BOARD OF REVENUE

VOL. No. 1816

1816
B.R.

9659.

Fort St George the 29th September 1842.

Diary

29th August — Sent the following letter —

To,

The Acting Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government — Para 1.

In Cons. 11th Jany 1841.

1

With reference to the concluding Paragraph of the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th December 1840, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Memorandum shewing the number of printed Bills of Exchange and Letters of advice required to complete the Indents received from the Collectors, and to request that the same may be printed at the Fort St George Gazette Press according to the forms accompanying —

Nos 1 to 4.

2. The quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith sent, being eighty five quires and ten Sheets of Kings arms —

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
29th August 1842.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Memorandum

9661.

Fort St George 2 September 1842.

No 1.

No

Exchange for Company's Rupees

To

The Accountant General

Fort St George.

Sir,

Having received from

the sum of Company's Rupees

to be paid at Madras to

I request you will at sight of this my Bill (the of the same tenor and date not being paid) pay the said sum of Company's Rupees

to

but not to order as per advice from

Collector's office
184

Usance of acceptance Letters
10 and 12 days Sight, Holy days excepted.

9661.

Fort St George 2 September 1842.

A.

Memorandum.

	Quantity of Paper.	Number.	Value.
1. Bills of Exchange drawn on the Accountant General	12	1800	37
2. Letters of advice	12	1800	37
3. Bills of Exchange drawn on the Collector	5	250	5
4. Letters of advice	5	250	5

9665. First Sederunt 1st September 1842.

At a Meeting Present:-

Thursday 1st September 1842 } John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
G. Mackenzie Esqre Assistant Member

and

A. Heller Esqre Actg Secretary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved--

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2nd and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo:-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence--

To The Collector in the Gillah of Singlehut.

(2)

Sir,

The attendance of the Clerks of Singlehut

Heads Sheriff's Peon

your Peon

Collector.

9664. Court Sessions 1842. 184.

27 The Sessions of Singlehut on the 4th day of January 1842.

Present on the part of the Crown

The Hon'ble Thomas Oswald Esqre Chief Justice.

Hon'ble Edward Boyce Esqre Puisne Judge.

Hon'ble Charles Dyason Esqre Puisne Judge.

Hon'ble Thomas Oswald Esqre Attorney General.

Present on the part of the Prisoner

Mr. Advocate Hall.

Mr. Advocate Hall.

Mr. Advocate Hall.

9665.

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

At a Meeting Present:

Thursday 1st September 1842.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre Third Member.

and

A. Keller Esqre Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo.—

Ordered, that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to cause the Undermentioned Peons to attend at the Fort Collectorate Chingleput on the 2nd instant.

No.	Name.	Caste.	Village.
1	Chinnaya	Pariah	Kolathur
2	Ramaya	Do	Do

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
A. Keller
Temporary Member

9665.

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

At a Meeting Present:

Thursday 1st September 1842.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre Third Member.

and

A. Keller Esqre Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo.—

Ordered, that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to cause the Undermentioned Peons to attend at the Fort Collectorate Chingleput on the 2nd instant.

No.	Name.	Caste.	Village.
1	Chinnaya	Pariah	Kolathur
2	Ramaya	Do	Do

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
A. Keller
Temporary Member

9665.

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

At a Meeting Present:

Thursday 1st September 1842.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member.
D. Drury Esqre Second Member.
Alexander Maclean Esqre Third Member.

and

A. Keller Esqre Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th and entered in Consultation 18th Ultimo.—

Ordered, that the following letter be sent in consequence—

To The Collector in the Zillah of Chingleput

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to cause the Undermentioned Peons to attend at the Fort Collectorate Chingleput on the 2nd instant.

No.	Name.	Caste.	Village.
1	Chinnaya	Pariah	Kolathur
2	Ramaya	Do	Do

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
A. Keller
Temporary Member

9666. Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Rowe being required before the Board of Revenue I have the honor by their direction to request that you will permit him to attend, as soon as he can conveniently be spared from his duties in your Office.—

Revenue Board Office Fort St George 1st September 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read

9667. Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Read the following Extracts

From the Sec. to Govt. transmitting copy of a letter from Doctor Wight Superintendent Cotton Farms, reporting the result of the experiment made in the Coimbatore District to introduce the American mode of Cultivating cotton, desiring the Board to ascertain through the local Officers the amount of remuneration to be given to Mr. Harris for his trouble.—

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th August 1842.

Read the following letter

To The Acting Secretary to Government Fort St George.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I have this day dispatched by Bangky dawk, a sample of the Rice grown, under Mr. Morris's direction at Errode.—

It does not appear that the American mode of Culture has produced any change on the quantity of the grain but I have been informed that the Natives are so well pleased with the method, that many have expressed a determination to adopt it as yielding a better return with a diminished expenditure of both labour and seed, to which I may add time as Mr. Morris's Crop though sown nearly a Month later than the Crops in the adjoining fields was reaped shortly after and gave as large

large a return —

The following Memorandum exhibits the produce of the 13 acres cultivated by Mr Morris, as compared with the average obtained by natives on the same fields, showing that his falls but little short of the highest and greatly exceeds the Minimum though late sown and never transplanted, by which a vast amount of labour is saved. The principle of the American system may be explained in one word and is now very generally adopted in Britain under the name of "Drill husbandry." He sows in Drills from 15 to 18 inches apart according to the season of the year —

Memorandum of Paddy grown by Mr. Morris.

Land Cultivated 13 acres.

Produce 92½ Salagays or Madras seers ---- 5535

(@ 60 seers per Salagay)

Deduct given instead of Poly hire 6 Salagays or seers 360

seers 5,175

American System 425 seers
Native System from 300 to 500 seers } per acre

or Salagay 136

I beg to be informed how this Paddy and also the Straw is to be disposed of — Of the above quantity I may remark some part may be found deficient on remeasuring, as it is probable the grain has somewhat shrunk in size by drying and part may have been destroyed by rats in the godown —

I have applied to the Tahsildar to be informed whether the report adverted to above of the favorable sentiments of the Native Cultivators towards this system is correct — but have not received any reply — should it be found correct by their adoption of the plan I would respectfully suggest that Mr Morris should receive some gratuity for his zeal in teaching the method and labour in superintending the sowing growth and gathering of his Crop —

Coimbatore 28th June 1842. } (Signed) Robt. Wight Surgeon Supdt. Cotton Farms —

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council has learnt with much satisfaction the result of the above mentioned experiment for improving

9670 Fort St George 1st September 1842.

improving the indigenous system of rice cultivation and resolves to transmit Dr Wight's letter to the Board of Revenue for the purpose of ascertaining thro the local Officers the amount of remuneration to be given to Mr Morris for his trouble. It appears to His Lordship in Council that the profits of the Crop might, if found sufficient, be suitably applied to such a purpose.—

2. The favorable results that have attended the attempt to introduce the american System of Culture and the manner in which it is stated to have been received by the Ryots show the importance of continuing the experiment until the native husbandmen have successfully adopted it. Dr Wight will be pleased to request Mr Morris to continue his exertions and to report the terms on which he may be willing to do so.—

(True Extracts)

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Ag. Secy to Govt.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

Proceedings.

9671 Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Proceedings thereon Para 1. Ordered that a copy (3-4) of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation together with transcript of the letter from Dr Wight therein recorded be furnished to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore who will be pleased with reference to the wish expressed by Government (para 1) to report the probable amount of surplus which will remain from the proceeds of the sale of the rice and straw composing the Crop, raised by Mr Morris after defraying all charges of Cultivation, and whether in his opinion that sum will be sufficient remuneration to be given to Mr Morris for his trouble.—

2. Adverting to the favorable results which have attended the attempt to introduce the american System of Culture at Erode the Board wish to be informed more in detail of the mode adopted by Mr Morris, and accordingly request the Principal Collector to place himself in Communication with Dr

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Dr Wight with the view to obtain full information on the subject, specifying the kind of rice preferred, the mode in which the land is ploughed, how it is prepared for sowing in drill, and in what manner it is irrigated - also whether by this mode of Cultivation the same quantity of water is required to bring the Crop to Maturity as in the ordinary Cultivation of rice -

3. Mr Broughton will also be pleased to ascertain and report the sentiments of the Native Cultivators towards the american System of Culture -

From the Agent to Government -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th August 1842 -

5

To,

H. Chamier Esqre

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St George.

Sir,

In a letter No 63 from this Department dated the 31st May 1841, 15 copies of a Report of the Law Commissioners dated

9672

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

dated 31st October 1840 on the Substantial Law to which all persons in the Mofussil not subject to Hindoo or Mahomedan Civil Law should be subject, were forwarded for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council. I am now desired to state for the information of His Lordship in Council that as the subject described in that Report, relates to a matter of general importance the Honorable the President in Council is desirous of receiving the opinion of His Lordship in Council as well as that the Government the opinions of the Judges of the Sudr Court and of Officers of judgment and experience in the Presidency of Madras -

2. The Law Commissioners agreeably to the request of the Supreme Government prepared and submitted a draft act upon the principle of their first four recommendations

15

9672

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

15 Copies of that Act accompany this Communication for similar opinions.—

Dated Fort Williams the 8th July 1842.— } (Signed) T. J. Halliday Offg Secy to the Govt of India

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be furnished to the Judges of the Sudr Udalut, to the Board of Revenue and to each of the Provincial Courts together with a copy of the Draft Act which accompanied it, with a request that they will submit their opinions on its provisions as well as, on the subjects discussed in the report on the Lex Loci of India, copies of which were transmitted to them under date the 28th of June 1841.—

(Signed) W. Elliot Acting Secretary to Government

Ordered

9672

5-6

Order thereon 16 Novr 1842. Read a Letter from the Acting Secy to Government

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th August 1842.—

Read the following Petitions.

7

From Caseem Bavah Mahodeen and others the weavers of Malapalleim in Tinnevelly. Refer to their former petition and the order of Government thereon, and pray that the Collector may be directed to inform Government that 100.000 people have left the district, and to favor them with abodes in the neighbouring districts otherwise they will starve.—

Enclose a Mazernamah refer to their former petition and pray that the request contained therein may be granted.— (Herewith Nos. 718-747 and 795 of 1842 dated 27th June 5th & 13th July)

Refer to their former Petitions and pray to be exempted from the payment of certain taxes as therein solicited.—

Para 1. The want of regularity in the Professional Taxes of Tinnevelly was brought to the notice of Government on a former occasion and the inequality stated to exist in the items of which they are composed, leaves room to infer that the alleged grievances of the Weavers of that district detailed in the Petitions above recorded may not be altogether without foundation.— The Right Honorable the Governor in Council therefore determines to request the

9674.

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

the Board of Revenue to furnish a special report on the Loom Tax of Tinnevelly and at the same time to remind them that the general report on the Mutusphs of the District noticed in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 15th March 1841, para 3 and which was stated to be almost completed in their Proceedings of the 25th March 1839 para 17, has not yet been laid before Government.

Ordered that the Petitions Nos 718. 747 and 795 be returned to the parties who presented them with the following Endorsement.

"Petitioners are referred to the Board of Revenue to whom the instructions of Government have been Communicated".

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy. to Government.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Proceedings thereon.

7-8

Ordered that copy of the above Extract be sent to the Collector of Tinnevelly with the Board's request that he will submit a special report on the loom tax of his District at his earliest convenience. In their Proceedings of the 11th November 1841 No. 480 Copy of which was furnished to the Collector of Tinnevelly the Board exchanged their hope that the report on the Mutusphs of the District, which had previously been called for, would be laid before them with as little delay as practicable. The subject being again touched upon by Government in the Proceedings above recorded the Board resolve again to request Sir H. Montgomery's attention to it in the hope that he may be able to complete his reports previously to relinquishing charge of the Tinnevelly District.

Read

9675.

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

Proceedings Reporting on the Management of the Minor Estates of Maringhy and Sundrakkumvoolsah in the district of Vizagapatam for fusly 1250.

Maringhy.

9

Read the following letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam containing his report on the Management of the Minors Estates of Maringhy and Sungumvoolsah for fusly 1250.

(Here enter No. 614.)

The Khasm Demand of this Estate for fusly 1250 fell below that of the preceding year by Rupees 17-5-11. the deficiency appears originally to have been Rupees 65:12-4, owing to the failure of the Mango Crop from which a considerable revenue is derived, but in consequence of an increase in the Encam Cattle-bodies (the particulars of which the Collector has not stated) the total falling off under this head was reduced to the amount above mentioned. In the settled Dowle, or Summah-bundy Settlement, there was a decrease of Rupees 172-6-5. which is attributed to the unfavourable nature of the season, and a decrease of Rupees 528-13-5. in the Collections.

2. The annexed Statement exhibits the Demand Collection and Balance for fusslies 1249. and 1250 respectively.

Fusly

9676

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

	Khan Dowle Rs as	Settled Dowle Rs as	Balance Collection	Balance Khan Dowle Rs as	Settled Dowle Rs as
July 1249	36425 "	35339 3 9.	34825 13 "	1416 8 "	513 6 9.
" 1230	36224 15 1:	35166 13 4	34296 15 7.	1927 15 6	869 13 9.
Increase	" "	" "	" "	511. 7 6.	356 7 "
Decrease	17 5 11.	172 6 5	528 13 5	" "	" "

The

GO. 5.

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

The Balance on account of this Khan

3. settled Dowle, amounting at the close of the July to Rupees 869 13-9; was reduced by the close of the October following to Rupees 356 7-0. as exhibited in Abstract No. 1. annexed to the Proceedings of this Board of the 31st October 1842. and carried to the credit of the Khan Dowle Balance

Balance Rupees 1927-15-6.

The difference amounting to Rupees 511-7-6. is represented to have been settled by 1058-1-9. in September 1249 = the at present =

Of the arrears due to the

4. Zemindary at the commencement of the Fusly under review amounting to Rupees 5,132-11-1. Rupees 579-1-2. under the head of Kist Peishcush and Rupees 1,979-10-9. under Remainder Head, and on account of Land Tax Rupees 1,423-15-2. Total Rupees 3,982-11-1. that Zemindary was entirely collected =

The Government Collections Pudiaree Cowle Cowle Cowle

Rupees 1959-13-8. the Collections during the fusly under review were Rupees 226-7-11, and the remittances under the sanction of Government as noted in the Margin were Rupees 958-11-2. leaving under balance due to the Estate of Rupees 774-10-7. which the Collector reports will be gradually recovered.

date of Govt sanction 21st Novr 1840. 29th Augt 1841.

9. The total receipts with = in the fusly, including the ready money balance of fusly 1249, Vizt. 1018-12-3 amounted to Rupees 16029-15-1, of which Rupees 9675-3-1. were disbursed in the manner entered in the Margin, leaving in hand a balance of Rupees 6354-12-1 and of this surplus reserve = nue Rupees 5500 have been invested in Government Securities.

Paishush 6700
Subsistence &c 2975-3-1.
9675-3-1.

10. The Court sanction the charges for the Minors' Inoculation, Servants' pay &c. amounting to Rupees 2975-3-1. as entered in Statement A which accompanies the Collector's letter.

11. It is satisfactory to observe that the Gross charges for fusly 1250 fell below the like charges in the preceding year by Rupees 217-5-2, the amounts being respectively Rupees 2975-3-1. and Rupees 3192-8-3.

12. At the conclusion of this report Mr Arbuthnot has appended a State = ment, exhibiting the amount of the several investments in Government securities which have been made for the benefit of the two Estates, which form the sub = ject of these Proceedings, since they came under the charge of the Court of Wards.

13. From the Statement above = mentioned it will be observed that Rupees 27000 stand invested for the future benefit of the Zemindar of Marungby, and Rupees 17500 for the Zemindar of Sungumveelsah.

These results the Court of Wards consider highly satisfactory, and creditable to Mr Arbuthnot's Manage = ment of the interests of the two Estates.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Boards Proceedings be submitted to Government and that a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, for his information and guidance.

Read the following Letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

The Board of Revenue are

10

From the Asst Coll. of Vizagapatam Para 1. Regarding that dispute between the late

late Godavery which Brae=caparow and Mr Smith Collector of Rajahmun=dry about the Sandavan River

are aware that an action was brought in the Northern Provincial Court by the late Godavery Lourica Racapparow against Mr Smith Collector of Rajahmundry and others. The object of the suit was to enforce his right to the erection of a Dam in the Sandavah River, to secure thereby the irrigation of his Estate of Godavacherlah, but it had not proceeded further than the filing of the Plaint, when the Plain=tiff died. When this event was reported to the Board it was sug=gested by them, that a compromise might possibly be effected by the mediation of the Collectors of Rajahmundry and Vizagapatam respectively, and, after some negoti=ations, the widow of Lourica Brae=caparow reluctantly agreed to stay further proceedings, on terms which were acquiesced in by the Board and Government under date the 4th November last.

2. A Razinamah was accordingly drafted and submitted by Mr Arbuthnot to Mr Smith on the 17th January 1842 on behalf of the Plaintiff the terms

terms of which were precise, reasonable, and fair in my judgment, and as sug=gested by Government the size of the mud embankment was specially laid down. No reply has ever since been made by Mr Smith to this Proposal, and no steps have as yet been taken by him to give it effect.

3. The Proprietrix of Goode=cherlah is naturally displeased at this delay, and recently addressed me with a view to ascertain the cause of this procrastination on the part of Mr Smith. Accordingly I requested that Officer to acquaint me if any, and what obstacles exist=ed to the Settlement of the suit, and to favor me with Copies of any Corres=pondence that might have passed with the Board of Revenue, in refer=ence to the matter at issue. Mr Smith prudently avoids the com=munication of his reasons for reject=ing the proposed compromise, and coarsly answers "that his objections to the Ranzenamah are still before Government."

4. I have therefore to request that the early orders of the Board

9684 Fort St George 1st September 1842

Board of Revenue may be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry or other to carry out the compromises on the terms first proposed and approved of by Government for that in the event of any of Mr Smith's objections to the terms of the compromise [illegible] has made such as appearing to be valid they will favor me with Copy thereof that the terms may be amended in accordance with justice -

5.

And in conclusion I will only add that the Profession of Gordacherlah is quite prepared to carry on the prosecution of Mr Smith, and that all the authorities here who know the localities, and have visited the spot concur in thinking, that the verdict must be given in her favor, if the Collector of Rajahmundry is ill advised enough to oppose an amicable adjustment -

Vizagapatam — Collectors Cutcherry 13th August 1842 — } (Signed) W.B. Smollett Actg Collector

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence —

9685 Fort St George 1st September 1842

To The Collector of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to recall your attention to the Extract from Minutes of Consultation of 7th June last transmitted to you with an order of the Board dated 9th of the same Month, and no communication having subsequently been received from you regarding the subject to which it refers, I am directed to desire that you will report for the information of the Board what you have done in pursuance of the instructions then conveyed to you. The Board apprehend that you will not need much time to prepare your reply, and they hope that you will be able to dispatch it by return of Post -

To the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry } (10-11)

Revenue Board Office Fort St George 1st September 1842 — } (Signed) J.D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following Letters

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

From the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry } Sir,

No 1. (12)

In submitting a Statement of Smuggled salt sanctioned by the Board for confiscation, I have the honor to report that the sum of Rupees 302-10-7 therein alluded to was carried to the account of Government on the 11th July 1842 -

Collectors Office Rajahmundry 23d August 1842 } (Signed) G.A. Smith Collector

Statement -

9684 Fort St. George 1 September 1842

Board of Revenue may be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry in order to carry out the compromise on the terms first proposed and approved of by Government in that in the event of any of the Smiths objections to the terms of the compromise if he has made such as appearing to be valid, they will favor me with a Copy thereof that the terms may be amended in accordance with justice —

5 And in conclusion I will only add that the Prosecution of Goodacherlah is quite prepared to carry on the prosecution of Mr Smith and that all the authorities here who know the localities and have assisted the Collector in thinking that the verdict must be given in her favor, if the Collector of Rajahmundry will advise enough to oppose an amicable adjustment —

Vizagapatam Collectors Cutcherry 13 August 1842 — } (Signed) W. B. Smollett Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence —

9685 Fort St. George 1 September 1842

To the Collector of Rajahmundry —

Sir,

For the Collector of Rajahmundry } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to recall your attention to the extract from Minutes of Consultation of the 7th instant transmitted to you with an order of the Board dated 9th of the same subsequently, and to acquaint you that no communication having been received from you regarding the subject to which it refers, I am directed to desire that you will report for the information of the Board what you have done in pursuance of the instructions then conveyed to you. The Board apprehend that you will not need much time to prepare your reply, and they hope that you will be able to dispatch it by return of Post —

10-11

Revenue Board Office Fort St George 1 September 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary.

Read the following Letters

From the Collector of Rajahmundry } Sir,

No. 12 In submitting a Statement of smuggled salt sanctioned by the Board for confiscation, I have the honor to report that the sum of Rupees 302-10-7 therein alluded to was carried to the account of Government on the 11th July 1842 —

Collectors Office Rajahmundry Collectors Office 23 August 1842 } (Signed) G. H. Smith Collector

Statement —

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

9686 State of Georgia } Jefferson County 1842.

Settlement Attorney the 9th Monthly of January 1842 Settled and Closed in the Court of Ordinary of said County

Settlement of Estate of Jacob Hammond late of said County deceased as Executor of the last Will and Testament of said Deceased and Settlement of Estate of Jacob Hammond late of said County deceased as Administrator of the Estate of Jacob Hammond late of said County deceased

Jacob Hammond Executor of the last Will and Testament of Jacob Hammond late of said County deceased in Account with the Estate of said Deceased

1841 Jan. 1st	To Amount of Estate as per Inventory		3781 418 24
	To Amount of Sales of Perishable Property as per Account		14 99
	To Amount of Sales of Land as per Account		408 8 9
	To Amount of Rents of Land as per Account		302 10 7
	To Amount of Notes and Accounts collected as per Account		344 5
	To Amount of Interest on Notes collected as per Account		9 9
	To Amount of Sales of Stock as per Account		198
	To Amount of Sales of Stock as per Account		34
	Total Amount of Receipts		4198 24

Jacob Hammond Executor of the last Will and Testament of Jacob Hammond late of said County deceased

By Amount of Debts as per Account 1841 Jan. 1st — 8 1/2 219 — 285 194

By Amount of Expenses of Settlement as per Account — 29

By Commissions on Receipts of Estate 2 per Cent — 83 19 6

By Commissions on Disbursements of Estate 2 per Cent — 7 11 1

By Amount of Distributive Shares paid Legatees as per Receipts — 3781 418 24

By Amount of Distributive Shares paid Legatees as per Receipts — 14 3448 3/4

Total Amount of Disbursements — 4198 24

Errors excepted. 23 August 1842.

Jacob Hammond Executor

Jefferson County Georgia.

9687

12-13

Fort St George the 1st September 1842

Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded -

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George -

Sir,

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

With reference to the

14

Board's Circular Letter of the 21st November 1836 I have the honor to submit a Statement

No 1

shewing the storing, sale and Wastage of Salt for the past fasly 1251. and request that same may be obtained to write off the wastage Mg. Mds. Srs. 140 - 96 - 4 therein alluded to -

Collectors Office
Cocanada 23 August 1842

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement -

9691 Fort St George 1st September 1842

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St George Gazette.

(16–18)

From the Acting Principal Collector of Chiddapak . . . . . Read letter sub-
-mitting an application from his Assistant G. N. Taylor Esqr, requesting leave of absence for one Month to enable him to proceed to the Presidency under Section XI of the Absentee rules—

(19)

Order thereon, Ordered to be sub-
-mitted to Government for favora-
-ble consideration, thro' the Chan-
-nel of the Civil Auditor.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

From the Coll^r of Chingleput Para 1. I have the honor to report for the information and orders of the Board of Revenue the demise on the 21st June of Annandaroy Moodeliar, who enjoyed a portion of the lands of Valathiruttanum in the Peddapollium Talook on Shrotrium tenure for 3 lives, under the orders of Government dated 4th September 1832. The deceased has

(21)

9692 Fort St George 1st September 1842

has left neither widow nor children, but there are certain relatives the descendants of his elder and younger sisters, as exhibited in the accompanying Genealogical Table.

Genealogical Table A

2 Abiroy Moodely the grandson of the elder sister, aged 24 years, has applied to have the Shrotrium registered in his name, as being the nearest heir Male of the deceased, who had also educated and brought him up as his adopted son, and who had bequeathed to him by his Will the Shrotrium.

3 Mary Unnmall, the surviving daughter of the younger sister, asserts her claim to succeed to the Shrotrium as nearest relative but urges that in the event of that claim being disallowed, that the Shrotrium should be registered in the names of Abiroy Moodely, and herself conjointly.

4 The right of these respective parties to administer and succeed to the general estate of the deceased is in course of litigation in the Supreme Court, but as Abiroy Moodely, as grand son of the elder sister, is the nearest heir male to the deceased, and as from the Will it appears to have been the wish of the late Annandaroy Moodely, that the Shrotrium should descend to him, I beg to recommend that course for approval.

Chingleput District
Coll^r's Office
Cuttalum 13th August 1842.

(Signed) A Freese
Collector
Genealogical

Genealogical Table.—

Summadavay Moodely.—

His Servant has left no Issue

Elder Sister
Ummany Ummall
dead

Younger Sister
Sundaroo Ummall
dead

Son
Sawmy maduray
Moodely
dead.—

Daughter
Umma Coattie
Ummall
dead.

Daughter
Mary Ummall

Sons
1 Abmroy Moodely
2 Hanna Baboo Moodely
Daughters
3 Ummany Ummall
4 Ummadaoo Sammy
5 Nallatty Ummall

Sons
1 Sawmy Moodely
Daughter
2 Lisandrinaa Ummall
3 Walumby Ummall
4 Issacpillai Ummall
dead.

1st Son
Sambi Chetty alias Moodely
2 Samyaroodnatta alias Moodely
Daughters
3 Nonyapia Ummall
4 Pallatinaa Ummall
5 Pungaroo Ummall
6 Seshama Ummall
Infant baby dead.

Son
1 Sawmy Pattabiramen Ummall
Pidagatanayer
Daughters
2 Ummall aa
3 Savoni Muttu
4 Arokiam Ummall
5 Sundaroo

21-22

Order thereon
Read again in Lr
24th Nov.r dated

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.
Read again Letter from Collr and the A.G. of Pol.
and Sentenced in Cons. 22 Ultimo.
Ordered that the following and
foregoing Letter.

Signed / A. Fraser
Coll.r

To the Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

Note A.G. Letter
Sec.t to Govt

8th Jn.o Cons. 22 Augt 1842

23

In submitting the enclosed letter from Mr. Galdingham the Collector of North Arcot I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request to be informed with reference to the observations of Govern.t contained in the Extract Minutes of Consult.n under date 28th July 1818 whether any further orders have been received from the Honorable the Court of Directors relative to the pension of Rupees 150 per Mensem which was conditionally continued to Mahomed Abdoollah pending a renewed reference to the Home Authorities.

The Board have caused search to be made in the records of this Office but without discovering any instructions on the subject of a date subsequent to those above adverted to.—

Revenue Bd Office
Fort St. George
1st September 1842

Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Letter

9695 Fort St. George 1st September 1842

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Nartt Arcot } With reference to the
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Board dated 5th Instant
I have the honor to inform
you that the Monthly Pension
of 120 Rupees granted to Rahaw-
tonnijah Begum daughter and
Nuctoonnissa Beebee grand Mother
of the late Jaffer Ally Khan
has been divided as follows
with reference to their circum-
stances.—

24

To Rahatoonijah Begum 80 Eighty Rs
To Nuctoonnissa Beebee —— 40 Forty Rs
and to request that the necessary
instructions may be given
to the Collector of Madras
for the payment Monthly of
80 Rupees the portion allotted
to

9695 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

to Rahatoonijah Begum.—

North Arcot
Collr's Office Cutcherry
Palmanair 12th August 1842 } Signed J. Goldingham
Collr

Ordered that the following
letter be sent him reply.—
To the Collector in the
Northern Division
of Arcot.—

Sir,

To the Collr of Nartt Arcot } With reference to
In Cons 25th August 1842 your letter of the 12th Ultimo
I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to transmit to
you the enclosed Petitions
No 1354 of 1842 presented to the Board
No 1356 of Do by Rahatoonijah Begum
for your consideration
24-25 and report and to request
that you will inform the
Board on what particular
consideration

9697 Fort St George 1st September 1842

considerations the demand
of the pensions reported
in your letter above quoted
was loaded, and invited reference
to the latter part of your letter
In Cons 1st Augt 1842 of the 27th July that you will
state the number of persons
dependent on each of the two
parties - In replying to this
letter you will be pleased to return
B No 765 of Govt No 2200 } 1842
B No 1034 of D. the Petitions now sent, as well as
the two reports accompanied the
letters from this Office of the 2d
June and 11th July with any
further remarks that they may
appear to you to demand -

Revenue B Office
Fort St George
1st September 1842. } Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

9698 Fort St George 1st September 1842

Read the following letter -

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the Principal Collr of North Arcot. } Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adawlut under date 11th July 1842 relative to the Village Carapaukum, situated in the Calastry Zemindary, which was granted by a former Zemindar of Calastry to one Yanamundrum Vencata-
(26) ramiah as a charitable endowment for feed-
12 Bramins daily - ing Travellers, to which, as observed in Para 11 of the Proceedings of the Sudr Adawlut now submitted, the provisions of Regulation VII of 1817 are applicable -

2 The Village in question was attached so far back as the year 1829 by the Court in satisfaction of the decree of the Recorder's Court in favor of the Creditors of Y Vencataramiah above mentioned - It was directed by the Sudr Adawlut under date 15th January 1829 that the demands of the endowment should be satisfied in the first instance and that the surplus Collections should be appropriated towards the liquidation of the sum decreed to the

the Creditors. The Village was accordingly managed by the Collector, the Charity however ceased and no portion of the Collections have been appropriated towards the liquidation of the Debt. The Collections now amount to Rupees 6090-12-

3. The present Zemindar of Calastry is Anxious that the Charity should be re-established either by himself or under the Superintendence of the Government. He is averse to the management being conducted by the Heirs of the late M. Vencataramiah from the Bankrupt state of the family and on these grounds it would be out of the question to vest the agency of any member of that family to conduct the management, Should it be Re-established under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1817. The Zemindar alone is the proper person to have the Management.

4. As the Charity has ceased it may be urged that the Village and the Collections have escheated and in this case the disposal of the property will rest with the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

5. In either case the destination of the Village and of the amount in deposit would

naild be the same and as the Zemindar has experienced a desire that the Charity should be continued I beg to recommend that the Village, with the sum in deposit, may be made over to him.

6. On the removal of the present attachment by the Court, the Village will be re-attached under Regulation VII of 1817 and the Court has been moved not to pay away the amount in Deposit.

North Arcot
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair 13th August 1842.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector.

A

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adawlut under date the 11th July 1842.

Read again Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 31st January 1842 by Palagery Vencatachelliah.

Read also Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 2d May 1842, on behalf of Rajah Damara Comara Vencatappah Naidoo Bahadur Zemindar of Calastry by Vakeel T. Appiah.

Read also Return dated 9th May 1842 from the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Centre Division, to the Precept

of

of the Sudr Adawlut of the 7th May last directing them to call on the Acting Principal Collector of North Arcot to procure and submit an attested copy of the grant under which the Village of Carapaukum in the Calastry Zemindary was granted as a Charitable endowment.—

It appears from the translation of the deed which accompanied the above return, that the Village of Carapaukum was in the year 1791 12th September, granted by Dommuda Comara Vencatarisiah Naidoo one of the Zemindars of Calastry Taluque of Yanamundrum Vencataramiah as a charitable endowment for the purpose of "establishing a Choultry for giving rice to the travellers in the Temple of Calastry"

2. In the year 1800 the Recorders Court at Madras passed a decree against Vencataramiah deceased for 4,000 and odd Pagodas in favor of his Creditor Condaliah.—

3 In the year 1801, the Village of Carapaukum having been resumed by the Grandson of the original grantee

grantee Narasimiah the adopted son of the original grantee Vencataramiah sued for its recovery in Original Suit No. 74 of 1813 on the file of the Provincial Court of the Centre Division, and obtained judgment for the property in 1815.—

4 Upon this, Condiliah the judgment creditor of the late Vencataramiah, and after his decease, his sons, claimed the Village of Carapaukum, and other property and Villages in the possession of Narasimiah under the decree of the Recorders Court

5. In satisfaction of the said decree of the Recorders Court the Provincial Court required the Zillah Judge of Chittoor to attach the Village and after providing for the support of the Charity to retain the surplus proceeds under his custody. The balance in hand amounted in 1829 to Rupees 2192.

6 The Court of Sudr Adawlut on the 15th January 1829, directed that the Zillah Judge should make over to the Collector of the Zillah the Management of the Village, as well as the surplus funds in

in hand; and that the Collector should be required to appropriate the funds and proceeds to the support of the Charity and remit whatever balance might remain after providing for that object, to the Zillah Judge, to be paid to Sashachelliah and Vencatachelliah, Creditors of the deceased Vencataramiah under the decree of the Recorder's Court—

7. In the letter addressed by the Principal Collector of North Arcot to the Court under date 28th January 1842 that authority reported that the instructions of the Court had in no way been observed, the Charity not having been supported, and the surplus funds not having been remitted to the Zillah Court. The Principal Collector further stated that the Charity had ceased altogether to exist, and he requested the instructions of this Court for the disposal of the surplus funds now in hand amounting to Rupees 5583-5-9—

8. In a subsequent communication from the Principal Collector, under date 15th April 1842 that Officer applied for the permission of the Court for the renewal

renewal of the Charity, for which purpose he proposed to expend Rupees 3000 of the Funds, investing the balance in the Government Funds for the support of the endowment. In the event of this proposition not being acceded to, the Principal Collector urged that the Village should be restored to the Zemmindar of Calastry by whose ancestor it was originally granted—

9. The Village, as well as the deposit, is claimed by the Zemmindar of Calastry who grounds his claim on the failure of the Heirs of the original grantee to fulfil the conditions of the original grant—

10. And the Petitioner Vencatachelliah in No 33 of 1842 prays that the decree of the Recorder's Court may be satisfied from the amount in the deposit or from such part of it and the annual surplus accruing from proceeds of the Village, after the terms of the charity have been fulfilled—

11. It appears to the Court of Sudr Adawlut that neither the Village in question nor the sum in deposit can be made available for the Amount of the decree

9705 Fort St George 1st September 1842

decree of the Recorder's Court. It is shewn by the Records of the Office that the Provincial Court, the Zillah Judge, and the Sudr Udawlut, all recognized the Village as a public endowment to which the Rules of Regulation VII of 1817 are applicable.

12. The Court therefore resolve to reject the prayer of Petition No 33.

13. With reference to Petition No 155, whatever may be the nature of the Zemindar's claims arising from the conditions of the grant never having been acted upon, the Court of Sudr Udawlut do not consider that the Village could be restored to him by an interlocutory order; neither can the Court accede to the proposals contained in the Principal Collector's letter of the 15th April last above recorded. It may rest with the Government hereafter to direct what shall be done with the Village and the surplus funds, whether the latter shall be applied as the Collector suggests in conformity with the Zemindar's wishes or otherwise but the Court of Sudr Udawlut have no jurisdiction in the matter.

9706 Fort St George 1st September 1842

The Village was attached in the 14th year by the directions of the Court for a specific purpose not having been attained. The Court consider the only course open to them is to direct that the attachment be withdrawn and the Village &c restored to the individual from whom it was taken, or his heirs or representatives.

15. The Court accordingly direct that the Collector be required by the Provincial Court to pay over to the Zillah Judge all the Funds which have accrued therefrom and are at present in his trust, who in receiving charge will be instructed to make over the property to Narsimiah or his heirs on their producing good security for the same within six weeks but in the event of no suit being instituted within that period for the recovery of the said Village and proceeds, the Zillah Judge will be directed to withdraw the attachment and at once to make over the property to Narsimiah or his heirs.

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Provincial Court in the Centre Division for guidance and instructions by precept returnable

9707 Fort St George 1st September 1842.

returnable in 8 Weeks from and after its receipt.—

Ordered also, that Extract from these proceedings be furnished to each of the Petitioners on their application.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ R. G. Clarke

Actg Asst to the Register

/True Copy/

/Signed/ W. B. Hawkins

Register

/True Copy/

/Signed/ I. Goldingham

Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

(26-27)

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Govt

Submitting a letter from the Collector of North Arcot together with an Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adawlut reporting their decision on the claim of certain parties to the Carapaukum

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the order of Government contained in an Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated December 13th 1841 No 1539, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

Judl Dept 11th July 1842

9708

Carrapaukum Village and the funds in deposit appertaining to it. and repeating the former recommendation of the Board that agreeably to the desire of the Zemindar of Calastry to conduct the Charity hereafter himself, the Village and all the accumulated funds be made over to him.—

Fort St George 1st September 1842.

Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot, with the Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Adawlut therein alluded to. Agreeably to the directions of Government in the order above noticed, the late Principal Collector was desired to apply to the Court of Sudr Adawlut for instructions as to the disposal of Carrapaukum Village, and though Mr Goldingham does not distinctly say that this was done, yet it appears from the Proceedings noticed above, that such an application was made, and was considered by the Court in these very proceedings.—

2. The Court discuss the claims of three parties to the Village and deposit — Viz^t. The representatives of the Judgement creditor for whose behoof the Village was originally attached, the Calastry Zemindar, and the heirs of the original grantee. In respect of the first, the Court declare that neither the Village nor the accumulations can be held liable for the amount of the Judgement. As to the second they state that they have no jurisdiction because

because the property cannot be restored to the Zemindars by an interlocutory order, and lastly, these two claims being disallowed, the Court direct that as the object for which the Village was attached has not been attained, the attachment must be withdrawn, and the Village with the deposits, restored to the parties who held it previously: But the Court order that six weeks shall be allowed by the Zillah Judge, before carrying this decision into effect, for the purpose, apparently, of allowing time to the Zemindars to file a regular suit if he should think proper.

3. While declining jurisdiction themselves, to comply with the Zemindars wishes regarding the Endowment and Charity, the Court declare that it may rest with Government to determine what shall be done with them - and as the principal obstacle to the direct interference of Government in the

to the Board to me removed by the decision of the Court that the private creditor of the grantee has no claim on the property, I am directed to repeat the recommendation which the Board formerly made, viz. that (agreeably to the Zemindars desire) to conduct the Charity henceforward himself, the Village and all the accumulated funds should be made over to him - The Collector will be instructed, with the concurrence of Government, to take care under regulation VIII of 1817, that the accumulations are duly appropriated to carrying out the objects of the Charity -

Letter to Chief Secretary - Nov. 11th 1842.

