1799 Fort St.t George 10th. February 1842.

Diary.

(1)

From the Collector of Bellary. Received letter requesting leave of absence for 6 weeks. under the provisions of Section XI of the Absentee Rules to visit the Presidency on private affairs.

(1-2)

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted to Government for favorable consideration through the channel of the Civil Auditor.

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday. 10th. February 1842. John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member.
Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member.
Alexander and Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 31st. December last, and entered in Consultation 20th. Ultimo.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply.

(3)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

6 Governmt. Para 1.

With reference to an Extract from

Stating in reference to an Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 31st December last, that the Courts of Udalut being precluded from entertaining suits to the succession of Shrotriums, it will remain with Govt. to decide whether the reasons assigned by Butcha Row are sufficient to admit of the preference of succession to this Shotrium in favor of his second to the exclusion of the eldest son, and that the Board are of opinion that it will be in the power of Government to determine the succession on the lapse of a first life without the formal recognition in the deed of the second son as heir.

Ex. Cons. 20th Jany 1812.

from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st December last. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that the Courts of Udalut being precluded from entertaining suits to the succession of property such as that conferred on Butcha Row, it will remain with Government to determine whether the Statement of Butcha Row that his eldest Son has "always lived separately from the family" and "from the nature of his conduct is unworthy of guarding the family in a respectable manner." is sufficient to admit of the preference of succession to the Shotrium in favor of the Second Son.

2 The Board expressed their doubts whether the bounty of Government should be intercepted from the natural Heir of the family, unless the propriety of such a measure could be clearly shown, and because no objections had been urged by Butcha Row which in their opinion

opinion could interfere with the ordinary course of inheritance under the provisions of the Hindoo Law. This case however does not involve a question of ordinary succession, but of indulgence in favor of one of the eldest and most honorable Native Public Servants of the Government who having received the grant of a shotrium as a reward for his meritorious services, now seeks the option of securing it to his family in the manner most suitable to his own feelings and to this end, the objection to a participation in the honors and distinctions of the family from which the eldest son has separated himself might not, as an act of Grace and consistently with the usages of the Country, be deemed unreasonable. If the wishes of Butcha Row are acceded to, the Board are of opinion that it will be in the power of the Government to determine the succession on the lapse of a first life, without the formal recognition in

in the deed, of the second son as Heir.

3 The Principal Collector of ... Cuddapah having already in a letter forward-
/27th October 1811 & 11th Novr. 1811/ -ed to Government under date the 2nd December recorded his opinion that there would be no impropriety in acceding to the wishes of Butcha Row. the Board have not ... considered it necessary to make a fur-
-ther reference on the point in question to the Sub Collector at present in charge of the District.-

Revn. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1812.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter.
Actg. Secretary.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

4
To the Collr. of Tinnevelly.

To The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board

of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 23d December last, and to convey the Sanction of the Right Honorable
In Cons. 10th Jany. 1812. the Governor in Council for refunding the
From Govt. 25th Jany. 1812. sum of Rupees 18-7-6. to the owner of a
No. 95. Camel which was sold in consequence of
In Cons. 31st Dr. its having strayed, and the proceeds carried to the credit of Government.-

Revn. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1812.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter.
Actg. Secretary.

5
From the Chief Secy. to Government

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 1th January 1812.

Read the following Papers.

To H. Chamier Esqre.
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Judl. Dept. Para 1. I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 205. dated the 9th March last. with its Enclosures, on the subject of Gang Robbery in the Zillahs of the Madras Presidency, and to request that you ... will

will, with the permission of the Right Honora-
-ble the Governor in Council, furnish a
Statement of the plan and expense of the
proposed measure for extending the arrangements
for improving the Police of Tanjore, into the
Districts of Bellary, Cuddapah and Rajah-
-mundry, where, as it appears to the Governor
General in Council, Special improvements
are chiefly required.-

Fort William,
The 3d. May 1811.

(Signed) J. H. Maddock.
Secrty. to the Govt. of India.

Extract from the Proceedings
of the Foujdaree Udalut.

Forwarding Copies
of Letters received from the
Provincial Courts of Circuit
in the Centre & Northern
Divisions. Submitting with
reference to para 8 of the
Minutes of Consultation under
date the 9th March 1811
Copies of the Returns made
by the Magistrate of Bellary, the
Acting Magistrate of Cuddapah,
and the Magistrate of Rajahmundry
Submitting lists of their Police force
as it at present stands, together
with a Statement of the Extra
Police force they consider
necessary to render the Police
Establishments of their respect-
-ive Districts completely
efficient.-

(Rec'd. 11th June, 1811. No. 100.)

Para 1. The Board of Revenue will
be requested to furnish the information
required by the Government of India in
the first of the foregoing letters.

2 It appears from the Proceed-
-ings of the Foujdaree Udalut recorded
above that the Acting Magistrate of
Cuddapah does not consider any addi-
-tion to the District Police necessary. He
seems to think that additional European
aid and some Superior Local Officers
are required, and His Lordship in
Council will be prepared to give consi-
-deration to any suggestions which
may be offered towards that end.
Mr. Hallett has promised a separate
communication on the Subject, but as
he has since left Cuddapah, it will
be for the Officer who may be appoint-
-ed to the District to resume it's consi-
-deration, if necessary.-

3. The Reform and Improve-
From Board of Revenue 7th June 1811. -ment of the Cuttoobady Police of the
Cuddapah District has been
laid

laid before Government by the Board of Revenue, and will be separately considered.-

4 His Lordship in Council doubts whether the modification of the District Police proposed by the Magistrate of Bellary would answer. It would have the effect of transferring the responsibility and the performance of Police duties from the Tahsildars to Ameens of Police, which, as a general measure, he thinks objectionable, besides that Officer seems to contemplate the dismissal of the greater number of the Sirdars and all the Duffadars and Vakeels - the Vakeels may not be required but the Officers would seem to be wanted. His Lordship in Council desires to be informed more particularly of the description of Police denominated Sayir Kot Mootsuddies.-

5 Under the orders of Government dated 15th April 1811, it is observed, a portion of the Kurnool Irregular Horse has been already employed in aid of the Police of the Bellary District.-

6 The Police of the Rajahmundry District has already come under the consideration of Government in the arrangements recommended by the Board of Revenue in their letter dated 29th July 1811 No. 301. and a recommendation for improving it's strength has been made to the Government of India under date the 16th Ultimo.-

Con.t 16th Nov.r 1811. No. 28 & 31.

(Signed) H.T. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

laid before Government by the Board of Revenue, and will be separately considered.-

1 His Lordship in Council doubts whether the modification of the District Police proposed by the Magistrate of Bellary would answer. It would have the effect of transferring the responsibility and the performance of Police duties from the Tahsildars to Ameens of Police, which, as a general measure, he thinks objectionable, besides that Officer seems to contemplate the dismissal of the greater number of the Sirdars and all the Duffadars and Vakeels - the Vakeels may not be required but the Officers would seem to be wanted. His Lordship in Council desires to be informed more particularly of the description of Police denominated Sayer Mot Mofussilies.-

Under

3 Under the orders of Government dated 15th April 1841 it is observed, a portion of the Kurnool Irregular Horse has been already employed in aid of the Police of the Bellary District.-

6 The Police of the Rajahmundry District has already come under the consideration of Government in the arrangements recommended by the Board of Revenue in their letter dated 29th July 1841 No. 301, and a recommendation for improving it's strength has been made to the Government of India under date the 16th Ultimo.-

Cons. 16th Nov. 1841 No. 28 & 31.

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

1808 Fort St. George 10th February 1812.

To

The Sub Collector in charge of

Cuddapah.

5-6

Sir,

To the Sub Coll.r in charge of Cuddapah.—

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance, transcript from the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated the 4th Ultimo, and to Enable the Board to furnish the information called for by the Supreme Government in their communication dated 3d May last. adverted to in the foregoing Minutes of Consultation on the subject of the proposed Measure for Extending the Arrangements for improving the Police of Sangore into the District of Cuddapah to request that you will at your earliest convenience Submit a Statement of the plan and Expense of the Measure proposed to be adopted in your District, in order that the whole Subject may in one view be brought before the Board.

Para 2 / Sent Letter with Copy of Para 4 of the Minutes of Consultation to the Collector of Bellary / Bellary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort Saint George,
10th February 1812.

Signed J. R. J. Porter
Sec.y

1809 Fort St. George 10th February 1812.

7

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th January 1812.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 20th December 1811 No 5.)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the temporary Employment of the Under-mentioned Establishment required for the preparation of the Summahbundy Accounts of the Cuddapah District—

10 Gomastahs for 8 Months.

2 Gomastahs
7 Carcoons } for 4 Months.

Each on a salary of Rupees (10.) Ten per Mensem.

2 The Board of Revenue will be pleased to report whether it is not practicable to prepare the Summahbundy Accounts of the District without the aid of an Extra Establishment, and if not, whether the period of its employment

cannot

cannot be reduced. They will also report in what other Districts, Extra Establishments are employed for the preparation of the Summahbundy Accounts, for what period, and at what expense.

/A true Extract./

/Signed/ H. Chamier.

Chief Secy.

To

The President & Members

of the

Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

To

The Sub Collector in charge of

Sir,

Cuddapah.

8

To the Sub Coll.r in charge of Cuddapah. In Con.n 20th Dec.r 1811-5

In Con.n 18th Feb.y 1812

With reference to your letter of the 1st. December last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased under date the 18th. Ultimo, to grant — authority for the temporary employment of the undermentioned establishment required for the preparation of

of the Summahbundy Accounts of the Cuddapah District —

10 Gomastahs for 8 Months.

2 Gomastahs } for 4 Months.
7 Carkoons. }

each on a salary of 10 Rupees per Mensem.

In granting the Sanction now communicated, the Government have called upon the Board to report whether it is not practicable to prepare the Summahbundy Accounts of the Cuddapah District without the aid of an extra establishment, and if not, whether the period of its employment cannot be reduced. The Board request that you will submit a reply to the above queries with as little delay as possible, and at the same time they desire me to bring to your notice that the extra establishment employed in the Cuddapah District in the preparation of the Summahbundy Accounts involves a greater expence than any that has been applied for

for in any other district, being not only numerically larger, but entertained for a longer period

Revl. Bd. Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1812.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter.
Acting Secretary.

(7-9)

To the Collr. of Guntoor.
The same to
Nellore.
Bellary.
N. Arcot.
S. Arcot.
Salem.
Tanjore.
Trichinopoly.
Madura.
Tinnevelly.
Coimbatore.
Canara —

To The Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, under instructions from Government, to request that you will state whether it is not practicable to prepare the Jummabundy Accounts of your district without the aid of the extra establishment which has been of late years employed for that purpose, and if not, whether the period of its employment cannot be reduced.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
10th February 1812.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter.
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Extract.

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th January 1812.

(10)

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Secret Department dated 17th Jany. 1812.

Read the following Letter from the Collector of Bellary

No. 1.

To The Secretary to Government Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to Minutes of Consultation dated 21st December 1811 I have the honor to state that the House at Bellary is Leasehold and liable to a Quit Rent of Star Pagodas 85. 4. 75 per Annum: the original Grant is not forthcoming. we have a copy in the Office which I conclude will be considered binding upon the Government. I request to be authorized to give a copy of this Indenture with

No. 1652. Date 9th Decr. 1824. Counterpart of this Document will no doubt be found in the Office for the Registry of Grants at Madras.

Signature

signature affixed as a valid Document to be held by the Purchaser.

2. The Assistant Commissioner in charge of Kurnool has desired that I would at the same time dispose of the late Nabob's house and Garden at Adonee for this property also the original Grant is not forthcoming the Register however is in the Office and the arrangement proposed for the houses at Bellary appears equally applicable to this also.

Date of Grant No. 1207 24th April 1807.

3. I beg to suggest the accompanying advertisement which if approved I request you will forward to the Fort St. George Gazette.

4. It will be observed that the Quit Rent on the Bellary House and Grounds is enormous being Rupees 297-9-3 which coupled with the circumstance of the House being an expensive one to keep in repair and the land having been long uncultivated will probably prevent more than a nominal offer being made at the sale, but it is for the Government

Government to consider whether they will not be compensated by the large amount of annual Quit Rent or whether it will be more advisable to reduce the Quit Rent to the actual Survey rate of the land amounting to Rupees 83-4-6, in which case the advertisement must be altered accordingly.

Bellary, Coll.rs Office, 4th January 1842.

/ Signed / A. Mellor Collector.

Notice is hereby given that under Instructions from Government the Houses and Gardens belonging to the late Nabob of Kurnool and situated at Bellary and Adonie, will be sold by Public Auction at the Collector's Office on the 1st February 1842. The House at Bellary is held on a Lease 82 years of which is unexpired there are 56 Cawnies 16 Grounds and 795 Sq.re feet of land liable to a quit rent of Star Pagodas 85-4-75 or C.Rupees 297-9-3 per Annum. The House at Adonie occupies 3 Cawnies

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

Cawnies & Grounds and 1800 Square feet, is held on similar tenure and the Quit Rent Amounts to Star Pags 5-30-15 or Rupees 19-13-6.-

Terms of Sale.-

The Price is to be paid at the time of purchase.-

Bellary.-
Collrs Office.-
4th January 1842.

/ Signed / A. Mellor
Collector

No. 2.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation No. 129 in the Secret Department of the 2nd November 1841 I have the honor to request that I may be informed whether it will meet with the approval of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to employ an Auctioneer in the sale of the late Nabob's property.-

The precious Metals and jewels may be sold under the Superintendence of the Officer in Charge, but the number

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

number of other articles is large and the Auction will occupy more time than can conveniently be spared during the season of the Jummahbundy.-

I take this occasion of bringing to the notice of the Government that the late Nabob has left a house and Garden at Bellary formerly the property of Mr. A. D. Campbell of the Civil Service. This is not included in the Statement forwarded by the Commissioner under date the 5th September 1840. If the Government should approve of its being sold I would venture to suggest that the sale should take place in the Office of the Collector of Bellary due notice being given in the Gazette. The house is at present in the occupation of Mr. Mellor. It is also said that the Nabob had a house and Garden at Adoni, but as this is not clearly ascertainable from the Establishment here I have written on the subject to the

the Collector of Bellary.-

Kurnool,-------
Commissioner's Office,-
Almoor, 2d December 1841.-

/ Signed / H. Stokes
Asstt Commissioner
In Charge

No 3.

To, The Assistant Commissioner at
Kurnool

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2d Instant and to state that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the employement of an Auctioneer to sell such of the property of the late Ex-Nabob of Kurnool as cannot be conveniently disposed of by the Officer in charge of it.-

His Lordship in Council also approves of the House and Garden at Bellary which belonged to the late Ex-Nabob being sold by Auction at the Office of the Collector of the District, due notice thereof being given in the

For-

Fort St. George Gazette and by Proclamation

Fort St. George, 21st December 1841 } at the Station.-

/ Signed / R. Clerk.-
Secy to Govert

No 4.-

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to the concluding part of my letter of the 2d December, I have the honor to enclose for submission to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the correspondence noted in the Margin from which it appears that there is at Adonie, as well as at Bellary, a house belonging to the Nabob of Kurnool.-

To the Collr of Bellary. 2d Dec. 1841. From Do Do 13th Do. Do

Kurnool,-------
Commissioner's Office
Sirvul ----
18th December 1841.-

/ Signed / A. Stokes
Asstt Commissioner
In Charge

To-

1820 Fort St. George 10th. February 1842

No. 1 in 4.

To, The Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

The Government having ordered the sale of the property belonging to the late Nabob of Kurnool, I have the honor to request you will send me the particulars of his house and garden at Bellary and also of real property he may have left at Adonie, where it is reported here that he had a garden and bungalow.

Kurnool,
Commissioner's Office,
Almoor,
2nd December, 1841.

/Signed/ H. Stokes.
Asst. Commissioner

No. 2 in 4.

To, The Assistant Commissioner
In Charge of
Kurnool

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 2d Instant, No. 171 I have the honor to inform you that there is in the Office Copy of a Lease or Indenture No. 1682 granted

1821 Fort St. George 10th. February 1842

granted to A. D. Campbell Esqre. regarding the house &c. at Bellary. we have no further information than that it is generally understood that the House was sold by that Gentleman to a Sowcar and subsequently to the late Nabob of Kurnool

You will probably find the grant among the Nabob's papers, or I can furnish you with a Copy. Regarding the house and Garden at Adonie I have the honor to forward transcript of the only documents to be found in the Office. Both these houses and gardens were in the posession of the Nabob and are believed to be his property.

Bellary District,
Collectors Office,
on Circuit, Adonie
13th December, 1841.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector

No. 3 in 4.

To, The Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

I herewith send for your information a Statement of Grants issued for Lands in your Collectorate with the Amount of Quit rent due thereon.

Madras 8th June, 1809.

/Signed/ J. H. D. Ogilvie
Coll.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Mellor
Coll. Extract

1822 Fort St. George 10th February 1842

Extract from the Statement of Grants &c.

Dist. with the Amount of Quit Rent &c.

No. of Cowle	Situation Name of the Village	Extent Annual Quit Rent
1207	In the Village of Allundagurry near the Pettah of Adonie	5 3 8 1800 5 30 15

Fort St. George 21st April 1807

Quit rent to be collected from 24th April 1807

Signed/ H. D. Sylvie
Collector

True Copy/ A. Mellor.
Collr.

True Copies/
Signed/ H. Stokes
Assistt. Commissioner
in Charge &c

1823 Fort St. George 10th February 1842

No. 5.

To The Assistant Commissioner at Kurnool

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Instant, and to state that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the sale by Auction at the Office of the Collector of Bellary, of the House and Garden at Adonie, which belonged to the late Ex-Nabob of Kurnool, due notice thereof being given in the Fort St. George Gazette and by Proclamation at the Station

Fort St. George
27th December 1841 } Signed/ R. Clerk.
Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the above letter with the former correspondence on the subject be referred thro' the Revenue Department to the Board of Revenue who will be pleased to

do

to state whether in their opinion the
Quit Rent on the House and Grounds
at Bellary belonging to the late
Nabob of Kurnool should be reduced
as proposed by the Collector of that
District, and whether the Titles to
that and to the House of Adonie
may be conveyed in the manner
proposed by Mr. Mellor

/True Extract/
/Signed/ Robert Clerk
Sec.y to Govern.t

Ordered to be communicat-
-ed to the Board of Revenue.-

/Signed/ H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following
address be submitted in reply.-

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board
of

10 - 11

the Chief Secy.
Government

of Revenue to acknowledge the re-
-ceipt of an Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation in the Secret De-
-partment bearing date the 17th. inst.o
communicated in the Revenue De-
-partment under date the 25th. Ultimo
and to state that they see no objection
to the conveyance of the Titles of the
houses at Bellary and Adonie be-
-longing to the late Nabob of Kur-
-nool, being made under sanction
of Government in the manner pro-
-posed by Mr. Mellor.-

2- As regards the quit
rent to be levied from the party
who may become the purchaser of the
property abovementioned.
the Board would sug-
-gest, with reference to the
correspondence noted in the
margin that the demand should be
limited to the amount of assessment to
which

Jud Cons 10th Feby 1842.

From Govt 15th July 1839. Para 10
From Govt 26th Augt 1839. Para 4

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

which the land would be subject if taken for purposes of cultivation.

Revl. Board Office
Fort St. George
10th February 1842

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Actg. Secy.

Read the following letter.

(12)

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

To
F. C. Stokes Esqre.

Sir,

With reference to your appointment under this date to act as Collector and Magistrate of Guntoor during the employment of Mr. Goldingham on other duty or until further orders, I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to desire that you will not proceed to join your station until relieved from your present charge by Mr. Bayley.

Fort St. George,
7th February 1842

/ Signed / H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(12-13)

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.

Read

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

Read the following letters.

(14)

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry — Circt.
Original No. 2096 of 1841 with its Enclosure No. 1046 of 1841.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Referring to the accompanying Petition presented to the Board of Revenue, by Rajah Cundoocoory Mallecarjana Bhoshanarow the proposed proprietor of the Yellamunchily Inam I beg leave to state, that he has tendered no security, which I can accept — I have informed him, that I do not require excepting security all I require, is that the person or persons tendering should be of some known property and character and it is useless my accepting persons, whose property if brought to the test will be found to have so many private Family claims on it as to be of no available effective value.

2 After the general inclination I have always shown to aid the Petitioners it is not probable that

that I would throw any unnecessary obstacle in his way if he would tender a fair security of honest character.-

Yellah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Office, Cocanada,
15th January 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector.

Proceedings thereon.-

(14-15)

The Government having been pleased in consideration of services rendered to the State by Rajah Cundoocoory Mullecarjuna Bhoorhand. now, to grant sanction for renting to him the Mootah of Yellamunchily at the reduced rate of Rupees 12,000 per annum, and there being every reason to expect that he will be eventually invested with permanent possession of the Mootah on payment of that sum as peshcush to Government, a recommendation to that effect having been submitted by Government to the Honorable Court of Directors, the Board are willing

willing that every indulgence should be shown him by the Collector which may be considered consistent with a due regard to the interests of Government and they trust that he will have no difficulty in producing such security, as, combined with that which the Mootah itself affords for the punctual realization of the rent, may enable the Collector to carry out the intentions of Government by putting him in possession. should this be done it will be competent to the Collector on the accumulation of any arrears of rent to attach the Estate.-

The Petition which accompanied the letter above recorded is returned to the Collector who will communicate to Rajah Cundoocoory Mullecarjoona Bhoorhanarow the sentiments of the Board upon the subject therein alluded to.-

No. 2096 of 1841.

To

From the Collr of Rajahmundry &c

16

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to request that the accompanying * Advertisement for the resale of the Cauzah Estate, unless the purchase be completed by the 18th Proximo, may receive the sanction of the Board of Revenue and be published in their Office.

Gillah Rajahmundry,
Coll.rs Circt Cutcherry,
Samulcottah, 5th February 1842.

/signed/ G.d. Smith
Collector

* A

A

Advertisement.

1. Notice is hereby given that on Thursday Peacockaram, the purchaser in Auction at the Collector's Cutcherry at Cocanada on the 18th September 1841 of the Cauzah Estate consisting of only one Village and paying an annual

annual Permanent Assessment of Company's Rupees 2,362-8-- which was put up to sale on account of arrears of Government revenue outstanding against it, and who deposited Rupees 697-8-- on account of the 15 3/4 Cent of the purchase money of Rupees 4650 and paid only the sum of C. Rupees 1196--- in part of the remaining 85 3/4 Cent. has failed to discharge the other part in full of the latter being Rupees 2,750-8-- although notices have been issued to him since the sale has been confirmed by the Board of Revenue, the Estate of Cauzah will, in conformity with the Provisions contained in the first Advertisement and Regulation XXVI of 1802 be sold again by public auction at Penugondah on the 18th Proximo, at the risk of the 1st purchaser under section XIII of the same Regulation and the 15 3/4 Cent deposited by the purchaser in the first sale forfeited to Government under section XII of the aforesaid

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

aforesaid Regulation unless the purchase money in question is paid in full before the abovenamed date.

2 In case the said Estate of Caujah shall, in the second sale, sell for a higher price than at the first sale, the difference or increase will be the property of him, on whose account the first sale was made.

Zillah Rajahmundry---
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 5th February 1842--

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolution thereon

16. 17

The Board authorize the resale of the Caujah Estate in the event of the failure on the part of the purchaser at the first sale to comply with the conditions under which it was sold - The advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter will be published in this Office.

18

From the Coll[r] of Rajahmundry Read Abstract Statement of the number of persons Charged, Convicted, Acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge and number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the Provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828, during the last Half year 1841.

18. 19

Order thereon

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts in when an abstract of the whole be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following Statement viz.

Statement

1833.

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in store at the undermentioned Port on the 31st January 1842 as per advices received in the Fort St. George Gazette on the 11th October 1841.

Quantity of Salt remaining in store	Quantity actually exported	Quantity already exported	Remarks
6. Calcutta wh. 6. 31st 16 bs	1/880 "	1/880 "	

1835. Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

23

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam - Furnishing the information Called for by the Board relative to the abuses incident to the present system of granting Abkarry Contracts

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 23d. Ultimo, annexing Copy of a letter from the Joint Criminal Judge of Masulipatam dated 10th. November last, together with Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th. of the same Month, relative to the abuses incident to the present system of granting Abkarry Contracts.

2. Having been called upon by the Foujdary Udalut through the Provincial Court to submit a report on the subject above referred to, I beg leave to forward Copy of my return dated the 8th. Ultimo, and at the same time to submit such further observations as occur to me on this important subject.

