Beriz of that year, and that in the other divisions he has fallen much below it, particularly in Nayer.—

48. In instituting comparisons with the Permanent Beriz it must never be forgotten that it

Fort St George 26th August 1824.

is net revenue after defraying all charges. These have been deducted from the average revenue of the last 5 years in a separate Statement, + Enclosure No 4. and the remainder falls short of the Permanent Beriz in every instance by 29. 24 and 10 per Cent in the 3 Talooks respectively, or by 23 per Cent on the whole. It is necessary to explain that the greater apparent deficiency in Manimungalum is owing to the increased assets of the other two Talooks since the time of the Permanent Settlement. It would seem too that the higher rates imposed in the former have occasioned a farther decrease subsequent to the introduction of the Survey Assessment. The falling off in the two favorable years succeeding 1249 / 1839-40 contrasted with the improvement for the same periods in the two other Talooks would suggest that the ryots had begun to transfer their Cultivation from the former to the latter.—

Mr Maclean's observations on the Comparison between the total amount of the proposed and Standard Assessments.

49. The Collectors observations on this part of the subject will be found

Fort St George 26th August 1824.

from Colln 15 April 1837.

from Jno Maclean 31st July 1839. Paras 115 to 119.

found in the 33? and the following Paras of his first report. He appears to have been so fully aware of the various particulars affecting the old assessments, that it is surprising he was not led to distrust the conclusions at which he himself had arrived. He seems to think that in keeping the proposed Beriz 11 per Cent above the Permanent Beriz he has sufficiently complied with the caution he was instructed to observe in judging of this standard, but at the same time he cites causes sufficient to account for the difference, independent of the fact that one is gross the other net revenue; One a Maximum Beriz rarely, if ever, realizable, the other a stipulated payment due without fail from year to year. The result of this oversight is sufficiently apparent from the fact already

† See Statt No 4. stated† that the average revenue of the last 5 years is 20 per Cent below the proposed, and 23 per Cent below the permanent Beriz. In other words the gross Collections of 5 tolerably favorable years made according to the Survey rates, are 20 per Cent below the maximum Beriz proposed by the Collector, and which he has employed in his comparisons with the former standards, while the net revenue of the same years obtained by deducting the Salok and Maramut Charges

Fort St George 26th August 1824.

See Paras 337. Colln 15 April 1837.

is 23 per Cent less than the net revenue fixed by the Permanent settlement. The differences alone between the Jodegee and proposed settlement of the 8 Villages cited by him as examples of the greatest disparity amounts to 136 per Cent. Yet in some of these even this settlement appears to be far below their real value. This is strikingly apparent in Angeuttooreli, the last in which the Permanent Beriz or Jodegee was only 2. 13½. In the old list of Collections, such as it is, we found this Village had paid on an average 163 Pagodas before Hyder's invasion and 98 after it.

	Pags.
From 1201 to 1204 the collections are entered at	171
1205 to 1207	134
Average of both periods	141
Mootah Beriz	33
Beriz of 1205	178
1206	140
1207	86
1215	62
1220	49
1225	60
1236	45
Proposed Beriz of Sheegal	38
	59

The Jodegee of Catumbakum was 122 Pagodas and the assessment was settled at 503 but before Hyder's invasion it is stated to have paid 438 and in 1236 Fusly 1825-26 A.D. the collections were 688. So Goparow Nellare paying 33 Jodegee, and settled at 76 Pagodas paid 120 before Hyder and 97 in Fusly 1218 A.D. 1808-9.

50. The former demand against particular Villages however cannot be fully depended upon, because, as has been observed, the Permanent Beriz was a general demand against an Estate, and the proportion to be borne by each individual Village was not specified, and then only by approximation, till a comparatively recent period. The following is an attempt to shew the revenue by Mootahs, which tho not perfectly exact owing to late changes in the distribution of the Villages among the Taloks is accurate enough for the present object.

Names

11318.

Fort St George

26th August 1844.

Comparison

Names of the Mostahs.	No. of Villages.	Mostah Berij.	Permanent Berij.	average Collection of 23 Years.	Collection for Fusly 1232.	Assessment of the Sirkarm Lands.	Proposal of the Ryots.	Settlement by the Collector after deducting the Increased Nuzzeer of Fusly 1232	Between Col 4 & 9 Increase	Between Col 4 & 9 Decrease	Between Col 5 & 9 Per Cent.	Between Col 5 & 9 Increase	Between Col 6 & 9 Per Cent.	Between Col 6 & 9 Increase	Between Col 7 & 9 Per Cent.	Between Col 7 & 9 Increase	Between Col 8 & 9 Per Cent.	Between Col 8 & 9 Increase
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Per Cent.	Increase	Per Cent.	Increase	Per Cent.	Increase	Per Cent.	Increase
											Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps	Ps As Ps
1 Mani-mungalum	39	9407 11	7305	7062 12	7467	2 8576 3/4	6903	13 3/4 7862 2/8	557 2/8		7 10	799 6/8	10 2 5/8	395 1/8	5	4 7/8	653 12 5/8	7 16/8 958
2 Poona-mallee	36	8260 11	6294	15 5661	4 3/8 5815	13 1/2 7056	10 1/2 5838	13 6652 6	357 7		5 10 7/8	991 15/8	14 14 3/8	836 8 1/2	14 6	404 4 1/2	5 11 7/8	813 9
3 Conna-toor	23	10024 6	6714	4 3/4 7211	4 7/8 7179	9 1/4 8371	12 7/8 7654	2 8282 12 7/8	1768 7 7/8		26 6 3/8	1271 7 3/4	14 15 1/2	1303 2 7/8	18 2 1/2	388 15 1/2	4 6 1/8	828 9 3/4
4 Streeper-matoor	20	4426 1/8	4029 12 7/8	3850 9 1/4	3849 10 3/4	4387 6 7/8	4391 11 3/8	4394 7	364 10 7/8		9 3/4	523 13 3/4	12 6	524 12 1/4	14 6 1/2	412 14 7/8	8 9 3/8	2 11 5/8
5 Codam-bakeum	24	4034 4 7/8	3972 1 1/8	2890 6 1/2	2988 11 1/2	3442 14 1/2	2966 12 1/8	3159 7 1/8	812 10		20 7 1/4	269 5/8	8 8 1/4	170 11 5/8	5 11 1/2	283 7 3/8	8 3 1/4	192 11
6 Tiroo-moorkee	30	7023 8 7/8	5677 11 3/4	5738 13 1/4	6053 2 3/4	7162 1 1/4	5913 2 1/8	6704 6 3/4	1026 11		18 1 3/8	965 9 1/2	12 6 3/4	657 4	10 12 1/8	457 10 1/2	6 6 1/4	791 4 5/8
Total	172	43175 9 5/8	33993 12 3/4	32416 2 1/4	33354 2 1/4	39856 12 1/4	33668 7 1/4	37255 9 5/8	4074 6 7/8	812 10	9 13 1/2	4820 7 3/8	14 15	3901 7 3/8	11 11 1/8	2601 7 5/8	6 8 3/8	3587 2 3/8
Fusly Shotrieem &c.	7	527 6	369 13 1/2	783 1/2	1059 11 3/8	1604 10 1/2	1041 1 7/8	1075 15 1/2	706 2		190 12 1/2	292 15	27 4	16 4 1/8	1 8 1/2	28 11	2 9 3/4	34 13 5/8
Total	179	43702 15 5/8	34363 10 1/4	33198 2 3/4	34413 13 7/8	40961 6 1/2	34709 9 1/4	38331 9 1/8	4780 8 7/8	812 10	7 8 1/4	5133 6 3/8	15 7 1/2	3917 11 1/2	11 6	2629 13 7/8	6 6 3/4	3622 ..
								Net	3967 11 1/8									

Fort St George 26 th August 1824.

According to this tho the proposed Beriz exceeds the Permanent in most instances it falls short of that of one Estate / Kodumpakum / by 20 per Cent:—

57. The Collectors comparison in Para 44 of his settlement of individual Villages with the Permanent Beriz[+] is inconclusive from the cause assigned above. The improvement on the Beriz of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23. A.D. in those entered in the next Statement[+] may probably be ascribed in part to subsequent improvements. Of the five Villages showing the opposite result and enumerated, cost in the same Statement as settled below the standard of 1232 Fusly / 1822/23 A.D. the first Colupponjaing is stated

From Collr 18 April 1837 Para 44.

[*] Statement A.

[+] Ibid Statement B

Fort St George 26 th August 1824.

in the Column of remarks to have been "rented in Dirkhast at an enormous increase in 1822-23. A.D. / 1232 Fusly in consequence of competition." Referring to the Village Chetta it is seen that the Beriz

before Hyder's invasion was	112
After it	338
Kamil	207
1202 to 1204 Fusly	483
1205 to 1207 "	286
Average of both	349
Mootah Beriz	382
1205 Fusly	387
1210 "	381
1223	307
1232	274
Pyoto Beriz	225
Collectors Dr.	226
or including ready Money	235

in which there is nothing to shew that

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844.

that the Beriz had been raised unduly in 1232 Fusly. 1822-23 A.D. She Settlement adopted is in fact that proposed by the Ryots themselves to Mr Vincash another Village which has been fixed in accordance with the proposition of the Ryots is Nachemedee"

	Pagodas
In 1210 it paid	160
1215	213
1223	220
Ryots - Beriz	108
Proposed Beriz	107
or including ready Money	144

From Coll[r] 15. April 1837 Para 42

The Collector seems to have formed an estimate of the value of such selfassessment

Opinion of the Board on the first topic of the Examination.

52. The conclusions at which the Board have arrived from the attentive consideration of this part of the subject are that the total Beriz proposed by the Coll[r] is below the amount warranted by our assessment of former collections. That

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the demand has fallen unequally on the different Talooks and that it is heaviest in Manemungalum and highest in Nayer. This explanation will be found to account for the peculiarities in the different Talooks in a more satisfactory manner than those quoted in Para 43.

Note. In addition to the various comparisons shewing this in the preceding paras, the following taken from Endorsements 1st affords further support to the same conclusion

Talooks	Collection of 1232 Fusly	Proposed Survey Beriz	Increase	Decrease	per Cent
	Pagodas				
Manimungalum	34413	38331	3917	"	11 5/11
Nayer	39619	38081	"	1538	3 4/11
Peddapollem	27121	27100	"	20	7/11
266 Villages	47624	46705	"	919	1 8/11

53. The next point for enquiry is the manner in which the details of the Collectors new plan have been executed - a statement of which is given in the 19th and 40th Paras of his first report. The following particulars have been ascertained by a careful scrutiny of the original Talooks accounts.

2d Point, reference to the execution of the details.

54. Of the 756 Villages settled by him the preliminary accounts of 359 had been completed before Mr Maclean joined the District and of these 82 had been prepared according to Mr Vincash's system with

from Collr's Letter 1837

with a Deiry of the Ryots' own proposition and a Moochilka engaging to abide by it according to Enclosure D. The remaining 397 were surveyed altogether under Mr. Maclean's direction on the basis of the Cultivation of 1232 Fusly / 1822-23 A.D. and without recourse being had so largely in the subsequent operations to the Agency of the Ryots. The whole were then examined by the Serishtadar and in some instances by the Collector himself. This done a Tabular Statement was prepared for each Village according to the form in Para 33 of the first report dated 15th April 1837 which served (under the name of Sumawani Sattila) as the basis of the settlement.

Names of the Talooks	Total Villages	Inhabited Villages	Total	Pendent Villages exempted Lands and assigned by Survey in 1824 at the time of Mr. Maclean's Collectorate	Remaining Villages exempted Lands and Survey fixed at the time of Mr. Maclean's Collectorate
	Nos	Nos	Nos	Nos	Nos
1 Manamungalum	179	"	179	152	27
2 Veddapollem	185	13	198	87	109
3 Nayer	192	"	192	118	74
Subsequently requested	"	187	187	"	187
Total	196	200	756	359	397

From Collector 2nd September 1837. Para 19
31st October 1837. Para 23. 25
31st July 1839. Para 8

Enclosure

55. Enclosure No. 5 is a translation of this document for Cuddab Manamungalum from which as being the first and most important Village of those included in the 1st Report the Examples in illustration of the following remarks have been chiefly taken. This Document exhibits the rate per Cawny, the Cultivation and the demand of the different Assessments six of which are old Standards and the remaining four Estimates proposed by various parties for the future demand.

dated 15th April 1837.

Note. It also notes the Cultivation and Collections of the 23 years anterior to 1232 Fusly together with the highest Revenues in record anterior to 1210 Fusly and the charges incurred for preparing the works of irrigation in more recent years with some other statistical facts.

56. Of the former (i.e. the old Standards) the first alone, viz. the Deiry of 1210 Fusly / 1801 A.D. No. 1 possesses claims to confidence, having been prepared by the Officers of Government when the whole District was under Amanie management to serve as a foundation for the permanent assessment. The rest are derived for the most part from the unchecked accounts of the Curnums. The average Collections of 23 years (No. 3) the Circar Collections i.e. the average of payments made by Mootahdars and Renters (No. 4) and the Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822-23 A.D. likewise

denoted

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

derived for the most part, from the same parties (No 6) shew only the gross revenue collected from Villages or Mootahs and therefore are not available for the purposes of a field assessment. A careful Analysis of the Statement has led to the belief that the following was the plan devised to meet this difficulty. The various items of dry land and garden revenue, and the money payments (Nuggdi) ascertained from the produce (or Kum=doomoodul) accounts appear to have been deducted from the Gross Collections and the remainder to have been taken as the actual Punjah Assessment whether it corresponded with the Amount in the produce Accounts or not. From this the produce of 2 Crop land remained to be subtracted. To effect this, the proportion that the second Crops bore to the single Crop produce in the Kumdoomoodal Accounts having been ascertained, a deduction on this head [illegible] ratio was made from the Punjah Assessment determined as above and that remainder was considered to be one Crop Punjah Rent, remained only [illegible]

Collectors mode of fixing the Village Benjy.
Enclosures 5 Cols 12 18

Note.
The 23 years average produce (No 2) was employed for the two former (Nos 3 & 4) and the produce of 1232 (No 5) for the Collections of that year (No 6) By the term Kumdoomoodal is the accounts kept by the Canoungoes during the time the shaving system provided are to be understood.—

* Note
In the Cudub it exceeded the actual amount in the Kumdoomoodal accounts

* Col. 9

* Col. 8.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

by the Extent of Punjah cultivation of each period gave the average per Cawney and by applying this average to the cultivation of 1232 Fusly / 1822-23 A.D. (which was the Sheigal or area of the Survey) the relative bearing of each Benjy on the required Extent of Land was exhibited in one view. The Collector having fixed on the one that appeared to him most appropriate it was submitted to the Ryots and having been modified if necessary to secure their concurrence, Moochilkas were taken from them expressive of their assent, in one of three different forms of which examples are enclosed.*—

Col. 2

* Enclosures E F G.

From Collector 18th April 1837. Paras 32 & 34

57. In finally deciding on the Benjy of this (Maximum galum) Talook the greatest weight seems to have been attached to the average of 23 years (No 3) which only exceeds the proposed Benjy on the whole Talook by something less than one per Cent. A large number of Villages accordingly are found to have been settled on this Standard Cudub Maximum galum among them, but others were fixed with reference to that of 1232 Fusly / 1822-23 A.D. (No 6) or one one or other of the Estimated Benjy of which the following are examples.—

+ 13/16

Cudub.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

1/13/18

	23 Years average Collection per Cawney	Average of Kish Sirmundar's Estimate per Cawney 1232 Fusly	Ryots Berizy per Cawney	Proposed Rate on Cawney Settlement	Proposed Rate on Sheegal alone
	Ps. As. A.	Ps. As. A.	Ps. As. A.	Ps. As. A.	Ps. As. A.
1 Cusbah Maneemungalum	2 12 6	2 8 6	2 15 12	2 9 14	2 12 "
2 Anjenmatoor	2 4 8	1 9 2	2 7 "	2 " 4	2 " 4	2 1 8
3 Sholavor	1 " 6	1 4 14	1 7 8	1 7 8	1 5 "	1 7 8
4 Melaghebb	1 5 4	1 3 8	1 10 4	1 10 12	1 5 "	1 6 14
5 Cheemandaleem	1 1 "	1 6 6	1 6 12	1 4 8	1 4 "	1 5 9
6 Kolioy	1 2 8	1 8 14	1 8 2	1 5 2	1 3 4	1 5 2
7 Kelmanambadoo	2 " 6	1 13 8	2 1 4	1 11 "	2 8 "	" " "
8 Seimatoor	1 13 8	1 9 5	1 10 4	1 4 "	1 9 6	" " "
9 Cilla Codehoorry	3 13 13	2 10 8	2 12 8	2 8 "	2 2 8	" " "
10 Coalaparery	2 13 8	2 12 "	3 3 12	2 7 8	2 7 12	" " "

113/18

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

58\. The first has been determined by the average of 23 years collections. The next five appear to have been fixed on a variety of considerations two (2 & 5) being less than the Ryots own proposition made in 1241 Fusly (1831-32 A.D.). Two more (4 & 6) are referrable to the 23 years average and one (3) to the average of 1232 Fusly (1822-23 A.D.). In all these five the Muchilka of the Ryots is in the Form E by which they agree to pay the average on the arable and waste land together, and not as generally that on the arable alone.+ Kelmanambadoo (7) is settled on the 23 years average. the next (8) on that of 1232 Fusly (1822-23 A.D.) the 9th on the Sir Sarumdars proposed rates as submitted in 1241 Fusly (1831-32 A.D.) and the last on the Ryots own proposal made to Mr. Viveash in the same year.—

+Note Apparently for the sake of securing the Ryots acquiescence because the rate on the Sheegal alone would have been too high. This rate is given for these five Villages in the last Col. of the preceding Table.—

	Rs.	As.	P.
The whole Beriz of the Cusbah No. 1 at the average rate per Cawney of 23 years (or Pag. 3 annas+ 6) amounted to	1837	1	10
The sum actually proposed by the Collector was	1838	12	2
and including the ready money payments	52	4	4
It amounted to	1891	"	6
Of the old annual Berizes those of the 10 years before Hyders invasion, gives an average of	3013	9	4
That of 1210 Fusly was	2197	12	4
" 1212 "	2569	5	8
" 1213 "	3058	8	12
The Mortah Beriz is set down at	2331	5	"
+The permanent Do. at	1789	13	"
That of 1232 Fusly was	1858	4	4
Average of 23 years collections	1599	8	8

+Note This includes Tusl Tastee on the assessment of the 2 Crops because the comparison now instituted is between the sums total of the various assessments.—

+Note The permanent Beriz being Net Revenue exclusive of charges which are included in the others.

The

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

59. The proposed Berij formed on the lowest of these cannot be considered a heavy demand and when it is seen that including waste the whole available assets of the Village are limited to Pagodas 214.10.8 a Maximum that will rarely be attained — because requiring the whole available land to be under tillage at once and can never be exceeded. The conclusion in Para 52 appears to be borne out that even where assessed highest (as generally in this Taluk) the proposed settlement is extremely light.—

Ditto ditto ditto the assessments & classes.—

60. Having thus obtained a Village demand and secured the assent of the Inhabitants to the rate per Cawney the amount is distributed over the classes, as shown in Statement B which is the classification of the cushah finally adopted by the Collector. Another Statement No 7 exhibits a comparison of the classifications proposed by the different assessing Officers. From this it will be perceived that many important alterations of the earlier processes of the Survey were made by the Collector at the time of settlement

Enclosure No 6

Enclosure No 7

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the principal of which are the following.—

1 A larger extent of one Crop Nunjah was entered as capable of yielding a second Crop.—

2 The Classes were reduced in number in a few and the extent of land in each class was altered in a great number of instances.—

3 It produced that the several classes are recorded to be capable of yielding undeviating extensive modifications.—

61 The additional extent of one Crop land which the Collector considered capable of yielding a second in this Village was 37 Cawnies or about 23 per Cent of the Nunjah as will be seen by the following abstract taken from Statement J.—

10 Pr Ct additions to the 1 Crop land

* The Cushah

	Extent	Assessment	Extent of which capable of One Crop Nunjah	Assessment	Extent of which capable of yielding a second Crop	Assessment
	Cs as	Ps a a	Cs as	Ps a a	Cs as	Ps a a
1 Ascertained by the Ser Jamabundee	544 5/4	1624 9 12	163 13/4	330 . 6	1954 10 2	
2 According to 23 years average from Jt No 5	544 5/4	1509 10 8	163 13/4	376 4 12	1885 15 4	
3 According to Cutchery Estimate	544 5/4	1531 10 .	163 13/4	282 . 8	1813 10 8	
4 Fixed by Collector	544 5/4	1499 6 14	200 10 3/4	339 5 4	1838 12 2	

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

62. The effect of this new disposition is to lower the original Number of Cawnies on the whole land, and the appearance of a decrease of Revenue is avoided by adding to the amount of Fusl Sastry or 2 Crop tax, so that the sum total bears a fair proportion to that of all the other assessments. But if any of the land so additionally assessed should fail to yield a second Crop, or only produced it under extraordinary circumstances. the increase of the Beriz so effected must be considered to be more or less nominal and the total Beriz of the Village which includes it, will convey an erroneous idea of the revenue, accruing from the survey assessment. The cultivation of a second Crop depending on the supply of water for irrigation cannot it is true be fixed exactly, but in framing a permanent assessment, no land should be admitted as 2 Crop, that is not likely to yield a second in ordinary or average yields

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

years. This the six Tirumdas with the Sayots ascertained on the Spot, it may be presumed with tolerable accuracy, and their decision was not impugned by the Survey Serishtadar on revising their conclusions at the Village. No reason for the change has any where been recorded. The fact only is noted on the back of the Chitta.

Note. A general intimation of the principle followed in assessing 2 Crop land occurs in the concluding Report from Mr Madeam 31st July 1839 Para 20.

63. In order to ascertain whether the revenue derived from the 2nd Crop land at all corresponds with the additions made by the Collector, a Statement has been prepared of the Cultivation and produce of the last 5 years during which the Survey rates have been in operation which though it does not specify the exact extent on which a second Crop was raised yet shews the amount of Fusl Sastra on the whole. From this it will be perceived that though the extent of land entered as capable of producing a 2nd Crop (and for the full amount of which credit is taken in the proposed Beriz) has for the most part been cultivated with one Crop the Fusl Sastra (or 2nd Crop) hereto has always fallen short of

Enclosure No 8

See Cl. 30

See Cls. 10 & 12

the

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

The Collector's Estimate - Thus in the 2 first Classes which are or ought to be the most favorably situated for receiving water - the following amount of Assessment has been realized. -

	The 1st Class			2nd Class		Total of the 4 classes in Col. 30
	Extent in Cawnies Col. 8	Assessment Pagodas Col. 10		Extent in Cawnies Col. 12	Assessment Pagodas Col. 14	Pagodas
The Collector estimated that	51	would yield	99 and	81	99	312
The settlement of 1248 Fasly on a cultivation	50	only got	2 and	77	only 0 15/16	3 1/16
1249	50		52	81	52	261
1250	50		30	81	29	184
1251	50		34	80	31	147
1252	44		61	74	38	195

Dasa 68

The outturn of the 2 other classes however exceeds the Estimate which may admit of explanation hereafter; but the general result (Col 30) is what has been already stated. It must be recollected that the seasons in the above years were generally favorable and that of 1249 Fasly was one of the best on record in which notwithstanding a fall in prices the cultivation exceeded and the Revenue equalled that of 1232 Fasly (1822/23 A.D.) The second change made in the classification by the Collector is in the distribution of the classes and of the land in each. The latter contingency only occurs in the Cuskah as shown in Statement No 7. Thus compared with the scheme of the 1st Tarumadar the joint production of that Officer and of the Ryots themselves -

2 of the altered extent of the classes

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844 -

The 1st Class is reduced from Cs. 9-9 1/4 to 4-6 1/4
2 ..... 40-3 1/4 — 24-13 1/4
3 — — — 86-13 1/4 — 70-9 3/4
4 remains the same — 96-1 1/2 — 96-1 1/2
5 — — — 147-11 3/4 — 147-11 3/4

and the 2nd Crop land is raised from

1 — — 41-7 1/4 — 46-10 3/4
2 — — 41-3 1/8 — 56-9 3/4
3 — — 36-2 1/16 — 52-16 1/2
4 remains unchanged — 19-15 11/16 — 19-15 11/16

and of the altered rates per Class connected with this part of the subject is the alterations proposed by the Collector in the rate of assessment per Class

It was to be expected that frequent changes would be made by the assessing Officers in determining the rates, but the mode of doing so remains attention. It will be found that the rates in the higher classes have generally been lowered and those in

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the lower raised as exemplified by a comparison of Columns 7. 11. & 15 of Statement 7. The grounds on which these changes are founded is not apparent, according to the ostensible principle of the settlement alterations of the Area of classes can only be ascribed to the mistakes of the assessors and of the rates of assessment to the adoption of a different conversion rate. No fault however is found with the former and the original scale of gradation throughout the classes has not been preserved in the latter. But if the Board are right in supposing that the Collector was guided by a totally different principle of settlement founded on the individual circumstances of each separate Village a general agreement between the results of two such different modes of proceeding is not to be expected. That the discrepancies are attributable to a radical change of this nature is further apparent from the examination of the average productive powers of the several classes.

65. Accordingly the alterations in this part of the process are found to be as numerous as the preceding ones. Those in the Cusbah are shewn in the following Statement together with the principle on which they are supposed to have been made.

3 Of the altered rates of produce per Class

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Class ascertained by the Settlement					Fixed by the Collector	Supposed principle on which the preceding Cls. was ascertained by converting the Pretwaram at 5% per Cullum	Rates of produce adopted by Collector excluding fractions	Comparison with the Sircumdars Calculations	
No. of Class	Produce per Cullum	Converted to Money at 18 Bazaar Mercals						Increase	Decrease
I	II	III	IV		V	VI	VII	VIII	IX.
	Cal	Rs	Pags	Pzs	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal	Cal
1	13	6	5¼	4	6	4 4	13 3 4	13 4	2
2	10	2½	4	3	5	3 8	10 11	11	4
3	8	3	3¼	2	11¼	3	9 4 4	9 3	1
4	6	4½	2½	2	1¼	2 8	7 12 10	7 12	1 4½
5	3	11½	1¾	1	4¾	1 12½	5 6 12	5 6	1 3½

In this table it will seem the produce of each class ascertained by the assessors and Ryots as noted in Col II. has been changed by the Collector to that entered in Col VII and Col VI exhibits the process by which the alterations believed to have been effected. In explanation of this VI Column it is necessary to state that under the old system of a division of Crops, the Pretwaram or Government share was received at a fixed and uniform rate for each Village. That for the Cusbah was Mercals 5 12½/16 per Cullum of Grain or about 18 per Cent. This was converted into Money at 18 Mercals per Pagoda (the Collector's assumed rate of Commutation) or in other words at annas 5 2 6 per Pagoda, which is the value of that measure at the same rate

of

influential

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

of conversion. The application of this standard to the Money rates proposed by the Collector (in Col V) gives the corresponding rates of produce Exhibits above (in Col. VI) which discarding the fractions will be found to agree exactly with those (in Col. VII) adopted in the settlements.—

Conclusions drawn from the above

66. The duty of ascertaining the productive powers of the land was specially entrusted to the assessors and was required to be performed with the aid and Consent of the Inhabitants. Doubtless their Proceedings were liable to error and then it was the business of the Collector and his establishment to rectify when discovered. but the adjustment pervade every part of the Classification and if made in correction of errors must have been equivalent to the execution of the whole. Original process de novo. It is plain however that the means at the Collectors disposal were not adequate to such a duty. Mr. Smalley adopted the rates of produce recorded by the Tarumdars advisedly because though understated yet having been derived from the people themselves they could not avoid at its commuted value, if the commution was made at a fair rate. But if the discovery of error by Mr. Maclean was so extensive the Calculations adopted by Mr. Smalley cannot be free from suspicion for they were framed precisely in the same manner. It does not appear however that error has been charged in any case. On the contrary Mr. Maclean bears repeated testimony to the accuracy of his Agents:—

See Encls. No. 9 Statt. of 3 Villages settled by Mr. Smalley.

From the Collector 15th April 1837 Para 16
From Do. 27th Sept. 1837 Para 8
From Do. 31st Oct. 1837 Para 3
From Do. 31st July 1839 Para 5

67. On the other hand, admitting the modifications to have been the effect of a general principle affecting the whole process of the assessment

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

it would have been easily traced, as exemplified in the different Scales of commutation prices set forth in Statement 9. Shews the effect of converting at 18 Mercalls instead of at 15 which the Collector assigns as the cause of the difference between his own and the Sirkumdars Survey would have been as follows.—

From Colr. 15th April 1837 Para 39.
From Colr. 27th Sept. 1837 Para 20.
See Encls. No. 10.

At 15 Mercalls.		At 15 Mercalls.		Rate fixed.	
	Pags	A	Pags	A	Pags	A
1	5	4	4	6	4	4
2	4	"	3	5	3	8
3	3	4	2	11¼	3	"
4	2	8	2	1¼	2	8
5	1	9	1	4¾	1	13½

but those substituted possess no such uniform character. They are neither consistent with each other nor with those proposed by the Cutcherry. If a conjecture might be hazarded it might be supposed that the alterations were made to conciliate the Ryots and that as the arrangements must necessarily have been framed by the more influential

influential cultivators who occupy the best lands. They acted in the manner most conducive to their own interests, and caused the burthen on the superior classes by adding to that of the inferior lands. Thus altered the money rates of the different classes would no longer be in accordance with the recorded rates of produce. The latter therefore were adjusted by a calculation founded on the customary Mailwarum of the Village and any decrease in the General Beriz was made up by adding to the proportion of land assessed with a 2d Crop Seeroa. It may be added in confirmation of this view that in Mr. Cook's attempt to assess the Cushah a much greater extent of land was included in the superior classes and at much higher rates of assessment than the now exhibited.† The same explanation will also serve to account for the excess of two Crop Cultivation in the 3d and 4th Class lands above the estimate in any of the proposed assessments, while the same item in the 1st and 2d falls so far below what was expected.—

† See Encls. No. 11.—

The alterations of the Classes not confined to the Cushah.

68. It must not be supposed that the preceding peculiarities tho taken from a single Village are confined to it alone. It has not been possible to go through the whole of the voluminous original accounts of the Survey in all their details, but the examination has been carried far enough to see that the same system has prevailed throughout. Thus an addition to the two Crop Lands has been observed in 15 other Villages of Maninmungalum Taluk giving the following results. One Crop Land of which Capable of bearing a Second.—

Encls. No. 12.

	Extent	Beriz	Extent	Beriz	Total.
	Gt.	Pags.	Gt.	Pags.	
Beriz first proposed	2304-14¼	5510-7½	370-5⅞	597-3.	6107-10½
Fixed by Collector	2304-14¼	5291-1.	564-3⅜	830-13⅜	6121-14⅜
Increase	"	"	193-13½	233-10⅜	14-3⅞
Decrease	"	219-6½	"	"	"

Reducing the original one Crop Land by 219-6½ Pagodas and adding 233 Pagodas 10⅜ to the two Crop Land which amount

if

if not usually realized in fair seasons must be reckoned a diminution, pro tanto, of the proposed Beriz.—

Encls: No. 13.— 69. Statement No. 13 shews the alteration of classification in other Villages of the Maniemmgalum Talook in some of which the number of classes have been reduced and in others the changes have been partial and confined to one or two Classes while in the remaining ones the land and rate of Seeroa have been retained and the produce only modified with reference to the assumed Commutation rate. The Sir Surndar's proposed rates of Seeroa were altered by the Survey Seristadar in 35 Villages of the Talook. Of these the Collector confirmed the new rates in 22 but adopted different rates of produce to suit his proposed Commutation price, and in 10 he reverted to the original terms of the Sir Surundar only changing the produce, but in the remaining 3 he laid down rates differing from both. The effect was in all to create a large reduction in the higher classes and to raise the lower as in Thaniem in which the fifth Class was reduced altogether and the land distributed among the preceding ones. In others as in Maungad the decrease was in the lower the increase in the higher Classes. The additions are chiefly to the extent of the 1st and 2d Classes and tho the rates of Seeroa is greatly lowered the total Beriz is only reduced from 1,415 to 1,357 Pagodas. In a third description as in Tandalum, the whole of the Sir Surundar's proceedings were changed.—

From Coll.r 15th April 1837. Para 21.— 70. The Collector has justly observed that the produce accounts can only be entrusted when they have been kept in detail shewing the returns of each Field. The Board therefore sent to the District for specimens of Villages in which these conditions are supposed to have been observed. Those for Soumbatum and Coolampatum which had been under Annamic management for several years after their lapse to Government were transmitted. The Sir Surundar's in determining the

+ As in Boocherwedoo No. 3.

11318. Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

+ The second in the above Statement.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the average produce per clas were accus:tomed to take the returns of the most fa:vorable years on record from which com:pared with recent actual produce they deduced a medium rate. The best years produce of the 1st. Clas land in Coolampa:kum are shewn in the Margn. but in the original account the average thro' oversight has been miscalculated and stated at Cullums 11 7—14 per Cawny instead of Cullums 11— 6—14. The general average adopted for the 1st. Clas by the Sirr Tehsildar was

	Cullms.	Toom
	10	10.

By the Survey Serishtadar 11— 8.
By the Collector 12— "

71. In the other Village Arumbankum, the best years will likewise be found in the Margin. The average pro:duce by the different setting Officers was by the Sirr

	Cullms.	Toom
Tirumdar	9	
Survey Serishtadar	10	
Collector	9	

Average produce per Cawny of 1st. Clas land irrigated by the Tank.

Year	Cullt.	Tooms	Annas
1227	11	6	"
1230	11	5	8
1231	11	5	8
1234	11	2	14
1241	12	1	4
Average in the original acct.	12	7	14
True Ditto	11	6	11.

Average produce per Cawny of 1st. Clas Nunjah irrigated from the Tank.

Year.	Cullt.	Tooms	Annas
1238	11	9	8
1239	13	3	8
1240	12	2	10
1241	14	10	14
1243	14	8	"
Average	13	4	10.

11318.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

If both returns were correct the results deduced have been most dissimilar. The Collector having adopted in the former Village an ave:rage produce for the 1st. Clas land exceeding the highest average on record, in the latter an average for the same description of land amounting to only 3/4 of the highest average.

In concluding this part of the 72. subject it may further be observed that on comparing the rates of the several Classes on with the recorded produce of each, the dis:crepancies are found to be very considerable.* Thus the same assessment of 3 Pagodas per Cawny is found to have been imposed on land yielding from 13 Cullums, thro' 11 gradations to 8—9, and the rate of 2 Pagodas extends over 12 degrees of produc:tiveness, varying from 6 to 9 Cullums. Local causes such as distance from roads or markets, expense of culture, rate of Swatantrams &c. would doubt:less occasion considerable variations, and had the Settlement been uniform:ly based on the conversion of the ascertained

*See Statement No. 14.—

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

ascertained produce the occurrence of some variation would not have occasioned surprise. Thus in the Cusbah and an adjoining Village in which the produce is stated to be nearly equal, the different shares are as follows.—

	Manémungalum	Kerchangal.
Melvaram	48 per Cent	50.
Kudevaram	37	39.
Swatantrum	14	10.

Admitting these considerations to have operated to the fullest extent they will not account for the extraordinary fact of 3 sorts of land having been discovered in one Taluk from an examination of the productive powers of the soil But it has been shown that the rates have not been fixed according to the produce but on the contrary that the produce has been altered to correspond with the rates.

73. In operations of the nature of a Survey much advantage is derivable from comparisons between its several parts.— It is clear however from

11318.

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

from the above that the rates of the several classes can throw little or no light on the relative bearing of the same amount of assessment in different places.—

The Collector has not overlooked

74 the value of such comparisons. He states that he has employed them wherever practicable and adds that the Villages selected for the purpose have always been chosen with the concurrence of the Curnums and Ryots. Proceeding with the examination of the Cusbah account it is seen that 12 Villages are noted on the back of the Chitta as bounding it and which tho not expressly described as corresponding in capabilities and other circumstances adapted for comparison appear to be intended for that purpose, the succeeding form being left blank.† Of these three (including the Cusba) are watered from the same Tank, one of which, Kerchangal, agrees so nearly with Manemungalum that the propriety of its selection seems unquestionable. The following

Report, 15th April 1837. Para 22.

Enclosure No. 5.—

† It is not filled up in any Village that has been examined.—

11318. Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

following is the classification of the two Villages in Suits position.—

	Manimungalum.				Kerchangal.			
	Produce assessment.				Produce assessment.			
Class.	Cul.	Mar.	Pags.	An.	Cul.	Mar.	Pags.	An.
1.	13.	14.	4.	4.	12.	6.	4.	2.
2.	11.	"	3.	8.	10.	6.	3.	8.
3.	9.	3.	3.	"	8.	12.	2.	14.
4.	7.	9.	2.	8.	7.	3.	2.	6.
5.	5.	6.	1.	12½	5.	"	1.	10.

by which it appears that the settlement only assimilates in one point, the assessment rate of the 2nd class; but even in that the produce differs. while there is an absence of coincidence in all other particulars.—

75. The only particular in which the classification of the Cusbas approaches those of Maghaveyam and Somangalum is in their 1st Classes which yet differ somewhat both from the first and second classes of the Cusbah.—

	Class.	Produce per Cawney.	Assessment.	
Manemungalum	1	13: 14.	4:	4.
	2	11: "	3:	8.
Maghaveyam	1	12: 6	4:	"
Somungalum	1	12: 9.	11:	"

11318. Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

Here a want of agreement appears throughout, leaving it impossible to say whether the correspondence is to be sought in the first or second class of the Cusbah. The third class of the Cusbah answers to the 1st of Moordeechoor and Manivakum.—

	Class.	Produce Cul.	Incr.	Assessment Pags.	An.
Manimungalum	3	9:	3:	3:	"
Moodichoor	1.	9:	6:	2:	12.
Manevakum	1.	9:	3:	2:	12.

Again the 4th Class of the Cusbah admits of comparison with the first Class of three others.—

	Class.	Produce Cul.	Incr.	Assessment Pags.	An.
Manimungalum	4.	7:	9:	2:	8.
Poodoocharry	1.	8:	3:	2:	8.
Nadaveerpet	1.	7:	6:	2:	6.
Parungalatoor	1.	8:	"	2:	4.

And lastly the 5th Class with the 12 of the 1st of the four remaining Villages thus.—

	Class.	Produce Cul.	Incr.	Assessment Pags.	An.
Manimungalum	5.	5:	6:	1:	12½
Vurdarajpoor	1.	6:	8.	2:	"
Serematoor	1.	5:	9.	1:	10.
Mullaput	1.	6:	12:	2:	"
Chetput	1.	6.	3:	2.	"

76. In none of these does any agreement

From Coll.r 15th April 1837.

agreement appear tho' such ought to have been the case either in the produce of the mode of Settlement indicated in the 40 Para of the Collector's Report was employed. or in the rate of Seerwa if that pointed out in the Board's Proceedings prevailed. From the absence of corresponding results in Villages so closely approximated in situation and in which according to the ostensible mode of determining the Classification the average produce had been ascertained and recorded by the joint testimony of all the surrounding Cultivators, in the course of which they would naturally have been led to institute comparisons and to bring out corresponding results, an additional proof is afforded of the absence of any prevailing principle in the formation of the proposed Assessment.—

77. Admitting the similarity of the different Villages to be in accordance with the real state of things, which if the selection was really made by the inhabitants is most likely to be the case. An explanation of the conflicting results exhibited will be found in the mode of Assessment which would appear from the accounts to have been really pursued. Of these 12 Villages six are found to have been assessed on the basis of the 23 years average Collections of one on the produce of 1232 Fasly. 1822-23 &c.

+ Mordeechoor. Kerchangal. Sermatoor. Moghanium. Poodoocherry. Perkalatoor

Kundewarputt.

+ Mallipatt. Sommingalum. Chettipatt. @ Wardarajpoor

Manwakam

Enclos.e No.16

Of the remaining five the Sir Tsrmdar's Beriz has been confirmed in three+, the proposed Beriz of the Cutcherry in another@, while the last has been determined by the average rate per acre of the Settlement of 1210 Fasly. 1800-1 A.D.# A Statement has been prepared shewing the rates of Assessment and produce in each class as fixed by the Coll.r in all the 12 Villages together with the customary Government share, the Swatantrampes & the commutation price of the produce at 18 Marcals per Pagoda.

Chettipatt. Mallipatt. Sommingalum. 78. Of the three Villages above stated to have been settled according to the Sir Tsrmdars proposed Beriz in one, Chettipatt, the number of Classes and rate of Assessment in each have been retained as determined by that Officer but the produce per class has been altered according to the calculation of 18 Mercals the Pagoda. In the two others the Sir Tsrmdars general average rate per Cawny has been assumed as a basis but the rates of particular classes fixed by him have both been raised and lowered. In the Village settled according to the Cutcherry proposal the produce assigned to the 1st Class (one Cawny 8 Tonns) is less than the average.

@ Wardarajpoor

average produce of the whole lands including the inferior soils that was actually obtained in 1236 Fusly / or 1826-27 / - 9 /- Contrary to the usage of this Villages it appears that 12 Cawnis had long been held on a Money teerwa, while in the rest of the land the revenue had been levied in kind. In the Collectors / proposed Beriz this money cess / or Roccaputtee, as it is called / has been continued unchanged without reference to the rates on the corresponding lands newly assessed The Kunjah is entered as belonging to the 1st. Class. the Coonjah to the 2nd. / but in both cases the old rates fall of those now laid down for the other lands these 2 Classes - It is possible that these fields have been conferred on easier tenures for some particular cause but if on inquiry they had been found entitled to a continuance of the indulgence, the proper course would have been to have brought them to account at the full survey rates, and to have allowed the difference in the shape of remission at Annual Settlement. -

+ 6 Kunjah. 6 Coonjah.

79. Other instances of similar anomalies may be added as of Garden land sometimes treated as Kunjah,

Kunjah, at others assessed with higher rates of Maunawarry or land without means of permanent irrigation entered as 2 Crop land, of the same rate of Teerwa being applied to lands under tanks and to those dependent on the falling rains or on the labor of Pycotahs. But enough has been cited to shew the manner in which the Beriz has been determined without swelling these observations to an inconvenient length. Neither is it necessary to notice here the further comparisons made by the Board of Villages settled by Mr. Maclean, with those assessed by Messrs. Vincash and Smalley - all of which go to confirm the conclusion already derived from the preceding examples. -

80. To recapitulate shortly the results deduced from this examination into the details of the third stage of the Survey. The Collectors it is supposed saw reason to pursue a different course from that recommended by the Board; and instead of subdividing an aggregate Beriz derived from a consideration of the capabilities of the whole District, he prescribed for himself in theory the opposite course of ascertaining first the productive powers of fields and classes the sum of which was

was to constitute the total settlement. In practice however he seems to have adopted neither plan. The system that appears actually to have been followed was to fix a Beriz on each Villages separately from a general consideration of circumstances, but chiefly of the former Berizes, from which an average rate per bawny was determined, and the assent of the Ryots obtained to abide by it. The demand was then apportioned on the classes without observing any fixed principle, during which process the previous operations of the survey underwent material changes with reference to the recorded produce and extent of land on each class, and to the scale of the rates or deeyats. These modifications did not arise either out of errors in the earlier steps of the survey, or out of the application of a general rule but were prompted by considerations of expediency alone.-

Remarks on the first processes of the Survey-

81- The Board have not thought it necessary to advert more particularly to the first step in the survey - the measurement of the area, of the correctness of which the Collector expresses no doubts. The extraordinary want of agreement however in the instances quoted by him between the last survey

From the Coll.r 15th Sept.r 1837 Paras 11 to 15
D.o 27th Sept.r 1837 Paras 6 & 7.

and those of former Collectors seem to indicate the necessity of further examination. Moreover the measurements conducted under Mr. Maclean's superintendence were not examined as on all previous occasions by Amayeshdars; the duty of testing the measurements being left to the Assessors / Serendars and Sir Serendars /.- It may further be observed that the selection of the cultivation of a season so far back as 1232 Fusly / 1823/24 A.D. for that on which the new Assessment was to be based was very inconvenient. Much of the land then under tillage is now waste, and vice versa, so that land now actually in use has, in some occasions, not been taken into account. Moreover the cultivation of that year was greatly extended owing to the very favorable nature of the season, and much of this has not been ploughed since. The Beriz therefore of the Sheitul or usual cultivation has been made to appear considerably larger than it ought or really is. Probably Mr. Maclean was influenced by the extent of land which he found already surveyed according to that standard and under the orders of his predecessors, but the inconvenience is manifest. It

It may also be observed that an essential variation from the Rules[+] and for the classification of the ceded Districts prevails throughout the Jageer Survey- In the former it was laid down that the difference between the classes or Deeyats should be uniform in each Village In the Jageer no such stipulation was made in the original orders to the assessors prepared by Mr. Smalley- The consequence has been that in the same Village the classes are found to vary unequally, the variation ranging from 2 to 12 annas- This offers another serious obstacle to the institution of comparisons between different parts-

[+] Rules for Assessors or Jerm Mokundaies Para 6 & 10 Rev. Selec. I page 127-

82- It would carry these Proceedings to an inconvenient length to include the accounts of Chenambaidoo the chief Village of Peddapollum Taloke and of Vullooroo in Nayer Taloke a large Mouza particularly referred to in the Collector's Report, both of which the Board have examined in detail in the same manner as Manemungalum- In both Taloks, particularly in Nair, the low rate assumed by the Collector is very remarkable in the Assessment and rates of produce- In many instances the average recorded produce

From the Stage of the Survey From the Coll.r 8th July 1845-

of the whole exceeds that assumed in the Survey for the 1st class and in 16 Villages formerly included in the Streecharecottah Mootah, the average recorded produce of all the wet lands hardly exceeds that of the dry- the average of the former being 1-Rs 12½ of the latter 1- 8½. Believing however that enough has been brought forward to afford a fair estimate of the value of this stage of the Survey the Board will proceed to notice as succinctly as possible the revision of Mr. Viveash's Settlement by the present Collector Mr. Freese which forms the 4th stage-

In the 13 and 25th paras of the Collectors

83- report he states that Mr. Viveash's Beriz falls short both of the 23 years average collections, and of those of 1332 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. that the accounts of the 23 years average being derived from the Curmums are unworthy of credit, that in 1233 Fusly all the 625 Villages settled by Mr. Viveash except 15 were under rent, and that the revenue paid to Government bore no proportion to the extended cultivation of that year- He therefore infers that sufficient grounds exist for raising the demand fixed by Mr. Viveash on such data- If this conclusion be correct it follows from the application of the same tests to Mr. Maclean's Settlement-

Collection of 1332 — Nayer 30/619 - Reddapollium 27/121
Survey Beriz 38081 - 27/100
Beriz Defs - 1538 - 21.

Settlement that the Beriz of Nayer and Reddapollium should also be raised, for that of Nayer is 1538 and of Reddapollium 21 Pagodas less than 1232 Fusly. 1822/23 A.D.

84. In the 11th para he refers to the Board's instructions for the revision of Mr. Vivash's labors and states that he has carried them out. But he has overlooked an important circumstance that these instructions were intended to point out the modes of fixing the total demand, which done, the distribution was assumed to be an affair of secondary importance that might be executed without difficulty. Whereas Mr. Freese applying the rule to the details has corrected some classes and confirmed others, without reference to the general domain first of the Mootah or Taloks & then of the particular Village under consideration.

From Collector 8th February 1841
Board's Proceedings 19th May 1825.
To Collector 7th March 1831

85. Of the 825 Villages Mr. Freese considers that 100 are rightly and that the remainder under assessed. Twenty four Villages were afterwards transferred to Chittoor, which 15 Ps of the description first mentioned or of the latter does not appear

86. The table exhibiting the general result of Mr. Freese's alterations conveys an impression of their consequences. Mr. Vivash's Beriz is increased by 11,743 Pagodas or 11 per Cent, bringing the total very nearly to the sum proposed by the Sir Turndar and to the 23 Years average, and exceeds the other standards with the exception of that of 1210 Fusly 1800/1 A.D. looking to the one crop Nunjah Beriz alone, the correspondence of the adjusted Beriz with the Sir Turndar's proposal, is greater, exceeding it by 4 Pagodas only. It would have been satisfactory if the results of Mr. Cotton's examination had been stated and the agreement or difference of the two decisions compared. Explanation should likewise have been given of the reasons which induced the Collector to propose for the Madamba Kanam Mootah of 41 Villages considerably less than the ryots themselves offered to the Sir Turndar's

Page 89.218 — 89.232 — Diff. 14
Proposed Beriz of Madambakanam 4834
Ryots proposal to the Sir Turnadar 5070
Collections of 1232 Fusly 4942

than the Collections of 1232 Fusly 1822/23 A.D. The identity of result between the present revision and the Sir Turndar's proposed Beriz induces the belief that the Collector was guided by that Standard. But as the Sir Turndar proceeded on definite principles the details of both settlements should correspond as well as the totals. such however is not the case. The Collector moreover employed the conversion rate of 18 Mercals to the Sir Turndar of 15. If the surmise above hazarded be just the result should either have been lower than the Sir Turndars, or else it must be supposed that the differences were made good by the alterations of the classification. This would be a return to the System of expediency pursued in the 3rd Stage.

Compare the 5th and 8th line in the 2nd & 4th Col^s for each Taluk throughout in the Tabular Statement at Para 19 of the Coll^r's Report.

Bd's Proceeding 19th May 1825 Para 43.
To Mr. Cotton 7th March 1831.

87. In their former instructions the Board had pointed out the value of comparisons instituted between the recently assessed Villages and those formerly settled in North Arcot. Mr. Freese has embodied the result of his application of this test in several tabular statements. That marked K contains a comparison of Damerlah in Conjeveram Taluk with three others of Cavere-

8th February 1841 Inform^d 4th March

pauk, and likewise exhibits the alterations made

made in Damerlah itself - These will be found in Cols. 6 - 7 - the Original rates of Mr. Vireash's being in Cols. 4 - 5 - In Column 17 the Board have added in red ink for purposes of comparison the cultivation of a recent good year 1247 Fusly / 1837-38 A.D. In the Poonjah cultivation the Collector has increased the rate of the first class and confirmed those of Mr. Vireash in the two others - Yet the first class of 105 Cs was only cultivated to the extent of 20 in a very favorable season at the old rate whereas the cultivation in the other two was extended far beyond the Sheikal - This would afford a prima facie proof that the first was assessed too high originally, and the others too low instead of vice versâ ..