Revenue Board office - Fort St George 1st September 1842 } Signed J. D. Bourdillon Secretary -

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George -

From the Collector of South Arcot }

Sir,

28

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th January last, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the payment of Capil Pension in arrears as specified in the accompanying Statement amounting to Rs 798-1-9 -

Cuddalore, Collector's Cutcherry - 24th August 1842 } Signed C. H. Hallett Collector

Statement

Custom House Georgetown So Car 1st September 1842

Revd. Wm Thomson -

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Commissioners of the Revenue to request that you will state to them (28-29) whether the Vessels employed in the Coasting Trade of this District are provided with Boats sufficient for the purposes of Safety, and if not what additional number may be required -

I am Sir
Your obedt Servt
Wm Mellichamp
Collr

Wm Mellichamp Esqr
Collector

Georgetown 3rd September 1842

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st Instant, requesting to be informed whether the Vessels employed in the Coasting Trade of this District are provided with Boats sufficient for the purposes of Safety, and if not what additional number may be required -

In reply I have to state that the Vessels employed in the Coasting Trade of this District are provided with Boats sufficient for the purposes of Safety, and that no additional number is required -

I am Sir
Your obedt Servt
Wm Thomson
Collr

Wm Mellichamp Esqr
Collector

Georgetown 3rd September 1842

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 1st Instant, requesting to be informed whether the Vessels employed in the Coasting Trade of this District are provided with Boats sufficient for the purposes of Safety, and if not what additional number may be required -

In reply I have to state that the Vessels employed in the Coasting Trade of this District are provided with Boats sufficient for the purposes of Safety, and that no additional number is required -

I am Sir
Your obedt Servt
Wm Thomson
Collr

Wm Mellichamp Esqr
Collector

9711

Statement of Vessels Owned & Employed in the Coasting Trade of this District, and the number of Boats belonging to each -

Names of Vessels	Tons	Number of Boats	Remarks
Sloop Mary Ann	90	1	
Schr Rebecca	110	1	
Schr Mary Ann	90	1	
Schr Sarah	100	1	
Schr Ann	80	1	
Schr Eliza	90	1	
Schr Hope	100	1	
Schr Betsey	90	1	
Schr Nancy	80	1	
Schr Polly	90	1	
Schr Jane	100	1	
Schr Peggy	90	1	
Schr Dolphin	80	1	
Schr Fame	90	1	
Schr Liberty	100	1	
Schr Industry	90	1	
Schr Commerce	80	1	
Schr Enterprize	90	1	
Schr Hunter	100	1	
Schr Trader	90	1	
Schr Farmer	80	1	
Schr Planter	90	1	
Schr Merchant	100	1	
Schr Mechanic	90	1	
Schr Sailor	80	1	
Schr Soldier	90	1	
Schr Citizen	100	1	
Schr Patriot	90	1	
Schr Hero	80	1	
Schr Victory	90	1	
Schr Liberty	100	1	
Schr Freedom	90	1	
Schr Union	80	1	
Schr Peace	90	1	
Schr Plenty	100	1	
Schr Abundance	90	1	
Schr Prosperity	80	1	
Schr Happiness	90	1	
Schr Joy	100	1	
Schr Delight	90	1	
Schr Pleasure	80	1	
Schr Comfort	90	1	
Schr Ease	100	1	
Schr Rest	90	1	
Schr Peace	80	1	
Schr Quiet	90	1	
Schr Calm	100	1	
Schr Serene	90	1	
Schr Tranquil	80	1	
Schr Placid	90	1	
Schr Gentle	100	1	
Schr Mild	90	1	
Schr Soft	80	1	
Schr Smooth	90	1	
Schr Easy	100	1	
Schr Light	90	1	
Schr Airy	80	1	
Schr Breeze	90	1	
Schr Zephyr	100	1	
Schr Gale	90	1	
Schr Storm	80	1	
Schr Tempest	90	1	
Schr Hurricane	100	1	
Schr Typhoon	90	1	
Schr Cyclone	80	1	
Schr Whirlwind	90	1	
Schr Waterspout	100	1	
Schr Earthquake	90	1	
Schr Volcano	80	1	
Schr Eruption	90	1	
Schr Lava	100	1	
Schr Ashes	90	1	
Schr Cinders	80	1	
Schr Smoke	90	1	
Schr Flame	100	1	
Schr Fire	90	1	
Schr Heat	80	1	
Schr Warmth	90	1	
Schr Glow	100	1	
Schr Radiance	90	1	
Schr Brightness	80	1	
Schr Splendor	90	1	
Schr Magnificence	100	1	
Schr Grandeur	90	1	
Schr Majesty	80	1	
Schr Dignity	90	1	
Schr Honor	100	1	
Schr Glory	90	1	
Schr Fame	80	1	
Schr Renown	90	1	
Schr Celebrity	100	1	
Schr Notoriety	90	1	
Schr Infamy	80	1	
Schr Disgrace	90	1	
Schr Shame	100	1	
Schr Dishonor	90	1	
Schr Ignominy	80	1	
Schr Contempt	90	1	
Schr Scorn	100	1	
Schr Derision	90	1	
Schr Ridicule	80	1	
Schr Mockery	90	1	
Schr Jeering	100	1	
Schr Taunting	90	1	
Schr Insulting	80	1	
Schr Abusive	90	1	
Schr Reviling	100	1	
Schr Cursing	90	1	
Schr Swearing	80	1	
Schr Blaspheming	90	1	
Schr Profaning	100	1	
Schr Desecrating	90	1	
Schr Violating	80	1	
Schr Breaking	90	1	
Schr Destroying	100	1	
Schr Annihilating	90	1	
Schr Exterminating	80	1	
Schr Killing	90	1	
Schr Murdering	100	1	
Schr Assassinating	90	1	
Schr Poisoning	80	1	
Schr Drowning	90	1	
Schr Hanging	100	1	
Schr Burning	90	1	
Schr Roasting	80	1	
Schr Boiling	90	1	
Schr Frying	100	1	
Schr Stewing	90	1	
Schr Baking	80	1	
Schr Broiling	90	1	
Schr Grilling	100	1	
Schr Toasting	90	1	
Schr Roasting	80	1	
Schr Boiling	90	1	
Schr Frying	100	1	
Schr Stewing	90	1	
Schr Baking	80	1	
Schr Broiling	90	1	
Schr Grilling	100	1	
Schr Toasting	90	1	

Georgetown 3rd September 1842

Wm Mellichamp Esqr
Collector

9713 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

I have the honor to submit to the Board the name of Chellapah Moodelliar, who has so long filled the situation of deputy Sheristadar as a proper person to succeed Runga Row.

The qualifications of Chellapah Moodelliar are sufficiently well known to the Board, to prevent the necessity of my urging any thing in his favor beyond this my recommendation of him.

Trichinopoly 18th August 1842. } I Signed I. A. P. Anslow Collector.

30-31

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letter.

To

9714 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

32

From the Pl. Coll. of Madura } Sir,

Reports on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue for fusly 1251.

I have the honor to submit the subjoined abstract of the Extra Sources of revenue for fusly 1251 (A. D. 1841/42) in comparison with the preceding one.

	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease
	Rupees as Rupees as	Rupees as Rupees as	Rupees as	Rupees as
Salt	2,76,736 6 6	2,68,571 9 8		8,164 12 10
Amany 5 pr. cent duties	123,601 6 1	116,921 3 6		6,680 2 7
Betel and Tobacco rents	147,610 " "	159,890 " "	12,280 " "	" " "
Total Sayer	271,211 6 1	276,811 3 6	12,280 " "	6,680 2 7
Shikarry rent	42,590 " "	48,420 " "	5,830 " "	" " "
Hill rents	3,378 " "	3,398 " "	20 " "	" " "
Sea Customs	139,64 1 3	140,52 5 4	988 4 1	" " "
Tank fish	8,345 9 2	10,959 9 10	2,614 " 8	" " "
Stamps	24,512 11 11	24,648 13 6	136 1 7	" " "
Total	639,838 2 11	6,46,84 9 10	21,868 6 4	14,844 15 5
Deduct decrease				14,844 15 5
Net Increase				7,023 6 11

2. I am very sorry to point out a decreased sale of Salt to extent of Rupees 3164-12-10 below the preceding Fusly of 1250, which was the highest on record. It is clearly attributable to two sufficient causes. Late in December and early in January a panic arose amongst the salt traders in consequence of the deaths of a few of them by cholera whilst at the farms and was greatly increased shortly after the middle of the month by the measure of pressing Carts for the 5th Regiment N. I. marching through Madura from Palamcotay to Cananoor. In the month of January the decreased sales amounted to Rupees 7,259 and I have never been able to regain the maximum. In March of last year the retail price in Madura was 130 Rupees; but in March of the year under report it rose to 150 Rupees per Garce, to the great profit of the salt dealer and concomitant loss of the Government and community at large. I am aware there is similar or even great proportion of decreased sales in the farms of Tinnevelly on my Southern and those of Tanjore on

my Northern boundary. Hence I hope the Board will not infer any relaxation of care on my part for the improvement of this source of revenue. With the exception of a single year it has been progressively increasing ever since I had charge of it till in last year it produced as aboveaid the highest revenue in record. In reporting that circumstances led the Board to expect it should continue to increase if care was taken to prosecute the improvement of internal communications from which so much benefit had been already derived and I think I may fairly attribute my disappointment to the discontinuance of that measure of improvement. On the 30th September I submitted Estimates for Road work to amount of Rupees 24,515 in hopes to commence upon them at the right season viz. the middle of December. In April I was directed by the Board in their department

Fusly	Rupees
1241	253026
1242	226033
1243	215567
1244	246204
1245	235089
1246	246387
1247	249592
1248	255271
1249	261242
1250	276376
Total	2,465,147
Average	246,514
Fusly 1251.	268571
December below 1250	
Rupees	7,805
Increase above the 10 years average	
Rupees	22056.

department of Public Works to revise them by a reduction to 5 or 600 Rupees. On the 29th May I submitted them reduced to Rupees 7297 and received sanction for the last amount on the 31st Ultimo.

3. In the Annany Collections of the Sayer the decrease is very considerable but in one article alone - the duties on piece goods - which can no longer find any market in Ceylon from the successful rivalry of the cloths imported direct from Great Britain. The net decrease is Rupees 6680-2-7. for from the low price of grain the home consumption is also less.

Charges for drawback, which depend upon the export of piece goods, is similarly much less, viz. from Rupees 15.005-11-7 in Fusly 1250 to Rupees 8,118-4-1 in the Fusly under report.

There is a tolerable increase in the Betel and Tobacco rents which I accounted for in my letter of the 3rd June 1841 as occasioned by complete farm in consequence of profits made by the renter of the then expiring Fusly.

4. The increase of Rupees 5,830 in the abkarry rents I also attributed in the same letter, to a similar cause.

5. There is a small increase of Rupees 20 in the Bill rents from the Sub Collectors not fully understanding my wish to encourage population and improvement of the hills by keeping the taxation at the low rate of the preceding year. I have been engaged for some time in seeking correct accounts of the Cultivation there, and purpose next year to introduce the Pyotwary system, from which I hope for an increased revenue to Government, and greater convenience in a knowledge of the tax to the Cultivators. Last year this Item formed part of the Land Revenue - but under the Board's recent orders is now entered as an Extra source of Revenue.

6. The increase of Rupees 988-4-1 in the Sea Customs arises from the increased import of Arrakanut from Ceylon after deducting the decrease of Rupees 1687-13-8 from decreased importation of other articles, and also Rupees 699-9-7 from

from decreased exportation of Chanks and paddy -

7 The Board will perceive a large increase in the tank fish collections occasioned by the plentiful supply of river water into the tanks; some of which have still too much to permit of the fish being rented -

8 - The increase in stamp revenue is so small, the increase in Judicial papers more than covering the falling off in the sale of Rowanas -

9 The causes intimated in the 9th para. of my report of the 25th August 1841 continue to prevent my submitting the matured suggestions on the subject of a drawback on salt on attaining a certain distance into the Interior which the Board required from me on the 14th May 1840 - Two motives lead me to the consideration of the subject 1st the superior but injurious nature of Earth salt to those who could obtain no other, 2 nd a great increase to this branch of Revenue - The reports of such Collectors as have favored me with answers to the questions I submitted to them, induce me to believe the inhabitants of

Countries or tracts of Countries using only the Earth Salt are equally healthy long lived, and able bodied with those eating only Sea Salt - The principal inducement in my own mind to the enquiry is set at rest - The second still exists but in a less degree inasmuch as the subject is now one of revenue alone exclusive of humanity -

9 It was with great satisfaction I received on the 30th Ultimo sanction to increase the pay of the Salt Amildar, 2 Sayer Servants and of the very insufficiently paid peons that had been so earnestly sought for since the 31st August 1839 -

Collector to the Board 31st Augt 1839 para 2 Col. 4. 21st Augt 1840 para 2 Col. 3 also para 3. 12 July 1841 Paras 2, 3, 4 Vice Boards Proceedings 12th February 1841 para 3 Minutes of Consultation 5th March 1841

10 I regret the refusal of the Board to sanction or recommend the re-establishment of certain Sayer Duttoos, the want of which is an inequal

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

equal shardship on the trading com-
munity and cause of loss of revenue
to Government. On the 3d January, &c.
after receipt on the 26th December pre-
ceding of the Extract from the General
letter of the Court of Direction of
the 2nd July 1841 Saguin advocated
my former proposition, supported &
I supposed by the Court's expressed
wishes, but learnt from their Pro-
ceedings of the 20th January 1842
that they considered they had alre-
disposed of the question and declined
to bring it to the notice of Government
as the abolition of Sayer duties
-pears still to be sanctioned to
indefinite period Saguin beg to
bring the subject to the reconsid-
ation of the Board.

11 The whole Extract was

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

been duly collected, but from a renewed
out-break of Cholera in Ramnad, not
within the family communication having
been for a few days interrupted with
a single renter. There being no
arrears to collect, is the cause of non-
transmission of Return B. It is hoped
the remarks appended to Statement
A may be found sufficiently explan-
-atory. The printed forms in Triplic-
-cate accompany this report.

Madura
24th August 1842

[Signed] J. Blackburne
Pr. Collector

Statement

Page 1st September 1842.

Extra Revenue in the District of Madura and Dindegul for Fasslies 1250 and 1251.

Total Collections of Current account Revenue of Fasly 1251 on the 12th July 1842	Balance	Comparison of the settlement of Fasly 1251 with that of Fasly 1250. Increase	Comparison of the settlement of Fasly 1251 with that of Fasly 1250. Decrease	Comparison of Total Collections of Fasly 1251 with that of Fasly 1250. Increase	Comparison of Total Collections of Fasly 1251 with that of Fasly 1250. Decrease
9	10	11	12	13	14
C.Rs do	C.Rs do	C.Rs as	C.Rs as	C.Rs as	C.Rs as
2:68:13 " 9	" "	" "	8|64 12 10	" "	8|64 12 10
15|084 8 -	2805 8 -	12,280 " "	" "	9474 8 "	" "
11697 5 " 5	" "	" "	6,680 27	" "	6,680 27
14,060 13 8	" "	9884 1	" "	9884 1	" "
48681 " "	" "	5830 " "	" "	5830 " "	" "
14357 9 11	" "	2,634 " 8	" "	2634 " 8	" "
24650 14 4	" "	135 " 5	" "	135 " 5	" "
644422 13-1	2805 8 "	21867 5 2	14844 15 5	19061 132	14844 15 5

Madras,
11th July 1842. } E.E.F. / signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Statement

9124

Fort St George 1st September 1842

No. 1

Statement Shewing the quantities of Salt manufactured and sold in fasly 1251, compared with fasly 1250, in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul

Name of the Cotaur or place of Manufacture	Fasly 1250			Fasly 1251				Quantity	
	Quantity of Salt manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Quantity of Salt manufactured	Quantity Sold	Rate per Garce	Value	Increase	Decrease
	Garce Ms. Ms.	Garce Ms. Ms.	Rs. As. Ps.	Garce Ms. Ms.	Garce Ms. Ms.	Rs. As. Ps.	Rs. As. Ps.	Garce Ms. Ms.	Garce Ms. Ms.
Taracoody	169 38 "	172 41 "	105 " "	256 96 "	239 350 "	105 " "	24556 14 "	87 66 "	" " "
Mookeyoor	244 253 "	249 196 "	105 " "	258 29 "	253 86 "	105 " "	26587 92	13 176 "	" " "
Mootoorangonadapatam	267 101 "	237 1 "	105 " "	232 23 "	190 132 "	105 " "	19984 105	" " "	35 78
Valeara-kum	203 367 "	171 2 "	105 " "	187 " "	214 98 "	105 " "	22495 117	" " "	16 367 "
Morecolum	209 335 "	196 103 "	105 " "	189 230 "	123 88 "	105 " "	12933 17	" " "	20 105 "
Atankurray	153 55 "	91 25 "	105 " "	140 375 "	150 285 "	105 " "	15824 13 "	" " "	12 80 "
Tyravanadapatam	" " "	197 " "	105 " "	171 220 "	168 237 "	105 " "	17702 36	171 220 "	" " "
Woodpoor	" " "	178 185 "	105 " "	174 180 "	110 50 "	105 " "	11563 2 "	174 180 "	" " "
Ogundangoody	" " "	114 235 "	105 " "	279 20 "	79 154 "	105 " "	8335 6 10	279 20 "	" " "
Manacoody	" " "	339 160 "	105 " "	340 150 "	362 85 "	105 " "	38032 5 "	340 150 "	" " "
Sholacoody	" " "	177 360 "	105 " "	368 200 "	105 350 "	105 " "	11116 14 "	368 200 "	" " "
Vuttanum	" " "	80 115 4	105 " "		236 225	105 " "	24839 1 "	" " "	" " "
					10 " "	100 " "	1000 " "	" " "	" " "
		80 115 4	" " "		246 225 "	" " "	25839 1 "	" " "	" " "
Calamaghery	" " "	51 327 "	105 " "		88 33	105 " "	9248 107	" " "	" " "
		53 " "	100 " "		40 " "	100 " "	4000 " "	" " "	" " "
		131 327 "	" " "	147 277 "	128 33	" " "	13248 107	147 277 "	" " "
Sheethanadatthanom	" " "	122 250 "	105 " "		79 195 "	105 " "	8346 3 "	" " "	" " "
		130 " "	100 " "		120 " "	100 " "	12000 " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	252 250 "	" " "	225 345 "	199 195 "	" " "	20346 3 "	225 345 "	" " "
Total	1247 341 "	2646 62 4 "	" " "	2971 145 "	2565 368 "	" " "	268571 9 8	1808 34 "	84 230 "

Deduct Decrease 84 230 " "

Net Increase 1723 204 " "

E. E. J.r

(Signed) J. Blackburne

Pr Collector

Statement

1725

Fort St. George

No 2

Statement Shewing the Inland districts to which Salt is transported, and for which Rowanals have been issued from the pans in the District, the quantity of Salt transported to each District in each of the last 2 years, and the route by which conveyed.

Names of Inland places where Salt is transported.	In fasly 1250.	In fasly 1251.	Remarks
Madura District	562-28		
Dindigul — Do	814-97		
Ramnad — Do	509-205		
Shevagunga — Do	338-107½		
Coimbatore — Do	344-85		
Salem — Do	2-96		
Trichinopoly — Do	21-250		
Total	2,644-82½	2,565-368	

Garcen in No

499135 .. Salt purchased at the Sea Salt Pans is brought thro Camoody and Therchooly or thro Aberamom, Partipanoor & Ivana-dura, & from the Northern pans thro Therovaigumpat, Calearcoil, Shevagunga and Thiruppavanum.—

946156 .. Thiruvaigumpat, Caliarcoil, & thence either by Serovay & Connagoody or by Shevagunga to Thiruppatoor, Sivagady, Kottamputty and Muttum.—

478115 .. Morecolum and Atenkurray.

250242 .. Tondi, Thiruvaigumpat and Colianoil

378250 .. To Dindigul as above, and thence either by Pyl or Edeacotay, as also by Caroor in Combatore.—

" 324 " .. To Dindigul and Caroor as above, and thence into

11350 "

Madura 11th July 1842.

E E. Pr. Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

This Account prepared according to the form sent by the Board of Revenue has been compared with the Abstract and found correct.
Abstract Demand Collection and Balance furnished to the Board and found correct.

Madura 11th July 1842.

E E. Pr. Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector.

Examined

Charges for Purchase or Manufacture																							
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	15007	-	2	15442	6	6	22000	-	11	5201	1	3	-	-	-								
TOTAL CHARGES	47965	14	10	28744	13	7	63221	12	9	24516	15	2	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	21340	3	-	24803	-	6	21532	7	-	-	-	-	32717	12	6								
Per Centage of the Charges	15	5	33/40	10	8	17/40	19	13	53/80	9	7	53/80	-	-	-								
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																							
Gross Collections																							
Charges for Purchase																							
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.																							
TOTAL CHARGES																							
Net Revenue																							
Per Centage of the Charges																							
LAND CUSTOMS.																							
Gross Collections	274905	7	10	271391	2	5	271483	8	5	7658	5	-	-	-	-								
Charges of Collection	12593	13	8	12588	3	-	12583	1	7	-	-	-	23	1	5								
Charges „ Commission	2209	9	-	2209	9	-	2209	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
Charges „ Drawback	12389	11	5	15005	10	7	8502	8	1	-	-	-	4579	2	6								
TOTAL	27397	4	11	29803	6	7	23294	2	8	-	-	-	4602	3	11								
Net Revenue	247508	3	11	241587	11	10	248188	5	9	7658	5	-	-	-	-								
Per Centage of the Charges	11	-	11/40	12	5	9/40	8	7	3/40	-	-	-	2	8	27/40								
SEA CUSTOMS.																							
Gross Collections	13825	2	5	13162	3	5	14642	8	5	6231	10	3	-	-	-								
Charges of Collection	1995	11	5	1625	7	5	1955	13	-	467	5	7	-	-	-								
Charges „ Commission	37	9	-	37	9	-	37	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
Charges „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
TOTAL	2013	3	5	1663	15	5	1713	5	-	467	5	7	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	11812	11	-	11498	4	-	12929	3	5	5764	4	8	-	-	-								
Per Centage of the Charges	14	8	11/40	12	14	13/40	12	2	11/40	-	-	-	7	23/40									

ABKARY.

Gross Collections	42120	-	-	42500	-	-	40167	-	-	6091	-	-	-	-	-								
Charges	368	3	-	368	3	-	378	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	41751	13	-	42221	13	-	41831	13	-	6091	-	-	-	-	-								
Per Centage of the Charges	-	13	9/13	-	13	9/13	-	12	9/13	-	-	-	1	8/13									
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																							
Gross Collections	11279	-	9	11731	4	4	14357	9	11	2626	5	7	-	-	-								
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	11279	-	9	11731	4	4	14357	9	11	2626	5	7	-	-	-								
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District).																							
Stamps																							
Gross Collections	22144	3	6	24515	13	11	24680	14	4	135	-	15	-	-	-								
Charges	1771	2	2	1817	2	5	1850	11	11	33	9	6	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	20373	1	11	22698	11	6	22830	2	5	101	6	11	-	-	-								
Per Centage of the Charges	7	15	10/40	7	6	24/40	7	8	12/40	-	1	6/40											
VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																							
Gross Collections																							
Charges																							
Net Revenue																							
Per Centage of the Charges																							
GRAND TOTAL.																							
Gross Collections	620572	1	4	640181	6	2	644422	16	1	42241	0	11	-	-	-								
Charges	79715	12	4	62397	9	-	80405	3	4	1800	10	4	-	-	-								
Net Revenue	578856	8	-	577783	13	2	564017	11	9	-	-	-	13766	1	8								
Per Centage of the Charges	12	10	10/40	9	11	1/40	12	7	7/40	2	11	8/40	"	"	"								
GRAND TOTAL.																							
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt and Tobacco.	595613	2	0	627078	15	1	613223	10	3	-	-	-	13055	4	10								
Charges after deductions for Do.	46756	13	0	49295	1	11	49705	11	6	-	-	-	09	3	5								
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	7	13	7/13	7	13	5/13	8	-	12/13	-	2	7/13	-	-	-								

This Account prepared according to the form sent by the Board of Revenue has been compared with the Abstract sent to the Accountant General and Collection and Balance furnished to the Board and found correct.

Madura 11th July 1842

Dispatched 24th August. - E E for Collr

Ex. in Coopsicak Accounts

9727

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

Order thereon.

(32-33)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

Read the following letter,

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Reporting the nature of the Pribboo Goolah, and the small amount annually realized from the rent, after deducting for Enams, and recommending for reasons stated a discontinuance of the same.

(34)

Para 1. It has been the custom, I believe, peculiar to this District, to rent to the highest bidder, on account of Government, the right of grubbing or fishing for Jewels, bits of precious Metal &c, dropped in the Roads, and Streets in the beds of Rivers and Tanks.

2 The Marginal Statement shews the Amount annually derived from this rent denominated the Pribboo Goolah for the last 15 years, as well as the deductions made

	Gross Collections	Enamiem	Net Collection carried to account		
Fusly 1237 to 1239 at 205 Rupees per annum	615	49 5 9	118	10	3
Fs 1240 to 1244 at 215 Fs	1072	827 4 3	244	11	9
Fs 1245 to 1249 at 220 Fs	1100	827 4 3	272	11	9
Fs 1250 & 1251, at 230 Fs	460	339 14 6	129	1	6
Total	3250	2451	765	3	3
Average of 15 years per Annum	215 10 8	165 4 3	51	3	5

9728

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

made from the gross collections to provide for a maniem of Rupees 165-4-3 annually payable according to the grant under which it is held from the proceeds of this rent.—

3 The original grantee of this singular maniem Shaick Ayasnad has been some time dead and the maniem has been latterly enjoyed by his Grandson Shaick Dawood Seddaghee who holds possession of a Copy of the original Purwanah granted to his grandfather by Mahomed Ally Khan as well as a Copperplate Copy of the Sasanum Corresponding. These documents of which translations accompany explain the nature of the grant but it does not appear that the maniem was conferred for any particular service. It is expressly stated to be a fixed sum from the proceeds of the Aripootora and is therefore wholly dependant on its existence. For several years it will be observed the amount realized was but little in excess of the amount of maniem and at any time it yields but an inconsiderable

revenue

Fort St George 1st September 1842

revenue.—

4 That the exclusive right of appropriating Jewels &c found as before described, even if fairly exercised is likely to lead to oppression as it must be that the persons enforcing such right could not legally enforce the claim of the renter paying for it, and as it appears an unworthy and discreditable mode of taxation, I have declined on renewing the rent for the present Fusly 1252 and request permission to discontinue it for the future.—

5 The cessation of the Maniem would naturally follow the cessation of the rent from which it is derived, and though it was probably granted with the understanding that its tenure was not so certain as that of other maniems it remains for the Government to decide whether continuation should be given to the heirs of the grantee in the event of my recommendation for the discontinuance of the rent being approved of.—

I have

Fort St George 1st September 1842

6 I have to add that the maniem is not entered in the registers of maniems of this District and that previous to Fusly 1237 the maniemdar on the strength of his Documents collected the rent for himself from persons to whom he delegated authority paying to the Government a Maturfeha tax of 3-9-8 per annum, that the assistance of the Collector was afforded to him on balance accruing on such persons and that on his representation that an encreased Revenue might be attained and the full amount of his maniem regularly secured to him if the rent was put up to the highest bidder, It was rented from Fusly 1237 the Maniemdar being sometimes himself the Renter.—

Tennerilly Tencausey
9th August 1842

/Signed/ Hen. Montgomery
Collector

No 1.

Translation of a Copy of the Purvanah written on the 26th of Jamaadeyulawul Hegeree 1161 and bearing the seal of Nawab Mohomed ally Khan Bhauder.—

Be it known to the present

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

present and succeeding Mootandidars in the Talook of Tinnevelly in the District of Trichinopoly subject to the Sudder of Hydrabad that a monthly allowance of 6 Chuckrums 6-5-0 being the amount of Tax payable by Ryotwarry cultivators has in accordance with the Sunnuds of former Governments been granted to Shaik Ahomed Cabeer for his subsistence as hereundermentioned.—

The above allowance is to be paid to the abovementioned Individual Monthly from the 1st of Jamadeyalawul Hejeree 1161. This Incumbent should defray his necessary expenses and performing his supplications to God for the Kings health prosperity and longevity continue to pray him Considering this to be a Fakeer the above should be carried into effect.

Written across the Cowle thus

A Monthly allowance of Chuckrums 6-5-0 being the amount of Tax payable by Ryotwarry cultivators, has been established in favor of Shaik Ahomed Cabeer for his subsistence from 1st of Jamadeyalawul Hejeree 1161-

(White

Fort St. George 1st September 18

White Sunnud

It is ordered that Purwanah should be written in the name of Shaik Ahomed Cabeer granting him a monthly allowance Chuckrums 6-5-0 for his subsistence from the 1st of Jamadeyalawul Hejeree 1161. Th is the order regarding writing Sunnud.—

Ch. 3+ 5-0 for Month or 78 Chuckrums per annum.—

+ This is a Mistake of the Copyist it should be 6 Chuckrums.—

This allowance has been continued to him in H. 1154 & 1155 when Mahomed Anavardy Khan ruled the Country and in H. 1156 & 1157 when mier Goolam Hussain Khan ruled.—

(A True Translation)

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Collector

No. 2

Translation of a Tamba Sausanam executed by Navab Mohomed Maufoos Khan Son of the late Mahomed Anavardy Khan the Navab Sailed to Mecah Shaik Ahomed Cabeer Son of Hazreth Mecah Emom Saib the Present at a Prosperous hour of Poonarpoosa Nakshatra on friday the 1st of Chittery of the year Chithana

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

Crothana in Amil 920 Corresponding with the Salivahana year 1,666 -

That is to say

As the Annual sum of 75 Chuckrums at the rate of 6-5 per month which is a yearly Tax laid on the Weighsmen attached to the Lutheran District of Tinnevelly situated in the Country of Carnat and Trichinapoly, subject to the Soobah of Hydrabad has been granted to Nazaruth Meeah Shaik Ahomed Kaheer Saib in Surva Enam, this is to authorize him, his heir and Descendants of succeeding generations to hold and enjoy the Enam as long as the Sun & Moon exist - The present and the future Rulers of the Country should according to above law, maintain a continuance of the Enam. Should any one of the Brahmin Kshatria, Vysya or Sudra Caste cause interruption to the continuance of the Enam such a one shall partake of the effect of that enormous sin which is incurred by killing a Cow on the bank of the River Ganges in Benares. Should a Mussulman

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

Mussulman adhering to the Creed of Calima cause interruption he shall partake of the effect of a great sin committed at Mecca but should he maintain the Enam he shall obtain the fruit of 1,000 Hujjas pilgrimage performed to Mecca and the fruit of the performance of 1,000 Canthrees and if the Hindoos maintain the Enam they shall obtain the good effects of the performance of 1,000 Yaugnums and those of the entertainment of 1,000 Brahmin guests - To this effect this Sunnud Sanadum was executed to Meeah Shaik Ahomed Caheer Son of Hazaruth Meeah Enam Saib by Nawab Mahomed Mansoorkhan Saib.

(A True Translation)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Proceedings thereon (34-35) The Board of Revenue consider that the Rent denominated Arippo Gootah which is stated in the foregoing letter to have existed since 1237 in Tinnevelly is wholly unauthorized and they accordingly approve of the Collector declining to renew it for the current

Fort St. George 1st September

Current Fusly and direct thereon and issued
for the future—

To the Member of Board
165-9-3 Sayer dutys from the Zemindary
this rent does not appear to have
granted originally for any particular
Service and as these will become void
funds for the maintenance after the
discontinuance of the Agencies
will also cease from the Commence
ment of the Current Fusly—

Read the following

So The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir
Fort St. Geo.

From the Collr
Collr of Coimbatore

I Act reference to the
correspondence noted in the margin
have the honor to forward for the
Consideration of the Board of Rev
Copy of two Decrees passed by the
Zillah Court at Coimbatore in Original
287 of 1838. and exhibits
Commanding the subscription

Coimbatore
Print Collr Office
10th August 1842

Signed H. C. Babington
Collr

36

LG-B

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

A

Original Suit No. 287 of 1838.

Zillah of Salem.

Auxiliary Court.

L. P.

Pauper Plaintiff
Ramiah.

By Vakeel Sidweally Saib

Defendants.
1st H. C. Babington Esqr late Collr
Print. Collr for G. D. Drury Esqr
Print. Collector of Coimbatore
2 Sooba Row Sahsildar for
Venkata Kistniah late Sahsildar of Dharapooram
3 Coolla Rowten.

The Plaintiff Sues to recover from
the Defendants Rupees 1334-8-8. being Sayer duties
Collected on the 13th July 1833, together with co
interest thereon.

He states that he obtained
the rent of the Sayer and Tobacco duties of the
Dharapooram Chowkey for Fusly 1243, and re=
=ceived Cowle Puttahs for the same on the 11th
July 1833, authorizing him to collect the said
duties from the 13th July 1833, to the 12th July 1834,
both inclusive. That on the 13th July 1833 (cor=
=responding with the 31st Assy Fusly 1242)
before the Plaintiff had taken possession
of his rent, Rupees 516-3-2¼ were collected
in the Chowkey of Dharapooram and
Rupees

Rupees 320-4-6½ in the Mutteo of Jeraya-
-butty, which is attached thereto, to tab Ru-
-pees 837-1-8½ but the said Tahsildar
unduly gave the above Amount to the rente
in Fusly 1242, the 3d Defendant, instead
to the Plaintiff, within the period of whose
rent it had been collected, and when Plain-
-tiff enquired of the Tahsildar on the sub-
-ject, the latter told him that he had co
acted according to the orders of the Principal
Collector - That on the 31st and 32d Augt
Fusly 1243 (13th and 14th July 1834) Plaintiff
collected the duties to which he considered
himself entitled as his predecessor - The
renter had been declared entitled to the
collections made on the corresponding
days of the preceding Fusly, but the collec
-tions on the above days (13th and 24th July
were declared by the Acting Tahasildar to
be due to the renter in Fusly 1244 - Plaintiff
thereupon petitioned the Principal Collector
that he might either receive the collec
-tions of the 13th and 14th July 1833, or retain the
same in the Original rent during the corresponding days of the next
Fusly (in other words that he might enjoy his rent
for

for a full year) but the Principal Collector
endorsed his Petition to the effect, that he
was to enjoy his rent for the terms specified
in his Puttah, but not for the additional ex
days asked for - Plaintiff now sues, 1st the
Principal Collector, 2ndly the Tahsildar, and
3rdly the renter in Fusly 1242, for Rupees 837-1-
8½ being the amount Collected, on the
13th July 1833 and Rupees 497-6-11½ interest
on the above from 13th July 1833 to the 25th
June 1838 - Total Sued for Rupees 1334-8-8.

The Plaintiff presented a
Motion No. 370, Stating that after he had
taken the oath as Pauper and in his absence
the Ryots who formerly cultivated Plaintiff's
lands, cultivated 2 Cawnies of waste lands,
the Crops of which were Confiscated in Satisfac-
-tion of Condayem - The Plaintiff disclaims
any concern in the above transaction, and
States that the land in question is not
worth more than Rupees 5.

The Suit was admitted
in forma pauperis, the Defendants having
failed to appear and shew cause to con
the contrary -

The Plaintiff presented
a

a Motion, No. 670, praying to be allowed to include the Acting Principal Collector and the present Sahsildar of Dharapooram as Defendants in the Suit, the former as the representative of the Principal Collector who has left India, and the latter as the representative of the late Sahsildar of Dharapooram deceased. This was acceded to by the late Acting Assistant Judge.

The Government Vakeel having informed the Court that the 1st Defendant (Substitute) is not concerned in the Suit, and the other Defendants not having appeared, the case was proceeded upon ex parte.

No witnesses were examined for either party.

The Plaintiff filed 7 documents, Viz. 1, an Extract of an Urzee dated 13th July 1830. 2, a Puttah dated 11th July 1833. 3, Do. of the same date, 4 a Chittah dated 31st Aug. of the Fusly 1242. 5 a Copy of Chittah of the same date, 6 an Yadast issued in May 1835 and 7 an endorsement dated 14th August 1834.

The Defendants filed no documents.

The case for the Plaintiff is fully established by his Vouchers which shew

1st, Plaintiff's right to the collections sued for, 2ndly their Amount, 3rdly that they were unduly awarded to the renter c= in the preceding Fusly and 4thly that redress was denied to the Plaintiff when he c= petitioned the Principal Collector on the Subject. The Plaintiff's claim is, indeed so manifestly just, that the Court is convinced that it never could have been rejected except by accidental c= mistake, or oversight.

In regard to the Substitution of the Acting Principal Collector and the present Sahsildar of Dhara= =pooram as Defendants in the places of the absent Principal Collector and the deceased Sahsildar of Dharapooram, The Court observes that the Principal Collector having omitted to adopt the course of proceeding laid down in clause 2, Section II. Regulation I of 1823, the case has become a personal one against him, and as such of course is not trans= =ferrible to his Official representative, It is equally obvious that the present Sahsildar of Dharapooram c= cannot

cannot on any valid plea be made
responsible for the undue ex-
acts of his defunct predecessor
In regard to the 3d
Defendants Share of liability
in the action, having omitted
to offer any defence the Court
has not before it, the means
of determining how far the
terms of his rent may have warranted
his collecting or receiving the
duties Sued for. If, as Seems
not improbable, his rent extended
to the end of Aug or 14th July,
according

according to the old usage, he
will have a right of action
against the 1st Defendant, ex
for granting to the Plain-
tiff a rent, the terms of which
encroaches upon 3d Defendants
rent, but the latter must, in
the mean time, Stand liable
to the Plaintiff.

Upon the foregoing
grounds the Court decrees ex
that the Sum Sued for be
paid

paid to the Plaintiff by the 1st Defendant
G. D. Drury Esqre Principal Collector
or, in his default, by the 3d Defendant.
The 1st Defendant is declared
chargeable with all costs of Suit.
Costs incurred by the Pauper Plaintiff.
Value of Stamps paper——62 - 8 - -
Batta to Summons Peon 1 - 12 - -
Pleaders——66 - 11 - -
130 - 15 - 4
N. Costs incurred by the Defendants—— - - - -
Total Rupees—— 130 - 15 - 4

Batta paid to the Summons Peon by the Pauper Plaintiff—— 1 - 12 - -

The Amount value of unstampt Papers used by the Pauper Plaintiff, to be collected from 1st Defendant and to be paid to Government—— 62 - 8 - -

The amount of the Pauper Plaintiff's Vakeel's fees to be collected from the 1st Defendant, and to be paid to the said Vakeel—— 66 - 11 - -

Given under my hand, and the Seal of the Auxiliary Court at Coimbatore this 19th day of October A.D. 1839.

(Signed) F. C. Mole.
acting Assistant Judge.

B

Review of Judgment.

Subsequently to the passing of the above decree the 1st Defendant presented Miscellaneous Petition under date 4th September 1840 stating that he had been precluded from giving in an Answer in the present suit by the circumstance of his having left the District on leave for the Cape of Good Hope, and requesting that the higher Court might be applied to for sanction to a review of judgment in the case. On reference to the Court of Sudr Adalut this application was complied with, and the defence of the Suit was undertaken on the part of Government, as communicated to this Court under date 26th January 1841. The Government Vakeel accordingly presented an Answer on the part of the 1st Defendant the late Principal Collector of the District, denying the validity of Plaintiffs claim, and stating that owing to some variance in the practice of the different Departments of the Cutcherry respecting the date on which the

Fort St George 1st September 1842

the Fusly year, by which all the revenue accounts are regulated, was made to commence and end, and which occasioned much confusion in the Accounts, it was resolved to observe an uniform system in future and accordingly in all those accounts the Fusly year was considered as commencing with the 13th July 1833 and terminating on the 12th July 1834. As in the Sayer Department the Fusly had previously been held to conclude on the last day of the Jamil month of Auney (19th July 1833) and the rent of the preceding Fusly had consequently included that day, the several contractors were informed in open Cutchery, that all their Puttahs bore the 13th July as the date on which their rent was to commence, they would not be entitled to the collections of that day which belonged to the former renters, but to those of the 14th and the following days only. The 1st Defendant further notices that neither the Plaintiff nor the other renters objected to this arrangement at the time, and urges on these grounds that the Plaintiff is not entitled to the benefit of the original decree passed by the

Fort St George 1st September 1842

late Acting Assistant Judge.