3. According to Clause 2d. Section V, Regulation I of 1820, the Board are authorized not

not only to frame Rules for the esclusive manu-
facture and sale of Country Arrack, Toddy
and other fermented articles, but also to alter,
amend and enlarge such Rules as occasion may
require, and to determine the places at which
Stills and Shops should be erected, the numbe
of such stills & Shops in each Division, and
the rates and measures to be established for
regulating the sales of Spirituous liquors
throughout the District.-

4. It was not the intention of the
framers of the above quoted Regulation to
diminish the consumption of spirituous
liquors or the revenue arising therefrom, but
to obtain the best guarantee for the mainte-
nance of temperance by permitting no spirits
to be sold without a license, & by restricting the
issue of licenses to such persons only as should
have executed a Muchilka or penalty bond
binding themselves to mise no deleterious
ingredients in the liquors sold by them, and
to prevent as far as possible drunkenness
or disorder in their Shops.-

5 It is not the use, but the
abuse, of ardent spirits which it was the

the object of the above regulation to meet
by legislative provisions, and if, in consequence
of increased population or circumstances of a
local nature, the former number of Shops
be found inadequate or their Situations
require to be changed, there is nothing to
prevent the Collector from recommending
or the Board from Sanctioning, such alter-
ations as may be found necessary to meet
the growing demands of the Country.-

6. There can be no doubt that in
many places where shops are permitted
to be Established by license, they are un-
necessary, and vice versa, and that an additional
number of shops or places of sale is urgently
required, not only for the convenience and
Comfort of the people, but to remove from
the Renters the only pretext or excuse
which they can urge for evading the law,
but in this Case, it is not the regulations
which are defective but the application of
them, and I shall take an early opportunity
of reporting on this subject, and proposing and
recommending.

recommending such alterations in the limits, number, and situations of Shops and Stills as may appear to be found necessary for the Comfort of the people, with a due regard to the Revenue arising from this branch of the public resources.-

7. Mr. Rohde proposes "that "the present system of granting contracts for "abkarry licenses for whole Talooks should be "abolished and separate licenses granted to "each Shopkeeper for the sale of arrack "only at those places now included in the Cowles" and as an argument in favor of the proposed measure observes, "that each shopkeeper "would have an interest in preventing the "establishment of shops in his Vicinity."-

8. In this District, there are 603- licensed shops in the 16 Divisions noted in the margin- Of these Divisions, the shops contained in 13 have been rented out for this fusly by whole Talooks, while those of the remaining 6 Divisions continue under what is termed Gam Gana izarah, or Village rents, with the exception of those of 2 Towns which are under

1 Nayore-
2 Bezwadah-
3 Corrocollo-
4 Tallore Samut-
5 Vinnacottah & Gordewadah-
6 Nundegamah-
7 Deeny-
8 Nytapully-
9 Five Islands-
10 Moonegalah & Lingagherry-
11 Chanoobundah
12 Bhittiapully-
13 Bunder-
14 Ellore-
15 Dauercottah-

16 Ramamulcoy
17 Madoor Shutt-
18 Chintalabody
19 My lavaram
20 Condapully-

under Acumanie or the Collectors' Management whether the Toddy and Arrack be rented out by Villages or Divisions, the same system prevails- In the former case, the Collector rents out the Villages; in the latter, the Division Renters, and each Village Renter whether holding his lease directly from the Collector or from the Division renter must execute a Penalty Bond binding himself to erect no Still or Shop at places other than those indicated in the Cowle.-

9. Let it be supposed that 603- Shops are permitted to be established by the same number of licensed shopkeepers- It does not follow that these shopkeepers would content themselves with selling at the places indicated in the Cowles if found inadequate to meet the demands of the Country, and for the sake of profit, they would most probably combine together to augment the number of Shops and Stills in the neighbourhood of their respective shops- They would find it for their mutual advantage, provided there was a demand for Toddy

and

and Spirits to keep up a certain number of re-
tailers in their immediate vicinity, and to ob-
observe forbearance as to the conduct of
each other in this respect. Drunkenness or
immorality would not be diminished for
"it is not the multitude of shops or alehouses
"as justly observed by Adam Smith that
"occasions a general disposition to Drunken-
"ness among the Common people, but that
"disposition arising from other causes necessa-
"rily gives employment to a multitude of
"alehouses."

See Chap V Book 2. of Vol. II Page 50.

10. It has also been observed by a very eminent writer on Morals & Civil Government, that it is only in proportion as the advancement of Knowledge and the refinement of taste takes place in a country, that the vicious habits of intemperance can be corrected, for it is then only that the great body of the people can derive pleasure from objects of intellectual entertainment.

See Millar on English Government Vol. IV Page 212.

11. Moreover, our regulations provide

provide no punishment for habitual
Drunkenness, while in England a person
convicted before a Justice of the Peace of
continuing to drink in any Inn or alehouse
is liable to a small fine, or to be punished
by putting him in the stocks for 4 hours.

See Burns Justice under the head of "Alehouses"

12. Again, it is to be observed that in England, in the case of selling in any stall or shed without a license, the prescribed penalty is £10, half of which is payable to the informer. In this country, on the other hand, there are no Excise officers whose especial duty it is to search for unlicensed Shops or Stills, and besides there is no inducement held out to informers by the offer of half of the amount forfeited.

See D under the Head of "Excise."

13. Under these circumstances, it may easily be believed that clandestine sales may take place without the knowledge of the Magistrate to a considerable extent, but as already observed, it is rather the disposition to drunkenness which occasions the number of retail shops than

than the number of those shops which produce habits of intemperance or immorality in a Country.

Masulipatam Zillah,
Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry,
at Goodewadah, 21st January 1842.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector.

A.

Rec.d 3.d Dec.r 1841.

In return to this Receipt transmitting an Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Circuit dated 29th November last, together with Copy of a letter from the Joint Criminal Judge of Masulipatam dated 10th of the Same Month, relative to the abuses of the present system of granting Abkarry Contracts, the Magistrate begs leave to submit the following observations.

Mr. Rohdes remarks, are not, the Magistrate believes, specially directed against this District, but are intended to apply generally to all the Districts under the Madras Government. It is the abuses incident to the system of which Mr. Rohde complains, and while the Magistrate cannot but lament that the clandestine Sale of

Toddy and Arrack should take place to a considerable exctent, he cannot concur with him in estimating the Number of unlicensed Shops as at all approximating to the Number which he supposes, nor does he at all approve of the plan proposed by him for obviating the evil. The annual Abkarry Revenue for the Division of Masulipatam is shewn in the Margin. It will be observed that for fusly 1239 Corresponding with A.D. 1829, 30, the Revenue amounted to the large Sum of Rupees 15000 - - - - that it remained pretty Stationary at that large amount for the nexet 4 years, or till fusly 1833, when it rapidly decreased, and has never yet reached the former Standard. -

A.D.	Rs
Fusly 1239	1829/30 - 15000
" 1240	1830/31 - 15000
" 1241	1831/32 - 15179
" 1242	1832/33 - 15000
" 1243	1833/34 - 14370
" 1244	1834/35 - 11102
" 1245	1835/36 - 9574
" 1246	1836/37 - 9500
" 1247	1837/38 - 9500
" 1248	1838/39 - 9525
" 1249	1839/40 - 10068
" 1250	1840/41 - 10240
" 1251	1841/42 - 9900

Now if it be true, as asserted by Mr. Rohde, that there are from 10 to 20 shops for one entered in the Cowle, how is it that under a renting system which suppposes that offers are made in proportion to increased consumption and profits arising from

from such speculations that the revenue is still so far below the average of former years. The Cowles are granted by the Collector of Masulipatam in strict accordance with the provisions of Regulation I A.D. 1820 and the most positive orders have from time to time been issued to the Tahsildars to prevent the sale at shops or places other than those named in the Cowle. The joint Criminal Judge must be aware that it was not very long ago, since parties accused of selling at places and prices not warranted by the Cowle were forwarded to the Criminal Court to be dealt with according to the Regulations.

The only efficient way of preventing abuses appears to me to be the following to offer rewards for the discovery of unlicensed shops, to grant search warrants on due information being given that arrack intended for sale is secreted in any place not mentioned in the Cowle and to visit the offender with an adequate punishment, but the Magistrate deprecates the

the high amount of penalty prescribed for such offences by the Regulations.

High penalties defeat their own end by deterring persons from giving information or being the means of causing so severe a punishment for an act deemed in the Eyes of the people to be but a venial offence and a mere transgression or breach of the Revenue Laws.

Given at Avenegudda.
under my hand and seal
this 8th day of December 1841.

(Signed) P. Grant.
Magistrate.

23. 24

Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government, with reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Fouzdaree adawlut noted in the Margin, Copy of which, with the orders of Government thereon was forwarded to the Board under date the 30th November 1841.

22d Novr 1841.

The Board have only to observe

in Cons. 16th Decr 1841

2.

in reference to the information furnished by Mr Grant regarding the alleged

alleged ill effects of the present system of granting Abkarry Contracts that it would appear, that the abuses pointed out in the letter from the Assistant Judge of Masulipatam which accompained the proceedings of the Fouzdaree Adalut above alluded to, as incidental to the practice of renting the abkarry by Talooks, or divisions, may equally occur under the system of separate licences which he advocates. and that little more than ordinary care and vegilance on the part of the Controuling Authority in enforcing the provisions of the Cowle required to prevent any very injurious Consequences ensuing from either system.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(25)

From the Coll. of Guntor — Ser. Para. 3

Referring to his letter of the 22d Jan.y 1839 for explanation regarding an increase in the Sayer Revenue of

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th November 1841. together with Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 2d

of Fusly 1247. noticed by the Honble Court of Directors also stating that the system adopted by him of renting the Sayer duty on Betel to the owners of Betel Gardens has proved beneficial and recommending the extension of this system to Cloths Tobacco Chayroot & saltpetre.

2d July 1841. No. 7. recording observations on the Settlements of the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1247. In the Sayer receipts there was an increase of Rupees 1799. regarding which the Honorable Court require explanation as the Establishment had been reduced, and the list of articles liable to duty had been restricted.

In my letter to the Board dated 22d January 1839. furnishes the information called for.

Connected with this
2. subject, I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing at one view the operations of the Sayer for Fusly 1250, the Amount Collected at each Chouky, the Amount of duty levied on each article, and the charges establishment at each Chouky. It will be seen that the aggregate Sayer Revenue, exclusive of Frontier Collections, amounts to Rupees 62,775-3-3. the Charges establishment not including Hoozoor are Rupees 10,494. leaving a Net Revenue of Rupees 52,281-3-3. nearly the whole of the Sayer Revenue arises

1848. Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

arises from collections on account of a few articles only as shewn in the Margin. This fact suggests a Modification of the present System of Collection whereby the Revenue will not only be secured, but enhanced, and the Country relieved from the interference of Chouky Establishments.

	In this Zillah			For other Zillah			Total.		
Ghee	424	3	8	954	12	7	1379	"	3
Lamp Oil seeds	576	9	2	2317	9	11	2894	3	1
Salt Petre	7	"	10	708	6	9	715	7	7
Chayroot	4736	7	9	2004	6	3	6740	14	"
Tobacco	4940	14	4	9622	12	11	14563	11	3
Betel	2881	5	10	34	3	7	2915	9	5
Cloths	17666	6	9	1420	2	13	6	31869	4	3
	31233	"	4	29844	1	6	61078	1	10

3. The Modification called for is simply the extension of the principle observed in the renting of the Sayer duty on Betel. It is understood that the owners of Betel Gardens at Madras rent the duty on that article. This system has been adopted here and such is the satisfaction given that the growers would not willingly consent to a return to the old system. The amount of rent has been fixed with a due regard to Moderation, securing to the renter a fair compensation for the trouble and escpence incurred and at the same time relieving the Trader and Consumer

1849 Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

from all vexatious interference, and placing the collection of the duty in the hands of those whose interest is to encourage consumption. The extension of this System to Cloths, Tobacco, Chayroot and Saltpetre would be attended with similar beneficial results. In the case of cloths the duty would be fixed on each Loom. A change of this nature was sought for by the ryots of the Palnaud as was remarked by me in a former communication to the Board, it was not the amount they had to pay as Transit duty which formed a hardship but the mode of collection, and I believe every trader in the Country will concur in this. it is the extra demand of the Establishment with its incidental vexations and the charge for Stamps which constitute the grievance. The change would be generally acceptable. It must be carried out on a principle of Moderation, otherwise it will fail. It possesses the advantage of preventing the means of adding to the list of articles to be taxed, any particular article as regards this District the articles alone enumerated in the marginal Statement above should be taxed.

2d Jany 1839.

Guntoor
Coll.rs Cutcherry
18th January 1842.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector.

Statement.

1850 | ...ge

A Statement shewing the Nett Revenue Collected in the Talook of Gunture for Fasly 1250.—

10th February 1842

No.	Names of	Nett Revenue Collected for Fasly					
		Pagodas			Fanams		
1	Cloth	170	5	4	853	13	11
2	Cumbalies	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	Tobacco brought into	745	"	"	"	"	"
4	Do. Village	6	13	4	734	14	"
5	Betels brought into	27	12	"	"	"	"
6	Do. Village	"	"	"	869	12	2
7	Ganjah brought in	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Village	"	"	"	1	8	3
9	Bang brought into	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	Village	"	"	"	"	"	7
11	Gingely oil	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	Cucumber oil	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	Lye oil	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	Sandalwood Oil	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	Lamp Oil	"	"	"	"	"	"
16	Ghee	28	6	4	25	8	4
17	Tamarind	"	"	"	10	10	7
18	Lamp Oil Seed	"	10	"	85	"	11
19	Gingely Oil Seed	"	"	"	"	"	"
20	Toddy Jaggery	116	13	11	"	"	"
21	Cuta Do.	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	Cocoanuts	"	1	6	"	"	"
23	Iron	"	"	"	"	"	"
24	Chayroot	846	6	1	"	9	4
25	Indigo	"	"	"	"	"	"
26	Salt Petre	"	"	"	11	13	"
27	Gunneys of Janapa	"	"	"	"	9	3
28	Do. of Gogoo hemp	"	"	5	"	10	8
29	Materials	4	"	"	"	"	"
30	Soorasgoo doopottoo	"	"	"	"	"	"
31	Dying barks	"	"	"	"	"	"
32	Snuff	"	"	"	"	"	"
33	Aiders	3	5	3	"	8	6
		1249	10	2	2595	7	6
	Annual Charges in each	330	"	"	306	"	"
		919	10	2	2289	7	6

Peinnor			Ranjah-nett			Nootoola-paud			Imracondah			Kursa Row Pettah			Naeri-khul		
499	3	"	1174	12	10	453	12	6	1777	2	8	269	9	2	241	12	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	2	"	"	"	"	4	8
"	"	"	147	"	"	"	"	"	178	"	"	120	"	"	52	"	"
784	4	11	116	14	3	2738	4	4	"	10	2	"	1	9	1	8	7
"	"	"	51	8	"	"	"	"	62	"	"	55	"	"	10	8	"
"	"	"	67	13	"	"	"	"	69	"	"	"	"	8	30	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	6	1	11	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	13	2	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	1	12	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	2	8	2	"	5	"	11	2	"	"	"	"	2	9	"	3	"
2	15	4	9	7	11	1	8	10	208	9	5	40	"	"	102	10	11
"	"	"	14	11	9	1	2	6	26	14	8	6	12	"	58	8	10
368	11	1	158	9	2	754	6	3	107	4	2	33	12	"	45	10	8
"	"	"	7	1	7	"	"	"	99	15	9	2	12	4	6	10	10
"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	11	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	59	15	"
126	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	9	6
"	"	"	1	8	"	"	"	"	9	7	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	"	"	27	13	6	59	1	2	83	5	6	26	6	"	124	7	2
"	8	7	"	4	10	"	4	9	2	12	9	"	5	1	"	1	11
"	6	5	"	1	8	3	"	11	"	1	3	"	2	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	7	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
261	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
23	12	2	"	6	11	57	15	11	"	"	"	"	1	9	"	1	4
2074	10	2	1793	1	1	3595	2	3	2645	9	9	557	2	3	803	"	5
471	"	"	471	"	"	420	"	"	426	"	"	246	"	"	471	"	"
1603	10	2	1324	1	1	3175	2	3	2219	9	9	311	2	3	332	"	5
Co. account of Madera						75	"	"									
Mulla Summanarum						3250	2	3									

1851 Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Vellatore	Canagal	Coorapaud	Atchem-pettah	Collore	Condaveco	Daulcha-pully
574 1 3	2198 5 u	207 6 9	281 8 8	11 1 9	1209 15 10	520 9 5
u u u	81 9 10	u 2 5	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
35 2 6	39 4 u	50 u u	58 u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
225 10 9	643 13 8	15 13 5	u u u	u u u	2 11 u	1 12 u
22 2 9	5 8 u	13 8 u	15 u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	20 5 10	u u u	u u u	38 14 9
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 4 9
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u 8
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u 8 u	1 10 4	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 3 u	u 1 9
4 4 6	3 3 11	217 14 11	182 2 5	23 5 9	59 14 6	52 12 9
u u u	u u u	26 u 11	22 4 1	u u u	36 5 3	4 3 11
6 2 4	69 1 9	25 7 8	8 9 10	1 2 11	57 4 8	23 14 6
u u u	u u u	u 12 u	2 5 10	u u u	u u u	5 7 7
14 8 2	15 u 1	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	3 1 u
u u u	103 1 2	u u u	27 u 10	u 14 u	1 3 6	3 3 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u 3 u	u u u	1 4 7	u 10 6
u u u	u u u	57 9 2	11 4 7	u u u	26 3 11	64 11 u
u 3 1	u 1 7	u 11 u	u 1 5	u u u	u 4 9	u u 9
u 1 6	u 11 8	u u 5	u u u	u u u	u 1 2	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u 3 1	u u u	2 u 2	u u u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 1 7	u u u	u u u
u u u	42 12 u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
12 14 6	u 10 11	u 9 3	u u 4	u u u	u 1 1	u u u
895 11 2	3204 13 11	615 15 11	629 1 11	36 9 10	1140 7 7 1	719 12 7
306 u u	522 u u	330 u u	522 u u	u u u	354 u u	342 u u
589 11 2	2682 13 11	285 15 11	107 11 11	36 9 10	1053 7 1	357 12 7
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u

Gumtoor Collector's Cutcherry 18th January 1842.

Tsimmer-cottah	Gotte-palla	Gottee-=noo teo-=kah	Pordigul	Goottoo pully	Total
639 15 1	69 u 1	74 10 1	38 12 3	6 u 2	3180 9 4 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	100 1 5
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	4454 1 u
u 3 6	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	1010 9 10 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	1684 10 7
109 u u	9 u u	u u u	5 u u	u u u	1230 14 10
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	237 8 u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	4 5 u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	15 8 u
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 3 2
u 9 u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	13 12 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	1 2 10
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	13 2 7
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	11 1 11
1 5 5	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	7 5 9
61 u 5	3 15 3	3 9 8	u u u	u u u	1379 u 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	236 6 6
1 6 u	u 2 u	u u u	u u u	u u u	289 4 3 1
3 8 5	1 u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	129 10 4
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	146 9 1
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 9 6
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 1 6
110 1 3	40 4 8	u u u	u u u	u u u	213 5 11
2 9 9	u 4 2	u u u	u u u	u u u	67 4 10 14 u
u u 11	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	13 2 7
113 13 11	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	715 7 7
u 2 11	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	9 7 4
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u 10	u u u	6 1 6
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	4 u u
u 6 u	4 9 9	u u u	u u u	u u u	9 10 8
11 14 9	u u u	u u u	u u u	u 3 7	12 3 11
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	30 3 12 u
u u 9	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	132 13 8
1056 2 1	128 3 11	78 3 9	43 13 1	6 3 9	62700 3 3
390 u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
666 2 1	128 13 11	78 3 9	43 13 1	6 3 9	62700 3 3
u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	45 u u
					62745 3 3

/Signed/ Jn. Goldingham
Collr.

Proceedings thereon.

25 - 26

It is satisfactory to learn from the foregoing letter that the system of renting the sayer duty on Betel, to which the attention of the Collector of Guntoor was called, in Para 3 of an Extract from the Board's proceedings dated 21st February 1839 has been brought into operation in that District, and that the result is considered to be beneficial both to the trade and consumer. Under the circumstances adverted to in Para. 2 of the abovementioned proceedings it is not considered expedient that the modification suggested by the collector should be introduced, by extending the system under which the betel tax is levied, to the collection of the Sayer duties on Cloths, Tobacco, Chayroot, and Saltpetre.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

27

From the Coll. of Guntoor ---
Submitting copy of correspondence with Moonganoor Lutchmenursoo relative to his

1. With reference to the instructions conveyed in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 16th ultimo, I have the honor to submit for

his appointment as Head Serishtadar of Guntoor and recommending that he may be confirmed.

for the consideration of the Board copies of the correspondence noted in the Margin with Moonganoor Lutchmenursoo relative to his appointment as Head Sheristadar in this Cutcherry.

To Moonganoor Lutchmenursoo dated 29th December 1841
From D° D° D°

2 The instructions of the Board conveyed in Extract from their Proceedings above noticed placed me in a position of much difficulty as I had already given effect to the orders of the Board conveyed in Extract from their Proceedings dated 11th November. The orders of the 11th November are clear. They direct the immediate selection of a new Head Sheristadar and of a New Naib. The Services of Nyspaty Sashagherry row "Could not be beneficially exercised in his present situation" it is stated after my recorded opinion of him. The intention of an immediate selection of a Head Sheristadar is declared and the directions of the Board to Moonganoor Lutchmenursoo to proceed to his station immediately and to be careful and

obedient

obedient are in accordance with this —
Moonganoor Lutchmenursoo joined on the —
16th Ultimo, received his summud of appoint-
-ment on the same day and has been —
transacting the duties of his Office since
that date. The instructions of the 16th Ultimo
besides the hardship as regards Moonganoor
Lutchmenursoo had the effect of —
nullifying the intention as above shewn,
for if Nyputy Sashagherry row were to —
remain as Head Sheristadar in his —
Cutcherry till provided with a similar ap-
-pointment in another District, no provision
could here been made to meet the —
exigencies of the present case by the ap-
-pointment of a duly qualified person
to be at the Head of this Office. The con-
-struction put upon the former orders —
not only would have the effect of —
nullifying the first intention, but would
involve an impossibility as N. Sashagherry
row would not be likely to seek a —
similar provision in another District,
nor would it be in my power to —
secure such an appointment for —

him

him. The Board also will perhaps —
deem it very improbable that any other
Collector would select him, even Sup-
-posing that a vacancy existed. These
circumstances, added to my own res-
-ponsibility, which called upon me —
to provide adequately for the public —
interests placed me in a position of
much perplexity, and suggested a —
reference to Government. The Government
have been pleased to point out that a —
reference, if desired, should be made —
through the channel of the Board.

3. I would beg to lay the —
subject before the Board for their con-
-sideration and orders. The effect of the
instructions of the 16th Ultimo would be
to denude the Sheristah Department
completely. N. Sashagerry row could not
"beneficially" remain in his present situation
and it will be in the recollection of the Board
that the late Nair was expressly appoint-
-ed to that Department to make —
up the want of efficiency

and

To the Board dated 10th Feb. 1840
From Do. dated 13th February 1840.

and to give the Collector a competent person. By the removal of the late Nail, I was left altogether without assistance, but having a competent person in M. Lutchmenursoo, the deficiency was immediately replaced had the appointment of this individual not taken place it will be obvious to the Board that the public interests would have been abandoned, and this at a busy period of the year, and when the ordinary business was greatly added to by the mismanagement of the Wassereddy Zemindar rendering the attachment of his Estate necessary. When the affairs of this Zemindary are laid before the Board they will have no difficulty in deciding that a full and suitable provision for the efficiency of the Sheristah Department was required at that particular crisis and must be maintained. If M. Sashagherry row had but ordinary qualifications to be the Head of the Office I could have had no grounds for setting him aside, and I have already shewn

shewn that I was favourably disposed towards him by giving him a trial.

In regard to a suitable provision for M. Sashagherry row, he may be appointed Nail for the present if the Board desire it, or receive an appointment in the Huzzoor in the Wassereddy Estate in connection with the Sheristah Department. The latter is in every respect preferable, as the Nail should be a working man.

Under the explanation afforded above I beg to solicit that the appointment of M. Lutchmenursoo as

as Head Sheristadar may be confirmed.