88. In the wet land the same results will be found with this additional circumstance that not only has the rate per class been raised where the cultivation in 1247 Fusly / 1837-38 A.D. was least, but as in Mr. Macleans operations the classification has been altered, and more land still included - For instance in the 1st Class of one crop land 7½ Cawnies only were cultivated in 1247 Fusly / 1837/38 A.D. Yet the Sheikal is now raised from 11 to 23 - The Sheikal of the second class of

of which 31 Cawnies were cultivated in 1247 Fusly / 1837/38 A.D. is reduced from 86 to 23, and the rate reduced from 3 to 2¾ - The 3d, of which 96 Cs were cultivated in 1247 / 1837/38 is reduced from 104 to 55 and the rate confirmed - the 4th consisting of 83 Cs of which 79 were cultivated in 1247 Fusly / 1837/38 remains unchanged, but is raised from 2 to 2¼ Pagodas, and so on .. The same will be observed in the one crop land irrigated from channels with this addition that the rate of the 5th class is raised from 1 Pags 10 to 2 Pags 8 higher than even the 4th, altho only 10 Cawnies out of 19 were cultivated in 1247 Fusly / 1837-38 A.D. The latter at first appeared to be a clerical Error, but it is the same in the Hindi accounts ..

89. Again the rule for assessing 2 crop land is to impose half the amount for a single crop as the tax of the second .. Mr. Vireash's rates are found to be in accordance with this principle, the first class being 3 - 8 - for one Crop, and 5 - 4 - for two, and so on - But the Collector confirms the first class rate for one crop, and increases that of the 2 crop to 6 - The 2d class he reduces to 2 - 12 the 2nd crop rate of which of which should be 4 - 2 but it is 5 - 4 - and so on ..

From

90. From this it appears that the revision has not been made by an examination of the whole as the Board intended but by a consideration of particular parts in the course of which the fundamental steps of the survey underwent considerable modifications as in Mr. Maclean's operations and these alterations are not shewn to be in correction of previous errors but appear when tested as above to be themselves incorrect and objectionable. To return to the comparison of Villages. The first class of 2 crop land in Damalah corresponds as adjusted with that of Mooserwah but the one crop rates are generally higher in the Chittoor Villages. To render comparison complete however something more is wanting the productive powers of the land, the supply of water, the proportion of the Government and Ryots share of the produce, the commutation price: all of which must materially affect the rate of Teerwa.

Note — The Damurlah Tank is one of the finest in the District, those of the other Villages may be of different degrees of Capacity the Nunjah of Damurlah is entered pus watered by Tank and Channels that of the others as by Tank alone.

91. Statement G contains the elements of a most appropriate comparison but is defective in the necessary information as the preceding one. Casupett is watered by the Karum Tank which also supplies water to Oolapakum, both the latter being Chittoor Villages. Owing however to the Nunjah assessment in the Taluk South of the Palar not having been divided into 1 and 2 Crop, only one rate of Teerwa is shewn. Without therefore being made aware of the average produce and other necessary particulars, it is not possible to come to any satisfactory conclusion. The circumstances of Casapett were frequently brought under discussions in 1231 Fusly / 1821-22 A.D., tho' not recorded, and it was hoped that fuller information would have been afforded regarding it.

92. Statement L places Payanoor in juxta position with 4 Chittoor Villages. The same remarks apply to this account as were made on that of Damerlah. The particulars that can alone lend value to a comparison are wanting. With respect to Payanoor itself the alterations found in it are of the same nature as those formerly effected by Mr. Maclean. The two first classes of the one crop Nunjah are thrown into one by which the rate is lowered from 3-8 to 3-4 for the first, which contains only 8 Cawnies, but the second of 34 Cawnies is raised in a much higher proportion viz. from 2-8 to 3-4. If the 1st had remained waste and the 2d had always been

been fully occupied there might have been grounds for this- It is not however stated how this was- Meantime the alteration of the rates infringes the standing order regarding double crop assessment. While a new 1st Class of one Crop land has been created at 3- 4, the former two first classes of 2 Crop land have been retained and raised to 6 and 4- 14 respectively, the second of which rates only corresponds with the standing rule in being half as much again as the first crop cess.

93. From Statement E it is seen that in Nedungul most of the classes have been raised - but some land watered by Picotahs formerly in the 2d class has been reduced to the 3d, while another field watered by the hand from the tank has been retained as 1st class and its teerwah raised to the new rate.-

94. Without pursuing these details it may be stated that all the accounts go to prove that the revision has been conducted generally on the principle of Mr Maclean's Settlement of the three Talooks as Mr Vincash had contented himself with

with fixing a Beriz on a circle of Villages without reference to the portions assignable to particular Villages, and had been generally guided even in what he did determine by the Ryots own proposed assessment, the Board desired the Collector to revise the whole, Village by Village, so as to obtain a fair Beriz for each- This done the new demand would require, if materially increased or diminished, to be subdivided among the classes and fields anew which would not necessarily affect the measurement of the area or the averagement of the classes, because former survey and classification were assumed to be correct- If not their adjustment would form a separate and distinct business- Instead of this the process was reversed- The first enquiry seems to have been into the details and the necessity of any increase to the former settlement being admitted on all hands additions were done tailed in here and there, with the concurrence of the Ryots themselves, either by raising the lower rates or altering the disposition of the classification.

Para 49 and 50

95. In the 49th and the following Para Mr

Freese

Mr. Freese examines the Settlement the Villages of Chengleput Taluck already described as constituting the 1st stage of the Survey. Of these, after giving an account corresponding nearly with that in the earlier part of these Proceedings, he states that Mr. Babington confirmed the Sir Serundar Beriz in 100 Villages..

The Ryots proposal in.. 3.
The Cutcherry's in..... - 11
The 22 Year average in -- 25
The Mediators adjustment in - 5
144-

Para 72

96. Mr. Freese approves of the settlement in 103 of the above, but would reduce the rates in 25 and raise them in 13- These are not, as might have been supposed, the Villages settled according to any particular standard but refer in part to all. He proposed to confirm the Sir Serundar's rates in 74: those of the Cutcherry in 8: those of the Ryots in 3 those of the Mediators in 2: those of the Cutcherry Circulation Rate in 16: 103 and so on with the rest. Let us shortly examine one Village in which the rates are raised and another in which they are lowered..

97.. Nuttum Carecherry is a

of the former - The only alteration occurs in the one crop Nunjah which is as follows..

Class.	Land	Mr. Smalley's Rate	Mr. Freese's Alteration Rate
1	Cawe 8 - 8 10/16	Pags as 2 - 4 :	Pags as 2 - 4.
2	12 - 15 6/16	1 - 14	1 - 14.
3	16 - 10 1/4	1 - 6	1 - 10.
4	19 - 8	1 - 1	1 - 4.
5	29 - 1/4	" 14	
6	14 - 6 1/4	" 11	1 "
7	" - 3 2/16	" 9	

the increase is all on the lower classes and the inferior descriptions of land which are not likely to be cultivated in consequence..

98- Roonawaikum is a Specimen of diminished rates. This has occurred in a single item only, the 1st Class of the 2 Crop land-

One Crop Land

	Mr. Smalley's Settlement.	Mr. Freese's alteration.
1	8 - 1/4 - 3 - 1	3 - 1.
2	19 - 2/4 - 2 - 3	2 - 3 -
3	19 - 8/4 - 1 - 12	1 - 12.
4	4 - 15/2 - 1 - 5	1 - 5
5	5 - 7 2/16 - " 14	" 14.
	57 - 1 6/16	

Two Crop Land

1	11 - 15/4	3 - 1	2 - 8 -	Exclusive of Fasil Lastha. 1/2 as much more..
2	" - 7 1/2	2 - 3	2 - 3 -	
3	3 - 15/2	1 - 12	1 - 12	
	16 - 6 1/4			

No

99. No orders has been given to reside Mr. Smalley's settlement, because it had only lately been discovered that it had not been brought into operation and so sanctioned by Government. The Collector however, being aware of the fact did revise them, as shewn in his report under consideration. The mode in which this was effected is shewn above. For the reasons already stated the Board are of opinion that no advance has been made towards a more accurate settlement in this stage of the Survey.--

Paras 36 @ 42 and 80 @ 110 — 100. Mr. Freese has gone into considerable detail in this part of his report, and both he and Mr. Maclean have noticed largely, the former Assessment proposed by Messrs. Hepburn, Ellis, and Lazalet, as well as those of the Grand Nuttum - Totacal Lands - Orchards &c. but these it has not been considered necessary to notice at present - the object being only to deal with principles.-

From Mr. Maclean 31st July 1839. Paras 51 @ 77.

From Mr. Maclean 31st July 1839. Para 135.

101. The cost of the Survey is given by Mr. Maclean at Rupees 1,22,373-14-1. Besides which an additional sum of Rupees 14,149-6-3. has been incurred by Mr.

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

From Collr. 8th Feby 1841 Para 118.

Mr. Freese of which 14,009-6-3. have been sanctioned and Rupees 140 remain to be submitted making a total of Rupees 1,36,523-5-1. This amount does not include the expenditure incurred by Mr. Hepburn, for the Conjeveram and Madrantacum Moottahs, which was Rupees 2,679-11-10. more.--

From Collector 18th June 1805 In Cons. 4th July

For Saturaid For Conjeveram and Madrantacum } Pags Fs. 6-B. a-0 541-14-2-1894-9-5 / 224-14-63-785-2-5. / 765-28-65-2679-11-10.

From Mr. Maclean 31st July 1839 Para 130 102.

From Collector 8th February 1841 Para 119.

Both Mr. Maclean and Mr. Freese unite in bringing to the favorable notice of Government in the strongest terms the zeal and ability displayed by the Deputy Serishtadar of the District, who has acted as Survey Serishtadar. In reward of these exertions it is recommended that he should receive the rank and allowances of a Head Serishtadar retrospectively from the date of the commencement of the 3d Stage of the Survey, or 1st January 1836. The arrears of salary according to this proposal would amount to Rupees 6,435 calculating from the date above mentioned to the close of Mr. Freese's proceedings as

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

as indicated by his Report of the 8th Febru-
:ary 1841.-

103. It remains only to bring under review the conclusions derived from a general consideration of the whole of the foregoing observations, and to point out what appears to be the most advisable mode of proceeding hereafter.-

104. The Survey of Chingleput has been in progress upwards of 20 years under 12 or 13 different Collectors, of whom three were more particularly engaged in the determination of the assessment. "Of these Mr. Smalley's operations were founded on just principles, and their execution having been fully ap-
:proved they received the confirmation of the Board and of Government. But unfortunately the assessment so fixed was never carried into effect and a discretionary settle-
:ment, substituted for it, remains in force to this day.

Mr.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

Mr. Vincash contented himself with a ge-
:neral Estimate of the capabilities of Villages derived from an inspection of the accounts of a former good year (1232 Fusly/1822-23. A.D.) modified by the proposals of the inhabitants which he left them afterwards to distribute among themselves at their pleasure. The re-
:sult, as might have been expected, is a settlement greatly below the capability of the lands in comparison with the reve-
:nue yielded by them formerly and with that now paid in other Districts.-

105. The assessment proposed by Mr. Maclean tho' exhibiting the ap-
:plication of more care and attention to details, was formed on a consideration of the circumstances of each individual Village, for which a Beriz was determined generally based on one of the old Standards without reference to the general revenue of the Talook or District. This has tended to produce great inequality; for the ac-
:counts on which his estimates were founded are unauthenticated and therefore not trustworthy. This inequality is

is found not only to exist between par=
=ticular Villages but likewise between
Talooks. The proposed Beriz of Mane=
=mungalum Talook tho' lighter than
warranted by past collections and the
analogy of Surrounding Districts, is, on
the whole, a fair average demand, but
those of the other Talooks are as low as,
and sometimes even lower than, M.r Vivea-
Settlement. The details are likewise
open to objection for the extensive alter=
=tions introduced into the classification
by the Collector have led to the conclusion
that the proposed rates do not express the
relative productive powers of the lands
to which they belong. Lastly the revision
of M.r Vivesh's Settlement has not
been conducted on the general principles
contemplated but has been directed to
the examination of details and to
partial emendations, leaving the
fundamental object unaccomplished.

10b. With such results the Board
are precluded from recommending the
confirmation of the present proposed
rates in any part of the District.

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

+Enclos: A

It may be a question how far the
Government is pledged by the terms of
M.r Babington's Proclamation to confirm
the demand promulgated by him, which
was evidently published on a mistaken
view of the Orders of Government. The
original intention of the Survey Ryotwar
Assessment was to fix a demand on the
land in perpetuity, but the Regulation
drafted for legaliging the project was
never passed and is not likely to be re=
=vived. It seems now to be considered pre=
=ferable to fix the demand for definite
periods after the manner of long leases,
at the expiration of which the survey
rates are to be liable to revision.-

107. There is no doubt however
of the necessity for remodelling the other
Stages of the proposed settlement. The
length of time during which they have
been in progress, the different principles
on which they have been conducted de=
=mand that they should be subjected to
a uniform revision. This should em=
=brace both the Survey and the assess=
=ment. The Board trust that the former

may

may be found to be generally correct, but they have no proof that it is so, and they would recommend that the field measurements should be tested by a more scientific process, as by the Theodolite the Plane Table, or even by the Cross staff and Chain. It is manifest that the classification should also undergo considerable modification.-

108. The time and manner of this is for future determination. Meantime the Board would recommend that they should be authorised to issue a Proclamation notifying that the present rates of assessment are objectionable and cannot be confirmed: at the same time they propose that the Collector should conduct the annual Settlement as at present.-

109. But adverting to the inequality of the existing demand particularly in the Talooks of Peddapolliem and Nayer it may perhaps be considered that it would be only fair to place them more on a footing with the rest of the District. whether this could now be accomplished without difficulty

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

difficulty is another question. It is always an unpopular measure to raise the Demand. But where the increase is just the opposition is less. Thus Mr. Reese appears to have found no trouble in effecting a considerable addition to Mr. Viviash's Settlement. The following Table shews the comparative Demand of each of the Talooks Settled by Mr. Maclean.-

Fuslies	Mane: =munga =lum Pagodas	Nayer Pagodas	Pedda: =polliem Pagodas	260 Lapsed Villages Pagodas	Total Pagodas
1205	44,726	38,516	27,439	37,705	148,387
1206	49,161	43,280	29,377	44,993	166,812
1207	32,866	36,367	24,645	36,892	130,770
1208	19,210	32,529	18,473	27,846	98,060
1209	36,087	34,327	21,400	30,834	122,650
1210	41,513	41,088	24,724	37,749	145,076
1211	42,029	39,643	24,569	37,252	143,495
Permanent Beriz	34,363	32,792	20,731	32,416	120,303
Average of Village Collections for 23 years	33,192	36,855	24,279	40,502	134,830
Collections of Fusly 1232	34,413	39,619	27,121	47,624	148,779
Sirtermdar's assessment	40,961	42,489	29,440	47,437	160,328
Collector's Proposition	38,331	38,081	27,100	46,705	150,219
Addition to the proposed assessment at 11 3/8 per cent	"	4,512	3,089	5,417	13,018
Total	38,331	42,593	30,189	52,122	163,237

From

110.

Full Demand on the whole Sheigal } 38331-
Collections realized in 1232 Fusly - - - 34413
3917 - 11 6/16 per Cent

From this it is seen that the full demand of the Survey in Manemungalum is 11 6/16 above the actual Collections of 1232 Fusly / 1822.23. A.D. - But in the other three Taloohs the reverse is the case. and the greatest probable demand for all the lands likely to be cultivated falls short of the Sum actually realis=zed in that one year. But the proceeds of the land in 1232 Fusly / 1822.23. A.D. exceeded the recorded Collections, inas-much as the profits of the extended cultivation fell entirely to the Share of the Renters who held nearly the whole of the dumanie Villages, Therefore it can be no hardship to raise the grofs demand to the proportion of that of Manemungalum This as Shewn

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Shewn above, would occasion an increase of 13,018 Pagodas in the three Taloohs, and raise the whole Demand from 111,888- to 124,906 or about 11 5/8 per cent, which is the same amount of addition as Mr. Freese effected in Mr. Vivash's Settlement, and which makes the total to correspond nearly with the proposed Beriz of the Sirkermdars. It will be found likewise not to exceed the revenue that has actually been realis=zed in some of the best of former years, in which it must be presumed the whole Sheigal could not have been under Cultivation, and in which moreover it has been clearly Shewn that the Sheigal was less than it is now by the Amount of the improvements of late years

Collector's Proposition 150219
Do. Manemungalum 38331- 111888-
Total inclusive of 11 per Cent addition } 163237-
Do. Manemungalum 38331- 124906-
Increase - - 13018- Pagodas - 11 5/8-

years. On these grounds it appears to the Board that an addition to the extent proposed might with perfect propriety be made to the Full Demand, notwithstanding the presented depressed value of agricultural produce, as a temporary expedient, and until a more exact revision can be accomplished.-

111- The unsatisfactory completion of this Survey, a measure undertaken with the most benevolent intentions and dictated by the wisest views, and which has cost from first to last not much less than 1½ Lac of Rupees, is matter of deep regret. The causes of failure however are attributable to the circumstances under which the work has been conducted, to the frequent change of Superintendents, and to the difficulty of devoting their attention to their ordinary duties at the same time, rather than to the Officers engaged in it. The experience gained should not be thrown away, but should serve as a beacon to avoid disappointment in future projects of the same description. The Board propose recording their views on this subject in a separate paper in which they desire to propose a plan for the conduct of Surveys which will also afford a means of rectifying the errors already committed in the one which has formed the subject of these Proceedings.-

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government.

11318 Fort St.e George 26th.e August 1814.

A

Istearnamah.

Notice is hereby given to all the Inhabitants of the Survey Assessed Villages of the Chingleput Talook First.

In order that the Inhabitants of the Villages settled under the Board's orders according to the Survey Assessment, may understand the Rules thereof, the following Accounts have been forwarded with our Seal and Signature to the Tahseldar of the Talook aforesaid, with orders that he may deliver them to the Curnum of each Village. Therefore all the Inhabitants of each Village shall make themselves acquainted with the rules laid down in the Istearnamah, Teerva Zabitah and Beriz Zabitah abovementioned, and shall cultivate their lands, cut, and thrash the Crops in due Season without interference and pay the Cirkar Teerva for the number of Cawnies (lands) of which they may reap the produce according to the rates fixed by the Circar and the rules of the Istearnamah.

Second. In the Beriz Zabitah above alluded to, is specified the Beriz of the Wet, Dry and Garden lands, being the Sheigah cultivated in

1. Faram Jummah: Sundry Istearnamah.
2. Teerwah Zabitah.
3 Beriz Zabitah.

Fort St. George 26th August 1814.

in Fusly 1232 and since surveyed, together with Rockadayam or ready money items, according to the rates of Assessment for each description of soil with reference to its classification. But the yearly revenue will be subjected to fluctuations above or below the Deriz entered in the Deriz Establishment as the extent of cultivation may happen to be more or less for the Year. Whereas Seerwa or tax shall be paid to the Circar for such extent of Cowries (lands) as may have been cultivated and cut and threshed for the Current Year according to the rules.—

The Ryots shall make themselves fully acquainted with the particulars specified in the two preceding Paragraphs and continue to act carefully in the Circar's affairs.

10th December 1823. Chingleput..... } (Signed) J. Babington Collector.

B

Draft of a Report on the Survey Assessment of Conjeveram and Cobramatoor.

The Survey Assessment has been brought into operation in the Circar Villages of Conjeveram and Cobramattore Taloots. The whole

Fort St. George 26th August 1814.—

commenced in Fusly 1230. When I took charge of the District, I found the Survey of five Taloots had been completed, and Farm fixed in them by the Tsramdars with reference to the rules established by the late Principal Collector of the Ceded Districts. This had been performed under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 22d May 1817. I shall defer submitting my detailed report until I have finished the Survey of the whole District. It may perhaps be considered that great delay has already taken place in bringing the work to a conclusion. This is chiefly attributable to the preparation of the Tsramdars Accounts. It is likewise to be ascribed to the departure from the principles laid down by the Principal Collector of the Ceded Districts for determining the Assessment. The rule prescribed by Colonel Munro was to ascertain the produce of a certain spot of ground and then from the data so obtained form a total for the whole District. This would be likely to raise the demand too high, and as these Estimates were formed by the Tsramdars and could not be altogether depended upon, wherever therefore their Assessment appeared to

Fort St.t George 26.th August 1814.

to be too high reference was made to former and present Collections and to the opinions of experienced persons. a Jeerwah was then fixed upon the whole Taloob— In order to avoid the consequences of partiality and errors of the Tarandars, the Ryots were allowed to meet in the Hoozoor Cutcherry, and apportion the beriz in question upon their Villages and lands, with reference to their respective capabilities. The Ryots have accordingly proposed a uniform Beriz on all the lands according to the following plan.

After the preparation of the preliminary Accounts, I proceeded to each Nadu or division consisting of from 20 to 50 Villages and assembling the Nadawars and Meerasidars, the Brahmins and Sudras, I explained to them the advantages resulting from a fixed Assessment printing out the superiority of a fixed demand over a fluctuating one, and made them understand that Government was desirous that the Assessment should be a moderate one. I afterwards laid before them the average produce of 23 Years the proposed Beriz of the Tarandars, and the produce of Faisly 123[illegible] I explained to them that an equable Beriz would be [illegible]

11318

Fort St.t George 26.th August 1814.—

in the Huzzoor with reference to the above Accounts and also to the circumstances of the Ryots. They were further informed that in Cases where it would be deemed necessary to reduce or augment the Beriz fixed by them upon a Mootah it would be effected by a percentage. I warned every Ryot to watch carefully that the neighbouring Villages were not assessed more favorably than their own.

These precautions being taken, the Nadawars, Meerasidars, and Curnums as well as the Tarandars, meeting in the Survey Cutcherry, examined the abovementioned Accounts, and fixed a Beriz of each Village.

The Accounts submitted by the Ryots and the Assessment apportioned upon each Village were carefully examined and a fitting Beriz determined in the Hoozoor with reference to the Collections of former and present Years and with the consent of the Nadawars and Meerassidars.—

In cases where the Beriz was either reduced or augmented, it was effected by a percentage.—

Where the Beriz coincided, it was subdivided among the Villages.— Whenever.

Whenever complaints were made that the lands were overassessed and Remission was prayed for, the matter was referred to a Punchayet for decision. Lastly the Beriz of the Villages was apportioned upon the lands with reference to their classification. Such were the precautions taken in the Settlement of Jaram, and the Ryots themselves have generally given their assent to the result.

I consider it very desirable that the Assessment should not be fixed too high, but that it should constitute an unvarying Money demand in place of the fluctuating system of sharing the produce. I therefore sought the Cooperation of the Ryots in forming the Assessment, for it would not have been possible to do it without their consent. The Ryots of almost all the Villages have agreed to it, but those of a few have expressed some objections. The fact is that the Beriz of these is too high, and it appears that the Villagers had not been allowed to distribute the Assessment in communication with each other. In forming an assessment of a whole Talook with reference to the Collections of a single Village the Beriz

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1814.

will be higher or lower according to the partiality of the persons concerned in framing it: an equable Assessment cannot be formed either by the Collector or his Cutcherry Servants without the cooperation of the Ryots. I have it therefore in contemplation to assemble the Ryots of the Chingleput Talook, and permit them to make such reductions in the Assessment of their Villages as they deem proper. This may be checked afterwards by the head Ryots and the Cutcherry Servants with reference to their respective Accounts. This measure which ought to have been resorted to in the first instance, is left to be adopted now. At the end of the Year, I shall proceed to Chingleput to make a Settlement of the remaining Amany Villages of that Talook. Then I shall have an opportunity of making alterations in respect to the Teeruvaram of the 1st and 2d Crop lands, alluded to in the proceedings of the Board dated 11th April 1823.—

There are in the Talooks of Conjeveram and Cotramaloor, 2377 Cawnies of land under the irrigation of Nullas; of which 752 Cawnies are said to produce two Crops. but the Ryots are in the habit of raising two

Fort St. George 26th. August 1814.

two Crops on the Lands which lie close to the Source of water, to the entire exclusion of the above mentioned Lands, as they have no permanent Supply of water. Assessing the Lands of this description with two Crops Teerua, will have no other effect than of subjecting the Ryots to heavy Losses, or of compelling them to relinquish such Lands or to engage in a forced cultivation of them. The result is a Loss to the Ryot without any adequate advantage to the Circar. Under these circumstances the Ryots are willing to pay one Crop Teerua when they raise one Crop in those Lands and two Crop Assessment when they raise two Crops. There is indeed a great deal of difference between the Lands irrigated by the Nullas at this place and those watered by the Channels of the Tumbhadra. The latter duly as it supplies with abundance of water. The duty of two Crop Teerua on the Lands whether they be cultivated with two Crops or not, would in effect raise the Assessment to an enormous degree. Restriction to the Cultivation of Lands, is in fact a foregoing of the advantages which may be derived from the

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1814.

and is also injurious to the resources of the whole Talook. It appears therefore advisable to strike off the two Crop lands, and levy the extra Assessment when two Crops are actually raised on the lands. But it may be argued against this measure, that the Ryots will keep open the Channels if they are sure that the extra Assessment will be levied from them whether they raise two Crops or not, but the Ryots in this Villah are not forced to clear their Channels, nor do they need any adoption of compulsory measures to induce them to raise two Crops while they have sufficient means of irrigation and resources to enable them to do so. This in fact unfolds the benefits of agriculture and stimulates labour. It appears to be the opinion of the Board that no extra Assessment should be claimed from the Ryots on account of the second Crop which they may raise in the first crop lands under

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

under the irrigation of Nullas and
Wells; and that although the Ryots
cultivate their usual two Crop lands,
and also take pains to raise a Second
Crop in the one Crop lands, Yet the
result is a loss to the Circar, inasmuch
as the extra Assessment payable on Cau-
: nies 2,525, under the irrigation of
Nullas, was remitted to the Ryots, and
two Crops Assessment is levied in full
on 752 Cawnies only.

Extra Assessment ought
not to be levied on lands on which two Crops
are not Raised.

Lands cultivated with two Crops
are of course liable to the payments of extra tax

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

C.

Hookumnamah or Statement of Mr. Vivealis
Survey Settlement of Chinglepute—

Talooks were formed into Mootahs
or Samuts, each consisting of 20–30 or 40 Villages.

The Ryots and Curnums of each
Mootah were required to attend the Boozoor
Cutcherry at a certain Station appointed for
the purpose.—

The Collector in the first instance
pointed out to the Ryots in person the evils at-
: tending the process of their Crops being esti-
: mated, inspected and thrashed under the
Annamy System.—

2dly. Expressed his desire to remedy these
evils and to ensure to the Ryots the benefit of
progressive improvements by introducing
a Survey Settlement.—

3dly Desired them to examine the
accounts of their Villages themselves and
furnish him with their own Statements of
assessments to be fixed in the presence of all
the Ryots upon their Wet and dry lands under
cultivation in Fusly 1232 with reference to their
fertility and sources of irrigation.—

4th Intimated to them, that should the

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

the Berij which they may fix, appear to be just and proper, the same would be confirmed and that should their Berij on the contrary fall short of the real value of their lands, suitable additions would be made to them to cover the deficiency.

He further stated that if their Statements exhibited a higher rate of assessment than the lands were capable of bearing it would be reduced to an equitable rate.— and lastly made them understand that the proposed additions and reductions would be made by a per centage on the Berij which they might fix with reference to the average value of the land advising them at the same time to form an uniform rate of assessment proportionate to the average value of the land so as the whole body of the Ryots might alike benefit by it instead of the rates being partially fixed at 10 per Cent favorable to a Village and 5 per Cent to another.—

Under the foregoing instructions, the Ryots of each Mootah meeting in the Kooroor Cutcherry inspected for 5. 6. or 8. days the accounts

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.—

accounts of the annual produce and Collections of each Village and struck an average on every Cawny of Runjah or Poonjah land under Cultivation.—

In order to adjust the disputes which may arise between the Ryots regarding the correctness of the Berij, and to see that the rates were formed with the concurrence of all the Ryots two or more persons were selected from among the Natawers or head inhabitants in each Taluk and employed as Arbitrators.—

The following particulars were consulted by the Ryots and Arbitrators in calculating a Berij to be fixed on the lands.—

1. Average produce of all the Runjah or Poonjah land in a Village during a period of 23 years.—
2. Average Village Collections of 23 years.—
3. Average Collections under Annany management during a period of 23 years.—
4. Average produce of Fusly 1232.—
5. Average Collection of Fusly 1232.—
6. Average Berij as fixed by Sirkumdars.—
7. Average Grain amount produced

Fort St. George. 26th August 1844.

produced under management of the Zemindar during a period of 23 years, previous to the Mootah being placed under Annamy management.

The Ryots attached to each Mootah or division, then proceeded to form their accounts on the basis of 3 or 4 descriptions of average which they selected from among the several items enumerated above: as for instance.—

1. Average produce of 23 years.
2. Average Village Collections of 23 years.
3. Collection of Fusly 1232.—
4. Average struck by Sirkumdars.

Each average amount was distributed over the Cawnies of wet and dry lands of the Village under Cultivation, and the four sums so distributed being added up together, one fourth of the total sum, gave the amount of the average Beriz.

This process above shews the amount of Beriz on Mungalore or Rungalore Cawnies of land under Cultivation in a Village and also the average on each Cawny.

In this manner the Accounts

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

all the Villages attached to a Mootah were prepared and the Ryots in conjunction with the Arbitrators, having afterwards discussed the quality of the lands in each Village and the sources of irrigation upon which they depended, made the necessary alterations in the accounts and proceeded to fix an Assessment.—

The four items above mentioned, appeared at first sight to vary with each other; the one exhibiting a sum less than the value of the land, the other a higher rate, and the third an equal sum, but by striking an average of these sums put together, the amount derived, was proportionate to the value of the lands in most Villages with reference to their fertility and the sources of irrigation upon which they depend.—

This Beriz was therefore fixed upon those Villages by the Ryots.—

The accounts of certain Villages which in former years, had been formed out exhibited an increase in the average of Collections and a decrease in the actual produce owing to the rents in those years having been fully collected from the Ryots notwithstanding the scantiness of the produce.—

In

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

In some Villages the River Channels, having in former years received a full supply of water, the whole extent of land was cultivated and the harvest proved fruitful. But subsequently the supply of water became scanty owing to the River being shut up with sand heaps, and the produce in consequence has proportionably fallen off.

It was also found in some Villages that the Ryots in former years took great pains to improve their lands by manuring &c. and that in consequence they yielded abundance of Crops. but now the Ryots under certain circumstances could not afford to bestow their labor so successfully upon such lands.

In all such cases the Ryots and Arbitrators inspected the lands and reduced the rates at one Anna, two or three Annas on each Cawney of land.

With respect to some Villages, there was a great falling off in the Collections of former years, and in consequence the average of Collections fell short of the average produce of the Lands.

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Accounts of some other Villages exhibited a falling off in the actual produce but such accounts were not considered worthy of credit.

It was likewise found that in some Villages which in former years had but scanty means of irrigation, a new Annicut or some other reservoirs having since been constructed there was an abundant supply of water and that the Ryots were likely to derive much benefit from it. Under these and some other grounds the lands in such Villages were inspected and the rates were raised by an addition of one Anna two or three Annas per Cawney.

Accustomed to hear discussions and alterations which constantly took place between the Ryots and Arbitrators regarding the settlement of an accurate assessment upon their lands, the poorer class of Ryots who hitherto were not able to set forth their own claims, now made bold to question the correctness of the assessment fixed on their own lands, and took care that no heavey assessment was fixed on their Villages.

The Sircar Officers had likewise adopted

Fort St. George 26th August 1846.

adopted measures to prevent the head Ryots and Arbitrators from fixing a light assessment on their own lands and a heavy assessment on the lands of the poorer class of Ryots.

An equal Berij which the whole body of Ryots and Arbitrators had thus fixed on the Mootah or circle of Villages with certain additions and reductions, was called Neravadal Berij. It was likewise left to the discretion of the Ryots attached to each division, to make further reductions in the Neravadal Berij at 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 per Cent for all the Villages.

They were afterwards required to prepare a Villagewar Statement of the Net Berij which was ultimately fixed by them shewing under distinct heads the extent of Nunjah and Poonjah lands with the Amount of the Berij fixed upon them. This Berij was called Ryatwar Berij.

The Ryots then entered into an agreement with the Sircar.

Agreement executed by the Ryots attached to the Mootah of ——— in the Talook of ——— in the Zillah of ———

The Sircar with a view to introduce

11318

introduce a Varum assessment into the aforesaid Mootah, having called upon us to examine the accounts and to fix an accurate Berij proportionate to each Village with reference to the fertility of the soil and the sources of irrigation, we have accordingly inspected the accounts, and considered the quality of lands and the means of irrigation, and fixed a correct Berij upon the Wet and dry lands under cultivation in each Village as exhibited in the following Statement.—

In the event of the Circar thinking it proper either to raise or reduce the said Berij, we would willingly agree to such additions or reductions.—

No.	Names of the Villages.	Wet Land.		Dry Land.		Total.	
		Cawnies	Berij	Cawnies	Berij	Cawnies	Berij
1	Village ———						
2	Do ———						
3	Do ———						

An

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

An Abstract Statement in the abovementioned form shewing the Berij of every Village in a Moolah, was drawn up on a single sheet of Paper, which being signed by the whole body of Ryots in a Village, and attested by the Arbitrators attached to the Moolah, was submitted to Circar.-

The Collector having examined the Settlement of Berij submitted to him by the Ryots took into consideration the following details-

1. Berij of Fusly 1216 with the average per Cent.
2. Actual produce of 23 years.-
3. Village Collections Dr. - Dr.
4. Sircar Collections with average per Cent.
5. Permanent Berij.-
6. Moolah Berij.-
7. Berij of years prior to Fusly 1216 and subsequent to Hyder's invasion.-

After mature consideration of the aforesaid details as well as of the present and past seasons, the Collector came to a conclusion that reference should be given to the average of Collections of Fusly 1232 because the

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

rains were plentiful in that Fusly in this zillah: the Cultivation was extensive and the Crops were abundant, and the prices of Grain moderate without loss either to Circar or to the Ryot.-

He afterwards proceeded to determine a Berij on Wet and dry lands under Cultivation adding to it Nagadeyat or ready money Collections, and also the Berij of Layak Bujar.-

With the view that the Ryots may not suffer, and that the Cultivation in future years be progressively improved, he fixed a moderate assessment on the Wet lands under Cultivation in Fusly 1232: deducting from the amount Collections of Fusly 1232 at 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7. per Cent and also at 8, 10, 12, or 15, from the Berij of Sartemdars.-

As the Poonjah lands in this zillah are of small extent and by no means of a superior quality, he fixed a Berij upon such lands with reference to the average produce of 23 years.-

In cases were the Berij of the Ryots tallied with his own the same was confirmed, and

11318

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

and where the Berij fixed by them fell short of his own, the deficiency was made up by adding to it 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, per Cent.—

The Collector afterwards assembled for the Ryots attached to each Mootah, in his Cutcherry informed the Jemadies whose Berij agreed with his own, that he confirmed the Berij which was formed by them.—

He likewise informed those Ryots whose Berij was less than his own, that he was obliged to raise their Berij 2 per Cent, and that they would apportion upon their lands.—

He further explained to the Ryots attached to each Mootah, that he fixed light assessment upon their lands in order that they may benefit by it: that if they take pains and improve their lands they should enjoy the whole profit from it: that in the event of their sustaining losses either from famine failure of Crops they would be entitled to remissions from Circar: that should it proved at any future period that a Village was over assessed by mistake

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

Jemadi would be reduced, and that they would spare no pains to improve their lands, because the chief object of the Circar was to ensure happiness to the Ryots.—

Lastly he desired them that in the same manner as they had fixed an equitable Berij upon their Villages with the concurrence of the Ryots, they would proceed to examine the accounts again and fix a Jarum Berij for each Village proportionate to the Jarum of the Lands with the concurrence of all the Ryots.—

At this time the Ryots of one or two Villages having represented to the Collector that some mistakes have occurred in respect to two or four Canies in their Villages and that he would allow them to rectify them in order that they may be able to fix a Jarum Berij, the Collector permitted them to make the necessary alterations provided that the whole body of the Ryots consented to the measure.—

Afterwards the Ryots of every Mootah met together in the Cutcherry.—

The Ryots of each Village examined

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1818.—

Examined the accounts of the Villages as distributed over the Lands, the appropriations which they had undergone during the Village always with reference to the Returns heretofore of the Lands.—

They likewise, perused the Statements shewing the Names of the Lands and the means of irrigation.—

With regard to the Wet Lands they set forth the Amount of the 1st and 2nd Sort towards ascertaining with the Name of the Tarum they cultivated the Tarum of each Carnam of Land with its Jeroab.—

In the same manner they set forth the Jeroab of the Dry Land.—	1	Village
A Statement, prepared on the above mentioned details and signed by the Shanbogue of each Village, was produced to the Arbitrators	2	Do.
On the receipt of the above Statements shewing the Tarum Dry and Wet Lands the Cutcherry framed the said Tarum Dry and Wet Lands to the Amounts added by Peishcar to the Village by the Ryots & Inspection Roots.—	3	Do.

In cases where such additions

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1818.—

made by the Collector, the Ryots executed an agreement to stand to the following effect.—

The Peishcar having directed me to furnish a Statement of Ryots Dry, and Wet Lands, previously formed according to the Peishcar may make to our Dry we now submit the following Statement of Dry (inclusive of the additions which was made by the Peishcar) as the Wet Lands.—

Name of the Village	Wet Lands	Dry Lands.	Total.
	Cawnies Dry	Cawnies Dry	Cawnies Dry

Statements in the abovementioned form for each Mootah were drawn out upon a single sheet of Paper which being signed by the Ryots of the Village and

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

and attested by the arbitrators was submitted to Sircar.—

After the above Kaboolyat was submitted to Sircar the Ryots Agreed Beriz was formed stating the Ryots Beriz as well as the Beriz finally determined by the Collector upon the lands under cultivation and upon the waste lands as well as upon immemorial waste lands.—

Thus the assessment of Two Talooks viz. Conjeveram and Uttramerloor has been fixed.—

Translation

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

D

Translation of a List delivered to the Circar on the 8th October 1839, shewing the amount of the Circar assessment admitted by the Mirasidars of the Villages attached to the Conjeveram Talook.—

Having been summoned before the Circar and enquired with reference to the Circar assessment what to be fixed on our lands you to consult with each other and having duly examined the soil and means of irrigation as well as the productive powers of the Villages so forgave in writing a suitable assessment for such Villages to have all accordingly perused carefully to the accounts and the fertility of the soil and the means of irrigation and have fixed and arranged Beriz assessment as specified underneath for the Ryots of the one Cop lands of all the Villages of the Beriz which we have now voluntarily inserted hereunder. be augmented or reduced by the Circar as circumstances by the alterations, distributing it in just proportion on the amounts now entered by us in this list

(Seen Inter Villages war Beriz)

Signed Ryots Names

Translation

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

E

Translation of a Duraikhast delivered to the Collector of the Chingleput District Mr. A. Maclean by the Renter of the Village of Serkalay in the Taluk of Manimungalam—

Having been summoned and required by the Board of Revenue to declare on the subject of the Survey Assessment we have stated as follows—

One Cash per Land Kuly Pagoda } Dues
at 3½ Pagodas per Cawny—
Dryland 1st Class ½ Pagoda Do
Do 2nd Do 3/8 Do Do

5th August 1836
Sedapettah— } Signed A Venkatachetty

F

Translation of a Duraikhast or Agreement delivered to the Collector of the Chingleput District Mr. A. Maclean by the Manigars of the Village of Manimungalam in the Taluk of Manimungalam—

We the undersigned having been summoned and required to state our the said Survey Assessment of the Inam Lands

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844—

... and hold the same for the said ... Lands and we do hereby engage ... to pay the Peishcush under this Survey arrangement ... the Inam be confirmed. Thus we ... Duraikhast for our accounts

Cultivators—

Inam Wet Lands.

1 Cash Land Cawnies ——— 343— 10½ As
1 Dryland Do: Do: Do: ——— 175— 10½
2 Do: Do: Do: Do: ——— 519— 5—
Total ——— 25— ¾
Irrigable Garden Lands ——— 544— 5¼
Total

Rent of these Lands we agreed to
Pay of these Lands 537¼ Rs.
... Garden ...
Signed Appaniengar Several others—

Signed A Venkatachetty
Sedapettah
16th Aug. 1836

G

Translation of a Duraikhast delivered to Mr. A. Maclean the Collector of Chingleput by the Maraspidars of the Village of Manimungalam in the Taluk of Manimungalam—

We the undersigned having been summoned and required to state our ... Inam ... as Rent we regards the Survey Assessment to be fixed for

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1836.

for the above Village have written as follows.—

Seigar or cultivable Wet Land

[illegible]

Total Seigar Cultivable Wet Land

Layek or arable Waste wet land

[illegible]

Total

Seigar or Cultivable Dry Land

Layek Waste Do.

Total

Total Seigar Cultivable

From the above

the above assignments are

confirmed and are written

as the pute above specified

the Seigar on the application of the

of the land cultivated

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1836.

have given this Dunkhast of

and above Accounts—

21st August 1836. } Signed Narayana Reddy and others.

Comparative

Fort St. George

Comparative Statement shewing the Standard and proposed Beriz &c

Settled by Mr. Maclean 1756

Mannemungalums

	1 Beriz	2 Increase	Per Centage	3 Decrease	Per Centage	4 Beriz	5 Increase	Per Centage	6 Decrease	Per Centage
	S.Pags	as	S.Pds	as		S.Pds	as		S.Pds	as
Beriz	38620	1/8	"	"		"	"		288	7
mil Beriz	44726	1/8	"	"		"	"		6394	8
riz of Fasly 1205	49161	13/4	"	"		"	"		10829	8 5/8
" @ 1206	32866	"	5465	9/8		16	10 7/8	"	"	"
" @ 1207	42307	11	"	"		"	"		3976	17/8
Average of 3 years	19210	12 3/8	19120	12 3/4		99	8 1/2	"	"	"
riz of Fasly 1208	36087	13	2243	12 1/8		6	3 1/2	"	"	"
" @ 1209	41513	7 1/2	"	"		"	"		3181	11 3/8
" @ 1210	42029	7 1/8	"	"		"	"		3697	11 3/4
" @ 1211	43722	15 5/8	"	"		"	"		5391	6 1/2
Beriz of Mootlah	34363	11	3967	11 1/8		11	8 3/4	"	"	"
Permanent Beriz	30013	7 7/8	8318	6 1/4		27	12	"	"	"
Average produce of 23 years from Fasly 1210 to Fasly 1232										
Average of Village Collection	33192	8 3/4	5139	3/8		15	7 3/4	"	"	"
Do of Pirkan Collection	33198	7 1/2	5133	1 5/8		15	7 3/8	"	"	"
Actual Produce Fasly 1232	32859	3 3/8	5172	5 3/4		16	10 1/2	"	"	"
Do Collections	34213	13 5/8	3947	11 1/2		11	6 1/4	"	"	"
Sir Surnadars Assessment	40961	6 3/4	"	"		"	"		2629	13 5/8
Beriz of Ryots	34709	9 1/8	3622	"		10	7	"	"	"
Proposed Assessment	38331	9 1/8	"	"		"	"		"	"

26th August 1816.—

The Talooks and Villages Settled by Messrs. Vivears and Maclean &c

Villages.

	Nayer Beriz	Increase	Per Centage	Decrease	Per Centage	Peddapolliem Beriz
	7 S.Pds	as 8 S.Pds	as 9 S.Pds	as 10 S.Pds	as 11 S.Pds	as 12 S.Pds as
	27360	1/8 10721	9/4 39	3/4 " "	" "	24609 2 1/2
	38516	1/4 "	" "	" 434	7/8 1	2 27439 13 7/8
	43280	9/4 "	" "	" 5198	15 7/8 12	" 29377 15 7/8
	36367	5/8 1711	8/11 "	12 "	" "	" 24645 2 7/8
	39569	9 5/8 "	" "	" 1188	1/4 3	12 27391 11
	32529	1/8 5552	9/4 17	1 "	" "	" 18473 11 5/8
	34327	11 7/8 3753	13/11 10	15 "	" "	" 21400 11 1/4
	41088	15 "	" "	" 3007	5/8 7	5/11 24724 6 1/2
	39643	9 7/8 "	" "	" 1562	1/4 "	1 24569 13 5/8
	39452	9/8 "	" "	" 1370	15/11 3	8 26135 3 5/8
	32792	11/11 5288	11/8 16	2 "	" "	" 20731 1 1/2
	36199	8 1/2 1882	7/8 5	3 "	" "	" 23606 15 3/11
	36856	9 7/8 1225	15/2 3	5/4 "	" "	" 21279 13/8
	32283	1/8 5798	5/11 17	15/4 "	" "	" 20892 9
	38361	15/2 "	" "	" 283	6/8 "	11/2 26138 12 3/4
	39619	13/2 "	" "	" 1538	4/8 3	11 27121 2 3/8
	42189	1 5/8 "	" "	" 4407	11/11 10	6 29460 0
	37128	9/11 953	1/8 2	9 "	" "	" 26638 5 1/8
	38081	9 7/8 "	" "	" "	" "	" 27100 8 1/8

11318 Fort St. George

20th August 1814

In the Tillah of Chinglepute—

Poddapollum (cont'd)

Increase	Per Centage	Decrease	Per Centage	Subsequent Berij	Increase
Sps 13 as	Sps 14 as	Sps 15 as	Sps 16 as	Sps 17 as	Sps 18 as
2491 6 3/8	10 2	" "	" "	46117 6 7/8	" "
" "	" "	339 5	1 3 3/4	37705 4 9000	4 1/2
" "	" "	2277 1	1 12	449931 1/8	1712 5 5/8
2455 6	9 15 1/2	" "	" "	36592 10 1/8	9812 11 3/8
" "	" "	291 2 1/8	1 1	35679 11 1/2	9035 13
8626 10 1/4	46 11	" "	" "	27846 15	15555 9 1/2
5699 13 5/8	26 2 1/4	" "	" "	30534 11 5/8	15570 12 1/8
2376 2 3/8	9 8 1/2	" "	" "	37769 5 1/8	3954 3 1/8
2530 11 1/4	10 13 3/4	" "	" "	37257 11 5/8	9152 11 1/8
965 5 1/4	3 11	" "	" "	38503 2 1/2	8702 1
6369 7 3/8	30 11 1/2	" "	" "	37217 3 1/4	11759 5 1/4
3495 9 1/8	14 13	" "	" "	39757 3 1/4	15
2820 11 3/4	11 9 3/4	" "	" "	40502 15 1/2	9
6207 15 7/8	29 14 1/4	" "	" "	33593 13 1/8	13131 11 1/8
961 12 1/8	3 10 3/4	" "	" "	46962 10 3/4	" "
" "	" "	20 9 1/4	" "	1 1/4 47621 13 7/8	" "
462 3	1 11 3/4	2339 13 1/8	" "	1 11 3/4 47437 1 5/8	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	46331 11	3550 11
" "	" "	" "	" "	46505 5 1/2	" "

Annamy Villages

Per Centage	Decrease	Per Centage	Total Mr. Maclean's Settled Villages Berij	Increase	Per Centage
Sps 19 as	Sps 20 as	Sps 21 as	Sps 22 as	Sps 23 as	Sps 24
" "	11 11 6/8	" 1/2	137306 9 3/8	12912 10 1/2	9 15/8
" "	" "	" "	118387 14 1/4	1831 15 5/8	1 3 3/4
23 11 1/4	" "	" "	166812 13 3/4	" "	" "
3 13	" "	" "	130770 13 5/8	19148 6 1/4	14 11 1/4
26 9 1/2	" "	" "	114948 11 1/8	2270 8 3/4	1 8 1/2
20 12	" "	" "	98060 10 1/8	5258 9 3/4	53 3
67 12	" "	" "	122650 15 1/2	27568 13 3/8	22 7 7/8
51 7 1/2	" "	" "	114896 2 1/8	5143 13 1/4	3 8 5/8
23 11	" "	" "	113195 10 1/4	6723 9 1/8	4 11
25 4	" "	" "	117813 14 7/8	2405 5	1 10
21 4 3/4	" "	" "	120303 13 1/2	2991 5 6 3/8	24 14
144 1	" "	" "	129575 8 7/8	2063 11	15 14 3/4
17 7 1/2	" "	" "	131830 15 1/4	15388 4 5/8	11 6 3/8
15 5	" "	" "	199948 13 1/4	30271 2 1/8	25 3 3/4
39 1 1/2	" "	" "	114325 14 6/14	5893 5 1/8	4 1 1/8
" "	257 1 7/8	"	118779 11 5/8	1139 8 1/4	" 15 3/8
" "	919 5 3/8	1 15	160328 3	" "	" "
" "	731 9 1/8	1 8 3/4	114798 14 1/4	5420 15 5/8	3 11 5/8
" 13	" "	" "	130219 3 7/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "			

Fort St. George 6th August 1844.

Total of Mr. Maclean's Settled Villages /Col. 27/ As Settled by Mr. Vincent. 625 Villages. Total Villages 1831.

Decrease	Per Centage	Beriz	Increase	Per Centage	Decrease	Per Centage	Beriz	Increase	Per Centage	Decrease	Per Centage
[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]
S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as	S.Ps. as
" "	" "	161093 7 3/4	" "	" "	60625 10	37 10	298400 1/8	" "	" "	47712 15 1/2	15 15 3/4
" "	" "	106426 8 3/8	" "	" "	59558 10	5 13 3/4	254813 12 5/8	" "	" "	41261 11	1 13 3/4
16593 9 7/8	9 15 3/8	130373 10 1/2	" "	" "	29905 11	22 15	297186 8 1/4	" "	" "	46499 6 5/8	16 1
" "	" "	99580 12 3/16	887 1	" 11 11/16	" "	" "	230351 10 3/8	20335 7 1/4	8 13 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	113654 6 7/8	" "	" "	13186 8	11 9 1/2	261603 1 3/4	" "	" "	10916 1/8	4 2 3/4
" "	" "	87002 9 1/2	13165 4 1/16	15 8	" "	" "	185063 3 5/8	65623 14	35 7 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	111082 10 1/8	" "	" "	10814 12 1/2	9 9	233733 9 5/8	16953 8	7 4	" "	" "
" "	" "	126799 11 7/8	" "	" "	26331 11 1/2	20 12	271875 14	" "	" "	21188 12 3/8	7 12 3/4
" "	" "	127257 2 3/16	" "	" "	26789 5	21 3/4	270752 13 1/2	" "	" "	20065 11 7/8	7 6 1/2
" "	" "	125989 11 5/8	" "	" "	25521 15 1/2	20 4	273803 10 1/2	" "	" "	23116 8 7/8	8 7
" "	" "	107296 7 3/8	" "	" "	6878 9 1/2	6 6	227600 4 7/8	23086 12 3/4	10 2 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	92116 9 1/8	8351 1 5/8	9 1	" "	" "	221692 2	28994 15 3/8	13 1 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	99267 12 7/8	12100 7/8	1 3 1/16	" "	" "	234098 12 1/8	16588 5 1/2	7 1 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	101401 5 3/8	" "	" "	933 7 1/2	" "	221349 7 1/8	29337 10 1/2	13 4	" "	" "
" "	" "	98957 7 7/8	1510 5 7/8	1 8 1/16	" "	" "	243283 5 7/8	7403 11 3/4	3 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	106642 2	" "	" "	6174 10 1/16	" "	255421 13 5/8	" "	" "	4734 12	1 13 1/2
10108 15 1/8	6 1 7/8	112421 1 7/8	" "	" "	11953 10 1/16	5 12 1/2	272494 7/8	" "	" "	22062 3 1/4	8 1 1/2
" "	" "	97410 9 1/8	3057 1 5/8	3 2	" "	10 10	242208 13 3/8	8478 4 1/4	3 8	" "	" "
" "	" "	100167 13 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	250687 1 5/8	" "	" "	" "	" "

(Signed) E. B. Lovell Ag. Secretary

Statement

11318

Fort St. George the 12th August 1845.

