


Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Board

791

842 Fort St. Georg 20th January 1842.

No Diary-

At a Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.

Thursday 6th January 1842. } G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member-

Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting

(1) read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

From the Chief Secy to Govt. Communicating letter from the Court of Directors in order that necessary instructions may be issued for the grant to Syed Sultan of a portion of land either rent free or at a reduced assessment so as to afford him a profit not exceeding Rupees 600 a year and for the Erection of a Choultry in the Village of Somasarapand, being contiguous to Gudala Puttabacums and rent-free land on a Quit Rent of Rupees 600. per annum on account of the services rendered by them during the late investigations at Woodiapolliam.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st December 1841.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Secret Department.

Secret Department.

No. 156.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st December 1841.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 4549.

To Robert Clerk Esqre.

Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

Fort St. George

Sir,

Secret Department. I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

the receipt of your despatch dated 9th instant, submitting Mr. Caramajor's opinion relative to the amount of reward which the Government of Madras considers Syud Sultan and Dodala Cuttaberama=reddy, to be entitled to, for the eminent services rendered by them to Govern=ment during the late investigation at Woodeyherry, and in reply to state that under the circumstances represented and the recommendation of the Governmen of Fort St. George the Governor General in Council sanctions the arrangements as proposed in the 2d Paragraph of your letter under acknowledgment.

Fort William, } [Signed] J. H. Maddock.
The 29th November 1841. } Secretary to the Govt. of India

From Mr. Caramajor Esqr. 3d November 1841. To the Govt. of India 4th November

Ordered that the above letter with those noted in the Margin be Com=municated to the Revenue Department, whence the necessary instructions will be issued for the grant to Syed Sultan of a portion of land either rent free or at a reduced assessment so as to afford him

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

him a profit not exceeding Rupees /600/ Six hundred a year, and for the Khatrium of the Village of Somavaram and being con==tinued to Dodla Cuttaberamareddy on a Bariz of Rupees /600/ Six hundred per annum.

(True Extract & Copy.)
[Signed] Robert Clerk.
Secretary to Government.

To
The Revenue Department

To
Robert Clerk Esqr.
Acting Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

On receipt of the Minute of Council dated the 20th September last, res=pecting the remuneration of Syed Sultan and Dodla Cuttaberamareddy. I felt it necessary to consult the Princi=pal Collector and Magistrate of Nel=lore before offering the opinion called for therein, and accordingly furnished him with a Copy of the Minute and requested to be favored with his senti=ments as to the point referred to me.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

I beg leave to submit to Govern=
=ment his reply dated the 25th Ultimo.

I find from it that in repre=
=senting in the 4th para of my report 8th
February 1840. that Syed Soultan was in=
=dependent in point of circumstances I was
mis-informed and have unconsciously
been the means of misleading the Su=
=preme Government. Mr. Stonhouse's information
upon that point is conclusive of the
contrary, and as the resolution of the
Supreme Government is evidently based
in part upon my representation, I venture
to suggest that a Copy of Mr. Stonhouse's
letter may be forwarded to that Govern=
=ment in order that the effect of my
representation may be corrected.

I have no hesitation in expres=
=sing my cordial concurrence in every part
of Mr. Stonhouse's letter, and in adding
my earnest recommendation that the
case of Syed Soultan at least may be re=
=considered. The title to remuneration of
some sort appears to be admitted by the Supreme
Government, and only the scale proposed
to

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

to be objected to. Perhaps under the exact
information now furnished of Syed Soul=
=tans real circumstances, a grant of land
either rent free or at a reduced assessment
so as to afford him a profit of five or
six hundred Rupees a Year (my first pro=
=position was 800/ might not be objected
to. I am perfectly sure that nothing but
a grant of land will afford that kind of
gratification to his feelings, and that
satisfaction to his long expectations which
I cannot doubt that it is the desire of
the Supreme Government to impart.
A pension or a present in money would
I am pretty sure be coldly received. He
indigent, he is a man of rank and shews
the feelings of one in his manners and
Conduct.

I would also strongly support
Mr. Stonhouse's Suggestion respecting
Puttaberamareddy.

I speak from experience when
I mention the extreme difficulty of
procuring information of importance
in enquiries of the nature of that in which
those

these two native Gentlemen so cheerfully as-
sisted me, and I hope I shall not be
thought to exceed the bounds of propriety
if I respectfully, but strongly press upon
Government the impolicy of disappointing
the expectations even if a little unreason-
able of persons who have afforded real
and important assistance of that kind. It
is in truth only be speaking increased
difficulties for the next occasion, and that
such an occasion may very soon occur no
person of the commonest information and
reflection can possibly doubt.

Madras,
3d November 1841.

/Signed/ G. J. Casamajor
Late Commissioner at Nellore

G. J. Casamajor Esqre
late Commissioner at Woodiagherry.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 23d Ultimo,
enclosing Extract from the Minutes of Con-
sultation of the 20th Ultimo in reference to
the distinctions and presents which should
be conferred on Syed Soultaun and Dodla
Puttabherammereddy, to mark the high sense
which

which the Government entertain of the as-
sistance they rendered you in your late
investigation at Woodiagherry.

2 The Supreme Government
is under a misconception in respect to the
circumstances of one of the parties. Syed
Soultaun, who is in indigent circumstances.
He has in the Village of Autmacoor, where he
resides, between 3 and 4 acres of Paddy
land, and about 6 acres of dry grain land,
besides a small garden of fruit trees. His
annual average income from these lands
is under fifty Rupees and this is the
extent of his means of subsistence. He was
in service some years ago in Hyderabad,
where he realized some money with
which he returned to his own Village and
upon which he has mainly subsisted
since (receiving occasionally some as-
sistance from the late Sagheerdar) of
which nothing at present remains. In
his exertions during the investigation at
Woodiagherry he seems to have been in-
fluenced by the desire to shew that he
was a sincere well-wisher of the
Government, and eventually to secure for
himself

himself the means of living for the remainder of his days in comparative comfort and respectability. Under these circumstances it is easy to imagine that a title or deg= of honor would not be quite suitable.— Remuneration of public services by grants of land is of all Methods that which is most congenial to the feelings of the co people of India, whether Hindoo or Mussel= =men. They prize it far more than its equivalent in money, and it would have been highly gratifying to both the indi= =viduals whose services the Government is desirous of acknowledging and rewarding, if your original proposition even if granted on a reduced scale could have been acceded to; as however such is as stated to be objectionable, there are but two modes of compensation to Syed Soultaun which occur to me co suited to his circumstances namely a grant of a Monthly pension for his own life or the payment at once of a certain Amount of Money. The first would

would be the most acceptable to him, the last his second choice. In the first case I would suggest a Monthly co allowance of 15 Rupees, in the last a donation of 1000 Rupees.

3 Doddla Puttabheeramareddy is a Native of the first respectability co and influence, and though much reduced in circumstances from dissensions of some years standing regarding the family property, has no doubt the means of living comfortably and respectably, co it has been deemed objectionable to accede to your proposition to make him a grant of land he has expressed a wish in regard to a Shrotrium once in the possession of his family in Woodiagherry which I hope I do not err in making known for the consideration of Government.

4 The Village of Lomavaram and co was granted in July 1792 by the grand father of Abbas Ally Khan the late Jag= =heerdar as a Shrotrium on a Beyreez of 200 Pagoda or 800 Rupees in the names of the great grand father of Doddla Puttabheeramareddy

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

last No. 1020, was communicated to Mr. Cavamajor, late Commissioner at Nellore, with a request that he would state what honorary distinctions or presents would in his opinion be most acceptable to Syed Sultan and Dodla Puttabheerama=reddy who rendered important services to Government during the late investigation at Woodiagherry.

2 A transcript of Mr. Cavamajor's reply and of its enclosure is annexed, and under the circumstances therein noticed His Lordship in Council trusts that the Government of India will see fit to sanc=tion, as recommended, the grant to Syed Sultan of a portion of land "either rent=free or at a reduced assessment, so as "to afford him a profit of five or six "hundred Rupees a year" and authorize the shrotrium of the Village of Somavarapadu "being continued to Dodla Puttabheeramareddy "on a Beyreez of Rupees 600 per annum."

Fort St. George, 9th November 1841.

/Signed/ Robert Clerk.
Acting Chief Secretary.

Resolved

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Resolved that the foregoing Extract and Papers accompanying it be communi=cated to the Board of Revenue in order to instructions being issued for giving effect to the orders therein convey=ed. The Board will report to Govern=ment the fulfilment of the orders now communicated.

/Signed/ Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon.

1-2

Ordered that a copy of the letter from the Acting Chief Secretary to Government at Madras, dated the 9th No=vember 1841 and of the reply thereto from the Secretary to the Government of India dated 29th November 1841, together with a transcript of the resolution of Government thereon dated 31st December 1841, be forward=ed to the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore, for his information and guidance.

The attention of the Sub Col=lector in charge, is requested to the desire expressed by Government that the fulfilment of the orders now communicated may be reported.

Extract

From the chief Secretary to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st December 1841.

3

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 2nd December 1841 No. 507/

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is desirous of being particularly informed whether the reasons assigned by Butcha Row for requesting the registry of the name of his 2nd Son in preference to the first as heir in succession to the Shrotriums granted in reward for his services are considered by the Principal Collector of Cuddapah and the Board of Revenue to be good and sufficient.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

3-4

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

(Read again in 3rd Vn. February)

From the chief Secretary to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th January 1842

5

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

/Here enter 11th November 1841 No. 483/

Para 1. It does not appear from the

the replies of the local Officers submitted with the foregoing letter that a higher rate of taxation on arrack and Toddy will be productive of any advantage. The practice however of selling liquor below the regulated rates should be peremptorily prohibited, and His Lordship in Council desires the Board of Revenue will instruct the Revenue Officers to visit every such infraction with the severest penalty, and in future not to accept the contract of the highest bidder, if he has been found in previous years to have violated this important condition of his lease.

2. Ordered that the above letter and resolution be communicated to the Court of Foujdare Udalut with reference to Para 14 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 9th March 1841.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

5-6

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be circulated for the information and guidance of the Collectors in the Provinces the communication to the Collectors of the districts noted in the Margin being made with reference to the letters entered opposite to each.

Tanjore - 20th August Inform. 6th Sept. 1841.
Chingleput 31st Aug. Inform. 6th Sept.
Nellore - 1st Inform. 9th Sept.
N. Arcot - 17th Inform. 30th August.
S. Arcot - 1st Inform. 4th Nov.
Bellary - 16th Inform. 27th Sept.
Trichinopoly 20th Inform. 28th Aug.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th January 1842.

Read the following letter.

To W. Elliot Esqre

Acting Chief Secretary to the Govt.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

Rev. Depart. To the Chief Sec. to Government

7

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1425, dated the 16th ultimo with its enclosures, and in reply to convey the sanction of the Governor General of India in Council for the revised Moyen Jabitah proposed for the Rajahmundry District amounting to Rupees 10,996-12-- per Annum.

2 The original Enclosures received with your letter are returned.

Fort William, --- the 20th December 1841. --

/Signed/ T. H. Maddock
Secretary to the Govt. of India

Ordered

Ordered that the Sanction conveyed in the above letter for the revised Moyen Jabitah for the Rajahmundry District be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 16th of November last No. 1424.

Ordered also, that the Extract above referred to and the Sanction of the Government of India be communicated to the Offices of Account and Audit for their information and guidance.

/Signed/ Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Order thereon.

(7-8)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing communication be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance, with reference to an Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 2nd December 1841.

The letter from the Collector upon the subject of the reductions in his proposed Establishment submitted under date the 5th January 1842, has been submitted for the orders of Government, which will be communicated so soon as they are received.

Read also the following letter

To

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(9)

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of 2d Ultimo, forwarding Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government of 16th November with reference to the increase in my Moyen Gabitah proposed in my letter of 30th June.

2 Referring to the late considerable increases in the Government Estates in this District, I certainly expected that had I even sought actually to increase my Establishment my recommendation would have been met in the most liberal spirit, and I certainly did not contemplate that, after the great

great endeavours I have made during the last
four years, to avoid an increase of expense
although the duties of my Office have been
annually becoming more onerous, an objection
would be raised, when I was actually driven
to make the proposition by the prospect of
losing some of my best Revenue Servants in
consequence of the Establishment of the Poo
poor Zemindary being about to be dispens
with the Minority having expired. As I stat
in my letter of 30th June, when I first took
Charge of this District in July 1840, the Ma
Sabetah of my Huzzoor Cutcherry was sanc
tioned at Rupees 1925 the Government Est
than including only 252 Villages, bearing a Per
nent assessment of Rupees 49,247-4-5. Since
then 97 Villages bearing a permanent assessmen
of Rupees 1,31,417-7-2 have been added, the
my Establishment has only been increased
Eight Rupees. Under these circumstances I

trust Government will be pleased to recon
sider my proposition and allow my Estab
blishment to stand, for the Current Fusly
at Rupees 11,383-12. being Rupees 182 below that
proposed in my letter of the 30th June

Huzzoor.

Land Revenue	2117-8-"
Other Departments	307-"-"
District.	2424-8-"
of all Departments	9141-4-"
Total amount of Huzzoor and District pr. Month	11,565-12-"
Deduct Rumpah Establishment	182-"-"
	11,383-12-"

it not being necessary for me to press the Rumpah question immediately, as my Separate report will explain all circumstances connected with that Country in full.

3. The total Amount per Annum, for which Sanction was requested in my letter of 30th June, was Rupees 11,565-12-" but deducting the Rumpah Establishment, it would be only Rupees 11,383-12-" Of this Amount, Government have Sanctioned Rupees 10,996-12-" but have ordered the reductions I proposed

Present Establishment	10,589-4-"
Additions of reverted Estates	192-"-"
Increase to ordinary Police	397-"-"
	11,178-4-"
Deduct proposed reductions	181-8-"
	10,996-12-"

connected with the increases, to have effect. The reductions

reductions it is to be observed, were rather nominal and measures connected with the rendering of my Establishment more efficient than the reduction of Servants whom I could spare, and the carrying of them into effect would in some instances affect most seriously the performance of duties of my Office - For instance, I had one Writer on Rupees thirty in the Accountants Department, who was not sufficient with reference to the quantity of detail of work to be performed in my Office, I therefore proposed three Writers instead of him Rupees each - Government have not only refused me this, but have deprived me of the Writer I had - The Revenues of this District are much larger than either those of Masulipatam or Vizagapatam, yet I believe in the former, the Naib or Deputy Sheristadar has Rupees 120 and in the latter 140, I hardly think, therefore a hundred should be refused to me - The increases proposed to my

Distric

District Servants have been suggested to me by the great increase which has taken place in their duties generally inconsequence of the increased Number of Government Villages, and the desire that they should be remunerated in such a manner as to incite them to develope to the utmost extent possible the great resources of improvement which this District contains -

4. Under the above explanation, and with reference to the fact that I am about to lose the general services of an Establishment in my Huzzoor of Rupees 265 - 8 - 0 and a District of Rupees 980 - 0 - 0 I trust the Board will again support this application of mine the following being the detailed Classification of the increases and decreases -

Present

Vide Government Sanction of 23d July 1840

	Rs a p
Present Establishment	10589 4 "
Add	
Proposed Establishment already admitted by Government on account of Subordinate Revenue Servants for increased Government Estates	192 " "
Police Establishment the necessity of which has been admitted	397 " "
Proposed Establishment in my Huzzoor to meet the exigencies of the actual service when I am deprived of the Pittapoor Huzzoor Establishment of Rupees 265. 8 "	186 8 "
Proposed trifling additions in my Huzzoor Establishment to make it more efficient	20 " "
Proposed increases to my District Servants on account of their increased duties	126 " "
Nominal increases in my Huzzoor and District Establishments to make them more practically efficient which have been met by Nominal Reductions	548 "
Total	11,564 "

	Rs a p
Deduct	
Nominal decreases to meet Nominal increase already Sanctioned	20 " "
Nominal decreases to meet the Nominal increases not yet Sanctioned	54 8 "
Actual reductions	107 " "
Total	181 8 "
Total Amount of Establishment for which Sanction is now requested Rupees	11383 12 "

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah
5th January 1842

Signed G. A. Smith
Collector

Resolved

Proceedings thereon. 1. Resolved that the forego-
ing letter be submitted for the considerat-
and orders of the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council—

(9-10)

2. The Board resolve to solicit the
attention of Government to the explanato-
contained in the 3rd Para of the letter
above recorded and being convinced that
the Establishment proposed to be enter-
tained by the Collector of Rajahmundry
with reference to the great addition to
the number of Government Villages within
the last few years, has been restricted
to as low a scale as is consistent with
an efficient discharge of the duties ex-
pected from the Servants composing it
they have no hesitation in recommending
that Sanction may be granted for the Amount now
proposed for the Current Fusly, Viz, Rupees 1[4]383-
12-11 per Mensem—

3. The above sum exceeds the Amount for which
Sanction was communicated from Government under
date the 10th Instant by Rupees 387 but is less

by

In Con. 20th Jany. 1842.

JB

Pro. 29th July 1841. In Con. 22d July 1841.

From the Chief Secretary to Government

(11)

by Rupees 182 than the Amount proposed
by the Collector in his letter of the 30th June last

Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 11th January 1842.

Read the following letter—

from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Here enter 9th December 1841. No. 52

Upon an attentive consider-
ation of all the circumstances under which the
pensions were granted it does not appear to
the Right Honorable the Governor in
Council that it was the intention of
Government in granting the allowance
of Rupees 150 for the support of the de-
pendants of the late Paupamah, to pro-
vide for the family generally, after the
pensions of existing incumbents had
lapsed, Seetamah it is observed, had
her own share of 100 Rupees per
Mensem, and the Government under date
the 28th of June 1822, thought
proper to allow Rupees 150, out
of Paupamah's pension of Rupees 250, to

her

her dependants, and it was then distinctly declared that all pensions should cease at the death of the respective incumbents. His Lordship in Council does not therefore think that the final Settlement as then made ought to be altered.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To
The Sub Collector
In Charge of
Nellore

To the Sub Collr in Charge of Nellore
Sub Dated 9th Decr 1841.

Sir,

(11-12)

With reference to Mr Stonhouse's letter dated the 20th November last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and guidance the accompanying copy of a letter addressed to Government under date the 9th Ultimo, and of the resolution thereon, contained in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 11th Instant and to request that you

Sub Rec. 20th Jany 1842

you will communicate the result to Rajah Munda paty Comara Vencatanarasemiah pauz.

Revd Bd Office
Fort St. George,
20th January 1842

/ Signed / R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on petitions Nos 1757 and 1640 of 1841 and 106 of 1842.

The Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Nellore to whom instructions have been issued by the Board of Revenue under date the 20th instant.

By Order.

Revd Bd Office
Fort St. George,
20th January 1842

/ Signed / S. B. Rouspell
Acting Sub Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th January 1842.

From the Chief Secretary to Government

(13)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Malabar Province the wastage of Tobacco reported in the foregoing letter amounting for Fusslies 1248 and 1249 to 489-14-2 4/4 C. M. lbs

/ Signed / Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Ordered

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To The Collector of Malabar

To the Collr. of Malabar

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the sanction of Government was granted under date the 10th Instant for writing off the wastage of Tobacco for Fusslies 1248 and 1249 reported in your letter of the 23rd November last and amounting to bawnies 489-M.th 277/4

(13-14)

In Cons. 20th Jany. 1842.

In Cons. 6th Dec. 1841.

Rev. Bd. Office Fort St. George 20th January 1842

/Signed/ R. L. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th January 1842.

(15)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council confirms the purchase of the Cottapullay Zemindary in the District of Rajahmundry on account of Government for the sum of

Rupees

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Rupees /5,000/ Five thousand-

/Signed/ H. Chamier
Chief Sect.

Resolution thereon. Ordered that a copy

(15-16)

of the foregoing resolution of Government and of the Board's letter dated the 23rd December 1841 be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance.

Extract from the Minutes Consultation dated 17th January 1842.

From the Chief Sect. to Government

(17)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the Bellary Collectorate the irrecoverable balance reported in the foregoing proceedings

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

proceedings amounting to Rupees
/6757-3-4/ Six thousand seven
hundred and fifty seven and 4
annas three.-

/Signed/ H.S. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the follow-
-ing letter be sent in consequence

To,
The Collector of
Bellary

To the Collr of Bellary

Sir,

17-18

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to inform you that the
sanction of Government was granted
under date the 17th Instant for
writing off the irrecoverable balance
on account of the Settlement of your
District for Fusly 1249 reported in your
letter dated the 13th December 1841 amounting
to Rs/6757-3-4/ Six thousand seven hundred and fifty
seven and three annas.-

In Secy 20th Jany 1842

In Cons 23rd Decr 1841

Revenue Board Office.-
Fort St. George 20th Jany 1842

/Signed/ R. I. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Read the following letters

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

Sir,

Para 1

I had the honor to sub-
-mit for the information of the Board of Revenue,
under date 6th February 1840 Copy
of a Decree passed in the Court of Uda-
-lut at Rajahmundry under date 11th
December 1839 in the Original Suit No. 528
of 1834 preferred by Poucha Sahib at
Rajahmundry against Mr Crawley the
late Collector of this District the de-
-fence of which was sanctioned under
date the 17th August 1835.

19

2. I now have the honor
to submit Copy of an Appeal which has
been made against the said Decision in the
Provincial Court at Masulipatam together with
Draft of my proposed answer and beg leave to
request that I may be authorized to continue the defence.

Pet with its translation

No 2

Lillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Circuit Cutchary
Samulcottah 29th September 1841

/Signed/ G.A. Smith
Collector

To

Fort St. George 26th January 1842

No. 1.

To The Provincial Court of Appeal
at Masulipatam

A Separate pleading filed by Paudsha Saheb of Rajahmundry Appellant against W. Crawley Esqre late Collector of the Zillah of Rajahmundry and 2 c Moor Shun Shuddeen of Rajahmundry respondents.

The Zillah Judge states in his Decree of this case 1st that I have not proved that the Government had pledged itself not to resume the Lands of Johuroollakhan. To this I beg to observe that I deny having ever stated that such a pledge had taken place nor had the Zillah Court specified that Circumstance among the points given to me to prove, I have never stated that the land in dispute belonged to the Johuroollakhan, but pleaded that it had not belonged to him. The 1st Respondent did not produce any proof that the said disputed Land had been acquired by the Johuroollakhan, nor was it procured by his ancestors, who had not any land at

Fort St. George 26 January 1842

Comaradavum, for instance, the Court of Sudr Udalut having transmitted to the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry 52 Documents (produced by the Johuroolakhans sisters sons) relative to all the Lands appertaining to the ancestors of the said Johuroolakhans. I have produced in this case certified Copies of 4 special instruments or Phurwanahs (among the said documents) for the purpose of ascertaining the lands of Johuroollakhan in detail. As the 15 Pootties of Land at Comaradavum and 15 Pootties at Domaroo in all 30 Pootties comprising a savarum was the property of the family of Abdul Wahabkhan, the 15 Pootties of Land at Comaradavum was devided to his son Khajah Mohomed Shariff, and the 15 Pootties of Land at Domaroo to his other sons son called Sumeer John. The said Khajah Mahomed Shariff had given his 15 Pootties of Land at Comaradavum to his wife Rabey abaigum (in payment of Mohur or Dowry who-

who is sister to Johuroollakhan and
Maternal grand Mother to myself. As
the daughter of Abdul Wapkakhan is
wife to Johuroollakhan and adopted the
said Ameer John, to whom she is a
paternal aunt, the said Sawarums
which was the property of the said
Ameer John and Robeyabaugum, as well
as the other Lands appertaining to the
ancestors of Johuroollakhan were throug
the medium of the latter, enjoyed by the
respective owners thereof; but the Doom=
=balahs Vea Documents were paped in
the naime of the said Johuroollakhan
because he was the principal of those families
for some time: but the Lands inserted in
those Dumbalahs were not actually
appertaining to Johuroollakhan for
instance of which, I produced in this case
a Boohoonnamah of the Collector Marked
B stating that the Documents which the
said Johuroollakhan had executed to the
partners for the respective Lands of his

ancestors cannot be registered without
the consent of the unseparated partners
The Dumbalahs ipued in the name
of Johuroollakhan for the Saivarum
of Comaradavum and Dommaroo, which
was the property of Robeyabaugum and
Ameer John were registered under the
Land of the Sunnud granted to Abdul
Wapkakhan for the said Savarums
Vea Lands While it was thus settled
the 1st Respondent produced the said
Doombalahs as Documents so as to
show the disputed land to belong
to the Johuroollkhan. Consequently
your Court will clearly perceive the
above Circumstances upon the inspec=
=tion of the Copy of the Receipt granted
by the former Collector regarding the
Registry of the Documents of the
said Sawarum.

The Decree further
states that no proof was given that
I had a legal title and posepion
of the land in question, and that it

was

was the property of Abdul Waphakhan
To this, I beg to observe that I had filed
in this case the Phurwanahs of the former
Authorities showing that the said
Savarum was the property of the family
of Abdul Waphakhan. This savarum
has been situated in the Talook of Cul=
=dindy Terapaterauze, and the said Khajah
Mohomed Shariff had no other land
there excepting the said savarum I have
in consequence filed in this case a Phur=
=wanah (as Document marked S) dated
the 1st May 1770, which was addressed
by Mr. Wynch the Chief in Council
at Masulipatam, to Culdindy Tera=
=paterauze regarding the lands of the
Khajah Mohomed Shariff &c. With
reference to the points given by the
Court I have also produced in this case
(as a document marked R) a
Vapeyotramah showing that the
said Khajah Mahomed Shariff
had given to his wife Rubeya begum

15 Poottus of land (at Conaradavum)
out of the said Savarum on account
of Dowry. I have further filed in
this Case (as document marked 2)
a certified copy of a Decree in
No. 619 of 1806 specifying that 5
Poottus of the said land was given
by Rabey a begum to my brother
named Meer Shumsharelly, and
that the 2d Defendant or Respond=
=ent had given a Futwah that
the land claimed was the pro=
=perty of my brother. I have
likewise produced (as document
marked A) the certified copy
of a Razenamah entered into by
my brother and my maternal
uncle's son in the suit which
had taken place between my
brother and my maternal Uncle
for the same land and this
Razenamah was registered in the

office

office of the Collector so that the same
Land might become my property
after the demise of my brother
The Judge did not mention
in the Decree the circumstance
of my having filed the aforesaid
Documents. It will moreover
be very clear by the receipt of the
registered Documents regarding
the said savarum part of which is
disputed land, that the latter
was the property of the family
of Abdul Wahab Khan that Jobu
=tained the right to it gradu
=ally from my maternal Grand
=mother and that it is under
my enjoyment as Laheraje
Enam but when the case
No. 497 of 1826 was appealed
to your Court the said Docu
=ment was produced in the
Zillah Court as security
for charges, and as I

wanted

wanted that vouchers and some others in order
to show to the Collector agreeably to his order
of 29th June 1835. I and the person for whose
the security was entered into presented a motion
to Mr. Wroy requesting him to give me the
original, by retaining the Copy of it in the
Record - That Gentleman held proceeding to
grant my request, but I was not there given
that voucher because the 2nd Respondent had
some friends among the servants of the Zillah
Court - I have afterwards presented a motion
to Mr. Smith while he was Acting Judge, -
for the said and some other Documents in the
same case, but as this request was not admitted,
I have applied for Copies in order to represent
the same to your Court, whereupon Mr. Thomas
at first ordered to give Copies, but when he
was to sign the latter, he directed that they
will not be granted until the inspection of
the record, this was accordingly done and the
points were given to be proved by me specify-
ing also that the receipt in question should be

filed.

filed. I have inconsequence presented a
motion stating that though Proceedings were
held to give me the said Document, yet it
was not given to me, that it may therefore
be given to me if it is lodged in that Court
or if it was sent to the Provincial Court
a Proceeding may be held on the subject
and a Copy thereof be given to me on Stamp
Paper, so as to enable me to represent it to
the Provincial Court, obtain the said Document
and file it in this Case whereupon the Court
directed to the same effect, but I was neither
given that Document, nor has the Judge report
ed of its being transmitted to the Provincial
Court; the reason of this is unknown to me.
At last as the Judge was taking a view of the
record for passing a Decree I have presented
a motion stating that a Copy of the said Do-
cument (the original being returned to me) attest-
ed by Mr. Vibart was formerly filed in another
case a Document for the property of security

Cared

and that it should even be examined but
this request was not granted. As this is a
substantial voucher in this case, I request
your Court will, whether it remains in your
Court, or in the Zillah Court, be pleased to
order the original thereof to be returned to me
(by keeping its Copy upon a Common paper in
the Court) so that I may be able to file it in
this case. If the original was mislaid I beg
your Court will examine its Copy which has
been filed in Case No. 497 of 1826 now in your
Court. I requested the Zillah Court to propose
questions to my witnesses to relate whether the
Land in dispute was the property of Ishwollah
Khan or Abdal Wapha Khan, and by whose family
they have been enjoyed; but the Court refused to put
this question saying that this is not the circum-
stance of the points given by them to be proved.
Therefore if your Court will cause the attendance
of my witnesses and order the said questions to
be proposed to them, I trust your Court will
be convinced of the said circumstances. The
Decree further specifies that no proof was given

that

that the Land in dispute is free from Cutto-
-lady tax, and that no good and valid cause is
shown why the Plaintiff (or appellant) should
not pay the Cutterlady tax on the 5 Pottles of Land
in the Comaradavum Village. To this I beg to
observe that it will clearly appear by the Chacoma-
nah &c. documents filed in this case, as well as
by the aforementioned substantial vouchers, that
the Land in dispute is not subject to the Cutterlady
it is also proved by the depositions of the 1st Re-
-spondents witnesses that I had injoyed that land
as Laheraje Enam previous to its being charged
with Cuttorlady by the Collector. The Decree fur-
-ther specifies that the mere registry of the Lands
by the Collector does not necessarily bar the
right of Government to resume it where held
without their knowledge. To this I beg to state
that the registry has been established in order to
confirm the right of lands to the several indi-
-viduals, and it has been also the duty of
the persons making such registry to mention
any

an inquiry into the actual enjoyment during
the registry of the Documents of lands should
your Court inspect the aforesaid receipt of the
registry, the registered Razeenamah marked A, and
the Hokum of the Collector marked B stating
that the Documents executed by the Ishwoolla
khan, without the consent of the unseparated
partners should not be registered you will then
find that the Collector had registered the Do-
-cuments of the Lands which have been legally
Laheraje Enams - that the Lands mentioned
in this case, and duly registered are not procured
by, nor belonging to Ishwoolla khan; and that
they are not liable to resumption by the Govern-
-ment - The Decree further specifies that the
Dumbalahs and Accounts do not bear the Plain-
-tiff or Appellants name. To this I beg to observe
that since the disputed Land became my pro-
-perty, the Zemindar of that Purganah, also
the Circar when it came under them, have un-
-objectionably passed that land under my
enjoyment

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

enjoyment without any Dumbalahs - It was also proved by the witnesses of the 1st Respondent, as well as by the said registered Documents that the land in dispute has been under my enjoyment - This being the case your Court will perceive that the lower Court need not consider the non-production of the Dumbalahs and accounts shewing that the Land in question has been under my enjoyment - It is also trusted that your Court will fully perceive in consequence of the above circumstances, and on reference to the record that I have proved according to the points given to me by the Court. The 1st point given to the 1st Respondent requires proof that the Plaintiff or Appellant paid full tax in the fasly preceding to fasly 1236, and that previous to that period why the tax had not been levied from the Plaintiff or Appellant - The proof and reasons here required were not given but the witnesses of the respondent to wit the Moonsiff, Curnum &c. of Comaravadee proved

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

proved that the disputed Land was under my enjoyment as Lakeraje Inam previous to the said fasly - The 2nd Point requires an order of the Government or Board directing the levy of Cuttubady on the said 5 Potties of disputed Land - But no order of the kind directing the resumption of the said Land, by annulling upon investigation, the document under which I had a right to enjoy it was produced - Consequently I trust your Court will perceive that the letters of the higher authorities accepting the report erroneously made by the Collector upon his being misinformed by certain persons who were desirous of injuring the right of some others, cannot be considered applicable for the said point - The 3rd Point requires proof that the Government had resumed in the year 1795 the 15 Pottias of disputed Land - but no proof was given for the same - Nor was the proof given for the 4th point - The Court will also find that it is improper to produce several

papers

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.—

papers for the 2nd. and 3rd. prints though it appears they require only two.

Under the foregoing circumstances as your Court will find that my claim is just upon the inspection of the original / by its being given to me for producing in this case / or last of the receipt of registry as above stated together with the record of this case; ordering the examination of my witnesses I pray your Lordship will be pleased to reverse the Decision of the zillah Court, and afford me justice by passing a Decree adjudging the respondents to pay me the costs incurred in both Courts. Pleas to peruse. Dated 31st. March 1841.—

/ Signed in Persian / Padshasaid.

Stamps Correct

/ Signed / A. Suggunnadum.

Interpreter.

Filed in Court this 5th. day of April 1841

/ Signed / P. A. Stromberg.

2nd. Judge for the Registr.

/ True translation /

/ Sign'd / G. A. Smith. Collector

P.G.B.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Draft of proposed Answer.

To The Provincial Court of Appeal

at

Masulipatam.—

Proposed Answer by the 1st. Respondent G. A. Smith Esqre. Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry to the separate pleading filed by Pandoha Sahib of Rajahmundry Appellant in Case No. 32 of 1840.