The explanation afforded will I trust be considered sufficiently full—

The re-instatement of N. Sashagherry now under the circumstances of the case would be tantamount to the removal of responsibility from the Collector, which the Board would doubtless for obvious reasons wish to preserve as it is.—

I need hardly add— that in submitting the case for the further consideration of the Board it is not wished that a reference should be made to Government.

Guntoor ——
Collectors Cutcherry
24th January 1842.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

To

J.G.B.

A

To

M. Sutchemenuvsoo

I have received a letter from the Board stating that they intended that N. Sashagerry Row should hold the situation of Head Sheristadar till provided with a similar appointment in another District. they also state that you may be paid if willing to accept that situation. Let me know your sentiments and determination.

Postage paid
December 29th 1841.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham.

John Goldingham Esqre
Collr. Collr. Collr.
Collector of Guntoor

Honored Sir

With profound respect,

I take the liberty to address your honor that I have been favoured with your kind note of this days date intimating that

that the Board intended that N. Sashagerry Row should hold the situ=ation of Head Sheristadar till provided for with a similar appointment in another District and that they also state that I may be Nail if willing to accept that situation. In reply to which I humbly beg leave to state that, on my receiving at Madras your public letter dated the 29th Ultimo stat=ing that you have selected me to be Head Sheristadar in this Cutcherry and desiring me to start for Guntoor immed=iately on the receipt of this letter as your honor does not anticipate any objection on the part of the Board to my being appointed, I carried it on the 3rd Instant to Mr. Dent senior Member in the Board and Mr. Smollett Secretary and shewed it to them, who were pleased to express their satisfaction as stated in my letter of

of the 3rd Instant to your address, and desired me to be careful in conducting my duties to your satisfaction and start for my station without delay. Believing the word of the Board as contained in their orders I prepared every thing for my journey and again went to Messrs. Dent and Smollett on the morn=ing of the 5th Instant and took leave from them as well as Mr. Maclean Temporary Member for my proceeding here, but they did not state any objection nor doubt to my coming here according to your orders. I therefore left Madras on the 6th and arrived here on the 16th Instant subjecting to much expense for Post Bearers &c. on which day your honor was pleased to favor me with the Sunnud of my appointment as Head Shristadar of this Cutcherry, in which capacity I am carrying on my duties with great diligence to your satisfaction

and

and clearing the arrears to a great extent.

I further beg leave to state that I served the Honorable Company for 27 years in important situations and conducted the duties thereof with diligence and fidelity to the entire satisfaction of my European Superiors. Although my situation was specified in Salt &c. for some period, yet I was carrying on my duties of all the Departments such as Land Revenue, Salt, Sayer &c. under different Gentlemen, since the commencement of my entering in the Company's service and then solely employed as Naib or Deputy Shristadar and subdivision Shristadar in the Nellore District for upwards of 7 years and 4 Months during which period I was acting Head Shristadar for nearly 2 years under Mr. Whish besides the Head Shristadarship in Rajahmundry for about 2 years.

I

I was therefore considered to be a clever Revenue Officer by many Gentlemen under whom I served: In support of which Mr. Smalley the former Principal Collector of Nellore stated in his letter to me under date the 17th February 1831 that "you gave "me frequently the most useful in- "formation and excellent advice found- "ed upon experience which I often follow- "ed with the best success." The Honorable G. E. Russell Esqre also declared in his letter to the Collector of Rajahmundry under date the 24th June 1836- "I think his experience "would render him a valuable service "to you." There are many other Testimonials given by different Gentlemen on the subject as well as letters written under dates 14th January and February 1834 by Mr. Whish to the Board in strongest terms about my confirmation as Head Shristadar

Shesistadar of the Nellore District.

I likewise beg liberty to represent that I had been the means of securing to Government large sums which would otherwise have been lost as appears by the investigation made by Messrs. J. Vaughan and Anstey of the Civil Services into the Salt abuses in the Nellore and Guntoor Districts. During the Collectorship of Mr. Thompson in Nellore fusly 1239 detected frauds committed by certain Tahsildars in the Lands whereon the Crops had perished and collected about 70000 Rupees as will appear in the Jemabundy Reports of that and the following Fuslies forwarded by Messrs. Thompson and Bruce then Principal Collector and Sub Collector in charge of the Nellore District. Whilst employed under Mr. Grant in Rajahmundry I discovered salt in value of 15000 Rupees concealed by the Circar

servants

servants in the stored heaps for illicit sale which I carried to the public account. I also put a stop to many peculations in the Land Revenue but chiefly in the Salt and Masammut Departments which is corroborated by the following assertion made by Mr. Grant in his Testimonial given me Viz. "It was through his assistance "that I was enabled to reform many glar- "ing abuses in the Salt Department, "which had continued for several years "previous to my arrival."-

Although I performed meritorious services to the beneficial of Government as above particularized (which the Board know well) yet I feel much sorry for the Boards mentioning that I may be a Nail if willing to accept that situation which is worth of Rupees 90 only. In 1839 I was offered by Mr. Bell the late acting Deputy Collector of Sea Customs at Madras for a Writer-ship of Rupees 90 per Annum; but I did not

not accept it, because I lastly held the Head Shirestadar's situation on a monthly salary of Rupees 210. In some instances some of the Board Gentlemen advised me to hold the Deputy Sheristadar's situation which I was unwilling to accept under the above plea.

Under the above circumstances, I respectfully beg to mention that I cannot accept the Deputy Sheristadar's situation on a monthly salary of 70 Rupees especially under the Head Sharistadar N. Sashagerry Row who was formerly employed as Manager in the Magistrate Department for a most of the period of his whole service and who was not considered by Gentlemen and Natives to be a clever Revenue Officer and to request your honor may be graciously pleased to forward this my address to the Honorable the Board of Revenue with your recommendatory letter for taking into their favorable and

and pitiful consideration as I was obliged to proceed to this place which is nearly 300 Miles distant from Madras trusting both your honor's letter of the 29th Ultimo and the orders of the Board Gentlemen.

Guntoor
29th December 1841 } Signed M. Lutchemenarsoo

Proceedings thereon Para 1. The Board observe that the removal of Napaty Shashagherry Row from the situation of Head Sheristadar has never been authorized. they decline therefore to recognize the appointment of any other Individual in his room or to authorize the pay of the situation to be disbursed to any other person until his removal shall have been formally sanctioned.

27. 28

Para 2 Mr Goldingham has not furnished the Board with a Copy of his communication to Government referred to in the latter part of the 2d Para of his letter but has signified his wish that the present correspondence should

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

should not be submitted to Government, the Board will not therefore press the discussion of the subject further, or make any other observation than to remark upon the extreme irregularity of Mr. Goldingham's introducing into his public correspondence the detail from a Native of personal communications which he had been admitted to hold with individual Members of the Board and their Secretary. It was expected that Mr. Goldingham's experience in the service would have been sufficient security against his falling into such an error.

Read

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Read the following letter.

To,

The Acting Secretary

to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

29

From the Subcoll.r ---
in Charge of North Arcot. }

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit the accompanying statement of Shotriums for Fusly 1250, prepared agreeably to the form received with the Deputy Secretary's letter of the 6th of October 1834.

North Arcot,
Principal Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 7th February 1842.

[Signed] J. D. Bourdillon.
Subcoll.r in Charge.

Register.

1870

Fort St. George

A.

Register of Vilorth Arcot from the 9th February 1802 Year 1800 to 1840.

1 Military Department. Revenue Department.	2 Names of the Grant.	3 Perpetual or Temporary.	4 Reasons for which the Grants were made.	5 Present Incumbent and his relationship to the Original Grantee.	6 Period fixed at the time of Grant.	7 Shotrium Rent Reserved to Government.
		6	7	8	9	10
					Yrs A P	Yrs A P
	Seerungapully of &c dated 1806.	Perpetually	For his fidelity during the rebellion of Mogarab Vau Poligars in Fasly 1214 or A D 1803/4.	His Grand Son Sashum Naidoo.	644 4 8.	" " " "
	Eloopatumlum of &c under date from the same	Conferred for his own life & that of his two next heirs	For long and faithful Services as Interpriter to the Government.	The Original Incumbent Causey Rungiah Naick	1,559. 1 3.	500 " "
	Vengalatoor of &c 14th June.	For his own life & that of his two next heirs.	Granted as a Reward for the Services as Serishtadar in the Board of Revenue.	His Widow Cauvoo Boye	3,020. 8. "	775. 11 "
	Valavanoor of &c 15th dated	For his own life & that of his two next heirs.	For his Zeal in the Service of the Honorable Company.	His Son Meer Subdur Ally.	2,304. 13 11.	226. " "
	Cusbah Cavee &c 8th year.	For his own life and that of his two next heirs.	In consideration of long faithful and Active Services, & of the very favorable testimonies to his Character & good Conduct.	His Son Mohomed Dandy Saib.	131. 4 "	" " " "
	&c " 1.	For his own life & that of his daughter Khadeejah Khatoo.	For long and faithful Services in the Honorable Company's Army.	His Daughter Khadeejah Khatoo.	798. 14 10	" 21 "

1871 Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Residue enjoyed by the Grantee.			Remark.
		11	12.
61	14	8.	The Shotrium continues in force in accordance with the authorizing the Grantee and his decendants to Continue enjoying in failure of which the grant to be annulled, after Sashum Rambudra Naid, Succeeded, on his death his Younger Brother Son and Grand Son of the Original incumbent. — Grant issued by Government on the 5th December 1806. together with the summed it so long as they abide by the Rules and enactments of the British Government, Naids demise, his son Timma Naid Succeeded him, on his death, his son Vencat= Vencatapuddy Naid Succeeded, the present incumbent Shashum Naid is his
1,059	1	3.	Needs no Remark. —
2,244	13	"	Jeevun Row enjoyed this Shotrium until his demise on to adopt a son according to the will of her husband. — 6th 11th February 1831, his widow Cauvoo Boye now enjoys it and She intends
2,078	13	11	The Village was granted to Subidar Syed Hussain as 1821. The Jodee is fixed at 226 Rs. per Annum, as per son succeeded and is the present incumbent — Shotrium according to the Sanction of Government under date the 10th July order of Government of the 21st November 1828, the Subidar died and his
131	4	"	Needs no Remark. —
798.	14	10.	Bully Subidar Ismailcaun died on the 13th January 1840, and his — daughter Khateejah Khatoo has succeeded to the Shotrium.

1872 Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Military Department. (Continued)

1 Department.	Name of the Villages & Talooks	Perpetual or Temporary.	Reasons for which the grants were made.	Present Incumbent and his relationship to the Original Grantee.	Beriz fixed at the time of Grant.	Shotrium Rent reserved to Government.
	2	6	7	8	9	10
					Rs A P	Rs A P
	Mahamundalum & Del[illegible] vapully of Tirvallum Ta[illegible]	granted for 3 lives	In consideration of the long & faithful services of the said subadar who was killed whilst in the execution of his duty at Sholapoor.	His Son Kawab Kyson.	852 4 10.	1 14 11
	Maungaudoo of Arcot Ta[illegible]	anted for his own Life & for his heirs.	For long and faithful Service.	The same Mohomed Surwar	1,631. 5 8.	7 14 13 2.
	Heelmanavoor of Vell[illegible]	nted for his own life & of his descendants but for many does not appear.	In consideration of his service in the Honorable Company.	His Son Syed Abdoob Musjeed Saib.	1,266 6 11	" " "
	Chavarumpauk of D[illegible]	family of Ameenoodeen.	In consequence of his being the descendant of a family who have rendered very considerable services to the Honble Company at an eventful period.	His Son Vufsuddeen ally.	1,302. 5 "	" " "
	Vapoor of Arcot Talo	Not Known.	Not Known.	His Son Harjee Mahodeen Karashee.	333 10 "	" " "
	Grant To				13,845. 8 6.	2,248. 6 2.

1873 — Fort St. George — 10th February 1842.

Residue enjoyed by the Grantee.		Remarks.
		12
Rs. A. P.		
850. 6. 10.		Needs no Remark.
886. 8. 6.		Forty Cawnies of Nunjah land was enjoyed by this Individual in lieu of which Maungaudoo, Yielding a Revenue of Rs. 1,631-5-8, on a Shotrium tenure, free from Jodeyee in the Village of Veypoor and Heerasutpoor.
	1836	of which he pays an annual Jodeyee of Rs. 744-13-2. to Government and enjoys the remainder 886-8-6.
1,266. 6. 4.		A Sunnud was issued by the Collector Mr. Ross on the 5th September 1807. stating the Shotrium to be granted to the Subidar and his descendants, but for how many Lives is not stated. In the Shotrium Accounts sent on the 27th February 1821, by the then Principal Collector Mr. Cooke, the produce of this Land is stated to be Rs. 1,241-13-0. the Value was increased by the subsequent Survey and Farm Assessment.
1,302. 5. "		Needs no Remark.
333. 10. "		The sunnud issued by the Collector Mr. Ross on the 8th June 1806, states that the land is granted to Meer Suddoor Gholam Ally and his family as per instruction Conveyed in letter from the Board of Revenue under date the 9th April 1806, which letter is not in the Records of this Cutcherry.
1,597. 2. 4.		

North Arcot, ———
Principal Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 7th February 1842.

E. E. Jr.
Signed J. D. Bourdillon.
Sub Collr. in Charge.

29-30

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following letter.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

31

From the Collector of the S. A. D. of Arcot.

Sir,
Para. 1.

I have the honor to submit my Report upon the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue for Fusly 1250 with the Statements required to accompany it, as enumerated in the list of Packet.

Reporting on the Extra Sources of revenue of his District for Fusly 1250.

2 The annexed Table will

will exhibit at one view, the Amount of Revenue derived from each branch in the expired fusly 1250. in Comparison with that of the preceding year 1249, shewing a net decrease in Fusly 1250. of Rupees 1,16,585. 15. 3.

Table

Table.

No.	Items.	Beriz of Fusly 1250.	Beriz of Fusly 1249.	Increase in fusly 1249.	Decrease in Fusly 1250.
		CRs A/s CRs A/s	CRs A/s	CRs A/s	CRs A/s
1	Salt Revenue	1,46,426.11	3,20,689.4	5 2. "	" " 57,407.4 11.
2	Sayer Rs.	1,85,683.9	5,23,590	11.3. "	" " 19,407.4 10.
3	Sea Customs.	11,500. 7	3. 19,168.4	" "	" " 4,667.12.9.
4	Abkarry	62,315. "	" 60,600	" "	1715 " " " " " "
5	Sundry Small Farms	3,583. 7	6. 4,135.9	11 "	" " 552.1 10.
6	Stamp Revenue.	16,828 10	" 19,468.6	" "	" " 2,639.12 "
7	Moturpha	39,638 15	1. 39,860.11	3. "	" " 221.12.2.
	Total	1,68,976.15	6. 5,84,218. "	"	1715 " " 16,956. " 6.
8	Extra Items	3,082 3	11. 4,427.2	8. "	" " 1,393.11.9.
	Grand Total	1,72,059 3	5. 5,88,645.2	8 1,715 "	" 18,300.15 3.
	Deduct Increase				1,715 " "
	Net Decrease				16,585.15 3.

3

Salt.	No. 1	G.	M	Rs	As	P
Fusly 1249	1597	172	1,07,730	2	5	
" 1250	951	127	99,888	5	6	
Decrease	646	45	67,841	12	11	

The very large decrease in the sales of salt for inland consumption led me to suppose that from local causes the purchasers had resorted to the Pans of the neighbouring Districts, but on enquiry I find that both in Tanjore and Chingleput they have also had reduced sales - There can be no doubt but that the unusually low price of grain has contributed somewhat to this result; but from having joined after the expiration of the fusly I am not prepared to enter so much into the subject as I could wish, or to advance reasons with any confidence - I certainly consider from all the information I can obtain that the sales of Salt along the Coasts of Tanjore, South Arcot and Chingleput for inland consumption are progressively decreasing, but the Board on reviewing the reports of the other districts will be able most probably to see if this is generally the case - and if the matter requires especial

special notice

4 Holding the view I do I shall endeavour to ascertain the Causes, for they are still prevailing as shewn by the continued decrease during the expired portion of the Current Fasly.

5 The sales for Home consumption exhibit an increase of 8143 Rupees or 23 per cent in excess of the sales in the year 1249 - There has been a sufficiency of Salt kept in hand in the Southern Pans to meet the demand.

G. In C. Rs.
Fasly 1249-331-223-34813
" 1250-109-45-42957
Increase 77221-8143

6 This large decrease viz. 49407 is made up of these heads —

Sayer Statement No. 2
Fasly 1249-235090
" 1250-185683
Decrease 49407

In the Town duty	878
Inland duty	31,194
Frontier D°	17,335 —

As regards the first item the decrease is in the Consumption of Tobacco chiefly - The principal decrease is in the second item and this has been caused almost entirely by the loss of the trade in Indigo - The very low

low price of this article in the market during the year under review, and the consequent reduction in the Tariff, has effected a large falling off in the revenue derivable from this source - The statements No 1 in 2 will afford detailed information as to the variation in other articles of commerce, to which I would more particularly refer. -

7 The dullness of trade in Pondicherry, arising in a great measure from an apprehension at one time of a continental war, will fully account for the decrease in the frontier duties - as well as explain the lessened demand for much of the produce of this district mentioned in the last Para -

Sea Customs No 3
Fasly 1249-19168-4-
" 1250-14500-7-3
Decrease 4667-12-9

8 The gross decrease on Exports in both ports of Cuddalore and Porto Novo amounted to Rupees 6,881-5-5 which has been reduced by a net increase on imports of Rupees 2213-8-8 making the total decrease under the general head 4667-12-9. -

9 The Principal decrease has been

been in the export of Piece Goods, and Indigo to Madras and Foreign Europe owing to the general decline of Trade felt in this Districk.—

Abkarry
No. 11
Fusly 1249-80,650-0-0
" 1250-82,315-0-0
Increase 1,715-0-0

10 This branch of Revenue has been rented throughout the whole district, as exhibited in the enclosure No. 4 and the terms thereof having been approved by the Board renders it unnecessary to offer any further Comment. The total amount exhibits an increase in favor of the expired year of Rupees 1715.—

11 The Collections under this branch of Revenue in fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 3,583-7-0 shewing a net decrease, in Comparison with the year 1249 of Rupees 552-1-10— This is shewn in the Collection on Ferries and in the rent of bleaching Earth— The former is not of importance, being a source from which it is not desirable to raise a revenue and the latter was to be expected as in fusly 1249 the rent was too high in consequence of competition.

Small Farms
No. 5-
Fusly 1249-4,135-9-4
" 1250-3,583-7-0
Decrease 552-1-10

The

The decrease under the several heads amounting to Rupees 1251 was reduced to the net stated decrease under the general head by an increase of 698— principally in the rent of Honey Wax and Jungle produce, an excess which I am not glad to remark.—

Stamps
No. 6
Fusly 1249-19,468-6-0
" 1250-10,828-10-0
Decrease 2,639-12-0

12 The sale of Stamps during the fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 10,828-10-0 which in the year preceding was Rupees 19,468-6-0 thus giving a net decrease of Rupees 2639-12-0, of which a sum of Rupees 1,899- has been occasioned in the issue of Rowannahs for Exports of goods, following naturally as the consequence of reduced trade.— The remainder was in the sale of Paper in the pleading of Suits, which is of a fluctuating nature.—

Motherpha
No. 7-
Fusly 1249-39,860-13-3
" 1250-39,638-15-1
Decrease- 221-12-2

13 The settlement on this branch of Revenue for fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 39,860- exhibiting a small decrease of Rupees 221 Compared with that of the preceding year, not requiring any particular remark.—

Thus

1884

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balances under each head of Extra for Fusley 1249 and 1250 — as ...

A

| | Fusly 1249 | | |

1884

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balances as under each head of Extra for Fusley 1249 and 1250 —

| | Fusly 1249 | | |

1884

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

B

Statement of Balance of Arrears of Extra Revenue raised for former Faslies in Fasly 1250. in the [illegible] of Arcot and Cuddalore

	Balance	Balance on account of Fasly 1249	Collection on account of Fasly 1249	Remission on account of Fasly 1249	Balance	Total. Balance.	Collection.	Remission	Balance.	Remarks.
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
	" " "	6,611 7 11	6,611 7 11	" " "	" " "	6,610 7 11.	6611. 7 11.	" " "	" " "	
Salt	" " "	4,916 10 4	4,832 3 9	84 6 9	" " "	4,916 10 4	4,832. 3 9.	81 6 7	" " "	Vide Sanction of Government dated 29th October 1840.
Sayer	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
Abkary	" " "	47 13 8	47 13 8	" " "	" " "	47. 13 8.	47. 13 8.	" " "	" " "	
Lunda	" " "	863 15 3	427 8 5	" " "	436 6 10	863. 15 3.	427. 8 5	" " "	436. 6 10.	The subject of this balance shall hereafter be separately reported.
Stamp	" " "	579 13 "	571 14 "	7 15 "	" " "	579. 13 "	571. 14 "	7 15 "	" " "	Vide sanction of Government dated 29th October 1840.
Motu	" " 66	1,588 10 7	1,583 7 5	" " "	5 3 2	1,589. 1 1.	1,583. 7 5.	" " "	5 9 8.	The recovery of this balance is doubtful.
	" " 66	14,608 6 9	14,074 7 2	92 5 7	441 10 "	14,608. 13 3.	14,074 7 2	92 5 7	442 " 6	

11th July 1841

E.E.F. / Signed / E. K. Hallett.