No. 2. Statement shewing the particulars of the proposed and Standard Berizes by Talooks in the Villages of

Talooks.

Items.

Average per Cawney.

	1st Crop.		2nd Crop.		Total.	
	Rs. 2	As.	Rs. 3	As.	Rs. 4	As.
Actual produce of Fusly 1218	1	14	1	5 6/16	2	2 11/16
Do Average of 23 years	1	12 10/16	1	6 1/2	1	15 14/16
Village Collections of 23 Do.	1	15 1/4	1	9	2	3 1/2
Cirkar Collections of Do.	1	15 6/16	1	9 2/16	2	3 1/2
Collection of Fusly 1232	1	12 2/16	1	6 1/2	1	15 1/2
Sir Tarumdar's assessment	2	2 1/4	1	9 1/4	2	6
Ryot Beriz	1	12 14/16	1	14 10/16	2	0
Proposed Beriz	2	0	1	6 2/16	2	3 6/16

Manamadura 119

Fusly 1210

17864	13 3/4	3830	1 14/16	33527	2 6/16	5125	4	38652	6 6/16	3	1 9/16	1	9	1 11 10/16
13818	1 3/4	2319	13 10/16	24731	15 14/16	3269	2 1/2	27561	12 10/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
13818	1 3/4	2319	13 10/16	27014	2 10/16	3631	2	30645	4 10/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
13818	1 3/4	2319	13 10/16	27046	12 10/16	3635	2	30681	14 10/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
13818	1 3/4	2319	13 10/16	28164	5 10/16	3495	3 2/16	31659	8 3/4	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
16034	15 6/16	2471	14 1/4	31317	11 1/4	3839	8 10/16	38157	3 4/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
16034	15 6/16	2437	9 10/16	28991	1/4	3133	15	32124	15 1/4	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
16034	15 6/16	2437	9 10/16	32043	8 6/16	3366	8	35410	6/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
16034	15 6/16	2437	9 10/16											

Nayer 192

Fusly 1210

19760	8 1/2	1865	4 2/16	31512	10 10/16	2585	2 10/16	34097	13 1/4	3	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18006	10 2/16	1294	10 10/16	28195	14 2/16	1953	14 3/4	30016	2 3/4	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18006	10 2/16	1294	10 10/16	28817	1 1/4	1996	10 14/16	30677	11 1/4	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18006	10 2/16	1294	10 10/16	25336	10	1753	8 10/16	26972	3 14/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18006	10 2/16	1294	10 10/16	31192	3/4	1744	12 1/4	32936	15 1/2	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18999	1	1170	3/4	34459	5 2/16	1790	15 1/2	36240	4 10/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18999	1	1158	3 1/2	29483	9 3/4	1432	7 10/16	30916	1 6/16	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18999	1	1158	3 1/2	30129	3 10/16	1481	10 6/16	31610	14	2	1 9/16	1	9	1 10 12/16
18999	1	1158	3 1/2											

Fort St. George 26th Augus

Nunjah or wet-land.

Land.

Total.	1st Crop.		2nd Crop.		1st Crop		2nd Crop		Total.		Land.		Ber	
4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11
A.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.	A.
1	13½	11943	1/2	1685	12 10/16	20068	14/16	1948	6 11/16	22016	7½	5014	9 10/16	1952
1	12¼	11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	18928	5½	1851	15 10/16	20579	5 14/16	3537	13¼	1947
1	13 2/16	11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	19336	12 2/16	1912	4	21249	2/16	3537	15¼	1950
1	9	11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	16586	15 10/16	1639	9¼	18226	8 14/16	3537	15¼	1710
1	13	13615	2 14/16	1328	4 10/16	22757	7¼	1941	9 14/16	24699	1 2/16	3222	10½	1572
1	15 10/16	13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	24939	4¾	1918	8 10/16	26917	12 6/16	3222	10½	2074
1	12½	13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	22621	3 6/16	1661	15¼	24283	2 10/16	3222	10½	1906
1	12¾	13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	22833	10¾	1687	15 14/16	24521	10 10/16	3222	10½	1935
2	2	14900	5½	3108	5 14/16	28294	10 6/16	3535	5 14/16	31830	¼	9133	11	4333
1	15½	14662	"	2410	15¾	26043	½	3390	7 2/16	28879	12 2/16	10511	9	6243
2	1	14662	"	2410	15¾	27264	4	3517	3¾	30249	9	10511	9	6101
1	9 14/16	14662	"	2410	15¾	21953	7 3/4	2888	3¼	23706	4 10/16	10511	9	4759
2	1 6/16	18055	9¾	2684	8¼	33235	8¾	4403	6	37638	14¾	9248	6½	5203
2	1 10/16	18055	9¾	2057	6/16	35060	4 10/16	2940	14 2/16	38021	2¾	9248	6½	5696
2	¾	18055	9¾	2057	6/16	34071	14 2/16	2871	14 6/16	36943	12½	9248	6½	5641
2	1	18055	9¾	2057	6/16	34209	5 2/16	2879	8	37088	13 2/16	9248	6½	5642
1	15½	64468	13¼	10489	8½	113402	8¼	13194	3 2/16	126596	11 6/16	21662	3 6/16	9783
1	13 10/16	58150	1	7442	8 6/16	97399	9 6/16	10465	7¾	107037	1 2/16	19177	9	12104
1	15	58150	1	7442	8 6/16	102432	4	11057	4 10/16	112761	9	19177	9	11938
1	11½	58150	1	7442	8 6/16	99923	14	9916	7 2/16	99587		19177	9	10136
1	14½	66704	13	7654	11 14/16	115349	8 14/16	11584	15¼	126934	8 2/16	17212	6 14/16	10332
2	1 6/16	66704	13	6937	13 14/16	128766	9¾	10549	14 14/16	139316	8 10/16	17212	6 14/16	11490
1	13¾	66704	13	6937	13 14/16	115167	11½	9100	4¼	124267	15¾	17212	6 14/16	11016
1	14¾	66704	13	6937	13 14/16	119215	11 14/16	9415	10¼	128631	6 2/16	17212	6 14/16	11198

Poonjah or D

Land. Ber

Total.		Average per Cawney.			
		1st Crop.		2nd Crop.	
15		2		3	
P.	a.	A.	a.	A.	a.
41349	8½	1	10¾	1	2½
30013	7 14/16	1	10	1	4 14/16
33192	8¾	1	10½	1	5 10/16
33198	7½	1	6¾	1	2½
34413	13 10/16	1	10¾	1	7 6/16
40961	6¾	1	13¼	1	8½
34709	9 2/16	1	10 10/16	1	4¾
38331	9 2/16	1	10 14/16	1	5
		1	14 6/16	1	2¼
		1	12 6/16	1	6½
		1	13¾	1	7¼
40277	13 10/16	1	8	1	3 2/16
36199	8½	1	13½	1	10¼
36855	9 14/16	1	15 2/16	1	6 14/16
		1	14¼	1	6 6/16
32283	4 2/16	1	14 6/16	1	6 6/16
		1	12 2/16	1	4 2/16
39619	13½	1	11	1	6½
42489	4 10/16	1	12¼	1	7¾
37128	9¼	1	9	1	5 4/16
38081	9 6/16	1	11 10/16	1	8¼
		1	14 14/16	1	8¼
		1	11 10/16	1	5¼
		1	12 10/16	1	5 3/4

Fort St. George

Kunjah or wet lands.

Total.				1st Crop.		2nd Crop		1st Crop		2nd Crop		Total	
				Land.				Beriz					
4				5		6		7		8		9	
Cs.		as.		Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.
	1	13 1/2		11943	1/2	1685	12 10/16	20068	14/16	1948	6 10/16	22016	7 1/2
	1	12 1/4		11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	18928	5/2	1851	15 10/16	20579	5 1/2
	1	13 2/16		11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	19336	12 2/16	1912	4	21249	3
	1	9		11663	5 2/16	1417	6/16	16586	15 10/16	1639	9 1/4	18226	8 1/4
	1	13		13615	2 14/16	1328	4 10/16	22757	7 1/4	1941	9 14/16	24699	1 2/16
	1	15 10/16		13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	24939	4 3/4	1918	8 10/16	26917	13 1/2
	1	12 1/2		13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	22621	3 6/16	1661	15 1/4	24283	2 1/2
	1	12 3/4		13615	2 14/16	1285	6/16	22833	10 3/4	1687	15 14/16	24521	10 1/2
2	2			14900	5 1/2	3108	5 14/16	28294	10 6/16	3535	5 14/16	31830	1/2
	1	15 1/2		14662	"	2410	15 3/4	26043	1/2	3390	7 2/16	28879	12 1/2
2	1			14662	"	2410	15 3/4	27264	4	3517	3 3/4	30249	9
	1	9 14/16		14662	"	2410	15 3/4	21953	7 3/4	2888	3 1/4	23706	4 1/2
2	1	6/16		18055	9 3/4	2684	8 1/4	33235	8 3/4	4403	6	37638	14 3/4
2	1	10/16		18055	9 3/4	2057	6/16	35060	4 10/16	2940	14 2/16	38001	2 3/4
2	1	3/4		18055	9 3/4	2057	6/16	34071	14 2/16	2871	14 6/16	36943	12 1/2
2	1			18055	9 3/4	2057	6/16	34209	5 2/16	2879	8	37088	13 2/16
	1	15 1/2		64468	13 1/4	10439	8 1/2	113402	8 1/4	13194	3 2/16	126596	11 6/16
	1	13 10/16		58150	1	7442	8 6/16	97399	9 6/16	10465	7 3/4	107037	1 2/16
	1	15		58150	1	7442	8 6/16	102432	4	11057	4 10/16	112761	9
	1	11 1/2		58150	1	7442	8 6/16	99923	14	9916	7 2/16	99587	8 2/16
	1	14 1/2		66704	13	7654	11 14/16	115349	8 14/16	11894	15 1/4	126934	8 2/16
2	1	6/16		66704	13	6937	13 14/16	128766	9 3/4	10549	14 14/16	139316	8 14/16
	1	13 3/4		66704	13	6937	13 14/16	115167	11 1/2	9100	4 1/4	124267	15 3/4
	1	14 3/4		66704	13	6937	13 14/16	119215	11 14/16	9415	10 1/4	128631	6 12/16

Poldalpolam 125.

Subsequent Summary 260.

Total 156.

Items.	Average per Cawney. 1st Crop.			2nd Crop.		
	1	2		1	3	
	Cs.	as.		Cs.	as.	
Fusly 1210	1	10 3/4		1	2 1/2	
Average of 23 years	1	10		1	4 14/16	
Village collections of 23 years	1	10 1/2		1	5 10/16	
Circar collections of 23 Dº	1	6 3/4		1	2 1/2	
collection of Fusly 1232	1	10 3/4		1	7 6/16	
Sir Tarumdar's assessment	1	13 1/4		1	8 1/2	
Ryot Beriz	1	10 12/16		1	4 3/4	
Proposed Beriz	1	10 14/16		1	5	
Fusly 1210	1	14 6/16		1	2 1/4	
Average of 23 years	1	12 6/16		1	6 1/2	
Village collections of 23 years	1	13 3/4		1	7 1/4	
Circar collections of 23 Dº	1	8		1	3 2/16	
collection of Fusly 1232	1	13 1/2		1	10 1/4	
Sir Tarumdar's assessment	1	15 2/16		1	6 14/16	
Ryot Beriz	1	14 1/4		1	6 6/16	
Proposed Beriz	1	14 6/16		1	6 6/16	
Fusly 1210	1	12 2/16		1	4 2/16	
Average of 23 years	1	11		1	6 1/2	
Village collections of 23 years	1	12 1/4		1	7 3/4	
Circar collections of 23 Dº	1	9		1	5 4/16	
collection of Fusly 1232	1	11 10/16		1	8 1/4	
Sir Tarumdar's assessment	1	14 14/16		1	8 1/4	
Ryotis Beriz	1	11 10/16		1	5 1/4	
Proposed Beriz	1	12 10/16		1	5 3/4	

ttled by Mr. Maclean.—

Poonjah or Dry land.

Total Lands		Beriz		Average Pts Cawney	Total including Nunjah and Poonjah		Total including Ready money Collections and Totacal or Garden		Total	
4										
Cs.	10		11		12	13		14	15	
	Cs.	Ps.	Cs.	Ps.		Ps.	Ms.	Ps.	Cs.	Ps.	Cs.
1	17	1/4	1,366	13 10/16	6	40,019	4	1,330	4 1/2	41,349	8 1/2
1	88	6	1,544	15 14/16	9 1/2	29,106	12 1/2	1,179	15/4	30,013	7 14/16
1	88	6	1,519	3 1/2	9 1/2	32,164	8 2/16	1,174	11 2/16	33,192	8 3/4
1	88	6	1,504	5/4	9 6/16	32,189	3 14/16	1,170	5/4	33,198	7/2
1	480	11 6/16	1,434	14 6/16	9/4	33,094	7 2/16	1,319	6/2	34,413	13 10/16
2	480	11 6/16	1,690	1	10 14/16	39,847	4 14/16	1,114	1 14/16	40,961	6 3/4
1	480	11 6/16	1,473	9 6/16	9 1/2	33,598	8 10/16	1,111	1/2	34,709	9 2/16
2	480	11 6/16	1,602	2/4	10 6/16	37,012	2 10/16	1,319	6/2	38,331	9 2/16
2	896	14/2	2,122	7/2	8/2	36,220	4 3/4	4,057	8 14/16	40,277	13 10/16
2	539	10 3/4	2,368	2 3/4	14 14/16	32,384	5/2	3,868	11	36,199	8/2
1	539	10 3/4	2,368	2 3/4	14 3/4	33,045	14	3,868	11	36,855	9 14/16
1	539	10 3/4	2,157	14/2	13/2	29,130	2 6/16	3,220	14	32,283	4 2/16
1	260	10/2	2,117	6 10/16	14 3/4	35,054	6 2/16	4,565	7 6/16	39,619	13/2
2	260	10/2	2,030	6 6/16	14 6/16	38,270	11	4,218	9 10/16	42,489	4 10/16
	260	10/2	1,993	14/4	14 2/16	32,909	15 10/16	4,21[illegible]	[illegible] 5/16	37,128	9/4
1	260	10/2	2,018	1/2	14/4	33,628	14/2	4,452	9 10/16	38,081	9 6/16

26th. August 1844.

Poonjah or Dry land.

Lands		Beriz		Average Pts Cawney	Total including Nunjah and Poonjah		Total including Ready money Collections and Totacal or Garden		Total	
10		11		12	13		14		15	
Cs.	Ps.	Cs.	Ps.		Ps.	Ms.	Ps.	Cs.	Ps.	Cs.
5014	9 10/16	1958	15 10/16	6 1/4	23975	7 2/16	1515	5	25490	12 2/16
3537	15/4	1947	9 3/4	8 3/4	22526	15 6/16	1140	14 10/16	23604	15 3/4
3537	15/4	1950	6 14/16	8 14/16	23199	7	1142	12	24279	13 2/16
3537	15/4	1710	15 3/4	7 3/4	19937	8 10/16	1020	9 2/16	20892	9
3222	10/2	1578	8/2	7 14/16	26277	9 10/16	843	9	27121	2 10/16
3222	10/2	2074	3/2	10/4	28992	14/16	448	5 2/16	29440	6
3222	10/2	1906	14 2/16	9/2	26190	3/4	448	5 2/16	26638	5 14/16
3222	10/2	1935	1 2/16	9 10/16	26456	11 3/4	643	13 2/16	27100	8 14/16
9133	11	4333	2/4	7 10/16	36163	2/2	3905	15 2/16	40069	1 10/16
10511	9	6243	13 10/16	9/2	35123	9 3/4	4908	14 10/16	39757	8 3/4
10511	9	6101	2 2/16	9/4	36350	11 2/16	4859	3/4	40582	15/2
10511	9	4759	15 10/16	7 6/16	28466	4/4	4540	1	33573	13 2/16
9248	6/2	5203	15/4	9	42842	14	4781	15 14/16	47624	13 14/16
9248	6/2	5696	5	9 14/16	43697	7 3/4	3739	9 14/16	47437	1 10/16
9248	6/2	5641	15 2/16	9 3/4	42585	11 10/16	3736	6/16	46321	12
9248	6/2	5642	13 10/16	9 3/4	42731	10 3/4	3973	13 3/4	46705	8/2
21662	3 6/16	9781	7	7/4	136378	2 5/16	10809	1/2	147187	3 14/16
19177	9	12104	10	10 2/16	119141	11 2/16	11098	7/2	129575	8 14/16
19177	9	11938	15/4	10	124700	8/4	11044	10 2/16	134830	15/4
19177	9	10136	3 2/16	8/2	109723	3 2/16	9951	13 14/16	119948	1/4
17212	6 14/16	10334	12 3/4	9 10/16	137269	4 14/16	11510	6 12/16	148779	11 10/16
17212	6 14/16	11490	15 14/16	10 3/4	150807	8/2	9520	10/2	160328	3
17212	6 14/16	11016	4 14/16	10/4	135284	4 10/16	9513	15 10/16	144798	4/4
17212	6 14/16	11198	1/2	10 6/16	139829	7 10/16	10389	12/4	150219	3 14/16

(Signed) Edwd. Lovell
Acting Secretary
Comparison

Statement of the Land Amount Proposed by the Collector for the Year 1865-66 in Respect of the Inams Granted to the Ryots and Ryots' Servants

Names of the Talooks.	Land as per Survey	Amount According to the average of 23 years Collections	Amount As per Proposed by the Collector.	Increase.	Per Centage.	Decrease.	Per Centage.
	A. a.	Ps. a. P. a.	Ps. a. P. a.	Ps. a.		Ps. a. P. a.	
Manemungalum	16034 15 6/16	1 15 1/4 31318	4 1/2 32043	3 6/16 725	3 14/16	2 5	
Nayer	18999 1	1 9 14/16 30428	2 14/16 30129	3 10/16		298	15 1/4 1
Pareyapolliem	13615 2 14/16	1 10 1/2 22550	2 1/4 22833	10 3/4 283	8 1/2	1 4	
Subsequently Sequestered	18055 9 3/4	1 13 3/4 33634	10 6/16 34209	5 2/16 574	10 3/4	1 13 1/2	
Total	66704 13	1 12 1/4 119931	4 119215	11 14/16 1583	7 2/16		298 15 1/4
Settlement of Mr. Vireash	45246 2 14/16	1 13 3/4 84129	6 79165	4 6/16		Net 1284 7 14/16	1 1 1/2
Total	111950 15 14/16	202060	10 198381	7 1/4	1583 7 2/16		4963 10 10/16 5 14 1/2
							5262 9 14/16
						Net	3649 2 3/4 2 13 1/4

Names of the Talooks.	One crop Land as per Survey	Sir Tarumpha's assessment Average per Cawney	Sir Tarumpha's assessment Berij.	Proposed by the Collr. Average per Cawney	Proposed by the Collr. Berij.	Decrease. Berij.	Decrease. Per Centage.
	A. a.	Ps. a.	P. a.	Ps. a.	P. a.	Ps. a. P. a.	
Manemungalum	16034 15 6/16	2 2 1/4	34317 11 1/4	2 .	32043	8 6/16 2274	2 14/16 6 10
Nayer	18999 1	1 13	34449 5 2/16	1 9 6/16	30129	3 14/16 4320	1 1/2 12 8
Pareyapolliem	13615 2 14/16	1 13 1/4	24939 4 3/4	1 10 14/16	22833	10 3/4 2105	10 8 8
Subsequently Sequestered	18055 9 3/4	1 15 2/16	35060 4 10/16	1 14 6/16	34209	5 2/16 850	15 1/2 2 7
Total	66704 13	1 14 14/16	128766 9 3/4	1 12 10/16	119215	11 14/16 9550	13 14/16 7 6
Settlement of Mr. Vireash	45246 2 14/16	1 15 1/2	89018 2 6/16	1 12	79165	11 6/16 10052	7 11 4
Total	111950 15 14/16		217784 12 2/16		198381	7 1/4 19603	4 14/16 9 .

11318

Names of the Talooks.	Survey Land	Ryots Berij Average per Cawney.	Ryots Berij.	Proposed Berij Average per Cawney	Proposed Berij.	Increase.	Increase. Per Centage.	Decrease.	Decrease. Per Centage.
	A. a.	Ps. a.	P. a.	Ps. a.	P. a.	Ps. a.		Ps. a.	
Manemungalum	16034 15 6/16	1 12 14/16	23991 1/4	2 .	32043	8 6/16 3052	8 2/16	10 8	
Nayer	18999 1	1 8 14/16	29483 9 3/4	1 9 6/16	30129	3 10/16 615	9 14/16	2 3	
Pareyapaliem	13615 2 14/16	1 10 10/16	22621 3 6/16	1 10 14/16	22833	10 3/4 212	7 6/16	15	
Subsequently Sequestered	18055 9 3/4	1 14 1/4	34071 14 2/16	1 14 6/16	34209	5 2/16 137	7	6	
Total	66704 13	1 11 10/16	111516 7 11 1/2	1 12 10/16	119215	11 14/16 4048	6/16	3 8	
Settlement of									

11318 For Ft. St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 4. Statement Shewing the Revenue realized under the survey rates from the Villages Settled by Mr. Maclean from Fusslies 1248 to 1252 compared with the proposed and Standard Berizes.—

Items.	Manimungalum Talook 177 Villages.		Nayer Talook 191 Villages.		Peddapolliem Talook 122 Villages.		Total Villages 490.	
Beriz of Fusly 1210	40,848	6 3/4	36,709	15 1/2	25,203	10 5/8	102,762	7/8
Permanent Beriz	34,325	8 1/4	31,331	14 3/4	20,577	11 7/8	86,235	2 7/8
Collection of Fusly 1232	33,728	11 3/8	36,288	4 5/8	26,853	1 1/2	96,870	4 1/2
Proposed Beriz	37,384	15/8	34,502	4 7/8	26,734	5 3/4	98,621	9 3/4
Settlement Beriz of Fusly 1248	18,588	11 3/4	24,666	4 5/8	18,926	4	62,181	4 3/8
Do. 1249	34,273	5 3/4	28,664	6	22,718	10 1/4	85,656	6
Do. 1250	31,002	12 1/4	29,243	4	23,243	5 1/4	83,489	5 1/2
Do. 1251	32,018	2 1/2	30,159	7 1/4	25,162	11	87,340	4 3/4
Do. 1252	26,797	8 5/8	26,114	11/8	21,520	15/8	74,433	2 7/8
Total 5 Years	142,680	8 7/8	138,848	1	111,571	13 5/8	393,100	7 1/2
Average	28,536	1 3/4	27,769	9 3/4	22,314	6	78,620	1 1/2
Deduct Servants Pay	2,261	"	2,361	"	2,174	"	6,796	"
Do. Maramut	2,151	"	1,743	"	1,638	"	5,532	"
Total	4,412	"	4,104	"	3,812	"	12,328	"
Remainder	24,124	1 3/4	23,665	9 3/4	18,502	6	66,292	1 1/2
Loss to Permanent Beriz	10,201	6 1/2	7,666	5	2,075	5 7/8	19,943	1 3/8
Per Centage	29	12	24	7	10	1	23	2

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary
Samuvani

Fort St. G.

Canavani Tukhta or account prepared for the Settlement of Cusba Manemury 26th August 1814. by the Collector.—

Particulars.	Average per cawney: First Crop.	Second Crop.	1st and 2d Crop inclusive.	Punjah or wet land. Extent of cultivation. Average Price per Pagoda	First Crop.	Second Crop.	Actual produce. First Crop.	Second Crop.	Total.	Poonjah or Dry. Extent of land.	Beriz.	Beriz. average per Cawney	Proportion of Beriz fixed on the a Sagal or cultivated lands on the a Punjah Cawnies 544 - 5¼ - Ready money Collections.	Total Beriz.	First Crop	Second Crop.	Total. Poonjah.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Mert. P.	S. a.	S. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	S. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.
Beriz of Fusly 1240	2 13⅛	2 9	3 9¾	14 4½	597 5⅞	183 5½	1,683 12⅝	470 9½	2154 6⅛	1 8	" 9	" 6	42 13⅛	2197 12¼	1,535 2¾	419 13	1956 15¾	" "
Ditto of 23 years actual produce	2 12⅜	2 4¾	3 5⅞	15 ½	452 6⅜	115 8⅜	1,254 10⅞	266 ⅜	1520 11¼	2 1	1 3¾	" 9½	45 7¼	1597 6¼	1509 10½	376 4¾	1885 15¼	" "
Ditto Collections	2 12⅜	2 4¾	3 6	15 ½	452 6⅜	115 8⅜	1,256 8	266 5½	1522 13½	2 1	1 3¾	" 9½	45 7¼	1599 8½	1509 10½	376 4¾	1885 15¼	" "
Collections	2 12¾	2 5	3 6¼	15 ½	457 6⅜	115 8⅜	1,265 11	268 5½	1534 ½	2 1	1 3¾	" 9½	45 7¼	1610 11½	1522 6¾	378 14	1901 4¾	" "
Beriz of Fusly 1232	2 3⅜	2 ⅞	2 14	18 1½	544 5¼	175 14⅜	1,204 10⅞	361 5⅜	1566 ¼	" "	" "	" "	68 12½	1634 12¾	1204 10⅞	336 10	1541 4⅞	" "
Collections of Fusly 1232	2 8⅜	2 5⅝	3 4⅝	18 1½	544 5¼	175 14⅜	1,376 8½	412 15¼	1789 7¾	" "	" "	" "	68 12½	1785 14¼	1376 8½	385 4¼	1761 12¾	" "
Surundars Assessment	3 15¾	2 ¼	3 9½	15 "	544 5¼	163 13¼	1,624 9¾	330 ⅜	1954 10⅛	" "	" "	" "	70 4½	2024 4⅝	1624 9¾	330 ⅜	1954 10⅛	" "
Ditto proposal	2 9⅞	1 11½	3 1¾	" "	544 5¼	163 13¼	1,416 9⅜	281 4⅞	1697 14¼	" "	" "	" "	68 12½	1766 10¾	1416 9⅜	281 4⅞	1697 14¼	" "
Mazoor Assessment	2 13	1 11 57/8	3 5¼	18 "	544 5¼	163 13¼	1531 10	282 ½	1813 10½	" "	" "	" "	35 5½	1849 "	1551 10	282 ½	1813 10½	" "
Present proposed Assessment	2 12	1 11	3 6	18 "	544 5¼	203 10¾	1499 6⅞	339 5¼	1838 12⅛	" "	" "	" "	52 4¼	1891 ⅜	1499 6⅞	339 5¼	1838 12⅛	" "

11318

Fort St. George

1

Nunjahs.

Fusslies.	Extent of Cultivation. Nunjahs. First Crop.	Second Crop.	average of Nunjah Gross produce. First Crop.	First and Second Crop.	Average of 1st & 2d Crop.
22	23	24	25	26	27
	C. a.	C. a.	Cul. m P.	Cul. m P.	Pags. a.
1210	615 9 7/8	239 13 7/8	5 7 .	7 10 4	3 8
1211	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" "
1212	546 9	156 2	6 5 7 1/2	7 4 7	4 4
1213	607 11	308 2	6 2 2 1/2	8 8 6	4 12
1214	319 15	121 "	5 5 1	6 . 3	4 6 3/4
1215	616 4 1/2	107 4 1/2	7 9 3	8 4 6 1/2	3 1/2
1216	75 15 7/8	26 15/8	4 9 6	4 9 6	4 11
1217	337 8 5/8	62 5 5/8	4 10 .	5 . 2	3 3 3/4
1218	546 3 5/8	78 14 7/8	7 5 6	8 2 2	3 3/4
1219	507 1 1/2	262 9 1/2	6 . 2	9 5 3	3 2 3/4
1220	469 1 1/2	252 8 1/2	4 8 1	7 3 7	2 11 5/4
1221	194 1 1/2	109 1 1/2	4 7 .	4 11 1	3 9/4
1222	275 4 1/4	39 5 1/2	3 9 .	3 9 .	2 11
1223	407 14 1/4	118 2 1/4	8 6 7	8 8 5	1 1 1/8
1224	354 13 1/2	140 5/8	4 9 .	5 3 3	2 10
1225	452 8 1/4	251 13 1/4	6 4 2	9 5 1/2	3 10
1226	479 7 5/8	179 13 5/8	5 . .	5 7 3	2 15 1/2
1227	542 5 7/8	289 7 7/8	5 9 6	8 2 .	3 5 1/2
1228	307 3 1/2	82 11 1/2	4 . 1	4 . 1	1 15 1/2
1229	519 10 1/2	163 7 1/2	6 6 7	7 3 5	2 13 1/2
1230	569 6 3/4	196 12 1/4	6 9 3	8 5 4	2 11 3/4
1231	555 2 1/4	125 4 1/4	5 3 7	6 4 1	2 13 1/2
1232	560 15 7/8	206 15 1/2	5 7 4	7 5 3	2 15 1/2

Average per Cawney of Nunjah Lands on the produce of 23 years.

	Per Annum as per Cawney	Survey.
	P. a	P. a
Inferr Nunjaes		
Nunjah inclusive of Nunjah Tarum Pons	2 13 7/8	2 13 7/8
Nunjah inclusive of Gardens	2 12 1/4	2 12 1/4
Nunjah inclusive of Robs		

26

Nunjah.

Average of ... net.

of ... per ...

Cul ... gs. at.

	265 2	.	0
	10 9 1/4	"	"
	89 10	"	"
	204 7 8 1/4	"	"
	126 4 12 3/4	"	"
	44 2	"	"
	31 5	"	"
	9 13	"	"
	4 4 3/4	"	"
	2 3/4	6	"
	11 1/2	"	"
	12 3/8	"	"
	13 1/4	"	"
	15 1/2	3	"
	15 1/2	6	"
		3	"
			"
			"
			"
year R.O			"

Mr.

Particulars.

	Land	Berizy	Nunjah.
	1	2	3
	C. a.	Pags.	a.
Sheigal	544 5 1/4	1838	12 4
			8
Laikh	75 7 1/8	211	1 9
			4
Total	619 12 3/8	2049	13 4 8
Sunadie	475 15 3/8	"	"
			4
Grand Total	1095 11 3/4	"	4

For St. George

Proposed Agacut Beriz

Poonjah Land	Beriz.	Total Nunjah and Poonjah. Land	Beriz.	Ready money Beriz.	Total.
4	5	6	7	8	9
C. a.	Pagt. a.	C. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.	Pagt. a.
1/8 " "	" "	544 5¼	1838 12⅞	52 4¼	1891 ⅜
1/4 30 2⅜	17 9¾	105 9½	228 11⅛	27 15	256 10⅛
1/2 30 2⅜	17 9¾	649 14¾	2066 7¼	80 3¼	2147 10½
238 14¾	" "	714 14¾	" "	" "	" "
269 1⅛	" "	1364 12⅞	" "	" "	" "

Ar
Ja
Nu
Nu

26th August 1844.

Sheigal Land Nunjah.

Particulars. Class. Land

	2	3	4		5	
			Average Collections of Fusly 1232 per Cawney.		Sirtarum Beriz per Cawney	
		C. a.	Ps.	a.	Ps.	a.
One Crop.	1	4 6¼	4	2⅛	5	4
	2	24 13⅜	3	6½	4	"
	3	70 9⅝	2	10¼	3	4
	4	96 1½	2	⅝	2	8
	5	147 11¾	1	6¼	1	9
	"	343 10½	"	"	"	"
Two Crop.	1	46 10⅝	4	2	5	4
	2	56 9¾	3	6⅜	4	"
	3	52 6½	2	9½	3	4
	4	19 15⅝	1	15¼	2	8
	4	175 10½	"	"	"	"
Total	"	519 5	"	"	"	"
Dhroovah	1	7 15⅜	4	13	5	4
Totacoulor	2	17 ⅞	4	13	4	"
	"	25 ¼	"	"	"	"
Grand Total	"	544 5¼	"	"	"	"

Under the Irrigation of the Tank &c.

This

1. This is an Agrahārum Village held on the Arelieum (Regardes) and Canda Brayam tenures.

2. Description of the Ryots.

		Fusly 1232 Ryots	Fusly 1232 Ploughs	Fusly 1244 Ryots	Fusly 1244 Ploughs	Rich	Poor	Remarks
Meerasidars	Braminee	25	37	20	27	"	"	These Parcus joined and cultivate their lands. The whole of the Village lands are cultivated by them.
	Soodra Vellalur	21	30	17	22	"	"	
	Bulijavora	1	2	2	1	"	"	
	Cummaur	3	3	3	1	"	"	
	Pyphlaour	8	11	8	11	"	"	
	Total	58	83.	30.	62	"	"	
Payacarries living in the Village	Braminee	1	1	4	4	"	"	
	Bulijavora	1	1	"	"	"	"	
	Pallyaloo	1	1	1	1	"	"	
	Pallamoolo	6	6	3	3	"	"	
	Mahommedans Moorman	1	1	1	1	"	"	
	Malamoolo	11	12	8	8	"	"	
	Total	21	22	17	17	"	"	
Payacarries in other Villages	Pallamoolo	2	2	"	"			
	Total	81.	107	67.	79	18.	49.	

3. Sources of Irrigation.

	How many months water can they contain	In good repair	Out of repair
Tanks	8 All have a spring running Rocks. Do	1	"
Soorooroo or large Wells faced with bricks		15	2
Wells faced with bricks		7	2
Cuttas or small ponds from which water is irrigated	"	4	4
Baolah Pungo.	"	"	

4. Colour of the Ryots' lands.

1. Most of the lands is Clay or Culinoy.

1. A portion of the land is of Clay and mixed together some are of White Colour and of Sandy soil.

Villages.

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

5. Villages bounding this Village.—

1 In the East ——— Moodichoor and Vurdarajapoor

2 South East ——— Munnyvakum ———

3 South ——— Curichengal ———

4 South West ——— Shirmattore ———

5 West ——— Malaputtoo & Maguney do.

6 North West ——— Somungalum & Chetiputtoo

7 North ——— Poodoocherry & Kudooveerapuddo

8 North East ——— Perungalatoor ———

The

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

b. The Village similar to this and serving as subjects for Comparison.

Description of Caste.	Houses.	Population. Male.	Female.	Cattle.	
1 Bramins	29	58	63	Cows	152
2 Pooloo-roo-valemavanoloo.	21	41	68	Bullocks	204
3 Bulijawars	1	3	2	She Buffaloes.	72
4 Hykolawar	8	20	18	He Buffaloes.	33
5 Dasseer	3	5	8	Goats	200
6 Curmum	6	8	7	Sheep	350.
7 Setty	4	5	8		
8 Mootoorajoo	10	15	13		
9 Carpenter & Blacksmith	4	15	17		
10 Rellavanooloo	15	41	36		
11 Gollavanooloo	7	19	19		
12 Nuttoovoodoo	1	2	1		
13 Mahomedans	9	26	23		
14 Punasavanooloo	2	7	5		
15 Washerman	2	4	6		
16 Barber	2	4	5		
17 Vachaà	1	1	1		
18 Malavadoo	26	53	48		
Total	151	357	348.		

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

7. Settlement of warum.

	Per each 10 Cullas of Adevar. Inclusive of Sodum: Tarum.		Exclusive of Sodum: Tarum.	
	Per 10 Cullas.	Per 100 Cullas.	Per 10 Cullas.	Per 100 Cullas.
	Culla M	Culla M	C M	C M
Malwarum	4 9 7/8	48 3	5 6 1/8	
Goodewarum	3 9 3/8	37 13	4 3 7/8	
Mera 1 3/4 Moul	1 4 3/4	14 " "	2	1 4 57/32
Sodumtarum 1 1/4 Culla	" " "	" "	1 5 5/32	
Total	10 "	100 "	11 5 57/32	" "

at 4½ cullas per each 10 Cullas

	Mercals	Mercals
Malwar per each Culla of Adevar	5 25/32	6 5/8
	Annas	Annas
Commutation price	5 1/8	5 7/8

Average

11318 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No 8

Average 1st Crop		Average 2d Crop	
Cullas	M	Culla	M
6	1 1/2	5	6 3/4
6	3 5/8	5	2 5/8
6	3 3/4	5	2 5/8
6	3 3/8	5	3
6	13/16	5	7 11/16
6	1 1/8	6	6 1/4
6	11 7/8	4	5 5/8

No 9 Lands now assessed with the 2d Crop rent.

Classification	No.	Land Cawny	Anna	Per Cawny	Anna	Beriz P.	A.	P.	A.
1		1		5	2 7/8	2	2	11	1/8
2		32		15	6 3/8	2	14	18	14
3		36		16	4/4	1	10	26	6 7/8
Total		75		36	13 1/2	"	"	66	5

Classification

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1814

No. 7

Classification of Cusba Manimungalum as per Proposed Settlement.

Items	Land	Gross Produce per Cawney	Teerwah	Beriz 1st Crop	Beriz 2d Crop	Total
	Cawney As	Culs	Ps	Ps as	Ps as	Ps as
1	4 6¼	13	4	4 4	18 10½	
2	24 13 6/16	11	"	3 8	86 14 14/16	
3	70 9 16/16	9	3	3 "	211 12 14/16	
4	96 1½	7	9	2 8	240 3¾	
5	147 11¾	5	6	1 12½	263 2 6/16	
	343 10½	"	"	" "	820 12 6/16	

One Crop

Irrigation of

100 Cawney

Rice

Sugar

Cotton

Ready Money 27 15

Total 256 10 2/16

Items	Land	Gross Produce per Cawney	Teerwah	Beriz 1st Crop	Beriz 2d Crop	Total
	Cawney As	Culs	Ps	Ps as	Ps as	Ps as
1	4 6¼	13	4	4 4	18 10½	
2	24 13 6/16	11	"	3 8	86 14 14/16	
3	70 9 16/16	9	3	3 "	211 12 14/16	
4	96 1½	7	9	2 8	240 3¾	
5	147 11¾	5	6	1 12½	263 2 6/16	
	343 10½	"	"	" "	820 12 6/16	
1	46 10 10/16	13	4	4 4	198 5 2/16	
2	56 9¾	11	"	3 8	198 2 2/16	

ng the different proposed classification

By Shulcum Pan

Produce per Cawney	Assessment Rate	Amount per Cawney	Amount
Adama Shulcum	Pds	Pds	Pds as
5	6	7	8
1 6 "	13 6 "	5 4	50 3/8
1 1 4	10 2 4	4 "	160 15
" " 11 "	8 3 "	3 4	282 5 1/8
" " 8 4	6 4 4	2 8	240 3/4
5 2	3 11 4	1 9	230 13/4
			964 1
1 6 "	13 6 "	5 4	247 12 3/4
1 1 4	10 2 4	4 "	164 13½
" " 11 "	8 3 "	3 4	117 7/8
" " 8 4	6 4 4	2 8	49 15
			550 ½
			1514 9½
		5 4	41 12¾
		4 "	6 8 3½
		" "	" "
			110 ½
			1624 3/4
			330 3/8
			1934 10/8
			70 4½
			2024 4/8

Fort St. George

26th August 1844.

...ons of the Lands of Cusba Manimungalum.

By Cutcherry						By the Collector				Comparison							
										Between Colt 7 and 15				Between Colt 11 and 15			
										Increase		Decrease		Increase		Decrease	
Extent of Land	Produce per Cawny	Assessment per Cawny		Amount		Extent of Land	Produce per Cawny	Assessment per Cawny	Amount	Teerwah	per Cent	Teerwah	per Cent	Teerwah	per Cent	Teerwah	per Cent
9	10	11		12		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		Pc	as	Pc	as			Pc	as								
9 9/8	13 4	4	2	39	7 10/16	4 6/4	13 4	4	4 18	10½			1	19 3/4	2	3 1/2	
40 3 3/4	11 8	3	10	145	12 6/16	24 13 6/16	11	3	8 86	14 14/16			8	12 8			2 3 7
86 13 7/8	10	3	2	271	7 6/16	70 9 10/16	9 3	3	211	12 14/16			4	7 11			2 4
96 1½	6 8	2	10	252	4	96 1½	7 9	2	8 240	3 3/4							2 4 12
147 11 3/4	5	1	12	258	8 10/16	147 11 3/4	5 6	1	12½ 263	2 6/16	3½	14			1/2	1 12½	
380 8				967	9	343 10½			820	12 6/16							
41 7 3/4		4	2	171	2	46 10 10/16	13 4	4	4 198	5 2/16			1	19 3/4	2	3 1/2	
41 3 3/8		3	10	149	6¼	56 9 3/4	11	3	8 198	2 2/16			8	12 8			2 3 7
36 2 1/4		3	2	112	15	52 6½	9 3	3	157	3½			4	7 11			2 4
19 15 5/8		2	10	52	7	19 15 10/16	7 9	2	8 49	15							2 4 12
138 13				485	14¼	175 10½			603	9 3/4							
519 5				1453	7¼	519 5			1424	6 2/16							
7 15 3/8		3	2	78	2 3/4												
17 7/8																	
" "						25 1/4	9 3	3	75	3/4							
25 1/4																	
544 5¼				1531	10	544 5¼			1499	6 14/16							
				282	½				339	5¼							
				1813	10½				1838	12 2/16							
				35	5½				52	4 1/4							
				1849	"				1891	6/16							

57
32

113/8

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

11318

No. 9.

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the amount and Extent of Cultivation of One and Two Crops land in each class for the years

Item		At ¾ Pagoda per Cawney Land		At ¾ Pagoda per Cawney Assessment		At ½ Pagoda per Cawney Punjah or Dry Land Land		At ½ Pagoda per Cawney Punjah or Dry Land Assessment		Total Land		Total Assessment		At ¼ ½ Pagodas Land		At ¼ ½ Pagodas Ain	
1		Cs 2	As	Ps 3	as	Cs 4	As	Ps 5	As	Cs 6	As	Ps 7	as	8		9	
Total Cultivation of Faulty 1248	Syghal																
	One Crop	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Two Crops	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14/16		
	Total	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Liab Bunjer																
	One Crop																
	Two Crops									31	7 10/16			3	14/16		
	Total	11	5 6/16	"	"	20	2										
	Anady Bunjer	218	12 3/4	"	"	31	6/16			24-9	13 2/16			"	"		
	Total	230	2 6/16	172	9 14/16	51	2 6/16	25	9¼	281	4 3/4	198	3 2/16	51	4 3/4	2,18	2/16
Cultivation of Faulty 1248	Syghal													50	1 3/4		
	Liak Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	"		
	Anady Bunjer	11	3/4			8	10½			19	13 3/4			"	"		
	Porumpoke	"	"			23	8 10/16			23	8 10/16			"	"		
		"	12 14/16			"	8			1	4 14/16			"	"		
	Total	12	2/16	9	1/4	32	11 2/16	16	5 10/16	44	11 1/4	25	5 14/16	50	1 3/4	202	15 6/16
Cultivation of Faulty 1249	Syghal													50	11 10/16		
	Liak Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	"		
	Anady Bunjer	11	3/4			12	8½			23	11 3/4			"	"		
	Porumpoke	1	9 3/4			28	6/16			29	10 2/16			"	2/16		
		"	12 14/16			"	8			1	4 14/16			"	"		
	Total	13	13 14/16	10	3½	41	14/16	20	8½	54	10 3/4	30	12	50	11 3/4	215	9 14/16
Cultivation of Faulty 1250	Syghal													50	12 10/16		
	Liak Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	"		
	Anady Bunjer	"	"			"	"			1	9 3/4			"	"		
	Porumpoke	1	9 3/4			"	"			"	12 14/16			"	2/16		
		"	12 14/16			"	"			2	6 10/16	1	13	50	12 3/4	215	14 2/16
	Total	2	6 10/16	1	13	"	"			"	"			50	5		
Cultivation of Faulty 1251	Syghal													"	"		
	Liak Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	"		
	Anady Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	2/16		
	Porumpoke	"	"			"	"			"	12 14/16			"	"		
		"	12 14/16			"	"			"	12 14/16	"	9 3/4	50	5 2/16	213	13 3/4
	Total	"	12 14/16	"	9 3/4	"	"			"	"			44	2 14/16		
Cultivation of Faulty 1252	Syghal													"	"		
	Liak Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	"		
	Anady Bunjer	"	"			"	"			"	"			"	2/16		
	Porumpoke	"	12 14/16			"	"			"	12 14/16			"	"		
		"	12 14/16			"	"			"	12 14/16	"	9 3/4	44	3	187	12 14/16
	Total	"	12 14/16	"	9 3/4	"	"			"	"			"	"		

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Nunjah or wet Land Irrigated by Tanks (Tent.)

At 3 Pagodas per Cawny					At 2½ Pagodas per Cawny				At 1 25/32 Pagodas per Cawny			
		Assessment					Assessment				Assessment	
Land	Acre	Sussul Jausty	Total		Land	Acre	Sussul Jausty	Total	Land	Acre	Sussul Jausty	Total
16	17	18	19		20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
123	2/16				116	1 10/16			147	11 3/4		
"	2 1/4								66	6 14/16		
1	15				8	5 6/16			263	2 1/2		
125	1 6/16	375	4 3/16	78	87	7 2/16			477	9 2/16	850 10 10/16	" " 850 10 10/16
101	4 6/16				52	11 6/16			24	15 1/2	554 10 10/16	
"	2 1/4				7	6/16			25	9 3/4		
"	1 3/4				1	6/16			2	7 14/16		
					"	"			1	3 14/16		
101	8 6/16	304	9 2/16	" 6/16	304	15 1/2	54	3 2/16				" " 52 3 10/16
122	7 1/2				113	13			29	5 1/4	52 3 10/16	
"	2 1/4				7	12 2/16			135	8		
"	2 3/4				2	1 2/16			115	4 14/16		
"	1 3/4				"	"			20	9 14/16		
									6	9 3/4		
122	14 1/4	368	10 3/4	92 13 14/16	461	8 10/16	123	10 1/4			253 13 6/16	18 11 6/16 272 8 3/4
121	14 3/4				114	15			142	8 1/2		
"	2 1/4				5	11			112	1/2		
"	"				1	7 3/4			17	1 3/16		
"	2 1/4				"	"			4	9 2/16		
									2			
122	3 1/4	366	9 3/4	43	409	9 3/4	122	1 3/4	43	15 3/4	353 2 3/4	
121	12 1/2				109	1 2/16			112	1/2		
"	2 1/4				4	10 1/4			17	1 3/16		
"	10/16				3	11 6/16			4	9 2/16		
"	2 3/4				"	"			2			
122	2 2/16	366	6 6/16	41 1/4	407	8 2/16	117	6 3/4			238 2 14/16	16 14 14/16 255 1 3/4
112	6 1/2				94	3 3/4			34	8 10/16	339 15 3/4	
"	2 1/4				4	2 14/16			99	5 10/16		
"	10/16				5	9 2/16			18	2 3/4		
"	2 3/4				"	"			6	10 3/4		
									2			
112	12 2/16	338	4 6/16	57 11 14/16	396	1/4	103	15 3/4	124	5 2/16	221 6 14/16	14 1/4 235 1 2/16
									27	3 6/16	320 13 14/16	
									65	15 2/16		
									8	1 2/16		
									4	4 3/4		
									2			
									78	7	139 11 1/4	11 15 2/16 151 10 6/16
									25	15 6/16	285 15 2/16	

Fort St. George

2nd August 1844.

