To be returned to
31-5-38
MADRAS RECORD OFFICE
17287/30

B1R

284

1908

519

1970 Fort St. George 1st February 1844

No Diary

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 1st February 1844

Peter Dent Esqr. Senior Member.
A. Robertson Esqr. Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract from the Chief Secretary to Government

1

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 19th December 1843.

Read the following letter from the officiating Secretary to the Government of India

Calling for Copies of the papers connected with the delay that has occurred in the Abstract of Proceedings of Government of Fort St. George in the Revenue Department dated 22d August last.

Ordered, That the Board of Revenue have already received the orders of Government dated respectively 28th May 1842 and 22d August 1843 to submit a report on the condition and progress of the Inam Commissary and to explain the cause of their delay in furnishing

furnishing this information.

2. The Government regret to have occasion again to repeat their injunctions. But copies of the petition, the subject of the bond due by the Zemindar of this Estate being required for the purpose of the Governor General in Council, it is necessary that a full report of its affairs should be communicated to the Secretary of India, and it is resolved accordingly to direct the Board of Revenue forthwith to furnish the information they have been so frequently called upon to supply.

/ A true Extract /
/ signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

1-2

Order thereon.
Vide Proceedings
21st January
from the Chief Secretary
to Government

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 15th January 1844.

Read the following Letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Submitting a Correspondence on the
Acting Collector of North Arcot regarding a
Petition presented to the Board by Venkat
Row Naick the brother of the Zemindar
of Arcot praying that he may be allowed
an Allowance from the Zemindary
for Stated reasons that a specific income
for the Petitioner.
Perak

Close on this day 1st Feb 1844

in Council observes that by the terms of the Sunnud issued under date the 19th April 1825 it is incumbent on the Sagheedar of Arnee to make suitable provision for the different members of his family according to established usage: he does not therefore consider it necessary to interfere in the manner recommended by the Board of Revenue.

2. His Lordship in Council desires the Board will inform Venkat Row that he is expected to submit himself to the head of the family as he did in his Father's time, and advise him to accept whatever his brother upon a just consideration of his wants and reasonable expences may allot to him.

/ A true Extract /
/ signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

3-4

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter of the 18th October last. Mr Babington will also cause the accompanying Petition from Venkat Row bearing the Board's Endorsement to be delivered to that Individual.

Endorsement on Venkat Row's Petition No 1089 of 1843

The subject of Petitioner's application for a separate and suitable maintenance having been submitted for the orders of Government. The Most Noble the Governor in Council has instructed the Board of Revenue to intimate to Petitioner his expectation that Petitioner will submit himself to the Head of the family as he did in his Father's time, and to advise him to accept whatever his brother upon a just consideration of his wants and reasonable expences may allot to him.

Extract

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

5
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 13th January 1844.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

Soliciting sanction for the issue of Rupees 17 8 paid as usual for the celebration of a festival at Vootha pollam in the Cuddalore Talook in South Arcot but re-trenched in July 1837 and 1841/42 by the Civil Auditor.

(Here enter 27th November 1843 No 593)

Before passing final order on this petition, the Most Noble the Governor in Council desires to be informed if the sum allotted to forms a portion of the Endowment to the Religious institution in question, and under what head and in what manner it is included in the Jageer Rupees 4,76,247-8-1 sanctioned by Government under date 20th October 1818, and whether the charge is to be continued in future under the late orders of Government.

(5-6)

A true Extract
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation to be communicated to the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 13th November last Mr Davis will be pleased to furnish the further information required by Government.

(7)
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st January 1844.

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 11th December 1843 No 614)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council sees no objection to the issue of the grant received with the above Letter.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

(7-8)
Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information of the Collector of Chingleput with reference to his letter dated 5th December last.

(9)
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 27th January 1844.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue-

Submitting a letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam and recommending that sanction may be granted for erecting of the value of Garden 780-34. 8 of salt destroying the Sthem in October last.

(Here enter 4th January 1844 No 10)

The Most Noble the Governor in

in Council grants authority for writing
off in the accounts of the Collectorate of
Vizagapatam the value of Salt lost during
the Storm in October last amounting to
Sicca Rs 780. 3 As. 5 Pie seven hundred and eighty
Rupees & three hundred and forty one and
measures five.

A true Extract
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

9-10
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a Copy of the fore-
going Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
be furnished for the information and guid-
of the Collector of Vizagapatam, with reference
to Mr. Smollett's letter dated 7th November last.

11
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 27th January 1844.

Read the following Extract from
the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department
Forwarding an Extract of a letter
from the Honorable Court of Directors to Bengal dated 15th January 1845
relative to the affairs of Kurnool.

Ordered that Paras 1, 2 & 4 be commu-
nicated to the Board of Revenue for their information.

Political Department.

Extract of a General Letter from the Honorable
the Court of Directors dated 15th November 1843.

Para 1. The Paras noted in the margin relate
to the affairs of Kurnool.

Secret Letters dated 25th June 1839 No 13
18th March 1840 No 2
Political Letters dated 9th June 1841 No 7
Secret Letter dated 9th June 1841 No 7

2. We sanction the decision of
the Government of India by which the
Jaghire Villages forming the subject of
Mr. Bayley's letter dated 16th September 1842
with the exception of the four held by the
two heads of the Abdar Khan, are left to
the present holders for their lives.

4. Mr. Bayley has solicited authority
for commencing a detail Cystoary Assessment
of the Kurnool Territory, but we entirely approve
of your having directed that he should
commence experimentally with one or two
Villages and report to the Board of Revenue
before proceeding further.

True Extract
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

11-12
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a Copy of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation be furnished for the information
of the Agent to the Governor in Kurnool.

13
From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 27th January 1844.

Read the following Letter.

To G. D. Drury Esqr
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir Dated the 23d December 1843.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

I forwarded your letter No 1263 dated the 2d Instant submitting correspondence on the subject of a gratuity of Rupees 36-4 per annum granted by the late Gholam Hussain for lighting the Mosque at St. Thome, and in reply to state that under the circumstances therein represented the Honorable the President in Council is pleased to sanction the renewal of the above allowance in the name of Gholam Hyder, the late incumbent's son from the date of stoppage of payment viz. the 12th July 1841.

The original papers received with your letter are herewith returned.

Fort William
The 23rd December 1843

/ Signed / C. Metcalfe.
Under Secretary to the Govt

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with the reference to their acting Secretary's letter dated 30th Octr No 531 and to the Officer of Account and Audit &c.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

13-14
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order and of the letter from the Government of Madras therein referred to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue with reference to their letter dated 30th Octr

Fort St. George 1st February 18th.

Read the following Resolution.

15
From the Chief Secretary to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th January 1844

(From Board 4th January)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council authorizes the Agent in Kurnool to employ an Establishment for the Collection of the Sayer Duties in the Hyderabad Jaghire at a Monthly expence of Rupees (208) Two hundred and eight.

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

15-16
Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Agent in Kurnool, in reference to his letter dated 1st December 1843.

Read the following letters.

17
From the Collr of Cuddapah

To The Secretary to the Court of Wards.

Fort St. George

Sir,

Adverting to an arzee addressed to me by Stra Juggannadah Gajapathy Narrain Dr. Zemindar of Purlakemedy under the management of the Circar bearing date the 30th ultimo, requesting to allow him a sum of Rupees 10000 for the expences of performing the Ceremony

F

To

The Acting Collector of Ganjam.

(17-19)

To the Acting Coll.r of Ganjam

In Cons.n 1st February 1844

Sir,

Referring to the note appended to your letter dated 4th January last No.3 shewing that the Net surplus funds belonging to the Purlah Kemedy Zumindary amounted at the end of December last, to the large sum of Rupees 270248 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will immediately submit your opinion as to the expediency of investing

of putting the Sacrificial Thread on his son which he purposes to celebrate in the month of May next in consequence of his having attained the age of 13 years. I beg to state for the information of the Court that the allowance of 900 Rupees which is granted to him monthly is hardly sufficient for his current wants much less to keep him in funds to meet such additional expences. I calculate with reference to the cheapness of all articles at present that 1000 Rupees will be sufficient for the performance of this Ceremony and request you will be therefore have the goodness to obtain the sanction of the Court to issue the aforesaid sum from the surplus funds of that Zemindary on deposit on my Treasury

Ganjam Districk;
Collectors Cutcherry;
Chellapore 4th January 1844

I have &c.
(Signed) J. A. Anstruther
Acting Collector

Resolution thereon.

The Court of Wards authorize the disbursement of Rupees 1000 for the purpose specified from the surplus funds of the Purlah Kemedy Estate.

Ordered also that the following letter be sent in reply.

To.

(XX-18)

*Ltr to the Acting Collr of Ganjam Dated 1st Instt. Recd 6th Ditto
Ditto Collr of Ganjam Dated 4th Ditto

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

investing the same in

the Government 4 per

Cent Loan.

2 We Board trust

receive at an early period

your report on the many

=ments of this Peninsula

to the close of the last

July 1262

Penal Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st February 1844.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Read the following letter

From the Collr of Vizagapatam — Date

To
C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 14th December 1843 and with reference to the 11th paragraph thereof I beg to submit for publication in the Boards Office an advertisement for the sale of the Condakirlah, Velchoor, Codoor, and Vittoor Estates.

2. I have little expectation that any purchasers will be found for the two latter Estates, but I fully anticipate that Condakirlah will sell for such a sum as will clear off the outstanding balance against it.

3. Should it be expedient however that a discretionary power should be vested in me now, as it was when the Estates were formerly put up for sale to bid for any of them on behalf of Government.

4. As the Board object to the accounts of the three Estates being blended together, I conclude that if a larger sum is realized from the sale of any one of the Estates than the Coroar balance against it the surplus cannot be carried to the credit of the other Estates, but must be held at the disposal of the Court under whose orders the Estates were sequestered.

I have &c.
/Signed/ W. M. Arbuthnot
Collector

Vizagapatam District Office
Vizagapatam 2nd January 1844.

Notice

1988

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Notice is hereby given that under orders from the Board of Revenue dated 11th December 1843 the undermentioned Estates of the Zillah of Vizagapatam which are now under sequestration will be sold on Monday the 18th March 1844 at the Collectors Cutcherry at 11 O'Clock A. M. on that day, and will continue until the whole of the lands shall be sold. The amount advanced for Cultivation and repairs will be made known at the time of sale

No.	Names of the Estates	Jama after the Remission	Permanent assessment	Balance due up to 1st January 1844. Fasly 1248	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Total
1	Condakintah	11	19,800 " "	12,265 8 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,265 8 11
2	Cottaur	9	9,067 " "	8,913 6 4	8,057 11 1	2,963 6 "	2,781 11 6	22,710 4 11
3	Vellchoor Cudaur	3	7,267 " "	7,215 " 9	7,026 15 "	3,059 8 "	3,372 12 3	20,664 4 "
		26	36,134 " "	28,384 " "	15,078 10 1	6,023 " "	6,154 7 9	55,640 1 10

2nd Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose and all purchases made by such authorized Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the Principals.—

3rd But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchase may be made.—

4th The intention of the condition being to prevent fraudulent collusions, notice is further given that in the event of any purchase being made under a fictitious name the deposit money on account of purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Estate be resold.—

5th A Summed is milkeut Istmerar or Deed of Permanent right has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and the office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and the rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this advertisement. All persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular explanation.—

6th The Purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale has been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue but in the mean time 5 per Cent of the purchase Money will be required to be deposited immediately, and Security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Boards sanction or within one month from the day of the sale in default whereof the Estate will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

7th In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue the deposit Money will be returned and the person depositing it released from all responsibility with regard to the Estate.—

8th For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue or at the Collectors Cutcherry at Vizagapatam between 10 and 5 O'Clock except Sundays.—

Vizagapatam Collrs Cutcherry 3rd January 1844.— (Signed) W. A. Arbuthnot Collector.

Ordered

Fort St George 1st February 1844.

(20-21)

Order thereon.

Wide Proceedings 5th Feby 1844

Ordered it to be sent again in Circulation.

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esq.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir,

(22)

From the Commissioner in charge of Rajahmundry

I am &c

Reporting on the present state of the balances of revenue outstanding against the Zemindary & Proprietary Estates in the District of Rajahmundry and submitting for consideration and orders the mangement to be proposed regarding them in accordance to the Board's Proceedings of 30th October last.

The Board having in their Proceedings of the 30th October last called on me to state my opinion as to the absolute necessity of resorting to the extreme measure of sale of certain Estates which Mr Smith on July last applied for permission to dispose of by Public Auction, I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the Estates against which balances are still outstanding the amount of those balances and the years of their currency.

2. The Estates mentioned in the margin bearing similar numbers to those attached to them in the statement which accompanied Mr Smith's letter of the 20th July last, have subsequent to that date been freed from arrears, they therefore do not appear in that now forwarded. Pauly and Eetah are also omitted the former having been separately reported on, and the recommendation of Mr Smith regarding the latter having been acceded to.

Cottam
Nelapilly
Palevaldeva
Sugeram.

3. The Balances against the Estates from No 1 to No 4 will be recovered shortly there appearing to be no grounds for the withholding of the payments by the owners of them or necessity for other than the ordinary authority of the Collector for recovering them.

4. The Proprietors of No 5 Gopaulpooram and Munchely are the same. They purpose redeeming the Gopaulpooram Estate and have pointed out an error in the demand against it by which collections from it to the amount of Rupees 1870-4-11 have been placed to the credit of Munchely. I have directed the requisite adjustment to be made. The balance against this Estate is by this adjustment reduced to Rupees 3620-14-0 and the Proprietors have lately also paid Rupees 620-14-0 and have entered into engagements to liquidate the remaining balance viz. 3000 Rs with the three last kists of the present year. As I do not anticipate that they will fail in these engagements I have not entered this Estate in

the

As per Mr Smith's Statement B
Dated 20th July 1843.

Nos
7 Gondapilly
8 Talakachellah
9 Mukkapilly
10 Bheemalee
11 Suggumpettah &c
12 Caulavaram
13 Kirlampudy
14 Annadevarapandee
15 Ramchmundry &c
17 Nadawapilly &c
18 Pommeru
20 Jungareddygoodem
21 Bodhemmapettah &c
24 Mukkamalah
41 Calamanchelly
42 Cusavacotta.

the advertisement.

5. The Proprietor of No 6 Vellum follows that on a former occasion the Estate was about to be sold admitted as a share to it the present Joint Proprietor Row Ramanoo and on condition of his entering into agreements to liquidate the balances outstanding, his name was to be registered in the Government accounts the joint Proprietor who has lately been called on to conform to his agreements, has requested permission to pay by instalments. The Estate being a profitable one and the conditions on which it came into the hands of the joint Proprietor and present actual possessor being as above stated there appear no grounds for the non payment of all the balance against it which I am of opinion be made good from the joint Proprietor gradually without recourse to the publication of an advertisement for its sale by auction.

Ganapaiaram Veeravelly pollam Cretavaram Calastri Vlavaram.

6. If the Proprietors of these Estates from No 9 to No 10 enter into agreement for the liquidation during the present and next fasslies of the arrears which have accrued subsequent to the disastrous seasons of fasles

fasles 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40 I am of opinion that they should be exempted from further present demand and this arrangement may be carried out, without holding over them the threat of selling their Estates by auction.

Pauchalamkah Tarlemaudy Vlavs Crawpak Mallavaram &c. No 15

7. Against the Estates from No 11 to No 14 there are no balances except for fasles 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40.—

8. The Cotalah Proprietor during the past year paid his Peishcush in full & also liquidated a portion of his arrears. These it will be observed accrued chiefly during the two disastrous seasons of fasles 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40. The balance also now outstanding that Estate for fasly 1251/1841/42 is caused by the crediting to arrears of the years 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40 the remittances made by the Proprietor in fasles 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 in payment of the Current Peishcush of those fasles. The original balance outstanding at the end of fasly 1249/1839/40 was Rupees 22238-3-8 and that now outstanding amounts to Rupees 18286. So that actually the Proprietor has in the fasles 1250/1840/41 - 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 paid sums exceeding the Peishcush demandable of him for those fasles and has diminished the original balance of the two previous years 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40 by Rupees 3952-3-8 after

Fort St George 1st February 1844

After inquiry into the losses sustained by this Proprietor in the years 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40 Mr Smith fixed the amount to be paid by him at Rupees 3,134-13-4 for the former and Rupees 3,134-13-4 for the latter year. The sum so fixed was punctually paid and the postponement of the balance of Peishkush granted in consequence. The Proprietor as reported by Mr Smith in para 7 of his letter to the Board, has evinced a desire to conform to the engagement required of him and therefore deserves consideration and indulgence but reasonable his request for the payments made by him in Fasles 1251/1841/42 and 1252/1842/43 on account of the current Peishkush of these years should be credited thereby showing how balance

Estates	Fasles	Annual Demand of Peishkush thereon	At
Geotalah	1248/1838/39	4,753	2 — "
Ditto	1249/1839/40	4,567	2 — "
		86,320	4 — "

Deduct Collections

Fasly	1248/1838/39	3,134-13-4	
"	1249/1839/40	35,005-6-8	
		66,320	4 — "
Balance		20,000	— " — "

Dated 20th July 1843.

Para 8th "The Geotalah Proprietor
"has certainly exerted himself
"in this Fasly to redeem his
"character, & has sold one of
"his Estates Moorcummah
"and had the season been
"favorable in this Estate I
"have no doubt he would
"have paid more."

J.B.

Fort St George 1st February 1844.

now outstanding to have entirely accrued in the bad years of 1248/1838/39 and 1249/1839/40 as was really the case instead of there appearing as at present against him a large balance for fasly 1251/1841/42. The Collections of these arrears should be for the present suspended.

Part of the balances against Nos 16 & 17 are for years previous to fasly 1248/1838/39 Omitting the balances for the years of famine, these for the other years against these two Estates may be gradually collected without selling the property.

I proposed lately to the Proprietor of No 18 Cungah to release his Estate now under Gaunt if he would within one Month pay one third of the demand against it and engage to liquidate the remainder by instalments. To this he consented but having failed and being without credit or respectability of character there seems no prospect of his being able to redeem his property in any terms. The Proprietors of No 18 Mauchely have stated their inability to pay the balance against it outstanding for fasly 1252/1842/43 only. Under these circumstances these two Estates are

Pannungapilly Kunnakarera Paraan.

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

are entered in the advertisement for sale sent hereunto.

Tandavoya Meggoollah Venkataya pollam Inavelly Pamomtra. Takey Vadesalaroo Vcu. Moongundah Vcu. Takkepankah. Tantoeendah

11. For the remaining nine Estates from No. 10 to No. 18 I have the honor to forward two advertisements for sale. One on account of the arrears of all fasslies and another omitting those for fasslies 1248 and 1249 / 1838/39 1839/40. The adoption of the latter I beg to recommend. The adversity of the seasons of these two fasslies is well known to the Board. A sum was fixed by Mr. Smith to be paid for those years by the Proprietors and engagements were taken from them to liquidate the remainder of their Peishcush by instalments. The sums fixed for Collection in those fasslies have been realized but in the payment of the instalments the Proprietors have failed. The former were calculated after enquiring into the losses sustained and the ability of the owners to pay and an indulgence was called for at a period to ascertain the amounts thus fixed should be the extent of the demand.

12. Even with this reduction of the Peishcush I see very little prospect of the Proprietors of these Estates being able to redeem them (with the exception of Tandavoya Meggoollah and Venkataya pollam which

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

which may possibly be saved).

13. The Estates of Inavelly Pamomtra Takey Vadesalaroo Vcu and Moongundah have suffered severely in the present year from the flooding of the Godavery and will not produce the Current Peishcush. The Proprietors of Inavelly and Moongundah have been reduced to poverty. The latter is deeply involved in debt from which he can never be relieved. The cases of the Proprietors of Pamomtra and Takey Vadesalaroo Vcu would merit some consideration were there condition less desperate. They purchased their Estates, Pamomtra at auction for Rupees 20,500. Takey Vadesalaroo Vcu by private sale for Rupees 14,500, just previous to those seasons so ruinous to this District and have neither of them derived any advantage from their purchase. The proprietor of Takey Vadesalaroo Vcu also in fasly 1248 / 1838/39 laid out considerable sums in the improvement of the Vadesalaroo Estate but the whole was lost in the general ruin that followed. The condition to which these

Estates

Govt St George 1st Febuary 1844.

Estates under Government management have subsequently fallen precludes all chance of their being for some years else than burdensome to the present owners, if held on the terms to which they are now liable and it appears to me as advantageous to the Proprietors themselves as it will be beneficial to the cultivators and to all concerned with them that they should revert to Government without further delay.

16. The small Estate of Tantepankah is held by a female residing in Guntoor, who never visits the property but leaves it to the management of Renters by whom she is defrauded. The Owner of Tantecondah at present holds the situation of Tahsildar Commistah in a Talaug of this Province. The sale of these Estates will be pleasing to the Owners of them.

15 With the orders of the Board is to which of the advertisements are to be acted on it will be necessary to convey authority to the

Govt St George 1st Febuary 1844.

Collector to bid at the Auctions which it is proposed should take place at the expiration of one Month after the publication of the notice of sale, an sum for each Estate equivalent to the Balance as it and I have the honor to request that such authority may be granted and the advertisement approved of published at the Office of the Board.

No 29. 16. The Estate of Talaroo the last on the Statement belongs to a person on the Masulipatam District, who is about to attain his majority and as propositions have been made for liquidation of the arrears shows thought it advisable to defer for the present proposing any mode of settlement of this property.

17. The Petitions No 376 and 1445 of 1843 are returned herewith.

Addressed by the Proprietors of Mogoltah and the Zemindar of Venkatagiripalem.

Pygahiroondy District
Cocanada 26th December
1843

I Signed H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Charge.

Statement

Fort St. George

No. 1. Statement shewing the Zemindary and Proprietary Estates in the District of Rajahmundry by the Amount of these balances on the 1st February 1846.

No.	Names of Estates	Annual Permanent assessment	Amount of balance up to 21st July 1845 the date of last Report	Deduct Collections	Remains Balance on account of 1st July 1846	Remains Balance on account of 1st July 1847	Remarks	Amount of balance up to 12th July 1847 the date of last Report	Deduct Collections	Remains Balance on account of 1st July 1848	Remarks
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	
1	Cottam Estate	27,163 8	1,714 4	1,000	714 4	714 4		714 4		714 4	
2	Nedapilly Do	481 4	132 8		132 8	132 8		132 8		132 8	
3	Palevalah Vr. Do	46,683 8	4,900 10	1,362 8	3,538 2	3,538 2		3,538 2		3,538 2	
4	Ingeram Do	3,265 8	1,040 1 2	540 1 2	500	500		500		500	
		77,593 12	7,787 7 2	2,902 9 2	4,884 14	4,884 14		4,884 14		4,884 14	
5	Gopaulpooram Do	14,368 8	4,118 14	1,118 14	3,000	3,000		3,000		3,000	
6	Vellumpollem Zemindary	12,632 3	7,420 5 9		7,420 5 9	7,420 5 9		7,420 5 9		7,420 5 9	
7	Ganapavaram Estate	4,877 3	432 6		192 6	192 6		192 6		192 6	
8	Veeravellypollem Do	3,545 8	982 2		641	641		641		641	
9	Contevaudah Do	2,458	827	9 6	153 4	153 4		153 4		153 4	
10	Palavaram Do	11,977	3,321	588	1,459 8	1,459 8		1,459 8		1,459 8	
		22,457 11	5,562 8	597 6	2,446 2	2,446 2		2,446 2		2,446 2	
11	Packalunkah Do	1,841	303 12								
12	Saalemoody Vr. Zemindary	2,296 4 11	422 8 8	25	6 5 10	6 5 10	Since Collected	6 5 10		6 5 10	
13	Crawpah Estate	6,519	3,000								
14	Mallavaram Vr. Do	2,419 8	1,234	154 8							
		13,065 12 11	4,960 4 8	179 8							
15	Gotalah Vr. Do	42,717	20,000	1,314							

Amount of balance up to 12th July 1847 the date of last Report	Deduct Collections	Remains Balance on account of 1st July 1848	Remarks
2	3		
Rs as	Rs as		
21 4 4	120		
57 1 1			
78 5 5	120		
12 2 5	13		
13 3 2	54		
65 5 7	67		
9 15	550		
50	141		
8 6 5	5		
10 13	214		
22 10 3	72		
602 6 8	357		
84 3 9	637		
62 12 10	188		
61 13 2			
883 1 3	2188		
21 11 4			
327 15 2	8888		

Vide explanation in Para 8 of the letter

Ryy. District
mber 184

Fort St. George

...ceiving the Zemindary and
...t Rajahmundry the Amount

1st February 1844.

Proprietary Estates against which Balances of Revenue are still outstanding in the District
of these balances and the years of their occurrence

Annual Permanent Assessment	Amount of balance up to 28th July 1843 the date of Mr Smith's last Report	Deduct Collections	Remaining Balance	Remarks
2	3	4	15	16
Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	
27,163 8	1,714 4	1000	714 4	
481 4	132 8		132 8	
46,683 8	4,900 10	1362 8	3538 2	
3265 8	1040 1 2	540 1 2	500	
77,593 12	7787 7 2	2902 9 2	4884 14	
14,368 8	4118 14	4118 14	3200	
12,632 3	7,420 5 9		7420 5 9	
4877 3	432 6		192 6	
3545 8	982 2		641	
2958	827	9 6	153 4	
11,977	3321	588	1459 8	
22457 11	5562 8	547 6	2446 2	
1841	303 12		303 12	
2296 4 11	422 8 8	25	397 8 8	
6519	3000		3000	
2419 8	1234	154 8	1079 8	
13965 12 11	4960 4 8	179 8	4780 12 8	
42777	20200	1914	18286	

1399 2 6	5394 7 4		626 11 11	7420 5 9		192 6	
	240		142 3	432 6	240	641	
	341 2		641	982 2	341 2	153 4	
	164 6		153 4	817 10	664 6	1459 8	
	1273 8		1459 8	2733	1273 8	2446 2	
	2519		2445 15	4965 2	2519		
	303 12			303 12	303 12		Since Collected
	391 2 10		6 5 10	397 8 8	391 2 10	6 5 10	
	2893	107		3000	3000		
	1079 8			1079 8	1079 8		
	3587 14 10	1186 8	6 5 10	4780 12 8	4774 6 10	6 5 10	Vide explanation in Para 8 of the letter
5798 4 6	4962 11 6		7525	18286	18286		

		Remaining										Reduced Amount of the balances of Jasties 1248 & 1249 the Remainder of which is now recommended to be suspended for present.	Remaining Balance	Remarks
		On Account of Jasti 1244		On Account of Jasti 1245		On Account of Jasti 1247		On Account of Jasti 1248						
		4		5		6		7				14	15	16
No.		Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a			Rs a p	Rs a p	
3	4	"	"	91	44	"	"	153	3			153 3 8	247 13 4	
"	"	235	15	"	"	"	"	93	1			93 1 9	263 15 4	
3	4	235	15	91	44	"	"	246	5			246 5 5	511 12 8	
1	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			" " "	1,538 3 5	
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			" " "	3,959 3 2	
3														
4	15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			" " "	5,497 6 7	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	438	8			423 8 "	1,926 7 "	
59	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	611	9			511 9 2	896 13 "	
6	7	3	"	"	"	"	"	888	8			1,080 5 10	812 9 4	
7	9	9	"	"	"	433	12	8	4,661	9 2		4,644 9 2	11,834 10 1	
8	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,574	6 3		18,152 " "	14,298 2 2	
9														
10	20	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	32,330	4 3		44,444 " "	54,802 11 9	
	38	"	"	"	"	3706	4	9	6,248	8 "		15,693 11 3	27,432 10 10	
4	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	270	2 10		270 2 10	1,893 13 3	
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,794	10 "		2,165 4 "	3,395 7 11	
13														
	5	"	"	"	"	4340	1	5	6,287	4 5 "		8,732 3 7	11,391 5 3	
14														
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	626	14 "	" " "	3,151 11 4	
15	K	235	15	9	44	5739	3	11	81,047	15 7		113,128 8 10	143,309 15 5	
15	Cocanada													
3														

E. E. J. Signed H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner in Ghaut

2001

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

No. 2.

Advertisement.

1 Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue including interest charges sequestration and advances for cultivation and repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estates in the District of Rajahmundry up to this period shall have been liquidated on or before the day of 1844 the sale of these lands will be commenced by auction in the Collector's Cutcherry at Cocanada on that day and will be continued until the whole of the lands shall be sold. The amount of charges sequestration and advances for cultivation and repairs due up to the day of sale together with the amount of interest will be made known at the time of sale.

Names

Fort St. George

Balance of Jusly 1247			Balance of Jusly 1248			Balance of Jusly 1249		
Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
5	6		8	9	10	11	12	13
C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p
— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	17 15 7	17 15 7	— — —	68 — 5	68 — 5
2 — 7	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
16 5 9	423 8 —	56 6 7	479 14 7	— — —	54 3 —	54 3 —	— — —	— — —
— — —	511 9 2	55 13 8	567 6 10	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
10 10 7	270 2 10	37 2 3	307 5 1	— — —	37 1 3	37 1 3	— — —	— — —
785 1 2	4661 9 2	106 13 6	4768 6 8	— — —	197 7 10	197 7 10	— — —	— — —
39 11 8	1794 10 —	102 2 4	1896 12 4	271 11 4	72 14 2	344 9 6	— — —	— — —
1 2 —	888 8 —	41 12 —	930 4 —	191 13 10	37 7 5	229 5 3	— — —	— — —
— — —	15746 3 7	894 15 2	16641 2 9	2405 12 5	241 8 5	2647 4 1	— — —	— — —
— — —	1103 12 10	346 7 8	1450 4 6	1772 12 6	193 7 10	1966 4 4	— — —	— — —
— — —	12321 12 6	482 13 —	12804 9 6	5573 2 6	385 7 2	5958 9 8	— — —	— — —
— — —	18904 10 11	842 14 6	19747 9 5	4767 12 9	666 15 11	5434 12 8	— — —	— — —
— — —	32330 4 3	1672 3 2	34002 7 5	12113 11 9	1245 14 11	13359 10 8	— — —	— — —
3706 4 9	283 13 6	394 — 2 3	6248 8 —	296 3 —	6544 11 —	7675 14 —	360 10 3	8036 8 3
— — —	48 10 2	48 10 2	— — —	53 3 8	53 3 8	1769 5 3	81 10 6	1850 15 9
3706 4 9	287 7 8	399 — 12 5	6248 8 —	349 6 8	6597 14 8	9445 3 3	442 4 9	9887 8 —
24340 1 5	508 10 9	4848 12 2	62874 15 —	3334 10 11	66209 9 11	24428 4 7	2398 14 2	26825 2 9

Balance of Jusly 1250			Balance of Jusly 1251		
Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
15	16	17	18	19	
C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	C.R. a p	
— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	
— — —	— — —	— — —	419 11 5	69 9 8	489 5 1
— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —	85 10 —	85 10 —
49 1 5	49 1 5	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
18 12 8	18 12 8	931 4 —	48 14 11	980 2 11	— — —
— — —	— — —	— — —	465 6 10	26 5 5	491 12 3
2 11 9	2 11 9	— — —	— — —	— — —	— — —
3 3 8	3 3 8	445 2 —	— — —	32 7 3	477 9 3
247 10 —	247 10 —	5381 4 5	370 8 7	— — —	8751 13 —
32 5 2	32 5 2	1805 7 4	— — —	67 8 5	1872 15 9
— — —	— — —	— — —	69 9 2	4 11 5	74 4 7
253 14 3	253 14 3	9520 14 9	— — —	— — —	— — —
212 15 3	212 15 3	1875 5 6	354 3 7	10175 2 4	— — —
393 5 11	393 5 10	6786 11 6	31 14 5	1907 3 11	— — —
484 2 —	484 2 —	5704 15 5	180 6 5	6067 — 11	— — —
1090 7 1	1090 7 1	14366 15 5	127 6 2	5832 5 7	— — —
524 15 5	524 15 5	7346 3 3	339 11 8	14706 10 5	— — —
133 7 3	133 7 3	1636 13 —	177 3 6	7523 6 9	— — —
658 6 8	658 6 8	8983 — 3	59 2 8	1695 15 8	— — —
2366 8 8	2356 8 8	45688 11 7	236 6 2	9219 6 5	— — —
			1636 — 5	47324 12 —	

2003

Fort St. George

Balance of Jusly 1252 | Balance of Lists of Jusly 1253 up to December 1843 | 1st February 1844

Balance of Jusly 1252			Balance of Lists of Jusly 1253 up to December 1843			1st February 1844				
				Charges		Total				
Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Sequestration	Total	Principal	Interest	Charges Sequestration	Total	Remarks.
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	
1118 8 -	41 1 4	1159 9 4	375 3 -	- - -	375 3 -	1913 6 5	110 11 -	- - -	2024 1 5	Under Zafft
3959 3 2	152 9 1	4111 12 3	444 11 -	- - -	444 11 -	4403 14 2	375 5 1	- - -	4779 3 3	Do
95 3 -	18 9 11	113 12 11	- - -	- - -	- - -	1449 15 -	213 4 10	- - -	1663 3 10	Do
431 6 2	22 7 7	453 13 9	102 11 10	- - -	102 11 10	1511 2 -	107 6 5	- - -	1618 8 5	Do
1448 11 3	47 11 5	1496 6 8	669 12 -	- - -	669 12 -	2833 12 1	168 4 5	- - -	3002 - 6	Do
2819 9 -	87 3 10	2906 12 10	1925 11 -	- - -	1925 11 -	18421 14 3	1161 - 3	- - -	19582 14 6	Do
1590 - 6	48 12 8	1638 13 2	58 5 11	- - -	58 5 11	5520 3 1	363 6 5	- - -	5883 9 6	Do
- - -	1 13 9	1 13 9	245 - -	- - -	245 - -	2137 15 2	86 14 7	- - -	2224 13 9	
4477 3 5	60 9 3	4537 12 8	1260 13 -	- - -	1260 13 -	33710 15 2	1805 2 8	- - -	35516 1 10	Do
3661 8 -	148 6 3	3809 14 3	565 3 4	- - -	565 3 4	13707 2 8	933 3 5	- - -	14640 6 1	
6467 2 -	149 13 11	6616 15 11	1227 5 3	- - -	1227 5 3	43360 2 -	1591 14 4	- - -	44952 - 4	Do
2041 2 10	10 10 7	2051 13 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	43969 15 8	2132 1 2	- - -	46102 - 10	
12169 12 10	308 14 9	12478 11 7	1792 8 7	- - -	1792 8 7	101037 4 4	4657 2 11	- - -	105694 7 3	
2908 15 4	45 1 1	2954 - 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	36737 5 1	1642 14 9	- - -	38380 3 10	
515 - -	5 2 3	520 2 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	6389 1 -	381 4 6	- - -	6770 5 6	
3423 15 4	50 3 5	3474 2 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	43126 6 1	2024 3 3	- - -	45150 9 4	
31533 8 8	840 - 11	32373 9 7	6874 12 4	- - -	6874 12 4	216066 11 9	11072 13 10	- - -	227139 9 7	

2. Persons intending to become purchasers of the ancient Zemindary Estates under this advertisement are all hereby informed that under orders of Government no remission for Motursha shall be granted to any purchaser nor any abatement made in the present permanent Assessment of the Estate on that account.-

3. The kists of Permanent Summah falling due to the date of sale together with interest, charges, attachment &c.a are to be paid by the late Proprietor of the Estate and those subsequent to the date of sale, together with interest, charges attachment &c.a are to be discharged by the Purchasers.-

4. Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and purchases made by such authorized Agents or Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchase had been made by the Principals.-

5. But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.-

6. The intention of the condition being to

to prevent fraudulent collusion, Notice is further given that in the event of any purchases being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchase will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the Estate to be resold.-

7. A Summud I Mulkeut Istemrar or Deed of Permanent right has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue describing the obligations to be incurred and rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this advertisement, all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular information.-

8. The Purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale had been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited at the time of sale and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's Sanction or within one Month from the day of the sale- In default thereof, the whole or part of the Estate will be resold at the risk of the

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

the first purchasers.

9 In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it relieved from responsibility with regard to the Estate or Estates.

10 Payment of the purchase money will be received in Company's Rupees, Star Pagodas and Madras Pagodas at the Company's rate of exchange.

11. For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue between 11 and 4 O'Clock and at the Collector's Cutcherry at Cocanada between 10 and 5 O'Clock, except on Sunday.

12. If the abovementioned arrears are not paid by the day of Sale, the Estates will be sold for the recovery thereof with the legal interest.

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 26th December
1843

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner
in charge.

Notice

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

No. 3.

Advertisement.

1. Notice is hereby given that unless the arrears of Revenue including interest, charges sequestration and advances for cultivation and repairs which are outstanding against the undermentioned Estates in the District of Rajahmundry up to this period shall have been liquidated on or before the — day of — 1844, the sale of these lands will be commenced by auction in the Collector's Cutcherry at Cocanada on that day and will be continued until the whole of the lands shall be sold

The amount of charges sequestration and advances for Cultivation and repairs due upto the day of sale together with the amount of interest will be made known at the time of sale.

Names.

Febuary 1844.

Balance of Judy 1250 — Balance of Judy 1251.

Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total
14	15	16	17	18	19
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
			419 11 5	69 9 8	489 5 1
" " "	" " "	" " "			
" " "	49 1 5	49 1 5	" " "	85 10 -	85 10 -
" " "	18 12 8	18 12 8	931 4 -	48 14 11	980 2 11
" " "	2 11 9	2 11 9	465 6 10	26 5 5	491 12 3
" " "	3 3 8	3 3 8	445 2 -	32 7 3	477 9 3
" " "	247 10 -	247 10 -	8381 4 5	370 8 7	8751 13 -
" " "	32 5 2	32 5 2	1805 7 4	67 8 5	1872 15 9
743 - 2	" " "	743 - 2	69 9 2	4 11 5	74 4 7
" " "	253 14 3	253 14 3	9820 14 9	354 3 7	10175 2 4
4728 8 6	212 15 3	4941 7 9	1875 5 6	31 14 5	1907 3 11
10984 1 3	393 5 10	11377 7 1	6786 10 6	180 6 5	6967 - 11
12551 5 9	484 2 -	13035 7 9	5704 15 5	127 6 2	5832 5 7
28263 15 6	1090 7 1	29354 6 7	14366 15 5	339 11 -	14706 10 5
8851 7 9	524 15 5	9376 7 2	7346 3 3	177 3 6	7523 6 9
2467 14 9	133 7 3	2601 6 -	1636 13 -	59 2 8	1695 15 8
11319 6 6	658 6 8	11977 13 2	8983 - 3	236 6 2	9219 6 5
40326 6 2	2356 8 8	42682 14 10	45688 11 7	1636 - 5	47324 12 -

2008

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Number	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates	Number of Villages	Balance of Fusly 1247 Principal	Interest	Total	Balance of Fusly 1248 Principal	Interest	Total	Balance of Fusly 1249 Principal	Interest	Total	Balance of Fusly Principal	Interest
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Proprietary Estates.			C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
1	Streepaty Bracajarow Proprietor	Cauzah	1	2362 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
1	Cowloory Paredajarauze Cundemullah Kistnah Vda in joint Proprietors	Munchily	1	3672 12 11	- - -	2 - 7	2 - 7	- - -	17 15 7	17 15 7	- - -	68 - 5	68 - 5	- - -	49 - -
1	Cotcherlacotah Seeta-ramiah Proprietor	Joudoovoy	15	3989 3 -	- - -	16 5 9	16 5 9	423 8 -	56 6 7	479 14 7	- - -	54 3 -	54 3 -	- - -	18 - -
1	Chullapilly Ramakist-tamah and Hanmanla joint Proprietors	Mooggollah	1	2018 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	511 9 2	55 13 8	567 6 10	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2 - -
1	Custoory Venkoobayamah Proprietor	Jantepurkah	2	5083 - -	- - -	10 10 7	10 10 7	270 2 10	37 2 3	307 5 1	- - -	37 1 3	37 1 3	- - -	3 - -
1	Duntoolury Venkatakis-tamranza Do	Inavilly	5	12373 8 -	633 12 8	151 4 6	785 1 2	4661 9 2	106 13 6	4768 6 8	- - -	197 7 10	197 7 10	- - -	247 - -
1	Cowlega Venkanah Do	Junteeandah	2	3800 4 -	- - -	39 11 8	39 11 8	1794 10 -	102 2 4	1896 12 4	271 11 4	72 14 2	344 9 6	- - -	32 - -
	Ancient Zemindary Estates.														
1	Meerjah Saunducally Khan Saib Zemindar	Venkatazapollem	1	1946 - -	- - -	1 2 -	1 2 -	888 8 -	41 12 -	930 4 -	191 13 10	37 7 5	229 5 3	743 - 2	- - -
	Proprietary Estates														
1	Govindarauzoolo Venka-tazydoo Proprietor	Panoosmuntrah	12	21968 12 9	- - -	- - -	- - -	15746 3 7	894 15 2	16641 2 9	2405 - 12 5	241 8 5	2647 4 10	- - -	253 - -
1	Dattah Redda Sunda-riah and Chinabondo-riah joint Proprietors	Takey	3	12243 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1103 12 10	346 7 8	1450 4 6	1772 12 6	193 7 10	1966 4 4	4728 8 6	212 - -
		Vakatippah	7	22006 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	12321 12 6	482 13 -	12804 9 6	5572 2 6	385 7 2	5958 9 8	10984 1 3	393 - -
		Vadevalairoo	31	38403 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	18904 10 11	842 14 6	19747 9 5	4767 12 9	666 15 11	5434 12 8	12551 5 9	484 - -
			41	72741 8 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	32330 4 3	1672 3 2	34002 7 5	12113 11 9	1245 14 11	13359 10 8	28263 15 6	1090 - -
1	Cotey Puttaberamrow Proprietor	Moongondah	20	24073 - -	3706 4 9	238 13 6	3945 2 3	6248 8 -	296 5 -	6544 11 -	7675 14 -	360 10. 3	8036 8 3	8851 7 9	524 - -
		Yarlogapilly	2	2957 - -	- - -	48 10 2	48 10 2	- - -	53 3 8	53 3 8	1769 5 3	81 10 6	1850 15 9	2467 14 9	133 - -
			22	28130 - -	3706 4 9	287 7 8	3993 12 5	6248 8 -	349 6 8	6597 14 8	9445 3 3	442 4 9	9887 8 -	11319 6 6	658 - -
	Total		103	158086 14 2	4340 1 5	585 10 9	4854 12 2	62874 15 -	3334 10 11	66209 9 11	24428 4 7	2396 14 2	26825 2 9	40326 6 2	2356 - -

Fort St. George 1st February 1814.

Balance of July 1252			Balance of lists of July 125 up to December 1843			Total							Remarks
Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Charges Sequestration	Total	Principal	Interest	Charges Sequestration	Total	Deduct Balances of Fusilies 1248 and 1249 the demand of which to be suspended for present	Remaining Balance		
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	
C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P	C.Rs a P		
1,118 8 -	41 1 4	1,159 9 4	375 3 -	- - -	375	1,913 6 5	118 11 "	" " "	2,024 1 5	" " "	2,024 1 5		under Zuffi
3959 3 2	152 9 1	4,111 12 3	444 11 -	- - -	444	4,403 14 2	376 5 1	" " "	4779 3 3	" " "	4779 3 3		Do.
95 3 -	18 9 11	113 12 11	- - -	- - -	-	1449 15 "	213 4 10	" " "	1663 3 10	534 1 7	1129 2 3		
431 6 2	22 7 7	453 13 9	102 11 10	- - -	102	1,671 2 "	107 6 5	" " "	1,018 8 5	567 6 10	1,051 1 7		Do.
1,448 11 3	47 11 5	1,496 6 8	669 12 -	- - -	669	2,833 12 1	188 4 5	" " "	3,002 " 6	344 6 4	2,657 10 2		Do.
2819 9 -	87 3 10	2906 12 10	1,925 11 -	- - -	1,925	18,421 14 3	1,161 " 3	" " "	19,582 14 6	4,966 14 6	14,617 " "		Do.
1590 - 6	48 12 8	1,638 13 2	58 5 11	- - -	58	5520 3 1	303 6 3	" " "	5,883 9 6	2,241 8 11	3,642 3 8		Do.
- - -	1 13 9	1 13 9	245 - -	- - -	245	2,137 15 2	86 14 7	" " "	2,224 13 9	1,159 9 3	1,065 4 6		
4477 3 5	60 9 3	4,537 12 8	1,260 13 -	- - -	1,260	33,710 15 2	1,805 2 8	" " "	35,516 1 10	19,288 7 7	16,227 10 3		Do.
3,661 8 -	148 6 3	3,809 14 3	565 3 4	- - -	565	13,707 2 8	933 3 8	" " "	14,640 6 1	3,416 8 10	11,223 13 3		Do.
6467 2 -	149 13 11	6,616 15 11	1,227 5 3	- - -	1,227	23,360 2 "	1,591 14 4	" " "	24,982 " 4	18,703 3 2	20,188 13 2		
2041 2 10	10 10 7	2,051 13 5	- - -	- - -	-	23,969 15 8	2,132 1 2	" " "	26,102 " 10	25,182 6 1	20,919 10 9		
12,169 12 10	308 14 9	12,478 11 7	1,792 8 7		1,792	101,037 4 4	4,687 2 11	" " "	105,694 7 3	47,362 2 1	58,332 5 2		
2908 15 4	45 1 1	2,954 - 5	- - -	- - -	-	30,737 8 1	1,042 14 9	" " "	38,380 3 10	14,581 3 3	23,799 " 7		Do.
515 - -	5 2 3	520 2 3	- - -	- - -	-	6389 1 "	381 4 6	" " "	6,770 5 6	1,904 3 3	4,866 2 1		
3423 15 4	50 3 4	3,474 2 8	- - -	- - -	-	43,120 6 1	2,024 8 3	" " "	45,150 9 4	16,486 6 8	28,665 2 8		
31,533 8 8	840 - 11	32,373 9 7	6,874 12 4	- - -	6,874	21,0066 11 9	1,072 13 10	" " "	2,27,139 7	92,948 12 8	1,34,190 12 11		

Persons.

2- Persons intending to become purchasers of the ancient Zemindary Estates under this advertisement are all hereby informed that under orders of Government no remission for Mottupha shall be granted to any purchaser nor any abatement made in the present permanent assessment of the estate on that account.

3- The Kists of Permanent Jumma falling due to the date of sale together with interest, charges attachment &c^a are to be paid by the late Proprietor of the estate and those subsequent to the date of sale together with interest, charges attachment &c^a are to be discharged by the Purchasers.

4- Persons desirous of becoming purchasers may depute authorized Agents or Vakeels for that purpose, and all purchases made by such authorized Agents or Vakeels shall be held to be valid in the same manner as if the purchases had been made by the Principals.

5- But it is required that such authorized Vakeels shall state at the time of purchase the names of their constituents on behalf of whom such purchases may be made.

The intention of the conditions being to prevent fraudulent collusion, notice is further given that in the event of any purchases being made under a fictitious name, the deposit money made on account of such purchases will be liable to be forfeited to Government and the estate to be resold.

7- A Summud Muttahi Istemrar or Deed of Permanent rights has been lodged at the Cutcherry of the Collector and at the Office of the Board of Revenue, describing the obligations to be incurred and rights to be obtained by purchasers of land under this advertisement; all persons are therefore referred to that instrument for particular information.

8- The purchasers of Estates will not be put in possession till the sale had been sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but in the mean time 15 per Cent of the purchase money will be required to be deposited at the time of sale and security given for the payment of the remainder on the receipt of the Board's sanction or within one month from the day of the sale. In default thereof, the whole or part of the estate will be resold at the risk of the first purchasers. In

Fort S. George, 1th February, 1844.

9-

In case of the sale not being sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, the deposit money will be returned and the person depositing it relieved from the responsibility with regard to the estate or estates.

10-

Payment of the purchase money will be received in Company's Rupees, Star Pagodas and Madras Pagodas at the Company's rate of exchange.

11-

For further particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue between 11 and 4 O'Clock and at the Collectors Cutcherry at Cocanada between 10 and 5 O'Clock except on Sunday.

12-

If the abovementioned arrears are not paid by the day of the sale, the Estates will be sold for the recovery thereof with the legal interest.

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, - - - - -
20th December 1843 - -

/Signed/ A. C. Montgomery
Commissioner
in Charge

Order thereon,

(22-23)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Read

Fort S. George, 1th February, 1844.

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S. George

(24)

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

NE-PE.

Sir,

I have the honor to return filled up the 13th part of the Skeleton Glossary which accompanied your letter of 4th Ultimo.

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 8th January 1844

/Signed/ J. Prendergast
Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S. George

(25)

From the Collr of Nellore.

XII & XIII.

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith two parts of the Glossary to which I have made such additions as have occurred to me.

Nellore District
Dodelengumpaud,
P. Collr's Cutcherry,
12th January 1844. - - -

/Signed/ J. C. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S. George

(26)

From the Collr of Chingleput.

XII. part of the Skeleton Glossary with certain additions proposed.

Chingleput District, Collr's Cutcherry,
Pullicarney, 10th January, 1844.

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Glossary of Indian Terms

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

To

Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

of Vinnacottah and Goodewadah Estates—account of Govt and recommending the continuance of the allowances of Ramarow and Venkatanursimha Jagannadarow brothers of C. Panjiah Row—

reference to the letter noted in the margin that the sale of the Vinnacottah and Goodewadah Estates was commenced on the 15th and continued until the 20th Ultimo, when there being no higher bidder, it was purchased on account of Government for the sum of three Lacs of Rupees—

2. Subsequently to the sale I have received three petitions one from C. Panjiah Row and his 4 brothers conjointly, and the other two from Rama Row and Venkata Narsimha Jagannadarow respectively Copies and Translations of which are herewith submitted—

3. From these, it will be perceived that finding all further oppositions fruitless, Rama Row has magnanimously signified his acquiescence on the terms of the agreement, presented to me on the 14th February last by himself and his brothers his disavowal of which he has been urging upon the authorities at the Presidency for the last 9 months and his brother Venkata Narsimha Jagannadarow is pleased to express his willingness to withdraw the suit which he had instituted against the head of the family finding that the said suit would be struck off the Court's file as a matter of course upon the purchase of the Estate by Government—

4. The object of the submissive tone now assumed by these parties is obvious for the recovery of their allowance which in consequence of their contumacious conduct has been withheld for several months past. I do not consider that the present enforced contrition entitles them to the consideration of having this allowance paid them in arrear, but as it is well known that they are subject to much inconvenience from the discontinuance of it, I beg to recommend that I may receive sanction for its renewal from the commencement of the Current Month—

Fort St. George, 1st February 1844

from the 5 brothers in which they signify their willingness to abide by the various conditions laid down in the agreement presented to me on the 14th February last, I have explained to them that all agreements of the kind are under existing circumstances, perfectly superfluous, and unnecessary as it rests entirely with the Government to restore the Estate under any conditions that they may consider proper after arrangements have been made, with a view to secure the unmolested enjoyment of it to the Zemindar. I shall use my best endeavours to effect such arrangements, tho' from the known obstinacy of the Principal Creditor C. Venkatachellum Naidoo, I must confess that I see no immediate prospect of effecting any—

Masulipatam, Zillah, Coll^rs Circ^t Cutchery, — — — at Goodewadah, — — — — 13th December 1843 — —

/Signed/ R. J. Porter
Collector

Translation

Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)
From the Coll. of Trichinopoly. — }

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant, I have the honor to return the 14th part of the Skeleton Glossary mentioned in a Circular letter from your Office dated 19th December 1842.

Trichinopoly. — —
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
24th January, 1844. — }

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(28)
From the Dpl Coll.r of Canara. — }

Sir,

NEPE

With reference to your letter dated the 4th ultimo, I have the honor to return the 13th part of the Skeleton Glossary of the Indian Terms with such suggestions and additions as I have to make.

Prin.l Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore, — — — —
10th January, 1844 — — — }

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Dpl Collector

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(29)
From the Coll.r of Madras — }

Sir,

I have the honor to return with certain

Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

additions, the 5th 6th and 7th parts of the Skeleton Glossary transmitted from your Office on the 13th and 27th April and 25th May 1843.

Madras. — — —
18th January 1844.

/Signed/ P. A. Rudleston
Collector.

Ordered that the Glossaries accompanying the foregoing letters be transmitted with the following letter.

To The College Board

(24-30)
To the College Board. — —

Gentlemen,

With reference to the 2d para of Mr Brown's letter dated 29th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying parts of the Skeleton Glossary of the Indian Terms received from the Officers named in the margin.

From Rajah. 13th part 6th and 7th Parts in Cons. 1st Feb.y 1844.
" Vellore 12. 13th 9. 12th 9. in Cons. 1st 9.
" Chingleput 12. 9. 10th 9. in Cons. 1st 9.
" Cahara 13th part 10. 9. in Cons. 1st 9.
" Madras 5. 6. 7th 9. 15. 9. in Cons. 1st 9.
" Trichinopoly 11th 9. 24. 9. in Cons. 1st 9.

Board Office,
Revenue
Fort St. George. — —
1st February 1844. — —

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(31)
From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue with reference

No. 1.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to R. T. Porter Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam by Rajah Camadana Pauliah row, Vencata Nursinha, Jaganadarow, Royenmarow, Ramarow and Boochy Pauliahrow Zemindars of Vinnacottah Vca. Purganahs.

Dated 22nd November 1843.

We respectfully beg leave to represent your honor that after settling all the dissensions subsisting between us regarding shares of our hereditary Estate, We (5 brothers) have presented a Petition to your honor under date 14th February 1843 to the conditions contained therein, we agree with our free will to conform thereto.

All Arzees or other papers which may have hitherto been addressed by any of us to different authorities proposing some other conditions beyond those mentioned in the foregoing Petition, have been cancelled by us.

We therefore entreat your honor will be pleased to overlook all our past misbehaviour which we have committed, and for the dissatisfaction we have thereby given you and recommend

to the Board and to Government that the sale of our ancient Zemindary composed of the Vinnacottah and Goodewadah Purganahs may not be confirmed.

(Signed) Rajah Pauliah row.
" " Vencata Nursinha
Jaganadarow
" " Royenmarow
" " Ramarow.
" " Boochy Pauliahrow

(True Translation)
(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collr.

No. 2.

Translation of an arzee addressed to R. T. Porter Esqre. Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam by Camadana Ramarow Zemindar of Vinnacottah Vca. Purganahs.