Collector

Statement

1886

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured & Sold in Fus

Fort S

49

Name of the Colaur or place of Manufacture.	Fusly 1249- Quantity of Salt Manufactured			Quantity Sold			Rate per Garce			Value.			Salt exported to each Coimbatore. Salt.			Amount.			Salt.		
	G	M	M	G	M	M	CK	A	P	Rs.	A	P	G	M	M	CK	A	P	G	M	M
Murkanum	2,637.	376.	"	1,427.	767½		105.	"	"	149,855.	3	1	217.	300.	"	22,863.	12.	"	8	15	
				15	"	"	1½	"	"	630-	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
				165	189.	"	14	8	9⅜	2,407.	6	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
				1,607.	265¾	"	"	"	"	152,892.	10	"									
Seaganelly	347.	140.	"	190.	156	4½	105.	"	"	19,991.	1	8	217.	300.	"	22,863	12.	"	8	15	
Killay	343	280	"	187.	54	7.	105	"	"	19,649.	6	5									
				124	107.	3.	105.	"	"	13,048	2	11									
Cuddalore	433.	140	"	39.	24	"	8	"	2	312.	14	2									
				163.	131.	3.	"	"	"	13361	1	1									
Totals	3,762.	136.	"	2,148.	208.5¾		"	"	"	205,894	3	2	112	348.	"	11,851.	5	7	"	225	
													"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
													112	348	"	11,851.	5	7	"	225	

Katenant

1890

Fort St. George 10th February 1862

No 2

Statement shewing the Settlement of Sayer Revenue in fusly 1250 in comparison with fusly 1249 in the Southern Division of Arcot

No	Sayer Chouki's	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Between 1249 and 1250 Increase	Between 1249 and 1250 Decrease	Remarks
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
1	Porto Novo	6,335 9 5	5,706 10 7	" " "	628 14 10	
2	Chellumbrum	8,915 15 2	8,813 1 3	" " "	102 13 11	
3	Laulpettah	4,056 5 7	2,656 15 3	" " "	1,399 6 4	
4	Punrotty	40,037 2 4	30,656 3 7	" " "	9,380 14 9	
5	Verdachellum	6,947 2 7	5,678 6 "	" " "	1268 12 7	
6	Irenomallie	4,378 14 11	4,861 4 6	482 5 7	" " "	
7	Tindevanum	1,091 13 8	899 4 7.	" " "	192 9 1	
8	Calegamore	14,226 8 3	10,672 2 1	" " "	3554 6 2	
9	Coringepaudy	273,491 3 8	18,318 4 5	" " "	9031 9 3	

1891

Fort St. George 10th February 1892

No in No

Statement shewing the Sayer Settlement on Fusly 1250 in comparison with Fusly 1249 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore

No.	Names of the Sayer Chowkies and the description of Articles	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Porto Novo	2805 6 0	2,173 4 8	" " "	332 1 4
2	Chellumbrum	7,544 "	2,7625 9 6	81 9 4	" " "
3	Laulpettah	4,056 5 7	2,656 15 3	" " "	1,399 6 4
4	Panrotty	39,386 7 2	30,004 14 11	" " "	9,381 8 3
5	Verda Chellum	6,947 2 7	5,678 6 "	" " "	1,268 12 7
6	Thenamallie	4,378 14 11	4,861 4 6	482 5 7	" " "
7	Tindevanom	1,091 13 8	899 4 7	" " "	192 9 1
8	Coleanoor	14,226 8 3	10,672 2 1	" " "	3,554 6 2
9	Coorinjapaudy	27,349 13 8	18,318 4 5	" " "	9,031 9 3
10	Tittagoody	3,616 " 4	4,254 4 11	638 4 7	" " "
11	Oolundoorpettah	10,223 1 10	7,534 6 10	" " "	2,688 11 "
12	Treconelore	4,508 5 2	4,424 12 2	" " "	83 9 "
13	Teagady	10,152 10 10	9,025 14 5	" " "	1,126 12 5
14	Cundachapooram	5,701 2 1	5,072 7 "	" " "	628 11 1
15	Chaitpuk	6,369 6 6	4,841 11 "	" " "	1,527 11 6
16	Cuddalore	7,840 4 "	7,251 7 2	" " "	588 12 10
17	Tripauloor	5,412 5 "	4,823 12 5	" " "	588 8 7
		1,61,609 11 9	1,30,418 13 10	1,202 3 6	32,393 1 5

Decrease 31,190-13-11

1892

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

No.	Names of the Sayer Choukies and the description of Articles	Fasly 1249 Rs a p	Fasly 1250 Rs a p	Increase Rs a p	Decrease Rs a p
	Particulars of the above				
1	Beetle	3,538 2 6	3,382 8 1	" " 4	155 10 5
2	Tobacco	4,438 10 6	4,911 14 3	473 3 9	" " "
3	Piece goods	73,648 1 11	70,991 3 8	" " 4	2,656 14 3
4	Indigo	54,703 14 8	25,520 1 1	" " 4	29,183 13 7
5	Indigo country	2,729 1 1	1,911 7 8	" " 4	827 9 5
6	Jaggery	2,554 15 4	2,467 9 8	" " "	87 5 8
7	Ghee	2,204 12 4	2,611 1 7	206 5 7	" " "
8	Iron	1,673 2 9	1,734 1 10	60 14 10	" " "
9	Tamarind	550 2 4	281 2 7	" " "	268 15 9
10	Cocoanut	368 10 6	366 12 7	" " 4	1 13 11
11	Cumbly	367 1 7	338 " 8	" " 4	29 " 11
12	Gingely Oil Seeds	10,755 8 11	12,284 15 10	1,529 6 11	" " 4
13	Lamp Oil Do.	1,452 5 8	1,593 11 6	141 5 10	" " 4
14	Elepa Do.	710 5 8	802 7 1	92 1 5	" " 4
15	Leather	276 " "	264 15 9	" " " 4	11 " 3
16	Woods &c.	706 14 6	539 11 9	" " 4	167 2 9
17	Silk Thread	347 14 4	169 13 3	" " 4	178 1 1
18	Sundries	383 14 6	257 3 "	" " "	126 11 6
		161,609 11 9	130,418 13 10	2,503 5 7	33,694 3 6

Decrease 31,190 – 13 – 11

	Amount of Town duty included in the above					
1	Portonovo	3,530 3 5	3,233 5 11	" " 4	296 13 6	
2	Chellumbrum	1,372 1 9	1,187 7 9	" " 4	184 10 "	
3	Panrooty	650 12 2	651 4 8	" 8 6	" " "	
4	Cuddalore	82,249 13 9	7,666 15 7	" " "	582 14 2	
5	Trepapoor	3,060 11 9	3,246 10 "	185 14 3	" " "	
		16,863 10 10	15,985 11 11	186 6 9	1,064 5 8	

Decrease 877 – 14 – 11

11th July 1841 E. D. Jr.

[Signed] C. H. Hallett Collector

Statement

1893

Fort St. George 18th February 1842

No. 2 in No. 2

Statement shewing the Sayer Settlement in Fusly 1250 in comparison with Fusly 1249 in the Southern Division of Arcot

No.	Names of the Sayer Choukies and the description of Articles	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	Frontier Choukies	C.P. a.P.	C.P. a.P.	C.P. a.P.	C.P. a.P.	
1	Maduraputtanam	5,389 10 6	2,771 8 2	" " "	2,618 2 4	
2	Colacoopam	31,841 10 5	18,025 11 1	" " "	" " "	
3	Tundavoor	1,803 6 2	3,666 10 9	1,862 4 7	13,815 15 4	
4	Madalaput	7,224 8 7	6,819 6 11	" " 4	405 1 8	
5	Curseamungalum	9,949 14 10	7,591 7 4	" " "	2,358 7 6	
		56,209 2 6	38,873 12 3	1,862 4 7	19,197 10 10	
	Particulars of the above 5 frontier Choukies					
1	Pottle	425 10 8	363 2 3	" " "	62 7 5	
2	Tobacco	246 2	302 4 2	56 4 0	" " "	

1894 Fort St. George 10th February 1862

No 3

Statement shewing the Settlements of Sea Customs of Fusly 1249 compared with that of 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot

		Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Comparison between Col 2 and 3 Increase	Decrease
		C R a P	C R a P	C R a P	C R a P
Cuddalore	Export	45525 a	1,745 34	" "	4 2,807 18
	Import	3,947 14 6	3,211 10 7	" "	736 3 11
		8,500 36	4,956 13 11	" "	3,543 5 7
Porto Novo	Export	8,096 7 1	4,022 34	" "	4,074 3 9
	Import	2,571 9 5	5,521 6 a	2,949 12 7	" "
		10,668 a 6	9,543 9 4	2,949 12 7	4,074 3 9
Total	Export	12,648 12 1	5,767 6 8	" "	6,881 5 5
	Import	6,519 7 11	8,733 a 7	2,213 8 8	" "
		19,168 4 a	14,500 7 3	" "	4,667 12 9

11th July 1861 - E E Jr.

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
Collector

Statement

1896

Fort St. George 10th February 1852.

No. 5 Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licenses in Fusly 1249. in comparison with Fusly 1250. in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Number.	Description.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
		C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	
1.	Tinlevanum	590 8 "	268 14 8	" " "	321 9 4	
2.	Trevandy	58 " "	49 " "	" " "	9 " "	
3.	Villapooram	348 " "	199 " "	" " "	149 " "	
4.	Bowangherry	379 4 4	341 12 9	" " "	37 7 7	
5.	Munnargoody	252 6 1.	245 15 11	" " "	6 6 2.	
6.	Chellumbrum	1,064 9 1	554 12 9	" " "	509 12 4	
7.	Trenamalie	242 " "	411 " "	169 " "	" " "	
8.	Verdachellum	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
9.	Ellavanasore	39 " "	66 " "	27 " "	" " "	
10.	Trecullore	242 " "	411 " "	169 " "	" " "	
11.	Cullacoorchy	97 13 10	125 2 6	27 4 8	" " "	
12.	Chaitput	252 " "	414 " "	162 " "	" " "	
	Total	3,565 9 4	3,086 10 7	554 4 8	1,033 3 5	
13.	Cuddalore	570 " "	496 12 11	" " "	73 3 1	
	Grand Total	4,135 9 4	3,583 7 6	554 4 8	1106 6 6	
				Net Decrease	552 1 10	

1897

Fort St. George 10th. February 1842.

No.	Description		Fasly 1249.	Fasly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
			6. Rs. a. p	6. Rs. a. p	6. Rs. a. p	6. Rs. a. p	
	Particulars						
1.	Ferry Rents	Rented	690 " "	69 " "	" " "	621 " "	
		Aumanie	970 15 1	935 5 "	14. 5 11	" " "	
2.	Semboorampatty	Honey and Wax. Rented	360 " "	1460 " "	600 " "	" " "	
3.	Fish	Rented	467 " "	487 8 "	20 8 "	" " "	
		Aumanie	156. 12 5	" " "	" " "	156. 12 5	
4.	Palmerah Jaggery	Rented	35 " "	36 " "	1 " "	" " "	
5.	Bleaching Earth	Ditto	706 " "	256 " "	" " "	450 " "	
6.	Chank &c.	Ditto	120 " "	133. " "	13 " "	" " "	
7.	Rattan	Ditto	58 " "	49. " "	" " "	9. " "	
8.	Tamarind tree &c.	Ditto	24. 8 "	54. 8 "	30 " "	" " "	
9.	Summadum	Ditto	43. 13 10.	33. 2 6	" " "	10 11 4	
10.	Rent of Palmerah Toddy for making Jaggery		" " "	20 " "	20 " "	" " "	
11.	Coottheramall Poondoo aumanie		3. 8 "	" " "	" " "	3. 8 "	
Total		Rented	2960 8 "	2565 " "	684 8 "	1030 " "	
		Aumany	1175 1 4.	1018 7 6	14. 5 11.	170. 15. 9	
			4135. 9. 4.	3583. 7. 6.	698. 13. 11.	1250. 15. 9	
					Net Decrease	552. 1 10.	

11th. July 1841. E. C. P. / Signed / C. A. Hallett Collector.

Statement

1898 | | Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

No. 6. Statement shewing the sales of Stampt Papers and Cadjans in Fusly 1249 in comparison with Fusly 1250 in the Southern Division of Arcot.

No.		Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		L. Rs. as. P.	L. Rs. as. P.	L. Rs. as. P.	L. Rs. as. P.	
1.	Sindewanum	137 5 "	152 2 "	14 13 "	" " "	
2.	Trivandy	1636 1 "	1384 15 "	" " "	251 2 "	
3.	Villapooram	1941 10 "	1528 2 "	" " "	413 8 "	
4.	Bowangherry	1792 1 "	1461 8 "	" " "	330 9 "	
5.	Mummargoody	299 2 "	239 5 "	" " "	59 13 "	
6.	Chellumbarom	283 6 "	322 11 "	39 5 "	" " "	
7.	Trinamalie	458 3 "	473 " "	14 13 "	" " "	
8.	Verdachellum	860 14 "	825 5 "	" " "	35 9 "	
9.	Ellavanasoor	492 11 "	468 3 "	" " "	24 8 "	
10.	Tricullore	683 11 "	672 14 "	" " "	11 " "	
11.	Cullacoorchy	792 6 "	691 9 "	" " "	100 13 "	
12.	Chaitput	371 9 "	365 12 "	" " "	5 13 "	
	Total	9749 2 "	8575 6 "	68 15 "	1232 11 "	
13.	Cuddalore	9719 4 "	7967 8 "	" " "	1751 12 "	
	Grand Total	19468 6 "	16532 14 "	68 15 "	2984 7 "	

Particulars.

1899

Fort St. George 10th. February 1812.

Particulars for the above.

Number		Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Remarks
		No.	C. Rs. as.	No.	C. Rs. as.	No.	C. Rs. as.	No.	C. Rs. as.	
	8. Anna	120	60 "	98	49 "	"	" "	22	11 "	
2	1. Rupee	115	115 "	124	124 "	9	9 "	"	" "	
	2. Ditto	3	6 "	16	32 "	13	26 "	"	" "	
		238	181 "	233	205 "	22	35 "	22	11 "	
	Law Paper.									
	4. Anna Paper	2331	582 12	1632	408 "	"	" "	699	174 12	
	8 Ditto	2498	1249 "	2186	1093 "	"	" "	312	156 "	
	1. Rupee	2141	2141 "	1855	1855 "	"	" "	286	286 "	
	2. ditto	279	558 "	245	490 "	"	" "	34	68 "	
	4. ditto	202	808 "	134	536 "	"	" "	68	272 "	
2	8. ditto	99	792 "	74	592 "	"	" "	25	200 "	
	16. ditto	30	480 "	33	528 "	3	48 "	"	" "	
	32. ditto	20	640 "	23	736 "	3	96 "	"	" "	
	50. ditto	7	350 "	9	450 "	2	100 "	"	" "	
	100. ditto	1	100 "	2	200 "	1	100 "	"	" "	
		7608	7700 12	6193	6833 "	9	341 "	1424	1156 12	
	4. Anna Cudjan	1781	445 4	1602	400 8	"	" "	179	44 12	
	8. ditto	429	214 8	482	241 "	53	26 8	"	" "	
	1. Rupee	205	205 "	248	248 "	43	43 "	"	" "	
1	2. ditto	54	108 "	56	112 "	2	4 "	"	" "	
	4. ditto	10	40 "	11	44 "	1	4 "	"	" "	
	8. ditto	4	32 "	6	48 "	2	16 "	"	" "	
		2483	1044 12	2405	1093 8	101	93 8	"	" "	
		10329	8926 8	8336	8186 8	132	472 8	1625	1212 8	Decrease 740 " "

1900 ||

Fort St. George 10th. February 1842.

No.		Fusly 1249.		Fusly 1250.		Increase.		Decrease.		Remarks.
		No.	Rs. as.	No.	Rs. as.	No.	Rs. as.	No.	Rs. as.	
	Sayer Rowanahs.									
4.	Annas	6570	1642 8	6134	1546	"	"	386	96 8	
3.	ditto	4905	2452 8	4653	2326 8	"	"	252	126 "	
1.	Rupee	1208	1208 "	1048	1048 "	"	"	160	160 "	
2.	ditto	919	1838 "	688	1376 "	"	"	231	462 "	
4.	ditto	428	1712 "	263	1052 "	"	"	165	660 "	
10.	ditto	11	110 "	5	60 "	"	"	6	60 "	
6.		14041	8963 "	12841	7393 8	"	"	1200	1564 8	
7.	1. Anna Paper	17242	1077 10	15486	967 14	"	"	1756	109 12	
		31283	10040 10	28327	8366 6	"	"	2956	1674 4	
		41612	18967 2	37163	16532 14	132	472 8	4581	2886 12	Decrease. 2414 4 "
	Sea Custom Rowanah, Cuddalore and Porto Novo	"	501 4	"	275 12	"	"	"	225 8	
		"	19468 6	"	16828 10	"	472 8	"	3112 4	Decrease. 2639 12 "

11th. July 1841. Errors Excepted /Signed/ C. A. Hallett
Collector.

Statement.

1901

Fort St. George 10th. February 1842.

No. 7 Statement shewing the Settlement on account of Mohturpha Revenue in Fusly 1250. in comparison with Fusly 1249. in the Southern Division of Arcot.

No.	Description.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
		C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	
1.	Sindewanum	1,237 " 9	1,233 6 8	" " "	3 10 1	
2.	Trewady	5169. 5 8	5259 2 5	89 12 9	" " "	
3.	Villapooram	3671 12 2	3670 2 11	98 6 9	" " "	
4.	Bawangherry	5725 13 11	5781 7 2	55 9 3	" " "	
5.	Munnargoody	888 4 2	834 5 6	" " "	53 14 8	
6	Chellumbrum	4,305 14 6	4138 9 11	" " "	167 4 7	
7.	Trenomalie	1646 2 11	1698 6 10	52 3 11	" " "	
8.	Verdachellum	5740 12 "	5,118 8 6	" " "	122 3 7	
9	Ellavanasore	1787 5 11	1774 12 2	" " "	12 9 9	
10.	Trecullore	2646 9 11	2594 7 7	" " "	52 2 4	
11	Cullacoorchy	2890 1 "	2805 4 2	" " "	84 12 10	
12.	Chaitput	1289 9 4	1340 10 6	51 1 2	" " "	
	Total	36398 12 3	36249 4 3	347 1 10	496 9 10	Decrease. 149 8 "
13.	Cuddalore — Aumanie Jyen	3,204 4 9	3132 " 7	" " "	72 4 2	
	Cuddalore — Moottah	257 10 3	257 10 3	" " "	" " "	
	Total	3461 15 "	3389 10 10	" " "	72 4 2	
	Grand Total	39860 11 3	39638 15 1	347 1 10	568 14 "	Decrease. 221 12 2

1902 ||

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

No.	Description	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	
	Particulars					
	Tin	39549 " 1	39327 3 11	" " "	221 12 2	
	Tusdick Davastanum	122 " 6	122 " 6	" " "	" " "	
	Cavelly	139 10 3	139 10 8	" " "	" " "	
	Particulars of the above					
1.	Loom Tax	11976 26409 12 2	11696 25893 14 4	" " "	280 515 13 10	
2	Bazar ditto	4126 7803 11 5	4119 7857 12 2	" 54 " 9	7 " " "	
3.	Tax on House	2301 3435 6 10	2338 3546 13 11	37 61 7 1	" " " "	
4	Fullacut and Cattle 4m. Ditto	26 19½ 4645 8 6	24 81½ 4644 7 2	" " " "	138 1 1 4	
5	Tax on Furnaces for Melting Iron	418 649 14 6	475 736 14 "	57 86 15 6	" " " "	
6	Ditto on Oil Press	275 656 3 5	264 637 7 9	" " " "	11 18 11 8	
7	Ditto. Indigo dying place	99 268 2 10	95 271 7 6	" 3 4 8	4 " " "	
8	Persons clearing Cotton	124 183 11 "	135 189 11 10	11 6 " 10	" " " "	
9	Manufacture of Country paper	8 26 4 "	8 26 4 "	" " " "	" " " "	
10	Rents of Iron mine	3 3 15 "	3 3 15 "	" " " "	" " " "	
11	Arepoocaren or Washing Green	1 7 " "	7 54 1 "	6 47 1 "	" " " "	
12	Basket Rent	11 6 14 "	10 6 14 "	" " " "	1 " " "	
13	Tax on the Gold Smiths' Bazar	2 2 8 "	2 2 8 "	" " " "	" " " "	
14	Ditto Thread Dyers	13 24 6 11	17 23 1 11	" " " "	1 1 5 "	
15	Ditto on frame for weaving cloth	3 3 5 "	3 3 5 "	" " " "	" " " "	
16	Tagliere Summadun	" 32 3 7	35 2 " "	2 14 5 "	" " " "	
	Total	14208 15 2 "	43933 11 7	261 12 3	536 15 10	Decrease 275 3 7
	Moottah	257 10 3	257 10 3	" " "	" " "	
	Total	44466 9 5	44191 5 10	261 12 3	536 15 10	
	Deduct					
	Woolegy	3356 15 11	3348 14 3	" " "	8 1 8	
	Jahky Davastanum 4m.	1243 14 3	1203 8 6	" " "	45 5 9	
	Total	4605 14 2	4552 6 9	" " "	53 7 5	Decrease 53 7 5
	Net	39860 11 3	39638 15 1	" " "	221 12 2	Decrease 221 12 2

11th July 1841. E. E. P. / Signed / C. F. Hallett

Collector

List

Fort St. George 10th. February 1842.

No. 2. List of the accounts accompanying the Report on the Extra branches of Revenue for Fusly 1250.

3 Printed Statements in triplicate

1 Statement marked A of Demand Collection & and Balance.

1 Ditto Ditto B of Ditto Ditto in arrears.

1 Ditto Ditto C. Shewing the quantity of Salt manufac= =tured and Sold.

1 Ditto Ditto D. Shewing the Salt exported to the = neighbouring Zillahs.

1 Ditto Ditto No. 1 Shewing the quantity of Salt sold.

3 Ditto Ditto No. 2 Shewing the Settlement of Sayer Reve= =nue with 2 Enclosures, Viz. No. 1 for 17 Inland Chowkies & No. 2 for 5 Frontier Chowkies

1 Ditto Ditto No. 3 of Extra Sources of Revenue.

1 Ditto Ditto No. 4 of Abkarry.

1 Ditto Ditto No. 5 of Sundry Small Farms &c.

1 Ditto Ditto No. 6 of Stamp Revenue.

1 Ditto Ditto No. 7 of Moturpha Revenue.

13 Windoovie Statements.

29 Total

11th July 1841. E. E. P. / Signed / C. A. Hallett Coll.

Fort St. George 10th February 1842.

31-32

Order thereon / (Read again in Cons. 4th April) Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

33

From the Pr. Coll. of Madura

Sir,

In supply of the inform= =ation called for in the Board's pro= =ceedings of the 13th received on the 22d Instant as wanting in my application of the 26th Ultimo for a fresh grant of land to the Nattagar of Avaniapooram, I have the honor to inform you the = land in objection was originally = allotted about 60 Years ago during = the Nawab's Government but being = brackish and unfit for the purpose, = it never was cultivated and up to the = company's assumption the Nattagar received 2 per cent of the gross Collections from the Ryots - at the assumption he still refused the same useless land = offered him anew, and from that = period

period till fusly 1249 he was
obliged to content himself with 1 percent
on the gross Collection till in last
fusly (1250) by reason of a quarrel
between the Bramin and Soodra Culti-
vators he was left without any perquisites
at all—

Madura
24th January 1842 } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector—

33 - 34

Resolution thereon Resolved that the
foregoing letter and the corres-
From the Pl. Collector 20th Dec. 1841 inbons 13th Jan. 1842. Proceedings 13 Do.
pondence noted in the margin be
submitted for the consideration and
orders of the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council with
the Board's recommendation
that

that the Principal Collector of
Madura may receive sanc-
tion for making over the
grant of land proposed by
him in lieu of the Maunium
allotted at the settlement to
the Nattagar of Anaiyapooram
in Madacolum Talook under the
circumstances explained by the
Principal Collector—

Read the following Estimate

Estimate

1904

Fort St George

Estimate for repairs required for Davastanums

35

Dindigul from 1st October to 31st

10th February 1842

and Chuttrums in the Districts of Madura and

December 1841-

Names of Davastanums and Chuttrums	Particulars of works		Amount of Estimate			Surplus fund in deposit in the Hoozoor Treasury up to 31st Decr 1841.			Remarks
			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	
Meenatchee Pagoda at Madura in Madacolum	Constructing drains and diverting the surplus water of the streets within the Fort into the ditch of the Fort	82.8							These Streets are the Thanapa Moodely Agrahar north Masee Sanady Avany Moolai and the Vettoovasul Streets the first of which should be paid for from the surplus endowments of the founder and the others from the surplus endowment of the Meenatchee Pagoda the streets in question being some of the approches to the temple The Estimates were revised after inspection by the Engineer's Departments
	Raising road of the Streets in the front of the Pagoda	100.-							
Talook		782.8.							
	Raising Compound Wall with Brick in lieu of mud one erecting Cook room and repairing Mundapum of Hanoomarcoil near former Mainguard within the Fort of Madura	224-7-2	1,003	15	2	35708	7	7	The Hanoomarcoil repairs must be made from the surplus funds of Meenatchee Pagoda on which it is dependent The Estimate was framed by the Davastanum Peshkar and an order has been given for its revision by the Deputy Marramut Superintendent
Allaghurcoil in Meyloor Talook	Renewing canopy cloth to the Ghurbargraham room of the Pagoda	73.10.				9458	3	8	This is necessary to the propriety of the place has been strongly recommended by the late and present Tahsildars of Meyloor and is judged a necessary and proper charge to be defrayed from its own surplus funds
Chuttrum in Cusbah Meyloor	Building terraced Verandah for 3 sides around the first Square of the Chuttrum	142	4	9		116	12	7	This Chuttrum is perhaps the worst and most incommodious of any on the whole line of road No 4 from Trichinapoly to Dindigul the road of greatest resort in the Collectorate The funds are small and it is only by degrees that the building can be restored and augmented sufficiently to meet the demands upon it Road No 13 falls into No 4 12 Miles north of Meyloor where this Chuttrum is situated whilst Nos 5 & 22 both new & already much frequented lines fall into it at the spot
Nullandeeswarar Coil at Manaparain Maroongapoory Talook	Constructing a stone Choultry and terraced Building Adjacent to the South wall of the Pagoda for a Chuttrum	874	3	8		1962	12	4	This is in the high road Nos 1 and 2 from Trichinopoly to Dindigul and thence to Palghat Vz by Ediacotary or by Pylney The Road is now under Course of repair Efforts are successfully making to provide accommodation at different convenient stages The thatched Building hitherto used for the purpose of a Choultry in this Pagoda is insufficient, incommodious and requiring annual restoration: The Estimate has been prepared under my own instructions after much consideration with my Circuit Cutcherry, by the Talook Authorities of Maroongapoory and the funds are ample to meet the demands upon them

Fort St. George

10th February 1842

Names of Davastanums and Chuttrums	Particulars of Works	Amount of Estimate	Surplus — fund deposit in the Hoozoor Treasury up to 31st December 1841.	Remarks
		C.R.s A.P.	C.R.s A.P.	
Vellanadoo in D.o	Repairing Ghirbagrahum and Urdamundapum and performing the Ceremonies attending reconsecration	440 " "	488 3 3	These are represented as imperatively necessary and I have no means to reject the repairs required—
Vulputty Chuttrum in D.o Pyelasanadaw	Building tiled square Verandah	225 " "	" " "	This is on road N.os 1 & 2 and similar reasons are applicable here as urged for N.o 5 it is in the same Talook—
Sawmy Covil at Pylaney Village in Tyempully Talook	Repairing cracks of Madapooly Mundapam — 8-7 " Renewing east door — 61-8 "	69 15 "		The nature of the work speaks for its own necessities: a cook room must be here after provided—
Mootoocomara Sawmy do at do in do	Arching the front verandah of the Pagoda — 309-10-6 Terracing East gate of Ditto 46-11 "	356 5 6		Are similarly necessary repairs that have hitherto been postponed for want of funds
Dhundayooda Sawmy Pagoda on the Hill of Pylaney in D.o	Repairing insides of West Goprum — 66 10 " Preparing doors for east and south gate way — 12-8 " Flooring Mundapom inside the Western Goprum — 129-15 " Repairing terrace of Urdamundapom near Pullayaray of the Pagoda — 84-" -"	293 1 "	4525 14 1	The above remarks are strictly applicable to these works—
Thiroovavinum Sawmy Pagoda under the Hill of do in do	Replacing a broken beam of the Urdamundapom in the Front of the Pagoda	48 5 5		And are equally applicable to this last work also, and under any circumstance safety requires the removal of the broken beam or preventing entrance into the building—
		767 10 11		
	Company's Rupees	3526 12 6		

Madura 31st December 1841. E.E. J.B.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Under

Proceedings thereon

(35 - 36)

Under the esplana=
=tion furnished by Mr Blackburne
the Board authorize the proposed dis=
=bursement for the repair of the Pagodas
and other purposes exhibited in the
foregoing Statement amounting to
Rupees 3526 — 12 — 6 to be defrayed
from the surplus funds of the
several Institutions — The Board
observe that it is proposed
to add a tiled Verandah to
the Culpetty Chuttrum at an
estimated charge of Rupees 225, but
it does not appear that there
is any surplus fund belong=
=ing to that Institution avail=
=able for that purpose —

Read

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(37)

Sir

From the Pl collector of Coimbatore

I have the honor to forward an Estimate of charges required for making 5 leather boxes for securing Records of the Talook of Errode in this District amounting to Rupees /18 — 4 — 0/ Eighteen and Annas four, and request that sanction may be obtained for the disburse=
=ment of the same —

Coimbatore
Camp Perindooray
15th January 1842

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pl Collector

Estimate

1911

Fort St George 10th February 1842.