The Plaintiff in his reply animadverts upon the injustice of a provision which would allow him only 364 instead of 365 days for the Fusly year contrary to the express terms of the Puttah and alleges that by the 1st Defendants own showing his demands must be considered good and valid.

The Rejoinder of the 1st Defendant repeats that the Plaintiff was fully informed of the abovementioned arrangement before the delivery of the Puttah and observes that the other contractors whose interests were affected by it equally with those of the Plaintiff have preferred no claim on this account.

The 1st Defendant filed two documents, 1st a Copy of an order issued by the 1st Defendant to the Tahsildar of Dharapooram dated 12th July 1833. 2nd Copy of the Durkast or Tender for the Sayer rent of Fusly 1243 presented by the Plaintiff under date 10th May 1833. Three witnesses were also called and examined in support of the statements comprised in

9747 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

in the 1st Defendant's answer, 1st Madaiyan Rao, 2nd Sadasheiry Rao, 3rd Krishnen.

Revised Decree of the Court.

Upon a careful consideration of the arguments which have been advanced, and the evidence which has been produced on behalf of the 1st Defendant in this case, the Court are unable to perceive any factors calculated materially to affect the merits of the original decree. The express terms of Puttah must necessarily be held to be more valid evidence as to the nature of the contract actually entered into by the parties than the mere verbal testimony produced on the part of the 1st Defendant, and the Court would observe that if the Plaintiff agreed to the arrangement stated in the answer when orally communicated to him, a clause should have been inserted in the Puttah to that effect or a separate agreement should have been taken from him on the subject which would at once have precluded all further dispute or litigation on his part. The Court under the circumstances do not feel justified in directing any modification of

9748 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

of the original decree passed by the late Acting Assistant Judge.

Costs incurred by the Pauper Plaintiff in the re-investigation.

Value of Stamped Paper ——— 7-8-0

Batta to Summons &c ——— 1-12-0

9-4-0

Costs incurred by the 1st Defendant

Value of Stamped Paper ——— 19-0-0

Pleaders Fees ——— 66-11-0

79-11-0

Total Rupees ——— 88-15-0

Revised decree was passed and read in open Court on the 17th day of May A.D. 1842.

(Signed) H. Frere
Assistant Judge.

Sealed and Signed on the 17th June 1842.

Term for appeal expires on the 17th September 1842.

(Signed) H. Frere
Assistant Judge.

Ordered that the following Letter be sent in reply.—

To
The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

Adverting to your Letter of the

36-37.

To the Principal Collr of Coimbatore

9749 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

In Cons. 1st Sept. 1842. the 10th August last and to transmit, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will forward to the Board the original Muchilka executed by Ramiah the renter of the Customs in the Durgasamoodrum Choultry in July 1243. and also the original engagement of the persons to give security on deposit within the prescribed period to enable them to hold his rent.

2 You are also requested to transmit the original Moochilka executed by Ramiah for the Tobacco Rent of the same Tuly.

Rev. Board Office, Fort St. George, 1st September 1842. } Signed J. D. Boudillon, Secretary to Board.

S.G.B.

9750 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From the Collector of Madras } Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 30th June last, and to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that my endeavours in various quarters to obtain a spot of Ground in an eligible situation to be appropriated as a Roman Catholic Cemetery have not been successful.

38

Madras 17th August 1842 } Signed A. Robertson, Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

To The Secretary to the Military Board.

To the Secretary to the Military Board. } Sir, In reference to your letter bearing date the 28th June last and to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation entered in the Margin I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for the information of the

In Cons. 30th June 1842. Ext. Minutes of Cons. Ecclesiastical Deptt. dated 24th in Cons. 30th June 1842.

38-39

them

9751 Fort St. George 1st September 1842

dated 17th August
recd 1st Septr
1842

the Military Board. The accompanying copy of a communication from the Collector of Madras, stating that his endeavours to obtain a spot of ground in an eligible situation to be consecrated as a Roman Catholic Cemetery have not been successful.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st September 1842

(Signed) C. D'Boudillon
Secretary

Read the following letters

40

From the Collector of Madras — Reporting upon a petition from one Lugadasa, on whose information a false Chop in the House of Munnoosaw was seized, stating that Munnoo saw committed for trial at the late sessions was released by proclamation Recommending that the proposed Madras Regulation may be passed at an early date and that sanction may be procured for a reward of Pr. 20 being given to the petitioner.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir,

Fort St. George

With reference to the endorsement under Date the 4th Instant made, by order of the Board of Revenue on the accompanying Petition from a Lagah Saw, No. 1156 of 1842 I have the honor to report that two pieces of cloth bearing a false Customs Chop having been seized in May last, orders were given to some of the servants on my Establishment to ascertain by delicate enquiry the name of the party by whom such Chop had been issued the

9752 Fort St. George 1st September 1842

The petitioner then furnished certain particulars which induced a belief that one Munnoosah was in possession of a Chop of the kind, and some of the peons who were in the habits of entering Munnoosahs House to affix the usual Loom Chop to Cloths wove by him found there a Chop resembling those used in the Sayer Department of this Office.

2. The case in consequence of Munnoosah being an inhabitant of Poodoopaukum within the limits of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court was referred to the Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police, who on due enquiry considered it proper to bring the accused party to trial at the last Sessions. On the 24th June that Officer informed me that the Clerk of the Crown had called upon him "for evidence as to the Regulation under which the Government (used) a stamp for revenue purposes, and the counterfeiting of which (was treated as an offence by the Courts in the provinces

Provinces "I pointed out in reply that under Regulation X of 1803 Piece Goods should be stamped and that such as were offered for sale or found without the prescribed stamp were liable to confiscation, adding that under Regulation VII of 1811, which applied to the Provinces "the illicit imitation of any public stamp" was an offence cognizable by the Courts of Circuit.

3. Having on the receipt of the present petition requested Mr Elliot to inform me how the case was finally disposed of, he now states that Munnoosah committed for trial at the late Sessions was released by Proclamation the act of using a false chop within the limits of the Supreme Court being declared no offence against Law and consequently not indictable."

16th August 1842

4 In the revised Draft of the proposed Madras Regulation submitted by me to the Board on the 13th February 1834 there is a

a special clause for the punishment of the offence in question I submit that it is of great importance that this Regulation should receive the sanction of Law at an early date, in order that parties attempting to defraud the revenue by the use of false chops and other means may not escape punishment.

5 On the present occasion I would beg to recommend that, as an inducement to others to come forward with information the necessary authority may be procured for a reward of Rupees 20 Twenty being given to the petitioner under the general arrangement which received the sanction of Government on the 28th May 1830.

From Board 31st May 1830.

Madras 18th August 1842 } I Signed I A. Robertson, Collector

Proceedings thereon— Under the circumstances

(40-41)

set

Fort St George 1st September 1842

set forth on the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue authorize the disbursement of a Rupees 20. to Lagâksaw as a reward for his conduct in furnishing certain information which led to the discovery of a counterfeit Loom chop in the house of one Munnoosah an inhabitant of Madras.

With reference to para 4 of the letter above recorded the Board observe that copies of all the correspondence and documents connected with the subject therein alluded to were transmitted as required to Government for submission to the Government of India under Date the 29th October 1840.

From Govt 24th Sept. in Cons 15th Octr 1840.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition from Lagal-saw No. 1156. of 1842 returned in the foregoing letter.

"The Petitioner is referred to the Collector of Madras who has been furnished with instructions on the subject of his Petition."

To

Fort St George 1st September 1842

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

From the Collector of Madras

(42)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a copy of the Pamphlet recently published by Dr Royle "on the production of Isinglass along the coasts of India" which accompanied your Circular letter under date the 22d Instant.

Madras 31st August 1842

/Signed/ A. Robertson, Collector

Order thereon

(42-43)

Ordered to be recorded

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.

From the Collector of Malabar Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate in fusly 1250.

(44)

From the Collector of Malabar Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimates in fusly 1250.

(45)

From the Collector of Malabar Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department in fusly 1250.

(46)

Ordered

Order on the three above Statements- Ordered that the
(44-47) necessary remarks of the Board be inserted
in the proper Column of the Statement and
submitted for the sanction of Government

From the Actg Collector of Cuddapah- Read Statement
(48) of Contingent charges not exceeding 20
Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter
of Fusly 1251.

Resolution thereon- Resolved that the necessary
(48-49) orders be passed.

From the Actg Collector of Vizagapatam- Read Register
(50) of Bills &c bearing the Board's Endorsement
presented to him for the Month of July last

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office
(50-51)

Resolved that the following
letters be despatched

To The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

To the Actg Chief Secty to Government
(52)

Sir,

With reference to our Proceedings of the 25th July 1842- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the reports noted in the Margin relating to the Revenue Administration of this Presidency for transmission to the Supreme Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th July 1842- Report of the Board on the General Revenue Statements for Fusly 1249- Proceedings of the Board on the Settlement of the Land and Coctra sources of revenue, with observations and orders of Government thereon for Fusly 1249-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George 1st September 1842

I [Signed] J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Gov[t]
Para 1.

Submitting a General Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Revenue on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Presidency for Fusly 1250 Compared with Fusly 1249-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying General Statement, shewing the amount of Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Revenue, on account of the different branches of Extra Revenue in each of the Provinces under this Presidency for Fusly 1250, Compared with Fusly 1249-

(53.)

The following abstract Statement exhibits a net Decrease on the Net Revenue from all the sources, amounting to Rupees 1,24,479-4-4 resulting from a Gross Increase of Rupees 2,14,809-10-11, under the heads of Land and Sea Customs, Abkary, Stamps and Moturpha, and a gross Decrease in Salt, Tobacco and Sundry small farms and Licenses, amounting to Rupees 3,39,288-15-3-

Abstract

9759

Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

Abstract.

	Gross Revenue				Charges				Net Revenue								Increase	Decrease
	Fusly 1249.		Fusly 1250.		Fusly 1249.		Centage		Fusly 1250.		Centage		Fusly 1249.		Fusly 1250.			
	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs as	Rs as
Salt	4014961	3	4377092	9	1	697536	8	8										
								11			12	8 1/16						
								11	11 9/16		6	3 15/16						
								5	10 6/16									
								17	5 15/16	7074819	3	18	12 1/16	3317424	10	8306410	15 10	252813 10 10
								11	11 9/16			12	8 1/16					
								5	10 6/16			6	3 15/16					
								19	14 9/16			24	1 8/16					
								9	6 15/16			7	12 3/16					
Tobacco	8743228	5	8295791	1	2532161	1	11		35 15 10/16	2643129	5	31	13 12/16	6211066	7	5652671	8	558394 11
								10	14 11/16			24	1 8/16					
								9	6 15/16			7	12 9/16					
Land Customs	3638236	14	2	3617473	5	-	693510	14	11	19 1 1/16	6561378	1		18	2 3/16	2944425	15	3 2961335 12 11	1690913 8	- -
Sea Customs	619247	9	7	1481711	-	61732	0	-		26 3 1/16	1481559	15	3	19	13	4789274	9	5999151 3 12	0987127	- -
Abkarry	1598174	-	10	1644490	10	6626153				4 2 1/16	578735	9		3	8 5/16	1531912	11	7 1586276 5 1	5436396	- -
Sundry small farms and Licenses	333227	7	9	3051844	10	398125	10	6		11 15 1/16	4240511	6	11	13	11 5/16	2934141	3	5 2627781 3 11	- -	306351 5 6
Stamps	477756	-	2	4919014	6	11 289213		5		6 6 1/16	3274710	5		6	10 8/16	4488341	2	9 4591561 2 6	1032159	- -
Moturpha	1025141	-	2	1023953	8	2	50610	15	6	4 14 1/16	36897	-	-	3	9 10/16	9748300	-	8 9870568 2 12	2267 6	- -
Total	12611366	7	7	12432508	8	5	2004893	-	11	15 13 5/16	19461111	1	1	15	10 7/16	10610876	11	8 10486397 7 4	2148091011	3392881513
Deduct Increase										11 5 1/16				10	13 6/16					

Deduct Increase 21480910 11
Net Decrease 12447944

Fort St. George 1st September 1812

3. The Gross receipts from all Sources in fusly 1250, without deduction of charges on of purchase and Manufacture of Salt and Tobacco, Amounted to Rupees 124,32,508 — 8 — 5. The Gross receipts, deducting those charges, were Rupees 11,76,843 — 1 — 10. exhibiting a decrease in the gross receipts of fusly 1249, in the former case of Rupees 178857 - 15 - 2, and in the latter of Rupees 205792 - 10 - 3.

Fusly 1249	12,11,366 - 7 - 7		
1250	12,32,508 - 8 - 5		
Decrease	1,78,857 - 15 - 2		
Fusly 1249	11,96,035 -	12 -	1
1250	11,76,843 -	1 -	10
Decrease	20,5792 -	10 -	3

4. A memorandum exhibiting a discrepancy between the Amount of receipts and charges in fusly 1249, as entered in the accounts received from the Collectors of Cuddapah and Tanjore for

Fort St. George 1st September 1812

form that fusly and the Amount of the receipts and charges as entered in the corresponding Accounts for fusly 1250, is also herewith submitted.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September 1812.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

General

Fort St. George

1st September 1842.

A. 3rd Tobacco Monopoly Land Customs Sea Customs Abkarry Sunday Small farms and Licenses

		Gross Revenue after deduction				for Manufacture & purchase. Charges for Manufacture and purchase							
		Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250	
		6		7		8		9		10		11	
		Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
1	Gil[illegible]	2,75,409	1 5	2,29,142	12 -			46266	5 5	14,589	7 6	10,176	10 3
2	Q. [illegible]	1,46,786	- 5	1,39,536	4 -			7249	12 5	7,930	5 6	15,391	14 8
3	D. R[illegible]	1,23,930	14 2	1,06,623	1 8			17307	12 6	4,731	8 7	19,999	3 7
4	D. [illegible]	2,68,379	1 8	2,11,517	12 9			26861	4 11	8,056	2 4	7,252	5 2
5	D. [illegible]	3,26,609	1 10	2,65,952	2 6			59656	15 4	30,217	11 10	27,646	5 9
6	D. [illegible]	3,54,511	11 9	3,48,451	3 4			8060	8 5	48,072	3 8	49,433	8 3
7	D. [illegible]	-	- -	-	- -			-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
8	D. [illegible]	2,28,547	3 2	2,63,181	7 -			-	- -	35334	3 10	-	- -
9	Nel[illegible]	4,15,280	10 10	3,59,639	1 9			55449	1 -	32,958	14 8	13,102	7 1
10	North[illegible]	19,703	2 4	33,587	13 9			-	- -	14,167	9 4	72,542	6 -
11	South[illegible]	1,69,721	7 11	1,41,117	2 4			-	- -	1,672	13 11	2,603	9 3
12	Tanj[illegible]	3,94,326	2 10	3,55,660	14 9			114184	11 5	-	- -	-	- -
13	Trich[illegible]	-	- -	-	- -			-	- -	38,081	11 7	9,484	15 4
14	Tinn[illegible]	2,17,387	12 7	2,44,653	12 5			25604	5 7	-	- -	-	- -
15	Bel[illegible]	-	- -	-	- -			-	- -	42,178	8 10	37,905	11 11
16	Cud[illegible]	-	- -	-	- -			34865	4 1	-	- -	-	- -
17	Coim[illegible]	-	- -	-	- -			-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
18	Can[illegible]	2,14,930	3 7	2,45,965	7 1			-	- -	19,925	13 4	8,891	2 11
19	Mal[illegible]	2,38,892	13 1	2,38,221	14 7			-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
20	Mal[illegible]	9,14,582	8 8	8,00,681	2 11			-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
		-	- -	-	- -

9763

Fort St George

Stamps and Moturpha for Fusly 1250, Compared with Fusly 1249

Charges for Superintendence				Salt Total Charges		Net Revenue			
Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1 7,805 3 3	6,590 7 7		1,211 11 8	22,694 10 9	16,767 1 10		5,927 8 11	267,603 14 2	222,552 4 5		45,051 9 9
2 11,284 13 11	9,488 12 1		1,796 1 10	19,315 3 5	24,856 10 9		5,865 7 4	135,501 2 6	130,047 7 11		5,453 10 7
3 10,143 1 4	11,785 9 8	1,642 8 4		11,571 9 11	31,781 13 3		1,691 0 3 4	113,787 12 10	94,837 8		18,950 4 10
4 8,078 13 7	8,960 12 5	881 11 10		16,131 15 11	16,213 6 7		78 1 8	260,300 4 1	232,557 4		27,743 3 9
5 15,415 7 9	16,099 12 2	684 4 5		15,630 3 7	16,716 1 11		1,887 1 8	310,193 10 1	249,852 6 4		60,341 3 9
6 14,295 11 1	21,576 9 6	7,280 14 5		62,367 11 9	71,010 1 9		8,642 3	342,216 8	326,874 9 10		15,341 6 10
7											
8 15,007 2 2	15,642 6 6	635 6 4		17,965 11 10	25,744 13 7		19,221 4 3	213,340 3	248,039 6	34,698 13 6	
9 34,385 15 6	44,549 9 8	8,163 10 2		80,053 8 10	119,091 15 6		39,038 6 10	376,894 11 4	313,289 8 1		63,605 3 3
10 868 12 5	853 7 2		15 5 3	2,541 10 11	3,167 0 5		915 6 1	18,834 5 11	33,034 6 7	14,200 0 8	
11 32,794 6 10	19,072 13 3		13,721 9 7	70,876 2 5	28,557 12 7			142,318 5 10	130,927 1 1		11,382 12 9
12 17,168 12 10	17,811 0 7	642 3 9		59,344 5 8	55,716 12 6			3,627 9 2	377,157 6 0	337,849 14 2	39,307 7 10
13											
14 12,046 9 9	12,189 8 2	142 14 5		31,872 7 1	21,080 11 1			10,891 12 0	205,341 2 10	232,464 4 3	27,123 1 5
15											
16											
17											
18 25,431 7 6	25,899 9 4	468 1 10		77,299 15 6	9,111 6 15		13,817 0 1		249,498 12 1	223,065 13 9	26,432 14 4
19 8,048 7 9	10,958 9 7	2,910 1 10		120,756 8 4	122,711 4 0		1,957 8 0		225,844 5 4	227,265 8 0	1,421 2 8
20 10,098 9 11	12,231 13 9	2,133 3 10		25,805 5 4	32,599 8 5		6,794 3 1		839,831 14 9	673,836 14 7	165,994 2 2
226,873 5 7	235,710 13 5	25,585 4 2	16,747 12 4	697,536 8 8	707,481 9 3		93,818 7 5	83,873 6 10	3,317,424 10 8	3,064,610 15 10	774,432 3 3	330,256 13 1
16,747 12 4 Deduct Decrease.							83,873 6 10 Deduct Decrease.			Deduct Increase 774,432 3 3.	
8,837 7 10 Net Increase.							9,945 0 7 Net Increase.			Net Decrease 325,813 10 10	

9764

Fort St George

1st September 1842

	Gross Revenue before deductions for purchase of Tobacco				Tobacco Monopoly				Gross Revenue after deductions for purchase of Tobacco			
	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Deductions for purchase of Tobacco		Charges for purchase		Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
					Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250				
	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18	328243 12 10	303727 1 6										
19	546078 11 7	525852 9 7			24516 11 4	266110 11 4	222091 9 4					
20					20226 2	43414 14 8	46759 8 7					
	874322 85 8	829579 11 1			44742 13 4	700255	629685 11					
									76569 15 8	174067 8 5	199894 10 9	25827 2 4
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19							14019 2	62133 1 6	81635 8 2	19502 6 8		
20							26550 13 8	111934 6 11	118259 2 7	6324 11 8		

9766

Fort St. George

1st September 1842

	Gross Revenue				Land Charges		Customs				Net Revenue			
	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	26,147 11 2	23,749 7 10	" " "	2,398 3 4	6,520 3 6	6,516 3 4	" " "	4 " 2	19,627 7 8	17,233 4 6	" " "	2,391 4 2
2	76,212 11 6	82,369 7 2	6,156 11 8	" " "	15,180 2 3	22,327 1 2	" " "	7,147 " 11	61,032 9 3	60,042 3 "	" " "	990 6 3
3	91,378 2 11	90,621 6 11	" " "	756 12 "	19,018 7 6	18,484 11 11	" " "	534 2 7	72,359 11 5	72,137 2 "	" " "	222 9 5
4	92,746 6 11	92,362 9 9	" " "	383 13 2	22,106 10 2	21,106 12 5	" " "	1299 13 9	70,339 12 9	71,255 13 4	916 " 7	" " "
5	64,466 12 5	64,463 12 6	1997 " 1	" " "	13,887 8 "	13,619 5 11	" " "	268 2 1	48,579 14 5	50,814 6 7	2265 2 2	" " "
6	54,607 9 2	54,126 10 6	" " "	1480 14 8	8,973 2 6	7,755 11 6	" " "	317 7 "	46,534 6 8	46,370 15 "	" " "	163 7 8
7	157,438 8 8	162,091 2 10	4,652 10 2	" " "	18,930 9 11	18,715 9 9	" " "	215 " 2	138,507 14 9	143,375 9 1	4867 10 4	" " "
8	274,896 12 10	271,391 2 5	" " "	3,505 10 5	27,597 1 11	29,803 6 7	" " "	2206 1 8	" " "	247,299 7 11	241,587 11 10	" " "	5711 12 1
9	105,472 " 6	109,478 10 10	4006 10 4	" " "	17,892 1 7	17,821 2 11	" " "	70 15 3	87,579 14 11	91,657 8 6	4077 9 7	" " "
10	148,368 14 8	151,940 12 7	3571 13 11	" " "	22,199 " 8	21,601 7 4	" " "	597 9 4	126,169 14 "	130,339 5 3	4169 7 3	" " "
11	238,177 15 1	185,648 " 5	" " "	52,529 14 8	29,720 15 9	28,228 15 8	" " "	1492 " 1	208,456 15 4	157,419 " 9	" " "	51,037 14 7
12	316,792 13 10	326,383 8 5	9,590 10 7	" " "	36,540 5 8	30,335 5 10	" " "	6,204 15 10	280,252 8 2	296,048 2 7	15,795 10 5	" " "
13	135,678 13 1	133,665 6 8	" " "	1953 6 5	8926 5 9	8,805 11 9	" " "	120 7 "	126,692 7 4	124,859 7 11	" " "	1,832 15 5
14	203,658 9 7	194,305 3 11	" " "	7353 5 8	23,020 2 1	17,681 13 "	" " "	5338 5 1	180,638 7 6	178,623 6 11	" " "	2015 " 7
15	313,871 10 "	343,501 15 3	29,630 5 3	" " "	26,562 11 6	26,061 2 2	" " "	501 12 4	287,308 11 6	317,440 13 1	30,132 1 7	" " "
16	193,178 8 5	207,932 " 6	14753 8 1	" " "	16527 13 7	15,940 12 "	" " "	587 1 7	176,650 10 10	191,991 4 6	15340 9 8	" " "
17	162,835 14 5	181,622 1 2	18786 2 9	" " "	10,160 11 1	10,219 3 7	" " "	211 7 6	152,375 3 4	171,372 13 7	18997 10 3	" " "
18	308,652 8 7	283,223 14 5	" " "	21,829 14 2	16,726 1 2	15,312 11 3	4616 10 1	" " "	267,326 4 5	237,880 6 2	" " "	29,445 14 3
19	131,324 7 1	123,331 5 8	" " "	7993 1 5	14,296 10 8	17,682 11 9	5386 4 1	" " "	89,027 12 5	75,648 6 11	" " "	13,379 5 6
20	544,989 15 4	533,265 3 3	" " "	11,724 12 1	28,732 3 8	21,805 7 3 10	" " "	39,266 4 10	257,166 6 8	285,207 15 5	27,541 8 9	" " "
	3,638,236 11 2	3,617,473 8 "	93,115 8 10	113,909 2 "	693,810 11 11	656,137 8 1	19,356 1 9	57,029 8 7	2,944,425 15 3	2,961,335 12 11	124,103 6 7	107,193 8 11
		Deduct Increase 93,115 8 10					Deduct Increase 19,356 1 9				107,193 8 11 Deduct Decrease	
		Net Decrease 20,763 9 2					Net Decrease 37,673 6 10				16,909 13 8 Net Increase	

| | | |

Fort St. George

1st September 1842.

	Gross Revenue Fusly 1249	Gross Revenue Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Abkaree Charges Fusly 1249	Abkaree Charges Fusly 1250	Net Revenue Fusly 1249	Net Revenue Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	30,646 7 6	22,852 4 7	- - -	7794 2 11	- - -	- - -	30,646 7 6	22,852 4 7	- - -	7794 2 11
2	47,945 10 4	59,300 2 4	11,354 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	47,945 10 4	59,300 2 4	11,354 8 -	- - -
3	45,788 6 1	45,874 4 5	85 14 4	- - -	107 2 6	9 97	45,681 3 7	45,864 10 10	183 7 3	- - -
4	33,516 8 7	34,137 - 10	620 8 3	- - -	2235 3 8	2585 4 5	31,281 4 11	31,551 12 5	290 9 6	- - -
5	13,052 2 8	13,040 7 8	- - -	11 11 -	336 64	341 - 1	12,715 12 4	12,699 7 7	- - -	16 4 9
6	62,544 2 10	70,360 2 1	7,815 15 3	- - -	72 5 3	47 97	62,471 13 7	70,312 8 6	7,840 10 11	- - -
7	71,611 5 -	77,386 1 7	5774 12 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	71,611 5 -	77,386 1 7	5774 12 7	- - -
8	42,120 - -	42,590 - -	470 - -	- - -	3683 -	3683 -	41,751 13 -	42,221 13 -	470 - -	- - -
9	19,467 15 10	19,139 3 3	- - -	328 12 7	336 - -	336 - -	19,131 15 10	18,803 3 3	- - -	328 12 7
10	86,911 7 10	97,446 3 10	10,534 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	86,911 7 10	97,446 3 10	10,534 12 -	- - -
11	61,625 14 1	59,577 2 5	- - -	2048 11 8	- - -	- - -	61,625 14 1	59,577 2 5	- - -	2048 11 8
12	58,640 9 2	66,252 6 6	7,611 13 2	- - -	510 - 2	570 - 7	58,130 9 2	65,742 6 4	7,611 13 2	- - -
13	15,274 - -	13,372 14 -	- - -	1901 2 -	513 4 7	- - -	14,760 11 5	13,372 14 -	- - -	1387 13 5
14	169,881 3 6	214,91 12 -	45,221 4 6	- - -	1441 2 8	12 11 4	168,24 - 10	214,79 - 8	46,54 15 10	- - -
15	2,33,367 7 -	2,34,395 7 -	1028 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	2,33,367 7 -	2,34,395 7 -	1028 - -	- - -
16	92,476 5 2	98,338 6 2	5862 1 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	92,476 5 2	98,338 6 2	5862 1 -	- - -
17	41,997 2 8	45,010 5 -	3013 2 4	- - -	- - -	- - -	41,601 7 3	44,672 6 7	3070 15 4	- - -
18	59,420 15 2	52,341 5 9	- - -	7079 9 5	395 11 5	337 14 5	59,420 15 2	52,341 5 9	- - -	7079 9 5
19	58,712 14 5	59,910 10 1	1197 11 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	58,339 - 3	59,549 7 11	1210 7 8	- - -
20	50,608 5 12 10	51,333 7 4	5247 10 6	- - -	3731 4 2	361 2 2	78844 6	445237 9 4	45369 8 4	13131 15 -	- - -	- - -
	15,98,174 - 10	16,44,149 10 10	65,139 11 7	19,164 1 7	6626 5 3	57,573 5 9	15,31,912 11 7	15,86,276 5 1	73019 - 3	18,655 6 9		
		19,164 1 7 Deduct Decrease					Deduct Increase 334 8 6			18,655 6 9 Deduct Increase		
		45,975 10 - Net Increase					Net Decrease 8387 15 6			54363 9 6 Net Increase		

9769

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

	Gross Revenue				Sundry Small Heads of Charge and Licences		Net Revenue			
	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease		
	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	2,441 15 9	2,651 3 11	209 4 2						2,441 15 9	2,651 3 11	209 4 2	
2												
3	4,405 13 9	5,708 12 4	1,302 14 7						4,405 13 9	5,708 12 4	1,302 14 7	
4	192			192					192			192
5	9,605 14	9,989 2 5	383 4 5						9,605 14	9,989 2 5	383 4 5	
6	18,017 2 5	16,535 8 4		1,481 10 1					18,017 2 5	16,535 8 4		1,481 10 1
7	9,351 10	9,092 15 1		258 10 11					9,351 10	9,092 15 1		258 10 11
8	14,279 9	11,731 4 4		2,547 12 5					14,279 9	11,731 4 4		2,547 12 5
9	289 9 4	296 7 11	6 14 7						289 9 4	296 7 11	6 14 7	
10	8,488 15 1	9,744 6 1	1,255 7						8,488 15 1	9,744 6 1	1,255 7	
11	3,557 2 9	3,548 10 5		8 8 4					3,557 2 9	3,548 10 5		8 8 4
12	26,354 12 11	26,134 9 4		220 3 7					26,354 12 11	26,134 9 4		220 3 7
13	4,090 3 9	3,330 6 11		759 12 10					4,090 3 9	3,330 6 11		759 12 10
14	19,194 5 7	23,061 9 8	3,867 4 1				193 8		19,001 4 11	23,061 9 8	4,060 4 9	
15	18,535 7	17,869 6 3		666 9					18,535 7	17,869 6 3		666 9
16	16,390 12 8	18,177 6 6	1,786 9 10						16,390 12 8	18,177 6 6	1,786 9 10	
17	26,728 1 3	8,257 12 6		18,470 4 9	1,570 8 6	1,277 14		292 10 6	25,157 8 9	6,979 14 6		18,177 10 3
18	22,940 12 2	27,202 15 4	4,262 2 2		10,588 5	7,582 3 5		2,220 6 7	12,852 8 2	19,320 11 11	6,468 3 9	
19	82,303 5 11	70,017 2		12,286 3 11	8,850 12 7	10,483 7			73,452 9 4	59,533 11		13,918 14 4
20	46,060 5 8	41,834 9 6		4,225 12 2	19,109 15 7	22,761 14 6			26,950 6 1	19,072 11		7,877 11 1
	3,33,227 7 9	3,05,184 4 10	13,073 12 10	4,111 6 15 9	39,512 10 4	42,405 6 11	5,284 9 4	2,691 12 9	2,93,414 13 5	2,62,778 13 11	15,472 15 1	46,108 14 7
		Deduct Increase	13,073 12 10				2,691 12 9	Deduct Decrease			Deduct Increase	15,472 15 1
		Net Decrease	28043 2 11				2,592 12 7	Net Increase			Net Decrease	30635 15 6

9710

Sort: St. George.

1st September 1842.

	Gross Revenue Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Mangl. Charges Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Net Revenue Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107
	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
1	7,855 15 10	8,991 14 8	1135 14 10	- - -	490 6 -	464 10 3	- - -	25 11 9	7365 9 10	5527 4 5
2	18,346 4 10	30,938 12 6	12592 7 8	- - -	593 12 5	526 13 5	4371 1 -	- - -	17452 8 5	25673 15 1
3	20,479 8 -	23,010 12 -	2531 4 -	- - -	1144 6 7	1209 6 5	641 5 10	- - -	19335 1 5	21801 5 7
4	21,619 4 5	23,531 14 8	1912 10 3	- - -	2052 4 9	1436 13 6	- - -	645 10 3	19536 15 8	22095 4 2
5	8,430 4 7	14,398 3 11	5967 15 4	- - -	427 13 8	714 5 9	286 8 1	- - -	8002 6 11	13683 14 2
6	15,985 1 3	14,775 10 6	- - -	1209 6 9	609 15 5	676 4 6	66 5 5	- - -	15375 1 10	14102 5 8
7	17,253 6 4	17,401 7 -	145 - 8	- - -	811 14 2	813 9 4	1 11 2	- - -	16441 8 2	16587 13 8
8	22,144 3 6	24,515 13 11	2371 10 5	- - -	1771 2 2	1817 2 5	46 3 -	- - -	29373 1 4	22698 11 6
9	14,586 11 -	15,423 9 -	836 14 -	- - -	683 6 10	705 15 3	22 8 5	- - -	13903 4 2	14717 9 9
10	30,682 15 3	30,469 9 -	- - -	213 6 3	1613 5 8	1853 5 9	239 13 1	- - -	29069 6 7	28616 3 3
11	19,521 11 -	17,482 10 -	- - -	2039 1 -	1030 5 11	860 7 6	- - -	180 1 5	18491 2 1	16632 2 6
12	58,177 14 4	56,656 5 2	- - -	1521 9 2	3622 7 11	3560 11 8	- - -	61 12 3	54555 6 5	53095 9 6
13	22,364 11 -	23,264 11 6	900 - 6	- - -	780 14 10	1564 6 11	783 8 1	- - -	21583 12 2	21700 4 7
14	25,840 13 2	23,141 2 6	- - -	2699 10 8	1414 12 5	575 5 3	- - -	839 7 2	24426 - 9	22565 13 3
15	25,318 8 -	26,205 1 -	886 9 -	- - -	1242 5 10	1263 15 11	2310 1 -	- - -	24076 2 2	24939 1 1
16	23,765 4 -	24,753 8 4	988 4 4	- - -	1342 10 4	1275 1 6	- - -	67 8 6	22422 9 8	23478 6 6
17	17,787 10 5	18,635 2 4	847 7 11	- - -	1571 11 4	1439 - 9	- - -	132 10 7	16215 15 1	17196 1 7
18	40,863 9 10	36,383 5 2	- - -	4480 4 8	1390 5 5	1755 10 -	- - -	234 14 5	38873 1 5	34627 11 2
19	60,847 4 -	55,225 11 6	- - -	5621 8 6	4984 10 3	4923 1 4	- - -	61 8 11	55862 9 9	50302 10 2
20	5,884 15 5	6,696 2 3	811 2 10	- - -	411 14 6	581 9 4	169 10 10	- - -	5473 - 11	6114 8 11
	477756 - 2	491904 6 11	31930 5 9	17781 15 -	25921 3 5	22747 10 6	6275 12 3	4249 5 3	448834 12 9	459156 12 6
			17781 15 - Deduct Decrease				2249 5 3 Deduct Decrease			27032 5 1
			14148 9 - Net Increase				9826 9 - Net Increase			16710 5 4 Deduct Decrease
										10321 15 9 Net Increase

9771

Fort St. George

1st September 1842

Gross Revenue

Deduct Charges

Net Revenue

	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	10313 7 1	6716 14 10	- - -	3596 8 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
2	6112 7 9	5998 13 4	- - -	113 10 5	111 1 6	144 - -	- - -	32 14 6
3	38,961 14 3	37,520 10 11	- - -	1441 3 4	24773 5 1	12377 6 9	- - -	1247 6 2 3
4	14,561 10 2	15,706 10 2	1145 - -	- - -	5,5631 5 6	5013 5 1	- - -	550 10 5
5	37,723 11 11	42,142 10 10	4418 14 11	- - -	3315 2 5	3022 5 1	- - -	4 3 2
6	12,653 1 5	13,981 9 7	1328 8 2	- - -	4 11 11	- - -	- - -	4 11 11
7	7,176 9 14 9	7,237 7 9 11	607 11 2	- - -	10,452 4 6	10,452 4 6	- - -	- - -
8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
9	18,657 1 8	21,207 15 11	2550 14 3	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
10	34,182 9 -	34,844 - -	661 7 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
11	39,139 10 10	36,880 11 9	- - -	2258 15 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
12	39,317 9 8	39,549 2 3	531 8 7	- - -	946 7 2	1329 11 11	- - -	383 4 9
13	6,082 7 7	6,084 12 2	2 4 7	- - -	6 8 9	- - -	- - -	6 8 9
14	10,646 5 3	10,540 3 14 11	- - -	105 6 4	938 15 1	795 15 1	- - -	863 - -
15	2,648 6 3 9	2,562 7 4 13 5	- - -	85 8 11 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
16	13,524 9 12 5	13,765 2 3 10	243 2 7 5	- - -	16 2 10	16 2 10	- - -	- - -
17	6,815 7 6 11	7,041 7 5 9	225 9 14 10	- - -	65 11 3	55 14 1	- - -	9 9 7 4
18	10,863 7 4	10,716 15 2	- - -	146 8 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
19	11,037 0 13 2	11,011 4 6 9 5	- - -	22 4 3 9	6 3 1 14 7	3 15 1	- - -	- - -
20	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	1,02,544 1 -	2,02,395 3 5 2	15,938 12 11	17,426 2 11	50,610 15 6	36,647 - -	- - -	- - -
			Deduct Increase	15,938 10 11				
			Net Decrease	1,487 8 -				

	118	119	120	121				
	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p				
	10313 7 1	6716 14 10	- - -	3596 8 3				
	6001 6 3	5,854 13 4	- - -	146 8 11				
	14,188 5 9	25,223 4 8	11,034 14 11	- - -				
	5,997 10 8	7,693 5 1	1,695 10 5	- - -				
	34,405 9 6	38,820 5 3	4,414 11 9	- - -				
	12,648 5 6	13,981 9 7	1,333 4 1	- - -				
	61,317 10 3	61,925 5 5	607 11 2	- - -				
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -				
	18,657 1 8	21,207 15 11	2,550 14 3	- - -				
	34,182 9 -	34,844 - -	661 7 -	- - -				
	39,139 10 10	36,880 11 9	- - -	2258 15 1				
	38,371 2 6	38,519 6 4	148 3 10	- - -				
	6,075 14 10	6,081 12 2	8 13 4	- - -				
	105,521 6 2	105,327 15 10	- - -	193 6 4				
	2,648 6 3 9	2,562 7 4 13 5	- - -	85 8 11 7				
	13,505 7 2 5	13,751 9 9 10	243 2 7 5	- - -				
	6,747 6 11 6	6,983 6 1 8	235 9 6 2	- - -				
	10,863 7 4	10,716 15 2	- - -	146 8 2				
	1,331 3 3	1,097 1 8 14 5	109,628 7 11	- - -				
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -				
	4,776 5 14 3 4 5 11	9,74,830 - 8	9,87,056 8 2	27,247 8 4	150 21 - 10			
Deduct Increase	420 6 5				150 21 - 10	Deduct Decrease		
Net Decrease	13,713 15 6				12,226 7 6	Net Increase		

Fort St. George

1st September 1842.