Dated 22nd November 1843.

I beg to represent that contrary to the conditions stated in the Petition addressed to you on 14th February 1843 by myself, my Elder brothers Rajah Pauliahrow, Vencata Nursinha Jaganadarow, Royenmarow and my youngest brother Boochy Pauliahrow regarding the shares of our Proprietary right, some petitions were addressed both to your honor, as well as to the Honorable the Board of Revenue and

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

to Government regarding the disavowal of those stipulations all of which have now been cancelled by us.

We five brothers have submitted an again an address to your honor on this very date confirming therein the conditions mentioned in the Petition which was addressed to you by us (5 brothers) on the 14th February 1843. Your honor will therefore be pleased to excuse us of our part misbehaviour and favor us by bringing those conditions into enforcement and to support us by issuing instructions to the Cashkeeper to pay us the Amount of our Monthly allowance which was held in Deposit from the Month of February 1843. up to the present Month, and to continue hereafter every month paying us the Monthly allowance regularly.

(Signed) Rajah Ramarow

(True Translation)

(Signed) R. T. Porter

Coll.

No. 3

Translation of an arzee addressed to R. T. Porter Esq. Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam by Rajah Cannadana Venkatanursinha Sagurnadaw

Dated 22nd November 1843.

With regards to the Pauper

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

complaint preferred by me against my Elder brother Paupiah now in cause No. 1 of 1841 in the late Provincial Court of Appeal of Masulipatam for the recovery of the hereditary right in the Zemindary Estate certain disagreeable objections were alledged by me in an arzee to your address against a deed of agreement which I ought to have entered into in conformity to the conditions drawn out in the Petition presented by us (five brothers) to your honor under date the 14th February 1843. I beg to represent that I will present a motion to withdraw my claim and accordingly presented to the Zillah Court of Masulipatam on the 14th Instant a motion for the withdrawal of the suit from that Courts file consequently I beg you will pardon me for having incurred your displeasure in the delay which has occurred heretofore on my part in presenting the petition for the withdrawal of the Suit in question. I humbly request that you will be pleased to issue a Rookoom to the Cash Keeper to pay me the arrear of my allowance which

which is deposited in your Treasury from the Month of January 1843 up to the present Month, as also to continue paying me the same hereafter every month regularly

/Signed/ Rajah Vencata Nursimha Jugannadarow.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ R. J. Porter Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

(31-32)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Sect. to Government. Submitting a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam reporting the sale of the Vinnecottah & Goodewadah Estates & their purchase on account of Govt. for three lacs of Rupees & recommending that the proceedings may be sanctioned also recommending for stated reasons that the payment of the monthly allowance of Rs. 50 to each of the two brothers of the

Para 1. With reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 18th September 1843 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 13th December 1843, in which that Officer reports that the Vinnecottah and Goodewadah Estates have under the authority conveyed in the Extract above quoted been put up to auction for arrears of Public Revenue and bought in on the 20th November 1843 on behalf

the Ex zemindar suspended in consequence of their discreditable behaviour may be renewed from the 1st December last.

of Government for the sum of three (3) lacs of Rupees. The Board beg to recommend that the Proceedings of the Collector may receive the sanction of Government.

2 Mr. Porter further represents that the Ex zemindars brothers Rama Row and Vencata Nursimha Jagannada Row whose unworthy conduct has greatly contributed to compel the Government to have recourse to the sale now under report, finding further opposition unavailing have signified their readiness to adhere to the agreement of the 14th February last and to withdraw the suit now pending in the Masulipatam Court against the head of the family C. Panpiah Row as explained by the Collector on the former negotiations having been ipso facto nullified by the sale of the Estate and the suit in Court having been terminated by the same cause, the enforced submission of the two brothers gives them no claim to consideration and is evidently made only with the hope of recovering the allowance which has been suspended in consequence of their discreditable behaviour. The Board agree with Mr. Porter, that it

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

it is not desirable that this allowance which has been discontinued since February last should be paid in arrear, but adverting to the inconvenience which its stoppage must occasion they beg leave to support the recommendation of the Collector that it may be renewed from the first of December last or from the commencement of the month immediately following the sale of the Zemindary and the submission of the brothers Rama Row and Juggannada Row.

3. The Board will have the honor of addressing Government on the subject of the Estate generally as soon as they shall have been made acquainted with the results of the attempts which the Collector is now making for the purpose of effecting some arrangements with the private Creditors of the Ex Zemindar.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st February 1844

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(33)

From R. T. Porter Esqre
Collr of Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 5th October last conveying the special sanction of Government for refunding the sum of Rupees 61—12—0 on account of the Value of Stamps returned by individuals under the Minutes of consultation dated 23d July 1838, I have the honor to inform you, that I have since received similar applications from several individuals for the refund of the Value of Stamps which they have returned for that purpose, and as it is not improbable that I may receive many more applications of the same sort, I request that general sanction may be obtained for refunding the Value of Stamps not used under the rules framed by the Sudr Adalut which received the sanction of Government.

Masulipatam zillah
Collrs Circuit Cutchery
at Ellore 23d December 1843

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Collector

2026 Fort St. George 1st February 1844

(33-34)

Order thereon

(Read again in Cons. 19th Feby.) Circulation.

Ordered to be sent again in

Read the following letter

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(35)

From A. Freese Esqre
Collr of Chingleput } Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 21st Ultimo, together with Extract from the Minutes of Consultation bearing date the 28th November desiring that arrangements should be made for extending the limits of the Military contonment of St. Thomas Mount four to five miles. In issuing these instructions, however the most Noble the Governor in Council does not appear to have been aware of the existence of several arrack and Toddy shops and arrack store Godowns within the additional mile included in the abkarry Farm of the Chingleput District, and which has been rented to one Moortemmal for a period of two years or from 12th July 1843 to 11th July 1845 at an Rupees 7,000 per annum.

2. In order if possible to carry the arrangement desired by Government into effect, I called upon the Renter to inform me on what terms she was willing to relinquish the privilege of selling and storing Spirituous liquors within the additional mile over which it was proposed to extend the military control, but to which question I have not yet been able to obtain a specific reply, though from the Statements she has made it is evident she would not relinquish the privilege under a very large price. Under these circumstances I have considered it advisable at once to bring the existing difficulty through the Board to the notice of Government.

Chingleput District Collrs Cutcherry (Signed) A. Freese
Pullicatt, 16th January 1844 Collr

Order thereon.

(Read again in Cons. 5th Feb.) (36-36)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future

2027 Fort St. George 1st February 1844

To C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

(37)

From A. Freese Esqre
Collector of Chingleput } Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 7th Ultimo, with transcript of the Minutes of Consultation relative to the Petition of Veerummal widow of the late Subadar of Mootaloo Naik, to which it refers, and to state that I am not aware of any objection to a compliance with the Petitioner's request that a Money Pension equal to the annual income derived from the 50 Cawnies of land situated in the Villages of Pootary and Salabogum assigned to the late Mootaloo Naik himself his wife and eldest daughter should be granted to her.

Stating that he sees no objection to grant Veerummal widow of the late Subidar Moottial Naik a pension equal to the Amount of the Annual income derived from the Enam Land assigned to the late Subidar his wife and eldest daughter. + No. 2017 of 1843 forwarding patrimah

2 The lands comprizing this Grant considered capable of yielding two crops annually being irrigated from spring Channels are in good condition, and have been hitherto fully Cultivated, but the Petitioner has as she states found it difficult from her being a female to manage the grant efficiently and to realize her rents with regularity, which would not be the case were the lands under the Control of the Government Officers.

The

To the Board 16th April 1808

3

The assessment on these 50 Cawnies of land according to the statement furnished the Board by Mr. Cazalet amounted to Pags. 271-11- or at the then exchange Rupees 950-8-8 but which includes the Merah payable to the Curnum of these Villages amounting to Rupees 58-6- The nett revenue therefore which the Petitioner was entitled to claim under the grant from the Merasidars in whose occupation the land are, was Rupees 892-2-7-

4

During the last 16 years, or from Fusly 1237 A.D. 1827 to 1252 A.D. 1842 inclusive the total amount of remissions granted by the Enamdar to the Cultivators on account of Crops destroyed amounted to Rupees 236-4-4 and the average charges of management to Rupees 63 per annum the average annual nett Revenue of the Enam should therefore have been Rupees 614-6-4 per annum, but she has stated that the amount of arrears outstanding is Rupees 1,600--

5.

As the revenue would be realized with greater punctuality were the lands under Circar management, I would suggest Rupees 614-6-4 as the amount of money compensation to be annually paid on the resumption of the lands, an amount with which as I am informed by the Petitioner's Vakeel and as it

⊕ average per annum Rs. 14-12-3

Extract from the Minutes of the Honorable the Governor in Council dated 8th of December 1807.-

"With respect to the Memorial which the Commander in Chief has now submitted for the favorable Consideration of the Board they are happy to record their entire concurrence in his opinion regarding the merits of the Petitioner and however reluctant they may be to deviate from the principle which they have stated yet adverting to the manner in which other native officers have been recompensed whose pretentions does not appear to have been superior to those of Subidar Mootialoo Naik they are induced to modify it in his favor resolved therefore that

is her son-in-law that the Petitioner will be satisfied.-

6 I consider it my duty also at this period to call the attention of the Board to the specific terms of the Minutes of Consultation relative to this grant under which the land was assigned to "Subidar Mootialoo Naik for the term of his life, and of the lives of his widow and eldest Daughter" and to notice that the last mentioned individual died some time since, there are however still two of Subadar Mootialoo Naiks daughters in existence and to the eldest of whom should they survive their mother the

Government

2030 Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

The Hills Commissioners Government Minute dated 5th ultimo
of Collectorate Land Government Minute dated 5th ultimo
in the vicinity of Conveyance to the Revenue Board dated 10th ultimo
Pandal to Cuddalore Conveyance to the Revenue Board dated 10th ultimo
Mootilay Canal Forwarding Orders dated 10th ultimo
for the term of his Leave of Absence
Sir H. Pottinger
Bt. Lieutenant
Colonel Chinglepute
Collectorate
Pullecarney 27th January 1844

Chinglepute District Collectors Cutcherry Pullecarney 27th January 1844

Signed H. Freese
Collector

37-38 Order thereon.

Recorded 5th February 1844

Read again 8th July 1844

Read the following

Statement

ORIGINAL ESTIMATE

2031 Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

39 Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chinglepute up to 23rd January 1844.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported..	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready in the Colours	Remarks
For 1843 Bengal Maunds 5,92,000	2,25,600	3,66,400	7,3173		The salt may be paid for at the Talook Treasury at Sydapet near Madras or at the Peishcar at Covelong as it may best suit the convenience of the exporters..

E. E. Jr.
(signed) H. Freese
Collector

Ordered

2032

Fort St. George 1st February

39-40

Order thereon.

=cation in the Fort St. George Gazette

Ordered to be sent for publi

Read the following letter

To C. L. Lowell Esqre

Sr. Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

41

From the Nr. Collr. ——— Sir,

in the Nr. Dn. of Arcot ——— Para 1.

I have the honor

Requesting information as to the size of the Seer referred to in Sec=XV Regulation I of 1820 as the Seer measure is entirely unknown as a measure in the arrack Shops of his district.-

request information as to the size of the Seer referred to in Section XV Regulation I of 1820. The Seer measure is entirely unknown as a measure in the arrack Shops in this District- A dram measure is commonly used but the number of drams that go to a Seer -and even the size of the dram itself has as far as I can ascertain never been defined- The Seer measure in the District differs in almost every bazar.-

2

This reference is occasioned by the circumstance of a Police Officer of the district having recently committed a person to the Criminal Court for having in his possession without a Permit 1½ drams of Arrack and the Criminal

2033

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Criminal Court having sentenced him to five Months imprisonment for the same- The quantity of liquor in this case appears not to have exceeded a Quart which as far as I can re=collect is not considered in other districts to be in excess of the Seer indicated by the Regulation..

North Arcot- ———

Collrs. Cutcherry ——— | Signed | W. H. Babington

Chittoor 16th January 1844 | Acting Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought

41-42 forward at a future day.-

Read the undermentioned

Returns..

From the Jr. Collr. in the

43 Nr. Dn. of Arcot ——— Abstract Statement

shewing the number of persons charged convicted acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the

Number

number of Appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 from 1st July to 31st December last.-

(44)

From the Coll.r in the Sw. Div.n of Arcot } Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged convicted acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the number of Appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 from 1st July to 31st December last.-

(43 45)

Order on the two above mentioned Returns } Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other districts when an abstract for the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.-

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre Sir,
Asst Principal
Collector of Salem. Para 1- With reference to Para 54 of my Report of the Annual Settlement of the District for Fusly 1252 (A. D. 1842-43) I have the honor to state that the three Nauds as per margin on the Sheriaroy Hills and attached to the Salem Taluk were brought under Aumany management from Fusly 1252 agreeably to the Orders of Government conveyed in the Board's Proceedings under date the 1st August 1842. and that under the instructions contained in Mr. Secretary Bourdillon's letter of the 8th September 1843 the original Inhabitants and the European and other Settlers on the Hills were made acquainted that until the introduction of a regular Revenue system, the terms of the Assessment on lands will be continued as heretofore as a temporary measure, viz.z, to the Hill people, on the number of Ploughs and Hoes, and to the Settlers 1 Rupee per Acre. At these rates, Pattahs were issued and collections made in the past Fusly.

Regarding the introduction of a regular Revenue system on the Sheriaroy Hills, suggesting certain measures for carrying it into effect and recommending an Establishment for that purpose to be continued for 6 months.-

Salem Nauds
Attur Nauds
Mettur Nauds

2- On an examination of the Accounts

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

of the former Renter of the three Nauds, it has been ascertained that Collections were made by them from the Hill people at the rate of from 2 to 10½ Rupees per Plough, or from ¼ to 3 Rupees per Acre. A measurement was made of a small extent to ascertain what portion is occupied for each plough, and it would appear that from 1¾ to 12 acres of land proportioned for a Plough, and an Assessment varying from 9 Annas to 2¾ Rupees per acre, was paid by the Cultivator. A Statement shewing these particulars, in two of the Nauds, is herewith forwarded for the Board's information.

3. It would appear that originally a standard of 6 acres of Ponja land was fixed for each Plough, but it not being binding on the Ryots retained lands and paid them Teerwah ad libitum, thus one pays an increased Assessment, and another a decreased rate for an equal extent of land. It is therefore necessary to adopt immediate steps to fix a just and equal rate of Assessment on the lands, as by the present imperfect system, the Revenue will undoubtedly suffer, and it is impossible to fix any particular rate of Assessment on waste lands, previously

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

for cultivation.-

4. To obviate therefore these difficulties and to fix a Teerwah upon each Acre of land so that neither the Government nor the Cultivator may suffer I instructed the Tahsildar to measure and to divide the lands cultivated by the Hill people on the three Nauds in Fusly 1252. into 3 Classes. The Tahsildar accordingly submitted a Statement shewing the amount of Assessment now paid, and the average per acre of land proposed to be introduced, from which the following has been extracted.-

Names of the Nauds	Lands	1st Class. Amount of Assessment paid by the Hill Inhabitants	1st Class. Average per Acre	2nd Class. Amount of Assessment paid by the Hill Inhabitants	2nd Class. Average per Acre	3rd Class. Amount of Assessment paid by the Hill Inhabitants	3rd Class. Average per Acre
		acres Cs as	Rs as ps	Rs as ps		acres Cs as	Rs as ps	Rs as ps	Rs a ps	acres Cs as	Rs as ps	Rs a ps
Salem Naud	4	10 . 3 8	. . 13 2	3 9 . 2 8	. . 12 . 8	178 3 8	. . 6 8
Mootoo Naud	10	11 . 9 .	. . 14 .	5 24 . 4 .	. . 11 6	15 14 .	. . 6 .	. 6 3
Moha Naud	9	14 4	17 18 .	1 13 6	14 18 8	21 . .	1 7 3	6 37 .	7 . .	1 . 2

5. If the above is introduced, the Assessment can be realized, but if the whole of the cultivated, uncultivated and Porumboke lands are regularly surveyed, examined and divided into 4 Classes and assessed per Acre,

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

no loss will be attended either to the Circar or the Cultivators In support of this an Abstract Statement is annexed, shewing the amount of Assessment now paid by the Hill people and that which is proposed to be fixed.

Classification	Salem Land		Mootoo Land		Mola Lands	
	Average Assessment per acre paid by the Hill people	Probable Assessment proposed to be fixed	Average assessment per acre paid by the Hill people	Probable Assessment proposed to be fixed	Average assessment per acre are paid by the Hill people	Probable Assessment proposed to be fixed
	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.
1st Class.	" 13 2	1 "	" 14 "	1 "	1 13 6	2 "
2d " Do.	" 12 "	" 14 "	" 11 6	" 14 "	1 7 3	1 8
3d " Do.	" 6 8	" 10 "	" 6 3	" 10 "	1 " 3	1 "
4th " Do.	" " "	" 6 "	" " "	" 8 "	" " "	8

6- The Amount of Revenue that will be realized to the Government if the lands are classified, compared with the actual Rent of Fasly 1252, will be communicated to the Board hereafter.

7 By the introduction of the rates of Assessment proposed to be fixed, it will be unnecessary to ascertain or interfere with the number of Ploughs and Hoes each Ryot may have

8- The Amount of Assessment to be

Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

1 Rupee, paid by the Settlers on the Coffee planters on the Hills, seems to be too low, when compared with the produce of their cultivations I would therefore propose the lands to be given in future (exclusive of what is held under Government grants) be also divided into four Classes and to fix a Tirwah of 2 Rupees on the 1st Class, 1½ on the 2nd, 1¼ on the 3rd and 1 on the 4th. The Revenue will, by this means, be not only increased, but the Settler will also reap the advantage of an equal and fixed rate of Assessment in proportion to the nature of the land.-

9- If the above measures meet with the approval of the Board, I beg to recommend for their sanction a temporary Establishment as per Margin, to be continued for Six Months, for the purpose of making a regular survey, classing and assessing the lands on the Shevaroy Hills.-

3 Surveyors for 6 months at 10 Rs. each per month.	180-	"
1 Examiner for 3 Months at 10 Rs. a Month.	30-	"
Contingent Charges for 6 Months at 3½ Rs. per month.	21-	"
Total.	231-	"

Salem Tillah
Dharmapoory
Collector's Cutcherry
11th December 1843.

Signed / W. C. Lockhart
Actg. Principal Collector

Statement-

2040 Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Statement shewing the difference between the Amount of Assessment paid by the Original Inhabitants of the Shevaroy Hills attached to the Taluk of Salem, on Ploughs and the Average Assessment proposed to be fixed prepared from a Report received from the Tahsildar of Salem.

Names of Nos. of Lands	Names of Villages	Names of Cultivators	Ploughs	Ps. Cawnies	Ps. Acres	Amount of Assessment paid by Malayalees	Proposed average to be paid per Acre
				Acres	G a	Rs as ps	Rs as ps
1 Cauvumbandy		1 Thadum	1	2½	12 16 13	7 " "	9 "
		2 Mongar Andy	3	4½	18 9 11	16 " "	15 11
		3 Andy Gounden	2	4⅞	16 28 13	16 " "	16 8
2 Singaudoo		4 Samay Caroonn	1	1½	5 29 5	7 " "	4 5
		5 Andy Gounden	1	3½	12 16 13	10 0 "	13 6
3 Solapoora		6 Cauh Malay Goudah	2	6	22 37 4	16 " "	11 2
4 Thasala		7 Malaya Goudah	4	6	22 37 4	24 0 "	1 11
5 Gountoor		8 Mongar Andy Goudah	2	3	11 18 10	10 8 "	14 7
1 Pola Caud	Salem Caud.	1 Annamalay Goudah	1	¾	2 36 10	3 8 "	1 3 6
2 Cokta Mokta		2 Annamalay Goudah	1	1⅛	4 12 "	7 " "	1 10 "
3 Carulon		3 Andy Goudah	1	½	1 36 7	3 8 "	1 13 3
4 Vadalaycaday		4 Moya Goudah	1	23/64	1 15 "	3 8 "	2 8 9

Salem Taluk.

Durumpury
Principal Coll.s Cutchery
11th December 1843.

/Signed/ H. E. Lockhart
Act.g Prin.l Coll.r

Ordered that the following Letter be recorded and that the Collector of Salem be informed that the Board do not think it desirable that any alteration should be made at present in the system under which the land revenue is levied on the Shevaroy Hills, or that a higher rate of duty should be fixed than that now charged, on the lands held by the settlers or Coffee planters; and under these circumstances the consideration of introducing a regular Survey and Assessment of the Hills will be deferred.

2041. Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

To
The Acting Principal Collector of
Salem.

(46-47)
From the Act.g Pr.l Coll.r of Salem.
In Cons.y 1st Feb.y 1844.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 1st December last, recommending that the entertainment of a temporary establishment may be authorized in the survey, classification and assessment of lands in the Shevaroy Hills.

The Board do not think it desirable that any alteration should be made at present in the system under which the land revenue is levied on the Shevaroy Hills, or that a higher rate of duty should be fixed than that now charged, on the lands held by the settlers or Coffee planters; and under these circumstances the consideration of introducing a regular Survey and Assessment of the Hills will be deferred.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th February, 1844.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letters.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(48)
From the Act.g Pr.l Coll.r of Salem.

Sir,

On the 8th October last I informed the Board, that in accordance with their sanction contained in the Extract from

Fort St: George, 1st February, 1844.

their Proceedings under date the 24th August 1843. the Dindoorunngalum Mootah was sold on the 5th October for the sum of 9,000 Rupees.

2- The sale was conducted in accordance with rules, the Cutcherry having been opened for that purpose at 10 A. M. and the Head Sheristadar and other of my Establishment present, with the exception of the Dindoorunngalum Mootadars the arrears of the others were paid up, the proprietors of the former were called upon 2 or 3 times to pay their arrears but declared their inability to do so, after which it was fairly put up and knocked down in the name of Coonaravalagounden of Sivampatty who made the required deposit as stipulated in the 6th Article of the advertisement, Rupees 1,400, or more than 15 per cent of the purchase money, and the remainder Rupees 7,600. was paid up within a Months of the date of purchase, and a receipt granted for the same.

3- On receipt however of your order of the 18th November last, the Mootadars of Dindoorunngalum were ordered to appear before me at this place and to bring with them the balance money. They came but without the money, and on questioning

× Palanyvelar Coundan. Ramasawmy Coundan. Mootoosawmy Coundan. Rajah Coundan.

Fort St: George, 1st February, 1844.

Rajah Coundan he deposed, that he is one of the share holders and has a half share of the Mootah, that he is now, as he has before been prepared to pay up his portion of the balance, that the cause of the balance having accrued was on account of the three other joint proprietors not being able to pay their shares, that when the Estate was sequestered, there was no balance against him and that he is not therefore bound to pay on account of Interest and Sibbendy. His deposition is herewith forwarded.

4- The other three persons who hold the remaining half share of the Mootah stated that they will be prepared to pay up the balance on the 1st January 1844 in the Salem Talook Treasury. this was acceded to, and the Tahsildar instructed accordingly to receive the amount.

5- × The Statement of the Petitioners that the Mootah was sold after 4 P. M. and that the sale thereof was conducted in an improper manner, is altogether false, for, on the contrary, the sale commenced at 10 A. M. and after sufficient time having been given, it was concluded before 4 P. M. several Mootadars were then present besides the Head Sheristadar and others of the Establishment, exclusive of upwards of 200 other Individuals.

× Petitions Nos 1752 and 1780 of 1843.

2044. Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

While on this subject, I take the opportunity to suggest, that after sufficient time by a public proclamation is granted to a defaulter as in the present case to make good his balance, and after he has failed so to do, and has allowed a Mootah to go to the hands of a second person, whether the public will put any faith in its future sales, if the right of the purchaser is set aside after he considers himself entitled to the property by a fair and legal sale conducted by the Collector with strict impartiality. The case in question is therefore left for the consideration and orders of the Board.

Salem Zillah, ---
Daramporry, ---
Print. Coll.r Cutcherry,
29th December 1843.

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Acting Print. Collector

Resolution thereon,

(48-49)

Referring to the complaint of the Petitioner of the alleged irregularity in the sale of the Mootah of Dimbo-mungalum, the Board request that Mr Lockhart will have the goodness to state whether he or any one of his European subordinates was present on the occasion when the Mootah was knocked down.

To

2045. Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

To E. C. Lovell Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(58)

From the A.s P.r Coll.r of Salem.

Sir,

Para 1. I do myself the honor to enclose an Argee from my Sub Sheristadar Trimul Row, soliciting my recommendation for the Office of Head Sheristadar of Madura District, with reference to a communication he has received from Mr Blackburne.

2. Trimul Row, from the Statement herewith enclosed, has served under Government twenty six years, fourteen of which has been in this District, Mr Orr and Mr Gleig have severally, in different Jumma Reports, made most favorable notice of his good services, and I have lately recorded that he has been of considerable assistance to me from his being well acquainted with the affairs of the District in general, combined with his knowledge of Revenue matters. I shall therefore be very happy to see him promoted, as he well deserved it, but as his removal from the District at present will be great loss to me, I would rather, the Board would make some arrangement to give him the steps in this Office, and which I suggest may be done, I shall

Mr

2046. Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

Mr. Blackburne have no objection to have my present Head Sheristadar Annajee transferred to Madura, and promote Ramen to Head Sheristadar of Salem.

Salem Zillah.
Dannapoory.
Print. Coll.s Cutcherry.
3d January 1844.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Acting Print. Collr.

Statement of the Services of Trimul Row

Gomastah in the Mogural Talook in the Zillah of Chittoor		8
Huzzoor Sayer assistant Jawabnuvees	D.	1
Ditto Gomastah in Ditto		2 7
Ditto Acting Head Jawabnuvees in D.	2	5 2
Ditto Head Gomastah of Sub Collector's Cutcherry in Ditto	3	1 2
Huzzoor Head Gomastah in the District of Nellore		1 6
Tahsildar of Coompandoo Talook in the Ditto of Guntoor		1 "
Ditto of Atmungalagherry Talook in D.		1 2 "
Huzzoor Head Jawabnuvees in the Salem Zillah		5 8 "
As Tahsildar in the District of Salem	2	8 "
As Sail Sheristadar in the Ditto		5 " "

Total years 20. 10. "

Salem Zillah.
Dannapoory.
Print. Coll.s Cutcherry.
3d January 1844.

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Acting Print. Collr.

Order thereon.

(50-51)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

2047. Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

To
C. C. Lovell Esquire
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(52)

From the A.P.C. Coll.r of Salem.

Reporting upon the circumstances attending the removal from Office of Venkat Row late Head Jawabnuvis of the Huzzoor Cutcherry.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Ultimo forwarding with other petitions, one No. 1721 of 1843 from Venkat Row late Jawabnuvis of my Establishment, complaining of his having been removed to a lower situation by me, and which agreeably to the Board's endorsement, has been returned to the petitioner.

2. Tho' I have not been called upon to state the circumstances connected with the removal of the said Individual, yet I think it necessary now to bring to the notice of the Board, the causes that induced me to do so.

3. A few days after my taking charge of the District, in the case of a Complaint preferred by one Chinna Verda Goundon relating to some lands in the Amundoor Mootah and which had been previously decided by the Acting Head Assistant Collector, Venkat Row prepared an Order cancelling the said decision and without making me acquainted with the nature of the case, misrepresented the true merits of it, and as

I

2847 Fort St. George, 1st February, 184

I have recorded my opinion on my decision for his removal, fraudulently and with intention to deceive, obtained my signature thereto:-

4- The Mootahdar of Amundoor against whom my decision was passed appealed to me on the 28th February when the true circumstances of the case were brought to my notice by the other Javabnevees. The parties concerned in the case were therefore required to appear before me for personal enquiry, when Venkut Row have applied for 40 days leave on private affairs it was granted to him-

5- On an examination of the proceedings it appeared that the matter in dispute was fully enquired into and settled in Fasly 1248 and 49 and on its being again revised in Fasly 1257 the Head Assistant Collector came to the same conclusion on the case and his decision was confirmed by Mr Gleig in Fasly 1282 none of these circumstances were made known to me by Venkut Row. Having upon this examination discovered that the Acting Head Assistants decision was correct and Mr Gleig's of the 18th February 1843 upon a

2847 Fort St. George, 1st February, 1844.

misguided judgment was erroneous I had to cancel the latter and confirm the former-

6- At this time I was induced to suspend Venkut Row from Office and having subsequently discovered that upwards of 450 Original complaints and reports of Tahsildars &c.a of old dates were under his charge without having been read to the Collector and disposed of I considered him quite unworthy of confidence and determined to dismiss him at once but as Venkut Row stated in defence of his conduct that the records were unread as the Principal Collector had not leisure to hear them I refrained from passing any Order so long as there was a probability of Mr Gleig resuming his duties and it was only at the earnest solicitation of his friends in consideration of his family that I was induced to reduce him to a lower situation instead of dismissing him. The excuses which he makes are futile as he did not mention such arrears being undisposed of to Mr Brett when in charge of the District for a short time nor myself when I joined it besides on Mr Gleig going away all the records were ordered to be removal from Ooor to Salem- and a reference to the nature and dates of the undisposed of Urzees confirms me in a very different opinion of the cause of their having been laid aside-

7- I feel satisfied that Venkut Row's removal from the Javabnevees Department gives general satisfaction as I am assured that the people have since had more easy access to the Collector to state their complaints and that they are more expeditiously disposed of under the more efficient conduct of his successor- In proof of which I subjoin a comparative list of the numbers of complaints disposed of and Cowlees issued for last year and the previous-

Salem Villak, ---
Darumpoory, ----
Principl Coll.r Cutcherry,
1st January, 1844-

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

20148 Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Increase 1842	Decrease 1843	Remarks
8508	10088	* Of this 1363 are Schedds to Sabr. 2234 118 Cases and 756 Complaints of all the last disposed of up to the end of 1843. consequence of additional one hun- been received from upwards of 450 Complaints received in 1842 having left undisposed of by the neglect of Vacant Rev. the former Head Sarabr.

Sd/ 2149

Signed J. C. Lochhead
Acting Prin. Coll.

52-53

Resolution thereon.

Under the above given the Board consid- that the removal of Vacant R. from the Office of Sarabroojee is highly proper. They would indeed be prepared to support the Acting Principal Collector who he think it necessary to dismiss that Individual from the Public service altogether.

Read

20149 Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot dated 19th December and entered in Consultation 22d Ultimo.—

54

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter and the abstract Statement which accompanied it shewing the amount of pensions

In Con. 2d 18th April 1842 payable under the orders of Government dated 11th April 1842 to certain Cavilgars in the Talooks Chellemburum and Manargoody in South Arcot, whose incomes have been resumed be forwarded for submission to the Most Noble the Governor in Council and that sanction be solicited accordingly for the payment of the several life pensions noted in the margin from the Commencement of Fasly 1252.—

1	Iyasawmy dawen of Achenbroody	22-1-11.
2	Andy Calinga Royan, Moodoo Pungasia, Annadeasawmy Codiar	552-1-3.
3	Nulla Naiga Soorapa, Sholay avar of Pechevarum	444-10-5.
4	Sedumberamada Sholagavar eldest son of late Soorasawmy of Do.	66-8-6.
5	Soverannanaya Rajulu eldest son of late Pitchumaya Rajaulle of Comaraboy Nellapa Rajaulee eldest son of late Chinnatomby Rajaulee of Do.	13-11-4
6	Unnalavana Shunmuga Pillay	4-9-3.

In Cons. 20th June 1842

Rupees 700-11-8 granted by Government under date 18th June 1842 to the family of the late Cavilgar of Peroya Rajapoo of Pichaverum may be increased to Rupees 771-9-8 in arrears from the date from which it was conferred the pension appearing to

700 — 11 — 8
70 — 11 — "
771 — 9 — 8

Fort St. George 1st February 1811

to have been calculated originally at less than
the proper amount Viz. of Pagodas 70-11-= being
15 per Cent on the sum of Pagodas 472-=-8-
which was unduly deducted on account of
Rs. 511.2-4- pensions from the Estimated income on
lieu of which the pension was granted.

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

55

From N. Blackburne Esqre
Pl. Collr. of Madura

I have the honor to report
the death by Cholera of Shaik Abdul Kha-
=reem a Pol. in the Gunniah Register for
attending a Droogue in the Town of
Madura on the 16th Instg and of his
Cousin, Shaik Mahammud one of the
Unentered participators in the Gunni
on the 14th idem, and beg to recommend
Renewal of the allowance of 2½ Cully
fanams per diem on 2 Pagodas 16-7-= per
Annum in the name of Mahammud the
Son and heir of the first named, as per
Extract from Mr. Gudin's Gunniah
of 1802, herewith forwarded--

Madura - - -
8th January 1811.

[Signed] Jn. Blackburne
Pl. Collr.
Extract

Extract from the list of Assumptions on the 6th
August and of the Claims died since.-

Names of the Grantees.	Nature of the Tenure.	Title of their Deeds.	Date of the Grants.	Names of the Incumbents.	Amount of the Claims.	No. of Brown in the Family.	Allowance to the Deeds.	General Remarks and Observations of the Claimants.
Shaik Mahammud & his Cousin Shaik Mahammud	For attending a Droogue in the Town of Madura.	Gunniah Register of 1802.	21st March 1166.	Pagoy.	Pagodas 472			Paper used Shaik but bears Mahammud. marks of Bamboo- Colour- authentic- middle city. size book- ring per- son for his age-

Extract
Jnne
Pl. Collr.

2050

Fort St. George 1st February 18

to have been calculated originally at the
the proper amount Viz. Rupees 70-11-
15 per Cent on the sum of Rupees 472 —
which was meerly deducted on account
Rs. 511.2 — 4 — pensioner from the Estimated income
him of which the pension was granted

Read the following

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honour to re
the deaths by Cholera of Shaik Abdul
=reem No. 1 in the Ganniah Register
attending a Prayer in the Town
Madura on the 18th Instant and of
Cousin Shaik Muhammud one of
Inventered participators in the Gra
on the 14th idem; and beg to recommend
Renewal of the allowance of 2½ Co
Fanams per diem on Rupees 16 per
Invested in the name of Muhammud
the son and heir of the first named
Extract from Mr Thurdis' Ganniah
of 1802, herewith forwarded —

Madura — —
8th January 1814

(Signed) Jn. Blackburne
Pl. Collr.

55

From J. Blackburne Esqre
Pl. Collr. of Madura

Sir

I have the honour to re
the deaths by Cholera of Shaik Abdul
=reem No. 1 in the Ganniah Register
attending a Prayer in the Town
Madura on the 18th Instant and of
Cousin Shaik Muhammud one of
Inventered participators in the Gra
on the 14th idem; and beg to recommend
Renewal of the allowance of 2½ Co
Fanams per diem on Rupees 16 per
Invested in the name of Muhammud
the son and heir of the first named
Extract from Mr Thurdis' Ganniah
of 1802, herewith forwarded —

Madura — —
8th January 1814

(Signed) Jn. Blackburne
Pl. Collr.

Exd

8th February 1814

Dt. Madura as existing at the assumption on the 6th
Rd. in their room and such as have died since —

No. of Pension	Name of Pensioner	No. of Children	Ages	Amount of Pension	Remarks
1	Shaik Mohammud Bamboo	1	30	2½	900 900

Paper used but bears marks of Colour — authenticity —

Shaik Mohammud Bamboo middle size looking fellow — seems of his age —

(True Extract)

(Signed) Jn. Blackburne
Pl. Collr.

(55-56)

Resolution thereon. The Principal Collector will be requested to report when and under what authority the Perpetual referred to in the foregoing letter was renewed in the name of Shaik Abdul Rareem the last incumbent.—

To

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(57)

From the Pl. Collr of Madura ----

Sir,

On the 26th Ulto I have the honor to receive your letter of the 24th Ulto with copy of one to the Sub Collr Mr. Elliot, on the subject of dispute between the Zemindar of Ammianaikenoor and Veerapa Gounden claiming on the Coule rents, and calling for report as to the precise time when Seeromaley &c. Villages were transferred to the possession of the Renter Subsequent to 1837, and what had transpired in the matter Subsequent to the Board's letter of the 16th November of that year, —

and beg to state that the Villages in question were under the enjoyment of the Zemindar upto the 3d Rist of Fasly 1252. 1842/43 and the Renter has realized the revenue of the 4th and four Subsequent Rists under the authority of the Sub

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Sub Collector, who has however reported his conformity to the Board's instructions on the 30th ultimo.

2 Pursuant to the Board's instructions above referred to the Gentleman was urged, under date the 29th of the same month, to settle the dispute amicably with the renter - but refusing compliance, and stating his determination to defend the suit instituted against him by the renter, I refrained from any answer on my own part as I felt perfectly assured of what has since proved the result, Viz:- that a nonsuit must be recorded for informality in the plaint, and also that the subject matter was untenable as against the European revenue officer.

18th Novr 1837.

The renter has never subsequently sought redress in the Civil Courts but only at the hands of the revenue authorities.-

Madura -- } (Signed) J. Blackburne
9th January 1844. } Principal Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.--

57-58

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Read the following letter

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

59

From the Pr. Collr of Coimbatore

Returning Petition from Appasawmy Moodelly and affording explanation regarding an Endorsement given to that individual.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th ultimo, advertising to an endorsement issued by me to Appasawmy Moodely late a Moonshee in my Office and "requesting to be informed whether such an endorsement was given by me, and if so to what were the particular circumstances to which it should be understood to refer."

2 In reply I have to state for the information of the Board that the individual in question when he obtained leave for the purpose of proceeding to Madras, gave me to understand that it was not his intention to return to his duties and indeed, I found this to be the fact for instead of proceeding to Madras as he proposed, he went to Trichinopoly to try the chance of obtaining some other employment, but meeting there with no success he came back to Coimbatore - in the mean time arrangements were made to supply his place, and in giving him the endorsement referred to by the Board I had no intention of doing any greater injury to his Public Character

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Character than was necessary to remove him from a Department where he could do so much mischief - for I held to the opinion that a greater degree of severity than is absolutely necessary to guard the Public interests is in expedient on the score of utility and is in dereliction of the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors in their General letter dated the 1st March 1843 subsequently promulgated for the guidance of the Service but in the Quarterly Return of Persons suspended or dismissed dated the 30th of June 1842, it became peremptory to state the real cause of his dismissal Viz. "unworthy of confidence" "&c." which I am of opinion and doubt not the Board will concur with me in, is sufficiently proved by the documents, I had the honor of submitting with my communication of the 10th November last No. 90.

Forwarded with the Bd's letter of the 8th June 1843.

Coimbatore - - - - -
Principal Coll's Office
Sattimungalum - - -
3d January 1844 - - -
Proceedings thereon.

} (Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Coll'r

The Board are satisfied from Mr. Wroughton's explanation that he

54-60

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

was influenced by a kindly feeling in giving the Petitioner Appasawmy Moodely the first Endorsement dated 29th April 1842 and that circumstances which had come to Mr. Wroughtons knowledge rendered it necessary to place upon record the further reasons which led to Petitioner's removal from Office as shown by the letter dated 30th June 1843 - Mr. Wroughton will not fail however to see the risk that he incurs of having his intentions misunderstood when he records two decisions upon the same case so essentially differing with each other without at the same time explaining specifically the occasion of such difference. -

Endorsement on Petition No. 1310 of 1842.

Under the explanation furnished by the Coll'r of Coimbatore the Board of Revenue are satisfied with the reasons which led to the removal of Petitioner from Office and see no reason to interfere.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Canara dated 5th and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

61

To the Chief Secry to Government.

Sir,

2d November 1843

With reference to my letter of the date directed by the Board of

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

5th in Cons. 18th Jany. 1844 -

of Revenue to submit the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara requesting sanction for the cost of charges of 300 Candies of Coir shipped for Calcutta on board the "Julius Caesar" amounting as per enclosed statement to Rupees 7600-8-7

The Board beg to recommend that sanction to the above amount may be granted -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George - - -
1st February 1844 - - -

(Signed) C.C. Lovell
Jr. Secretary

Read the following letters

To C.C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(62)

From the Asst. Coll. of Canara - - - - -

Sir

In reference to the endorsement passed by the Board on Petition No. 1243 transmitted with your letter of the 27th November last, I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board, Copy of an endorsement which I gave upon a Petition presented to me on the 20th June last, which will show my opinion I entertain as to the expediency of re-employing Sunnoo Tum Row in the public service

Principal Coll's Cutcherry
Mangalore - - - - -
18th January 1844 - - -

(Signed) H. Wm. Blair
Princ. Coll'r

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

A

The opinion of the Princi-
-cipal Collector, as to the correct-
-ness of the decision passed by
the Sub Collector - Mr. Maltby
in the case of the tampering with
the Records by the Petitioner, has not been
in the least altered by the Decree of
the Provincial Court, as he considers it
perfectly incredible, under the circumstances
of the case, that the alteration of the mortgage
deed, could have made by the opposite party as
stated in the said Decree. Under these circumstances,
the Principal Collector regrets that he feels
precluded from employing Peti-
-tioner in any responsible situation.
He is of course at liberty to
apply to the Board of
Revenue, and if on a full
consideration

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

consideration of the original proceedings of the Sub Collector, and the Courts Decree, the Board should direct the Petitioner's re-employment - the Principal Collector will then be prepared to comply him -

Mangalore
20th June 1843

(Signed) H. W. Blair
Prin.l Collector

Order thereon.
62-63

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1243 of 1843 -

Petitioner is referred to the endorsement given him by the Principal Collector of Canara under date 20th June 1843 - The Board see no reason to interfere in his behalf -

To

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

64
From the Sec. Coll.n of Canara

Sir, I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board, the accompanying Copy of a letter from my Head Assistant, Mr. Reade, together with the papers that accompanied it -

2. As from all the circumstances of the case, I felt strongly disposed to believe, that further inquiry would show that it would not be for the good of the public service that the Shanbogue should be re-employed in his former situation, I took upon myself to delay re-appointing him, until the further pleasure of the Board should be known; a perusal of the papers now submitted, which show Ramachundra to be a person of dishonest character, will I hope justify me in the opinion of the Board for delaying to carry

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

carry their first orders into execution, as I trust they will agree with me in thinking, that it would not be expedient again to employ this officer in a situation of so much trust and responsibility as that of Shanbogue. If it is considered necessary to re-employ him in the public service, it would be advisable in my opinion to appoint him to some situation where he would not have the same means of acting dishonestly as in that of Mogany Shanbogue, which in this District is a post of Considerable importance.--

Pnl. Collrs. Cutcherry
Mangalore
16th January
1844.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pnl. Collr.

To

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

To
H. M. Blair Esqre
Principal Collector of
Canara.

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge Copies of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue as well as of your letters to the Board on the subject of the Appeal made by Shanbogue Ramchendra against my Decree passed in October of last year.

2. The Board having reversed my Decree, and directed the restoration of the Shanbogue, notwithstanding your own approval of my sentence, it may perhaps seem out of place my reagitating the matter, but I feel it my duty to do so, partly in vindication of my own self and partly in view to prove to the Board the character of the man to whom they have thus extended the hand of mercy.--

3. It is not my province to arraign the judgement of so high an authority or question the arguments on which that judgment is based, unless called upon to do so in the way of explanation, more especially since you have yourself so strongly supported my views; still

as

as without compromising my own character I could not reasonably assent to its justice— I trust the position in which the Board's decision places me individually, may be deemed an acceptable apology for this address.

4. Without however commenting on the grounds set forth by the Board for reversing my Decree in this particular case, I will at once draw your attention to the accompanying translations, prepared in my office of the various orders issued from time to time, respecting this Shanbogue Ramchendra—

5. A perusal of these Papers will enable both yourself, and the Board to form a correct estimate of the character of this Shanbogue, which throughout his career would seem to have been marked by repeated complaints of his dishonesty, and of corresponding inaccuracies in his accounts— I need scarce assure you that had I been aware of the existence of these Records, they should have been mentioned in my Decree, but accident alone brought them before me; it was only after the receipt of a Petition, translation of which is also enclosed, that I sent for the Papers in the several cases wherein Ramchendra's conduct had been the subject

subject of investigation; and as it appears to me that I should be guilty of dereliction of duty were I not to communicate the result to you for the information of the Board, who I am justified in believing are wholly ignorant of the facts therein exhibited against Ramchandra, I have thought it proper to forward them.—

6. The Decree passed by Mr. Shubrick subsequent to mine of October last would seem to contain matter in itself sufficient to warrant the Shanbogue's dismissal, apart from every other charge that has been brought against him.

7. I therefore respectfully trust that "in a case of much doubt, & suspicion" the Board may in consideration of the Shanbogue's provincial bad character, deem the evidence against him conclusive, and concur in my sentence—

8. I am confident that had that evidence been recorded in the presence of the Members of the Board they would not have questioned its veracity in as far as there is much in the manner, and mode of giving testimony of which the presiding Officer has alone the opportunity of judging, and which goes far towards estimating the worth of evidence; and

this

this was a case which for proof depended entirely on oral testimony, and as the Board have themselves declared it to be at best one of "much doubt and suspicion" I earnestly hope they will reconsider their judgment and so relieve me from the onus of having apparently perpetrated a gross injustice, by imposing a heavy punishment on insufficient grounds-

9 I would further wish to point out the difficulty of realizing the money to be refunded to the Shanbogue- "Bauda Beary" is not as the Board suppose a wealthy but a very needy man burdened with debt, and to whom the realization of that sum will cause considerable distress.-

10. As you will be aware the fact of a man being possessed of an Estate paying a large Revenue to the Government affords no safe data for estimating his condition in life, as in this District numerous cases could be instanced to the contrary, the payment of this money therefore to the Shanbogue having been proved on sworn evidence before me I hope the Board on reconsideration will not think it necessary to enforce this part of their award which, under the doubt, is calculated to inflict

for more injustice on an innocent subject of the Government, than is involved by subjecting to the Penalty, one whose conduct, & character will not bear the test of scrutiny & which viewed in connection with the evidence adduced against him in the present case leaves an inference decidedly opposed to his innocence if not, as in my humble judgment, wholly conclusive of his guilt.-

11. I would in conclusion observe, that the Decree is my own. I dictated almost every word, & though the writing in Canarese was not so, yet, under this assurance, I request the Board will correct the opinion that "the real Decree has in point of fact been framed by a Goomastah in the Head Assistants Office." In future I will not fail to record the whole of my decision in my own handwriting as directed by the Board in their C. O. 31st December 1835.-

(Signed) Charles Reade
H. Asst. Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.

Translation of a Petition addressed to C. Wm Reade Esqre the Head Assistant Collector of Canara by the Head Ryots and Potails of Badajay Hosbett.

and

and Kirstishwara Villages Munjishwer Mo-
-gany in Bekul Talook Dated the 15th No-
-vember 1843.

Having heard that the Appeal preferred by Ramchendra the late Shanbogue of this Megany / dismissed on account of a Complaint preferred against him charging him with having practised frauds by not granting receipts for the Sirkar money paid to him / against one of the Decrees passed against him, has been decided in his favor and orders have been received from the Board of Revenue for his restoration to the situation, we beg leave to address to your Honor this Petition. Although your Honor is not in charge of this Talook, yet as your Honor is fully acquainted with the frauds and other misconduct of this Shanbogue from the personal inquiry held by your Honor into the charges brought against him before you, we have ventured to address ourselves to your Honor in hopes that you will be pleased to bring his conduct to the notice of the Principal Collector or the Board of Revenue and save us from his injustice. The following are the frauds committed by him during

during his Shanbogship in our Magany.

He defrauded many Ryots
1
by not granting receipts for the Sirkar money paid by them into his hands and Biraianaka has preferred a Complaint charging him with having appropriated a part of a large sum paid to him by the Prosecutor by not granting receipts for it.

A dispute having arisen be-
2
-tween Ramayya Shanbogue and Annuppa Shanbogue regarding a garden belonging to Matt. the Shanbogue struck off the mention of the name of the person who actually paid / the beriz / in the Sircar accounts alias Awrjee containing the number and Seal and a Com-
-plaint has been lodged praying the Sirkar to carry on the Prosecution against him.

A Sum of Hoons three was
3
due by the sircar to the Moocery of Hosbett Putty on account of the "Putty Jasdick" and as the said Moocery was also indebted to the Sircar on account of land assessment, he executed a receipt to the Shanbogue acknowledging the receipt of 3 hoons with a request to credit the amount in question for that due by him to the Sircar, but the Shanbogue having recovered the

sum

sium /3 Hoons/ from the Talook Cutcherry he cre-
-dited a part of it to the Sirkar account and
defrauded the Moocery of the remaining by appro-
-priating it to his own use. A complaint has
been preferred on the subject.

The 1st of these complaints pre-
-ferred by Birainanaka was investigated by
your Honor and the charge having been fully
proved against him, he was justly adjudged
by your Honor to repay the amount appropri-
-ated by him and was deservingly dismissed
from his situation. The 2d Complaint for
erasing the avarjee was inquired into by
the Acting Sub Collector Mr. Shubrick and
the frauds & other corruptions of the Shan-
-bogue having fully come to the notice of
that Gentleman he gave a decision fining
Ramachendra 10 Rupees or in default to be
kept in Jail for 2 Months adding that had
he not been already dismissed from his si-
-tuation he would have dismissed him on
that occasion. The Shanbogue therefore think-
-ing that he would never get a situation
if he appealed against both the Decrees he
paid the fine imposed by Mr. Shubrick
and merely complained against the decision

passed by your Honor and settled the 3d
Complaint by compromises with the moocery by
repaying to him the amount with-held -
It is now 6 years since he has held the
Shanbogues situation and was first appoint-
-ed at Kalbettoo in Oodipy Talook, and in
consequence of the annoyances practised by him
towards the Ryots complaints were made
against him, when he was suspended for the
period of 6 Monthes, & then removed to Mo-
-gral magany in this Talook, where he also
pursued the same misconduct and owing to
Complaints he was removed to our Magany
& here within one and a half year he has
committed the above transactions. He was not
accustomed to pay us the price of the articles
furnished for "Surbaray" /supplies/ but appro-
-priated it to his own use after making
the Collections. If such a bad Character be
again employed in our Magany we will be
unable to bear his injustice, and other troubles
We therefore respectfully pray your Honor
will deign to give a favorable consideration
to all the above circumstances, & be pleased
to submit this Petition to the Principal Collec-
-tor with your opinion on the Subject & take

measures

measures for preventing his reemployment

/Signed/ Manyappa Potail of Kristeshar
" Keshwa Burvata Do/ of Badajay.
" Badjay Annantaya.
+ Mark of Madan Shetty the Potail of
Hofsabettoo.

Kroshnya.

Translation of an order No. 46 issued by the Sub Collector Mr. Hudleston to Appalaya the Peish-car of Cadpee. Dated the 5th October 1240 Fusly.

Your petition No. 54 has been perused. On examining Ramchendra the Sub-stitute Shanbogue of yelloor &c. forwarded by you for personal inquiry it appears true that he practised frauds towards the late Potail Dooma Shetty. but there are no sufficient grounds to award against him a decree according to the Regulations. We therefore have ordered him to retire - As he appears to be a bad character we think it improper to employ him in the situation. Narraina the present substi-tute should continue to conduct the Duties until the attendance of the fixed Shanbogue. The ring, in Deposit appears to have been purchased by Ramchendra, and it should therefore, be returned to him. The Hatkarada and

Averjee sent in for this inquiry have been herewith returned.

/Signed/ H. F. Hudleston
Sub Collector

Translation of an order No. 369 issued by Mr. Cotton the Principal Collector of Canara to Streenuas Row the Sahsildar of Codipy on the 13th March 1245.

Your Arzees No. 476 & 477 reporting about the Complaints preferred against Ramchendra the Magany Shanbogue of Hat-=bettoo in Bullasawara Maguny have been received - you should suspend the Shanbogue from the situation and forward him to us for personal inquiry and recommend a fit person to be employed in his room.—

/Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Principal Collector

Order No. 372 passed by Mr. Cotton the Princi-=pal Collector to Shreenevas Row the Sahsildar of Codipy on the 14th March 1245.—

Your Petition No. 479 report-=ing on the charge of bribery brought by Venkaya Hoggaday the Potail of yennagooday, against the Mogany Shanbogue Ramchendra has been received - We have sent you an order to forward him to us for his examination in=

another

another case. you should inform him to attend for the enquiry of this charge also.

Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Principal Collector

Order No. 413 issued by Mr. Cotton the Principal Collector to Shreeneevas Row the Tahsildar of Oodipy on the 5th April 1245—

Petitions Nos. 476. 477 & 479

reporting on the subject of the Complaints against Ramchendra the Mogany Shanbogue of Kalbett in Ballisawara Mogany. together with the proceedings forwarded with it have been perused /.

The fact of the Shanbogue having withheld the sum of 14 Rupees the amount of Jastik due to Adigay Krishna Bhutt of Majoor Village and of having harassed the Complainant without paying the said sum, renders him unfit for the employment he has held, but as the transaction between the parties was carried on in a way of dealings, and the deft. has now made good the amount on friendly terms. he has been pardoned on this occasion.—

2.