The Appellant states in his separate pleading among other circumstances that the 1st. Respondent did not produce any proof that the land in dispute had been acquired by Johurollah Khan, nor was it procured by his ancestors, who had not any land at Comaradanum. that the 15 Poothies of land at Comaradanum and 15 Poothies at Domaroo, in all 30 Poothies, Comprising a Savarum, being the property of the family of Abdul Waphakhan, the former land was given for Mahar to Rabeyabagum by her husband Khajah Mahomed Shariff (who had it for his share.) the son of the said Abdul Waphakhan. and the latter to Ameer John who is the son of the said Abdul Waphakhan's other son

+ who is sister to Johurollahkhan and Maternal Grand Mother to the appellant.

don, that as the daughter of Abdeel Waphakhan is wife to Johuroollahkhan and adopted the said Ameer Jahn (to whom she is a paternal Aunt) the said Savaram which was the property of Rabeyabeegum and Ameer Jahn as well as other Lands appertaining to the Ancestors of Johuroollah Khan, were through the medium of the latter enjoyed by the respective owners thereof, but they are not belonging to the Johuroollah Khan, though the Doombalahs were passed in his name as would be found by the document marked **B.** produced by him (appellant) that the Doombalahs if joned in the name of Johuroollah Khan for the Savaram of Coomaradavam and Domaroo which was the property of Rabeyabagum and Ameer Jahn were registered under the Land Tax Sunnud granted to Abdul Waphakhan for the said Savaram &c. lands. that the 1st Respondent produced the said Doombalahs as

Documents

Documents so as to shew the disputed land to belong to the Johuroollah Khan, that he, the Appellant, filed in this Case the Phurmanahs of the former Authorities shewing that the said Savaram was the property of the family of Abdul Waphakhan, that he also filed a Phurmanah (a document marked **S.**) Dated 1st May 1770. addressed by Mr. Wynch. the Chief in Council at Masulipatam, to Culdindy Terapattyrauze regarding the Lands of the Khajah Mahomed shariff &c. a Vafeiatnamah (marked **R.**) shewing that the said Khajah Mahomed shariff had given to his wife Rabeyabagum 15 Poothies of Land (at Comaradavam) on account of the said Savaram for dowry. a certified Copy (marked **2.**) of the Decree in No. 619 of 1806. Specifying that 5 Poothies of the said Land was given by Rabeyabagum to his (Appellant's) brother

brother named Meer Sham Sharelly, and that the 2d. Respondent had given a Futwah that the land claimed was the property of his / Appellants / brother the said Meer Sham Sharelly and a certified copy of a Ranzeenamah / marked A. / entered into by his / appel= =lants / brother and his maternal Uncle's son in the Suit which had taken place between his brother and his maternal Uncle for the same land, that this Ranzeenamah was registered in the office of the Collector so that the same land might become his / Appellants / property after the demise of his brother, that it will further be very clear by the receipts of the registered documents regarding the said Lava= =ram that the disputed land was the property of the family of Abdul Wapshatchaw, and he / Appellant / obtained right to it gradually from his Maternal grand Mother, and that it was held under his enjoyment as

as Lan Seeranjee Ruam, but as neither the original nor copy of that receipt was given to him under various cir= =cumstances, notwithstanding his ap= applications to the several Judges of the Zillah Court. your Court would be pleased either to order the original thereof to be returned to him / if lodged in your Court, or in the Zillah Court / so that he may file it in this case, that if the original thereof was mislaid you will perceive it's copy which has been filed in Case No. 497 of 1826. now in your Court, that your Court will cause the attendance of his witnesses and propose them certain questions which the Zillah Court refused to put at his request, that it will clearly appear by the Shakoonamahs &c. filed in this Case that the Land in dispute is not sub= =ject to the Cuttoobody, that it is proved by the depositions of the 1st. Respondents' witnesses that he / Appellant / had enjoyed that land as

as Pankerangie Dhass previous to it's being charged with Cuttoobdy by the Collector, that the registry has been established in order to confirm the right of lands to several individuals, that upon the inspection of the said receipt of registry and the documents marked **A.** and **B.** your Court will find that the Collector had registered the documents of the Lands which were legally Pankerangie Dhass. and the Lands mentioned in this case are not procured by nor belong to Johurolahkhaw, and that they are not liable to resumption by Government, that the lower Court need not consider the non production of the Doombalahs and Accounts shewing that the land in question has been under his enjoyment since the Jemindar of the Purgunnah and the Circar, whereas it came under

under them, have unobjectionably passed it under his enjoyment without any Doombalahs, that your Court will find that he has proved according to the points given by the Zillah Court, but the 1st Respondent failed to do so in certain instances and that under these circumstances your Court will be pleased to reverse the decision of the Zillah Court, and pass a Decree adjudging the respondents to pay him the Costs incurred in both Courts.-

In reply to the above the Collector begs leave to state that the claim of the Appellant set forth in his separate pleading is not true: After the death of Kahjah Johurollah Khaw, his Jaghire Villages &c. Lands were resumed in the year 1795- by order of the Government, when the Appellant and some others who are his relatives

Concealed

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

concealed some of his lands and retained them under their enjoyment - This being subsequently brought to notice, the 5 Pootties of Land in dispute held by the Appellant were by order of the Government assessed with a light Cuttoabody / in common with those which were found to have been concealed by 3 other persons namely Khajah Abbasally Comarum Resabaigum and Meer Abbasaly's

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Abbasaly's wife Hazarit Sahaba bagum) of 23 Rupees to be annually paid by the Appellant from Fusly 1236. it being a portion of Rupees 69-10-0 remaining, after deducting the Mahavary payable to the Appellant of Rupees 92-6-0 from the amount of Rupees 162 the Cist of the land, but the latter has never been the property of Abdool Wapa Khan - The accounts taken by Mr. Collector Skinner for 13 Years for the formation of the Permanent Assessment of the Covoor Mootah, clearly specify in the name of Johooroollah Khan the 15 Pootties of Land at Comaradavum which includes the disputed Land of 5 Pootties - It is further proved that the said 15 Pootties of land did belong to Johooroollah Khan in consequence of the issue of one Dummalah for it by the Zemindar Rajah Teroopaty Ranze Bahader in favor of Khajah Nooroolah Khan the father of the said Johooroollah Khan for Fusly 1176.

and

and 12 following Doombalahs up to June
1203. by several authorities in favor of Sa-
=hoollah Khan himself, who died in the
year 1794.--

1 Doombalah issued by Rajah Seroopaty Ranze Behadur

for June--- 0
1 Do Do ..... Do ..... for Do 117
1 Do by Mr. Oram ..... for Do 118
1 Do by Rajah Seroopaty Ranze ..... for Do 2
1 Do by Mantaty Seraputty ray doo for Do 119
1 Do by Rajah Seraputty Ranze Behadur for Do 120
1 Do by Mantaty Sinniah ..... for Do 121
1 Do by Do ..... Do ..... for Do 122
1 Do by Rajah Seraputty Ranze Behadur for Do 123
1 Do by Panoomutcha Bhoopiah ..... for Do 124
1 Do by Bhoopaty Ranze Narsimharanze for Do 125
1 Do by Vadarajamoody Seenapah ... for Do 126
12

There is no mention in the Public accounts of the Collectors' Cutcherry that Abdul Waphatchan had either the land or any other lands as pretended by the appellant. Had it been really the case there is no doubt but that such lands would have been entered in the Public accounts in the same manner as

as those of all the other individuals in the District either in Abdool Waphatchan's own name, or in that of his Son, or in that of Rabeyabagum (the wife of the latter) through whom the appellant pleads his brother gradually got the right to the 5 Pootties of the disputed land, or at any rate the annual Doombalahs in question would have been obtained in any one of those Ca- names for the said land of 15 Potties in lieu of the name of Sahoorollah= =chan's. This only it is trusted will con= =vince the Court that the land in dispute really belonged to Sahoorollah= =chan, and that it ought to have been resumed in 1795 upon his death, and that it did not belong to Abdool Waphatchan as supported by the appellant with a summad which does not apply to that land, and that the Collector was inconsequence right to produce the

the said Doombalahs as documents prov:
=ing that the disputed lands belonged to
Sahoorollah Khan.

There is no reason whatever
for the appellant to plead that the right
of the Sarcarum containing 30 Potties of land
at comaradavum and Domaroo Devend:
=ed to Rabeyabagum and Ameer Sahib
through the said Abdul Wapakhan, as
it was never proved to belong to him
by any authenticated testimonials;
but it plainly appears from the per
=manent accounts and Doombalahs
that it belonged to Sahoorollahkhan
who it is well known was possessed
of Villages in Jaghire and other lands
which were resumed after his death
but was not without landed property
as pretended by the appellant with a
view to retaining his resumable Land under
invalid titles in favor of Abdal Wapakhan
which

which do not apply to any lands in
the District.

Even after the death of
Sahoorollah Khan the Doombalahs of
the land in dispute were issued in
the name of Ameer Sahib who is his
heir, as being adopted by his wife (as
admitted by the appellant.) but they
were never issued in the name of the
Appellant or his other relatives; namely
Rabeyabagum &c.

With regard to the State=
=ment of the appellant that the said
Doombalahs were registered &c.
under the land of the surround grant=
=ed to Abdool Wapakhan. the Doom=
=balahs themselves prove that they
were obtained in the name of Sahoo=
=roollahkhan, for the lands which &c.
were entered in the permanent
accounts in his name only. and
while no lands in the District were

found

found by the Public accounts to belong to Abdul Wapahakan, it can only be imagined that a Summud for a supposed land was fraudulently registered in the Collectors' Office. With respect to this it is to be remarked that such registry of a title is by no means an acknowledgment of its validity the object of such registry is only a record without enquiry the nature of the tenure asserted by the party in whose name the registry is made and it may be presumed that the Collector registering it had no cause to offer objection when no dispute took place about it at the time, but it was produced merely for registry in common with several others. The formal registry prescribed by Regulation XXXI of 1802 it is evident was instituted merely with a

view to enquiry into the titles which should be therein entered, and all parties were in consequence required to register their titles. it being at the same time provided that titles not registered within the time limitted should not be recognized. but titles are not to be deemed valid by virtue of such registry, if not challenged by the Collr. at the time. and this argument has been maintained with success in a late suit before the Court of Sudr Adalut. Consequently the Collector contends that the mere registry of a title cannot secure the interest of the Appellant, since he is unable even to prove that it applies to the land in dispute.

With respect to the receipt of

of the registered documents alleged
by the appellant as a substantial
one for his case, it is to be observed
that this receipt might have been
given to the appellant by the Col
=lector in common with those given
to other individuals upon the mere
production and registry of the title
without enquiry into there reality
consequently the receipt alluded
is not applicable in this case.

No lands of the Purvanah
of former authorities alleged by
the appellant to have been filed
are found to exist in the District
by any public accounts of the Col
=lectors Cutcherry, it is therefore
contended that such authenticated
titles cannot protect the claim
of the appellant. The production
of

of Decrees &c.a of other cases by the
Appellant relative to the Sambain dis-
=pute is not applicable in the present
instance, because the Government was
no party to those cases and the Collector
on behalf of Government has no op-
=portunity of disputing the title.

The Court will further be
satisfied that the 15 Pootties of Sambat
Cumaradavan were the property of Sahoo-
=roollakhkhan from the fact, that the
aforementioned 3 other persons did
not lay any claim on the resumption
of the 10 Pootties of State Lands concealed
by them, but agreed to pay the tax
assessed thereon, while the Appellant
only brought a claim for the recovery
of the 5 Pootties concealed by him.
The document (that is the receipts for
the registered documents) of the said
disputed land which the appellants
alleges having filed in Case No 69 of
1826 for the security of Appeal charges,
cannot

cannot support his present claim, as it would clearly appear that it was fraudulently filed in that suit wherein the Government was not a party notwithstanding it is shewn by the public Accounts that Abdul Wahabkhan has no Lankeranjie Enams.—

The 15 Pootties of Land at Camaradavam and 16 Pootties at Damaravu were of course enjoyed by Sahoravallakhan during his existence as Lankeranjie Enams. But after his death Mr. Bramfill the then Collector of the 3d Division of Rajahmundry &ca resumed his Jaghire Villages and the Lands at Navakettah under Government upon enquiry made of his Sowcar who was managing those Jaghire Villages, but as that Sowcar was unable to detect his other Lands in the Villages of Camaradavam Damaravu &ca which were under the

the Collector of the 2d Division, the relatives of Sahoravallakhan such as Appellant &ca Clandestinely held those Lands under their enjoyment in concert with the Village Curnums &ca but after these Divisions became a District under the management of a sole Collector stationed at Cocanada, a relative of the Sahoravallakhan, named Khaja Mahomed, addressed a Petition to the Board of Revenue in the year 1823 regarding the Lands of Sahoravallakhan at Cottah Ramachendrapooram Taluk and the Collector of the day, Mr. Robertson, to whom that Petition was referred by the Board, after having enquired into the matter, detected by means of Village Accounts &ca the Clandestine enjoyment of Sahoravallakhan Lands at Camaradavam &ca Villages reported it to the Board, who with the sanction of

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

of Government Dated 16th November determined as by it Cuttack body flexible to future increase at pleasure of Government as 1893 on the 170½ Pootties of cultivated Lands, including the 5 Pootties claimed by the Appellants and also presented himself before the said Collector Mr Robertson for the purpose of informing him of concealed Lands within his Talook. Your Court will therefore perceive by the Answer & of the Collector comprising the record of the Yillah Court, that the Body of 23 Rupees was charged upon 5 Pootties of Land, as the Appellants found in the course of the said enquiry to have concealed that Land, but the statements of the Appellants that the evidence of the believed Witnesses proves that he had

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

it as Lakeraje / while he thus concealed it / before it was detected by the Collector, will answer no good on his part.-

The Yillah Court specified in their Decree that it does not appear by the Permanent Accounts and Dumbalah that the land in dispute belonged to the Appellants, as they / the Court / found that the documents produced by him were not applicable to it, but that he was clandestinely enjoying it as Lakeraje Enam previously to the Assessment of Cuttowbady.-

The Collector has given the necessary proofs required by the points of the Yillah Court and begs to remark that the former Collector Mr. Gardener made a single report under date 18th May 1826 to the Board, regarding the concealments of the Lands of Tahsildar Chokkiahs by several individuals, including

including the Appellant, according to a List therewith submitted, and the Board thereupon, under instructions of Government, desired in their reply of 20th November 1826, that all Lands so cow=ered should be affected with high tax as Lands liable to future increase at the pleasure of Government, as above stated.— While it was thus, the Statements of the Appellants that a special Order of the higher authority directing the assessment solely on the 6 Pootties of disputed Land was not produced by the Collector, is a groundless objection The Collector deems it unnecessary to answer to the several irrelevant circumstances stated in the separate pleading.—

Under the foregoing circumstances

circumstances the Collector trusts that your Court will, on the examination of the original Record and Decree of the Zillah Court, as well as his evidence and testimonials, be pleased to nonsuit the Appellant with all costs incurred by the Collector.—

Signed J. A. Smith
Collector.—

Proceedings thereon.—

(19-20)

Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

The decree of the Judge at Rajahmundry in favor of the Collector passed under — date the 11th December 1839 was submitted for the information of

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

of Government on the 13th February 1840. The Board recommend that the Collector may be authorized to construe the defence against the appeal which has been preferred against the said decree at the public expence.

The delay which has occurred between the receipt of the foregoing letter and its transmission to Government has been owing to the papers having been accidentally mislaid.

&c &c

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.

I have the honor to submit to the Board of Revenue two original appeal Petitions, presented by Addumbey Nursingarow, late Darogah of the Yernagoodem Division against the decisions passed by me in the cases of Vaj=-lagoodem and Tandemullah Villages of Tandemullah Estate, under date the 31st August last, and entered in the Return of persons charged before the Collector with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for that Month, submitted to the Board of Revenue under date the 30th Septem=-ber 1841. together with the original Telugu Records of the cases, as per Lists which accompany them respectively.

21

Nos. 1 and 2 both dated 25th November 1841 with translations.

Originals in English included in the accompanying records of the respective cases.

Nos. 3 and 4.

Gillah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Circuit Cutchery,
Rajahmagazam, 18th December 1841.

(Signed) G. A. Smith.
Collector

To

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

No. 1.

To The Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

An Appeal Petition from Addunkey Soo-
-bingarow Darogah of Yernagoodem Divisio
in the Zillah of Rajahmundry-

With regard to the charge
preferred against me by the Complainant
Corum Ramanah, the Village Dewanjiff of
Coyalagoodem, a hamlet under Parimboody
Village attached to Saudemullah Inoolla
under my Jurisdiction, the Collector of the
Zillah of Rajahmundry passed a Decisi
-on under date 31st August 1841 and received
from me the Sum of Rupees 319-13-0 and
as I have thereby experienced much
injustice, I am induced to appeal a-
against it to your Board under Regula-
-tion 7 of 1828. Section 6 and beg to State as
follows-

The Sentence Specifies
that the Complainant charged me with
receiving for my own use, out of
the

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

the collection of that Village 110 Rupees as a
bribe &c. and 30 Rupees for the Expenses of my
bearers &c. in all 140 Rupees-

To This I beg leave to observe
that the Complainant stated in his first
Petition that he paid me 110 Rupees as bribe,
and a Sum of 30 Rupees was incurred under batta
for myself and my bearers when I proceed-
-ed into that Village, and that the Curnum
Mataty Seetaramiah appropriated for himself
30 Rupees from the Collection.-

The Collector suspended
me from my situation on the 19th June 1841.
and issued an order stating, that the Com-
-plainant Corum Ramanah gave a deposi-
-tion that a sum of 28 Rupees was received
by me on assara Produce at the rate
of 8 annas per Pootty, and a Sum of 160 Rupees
the Balance of Rummahlundy settlement
of the present fusly then outstanding
having been Collected and placed under
charge of the Shroff. I have taken
there from 140 Rupees, and that I have
caused to be Collected some Money

under

under the name of the Village Charges.—

It is trusted you'r Board will perceive that this Charge is not true in Consequence of the Contradictions between the Contents of the said argee and those of the Deposition.—

2 The Sentence further Specifies that the Sum of 110 Rupees charged to have been received as a bribe is proved by the 3 Witnesses.—

To this I beg to observe that the Complainant chose 4 Witnesses, Namely Matady Seetaramiah, Curnum, Bommiah Chendrapa, Village Shroff, & Runtah Monda Pettanadar, and Collor Guntapah, the 2d Village Shroff, three of them denied having seen when I was paid the amount of bribe the 4th Witness Bommah Chendrapah declared that while I was in the Cutcherry accompanied by several people in the Village of Calavaram, he brought and delivered me two parcels, one Containing 110 Rupees and the other Containing 198-12-0 which he should pay on account of the Collection

Collection of Crore Trumpollem and Sirnalapooram, and that I have kept those parcels in the Box in the same state without opening them and Counting the Money. This Witness Chendrapah has Chosen 2 Witnesses named Yolagalah Connoolo and Sadah Croos=-=tniah (quoted in the Sentence) to prove of his having paid Money. One of whom de=-=clared that he met the said Chendrapa on the Middle Road and Came along with him to my Cutcherry, that he saw him give me two parcels, One Containing 110 Rupees and the other containing Rupees 198-12-0 and that I have kept them in the Box without opening them. but when this witness declares that I did not open the parcels, it is not possible for him to State the Number of Rupees they Contained. By this only You will perceive that his evidence is not true. The other witness declared that I have opened the parcels and received the Money after being Counted. By this only the Statement of this witness will appear false, since the said Chendrapah declared

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

declared having given me the parcels themselves

It will also appear by the record itself that there is not proof for my having received 28 Rupees at 8 annas per Coolly upon the afsara produce and 30 Rupees from the Collection for my Batta &c.

With regard to the allegation of the Complainant that prior to the date of my having been paid the bribe there was a Balance against the Village of Rupees 160 only which being collected, I have received there from 140 Rupees, I beg to observe that besides the Rupees 159-14-6. appropriated by the Moonsiff, Carnum, and Pettanadar under the over disbursed Village Charges, the Villagers admitted that a balance of Rupees 166-8-0 was outstanding, against the Village up to the date of Sentence and subsequent to the date of 9th May (on which it is said I was given bribe) a sum of Rupees 114-4-0 was credited in the Chittah of the Circar and account of this

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

this Village, making in all Rupees 280-12-0 of these two sums, which it appears were outstanding up to the said date - but by Complainants stating that 160 Rupees only were outstanding and I have received 140 Rupees upon the Collection of that Amount, you will find that the charge in question is false -

3 The Sentence further Specifies that the account furnished to the Collectors Cutcherry under date 31st May 1841. bearing the Signature of the Curnum and myself shows a Collection of Fs. 1,301-8-6. on account of the Demand of Jummabundy Settlement of Rupees 1,468-0-6. inclusive of the Village charges of Rupees 136-8-0.) of which a sum of Rupees 1,141-10-0 was brought to account, leaving the remainder Rupees 159-14-6. unaccredited -

To this I beg to observe that as the Collector issued me an order under date 23rd May 1841. directing to submit him a Statement shewing the individual Collection and Balance of this Village, I have

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

I have accordingly obtained one from the Curnum under date 31st May, with his signature and forwarded to Hoozoor of the Collector after attesting myself thereon (with the date of dispatch the 2d June.) as has been usage, along with my arzee stating, that it appears by this account that the Village Moonsiff, Curnum, and Pettanadar, irregularly appropriated part of the collection under over disbursed Village Charges Viz. Rupees 159-14-6, I should be directed whether this sum might be collected from the Moonsiff, Curnum, and Pettanadar who Expended it. or proportionally from the Ryots, as requested by them (the Moonsiff &c.) therefore you will perceive that the Statement in question was prepared not in my office but by the Village Curnum, and that from my merely attesting it with the date of dispatch, it will not be just to Consider that I have myself made the individual Collection and not brought to account the amount of Village Charges

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Charges defrayed by the Village Moonsiff, Curnum, and Pettanadar.

The said Settlement shews that a Sum of Rupees 967-14-4. upto 20th May and Rupees 37-4-4 upto the 31st of that Month, in all Rupees 1005-2-4 were collected and Credited to the Circar account, and as the Circar allowed under Village Charges a sum of 138-8-4 it will appear by the Sentence itself that including the latter Sum, Rupees 1141-10-4 were brought to account, and with regard to the remainder Rupees 326-6-6. with reference to the Sumbundy Settlement, the same Statement clearly shows that Rupees 166-8-4 were outstanding against the ryots and 159-14-6. should be recovered from the Moonsiff, Curnum and Pettanadar, as they expended that Sum under extraordinary Village Charges, as the said over disbursed Village Charges were not defrayed from the amount of Collection in my profession, the Moonsiff, Curnum and Pettanadar. should be held answerable for the same.

bub

Fort St George 20th January 1842

but not myself, Consequently you will perceive it is not just to say that I have not credited in the accounts the Amount of the said Village Charges-

4 The Sentence further Specifies that I admitted the uncredited Collection of Rupees 159-11-6 but justified it on the grounds that some expences had been incurred by the Villagers themself beyond the amount of Village Charges admitted at the time of Summahbundy-

To this I beg to observe that it has been usage that Village Moonsiffs and Pettanadar (who enjoy Vasaties from Circar) should Collect Money from the Ryots according to the power vested in them, and dispatch it to the Tanah with Ersalnamah and that receipts should be passed in the name of Village Moonsiff, Curnum, and Pettanadar when such sum is received with Ersalnamah in the Tanah and Credited in the Circar Chittah. under this principle the Collection of this Village amounting to Rupees 1301-8-6.

Fort St George 20th January 1842

1301-8-6 was levied from the ryots not by myself but by the Moonsiff, Curnum and Pettanadar, who having collected it, and dispatched to my office only 1005-2-4. I have credited the same in the Circar Chittah, the rest of Rupees 296-6-6. were disbursed by the Moonsiff, Curnum, and Pettanadar under Village charges- when the Collector arrived there for Summahbundy Settlement, the Villagers presented him a Detailed Yabitah Shewing the Village Charges incurred to that time and those to be incurred afterwards, making an aggregate of Rupees 255-8-4 whereupon the Collector ordered that Rupees 136-8-4 only were allowed and the rest of Rupees 119 together with Rupees 20 on account of the Charges for a Ceremony called Sumprochanah for Pagoda God which were not Sanctioned under order of 3d March, making in all Rupees 139 will not be allowed by the Circar, but it should be borne by the Villagers themselves, but as that expence was defrayed by the Moonsiff, Curnum

and

and Pettanadar from the amount of Collection it must either be recovered for the completion of the Collection by the parties who expended it from the ryots, or paid from their own pockets. Consequently I stated these Circumstances to the Collector, but I did not admit having received the Rupees 159-14-6 not Credited in the account.

5 The Sentence further states that three witnesses were called for the defence, but their evidence merely proves that Rupees 197. were paid into my hands for which no receipt was given.

To this I beg to observe, that of the two parcels brought by Bommah Chendrapah, one contains 150 Rupees on account of Croostnumpollam Village and the other contains 47 Rupees on account of Sirnalapooram - in all Rupees 197, but the said Chendrapa did not give to my hand a parcel of Rupees 110-0-0. The three witnesses proved before the Collector that the two parcels brought by Chendrapah I have got opened and received the Money upon

upon being counted and shroffed by that Chendrapah himself, and I have on the 10 May credited in the Circar Chittah this sum of Rupees 197 under those two Villages and given the receipts while this is very clear by the depositions of my three witnesses as well as by the Circar Chittah of Tademullah Mootah for the Month of May 1841. you will perceive on the inspection of those depositions themselves, that the sentence does not specify these details but merely states that a sum of Rupees 197 was paid into my hands on account of the Collection but I have not given receipt for the same.

6 The sentence further specifies that setting aside the bribery question on the evidence directly supporting it, the fact that a sum of Rupees 159-14-6. is proved by my own accounts to have been collected from the Village and never brought to account, warrants the Collector to sentence that I should pay double the amount or Rupees 319-13-0 under Regulation 7 of 1822 Section 5 and

clause

Clause 1st. To this I beg to observe that your Board will perceive that as the Collector thought that I was not paid the bribe, he stated in the sentence that he set aside that question -

The amount sent to my Office on account of the Collection levied in the Village, by the Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar was entirely brought to the Circars account, but I did not withhold any - The said Rupees 189-11-0 was disbursed under extraordinary Village charges by the Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadars as stated in the foregoing para. but I have not received that sum, nor have I given any for charges from the amount of Collection received into my Office - when the Collector arrived there for Summabundy settlement, the Village Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar presented him a Zabitah shewing that Rupees 185-10-0 were disbursed for charges to that time from the amount of Collection and Rupees 69-14-0 will be required for expences

within

within the end of fusly, making in all Rupees 255-8-0. The Collector upon the inspection of this Zabitah directed that Rupees 102 of what was expended to that time and Rupees 17 of what will be afterwards expended, in all Rupees 119 should be reduced and borne by the Villagers themselves, but the Circar would not allow it, I now therefore beg leave to submit for your perusal a statement which corresponds with the Zabitahs presented in the Hoozoor of the Collector during the Summabundy settlement regarding the Village charges, shewing that the Villagers expended 185-10-0 under the Village charges to that time from the amount of Collection, that after deducting therefrom Rupees 83-10-0 allowed by the Circar, there remains 102 Rupees, also 20 Rupees on account of charges for the sum prochana Ceremony of the Pagoda God which was not allowed by the Circar under order of 3d March 1841 making in all Rupees 122, which the Collector knew that the Villagers

disbursed

disbursed from the amount of Collection upto the time of Summahbundy.

Besides the said Rupees 122 the Villagers expended also from the amount of Collection 17 Rupees under subsequent Village charges not allowed by the Circar and Rupees 20-14-6 out of Rupees 31--6 which the Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadars stated to have been disbursed under Village saudar- -ward consisting of the sundry expences from the commencement of fusly to 31st May 1841 as per chits passed on the Banion -- and the Batta incurred for servants of the Proprietor upto the time when the Estate reverted to Government, making a total of Rupees 159-14-6 which amount should in con- -sequence be recovered from the ryots in proportion or from the Village Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar who actually disbursed it -

But your Board will perceive it is not just to pretend that I have received it (when it was not

not received into my Office) and not credited in the Circars account but embezzled, to receive from me double the amount, and to dismiss me vainly from my situation and that this case is not consistent with the Regulation 9 of 1822 Section 5 and Clause 1.

The sentence further states that as the Villagers made their complaint within 2 Months, they are allowed under regulation 9 of 1822 section 12 to -- receive a remission in their Demand equal to the amount actually received from them, but not brought to their account and an adjustment to this effect is accordingly ordered to be made in the accounts to the extent of Rupees 159-14-6 -

I find I need not answer to this point but beg to state for the information of the Board the true fact that by the said very -- account alluded to by the Collector it will be very clear that no exaction was made from any ryot beyond the Jummahbundy Settlement, and that since it is the usage in this Country that whatever money -- which the Village Moonsiff Curnum and Pettana- -dar themselves expended under Village charges -- from the amount of Collection should be proportionally made

made good by the Ryots, the same course was not pursued in this case consequently your Board will find that the ryots who have not paid more than the Cist should not be allowed such permission -

As your Honorable Board will perceive all the foregoing Circumstances I beg leave to apprize briefly that the Summahbundy Settlement of this Village for fusly 1250 is Rupees 1468-6- of which Rupees 166-8-0 are outstanding against the Village as admitted by the ryots the rest of Rupees 1301-8-6 were collected by the Village Moonsiff, Curnum and Pettanadar according to the power vested in them but they sent to my Office Rupees 1141-10-0 caused it to be credited in the Chittah of the Circar and obtained receipts from me, the remaider Rupees 296-6-6 they have so expended under village charges, whereof Rupees 136-8 only the Collector allowed, directing the rest of Rupees 159-14-6 (as per accompanying statement) consisting partly (Viz^t Rupees 28-8-) of the repairs of Tanks &^ca and partly of the Batta of Moonsiff Curnum as well as sundry expences for Anchunahs &^ca should be borne by the Villagers themselves but

but not allowed by the Circar- This sum should be levied either from the Villagers in proportion or from the Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar who actually defrayed those expences, while the detail of the collection plainly appears in this manner it is trusted your charitable and Lawful Board will plainly perceive that the charge of my having received 140 Rupees from the amount of Collection is false, and that the collector has erroneously passed the sentence in question- Although I am poor yet in order to preserve my character after having honestly served the Circar for a period of 19 years I was obliged to pay the money according to the sentence of the Collector by contracting a loan- I therefore pray your Board will be pleased to take all these circumstances into full consideration and issue an order to return me with interest the amount of Rupees 319-13- received from me and to restore me to my employment- Please to Peruse Dated Pettapore 25th November 1841-

/ signed / Addunkey Nursingoma
Darogah-

Statement

933

Fort St. George

20th January 1842

Statement shewing the Amount expended from the Collection under Village charges for fusly 1250 by the Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar of Coyalagoodum a Hamlet under Parimpoody Village of Sademulla Mootah attached to Yernagooden Division—

No.	Items	As per Jabitah of Village charges presented by Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar to the Collector during the Jummabundy Settlement: Amount stated to have been expended up to 20th March 1841 from the Collector—	Amount stated to be expended within the fusly—	Total Village charges	Allowed by the Circar under Village charges in proportion of the Talook: allowed under Dº to meet Village charges defrayed up to 20th March 1841	the charges to be expended—	Total Amount allowed	Remaining to be borne by the Villagers & not to be allowed by the Circar: on account of what was expended up to 20th March 1841	Ditto of what is to be expended	Total Amount what was determined to be borne by Villagers
1	Pagoda God Shree Gopalaswamy—	27 4 "	16 12 "	44 "	27 4 "	12 12 "	40 " "	" "	4 " "	4 " "
2	Village Goddess—	" " "	4 " "	4 " "	" " "	4 " "	4 " "	" "	" "	" "
3	2 Village Nachawadies	28 " "	20 " "	48 " "	28 " "	20 " "	48 " "	" "	" "	" "
4	Mahassooldars	13 8 "	" "	13 8 "	13 8 "	" "	13 8 "	" "	" "	" "
5	Batta for Curnums and Capoos	30 " "	15 " "	45 " "	11 " "	14 9 "	25 9 "	19 " "	7 " "	19 7 "
6	Value of Papers &c	2 13 "	1 3 "	4 " "	2 13 "	1 3 "	4 " "	" "	" "	" "
7	Cloth for Dusters	" 3 "	" "	" 3 "	3 "	" "	" 3 "	" "	" "	" "
8	Batta for the Bearers of the Money Collected	" 14 "	" 6 "	" 14 "	14 "	6 "	1 4 "	" "	" "	" "
		102 10 "	57 5 "	159 15 "	83 10 "	52 14 "	136 8 "	19 " "	4 7 "	23 7 "
9	Repairs of Tanks, water Courses each work not exceeding 10 Rupees	28 8 "	" "	28 8 "				28 8 "		28 8 "
10	Anchanadars deputed for the inspection of produce	15 14 "	" "	15 14 "				15 14 "		15 14 "
11	Talabdars	16 10 "	4 6 "	21 " "				16 10 "	4 6 "	21 " "
12	Persons deputed for levying collection or Tahasildars	16 13 "	8 3 "	25 " "				16 13 "	8 3 "	25 " "
13	Charges during Dassara feast for Talooqoo Teachers in the Village	2 " "	" "	2 " "				2 " "	" "	2 " "
14	Presents for Peons &c	3 3 "	" "	3 3 "				3 3 "	" "	3 3 "
		185 10 "	69 14 "	225 8 "	83 10 "	52 14 "	136 8 "	102 " "	17 " "	119 " "
15	For Sumprochina Ceremony for Pagoda God Shree Gopalaswamy vide order of 3d March	20 " "	" "	20 " "				20 " "	" "	20 " "
16	Sawderward or Sundry charges on Chits passed over the Banion	" " "	20 14 6	20 14 6				" " "	20 14 6	20 14 6
		205 10 "	90 12 6	296 6 6	83 10 "	52 14 "	136 8 "	122 " "	37 14 6	159 14 6

Dated Pittapore 25th November 1841

/signed/ Addunby Nursinga Rowo
Darogah

Dated Pittapore 25th November 1841—

(True Translation)

(signed) G.A. Smith
Collr

No 2

To

The Board of Revenue

Fort St George

An Appeal Petition from Addunky Nursingarow Daroga of Yernagoodem Division in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Complaints - The Zemyty ryots of Saudemullah

1 Chintala Ramasawmy and

1 Seelum Soobanah

1 Chavacoola Soobanah

1 Maurellah Vencapa.

1 Bommeny Vencapah

1 Hakeem Saheb.

6 "

Defendants

1 Addunkey Nursingarow Darogah of Yernagooden Division -

2 Saudemulla Venceata Soobaroydoo Curnum of Saudemullah

3 Ditto Sanardanadoo Dº

4 Ditto Vencataroydoo Dº

5 Annadavara Soobiah Moonsiff — Dº

6 Guntasala Pallatoo Setanadar of — Dº

With regard to the charge preferred by the Complainants before the Collector the latter Dismissed me from my situation and passed a Sentence on the 31st August 1841 directing a sum of Rupees 200 to be paid by me - He (the Collector) received that amount from me and gave me a copy of

of the said Decision on the 5th October last and as I have thereby experienced much injustice I am induced to appeal against it to your Board under Regulation 7 of 1828 - Section 6. and beg leave to observe as follows -

1 The Complainants stated in their charge that the Village Moonsiff Curnum and Pettanadar expended under Village charges to the extent of 730 Rupees and that they upon being questioned why so much was spent, said that they have paid 200 Rupees to the Darogah - In the depositions given by these Complainants they only declared to have heard the 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants - say that a sum of Rupees 200 was paid to me but they did not see when it was paid to me - If your Board inspect those depositions you will find that the complainants charged the other Defendants but not me at all -

2 With respect to the proof alleged to have been given by Penagondah Jaggerilly, that a bribe was given to me it is to be observed that the evidence of

of a single witness is not valid, besides which it will be very clear by his accounts Vizt that the statements of this witness are not true for he the witness declared that a parcel containing 150 Rupees was given to me while in the cutcherry Hall of my lodging, but the 6th Defendant said to accompany him, / witness / — declared that it was given to me while in the room. The witness Jaggesetty declared that when the money was given to me there were present himself, and 4 of the Defendants in all 5 persons, but the 6th Defendant said to have been one of them who were present at that time declared, that there were present the witness Jaggesetty, himself, and 4 others in all 6 persons. It was not stated by any one that the parcel said to have been given by the witness was opened and the Money given, the witness declared that he debited in the account 150 Rupees in Cash and 50 Rupees in grain, but this will not appear by his accounts if inspected. This

witness

witness declares also that he had sold to me 4 Poottees of Paddy for 52 Rupees for which after deducting the said 50 Rupees I paid to him the other two Rupees but his account shews a credit of 52 Rupees as if I had given it in ready cash at once. As the Pratarack or pit containing 4 Poottees of Paddy which was purchased by me for 52 Rupees was placed in the charge of this witness, the pit is called pit in it was removed and a fresh one substituted for that by fixing the value of the Paddy at 50 Rupees only, so that it may correspond with the charge in question. Thus by inserting in the Label the Value of Paddy to be 50 Rupees instead of 52, your Board will perceive that the charge was fraudulently made by the combination of this witness with the other defendants and that there are discrepancies between the Statements, and the sums entered in the accounts by the witness, and the table put by him in the pit of the Paddy. The Sentence specifies that the charge of the bribe having been proved by the witness Panoganda Jaggesetty

Saggesetty and carraboarated by the 3d 4th 5th and 7th Defendants. To this I beg to observe that as the said Defendants are Village Monsiffs Curnums and Pettanadars and as they themselves collected the money in the Village & a part thereof appropriated for their own use, they should solely be held responsible if they admit having collected more Money from the complainants, but you will perceive that it is not just to take for evidence the statement of such Defendants if they plead unless prosecuted of having — " — " paid that money to some other person in order to get rid of the responsibility over them.

3. "The sentence also specifies that it appears that Rupees 4,718–6–9 were collected from Ryats in lieu of the Sums mahbundy Settlement of Rupees 4,541–9–6 including Tacavy of the Villages of which Rs 4168 including the Village charges of 300 Rs were brought to the Circar's accounts and the rest of 500 Rupees were not brought to accounts.

To this I beg to observe that out of Rupees 560 stated not to have been credited

credited in the Circar's account a sum of Rupees 120–3–6 being subsequently recovered as stated in the latter part of the sentence the remainder Extra Collection of Rupees 429–12–6 & 300 Rupees allowed by the Circar for Village charges making in all Rupees 729–12–6 were stated by the other defendants to have been disbursed under Village Charges, whereupon the complainants & Ryats chosen Punchayet in order to discover the truth, the Punchayetdars upon examining the sums disbursed passed a Decision that about 100 Rupees which were found not to have been actually disbursed, but embezzled should be paid by the 2nd 3rd and 4th Defendants who defrayed that expence, that of the other Rupees 629–12–6 the sum of 300 Rupees being allowed by the Circar, the remaining Rupees 329–12–6 which was over disbursed under Village charges should be recovered as follows. Rupees 84–14–" from Yeroyety Ryats and Rupees 244–14–6 from the advantage of the computed measurement of produce as well as from Yeroyety ryats who cultivated the

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

the evans consequently as the Villagers used part of the Circar Collection underaver disbursed Villages Charges, your Board will perceive that it is just to recover that amount accord-ing to the Punchayet Decision for the comple-tion of the Collection.