Estimated by the Ryot

Nunjah Sotacal @ 3 Pagodas per Cawny Assessment						Maunawary @ 1 25/32 Pagodas per Cawny		Jramanuttum Sotacal @ 4 Pagodas per Cawny		Total		Ready Money Collections	Total	Q
Ain	Sussul Jausty	Total	Land	Ain	Sussul Jausty	Total	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment	Land	Assessment		Re
29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
									2 8 10/16		546 14 6/16			
5 1/2			25 1/4											
1 1/4														
2 14/16			1 7 6/16											
							" " " "		6 8 3/4		115 9			
							257 15 6/16				862 15 6/16			
9 10/16	2,270	4 14/16	302 1/4	2,572	5 2/16	26 7 10/16		79 6 14/16						
13 3/4			21 14 1/4											
6 1/2			1 2 1/4											
4														
1 3/4														
10	976	6	3 11 10/16	980	5 10/16	23 1/2		69 1 1/2						
11 16/16			22 6 6/16											
8 1/4			9 2/16											
13 16/16														
1 14/16														
3 6/16	1,432	3 14/16	260 10 1/2	1,692	14 6/16	22 13 6/16		68 14 2/16						
8 2/16			16 15											
13 6/16			4 10/16											
8 2/16														
4 6/16														
2	1,410	8 6/16	154 12 6/16	1,565	4 3/4	17 3 10/16		51 10 14/16						
12 3/4			15 4 3/4											
15 1/4			4 10/16											
13 1/2														
4 14/16														
14 6/16	1,377	12 3/4	147 12 1/2	1,525	9 1/4	15 9 6/16		46 12 3/16						
14 6/16			12 10 14/16											
6 1/4														
3 3/4														
4 14/16														
13 1/4	1,186	6	195 12	1,382	2/16	12 10 14/16		38 11/16						
									1 1 3/4	4	383 12	1,109 10/16	18 3 12/16	1,127 4 1/4
									1 4 6/16		507 1 1/4			
											53 2 2/16			
											38 15 10/16			
											1 6 3/4			
									1 4 6/16	5 1 1/2	600 9 3/4	1,821 15 1/4	33 15 6/16	1,855 11 12/16
									1 2 1/4		498 9 6/16			
											23 2			
											8 1 14/16			
											1 1 1/4			
									1 2 1/4	4 9 4	530 14 1/2	1,643 10 14/16	37	1,682 6 14/16
									1 14/16		477 2 6/16			
											23 3 14/16			
											10 13 1/2			
											1 9 3/4			
									1 14/16	4 3 1/2	512 5 1/2	1,597 4 14/16	36 4 1/2	1,633 9 6/16
									1 4 6/16		404 13 10/16			
											12 6 1/4			
											10 3 3/4			
											1 1 3/4			
									1 4 6/16	5 1 1/2	428 9 6/16	1,442 10 1/2	38 1/2 16	1,480 11 10/16

11318

Fort St. George

No. 10 Statement shewing that the Classification and Estimated by the Ryots

Names of the Villages	Crops of Nunjah Land	Classification	Produce per Cawny Cullums	Sircar share		Price per Pagoda	Amount			Estimated		
							P	a	a	P	a	a
		1	18	9	1/8	20	5	6	8	5	6	8
		2	16	8	1/8	20	4	12	14	4	12	14
		3	14	7	1/8	20	4	3	4	4	3	4
	Two Crops	4	12	6	"	20	3	9	10	3	9	10
		5	10	5		20	3	"	"	3	"	"
		6	8	4		20	2	6	6	2	6	6
		7	6	3		20	1	12	12	1	12	12
		1	13 1/2	6	9	20	4	"	12	4	"	12
		2	12	6		20	3	9	10	3	9	10
		3	11	5	6	20	3	4	12	3	4	12
		4	10	5		20	3	"	"	3	"	"
		5	9	4	6	20	2	11	4	2	11	4
Soulatoor	One Crop	6	8	4		20	2	6	6	2	6	6
		7	7	3	6	20	2	1	10	2	1	10
		8	6	3		20	1	12	12	1	12	12
		9	5	2	6	20	1	8	"	1	8	"
		10	4	2		20	1	3	4	1	3	4
		11	3	1	6	20	"	14	6	"	14	6
		12	2	1	"	20	"	9	6	"	9	6

11318

Names of the Villages	Crops of Nunjah Land	Classification	Estimated by the Ryots: Produce per Cawny Cullums	Sircar share		Price per Pagoda	Amount			Estimated		
							P	a	a	P	a	a
		1	12 1/2	5	10 1/4		3	8	4	3	8	4
		2	11 1/2	5	4 5/8		3	3	12	3	3	12
		3	10 1/2	4	11 1/8		2	15	4	2	15	4
	Two Crops	4	9 1/2	4	5 3/8		2	10	12	2	10	12
		5	8 3/4	4	1 1/4		2	7	6	2	7	6
		6	8	3	8 7/8		2	3	14	2	3	14
		7	7	3	3 3/8		1	15	8	1	15	8
		8	6	2	9 3/4		1	11	"	1	11	"
		1	9	4	2 5/8		2	8	8	2	8	8
		2	8	3	8 7/8		2	3	14	2	3	14
		3	7	3	3 3/8		1	15	8	1	15	8
	One Crop	4	6	2	9 3/4		1	11	"	1	11	"
		5	5 1/4	2	5 1/2		1	7	10	1	7	10
		6	4 1/4	2	"		1	3	4	1	3	4
		7	3 1/2	1	7 3/4		"	15	12	"	15	12
		8	2 1/2	1	2		"	11	4	"	11	4
		1	7 1/2	2	11 5/8		1	15	10	1	15	10
		2	6 1/2	2	6 1/8		1	11	12	1	11	12
	One Crop	3	4 1/2	1	9 1/4		1	3	14	1	3	14
		4	2 1/2	"	11 7/8		"	10	8	"	10	8
		5	1 1/2	"	7 7/16		"	6	12	"	6	12
		6	3/4	"	3 9/16		"	3	2	"	3	2

Vadavanum — At 20 Marcals.

Sulatore — At 18 Marcals.

26th August 1844.

produce as ascertained by the Surturmdars was not altered by the Collector in the earlier stages of the Survey.

Estimated by the Surturmdars						Estimated by the Kutcherry				Estimated by the Punchayet						Estimated		
Produce per Cawney	Sircar share		Price per Pagoda	Amount		Estimated	Produce per Cawny	Sircar share		Price per Pagoda	Amount		Estimated	Produce per Cawny	Sircar share		Price per Pagoda	Amount		Estimated	
Cullums	b	M	M	P	a a	P a a	Cullums	b	M	M	P	a a	P a a	Cullums	b	M	M	P	a a	P	a a
18	9	1/8		6 15 10		7 " "	18	9	1/8				6 9 12	18	9	1/8		5 15 8		5 15 8
16	8	1/8		6 3 4		6 4 "	16	8	1/8		6	10 "		16	8	1/8		5 4 14		5 5 "
14	7	1/8		5 6 14		5 7 "	14	7	1/8		5	14 "	5 14 "	14	9	1/8		4 10 4		4 10 8
12	6	"		4 10 4		4 11 "	12	6	"		5	3 "	5 2 4	12	6	"		3 15 8		3 15 8
10	5	"		3 14 "		3 14 "	10	5	"		4	7 "	4 6 4	10	5	"		3 5 "		3 5 "
8	4	"		3 1 8		3 2 "	8	4	"		3	11 "	3 10 10	8	4	"		2 10 6		2 10 8
6	3	"		2 5 2		2 6 "	6	3	"		2	15 "	2 15 "	6	3	"		1 15 12		2 " "
13½	6	9	At 15½ Marcals	5 3 10		5 4 "	13½	6	9	At 16½ Marcals	2	4 "	2 3 4	13½	6	9	At 18/8 Marcals	4 7 8		4 7 8
12	6	"		4 10 4		4 11 "	12	6	"		5	" "	4 15 2	12	6	"		3 15 8		3 15 8
11	5	6		4 4 2		4 5 "	11	5	6		4	7 "	4 6 4	11	5	6		3 10 4		3 10 8
10	5	"		3 14 "		3 14 "	10	5	"		4	1 "	4 " 8	10	5	"		3 5 "		3 5 "
9	4	6		3 7 12		3 8 "	9	4	6		3	11 "	3 10 10	9	4	6		3 15 10		3 " "
8	4	"		3 1 8		3 2 "	8	4	"		3	5 "	3 4 12	8	4	"		2 10 6		2 10 8
7	3	6		2 11 4		2 12 "	7	3	6		2	15 "	2 " 15 "	7	3	6		2 5 "		2 5 "
6	3	"		2 5 2		2 6 "	6	3	"		2	10 "	2 9 2	6	3	"		2 15 12		2 " "
5	2	6		1 15 "		1 15 "	5	2	6		2	4 "	2 3 4	5	2	6		1 10 8		1 10 8
4	2	"		1 8 12		1 9 "	4	2	"		1	14 "	1 13 6	4	2	"		1 5 4		1 5 8
3	1	6		1 2 10		1 3 "	3	1	6			15 "	1 7 8	3	1	6		" 15 14		1 " "
2	1	"		" 12 6		" 13 "	2	1	"			10 "	1 1 10	2	1	"		" 10 10		" 10 10
													" 11 12							

11318

26th August 1844.

per Class at the different Commutation prices Compared with Collector in Cuvoa Manemungalum.—

Names of the Villages	Crops of Nunjah Land	Classification	Estimated by the Ryots: Produce per Cawny Fullums	Sircar Share Ms	Sircar Share Ms	Price per Pagoda M	Amount P	Amount a	Amount a	Estd. Rate of assessment P	Amount Land assessment P	Amount Land assessment a	Amount Land assessment a	Increase Amount P	Increase Amount a	Decrease Amount P	Decrease Amount a
		1	12½	5	10¼		3	8	4								
		2	11½	5	4⅝		3	3	12								
		3	10½	4	11⅛		2	15	4								
	Suro Crop	4	9½	4	5⅜		2	10	12								
		5	8¾	4	1¼		2	7	6								
		6	8	3	8⅞		2	3	14								
		7	7	3	3⅜		1	15	8								
		8	6	2	9¾		1	11	"								
		1	9	4	2⅝		2	8	8								
		2	8	3	8⅞		2	3	14								
		3	7	3	3⅜		1	15	8								
	One Crop.	4	6	2	9¾		1	11	"								
		5	5¼	2	5½		1	7	10								
		6	4¼	2	"		1	3	4								
		7	3½	1	7¾		"	15	12								
		8	2½	1	2		"	11	4								
		1	7½	2	11⅝		1	15	10								
		2	6½	2	6⅛		1	11	12								
	One Crop.	3	4½	1	9¼		1	3	14								
		4	2½	"	11⅞		"	10	8								
		5	1½	"	7/16		"	6	12								
		6	¾	"	39/16		"	3	2								

Uddavanum.

Sittalore.

At 20 Mercals.

At 18 Mercals.

9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Ps as	Ps as	Cs as	Ps as	P as	Cs as	Ps as	Ps as
4 4	18 10½	" "	" "	" "	" 5	27/8 "	2 23	33/8
3 8	86 11⅞	" "	" "	" 3	" 15	6⅜ "	16 5	7/16
3 "	211 12⅞	" "	" 4¾	" "	16	1¼ "	22	15¾
2 8	240 3¾	" "	" 6¾	40	8¾ "	" "	" "	"
1 12½	263 2⅜	" "	" 7¾	71	5 "	" "	" "	"
" "	820 12⅜	" "	" "	111/19	13⅞ 43¾	36	13½ "	"	92	9
4 4	198 5⅛	5	27/8 "	"	16	13⅛ "	" 2	"
3 8	198 2⅛	15	6⅜ "	3	67	10⅜ "	" "	"
3 "	157 3½	16	4¼ "	4¾	59	8½ "	" "	"
2 8	49 15	" "	" 6¾	8	6⅝ "	" "	" "	"
" "	603 9¾	36	13½ "	"	14 6	5⅛ "	" "	"
" "	1424 6⅛	" "	" "	165	11⅜ "	" "	" "	"
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	7 15⅜	4	6	34	14⅜
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	17 7/8	3	5	56	7½
3 "	75 ¾	25	1¼	3	" 75	¾ "	" "	"
" "	75 ¾	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	16	5⅛
" "	1499 6⅛	" "	" "	" "	149	6¼ "	" "	"

Signed C. C. Lovell
Ag. Secretary

Statements

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. 11 Statement shewing a Comparison between the classification and rates proposed by Mr. Cook's Survey and those subsequently proposed by Mr. Maclean for Cuslea Manemangalum in 1229.

Classes	Mr. Cook's Settlement: Extent of Land	Total Amount of assessment	Average per Cawnie	Mr. Maclean's Settlement: Extent of Land	Rate of assessment	Amount of assessment	Increase: Extent of Land	Increase: Amount of assessment	Decrease: Extent of Land	Decrease: Amount of assessment	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	C As Ps	as Ps	as Ps	As	As	as Ps	as	as Ps	as	as Ps	
1st Sort 1	65 11	381 7 5/8	5 135 5/8								
2	53 7 1/2	232 7 3/8	4 55 5/8								
3	42 3 3/8	163 2 1/2	3 133 1/4	51	7/8	4 4 216	15 5/8				
4	12 1 1/2	42 11 3/8	3 8 1/2								
	173 7 3/8	822 12 7/8	4 117 1/8								
2nd Sort 1	66 23/4	249 2 1/4	3 12 1/4								
2	92 15 3/8	274 6 3/4	2 15 1/4	81	7/8	3 8 285 1					
	159 2 1/8	523 9	3 45 1/8								
3rd Sort 1	63 10 1/2	163 2 1/4	2 9								
2	33 3 5/8	81 8 1/4	2 7 1/4	123	1/8	3 369 3/8			26 2	124 5 7/8	30 13 1/2
	98 14 1/8	244 10 1/2	2 83/8								
4th Sort 1	40 4 1/4	77 9 1/2	1 14 3/4								
2	62 14 5/8	113 2 7/8	1 123/4	116	1/8	2 8 290	2 3/4		12 14 1/4	99 6 5/8	52 2 1/4
	103 2 7/8	190 12 3/8	1 135/8								
5th Sort	" "	" "	"	147	1 13/4	1 12 1/2	263 2 3/4		114 11 3/4	263 2 3/8	100
Total	532 9 1/2	1781 12 3/4	3 5 1/2	519	5	2 12	1424 6 1/8		Net 13 5 1/2	35 7	65 1/8 20 1

Mr. Maclean has greatly reduced the proportion of Superior Land.

Enclosure of Mr. Cook's report shewing the produce for each Class but it does not appear whether 1st and 2nd crops are both included.

Signed E. le. Lovell
A. J. Scary

Statement

11318

11318

Fort St. George

Names of the Villages | Nunja Land

No. 12 Statement Shewing the additions made to the extent of 2 Crop Land in other Villages of the Vellaloar Talook

	Nunja Lands. as per accounts of Nuzzur Cutcherry. 1st Crop Land	2d Crop Land	as per present Settlement. 1st Crop Land	2d Crop Land	Comparison. Increase 1st Crop	Increase 2d Crop	Decrease. 1st Crop	Decrease. 2d Crop	Average per Cawny of Village Collections of 23 years	Average Collection of 1232 Fusly	Average of the estimate of the Sirtu: Zumdar	Average of the estimate of the Cutcherry	Average of the present Settlement	Proportion the 1st Crop Average Village Collections of twenty three years.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca	Ca Ca
1 Manimungalum	544 5/4	163 13/4	624 5/4	200 10 3/4	" "	36 13/2	" "	" "	2 12 3/8	2 8 3/8	2 15 3/4	2 13	2 12	1509	10 1/2
2 Nadeenenpett	95 14 3/8	" "	95 14 3/8	7 3 1/8	" "	7 3/8	" "	" "	1 8/8	1 12/4	1 12/8	1 12 7/8	1 12 1/8	124	10
3 Alagroor	60 4 3/4	2 6 3/4	60 4 3/4	5 10 1/4	" "	3 3 1/2	" "	" "	1 1/2	" 15 1/8	1 5 1/2	1 5 7/8	1 4 1/4	62	27/8
4 Mauniakundy	103 15 1/8	2 8 7/8	103 15 1/8	12 8 7/8	" "	10 "	" "	" "	2 3 1/4	1 11 1/8	2 3 3/4	2 1 7/8	1 15 1/4	229	13 1/4
5 Aurambankum	102 13 5/8	5 15 3/4	102 13 5/8	16 7/8	" "	10 1/8	" "	" "	1 12 1/4	1 12 7/8	2 5 3/8	2 2 3/4	2 1	181	9 5/8
6 Poodoocherry	29 11 7/8	" "	29 11 7/8	2 "	" "	2 "	" "	" "	2 9 1/2	2 2	2 3 7/8	1 11 3/8	1 12 1/2	77	2 1/4
7 Mantampullum	3 8 3/4	2 1 1/2	3 8 3/4	3 8 3/4	" "	1 1 1/4	" "	" "	2 2 5/8	1 10	1 15 3/4	2 4 7/8	2 2 3/8	7	10 3/4
8 Ayesperantanguk	96 10 3/4	11 9 3/4	96 10 3/4	23 2 1/4	" "	11 8 1/2	" "	" "	1 11 1/2	2 1 3/8	1 11 3/8	1 15	1 14	167	10 1/2
9 Cushak Bonas Mallee	316 1/2	55 11 3/4	316 1/2	79 13 1/8	" "	23 11 3/8	" "	" "	2 10 3/8	1 12 5/8	2 10 7/8	2 6 7/8	2 5 1/2	836	15 7/8
10 Agraharam	45 7 1/8	6 "	45 7 7/8	12 10 1/2	" "	6 10 1/2	" "	" "	1 9 1/8	1 11 1/8	2 1 3/8	2 4 1/4	2 2 3/4	71	6 7/8
11 Shanniaattoor	62 12 3/4	7 8 3/4	62 12 3/4	22 6 1/2	" "	11 13 1/4	" "	" "	2 2 5/8	2 2 1/4	2 6 7/8	2 11 1/4	2 8	135	14 1/4
12 Cottumbakum	276 2 1/8	67 3 3/4	276 2 1/8	82 8 1/4	" "	15 1 1/2	" "	" "	2 6 1/4	1 12 1/4	2 7 1/4	2 7 5/8	2 6 3/4	660	27
13 Amerumbadoo	175 4 3/8	21 14 1/8	175 4 3/8	32 2 3/4	" "	10 1 5/8	" "	" "	1 13 7/8	1 12 5/8	2 3/4	1 15 7/8	1 15	327	"
14 Pillaperumkum	260 13 5/8	" "	260 13 5/8	34 8 1/2	" "	34 8 1/2	" "	" "	2 3/8	2 2	2 8 3/8	2 7 3/4	2 4 1/8	527	13 1/8
15 Maunykarry	66 1 1/8	23 15 1/8	66 1 1/8	25 4 3/8	" "	2 2 3/4	" "	" "	2 13 3/4	2 9 5/8	3 7 1/4	3 3/8	2 13 5/8	188	14 5/8
16 Sheevakootum	64 14 3/8	" "	64 14 3/8	4 1/2	" "	4 1/2	" "	" "	1 13 1/2	1 9 3/8	1 10 1/4	1 12	1 9 3/8	119	10 5/8
	2304 14 1/4	370 5 7/8	2301 14 1/4	564 3 3/8	" "	193 13 1/2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5214	5 3/8

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. 11 Statement shewing a comparison between the classification and rates proposed by Mr. Cook for Cuslea Manemangalam in 1229 Fasly and those subsequently proposed by Mr. Maclean.

Classes	Mr. Cook's Settlement: Extent of Land	Total Amount of assessment	Average per Cawnie	Mr. Maclean's Settlement: Extent of Land	Rate Amount of assessment	Increase: Extent of Land	Increase: Amount of assessment	Decrease: Extent of Land	Decrease: Amount of assessment	
	C as ps as	ps as	ps as		ps as					
1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9	10	11	
1st Sort 1	65 11	381 7 5/8	5 135 5/8							
2	53 7 1/2	232 7 3/8	4 5 5/8							
3	42 3 3/8	163 2 1/2	3 133 1/4							
4	12 1 1/2	12 11 3/8	3 8 1/2							
	173 7 3/8	822 12 7/8	4 117 7/8	51 7/8	4 4 216	122 6 1/2	605 13 1/4	73 11		Mr. Maclean has greatly reduced the proportion of Superior Land.
2nd Sort 1	66 2 3/4	249 2 1/4	3 12 1/4							
2	92 15 3/8	274 6 3/4	2 15 1/4							
	159 2 1/8	523 9	3 45 1/8	81 7/8	3 8 285 1	" "	" "	77 11	238 8	45 9
3d Sort 1	63 10 1/2	163 2 1/4	2 9							
2	33 3 5/8	81 8 1/4	2 7 1/4							
	98 14 1/8	244 10 1/2	2 8 3/8	123 1/8	3 " 369 3/8	26 2	124 5 7/8	30 13 1/2	" "	
4th Sort 1	40 4 1/4	77 9 1/2	1 14 3/4							
2	62 14 5/8	113 2 7/8	1 12 3/4							
	103 2 7/8	190 12 3/8	1 13 5/8	116 1/8	2 8 290 2 3/4	12 14 1/4	99 6 3/8	52 2 1/4	" "	
5th Sort	" "	" "	" "							
	147	113 1/4	1 12 1/2	263 2 3/8	100	147	113 1/4	263 2 3/8	100	
Totals	532 10 1/2	1781 12 3/4	3 5 1/2	549 5	2 12 1424	6 5/8				
						5 7/8				
						5 1/2				
						5/8				
								Net 13 5 1/2	35 7	65/8 20 1

Mysiah Irrigated by Sambo

Enclosure of Mr. Cook's report shewing the produce of 1 Cawny

Class but it does not appear whether 1st and 2nd Class are both included.

(Signed) E. le. Lovell
Dy. Secy.

Statement

26th August 1814.

Taluk besides the Cutcherry Manimuttungalum.

Collections	of the Beriz on Punjah Land. assessment of the Sirkar	Amount of Punjah land. assessment of the Cutchery 1st Crop.	2d Crop.	Total	Proposed Beriz 1st Crop.	2d Crop.	Total
Finsly 1232.	rundar						
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Pa a	Pa a	Pa a	Pa a	Pa a	Pa a	Pa a	Pa a
137 6 8½	162 4 93/4	153 1 10	282	½ 181 3	10½ 149 9	6⅞ 33 9	5¼ 183 8 12⅞
16 9 1⅞	16 8 13	17 3 13⅜	u	u 173	13⅜ 16 8	11½ 8	3½ 177 2
56 14¾	81 3½	82 7⅛	2	2¼ 84	113⅜ 76	7⅝ 4	15 81 6⅝
17 6 17⅛	232 8¼	220 8⅝	4	7⅝ 225	¼ 203	2⅞ 16	5⅝ 219 7¼
183 10⅝	240 37⅛	223 11⅝	9	157⅛ 233	11½ 212	4⅜ 20	9¼ 232 13⅝
63 3⅝	66 125⅛	50 15	u	u 50	15 52	⅚ 2	13¼ 54 2⅜
5 12⅜	7 4⅜	8 3⅞	2	10 10	13⅞ 7	10⅛ 3	13 11 7⅛
201 5¼	151 ¾	187 5¾	14	133¼ 202	3½ 181	6⅞ 26	11½ 208 2⅜
561 4⅜	345 13⅞	766 2¾	82	11½ 818	14¼ 741	7 105	123¼ 847 3¾
77 2⅞	94 12½	102 15⅜	8	10 111	9⅜ 98	13⅝ 14	7⅝ 113 5¾
134 8⅞	151 7⅞	169 13⅞	13	3⅜ 183	1¼ 156	7 33	9¾ 190 ¾
487 5	676 9⅞	683 13⅞	107	9 791	6⅞ 670	6⅜ 128	13⅛ 799 3½
313 6¼	359 6⅛	348 12	28	11⅞ 377	7⅛ 342	7⅜ 38	11¾ 381 3⅛
555 3	657 12	617 6⅜	u	u 647	6⅜ 538	11 42	11¾ 631 12¾
172 ⅛	228 3¼	199 13⅜	40	2 239	15⅜ 188	6⅜ 41	11⅜ 230 1⅜
102 11⅛	106 1½	113 10½	u	u 113	10½ 102	11⅛ 2	8⅜ 105 6½
1639 6¾	5692 10⅛	5510 7½	597	3 6107	10½ 5291	1 830	13⅜ 6121 11⅜

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Comparison between the assessment of the Cutcheny and the proposed Beriz.

Beriz Fusly 1210.	Village Collections of 23 Years.	Collections of Fusly 1232. Syen	Nagadyat	Total	Proposed Beriz. Syen	Nagadyat	Total	Increase 1st	Increase 2nd	Total	Decrease. 1st	Decrease. 2nd	Total	Total Beriz	Mottah Permanent Beriz
		32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39		24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
		P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.		P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.	P. a.
		2197 12¼	1599 8½	1789 7¾	68 12½	1358 4¼	1838 12½	52 4¼	1891 3/8			57 1¾	25 15/8	32 3/8			1799	
		210 ¾	149 13⅞	194 9¼	5 6¾	200 "	186 3¾	15 6½	201 10¼			8 3½	4 5/8	4 2⅞			189	
		80 3½	62 12	69 5⅞	" 10⅜	70 "	82 11⅜	9 3⅝	91 15			2 10¾	" "	5 15½			66	
		319 ⅞	203 9⅞	196 14⅞	7 3½	204 2⅜	243 10¾	17 2¾	260 13½			11 14	" "	17 7½			247	
		283 9¼	226 7⅜	219 15⅞	10 6⅛	230 6	232 13⅝	13 5⅞	246 3½			10 9⅜	" "	11 7¼			222	
		95 12⅜	59 10¾	70 "	" 5⅜	70 5⅜	59 8⅜	2 3½	61 11⅞			15/8 2	13/4 3	33/8 "			70	
		12 3	10 ¼	10 "	" "	10 "	11 ⅞	" "	11 ⅞			" 1	" 3	" "			10	
		239 6	218 1¾	218 6½	4 ¼	222 13¾	208 6¼	12 ⅞	220 13⅜			" 11	5 13⅜	5 11⅞			193	
		994 1⅜	789 1¾	658 ⅞	31 6¼	689 14⅛	847 3¾	64 5⅝	911 9⅜			23 1¼	" "	24 11¾			831	
		152 13½	83 10⅜	90 8⅝	" 14¼	91 6⅞	113 5¼	" 13¼	114 2½			" 5	1 13⅝	4 11⅞			118	
		205 15	143 13¼	171 8½	11 5¼	182 13¾	190 10⅞	25 10½	216 5⅜			20 6⅜	6 15½	13 6⅞			181	
		937 9⅝	717 ¼	602 12⅞	15 14⅛	618 11	823 5¾	23 9¼	846 15			21 4¼	7 12⅝	13 7½			727	
		316 8⅜	328 11¼	373 2½	16 8⅝	389 11⅛	381 5⅜	16 10¾	398 3⅛			10 ⅝	3 12	6 4⅝			251	
		828 15	609 3⅞	613 ⅜	4 9⅞	617 10¼	645 8¾	14 11¾	660 4½			42 11¾	" "	58 8⅜			612	
		295 9¾	243 12⅞	257 5¾	2 12⅝	254 2⅜	236 2½	23 13¼	259 15¾			1 12⅜	" "	11 7			235	
		131 ¼	105 4⅛	110 2	4 2½	114 4½	107 2½	9 3½	116 6			2 8⅜	" "	10 12⅜			99	
		7301 3⅞	5551 1¼	5639 12⅜	184 13⅜	5824 9¾	6208 6⅜	300 15½	6509 5⅞			1 15/8	233 10⅜	59 13¼	220 8⅛		1	
												Net 14 3/8		Net 29 6½			44	

Inclusive of Nunjah Poonjah and Nagadeyat.—

Increase in ready Money 115. 2⅞
Do. in 2d. Crop. 208. 11½
324 14
6184 7½
Statement.

Signed C. C. Lovell Ag. Secretary.—

No. 13.

Statement shewing the alterations introduced into the classification of the other Villages of Manemungalum Talook besides the Cusbah.

Items	Villages	Crops	Classification	Estimate by the Sartarumdars — Teerwah Cawries ⅞ Cawrey				Classification	Settlement by the Collector — Teerwah Cawries ⅞ Cawrey				Beriz ⅞ Fusil Jastee		Comparision between Columns 3 and 6 — Increase		Decrease	
				Cs	As	Ps	As		Cs	As	Ps	As	Ps	As	Ps	As	Cs	As
			1	2				2	3			4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	Tondaluna	Dry Crop	1	2	1	2	12	2	2	1	2	4	10¼	"	"	"	"	"
			2	15	3¼	2	"	3	15	3¼	2	"	30	6½	"	"	"	"
			3	10	7¼	1	4	4	10	7¼	1	8	15	11	"	"	"	"
			4	3	7½	1	"	5	3	7½	1	2	3	14½	"	"	"	"
				31	3	"	"	"	31	3	"	"	54	10¼	"	"	"	"
		Two Crop		1	7	11¼	2	12	1	7	11¼	2	12	21	3	10	9½	"
			2	3	7¾	2	"	2	3	7¾	2	4	7	13½	3	14¾	"	"
				11	3	"	"	"	11	3	"	"	29	½	14	8¼	"	"
				42	6	"	"	"	42	6	"	"	83	10¾	14	8¼	"	"
2	Nanman	One Crop	1	73	11	4	"	1	12	7 10/16	3	6	42	1¾	"	"	"	"
			2	116	5¾	3	"	2	81	8¼	2	12	224	2¾	"	"	"	"
			3	59	15	2	8	3	101	"	2	6	239	14	"	"	"	"
			4	42	13¼	2	"	4	118	⅛	1	14	221	4¼	"	"	"	"
			5	20	3	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				313	"	"	"	"	313	"	"	"	727	6¾	"	"	"	"
		Two Crop		1	35	9¾	4	"	1	35	9¾	3	6	120	2 14/16	"	"	"
			2	9	15½	3	"	2	9	15½	2	12	27	6 10/16	"	"	"	"
				45	9¼	"	"	"	45	9¼	"	"	147	9½	73	12¾	"	"
				358	9¼	"	"	"	358	9¼	"	"	875	¼	73	12¾	"	"
		One Crop Land under Tanks		1	11	14 10/16	4	"	1	11	14 10/16	3	6	40	3 6/16	"	"	"
			2	3	8¼	3	"	2	3	8¼	2	12	9	10 10/16	"	"	"	"
				15	6 14/16	"	"	"	15	6 14/16	"	"	49	14	"	"	"	"
				374	⅛	"	"	"	374	2/16	"	"	924	14¼	73	12¾	"	"
3	Nochemmanum	One Crop	1	6	4⅛	3	4	1	19	2 14/16	2	14	55	2¼	"	"	12	14¾
			2	12	14¾	2	12	2	15	2½	2	6	35	15 14/16	"	"	2	3¾
			3	6	12¾	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6 12¾
			4	8	5¾	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 5¾
				34	5 6/16	"	"	"	34	5 6/16	"	"	91	2 2/16	"	"	15	2½
		Two		1	3	1 6/16	3	4	1	5	13¾	2	14	16	13½	8	6¾	2 12 6/16
				2	2	12 6/16	2	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Villages in which the Tonmundars classification have been altered in full.

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Agriculture - 2.

The classifications of Tarumadan have been altered in part.

Villages in which

Harvest Summary - 5.

One Crop																		
	1	1	10½	1	"	1	2	8¼	2	6	5	13 6/16	"	"	"	13¾	"	"
	2	1	13 14/16	"	12	2	2	3½	1	12	3	14 2/16	"	"	"	5 10/16	"	"
		"	"	"	"	3	3	6 6/16	1	2	3	13 2/16	"	"	3	6 6/16	"	"
		3	8 6/16	"	"	"	8	2 2/16	"	"	13	8 10/16	"	"	4	9¾	"	"
Rangiah																		
	1	3	4¼	"	10	1	2	14/16	"	14	1	12¾	"	"	"	"	1	3 1/16
	2	3	9	"	8	2	"	2 10/16	"	12	"	2	"	"	"	"	3	6 6/16
		6	13¼	"	"	"	2	3½	"	"	1	14¾	"	"	"	"	4	9¾
Total		10	5 10/16	"	"	"	10	5 10/16	"	"	15	7 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Agriculturist - 1.

Education - Primary - 1.

One Crop																		
Mauniary																		
	1	5	2 10/16	1	4	1	5	2 10/16	1	4	6	7¼	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	11	8 6/16	1	"	2	14	13 14/16	1	"	14	13 14/16	"	"	3	5½	"	"
	3	3	5½	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	5½
		20	½	"	"	"	20	½	"	"	21	5 2/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ore Crop																		
Mauniary																		
	1	4	6¼	2	"	1	4	6¼	1	8	6	9 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	6	15 6/16	1	8	2	6	15 6/16	1	4	8	11¼	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	18	6¾	1	"	3	18	6¾	1	"	18	6¾	"	"	"	"	"	"
		29	12 6/16	"	"	"	29	12 6/16	"	"	33	11 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
One Crop																		
Mauniary																		
	2	"	10 10/16	1	8	3	2	8 14/16	1	"	2	8 14/16	"	"	1	14¼	"	"
	3	1	14¼	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14¼
		2	8 14/16	"	"	"	2	8 14/16	"	"	2	8 14/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
		32	5¼	"	"	"	32	5¼	"	"	36	4¼	"	"	"	"	"	"
One Crop																		
Mauniary																		
	1	13	9¾	2	8	1	13	9¾	2	"	27	3½	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	25	11 14/16	1	14	2	25	11 14/16	1	12	45	3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	70	11 2/16	1	6	3	70	11 2/16	1	8	106	10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	44	13 14/16	"	12	4	44	13 14/16	1	"	44	13 14/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
		154	14 10/16	"	"	"	154	14 10/16	"	"	223	2¾	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ore Crop																		
Mauniary																		
	1	9	2/16	2	8	3	22	12 10/16	1	8	34	3	"	"	13	12½	"	"
	2	4	1¾	1	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	1¾
	3	9	10¾	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	10¾
		22	12 10/16	"	"	"	22	12 10/16	1	8	34	3	"	"	13	12½	13	12½
		177	11¼	"	"	"	177	11¼	"	"	257	5¾	"	"	"	"	"	"

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Pauillon 4.

One 6 p.

	6s	as	ps	as	6s	as	ps	as	ps	as	ps	as	6s	as	6s	as
1	12	9 14/16	3	8 1	12	9 14/16	3 2/16		39	6 14/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	13	9 3/4	2	12 2	13	9 3/4	2 10		35	11 10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	14	12 6/16	2	2 3	14	12 6/16	2 2		31	6 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	17	3 2/16	1	10 4	32	7 10/16	1 8		48	11 1/2	"	"	15	4 1/2	"	"
5	15	4 1/2	1	1 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	4 1/2
	73	7 10/16	"	" "	73	7 10/16	"	"	155	4 1/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Two 6 p.

1	1	4 1/4	3	8 1	1	4 1/4	3 2		3	15 1/4	1	15 10/16	"	"	"	"
2	7	1 2/16	2	12 2	7	1 2/16	2 10		18	8 14/16	9	4 1/2	"	"	"	"
3	3	14 2/16	2	2 3	6	15 6/16	2 2		14	12 10/16	7	6 1/4	3	1 1/4	"	"
4	3	1 1/4	1	10 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	1 1/4
	15	4 3/4	"	" "	15	4 3/4	"	"	37	4 3/4	18	10 6/16	"	"	"	"
	88	12 6/16	"	" "	88	12 6/16	"	"	192	9	18	10 6/16	"	"	"	"

One

1	4	9 1/4	2	6 1	4	9 1/4	2 "		9	2 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	6	3 1/2	2	" 2	6	3 1/2	1 8		9	5 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"

Mayon 5.

One 6 p.

3	1	3 14/16	1	7 3	1	3 14/16	1 2		7	6 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	12	10/16	"	" "	12	10/16	"	"	19	13 2/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Two 6 p. under Tents.

1	1	14 10/16	2	6 1	7	6/16	2 "		14	3/4	"	"	5	1 3/4	"	"
3	5	1 3/4	1	7 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	1 3/4
	7	6/16	"	" "	7	6/16	"	"	14	3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"

One 6 p. Mauaway.

1	2	13 14/16	2	6 1	2	13 14/16	2 "		5	11 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	6	5 1/2	2	" 2	6	5 1/2	1 8		9	8 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	13	13 10/16	1	7 3	13	13 10/16	1 2		15	9 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	6	9 14/16	1	" 4	6	9 14/16	" 12		4	15 1/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	29	10 14/16	"	" "	29	10 14/16	"	"	35	12 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	48	11 14/16	"	" "	42	11 14/16	"	"	69	11 10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Waadanajakoor 6.

One 6 p.

1	3	1 10/16	2	8 1	7	"	2 "		14	"	"	"	3	14 6/16	"	"
2	3	14 6/16	2	" 3	5	11 1/2	1 8		8	9 1/4	"	"	1	13 2/16	"	"
3	5	11 1/2	1	8 4	9	15 3/4	1 "		9	15 3/4	"	"	4	4 1/4	"	"
4	9	15 3/4	1	" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	15 3/4
	22	11 1/4	"	" "	22	11 1/4	"	"	32	9	"	"	9	15 3/4	9	15 3/4

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

Transmitted.

		b's	a's	P's	a's	b's	a's	P's	a's	P's	a's	P's	a's	b's	a's	b's	a's
One Brop.	2	32	14 2/16	2	14	2	32	14 2/16	2	8	82	3 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	39	3 3/4	2	2	3	39	3 3/4	1	14	73	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	49	6 1/4	1	8	4	49	6 1/4	1	10	80	4 2/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
		121	8 2/16	"	"	"	121	8 3/16	"	"	236	6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
Two Brops.-	1	21	4 2/16	3	10	1	21	4 2/16	2	14	61	1 14/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	6	12	2	14	2	6	12	2	8	16	14	"	"	"	"	"	"
		28	2/16	"	"	"	28	2/16	"	"	77	15 14/16	39	"	"	"	"	"
		149	8 1/4	"	"	"	149	8 1/4	"	"	314	1/4	39	"	"	"	"	"
One Brop. Manuary.-	2	"	6 2/16	2	14	4	4	4 3/4	1	10	6	15 3/4	"	"	3	14 10/16	"	"
	3	3	14 10/16	2	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	14 10/16
		4	4 3/4	"	"	"	4	4 3/4	"	"	6	15 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
		153	13	"	"	"	153	13	"	"	321	"	39	"	"	"	"	"
One Brop.-	1	3	11 2/16	3	4	1	3	11 3/16	2	10	9	11 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	10	"	2	8	2	10	"	2	4	22	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	11	1 1/4	1	12	3	13	12 14/16	1	14	25	14 2/16	"	"	2	11 10/16	"	"
	4	2	11 10/16	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	11 10/16
		24	8	"	"	"	24	8	"	"	58	1 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
Two Brops.	1	5	10/16	3	4	1	5	10/16	2	10	13	3 10/16	6	9 14/16	"	"	"	"
		32	8 10/16	"	"	"	32	8 10/16	"	"	71	5	6	9 14/16	"	"	"	"
One Brop. Manuary	2	"	14 10/16	2	8	4	12	8 10/16	1	10	20	6	"	"	11	10	"	"
	3	1	10 2/16	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10 2/16
	4	9	15 14/16	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	15 14/16
		12	8 10/16	"	"	"	12	8 10/16	"	"	20	6	"	"	11	10	11	10
		45	1 1/4	"	"	"	45	1 1/4	"	"	91	11	6	9 14/16	"	"	"	"
One Brop.-	1	6	9 1/4	3	8	1	6	9 1/4	3	"	19	11 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	16	13 2/16	3	"	2	16	13 2/16	2	8	42	3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	22	5	2	8	3	22	5	2	"	44	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	50	3 14/16	2	"	4	61	14 3/4	1	8	92	14 2/16	"	"	11	10 14/16	"	"
	5	11	10 14/16	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11	10 14/16
		107	10 2/16	"	"	"	107	10 2/16	"	"	199	4 10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Manuale Inany 9.-

Fee Transmitted 8.

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

		bs	as	ps	as	bs	as	ps	as	ps	as	ps	as	bs	as	bs	as
Two Grs	2	2	4	3	2	2	4	2	8	5	10	2	13	"	"	"	"
	3	"	14 2/10	2	8	3	6	5	2	12	10	6	5	5	6 14/10	"	"
	4	5	6 14/10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	6 14/10
		8	9	"	"	8	9	"	"	18	4	9	2	"	"	"	"
		116	3 7/10	"	"	116	3 2/10	"	"	217	8 10/10	9	2	"	"	"	"
One Grs	1	4	8 14/10	3	"	1	4	8 14/10	3	2	14	3 3/4	"	"	"	"	"
	2	10	6	2	8	2	10	6/10	2	6	24	10 1/4	"	"	"	"	"
	3	21	7 3/4	1	12	3	21	7 3/4	1	12	34	9 1/2	"	"	"	"	"
	4	47	14 1/2	1	4	4	47	14 1/2	1	4	59	14 2/10	"	"	"	"	"
		84	5 2/10	"	"	84	5 2/10	"	"	136	5 10/10	"	"	"	"	"	"
Two Grs	1	9	14	3	"	1	9	14	3	2	30	13 3/4	"	"	"	"	"
	2	9	12 14/10	2	8	2	9	12 14/10	2	6	23	4 10/10	"	"	"	"	"
	3	2	13	1	12	3	2	13	1	12	4	14 3/4	"	"	"	"	"
		22	7 14/10	"	"	22	7 14/10	"	"	59	1 2/10	29	8 10/10	"	"	"	"
		106	13	"	"	106	13	"	"	195	6 3/4	29	8 10/10	"	"	"	"

Malwarum 10.

One Grs Malwary.	1	6	3 2/10	3	"	3	16	14 6/10	1	12	29	9 2/10	"	"	10	11 1/4	"	"
	2	7	10	2	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	10
	3	3	1 1/4	1	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	1 1/4
		16	14 6/10	"	"	"	16	14 6/10	"	"	29	9 2/10	"	"	10	11 1/4	10	11 1/4
		123	11 6/10	"	"	"	123	11 6/10	"	"	224	15 14/10	29	8 10/10	"	"	"	"
One Grs Valary 11.	1	7	12 1/2	1	14	1	7	12 1/2	2	18	19	7 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	6	1/2	1	10	2	6	1/2	2	2	12	15 7/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	29	10	1	6	3	29	10	1	6	40	11 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	40	13 1/4	1	"	4	43	10 3/4	"	14	38	3 1/4	"	"	2	13 1/2	"	"
	5	2	13 1/2	"	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	13 1/2
		87	2 3/4	"	"	"	87	2 3/4	"	"	111	5 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"
Two Grs	2	4	6/16	1	10	2	4	6	2	2	9	4 3/4	4	10 6/10	"	"	"	"
		91	8 3/4	"	"	"	91	8 3/4	"	"	120	10 1/4	4	10 6/10	"	"	"	"
One Grs Ayamamdary 12.	1	4	3	2	"	1	5	11 10/10	1	6	7	13 14/10	"	"	1	8 10/10	"	"
	2	1	8 10/10	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	8 10/10
		5	11 10/10	"	"	"	5	11 10/10	1	6	7	13 14/10	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	1	13 6/10	1	4	2	1	13 6/10	"	14	1	9 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
		7	9	"	"	"	7	9	"	"	9	7 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"

11318

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

	1	8	3 3/4	3	4	1	14	13 2/16	2	6	35	3 2/16	"	"	6	9 6/16	"	"
	2	12	1/2	2	10	2	24	11	2	"	49	6	"	"	12	10 1/2	"	"
	3	26	1/4	2	"	3	33	1/4	1	8	50	2 14/16	"	"		1/4	"	"
	4	33	1/4	1	4	4	15	12 2/16	1	2	17	11 10/16	"	"	"	"	17	11 2/16
One Crop.	5	15	12 3/4	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	12 2/16
		95	14 10/16	"	"	"	88	11 1/2	"	"	152	1 10/16	"	"	"	"	1	3 2/16
		"	"	"	"	"	1	5 2/16	2	6	12	14 14/16	"	"	5	2/16	"	"
Two Crop.		"	"	"	"	"	2	1 12	2	"	3	8	"	"	1	12	"	"
		"	"	"	"	"	1	3 2/16	"	"	16	6 14/16	8	3 1/2	1	3 2/16	"	"
		95	14 10/16	"	"	"	95	14 10/16	"	"	168	14 1/2	8	3 1/2	"	"	"	"
	1	11	13 1/4	3	8	1	9	8 10/16	3	"	28	9 10/16	"	"	"	"	2	4 14/16
	2	8	8 3/4	3	"	2	4	15 1/4	2	10	13	"	"	"	"	"	3	9 1/2
	3	22	4 1/2	2	8	3	19	3 1/4	2	4	43	3 6/16	"	"	"	"	3	1/4
Two Crop	4	35	9 1/2	2	"	4	53	1 10/16	1	10	86	4 10/16	"	"	17	8 2/16	"	"
	5	17	8 2/16	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17	8 2/16
		95	12 6/16	"	"	"	86	12 3/4	"	"	171	1 14/16	"	"	"	"	8	15 10/16
		"	"	"	"	"	1	2 14/16	3	"	6	14 10/16	"	"	2	14/16	"	"
	2	5	3 10/16	3	"	2	8	13 2/16	2	10	23	2 1/2	"	"	3	9 1/2	"	"
	3	"	8 14/16	2	8	3	3	13 2/16	2	4	8	9 1/2	"	"	3	4 1/4	"	"
		5	15 1/2	"	"	"	14	15 2/16	"	"	38	10 10/16	19	5 1/4	9	2 10/16	"	"
		101	11 10/16	"	"	"	101	11 14/16	"	"	209	12 1/2	19	5 1/4	"	"	"	"
	1	14	13 1/2	2	12	1	14	13 1/2	2	8	37	13 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	2	31	7 1/2	2	4	2	31	7 1/2	2	"	62	15	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	49	15 14/16	1	12	3	49	15 14/16	1	10	81	3 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"
	4	34	10 14/16	1	4	4	34	10 14/16	1	4	43	5 10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
One Crop	5	14	6 6/16	"	12	5	14	6 6/16	1	"	14	6 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
		145	6 2/16	"	"	"	145	6 2/16	"	"	240	12 6/16	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	"	5 2/16	1	12	5	4	2/16	1	"	4	2/16	"	"	3	11	"	"
One Crop = Manaary	4	2	11 3/4	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	11 3/4
	5	"	15 1/4	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15 1/4
		4	2/16	"	"	"	4	2/16	"	"	4	2/16	"	"	3	11	3	11
		149	6 1/4	"	"	"	149	6 1/4	"	"	243	10/16	"	"	"	"	"	"

Nattoreerpuddo. 13.

Aurovaulum 14.

Terra nuemma Cap. 15.

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844

		Cs	as	Qrs	as		Cs	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as
Ane. Crop.	1	4	1 10/16	3	4	1	4	1 10/16	2	12	11	4 1/2						
	2	15	5 1/2	2	4	2	15	5 1/2	2	4	34	8 6/16						
	3	21	7 1/2	1	12	3	21	7 1/2	1	12	40	3 10/16						
	4	23	15 1/4	1	4	4	23	15 1/4	1	4	29	15						
	5	13	6 14/16	1	2	5	13	6 14/16	1		13	6 14/16						
		78	4 1/2				78	4 1/2			129	6 6/16						
Two Crop	1	7	5 2/16	3	4	1	7	5 2/16	2	12	20	2						
	2	2	9 2/16	2	4	2	2	9 2/16	2	4	5	12 1/2						
		9	14 1/4				9	14 1/4			25	14 1/2	12	15 1/2				
Two Crop Sh. rig ation of Cadamat Stuff.	1	6	7 3/4	3	4	1	6	7 3/4	2	12	17	13 6/16						
	2	7	15	2	4	2	7	15	2	4	17	13 3/4						
		14	6 3/4				14	6 3/4			35	11 2/16	17	13 1/2				
One Crop Amaravaty	1	12	11 2/16	3	4	2	12	11 2/16	2	4	28	9						
	2	7	9 3/4	2	4	3	7	9 3/4	1	12	14	4 6/16						
	3	17	5	1	12	4	17	5	1	4	21	10 1/4						
	4	21	2 3/4	1	4	5	21	2 3/4	1		21	2 3/4						
		58	12 10/16				58	12 10/16			85	10 6/16						
		161	6 2/16				161	6 2/16			276	10 6/16	30	12 1/2				
Ane Crop	1	2	7 14/16	3	4	1	2	7 14/16	2	12	6	13 3/4						
	2		2 14/16	2	8	2		2 14/16	2	4		6 1/2						
	3	8	12	1	8	3	8	12	1	10	14	3 1/2						
	4	18	8 1/2	1	2	4	25	4 1/4	1		25	4 1/4			6	11 3/4		
	5	6	11 3/4		14												6	11 3/4
		36	11	1	5 2/16		36	11			46	12						
Two Crop	2	3	10 10/16	2	8	2	3	1 10/16	2	4	6	15 1/2	3	7 3/4				
		36	12 10/16	1	6 10/16		39	12 10/16			53	11 1/2	3	7 3/4				
One Crop	1	17	4 1/2	2	8	1	17	4 1/2	2	2	36	11 1/2						
	2	9	12 6/16	2	2	2	9	12 6/16	1	14	18	5 1/4						
	3	13	6 14/16	1	12	3	13	6 14/16	1	10	21	13 1/4						
	4	11	14 10/16	1	6	4	21	1	1	4	26	5 1/4			9	2 6/16		
	5	9	2 6/16	1													9	2 6/16
		61	8 3/4	1	13 6/16		61	8 3/4			103	3 1/4						

Vanagharum 16.

Alatavellaj kum 17

Amangcharum 18.

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844

		Cr	as	Qrs	as	Cr	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Cr	as	Cr	as
One Crop	1	3	4 14/16	2	8	1	3	4 14/16	2	2	7	6/16	-	-	-	-	-
	2	2	-	2	-	2	2	-	1	10	3	4	-	-	-	-	-
	3	8	7	1	8	3	8	7	1	6	11	9 11/16	-	-	-	-	-
	4	8	3 14/16	1	-	4	8	3 14/16	1	-	8	3 14/16	-	-	-	-	-
		21	15 3/4	-	-	-	21	15 3/4	-	-	30	1 14/16	-	-	-	-	-
Two Crop	2	2	4	2	-	2	2	4	1	10	3	10 1/2	-	-	-	-	-
	3	-	11 6/16	1	8	3	-	11 6/16	1	6	-	15 10/16	-	-	-	-	-
		2	15 6/16	-	-	-	2	15 6/16	-	-	4	10 2/16	2	5	-	-	-
		24	15 2/16	-	-	-	24	15 2/16	-	-	34	12	2	5	-	-	-
Manaray One Crop	2	-	7 2/16	2	-	3	1	1 6/16	1	6	1	7 12/16	-	-	-	10 1/2	-
	3	-	10 1/2	1	8	4	-	5 10/16	1	-	-	5 10/16	-	-	-	-	4 10/16
	4	-	5 10/16	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 10/16
		1	7	-	-	-	1	7	-	-	1	13 1/2	-	-	-	-	-
		26	6 2/16	-	-	-	26	6 3/16	-	-	36	9 1/2	2	5	-	-	-
One Crop	1	12	14 1/2	3	14	1	12	14 1/2	3	4	1	14 6/16	-	-	-	-	-
	2	33	2 3/4	3	6	2	33	2 3/4	2	14	95	5 14/16	-	-	-	-	-
	3	57	14 1/4	2	8	3	57	14 1/4	2	4	130	4	-	-	-	-	-
	4	78	11 3/4	1	13	4	78	11 3/4	1	12	137	12 1/2	-	-	-	-	-
		182	11	-	-	-	182	11	-	-	405	4 3/4	-	-	-	-	-
Two Crop	2	10	14 1/4	3	6	2	10	14 1/4	2	14	31	5	15	10 1/2	-	-	-
		193	9 1/4	-	-	-	193	9 1/4	-	-	436	9 3/4	15	10 1/2	-	-	-
One Crop Manaray	1	7	2 1/2	3	14	2	7	2 1/2	2	14	20	9 1/4	-	-	-	-	-
	2	9	15 3/4	3	6	3	9	15 3/4	2	4	22	7 1/2	-	-	-	-	-
	3	7	1/4	2	8	4	7	1/4	1	12	12	4 1/2	-	-	-	-	-
		24	2 1/2	-	-	-	24	2 1/2	-	-	55	5 1/4	-	-	-	-	-
		217	11 3/4	-	-	-	217	11 3/4	-	-	491	15	15	10 1/2	-	-	-
One Crop	1	33	6	4	8	1	49	13 1/2	3	8	174	7 1/4	-	-	16	7 1/2	-
	2	31	12 2/16	3	8	2	34	9 2/16	3	2	108	1/2	-	-	2	13	-
	3	63	12 1/4	3	-	3	62	1 6/16	2	12	170	11 3/4	-	-	-	-	1 10 14/16
	4	106	11 1/4	2	8	4	89	1 10/16	2	4	200	7 10/16	-	-	-	-	17 9 10/16
	5	47	4	2	-	5	47	4	2	-	94	8	-	-	-	-	-
		282	13 10/16	-	-	-	282	13 10/16	-	-	748	3 2/16	-	-	-	-	-

Ft Nottaway 19.