The Complaint lodged by the yenegooday Potail Venkaya Heggaday Charging the Shanbogue with appropriation of Sirkar. money

money paid to him, cannot be believed inasmuch the said Potail's Nephew Appana. who is said to have paid to the Shanbogue 3 Hoons / the sum appropriated / in March of last year. has signed the Irsal list in which the amount in question is stated as being in profession of the Potail. and the latter has remained silent without bringing any Complaint up to this time The Shanbogue's having entered the amount as being received in the month of March instead of doing so on the 18th April has been considered as ignorantly inserted.—

With respect to the report

3.

of the said Potail charging Ramchendra with bribery. there is no sufficient proof to establish. that he received bribe from Dony Coonhally mentioned in the 1st Para of your Report, but the Shunbogues having taken back the report and torn it away is highly blameable. but the punishment undergone by him in consequence of his suspension has been deemed sufficient for the offence - He has been warned to act carefully in future - As the charges mentioned in the 2d & 3d paras of your Istalla have been denied. by the complainants themselves. they have been Dismissed - From

Your

your report it seems that there exists an enmity between the Potail Venkaya Hegaday and the Shanbogue In consequence of this and from some other causes we have pardoned the Shanbogue on this occasion, and have ordered his re appointment you should restore him to the situation, and enter his name in the "Baraward" from the date of his arrival. you should also inform him, that he would be discharged from the Shanbogueship, if he should pursue such a conduct in future. & warn to behave himself carefully hereafter

(Signed) C. R. Cotton
Principal Collector

Order No. 186 issued by the Sub Collector Mr. Anda-son to Balla Kishna Row the Tahsildar of Podipy on the 19th December 1246.—

Petitions No. 1 & 2 addressed by the Monegar of Padoobidry with the proceed-ings held on the Complaint of Biranna Co-jary of Majoor Village stating that the Hat-tetoo Magany Shanbogue Ramchendra has charged against him the full amount of Rom 1-10 due by him on account of a part of Mada-bina Venkutramna's wurg rented by the Pro-secutor from the Sirkar, notwithstanding the Rupees

of the rent in question were paid by him to the Shanbogue (2 Rs. on the 9th February and 2 in the month of Suggay) and that the Potail in pressing him for the payment of the rent in question, have been perused.— The Shanbogue admits the receipt of 2 Rs. paid on the 19th February, but denies the other 2 said to have been paid in the month of Soogay. He acknowledges that the sum was paid to him on the 19th February but states, that it was entered by him in the Cutcherry on the 16th idem. In explanation of it, he adds that he had intended to deliver the amount to the Potail, and then enter it in the book, but that his failure to meet with the Potail and his absence from the duty for some days added with the circumstance of the sum being a trifling one for delivering it to his possession.— These reasons are futile in-as-much as the Shanbogue uses to enter in his book the amount collected by the Potail accord-ing to his (Potails) list and the Shanbogue could have mentioned the sum in question in the

the book on his entering the amount collected by the Potail and then remit it to the Cutcherry. He says that he was in attendance in the Cutcherry for some days. Although the sum was a trifling one, yet there was no objection for its being received in the Cutcherry, He urges, that he was absent for several days, if so he might have delivered the amount to the acting person - since he has not done so his reasons are useless, and they cannot be admitted - As he himself has admitted having retained in his possession and applied for his private use the said sum of 2 Rupees for 1 Month and 6 days, we deem it proper to suspend him from his employ for the period of 6 Months for the fault you should on the receipt of this suspend him from the Shanbogueship, & recommend a suitable person to act for him - Although some of the witnesses for the Prosecutor have deposed to the payment of the remaining 2 Rupees in the Month of Soggay yet as it is doubtful we have dismissed the part of the charge - If the sum is really due it should be collected from the Prosecutor - The Hatkarada of Muddoor Village sent in by the Monegaur is herewith

returned -

/Signed/ F. Anderson
Sub Collector -

Order No. 407 issued by the Principal Collector Mr. Lewin on the 8th June 1246 -

Your Arzee reporting on the expiration of 6 Months the period fixed for the Hatbettoo Shanboguee's suspension & praying permission to re appoint him to the situation has been received. The Potail of Mayoor Village with some other Ryots have addressed a Petition stating that they will be subject to the greatest troubles, if thes Ramchendra be again employed in their Village. We therefore thinking it improper to appoint him in that Magany have removed him to Mogral Magany in Bekul Talook. You therefore should forward him to the Bekul Tahsildar, and enter the name of the present acting person in the Baravard -

/Signed/ M. Lewin
Principal Collector

Translation of an order issued by the Principal Collector Mr. Lewin to Anuntaya the Tahsildar of Bekul on the 8th June 1246 No. 413 -

Your Petition No. 375 recommending

two

two fit persons one for the Magany Shanbogue=
=ship of Mogral, in room of Narrapah and
another for that of Munjeshwer in lieu of
Soobaya, have been received We have appoint=
=ed to the Shanbogueship of Mogral one
Ramachendra, who was suspended for 6 Month
from the situation of Magany Shanbogue at
Hatbettoo in Oodipy Talook and have issued
orders to the Tahsildar of that Talook to
forward him to you, you will enter his name
in the Baraward, and have the busines
transacted by him - We appoint in room of
Munjeshwer Shanbogue Soobaya the indivi=
=dual Narsaya recommended by you -

/Signed/ M. Lewin
Principal Collector

Translation of an order issued by the Sub Col=
=lector Mr. Malby to Singappa the Tahsildar of
Bekul dated the 11.th February 1248 No. 315.

On our personal inquiry
into the complaint preferred by the Ryots of
Mogral Mogany against their Shanbogue
Ramchendra, we have come to know, that there exist
a difference between the Ryots and the Shan=
=bogue we are therefore, of opinion, that it will
be an obstacle for the business of the Magany
being

being conducted properly if we retain the Shan=
=bogue in that Magany We have, therefore, thought
it proper to remove the Shanbogue to Munjeshwer
Cusba and Narsaya the Shanbogue of Munjesh=
=wer to Mogral Magany you should carry this
order into execution, and have the busines
conducted by them of the respective Moganies
as aforesaid until further orders. It appears,
that the Sirkar money of Mogral Mogany
is are now used to be collected by the Shanbogues
They should not be allowed to collect the Beriz
from the Ryots It should be collected by the
Potails, who shall grant an immediate re=
=ceipt to the Persons, who have paid the a=
=mount you will, therefore, have hereafter the sircar
dues collected from the Ryots by the Potails whom
you should order to grant a receipt for the pay=
=ment - Should any be unwilling to pay the
beriz into the Potails hands, he is to deliver it
to you in the Cutcherry -

In one of the Paras in the
Petition filed by the Ryots against the Shanbogue,
they have stated, that the Deft. has withheld 2
Keans out of Bedroad Carking Maury Bhaoto
Tastick for last year which he received from

the

Fort S.t George 1.st February 1844.

the Cutcherry Further Coonhacoos Nephew Mada=
=lingah of Seerelyle Village has given a written depo=
=sition complaining that the Shanbogue falsely inform=
=ing him, that the Potail Cotyan has desired
to give to him (Shanbogue) the sum of 3 Rupees
which the complainant owed to the said Potail,
collected from him the said sum of 3 Rupees.
but on complainants acquainting the Potail with
the circumstances he did not agree to it and
collected from him (the complainant)
a double sum of 3 Rupees. He has produced
a receipt from the Shanbogue for the pay=
=ment of the above sum to him which with
the above deposition are herewith forwarded
to you. you will hold an inquiry into both
of the aforesaid Complaints, and make a re=
=port with the answer of the Shanbogue, and
return the documents accompanying this.

(Signed) F. N. Maltby

Actg. Sub Collector.

Translation of an order No. 464 issued by the
Add.l Sub Collector Mr. Maltby to Singapa the
Tahsildar of Bekul dated the 17.th April 1248.

Your Petitions No. 166 and 66
have been received, and the proceedings held by you
into the 2 Complaints of the Ryots against the Mogay
Shanbogue

Fort S.t George 1.st February 1844.

Shanbogue Ramchendra according to
an order No. 315 have been perused and the
necessary inquery has been personally made

The Ryots complain that
the Shanbogue withhold 2 Hoons out of
the "Hiny Many Bhoots" Tastick which
he collected from the Cutcherry. The Shanbo=
=gue denies the charge and states, that the Tas=
=tick in question was to be paid to one Tammana
Bhutt, according to whose desire he received
it from the Cutcherry, and after deducting of
it the Beriz due by the said Bhutt to
the Sirkar he paid the remaining sum to
Tammana Bhutts son Krooshna Bhutt
and obtained a receipt for the payment Kroo=
=shna Bhutt admits the Shanbogues statement,
& states he has no charge against the Shanbogue
we therefore, without further inquiry dismiss this
Complaint.

Mahalinga complains, that the
Shanbogue with false information received
from him 3 Rupees out of the rent due by
him to the Potail Cottiana, who did not con=
=sent to it and again collected from him
the said 3 Rupees The Shanbogue states that
he made a collection of 7 Rupees according
to the Potails desire which with the sum due

by

by himself to the Potail, being the price of some articles received by him from the latter, credited it to the Sirkar beriz due on his warg on considering this it appears that some dealings have been carried on between the Potail and the Shanbogue. It is improper, that a sirkar Shanbogue should credit to the Sirkar beriz due by Ryots the amount of his own buy for it articles from the Ryots, but as no circar order has been ifsued prohibiting such dealings up to this time we have overlooked the fault. However, if the collection of 3 Rupees from the said Mahalinga by the Shanbogue was according to the Potail's desire, he must have caused a deduction of 3 Rupees in the rent due by Mahalinga to the Potail as well as the return of the Query Chits to the former. The Shanbogues failing to do so tended to Mahalingas paying a double rent we therefore, deem it just to order the repayment of 3 Rupees by the Shanbogue to Mahalinga we send herewith the sum of 3 Rupees collected from the Shabogue, which you will pay to Mahalinga after obtaining a receipt from him. The Kathkurrada sent in

in by you is herewith returned.—

/Signed/ F.K. Maltby
Acting Sub Collector

Sirkar ..... Versus ..... Ramchendra the late Shanbogue of Munyeshwer and Mogral Maganies in Bekul Talook.—

The charge against the Deft. being of the nature of the 4.th and 5.th Claſs — mentioned in the Clause II section II Regulation IX of 1822. it was tried under that Regulation. The Shanbogue has been charged with having erased the avarje accounts of the Wurg N.o 16 belonging to Mattada Gooroomalla for Fuslies 1240. 42. 44. and 45 which were in his poſſeſsion.—

The Proceedings in this case sent in by the Tahsildar, the average books in poſſeſsion of the Deft. as well as those that were in the Cutcherry were referred to and the Deft. with one Ramachendra Shanbogue and Sanna Annapa the requisite persons in this case were examined.—

The following are the circumstances

Fort S.t George 1.st February 1844

=stances which gave rise to the charge A fe=
=male named Santanna enjoyed a house and
garden forming part of the aforesaid Wurg and
she laying a Moolgueny right, to the property
in question, sold it out to the above mentioned
Ramchendra Shanbogue Roodrapa the "Sunny=
=asee" priest of Goorpoor Mutt the owner of
the Wurg, and Sannah Annapah a man on
his part brought forward a suit to the effect
that the garden in question had been rented
by santanna merely on Chalgueny tenure
from the Mutt. The woman in support of
her claim represented, that she has continue=
=ed to pay annually to the Sirkar Hoons.- "-
1-4 as the beriz of the ground in question and
prayed to refer it to the Sircar accounts. Annapa
contended that she used to pay larger amount,
than she had alleged and to prove it he pro=
=duced some Copies of Beriz Puttahs for some
years before the Monegars. They contain the
payment by her of Hs." "-7-8. from 1239 to 45
Fuslies, which is larger than Hs." -"-1-4 stated
by Santannna. The Monegar therefore, sent the
Puttahs to the Deft." who was the Shanbogue of
copies
the Mogany, in order to their being compared
with the accounts in his posession The Deft."
returned

Fort S.t George 1.st February 1844

returned the Puttahs with an endorsement on
the 2.nd Page of a Puttah answering that the co=
=pies were correct, and besides the amount men=
=tioned in them for Fusly 1244 his accounts
contained an additional payment by Santa=
=nna of Hs." -"-1-4 through different persons
and that the amount paid by her every
year not being a fixed one, but differing
from year to year, she could not have been
considered a Moolgueny Tenant. Some days
afterwards, Ramchendra Shanbogue / the
purchaser of the garden / brought in a com=
=plaint stating that the Deft." had made some
erasure in the Sircar accounts in his posession
and on an inquiry being held according to
the Taked the Deft." produced before the
Tahseildar a fresh Putty alleging that the
former Putty was incorrect, but the new one
was prepared on reference to the Amanjee Books
This new Putty with the exception of that of Fusly
1239 for which the Beriz paid by Santanna has
been mentioned in it Hoons-"-3-12, the amount
paid by her for subsequent years contains —
Hoons-"-1-4 In explanation to this, the Deft."
in his examination states that the Monegar
having delivered to him the original Puttahs
with their Copies to be compared with his account

he

he desired him /Deft./ to write in them as "correct" adding that they will be found so with the accounts, and that in consequence he did so at is desire without comparing them with the accounts - With respect to the non appearance of the entry in the Puttahs of the amount collected, he states that the want of leisure prevented him from entering the amount in question in the Puttahs, but has granted a separate list mentioning in it the amount by a reference to Averagy accounts thro' his younger brother. Apart from the Monegars denying the receipt of the original Pattahs had they been produced before him /Monegar/ he had no reason to forward them to the Deft. for being compared. It was owing to the Monegars doubt of the Copies that they were sent to the Deft. for being Compared. The said Annapah refuses to produce the original Pattahs - On examining the Avarjies of 4 Fuslies produced by the Deft. the letter ದ /Da/ in all parts of the Book where a man named Santappa has been mentioned having paid the beriz for the warg in question, has been scraped off and another has been substituted in its room. The Shanbogues explanation

- nation on this point being contradicting is unworthy of credit, and for many reasons it appears false - As it will be a waste of time to detail this fact in length it has been considered unnecessary to dwell further on this point.-

Since the Copies of the Pattahs produced by Annapah to prove that the amount /which was really paid by santapa/ was paid by Santana were sent to the Deft. to be compared with his accounts, it was his duty to compare them with the accounts and state if any difference existed in the Copies were correct - In the Avarjee of 4 Fuslies the Shanbogue /Defendant/ in order to make his accounts correspond with the Copies produced by Annapa stating that the amount /really paid by santappa/ was paid by santana. has scraped off in all parts of the Avarjee where suntappas name is mentioned the letter ದ /Da/ the only difference between the names "santapa" and "santana". The letter however is now clearly visible On considering this there is no doubt to conclude that the Defendant first altered the name santapa into

Santana

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Santanna presuming that his fraud will not come to light. but no sooner he knew that a complaint had been lodged by Ramchendrah Shanbague, and his secret had been disclosed, he again changed the letter Na into Pa or from Santanna into Santappa The Defendant denies having altered the names and urges that it might have done by his predecessor although he did not ascertain it on taking charge but since no suit or dispute took place on the subject but lately, and the Defendant made a false report in favor of Annapa, it is doubtless that the erasure was made by the Defendant himself.—

The Defendant has been dismissed from his situation in consequence of having appropriated the Sirkar money collected from the Ryots and awarded to pay the sum appropriated. He has further undergone punishment on several occasions, in consequence of complaints from the Ryots. He is therefore considered a man of very bad Character. He deserved his Dismissal and a severer

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

punishment for this offence but as he has already been dismissed, he has on this occasion been merely fined in the sum of 10 Rupees or in default to be placed in Jail for 2 Months in accordance to Clause IV Section V of the forementioned Regulation.—

/Signed/ C. J. Shubrick
Acting Add.l Sub Coll.r

/True Translation/
/Signed/ C. W. Reade
Hd. Assistant Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Principal Collector.—

64-65

Proceedings thereon.—

Directing the acting Sub Coll.r of Canara to carry into effect the instructions contained in the Board's Proceedings of the 28th Sept.r last on the subject of the appeal preferred by the Shanbogue Ramachendra against the sentence passed upon him by the Head Assist.t Coll.r under Reg.n IX of 1822 V communicating instructions regarding the reemployment of that individual.—

In their Proceedings of the 28th September last the Board recorded their judgment on the appeal presented by the Shanbogue Ramchendra, and directed the reversal of the sentence passed by the Head Assistant in the case. In the papers now before them, besides a Petition from some Potails remonstrating against the orders of the Board for the restoration of the Shanbogue being carried into effect.

Note
Of those
Takeeds some
are vague and
general in others
the Shanboque
is acquitted but
transferred to
another Behib
on account of the
enmity subsisting
between him and
the Ryots. In one
instance only
prior to Mr. Reade's
investigation,
has Ramachundra
been punished
vide Takeed
from Mr. Under-
son 19th Dec.
Fusly 1246.
with suspension
for 6 months
for keeping 2
Peons 36 days and
of the public
account.-

Para 1

effect Mr. Reade has submitted series of Takeeds Vca. issued at various times by the Revenue authorities of Canara, and tending to shew that the Shanboque is a person of very questionable character. On these general grounds, and without adducing any fresh fact or argument bearing upon the immediate subject of his recent inquiry the Head Assistant Collector trusts that the Board will deem the evidence against him (i.e. the appellant) conclusive, and concur in his sentence." He elsewhere states that "he could not reasonably assent to the Justice" of the Board's decision, that he has it in view to prove to the Board the character of the man to whom they have thus extended the hand of mercy; and that "to inflict part of the Board's award:" (i.e. to return to the Shanboque the amount collected from him and paid to the Ryots) "under the doubt is calculated to inflict far more injustice on an innocent subject of Government than is involved in subjecting to the penalty one

Para 2

Para 10

one whose conduct will not bear the test of scrutiny Vca.-

2. The Board cannot but regret that the Principal Collector should have thought it necessary to forward the letter from which the foregoing Extracts are taken. They will only remark that the grounds of their decision did not rest upon the character of the parties concerned, and that, were it otherwise, after their judgment had been formally recorded upon the appeal, evidence in impeachment of the character of the Appellant must be wholly inadmissible, for the purpose of affecting the decision in that case. Under these circumstances the Board direct that the instructions contained in their Proceedings of

28th.

28th. September be at once carried into

effect.-

3- In respect to the most immedi-
-ate subject of Mr. Blair's reference
viz.t as to the propriety of re-employing
the Shanbogue, the Board have to observe,
that having acquitted that individual
of the charges brought against him,
they considered it but just to replace
him in the same position in which
he stood before his dismissal. From the
papers now forwarded it however appears
that subsequent to the inquiry held by
the Head Assistant Collector, and which
has been already before the Board, the
same individual was also tried on ano-
-ther charge by the Acting Sub Colle
-ctor under Regulation IX of 1822,
and punished on conviction with a fi
of Rupees 10, and that Mr. Shubrick has
placed on record that had not the Sha
-bogue been previously dismissed he would

have removed him from the public service
for the offence of which he was then
found guilty. It is clearly competent
to the Principal Collector to review
the Proceedings held by the Sub Collector;
and should he see cause to decline to
re-employ the Shanbogue on account of
the charge found against him on that
occasion; provided of course, that those
Proceedings are formal and regular,
and such as the Board could confirm
upon Appeal. Under any circumstances
also it will not be necessary that Ra-
-machendra should be re employed
"in his former situation" it will be suffici-
-ent if he be appointed to any office
nearly equal in emolument to that which
he held before his late dismissal.-

4 Referring to the concluding
Para of Mr. Reade's letter the Board could come
to no other conclusion than the one arrive
-ed at from the imperfect record before
them, they are satisfied from the assurance
now given that Mr. Reade directed the

Decree

Decree himself, altho' he will observe that this is not all that is required by the terms of the Board's Circular order 31st. December 1835.—

To

E. C. Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

66

From the Principal Collr. of Canara ——

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to furnish me with a copy of Report submitted to the Board by Mr. John Stokes as Commissioner, on the subject of the Land Revenue of Canara; and of the Proceedings of the Board thereon.—

2. The original Copies of these Documents belonging to my Office, were destroyed during the disturbances in 1837. Second Copies of them subsequently received from the Board were sent to the Commissioner Mr. Cotton, and were not returned to my Office by that Officer.

3. On a late occasion when I again applied for Copies of these papers the Board furnished me instead with the Commissioners' Report on the conduct of the Revenue Servants. As Copies of the Report in question, and of the Board's proceedings thereon, are much required for reference I request I may be furnished with them at as early a period as possible.—

Principal Collr's Cutchery, Mangalore, 25th. January 1844.

/ Signed / H. M. Blair, Principal Collector

Order thereon.

66-67

Ordered that a copy of the report requested in the foregoing letter be transmitted to him and that he be informed the Board did not record any Proceedings at the time on the Report, but referred to it in their Proceedings of 11th January 1836, a Copy of which will be sent to him if he require it.—

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

68

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre Collr of Madras ——

Sir,

Para. 1.

Submitting Copy of a correspondence with the Town Major relative to the withdrawal of the Military Guard furnished for the protection of the Cutcherry Treasury and requesting that some decision may be passed with a view to its security.—

10th. January 1844.

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue Copy of a correspondence with the Town Major relative to the withdrawal of the Military Guard furnished to this office for the protection of the Treasury attached to it.

From	Town Major	3d.	January	1844
To	Do.	3d.	Do.	
From	Do.	3d.	Do.	
To	Do.	9th.	Do.	
From	Do.	10th.	Do.	

2. The Town Major observes in his last letter that no Military Guard has ever been afforded to the Sea Custom House, "which he assumes" but, I think, not very correctly, "has also a considerable Treasury," and that this office is not

not very distant from the Vepery Barracks.

3. The Peons now on my Establishment are hardly sufficient for the due performance of their ordinary duties, so that the removal of any number from their present sphere of action must necessarily interfere with the security of the public revenue.

4 It was consequently with difficulty that a few of the Peons of the several Departments who could have been ill spared for the purpose, were selected by me to watch over the Treasury, instead of the former Sepoy Guard.

5 To guard, as much as possible, against any serious loss, I have since the withdrawal of the Sepoys, remitted, though with some inconvenience to this office, twice, instead of only once, a week, to the General Treasury, my daily Collections, the monthly average of which it is known to the Board is in excess of a lac and twenty thousand Rupees, but the balance of cash is, I apprehend, still always under the Custody of the present number of Peons, for if a strong party of Gang robbers, armed with offensive weapons could enter the Cutcherry Compound, which is very extensive, they might do much harm with impunity, long before any assistance can be obtained from the Vepery Barracks, the distance to which is a little more than a mile.

6. I therefore feel called upon to request

request that the Board will be good enough to come to such a decision on this subject as they may deem proper with a view to the security of my Treasury.

Madras ---- 27th January 1844. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston Collector

No 1

To The Collector of Land Customs. Madras

Sir, I am directed to acquaint you that the Guard furnished at your Cutcherry will be withdrawn from tomorrow morning.

Town Major's Office Fort St. George --- 31st January 1844 --- } (Signed) E. Armstrong Lieut. Col. Town Major

To Lieutenant Colonel E. Armstrong Town Major Fort St. George

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of this date, and to observe that the proposed withdrawal of the Military Guard furnished to this office, will put it to great inconvenience, from their being always a considerable sum of money in the Treasury, besides some valuable securities, which it never has been the practice to put into the Custody of common

Peons

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

Peons. I therefore, request that you will do me the favor to give the subject due consideration, and make such an arrangement as will render the adoption of the measure in contemplation unnecessary.

Madras ---
3d January 1844 } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

To
The Collector of Land Customs
Madras.

Sir,

In acknowledge the receipt of your letter in reply to my Communication of this date, I am directed to acquaint you that it is indispensably necessary to reduce the Guards of this Garrison to the lowest possible number, and in consideration of the number of Peons at your disposal it is considered that a Military Guard at your Cutcherry can be dispensed with.

I beg to observe that Military Guards are furnished for the protection of Treasuries from open violence, and that in communication with the Superintendent of Police, I ascertained that no danger of this nature was to be apprehended at the Presidency previous to submitting such arrangements as appeared necessary to reduce the Guards for the sanction and approval of the Most Noble the Governor, under

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

under whose immediate orders your Guard has been withdrawn.

Town Majors office ---
Fort St. George 3d January 1844 } (Signed) E. Armstrong. Lieut. Colonel
Town Major

To Lieutenant Colonel E. Armstrong
Town Major
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 3d Instant and to acquaint you that I have placed a certain number of Peons over the Treasury, but I am by no means satisfied that the money and the valuable securities lodged in it are sufficiently safe there, from this office being so situated as to deprive it of the means of obtaining assistance in a case of emergency. I therefore request that you will be good enough to inform me whether a strong party of invalid Sepoys cannot be furnished in lieu of the Guard which was withdrawn at a recent date.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston.
Collector.

Madras ---
9th January 1844 }

To The Collector of
Madras

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 9th Instant, I am directed to acquaint you that a Military Guard cannot be afforded for the protection of your Cutcherry. I beg to observe that no Military Guard has ever been afforded to the Sea Customs, which I presume has also a considerable Treasury. The Land Custom House is not very distant from the Vepery Barracks, and I am informed a Police Jamnah is within a short distance of your Cutcherry.

Fort St. George ---
10th January 1844 } (Signed) E. Armstrong. Lieutenant Colonel
Town Major

Order thereon.

Ordered that the Collector be requested to state what may be the average Maximum sums of Cash deposited in his Treasury under the present arrangements and also the nature and value of the securities mentioned in his letter to the Town Major. Read the following Statement.—

(68-69)

Statement

2102

70

Fort St. George

1st February 1844

Statement shewing Separately the Collections on account of the several Items included in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance under the several heads of Extra Revenue from 12th July to 31st December 1843 compared with the average collections of the same period during the preceding Fuslies.

	Fuslies	Salt	Sayer Piece Goods	Sayer Gruff Goods	Betel Tobacco Ganjah and Bang	Total	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms and Licences	Stamp Paper and Cadjans	Grand Total
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
From 12th July to 31st December	1250	42,110 5 5	50,565 4 3	79,302 2 8	1,16,818 5 2	2,46,685 12 1	2,37,264 11 6	4734 3 "	2,827 15 "	5,33,022 15 "
	1251	62,805 9 7	42,304 4 3	1,09,840 13 6	1,16,108 14 6	2,68,254 " 2	2,32,038 6 7	4,665 " 4	3,893 11 "	5,71,656 11 8
	1252	96,518 10 3	39,932 5 5	1,07,848 10 2	1,23,771 " 11	2,71,552 - 6	2,60,573 10 7	4,795 10 4	3,087 12 6	6,36,527 12 2
	"	2,01,434 9 3	1,32,801 13 11	2,96,991 11 3	3,56,698 4 7	7,86,491 12 9	7,29,876 12 8	14,194 13 8	9,809 6 6	17,41,807 6 10
Average Collections	"	67,144 13 9	44,267 4 8	98,997 3 5	1,18,899 6 10	2,62,163 14 11	2,43,292 4 3	4731 9 11	3,269 12 10	5,80,602 7 8
Actual Collections from 12th July to 31st December 1843	1253	55,057 3 11	35,116 5 1	1,49,235 4 9	1,31,461 4 1	3,15,812 13 11	2,84,196 15 "	4,847 13 "	5,249 5 6	6,65,164 3 4

Madras 26th January 1844 E.E. Jr. (Signed) J. A. Huddleston Collector.

Ordered

Fort St. George 1st February 1844

70-71 Order thereon.

From the Collector of Madras. Read Statement shewing the gross Collections Charges net revenue and the per=centage of the disbursements on the gross re=venue for fusly 1252.

72

72-73 Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Ordered to be lodged in the office.

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Read the following letter

From the Supt. of Stamps ---- 74

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to submit a State=ment shewing the particulars of 3 proposals received for the supply of the several Articles required for the use of this office pursuant to a notice which was caused to be published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 9th Ultimo. The offer of Rotalah Embaroo Chetty the individual who has lately supplied the office is the lowest of the three - under this circumstance - I would beg to recommend that the Contract be given to him for a period of Two years under the usual security -

Fort St. George ----
Genl. Stamp Office. --
16th January 1844.

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

List

List of Sealed proposals Stamp Office

Names	Country year and month and date	Mat	Nails	Total
		ℳ a 8 ℔ ℔ a 8 ℔ ℔ a 8 ℔ ℔ a 8		
	1844			
1 Vanoo Naidoo	Janry	4 - 1 - 4 - 1 - 12 - 14	14 -	
2 Rungiah	"	3 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 10 - 13	6 -	
3 R. Embaroo Chetty	"	24 1 - 1 - 2 - 10	17	

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

Agreeably

Resolution thereon-

74-75

Agreeably to the recommendation of the Superintendent of Stamps the Board of Revenue sanction the acceptance of the tender of Rotala Embaroo Chetty for a contract to supply certain articles for the use of his Office during the years 1844 and 1845 under the usual Security-

Read the following letter

To The Secretary

Board of Revenue

76

From the Assistant Secy. to the Military Board---

Sir,

Dated 15th January

In returning the Indent for Stationery received with your letter I have the honor by desire of the Military Board to request you will call upon the Agent of the Governor of Fort St. George in the District of Vizagapatam to prepare it in accordance with the form established, and to intimate that if purchase of the Articles be contemplated, that the money should be paid at the Stationery Depot Fort St. George-

* Fort St. George Gazette Page 912 15 Nov. 1842 if supplied without payment otherwise 9th January 1844 Page 26

Military Board Office
Fort St. George ----
24th January 1844 ---

(Signed) C. W. Snow
Assistant Secy. Military Board.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

77

To The Collector of Vizagapatam

To the Collector of Vizagapatam ---

Sir,

In Cons. 11th Dec. 1843.

Para 1

With reference to Mr. Smollett's letter dated 30th November last No. 15 I am directed by the Board

Board of Revenue to request that you will submit revised Indents in duplicate for Stationery &c. required for the Vizianagram Zemendary Cutcherry according to the new form published at Page 26 of the Fort St. George Gazette of the 9th Ultimo.

24th Jan. 2 in Cons. 1st. February 1844

2

The Military Board have requested that the money for the Articles to be supplied should be paid at the Stationery Depot Fort St. George-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ----
1st. February 1844 --

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary-

Read the following Extract

78

From the Act. Secy. to the Bd. of Revs. in the Dept. of Public Works --

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works &c. under date the 12th January 1844.

Read the following letter

From The Collector of Tinnevelly

Forwarding 3 separate Indents for Articles &c. required for use in the Revenue Marumut and Police Departments-

1 Here enter No. 13 L. R. dated 29th December 1843/

Resolved that the Indents for Tools for road making be passed and forwarded to the Military Board for sanction and that the remaining two Indents be transferred to the Revenue Department of the Board of Revenue for disposal-

(Signed) J. Ha. Bell
Actg. Secy. to the Bd. of Revs. & P.W.

Ordered.

78-79 Order thereon

Ordered that the original Indents be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.

80 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st December last.

81 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to the 31st December last.

80-82 Order on the two abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read the undermentioned Registers

83 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Register of Endorsements passed by him during the Month of December last on Petitions referred by the Board.

84 From the Principal Collector of Nellore. Register of Endorsements passed by him during the Month of December last on Petitions referred by the Board.

85 From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Endorsements passed by him during the Month of December last on Petitions referred by the Board.

86 From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore. Register of Endorsements passed by him during the Month of December last on Petitions referred by the Board.

83-87 Order on the four abovementioned Registers. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

88 From the Asst. Coll.r in the Nag. Div.n of Arcot. Return of Prisons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.

89 From the Asst. Coll.r in the Nag. Div.n of Arcot. Return of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.

90 From the Collector in the Sal. Div.n of Arcot. Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1831 for the Month of December last.

91 From the Collector in the Sal. Div.n of Arcot. Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December last.

92 From the Collector of Bellary. Forwarding Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 31st December last.

93 From the Civil Auditor. Indent for one yard cloth for Peons Belts.

93-94 Order thereon.

Ordered that the original Indent be passed and returned.

95 From the Pl. Collector of Canara. Indent for printed forms required for the use of this Cutcherry for the year 1844.

95-96 Order thereon.

Ordered to be supplied accordingly

From the Pl. Collector of Cuddapah. Return of Persons suspended or dismissed with reference to Section VII Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of

97 of

of December last-

98 From the Pt. Collector of Cuddapah- Reporting that no offences have been tried under Regulation IX of 1822. dur-ing the Month of December last

99 From the Pe. Collector of Coimbatore- Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last-

100 From the Pt. Collector of Coimbatore- Stating that there are no Return of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 and of persons holding offices described in Section VII Regulation VII of 1831 suspended or dismissed for the Month of December last-

101 From the Pt. Collr. of Coimbatore- Stating that there are no Return of Sayer smuggled Goods and Tobacco for the Month of December last-

102 From the Collector of Chingleput- Return of persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last-

103 From the Collector of Chingleput- Stating that the Return of persons charged under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last is not sent as there being no entry to make-

104 From the Collector of Chingleput- Stating that the Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of December last is not sent there being no entry to make -

From

105 From the Collector of Ganjam- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

106 From the Collector of Guntoor- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

107 From the Collector of Malabar- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

108 From the Collector of Madras- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

109 From the Ag. Pt. Coll. of Salem- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

110 From the Collector of Tinnevelly- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last-

111 From the Collector of Tinnevelly- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last-

112 From the Collector of Ganjam- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last-

113 From the Collector of Guntoor- Statement shewing the ave-rage Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last-

114 From the Collector of Malabar- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last-

115 From the Collector of Madras- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last-

From

(116) From the Actg. Pl. Collr. of Salem Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

(117) From the Collector of Guntoor - Salt Account current for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

(118) From the Collector of Guntoor - Reporting that there have been no Revenue defaulters for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

(119) From the Pl. Collr. of Salem - acknowledging the receipt of certain printed forms.

(120) From the Collector of Trichinopoly - Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of Public servants for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

(121) From the Collector of Trichinopoly - Stating that certain Returns for the Quarter ending 31st December last are not sent there being no entry to make.

" Bund.

" Whatton

" Walter Elliot

Diary.

1st February. Sent the following letter.

To The Civil Auditor.

Sir,

(1) To the Civil Auditor. In Cons: 11th Jany 1844.

With reference to your letter dated 23d December last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that the Batta allowed the Head Peon and Peons therein mentioned is that usually allowed to Revenue and Police peons employed beyond the limits of the District to which they belong.

2. The orders of Government in the Judicial Department, which are referred to in your letter do not appear to have been communicated to this Office.

6th Augt 1839 No. 639.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 1st February 1844.

/ Signed / C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

1st Sent the following letter.

To The Collector of Chingleput.

(2) To the Collr. of Chingleput. In Cons: 18th Jany 1844

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 15th Ultimo relative to the relief

relief of Military Guards by temporary Establishments of Peons.

As the Guards mentioned in your letter are composed of invalids and not regular troops the orders of Government of the 28th December last, are understood not to apply to them and no alteration will therefore be necessary in the present arrangements.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
1st February 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Monday,
5th February
1844

At a Meeting Present.
John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
A. Robertson Esqre Second Member.
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th November and entered in Consultation 7th December last.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in reply.

To The Chief Secretary to Government,

Sir,

(3)

To the Chief Secy. to Government. 1.

Submitting with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation of 18th Nov. last the Board's opinion on the list of articles for which it is proposed to award Prizes in January 1845.

Ind. Cons. 7th Dec. 1843.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Minutes of Consultation under date 18th Nov. last forwarding for the Board's information transcript of a letter from the Secretary to the agri. horticultural Society together with a List of articles for which it is proposed to give rewards in January 1845 and directing that the Collectors in the Provinces may be instructed to give every publicity to the same within their respective Districts. The Board are further called upon to submit for the consideration of Government their opinion of the list of articles for which it is proposed to award prizes together with any suggestions which they may desire to offer upon the terms on which those Prizes are to be given.

2. The object of the Society and of Government in offering these Rewards being to hold out encouragement to Natives to adopt an improved System of agriculture and to raise articles

in

in demand for export of a superior quality capable of Competing with similar products from other Countries; in the Markets of Europe the Board are of opinion that the rewards should be limited to the Bonafide Producers and that these Producers should be Natives or Indo Britons unconnected with Houses of agency or Individual European speculators- The Board are led to make this remark from observing the names of the parties to whom the prizes were awarded in October last- In the Infancy of the Institution it may have been necessary to invite specimens and give the rewards for the best without distinction as to the Parties who produced them, but the Board are satisfied that no real benefit to the Country will be conferred unless the rewards are limited in the manner they have proposed-

3- They entirely Concur in the suggestions offered in the concluding Para of the Minutes of Consultation which should form one of the conditions of any prize being given-

The

4- The extension of the Manufacture of Sugar has proceeded with a rapidity that could have hardly been looked for in any direction South, North and West and appears to the Board hardly to need the further support of this Society they would suggest therefore the withdrawal of this article from the List of those for which rewards are to be offered as well as those of Plantains Senna and Tobacco- The last article has never been, nor is likely ever to be one of any considerable Export to Europe, in place of the three above articles the Board would propose the substitution of "Coffee" "Raw Silk" and "Wool" and for each of the two latter articles they would recommend for the present two Prizes to be given, one to be open to general competition without reference to the party producing it, the other to be limited as suggested in Para 2 to Natives or Indo Britons-

In reference to Indigo the Board would suggest that the reward be only given to Indigo manufactured from the Green leaf-

The

The List of articles for which the Board would recommend that rewards should be distributed in January 1845 will then stand as enumerated in the annexed List, and if the Most Noble the Governor in Council should be pleased to approve the same they will give immediate instructions for general publicity being given to it thro' the Provinces.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,.....
5th February 1844....

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell.
Acting Secretary

List.

List of articles for which rewards will be given by the agricultural and Horticultural Society of Madras in the year 1845—

For the best Sea Island Cotton not less than	100 lbs.	Rs. 250
For the best upland Georgia Do.	100 lbs.	200.
For the best Egyptian Do.	100 lbs.	200.
For the best Peruvian Do.	100 lbs.	200.
For the best Indigo manufactured from the Green leaf, not less than	50 lbs.	200
For the best Coffee not less than	100 lbs.	200
For the best samples of Raw Silk	20 lbs.	300
For the Second best Do.	20 lbs.	150.
For the best sample of Merino wool not less then	50 lbs.	200
For the best Caoutchouc not less than	10 lbs.	200
	Rupees	2100.

Read the following Extracts—

From the Chief Secy. to Government
4

Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 27th February 1844.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue—

Relative to the improvement of the Village Police in the District of Nellore—

/Here enter 21 December 1843— No. 623/

Before passing the orders

orders on the foregoing Proceedings, the Most Noble the Governor in Council will await the receipt of the further information required by the Board of Revenue from the Principal Collector, on the subject of the Village Police in the District of Nellore.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ G: D: Drury.
Sub. Secretary.

(4-5)

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information of the Principal Collector of Nellore with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 21st December last.

From the Chief Secy to the Government (6)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 3d February 1844.

Read the following papers.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Recording observations on the Report of the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam on the Settlement of the 3 Estates of Condakerlah, Velchoor Codoor, and Cotoor under Management for arrears of Revenue for Fasly 1251 A.D. 1841-42 and stating that as

/Here enter 11th December 1843 No. 612/

as the Board see no present of benefit to the Government or the Proprietor by retaining them longer under Management they have resolved at once to bring them to sale for arrears.

From Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for orders an Extract from the Board's Proceedings respecting the sale for arrears of Peishcush of the Estates of Condakorlah, Cotoor, and Velchoor Codoor in the District of Vizagapatam.

/Here enter 21st December 1843 No. 620/

Para 1. Under the circumstances detailed in the Correspondence recorded above respecting the present condition and resources, as well as the liabilities of the Proprietor, of the Estates of Condakorlah, Velchoor Codoor, and Cotoor, in the District of Vizagapatam, now under attachment for arrears, the Most Noble the Governor in Council concurs in opinion with the Board of Revenue that these three Estates should be advertised for sale, and directs

directs that the Collector may be instructed to bid for them on account of Government to the extent of the aggregate arrears on the whole.—

2.— The proposition to put up the Estate of Condakorlah first as approved.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / G. D: Drury.
Chief Secretary.

(6-7)

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing orders of Government and of the Boards Proceedings to which it refers be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Vizagapatam with reference to the letters dated the 30th November last and the 3d Ultimo, and that the Advertisement received with the latter communication for the sale of the Three Estates of Condakorlah, Velchoor Codoor, and Cotoor be published in the Board's Office, the date of sale being altered to Monday the 18th March.—

Mr. Arbuthnot will put up the Condakorlah Estate first and will bid on account of Government to the extent of the aggregate arrears on the whole.—

Extract

× 21st Dec. 1843.

In Consn. 18th Dec. 1843

(8)

From the Chief Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 3d February 1844.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of North Arcot for Fusly 1252.
A. D. 1841/42

Here enter 4th Jany. 1844 No. 13.

Para 1. The total demand for fusly 1252 under all heads of Extra Revenue in the District of North Arcot was Rupees 4,69,550—3—11 exceeding that of fusly 1251 in the sum of Rupees 13,807—13— The Collections within the year amounted to Rupees 4,51,499—15—9 leaving uncollected at its close the sum of Rupees 18,056—4—2 which is considerably in excess of the balance outstanding at the same period of the preceding year, arising chiefly from an account with the abkary renter remaining unadjusted.—

Fus. y 1251 Bal. 5035—9—3
1252 " 18056—4—2
Excess " 13,020—10—11

Para 7.

2.— Including the collection of arrears

and

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

and deducting charges, the net Revenue
on Rupees 40,18,80—8— exhibited on a
comparison with fusly 1251 an increase
of only Rupees 869—2—9.—

3. The Board of Revenue will be
pleased to call for a report on the outstand-
-ing balances of former fuslies adverted
to in para 8 of the foregoing proceedings.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury.
Chief Secretary.

8-9
Resolution thereon.

Resolved That a Copy of
the foregoing Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation be transmitted for
the information and guidance of
the Acting Collector of North Arcot
with reference to the Board's Proceedings
dated 4th January last. Mr. Babington
will be pleased to furnish the report
on the outstanding balances referred to in Para 2.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

10
From the Chief Secy
to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 5th February 1844.

Read again Extract from
the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated
19th October and recorded in Diary & Consulta-
-tion 5th December 1843 No. 1261.

Read also the following
letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board
of Revenue.

Stating with refer-
-ence to the Extract from the Mi-
-nutes of Consultation under
date 2d December last that it has
been usual in the transfer of
Property on the Neilgherries to
specify the price paid but
that it appears to the Board
not to be absolutely necessary
as the terms of the lease do not
require it.

(Here enter 4th Jany 1844 No. 5)

The Most Noble the Governor
in Council authorizes the Register of Government
Grants to issue the usual Deed in ratification
of the transfer by Mr. Ashton of his Lease of
* Cawnies 32— # the Land at Ootacamund on the Neilgher-
-ries to Captain F. C. Cotton subject to
the same conditions and the same rates of
Assessment as those originally authorized.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary
Resolution

To The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue

(10-11) Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants with reference to his letter dated 10th October last No. 82.

Read the following Resolution & Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d January 1844.

(12) From the Chief Sect. to Government.

(From Board 8th Inst.)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Canara Collectorate the wastage of Tobacco which occurred on Invoice No. 1252 1842/43 Amounting to candies 20-18-6-15½ Twenty Maunds Sixteen Pounds Nine and Rupees Ninety five fifteen and a half.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

(12-13) Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Principal Collector of Canara with reference to Mr. Blair's letter dated 29th December last.

(14) From Government.

Read Rules framed for the guidance of the Agent in Kurnool and Bungapully.

Ordered

(14-15) Order thereon.

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Read again letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 4th and entered in Consultation 11th Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To,
The Collector of
Vizagapatam.

(16) To the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.

Sir,

Communicating instructions on certain points connected with the levy of duty on Sugar. 1st Dec.r 11th Jan.y 1844.

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipts of your letter dated 4th Instant requesting instructions on several points connected with the Sugar duties.

2. The general inland duty on Sugar as on other Articles enumerated in the Tariff is 5. per cent which is levied under Section VII. Clause 1 Regulation I of 1812 the export duty to Madras or elsewhere is 8 per Cent, the Certificate of the payment of the inland duty being received in part thereof. See Section XI Clause 2 Regulation II of 1812 this regulation contains no exemption in favor of Sugar but on Sugar exported to the United Kingdom a duty of 2½ per cent only is chargeable as prescribed by Section X. Regulation III of 1816 and Section VII Clause 2 Regulation VII of 1819 prescribes that on Sugar and other goods exported to the United

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Kingdom such drawback shall be allow-
=ed on the inland or transit duty previ-
=ously paid as shall reduce the amount
retained to 2½ per cent.

3. The mode of fixing the valu-
=luation by which the rates of duty on sugar
are to be regulated will be found ex-
=plained in my Circular letter of
23rd of March last.

4. As regards the duty to
be levied on Sugar manufactured from
Jaggery on which the duty has been
before collected the Board will suspend
their final decision until they have
more exact information regarding
the proportion which the Coarse bears
to the refined material, in the mean-
=time there is no objection to your
allowing the amount of duty paid on
a Candy of Jaggery in part payment
of the duty on each Candy of Sugar
manufactured therefrom if the
usual nowasrah is adduced in
support of the payment.

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
5th February 1844.

} Signed C. C. Lovell
Sr. Secretary

Rd.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Read the following letters.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Bd of Revenue
Fort St. George

17

From the Collr of Vizagapatam

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknow-
=ledge the receipt of your letter dated the
2d instant transmitting an Extract from the
Minutes of Consultation dated the 28th Decem-
=ber 1843. in which the Collectors and
Magistrates are required to replace by
temporary establishments of armed
Peons all Military Guards that can
be dispensed with without risk to the Trea-
=suries under their charge or to the tran-
=quillity of this District.

2. The only Treasury in this Dis-
=trict over which there is a Military Guard
is the Hoozoor one and as that is situated
under the same roof as the Place of Arms of
the Regiment stationed at Vizagapatam
the dispensing with the Guard over it
would I imagine afford but little relief,
and as the amount of cash is frequently very
considerable I do not think it would be
safe to trust the protection of it to a tem-
=porary Establishment of armed Peons.

3. There are two field Detach-
=ments in this District which are so posted

as

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

as to guard the most important passes leading from the plains into the hills. It is in a great measure to be attributed to the establishment of these Posts that the Villages in the plains adjoining the hills have of late years so entirely escaped attacks from the hill people and their removal might seriously affect the tranquillity of the Country.

4. Besides I think it would be an unwise measure to arm temporarily any of the people. Establishments thus temporarily entertained have frequently in these districts occasioned considerable disturbance on their being disbanded.

5. Under these circumstances I do not think it would be safe to dispense with any of the Detachments though, if the Military Authorities see no objection, their strength might be temporarily reduced.

Vizagapatam District, Calcondah, Collectors and Magistrates Circuit, Cutcherry 2d January 1844.

Signed W. Ch. Arbuthnot
Collector & Magistrate

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

18

From the Coll. of Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to an Extract from

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

from the Minutes of Consultation of Government bearing date as noted in the Margin, I have the honor to furnish two Statements shewing the strength of the Detachments from the 10th Regiment N. I. Stationed at Contapully and Rajapoor respectively, and the guards furnished by each for revenue purposes to different outposts.

2. The small parties at present stationed in the Villages of Madavaram, Mylaveram and Chintalapoody might, I conceive, be dispensed with altogether, but at Sirvoor, Bezouarah and Jaggiapettah, it would be necessary to entertain a small establishment of armed Peons, for the protection of the treasuries at those places and for escorting remittances to Masulipatam, which duties have heretofore been performed by Military guards.

3. I propose therefore to station at the abovementioned places the following guards of peons that proposed for Sirvoor being rather the strongest in consideration of that place being situated in the jungles on the very frontiers of the District and at a considerable distance from the Hoozoor Station.

2131

Fort St. George 5th February 1814.

Extract to continue Lance Naick Sewnoor on the Pay of Sepoy from the 1st Instant.

Sewnoor 2 @ 7 Pagodas 14 Pagodas
2 Naicks @ 5½ Pagodas 11 Pagodas
10 Sepoys @ 5 Pagodas 50 Pagodas
1 @ 4½ Pagodas 4½ Pagodas
Bearer 1 @ 4 Pagodas 4 Pagodas
1 @ 3½ Pagodas 3½ Pagodas
Total 20 Pagodas per month

Extract of a Letter from the Acting Deputy Paymaster General dated the 2nd Instant.

I have the honor to acquaint you that I have this day paid to the Detachment of Sepoys under the Command of Lieutenant Hall, the Arrears of Pay specified in the Margin, from the 1st to the 31st January 1814 inclusive.

Lieutenant 1 @ 54 Rupees each 54 Rupees
Subadar 1 @ 42 Rupees each 42 Rupees
Jemadar 1 @ 34 Rupees each 34 Rupees
Havildar 2 @ 27 Rupees each 54 Rupees
Naigues 2 @ 24 Rupees each 48 Rupees
Drummers 2 @ 24 Rupees each 48 Rupees
Privates 20 @ 22 Rupees each 440 Rupees
Total 730 Rupees

Ordered that the above Extract be communicated to the Paymaster General of the Army, and that the Amount of the Arrears specified in the Margin be charged in the Pay Abstract of the Detachment for the Month of January 1814.

Ordered that the Commanding Officer of the Detachment of Sepoys under the Command of Lieutenant Hall be informed that the Service appointed for the Detachment with a view to obtain the Services of Lieutenant Hall for the Trial of Lance Naick Mannoo under the Charge of Mutiny, is to be considered as terminated, and that the Detachment is to return to Condapilly

2133

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Present State of the 4th Regiment N.I. on Command at

Pay Assistant

Jamadar	Sobadar	Havildar	Naique	Buglers	Sepoys
1	1	1	4	1	68
1	1	1	3	1	28
1	1	1	3	1	28
1	1	1	3	1	28

2135. Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

acquitted and forwarded to the Commis-
Judge and the number of
appeals preferred and dis-
-posed of under the provisions
of Regulations IX of 1822 and
VII of 1828 during the 2nd half year
Ending 31st December last.-

Order on the 3 above-
-mentioned Returns } Ordered to lie
(20-23)
over until the receipt of the Returns
from the other Dis-
-tricts to when an abstract
of the whole will be prepared and
Submitted.

2136 Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

(24)

Proceedings.
Relative to the
Management
of the Extra
Sources of
Revenue in the
District of Chingle-
put for Fusly 1252.
A.D. 1842/43.

20th Dec. to Coll. 28th Dec. 1843.

Submitted for the orders of Govern-
-ment.-

Read letter from the Collector of
Chingleput submitting his report on the
Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue
in his District for Fusly 1252
A.D. 1842/43.-

/Heere enter-No. 85./

Fl. 1251 63,762 8 4-2-
Fl. 1252 55,061 2 4-8-
Decrease 8,701 5 5-6-

1. The gross Revenue of the Fusly under
report has fallen below that of the year
antecedent by Rupees 8,701 5- 5- 6 which is
attributable in toto to the heavy decrease
in the sales of Salt. There has also been a
decrease in the patturn of the Sayer and
Stamp revenue, but this is more than com-
-pensated by the increase in Abkarry, Small
Farms and Moturpha.-

Salt.
Fl. 1251 4 71,500-10-0
Fl. 1252 3 70,539-12-0
Decrease 1,000 0-14-0

2. Of the decrease in the Salt
Revenue Rs. 1,000 0-14-0. that accruing on
Salt exported to Calcutta amounts to Rs. 3,457.
and is ascribed to the want of Tonnage, the
Vessels usually employed in the carrying trade
having been taken up to convey Coolies to the
Isle of France- Of the remainder (63503-14)
which has accrued in the home and inland sales
Rs. 7,533-14 is the Sum stolen from the Vadas-
-sery Salt Cutcherry which had not
at

at that time been brought to account. The actual decrease in the sales is therefore Rs. 55,970. which is for the most part attributable to the heavy rains of January and May, and to the prevalence of Cholera and mortality amongst cattle, which checked the resort of Brinjarries and other dealers to the Chingleput Pans. Some small portion of the decrease in the Southern Cotaurs is however imputed to the difference in Shape of the Mercal in use in the Jaghire, and of that by which Salt is sold in the Southern Division of Arcot. the latter being, tho' a Shallower measure of greater diameter than the Chingleput Mercal, the measurement by it is more to the advantage of the purchaser, Supposing in both cases the Salt to be heaped, and not struck level with the rim of the measure. From a letter from the Inspecter General of Civil Estimates under date June 24th 1820, the Board perceive that a Standard Mercal of the same dimension as that Sent to Chingleput, Viz of 8 inches diameter and height sufficient to make the contents equal to 828 1/3 cubic inches was, at the same time furnished to South Arcot. They are not aware that a measure of another form has since been introduced into that District

+ 31st May 1819.

District. the capacity of the Mercal being however the same, its Shape is of no importance provided it be in all cases struck, and not heaped as directed in the Salt rules P. 19. The Collector of South Arcot will be directed to enforce the Striking of the Mercal at his Pans. if not at present the general practice. but from what is stated in P. 4 of the Report under review, that the Sales in South Arcot for the past fusly do not exceed the average of former years, the Board are led to the conclusion that the decrease in the Chingleput inland Sale for Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 is sufficiently to be accounted for by the Special and local causes mentioned in P. 2, without Supposing any irregularity in the Salt transactions of the neighbouring district. It should here be remarked that the Principal Collector of Nellore in his Extra Revenue Report for Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 Para 6 states that the falling off in the Sales at the Doogarauzapatam Cotaurs of his District "is reported "to have arisen from the Venkatagherry traders" "having proceeded to the Chingleput pans for" "the Sake of the more favorable measurement" "which they understood to be given there." The Board mention this in order that the Collectors may be enabled to correct any deviation from the

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

the established mode of measurement at the Northern ports of his District, should the report above alluded to rest upon any good foundation.-

Salt charges.

3- From the smaller quantity of salt manufactured and sold during the past season, the charges are less than those incurred for Fusly 1251 by Rupees 39,992-15-11.

Fy1251-10,5830-12-a
Fy1252-7,4837-12-1.
Decrease 39,992-15-11.

4- The Board are gratified, perceiving from P.6 of the report that the salt Revenue for the current year exhibits a decided improvement as compared with that of the Fusly now under comment.-

Customs.

5. There is a decrease of Rs. 1,406-5-8 in the Land Customs for Fusly 1252. of which Rupees 815 is the loss on the rent of the Betel farm, the storm of May 1842 having injured the Betel plantations and occasioned a decrease in the tenders for the ensuing Fusly 1252. the remainder arises mainly from a falling off in the duty on piece goods caused by the temporary suspension of the Manufacture conducted by those weavers who have recently been assessed to the Loom Tax. The decrease in the Land Customs has been nearly counterbalanced by the amount Rs. 1,314-1-6 collected as Sea Customs (not usually an item of Extra Revenue in the Jaghire) on the cargo of Vessels wrecked on the Coast of Chinglepat during this

Fy1251-56,681-7-6.
Fy1252-53,275-1-10.
Decrease 1,406-5-8.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Storm in May last. the decrease on the Customs generally is thus reduced to Rupees 92-4-2.

Ab Karry
Fy1251-67,500-a-a
Fy1252-76,000-a-a
Increase 8,500-a-a

6- The Ab Karry has been rented for three years or from Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 to 1254 1844/45 at an annual rent exceeding by Rupees 8,500. the Settlements of this branch of Revenue for the previous year. The demand has been realized in full.-

Small Farms.
Fy1251-15,663-12-7
Fy1252-15,700-11-9
Increase 36-15-2

7. The trifling increase (36-15-2) in Small Farms &c. does not call for remark- but the Board observe that there is a considerable balance outstanding under this head.-

Moturpha
Fy1251-13,730-3-7
Fy1252-21,252-11-1
Increase 7,522-7-6.