Charges after deductions of purchase of Salt and Tobacco manufacture and Grand Total (Continued)

Sch

	Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250
	134		135		136	137	138	139
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	16,404	5 3	15,176	7 1	-	- -	1,22,812	3,39,256 13 3	2,81,603 14 5
2	29,298	8 1	39,099	10 -	9,801	1 10	- - -	2,86,207 11 9	2,99,204 1 2
3	59,385	- -	47,978	4 7	-	- -	11,406	11 5 2,78,461 2 7	2,69,461 15 6
4	45,847	13 6	44,469	12 10	-	- -	1,378	- 8 3,93,166 10 1	3,72,497 5 6
5	33,892	14 5	34,600	13 6	707	15 1	- - -	4,26,062 13 11	3,78,163 10 -
6	23,055	14 2	30,056	3 5	7,000	5 3	- - -	4,97,262 14 5	4,85,177 8 11
7	30,194	12 7	29,981	7 7	-	- -	213	5 - 3,97,238 - 2	3,08,367 12 10
8	46,756	13 8	49,295	1 11	2,538	4 3	- - -	5,48,856 5 -	5,77,783 13 2
9	59,609	5 -	67,711	3 3	8,101	14 3	- - -	5,25,359 3 1	4,65,021 2 8
10	24,681	5 9	24,308	4 3	-	- -	373	1 6 3,03,656 10 5	3,34,024 9 -
11	67,421	1 11	52,076	8 5	-	- -	15,344	9 6 4,83,632 11 4	4,09,678 4 2
12	66,960	11 2	61,689	5 7	-	- -	5,271	6 7 9,18,701 5 -	9,07,786 12 9
13	10,227	1 11	10,370	5 8	143	9 -	- - -	1,73,203 1 6	1,69,347 13 7
14	40,177	6 9	36,604	9 9	-	- -	3,572	13 - 5,73,314 6 11	5,96,090 15 9
15	27,805	4 4	27,327	2 1	-	- -	478	2 3 8,28,157 4 8	8,50,919 8 10
16	18,033	1 11	17,378	7 10	-	- -	654	10 1 4,43,027 6 9	4,69,505 1 6
17	14,679	5 9	13,885	4 10	-	- -	794	- 11 3,02,826 13 11	3,10,057 5 11
18	20,622	8 10 10	18,844	5 7	-	- -	1,778	3 10 9,52,392 4 2	9,50,917 - 4
19	15,730	7 - 3	14,739	5 11	-	- -	991	1 5 4 12,20,360 8 9	11,81,757 - 11
20	37,779	2 4 2	33,659	5 8 5	-	- -	4,119	5 11 9 8,19,311 5 9	8,32,601 10 3
	13,55,759	- 5	12,74,245	10 6	28,292	12 6	10,96,625	10,61,357 11 8	104,52,977 4

Deduct Increase 28,292 12 6

Net Decrease 81,313 5 11

Revenue

	Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase	Decrease	Percentage of Charges on the Gross Revenue before deductions.		Percentage of Charges on the Gross Revenue after deductions.	
	140		141				142		143	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p			Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	-	- -	58,252	14 10	-	- -	8 7 1		8 4 4	
2	12,996	5 5	-	- -	-	- -	11 8 2		15 6 5	
3	-	- -	8,939	2 11	-	- -	18 11 6		20 2 3	
4	-	- -	21,164	4 7	-	- -	12 - 8		12 3 -	
5	-	- -	47,899	3 11	-	- -	13 1 3		14 2 1	
6	-	- -	9,085	5 9	-	- -	12 8 2		14 - -	
7	11,137	12 8	-	- -	-	- -	9 3 6		8 13 9	
8	28,927	8 2	-	- -	-	- -	12 10 10		9 11 11	
9	-	- -	60,338	- 5	-	- -	16 2 6		23 2 8	
10	30,367	14 7	-	- -	-	- -	7 15 9		7 7 3	
11	-	- -	73,954	7 2	-	- -	17 14 6		13 1 -	
12	-	- -	10,914	8 3	-	- -	10 9 10		9 14 2	
13	-	- -	3855	3 11	-	- -	5 9 2		5 12 3	
14	22,776	8 10	-	- -	-	- -	9 7 9		7 15 -	
15	22,768	4 2	-	- -	-	- -	3 3 11		3 1 9	
16	26,477	8 9	-	- -	-	- -	3 14 7		3 9 1	
17	7,230	8 -	-	- -	-	- -	4 9 11		4 4 6	
18	28,524	12 2	-	- -	-	- -	25 2 7		25 7 7	
19	-	- -	38,573	7 10	-	- -	23 13 4		24 3 3	
20	17,290	4 6	-	- -	-	- -	32 7 1		29 14 6	
	2,08,497	7 3	3,22,976	11 7			15 3 9		15 10 5	

Deduct Increase 2,08,497 7 3

Net Decrease 1,24,479 4 4

	144		145	
	Rs.	a p	Rs.	a p
1	4	9 9	5	1 11
2	9	4 6	11	8 11
3	17	9 3	15	1 9
4	10	6 10	10	10 7
5	7	5 10	8	6 1
6	4	6 10	5	12 9
7	9	3 6	8	13 9
8	7	13 7	7	13 9
9	10	3 -	12	11 4
10	7	8 3	6	12 6
11	12	3 9	11	4 5
12	6	12 8	6	5 9
13	5	9 2	5	12 3
14	6	8 9	5	12 6
15	3	3 11	3	1 9
16	3	14 7	3	9 1
17	4	9 11	4	4 6
18	17	12 9	16	1 10
19	11	6 8	11	1 5
20	31	8 11	28	11 -
	11	5 3	10	13 4

9774

Fort St. George 1st September 1842

B. Memorandum shewing the differences between the Collections and Charges under the different Heads entered in the Printed Statement of Extra Revenue, submitted with the Report of the Collectors of Cuddapah and Tanjore for fusly 1249 and the Corresponding entries made in the Printed Statements received for fus. Ly 1250.

Districts	Fus. Ly		Sea Customs	Abkary	Sundry small Farms and Licenses	Molunghs
			Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.
Cuddapah	1249	Gross Collections. Amount for fusly 1249 as per printed Statement received with the report for that Fus. Ly	2031 5 7	99269 7 6	1647 8 4	6 14 2102 4 8
		Amount for fus. Ly 1249 as per Printed Statement received with the report of fus. Ly 1250	13317 8 5	93476 5 7	1639 0 12	8 13524 9 12 5
		× Difference	9973 2	6793 2 4	7 7 10	6852 8 3
Cuddapah	1249	Charges. Amount for fusly 1249 as per Printed Statement received with the report for that fus. Ly.	5957 0 13 6			
		Amount for fusly 1249 as per Printed Statement received with the Report for Fusly 1250.	16527 13 7			
		※ Difference	43042 15 11			
Tanjore	1249	Amount for fus. Ly 1249 as per Printed Statement received with the report for that fus. Ly	25951 10 8	06314 7		
		Amount for fus. Ly 1249 as per Printed Statement received with the report of fus. Ly 1250.	36540 5 8	8172 9 5		
		※ Difference	10589 4 10	108 11 10		

× These differences being the revenue of the Bungamafully Jaghier, were distinguished from the General revenue of Cuddapah District, as per order of Government Dated the 30th March 1841.

※ This Difference is the Amount refunded to the Jaghierdar of Bungamafully on account of Sayer Revenue, as per Sub Collectors letter dated 16th November 1841, which has accumulated from fus. Ly 1243 to 1248 at 7000 Rs. per Annum, as per order of Government dated 26th Septr 1839, and the Amount of Rupees 1042-15-11 is the Actual charges incurred in the Collection for fus. Ly 1249.

× These Differences Mr. Flinders Ly, the Principal Collector stated in his letter dated 16th November 1841, to be the sums actually disbursed in fus. Ly 1249 as drawback in the Lands and Sea Customs, and Refunds in account of Moturpha, inadvertently omitted to be inserted in the account of that fus. Ly, which was submitted by Sir W. Montgomery, the then acting Principal Collector of Tanjore.

9775 Fort St. George 1st September 1842

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to

Sir, Government.

To the Jt. Chief Secy to Govt. 3

(54)

In conformity to the orders of Government conveyed in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 18th October 1836, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit, for transmission to the Honorable Court of Directors, the Proceedings of their Sett of their Proceedings for the Month of February 1842.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 1st September 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

To the Collector in the Gullah.

Sir,

of Ganjam.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you that the Summabundy Report of your District for Fusly 1250, which ought to have accompanied this Office within 3 Months after the expiration of the Fusly, has not yet

To the Collr of Ganjam

(55)

Circular 25th Jany 1840

9776 Fort St. George 1st September 1842

yet been received, and to request that it may be transmitted as speedily as practicable.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To the Acting Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir

The Report for Fusly 1250

To the Actg Collr of Masulipatam

On Govt Lands
Do Minor Estates
Do Estates Under Management

noted in the Margin, not having yet been received from your District, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will expedite their transmission.

(56)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
1st September 1842

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Sir

With reference to the

To the Collr in the Dn of Arcot

letter from this Office dated 23d May last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to intimate to you that they are anxious to hear from you as

(57)

9777 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

as speedily as possible, regarding the plan for the future management of the Pagoda Government having called for a report on the subject.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 1st September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

To the Coll. in the Sth Divn of Arcot } 58

With reference to Para 9 of the letter from this Office dated 2nd June last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will report, as soon as possible, the arrangements you may have made for the management of the Pagodas therein referred to, Government having called upon the Board to expedite their report on the subject of the Religious Institutions of the Malabar in your District.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 1st September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To

The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam.

Sir,

59

To the Actg Collr of Vizagapatam the same to Masulipatam Nellore Salem North Arcot South Arcot Tanjore Trichinopoly Tinnevelly & Malabar.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to draw your attention to a letter from this Office dated 28th April last, relative to lands held under Government Grants, and to request

9778 Fort St. George 1st September 1842.

request that the information therein called for, may be furnished with the least practicable delay.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George 1st September 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Collector in the Sth Divn of Arcot Return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed for the Month of July last. 60

From the Collector in the Nth Divn of Arcot Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation from 1st to 11th July last. 61

From the Collector in the Nth Divn of Arcot Statement of Salt smuggled or illicitly conveyed from 12th to 31st July last. 62

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation, for the Month of July last. 63

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah Statement shewing the levy of additional duty on certain Articles for the Month of July last. 64

From the Collr of Tinnevelly Statement of Smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation, for the Month of July last. 65

Order on the five above Statements Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the Smuggled Goods, as well as the levy of Extra duty, enumerated in the abovementioned Statements, be Authorized. 61-66

From the Sub Collector in charge of Bellary Requesting that sanction may be forwarded for the Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of March last. 67

From the Collector of Rajahmundry Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public Servants, from 1st April to 30th June last. 68

From the Collector of Rajahmundry Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from 69

Fort St. George 1st. September 1842.

from 1st. April to 30th. June last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Return of public servants suspended or dismissed from employment from 1st. April to 30th. June last.

70

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Reporting that the Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in the Jail for the Quarter ending 30th. June last, is not sent, there being no entry to make.

71

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Reporting that the Return of Village Servants suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII. of 1831, for the Month of July last, is not sent, there being no entry to make.

72

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Acknowledging receipt of 2,000 Salt Rowannahs.

73

J. Prinsep

A. Maclean

Fort St. George 5th. September 1842.

No. Diary

Monday 5th. September 1842

At a Meeting Present -

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member

G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Acting Third Member

and

A. Mellor Esqre. Acting Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2nd. September 1842.

Read again the following Papers recorded in Consultation 30th. Augt. 1842.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 30th. June No. 274 -

X X X

Read also the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue -

From the Secretary to Government

1

Submitting a correspondence with the Collector of Rajahmundry and recommending that sanction may be granted for the disbursement of Rs. Tuccavie in that District in the current Fusly to an amount not exceeding the actual disbursement in Fusly 1251 Vizt. Rs. 63,666 -

Para 1.

Here enter dated 11th. August 1842 No. 347

Under the peculiar circumstances brought

brought to notice by the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore in the Statement received with the letter of the Board of Revenue dated 30th June 1842 No. 274, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion that the sudden discontinuance of the grant of Tuccavy is likely to occasion great individual loss and suffering - He is however not disposed to sanction so large an amount as a loan of Rupees as has been requested by the Acting Principal Collector for disbursement in fusly 1252, but considers that the amount of the average disbursement on this account during the last five years, Viz.th Rupees /14,596/ Fourteen thousand eight hundred and ninety four will prove sufficient -

7. His Lordship in Council also authorizes the disbursement of Tuccavy for fusly 1251 in the Rajahmundry District to the

the extent of Rupees /63,666/ Sixty three thousand, six hundred and sixty six, being equal to the actual charges incurred on this account in the preceding year -

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ Walter Elliot

Acting Secretary to Govt.

To.

The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Order thereon - Ordered that copy of Para 1 of the foregoing order of Government as well as of the letter to Government from this Office dated 30th June 1842 be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, on the subject of the Amount of Tuccavy required in his District for Fusly 1252, and that copy of the 2nd Para and of the Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated 11th Ultimo No. 347 be transmitted to the

1-2

From the Actg Pl. Collector 16th in Cons. 23d June 1842

From Do. 22d in Cons. 28th July

Collector

9783 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

To Coll. 11th July 1842
From Do. 26th July in Cons. 11th Augt

Collector of Rajahmundry with reference to the correspondence specified in the margin for their information and guidance respectively.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th August 1842.

(From Board 15th August)

From the Acting Secretary to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the Pension of Appoo Row late Head Shristadar of Coimbatore being paid from the Treasury of the Principal Collector of Salem commencing from the 6th January last.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Acting Sec. to Govt.

3

Order thereon.

4

Ordered that copy of the foregoing resolution of Government be sent to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore with reference to the letter from this Office dated August 15th 1842.

Ordered

9784 Fort St. George 6th September 1842.

3-5

Further Order thereon.

From Govt. 2nd in Cons. 15th April 1842
To Do. 15th Do.

Ordered that copy of the above resolution of Government and of the correspondence noted in the margin be furnished to the Principal Collector of Salem, for his information; and that he be directed to pay the pension conferred on Appoo Row from his Treasury, from the 6th January 1842.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th August 1842.

(From Board 15th August)

From the Actg. Sec. to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Register of Government Grants to issue a fresh lease for the land on the Neilgherries purchased by Mr. Charles Rounds from Mr. Burns.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg. Sec. to Govt.

6

Resolution thereon.

6-7

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government be communicated to the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants for his information

9785 | Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 31st Ultimo No. 59

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st September 1842.

(From Board 8th August)

From the Actg Secy to Government - The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue as reported in the foregoing letter and correspondence accompanying, and resolves to communicate the following Endorsement on Petitions Nos. 663, 776 and 777 to the Petitioners Esorkapully Venkiah and Mahomadoory Goorooswamy -

8

The subjects of complaint brought forward in these Petitions having already come under the investigation of the Board of Revenue, Government see no necessity for further interference -

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt.

8-9

Order thereon - Ordered to be recorded -

Read

9786 | Fort St. George 5th September 1842

Read the following letter

To

The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Accountant General } I have the honor to forward to you a set of the Revenue Accounts of this Presidency for July 1842 prepared in conformity to the directions contained in the Honorable Courts Dispatch under date the 22nd May 1818 -

10

Fort St. George
Acct. Genl's Office
30th August 1842

(Signed) John Orr
Accountant General

Statement b-

9787.

(2)

5th September 1842.

On account of the Jummah of Fasly 1250 or from 4

Collection

Under Annual Settlement.

	Ryotwar	Rents	Almany or On of the Produce	Total	Sholum Jodeeg &ca	Total Land Revenue
Canara	224444 " 6	136447 11 "	16 " "	360907 11 6	10679 8 1	595549 8 7
Bijapoor	156530 8 3	3742 " "	" " "	160272 8 3	23144 1 9	1066559 5 6
Rajahmundry	915114 14 10	273583 13 "	18024 1 3	383122 13 1	16332 14 4	1168029 11 "
Pittapoor	" " "	" " "	3524 14 5	3524 14 5	" " "	455458 1 5
Vizagapatam	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	754449 11
Guntoor	1114938 4 5	" " "	4431 15 3	1119370 3 8	39979 15 7	1593503 3
Nellore	1270421 10 2	" " "	" " "	1270421 10 2	60376 8 3	1680585 4 "
Cudapah	738810 4 10	2416 14 5	" " "	741227 6 3	68435 11 10	938683 13 5
Chingleput	" " "	47404 6 3	4064 10 3	51469 " 6	104 10 2	57573 10 8
Chittoor	1610546 4 5	5286 12 2	" " "	1615826 13 8	24872 11 6	2000573 " 3
Arcot	23448 2 2	" " "	" " "	23448 2 2	410 4 6	47891 11 1
Tinnevelly	934167 6 2	990 6 9	57293 6 1	992451 3 "	13626 15 11	2077814 6
Madura	17648 13 6	" " "	" " "	17648 13 6	3212 9 4	45673 4 4
Coimbatore	178123 4 8	" " "	" " "	2178123 4 8	" " "	2178128 4 8
Bellary	1772987 5 3	" " "	" " "	1772987 5 3	119420 8 9	1946407 4 "
Cuddapah	55176 15 10	" " "	" " "	1255176 15 10	20395 5 10	1718761 10 6
Salem	13004 5 7	" " "	" " "	13004 5 7	" " "	13004 5 7
Trichinopoly	983285 12 10	" " "	" " "	1983285 12 10	957 " "	9201225 6 5
Coimbatore	2035623 1	2188025 " 3	21887 12 4	3215474 15 8	105824 14 11	3352831 12
Salem	35493 13 3	99992 3 5	661 " "	236147 " 8	6941 4 5	2430885 1
Tanjore	280965 11	23214 10 6	9894 4	1431410 4 9	16670 13 6	1448031 2 3
Trichinopoly	559270 10 8	" " "	" " "	559270 10 8	3807 7	1164193 9 10
Madura	459004 4 5	" " "	" " "	459004 4 5	963 10 2	573648 12 2
Madura	" " "	1298402 4 10	2087 12 1	1300490 " 11	213459 6 2	1825248 14 11
Tinnevelly	610661 8 6	1218 10 8	" " "	1611880 3 2	" " "	4633677 1
Madura	813409 3 10	" " "	" " "	813409 3 10	" " "	1813511 2 7
Canara	3965403 1	4059834 13 4	113752 4 "	24570127 4 5	746433 10 4	34895689 12
Salem						

9788.

Fred G. Geary

1st July 1840 to 11th July 1841 and ensuing years &c.

5th September 1842.—

Collections from that date to the 31st October 1841 with the effect

Permanently Settled	Rents for more than one year	Balances	Under Annual Rents	Balance Settlement Amount of the on Produce	Total	Sheristum Fodgee &c.	Total Land Revenue
29,035 3 4	44 5 5	46,125 2 1	47,186 3 1	2 10 6	93314 " 2	182 13 8	384576 6 7
164,647 4 6	" " "	3228 12 2	1011 "	" " "	3332 12 2	2441 12 4	170381 13 "
491,210 " 8	" " "	13432 12 11	63576 8 1	1010 7 5	77949 12 11	4099 10 2	573259 7 9
483086 5 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	1800 5 4	1800 5 4	" " "	484886 10 5
471,013 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	471,013 13 8
" " "	" " "	2434 1 9	" " "	" " "	2434 1 9	7820 13 3	10254 15 "
101,011 7 8	" " "	98303 1 9	" " "	" " "	98303 1 9	21277 " 2	220591 9 7
16739 4 8	771 5 7	101948 3 9	3162 7 "	" " "	105010 11 8	5731 7 9	128252 13 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3089 3 "	105576 10 2	105 5 10	10682 " 4
73026 8 "	375 11 "	61573 9 4	2093 3 3	" " "	63686 12 7	10697 15 4	138156 14 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	155 15 7	84 13 8	240 13 3
70 " "	1028 7 6	232818 " 11	352 2 "	27480 13 11	160657 1 2	10148 11 7	262784 3
3186 3 4	226 13 3	1278 11 4	" " "	" " "	1278 11 4	446 12 8	5138 8 7
" " "	" " "	18935 3 4	" " "	" " "	18935 3 4	" " "	18935 3 4
15198 7 1	" " "	13719 14 2	" " "	" " "	13719 14 2	32168 2 9	61086 8 "
25299 12 5	" " "	8546 6 10	" " "	" " "	8546 6 10	" " "	33846 3 3
" " "	" " "	5 1 4	" " "	" " "	5 1 4	" " "	5 1 4
" " "	" " "	14070 13 5	" " "	" " "	14070 13 5	" " "	14070 13 5
12 7 8	" " "	2086 1 4	25330 7 11	48470 9 7	32847 2 6	773 15 8	33633 9 10
" " "	" " "	402 " 2	1864 " "	15 4 "	2281 12 6	" " "	2281 12 6
" " "	" " "	17936 1 10	164 3 "	345 2 8	18445 12 8	2394 8 3	20846 4 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3311 14 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3311 14 10
7438 8 2	" " "	" " "	1834 12 11	19683 12 9	203812 8 3	3512 4 6	214763 4 11
5700 " 11	1871 15 "	9329 2 2	63 5 "	" " "	8892 13 6	" " "	15764 13 5
" " "	" " "	19041 15 "	" " "	" " "	19041 15 "	" " "	19041 15 "
2157247 6 "	4318 9 9	561391 9 2	33603 " 9	58298 5 2	1057093 8 1	8315-6 3 7	3297815 11 5

9789:

First Gt. George 5th September 1842.-

| Balance then due | | |

9790

Fish Ck Creek 5th September 1842

Net Balance Remaining Unsettled at the Expiration of July 1849								
Permanently Settled.	Rents for more than one year	Ryotwar	Rents	Settlement Amany or Dry of the produce	Total	Shotrium Godegoo &c	Total Land Revenue
291035 3 4	44 5 5	46125 2 1	47186 3 7	2 10 6	93314 " 2	182 13 8	384576 6 7
164607 4 6	" " "	3328 12 2	104 "	1010 7 5	77949 12 11	4099 10 2	170381 13 "
191210 " 8	" " "	13432 12 11	63556 8	1800 5 4	1800 5 4	" " "	573359 4 9
483086 5 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	484886 10 5
471013 13 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2434 1 9	7826 13 3	471013 13 8
" " "	" " "	2434 1 9	" " "	" " "	98303 1 9	21277 " 2	10254 5 "
101011 7 8	" " "	98303 1 9	" " "	" " "	105010 11 8	5731 7 9	220591 9 7
16739 4 8	771 5 7	101848 13 9	3162 7 11	3089 3 "	10483 10 3	105 5 10	128252 13 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	63686 12 7	1064 15 4	10589 " 1
73026 8 "	375 11 "	61593 9 4	2035 3 "	" " "	155 15 7	84 13 8	138156 14 11
" " "	" " "	155 15 7	" " "	" " "	24480 13 11	240651 1 2	1048 11 7	240 13 3
30 " "	1028 7 6	232818 " 11	332 2	" " "	1278 11 4	446 12 8	162798 4 3
31886 3 4	226 13 3	1278 11 4	" " "	" " "	18935 3 4	" " "	5138 8 7
" " "	" " "	18935 3 4	" " "	" " "	13719 14 2	32168 2 9	18935 3 4
15198 7 1	" " "	13719 14 2	" " "	" " "	8546 6 10	" " "	61086 8 "
25299 12 5	" " "	8546 6 10	" " "	" " "	5 1 4	" " "	33846 3 3
" " "	" " "	5 1 4	" " "	" " "	14070 13 5	" " "	5 1 4
" " "	" " "	14070 13 5	" " "	" " "	32847 2 6	773 15 8	14070 13 5
12 7 8	" " "	2281 12 6	2553 9 7	4870 9 7	2281 12 6	" " "	13633 9 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	1264 8	15 4 "	18445 12 8	2394 8 3	2281 12 6
" " "	" " "	402 " 2	161 8	345 2 8	" " "	" " "	20840 4 11
" " "	" " "	17936 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3311 14 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3311 14 10
7438 8 2	" " "	" " "	134128 11	19683 12 9	203812 8 3	3512 4 6	214763 4 11
5000 " 11	1871 15 "	" " "	63 5	" " "	8069 14 1	" " "	14941 14 "
" " "	" " "	8016 3 7	"	" " "	19041 15 "	" " "	19041 15 "
215247 5 "	4312 7 7	653968 9 9	335911 "	58298 5 2	1058177 8 9	83156 3 7	3296897 12 1

9791-

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

Permanently Settled	Rents for more than one year	Ryotwar	Deduct Collection from 12½ p.c. Paiks Under Animal Rents	to 31st October 1841. Settlement. Amany or Dn. of the Province	Total	Shotrium Jodegee &ca	Total Land Revenue
147633 1 5	44 5 5	2402 " 2	10213 1 4	" " "	12615 9 6	123 1 9	140616 10 1
132232 10 6	" " "	424 2 8	13 " 5	" " "	358 1 1	330 " 6	133320 12 1
98901 10 7	" " "	677 15 6	3736 12 7	656 " 11	5072 14 "	1030 3 9	105004 12 4
134133 8 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	955 6 "	955 6 "	" " "	135088 14 3
9492 5 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9492 5 6
" " "	" " "	67 10 2	" " "	" " "	67 10 2	45 " 8	112 10 10
78899 13 6	" " "	48731 10 6	" " "	" " "	48731 10 6	7710 2 6	135341 10 6
14166 6 4	771 5 7	6609 12 3	2441 " 7	1117 15 6	89050 13 "	5472 15 "	109461 7 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	1562 1 6	" " "	2682 1 "	70 5 10	2452 6 10
66026 8 "	357 11 "	5277 10 6	1269 4 6	" " "	54048 15 "	668 8 5	121119 10 5
" " "	" " "	135 15 7	" " "	" " "	155 15 7	1 12 7	157 12 2
" " "	898 7 6	19263 12 3	180 4 5	17851 2 "	190495 3 "	743 3 1	192206 13 7
3186 3 4	222 7 1	1147 7 "	" " "	" " "	1147 7 "	446 12 8	5002 14 "
" " "	" " "	14419 9 10	" " "	" " "	14419 9 10	" " "	14419 9 10
15198 7 1	" " "	11349 10 1	" " "	" " "	13349 10 1	28779 12 1	55327 13 3
22713 15 9	" " "	3824 9 9	" " "	" " "	3824 9 9	" " "	26528 9 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	6048 10 5	" " "	" " "	6048 10 5	" " "	6048 10 5
" " "	" " "	1255 3 3	11235 13 1	4649 15 8	17765 1 1	739 15 4	18505 " 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 4 "	269 2 6	" " "	269 2 6
" " "	" " "	191 2 10	62 11 "	266 12 8	10041 15 "	194 5 6	10236 4 6
" " "	" " "	9640 2 4	135 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7458 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	8707 7 5	178769 12 9	3420 15 5	189629 4 4
5104 " "	786 7 10	7124 " 4	11102 5 6	" " "	7257 5 8	" " "	13043 13 6
" " "	" " "	14039 10 "	" " "	" " "	14039 10 "	" " "	14039 10 "
435282 10 5	3093 12 5	434348 12 2	21099 8 "	34220 1 2	669567 " 11	49777 3 1	1457726 10 10

9792.

Fort St. George

Balance on the 1st
Under Account

Permanently Settled	Rent for one Khanam year	Ryotwar	Rent	Settlements Amany or Dr. of the produce	Total	Sheistrum Indgr. &c.	Total Land Revenue
143201 9 11	" " "	43723 1 11	36942 10 3				
32374 10 "	" " "	2484 9 6	90 1 7				
392308 6 1	" " "	12752 13 5	57769 12 "				
343952 12 16	" " "	" " "	" " "				
461521 8 2	" " "	" " "	" " "				
" " "	" " "	2366 7 7	" " "				
22111 10 2	" " "	49571 7 3	" " "				
2572 14 4	" " "	15238 7 6	421 4 2				
" " "	" " "	" " "	5820 5 7				
7008 " "	" " "	8813 14 10	823 14 9				
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "				
" " "	136 " "	40357 1 4	171 13 11				
" " "	4 6 2	131 4 4	" " "				
" " "	" " "	4515 9 6	" " "				
" " "	" " "	2370 4 1	" " "				
2595 12 8	" " "	4721 13 1	" " "				
" " "	" " "	5 1 4	" " "				
" " "	" " "	8022 3 "	" " "				
12 7 8	" " "	226 13 2	14634 9				
" " "	" " "	210 13 4	1801 12				
" " "	" " "	2295 15 6	21 "				
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "				
2311 14 10	" " "	" " "	14066 6				
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "				
" " 11	1085 7 3	812 8 5	" " "				
" " "	" " "	5002 5 "	" " "				
141596 11 7	1219 13 4	225617 13 7	131412 6				

Fort St. George Accountant General's Office
30th September 1842.

1st September 1842.

1st November 1841.

Settlements Amany or Dr. of the produce	Total	Sheistrum Indgr. &c.	Total Land Revenue
2 10 6	80698 6 8	59 11 11	223959 12 6
" " "	2574 11 1	2111 11 10	37061 " 11
354 5 6	72876 14 11	3069 6 5	468254 11 5
844 15 4	844 15 4	"	349797 12 2
" " "	" " "	"	461521 8 2
" " "	2366 7 7	7775 12 7	10142 4 2
" " "	49571 7 3	13566 13 8	85249 15 1
" " "	15959 14 8	258 8 9	18791 5 9
1971 3 6	7801 9 3	35 " "	7836 9 3
" " "	9637 10 7	399 6 11	17037 4 6
" " "	" " "	83 1 1	83 1 1
9629 11 11	71155 14 2	305 8 6	70591 6 8
" " "	131 4 4	" " "	135 10 6
" " "	4515 9 6	" " "	4515 9 6
" " "	2370 4 1	3388 6 8	5758 10 9
" " "	4721 13 1	" " "	7317 9 9
" " "	5 1 4	" " "	5 1 4
" " "	8022 3 "	" " "	8022 3 "
220 9 11	15082 1 5	34 " 4	15128 9 5
" " "	2012 10 "	" " "	2012 10 "
78 6 "	8403 13 8	2200 2 9	10604 " 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	3311 14 10
10976 5 4	25042 11 6	91 5 1	25134 " 7
" " "	812 8 5	" " "	1898 " 6
" " "	5002 5 "	" " "	5002 5 "
24078 4 "	388610 7 10	33379 " 6	1839173 1 3

Errors Excepted &c. (Signed) John Orr
Accountant General

Statements

9793

No 2 [illegible]

[illegible] 12th September 1842.

[illegible] Fort St. George within the Fusly 1250 or from 12th July

Gross Balance.

	Capital	Old account previous to Fusly 1247	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Total
[illegible]	12					
		5745 14 10	1,01,312 5 4	37527 7 7	19,810 12 3	1,07,301 3 "	2,65,951 12 2
[illegible]	2,12,4	6190 12 10	9,004 8 3	802 14 6	1,99,936 12 "	23991 5 10	2,33,735 8 7
[illegible]	53,2	6848 3 6	33,2449 7 11	64,9341 10	5,66,365 14 6	3,90,781 13 9	13,54,531 6 "
[illegible]	2,17,1	3048 12 9	10,11,764 12 10	1,93,594 1 8	36,9353 4 10	2,11,597 3 5	17,86,309 6 9
[illegible]	150,1	8255 15 5	31,72187 " 1	6,17,560 10 11	5,12,532 15 6	44,5931 " 7	4,74,82,11,11 1
[illegible]	71,1	1708 7 2	1,57012 3 3	54141 13 9	33,066 13 4	2,11,56 8 3	11,66,506 7
[illegible]	4,4	2,92,912 1	7,58,533 9 6	73403 15 4	53,147 13 4	7,35,76 3 3	9,58,661 9 5
[illegible]	1,14	27,1213 8	9,729 9 4	3151 1 6	4,674 8 4	55,04 2 7	2,30,59 5 9
[illegible]	14,1	3891 12 6	62,094 12 "	5309 6 4	6,226 8 10	7,616 4 4	81,246 15 6
[illegible]	12	5,3216 7 "	45,279 8 1	2380 14 4	5392 " "	114,200 5 6	16,72,52 11 11
[illegible]	1,1	1212 10	3,76 14 11	115 15 6	43 7 4	29 12 1	566 1 10
[illegible]	1,74,2	9013 10	43,848 13 3	448 3 11	52 4	859 14 8	45,162 2 2
[illegible]	11	9497 2	67 10 2	" " "	" 66	" " "	68 " 8
[illegible]	1,77,3	11 4	7,96 15 "	3255 7 "	1104 7 8	87,248 12 4	9,24,05 10 "
[illegible]	1,59,7	412 6	2,604 8 2	1 11 "	236 11 2	3,488 6 11	29,705 12 4
[illegible]	1,15	3 13 9	13,761 13 9	2,166 8 4	2,682 1 5	3,342 1 8	21,952 9 2
[illegible]	1	15 "	" "	" "	" "	11 12 9	13 15 1	25 11 10
[illegible]	6,37	3 2	28,758 13 "	13,377 8 3	7,225 10 2	6,306 3 9	55,068 3 2
[illegible]	1,62	784	18,3211 9 7	13542 8 10	13,224 6 3	13,643 13 9	2,23,622 6 5
[illegible]	20	64 "	2,0384 9 10	2,613 3 7	1,850 9 3	1,993 8 11	26,841 15 7
[illegible]	9	25 12 10	83,13 1 9	3,123 " 10	1,326 11 5	6,967 5 8	19,730 3 8
[illegible]	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
[illegible]	"	" 9,948	7 9 "	" "	" "	" "	2,528 4 3	12,476 12 "
[illegible]	85	13 9	44,9330 5 9	6,043 5 3	11,624 15 10	7,548 6 11	4,74,547 1 9
[illegible]	2	7 6	92 4 4	79 13 7	155 4 1	190 14 10	5 18 4 10
[illegible]	10	12 11	369 14 3	1,498 1 9	1,262 3 10	1,673 11 4	4,80,3 15 2
[illegible]	4,81	8 7	632,233 10	1,10,50,344 15 7	18,31,635 12 "	15,37,491 6 8	10,74,37,05 12 4

9994

Fusly 9[illegible]

1840 to 11th July 1841 and Shewing [illegible] Collns

Debit Remissions

Old account previous to Fusly 1247	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Total
14,483 7 11	" "	" "	" "	14,483 7 11
441 7 "	5 11 8	104 8 "	" "	551 " "
1358 1 9	" "	31,980 14 7	14,106 7 9	47,447 3 4
120,899 " 1	" "	" "	" "	121,051 " 1
" " "	" "	" "	" "	120,899 " 1
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
289 9 6	" "	" "	" "	289 9 6
263 13 7	16 2 2	" "	" "	279 15 9
" " "	62 15 11	6 3 4	28 15 7	97 14 10
" " "	" "	52 15 11	44 15 11	97 11 10
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
796 15 "	3255 7 "	1101 " "	" "	5153 2 "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
10,078 14 4	1823 " 10	2,338 4 11	904 10 7	15,144 9 8
" " "	" "	" "	11 13 9	13 13 9
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
4164 14 5	1760 7 11	321 11 5	" "	6247 13 9
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "
133 5 8	834 9 10	" "	" "	968 15 6
152,909 9 3	11,158 7 4	" "	" "	164,068 " 7

5th September 1842.-

from the latter date to the 31st October 1841 with the Net Balance then due.-

Net Balance standing against the Country at the expiration of Fusly 1250.-

Old account previous to Fusly 1247	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Total
86,828 13 5	37,527 7 7	19,810 12 3	107,301 3 "	251,468 4 3
8,563 1 3	797 2 10	199,832 4 "	23,991 5 10	233,183 13 11
332,449 7 11	64,934 1 10	534,384 15 11	370,675 6 "	1,308,443 15 8
1,010,406 11 1	193,594 1 8	369,353 4 10	211,597 3 5	1,784,951 5 "
305,288 " "	61,756 10 11	512,532 15 6	44,593 1 7	462,731 11 "
57,012 3 3	5,414 13 9	33,066 13 4	21,156 8 3	116,650 6 7
758,533 9 6	73,403 15 4	531,471 13 4	73,576 3 3	958,661 9 5
9,439 15 10	3,134 15 4	4,668 5 "	5,475 8 "	22,718 12 2
61,830 14 5	5,246 6 5	6,173 8 11	7,575 4 5	80,826 2 2
42,798 1	2,380 14 4	5,392 " "	114,200 5 6	167,252 11 11
376 14 11	115 15 6	43 7 4	29 12 1	566 1 10
43,848 13 3	448 3 11	5 2 4	859 14 8	45,162 2 2
67 10 2	" " "	6 6 "	" "	68 " 8
" " "	" " "	2 15 8	87,248 12 4	87,251 12 "
2,604 8 2	1 11 "	23,611 2 3	3,488 6 11	29,705 12 4
13,682 15 5	343 7 6	343 7 6	2,440 7 1	16,810 5 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
28,758 13 "	13,377 8 3	7,225 10 2	6,306 3 6	55,668 3 2
18,321 19 7	13,542 8 10	13,224 6 3	13,643 13 9	223,622 6 5
20,384 9 10	2,013 3 7	1,850 9 3	1,993 8 11	26,841 15 7
4,148 3 4	1,362 8 11	1,005 " "	6,967 5 8	13,483 1 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,948 7 9	" " "	" " "	2,528 4 3	12,476 12 "
449,330 5 9	60,435 3 3	11,624 15 10	7,548 6 11	474,547 1 9
924 4 "	79 13 7	155 4 1	190 14 10	518 4 10
2,368 7	663 7 11	12,623 10	1,673 11 4	3,835 15 8
616,832 4 10	104,258 6 8 3	1,798,717 8 1	1,522,399 10 9	10,532,027 11 11

9795

For 1091 fasli

5th September 1842.

Deduct Collection from 12th July to 31st October 1841 — Balance on the 1st November 1841.