Coromadparo-bede 20.

Moungaud 21.

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844

	C	a	o	a		C	a	o	a	o	a	o	a	C	a	C	a
Two Crop	1	4	12 14/16	4	8	1	4	12 14/16	3	8	16	13 2/16						
	2	31	6/16	3	8	2	31	6/16	3	2	96	15 2/16						
	3	59	6 1/4	3		3	59	6 1/4	2	12	163	5 2/16						
	4	16	2 1/4	2	8	4	16	2 1/4	2	4	36	5 2/16						
	5	4	7 2/16	2		5	4	7 2/16	2		8	14 1/4						
		115	12 14/16				115	12 14/16			322	4 3/4	161	2 6/16				
		398	10 1/2				398	10 1/2			1070	7 14/16	161	2 6/16				
Matandag-kum 22 Rice Crop	1	6	7 1/2	3		2	6	7 1/2	2	10	16	15 10/16						
	2	7	9	2	8	3	7	9	2		15	2						
	3	17	4 6/16	1	8	4	17	4 6/16	1	12	30	3 10/16						
		31	4 14/16				31	4 14/16			62	5 1/4						
Two Crop	1	3	14	3	8	1	3	14	3	4	19	9 1/2	6	4 3/4				
		35	2 14/16	2	3 6/16		35	2 14/16			74	14 3/4	6	4 3/4				
Rajamanaikum 23 Oil Crop	1	3	5 14/16	5		1	3	5 14/16	3	6	11	5 14/16						
	2	5	9	3	12	2	5	9	3		16	11						
	3	9	5 2/16	3		3	9	5 2/16	2	10	24	7 6/16						
	4	15	10/16	2		4	15	10/16	2	2	31	15 1/4						
		33	4 10/16				33	4 10/16			84	7 1/2						
Two Crop	2	1	5 14/16	3	12	1	3	8 1/2	3	6	11	14 10/16			2	2 10/16		
	3	2	2 11/16	3		2		15 1/2	3		2	14 1/2					1	3 2/16
	4		15 1/2	2														15 1/2
		4	8				4	8			14	13 2/16	7	6 1/2				
		37	12 10/16				37	12 10/16			66	4 10/16	7	6 1/2				
Hamamalakee 24 One Crop	1	3	1/2	4		1	4	2	3		12	6			1	1 1/2		
	2	1	1 1/2	3	4	2	10	10 1/4	2	8	26	9 10/16			9	8 3/4		
	3	10	10 1/4	2	12	3	8	4 3/4	2		16	9 1/2					2	5 1/4
	4	8	4 3/4	1	12												8	4 3/4
		23	1				23	1			55	9 2/16			10	10 1/4	10	10 1/4
Two Crop Total One Crop Manamary	2	3	3 10/16	3	4	1	3	3 10/16	3		9	10 14/16	4	13 1/2				
		26	4 10/16				26	4 10/16			65	4	4	13 1/2				
	3		11 3/4	2	12	2		11 3/4	2	8	1	13 6/16						
	4	2	9 2/16	1	12	4	2	9 2/16	1	8	3	13 10/16						
		3	4 14/16				3	4 14/16			5	11						
		29	9 1/2				29	9 1/2			70	15	4	13 1/2				

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844

Plantation Pauchum 25

One Crop.	1	6	2 1/4	3	.	1	6	2 1/4	2	12	16	14 2/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2	15	2 3/16	2	8	2	15	2 3/16	2	4	34	3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	21	5 3/4	2	.	3	21	5 3/4	2	.	42	11 1/2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	37	14 1/4	1	8	4	37	14 1/4	1	8	56	13 6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
		80	8 6/16	.	.	.	80	8 6/16	2	12	150	7 3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
Two Crop	1	.	15 1/2	3	.	1	.	15 1/2	2	12	2	10 10/16	1	5 1/4	.	.	.	.
	3	9	2 14/16	2	.	3	9	2 14/16	2	.	18	5 3/4	9	2 14/16	.	.	.	.
		10	2 6/16	.	.	.	10	2 6/16	.	.	21	6/16	10	8 2/16	.	.	.	.
		90	10 3/4	.	.	.	90	10 3/4	.	.	171	8 2/16	10	8 2/16	.	.	.	.
One Crop Plantain	2	.	7	2	8	2	3	10/16	1	8	4	9	.	.	2	9 10/16	.	.
	3	2	9 11/16	2	.	3	5	14 2/16	1	.	5	14 2/16	.	.	3	4 1/2	.	.
	4	5	14 2/16	1	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	5	14 2/16
		8	14 3/4	.	.	.	8	14 3/4	.	.	16	7 2/16	.	.	5	14 2/16	5	14 3/16
		99	9 1/2	.	.	.	99	9 1/2	.	.	181	15 1/4	10	8 2/16	.	.	.	.
Two Crop	1	5	12 1/2	3	12	1	1	10 3/4	3	.	5	1/4	.	.	.	.	4	1 3/4
	2	24	4 1/2	2	10	3	13	8 1/2	2	8	33	13 1/2	.	.	.	.	10	12
	3	25	3	1	8	3	25	3	2	.	50	6	.	.	.	.	.	.
		55	4	.	.	.	40	6 1/4	.	.	89	3 1/2	.	.	.	.	14	13 3/4
Plantain Crop	1	7	8 3/4	3	12	1	22	6 1/2	3	.	67	3 1/2	33	9 3/4	14	13 3/4	.	.
		62	12 3/4	2	6	14/16	62	12 3/4	.	.	156	7	33	9 3/4	.	.	.	.

Plantation Pauchum 26

One Crop	1	7	14 1/2	5	.	1	7	14 1/2	3	8	27	10 3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2	40	5 10/16	3	12	2	40	5 10/16	2	14	116	1/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	142	15 3/4	2	4	3	142	15 3/4	2	6	339	9 6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	97	14 3/4	1	8	4	97	14 14/16	1	12	171	6	.	.	.	.	.	.
	5	43	2 1/4	1	.	5	43	2 1/4	1	8	64	11 6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
		332	5	.	.	.	332	5	2	2 11/16	719	5 3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
Two Crop	1	22	15 14/16	5	.	1	22	15 14/16	3	8	80	7 1/2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2	28	14 10/16	3	12	2	28	14 10/16	2	14	83	2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	14	14 1/4	2	4	3	14	14 1/4	2	6	35	5 11/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
		66	12 3/4	.	.	.	66	12 3/4	.	.	198	15 6/16	99	7 3/4	.	.	.	.
		399	1 3/4	.	.	.	399	1 3/4	.	.	918	5 1/16	99	7 3/4	.	.	.	.

Ballumtundalum 27

11318

Fort St George 26th August 1844

		Cs	as	Qrs	as	Cs	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Qrs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as
Mananary One Crop	2	8	9 6/16	3	12	4	8	9 6/16	1	12	15	6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	14	1	2	4	5	23	6	1	8	35	1	.	.	9	5	.	.
	4	9	5	1	8	.	.	.	.	.	10	.	.	.	.	.	9	5
		31	15 6/16	.	.	.	31	15 6/16	.	.	50	1 6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
		431	1 2/16	.	.	.	431	1 2/16	.	.	968	6 1/2	99	7 3/4	.	.	.	.
Tullanagaum 28. One Crop	1	8	14 1/2	3	.	1	8	14 1/2	2	8	22	4 1/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2	18	.	2	8	2	18	.	2	.	36	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	6	12	1	12	3	6	12	1	10	10	15 1/2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	13	15 2/16	1	2	4	13	15 2/16	1	2	15	11	.	.	.	.	.	.
		47	9 10/16	.	.	.	47	9 10/16	.	.	84	14 3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
Two Crop	1	1	5 2/16	3	.	1	2	10 2/16	2	8	6	9 1/4	3	4 10/16	1	5	.	.
	2	1	5	2	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	5
		2	10 2/16	.	.	.	2	10 2/16	.	.	6	9 1/4	3	4 10/16	.	.	.	.
		50	3 3/4	.	.	.	50	3 3/4	.	.	91	8	3	4 10/16	.	.	.	.
Mananary One Crop	1	14	14 1/2	3	4	1	14	14 1/2	2	12	40	15 14/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
	2	21	10 10/16	2	12	2	11	10 10/16	2	6	27	11 1/4	.	.	.	.	10	.
	3	20	4 3/4	2	4	3	20	4 3/4	2	.	40	9 1/2	.	.	.	.	.	.
	4	21	11 2/16	1	8	4	21	11 2/16	1	8	32	8 3/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
		78	9	.	.	.	68	9	.	.	141	13 9/16	.	.	.	.	10	.
Two Crop		.	.	.	.	.	2	10	.	2	6	23	12	11	14	10	.	.
Total		78	9	.	.	.	78	9	.	.	165	9 6/16	11	14	.	.	.	.
Mananary One Crop	4	22	14	1	8	5	22	14	1	4	28	9 1/2	.	.	.	.	.	.
Vello Thiro-vatotum	1	2	8 14/16	3	4	1	2	8 14/16	3	8	8	15/4	4	7 10/16	.	.	.	.
Total		103	15 14/16	.	.	.	103	15 14/16	.	.	203	2 2/16	16	5 10/16	.	.	.	.
Mananary 30 One Crop	1	.	6 18/16	5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6 10/16
	2	16	3 14/16	3	12	2	16	3 14/16	3	.	48	11 10/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	17	8	2	8	3	15	11 14/16	2	8	39	5 10/16	.	.	.	.	1	12 2/16
	4	8	13	1	8	4	8	13	1	14	16	8 6/16	.	.	.	.	.	.
		42	15 1/2	.	.	.	40	12 3/4	.	.	104	9 10/16	.	.	.	.	2	2 3/4
Two Crop	1	14	15 14/16	5	.	1	15	6/2	3	8	53	14 3/4	.	.	.	6 10/16	.	.
	2	5	12 3/4	3	12	2	5	12 3/4	3	.	17	6/4	.	.	.	.	.	.
	3	.	.	.	.	3	1	12 2/16	2	8	4	6/4	.	.	1	12 2/16	.	.
		20	12 10/16	.	.	.	22	15 6/16	.	.	75	11/4	37	13 10/16	2	2 3/4	.	.
Total		63	12 2/16	.	.	.	63	12 2/16	.	.	180	4 14/16	37	13 10/16	.	.	.	.
Cotta Tolum Two Crop	1	2	5	5	.	1	2	5	3	8	8	1/2	4	3/4	.	.	.	.
Total		66	1 2/16	.	.	.	66	1 2/16	.	.	188	6 6/16	41	14 6/16	.	.	.	.

Mananary 29.

Mananary 30

Signed C. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary

Statement

11318

Fort St. Geo

No. 14 Statement shewing the rates of pro

Produce at 4¼ at 4 2/16 at 4 at 3¾ at 3½ at 3 6/16 at 3¼ at 3 2/16 at 3 at 2 14/16 at 2¾ a

Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags.

County

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	21	8
	No.	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b		
1	13	4	51	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	14 3/4	"	"	"	"	22	8
2	13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	8
3	12	9	"	"	"	21	10 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	25	8
4	12	6	"	"	15	7 1/8	20	14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	26	8
5	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	3½	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	27	7
6	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	7 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	7
7	11	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21	11 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	7
8	11	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	55	14 3/8	6	14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	7
9	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	15½	24	8 5/8	84	11	51	10¼	14	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	31	7
10	10	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	4 7/8	60	"	25	10 3/8	"	"	7	4	69	4¼	"	"	"	"	32	6
11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	3½	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	34	6
12	10	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29	17/8	28	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	35	6
13	10	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	8	3	10 1/8	21	4/8	37	6
14	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	6
15	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	6
16	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	5
17	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	27	2¼	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	39	5
18	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40	5
19	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	5
20	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	42	5

11318

Produce 4¼ at 4 2/16 at 4 at 3¾ at 3½ at 3 6/16 at 3¼ at 3 2/16 at 3 at 2 14/16 at

Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags. Pags.

County

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
No.	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	b	a	
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	2½	38	12 1/4
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

11318

Fort St. Geo 6th A

No. 14 Statement shewing the rates of prod and te

Produce Cawny	Cawny b	M. cawnies	at 4¼ Pags. Fns. Csh.	at 4 2/16	at 4	at 3¾	at 3½	at 3 6/16	at 3¼	at 3 2/16	at 3	at 2 14/16	at 2¾	at 2½ Pags. Fns. Csh.	Average rate to the garden Assesst. (33)	Total (34)
1	13	4	51 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	72 15 5/8
2	13	"	"	"	"	"	30 14 3/8	"	"	"	21 14 3/4	"	"	"	"	30 14 3/8
3	12	9	"	"	21 10 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21 10 1/8
4	12	6	"	15 7 1/8	20 14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36 5 1/2
5	12	"	"	"	"	51 3 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	51 3 1/2
6	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	12 7 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12 7 7/8
7	11	8	"	"	"	"	21 11 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21 11 1/8
8	11	3	"	"	"	"	55 14 3/8	6 14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	62 12 3/4
9	11	"	"	"	"	"	132 15 1/2	24 8 5/8	84 11	51 10 1/4	14 15	"	"	"	"	308 12 3/8
10	10	9	"	"	"	"	28 4 5/8	60 "	25 10 3/8	"	7 4	69 4 1/4	"	"	"	190 7 1/4
11	10	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 3 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 3 1/2
12	10	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	29 1 7/8	28 5	"	"	12 10	"	"	116 9 1/4
13	10	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3 15 1/4	"	"	3 15 1/4
14	10	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 8	3 10 1/8	21 4 1/8	"	"	"	26 6 1/4
15	10	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	17 8 1/2	"	"	"	"	17 8 1/2
16	10	"	"	"	"	"	27 2 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	352 4
17	9	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	106 3/4	172 3 7/8	6 10	31 5 3/8	4	"	69 13 7/8
18	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	29 5 7/8	5 1 1/8	29 13 3/4	"	"	386 5 3/8
19	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	269 5 1/4	35 5/8	82 7 1/4	"	"	776 6 3/4
20	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	161 12 1/4	83 2 1/4	41 6 7/8	99	"	
			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	104 12 1/4	87 5 5/8	397 7 7/8	1		

at 3½ Pags. Fns. Csh. (6) b	a	at 3 6/16 (7) b	a	at 3¼ (8) b	a	at 3 2/16 (9) b	a	at 3 (10) b	a	at 2 14/16 (11) b	a	at
												1 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	2 1/2	38	12 1/4	1 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 1/2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2 3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

11318

Fort St. Joe 26th August 1844.

Produce at 4¼ at 4 2/10 at 4 at 3¾ at 3½ at 3 6/10 at 3¼ at 3 2/10 at 3 at 2 21/24 at 2 10/10 at 2½ at 2 6/10 at 2¼ at 2 2/10 at 2 at 1 15/10 at 1 14/10 at 1 12/10 at 1 3/4 at 1 2/10 at 1½ at 1 6/10 at 1¼ at 1 2/10 at 1 at 14/10 at ¾ at

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Pkgs	6	10	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Canvy	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales	Bales
Cwt	13	5	3																			127	7½	292	15⅜	230	23⅜		7	9¼
Qrs	4	5																											5	9½
Lbs	15	4	9																			129	9¾	716	13⅜	112	11¾	6	15	
	16	4	8																					146	4	80	6¾	93	13⅜	
	7	4	6																					20	6⅛	18	2	38	15¼	
	9	4	4																					73	7⅞	140	12¼	28	11⅜	15
	4	4	3																							65	3¼	25	6½	
	4																									65	4	199	12⅜	12
	3	9																										371	15⅜	157
	3	6																										38	11¾	123
	3	4																											103	14⅝
	3	3																											53	9⅛
	3	2																											149	
	3	1																												
	9																												703	10¾
	7½																													
	6																													
	3																													
	9																													
Entered																														
Total	51	7/8	15	7/8	42	8½	51	3½	296	14½	103	14	7/8	147	10¾	187	8	809	14	3/8	346	329	7/8	136	7/8	1166	14½	960	8⅝	1238

	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	13 1/8	10 3½				22 1/8		
							28 3/8	
							29 3/8	
							21 3/8	24 2
								15/8 106 7¼ 17 3/4

Lowell
Secretary

11312

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

No. 15.

...nd the rate of Teerwa in each class, together with the corresponding rates of the Kudivarum and Swatuntrams and Produce ...goda in the Cusba and 12 other Villages of Manimungalum Talook according to the proposed Settlement.-

		Pa. Caumy	...rayapoorum		Maunevaukum		Karechengolum		Maleputtoo		Maughanuttum		Somungalum		
	1	c M	Assessment	Produce	Assessment	Produce	Assessment	Produce	Assessment	Produce	Assessment	Produce	Assessment	Produce	Assessment
43	5	3	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa	c f	Pa. aa
44	5	[illegible]	2 - -	9 3	2 12 -	12 6	4 2 -	5 9	1 10 -	6 9	2 - -	12 6	4 - -	12 9	4 - -
45	4	9													
46	4	8	1 8 -	8 -	2 6 -	10 6	3 8 -	4 6	1 4 -	5 6	1 10 -	11 -	3 8 -	11 -	3 6 -
47	4	6/													
48	4	4	1 - -	6 9	2 - -	8 9	2 14 -	4 -	1 2 -	4 3	14 -	8 9	2 12 -	9 3	2 14 -
49	4														
50	4		-	5 3	1 8 -	7 3	2 6 -	3 2	- 14 -	- -	- - -	6 3	2 - -	6 6	2 - -
51	3														
52	3		- - -	- -	- - -	5 -	1 10 -	- -	- - -	- -	- - -	- -	- - -	5 3	1 10 -
53	3														
54	3														
55	3										C. T.P.				
56	3		48 11 4		46 - -		50 6 8		41 14 -		44 6 3		48 3 4		47 1 12
57	2		45 5 12		37 9 8		39 11 -		41 9 -		43 4 -		38 7 14		37 4 14
58	2		5 15		16 2 8		9 14 8		16 9 -		12 1 6		13 4 14		15 9 6
59	2														
60	2		100 - -		100 - -		100 - -		100 - -		100 - -		100 - -		100 - -
61	1														
62 Not em...			- - 5 3				- 5 6		- - -				- - -		
Tot...															

11318. Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Chatelputtoo Produce	Assessment	Poodoocherry Produce	Assessment	Vadooveraputtoo Produce	Assessment	Parongalattoo Produce	Assessment
C. T. Pc.	a. a.	C. T. Pc.	a. a.	C. T. Pc.	a. a.	C. T. Pc.	a. a.
6 3 2	8 3	28 7 6	26 8	24			
5 1 10	6 6	2 6	2 7	1 14			
4 14 5	1 8	4 6	1 8	6	1 10		
2 9 14	4 1	4 3 6	1 2	4	1 2		
487	45 15 8		C. T. Pc.				
36 7 4	40 10		48 8 5½		40 11 10		
15 1 12	13 6 8		39 4		37 13		
100	100		11 10 6½		21 7 6		
			100		100		
53							

Signed C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

11319. Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Read again the Report of the Collector of Chingleput on the settlement of Fusly 1252 — A.D. 1842/43.

11th in Cons. 19th October 1843.

Read also his Letter in reply to the Board's Proceedings on the settlement of Fusly 1251 — A.D. 1841/42.

10th in Cons. 29th Feby 1844.

36

Proceedings thereon. Para 1.

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Chingleput for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43

Mr. Freese describes the season as less favorable than the preceding one. The rains scanty at the commencement and during the greater part of the Monsoon fell heavily in October and again with great violence in the Month of January. This irregularity impeded the progress of cultivation and on the last occasion the standing crops were beaten down and damaged to some extent. Further losses were sustained by a gale in May. There was also much disease among Men and Cattle. All these circumstances combined to render the

Para 93

outturn of this year, inferior to that of the one which preceded it, as is clearly shewn in the Abstract table given in

Para 4

the 4th Para of the Collector's Report. according to this the decrease in the extent

of

Fort St. George 26th October 1844.

of cultivation was

Nanjah { 1 Crop land 13,020 Cawnies
2 Crop land 3,805

Poonjah ——— 14,449
Fotaical ——— 178
Total Cawnies ——— 31,452

In turning to the general Abstract of the Settlement in the Statement marked No. 15 which accompanies the Collector's Report, the decrease in the extent of two Crop cultivation is not found to correspond with that in the text, the entries being:-

Enclosure No. 15 Col. 58-59.

One Crop. Land 12,944 Cawnies
Two Crop Do. —— 75
Total 13020 Cawnies.

A reason for this will probably be found in the fact discovered in the Board's Proceedings on the survey of the three Talooks last settled in Chingleput with reference to this description of cultivation, where it was shewn that in arranging the proposed assessment, the extent of land classed as capable of yielding a second crop was considerably increased by the late Collector, and that more land was thus made to appear under this head than was ever

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

likely to be cultivated with a second crop in average years. This was illustrated by a Statement of the cultivation of one Village (Cusbah Manimungalum) for a period of 5 years which proved that the result anticipated by the Board had actually taken place. This fact induces the belief that a practice has arisen in the Jaghеer of entering such double Crop land in the demand (Dittum) at the single Crop assessment only the second Crop teerwah being credited afterwards at the Summabundy for such land as has been ascertained to have actually produced the additional crop. But in the Talooks surveyed by Messrs. Hepburn and Smalley a consolidated assessment only was imposed and the Mr. Maclean subsequently divided this into a first and second demand, the latter not having been sanctioned has not yet been adopted in the current accounts.

It is probable therefore that the 1288 Cawnies which are 75 Cawnies less than the preceding years demand belong to the last mentioned Villages while the 3805 are the decrease including those classed as 2 Crop

In Nos. 9 One &c. in Board's Proceedings of 26th Aug. 1844.

in

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

in the final stages of the survey but not credited with Teerwa of the 2d crop in the Dittum. This however (if the Board are correct in their surmise) is irregular and should be discontinued The prescribed rule with reference to such lands is to bring the full double crop tax to account in the demands and to remit it at the settlement only when it can be proved that there was not water sufficient to admit of a second Crop being raised. The Board desire that this rule may invariably be observed.-

2.- In connection with this subject the Board's attention has been attracted to another peculiarity in the Assessment of 2 Rop Nunjah, Nominal cess is sometimes levied for a third Crop, at a rate of half the demand on the 2d or a quarter of that on the first. This has been observed in the detailed accounts of the Village of Vellapakum in Conjeveram Talook forwarded with your Settlement accounts according to

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

to the standing order. from which the following are taken as exemplified.-

No. of Field	Land	1st Crop Assessment	2d Crop Assessment	3d Crop Assessment
		C aa P aa	C aa P aa	C a.a
512-	" 5-	" 13 12	" 6 14	" 3 8
517-	" 6 6	1 1 8	" 8 12	" 4 6

3. The great principle of a Survey Assessment is that of fixing a fair tax on the land, after which the occupant is to be free from all interference. The levy of any sum beyond that sanctioned by the survey is a contravention of this first principle. The Board request the Collector will be good enough to inform them to what extent this practice prevails.-

4. The farther examination of this Statement (No. 15) has suggested a few other observations regarding the cultivation which require explaination.-

5. In the 6 2d Column the total demand is stated at 80,063 Rupees which

Fort St. George 26th August 1842.

which is these particularized under the
Colr 63 Rs. 7,99,943. several heads of "Land sown," "partial
64 — 3,744. fallows" "ploughed but not sown" "ploughed
65 — 4360. but failing to obtain water for irrigation
66 — 1,514. and then follow the remissions in co-
lumn 9, the first three items of
which correspond exactly with the
entries in Columns 64 — 65 and 66 above
mentioned. It is not probable that the
circumstances which led to this de-
=ductions could have been known at the
time the demand was fixed. supposing
this to have been closed as it ought to
have been before the settlement, and
if so they should not have been entered
among the particulars of it. The de-
=crease under the head of spring channel
irrigation amounted to 230 Cawnies paying
1,452 Rupees. This source of supply is
generally the most regular and abundant.
so much so is this the case that Mr.
Vincash proposed it should bear an
additional assessment. It would have
been satisfactory had the cause of the
decrease been explained. The falling
off in Total has increased progressively

For

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

for several years.

In 1248 Fusly the Garden cultivation was } Cawnies 1664 — Rs. 11,523
1249 — 1,493 — 10,017
1250 — 1,484 — 9,918
1251 — 1,468 — 9,749
1252 — 1,289 — 8,509

Para 6. 6 The settlement of the year amounted to Rs. 9,98,057-9-3 being less by Rs. 12,1124-11-8 or nearly 11 per cent than that of the preceding Fusly. Two Villages ac-
=quired by purchase were transferred from the head of Zemindary to a manay and one from that of Shotrium having lapsed (13) by the death of the remaining Proprietors. The circumstances of these Villages are detailed at considerable length in the 17 & 18 Paras of the Report and do not call for particular remark. —

Paras 18-19. 7. The remissions at the settlement amounted to Rupees 4066-1-9 and were less than those of the preceding year by Rupees 1837-3-8. The three first items as has been observed are stated to be identical with the same items in the Demand. — Those for short

Para 20 produce are larger than in 1251 Fusly

see Encl. No. 14 Col. 138.

by

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

by 2,731 Rupees. Of the total sum of Rs. 5138 by far the largest portion or Rupees 2642 have been granted in Poona-mallie Taluk in which in the preceding season the amount was only Rupees 281.

Note. a discrepancy is observed in this statement between the Totals of Col. 130-800063 & the sum of Cols. 15 & 158 800093.

Mr. Freese states that every care was taken to keep the deductions under this head within the smallest possible limits but that they are occasionally indispensible. The Board have always objected to claims for Palanastam or shamelat Bunjer being entertained at all under a survey assess-ment. If analysed their necessity would be found to depend more on the poverty of the individual than on the loss he had actually sustained from the season.

Para 21. 8. The Remissions for withered produce (shavi) are more than double those of 1251 Fusly. It is remarkable that of the whole amount or Rupees 6,107 the small sum of Rupees 64 only were granted in the Taluks that had been first assessed under the survey while the proportion allotted to those recently settled was 6042. a cause for which is assigned by the Collector in the 5th Para.

Enclr. No. 23 Col. 19.

The

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

28th Sept. 1843 The observations made by the Board in their Proceedings on 1251 Fusly applyalso in the present instance to the small portion of holdings on which indulgence was granted, but as the Collector states that he gave particular attention to the general condition of the parties, it may be presumed that other circumstances of loss or distress combined to influence his decision. He has still it is seen entered the remission for seed that did not germinate (Vittoopal) under a separate head tho' in effect the same as withered produce. The increased amount of these two deductions was Rupees 4,547.

Para 22. 9. Of that portion of the decrease in Cowle land occasioned by "the nature of the season," it is observed that a portion was thrown up in the dry cultivation amounting to 346 Rupees, and remissions were allowed for withered produce to the extent of 54 Rupees such deductions are quite unusual in Cowles, which constitute a small portion only of a Ryots farm and are held on the most favorable terms. The Board could understand the propriety

Enclr. No. 20 Col. 11

propriety of a remission in a Nunjah Cowle, if there was a failure in the source of irrigation on which it depended, but a similar indulgence to Poonjah land is unaccountable.

Para 26. 10.- The settlement under every head amounted to Rupees 15,48,670 which was Rupees 2,08,140 less than the preceding Fusly- Of the Land Revenue + 8,04,162 Rupees were collected within the Fusly and 18259 + Rs. 9,98,057- Rupees had been recovered by the end Para 29. of June last; leaving a balance of Rupees 5,836-5-4. The large sum outstanding at the beginning of the Fusly on account of the preceding year was likewise reduced to Rupees 22,624 at the date of the Report. At the end of June last it was Rupees 21,505-4-1 the promised communication From Coll. 19th August 1844. for the adjustment of which has just been received- The Board entirely concur in the justice of the observations recorded of Mr. Freese with reference to this subject in the 29th Para of his Report.

Para 27. 11. The Comparative Table of the Annual Revenue since Fusly 1233d. entered to shew that the settlement of

the year under review is still in excess of most of its predecessors- But at the same time it must not be overlooked that tho favorable to the extent pointed out by Mr. Freese, it is still below the Permanent settlement, which was calculated to be the Net Revenue payable in all years, whatever the nature of the season- and exclusive of all charges. The Statement given by the Collector in Para 5 of his Report, shews that though the Ryotwar settlement of Fusly 1251 somewhat exceeded that standard the same demand in 1252 Fusly fell short of it by more than 11 per Cent and if the charges were deducted the difference would be still more palpable in both years.-

Para 31 12. Mr. Freese has proposed a Kistbundy for regulating the Collections which he has explained in this Para- It is convenient to have such arrangements as uniform as possible and the Board are of opinion that on this principle it might be further simplified with advantage.-

11330

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

13.- In his letter of the 10th February last Mr. Freese has offered some explanations of certain points noticed in the Board's Proceedings of 28th September 1843.- with references to the conclusion therein deduced from a comparison of the cultivation and revenue of 1210 and 1251 Fusilies he observed that the data assumed by the Board do not afford a just criterion of the effect of the survey in the lately assessed Villages, because they are derived from the whole District.- But on examination of the amended Statement appended to Para 5 leads nearly to the same result.- The difference between the settlement of 1251 and the Collections of 1210 Fusly is 16 per Cent in Mr. Vivead's Villages and 12 per Cent in Mr. Maclean's The latter Mr. Freese attributes to the decreased cultivation of second crop.- a subject which has been noticed at ex length elsewhere.- But as shewn in the Board's Proceedings on the survey the assets of the recently settled 3 Talooks have been greatly augmented since 1210

Para 4-5.

11331

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Fusly.- Both Mr. Vivead and Mr. Maclean however adopted the cultivation of Fusly 1232 as the basis of their settlements and the following is the comparison of that year and of 1251 Fusly derived from the same Table.-

		Fusilies	Cawnies	Rupees	
Mr. Vivead's Settlement	1232	43797	27,379		
	1251	50,414	28,812		3 1/2 Per Cent Increase
Mr. Maclean's Settlement	1232	66,400	40,680		
	1251	61,953	37,829	4	6 1/2 Per Cent left

Para 10-11

14 The comparative Statement of the lands cultivated in each class of Talooks Maninungalum has been prepared in compliance with the Board's wishes But it is not complete being framed with reference to the Survey Sheicul - only much of which has been abandoned since 1232 Fusly and Layek or arable waste taken in its stead. Another Statement marked A which accompanies this

11332 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

these Proceedings. had been drawn up in the Board's Office with a view to supplying the omissions above mentioned omissions. Tho' the desertion of the superior classes is with one exception inconsiderable there is a marked increase in the inferior classes which increases in a somewhat descending ratio.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded

11333 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance.—

Irrigation

A.

No.	Sheikul or Cultivation in the Survey Account	Cultivation in Fusly 1248	Cultivation in Fusly 1252	Comparison between Columns 4 and 5: Increase	Per Cent	Decrease	Per Cent	Comparison between Columns 3 and 5: Increase	Per Cent	Decrease	Per Cent	Particulars of the Cultivation of Fusly 1252: Cultivation of Survey Sheical Land	Cultivation in Sayek Anaudy and Poramboke Lands
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	51 7/8	50 13	44 3	-	-	6 10	13 1/2	-	-	6 13 7/8	13 1/4	44 2 7/8	1/8
	15 7/8	15 3 3/4	13 14 1/8	-	-	1 5 5/8	8 14	-	-	1 9	10 1 3/4	13 14 1/8	-
	44 4	141 15 1/2	111 6 3/4	-	-	30 8 3/4	21 8 1/4	-	-	32 13 1/4	22 12 1/8	110 1 1/8	1 5 5/8
	80 2 1/2	171 3 1/2	171 3/8	-	-	3/8	1 7/8	-	-	9 2 1/8	5 1 1/16	170 7 1/4	9 1/8
	24 8 5/8	24 12 3/8	24 6 5/8	-	-	5 3/4	1 7 3/4	-	-	2	8 1/8	23 14 1/8	8 1/2
6	106 7 3/8	99 13 1/2	103 6 5/8	9 1/8	9	-	-	-	-	6 3/4	5 11	103 5 3/4	7/8
	25 5	25 12 3/4	25 12 7/8	1/8	7/16	-	-	7/8	1 12	-	-	25 5	7 7/8
	2 1 3/8	393 6	400 12 1/8	10 8 7/8	2 11/16	-	-	-	-	21 3/4	5 1/2	398 8 3/8	2 5 3/4
	2 13 1/4	91 10	91 3/8	-	-	9 5/8	10 1/2	-	-	21 12 7/8	19 5 1/4	89 15 1/2	1 7/8
	5 4 1/2	229 15 3/4	266 9 5/8	36 9 7/8	15 14 3/4	-	-	-	-	8 10 7/8	3 2 1/2	261 6 1/2	5 3 7/8
	1 1 5/8	39 15 3/4	41 6 1/2	1 6 3/4	3 9	-	-	4 7/8	12	-	-	40 12 7/8	9 5/8
	393 5 3/8	321 12 1/4	362 1/8	40 3 7/8	12 8 1/16	-	-	-	-	31 5 1/4	7 15 1/2	350 8 7/8	11 7 1/4
	178 10 5/8	145 14 3/4	168 4 1/2	22 5 3/4	15 5/8	-	-	-	-	10 6 1/8	5 13	162 11	5 9 1/2
	568 6 7/8	393 5 7/8	498 4 1/4	104 14 3/8	29 3 1/2	-	-	-	-	70 2 5/8	12 5 1/2	479 1 1/4	19 3
	96 4 1/8	86 2 1/2	93 10 5/8	7 8 1/8	8 1 5/16	-	-	-	-	2 9 1/2	2 11	91 7	2 3 5/8
	499 12 1/4	309 11 1/8	430 8	120 12 5/8	39 1/8	-	-	-	-	69 4 1/4	13 13 3/4	384 11 1/4	45 9 3/4
	144 2 5/8	128 13	139 15	11 2	8 10 1/8	-	-	-	-	4 3 5/8	2 14 7/8	136 12 5/8	3 2 3/8
	147 11 3/4	29 5 1/4	78 7	49 1 3/4	167	-	-	-	-	69 4 3/4	46 14 1/2	65 15 1/8	12 7 7/8
19	504 3/8	353 11 1/8	416 11 1/8	63	17 13/16	-	-	-	-	167 8	28 10 3/4	376 6 1/8	40 5
20	258 5	111 14 1/4	228 2 1/4	116 4	103 14 1/2	-	-	-	-	30 2 3/4	[illegible]	[illegible] 6 5/8	35 11 5/8
21	587 15	178 5 1/4	480 8	302 2 3/4	169 7 1/4	-	-	-	-	107 7	18 4 3/8	399 1 5/8	81 6 5/8
22	241 3/4	110 15 1/8	205 3 3/4	94 4 5/8	84 15 3/4	-	-	-	-	35 13	14 13 3/4	188 11 5/8	16 8 1/8
23	403 3	180 4 1/8	288 1 3/4	107 13 5/8	59 13 1/4	-	-	-	-	115 1 1/4	28 8 11/16	240 14 5/8	47 3/8
24	293 12 5/8	94 -	226 7 1/4	132 7 1/4	140 14 1/2	-	-	-	-	67 5 3/8	22 14 3/4	138 14 5/8	87 8 5/8
25	334 15 7/8	98 12 3/4	299 9 3/8	200 12 7/8	203 3 3/4	-	-	-	-	35 6 1/2	10 9 1/16	163 4 3/8	136 5
26	85 9	44 1 1/2	101 5 1/4	57 3 3/4	129 13	-	-	15 12 1/4	18 6 3/4	-	-	50 9 3/8	50 11 7/8
	37 9 3/4	22 11 7/8	71 1 5/8	48 5 3/4	212 15	-	-	33 7 7/8	89 3/4	-	-	15 15 3/4	55 1 7/8
	5	-	3 8 3/8	3 8 3/8	100	-	-	3 3/8	6 6	-	-	3 5	3 3/8

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Read the following letters.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Collr of Chingleput
Reporting the seizure of certain quantities of smuggled salt, and requesting authority to confiscate the same.

Value Rs. 11-4

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the orders of the Board the seizure of 100 Mercals of salt on the 27th ultimo by two of my Peons on the road leading from the salt Pans of Covelong. It was conveyed on three Carts under the protection of a rowanah obtained on the 23d ultimo from the Cotaur of Calumbaukum for 60 Mercals, and neither the large excess in the quantity of salt nor the delay of three days in bringing it to the spot where it was seized which is only 8 Miles from Covelong, is satisfactorily accounted for.

2. The Bandy men when first seized stated that there was only a small excess in the quantity which they had obtained by barter from certain Parials, but afterwards, to account for the profession of the excess quantity declared that it had been left behind on their carts by another bandy

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

had broken down on the road, and that the delay arose from two of their bullocks having strayed from the place where they had halted. These statements they have not been able to prove, and I have every reason to believe that the whole or at least the excess quantity is smuggled salt. I therefore request the authority of the Board to confiscate it under clause four section XII Regulation I. of 1805, and to pay one fourth of the sale proceeds as a reward to those who made the seizure.

3. I also beg to recommend the confiscation of another quantity of smuggled salt measuring 24 Mercals which was seized on the 2d Instant near Maudembaukum, in the Sydapet Talook, from three cartmen who were carrying it without a rowanah, and who confessed that they had purchased it for four Rupees from certain persons residing in Vadasemmunjery, but who denied having sold the salt to them.

+ Value Rs. 10

Chingleput District ----
Collr's Cutcherry &c.
10th August 1844.

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collr

11337 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Resolution thereon

37-38

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board authorize the confiscation of the whole of the two quantities of salt the seizure of which is therein reported.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

39

From the Collr of Chingleput

No. 751 of 1844

Sir

1.- With reference to the accompanying Petition from Vydeaniandah Jan transmitted with your letter under date the 1st ultimo. I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that the Petition not having contained any particular matter for inquiry I called the Petitioner before me, who then stated that he had no complaint whatever to prefer to the Board, but that he is wished to offer certain suggestions for the better administration of the country.

2.- With regard to his previous Petition which is of a similar nature, I have to add that he was not found in his Village when sent for. The Petitioner appeared when called before me to the day

Chingleput District - - - - - - - -
Collr's Cutcherry Pullicarney 12th Augt 1844. } Signed C. Freese

39-40

Order thereon.-

11338

4

From the Asst Collr of North Arcot

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Read the following letter.-

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit for sanction the accompanying Statement of Casualties, Reductions and Remissions in the Pyomiah Department of this District for Fasly 1252.-

North Arcot
Collrs Cutcherry
Chittoor 8th August 1844.

Signed W. H. Babington
Asstg Collr

Statement

Statement shewing the number of Jemeiahdars reduced by death &c. in July 1842 below the Register transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 25th January 1815.

No. in the Register	Name of Jemeiahdars and Dismissals	Date of Decease	Annual Amount Amount amount Continues to be as per Reg. to be ister & paid	Amount to be continued for payment	Remarks
	2	3	4	5	7
			Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
	Hereditary Pensions				
	Chittoor				
	Dauplah Saiba in the family of Shah Enayet Shah Mahomed Saiba Lebbe in the D: of D:	Resigned on the 21st July 1842	472 - -	337 2 4	134 13 8
	Conditional Pensions				
	Chittoor				
	Hakeem Ally Saib Imam of the Dargah at Oriattoor	Deceased on the 27th September 1842	38 12 -	19 6 -	19 6 -
	Syed Mahomed Ismail Hadeem of the Dargah of Shasadaroot Tinnevelly at Aroot	Resigned on the 29th March 1843	360 - -	292 8 -	67 8 -

Dauplah Saiba and Maha Saiba Lebbe being incapable and unable to perform the duties of the Inaam they have represented, requested that Peer of Hatoor and Rasik Inaam No. 1 the Annual Allowance and Peer Inaam No. 2 the Annual Allowance from Rs. 60 each and the latter to be an Hereditary Inaam, who are recommended to receive the Amount under Columns 6 These two individuals and four others, Viz. Shah Nabijeeben, Shah Abbe Lebbe, Amtool Nujeed, Saran Lebbe and Shahjahan Lebbe, are entitled to the amount under Column 4, and are to perform the Annual Ceremony of the Dargah and keep it in repair

The deceased adopted Son Mahomed Ameen and the Vakeel on the part of his deceased widow have produced the usual Sotee and Parsinamahs from the Tahsildar of Satyhar stating that they have conducted the duties of the Inaam from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for Registry in their Names and the continuation of the allowance under Column 6 from the date of the last incumbents demise. The Amount Jemiah as per Column 4 of this Statement is appropriated for defraying the expences of Lamp Oil, repairs of the Inaam and subsistence of the incumbents and another Shaik Mudeem.

Syed Mahomed Ismail Hadeem of the Dargah of Shasadaroot Tinnevelly at Aroot

Syed Mahomed Ismail is a Lunatic his Wife has resigned the Office to her Brother and adopted Son Syed Hyder who is therefore recommended for Registry and continuation of the amount under Column 6. This individual and four others, Viz. Syed Jemiahlabdeen, Syed Hossain Syed Mahomed and Syed Abdool Hossain are entitled to the Amount under Column 4, and are to perform the several Ceremonies of the Dargah and keep it in repair.

11340 Fort St. George 26 August 1844.

Conditional Pensions Cont.
Chittoor Cont.

497	Meer Abazully Hudoom of the Ousaur Sheriff at Arcot.	Resigned the 20 March 1843.	1164	1044	120	This individual being very old and unable to perform the duties of the Ousaur Sheriff he has resigned his office to his Grand Son Meer Mahomed Said, who is therefore recommended for Registry and the continuation of the amount under Column b. This individual and nine others Said Abdoola, Kadir Hoosain, Said Ameed Said Seyneelabdeen, Meer Idayet Hoosain Shaik Ally, Mahomed Jiffoo Lajmin Ally and Meer Golam Mustapha, are entitled to the amount under Columns 4. and are to perform the Ceremony of the Ousaur and keep it in repair.
	Meer Emamboden Hus. Deceased on the demise of the Di at 17th January 1843. Gorialum.		88 8	88 8		The deceased's Son, Meer Ayat Ally has produced the usual Sotee and Warisnamahs from the Tahsildar of Satyghur; Stating that he had conducted the duties of the Ousaur from the date of his fathers demise, It is therefore recommended for Registry in his name and the continuation of the allowance under Column b. from the date of the last incumbents demise. The amount granted under Column 4. is appropriated for defraying the expences to be incurred in the Ousaur & to keep it in repair and for the subsistence of the incumbents.
17	Mahomed Hussain Rowthen of the Inaujeed at Arcot.	Resigned on the dated 8th April 1843.	88 8	44 4	44 4	This individual being old and unable to perform his duties, he has resigned his office to his Son Mahomed Ziffyoolah who is therefore recommended for Registry and the continuation of the allowance under Column b. This individual and another Mahomed Sheriff Rodeen are now entitled to the amount under Column 4 and are to perform the Ceremony of the Inaujeed and keep it in repair.
	Total Chittoor		1739 12	1480 2	339 10	

11361-

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Conditional Pensions for Sect.											
555	Syed Mohedeen Kadeem of the Durgah of Nad: maine Cawn Sarour at Arcot.	Deceased on the 27th July 1842.	88 8					88 8			The deceased's Son a minor, Syed Abdool Sattar Shaw Hadj, has produced the usual Sitta, and Warisnamah from the Arcot Tahsildar stating that he has conducted the duties of the Durgah from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for Registry in his name and the continuation of the allowance under Column 6, from the date of the last incumbents demise. The amount specified under Column 4 is appropriated for defraying the expences to be incurred in the Durgah and for keeping it in repair and for the subsistance of the incumbent
Syed Kader Pancha Kadeem of the Durgah of Shah Nasir Saibat Ramepet.		Dis on the 18th February 1843.	235	13	6	187	3	9	78	9	11	The deceased's Brother Syed Jalal Sadeshah and step Brother, Syed Montazah alias Thapay Shah have produced the usual Sitta and Warisnamah's from the Cauny Panch Tahsildar stating that they have conducted the duties of the Durgah from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for Registry in their names and the continuation of the allowance under Column 6 from the date of the last incumbents demise. The amount specified under Column 4 is appropriated for defraying the expences to be incurred in the Durgah, keeping it in repair and for the subsistence of the incumbents.
4	Syed Ally Peran Kadeem of the Tawaun Sheriff at Arcot.	Dis on the 31st January 1843	177						177			The deceased's father Syed Ally has produced the usual Sitta and Warisnamah from the Arcot Tahsildar stating that the duties of the Tawaun were duly performed from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for the continuation of the amount under Column 6 from that date and the Registry in his name. The amount specified under Column 4 is appropriated for defraying the expences to be incurred in the Durgah keeping it in repair and for the subsistence of the incumbent.
562	Gulam Emam Ally Chisty Kuttwally of the Mosjeed Mondeer Syed Jamal Ally at Arcot.	Dis on the 30th December 1842.	248						248			This deceased's Father Azeezon Nisaha Naib has produced the usual Sitta and Warisnamah from the Arcot Tahsildar stating that the duties of the Mosjeed have been duly performed from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for the continuation of the allowance under Column 6 from that date and the Registry in his name. The amount specified under Column 4 is appropriated for defraying the expences to be incurred in the Mosjeed, keeping it in repair and the subsistence of the incumbent.

1132.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Continued Pensions (Contd)
Arrest (Contd)

571	Fatimah Saiboh Teacher of Female Orphans at Arcot	Deceased on the 16th August 1844.	177	"	"	"	"	177	"

The deceased's Sister Saran bebee has produced the usual Tolee and Warisnamah from the Arcot Cawzy stating that she has transacted the duties of female teacher from the date of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for the continuation of the allowance under Column 6. from that date and the Registry in her name. The amount Yeomiah under Column 4 is appropriated for the subsistence of the incumbent and the orphan children under her charge.—

580	Fatimah Bebee Kadeem of the Durgah of Arcot Shaved at Bencoolen Maha Saiba bebee D° of D°	D:d 1st February 1843 D° 10 " D°	177	"	"	126	3 4	70	12 8

Fatimah Bebee's Sons Mahomed Ghouse and Kajah Mokdeen and Maha Saiba's Grand Son Syed Mahomed have produced the usual Tolee and Warisnamahs from the Arcot Khazee stating that they have conducted the duties of the Durgah from the of the incumbents demise. It is therefore recommended for the continuation of the allowance under Column 6 from that date and the Registry in their names. The amount Yeomiah under Column 4 is appropriated for the Expenses to be incurred in the Durgah, to keep it in repair and the subsistence of the incumbents.—

Total Arcot			1,895	5	8	293	1	831	14 7

Vellore.

	Mahomed Hossain Khadeem of the Ansaur Sheriff at Vellore	Deceased on the 28th September 1844	177	"	"	"	"	177	"

The deceased's adopted Son, Syed Nuseir Hussain having produced the usual Tolee and Warisnamah from the Vellore Tahsildar stating that he has conducted the duties of the Ansaur from the date of the incumbents demise, It is recommended for the continuation of the allowance under Column 6 from that date and the Registry in his name. The amount Yeomiah under Column 4 is appropriated for the expences to be incurred in the Ansaur, to keep it in repair and the subsistence of the incumbent.—

Total Conditional Pensions			3,013	1	8	1,163	9	1,348	8 7
Grand Total Hereditary and Conditional			3,484	1	8	2,000	11 5	1,483	6 3

North Arcot
Collectors Cutcherry
Chittoor 8th August 1844

E. E. J.t / (Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

Rider

11343-

Order thereon
Read again in Cons:
9th September

41-42

43

From J. J. Cotton Esqre
Asst. Collr. in charge of
Tanjore —

Setting forth
the charges brought
against the Tahsil-
dar of Shcally and
requesting order
for his dismissal

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Ordered to be brought forward at a further meeting.

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring
to the notice of the Board of Revenue the
following particulars connected with a
charge of collecting illegal cesses, against
Ram Row Tahsildar of the Sheally Talook
in the 2nd Division.—

2. Many complaints of a serious nature
have been preferred against this Officer since
my arrival in this District, but on sending
for the complainants, I was always been in-
formed, that from his great influence and
power in the Talook and elsewhere, they
dared not venture to produce their evidence,
however notorious the facts.—

3. The present investigation was founded
upon a charge of having received a regular kist

11344

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

the rate of 12 annas per Valey throughout the
Talook appropriating it for his own purposes.
The charge extended in the case to Fasly
1252 and in order to complete this
Collection he had ordered the several
Pattamanimars to take the requisite
sum from the amount of the
May and June Kists collected —
and credit this difference / at the
rate aforesaid / from the Murramut
Money due to the different Mearasidars.

4. I immediately sent for
and secured the Summahkundy Chittah
and all the accounts connected with
2 Villages to which Complainants par-
ticularly referred for proof of his
Statement and in addition examined 27

11345 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

persons on the subject including the complainants.—

5. On Examination of the Accounts it appeared that the individuals had paid up their full Kists as was evident by inspection of the Summabhendy Chittahs duly dated and receipted by the Cummum and Puttamanien, still in the Jalook Accounts these same individuals were Credited pa. the rate of 12 Annas pr. Cally/ Certificates on account

11346 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

account of a balance from the Murramut money due to them.—

6. Of the individuals examined 7 bear testimony to the truth of the above charge - and that the Murramut Money was never repaid to them tho' they had no balance against them at all, at the time the Sums are said to be credited to them - which statement is corroborated by the above documents - Three state that after the credit was given as shewn in the Jalook account that they received back the amount of kist overpaid !! but cannot account for the reason why any balance was entered in these accounts as they had already paid the full amount of kist according to their separate receipts on a previous day.— while some others can give no account at all of the transaction and the remainder admit there were outstanding balances against them to the extent of the sum Credited.—

7. The Chief difficulty remains to trace the quarter to which these Sums found their way - The 7 Witnesses stated

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

stated distinctly on oath that they understood that this tax was regularly paid to the Tahsildar tho' of Courses they could not substantiate this fact individually: but one Puttamanagar declares that he paid the balance in question into the Tahsildar's hands- another who was examined for the defence admits that he had, by order of the Tahsildar, taken the sums in question out of the Kists and Credited an equivalent sum from the Murramut Money, but finally returned the first sum to the Meerassdars.—

8. This is altogether absurd; as even if true it would only tend to a confession of accounts quite unheard of before, but the Curnum and Assistant Curnum in the Village distinctly state that the above man not only collected the sum but paid it to the Tahsildar in his own house- the Assistant Curnum having accompanied the Puttamanagar to the door of the house for the purpose.