At the time of Samavabundy the other defendants having presented Exhibits to the Collector shewing Village Charges to the amount of 680 Rupees, the Collector then allow-ed 300 Rupees only directing the other 340 Rupees to be paid by the Villagers themselves By this only, your Board will perceive that the Collector knew that the Villagers over disbursed under Village Charges from the amount of Collection, but that sums should be proportionally recovered from the Villagers for the completion of the Collection.

While it appears just that with respect to the amounts of over disbursed Village Charges 100 Rupees thereof should be recovered from the 2d 3d and 4th Defendants (who have embez-zled it by debiting under false items) and

R1
329

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

329 — 12 — 0 from the ryots &c. according to the Punchayet decision, your Board will find that it is not right to pass a decision relieving the said parties from the payment of the amount and directing 200 Rupees to be recovered from me (who have no any interference with the Village Charges) upon the pretended representa-tion of the other defendants (who have defrayed those charges) that it was paid to me with a view of escaping themselves from discharg-ing the same.

The sentence further specifies &c that out of the Collection of Rupees 478-6-9 receipts were given only for Rupees 889-11-9.

For this I beg to observe that for the purpose of giving receipts to the ryots when they pay the Money, I have prepared a quantity of receipts in my Office, obtained thereon the seal of the Collector and gave them (immediately I received from the Collector's Kuzzyaur) to the Village Moonsiff and Curnums by often desiring them and Curnums by often desiring them both in writing and in person to give these receipts

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

receipts to the Ryots and insert thereon the sums of money as they paid, but notwithstanding my repeated desire, yet as they are not acquainted with the usage in consequence of the Estate being newly reverted to Government and as there arose disputes between the ryots and the Moonsiff Cummum and Pettamadars regarding the computed measurement of produce, and extraordinary Village Charges, the 2 3 & 4th Defendants (the Cummums) refused to give the sealed receipts to the ryots but kept them in their Dustors. consequently as they (the Cummums) admitted this circumstance before the Collector, and produced him those receipts, it is trusted your Board will perceive, I have sent, when I received from the Honorable the sealed receipts to the Cummums &c. for distributing them among the ryots and that the fault formerly giving the sealed receipts but other ones according to the former usage, is not mine but the Cummums.

With regard to the sums of money

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

money which the Village Moonsiff Cummums and Pettamadars collected from the ryots according to the power vested in them and which was sent to my Office with their Cobalnamahs (after which they have appropriated for the over disbursed Village Charges) I have given receipts for them as they were received into my Office without any objection.

5 The sentence further specifies that I have called three Witnesses to prove of my having paid for the Paddy but their evidence had broken down.

To this I beg to observe that it was clearly proved by the declarations of three Witnesses, that 60 Rupees were brought to me (while at Tandemullah) from my house at Vernagoodum and that I sent for the Shroff Saggesetty by the same person who brought me that money, and paid him 52 Rupees for the value of 4 Boattis of Paddy; for instance of this, it is also specified in the account of the Shroff with his own hand writing that I have paid 52 Rs. While it

it was thus, your Board will perceive that the Statement of the 2d Witness (who brought the parcel of money) that he had himself opened the parcel while other Witnesses declared that it was opened by me and that he (the 2d Witness) did not bring a letter with that parcel while the 3d Witness states it in the affirmative; cannot be considered as having broken down the evidence

The Sentence further specifies that it is clear that a sum of 550 Rupees was originally collected beyond the amount brought to account, of which Rupees 120-3-6 have since been arranged, leaving Rupees 429-12-6, whereof it was directed under Regulation IX of 1822 Section VII, that I should repay 200 Rupees and the other 5 Defendants Rupees 229-12-6 at Rupees 45-15-3½ each besides being dismissed from our situations.

To this I beg to observe that the Curnums &c.a having submitted Gabitab to the Punchayetdars for Village Charges amounting to Rupees 731-11-0 including the

the said Rupees 429-12-6, the Punchayetdars enquired into every item and passed a decision that a sum of 300 Rupees allowed by the Circar being deducted from the amount there remains 431-11-0 of which 100 Rupees which were found irregularly debited, should be made good by the Curnums who have actually defrayed the Village Charges and 331-11-0 should be proportionally recovered upon the computed Measurement of the produce. The detail of this will be known by the 3d Para of the decision of the said Punchayetdars. While it was thus, it is trusted your Board will perceive that it is not just to pass a decision to receive from me 200 Rupees of the overdisbursed Village Charges, without ordering any part of it to be recovered from the Complainants &c.a Ryots and to dismiss me vainly from my situation.

It is moreover very clear that the amount was not collected by myself but by the other Defendants, who appropriated

-ated it under Village Charges, consequently it is trusted that your Board will, on inspection of the Section VI Regulation IX of 1822 quoted in the sentence, find that the amount should not be recovered from me under that Section.-

7.- The Sentence further specifies that the Ryots having made their complaint within two Months, they are allowed under Regulation IX of 1822 Section XII to receive a remission to the extent of Rupees 335-14-" over collected from them.-

To this I beg leave to explain that it is determined by the investigation of Punchayet that the said amount is on account of the Village Charges, which were actually defrayed and it was ordered during the Summahbundy that any Village Charges (indispensably defrayed) exceeding what was allowed by the Circar should be borne by the Villagers themselves, as the Ryots proportionally paid the amount of those charges themselves as has been usage of the Country, but it was not illegally collected from

from them, they, the Ryots with reference to the Custom of the Country and the revenue principles chose Punchayet among themselves by presenting an Agreement in the name of the Collector binding themselves to submit to the decision of the Punchayet, and pay the money due to the Circar, and then with the exception of 100 Rupees which the 2d 3d & 4th Defendants embezzled by debiting under false items the other sum was paid to the Circar by the Ryots proportionally upon the computed measurements of produce with reference to Para 3 of the said Punchayet decision Consequently it is not just to give remission to the Ryots under the said Section.-

As I trust that in my foregoing replies to all the points specified in the sentence will meet the satisfaction of the Board I beg leave to state briefly the injustice I have experienced.- 1st that in the charge of the complainants they have not included me as Defendant, but some of the Defendants deposed to having heard that I was paid a bribe of Rupees

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Rupees 200 but they did not declare having seen when I was paid it, 2nd that there is only the Suggesty as Witness, but there is no evidence of other Witness, 3rd that the evidence of this Suggesty a single Witness, cannot be accepted in consequence of the contradiction between his Deposition and accounts- 4th that the Statement of some of the Defendants and that of the Witness in points of the place where it is said I was paid Money also appear contradictory, if the Defendants Statement is true, they should prosecute me and prove it, but this is clearly contrary to the Law to accept for evidence the accusation of one Defendant against another- 5th that the Summuch Sundy Demand of this Village is Rupees 454-9-5 which I have entirely collected with the exception of 100 Rupees which appears according to the decision of Suggesty, to have been embezzled by the 2nd 3rd and 4th Defendants, the Cummums from the collection of the Villagers under pretence of Village Charges, and Rupees 126 due against Madars, in all —

all

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.-

all Rupees 226, which I have reported, to the Huzzoor of the Collector will be levied on the attachment and sale of the property of the defaulters - It will also be very clear by the computed measurement of the produce and other instances, that I did not shew any advantage to the Villagers either in the Meriadahs or in other cases, besides this the complainants did not state that I had caused loss to the Sircar and when I thus afforded no advantage or assistance to the Ryots, why they should pay me 200 Rupees.-

It is further trusted that if your Board will peruse the above circumstances and the record of this case you will plainly perceive that at the instigation of the 2nd 3rd and 4th Defendants the cummums (whom I used to admonish for irregularities committed in the Village and who found no means of advantage during my employment) certain Villagers forming partly complainants and partly Defendants preferred a false charge against me through dislike

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.-

destitute and that the Collector has errone-
ously passed the said decision to my mere
misfortune I therefore humbly solicit that,
though, I am a poor yet as I am serving the
Circar with good character for a period of
19 years, and paid money under the decision
of the Collector, by contracting a loan, for
preserving my character your Honorable Board
will be pleased to take my request into its
full consideration, and pass an order for
returning me with interest the amount of
200 Rupees received from me and for restoring
me to my situation as I have been serving
the Circar for 19 years - Please to perusal -
Dated Pittapoor the 25th November 1841 -

(Signed) Addumky Nursingarow
Darogah. -

(True Translation)
(Signed) G. A. Smythe -
Collector -

(21-22)

Order thereon - Ordered to be brought forward
(Vide Proc. 4th February 1842) at a future Meeting. -

Read -

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.-

Read the following letter. -

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Guntoor - Relative to the remission of the assessment in the Palnaud Talook. -

Para 1. The correspondence noted in the Margin, has reference to the Assessment of the land in the Palnaud District. In my letter to the Board, I pointed out 1st that the productive powers of the land had decreased from the land not being allowed to remain fallow, or to be sown with rotation Crops for a long series of years, and 2dly that the prices of grain had greatly fallen. I expressed an unwillingness to touch the rates of assessment which had been in force for several years, but suggested that the Ryot should be allowed 25 per Cent of his farm free of assessment in each year, which would enable him to give rest to a portion of his land, and to make the best use he could of the whole farm. It

To the Board dated 28th April 1840. - Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st June 1840. -

(23)

was

was further suggested that this arrange-
ment should apply only to Ryots with
farms of 50 Acres and upwards, as the
Ryot should be to advance Ryots of sub-
stance and to raise up others to that
standard as the prosperity of a province
turned on the wealth of the Ryot. In reply
to the foregoing, the Board expressed an opin-
ion that the Assessment of the Palvaud
was supposed to be moderate that the prices
of grain, according to a table of prices shewn
in the Margin of Para 4 of their letter, did
not appear to have fallen, that the proper
mode of relief, if such is found to be necessary
from change of circumstances was by a reduc-
tion of the Assessment and that the pro-
position of confining relief on the mode I
had suggested, Viz. to Ryots with farms of
50 Acres and upwards was defective in as-
much as a large body of Ryots would not
participate in the relief. In bringing a-
gain subject again before the consideration

of the Board, it will be proper to advert,
1st to the History of the Palvaud Assessment
its formation and working, the opinion
of former Collectors regarding it, and the
defects which are apparent at the present
time, and secondly, to the remedial mea-
sures which circumstances call for.—

2. The Palvaud was first assumed
by the Officers of Government in Fusly
1211, The demand in that Fusly was Rupees
64,450-7-7, and the Collections Rupees 55,028-
15-1.— The Survey and Taram Assessment
of Mr. Oakes was made in Fusly 1230.
During the period intervening between the
date of assumption and the Taram Assess-
ment of Mr. Oakes, the Settlement was
made at first on the average produce one
half being taken on account of Government
at the current prices, this was followed by
two biennial leases, and then by a septen-
nial lease. The Septennial lease gave way
to the Taram Assessment of Mr. Oakes.
The Revenue rose rapidly, from —

From Mr. Oakes to the Board dated 20th March 1821. Paras 7-8.

Rupees

Rupees 64,450-7-7. the demand of Fusly 1211, and during the Septennial lease or period immediately preceding the introduction of the Taram Assessment, was Rupees 135117-9-6 that is about 2 times the amount demand of the year of assumption. The principal points to which the attention is to be drawn in this place are, 1st. the amount of Assessment, and 2ndly, the circumstance that much land which had previously been waste was brought under cultivation. The produce was good as the land had had rest, the Settlement was made at first on the produce, and one half was taken for the Government at the Current prices. The produce being abundant, and prices high and one half being taken as the Government share the Assessment must be considered high, though borne out by the produce and price, and Settlement principles at the time. The biennial and Septennial leases being framed with

	Current Demand	Current Collections
Fusly 1228	135117-9-6	154377-4-1

with reference to this Assessment, it is obvious that the demand of Government retained its high standard during the leases. It is important to bear this in mind, in considering the Taram Assessment of Mr. Oakes. The Taram Assessment of Mr. Oakes reduced to order what was before unsettled, but the principles which had regulated the demand previously still prevailed, and the high Assessment to which they led was embodied in the Taram Assessment of Fusly 1230.

3. The Revenue History of the Palnaud, as far as is necessary for the present object, has now been traced to its most important era or Fusly 1230. It is seen that a high Assessment prevailed founded on produce yielded on land the productive energies of which were at their highest from the land having had its rest, on high prices and on the maximum proportion, or 1/2 of the whole produce, which the

Government

Government could safely take. It was at this time that the fieldwar Assessment was fixed. Mr. Oakes' letter under date 20th March 1824, is the report on the formation of the fieldwar Assessment. Referring to that communication, it will be seen 1st that a high standard of produce was still generally retained although deductions were made in some Villages where the rates of produce were considered excessive. 2nd that from the method pursued in fixing the Assessment on each field, inequality of Assessment took place. 3rd that the soils in general of the Ryotwaud were not classed and an Assessment fixed on each, but that the aggregate demand upon each Village was apportioned over the different soils of that Village, thus, making separate Tarams or rates of Assessment for each Village and an infinite number of rates for the whole District. On each of these points, it will be proper

proper to make a few observations and first in regard to a high standard of produce having been retained in fixing the Assessment on each Taram. Taram accounts of the Village Tungada in the Tungada Purgunah, accompanied Mr. Oakes' report above-mentioned. The accounts, shewing the details on which the Assessment was fixed, does not appear to have been submitted at that time. Copy thereof is now forwarded. It will be seen on reference to that document that, the average demand on each field of the six years of the Septennial rent, and the average extent of land cultivated, formed the basis of the aggregate Taram demand. The average extent of land for the whole Village was Coochels 73-14-15-7/16 and the average Assessment was Rupees 2,568-9-11, but the Taram Assessment of

Cent-

Fasly

Fusly 1231 was Rupees 3,693-3-8 on Cbm. Candy 73-2-89-1/6-

Thus, the Tarum demand on this Village is higher than the rates during the Septen-nial lease. In looking over similar accounts for the other Villages of the Palmaud it is seen that similar results obtain, and where reduction has taken place, it is so trifling as not to affect the assertion already made, Viz.: that a high rate of produce was retained in fixing the Tarum Demand.

Thus, no diminution of Assessment on the whole took place, although it was consider-ed that the Tangada and Carempoody Pur-ganahs were previously too highly assessed.

Parah 11 of Mr. Cahel's Letter dated 10th March 1821.

The Tarum rates for the Village Tangada were Rupees 71 for the first sort, Rupees 65 for the second Sort, Rupees 50 for the third, &c.

Rupees

Rupees 62-4-0 for the fourth, and Rupees 34-8-0 for the fifth.—

4. The second and third points observable in the report on the Tarum Assessment above quoted are inequality and an infinite number of rates. Each Village having its own separate rates, render further observations in regard to the third point unnecessary. In respect to the second; it is sufficient to quote from Para 11 of the Report in question. "As it is well known that lands constantly cultivated became impoverished in those Villages, where the inhabitants had not waste. I have made greater sacrifices of Revenue than was ne-cessary in others where the inhabitants had it in their power to extend their fields, & where I found that constant arrears occurred, and where I discovered that though there were

were waste lands in a Village, yet from the inability of the Ryots they were not cultivated during the lease where, Talus, on found that any number of Ryots had not stock sufficient to continue the rent of their respective fields or where the Ryots had emigrated, I considered the best mode to relieve them would be to allow of a fresh classification of the soil." Here, every attention appears to have been paid to the condition of the Ryot, but, in making the Assessment on the soil to depend upon that, it is manifest that, inequality would follow. As a work, the Turum Assessment of Mr. Oakes, has been approved of by the Board and Government. It is so satisfactory and has been followed by such benefits both to the Government and to the Ryot during a period of 20 years, that the foregoing

foregoing observation appears uncalled for. It is now made only because the question of a revision of the Assessment is under consideration, and if defects are apparent in the former work, a general revision from change of circumstances affords an opportunity to correct these.-

5. It will be apparent to the Board from the foregoing observations, that a high standard of Assessment was retained when the Turum Assessment was fixed. The Assessment further when compared with that in the neighbouring Talooks of Bellumcondah and Innacondah, will not appear moderate. The average rate per Acre on all land cultivated in the Patnaud in Fusly 1250, was Rupees 1-4-9 $\frac{36}{100}$ the average for the same Fusly in Bellumcondah and Innacondah is 1-2-4 $\frac{76}{100}$.

Patnaud Land.	Full Assessment.	Average
Acres 73217-14	Rs 124194-8-8	1-4-9 $\frac{25}{100}$.

Bellumcondah and Cotchelo. A. P.	Full Assessment.	Average per Cotchelo.
2670-2-9	60028-9-8.	22-7-8 or
	per Acre	1-2-4 $\frac{76}{100}$.

Again

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Again, the highest rate of dry land Assessment in Palnaud is Rs. A. P. 3-7-9 per Acre or Rupees 103-15-3 20/100 Cotchel, but this rate obtains in two Villages only, Except in the Manchenlah Purgunah, the rates are Rs. A. P. 2-8-9, Rs. A. P. 2-11-5, Rs. A. P. 2-15-2 for first sort, or between Rupees 75-15-9 1/100 and 87-15-3 26/100 per Cotchel - These rates are considered high in Guntoor proper, except in particularly such soils as those near the Kristna - Thus absolutely and relatively without reference to prices, the Palnaud Assessment is high. -

6 But the most important point for consideration is the price. The tabular Statement entered in the Margin, to Para 4 of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th April 1840, - under reply, contains the prices for Fusslies 1242. 1243 and 1244, but, these must be excluded being famine rates, and it will -

then

P.G.B.

For St. George 20th January 1842 -

then be seen that a permanent depression pre-
vailed from Fusly 1237 again, the Statement
above referred to will not furnish data
in the present occasion, for, the prices till
March 1832 / Fusly 1241 / as therein exhibited are the average of

Guntoor Tanah
Mungalagherry do
Vangeporum do
Madoveem do

4 Tanahs in the Guntoor District, from 1832 the rates exhibited
is the average of all the Tanahs both Guntoor & Palnaud Tan-
-ther the Palnaud Tanah is the Gidda Jonnah
which is inferior to the Jonnah of
Guntoor proper Again, the Maunekya
of Paulnaud is 1 1/2 to 2 seer, and in
Guntoor the Maunekya varies from
Seer
1 1/2 to 2 Seers. The following
Statement has been prepared to shew
the prices of Jonnah Suzza and
Cottun from Fusly 1230 to Fusly
1250 -

The

Fort St George

20th January 1842

† The prime of the Cotton with seed is ½ of the sum entered in Col. 5.

No	Invoices	Pattamarrah-dee Sunnud per Candy	Surgya per Candy	†Cotton with-out seed per Candy	Total	Average
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
1	1230	40 " "	38 " "	80 " "	138 " "	52 10 8
2	1231	28 " "	24 " "	64 " "	116 " "	38 10 8
3	1232	30 " "	30 " "	56 " "	116 " "	38 10 8
4	1233	44 " "	42 " "	80 " "	136 " "	45 5 4
5	1234	40 " "	40 " "	44 " "	124 " "	41 5 4
6	1235	24 " "	24 " "	40 " "	88 " "	29 5 4
7	1236	20 " "	18 " "	36 " "	74 " "	24 10 8
8	1237	20 " "	18 " "	32 " "	70 " "	23 5 4
9	1238	24 " "	20 " "	32 " "	76 " "	25 5 4
10	1239	24 " "	22 " "	30 " "	76 " "	25 5 4
11	1240	24 " "	24 " "	40 " "	88 " "	29 5 4
12	1241	19 8 "	20 " "	40 " "	79 8 "	26 8 "
13	1242	60 " "	" " "	58 " "	138 " "	69 " "
14	1243	40 " "	40 " "	56 " "	136 " "	45 5 4
15	1244	27 " "	24 " "	46 " "	97 " "	32 5 4
16	1245	19 " "	17 " "	56 " "	92 " "	30 10 8
17	1246	21 " "	18 " "	52 " "	90 " "	30 " "
18	1247	21 " "	18 " "	48 " "	87 " "	29 " "
19	1248	32 " "	32 " "	42 " "	112 " "	37 5 4
20	1249	20 4 "	18 " "	40 " "	78 " "	26 " "
21	1250	10 12 "	18 " "	30 " "	66 12	22 4 "

Invoices

Fasles 1242 1243 and 1244

were years of calamity and famine when one

half of the population were either swept off

or left the Country and Fasly 1248 was a

year of famine in the Talooks of Nellore

Country the prices of these years form no

data on the present occasion setting these ca-

-sualties aside, it will be seen that prices have

undergone a permanent depression — Depression

first appears in Fasly 1235 the average for

the whole period from Fasly 1235 to Fasly

1250 excluding the years of famine above

Petty Zemindry Jonah mentioned is Rupees 21 3/16 or about one half

the price of Fasly 1230, the year in which

the Saram Assessment was formed

Again, the average 21 3/16 is for 12 years

in these the price ranged above this

average during 4 years and was below

it during 8 years, and six of these

years occur since Fasly 1241, so that

the

the average 21 3/16 would be too high for a

commutation price, the assess-

-ment based upon which is

expected to be permanent —

I would now advert to the

opinions of my predecessors in regard to the

necessity of reducing the assessment in the

Dated 29th Sept. 1828 Para 6. Palnaud — Mr Orr in reporting on the Settle-

-ment of Palnaud for Fasly 1237, observes "although

the total shows a deficiency of Rupees 9233 —

11 3 below the preceding year it will not

I hope, be considered great when the present prices

of grain are considered the difficulty of

finding a market for the produce at all,

was during the last season severely felt by

the Ryots generally and in some parts

was the occasion to them of much distress.

The present prices are 52 3 1 per Cent

below those on which the land assessments

was calculated, and should they not recover

in

* The Bd in their Proceedings dated 11th Nov. 1833 Para 17 (including park) say that the commutation prices should not be fixed with reference to the prices in the large towns but those at which the Ryots have actually disposed of their grain It is most important to bear this in mind — S.—

To the Bd: dated 26 April 1832 Para 31

in the course of the current season, should I fear be found necessary to grant some temporary reduction" In the year 1832 Mr Whish after an acquaintance with "the affairs of the Palnaud District during a residence of nearly 10 years," observes "the prices in this District were always very high and more so than in most parts of the District." The Mustah Assessments were formed in Fusly 1230 so as to leave upon a rough calculation about 50 per Cent to the Cultivato of the produce. Since Fusly 1235, the prices began to decrease and with little variation have remained at the same low rate. The fall of prices led, Mr Whish proceeds to observe, to a fall in the "annual Baize" and since the fall was first experienced "the decline in its amount had been rapid and the effect among the cultivating popu-lation has been very visibly to impoverish them

them particularly the wealthier Ryots, who, besides paying their own Rists with regularity, used to assist largely the power of their Villages — these same people have now much difficulty in discharging their own rents" — Again in Para 32 of the letter quoted in the margin above, Mr Whish observes "the time has come for looking to the assessment with a view to revision" and recommends that the opportunity be taken for the correction of the irregularities in the original Settlement —

In Para 47 of the letter from the Collector to the Board dated 26 December 1826 it is stated that of 6,652 Ryots with Cowles, 1,132 Ryots only could pay their Rists independant of the Cowries, that is, about 1/5 of the Ryots of the Palnaud were dependant on money assistance and in reality labored for the money lenders — this is a great evil, to be corrected by a moderate Assessment and a facility of obtaining

The number of Cowles for Fusly 1235 is 5,609 Cowles, 2206 Pycars 7 ries and 763 Semts Total 8578. Mr Whish's calculation appears to refer to the number of Ryots and not number of Cowles one Ryot holds 2 3/4 Cowles for land in different Villages —

obtaining loans. The average of the 10 highest Cowles, as stated in the letter just quoted, to be Rs 293 — a 12 — p 3 and the average of the whole 23 — 14 — 10. — The average of the 10 highest Cowles in July 1250 is Rupees 157 — " — 6 6/100 and the average of all Cowles is Rupees 14 — 11 — 3 63/100 that is a declension of about one half in 15 years.—

10 The market for the grain of the Palnaud is principally local consumption and Lumbaddy Immdahs passing through. The neighbouring Hydrabad Territory used to take away some of the produce, but the cultivation on the Hydrabad side is now much extended. The Palnaud Cotton is exported to Rajahmundry and Vizagapatam. Of the whole produce in the Palnaud 7/8 is grain and 1/8 Cotton. This proportion still obtains.

11 In regard to the relief called for under existing circumstances, the best remedy

Letter to the Bd dated 26th Decr 1826 Para 47

remedy is unquestionably that which is pointed out in the concluding Para of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 28th April 1840 Viz. "a careful revision of the survey assessment with a view to its reduction on fixed principles with reference to the productive powers of the soil, and the average prices of produce." I have endeavoured to place the subject before the Board so that their orders may be immediately passed. — If prices have really fallen, the question whether the remedy shall be applied is easily answered, and as the mode of relief has been pointed out, I would earnestly intreat that, with the Immah Sunds in January next the Collector be directed to adopt measures to give effect to the proposed revision. It is for the Board to decide whether the Government share of the produce is to be 1/3 as heretofore or 1/4. I should look upon the revision incomplete unless the latter is adopted. — The

advantage

advantage of fixing the Cistvar Share at 1/3 - will be acknowledged and no difficulty occurs on the ground that revenue will be given up. for the Palmanad consists of 2 Talooks only and the amount given up will not be very great. It is probable that what was given up will be more than made good by the occupation of Waste lands. Relinquishment of revenue - taxation has always led to increased revenue and consumption. - Unless the assessment is fixed at 1/3 it is vain to expect that the land will fetch a value in the market, or that the practice of growing Corn upon every inch of ground occupied will be abandoned - High Assessment compels the Ryot to grow Corn upon all his ground this is injurious in every way - it keeps down the condition of the Ryot as well as prices - The advice to farmers elsewhere is

7 Last Statute

is to grow as little Corn as possible, and to pay the rent out of other articles - The interest of the Ryot will regulate this if the assessment is light and if he is not prepared with a multiplicity of rules and rules regulating the assessment - If it is otherwise he will not be liable to turn his farm to much profit - The rearing of cattle would be probably very extensively followed if pasture lands could be held on reasonable terms - the Cattle of Guntoor are well adapted to the Plough and are much prized in other districts for this purpose. There is abundant land to be occupied in the Palnaud. The extent occupied in Fusly 1250 was 93374-12-0 Acres

+ exclusive of Jaroola and other Wells.

The waste fit for cultivation is

Acres 104,306 — C. 9 — V. 3¾ of which 37,317 — Acres C. 35 — V. ¾ is Pamdava Beedoo or Waste which has not been cultivated for — upwards

upwards of 20 years. With a light assess-
-ment the whole of this waste ought to
be ultimately occupied if the assessment is
heavy or approximates to what may be exacted
such, no extensive improvement can be
effected.—

12. All the farmers have fallen about
one half, the Assessment on provisions will
not fall to the same extent, as the lower
Taroms are not as expensive as the higher
and will not undergo much change. If
the former rate of 1/5 half of the produce
to Government be retained, it is sup-
-posed that the reduction in the assessment
will amount to a sum between 1/3 and 1/4
of the present aggregate amount but waste
land that will be taken up is a set off
against this some waste will be immedi-
-ately occupied as the Ryots have expressed
their desire to take up waste if they can
profitably

profitably do so. If the Government share
is fixed at 1/5, a proportionate diminution of
assessment will occur at first, but the light
assessment must lead to an extensive occupation
of land which will make good any revenue
that may be given up.—

13. An intelligent Tarom Moneen
and 2 Gomastahs will suffice for the proposed
provision. A heavy charge on this account
ought to be carefully avoided.—

14. In Extract of the proceed-
-ings of the Board under reply the Board
observe, that my advocacy of a reduction
of Assessment on the Dalvand is incon-
-sistent with the testimony I have borne
to the condition of this portion of the district
It will be remembered that my observations
have reference to the working of a fixed
assessment on the land, and not to the
amount

amount of such Assessment. "The principle of
renovation is inherent" Observed from "the Assessment
being fixed." The Assessment may be too high; it nevertheless
works well for the advantage of the Ryot and
Revenue compared with the Amany and rent-
ing systems. As regards the Paluand, the
Assessment was a maximum demand, but
easily realized with reference to the prices
and produce at the time. Had produce and
price continued the same the Assessment
would still be easily realized; the condition
of the Ryot would not perhaps have
undergone any material improvement because
the Assessment was a maximum demand;
but would not have been saleable, nor could
the Ryot have turned his land to any other use
besides that of growing grain. He would
be contented and happy, because he had
always been accustomed to a maximum
demand

demand but he would not be so contented
and happy, nor could the same general
prosperity exist as of the advantages
of a light Assessment had been
enjoyed.—

15. In regard to the Ryots
of the Paluand being ready to emigrate to
the Nizams Territory the only obstacle
to a general emigration from the Paluand
is the insecurity of person and pro-
perty in that Country. Notwithstanding
this, some have been drawn away, but
further emigration will be effectually checked
by a revision of the Assessment in their
own Villages.—

16. In order to shew the Loss
annually sustained by the Ryot of Paluand

Patwaud by excessive Assessment. the accom-
panying Statment marked A has been
prepared. It shews the amount of produce
in each year from fusly 1232 to fusly 1250
both inclusive. the share of the Ryot and
of the Government at the Current price
the amount actually paid by the Ryots
and their gain and loss. It will be seen
that during Fushes 1232, 1233, and 1234, the
Ryots enjoyed a profit, but that afterwards
the loss has been great in each year, except
during the 4 years of former Fushes 1242,
1243, 1244, and 1248 the peculiar character
of which years has been already adverted
in a former part of the present letter.
The accounts of produce are formed
from the estimates of the Tahsildars
and

and Cummums in each year. as these are seldom
required to be acted upon, it is not to be
supposed that they are accurate in detail
they are however sufficiently correct to exhibit
a general view. The result as exhibited
by this Statment coupled with the fact
that the average of the 10 highest Cowles
(noted in para 9 above) has fallen one half
in 15 years sufficiently proves that the
present Assessment has injured the Dis-
trict and that the complaints of the Ryots
are well founded. The circumstances now
brought to notice point out that the remedy
should be applied before the ryots late.

Gentlemen,
Col. Cotchery,
14th December 1841

I have &c.
Signed J. Goldingham
Collector
& Statmenth

Page 2

January 1842 — Fort St. George

A

Produce, Share of Ryot and of Government, the amount Palnaud District in each year from fasly 1232 to 1250—

	Government Share			Actual Amount paid by the Ryots on account of Current Fasly and Arrears			Gain derived by the Ryot			Loss sustained by the Ryot		
D	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
11	154953	8	10	145893	4	2	6060	4	8	"	"	"
5	232141	6	5	143801	5	8	88340	"	9	"	"	4
1	180852	14	"	145305	7	2	35547	6	10	"	"	"
1	114182	12	1	158129	9	11	"	"	"	43946	13	10
3	94603	3	3	153326	2	"	"	"	"	58722	14	9
2	98045	9	9	163121	"	1	"	"	"	57075	6	4
3	103807	7	3	144925	7	"	"	"	"	41124	"	4
1	105608	9	7	139612	7	7	"	"	"	34003	14	"
1	103346	2	1	127401	8	8	"	"	"	24055	6	7
1	103488	"	7	134270	12	"	"	"	"	31191	11	5
10	54152	2	11	143916	6	11	10235	12	"	"	"	"
5	129099	"	5	92794	4	6	36304	11	11	"	"	"
1	95681	"	7	90296	5	3	5384	11	4	"	"	"
	100723	10	7	105032	10	11	"	"	"	4309	"	4
	89074	4	10	105677	7	8	"	"	"	16603	2	10
1	114022	15	3	122571	9	6	"	"	"	8548	10	3
4	106776	13	4	99631	3	8	7145	9	8	"	"	"
7	93131	11	7	110727	6	5	"	"	"	17595	10	10
2	95685	3	2	118434	11	2	"	"	"	22779	8	"
5	2165940	8	6	2336878	2	10	189018	9	2	359956	3	6
				Deduct the Amount of Gain						189018	9	2
				Net Loss						170937	10	4
				Average on 19 years						8996	11	6
Average on 12 years being the period in which the Ryots sustained Loss										29996	5	7½

Signed J. Goldingham Sd Sr

Ordered.

980

Order thereon—

(23-24)

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Ordered to lie over—

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Subcollr. in charge of Nellore } Sir,

(25)

1. I have the honor to report the death on the 16th October last of Abdul Raheem Yeomiahdar in receipt of an allowance of 7 Rupees per Mensem from the Treasury under my charge.

2. The Yeomiah in question was originally granted by the Nabob Nallajah in 1207 Hegery for teaching boys to read the Koran at Ongole to one Haufeez Mahomed Musoor. On the death of this individual the then Collector of the District recommended that the Yeomiah should be continued in the name of the deceased adopted son Abdul Raheem Government sanctioned the arrangement under date the 14th April 1829 since which time Abdul Raheem has been performing the duties of the Office.

24th March 1829.

The Yeomiah is now claimed by the father of the last holder Abdul Cawder Ureef Cademeya, he

Fort St. George 20th. January 1842

he is 70 years of age but still capable of performing the duties for which the yeomiah was granted, and has actually performed them during the sickness and prior to the death of his son Abdul Raheem - has left a young widow who, with the present claimant and his wife are entirely dependant on the Yeomiah for means of support - Under the circumstances of the case, I am induced to recommend that a reduced Yeomiah of 5 Rupees per Mensem may be granted to the present claimant, Abdul Cauder or Cadomeya from the date of the decease of the last incumbent, on the understanding that the duties of the Office continue to be properly performed -

Nellore Printd. - - -
Collrs. Cutcherry
30th. December 1841

Signed A. Mathison
Sub Collr. in Charge

Resolution thereon. - Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin

(25-26)

To Govt. 30th. March 1839
From Dr. 14th. inclu. 20th. April — a

As.

Fort St. George 20th. January 1842

As the Yeomiah enjoyed by the late Abdul Raheem was originally granted for the performance of a certain service, the Board see no reason why it should be reduced, so long as the service is properly performed by his successor; and they accordingly recommend that the original allowance of 7 Rupees per Mensem, may be continued in the name of Abdul Cawder, Utree of Cadomeya, the father of the last incumbent - who is represented to be qualified to perform the service required - Viz. that of teaching the Koran having in fact actually performed it for some time past, during the illness of his son. The continuance of this Yeomiah is recommended from the 16th. October last, the date of Abdul Raheem's death -

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 25th. September and entered in Consultation 14th. October last -

(27)

Proceedings thereon - 1. It has been customary in the Bellary District for the inhabitants

Proceedings Communicating to the Collector of Bellary

to

Bellary instructions in reference to the measures to be taken for the withdrawal of all further interference on the part of Government or its Officers in the Management of the Pagodas of this District.

to dispose of questions affecting the rights of individuals, or of the Community, by means of a "Sabah," or assembly composed, it is understood, of any number of persons, and of all Castes. It does not appear to the Board to be advisable to deviate from the local usages in this respect, by limiting the number of such assembly, as proposed by Mr. Meller, to five persons under a president to be nominated for life, or during pleasure, for the sole and express purpose of selecting a Trustee for the Management of Pagodas.

2. The question of eligibility for such trust, might, the Board think, be safely left to the usual assembly of Inhabitants in those places in which the temples are situated, and when this assembly have fixed upon a fit person, or persons duly qualified to assume charge of the affairs of a Pagoda, the trust should be made over without reservation to him, or them, as the case may be, and all farther interference on the part

In Cons. 5th Augt. 1841. Para 3.

part of Government and its Officers should be entirely withdrawn. There will be the less difficulty in making this transfer because as stated by the Collector in his letter of the 29th July last. "there are already several Hindoo Pagodas and Mahomedan Mosques &c which enjoy Enam Lands and Money allowance in the Bellary District which either from established usage or right, are under the exclusive Management of private Individuals." The Accounts of these religious Establishments, should be kept open for the inspection of those persons legitimately interested in their correctness, and the written application of any two or more respectable householders or Proprietors of land may be declared to be a sufficient warrant for a requisition to examine those Accounts, but no communication whatever with the Collector, or with any Public Officer of Government respecting the trust, when once made over to the Party or Parties selected to hold it, should be permitted.