Old account previous to Fusly 1248	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Total.	Deduct previous Fusly	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Total.
20 2 11	50 13 1	15690 2 10	15761 2 10	124 2 8	19759 15 2	91611 " 2	235707 1 5
34 5 1	1727 " "	30 8 11	1791 15 6	9 3 2 5	198145 4 "	23960 11 11	231391 14 5
14 7 6	149 14 3	4776 11 10	4941 1 7	397 3 6 9	5342351 8	371898 10 2	13035021 4 1
6 11 1	1099 12 "	4789 2 2	5895 9 3	120 9 7 4	3682533 8 10	2061808 1 3	17790555 11 9
20 6 "	321 14 1	1878 4 2	1720 1 3	36 11 12 1 3	512241 1 5	444552 12 5	4625592 2 9
18 12 3	153 3 9	397 5 10	569 5 10	62 4 "	329139 7	207592 5	1160810 9
241 7 1	58 1 "	1221 11 4	1521 3 5	80 16 9 6	5308912 4	72354 7 11	957140 6 "
181 11 1	4 4 10	84 11 11	270 10 10	12 9 3 "	4864 " 2	5390 13 1	22448 1 4
110 2 2	198 9 5	347 14 4	658 9 11	16 9 6 5	59774 15 6	7227 6 1	80169 8 3
55 4 4	6 1 1	141 8 "	202 13 5	47 6 3 "	5285 14 11	114058 13 6	1670449 14 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4 9 2 4	43 7 4	29 12 1 "	566 1 10
716 12 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 2 4	8591 4 8 "	44445 5 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	716 12 4	43 8 4 "	" 6 6	" " "	68 " 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 7 4 "	" " "	87079 15 3	87079 15 3
" " "	2 15 8	168 13 1	171 12 9	" " "	2924 7 13 10	33125 "	291666 "
" " "	363 4 5	176 1 11	539 6 4	2 6 6 5	343 7 6	2428 4 7	6798 3 "
" " "	" " "	12 2 6	12 2 6	4 2 6 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7185 10 6	6225 10 11	53668 2 10
1879 7 10	39 15 8	86 8 10	2077 " 4	4 6 2 5 10	13224 6 3	13507 6 4	223102 11 7
383 3 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1850 9 3	1993 8 11	26832 7 1
9 8 6	" " "	1367 5	519 10 11	19 6 7 "	676 9 "	6310 7 4	109 " 10 7
1597 2 "	328 7 "	" " "	9 8 6	22 9 11	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	656 14 4	2582 7 4	39 10 "	" " "	25284 3	12476 12 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11590 3 6	7243 11 6	473603 11 1
603 14 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	49 2 "	155 4 1	190 14 10	518 4 10
" " "	34 12 4	3611 5	9463 8	4 5 5 11	1239 " 3	1432 10 11	3571 11 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 1 "			
" " "	233 7	241 " 5	2644 "	5 " "	1794155 3 11	1491764 13 6	10490937 3 6
5893 7 "	4562 4 "	3634 13 3	14090 " 4	571 2 "			

Errors Excepted /s/ Signed /s/ John Orr
Accountant General Statement

919

No 3

th September 1842.-

George for the Fusly Year 1250, or from 12th July 1840

Collection.-

	exclusive Sales of Spirituous Liquors.-				Sundry Small Farms and Licences				Total of Abkarry and Sundry Small Farms and Licences.-		
	Account of former Years.		Total		Account of the Current Year.-		Account of former years.-		Total		
Ganjam	1885	12 7	22693	14 "	2324	6 8	326	13 3	2651	3 11	25345 1 11
Vizagapatam	12830	9 4	59300	2 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	59300 2 4
Rajamundry	1784	14 "	4586	" 11	5382	15 10	325	12 6	5708	12 4	51576 13 3
Masulipatam	2128	2 "	33892	12 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	33892 12 11
Guntoor	150	8 10	11593	14 3	6961	12 5	752	9 10	7714	6 3	19308 4 6
Nellore	"	" "	1275	" "	2262	2 3	"	" "	2262	2 3	3537 2 3
Cuddapah	477	5 11	19115	15 9	291	4 11	5	3 "	296	7 11	19412 7 8
Chingleput	4323	2 4	70359	12 3	10522	14 4	5967	9 1	16490	7 5	80850 3 8
Madras	"	" "	511035	5 "	44926	6 7	"	" "	44926	6 7	555961 11 7
Chingleput	887	15 8	95034	3 6	9552	5 1	"	" "	9552	5 1	104586 8 7
Madras	"	" "	3300	" "	192	1 "	"	" "	192	1 "	3492 1 "
Chingleput	"	47 1 8	39907	1 9	2609	10 9	287	10 9	2897	5 6	42804 7 3
Do Do	"	12 "	19500	9 4	496	12 11	139	13 8	636	10 7	20137 3 11
Do Do	200354	"	234394	13 8	17312	3 10	509	14 4	17822	2 2	252216 15 10
Bellary	5121	4 "	97884	10 8	16490	13 10	1636	14 2	18127	12 "	116012 6 8
Cuddapah	"	" "	76975	" "	9020	4 10	56	15 8	9077	4 6	86052 4 6
Salem	"	" "	400	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	400 " "
Coimbatore	180	" "	45010	" "	5262	9	49	3 2	5755	11	45585 5 11
Tanjore	3266		58132	6 6	24365	2 10	823	5	24447	6 3	82579 12 9
Trichinopoly	"	" "	8100	" "	1687	3 1	"	" "	1687	3 1	9787 3 1
Madura	"	" "	13372	14 "	2904	" 6	426	6 5	3330	6 11	16703 4 11
Tinnevelly	"	" "	34470	" "	5802	7 1	"	" "	5802	7 1	40272 7 1
Malabar	"	" "	8120	" "	5921	2 1	"	" "	5921	2 1	14041 2 1
Canara	55	" "	21491	12 "	13905	7 1	9135	15 11	23041	7 "	44533 3 "
Malabar	1388	13 "	59910	10 1	69868	10 "	148	8 "	70017	2 "	129927 12 1
Canara	1457	11 7	52171	7 6	9762	14 1	17398	4 8	27161	2 6	79332 10 "
	481771	8 9	1643106	5	263089	4 9	37249	13 7	300339	2 4	1943649 8 9

9797

Fort St George

to 11th July 1841 and shewing also the Collections

the 1st September 1842.-

Balance standing against the County at the expiration of Fasly 1250-

from that date to 31st October 1841 and the Net Balance then outstanding.-

Deduct Collection from 12th July to 31st October 1841.-

Abkarry or exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors.-			Sundry Small Farms and Licences.-			Total of Abkarry and Sundry Small Farms and Licences	Abkarry or exclusive sales of Spirituous Liquors.-			Sundry Small Farms and Licences.-			Total of Abkarry and Sundry Small Farms and Licences
Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total	Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total		Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total	Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total	
5,909 6 7	3,451 15 7	9,440 6 2	834 10 4	1,416 12 10	2,251 7 2	11,691 13 4	1111 14 5	99 14 8	1,211 13 1	184 2 "	6 8 "	190 10 "	1,402 7 1
549 15 -	7,508 8 "	8,058 7 -	" "	" "	" "	8,058 7 -	498 1 8	3,008 8 "	3,506 9 8	" "	" "	" "	3,506 9 8
6,303 - 1	4,623 8 11	9,926 9 -	593 2 8	1,148 - 3	1,741 2 11	11,667 11 11	1195 13 7	287 13 9	1,483 11 4	135 1 4	7 4 "	142 5 4	1,626 " 8
2,279 1 1	4,250 2 2	6,529 3 3	" "	" "	" "	6,529 3 3	1980 9 10	65 13 6	2,046 7 4	" "	" "	" "	2,046 7 4
141 1 7	20 " "	161 1 7	488 10 7	248 8 9	737 3 4	898 4 11	140 5 8	4 " "	144 5 2	405 14 7	11 12 11	417 11 6	562 - 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,547 - 2	" " "	1,547 - 2	" " "	7 5	7 5	1,554 7 7	1,547 - 2	" " "	1,547 - 2	" " "	7 5	7 5	1,547 7 7
2,363 6 1	" " "	2,363 6 1	6,384 15 9	158 5 11	6,543 5 8	8,906 11 8	2,363 6 1	" " "	2,363 6 1	3,079 10 5	50 6 "	3,130 " 5	5,493 6 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4,328 12 2	" " "	4,328 12 2	46 " "	357 14 3	403 14 3	4,732 10 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	46 " "	" " "	46 " "	46 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,454 15 11	" " "	1,454 15 11	476 15 10	2,952 3 3	3,429 2 1	4,884 1 11	1,454 15 11	" " "	1,454 15 11	247 13 "	" " "	247 13 "	1,702 12 11
1,500 2 8	" " "	1,500 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,500 2 8	1,500 2 8	" " "	1,500 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,500 2 8
19,720 14 4	13 13 -	19,734 11 4	1,107 9 2	17 3 8	1,124 12 10	20,859 11 2	19,720 10 4	13 13 -	19,734 7 4	1,085 15 11	" " "	1,085 15 11	20,820 11 8
1,315 6 8	" " "	1,315 6 8	1,160 3 10	" " "	1,160 3 10	2,475 10 6	1,315 6 8	" " "	1,315 6 8	1,141 14 8	" " "	1,141 14 8	2,457 5 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	301 " "	37 9 1	338 9 1	338 9 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	301 " "	" " "	301 " "	301 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
566 " "	437 10 10	1,003 10 10	" " "	53 - 1	53 - 1	1,056 11 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	566 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	566 " "	" " "	566 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	566 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	429 15 10	" " "	429 15 10	429 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	156 2 "	" " "	156 2 "	156 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,677 2 "	" " "	1,677 2 "	894 " 10	505 8 7	1,402 9 5	3,079 11 3	1,677 2 "	" " "	1,677 2 "	478 14 11	" " "	2,942 508 31	2,185 5 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,472 4 "	" " "	1,472 4 "	4,213 " 6	189 6 8	4,402 6 14	5,875 " 2	1,472 4 "	" " "	1,472 4 "	3,753 9 10	550 " "	4,303 9 10	5,775 13 10
254 4 "	726 6 "	975 10 "	2,368 " "	2,400 " "	4,768 " "	5,743 10 "	115 " 7	" " "	115 " 7	2,368 " "	" " "	2,368 " "	3,518 7
2,213 " 1	2,297 13 6	4,510 13 7	4,314 9 4	" " "	4,314 9 4	8,825 " 11	2,213 " 1	" " "	2,213 " 1	389 9 4	" " "	389 9 4	2,602 9 5
52,674 12 5	23,324 14 "	75,999 10 5	17,601 14 1	3,679 4 11	21,280 18 "	97,280 8 5	38,871 13 2	3,479 14 11	42,351 12 1	11,642 11 5	655 7 6	12,298 " 11	54,649 15 "

Forfeitures 5th September 1842.

Abstract of Sundry Small Licences and Spirit Licences. Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total	Balance on the 1st of November 1841 Sundry Small Farms and Licences Account of the Current Year.	Account of former Years.	Total of Abstract and Sundry Small Farms and Licences
4,876 8 2	3,352 " 11	8,228 9 1	650 8 4	14 10 4	2050 13 2 — 10289 6 3
51 13 4	4500 " "	4551 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " " — 4551 13 4
4707 2 6	4335 11 2	9042 13 8	1378 13 7	" " "	10041 11 3
298 7 3	4,184 4 8	4482 11 11	458 1 4	1140 12 "	" " " — 4482 11 11
" 12 5	16 " "	16 12 5	" " "	" " "	3197 10 — 336 4 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	82 12 "	236 11 "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3413 5 3 — 3413 5 3
4,328 12 2	" " "	" " "	3,305 5 4	107 15 "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	4,328 12 2	" " "	" " "	357 14 3 — 4686 10 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	357 14 "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	229 2 10	295 5 "	524 8 1 — 524 8 1
" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	141 1 "	141 1 7 — 141 1 7
" " "	" " "	" 4 "	" " "	141 1 "	38 8 6 — 38 12 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	21 9 3	18 15 "	18 5 2 — 18 5 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	18 2 5	" " "	37 9 1 — 37 9 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	37 9 "	" " " — " " "
" " "	437 10 10	437 10 10	" " "	" " "	53 " 1 — 490 10 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	53 " "	273 13 10 — 273 13 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	273 13 10	" " "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	894 6 4 — 894 6 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	415 1 11	479 4 "	" " " — " " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1806 5 4 — 1806 5 4
139 3 5	721 6 "	860 9 5	459 6 8	1346 4 "	2450 " " — 3260 9 5
" " "	2297 13 6	2297 13 6	" " "	2140 " "	45 " " — 2342 13 6
13,802 15 3	19844 15 1	33647 14 4	5,959 2 8	8023 1 "	13983 " 1 — 47630 14 5

Errors Excepted (Signed) John Orr Deputy Gen^l
Lieutenant

First Deputy George Accountant General's Office

9799

No 4. Statement

5th September 1842.

Fort St George for the Fasly year 1250 or from 12th July 1840

Balance then outstanding —

	Deduct Collection from 12th July to 31st October 1841 — Account of the current year —	Account of former years —	Total —	Balance on the 1st November 1841 — Account of the current year —	Account of former years —	Total —
	100 28	" " "	100 28	2035 10 2	56924 6	77271 4 8
Ganjam	13 13 9	18 3 9	32 1 6	238 10 5	182 10 3	421 4 8
Vizagapatam	2773 1 4	107 4 "	2880 5 4	3462 1 1	7690 3 5	11152 4 6
Rajahmundry	660 7 10	12 " 8	672 8 6	153 9 10	75 5 5	228 15 3
Masulipatam	6079 14 5	94 3 1	6174 1 6	1236 9 3	562 5 1	1798 14 4
	" " "	" " "	" " "	33 6 "	12 10 "	46 " "
Guntur	359 3 5	3 13 "	363 " 5	1127 5 4	4206 12 9	5334 2 1
Nellore	3027 15 8	" " "	3027 15 8	418 7 5	454 8 8	873 " 1
Chingleput	188 4 7	" " "	188 4 7	" 11 8	82 13 3	83 8 11
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
No 2 Salt Agt	3801 2 6	" " "	3801 2 6	383 " 6	5 9 8	388 10 2
	161 5 9	" " "	161 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
No 2 Salt Agt	2095 9 7	66 8 7	2162 2 2	278 4 2	236 2 8	514 6 10
Salt Agt	2722 9 8	29 9 11	2752 3 7	635 5 1	1005 10 "	1640 15 1
Cuddalore	46 2 6	5 " "	51 2 6	90 " 3	27 12 3	117 12 6
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Salem	505 15 7	" " "	505 15 7	664 " 4	1669 5 5	2333 5 9
Coimbatore	10 1 10	" " "	10 1 10	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tanjore	118 13 4	" " "	118 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Trichinopoly	13067 " 11	1 5 1	13068 6 "	1144 12 8	21861 14 10	23006 11 6
Tinnevelly	803 13 5	" " "	803 13 5	121 1 5	195 1 8	316 3 1
Malabar	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Canara	36535 10 9	338 " 1	36873 10 10	12022 15 7	43961 1 10	55984 1 5

(Signed) John Orr Accountant General

Statement

Statement No. 12

5th September 1842.

Statement of the Gross Collections under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Year

Collections	Salt Revenue as per Statement No. 10	Tobacco Monopoly as per Statement No. 11	Stamps as per Statement No. 12	Total Gross Revenue Collected within the Year
Ganjam	239319 6 3	" " "	8570 7 11	1207982 1 5
Vizagapatam	153268 4 8	" " "	27149 10 1	1499346 7 7
Rajahmundry	126622 5 3	" " "	21801 5 7	1802786 6 "
Masulipatam	156406 5 7	" " "	22115 7 5	1072116 " 10
Guntoor	293598 8 3	" " "	13717 8 8	1272431 11 3
Nellore	" " "	" " "	" " "	180628 14 10
Cuddapah	432275 13 2	" " "	14160 2 "	2487836 4 7
Bellary	397778 11 1	" " "	14800 9 4	1812667 " 11
Kurnool	180436 7 "	" " "	5874 11 3	1255879 1 1
Chittoor	" " "	" " "	2548 9 8	379165 3 7
Arcot	36755 2 11	" " "	27784 4 7	2536473 12 5
Salem	" " "	" " "	863 9 8	67237 7 8
Coimbatore	132907 3 "	" " "	16677 13 11	2532908 8 4
Dindigul	18864 15 5	" " "	" " "	132442 " "
Madura	" " "	" " "	25036 9 2	3179130 12 9
Tinnevelly	" " "	" " "	23478 6 6	2574827 9 8
Malabar	" " "	" " "	16587 13 8	2083684 1 9
South Canara	" " "	" " "	" " "	13743 5 10
North Canara	" " "	196203 12 1	17364 2 5	2562567 " 10
Madras	314506 11 8	" " "	53172 5 4	4258514 7 "
Chingleput	" " "	" " "	" " "	771 8 "
Tanjore	79054 1 "	" " "	" " "	4153333 10 3
Trichinopoly	" " "	" " "	21775 6 "	1641303 14 6
Madura	" " "	" " "	20265 13 8	1789883 13 5
Tinnevelly	277143 14 1	" " "	2566 12 6	671686 6 9
Malabar	" " "	" " "	22661 8 5	2695754 14 11
South Canara	253541 12 3	" " "	50917 9 7	3206220 3 7
North Canara	349979 8 4	525852 9 7	34738 5 6	3155798 11 9
Madras	314019 8 8	303719 7 4		
	3778478 10 7	1025775 13 "	463729 " 9	46279120 9 6

	3778478 10 7	1025775 13 "	463729 " 9	46279120 9 6

9801.

Fustly year 1250.—

Geo. IV.

5th September 1842.

Charges Collection of Land Revenue as per Statement № 6.	Charges Collection of Abkaree & Customs Farms & Licences as per Statement № 7	Charges Collection of Stamps as per Statement № 8.	Charges Collection of Land & Sea Customs as per Statement № 9.	Gross Charges Collection as per Statement № 10.
102939 7 10	" " "	" " "	7851 9 1.	217342 5 9.
155718 3 4.	" " "	144 " "	24199 13 5.	204940 14 8.
271177 13 3.	" " "	12297 6 3.	22303 3 "	338313 9 10
87143 2 6.	9 9 7.	7972 12 1.	23789 4 8.	143755 12 4
87540 8 10.	2244 6 9.	5224 6 8.	12422 12 11	146909 3 3.
19846 8 4	331 6 10.	" " "	1273 14 3.	21120 6 7.
296153 10 1.	" " "	155 6 2	24265 13 1.	438009 15 9.
258950 " 6.	472 7 10.	" " "	5497 " 5	349538 14 10
69385 6 2.	47 9 7.	" " "	23237 14 "	460648 8 4
" " "	93697 12 11.	" " "	13721 10 1.	137211 10 1.
422943 8 7.	" " "	" " "	19351 4 9.	446623 14 11.
3503 7 9.	857 15 8.	" " "	1055 6 8.	5158 14 5.
530505 9 7.	" " "	" " "	23983 13 9.	578187 1 1.
6877 3 10.	" " "	" " "	7543 3 4.	170064 4 10.
433723 1 8.	" " "	" " "	31285 5 7.	464958 7 3.
324920 1 8.	13 11 3 1.	818 5 8	22157 12 1.	349707 6 6.
26899 7 2.	70 1 "	19545 12 1.	18315 2 5.	295830 6 8.
489 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	489 " "
284631 3 11.	265 15 3.	457 7 10.	9655 13 1.	437821 4 6.
557762 12 7.	421 7 "	1306 5 11.	32367 10 3.	638529 13 5.
25583 10 7.	88 9 2.	23 6 "	5341 13 11.	40199 15 10.
248498 13 1.	" " "	" " "	8561 3 3.	257060 " 4.
540399 14 7.	251. 5 5.	" " "	24934 9 1.	594410 8 9.
60340 2 3.	86 2 8.	" " "	5915 11 13.	66342 " 9.
247965 3 11.	" " "	2 7 10	2229 6 11.	492597 13 2.
554367 1 8.	13770 4 11.	388 9 "	9448 15 6.	925553 15 5.
405260 15 6.	7863 " 9.	" " "	112828 7 3.	336701 2 4.
6569096 7 8.	119324 6 5.	37291 5 6.	937958 12 9.	8805027 7 5.
282428 7 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	312083 9 8
6857524 15 6.	119324 6 5.	37291 5 6.	937958 12 9.	9117111 1 1.

Charges—

Charges Collection of Salt Monopoly as per Statement № 10.	Charges Collection of Tobacco Monopoly as per Statement № 11.	Charges Collection of Opium Monopoly as per Statement № 12.	Charges Collection of the Peninsula Government as per Statement № 13.	Total Charges disbursed by the Bengal Government as per Statement fustly year 1250.
16551 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	217342 5 9.
24377 8 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "	204940 14 8.
31586 9 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	338313 9 10
23651 2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	143755 12 4
43390 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	146909 3 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	21120 6 7.
120962 13 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	438009 15 9.
73014 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	349538 14 10
34692 7 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	460648 8 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	137211 10 1.
3441 1 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "	446623 14 11.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5158 14 5.
23697 9 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	578187 1 1.
28333 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	170064 4 10.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	464958 7 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	349707 6 6.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	295830 6 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	489 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	437821 4 6.
" " "	142810 12 5.	" " "	" " "	638529 13 5.
44071 9 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	40199 15 10.
9462 8 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	257060 " 4.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	594410 8 9.
28224 11 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	66342 " 9.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	492597 13 2.
20700 10 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	925553 15 5.
12468 3 9.	143570 12 7.	" " "	" " "	336701 2 4.
9602 12 3.	129550 14 7.	" " "	" " "	8805027 7 5.
714823 15 6.	406532 7 7.	29655 1 10	" " "	312083 9 8
714823 15 6.	406532 7 7.	29655 1 10	9117111 1 1.	

9802.

Statement

September 1842.

Land Revenue.	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Farms & Licences	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Customs Land and Sea	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Salt Monopoly	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Tobacco Monopoly	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Stamps	Net Revenue after Deductions of Allowances and Drawbacks on Exports Total
709107 " 7.	25513 8 6.	6716 14 10	179773 10 5.		8570 7 11.	990039 11 10
992594 4 6.	59309 2 4	55854 13 4	791116 14 11.		27149 10 1.	1294495 8 11
1194438 7 4.	54573 1 2	25223 4 8	763997 7 11.		21801 5 7	1460472 12 2
554537 13 5.	31889 13 3.	7778 14 1.	73283 1 1.		22115 7 5	928360 4 6
754327 - 5.	19461 1 "	36595 14 1.	54572 7 7.		13717 8 8	1126522. 8 -
151622 10 7.	3537 2 3.	2362 6 1.	2026 5 4.		" " "	159508 8 3
1567779 10 6.	18963 3 4	21052 9 9.	95557 11 8		14160 2 -	2029826 4 10
786928 11 4	86348 - 10.	13981 9 7.	46604 12 3		14000 9 4	1273128 2 1
" " "	462578 15 6.	" " "	270892 5 3.		5874 11 3	795229 8 9
17,72074 - 6.	" " "	" " "	259606 15 10.		2548 9 8	241953 9 6
44661. 2 "	103770 8 8	34486 9 8	115420 5 1.		27784 4 7	2080849 13 6
1614887 6 11.	3492 1 "	593 3 3.	12668 9 4		863 9 8	62048 9 3
44486 14 1.	42916 5 1.	53395 12 3.	137634 7 10		10677 13 11	1954721 7 3
1868329 8 "	20209 7 9.	3494 15 6.	31169 15 1.		" " "	115377 11 2
1754495 3 8.	252264 13 3.	25627 13 5.	312266 9 8.		25036 9 2	2714172 5 6
1479948 9 6.	114372 13 2.	13686 3 14 2	195309 13 8		23478 6 6	2225120 3 2
125580 10 11.	86008 15 8	61558 2 11.	143750 1 4.		10587 13 8	1787853. 11 1
1766685 15 1.	400 " "	273 10 11	" " "		" " "	13254 5 10
2865058 3 6.	45358 6 4	69959 13 11.	171981 6 11.	53392 15 8	17354 2 6	2124745 12 4
771 8 "	82178 5 9.	35025 13 3.	314814 11 9.	269835 2 -	53072 5 4	3619984 9 4
220300 8 6.	9698 9 11.	3493 9 1.	7249 6 7.	69591 8 4	" " "	371 9 10 5
124129 14 10.	16703 13 11.	6420 2 5.	12514 9 "	" " "	21775 6 "	1384243 14 2
706526 " 6.	40028 12 10.	" " "	17733 7 1.	248919 2 7.	20265 13 8	1195473 4 8
514846. " 6.	13954 15 5	" " "	7976 9 8	" " "	2566 12 6	60344 6 "
1605686 " 10.	44553 5 8.	10540 1 1.	19201 3 10 "	232841 1 9	22001 8 6	2203154 1 9
1033507 " 6.	119138 1 10.	10978 2 6 5.	30747 8 " 3.	227511 4 7	38228 1 13 "	5091 7 9 7	2280666 4 2
1436154 4 4	71676 4 4	10716 15 2	45881 6 11.	223426 12 5	183568 8 9	34738 5 6	2412097 9 5
26706604 3 10.	1826131 2 9.	987057 11 10.	3307672 14 5.	3063054 11 1.	619243 5 5	463429 " 9	34474093 2 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 " "	" " "
26624175 12 "	1826131 2 9.	987057 11 10	3817672 14 5.	3063054 11 1.	619243 5 5	4340731 14 11	37162099 8 5

Fort St George
Accountant Generals Office
30th August 1842

Errors Excepted per Signed/ John Orr
Acctt. General

9803.

No 6 Cl. 1

September 1842.

Abstract of Returns of Fort Sts. George within the Fiscal Year 1840

	Recoveries of advances for Cultivation and Sairs	Extraordinary Recoveries & Charges Cabs. Sections of sequestered Zemindaries	Interest on account of arrears unpaid.	Receipts on account of Company's Plantations Sales of Lands and Batta on Exchange	Profit and Loss	Total Extraordinary	Total Gross Revenue Collections within the Fiscal Year 1840
Total	27958 5 5	" " "	10180 13 5	99 " 8	" " "	38238 3 6	9020468 5
Ordinary	11093 9 10	5156 1	" " "	6 5 2	" " "	122155 1	1148312 12 10
	24414 11 5	29276 1 7	2131 5 6	" " "	" " "	55821 2 6	1456558 4 7
Sangam	933 2 6	" " "	5282 " 11	85 12 7	" " "	6301 "	641680 15 11
Vizagapatam	" " "	5520 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5520 "	841857 9 3
Rajahmundry	4699 8 "	" " "	" " "	3 4 6	" " "	4702 15 0	1714559 2 11
Ceded Districts	1143 13 8	" " "	241 3 3	" 12 8	" " "	1986 13 7	180393 4 7
Guntoor	9893 12 "	4146 6	2331 14 4	" " 4	" " "	12640 12	1056908 11 10
Nellore	" " "	" " "	" " "	1178 "	" " "	1178 "	600241 5 2
Chingleput	2013 10 "	" " "	" " "	124 11 1	" " "	2023 8 5 1	219501 7 9 1
Madras	200 " "	" " "	" " "	3 1 6	" " "	203 1 6	48104 9 9
Ceded Districts	5818 9 6	" " "	1588	243 7 1	" " "	5884 4 9	214539 " 6
	500 " "	" " "	188 9 10	" " "	" " "	688 9 10	51364 1 11
	55502 13 4	" " "	" " "	30931 1 10	" " "	102433 15 2	2302052 9 8
	7713 7 "	" " "	" " "	30851 " 11	" " "	44564 7 11	2079415 5 4
Bellary	" " "	1406 14 7	1983 12 11	13 10 "	" " "	3404 5 6	174684 8 " 8
Cuddapah	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13069 10 11
Kurnool	10942 8 1	" " "	86 14 6	3 3 8	" " "	11032 10 3	206131 7 3 "
Canara	38236 7 1	" " "	" " "	643 2 10	" " "	38879 3 11	3424821 " 1
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7718 "
Coimbatore	2098 " "	" " "	" " "	38 13 2	" " "	2136 13 2	245884 2 7
Salem	1265 15 7	" " "	62 3 4	" " "	" " "	1328 2 11	146262 8 11 11
	" " "	72784 3 10	" " "	" 15 8	132685	74111 11 11	12475 26 15 1
Malabar	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 2 "	" " "	2 " "	257 5 10 6 2
Dindigul	9330 1 11	" " "	" " "	738 1 2	" " "	10068 3 1	2054631 4 9
Madura	" " "	" " "	10 10 8	27 1 2	" " "	37 11 10	10383 7 4 2 2
Tinnevelly	9359 4 "	" " "	5 4 11	187 " "	" " "	11234 8 11	1844 15 3 11
	310788 3 10	109916 " 7	22521 6 3	77801 2 2	132685	572353 5 3	33275700 11 6
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	310788 3 10	109916 " 7	22521 6 3	77801 2 2	132685	572353 5 3	33275700 11 6

September 1842

	Salary and Emoluments after deducting Expenses	Hungarian Establishment after deducting Expenses	Subsidiary Establishment after deducting Expenses	Extraordinary Charges after deducting Expenses	Charges Ordinary Contingencies Repairs &c. Stores and Miscellaneous Charges after deducting Expenses
	55936 4 9	32324 15 10	1041 4 -	9201 5 5	1123 - -
	42536 4 9	31591 15 10	1292 12 2	- - -	2102 11 -
	38633 11 4	53055 9 -	5224 15 7	5587 - -	3444 11 -
	31335 11 9	22[illegible] 12 5	1[illegible] 5 11	313 - -	1527 - -
	38924 11 5	15950 14 8	6[illegible] - 6	18 11 5	- - -
	- - -	11391 16 11	4[illegible] 3 16	- - -	1935 - -
	53422 13 -	14[illegible] 8 5	4[illegible] 1 10	[illegible] - 7	4[illegible] - -
	46822 10 9	16[illegible] - -	3[illegible] 11 3	8521 1 11	4[illegible] - -
	5197 - 1	15022 12 4	[illegible] 3 -	382 6 5	1[illegible] - -
	57242 12 8	11844 - -	12[illegible] 13 5	- - -	6[illegible] - -
	- - -	12141 12 5	56 13 2	- - -	4[illegible] - -
	62955 9 3	11[illegible] 11 -	3[illegible] 8 2	- - -	1[illegible] - -
	- - -	2233 12 9	[illegible] - 8	44 11 9	4[illegible] - -
	52305 - -	1[illegible] - 9	[illegible] 11 -	- - -	[illegible] - -
	57537 8 3	12[illegible] 15 5	[illegible] 17 9	- - -	12[illegible] - -
	62014 10 11	10[illegible] 15 -	3[illegible] 2 -	772 - -	13[illegible] - -
	- - -	[illegible] - -	24 - -	- - -	- - -
	55562 13 -	14[illegible] 6 5	[illegible] 14 -	- - -	25[illegible] - -
	52370 14 3	20[illegible] 11 7	[illegible] 11 7	- - -	9[illegible] - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	1[illegible] 10 1	22[illegible] - -	- - -	4[illegible] - -
	38142 10 8	15[illegible] 4 4	[illegible] - -	- - -	[illegible] - -
	51291 1 5	20[illegible] 8 2	1[illegible] - -	55 14 8	[illegible] - -
	- - -	20383 2 11	[illegible] 14 -	551 13 10	32[illegible] - -
	47571 13 1	12[illegible] 15 3	3[illegible] 13 8	379 8 10	[illegible] - -
	65726 10 3	17[illegible] 15 7	31[illegible] 12 2	1[illegible] 11 4	[illegible] - -
	70134 7 6	14[illegible] 5 9	5[illegible] 3 -	- - -	- - -
	1[illegible] 4 2 9 11	20[illegible] 13 8	[illegible] 3 -	[illegible] 9 1	[illegible] - -
	19155 1 10 7	7082 9 13 -	5[illegible] 13 5	- - -	14[illegible] - -
	11[illegible] 4 5	20[illegible] 8	[illegible] 2	[illegible] 1 5	[illegible] - -

	Miscellaneous Expenditure Extraordinary Charges connected with Steam Vessels chargeable to any particular Branch of Revenue after deducting Repayments	Sent Allowances Do	Surgeons Allowances Do	Pensions and charitable allowances as per Statement No 13	Salaries Ordinary
	880 3 10	4734 3 3	402 10 8	21305 2 11	161919 15 4
	5420 15 -	5338 5 6	986 13 10	14066 6 2	142018 2 3
	2320 12 2	3551 11 -	436 13 4	17554 11 8	109711 10 9
	3807 12 2	2911 2 10	320 10 1	3230 - 7	85061 2 6
	1826 8 4	3539 15 6	4093 3 10	47044 14 11	69733 13 4
	552 - 7	- - -	- - -	876 3 9	15145 9 4
	4392 2 -	5085 7 8	774 5 2	33023 7 7	294503 15 8
	3731 12 11	2957 8 3	840 - 6	40064 1 6	255787 6 5
	439 10 8	- - -	- - -	4086 - 5	69885 6 2
	4209 14 6	5058 4 4	358 15 2	14572 12 10	396602 5 6
	- - -	- - -	- - -	1621 8 5	3303 4 9
	9305 2 4	6497 8 -	1540 1 10	18026 4 1	468666 4 11
	- - -	- - -	- - -	3542 - -	6361 - 10
	8472 10 6	5441 - 1	4600 1 4	56112 12 11	366903 8 8
	8531 4 11	7932 14 8	420 - -	9505 12 3	301420 9 6
	8009 3 10	4339 13 4	1594 7 10	59276 13 6	205327 2 2
	- - -	- - -	- - -	45 - -	489 - -
	15488 6 -	5319 13 3	574 3 1	52875 13 10	275184 13 7
	5043 14 3	5328 9 2	4778 11 10	15118 9 - 10	520862 15 11
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	- - -	- - -	- - -	6012 - -	23485 10 1
	2757 13 9	2709 10 4	388 15 5	51843 8 7	246695 5 1
	2139 11 1	- - -	588 - -	6066 16 9	206546 1 3
	1013 2 4	4008 11 5	- - -	18856 7 4	60340 2 3
	6804 4 1	5095 10 3	- - -	18248 6 4	43984 1 11
	14478 9 7	4981 - 3	5535 4 9	24452 13 11	654479 12 11
	4595 13 9	7241 14 7	49 - -	15956 9 2	394494 7 6
	128976 12 7	93257 3 8	31509 5 7	1095454 13 3	5790776 14 6
	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	282428 7 10
	128943 12 7	93257 3 8	31509 5 7	1095454 13 3	6073205 5 4

5th September 1842

Subventions for Institutions and repairs	Advances for Charges Collection Messages Stock Agencies Law &c	Law Charges deducting Refunds	Charges for Coast Clearances Quarantine Refunds Light Dues Pilotage Harbour Masters Expenses of Vessels Expenses of Packets Expenses of Revenue Cutters &c	Profit and Loss	Total Extraordinary	Total Charges disbursed within the County	Net Revenue after deducting the Charges
						1250	
31015					31019 8 5	192939 7 10	709104 4
12585	515 5 1	4 0 5			13100 0 1	155418 8 4	892594 4 5
25828 3	31934 15 6	63			102400 2 6	272117 13 3	711438 4 4
1081 8		8	4445 5 0		1082	87143 2 6	564534 13 5
4500 15	3520		12285 11		17805 11 6	87540 8 10	754324 5
1632 12					4700 15	19846 8 4	151622 10 4
9981	402 10	43 1 4			1589 10 6	29563 10 1	154774 10 6
26100			2810		13492 10 1	258980 6	786928 11 4
200		39 15 5	301 3			69805 6 2	9866 7
59500					26441 3 1	422943 8 7	177204 6
500		88	150		200	3503 7 9	44067 2
6422					61899 4 8	630505 9 7	1014884 6 11
4500		32 10	5725		516 3	6877 3 10	44480 14 1
	1488 15 3	53 1 8	1525 11		66819 9	433723 1 8	166832 8
9300			112 4		23493 8 3	324920 1 8	145445 3 8
38702		125	21		1542 5	26689 7 2	14799 9 6
		8	1125		489	12580 10 11	
2098					9446 6 4	28463 3 11	14655 15 11
919					38899 12 8	539762 12 7	28656 3 6
		15 0	325				741 8
	1248 3 10	1 8	2518		2098	26583 10 1	2203 8 6
1893					1603 8	24846 13 1	1214 14 10
			134		33445 13 4	540999 14 7	7005 6
10755 8		24 16 8	121 5 2			60340 2 3	5480 6
					9124 3	44895 3 11	1065 10
					874 9	55480 1 8	10835 6
					11706	40526 15 6	14361 4 4
311395 14	112700 2 9	523 7 6	5435 12 10	2933	772319 9 2	6569995 7 8	2650605 3 10
						282428 7 10	282428 7 10
311395 14	112700 2 9	523 7 6	5435 12 10	2933	772319 9 2	6851524 15 6	2642145 12

Port of St Ann's Acct Gen'l Office 5th Sept 1842

E. E. for Signed John Orr
Acctt Genl
Statement

1866.

No 4 of Returns

Abstract of Returns of Land Revenue, Small Farms and Licences, Extra Revenue, Interest on Arrears unpaid, Total, and Total of Abstract and Sundry Small Farms and Licences for the month of September 1842 under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the

		Land Revenue	Small Farms and Licences	Extra Revenue	Interest on Arrears unpaid	Total	Total of Abstract and Sundry Small Farms and Licences
Ganjam	7	2651				2651 3 11	25503 8 6
Vizagapatam	6						59300 2 4
Rajahmundry	5	5708				5708 12 4	51583 .. 9
Masulipatam	"						34134 4 .
Guntoor	8	2262		6 12 .	6 13 11	7727 .. 2	19492 7 10
Nellore	3	296				296 7 11	3537 2 3
Cuddapah	1	1043		46 .. 11		10535 8 4	19435 11 2
Chingleput	5	44943		16 14 6		44943 5 1	86895 10 5
Madras	5	9024		71 15 9		9024 4 10	550276 12 5
Sea Dist. Area	"	192				192 1 ..	104658 8 4
Do. Do. Nth	2	2897			4 5	2897 9 11	3492 1 ..
Do. Do. Sth	5	651		14 4 ..	1 11	651 .. 6	42916 5 1
Bellary	1	17869		44 4 1		17869 5 3	20209 7 9
Candeish	9	18177		49 10 6		18177 5 6	252264 13 3
Sholapore	4	9092		13 .	14 13 7	9092 15 1	116184 .. 3
Coimbatore	8	575				575 5 11	86079 . 8
Salem	3	24447				24447 6 3	1400 . .
Dindigul	1	1557				1557 3 1	45624 5 7
Tanjore	3	3330		9 .		3330 15 11	82599 12 9
Trichinopoly	7	5810		7 11 2		5810 2 3	9787 3 1
Madura	4	5921				5921 2 1	16503 13 11
Tinnevelly	7	23061		20 2 8		23061 9 8	40280 2 3
Malabar	2	70047		30 . .		70047 2 .	140441 2 1
Canara	9	27181		38 2 10	3 10 .	27202 15 4	446553 5 8
	7	300[illegible]					129958 5 9
				349 4 6	24 11 10	300713 2 7	1945455 9 2

Districts	Receipts			Charges						Net Revenue after deducting the Charges.		
	Gross collections within the Fasly 1250.	Extra Revenue	Total	Commission to the Collectors after deducting refunds	Hooz oor and District Establishments &c.	Saderwared	Extra Charges &c.	Total				
Ganjam	6,716 14 10	..	6,716 14 10	..	..	..	..	..		6,716 14 10		
Vizagapatam	5,998 13 4	..	5,998 13 4	..	144	..	..	144		5,854 13 4		
Rajahmundry	37,376 15 11	143 11 ..	37,520 10 11	..	..	..	12,297 6 3	12,297 6 3		25,223 4 8		
Masulipatam	15,477 1 ..	229 9 2	15,706 10 2	..	2,686 11 8	122 4 5	5,118 12 ..	7,927 12 1		7,778 14 1		

| | | |

September 1842

Presidency of Fort St George within the Fusly year 1250.-

Customs Collectors Received Individuals			Extra Revenues			Total			Total Receipts within the Fusly 1250		
						2,075	11	8	25,825	3	6
			521	9	10	20,681	13	8	103316	12	4
						8,081	4		98,702	10	11
			30			9,711	2	2	102,072	5	9
			320	8		2,777	15	8	63,935	4	6
									3,300	3	7
			177	13	11	7347	5	3	116,823	5	9
									54,101	12	8
									533265	3	3
85	14		1545	9		376,616	9	11	376,616	9	11
									137771	9	10
									14,324		
									161618	5	7
						14,500	7	3	38518	7	5
									343501	15	3
									218067	9	9
									162065	3	9
									181640	4	
			120	5	1	37,315	6	5	347182	6	
			174	9		61207	10	9	77091	4	6
									133775	12	3
			105	2	2	13,169	3	5	204668		4
									79892	5	6
						18,638	2	1	214943		11
			185	6	5	2,64,918	9		4,00,966	15	9
			1708	12	10	2,88,435	5	8	571644	14	2
85	14		4,89	12	3	11,25,476	10	11	47,65,631	11	2

9810

Fort St. Geo. 5th Septr.

of the Gross Collections, Charges and

Charges												Net Revenue after deducting the Charges	Gross Collections within the Fusly 1250	Extra Revenue	Recoveries of advances for the Monopoly of Salt
Commission paid to the Collectors &c. From the Public Treasuries after deducting refunds			Sayer or Land duties Boozeer and Abt. Establishment after deducting refunds			Drawbacks			Underwards		Extra Charges				
			57	9	6	326	1					17973	10	5	238074	3	4	274	2	11	971		
			57	15	11	435						13,117	79,116	14	11	150328	2	8	340	2		4,600		
			12	5	2	408	10	5	212	3		2794	76,399	7	11	120534	11	10	37	7	7	6050	1	10
			19	3	5	700	2	7	23	13		392	79,283	1	1	24,8769	7	9				7,636	13	10
			11	3	12	571	9	3	20	16			51,512	7	7	219939	12	1				1658	12	2
			6	2	6	123	11	9					2,026	5	4	431,107	8	1				1168	5	1
695	6	10	16	5	7	600	3	1	60	15		246	96,557	11	8	397778	11	1						
			6	8	5	300	4		7	12		199568	46,604	12	3	96,004	4	8				4432	2	4
16,660	15	5	45	21	7	313	4		305	8			270892	5	3	36491	7		263	11	11			
						257	11	7					239404	15	10	132907	3							
			18	5	4	697	5	8	74	10			118420	5	1	18864	15	5						
			1	5	6	60		10		9			12668	9	4	314506	11	8						
			19	4	8	657	1	8	12	6		3837	137634	7	10	79054	1							
			3	9	4	51	5	4	1	11		350	31169	15	1	276783	14	1				360		
			28	9	2	225	12	3					312266	9	8	253464	5	3	77	7				
213	14	1	14	2	9	116	10	4	7				195909	13	8	349979	8	4						
1258	3	4	17	5	13	9	5	6	7	10			143750	1	4	313875	12	6	143	12	2			
2,674	2	4	7	6	5	7	2	2	26				171984	6	11									
156	9	3	18	9	6	7	9	11				5843	314814	11	9									
			9	6	6	33			28	13			72049	6	7									
1359	6	8	8	10	12	7	5	5	57	12		15005	25214	9										
666		3	6	4	6	409	13		29	10			79,733	7	1									
			2	8	5	305		2	26	12			73,976	9	8									
395	4		11	9	7	361			2	13		4372	92013	10										
			12	6	3	5	321	13		33	6		33798	30,478		3								
			29	2	3	4	599	4	10	32	4		14350	58816	6	11								
													293680	80,672	14	5								
24079	14	5	333,517		5	13369	8	9	9309	13	11						Rupees	3,750464	11	9	1136	11	7	26877	3	3

Office

Fort St. George, Accountant General's Office

30th Augt. Statement

Fort St. George

5th September 1842.—

the Net Receipts from the Salt Monopoly under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fasly. Year 1250.