Estimate of Charges required to be incurred for preparing leather boxes &c for securing Records of the Talook of the Errode Talook in the Coimbatore District.

Value of 5 Bamboo Boxes	1	12 —
Ditto of 14 Hides for covering the above at 12 Annas each	12	12 —
Hire for workmen for covering the boxes with leather at 12 Annas each	3	12 —
	18	4 "

Coimbatore Camp Peringdoorg
15th January 1842.

Company's Rupees 18 4 0

Eighteen Rupees and four Annas

Signed J. S. Wroughton
Dpt Collector

The

1912

Fort St George 10th February 1842.

Resolution thereon The Board —

37-38

authorize the disbursement

of Rupees 18 — 4 — 0 for —

making 5 leather boxes —

for securing the re=

=cords of the Talook of

Errode — The Principal

collector

Collector will be

pleased to include

the actual disburse-

ment in his Quar-

terly Statements

of Charges.-

Read

G.G.B.

To

The Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

Sir,

39

From the Collr of Madras

Submitting a revised form of acknowledgment to be subscribed by the occupants of land in the Boatmen's Village at Royapooram prepared by the acting Honble Company's Solicitor together with a Copy of his letter in which he states his reasons for giving a preference to the form originally submitted.

In consequence of the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th. April 1840, observing that the 2 and 3d. stipulations inserted in the margin of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government of date the 16th. August 1839 were omitted in the form of acknowledgment prepared by the Law Officers of Government, and that no allusion was made to the question of compensation in the 1st Stipulation, a communication was made to the Acting Honorable Company's Solicitor on the 22d. April 1840 — I have now the honor to enclose a Copy of his reply under yesterdays' date, and of the revised form of acknowledgment furnished with

From B.C. 2°
Sept.r 1839.

with it. The form having been compared
with the instructions of Government referred
to by the Board, it appears to me to embody
the whole of the stipulations contained
in the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th
August 1839 several legal objections to the
insertion of some of the provisions entered
in this Form, and the reasons for giving
a preference, in his opinion, to the Form
originally submitted are noticed by
Mr. Rose.

Madras
27th January 1842.

Signed J. A. Robertson.
Collector

A

To
The Collector of Madras
Fort St. George

Sir

In reply to your letter of the
7th Instant, and with reference to Mr. Hud-
leston's letter of the 22d. April transmitting to
me a Copy of a Minute of the Board of Revenue
requiring

requiring another revised form of acknow-
ledgment to be executed by Boatmen and
others occupying land belonging to Govern-
ment in the Village of Rayapooram,
I have the honor to state that this
matter has been deferred by me
because I was unable to reconcile
what is required by the Board with
what I consider would be the legal
and proper form.

In the first instance I
prepared a form of a Lease containing all
the stipulations referred to in your letter
of the 11th September 1839, and in my
opinion that form was as concise as
it ought to be.

The Board, however, were
desirous of having a shorter form and I
accordingly prepared a mere acknowledgment of
the tenancy leaving it in the power of Govern-
ment to eject the parties at any time
and with that power they would
be

be at liberty to lay down what rules they chose for the regulation of the occupants of this Ground, and if those rules were not complied with to eject them upon giving one weeks' notice.

This latter form was entirely approved of by the Advocate General.

I have now, in accordance with the desire expressed in the Extract from the Minutes of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 23d. March 1840. added to the short form a few words containing the stipulations referred to in that extract, but at the same time I beg to state that, in my opinion the insertion of those words will add to the difficulty of enforcing those stipulations, and that, if they are to be inserted at all, it should be by making them the subject of covenants as in the first form prepared by me.

That part of the acknowledgment which

which refers to the payment of rent was inserted by me to meet the case of a person not being a Boatman occupying part of the Ground, in which case (as I understand from your letter of 11th Septem: ber 1839) rent is to be paid.

When the certificate is granted to a Boatman, the Blank may be filled up with the words "One Peppercorn."

Madras 2d January 1842

(Signed) W. H. Rose
Actg. Hon: Cos. Solr.

I _____ of the Village of Royapooram near Madras do hereby acknowledge that the plot, piece or parcel of Ground situated at Royapooram containing _____ and bounded _____ is held by me of and at the will of the East India Company, and I hereby agree to pay to them a monthly rent of _____ so long as I shall be permitted

permitted by them to continue in the occupation thereof, and further that I will peaceably and quietly quit and deliver over the said plot piece or parcel of Ground to the said East India Company, their Successors or Assigns to their Collector of Madras or other Officer appointed by them within one week after notice in writing shall have been delivered to me for and on behalf of the said East India Company or their successors or their Collector of Madras or other such Officer as aforesaid and upon being paid the value of all Houses and buildings which may have been erected and built by me upon the said plot piece or parcel of Ground according to a fair valuation to be made by a competent person to be appointed for that purpose by the said

said East India Company or their Collector of Madras or other such Officer as aforesaid, and I do hereby promise and agree that during the time the said plot piece or parcel of Ground shall be occupied by me I will not erect or permit to be erected any terraced house nor any house having more than one story.

Dated this ——— day of ———

one thousand eight hundred and Forty-

[True Copies]

[Signed] A. Robertson

Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

39. 40

To the Chief Secy. to Government

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In Cons. 2 Septr. 1839

Referring to the Minutes of Consultation 16th. August 1839 which were forwarded to the Collector of Madras on

In Con.n 10 Feby. 1842

From the Coll.r 4th Dec.r 1839 in C. 6th Jany 1840.
From Do 10th Jany in Cons 27th Feby
From Do 25th in Cons 30th March
Proceedings 16 April

on the 2d September following I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras dated 27th Ultimo together with the correspondence noted in the Margin.

With a view to give full effect to the instructions of Government contained in the Minutes of Consultation above alluded to, Mr. Robertson applied to the Honorable Company's Law Officers for a Form of acknowledgment which it would be necessary to take from the Boatmen occupying Ground within the distance of six hundred yards of the Black Town Wall. The first Draft of this Document received with Mr. Robertson's letter of the 10th January 1840 appeared to the Board to be unnecessarily long and formal and it was returned with a view to its being compressed, the second Draft received with

with Mr. Robertson's letter of the 23d March 1840 on the other hand appeared too short and did not contain two important stipulations specified in the Minutes of Consultation 16th August 1839 viz. that no terraced house was to be built upon Land within the prescribed distance and no house of more than one story high it was therefore returned with a view to these omission

omission being supplied - the
Document now transmitted for
the approval of Government
appears to embrace all
that is necessary and the
Board beg to recommend
its adoption -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th February 1842

/ Signed / R. S. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read

From the Principal Collector of Madura
41

Petitions bearing the Boards endorsement presented to him in the Month of January last -

Read Register of

Order thereon
41-42

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched -

43

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 11th Ultimo I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being laid before Government the accompanying communications from the Collectors of

From the Collector of		
Vizagapatam	4th in Cons: 11th November 1841	
" Rajahmundry	30th October in Cons: 18th November	
" Guntoor	4 in Cons: 11th November	
" Masulipatam	25th Octr. in Cons: 9th December 1841	
" Tanjore	11th in Cons: 25th October 1841	
" Trichinopoly	21st October in Cons: 1st November	
" Tinnevelly	17th in Cons: 22nd November 1841	

Several Districts noted in the Margin shewing the subjects of the Systems

of "Sharana" Lines existing in the Districts of Masulipatam

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

in Cons. 30th September Leo 1841

Masulipatam regarding which information was required in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 17th September last.

2 From these it will be seen that the system in question is stated to have existed in the Northern Districts of Guntoor and Rajahmundry "from time immemorial" but in neither District does the Amount of fines imposed appear to be so great as in Masulipatam. The Collector of Rajahmundry observes that "considering the usages of former times hardly applicable to the present advanced state of civilization the rules under which these fines were imposed have always been adopted with moderation" from which it may be inferred that the practice although in existence has not been in very active operation in that District.

3 In the Southern Districts of Tanjore Trichinopoly and Tinnevelly it

Fort St. George 10th February 1842

it appears that there does not exist any practice of the kind alluded to. the abstraction of grain from the heap previously to a division having been made between the Government and the cultivator in cases where such a mode of settlement prevails being considered in the light of an ordinary theft and treated as such according to the Regulations.

4 With reference to the observations contained in Para 3 of the letter from the Register of Sudr Adawlut recorded in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under acknowledgment and the resolution of Government thereon I am desired by the Board to observe that as the system of fines under discussion tho' said to be sanctioned by immemorial usage in those Districts in which it is in force, is not authorized by any Regulation, and as moreover has been

been pronounced by the said Adalut to be "both illegal and Arbitrary" and as there appears no reason to suppose that its discontinuance in the Northern provinces would be attended with any injurious effects as regards the interests of Government any more than is its non-existence in the Southern Provinces they are of opinion that it would be as well that it should be at once abolished, and will be prepared accordingly to communicate to the local authorities instructions to that effect leaving the offences of the description alluded to, to be disposed of as thefts according to the Regulations should the Right Honorable the Governor in Council be pleased to approve the same.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th February 1842.

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched together with the Petition therein referred to.

(44)

To the Principal Collector of Salem.

To the Princ. Coll. of Salem

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed

enclosed Petition No 1991 of 1841. the Board request that you will inform them if the matter in dispute has been finally disposed of. They presume that the Punchayet was assembled under the Provisions of the Regulations for that purpose.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 10th February 1842

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

(45)

To the Principal Collector of Coimbatore.

To the Princ. Coll. of Coimbatore

Sir,

Palaniappah Modelly Village Curnum of Vettimadagoor in the Coimbatore Talook having appealed to the Board against the sentence of fine and dismission passed upon him on 21st October last I am directed to request that you will have the goodness to forward the original Proceedings held in his case.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 10th February 1842

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements

(46) From the Accountant General - Indent on the Commissary General for sundry Articles required for the use of the Revenue Department of his office for the Month of February 1842.

(47) From the Accountant General - Indent on the Commissary General for sundry Articles for the use of the General Department of his Office for the Month of February 1842.

(46-48) Order on the two abovementioned Indents to be passed and returned. Ordered

(49) From the Collector of Ganjam - Stating that certain Returns for the quarter ending 31st December last are not sent there being no entry to make.-

(50) From the Collector of Ganjam - Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants from 1st October to 31st December last. Quarterly

From the Collector of Ganjam - Quarterly Return of alterations that have occurred in the

(51) list of fines and stoppages from 1st October to 31st December last.-

From the Collector of Ganjam - Quarterly Account

(52) current of Salt from 12th July to 31st December last - for July 1257.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Return of persons

(53) charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Reporting that

(54) there is no item to submit Return of Village servants suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of December last.-

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Return of fines

(55) imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants from 1st October to 31st December last.-

From the Collector of Tinnevelly - Quarterly

(56) Return of alterations that have occurred in

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st October to 31st December last.

57

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Quarterly Return of public servants suspended or dismissed from employment from 1st October to 31st December last.

" Ditto.

" J. Burn

" William Elliot

" A. Maclean

Compd. Jas. Boyle Asst.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Diary.

1

From the Collr. of Coimbatore — 11th February.

Received letter submitting an application from his Head Assistant Mr. M. P. Daniell requesting retrospective leave of absence from the 20th December 1841 to 31st January last on Sick Certificate.

1-2

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted to Government for favorable consideration thro' the Channel of the Civil Auditor.

11th Febry. Sent the following letter

3

To the Collr. of Masulipatam.

To The Collector in the zillah of Masulipatam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that the Statement of Demand, Collection and Balance for the Month of December last, which ought to have reached this Office by the 20th Ultimo has not yet been received - and to request that you will transmit the same with as little delay as possible.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th February 1842.

[Signed] R. T. Porter. Acting Secretary.

A.C.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842-

At a Meeting Present-

Monday 14th February 1842 } John Dent Esqre. Senior Member
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member-
Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member-
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

4

From the Chief Secy. to Government- Authorizing the Monthly pension of 70 Rs. which was granted under date 6th Aug. 1815 to Mahomeen Reza Khan to be Continued to his son Gholam Ahmed Khan as heretofore, on the distinct understanding that it will be liable to be resumed on his death- also sanctioning the actual disbursement made on the above account by the Pl. Collr. of North Arcot for Fusly 1249 amounting to Rs. 840-

Read the following Extract-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th January 1842-

1- Referring to the item No. 43 of the charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from the Accounts of the Principal Collector of North Arcot for Fusly 1249. and to the Correspondence thereunto submitted by the Board of Revenue for the decision of Government under date the 6th ultimo, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, for the reasons stated in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 13th of September 1838,

From the Pl. Collr. of North Arcot dated 29th Augt. 1838 No. 186.
Extt. from the Proc:s of the Bd. of Revenue dated 13th Sept. 1838-

Fort St. George 14th February 1842-

1838 and considering the length of time the arrangement adverted to has been in existence unquestioned, authorizes the monthly pension of seventy Rupees which was granted under date the 6th of August 1815 to Mohoodeen Ruzza Khan of the family of the late Jaghiredar of Dandalapooram, to be continued to his son Gholam Ahmed Khan as heretofore, on the distinct understanding that it will be liable to be resumed on his death-

2- His Lordship in Council sanctions the actual disbursements made by the Principal Collector of North Arcot on the above account for fusly 1249. amounting to 6 Rupees (840.) Eight hundred and forty, and observes that there has been great irregularity on the part of the Board of Revenue and the audit Office in permitting the payment to continue for so long a period as thirteen years since the death of the late incumbent, without bringing to the notice of Government the circumstances of the case, and obtaining their

Fort St George 14th February 1842.

their orders thereon.—

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To,

The Sub Collector in Charge of the Northern Division of Arcot.

(4-5)

To the Sub Collr in charge of the Nr Dn of Arcot. } Sir,

From North Arcot 29th Augt in Lrs 15th Septr 1838. Proceedings 13th Septr.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased to grant sanction under date the 25th Ultimo for continuing the Monthly pension of Seventy Rupees which was granted under date the 4th of August 1815 to Mohodeen Ruzza khan, of the family of the late Jaghire-dar of Danalapooram, to his son Ghulam Ahmed khan as heretofore, on the distinct understanding that it will be liable to be resumed on his death.—

In Lrs 14th July 1842.

In Lrs 21st Augt 1815.

His Lordship in Council has also granted sanction for the actual disbursement made

Fort St George 14th February 1842.

made by the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot on the above account, amounting to (840.) eight hundred and forty Rupees, being the Item No 23. of the Charges retrenched by the Civil Auditor from the accounts of the Principal Collector of North Arcot for Fasly 1249.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
14th February 1842. } (Signed) R. J. Porter.
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Extract.

From the Chief Secy to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th January 1842.

(6)

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department, 26th January 1842.

Read the following letter.

From the Adjutant General of the Army.

(Here enter 22d Jany 1842 No 85.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the Officers named in the Margin be replaced, temporarily at the disposal of the Major

2d Regiment Native Infantry.
Major W. Prescott 1st Asst Commissary General.
Capt. J. H. B. Congdon Sup. Roads.
Capt. R. Theneff Dy. a. General centre Division.
41st Regiment Native Infantry.
Major I. Campbell Principal assistant Government Agent, Ganjam.
Captain G. Logan. Fort Adjutant.
Captain W. D. Macdonald Dy. Pay Master Trichinopoly.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Major General Commanding the Forces for Regimental duty with their Corps proceeding to China, and that the same be notified on General Orders.—

The Commissary General will adopt measures to relieve Major Prescott at an early date—

An application will be made to the Government of India for the services of the undermentioned Officers—

2nd Regiment Native Infantry.
Lieutenant A. Wyndham.
Lieutenant D. W. Mackonnon.
} Nizams Service.
21st Regiment Native Infantry.
Lieutenant W. B. McCally.
Lieutenant W. I. Hare.

(Signed) S. W. Steel. Lt. Colo.
Secretary to Government.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue and Board of Public Works for communication to the officers respectively under their controul.—

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Ordered that the following letter

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

letter be sent in consequence.—

To
Major J. Campbell
Pte Aste to the Government agent
Ganjam.

6.7

To Major J. Campbell
Pte Aste to the Govt agent
Ganjam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, agreeably to instructions received from Government, to apprize you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased under date the 26th Ultimo to direct that you be replaced temporarily at the disposal of the Major General Commanding the forces for Regimental duty with your Corps proceeding to China.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.—
14th February 1842.—

(Signed) R. J. Porter.
Acting Secretary.

Read the following Extract.

From the Chief Secy. to Government.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st January 1842.

8.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 2nd Decr. 1841. No. 503.)

Para 1.

The Right Honorable the Governor.

Fort St George 14th February 1842.

Governor in Council approves generally the arrangements recommended in respect to the Enam lands held by the Woodiarpollium Poligar in the Talooks of Manargoody and Chellumbrum in South Arcot, and desires that they be adopted as hereinafter detailed and reckoned to have effect from the 12th July 1839.—

2. Firstly. the lands and other revenues of the Poligar in the above Talooks will be assumed, and an allowance of 15 per Cent on the income derived therefrom, conferred in lieu, in perpetuity, and secondly. The grants of the Poligars and all alienations to individuals will also be assumed, and a money allowance in commutation equivalent to the full value of the Maniems assumed, granted for life. the circumstances of each family dispossessed, being reported for consideration on each lapse.—

3. In respect to the lands alienated to Pagodas, His Lordship in Council directs that the whole be resumed in like manner

Fort St George 14th February 1842.

manner as the rest, and as suggested by Mr Hallett, that a money allowance equivalent to their value be granted in compensation, to cease at the expiration of 5 years from the date these arrangements are to have effect, subject however to the confirmation of the Honorable the Court of Directors, or. if it be considered preferable, that compensation be granted at once for the entire period.—

4. The following Endorsement on Petitions No 2071 and 2110 of 1841. is ordered to be communicated to the Petitioners Ramasastry and other Maniendars of South Arcot.—

"The Petitioners are referred to the Board of Revenue, to whom instructions have been issued respecting the Maniems granted by the Woodiarpollem Poligars."—

| A true Extract. |

| Signed Fy. Chamier. |
Chief Secy.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Order

Order thereon — Ordered that a copy

8-9

of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, and of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings thereon recorded be forwarded to the Collector of South Arcot for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 14th ult. October last —

Ltr from 28th ult. Octr 1841.

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on the Petitions noted in the Margin —

29 of 1841- 42 of 1841.

The orders of Government upon the subject alluded to in this petition have been communicated to the Collector of South Arcot. —

Read

Read the following letter —

10

From the Chief Secy. to Government. —

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Gentlemen.

Para 1. I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit for your consideration and report the accompanying Petition No. 2099 of 1841 from one Dayevasekharmony Pundaram, styling himself the Superior and Proprietor of certain religious Institutions in the Shevagunga Zemindary of the Madura District. —

2. Your Board are aware that the proposition contained in the 8th para of Mr. Blackburne's letter of the 6th October last to retain charge of the Pagodas in the Shevagunga

Fort St George 14th February 1842.

Shevagunga Zemindary during the sequestration of that Estate has been over-ruled, and that under the instructions contained in para 3 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd December 1841 No. 1490 those Institutions were to be placed at once under Native control under the arrangement suggested in para 6 of your letter of the 21st October.—

Fort St George 31st January 1842. } (Signed) Hy. Charnier Chief Secretary.

Order thereon.— Ordered that the

(10-11)

Petition which accompanies the foregoing letter, be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his report, with reference to the observation contained in Para 2. Copy of which is hereunto annexed.—

Read

Fort St George 14th February 1842

(12)

From the Chief Secy. to Government } communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Cuddapah for fusly 1250.

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th February 1842

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

[Here enter 10th January 1842 No. 10]

Para 1. The result of the Settlement of the Extra revenue in the District of Cuddapah is an increase in the year under review over the one preceding in the demand of 30,837-15-9- the Current Collections 34,193-10-11- and the Net Revenue 26,477-8-9- as shewn in the †Statement entered in the Margin.

	Demand	Current Collections	Net Revenue
Fusly 1249	449,809 12 4	429,205 2 9	443,027 8 9
Do. 1250	480,647 12 1	463,398 12 9	469,505 1 6
	30,837 15 9	34,193 10 11	26,477 8 9

2 It is satisfactory to observe that the increase in the Net Revenue, though principally derived from the items of Sayer and Abkarry was not obtained from them only but also in some measure by the favorable outturn of each of the other †Sources comprising the

	1249		1250		Increase	
†Sayer	17,650	10 10	19,991	4 6	1,340	9 8
Abkarry	92,476	5 2	98,338	6 2	5,862	1 "
Sundry Small Farms &c.	16,390	12 5	18,177	6 6	1,786	9 10
Stamps	22,422	9 8	23,478	6 6	1,055	12 10
Moturpha	135,087	8 9	137,519	9 10	2,432	7 5
Net Revenue	443,027	8 9	469,505	1 6	26,477	8 9

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

the Extra Revenue. The excess under the former of the two items is shewn to have arisen from the "favorable terms offered "by the renters of the betel and Tobacco "duties, the increased consumption and "transit of Piece goods, Opium and Tama- "rind and a small decrease in the amount "of charges."—

+ 587-1-7

3 The demand under the head of Abkarry exceeded that of the preceding fusly by Rupees 10,023 but the amount of arrears to be brought to account being Rs. Pas. left the net increase was only 5862-1-. as shewn in the Statement to the pre- ceding para.—

Para 2 & 3.