The

3- The "Sabah" or assembly having made their selection, no reference to any other body will be necessary- Their selec must be final- Nor does it appear to t Board to be consistent with the feelings of the inhabitants generally, that their proceedings should be made responsible

From the Govt. to "Umpires" composed of "such Native 25th September inclosure 14th Octr. 1841- Gentlemen as are permanently settled

Para 2- in the town of Bellary- such interfer- =ence is altogether inexpedient and is calculated to create collision in matte which in the proposed arrangements it is of the greatest importance to avoid-

4- But it occurs to the Board that it may not be difficult to delegate the sup- =intendance of the three principal Pagodas the Bellary District to persons of Rank a influence in the Country without the inter- =ence of any assembly-

5- The superintendance of the great Pagoda at Bumpi might, the Board think

think, be entrusted to the Chief of the an- =cient family of Annacoondy to whom it should be a matter of great personal con- =sideration and whose ancestry have no doubt contributed largely to its support. A similar arrangement might also be made at Raidroog with the hereditary Poligar of that Place- The Collector will be pleased to ascertain if these Chiefs are willing to undertake the management of the temples in question, and at the same time he will endeavour to find a some Party of high character in the coun- =try who would undertake the charge of the Bhobala sawmy Pagoda at Penvabalem- the superintendance of these institutions would be vested hereditarily in the families of those who now consent to undertake it- and in the event of the heritage failing recourse might be had to the usual Sabah or assembly-

6- The Board disapprove of Mr. Mellor's pro- =position to allow the Tundy Ornaments, ap- =parel &c. belonging to a Pagoda to remain

Para 6.

in

Fort St. George 20th January 184

in the Salook Cutcherry - and they desire that the practice of keeping such property in cases where Government have no concern whatever with the Pagoda to which it appertains may be immediately discontinued - the withdrawal of all interference whatever on the part of the public Officers of Government must be final and complete -

Para 7. 7 - The payment of a Commission of 5 per % on the total income of each Pagoda, and the honors to be paid to the parties to whom the management may be delegated, form no part of the arrangements under contemplation -

8. The Board approve generally of the form of trust deed, and Counterpart submitted by the Collector which is suggested should be executed by the party or parties to whom the Superintendance of a Pagoda may be committed some alteration will be requisite in the wording of the clause regarding the production of accounts, with reference to the observations contained in the 2d Para of these Proceedings, the Collector will accordingly in his reply furnish an amended Copy for submission to Government -

9 - The Board trust that the Collector will take immediate steps to carry out the intentions of the Board agreeably to the foregoing suggestions and that he will submit the result with as little delay as possible, to enable the Board to comply with the urgent call which they have lately received from Government for the early transmission of the reports on Native religious institutions which remain to be considered -

Read

A. G. B.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir

28

From the Collr of Chingleput - Reporting on the circumstances connected with the Condegay of Ramapoorum and suggesting that the Cowle be continued as long as the Proprietor agrees to pay the stipulated Peishcush

Para 1. I have the honor to report for the consideration of the Board of Revenue the following circumstances connected with a Condegah called Ramapoorum or Ramanoojpoorum situated in the bed of the Streepermatoor Tank in reference to a complaint preferred by the Proprietor Goondoosah a Silk weaver. -

2. In Fusly 1223 Amoortacovy Anuntacharry the original Mootadar of Streeperamatoor executed a Permanent Cowle in favor of one Ramasawmy Sah of Conjeveram and his heirs for the Condegal of Ramapoorum then supposed to contain 118 3/4 Cawnies and covered with a dense Jungle on condition that he should collect Ryots redeem and cultivate the lands and construct the requisite means of irrigation subject to the payments specified in the margin, the maximum of which being 7 Pagodas per annum, was to be paid annually subsequent to

Vide Enclosure A 27th January 1841

	Pagh
Fusly 1223	1/3
" 1224	1 1/2
" 1225	2/3
" 1226	2
" 1227	5
" 1228	6
" 1229	7 or
1824	8

to Fusly 1249.—

3 In conformity with the above Cowel Ramasawmy Sah having constructed a small Tongul redeemed and cultivated 12 Cawnies of wet and dry land but the Tongul having burnt even that extent of cultivation decreased, upon which Singara charry the successor to the original zemindar objected to continue the Cowle Goondoosah the Petitioner who is the son of the oreginal grantee then preferred a complaint to Mr. Cotton on the subject who furnished him with a Sakeed confirming the original grant.—

4 In Fusly 1242 Goondoosah sub rented the land on a ten years' lease to one Appoolketty a Shop keeper at the Putnoolkar's Choultry, at the annual rent of 35 Rupees In the following year the Streepermadoor Moota having reverted to Government the under renter paid to the Circar on behalf of the holder of the Cowle the highest †rent fixed by the zemindar though he cultivated less than 2 cawnies of Nunjey and a little more than 6 cawnies of Punjey land

24-8-

A.D. 1836 land— In 1246 Goondoosah requested Mr. Maclean's permission to transfer the Coondegah lands to one Tanoosah, his relation and one of the founders of the Choultry but he declined complying with

9th March 1836 the request issuing †order to the Manemungalum Taksildar to continue the Cowle only until the introduction of the Ryotwar settlement which having taken place in 1248 a puttah was then issued in the name of Goondoosah for the quantity of land cultivated by his under Renter— No portion of the Coondegah lands have been — cultivated either by Goondoosah or his under Renter since Fusly 1249—

5 The area of the Coondegah was found during the survey measurement to comprize 119-11 3/8 and the assessment — fixed amounts to Rupees 259- as per 14-10 the portion of the land under cultivation as above stated is 8-5 7/8 whilst

Particular	Nunjey Extent of land		Nunjey Beriz		Punjey Extent of land		Punjey Beriz		Total Extent of land		Total Beriz	
	Cs.	as	Cs.	as	Cs.	as	Cs.	as	Cs.	as	Cs.	as
Sheyal	8	5 7/8	9	6 5/8	"	"	"	"	8	5 7/8	9	6 8/8
Saikh	6	5 1/8	7	1 3/4	18	11 3/4	8	3 1/8	25	7/8	15	4 7/8
Inoudy	19	5 1/4	21	11 7/8	63	8 7/8	27	12 7/8	82	11 1/8	49	8 3/4
	34	1/4	38	4 1/4	82	4 5/8	36	"	116	4 7/8	74	4 1/4
Gramanuttum	"	"	"	"	"	1/4	"	"	"	1/4	"	"
Poumbake	1	4	"	"	2	2 1/4	"	"	3	6 1/4	"	"
Total	35	4 1/4	38	4 1/4	84	7 1/4	36	"	119 Rupees	11 3/8	74 259	4 1/4 or 14-10

whilst the arable waste extends to 25-7/8 as thus making together 33-6 3/4 with the exception of which the whole of the lands included in the Condegah have remained in their original state up to the present period.

The Petitioner urges that according to the Cowle issued to him, he established a Tangle and by means of Ryots whom he collected cleared the Jungle and brought the land under cultivation at an expense of about 2000 Rupees and that when the Mootahdar of Streepermadoor objected to the continuance of the Cowle in 1831 he complained of it to the then Collector Mr. Cotton who furnished him with a Sanad confirming the grant made by the Zemindar under the authority of section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 and therefore that the Cowle must still be considered binding.

There is no reason to believe that the petitioner or his ancestors were expended more than a trifling sum in forming and

and repairing the Tangle &c. which has been allowed to remain unrepaired since it was breached but as the Cowle appears to have been a bonafide one, it seems to me advisable that it should be continued as long as the Petitioner agrees to pay the then stipulated sum, the loss arising from his not having brought the lands into cultivation being his own

Chingleput District,
Coll.r's Cutcherry, Pullicarnay,
3d January 1842-

Signed A. Freese
Collector

A

Translation of a Permanent lease Puttah granted by Amoortacave Aruntachurry Zemindar of Streepermadoor to Conjeveram Ramasawmysah Dated 16th Tye in the Year Sreemoogah corresponding with 27th January 1814-

Ramapoorum a hamlet subject to the Cusbah Village of my Mootta and situated close to the bed of the Streepermadoor Tank being uncultivated and consequently

consequently over run by Jungles, I hereby grant you this Cowle in perpetuity for the same, in conformity with Section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 to be held and enjoyed by yourself and your heirs with the Meraffy right thereof subject to the terms herein aftermentioned, the area of the land being as follows One tract of land comprizing 17½ Cawnies and bounded by the southern bank of Casaven Madoogoo on the North end, and the high grond called Poolloomaidoo on the bed of the Tank on the west, and extending to the bank of the Jangle another tract containing 101 Cawnies from the Nuttum of the Village and bounded on the North by a banian tree east by the Palmira tope belonging to Nailpatty south by the Road leading to Vayloor and west by the limits of Pondicherry - You are to clear the Jungle and redeem the said wastes amounting to 118¾ Cawnies and construct a Jangle for their irrigation you are also to get people to settle on it and make it prosperous cultivating them with Nunjey Punjey or other produce

produce - you are to pay according to the instalments fixed by the Circar the undermentioned Teerwahs including the Conogoe, Cavil and Pagoda Merahs, the Teerwa of Garden lands and trees, as also mines, minerals &c., namely ½ Pagoda for the 1st year Streemoogah Bavah 1½ Pagodas Yoova 3½ Pagodas Dautoo 4 Pagodas - Iswera 5 Pagodas Bahoodaneah 6 Pagodas and Pramadie 7 Pagodas, and which last sum shall be the highest Rent payable by you permanently afterwards - In default of which such balance as may fall due together with the interest thereon at the rate of 12 ¼ Cent ¼ Annum including also the sibbundy charges shall be recovered by attachment and the sale of the produce of the above hamlet should the proceeds be insufficient to cover the balance your personal properties will be also liable to be sold according to the Regulations no plea whatever can be urged by you for remission of the Rent whether in times of scarcity or over abundance

abundance of the rain the risk being entirely y
you are to adjust Marah Maniem, Swatuntrum
Ppes due to Curnum Sookry, Goldsmith and ot
Village servants on the production of my summ
Thus I have executed this Permanent Puttah.

/Signed/ A Anuntacary
Zemindar

/True Translation/

/Signed/ A Freese
Collector

Proceedings thereon

28-29

Under the circumstances set forth in the foregoing letter the Board conceive that the Cowle under which the land in question is held must be considered binding, and that it should be continued so long as the Petitioner Gondoosah the son of the original grantee continues to pay the amou therein stipulated.—

To

Read the following letter

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Sir,

No 1816 of 1841 herewith returned

With reference to the Petition noted in the margin I have the honor to—

annex

annex copy of an Endorsement made on a petition No. 1519 of 1840.

Trichinopoly,
Collector's Office,
31st December 1841

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

Petition No. 1519 of 1840.—

The complaint of the Petitioner is of very old standing. She alleges that a spot of ground which Chellapah Moodliar the suspended Nail Sheristadar of Trichinopoly procured from the Meerasedars of the Village in which it is situated is the property of her family of whom she is now the representative having been granted to her ancestors for the purpose of a burial ground she states that the deed of gift was once in her possession but that it was stolen from her and in the absence of any documents she alludes to the circumstance of there being Mahomedans tombs on the ground as a proof of the truth of her statement.—

It does seem that the land was once appropriated as a Mahomedan place of a burial but for many years it

has

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

has been disused and the Villagers who
first granted it for that purpose have
considered it as their common property
however much therefore it is to be regretted
that a person in Chellapah Moodliars
situation should have appropriated to
himself land about which there is any dis-
pute it does not seem to the Collector that
the Petitioner has the means of establishing
her claim and that if she has she should
do so by a Civil action.——

If the Petitioner is dissatis-
fied with this decision she is at liberty
to submit it for the orders of the Board of
Revenue.——

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
26th November 1840

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Resolution thereon.— Under the circumstances
represented by the Collector of Trichinopoly in
the Endorsement upon Petition No. 1519 of
1840 copy of which is annexed to the foregoing
letter the Board are of opinion that the Collector
has acted properly in referring the Petitioner
to the Court for a settlement of her claims

30-31

To

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir

31

I nayet Bee one of the sharers
in the Yeomiah held under a Certificate of
which the accompanying is a copy and entered
in Mr. Travers's register having died on the
1st of October last her daughter Hyat Bee
has presented a Petition requesting that her
mother's share of the pension, viz. Rupees
3/4 of a Gasparly fanam a day or Rupees
2-10- per mensem may be continued to her—

Hyat Bee is about 30 years
old, is a widow and has no family, and
is represented to be in great poverty
under these circumstances I beg to sub-
mit her claim to the favorable consi-
deration of the Board.——

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office,
3rd January 1842.

/ Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collector

Mahomed

999. Fort St. George 20th January 1812.

A

"Mahomed Jaffer" Yeomiadar of Trichinopaly No. 146 in the Cutcherry having produced at this Office a Purwanah granted in the name of Laul Beebee Dec.a by the Nabob Walajah dated 7th Mohorum 1193 Hyeeree, for the daily allowance of 4 G.s Fanams for 12 months in the year, the Government of Fort St. George in behalf of the English Company does hereby Continue the same in Charity to Jaffer Mahomed Dec.a on Condition of their attending the Tomb of the Nabob's Begum and appearing themselves at the Cutcherry to receive its their allowance.——

Description of Mahomed Jaffer, Height 5 feet 2 Inches Brown Complexion Eyes brown two warts on his forehead one on his right Cheek and 50 years of age.

Amount of allowance yearly (48) forty Eight Pagodas.——

Any person or persons attempting to deceive Government by passing themselves for Mahomed Jaffer and the four other persons particularized hereafter

1000. Fort St. George 20th January 1812.

hereafter will be severally punished.——

Memorandum of the family of Laul Beebee Mother to Mahomed Jaffer——

Dead — Mahomed Jaffer son of Laul Beebee residing at Trichinopoly age 50 years 1 G.s Fan.s per day.——

Dead — Goolam Hyder Grand son to Do. Do. 44 Do. 3/4 Do.

Dead — Aboo Thalut — Do. — Do. — Do. 40 Do. 3/4 Do.

Dead — Nuneebee Grand daughter Do. Do. 35 Do. 3/4 Do.

Dead 1st October 1841 — Inayet Bee Do. ——— Do. Do. 30 Do. 3/4 Do.

Collector's Cutcherry ...
Trichinopoly 1st January 1812

/Signed/ G. F. Travers
Collector

Extract

1001.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

N.S Extract from Mr. Travers's Register of Service Yeomiahs in the District of Trichinopoly

Original Number	Present Number	Names of the Original Yeomiadar	Names of Persons actually Enjoying the Yeomiah and the Degree of Relationship to the Original Yeomiadars	By what Authority granted	Nature and Date of Dustavage or Authority	Amount of Pension Wr. Month	Amount of Pension Wr. Annum	Remarks
						St. Pgs. Fs. Cs.	St. Pgs. Fs. Cs.	
146	6	Laul Beebeeamy 5 others Persons	Mahomed Jaffer son to Laul Beebee	Wallajah Carpoor ... 8th March 1813	Purwanah 1193 Moharum	4 " "	48 " "	No Document Rajaram reported the Service of the late Nabob's Begum but he is to perform the duty of it.

/Signed/ G. H. Travers

Collector

The

Fort St. George 20th January 1841

Resolution thereon,

(32-33)

The yeomiahs alluded to in the foregoing letter having been originally granted for service, the Board authorize the continuance of the portion enjoyed by the late Inayet Bee in the name of her Daughter Hyet Bee, as recommended by the Collector.

Read

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Madura

(34)

Sir

Para 1. In reference to my letter of the 19th November and the Board's Proceedings thereon of the 9th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you the Polligars of Nellaicotay and Madoor gave an entire surrender of their Estates on condition of receiving 10 per Cent of the net profit under dates the 24th and 25th March 1819; as have also done the Polligars of Yanookalapooram Tavasamuddy Pullapanaiknoor and Chokumpatty under date the 23rd of last September.

2. The Polligars of Ammanaiturah on the 1st October, of Cosmarawaddy, Shegarnalai and Veerannalai on the 30th September. - Of Maroongapoory on the 21st June; - of Valayaputty on the 14th June and of Oodapanaiknoor on the 22d June decline to make a full surrender of their estates in consideration of receiving 10 per Cent on the net

revenue

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

revenue but are willing to receive the commutation till they are enabled to pay off the arrears with interest.-

3. Under date the 3rd October the Shadienetay Polligar agrees to the full surrender of his Estate on the above conditions but intreats an increase by reason of its insufficiency for his maintenance.-

4. Under date the 27th September the Vadecarrai Polligar begs for the restoration of his Estate on payment of 2,500 Rupees per annum in liquidation of the Original balance & 500 rupees per annum till the accumulated interest is paid off.-

And the Combay Polligar, on the 28th September, makes a similar request offering, however, to pay only 2,000 Rupees per annum in liquidation of the original balance & 500 Rupees per annum till the entire liquidation of the accumulated interest.-

5. Accompanying is a Statement shewing

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

shewing the year of sequestration - annual peishcush - arrears when sequestered - subsequent average net revenue inclusive of subsistence money to the Polligars - and average of allowance paid to each.-

I have not attempted to shew the interest accruing on the Original balance because of the length of time it would keep my Establishment from their more important Current business.-

6. I beg to offer my recommendation that the Consent of the Polligars surrendering their Estates for the equivalent without right to redemand them be formally accepted and that the right of redemption on payment of original balance and interest be extended to the objections to the close of fusly 1252 - which under all ordinary circumstances of the orders of Government will afford them a twelve months notice.-

Madura -
Tondy -
1st January 1842.

[Signed] J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Statement.-

1006.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Statement of Pollums under sequestration shewing the subsequent average settlement

No.	Names of Pollams	Fusly year in which the Pollam was sequestrated	annual Peshcush			Arrears previous to the sequestration			Average Jeth Revenue inclusive 10 per cent from the time of consolidation with Nim up to Fusly 1250			Average annual allowance in per Centage		
			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
	Madura													
1	Valayapully	1226	14.849	8	10	15.819	14	4	13.667	3	4	1.366	11	6
2	Vodapanaickoor Dindigul	1230	2583	16	4	1.583	.	8	2.640	8	10	264	.	10
3	Madoor	1225	8940	3	5	17.023	15	10	7.653	4	7	765	5	3
4	Yamakalapooram	Do	3437	1	5	7.024	2	6	2.101	6	.	210	2	2
5	Tavaseenuddoy	Do	1.650	14	5	3.953	2	7	682	14	1	68	4	7
6	Pullapanaickoor	1230	3311	.	.	7.456	12	11	1.600	3	.	160	.	4
7	Shookaamputty	1232	5423	.	7	930	4	7	5.634	7	6	563	7	2
8	Vadacarrai	1299	8968	12	10	6864	2	4	10.081	.	3	1.008	1	7
9	Combay	1227	6.506	11	9	19.703	6	9	5.704	14	9	570	7	11
10	Nellacotay	1224	24478	5	11	53.433	2	9	19.424	11	10	1.442	7	7
11	Shoddencotay	1226	2.656	4	6	729	9	5	2.025	12	2	202	9	3
12	Amanaterrah	Do	4037	.	.	5.151	6	6	3.218	7	9	321	13	7
13	Maroongapoory	Do	20576	10	9	20917	1	7	23939	15	11	2.394	.	.
14	Comaravady	1225	17762	11	4	7.804	10	2	18.639	12	11	1.863	15	8
15	Thogamalay	Do	2.633	15	.	2.112	6	4	2.479	.	9	247	14	8
16	Veeramalay	1226	15.107	5	6	12.519	5	3	15.182	3	.	1.518	3	6

Madura Cutchery, 1st January 1842

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pt Collector

Ordered

(34-35)

Order thereon.

(Read again in Cons. 27th January 1842)

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Madura ... }

(36)

Perceiving from the Extract of the General letter of the 2d July last forwarded with the Board's proceedings of the 29th Novr. last and received by me on the 26th December that the Court of Directors consider the loss of the Sayer revenue in fusly 1247 to have been aggravated by an injudicious curtailment of the Sayer Establishment, I have the honr. to submit a proposed increase to extent of Rupees 175 per mensem.

2. I am sorry to find from the same Extract that the Directors attribute this injudicious curtailment to my mis-apprehension of the Board's Orders. I cannot take the blame to myself, but perceiving the Board had represented my conduct in this head to Government in a light altogether at variance with my

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

my intentions and I think with my words, and actions, I took pains to put it in the view in which I took upon it myself in my report

25th August 1841 of the Extra Revenue for fusly 1250. The Board forwarded that report to Government but as they passed it over without notice in the Minutes of Consultation of the 19th Novem. last I am in doubt if they think I have been originally in error and then obstinately maintaining the wrongful cause.

Madura,
Thirovaingumput
3d January 1842

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Princl Collector

Proposed

1069.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.-

A

Proposed addition to the Sayer Establishment in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul consequent an Extract from a General letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 2nd July 1841.

Proposed Choultries and Mettoos.			Sponsors			Persons			Total		
			No. of Persons	Monthly pay		No. of Persons	Monthly pay		No. of Persons	Monthly pay	
				Rs	a p		Rs	a p		Rs	a
Madura	1	Palamadoo	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Theroomungalum	2	Madaray	1	5	4 3		5	4 4		10	8
	3	Soondarapandiom	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
	4	Mallankinor	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
	5	Vantipoolenaikanoor	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Nattum	6	Coosecoorchy	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Ramanad	7	Davisatam	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
	8	Gunguda	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Tinnevelly	10	Colalang	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Theroovadanay	11	Sotapatam	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Shevagunga	12	Singampoonary	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
	13	Thiruppoovanum	1	5	4 3		5	4 4		10	8
			13	65	4 28	49		41		117	4
Dindigul	14	Ammianaikanoor	1	5	4 5		3	4 4		10	8
Puttalagonta	15	Coonwady	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
	16	Audipatty	1	5	4 3		5	4 4		10	8
Peraculum	17	Bodenaickanoor	1	5	4 3		5	4 4		10	8
Cumbum	18	Sullanadee	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
Chintamany putty	19	Goochilienpara	1	5	4 2		3	8 3		8	12
			6	31	8 15	26		4 21		54	12
		Total	19	99	12 43	75		4 62		175	-

Madura, - - -
Theroovaigamputt
3d January 1842.

Signed J. Blackburne
Pt Collector

The

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Proceedings (36-37)

thereon. The Board having alread
in their Proceedings on the
Extra Revenue Settlement in Trad
for Fusly 1250, which were submitte
to Government on the* 28th October last
recorded the reason which determined
them to refrain from recom
mending any increase in the
Sayer Establishment of that dis
trict do not think it necessary
to forward the Statement of ad
ditions submitted with the fore
going letter.

* Proceedings 21st Octo 1841 Para 10

Read

A.G.B.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Read the following letters

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Tinnevelly (38)

Sir,

Para 1. The Chockumpully &c
Estate being now, by the orders of the Court
of Directors finally incorporated with
the Zuruff Villages of this District, &c
there seems no longer any good reason
for maintaining it as a separate Talook,
while the existence of it as such only
buoys up false hopes in the Family
of the Dazemindar, and causes some &c
unnecessary charge on the Government.

2 The Estate consists of 18
villages comprising 8,978 — 4 — 8 3/8
+ Cottahs of Nunjah, and 13,999 — 29/32 Chains
of Punjah, and yielding a revenue
averaging in the last 5 years &c
Rupees 44,896.

+ Ayeut

A

Names.	Extent of Cared Kunjah.	Punjah.	average Beriz of 5 Years.	
	Sc m m	Chains	Rupees	
Seroovellanellore	628 12 6 7/8	1441 5/16.	4095.	
Seroomala Naicken Poodoocoody	1043 15 4 3/4	1205 45/64	3816.	
Vericroopoo	147. 11 7 5/8	160. 5/8	945.	
Coolasagaramungalum	1167 13 6.	2225 9/32	4546.	
Vichindam	190. 6 2 1/4	1257 29/64	1036.	
Vellalencoolum	184. 15 5 1/2	811. 13/16	931.	
	3362 13 1.	7102	15369.	
Chockamputty	1175 19 4 1/2	2154 25/64	6342	
Vyravencolum	600 19 7 5/8	446. 25/32	4611.	
Coliancary	615 11 2 1/4	833. 39/64	1576.	
Anacolum	81 18 5.	489. 11/32	559.	
Woormalalayean	628 3 5 3/8	1143 23/64	3658.	
Combanary Poodoocoody	1144 9 7/8	563. 27/32	3496.	
Nainaragaram	594 " 3 7/8	367. 3/16.	2426.	
Chillarapakavoo	206 3 4	161 11/64.	814	
Coonnacoody	159. 10 4	1 11/16.	2211.	
Vullum	153 17 "	358. 63/64	2209	
Moonnadicherry	104 12 3 1/4	36 57/64	375.	
Sevanadanoor	153 12 3 7/8.	340 11/16.	1350	
	5615. 12 4 3/8	6897 29/32	29527	

3 Copies to accompany transfer of 6 of these Villages as noted in the Margin to the Taloak of Shunkarapuram Nainarcoil &c Jenemaney.

4 The transfer of the six Villages to the Taloak of Shunkernainarcoil may be made without any addition being given to the Establishment of that Taloak, save of 2 Turuffdars and 5 Peons.

5 The duties of the Jenemaney Taloak already rather more heavy than some others, will be so far augmented as to require the retention of part of the Establishment now maintained at Chockumputty:-

6 The following statement will shew the alteration I propose making in the Moyenzabitah, and as a reduction of Rupees 62 per Mensem in the Charge will be effected thereby, I trust the Board will favor me with their approval of it:-

Present

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Present Establishment of Chockumputty.		Proposed addition to the Establishment of Sankernaiankail.		Proposed addition to the Establishment of Jeneauey	
1 Tahsildar	50	2 Surfdars	14	Increase to the pay of Tahsildar	15
1 Moojumdar	17½	5 Peons	17½	1 Goomastah	10
1 Goomastah	10	Sadermaad	1	1 Sampredy	5
1 Do.	8			1 Daffadar	5
1 Javabneveso	7			10. Peons	35
1 Sampredy	5			2 Surfdars	21
1 Shroff	3½			Sadermaad	2
1 Daffadar	5				
15 Peons	45				
1 Manalchie	2				
1 Paramut Goomastah	5.				
5 Surfdars	25.				
Sandermaad	5.				
	188		32½		93½
Proposed addition	126				
Reduction Rs.	62				

The

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

I The proposed increase to the pay of the Tahsildar of Jeneauey is recommended on the grounds of the increased importance of his charge, and in order to prevent that Talook, as hitherto has been the case, being shunned rather than sought after, by efficient Officers. The proposed increase to the Sarufdars or Carkoons will merely make their pay equal to that of the other Sarufdars throughout the rest of the District.

Tinnevelly, 20th December 1841. (Signed) W. C. Montgomery. Collector.

Proceedings thereon. The Board of Revenue approve of the transfer of the Villages comprizing the Chockumputty Estate to the neighbouring Talooks, &c. &c. proposed

(38-39)

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

proposed by the Collector, &c

and the consequent alteration

in the Mozen Zabetah in the

manner Exhibited in the State-

ment annexed to Para 6

of the foregoing letter, by &c

which a reduction of Rupees

62 per mensem will be &c

effected in the present

Establishment of that &c

Estate.—

To

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

Para 1.

I have the honor to report

40

To the Board 9th Janry 1836
From Do. 25th — Do.
To Do. 19th Febry 1836
From Do. to the Chief Secy.
to Government 14th
March 1836

the death of Fantemah on the

26th February 1841 whose

case formed the subject of

a correspondence with the

Board and Government noted in the Margin

Extract from Minutes
of Consultation
4th April 1836

and who received an

allowance of half a Rupee

per diem under orders of

Government dated 4th April 1836.

2. The Board in their letter

to Government dated 14th March 1836 recom-

mended that half a Rupee per diem or

one half of the former Pension should be

granted to Fantemah during her life, and

the circumstances of her widowed daughter

Alemah specially reported upon hereafter

if she should survive her parent.

Alemah

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

Alemah has survived her, and is under distressed circumstances; The allowance which was granted to her late Mother have been the main support of herself & a Brother and 3 Sisters. I therefore propose for the consideration of the Board that a quarter of a Rupee per diem or ¼ of the original Pension granted for support of Shaik Mohedeen may be continued to Alemah during her life and that I may be authorized to disburse the sums from the date of Fantamah's death.

Tinnevelly.]
29th December 1841] /Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(40-41)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the correspondence therein alluded to.

The Board recommend under the circumstances represented by the Collector that an allowance of ¼ of a Rupee per diem may be continued for the support of Alemah

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

In Cons. 7th April 1836

Alemah. being half of the Pension sanctioned under date the 4th April 1836 for her Mother Fantemah whose death is reported to have taken place on the 26th February 1841 from which date it is requested that the reduced Pension now recommended may be made payable.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll. of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

I have the honor to report on Petition No. 1218 of 1841 herewith returned.

(42)

2. The Cutgoota Village of Coorovecolum was originally granted to Ruttroopa Naick and descended to Ramalinga Naick. He having no sons, adopted Ramalinga Naick the elder son of his next brother Tummah Naick. The adopted son who succeeded his Uncle and Tummah Naick his real father are dead, the former leaving no issue, and the Cutgootah Estate is claimed by the younger Brother of the adopted son, who is also the son of Tummah Naick and the Sastoodoo or present Head of the

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

the family-

3. The actual Petitioner Pooty Kaick is a Cultivator on the Estate and the mere tool of a distant relation of the family who demands a share in the property and who endeavours to enforce his claim, previous to me by opposing the Registry of the Cutgotah Estate in the name of the younger brother of the deceased- This he has hitherto succeeded in doing, and to throw doubt on the right of the Family to Cutgotah tenure he has caused to be produced a Purwanah, bearing as he states a fictitious seal- There do appear some grounds for doubting the genuineness of this document which was filed in 1810 in the Zillah Court of Madura, but the existence of a Purwanah does not appear to me to be requisite to substantiate the right of the family to an Estate, which they have enjoyed for years undisputed, and which has been regularly entered in the accounts of this District

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

4. I have therefore directed the Registry to be made in the name of the 2d Son of Tummah Kaick now the head of the family and have referred the opposing party to the Civil Court, as the tribunal for the prosecution of any claim they may have on the person now holding the Estate-

Tinnevelly 31st December 1841

/Signed/ R. C. Montgomery
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(42-43)

The Board approve of the decision passed by the Collector of Tinnevelly in the matter alluded to in Petition No. 1218 of 1841. the circumstances relating to

Fort St. George 20th January 1842

to which are detailed in the

foregoing letter.

From the Pr. Collector in
(44) Coimbatore . . . } Read letter submitting

an Abstract of persons charged with

Offences under Regulation IX of 1822

for the 2d Half year of 1841.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie

(44-45)

over until the receipt of the Returns

from other Districts when a

Statement of the whole will

be prepared and submitted

to Government.

The

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.-

(46)

Proceedings. Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Canara for Fusly 1250.

Sr. Cons. 28th Oct. 1841.

The Board proceed to take into

1. consideration the report by the Principal Col-

lector of Canara upon the Extra Sources of revenue in that District for Fusly 1250, contained in the letter recorded below.

[Here enter 15th October 1841]

Salt. 2. The Salt sales in Fusly 1250 exhibit a decrease of Rupees 12,586-9-9. It is observed however that the amount sales in Fusly 1249. exceeded that of the preceding year by Rupees 31,235-11-2. and that the sales in the year under review still exceed the average by Rupees 25,108-7-11. The present decrease appears to be the result of a falling off in the sales for home consumption to the extent of Rupees 13,922-3-5. and an increase of Rupees 1,335-9-8. in the inland sales, the former being attributed to the fall in the price of grain during the year, and the latter to the extension of the trade in Cotton, the Cattle employed in the convey-

Fusly 1249. 1,32,462-6-3.
1250. 1,13,875-12-6.
12,586-9-9.

Proceedings 11th March 1841. Para 1.

Para 3.

Para 5.

Para 7. -ance of which took back salt in return.

The

3. The outturn of the sales which have taken place at the new Depôt at Mahbacub in Barcoor since it's establish=ment on the 1st March last to the close of the fusly under review, exhibiting a net profit of Rupees 6,028-10-5- during a peried of 4 Months and 11 days, is considered very Satisfactory. The Principal Collector observes that in consequence of the opening Para 8. of the Agumbah Ghaut, and the repair Para 9. of the road leading thereto, the export by this route may be expected to exceed 200 Garce per Annum. the original estimate with reference to which the present esta=blishment was entertained, and building erected, being only 60 Garce. It will be necessary, in consequence that some addi= Should be made to the establishment, and that a second Kotie should be erected, capable of containing 100 Garce of Salt, for which purposes a Separate application will be Submitted hereafter.-

4. The Gross Collections in the Salt Department were Rupees 3,14,182-13-4 being

being less by Rupees 12,615-14-3. than the gross Collections of Fusly 1249- The charges which amounted to Rupees 9,1116-15-7- exceeded those of the previous year by Rupees 13,817-1- in consequence of an increased Manu= Statt. 2. =facture to the extent of 826-Garces and upwards- The result was that the net revenue under this head exhibits a decrease of Rupees 26,432-14-4,- The net revenue of Fusly 1249. being Rupees 2,49,498-12-1, and that of the year under review Rupees 2,23,065-13-9-

In the Sayer Collections there=

Sayer - 5. was a decrease of Rupees 24,954-a-9- in the Fusly under review-

Fusly 1249- 3,07,533-15-4.
1250- 2,82,579-14-7.
24,954-a-9.

It appears however on reference to the report on the Extra re= =venue of Fusly 1249, that there was an increase of no less than 32,680-6-3. in the Sayer collections of that year above those of the year preceding- The Sayer Collections of the year under review, as compared with the averages Para 11. of the last 5 years, exhibit an increase of Rupees 7,929-4-a- The Statement annexed to Para 12- Shews.

shews that the decrease noticed above occurred principally in the article, Betel nut. Cardamums, and Piece goods and is attributed to a deficiency in the Crops of Soopari and Cardamums owing to the want of Seasonable rains and to a diminished import of Piece goods consequent on the fall in the price of grain.

The collections on Pepper and Sandal wood shew an increase, amounting on the former to Rupees 4,487-9-4 and on the latter to Rupees 6,370-5-5.

6. In the gross collections in the Sayer department there was a decrease of Rupees 24,829-4-2. and in the charges an excess of Rupees 4,616-10-1. which was occasioned by there having been a much larger amount of drawback disbursed than in Fusly 1249. The net revenue in consequence shews a deficiency of Rupees 29,445-14-3. below that of Fusly 1249 in which year it amounted to Rupees 26,732-6-4-5. the net revenue in the succeeding year being Rupees 2,37,880-6-8.

Statement 4 Col: 23.

Drawback Fusly 1250. 14,350-9-4
1249. 9,743-10-3.
Difference — 4,606-15-1.

The

Sea Customs - 7.

Fusly 1250. 2,86,726-8-10.
1249. 1,74,365-14-2.
1,12,360-10-8.

The Collections under the head of Sea Customs in Fusly 1250 exceeded the average of the 5 previous years, by Rupees 84,330- and the revenue of the year immediately preceding by no less than Rupees 1,12,360-10-8. The Statement annexed to Para 14. shews that of this increase Rupees 94,883-3-4 occurred in the collection of duty on Cotton exported to Bombay. a portion of which it is presumed was thence shipped for England, in which case the whole of the duty collected in Canara would be refunded to the Shipper, so that the increase, as regards the general revenue of the State, is to that extent merely nominal. The net revenue from Sea Customs in Fusly 1250. exhibits an increase of Rupees 1,20,355-11-5. resulting from an increase of 1,12,998-8-8. in the + gross Collections and a decrease in the ⊗ charges, amounting to Rupees 7,357-2-9.