8- The increase in the Moturpha Rupees 7,522-7-6- is the result of the loom tax recently imposed on those weavers who had hitherto been exempt from that impost. it had been hoped that the demand on this head might have been apportioned among themselves by the parties assessed to it. but their contumacious refusal to take any part in such arrangement, has compelled the Collector to settle the rates himself- This, he states has been done with the greatest care, and after prolonged enquiry regarding

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

regarding the description of cloth woven by each class, and their average profits. The rates now fixed vary from 1 to 4½ Rupees, being lower than those originally proposed by Mr. Maclean which ranged from 2 to 5 Rupees. but this reduction was found to be expedient. From the increased number of looms since the date of Mr. Maclean's report, the aggregate amount which will be realized from the extension of the tax exceeds that which he had calculated upon in the sum of Rupees 5,029-. The demands for half of this amount was however foregone in the past year, under instructions from the Board. the orders for assessing the additional Looms not having been issued until the middle of the Fusly - of the remaining half, little or nothing has yet been collected. As the imposition of this tax has been approved by the Board and Sanctioned by Government, and as the rates seem to be moderate, there would appear to be no reason for further delay. Mr. Frease will therefore be pleased

Mr. Maclean's Account
3634 Looms — Rs. 10,281-2-13-3 average
Present Account
5410 Do. — 15,310-2-13-3
Excess 1776 — 5029

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

pleased to take measures for the prompt realization of the balance outstanding.-

Stamps
F1251-12552-2-6
F1252-10530-8-6
Decrease 2021-10-0

9- From smaller demand for Stamp papers, and reduced extent of miscellaneous business in the Civil Court, the Stamp Revenue has fallen Rs. 2021-10 below that of Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42-

Old Balances
Old arrears ideet prior to F1250-211-11-7-
arrears of F1250-420-15-6-
Do. of F1251-916-12-2-
1549-7-3-

10 From Statement B the Board learn that the amount of arrears at present due on account of former Fuslies is as shewn in the margin Rupees 1549-7-3. but these balances are not alluded to in the body of the report.-

Gross Collections
1251-628.857-1-4
1252-531131-0-5
Decrease 97720-0-11

11- The Gross collections current extra and arrears amount to Rupees 531130-0-5- the charges to Rupees 83,349-0-3. leaving a net revenue for the year under review of Rupees 447782-0-2 which is Rupees 66338-8-2 short of the net outturn of the preceding Fusly-

Charges
1251-114730-9-0
1252-83349-0-3
Decrease 31381-8-9

Net Revenue
1251-514120-8-4
1252 447782-0-2
Decrease 66338-8-2

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the Same be forwarded to the Collector of Chinglepat for his information and guidance.

Chinglepat.

2143

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

Chingleput - Fusly 1252.

		Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Salt	Demand	4,71,500 10 "	3,70,539 12 "	" " "	1,00,960 14 "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	4,71,500 10 "	3,70,539 12 "	" " "	1,00,960 14 "
	Extra Do.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Arrears	228 11 7	158 " 9	" " "	70 10 10
	Total	4,71,729 5 7	3,70,697 12 9	" " "	1,01,031 8 10
	Charges	105830 12 -	74837 121	" " "	30992 15 11
	Net Revenue	3,65,898 9 7	2,95,860 " 8	" " "	70038 8 11
Sayer	Demand	56,681 7 6	55,275 1 10	" " "	1,406 5 8
	Balance on the 11th July	1,921 7 2	" " "	" " "	1,921 7 2
	Current Collection	54760 " 4	55,275 1 10	515 1 6	" " "
	Extra Do.	167 13 2	78 1 7	" " "	89 11 7
	Arrears	979 14 1	1,921 7 2	941 9 1	" " "
	Total	55,907 11 7	57,274 10 7	1,366 15 "	" " "
	Charges	8,111 15 6	7,899 7 2	" " "	212 8 4
	Net Revenue	47,795 12 1	49,375 3 5	1,579 7 4	" " "
Sea Customs	Demand	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	" " "	1,314 1 6	1,314 1 6	" " "
	Extra Do.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	" " "	1,314 1 6	1,314 1 6	" " "
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	" " "	1,314 1 6	1,314 1 6	" " "
Ab Karry	Demand	67,500 " "	76000 " "	8,300 " "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	5,540 1 4	19,269 5 4	13,729 4 "	" " "
	Current Collection	61,959 14 8	56,730 10 8	" " "	5,229 4 "
	Extra Do.	" " "	" 65	" 65	" " "
	Arrears	2,363 6 1	2,052 10 7	" " "	310 11 6
	Total	64,323 4 9	58,783 11 8	" " "	5,539 9 1
	Charges	1907 2	953 7	" " "	953 7
	Net Revenue	64,132 13 7	58,688 8 1	" " "	5,444 5 6
Sundry Small Farms & Licenses	Demand	15,663 12 7	15,700 11 9	36 15 2	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	8,337 4 3	5,308 6 8	" " "	3,028 13 7
	Current Collection	7,326 8 4	10,392 5 1	3065 12 9	" " "
	Extra Do.	" 1 11	3 " 2	2 14 3	" " "
	Arrears	6,175 13 "	7,766 6 10	1,590 9 10	" " "
	Total	13,502 7 3	18,161 12 1	4,659 4 10	" " "
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	13,502 7 3	18,161 12 1	4,659 4 10	" " "

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

July 1251 July 1252 Increase Decrease

	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Demand	11,851	2	6	10,061	4	6	1,789	14	6
Balance on the 11th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Current Collection	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Extra Do.	11,851	2	6	10,061	4	6	1,789	14	6
Arrears Do.	701	"	"	469	4	"	231	12	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	12,552	2	6	10,530	8	6	2,021	10	6
Charges	597	8	4	516	9	5	80	12	11
Net Revenue	11,954	12	2	10,013	15	1	1,940	13	1
Demand	13,730	3	7	2,252	11	1	11,522	7	6
Balance on the 11th July	5,204	5	3	12,781	15	11	8,552	10	8
Current Collection	7,525	14	4	8,490	11	2	964	12	10
Extra Do.	9	10	"	14	2	4	4	8	4
Arrears	3,300	9	4	5,863	9	10	2,563	5	6
Total	10,836	1	8	14,368	7	4	3,532	5	8
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Net Revenue	10,836	1	8	14,368	7	4	3,532	5	8
Demand	30,927	4	2	55,143	10	8	24,216	6	6
Balance on the 11th July	22,003	2	"	37,339	11	11	15,336	9	11
Current Collection	8,924	2	2	17,863	14	9	8,939	12	11
Extra Do.	1,107	4	8	1,722	15	3	615	10	7
Arrears	12,819	10	6	17,604	2	5	4,784	7	11
Total	28,851	4	3	53,131	"	5	24,279	11	2
Charges	597	8	4	516	9	5	80	12	11
Net Revenue	28,253	11	11	52,614	6	"	24,360	14	1
Demand	74,120	8	4	83,349	"	3	9,228	7	11
Balance on the 11th July	44,737	2	"	51,381	8	9	6,644	8	9
Current Collection	16,338	8	2	31,967	7	6	15,628	15	4
Extra Do.	1,107	4	8	1,722	15	3	615	10	7
Arrears	12,819	10	6	17,604	2	5	4,784	7	11
Total	74,120	8	4	83,349	"	3	9,228	7	11
Charges	597	8	4	516	9	5	80	12	11
Net Revenue	73,522	7	6	82,832	6	10	9,309	15	7

Stamps - Demand
Motarfahad - Arrears
Total
Charges
Net Revenue

2145

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 16th ultimo and entered in Consultation 1st Instant.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 16th ultimo relative to the proposed extension of the limits within which the Sale of Spirituous liquors should be under the control of the Military authority at St. Thomas Mount.-

The Board request that you will inform them in what Villages within the additional Mile which the limits were to be extended there are arrack, and Toddy Shops, or arrack Stores or Godowns, and the number of them in each Village.-

25

To the Coll. of Chingleput
In Cons. 1st Feb. 1844.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th February 1844.-

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Pro. Sec.

Read the following register of Permits.-

Register

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Order thereon

28-29

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem dated 4th and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo.-

Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted with the following address.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

30

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem under date 4th January 1844 submitting the draft of an answer which he proposes to file in defence of a suit No. 41 of 1843 instituted against himself, and other Defendants in the Subordinate Court of the Zillah of Salem.-

2- The Plaintiff in the present action seeks to recover three spots of Poonjah land in the Village of Pullepully attached to the Totiumpully Mootah of which he states himself to have been wrongfully deprived by the Mootadar and his renters in the year Verody or Fusly 1240.- The ex Mootah

Submitting a letter from the Act. Pl. Coll. of Salem enclosing draft of an answer which he proposes to file in defence of a suit instituted against himself and others in the Subordinate Court of the Zillah of Salem & recommending that the Act. Pl. Coll. may be empowered to conduct the defence at the public expense.-

Ltr Cons. 18th February 1844

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

Mootah subsequently reverted to Government for arrears but the Principal Collector tho' applied to by Plaintiff on the subject maintained the parties then in possession and granted them Puttahs. Plaintiff has therefore brought his action against the Head of the District the Mootahdar, his renter, and the parties now in occupation of the land to which he lays claim.-

3- The acting Principal Coll. in his answer states, that the Plaintiff gave up the land in question by Razeenamah to the Proprietor of the Mootah in the Month of April of Fusly 1240, and that it was in consequence made over to the parties who now hold it - that the whole Mootah was sold for arrears of public revenue in September of Fusly 1241, and was purchased on account of Government, but as that no complaint was preferred by the Plaintiff to the local revenue authorities until the February following when the Principal Collector held himself precluded from interfering, in as much as no representation had been made to him until nearly a year after the alleged forcible dispossession

2146 Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

26

Register of Permits issued for Salt exported from Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput during the Month of December 1843.-

No. of Certificate	Date of exportation	Name of the Vessel	Name of the Commander	Quantity of Salt exported	Remarks
26	From 14th to 19th Dec. 1843	Ship Candachar	Captain John Ridley	10800	To Calcutta
	Chingleput Collr's Cutcherry Pullecarney 6th Jany. 1844	E. E. Fr. (Signed) A. Freese Collector			

Ordered that the foregoing Register of Permits be submitted with the following address.-

26-27

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To Government

Para 1. With reference to my letter of the 27th November last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for transmission to Bengal the enclosed Copy of the Register of a Permit issued for the exportation of Salt to Calcutta from the Port of Chingleput during the Month of December 1843. Viz.

Bengal Maunds	10,800

2. Adding this to the quantity reported in my letter above referred to, the total exportation to the end of Dec. on account of the Bengal Indent for the year 1843 is Bengal Maunds 617199-7 p.

Revenue Board Office -
Fort St. George -
5th February 1844 -

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary

Read the following Statement

Statement

28

2146 Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Statement of salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to 30th January 1844.-

Quantity of salt remaining for exportation	Quantity already exported	Remarks
Quantity not ready for exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready for exportation	
32920	30930	
		The salt may be paid for at the Public Treasury at Covelong by the last of March next. Under an Order issued by the Collector at Chingleput, dated 1st Instant.
		Ordered that the Collector of Chingleput do report the Quantity of Salt exported from Covelong in the Month of December 1843
For 1843		
Bengal Maunds	26,080	
	59,000	

C. C. Fr. (Signed) A. Freese
Collector.

Chingleput Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 2nd February 1844

Ordered

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

dispossession, nor had the Plaintiff offered any objection either to the issue of Certificates to the parties then in occupation or to its cultivation by them. — The Acting Principal Collector submits that this this decision was just and reasonable, but that had Plaintiff been dissatisfied with it he should have brought his action immediately, in place of which he has allowed 12 years to elapse without instituting legal proceedings. On these grounds the Answer prays that the suit may be dismissed with Costs.

4- The Answer proposed to be put in by Mr. Lockhart appears to the Board fully to meet all the allegations contained in the Plaint and they have therefore to recommend that the Acting Principal Collector may be empowered to conduct the defence of the suit at the public expence.

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
5th February 1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem dated 28th December and entered in Consultation 25th Ultimo. —

Proceedings thereon; The lands referred to in the foregoing letter should in the first instance be advertised for sale in accordance with the provisions of Section XI Regulation VII XXVI of 1802 and Section IV Regulation III of 1830. The Acting Principal Collector will therefore be pleased to transmit an Advertisement for publication in the Board's office — a form for the advertisement is enclosed. —

Notice

2153

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(32)

From W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Acting Princl. Collector
of Salem. —

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 7th Instant referring me to your communication of the 16th November 1843 on the subject of two Petitions forwarded for my report, I have the honor to state that I reported thereon in my letter of the 29th Ultimo, despatched on the 2nd Instant. —

I take this opportunity to forward a Petition presented to me by Rajah Gownden, the half share holder of the Dividimungalum Mootah, and another from Comaravalay Gownden the purchaser of the Mootah, and to report that the amount of balance due by the remaining joint Mootahdars, have been paid up on the 1st Instant and kept in deposit, awaiting the early instructions of the Board on the subject. —

et No. 1752 of 1786 of 1843. —

Salem Zeillah
Princl. Collr. Cutchery
9th January 1844

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Actg Princl. Collector

To,

2152

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Notice is hereby given that the undermentioned Lands will be sold in public Auction at the Office of the Collr. of Salem at 10 o'clock on the ——— 1844 in liquidation of the arrears of Revenue due by the General defaulters hereunder named. — The Lands will be sold to the highest bidder a deposit of 15 per Cent by the purchaser must be made on the spot and the remainder of the purchase money must be paid into the Huzoor or Salem Treasury within 20 days after the date of sale. — In failure whereof the Lands will be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

By Order of the Collector.

Fees

Amount

Remarks

Note. The advertisement should be fixed up in some conspicuous place of the Village of the Zillah in the Office of the Collector in the Principal Town of the Talook and in the Office of the Board of Revenue during a period not less than a Months previously to the day of sale as Section XI Regulation XXVII of 1802 and Section IV Regulation III of 1793. —

Fasli

Village

Talook

Names of the General defaulters

Nature of Arrears

Extent of the lands to be sold

Proprietor's Name

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

A.

To W. C. Lockhart Esqre.
Acting Principal Collector of
Salem

The humble Petition of
Rajah Gownden, one of the
Mootahdars of
Dindimungalum in the
Talook of Purmattee.

Most humble Sheweth.-

With due respect, I beg leave to state, that three out of Six Villages attached to the Mootah of Dindimungalum, were sold to me for four thousand Rupees by the Mootah-dars Mootoos awmy Gownden, and two others who on receiving the money executed to me a deed of sale; and in the year Seyah, they got my name also entered with their names in the Cirkar Register, from which time to last fusly, I enjoyed the said three Villages, and the said persons the other three Villages, afterwards owing to some disagreement between me, and the said persons, I wanted them to have my three Villages registered in my name separately in the Circar according to the Deed of sale they executed to me, but they refused to do so, and as they

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

they failed to pay to the Circar the money Collected by them for the Margaly Kist of last fusly for the three Villages, which they were in possession of, the whole Mootah was distrained by Circar, and when your honor was about to sell it in public auction on the 5th October of the Current year, the whole balance due against the Mootah up to that date was 5,039 Rupees, and I was ready to pay the balance, due by me for my share of the Mootah, but the other Mootahdars having said that they had no money in hand to pay the balance due by them for their three Villages, your honor in the presence of great many persons, put the Mootah in Auction, when it was purchased by other persons, for a fair price of 9000 Rs. and this sum was fully collected from the purchaser and placed in the Treasury.-

As the Mootah has been sold for a proper price, as will be shewn by the undermentioned circumstances, and I was fully aware that any objection if raised, on that sale, will of no use, I remained quiet without making any objections, or addressing a Petition to the Board on the subject. While the case is thus, the said Mootoosawmy Gownden and others who were my joint Mootahdars have addressed a Petition to the Board, mentioning false circumstances merely

merely to see me sustain a further loss. — The Board without ascertaining the truth or otherwise of the contents of the above Petition, expressed their sentiments to the effect, that they are not inclined to have the Mootah sold to others, if the late Moottahdars are willing to pay the balance due by them, and that the Mootah was sold for a small sum. —

Most Honored Sir, I beg leave to state, that the Beriz of the three Villages, sold to me for 4,000 Rupees is 3,300 and odd Rupees, while the Beriz of the three Villages is 3,900 and odd Rupees, shewing difference between the former and the latter of about 600 Rupees. — The Beriz of the entire Mootah without reference to the above is 7,200 and odd Rupees. — The price of an entire Mootah if calculated with reference to the price of 4000 Rupees, for which they sold three Villages to me, amounts to 8,000 Rupees, whereas, the sum for which the Mootah was sold in Auction is 9000 Rupees, shewing a favorable increase of

of

of 1000 Rupees; under these circumstances it would not be improper to say that the price of 9000 Rupees is a fair and reasonable one. —

Besides these, it is now four Months since the public sale took place at Durmapoory and from the time, that the Mootah was distrained to the present date, I have sustained a loss of 2000 Rupees for want of management of the cultivation affairs of my three Villages If the Mootah is reput in possession of the proprietors on payment of the whole balance due on it, who should stand answerable for the loss sustained by me, and therefore I am not willing to pay the balance due for my three Villages though I am rightly considered a proprietor of half of the Mootah. There is no reason whatever for my joint Moottahdars to pay without my concurrence, the whole balance due on the entire Mootah, nor is there reason for the Circar to receive the money from them, as it is contrary to the sentiments of the Board, as well as to the Legal Proceedings in force. — It is not stated in the order

Sent

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Sent your honor by the Board, that the b
=lance of the Mootah shall be received
my joint Mootahdars and the Mootah
in possession of the proprietors. The Bo
have only stated, that the Petition addr
by my joint Mootahdars is forwarded to
honor, and that your honor shall take
it into your honors consideration. The
falsehood which the said petition is
composed of is well known to your
honor, and your entreaty servants as
well as to several Mootahdars and
Merchants your honor will dispose
perceive from the foregoing circumsta
the propriety of bringing to the notice
the Board all the legal Proceedings
held in the case before your honor, shewing
the utter falsity of the said petition. Inst
=stead of doing so if your honor will
according to the said false Petition re
=ceive the entire balance from my joint
Mootahdars, and make the Mootah
jaury it will only give room for the Board
to throw suspicion on the case in qu
question

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

question, and give credit to all the false an
circumstances stated in the said Petition.-

I therefore request your
honor, will report all the circumstances
attending the case to the Board forward
=ing at the same time a copy of this pe
=tition, and untill their orders are re
=ceived thereon, order the Mootah not
to be made jaury, and the whole balance,
which the said persons pay Kept in
deposit.-

In doing this act of justice
I shall ever pray.-

Salem 2nd January 1844 } (Signed) [illegible]

Ordered to lie over.-

Order thereon.- (32-33)

(Vide Letter to Salem 10th February)

From the Acting Principal Collector of Salem } Read Statement of
Contingent Charges not exceeding 21 Rupees each incurred for Fasly 1252.- (34)

Ordered

2160

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

(3435)

Order thereon.—

Ordered to lie over.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 13th December and entered In Consultation 8th ult.mo.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

(36)

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue

In Con.n 8 Jany. 1844

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 15th December last regarding the Enams held for the performance of certain ceremonies at the Durgah of Nutter Wullee and Coodlee Saib.

2.

Both the Enams are Mash.=rooty, and the Board are therefore of opinion that you should not interfere with them at all so long as the services for which they have been granted continue to be performed.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
5th February 1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg Secretary

Read

2161.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(37)

From the Collr of Sir,
Madura.—

Submitting names and testimonials of four Candidates for the Vacant Head Sheristadarship of Madura.—

1.

On the 19th I had the honor to receive your letter of the 15th Instant, enclosing I should select, at an early date, a fit person to succeed Sashagiery Rao as Head Sheristadar, and submit my nomination for the Board's Sanction, and the order seemed to reflect upon me that the nomination had not been long ago submitted.—

2.

The confusion into which the Collectorate had been thrown during the long pending investigation did indeed make the most extensive and urgently necessary, as the Board are probably well aware, from the irregular, unpunctual, and procrastinated returns they have received since my restoration to office, but when I consider the Government intimation of my having lost their confidence, coupled with their order to the Board to make arrangements for his (Sashagiery Rao's) employ in another District, it never occurred to me I should be permitted to exercise the right and privilege

Mem: Con.n 18th July 1843 Paras 9 & 10.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

privilege generally considered to belong to Collector
This then is now the cause that I have taken
steps towards selection, and perhaps not
sufficient to enable me to meet any objection
the Board might raise to the one I should
select, and that I may be as little as possible
longer deprived of the service of the most im
portant Officer under me, I beg to submit
for the choice of the Board, four Candidates
the order in which I am inclined to give
preference is as per Memo in the sealed packet
containing their testimonials, and which I beg
may be hereafter returned; but at the same
time, I beg to say I shall be content to accept
any one of them, and if their respective qua
lifications be known to the Board, I shall
be obliged by their selecting the one of most
integrity and ability; for I am without per
sonal Knowledge of any one of them, and, a
I said before, my enquiries have not extended
so far as they would have done, had more
time been allowed me.—

3. Sashagherry Rao's pay was 437½
Rupees a Month, the lowest pay of a sheristadar
is Rupees 280, and taking into consideration
the saving that has occurred from the
vacancy of the pay of the Head Office

Since

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

since the 14th October last, (the Office itself
has been vacant since 14th October 1842,) and
the very increased labour and difficulties
of the office, met by the Hoozoor Naib
Sheristadar, Trimal Rao, during that period,
I have the honor to request his pay may
be made up from the saving to the rate
of 280 (from 175) Rupees a Month till such
period as a Head Sheristadar assumes his office,
and at the same time I would pray that
from the same saving may be paid an
additional 15 Rupees a Month to Anantha
Rao one of the two Head Coomastahs, deduct
ing five Months and three days during
which he was doing the duty of a Tahsildar,
and at the same rate to the second Head
Coomastah Rugoonatha Rao from sus
pension of the late Head Sheristadar till
another takes his place.— These are both
men of very prolonged service, the first
having completed 28 years and 8 Months
and the last 40 years in the Company's
service, and an additional 10 Rupees a
Month to Venkata Rao who off
iciated for the First Head
Coomastah

Pay 40 Rupees a Month

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Goomastah.-

Madura
30th January 1844.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Prin.l Collector.

No. 1

Memo. In reference to para 2 of letter of this date.-

Candidates for succession to the Head Sheristadarship of the Collectorate of Madura.-

1 Purgapoor Seenavasa Rao Tahsildar of Salem.-
2 Trimul Rao Hoozoor Nail Sheristadar of Salem.-
3 Gonda Pundit Head Sheristadar of the Bengalore Division of Mysoor.-
4 Kistna Rao District Moonsiff of Combaconum.-

Madura
30th January 1844.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pl. Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To the Principal Collector of Madura.-

37-38
To the Pl. Collr of Madura

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

In Con. 5 Feby 1844.

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th Ultimo forwarding the testimonials of four Candidates for the vacant office of Head Sheristadar.

In noticing the length of time that had elapsed since the transfer of Sheshgherry Row to Trichinopoly, the Board had no desire to precipitate your choice of a successor, and adverting to the importance of a proper person to yourself personally, not only as affecting your responsibility in the administration of the district, but your comfort in the satisfactory discharge of your own important duties, they would infinitely prefer that you should take time to satisfy yourself by farther enquiries into the merits of the several candidates (of whom the Board have little or no personal Knowledge) with a view to your nomination of the party that shall ultimately appear to yourself to be the most deserving.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th February 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore dated 5th inst. and

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

and entered In consultation 25th Ultimo.-

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

39

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

From the Pl. Coll. of Coimbatore 9th In Con. 25th Ult. & Jany 1844.-

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying further correspondence respecting the sugar which is referred to in my letters of 6th Novem: last 1843 and 4th January 1844.-

2. The whole question regarding Seringapatam sugar being now before Government the Board would only remark in respect to Mr. M. D. Cockburn's particular case, that admitting all that is stated in the deposition given before the Shasildar of Seringapatam to be correct it is far from proving the sugar referred to, to be Seringapatam Produce.- The Deponent simply asserts that the sugar was manufactured in or his Depot at Seringapatam, but it is no where shown that the cane from which it was made was the growth of the Island of Seringapatam.- (Signed) E. C. Lovell

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 5th Feby 1844.

A. Y. Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.-

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

40

From H. N. Blair Esqr. Principal Collector of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day delivered over charge of the District to Mr. E. P. Thompson, and that I shall avail myself of the leave granted to me on the 15th Ultimo and 23rd Instant under Section XI and XII of the absentee Rules.-

Prinl Collrs Cutcherry Mangalore 29th January 1844.

(Signed) H. N. Blair

Principal Collector

P. S.

I beg to enclose Mr. Thompson's receipt for the balance of Cash in the Treasury at Mangalore.-

Received

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Received from H. M. Blair Esqre Principal Collector of Canara, the sum of Company's Rupees 3,346-14-12-4 in the undermentioned Coins being the Balance in the Huzoor Treasury at Mangalore on the 29th January 1844.

	Coins	Number	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount in Company's Rupees	Total
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Gold. Specie	Bahaudry Pagodas	5257½	400 " "	21,038 " "	
	Star ditto	19	350 " "	66 8 "	
	Shiddkies	1	800 " "	8 " "	
	Saranooree ditto	47	337 4 3	155 14 11	
	Sadashiva ditto	31	350 " "	108 8 "	
	Surat Mohurs	3	1400 " "	42 " "	
	St. Thomé Pagodas	1	550 " "	5 8 "	
	Bengal quarter Mohurs	1	400 " "	4 " "	
	Madras Mohurs	25¼	1500 " "	378 12 "	
	Ditto ⅓ ditto	1	500 " "	5 " "	
	Venitian	1	450 " "	4 8 "	
	Jemoherry Pagodas	9½	375 " "	35 10 "	
	Koorjakuthe	1	1700 " "	17 " "	
	Nedroy fanams	335	25 " "	83 12 "	
	Ekkery ditto	90	20 " "	18 " "	2,1971 " 11
Silver.	Surat Rupees	7,891¼	100 " "	7,891 4 "	
	New Bombay Rupees	49871¾	100 " "	49,871 12 "	
	Company's Rs of 1835 & 1840	153057	100 " "	153057 " "	
	Ditto half ditto	2334	50 " "	1167 " "	
	Ditto Quarter ditto	151141	25 " "	37785 4 "	
	Ditto Two Annas pieces	76025	12 8 "	9503 2 "	
	New Madras Rupees	4723	100 " "	4723 " "	
	New half ditto	1550	50 " "	775 " "	
	New quarter ditto	1357	25 " "	339 4 "	
	Carried forward			2,65,114 10 "	21971 " 11

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

	Coins	Number	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount in Company's Rupees	Total
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
	Brought Forward			2,65,114 10 "	21971 " 11
Silver. Specie	Rajahs Rupees	32	100 " "	32 " "	
	Old Company's Rupees	34¾	100 " "	34 12 "	
	Old Madras Rupees	5571¾	100 " "	5571 12 "	
	Arcot Rupees	1	100 " "	1 " "	
	Bengal ditto	73¾	100 " "	73 12 "	
	Immony ditto	5¼	100 " "	5 1 "	
	Pondicherry ditto	64½	100 " "	64 8 "	
	Old Bombay ditto	1	100 " "	1 " "	
	Goa ditto	1¾	80 " "	1 6 5	
	Dollars	26	200 " "	52 " "	
	Nokorahs	1	200 " "	2 " "	
	Shapary Rupees	1	87 8 "	" 14 "	
	Mallarshie ditto	1	90 " "	" 14 5	
	Half Pagoda pieces	2	175 " "	3 8 "	
	Quarter ditto	55	87 8 "	48 2 "	
	Double Rupees	1	200 " "	2 " "	
	Bellie fanams	2	18 2 4	" 5 10	
	Madras ditto	6	7 12 6	" 7 6	
	Two Anna pieces	2940	12 8 "	367 8 "	
	One Anna ditto	105	6 4 "	6 9 "	27,384 2 2
Copper. Silver.	Old Pice	189593¼	2 " "	3791 6 2	
	Half Anna pieces	118818	3 2 "	3713 1 "	
	Quarter ditto	184956	1 9 "	2887 4 5 "	
	Pices	93711	" 84	4880 13 1	41259 9 3
	Total Company's Rupees				3,34,614 12 4

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Actg. Pr. Collector
&c

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

To
E. H. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)
From E. P. Thompson Esqre
Actg. Princl. Collr. of Canara -

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day received charge of this District from Mr. W. M. Blair in conformity with my appointment published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date the 23rd Instant, and grant receipt in duplicate for the balance of pagodas in the Treasury at Mangalore -

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore 29th January 1844 -

Order on the 2 foregoing letters. -

(40-42)

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government. -

(43)
Proceedings thereon. -

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 7th and entered in Consultation 25th Ultimo. -

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th December last No. 1257, the Board recommend that the sale of the ground therein reported to have been made to Vencapah Moodely for Rupees 40 may be confirmed. -

In Con. 4th December 1843.

Read

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 15th and entered in Consultation 25th Ultimo -

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply:-

(44)
To the Collr of Madras

To The Collector of Madras -

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 15th Instant, reporting on a petition from certain parties claiming a share in the proceeds of a quantity of Tobacco seized in the course of being illicitly conveyed into Madras -

2. The object of the orders of Government to which you refer, was to afford the Collector the means of encouraging renenue and police peons to make Seizures of smuggled betel and tobacco and to apprehend the Smugglers - a higher reward being authorized when the Smugglers are apprehended than when the articles alone are Seized -

3. The Board find nothing in the orders affecting the claims of informers to share in the proceeds of such Seizures, and as the petitioners appear to have given the information on which the tobacco was seized in the present instance, the Board are of opinion

28th May 1830 -

opinion that they are entitled to the usual share authorized by Sec. XIV. Regulation III of 1812, and request accordingly that you will divide between them one fourth of the price for which the tobacco was sold.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th February 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
acting Sec.y

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 68 of 1844.

The petitioners are referred to the Collector of Madras, to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of the petition.

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 25th and entered in Consultation 29th Ultimo.

(45)

Proceedings thereon.

In Cons.s 27th Nov.r 1843.

Rs.
Lot No. 3. 185.
Lot No. 4. 180.
Total. 365.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government, with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th November 1843 No. 1209.

The Board recommend that the sale of the Grounds therein reported to have been made to Mr. A. D'Silva for Rupees 365 may be confirmed.

Read the following letter.

(46)

From the Coll.r of Madras.

To C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Sec.y to the Board of Rev.e
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to

4th January 1844. to the notice of the Board of Revenue that in consequence of a representation from the Superintendent of Cochrane's Canal that an attempt was made by a boatman of the name of Jane Sah to evade the payment of toll on certain articles, I sent the accused party to the Police, it being my impression that he was amenable to that tribunal under Section XVI Regulation III A. D. 1812, and act No. XXII of 1837.

2. I explained my view of the case in a letter to the Chief Magistrate of date the 6th Instant, but as that Officer is of a different opinion and suggests that "a reference be made to Government on the Subject, in order that if there be a deficiency in the Law, that measures may be adopted to have the same supplied." I submit the correspondence noted in the Margin for orders, and will only further observe in advertence to Mr. Elliot's last letter, that a "toll" being merely an excise of goods or a tribute or custom paid for passage, the provisions of the Regulation quoted by me would seem to apply to that chargeable on Goods, imported or exported by Cochrane's Canal,

Memorandum to Police 5th Jan.y 44.
From Supt. of C.C. 4 Dec.r
From Chief Magt.e 3 Dec.r
To - Do. - 6 Dec.r
From Do. 6 Jan.y

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Canal, the levy of which was duly authorized by Government in 1806 and, therefore, long prior to the enactment of the Regulation referred to above.-

Madras, - - - -
10th January 1844. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

No. 1.

Memorandum.

Smugglers articles.	By whom when Seized Seized.	Value.	Duty.	Remarks.
	1842	Rs a. P.	Rs a. P.	
Jane Saw - 1 Small bag of Eckalas - Natchany - 10 Cocoanuts - 4 Small pots of Oil - 14 Pots and Chatties - 4 Bundles of wearing apparel	Rungian Dec. 30th " " " " "		2 "	Seized at Cochrane's Canal on the night of the 30th Ultimo, brought to the Cutcherry at 11 O'Clock this day, and sent to the Police Office at 1/2 past 12 - In this case the parties named in the 1st Column attempted to carry away the Goods enumerated in this Memo without payment of the Toll leviable at the Basin of Cochrane's Canal, as will be seen from the accompanying Copy of the Superintendent's Letter of yesterday's date.

Madras, - - - - -
5th January 1844. } (Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Coll. of Madras

To

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras.

Sir.

I beg to bring to your notice that on the 30th Ultimo a boatman named Ianesah applied for a chit or pass for his empty boat to proceed on to its destination, which he was supplied with and left the Basin; it was soon after discovered that he had Stopped in the Cannal and taken in Some passengers, and Sundry articles on which toll was leviable to the extent of Fanams 1-40. As the boatmen are all perfectly aware that no goods of any description should be received in their boats unless they have passed the Toll Office, and the toll levied thereon, it is very necessary that such proceedings Should be Summarily dealt with at the onset to prevent a repetition, I send the parties to your Office, and the articles are deposited in the Toll Office.-

* 1 Small bag of Natchenj.
10 Cocoanuts.
4 Small pots of Oil.
14 Pots & Chatties.
4 bundles of wearing Apparel

Cochrane's Canal,
4th January 1844. } (Signed) R. W. Nespitten
Supt. of Cochrane's Canal

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

Before entering on an enquiry into

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

into the case referred to in the letter from the Superintendent of Cochrane's Canal of Yesterday's date, and in your Memorandum of this date I have the honor to request you will do me the favor to point out the Regulation relating to the Toll leviable at the Basin at that Canal.

Madras,
General Police Office,
5th January 1844.

/Signed/ E. F. Elliot
Chief Magistrate
and Supt. of Police.

To E. F. Elliot Esqre.
Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police.

Sir,

Rec'd 6th Jan'y. 1844.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under Yesterday's date, and to acquaint you that the management of Cochrane's Canal was assumed charge of by this Office under the Sanction of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of date the 3d March 1837, to which the enclosed original letter from the Board of Revenue gives cover.

9th March 1837.

2. There is not at present any Specific Regulation relating to the Toll leviable on the Canal in question, but I am led to believe, that all attempts at

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

at fraud on the part of Merchants or owners of Boats navigating thereon, come within the intention of the rules laid down in Sec: XVI Regulation III of 1812 and that complaints in respect of such offences are cognizable by your Office under the provisions of act No. XXII of 1837.

Madras,
6th January 1844.

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of this day's date.

You will observe on reference to clause 1st and 2d Act No. 22. of 1837 that my jurisdiction is limited to Offences committed against any Regulation relating to the public Revenue.

Now it is clear that the Toll leviable on Cochrane's Canal does not come within the letter of the provisions of Section 16 Regulation 3 of 1812, and it can hardly, I think, be presumed to come within their Spirit, in as much as the Canal was not assumed charge of by any Public Department until twenty five years after the enactment

enactment of that Law, Under these circumstances I would beg to suggest that a reference be made to Government on the subject, in order that, if there be a deficiency in the Law, measures may be adopted to have the same supplied.

The Original papers which accompanied your letter under reply are herewith returned.

Madras, ...
Genl. Police Office,
6th January 1844.

/Signed/ E. F. Elliot
Chief Magistrate &
Superintt. of Police.

46-47 Order thereon.
/Read again in Con. 19th Feb.y/

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below, and to record their remarks thereon:-

48 From the Actg. Collr. of Vizagapatam - Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for fusly 1252.

49 From the Actg. Collr. of Vizagapatam - Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in fusly 1252.-

50 From the Actg. Magistrate & Agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam. } Statement of Sundry Charges incurred under Estimate in the Magistrate & Governor's Agents Dept. for fusly 1252.

51 From the Actg. Magistrate & Agent to the Governor at Vizagapatam. } Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in the Magistrate

Magistrate and Governor's Agent's Department for fusly 1252.-

52 From the Collr. of Vizagapatam - Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for fusly 1253.

53 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry - Supplemental Estimate of Salt manufactured for fusly 1253.

54 From the Collr. of Chingleput - Statement of charges incurred under Estimate on account of advances for cultivation for fusly 1252.-

55 From the Collr. of Chingleput - Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for fusly 1252.-

56 From the Collr. of Chingleput - Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for fusly 1252.-

57 From the Magistrate of Chingleput - Statement of Extra charges incurred in the Magistrate's Department for fusly 1252.-

58 From the Actg. Collr. of Salem - Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for binding Books for fusly 1251.

59 From the Collr. of Madras - Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for fusly 1252.-

60 From the Collr. of Madras - Statement of charges incurred without Estimate for fusly 1252.

Order on the 13 above Statements - Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper column of the abovementioned Statements and Submitted for the Sanction of Government.

48-61

Read

Fort St. George 5th February 1844.

Read the undermentioned Statements-

62 From the Jr. Coll.r in the Nr. Dv.n of Arcot. - } Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1253-

63 From the Princ.l Coll.r of Salem - Statement of contin=gent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1253-

64 From the Princ.l Coll.r of Madura - Statement of contin=gent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1253-

65 From the Princ.l Coll.r of Canara - Statement of contin=gent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1253.

66 From the Collector of Malabar - Statement of contin=gent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1253.

67 From the Superintendent of Stamps - Statement of contingent charges incurred for the Month of December last.-

62-68 Order on the 6 above Statements - Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

69 From the Princ.l Coll.r of Nellore - Read Register of Endorsements passed by him during the Month of December last, on petitions re=ferred to by the Board.-

69-70 Order thereon - Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Resolved.

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

71 To the Chief Secr.y to Government. } Sir,

With reference to the order of Government dated the 2nd Instant requiring the Board to report on Petition No. 2360 of 1843 from Palaneandiah Pillay of the Madura District, and to explain what is meant by "Binding Money," the expression made use in the Endorsement given by Mr. Blackburne under date 9th August 1843, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that Petitioner addressed the Board in January 1843 complaining that the Tobacco Rent of the Madura Chunky which he had taken was given to another and the sum paid by him on that account withheld; this was referred to the Acting Principal Collector on the 16th May, and Mr. Blackburne's report thereon of date the 9th August 1843 with the Board's final Endorsement of the 19th of the same Month, as submitted by the Petitioner in original, explain the grounds on which his complaint was dismissed.-

In regard to the terms "Binding Money" or Earnest

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Earnest-money, I am directed to state that it signifies a small sum given at the time to bind or ratify the bargain or agreement entered into, and it is believed is a practice in common use throughout the country.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
5th February 1844 — } / Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

(72) Resolved that the foregoing letter be dispatched.

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam.

To the Actg Collr of Ganjam — }

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 16th December last submitting for sanction an Estimate of charges proposed to be incurred on account of Extra Establishment for Fusly 1253. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will furnish without further delay the Statement of actual charges incurred on the same account for the past Fusly 1252, as requisitioned in my letter of the 17th July 1843.

†Vide from No 2

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George —
15th February 1844 — } / Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

To the Collector of Madras.

(73)

To the Collector of Madras — }

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will report whether the Ground herein referred to is held under a Certificate exempting it

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

it from payment of Quit Rent and if so whether it is appropriated to the purpose for which it was originally exempted.

Revl Bd Office
Fort St. George —
5th February 1844 } / Signed / E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned letters and Statements.

(74) From the Collector in the 1st Dn of Arcot — } Miscellaneous letters and Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(75) From the Pl Collr of Coimbatore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(76) From the Pl Collr of Nellore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(77) From the Pl Collr of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(78) From the Pl Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

(79) From the Collector in the 1st Dn of Arcot — } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for

80 From the Pl. Collr. of Coimbatore.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last..

81 From the Pl. Collr. of Nellore.. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last..

82 From the Pl. Collector of Canara.. Stating that the Returns of persons charged with offences and of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of December last are not sent there being no entry to make..

83 From the Collr. of Chingleput.. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last..

84 From the Collr. of Tinnevelly.. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last..
(Vide letter to the Collr. 22nd February)

83 85 Order on the two abovementioned Statements.. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled articles enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized

86 From the Collr. of Malabar.. Tobacco account current for the 1st Quarter ending 30th September last..

87 From the Collr. of Madras.. Salt account Current for the 2nd Quarter ending 31st December last..

Stating

88 From the Collr. of Madras.. Stating that no endorsement was made on any of the Petitions referred by the Board for investigation during the Month of December last..

89 From the Pl. Collr. of Nellore.. Stating that no dismissals took place amongst the Village Moonsiffs during the Month of December last..

90 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Reporting that the Return of persons charged with offences and of persons in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last are not sent there being no entry to make..

91 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry.. Acknowledging the receipt of the printed forms of Salt Rowanahs &c.

92 From Superintendent of Stamps.. Indent for a yard of Blue cloth for Peons Belts..

92 93 Order thereon.. Ordered that the Original Indent be passed and returned..

94 From the Acting Collr. of Tanjore. Register of the Statement of the River Cauvery Amicut for the Month of December 1842/43..

95 From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Return of persons

2186

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

(96) From the Collr of Tinnevelly.- Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last

Acknowledging the receipt of 2000 printed forms of Sale Rowannahs

" Rent

" Patta

" Cowle

Compd Mc Rinke

2187

Fort St. George 5th February 1844

Diary

Sent the following letter.

5th February-

To The Chief Secretary to Government-

(1) To the Chief Secty to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Indent from the Collector of Bellary for 300 Copies of Quit Rent Bills required for the use of his District- The Board recommend that authority may be granted for printing the same at the Fort St. George Gazette Press as per accompanying forms-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
5th February 1844-

Signed E. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary

2nd Dectn in Cons. 22nd Jany.

From Mr. Pycroft Actg Sub Secy to the Board of Revenue-

(2) Received letter requesting leave of absence for 3 weeks to proceed to Cuddalore on urgent private affairs under Section XI of the Amended Rules-

Order thereon-

(2-3) Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government- At-

Acquiescence

Fort St. George 8th. February 1840.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 8th. February 1840. } John Dent Esqre. Senior Member
A. Robertson Esqre. Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th. September and entered in Consultation 4th. November 1839.

(4) Proceedings thereon. Para 1. In a despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 10th. July 1839 No. 6 of 1839 reference was made to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 21st. January 1836 in which they had adverted to the inefficiency of the Village Establishments and the insufficiency of the Collectors Establishments in the Northern Circars, and in the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th. September 1839 the Board were called upon to explain the grounds on which their assertion as to the inadequacy of the Native Establishments in the Northern

Jud. Pub. 24th. Nov. 1839.

Fort St. George 8th. February 1840.

Northern Circars was based. They were also desired in communication with Mr. Cotton who was then engaged on special duty to institute without delay a strict enquiry into the subject and to report the result with their sentiments as to the remedial measures it would be proper to adopt.

2. The Government are aware that not long after the receipt of these orders the state of Mr. Cotton's health led to an interruption in his enquiries followed by his departure to the Cape of Good Hope and eventual return to England. This and other causes have interfered to prevent the completion of their enquiries into the state of the Village Establishments in the Northern Circars.

3. The observation of the Board in 1836 respecting the insufficiency of the Collectors Establishments in those Districts appears to be fully borne out by the necessity which within the last few years has led to the recommendation of various

Modifications

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Ganjam — To Govt. 30th July 1835 — From 11th inclusive 17th August — To 24th April 1837 — From 3d inclusive 8th May — To 4th Novr. 1839. — From 2d inclusive 16th Decr.

Vizagapatam — To Govt. 11th Octr. 1841. — From 7th Decr. inclusive 25th January 1842 — From 8th inclusive 21st July

Rajahmundry — To Govt. 29th July 1841. — From 18th Novr. inclusive 2d Decr. — To 20th January — From 24th inclusive 5th May — To 21st July — From 16th inclusive 29th August — From 30th Septr. inclusive 6th Octr. — To Govt. 22d Octr. 1835 — From 16th inclusive 16th Novr. — To Dr. 18th September 1837 — From 29th Septr. inclusive 22d Octr. — To Govt. 29th July 1841 — From 2d inclusive 13th September

Guntoor — Masulipatam

†Innevelly, Tinchinopoly, Salem, Nellore.

Northern Circars, so far as their records will admit with the view of suggesting such remedial measures as may appear proper. Their proceedings on each District will be submitted to Government as they are respectively completed.

Read the following Extract

Extract.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 22d January 1844.

5. From the Chief Secretary to Government

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue:

Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1252.

[Here enter 21st Decr. 1843 No. 625]

The total demand under all heads of Extra revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for fusly 1252 amounted to Rupees 1814. 18. 4. 3 and Compared with fusly 1251 exhibited a decrease of Rupees 965. 14. 5. The balance remaining uncollected at the close of the fusly was somewhat above that outstanding at the same period of the preceding year, while the net revenue of the year Rs. 169855-11-3 fell short of that fusly 1251 in Rs. 4082. 9. 1 attributable partly to a falling off in the Sayer Collections and in the recovery of arrears.

[A true Extract]

[Signed] G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon. (5-6)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 21st December last.

Read

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Read the following resolution
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 6th February 1844.

(From Bd. 11th Jany.)

7

From the Chief Secretary to Govt.

The Most Noble
the Governor in Council sanctions the Charges
reported in the foregoing Proceedings
Amounting to Rupees 411-3-8 (Four hundred
and Eleven Annas three and piece Eight

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

7-8

Order thereon.

Ordered that the Collector
of South Arcot be informed that Govern-
ment have sanctioned the charges in-
curred for transporting Salt for Sale
from the Pan at Marakanum to the
Godand.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 6th February 1844.

(From Bd. 26th Jan. 1844.)

9

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

The Superintendent
of the Fort St. George Gazette Press will attend
to the requisition contained in the foregoing
letter.

9-10

Order thereon

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary
Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

To

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

11

From the Commissioner in the Northern Circars.

Reporting on the affairs of the Pettapore Zemindary during the period it has been under Management on account of Minority and the propositions of the Zemindar for entering upon its management.

Para 1. In obedience to the instructions of Government contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th October last communicated to me by the Proceedings of the Board of the 5th of the same month I have now the honor to submit the propositions which I have received from the Zemindar of Pettapore on the subject of his assuming the Management of his Zemindary held up to 15th September 1841 under the Court of Wards on account of his Minority and subsequently and still retained under the management of the Collector, and to afford such remarks on these propositions and such explanations on the affairs of the Estate as the occasion would seem to call for.

2. It is not my purpose to enter into any discussion on the causes of the falling off in late years of the Revenues or on the merits or demerits of the systems which have prevailed during the period the Estate has been Superintended by the Collectors of this District I shall merely

merely notice the results of that Superintendence as shewn in the accounts rendered—

3. The Estate consisted at the date of the death of the late Zemindar Neeladry Row of the ancient Zemindary of Pittapore which included the Mootahs or divisions, eight in number as named in the margin bearing a permanent Peshcush of Rupees 258979—0—0 and of four proprietary Estates purchased during his life time (vide Margin) bearing a Peshcush of Rupees 88862—5—5—It was assumed in Fusly 1237/A.D. 1827/28 his heir being then only 5 years of age. At this period the Estate bore a total annual Peshcush of Rupees 347,841—5—5 which had been paid with punctuality during a series of years preceding and was entirely free from arrears—

Mootahs	Permanent Peshcush		
1 Pittapore	73292	5	1
2 Samalcottah	67584	11	1
3 Cocanadah	15069	1	7
4 Salapaka	5445	7	9
5 Beandapoody	37068	5	9
6 Chellore	22201	3	0
7 Cadeyum	25494	5	5
8 Sullapully	14823	8	4
Total	258979	0	0
1 Attely	22169	3	1
2 Rhundarully	13212	7	1
3 Gollaprole	26026	0	0
4 Cavoor	27454	11	3
	88862	5	5

† Vide Enclosure № 1

4. On this same property after being 15 years under the management of the Collectors, stands a balance of Rupees 584,973—6—10— † Vide Enclosure № 2

5. In 1242 (1832/33) the Mootah of Moonacoodaly was added by purchase by the Government on account of the Minor thereby increasing the Peshcush Rupees 2105—2—0 per annum, and in the following year the Estate of Beemanapilly was similarly united to the Minors property, bearing a Peshcush of Rupees 5392—8—0— By these acquisitions the Peshcush on the whole Estate amounted to Rupees 355,338—15—5 annually, and this demand was after defraying charges of Collection and other necessary expences, paid out of the Revenues which the Estate yielded and at the termination of Fusly 1246 (1836/37) the property was clear.—

6.— In Fusly 1247 (1837/38) a large accession of property and Peshcush was obtained by the donation as a marriage portion to the Bride of the Minor

Minor by her grand father of the two Estates
of Penoogondah and Poodoor — The
Total Peshcush then demandable on the
Estate amounted to Rupees 39,492-2-8.
but it has never been realized in full
and heavy balances have accrued on
the Zemindary from the year in which they
were added to it —

7. Three thousand Rupees
were paid for Moonacoodaly and 18,500
Rupees for Beemanapilly 14,500 of which
were paid by the Minor's Mothers Father
and 4,000 by the Government from the
Revenues of the remaining Estate — The
Government demand against the former
from the date of purchase to the end
of Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ is Rupees 23,156-6-4 the
gross collections Rupees 16,132-3-4 falling
short of the demand by Rupees 7,024-2-
8. The Government demand against
Beemanapilly during the same
period was Rupees 53,925-0-4 and
the collections, as in Moonacoodaly &c
have fallen short of the demand by
Rupees 14,087-1-11 —

These

Penoogonda Pr. 22,159-3-3
Poodoor 14,994-0-0
Total 37,153-3-3
Vide Enclosure № 1

Vide enclosure № 2

8. These two Estates therefore &c
including 7,000 Rupees the amount of purchase
Purchase money 31,292-4-7 Money, have entailed on the general property
38,292-4-7 a debt of Rupees 38,292-4-7 —

9. The acceptance of the &c
donation of the two Estates of Penoogondah
and Poodoor has also added largely to the &c
general debt, the gross receipts from them
having fallen short of the demand since
Fusly $\frac{1247}{1837/38}$ by no less a sum than Rupees
Vide Enclosure № 2 75,024-14-4. Thus a debt of Rupees 1,32,049-
2-11- has been added to the Zemindary by the
unfortunate acquisition of the above 4 Estates
Rupees 94,802-4-0- of which accrued &c
previous to the termination of the Zemin-
-dar's Minority, and Rupees 37,246-14-11
since —

10. Deducting these Estates &c
together with their untowards results —
I proceed to shew the condition of the
remaining property being that ori-
-ginally held by the late Zemindar and
received at his death on account of
his Son —

11. This as before stated &c
consisted of the ancient Zemindary of
Pettapore

Fort St George 8th February 1844

Pittapore and 4 Proprietary Estates purchased by the late Zemindar. The demand on the former during the period it has been under management amounts to Rupees 3,884,685-

Vide Enclosure No 2

The Net Revenue during the same period is Rupees 3,472,692-9-2. leaving a balance of Rupees 411,992-6-10 against the 4 Proprietary Estates stands a balance of Peshcush amounting to Rupees 17,298-4-0 that on the property which descended to the minor at his father's death, is a balance to the end of Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ of Rupees 584,973-6-10 of which Rupees 186,144-0-4 has accrued subsequent to the cessation of his minority but all under the management of the Collector—

Balance.

during minority } 398829-6-6.

since -- 186144-0-4

Total 584,973-6-10

12

It has been hitherto the practice to relieve the Estates of Minors of all balances accruing during the Government management of them— Observing this course in the present case the accounts of the entire Estate, inclusive of the acquisitions to it during the minority would be as follows—

Fort St George 8th February 1844

Demand from fusly 1238 to 1250 when the minority ceased—	Collection during that period.	Deduct Charges	Net Collection	Balance accruing during Management to be remitted
Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓
4,732,696 5 5	569,389 9 6	145,866. 3 6	423,523 6 0	493,631 10 6

Credited under Peshcush ——— 423,002 10 11

Dr under charges of Commission &c. since adjusted ——— 520 11 1

423,523 6 0

and from the date of the termination of the minority to the end of Fusly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ as follows—

Demand from fusly 1251 to fusly 1252.	Collection during that Period.	Deduct Charges.	Net Collection	Balance remaining to the end of fusly 1252.
Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓	Rs a ✓
784,984 5 4	708,872 7 4	161,953 . 6	561,593 6	223,390 15 3

Charges made from the Collections of the Estate. ——— } 147,279 1 3

Dr made from the Circar's Money —— } 14,673 15 3

161,953 . 6

To

13 To the amount of balance shewn in the latter Statement is to be added the sum of Rupees 98,691-7-10 advanced to the Zemindar for the purpose of satisfying the decree of Court, so that the total Balances at the end of Fusly 1252/1842/43 after deducting all which accrued during Management amount to Rupees 3,22,082-7-1

14. To a portion of the items which have been charged the Zemindar objects, and I shall endeavour to explain those objections which appear to me founded on Justice—

15. The first is an item of Rupees 57,775-0-0 credited to the Collections in the year 1248/1838/39 being the compensation granted for the resumption by the Government of the Motupha Collections of the ancient Zemindary during the late Zemindar's life time, and therefore for a period previous to the Government Management—This sum the Zemindar maintains should not have been carried to the credit of the Current Collections as it decreased the remission of Peshcush to which he is entitled, if adherience be maintained to the practice of limiting the demand during Minority Management to

* Vide Enclosure No 3

* Vide Enclosure No 3

to the Revenue yielded these—

16 A similar objection is raised to the crediting to the Current Collections of Fusslies 1239/1829/30 1244/1834/35 and 1250/1840/41 the several sums of Rupees 1742-0-8 Rupees 8733 and Rupees 4150-13-4 Together Rupees 14,625-14-0 being the Principal and Interest on a Government 4 per cent Bond purchased by the late Zemindar during his life time—

17. The Item of Rupees 50,547-10-7 ** paid by the Government under orders of the Court as the Surplus Revenue of the Kirlumpoody Estate has been charged as a loan to the Zemindar—This Surplus Revenue itself was credited in the years from 1238/1828/29 to 1246/1836/37 to the Pittapore Estate during Minority management and has consequently increased the demand on that Estate which as before remarked, during Minority should not have exceeded the Collections—By the transaction as it now stands the Government have actually received on account of Pittapore the Surplus Collections of Kirlumpoody and have made the Zemindar answerable for the same sum paid on that account

** Vide Enclosure No 3

account under the Courts decree, thereby gaining Rupees 56,547-10-7 by the transfer of Kirlumpoody from the hands of the late owner—

† Vide Enclosure No 3

18 The profits of the Kirlumpoody Estate which was under litigation should as the Zemindar justly asserts, have been retained in deposit until the final decree was passed and then simply made over to the party in whose favor that final decree was registered—

19 The admission of the three items above enumerated added to the remission of this balance of Peshcush outstanding at the close of the Zemindar's Minority makes the account of the entire estate on the termination of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 stand thus—

	amount of Demand	Collection Charges	Balance
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Demand during Minority	4,32,636 5 5	50,993 9 9	4,57,866 3 6
Subsequent demand up to the end of Fusly 1252	7,84,984 5 4	70,887 7 4	1,61,953 " 6
Total	5,17,620 10 9	6,40,262 " 10	1,61,389 4 "

Deduct Balance during Minority		49,363 10 6
Remainder		22,339 15 3
Deduct 3 Items as explained in Paras 15, 16 & 17—		122,948 9 6
Balance against Zemindar exclusive of advance of Rs 98,691-7-10		100,412 5 9
Add advance		98,691 7 10
Total Balance		199,133 13 7

But

But if from this be deducted the loss to the general property which the purchase on account of the Minor of the Estates of Moondacoodaly and Beemanapully and the acceptance of the misnamed donation of Penoogonda and Poodoor have entailed, the liabilities of the Minor at the end of Fusly 1252 / 1842/43 will be reduced to Rupees 16,188-14-8—

	amount of demand	Collection Charges	Balance
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Demand during Minority	4,52,937 6 5	55,392 12 5	4,07,666 2 5
Subsequent demand up to the end of Fusly 1252	69,582 10 10	6,442 9 9	14,933 14 6	18,614 " 4
Total	5,21,620 1 3	61,834 9 7	2,15,570 4 "	4,26,280 2 11

		39,829 6 6
Deduct balance during Minority	Remainder	18,614 " 4
		122,948 9 6
Deduct 3 items as explained in Paras 15, 16 & 17.		63,195 6 10
Balance against the Zemindar exclusive of advance of Rupees 98,691-7-10		98,691 7 10
Add advance	Total Balance	16,188 14 8

In his letter of the 27th July

20

1843 to which reference is made in the

Minutes

Fort St George 8th February 1844

Minutes of Consultation now under reply

Mr Smith notifies his intention of re=
=covering from the first Collections of Fusly
1253/1843/44 the ready Money advanced by Govern=
=ment to the Zemindar This has accordingly
been done but to the detriment of the realiz=
=ation of the Peshcush of the Estate of—
which up to the end of December 1843—
the account is as follows—

Demand of Peshcush to December of Fusly 1243— inclusive	Collection charges	Net Collections	Carried to Credit of Loan	Carried to Credit of Peshcush	Balance on account of Peshcush
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1,03,441 7 0	14,838 9 5	21,930 4 0	1,21,909 5 5	98,691 7 10	23,217 13 7	80,223 9 5

and excluding from it the four Estates added during Minority as follows—

Demand of Peshcush to December of Fusly 1253 inclusive	Collection charges	Net Collections	Carried to Credit of Loan	Carried to Credit of Peshcush	Balance on account of Peshcush
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
9,089 0 7	1,300 3 13 8	1,948 2 9 1	1,10,549 4 7	98,691 7 10	11,857 12 9	79,032 10 3

Vide enclosure No. 6.