4. Of the total demand 4,80,647-12-1 there remained uncollected at the close of the fusly Rupees 17,248-13-4 which subsequent collections have reduced to a balance of 2,062-10-6 and of this sum all but Rupees 250 will, it is expected, be realized.—

5. In the Bunganapully Jaghire not included in the above, the demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenues

Para 11.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

Revenue was 23,289-9-3 exceeding that

+ Rs. 21,564-15-9- of fusly 1249 by Rupees 1,724-9-6. of which the whole has been collected with the exception of Rupees 475-13-5 due on account of Moturpha. Under the latter head a small balance is due for fuslies 1248 and 1249 both in the Jaghire and Cuddapah proper, regarding which the Collector it is stated, proposes to make an enquiry at the next Jummahbundy with a view to the remission of such portion as may be deemed irrecoverable.—

6 His Lordship in Council has much pleasure in recording his sa- tisfaction at the result of the manage- ment of this branch of the Revenue in the District of Cuddapah during the fusly under review.—

[A true Extract]
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the
(12. 13) Board of Revenue

Order thereon— Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

the Extra Revenue — The excess under the former of the two items is shewn to have arisen from the "favorable terms offered "by the renters of the Betel and Tobacco "duties, the increased consumption and "transit of Piece goods, Opium and Tamarind and a small decrease in the amount "of charges." —

+ 387-1-7

3 The demands under the head of Abkarry exceeded that of the preceding fusly by Rupees 10,023 but the amount of arrears to be brought to account being left the Net increase was only Rs. 5862-1-. as shewn in the Statement to the preceding para. —

Para 2 & 3.

4- Of the total demand 4,80,647-12-1 there remained uncollected at the close of the fusly Rupees 17,248-13-4 which subsequent collections have reduced to a balance of 2,062-10-6 and of this sum all but Rupees 250 will, it is expected, be realized. —

5- In the Bungasapully Jaghire not included in the above, the demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue

Para 11-

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

Revenue was 23,289-9-3 exceeding that

+ Rs. 21,564-15-9- of Fusly 1249 by Rupees 1,724-9-6. of which the whole has been collected with the exception of Rupees 475-13-5 due on account of Moturpha. Under the latter head a small balance is due for Fuslies 1248 and 1249 both in the Jaghire and Cuddapah proper, regarding which the Collector it is stated, proposes to make an enquiry at the next Summahbundy with a view to the remission of such portion as may be deemed irrecoverable. —

6 His Lordship in Council has much pleasure in recording his satisfaction at the result of the management of this branch of the Revenue in the District of Cuddapah during the fusly under review. —

[A true Extract]
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the
(12. 13) Board of Revenue

Order thereon — Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of

Fort St. George 16th February 1842

of Consultation be forwarded to the Office of the Principal Collector of Cuddapah with reference to an Extract from the Boards Proceedings dated 10th January 1842 upon the Settlement of the extra Sources of Revenue in that district for Fusly 1250.—

14

From the Chief Sec.y to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 4th February 1842.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

[Here enter 17th January 1842 Ryot]

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council cancels the authority granted under date the 10th October 1837 for the issue of a lease to the Honorable Mr. C. M. Lushington for certain property on the Neelgherry Hills and desires that a fresh one may be issued for the same to Mr. John Ryan at the rates and subject

Fort St. George 16th February 1842

subject to the condition referred to in the foregoing proceedings.—

[A true Extract]

[Signed] H.P. Chamier

Chief Secretary.

To

The President & Members of the

Board of Revenue

Ordered that the following

letter be sent in Consequence.—

To

The Collector of Madras

and Register of Government Grants.

14-15.

To the Collector of Madras & Regr. of Govt. Grants

In Cons.: 17th January 1842

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 7th Ultimo No. 5 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to cancel the authority granted under date the 10th October 1837 for the issue of a lease to the Honorable Mr. C. M. Lushington for Cawl. 37-23-686 on the Neelgherry Hills and to direct that a fresh one may be issued for the same to Mr. John Ryan at the rates of assessment and

6th in Cons.: 11th Feby. 1842

In Cons.: 16th Octr. 1837.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

and subject to the condition suggested in Para. 4 of your letter above referred to.—

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George,
14th February 1842

Signed R. T. Porter
Jr. Secretary

Read the following Extract

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th February 1842

16

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

[Here enter 13th January 1842 No. 15]

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the payment to Retticunty Chellamah of the pension of Rupees [425-11-] four hundred and twenty five and annas eleven per annum sanctioned under date the 15th of June 1841 No. 807 in arrears from the date of decease of her late husband the Mazoomdar of the Pettapoor Talook in Rajahmundry.—

[A true Extract]
[Signed] H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Ordered

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

To The Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

16-17

To the Coll.r in the Zillah of Rajahmundry

In Cons.n 13th January 1842

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 22.d inst. of December last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council was pleased under date the 7th instant to sanction the payment to Retticunty Chellamah of the pension of Rupees [425-11-] four hundred and twenty five and annas eleven per Annum in arrears from the date of decease of her late husband R Lutchmepaty Pontoolo Moozoomdar of Pettapore Talook.—

In Cons.n 14th Feb.y 7th 1842

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George
14th February 1842

[Signed] R. T. Porter
Jr. Secretary

Read the following letter

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

18

From the Chief Sec.y to Government

Gentlemen

As it would appear from the great number of Petitions forwarded to

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

to Government by persons residing in the Provinces unaccompanied by any Endorsement in direct opposition to the Petition Rules bearing date 16th May 1837 that the order of Government contained in Parah 2 of the Minutes of Consultation noted in the Margin which enjoins that the greatest publicity possible be given to the Rules in question has not been sufficiently attended to. His Lordship in Council requests that you will cause a further Circular to be prepared and sent to the several Collectors calling their attention to the said order and directing them with a view to the Petition Rules being more fully understood and acted upon by the people to be careful to have them read and explained to the Ryots when assembled at their Cutcherries at each annual Settlement. —

16th May 1837.

Fort St. George 7th February 1842. } / Signed / Hy. Chamier Chief Secretary.

Order thereon

(18. 19)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be circulated for the information and guidance of all Collectors with reference to the letters noted in the Margin. —

Circular 29th May 1837 19th June 1837

Read

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

(20)

Read the following Resolution

From the Chief Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th February 1842 —

[From Masulipatam 15th Dec. r. in Cons. 3 Jany.]

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charge of Eight annas incurred by the Collector of Masulipatam in presenting the Motion referred to in the above Statement. —

/ Signed / Hy. Chamier Chief Secretary. —

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence. —

To The Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

(20-21)

To the Coll. in the Zillah of ca Masulipatam } Sir

In Cons: 3 Jany. 1842.

With reference to your letter of the 15th December last No. 117, I am ca directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the charge of eight annas incurred by you in presenting a Motion in the ca Zillah

From Govt. 8th Feby. 1842 In Cons: 11th Do.

Zillah Court at Rajahmundry in Suit No. 1220 of 1838.

Revenue Board's office
Fort St. George
14th February 1842

} Signed R. T. Porter
Sy. Secretary

Read the following letter

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

22

From the Secy. to Government

Gentlemen,

With reference to my letter of the 30th November last No. 205, I am instructed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit the accompanying Certificate No. 915 for a Superannuation Pension of Rupees 33-5-4 granted to M. Jagannatha Rau late Sheristadar of the Sub Collector of Ganjam, together with an attested copy of the same the Original for delivery to the Pensioner, and the copy to be lodged amongst the records of the collector's office.

Fort St. George
31st January 1842

} Signed R. Clerk
Secretary to Government

Ordered.

Order thereon

22-23

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter with the Superannuation Pension Certificate therewith received be forwarded to the Collector of Ganjam for his information and guidance with reference to the communication from this office dated 20th December 1841.

24

Proceedings Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Ganjam for Fusly 1250 — 8th Dec. 1841

Read the following letter from the Collector of Ganjam reporting on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1250.

[Here enter No. 54]

Gross Collections.

Fusly 1249	299,298- 8-11	The results of the aggregate Sales of Salt exhibit a considerable falling off in this year the Gross Collections having been Rs. 50,979-2-8 below the like Collections of Fusly 1249. This decrease is attributed by the Collector to two causes, viz. the depressing influence on the trade and general prosperity of the District from a succession of bad seasons [which also affected the other branches of
1250	249,319- 6- 3	
Decrease	50,979- 2- 8	

Fort St. George 14th February 1841

of Extra revenue) and the prevalence of Cholera for some time in the neighbourhood of the Nowpadah Cotaurs where about half of the whole deficiency occurred.

Nowpadah Cotaurs.

	Home Sales.	Inland Do.
Fusly 1249	31,323-2-4	122,427-0-1
1250	26,397-5-3	96,483-8-0
	4,925-13-1	25,943-8-1
Total decrease		30,869-5-2

2 The Charges incurred for purchase and manufacture as also for superintendence and sale amounted to Rupees 16,767-1-10- which deducted from the gross Collections left the Net Revenue

Fusly 1249-2,67,603-14-2-
1250-2,22,552-4-5

45,051-9-9-

Rs. 2,22,552-4-5. shewing a total decrease as compared with the foregoing year of Rupees 45,051-9-9- as noted in the margin.—

Sayer. 3 The unfavorable nature of the season likewise produced a deficiency in the Sayer Revenues of the year. The gross Collections amounted to Rupees 23,749-7-10 and after deducting the Charges the net revenue was Rupees 17,233-4-6. exhibiting a net deficit of Rupees 2,394-3-2- below the previous fusly.—

Charges 6,516-3-4-

Sea Customs 4 In the Sea Customs Department there

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

there was also a falling off consequent upon the diminished Exportation of Gingelly Oil Seeds, Turmeric, Horse and Hill Grain of each during the year under review. The Net Revenue under this head being in fusly 1250- Rs. 470-9-9- and in fusly 1249 Rs. 1,257-15-3- shewing a Net decrease of Rupees 787-5-6-

Abkarry. 5. In the revenue derived from abkarry there was a decrease upon the year owing as stated by the Collector to the losses sustained by the renters and the impoverished condition of the people generally- the Collections in fusly 1249 were Rupees 30,646-7-6- and in fusly 1250 Rs. 22,852-4-7- being a decrease in the latter year of Rupees 7,794-2-11-

6. The total balance of arrears due in the abkarry Department for fusslies prior to fusly 1250 is shewn in the statement Marked B. to have amounted at the close of the fusly under review to Rupees 3,451-15-7- of this sum the Collector has stated his opinion that Rupees 864-5-5- will eventually be realized, but that he deems-

deems Rupees 2,496-7-5. altogether desperate, in consequence of there being no further property forthcoming from the various ex renters or their Sureties, by whom the several items ex composing the above Amount are due.

	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.	By whom due.
Fusly 1247	148-14-8	-	
1248	141-8	50-6	Renter of Purla-Kimedy
1249	573-14-9	446-1-5	Do of Chicacole, Mohery Monsoon, Cottah, Poobacondah
	864-5-5	2,496-7-5	
Total	3,360-12-10		
Collected up to Nov 30 1841	91-2-9		
Grand Total	3,451-15-7		

Under these circumstances the Board beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for writing off from the accounts the irrecoverable portion of these balances amounting as stated above to ex Rupees 2,496-7-5.

7. The balance due by the ex Abkarry renters of the Talooks ex noted in the Margin for fusly 1250 Viz. 898-15-9. being also reported desperate, the Board recommend that authority be given for writing off that amount to profit and loss.

Daracote	158-6-9
Astea	122-5-11
Sarghur	18-14-7
Hautghur	265-0-6
Poobacondah	334-4-0
	898-15-9

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.

8. The demand under this head for fusly 1250. amounted to Rupees 3,159-1-0 and the Current Collections to Rs. 2,324-6-8 inclusive

inclusive of Arrears the Collections were Rupees 2,651-3-11. exceeding those of the previous year by Rupees 209-4-2.

9. The balance outstanding for fuslies 1248 and 1249 was Rupees 1416-12-10. of this sum Rupees 6-8-0 had been collected at the end of November 1841. but the remainder Viz. Rupees 1,410-4-10. the Collector deemed altogether desperate, owing to the poverty, emigration and casualties among the fishing ryots. It is therefore recommended that sanction be granted for striking it out of the accounts.

10. Respecting the balance due for fusly 1250. the amount of which subsequent to the Month of November 1841 was Rupees 650-8-4. Mr. Bannerman has promised to submit a detailed report.

Stamps. 11. In the sales of stamps there was an increase in the Net revenue of the year of Rupees 1,161-10-7. owing to the greater demand for Stamp Paper for

for the institution of Civil suits in the Court of the agent to the Governor of Fort St. George. The Net receipts under this head in fusly 1250 were Rs. 8,527-4-5. and in fusly 1249 they were Rs. 7,365. 9-10-

12. The Decrease in the Moturpha on Rents which amounted to Rupees 3,596-8-3 is attributed to the same causes which extended to depress the other branches of Revenue during the year under review.

Moturpha. Net Revenue.
Fusly 1249 10,313-7-1.
1250 6,716-14-10.
3,596-8-3.

13. The outstanding balances due for fusslies 1248 and 1249. are reported to amount to Rupees 4,854-9-8 and for the reasons stated in para 9 of these Proceedings are considered by Mr. Bannerman as utterly irrecoverable, the Board therefore beg to recommend that similar sanction be granted for their being written off from the accounts.

14. The balances for fusslies 1246 and 1247 which amount to Rupees 837-10-10 were accidentally omitted to be recommended for remission, as requested by the Collector, in the Board's Proceedings on the settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue for fusly 1249 dated

the 11th of March 1841. the above amount being utterly desperate is also recommended to be struck off.

15. Reviewing the items of Extra Revenue in its several branches, the Gross Collections of the year under review amounted to Rupees 3,06,356-15-9- and the charges to Rupees 25,353-1-4. In the preceding year the like Collections were Rs. 3,70,550-13-0- and the Charges 31,293-15-9, consequently the Net decrease in the year was Rupees 58,252-14-10.

Fusly 1249.		1250.	
3,70,550-13-0	Collections	3,06,356-15-9	
31,293-15-9	Charges	25,353-1-4	
3,39,256-13-3		2,81,003-14-5	
Decrease in fusly 1250		58,252-14-10	

16. The total amount of irrecoverable balances for which the Sanction of Government is solicited for the purpose of striking out of the Public Accounts is in the aggregate Rupees 10,498-0-6.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings, together with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections, Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Ganjam for his information and guidance.

Ganjam.

Journal of Receipts & Payments for January 1842.

January

| | | |

1842

Note of Receipts & Payments

	Cash	Bills	Remarks
	£ s d	£ s d	
Current Collection	2172 4.7	2324 6 8.	152 2 1 " " "
Brought forward Balance	" " "	" " "	" " "
Collected	269 11 2	326 13 3	57 2 1 " " "
Total	2441 15 9.	2651 3 11.	209. 4 2 " " "
Charged	" " "	" " "	" " "
All Receipts	2441. 15 9.	2651. 3 11.	209. 4 2 " " "
Current	7416. 15 "	8608. 10 "	1191. 11 " " "
Balance on the 12th July	" " "	" " "	" " "
Current Collection	7416. 15 "	8608. 10 "	1191. 11 " " "
Extra £	439 " 10.	983. 4 8.	" " 53 12 2
Allowed Claimed	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	7855 15 10.	8991. 14 8.	1143. 14 10. " " "
Charged	490 6 "	464 10 3.	" " 25 11 9
All Received	7365. 9 10.	8527. 4 5	11 6 1. 10 7 " " "

1842 Note of Receipts & Payments

	Cash	Bills	Remarks
	£ s d	£ s d	
Current	3103 " "	2679 6. 8 "	" " 423 8 "
Balance on the 12th July	4916 10 4	5988 6 7.	1071 12 3. " " "
Current Collection	2613 9 5	2,808 1 5.	" " 533 1. 4 3.
Extra £	204 14 11	138 6 7.	" " 46 8 4
Allowed Claimed	4302 2 11	1885 12 7	" " 2416 6 4
Total	30644 7.	22852 4 7	" " 7794 2 11.
Charged	" " "	" " "	" " "
All Received	30644 7 6.	22852 4 7	" " 7794 2 11
Current	3463 "	3159 1	" " 304 5 "
Balance on the 12th July	1291 1 3	834. 10. 4	" " 456 7 1

1964 — Office of Geo. IV. — 1842.

Statement showing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation or Coastwise at the undermentioned Port on the 7th February 1842 as per account rendered to the Hon. the Board of Excise.

Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation or Coastwise	Quantity of Salt in Store and not ready for exportation or Coastwise	Amount of Duty remaining secured by Bonds in force	Quantity exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity exported to other Ports in the year 1842.	Remarks.
25					

Bombay — 4,00,000 " 1,24,140 " 27,380 " 73,280 "

Hillah Rajahmundry, Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Chingleput 11th February 1842 — C. E. Boyd Esqr. Collector.

Statement

1966 Form 99. Year ending 14th February 1842.

Statement of Salt duty collection in Calcutta from the Port of Coringa, in the Week of Thursday the 3rd to 8th February 1842.

16

No.	Name of Parties.	Description of Salt.	Quantity of Salt imported to be cleared in the year 1841/42.	Quantity cleared during the week.	Quantity remaining to be cleared for exportation.	Quantity actually shipped for exportation on the 8th inst.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			Md. Mds.	Md. Mds.	Md. Mds.	Md. Mds.	
1	Ezekiel Ney	14 — 44	90000	35203/4	54796 1/2	54796 1/2	"
2	Goodenough	14 — 39	40000	15104	24896	24896	"
3	Downey —	14 — 37	20000	10813	9187	9187	"
			150000	61202 1/2	88797 1/2	88797 1/2	"

Received Rupees Sicca Seventy Three Thousand Two Hundred and Twenty Only.

Coringa, 12th February 1842. } Signed J. Macfarlane. Collector.

Collector's Office, Coringa 14th February 1842. } Superintendent of Salt Golahs.

1968 Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Order on the four foregoing Statements } Ordered to be sent to the Fort St. George Gazette for publication.

25-29

Read the following Letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Guntoor

With reference to the 2d Para of your letter of the 24th June last I have the honor to submit herewith 4 Forms of Cowls and Cabaleyets and to request that the Board will be pleased to have 8000 Cubies of Cowls and 8000 Copies of Cabaleyets printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press for the use of the Talooks noted in the Margin for July 1842.

30

I further beg that the supply required for distribution in the Paulnaud may be dispatched first.

For Paulnaud.—
Cowls 200
Cubaleyets 200
400
Fort Mutranze Estate
Cowls 6000
Cubaleyets 6000
12000
Total 6000

Guntoor
Coll.r Cutchery
25th January 1842

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector.

Ordered that the following Letters be sent in consequence.—

To

1968 Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from Cuddalore Division for a Short Shipment to 5th February 1842.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be Exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already Exported.	Remarks.
200,000	2,00,000	Bengal Rounds. Bengal Rounds.

Cuddalore
Collr's Cutchery
8th February 1842.

(Signed) C. H. Hallett.
Collector.

Memorandum is about 20 Miles South of Pondicherry and for the guidance of the Salt Farmers in making the Returns to the Board of Revenue the Quantity of Salt to be exported from each Station is hereby specified.

Cuddalore 2,00,000
Porto Novo 50,000
Chilambrum 50,000
Annamalay Chowtry 50,000
Total 3,50,000

Calcutta Salt Depot and it is requested that the Collector of the Salt Chokies may be noted.—

It will be sufficient for Invoices to state that the Salt is shipped at Cuddalore.

Collectors Cutchery or to the Salt Chokies at Muttapanam

(Signed) C. H. Hallett.
Collector

Order—

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

To the Collector of

Guntoor.

31

To the Collr. of Guntoor

In Cons 14th Feby 1842

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th Ultimo No 9 submitting an Indent for Cowles and Cabaliats to be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press required for the use of your District for the current Fusly 1251.

Considering the advanced period of the Fusly and the large number of the printed documents applied for by you the Board are apprehensive that they may not be got ready so as to reach you in time for distribution in the current Fusly, they therefore authorize you to obtain the required supply for Fusly 1251 from Masulipatam in the usual manner and an application will be made to Government to ascertain whether the large number of

Fort St. George 14th to 21st February 1842.

of these documents annually required by you can be conveniently printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
14th February 1841

Signed R. T. Porter
Sr. Secretary

To the Chief Secretary to Government

30 - 32

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Guntoor requesting that certain forms of Cowles and Cabaliats required for the use of his District may be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette.

25th in Cons 14th Feby 1842.

2

Adverting to the advanced period of the Fusly and the large number of the documents applied for the Board being apprehensive that if Printed at Madras they may not be got ready so as to reach the Collector in time for distribution to the Ryots have

1200

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

have requested that Officer to obtain the requisite supply for the current Fasly from Masulipatam wherein it appears these forms can be printed at a charge of Rupees 243-8- including the value of paper and the hire of conveyance to Guntoor but as a similar number of Forms will be required annually the Board wish to be informed whether these can be conveniently printed at the Government Press and if so they will submit for sanction an Indent for the required quantity of Country paper for printing two years supply unless the Right Honorable the Governor in Council be pleased to accede to the suggestion of Mr. Goldingham to have these Documents annually printed at the Press of the Auxiliary Hindoo Literary Society at Masulipatam with a view to encourage that Institution.

+ From Guntoor 4th May in Cons. 7 June 1841

	Rs	a	p
8000 Cowles & Cabooleyats printed at Masulipatam @ 1-8 per 100 Copies including the value of Paper	120	-	-
Conveyance hire to Guntoor	1	12	-
	121	12	-
Add for 8000 Do	121	12	-
Total	243	8	-

Revl B. Office
Fort St. George
14th February 1842

Signed/ R. J. Porter
A. Secy

Read

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

33

Sir,

From the Collr of Guntoor

With reference to your letter of the 8th March last I have the honor to submit herewith, for the information of the Board, copy of the Decree passed by the Auxiliary Court at Guntoor, in Suit No 70 of 1840, dismissing the Plaintiff with costs.

Guntoor
Collector's Cutcherry
28th January 1842

Signed/ J. Goldingham
Coll.r

Decree of the Auxiliary Court
of Guntoor

Original Suit No 70 - 1840. -

Allamoody Soobbarauze
Versus
Mr. J. Goldingham (Collector)

A Suit for the recovery of 1/2 Coochil of Lakarauze Cranm land annually yielding 9 Rupees.

Plaintiff states that his 4th Ancestor's Grandson by name Jyesarauze had a 1/2 Coochil of Sylt Cranm land in Allamoody Village

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Village, that the then Zemindar was Seetummah Mainebia Rayenem Gauroo, that his (Zemindar's) successor Gopauba Gauroo continued it to him (said Grandson) that in Fusly 1183 (1773) the Estate was divided between Sungammah Mainebaya Rayenungauroo and his Brother Siva Putty Gauroo, that the abovementioned Village fell to the share of the latter, who as well as his successor continued the said Enam to Iyaparaunge and his offspring, that it was continued to his (Plaintiffs) Grandfather Soobbarayer. That some time after the Estate was purchased by Rayah M. R. Venkatakoondaurayenumpauroo and that subsequently his (Plaintiffs) Grandfather father, and self, enjoyed the Enams up to Fusly 1247 (1837) that some of the Documents connected with this Enam were burnt in his Brother in-law's house at Canoor.-

That in Fusly 1247, 2d Defendant through enmity, made Statement to the 1st Defendants, that he (Plaintiff) had usurped 8 Cawnies of Bary Land in Fusly 1244 (1834); that on this by order of 1st defendant, the Paultaker Ameen examined documents and accounts, and reported the result to the Collector (1st Defendant) on the 2d November 1838. on which 1st Defendant

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

the 1st May 1840 issued an order (No 592) to the effect that the said Land should be considered Sarvy, on the grounds that it was not inserted in the Statement of Enams written by him (Plaintiff) for Fusly 1230 (1820) nor in the Accounts prepared by his (Plaintiffs) father in Fusly 1228 (1818) that the Doombala granted by the Rumeen for Fusles 1234-35-36 (1824-25-26) was copied & pasted in a Book containing many blank sheets, and that the handwriting of the said Doombalah differed from that of the others contained therein.-

That Plaintiff does not know why his father did not insert the Enam in question in the said Statement, but that it may have happened from the circumstance of strangers having been employed by the Curnums in writing the Circar Accounts.-

That he (Plaintiff) was sick in Fusly 1236, that he therefore directed his Gomastah to write the accounts, who must from negligence have neglected to insert it therein.-

That it (Enam) was inserted in the Account delivered in to the Engineer in

in Fusly 1228 /1818/ which shews that it was only a mistake - its not being inserted in the Statement sent to the Collector for the same fusly -

That it was inserted in the Enam Statement sent in and prepared by S. Streenevasarow in Fusly 1232 /1822/ and also in the Sooderuttoo list for Fusly 1233; as well as in the Anchana lists for Fusly 1234 - and all subsequent Accounts, that it was also mentioned in the said Accounts that his /Plaintiffs/ grand Father had enjoyed it for the last 40 years, that this shews that his Soomastah through negligence failed in inserting it

That in Fusly 1218 /1808/ as the then Zemindar Muhranze demanded Badeygah /Rent/ on this Enam, it was left waste from that Fusly up to Fusly 1232 /1822/ that from this last date he /Plaintiff/ has cultivated part thereof the Runseens giving Doombalas &c.