Net Rev. 3,21,594-7-8-

+ 2,88,437-2-8-

⊗ 68,842-11-0-

In the settlement of this branch there was a decrease of Rs. 9,125-12-0- The amount however is still upwards

Abstract.

Fusly 1249. 62,052-8-0-
1250. 52,926-12-0-
9,125-12-0-

upwards of 3,000 Rupees in excess of the average of the last 5 years. The falling off in the rents of the Fasly under review is stated to be owing to the unusual competition which occurred in Fasly 1249 for the abkarry rents of Mangalore - and to the necessity which was found to exist for fixing the rates at which Toddy and arrack should be sold by the renters. The Principal Collector observes that this the enforcement

Para 16- of these rates has caused a temporary diminution of revenue, there is every reason to expect that the new system will be found to work well, and that an eventual improvement in this branch of revenue will take place. The gross abkarry collections, which as there were no charges, constitute the net revenue under that head, amounted to Rupees 5,2341-5-9 being Rupees 7,079-9-5- below the gross Collections of Fasly 1249-

Sundry Small farms & Licenses. 9- The amount entered as "demand" on account of Sundry Small farms and Licenses includes the items noted in the Margin. The amount

Fasly 1250	
Ferry farms	4,755-12-0
Honey &c farms	4,231-12-9
Amandevi Coir	1209-14-8
	10,197-7-5

amount bid for the Ferry farms in Fasly 1250. was less by Rupees 661-12-0 than the rent of

Para 17- the preceding year. In the Honey &c rents there was an increase of Rupees 331-14-0. The Sum of Rupees 1209-14-8 included in the demand, was the amount value of

Para 24- Amandevi Coir "the produce of Fasly 1250" which was sold, and the proceeds carried to account within that year. In the previous year, no sales of the like description took place. The gross collections of the year include the value of Coir the produce of Faslies 1248 & 1249. and amounted to Rupees 27,202-15-4. being Rupees 4,262-2-2. in excess of the gross Collections brought to account in Fasly 1249. The net

7,882-3-5. revenue after deducting charges, which in consequence of a diminished outlay for Coir were less by Rupees 2,206-1-7. than in Fasly 1249. amounted to Rupees 19,320-11-11. Shewing a gain of Rupees 6,468-3-9. in the year under review.-

The Current collections under the head of Moturpha exhibit an increase of Rupees 145-15-0. Owing however

Moturpha &c.	10-
Fasly 1250.	10,698-5-2-
1249.	10,552-6-2-
	145-15-0-

however to there having been less "extra Collections" and "arrears" brought to account than in Fusly 1249- The total amount realized in the Fusly under review was less by Rupees 146-8-2 than in the preceding year- the amount being in Fusly 1249 Rupees 10863-7-4- and Rupees 10716-15-2- in Fusly 1250.

11- The Report upon Moturpha alluded to, in Para 19 of the letter under consideration was submitted to Government under date the 3d November last, accompanied with an Extract from their Proceedings dated 28th October 1841- and the orders of Government thereon, contained in an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th December 1841- have been communicated to the Principal Collector

In Cons. 3d Jan.y 1842.

Tobacco- 12- In the demand under the head of Tobacco in Fusly 1250 there was a decrease of Rupees 122-15-10 as compared however with the average of 5 years previous, the Principal Collector observes that the revenue under this head shews an increase of

Fusly 1249-	289458-5-9.	
1250-	289335-5-11.	
	122-15-10-	

Para 20- of 12,670-5-9- The accounts for Fusly 1250 shews a decrease in the gross Collections amounting to Rupees 24,516-11-4 which is explained to have been consequent on a larger amount having been credited on

Para 21- account of advances for the purchase of Tobacco in Fusly 1249 than in 1250 "as large "portion of the supply contracted for was "not delivered within the last Fusly, and "the advance outstanding remains there "fore to be accounted for upon its complete "delivery-

13- The net Revenue derived from the sale of Tobacco in Fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 1,83,369-8-9- being less by Rupees 30,948-14-7- than the net revenue of the previous year.

Stamps 14- The Stamp collections fell below those of Fusly 1249 by Rupees 4,472-12-8 owing in part, to the Civil Courts "having been adjourned for a longer period

Para 22- than usual, and in part to a diminished Export of Supari and Cardamums- The gross Collections amounted to Rs. 36,383-5-2- and the Net revenue, after deducting charges which

+ 1755-10-11-

Total -

Fusly
1250 3,12,82,722-4-2.
1249 1,22,45,97-12-10.

62,124-7-4.

(which were less by Rupees 234-14-5. than in Fusly 1249.) to Rupees 34,627-11-2. being Rupees 4,245-6-3. below the Net revenue of Fusly 1249-15. The "demand" on account of all the extra Sources of revenue in Canara for Fusly 1250 exhibits an increase of Rupees 62,124-7-4 above that of Fusly 1249. The amount being Rupees 12,82,722-4-2. The amount realized within the year was Rupees 12,79,752-10-9. and of the balance of Rupees 2969-9-5. which was due at the close of the Fusly, only 67 Rupees remained outstanding on the 15th October last. The gross Collections of Fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 13,16,244-15-4. and the charges, to Rupees 3,35,297-15-4. The latter being from various causes enumerated in the foregoing Paras. Rupees 15,067-10-8. in excess of the charges of Fusly 1249. The Net revenue after deducting Charges was Rupees 9,80,949-0-4. and exceeded the Net revenue of Fusly 1249. by Rupees 28,524-12-2. This result, and the punctuality with which the demand under each head was realized, is considered by the Board to be highly Satisfactory and creditable to the Principal Collector's management.

Para 25.

16. The only balance due on account of Fuslies prior to 1250 appears to be the Sum of Rupees 2,297-13-6. being the amount due by the

the Ubkarry renters for Fusly 1249. regarding which a reference was made to Government under date the 24th May last, on which occasion it was recommended that the amount should for stated reasons be remitted. The resolution of Government bearing dated 17th June last, wherein it was intimated that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council declined Sanctioning the remission of the balance, was communicated to the Principal Collector on the 28th of the same Month. It appears however from the report which forms the Subject of these Proceedings, that the Collection of the arrear in question has been for the present suspended, at the earnest request of the defaulters, pending the submission to Government by them, of certain information called for in consequence of a petition they presented, setting forth the losses sustained by them in the contract, and praying to be relieved from the demand against them.

In Cons: 28th June 1841.

Para 27.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings together with the annexed Comparative statement of the Collections, Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Canara for his information and guidance.

Canara.

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

Canara. Fusly 1250.

		Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250.			Increase.			Decrease.		
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Salt.	Demand	3,26,462.	6		3,13,875.	12	6.	"	"	"	12,586.	9	9.
	Balance on the 11th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,26,462	6	3	3,13,875	12	6.	"	"	"	12,586.	9	9.
	Extra Do	336	5	4.	307.	"	10.	"	"	"	29	4	6.
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	3,26,798.	11	7.	3,14,182	13	4.	"	"	"	12,615.	14	3.
	Charges	77,299.	15	6.	91,116.	15	7.	13,817.	"	1.	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,49,498	12	1.	2,23,065.	13	9.	"	"	"	26,432.	14	4.
Sayer.	Demand	30,753.	15	4	28,257.	14	7.	"	"	"	2,495	4	9.
	Balance on the 11th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	30,753.	15	"	28,257.	14	7.	"	"	"	2,495	4	9.
	Extra Do	518.	9	3.	643.	5	10.	124	12	7.	"	"	"
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	30,805	2	7	28,322	4	5.	"	"	"	2,482.	9.	4 2.
	Charges	4,072.	6.	4	2,45,342.	14	3.	4,616.	10	1.	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,67,326.	4	5.	2,37,880.	6	2	"	"	"	29,445.	14	3.
Sea Customs.	Demand	1,74,365	14	2	2,86,726.	8	10.	112,360.	10	8.	"	"	"
	Balance on the 11th July	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Current Collection	1,74,365	14	2	2,86,726.	8	10.	112,360.	10	8.	"	"	"
	Extra Do	10 7 2.	11	10	4,710	9	10.	637.	14	"	"	"	"
	Arrears	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	1,75,438.	10	"	2,88,437	2	8.	112,998.	8	8.	"	"	"
	Charges	7,619	9.	13	9.	6,842.	11	"	"	"	7,357.	2	9
	Net Revenue	99,238.	12	3.	2,19,594	7	8.	120,355.	11	5.	"	"	"
Abt Carry.	Demand	62,052	8	"	52,926.	12	"	"	"	"	9,125	12	"
	Balance on the 11th July	3,755.	9	1.	2,213.	"	1.	"	"	"	1,542	9.	"
	Current Collection	58,296.	14	11.	50,713	11	11.	"	"	"	7,583.	3	"
	Extra Do	164	10	6.	169.	14	3.	5	3	9.	"	"	"
	Arrears	959.	5	9.	1,457.	11	7.	498.	5	10.	"	"	"
	Total	59,420.	15	2	52,341.	5	9.	"	"	"	7,079.	9	5.
	Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	59,420.	15	2	52,341.	5	9	"	"	"	7,079	9	5

Fort St.e George 20th. January 1842.-

		Fasly 1249. Rs. A. P.	Fasly 1250. Rs. A. P.	Increase. Rs. A. P.	Decrease. Rs. A. P.
Sundry Small farms & Licenses	Demand	9,317. 6 9.	10,197. 7 5.	880. 0 8.	" " "
	Balance on the 11th. July	682 6 "	434 9 4.	" " "	247. 12 8.
	Current Collection	8,635. " 9.	9,762. 14 1.	1,127. 13 4	" " "
	Extra Do.	66 12 2	41 12 10.	" " "	24. 15 4
	Arrears	14,239 " 3.	17,398 4 5.	3,159. 4 2.	" " "
	Sotal	22,940 13 2	27,202 15 4	4,262 2 2.	" " "
	Charges	10,088. 5 "	7,882 3 5	" " "	2,206. 1 7.
	Net Revenue	12,852 8 2.	19,320. 11 11.	6,468. 3 9.	" " "
Motarpha	Demand	10,552. 6 2.	10,698. 5 2	145. 15 "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th. July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	10,552 6 2.	10,698. 5 2.	145. 15 "	" " "
	Extra Do.	220. 9 6.	18. 10 "	" " "	201. 15 6.
	Arrears	90. 7 8	" " "	" " "	90. 7 8.
	Sotal	10,863 7 4.	10,716. 15 2.	" " "	146. 8 2.
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	10,863. 7 4	10,716. 15 2.	" " "	146. 8 2.
Tobacco	Demand	289,458. 5 9.	289,335. 5 11.	" " "	122. 15 10
	Current Collection	289,458 5 9.	289,013. 5 11.	" " "	444. 15 10.
	Extra Do.	38,785. 7 1.	14,713 11 7.	" " "	24,071. 11 6
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sotal	328,243. 12 10.	303,727. 1 6.	" " "	24,516. 11 4
	Charges	113,925. 5 6.	120,357. 8 9.	6,432 3 3.	" " "
	Net Revenue	214,318 7 4	183,369. 8 9.	" " "	30,948. 14 7
Stamps	Demand	40,854. 14 5.	36,382. 1 9.	" " "	4,472. 12 8.
	Balance on the 11th. July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	40,854. 14 5.	36,382 1 9.	" " "	4,472 12 8
	Extra Do.	8 11 5.	1 3 5.	" " "	7 8 "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sotal	40,863. 9 10.	36,383. 5 2	" " "	4,480 4 8.
	Charges	1,990. 8 5.	1,755. 10 "	" " "	234 14 5.
	Net Revenue	38,873. 1 5.	34,627 11 2	" " "	4,245. 6 3.
Sotal	Demand	12,20,597. 12 10.	12,82,722. 4 2	62,124. 7 4	" " "
	Balance on the 11th. July	4437. 15 1.	2969. 9 5.	" " "	1468. 5 8.
	Current Collection	12,16,159. 13 9.	12,79,752. 10 9.	63,592. 13 "	" " "
	Extra Do.	41,173. 13 1.	17,606. 4 7.	" " "	23,567. 8 6.
	Arrears	15,288. 13 8.	18,856. " "	3,567. 2 4	" " "
	Sotal	12,72,622. 8 6	13,16,214. 15 4	43,592. 6 10.	" " "
	Charges	3,20,230. 4 4	3,35,297. 15 "	15,067. 10 8.	" " "
	Net Revenue	9,52,392. 4 2	9,80,917. " 4	28,524. 12 2	" " "

Read

Read again letter from the Register to the Suder Udalut dated 20th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 13th Instante.-

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To

The Register of the
Suder Udaulut.

Sir,

To the Register of the Sudr Udalut.- Stating in reply to his letter of the 20th December last the reasons which have led to the attachment of the Vafsereddy Estate, and the removal of Ramanada Baboo from its Management.-

47

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Suder Udaulut Court, bearing date the 20th December 1841. in which the Board are requested to State with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 13th December 1838, whether subsequent experience has not induced them to modify their opinion, that it would be inexpedient to remove Ramanada Baboo from the Management of the Vafsereddy Estates.-

2. In reply, I am desired to request that you will inform the Judges of the Suder Udalut, that

Circumstances

circumstances indicative of gross mismanagement and an intentional disregard of his engagements, on the part of Ramanada Baboo having been represented to them in recent reports from the Collector of Guntoor, the Board under date the 9th Ultimo desired that officer to exercise his discretion in attaching the Estate should the Zemindar fail to make payments commensurate with his Collections, and they have been since apprized by a letter dated the 8th Instant, that it has been found absolutely necessary that the Estate should be taken under management, which has accordingly been done.-

in Cons. 17th Sept. 1841.

3. The Board regret that owing to their having only lately received a reply from Guntoor to a reference made upon the receipt of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr. Adaulut dated the 7th September 1840. that communication should have remained

in Cons. 7th Sept. 1840.

So-

so long mentioned —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th January 1842 } Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary —

Read the undermentioned

Registers —

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of November last —
48

From the Collector of Masulipatam } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of December last —
49

Order on the two abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in the Office —
48-50

Resolved that the following Letter be despatched —

To
The Accountant General,
Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer, Committee for passing Contingent Charges —

51

Gentlemen,

With reference to the orders of Government

To the Accountt Genl Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer, Committee for passing Contingent Charges

Government of the 1st June 1841 published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 8th of that Month I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Bills of Contingent charges incurred in this office for the Month of December last, amounting to Rupees 15-9-6 and to request that they may be passed and returned to them —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
20th January 1842 } Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary —

Resolved that the following Letter be despatched together with the Petitions therein referred to —

To
The Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

To the Pl. Collr in the Nn Dn of Arcot } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Chengaloy Moodely an inhabitant of your Division praying for the grant of some waste land for the use of a Choultry stated to have been built by his

52

from

her father and request you will be pleased to report of what public utility the Chantry is and what quantity of land will be sufficient for its support. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
20th January 1842 } / Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

To,

The Principal Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir,

To the Pr. Collr. in the
Nor. Divn. of Arcot. } I am directed by the Board of

1842 Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying
and } of 1841.
2173

(53) Petition, bearing your Endorsement from certain inhabitants of your District, together with a further one from the same parties and to state that your Endorsement dated 11th December 1841, is not sufficient for the Board to dispose of the case, and they request you will be pleased to submit a detailed explanation of the matter of which the Petitioners complain. —

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
20th January 1842. } / Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary —

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements. —

From the Collector of Bellary — Transmitting a Statement
(54) of Goods recommended for confiscation during the Month of December last and stating that no goods were released on payment of Extra duty during that period. —

From the Sub Collector in charge of Cuddapah. } Statement of Goods seized and which are recommended for confiscation in the Month of
(55) December last. —

From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore — Statement showing the
(56) seizure of smuggled Tobacco for December last. —

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore. — Statement of Goods
(57) recommended for confiscation for the Month of November last. —

From the Sub Collector in charge of Nellore. — Statement
(58) shewing the Goods on which double or treble duty has been levied by the Sub Collector in charge for November last. —

Order on the five abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods and Tobacco as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized. —
(54-59)

Return

From the Sub Collector in charge of Caddapah — Return of persons fined for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last. — (60)

From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons in confinement in pursuance of sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last. (61)

From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last. — (62)

From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of persons holding offices described in Section VII Regulation VI of 1831 suspended or dismissed for the Month of November last. — (63)

From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore — Stating that there is no Return of the seizure of stayed smuggled Goods for the Month of December last. (64)

From the Principal Collector of Canara — Stating that no Returns of Revenue defaulters confined in Jail from 1st April to 31st December last or reports of casualties and of Revenue defaulters released from 1st July 1840 to 30th (65)

March

March last have been sent, no cases having occurred within those periods. —

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of November last. — (66)

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of November last. — (67)

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of December last. — (68)

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Return of persons in confinement in pursuance of sentence passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Months of December last. — (69)

From the Principal Collector of Madura — Return of persons suspended or dismissed in conformity to Section VII. Regulation VI of 1831 for the Months of December last. — (70)

From the Principal Collector of Tanjore — Requesting a supply of 10,000 Salt Rowannahs — thereon — Ordered to be supplied accordingly. — (71)

Order 71-72 From the Collector of Vizagapatam — Stating that no cases of offences under Regulation IX of 1822 were examined by (73)

Fort St. George 20th January 1842.

by him or the Assistant Collector on last year and that there are no persons in confinement under the foregoing Regulation.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. — Stating that there are no Revenue defaulters confined by him on the 31st December last. —

74

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. — Stating that no fines were imposed or stoppages made from the pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 31st December last but that one Servant was dismissed whose pay exceeded 28 Rupees per Mensem during that quarter. —

75

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. — Stating that no Petitions were referred to him in the Month of December last. —

76

(Signed) W. Burn,
" J. Burn
" Walter Elliot
" H. Maclean

Compd. S. G. Boyle
Secretary

Diary. —

21st Jany. Received the following letter.

To The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

1

Sir,

From the Collr. of Chingleput. Reporting upon the expediency of relieving the Adkary Renter from the responsibility of the Farm and recommending that the present tender of Mr. Turner & Peresasawmy Moodelly for the remaining six Months of the Fusly and for the following three years may be accepted.

Para 1. In reply to the Board's query, I have the honor to state that I think it would be expedient to relieve the Adkarry Renter of the current Fusly from the responsibility of the Farm, as he has neither the ability to manage it, or the means of paying the future Kists.

2. Mr. Turner and Peresasawmy Moodelly who were the Renters in Fusly 1249 and then paid their Kists with regularity and without giving any cause of complaint, have tendered the sum of Rupees 30,000 for the remaining 6 Months of the Fusly or from 12th January to 11th July and 60000 Rupees for the following three years.

3. The Rent of the current Fusly was 75,000 Rupees and the Collections from

Fort St. George 22 January 1842

present Berry can give.

5. Mr. Turner and Peraisawmy Moodely declined making any adequate Tender for this Farm for the current six Months only, and their present offer is made only on the condition of the rent being confirmed for 3½ years, for which they will furnish the usual deposits and which it appears to me advisable to accept.

Chinglepet Distrct...
Collector's Cutcherry.... } (signed) A. Freese
Pallecarnay 18th January 1842. } Collector

Proceedings thereon - 22d January. Para 1. Adverting to the orders

1-2

Ld Comt. 8 Ellenboro 1830.

of Government contained in a letter dated the 5th March 1830, requiring that the sanction of Government shall be obtained previously to acceptance of offers for Sayer rents, for periods exceeding one year, which orders the Board consider applicable to the offer for the Alkarry rent of the Chinglepet District, contained in the letter above recorded, they resolve to submit the case for the consideration

and

and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council and to recommend under the circumstances set forth by the Collector, that Sanction may be granted for acceding to the proposal of Mr. Turner and Peraasawmy Moodely, to take up the remainder of the rent for the Current Fusly Viz.t for the 6 Months from the 12th January to the 11th July 1842 for Rs. 30000, and to continue the rent for the next three Fuslies at Rupees 60000 per annum.

2. Pending the reference to Government upon this point the Board resolve to authorize the Collector to make over the rent for the remaining 6 Months of the Fusly to Mr. Turner and Peraasawmy Moodeliar for the sum offered by them, should they be willing to undertake it, with the understanding that their continuing to hold it on the terms above mentioned for the three following years is contingent on the pleasure of Government. Should they be unwilling to accede to these terms, the Collector is requested

requested to state his opinion upon those offered by Salavaukum Shunmooga Pillay and Codundaramasawmy Nauck in the accompanying Petitions Nos. 99 and 101 of 1842 which the petitioner states have been already submitted for his consideration.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing proceedings with the correspondence referred to in Para. 1 be submitted to Government. and a transcript of the same, with reference to the correspondence be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance.

A.

At a Meeting Present

John Dent Esqre Senior Member.

Monday 24th January 1842. — G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

3

From the Chief Secretary to Government

Transmitting for the Court's favorable consideration Copies of Correspondence connected with a claim to Compensation advanced originally by one Sashagherry Row formerly renter of the Nellore District under the Nabob's Government for Losses sustained by him in consequence of the assumption of that District by the British Govt. previously to the cooperation of his lease.

Extract from a General Letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 28th July 1841, No. 8.

Para. 8 The claim noticed in this correspondence arose in the year 1801, and was referred to the Carnatic Commissioners who by their award dated the 11th December 1821 adjudged that

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th December 1841 No. 1594.

Para. 3. under the authority of the Honorable the Court of Directors conveyed in these paragraphs, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

that the Fund provided for the satisfaction of the private Debts of the late Nabob of the Carnatic was not liable for its discharge but that the claimant appeared "to have an equitable lien" on the East India Company for the balance justly due to him.

9 The claim was brought to the notice of the Government by Petition in the year 1824, and was referred to the Board of Revenue for report. After some correspondence with the Collector of Nellore, with the view of ascertaining the precise amount of the balance alleged to be due, the question appears to have been altogether lost sight of (probably in consequence of the death of the Petitioner) until the year 1839, when it was again revived by a Petition

Council sanctions the payment of the sum of Rupees 37201-2-a (Thirty seven thousand, two hundred and one, and Annas two) to the representatives of Sashagherry Row in compensation for losses stated to have been sustained by them in consequence of the premature determination of his lease of the Nellore District in Fasly 1211.

4 The Board of Revenue will communicate the foregoing order to Timmul Row on whose application the claims of the late Sashagherry Row came under consideration, and arrange for the payment of the sum in question, under proper precautions

petition from the Nephew of the Claimant, praying for a speedy Settlement of the case.

10 From the able report of Mr. Stonhouse the Principal Collector of Nellore dated the 31st December 1839 there appears reason to believe that at the date of the transfer of Nellore from the Nabob to the Company's Government, the sum of Rupees 37,201-2-0 was actually owing to Sashagherry on account of outstanding balances due from the Ryots, and there is also reason to believe that this claim would have been satisfied at the time if application had not been erroneously made to the Carnatic Commissioners, instead of to the Government of Madras.

11 All the Public officers who have

precautions to the parties entitled to share, disinclined by intimating to them the terms on which the Honorable Court have authorized the grant.

have, from time to time reported on the case, have considered the claim entitled to indulgent consideration and the Board of Revenue have recommended that the above stated amount of Rupees 37,201-2-0 should be paid to the Representatives of Sashagherry Row, in compensation for the losses which he is stated to have incurred in consequence of the premature determination of his lease. In this recommendation your Government fully concur, and we accordingly give our sanction to the payment in question. as this payment must however be considered altogether as an act of grace, on the part of Government, and not as the satisfaction

satisfaction of a legal right, we cannot admit the claim of the petitioner to interest on the Amount

Reporting the measures of relief sanctioned by Government to the sufferers in a storm which occurred in Rajahmundry on the 16th November 1839.

17 We have perused with much regret the Statements of the damage occasioned by this awful visitation more than 8,000 Individuals are reported to have perished, and private property of the value of not less than ten lacs of Rupees to have been destroyed, besides public property, Chiefly in Salt, of the aggregate value of Rupees 45,000, we entirely approve your having vested the Collector with discretionary authority to disburse such moderate sums as he might

Para 6 Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue.

consider necessary for the relief of the numerous sufferers.

Forwarding a Memorial from Mrs Van Halteren praying for the continuance of her Monthly Pension of Rupees 14-0-0

19 This Pension was granted Mrs Van Halteren in 1829 "to cease upon her "marriage or upon her "coming into the enjoyment of the property "left to her by her mother" It appears that she received a sum of money in 1837, whereupon the payment of her pension was discontinued by the Collector, but the amount so received was swallowed up in the payment of Debts which she had been compelled to contract and she was again left destitute. Under these

8 Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue

these circumstances, you have authorized the renewal of the pension, on the condition that the amount shall be repaid out of a further remittance which she expects to receive. This arrangement is in the spirit of the original grant, and is accordingly approved.

Transmitting a Memorial from the Widows of the late Coopanaw Moodelly a Shroffs Mundar in Chingleput praying the restoration of the Shroffs Mundar formerly held by their deceased husband.

35 The proceedings appealed against in this Memorial were approved in our Despatch dated 21st June No. 8 1836 para 11 and we see no reason to alter our decision.

By

Submitting proceedings connected with two suits recently decided against the Collectors of Trichinopoly and Chingleput.

52 By the decree given in the first of these cases the right of Government to widen an ancient irrigating Channel without the previous consent of the Zemindar through whose land it passed (full compensation being tendered for any loss or damage actually sustained) has been denied and in the second the right of Government to grant Cowles of the immemorial waste lands in Meerasy Villages to individuals willing to cultivate them without the previous consent of the Meerasidars is disputed.

53. It is stated by the Board of Revenue that

10. This paragraph will be transmitted to the Board of Revenue for communication to the parties concerned.

19. A Copy of these Paragraphs will be furnished to the Board of Revenue and their attention drawn to the observations at the conclusion of para 55 regarding the suggestion conveyed in Mr. Sullivan's Minute of the 13th August 1840, for an enactment declaring "any thing "warranted by the "usage of the "Country in revenue administration legal, "that is not "repugnant "to express "Statute." to The Honorable Court will learn from the correspondence

that the decisions in both cases are contrary to the general practice which has prevailed since the commencement of our administration and to what they believe to be the immemorial usage of the Country. If the principles laid down by the Judge in the first case are to be regarded as sound, the Government can at any time be prevented from pursuing the most important improvements in the irrigation of the land if the requisite channels should pass through the domain of any Zemendar who should refuse his consent to the work and that the Collector

correspondence recorded in the Consultation noted below which will now be communicated to them, that upon a further consideration, such an enactment, or even one similar to Bengal Regulation I of 1824, has not been deemed necessary.

× Revenue Dr. 24th Novr. 1840 No. 60-61.
" 16th February 1841 No. 8-9.

Collector would generally fail to obtain the consent of all but those for whose especial benefit the work was intended is more than probable from the statement of Mr. Blair the Collector of Trichinopoly that "the obstinacy with which Ryots in general object to any work which tends to the benefit of their neighbours is well known to Revenue Officers"

54 Similar inconveniences were experienced by the Government of Bengal previously to 1824 and Regulation I of 1824 was passed for the purpose of remedying them. We sanctioned that enactment

enactment in para 27 of
our Revenue Letter to
Bengal dated 10th Sep-
-tember 1828 in the fol-
-lowing terms "Respect-
"ing two things no doubt
"can exist first that
"Government should have
"power to avail itself
"for public purposes
"of any portion of
"land which those pur-
"poses may be deemed
"to require and secondly
"that the interests and
"rights should be duly
"provided for under
"the exercise of that
"power. The enactment
"now under considera-
"tion had the attain-
"ment of those objects
"for its end and it
"has not occurred to
"us that any better
provisions

"provisions than those which
"it contains could have
"been employed by you
"for that purpose. It is
"therefore entitled to our
"approbation"

55. The principle in-
-volved in the second de-
-cision is also of very
considerable practical
importance. Without
however entering upon a
discussion of the respective
rights of Government and
the Meerasidars over the
waste lands (a point
still under the considera-
-tion of the superior tribu-
-nal to which the case has
been appealed) it will
be enough for us to
state our opinion that
it is desirable that in
all cases where Py-
-carries propose to cul-
-tivate the waste lands
of

shall refrain from ex-
=pressing any opinion
on the proposed reme-
=dies until we are in
possession of their sen-
=timents.

Submitting for Court's favorable consideration a proposal for mak-
=ing a provision for the mainte-
=nance of Shevaga-
=my Natchy ar daughter of the deposed Zemindar of Ramnad.

+

58 Adverting to the peculiar circumstances under which the succes-
=sion to the Zemindary has been diverted from this lady contrary as it would appear to the original intention of Government as de-
=tailed in your Mi-
=nutes of Consultation of the 1st June 1840 and to the fact that the concubines and illegitimate children of her father the deposed Rajah with their dependants are liberally

20 Under the authority convey-
=ed in this para the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to con-
=fer on Shevaga-
=my Nachyar a daughter of the deposed Zemindar of Ramnad for life, the four and a quar-
=ter Villages referred to therein and in the Communication of the Board of Re-
=venue dated 30th July 1840 N 232. The Board will take steps to put the

liberally provided for by Government, we au-
=thorize you to confer on her for life the four and a quarter Vil-
=lages in Madura held by gift from her father and subsequently mort-
=gaged to Biccajee Row Cash keeper under Mr. Peter, but now in the possession of Govern-
=ment stated to produce a net income of about one thousand Rupees per Annum.

the party in pos-
=session under pro-
=per arrangements and with a dis-
=tinct intimation of the terms of the grant.

Transmitting Reports of the Trade of the Madras Territories for 1837/38 and 1838/39.

70 We have been put to much incon-
=venience by the non-
=arrival of the Re-
=port for 1837/38 at the proper period and we

22. The particular attention of the Board of Revenue will be directed to the observations communicated

we desire that means ~~w~~ communicated in
may be taken to en= this para, and
=sure for the future the they will be re=
preparation and trans= =quested to expe=
=mission of these an= =dite the trans=
=nual reports in due =mission of the
time. Report for the
year 1840/41 which
is the only one
now due.

63 and 64 of Mili=
tary Letter dated
4th October 1839.
65 of Military Let=
=ter dated 30th June
(No 7) 1840 and para
43 to 45 of Military
letter dated 20th in=
January No 4, 1841.
Adjustment of the
claims to compen=
=sation for land
required for form=
=ing the Black
Town Esplanade
reported.-

76. The investigation 24 Ordered to
into these claims appears be communicated
to have been conducted to the Board of
with great care by Mr. Revenue and
Robertson the Collector Military De=
of Madras, and we approve =partment.
the mode in which you
authorized them to be
finally adjusted.

(True Extracts)
(Signed) H. St. Chevallier
Chief Secretary.

To The President & Members
3-4 of the Board of Revenue
Order thereon - Ordered to be brought forward at
(Vid Proc. 31st Jany) a future day.

Extract

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 10th January 1842.

Read the following letters
from Inteyamul Dowlah Rustumjya of
Masulipatam.

Representing the
proceedings instituted against
him by his step Brother
Kootoobuddowlah Hufsain
Ally Khan alias Bekaury (Here enter 24th October 1841)
Sail in the Northern Pro=
=vincial Court and praying
for the support and protec=
=tion of Government.

Submitting a Memorial
to the address of the Right
Honorable the Governor
in Council praying for
the protection of Government (Here enter 14th Decr. 1841)
in consequence of the re=
=peated prosecutions against
him of his step Brother
Becary Sail.

Para 1. The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council cannot with any
propriety interfere with the suit insti=
=tuted by Kootubul Dowlah against
Inteyamul Dowlah, but he resolves to
request

request the Board of Revenue to in-
struct the Collector of Masulipatam
to warn Khootubul Dowlah that if he
perseveres in his litigation, the Govern-
ment may find it necessary to declare
not only the arrears of the pension
which have accumulated in deposit
forfeited, but the Pension also.

2. Inteyamul Dowlah will
be made acquainted with the orders
which have been passed on his commu-
nications, and will be requested should
he hereafter have occasion to address
Government, to do so, through the Collector
of Masulipatam, who will thereby have
the means of reporting at once upon the
subject to which it relates and save much
unnecessary delay and inconvenience.

/A true Extract/

To The President & Members /Signed/ Wm Chamier
of the Board of Revenue Chief Secretary

Order thereon

(5-1) Ordered that a Copy of
the foregoing Extract from the minutes
of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector
of Masulipatam, who will communicate
to

to Khootubul Dowlah and Inteyamul
Dowlah respectively the resolution of Govt
Government therein recorded.

From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 10th January 1842.

(7) Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 2d December 1841 No. 5067)

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council regrets exceedingly
that age and infirmities should compel
Appovoo to retire from the service of
Government. Of his merits as a public
servant His Lordship in Council enter-
tains the highest opinion and is desirous
of rewarding them commensurately. He
accordingly purposes to take the first
opportunity of strongly recommending
to the Honorable the Court of Directors
the grant of a Khotrium of the value
recommended by the Board of Revenue
for three lives.

/A true Extract/

To The President & Members /Signed/ Wm Chamier
of the Board of Revenue Chief Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon

(7-8)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and a transcript of the letter to Government therein recorded be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, for his information, with reference to his letter of the 4th November last and that a Duplicate of the same be furnished to Appoo Row direct from this Office.

Appoo Row is recommended to communicate to the Board the name of the Village which he would choose as a Shotriem, in the event of the recommendation of the Government being approved by the Honorable Court in order that no unnecessary delay may occur in placing him in possession, on receipt of the sanction of Government for so doing.

Read the following Letter.—

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Chief Secy to Govt

(9)

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to draw your attention to the Resolution No. 692 in this Department dated 17th September last

last and to request that your sentiments on the system of Sharana fines stated to prevail in the District of Masulipatam, may be communicated to Government at an early period.—

Fort St. George 14th January 1842

(9-10)

Order thereon.

Read again in Cons. 10th February

(signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th January 1842.—

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Read the following Letter

(11)

To H. Chamier Esqre
Chief Secretary to the Govt of Fort St. George.—

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1479 dated the 30th ultimo with its enclosures, and in reply to convey the sanction of the Governor General of India in Council to the Establishment proposed to be entertained for purposes of Police in the towns immediately around the Fort of Tanjore and the Villages which were made

made over to the Rajah in 1827, at an annual charge of Rupees 2700 per annum, as recommended by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in your letter No. 1016 of the 3d August last.

Fort William ... } /Signed/ F. H. Maddock
The 20th December 1841 } Secy to the Govt of India

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to their Proceedings of the 15th July 1841 No. 295 and to the Offices of account and Audit.

/Signed/ H.r Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(11-12)

To the Acg Pl Collr of Tanjore } Sir,

From the Acg Pl Collr of Tanjore 22d June in Cons 15 July 1841.
From the Pl Collr Trichy Cons 22d Novr 1841.

With reference to the letters noted in the Margin, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and guidance the accompanying copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Government of India bearing date the 20th

20th December 1841 and which was communicated to this Office under date the 14th Instant, from which you will perceive that the Governor General of India in Council has been pleased to sanction the establishment proposed to be entertained for the purposes of Police in the towns immediately around the Fort of Tanjore and the Villages which were made over to the Rajah in 1827 at an annual charge of Rupees 2,700.

In Cons 24th Jany 1842.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George ... } /Signed/ R. T. Porter
24th January 1842 ... } Acting Secretary

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

From the Chief Secy to Govt } Dated 14th January 1842.

Read the following

(13)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department dated 4th January 1842.

Resolved that paras 1 & 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 9th ultimo No. 437 be communicated to the Revenue and Judicial

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Judicial Departments, whence the necessary instructions will be issued requiring Judicial and Magisterial officers to cause all process against the relatives and dependants of the Rajah of Tanjore and the subjects of the Rajah Pondiman to be served through Mr Kindersley and Mr Blackburne respectively.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Robert Clerk
Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Political Department Dated 9th November, 1841.

Para 1. Ordered that the Residency of Tanjore be abolished, and that the duties of Resident, as connected with the Tanjore Rajah, be conducted by Mr Kindersley the Principal Collector of that District to whom a copy of this Despatch will be furnished.

2. Ordered that the Political Superintendence of the Pondoocottah State, hitherto exercised by the Resident at Tanjore

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Tanjore, be entrusted to the Principal Collector of Madura, which District adjoins the possessions of the Pondiman. Mr Blackburne's knowledge of the family, and the deep interest he is known to take in their welfare, render that Gentleman particularly fitted for this charge at the present period, when the minority of the young Rajah and the state of affairs at Pondoocottah call for more than ordinary care.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ Robert Clerk.
Secy to Government.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue and Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Udalut.