21. The Zemindar in the original Memorandum enclosed makes three propositions 1st

Fort St George 8th February 1844

1st that the Peshcush should be revised and fixed on an average of the Collections during the last ten years, that the balance during Minority should be remitted and time allowed him to pay other demands against him 2ndly that the Estate should be made over to him on his binding himself to bring to the credit of the Government all the Collections he may make after deducting Eighteen per cent for the expences, ie ten per Cent for his own maintenance, and Eight per Cent for charges of Management, and 3dly that the Estate should remain under attachment until it be sufficiently productive and that an allowance of 4,000 Rupees per mensem be given to him—

22. In interviews which I have had with the Zemindar since the receipt of his Memorandum I have explained to him that his first proposition is inad=
=missable and that the views and ob=
=jects of the Government do not accord=
with the 2d and 3d
him that a
and
to be favorably
proposition
received

received would be the relinquishment of a portion of his Estate in liquidation of the debt due to Government, and the retention on his part of such portion as he could properly manage and pay for— To such an arrangement under a Proviso which I shall notice hereafter he has expressed his willingness to accede—

Vide Para 30.

23

The Proprietary Estates of Attely and Cundevaly acquired by the late Zemindar of Moonacoodaly, Beemanapilly, Penoogondah and Podoor acquired during the Minority of the present Zemindar are all detached and at a distance from the rest of the Pittapore Zemindary and are dispersed among the Government Estates to the South of the District—

24.

The transfer of these to the Government would be desirable on the latter account, their management on both accounts would always be difficult to the Zemindar. Covoor another Mootah purchased by the late Zemindar and situated to the South west of the District is divided by the Godavery from the ancient Estate and

similar

similar reasons exist for its transfer to the Government—

Names of the Mootahs	Permanent Price assessment Proposed	
	Rs a p	Rs a p
Moonecoodaly	21052	3,000 " "
Beemanapilly	5392 8	18500 " "
Attely	22169 3 1	22169 3 1
Cundavelly	13212 7 1	13212 7 1
Covoor	27,457 11 3	27,457 11 3
Penoogondah	22159 3 3	22159 3 3
Podoor	14994 " "	14994 " "
	121489 8 8	

25. I would suggest therefore that these be received by Government from the Zemindar in their present condition as a set off for Rupees 121489 8 8. being the price actually paid under the authority of Government for the two Mootahs purchased during Minority management, and one year's Peshcush of the other five—

If this Plan be adopted

26

the Zemindar's property will be confined to the ancient Zemindary bearing a Peshcush of Rupees 258979 and the Proprietary Estate of Gollaparole adjoined to it bearing a Peshcush of Rupees 26026— Together Rupees 285005—

For the present year 1253 (1843/44) he

27.

asserts, and with reason, his inability to pay the full Peshcush— He therefore solicits

by

by the indulgence of Government that a fair sum be fixed for payment for 1253 on consideration of the state of the season and condition of the Estate and that with the Fusly year 1254/1844-45 his liability for the Permanent Peshcush commences and he anticipates being then enabled to meet his future engagements to the Government On less favorable terms it appears to me useless to expect that he can do so-

Vide Enclosure No 5

28. The Estimate submitted herewith makes the expected Col=lections for Fusly 1253/1843-44 for the entire year Rupees 2,65,000 from which are to be deducted the charges that have actually occurred to end of December amounting to Rupees 17,251-4-7 and the expected charges for the remainder of the Fusly—These will make the net expected Revenue Rupees 2,38,748-11-5 to meet the Peshcush of Rupees 2,85,005-0 Thus leaving a deficit of Rupees 46,256-11-7—

Rupees 9,000

The

29. The admission of the items objected to the striking out of the accounts the losses subsequent to the cessation of the minority on the Estates acquired during management and the acceptance of them and the other Mootahs on the part of Government on the terms specified in Para 25 would reduce the debt of the Minor to Government which has accrued by the non realization in full of the Peshcush since he attained his Majority to end of Fusly 1252/1842-43 to Rupees 4,397-0-0 and if to this be added the deficit expected in the Peshcush of the present Fusly Viz Rupees 46,256-4-7 the entire demand against the Zemindar at the end of the present Fusly 1253/1843-44 may be calculated at Rupees 80,653-10-7.

Balance of Peshcush due for 1251/1841-42 & 1252/1842-43	"	" 2,33,901-3
Advance		28,917-10
		3,72,823-1
Deduct 3 Items	1,29,489-6	
Loss in 4 Estates	37,264-4	
Proposed Cost of 7 Mootahs	1,11,898-8	
	2,78,652-2	
Remaining Balance	4,397-0	

30. Without now adverting particularly to the causes which produced that result it cannot be denied that the condition of the Zemindary has materially deteriorated under the management of the Officers of Government and that the Interests of the Minor have suffered during that period—The Zemindar's case therefore seems to demand from the Government a more than usually

liberal

2210 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

liberal consideration, and he has manifested by
at once consenting to the relinquishment of so
considerable a portion of his Patrimony a desire
to deal honestly with the Government which
deserves to be met by a corresponding spirit.
In plainly stating the difficulties of his
situation he asks to have that portion of his
patrimony which he purposes to retain restored
to him at least free from incumbrances as
it cannot be made over in the flourishing
condition in which the Government received
it concurring in the fairness of this demand
I recommend that it be conceded.

31. To insist on the payment by instalments of the heavy balances which the accounts make out to stand against the Estate will infallibly cause it at no distant period to revert to Government, and the Zemindar will then trace his ruin to the acts and management of the Government in which he had no concern or responsibility, and for the results of which no blame can justly attach to him. A reference to Statement† No 4 will shew at one view that the main losses have happened in late adverse years, after the members of the Minor's family ceased to perform the

† Vide Enclosure No 4.

2211 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

the duties of Managers of the Estate and when the services of strangers unconnected with them were substituted on the part of Government.

32. The lavish expenditure from the Revenues of the Estate during the early part of the Minority under the heads of allowances for the maintenance of the Minor Zemindar and his relations and for the support of Pagodas and charitable institutions as shewn in Statement No 3 accompanying has greatly added to the embarrassments of the property and would seem scarcely compatible with that due regard for the true interests of a Minor which should have guided those who assumed the Office of his Guardian.

33. But as the Government may see fit to act more rigorously towards the Zemindar than my views of his claim on their liberal consideration induce me to recommend, or appear to me in justice to warrant and may require of him the discharge of those balances stated in para 29 of this report to be Rupees 80,653-10-7 I would suggest in preference to his being embarrassed with Money debt, which if he ever is able to liquidate will interfere with the future due discharge of his Peishcush, that he be called on to make a further sacrifice of his Patrimonial

Estate

Fort St. George 8th February 1822.

Estate, and that the Government receive from him Mootahs of Sullapully and Chellore at one years amount of Peishcush or Rupees 37024-11-4. These Mootahs are detached from the rest of the Estate though they form part of the ancient Zemindary. Sullapully is situated in the Amalapore Taluk amongst the Villages of Government Estates. Chellore is near Ramachandrapooram. The former from its position would be an advantageous acquisition to the Government. The Zemindar is naturally desirous of maintaining entire the possessions of his Ancestors but if he is to be deprived of any portion, these two Mootahs from their situation can be relinquished by him and received by Government with more benefit to both parties than any of the other Mootahs in his possession. For the still remaining difference between the proposed price of these Mootahs and demand as shewn in Para 29 the item under the head of Collectors Commission charged against the Estate and brought to the credit of Government since

Sullapully	14823-8-4
Chellore	22201-3--
Total	37024-11-4

	Rs.	a	P.
Balance up to end of 1252	40397-6-		
Expected deficit in Peishcush in 1253.	45256-4-7		
Total	85653-10-7		
Deduct Price of 2 Mootahs	37024-11-4		
Balance	48628-15-3		

Fort St. George. 8th February. 1822.

since the Fusly year 1246 (1836/37) amounting to Rupees 38010-10-5 might I would submit be admitted as a set off.

34.

I take this opportunity of bringing to the notice of the Board a claim revived by the Zemindar for remission on the Peishcush of his ancient Estate on account of the double assessment, after the average collections were made out by the Circuit Committee of the lands of Inamdars resumed, and the addition to the assets of his Estate by the special Commission of certain sums for Rupsoons which never actually existed, but in lieu of which pensions were fraudulently obtained from the Government.

35.

This claim which the Marginal Note explains, was made by the late Zemindar to Sir Thomas Munro when he visited this District in 1822, and in Page 572 of the 3rd Volume of the Madras Revenue Selection I send, in the 17th Para of his Minute of the 21st January 1823. the

	Star Pags	Rupees
Average Village Collections of 8 Years from 1777 to 1784 as per Accounts of Circuit Committee	17710-	41985-
Deduct Sayer- 2578-9023-		
Salt 3080-10780-	5658-	19803-
Net amount of assets	112052-	392182-
1/5 Profit to the Zemindar	37350	130725-
Remaining 4/5	74702-	261457-
Deduct Income of the Salt	3619	12668-8-
Remainder which is Permanent Assessment	71083	248790-8-
Sold by the Special Commission-		
Rajgmahs 205		
Do Sponsias and Inamdars Maniums & Rupsoons 2706-	2911.	10188-8
Total	73994	258979-

Fort St. George, 8th February, 1844.

the following remark regarding it "That he
"(the Zemindar) hoped it was not too late
"to rectify one error, of which he had cause to
"complain, namely a charge upon him of Madras
"Pagodas 2706 for the lands and Rusoomes of the
"Muzmadars made over to him in place of Madras
"Pagodas 1195-24, their true annual produce, that
"this over valuation had been made to the
"Committee of Circuit by the Muzmadars when
"they became aware that their lands were to be
"commuted for an annual pension to themselves."

"But from the Report made to me by
"the Collector, the error appears to have originated
"with the Special Commission, who added to the
"Revenue of the District a sum of Madras
"Pagodas 1325-21 on account of Rusoomes not received
"from the Villages, but paid by the Zemindars
"from his own Treasury to the Muzmadars, and
"a sum of Madras Pagodas 185 included in the
"Revenue of the Zemindars by the Committee
"of Circuit - but the land from which it arose
"having been subsequently given by the Zemindar
"in Enam to the Muzmadars, it was second time
"added to the Revenue by the Special Commis-
-sion. Those two sums making together Madras
"Pagodas 1510-21, is the difference claimed by
"the Zemindar."

Subsequently

Fort St. George, 8th February, 1844.

F. 32 of 1824.

38. Subsequently the Petition of the Zemindar on the subject to Sir Thomas Munro was forwarded with a letter from the Board to the Collector for report, which was furnished in detail by Mr. Kindersly in his letter of the 15th November 1824. It does not appear from the records of the Collector's Office that the orders of the Board or Government have been passed on this report. The subject was again brought to notice at the solicitation of the late Zemindar by Mr. H. Gardiner in his letter to the Board of 29th July 1826 and again remarked on by the Governor Sir Fredk. Adam during his tour through this District in 1835 in a letter addressed to the Collector Mr. Crawley by Mr. D. Elliot Secretary to the Governor in the following words.

"The Collector will bring the claim of
"the Zemindar of Pettapore for remission on
"account of Mannadary lands said to have been
"included in the permanent settlement, to the
"notice of the Board of Revenue, who will report
"upon it for the orders of Government."

37. The Zemindar's claim would appear from the passage above quoted to have been favorably entertained by Sir Thomas Munro and by Mr. Robertson then Collector to whom he refers. It was also favorably

regarded

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

regarded by both Mr. Kindersley and Mr. H. Gardiner, as will be seen by the Copies of those Gentlemens letters accompanying.

Vide enclosures Nos. 7 & 8.

38. The recognition of the claim would greatly affect the affairs of the present Zemindar involving as it would remission of Peshcush for the future of a sum of about 5,000 Rupees per annum and the refund to the Zemindar for past years of a considerable sum in addition to Rupees 37,253-8-1 which Mr. Kindersley's Statement submitted, shewed to be due to the Zemindar up to 1824/1233/34.

39. It will be sufficient time to determine with precision these amounts, when the decision of the Board be in favor of the claim itself. Till their opinion on it be communicated any further calculations for this purpose would be premature.

40. I have only to add that it is of importance to the Zemindar as well as to those connected with his Estate that the terms on which the property or a portion of it is found to be made over to him should be speedily decided, to enable him to make his arrangements for the next fasly year. It is the Zemindar's intention to introduce the system of Village Money rents for kernd rdrying fruits &c.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

five years and in conjunction with his Maternal Uncle who was in Trustee 1246 & 1247. Manager of the Estate and who bears a respectable character to superintend the affairs of the Zemindary.—

41. The Zemindar himself seems duly impressed with the responsible nature of the duties required of him and to be better disposed and of better and less expensive habits than the generality of persons of his class.—

42. The Measures he proposes to adopted for the future Management of his Estates appear calculated to attain success if unforeseen calamities do not arise. But relief such as I have ventured above to recommend must be extended to the Zemindar if the liberal views of the Government and of the Honble the Court of Directors towards these ancient families as expressed in the Papers with which I have been officially furnished, are to be carried out in the case of Pettapore.—

Rajahmundry
Cocanadah
10th January 1844.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner
Statement

2218

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

Statement shewing the Assets, Permanent Beriz all the Estates of Pettapore Zemindary, since it came under Management.—

No. 1.

Summakbandy Settlement, Collections and Balances of

No.	Name of the Estates	Assets	Permanent Beriz	Fuslies	Amara=cum	Summak=bandy Settlement.	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection Subsequent Collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Collection Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Recoverable
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
1	Ancient Zemindary Pettapore	1,11,353 3 2	7,32,92 5 1	1238	1,04,729 3 "	1,04,729 3 "	99,528 15 9	1,861 5 10	1,01,390 5 7	" " "	3,338 13 6	
				1239	1,04,004 8 "	1,04,004 8 "	73,410 3 "	19,939 15 10	93,350 2 10	" " "	10,654 5 2	
				1240	1,06,391 6 11	95,118 15 6	84,394 13 1	7,701 10 10	92,096 7 11	" " "	3,022 7 7	
				1241	1,07,435 7 11	99,954 15 8	73,388 7 "	21,588 9 6	94,977 " 6	" " "	4,977 15 2	
				1242	1,06,153 9 5	85,237 12 5	49,879 10 3	22,502 15 2	72,382 9 5	" " "	12,855 3 "	
				1243	1,05,123 12 1	1,022,93 7 1	85,843 3 9	3,032 13 6	88,876 1 3	" " "	13,417 5 10	
				1244	1,05,729 13 2	1,03,685 " 2	82,975 " "	3,405 8 3	86,380 8 3	" " "	17,304 7 11	
				1245	1,04,123 5 10	1,02,603 10 10	67,240 3 9	2,404 11 1	69,644 14 10	" " "	32,958 12 "	
				1246	99,114 2 7	98,519 2 7	77,521 1 6	5,049 3 8	82,570 5 2	" " "	15,948 13 5	
				1247	95,697 11 "	94,627 6 "	65,578 " 7	6,752 10 4	72,330 10 11	" " "	22,296 11 1	
				1248	98,894 14 "	66,846 3 6	36,244 " 8	4,842 10 1	41,086 10 9	" " "	25,459 10 9	
				1249	93,381 8 8	64,869 13 8	50,243 4 11	968 11 3	51,212 " 2	" " "	13,657 13 6	
				1250	69,435 14 6	51,856 3 11	49,254 10 5	320 " "	40,574 10 5	" " "	11,283 9 6	
				1250	90,214 2 2	82,087 2 2	66,399 11 6	440 15 "	66,840 10 6	" " "	16,246 7 8	
				1252	76,700 5 6	74,673 5 6	49,254 8 6	" " "	49,254 8 6	" " "	25,418 13 "	
	Total	14,67,129 12 9	13,31,809 " "				10,02,153 14 8	1,00,811 12 4	11,02,967 11 "	" " "	2,28,841 5 "	
	Average		88,787 4 3						73,531 2 10	" " "		

2219

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.-

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets Permanent Peruy	Fusties	Amaracum	Summary Sundy Settlement	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection subsequent Collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Collection Total	Remission on account of Rent	Balance	Remarks
		Rs A P Rs A P			Rs A P Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
2	Samalesattah	102344 7 8 67584 11 1	1238		108250 13 " 108250 13 "	108140 13 "	" " "	108140 13 "	" " "	110 " "	
			1239		107232 " " 107232 " "	89841 6 3	12724 12 7	102566 2 10	420 "	4245 13 2	
			1240		109209 1 6 108749 14 9	92374 11 7	9303 14 4	101678 9 11	" " "	5071 4 7	
			1241		111869 " " 103571 3 10	70157 4 2	25356 9 10	95513 14 "	" " "	8057 5 10	
			1242		108781 15 6 79018 8 6	51689 4 5	19007 1 11	70696 6 4	800 " "	7522 2 2	
			1243		113938 10 2 110546 8 11	84401 5 8	4280 2 6	88681 8 2	" " "	21865 " 9	
			1244		110878 9 4 113232 " 11	88181 11 "	2323 8 5	90505 3 5	" " "	22726 13 6	
			1245		114411 13 5 112507 9 5	59609 3 3	4332 15 2	63942 2 5	" " "	48505 7 "	
			1246		100602 11 6 99402 5 6	75703 15 5	2964 8 11	78668 8 4	418 9 "	20315 4 2	
			1247		97620 3 9 96437 7 9	70925 12 3	3147 9 "	74073 5 3	" " "	22364 2 6	
			1248		101575 15 7 66077 15 7	32641 11 "	5815 " "	38456 11 "	" " "	27621 4 7	
			1249		89433 6 2 54610 10 1	44388 " 11	1663 15 "	46051 15 11	" " "	8558 10 2	
			1250		55648 2 1 41114 10 9	27908 2 4	642 9	27972 5 1	" " "	13142 5 8	
			1251		69132 11 3 64233 11 3	48099 9 8	650 1 5	48749 11 1	" " "	15484 " 2	
			1252		64061 8 3 62578 12 3	43653 12 7	" " "	43653 12 7	" " "	18924 " 2	
	Total	1463688 10 4			1325564 4 5	987716 11 6	91634 5 10	1079351 1 4	1638 9 "	244574 9 11	
	Average				88370 13 3			71956 11 10			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets Permanent Peris	Fusties Amaracum	Summary Sundry Settlement	Collections Within the Fusly	Collections Subsequent Collections up to the end of Fusly 1252	Collections Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
3.	Cocanada	22,809 13 6	15,069 1 7							
				1238	23,005 8 "		23,005 8 "			
				1239	22,839 8 "		22,839 8 "			
				1240	22,130 " "		21,988 3 3			
				1241	23,182 9 9		21,628 12 8			
				1242	21,824 14 5		20,171 11 10			
				1243	22,253 9 7		21,687 10 10			
				1244	21,884 3 4		21,300 8 7			
				1245	20,764 1 10		20,560 1 10			
				1246	15,772 12 2		15,704 12 2			
				1247	18,560 9 10		18,492 9 10			
				1248	18,510 12 "		17,413 1 8			
				1249	17,018 " 9		13,272 1 6			
				1250	12,818 2 6		10,323 " 6			
				1251	14,775 2 4		13,692 2 4			
				1252	13,748 9 "		13,011 9 "			
	Total	2,89,088 7 6	2,75,091 6 "							
	Average	18,339 6 9								
					2,05,240 4 7	27,369 3 1	2,32,609 7 8	259 6 "	42,222 8 4	
									15,507 4 10	

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

№	Names of the Estates	Assets Permanent Peruz	Fuslies	Amaraam = Sundry Settlement	Summary Collection Within the Fusly	Subsequent collection up to the end of Fusly 1252.	Total	Remission on account of rent.	Balance	Remarks
		Rs. A P Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
4	Selapaka	8,245 5 3 5,445 7 9	1238	8,607 5 "	8,560 5 "	10 " "	8,570 5 "	" " "	37 " "	
			1239	9,116 " "	4,509 11 10	4,194 14 "	8,704 9 10	" " "	411 6 2	
			1240	8,608 5 "	7,187 11 5	1,198 6 4	8,386 1 9	220 " "	2 3 3	
			1241	10,703 3 9	6,985 1 2	777 7 1	7,762 8 3	90 " "	331 14 6	
			1242	9,241 4 9	1,299 5 "	2,067 " 3	3,366 5 3	" " "	2,557 5 2	
			1243	9,394 " 9	7,027 9 7	703 14 4	7,731 7 11	" " "	4,369 4 10	
			1244	9,384 4 9	6,849 12 2	379 12 "	7,229 8 2	" " "	1,842 3 7	
			1245	9,655 3 9	5,495 4 8	217 9 "	5,712 13 8	" " "	3,752 1 6	
			1246	7,128 5 3	4,743 1 5	141 1 1	4,884 2 6	37 12 "	2,168 6 9	
			1247	7,928 14 3	7,243 14 7	180 8 "	7,424 6 7	" " "	454 7 8	
			1248	7,966 1 3	5,703 9 5	300 14 6	6,004 7 11	" " "	1,497 14 1	
			1249	7,589 11 9	3,470 9 10	71 4 "	3,541 13 10	" " "	3,034 3 2	
			1250	6,492 9 2	2,473 10 10	" " "	2,473 10 10	" " "	3,036 10 4	
			1251	8,718 5 1	5,371 8 8	25 1 10	5,396 10 6	" " "	2,603 10 7	
			1252	8,222 " 11	4,247 8 4	" " "	4,247 8 4	" " "	3,501 8 7	
	Total	129,055 11 5		119,044 13	81,168 11 11	10,867 12 5	92,036 8 4	347 12 "	26,660 8 9	
	Average	7,936 5					6,135 12 4			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets Permanent Peruj	Fusles	Amaramcum	Summah Sundy Peruj	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection subsequent up to the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks
		Rs. A P			Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
5	Bendapoody	56,134 5 "	37,068 5 9	1238	54,948 10 "	54,467 3 "	481 7 "	54,948 10 "	" "	" "	
				1239	56,457 " "	51,333 15 "	5,070 14 6	56,404 13 6	" "	52 2 6	
				1240	57,575 8 "	53,037 12 1	3,553 15 11	57,191 12 "	" "	383 12 "	
				1241	57,534 " "	50,826 15 3	5,864 10 10	56,691 10 1	" "	842 5 11	
				1242	57,456 4 "	29,877 10 6	10,723 8 11	40,601 3 5	" "	10,855 " 7	
				1243	63,364 5 6	30,939 13 8	6,080 10 "	37,020 7 8	" "	25,605 5 10	
				1244	63,128 15 "	47,045 14 3	2,239 13 8	49,285 11 11	" "	13,071 15 1	
				1245	59,421 13 1	41,566 12 11	4,653 4 8	46,220 1 7	" "	12,582 15 6	
				1246	56,357 14 1	39,041 15 5	1,347 13 4	40,389 12 9	" "	15,951 1 4	
				1247	54,104 1 4	47,469 12 6	1,811 13 11	49,281 10 5	" "	4,526 14 11	
				1248	54,489 12 "	40,171 11 1	7,622 6 "	47,794 1 1	" "	4,994 10 5	
				1249	54,489 12 "	47,711 7 7	583 3 3	48,294 10 10	" "	2,541 9 2	
				1250	40,328 14 6	28,199 2 10	36 8 "	28,235 10 10	" "	7,579 6 4	
				1251	48,899 8 2	35,602 5 5	720 3 10	36,322 9 3	" "	8,358 14 11	
				1252	4,783 " 6	35,159 2 4	" "	35,159 2 4	" "	5,743 14 2	
	Total	8,20,943 11 8	8,02,934 " 4			6,33,951 9 10	50,790 5 10	6,89,841 15 8	" "	1,13,092 " 8	
	Average		53,528 14 11					45,989 8 4			

2223

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No	Names of the Estates	Assets. Permanent Beriz	Fasles	Amara = cum =	Summah bundy Settlement	Collection. Within the Fasly	Collection. subsequent collection up to the end of Fasly 1252	Total	Remission on account of Fasly	Balance	Remarks
		Rs As Rs As		Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	
6	Chellore	33,620 5 10 22,201 3 "	1238	31,908 4 "	31,908 4 "	31,460 11 "	447 9 "	31,908 4 "	" "	" "	
			1239	31,991 " "	31,991 " "	27,127 15 "	4,452 6 1	31,580 5 1	" "	410 10 11	
			1240	32,683 9 6	30,563 1 9	24,966 13 "	2,653 7 6	27,619 4 6	" "	2,943 13 3	
			1241	33,350 15 10	30,297 10 2	21,073 6 2	4,320 13 1	25,394 3 3	" "	4,903 6 11	
			1242	26,428 9 "	24,996 7 "	13,715 10 9	7,307 15 4	21,023 10 1	" "	3,972 12 11	
			1243	28,020 12 9	27,098 10 9	21,928 15 3	1,605 12 8	23,534 11 11	" "	4,161 14 10	
			1244	28,389 8 7	27,969 " 7	23,078 6 1	1,388 14 6	24,466 4 7	" "	3,503 12 "	
			1245	28,206 13 7	28,086 13 7	16,681 14 "	3,718 2 2	20,400 " 2	" "	7,686 13 5	
			1246	25,465 8 "	25,465 8 "	16,581 12 6	3,301 4 4	19,883 " 10	" "	5,582 7 2	
			1247	20,629 4 "	20,629 4 "	22,168 8 7	1,601 5 3	23,769 13 10	" "	2,801 6 2	
			1248	20,656 12 "	20,656 12 "	14,649 5 3	3,163 14 3	17,813 3 6	" "	8,843 8 6	
			1249	25,949 5 3	19,284 15 "	12,110 7 11	1,029 12 10	13,140 4 9	" "	6,144 10 3	
			1250	19,744 5 3	16,044 15 "	9,821 8 9	69 11 "	9,891 3 9	" "	6,153 11 3	
			1251	20,876 2 5	18,461 12 5	13,441 2 6	17 13 8	13,459 " 2	" "	4,992 12 3	
			1252	20,301 5 7	19,509 5 7	12,175 13 8	" " "	12,175 13 8	" "	7,333 7 11	
	Total	4,06,830 3 9		3,85,551 7 11		2,80,979 6 5	35,076 13 8	3,16,056 4 1	" "	69,495 3 9	
	Average			25,703 6 11				21,070 6 8			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets. Permanent Beriz	Fusly	Amara = cum =	Immah = bandy Settlement	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection subsequent collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks
		Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
7	Cadeyam	35,578 7 3	1238	43,579 12 "	43,579 12 "	42,679 6 "	900 6 "	43,579 12 "	" " "	" " "	
		23,494 5 5	1239	42,043 14 "	42,043 14 "	35,117 9 9	6,926 4 3	42,043 14 "	" " "	" " "	
			1240	39,863 5 3	36,523 4 9	24,325 10 6	11,285 2 5	35,610 12 11	" " "	912 7 10	
			1241	39,963 1 "	36,291 6	17,891 14 9	5,032 8 6	22,924 7 3	" " "	13,366 10 3	
			1242	39,437 9 9	37,328 2 6	31,839 1 3	3,539 8 8	35,378 9 11	" " "	1,949 8 7	
			1243	44,616 11 1	41,012 14 1	36,687 4 3	2,044 6 11	38,722 11 2	" " "	2,290 2 11	
			1244	41,953 10 "	41,343 12 6	33,402 8 "	2,469 " 7	35,871 8 7	" " "	5,472 3 11	
			1245	38,622 8 9	38,077 8 9	25,747 9 "	7,450 9 1	33,198 2 1	" " "	4,879 6 8	
			1246	37,189 12 "	37,189 12 "	31,211 12 9	4,529 11 3	35,741 8 "	" " "	1,448 4 "	
			1247	37,168 8 "	37,140 8 "	28,586 9 4	4,596 11 9	33,183 5 1	" " "	3,957 2 11	
			1248	38,684 " 10	36,458 3 8	28,408 9 "	4,377 2 11	32,785 11 11	" " "	3,672 7 9	
			1259	37,037 8 "	36,911 8 "	28,723 9 10	1,991 10 2	30,715 4 "	" " "	6,196 4 "	
			1250	33,563 13 5	33,420 13 5	30,125 12 7	126 11 6	30,252 8 1	" " "	3,168 5 4	
			1251	32,961 6 "	32,427 2 "	28,833 5 "	106 14 1	23,940 3 1	" " "	3,486 14 11	
			1252	30,820 2 11	30,069 2 11	24,220 8 6	" " "	24,220 8 6	" " "	5,848 10 5	
	Total	574,507 11 "		559,817 8 1		447,792 2 6	55,376 12 1	503,168 14 7	" " "	56,648 9 6	
	Average			37,321 2 8				33,544 9 6			

22257

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates.	Asets	Permanent Pery.	Fusles	Amara = cum =	Summah. = bundy Settlement.	Collection. Within the Fusly	Subsequent collection upto the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
8	Chullapully	22,447 14 5	14,823 8 4	1238	20,352 8 "	20,352 8 "	20,352 8 "	" " "	20,352 8 "	" " "	" " "	
				1239	20,470 " "	20,470 " "	20,003 5 "	466 11 "	20,470 " "	" " "	" " "	
				1240	20,470 " "	20,470 " "	18,368 13 6	1,815 2 3	20,183 15 9	" " "	286 " 3	
				1241	20,470 " "	20,470 " "	14,097 1 6	6,372 14 6	20,470 " "	" " "	" " "	
				1242	20,470 " "	20,470 " "	10,752 1 "	9,667 3 6	20,419 4 6	" " "	50 11 6	
				1243	20,855 12 "	20,753 12 "	18,187 " "	615 14 "	18,802 14 "	" " "	1,950 14 "	
				1244	21,525 14 6	21,223 14 6	17,577 3 6	1,126 10 6	18,703 14 "	" " "	2,520 " 6	
				1245	20,421 4 "	20,221 4 "	19,268 7 8	121 7 "	19,389 14 8	" " "	831 54	
				1246	20,298 14 10	19,943 6 10	17,691 13 6	1,784 12 "	19,476 9 6	" " "	466 134	
				1247	19,282 10 6	18,978 14 6	16,700 8 2	903 1 "	17,603 9 2	" " "	1,375 54	
				1248	19,030 " "	19,030 " "	15,507 15 "	1,050 13 "	16,558 12 "	" " "	2,471 4 "	
				1249	19,183 12 9	18,352 " 3	11,967 3 1	688 7 5	12,655 10 6	" " "	5,696 59	
				1250	18,318 14 9	17,417 1 9	11,662 11 9	510 " "	12,172 11 9	" " "	5,244 6 "	
				1251	19,423 10 3	16,479 3 3	11,559 3 5	197 7 6	11,756 10 11	" " "	4,722 84	
				1252	13,513 11 9	13,077 11 9	8,217 13 1	" " "	8,217 13 1	" " "	4,859 148	
	Total	294,087 1 4	287,709 12 11				231,913 12 2	25,320 7 8	257,234 3 10	" " "	30,475 9 "	
	Average		19,180 10 6						17,148 152			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates.	Assets. Permanent Beriz	Fuslies Amara: cum	Summabundy Settlement	Collection. Within the Fusly	Subsequent Collection upto the end of Fusly 1252-	Total	Remission on account of rent-	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. As.		Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	
	Total of Ancient Zemindary	3,92,533 14	1,25,897 " "	1238	3,86,781 9 9	4,572 11 10	3,91,354 5 7	100 " "	3,927 9 5	
				1239	3,16,584 9 8	59,158 3 4	3,75,742 13 "	420 " "	17,991 1 "	
				1240	3,24,373 5 2	39,558 2 1	3,63,931 7 3	220 " "	13,445 13 6	
				1241	4,04,538 7 1	3,77,932 2 7	2,71,372 4	72,786 9 6	3,44,158 9 10	90 " " 33,683 8 9
				1242	3,89,789 2 10	3,31,202 8 8	196,815 1 10	88,672 4 3	285,488 " 1	800 " " 44,914 8 7
				1243	4,04,570 9 11	3,95,717 9 11	2,98,642 14 9	20,014 15 5	3,18,657 14 2	" " " 77,059 11 9
				1244	4,08,874 14 8	4,00,183 12 "	3,16,358 15 8	13,846 1 5	3,30,205 1 1	" " " 69,978 10 11
				1245	3,95,627 " 3	3,90,325 5 3	2,49,759 13 "	23,561 13 8	2,73,321 10 8	159 6 " 116,844 4 7
				1246	3,62,130 " 5	3,59,656 2 5	2,77,362 3 4	19,065 10 3	2,96,427 9 1	456 5 " 62,772 4 4
				1247	3,56,991 14 8	3,53,993 9 8	2,75,714 3 8	19,541 10 3	2,95,255 13 11	" " " 58,737 11 9
				1248	3,66,217 9 2	2,92,473 7 11	186,150 8 "	30,850 10 11	2,17,001. 2 11	" " " 75,472 5 "
				1249	3,44,403 1 4	2,64,713 5 6	2,05,509 6 7	8,187 9 6	2,13,697 " 1	" " " 51,016 5 5
				1250	2,56,347 12 2	2,11,504 3 8	157,471 9 8	1,134 3 3	1,58,605 12 11	" " " 52,898 6 9
				1251	3,05,000 15 8	2,81,052 14 8	2,20,445 11 11	2,243 8 11	2,22,689 4 10	" " " 58,363 9 10
				1252	2,69,150 12 5	2,61,634 " 5	186,729 9 1	" " "	186,729 9 1	" " " 74,904 7 4
	Total	54,50,109 5 9	5,08,752 2 4 5		38,70,071 10 5	4,03,194 8 1	42,73,266 2 6	2,245 11 "	8,12,010 6 11	
	Average	- - - - -	3,39,168 2 5						2,84,884 6 7	

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.-

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets Permanent Beriz	Fusli	Amara = cum =	Summah bundy Settlement	Collection Within the Fusly	Subsequent Collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent.	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
9	Gollaprolu	38206 " "	1238	40042 " "	40042 " "	39133 12 "	908 4 "	40042 " "	" " "	" " "	
		26026 " "	1239	40064 " "	40064 " "	30690 15 2	5444 12 7	36135 11 9	" " "	3928 4 3	
			1240	40762 7 "	39885 13 10	34892 6 7	4617 4 2	39509 10 9	" " "	376 3 1	
			1241	39310 " "	39310 " "	30227 8 10	7406 9 9	37634 2 7	" " "	1675 13 5	
			1242	39270 " "	39270 " "	21818 15 "	13701 2 "	35520 1 "	149 8 4	36000 6 8	
			1243	40393 12 6	39859 4 6	27591 " 2	5306 14 9	32897 14 11	84 " "	6877 5 7	Purchased by the late Zemindar from Enoogunty Sooriah for Rupees 66850
			1244	42888 7 8	41642 11 8	33441 2 2	1791 7 10	35232 10	" " "	6410 1 8	
			1245	42272 2 "	41052 10 "	27702 10 5	3018 7 6	30721 1 11	84 " "	10247 8 1	
			1246	39145 12 "	38462 4 "	27727 9 4	2315 14 1	30043 7 5	" " "	8418 12 7	
			1247	37737 " "	37320 8 "	33553 5 3	2463 5 5	36016 10 8	" " "	1303 13 4	
			1248	37887 " "	37021 8 "	24627 1 10	288 6 2	24915 8 "	" " "	1210 6 "	
			1249	35985 11 "	29880 11 6	25306 13 8	264 3 6	25571 1 2	" " "	4309 10 4	
			1250	31485 15 11	28242 " 8	26272 6 7	" " "	26272 6 7	" " "	1969 10 1	
			1251	36194 4 1	34584 4 1	30161 12 10	335 5 3	30497 2 1	" " "	4087 2 "	
			1252	31107 2 5	30516 2 5	26619 9 1	" " "	26619 9 1	" " "	3896 9 4	
	Total	574545 10 7		557153 14 8		439767 " 11	47862 1 "	487629 1 11	317 8 4	69207 4 5	
	Average			37143 9 6				32508 9 9			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.-

No.	Names of the Estates.	Permanent assets Beriz	Fuslies	Amara-Sumabundy-cum- Settlement	Collection: Within the Fusly	Collection: Subsequent collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Collection: Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
10	Covoor	21,598 8 " 27,454 11 3	1238	31,536 " "	31,536 " "	" " "	31,536 " "	" " "	" " "	Purchased by the late Zemindar at Public Auction for Rupees 22155-" -"
			1239	31,772 " "	29,563 13 6	394 10 3	29,958 7 9	" " "	1,813 8 3	
			1240	31,445 " "	26,254 13 6	4,738 12 6	30,993 10 "	" " "	451 6 "	
			1241	30,534 8 "	18,540 8 "	4,539 12 6	23,080 4 6	739 13 10	3,655 " 2	
			1242	28,935 1 "	25,793 2 "	2,857 14 "	28,651 " "	" " "	115 4 "	
			1243	29,533 6 "	26,507 " "	1,533 8 "	28,040 8 "	492 6 "	956 4 "	
			1244	29,800 14 "	26,915 9 "	1,530 2 "	28,445 11 "	345 6 "	965 9 "	
			1245	30,027 1 "	24,942 6 3	2,232 12 3	27,175 2 6	721 2 5	1,988 12 1	
			1246	29,110 5 6	26,956 4 "	784 1 5	27,740 5 5	" " "	1,276 " 1	
			1247	29,355 10 6	27,792 7 5	280 1 6	28,072 8 11	" " "	1,165 1 7	
			1248	31,141 12 9	26,992 1 4	869 9 7	27,861 10 11	" " "	1,845 15 10	
			1249	30,417 12 "	26,440 1 4	1,045 7 "	27,486 5 8	" " "	2,524 " 4	
			1250	28,970 1 "	27,234 1 "	" " "	27,234 1 "	" " "	1,527 " "	
			1251	29,986 14 11	27,725 " "	197 3 2	27,922 3 2	" " "	1,512 12 9	
			1252	29,336 9 8	25,398 7 3	" " "	25,398 7 3	" " "	3,381 12 5	
	Total	4,51,903 " 4		4,44,507 3 8 10	3,98,592 7 11	21,003 14 2	4,19,596 6 1	2,298 12 3	23,178 6 6	
	Average			29,671 9 1			27,973 1 6			

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets	Permanent Beriz	Fusliees.	Amara Sammak Lundy Settlement.	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection Subsequent collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent.	Balance.	Remarks.
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
11	Attely	25,698 15 "	22,169 3 1	1238	26,748 12 "	24,783 2 "	1,469 11 "	26,252 13 "	" " "	495 15 "	
				1239	26,044 6 5	20,277 " "	5,746 8 "	26,023 8 "	" " "	20 14 5	Purchased by the late Zemindar at Public auction for Rs. 21755 " "
				1240	27,973 7 "	21,602 8 3	775 2 6	22,377 10 9	" " "	119 10 6	
				1241	28,419 1 9	18,109 13 "	4,269 12 11	22,379 9 11	" " "	334 2 4	
				1242	26,867 8 6	13,556 10 2	4,686 13 6	18,243 7 8	" " "	4,098 9 7	
				1243	26,916 12 3	20,160 9 9	2,770 15 9	22,931 9 6	" " "	3,612 2 9	
				1244	26,931 4 9	22,475 6 8	918 7 4	23,393 14 "	" " "	3,164 6 9	
				1245	25,769 1 3	18,715 15 "	2,624 10 9	21,340 9 9	" " "	4,030 7 6	
				1246	23,329 1 6	19,926 1 "	2,560 11 1	22,486 12 1	" " "	818 5 5	
				1247	25,095 1 6	23,381 2 3	313 13 "	23,694 15 3	" " "	1,322 8 3	
				1248	25,857 14 "	10,298 5 6	2,736 15 3	13,035 4 9	" " "	11,478 14 9	
				1249	23,126 12 7	19,860 3 3	639 6 4	20,499 9 7	" " "	2,036 3 "	
				1250	22,508 " 7	17,534 8 6	705 14 9	18,240 7 3	" " "	1,985 " 7	
				1251	23,610 14 10	20,599 3 11	158 15 8	20,758 3 7	" " "	1,528 12 10	
				1252	22,894 5 2	18,835 4 "	" " "	18,835 4 "	" " "	3,221 1 2	
	Totals	382,092 8 1	358,760 13 11.			290,115 13 3	30,377 13 10	320,493 11 1	" " "	38,267 2 10	
	Average		23,917 6 3					21,366 3 11			

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

No.	Names of the Estates	Assets.	Permanent Beriz	Fusly.	Amara: = Cumy	Jummah: bundy Settlement	Collection. Within the Fusly.	Collection. Subsequent Collection up to the end of Fusly 1252	Total	Remission on account of rent.	Balance.	Remarks.
		Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
12	Khundavully	12,294 "	13,212 7 1									
				1238	15,745 " "	15,745 " "	15,340 7 "	404 9 "	15,745 " "	" " "	" " "	
				1239	15,745 " "	15,745 " "	11,694 5 "	3,466 14 9	15,161 3 9	" " "	583 12 3	Purchased
				1240	15,725 " "	15,725 " "	8,882 15 "	2,409 14 1	11,292 13 1	" " "	4,432 2 11	by the late
				1241	15,623 14 3	13,677 15 3	6,648 6 6	5,722 13 "	12,371 3 6	" " "	1,306 11 9	Zemindar
				1242	14,519 " "	14,519 " "	9,937 10 "	4,026 11 "	13,964 5 "	" " "	554 11 "	at Public
				1243	14,519 " "	14,519 " "	12,404 3 3	1,667 5 "	14,071 8 3	" " "	447 7 9	auction in the name of
				1244	14,519 " "	14,519 " "	12,612 10 "	1,232 8 "	13,845 2 "	" " "	673 14 "	Row Venkata
				1245	14,519 " "	14,519 " "	11,384 5 "	1,813 7 "	13,197 12 "	" " "	1,321 4 "	Row for Rs. 3850 and
				1246	14,550 " "	14,550 " "	12,414 6 "	1,801 1 6	14,215 7 6	" " "	334 8 6	now entered
				1247	14,900 " "	14,900 " "	13,957 1 "	871 15 "	14,829 " "	" " "	71 " "	in the name
				1248	14,829 " "	14,829 " "	11,611 8 "	2,114 2 3	13,725 10 3	" " "	1,103 5 9	of the late
				1249	14,829 " "	14,829 " "	12,209 13 "	2,176 4 "	14,386 1 "	" " "	442 15 "	Zemindar's
				1250	14,057 10 7	13,345 7 10	11,588 4 3	456 15 6	12,045 3 9	" " "	1,300 4 1	Sister in law
				1251	14,061 9 1	12,851 10 1	11,748 5 9	75 13 3	11,824 3 "	" " "	1,027 7 1	Bhaviah
				1252	13,604 11 5	12,986 11 5	11,242 15 "	" " "	11,242 15 "	" " "	1,743 12 5	but included in the whole Zemindary from its first acquisition
	Total—				22,174 6 13 4	21,726 0 12 7	1,73,677 2 9	28,240 5 4	2,01,917 8 1	" " "	15,343 4 6	
	average.				14,484 " 10			13,461 2 8				

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.–

No.	Names of the Estates	Permanent Assets.	Peraiz.	Fuslies	Amara = Cunn.	Summah: = lundy Settlement	Collection Within the Fusly	Collection Subsequent up to the end of Fusly 1252	Collection Total	Remission on account of rent.–	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs A P	Rs A P				Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
13	Moonecoodaly	2147 13 11	2,105 2 "	1242	2,386 5 3	1,079 12 3	678 4 "	288 7 7	966 11 7	" " "	113 " 8	Purchased
				1243	2,355 7 "	2,326 7 "	1,678 " "	32 15 "	1,710 15 "	" " "	615 8 "	at Public
				1244	2,396 5 "	2,367 5 "	1,603 5 "	7 12 "	1,611 1 "	" " "	756 4 "	auction for
				1245	2,124 10 9	2,097 10 9	1,063 6 "	60 8 "	1,123 14 "	" " "	973 12 9	Rupees 3000
				1246	1,801 12 "	1,791 12 "	1,261 15 4	209 15 2	1,471 14 6	" " "	319 13 6	during the
				1247	1943 8 "	1,934 8 "	1,394 10 6	16 4 "	1,410 14 6	" " "	523 9 6	Minority
				1248	2,301 9 "	1,806 1 "	1,510 3 "	33 7 "	1,543 10 "	" " "	262 7 "	management
				1249	1,877 " "	1865 " "	1,645 11 "	14 12 "	1,660 7 "	" " "	204 9 "	
				1250	1,883 " "	1,871 " "	1,489 " "	13 3 3	1,502 3 3	" " "	368 12 9	
				1251	2,096 12 6	1,987 5 6	1,650 8 "	" " "	1,650 8 "	" " "	336 13 6	
				1252	2,068 7 "	2,020 7 "	1,480 " 6	" " "	1,480 " 6	" " "	540 6 6	
				Total	23234 12 6	21,147 4 6	15,454 15 4	677 4 "	16,132 3 4	" " "	5,015 1 2	
				average		1,922 7 8			1,466 9 "	" " "		
14	Bheemanapully	7,255 " "	5,392 8 "	1243	8,215 6 "	7,929 14 "	5,031 9 6	855 1 9	5,886 11 3	" " "	2,043 2 9	The above total contains 3 Villages The 2 Villages of Bheemanapully and Pundaporah were purchased at Public auction for Rs. 14500 and paid for by the present Zemindar's Uncle & the Village Samanah was purchased from Volaty Romonah for Rs. 4000 paid for from the Funds of the Estate by the Coll.r on account of the Minor the total price being Rs. 18500–
				1244	8,201 9 3	7,916 1 3	4,763 13 10	702 6 3	5,466 4 1	" " "	2,449 13 2	
				1245	6,474 1 3	6,392 1 3	3,913 1 "	824 6 9	4,737 7 9	" " "	1,654 9 6	
				1246	3,569 10 "	3,368 2 "	1,723 1 6	893 9 7	2,616 11 1	" " "	751 6 11	
				1247	4,202 " 11	3,985 8 11	2,602 4 6	753 2 3	3,355 6 9	" " "	630 2 2	
				1248	5,181 14 3	5,181 14 3	2,840 " "	743 2 3	3,583 2 3	" " "	1,598 12 "	
				1249	5,281 14 3	5,281 14 3	4,889 4 3	" " "	4,889 4 3	" " "	392 10 "	
				1250	5,084 14 3	5,084 14 3	2,296 9 "	" " "	2,296 9 "	" " "	2,788 5 3	
				1251	4,756 1 "	4,261 14 "	3,628 14 "	210 3 6	3,839 1 6	" " "	422 12 6	
				1252	3,920 10 6	3,763 10 6	3,167 4 2	" " "	3,167 4 2	" " "	596 6 4	
				Total	54,888 1 8	53,165 14 5	34,855 13 9	4,982 " 4	39,837 12 7	" " "	13,328 " 7	
				Average		5,316 9 6						

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.-

No.	Names of the Estates.	Assets Permanent Peiz	Fusly.	Amara=Immab: =bundy =cumv.=Settlement	Collection Within the Fusly-	Collection Subsequent collection upto the end of Fusly 1252	Collection Total	Remission on account of rent	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. A G		Rs. A G	Rs. A G	Rs. A G	Rs. A G	Rs. A G	Rs. A G	
15	Penorgonda	2,648 " 2,22,159 3 3	1247	28,516 3 7	22,311 12 6	332 13 "	22,644 9 6	" " "	5,421 8 1	
			1248	31,321 15 9	15,108 8 11	8,883 14 8	119 9 11	9,003 8 7	" " "	6,105 " 4 Purchased by Chinta=butta Vencata Row late Proprietor for Rs. 9750, and transferred to the present Zemindar on his mar=riage.-
			1249	24,664 14 9	24,584 14 9	19,033 3 "	29 2 6	19,062 5 6	" " "	5,522 9 3
			1250	22,682 5 "	21,972 8 6	12,485 14 9	248 11 9	12,734 10 6	" " "	9,237 14 "
			1251	23,023 3 1	18,205 9 8	16,050 2 9	133 7 11	16,183 10 8	" " "	2,021 15 "
			1252	18309 13 6	17,597 5 6	15,344 6 6	" " "	15,344 6 6	" " "	2,252 15 "
		Total		148,518 7 8	125535 " 11	94,109 6 2	863 13 1	94,973 3 3	" " "	30,561 13 8
16	Podoor	15,606 8 " 14,994 " "	Average	2,092 2 8				15,828 13 11	" " "	
			1247	17,887 15 7	17,561 15 7	15,191 6 6	9 14 "	15,201 4 6	" " "	2,360 11 1
			1248	2,286 11 "	6,200 9 9	3,521 3 2	64 8 "	3,585 11 2	" " "	2,614 14 7 Du by Do for Rupees 10,010 and transferred to the present Zemindar-
			1249	15,743 8 "	14,698 10 10	11,119 15 8	" 10 6	11,120 10 2	" " "	3,578 " 8
			1250	11,330 10 4	6,912 6 1	4,580 15 "	20 6 4	4,787 3 10	" " "	2,125 2 3
			1251	11,802 2 "	9,915 9 6	8,777 3 5	59 2 9	8,836 6 2	" " "	1,079 3 4
			1252	10,867 3 8	10,358 8 8	9,389 14 1	" " "	9,389 14 1	" " "	968 5 7
		Total		89,898 2 7	86,477 5	52,580 9 10	340 8 1	52,921 1 11	" " "	12,726 5 6
		Average		10,941 3 11				8,820 3 "		

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.-

No.	Names of the Estates	Permanent Assets. Beriz	Fuslies	Amaiz. Cum.	Summab. bundy Beriz	Collection within the Fusly	Collection Subsequent collection up to the end of Fusly 1252.	Total	Remission on account of rent.	Balance	Remarks.
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Total of Proprietary Estates.	149560 1 1	133513 2 8								
			1238	114071 12 "	114071 12 "	110793 5 "	2782 8 "	113575 13 "	" " "	49515 "	
			1239	113625 6 5	113625 6 5	92228 1 8	15052 13 7	107278 15 3	" "	6346 7 2	
			1240	115905 14 "	109553 3 1	91632 11 4	12541 1 3	104173 12 7	" "	5379 6 6	
			1241	113887 8 "	103176 14 "	73526 4 4	21939 " 2	95465 4 6	739 13 10	6971 11 8	
			1242	111977 14 9	105977 1 6	71784 9 2	25561 " 1	97345 9 3	149 8 14	8481 15 11	
			1243	121933 11 9	120667 7 9	93372 6 8	12166 12 3	105539 2 11	576 6 "	14551 14 10	
			1244	124737 8 8	122760 " 8	101811 14 8	6182 11 5	107994 10 1	3456 "	14420 " 7	
			1245	121186 " 3	119317 8 3	87721 11 8	10574 3	98295 15 11	805 2 5	20216 6 11	
			1246	111506 9 "	110493 9 "	90009 5 2	8565 4 10	98574 10 "	" " "	11918 15 "	
			1247	159637 8 1	158023 12 1	140184 1 11	5041 4 2	145225 6 1	" "	12798 6 "	
			1248	171387 12 9	134369 8 2	90284 5 6	6969 12 5	97254 1 11	" "	37115 6 3	
			1249	151326 8 7	143686 5 11	120505 14 6	4169 13 10	124675 12 4	" "	19010 9 7	
			1250	138002 9 8	126414 4 2	103481 11 1	16312 1	105112 13 2	" "	21302 1 "	
			1251	145531 13 6	133528 5 2	120341 2 8	11703 6	121511 6 2	" "	12016 15 "	
			1252	132108 15 4	128079 1 4	111477 12 7	" "	111477 12 7	" "	16601 3 9	
	Total	1946278 9		1843744 13 6		1499153 5 11	134347 11 10	1633501 1 9	2616 4 7	2076277 2	
	Average	" "		144550 6 3				125362 14 3			

Fort St. George.