Total	Charges: Commission to the Collectors after deducting refunds	Charges: Cooly on and District Establishments	Charges: Saderwarel	Charges: Extra Charges	Salt Manufacturer's share	Salt Compensations	Advanced for the Monopoly of Salt	Total Charges disbursed within the Fasly year 1250	Net Revenue after deducting the Charges
239,319 6 3	" "	4,271 2 1	26 9 3	1042 6	10,111 15 7	" " "	1,079 " "	16,551 4 8	222,768 11 7
155,268 4 8	" "	4,925 6 1	151 8 "	2,269 "	15,391 14 8	" " "	2,880 " "	24,875 8 11	130,389 11 9
126622 5 3	" "	7,060 4 4	257 " 8	4,269 1	11,258 11 1	" " "	8,740 8 6	31,585 9 9	95,036 11 6
256406 5 7	" "	5,339 5 7	93 2 6	3327 1	7,252 5 2	" " "	7,636 13 10	23,651 2 4	232,755 3 3
293598 8 3	" "	11,215 2 7	238 2 2	1983 1	27,646 5 9	150 6 8	2,156 11 10	43,390 " "	250,208 8 3
432275 13 2	2821 15 1	26,013 4 3	826 9 2	1705 "	72,141 11 5	1,104 15 4	664 " "	120,962 13 7	311,312 15 7
397778 11 1	" "	10,655 2 6	338 8 "	2762 11	52,042 8 3	7,215 6 4	" " "	73,014 4 4	324,764 6 9
100436 7 "	3,253 9 6	4,897 9 10	5 15 6	6,328 "	12,950 5 3	3,595 7 11	3,160 13 "	34,692 7 3	65,743 15 9
36755 2 11	" "	774 9 6	52 6 4	13 "	2,603 9 3	" " "	" " "	3,441 1 11	33,314 1 "
132907 3 "	" "	4,517 15 8	185 10 3	1982 6	54,04 2 6	5,257 7 3	350 " "	23,697 9 9	109,209 9 3
188624 15 5	" "	10,775 11 1	23 4 8	347 "	1,050 12 10	" " "	311 " "	2,838 8 8	16,026 6 9
314506 11 8	2,713 9 11	11,505 14 1	212 3 8	994 "	29,245 13 1	" " "	" " "	44,671 9 8	269,835 2 "
79054 1 "	450 1 4	1,476 " "	42 " "	73 5 "	7,421 2 "	" " "	" " "	9,462 8 8	69,591 8 4
277143 14 1	1,236 13 11	12,238 4 3	387 5 8		13,102 7 1	266 11 11	994 8 "	28,224 11 6	248,919 2 7
253521 12 3	" "	9,425 11 7	235 11 7	595 8	5,591 2 11	1,552 8 "	" " "	20,700 10 6	232,841 1 9
349970 8 4	692 12 1	5,083 15 6	135 4 7	116,319 "	" " "	237 " 6	" " "	122,468 3 9	227,511 4 7
314,019 8 8	" "	12,395 10 8	328 " 11	7,569 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	90,592 12 3	223,426 12 8
3778425 10 7	11,168 13 10	131,991 4 7	3559 6 11	2,379 6 "	279,544 14 10	22,379 15 11	27,973 7 2	714,823 15 6	3,063,664 11 1

Errors Excepted J.C. / Signed / John Orr

Accountt. General.

9812.

Fort St. George

5th September 1812.

No. Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Receipts, from the Tobacco Monopoly, within the Fusly year 1250, under the Presidency of Fort St. George

Districts.	Collections. Gross Collections within the Fusly 1250	Extra Revenue	Revenues of Allowances for the purchase of Tobacco on the Monopoly	Total.	Commission to the Collector &c. &c. deducting refunders	Charges. Huzzoor and District Establishment after deducting Refunds	Ladenaried Do.	Extra Charges Do.	Purchase of Tobacco	Advances for the purchase of Tobacco on account of the Monopoly	Total Charges disbursed within the Fusly year 1250.	Net Revenue after deducting the Charges.
Coimbatore	9,825 8.	21 2 .	156,361 2 1	196,203 12 1	1,600	7,980 6 3	138 8 10	12,877 2 8	" " "	120,814 9 5	142,810 12 5	53,392 15 8
Malabar	525,852 9 7	. .	. .	525,852 9 7	1,107 11	9,196 13 10	33 8 6	14,973 4 7	118,259 2 7	" " "	143,570 12 7	382,281 13 "
Canara	239,013 5 11	35 8 7	14,670 8 10	253,719 7 4	" " "	6,940 6 9	267 11 "	4,907 4 8	81,635 8 2	26,400 " "	120,150 14 7	133,568 8 9
Total Compt Rupees	524,694 7 6	59 10 7	201,031 10 11	975,775 13 "	2,705 "	24,117 10 10	439 12 4	32,757 11 11	199,894 10 9	147,214 9 5	406,532 7 7	619,243 5 5

Errors Excepted

(Signed) John Orr

Accountt General

Fort St. George,
Accountt Generals Office,
30th August, 1812.

9814.

No. 13 General Statement of the Receipts and Disbursements [illegible] and Nizam's Mogul allowances at Sonnes within the Fusly 1250 of the Charges, Receipts and Net Payments

Districts.	Payment on account of Revenue Services & Charges	Compensation Pensions and Regds and in lieu of the Charitable & Revenue alienated Offices Incomes or allowances in lieu and Privileges.	Charges of Collection of Revenue and Customs	Refunds	Total Charges	Total Receipts	Net Payments	Establishments: Judges and Registers Salaries	Surgeons Salary allowance and Establishment	Native Establishment	
								t. 202210 8 2	. . .	52,136 8 8	
Ganjam	17,642 15 3				21,305 2 11	21,305 2 11					
Vizagapatam	12,496 10 5	. . .	2,170 1 6	159 2	14,651 9	14,651 6 2					
Rajahmundry	14,254 14 10	205 11 5	30 8 .	274 1	15,153 5	17,554 11 8		137935 12 5	. . .	25,369 3 5	11
Masulipatam	1,557 9 2	1,061 12 9	3,330 3 2	172 5	3,236 . 7	3,236 . 7		126219 2 3	. . .	26,945 15 10	5
Guntoor Zillah { Guntoor	3,736 2 6	732 3 7	. . .	558 11	4,764 14 11	4,764 14 11		125584 . 11	. . .	24,540 13 2	5
{ Palnaud	534 . 6	. . .	. . .	206 8	875 3 9	875 3 9		115500 . .	3769 13 7	25,318 . 6	6
Nellore	12,637 9 9	13538 . 5	. . .	351 3	33,623 7 7	33,623 7 7		505238 15 7	3769 13 7	102,174 . 11	3
Chingleput	5,469 5 .	3,316 5 6	37235 15 1	32 13	46,054 1 6	46,054 1 6					
Madras	24,209 12 2	12,420 7 9	10,240 4 9	. . .	46,861 . 5	46,861 . 5					
No. 1 Dist. Zillah { No. 1 of Street	28,236 12 10	41,243 2 6	76,114 9 3	. . .	145722 12 10	145722 12 10		5,311 8 11	2,317 11 9	11,989 3 9	
{ Conjeeveram	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	1,621 8 5	1,621 8 5		22,151 12 9	4,311 10 .	16,962 1 9	4
No. 2 Dist. Zillah { No. 2 of Street	105,794 9 6	6,393 11 2	. . .	63,072 15	180,261 4 1	180,261 4 1		52,318 9 7	3,664 4 4	33,546 10 9	10
{ Cuddalore	. . .	. . .	1,621 8 5	. . .	3,542 . .	3,542 . .		17,432 4 1	2,279 4 5	15,587 14 5	6
Bellary	210 . .	. . .	. . .	3,339 .	56,112 12 11	56,112 12 11		18,650 10 .	294 . .	17,695 4 8	7
Cuddapah	31,331 3 3	5,987 14 10	15,466 7 7	387 3	95,052 3 1	95,054 12 3		32,513 5 4	4,437 10 .	29,349 4 4	9
Salem Zillah { Salem	59,267 6 4	4,115 2 1	30,135 7 1	1,364 2	59,276 13 6	59,276 13 6		38,667 11 10	4,080 . .	22,654 12 6	.
{ Mulcashanady	211 12 .	678 2 4	51,253 7 .	4,195 6	45 . .	45 . .		38878 1 .	7,633 8 1	33,883 6 6	4
Coimbatore	. . .	45 . .	. . .	. . .	52,875 13 10	52,875 13 10		37445 7 10	3,271 8 3	52,241 10 3	.
Tanjore { Tanjore	2,161 7 5	. . .	50,717 7 5	. . .	52,878 . .	52,878 . .		31,815 2 11	1,200 . .	35,096 15 .	8
{ Nagore	432 6 9	12,234 4 8	6,465 . .	139 14	151,189 . 10	151,189 5 5		19803 3 7	. . .	23,761 15 1	6
Trichinopoly	. . .	10 5 .	. . .	661 8	6,612 . .	6,612 8 .		38,480 10 4	4,291 4 11	32,679 . .	2
Madura Zillah { Madura	2,369 8 8	3,151 2 7	56,295 13 7	. . .	61,843 8 7	61,349 . .		18,400 . .	1,619 15 11	15,784 1 7	10
{ Dindigul	11,914 15 3	5,188 1 9	. . .	4,357 11	60,656 15 9	60,666 2 6		33,675 8 10	4,497 10 .	29,982 3 .	.
Tinnevelly	2,443 . .	. . .	. . .	15,413 7	18,836 7 4	15,556 7 4		20,632 8 .	3,025 2 11	10,961 7 10	6
Malabar	7,339 1 7	1,136 7 .	139,555 3 2	. . .	158,248 6 4	188,363 . .		17,120 . .	3,947 10 .	22,944 1 .	11
Canara	270,238 4 11	. . .	3,314 9 .	. . .	274,152 13 11	274,152 . .		35728 6 2	4,122 1 1	38,131 1 10	1
	21,003 7 5	977 13 .	15,642 8 2	3,783 13	150,756 9 2	151,407 3 4		64026 5 4	4,113 6 8	65,742 8 9	3
Total Comp. Expns.	638,404 2 9	117,633 1 10	635,745 1 3	29,406 3 5	1695754 13 3	1697193 . 5		86949 8 9	4262 2 3	68,136 15 4	5
								625,000 13 3	63368 14 7	577,130 10 4	2
								1332450 5 .	67138 12 2	731,441 3 11	1

Fort St. George, Accountt General's Office,
30th August 1842.

Ge Fort St. George, 5th September 1812.

Accounts on account of the Judicial Department connected with the Administration of Civil & Criminal Justice to the Native Inhabitants

	Establishment	Contingencies	Batta to the Prisoners	Extra Charges	Total Contingencies	Total Judicial Charges	Magistrates Salary	Native Establishment	Contingent	Police	Total Judicial & Police Charges disbursed within the Fasly Year 1250
	254347 " 10	224 " 11	"	" "	1,359 5 11	1613 6 11					
Guntoor						255,960 7 8	" " "	" " "	" "	" "	255,960 7 8
Ceded Districts											
Rayachoty	163,304 15 10	515 5 "	"	" "	5,703 - 1	6,218 5 11					169,523 4 11
Masulipatam	153,165 2 4	389 1 4	"	" "	3,978 9 "	4,367 10 4					157,532 12 5
Guntoor	144,587 14 1	1,190 5 6	"	" "	3,949 5 4	4,119 1 4					154,243 15 5
Nellore	11,182 14 1	2,264 7 10	"	" "	1,554 2 11	3,044 4 9					147,632 9 6
Chingleput						17,749 13 1					628,932 10 3
Madras											
N. D.	19,615 8 3	1,280 9 "	1,789 9 2	184 7 10	3,254 10 "		22873 2 5	2,419 9 5	5,749 15 1	5,195 10 11	13,587 10 2	49,826 " "
S. D.	43,425 8 6	1,629 14 8	5,093 9 3	7,555 15 3	14,279 7 2		57,704 15 8	3,177 12 1	11,451 10 6	5,539 15 2	19,796 10 11	97,701 " 4
Salem	89,529 8 8	1,212 9 11	7,278 7 1	4,044 14 5	12,535 15 5		102,065 8 4	2,167 4 5	" "	856 4 1	33,311 14 "	138,400 14 10
Coimbatore	25,299 6 11	499 " 9	7,014 2 5	7,267 3 5	14,780 6 10		50,079 13 9	2,077 3 6	1,593 " "	1,369 " 4	31,514 2 10	86,873 4 6
Canara	36,639 14 5	946 13 6	5,738 7 10	4,339 15 3	11,025 4 7		47,665 3 3	3,770 " "	11,205 3 3	9,992 13 4	34,166 5 9	106,599 9 7
Cuddapah	66,300 3 8	1,726 8 1	6,212 7 6	13,175 14 9	21,114 14 4		87,415 2 "	2,846 9 2	3,777 14 7	2,081 15 2	12,646 5 10	105,767 14 9
Salem	60,402 8 4	64 15 5	3,568 13 5	8,730 15 "	12,364 12 1		72,767 4 5	2,545 10 9	" " "	251 4 10	467 4 "	76,031 8 "
Salem	80,394 15 7	2,180 4 6	7,247 2 4	13,306 10 5	21,734 1 3		102,129 " 10	2,869 7 2	2,813 7 8	1,141 3 11	19,281 13 9	127,736 1 4
Coimbatore	92,958 10 4	2,328 9 7	11,522 4 5	2,814 6 "	15,965 4 3		109,923 14 7	2,170 4 "	1,630 5 1	3,799 1 5	35,945 11 11	173,469 5 "
Canara	68,112 1 11	667 5 7	13,534 7 5	8,562 15 1	22,764 12 6		90,876 14 3	3,741 15 10	10,238 " 7	9,749 10 2	63,541 10 10	178,148 3 5
Jaghire	43,565 2 8	1,460 11 4	5,009 10 11	7,123 11 7	13,594 1 10		57,159 4 6	4,245 13 11	3,195 4 7	939 10 11	39,726 2 6	105,266 4 6
Guntoor	75,450 15 3	395 2 11	7,105 12 3	12,932 2 3	20,433 1 5		95,887 " 8	" " "	6,407 9 10	1,921 15 10	58,055 " 10	162,271 11 2
Jaghire	35,804 1 6	765 4 4	9,474 12 1	9,555 1 "	19,795 1 5		55,602 2 11	2,688 13 11	1,605 6 4	585 3 8	" " "	60,451 10 10
Madras	65,155 5 10	1,317 9 5	4,181 14 8	10,439 5 "	15,938 10 4		84,094 3 2	4,077 11 "	4,937 5 8	1,099 5 2	25,411 15 "	119,620 8 "
Jaghire	44,011 11 "	539 9 8	1,197 9 "	5,290 2 11	7,027 5 7		41,646 8 4	2,179 10 10	4,377 8 3	1,213 14 5	12,481 11 8	61,899 5 6
Jaghire	77,981 9 1	1,254 12 "	13,544 4 3	2,810 6 3	17,574 5 1		61,586 " "	2,351 13 4	" " "	744 8 "	" " "	65,182 5 11
Hanover	33,582 4 9	3,786 7 6	9,387 2 11	7,090 11 10	17,732 13 9		95,714 6 10	2,100 " "	1,620 6 5	680 2 10	" " "	100,015 " 1
Ceded Districts	159,348 10 4	2,914 6 1	6,358 9 5	5,215 13 1	15,857 13 11		149,242 2 5	3,056 9 5	1,154 12 "	2,997 13 4	41,723 2 10	198,174 8 3
	1,265,000 6 2	26,166 4 11	132,080 9 3	139,556 " 7	297,802 13 9		175,900 8 4	2,567 4 6	6,119 9 5	3,368 11 2	35,538 4 1	229,794 5 5
	2,121,030 5 1	28,654 13 8	132,080 9 3	156,486 12 10	317,196 1 9		1568,333 4 11	51,553 9 2	77,347 7 6	52,528 4 8	500,495 14 11	2245,558 9 2
							2445,226 6 10	51,553 9 2	77,347 7 6	52,528 4 8	600,495 14 11	3130,451 11 1

9816.

Fort St. George.

5th September 1842.

Abstract of Receipts and Charges under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Zillah year 1250.

Receipts									Net Charges of the Judicial Department within the Zillah year 1250.		
Judicial							Total Judicial and Police receipts within the Zillah year 1250.				
Fees	Fines and Forfeitures		Refunds of charges			Total					
"	"	"	1,692	10	4	1,692	10	4	254267	13	4
"	"	30	5,734	"	1	5,764	"	1	160,759	4	10
"	"	326 14 5	2,675	3	11	2,905	2	7	154,627	9	10
"	"	17	5,477	13	1	5,494	15	1	148,749	"	4
"	"	15	1	14	3	16	14	3	147,615	11	3
"	"	388 14 5	16,792	1	11	17,181	"	"	611,751	10	3
1,175	7	8	54	13	"	771	12	5	2,092	1	4
1,785	12	11	359	3	3	28	9	1	2,203	9	3
5,882	"	4	513	15	7	2,224	8	6	2,620	3	5
842	11	8	194	6	6	2,103	7	"	3,140	9	2
1,415	14	1	552	12	9	37	14	1	2,006	5	11
6,934	11	8	855	15	8	1,650	14	11	9,341	10	3
2,464	15	3	394	7	11	3,185	3	11	6,044	11	1
5,739	15	10	208	12	"	459	11	7	8,435	7	5
4,635	4	"	715	4	"	2,577	11	2	12,931	3	2
7,375	10	5	115	6	"	174	1	9	7,665	2	3
3,307	5	3	2,760	14	8	726	5	10	6,794	12	9
11,711	9	4	1,850	5	5	1,586	13	7	15,448	12	4
3,781	11	2	236	"	"	1,602	2	3	5,819	13	5
7,000	12	9	1,077	4	"	3,792	14	3	11,570	15	"
906	9	10	2,220	9	10	924	12	6	4,052	"	2
4,335	9	1	757	7	3	2,951	8	9	5,046	9	1
4,485	6	7	540	12	"	375	1	1	5,401	3	8
10,157	"	3	1,019	4	6	1,031	5	6	15,207	13	3
9,512	2	10	1,111	13	11	6,090	7	7	17,044	8	4
95,653	10	11	15,934	8	3	35,525	12	"	150,113	15	2
98,653	10	11	16,323	6	11	54,010	7	8	165,957	9	6

Police under the Magistrate			Total Judicial and Police receipts within the Zillah year 1250.			Net Charges of the Judicial Department within the Zillah year 1250.		
"	"	"	1,692	10	4	254267	13	4
"	"	"	8,764	"	1	160,759	4	10
"	"	"	2,905	2	7	154,627	9	10
"	"	"	5,494	15	1	148,749	"	4
"	"	"	16	14	3	147,615	11	3
"	"	"	17,181	"	"	611,751	10	3
1,710	5	1	3,742	6	5	46,083	9	7
5,957	1	2	8,160	10	5	89,540	5	11
511	5	5	9,432	"	10	128,968	14	"
865	13	8	4,006	6	10	82,866	13	7
1,140	3	10	3,146	12	9	102752	12	10
1,065	15	2	10,407	9	5	98,360	5	4
2,967	5	8	9,012	"	9	67,019	7	3
3,071	12	11	9,513	4	4	118,221	13	"
3,909	8	3	16,843	11	5	156,625	9	7
2,969	15	11	10,635	2	1	167,513	1	7
1,142	4	"	7,937	"	9	97,329	3	8
3,746	12	"	19,195	8	4	143,076	2	10
2,342	9	9	8,162	7	2	52,319	3	8
3,367	"	"	15,437	15	"	104,182	9	"
1,928	3	2	5,980	3	4	55,919	2	2
2,146	11	1	10,193	4	2	54,959	1	2
2,654	8	6	8,055	12	2	91,957	3	11
2,213	13	2	17,421	10	5	180,752	13	10
2,322	6	8	19,366	15	"	2,10,427	6	8
46,536	14	5	1,96,650	13	7	20,48,907	11	7
46,536	14	5	2,15,524	7	11	29,14,927	3	2

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office
30th August, 1842.

Errors Excepted.
For Signed John Orr
Accountant General.

9817.

Fort St. George, 6th September 1842.

9 Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Receipts from the Land & Sea Customs under the Presidency of Fort St. George, within the Fusly year 1250.

10 Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Receipts from the Salt Monopoly under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1250.

11 Statement of the Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Receipts from the Tobacco Monopoly within the Fusly year under the Presidency of Fort St. George.

12 Statement of the Produce of Stamps under the Presidency of Fort St. George within the Fusly year 1250.

13 General Statement of the Receipts and Disbursements, and Net Payments under the heads of "Compensation for resumed Offices and Privileges" Pensions & Charitable allowances" and "Pagoda and Inaigue allowances" at Fort St. George, within the Fusly year 1250.

14 General Statement of the Charges, Receipts, and Net Payments, on account of the Judicial Department (connected with the administration of Civil and Criminal Justice to the Native Inhabitants) under the Presidency of Fort St. George, within the Fusly year 1250.

Fort St. George,
Account. Generals Office,
30th August, 1842.

(Signed) John Ott
Accountt. General.

Order thereon,—

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following account Current & Letter.

Quarterly.

10–11

9818. (12)

Sect. 1st Geo. 4.

Dr. Quarterly Account Current of Salt in the District of Gunjam from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842 or for Fasly 1251 Cr.

		Mds	Mds	s	a	Mds	Mds	s	a
1841									
July 12th	To Balance of Salt on hand at the Commencement of the Current Fasly					3457	305	6	7
"	To Quantity of Salt Manufactured from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842								
"	To do from 1st April to 11th July do	1347	329	"		1347	329	"	
"	To do gained by measurement from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			343	5				
"	To do from 1st April to 11th July do	1	"	6		1	344	3	
"	To Quantity of Spontaneous Salt gathered by Manufacturers from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			139	6				
"	To do from 1st April to 11th July do						139	6	
"	To Quantity of Salt seized from Smugglers from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			135	6				
"	To do from 1st April to 11th July do			21	"		156	6	
	Total Quantity of Salt					4505	75	5	

5th September 1842.

Cr.

			Mds	Mds	s	a	Mds	Mds	s	a
1841 July 12th	By Total sales of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	For Inland Consumption	1103	37	4	"				
		For Home do	759	61	2	"				
		For Export by Sea	"	"	"	"	1862	98	6	"
	By do from 1st April to 11th July 1842	For Inland Consumption	323	23	6	"				
		For Home do	311	37	4	"				
		For Export by Sea	"	"	"	"	637	61	2	"
							2499	160	"	"
	By Total Wastage from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		43	372	6	"				
	By do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		28	277	2	"	72	250	"	"
							2572	10	"	"
	Balance of Salt on hand						2236	68	5	7
	Total Quantity of Salt						4808	78	5	7

9819 Fort St. George

5th September 1842.

Dr. Account Current of Money received and paid on account of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842, or for Fasly 1251 &c

			Cost a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1841				
July 12th	To Balance of Cash on hand at the commencement of the Current Fasly			
	To Cash received on account of Total Sales of Salt from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842	For Inland Consumption	115524 13 6	
		For Home do	77711 1 4	193235 14 10
		For Export by do		
	To do do on account of Sales from 1st April to 11th July 1842	For Inland Consumption	33921 3 9	
		For Home do	32979 13 6	
		For Export by Sea	16901 13 3	83802 13 6
			276437 11	
	To do on account of Fines and Confiscations from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		2554 9	
	To do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		28 "	2582 9
	To do on Account of advances from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		2102 12	
	To do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		797 4 "	1008 12
	Total Company's Rupees			279328 8

N.B. Rowannahs Remaining on the 11th July 1842 - 7 g
Do Received from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842 - 1501 - 7 g
Do do from 1st April to 11th July do - 1 5 0 0
1507
Do issued from 12th July 1841 to 31st March do - 1507
Do do from 1st April to 11th July do - 155
1523
Balance 1523

Fort St. George, 11th July 1842.

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

Ordered to be recorded.

Statement

Order thereon

12-13

			Cost a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1841				
July 12th	By Cash paid Servants Wages from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		2405 10 10	
	By do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		1676 10 11	4082 5 9
	By do to the Manufacturers for the Quantity of Salt delivered by them, from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			
	By do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		6320 8 11	6320 8 11
	By do Advanced to the Manufacturers from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		900 " "	
	By do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842			900 " "
	By do paid Saunderwards and Extra charges from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		680 7 7	
	By do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		332 12 6	1013 4 1
	By do do for the Repairs of Pans from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842			
	By do do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		128 " "	128 " "
				12444 2 9
	Net Revenue from 12th July 1841 to 31st March 1842		192018 13 2	251258 10 1
	Do from 1st April to 11th July 1842		59242 12 11	
	Total Company's Rupees			263702 12 10

9820 (14)

Fort St. George 5th September 1812.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Stored and for Fasly sold, together with the Wastage, in the District of Ganjam 1251.

Names of the Cotaurs or Depots	Fasly in which Stored	Quantity Stored. In each Fasly	Total	Quantity Sold.	Wastage Quantity of Wastage	Wastage Per Centage	Per Centage of Wastage of each Cotaur fixed by the Collector as per Para 18 of the Salt Rules	Explanation of the cause of Wastage.
1	2	3	4	5				
		Mds Gs Ins Ps a	Mds Gs In Ps a	Mds Gs In Ps a	Mds Gs In Ps a			
1 Ganjam	1249	151 85 2 .						The Wastage of Salt at the Cotaurs of Ganjam, Munsoorcottah, Nowpadah, and Womaravilly, falls within the rate of 5 per Cent— The Excess in the Wastage of Salt, that occurred at the Itchapoor Cotaurs, is owing to the Salt under sale having been manufactured during Fasly 1248.
	1250	372 248 2 .						
	1251	612 376 . .	1136 309 4 .	570 283 6 .	10 159 4 .	1 328 6	4 At 5 per Cent	
2 Munsoorcottah	1250	86 95 5 .						
	1251	109 363 . .	196 58 5 .	86 153 6 .	1 7 . .	2 1 2	At 5 D° D°	
3 Itchapoor	1248	. . . .	37 138 . .	34 323 6 .	2 214 2 . .	7 113 6	5 At 5 D° D°	
4 Nowpadah	1249	272 55 27						
	1250	1248 151 5 .						
	1251	627 233 7 .	2148 40 6 7	1105 397 4 .	46 68 1 .	3 50 5 .	At 5 D° D°	
5 Womaravilly	1249	583 185 6 .						
	1250	706 116 . .	1259 331 6 .	101 201 2 .	15 206 2 . .	3 315 6 .	At 5 D° D°	
Total			4808 78 5 7	2499 160 . .	72 250			

Ganjam 21st August 1812. E. E. excepted.

(signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector.

Ordered

(14-15)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie on the table.

From the Collector of Ganjam. Read Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each, incurred for fasly 1251, Compared with those for fasly 1250.

(16)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

(16-17)

Read again Letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam dated 16th, and entered on Consultation 16th ultimo.

Order thereon. Ordered that the advertisement, submitted by Mr Sonnerat with the foregoing letter, for the sale of certain Estates in the Vizagapatam District for arrears of Revenue, be published in the Office of the Board of Revenue the date of sale being fixed for the 10th of October next. The Acting Collector will be pleased to make the necessary alterations in the proclamation issued in his District.

(18)

The Board sanction the proposal contained in para 12 of the above letter, with respect to a bid to the extent of Rupees 1000 (one thousand) on account of Government, for the Dimlay Mootah, for which Amount the Acting Collector anticipates it will become the property of Government.

Read the following Letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry } Sir, Fort St. George.

(19)

I have the honor to forward for the information of the Board of Revenue, Copies and translations of a Petition received from Rajah Row Sooreya Row Zemindar of the Pittapoor Talook, dated the 23d instant, and of its enclosure, being an Extract from the Sudr Courts Proceedings of the 15th instant, regarding the Satisfaction of the Courts warrant against him for the sum of Rupees 21,071—9—4, on account of the Sudr Courts Decree in Suit No 10 of 1838, respecting the Nagaram Mootah, and request that the recommendation submitted in my Letters of the 25th October 1811, and 30th March 1812, to remit the said amount to the Court, may be sanctioned, as the Zemindar is not to blame, as these demands of the Courts against him had their origin during the time of his late Father Neeladry Row, and the distressed

State

9823 Fort St. George 5th September 1842

State in which this Zemindary is now, has been caused by the continued adversity of the past seasons. I beg to forward, for the Boards information, copy of my reply to the further communication received from the Judge at Rajahmundry on the same subject dated the 29th Ultimo.

Nº 2

Gillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office
Cocanada 23rd August 1842.

/Signed/ G.A. Smith
Collector.

Nº 1

To G.A. Smith Esqr.
Collector in the Gollahof
Rajahmundry.

I Stree Rajah Row Venkata Soora Row Bahader Zemindar of the Talook of Pillapoor &c. present my salaams & am well here, and wish to hear of your welfare.

I now beg leave to submit copy of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudder Udalut dated 15th August 1842, which I received from my Vakeel Golam Moorjat Saheb, upon a Motion presented by the appellants, in appeal

9824 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Suit No 10 of 1838 regarding Nagaram Moolah. On the perusal of which I trust you will find that a Warrant will be issued against me without loss of time to my great misfortune.

In consequence of the favor you retain towards me, you have been pleased to relieve me from the pressure of the several Warrants, which were heretofore issued against me, and to write to the Court for the suspension of the said Warrant also to this time you are fully aware that we suffer much for our maintenance and arrack only, for your favor. No more warrants of any kind will be issued against me but the above. I therefore humbly solicit you will be pleased to adopt such measures as you may deem proper for relieving me from the pressure of the said warrant Please to perused dated 23 August 1842.

/Signed/ Stree Rajah Venkata Soora Row Bahader Zemmadar.

Extract.

9825. Fort St. George 4th September 1812.

Copy

Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Sudder Adalut dated 15th August 1812.

Read motion of 5th July of 1811, presented by Soliy Appiah, Vakeel on behalf of Cala Chinna Reddi Appellants in appeal Suit No. 10 of 1808 and the return from the Provincial Court of Northern Division of 9th of this year.

The Court are of opinion that the reasons assigned for the Extraordinary delay, to put the decision of the said suit into execution are invalid and unjust, the Provincial Court of Northern Division are accordingly directed, to issue the orders for the immediate execution of the said Decree against the property of the respondents in the said suit.

Signed / Wm. Rajd
Henkla Secretary to Govt
Bahadur Zemindars

(True Translation)
Signed / Geo. Smith
Collector

9826. Fort St. George 5th September 1812.

No 2

To The Judge
in the Zillah of
Sir, Rajahmundry.

In reply to your letter of 25th Instant, desiring me to furnish you with any information as to the probable period of the final Settlement of the arrangement, regarding the execution of the Decree on appeal Suit No. 10 of 1808, I have the honor to inform you that on the receipt of your former letter dated 28th March, a reference was made by me to the Board of Revenue on the subject, on the 30th of that Month, Copy of their answer

9827. Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

(21) 21st April Answer to which 28th. Ditto
1842. hereunto to submitted and further
to state that the report therein
alluded to, as having been
called for on the 11th of April,
was submitted on the 11th May last
and is now under the consideration
of the Board, whenever
answer I am duly expecting.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors' Office } (Signed) G. F. Smith
Cavanada 29th July 1842.
(19-20)
Collector.

Order thereon -
Read again in 8 12th Dec.

Ordered to be answered
Read the following
letter -

9828. Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

Sir,

From the Collr. of Guntoor - - -

I have the honor
(21) to forward the accompanying
statements shewing the total
Settlements of the Abkarry
and sundry small farms
amounting to Rupees 2150-4-12 -
being a Net increase of Rupees
Abkary - 833-- +
Sundry small farms - 39-6- 872-6-0 for fusly 1257 above the
Total - 872-6-
preceding years -

Guntoor
Collectors Cutcherry, } (Signed) H. Stokes
20th August 1842. -
Collector.

Statement

1529.

Statement

5th September 1842.

fusly. together with Increase or Decrease.

Number.	Names of Tannahs	Total.	Amounts of Demands for fusly 1250. Abkarry.	Sundry Small farms and licenses.	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	
1	Guntoor Tannah	750 ..	2185 ..	55 ..	2240 ..	570 ..	.. ..	
2	Mungalore Sherry Do.	40 ..	21 ..	19 ..	40 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		11 ..	6 ..	5 ..	11 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		25 ..	18 ..	7 ..	25 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		26 ..	15 ..	11 ..	26 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		4 12 ..	4 12 ..	.. ..	4 12 ..	4 ..	.. ..	
		50 ..	50 ..	.. ..	50 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		1 ..	1 ..	.. ..	1 ..	.. ..	.. ..	
		12 ..	12 ..	11 ..	23 ..	11 ..	.. ..	
		18 8 ..	18 8 ..	.. ..	18 8 ..	.. ..	.. ..	

9830.

Fort St. George

5th Septr

Number of Tannahs	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Amounts of Proposals	Names of Renters	Names Remarks	Stations	Number of Stations	Amount of Proposals	Names of Renters
	Parakalapoody	1					1		
	China Caudany	1					1		
	Churranoor	1		Pandy Cotayah of Pella			1		
	Saudapully	1		Mungalagherry & Cunnary		Saude	1		
	Autoor	1		Cotayah of Old Mung-			1		
	Peta Mungalagherry	1		galagherry		of Me	1		Rakah Naugayah of
	Nomboor	1					1		Guntoor
							1		
	Swongaraphaud	1		Aurapully Bootchamah		Soorey	ody 1		
				of Swongaraphaud		Swong	1		
	Mellempoody	1		Bommereddy Naugumah		Dontere	1		
				of Mellempoody		Mallee	1		
	Mundadum	1		Bordum Venkata Lapa		Wake	1		
				of Mundadum				40	
						Venkat			Veerka Nauamah of
	Vullabhaporum	1		Dondagunny Ramaih		Dontag	1		Pedapurroo
				and Varum Venkaih		Parum			
				of Vullabhaporum		bhapo	1		Cossory Veerayah of Ba
	Peda Vuddlapoody	1		Chetlatommun Sashayah		Dizaga			tapoody
				of Peda Vuddlapoody		Vuddla	1		Cossory Paroyah of Ne
	Coppoo Ramoo	1		Soom Gopalroon of		Gondala			damurroo
				Guntoor				43	

Fort St George 5th September 1842.

Rents accepted for fusly 1250.

Amount of Demands for fusly 1250.

Number	Names of Securities.	Abkary.	Sundry small farms and licences.	Total.	Abkary.	Sundry small farms and licences.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
1	Guntoor Chelmiah of Guntoor	442 13 .	236 4 .	679 1 .	245 5 .	232 4 .	677 9 .	1 8 .	. . .	
2	Cossoory Cotiah of Pedapurroo	25 8 .	12 . .	37 8 .	25 8 .	12 . .	37 8 .	. . .	. . .	
3	Cossoory Cotiah of old Mungalagherry	2 8 .	. . .	2 8 .	. . .	. . .	. . .	. . .	2 8 .	
4	Cossoory Papayah and Ackayah of Nedamurroo	5 . .	. . .	5 . .	4 . .	. . .	4 . .	. . .	1 8 .	
					7 8 .	. . .	7 8 .	. . .	2 8 .	
		1152 13 .	536 9 .	1659 6 .	1144 15 .	532 1 .				

1832.

Fort St George

Number of Tannahs	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Amount of Names of Renters
3 Pulltepaud Tanah	Vungeporum	1	Pellapauty of Pulltepaud and Vinkayah of Caimoomaun
	Pulltepaud	1	
	Annavarum	1	
	Anapurroo	1	
	Peda Pundepaud	1	
	Woopparoor	1	
	Caimoomaun	1	
	Peda Cherroor	1	
	Gollapoody	1	
	Mooloocoodoor	1	Cundoola Peda Comayah and Dasary Cholayah of Mooloocoodoor
	Condapauloor	1	Cundoola Veerayah of Condapauloor
	Ratoor	1	
	Appapoorum	1	
	Vully Cherroor	1	
	Cairempoody paud	1	
	Adoosomully	1	
	Danarapully	1	
	Enagullor	1	
	Wonnauah	1	Vamoolacondah Venketa ramoodoo of Chilkalorpaud
	Duntarapaud	1	
	Juggapoorum	1	
	Appecuttah	1	Peetah Reddy of Appecuttah

22

5th Septr

Proposals &c

Names	Deceased	Remarks
Dasary &c		
Cundoola		
Veerayah		
Cundoola		
Cherloo		
Yemenady Sunnivesi		
Pellapa Vungapa		

Amount of Proposals accepted

Names of Renters

Naralasetty Baupanah &c of Baupettah

Comaracole Naugaswerroo of Nagarapatam

Nullamalee Potayah and Duvanerlah Buswayah of Yazuly

Cautoory Suttayah &c 8 Versons of Bulsoolapodlem

Casana Cautayah of Pullaputtah

Yamenady Ramasah of Auvombakah

Cautoor Baupayah of Caimoor

Bollagany Moottatoo and Do Veerayah of Chundole

Cary Baupayah and Do Bootetenna of Condoobotla pollem a hamlet under Baupettah

983

Fort St George

the September 1842

ted for fusly 1251.