/Signed/ Hy Chamier
Chief Secretary.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the instructions conveyed in the foregoing communication be circulated for the information of the Magistrates in the Provinces by the following letter.

Circular

13-14

Circular.

Sir,

Circular to all Collrs except Madras Tanjore & Madura.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that in consequence of an order having been passed by Government under date the 9th Novem-

In Cons. 29th Novr 1841

ber last, directing that the duties of Resident as connected with the Tanjore Rajah, be conducted by Mr. Kindersley the Principal Collector of that District, and that the Political Superintendance of the Poodoocottah state hitherto exercised by the Resident at Tanjore be entrusted to Mr. Blackburne the Principal Collector of Madura; you are required to cause all process against the relations and dependants of the Rajah of Tanjore, and the subjects of the Rajah Tondiman to be served thro' the abovementioned Officers respectively

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th January 1841

Signed R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read

Read the following Extract.

From the Secy to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Department dated 12th January 1842.

15

Read the following papers.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. } Recd 2d December 1841

Letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue } Recd 6th December 1841

The Board are of opinion that the inconvenience arising from the recent combination amongst the Toddy and Arrack Shop Keepers, as also many of the irregularities brought to notice in the above papers are attributable to a long and systematic disregard by the Collector of Madras and by the Superintendent of Police of the Regulation "for granting Licenses to Venders of spirituous and other "intoxicating Liquors" in the Town of Madras. In this particular the Right Honorable the Governor in

Council

Council considers the conduct of those Officers to have been highly reprehensible; and now directs that Licenses required by Police Regulation 4. be issued, and that the provisions of that Regulation be strictly enforced so long as it may remain unrepealed.

His Lordship in Council does not consider the information given on the subject of the Establishment of Enam Toddy Shops satisfactory, and directs that the expediency of continuing the indulgence be considered in the Revenue Department.

(Signed) Robert Clerk.
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon.
15-16

Proceedings 2 December 1841.
To Govt. 16 Dec.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the letters therein recorded be furnished to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance.

The

The Collector is requested to submit his sentiments on the point alluded to at the conclusion of the above communication, viz. the expediency of continuing the indulgence enjoyed by the holders of the Enam Toddy shops in Madras.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

17

From the Coll. of Masulipatam

3rd Jan. 1842.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, sanctioning the nomination of S. Ramanoojarow to be Head Sheristadar of this District in succession to Ramakrishna Pundit.

Ramanoojarow

2. served in the District of Nellore

as

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

	Years	Months	Days
as follows.			
As Sub division Sheristadar from the 29th April 1830 to 31st October 1834	4	6	2
As Deputy Sheristadar from 1st November 1834 to 14th June 1835		7	14
	5	1	16
Of the above period of service according to the Rule contained in Para 1st of the circular letter from the Board dated 6th March 1828. 1/4th to be reckoned as	1	3	11
Add			
Period of service as Head Sheristadar of the said District from 15th June 1835 till removed on the 4th April 1841	5	9	20
	7	1	1

3

As he has as above shewn service
a period which is reckoned equivalent to
y. M. D.
y. 1. 1. as Head Sheristadar, I request
the Board's authority to pay him the same
rate of allowances as was lately granted
to Ramakistna Pundit by your letter dated 21st June last

Masulipatam,
Coll.rs Cutcherry,
4th January 1842.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector.

17-18 4th Order thereon -
Read again in Cons.n 3rd Febtuary
Ordered to be sent again in circulation -
Read

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Read the following letters.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Guntoor Submitting the reports on the Settlement for Fusly 1250.

I have the honor to submit the usual Statements explanatory of the Settlement of the Revenue of Guntoor and Palnaud, for Fusly 1250 Viz.

19

1 Statement shewing the Settlement of Guntoor including Palnaud -

2 General Abstract Statement, comparative of the Demand for Fusslies 1249 and 1250 -

3 Statement comparative of the Settlement of Palnaud for Fusslies 1249 & 1250

4 Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of Land revenue in Palnaud for Fusly 1250 -

5 Statement of Collections & Charges -

6 Statement shewing the Lands in Palnaud taken on favorable terms, the amounts leviable

leviable according to the terms of the
Cowle, and the full assessment on
the said Lands.-

Season 2 A deficiency of rain characterized
the season of Fusly 1250- In the Month of
June a seasonable supply of rain fell
throughout July, partial showers only were
received, but no regular and seasonable fall-
No rain fell in August till the latter end of
the Month- Seasonable fall of rain occurred in
September, but no rain fell after that Month
The rain at the commencement of the season
(June) favored the sowing of the Poonasa or early
crops in the Guntoor portion of the District
but the deficiency of rain subsequently till the
end of August, proved an obstacle to the full
cultivation of the Poonasa Lands- This
failure of rain was also felt in regard to the
sowing of the Sanna Lands and particularly
so in respect to the sowing of Oil seeds Grain
&c. the late crops- Thus the fuller extent of land
taken up was not sown- The Sanna produce
on

on the whole was rather above that of
an ordinary year- The red paddy on low lands as
well as the finer description of paddy under
Tanks both of which depend on the falling rains
chiefly perished from the failure of the rain
but the red paddy under the inundation wa-
-tered by the Kistna channels as well
as the fine paddy irrigated by Tanks
supplied by these channels yielded a
full return-

3- In the Palnaud, the general
character of the season was the same- The
Sanna yielded a fair outturn, the late crops
of the season as Grain &c. were, not fully
sown, from the wants of rain the cotton
produce was about an ordinary
crop- there was no wet cultivation
with a trifling exception.-

4 On the whole, the general
out-turn must be considered favora-
-ble- Small pox prevailed throughout the
District

Districts scarcely a Village was free from the infection and the ordinary pursuits of the people as petty trade in Salt &c. was impeded by it. Great distress was felt from the scarcity of water for men and Cattle, as the quantity of rain that fell was small and no fall occurred from October to the following May, nearly 8 Months. The early setting in of the South west monsoon in May, removed the distress experienced from wants of water. The small pox abated after the change of monsoon.

5 The advantages of river channels were fully tested this year. The Vellatoor channel furnished a supply of water to the Allaur &c. Tanks of the Wusereddy Estate. The Maoengay channel was sufficiently advanced to admit of water being let in without injury. The inundation under this channel was very extensive. The crops both coarse & fine paddy under these channels yielded a full return whereas

depended
whereas the crops which on the falling rains alone perished. This result points out the expediency of completing the Kistna Channel projects as early as practicable.

6 The prices of Grain were low at the commencement of the Fusly. The failure of rain in July, and August occasioned a temporary rise, but prices fell again after the rain at the end of August and after the harvest, they stood at Rupees 22-5-7 per Candy for Jonna and Rupees 24-5-11 per Candy for paddy of the 1st sort and Rupees 21-8-6 of the 2d Sort.

General Revenue results.

7 The revenue of the year from all sources, exhibited a net increase of Rupees 12,998-8-3 which is composed of Rupees 75,334-1-7 increase, and Rupees 62,335-9-4 decrease. The particulars are shewn in the following comparative Statements.

Items

No	Items.	Collections in Fusly 1249.	Collections in Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
	Land Revenue:-				
1	Zemindaries	77,532 3 8	83,270 9 4	5,737 5 8	" " "
2	Paliand District	16,323 11 5	16,437 5 4	113 9 11	" " "
	Total	93,856 15 1	99,137 14 8	6,249 15 7	" " "
	Extra Sources,-				
3	Salt	35,395 " 7	29,193 9 12 1	" " "	6,201 4 6
4	Sayer	6,381 5 10	6,385 3 6	2,003 13 8	" " "
5	Sea Customs	2,777 12 6	2,457 7 8	" " "	320 4 10
6	Abkarry	12,764 6 11	12,868 14 3	104 7 4	" " "
7	Sundry Small Items	9,597 6 2	9,976 8 6	379 2 4	" " "
8	Maturpha	37,731 11 11	42,102 7 1	4,378 11 2	" " "
9	Stamps	8,421 4 5	14,398 3 11	5,976 15 6	" " "
	Total	1,87,621 " 4	4,38,128 9 "	12,843 2 "	6,233 5 4
	Grand Total	14,24,267 15 5	14,37,266 7 8	7,533 4 7	6,233 5 4
	Deduct decrease				6,233 5 4
	Nett Increase				1,299 8 3

The

8 The Guntoor Circar consists of 882 Villages settled on the Zemindary Tenure, and one Village, Inaudar, held free of rent. This Village was held as subsistence by the descendants of the late Cazee, but has now been made over to the present incumbent in the Office of Cazy, as it has been decided that the Village goes with the Office. The permanent Peishcush on the 882 Villages above-mentioned amounts to Rupees 12,25,458-7-7 The sum paid into the public Treasury on this account, during the Fusly under report is Rupees 7,54,444-9-11. The Peishcush, assessed on each Estate, with a view of the payments made by each during Fusly 1250, and the balance at the close thereof, are shewn in the following Statement:-

See Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 10th May 1841.

Number

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

No.	Names of the Zemindaries.—	No. of the Vil. lages	Demand for fusly. 1250	Collections within the fusly.	Balance
			Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
	Estates under the Management of the Proprietors				
1	Rajpully	67	92,628 11 8	66,790 11 -	25,738 - 8
2	Suttanapully	80	1,26,700 - -	79,508 11 4	47,191 4 8
3	Vasereddy	333	5,40,737 7 4	2,75,408 14 7	2,65,328 11 9
	Total	480	7,59,966 3 -	4,21,708 1 11	3,38,258 1 1
	Under attachment				
4	Malranzewar	323	3,38,792 4 7	2,31,718 8 -	1,07,073 12 7
5	Chilkalooripand	79	1,26,700 - -	1,01,018 - -	25,682 - -
	Total	402	4,65,492 4 7	3,32,736 8 -	1,32,755 12 7
	Grand Total	882	12,25,458 7 7	7,54,444 9 11	4,71,013 13 8

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.—

The Balance of Peishcush outstanding at the Close of the Fusly. as exhibited in the foregoing Statement is Rupees 4,71,013–13–8 Of this a sum of Rupees 9,492–5–6 has been realized up to the end of October the particulars of which are as follows.—

Wapseredy	8,892–5–6
Rapully	600 - -
	9,492–5–6

At the commencement of Fusly 1250 the arrears of Peishcush amounted to Rs 4,82,467–10–6 During this Fusly, the sum of Rs 7,8255–15–6 were discharged on this account & Rs 1,20,899–8–1 arrears of Fusslies 1241–1242 & 1243 in the Rapully Estates, have been remitted by Government The aggregate of these two sums Rs 1,99,154–15–6 being deducted from the whole arrears at the beginning of the year, there remains the sum of Rupees 4,62,312–11– due on account of arrears of Peishcush

The following memorandum shews the particulars composing this Balance.—

Fusslies

1090 || Short Leases 24th January 1841

Assessments. — Tenements, Hereditaments, Messuages, Lands, Tithes, Rents, Profits, Hereditaments, Offices, Salaries, Fees, Emoluments, Pensions, Annuities, Gratuities, Compensations, Allowances, Perquisites, Benefits, Advantages, Privileges, Immunities, Exemptions, Rights, Powers, Authorities, Jurisdictions, Franchises, Liberties

Fort St. George 24th January 1841

Jushis.	Rajahully Estate	Suttanapully Estate	Vajseredily Estate	Mulrangy Estate.	Sahebkatoo pandi Estate.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Arrears at the commencement of Fusly 1250.						
Remissions granted as per Government Sanction dated 21st July 1835						
1241	8835 3 9					8835 3 9
1242	52506 4 8					52506 4 8
1243	59557 7 8					59557 7 8
Total Remission	120899 - 1					120899 - 1
Grand Total of Collections	122108 5 1	13050 - -	62439 10 5		1557 - -	199154 15 6
Balance at the end of the Fusly 1250						
1241			12469 4 6	625 5 10	3858 8 -	19123 2 4
1242		28875 - -	12504 13 6	1818 11 11	2707 4 7	30282 11 11
1243		60001 4 8	11742 4 11	2371 9 11 11	51480 10 4	400111 15 10
1244	69684 15 3	92880 7 11	3525 13 9	22572 8 5	87821 8 4	828101 5 8
1245	37350 12 5	47801 4 8	2564 11 3 3	201553 4 7	64289 2 3	607404 11 2
1246	39064 12 8	54341 4 8	2540 6 11 3	183563 11 11	64630 5 7	595662 1 1
1247	44573 11 9	76265 4 8	2643 8 13 8	170363 11 11	01576 - 11	017566 10 11
1248	29942 - 8	64864 4 8	1998 7 10 4	161024 11 11	53828 3 11	512532 15 6
1249	23093 15 8	59626 - -	2087 11 9	115027 11 11	42396 9 2	445931 - 7
Total Balance	243710 4 5	481059 15 3	190362 9 10	15413510 4	456966 3 2	4027312 11 -

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

11 The total Payments, Currents and Arrears made by each Estate in Fasslies 1249 and 1250, with the Increase and Decrease are shewn in the following Statement.

Number

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Number	Names of the Estates	Collections for fasly 1249.	Collections for fasly 1250	Increase	Decrease
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
1	Rapully Estate	69,725 7 11	68,000 " "	" "	1725 7 11
2	Suttanapully Do.	58,200 " "	92,558 11 4	34,358 11 4	" " "
3	Vaysereddy Do.	337,530 6 7	337,848 6 11	317 15 5	" " "
4	Mulnaujel Do.	2,237,64 8 8	2,31,718 8 "	7953 15 3	" " "
5	Chilkaloorpaud Do.	86,102 13 5	1,02,575 " "	16,472 2 7	" " "
	Total	7,75,323 3 8	8,52,700 9 4	59,102 12 8	1725 7 11
	Deduct Decrease				1725 7 11
	Net Increase				57,377 5 8

The

12- The Estates of Rapilly, Sattanapilly, and Vajeereddy are under the management of their respective proprietors. The Chilkalore paud Estate consists of 2 Subdivisions. The Tallore moiety managed by the late Maunoor Veneanarow, was attached on the death of that individual, and the Board under date the 11th January 1841. directed that the other moiety, belonging to Maunoor Venceata Hanoomayemmah the widow of the late Venceata Narasimma row, which was also managed by this late Veneannarow, should be attached. Thus the whole Estate is under the Officers of Government. The Mulnauze Estate is also managed by the Officers of Government.

13- As each Estate will be reported on separately according to the instructions of the Board contained in

in their proceedings dated 22nd September 1840, the general results only will be adverted to in this place.

14- The Poonasa Crops yielded a full return, and the Cholum was above that of an ordinary year. In the Vajeereddy Estate, the advantages of the Kistna Channels were enjoyed, and the produce under them was abundant, but the wet produce under Tanks in the other Estates fell short. The Pyra or late Crops were not fully sown from the deficiency of rain.

Rapilly. 15- Manickarow Sanganarow, the proprietor of Rapilly, has had the management of his property during Six years, having been in possession of the same since the 12th August 1835. The Peishcush of this Estate Amounts to Rupees 9,625-11-8. The payments made within the Fusly on account of

Peishcush

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Peishcush was Rupees 68,000, being =
Rupees 1,725—7—u less than the pay=
=ments for the corresponding
period of Fusly 1249. The pay=
=ments above adverted
to, include the sum of
Rupees 904—3—u Moturpha remission.
The proprietor omitted, as in past
Fuslies, to send an Estimate of the
probable out-turn of the year,
which precludes any Comparison
between the expected out turn
and actual results.—

	Rupees	a.	p.
Payments in Fusly 1249 including Arrears	69725	7	u
u 1250	68,000	—	u u
Decrease	1,725	7	u

16. The payments, both =
Current and arrears, made by
the Zemindar within each =
Fusly, during the Six years
of Management, are as fol=
=lows.—

Fusly

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Fusly 1245	Current		52,177	15 3
u 1246	Current	51,463	15 u	
u	Arrears	3000	u u	
			54,463	15 u
u 1247	Current	42,204	3 u	
u	Arrears	2000	u u	
			42,204	3 u
u 1248	Current		6,086	11 u
	Arrears	7,991	14 9	
	Deduct the Amount			
	realized by the Court			
	from the sale of old			
	renters property	241 1 10		
		7,750	12 11	
			68,837	7 11
u 1249	Current		68,225	7 u
	Arrears	1500	u u	
			69,725	7 u
u 1250	Current		66,790	11 u
	Arrears	1209	5 u	
			68,000	u u

The

17th The aggregate payments, including those made within the fusly and those subsequently, are as follows.

Fusly 1245	within the fusly		52177 15 3	
	Subsequently upto 30th Sept.r 1836	3000 " "		
			55177 15 3	
" 1246	within the fusly	61,463 15 "		
	Subsequently upto 31st Dec.r 1837	2000 " "		
			53463 15 "	
" 1247	within the fusly	40204 3 "		
	Subsequently upto 31st Aug.t 1838	6000 " "		
			46204 3 "	
" 1248	within the fusly	61086 11 "		
	Arrears	799 11 9		
	Deduct on account of produce of Fusly 1247	600 " "		
	Amount realized by the Court from the sale of old pointed property	241 1 10		
		6241 1 10		
		1750 12 11		
			62837 7 11	
" 1249	within the fusly	19725 7 "		
	Subsequently upto the end of Sept.r 1840	1209 5 "		
			70934 12 "	
" 1250	within the fusly	68000 " "		
	Subsequently upto the end of Oct.r 1841	600 " "		
			68600 " "	

The

18th The arrears against this Estate at the close of Fusly 1250, amount to Rupees 2,43,710 — 4 — 5. the particulars of which, are shewn in the Statement in Para 10.

Sultanapully 19th The management of the Sultanapully Estate was placed in the hands of Maunoor Vencata Gopaulrow, the proprietor, on the 23rd December 1835. The Pishcash of the Estate is Rupees 16,700 " " The Estimate of probable Collections for the fusly under report, was forwarded by Gopaulrow on the 20th October 1840. and Amounted to Rupees 99,216 — 9 — 10. The sum paid within the Fusly on account of the Peishcush was Rupees 79,508 — 11 — 4. The Charges according to the Amount fixed are Rupees 12,980, these two items being deducted, there is a difference of Rupees 6,727 — 14 — 6. between the Estimate Collections and actual payments, and Charges.—

The

20. The payments made by the managing proprietor during the Six years the Estate has been under his charge are as follows.-

Fasly. 1245	Current			74198	11 4
" 1246	Current		57848	11 4	
	Arrears		4700	" "	
				62548	11 4
" 1247	Current		40304	11 4	
	Arrears		14510	" "	
				54814	11 4
" 1248.	Current		60660	11 4	
	Arrears		10130	" "	
				70790	11 4
" 1249	Current		57025	" "	
	Arrears		1175	" "	
				58200	" "
" 1250	Current		79508	11 4	
	Arrears		13050	" "	
				92558	11 4

The

21. The aggregate payments on account of each year both within the Fasly, and subsequently, are as follows.-

Fasly 1245	within the fasly			74198	11 4
	Subsequently upto 30th September 1836		4700	" "	
				78898	11 4
" 1246	within the fasly			57848	11 4
	Subsequently upto 31st December 1837		14510	" "	
				72358	11 4
" 1247	within the fasly			40304	11 4
	Subsequently upto 31st August 1838		10130	" "	
				50434	11 4
" 1248	within the fasly			60660	11 4
	Subsequently upto 30th September 1839		675	" "	
				61335	11 4
" 1249	within the fasly			57025	" "
	Arrears		1175	" "	
	Deduct on account of produce of fasly 1248	675	" "		
		500	" "		
				57525	" "
	Subsequently upto the end of Sept. 1840		13050	" "	
				70575	" "
" 1250	within the fasly			92558	11 4

The

22. The arrears of Peishcush at the close of Fusly 1250, amounted to Rupees 4,84,669—16—3. the particulars of which, are shewn in the Statement in Para 10.

23. The Vaseereddy Estate consists of 333 Villages, with a Peishcush of Rupees 5,40,737—7—4. Vaseereddy Ramanadababoo, the proprietor, was placed in the management of the property on the 12th August 1836. at the close of October, an Estimate of probable Collections and Charges were received, the probable Collections amounted to Rupees 5,35,107—10—u and the charges to Rupees 67,000—u—u leaving Rupees

Rupees 4,78,107—10—u to be paid into the Government Treasury under the head of Peishcush, of which Rupees 3,80,892—8—1. were on account of current Peishcush and Rupees 97,215—1—11. on account of arrears. The actual payments on account of Peishcush, Current and arrears, and inclusive of Rupees 5,284—7—1. Moturpha remission, amount to Rupees 3,37,848—6—u Moturpha remission being deducted, the actual payments, out of the probable Collections

× Current 275,408-11-7
Arrears 62,439 10 5
337,848 6 u
Deduct Moturpha Remission 5284 7 1
Actual payments 332,563 14 11

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Collections in Rupees 3,32,563—14—11.

Do as per Rupees 14,5543—11—1.

Estimated payment 4,78,107 10-2.

Actual Do 3,32,563 14 11 less than the Estimated

Difference 14,5543 11 1. payment.

24- The charges are Estimated

at Rupees 57,000—"—" but Rupees

34,020—"—" compose the fixed

amount of charge.-

25- The proprietor has made

a further payment of Rupees 8,392

5- 6- Subsequently to the close

of Fusly 1250.-

26- Ramanadababoo has

had the Management of his

Estate from Fusly 1246 inclusive

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

The payments on account of Peishcush

within each Fusly are as follows.-

Fusly 1246 Current 2,48,344 12 2

Arrears 7,481 7 1

2,55,829 3 3.

" 1247 Current 2,42,992 13

Arrears 38,042 28

2,81,034 3 11

" 1248 Current 2,76,776 5

Arrears 38,666 2

3,15,442 6 7

" 1249 Current 2,76,432 10 7

Arrears 61,077 12 "

3,37,530 6 7

" 1250 Current 2,75,408 11 7

Arrears 61,439 10 5

3,37,848 6 "

27- The aggregate payments both within

the Fusly and Subsequently are as follows.-

Fusly

1105

Fort-St George 24th January 1842.

Fasly	1246	Current		2,48,344 12 2	
		Arrears	7,484 7 1		
				2,55,829 3 3	
		Subsequently upto 31st December 1837.			
		Current	28,956 6 7		
		Arrears	818 4 7		
			29,774 11 2		
				2,85,603 14 5	
"	1247	Current	2,12,992 1 3		
		Arrears	38,042 2 8		
		Deduct produce for fasly 1246	29,774 11 2		
			8,267 7 6	2,51,259 8 9	
		Subsequently upto 31st August 1838.			
		Current	13,853 13 9		
		Arrears	513 8 8		
			14,367 6 5	2,65,626 15 2	
"	1248	Current	27,677 " 5		
		Arrears	38,666 6 2		
		Deduct produce for fasly 1247	14,367 6 5		
			24,298 15 9	3,01,075 " 2	
		Subsequently upto the end of Septr 1839			
		Current	19,606 15 7		
		Arrears	696 10 11		
			20,305 10 6	32,380 10 8	
"	1249	Current	27,452 10 7		
		Arrears	61,077 12 "		
		Deduct produce for fasly 1248	20,305 10 6		
			49,772 1 6		
				3,17,224 12 1.	
		Subsequently upto the end of September 1840.			
		Current	22,821 10 "		
		Arrears	2,189 12 3		
			25,011 6 3		
				3,42,236 2 4	
"	1250.	Current	27,408 11 7		
		Arrears	62,439 10 5		
		Deduct produce for fasly 1249	25,011 6 3		
			37,428 4 2		
				3,12,836 15 9	
		Subsequently upto the end of October 1841		8,892 5 6	
				3,21,729 5 3	

The

28- The arrears of Peishcush against this Estate at the close of Fusly was Rupees 1,903,624-9-10, the particulars of which will be found in the Statement in Para 10.

29- The Mulraunze and Chitkalore paid Estates are under the management of the Officers of Government. As it is the desire of the Board, that the result only of the year as regards Zemindary Estates, should be brought to notice in the Annual report, and the management in detail reported on separately, I proceed to notice the general results in the two Estates abovementioned.

See Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 10th Sept. 1840. Para. 20.

Mulraunze Estate. 30- The assessment on dry Cultivation in the Mulraunze Estate for the Fusly under review exhibits an increase of Rupees 19,656.2-2 above

Demand.

above that of the preceding year. In the Wet Cultivation, there is a decrease of Rupees 19,883—0—8. arising from the failure of the local rains, on which the Tanks of the Estate almost entirely depend. The particulars of the settlement for each Tanah, are shewn in the following Statement, in Comparison with the Settlement of Fusly 1249.

Tanahs

1108

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

Tanahs.	Fusly 1249. Dry land.	Wet land.	Total.	Fusly 1250. Dry land.	Wet land.	Total.	Increase between Columns 2. and 5.	Decrease between Columns 3 and 6.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Innacondah	1,837. 15 6.	10,710 11 4	52,548. 10 10.	43,339 2. 3.	6,672 11 11.	50,011 14 2.	1,501 2 9.	4,037 15 5.
Cloor	72,825. 8 8.	7,216. 14 3.	80,042 6 11.	79,685. 9 6.	2,622 9 4.	82,308 2 10.	6,860 " 10.	4,594 4 11.
Bellumcondah	31,854. 12 7.	9,265. 7 7.	44,120. 4 2.	37,307. 6 4.	7,310 1 5.	44,617. 7 9.	2,452 9 9.	1,955. 6 2
Rauchoor	99,182. 10 11.	12,917. 11 4.	112,100. 6 3.	108,024 15 9.	3,622 5 2.	111,647 4 11.	8,842 4 10.	9,295. 6 2
Total	2,18,700. 15 8.	40,110 12 6.	2,88,811. 12 2	2,68,357. 1 10	20,227 11 10.	2,88,584 13 8.	19,656. 2 2	19,883. " 8

Collections. 31. The total Collections within the Jurisdiction of the Zillah Courts, as Referred to in the 2, 85, 254 — 12 — 1. the particulars of which are contained in the accounts with

with the Collections in Jurisdiction 1249, are exhibited in the following Statement:—

Items

1111

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

January 1842.

Items.	Fusly 1249. Dryland.	Wetland.	Total.	Fusly 1250. Dryland.	Wetland.	Total.	Increase. Dryland.	Wetland.	aggregate
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ A P	₹ 2,31,718
Current	2,26,809. 13 6.	31,807 " 10	2,58,616 11 4.	2,15,751. 3 11.	12,741 5 3.	2,58,492 9 2	18,941 6 5.		
Arrears	6,275. 11 9.	835 9 2	7,111 4 11	5,666. 3 5.	7,998 2 11.	13,664 6 4.	" " "	7,162. 9 9.	ten
Total	2,33,085. 9 3.	32,642 10 "	2,65,728. 3 3.	2,51,417. 7 4	20,739. 8 2.	2,72,156. 15 6.	18,941 6 5.	7,162 9 9.	under
Extra Revenue	" " "	" " "	203. 13 10.	" " "	" " "	203 1 8.	" " "	" " "	hand.
Advances for Cultivation	" " "	" " "	6,131. 14 8.	" " "	" " "	5,492. 2 3.	" " "	" " "	of the
Moturpha remission	" " "	" " "	3,588. 8 8.	" " "	" " "	3,588. 8 8.	" " "	" " "	Out
Refunds of advances for cultivation, repairs. &c.	" " "	" " "	1,410. 14 6.	" " "	" " "	3,411 " "	" " "	" " "	turn,
Total.	" " "	" " "	2,77,063. 6 11.	" " "	" " "	2,84,851. 12 1.	" " "	" " "	ues.
Balance at the Commencement of the Fusly	" " "	" " "	4,211 5 8	" " "	" " "	403 " "	" " "	" " "	
Grand Total	" " "	" " "	2,81,274. 12 7	" " "	" " "	2,85,254. 12 1.	" " "	" " "	ther

(Decrease.)

Items.	Total.	Dry land.	Wet land.	Total
1	10	11.	12	13
	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P	Rs As P
Current	18941. 6 5.	" " "	19065 11 7	19065 11 7.
Arrears	7162 9 9	609 8 4	" " "	609 8 4
Total	26101 " 2	609 8 4	19065 11 7	19676. 3 11.
Extra Revenue	" " "	" " "	" " "	" 12 2
Advances for Cultivation	" " "	" " "	" " "	639 12 5.
Moturpha remission	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Refunds of advances for cultivation, repairs. V^ca	2000 1 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	28101 1 8	" " "	" " "	20316 12 6
Balance at the Commencement of the Fasly	" " "	" " "	" " "	3808 5 8
Grand Total	28104 1 8	" " "	" " "	24124 2 2
	24124 2 2	Deduct decrease.		
	3979 15 6	Net Increase.		

Of

Fort St. George 24th January 1812.

32. Of the aggregate Collections, Rupees 2,31,718 8 were credited to Government under the head of Peishcush. The particulars of the payment made out of the Collections, are as follows.

Numbers

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

No.	Items.	Amount. P.	A.	P.	Total. P.	A.	P.
1	Peishcush	2,31,718.	8	"			
2	Collectors Commission	3,642.	10	11.	2,35,264.	2	11.
3	Fixed Establishment, including Saderwarad 21088 12 7.						
4	Extra Dº Dº 3931 " 9.	25019.	13	4			
5	Batta to Huzzoor servants	168.	8	"			
6	Endowments to Pagodas	1,289.	7	9			
7	Repairs of tanks	5,081.	15	1.			
8	Repairing the embankments of Kistna	37.	12	"			
9	Advances for Cultivation	6,652.	12	"			
10	Paid to the Curnums of Condapatoor in lieu of their fees	57.	12	"			
11	Village Saiderwared	29.	7				
12	Repairs of Cutcherries &ca	118.	"	2			
13	Law Charges	12.	6	6			
14	Refunds of excess Collections to Poosapauty ryots	112.	3	7.			
15	Hire for conveying Palmira Seeds, and sugar cane to be planted at Evany, repairing the Wall of the Pound for Cattle at Ratoor and Cost of the Seal of the Ratchoor Aumeen	14.	2	6.			
16	Subsistence of the Zemindar	11,000.	"	"			
					49,567.	6	6.
	Total Charges				2,84,828.	9	5.
	Balance at the Close of the fusly				426.	2	8.
	Grand Total				2,85,254.	12	1.

Sheet

33. The arrears of Peishcush on this Estate at the close of Fusly 1250, was Rupees 15,41.357 — 10 — 4 — the particulars of which, are shewn in the statement in Para 10.

Chilkalarpaud. 34. This Estate consists of 2 equal subdivisions, Sooloor and Pala = =parroo, and comprises 79 Villages. In Con = =sequence of the death of Manoor Vencan = =naow, the proprietor of the Soolloor moiety, and who managed both subdivisions, the whole Estate was attached under the order of the Board dated 11th January 1841. The settlement of Fusly 1250, in comparison with 1249, is shewn in the following Statement.

Items.

No.	Items.	Settlement for fusly 1249.	Ditto for fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
		Rs. A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P
1	Dry land	1,12,881.13 "	1,25,092.14 8.	12,211.1 8	" " "
2	Wet Land	9,900.13 3.	3,088. " 4	" "	6,812. 12 11.
	Total	1,22,782.10 3	1,28,180.15 "	12,211. 1 8.	6,812. 12 11.
	Deduct decrease			6,812 12 11	
	Net Increase			5,398. 4 9.	

35. The Collections in Fusly 1250, in comparison with Fusly 1249, are shewn in the following Statement.

Statement

Fort St. George 24th January 1812.

Items.	Fusly 1249. Dry land.	Wet land.	Total.	Fusly 1250. Dry land.	Wet land.	Total.	Increase between Col. 4 & 5.	Decrease between Col. 4 & 5.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps	Pr As Ps
Current	99,279. 7 5½	2,157 1 6.	1,01,436. 8 11½	1,1099 5. 15 7½	2,875. " 9.	1,13,871 " 4½	12,1,34 7 5.	" " "
Arrears	10,335. 10 1½	1,452 5 10	11,787 15 11½	6,307 6 2	7,582 10 9.	13,890. " 11	2,102 " 11½	" " "
Total	109,615. 1 7	3,609. 7 4	1,13,224. 8 11.	1,17,303. 5 9½	10,457 11 6.	1,27,761. 1 3½	14,536. 8 4½	" " "
Advances for Cultivation	" " "	" " "	2,400. 11 1.	" " "	" " "	1,725. 7 1.	2,324 12 "	" " "
Items not included in the Dowls	" " "	" " "	1,862 9 7.	" " "	" " "	1,224 12 9.	" " "	637. 12 10.
Moturpha	" " "	" " "	1,250 13 5.	" " "	" " "	1,250 13 5.	" " "	" " "
Total	" " "	" " "	1,18,738. 11 "	" " "	" " "	1,34,962 2 6½	16,861. 4 4½	637. 12 10.

Deduct decrease 637. 12 10.

Net Increase 16,223. 7 6½

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

30. The following Statement exhibits the different heads under which the Collections of Fusly 1251, have been appropriated.

Number

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

No.	Items.	Amount.	Total.
		Rs. A. p.	Rs. A. p.
1	Pishcush	102575. " "	
2	Fixed Establishment including Saderwared	2437-1-10	
3	Extra Do. Do.	1657-12-11	4,094 14 9.
4	Batta to Huzzoor Servants		4 4 "
5	Endowments to Shree Narsimhaswamy Pagoda at Trangalagherry		19 4 "
6	Allowance to Veneata Baratummah Dependant Zemindar	825 " "	4943. 6 9.
	Amount of Collections in the possession of the Proprietors before the attachment of the Estate		
	Charges management, Subsistence allowance including the allowance of Bharatummah as fixed	5479. 13 8.	
	In the possession of the Proprietors	79,648 1 4½	25,127.15 ½
			132,546. 12 9½
	Balance at the close of the fusly		2,315 12 9.
			134,962 2 6½

In

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

37. In my letter dated 12th August 1841 reporting on the out-turn of Fusly 1250, it was stated that a sum of Rupees 19,665—5—10½ had been collected before the Estate was attached by the Officers of Government. In adjusting the accounts of Collection and Charges, Rupees 17-4-6 have been deducted from this amount, making a sum of Rupees 19,648—1—4, for which the Widows have to afford explanation.

38. The arrears of Peishcush due on this Estate up to the close of Fusly 1250, amount to Rupees 4,56,960—3—2, the particulars

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

particulars of which are exhibited in the Statement in Para 10.

Extra Sources of Revenue.

39. In the extra Sources of Revenue there is a net decrease of Rupees 59,186—12—2, which is composed of a gross decrease of Rupees 67,461—10—4, and of a gross increase of Rupees 8,274-14—2. The particulars are shewn in the following Statement.—

Number

No.	Names of Items.	Fusly 1249. Rs. A. b.	Fusly 1250. Rs. A. b.	Increase. Rs. A. b.	Decrease. Rs. A. b.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Salt	35,3955 7.	29,1939. 12 1.	u u u	62,015 4 6.	No 1 The decrease under this head, arises Chiefly from the Salt Sawdahs which came last year to the Salt Colaurs in this district having resorted to those in the Masulipatam and Nellore districts this year.
2	Sayer	62,381 5 10.	64,385. 3 6.	2,003 13 8.	u u u	No 2 This increase arising Chiefly in the Exportation of Castor Oil Seeds, Tobacco &c.
3	Sea Customs	2,777 12 6.	2,457 7 8.	u u u	320 4 10.	No 3 This decrease is Chiefly owing to the diminished exportation of Pulse, Coriander Seed &c.
4	Abkarry	12,589 8 u	12,859. 7 u	269 15 u	u u u	No 4 and 5. From the improved Condition of the District.
5	Sundry Small farms	9,688 7 3.	9,712 9 3.	24 2 u	u u u	
6	Moturpha	44,260 1 7.	39,134 u 7.	u u u	5,126 1 u	No 6. From the reductions of the tax on Sheep and Goats from 6 to 3 - 8 - u. per 100.
7	Stamps	8,421 4 5.	14,398 3 11.	5,976 15 6.	u u u	No 7. On money papers &c.
	Total	4,94,073. 8 2	4,34,886. 12 u	8,274 14 2	67,461 10 4	
	Deduct increase				8,274 14 2	
	Net decrease				59,186 12 2	

As

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

40. As the Column of remarks contains information in regard to the increase and decrease, it is unnecessary to enter into further detail in this place.-

41 The Government district of Palnaud, consists of the Talooks of Datcha-pully and Joonnumarugode, in which are included 152 Villages as follows-

134. Sary Villages-

17. Shrotriem Agraharums-

1/52 Surva Agraharums-

The Jummabundy of Fusly 1250. was made by myself The principle of the Settlement is Ryotwar, with a fixed assessment on each field. According to usual Custom, land ploughed but not sown, was not included in the Dowl, Waste lands was assessed under the rules which obtain in the Palnaud, the rates of assessment on

See Jummabundy Report for Fusly 1249. Para 75.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Season. on such lands are before the Board-

42. The June Rains were favorable to the Sowing of the Poonassa, and Jonna Crops, and though these sustained some injury during the subsequent interval of drought till the end of August, the fall of rain at the latter end of that month proved beneficial, and the out turn of both was on the whole good- The Cotton Crops yielded an ordinary return- The failure of rain from October prevented the full Cultivation of the lands for Pyra or late Crops, and such Crops as came to maturity afforded a poor out-turn from this Cause- The fair return of Jonna and Cotton assisted the Collection, but the profits of the Ryots were affected by the failure of

1124

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

of the late Crops.