9. There is no suspicion whatever

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

or Charge against any of the intermediate parties thro whose hands the Monies passed between the Meerassdar and the Tahsildar. He himself states in his defence that his orders were simply to credit the Murramut Money for any balance of kists that might remain outstanding and cannot at all account for the manner in which this item is entered in the accounts.—

10. I beg to observe that strict and repeated orders have been issued that the Tahsildars should see the payment of Murramut Money to the Meerassdars themselves, and take a receipt in person with a view of preventing any frauds on the part of those thro' whose hands the Amount must otherwise pass.—

11. The total Amount thus traced is 32¼ Rupees, but I would not that this amount should in any way be the standard at which to determine the punishment to be awarded- on the contrary, the exceeding difficulty of

11349 Fort St. George 20th August 1844.

of his class is so well known that if the Board concur with me in the opinion that the above Charge is proved against the individual in question I would urgently suggest his removal from Office altogether which will serve also as a most salutary example to others in this most corrupt District.—

12.

It is true that the present Charge comes under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 but an individual in the position of Tahsildar of a Talook is, I conceive liable to a graver punishment than the Collector is capable of awarding in a case such as the foregoing, and I have therefore suspended him from his employment till the pleasure of the Board as shall be communicated.—

Tayore
Myaverem
20th August 1844

/Signed/ J. G. Cotton
Asst Sub Coll.r in Charge.

43.44

Resolution thereon.—

The Charges against Ram Row Tahsildar of Sheally being cognizable under the Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 the Board consider it proper that he should be brought to trial under that Regulation and a full record made of the Proceedings as required in the Boards Circulars dated 13th April 1826 and 31st Dec.r 1825

11350 Fort St. George 20th August 1844.

Read the following letter.—

To
C. B. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

45

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Para 1. With reference to the orders of the Board relative to the grant of Enams to Village Moonsiffs, I have the honor to annex for their consideration a Memorandum exhibiting the extent, and tenure of the grants I propose to make to the Village Moonsiffs of the Talook of Moosery and of the arrangement it involves be approved of, I will submit a Statement for the whole District as soon as the necessary enquiries can be concluded and accounts prepared.—

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.—

2 It will be observed that it is proposed to grant Cultivated Lands to the extent of Cawnies 16—22—11 assessed at Rupees 278—5—7. This is owing to there being no waste lands available in a few Villages.—

Inspoly Office
August 1844

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

Memorandum.—

45. Puttaikdars or Heads of Villages, and Moonsiffs, Particulars of the Extent of Land and the amount of Tax, which is to be granted to them as Enam in the Talook of Moosery.

	cs	gs	as	Fanam Rs a p
From Old waste	61	80	5	110—7—3
From Sygal or New Waste	104	64	6½	785—8—9
From Cultivated land	16	22	11	278—5—7
Total	182	70	6½	1174—5—7

(Recd in Cons. 30 Sept.)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

Read the following Statement.—

Estimate.—

11352. 47

Fort St. George 26th Augiest 1844.—

Estimate of Repairs of Devestanams and Chettrums in the Districts of Madura, & Dindigul for

Devestanums Chettrums and charitable Institutions.	Particulars of Works	Amount of Estimate	Surplus fund in deposit in the Coll.rs Treasury upto 31st Dec.r 1844.	Remarks.—
		Rs a p	Rs a p	
Peroomal Kaik Cuttay	Constructing drains from the connecting point of East Masi vidhi and of the South avanee Mooly Vidhi to the west end of the latter	335 2 "	9742 " 3	This drain is necessary to the connection and drawing off the quadrangular streets already drained at the Expence of
Thanapa Modeliar Cuttay	Roofing the Cookroom of Oomanuputty Chettrum	450 6 6	3941 9 "	This Chettrum on the almost impracticable portion of Road N private subscription to amount of Rs. 1500-, and obtained a Gover annual tax land. The of the Thanapa Modeliyar endowment was pers 1841 to enlargeit to extent of Rs. 348 - 13 - 6 The present Estimate to defray with the full consent of the authorized Superintendent of =tanums is for a sloping Roof to the interior of the Sodra Portion roof to the Brahmin Cookroom, which is at present an utter most durable stand pillars of Single Shafts. I have inspected the disbursemen to, but hereafter it will be desirable to erect a t whole front of both portions for accommodation of lower C Cookroom for the Sudra portion: the last is estimated at R Verandah an Estimate has not yet been made.—
Goodal alagar Covil	Repairing Wall of the Goodal Alagherry Pagoda	1311 14 "	282 11 11	The inclosing wall of this Pagoda in the town of Madura is perfect as yet but s that the Assistant Surveyor anticipates its immediate failure; and the ca =ed to preserve the neighbouring population from Danger. The Superinten =tanums fully ac quiesces in the propriety of rebuilding it from the the Devestanums under his superintendence to be repaid from the small their Pagoda. The estimate was made by the Surveyor taking into consid hand for the rebuilding.—
	Repairing Alagherry Covil wall —	852 12 "		
	Building Alagherry Covil Chettrum for Pilgrims —	1815 11 "		
	Reconstructing Alagherry Covil Cistern & Garooda Mundapam	2153 4 "		
		4821 11 "	5063 10 1	The Estimates for the 3 Items in the hill pagoda of Alagherry Covil dista from Madura were prepared by the Assistant Surveyor, and are greatly adv Coondum Raimen =proves of the inc dar, to whose Superintendence they have been made =ficient. The 1st ease and Estimate above that of 500 Rupees which reference to the Item seems to require no Comment, as its designation in request: and Second. I can only say, it will be the only Chettrum fountain which in respect to the third, it is the peculiar holine very great prog =ress to ruin.— seems. Originally to have induced Erection of
Shoorooma hoor Pagoda	Building for a Palanqueen	18 14 "	289 15 "	
	Total	6937 15 "	" "	

Madura, 30th June 184

11353 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

Proceedings thereon.- The Board authorize
(47-48) the Estimated charges amounting to
Rupees 6,937-15-6 for the repairs of
Devastanums &c. referred to in the
foregoing Statement, to be defrayed
from the surplus funds of those In-
=stitutions respectively- The Principal
Collector will be careful to confine
himself to the simple disburse-
=ment of such of the Sums as are
to be appropriated to religious
works and will in no way inter-
=fere with, or control their ex-
=penditure.-

Read the following letter.-

To C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

49 From the Pr. Collr. of Madura } Sir,
1. In answer to your
letter of the 1st. received on the 8th. ultimo
I have the honor to inform you the
return of looms in Madura and
Dendigul and in Ramnad and
6836 in fusly 1214
12470 do.

Reporting the great increase of Looms but poverty of the weavers & depression of trade from the great import of British piece goods into Ceylon.-

11354 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

The returns for Shevagunga
are only forthcoming for the
last named period, when they
amounted to 3,593.-

2.-

Value exports to Ceylon Fusly 1244. 8 lacs Rupees
Subsequent annual aver.e 3 lacs-

From the great in-
=crease of weavers
subsequent to fusly
1238, and the Compara-
=tively closed Markets
for consumption in
Ceylon from increased British imports
it may easily be inferred the
profits are very small and
prices low.-

The more opulent
Merchants, who can afford to
keep their goods on hand till
a favorable change in the
market

market, continue to make a
considerable profit, but the
great body are very poor as
Whilst the Customs were in
existence the duty was rarely
paid till after sale of the
goods; and I conceive it is
only hereditary occupation
and habit that prevents as
numbers seeking a livelihood
in some more profitable
line. I credit assurances I
have received that very many of the families
of the 5000 weavers in the town of Madura
alone have not means to take more as
than one meal of rice a day. —

Madura - - - - - - - -
Collectorate 19th August 1844. -

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collr

Order thereon. —
49.50

Ordered to lie over.
Read the following letter.

To.

C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

51

Sir,

From J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Princl Collr of Coimbatore

I have the honor to forward
an account Particular of charges incurred
for the Hundachar
Establishment in Fusly
1252, a.D. 1842/43 amounting to
Company's Rupees 516-
11-11 / Five hundred
and Sixteen, annas eleven and Pice
eleven, for which I request that the
prescribed Sanction may be obtained. —

Total Hundachar Lib:
Cheque for 1252 - - - - - 8,805-13-6.
Deduct Charges of Civil
Armed Establishment
entered in a Statement
sent to the Civil Auditor - 8289-1-7
516-11-11

Coimbatore - - -
Princl Collrs Office,
14th July 1844. - -

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princl Collector.

No.

11357 Fort St.e George 26th August 1844.-

No. 1. Account Particulars of Hundachar Sibbendy employed in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1252.

Sibbendy employed for preventing the Ravages of Elephants.-

Coimbatore.

1. Gomastah from July 1842 to 5th February and from 21st February to June 1843 at 8 Rupees pr. Month	191	15
1. Tom Tom Beater from July 1842 to June 1843 at 3½ Rupees per Month	42	" "
1. from July 1842 to June 1843. at 3½ Rupees per Month	42	" "
1. from July to 9th December 1842 and from 13th January to June 1843 at 3½ Rupees per Month	38	2 7
	80	2 7
Ghaut Hundachar.	122	2 7
	213	14 "
Danaickencottah.		
1. Tom Tom Beater from July 1842 to 9th May 1843. at 3 Rupees per Month	30	13 11
1. Trumpeter from July 1842 to June 1843 at 3 Rupees per Month	36	" "
	66	13 11
Sandalwood Hundachar.		
Andoor.		
1. Goomastah from July 1842 to June 1843 at 10 Rupees per Month	120	" "
Colligal.		
1. Ameenah from July 1842 to June 1843 at 10 Rupees per Month	120	" "
Deduct Amount of Fine	4	" "
	116	" "
	236	" "
Company's Rupees	516	11 11

Coimbatore 11th July 1843. E. E. Fr. Signed J. C. Wroughton

2. Trumpeter-

11358 Fort St.e George 26th August 1844.-

Read the following Letter.-

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St.e George.

53

From the Collector of Madras.- Reporting what proceedings have been held in his office relative to the claims to compensation for lands on the Black Town Esplanade since the transfer of the investigation of them to the Honble Company's Solicitor in September 1840.-

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 1st Instant, and to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue that since the investigation of the claims to compensation for lands included in the Black Town Esplanade was transferred to the Honorable Company's Solicitor in September 1840, that Officer applied for information respecting the nature of the claim of one M. Venkatachellum Modelly which he was duly furnished with. He afterwards in 1842, sent a Copy of a Bill in Equity which was filed against Government by Mr. Charles Gordon for the purpose of establishing the rights of the parties claiming compensation in respect of land belonging to the Merasidars of Perambore and Nedumbaka. It was accompanied by a draft of an answer, for the purpose of ascertaining whether it was correct in point of fact. All necessary particulars were furnished forthwith.

&c

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

this result of the enquiry which I have been directed to make regarding the assistance afforded by certain servants of this Office to the parties by whom suits were recently preferred against Captain Ricketts in his Official capacity of Superintendent of the Mount Police. This report, I would beg to observe, has been delayed from my considering it unadvisable to close the investigation, or offer remarks on the servants implicated in it, while a 2nd suit against Captain Ricketts in which they were to appear again as witnesses, was pending before the Supreme Court.

2

It seems proper to premise that the plaintiffs in these actions Arnagerry and Wasdeva Naidoo, were joint renters of an Arrack Shop at Randigry within the Collectorate of Madras, and that these suits originated in the seizure, of their Arrack by Captain Ricketts in December 1842 on the ground that it had been smuggled into the Mount Cantonment. In these suits the main point at issue before the Supreme

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Court was whether the place where the Arrack was seized (Kerkumbaukum) was within Captain Ricketts Jurisdiction, which as Superintendent of the Mount Police extended to a circle of 4 Miles round the Main Guard House.

3,

The first suit was filed by the two Plaintiffs jointly and was dismissed on the technical objection that separate actions ought to have been preferred. On this occasion it appears that the Plaintiffs relied on the documentary assistance which they had obtained from this Office, as none of the servants were summoned to give evidence. My report will therefore relate principally to the subsequent actions preferred by Arnagerry and Wasdeva Naidoo individually. The 1st was decided against the Plaintiff on a point of law which arose during the trial the second on its merits, it being proved that Captain Ricketts had acted within his Jurisdiction.

I shall allude in the 1st instance

4,

to a Maistrys Map, or plan, belonging to this Office, which was made use of

against

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

against the Government on these trials and which is animadverted on by the Advocate General is being manifestly wrong and as having been prepared on a measurement made after the Plaintiff's Arnagerry's action had commenced.

5

The records shew that in 184[illegible] a doubt arose whether a place named Kandigy was within the Mount Cantonment. The Collector Mr. Robertson in consequence directed Mootoo Moodelly Albany Superintendent, and Pooniah a Maistry in the Survey Department, to measure the distance and prepare a plan. Their measurement which was taken thro' the Village of Kerpumbaukum shewed Kandigy to be beyond the Cantonment limits, and Mr. Robertson afterwards gave permission to the Plaintiffs to establish an Arrack shop there, from 12th July 1842, for the fusly year 1251. The Superintendent of the Mount Police was informed of this permission having been given in a letter dated the 30th June.

It

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

6

It does not appear that any subsequent measurement was made by those parties, and as such an endeavour to aid the Plaintiffs could hardly have been attempted without detection, and as the measurement which really took place in June 1842 afforded the Plaintiffs all the assistance which their cause was capable of receiving by placing Kurpumbaukum, the Village in which their arrack was seized by Captain Ricketts, as well as Kandigy beyond the limits of the Mount Cantonment, I shall confine myself to observing on this point that Mootoo Moodelly appears to have mentioned the year 1843, inadvertently, as his explanation now shews when giving his evidence regarding this measurement before the Supreme Court.

7

The plan which was prepared on the above occasion, was made without even the aid of a Compass, and is very incorrect, Kerpumbaukum being placed in it more than half a mile beyond the Mount limits, while the greater part of that Village is in reality within the Circle of four Miles. But as the

measurement

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

measurement was made previous to the
institution of these suits and as the
correctness of the plan has not been qu
-tioned on the point for which it was
prepared in placing Randigy beyond the
Mount limits its inaccuracies were not
likely at the time to attract attention and
might under different circumstances have
been ascribed, without hesitation, to the
ignorance of the Maistry. But the recent
conduct of that person, and Mootoo Moo
-delly to which allusion will be hereafter
made, joined to the prominent place
which Perkumbaukum occupies in their
plan, renders it difficult now to dispell
a suspicion that they wished to afford
prospective protection to the Plaintiffs
in a design for selling spirits to
the Soldiery at that Village under
the cover of a license to be obtained
for the establishment of an arrack
shop at Randigy.

8.

Having alluded so
far at present, to this Map or Plan, I
shall proceed to mention the circumstances
under which the Plaintiffs Arnagerry and
Wasdewa Naidoo brought their actions

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

against Captain Ricketts. These persons held
in partnership an Arrack shop at Randigy
within the Collectorate of Madras, as already
mentioned, and according to the agreement
which they entered into with this Office
they were liable to fine and to confisca
-tion of their Arrack if they stored
or sold it in any place except the shop
mentioned in their license. Their excuse
that they moved their Arrack to Kerkum
-baukum for safety, their shop at Rundigy
being in an unprotected place, was inads
-missable under any circumstances, as the
rules regarding the removal of spirits
are strict, and previous permission ought
to have been obtained. But the real object
for which the Arrack was taken to
Perkumbaukum appeared on the trial bes
-fore the Supreme Court when the evidence
shewed that attention was drawn to the
house from soldiers having been observed drink
-ing in it.

9.

The Plaintiffs conduct
was thus illegal and liable to punishment
without reference to the place to which
they

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

they illicitly removed their Arrack, and when it is considered that in addition to the above circumstances they moved to a house in Perkumbaukum on the side nearest to the Mount and within the Cantonment, their venturing to institute suits in the Supreme Court against Captain Ricketts can only be ascribed to their meeting support and assistance I regret that my investigation shews that such assistance was afforded by some of the servants of this Cutcherry, first in Captain Ricketts office, and afterwards before the Supreme Court.

10. It appears that on this Arrack being seized in consequence of its being smuggled into the Mount Cantonment, the Plaintiffs came to this Office and enquired of the subordinate servants if an endorsement could be obtained from the Collector certifying that they had permission to keep their Arrack for safety at Kurkumbaukum. On finding that this would be impracticable addressed two petitions to the Collector, in both of which that

See evidence of the Abkarry Dampretty.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the seizure of their Arrack having taken place at Kurkumbaukum was kept concealed.

On the presentation of the 1st petition (dated the 19th December 1842) Mr. Robertson informed the Mount Authorities by letter that the Plaintiffs had permission to hold an Arrack shop at Randigy-But as this did not aid the Plaintiffs in obtaining the release of smuggled Arrack seized at Kurkumbaukum they addressed a second petition, on the 21st December, requesting that a measurement might be made, as Captain Ricketts wished to know the distance of Randigy and had allowed them one day to procure information. On this petition an endorsement was given that a late plan, the one alluded to in the commencement of this letter, shewed Kerkumbaukum to be 2880 feet beyond the Mount limits and that Randigy is still further from the wording of the application and from notice not being taken of the Plaintiffs breach of their engagement in carrying Arrack to an unlicensed place, it would appear that this

endorsement.

endorsement was given by Mr. Robertson under the impression that the Mounted Police had seized the arrack at Kandegy, and in this case the concealments practised is an additional proof of the assistance received by the Plaintiffs from the servants of the Office.

12 The Plaintiffs next summoned Mootoo Moodelly as their witness, and at the time appointed appeared before Captain Ricketts, accompanied by him and their attorney Mr. Crampton. Mootoo Moodelly it may be observed, carried with him from the Office the abovementioned plan, although he was not called upon or instructed to do so. But Captain Ricketts still declined releasing the arrack which he was satisfied had been smuggled into the Mount cantonment and the Plaintiffs subsequently preferred their actions in the Supreme Court.

13 On the trial of Arnagerry's suit Mootoo Moodelly and the Maistry Pooniah, appeared as Witnesses, for the Plaintiff. They both deposed that

limits and that the arrack had consequently been seized by Captain Ricketts out of his jurisdiction. They also deposed to the identity of a plan produced before the Court as being the one which they had prepared by the Collector's orders in June 1842 to shew the position of Kandegy. This plan was produced, it must be remarked, by the Plaintiffs, without the knowledge or sanction of this Office.

14 This suit came to a sudden termination against the Plaintiff on a point of Law and at its close the instructions on which after personal communication with the Government Law Officers, I have made the present investigation, were issued in consequence of the advocate General bringing to the notice of Government, the support which the Plaintiff received on the trial from the servants of this Office.

15 It appears necessary to allude further to the evidence given by Mootoo Moodelly and the Maistry Pooniah regarding the position of Ker Rumbaukum, as it was proved on the trial of the last suit against

Captain

Fort St George 26th August 1844

Captain Pickett's that both their evidence and their measurement are wrong and that the seizure of the Arrack did take place within the Mount Cantonment - I shall therefore confine myself to noticing 1st the conduct of these servants in voluntarily giving evidence in a suit against the Government and 2nd the unsanctioned production before the Court by the Plaintiff of a Plan purporting to belong to this office, but which proved on enquiry to be a fictitious plan substituted for the original -

16. On the investigation of the first point, the parties denied before me that they gave any preliminary information or aid and stated that they only attended at the Office of Mr Crampton the Plaintiff's attorney on the days when they were summoned to appear before the Court - Direct evidence has not been obtained to ascertain what passed in Mr Crampton's Office, but the denials which the parties now made are inconsist-

Fort St George 26th August 1844

with the answers given by Mootoo Moodelly on his cross examination in Wasdeva Naidoos suit and it can hardly be supposed that public servants would be summoned as witnesses in a suit against a Government Officer without a foreknowledge of the evidence which they were prepared to give - This remark applies more especially to Mootoo Moodelly, whose duty it was as head of the Arrack Department to make known the illegal conduct of the Plaintiffs in removing their Arrack from Kandigy, where alone they were licensed to keep and sell it.

On the second point also

17. direct evidence has not been obtained to prove when and by whom the plan of Kandigy which was used on these trials against the Government, was placed in the Plaintiffs hands without the sanction or knowledge of the head of the Office - But it is considered that the responsibility must attach to Mootoo Moodilly as he removed the plan from the records, and does not account for its not having been returned - In his examination on this subject Mootoo Moodelly states

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

states that he took the plan with him to Captain Ricketts because he was directed to do so in the summons issued for his attendance, but it is shewn that Captain Ricketts directed his personal attendance in consequence of his being named as a Witness by the Plaintiffs, and that his taking the Map with him was consequently his own voluntary act. To account for the Plan not having been returned to the Office, Mootoo Moodelly states that he left it with Captain Ricketts, who must, therefore, in his opinion, have given it to Mr. Crampton. In reference to this statement it seems sufficient to remark that it is directly contradicted by Captain Ricketts, and cannot be considered to relieve Mootoo Moodilly, from the responsibility which attaches to him until his conduct is cleared by it's being explained how the Plan fell into the Plaintiffs posession.

See letter from Supt. of Mount Police 25th July 1844.-

See letter from Capt. Ricketts 11th June 1844.-

18 As this plan was not to be found among the records, and it appeared from the evidence given by Mootoo Moodelly and the Maistry Pooniah

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

in Arnagerry's suit, that it had been produced on that trial by the Plaintiffs an application was made to the Supreme Court for its restoration to this office, and on the Map used on the trial being thus obtained, it proved to be a fictitious plan which had been substituted for the original Map of Kandigy, although the framers of the latter, Mootoo Moodelly, and the Maistry Pooniah, deposed to its identity in giving their evidence.-

The present plan does not

19 differ from the original in the position assigned to Kurkumbaukum, as no alteration was necessary on this point to the Plaintiffs, or could be made after, the endorsement given on their petition in December 1842.- But if they had produced the original plan, the attention of the Court might have been drawn prominently to the fact that Kundigy at which place alone they were licensed to hold a shop is distinct from Kerkumbaukum, where their Arrack was seized, and it appears that the original plan was consequently

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

consequently suppressed, and its place supplied by the present Map in which Kunadigy is omitted.

20. This substitution of a fictitious for the real plan is proved by Mr. Robertson and by the statement of the Arrack Sumpreilly Soobroy Pillay, and the Maistry Coomarasawmy Mootoo Moodelly also acknowledged on trial of Wasdeva Naidoo's suit when Mr. Robertson attended the Court as a witness, that the present is not the original plan, and in explanation of the previous evidence which the gave, it's identity in Arnagerry Suit, he stated on his examination before me that he then saw it hastily and imperfectly. The Maistry Pooniah alone in whose hand it is drawn still asserts it to be the original plan prepared on the orders given to him and Mootoo Moodelly in June 1842.

See letter from Mr. Robertson dated 29th July 1844.

21. My report regarding these two Servants Mootoo Moodelly and the Maistry Pooniah here closes and it only remains for me to add that their conduct, as above detailed, made me think it unadvisable that they should continue their duties pending the final orders which may be passed regarding them, I accordingly suspended [illegible]

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

their suspention from Office on the 29th Ultimo, when the proceedings before the Supreme Court had been brought to a final termination.

22. I regret that in the case of Mootoo Moodelly whose services have been both long and useful to the Government it should be my duty to submit so unfavorable an opinion of his conduct on this occasion, but the circumstances detailed in this letter render me unable to acquit him of using unjustifiable means to aid parties who had acted illegally and who where engaged in unfounded and vexatious suits against a Government Officer by whom their misconduct was discovered and punished.

23 In conclusion it appears proper that I should allude briefly to Mr. Gantz Surveyor in the Land Department, who was subpoened by the Plaintiff Arnagerry, to appear as a witness and bring with him a plan of a portion of the Mount limits which he made by orders in August last, Mr. Gantz's evidence and Map might seem to the Court on the whole to strengthen the Plaintiff's cause, but as he deposed that he was not acquainted with the house in which the arrack was seized and as his Map, when explained, although incorrectly drawn, does not assist the Plaintiff's

Care

11377

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

care and skilfulness as a Surveyor seems open to question rather than his conduct on this trial.

24. In his Map, Mr. Gantz has placed two separate villages Chinna and Peria Kurkumbaukum the former within and the latter intirely without the Mount limits. From the information afforded me by Lieutenant Johnston of the Engineers, who after Arnagherry's suit surveyed the Spot, these two names apply to one long village, and the house where the arrack was seized is situated in the part called Chinna Kurkumbaukum. Mr. Gantz's Map, although incorrect was not, therefore, when explained, favorable to the Plaintiffs, and it would appear that for this reason added to the result of Lieutenant Johnstons survey at which he was directed to be present, his evidence was not called for on the Plaintiffs side in the final action.

Madras
15th August
1844.

/Signed/ E. Maltby
Actg. Depy. Collr.

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector

EB

11378

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

B

To
J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

After the preparation of my late report, I received the enclosed letter from Mr. H. Crampton attorney to the Plaintiffs, Arnagerry and Wadewa Naidoo, and I have the honor to forward it to you as it seems to me proper that it should be added to the papers connected with my recent investigation.—

2. I would beg to premise that during my enquiry, I did not consider it advisable to enter into any communication with Mr. Crampton nor did I consider myself authorized to do so, further than to address a letter, of which a copy is enclosed, on 14th June, requesting that a Map which had been taken from this Office without permission, and which I understood was in his possession might be returned. To this letter Mr. Crampton gave no reply, and the Map was afterwards obtained by application to the Supreme Court. Mr. Crampton has addressed the present letter to me of his own accord after Mr. Maltby

11379

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Maadelly, whose conduct in reference to this Map is noticed in my report, had been suspended from Office.—

3. Mr. Crampton mentions in his letter that he received the Map from Captain Ricketts, an assertion which Captain Ricketts directly contradicts—It appears to me that the only way of reconciling these statements is by supposing that as Mr. Crampton was present when Mastan Maadelly carried the Map to Captain Ricketts Office, and as Mr. Crampton in his character of attorney for the Plaintiffs was the principal spokesman of the party, the Map may have been inadvertently given or sent to him among other papers which Captain Ricketts refused to receive, instead of being specially returned into Mastan Maadelly's hands.—

4. But if this supposition is admitted it does not place Mastan Maadelly's conduct in a more favorable light, for it would then follow that he must at that early stage of the proceedings have assisted the Plaintiffs by producing before Captain Ricketts, the fictitious Map in which

+ See Mastan Maadelly's deposition.

* See letter from Hamble Company's Solicitor 9th August.—

11380.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Kindigy is omitted, for he now acknowledges himself that this Map is not what it was represented to be, the Original plan prepared by him and the Maistry Peamah in June 1842.—

5. It might also be remarked that even if this had been the real plan belonging to the Office, and if Mastan Maadelly had obtained permission to take it with him, he would not have been authorized to do more than shew it to Captain Ricketts, and bring it back to this Office—But it seems unnecessary for me to dwell on this point, as both yourself, and the late Collector Mr. Robertson are better informed of the caution which has been taken to prevent any papers or documents belonging to the records leaving the Office.—

Madras,
17th August, 1844.

/ Signed / E. Maltby
Ag. Dy. Collector.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / J. A. Hudleston
Collector

In

11381

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844

No. 1

Plea Side.

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Between Arnaghery Moodelly Plaintiff
and
Richard Rodney Rickells Esqre. Defendt.

Minute of Evidence given by Mootoo Moodelly and Pooniah Maistry and Mr. Juste Gantz, three Witnesses called by the above named Plaintiff on the Trial of this cause on the 9th day of April 1844 Present - Sir Edward John Gambier Knight the Chief Justice.

Mootoo Moodelly Examined.

I am in the Office of the Madras Collector in the Abkary Department. I know Arnagherry - he bid for the arrack contract of Palaveram in 1842 - it was knocked down to him - Witness looked at paper, the signature to this paper is the signature of Mr. Robertson Collector of Madras - De Vaz's name is at the bottom - the body of the papers is in the hand writing of a writer in the Office - the writing to the left is the hand of [illegible] ing - the Interpreter - In May last or June I remember being directed by Mr. Robertson to measure the abkarry limits - I went

* I.O. This rule is made from the notes taken by Counsel at the Trial. The minute of the Witness is illegible.

C.D.
H.C. Jo.

11382

Fort St. George 26th. August 1844.

Pooniah Maistre went with me we first went to the main Guard House at the Mount. I measured to the outside of the abkarry limits at the Mount - Pooniah maistry and Coolies measured. I was present. I know Periah Karrakumpaukum. That is outside the limits - I measured - I must refer to the Plan to say how far it is out - The Witness looked at a Plan produced by the Plaintiff's Counsel - That is the Plan I made, I can swear that this is a correct Plan. It was laid out then - I showed it to the Collector - This Plan comes from the Collectors Office - at the Mount first in 1842 - The Plaintiff had a shop at Kundigay It was No 41 - I believe, kundigay is about 2000 feet distance from Periah Karrakumpaukum - Kan = = digay is within the abkary limits of Palaveram - It is beyond the limits of the Mount by the measure = ment I have made - when this measurement took place there was no shop - This Shop was not removed to Kurra kumpaukum - The Plaintiff had the shop in Kundigay in 1842 - he had no Shop at Periah Kurrakumpaukum - No Order was given to have his liquor at Periah Kurrakumpaukum - I have been at Kundigay it is a swampy ground -

Cross Examined by Mr. Advocate General.

Mr. Robertson the Collector sent me to make this measurement. He ordered me by word of mouth - It was in May or June last - The Police Master at that time

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

time abolished one shop at Kundigay
because it was within 4 Miles from the
Mount. That shop being abolished we were ordered
to measure - Kundigay is beyond 4 Miles
from the main Guard - It was on the abolish
on of that shop I was ordered to measure
The shop was abolished by the Mount Master
Master. I dont know whether the shop at
Kundigay was abolished because it
was within - 4 Miles of the Main
Guard - Police Boys by tom tom pro=
=nounced Kundigay within 4 Miles
on that account I went to measu
=sure - to Kundigay - The measure
=ment will appear by the Dan -
we then went to Kurrakumpau
kum and kundigay and then came
to our Office - we only measured 4 Miles
Kundigay is more than 4 Miles - we
went as far as kundigay - but
we measured only 4 Miles

P. C. S.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

I was sent to measure to Kundegay but we measuredonly 4 Miles. 4 Miles was on this side of Karrakumpaukum. we did not go as far as Karrakumpaukum. Poniah Maistry and Lascars were with me, and the Hurkarrah 3 Lascars. The directions were only given to me. I have been to Mr. Cramptons Office 3 times - last saturday was the first time. During the last term I dont remember the days I went. six or seven Months ago I first went to Mr. Cramptons Office, since then I have been there - six or seven times - altogether I have been to his Office. I never went to Mr. Bransons Office I never saw Mr. Branson about Mooneapalis suit. I have never seen Mr. Branson, but there was a communication between the present Collector and Mr. Dale on that subject. The Collector and myself received summons from Mr. Branson in that suit. I dont remember how many papers I delivered from the Collectors Office to Messrs. Branson and Crampton. The Petition and the Plan I gave to Captn. Ricketts. we furnished no papers to Mr. Crampton. I know nothing how Mr. Cramptom got any papers from the Collectors Office. I do not know who gave him the

the papers. I went to Mr. Crumpton's Office on receiving summons. Mr. Crumpton must have sent to me an information got from the Contractor. I gave them no information.

Re examined by Mr. Smyth. The Police Master abolished Arnagherry's liquor shop at Kanigay. Arnaghery is the Plaintiff in this action. on that occasion I was ordered to measure. I measured 4 Miles from the main Guard. there we stopped. beyond that was Harrakumpaukum and beyond that was Kandigay. This was in May or June. I did not go afterwards with Mr. Gantz.

Poonial Maistry Examined.

I am a Maistry employed at the Collector's Office. I know the last Witness. I was ordered by the Collector to accompany him to the Mount upon some Complaint made for the purpose of measuring land. I was to measure 4 Miles from the main Guard House at the Mount in the direction of Harrakumpaukum. I measured the 4 Miles. Harrakumpaukum was beyond the 4 Miles. Kandigay was further. This plan (marked C) was made by me at that time. I measured with Chains. I did

take the compass. I measured with a fifty feet chain. I know Arnagherry. I never saw his shop at Harrakumpaukum but I was told he had one. no such shop was shewn to me. The whole Village of Harrakumpaukum is without the 4 Miles from the Main Guard at St. Thomas Mount.

Cross Examined by Mr. Advocate General. There is a hedge where the 4 Miles end. It was at that hedge where measuring stopped. The whole Village is beyond the 4 Miles. I started from the main Guard. The Mount Hill is in the centre between the main Guard and the Villages. If I swore to go straight I must go over the Hill. we went straight. we did not go over the Hill. we went by the side of the Hill. we did not take a compass. I can measure 4 Miles and more as well without a compass as with. if I took a compass my labours would be increased. I know the use of the compass. I could see the last point. if I had a compass I can measure correctly without a compass, or I should have taken it with me. A Compass would have been of use to me, I had the Compass with me to see North point. I did not examine the Map by the compass. I found out the

never

11388 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Mr. Lind Gantz Examined by Mr. Osborne

I have been surveyor to Revenue for 14 years. In August last I made survey of the Adkarry limits. I made that survey by orders of the Collector of Madras. Peria Karakumpaukum is beyond the Adkarry limits of the Mount. It is 300 feet beyond. I made the plan the day after the survey. I measured the 300 feet beyond the Adkarry limits. St. Thomas Mount intervenes between the Main Guard House and Peria Karrakumpaukum. I made an allowance for the deviation. I measured the limits. The red line shows the line I have taken, which brings me 300 feet short of Karrakumpaukum. I measured with a 50 feet Chain and a pocket Compass. I made a report of the measurement. I sent a copy of the plan and of the report to the Collector. I am a Surveyor to Government. The instructions I received from the Collector are united to the list. They were to find out the situations of certain Villages. The survey was made to shew what Villages were within and what without the Adkarry limits. my report shews that.

Cross Examined by Mr. Advocate General. Mr. Hudleston employed me to make this survey. I took all my instructions in

11389 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

the north without a Compass. I have been very often employed in examining with chain and taking plans. I have been so employed by Mr. Josiah Hudleston, before that it was in the Engineer's Office. Mr. Robertson has employed me two or three times before this to measure distances. I have measured lines in the Town. The Canal I have not measured distances in Madras. I drew this plan myself. I drew it without a Compass I found out the North by sight. I have seen the North at the Mount very often. I have seen it by looking at the sun. I can find the North by looking at the sun. I can find the North I know the meaning of Degree. a circle is 360 degrees.

Examined by the Court. The Map marked C was put into witness hand when he pointed out on the Map Karrakumpaukum and the hedge he had spoken of. That hedge is about 300 feet distance from the first house in the Village of Karakumpaukum, between the place where the measurement stopped, and the hedge is 300 feet. I did not keep any account. I believe it was about 150 feet from the hedge to the first house in the Village. I measured from the 4 Miles point

11389. Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

in writing signed by Mr. Huddleston and under those I acted. I did not know what was the object of the instructions Chinna Karrakumpaukum is within the limits of the Mount Contonment Para Karrakumpaukum is without the whole of Paria Karrakumpaukum is without. I have not seen the House in question. I was not more than a week in making the plan. I went with a chain to the circumference and beyond it. I began at the Mount with a fifty feet chain. I went due North to get clear of the houses. I went due North about 2000 feet: then I turned due west to Karrakumpaukum. I measured the whole of that distance between North and west: and beyond. I measured to every Village from the main guard. The Angle was done by calculation I did not go by the road nor over the Mount. I pursued the same plan with the other Villages. The circumference was drawn after the plan was complete. A Maistry and Peon accompanied me without a Compass I could not have gone to my work. accordingly to my calculation to say that Karrakumpaukum is 600 feet beyond the Mount limits must be wrong. I took only one Angle to the North and one Angle only west.

11390. Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 2.

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

Plea Side Between Vasoodavary Naidoo Plaintiff

and

Reehard Rodney Defendant.

Minutes of Evidence given by Mootoo Moodelly and Poniah Maistre two Witnesses called by the above named Plaintiff on the Trial of this Cause on the 22nd July 1844. Present Sir Edward John Gambier Knt. The Chief Justice.

Mootoo Moodelly Examined by Mr. Osborne.

I am in the Collectors Office. I am the Superintendent of the Abkarry Department. I remember making a Map of the Mount Cantonment about one year ago by the directions of Mr. Robertson because the Police Master had removed an Arrack Shop at Kantigay. I know Peria Karrakumpaukum. I have been there (The Map was put into Witness hand by Mr. Osborne) This Map was made by Poniah Maistre. I was not present when Map was made. I was present when the measurement was made. I dont know the limits of the Mount Jurisdiction. I was present when the measurement was made. We began at the Main Guard House at the Mount to Paria. The Maistre measured. Those

measurements were put down by Maistre

The Plaintiffs Counsel wished at this stage of the proceedings to examine Poomiah Maistre intimating their intention of afterwards recalling Mootoo Moodelly. Mr. Advocate General reserved his right to Cross examine Mootoo Moodelly until his examination in Chief was completed and requested that Mootoo Moodelly might be ordered to withdraw out of Court during Poomiah Maistres Examination; which was ordered.-

Poomiah Maistre Examined by Mr. Osborne.-

I measured the limits of the Mount Cantonment about 2 Years ago. In May or June it is upwards of 2 Years ago. The Witness read from a note on Map - I measured 27th June 1842 This was written by me - I made a Draft This is it I marked on that the places in and out side the limits. I measured from Main Guard; I measured by a measuring chain. I measured by the road where people walk. I know Periah Karrakumpankum - I measured to that place I marked measurements down. The Witness referred to the Map and added - There is Periah Karrakumpankum here is the 4 Miles. Karrakambankum

beyond the 4 Miles.

Cross Examined by Mr. Advocate General.-

The Pencil writing is my writing I wrote it at the time when the Map was taken to the Gentleman - I mean Mr. Robertson - It was taken by Mootoo Moodelly - I wrote it in year 1842 in June - I will swear Mootoo Moodelly shewed this Map in my presence to the Gentleman Mr. Robertson - I wrote this Pencil before Mootoo Moodelly - shewed the Map to Mr. Robertson - This was immediately after the measurement - I never knew of its being shewn to Mr. Robertson at any other time - I never saw the Plan afterwards - If Mootoo Moodelly has sworn the Map was made one year ago and that he was present at the measuring it is not true this plan was shewn to me here before - after the Plan was shewn to Mr. Robertson the next time I saw it was in the Court House - I dont know how it came there - I dont know how it came out of Collectors Office This is a Draft - There is no copy I never made a copy - This was made at first as a Draft I made no copy - I know of no copy I never saw a Map shewn to Mr. Robertson except in June 1842 - I dont remember if any Map were shewn to Mr. Robertson in December 1842 Coca Sketiah Naidoo is the name of the Sheristadar in Collectors Office - Before I was examined here the last time I never was examined. I had never

1139 3 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

never been to Mr. Crampton - I dont know how the evidence I was to give was written down by Mr. Crampton for his Counsel - I never saw Mr. Crampton nor did I never give him any evidence - I never saw the Map from June 1842 until the last Trial - I was not called before Mr. Robertson in December 1842 about the distances - I dont know if there was any investigation before him in December 1842 - In June 1842 Mootoo Moodelly and my self went to Mr. Robertson's House and Mootoo Moodelly explained plan to Gentleman I came away - Mootoo Moodelly kept plan because it belonged to his Department I made the whole of Karrakumpaukum on the Map out of the limits - It is about half a Mile a cross - I did not Mark down Chinna Karrakumpaukum - I cant speak to Chinna Karrakumpaukum being within the limits because I did not put it down - I only measured as far as Periah Karrakumpaukum - I dont know any place called Chinna Karrakumpaukum I put no part of Karrakumpaukum within the limits - There is no place called Karrakumpaukum within the limits on the Map - when here before I said the whole of Karrakumpaukum was without the limits - I did not measure with

1139 4 Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

a Compass - I measured with a Chain - I know the meaning of Cutes - but I took none - I took no Compass - but I saw the sun and guessed the rest - I went by the foot path we did not go straight but circuitously - We measured to the end of the Village of Karrakumpaukum which is 2880 feet beyond the limits - we measured the whole of Karrakumpaukum and measured it to the end of Karrakumpaukum and beyond that is Randigay - which I did not measure - The whole of Karrakumpaukum is without the limits - I measured Karrakumpaukum to the Randigay end. -

Mr. Advocate General. From the end of the 4 Miles to the commencement of the Village how many Yards is it. Answer - I did not measure it -

Mr. Advocate General - Measure on the plan by the scale and tell us how many yards it is. - Answer - I have no scale - I did not make the plan with a scale - I measured by a chain and made this plan by so many feet per Chain and when I came to the end of the 4 Miles I put down the 4 Miles - I was sent to measure to Randigay but I went beyond the 4 Miles and I measured to the further end of Karrakumpaukum to ascertain whether

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

whether Shop might be kept there. I did measure to Kundigay. I had come to the of the Mount Limits when I came to the of the 4 Miles. I measured beyond the and to Karrakumpankum because the Harry Superintendent told me to measure on to see if Shop could be kept in Kumpankum. We measured to beginning of Kundigay. Kundigay is not marked on Map. We went to beginning of Kundigay because we wished to ascertain how far was beyond the 4 Miles. I know Kundigay beyond Karrakumpankum and that Kakumpankum was beyond the 4 Miles. did not put down Kundigay but I shewed Mr Robertson this Map to convince him that Kundigay was beyond the 4 Miles. must be another Map to which Mr Robertson alludes when he says that it appeared by a Map produced to him that Kundigay was beyond Karrakumpankum.

Mr Advocate General. Will you swear deliberately that you never saw Mr Crampton to tell him what Evidence you were to give.

I never saw Mr Crampton and was never examined by him & never he any other

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

by this Map or how he knew what Evidence I could give. There are 5280 feet in a furlong. There are Chains of different lengths used in measuring some are 50 feet. some 100 feet. In making this measurement I used a Chain of 50 feet.

Re-examined by Mr Osborne. I have been a Maistre for 8 years I have been in the habit of Surveying Lands in the Collectors Office. Sometimes I measure Land with Chains. If a vast extent of Lands measured by Chain. The Witness then explained circuitous route he took round the Mount and following the foot path by which Passengers go and added "But when we saw the Village in sight we went straight to it."

Mootoo Moodelly was then recalled and Examined by Mr Osborne The Map was put into Witness hands. This Plan was not produced by me at the last Trial. The measurement was made in May 1842. I did not shew this plan to Mr Robertson but it is so long ago I dont recollect. I got the plan from Pooniah Maistre. After I shewed this Map to the Gentleman (Mr Robertson) I believe I returned it to Poniah Maistre I think after I shewed the plan to the Gentleman I kept it with me. It was my duty to do so. I must keep all plans with me. Upon representation made by Shop keepers of Kundigay Mr Robertson

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

whether Shop might be kept there. I did
measure to Randigay. I had come to the
of the Mount Limits when I came to the
of the 4 Miles. I measured beyond the 4
and to Karrakumpankum because the Ch
-karry Superintendent told me to measure
on to see if Shop could be kept in Kar
-kumpankum. We measured to beginning
of Randigay. Randigay is not marked
on Map. We went to beginning of Randigay
because we wished to ascertain how far
was beyond the 4 Miles. I know Randigay
beyond Karrakumpankum and that Kar
-rakumpankum was beyond the 4 Miles. I
did not put down Randigay but I shewed
Mr. Robertson this Map to convince him
that Randigay was beyond the 4 Miles. I
must be another Map to which Mr. Robertson
alludes when he says that it appeared by
a Map produced to him that Randigay
was beyond Karrakumpankum.

Mr. Advocate General. Will you swear deliberately
that you never saw Mr. Crampton to tell
him what Evidence you were to give. Answer
I never saw Mr. Crampton and was never
examined by him & never by any other gentleman
now. I do not know how Mr. [illegible] and

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

by this Map or how he knew what Evidence
I could give. There are 5280 feet in a furlong.
There are Chains of different lengths used in
measuring some are 50 feet, some 100 feet. In
making this measurement I used a Chain of
50 feet.

Re-examined by Mr. Osborne. I have been a Maistre
for 8 years I have been in the habit of Sur-
-veying Lands in the Collectors Office. Some
times I measure Land with Chains. If a vast
extent of Lands measured by Chain. The
Witness then explained circuitous route
he took round the Mount and following the
foot path by which Passengers go and added
"But when we saw the Village in sight we
went straight to it."

Mootoo Modelly was then recalled and Examined by Mr.
Osborne The Map was put into Witness hands.
This Plan was not produced by me at the
last Trial. The measurement was made in May
1842. I did not shew this plan to Mr. Robertson
but it is so long ago I dont recollect. I got the
plan from Pooniah Maistre. After I shewed this
Map to the Gentleman (Mr. Robertson) I believe
I returned it to Poniah Maistre I think after
I shewed the plan to the Gentleman I kept it
with me. It was my duty to do so. I must
keep all plans with me. Upon representation made
by Shop keepers of Randigay Mr. Robertson

Ordered

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

ordered measurement to be made and I go with him I went with him- it was measured in my presence- We measured from the Main Guard to the end of Karrakumpaukum- Kandigay is beyond Kurrakumpaukum- We did not measure to Kandigay because it is Cultivation Land Kandigay is wet Land and so we did not measure to Kandigay- We measured because the Police Officer had ordered the shop at Kandigay to be removed.-

Crost Examined by Mr. Advocate General- I said just now that the measurement was ordered about a year ago- when I was examined here before I said it was ordered "last" May I said before that we only measured 4 Miles I did not say that the 4 Miles is on this side Karrakumpaukum- I did not go with the Maistre to Karrakumpaukum- I said just now that I shewed this Map to Mr. Robertson 15 days after it was made- I also said I did not shew it to him- I did not shew this Map to Mr. Robertson in December 842 I did not shew any Plan to Mr. Robertson in December 842- I had this Map in my profession for merely but after the Endorsement- I did not keep it.-

Mr. Advocate General- What Endorsements? for no body has asked you about any Endorsement

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Answer- The Endorsement on the Petition of Vajeeravarayen Naick.-

(Plaintiff)

Mr. Advocate General- When did the Map go out of your profession? Answer- When this Endorsement was made in December 1842- On the parties presenting the Petition because of the seizure of Spirits at Kandigay this Plan was given out- The Collector sent for this Plan from me- it was taken before the Endorsement- I think I delivered this plan to the Sheristadar or some other person who took it to Mr. Robertson and got the Endorsement- I was not present- I never got this Map again I think I delivered this plan to Coca Seetiah Naick the Sheristadar I never had it again I believe the Maistry had it.-

Mr. Advocate General- On your Oath do you believe that that was the Map produced when the Endorsement was made- Answer- I have some doubts with regard to this Map.-

Mr. Advocate General- Desired the Witness to look at the Pencil mark on the Map which was referred to by Poonial Maistre.-

Witness- I don't know about this penciling.- I do not know when this was put on. When I took the Map to Mr. Robertson there was no penciling on it.-

The Interpreter at the request of Mr. Advocate General-

11399- Fort St. George 26th August 1844

General read the Pencil observation to the
Court as follows "Measured by Pooniah
Maistre 27th June 1842" and pointed
to the Witness.-

The Witness continued.- That pencilling was not
the Map at the time I took this Plan
Mr. Robertson I took it 15 days after
Plan was made- I saw this Map again
I received summons from Mount Police
and I went with it to him- This was
I had delivered it to the Sheristadar-
=ed this Map to the Police Master becaus
wanted to shew it to the Commandant
I am sure I delivered this Map to Capt
Ricketts and left it there.-

Mr. Advocate General. Will you swear you del
that Map to Captain Ricketts and re
got it back again? Answer. Yes I
I delivered it to Captain Ricketts and
it in his hand- I never got it back f
Captain Ricketts- This Map was le
by myself to Captain Ricketts
was being kept to satisfy Captain Rick
that Karakumpankum was beyond
Mount Limits- It was on the 23rd
of December 1842. I received a summ

11400- Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

from Police Master at that Place- I shewed
it to Mr. Robertson and with his permission
I went- I dont remember whether I told Mr.
Robertson that I was going to take the
Map or if Mr. Robertson gave me leave
to take the Map but I should not have
taken it without.-

Mr. Advocate General- Who told you to take the Map?
Answer- No one told me to take the Map.

Mr. Advocate General- How came you to take the
Map if no body told you? Answer I think
I got Mr. Robertson's permission- I dont
remember how I came to take the Map.
I dont remember what made me ask Mr.
Robertson's leave to take the Map- I took
because I received summons. I dont re-
remember if there was any thing about it in the
summons- I took the Map of my own
accord after getting permission of Gentleman.

Mr. Advocate General. Have you any doubt whether
some body did not tell you to take the
Map? I think it occurred to me that I
should take this Map with me- I cant remember
why it occurred to me.-

Mr. Advocate General. How many times had
you

11401-

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

you been at Mr. Cramptons Office be
Trial last April? Answer. I never w
before the trial but after receiving
Mr. Advocate general. Did you not swear
time that you had been to Mr. Cra
office three times before the Trial? An
I received Summons but the Court being engaged
business I went to Mr. Cramptons Offi
I went before the first Trial, thi
the third Trial. I went there six
seven times. I went on Subpoena. Du
the last time I went there. I saw the
Map in the hands of Cramptons
I only saw it once in Mr. Cramptons
hands. Poonial Maistre was there.
Poonial Maistre was there all th
times. If Poonial has said otherw
it is not true. Poonial was alway
there. One went first, the other w
afterwards. Mr. Cramptons did
examine me about the Map.
he said was I must come
speak truth in Court.

11402

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Mr. Advocate general. So you and Poonial
went there six times sat down and never
said a word. Answer I never spoke a
word to Mr. Cramptons.

Mr. Advocate general. Did you swear that after
you had given the Map to the Sheristadar you
never saw it and never had it? Answer. Yes.

Mr. Advocate general. But you had it when you
went to the Mount? Answer, Yes. Mr.
Cramptons never asked me a question about
the Map.

Mr. Advocate General. Can you find Kandigay
there? Answer. Yes.

Mr. Advocate general. Why did Maistre
measure

11403-

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

measure to Karrakumpaukum only and
stopt at 4 Miles. Answer. I dont know
not say when here before we went as
as Flandigay but we did not mea
more than 4 miles.-

Mr. Advocate General. Repeated his ques
The witness then said I did say to
Captain Ricketts with the Map after
Endorsement.