8th February 1844

No.	Names of the Estates	Permanent assets Beriz Rs. A. P.	Fusly	Ainara: Cums- Beriz- Rs. A. P.	Summary: Rs. A. P.	Collection Within the Fusly Rs. A. P.	Collection Subsequent up to the end of Fusly 1252. Rs. A. P.	Total Rs. A. P.	Remission on Account of Rent. Rs. A. P.	Balance Rs. A. P.	Remarks
	Grand Total of Ancient Zemindary and of Proprietary Estates.-	54,09,315 2	1238	50,94,531 1	50,94,531 1	49,75,741 9	7,355 3 10	50,49,302 2 7	100 " "	4,423 8 5	
		39,24,922 8	1239	50,77,794 5	50,77,794 5	40,88,101 1 4	74,211 " 11	48,30,212 3	420 " "	24,337 8 2	
			1240	51,28,372 2	48,71,507 11	41,60,06 " 6	52,099 3 4	46,81,05 3 10	220 " "	18,825 4 "	
			1241	51,84,257 5 1	48,11,09 " 7	34,48,98 4 8	94,725 9 8	43,96,23 14 4	829 13 10	40,655 4 5	
			1242	50,17,671 7	43,71,791 02	20,85,99 5 "	1,14,233 14 4	38,28,33 9 4	949 8 4	53,396 8 6	
			1243	52,65,045 8	51,63,851 8	39,20,15 5 5	32,181 11 8	42,41,94 1 1	576 6 "	91,611 10 7	
			1244	53,36,127 4	52,29,431 28	41,81,70 14 4	20,028 12 10	43,81,99 11 2	345 6 "	84,398 11 6	
			1245	51,68,13 " 6	50,96,421 36	33,74,81 8 8	34,136 1 11	37,16,17 10 7	964 8 5	13,766 10 6	
			1246	47,36,36 9 5	47,01,491 15	36,73,71 8 6	27,030 10 7	29,50,02 3 1	456 5 "	74,691 3 4	
			1247	51,66,29 6 9	51,20,175 9	41,58,98 5 7	24,582 14 5	44,04,81 4 "	" " "	41,536 1 9	
			1248	52,76,05 5 11	42,68,43 " 1	27,64,34 13 6	37,820 7 4	31,42,55 4 10	" " "	11,287 11 3	
			1249	49,57,29 9 11	40,83,991 5	33,60,15 5 1	12,357 7 4	33,83,72 12 5	" " "	70,026 15 "	
			1250	39,43,50 5 10	33,79,191 10	2,60,953 4 9	2,765 5 4	2,63,718 10 1	" " "	4,200 7 9	
			1251	45,05,32 13 2	41,45,81 3 10	3,40,786 14 7	3,413 12 5	3,44,200 11 "	" " "	70,380 8 10	
			1252	40,12,59 11	9,38,971 31 9	2,98,207 5 8	" " "	2,98,207 5 8	" " "	91,505 12 1	
	Grand Total - - -	7,39,693 6 14			6,93,126 7 11	53,69,225 " 1	53,542 3 11	59,06,767 4 3	4,861 15 7	1,01,963 14 1	
	Average " " "	48,314 8 7 4									

Rajahmundry District,
Cocanada, 10th January 1844.

E. E. Fbrs

Signed H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner.

Statement

2235

Fort St. George

8th February 1844

No. 2 Statement shewing the Demand Gross Collections charges and Net Revenue carried to the credit of Peishcush as also the remaining Balance on account thereof for all the Estates of Vitapore Zemindary in Rajahmundry District.

No.	Names of Estate	From what to what Fusly	Demand of Peishcush	Gross Collections		Total	Deduct whole charges	Net Revenue carried to the credit of Peishcush	Remaining Balance on account of Peishcush	Balance of cash remaining till Fusly 1250	Amount of excess charges made till the end of Fusly 1252
				Collections of Land Revenue	Do. other Items such as Succary &c.						
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Ancient Zemindary	From F. 1238 to F. 1250	33,667 7 "	38,495 9 2	2 39,7 214 2 2	42,46,723 4 4	11,18,386 " 7	31,19,818 8 8	2,46,908 7 4	8518 11 1	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	5,7,958 "	4,23,757 " 4	38,975 2 6	4,62,732 2 10	1,24,532 1 7	3,52,874 " 6	16,5083 15 6	" " "	14,673 153
		Total	38,84,685 " "	42,73,266 2 6	4,36,189 4 8	47,09,455 7 2	12,42,918 2 2	34,72,692 9 2	4,11,992 6 10	8518 11 1	14,673 153
2	Gollaprolo	From F. 1238 to 1250	338,338 " "	42,985 9 7	21,641 8 7	4,57,501 " 4	1,13,163 " 4	3,38,338 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 and 52	52,052 " "	5,7,709 10 2	1,096 " "	58,865 10 2	6,813 10 3	52,052 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		Total	390,390 " "	48,695 1 11	22,737 8 7	5,0,366 10 6	1,19,976 10 6	3,90,390 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	Covoor	From F. 1238 to 50	35,691 2 3	3,65,787 14 8	4,869 12 3	3,69,857 10 11	75,005 9 3	2,94,852 1 8	02059 " 7	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	54,949 6 6	53,808 7 5	100 " "	53,908 7 5	7,653 12 "	46,254 10 5	8,054 12 1	" " "	" " "
		Total	4,11,820 8 9	4,19,596 6 1	4,169 12 3	4,23,766 2 4	82,659 6 3	3,41,106 12 1	70,713 12 8	" " "	" " "
4	Attely	From F. 1238 to 50	288,199 8 1	278,354 " 9	13,050 53	291,404 6 "	65,279 10 6	2,26,124 11 6	62,074 12 7	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	44,338 6 2	42,139 10 4	1521 103	43,061 4 7	9,314 13 "	34,346 7 7	9991 14 7	" " "	" " "
		Total	332,537 4 3	320,493 11 1	14,571 156	3,35,065 10 7	74,594 7 6	2,60,471 3 1	72,066 11 2	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.

№	Names of Estates	From what to what Fasly	Demand of Peishcush	Collections of Land Revenue	Collections Do. other Items such as Succary &ca.	Total	Deduct whole charges	Net Revenue carried to the credit of Peishcush	Remaining Balance on account of Peishcush	Balance of cash remaining till Fasly 1250	Amount of excess charges as made till the end of Fasly 1252
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
5	Khundanully	From F. 1238 to 50	171761 12 1	171450 13 4	2355 10 6	179805 7 10	35831 13 9	143974 10 1	27787 2 "	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	26424 14 2	24466 10 9	568 6 "	25035 " 9	1023 8 9	24011 8 "	2413 6 2	" " "	" " "
		Total	198186 10 3	201917 8 1	2924 " 6	204841 8 7	36855 6 6	167986 2 1	30200 8 2	" " "	" " "
	Total of 4 Proprietary Estates	From F. 1238 to 50	115210 6 5	125452 4 6	4111 7 4	129256 9 1	28980 1 10	100389 7 3	15920 15 2	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	17724 10 10	17818 6 8	3286 " 3	18147 6 11	2480 12 11	15666 10 "	2106 " 10	" " "	" " "
		Total	132935 1 3	142963 11 2	44403 4 10	147404 " "	31085 14 9	115994 1 3	17298 1 "	" " "	" " "
	Total of the Ancient Zemindary and 4 Proprietary Estates remain'd till the late Zemindar's death.	From F. 1238 to 1250	452193 7 5	537096 1 6 8	43633 1 6 9	553929 2 13 5	140766 2 5	412310 7 15 11	39882 9 6 6	8518 11 1	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	69568 2 10 10	60194 1 7 "	4226 1 2 9	64420 2 9 9	14933 7 14 6	50953 8 10 6	18614 " 4	" " "	14673 15 3
		Total	521762 1 3	597290 2 13 8	48059 2 9 6	618349 5 7 2	155700 4 " 11	463264 6 10 5	58497 3 6 10	8518 11 1	14673 15 3
6	Moonecoodaly	From F. 1242 to 50	18946 2 "	12988 7 7	1059 1 "	14047 8 7	3580 13 9	10466 10 10	8479 7 2	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	4210 4 "	3143 11 9	238 8 "	3382 3 9	666 11 3	2715 8 6	1494 11 6	" " "	" " "
		Total	23156 6 "	16132 3 4	1297 9 "	17429 12 4	4247 9 "	13182 3 4	9974 2 8	" " "	" " "
7	Bheemanapully	From F. 1243 to 50	43140 " "	32831 8 5	3681 6 "	36512 14 4	10083 6 11	26429 8 5	16710 7 7	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	10785 " "	7086 5 8	408 2 "	7414 7 8	1237 2 "	6177 5 8	4607 10 4	" " "	" " "
		Total	53925 " "	39837 14 1	4089 8 "	43927 7 "	11320 8 11	32606 14 1	21318 1 11	" " "	" " "

For the Fy. 1252 — 8th February 1844

No.	Names of Estates	From what to what Fusly	Demand of Peishcush	Gross Collections: Collections of Land Revenue	Gross Collections: Do. other Items such as Tuccavy &ca	Total	Deduct whole Charges	Net Revenue carried to the Credit of Peishcush	Remaining Balance on account of Peishcush	Balance of Cash Remaining till Fusly 1250	Amount of excess Charges made till the end of Fusly 1252
			R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p	R a p
8	Penoogondah	From F. 1247 to 50	88,036 13 "	63,174 7 10	6,598 " 9	69,772 8 7	18,700 6 6	51,072 2 1	37,564 10 11	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	44,318 6 6	31,798 11 5	1,770 5 8	33,569 1 1	6,218 11 11	27,350 5 2	16,968 1 4	" " "	" " "
		Total	132,955 3 6	94,973 3 3	8,368 6 5	103,341 9 8	24,919 2 5	78,422 7 3	54,532 12 3	" " "	" " "
9	Podoor	From F. 1247 to 50	59,976 " "	34,488 8 10	5,275 2 9	39,763 11 7	11,835 3 11	27,928 5 8	32,047 10 4	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	29,988 " "	18,432 9 1	1,871 8 "	20,304 1 1	4,492 8 10	15,811 8 3	14,176 7 9	" " "	" " "
		Total	89,964 " "	52,921 1 11	7,146 10 9	60,067 12 8	16,327 12 9	43,739 13 11	46,224 2 1	" " "	" " "
	Total of 4 Proprietary Estates since acquired	From F. 1242 to 50	2,10,698 15 "	1,43,483 " 8	16,615 11 5	1,60,096 12 1	44,200 1 1	1,15,896 11 "	94,802 4 "	" " "	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	89,301 10 6	60,381 5 11	4,288 7 8	64,669 13 7	12,615 2 "	52,054 11 7	37,246 14 11	" " "	" " "
		Total	3,00,000 9 6	2,03,864 6 7	20,902 3 1	2,24,766 9 8	56,815 3 1	1,67,951 6 7	132,049 2 11	" " "	" " "
	Grand Total of Ancient Zemindary & of Proprietary Estates	From F. 1238 to 50	47,32,036 5 5	32,44,444 7 4	4,54,945 2 2	56,99,389 9 6	14,51,866 3 6	42,39,004 10 11	49,36,31 10 6	85,18 11 1	" " "
		For F. 1251 & 52	7,84,984 5 4	66,232 12 11	46,549 10 5	7,08,872 7 4	1,61,953 " 6	5,01,593 6 1	22,339 15 3	" " "	14,673 15 3
		Grand Total	55,17,020 10 9	37,06,677 4 3	5,01,494 12 7	64,08,262 " 10	16,13,819 4 "	48,00,598 1 "	71,022 9 9	" " "	14,673 15 3

Deduct the balances of cash remaining 8678 11 1

Remainder due to the Circar 6,155 4 2

Since received from the Collections of Fusly 1253

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
10th January 1844

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner

Abstract

1844

in the District of Rajahmundry. –

Collectors Commission	Servants wages Sadrward fees	Disbursements Charges Collection Tuccavy	Repairs	Deposites Rents	Total Charges
₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p
1748 3 10	6790 8 .	1,200 " "	705 2 6	" " "	10,443 14 4
9054 14 4	21,714 13 8	2742 5 "	2,789 3 9	" " "	36,301 4 9
5,997 8 2	21,718 7 4	1000 " "	1,287 6 3	" " "	30,003 5 9
253 9 3	20059 6 2	4952 15 "	1,881 9 4	" " "	27,747 7 9
5,496 " "	14909 13 5	11,012 14 6	4,267 12 9	" " "	36286 8 8
5521 6 5	14,946 1 7	15,431 3 7	4,038 11 "	" " "	40,537 6 7
" " "	15,635 " 1	60,100 " "	12,839 11 "	" " "	88,544 11 1
" " "	18,222 10 7	9,950 " "	6,171 15 5	" " "	34,344 10 "
7112 3 9	18,280 13 11	42,406 4 7	8703 6 "	1425 " "	77,927 12 3
4,350 5 11	18,497 9 10	25,185 1 5	6,417 8 8	" " "	54,450 9 10
7,092 15 5	24,864 11 1	8,717 11 "	3,635 4 3	1,051 14 4	45,942 8 1
0358 " 3	24,903 6 2	29,396 1 4	1,911 15 6	276 4 "	62,845 11 3
3,961 6 11	22,371 8 1	10,745 " 11	7,105 11 5	8,168 7 4	52,352 2 8
4,750 4 "	22,140 9 3	11,746 5 7	2,577 14 11	2981 " "	44,196 1 9
4,011 15 1	18,349 9 3	23,700 14 9	7,938 4 7	2350 " "	56,410 11 8
5005 10 11	14,119 8 6	17,438 " "	8,107 7 10	1,683 5 4	46,354 " 9
71,294 8 3	298,094 8 11	2,76,384 13 8	80,979 1 2	17,935 15 "	744,088 15 "

The Commission No Rupees 1212 was paid to the Collector. – That from Rs. 1246 amounting to Rupees 36,110 10 6 is profit to Government

Minor Zemindar's allowances	Charges for the Minor Zemindar &c allowances to his relations	Charges for the Minor Zemindar &c Pagoda allowances & Charges of the Chaultry	Total	Law Charges for Suits	Paid in Satisfaction of warrants of the Court	
₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	
13,100 " "	" " "	1930 " "	15030 " "	996 3 "	" " "	
40940 " "	3000 " "	6911 6 6	50851 6 6	2776 11 6	" " "	
49780 " "	17532 " "	6371 14 "	73883 14 "	4225 7 "	" " "	
37845 5 5	8766 " "	6131 " "	52742 5 5	1426 " "	" " "	
54064 10 7	8766 " "	6599 " "	69429 10 7	20 " "	" " "	
39115 " "	5766 " "	6458 " "	51339 " "	258 "	" " "	
43348 10 4	" " "	6077 10 "	50023 4 4	253 12 "	" " "	
35740 " "	10116 " "	5888 " "	51744 " "	210 " "	" " "	
43706 3 11	5114 " "	7826 10 "	56646 13 11	309 4 "	" " "	
35402 15 10	10839 5 4	6578 4 "	52880 9 2	11345 5 8	" " "	
63777 5 6	13096 8 4	7173 10 "	86047 7 10	4061 " "	" " "	
49302 " "	9358 " "	6611 14 "	65271 14 "	957 4 "	" " "	
28354 65 .	8043 65	3787 13 3	40185 10 1	230 " "	98691 7 10	
28100 3 "	7196 13 4	3597 11 "	38960 11 4	191 " "	" " "	
4789 6 5	1040 5 7	201 8 2	6031 4 2	76 " "	29174 3 9	
3000 " "	" " "	" " "	3000 " "	176 8 "	20730 4 4	
572489 3 5	108624 7 "	82950 4 11	764073 15 4	27272 15 2	148595 15 11	

52000 " "	Paid for the Marriages of the Zemindar, his Brother, and Sister. —	On account of Kirlumpoody Mootah. —	113382 1 11
517000 3 5	Paid for Maintenance. —	Do. of Goraza	14483 9 8
		Do. of Nagaram	20730 4 4
572489 3 5		Total	148595 15 11

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Law charges for Suits			Paid in Cash in satisfaction of warrants of the Court		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
				"	"	"
" "	996	3	"	"	"	"
166	2776	11	6	"	"	"
14	4225	7	"	"	"	"
5 5	1426	"	"	"	"	"
10 7	20	"	"	"	"	"
"	25	8	"	"	"	"
4 4	253	12	4	"	"	"
" "	210	"	"	"	"	"
13 11	209	4	"	"	"	"
9 2	11,345	5	8	"	"	"
7 10	4061	"	"	"	"	"
14	957	4	4	"	"	"
10 1	230	"	"	98091	7	10
11 4	191	"	"	"	"	"
4 2	70	"	"	29,174	3	9
" "	176	8	"	20,730	4	4
154	27,273	15	2	1,48,595	15	11

On account of Kirlumpoody Mootah — 1,13,382 1 11
Do of Gorasa — 14483 9 8
Do of Nagaram — 20730 4 4
Total 1,48,595 15 11

Discharged loans contracted by the late Zemindar				Total			Price of Estates purchased			Total Charges			Balance of Cash remaining at the end of each Fusly			Charges in excess at the end of each Fusly			Remarks
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
	7158	9	"	23,190	12	"	"	"	"	1,41,015	8	4	7474	15	"	"	"	"	Profit of Kirlumpoody Estate Fusly
	"	"	"	53,628	2	"	"	"	"	4,88,834	5	9	37392	14	9	"	"	"	Amount accrued for Fusly 1231
	"	"	"	78,109	5	"	"	"	"	4,55,954	"	2	"	"	"	27,290	"	"	and 1232 during the Management of
	"	"	"	54,168	5	5	"	"	"	4,29,757	2	9	55,702	6	8	"	"	"	the late Zemindar himself 27,661-3-7
	"	"	"	69,449	10	7	"	"	"	3,70,052	"	7	29,032	15	11	"	"	"	Settle — Do from F. 1233 to 29,174-3-9 56,834-7-4
	"	"	"	57,364	8	"	3000	"	"	3,43,249	5	9	3739	9	8	"	"	"	1234 Do — Do — Do from F. 1238 to
	"	"	"	50,277	"	4	"	"	"	5,75,205	1	9	"	"	"	5738	2	3	Fusly 1245, during the Manage-
	"	"	"	51,954	"	"	4000	"	"	5,53,423	"	2	"	"	"	8246	13	3	ment of the Circar, as credited 57,420-9-6
	"	"	"	56,956	1	11	"	"	"	4,11,027	12	3	"	"	"	11984	14	4	in this Amount. — —
	"	"	"	64,225	14	10	"	"	"	4,52,953	1	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	Deduct ready Money collec-
	"	"	"	90,180	7	10	"	"	"	5,59,281	2	2	"	"	"	71,950	"	"	tions in hand at the period of 872-14-11
	"	"	"	66,223	2	"	"	"	"	3,95,039	7	4	"	"	"	25,799	4	8	transfer of the Estate. — — 66,547-10-7
	"	"	"	139,107	1	11	"	"	"	4,42,535	2	7	27939	15	16	"	"	"	Total 1,13,382-1-11
	"	"	"	39,151	11	4	"	"	"	3,18,065	10	6	"	"	"	2356	"	1	
	"	"	"	35,281	7	11	"	"	"	3,63,211	2	5	15,586	14	14	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	23,906	12	4	"	"	"	3,60,335	4	2	"	"	"	30,260	14	2	
	7158	9	"	94,102	7	5	7000	"	"	6,61,599	4	2	177469	12	7	183025	"	9	
																177469	12	7	Deduct the balance of cash remaining at the end of certain Fusly as above. —
																9155	4	2	Remaining Balance due to the Circar on account of the charges in excess. —
																			The Balance has been since recovered from the Collections of Fusly 1253. —

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 10th January 1844 E. E. Jr.

(Signed) H. E. Montgomery
Commissioner

Statement

2240

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

No. 4 Statement shewing Demand, Collection, and Balance of Permanent Peishcush of Pittapore Zemindary in Rajahmundry District.

No.	Fuslies	Permanent Peishcush	Collection within Fusly	Subsequent Collections	Total Collection	Balance	Names of the Manager	Remarks
		₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p		
1	Fusly 1238	3,47,841 5 5	3,47,841 5 5	" " "	3,47,841 5 1	" " "		
2	" 1239	3,47,841 5 5	3,47,841 5 5	" " "	3,47,841 5 5	" " "	Chelecany Rama Row father in law of late Zemindar	
3	" 1240	3,47,841 5 5	3,47,841 5 5	" " "	3,47,841 5 5	" " "		
4	" 1241	347841 5 5	2,61,313 13 4	86527 8 1	3,47,841 5 5	" " "		
5	" 1242	3,49,946 7 5	1,83,795 15 10	1,66,150 7 7	3,49,946 7 5	" " "		
6	" 1243	3,55,338 15 5	3,07,191 7 .	48,147 8 5	3,55,368 15 5	" " "	Chintapuddy Vencatarow Grand father of Minor	
7	1244	3,55,338 15 5	355338 15 5	" " "	3,55,338 15 5	" " "		
8	1245	355338 15 5	276143 14 1	79195 1 4	3,55,338 15 5	" " "	Condemalla Churma Row	
9	" 1246	3,55,338 15 5	2,94,262 3 8	61076 11 9	3,55,338 15 5	" " "	Chelecany Atchea Uncle of Minor	
10	" 1247	3,92,492 2 8	3,33,794 9 3	45558 1 5	3,79,352 10 8	13,139 8 "		
11	" 1248	3,92,492 2 8	2,10,190 10 1	946 6 "	2,11,137 " 1	18,1355 2 7		
12	" 1249	3,92,492 2 8	2,51,129 8 "	149 12 8	2,51,279 4 8	141,212 14 "		
13	" 1250	3,92,492 2 8	2,34,568 " 9	" " "	234568 " 9	157,924 1 11	Woopposloor Sour Ray no relation of the family + appointed by the Collector.	
14	" 1251	3,92,492 2 8	2,71,518 14 10	16,814 6 6	2,88,333 5 4	104,158 13 4		
15	" 1252	3,92,492 2 8	2,73,260 " 9	" " "	2,73,260 " 9	119,232 1 11		
	Total	55,17,620 10 9	42,96,032 1 3	5,04,565 15 9	48,00,598 1 "	11,7022 9 9		
From Fs. 1238 to 50		47,32,636 5 5	37,51,253 1 8	4,87,751 9 3	42,39,004 10 11	4,93,631 10 6		
For Fs. 1251 & 52		7,84,984 5 4	5,44,778 15 4	16,814 6 6	5,61,593 6 1	2,23,390 15 3		
	Total	55,17,620 10 9	42,96,032 1 3	5,04,565 15 9	48,00,598 1 "	7,7022 9 9		

+ Subsequent Collections of Fusly 1252 amounting to Rupees 1088-8-" have been carried to the Head Charges of Collections &c. for Fusly 1253.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
10th January 1844

E. E. Fry. Signed / H. C. Montgomery
Commissioner

2240

Fort St. George 8th Feb. 1844

2241

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No. 5 Estimate for the Collections of Tellapore Zemeindary for Fusly 1253.

No.	Names of the Permanent Estates	Peishcush Amaracum Beriz of Fusly 1253	Of which the Collections can be made Collection to December 1843	Of which the Collections can be made Expected Collections from January to end of Fusly 1253	Total	Actual Charges to 31st December	Expected Charges	Total Charges	Net Revenue
	Ancient Estates.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Tellapore	73292 5 1	76892 2 5	24904 6 7	43095 9 5	68000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	Bendapoody	37068 5 9	41673 4 "	18621 " 6	18378 15 6	37000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3	Samulcottah	69584 11 1	75634 13 8	25237 9 8	42762 6 4	68000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4	Cocanada	15069 1 7	13465 11 6	6615 " 6	4384 15 6	11000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5	Selapaka	5445 7 9	7716 9 8	2728 1 "	3271 15 "	6000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6	Chelloor	22201 3 "	19924 9 10	3706 9 "	7293 7 "	11000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7	Chullapully	14823 8 4	14154 4 9	2525 7 3	7474 8 9	10000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8	Cadeyem	23494 5 5	31618 13 2	7758 9 "	18241 7 "	26000 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	258979 " "	281080 5 "	92096 11 6	144903 4 6	237000 " "	16401 4 7	9000 " "	25401 4 7	211598 11 5
	Proprietary Estate								
9	Gollapraloo	26026 " "	30298 15 "	12713 9 4	15286 6 8	28000 " "	850 " "	" " "	850 " "	27150 " "
	Total	285005 " "	311379 4 "	104810 4 10	160189 11 2	265000 " "	17251 4 7	9000 " "	26251 4 7	238748 11 5

No. 8 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No.

I transmit to the Hon. the Council of Revenue for the Northern Circars, the Abstract of Estimate of the Collections of Tellapore Zemeindary for Fusly 1253, together with the Report of the Collector of Rajahmundry, by whom it was prepared, and the Opinion of the Board of Revenue thereon.

2. The Board of Revenue entirely concur with the Collector, that the Tellapore Zemeindary is capable of yielding a much larger Revenue than it has hitherto done, and that the Proprietor should be required to exert himself to the utmost to improve the Estate, and to increase the Revenue derived from it.

3. The Board of Revenue are also of opinion with the Collector, that the Zemeindary should be relieved from the Charges of the Peons, Etc. maintained at the Cutcherry for the Police of the Zemeindary, and that the Zemeindar should be required to maintain a sufficient Establishment of Peons for the Police of the Estate, and to pay the Charges of the same.

4. The Zemeindar has been informed of the Opinion of the Board of Revenue, and has expressed his Willingness to comply with the Requisition of the Collector, and to maintain a sufficient Establishment of Peons for the Police of the Estate, at his own Charge.

5. The Board of Revenue have also recommended to the Collector, that the Zemeindar should be required to pay the Charges of the Peons, maintained at the Cutcherry for the Police of the Estate, from the Commencement of the present Fusly, and that the Collector should report to the Board of Revenue, whether the Zemeindar has complied with this Requisition.

6. The Board of Revenue have also recommended to the Collector, that the Zemeindar should be required to pay the Charges of the Peons, maintained at the Cutcherry for the Police of the Estate, from the Commencement of the present Fusly, and that the Collector should report to the Board of Revenue, whether the Zemeindar has complied with this Requisition.

7. The Board of Revenue have also recommended to the Collector, that the Zemeindar should be required to pay the Charges of the Peons, maintained at the Cutcherry for the Police of the Estate, from the Commencement of the present Fusly, and that the Collector should report to the Board of Revenue, whether the Zemeindar has complied with this Requisition.

8. The Board of Revenue have also recommended to the Collector, that the Zemeindar should be required to pay the Charges of the Peons, maintained at the Cutcherry for the Police of the Estate, from the Commencement of the present Fusly, and that the Collector should report to the Board of Revenue, whether the Zemeindar has complied with this Requisition.

2242 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No. 6.

A Memorandum presented to Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart, Commissioner of the Northern Circars, in charge of the Zillah of Rajahmundry, by Sree Rajah Row Venkata Sooria Row, Bahadur Zemindar of Pettapoor Talook.-

I sincerely pray your honor will be pleased to take into kind consideration, the following circumstances of my Generation, the present state of my Zemindary, and the proposals, which I now make; and put me in possession of the Zemindary by adopting favorable measures so that I may, without any hurt to the right of the Zemindary (which we duly enjoyed from posterity to posterity) preserve the same unblemished character, as my late worthy father, and maintain myself along with my dependants.-

Of our Generation. The 14th Spring of my family named Row Senugu Row, having greatly assisted in the wars &c. and shewn his faithful industry to the then Authority, the Nabob of Hyderabad, he was pleased to confer on him, the Cirdary for the Circar of Rajahmundry, and the right to the Zemindary of Pettapoor, along with certain Honorary titles such as "Shumkah," "Nowbut," "Choabdars" &c. From the time of the said Senugu Row, till that of my Grand father, they held the Zemindary successively under the Moorish Government and

2240 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No. 5 Estimate for the Collections of Pittapore Zemindary for Fasly 1253.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Sir, I am directed by the Commissioner to transmit herewith the Estimate of the Collections can be made during the Fasly 1253 from the Zemindary of Pittapore.

| | | |

Fort St. George 8th February 1814.

and subsequently under the Chief in Councils on behalf of the Honorable Company, and discharged the revenue to the Circar, (without balance) which was then annually used to be fixed with reference to the state of the season for the Zemindaries then existed; and merited the full favor of those authorities. after the demise of my Grand father, my elder Uncle Stree Rajah Coomara Venkatapatyrow succeeded the Zemindary, held it for a small period of 13 years, and then departed this life by severe ill health, leaving my worthy father, Rajah Venkata Neladry Row of 8 years old, who though young, was placed in possession of the Zemindary by the then Collector Mr. Snodgrass. My worthy father since managed the Estate through the means of his then rich relatives, the Estate then being in prosperous circumstance; and became punctual in discharging the annual kerij, and the balance of arrear then outstanding by certain instalments.

In the year 1802 the Honorable Government discontinued the former principles regarding Zemindaries, and appointed Circuit Committee for the formation of the permanent assessment on the Zemindaries. The Circuit Committee took accounts from the Cummums, and established as permanent assessment two thirds of the average Collection

Fort St. George 8th February. 1814.

Collection of certain years, leaving the other one third for the profit of the Zemindars. Under this principle my Pettapore Zemindary was charged with the Permanent assessment of Rupees 2,58,979 — — — according to the then existing state of the Estate, and my worthy father was granted a Permanent Cowle; but as he was young, he was unable to represent to the then authorities of the heavy assessment of the Permanent kerij upon our Zemindary than any others in this zillah, because the Cummums produced accounts including Extra Collections, and without deducting the charges of the management of the Estate, and thereby shewing the average of the Gross Collection just levied from the Ryots, as Net Collection. Consequently we had not so much profit left to us for our Zemindary as for others. Notwithstanding this, my worthy father continued to discharge the Circar's Peishcush with difficulty, in hopes of meriting the full favor of the Authorities, and obtaining redress at some other times. My worthy father had also continued to represent to the Collector as well as to the late Honorable Governor Munro, for the remission of the Moturpha comprising 2 crore taf; for that of the amount subsequently added by mistake to the Permanent assessment of our Estate on account of the Roosoums

and.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

and Cist of the lands which my worthy father gave to Mazoondars &c. for their services, after the formation of the Permanent assessment, - and for that over assessed in the Permanent Peishcush upon my Zemindary as above detailed. But previous to the due enquiry and final decision as regards these three points, my worthy father fell severe ill health and departed to the other Good world in the year 1829, after having addressed an arzee to the then Collector Mr. Anstey that he conferred on me (who was then aged 5 years, and elder son) the right of the Zemindary as well as the other real and personal property Mr. Anstey thereupon came into our Fort, placed our property under Zuft, appointed Guardian and Manager, and fixed an adequate Monthly allowance of Rupees 3,000 for my maintenance. Ever since the death of my worthy father upto this time, I am under the support of the Circar, and as the Zemindary is under their management, whereby they are fully aware of its actual state, I enter into no further detail on the subject -

As my minority has expired on 27th August 1841, and I obtained Majority, the Monthly allowance for my maintenance were discontinued; that Mr. Smith after my repeated representation of the distress I was in for my maintenance, was

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

was pleased to write to the Government and obtain a sanction of a Monthly allowance of only Rupees 1,000 which I am receiving from the Month of April 1843 agreeably to his Cowlnamah. I even represented him that this sum is insufficient as we hardly defrayed the expenses with the amount allowed during my minority but as he was pleased to say that I must spend economically and that the Zemindary itself will be made over to me on some arrangement, I accordingly obeyed his commands, to receive this sum in hopes of taking some futher opportunity to represent my case. At last, your Honor who is vested with full authority, ever just and Charitable, having arrived into this District, to my greatest fortune, I am perfectly confident that I will be afforded with favorable means, and therefore induced to address these circumstances for your Honor's kind perusal -

Of the state of my Zemindary. The ancient Zemindary of Pettapoor consists of 127 Villages, where of the Permanent Assessment is Rs. 238,977 --

The following Estates were occasionally purchased by my worthy father Viz.t -

12.	Villages of Gollaprole Mootah bearing Peishcush	Do.	26,026	--
14.	Do. of Coroor	Do.	27,454	11 3
10.	Do. of Attely	Do.	22,169	3 1
5.	Do. of Cundaully	Do.	13,212	7 1
			88,862	5 5

Estate

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Estate purchased during my minority Vizt.

3. Villages of Bhumanapilly Moota. 5,392-8-0.
3. Dc. of Moonecoodaly - - - - 2,105-2-0.
7,497-10-0.

Estates given to me by Chintapullah Venkatrow during my marriage Vizt.

11. Villages of Pongodah Mootah - - - - - 22,159-3-3.
10. Dc. of Podoor — Dc. - - - - - 14,994-0-0.
196 Villages.
37,153-3-3.

Total — 392,492-2-8.

Among these Villages there are three clapes, the first clap having the irrigation by River Channels, the second by Tanks, and the third (comprising dry lands) by the mere fall of Rain. Previous to the formation of the permanent assessment the first clap Villages used to product well even in the years of scarcity as the water continued to flow sufficiently and the grains fetched very high price that is at 30. 40. 50, and 60 Coonchums Pc. 4 Rupees. The 2nd and 3rd. Clap Villages though used to produce tolerably well in the year of scarcity from the water supplied by Tanks and occasional Rains as far as possible, yet the Grains fetched good price as above; so as to pay with ease, in both instances, the Circar's Peiry which was not then permanently

assessed

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

assessed but with reference to the produce of the lands. The Cist under those principles was then fixed at 4 Rupees for a piece of land yielding 80 Coonchums of Grain in the first clap Villages, and 4 Rupees for a piece of land yielding 100, or 120 Coonchums of Grain in the 2nd and 3rd clap Villages. The Permanent assessment which was subsequently assessed upon the average Collection, founded on the said heavy Cist is consequently over charged and the lands bear heavy Cist. Notwithstanding such heaviness in the Cist of lands, the ryots for some time, enabled to pay their dues from their prosperous circumstances; from the favorable market for Grain which was used to be abundantly exported by bowcars from this District to Madras, Masulipatam, &c. principal ports and places; — from the continuance of the Commercial factories at Veelapilly &c. whence a large sum of money was advanced to the Weavers &c. who used to purchase at high price large quantity of Grain for traffic; — Weavers for these 20 Years, the Ryots gradually became quite Madars from the low prices of grain by the annual importation of a large quantity of Grain from Arracan &c. to be sold for less than

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

than our Country Grain; from the discontinuance of the Commercial Factories; from want of the import of the money from any Coast into this District, from the unfavorable seasons; and from the storing of all the quantity of Grain in the District produced even in good seasons, for want of good price as it is not to be exported to other places but consumed in this Country only, at very low prices namely white Paddy at 120. 130. and 160 Coonchums for 4 Rupees, and Black Paddy at as far as 200 Coonchums for 4 Rupees and from the rains not falling in due season, so as the lands may meet full culture and fertility. Under all these circumstances even a half cist of the lands on which the permanent beriz was assessed is not now being recovered from the Ryots, who have also died to a great extent, from the late famines and diseases of Cholera and small pox; and the lands are in consequence not being fully cultivated for want of sufficient number of ryots. but left waste in a great measure.

The lands of a part of my Zemindary lying in the vicinity of the Sea, are also

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

also mostly become unfit for cultivation since the awful storm and inundation of the Sea in the Year Vecary or 1839. after my Zemindary was placed under Circar's Management, Chalekanny Ramarow who was appointed by my worthy father as Manager has holden that duty for 4 Years, during which period it will be seen by the accounts that the Collection was levied nearly as much the preceding years. the subsequent managers namely Chintapulla Venkatarow, Chelkanny Atchiah and Condemullah, Thurmarow, have also properly collected the money with the exception of the loss occasioned solely by the bad seasons. But the Manager who was lastly appointed without my interference, named Woopooloory Gowrauze, and the Amneens employed during his management, have in looking at their own interest neglected to attend to the duties of their offices, by not settling the lands, paying the Succary and making repairs in due times. which occasioned a great loss beyond what had occurred from the unfavorableness of the season. Consequently I trust your honor will plainly perceive the

loss.

loss on the inspection of the accounts of Justice during which the aforesaid persons were Severally employed. For instance if your Honor would further enquire, you will clearly understand that good many Estates whereof the permanent assessment was formed along with my Zemindary, were twice or thrice sold in the Auction, for arrears of Revenue, and lastly reverted to Government. Your Honor will also be convinced of the truth of my foregoing circumstances, on comparing from the accounts of your Office the Permanent Peishcush, and present Collection of such reverted Estates, with those of my Zemindary, which latter being under the Circar's management for these 15 years. and that my Zemindary is now in such a condition as it can never realize to the extent of the Peishcush formerly fixed thereon.—

First Proposal.

If the Zemindary which cannot thus realize the Peishcush, be made over to me, and I am called to pay the Peishcush in full, it will be very hard for me to collect money to the extent of Kists, but I shall certainly fall into arrear, notwithstanding all the prompt care and exertions I can use in the

the management; and this will ultimately make me to demerit the everlasting favor of the Honorable Government which we have acquired since the time of my ancestors, and thereby I shall lose my Zemindary which is the only means of our livelihood; since we are only to live upon with the surplus of the Zemindary after the due payment of the Circar's Peishcush your Honor is fully aware that I cannot discharge the money which was not levied from the Zemindary. Consequently, I beg to state that as the accounts regarding my Zemindary are now in your Office (without requiring them from some other places) for these 15 Years, it has been held under the Circar's management, your Honor will be pleased to fix the new Peishcush with reference to the present circumstance of the Estate, on the average Collection of 10 years and put me in possession of the Zemindary, by remitting the arrear accrued during the management of the Circar; in which case, I shall punctually discharge the Peishcush I shall also discharge the ready money loan granted to me by the Honorable Government,

along

along with the Current Peishcush, under such instalments as may seem necessary.

Second Proposal. If it be necessary to recommend to the higher authorities, and obtain their sanction for reducing the Peishcush, in the said manner, you will be pleased to receive from me a written agreement with conditions until the receipt of their orders, that I should conduct all the affairs of the Zemindary, with the exception of appropriating myself the Collection of the Estate. that after deducting the charges for Cultivation; Sibbundy &c. I should transmit every month into the Treasury of the Collector, the amount of Collection (without using myself any part of the same) together with a true and correct account; that either the Heads of Police in my Zemindary or any other Officers whom you may depute, may ascertain that all the Collection levied by me is sent to the Collector's Treasury. that as the two thirds of the resources, that is 66 and odd per Cent were at first fixed, as Permanent Assessment, and one third that is 33 and odd per Cent allotted as Profit to the Zemindar, I should now take upon the amount of Collection, I may make an allow-

allowance of 18 p.c. Cent that is 10 per Cent for my maintenance and 8 per Cent for the charges of management, until the final sanction of the new Permanent Assessment, shall have been obtained; that I should make good with penalty if it be proved that I appropriated any sum over and beyond the said fixed allowance under these conditions if your Honor will be pleased to put me now in possession of the Zemindary, I shall as far as possible place the Estate under Cultivation, and shew advantage to the Circar without any occurrence of loss from the defects in the management, as has been heretofore the case.

3rd Proposal. Should your honor deem it inconvenient to make over to me the Zemindary to the said second Proposal, but intend to place it under Circar's attachment, until it shall turn to proper state, I beg to apprize that, when I was Minor, I was monthly allowed Rupees 3,600 for my maintenance in an economical manner, and as I now attain Majority, and got issue, it is clear that I require more money for my expenses. Consequently I beg that I may be allowed a monthly allowance of 4,000 Rupees

1st.

Fort St. George 8th Febuary 1844.

4th Proposal regarding remissions.—

1st During the existence of my worthy father, a remission on account of Moturpha comprising the Loom tax, was due to him, of which, he applied to the higher authorities; but as he unfortunately died against the receipt of the sanction from Government and the Zemindary and my other property placed under the Court of Wards for Minority, the Collector was pleased to cause it to be credited in the account Current of the zemindary instead of its being separately held in deposit, because my worthy father had not owed any balance either for the Fusilies on account of which the said remission was allowed or up to the time of his death, against my Zemindary; and because there are established principles adopted by the Honorable Government through indulgence to remit the whole arrear accrued against the Estate during the management of the Court of Wards. Consequently I humbly solicit your Honor will be pleased to cause the said remission which was allowed to my worthy father (and which, I trust it is not just, to be credited under

arrear

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

arrear of the Zemindary liable to be written off it having accrued while under Circar's management) to be credited under the readdy money loan which I have been granted by the Honorable Government, so that, that loan may be reduced.—

2nd I also beg leave to apprize your honor that during the life time of my worthy father, a dispute arose between him and Cotagery Bootchah regarding Kirlumpoody Bootchah, and the Northern Provincial Court of appeal gave a decision that the Mootah inquestion should be made over to Cotagery Bootchah, together with the surplus amount; as my father was then dead, an appeal was made against that decision to the Court of Sudr Adalut through my Guardian by order of the Court of Wards in consequence of my minority; the Estate was managed by the Collector along with my Zemindary pending the final order of the Sudr Udalut.— This latter Authority also have confirmed the decision of the Provincial Court— and my Guardian appealed against it to the King in Council at London at my own expence, notwithstanding the Court of Wards

and

and the Collector refused to sanction the ex-
charges as being aware that the suit would
not be gained in my favor. The Judgment
of King in Council accordingly passed in
favor of the said Catagery Boochiah with
directing that the Estate might be made over
to her together with suplus Collection - The Estate
was thus made over to the said Catagerys
Boochiah, - as for the surplus for the period
of 9 years the Estate was held under the Colle-
tor's management; Mr. Smith submitted
a Statement as required by the Court shewing
the amount of Rupees 56,000 and odd, but
as instead of holding this amount also
in deposit, it being the surplus of another
Estate under litigation, the Collector caused
it to be credited in the account of my ex-
Zemindary, he sent the like sum to the
Court upon their warrant and debited
to me under ready money loan and this
is the reason of the ready money loan in-
creasing against me. It is very plain that
the suplus of an Estate under litigation
should have been holden in deposit as
that Estate did not appertain to me; and
as however the same amount of surplus
was

was sent to the Court, I humbly solicit
your Honor will be pleased to take this
case into lawful consideration and
cause this sum of Rupees 56,000 and
odd to be deducted from the amount
of ready money loan against me.-

3rd With regard to a dispute be-
tween my worthy father and Enoogunty
Sooriah who claimed the Zeroayetty ex-
Village Gersah attached to my Zemindary
as Mokasah for him, my worthy father
appealed this case to the King in Council
of London and deposited a Government
Security, of Rupees 8,700 and odd for the
charges attending the same after the ex-
death of my father, the Collector under
Sudr Courts' decision resumed the ex-
Village from the said Sooriah and
joined it under my Zemindary by
causing to be withdrawn the appeal
to the King in Council - but the amount
of the said Government Security
deposited in the Collector's Treasury
ex-

Fort St. George 8th February 1824.

as well as that of certain similar securities was on the sale of those Bonds carried to the credit of the arrear of Peishcush accrued against my Zemindary during my minority while that arrear should only be remitted. consequently I beg your honor will be pleased to cause the amount of the said three items+ to be remitted to me under the ready money loan granted by Honorable Government.

Under all the foregoing circumstances I humbly solicit your honor will be pleased to take the same into your kind consideration, and save me from all my excessive distress by preserving me with our former character.

Cocanada, 21st October 1823.

/Signed/ R. R. Venkatasoorriah Row Bahadur.

+ the detail of this amount may be ascertained by your Buzzoor accounts as I have not at present the necessary instruments to that effect.

Fort St. George 8th February 1824.

No. 7.

To,

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Gentlemen,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter of the 8th June last, enclosing for my report the accompanying petition from Rao Venkata Neelady Rao the Zemindar of Pittapoor, praying for a remission in his Summah, on the ground that the allowances of certain Mazmadars had been unduly added to the Assets of his Estate at the Settlement of the permanent Summah.

No. 352 of 1824.

2- The prayer of the petition not being very explicit I called upon the Zemindar for a more distinct Statement of his claims which he has furnished in an Arzee of which I have the honor to enclose translation.

No. 1.

3- The Zemindar states that at the formation of the permanent settlement 2706 Star Pagodas were added to the Assets of his Estate as per Circuit Committees Accounts under the heads of "resumed lands and perquisites of Despondiahs and Mazmadars". Despondiahs and Mazmadars. That the lands of the Despondiahs having

Fort St. George 8th. February 1804.

having been granted to them by his ancesto
=ing previous to the date of the Circuit Committe
Accounts, and two of the Mazmadars hold
their lands by Summuds granted by the supe
=rior Government, these he presumes were ex
=cluded from the Assets by the Circuit Com
=mittee and that therefore he makes no
claim on their account. But that the lan
of the other Mazmadars having been granted
by his father, his brother, and himself, sub
=sequently to the date of the Circuit Com
=mittees accounts must of necessity have
been included as Jeroyette in the accounts
of the Committee, and therefore that by the
amount being added to the Assets as per
Circuit Committee at the formation
of the permanent Settlement, these lan
were doubly assessed and his Summad
unduly increased. On this ground he pe
=quests a remission on his Summah
to the amount of their allowances, whic
he calculates at 2226-22-35 Star Pagoda
and the payment of the amount of such
portion of their allowances as has
hitherto lapsed to Government by the
death of pensioned Mazmadars being
about 2200 Star Pagodas.

St-

Fort St. George 8th. February 1804.

4. It is scarcely necessary to remind
your Board that in the general instructions
for the formation of the permanent Settle
=ment, it was directed that all inter
=mediate officers between Collectors
and Cumums should be abolished and
the amount of their allowances added
to the Assets of the different Estates and
intimated that Government would
provide for the several incumbents.

5. The Statement accompanying the
report of the Special Commission clearly
shews that in obedience to these instruc
=tions 2706 Star Pagodas were added to the
Assets as per Circuit Committee's Ac
=counts in fixing the permanent Sum
=maks of Pittapoor under the head of
Despondiahs allowances and Mazmadars resumed, and this sum ap
=pears from 2 Statements sent to your
Board by Mr. Balmain under date 9th.
July 1801 to have been made up of the
following items.

A. No. 3 in the report of the Special Commission dated 27th. September 1802.

† Nos. 4 and 5 in Mr. Balmain's letter.

1st. Mauniems to Mazamadars	698-31-10	
2. Rewards to Do	1325-21-0	
	2024-13-10 or	2226-31-10
3. Mauniems of Mazmadars held by Summuds from the Mariah Government	73-10-0	
4. Despondiahs Mauniems after deducting Cattobady Sayer	362-28-70	
	135-39-30 or 479-22-25	
	2460-10-40 or 2706-11-40	

Fort St. George 8th. February 1814.

6. I have already observed that the Zemindar waves all claim to the 3d. and 4th. items, which reduces the annual remission that he prays for to Star Pagodas 2226-22-35.

No. 4 in Mr. Balmain's letter.

7. If the remarks in the Statement previously alluded to be correct, it would appear that one of the Mazmadars (Vellumranze Caumanah (No. 8 in the Statement) was appointed subsequently to the date of the Circuit Committee's accounts. &D. 1784.

That his lands must have been included in the Jenoyettee in the Circuit Committee's Accounts is certain. But for the rest, with one exception noticed below, there appears no ground for supposing that they were included in those accounts any more than the Despondiahs and Government Mazmadars. The Zemindar pretends that they were considered in the light of private servants and their Mauniems therefore not admitted. Now the Despondiahs were just as much private servants, though of somewhat longer standing. In the report of the Circuit Committee, there is no mention of any of these allowances as there probably would have been had any distinction been drawn between,

Fort St. George 8th. February 1814.

between them. Of their Accounts your Board are aware that there are no particulars, and in the English Abstracts, it is singular, that although the amount of Mauniems to Heads of Villages, Village Servants, Bramins &c. in each Village are exhibited, the Mauniems of Mazmadars and Despondiahs are not distinguished. This might be construed into a proof that they were included in the Jenoyettee. But that in the Accounts subsequently taken by Mr. Hodgson, which profess to correspond with those furnished to the Circuit Committee, we find that they are included in the Bramins & Mauniems, and when it is recollected that these people from these offices must have been in constant attendance at the Cutcherry of the Committee, and acquainted with all that was going on, it is not likely that they would have submitted to the deprivation of their lands without making such a stir as would at least have caused them to be noticed in the report of the Committee.

8. The Zemindar endeavours to extend his claim to another Mazmadar Avasarala Padmaranze (No. 1 in the Statement) by impeaching the correctness of

A.D. 1778.
№ 2 Original and translate.

From Ft. St. George 8th February 1814.

correctness of the date assigned to his appoint=
=ment and for this purpose has produced a
puttah granted to that individual by his a=
brother in 1784, four years subsequent to the
date of the Circuit Committee accounts
have compared the Seal with that of several
Dombalah Sunnuds granted by the same per=
=son and there seems no reason to doubt
its authenticity, and as it makes no men=
=tion of any former grant it may be pre=
=sumed to be the original Sunnud. I request
your Board will return the puttah which
I have the honor to enclose for your inspection

9. With regard to the rooseoms of the Mazna=
=dars, the Zemindar asserts that they never
had any but that on being called upon by
Mr. Balmain for an account of their al=
=allowances they represented themselves
as entitled to these rooseoms, in order
to increase the amount of pensions
they were to receive from the Govern=
=ment. As the Zemindar adduces no evidence
in support of this assertion, it must
be presumed that the entries in the State=
=ment under this head are correct.

The probability however is, that these
Rooseoms were paid from the Gramakurch
which being a Government collection was
included

From Ft. St. George 8th February 1814.

included in the Assets of the Estate. If they
were not paid out of the Gramakurch either they
did not exist, or must have been paid out of
the private purse of the Zemindar. In either case
their addition to the Assets was unwarrantable.

10. The whole annual amount 2706 Star
Pagodas of the resumed allowances was allot=
=ted by Government in life pensions to their
respective holders and the Zemindar claims
1220 Pagodas the amount of the pensions that
have hitherto lapsed in arrear of the an=
=nual remission. This claim rests exactly
on the same grounds as the other and must
of course stand or fall with it.

11. The validity of the claim on
account of Ulleemrauze Saunanah
would appear to be unquestionable. That on
account of Avasarala Pudmarauze more
than plausible. With regard to the Rooseoms
the claim of the petitioner seems equally
strong, whether we suppose them to have been
paid from the Gramakurch, his private
purse or not to have existed. Could
the latter case be distinctly proved, it
would perhaps be advisable to punish
the fraud even at this distance of time by
deducting their amounts from the pension
granted

Fort St. George 8th February 1826.

granted to the Mazmadars. But as that can=
=not now be, I would beg leave to propose the
following arrangement which I have
reason to believe will be perfectly satis=
=factory to the petitioner.

S.P. 601.

1st That a remission to the amount of
the pensions of Ullumraugu Caumanah and
Avasarala Pudmaraugu together with the
amount of Roosooms which have lapsed
to Government by the death of other Mazma=
=dars be made on the Summah of the Zo=
=mindary.

Annual remission 213-13-35. 813-43-35.

S.P. 6770-21-14.

2nd That the accumulated amount of
the pensions of Ullumraugu Caumanah and
Avasarala Pudmaraugu since the date of
their respective deaths, together with the
accumulated amount of Roosooms which
have lapsed to Government by the deaths
of other Mazmadars since the dates of
their deaths be paid to the Zemindar in
arrear of remission and.

+ 3873-14-54. Arrear 10,643-36-18.

3d That as the pensions of the remaining
Mazmadars lapse, the amount of Roosooms
enjoyed by them be also added to the re=
=mission on the Summah.

12. According to this arrangement,
the annual remission to be made from the
commencement of the present fusly will
be Star Pagodas 843-43-35 or Rs. 2953-14-1. The
amount

Ullumraugu Caumanah's pensioners } SP. 209-22-10
Avasarala Pudmaraugu pensioners } 391-22-10
601-0-0 Rs. 37,253-5-1. The ultimate annual remission
Roosooms of other Mazmadars } 1060-20-7. on SP. 1,661-20-7 or Rupees 6,815-1-0.
Ultimate annual remission } 1664-20-7. 13-

amount of arrears to be paid to the Zemindar to the same period Star Pags. 10,643-36-18 or

I have the honor to forward a compa=
=rative Statement of the original and pre=
=sent allotment of the amount of the resumed
Maunieums and Roosooms of Pittapoor
Mazmadars shewing at the same time
the process by which the sums above=
=mentioned have been calculated.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Amalapoor
16th November 1824.

(Signed) N. W. Kindersley
Acting Coll.r

No. 1. To. N. W. Kindersley Esq.re
Acting Collector to the Zillah of
Rajahmundry

An Arzee from Stree Rajah Row
Vencata Neelady Row Bahadar
Sirdar of the Circar of Rajah=
=mundry and Zemindar of Pitta=
=poor Talook &c.a

I have received your Enoyetnamah
dated 23d Instant, stating that as I have only
mentioned the word of "Mazmadars" in my
Arzee to the Board which was delivered
by me to you with their Endorsement thereon
as well as in that which was accompanied

the

Fort St. George 8th February 1814.

the Board's letter, instead of clearly stating the amount claimed by me for remission on account of Mazmadars and the reasons for it, I should now represent, whether the amount I claim is on account of Mazmadars or Despondiahs and of their Roosoomes Enames or Villages and whether or from the lands having been twice included in the permanent Beriz on from the Mazamadars being my own servants, and further that I should send a person on my behalf to represent you the circumstance in detail. I therefore beg leave to represent to you as follows.

1. The permanent assessment on my Zemindary was fixed upon the Accounts taken by the Committee of Circuit and at the time of forming the same a sum of 2706 Star Pagodas was added to the permanent Beriz under the head of the resumed lands and Roosoomes on account of Despondiahs and Mazmadars, which circumstance may be known by a reference with the Accounts.