43 The drought in July and August raised the prices of grain, but these fell again with the rain at the end of the last mentioned month, and at the harvest in April, stood at Rupees 23—2—10. per Pooty for Jonna, and Rupees 23—2—10. per Pooty for wet produce of the 1st Sort, and Rupees 21—7—4 of the 2d Sort.—

44. The general result of the year is a decrease of Rupees 18,421-9—4. in the Settlement, and an increase of Rupees 5,113—9—11. in the Collections—

45. The dry land included in the Settlement with the assessment thereon, is shewn in the following Statement, in Comparison with Fusly 1249.—

Fuslies

1125

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Statistical Table

Detailed Statement of Unassessed Lands.

Fusly.	Extent of Lands Cultivated.	Amount of Assessment.	Extent of Lands of last Cultivated.	Amount of Assessment of last
1249	Acres 5,32,957	Rupees 49,684	Acres 3,44,342	Rupees 44,571
1250	32,823	44,342	5,342	5,342
Decrease	3127	5,342		

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

46 The net decrease in the land, is Com=
=prosed of a gross decrease of Acres. 15,442 — Cents. 25 — and a gross increase of A. 10,232 — C. 1 — The net decrease in the assessment is Composed of, Rupees 23,346 — 13 — 1 — gross decrease, and of Rupees 14,059 — 12 — 11 — gross increase. The par=
=ticulars are as follows —

Land —

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

	Acres	C.	Rs.	A.	P.
Land given up in fusly 1250.					
On account of deaths	97.	10.	128	14	"
" Emigration	942	10.	1,296.	10	10.
" Poor ryots	6,144	"	9,230.	11	6.
" Farms partly given up by poor ryots	8,253	1.	12,665	11	4.
" Garden land	6	4.	24	13	5.
Total	15,442.	25.	23,346	13	1.
Deduct.					
Increase of land taken up in fusly 1250. Land again taken up out of the portions given up as above	4,358	12	6,038	15	10.
Do out of that given up before fusly 1250, and waste which has been long since unoccupied	5,837	35.	4,657.	7	7.
Garden land	35	34	135	3	3.
Difference in the accounts	"	"	"	2	1.
The increased rate of Sist on waste land taken up in previous years	"	"	3,228.	"	2.
Total	10,232	1.	14,059	12	11.
Net decrease	5,210	24.	9,287.	"	2

In

Fort St.a George 24.th January 1842.

47 In the waste land Viz.t
Acres cents.
5827.—35.— taken up in the Fusly under
report, there is an exctent of A.s 1284 —Cs 14. of
waste, which has been unoccupied since
a long period, and does not therefore
bear an assessment the first year, and
an exctent of A.s 1355 —Cs 26. of land assessed as
the first year under the rules regar=
=ding the occupation of waste
lands. these two items
form a total of A.s 3140 —— 4, the
full assessment on which
is Rupees 3530 —— 5 —— 4. of
this Rupees 856 —— 14 —— 10.
were demanded this as
year. Ryots, who threw
up land bearing the full
assessment, were not allowed as
to occupy waste on the re=
=duced assessment.—

The

Fort St.a George 24.th January 1842.

Wet Cultiv=
=ation.

48. The wet land as
under Cultivation in
Fusly 1250. was A.s 1085. Cs 15. and
the assessment thereon
was Rupees 3424 — 5 — 3, as
being a decrease of A.s 677. —
Cs 26. in the land, and of
Rupees 1586 — 4 — 9. in the assess=
=ment below the preceding year.
The extent of land Cultivat=
=ed with the assessment and
centage are as follows.

Fusslies

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

No.	Taalukh.	Extent of Land Cultivated.		Quantity of Seed Rain reserved to Grain.		Acreage said Seed to amount per Candy with Measure.		Quantity of Produce.		Remarks.
		Acres	c	Kalams	Rs	Rs	Rs			
1	1249	1263.	12	5	6	6½	15	15013	2½	
2	1250	1085.	15	5	6	10	15	11010	10	
3	Decrease	178.	26	4	6	3½	12	3424	5	
								7,358	4	
								1,457	13¼	
								5515.	9¼	

No.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

49. The deficiency of rain occasioned a fall-ing off in the extent of land cultivated, and the failure of, the rains in the latter part of the year, affected the quantity of produce where the Crops came to maturity.

50 The Settlement on all items for fusly 1250, in com-parison with the preceding year, is shewn in the fol-lowing Statement.

Items.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

No.	Items.	Demand for Fasly 1249.			Demand for Fasly 1250.			Increase.			Decrease.		
	2	3			4			5			6		
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
	Land Revenue settled individually.												
	Individual Settlement on Puttahs	1,25,328.	7	8.	1,15,907.	9	4	.	.	.	9,420	14	4
1	Garden land on Do.	786.	2	4.	920.	.	6.	133.	14	2.	.	.	.
2	Garden land under aumany not included in that for which Puttahs have been given	710.	1	8.	544.	12	4	.	.	.	165.	5	4.
	Total	12,6824.	11	8.	117,372.	6	2.	133	14	2.	9,586.	3	8
	Aumanie												
3	Wet Cultivation	11,010	10	.	3,424	5	3	.	.	.	7,586	4	9
4	Dry Cultivation in wet land	561	9	8	510	4	7	28	7	1	79	12	2
5	Do. - under Aumanie	79	14	10	77	9	5	1	1	1	3	6	6
6	Lands in the beds and environs of tanks	223	6	5	419	12	.	196	5	7	"	"	"
	Total	11,875	8	11	4,431	15	3	225	13	9	7,669	7	5
7	Shotrium on Agraharums	1,382	6	10	1,382	6	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Dust rent on Enams	41,887	15	7	41,902	4	2	14	4	7	"	"	"
9	Grazing tax	3817	11	11	4214	10	10	396	14	11	"	"	"
10	Tamarind Vca. Topes	114	4	11	301	7	.	187	2	1	"	"	"
	Total	47,202	7	3	47800	12	10	598	5	7	"	"	"
	Total individual Settlement Vca.	185,902	11	10	169,605	2	3	958	1	6	17,255	11	1
11	Dasabhogum or Tank Marahs	3064	5	.	940	5	7	.	.	.	2123	15	5
	Grand Total	188,967	.	10	170545	7	10	958	1	6	19,379	10	6
											Deduct Increase	958	1 6
											Net Decrease	18421	9 .

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

51 The net decrease on all items is Rupees 18,421 — 9 — .. —

52 — No. 1 In the land held on Puttahs, there is a decrease of Rupees 9,420 — 14 — 4 in dry land, and an increase of Rupees 133 — 14 — 2 on Garden land, or a net decrease of Rupees 9,287 — .. — 2 The falling off is owing to the following causes, Impoverishment of the land, poverty of the Ryots occasioned by the fall in the price of grain, by which some Ryots have altogether given up their farms, and some have been obliged to contract the extent of land they have hitherto occupied, the failure of rain in the latter part of the season, whereby the lands intended for the Pyra or late Crops, were not sown and therefore not included in the Settlement, and lastly the favorable rates of assessment on the Hydrabad side

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Ryots will have emigrated to the Ceded Districts Land assessment 17 — 24 — 24 — 15 351 12 —

side of the Kistnah to bring settlers to the Villages, which have lain waste for years past, which have induced certain Ryots of the Balnand to emigrate to that Territory —

53 No. 2. The decrease of — Rupees, 165 — 5 — 4 on Garden land not included as Puttah land but held under Aumany, if occupied, is owing to land of this description not being taken up, as the Panna yielded a good out-turn, and the Cotton return was on the whole favorable —

54 No. 3 The decrease in the wet Cultivation and produce has been already explained —

55 No. 4 The deficiency of rain occasioned the net decrease of Rupees 51 — 5 — 1 in the wet land Cultivated with dry grain —

56 No. 5 Does not call for remark —

57 No. 6 The increase of Rupees

Rupees 196 - 5 - 7 on land in the bed of tanks, arises from these lands having been sown with Jonna, the out turn of which was good, as a supply of water was not received in the tanks.—

58 No. 7 Requires no remarks.

59 No. 8 The increase of Rupees 14 — 4 — 7 under the head of Badagahs on Enams, arises from an increase in the extent of Cultivation.—

60 No. 9 The increase of Rupees 396 — 14 — 11 in the Poolary, is compos-ed as follows.—

Rahadary Poolary	95	5 7
On Sheep and Goats	154	11 11
Cattle of individuals not being ryots	146	13 11
	396	13 5
Deduct decrease		6
	396	14 11

The

61 The trade in Tobacco and Cotton having been brisk, the grazing tax called Rahadary Poolary, received on the Cattle carrying these goods, is increased.— The increase on Sheep and Goats is from the increase of the Flocks, and the increase on the Cattle of individuals not ryots, is from an increase in the number of Cattle.—

62 No. 10 The tamarind produce having been good this year, occasioned the increase of Rupees 187 — 2 — 1 on fruit Trees.—

63. No. 11 The decrease of Rupees 2123 — 15 — 5 in the Dasabhagum, arises from the less extent of wet Cultivation in Fusly 1250.—

64 The Demand, Collection, and Balance for Fusly 1250, is shewn in the following Statement

Items.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

No.	Items	Settlement for Fusly 1250	Collections up to 11th July 1841	Balance
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs A ∞	Rs A ∞	Rs A ∞
1	Land revenue settled individually	1,17,372 6 2	1,14,938 4 5	2,434 1 9
2	Sunnaud items	4,431 15 3	4,431 15 3	" " "
3	Shotrum Jodegy Vca	47,800 12 10	39,979 15 7	7,820 13 3
	Total	1,69,605 2 3	1,59,350 3 3	10,254 15 "
4	Dasabhagum	940 5 7	931 7 5	8 14 2
	Grand Total	1,70,545 7 10	1,60,281 10 8	10,263 13 2

St.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

65. It will be seen that the balances outstanding at the close of the Fusly year Rupees 2,434-1-9 Rupees 7,820-13-3 on account of Sayer, and Rupees 8 on account of Badegam and Sunnud, and Rupees 4-2 on account of Dasabhagum, making a total of Rupees 10,263-2 The Collections within the Fusly 13-2. exclusive of the Rupees 1,69,281-10-8 on Rupees enumerated to Rupees 23-15-8-50 per Cent on the amounts of Settlements - of the late and Ceded Coast were unfavorable, and the full extent of Land of the description not having, and the profits of the Fusly thereby reduced it was found necessary to postpone for a short period the Collection of Rupees 2,434-1-9 on account of Arrears Rupees 7,820-13-3 were collected up to 15th October 1841, leave clear Rupees 7,820-13-3 were outstanding -

as

at the close of the year on account of Enamdars without recources, of this, Rupees 45 — " — 8 have been collected up to 15th. October 1841 The small balance of Rupees 8 — 14 — 2 Dasabhagum, remained outstanding in consequence of a dispute, but will be speedily collected.—

Garden Lands.

66. The aggregate assessment on garden lands as per State-

	Rs	A	P
Garden land on Puttahs	920	"	6
Do. under Aumany	544	12	4
	1,464	12	10
Old wells	429	10	1
New Do.	74	13	8
Nullahs	528	3	2
Betel gardens	293	8	2
Village sites &c.	138	9	9
	1,464	12	10

ment in Para 50, is Rupees 1464 - 12 - 10 - of this Rupees 429 - 10 - 1 is assessment on old wells, and Rupees 74 - 13 - 8 - assessment on new wells—Statements No. 7 and 8 have been prepared to shew the particulars of the assessment on old and new wells. It will be seen that the number of new wells is 28. of

which

which 2 wells were constructed during the Fusly under report. Of the 28 new wells, 15 have been completed and the land under them is under cultivation.—

General Observations.

67 It will be gratifying to the Board to observe that, the general result exhibits an improvement in the state of the District during Fusly 1250—In the Wasseredy and Rapully Estates, chiefly in the former, the payments into the public Treasury do not correspond with the improvement that has taken place; the payments, however in Rapully have always been higher than in the other Estates, but this subject will be further discussed in the seperate report

Fort S.t George 24.th January 1842

report on each Estate. In the Suttu-
-napully Estate, there is an increased
payment - In the Nulrauze and
Chilkaleerpand Estates, both under
attachment, there is an increase,
which, as it arises from the cultu-
-vation of dry lands, is at once an
index of the improvement that has
taken place - The wet cultivation, it
will be remembered, was a failure. The
principles observed in the manage-
-ment of the Estates under manage-
-ment, have been explained in the
Summabundy Report for Fusly
1249 Paras 57 and 61.

68 In the Palnaud, there
is a decrease arising from two
causes, 1.st the failure of rain
and 2.dly the assessment on the land
which

Fort S.t George 24.th January 1842.

which under present circumstance is too high,
affecting the prosperity of this portion of the
District. The first mentioned cause does not
call for remark. The second involves
matter for immediate and serious consideration
and will be disposed of in a separate comma-
-nication.

69 This report has been delayed
beyond the 3 months after the expiration of the
Fusly owing to the state of the Sheristah Depart-
-ment which subject has now been disposed
of, by the permission of the Board to appoint
a new Head Sheristadar - The Summabundy
and preparation of the Accounts connected
therewith both in the Fusly under report
and in Fusly 1249. devolved in common with
the general duties of the Sheristah Depart-
-ment upon the Nail Subnevees Venkata-
-kistnah Row. with whose conduct I am
called upon in this place to express myself
as fully satisfied -

70 The Summabundy Accounts
for the Village of Polypaud in Timmere-
-cottah Talook as per accompanying list
marked A are herewith submitted in confor-
-mity with the Circular of the Board dated
27.th October 1839 -

Guntoor,
Coll.s Office
Pulchery
9.th December 1841

Signed J. J. Goldingham
Collector
Statement

24th January 1842.

Calnaud for Tusky 1250

Total Villages under permanent assessment			Villages free of assessment			Villages paying a Quit Rent			Total of Villages entirely unalienated & permanently assessed free of assessment and paying Quit Rent.		
Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total
	9			10			11			12	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47	7	54
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16	3	19
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	"	12
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	23	2	25
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	54	31	85
"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	"	152	43	195
66	111	177	"	"	"	"	"	"	66	111	177
79	95	174	"	"	"	"	"	"	79	95	174
80	96	176	"	"	"	"	"	"	80	96	176
231	127	358	"	"	"	"	"	"	231	127	358
4	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	4
266	119	385	"	"	"	"	"	"	266	119	385
1	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1
727	548	1275	"	"	"	"	"	"	727	548	1275
88	176	264	"	"	"	"	"	"	88	176	264
6	17	23	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	17	23
38	163	201	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	163	201
22	26	48	"	"	"	"	"	"	22	26	48
1	2	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	2	3
155	384	539	"	"	"	"	"	"	155	384	539
"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	"	1	"	1
882	932	1814	2	"	2	"	"	"	1035	976	2011

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

1249. (Continued)
Cultivation
Estate
Rents

as per Settlement for Fasly
of the
Lands of Government
Individual
Garden

Quantity of Land	High or Dry Lands Estimated produce Candies	Estimated produce Tons	Estimated produce Pecarees	Assessment in Rupees	Quantity of Land	Estimated produce Candies	Estimated produce Tons	Estimated produce Pecarees
13		14		18	16		17	
acres Cents				CRs a P.	acres Cents			
23133 36	2869	9	8½	33389 10 "	25 6	7	4	10
12785 4	961	18	2	19142 " 11	6 34	4	14	"
5801 28	751	12	11	7381 1 2	21 33	3	12	12
21431 19	1952	15	6	32978 5 7	67 2	19	12	8
35418 15	4057	10	6¾	38467 9 6	151 25	81	14	8¼
98570 22	10593	7	4¼	131358 11 2	272 20	116	18	6¼

Assessment in Rupees	Total assessment on Lands under rents Quantity of Land	Estimated produce Candies	Estimated produce Tons	Estimated produce Pecarees	Assessment in Rupees
18	19		20		21
CRs a P.	acres Cents				CRs a P.
154 " 10	23159 2	2876	14	2½	33543 10 10
45 7 3	12791 38	966	12	2	19187 8 2
72 7 11	5823 21	755	5	7	7453 9 1
200 15 9	21498 21	1972	9	"	33179 5 4
313 2 7	35570 "	4139	4	15	38780 12 1
786 2 4	98843 2	10710	5	10½	132144 13 6

98570 22 10593 7 4¼ 131358 11 2 272 20 116 18 6¼

786 2 4 98843 2 10710 5 10½ 132144 13 6

As per Settlement for Fasly 1249. (Continued)

of the Cultivation (Continued)

Lands of Government Estate (Continued)

Assessment on Lands under Management Wet or Paddy Land					Dry Land Cultivation carried on in				wet Land Assessment on Garden Land under Management					
	Estimated produce					Estimated produce					Estimated produce			
Quantity of Land	Candies	Loms	Measures	Amount of the Government Share	Quantity of Land	Candies	Loms	Measures	Assessment in Rupees	Quantity of Land	Candies	Loms	Measures	Assessment in Rupees
22		23		24	25				27	28		29		30
acres Cowlies				CRs a P.	acres Cowlies				CRs a P.	acres Cowlies				CRs a P.
783 4 50/256	744	18	12	5169 7 7	45 22	12	9	"	105 5 "	64 5	14	7	12	235 14 4
247 30 23/256	206	10	1	1341 1 9	44 8 212/256	12	15	"	86 11 5	31 26 16/256	3	12	8	131 " 11
149 8 18/256	146	6	8	977 12 2	42 24 118/256	10	12	8	107 11 8	30 26 48/256	5	7	12	101 10 6
222 30 164/256	236	3	13	1759 15 4	97 27 8/256	15	19	15	158 6 8	36 12	8	14	10	117 1 10
359 38 132/256	239	4	6	1762 5 2	54 39 112/256	15	"	8	103 6 11	23 2	4	1	4	124 6 1
1763 1 95/256	1573	3	8	11010 10 "	285 1 244/256	67	16	15	561 9 8	185 31 64/256	26	3	14	710 1 8
" " " "	"	"	"	" " "	" "	"	"	"	" " "	" "	"	"	"	" " "

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

As per Settlement for July
of the Cultivation

Lands of Government Estate (Continued)

1249. (Continued)

Dry Land under Management									Beds and Inirons of Tanks when dry. Cultivated									Wet Land used for plantation									Total assessment on Land under Management									Total assessment on Lands under rents & on those under Management								
Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Assessment in Rupees					Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Assessment in Rupees					Quantity of Land	Assessment in Rupees								Quantity of Land	Assessment in Rupees								Quantity of Land	Assessment in Rupees							
	Candies	Loms	Measures							Candies	Loms	Measures																															
31				32				33					34				35								36				37				38				39				40			
acres	Coontas					CRO	a	P.	acres	Coontas																	acres	Coontas							acres	Coontas									
17	38 $\frac{240}{250}$	5	9	4		53	4	7	30	"		1	17	8		42	12	10																940	28 $\frac{34}{250}$			5606	12	4	24099	30 $\frac{34}{250}$			39150	7	2
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	19	15		2	17	8		37	8	3																343	$\frac{65}{250}$			1596	6	4	13134	38 $\frac{65}{250}$			20783	14	0
19	23	2	17	8		22	1	10	11	"		2	3	12		25	7	2																253	2 $\frac{123}{250}$			1234	11	4	6076	23 $\frac{123}{250}$			8688	4	5
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	129	22		10	18	3		116	"	11																486	20 $\frac{172}{250}$			2151	8	9	21985	1 $\frac{172}{250}$			35330	14	1
3	15	"	6	4		4	8	6	7	"		"	2	"		1	9	3																451	38 $\frac{244}{250}$			1996	3	10	36021	38 $\frac{244}{250}$			40776	15	11
40	34 $\frac{240}{250}$	8	13	"		79	14	10	196	37		17	19	9		223	6	6																2475	10 $\frac{131}{250}$			12585	10	7	101318	12 $\frac{131}{250}$			144730	8	1

As per settlement for Fasly 1249. (Continued)

of the Cultivation (Continued)

Remission granted at the settlement on account of Assessment and Enam Quit Rents

| Column 42 | | |

As per Settlement for Fasly of the Cultivation

1249 (Continued)

(Continued)

Direct Money taxation

Fixed assessment: Total assessment on the ancient Villages under Zemindary tenure			Fixed assessment: Assessment on the Villages being parts of ancient Zemindaries purchased at public auction			Fixed assessment: Total amount of permanent assessment			Total assessment including the Government Estates and those paying a permanent assessment.			Nuttuspha assessment		
56			57			58			59.			60		
CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	53153	6	"	550	"	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24784	11	9	269	4	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11611	4	9	295	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47120	7	4	526	10	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	45300	13	2	793	7	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	181970	11	"	2369	13	4
88536	5	10	"	"	"	88536	5	10	88536	5	10	2572	12	9
126700	"	"	"	"	"	126700	"	"	126700	"	"	3678	8	9
126700	"	"	"	"	"	126700	"	"	126700	"	"	3311	1	10
224238	"	"	"	"	"	224238	"	"	124238	"	"	9923	1	5
513	12	7	"	"	"	513	12	7	513	12	7	66	14	"
429765	"	"	"	"	"	429765	"	"	429765	"	"	11145	1	2
623	11	"	"	"	"	623	11	"	623	11	"	9	11	"
997076	13	5	"	"	"	997076	13	5	997076	13	5	30707	2	11
"	"	"	114040	8	"	114040	8	"	114040	8	"	2615	13	8
"	"	"	17605	"	"	17605	"	"	17605	"	"	184	6	5
"	"	"	61600	"	"	61600	"	"	61600	"	"	8512	14	5
"	"	"	31143	12	4	31143	12	4	31143	12	4	805	11	2
"	"	"	3992	5	10	3992	5	10	3992	5	10	18	4	4
"	"	"	228381	10	2	228381	10	2	228381	10	2	12137	2	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
997076	13	5	228381	10	2	1225458	7	7	1407429	2	7	45214	2	3

Grazing Tax			Topes			Total direct money Taxes			Total assessment including money Taxes			Deduct On account of Pagodas.		
61			62			63			64			65.		
CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P	CRo	a	P
1191	5	2	59	12	9	1801	2	3	54954	8	3	"	"	"
481	4	9	53	"	2	803	8	11	25588	4	8	"	"	"
402	7	8	"	"	"	627	15	8	12239	4	5	"	"	"
746	"	"	"	"	"	1272	16	"	43393	1	4	"	"	"
996	10	4	1	8	"	1796	9	4	47097	6	6	"	"	"
3817	11	11	114	4	11	6301	14	2	188272	9	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2572	12	9	91109	2	7	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	3678	8	9	130378	8	9	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	3311	1	10	130011	1	10	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9923	1	5	234161	1	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	66	14	"	580	10	7	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	11145	1	2	440910	1	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	9	11	"	633	6	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	30707	2	11	1027784	"	4	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2615	13	8	116656	5	8	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	184	6	5	17789	6	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	8512	14	5	70112	14	5	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	805	11	2	31949	7	6	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	18	4	4	4010	10	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	12137	2	"	240518	12	2	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3817	11	11	114	4	11	49146	3	1	1456575	5	8	"	"	"

as per Settlement for Fasly of the Cultivation

1249. (Continued/ (Continued)

Remission

On account of Mosques			On account of Poligars			Remission allowed to Shotrumdars			Pay of Curnums and Head Inhabitants			Remission to Zemindars on account of Resumed Inams			Commission to Certain Curnums on the Mothurpha Collections at 10 per cent			Total deductions			Remaining			Demand on account of Tank Repairs			Grand Total Demand for Fasly 1249.		
66			67			68			69			70			71			72			73			74			75		
Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.	Chs.	a.	p.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	54954	8	3	1508	15	3	56463	7	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	25588	4	8	397	"	8	25985	5	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12239	4	5	203	9	2	12442	13	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	48393	1	4	520	4	7	48913	5	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	47097	6	6	434	7	4	47531	13	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	188272	9	2	3064	5	"	191336	14	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	90108	10	2	"	"	"	90108	10	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	865	2	10	135	5	7	1000	8	5	129114	7	5	"	"	"	129114	7	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1250	13	5	13	3	11	1264	1	4	128685	6	11	"	"	"	128685	6	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1258	11	4	66	15	7	1325	10	11	231709	5	"	"	"	"	231709	5	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21451	12	6	"	"	"	2451	12	5	580	10	7	"	"	"	580	10	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	435904	15	5	"	"	"	435904	15	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4853	8	9	151	9	"	5005	1	9	633	6	"	"	"	"	633	6	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1016736	13	6	"	"	"	1016736	13	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10680	"	9	367	2	1	11047	2	10	115400	11	9	"	"	"	115400	11	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1136	12	3	118	13	8	1256	9	11	17652	6	5	"	"	"	17652	6	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	126	8	11	10	7	1	137	"	"	69684	14	8	"	"	"	69684	14	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	427	15	9	427	15	9	31615	8	"	"	"	"	31615	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	304	5	5	29	10	1	333	15	6	3971	10	"	"	"	"	3971	10	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	39	"	2	"	"	"	39	"	2	238325	2	10	"	"	"	238325	2	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1606	10	9	586	14	7	2193	9	4									
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12286	11	6	954	"	8	13240	12	2	1443334	9	6	3064	5	"	1446398	14	6

1150

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

As per Settlement for the Current Fasly 1250.

Description of the Villages.

Villages of Government Estate.

Total number of Villages entirely alienated			Recently come under the Collectors authority			agraharam Villages on favorable assessment			Total Number of Villages.		
Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total
	76			77			78			79	
41	7	48	"	"	"	6	"	6	47	7	54
13	3	16	"	"	"	3	"	3	16	3	19
10	"	10	"	"	"	2	"	2	12	"	12
19	2	21	"	"	"	4	"	4	23	2	25
51	31	82	"	"	"	2	"	2	53	31	84
134	43	177	"	"	"	17	"	17	151	43	194
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

24th January 1842

As per settlement for the Fasliee

Current Fasly 1252 (Continued)

Description of the Villages (Continued)

Villages free of assessment			Villages paying a Quit rent			Total Villages entirely alienated permanently settled free of assessment and paying a Quit rent			Large and small Tanks		
Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	Villages	Hamlets	Total	In repair	Out of repair	Total
83			84			85			86		
-	-	-	-	-	-	47	7	54	147	78	225
-	-	-	-	-	-	16	3	19	99	67	166
-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12	48	28	76
-	-	-	-	-	-	23	2	25	132	43	175
1	-	1	-	-	-	54	31	85	213	142	355
1	-	1	-	-	-	152	43	195	639	358	997
-	-	-	-	-	-	66	111	177	209	140	349
-	-	-	-	-	-	79	95	174	365	93	458
-	-	-	-	-	-	80	97	177	243	163	406
-	-	-	-	-	-	231	123	354	765	488	1253
-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	14	11	25
-	-	-	-	-	-	266	119	385	594	406	999
-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	6	8	14
-	-	-	-	-	-	727	545	1272	2196	1308	3504
-	-	-	-	-	-	88	175	263	322	184	506
-	-	-	-	-	-	6	17	23	30	-	30
-	-	-	-	-	-	38	165	203	309	146	455
-	-	-	-	-	-	22	26	48	93	23	116
-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	8	2	10
-	-	-	-	-	-	155	385	540	762	355	1117
1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	1
2	-	2	-	-	-	1035	973	2008	3598	2021	5619

Wells & Dams

Wells.				Water courses or Rivulets.			Wells.			Dams.		
In repair	Out of repair	Total		In repair	Out of repair	Total	In repair	Out of repair	Total	In repair	Out of repair	Total
87				88			89			90		
7	-	7		2	-	2	129	73	202	30	16	46
10	1	11		1	-	1	50	23	73	11	7	18
1	-	1		12	8	20	57	46	97	6	-	6
6	1	7		12	4	16	84	21	105	4	1	5
14	8	22		28	29	57	235	194	429	5	4	9
38	10	48		55	41	96	549	357	906	56	28	84
59	9	68		-	-	-	624	52	676	16	4	20
72	2	74		42	19	61	528	168	696	17	4	21
73	1	74		7	-	7	444	107	551	7	2	9
115	48	163		6	3	9	1226	766	1992	72	91	163
10	3	13		-	-	-	13	9	22	-	-	-
56	31	87		281	11	292	1697	395	2092	11	12	23
-	-	-		-	-	-	4	16	20	-	-	-
385	94	479		336	33	369	4536	1573	6049	123	113	236
40	4	44		10	-	10	511	65	576	21	4	25
-	-	-		-	-	-	66	3	69	-	-	-
54	4	58		322	21	343	1067	45	1112	20	3	23
3	-	3		-	-	-	166	56	222	3	1	4
-	-	-		-	-	-	1	4	5	-	-	-
97	8	105		332	21	353	1811	173	1984	44	8	52
-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
520	112	632		723	95	818	6896	2043	8939	273	149	372

Fort St. George

24th January 1842

As per Settlement for the Current Fasly 1250. (Continued)

Particulars of Tanks &c. (Continued)

Total number of Ploughs	Cultivators: Cadeems or resident Cultivators — Inhabitants	Cadeems or resident Cultivators — Puttahs	Payakarees or residents of one Village Cultivators in another — Inhabitants	Payakarees or residents of one Village Cultivators in another — Puttahs	Sharakuts or Joint Cultivators — Inhabitants	Sharakuts or Joint Cultivators — Puttahs
91		92		93		94
2,276	1,331	1,303	683	653	232	99
1125	709	709	252	182	156	84
514½	312	312	97	97	17	17
1,802½	984	984	522	522	63	63
3,600	1,980	1,936	847	841	151	142
9,318	5,316	5,244	2,401	2,295	618	405
3734½	1707	243	813	75	43	1
3679	3267	"	689	"	"	"
3706½	2475	2475	827	827	34	24
5675½	4077	3750	1022	960	380	284
17	11	11	3	3	"	"
8741	5735	1842	1983	779	97	27
8	4	4	1	1	1	1
35,561½	17266	8325	5338	2635	558	347
3588½	2137	28	1082	19	108	6
568	358	"	64	"	4	4
2638	2402	"	387	"	93	"
1131	639	154	124	11	14	"
45	36	"	16	"	"	"
7970½	5572	182	1673	30	215	6
13	2	"	8	8	1	1
42863	28156	13751	9420	4968	1392	759

Cattle: Cows	Bullocks	She Buffaloes	He Buffaloes	Sheep and Goats	Houses
95	96	97	98	99	100
4195	3948	2632	943	29375	5407
1769	1926	1428	484	9689	2509
1255	897	897	219	9871	1400
3165	3160	2054	738	22615	4081
6505	5316	3395	1003	49077	7333
16989	15247	10406	3387	120627	20730
7033	6862	4593	2302	19070	7015
8060	6366	4647	2588	31644	9801
9109	6451	4571	2374	20451	10032
15629	12030	11540	4694	169573	20484
77	37	32	2	1735	35
19833	17191	11003	3031	106772	22948
12	16	24	7	408	25
59763	48953	36410	14998	349593	70340
6617	4846	4243	1428	20204	6456
959	1060	484	156	840	1012
7308	2569	3463	3255	57336	7684
1955	2183	1099	402	4726	2441
130	91	93	26	301	98
16969	10734	9382	5267	83407	17691
3	26	"	"	"	4
93724	74960	56198	23652	553627	108765

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

Particulars of Lands &c. (Contd.)

Population.

As per Settlement for the Current Fasly 1252 (Continued)

Of the Cultivation.

Lands of the Government.

Individual Rents.

Estate

Total Inhabitants			Quantity of Land.	High or Dry land. Estimated produce.			Assessment in Rupees.	Garden. Estimated produce			Assessment on Rupees	Total Assessment on Lands under rents. Estimated produce.				Assessment in Rupees.		
Men.	Women	of		Candies.	Loons.	Measures		Quantity of Land	Candies	Loons	Measures	Quantity of Land	Candies	Loons	Measures			
101			102	103			104	105		106		107	108			109		110		
			acres Coontas					acres	Coontas				acres	Coontas						
7182	7239	14422	21349 4	2911	11	2	29851 15	24	7	"	2 12	141 3 9	21373	11	2911	13	14	29993	2	7
3742	3085	6827	11442 21	1688	7	6	16355 14	8	3	"	1 "	75 9 7	11450	24	1688	8	6	16934	7	11
2063	2070	4133	5840 24	784	8	14	7375 4	22	22	7	3 4	82 6 6	5863	7	791	12	2	7457	11	4
5893	5870	11763	18652 17	3137	4	14	28475 3	73	22	11	16 2	243 15 3	18725	39	3119	1	"	28719	2	10
9514	9402	18946	26032 28	4453	3	5	38733 "	186	29	125	8 "	276 13 5	36219	17	4578	11	5	39109	13	6
11612	10740	22352						315	4	144	11 2	920 " 6	93632	18	13119	6	11	122214	6	2
14549	12608	27157																		
14205	12700	26905																		
27041	25190	52231																		
60	59	119																		
38657	32560	71217																		
51	42	93																		
106175	92899	200074																		
12820	10684	23504																		
2077	1727	3804																		
13789	11364	25153																		
3714	3168	6882																		
190	185	375																		
32590	27128	59718																		
7	6	13																		
166697	148699	315396	93317	14	12974	15	9 121294	5												

Fort St. George

24th January 1842

as per Settlement for the Current Fasly 1250 (Continued)

Of the Cultivation (Continued)

Lands of the Government Estate (Continued)

Assessment on Lands under Management

Wet or Paddy Land

Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Amount of the Govern-ment Share	Assessment in Rupees
	Candies	Soms	Gnanums		
111		112		113	114
acres Cuntas				Cps a p	
338 29	219	10	4½	1322 1 8	133 4 2
130 8	95	12	10	596 10 3	87 3 4
140 39 160/256	84	3	15	427 1 4	54 9 1
136 18 20/256	58	11	"	257 12 9	172 15 1
339 128/256	118	11	4	820 11 3	62 4 11
1085 15 52/256	576	9	1½	3424 5 3	510 4 7

Dry Land Cultivation carried on in wet

Assessment on Garden Land under Management

Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Assessment in Rupees
	Candies	Soms	Gnanums	
114		115		116
acres Cuntas				
51 14 105/256	10	1	12	133 4 2
54 17	9	10	8	87 3 4
30 4 165/256	6	16	7	54 9 1
115 4/256	18	10	3	172 15 1
40 34 72/256	4	18	"	62 4 11
291 35 26/256	49	16	14	510 4 7

Dry Lands under Management

Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Assessment in Rupees
	Candies	Soms	Gnanums	
117		118		119
acres Cuntas				
49 9	1	5	12	169 3 3
31 25	1	10	"	129 7 1
3 28	"	"	8	14 11 8
38 23 28/256	7	9	8	119 14 3
29 17	7	6	12	111 8 1
152 22 28/256	17	11	8	544 12 4

Quantity of Land	Estimated produce			Assessment in Rupees
	Candies	Soms	Gnanums	
120		121		122
acres Cuntas				
22 28	5	12	2	64 6 8
" "	"	"	"	" " 4
" "	"	"	"	" " 4
24 34	1	15	"	18 6 3
2 30	"	4	8	2 . 11
2 7	"	2	8	2 12 7
52 19	7	14	2	77 9 5

1133 | Fort St. George 24th January 1812.