That when the Runseens are in the out Villages - the Book containing the Doombalas is kept at Head quarters, consequently the Doombalas granted by them when in the out Villages are copied on sheets of paper & sent to Head Quarters in

in order that they may be pasted in the said Book; that this happened to the one inquestion, that volunteers also were in the habit of writing these copies, and not the regular Soomastahs only - hence the difference in the hand writing -

That 2d Defendant did not prove that he /Plaintiff/ had usurped Sary land as alleged by him, and moreover that his /Plaintiffs/ witnesses then stated that he had possessed it for a long time -

1st Defendant in answer stated that he will be ready in detail to the several allegations set forth in the Plaint but would promise by observing that although Plaintiff asserts that the land has been enjoyed for a long series of years he only attempts to establish the same from Fusly 1232 -

That the account for Fusly 1218 was prepared by Plaintiffs father, and that as it was the 5th year of the Management of the Estates by the Collector, it would be prepared with more than ordinary attention to accuracy, that it would be a primary object to avoid omission of lands held on a favorable tenure - more especially when the person framing the Accounts is asserted to have held such land and who was moreover the Village Curnum

that

that with reference to Plaintiffs excuse for this omission 1st. Defendant would observe that the account for fusly 1220 was prepared from former accounts, that in casting up the several items one has been omitted, the sum total would not correspond; from this it can be argued that the former accounts contained no entry of the Enam in question, and that the omission was not a mistake- It is not likely that Plaintiffs Father would have signed an Account to be delivered into the Circar, without first ascertaining its accuracy more especially as he was from motives of interest and duty concerned in its correctness.-

That the Records of this Office furnish no information regarding the Accounts alluded to have been furnished to the Engineer but that even supposing Plaintiffs father did furnish one, his doing so does not establish its correctness, which still has to be tested.

That with reference to the form of

of Enam's" for Fusly 1236 not bearing Plaintiffs signature, and his excuse for the same, the first Defendant would remark, that in a deposition given by Plaintiff on this subject on the 30th July 1838- he stated that when he was at the Pannah in order to deliver in this Account, he fell sick and that after going to his Home, he signed a blank paper directing a Volunteer of the name of Kristnunnah, but whose Surname he knows not, to prepare the "Form" according to the Yaubitah of Enams which he (Plaintiff) then put into the said Volunteer's hands giving him 8 annas for his trouble, now there are only 3 or 4 Maniums in this Village it is not therefore likely that the said Volunteer would omit Plaintiffs alleged Enam, that the remarkable coincidence of both the Persons respectively employed by father and son omitting the Enams in the Accounts for fusl ies 1228-1235 cannot but strick the Court; also the further strange coincidence of both father and son respectively signing the said Accounts without discovering the omission.

That it is singular that the omission was never brought to the notice of

of the Runneen by Plaintiff in order that the mistake might be rectified, that Plaintiff should have entrusted to a person with whose House Name he was unacquainted a blank paper bearing his signature that had he (Plaintiff) not had confidence in that Person and known him well he would not have so acted, and that unless the said person had ill will to Plaintiff he would not have omitted it. This shews that it was not entered because it had in reality no existence.

That with regard to Plaintiff's Statement that it is entered in three several Documents Viz't in Enam Statements for Fusly 1232 in the Goodecutteo Yabitah for fusly 1230 & the Anchana List for fusly 1234 - 1st Defendant wants to remark that fusly 1234 is the 1st year for which Plaintiff attempts to produce documentary evidence, that for a long series of years when the Estate was respectively under the Zemindars & Collector, no trace is to be found of this Enam, that Plaintiff has fraudulently made the entry in the Account for Fuslies 1232-33, that the Antchana

Antchana List for Fusly 1234 was framed by Plaintiff and given to the Samo toodaur who came for the purpose of appraising the crops, that the Samoatoodaur appraises according to the list furnished by the Curnum & as he (Samoatoodaur) had no former Accounts to refer to this Enam would not occur to him as being a fictitious one, that with this exception it does not appear that this land was cultivated as Enam or that a Doombalah was given for it for 9 years Viz't from fusly 1228 to fusly 1236 during which time the Estate was managed by the Collectors and as no Doombalah was given for the land during those 9 years, it can clearly be inferred that the favorable entry to Plaintiff in the Accounts for fuslies 1232-33 were made subsequently and with a fraudulent intention.

That in an Examination before the Runneen by order of the 1st Defendant, Plaintiff stated that as his Papers were destroyed by fire in the House of his Brother in law he applied for Copies from the Records of the Doombalahs for Fuslies 1234-35-36-

That in referring to the Diary of Doombalahs for the alleged Doombalahs it (the Doombalah) was found to have all the appearances of having been fraudulently inserted

Fort St. George 14th February 1842..

inserted for it is written on a separate piece of
Paper & pasted in leaving several blank pages
between it and other Doombalas for fusly 1235
the last year of the Management of the
Estate by the Collector, further the hand
writing differs from that of the other Doomba-
-las. Moreover it is issued in fusly 1236 for 3 years
advance, which proceeding was unnecessary as
Plaintiff was enabled every year, from his at-
-tendance at the Cannah as Cummum to obtain
a Doombalah for each year's produce-

Plaintiff urges that during the
Management of the Estate by the Zemindaur
the Enam lay waste, and no Doombalas to-
-ken out because the Zemindaur claimed
"Baleyu" Fca but it has been shewn that none was
given either under the Collectors or Zemmindaurs, and
that the copy of the Doombalah for fusly 1236 is a
forgery further Plaintiffs' Plea is inadmissible
in as much as Doombalas are taken out for lands
left waste in order to support the claims of
the holder-

That as Plaintiff asserts that
the land produced in fusly 1243 why did
he not obtain a Doombalah for that year
instead of waiting till fusly 1238

That the Ameen

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

for granting a Doombalah for three fusties
advance, that when Doombalas for previous
years have not been regularly obtained for each year
previous to granting them & reference to the Collector
is necessary- that as the Estate was made over on the
11th July fusly 1236, and as the alleged Doombalah
is dated 8th July, there was not time for the
Ameen in to apply to head quarters for permis-
-sion, and the Records do not shew that he
(Ameen) made any such application-

Again the warding of the alleged
Doombalah proves its falsity, it sets forth
that it is granted for Fusties 1234-35-36
that as usual, as Plaintiff produced a
summud Doombalah & Ca granted his a
father's name Bauperaruzu, for 1/2 Coochel of
land & Ca it will be perceived that the
warding, is general & that no mention is made of
the Zemindaur by whom the summud was
granted, nor by whom the last Doombalah
was granted, that the warding of the other
Doombalas in the Diary is very different-

That in the Statement of
Enams for fusly 1222 produced by Plaintiff
it is stated that the Enam was granted to
Plaintiffs grandfather Soobbararuzu, that the

the summud and certain Doombalas were with Plaintiffs uncle, and that certain Doombalahs had been consumed by fire, now had Plaintiff, as alleged in the 4 Doombala, produced these Documents before the Runneen in fusly 1236, that Officer would not have inserted therein that the Summud for the Enam was in the name of Bauparauze, Plaintiffs father, this discrepancy between the Doombalahs and Enam Statements, for fusly 1232 proves these Documents to be forgeries and Plaintiffs assertions thereon to be false.

That in an Examination before the 1st Defendant on the 30th July 1838 Plaintiff stated that the Summud and Doombalas were mortgaged by him 8 or 9 years ago, to one Canvoory Vencapspah at Canvoor, that they were destroyed with Vencapspahs house and that this was known to the Villagers that the widow of Vencapspah and the Village Moonsiff and Curnums were examined on this point by the Runneen of Bauburlah they make no mention of the Summud

and

and from the discrepancies in their evidence regarding the Mortgage of the Doombalas, renders their testimony worthless

That in the Plaint it is set forth that the Enam was granted to Iyaparauze before fusly 1183, in opposition to this the Statement of Enams produced by Plaintiff for fusly 1232 states that it was granted to Soobbarauze his [Plaintiff's] Grand father, and in the Doombalah it is declared that it was granted to Plaintiffs father Bauparauze. The Court may ask why this discrepancy, the 1st Defendant replies that it arises from it being an object with Plaintiff to establish the point that for a series of years the land has been enjoyed by their family —

That for the 5 years during which the Zemindars Widow managed the Estate Vizt from 1236, Plaintiff has produced 5 permits for taking away the produce during those years but that these very permits shew the falsity of the Enam, for the 1st years permit is addressed to the Plaintiff directing him to make over the produce to the person who stood security for him

and

and the remaining four permits are addressed to the Mohotads and Mahasooldaurs The false nature of the Enam appears from the demand of security to have been known to the Zemindars Authorities whether from partiality or any other motive cannot be known, but it appears that for the remaining four years Plaintiff obtained the produce, but at the same time it is clear that the local Officer never reported what he had done to the Faunadar, and that Plaintiff during those five years never obtained a Doombala from the Zemindars Cutcherry—

That in Fusly 1242 the Estate was reattached and in the 3d year thereof or Fusly 1244 the Aumeen N. Kristniah gave a Doombalah, in which it is stated "that the Plaintiff mentions that the Doombalas for former fuslies were burnt, that from the accounts it appears that he has a Mauneium and that consequently a Doombala is given—That in so doing the Aumeen was wrong more especially as

as an order 77/34 directed that Doombalas should only be given for those Enams, for which Doombalas had been issued prior to Fusly 1236, that as the above Enam had so acted, his Successor granted them for fuslies 1245-46 they (Doombalas) however are of no avail to Plaintiff, whose claim must be tested and disposed of upon evidence and not upon documents recently granted without due enquiry—

That on the fraud being discovered by 2d Defendant and brought to notice, the Enam was included as Sary—

That beyond the four above mentioned documents, the record furnish no information whatever regarding this alleged Enam—Of them the Anchana list was furnished by Plaintiff himself and is no evidence of itself, and the other three Documents have been shewn to be false—

Plaintiff in reply asserts the truth of his claim that he will prove the fact of the Enam having been formerly

formerly granted as mentioned in his Plaint by the Dundacavala/ an account furnished by the Curnums of a Village to the Revenue Authorities when required shewing the Village affairs/ that the Collector having directed his Subordinates to furnish the Engineer —/mentioned in Plaint/ with the Accounts required by him/ the Engineer/ they were prepared Accordingly that the said accounts so furnished — will prove his above mentioned "Dundacavalah" that if his Enam had not been inserted — prior to fusly 1236 in addition to its not having been inserted in fusly 1236 itself then the — Defendants objection on that head would hold good, but as it is inserted in the Goodecuttoo Vca accounts for fuslies 1232–33–34, it falls — to the ground that as the person who wrote the account for fusly 1236 was a Volunteer — in the Cutcherry there was no necessity for his/ Plaintiff's/ Knowing his/ Volunteer's/ house name that the account delivered in to the Engineer in fusly 1228 shews that it was a — mistake, its/ the Enam/ not having been — inserted

inserted in the account for that fusly and that the accounts for fuslies 1232–33–34 prove the same with regard to the omission in — the account for Fusly 1236, that with regard to the objection raised by Defendant as to — how the total quantity of land mentioned in the account would correspond if the non — insertion of the Enam in fusly 1228 was an oversight, he/ Plaintiff/ would observe that the accounts produced before the Cirkar in different years would not tally; as the Cirkar would only insert in the Accounts the land of which the Crops were estimated, that in many Thannahs it is Customary to give a Doombalah for 2–3–4 or 5 Years at once there can be therefore no real objection to his/ Plaintiff's/ having received one for 3 Years — at once; that Luchmiahs having taken — Security from him/ Plaintiff/ and allowed him to thrash the produce proves his — Claim; and that forther the said Lutchmiah for that year took Security from all the Enamdars —

That the 1st Defendant in his —

answer

answer admits that the Enam is inserted in some accounts, but that he /1st Defendant/ has not named all which contain the same, but Copies of which he /Plaintiff/ will produce at the investigation of the suit that if the Court will peruse the 2d Defendants Arzee stating that he /Plaintiff/ had usurped the Enam and the proceedings thereon it will be evident that the Statement Contained in the said Arzee is false That no Doombalas were granted for either waste or Cultivated lands

1st Defendant in Rejoinder argues that if the Dundacavalah mentioned by /Plaintiff/ in his reply be a true one, why did he not produce it together with his other documents at the time of the investigation held by the Aumeen under directions from 1st Defendant that if the said Dundacavalah had been lodged in the Public Office, it would be worthy of consideration, but being in his /Plaintiffs/ sole posession and therefore liable to be altered at his /Plaintiff's/ pleasure, it can be allowed no weight, that if it was true that his Enam was inserted

in

in the account furnished the Engineer, a Copy thereof would have been kept and why did he fail in producing it at the investigation held by the Aumeen that it is customary to insert all Enams for every year, whether Crop Estimated or not, for if otherwise how could the Enam lands be discovered on a reference; that as many of the Enamdars in Malrawzewar's Estate hold Doombalas granted by Vencata Goondarow his /Plaintiffs/ Statement in his reply that he gave none is false, that from the reply it would appear that Plaintiff wishes to make it out that the five documents adduced by him at the investigation held by the Aumeen, were doombalas granted by Lutchmiah, but they are only Taukeeds written by the Sarnootoodar and not Doombalas. That Plaintiff in his reply has passed over in Silence several material facts advanced in the answer entering at length another trivial circumstance but which the answer fully explains That the answer and Rejoinder shew that the Enam is a false one

Plaintiff filed 7 documents marked

marked A to G inclusive, and 6 witnesses were named by him and Examined on his behalf.—

Defendant filed 15 documents marked A to O inclusive and sent with a Motion eight other Documents for perusal. two witnesses were Examined on his behalf.—

A and B. are the two principal documents filed by Plaintiff, the former being a "Dundacavalah" in the shape of a bundle of Cadjan leaves purporting to shew the Enams &c. at allamoody Village, and to have been prepared by Plaintiffs ancestors in Fusly 1207 / 1799 / and establishing his / Plaintiffs / right to the said Enam in as much as it shews that it was continued to Iyapa=rauze &c. and the latter being an alleged Copy of the Statement given in to the Engineer as declared in the Plaint.—

Plaintiffs 1st four witnesses were called to prove the land having been 1st given to Iyeparaze the subsequent Enjoy=ment of it by his / Plaintiffs / grandfather Soobbarauze, the enjoyment of it by his father and self the burning of the documents connected therewith, when Vencappahs House was

was burnt the circumstance of the Zemindar demanding "Badeja" and the taking of Security from all the Enamdars for fusly 1236 / 1826 / his 5th witness was called to prove the 5th point and his 6th witness 5th and 6th points.—

Amongst other documents filed by Defendant are the two Accounts severally prepared by Plaintiff and his father and the Book Containing the alleged false Doombalah for fuslies 1234 - 1235 - 1236 - his two witnesses were called to prove that the Zemindar Mudrauze granted Enams.—

The 1st thing to be remarked with regard to Plaintiffs document A is that Plaintiff in his Plaint, made no Men=tion of it whatever, the existence of such an important document is 1st made known in his reply now were the document a true one, not only would it have been mentioned in the Plaint, but it would have been produced during the investigation held by the Ameen, or at any rate its existence would then have been mentioned.—

The Second thing to be remarked

remarked is that it is wholly unattested, which circumstance in the absence of all Corroborative evidence, as in the present Case makes it useless and unfit to be received in evidence—

The 3d and last thing to be remarked is that were it a true document it would have been or a Copy thereof duly attested, lodged in the Collectors Office—

For the above reasons the Assist=ant Judge does not hesitate in declaring the said document A to be a forgery—

With regard to Plaintiffs docu=ment B, the Assistant Judge would 1st re=mark that it Set forth to be a Copy of the Statement furnished to the Engineer in fasly 1228/1818/ now Plaintiff neither, in his Plaint or reply, nor before the Aumeen makes mention of a Copy having been taken of it/ the Statement/ before or at the time, it was given in to the Engineer, that it was subsequently obtained from the Engineers Office is utterly impossible as it would then have borne the Signature of some Public Officer 2dly it is wholly unattested

unattested and unsupported by any kind of evidence, the Assistant Judge therefore considers it worthless if not a forgery—

These two documents being thus disposed of, renders it unnecessary to remark upon the others—

Plaintiffs 1st Witness is 80 Years old his 2d 72, his 3d 79 and his 4th 70. If appearance is to be depended on, the Assistant Judge would say that these Witnesses have at the very least added 15 years to their respective ages severally—

But it matters not as their evidence can avail Plaintiff nothing, for 1st though they are all so old, yet not one of them ever saw the original grant which, had it ever existed they would surely at one time or other have done, 2dly his 1st Witness who says he is 80 years old also declares that for the last 80 years he is aware of Plaintiff &c enjoying the Enam, now how he could as an infant be aware of that fact is incomprehensible 3dly none of the said four Witnesses prove that the Enam was granted to Iyeparauze, and it

it is only the 1st witness who can state that the Grandfather enjoyed it the other three only hearing of his having so done that Plaintiff, and it may be his father enjoyed it the Assistant Judge is not prepared to deny but be that as it may it is evident they had no right to do so 4thly the said 4 witnesses as well as the 5th witness who is Son to Vencappah whose house was burnt declare that Plaintiff told them that all the Documents regarding the Enam were burnt with Vencappahs House and that they (said 5th witnesses) understood by that the original grant also, 5th witness is very explicit declaring that as all the Documents regarding the Enam were Mortgaged to his Father, the original grant also must have been Mortgaged and burnt with the others to this declaration we have two declarations from Plaintiff on the same subject the one before the Auneen and the other before the Court In the one before the Auneen, he states that "he mortgaged the Doombalas & Sunnud granted by the former Zemeendar and that they were burnt 8 or 9 Years ago Vizt

Before

Before the Court in direct opposition to the above Statement and evidence states "that the Doombalas only and not the Sunnud were burnt and that he does not recollect what he stated before the Police"

The above discrepancies and Contradictions coupled with those pointed out by the Defendant in his answer Vizt the omission of the Enam in the accounts several by prepared by Plaintiff and his father and the Plaintiff making it out that the land was originally granted to no less than three Persons Vizt Iyeparauze, Soobbarauze, and Bauperauze who all lived at different periods, from as complete a Chain of evidence against his (Plaintiffs) claim as could be wished. The Defendant having in his answer most ably commented on the abovementioned discrepancies the Assistant Judge leaves them as they are

The Assistant Judge considers the above so conclusive against the claim as to render it unnecessary to enter upon the other suspicious circumstances pointed

pointed out and commented upon by Defendant in his Answer—

Defendants two Witnesses have fully proved that the Kennundar did give Doombalas for Enams and consequently shewing Plaintiffs assertion on that head to be false, and completely upsetting his excuse for no Doombala having been taken out for the land in question from Fusly 1218/1808/ up to Fusly 1232/1822/—

Plaintiff has shewn that Doombalas for more that one year at a time are given by Aumeens without a previous reference to the Huzzoor but what good does it do him—None—

Plaint dismissed with Costs 25th October 1841—The Court finally direct that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the Parties interested therein and made a Record of the Court with their unanimous Consent—

Costs incurred by the Plaintiff—

	Rs	a	p
Value of one Stampt Paper for Plaint under Section VIII, Regulation VIII of 1816	8	"	0
Do of 3 Do attached to it	3	"	0
	11	"	0

	BB	11	" a
Do of 1 Do for Motion under Sec XIX of Do Do	1	"	"
Value of 1 Stampt Paper for Security Bond under Section XIX, Regulation VIII of 1816	1	"	"
Do of 6 Do for reply under Section Do Do Do	6	"	"
Do of 6 Do for applications of Witnesses under Section XVII of Do Do	6	"	a
Do of 1 Do for Vacaulutnamah under Section XX of Do Do	"	8	"
Do of 6 Do for applications of 6 documents under Section XVI of Do Do	6	"	"
Pleaders fees under Section XXV Regulation XIV of Do Do	1	2	"
Batta paid to the Peon who served Notice	2	8	"
Do Do who served Summonses	1	8	"
Do Do Do to the Witnesses	4	8	"
	41	2	"

The above Sum should be borne by the Plaintiff—

Costs incurred by the 1st Defendant.

Value of 1 Stamp Paper for Vacaulutnamah under Section XX Regulation XIII of Do	6	8	"
Do of 3 Do for Motion under Section XIX of Do Do	10	"	a
Do of 10 Do for Answer under Section Do of Do Do	10	"	"
Do of 4 Do for Rejoinder Do Do	4	a	"
Do of 4 Do for application of Witnesses under Section XVII of Do Do	2	"	"

1999 Fort St George 14th February 1842.

D° of 15 D° for D° of Documents under Section XVI of D° D° ——— } 15 " "

Pleaders fees under Section XXV. Regulation XIV of 1816 ——— } 4 8 "

Value of 20 Stampt Papers purchased for Copying Documents ——— } 10 " "

56 8 "

The above Sum of Fifty six Rupees is to be paid by the Plaintiff to the Defendant—

Fees deposited by Plaintiffs Pleader Chamely Cundy Ramasawmy Sastry to be returned to him is Rupees— 1 2 "

Fees Deposited by Defendants Pleader Copparty Hanoamiah to be returned to him is Rupees ——— 4 8 "

The Appeal time will Expire with the 26th of February 1842.

/Signed/ E. Story
Asst Judge

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court at Guntoor this 29th day of November 1841—

/Signed/ E. Story
Assistant Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ S. Goldingham
Coll.r

2000. Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Order thereon. (33-34)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Nellore dated 3d. and entered in Consultation 25th November last.

Proceedings thereon. (35)

Ordered that the foregoing letter with its enclosure, being a draft of a Summud i. Mukkut Istemrar to be issued to the Zemindar of Choondy be Submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 24th December 1840.

Infmg 11th Jany 1841.

2

The Board approve of the Summud with the exception of the 11th Clause which they think it would be as well to omit. They recommend also that Clause 6th may be modified by the insertion of the words written in red ink.

3

Adverting to the Small amount of revenue derivable from the Beydega or Village Servants Enams and Cuttoobady Enams in the Choondy Zemindary as noted in Paras 4 & 5 of the letter above recorded and to the still

Smaller

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Smaller amount actually realized, the Board resolve, with reference to the observations contained in Para 5 of the Minutes of Consultation above referred to, to recommend that the demand of Government under these heads be remitted for the future, the demand on account of Beydega on Subsistence Onams being continued as suggested by the Principal Collector in Para 4.

Para 4.

The Board recommend that the appointment of Choondy Veeria Sawmy, the present Zemindary Vakeel, to be manager of the Estate on a Salary of Rupees 50 per Mensem may be confirmed, and that sanction may be granted for entertaining the Police Establishment proposed to be employed from the date of his assuming Charge of this office, the total monthly outlay on that account being Rupees 133-8-0.

5

It appears from the report under consideration that the minority of the Zemindar will expire in April next.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Read the following letter.

To

The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

36

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Nellore.

Among the Accounts forwarded to the Board with a letter from this office under date the 24th of March 1825. and since referred to by Mr. Principal Collector Stonhouse in Para 3 of his letter dated the 15th of February 1838. were included some Accounts of the Village of Pulcoor in the Danva Goodoor Talook which are now urgently required for the purpose of ascertaining certain particulars regarding the rates assessed upon the fields of that Village. As no copies appear to have been kept in this office I am induced to request that you will obtain the sanction of the Board for the transmission to me of the accounts of that Village, and should it be granted, I would beg that they may be forwarded to me at

as

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

as early a period as practicable.

Nellore District,
Principal Collector's Office,
29th January 1842.

/Signed/ A. S. Matheson.
Sub Collector in Charge

Resolution thereon.

36-37

Resolved that the Accounts of the Village of Pulicat in the District of Goodoor Talook be returned as requested in the foregoing letter by the Sub Collector in Charge. a Copy thereof being retained for the Board's Cutcherry.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

38

From the Collector of Bellary.

Sir,

I should be obliged if you would inform me if the Board's resolution on the subject of my letter of the 20th ultimo. If the Board decline to continue the Record Establishment, I must in fairness inform them of the same

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

same as they are at present continuing in their Labours under the hope of being retained.

Bellary, Collectors Office on Circuit, Hospett,
31st January 1842.

/Signed/ A. Mellor.
Collector.

Order thereon.

38-39

The orders of the Board upon the subject alluded to in the foregoing letter were communicated to the Collector under date 27th January last.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

40.