2. The Despondiahs of my Talook were not appointed by the Hakimes or Nabobs of the Circar but by my ancestors for keeping the accounts of Calmand and Bandapooty Purgunahs

Fort St. George 8th February 1814.

Purgunahs making a moderate allowance in land, but supposing that these lands must have been excluded from the Committees of Circuits accounts in consequence of their having been granted long ago, I have not made a claim for remission on that account.

3. The Circar Despondiahs and Mazmadars namely Vandravoovar and Pillalahvar as well as the Despondiahs of Cocanada Purganah were appointed under the Puvanahs of Nabobs in old times. I have therefore made no claim for remission on account of the lands held by them because they might have also been excluded from the Circuit Committees accounts.

4. With regard to the Mazmadars of the Pittapoor Talook I have to represent that we have been enjoying the rights of the Zemindary paying such beriza as was fixed by the Hakims, and as it was necessary that the Revenue transactions of my Talook should be kept in writing for our information and as we employed some Mazmadars allowing them wages in cash, and some time after granted lands in lieu of the said wages and carried on the duties through them. Avvavarala Pudmarauze was employed by my brother Roomara Makeeputty Row, Allumtauze Caumanah

since

Fort St. George 8th February 1824.

since my time and others during my father's time and made suitable allowances to them and resumed the lands of those whose services became unnecessary. We had never given Villages to any of them severally. At the time the permanent settlement was formed the Board of Revenue desired the then Collector Mr. Balmain to furnish them with an account of the lands &c. held by the Circar Despondiahs and Mazmadars &c. As I was then a Minor and my Zemindary was under management the Mazmadars without letting me or my Manager know misrepresented to the Collector that they were employed from old times and were enjoying lands and possessions from the beginning and also delivered Accounts (these were not signed by my Manager) of the lands which were once included in the value as per Committee of Circuits Accounts. Consequently the said lands were assessed a second time by mistake. No possessions were ever fixed or paid to them in cash or gave orders for receiving from the Villages. But they (the Mazmadars) considering that it might hereafter turn to their advantage if such an account is kept in the Company's records delivered one stating as if they were getting Star Pagodas 1458 as rent and Star Pagodas 768.22.35 from the lands held by them. The amount of the said possessions were not excluded from the Collections in any description of the Accounts, which circumstance will be proved by the Accounts of the Committee of Circuit as well as by others

Fort St. George 8th February 1824.

in your Office. You will therefore from the records above mentioned find that a sum of Star Pagodas 2226.22.35 was unreasonably added to the permanent Peiz of my Zemindary.

5. Now beg leave to represent that you will upon the perusal of the Accounts and the reasons above mentioned please to recommend to the Board of Revenue to pay me the sum of 1200 Star Pagodas and odd which is remaining (after deducting what was paid unpaid on account of the deceased Mazmadars for past years and to grant remission of S.P. 2226-22.35 out of the annual permanent Peiz of my Zemindary. I have now sent my former Mazmadar Ameneha Pudmarauze to your Huzzoor agreeably to your order to give you every information you may require upon the subject and wish you will please to keep favor upon me. Dated Saturday the 3d of Auswaja Soda of the year Sawanah corresponding with the 25th September 1824.

(Signed) Rajah Venceta Neeladry Row Bahadar.

(a True Translation)

(Signed) N. W. Kindersley
Acting Coll.

No. 2. A Puttah granted by Rajah Romarao Maheeputty Row to Avasarala Pudmarauze on Thursday the 10th of Auweejum in Neelakah year.

(L.S.) Having appointed you Mazmadar and granted you this Puttah to that effect you will as long as your posterity exists keep a corresponding Account of Mazmadarship and continue happily enjoying the lands granted by me for your allowance.

(Signed) M. C.

(a True Translation)

(Signed) N. W. Kindersley
Acting Coll.

Notice Paper 8th January 1824.

Notice of Bankruptcy

Pursuant to the Statute 5 Geo. 4. c. 98. s. 12.

In the Matter of Thomas Hall, late of Bond Street in the City of London, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, and Partner with Edward Hall, late of the same place, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, carrying on Trade and Business in Partnership together under the Firm of Hall and Hall, at Bond Street aforesaid.

Notice is hereby given, that a Commission of Bankrupt is awarded and issued forth against the said Thomas Hall, and he is hereby required to surrender himself to the Commissioners in the said Commission named, or the major part of them, on the 20th and 21st days of January instant, at Two of the Clock in the Afternoon on each of the said days, at the Office of Mr. Edward Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row, in the County of Middlesex, and make a full Discovery and Disclosure of his Estate and Effects; when and where the Creditors are to come prepared to prove their Debts, and to choose Assignees, and at the second Sitting to assent to or dissent from the Allowance of his Certificate. All Persons indebted to the said Bankrupt, or that have any of his Effects, are not to pay or deliver the same but to whom the Commissioners shall appoint, but give Notice to Mr. Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row aforesaid.

Notice of Bankruptcy

Pursuant to the Statute 5 Geo. 4. c. 98. s. 12.

In the Matter of Thomas Hall, late of Bond Street in the City of London, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, and Partner with Edward Hall, late of the same place, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, carrying on Trade and Business in Partnership together under the Firm of Hall and Hall, at Bond Street aforesaid.

Notice is hereby given, that a Commission of Bankrupt is awarded and issued forth against the said Thomas Hall, and he is hereby required to surrender himself to the Commissioners in the said Commission named, or the major part of them, on the 20th and 21st days of January instant, at Two of the Clock in the Afternoon on each of the said days, at the Office of Mr. Edward Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row, in the County of Middlesex, and make a full Discovery and Disclosure of his Estate and Effects; when and where the Creditors are to come prepared to prove their Debts, and to choose Assignees, and at the second Sitting to assent to or dissent from the Allowance of his Certificate. All Persons indebted to the said Bankrupt, or that have any of his Effects, are not to pay or deliver the same but to whom the Commissioners shall appoint, but give Notice to Mr. Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row aforesaid.

Notice of Bankruptcy

Pursuant to the Statute 5 Geo. 4. c. 98. s. 12.

In the Matter of Thomas Hall, late of Bond Street in the City of London, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, and Partner with Edward Hall, late of the same place, Merchant, Dealer and Chapman, carrying on Trade and Business in Partnership together under the Firm of Hall and Hall, at Bond Street aforesaid.

Notice is hereby given, that a Commission of Bankrupt is awarded and issued forth against the said Thomas Hall, and he is hereby required to surrender himself to the Commissioners in the said Commission named, or the major part of them, on the 20th and 21st days of January instant, at Two of the Clock in the Afternoon on each of the said days, at the Office of Mr. Edward Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row, in the County of Middlesex, and make a full Discovery and Disclosure of his Estate and Effects; when and where the Creditors are to come prepared to prove their Debts, and to choose Assignees, and at the second Sitting to assent to or dissent from the Allowance of his Certificate. All Persons indebted to the said Bankrupt, or that have any of his Effects, are not to pay or deliver the same but to whom the Commissioners shall appoint, but give Notice to Mr. Bell, Solicitor, No. 14, Bedford Row aforesaid.

2273

Fort St. George 8th. February 1844.

No. 3 Statement Comparative of the Original and present allotment of the Amount of resumed Mauniems and Rossoums of the Mazmadars in the Pittapoor Zemindary.

Names of the Mazmadars	As per Mr. Balmain's Statement to Board dated 9th July 1801. Maunium Cist in Rossoums	Total Star	Total Madras	Total Madras Pn	Names of the Hissadars	Annual Amount of Rossoums lapsed by deaths up to the Surrender date of Fusly 1233. On account of Rossoums	On account of Maunium	Total	Annual Amount of portions lapsed by deaths up to end of Fusly 1233. On account of Rossoums	On account of Maunium	Total
Subsequent Mazmadars.											
Avasarala Pudmarauze	19 " 115 " 241 " 356 " 39	2	16		Pudmarauze	" "	" "	" "	126	240	265 " 391
Ullumrauze Caumanah	15 " 70 " 120	21 " 190	21 " 209	238	Caumanah	" "	" "	" "	77 " 132	240	209 240
	34 " 185 " 361	21 " 546	21 " 601	24		" "	" "	" "	203	240	397 240 601 " 203
Grand Total	189 " 698	340	1325	21 " 2021	310	2722	6314		4431	54	817 2150
Circar Mazmadars.					Deduct Amount of Mauniems of former Mazmadars				121	230	" " " " " " " " 564
Jillalla Bhanjee Ramurs	" " 43	1040									
Vaudravoo Lonapah	" " 30	" "									
	73	1040		" " 73	1040				Particulars	843	1337 Particulars 166
of Purgunah Despondeahs as per Statement No. 5	" " 362	2870	" " 362	2870	Rossoums of the deceased former Mazmadars			242	1337	On account of Rossoums of former Mazmadars	106
	435	3910	435	3910	Whole allowance of Avasarala Pudmarauze and Ullumrauze Caumanah			601		Whole allowance of Avasarala Pudmarauze and Ullumrauze Caumanah	601 " "
	1134	3140	1325	21 " 2460	1010				843	1337	166

Particulars of arrears of Rossoums.

Names of the deceased Mazmadars.	Date of the decease.				
Rettecunty Lutchanah	24th Octr. 1818	"	"	378	42.183 7812.18
Mylavarapoo Randoss	16th Novr. 1810	"	"	179	3239 4797 3239
Timmerauze Puda Soobroyadoo	9th. March 1807	"	"	181	1258 1114 2568
Avunchia Venkatarayadoo	11th January 1812	"	"	552	350 552 350
Particulars of arrears on account of subsequent Mazmadars				397	8146 7
Avasarala Pudmarauze	1st Octr. 1810	1736	1423	364	8127 5383 550
Ullumrauze Caumanah	27th Novr. 1817	509	1078	877	1976 1389 1574
				274	6157 1457 4, 623
Total arrear proposed to be paid to the Zemindar				274	6157 1839 7077

N. B. The sums opposite these names will not exactly correspond with the annual amount of their respective Rossoums in consequence of the whole amount which lapsed by their respective deaths having been carried to account of arrears for the period which elapsed between their decease and the subsequent grant to their widows.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Amalapoor 16th Novr. 1824.

Signed J. W. Hinderseley
Acting Collr.

Fort St. George 8th. February 1826.

No. 8.

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Gentlemen.

Para 1. I have the honor to forward Copy and translation of a petition from Rajah Row Venkata Nuladree Row Zemindar of Pittapoor referring to claims to a remission in his Berize on the ground that the allowance of certain Mazoomdars had been unduly added to the Assets of his Estate at the permanent Settlement, which claims have already been reported upon by Mr. Kindersley a late Acting Collector—

Mr. Kindersley's letter to the Board. Dated 16th Novr. 1824.

2. Mr. Kindersley having investigated with great care and minuteness the nature of the claim set up by the Zemindar and reported the result of his enquiries to your Board, together with his opinion that it should be partially admitted and as the accompanying Arzee communicated no new matter, it will not be expected I presume that I should enter into the details of a subject already under your Board's consideration, and which has been so fully discussed by my predecessor.—

Zillah Rajahmundry
Rajahmundry—
29th. July 1826.—

} Signed H. Gardiner
A. Ag. Coll.r

Translation—

Fort St. George 8th. February 1826.

Translation of an Arzee from Shree Rajah Row Venkata Nuladry Row Bahadar Sirdar of the Rajahmundry Circar and Zemindar of the Talook of Pittapoor to Henry Gardiner Esqre. Acting Collector of the Zillah of Rajahmundry under date the 3rd. Soodha of the Month Vaishta year Vya.—

The permanent assessment of my Talook was not fixed so advantageously as other Talooks. The amount maccount of the allowances of my private Mazmadars, which was included in the Jeroyettee head of the Committee of Circuits Accounts according to which the permanent Berize was fixed upon my Talook having been again erroneously added to the Summabundy payable by me, so I do not enjoy the one third clear allowed to me out of the produce of my Zemindary. The above circumstance will be known by reference to the subsequent Committee Accounts taken by Messrs. Snodgrass, Juce and Balmain. I addressed an Arzee to Mr. Kinderslay late Acting Collector under date the 3rd. Soodha of the Month of Aswija year Sarvanah, regarding the remission payable to me on account of the above. In compliance wherewith that Acting Collector was

pleased

22/16

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

pleased to examine the Accounts and send his recommendation to the Board of Revenue. But their sanction not having been received I addressed an Arzee to Mr. Collector Handbury under date the 2nd Soodha of the Month Margasseera, year Paundeera, on the subject. On perusal of which, that Gentleman was pleased to say that he would write again to the Board. In the meantime you arrived. I therefore request you will be pleased to send your recommendation to Government through the Board, and obtain their Orders for paying me the amount in arrear up to the present time and for granting the remission required, in my Inamkabundy for all future years

Signed Rajah Vencata Neladry
Row Bahadeer

11-12

Order thereon.
Reading in Bd. 13th May
Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

2277. Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

To
E. B. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

13

From J. Prendergast Esqre
Collr. of Rajahmundry
No 1.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement of Estates attached for arrears of revenue, according to the form which accompanied the Deputy Secretary's letter under date the 20th March 1836. which have been placed under the Management of the Ameen of Ramachendrapooram Taluk and the Parogah of Rajahmundry Division, without any Charge for Establishments.

Rajahmundry
District Cocanada
18th January 1844.

Signed J. Prendergast
Collector

Statement

2278.

Fort St. George.

8th February 1844.

No. 1 Statement of Estates attached for fusly 1253. in the District of Rajahmundry.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages comprised in the Estate	Permanent Jummah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kists due for current fusly.	Amount Collected	Balance	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached.	Actual or estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached.	Establishment proposed to be employed in the attachment	Percentage of Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment	Remarks
1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13.
				Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P	Rs as P		Rs as P	Rs a P	Rs as a 6.		
	Ancient Zemindary Estate.												
1	Venkatayapollem	Meerjah sadluck=ally Khan Saib Zemindar	1	1,946 " "	735 " "	370 " "	365 " "	1	2,919 " " " " " " " " " " " "			18th Jany 1844	Placed under the amaen of Ramachendra poorum Talook
		Add Arrears. " " " " " " " " " " " "		1,892 5 2									
				2,257 5 2									
	Proprietary Estate.												
2	Kommoo Locherlacotah Lutchmedavamah Proprietor		1	926 6 "	402 8 "	115 " "	287 8 "	1	1,389 9 " " " " " " " " " " " "			Do "	Do " under the Parogah of the Rajahmundry Division
	Total		2	2,872 6 "	1,137 8 "	485 " "	2,545 7 2	2	4,308 9 "				

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 18th January 1844

E. E. P. [Signed] J. Prendergast. Collector.

J. K.

Resolution thereon.

(13-14)

The measure reported in the foregoing letter is approved.—

Read the following letter

From E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George

From R. J. Porter Esqr. Collr. of Masulipatam
(15)

Lr.
Para. 1.

16th Octr. 1843.

In reply to your letter noted in the margin, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the only petty farm included among the extra sources of Revenue in this District is the rent of fisheries, which is entered under the head of "sundry small farms and Licences" as this rent does not appear to be in any way objectionable, there appears no reason for its discontinuance.—

2 In the Government Villages of Peddana Varu, a tax is collected on "Indigo Pots" and on Mamitties used in cutting grass which is carried to account under the head of land revenue. The abolition of the former of these

was recommended by me in Para 16 of my report on the Settlement of the Government Estates for fasly 1251. A.D. 1841/42. founded on the former orders of the Board and I am of opinion that the latter should likewise be discontinued the amount of Revenue derived from it being very insignificant, and the tax being unauthorized by any Regulation or rule, but merely by "Amool" and being found to cause much annoyance to the poorer classes from the irregular manner in which it is inforced.—

Dated 16th July 1843.

3 The accompanying Statement will shew the Collections under the abovementioned heads for the last 10 years.—

Masulipatam Zillah Collr's Office Camp Chintapilly 2d Feby. 1844.

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Collector.

Statement.—

2282 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letter.

To, The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From J. H. Cochrane Esqr. late Sub Collr. of Cuddapah. } Para 1.

Requesting to be relieved from a retrenchment of Extra tent allowance drawn by him while Sub Collr. in Cuddapah.

Sir,

My Agents Messrs. Parry & Co. under the security entered into by them previous to my departure to England on Furlough, to be responsible for any public demands that may appear against me, refunded into the General Treasury Rs. 698-4-4 the amount of retrenchment made against me by the Civil Auditor for Extra Tent allowance I drew for 133 days while residing at Cuddapah, the Board of Revenue having in their Extract of Proceedings dated 18th February 1841 disallowed the charge for that period, stating that I was not entitled to Extra Tent allowance at an Cumbum while employed in charge of District and residing at the Hozoor Station.

2. I beg to solicit the favorable consideration of the Board of Revenue towards a remission of this retrenchment on the following grounds.

I was officially summoned to Cuddapah on the requisition of the Principal Collector to assume charge of the District,

2281

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No. Statement shewing the revenue realized from certain petty farms comprised among the Land Revenue Collections in the District of Masulipatam for the last 10 years from Fusly 1243 to 1252 the discontinuance of which is recommended.—

Items	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Total	Average
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Under the Head of Land Revenue	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Indigo Pot farm—	200 a —	170 a —	220 a —	235 a —	192 a —	205 a —	230 a —	263 a —	436 a —	461 a —	2,612 a —	261 3 2
Tax on Monitties used for Cutting grass—	103 a 12 —	30 8 a	38 a —	59 8 a	66 8 a	52 a —	56 12 a	66 4 a	55 12 a	44 7 a	447 7 a	44 11
Total	210 3 a	182 a —	250 8 a	243 a —	257 8 a	247 8 a	282 a —	319 12 a	502 4 a	516 12 a	3,059 7 a	305 15

Masulipatam Zillah Collr's Cutcherry at Moonegal 2d February 1844

E. E. Pr (Signed) R. J. Porter
Collector

2282

Order thereon
15-16

forwa

To Th

17

From Lt Cochrane C
late Sub Collr of
Cuddapah

Requesting
to be relieved
from a
retrench-
ment of
extraordi
allowance
drawn by
him while
Sub Collr
in Cuddapah

under

one to

to be re

that on

rate

the

amount

by the

allowan

-ing at

having

18 th

for the

entitle

Cumbum

District

2.

-ble con

towards

on the

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

District, who, although I arrived there on the 1st August did not leave the District until the 2d September. (Mention this to shew that I did not proceed to Cuddapah on any private views of my own.—)

In travelling to the Hozoor station I carried with me sufficient Tent equipage for my own accommodation and that of my servants both for the journey — and in case I should at any time on any emergency be required to proceed into the District during the period I might remain in Charge — and left behind me Tentage for the security of the Records of my office, in consideration of my Tents being used for which latter purpose the Board had always sanctioned Extra tent allowance while I remained in my own Subdivision whether I actually resided in tents or not.—

I drew no Deputation allowance for the period I was in charge, Viz.t, three months minus 2 Days Section XXI of the Rules for Deputation allowance (since amended as

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

as shewn by the Fort St. George Gazette Page 443 of 1843, not then authorizing the same until after the expiration of three months.—

I feel confident that the Board of Revenue never intended that any Officer in having additional duties imposed on him by assuming the charge of a District should be made liable to a decrease of his allowances, as has been my case in the present instance.

I

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

I do not take into considera=
=tion the expence I incurred in
travelling to the Hazoor Station,
the distance of 100 Miles and back
again - Nor the additional Duties
I performed while in charge. I
only claim the same allowance
I had hitherto drawn and should
have been entitled to had I re=
=mained at Cumbum - and trust
therefore under all the circumstance
of the case the Board of Revenue
will favorably consider the sub=
=ject of this letter.

Nellore -----
13th January 1844

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Sub Coll.r

17-18
Order thereon
(Read again in Cons.n
19th February)

Ordered to be brought
forward at a future day.

Read

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Read the following Letter

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Principal Coll.r
of Cuddapah - - } Para 1

19.

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 4th instant transmitting copy of a letter to Government and Extract from Minutes of Consultation respecting the claims to certain Enams Lands I have the honor to state that on reference to Kabib Khan that individual has expressed his wish to be put in possession of the Enams in preference to a pension equi=
=valent to the average produce of the Lands.

2. In giving this reply Kabib Khan states his willingness to abide by the decision of Government.

3. The Circar share of the actual produce of the Lands upon average of the last five years amounts to Rupees 521-4-3 per Annum.

Cuddapah District
Principal Coll.r Office
Vainpilly 30th -
January 1844 -

/Signed/ W. Hathorn
Principal Coll.r

Ordered

(19-20)
Order thereon,

(Read again in Cons. 19th Feby.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

From the Asst. Coll.r of Cuddapah.-

(4)

Read abstract of Statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 during the half year ending 31st December last.-

Order thereon,

(21-22)

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other districts when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.-

Read the following letters,

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(23)

From S. Scott Esqre Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George at Kurnool ----

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith, with a few additions, Part XIV of the Skeleton Glossary of Indian terms.-

Kurnool Territory
Camp Teerwul ----
30th January 1844

(Signed) S. Scott
Agent to the Govt
of Fort St. George

To

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(24)

From E. B. Thomas Esqre Collr of Tinnevelly ----

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 19th December 1842 I have the honor to return the 10th 11th 12th and 13th parts of the Skeleton Glossaries therein referred to, the necessary additions having been made thereto in conformity with the instructions received.-

KUMA
MIANTO
MONE
NEPE.

Tinnevelly ----
Sharenmadavy -
22d January 1844

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

(25)

From I. A. Hudleston Esqre Collr of Madras ----

Sir,

I have the honor to return with certain additions, the 8th and 9th parts of the Skeleton Glossary transmitted from your office on the 22d June and 24th July last.-

Madras ----
23d January 1844

(Signed) I. A. Hudleston
Collector

Ordered that the Glossaries accompanying the foregoing letters be transmitted with the following letter.-

(23-26)

To The College Board.-

To the College Board.-

Gentlemen,

With reference to the 2d para of Mr. Brown's letter dated

2289 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

dated 29th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you, on the accompanying parts of the Skeleton Glossary of Indian Terms as received from the Officers named in the Margin.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
8th February 1844

(Signed) C. C. Lovell.
Actg Secy

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Read the following letter

27
From A. Freese Esqre Collector of Chingleput — Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th Instant I have the honor to state that the Head Sheristadar's claim for increased allowances was submitted for the consideration of the Board and Government as soon as it was brought by him to my notice.—

Chingleput District —
Collr's Cutcherry —
Pullicarney 24th Jany 1844

(Signed) A. Freese
Collr

Order thereon
(Read again in Cons: 19th Feby)
Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

27-28

Read

J. P. B

2290 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.—

Read the following letters

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George,

29
From the Agt Collr of North Arcot — Sir,

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 8th Instant I have the honor to state that it is not alleged by Mr Turner "stating that Mr Turner's Abkarry Cowle contained no stipulation respecting the extent of the Military Abkarry Farm at Vellore but merely a list of the Villages comprised in his Contract and requesting to be informed whether the Military Abkarry Renter was permitted to establish Shops within any of those Villages.— that the Military Abkarry Renter established Shops within the limits of any of the Villages entered in his Cowle. The Villages entered in his Cowle are only those Villages in which he was licensed to establish a shop, which of course comprise but a very small part of the whole number of Villages situated within the limits of his Farm.—

I have not yet been

2.

able to obtain from the Commissariat Officer at Vellore the Cowle granted to the Military Abkarry Renter which the Board called for in their letter

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

letter of the 7th Ultimo:

North Arcot ——— Coll.te Cutcherry ——— Chittoor 19th January 1844. } Signed W. H. Babington Ag. Coll.r

(29-30)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

To, E. C. Lovell Esqre Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(31)

From the Actg Coll.r in the Nn Dn of Arcot ——— Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 7th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit to you the accompanying Copy of the Cowle granted to the Military Abkarry Renter of Vellore for the last Official year.

To be returned

North Arcot ——— Coll.te Cutcherry Chittoor 20th January 1844. } Signed W. H. Babington Acting Coll.r

To, The Acting Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

(32)

To the Actg Coll.r of Nn Dn of Arcot } Sir,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

Before expressing a final opinion on the claims preferred by Mr Turner to remission on account of the establishment of shops for the sale of spirits by the Vellore abkarry

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Abkarry Renter beyond the Vellore Military limits the Board of Revenue desire me to request that you will inform them whether the Vellore Renter established any shops in Villages the exclusive privilege of supplying spirits to which had been purchased by Mr Turner.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 8th February 1844. } Signed E. C. Lovell Acting Secretary

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(33)

From the Actg Coll.r in the Nn Dn of Arcot } Sir, Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22nd Instant forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st of October 1843 No 660 and Extract from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 19th July 1843 No 6 and requesting me to report how far the Jurisdiction of Village Monsiffs therein referred to has in my estimation afforded satisfaction to the people and been productive of advantage.

2. The Court of Sudr Adawlut having called on me through the Civil Court at Chittoor for a similar report, I have the honor to transmit Copy of my Return to the Civil courts Precept.

Dated 7th Jany 1844. North Arcot Coll.te Cutcherry Chittoor 29th January 1844. } Signed W. H. Babington Ag. Coll.r

The

Fort St.te George 8.th February 1844.

North Collector's (L.S.) Arcot Office

No. 1.

The acting Collector has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the foregoing Pre: cept accompanied by Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of the Zillah of Chittoor of the same date forwarding Copy of a letter from the Acting Register to the Court of Sudr Udawlut date 15.th Instant and Copy of Para 4 of a despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors bear: ing date the 19.th July 1843 and requiring the Acting Collector to report whether in his estimation the establishment under Regulation IV of 1816 of Heads of Villages as Village Moonsiffs has operated for the benefit of the people and has afforded them satisfaction.

It appears to the Acting Collector that several circumstances have concurred to render Regulation IV of 1816 a dead letter in the districts of North Arcot, some of a local nature some occasioned by the difficulty which such uneducated persons as Village Moonsiffs experience in apprehending and conforming to the rules of procedure laid down in the a: bove Regulation, and some arising from the excess: sive litigiousness of the people of the districts.

With

Fort St.te George 8.th February 1844.

With regard to the difficulty experienced by the Village Moonsiffs in conforming to the rules of procedure laid down in Regulation IV of 1816 the Acting Collector has to observe that when Zillah Judge of Madura he never had occasion to review the proceedings of Village Moonsiffs under this Regulation that he did not find many ir: regularities in them. If a Village Moonsiff were in the daily habit of deciding suits he might learn to conform to the Rules of procedure but as one or two suits in a year is the utmost he is likely to be called upon to decide he has no opportunity of making himself perfect by practice.

Another circumstance which disinclines the Moonsiffs of this district from deciding suits is their certain knowledge that however fair and honest their decision may be, the losing Party will not fail to charge them before the Zillah Judge with partiality or corruption and by the aid of false evidence, always easily obtained, probably occasion them great an: noyance. The habit the people of this dis: trict have got into of bringing such charges is fully exhibited every day in the Collec: tor's and Magistrate's Office. Any per: son who may have had a case decided against him by the Native Police or Revenue Officers

Never

never fails if circumstances permit of his ab-
=senting himself from his Village to bring for-
=ward a charge of this nature. It is their fear
of exposing themselves to false charges of this
description which deters the Village Moonsiffs
of this District from exercising the Police
powers with which they are invested by sec-
=tion X Regulation XI of 1816 and section VI
Regulation IV of 1821.

The Village Moonsiffs receiving no
special remuneration for the Suits they may
decide are averse from the trouble of deciding
them as well as apprehensive of subsequent
inconveniences. It is true that they are
bound to decide suits brought before them
whether they like it or not, but people are
not disposed to bring their Suits for trial
before an unwilling Judge, and a Moonsiff
would have no difficulty under various
pretences in evading the duty.

Some years since Regulation IV
of 1816 was not a dead letter in this Dis-
=trict but of late years the people have
shown as little inclination to bring
their Suits before the Village Moonsiffs as
the

Moonsiffs have to decide them. The people
have become familiar with the practice of our
Civil Courts and with the System of perjury by which
Civil suits whether the claims of the Parties
are good or bad are generally supported and
they are therefore disinclined to have recourse
to an Officer with whom the false evidence
they might wish to adduce would from his
personal knowledge of the Parties and their
Witnesses have no weight.

The circumstance of there being no
appeal from a decision passed under Regu-
=lation IV of 1816 deters people of a litigious
character from having recourse to it.
The people of this district appear naturally
to be of a more litigious disposition than those
of most other Districts, and this disposition
has been very much increased by the facility
of appeal in all manner of Cases Civil Crimi-
=nal and Revenue which local circumstances
have afforded them. they are within easy dis-
=tance of the Provincial Court of appeal the
Sudr and Foujdaree Adalut the Board of
Revenue and the Chief Secretary's Office. No
decision of the district Officers is consider-
=ed by them as final they always hope to
get it upset by petitioning and as they
are never punished for the false Evidence they
introduce

introduce into their Petitions they are encouraged to persist in appealing & by the aid of falsehood they can always make out a plausible story. People of such habits as those are not disposed to have recourse to an Officer whose decision is without appeal.

The Acting Collector is of opinion that if Regulation IX of 1816 were in operation at all in this district it would operate for the benefit of the people and the circumstance of its not being in operation and not affording them satisfaction is entirely attributable to the very low state of Morality among them and their love of perjury & litigation.

Given at Chittoor under my hand and seal this Twenty Seventh day of January in the year of Our Lord thousand eight hundred and forty four.

(Signed) W. H. Babington
Acting Collector

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

34
From the Dy Coll. of Madura
Submitting his opinion how far Village Moonsiffs are useful for Civil purposes.

1.

On the 30th ult. I had the honor to receive your letter of the 22d ultimo, desiring my earliest possible report how far the jurisdiction of Village Moonsiffs as referred to in the Minutes of Consultation of the 31st October last forwarded

forwarded for my information had in my estimation afforded satisfaction to the people and been productive of advantage.

2. From verbal communication with the Civil Judge I learn that the Amount of civil work done by Village Moonsiffs is exceedingly small and I am aware that a great many Moonsiffs are incapable of doing the duty. But where they are capable I think much advantage might be derived from their services if duly encouraged. But the necessary encouragement would be most laborious to the European Judicial functionary. The encouragement requisite would be for the prohibition to district Moonsiffs to receive any suit for less value than 10 Rupees; and to dismiss for default all that should be preferred under wilful false pretence of their exceeding that value. The Village Moonsiffs should not be liable to either punishment or blame for even the most gross irregularity not amounting to bribery or fraudulent partiality. The district Moonsiffs should not have authority to call them before them but may instruct them strictly of such irregularity by writing abstaining

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

abstaining from any approach to abuse.

3. When the District Moonsiffs or superior judicial functionaries receive complaints that the Village Moonsiffs will not make the necessary enquiry a summary investigation might be made in communication with the Collector as to the capacity of such a Village to produce a fitting Moonsiff, and by degrees it would be ascertained where the incapacity was such as to make it desirable to transfer such duty from one to a neighbouring Moonsiff Or the Civil power of a Village Moonsiff might be conferred upon the Zilladars of the Ain Talooks who have exactly the power of Sub Officer of district police, and they might be directed to exercise the authority only in such Villages in which the Village Moonsiff was incapable as a schedule furnished either exclusively or in concert with the incapable Moonsiff: but in like manner he also must be exempt from punishment angry reprimands and from personal attendance before District Moonsiffs.

4th When I assumed charge of the Collectorate there were not five Villages in which the Moonsiff exercised his police

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

police authority subsequently it has been exercised in no less than 1190 Villages and in the same number I think civil duty with fair encouragement might be reasonably expected.

Madura
1st February 1844.

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Print. Collector

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revr
Fort St. George

(35)

From the Collr
Tinnevelly

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 22d Instant, I beg to inform you that I had previously received from the Sessions Judge under Orders of the Sudr Adalut, a copy of a communication from the Register to the Court of Foujdary Adalut, and Extract from the dispatch from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 19th July 1843 and have already reported as therein directed. I have the honor to enclose copy of my letter for the Board's information.

Tinnevelly
Vellyoor
31st January 1844.

(Signed) C. B. Thomas
Collector

To

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

To

W. Douglas Esqre

Sessions Judge

Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of 20th Instant with Extract from Despatch from the Court of Directors dated 19th July 1843, requiring me to submit a report whether in my Estimation the Establishment under Regulation IV of 1816 of Heads of Villages as Village Moonsiffs has operated for the benefit of the people and has afforded them satisfaction. It is to be regretted that in Tinnevelly as well as in other Districts the Village Moonsiff System has been only partially operative. To the best of my Judgement and experience in 6 Districts I should say that the institution is decided by calculated to operate for the benefit of the people, and has generally their concurrence and satisfaction. I think the System is worthy of, and needs efficient and unremitting encouragement, especially from all Collectors; whose position and duties give more opportunity than to the Judges who are stationary to influence and encourage it. It is perhaps also too much the

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

the interest of the Vakeels and Court Servants to encourage litigation, to expect them to patronize a System which tends to obviate it but the presidency European Judge would of course do so.

2. I think however that the apparent inoperation of the System in many places, is more in appearance than reality and that the Village Moonsiff is not had recourse to, only because the Natives already have, and use largely, a System of mutual arbitration / Punchayet / of 3. 5. or more persons of their own choice, whose decisions are perhaps more conclusive and satisfactory to them than those of a single individual appointed by Government. In this way it is usual to settle all minor differences of caste by the Head men of the caste of debits by some Accountant or other trustworthy person of trade by a Punchayet / i.e. Committee / of Merchants if the Moonsiff is respected in his Village and intelligent, he will often be one of these Arbitrators but not in his single and Official capacity and thus I believe many petty controversies are settled without appearing on Record or coming into the Courts.

There

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

3. There is also a difficulty in getting sufficiently respectable and educated persons with local Knowledge and influence to undertake the Office of Village Moonsiff troublesome if efficiently performed; and for which there is no remuneration. I am therefore of opinion from my own observation and intercourse with the people that a System of mutual arbitration tho' not always by the Village Moonsiff is not so inoperative amongst the Native community as the Court of Directors in their anxiety for its welfare seem to fear and that the Establishment under Regulation IV. of 1816 has been beneficial in all points as far as in operation, and the more it be encouraged the better. I believe also that many decisions made by the Village Moonsiffs are not registered by them from want of time, fear of informality or error and like reasons and I should say that generally and for the sake of encouraging the System little notice should be taken of mere informalities from ignorance in Village Moonsiffs decisions and records so long as untainted by fraud or corruption.

Linnevelly
Nanganacherry
27th January 1844.

Signed C. B. Thomas.
Magistrate

Ordered

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Order on the three foregoing letters

(33-34-36)

Ordered to lie on the table

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem dated 3d and entered in consultation 23d November last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Acting Principal Collector of Salem

(37)

To the Acting P. Coll.r of Salem

Requesting him to give effect to the Hookum-namahs submitted with his letter of the 3d Nov.r last with certain modifications from the commencement of the ensuing fusly.

Inform. 23 Nov.r 1843

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3d November transmitting the Hookumnamah & Cowlenamah of your District after the farther revision directed by the Board.

2. The Board direct me to express their approval generally of these documents in their present shape, but they are desirous of adverting to one or two points before according their final confirmation.

3. The concluding portion of the 10th rule provides for the case of a Ryot throwing up his putaut land and retaining his Coole. The spirit of your order, which prescribes that in such case the Coole privileges shall be forfeited, is just and proper, and in conformity with the intention of the Board but from the manner in which the rule is worded it would seem to sanction the adoption of such a course

course on the part of the Ryot as a legitimate transaction, whereas it should be viewed as an irregularity incurring the penalty abovementioned. Considered in this point of view it is not necessary that it should form a part of the Hookumnamah tho' in practice it should be strictly enforced, and the Board consider that the whole of the latter part of the 10th Rule beginning with the words "although the Karkoons put a Ryot in possession "of beedie lands &c" may be omitted. In conformity with this view it will be necessary likewise to omit the 2d Rule of the Cowlenamah which is founded on the 10th Rule of the Hookumnamah.

4 Rule 30 empowers Ryots to Subdivide their pottahs which is quite unobjectionable, but care must be taken that it is not made a cloak for evading the provisions of the second Rule. Instances are not unknown of Ryots endeavouring to evade the rule for giving up good & bad land together, by making a collusive division of their holding and turning over the inferior land of which they desire to get rid to some dependant

dependant or man of straw, who either by desertion or some other pretext soon manages to escape from his unprofitable bargain, leaving the original parties in possession of what they coveted. The 30th rule as it now stands gives full scope for such an arrangement and the Board would suggest the addition after the words "provided the person "giving up the Putcut holds no bowle land." of the following "and provided the portion so given "up is composed of a fair proportion of good "and bad land as prescribed by Rule 2d."

5 In the 7th Para of your letter under reply you propose a rule for defining the nature of waste, which you have entered as 22d of the Cowlenamah. It appears to the Board that the terms of this are unnecessarily severe. any land that has been bona fide left fallow for 5, 10, or 20 years as the case may be, is entitled to the conditions and exemptions laide down for each respective period. In the shorter terms it is hardly possible that the Ground should have become overgrown with jungle, and in no case does such a stipulation seem to be required. It had better therefore be wholly omitted.

6 With these modifications the Board

Fort St. George 8th. February 1844

Board request you will give effect to the Hookumnamah from the commencement of the ensuing Fusle—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 8th Feby. 1844 } (Signed) E. b. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem dated 16th. December and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo—

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

To The Chief Secretary to
Government
Sir,

(38)

To the Chief Secty to Govt. } Para 1

Submitting a letter from the acting Pl. Collector of Salem and recommending for stated reasons that the application for a grant of the usual Duswundum Enam on account of a tank which has been constructed by a Ryot in the Village of Pongulkully of that District should be complied with—

13th Dec. 1843 in Cons. 24th January 1844

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem recommending that the usual Duswundum Enam may be allowed on account of a tank which has been constructed by a Ryot named Coda Goomlah in the Village of Pungulkully of that District—

2. This person did not it appears obtain the previous sanction of the Collector for so doing to entitle him to the usual Duswundum, altho' he states that he had the Verbal promise of

Fort St. George 8th. February 1844

be complied with—

of a former Principal Collector Mr. Orr that the Enam would be obtained for him on its completion, of which however Mr. Lockhart says no record is to be found. The Tank however, has been completed at an expense of 605 Rupees—

By the construction of this Tank 3 acres 17—29 of Poonjah land hitherto assessed at 17—4—2 are expected to be converted into Nunjah, and to pay a gross assessment of Rs. 78—13—4 and in the past fusly 14 acres 14—14 were actually cultivated with a wet Crop and yielded a revenue of Rs. 54—3—7, or a net profit to Government of 40 Rupees—

Under these circumstances the Board would have had no hesitation in sanctioning the Duswundum agreeably to the rule hitherto in force but adverting to the recent orders of Government directing the discontinuance of Grants of this description they consider it necessary to make a reference and as the Tank had actually been completed before the issue of the order in question, to recommend that in this instance the application should be complied with—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
8th February 1844— } (Signed) E. b. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St.e George 8.th February 1844

Read the following letter—

To C. C Lovell Esqr.e
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

From the acting Pr.e Coll.r of Salem

Sir,

The annual settlement of the District having been commenced I have the honor to request the early sanction of the Board to the Estimate of charges proposed to be incurred on account of additional Establishment in the present Fusly, submitted to the Board on the 20.th October 1843.—

Salem Hillah
Rauzepoor
Pr.e Coll.rate Cutcherry
28.th January 1844

/ Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded—

(39-40)

Read the following letters—

To C. C. Lovell Esqr.e
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

From the acting Coll.r of Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to a circular letter* from Mr.e Secretary Smollett I have the honor to forward an Indent for Printed Forms of Bills of Exchange drawn upon the accountant General as well as for the letters of advice by which they are accompanied and to request that

* Dated 18.th June 1841.

Fort St.e George 8.th February 1844

that they may be forwarded as early as possible as the quantity on hand will be sufficient to meet the wants of only 3 months.—

One of each kind of forms in use is enclosed—

Tanjore
Vellum
29.th January 1844

/ Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector—

No. 1.

Indent for printed Forms of Bill of Exchange drawn upon the accountant General and letters of advice which accompanied them required for the use of the Collector of Tanjore—

Description	Quantity required for two years consumption—	Remarks
Bills of Exchange	No. 300	Required for the use of the Collector of Tanjore.—
Letters of advice	No. 300	

Tanjore
Vellum
29.th January
1844

/ Signed/ J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector

To

2311 Fort St. George 8th February 1841

No 2

To The Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir, I have the honor to advice you of my having this day drawn the undermentioned Bill
Tanjore Collectors Office,

Date of the Bill	No. of the Bill	Cash from whom received.	Bill to whom payable	at what sight	Amount	Date & No. of acceptance in the Accts. Generals Office
18.					Rs a p	

Total amount up to last Register
Brought forward

Total Company's Rupees
E E Excepted

Collector

No 3 Exchange for Company's Rupees

To The Accountant General
Fort St. George

Sir,
Having received from
the sum of
Company's Rupees
to be paid at Madras to annas 4. price
I request you will at ten days after sight of this my Bill / the same tenor and date not being paid / pay the said sum of Company's Rs
Annas and price
to
but not to order as per advice from
Collr

Hours of Acceptance between 10 and 12 A.M. Holidays excepted.

Tanjore Collrs Office
18

To

2312 Fort St. George 8th February 1841

To E. b Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Tinnevelly (42)

In conformity with the directions contained in your letter of the 18th January 1841, I have the honor to forward an Indent for Printed Copies of Bill of Sale of Exchange and letters of advice required for the use of this office the former on letter Post and the latter on Foolscap according to the enclosed form—

Signed E B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly
Naganaderry
29th January 1841

Order on the two foregoing letters (41-43)

Ordered that the printed forms requested in the foregoing letters be transmitted accordingly—

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 4th and entered in consultation 26th Ultimo—

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Govt (44)

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying

2313

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly requesting that he may be authorized to refund the sum of Rupees 69-8-0 to the owner of 20 Cattle which have been erroneously sold by the Tahsildar of Vettoocotty in that District in satisfaction of a balance of Revenue outstanding against another person. The Board recommend that sanction may be granted to the Collector to refund the above sum—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
8th February 1844—

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Secretary

Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

45 From the Print. Collr. of Madura— Para 1 In reply to your letter of the 6th received on the 16th November, on the subject of various Polliems in this Collectorate,

Forwarding deeds of Conditional surrender for the Polliems of Combay and Amatterry and further submitting the wishes of the Proprietors of Comaravady, Marcongapoory Veerankalay and Thoyamalay with

Para 2 of letter under reply.

I have the honor to suppose the receipt of mine of the 4th idem, will have rendered any new notice regarding the Cunnevady Estates unnecessary—

J. G. B.

The

1

2314

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

with necessary statement for the Board's Considerations

Paras 2

The proprietors of two of the Polliems referred to, Viz. Combay and Amatterray gave the required formal surrender, the former on the 9th and the latter on the 5th July 1842. Copies of which with translates are herewith submitted.—

Vide Coll. to Bd. 4th March 1844. Reporting death of Comaravady Poligar—

Paras 3

Of the 4 remaining Polliems Sivatoor Veerapa Leckya Naick the proprietor of that of Coomaravady, being a Minor in the 15th year of his age, his mother, the widows of the deceased Polligar, on the 13th April 1842 and 7th July 1843 pleaded inability to abide by the injunction of Government, and requesting postponement of their execution till her son should attain his majority—Copies and translates are submitted.—

* From Government 8th March 1842. directing sale of Polliems failing to pay up arrears or give a formal Relinquishment within the close of fasly 1852—

22-1 July 1844—

In a letter from the Collector to the Board of Revenue of 1844 I find a statement shewing "that Swodowramalinga Luchia Naick was a Minor of 13 years of age, son of Swodowramalinga Naick, a prisoner in Jail."

17th Feb. 1816 para 8.

In another of 1816 from the Collector to the Board I find that after the "deposition or demise / not clear from the / "record / of the Poligar, his son by a first wife "succeeded to the Zemindary, who died in fasly 1219—

That

Fort St. George 8th February 1816-

That he was succeeded by the Son of the second wife, who managed it for some time when he died without issue: That in July 1223- a natural Son succeeded according to the custom of the country, and that as he was a Minor he was recommended to be taken under charge of the Court of Wards; but that as there was a balance against that temporary settled Pollem of S. Pagodas 2297- 23- 42. it had been assumed.-

In their review of the last dated, and other letters the Boards of Revenue determine that in conformity to the 20. Para: Minutes of Consultation 23d. Febry 1816 the Collector should take and retain in Amanee management all unsettled Pollems falling in arrears granting the ejected Polygars an Allowance of 10 per Cent on the Net Collections.

Boards Proceedings 11th April 1816- Para 18 & 19-

In November 1816 the Magisterial authority was transferred from the Judge to the Collector and I send Batta Bills for the support of the State Prisoner Mootoo Vengapa Lucka Nayk and his family from the 10th July 1812 to the end of June 1816 but soon as where find the cause of his incarceration or the cause or date of his release, or death.-

Two-

Fort St. George 8th February 1816-

4- Two of the 3 remaining Polygars Viz.t of Marongapoory and Veeramallay to whom the order of Government was communicated under dates the 9th April 1812- and 2d. May 1813- respectively, and the same repeated on the 5th July last sent in their answers, Copies and translates of which are herewith submitted, the former soliciting time to enable him to pay up the principal of the arrears for which his Estate was assumed Remission of that accumulated during the company's management, and its restoration on a reduced Peishcush of Rs. 16.966- 9- 11 per Annum, being an average of 70 per cent of the Revenue of the last 27 years on the ground of the present demand Viz.t Rupees 21,576- 10- 10 having been originally fixed on the actual produce of one year only, Viz.t July 1213- and because of the incapability of the soil- The latter also avers that the Peishcush of his Pollem was fixed maliciously, without due reference to the capabilities of the soil, and begs restoration of the Zemindary, after remission of all arrears, on a reduced Peishcush of Rupees 13107- 5- 6.- the present annual demand being Rupees 15,107- 5- 6.-

* Both are dated 6th July 1813-

Marongapory

Veeramallay

The-

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

The accompanying statement will show the amount settlement of these two Zemindaries from fusly 1226. in which both were assumed as well as of those of Comararady and Shoganaloy, after deducting the 10 per cent allowance granted to them, and the balance still due from them, exclusive of charges of Collections, which are omitted pending the Board's instructions.

5. The Polligar of Shoganaloy did not come forward with any claim, nor did he so much as answer the communication of the Government's Order to him of the 26th May last; and it was only on my precise instructions of the 4th December to the Tahsildar of Baroongapoony that I procured his desire of the 9th Idem for restoration of his pollam on payment of the orginal balance and part payment / exact amount not specified / of irrigation exependiture. Copy and translate accompany.

6. The usual notice of sale has not been issued in any of these cases which are left for the Board's further consideration and orders although already directed by their letter under reference.

Tho.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

The Statement No. 3 submitted

Para 4. with my letter of the 23d August last gives credit to the Polligar of Codapanaickanoor for charges on account of Ghat-Cavilgars of Rs. 7 5,811-11-9 up to fusly 1251. and if to this be added Rupees 436-5-11 for fusly 1252. Rupees 6,248-1-8 will be the whole amount for which the Zemindar should have credit under the sanction of Government.

When I consider the present

8. generally efficient state of the Police which I anticipated will be much improved by a measure I am now engaged in carrying out by degrees in a new rule for night watches by respective Village Communities I beg to postpone any application for increase to the regular fixed Police force in the Codapanaickanoor Ghats till I ascertain that I cannot do without it. Improvements made in this vicinity and improvements in daily progress, the small in themselves make me believe an increase of Police unnecessary.

Madura 29th January 1844.

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Translate.

2319 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

No. 1 Tamil.
No. 2.

Translate of an address dated 6th July 1843. from Mootloo Veera Poichaya Naicker Zemindar of Maroongapoory to the Principal Collector of Madura.-

1- We maintained undisturbed possession of the Zemindary from generation to generation in strict conformity to the orders of the ruling power till fusly 1212. Mr. Burdis who made the survey and introduced the permanent assessment, instead of fixing the same on the average of 10 years actual produce, as was the case with Mr. Lushington in other Zemindaries, fixed that of my Zemindary @ Rupees 20,576- 10- 10 per annum, being 70 per cent of the Settlement amount of one single year Viz. fusly 1213 (A.D. 1803/4):

2- From badness of season from fusly 1214 and want of means of the Ryots to cultivate, added to disrepair of the sources and means of irrigation, the whole produce of the Estate was insufficient to pay even the Peishcush, which obliged me to sell all my accumulated ancestral property and pay the Government

2320 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Government demand till fusly 1224- But the Peishcush of fusly 1225 not having been paid in to the demand of that year added to some arrears of previous years amounted to Rupees 21917-1-7 for which my Zemindary was assumed in fusly 1226.-

3.- Even under the careful management of Government of the Estate since fusly 1226, by improving the means of irrigation, and giving advances for cultivation to the Ryots, it never yielded its full Revenue till fusly 1251. On the contrary the aggregate settlement during these 26 years amounted only to Rupees 630,188-11-5- giving an average of Rupees 24,238- 5 per annum, and Rupees 16,966-9-11 is the proportionate amount of Peishcush to Government at 70 per cent of it. It would seem by the above that fixing the Peishcush at this rate would be just and proper. On the contrary the demand already fixed at Rupees 20,570- 10- 10. per annum would seem to be extremely high, and that the cause of any Estate falling in

Fort St. George 8th February 1814-

in arrears has arisen from the extremely high assessment fixed, with reference to the actual produce if only one year, Viz.t fusly 1213. as may be seen from the accounts on record.-

6- The aggregate Revenue of the Estate from fusly 1226. to fusly 1251- amounts to Rupees 630188-11-5- Rupees 24458. 5- - have been useleſsly laid out on works for irrigation, and Rupees 33026. 2-9- on charges of Collection-total Rupees 57484- 7-9- and no part of the arrears due at the assumption has been liquidated-

5- In consequence of the order now received, requiring me to pay up the whole balance up to fusly 1251- I am compelled to submit for your consideration the foregoing as well as the following reasons-

6- Had I been able to pay up

Fort St. George 8th February 1814-

the whole arrears up to fusly 1251- as your order requires me, I should not have suffered my Estate to have been assumed by Government for arrears of Peishcush due for only one year, Viz.t fusly 1225. As on a consideration of the impoverished condition and consequent debts of the Poligars of Ottoomalay in Tinnevelly and of Edeacotay and Mambaray in this Zillah, and of the insufficiency of their allowances, the Government were pleased to remit the whole demand against them, and to allow a proportionate reduction of their Peishcush calculated on the more recent actual produce of their Estates; so I am solicitous, as one encumbered with a large family and suffering distress for want of any sufficient means of subsistence, that they will be pleased to extend their kindness to me, take my-

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

my reduced circumstances into consideration, and restore the Estate to me on a reduced Peishcush of Rupees 16966-9-11 a year being the average amount according to the abovementioned Government accounts, and allow me to liquidate the arrears as they stood in Fusly 1225, Viz.th Rupees 20917 by instalments and remit all arrears of Peishcush accumulated from Fusly 1226 to 51, agreeably to an application addressed by me to Government under date this 6th Instant. I therefore request you will be pleased to recommend my prayer to kind consideration of the Board of Revenue and postpone the execution of their orders referred to in para 5 of this Petition until a final order may be received from them.-

/Signed/ Mootto Veera
Poochaya Naiken-
/True translate/
/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Dy. Co. Collr.
Translator-

dS.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Translate of an address, dated 6th July 1843 from Khristnapa Combaya Naiker Zemindar of Veeramalay in Maroongapoory, to the Sub Collector in charge of Madura.-

Received an order dated 24th May from the Principal Collector of the District, requiring me to pay up the arrears of Peishcush with interest due to Government against 11th July, and resume possession of my Zemindary, or to give a formal relinquishment of it agreeing to receive an allowance of 10 per Cent for ever, on pain of the Government otherwise selling it by public auction, and Remainding me at the same time that I should then forfeit the allowance hitherto continued to me, and in answer beg to represent as follows.-

2. Previous to the cession of the Country to the Honorable Company the annual Peishcush of the Zemindary Amounted only to Chuckrums 5100 or Rupees 6375, which my ancestors paid to the Nawab's Government, a document specifying the amount and bearing the Seal and Signature of the Nawab is now in my possession, which under the provisions of section X of Regulation

Regulation II of 1802 is worthy of Confirmation.

3 From want of means of my Ancestors to gain by money the favor of the Government servants, who effected the permanent Settlement of the Zemindary in Fusly 1212 (A.D. 1802/3) the latter, through spite, surveyed all rocky lands, high places, hollows and even beds of streams, and lands unfit for cultivation and classed them as arable and as lands of higher than the real quality, and assessed them at an Annual Peishcush of Rupees 15,107-0-6 to our great loss. Consequently, 6000 Gooties of Punjab land, classed as arable, are left waste being unfit for cultivation, as will be seen by the Government accounts.

4 The insufficiency of the whole Revenue of the Zemindary to pay even the fixed Peishcush obliged my ancestors to discharge the Government demands up to Fusly 1226, by selling and by mortgaging jewels and other ancestral property, the exhaustion of which means afterwards left them under renewed arrears to amount of Rupees 12,415-8-4 for which the Zemindary was assumed in Fusly 1226 (A.D. 1816/17)

5 Ever since the sequestration of

of the Pollium down to the present time, the whole Peishcush of the Zemindary has been realized by Government servants, and remitted to the Collectors Treasury to the Exclusion of any interference on the part of my father, the late Zemindar or myself as may also be seen by Government accounts.

6 The small allowance of 10 per Cent granted to the late Zemindar being insufficient for the subsistence of his large family, consisting of 14 wives besides Children and dependents, he suffered great hardship and departed this life in July 1842 and I beg to assure you that he was not in possession of any personal property whatsoever.

7 In 1811, the late Zemindar invested me with succession to the Estate, but afterwards hearkening to the bad advice of his 14th wife, cancelled my inheritance, and has since continued to look upon me as an inveterate foe, as may be seen by the Records in the Principal Collector's Office, and endorsed orders in my possession. This kept me in utter ignorance of the correct amount of arrears due

Fort St. George 8th February 1814

due, consequently I addressed a Petition to the Principal Collector under date the 1st June, requesting him to furnish me with a correct account, but without success. I therefore beg to renew my request on that head.