Amount of Demand for fusly 1250

Number	Names of Securities	Akkarry	Sundry small farms & Licences	Total	Akkarry	Sundry small farms & Licences	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
3	Pulekercun Lutchmenurroo of Tar Bunpullah		228	228		201	201	27		
	Collepara Lutchmenurroo of Nerganpatam	46	84	130	43	78	121	9		
	Naliamala Rungayah and Cary Veerayah of Gazalu	125	105	230	117	105	222	8		
	Dasary Moottayah &c. 8 persons of Vulsoolapollem	86	154	240	81	154	235	5		
	Makah Moottayah of Anuldeeny	10	9	19	10	6	16	3		
	Atchootanna Venkatachellum of Narsimhakalu	33 8	28	62	33 8	22	55 8	6 8		
	Coutoor Seetayah of Cannoor	16	22	38	15	21	36	2		
	Bollagany Yerra Bootchenna and Be Nullah Bootchenna of Chundole	118	117	235	115 8	111 8	230	5		
	Cary Chuntayah and Peetah Narayanah of Condoolchollapollem a hamlet under Banpelle	220	130	350	213	129	342	8		

9834

No. of Tanaks	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters	Amount of Rent
	Purlie	1	Condoory Moodliyar	
	Ganapaurum	1	Purlie	
	Cherkoomully	1	Lutchy Goorayah Cherkoomully	
	Neerjoody	1	Parisa Soobbayah Ella Pedagundroyden	
	Paula	1	Coopsala Cavaly Boyeny Jogayah &c. Venkayah &c. Nangaya &c.	
	Venlapollum Annamully Nainepully Simlapauram and Mottopully	1	Chiny Cotta Pauray Narrayah Pauray Cassee Pauray	
	Colla Rauoo Semlet in der Nainepully	1		
	Amartalon	1	Ammerta Moodly Simi Cotoor	
	Poloomerkah	1	Moyoor Narayah Money Swamy	
	Chitty Cloicoopsellum Nully and Nainepully	1	Pundal Pauray	
			Nainepully	

9th Septr

Proposal Names	Remarks	Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters	Amount of Rent
Cary Ve		ency	1	Comaravole Naugaswerow Nezampatam	
Cherkoo		Hapoody	1	Do. of Do.	
of Cherk		n	1	Casana Moottayah and Bellumcondah Goorayah of Ruggem	
Paresah					
Venkaya			1	Vyanoomola Naraidoo and Voospala Naraidoo of Pootcha Coorroo a hamlet of Alloor	
Nasan					
spah Co		ody	1	Voospala Reddy of Bapoody	
Atchen					
Chabrol			1	Voospala Venkayah &	
Paupay		Talloo of Do.	1	Chenchayah of Nagrum	
of Venta				Lookah i Moosalayah	
		ttlapoody	1	Wooyoor Audana Biyam	
Pamerty				mala Poollayah of Pe	
italoor		lly	1	Muttapoody	
Veswano					
stoomer		arroo	1	Cheroo Collah Moosalay of Allapurroo	
Curinir					
Vainep		ole	1	Tauta Bootchena &c. Moosalayah & Canaga Bootchena of Razanu	

Number

3 Pu
J.

Statement of Sequestrations accepted for fasly 1251 — 4th September 1842

Amount of demand for fasly 1250

No.	Names of Sequestrations	Pakkary	Sundry small sums & Licenses	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		Rs a fs	Rs a fs	Rs a fs	Rs a fs	Rs a fs	
1	Collesseram Sulchanaresswara Nagamsatam	375 " "	195 " "	570 " "	38 " "	" " "	
2	Do. ——— of Do. ———	200 " "	155 " "	355 " "	24 " "	" " "	
3	Vroshpula Somayah and Vencomoola Veerayah of Pungum	36 " "	36 " "	72 " "	3 " "	" " "	
4	Vencomoola Veerayah & Venkayah of Pootchaveram a hamlet of Nellore	40 " "	40 " "	75 " "	4 8 "	" " "	
5	Vroshpula Colayah of Bapatlah	16 " "	3 " "	18 " "	1 " "	" " "	
6	Vencoyah Bungaroo and Ramanah Veerayah of Pagrum	63 " "	45 " "	106 " "	5 " "	" " "	
7	Kothah Nursayah Cranky Cristnayah & Vencoyah Jakiah of Peda Mullapudy	169 " "	271 " "	434 " "	5 12 "	" " "	
8	Budyoo Nurrayndoo of Allapurroo	13 " "	5 " "	20 " "	1 " "	" " "	
9	Wendracondah Rayaroo Tauta Sarayah & Veerayah of Rayanelu	145 " "	112 " "	255 " "	5 " "	" " "	

9836.

No.	Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters
	Nurasully		1	Rhaun Bayhoonahe Samaygaroo of Nurasully
	Cayala Talloo of Edoosully		1	Cay Condiah & Chinda Pilla Naygandah of Edoosully
	Poodewadah		1	Nurasully Mootlagee Pungaloo Rayhoonahe Coogaroonala Potlah Moonnum Venkayah and Rhasamy Baupoo yah of Poodewadah
	Cayala Talloo &c.		1	Reddala Sashiah and Co. Ammiah of Idemadah
	Nezampatum & Chundole &c. Villages		1	Cauttoory Samayah of Polchaccorroodumdy
	Garden Situated in the Travellers Bungalow at Bauptellah		1	Addankey Seroopah of Bauptellah

6th Sep

of Pensions

Name	Remarks	Number of Stations	Names of Renters	Amount of Pay
Ponnay				
Soma		lly	1	Comaraoole Naugaswa raw of Nezampatam
Cary				
China				Seekerum Venkatroy doo of Bauptellah and Pamedeboyana Pudda yah of China Mottayap
-pully		tlah	1	
Nuray				
-vy Nai				
Poonn				
-dah N		Talloo of Bulo		Mogalpoonoo Venkatas and Poolegueddah Itche of Bulloolapallem
		allem	1	
Yella				
-yah				Woojoor Parayah and Casana Ramayah of Cochinapoody
Poode		na poody	1	
Cauto				
Odda				Corumby Veerayah and Maunoora Moosa layah of Yalatepalle
Bouy		tepallem	1	

Fort St. George

Abstract of Rentals accepted for fusly 1251

5th September 1842

Amount of Demand for fusly 1250

Names of Securites	Ab'ry	Sundry Small farms & Licences	Total	Ab'ry	Sundry Small farms & Licences	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	
Collepara Lutchmanen of Nezampatam	70 " "	25 " "	95 " "	64 " "	25 " "	89 " "	6 " "	" " "	
Casava Veerayah of Nuckalawarepollum a hamlet of Baipetlah and Yanconoor	165 " "	340 " "	505 " "	165 " "	342 " "	507 " "	" " "	2 " "	
Bulloola pollapoo Nekkenna and Bysany Chellum of Bulvoolapollam	" " "	30 " "	30 " "	" " "	29 " "	29 " "	1 " "	" " "	
Borra Roockmenayah and Gunna Veerayah of Coochenapoody	257 " "	155 " "	412 " "	247 " "	155 " "	402 " "	10 " "	" " "	
Gauly Nauraimsah of Yalatepallem	5 " "	17 " "	22 " "	4 8 "	17 " "	21 8 "	" 8 "	" " "	
	3466 8 "	3510 8 "	6977	3187 12 "	3377 8 "	6565 4 "	417 12 "	6 " "	

9838

Jaffna Kachcheri 5th Sept

Inwards

Names of Stations

No. of Tanks	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters
5 Vegampatan Tanah	Whole Villages of the Tanah	5	Comrawaloo Naugawen Muttoo of Vegampatan
6 Chinna Ganjam Ganjam &c.	Chinna Ganjam	1	Curumma Jogiah of Chinna Ganjam
	Peda Ganjam	1	
	Cudua Coodoor	1	
	Muttagoontah	1	
	Eloorpallem	1	Naviaraja Veerapah of Eloorpallem
	Wadaravoo	1	Sooraboyen Pedda Pollia of Eloorpallem
		6	
7 Tanaly Tanah	Tanaly	1	Yamenady Vengiah of Tanaly
	Jumpany	1	Tamba Pitchagah of Jumpany
	Crapah	1	Goolamaty Pedda of Crapah

Names of Stations

Names of Stations	Names of Renters	Amount of C
Colloor	Mooray Perayah of Colloor	Pa
Lunkah		
Gawadah	Taudapully Gooramiah of Gawadah	Pa
Pulapaud	Bonnah Potaruzee of Amuntaloor	Pa
roo Taudepurroo		
edlapully	Chabrale Soobbamah of Yedlapully	
Coottoor	Munna Veeramah of Moottoor	K
alamurroo	Cautoory Potadoo of Bodapaud	Ch
Poopalapoody	Dasary Veeramah of Poopalapoody	Da
Peda Condoor	Simhadry Woolucky of Peda Condoor	Co
alapaud	Rakah Nayagayah of Guntoor	Gu
azunla		
oodapully		

Fort St. George

5th September 1842

Proposals accepted for fusly 1251-

Amount of demand for fusly 1250

Names of Securities	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms & Licenses	Total	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms & Licenses	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Immerty Veerayah of Collor	30 8 "	13 " "	43 8	30 8 "	13 " "	43 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Vonna Potarauze of Amur: Collor	3 12 "	" " "	3 12	3 12 "	" " "	3 12 "	" " "	" " "	
Immerty Reddy of Boda: Caud	2 4 "	" " "	2 4	2 4 "	" " "	2 4 "	" " "	" " "	
Kulapanty Appiah of Cudapally	10 " "	2 " "	12	10 " "	2 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	
Chasane Sarkayah of Coattoor	15 " "	8 " "	15 8	15 " "	8 " "	15 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Cayerole Baupayah of Coimdoor	9 8 "	2 " "	11 8	9 8 "	2 " "	11 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Sarry Parayah of 6 Pah: Pallipoody	6 12 "	16 4 "	23	6 12 "	16 4 "	23 " "	" " "	" " "	
Caick Velaur of Pedr: Coimdoor	5 4 "	2 " "	7 4	5 4 "	2 " "	7 4 "	" " "	" " "	
Immoor Chelmiah of Collor	68 4 "	42 8 "	110 12	68 4 "	42 8 "	110 12 "	" " "	" " "	

1840

Number of Tanahs.	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters
Colleparu	1	Casawa Varoyah of Colleparu	
Davercoor	1	Yamenady Vengayah Davercoor	
Intoor	1	Pillaimeendah Varaly Intoor	
Choondcoor	1	Chubriole Paupayah Choondcoor	
Modoocoor	1	Yancoomcolu Varoyah Modoocoor	
Vannoor	1	Yurlaguddah Chelvy Tamboor	
Annavarum	1	Yamenady Condayah & Paupayah of Annavarum	
Anumtavarum	1		
Moonegolepoorum	1	Yamenady Vinkiah of Moonegolepoorum	
Duntaloor	1		

Number of Stations	Names of Stations	Remarks	Number of Stations	Names of Renters
Casawa				
Davoo	ivaly	1		Yameniady Veeroyah
Davoo	olpoot	1		Paravaly
Davoo	Toobrole	1		Gogenany Anundaram
Emain	moor	1		of Nedoobrole
Yanoor	oot	1		Yamenady Vanoomoya
Moodoo				of Epoor
Chaya	hala Taudepurroo	1		Meer Ebraham
Choona				Guntoor
Tanta	ntalapoody	1		Cundoola Paupayah
Tumpa				of Yaraly
Tamenady	ddaloor paud	1		Pillalamurry Baula
Nauguya	Penegoodavilly	1		Kistnamah of Tanaly
Yamena				
Vannoyah				

35

Fasli S. Gut

Statement of Proposals accepted for Fusly 1251.

Names of Securities	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms and Licenses	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	
Dasary Veeroyah of Paraualy	15 " "	3 4 "	18 4 "	" " "	" " "	
Goyenany Peda Aiyah of Nedoobrole	8 2 "	4 2 "	12 4 "	" " "	" " "	
Simhadry Goorukkah of Epoor	7 " "	2 8 "	9 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Hussun Beig of Guntoor	7 " "	8 "	7 8 "	" " "	" " "	
Yamenady Ghuntayah of Mookoodoor	7 4 "	11 "	18 4 "	" " "	" " "	
Pillalamurry Appiah of Tanuly	3 " "	1 "	4 " "	" " "	28 "	
	476 10 "	209 4 "	687 14 "	8 "	28 "	

5th September 1842

Amount of demand for fusly 1250.

Fri 5th Sept

Amend

Propos

Mar

Number of Tanahs	Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters	Remarks
8	Poloor Tanah	Colalapoody	1	Tanda Perumtandal & Cotch of Colalapoody and Cuppuraypoo Mudly of Dronadoola	
		Dronadoola	1		
		Timmanapollem	1		
		Conniny	1		
		Connalpaud	1	Seram Veriah Connalpaud	
		Poollanaurepollem	1		
		Coppuraypaud	1		
		Bullecoorna	1		
		Intholloo	1		
		Cauvenchadoo	1		
		Pettoorepollem	1		
		Chilkalorpaud	1	Tooma Conatiry Cuttempoody Tanaly Tanah at present a Chalorpaud	
		Poyahpettah	1		
		Yedavully	1		
			14		

Cun

Colat

Ser

Comm

Petty

Chilk

Number of Stations	Names of Renters
loor 1	Mahapatoola Tantiah of
llah 1	Yalechoor
llem 1	Pursa Soobbayah of Nai = depollem
1	Amarasem Venkatapulty
rerlah 1	of Cpoor
lah 1	Amarasem Colayah of
1	Colcherlah
rum 1	Jalagum Venkoo of
arauzepully 1	Bommarauzepulby
anoomoola 1	Golla Venkoo of Gund = ganoomoolah
or 1	Amarasem Vroseau Comara Venkatramoodoo
varum 1	Ds Nurroo Cuncherlo Chenchoo and Nullamoloo Goondaloo Vellatoor

Fort St. Geo.

5th September 1842.

unt of Proposals accepted for Fusly 1251.-

Amount of demand for Fusly 1250.-

Names of Securities.-	Aikarry	Sundry Small farms & Licences.-	Total	Aikarry	Sundry Small farms and Licences.-	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remi
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
Mukasetoola Chinna Chenchoo of Yalechoor	14 12.	1 4.	16	13 12.	1 4.	15 " "	1 " "	" " "	
Amarasem Colayah of Naidepollem	13 12.	9 "	22	13 " "	9 " "	22 " "	" 12.	" " "	
Jalagum Achoolo of Bommarangepully	8 " "	8 " "	16	7 " "	8 " "	15 " "	1 " "	" " "	
Amarasem Venkatapully of Eswur	22 " "	13 " "	35	21 " "	13 " "	34 " "	1 " "	" " "	
Tundorsaiony of Immeondahe	22 " "	" " "	22	21 4 "	" " "	21 4 "	" 12	" " "	
Do of Do	21 " "	" " "	21	20 " "	" " "	20 " "	1 " "	" " "	
Bundla Chenchoo and Amarasem Baupunyah of Vellaloor	120 " "	52 " "	172	117 " "	51 " "	168 " "	4 " "	" " "	

9844

Fort St George

Amount

Number.	Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Number of Stations	Names of Renters.
		Bollapully	1	
		Agnicgondalah	1	Cuncherlah Chuncher of
		Gundlapollem	1	Bollapully
		Nompeecherlah	1	Dasary Narrado of Nompeecherlah
		Concalagoontah	1	
		Cnoomellah	1	Naidy Venkiah of
		Chazerla	1	Concalagoontah
		Yanoogapollem	1	Corumby Ramoodoo of Yanoogapollem
		Inavole	1	Bulliny Chinna Chundy of Inavole
		Innaeendah	1	Tundoo Sawmy of
		Peda Cuncherla	1	Innaeendah

23.

Number of Stations	Names of Renters.	Remarks.
1	Dasary Cotayah of Cundepaud	
1	Addunky Veeroyah of Gollapaud	
1	Addunky Vencatasa of Gollapaud	
1	Yarlagudah Soobbayah and Cupparapoo Paupiah of Paulipaud	
1	Boppy Nursimloo of Anuntavarum	
1	Yerrajany Ramoodoo of Goondlapully	
1	Julladah Pitchayah of Nursaroumpettah	
1	Cuncherla Poollayah of Pettoorepollem	

Fort St. George

5th September 1842

9814 Amount of Proposals accepted for fusly 1251

Amount of demand for fusly 1250

Number of Talu	Names of Securities.	Ab Karry.	Sundry Small farms and Licenses	Total	Ab Karry.	Sundry Small farms and Licenses	Total	Increase.	Decrease	Remarks
		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
	Dasary Moocoondoo of Cundapaud	12 8 . .	2 . .	14 8 . .	12 . .	2 . .	14 . .		8 . .	
	Coonsetty Abbayah of Gollapaud	14 8 . .	2 . .	16 8 . .	14 . .	2 . .	16 . .		8 . .	
	Di —— of —— Di ——	12 . .	2 . .	14 . .	11 . .	2 . .	13 . .	1 . .		
	Yerragary Ramoodoo of Goondlapully	58 8 . .	6 . .	64 8 . .	57 . .	6 . .	63 . .	1 8 . .		
	Voosereecayala Nungayah of Arumtavarum	46 . .	8 . .	54 . .	44 8 . .	8 . .	52 8 . .	1 8 . .		
	Yarlguddah Soobbayah and uppara Pedayah of Paulapaud	104 . .	10 8 . .	114 8 . .	104 . .	10 8 . .	114 8 . .			
	Illoor Brumhest of Nursarampettah	155 . .	20 . .	175 . .	182 . .	21 . .	203 . .		28 . .	
	Gulladah Patchayah of Nursarampettah	4 . .	. .	4 . .	. .	. .	4 . .			
		406 8 . .	50 8 . .	457 8 . .	424 8 . .	51 8 . .	476 . .	9 . .	28 . .	

Fort St. George

Number.	Names of Tanahs.	Names of Stations.	Number of Stations.	Names of Renters.
11.	Vellatoor & Rachoor Tanah	Conatipoorum	1	Pudmaty Colayah Moorary
		Jullapully	1	Raiboo Pamorty Veerayah
		Jayavarum	1	and Sumpany Veerayah of
		Pullecondah	1	Conatipoorum
		Esoo-Rapully	1	Yerragoondla Reddy of
		China Anuntavarum	1	Esoo-Rapully
		Peddavarum	1	Vadla Tavoonga Lachanna of Peddavarum
		Ray-pully	1	Balapoody Punlah of
		Canagala	1	Ray pully
		Nadimpully	1	
		Goondlapully	1	
		Nulloor	1	
		Ponna-pully	1	
		Soora-pully	1	Hooly Mooda Rayah Cauloo Veerayah and Morla Moottayah of Soora-pully
		Bhullepole	1	Auria pully Soobbayah
		Addapully	1	Yarlagaddah Venkayah Veerayah and Cauloo Narrainyah of Bhullepole
		Nanavarum	1	Chitty mudoo Soorayah Nanavarum

Number.	Amount	New Remarks.	Number of Stations.	Names of Renters.
	Pudi			
	poory		1	Vakah Ammayah of Veswasswarum
			1	Pooly Nekayah of Soora-pully
	Cust			
	Issoo		1	Veerunky Surayah of Panamoody
	Vadai			
	Peddi		1	Bellumcondah Venkatasa
			1	of Wooppoody
	Cus		1	Cautoory Moottilingah of Goondlapully
	Cas			
			1	Wooyoor Soorayah of Batapoody
	Pudi		1	Wooppala Pentayah and
	Soori			Cassana Venkayah of Voloor
			1	Borra Baswayah and
			1	Wooyoor Soorayah of Ray pully
	Cus			
	Bai		1	Villagany Chintayah of Cauroomoor
			1	Wooppala Venkayah and Pangaloo Venkayah of Canagala
	Tavi		1	Morla Moottayah of Chattragunddah

Number.

Fort St. George

Amount of Proposals accepted for fusly 1251.

5th September 1842

Amount of demands for fusly 1250

Names of Securities.	Sibbery.	Sundry Small farmed Licensed.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
11. Ra Harkah Venkatasa of Pedda Pon Lenurroo	5 ..	7 ..	12 ..			
Pudmaty Soobbayah of Soorapailly	2 ..	.. ..	2 ..			
Casana Moosalayah of Panoomoody	12 ..	.. ..	12 ..			
Bellumcondah Royelgaru. do of Woppoody	5 8.	3 12.	9 4			
Munnem Goorvapah and Borra Veeroyah of Gondla. pully	22 ..	79 ..	101 ..			
Bollagany Goorvapah of Botapoody	54 ..	50 ..	104 ..			
Casana Appayah of Vollaroo	58 8.	64 10.	123 2			
Pandapailly Soobbayah of Rayapully	65 8.	28 ..	93 8			
Sonty Venkayah of Cauroomoor	24 ..	37 ..	61 ..			
Custoory Beemiah of Canagala	18 6.	11 10.	30 ..			
Veerunky Ammayah of Chuttragudda	3 4.	3 ..	6 4			

Sibbery.	Sundry Small farmed Licensed.	Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
.. ..	.. ..	12 ..	" "	" "	
5 ..	7 ..	12 ..	" "	" "	
1 12	.. ..	1 12	" 4	" "	
.. ..	.. ..	12 ..	" "	" "	
5 8	3 12	9 4	" "	" "	
22 ..	79 ..	101 ..	" "	" "	
54 ..	50 ..	104 ..	" "	" "	
58 8	64 10	123 2	" "	" "	
65 8	28 ..	93 8	" "	" "	
24 ..	37 ..	61 ..	" "	" "	
18 6	11 10	30 ..	" "	" "	
3 4	3 ..	6 4	" "	" "	

1818

Fort St. George

Number of Slaves	Names of Stations	Number of Slaves	Names of Renters	5th Section &c. Amount of Rent	Names of Farms Remarks	Number of Slaves	Names of Renters
11	Vellatoor 8 Rachoor — Tanah — Continued		Donapoody —				Casava Baupayah of
		1.	Casava Appayah of Donapoody —			1.	Rachoor —
							Borrah Veeroyah of
	Peda Poolemurroo —	1	Wakah Bontchina and Jumpaiy Venkatamoodoo of Peda Poolemurroo —			1.	Goregapoody —
							Wakah Sashayah Look
						1.	Ghuntoyah and Waka
	Nadimpully —	1	Wakah Venkatasa of Peda Poolemurroo —				Moottayah of Vellatoor —
	Goddamully —	1.					Padamaly Stckayah of Ch
	Tolapully —	1.	Custoory Brumbiah of Tolapully —			1.	Stragudah —
							Tollory Veeroyah and Cas
	Chanaly —	1	Bollagam Saubah of Chanaly —			1	Appayah of Ranne
							Anuntanarum —
	Roodranarum —	1	Pandapully Soubbayah of Ray-pully —				Canagala Soobbayah
						1	Razavole —
	Dhoolapoody —	1	Coomky Moodayah Ray- nee Gomdoo Wakah Payayah and Kaiaiky Moodayah of Dhoo- lapoody —				Wakah Venkatasa of
						1	Peda Poolevurroo —
	Chatragudah —	1	Markah Veeroyah Di- Gungayah and Pudmaly ex-Rayah of Chatra- gudah —				Sunty Lutchayah Jedoo
							Baupayah Wakah Pota
						1	Yarlagudah Moottaya
							and Morla Veeroyah of
	Pamedemurroo —	1	Chelacola Veeroyah of Pamedemurroo —				Pataroo —

Rent

Loakah John ... Loor —

Colagasy 61 10 ... Poolemurroo —

Annagasy 5 ... dimpully —

Annagasy 3. 6 ... pully —

Yamenasy 2 ... mals —

Makah Sy ... pully —

Vuzzya See China Soobb 90 ... poody —

Veerun Ky ... Chatragud 10 ...

Chennoo O. ... demurroo ...

Fort St. George

Amount of Proposals accepted for July 1251.

Names of Securities.	Ab. Kurry	Sundry Small farms and licenses.	Total
	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.
Pasoopoolaty Venkoo of Rachoor	13 . .	10 8 .	23 8 .
Wakah Potayah of Pataroo	15 8 .	15 8 .	31 . .
Wakah Baupayah of Vellatoor	114 . .	148 . .	262 . .
Wooyoor Venkata Narsa yah of Chatraguddah	11 8 .	. . .	11 8 .
Casana Peda Soobbayah of Ranne Anuntavarum	22 8 .	20 8 .	43 . .
Wakah Venkatasa of Poolevurroo	2 . .	. . .	2 . .
Woyyoor Soobbayah of Pedalunkah	32 . .	23 . .	55 . .
Wakah Venkatasa of Peda Poolevurroo	308 14 .	289 2 .	598 . .
	1476 . .	1614 9 .	3090 9 .

5th September 1842.

Amount of Demand for July 1250

Ab. Kurry	Sundry Small farms & licenses	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
14 . .	10 8 .	24 8 .	. . .	1 . .	
15 8 .	14 8 .	30 . .	1 . .	. . .	
129 . .	168 . .	297 . .	. . .	35 . .	
12 8 .	. . .	12 8 .	. . .	1 . .	
26 8 .	24 8 .	51 . .	. . .	8 . .	
. . .	. . .	. . .	2 . .	. . .	
33 . .	24 . .	57 . .	. . .	2 . .	
360 14 .	339 2 .	700 . .	. . .	102 . .	
1580 . .	1757 1 .	3337 1 .	3 8 .	250 . .	

1850

Numbers of Stations | Names of Stations | Names of Renters | Remarks

12 Coira lands Tamals — Attchenmapettah — Comara Chamadoo of Cunnapettah
Conoor — Amarana Attchina Conoor
Cheganna lands — Gandy Chetty of Cheganna lands
Catavarum — Pallandy Modeliar of Attchenmapettah
Chintapully — Pedda Chetty of Pedda Cottah
Connacullo — Emmandy Ingra of Connacullo
Navalecullo — Connacullo
Valleporo — Comara Chetty of Anderanagrum
Ramalah — Hoospala Cotaya of Chundarapully
Lackaranga Garla and Cheruga Pollem — Cuncherla Chetty of Neelanga Cottah
Didoogoo — Chetty of Chingalapatty
Colloor — Pedda Chetty of Cunnamadoo

Bazarah
Raindoo of
Goly Goo
Goly Te
Boosara Pa
=napettah
Bazarah
Coorapaud
Ranzoola
=neculloo
Bozza Pa
=grum
Hoospala
=rapully
Cuncherla
=param
Gazely Te
=natty
Hoospara
Colloor

5th September
Proposals acc

Numbers of Stations | Names of Renters | Remarks

3 — 1 Hoospala Cotayah of Bundaroopully
— 1 Cuncherla Rungapah of Comperlapaud
2 — 1 Noogoor Cotayah of Jerrapallem
Pallem — 1 Dasary Cotayah of Cundepaud
18
— 1 Gollah Ramana
— 1 Condaveed
haud — 1 Borra Veerayah of
5 — 1 Noodroopaud
rum — 1 Cuncherla Kistniam
— 1 of Cherungepooram
— 1 Dasary Naugamah of
— 1 Nandeela
subject to — 1 Cuncherla Paupah of
— 1 Sultanapully
— 1
loo Dea — 1 Meerja Secundrah of Condaveed
13

Amount of Proposals accepted for Fusly 1250.

Names of Securities of Abkary	Sundry small Farms & licenses	
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Mustpala Soobbayah of Bamu=daroopully	6 8 ...	1 ...
Mohatund Sudasaungo of Comperlapaud	3	2 ...
Pundy Ramlingum of Yerrapollem	4	1 ...
Jullada Bollaya of Guntoor	4	1 ...
Satellah Nurrimloo of Pelta Mahomadapooram	25 5 ...	2 7 ...
Peyacoma Atchereddy of Noodroopaud	31	4 1 ...
Cunckerla Veerayah of Pherungepooram	18	2 ... ...
Polla Ramana of Cu=daneed	27 5 ...	1 9 ...
Juckoola Cherendas Shaick of Vudlapully	26 12 ...	3 1 ...
Cauramba of Cudaneed	... ...	56 4 ...
	126 6 ...	169 10 ...

5th September 1842

Amount of demand for Fusly 1250.

Abkary	Sundry small Farms & licenses	Total	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
12 8 ...	1	13 8 ...	... ...	6 ...	
2 8 ...	1 8 ...	4	6	... ...	
4	1	5	... ...	... ...	
4	1 8 ...	5 8 ...	... ...	... ...	
217 4 ...	67	284 4 ...	7 12 ...	6 ...	
24 9 ...	2 7 ...	27	... 12 ...	... ...	
22 12 ...	4 1 ...	26 13 ...	8 4 ...	... ...	
18	2	20	... ...	... ...	
24 5 ...	1 9 ...	25 14 ...	3	... ...	
24	2	26	4 4 ...	... ...	
... ...	154 4 ...	154 4 ...	2	... ...	
113 10 ...	166 5 ...	279 15 ...	18 4 ...	... ...	

9852

Fort St. George 5th Sept
Proposals a

Number	Names of Parish	Names of Stations	Names of Castes	Name, Cast & Place	Number of Letter	Names of Renters
14	Patchapully Tanah	Chintapully	Comatee & Chetty	Yerragan Chintay	— 1	Davaracondah Nursoo of Mullavarum
		Pala Javarieland			1 —	Makapaloola Cotayah and Do Teroopputty of Gottemooe koolah
		Chitty Palaiah				
		Cumcapoody			— 1	Pundla China Ramiah, Pock = mullaho uttayah Mootoopul Lavayah & Do Paupayah of Paswamoolah
		Pinnaland				
		Mallamayan				
		Pala Columagondah	Vellanandy	Do	— 1	Makapatoola Venkayah of Goorjalah
			Gatamanaiken			
			Pala Chetty			
			Chetty			
		Pinnah	Timmapoyah	Do	— 1	Mauragany Venkayah and Do Moottayah of Timmerecottah
			Chinnamanaiken			
			Chinnamoyah			
			Pallanaiken			
		Patchapully	Chinnayamoyah	Chintay	— 1	Mauragany Moottayah of Timmerecottah and Chetas = wardoo Moottaloo of as Rentachintalah
			Pinnayah Chetty			
			Do Patchapully			
		Gottlaconda	Chinnayamoyah	Yerragan Chintay	1 —	Mauragany Moottayah of Timmerecottah
		Goolacullos	Do Kolacullo			
		Maichavaram	Chetty Vellala	Do	— 1	Bollapully Cansana of Maucherla and Bubbela Gooruppah of Toommapully
		Mullanoor	Chinnamanaiken			
			Chetty			
		Pidugiral	Villa Canty Chetty	Goodalur Bodyar	— 1	Seelum Paupayah of Royavarum
		Chanty Timmapully	Do Chetty			
		Do Carpaduk	Chetty			
		Pasumyapoodan	Chetty	Vellore Ragoola Chintz = gadaik	— 8 —	
		Ragoolapoodah	Pallan			
		Do Calumpully	Do Pallanaiken			
		Caumapully	Chinnamanaiken	Yerragan Chintay		

Amount of Proposals accepted for Fasly 1251.

Names of Licensed Shroffs	Sundry Small Claims & Licences		Total		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	
Davaracondah Chick of Mullanarum	43	1	44						
Yadavally Ramanoojoo loo of Gottemockondah	57	1	58						
Venocondah Chyana & Mulloofully Chendrayen of Piswamoola	155	142	297						
Goodoor Woollayah of Goojelah	52 4	1 12	54						
Pindla Rda Ramiah of Piswamoola	58	1	59						
Pindla Venkayah of Piswamoola	33	1	34						
Chetymandoo Boattaiboo of Pentachintalah	26 8	1	27 8						
Bollapully Pampayah of Bouckerlah	102	79	181						
Sagadala Goomastah of Royanarum	29 8	14	43 8						

5th September 1842.

Amount of demand for Fasly 1250.

Names of Licensed Shroffs	Sundry Small Claims & Licences		Total		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	
C. Akkarry	42	1	43		1	8			
	58	1	59			8			
	145	132	277		20				
	52 4	1 12	54		5				
	57	1	58		1				
	32	1	33		1				
	26	1	27			8			
	100 8	79	179 8		1	8			
	28 8	14	42 8		1				

9854

Fort St George

Amount of

Names of Tanahs	Names of Stations	Names of Peddlers	
Toommasully	Chenamallee Tahsildary of Toommasully		
Moopsalasaud	Vellagaum Cottagah of Moopsalasaud		
Gottepollah	Cancanoor Canicopah of Gottepollah		
Doggy	Dudalaloo Bungaloo of Doggy		
Poolloopully	Cheemagaumoor Dupha-yah Cobbelemalla Cotta-yah of Ellapully		
Polapully	Amraven Cottagah of Polapully		
Munchcullo	Peroocala Cannayah of Munchcullo		
Samarauzapooram			
Pondigul	Tanooty Veetaramadoo of Pondigul		
	20		

Grand Total 344

Stations for which

1 Amaumdah Tanah	Chintalacherroo	1	
2 Villages &c Archoo &c	Talapilloo	½	

Gunteaus Collectors

9855 Forwarding Petition

Resolution thereon. The Petitioner

21-22 of the Collector

Revenue and Land

Trials Jaffna

Junction Registered

in the Engagement

Letter Register

ed.

Read the

Collectors

Letter.

9856 Fort St George 5th September 1842.

To, The Secretary

to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

From the Collector of Chingleput Sir,

I have the honor to submit

Vide Enclosure A translate of a Plaint filed against myself,

23 the Tahsildar of Conjeveram and certain other parties by one Moottial Naik of Serovumtenum, together with a Draft

Vide Enclosure B of the proposed answer, and request you will obtain permission for my defending the suit at the public expense- I have obtained a delay of six weeks to file the answer.-

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Cullicarney 26th August
1842.

/Signed/ A. Freese

Collector.

9857

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Chingleput

Zillah Court.

An original Suit vide 187 of 1842.

Plaintiff

Muddeyanum Moottal Raik son of Veroomal Raik inhabitant of the village of Veroovanteum Talook.

Versus

Tella Vaika Pillay.

Defendants

1. Arthur Rose Esqr in his capacity as Collector of Chingleput.
2. Ettumaiya Pandaram Tahsildar of Conjeveram.
3. Ettumaiya Pygarah Chief Cultivator inhabitant of Veroomanteum.
4. Cothamundy Raik of do.
5. Coopia Candy Raik
6. Ramanuja Agengar do.
7. Alagendo Raik
8. Yagapha Raik
9. Mootoo Raik.
10. Oye Cothaty
11. Candaha Raik
12. Venkatachella Chetty of do.
13. Ramanuja Gengar inhabitant of Conjeveram.
14. Govinda Agengar do.
15. Valayuda Candaha residing at Veroodoy
16. Dano Moodelly residing at Cadaveur
17. Narayana Moodelly

To Wm. Morehead Esqre
Judge in the Zillah Court of Chingleput

Plaint filed in the Court on the 5th day of September 1842

9858

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Venaika Pillay on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above suit.

The Plaintiff sues the Defendants for the recovery of 54 - 3/4 as of wet and dry lands, yielding an annual Revenue of Rupees 144 - 13 - 8 together with the value of Produce amounting to Rupees 457 - 9 on the following grounds.

1. On the 5th July 1839 Plaintiff submitted a Tender to bring under cultivation a certain tract of Punjey Corumbokie land, situated in the Village of Veroovanteum and lying on the west of the Cautopattoo Yairy on the south of Manapullum on the west of the burial ground and to the North of Sodala Tongul, and Casavum Tope, and supposed to comprize about 50 Cowries, then overgrown with Jungle.

2. The 1st Defendant accepted the above Tender and issued an order to the 2d Defendant (the Tahsildar of the Taluk) to measure and deliver the land to the Plaintiff, forwarding for confirmation a Cowle in his name. The 2d Defendant accordingly inspected the land, but as it was entirely covered with Jungle and the

Pymash

9859 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Pymash accounts relating to lands not in the possession of the Village Commun. the functionary informed the Plaintiff that he was then unable to measure the ground that the Plaintiff might commence cultivating or clearing the single fruming that he would get him a Cowle according to the Dur-kast. On this assurance the Plaintiff partially cut the wood and commenced cultivating the land at different parts within the above limits, but on the 14th August 1839 he received a Cowle viz. 55-13½ stated to comprize 3 different numbers of fields, the Document did not shew the situation of the boundaries of the land as it was not even then measured.-

3 The Plaintiff then complained to the Tahsildar the inconvenience he experienced in not knowing what portion of land was given to him by the Cowle when he promised to send for the Pymash according and get him another Cowle for such lands as were omitted in the above Cowle, and that there was no objection to his cultivating any part of the tract of land he chose, and which the Plaintiff accordingly acted upon.

9860 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

4 The Plaintiff however subsequently discovered that the limits stated in his Dur-kast, comprized 40 Cawnies more than assigned to him by the Cowle, and requested that 1st Defendant to grant him another for the said 40 Cawnies, and further begged him to direct the Pymashdar who was then employed in that neighbourhood, to measure the latter as well as the former lands. This request the 1st Defendant declined to comply with. as however the 2d Defendant had desired the Plaintiff to cultivate the whole of the land included in his original Dur-kast the latter accordingly cleared and cultivated more than 80 Cawnies in the course of a few years.-

7th October 1839.

Pymash

5 During the Summahbundy of Fusly 1249 the 2d Defendant brought to the notice of Naraniah the Naib Sherista-dar the circumstance of the Plaintiffs having cultivated not only the waste granted him on Cowle, but also the other lands which he had subsequently re-claimed, and suggested that a Puttah be issued in his name for the whole the latter ascertained by enquiry the truth

of

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

of the above Circumstances, and reported that the 1st Defendant had ordered a Cowle to be granted without specifying the name of the fields, and further directed that the land should be measured when the Cymah accounts were received from the Chittoor District. The Plaintiff accordingly obtained the Cowle for Fifty Cawnies and a similar one for 125 the Cymah accounts not having been even then received as he learnt from the Revenue Circular Orders to that effect. Two years elapsed without the lands held by the Plaintiff being measured and in Fasly 1251 the Plaintiff again cultivated 83 Cawnies.-

6

In addition to the above the Plaintiff also cultivated another tract of 83 Cawnies of Arunny waste in the same Village under a Puttah granted by the 2d Defendant which the Land was required by another person for Cawnies of Cumbly and 6 Pulgee Cawnies of Cumbly for redeeming the waste and levelling and forming

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

and laid out likewise a further sum of 50 Rupees in constructing a new Tongle for their irrigation in addition to the small sum ordered by the 1st Defendant for the above work.-

Whilst the matter stood thus, the 1st Defendant issued a Sakeed to the 2d Defendant to make over to the 3d 4th and 5th Defendants not only part of the lands included in the Cowle given to the Plaintiff but also the whole of those which he had subsequently cultivated without the Cowle; the latter on the 21st August 1841 then submitted a report stating that the Plaintiff had been at considerable expense in clearing and bringing the above lands into cultivation, and that the whole of them were comprehended within the boundaries described in his proposal though they had not been measured that the Cowle issued to the Plaintiff was for 53 - 13¾ and that he promised to get him another Cowle for the other lands which he subsequently occupied with his cognizance upon a Durkast submitted to him

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

had with the Assistance of the 1st and 2d Defendants taken the same steps in order to ascertain the extent of the land and produce to the great loss of the Plaintiff.