Re-Examined by Mr. Osborne. I saw Mr. Cramp
Captain Ricketts I told him all I knew
Crampton was present and heard all
I went to Karrakumpaukum. I saw the
each time they were sent down. I me
4 Miles. Poonia-h told me it was more

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 3

Translation

Deposition taken from Mootoo Moodelly Abkarry Superintendent on 6th June 1844 by C. Maltby Esqre. Acting Deputy Collector.-

1	Was you examined as Witness before the Supreme Court in a Suit preferred by Arnagherry against the Police Superintendent of St. Thomas' Mount.. ?	Yes
2	From the statements made by you before the Court it appears that previous to the trial you went several times to the Plaintiffs' Lawyer Mr. Crampton. What do you say on this point.?	I only went twice and did so in both instances because Subpoenas had been sent to me from the Court..
3	A Subpoena is issued for attendance in Court, why therefore did you go to Mr. Crampton.?	I went like the other Witnesses to Mr. Cramptons' Office until we were called for in the Court.-
4	Did you make any statement of your evidence in Mr. Cramptons' Office.?	I did not give any statement in writing Mr. Crampton told me to state all I knew when the case came on before the Court.-

you

Mr

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

5 You say that you made no statement in writing regarding the case, did you make any verbally, & and did Mr. Crampton put any questions to you ?

Mr. Crampton in her he saw me only told me to state before the Court all I knew an I answered that I should do so.

6 Do you then state that Mr. Crampton put no questions to you and that you have made no statements about Arnagherry's case except before the Court ?

Yes. No questions were asked me by Mr. Cramp and I have given no evid in Arnagerry's case excep in presence of the Court

7 You say that you were with the other Witnesses at Mr. Crampton's Office were any other Government Servants of this Depart= =ment among the Number. ?

Sooby a the toddy Amildar Pooniah a Maistry and Surveyor Mr. Gantz belong= ing to this Office. Also the late Cutwall of the Mount Police were there.

8 You say that you were twice at Mr. Crampton's Office. in what Month & Year was it. ?

The first time occurred last year. I do not remember the Month and day. The second time was during the past Session and I think in April.

9 Was any plan or Map from this Office made use of in support of Arnagerry's case :

On my receiving a summon from Captain Ricketts in the case of some Arrack siezed on a charge of smuggling by the Mount Police I went to Mr Robertson with a plan of Heerumambaktum belonging

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

to the office, and pointed out that that Village was more than 4 Miles from the Mount. Mr. Robertson then directed me to carry the plan to Captain Ricketts and I accordingly did so. Captain Ricketts looked at the plan and kept it with him. I saw the same plan afterwards brought into the Supreme Court by Mr. Crampton, and I therefore think that Captain Ricketts must have given it to Mr. Crampton.

10 When and by whom was that plan prepared. ?

About May or June 1842 I and Poonmiah Maistry measured the Ground on Mr. Robertson's Order, and Poonmiah prepared the plan on our measurement.

11 For what purpose was it prepared and did Mr. Robertson give a written Order. ?

It was prepared on a verbal order in consequence of the Mount Police having removed an Arrack Shop from Scantige alleging that that Village was within 4 Miles of the Mount.

No

Fort St. George 20th August 1844

12 Does the plan bear either your, the Magistry's, or Mr. Robertson's signature or initials..? — No it does not

13 Was the above the only plan produced in the Court..? — Another plan was produced by Mr. Ganty and this was all..

14 When was the plan produced by Mr. Ganty prepared, and by what order was it taken to the Court..? — It was prepared a few Months previously, and was produced in Court by Mr. Ganty with the authority of the Collector on his receiving a subpoena.

15 Did you obtain Mr. Hudleston's permission before you went to Mr. Cramptons' Office..? — On the past occasions I did so. On the second the subpoena to attend the following Morning reached me after Mr. Hudleston had left the Office. I therefore shewed the sub-poena to Mr. De Vaz and requested him to explain to Mr. Hudleston. I recollect that I made a similar report to the Cheristadar..

16 How long have you been acquainted with Arnagerry..? — I have known him for 4 or 5 years since he became Arrack Contractor..

Fort St. George 26th August 1844..

17 Why did Arnagerry summon you as a witness in a case against the Mount Police..? — As it was proved by my plan that the place where his Arrack was seized was more than 4 Miles from the Mount and as he had an endorsement to this effect on a petition presented by him to Mr. Robertson, he summoned me as a witness..

18 How did Arnagerry know that such a plan had been prepared by you..? — His house is on the way between the Main Guard, and Veerawambaukum, and he therefore knew that I had measured the Ground. Besides this when his Arrack was seized by the Mount Police, notwithstanding his shop being more than 4 Miles from the Main Guard, he gave a petition to Mr. Robertson, who sent for the plan, and after looking at it gave endorsement to his petition. He is therefore well acquainted with the plan.

19 When examined before the Supreme Court you stated that you and the Magistry prepared the plan in May 1843. Now you say 1842. How is this difference..? — I was asked in Court the day and the Month but not the year. In my answer I mentioned May or June but not the year.

20 When you were cross examined by the Advocate General before the Court it appears that you said that you had gone 5 or 6 times to Mr. Cramptons Office and made statements there regarding the evidence you could give for Arnagerry why do you now contradict this.—?

On the occasion of the 1st Summons when I was not examined in the Court I had to wait 2 or 3 times at Mr. Cramptons office. On the second Summons I waited 2 days at Mr. Cramptons Office. I therefore stated in Court that I had been to his office but I did not say in my Cross examination that I had made any statements about the case in Mr. Cramptons office.

21 Do you know on what point Arnagherry called for the amildar Soobya as evidence, and what evidence he gave.?

I do not know either why Soobya was called as a witness or what evidence he gave after the examination Soobya told me he had been asked whether he knew Arnagerry and that he had replied that

that he had seen him when attending the Office

22 Is the plan which you now state to have been prepared by you and the Ministry in May 1842 the only one which you have prepared, or have you taken a part in preparing any other after the commencement of Arnagerrys' case.—?

I have only assisted in preparing that one plan in May 1842

23 Read over the above deposition, and say if it is correct.

I have read it over, it is correct.—

Examination continued 27th June.

24 Were you summoned by Captain Ricketts on the occasion of the attack, because its owners Arnagerry and Wasdewapa named you as a witness.—?

I went because Captain Ricketts summoned me. I do not remember that Arnagerry and Wasdewapa had named me as their witness.—

For St. George 26th August 1844

25 Where is that Summons.?

The Summons is not with me Signed and returned to the Person who brought it.

26 Was the Summons for your own attendance merely or for your attendance with the Map.?

I do not recollect but think Stock the Map from being ordered to do so in the Summons.

27 If there was mention made of the Map in the Summons for what object do you suppose the Map was sent for and how would Capt. Ricketts have known that there was such a Map..?

If it was mentioned in the Summons I suppose it was because the Arrack Owners had shewn to Captain Ricketts an Endorsement on a petition presented by them to Mr. Roberts-on, in which Endorsement allusion is made to the Map..

28 From whom did you receive the Map, in what Department of the Records, and in whose Custody was it.?

I believe that it was in the Register Department and that I received it from Rooniah Mainstry.

For St. George 26th August 1844.-

29 Under whose Care were such plans & Maps then kept..?

I do not know, but as that Ministry had gone with me to prepare the plan I asked him for it.-

30 You have stated that the Map was prepared according to order by you and the Ministry because the Mount Police had removed a Shop from the Village of Kantigi in the Cawnpore Bankum. I think Map prepared by Kantigi was not you was Kantigi shewn in the Map.. marked & shewn.-

31 In what way did you make the measurement..?

We measured it by a chain, we had not a compass with us..

32 From Captain Ricketts letter dated 11th Instant, it appears that you were summoned before him at the instance of Arnagherry & Wardeja Naick, why did you not acknowledge this..?

I said I did not recollect because so long a time has elapsed..

Did

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

33 Did you attend upon that summons more that one day at Capt.n Ricketts's Office.—?

I attended only one day.

34 Was Mr. Crampton also present on that occasion.—?

yes.—he came while I was talking to Captain Ricketts & giving him the plan.—

35 Captain Ricketts states that he did not give the plan to Mr. Crampton, and that he would not receive it on his proceedings it being incorrect, and without any signature.— How therefore do you explain your not having the plan back to this Office, and its having been placed in Mr. Crampton's hands.?

I gave it to Capt.n Ricketts because he was a Public Officer and said he wanted it to shew the Colonel.— He did not tell me that it was incorrect and unattested by any signature, nor did he return the map to me.—

36 Dow you suppose Captain Ricketts would give Mr. Crampton a plan or Map, to his own injury and to the benefit of the party who had filed a suit against him.—?

I do not know how it happened or what passed.—

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

37 Have you any evidence that you gave the plan to Capt.n Ricketts and that Capt.n Ricketts retained it.—

The Cutwall Ramjee Row and the two Plaintiffs were present.— [illegible] You see the Commissioners Solicitor dated 10th July 1844.—

38 You have stated that before taking the Map to Captain Ricketts you obtained it from the Maistry Poonial. Have you any proof on this point.?

I stated this from recollection, and have not any evidence in support of it.—

39 The Maistry Poonial states that after the Map was finished you shewed it to Mr. Robertson and afterwards retained it

The General plan of the Mount Convent was with me but I did not retain

For the St.n George 26.th August 1844.

it among your papers together with the Map of the Mount limits, it relating to the Abkarry De= =partment which was under your management. He states that he did not see it afterwards and that you nei= =ther applied to him for it to take to Captain Ricketts nor did he give it to you, what do you say in this point. Q

return the new plan made on mine and the Maistry's measurement.-

Examination continued 25.th July 1844

/The Ministry's plan received from the Supreme Court was here shewn to the Deponent/

40 Q. See this plan- Is it the one which you shewed Mr. Robertson after you and the Maistry

A. No that plan was a small one and this is large.

For the St.n George 26.th August 1844.

Maistry Ramiah made a measurement of the Ground with= =out the Mount cant.e of the Monument.-

41 Q. Did the Plan you showed to Captain Ricketts when you attended before him.?

A. No, that was a small one-

42 Q. What do you know regarding this plan- when was it made and by whom. In whose writing are the names of the places written.?

A. I cannot tell any thing regard= =ing it and I do not know the hand= writing. I am not acquainted with Ramiah Maistry's hand.-

43 Q. When you was examined as a wit= =ness before the Su= =preme Court in Arnagherry's Suit against Captain Ricketts was this plan shewn you.?

A. A plan was shewn to me but it ap= =peared to be a smaller one and I cannot recognize this as it.-

44 Q. When the plan was

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

was shewn to you in the Court in Arrnagherry's case did you depose that it was the one which you and Poonial Maistry had prepared and which you had shewn to Mr. Robertson and Captain Ricketts.

Yes I did so.

45 From the endorsement made by the Supreme Court on this plan it is shewn to be the one produced in Arnagherry's case. Why therefore did you then state before the Court that it was the real plan which you and Maistry Poonial had prepared, while you now state that it is a different one, and that you do not know when & by whom it was made.

after the endorsement I cannot doubt that this is the plan which was shewn to me on my examination in Arrnagherry's Case. It was shewn to me hurriedly, and not being fully opened it appeared smaller, and made me think it was the real one. I have now examined it more carefully.

/True Translation/
/signed/ C. Maltby
Ag. Dy Coll.

/True Copy/
/signed/ J. H. Huddleston
Collector

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 4.

Deposition given by Poonial Maistry on 6th June 1844. before the Acting Deputy Collector.

1. What deposition have you given before the Supreme Court in the case of Arnagerry?

I was asked if a Map of the village of Kurrawampaukum had been prepared when Mr. Robertson was Collector, I answered that I had made a measurement and prepared a plan shewing that Kurrawampaukum is more than 4 Miles from the Mount.

2. By whose order, when, and for what purpose, was that plan prepared?

In consequence of the complaints of some arrack shop keepers Mr. Robertson, after looking at a plan then in the Office, ordered me to go with Mootoo Moodely, arrack superintendent, and prepare a plan, after surveying from the main Guard to Kurrawampaukum according

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

being finished, shewed it to Mr. Robertson, and then kept it with the old Map shewing the Mount limits. I never saw the plans afterwards.

11 In what manner did you make the measurement for this plan?

We measured by a chain, beginning at the Main Guard, we followed a foot path bordering the Mount, and afterwards went straight to Kurrawambaukum by a road which the Abkarry pointed out.

12 Had you a Compass with you?

I did not take a compass. Mr. Robertson asked me this question when he saw the Map, and as I said a Compass had not been used, Mr. Robertson sent Mr. Gyan shortly afterwards to make a new plan.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

13 Did you measure beyond Kurrawambaukum, or to Kurrawambaukum only?

We measured to the end of Kurrawambaukum leaving Kandigy behind us.

14 Was the Village of Kandigy entered in your map?

I think it was not.

15 Were you summoned to give evidence by Captain Ricketts?

No.

Examination continued the 25th July 1844.

16. See this plan (the one received from the Supreme Court) when and by whom was it made?

Mootoo Moodelly and I were ordered about May 1842 to make a measurement, with a view to permission being given for an Arrack Shop beyond the Mount limits. We took a perambulator and on its getting out of order, I took a chain

chain and measured to the end of Kurravanbaw-Kuan. I rested down on the back of the plan now shewn to me, all the different measurements in the tamil language, and reached my house at 10 Oclock on Saturday night - On the next day I prepared the plan on the other side of the paper, and as the paper was not large enough, I pasted another piece to it - On monday at 10 Oclock I brought the plan to the Office and gave it to Mootoo Moodely, who shewed it to the Gentleman (Mr. Robertson) on his arrival at the Office - I saw it then but have not seen it since -

17 Is this the plan which was shewn

Yes, this is the plan but orders were not

shewn to Mr. Robertson, and on which he ordered an Arrack shop to be established?

given immediately for the shop - I understood they were given 2 days afterwards. I do not know on what plan the order allowing the establishment of the shop was given -

(True Translation)
(signed) E. Maltby
Asst Dr. Collr.

No 5.

Examination of Abboo. Lascar in the Registers' Department, taken the 26th July 1844 -

1. Did you accompany Mootoo Moodelly, and Pooniah Maistry when a measurement was made 2 years since to ascertain if Kantegi was within or without the Mount Cantonment?

I did so, and assisted in carrying the chain - Two other Lascars Chinnasawmy, and Narraina Moodelly were present also -

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

2 Did you go more than with Mr. Gantz, and how many times for measurement?

The second time I was with Mr. Gantz, and Lascorin Moodelly Ayah Streenavasan, Poonian Maistry Soobyahdy Amildar were also present. This might have been between 6 months and a year after the 1st measurement. The third time I was lately with two Engineer officers

3 When you went the first time with Nooloo Moodelly, and Poonian Maistry how far did you measure?

We passed the village of Kurrakampaitium about 400 or 500 feet, and stopped at a place where there is a Betel garden, and about this place Kaudegi is called, some called it agraraim.

4 When you went with Mr. Gantz, to what place did you measure?

We passed Kurrakampaitium and measured to the beginning of the path which leads to the Betel Garden.

5 Did you then go to the house in which the arrack was seized by the mount Police?

No, we did not.

True Translation
Signed/ S. Maltby
Asst Ex Collr

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

No. 6.

Deposition taken from Soobroy Pillay Abkarry Sairpretty by the Acting Deputy Collector Mr. Maltby the 25th July 1844.

1. How long have you held your present situation?

I was first employed by the Renters, but since the Abkarry has been under Amany I have held my present place. that is for fifteen years.

2. Do you recollect there being a question about 2 years ago whether Kuntige was within the Mount or Cantonment and that permission was given from this office for an Arrack shop to be established there after a measurement had shewn that it was more than 4 Miles from the Mount? If so, state what you remember on the point.

Wandewash Jack and others applied for permission to establish an Arrack shop at Kuntige, in June two years ago. The Collector Mr. Robertson then ordered the Abkarry superintendent Moottoo Moodley and a Maistry Poonian to measure if the place was more than 4 Miles from the Mount and they brought a plan shewing it to be so. Permission was then given for

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

first Shop at Kantige. Wa-
-dewa Naik and his partners
Storrekeepers came to Moottoo Mo
and complained that their
Arrack had been seized by the
Mount Police, on the allega
ground of their having
it clandestinely at Karra
-kambankum by which they
were in danger of loss. They
stated the same to the Sheri
-dar, and explained that they
had moved their Arrack to
Karrakambankum for
safety, their shop at
Kantige being in an
open field. They request
-ted an endorsement
that they had permission
to do this, but the
Sheristadar said he
could not be given
it being contrary
to Regulation. He
derstands that they
afterwards presented

3 Did you see the plan which Moottoo Moodley and Mainthy Poonial prepared for Mr. Robertson?

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

a petition to the Collector on
which an endorsement
was given, but I do not
know the particulars of this.

Yes I did so. I saw it
when Moottoo Moodly and Wadewa
naik attended before Mr. Robert-
-son with myself in July. Mr.
R. asked where Karrakambank-
-kum and Kantige were, and
Moottoo Moodley pointed them out
in the plan. Mr. R. then asked if
the mount Police authorities would
make any objections to a shop at Kantige,
to which Moottoo Moodly answered that
as the mount limits terminated three
quarters of a Mile on the East side of
Karrakambankum, and as Kantige
was ¼ a Mile further towards the South,
there could be no objection on the part
of the Mount Police. On Moottoo
Moodly shewing this to be the case by
the Plan, Mr. Robertson gave permission
for the shop from the 12th July.

The

4. Are you sure that Kantiga was shewn in the plan, or did the measurement only extend as far as Karakankum?

5 Do you know this plan? (the plan received from the Supreme Court was here shewn to the Witness.)

The measurements made to Kantiga, and Kantiga was entered in the plan on the south side of Karradamba Kum.—

This plan was given to me on Thursday by the Sheristadar to carry to the Supreme Court, but it is not the plan which was formerly shewn to Mr. Robertson, that one was prepared on half a sheet of demy paper, and in it Tundiga stood on the south, and Karrakumbankum towards the north.

From my knowledge of Cannich Maistry's hand-writing, and from what he stated before the

6 Do you know by whom the present plan was made and in whose hand the names in it are written?

7 Do you know what became of the plan shewn to Mr. Robertson?

8 Do you know that Moottoo Moodely was summoned as a Witness before Captain Ricketts, and that he carried any plan with him?

9 Do you know where Moottoo Moodely took the plan from when he went to Captain Ricketts?—

the Court I believe it to have been prepared by him.

I do not know what became of it after it was shewn to Mr. Roberton it must have been kept by Moottoo Moodley in his office chest as is usual.

I am aware that such was the case, as Moottoo Moodely when going told me to look after the office business, but I did not see the plan which he took with him.

I recollect his calling Poonniah Maistry who said he had not got it, Moottoo Moodely then ordered a peon to look in his office chest and the plan was as brought from thence.

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. Maltby
Actg. Dy Collector
(A true Copy)
(Signed) J.A. Hudleston
Collector

Deposition

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Deposition of Commeravanum, a Christian, taken in the Collector's Office, given before the Acting Deputy Collector 26th July 1844. -

1. Do you recollect a plan having been made by Mr. Robertson's order to see if the Village of Kantige is within, or without the Mount Cantonments? If so state what you know regarding it. -

Yes I saw it was prepared by Arasoo Pandy and Pamiah Christian and brought to the Registrar's Department. - They after words took it to shew Mr. Robertson. - Kantige Chuttrum was entered in it on the North and Kantige on the South west. - The plan was prepared in Portuguese paper.

2 What became of the plan after it was shewn to Mr. Robertson? was it brought back to the Registrar's Department? -

I have not seen it since and it was not brought back to the Registrar's Department. Pamiah Christian told me that Arasoo Pandy retained it. -

3 Is this plan (the one procured from the Supreme Court) that which you formerly saw? -

No it is not. The plan which I saw was about a cubit in length and on a smaller scale. -

4 Do you know when and by whom the plan now shewn you, was prepared?

The writing appears to be Pamiah Christian's but I do not know who the plan was prepared by. I

Have

5 Have you ever seen this plan before? -

I saw it for the first time when I attended the Supreme Court by order, 4 or 5 days since. -

(True Translation)
(Signed) E. Maltby
Acting Dy. Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

No. 8.

To The Officer Commanding
St. Thomas' Mount.

Sir,

In consequence of a petition presented to me by the Arrack renters of Palavaram, Vasoodava Naick Vaneata Rajah, Arnagherry Moodely, and Coobroy Moodelly, I have the honor to bring to your notice that they have been duly authorized by this office to establish a shop for the sale of arrack by retail at Kandeega a Village situated within the Abkarry limits of this Collectorate, and beyond those of the Cantonment of St. Thomas' Mount, for the Fusly year commencing on the 12th July 1842. -

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Madras
19th December
1842

Extract

11433

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

Extract from the Register of Petitions presented to the Collector of Madras and his Endorsement on them.-

Endorsement No	Petition No	Date of Petition	Date of Receipt	Names	Abstract of the Petition	Endorsement
		1842	1842			
208	3082	Decr 9th	Decr 9	Vaseodewa Saick and other Arrack Renters of the Shop at Pulicat.	Requesting to write to the Police officer at the Pagoda to release their Arrack seized by the Police Peons there.-	A reference on the subject of this petition has been made to the officer Commanding St. Thomas' Mount.-

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Madras
19th Decr 1842.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

11434

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.-

Extract from the Register of Petitions presented to the Collector of Madras and his Endorsement on them.-

Endorsement No	Petition No	Date of Petition	Date of Receipt	Names	Abstract of the Petition	Endorsement
		1842	1842			
209	3083	Decr 9th	Decr 9	Vaseodewa Saick and other Arrack Renters of the Shop at Pulicat.	Requesting to write to the Police officer at the Pagoda to release their Arrack seized by the Police Peons there.-	A reference on the subject of this petition has been made to the officer Commanding St. Thomas' Mount.-

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector.

Madras
19th Decr 1842.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

11435

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Kandegy, which is beyond four miles, and that a step has accordingly been established at the place ordered - To this (he said) we the Cadmall Nagar replied, we should inform the Collector (Sahib), and bring his men and measure as (the same) keeping his men with (us), and point it out - He has given (us) time until tomorrow - We therefore very much beg your honor will keep favor upon us, take me from people, and send your men, with order to measure and to point out the same)

Many Salams -

21st day of the Month of December of the year 1842

Thos Vansoodans Maistry

A true translation as near as can be from the Mahratta language

M. Narrainjen Row
Interpreter
No 113 of 1844.
20th July 1844.

(A true Copy)
(Signed) J. B. Saunders
Dy Secty.

A true Copy of the Copy received from the Honorable Company's Solicitor's office
(Signed) E. Maltby
Esq. Dy. Collr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) R.A. Studleston

11436

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Copy of an Endorsement given on Vansoodans Maistris and others Petitions on the 22d December 1842.

It appears from a plan now at this office, which was prepared by an examination of the Cantonment limits only three months ago by a Quarter and the Cantonment superintendent, that the Village of Curroguimbaukum is at the distance of 2830 feet beyond the Cantonment limits of St. Thomas Mount and that Candegay is still further than Curroguimbaukum from the limits of the Cantonment.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

True Copy
(Signed) R.A. Studleston
Collr.

No 10

To E. Maltby Esqre
Acting Deputy Collr of
Madras.

Sir

Para 1 With reference to your letter to my address I have the honor to acquaint you that I am unable to furnish you with the document you require, as it cannot be found among the records of the Police office at this Station.

It

11437

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

2

Sir, I have the honor to acquaint you that Mootoo Moodely Arrack Superintendent of your Department was summoned as a Witness on behalf of Defendant Vanspoordaway and Arnaghery Moodely in an endeavour on their part to prove that the Village of Purrumpauhar was upwards of 4 miles from the Guard of the Mount and beyond the established limits of the Cantonment.

Police Office,
St. Thomas' Mount
13th July 1844.

I have &c.
(Signed) A. D. Irvine
Esq. Superintendent of Police

No 11

To C. Mallet Esqre.
Esq. Deputy Collector
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter noted in the margin I have the honor to acquaint you that it appears on enquiry that the Summons was served upon the attendance of Mootoo Moodely on the 22d

To the address of Lieut. Mains Esq. Superintendent of Police St. Thomas' Mount dated 15th July 1844.

11438

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

December 1842 and on the morning of the 23d of the same month he appeared before Captain Ricketts in accordance with it, the summons was merely for Mootoo Moodely's personal attendance to give evidence and he was not directed in it to bring any Maps or document from your office, Captain Ricketts did not take any written deposition of the case against the Defendants Vanspoordaway Naidoo and Arnaghery Moodely but

1139 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

but merely contends that the nature of the Complaints preferred against them in the Rough Diary of Complaints for Smuggling Spirits &c. &c. and that the said Mootoo Moodely was summoned as a Witness on their behalf merely on their verbal application to Captain Ricketts.—

Police Office
St. Thomas Mount
25th July 1844

(Signed) Henry Power
Supt. of Police

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. A. Huddleston
Coll.r

1140 Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. 12

To E. Maltby Esqre
Acting Deputy Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter to my address noted in the Margin enclosing an Extract from a Deposition given before you by Mootoo Moodely Superintendent of Arrack, and in reply to state, that to the best of my recollection, and belief, and as far as I can ascertain Mootoo Moodely Arrack Superintendent was summoned by me in the Month of December 1842 to attend at the Police office at this Station at the instance of the Defendants Vaseendanaway Naidoo and Arnagherry Moodely either to prove or endeavour to make me believe that the Village of Sherkumpankum was beyond the distance of 5 Miles from the Main Guard Lower at this Station, that he duly appeared before me that either by Mootoo Moodely, or Mr. Crampton Attorney at law, produced and shewed to me some rough sketch or plan of the Cantonment of St. Thos. Mount, which I declined to receive, or take any notice of as I considered the said Sketch plan to be an informal and incorrect one and that

Bearing date the 5th June 1844.

11443

29th June 1844.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

my address noted in the margin, I have the honor to inform you that the Letter mentioned in my letter to Mr. Hudleston the Collector of Madras under date the 9th Ultimo, with the statements of some of the Witnesses examined by me in preparation for the defence of Captain Ricketts to the 3 several actions which have been brought against him by Pycadama Naidoo and Arangaloo Pillay. The names of the witnesses I propose to be noted at foot and returned herein relating to one what passed at the Investigations made by Captain Ricketts mentioned in the Statements of Pycadama Naidoo and Arangaloo Pillay being produced before Captain Ricketts I therefore inferred that in his statements to the Collector denying that such a thing was produced to him and that he handed it to Henry Crampton the Attorney for the Prisoners Captain Ricketts was correct. The several persons named at the foot are Sheristadars within the Jurisdiction of Mount Police force and can readily confirm or contradict Captain Ricketts statement in every thing pertaining to the Mount Police force and can readily confirm or contradict Captain Ricketts statement in every thing pertaining to the Lieutenant J.D. Mein of the Artillery is also acting Assistant Staff Officer at St. Thomas Mount

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient humble Servant
/Signed/ Clement Dale
Lieut. & Assistant
Ramasamy Pondy. Cutcherry of the Lieutenant of St. Thomas Mount
Nagambaram Pillay. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Mount Police Force
Mahomed Sillamma. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Shaik Dawud. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
Allaghary / True Copy /

Madras
10th July 1844.

11444

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. 15.

To
Edward Maltby Esqre
Acting Deputy Collector of
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 27th Instant, and to inform you that I have no recollection of ever giving Mr. Modelly the Arrack Superintendent permission to take a plan of any description to Captain Ricketts or of his reporting to me that a plan which he had taken to the Mount was detained by that Officer.

With respect to the second point of enquiry contained in your letter, I have no hesitation in affirming that the plan which you have forwarded to me is not the plan with reference to which I authorized the Establishment of an Arrack Shop at Kundigay in July 1843.

I beg leave to return the plan and depositions which accompanied your letter under reply.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient humble Servant
/Signed/ A. Robertson

To

Madras
29th July 1844.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

No. 16

To

E. Maltby Esqre.

Acting Deputy Collector of

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin and agreeably with the request made by you at our interview on the 26th Ultimo—I have the honor to forward you herewith a Minute since prepared by me of the evidence given by Mottoo Moodelly, Poonuch Maistry and Mr. Ganty, in April last, on the trial of the action of alleged false imprisonment commenced against Captain R. R. Ricketts by Arnagherry Moodelly. Likewise a similar Minute of the evidence given by Mottoo Moodelly and Poonuker Maistry on 22d July last on the trial of the action commenced against the same Officer by Vasoodava Nuidoo together with a copy of a letter addressed to me on the subject of the last action by Lieutenant J. G. Johnston acting adjutant of Engineers under date the 6th Ultimo and No. 205. I trust the Minutes

Letter from Actg Deputy Collr. to H. C. Sec. 29th June 1844.
Ditto Sec. from Do. 10th July 1844 No. 257.

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

Minutes will be found sufficiently clear for your purpose, should you however require any explanation I shall have much pleasure in affording it—

2. With reference to your enquiry respecting a former action which was commenced against Captain Ricketts and which you had been informed was allowed to drop without being brought before the Court I have the honor to state for your information—That the first seizure of Spirits on the premises of Vasoodavay and Arnagherry at Kurrakumpaukum took place by 3 Peons of the Mount Police Force on the 15th December 1842 when those 2 parties gave money to the Peons as a bribe not to report the matter to Captain Ricketts—This seizure was made by the Peons in their capacity of Constables without Warrant—On the 16th December 1842 the matter was reported to Captain Ricketts when he took the information of the Peons on solemn affirmation and granted two Warrants the one for the seizure of more Spirits which were concealed in the house and the other for the apprehension

Fort St. George 26th August 1814

apprehension of the Parties— On the 17th December the Spirits were arrested under the warrants but were let out on bail to appear before Captain Ricketts on the 22d December 1812 they then stating they had no witnesses to produce— In the intervening the Petition referred to was presented to Mr. Robertson and the Endorsement obtained, and on the strength of this Endorsement Mr. Henry Crampton attorney of the Supreme Court addressed Captain Ricketts on behalf of Vasoodavay and Arnagherry the case was however gone into and proved, but when Captain Ricketts was about to pronounce Judgement Vasoodavay and Arnagherry requested that Modelly and another witness might be Subpœnaed— Subpœnas were issued & the attendance of the Witnesses and Vasoodavay and Arnagherry were again committed to remain on bail to appear on the 9th December on that day Mr. Henry Crampton appeared before Captain Ricketts and threatening him with legal proceedings the case was postponed— Since Dec 10th

Fort St. George 26th August 1814

enable the Brigadier to obtain orders from superior authority— On the 11th January Mr. Henry Crampton on behalf of Vasoodavay and Arnagherry Commenced one action against Captain Ricketts for the arrest and the seizure of the Spirits— On the 17th January 1813 the Government by Minutes of Consultations directed their Law Officers to defend the action for the Defendant— The case was allowed to drop as you have been informed but came on for Trial on Saturday the 5th August 1813. when it was partly tried and adjourned to Tuesday the 8th August when the Plaintiffs completed their evidence and the Court nonsuited them on a technical objection taken by Mr. Advocate General that one action should not have been brought by the two parties, but that 2 separate actions should have been brought— This was the ground on which alone the parties were nonsuited but it was submitted to the Court that the evidence adduced by the Plaintiffs was not sufficient to sustain their Case indeed I may say I never witnessed a Case so attempted

Fort St. George 26th August 1845

attempted to be disposed of such Evidence
testimony. It amounted to nothing. It
is proper to observe that on that occa=
=sion the Plaintiffs did not endeavour
any evidence obtained from the Office of
the Collector of Madras or call any ser=
=vants in the employ of Government. The
names of witnesses produced by the Plain=
=tiffs are noted in the Margin.

Narraunsawmy =
=Naidoo
Chellapah Chetty
Shundelum
Pitto Naick
Kistna Naick
Moonappa Moo=
=daly 3.

It was on the 9th August
1843 that the 2 Plaintiffs in the present
Actions were filed against Confirma=
Ricketts. The Plaintiffs gave a notice
of Trial in both Actions for the 10th February
1844 but subsequently countermanded
such notice, and again set the causes
down for Trial in the 2d Term and were
tried accordingly —

4.

I would beg to draw your
your attention that Mr. Gantz in his
Map which was produced on the Trial
of Arnaigherry's Action made 2 distinct
Villages called Rarriakumpankum the
one Chinnakurriakumpankum the other
Perriakurriakumpankum and that he stated
the Chinna Kurriakumpankum was within

Fort St. George 26th August 1845

the limits of the Mount but that Perria es
Kurriakumpankum was without such limits and
that he stated he had never seen the house
in which the spirits were seized, Mr. Gantz
was not examined on the last occasion
when the Action by Vasoodavay was tried —

/ Signed / Clemt. Dale
Honorable Companys Solicitor

No. 17.

To Henry Crampton Esqre

Sir, As it has been stated
to me that you are in profession of a
plan of some Villages in the neighbour=
=hood of St. Thomas Mount prepared by
Poonnapillay a Maistry of this Office and
Mootoo Moodely Arrack Superintendent,
I have the honor to point out that the
plan belongs to the public records of this
Office, and to request that it may there=
=fore be sent to me —

The plan to which I allude
2.
is said to have been made use of in the
suit preferred by Arnagherry Moodely
against Captain Ricketts late Superintend=
=ent at St. Thomas Mount —

/ Signed / E. Maltby
Actg Dy Collector

Madras
14th August 1845

11451 Fort St George 26th August 1844.

No 18.

To E. Maltby Esqre

Deputy Collector of Madras

Sir,

It having come to my knowledge that it has been alleged that I obtained the Plan referred to in your letter to me of the 11th of June last improperly from Mootoo Moodely the Arrack Superintendent, I beg to state distinctly for your information that such plan was forwarded to me by Captain R. R. Rickells the late Staff Officer at St Thomas Mount and was afterwards put in as evidence by me in the action that was brought against that Officer.—

Madras Beach Buildings } I Signed I H. Crampton
14th August 1844. ———— }

Nos List of Papers—

1 Minutes of evidence given by Mootoo Moodelly Poomiah Maistry and Mr Gantz before Supreme Court in Arnagherry's suit—

2 Do Do by Mootoo Moodelly and Poomiah Maistry in Vasdevigy Naidoo's suit—

Deposition

11452 Fort St George 26th August 1844.

3. Deposition of Mootoo Moodelly abkarry superintendent before the Acting Dy. Collector
4. Do of Poomiah Maistry Do
5. Do of Ahloo Lascar Do
6 Do of Sadroy Pillay abkarry superintendent
7. Do of Maistry Comaras-awmy
8 Abstract of Petition presented by Arnagherry and Vasdeva to Collector 19th December 1842. with Copy of Endorsement and of Letter to Officer Commanding St Thomas Mount
9. Translation of Do presented on 21st December 1842. with Copy of Endorsement
10 Copy of letter from Acting Superintendent of Mount Police 13th July—
11. Do from Superintendent of Mount Police 25th July
12. Do Captain Ricketts late Do 11th June—
13 Do To Honble Company's Solicitor 29th June
14. Do From Do —— 10th July—
15. Do From A. Robertson Esqre 29th July
16. Do From Honble Company's Solicitor 9th Augt
17. Do To H. Crampton 14th June
18 Do From Do —— 14th August.—

Memo
The two first Papers are forwarded as received from the Honble Company's Solicitors Office—

I Signed I A. Huddleston
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

53.55

To the Chief Secretary to Government
Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras with Copies of the Proceedings of the Acting Dep. Coll. Mr. Maltby in the investigation relative to the conduct of certain Subordinate Officers of the Coll.rs Office in an action lately brought in the Supreme Court against the Officer in Charge of the Police at the Mount & stating that the Board entirely concur in Mr. Maltby's view of the case.—

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Secretary Thomas's Letter dated 30th. May last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Letter from the Collector of Madras with Copies of the Proceedings of the Acting Deputy Collector Mr. Maltby in the investigation which he was required to make relative to the Conduct of certain Subordinate Officers of the Collector's Office in the action lately brought in the Supreme Court against the Officer in Charge of the Police at the Mount, as noticed in a communication to Government from the Advocate General—

2.

Mr. Maltby, Mr. Moodelly the Superintendent of the Adjutant General's Department and the Acting Deputy

Fort St. George 26th August 1844

the two persons chiefly suspected it will be seen have been both fully convicted by Mr. Maltby of producing a fictitious plan and using other unjustifiable means in aid of the persons lately employed in the vexatious and unfounded suits against the above Government Officer and have been suspended from their situations pending the final orders of Government regarding them. The Board entirely concur in Mr. Maltby's view of the case.—

3. In the 10th para of the above Communication to Government, the Advocate General has taken occasion to allude to three other separate matters connected with the Collectors office, the Board would observe that the correspondence regarding two of these viz. a late Case brought

To the Chief Secy to Government 22d Feby 1841
From D. 25th ult. Proceedings 26th February —"
Bd Proceedings 11th March —"
To the Chief Secy 11th March —"
From Govt. 15th inst. 18th March "
To D. 10th June —"
From Govt. 5th inst. 8th July —"

brought by a contractor against the present collector and another suit brought against Government the subject of the Advocate Generals letter to the Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department dated 12th August 1841 already before Government, but as regards the third namely the suit and claims against Government for Compensation for Lands taken for the esplanade it would appear from the correspondence with the Collector of Madras noted in the Margin, that such claims had not entirely ceased to be agitated since the present course at the suggestion of the advocate General was adopted for finally settling them.—

From the B. S. 14th Feby 1842.
Inbont 3d March —"
Boards Proceedings 3d March "
From the Collr of Madras
21st March —"
Inbont 24th March —"
To Chief Secty 24th March —"
From Dr 12th Augt —"
Inbont 9th May —"

To the Collector of Madras
1st August —1844
From Dr 10th Dr —"
Inbont 26th "—"

Extract Minutes Cont. 15th Septr 1840
Inbont 8th Octr "

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St George
26th August 1844

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
A. Secretary

Read

From the Acting Collector of Ganjam (56) Read Statement of contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fasly 1253—

(56-57) Order thereon.

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

Read the undermentioned Statements.—

(58) From the Collr of Masulipatam— Statement shewing the quantity of salt sold from 1st to 15th August last

(59) From the Collr of Chingleput, Statement shewing the quantity of salt sold from 1st to 15th August last—

(58-60) Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements.— Ordered to lie over.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched— To

11457

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

61

To the Chief Secy.
to Government.
No. 1036 of
1844

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed Endorsement on the accompanying Petition I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they have rejected the prayer of Petitioner for an additional allowance of 352 Rs. in conformity with the orders of Government under date 23d September 1834, on the Board's letter of the 18th September submitting a recommendation from the Collector of Chingleput for limiting the sum granted on account of the Ceremony in question to the expences actually incurred on such occasions, Viz. 1,700 Rupees.

In Cont. 29th Sept. 1834

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 26th Augt.
1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary

Received

11458

Fort St. George 26th August 1844.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched—

To The Collector of
South Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Vythinathyah, late Betel and Tobacco Renter in your District, and to request that you will be pleased to report briefly your opinion on the subject of the Statement therein contained—

62

To the Collector
South Arcot
No. 969 of 1844.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th August, 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To The Principal Collector of
Madura.—

63

Sir,

To the Principal Collector
of Madura

The information regarding the Suit instituted by Mr. Fischer against the late Guardian and Manager of Ramnad, called for in my letter of the 1st Instant being required for immediate transmission to Government, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to furnish the same without further delay—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th August, 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To,

64

To the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir, The individual alluded to in my letters of 20th June and 1st Instant, having again presented himself before the Board of Revenue, I am directed to repeat their request that the transmission of the information called for in the two letters referred to, may be no longer delayed.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th August, 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Resolved that the following Memorandum be dispatched.—

65

To Mr. Pharoah.

To Mr. Pharoah.

Memorandum.

Mr. Pharoah is requested to send for the use of the Revenue Boards Office five Copies of his abridged Edition of the Regulations and Acts of Government.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 26th August, 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Ag. Secretary.

66

From the Ag. Coll.r in the Nn. Div.n of Arcot.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation

67

From the Coll.r in the Sn. Div.n of Arcot.

Regulation IX of 1822.—for the Month of July last.—

Return of persons confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.—

68

From the Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Abstract of Demand, Collection, and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.

69

From the Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Abstract of Demand, Collection, and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

70

From the Coll.r of Cuddapah.

Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain sold for the Month of July last.—

71

From the Coll.r of Canara.

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 from 1st October 1843 to 30th April 1844.

72

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.

Return of fines imposed and Stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

73

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and Stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.—

74

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.

Stating that there were no public Servants suspended or dismissed during the quarter ending 30th June last.—

From

11461 | Fort St. George 26th August 1844

75 From the Pt. Coll. of Madura. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.

76 From the Princ. Coll. of Madura. Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of July last.

77 From the Actg. Pt. Coll. of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Chittagong for 1843/44 up to 15th August 1844.

78 From the Sub Treasurer. Indent on the Commissary General for certain articles required for the use of his Office.

78-79 Order thereon. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned.

Comp. Examd

11462 | Fort St. George 27th August 1844.

Diary

27th August Received the following letter.

1 From the Princ. Collector of Madura.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 1st Instant, I have the honor to inform you instantly, on its receipt, requested the necessary information from the Judicial authority presiding in the late Southern Provincial Court and have this day received his answer that the amount due on the Razeenamah filed in Rt. 11. of 1841 brought by Mr. G. F. Fischer, against the late Guardian and late Manager of Ramnad, has neither been paid nor application made for it.

Acting Zillah Judge's Cutcherry July 19th August 1844

Madura, Calliarcoil 22d August 1844 } I Signed I. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

27th Sent the following address together with the foregoing letter.

G.B.

11463

(1-2)

To the Chief Secy to Government &c

Fort St George August 1844

To the Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

Referring to Para
of the Despatch from the Co
Honorable the Court of Direct
under date 2d April last a
received with Extract from
Minutes of Consultation dat
dated 10th June last, I am
directed by the Board
of Revenue to request you
will lay before the Rt
Most Noble the Governor

11464

From the Pl
Collr 26th July
in Cons 1st Augt 1844
No 1 to 4
From Do 22d
in 2 Cons
29th Do

No 11 of 1841

Fort St George 29th August 1844.

in Council the accompanying
correspondence with the Principal
Collector of Madura from which
it will be seen that the suit
instituted by Mr Fischer against
the late Manager and late Guardian
of the Ramnad Estate has been
settled in the late Southern Provincial
Court by Razeenamah a
no part of the amount has
been paid nor application
made for it.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
27th August 1844 --

/ Signed / E. C. Lovell.
Acting Secretary

11465

Fort St. George 29th August 1844

At a Meeting Present:

Thursday 29th August 1844, } John Dent, Esqre Senior Member
A. Robertson, Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot, Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

11466

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th August 1844.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Relative to the Settlement of the Government Estates in the Rajahmundry District

Here enter 21st December /43 No. 630-/

for Fasly 1251 / 41-42

3

From the Chief Secy to Government Communicating observations and orders on the Boards Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Government Estates in the District of Rajahmundry for Fasly 1251

Para 1 The Report of the Commissioner in the Northern Circars having been received, and forwarded to the Board of Revenue for their observations, the Most Noble the Governor in Council will not at present enter into a discussion of the different systems existing in this District, as the Report under review refers to the Fasly year ending 11th July 1842, such examination would not, at this time, answer any useful end, with respect to the year in question, and a reformation for the future will be best accomplished by the Board of Revenue, in obedience to their instructions "without delay to "report the measures for the improve- "ment of the District or correction of

Minutes Consultation 28th May Para 1

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

"of abuses which in their opinion
"should be immediately carried out."

2. His Lordship in Council will briefly notice the outturn of the season, and those portions of the report and Proceedings on which the sanction or instructions of the Government are solicited.

3. The Settlement of the year was better than might have been anticipated from the unfavorable season. In the Government Estates under management in both Tuslies from which only a just comparison may be drawn is found an Increase of Rupees 26306

4. It is not clear whether the Villages rented, were so disposed of under the Ryotwary system which the Board had in their Proceedings on Tusly 1250. so justly deprecated. Joint rents, as previously directed by the Board, and concurred in by the Government are now, it is repeated, to be reintroduced as extensively as "possible" and the Collector will be pleased to adopt this System in respect to Villages settled on rent.

5. Under the head of Collectorship is included an Item of 2183-8-4- on Ryots cultivating

Tusly 1250-1-2-1505-2-9
1251-5-0-7812-2-1
26306-15-4

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

cultivating maunium collected under Rules lately framed, and brought nominally into action in this Tusly. the Ryots being in the opinion of the Collector but imperfectly acquainted with these restrictions he begs permission to remit this sum but states the deduction on this account at Rupees 2024-8-5, thus leading to the inference that Rupees 158-15-11. have been collected.

Coll.r Rept. P. 21.
Bd. Pro. P. 7.

6. The Board supposing and the Government think justly that the indulgence if granted, should be general and a like affecting all concerned, recommend that the entire amount should be given back, and the Government direct that this be done in the manner proposed by the Board in para 7.

7. Adding to the Settlement above given the Peiz on the newly reverted Estates of 37034-13-9. the Total demand (exclusive of that realized by the Proprietors prior to the resumption) will amount to 5,44,846 of which 4,87,118- were collected a within the Tusly and 6450. subsequently, leaving a balance of 51278- at the end

+ Rs 5572

end of 1842.-

8-

The Government sanction the writing off of Rupees 33,115-11-7 / thirty three thousand one hundred and fifteen rupees eleven annas and Pice Seven being the Old Balances irrecoverable portion of the old balances they would wish however further information regarding the balance of 506-3:5. together with the opinion of the Collector the justice of the claims for the remission of this latter amount.

9. The Government will proceed to offer a few remarks on the rules brought forward by the Collector in para 5. and discussed by the Board Paras 17 at 21.

"Perfect freedom of Cultivation 10. Before this
"but the Ryot to pay for the is acted upon the
"extent he engages for. Ryot should be assured of the perfect
"at the commencement freedom of cultivation
"of the season."

permitted him and which information he would appear scarcely to possess from the instances given by the Board in Para 6 on their detailed examination of the Village of Ragoonatharajahpooram - with this knowledge however the rules just indeed a primary one in all Ryotwary Districts

"A Ryot throwing up his If this rule has
"cultivation to pay the reference to the back-
"Irrigated assessment yards and premises
"on the location and is attached to houses
"backyard attaching to it" on the Village site-

the remarks of the Board meet with the entire concurrence of the Government.

The location mentioned implies

11-

it is supposed, a space for building and if such had taken place had passed by sale into other hands perhaps of those of non cultivators and had thus for some period been separated from the arable land formerly appertaining to it, can no longer be supposed to follow the fortunes of the latter.

The different tenements on

12.

the Village site should always be held untaxed indeed they have often upon this tenure been transferred by sale or mortgage and have even so passed to their present occupants by a regular decree of the local Courts - If a new cultivation should be introduced and the space allotted for the Village (which rarely occurs) be fully occupied a portion of assessed land might be granted him, and made free with the sanction of

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

of Government.

13. Under these circumstances the Collectors proposition could not be carried out.

14. With respect to the rules proposed for the imposition of a penalty in cases of concealed cultivation the same rules which obtain in other Districts should be adopted in Rajahmundry.

15. The subject of payment to the Village Officers forming correctly no part of the present review the Government will postpone their observation until the plan of revising this Establishment is in due course submitted to them.

16. It may be proper to remark however that the objections taken by the Board to the Collectors suggestions on this head appear in general to be justly founded.

17. As a survey and assessment will form the basis of the reform to be applied to the revenue administration of this District it may be as well to consider the relative advantages of remunerating these officers in Money or in land the former alone seems to have been as yet contemplated, and the latter if determined upon should be fixed and defined ere the survey be commenced with.

3-4

Resolution thereon

Extract

Signed F. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance with reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 21st December 1843.

The attention of the Collector is directed to Para 5-6-8-and 17 of the foregoing order of Government.

5

From the Resident to Government Requesting that orders be issued to the Collectors of Tanjore and Bellary to treat Poodoocottah and London as foreign states and directing that Credit be given to the Bunganapully Jaghiredar for such portion of the Collections of Frontier duty as may be deemed equitable.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 23rd August 1844.

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting correspondence regarding the Collection of duties under Act III of 1844, on the frontiers of the Poodoocottah state in Tanjore and the Soondoor and Bunganapully Jaghires in the Ceded Districts stating that as neither Poodoocottah or Soondoor are included in the Proclamation of the 16th April last among the territories to be deemed foreign in reference to Act III of 1844 the Collectors of Tanjore, and Bellary have been instructed that no duties can be levied on goods passing into or from those states and that the Agent at Kurnool has been instructed that the Board cannot authorize the Collections of the inland Sayer in the Bunganapully Jaghire which would be at variance with the provisions of Act III of 1844, and soliciting orders regarding the allowance of 1000 Rupees per annum authorized to be paid as commutation for the Sayer Revenues of the Jaghire. The Most Noble the Governor

Here enter 13th June 1844 No 242

11473 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Governor in Council is of opinion that both Poodoocottah and Soondoor should be treated as foreign states and resolves so to declare them by a notice in the Official Gazette on the publication of which the Board of Revenue will be pleased to recall their instructions to the Collectors of Tanjore and Bellary and issue others in conformity with the present views of Government.

2. In respect to the peculiar position of the Bunganapully Territory His Lordship in Council observes that as the levy of transit duties is prohibited under the provisions of Act VII of 1844, there exists no reason for continuing the commutation heretofore paid on that account but he is of opinion that the Collection of Frontier Duty should be authorized and credit given to the Sagheredar for such portion as may be deemed equitable.

3. Ordered that the following notice be published in the Official

11474 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Official Gazette.

"The Most Noble the Governor in Council is pleased to declare that the states of the undermentioned Native chiefs shall be deemed Foreign Territory and that goods passing into or out of such Territory shall be liable to the duty fixed for British Bottoms.

"The Rajah Sondiman Bahadoor, the Sagheredar of Soondoor."

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

5-6

Resolution thereon Para 1 Resolved that a copy of Para 1 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collectors of Tanjore and Bellary respectively, who will be pleased to take immediate measures for Establishing Chokies and collecting duties on the frontiers of Poodoocottah and Soondoor now declared Foreign states.

2. A list of the routes by which goods may be allowed to

Rajah

Fort St. George 29th August 1844

pass the Frontiers the Chokies to be Established on such Routes, with the names of the Officers to be employed to collect duties should be transmitted for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette under Sections X and XII Act VI of 1844 as per accompanying Form.

3. A List of the Establishment proposed for each Chokey should also be submitted for sanction.

4. Resolved also that a copy of Para 2 of the foregoing Extract be furnished for the information and guidance of the Governor's agent at Kurnool with reference to his letter dated 9th April last No. 8 [illegible]

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

5 Mr. Scott will discontinue the payment of the consolidated allowance of 7,000 Rupees heretofore paid to the Jaghiredar of Banganapully on account of the Sayer Revenues of his Jaghire and will submit his opinion as to what portion of the Collection of Frontier duty, credit should be

11477 Fort St George 29th August 1844

be given to the Jaghi
dar: under the orders
of Government now
conveyed.