8 The Estate in question has been under the management of Government for the last 27 years from Fasly 1226. and notwithstanding no portion of its Revenue had been interfered with by the late Zemindar, yet the Government charge him with the arrears of Peishcush accumulated since its sequestration and Charges of Collection, though the Sibbendy on the Talook Establishments are employed on account of the management of the numerous Villages forming the Talook of Maroongapoory, than for the few Villages composing the Zemindary - a proceeding inconsistent with an act of benevolence, which their requisition of me to liquidate the same with interest is contrary to the provisions of Clause 4 Section XVIII Regulation II 1802 above referred to.

9 As there remains considerable arrears notwithstanding the Zemindary is under

Fort St. George 8th February 1814

under the management of Government and thus kept fully realized, it affords a strong proof that the permanent settlement, originally introduced, was not fixed on principles of justice - Hence I cannot be called upon with justice to discharge the same.

I have no means of discharging the arrears, and though the Government are aware of my inability from my being in receipt of 10 per cent allowance from them, yet they require me to discharge the same on pain of sale of the property, and it cannot therefore but be looked upon by me, a dependent on their protection &c other than as an act of injustice.-

11 If, as the Government require, I relinquish my Estate for an everlasting allowance of 10 per cent, all my right to the Zemindary will cease. I am therefore unwilling to do so.-

12 All the Rules and Regulations enacted since 1802 declare that the Honorable Company are kindly disposed to protect the faithful and punish the wicked, and which is again avowed in a treatise entitled "Prospecy." Hence I leave to the consideration of the high Government how incongruous it would be with the above Rules and Regulations to sell my Estate.-

My

2329 Fort St. George 8th February 1814.

13

My ancestors rendered essential services to the Honorable Company and afforded them every aid in Capturing the person of a certain malefactor named Pareaperoomal Gounden, younger brother of Thumba Gounden, who was creating serious disturbances and was the source of great oppression and terror to the public, in testimonial whereof the late Collector of Ramnad, so long back as the 7th March 1797, issued an order to my ancestors, shewing the positive assurances, with which the Government were pleased to hold out beneficial protection to them in every respect, conferring as a mark of it on them, the present of a Palankeen which document is at present with me. Under these auspices I humbly request you will be pleased to submit this my humble address to the favorable Consideration of the Board of Revenue with your recommendation to restore the Zemindary to me on a reduced Peishcush of 13,107-5-6 per Annum, after remitting the whole arrears due, in which case I shall in future be very regular in my payments to the Government of their demands, or to allow me to continue in receipt of my present allowance till my means can enable me

2330 Fort St. George 8th February 1814.

me to discharge the arrears and redeem my property.

(Signed) Peristinapa Condaya Naicker.

Translate of an address dated 30th May 1813 from Nulla Tummal, brother of Moottoovee-rapa Leekya Naicker Junior Zemindar of Coomaravady to the acting Principal Collector of Madura.—

Your order No. 659 of the 24th Instant in the name of the Zemindar, my son, stating that no attention was paid to the notice issued to him on the 9th April 1812, and requiring his opinion in respect to redeeming the Estate by payment of the arrears due against the end of the Fasly last approaching or giving a formal relinquishment of it according to the form furnished with the above order, either before you or the Tasildar of the Taluk, has been received.

In answer, I have to state that I was informed by my late husband, while alive that the Zemindary was assumed by Government, in consequence of his minority and not for any arrears to Government. My son is only 12 years old and is too young to be able to understand the contents of your order

under

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

under acknowledgment, or state his opinion upon it. Hence the delay of answering your order of the 9th April 1842. As a Mother and authorized Guardian to the Minor I continue to protect him and his dependents and therefore beg to submit the above correct state of things for your information.

(Signed) Mulla Bummal

Translate of an address dated 7th July 1843 from Mulla Bummal guardian to the Minor Zemindar of Hommaravady to the Sub Collector in charge of Madura.

I have been favored with the two orders from your Office, dated the 9th April 1842 and 24th May 1843 in the names of my son Mootoo Veerapa Lackya Naiker, Minor Zemindar, the former requiring him to redeem the Estate by payment of the arrears up to the 11th July 1843 or to give a formal Relinquishment in case of inability to pay the demand, and obtain a Commission of 10 per Cent, Reporting the Minor's opinion on the subject as quickly as possible and observing that otherwise the Estate would be sold in public outcry to meet the demand, and the latter enclosing a form for the formal Relinquishment.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

In answer I beg to state that I lost no time in answering the second order of the Principal Collector on the 30th of the same Month as guardian to the Minor Zemindar, who is unable either to understand the contents of your orders or to answer or conform to their requisition. The Head Assistant Collector who is now come to make the settlement of this Talook, has seen my son. This Zemindary was originally assumed by the Government in consequence of my late husband's minority, and not on account of any balance of Peishcush to Government. Therefore I shall be guided by any instructions you will be pleased to issue on the subject.

(Signed) Mulla Bummal

Translate of a deed of Surrender given to the Honorable East India Company by Manikka Naiker Polligar of Amattaray in Nellacotay.

From inability of my ancestors to discharge an arrear on the said Estate, it was sequestered, and an allowance of 10 per Cent on its net Revenue given them agreeably to their request, and which is now continued to me, as I am unable to redeem the Estate, I do hereby bind myself and heirs to abandon all

all claim whatever to recovery of the said Pollum, so long as the above allowance of 10 per cent on its Net Revenue be continued to us- in proof of which I subscribe to this deed of Surrender with my own free will and Consent this 26th day of July of 1842 in presence of the Monzoondar of Tandicomboo taluk and of the undersigned witnesses.

(Signed) Manikala Iniar Row

, Witnesses,

(Signed) Soobramanya Moodeliar

Cummums Gomasta of Mullama Colay

(Signed) Vengadaperoomal Reddy of Vembarapuddy.

Translate of a Deed of Surrender given to the Honorable East India Company by Appajee Coallapa Gounden Poligar of Combay in Thenkurray.-

From inability of my ancestors to discharge an arrear on the said Estate, it was sequestered, and an allowance of 10 per cent, on its Net Revenue given them agreeably to their request, and which is now continued to me, as I am unable to redeem the Estate, I do hereby bind myself and heirs to abandon all Claim whatever to recovery of

of the said Pollum so long as the above allowance of 10 per Cent on its Net Revenue be continued to us. in proof of which I subscribe to this deed of Surrender with my own free will and consent this 9th July 1842 in presence of the Principal Collector of Madura and of the undersigned witnesses.-

(Signed) Appachee Coallapa Gounden.

, Witnesses, " Ramien.

Moozor Gomastah

" Mahomed Ibrahim

Moozor Deloyet.-

Translate of a Deposition dated 9th December 1843 before Jaffer Hussain, Tasildar of Maroonga- -pory by Vasavapa Naicker Zemindar of Thoganalay.-

2 I have received an order from the Principal Collector under date the 4th Instant, directing requisition of payment of the arrears due by you to the Government, or your relinquishment of the Estate, apprising you at the same time that the property would otherwise be sold in public auction agreeably to the Boards orders, when you will lose your

permanent

2335 Copy of Despatch from the Secretary to Government to the Board of Revenue dated Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Peishcush Property - State of Fasli Currency.

Copy of Letter from Secretary to Government to the Board of Revenue dated Fort St. George 8th February 1844 with Enclosures.

Sir

I am directed by the Right Honble the Governor in Council to transmit to you, for the information of the Commissioners of Land Revenue, a Statement of the Collections made from Fasly 1225 to 1252 on account of the Peishcush of the Maroongapoory Veeramalay Thogamalay and Comaraiwady in Dindigul District, and to request that you will call upon the Collector of Madura to report the reasons why the Collections have not been completed, and why the Balances have not been realized.

I am Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) Charles Bruce
Secretary to Government

Fort St. George
8th February
1844

2336

Statement of the Gett Settlement after Deducting 10 per Cent Commission to the Zemindars the Peishcush from Fasly 1225 to 1252. and the balance against each of the four Zemindaries of Maroongapoory Veeramalay Thogamalay and Comaraiwady in Dindigul District.

Fasslies	Maroonga poory	Veerama lay	Thoga malay	Comara iwady
Balance remained from former settlement since assumption	12,601 1 8			
Balance	29,233 1 6	63,772 13 7	13,874 9 1	42,947 12 [illegible]
Amount Gett Collections of the Maroongapoory as shewn above	5,95,766 3 6			
Amount Gett Collections from Fasly 1225 to 1252	5,55,570 4 6			
Deduct Peishcush credited on account of Sarjes	27,594 13 4			
Deduct Maramut Charges credited on account of arrears	12,601 1 8			
	5,95,766 3 6			

* Note

Madura 29th January 1844. (Signed) J. Blackburne
Pro Collector

Ordered

2337 Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Order thereon
(45-46)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

Read the following letter

To E. B. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(47)
From the Collector
of Tinnevelly

Sir,

The order of Government published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 11th November 1843 requiring that the names (many not known in office) of the different authorities addressed may be written at the top and Bottom of each letter sent, and as many other necessary points of information not officially furnished, are given in the Almanac and arranged in the most compact form, I have the honor to request you will, if there is no objection, be so good as to order a copy of the Madras Almanac for the current year to be supplied for the use of this office at the public expense.

I have &c
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly
Calcined
26th January 1844

(117-48)
Resolution thereon

The Board regret that they are precluded from complying with Mr. Thomas's request to be supplied with a copy of the Madras Almanac of the current year for the use of his Office - Government having on a former occasion declined to sanction a charge incurred by a Collector for an almanac, as unusual.

To

2338 Fort St. George 8th

To E. B. Lovell

Sir,

(49)
From the Collector
of Tinnevelly

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th Instant and to state that on enquiry it appears that the Circular letter dated 29th June to, authorizing to grant remissed assessment on such appropriated to the Cultivation of Cotton or Virginian Tobacco in 1834 - Due intimation of the to the Tahsildar of Radoo Ninaracoil, and Streeve such production chiefly a proclamation was issued and that those who like Hoozoor would receive they required.

No cultivation appears to have taken place goondum Talook during cotton only was cultivated in the other 2 Talooks and the entire terwah has been remitted in the Jamabundy of that year owing to the entirely failed.

As regards

2.

2337 Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Order thereon

(45-46)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Read the following

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(47)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

The order of Government in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 11th November requiring that the names (many not known) of the different authorities addressed be written at the top and Bottom of each sent, and as many other necessary points information not officially furnished, are given in the Almanac and arranged in the most compact form, I have the honor to request will, if there is no objection, be so good as order a copy of the Madras Almanac for current year to be supplied for the use this office at the public expence.

I am Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector

Tinnevelly
Cutcherry
26th January 1844

(47-49)

Resolution thereon

The Board regret that they are precluded from complying with Thomas's request to be supplied with a of the Madras Almanac of the current year for the use of his Office - Government having on a former occasion declined to such a charge incurred by a Collector for an Almanac, an unusual

To

2338 Fort St. George 8th February 1844

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(49)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Acting Sub Secretary's letter of the 4th Instant and to inform you that on enquiry it appears that on the receipt of the Board's Circular letter dated 29th June 1833 therein alluded to, authorizing to grant remission of half of the fixed assessment on such land as may be appropriated to the Cultivation of American Cotton or Verginian Tobacco during the year 1834- Due intimation of the same was given to the Tahsildar of Radoomundalum Shenkercoil, and Streevellyputore where such production chiefly were cultivated, and a proclamation was issued to the same effect and that those who liked to come to the Hoozor would receive as much seed as they required.-

No cultivation of either kind appears to have taken place in the Streevegoondum Talook during Fusly 1243- Foreign cotton only was cultivated by certain Ryots in the other 2 Talooks to a very small extent and the entire Teerwah thereof seems to have been remitted in the Jummabundy Accounts of that year owing to the crop having entirely failed.

As regards the cultivation

2. of

2339

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

of the Verginiary Tobacco it was tried only
2 Villages of the Shenkerniarcovil Talook
Of which the seed sown in one are said n
to have Vegetated, and in the other, half
was collected on a very small spot, abou
1/4 of an Acre, of land. The Ryots complain
at the time of the seed being old and bad
no cultivation of either kind has since
taken place, nor has 1/2 Teerwah been
consequence remitted.

3

In concluding I would
to observe that the spirit of the order of
29th June 1833. seems at variance with
of Government of 8th August 1843. in reply to
my application of 19th June 1843. when
that application I was not aware of the
permission of June 1833 or I should have
referred to it. If Government are desirous
encouraging and improving the Cotton of
vally I would respectfully submit that
of the most effectual means would be a
rary remission of some portion of the
ment on foreign Cotton Cultivation, to
whatever extent the Board and Govern
ment deem right, the loss to Government
would be small as it would chiefly be
in lands now lying waste. As the subject
is of some importance to Tinnevelly I
trust the Board will excuse my
diverting to it.

Tinnevelly Collectors
30th January 1844.

1-50 Order thereon:

/ Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector

Ordered to lie on the table

2340

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre.

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

51
From the Principal
Collector of Coimbatore.

Having received Copy of Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th Instant
from the Civil Auditor requesting me to recover from
Rama Krishna Pundit Head Sheristadar of this District
a Sum of Rupees 264-3-1 being the salary drawn
by him for the period intervening between the 11th
December 1841 and the 3rd January 1842, and it appearing
that my communication dated 12th December 1843 having reference
to this subject was not submitted to Government, I have the
honor respectfully to request that the Board of Revenue will
be so kind as to transmit a Copy of it to Government backed with
their favorable recommendation, and obtain sanction for the withdrawal
of the retrenchment which presses heavily upon the pecuniary
interests of the Sheristadar.

A:96

It is with much reluctance

2

that I am again under the necessity of
troubling the Board on a subject which has
been

Fort St George 8th February 1844

been so often discussed, but a sense of duty and a d
to do all possible justice to one of the most worthy a
Zealous Theristadars in the service, has alone compe
me to trespass on the time of the Board-

Coimbatore,
Princ.l Coll.rs Office,
Lattimungalam,
25th January 1844.

Signed J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

57-52
Order thereon-
Read again
in Con.n 9th Feb.y

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting-

Read the following letter

To
E. C. Lavell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

53
From the Pl.
Coll.r of Canara-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Instant, enclosing a Petition from P. Coelho, requesting that some small sized Teak cut by him long before the new Tariff was published may be allowed to be exported at the former rates of duty, regarding which my opinion is called for. In reply I beg leave to state, that under all the circumstances

Fort St George 8th February 1844.

of the case, I think it would be but fair to allow the Petitioner the indulgence he craves, which should, however, be restricted in my opinion, to the present season, after which no Timber under any circumstances should be allowed to be exported, except on payment of the new Rates-

Princ.l Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
27th January 1844-

Signed A. M. Blair
Principal Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted with the following address-

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government-

53-54
58
To the Chief
Sec.y to Gov.t

To Pl. Coll.r of
Canara 18th Jan.y 1844.
with Petition
From P. 27th Jan.y
in Con.n 8th Feb.y

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying correspondence with the Principal Collector of Canara regarding an application from a person named P. Coelho for a relaxation of the duties leviable on certain small sized Teak Timber felled before the

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

From Govt. 30 Octr in Cons. 6th March 1843.

the introduction of the new Tariff, which he wishes export to Bombay.

2

The Board concur in Mr. Blair's suggestion that in this case the duty should be levied according to the former Rates. In any similar case in which the same indulgence may be claimed, the Collector will be careful to see that it is strictly confined to Timber cut before the publication of the new Tariff, and the Board would recommend that the indulgence should be limited to the present season.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
8th February 1844.

Signed E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following Letters.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

55
From H. V. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

In Reply to your letter of the 2nd October last, desiring me to state whether besides the rent on Hill or Jungle produce there are any other petty farms Comprised among the sources of Extra Revenue in this District which are, in my opinion objectionable - I have the honor to inform you that there is one item of this nature which might, I think, be well abolished - Namely, the Farm for dying Betel nuts passing from Malabar to Coimbatore - The Farm owed its existence I believe to Tippoo's people - Every Merchant exporting betel nuts was forced to take them by one Road, that thro' Palghaut, and have them dyed (whether they wished for this process or not) at a specified rate by the man who had rented the Farm from Government - This extraordinarily tyrannical system went on till 1839, when Mr. Underwood, on the Complaint of certain Merchants

2345 Fort St. George 8th February 1844

Merchants directed that so much of the order shou
be rescinded as obliged exporting parties to have the
nuts Colored - The consequence was that the value of
farm fell from 1760 to 825 Rupees - It has subsequ
=ly slightly increased as shewn in the Margin -

In Fusly 1250 I permitted the
Cullungode as well as the Palghaut Road to
taken by the Merchants, the Renter establishing a
another warehouse at the former place - These two
are the only great lines of communication from Malab
to Coimbatore -

Calicut,
22nd November 1843.

Signed A. D. Conolly
Collector.

55-56
Order thereon -

Ordered to lie
on the table -

Jo

Dr Fusly 1243-2600
" 1244-2050
" 1245-2100
" 1246-2210
" 1247-1920
" 1248-1760
" 1249-825
" 1250-901
" 1251-901
" 1252-1003.

2346 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

57

Fort St. George

Sir,

From A. D. Conolly Esqre
Collector of Malabar.

With reference to the

To The Board of Revr
23d September 1841
From Do. 28th Octr.

correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the pay=ment of the sum of Rupees (43-6-3) to Kalpully Rama Menon (Heir to the late Kalpully Karonagara Menon) being the difference as per annexed Memorandum, between the amount of the Palankeen allowance granted to Kalpully Karonagara Menon under date the 27th October

Order of Government 1812, since commuted for an equal abatement of the Rent of
22d April 1822. his Lands and the remission annually made on this Account -

Palkenjerry,
27th January 1844.

Signed A. D. Conolly
Collector

Memorandum

2347 Fort St George 8th February 1844

Memorandum

Fusly	annual Amount of Palanken allowance commuted for an equal abatement of the Revenue	Amount annually remitted. On account of Land Revenue.	On account of Mokturpha.	Total	Difference
1250	840 " "	822 15 5	2 9 2	825 8 7	14 7 5
1251	840 " "	822 15 5	2 9 2	825 8 7	14 7 5
1252	840 " "	822 15 5	2 9 2	825 8 7	14 7 5
Total	2,520 " "	2,468 14 3	7 11 6	2,476 9 9	43 6 3

E. E. Fyfe Signed A. V. Conolly
Collector

57-58

Order thereon-
(Read again in 19th July)

Ordered to be brought forward at future date

59

From the Collector of Madura Dated 24th January 1844
Received 2nd February

Submitting Statement of Collections under the different Heads of Revenue on the Estate of Ramnad for Fusly 1252 and the Balance due on the Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 and Requesting to be informed what Amount of Remission he is to allow on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251

Read the Letter from the Collector of Madura dated 24th January 1844 Received 2nd February Submitting Statement of Collections under the different Heads of Revenue on the Estate of Ramnad for Fusly 1252 and the Balance due on the Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 and Requesting to be informed what Amount of Remission he is to allow on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251

Ordered that the Collector be informed that no Remission can be allowed on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and that the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 must be collected

Collections for Fusly 1252	3,00,270 8 4	3,18,804 " "	18,534 10 8
Ditto for the Late Fusly 1251	1,47,556 9 9	8,65,542 3 9	17,985 13 "
Balance due for the Late Fusly 1251	1,47,556 9 9	8,65,542 3 9	1,005 11 5
Remission allowed on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252			203 14 8
Ditto withheld (Balance)	1,43,858 9 1	7,09,520 5 12	
Total Sale	1,27,714 " "	4,99,051 9 1	2,80,127 " "
Total Collections	1,27,714 " "	4,99,051 9 1	2,80,127 " "

Sec. Revenue 23th February 1844

Read the Letter from the Collector of Madura dated 24th January 1844 Received 2nd February Submitting Statement of Collections under the different Heads of Revenue on the Estate of Ramnad for Fusly 1252 and the Balance due on the Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 and Requesting to be informed what Amount of Remission he is to allow on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251

Ordered that the Collector be informed that no Remission can be allowed on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and that the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 must be collected

Sec. Revenue 23th February 1844

Read the Letter from the Collector of Madura dated 24th January 1844 Received 2nd February Submitting Statement of Collections under the different Heads of Revenue on the Estate of Ramnad for Fusly 1252 and the Balance due on the Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 and Requesting to be informed what Amount of Remission he is to allow on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251

Ordered that the Collector be informed that no Remission can be allowed on account of Mokturpha for Fusly 1252 and that the Balance of Kistbundy for the Late Fusly 1251 must be collected

Sec. Revenue 23th February 1844

No. 4.

on the Demand for the necessary of life, for exportation to Mysore and coorg. By the accompanying Statement it will be perceived that the Sales for exportation to Districts beyond Malabar amounted, in

1252/1842/43 to	1338	50	4
and in 1251/1841/42 to	1159	66	8
Increase	178	383	4

The Sales for Home consumption, in 1252/1842/43 were 1905 — 10 — 6

and in 1251/1841/42 1853 — 205 — 5

Increase 51 — 205 — 1

Total Sales.

₹ 1252	3243	60	6	giving a total increase of
" 1251	3042	272	1	
Increase	200	188	5	

3 M. 4
200 — 188 — 5, over the total quantity disposed of in 1251/1842

3
The excess of Rupees 11 — 3 in the "charges for Purchase" is owing to its having been found necessary to offer in 1252/1842/43 higher Prices than those paid in the preceding year. Notwithstanding the adoption of this measure it was found impossible to secure a sufficient stock for the monsoon of Months — and much inconvenience would have been experienced had not a supply been received at Tellicherry just at the

present season opened. The total quantity of Salt purchased in 1252/1842/43 was 2816 — 302 — 4

and in 1251/1841/42 2979 — 371 — 1

Deficiency in 1252/1842/43 162 — 379 — 1.

But the average price paid in 1252/1842/43 was Rupees 31 — 1 — 7 9/100 per Garce and in 1251/1841/42 Rupees 29 — 8 1/100. Increase in 1252/1842/43 Rupees 2 — 11 15/100 per Garce.

4 In the "charges for Superintendence and Sale" there has been a trifling Decrease of 203 — 14 — 8 and the Net result is an Increase of Rupees 20263 — 13 — 6.

Net Revenue.
of 1252 2456606 4 6
" 1251 225,242 7 —
Increase 20263 13 6

Tobacco. 5 In Fusly 1250 this branch of Revenue had fallen from Rupees 546078 — 11 — 7 to 525852 — 9 — 7. In Fusly 1251 it was Rupees 527307 — 14 — 10, at

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	525852 9 7	527,307 14 10	548704 2	20762 5 4	
Charges for the Purchase or manufacture	11,759 2 7	10,703 8 9	5,486 5 4		8,384 — 11 — 7
Ditto Superintendence & Sale	23,695 14 1	22,727 1 10	22,127 14 2		99 3 8
Total Charges	14,3935 — 8	12,926 5 11	3,120 7 7		8,483 3 8
Net Revenue	381,897 8 11	394,042 3 7	4,27,287 12 7	29,245 9	
Per centage of the Charges	27 6 —	24 8 3/4	22 — 7/4		

† The Gross collection of Fusly 1249.

cannot therefore be but gratifying

gratifying to notice that in Fasly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ it has, once more attained its former flourishing state, the Gross collection being Rupees 54,8070-4-2 or Rupees 20,762-5-- 4 above the result of $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ and Rupees 1991-8-7 above Fasly $\frac{1249}{1839/40}$.

Fasly	Md	Rs
1252	3264	13-15
1251	2970	15-13
Increase	293	18-2

6. Although the quantity of Tobacco purchased in $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ exceeds the supply of $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ by 293-18 Md 2 Rs the reduction which the Principal Collector of Coimbatore appears to have effected in the cost and charges (the average of $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ being Rupees 36-5-27 Rs, and that of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ only Rupees 30-3-0 per Candy) has caused a decrease in the "charges for Purchase" amounting to Rupees 8384-- adding to this small decrease of Rupees 99-3-8 in the "charges for superintendence and sale," the total decrease in the charges comes to Rupees 8,483-3-8, which together with the increase in the Gross Collection already adverted to,

Net Revenue			
of	1252	4,27,287-12-7	has produced an
	1251	3,98042-3-7	
Increase Rs		29,245-9--	excess in the Net Revenue amounting to Rupees 29,245-9--

The

Land Customs

		Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections		12,33,315-8	10,99,986-10	10,83,388-13-1		15,97-12-11
Charges of	Collections	13,357-1-6	13,343-13-9	13,308-14-1		34-15-8
	Commission	527-3--				
	Drawback	33,798-13--	24,583-5-2	27,099-11-2	2,516-10-9	
Total Charges		47,682-14-9	37,926-14-2	40,408-9-3	2,481-11-1	
Net Revenue		7,85,648-6-11	7,20,59-11-10	6,79,980-3-10		40,798--
Per Centage of the Charges		38-10-7	34-7-8¾	37-4-6		

7. The Decrease of Rupees 15,97-12-11 exhibited in the marginal Statement arises from a falling off in the articles of Betelnut, Timber, Piece Goods, Hides and Sandalwood, amounting in all to about Rupees 6200, which has only been partially covered by the increase of Rupees 4300 in Pepper Ghee &c.--

8. The Decrease in the "charges of Collection" is too trifling to need remark.-- the increase of Rupees 2,516-10-9 in the disbursements on account of Drawback is partly owing to an excess in the exportation of Piece Goods, and partly to a quantity of

Rs 34-15-8.

of Timber (which had previously paid 5 per Cent duty) having been exported from this District direct to the United Kingdom. These circumstances have occasioned a decrease of Rupees 4079-8- in the Net Revenue of $\frac{1252}{1843/43}$ Sea Customes.

8 This statement of Revenue for Fasly 1252 $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ yields an increase of Rupees 1223-6-8 in addition to that which occurred during the antecedent year Viz^{t} Rs^{s} 7822-11-3 which I think is a satisfactory evidence of the trade of this District being in a flourishing condition The increase in $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ is attributable to

		Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections		26,47,33 2 7	27,25,35 13 10	28,47,87 4 6	1223 6 8	
Charges	Collections	22 8 7 13 10	22,97,7 5 8	22,82 4 5		115 1 3
	Commission	764 9 8				
	Drawback	23,19 7 -	49,97 15 5	18,76 5		30,591 10 5
Total Charges		46,771 14 6	72,173 5 1	41,578 9 5		30,596 10 8
Net Revenue		21,961 4 1	20,03,80 8 9	24,32,08 11 1	42823 2 4	
Per centage of the Charges		17 10 8	26 7 3½	14 9 7		

to an augmented exportation of almost all the articles forming the Staple of Malabar.

9 Setting aside the trifling decrease of Rupees 115-1-3 in the "Charges of collection" I proceed to explain that the large reduction apparent under the Head of drawback Viz^{t} Rupees 30,481-10-5, is mainly attributable to the cause set forth in the 14th Para of my Report for 1/49th Dec^{r} 1842. $\frac{1251}{1843/42}$ the disbursements made during that year having been considerably enhanced by the drawback due for Pepper exported to Bombay in the preceding Fasly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$

Rs 30,596-11-8 The total Decrease in the Charges, added to the increase of Rupees 12,231-6-8 in the Gross Collection, produces an excess

Net Revenue of 1252-24,3,208-11-1
1254-20,03,80-8-9
Increase 4,28,28-2-4-

in the Net Revenue of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ amounting to Rupees 4,28,28-2-4-

Abstract.

	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	58776 1 1	70,67,98 -	73,14,21 4 2	2,46,36 2	
Gross Collections	59,91,01 0 1	69,25,71 8	73,33,14 1	4,08,012 5	
Charges	361 2 2				
Net Revenue	59,54,97 11	69,25,71 8	73,33,14 1	4,08,012 5	
Per centage of the Charges	98				

10 The settlement of this source of Extra Revenue has been attended with an increase

increase of Rupees 2,463-6-2 the greater part of which, Viz.ts 2246-4-. falls on the Arrack Farm the residue Rupees 217-2-2- on Shatty-Shatty (Tax on Toddy knives and Stills) held under Amanie.. From the Demand thus formed amounting to Rupees 73,142- 14-2, Rupees 72,054-7-11. was realized in the course of the year, independent of a further sum of Rupees 1277-6-2 on account of the arrears of Fusly 1251/1841-42, making the total collection Rupees 73,331-14-1, or Rupees 4,080-12-5 over and above the amount brought to account in 1251/1841-42 out of the amount which remained outstanding at the close of the Fusly, Viz.t Rs. 1088-6-3, Rupees 173-2-10 has since been realized. Of the Residue, Rupees 866-12-. is part of the demand on account of the Ostensible arrack farm which having been transferred to the collector of Coimbatore will have been realized by that officer, I beg therefore to recommend that this account may be struck of the Accounts of this District.. Measures are in progress for the collection of the .. difference amounting to Rupees 48. 7-5-.

Rs. 915-3-5

Farms

Farms & Licences.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Settlement	70,105 2 -	46,004 15 8	46,076 8 11	71 9 3	
Gross Collections	70,017 2 -	46,086 14 3	46,999 7 8	912 9 5	
Charges	10,483 7 -	3,236 11 10	854 12 11		2,381 14 11
Net Revenue	59,533 11 -	42,850 2 5	46,144 10 9	3,294 8 4	
Per centage of the charges	14 15 63/64	7 - 4½	1 13 1		

11- The small increase of Rupees 71-9-3 in the Settlement of this branch of Revenue may be divided thus on account.

of the Items which are rented Rupees 25-.-; and on account of those held under Amanie, Rupees 46-9-3 as particularized in the Subjoined Memorandum..

		1251	1252	Increase	Decrease
Rented.	Cardamoms	6,505 - -	6,505 - -		
	Ferry Farms	34,408 - -	34,464 - -	56 - -	
	Hill Produce	1683 - -	1,652 - -		31 - -
	Total	42586 - -	42,621 - -	56 - -	31 - -
Amanie	Hill Produce	1,627 9 4	1,674 2 7	46 9 3	
	Gold Dust	1781 6 4	1781 6 4		
	Total	3,408 15 8	3,355 8 11	46 9 3	
Grand Total		46,004 15 8	46,076 8 11	102 9 3	31 - -

Net Increase 71 9 3

Out

12.

Out of the foregoing demand amounting to Rupees 46076-8-11, Rupees 45919-7-9 was collected and brought to account in $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ leaving Rupees 157-1-2 outstanding at the close of the year, of which Rupees 148-8-- was realized since the commencement of the present Fusly, so that the Balance remaining to be collected is only Rupees 8-9-2. In addition to the current Revenue Rupees 998-14-11 was collected in the course of the year on account of the arrears of the antecedent Fusties as follows.

Rs. 45919-7-9

Fusly $\frac{1246}{1836/37}$ ——— 850-6-11.
" $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ ——— 148-8--
Total Rupees ——— 998-14-11

The foregoing two Items, together with the Extra collection of Rupees 81-1- give the aggregate Gross collection of Rupees 46,999-7-8 exhibited in the marginal Statement, which is Rupees 912-9-5 above the result of $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$.

13.

The Decrease in the Charges amounting to Rupees 2,381-14-11, is mainly owing to no disbursements (similar to those incurred in $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$) having been made in $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ on account of Bill Proprietors Vs. consequent upon the Cardamom

Cardamom Farm having been under attachment in Fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$. The combination of the increase & decrease above noticed, gives an excess in favor of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ of Rupees 3294-8-4, the Net Revenue of that Fusly being Rupees 46,144-10-9 and of the preceeding one Rupees 42,850-2-5.-

Stamps.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	53225 11 6	54025 5 10	55373 6 10	1348 1 --	-- -- --
Charges ———	4923 1 4	2898 2 6	5418 11 11	2520 9 5	-- -- --
Net Revenue	50302 10 2	51127 3 4	49954 10 11	-- --	1172 8 5
Per centage of the Charges	8 14 7	5 5 10	9 12 6¾	-- -- --	-- -- --

$\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ of several Rolls of Law Papers of high Value. and the increase in the charges of Rupees 2520-9-5 is Chiefly owing to an excess in the amount of Stamp Value refunded by order of Court. which reduced the receipt from this source to Rupees 1172-8-5 below the amount of the Net Revenue carried to Account in $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$.

Net Revs of
1251-51127-3-4.
1252-49954-10-11
Decrease 1172-8-5

Mokurpha

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Settlements ———	110927 7 1	111855 7 2	112914 5 6	1058 14 4	-- -- --
Gross collections ———	110146 9 5	112505 13 2	112776 14 4	271 1 2	-- -- --
Charges ———	518 1 6	-- -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --
Net Revenue ———	109628 7 11	112505 13 2	112776 14 4	271 1 2	-- -- --
Per centage of the Charges	-- 7 6¼	-- -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --	-- -- --

14. The increase of Rs. 1348-1-- entered in the Marginal Statement arises from the sale in

15 The increase of Rupees 1058-14-4 shown in the Margin is the result of

2359 Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

of the settlement of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ the gross increa
was Rupees 4,036-2-6, but in the co
course of the Summabundy it was found
absolutely necessary to grant remissions
in favor of a great number of needy
Ryots on account of Houses- Shops
fishing Boats & nets professional
Implements &c. which had either go
to ruin or were in too decayed a state
to be able to bear any longer the Ta
with which they were severally assessed
The concession allowed on this accou
amounted to Rupees 2,977-4-2, leaving
the Net increase of Rupees 1,058-14-4
above referred to.

16. The current revenue from t
source was collected with the same eas
& facility as has generally been experienc
in this District- the amount so realized i
Rupees 11,2688-13-2, which with Rupees 8
1-2 collected on Account of three antecedent
years gives the Gross Collection of Rupe
of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ Of the Balances outstanding at
the end of the Fasly Viz.t Rupees 225-8-4
Rupees 103-8-7 has since been realized,
leaving

†Fasly 1249-13-0
1250-14-0
1251-61-1-2
88-1-2

Gross Collection of 1252. 11,276-14-4
1251-11,205-13-2
Increase 271-1-2

†Demand 11,291-5-6
Collection 11,268-13-2
Balance 225-8-4

Outstanding Balance-

†Tax on Toddy Shops & Houses &c. Rupees 52-0-0

43-11-5
8-4-7

Calicut 29th January 1844.

8th February 1844.

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.-

leaving a difference of Rupees 121-15-9 to be
hereafter collected.-

Grand Total.

	Fasly 1250			Fasly 1251			Fasly 1252			Increase			Decrease		
Gross Collections-	15,91,196	11	2	15,10,613	10	2	15,69,747	11	4	59,134	1	2	"	"	"
Charges -----	3,77,409	10	3	3,39,154	6	5	3,03,556	9	3	"	"	"	35,597	13	2
Net Revenue-	11,81,787	"	11	11,71,459	3	9	12,66,191	2	1	94,731	14	4	"	"	"
Per Centage of the Charges.	24	3	3.	22	7	2½	19	5	5	"	"	"	"	"	"

result from what was the case in the two antecedent
Fasslies- there being in the Gross Collection of $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$
an increase of Rupees 59,134-1-2- in the Gross charges
a Decrease of Rupees 35,597-13-2, and in the Gross
Net Revenue an excess of Rupees 94,731-14-4.-

18.- By the accompanying Statement
No 6 the Board will perceive that the Balances
outstanding at the commencement of Fasly $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$
was Rupees 5,428-4-8. out of which Rupees 2,664-
6-3. was collected during the year; Rupees 2,409-1-2
was written off to Profit and Loss under the sanction
of Government dated the 13th September 1842, and 20th
June 1843- leaving still a Balance of Rupees 634-13-3;
of which Rupees -6-6-2. appears recoverable, -
Rupees 596-7-1. Doubtful, and Rupees 52-0-0
irrecoverable this latter amount I beg to
recommend to be written off.-

17. Upon the whole I am happy to be able to observe that the Extra Sources of Revenue present this year a far different-

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement

2350

Fort St. George 8th February 1844.

To the Hon.ble the Governor in Council &c. &c. &c.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusulies 1252 to 1253 inclusive, and the Comparison of the last two Fusulies, with the Remarks required by the Standing Orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
[illegible]
Sd. Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

STATEMENT shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusulies 1252 to 1253 inclusive, and the Comparison of the last two Fusulies, with the Remarks required by the Standing Orders.

	For the last three Years. Fusly Rups. A. P.	Fusly Rups. A. P.	Fasly Rups. A. P.	Comparison of the last two Fusulies. Increase Rups. A. P.	Decrease Rups. A. P.	REMARKS
SALT.						
Gross Collections	3449 14 8	3 13 8	2 30 11 8			
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	1115 6 4	8 6 4	9 16 8			
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	1056 9 7	7 10 6	8 14 7			
TOTAL CHARGES	2171 14 4	16 0 10	18 7 2			
Net Revenue	1278 8 7	8 12 10	11 7 6			
Per Centage of the Charges	58 14 9	54 6 6	61 12 6			
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.						
Gross Collections	2252 9 7	2 13 10	2 15 4			
Charges for Purchase	1152 8 5	1 8 6	1 9 2			
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	1100 8 2	1 5 4	1 5 2			
TOTAL CHARGES	2253 0 7	2 14 0	2 14 4			
Net Revenue	0 0 0	0 0 6	0 0 6			
Per Centage of the Charges	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
LAND CUSTOMS.						
Gross Collections	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Charges { of Collection	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
" Commission	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
" Drawback	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Net Revenue	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Per Centage of the Charges	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
SEA CUSTOMS.						
Gross Collections	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Charges { of Collection	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
" Commission	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
" Drawback	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Net Revenue	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Per Centage of the Charges	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.						
Gross Collections	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Charges	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Net Revenue	2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Per Centage of the Charges	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)						
Arrack.						
Gross Collections	4299 10 1	6 9 2	7 26 11			
Charges	361 2 2	1 8 1	1 26 11			
Net Revenue	3937 7 11	5 1 1	5 29 0			
Per Centage of the Charges	1090 9 7	1 8 1	1 26 11			
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.						
Gross Collections	1111 15 9	1 12 6	2 11 7			
Charges	278 1 6	" "	" "			
Net Revenue	832 14 3	1 12 6	2 11 7			
Per Centage of the Charges	299 4 6	" "	" "			
GRAND TOTAL.						
Gross Collections	4390 6 11	7 25 8	9 38 6			
Charges	639 3 8	1 8 6	1 26 11			
Net Revenue	3751 3 3	6 17 2	8 11 7			
Per Centage of the Charges	586 14 9	1 8 6	1 26 11			
GRAND TOTAL.						
Gross Collections						
Charges						
Net Revenue						
Per Centage of the Charges						

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fusles &c*

FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS.

Items	Fusly 1250 Rups.	A. P.	Fusly 1251 Rups.	A. P.	COMPARISON OF THE LAST TWO FUSLIES. Increase	Decrease	REMARKS
Tobacco	5,27,188	14 10	5,27,188	14			
Salt	3,18,265	11 4	3,18,265	11			
Sea Customs	1,09,936	10	1,09,936	10			
Abkarry	2,72,549	12 4	2,72,549	12			
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	70,679	8	69,136	1			
Stamps	46,004	15 8	45,850	6			
Motunpha	54,025	5 10	54,025	5			
Total	1,11,855	7 2	1,11,701	15 9			
	15,11,156	5 2	15,09,304	13 5			

2250

1st February 18[illegible]

Statement Shewing the Demand Collect

Items	Settlement of Fusly 1250	Collection on account of Fusly 1251 to 11th July 1842
	1	2 / 3
Tobacco	5,27,188 14 10	5,27,188 14
Salt	3,18,265 11 4	3,18,265 11
Sea Customs	1,09,936 10	1,09,936 10
Abkarry	2,72,549 12 4	2,72,549 12
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	70,679 8	69,136 1
Stamps	46,004 15 8	45,850 6
Motunpha	54,025 5 10	54,025 5 10
Total	1,11,855 7 2	1,11,701 15 9
	15,11,156 5 2	15,09,304 13 5

2363

Statement of Demand Collection and Balance on &c

Items	Total Demand on account of Old Balance at the Close of Fusly 1251	Arrears on account of Fusly 1240	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1241	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1242	Fusly 1246
	1	2	3	4	5
Tobacco					
Salt					
Sayer					
Sea Customs					
Abkarry	2,404 4	13 5 2	33 11 7	45 11	
Sundry Small Farms and Licences	2,554 9 5				6 11
Stamps					
Motunpha	469 10 11		15 3 11	8 9 2	
Total	5,428 4 8	13 5 2	53 15 6	54 4 9	6 11

Fort St. George
No. 3.

Account of Arrears of Revenue (Land Revenue excepted)

8th February 1844.

in the Province of Malabar for Fasly 1252.—

6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto	Total of Cols 3 to 13	Collections on account of Arrears of Fasly 1239	Ditto Ditto	Ditto Ditto
Fasly 1243	Fasly 1244	Fasly 1245	Fasly 1246	Fasly 1247		Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250		of Fasly 1239	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1246
49155	491410	4969	343210	4311	43.11	4311	13935	86095			85011
			2400								
8121	1219	892	8	22113	3547	75142	121 15	31636	14	13	85011
58116	62.7	57 1511	2751210	6663	79	11997	2604 10	3576 1211	14	13	85011

Fort St. George

8th February 1844.-

18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
Total of Cols 15- 16 and 17.-	Remission on Balance on account of Arrears upto Fasly 1250.-	Balance on account of Fasly 1247.-	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1248.-	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1249.-	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1250.-	Total Balance.-	Arrears of Fasly 1251.-	Collections viz Fasly 1252 on Account of 1251.-	Balance of 1251.-	Total of Cols 18 and 26.-	Total of Cols 24 and 28.-
765 15		958.	1543 11	1277 62	266 9	1277 62	361 89				
330 11	154 91		1549 5	1488 "	615	998 1411	615				
27 "	94 6 8	14 6 5	27 "	549 7	98 1210	194 1210	153 7 5	61 1 2	92 6 3	88 1 2	28 7 31
877 11	2409 1 2	14 6 5	27 "	549 7	194 1410	290 410	1851 7 9	1486 15 4	364 8 5	2364 6 3	654 13 3

Calicut 11th July 1843.

C. C. Fs. (signed) H. V. Conolly Collector

Statement

Fort S.t George
No 4.-

Statement shewing the Inland Districts &c.a for the supply of from the Gollahs in Malabar in Fuslies 1251 and 1252 as

Stations at which Gollahs are Established in Malabar.-	District & Places to Coorg by the Hegale River			Mysore from Cannanore & Tellicherry by Periah Pass from Calicut by the Tambericherry Pass and from Mamboat by the Carroor Pass.-			Coimbatore by Palghaut		
	G	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S
Cannanore	48	35	4	215	26	0	"	"	"
Tellicherry	23	26	8	298	3	4	"	"	"
Calicut	"	"	"	75	30	8	"	"	"
Mamboat	"	"	"	14	40	"	"	"	4
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	484	35	0
Tanore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Choughaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	71	38	0	603	21	0	484	35	0
Cannanore	57	15	4	275	35	8	"	"	"
Tellicherry	29	17	8	335	37	4	"	"	"
Calicut	"	"	"	96	38	0	"	"	"
Mamboat	"	"	"	25	26	8	"	"	"
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	445	46	8
Tanore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Chowghaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Smuggled Salt	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	86	33	0	733	33	8	445	46	8
Net Increase in	14	35	0	130	12	8	"	"	"
Net Decrease in	"	"	"	"	"	"	39	30	4

Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42.-

Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43.-

Calicut, 11th July, 1843.

8th February 1844.-

which Rowannahs have been issued for the transport of Salt- well as the Sales for Home Consumption.-

which Salt was carried.-

Neilgherries by the Carroor Pass.			Devy by Sea.			The French Settlement of Mahé by Sea.			Total			Sold for Home Consumption.-			Total Sales.		
G	M	S	G	M	S	C	M	S	C	M	S	G	M	S	G	M	S
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	264	21	4	1683	7	8	433	19	0
"	"	"	"	"	"	8	"	"	329	30	"	319	11	5	648	14	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	76	60	"	358	11	4	434	17	4
"	15	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	34	21	4	42	33	0	77	19	4
20	17	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	484	35	0	915	25	8	1400	20	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	9	"	5	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	46	36	0	46	36	0
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14	1	1	14	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	32	8	"	"	"	8	"	"	1189	66	8	1853	20	5	3042	27	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	333	11	0	161	13	0	494	24	0
"	"	"	"	"	"	6	36	0	422	11	0	350	10	6	772	21	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	97	13	0	369	13	10	466	19	10
"	33	4	"	16	8	"	"	"	40	3	4	42	31	8	82	32	0
"	14	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	445	46	8	942	29	8	1388	34	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	6	"	14	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	21	8	37	21	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	33	1	"	33	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37	5	"	37	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	10	"	"	16	8	6	36	0	1338	50	"	1905	10	6	3243	60	6
"	"	"	"	16	8	"	"	"	148	38	4	57	20	5	206	18	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	31	6	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

C. C. J.r (Signed) H. V. Conolly Coll.z

Statement

Fort St. George

No 5.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of

Fusly 1251.-

Names of the Gollahs..	Balance Quantity of the Previous Years.	Purchased in 1251.-	Kuparak found in 1251	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net quantity
	G. M. S					
Cannanore	248 533	436.260	1 334 685.346 7/8	2 226 8	633 119 11/16	
Tellicherry	78 6 9/16	912.358 4	1-103.4 992 68 5/8	216 8	991.251 9/16	
Calicut and Manihat	83 83 7 9/16	26 169 8	4. 865.150.5/8	7 96 8	858.53 9/16	
Tannore	" " "	599	" " " 599	" " "	599	
Ponany	421.225.6	1537. 66 8	3. 934 1961 3256	8 8 1961.248 10		
Choughaut	34 312 5	66 256 8	34 101.178.5	146 8	101.31.9	
Cochin	1.223.	" " "	" " "	1.223.	" "	1.223.
Confiscated Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	1,623 3 9/16	2,979.371 1	5.237 44,608.212 24	10.373 44,597.238 10/16		

Calicut

Statement

Comparison between the Quantity purchased.- Increase	Comparison between the Quantity purchased.- Decrease	Total Amount of Arrears.- From what Fusly	To what Fusly	No of Years.	Amount of Arrears Outstanding at the Commencement of Fusly 1252.-	Which Arrears Colected Fus-
					3 4 5 6.	
					95 8 "	4 " "
	" " 99.50.				1488 12 "	12 " "
		1240.	1257	12.	299 7 10	" " "
	" " 444 70.2				10. 15 6	1 11 5
					609. 5 "	" 11 5
					2404 " 4	12
	848.367 4				334 9 9	5 " "
					31. 8 "	3 " "
	" 871 "	1241	1257.	11	" 9 2	" " "
					" 4 9	" 47
	" " 413 2834				102 11 3	"
					469 10 11	2 47
	" " " 5530.				2400 " "	8. " "
		1246	1257	2.	6 1 5	" " "
					148 8.	11 " "
					2537 9 5	99 " "
	849 574 5				5428 4 8	236 " "
	1012 337					
	Increase --- 849 574 5					
	Increase --- 162 379 1					

Entered

Fort St. George

No. 6.

8th February 1844.

...cing the Arrears on Account of Extra Sources of Revenue

Deduct

Amount collected in Fy 1252	Amount written off in December 1842 under sanction of Government of the 13th Sept. 1842	Amount written off in Fusly 1252 under sanction of Government of the 20th June 1843	Total of Col. 8 & 9	Total of Col. 7 & 10	Net amount of arrears Outstanding
7	8	9	10	11	12
					95 8
56 6 8				1,266 6 8	222 5 4
		299 7 10	299 7 10	299 7 10	
10 15 6				10 15 6	
	421 14 2	43 11 5	465 9 7	465 9 7	43 11 5
77 6 2	421 14 2	343 3 3	765 1 5	2,042 7 7	361 8 9
6				56	278 9 9
1 8				31 8	
9 2				9 2	
					49
	86 2 1	8 4 7	94 6 8	94 6 8	8 4 7
88 1 2	86 2 1	8 4 7	94 6 8	132 7 10	287 31
50 6 11		1,549 9 1	1,549 9 6	2,400	
	1				615
8 8	1			143 8	
3 14 11		1,549 9 1	1,549 9 1	2,543 8	615
463	508 3	1,901 11	2,409 12	4,773 7 5	654 13 3

Calicut, 11th July, 1843. C. C. K.

Deduct Amount supposed to be Recoverable

From what Fusly	To what Fusly	No. of Years	Amount	Deduct Amount supposed to be Doubtful	Balance proposed to be Remitted	Fusles to which the Arrears proposed to be Remitted belong
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
				95 8		
				222 5 4		
					43 11 5	43 11 5
				317 13 4	43 11 5	43 11 5
				278 9 9		
1257	1257	1				
			49		847	847
				278 9 9	847	847
			615			
				615		
				596 7 1	52	52

Signed H. V. Conolly Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 8th February 1844.-

59-60 Order thereon

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Statements.-

61 From the Sub Treasurer-

Read the undermentioned

Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of November last-

62 From the Superintendent and Treasurer of the late Government Bank.-

Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of December last.-

61-63 Order on the two above Statements.---

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

Lists.-

64 From the Pr Coll.r of Cuddapah.-

Read the undermentioned

List of letters addressed to the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered to the 31st December last.-

65 From the Pr Coll.r of Cuddapah.-

List of letters received from the Board of Revenue and remaining unanswered to the 31st December last.-

64-66 Order on the two above Lists.-

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

67 From the Pr Coll.r of Cuddapah.-

Read Register of Endorsements passed by him during the month of December last on Petitions referred by the Board.-

68 Order thereon.-

Ordered to be lodged in the Office

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.-

To

Fort St George 8th February 1844.

To The Acting Collector of Ganjam

Sir

69 To the Actg Collr of Ganjam

Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that the Summary Report on the Government Lands for Fasly 1252 had not yet been received and to request that no time be lost in furnishing it.-

The Board direct me to take this opportunity to call your attention to Para 2. of their Proceedings dated 14th September 1843 and to state that they are anxious to receive the promised Reports on the Hill Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates therein referred to.-

Parlah Remedy Checked 4-4-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 8th February 1844.-

(Signed) E.C. Lovell Actg Secretary

To The Collector of Masulipatam

Sir

70 To the Collr of Masulipatam

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to an Extract from their Proceedings under date 16th November last and to request that the information therein called for regarding a Rufs-room enjoyed by Poorooshothama Charlu, may be submitted as early as possible.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George 8th February 1844.-

(Signed) E.C. Lovell Actg Secty

To

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

To, The Principal Collector of
Sir,
Nellore

(71)

To the Pr. Collr. of Nellore

With reference to the Register of Receipts in Hindooree forwarded by you for the Month of January 1843 the Board of Revenue observe that a Column is therein entered shewing the disbursements made from the Talook Treasuries. The Board request you will be good enough to state how the practice originated and whether it has at any time been authorized by them or the Accountant General or has only received the sanction of the District Authorities.-

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George 8th Feb.y 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
A.t Sec.y

(72)

To The Collector in the Southern Division of
Arcot
Sir,

To the Collr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot

In Cont. 26th Jan.y 1844

Referring to Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation under date 21st January 1843 transmitted to your predecessor under date 26th of the same Month, I am instructed to request you will have the goodness to state what you may have done in respect to the amount of Fakeers allowance reserved for distribution to those who have not yet attended to receive it.-

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St. George,
8th February 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
A.t Sec.y

To

Fort St. George 8th February 1844

To, The Collector of
Trichinopoly
Sir,

(73)

To the Collr. of Trichinopoly

Bd. No. 4 of 1844
Govt. No. 2475 of 1843.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Asankhan Pany son of the late Esaukhan Pany an Enamdar of your District, and with reference to the orders of Government thereon of date the 2nd Instant to request you to report regarding the particular nature of this Enam and whether there is any objection to the Petitioner's claim being referred for decision to the Civil Courts.-

Revenue Board's Office,
Fort St. George,
8th February 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
A.t Sec.y

To The A.t Pr. Collector of
Canara
Sir,

To the A.t Pr. Collr. of Canara

(74)

Referring to Mr. Blair's Endorsement dated the 18th January 1844 on Petition No. 325 of 1843 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they consider the Petitioner to have been sufficiently punished by fine and the loss of his situation up to the present period and would therefore suggest, Should

2372

Fort St George 8th February 1844

Should there be no particular objection that
an opportunity offering he should be again em
=ployed as a Shanboque.

Endorsement on Petition No 325 of 1843.

"Petitioner is referred to the Ch
Principal Collector of Canara to whom Ins
=tions have been sent on the subject of this P
=tition."

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
8th February 1844.

(Signed) C.C. Lovell
At Secy

(75)
To the Collr of
Madras.

To The Collector of
Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Bd
of Revenue to request that you will be so
good as to return to this Office the Map of
the Neelgherry Hills sent to you with my let
of the 23d November last as it is required for
immediate reference.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
8th February 1844.

(Signed) C.C Lovell
Actg Secy

(76)
Circular to all Collrs
except Sea Customs

Circular.

Sir,

I am directed by the Bd
of Revenue to transmit to you the 15th part of
the Skelton Glossary mentioned

2373

Fort St George 8th February 1844

letter from this Office dated 19th December
last.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
8th February 1844.

(Signed) C.C. Lovell
Actg Secy

Received the undermentioned
Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(77) From the Deputy Accountant General.- Indent on the Com
=missary General for Sundry Articles required
for the use of the General Department.

(77-78) Order thereon.

Ordered that the original In=
=dent be passed and returned.

(79) From the Collector of Chinglepat.- Salt account Current
for the 2uarter ending 31st December last.

(80) From the Collector of Chinglepat.- Return of fines imposed
and Stoppages made from the pay of the Pub=
=lic Servants for the 2uarter ending 31st De=
=cember last.

(81) From the Collector of Chinglepat.- Stating the non
transmission of certain Returns for the
2uarter ending 31st December last.

(82) From the Collector of Cust

=ast.

From

83 From the Acting Collector of Tanjore.- Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last.-

84-84 Order on the two abovementioned Statements.- Ordered that the confiscation and the sale of the smuggled Goods as well as the levy of Extra Duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized.-

85 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.-

86 From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.- Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.-

87 From the Collector of Masulipatam.- Reporting that no cases have occurred under Regulations IX of 1822 and VI of 1831 during the January last.-

88 From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Return of Village Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation V of 1831 for the Month of December last.-

89 From the Collector of Rajamundry.- Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

90 From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Return of alterations that

have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

91 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.- Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

92 From the Collector of Rajahmundry.- Return of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

93 From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.- Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

94 From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly.- Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

95 From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

96 From the Collector of Tinnevelly.- Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed for the Quarter ending 31st December last.-

From

2241

Fort St George 8th February 1844

97

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Reporting that no person was suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of December last.

Recd.

Recorded

Walter Elliot

Comp.d

J.H. Boyle