The Plaintiff complained to the 1st and 2d Defendants severally of the foregoing subject to which took no notice of his complaint; consequently the Plaintiff has been compelled to file the suit against all the Defendants.

Under these circumstances Plaintiff requests that the Court will recover from the 2d 1st and 2d Defendants the sum of Rupees 457—9—9 being the Value of produce together with the undermentioned land namely Sunjey 12-13¼ yielding a renewal of Rupees 57—10—2, Sunjey 5¾—1 including Sunjey yielding a renewal of Rupees 87—3—6, Total Sunjey and Punjey 5¼—3— Renewal inclusive of the Value of produce Rupees 601—14—5, and further that begs that the Court will award

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

a Decree that the Plaintiff will suffer no impediments from the 1st and 2d Defendants.

Value of Produce

		Rs. a. p.
Sumbah Produce on Cs. 14-10¼ as Cul. 146-4⅞ at 2 Cullums and 1 Mercall per Pagoda		245-15-9
Varagoo Produce on Cs. 11-7 as Cullums 68 Mercals 7½ at 3 Cullums per Pagoda		80-1-"
Horse Gram on Cs. 15-9½ As. 7 Cs. 9½ at 1 Cullum per Do		27-5-"
Sawey Cs. 11-4¼ As. Mercalls 2		"-7-"
Dholl on Cs. 2-As. 13-Cullum 1 Mercall 5½ at Cs. 1-As. 2 per Do		4-6-"
		358-2-9
Straw at the rate of 4 bundles per Cullum being bundles 585 at 8 per Rupee		73-2-"
Varagoo Do at the rate of 6 bundles per Cullum bundles 412 at 16 bundles per Rupee		25-12-"
Total Rupees		457-"-9

(Signed) Tilla Nayaka Pillay

(True Translation)
(Signed) H. Freese
Collector.

Draft of a proposed answer to be filed in the Zillah Court of Chingleput in original Suit No. 187 of 1842. on behalf of the 1st and 2d Defendants.

In answer to the Plaint filed in

to clear and cultivate those lands themselves he was wrong in having given any encouragement to the Plaintiff, and must immediately again point out to that individual the boundaries of the lands mentioned in his Cowle and restrict him to the occupation of those lands, and that he should give the remaining portion of the land to the Villagers.

It is to be remarked that in no case have Cowles been issued by the 1st Defendant without previously causing the lands applied for by the parties to be inspected nor is it customary in any case to recognize the boundaries described in the applications. The terms and boundaries mentioned in the Cowle must be the guide of persons cultivating waste land.

Poykaries have no right to enter upon the cultivation of any land without the permission of the Collector. Consequently the arguments advanced by the Plaintiff that he had cleared certain other land (not included in his Cowle) under the orders of the Tahsildar is a plea that the 1st Defendant cannot admit.

Further the statements of the

Plaintiff that he had received a Puttah for the lands he now claims during the years 1249 and 1250 is false; the rent of the lands specified in the Cowle is alone included in his Puttah. In the Puttahs in question the 53 Cawnies are specifically entered.

The 1st Defendant further desired me to add that the occupation of land by a Poykarry without his consent can in no ways be binding on him, nor can it give the Poykarry any right to the land, and the 1st Defendant having from the commencement refused to give the additional land claimed by the Plaintiff to him he cannot compel him to do so by Law and therefore requests the claim must be dismissed with costs.

(Signed) A. Freese,

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(23-24)

To the Collr of Chingleput

Calling for further information on his letter of the 15th ult. last referring the institution of a suit in the Zillah Court against a Peon of Settlers by one Moottiah Pauk of Seeroor Kum and objecting sanction to defend it at the Govt Cost.

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 26th Ultimo forwarding translation of Plaint filed in

to clear and cultivate those lands themselves he was wrong in having given any encouragement to the Plaintiff, and must immediately again point out to that individual the boundaries of the lands mentioned in his Cowle and restrict him to the occupation of those lands, and that he should give the remaining portion of the land to the Villagers.-

It is to be remarked that in no case have Cowles been issued by the 1st Defendant without previously causing the lands applied for by the parties to be inspected nor is it customary in any case to recognize the boundaries described in the applications. The terms and boundaries mentioned in the Cowle must be the guide of persons cultivating waste land.-

Poykaries have no right to enter upon the cultivation of any land without the permission of the Collector. Consequently the arguments advanced by the Plaintiff that he had cleared certain other land (not included in his Cowle) under the orders of the Tahsildar is a plea that the 1st Defendant cannot admit.-

Further the statements of the Plaintiff

Plaintiff that he had received a Puttah for the lands he now claims during the years 1249 and 1250 is false: the rent of the lands specified in the Cowle is alone included in his Puttah. In the Puttahs in question the 53 Cawnies are specifically entered.-

The 1st Defendant further desires me to add that the occupation of land by a Poykarry without his consent cannot in no ways be binding on him, nor can it give the Poykarry any right to the land, and the 1st Defendant having from the commencement refused to give the additional land claimed by the Plaintiff to him he cannot compel him to do so by Law and therefore requests the claim must be dismissed with costs.-

Signed A. Freese.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

(23-24)

To the Collr of Chingleput

Calling for further information on his letter of the 26th ult last reporting the institution of a suit in the Zillah Court against him & himself & others by one Moottiah Pauk of Seeroonkum and soliciting direction to defend it at the Govt Cost.-

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

Board of Revenue to acknowledge your letter of the 26th Ultimo forwarding translation of Plaint filed in

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

in the Zillah Court against yourself and others by Mootteal Naik of Seroovainkum, and Draft of an answer which you propose to file; and soliciting sanction to defend the suit at the Government cost.-

2 Before recommending your request to Government the Board wish to be more minutely informed on some points connected with the case - The land applied for by Plaintiff was covered with jungle had never been measured, land of this description is always entered in the Accounts with extreme inaccuracy, and it appears probable that all the land which Plaintiff cultivated as belonging to his cowle including that for which he now sues, may have been really comprised in the three Chullums specified in the cowl although according to the Accounts their extent was more than 53 and odd Cawnies - I am directed to request therefore that you will depute a competent and trusty Servant of

+ Rs. 176-177-39
° Draft of answer P. 2.

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

of your establishment to measure those Chullums and compare them with the boundaries stated in the Plaintiff's ° duraphast, and with the adjoining Chullums to ascertain whether or not the land in dispute was included in the tender and cowle - The Board are not prepared to draw the inference which is found in the 3d Para of your answer from the fact of Plaintiff's ° having applied for an additional cowle -

3 I am desired also to request with reference to the 4th Para of your answer that you will explain how the boundaries and extent of the land were defined in the cowle, as it is stated by Plaintiff and not denied by you that the land had not been measured: and you will be pleased to transmit for the Board's consideration, copy and translation of the Duraphast the cowle Plaintiff's Puttahs and the Sabeildars argee alluded to in Para

For Finly + 1249 & 1250.

9873 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Para 3 of your answer.—

4 Supposing the Plaintiff to have no right to the land for which he sues yet he may be entitled to the produce which he raised on it the Board request, therefore that you will report what are the facts of the alleged aggression by the Villagers, and their removal of his grain &c and as it appears that the Villagers now object to Plaintiffs extending his operations that you will state whether they offered any opposition to the grant of the Cowle, as it seems that both they and the curnum accompanied the Tahsildar when he inspected the land after the receipt of Plaintiffs durkhast.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th September 1842

/signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

9874 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Chingleput

Sir,

(25) I being necessary to construct some additional wooden stands for the due preservation and arrangement of the accumulating Records in the Cutcherry at Conjeveram, I have the honor to submit an estimate for the same amounting as to Rupees 69-8/- Sixty Nine, and annas Eight, and request that it may be Sanctioned.—

Chingleput
Collectors Cutcherry
Pullicanney 29th August 1842

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collr

Estimate

9875 Fort St. George 5th September 1842

A

Estimate for making wooden Stands for the Records in the Hoozoor Cutcherry at Conjeveram

	Rs	as	ps
2 Country beams of 9½ feet in length including Cart hire	2	"	"
9 Small Do. of 4 feet in length and ½ feet in thickness at 4 Annas each	2	4	"
1½ Bundles of small Bamboos containing 16 each for the purpose of making Shelves on the stand, at 12 Bundles per Pagoda	"	7	"
½ Viss of Iron Nails for Do.	"	2	"
2 Carpenters at 5 Annas each	"	10	"
Sundry Charges	"	3	"
Sawjeer's Coolly	"	2	"
Cost of each stand	5	12	
Total for 12 Wooden Stands			

Chingleput

Coll[r]'s Cutcherry

Pullicarney 29th August 1842

Rupees Sixty five

E. E. for Signd/ [illegible]

Rd

9876 Fort St. George 5th September 1842

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the estimated charge of Rupees 69- 8- " for making twelve wooden Stands for the preservation of the Records in the Hoozoor Cutcherry of the Collector of Chingleput

(25-26)

Read the following Statement

Statement

9878

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to

(29)

submit for sanction the proposed Sch.e

of Bendy Establishment required during the

Chief Festival on the Hill at

Tirputty for the prevent year. The feast

commences on the 5th of October next.

North Arcot,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 26th August 1842.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector.

Proposed

9879 Fort St. George 5th September

Proposed Moyen Jabetah to be employed for a Month, to render assistance during the Sirpully Brummootchevum Festival in July

Employ	Number of Individuals	Monthly Pay	Total for one Month
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Pushcars	2	35 - -	70 - -
Goomastah	1	10 8 -	10 8 -
Hurcarahs	2	7 - -	14 - -
Shroff	1	10 8 -	10 8 -
Duffadars	1	5 4 -	5 4 -
Peons	39	3 8 -	136 8 -
		Total Rupees	216 12 -

North Arcot

Collrs Circuit Cutcherry

Palmanair 26th August 1812

E. C. P.

/ Signed / J. Goldingham

Collr

Order

9880 Fort St. George 5th September 1812

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter of

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

Sir,

(29-30)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot, and to recommend that sanction be granted for the employment of the usual Establishment on account of the Sirpully Festival, as shewn in the Statement furnished by the Collector at a cost of Rupees 216-12-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th September 1812

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Statement:

Statement

9887 Fiort St. George &c. September 1842.

Statement of Demand, Receipts, and Balance of Land Revenue in the Southern Division of Arcot for Fusly 1251, or from 12th July 1841 to 11th July 1842

(31)

	Demand at the beginning of Fusly 1251	Receipts in Fusly 1251	Balance at the end of Fusly 1251	Remission granted as per Statement of Sept. on the 15th June 1840 and 15th — Route 1841	Net Balance at the end of Fusly 1251
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Old Account previous to Fusly 1223	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Revenue of Fusly 1223	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1224					
1225					
1226					
1227					
1228					
1229					
1230					
1231					
1232					
1233					
Total as Entered in the Statement sent to the Accountant General Fusly 1236	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1237					
1238					
1239					
1240	4,463 12 7	15 15 3	4,447 13 4	" " "	4,447 13 4
1241	3,484 3 4	18 3 6	3,465 15 10	" " "	3,465 15 10
1242	11,491 9 2	202 5 11	11,289 3 3	" " "	11,289 3 3
1243	6,097 11 4	20 7 5	6,077 3 11	" " "	6,077 3 11
1244	7,969 14 9	10 5 7	7,959 9 2	" " "	7,959 9 2
1245	7,729 " 4	61 13 1	7,667 3 3	" " "	7,667 3 3
1246	4,420 3 6	43 8 3	4,376 11 3	" " "	4,376 11 3
1247	2,496 13 10	77 13 7	2,419 " 3	" " "	2,419 " 3
1248	6,435 7 4	45 " 3	5,390 7 1	2,879 12 8	2,510 10 5
1249	114,230 1 7	7,430 " 6	104,800 1 1	4,317 9 5	102,482 7 8
Total as per Demand, Collection, and Balance Statement No. 3, for 11th July 1842	167,818 13 9	7,925 9 4	159,893 4 5	7,197 6 1	152,695 14 4
Fusly 1250	13,897 12 2	330 6 9	5 153 28 7 1	" " "	5,328 7 1
Grand Total corresponding to the Abstract of Receipts and Disbursements for 11th July 1842, of Fusly 1251, Sent to the Accountant General	316,269 11 11	4,999 4 5	165,221 11 6	7,197 6 1	158,024 5 5

South Arcot, Coll.s Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair 31st August, 1842

E. E. B. / Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector.

Ordered

9882 Fort St. George 5th September

Order thereon.

31-32

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pr. Coll.r of Salem } Sir

33 With reference to my letter of the 15th Instant, I have the honor to forward by a Cooly the file of the Sugar therein referred to, the Cooly has been paid half Sicca Fanam Rupees 1 11 a.-

Salem Fillah, 5 o'clock Pr. Coll.r Cutcherry, 20th August 1842. } / Signed / J. D. Gley Princ.l Collr.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

To The Collector of Madras

To the Coll.r of Madras } Sir

33-34 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying packet of Sugar received from the Principal Collector of Salem being the produce of that District, and to request that you will be good enough to submit it

9883 Fort St. George 5th September 1842

to the inspection of competent judges, and acquaint the Board what price it would bear in the Market, as compared with other Sugars produced in the Madras territories also whether it is suited to the British consumer, and what price it would command in England.-

Rev.l Board Office Fort St. George, 5th September 1842. } / Signed / J. D. Boudillon Secretary.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 5th and entered in Consultation 22d Ultimo.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Principal Collector of Madura

To the Pr. Coll.r of Madura } Sir

Con.n 22d Augt 1842. With reference to your letter under date the 5th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue

35 to request that the conversion of the Garee Measure into Indian Standards as sanctioned in the Extracts Minutes of Consultation dated 7th July

In Cons.n 7th July 1842. July.

9884 From Ft. St. George 5th September 1812

July last, to be shewn in the grand total amount of Sall as exhibited in the quarterly accounts furnished from your Office to this Department.

2- The above alteration in the accounts should take effect from the commencement of the present July

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George,
6th September, 1812

(38)

/ Signed / J. D. Boudillon
Secretary.

Ordered that Copy of foregoing letter, together with Extract of the communication from Principal Collector of Madura alluded to, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collectors of the Districts noted in the Margin, with reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 11th of July last.

Ordered also that the following letter be sent, together with the correspondence therein referred to.

To
The Collectors in the
Ganjam
Vizagapatam
Rajahmundry
Masulipatam
Guntoor
Nellore
Chingleput
South Arcot
Pangore
Tinnevelly
Canara
Malabar
Madras.
Circulated to all Maritime Districts Except Madras.

9885 From Ft. St. George 5th September 1812

To
The Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

To the Coll. in the S. Div. of Arcot

Sir,

(35-37)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance the correspondence noted in the Margin, having reference to the substitution of the Indian Maunds of this Presidency for the measure denominated as Garce.

To Govt. 16th June 1812. in Cons. 11th July.
From Dy
To Dy 5th September

From the Principal Collector of Madura 5th inst. 22nd Augt.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
5th September 1812

/ Signed / J. D. Boudillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the P. Coll. of Canara

Sir,

(38)

I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the special sanktion of Government for the sum

Fort St. George 5th September 18

Sum of Company's Rupees (26,400)
Twenty six thousand and four
hundred, advanced for the pur
chase of Tobacco for the Mo
nopoly of this District, for
Fasly 1250, to enable the Civil
Auditor to pass the charges
Paint. Collrs. Cutcherry,
Mangalore, ----
23rd. August, 1842 ----

/ Signed / H. M. Bl
Dpy. Coll.

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that

38-39

Copy of the foregoing letter be
submitted to Government, with
the Board's recommendation
that special sanction may
be granted for the sum of
Rupees 26,400, being the
Amount advanced for the
purchase of Tobacco for
the Monopoly in the
in Fasly 1250.-

From the Dpy.
Coll. of Canara
Requesting
sanction for the
defence of Suit
No. 376 of 1841
brought by one
Ananta Bhatin
the Baicoor Salook
against the Prin
cipal Coll. and
others.

40

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenues
Fort St. George

Para 1. Sir I have the honor to
submit for the approval of the Board of Re
venue a draft of an answer proposed to be
filed in the Suit No. 376 of 1841, brought against
myself and others. A translation of the Plaint
is also forwarded for the information of
the Board.

2. The present case is
one of the numerous instances which occur
in this District of groundless claims
being set up to Circar waste lands, when
durkasts are made for bringing them into
cultivations. From the circumstances
detailed in my answer I trust it will
appear to the Board that the alleged
right of the Plaintiff to the waste
in question, is inadmissible; and as the
Government would lose considerably by
yielding to claims of this nature, I request
that the Board will obtain the necessary sanc
tion for the defence of the suit being conducted
at

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

at the Government expences.

Pt. Colls. Cutcherry
Mangalore.
23d August 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Principal Coll.

No. 1.

Draft of an Answer to Suit No. 376 of 1841
brought by Ananda Bhut.

The Plaintiff in his Plaint
claims, as forming part of his ancestrab
the land granted by the Revenue Authorities
Devapah Shetty the 10th and Veerapa Shetty the
11th defendant in 1842, after being assessed with
Hosagamy Beriz of Ps. 7-5-12. He also claims
the sum of Rupees 80-1-20 being the revenue
levied thereon by the Circar, together with
Rupees as damages. The Plaintiff in support of his
claim, refers to a Punchayets decision passed
in the year Doondoobi, and to the Report
of a Mulnegar in 1239, both, as shewing that
the ground belongs to his estate and that his late
Narna Bhut joined the Daskastdar in a
conspiracy against him, during the enquiry
made in 1242, when the Sharkausts were accepted

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

To these points the 1st defendant
makes the following answer.

The decree of the Punchayet produced by the Plaintiff in support of his claim, is an unattested copy and there is nothing whatever to shew that it was ever confirmed, or admitted by the Collector or even assembled under his authority. It has moreover been acknowledged by Appaya Hegade and Kristapa Shetty, two Members of the Punchayet, who were living in 1242 when the enquiry was made, that the decision was given without any inspection of the ground in dispute. It further appears from the said decree that the Attar allowed, was from the moist, or waste of some other Villages, but not from Canabetta Village in which the grounds claimed by the Plaintiff is situated, as is proved by the statement of certain well informed heads of the Villages.

+ Enam land

The 1st defendant, therefore, urges that the claim of the Plaintiff grounded on the decree of the Punchayet, is inadmissible.

In 1233, a dispute arose between

between the ancestor of the Plaintiff and his tenan
Hunnamunta, when an account was taken of the
Kootwally by the Shanbogue, and was produced in
the course of the enquiry, which account the
said Nagah Blut then admitted to be co
correct. The Kootwally of his Estate entered
the revenue records, according to that account
is as follows.

	Pa	a	
Kootwally of Spots ever under cultivation	22		
Ditto of Spots out of Nelly Manike Juddoo under cultivation		9	7 8
Ditto of Spots out of Nelly Manike Juddoo remaining waste		3	5
	36	1	11

The waste estimated at 3½ Pagodas produce, has been since brought under cultivation, as was shewn in the enquiry made in 1242, and as by a late Aizmaish, the Plaintiff's produce is shewn to exceed its former estimate by Pagodas 2 1 1 it must be clear that his present claim to so large an extent of waste as 37 and odd Madras Bijuary must be false. Had this waste really belonged to his Estate, it cannot be supposed that his ancestor would have omitted to include

include it in the account of the waste of the Estate.

With regard to the Monegar Arantaya's report, which the Plaintiff adduces as supporting his claim, it appears, that it was grounded solely on the Copy of the Punchayets decree, without his making any enquiry, or even inspecting the Spots in dispute suspicion having been entertained as to the correctness of that report a second enquiry was subsequently considered, the result of which clearly shewed, that the former report could not be relied upon, and that the grounds being the Government waste, there was nothing to prevent its being granted on Durkaust. It is further to be observed that Mr. Davis, the then Sub Collector made a personal enquiry of the people of the Village who assembled during the Ranzoor Iunnahlundy, and was satisfied of the Monegar's report being unfounded, and that the second report was correct. He therefore determined that the ground should

should be given one durkaust.

With respect to the Plaintiff's statement that his elder brother Narna Bhut had conspired with others to cheat him, he has in his plaint admitted that on the division of the ancestral property, the waste called "Kelly manike Juddoo" was undivided, it being intended to be shared hereafter. The cohein whom he represents as having defrauded him, was entitled to an equal share of the said waste, it is therefore highly improbable that he would have acted as he is said to have done, to his own injury.

There is no just cause whatever for the Plaintiff sueing the 4th to 9th defendants in the case. He has made them defendants in the suit for the purpose of depriving the 1st defendant of their evidence which he is well aware, will be necessary in support of the defence of the 1st defendant. The 1st defendant therefore prays the Court will cause the said defendant, Viz.t 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th & 9th to be struck out from among the defendants together with the 2nd & 3rd

who being public Servants, have merely acted under the orders of their superiors. Under all the circumstances above mentioned the 1st defendant contends that nothing is due by him to Plaintiff whose claim is utterly unfounded.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Coll.

No. 2.

Translation of a Plaint in suit No. 376 of 1841 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara.

Plaintiff Amuntaya Bhutt inhabitant of Canabetti, in the Shiwapoor Mogany of the Barcoor Talook. — Versus — Defendants 1st No. Blair, Principal Collector of Canara. 2nd Rungarow. Tahsildar of Barcoor 3rd Krishnappa Talook Gomastah of Honore, late Monegar of Hebry in the Barcoor Talook. 4th Veeran Heggade, former Potail of Cattattoor, 5th Roley Shetty, Potail of Kenebetti 6th Doogappa Bullal Potail of Hebry 7th Munjoo Shetty Potail of Canabetti 8th Rungaytallah, younger brother of Bopsaytallah of the same Village; 9th Coombla Dovgappa Shetty &c. 10th

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

10th Dowapa Shetty brother of the said Pitchay Mungoo Shetty, and 11th Irrapa Shetty younger brother of Irramma Heggadty.

I claim from the said 11 Defendants as follows.

When my father Shumbutt his elder brother Moodhoo Bhutt, and younger brother Nagah Bhutt formerly divided their ancestral estate, Mooly No. 2, of the said Village assessed at Rs. 25 — a. 8 — p. of Shara's Benz and registered in the name of my ancestor Nagah Bhutt; they left aside the waste ground called Nellimanikke Juddoo, and assessed at Rs. 8 — a. — p. forming part of the said mung, and shared the rest among themselves. While they were thus enjoying their respective shares, Danay Shaons and Danay Bhundary forcibly interfering in the ground now in question the aforesaid Nagah Bhutt lodged a complaint before the Sahsildar of Karcul, and the case was referred to a Punchayet, who passed a decision, decreeing the grounds in

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

in dispute to belong to the said Estate of our ancestors. In conformity to this decision, the ground was enjoyed as included in the said Mung. After the death of that Nagapah Bhutt, his share fell to me and my elder brother, who both equally divided that share among ourselves in the year Veereetee, and enjoyed it together with the ground in question, as before.

Such being the case, the 10th & 11th defendants called the ground by two different names of Kossakere Juddoo and Kobbrikere Juddoo, and gave in durkhasts for it. The Monegar Anantia held an enquiry and sent in a report that the ground belonged to our mung. Afterwards when I set out on a pilgrimage to Cassee in the Phalguna month Nundanah year, in order to cheat me out of my property, my elder brother Nurna Bhutt, the 10th and 11th Defendants, joined together, and taking the 2d and 3d defendants to their assistance

assistance, the 3d defendant acting under the orders of the 2d defendant came and took a Mahazur from the fourth to the ninth Defendants regarding the ground in question on pretence of a fresh Arzee or application and the 2d defendant thus procured a report from the 3d in favor of the 10th and 11th defendants and recommended that the ground might be given away for Durkaust in confirmation of which an order was received from the Honzoor. It is a notorious fact that the Government Beriz was suspended on account of this particular waste ground which fact the 2d deft disregarded, and fixing a new Beriz of Pagodas &c. 7-5-12 gave the ground up to the 10th and 11th defendants in Susly 1242 the surrounding boundaries being marked out with agreements to pay the assessment by eight instalments, Afterwards in the Month of Kartika, Doormoky year, I returned home from my journey of pilgrimage when I understood that of the said Nellimanike Juddoo, a portion being left out, the rest had been given away on Durkaust, or for being

being converted into a new cultivation. I thereupon presented a petition to the Principal Collector Mr Lewin, protesting against the acceptance of the said Durkaust and stating that the ancestors of the 10th Defendant had cultivated the ground under us on query, and that there were documents regarding it, Viz. the decree of Punchayet and another decree of the Adalat Moonsiff &c. and enjoying that he will consider all these circumstances and have the durkaust cancelled. This my Arzee was referred to the 2d defendant for enquiry which being made, although it was evidently clear that the ground in question belonged to our Durg, and ought not to have been let out on Durkaust, the 2d defendant omitted to report the real facts of the case, lest it might be adverse to the recommendation made for letting the 10th and 11th defendants have the ground in question on Durkaust. An order was therefore issued in disposal of my complaint that I should prosecute my right in the Civil Court. When I applied for a Copy

Copy of that order, an answer was given me that the subject matter of the Complaint would be further carefully enquired into, and another order was sent again to the 2nd defendant for further investigation. The 2nd defendant omitting all the circumstances that were favorable to my case, reported to the 1st defendant I know not in what manner, & when the latter without looking into the merits of my Urzee, issued orders to the end that I should agree to pay the Durkaust, or new Beriz and amicably obtain possession of the ground from the Durkaustdars, or else he (1st deft) could not make the ground over to me.

Hence the 1st defendant having taken upon himself the whole responsibility consequent on the acceptance of the durkaust by his Predecessor caused to be collected the assessment on the new cultivation of the ground, which belonged to our Varg, a corresponding deduction not having been made from our assessment, The 2nd & 3rd defendants gave occasion to a wrong order

order being issued in my case, without conducting the enquiry and making the report in an upright and impartial manner. The 4th to 9th defendant wronged me by giving a false statement or Mahazur, and the 10th and 11th defendants are now in possession of the ground in question, upon Durkaust under these circumstances my suit has reference to all the eleven defendants.

The ground now claimed by me is situated within the following boundaries, Viz:t. The head or top of Sheddicuntina hill, and of Nellimanike Juddoo, on the east.

Doomana hill on the South.

My house, Juddoo ground enjoyed by me, and Hossakere, on the west

Currikodle hill, are marked on the North.

The ground situated within the foregoing boundaries, held by the 10th and 11th defendants under two different names, whereof the boundaries have been marked out, exclusive of the plots in my possession measures, about 35 Moo-dies bejuary in extent, the produce of which as has been estimated (by the sirkar) is 30—1—20 Pr. Pr. Pr. per

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

per annum as the assessment of the ground in question is included in the assessment of my Jung of P 25 — 8 — 4 Beriz and paid by me, and as the amount collected by the Circar from the 10th and 11th defendants is the produce of my land, I therefore claim on this account Rupees 80 — 2 — 20 which has been collected from 124.3 up to this time as being a "double collection made by the 1st defendant. The water which ran to my fields from a Tank in that ground, having been since interrupted, and my cattle having been prevented from grazing thereon, I am subjected to a loss of 30 Rupees for which I also sue all the defendants with my other claims above specified.

I therefore pray that the defendants may be sent for and examined, and that they may be ordered to pay me my demands together with all produce that may accrue up to date of the execution of Decree in this suit.

/Signed/ Anand Bhut

/True Translation/

/Signed/ R. M. Blair

Pt. Collector

Ordered.

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

40-41

To the Actg. Chief Secy. to Govt. ....

Submitting a Letter from the Principal Collector of Canara relative to an action brought against him in the Zillah Court for the recovery of certain land and recommending that the Principal Collector may be authorized to conduct the defence at the public cost.

23d Augt. in Cons. 5th Sept. 1842

Ordered that the foregoing Letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir, Para 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Canara relating to an action brought against him in the Zillah Court, which he solicits authority to defend at the expense of Government. Translation of the Plaint and Mr. Blair's proposed draft of an answer to it, which accompanied his letter, are also herewith forwarded.

2. The Plaintiff seeks to recover some land which was given on durghast to some persons in 1833, which land he claims to be a part of his ancestral marg. Plaintiff founds his case on a decree stated to have been

been passed by a punchayet about thirty five years ago, deciding that the land in question belonged to the varg, which has now descended to him, and on a report made by a Monigar to the same effect. Mr Blair in his answer says that the decree produced by Plaintiff is an unattested copy and that there is nothing to prove it legally valid, and that two of the Punchayettars who were living in 1833, admitted that they passed an award without looking at the land. Considering what the Plaintiff himself says of this award, the Board think that though it may deserve to be received and weighed as evidence it cannot be accepted as proof. With regard to the Monigar's report Mr Blair says that it had no other foundation than the decree above noticed and therefore it cannot be regarded as strengthening the evidence.

3. Mr Blair states several reasons

reasons for considering Plaintiff's claim to be bad, in Fusly 1233 on a dispute occurring between Plaintiff's ancestor and another, on account of the varg was produced and admitted by that ancestor to be correct, this account is now extant, and makes strongly against Plaintiff In 1833 when the land was applied for by durghast, Mr Lavie, then Sub Collector, questioned the Village people regarding it previously to accepting the durghast and only did so after being convinced that the land was Girkar waste, not belonging to any varg, a regular investigation of the same question was likewise made by the Salook authorities, which led to the same persuation, in the Monigars report above alluded to being rejected after this enquiry as erroneous.

4. The Board are of opinion that the Principal Collector has shewn sufficient grounds for going into Court, and they instruct him to recommend that he may be authorized.

Fort St. George 5th September 1842

authorized to conduct the defence at the public cost.

Revenue Board's office
Fort St. George
5th September 1842.

Signed S. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letters.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Principal Collr of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to request, you will have the goodness to furnish me with a copy of Mr. Cotton's letter dated the 29th December 1835, applying for sanction of the Board to dispense with the transmission of the accounts relating to sub-divisions of the Estates which may be made in Canara, except in certain cases.

(42)

Principal Collr's Cutcherry
Mangalore,
23d August 1842.

Signed H. M. Blair
Principal Collr

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(42-43)

To
The Principal Collector of
Canara.

To the Principal Collr of Canara
in Cons. 8th Sept. 1842
in Cons. 25th Jany 1836

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23d ultimo No. 69 and to transmit a copy of Mr. Cotton's letter dated 29th December 1835, as therein requested.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
5th September 1842.

Signed S. D. Bourdillon
Secy

Read

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Malabar

(44)

Sir,

Having, under the orders of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th January last, paid the Chief of Mahé a Annuity of the Ferry Farm on the Mahé River for fasly 1251 viz. company's Rupees (695-0-0) Six hundred and Ninety five, the said Ferry having been rented for Rupees 1399. I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the same.

Malabar Collr's Office,
Calicut 25th August 1842.

Signed H. V. Conolly
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(44-45)

It appears from the foregoing letter, that the Ferry Farm on the Mahé River was rented in Fasly 1251 for Rupees 1399-0-0, a Moiety of which or Rupees 699-8-0 is payable to the French Government, whereas the sum for which sanction is requested is Rupees 695. The Collector will be pleased to state, whether the latter amount is correct.

From the Collr of Malabar

(46)

Read letter transmitting the Statements of Collections and Charges for fasly 1250.

Order thereon.

(46-47)

Ordered to be lodged in the office.

Read the following account current.

Sd

9906

Sent. to Coll.

Dr. Salt Account Current in the Madras Collectorate

48

6th September 1842.

4th Quarter from 1st April to 11th July 1842 of Fusly 1251 &c.

		Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1249	Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1250	Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1251	Total
		Garce Mo Do	Garce Mo Do	Garce Mo Do	
1841 July 12th	Balance of alliput & Villages Salt of former Fuslies on hand at the commencement of the present Fusly	584 191 "	430 179 "	" " "	1014 370 "
1842 July 11th	Quantity of alliput Villages &c. Salt imported to the end of last Quarter	" " "	8 190 "	164 252 "	173 442 "
	do do to the end of this Quarter	" " "	" " "	2433 195 "	2433 195 "
	Gain by Measurement to the end of last Quarter	" " "	18 314 1/2 "	"	315 "
	do do to the end of this Quarter	" " "	" " "	14 201 2/4	14 201 2/4
	Total	584 191 "	457 313 1/2	2603 163 2/2	3645 267 4

			Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1249	Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1250	Quantity of Salt imported on account the Year Fusly 1251	Total
			Garce Mo Do	Garce Mo Do	Garce Mo Do	
1842 July 11th	Sales of alliput Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter	Home Consumption at 105 Rupees p. Garce	555 6 "	14 120 "	62 253 "	631 379 "
		Exportation to Bengal at 42 Rupees p. Garce	" " "	442 333 1/2	" " "	442 333 1/2
	do do to the end of this Quarter	Home Consumption at 105 Rupees p. Garce	" " "	" " "	261 133 "	261 133 "
		Exportation to Bengal at 42 Rupees p. Garce	" " "	" " "	574 366 5	574 366 5
	Wastage of alliput and Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter		29 185 "	" 260 "	" " "	30 45 "
	do do to the end of this Quarter		" " "	" " "	3 154 5/2	3 154 5/2
			584 191 "	457 313 1/2	902 107 2/2	1944 211 4
	Balance		" " "	" " "	1701 56 "	1701 56 "
	Total		584 191 "	457 313 1/2	2603 163 2/2	3645 267 4

Dr

5-th September 1842

Dr. Money Account Current of Salt

From 1-st July

to the Madras Collectorate for the above period

		Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1842 July							
11th	To Amount of Sales of Salt at the Villages Salt to the end of last Quarter						
	Home Consumption at 105 Rupees per Garce	66354	8	3			
	Exportation to Calcutta at 42 Rupees per Garce	18599	"	"	84953	8	3
	do do to the end of this Quarter						
	Home Consumption at 105 Rupees per Garce	27439	15	"			
	Exportation to Calcutta at 42 Rupees per Garce	18224	8	"	45664	7	
	Recoveries of advances to the end of last Quarter	1937	15	9			
	do do to the end of this Quarter	6010	5	2	7948	4	11
	Sales of Old Palmeira Leaves at the Ennore Colaur to the end of last Quarter	1812	7				
	do do to the end of this Quarter				1812	7	
	Total Rupees				138575	"	9

Madras 11th July 1842. E. E.

		Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1842 July							
19th	By Servants wages to the end of last Quarter	3366	13	6			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	1679	1	"	5045	14	6
	By Compensation to the end of last Quarter	1965	10	8			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	60	3	"	2025	13	8
	By Professions and Manimums to the end of last Quarter	238	11	4			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	117	6	"	356	1	4
	By Sundrywards charges to the end of last Quarter	3	12	10			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	1	15	7	5	12	5
	By charges Extraordinary to the end of last Quarter	3023	2	8			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	10706	4	10	13729	7	6
	By payment made to the Manufacturers on account of their Share to the end of last Quarter	1721	12	7			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	23607	7	5	25329	4	"
	By advances made to the Manufacturers to the end of last Quarter	7553	4	"			
	By do do to the end of this Quarter	175	8	"	7728	12	"
					54221	1	5
	Net Revenue to the end of this Quarter				84353	15	4
	Total Rupees				138575	"	9

Signed J. C. Robertson
Collector

Received

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

To

The Principal Collector of

Coimbatore.

Sir,

To the Prin.l Coll.r of Coimbatore } Para. 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Petition No. 1172 of 1842 from Custoory Chetty, and Rangah Chetty of your District, stating that they reported to the Tasildar certain frauds committed by the Choultry servants of Sidegil Choultry which were proved and the servants were punished, but they have not received any Reward for having given the information, and to request you will be good enough to state the circumstances connected with this case for the Boards information.

2 The Board observe in the Monthly Return for April last that some servants of the Sidegil Choultry were punished in that month as stated by Petitioners.

Revenue Board Office } Signed J. B. Bourdillon
Fort St. George } Secretary.
5th September 1842 }

Received

Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Coll.r in the No. Div.n of Arcot	(57)	Abstract of Demand collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.
From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Bellary	(58)	Abstract of Demand collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.
From the Coll.r of Guntoor	(59)	Abstract of Demand collection and Balance from 16th to 11th July last.
From the Princ.l Coll.r of Salem	(60)	Abstract of Demand collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.
From the Ag. Princ.l Coll.r of Tanjore	(61)	Abstract of Demand collection and Balance from 1st to 11th July last.
From the Coll.r in the No. Div.n of Arcot	(62)	Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of July last.

From

From the Sub Collr.
Charge of Bellary
(63) } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.—

From the Collector of
Guntoor
(64) } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.—

From the Principal Collr.
of Salem
(65) } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of July last.—

From the Principal Collr.
of Coimbatore
(66) } Returns of Persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.—

From the Principal Collr.
of Coimbatore
(67) } Returns of Persons holding Village Offices, suspended or dismissed under Section VII Regulation V of 1831 for the Month of July last.—

From

From the Principal Collr.
of Coimbatore
(68) } Stating that there is no Return of persons in confinement, in pursuance of Sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.—

From the Principal Collr. of
Coimbatore
(69) } Stating that there is no entry of Sager Smuggled goods for the Month of July last.—

From the Principal Collr. of
Coimbatore
(70) } Statement shewing the Seizure of Smuggled Tobacco for the Month of July last.—

From the Collr. of Chingleput
(71) } Statement of Smuggled goods recommended for confiscation, for the Month of June last.—

From the Collr. of Chingleput
Vide letter to the Collr. 3. Oct. 1842
(72) } Statement of Smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of July last.—

From the Collr. of
Rajahmundry
(73) } Submitting two Statements of Smuggled Salt Seized from 1st to 11th and from 12th to 31st July last.—

From

9914 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

From the Principal Collr.
of Salem ---
(74) Statement of Articles on which double or treble duty was levied, for the Month of July last.—

Order on the five -- aboumentioned Statts. } Ordered that the confiscation and Sale of the Smuggled goods, as well as the levy of double or treble duty, enumerated in the aboumentioned Statements, be authorized.—
(70-75)

From the Collector of Ganjam
(76) } Acknowledging the Receipt of 1,000 Satt Rs wannahs.—

From

9915 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

From the Collector of Madras --
(77) } Reporting that no Endorsement was made upon any of the Petitions sent in last Month.—

From the Principal Collr. of Nellore
(78) } Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indents of 1841/42, from 16th to 23d August last.—

From the Principal Collr. of Salem --
(79) } Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of June last.—

From

9916 Fort St. George 5th September 1842.

From the Principal Collr. of Salem. Return of Persons in

(80) confinement, in pursuance of Sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of June last.—

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons

(81) suspended or dismissed, under Provisions of Regulation VII of 1831, for the Month of July last.—

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly. Return of Persons

(82) charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.—

" Bant,

"

" Thackeray

"

9916

From the Rev

80 comp
pap
the

From the bell

81 susp
sion
the

From the bal

82 charg
Nation
July