A

Statement
of Cowries proposed
to be maintained on the Frontier of

Districts	Routes	Chokies	Names of the Officers employed to collect duties and grant Certificates

J.G.B.

Read

11478 Fort St George 29th August 1844

Read the following letter

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

7
From the Chief Sec.t to Government

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to intimate that the Reports on the Settlements of the Land Revenue for Fusly 1251/41/42 of the two Districts noted in the Margin are still due and to request that they may be transmitted to Government without further delay.

Malabar & Tinnevelly

I am desired to take this opportunity of observing that the general Reports of your Board's Proceedings on the Settlements of the Districts in Fusly 1252/42/43 which terminated in July 1843, with the exception of Nellore, have not yet been submitted to Government while another Fusly 1253/43/44 has since expired. His Lordship in Council views with regret this extraordinary delay in the executive duties of your Board, and desires that no effort may be spared which may provide for the despatch of

Fort St George 29th August 1844

business in placing before the Government their review of the administration of the Land Revenue for the period above noticed.

Fort St George
23d August 1844

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon (138)

Ordered to be recorded.

(9)

From the Chief Secy to Government Commuicating a letter from the Secy to the Govt of India confirming the sanction given to the employment of an Establishment of Peons to guard against the introduction of illicit Salt & Arrack within the collectorate of Madras

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th August 1844.

Read the following Letters

To G. D. Drury Esqre
Chief Secretary to the
Government of
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 475 dated the 15th May last with enclosures, and to state in reply that the Governor General in Council confirms the authority granted by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to the Collector of Madras to employ as a temporary measure, an Establishment of Peons to guard against

the introduction of illicit Salt and arrack within the limits of the Collectorate at a charge of Rs 184 per mensem.

2. The Governor General in Council is at the same time desirous that the attention of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council should be drawn to the necessity which exists for great vigilance on the part of the authorities at Madras, to prevent as much as possible the Establishment now authorized to be entertained, from perpetuating the abuses which it was hoped would entirely cease with the abolition of the Sayer Establishment.

3. The Original papers received with your letter are herewith returned.

Fort William
The 13th July 1844

(Signed) J. R. Davidson
Offg Secy to the Govt of India

Resolved that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of

Fort St George 29th August 1844

of Revenue with reference to the sanction conveyed to them under date the 15th May last No 4741 and that their attention be drawn to para 2 in view to the necessary instructions being issued to the Collector of Madras.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

9-10

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the letter from the Government of India to which it refers be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 16th May last.

Mr Hudlestons particulars attention

Fort St George, 29th August 1844

attention is called to the instruction contained in Para 2nd of the letter from the Government of India.

Read the following

Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th August 1844.

From Board 1st August

11

From the Chief Secty to Government

Under the explanation afforded by the Collector of North Arcot, the Most Noble the Governor in Council sanctions the sum of Rupees (12) Twelve paid in Fasly 1252 on

1183 Fort St George 29th August 1844.

on account of Law charges.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary

11-12

Resolution thereon Resolved that

a Copy of the foregoing order

of Government be communi

cated for the information

and guidance of the Acting

Collector of North Arcot

with reference to the letters

noted in the Margin:-

From Collr. 13th in Cons. 25th June 1844

From Do. 23d July in Cons. 1st augt.

Extract

1184 For St. George 29th August 1844.

Extract from the minutes of Consulta-

13 tion dated 24th August 1844.-

From the Chief Secretary to Government..... (From Board 25th July)

Ordered that the

foregoing letter be communicated to

the Civil Auditor, who will pass

the Yeomiah sanctioned under date

the 10th May last No. 464 - to Ma-

homed Omr the nephew, and not the

Son of the late incumbent.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury

Chief Secretary

13-14

Resolution thereon, Resolved that a

copy of the foregoing order of

Government be transmitted

for the information and guid-

-ance of the Principal Collector

of Madura, with reference to

his letter dated 12th July last.-

Extract

11485 Fort St. George 29th August 1844

15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th August 1844.

From the Chief Secretary to Government.....

(From Board 8th August)

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector of Bellary the Most Noble the Governor in Council will be prepared to recommend to the Government of India the proposition for instructing the cultivators of the cane in the improved process of manufacturing Sugar from it, on an application for the requisite Establishment being submitted in the prescribed form.—

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolved

11486 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Resolution.

15-16

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Bellary with reference to his letter dated 26th July last No. 68.—

Mr. Mellor will be pleased to submit this application in the form prescribed in the Extract Minutes of Consultation 4th March 1844 Circulated on the 21st of that Month.—

Esctract.

11487

Fort St. George 29th. August 18

Extract from the Minutes of Consul
tation dated 29th. August 1844.

17

(From Board 8th. August)

From the Chief Secy. to Government. The Most No
the Governor in Council Sanction
the Estimate submitted, and the
charges reported in the foregoing
Proceedings, the former amount
ing to Rupees (25 - 13 -) Twenty
five and annas thirteen, and the
latter to Rupees (10 - 10 -) Ten and
annas ten. -

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in consequence. -

To

11488

Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

To,

The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

17-18.

To the Pl. Coll. of Coimbatore — inbnd 8th. Augt.

Sir,

With reference to your
letter dated 29th. July last No. 58 I am
directed by the Board of Revenue to
inform you that the most Noble
the Governor in Council has been
pleased to Sanction the Estimate for
making belts for the use of the Peons
of your Cutcherry amounting to Rupees
25 - 13 - and the charge actually in-
curred by the Joint Magistrate of
Dotacammund for belts for the Peons
of his office amounting to Rupees 10-10. -

From Govt. 24th August inbnd 29th Dr. 1844 No 1878.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th. August 1844.

(Signed) E. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following

Statements. -

Statement

11489

Statement Shewing the actual Charges incurred for Fusly 1253. — For Fort St. George

(19)

20th August 1844.

on account of additional Establishment employed

Number.	Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1253. Number of Servants	Rates of Duty.	Amount of Pay.	Period employed	Actual Charges for Fusly 1253.	Comparison between Columns 6 and 7. Increase.	Decrease.	Date of Sanction of Estimates
					Y. M. D.				
1	Additional Goomastahs	7	10	70	" 4 "	280 "	226 14 9	" "	53 1 3
2	Anchanadars	18	10	180	" 2 "	360 "	62 5 "	" "	297 11 "
3	Mahasooldars	34	3	102	" 5 "	510 "			
		8	2½	20	" 5 "	100 "			
4	Hyft Goomastahs					610 "	135 3 9	" "	474 12 3
						" "	242 6 6	242 6 6	" "
	Total					1250 "	666 14 "	242 6 6	825 8 6
							Deduct Increase —		242 6 6
							Net Decrease —		583 2 "

12th April 1844.

Vizagapatam
14th August 1844.

Errors. Excepted.

Offr. (Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector
Estimate

Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

1490

Estimate of Charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishment for Fusly 1254.

20

Number.	Description of Servants.	Estimate for Fusly 1254					Actual Charges in the preceding Fusly 1253.	Comparison between Cols. 6 and 7.		Date of previous Correspondence in which the employment of similar Establishments has been authorized.	Remarks.
		Number of Servants.	Rates of Pay.	Amount of Pay.	Proposed period of Employment.	Estimated Charges.		Increase.	Decrease.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Y. M. D.						
1	Additional Goomastahs	4	10	40	.. 4 ..	160 ..					
		3	10	30	.. 3 ..	90 ..					
						250 ..	226 14 9	23 1 3			
2	Anchanadars	10	10	100	.. 1 ..	100 ..	62 5 ..	37 11 ..			
3	Mahasooldars	20	3	60	.. 2 ..	120 ..					
		6	2½	15	.. 2 ..	30 ..	135 3 9	14 12 3			
						150 ..					
4	Fuft Goomastahs	7	10	70	.. 4 ..	280 ..					
		1	10	10	.. 2 ..	20 ..	242 6 6	57 9 6		25th. September 1838	The amount Estimated for last Fusly was Rupees 1250.
						300 ..					
		..	..	..	..	800 ..	666 14 ..	133 2 ..	..		

Vizagapatam
14th. August 1844.

Errors Excepted.

(Signed) W. R. Arbuthnot
Collector

Ordered.

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to lie on the table.

(19. 21)

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(22)

From the Collr of Vizagapatam —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your circular letter dated the 15th instant and to inform you in reply that the set of Standard Weights and Measures therein alluded to has not been supplied to this office. —

Vizagapatam District, Vizianagrum Collector's Circuit Cutcherry 22nd August 1844 —

(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(23)

From the Head Asst. Collr of Madura —

Sir,

In the absence of the Principal Collector on circuit, and in answer to your letter

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

letter of the 15th received on the 21st Instant, requiring to be informed by return of post, if a set of standard weights and measures has been supplied to this office by the Ordnance Department, I have the honor to report that such has not in consequence of no supply having been furnished to the Ordnance Department at Trichinopoly as communicated by the Commissary of Trichinopoly and received on the 9th of August 1842 —

(Signed) E. G. R. Paine
Head Assistant Collector

Madura — 23. August 1844

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(24)

From the Prl Collr of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your circular of the 15th Instant I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that my Indent for the standard Weights and measures therein referred to, was submitted to the Military Board under date the 29th July 1842; but they have not been supplied —

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Coimbatore — Principal Collector's Office August 20th 1844 —

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(25)

From the Asst. Pl. Collr. of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to ac-
knowledge the receipt today, of your letter
of the 15th Instant and in reply to state,
that the standard weights and measures
therein alluded to, have not yet been fur-
nished to this office from the Ordnance
Department.—

2. On the 1st Instant I received
a letter from the Military Board inform-
ing "that a set of standard weights and
measures would be forwarded to the store
keeper at Cannanore and that they will
be issued as soon as the articles reached
that station;" which I conclude will not
be before the middle or end of October
next.—

Principal Collector's
Cutcherry Mangalore
21st August 1844.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Acting Principal Collector

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(26)

From the Collr. of Malabar

Sir,

I have the honor to ac-

Fort St. George 29th August 184[illegible]

1494

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

acknowledge the receipt of your letter
of the 15th Instant and to state for the
information of the Board of Revenue
that my office has not as yet been
supplied with the set of standard
weights and measures therein referred
to.—

Calicut
21st August 1844.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector.

Order on the five foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on
the table.—

(22-27)

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(28)

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to ac-
knowledge the receipt of your letter
of the 15th Instant, and to inform you that
the set of standard weights
and measures therein alluded
to, as noted in the margin
has been supplied to
this office from the
Commissary of Ordnance
at masulipatam in
the month of march
last.—

Measures.	Mercall	1.
—"	½	1.
—"	¼	1.
Measures or	Puddy	1.
	½	1.
	¼	1.
	Allock	1.
	½	1.
	¼	1.
Weights. Brass	Maund.	1.
—"	Viss	1.
—"	Pallam	1.
—"	Tola	1.

Rajahmundry District
Rajahmundry 20th August
1844.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector
To

11495. Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

To, E. B. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

Sir

From the Collector of Tinnevelly ----- }

In reply to your letter of the 15th. Instant I have the honor to inform you that the set of standard Weights and measures therein alluded to, has been received by the conductor of Ordnance at Palamcottah, and will be sent for as soon as the sanctioned Indent, now missing, has been found. The Indent appears to have been lost or mislaid in the late transfer of the Head Writers English Records.

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
21st. August 1844 } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be recorded.

28-30

Read the following letter.

To

11496 Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

To, E. B. Lovell Esquire

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

31

Sir,

From T. Prendergast Esqre. Collector of Rajahmundry, } Accompanying I have the honor to forward an Extract from the Re-audit for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43. The sum of Rupees 80 - 28 - 42 - 8 - 28 - 186 - therein objected to, being Sunderwarees charged on account of survey Establishment was included in the amount of Rs. 186 sanctioned by Government under date 19th March 1839, but as it has been excluded by the Board from the statement of actual charges incurred on account of additional Establishments submitted for sanction under date 28th September 1843, I have now the honor to request that sanction may be obtained for the same as required by the Civil Auditor.

+Vide letters to & from Board dated 24th January & 4th April 1839.

1. Superintendent. Rs.
2. Surveyors.
2. Assistants.
Sunderwarees.
Persons to hold the Pagoums & Mark out fields.

*Vide your letter dated 15th April 1844.

Rajahmundry District Auditor's Office
Rajahmundry 15th August 1844. } (Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector.

Extract from the Re-audit for Fusly 1252 / 1842/43.

Charges Objected to.		Remarks of the Civil Auditor.
1 Superintendent &c. Establishment for surveying Lands in the Government Estates	Rs. a p. 195 6 6.	Not entered as per sanction of Government dated 12th April 1844 with the exception of Rs. 96 which will continue retrenched till accounted for.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Resolved.

23 Aug

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Resolution thereon,

31-32

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.—

The Board recommends that sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 96 paid as Tusly $\frac{1252}{1841/42}$ as Saudeiwarad Charges on account of the Survey Establishment entertained under the authority of Government dated 19th March 1839.

Read

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Read the following letters—

To,

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

33

From the Collector of Guntoor ——

Sir,

I have the honor to Acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 29th Ultimo, Containing the Board's remarks on certain items of the rates of duty entered in the Schedule of duties to be levied at the Hyderabad Frontier, forwarded with my letter* and to state that the required modifications have been made as follows. No. 7 Opium admitted to Export to Hyderabad Country at 5 per Cent. Nos. 14 and 15 Sugar, Jaggery &c. the import from Hyderabad prohibited.—

No. 20. Indigo, the rate of 3 Rupees per Maund of 80 Toolahs to the Seer being lower than the 5 per Cent. duty of Regulation I of 1812. is fixed for import.—

No. 22. Paddy and rice admitted to free Import, and 3 per Cent. retained for export as it is lower than

* Dated 16th July 1844.

No. 1

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

From Lt. Col. Pycroft Collr. of Guntoor

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Schedule B. Roll of Statement shewing the Salt sold and the Wastage on the Quantity stored in the District of Guntoor for fusly 1253. and Duplicate. Copies of the Rules of the 1st June 1844. Demy. Abstract in Returned as shewn Form Cent. duty of Clause 2 Section III. Regulation X of 1812.

Rupees 20 2½ Sicca Rupees Deduction and Section III Regulation I of 1812.

No. 30 Fee Rule Imposing duty from Board's Circulars 3 Rupees fees claimed agreeably to Schedule A Reg XI of 1844.

Guntoor ------

Collector's Cutcherry 15th August 1844.

Signed W. Neville

Lt. Col. Collr.

33-34

Proceedings thereon.

The Board direct Collector forthwith to forward the Statements required in the Passport Rules and letter acknowledging receipt.

Signed F. Stephenson

Secy.

11500

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Statement shewing the quantity of salt sold and the Wastage on the Quantity stored, in the District of Guntoor for fusly 1253.

35

Number of Collectors.	Names of the Collectors.	Fusly in which Stored	Quantity Stored In each Fusly		Total		Quantity Sold.	Wastage. Amount of Wastage		Per Centage	Per Centage of Wastage for each Collectorate fixed by the Collr as per Para 18 of the Salt Rules.	Explanation of the Cause of Wastage
1	2		3		4		5	6		7	8	9
			Maunds	Seers	In	Ps	In	In	Ps			
1	Chirna Ganjam	1249	43,896	12								
		1250	27,289	8								
		1251	138,891	36								
					210077	16	198237	24	11839	32	5 38	" "
2	Pedda Ganjam	1250	14,608	36								
		1257	66,466	8								
					80975	4	77296	"	3,680	4	4 30	" "
3	Nezampatam	1250	25,517	16								
		1252	18069	"								
					43526	16	41561	36	1963	20	4 29	" "
4	Parelee	1250	1569	36								
		1257	34903	8								
		1253	829	32								
					37302	36	31850	16	5452	20	17 5	" "
					341881	32	348945	36	22935	36	6 23	" "

Guntoor Collrs Cutcherry 24th August 1844.

(Signed) W. Neville

23 " August 1844.

11502.

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Ports of the Nellore and Ongole Districts in the Month of July 1844.

Year and Month.	Dates	No. of Certificates	Names of the Vessels.	Names of the Commanders.	Names of the Ship Owners	By whom exported or owners of the Salt.	To whom Consigned	From whence Exported	Quantity of Bengal Maunds
July 1844	22d	83	Rajagopaula Poorany	Sawmy	Vayapoory Croostanasawmy Naidoo	Vayapoory Croostanasawmy Naidoo	Board of Customs Salt & Opium	Eskapully	3,240
									3240

Nellore District

Sooloorpett,

Prin.l Coll.r Cutcherry

23d August 1844

E. E. Jr. (Signed) J. F. Bishop
A.g D.e Coll.r

Ordered

1495

11503. Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

41-42

To, The Acting Principal Collector of Nellore

To the Acg Pl Coll[r] of Nellore

Sir,

With reference to your Register of Permits for the exportation of Salt 23d inst: 29th Aug[t] to Calcutta in the Month of July last, I am 1844. directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will state whether the quantity therein entered was exported on account of the Indent for the Year 1843 of which there is a balance in your District still remaining unexported on account of the Current Year 1844, to enable the Board to enter separately in their Accounts the exportation for each Year. This should be distinctly shewn in your future Returns.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th August 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 1st and entered in Consultation 22d Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

11504. Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

To, The Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

43

To the Acg Pl Coll[r] of Cuddapah

Inlcons: 22d Aug[t]

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st Instant reporting on the Amount of Compensation to be paid to the Betel and Tobacco Renters of your District, on account of the sudden discontinuance of their Farms.

2. The sum which you recommend should be disbursed to the Renters is the same as that suggested by Mr. Ashton in his letter of the 8th June last, and is greatly below the Amount which is claimed by the parties themselves. As it is very desirable that any arrangement which may be made should be acquiesced in by the renters, I am directed to request that you will Communicate with them on the Subject, and pointing out to them the inutility of insisting on exorbitant demands, will attempt to effect such a compromise, as while it fully satisfies their just Claims, will not subject the Government to any unreasonable charge.

Inlcons: 17th June.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th August 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

11505. Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

Read the following letters.

To, E.b. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

(44)

From W.A.D. Inglis Esqre. Sir,
Asst. Pl. Collr. of Cuddapah. Para 1.

Fort St. George.

In reply to Your — letter of the 8th. Instant Calling on me to — report as to the Progress which the Cultiva: tion of the Mauritius sugar Cane has made from the Cuttings supplied by the Agri: horticultural Society. I am sorry to have to state that of 1000 Cuttings received, and which were distributed to five Subertan: tah ryots in the Muddenpilly and Goorrum: candah Talooks as being most favorable for the growth of Sugar it is reported by the Tahsildars that very few threw out Shoots at all, and that of the whole number only ten plants came to maturity, which have been appropriated solely for Cuttings to be put down now.

2. The almost total failure of the Cuttings is attributed by the People to their having been sent in the hot weather in Bandies and packed in such a way that the Canes were — injured

11506. Fort St. George 29th. August 1844.

injured by rubbing one against the other when in a very dry state. —

Cuddapah ——— }
Prin. Collrs. Office }
22d. August 1844 }

(Signed) W.A.D. Inglis
Asst. Princ. Collector

To, E.b. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

(45.)

From A.P. Onslow Esqre. Sir,
Collector of Trichinopoly ——— Para 1.

Reporting on the progress of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the District of Trichinopoly.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instant, Calling upon me to report on the progress which the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane has made in the Distr. of Trichinopoly.

2. The accompanying statem: exhibits the quantity of Cane received fro: the Madras Agri-horticultural society a the manner of its distribution. It will b perceived that out of the total number of Ca: sent. Viz One thousand, Eighty were uns: for use on arrival in the District that Cuttings were distributed, of which only 1

grew, and that they at present have increased to 1,615 plants. This result it must be confessed is any thing but Satisfactory; it Arises in a great measure from the state of the Canes on their arrival: for of the quantity distributed many had suffered so much in transit that it was hardly to be expected that they would grow, from a prejudice against the Cane, and from ignorance of the description of Soil best adapted for it, besides whether from its superior sweetness and juiciness or from the Comparative tenderness of the outer skin, the Jackalls selected it for their depredations in preference to the Country Cane, and much of it was thus destroyed.

3. I am in hopes however that the prejudice which I have alluded to has been overcome and it is now evident in what

description of Soil the Mauritius Cane thrives best. I am encouraged therefore to expect, notwithstanding present appearances, that its Cultivation will be extended. The very unsatisfactory result exhibited in the Statement impels me to mention, for the purpose of shewing that I have not been inattentive to the wishes of Government, that I have, at my own Cost distributed a larger quantity of Cane than that which I received from Government, with however no better result. and that I have succeeded in introducing the manufacture of a very good description of Sugar Candy, the former of which fetches from 3 to 4 Rupees a Maund. nothing better in the shape of Sugar than Jaggery having been before manufactured in the District.

Secunderabad, 23rd August, 1814.

11.538

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

No. 1.

Statement shewing the progress of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the District of Trichinopoly.

Names of the Taloobs	Names of the Villages	Number of Sugar Canes	Number of Cuttings dead	Deduct Remaining growing	Number produced Large	Small	Total	Remarks
Conaud	Cusbah	40	400	300	100	100	"	100	The soil is not suitable for this sort of Cane.
	Duranellaor	11	42	42	"	"	"	"	
	Veedevadungum	3	16	16	"	"	"	"	
	Munjathedul	61	285	40	245	259	120	379	
Vittoocutty	Vyjanellaor	50	165	155	10	10	"	10	
Moosery	Cusbah	250	1,500	1,500	"	"	"	"	The soil is not suitable for this sort of Cane.
Torriore	Cusbah	250	1,500	1,500	"	"	"	"	
Volicondapooram	Katathoor	255	1,400	652	748	1126	"	1126	
Total		920	5,308	4205	1103	1495	120	1615	

Withered and become unfit for use 80

1000

Trichinopoly, 22nd August, 1844.

E. E. F. (Signed) A. P. Onslow Collector.

Examined

Signed A. P. Onslow.

Collector.

Signed A. P. Onslow.

Collector.

Examined

Signed A. P. Onslow.

Collector.

1151 11511 to 513

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Order on the two foregoing letters. (44-46) — Ordered to lie on the table.

Read the following letter.

(47)

From S. Scott Esqre. Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool,

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

The Patans in Kurnool having remonstrated against the payment of the Veesabuddy tax, on the grounds that they have never paid it (which is true) and that their class was exempted from the payment in Cuddapah and Bellary, I addressed the Collectors of those Districts for the purpose of ascertaining whether such was the fact. In Bellary it appears there are no Patans, but in Cuddapah, the Board of Revenue in a letter to the Collector dated 7th July 1831 adopting the opinion of Sir Thomas Munro, sanctioned the exemption from payment of the Veesabuddy by the Patans, and as this is a privilege on which they set the highest importance, I request the sanction of the Board to extend the exemption to the Patans of Kurnool.

Kurnool, 13th August 1844.

(Signed) S. Scott
Agent to the Govr. of Fort St. George

Order thereon (47-48) — Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following Statement.

11514

(49)

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 20th August 1844.

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity Already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity Actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs	Remarks
For 1843 Bengal Maunds	400,000	339,360	60,640	63,375	78,366	The Salt may be paid for at the Talook Treasury at Sydapett near Madras or to the Peishcar at Covelong as it may best suit the convenience of the Exporters.

Chingleput District Collector's Cutchery, Pullecarney, 28th August 1844.

E. E. Pr. (Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Statement

11515.

Fort St. George 29th August 1844

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for Exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 19th to 25th August 1844

50

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported to Calcutta in 1844.	Quantity remaining actually in store ready for exportation	Remarks.
	Bs. Mds.	Bs. Mds.	Bs. Mds.	
Madras	193,931	61,370	132,561	
			132,561	

Since the date of last Report Applications have been received to supply the Barque "Strathaden" and "Phantom" with Bs. Mds. 17900

Quantity of Salt shipped up to the date of last Report Bs. Mds. 52130

Ship Posted. Barque "Strathaden" and "Phantom" in part of their Applications Shipped Bs. Mds. 9210

61370

In addition to Bs. Mds. 132,561 ready for exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 29th April 1844 there is an excess of Bengal Maunds 2,594 14/20 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.

Madras, 26th August 1844. E.E. J.F. Signed J.A. Huddleston Collector.

N.B

Order on the two foregoing Statements Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette. Read

49.51

11516. Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Read the following Letters-

To C. B. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

52

From the Pl. Collr of Salem - Pcl. 1.

Transmitting for the Boards' approval the draft of an answer proposed to be filed in the Subordinate Court of Salem in the case of the Dindimmi-galum Mootah-

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 8th Instant conveying instructions from the Revenue Board for the defence of the suit entered in the Subordinate Court at Salem against the late Acting Principal Collector and his Cowabnavees, I have the honor to forward for the Boards' approval, draft of the answer I propose to file.-

The Board will observe, that-

2.

I have adhered to their instructions as closely as possible - As regards the first point, no evidence except the advertisement, is required, but as regards the second portion of the defence, which states that independent of paying the arrears it was incumbent on the late Mootahdar to show that the sale was illegally conducted, I submit for the Boards' consideration, that- I have not the smallest evidence to adduce in its support, such a condition is not alluded to either in the Boards' correspondence with the Collector or in the Collectors' correspondence with the Plaintiffs - the evidence is all on

on the other side - When the Collector received your letter stating that the Board would be unwilling the Mistah should go out of their hands: and received from Plaintiffs an agreement to pay the arrears by a certain day he stated to the Board, that this arrangement had been consented to.— It would further appear, that the Acting Principal Collector did not so understand the Boards instructions himself, and therefore could not convey the impression to others, for, on the 4th January last, he forwarded a tahseed to the treasurer to pay back the purchase money Rupees 9000 to Comaravella Gounden, a copy of which order - I herewith send - The Court in trying the suit will be guided by the transactions which took place between the Acting Principal Collector and the Plaintiffs, and not by the Boards' intentions; it will also be in the Boards' recollection, that on the fulfilment of the condition imposed by the Board on the Plaintiffs, it was not necessary for them to enter into any other agreement

in order to break the sale, as if an illegal sale was proved, the Board had no alternative but to annul it. I would request the Board's fullest consideration to this subject; it does not do for a Government to go into Court with a weak case, and least of all where there is the least appearance of a want of faith, the closest perusal of the transaction between the Acting Principal Collector and the complaining parties will show, that not the slightest reference to the condition alluded to in Para 4 of your letter, was ever made known to them; but on the contrary that the only condition to be fulfilled was, the payment of the Arrears.—

3.— The supposition mentioned in Para 3 of your letter is not correct, the condition to which the Plaintiffs' object, was only required from one party.—

4.— The return of the amount paid by the Plaintiffs has been offered and refused. On presenting the answer to plaint in Court I shall also tender the amount in deposit.—

Salem Zillah Assoor ----- } /Signed/ L. D. Gloag
Pr. Coll.rs Cutcherry 20th August 1844 } Pr. Coll.r

Order thereon
(Read again in Cl. 2 Sept.r) Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

To

(52-53)

11519 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.-

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

54

From J. D. Gleig Esqre
Rink Collector of
Salem

Sir

I have the honor
to acknowledge the receipt
of the Regulations and Acts
agreeably to the List which
accompanied the Boards' Proceedings
of the 18th Ultimo.-

Salem Tillahg,
Ofsoor.
Rink Collrs Cutcherry
22d August 1844.-

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Rink Collector

Order

11520 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.-

54-55

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following

Statement.-

Return

11521. 56

For Fort St. Geo.

29th August 1844.

Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore on the 30th April 1844.

Name and Place of Birth.	Caste	Age	Talooks	Average Collections from Fusly 1248 to 1252	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employments.	Total length of service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Y M D	Y M D		Y M D	
Seenevasa Puntolou, Native of Chauanasore	Bramin	40	Cuddalore Vauum	185997	36 100		3 2 6	Sayer Mooshriff at Mungalumpettah in South Arcot	2 6 3	
								Do. Ameenah Do.	1 11	
								Deputy Sarabnevis in the Cooroor Cutcherry in Do.	3 9 6	
								Goomastah in Do. Do.	2 3 14	
								Revenue Sarabnovis in Do. Do.	3 9 27	
								Magistrate Sarabnovis in Do. Do.	6 8 2	
									19 2 3	22 4 9
Baiboo Bows Do. of Tinnevelly	Do.	39	Trivandy	201286	123 100		5 6 29	Hoozoor Goomastah in South Arcot	3 3 15	
								Do. Sarabnovis in Do.	13 10	
								Do. Head Sarabnevis in Do.	9 19	
									17 11 4	23 6 3

11522

For Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talooka	Average Collections from Fusly 1248 to 1252	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Present Talooka	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.		Y. M. D.	
Balajee Row Native of Arnee	Bramin	64	Vellaseram	2,31,070	8,10	12 0 0	8 2 1	17 - 28 Hoozoor Sayer Goomastah the Zillah of Chittoor Peishcar in Do. Tahsildar in Do. Native Commissioner in South Arcot Pymash Firkadar in Do.	6 - 18	23 1 16	
Mooroogapah Moodely Do. of Poodapulty	Vellala	43	Bowanghery	1,60,578	144	100 - -	7 6 11	Police Jamabnovies in Coimbatore Zillah Dawastanum Goomastah in Do. Hoozoor Goomastah in Do. Do. Jamabnovies Do. Magistrate Jamabnovies in South Arcot	12 - 7	19 6 18	
Venkatarow Do. of Poodupolliem	Bramin	58	Munnargoody	1,85,691	4 10	100 - -	32	136. Goomastah in the Talooke Cutcherry in South Arcot Jamabnovies in Do. Do. Hoozoor Jamabnovies in the Zillah of Chingleput Sayer Ameen &c. in South Arcot Hoozoor Jamabnovies in Do. Talooke Seristadar in Do.	24 3 -	37 9 4	

11523.

Fort St. George

24th August 1844.-

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Average Collections from Fresly 1248 to 1252.-	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employments.-	Total Length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				CR ct p	CR ct p	Y m D	Y m D		Y m D	
Balakistna Row Nature of Jagun = nadah poorum	Bramin	40	Chellum = brum	15288	36 100	2 5 19		2 11 16 Hoozoor Goomastah in South Arcot	2 8 9	
								Talook Seristadar in Dº	12 8 26	
									15 5 5	18 4 21
Hunmoomajee Row Dº of Trenealtoor	Dº	45	Trenamalie	11521	7 100	" 11 "		" 11 " Goomastah & com in Pondicherry Talook	2 " "	
								Head Goomastah in the District of South Arcot	18 5 "	
								Seristadar in Dº of Dº	6 2 1	
									26 7 1	27 6 1
A Saveryroyah Moodely Dº of Pondicherry	Vellala	65	Verdachellum	22601	9 100	10 9 16		12 6 12 Police Ameen in South Arcot	1 3 20	
								District Peishcar in Dº	1 4 15	
									2 8 5	15 2 17
Vencata Row Dº of Seeringapatam	Bramin	51	Ellavana = sore	16590	7 9 100	26 " "		3 5 " Maramut Goomastah in the Hillay Cutcherry at Seeringapatam	8 " "	
								Bagayut Darogah in Dº	3 " "	
								Talooka Peishcar in South Arcot	10 1 "	
								Dº Sheristadar in Dº	7 5 "	
									28 6 "	31 11 "
Soobiah Dº of Teagadoorgum	Dº	49	Treculore	13283	4 100	1 4 16		7 " 10 Revenue and Magistrate Jarobnoves in South Arcot	17 3 20	24 4 "

11524.

Fort St. George

29th August 1844.

Name and Place of Birth	Caste	Age	Taloote	Acreage Collections from Fusly 1248 to 1252	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Present Taloote	Total lenght of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employments	Total Length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
				Co cts	Co cts	Y M D	Y M D		Y M D	
Muddoo Row Native of Vindavaudy	Bramin	41	Cullacoorchy	1,52,991 1 6	100 " "	2 11 8	2 11 8	Assistant Taloote Goomastah in Chittoor — 5 11 19 Taloote Goomastah in South Arcot — 2 4 9 Hoozoor Do in the Sub Collectors Cutcherry at Do — 12 4 18 Taloote Seristadar in Do — 4 7 4	25 3 20	28 2 28	
Vencata Row Do of Chintamanypettah	Do	44	Chaitput	1,63,023 12 5	100 " "	1 4 16	9 4 2	Taloote Goomastah in Chittoor — 4 4 11 Hoozoor Head Do in Chingleput — 1 4 6 Do Goomastah in South Arcot — " 5 12 Do Head Goomastah in Trichinopoly — " " 21 Do Jamobnevices in Do — " 2 11 Do Stamps Daragah in Do — 1 " 22 Dawastanum Seristadar in Do — " " 14 Tahsildar in Do — 2 9 24	10 4 7	19 8 9	
Dasegachary Do of Settypoonnem	Do	45	Cuddalore	57,953 5 7	40 " "	6 6 26	6 6 26	Peishear in Masulipatam — 1 8 12 Ameenah in Do — " 9 19 Salt Ameenah in Do — " 7 16 Acting Tahsildar of Cuddalore in South Arcot — " 1 27 Peishear of Chelluimbroum in Do — 2 7 10	5 10 24	12 5 20	

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry Cuddalore
22d August 1844.

Ex. E. J.s. — Signed W. D. David
Collector

Ordered

11525. Fort St. George 29th August 1844.-

Order thereon -

(56-57)

Ordered to be recorded -

Read the following letter -

To E. C. Lovell Esq:
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(58)

From the Collector of South Arcot - - 3 Parts -

+ 19th Augt 1844 -

Sir,

In reply to your letter I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, it is my intention that the two Additional Mundovahs therein alluded to shall be established immediately on the receipt of their Sanction and that Frontier duty is to be collected at them, in the same manner as it is at the other Chowkies.-

2. The names of the persons I propose placing in charge of these Mundovahs are Chsureemootoo Mooder-liar at +Cottacoopum and Seemnaig Iyengar at °Cundamungalum -

3 - In respect to the routes that lead to the Mundovahs in question I beg to refer the Board to the items Nos 2 & 6 in the +List of routes enclosed in my letter -

+ Mortandy Chauedy
° Thootinum-pauttum -

+ No 2
of 11th May 1844 -

11526. Fort St. George 29th August 1844 -

4 I also beg to bring to their notice that three Gommastahs and a Peon have been retained at the Hoozoor from the 1st of April last, and to solicit their early sanction for the pay of these servants from that period -

+ 49 Rs. per Mensem
° 5 Rs. per Do -

South Arcot Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 24th August 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Resolution thereon -

(58-59)

The Board authorize the immediate Establishment of the two Mundovahs proposed by the Collector at Mortandy, Chauedy and Thootinampauttum -

The Sanction of Govern-ment for the servants proposed to be appointed will be communicated hereafter -

Read

11527 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Read the undermentioned

60 Returns—

From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara — Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June last—

61 From the Collector of Malabar — Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the 1st half of the year ending 30th June last—

60-62 Order on the two above Returns — 3 Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government—

Read

11528 Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Read the following letter.

To

C. G. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

63 From the Collr of Malabar — Sir

Para 1 I have the honor with reference to the letter marked in the margin to submit a report and Statement on the subject of the Weavers in this District. The trade of manufacturing Cotton cloth has never prevailed in Malabar to any extent. Weavers are to be found it is true in most of the Talooks but generally in small numbers and engaging in a very common description of work. In Palghaut which has a decided Coimbatore some cloths are made of sufficient value to be worth exportation to Bombay but every where else the manufacture is only adapted for home consumption and that of the lower Classes. The richer people clothe themselves with the fabrics of Madura Salem and Sinnevelly which are brought in in large quantities as will be observed by a reference to any of my Coctrw Revenue Reports.

2 A view of the Statement which is enclosed

Reporting with reference to the Board's letter of the 1st 4/15 Ultimo on the subject of the Weavers in his district.

Recd 29th August 1844

indeed will show that during the last 3 years there has been a small annual increase in the number of boys this result is partially from a diminuency of those killed markedly clandestinely and partially from an increased emigration from the Tinnevelly Country

The Pass system and [illegible] are as a [illegible] to the [illegible] ing to Healthy nature of the fabric made [illegible] is desirable to [illegible] to the interests of [illegible] their subjects Steps better suited [illegible] than by a reduction in the present duties

Dated 31st Augt. of taxation. My sub-Collectors in the [illegible] pended letter draws a more gloomy picture of the state of the weavers in his division than it [illegible] applicable to those in my side. It will be seen they have to buy Cotton thread which Country thread is used in my Districts He proposes to relieve them by direct remission from Government. As for the Government to decide whether this should be carried out. Mr. Frazer tells me he thinks 3000 Rupees

might be usefully kept out in the Villages he alludes to.—

Malabar Collr's Office
Calicut 19th August 1844

[Signed] H. V. Conolly
Collr.

Account

1537

Fort St. George

No. 1.

29th August 1844.

Account Particulars shewing the amount of Sax in Looms from July 1250 to 1252 in the several Taloks in the Pallahs of Malabar.

Taloks	July 1250		July 1251		July 1252		Total of 3 Columns		Average per year		
	Number of Looms	Sax therein	Number of Looms	Sax therein	Number of Looms	Sax therein	Looms	Sax therein	Looms	Sax therein	Amounts
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1 Cayel	247	196 1	246	195 1	246	195 3 4	739	585 10	246	195 3 4	
2 Cherikel	272	200 12 8	278	206 15 10	278	204 3 7	828	612 10 9	276	204 3 7	
3 Patiote	204	189 11	212	192 8 11	214	194 2 6	630	575 12 4	210	191 14 9	
4 Kartenaud	208	306	311	311	316	316	933	933	311	311	
5 Corombranaad	370	405 6 6	378	409 6 9	371	404 4 6	1119	1219 6 9	373	406 5 11	
6 Calicut	191	133 9 2	229	154 6 10	226	153 6 10	652	441 6 10	217	147 2 3	
7 Ernaad	51	29 2 3	49	28	57	29 11 5	157	86 13 8	52	28 15 3	
8 Shernaad	95	93 11 5	108	108	115	110 4 7	318	312	106	104	
9 Betulnaad	113	113 6 10	115	116 9 1	125	124 9 2	353	354 9 1	118	118 3	
10 Kootnaad	21	14 4 7	32	14 10 5	34	15 11 5	91	44 13 8	32	14 15 3	
11 Chongkaut	4	2 4 7	4	2 4 7	4	2 4 7	12	6 13 9	4	2 4 7	
12 Nedingunaad	308	176	316½	180 9 2	330½	194 9 2	955	551 2 4	318	183 11 5	
13 Wallowanaad	43	37 6 10	45½	30	58½	47 2 3	147	124 9 1	49	41 8 4	
14 Palgkaut	769	790 4 7	809	809 2 1	844	841 2 3	2420	2438 9 4	807	802 13 9	
15 Tamalpooram	726	812	968	979 6 11	1031	1008	2725	2799 6 10	908	933 23	
16 Muki Hobly	1	5	1	5	1	5	3	15 8	1	5 28	
Total	3741	3504 65	4106	3749 4 11½	4260	3848 4 9	12107	11101 15 2	4035	3700 10 4	

Signed H. V. Connolly
Collector

August 1844. E. E. Jr.

To

Fort St. George 29th August 1814.

No 2

To

H. V. Conolly Esqre

Collector of Malabar.

Sir

Having with reference to the 5th of the letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated the 1st Ultimo, directing information of the present state of the weavers of Malabar made enquiry from the Chief Shroff the honor to report for the information of the Board that the weavers the inhabitants of North Malabar are all of one and the same caste, viz. Chalians, and for the most part in straitened circumstances.

The profits of the present trade although the total amount of loom and the consequent number thereon, has, as per attended Statement of the late few past years gradually increased. still their actual and flourishing condition here and there, as compared with former years, deserves to be given to understand, a melancholy depression and decline. Various causes have combined to hasten on and produce this depression of their trade and affluence, and to reduce the first weavers to

bad no control. The introduction of English thread, the almost total falling off in the demand for cloths wholly of native manufacture. The reduced consumption of cloths even of Malabar manufacture, but of foreign thread, the market being supplied with the article cheaper in spread and equal or superior to their own in appearance and immediately direct from England and other neighbouring places.

The cultivation of the article has also most ruined the sale of cloths, the produce of the Country both in thread and manufacture, and an occupation giving full employ to each member of a family from the old woman to the child, and production of considerable gain have consequently ceased.—

With this reduced consumption distress and poverty enduring the poor manufacturer has been compelled in order to obtain the necessary European thread to seek the rich Moplas Merchants pecuniary aid—and these by temporary and exorbitant loans procure the means to work his trade. loans to be repaid after the manufacture and disposal

From Ft St George 29th August 1846.

liable of the cloth so made.

He had earned praise of his labor

have thus been enabled in a profitable

pursuing enterprizes. It therefore the benev

sent of a portion of Government could be shown

by advancing small loans with due caution

direction administered a good security for

repayment of the same being secured and

thus the necessity of the present too genera

and obstructive system of borrowing

many from mere entertainers avoided

a means of alleviating the circumstan

and industrious unfortunate and high

deserving class would thereby be benef

cially and successfully exerted.

The Statement above alluded to is

that called for in the Acting Secretary's

letter of the 15th Ultimo

Signed H. Thomas Frazier.

Collector.

Signed H. C. Lovell.

Actg Secy.

Ordered that the Statement be

From

Fort St George 29th August 1846.

From the Collector of Malabar. Read Statement of charges

(65) incurred without Estimate for July 1252.—

Ordered that the following letter

be sent in consequence.

(65-66) To the Collector of

Malabar.—

Sir,

To the Collector of Malabar. Referring to your Account Particulars

of Batta paid to Public Servants on your District

while on Circuit in July 1252 submitted with your

Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in

dated 11th Nov. 1845 July 1846.

that July. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to

observe that in the case of the Head Sheristadar and

several other Servants it appears that Batta was paid

for more than 10 days consecutively without it be-

ing shewn whether these Servants travelled during

that period, no travelling days being entered in the

Statement. The Board therefore request you will fur-

nish explanation on this subject at your earliest convenience.

2. The Board desire also that you will sub-

mit for sanction in a separate communication

Item No 22. the charge of Rupees 41-9-3 incurred on the depart-

ment of the Sub Conservator of Forests, Government

having recently directed this course to be adopted

with regard to certain charges incurred in the

Sub Conservator's Department in the District of

Canara.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 29th August 1846.

Signed C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Statement

Salt

1530

Fort St. George

Dr. Salt account Current in the Madras Collectorate for the 4th

67

		Quantity of Salt imported on account of the manufacture of July 1251	Quantity of Salt imported on account of the manufacture of July 1252	Quantity of Salt imported on account of the manufacture of July 1253	Total
1843		Gs Ms	Gs Ms	Gs Ms	Gs Ms
July 1st	Balance of Attepat & Villages Salt of former Juslies on hand at the commencement of the present Fusly	273 336	359 306 5		633 242
1844					
July 1st	Quantity of Attepat & Vellore Villages Salt imported to the end of last Quarter				
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter		293 268	24 49	317 297
	Gain by Measurement to the end of last Quarter	1 59			
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter		2 73	2361 170	2354 170
	Salt confiscated to the end of last Quarter				3 332
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter		20	116	116
	Total	274 395	655 347 5	2 375 369	3309 301

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt in the Madras Collectorate

1844				
July 1st	Amount Sales of Attepat & Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter	For Home Consumption at 105 Rupees per Garce	78665 6	
		For Exportation to Cal. cutta at 42 Rupees	4900	83565
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter	For Home Consumption at 150 Rupees per Garce	48067 8	
		For Exportation to Calcutta at 42 Rupees	5155 8	53223
	Amount of Bribe offered by Smugglers to the end of last Fusly		2 6	
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter			
	Amount Sales of confiscated Salt to the end of last Quarter		5 4	2
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter			5 4
	Recoveries of advances to the of last Quarter		210 9	
	Dr. Dr. to the end of this Quarter		3363 12 3	3573 13
	Total Rupees			111036 4

1st April to 11th July 1844 of Fusly 1253

			Quantity of Salt sold being the manufacture of Fusly 1251.	Quantity of Salt sold being the manufacture of Fusly 1252.	Quantity of Salt sold being the manufacture of Fusly 1253.	Total
			Gs. M. M.	Gs. M. M.	Gs. M. M.	Gs. M. M.
1844. July 11th	Sales of Allepat & 7 Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter	For Home Consumption at 125 Rs per Gurse		478 297 "		866 342 4
		For Exportation to Calcutta at 42 Rs per Gurse	270 179 "	116 266 5½	260 169 7½	
	Do Do to the end of this Quarter	For Home Consumption at 180 Rs per Gurse	" " "	" 266 5½	122 300 "	389 316 3
		For Exportation to Calcutta at 42 Rupees	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Wastage of Allepat & 7 Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter		" " "	53 236 7½	" " "	64 129 7½
	Do Do to the end of this Quarter		4 216 "	80 2¾	5 396 5½	
	Sales of Confiscated Salt to the end of last Quarter		" " "	20 "	" " "	20 "
	Do Do to the end of this Quarter		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Balance		" " "	655 347 5	359 66 5½	1,320 9 2½
			274 395 "	" " "	1959 292 2½	1,959 292 2½
			" " "	655 347 5	2375 359 "	3,309 301 5
			274 395 "	655 347 5	116 266 5½ is equal to	14,000 " "
					Annual Allowance Do	14,730 " "
					122 300 is B Mds	28,730 " "
					Total Garces 239	&c.

Note
The quantity Exported to the end of last Quarter to Calcutta Gurse
Do Do to the end of this Quarter to Do

for the above period

1844. July 11th	By Servants to the end of last Quarter		3,361 7 7	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		2,069 14 5	5,431 6 "
	By Compensation to the end of last Quarter		2,227 6 10	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		" " "	2,227 6 10
	By Rufoons & Manneems to the end of last Quarter		237 5 6	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		118 2 "	355 7 6
	By Scundermard charges to the end of last Quarter		2 15 1	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		1 8 7	4 7 8
	By Charges Extraordinary to the end of last Quarter		3,048 10 6	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		10,143 10 2	13,194 4 8
	By Payment made to the Manufacturers on account of their share to the end of last Quarter		3,411 8 5	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		22,996 5 8	26,407 14 1
	By Advance made to the Manufacturers to the end of last Quarter		3,602 8 "	
	By Do Do to the end of this Quarter		" " "	3,602 8 "
	Net Revenue to the end of this Quarter			51,223 6 9
				89,143 13 3
		Total Rupees		140,367 4 "

Ordered.

Madras 11th July 1844. E. E. Fs / Signed J. A. Hamilton

Fort St. George 29th August 1846.

Order thereon.

67-68

Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following Letter.

To

The Secretary

Board of Revenue.

69

From Messrs Parry & Co Madras.—

Sirs,

In acknowledgment of your letter of the 15th Instant, received yesterday, acquainting us that the Board of Revenue do not feel themselves at liberty to accede to our request for the grant of a License to distil Spirits from Molasses at Tinnery. We have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to make known to us the grounds of such refusal.—

Madras, 22nd August 1846.

/Signed/ Parry & Co.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To

69-70

Messrs Parry & Co.

To Messrs Parry & Co

Gentlemen,

In Corr. 29 Augt

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d Instant.—

In September last, Messrs Parry & Co were permitted to ship into the

Fort St. George 29th August 1846.

the limits of Madras certain Spirits manufactured at Mr Morrison's Distillery at Tinnery for the declared purpose of being exported according to the Provisions of Regulation I of 1820 and with this view were allowed to have the same lodged in the Bonded Warehouse but it having been brought to the knowledge of the Board of Revenue that in contravention of the above Regulation Messrs Parry & Co have caused a portion of such Spirits to be again conveyed Inland. The Board have considered it imperative on them to withhold their sanction for the grant of a License to Messrs Parry & Co to establish another Distillery at Tinnery.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 29th August, 1846.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell

Acting Secretary.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

71

From the Collector of Malabar.— Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for Fasly 1252.—

72

From the Collector of Malabar.— Statement of Magisterial charges incurred without Estimate for Fasly 1252.—

Ordered.

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Order on the two abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

71-73

Read the undermentioned Statements.

74 From the Acting Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

75 From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

76 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

77 From the Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

78 From the Head Assistant Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

79 From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

80 From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th August 1844.

74. 81 Order on the Seven abovementioned Statements. Ordered to lie on the Table.

82 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Read Register of endorsement passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the — Month.

Fort St. George 29th August 1844.

Month of July last. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

82-83 Order thereon. Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

84 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last.

85 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Return of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last.

86 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Return of fines and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.

87 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30 June last.

88 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Return of Public Servants suspended and dismissed for the quarter ending 30th June last.

89 From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara. Stating that the Return of Persons committed and imprisonment under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of May last is not

11541

Fort St George 29th August 1846

90. From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara — Informing that no Person has been confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of June last —

91. From the Acting Principal Collector of Canara — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last —

92. From the Acting Collector of Ganjam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last —

93. From the Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last —

94. From the Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of Guntoor — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last —

95. From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last

96. From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last —

From

11542

Fort St George 29th August 1846

97. From the Collector of Vizagapatam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last —

98. From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last —

99. From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Return of persons suspended or dismissed under Section VIII Regulation II of 1831 for the Month of July last —

100. From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah — Reporting that no persons have been confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of July last —

101. From the Acting Collector of Ganjam — Stating that there have been no Returns for the Month of July last to send, there being no entry to make —

102. From the Collector of Malabar — Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under the Provisions of Regulation II of 1831 from April to June last —

103. From the Collector of Malabar — Return of fines and charges made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ended 30th June last —

Return

11573 Fort St George 29th August 1844.

From the Collector of Malabar.— Return of Alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th June last.

104

From the Collector of Malabar. Returns of Persons suspended and dismissed from employment for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

105

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that no cases of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 from February to June last.—

106

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that no persons were confined under Regulation IX of 1822 from January to June last.—

107

From the Collector of Malabar.— Reporting that no Revenue defaulters were in confinement during the quarter ending 30th June last.

108

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public Servants for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

109

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

110

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of Public

111

11644 Fort St George 29th August 1844.

Servant suspended and dismissed from employment for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

112

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Return of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail for the quarter ending 30th June last.—

113

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.— Statement of Spirituous Liquor recommended for confiscation under the Provisions of Section XII Regulation I of 1820.—

113-114

Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Spirituous Liquor enumerated in the Statement be authorized.—

Order thereon.

115

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.— Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of July last.—

116

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.— Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation II of 1831 for the Month of July last.—

From.

1545 Fort St George 29th August 1822

From the Collector of Trichinopoly Stating that the

117 Return of Persons convicted and sent on

confinement under Regulation IX of

1822 for the Month of July last is not

sent there being no entry to be noted.—

Comp.d J.C. Boyle