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that Malegiry acharry one of the Goomastahdars of this District entered in No. 79 of Mr. Ross' Register Z. has made an application to me that his monthly pension of Rupees 3 - 4 - 11. may in future be paid to him through the authorities in the Bellary District at Tarpatry.

The translation of the Certificate of the Pensioner in question is herewith sent. As he is now residing at Tarpatry and is about 80 years old I beg to recommend that his request may be complied with if the Collector of Bellary has no objection to offer.

Cuddapah,
Principal Collectors Office,
28th January 1842.

/Signed/ J. J. Cotton
Sub Collector in Charge

Certificate

14th February 1842

in the Zillah of Cuddapah.-

How related to the original Grantee	How related to the last Grantee	Period of renewal and under what authority	Amount of Monthly Pension Rupees	Annas	Pies	Terms of Pension	Remarks
Son	Son	Issued by Mr. Ross under the Authority of the Government since the 1st of November 1811.	3	7	11	For life	There is a discrepancy in this individual's age as stated herein and in his Arzee. The latter probably exaggerated.

[Signed] J. J. Cotton.

Sub Collector in Charge

Resolved

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter and the original certificate received from the Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah be forwarded to Mr. Mellor who will be pleased to report whether there is any objection to a compliance with the request of the applicants &c. for the payment of his Yeomiah at Tarpatry. The identity of the applicant should be ascertained beyond a doubt.

(40 - 41)

Read the following letters.

To The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(42)

From the Sub Collector in Charge of North Arcot. Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to request the Board's sanction for an additional Establishment for fourteen Goomastahs to be employed in preparing the Jummabundy accounts for the Current Fasly.

2. The pay of each Goomastah I beg to recommend may be ten Rupees per Mensem and that the period of their Service be sanctioned

for four Months, commencing from the 1st of March 1842.

North Arcot. Print. Collector's Cutcherry. Chittoor, 3rd February 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon. Sub Collector in Charge

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the additional Establishment of 14 Goomastahs to be employed for 4 Months from the 1st of March 1842. at 10 Rupees each per Mensem for the preparation of the Jummabundy Accounts.

(42 - 43)

2. The information required by Government in Para 2 of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 18th Ultimo will be supplied on the receipt of a reply from the Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah to a reference which has been made to him upon the subject therein alluded to.

Recd. 10th February 1842

To The Sy. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(44)

From the Sub Collector in Charge of North Arcot Sir,

I have the honor to submit for sanction the enclosed Estimate for a table indispensably required for the use of the Sub Collector's Cutcherry, Amounting to Rupees 19/ Nineteen.

North Arcot. Print. Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 5th February 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon. Sub Collector in Charge.

Estimate

2009.

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Resolution thereon. The Board sanction the Estimate for making a Table for the use of the Sub Collector at the Cost of Rupees 44.45 "19" — — " The actual disbursement should be debited in the Statement of Contingent charges submitted quarterly for sanction.—

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

46

From the Pl. Collector of Salem.....

Sir,

With reference to Section VI Regulation VII of 1828. I have the honor to forward for submission to the Board an appeal Petition from Krishna Row, late Tahsildar of Salem, and now of the Nameal Talooks.—

Salem Zillah, ... Raizekoor, ... Principal Collectorate Cutcherry, 31st January 1842 .....

Signed S. D. Gley Principal Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Read the following letter.

(Read again in Cont. 18th April)

46. 47

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Asst. Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot.

48

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 3d August last, and to the

2008.

Fort St. George

Estimate for making a Table for the use of the Sub Collector at the Cost of Rupees 44.45

A

Actual disbursement should be debited in the Statement of Contingent charges submitted quarterly for sanction.—

Read the following letter.

No 46.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collector of Salem.....

Sir,

With reference to Section VI Regulation VII of 1828. I have the honor to forward for submission to the Board an appeal Petition from Krishna Row, late Tahsildar of Salem, and now of the Nameal Talooks.—

Salem Zillah, ... Raizekoor, ... Principal Collectorate Cutcherry, 31st January 1842 .....

Signed S. D. Gley Principal Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Read the following letter.

(Read again in Cont. 18th April)

46. 47

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Asst. Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot.

48

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 3d August last, and to the

North Arcot, Principal Collectorate Cutcherry, Chittoor, 5th February 1842.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Petition addressed to the Board of Revenue by Krishna Row late Tahsildar of Salem and now of the Nameal Talooks, praying for the remission of a Balance of Rupees 2 Fanams 8 Cash 8 due by him on account of Interest on Advances drawn by him while in charge of the Salem Cutcherry.

2. The Petitioner has been informed that his application is inadmissible, as it is not addressed to the Board of Revenue through the Collector of the District in which he resides, and that it is also objectionable as not being accompanied by a Stamp.—

I have the honor to be Sir, Your most obedient Servant, S. D. Bruisfield. Asst. Collector in charge.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th. of the same month. I have the honor to inform you that Thumbeeza the Eldest Son by the 1st. wife of the deceased Mahomed Mohadeen, the Meushroolty Jeomiahdar has arrived from the Coast and I have appointed him to discharge the Kateeb service from the 12th. Instant. I therefore write this with the request that the Board will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the same in the supposition that such is considered necessary.

Cuddalore, Collectors Cutcherry, 28th January 1842.

(Signed) C. W. Hallett.
Acting Collector.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing letter and the Correspondence noted in the margin, be submitted to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, with the Boards' recommendation, under the circumstances therein mentioned, that the Collector of South Arcot may receive sanction to appoint Thumbeeza, eldest son of the late Jeomiahdar Mahomed Mohadeen to discharge the Kateeb service from the 12th. of January last.

From the Actg. Collr. 3d. Instt. 15th. Augt. 1841. Proceedings 15th. Instt.

48-49

Read.

From the Collector in the Nellore Dy. of Prest.

50

Read Abstract statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted acquitted and Forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and the number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and VII of 1828 from 1st. July to 31st. December 1841.

50-51

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

52

With reference to the instructions contained in a Circular letter received from your Office under date the 6th. October 1834. I have the honor to inform you that the abstract of

of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 is not sent, as none of the particulars which they are to contain have accurred during the 2d half year ending the 31st December 1841.-

Tuchinopoly Collector's Cutcherry 28th January 1842 } /Signed/ J. D. Onslow. Collector

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

52-53

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 27th December and entered in Consultation 20th Ultimo.-

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the abovementioned letter.-

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

54

Sir,

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the

Orders

From Collector 27th December 1841. Infor. 20th Inst. 1842.

orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly reporting that in consequence of the final incorporation of the Villages comprizing the Shocknumputty Estate with the circar villages of his District, he considers it unnecessary to maintain them as a separate Talook, and proposing to transfer them to the adjoining Talooks of Senkaranayanar Covil and Tencansey, with a small addition to the Establishment of those two Talooks respectively.-

2 The Establishment at present maintained for the management of the

2014 — Fort St. George 14th February 1842

the Estate in question amounts to Rupees 188 per mensem, as shewn in detail in Para 6 of the Collector's letter, and that proposed to be added to the Two Talooks referred to is Rupees 126, leaving a net saving of charge amounting to Rupees 62 per mensem.

		Rupees per Mensem.
Senkara-nanair coil	2 Turfdars	14 —
	5 Peons	17 — 8
	Saderwared	1 —
		32 — 8
Increase to the pay of the Talookdar Lildar		15 —
Gencancy.	1 Gomastah	10 — 6
	1 Sumperty	5 —
	1 Duffadar	5 —
	10 Peons	35 —
	3 Turfdars	21 —
	Saderwared	2 —
		93 — 6
	Grand Total	126 —

3 The Board consider the arrangement proposed by the Collector to be proper, and recommend that sanction may be granted for carrying it into effect.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th Feby. 1842.

(Signed) R. J. Porter. Dy. Secretary.

Read.

2015 — Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

55

From the Collr. and Register of Govt. Grants. Submitting copy of a correspondence with the Collr. of Malabar relating to certain errors in the former applications for leases of lands on the Neilgherries made by Mr. Thomas Ray & Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. respectively and requesting sanction under the circumstances of the case for the issue of a lease to the former for Cs. 2: 8: 1396 and of another to the latter for Cs. 18: 1: 358 in substitution of one for Cs. 12: 10: 1025 already prepared & now returned to be cancelled.

+ From Collr. of Malabar 14th Jany. 1842. To Dy. Sy. 10th Do. From Dy. Sy. 25th Do.

Read the following letter

To the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Sir, Fort St. George

Para 1 I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, copy of a recent Correspondence with the Collector of Malabar relative to certain lands on the Neilgherries in the occupancy of Mr. Thomas Ray and Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. respectively.

2 It will be seen from my letter to your Office of date the 31st May last that, in consequence of an intimation to the effect that Mr. Ray's two applications of 1837, one for a lease for C. 1-16: 1696 and the other for Cs. 2-8: 1396 making a total of Cs. 4: 1: 692 were consolidated, the special sanction of Government was solicited for the issue of a lease for Cs. 4: 5: 924, which included an excess of C. 4: 232 This he has already obtained, No. 99 under the authority of Government of date the 21st June last

communicated

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

communicated in the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 24th of the same month, but it will be now observed, from Para. 1 of Mr. Conolly's letter of the 14th Ultimo, that it was erroneously "represented" before "that the measurement "comprised in (Mr. Ray's original) Counterpart "agreements Nos. 76 & 77 (was) found incorrect," as "from information since afforded by the Assistant "Revenue Surveyor it has been found that that "Statement should have had reference to the "measurement of the property comprised in "Agreement No. 76 only" The Collector, therefore, requests that the mistake may be rectified, and a separate lease issued for the property comprised in Counterpart agreement No. 77 for C. 2: 8 = 1396, which is quite distinct from that for which a Grant No. 97 was (transmitted) with (my) letter dated 2d. September last."

No. 76 for C. 1: 16 = 1696
77 for C. 2: 8: 1396

3- In advertence to Para. 3 of Mr. Conolly's letter of the 14th Ultimo, I beg leave to report that with reference to the correspondence noted in the margin two leases, one for C. 12: 20: 1025 and the other for C. 3: 18: 2105, were completed on the 21st December last, Nos. 23 & 25, but it will be

† From Coll.r of Malabar 25th Jan.y 1842 - & C. 4: 5: 924 -

To Bd. 18th Oct.r 1841
From Do. 29th Nov.r "

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

be observed that the Collector now states that the Lease for the C. 12: 20: 1025 "does not corres- "pond with the Surveyor's rough plan of the "same, wherein the above extent is shewn as "the additional Ground which Framjee "Nesserwanjee & Co. desire should be connected "with their former property measuring "C. 5: 4: 1733 comprised in Counterpart agree- "ment No. 23, making a total of the present "extent of one of their two properties equal "to C. 18: 1: 358" He therefore requests that this mistake also may be rectified.

4 Under the circumstances of the case it is proper to request fresh sanction for the issue of a lease to Mr. Thomas Ray for C. 2: 8: 1396 and of another to Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. for C. 18: 1: 358 in substitution of the one for C. 12: 20: 1025 already prepared, No. 23, and now returned for the purpose of being cancelled, the Common seal of the East India Company having been affixed to it in the office of the Chief Secretary to Government. Both spots if sanction be granted, will be sub- ject to the payment of Quit rent at the rates provided for by the Notification of

ab

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

at page 60 of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 1st February 1837.

Madras
3rd February 1842

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector & Registrar of Govt. Grants

No. 8

To The Collector and Registrar of Grants
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that in consequence of the communications from Staff serjeant Ray relative to his wishes regarding a Grant for his property at Colacamund not being very clear it was erroneously represented in my letter of the 11th May last that the measurements comprised in his Counterpart Agreements No. 76 and 77 were found incorrect, whereas from information since afforded by the Assistant Revenue Surveyor it has been found that that statement should have had reference to the measurement of the property comprised in agreement No. 76 only. This statement now appears clear not only by a reference to the rough Plans in the Surveyor's possession, but also by the circumstance of the Agreement

No. 77

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

No. 77 having been actually executed and the amount of Registry fees Rs. 35 due thereon paid and placed to your credit in my accounts for March last.

I have therefore the honor to request you will be good enough to take such measures as may be necessary to rectify this mistake and to issue a separate Grant for the property comprised in Counterpart agreement No. 77 for Cawnies 2:18:1396 G. S. F. which is quite distinct from that for which a Grant No. 99 was received with your letter dated 2nd September last.

+ Cawnies 4:5:934

I take this opportunity of stating that the extent Cawnies 12:20:1075 G. S. F. of one of the two properties of Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. given in the margin of your letter dated the 15th Ultimo does not correspond with the surveyor's rough plan of the same, wherein the above extent is shewn as the additional ground which Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. desire should be connected with their former property measuring Cawnies 8:4:1733 comprised in Counterpart Agreement No. 23, making a total of

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

of the present extent of one of their two properties equal to C. F. S. 18:1:358. I therefore request this mistake may be rectified and if found to have originated in the application having been incorrectly filled up beg that the same may be returned for correction.

Malabar Collrs. office
Calicut 14th January 1842

Signed / H: V: Conolly
Collector

To The Collector of
Malabar

Sir

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 14th instant and to observe with reference to Para 2 that whilst the extent of Ground for which you now recommend a separate lease being issued to Mr. Ray is "C. 2:18:1396" the extent entered in his application of 1837 was "C. 2:8:1396" Corresponding with the extent in the plan of the property then received at his office. I request that you will be good enough to ascertain which of

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

of the two entries is correct to enable me to apply for the special sanction of Government.

2 The application from Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. referred to in Para 3 is returned together with the plan of the ground. It will be seen that the extent entered in both is only C. 12:20:1025 being that for which the issue of a lease has recently been authorized. I request that the necessary alterations may be made in them preparatory to their submission to the Board of Revenue.

3 The Counterpart agreement No. 23 will be returned for the purpose of being cancelled on the sanction of Government for the issue of a lease for C. 18:1:358 being received.

Madras
20th January 1842

(Signed) A: Robertson
Coll. & Regr. of Govt. Grants

To The Collector & Register of Grants
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

the receipt of your letter dated the 20th Instant and to state that the extent given in the application of 1837 from Sergeant Ray which corresponds with the rough plan of the property in this Office Viz.t Cawnies 2:8:1396 is the correct extent which Request may be substituted for 2:18:1396 inadvertently quoted in my letter of the 14th Instant.—

The necessary alterations having been made in the application and Plan for the Property of Framjee Cawasjee Nesserwanjee & Co. measuring 18:1:358 they are accordingly herewith returned.—

Collectors Office Calicut 25th January 1842 } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly Collector

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.—

55-56

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secretary to Government } Sir, I am directed by the Board of

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

From 3rd instance 14th Feby 1842

of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants having reference to certain lands on the Neilgherry Hills in the occupancy of Mr. Thomas Ray and Framjee Nesserwanjee & Co. respectively.—

2 It will be seen from this communication that through some error the extent of land applied for by these parties has been incorrectly entered in the former applications from the Collector of Malabar and that it is now necessary that fresh leases should be issued to them.—

3 Under these circumstances the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the issue of a separate lease to Mr. Thomas Ray for Co. 2-8-1396 and

and of another to Framjee Nesserwanjee
Hsq. for Cs. 18-1-358 this latter to
be in substitution for the one as
already sanctioned and now returned
for the purpose of being cancelled
Both these spots to be subject to the
payment of Quit rent as proposed
by Mr. Robertson in Para 4 of his letter

+ No. 23

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 11th February
1842

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

We had the honor on the 13th July 1840 of addressing you upon the subject of the manufacture of Salt Petre in the Northern Districts pointing out for the information of the Board certain discrepancies in the duties levied in different Collectorates with other regulations which we found oneous, one of which related to the taking Rowannahs for each separate parcel of

57

From Mr. C. J. Braine for mess. Auchterlony & Co.

Stating that in the District of Guntoor the Board order directing that one Rowannah be granted for the aggregate of several parcels of Salt petre is not attended to and that they have been obliged

obliged to pay for Stamps for each parcel however small, also requesting the Board's attention to their letter of the 17th March last furnishing data for ascertaining the produce of refined from crude Salt petre to which no reply has been received

of crude Salt petre collected

2 To this letter we were favored with a reply dated 25th February 1841 the 1st para of which informed us that the Board had been pleased to authorize the Collectors of Nellore Guntoor, and Cuddapah to equalize the Duty and the 5 para that they had received instructions to grant one Rowannah and Stamp on the aggregate of several parcels of crude instead of upon each one.

3 Nearly one year has now elapsed since these modifications were promulgated yet we find that one only is in operation the first mentioned, and this instead of proving a boon is the reverse, the duty now levied being 1 Rupee per Candy in the Guntoor District where before it was 14 annas and to this District we have been necessitated almost entirely to confine our operations, the Nellore and Cuddapah being too distant from our Factory.

4 Our Agent in the Guntoor District informs us that no orders have been received there to grant one

Rowannah

Fort St. George 14th February 1842

Rawannah for the aggregate of several parcels of Salt petre, so that we have continued to pay for stamps for each parcel however small —

5 We addressed you the second time on the 17th March last in reply to your abovementioned Communication when we submitted Extracts from our factory books shewing the actual produce of Refined from Crude Salt petre certain data in opposition to the indefinite statements which it appeared to us had been furnished to the Board on the subject in the hope that further alterations in the regulations would be deemed expedient. To this we have not been favored with a reply. —

Madras. — Wm pro Ouchterlony & Co.
February 7th 1842 — (Signed) H. I. Brame

57-58

Order thereon — Ordered to be

Read again in Cons. 24th Feby. — brought forward at a future day. —

Read —

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Madras University dated 24th November and entered in Consultation 23rd December last. —

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply. —

To,

The Secretary to the
Madras University.

59

Sir,

To the Sec.y to the Madras University. Revenue. Forwarding as requested a list of the principal Offices held by Uncovenanted Servants upon the Board's Establishment with the salaries attached to them with the Board's opinion as to the qualifications required for the efficient discharge of the duties attached to them. — In Cons. 23rd Dec. 1841 —

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 24th November last, and agreeably to the request therein contained to forward to you a list of the principal Offices held by Uncovenanted Servants upon this Establishment with the Salaries attached to them. —

2 The duties to be performed by the parties holding the several situations entered in this list are not, the Board desire me to observe, of such a nature as to render it in their opinion, by any means essential that the attainment

of

of even a moderate proficiency in most of the subjects enumerated in your letter should be considered as a sine quâ non, to their being employed on this Establishment. There can be no doubt that an efficient discharge of the duties required in the translators office cannot reasonably be expected from a person who may not have attained a tolerable proficiency in "English, Tamil and Telugoo reading and writing, & writing from dictation" that a competent knowledge of "Arithmetic" is a necessary qualification for a situation in the Accountants and Examiners departments, and that in every situation a knowledge of the "principles of Morality" is highly desirable but it has been from experience that the general duties of the office have been best performed by parties who having entered in the service young and risen from inferior grades have had an opportunity of making themselves acquainted with the routine of business this they would

would hardly be able to do in the event of its being considered indispensable to their admission into the service that they shall have attained the high standard of educational knowledge indicated in your letter.

3. The Board by no means wish it to be inferred from the foregoing observations that they are inclined to undervalue the efforts which are being made to improve the system of education amongst the Natives and others eligible for employment in the Company's service on the contrary they are fully satisfied that a high standard of knowledge must tend to promote superior efficiency and fitness in these as in all other situations and it would in most cases determine their choice in the selection of Candidates.

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
11th. February 1842

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary
Lieut

A

List of the Principal Offices held by Uncovenanted Servants under the Board of Revenue, and of the Salaries attached to them.

Rupees per Mensem		
Manager's Department.		
1 Manager	210	" "
1 Deputy Dº	125	" "
1 Assistant Dº	87	8 "
1 Dº Dº	52	8 "
1 Dº Dº	35	" "
Accountant's Department		
1 Accountant	87	8 "
1 Deputy Dº	52	8 "
1 Assistant	35	" "
Translator's Department		
1 Appeal Translator	140	" "
1 Translator	52	" "
1 Deputy Dº	35	" "
Record Keeper's Department		
1 Record Keeper	87	8 "
1 Deputy Dº	35	" "
1 Consultation Drafter	56	" "
1 Deputy Dº	26	" "
1 Indexer	19	" "

Rupees per Mensem		
Special Duties.		
1 Uncovenanted Assistant	300	" "
1 Dº	200	" "
Examiner's Department		
1 Examiner	140	" "
1 Dº	129	" "
1 Dº	105	" "
1 Dº	70	" "
1 Dº	60	" "
1 Dº	50	" "

The

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

60 From the Collector of Vizagapatam.— Statement of Sundry charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial and Governor's Agents Departments for fusly 1250.—

61 From the Collector of Guntoor.— Estimate of Extra charges to be incurred in fusly 1251.—

62 From the Collector of Guntoor.— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in the Magisterial Department for fusly 1251.—

63 From the Dº Collector of Madura.— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for fusly 1251.—

64 From the Dº Collector of Canara.— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1251.—

65 From the Dº Collector of Canara.— Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred in the Magisterial Department for fusly 1251.—

Estimate

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.—

From the Pt. Collector of Canara — Estimate of an advance proposed to be made for the purchase of Paper for fusly 1251.—

66

Order on the Seven Abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the sanction of Government.—

60-67

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.—

To The Principal Collector of

Sir,

Nellore

66

To the Pt. Collr. of Nellore — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you for disposal the accompanying Petition, from two inhabitants of your district praying for the grant of certain land lately held by their brother-in-law Sura-varanje with reference to your Endorsement on their application dated 16th of July 1841.—

2,115 of 1841

Revl. Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th February 1842.— / Signed/ R. J. Porter Acting Secretary.—

To

Fort St. George 14th February 1842.—

To The Collector of Chingleput

Sir,

69

To the Collr. of Chingleput

731 of 1841

Referring to your Endorsement of the accompanying Petition dated 3rd January 1842 the Board direct me to state that as the Petitioner appears to have had a Puttah from fusly 1238 to 1244 it should be continued to him to the extent of his cultivation unless other objections exist to the measure which the Board are not aware of.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 14th February 1842. / Signed/ R. J. Porter Actg. Secretary.—

To The Collector of Chingleput.—

Sir,

70

To the Collr. of Chingleput

Rt. 188 of 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to transmit to you the accompanying Petition and to request that you will be good enough to report upon the subject matter thereof.—

Revl. Board Office — Fort St. George 14th Febr[illegible] 1842 / Signed/ R. J. Porter Acting Secretary

years and to the circumstance of her having been removed for some minor offence that an opportunity may be taken of providing for her son if the Petitioner himself be no longer fit for duty.—

Revl. Board Office
Fort St. George
14th February 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.—

73

To the Accountt. Genl. Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer

To,

The Accountant General
Civil Auditor
and
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing Contingent Charges.—

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government of the 8th June 1841 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of contingent charges incurred in this Office for the Month of January last amounting

To
The Acting Collector in the
Southern Division of
Arcot.—

71

To the Actg. Collr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot

24 of 1842.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from certain Inhabitants of Chithody in your District and with reference to Para. 7 of the Board's Proceedings 19th April 1841 to request you will have the goodness to state what may have been done in the matter of their Petition.—

Revl. Board Office
Fort St. George 14 February 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary.

To
The Collector of
Trichinopoly.—

72

To the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

In forwarding to you the accompanying Petition from Veerapen late a Peon on your Establishment for disposal the Board instruct me to suggest with reference to the facts of the man having served the Government for a period of upwards of forty years

amounting to Rupees 32-6-8 and to request that they may be passed and returned to them.-

Revr. Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th February 1842 } [Signed] R. T. Porter Acting Secretary.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

74

From the Deputy Accountant General ---------- } Indent on the Commissary General for the sundry Articles required for the use of the Military Department of this Office for the Month of February 1842.-

74. 75

Order thereon.- Ordered to be passed and returned.-

76

From the Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot } Stating that the Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822. and of

of persons suspended or dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December last are not sent there being no entry to make.-

77

From the Principal Collector of Madura.- Report of persons suspended or dismissed in conformity to Section VII, Regulation VI of 1831. for the Month of December last.-

78

From the Principal Collector of Madura.- Returns of persons in confinement in pursuance of sentences passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.-

Statement

2038 Fort St. George 14th February 1842

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1841/42 from 24th to 31st January 1842.

79

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore — Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent of 1841/42 from 1st to 8th February 1842.

80

" Bond.

" J. D. Drury

" Walter Elliot

" A. Maclean

Compt. J. G. Boyle