As per Settlement for the Current Fasly 1250 (Continued)

Of the Cultivation (Continued)

| Beds and Environs of Tanks cutting Estimated produce | | |

as per Settlement for the Current fasly 1250. (Continued)

Of the Cultivation (Continued)

| or account of assessment under Column-131 | | |

Fort St. George

24th January 1842

As per Settlement for the Direct

Fixed assessment.

currently fasly 1250. (Continued)
money taxation.

Deduct remissions.

145 Total assessment on the ancient Villages under Zemindary tenure.	146 Assessment on Villages being parts of ancient Zemindaries or purchased at public auction	147 Total amount of permanent assessment	148 Total assessment including the Govt. Estate & those paying a permanent assessment	149 Moturpha assessment	150 Grazing Tax.	151 Topes	152 Total direct Money Taxes.	153 Total assessment including Money taxes	154 On account of Pagodas.	155 On account of Mosques.
Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p	Crs a p
" " "	" " "	" " "	46,014 11 9	557 4 1	1,264 14 10	60 9 7	1,882 12 6	47,897 8 3	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	21,973 13 1	255 15 "	451 6 5	125 7 2	832 12 7	22,806 9 8	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	10,872 1 5	225 8 "	401 8 4	24 6 "	651 6 "	11,524 7 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	41,540 9 "	578 10 "	852 14 11	45 16 2	1,417 8 1	42,958 1 1	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	44,686 12 2	798 7 "	1,243 14 8	45 1 1	2,087 6 9	46,774 3 11	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	1,65,089 " 5	2,355 12 1	4,214 10 10	301 7 "	6,871 13 11	1,71,960 14 4	" " "	" " "
88,536 5 10	" " "	88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	2,353 2 5	" " "	" " "	2,353 2 5	90,889 8 3	" " "	" " "
1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	3,234 9 "	" " "	" " "	3,234 9 10	1,29,934 9 10	" " "	" " "
1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	2,875 11 6	" " "	" " "	2,875 11 5	1,29,575 11 5	" " "	" " "
2,24,238 " "	" " "	2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	8,300 12 5	" " "	" " "	8,300 12 5	2,32,538 12 5	" " "	" " "
513 12 7	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	48 13 7	" " "	" " "	48 13 7	562 10 2	" " "	" " "
4,29,765 " "	" " "	4,29,765 " "	4,29,765 " "	9,563 5 6	" " "	" " "	9,563 5 6	4,39,328 5 6	" " "	" " "
623 11 "	" " "	623 11 "	623 11 "	8 " 2	" " "	" " "	8 " 2	631 11 2	" " "	" " "
9,97,076 13 5	" " "	9,97,076 13 5	9,97,076 13 5	26,384 7 4	" " "	" " "	26,384 7 4	1,023,461 4 9	" " "	" " "
" " "	1,14,040 8 "	1,14,040 8 "	1,14,040 8 "	2,411 " 7	" " "	" " "	2,411 " 7	116,451 8 7	" " "	" " "
" " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "	179 15 7	" " "	" " "	179 15 7	17,784 15 7	" " "	" " "
" " "	61,600 " "	61,600 " "	61,600 " "	7,838 4 7	" " "	" " "	7,838 4 7	69,438 4 7	" " "	" " "
" " "	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	770 15 6	" " "	" " "	770 15 6	31,914 11 10	" " "	" " "
" " "	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	17 13 "	" " "	" " "	17 13 "	4,010 2 10	" " "	" " "
" " "	2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	11,218 1 3	" " "	" " "	11,218 1 3	2,39,599 11 5	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,97,076 13 5	2,28,381 10 2	12,25,457 7 7	13,90,547 8 "	39,968 4 1	4,214 10 10	301 7 "	44,474 6 6	14,35,021 14 6	" " "	" " "

24th January 1842

as per Settlement for the

Deduct Remissions (Continued)

On account of Pollegars	Remissions allowed to Shrotriemdars	Pay to Curnums and Head Inhabitants.	Remission to Zemindars on account of resumed Enotrpha.	Commission to certain Curnums on the Enotrpha Collections at 10 per Cent.
156	157	158	159	160
Cks a ℗	Cks a ℗	Cks a ℗	Cks a ℗	Cks a ℗
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

The Annual Assessment of the several Fusliees successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Government.

Fusly 1210			Fusly 1211			Fusly 1212			Fusly 1213		
Demand.	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance
167			164			169			170		
83805 4 6	83805 4 6								84,661 13 8	84,661 13 8	
96,866	96,866					96,886	96,886		96,886	96,886	
1,26,000	1,26,000					1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,000	1,26,000	
1,26,000	1,26,000					1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,000	1,26,000	
2,01,425	2,01,425					2,01,425	2,01,425		2,01,425	2,01,425	
4,23,440 8 4	4,23,440 8 4					4,23,440 8 4	4,23,440 8 4		4,23,440 8 4	4,23,440 8 4	
9,73,731 8 4	9,73,731 8 4					9,73,731 8 4	9,73,731 8 4		9,73,731 8 4	9,73,731 8 4	
89,334	89,334					89,334	89,334		89,334	89,334	
15,855	15,855					15,856	15,855		15,855	15,855	
						61,600	61,600		61,600	61,600	
91,000	91,000										
1,05,189	1,05,189					1,66,789	1,66,789		1,66,789	1,66,789	
11,69,920 8 4	11,69,920 8 4					12,25,182 5 8	12,25,182 5 8		12,25,182 5 8	12,25,182 5 8	

In Fusly 1210. The Palnaud District having been under charge of His Highness the Rabob Vallajah the Hist of that Fusly is not entered and that of the Zemindary Talooks of the same Fusly is not entered as some of the accounts in the Cutcherry are in an imperfect state.

P.G.B.

Fort St George 24th January 1862

The annual Assessment of the Several Fusslies successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Government /Contd/

Fusly 1214			Fusly 1215			Fusly 1216			Fusly 1217		
Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance
171			172			173			174		
									64,048 14 7	64,048 14 7	
									14,253 15 2	14,253 15 2	
									6,074 3 1	6,074 3 1	
									24,975 2 6	24,975 2 6	
									12,790 7 8	12,790 7 8	
1,00,046 6 6	1,00,046 6 6		1,19,142 13 3	1,19,142 13 3		1,16,200	1,16,200		1,22,142 11 4	1,22,142 11 4	
82,254 13 11	82,254 13 11		82,254 13 11	82,254 13 11		88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10		88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	
1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,700	1,26,700		1,26,700	1,26,700	
1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,000	1,26,000		1,26,700	1,26,700		1,26,700	1,26,700	
2,01,425	2,01,425		2,01,425	2,01,425		2,24,238	2,24,238		2,24,238	2,24,238	
4,23,440 8	4,23,440 8		4,23,440 8	4,23,440 8		4,23,440 8	4,23,440 8		4,23,440 8	4,23,440 8	
9,59,120 5 11	9,59,120 5 11		9,59,120 5 11	9,59,120 5 11		9,89,614 13 10	9,89,614 13 10		9,89,614 13 10	9,89,614 13 10	
1,05,952	1,05,952		1,05,952	1,05,952		1,14,040 8	1,14,040 8		1,14,080 8	1,14,080 8	
15,855	15,855		15,855	15,855		17,605	17,605		17,605	17,605	
61,600	61,600		61,600	61,600		61,600	61,600		61,600	61,600	
28,934 4	28,934 4		28,934 4	28,934 4		31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4		31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	
3,709 19	3,709 19		3,709 19	3,709 19		3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10		3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	
2,16,050 21	2,16,050 21		2,16,050 21	2,16,050 21		2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2		2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	
12,75,216 8 6	12,75,216 8 6		12,94,313 6 3	12,94,313 6 2		13,34,196 8	13,34,196 8		13,40,139 3	13,40,139 3	

Fort St George 24th January 1842

The annual Assessment of the several Fasslies successively from the beginning of Fasly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Government (Contd.)

Fasly 1218			Fasly 1219			Fasly 1220			Fasly 1221		
Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Balance
	175		176			177			178		
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
67,440 1 6	67,440 1 6	" " "	62,118 14 3	62,118 14 3	" " "	70,763 12 2	70,763 12 2	" " "	71,657 11 6	71,657 11 6	" " "
14,879 10 5	14,879 10 5	" " "	15,751 4 7	15,751 4 7	" " "	17,895 3 1	17,895 3 1	" " "	19,745 9 9	19,745 9 9	" " "
6,388 4 10	6,388 " 10	" " "	6,729 9 6	6,729 9 6	" " "	7,052 14 1	7,052 14 1	" " "	8,448 7 1	8,448 7 1	" " "
24,850 10 5	24,850 10 5	" " "	25,115 14 5	25,115 14 5	" " "	25,858 " 7	25,858 " 7	" " "	31,077 13 9	31,077 13 9	" " "
9,868 3 8	9,868 3 8	" " "	10,768 " 11	10,768 " 11	" " "	13,796 3 5	13,796 3 5	" " "	17,003 10 2	17,003 10 2	" " "
123,426 10 10	123,426 10 10	" " "	120,483 11 8	120,483 11 8	" " "	135,366 1 4	135,366 1 4	" " "	150,933 7 3	150,933 7 3	" " "
88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	" " "	88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	" " "	88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	" " "	88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	" " "
1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	" " "
126,700 " "	126,700 " "	" " "	126,700 " "	126,700 " "	" " "	126,700 " "	126,700 " "	" " "	126,700 " "	126,700 " "	" " "
2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	" " "	2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	" " "	2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	" " "	2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	" " "
513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "
4,23,440 8 "	4,23,440 8 "	" " "	4,29,765 " "	4,29,765 " "	" " "	4,29,765 " "	4,29,765 " "	" " "	4,29,765 " "	4,29,765 " "	" " "
623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "	623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "	623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "	623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "
99,752 5 5	99,752 5 5	" " "	99,076 13 5	99,076 13 5	" " "	99,076 13 5	99,076 13 5	" " "	99,076 13 5	99,076 13 5	" " "
1,14,040 8 4	1,14,040 8 "	" " "	1,14,040 8 "	1,14,040 8 "	" " "	1,14,040 8 "	1,14,040 8 "	" " "	1,14,040 8 "	1,14,040 8 "	" " "
17,605 " "	17,605 " "	" " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "	" " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "	" " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "	" " "
61,600 " "	61,600 " "	" " "	61,600 " "	61,600 " "	" " "	61,600 " "	61,600 " "	" " "	61,600 " "	61,600 " "	" " "
31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	" " "	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	" " "	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	" " "	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	" " "
3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	" " "	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	" " "	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	" " "	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	" " "
2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	" " "	2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	" " "	2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	" " "	2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13,42,560 10 5	13,42,560 10 5	" " "	13,45,942 3 3	13,45,942 3 3	" " "	13,60,824 8 11	13,60,824 8 11	" " "	13,76,391 14 10	13,76,391 14 10	" " "

The Annual assessment of the several fiscals successively from the beginning

Fasly 1222 Demand	Collection	Balance	Fasly 1223 Demand	Collection
	179		180	
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
76818 14 9	76818 14 9	" " "	81,646 5 7	81,641 11 3
20,975 10 6	20,975 10 6	" " "	22,116 6 2	22,113 9 9
8576 9 2	8576 9 2	" " "	8,985 10 5	8,985 10 5
32,090 1 4	32,090 1 4	" " "	33,211 13 1	33,200 3 8
18,775 8 3	18,775 8 3	" " "	19,044 7 11	18,926 7 6
157,236 12 6	157,236 12 6	" " "	165,034 11 2	164,867 10 1
88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10	" " "	88,536 5 10	88,536 5 10
1,26,700 " "	1,26,701 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "
1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "	" " "	1,26,700 " "	1,26,700 " "
2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "	" " "	2,24,238 " "	2,24,238 " "
513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7
4,29,765 " "	4,29,765 " "	" " "	429765 " "	4,29,765 " "
623 11 6	623 11 "	" " "	623 11 6	623 11 6
997076 13 5	997076 13 5	" " "	997076 13 5	997076 13 5
1,14,040 8 6	1,14,040 8 6	" " "	1,14,040 8 6	1,14,040 8 6
17,605 " "	17,605 " "	" " "	17,605 " "	17,605 " "
61,600 " "	61,600 " "	" " "	61,600	61,600 " "
31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4	" " "	31,143 12 4	31,143 12 4
3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10	" " "	3,992 5 10	3,992 5 10
2,28,381 10 2	2,28,381 10 2	" " "	228381 10 2	228381 10 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13,82,695 3 7	13,82,695 3 7	" " "	13,90,493 2 9	13,90,326 1 6

24th January 1842

Of fasly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Government / Contd /

Remission	Balance	Fasly 1224 Demand	Collection	Balance
		181		
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
34 10 4	" " "	68644 4 3	68644 4 3	" " "
2 12 5	" " "	23079 10 3	23079 10 3	" " "
" " "	" " "	10442 1 3	10442 1 3	" " "
11 9 5	" " "	35840 8 8	35840 8 8	" " "
118 " 11	" " "	23617 14 9	23617 14 9	" " "
167 1 1	" " "	161644 7 2	161644 7 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	88536 5 10	88536 5 10	" " "
" " "	" " "	126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	224238 " "	224238 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "
" " "	" " "	429765 " "	429765 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	623 11 6	623 11 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	997076 13 5	997076 13 5	" " "
" " "	" " "	114040 8 6	114040 8 6	" " "
" " "	" " "	17605 " "	17605 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	61600 " "	61600 " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	3143 12 4	3143 12 4	" " "
" " "	" " "	3992 5 10	3992 5 10	" " "
" " "	" " "	228381 10 2	228381 10 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
167 11	" " "	1387102 14 9	1387102 14 9	" " "

Fort St. Geo. 24th January 1862

The Annual Assessment of the several Fusilies successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210 when the Country first fell to Government (Contd)

Fusly 1225				Fusly 1226				Fusly 1227			
Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
		182						184			
CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗	CRs a ℗
66289 8 4	66193 " 4	96 8 4	" " "	66213 7 4	65932 9 11	280 13 1	" " "	74932 " 10	74838 5 11	93 10 11	" " "
21852 6 1	21851 10 6	1 11 7	" " "	21829 12 2	21829 12 2	" " "	" " "	24415 12 3	24380 9 8	35 2 7	" " "
10734 12 8	10733 11 6	1 1 2	" " "	10999 12 7	10999 12 7	" " "	" " "	12868 10 11	12868 10 11	" " "	" " "
36444 5 11	36416 11 2	27 10 9	" " "	36419 14 5	36419 12 6	" 2 5	" " "	39537 8 3	39536 3 4	1 4 11	" " "
24441 3 2	24441 3 2	" " "	" " "	25353 3 4	25347 13 8	5 5 4	" " "	31505 3 4	31505 3 4	" " "	" " "
159763 4 2	159631 4 4	126 15 10	" " "	160809 12 4	160529 12 4	286 4 10	" " "	183259 3 3	183129 " 10	130 2 5	" " "
88536 5 10	88536 5 10	" " "	" " "	88536 5 10	88536 5 10	" " "	" " "	92306 13 3	92306 13 3	" " "	" " "
126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "	" " "	126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "	" " "	131705 " 10	131705 " 10	" " "	" " "
126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "	" " "	126700 " "	126700 " "	" " "	" " "	131919 10 9	131919 10 9	" " "	" " "
224238 " "	224238 " "	" " "	" " "	224238 " "	224238 " "	" " "	" " "	232986 4 1	232986 4 1	" " "	" " "
513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	" " "	513 12 7	513 12 7	" " "	" " "	568 3 8	568 3 8	" " "	" " "
429765 " "	429765 " "	" " "	" " "	429765 " "	429765 " "	" " "	" " "	443267 7 8	443267 7 8	" " "	" " "
623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "	" " "	623 11 "	623 11 "	" " "	" " "	653 11 9	653 11 9	" " "	" " "
997076 13 5	997076 13 5	" " "	" " "	997076 13 5	997076 13 5	" " "	" " "	1033407 4 "	1033407 4 "	" " "	" " "
114040 8 "	114040 8 "	" " "	" " "	114040 8 "	114040 8 "	" " "	" " "	118182 6 2	118182 6 2	" " "	" " "
17605 " "	17605 " "	" " "	" " "	17605 " "	17605 " "	" " "	" " "	17926 9 6	17926 9 6	" " "	" " "
61600 " "	61600 " "	" " "	" " "	61600 " "	61600 " "	" " "	" " "	70622 12 8	70622 12 8	" " "	" " "
31143 12 4	31143 12 4	" " "	" " "	31143 12 4	31143 12 4	" " "	" " "	32062 3 1	32062 3 1	" " "	" " "
3992 5 10	3992 5 10	" " "	" " "	3992 5 10	3992 5 10	" " "	" " "	4060 10 4	4060 10 4	" " "	" " "
228381 10 2	228381 10 2	" " "	" " "	228381 10 2	228381 10 2	" " "	" " "	242854 9 9	242854 9 9	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1385221 11 9	1385094 11 11	126 15 10	" " "	1386274 8 9	1385988 3 11	286 4 10	" " "	1459521 " 14	1459390 14 7	130 2 5	" " "

1164.

Fort St George 21th January 1842

The Annual Assessment of the several Fusleis successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Govt. (Contd.)

Fusly 1228				Fusly 1229		Fusly 1230			
Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection
185								186	
C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P
74,489 12 8	72,339 14 9	2149 13 11	" " "	70,840 4 5	68,925 13 9	1914 6 8	" " "	63,871 13 8	63,829 12 4
26,303 11 5	25,777 4 7	526 6 10	" " "	24,755 12 10	23,985 12 3	770 " 7	" " "	22,481 4 "	22,481 4 "
14,782 6 11	14,485 9 4	296 13 11	" " "	13,169 13 3	12,684 7 6	485 5 9	" " "	12,310 5 5	12,326 14 8
40,604 14 8	40,050 8 11	554 5 9	" " "	40,319 11 10	40,043 7 8	276 4 2	" " "	40,115 " 4	40,079 3 10
30,628 14 11	30,618 4 1	10 10 10	" " "	32,379 1 9	32,359 3 7	19 14 2	" " "	32,783 7 10	32,705 3 8
186,809 12 7	183,271 9 4	3,538 3 3	" " "	181,464 12 1	177,998 12 9	3,465 15 4	" " "	171,591 15 3	171,422 6 2
91,602 5 9	91,602 5 9	" " "	" " "	92,138 14 7	92,138 14 7	" " "	" " "	92,152 10 5	92,152 10 5
1,30,631 6 11	1,30,631 6 11	" " "	" " "	1,31,412 4 8	1,31,412 4 8	" " "	" " "	1,31,327 7 10	1,31,327 7 10
1,30,526 " 5	1,30,526 " 5	" " "	" " "	1,31,121 1 2	1,31,121 1 2	" " "	" " "	1,30,931 2 3	1,30,931 2 3
2,31,909 3 "	2,31,909 3 "	" " "	" " "	2,34,294 12 7	2,34,294 12 7	" " "	" " "	2,34,485 2 4	2,34,485 2 4
559 15 2	559 15 2	" " "	" " "	554 3 7	554 3 7	" " "	" " "	580 7 1	580 7 1
439,570 14 "	439,570 14 "	" " "	" " "	4,41,982 " 7	4,41,982 " 7	" " "	" " "	4,42,142 1 11	4,42,142 1 11
652 2 8	652 2 8	" " "	" " "	647 14 6	647 14 6	" " "	" " "	648 10 "	648 10 "
10,25,451 15 11	10,25,451 15 11	" " "	" " "	10,32,151 3 8	10,32,151 3 8	" " "	" " "	10,32,247 9 10	10,32,247 9 10
1,16,784 9 2	1,16,784 9 2	" " "	" " "	1,17,286 2 4	1,17,286 2 4	" " "	" " "	1,17,190 5 6	1,17,190 5 6
17,803 15 2	17,803 15 2	" " "	" " "	17,836 4 3	17,836 4 3	" " "	" " "	17,797 13 4	17,797 13 4
69,329 1 9	69,329 1 9	" " "	" " "	69,634 9 2	69,634 9 2	" " "	" " "	70,164 4 8	70,164 4 8
31,851 9 11	31,851 9 11	" " "	" " "	31,925 15 8	31,925 15 8	" " "	" " "	31,908 4 1	31,908 4 1
4,024 1 10	4,024 1 10	" " "	" " "	4,038 12 10	4,038 12 10	" " "	" " "	4,032 4 10	4,032 4 10
239,793 5 10	239,793 5 10	" " "	" " "	240,721 12 3	240,721 12 3	" " "	" " "	241,093 " 5	241,093 " 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14,52,055 2 4	14,48,516 15 1	3538 3 3	" " "	14,54,337 12 "	14,50,871 12 8	3,465 15 4	" " "	14,44,932 9 6	14,44,763 " 5

Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
187					
C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P	C.Rs a.P
42 1 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
13 6 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
35 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
78 4 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
169 9 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

The Annual Assessments of the several Fusleys successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210 when the Country first fell to the Government (Contd)

Fusly 1231				Fusly 1232				Fusly 1233			
Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
188								189			
C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀	C Rs a ⌀
71,075 2 1	71,048 4 4	26,139	" " "	88,130 14 2	88,012 13 7	" " "		118 " 7	" " "	" " "	" " "
26,139 12 8	26,130 2 1	9 10 7	" " "	29,729 11 10	29,721 6 1	" " "		8 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "
15,002 10 1	14,984 9 3	18 " 10	" " "	15,621 9 5	15,621 9 5	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
50,454 2 4	50,070 13 7	383 4 5	" " "	51,574 10 "	51,574 10 "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
50,936 " 11	50,842 14 11	93 2 4	" " "	51,930 5 5	51,912 13 1	" " "		17 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
2,13,607 11 9	2,13,076 12 2	530 15 7	" " "	2,28,987 2 10	2,28,843 4 2	" " "		143 14 8	" " "	2,14,029 3 16	2,11,485 13 9
92,346 15 10	92,346 15 10	" " "	" " "	91,704 1 3	91,704 1 3	" " "		" " "	" " "	91,681 14 5	91,681 14 5
1,31,871 12 7	1,31,871 12 7	" " "	" " "	1,31,604 11 8	1,31,604 11 8	" " "		" " "	" " "	1,31,403 2 4	1,31,403 2 4
1,31,001 15 5	1,31,001 15 5	" " "	" " "	1,30,864 12 4	1,30,864 12 4	" " "		" " "	" " "	1,30,742 3 10	1,30,742 3 10
2,35,813 10 10	2,35,813 10 10	" " "	" " "	2,36,721 3 "	2,36,721 3 "	" " "		" " "	" " "	2,37,273 5 4	2,37,273 5 4
594 9 7	594 9 7	" " "	" " "	655 11 7	655 11 7	" " "		" " "	" " "	709 5 7	709 5 7
4,43,528 14 5	4,43,528 14 5	" " "	" " "	4,43,036 13 4	4,43,036 13 4	" " "		" " "	" " "	4,43,123 4 "	4,43,123 4 "
669 2 5	669 2 5	" " "	" " "	673 15 "	673 15 "	" " "		" " "	" " "	688 11 "	688 11 "
10,35,827 1 1	10,35,827 1 1	" " "	" " "	10,35,261 4 2	10,35,261 4 2	" " "		" " "	" " "	10,35,621 14 6	10,35,621 14 6
11,546 14 10	11,546 14 10	" " "	" " "	11,525 " 10	11,525 " 10	" " "		" " "	" " "	11,398 7 11	11,398 7 11
17,824 14 8	17,824 14 8	" " "	" " "	17,818 2 7	17,818 2 7	" " "		" " "	" " "	17,810 10 11	17,810 10 11
79,497 9 11	79,497 9 11	" " "	" " "	79,141 8 8	79,141 8 8	" " "		" " "	" " "	71,345 3 3	71,345 3 3
31,988 5 1	31,988 5 1	" " "	" " "	32,237 2 11	32,237 2 11	" " "		" " "	" " "	32,173 10 3	32,173 10 3
4,033 9 6	4,033 9 6	" " "	" " "	4,027 11 8	4,027 11 8	" " "		" " "	" " "	4,031 15 4	4,031 15 4
2,41,891 6 "	2,41,891 6 "	" " "	" " "	2,41,750 5 8	2,41,750 5 8	" " "		" " "	" " "	2,42,759 15 8	2,42,759 15 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
14,91,326 2 10	14,90,795 3 3	530 15 7	" " "	15,05,998 12 8	15,05,854 14 "	" " "		143 14 8	" " "	14,92,411 2 "	14,89,867 11 11

Fort St. Geo

The annual Assessments of the several Fasslies successively from the beginning

Fusly 1234

Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
71555 6 1	68,966 15 1	2,588 6 11	" " "
28,719 " "	28,350 6 1	368 10 "	" " "
15,807 5 11	15,555 12 8	251 9 3	" " "
52,491 10 8	51,536 7 4	955 3 4	" " "
5,011 8 1	49,749 12 3	126 1 11 10	" " "
219,584 14 8	214,159 5 4	5,425 9 4	" " "
91,768 10 1	91,768 10 1	" " "	" " "
131,251 9 4	131,251 9 4	" " "	" " "
130,909 5 3	130,919 5 3	" " "	" " "
236,436 14 5	236,436 14 5	" " "	" " "
672 14 7	672 14 7	" " "	" " "
4,43,479 12 2	4,43,479 12 2	" " "	" " "
677 4 4	677 4 4	" " "	" " "
10,35,195 10 10	10,35,195 10 10	" " "	" " "
1,17,544 5 10	1,17,544 5 10	" " "	" " "
17,818 13 5	17,818 13 5	" " "	" " "
7,792 10 9	7,792 10 9	" " "	" " "
32,204 14 5	32,204 14 5	" " "	" " "
4,035 " 1	4,035 " 1	" " "	" " "
242,395 12 6	242,395 12 6	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1497,176 6 "	1491,750 12 8	5,425 9 4	" " "

191

Fusly

Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
75,321 4 10	73,471 10 6	" " "	" " "
31,320 4 11	34,927 13 8	" " "	" " "
16,194 12 3	15,899 5 "	" " "	" " "
54,300 9 5	53,050 14 9	" " "	" " "
52,489 11 6	50,619 14 6	" " "	" " "
229,626 10 11	224,002 10 5	" " "	" " "
92,183 11 8	92,100 10 6	" " "	" " "
131,588 7 3	131,506 10 11	" " "	" " "
131,084 6 6	131,046 10 8	" " "	" " "
238,271 6	238,242 15 7	" " "	" " "
694 8 7	694 8 7	" " "	" " "
4,44,431 14 9	4,44,407 5 2	" " "	" " "
676 6 "	676 6 "	" " "	" " "
10,38,919 8 3	10,38,677 3 5	" " "	" " "
1,17,606 14 2	1,17,575 5 5 4	" " "	" " "
17,809 2 1	17,809 " 10	" " "	" " "
7,549 " 1	7,540 3 7	" " "	" " "
32,209 " 3	32,209 " 3	" " "	" " "
4,031 15 10	4,031 15 10	" " "	" " "
243,406 " 5	243,315 9 10	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1514,952 3 7	1506,025 7 8	" " "	" " "

24th January 1842.

of Fusly 1210. when the country first fell to the Government (Continued)

1235.

Fusly 1236.

193

Remission.	Balance.	Demand.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.
1846. 10 4.	" " "	75954. " 10.	73267. 10 "	2086. 6 10.	" " "
392 7 3.	" " "	32,547. 11 9.	32476. 8 10.	371. 2 11.	" " "
295. 7 3.	" " "	16,210. 4 9.	15882. 5 6.	327. 15 3.	" " "
1249. 10 8.	" " "	56,163. 2 10.	54,580. 10 3.	1,582 8 7.	" " "
1839. 13 "	" " "	51,077. 10 3.	50047. 13 6.	1029. 12 9.	" " "
5,624. " 6.	" " "	231952. 14 5.	225955. " 1.	5797 14 4	" " "
83. 1 2.	" " "	92,394. 6 3.	92,359. 15 4.	34 6 11.	" " "
99. 12 4.	" " "	131,776. 15 3.	131,698. 1 2.	78 14 1.	" " "
40. 11 10.	" " "	131237. 8 10.	131,210. 4 11.	29 3 11.	" " "
14. 1 11.	" " "	238,554. 10 9.	238526. 12 8.	29 13 11.	" " "
" " "	" " "	998. 7 7.	998. 7 7.	" " "	" " "
24. 9 7.	" " "	44,5058. 4 9.	44,5014 6 9.	43 13 10.	" " "
" " "	" " "	704. 1 "	701. 3 "	2 14 4	" " "
242. 4 10.	" " "	1040,524. 6 1.	1040309 3 5	215. 2 8.	" " "
51. 8 10.	" " "	11,992. 13 9.	11,7925. 13 9.	27. " "	" " "
" 1 3.	" " "	17,823. 12 8.	17,823. 12 8.	" " "	" " "
8. 12 6.	" " "	72,513. 1 8.	72,513. 1 8.	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	32,394. 13 2.	32,383. 5 10.	11 7 4.	" " "
" " "	" " "	4041. 7 10.	4041. 7 10.	" " "	" " "
60. 6 7.	" " "	244,726. 1 1.	244,687. 9 9.	38. 7 4.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5926. 11 11.	" " "	15,1,203. 5 7.	15,10951. 13 3.	6251 8 4.	" " "

P. G. B.

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

The annual Assessment of the several Fusleis successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210. when the country first fell to the Govt. (continued)

Fusly 1237.				Fusly 1238				Fusly 1239			
Demands.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.	Demands.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.	Demands.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.
				194				196.			
Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔	Cs Rs a ℔
72766. 8 1.	71523. 10 6.	1242 13 7.	" " "	195				3912 9 4			
33610. 8 11.	33392. 13 4	217. 11 7.	" " "	94285. 9 4.	90373. " "			891. 5 3.	" " "		
14741 1 2	14189. 6 "	551. 11 2.	" " "	34866. 9 5.	33975. 4 2			155. 13 1.	" " "		
54804 1 10.	53016. 2 10.	1787. 15 "	" " "	14612 3 5.	14456. 6 4			1600 8 1.	" " "		
46704. 14 9.	45322 8 4	1382 6 5.	" " "	55962. " 3.	54361. 3 2.			1479 3 2.	" " "		
222627 2 9.	217444 9 "	5182. 9 9.	" " "	47776. 3 5.	46297 " 3.			8039. 6 11.	" " "		
9672. 7 11	9634. 6 7.	38. 1 4	" " "	227502. 9 10.	219463 2 11.			35. 2 7.	" " "		
131791. 12 10.	131783. 15 2	7. 13 8.	" " "	92573. 15 6.	92538. 12 4.			49. 15 "	" " "		
131791. 13 10.	131781. 9 7.	10. 4 3.	" " "	131926. 9 4	131876. 10 4			18. 15 10.	" " "		
2379 10. 14 3.	237907. 11 3	3. 3. "	" " "	131218 12 7	131199. 12 9.			14 12 8.	" " "		
696. 10 7.	696. 10 7.	" " "	" " "	238092 5 9.	238077. 9 1.			" " "	" " "		
444963. 15 10.	444952. 15 "	11. " 10.	" " "	724 1 7.	724 1 7.			193. 7 3.	" " "		
686. 13 "	686. 13 "	" " "	" " "	444876. 5 9.	444801 12 7			24 7 10.	" " "		
				672 15 "	672. 15 4			6 7 2	" " "		
1039814. 8 3.	1039644. 1 2	90. 7 1.	" " "	1040085. 1 6.	1039891. 10 3			43. 7 4.	" " "		
118079. " 1.	118057. 15 11.	21. " 2	" " "	18083. 1 6.	18059. 9 9			6 7 2	" " "		
17833. 1 1.	17832. 11 7.	" 5 6.	" " "	17842. " "	17835 8 10.			43. 7 4	" " "		
72877. 4 4.	72833. 11 9.	43. 8 7.	" " "	72557. 14 1.	72514 6 9.			6 3 9.	" " "		
32405. 14 1.	32393. 13 11.	12 " 2	" " "	32413. 14 4	32407. 10 7						
4038 1 10.	4038. 1 10.	" " "	" " "	4044 11 10.	4044 11 10.						
245233 5 5.	245156. 7 "	76. 14 5.	" " "	244941. 9 9	244860. 15 8.			80. 10 1.	" " "		
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "			" " "	" " "		
1507575. " 5.	1502245. 1 2	5329. 15 3.	" " "	1512529. 5 1.	1504215. 12 10.			8313. 8 3.	" " "	1492652 " 4.	1488091. 13 10.
										4061. 2 6	" " "

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

The annual Assessments of the several Fusulies successively from the beginning of Fusly 1210. when the country first fell to the Government / Continued

Fusly 1240				Fusly 1241.				Fusly 1242.			
Demand.	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand.	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand.	Collection	Remission	Balance
				197				198			
C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.
65,382. 11 9.	61,813. 3 "	2,561. 14 7		987. 10 2.	59,187. 6 10.	57,694 " 1.					
29,192. 14 4.	28,830. 8 9.	299. 8 1.		62. 13 6.	26,524. 9 "	26,470. 2 "					
12,937. 13 7.	12,670. 13 3.	91. 14 7		175. 1 9.	12,267. 8 9.	11,956. 2 9.					
5,328 7. " 5.	5,234 3 6 9.	805. 9 10.		137. 15 10.	5,240 0 10 6.	5,191 0. 15 4.					
45,332. 15 4.	44,779. 8 7.	151. 13 1.		401. 9 8.	43,666. 11 6.	42,845. 11 6.					
20,613. 7 5.	20,043 7. 8 4.	39 30. 12 2.		1,765. 2 11.	194,046 14 7.	190,876. 15 6.					
92,583. 2 2.	92,558. 14 8.	24. 3 6.	" " "		92,352. 4 7.	83,472 6 4.					
13,790. 6 1.	13,730. 12 6.	59. 9 7.	" " "		13,628 4 7.	12,735. 11 3.					
13,325. 8 5.	13,307 5 7.	18. 2 10.	" " "		13,019. 9 "	13,096. 2 9.					
23,866. 12 "	23,860. 12 "	" " "	" " "		23,259. 2 1.	20,436. 13 6.					
695. 2 7.	695. 2 7.	" " "	" " "		678. 13 9.	546. 9 "					
4,474 2 15 "	4,469 13 4.	73. 1 8.	" " "		4,426 4 14 8.	3,195 23 11 "					
675. 13 "	675. 13 "	" " "	" " "		669. 9 "	669. 9 "					
10,404 73. 11 3.	10,402 98. 9 8.	175. 1 7.	" " "		10,388 92. 9 6.	8,673 11 "					
1,180 65. 3 11.	1,180 33. 15 3.	31 4 8.	" " "		1,179 29. 10 "	89,366. 10 1.					
17,863. 11 9.	17,863 11 9.	" " "	" " "		17,866. 3 3.	17,866. 3 2.					
7,29 86. " 10.	7,29 74. 12 10.	11 4 "	" " "		7,33 58. 5 6.	7,33 36. 2 9.					
32,414 14 4.	32,396 " 6.	18 13 10.	" " "		32,369. 10 4.	32,362. 4 4.					
4,042 15 "	4,042 15 "	" " "	" " "		4,027. 13 "	4,027. 13 "					
24,537 2. 13 10.	24,531 1. 7 4.	61. 6 6.	" " "		24,551. 10 1.	21,695. 1 4.					
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "					
14,91 980. " 6.	14,86 047. 9 4.	4,167. 4 3.	1,765. 2 11.		14,78 471. 2 2.	12,75 147. 1 "					

Fusly 1241.				Fusly 1242.			
Demand.	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand.	Collection	Remission	Balance
		199					
C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.	C.Rs. a. ps.
571. 10 4.	921. 12 5.	56,841. 15 6.	27,601. 8 3.	20,986. 4 "	82,54. 3 3.		
3. 14 "	50. 9 "	26,790. 8 1.	13,661. 14 7.	11,667. 14 "	1,460. 11 6.		
41. 13 5.	269. 8 7.	12,192. 4 "	5,919. 14 1.	4,680. 3 4.	1,592 2 7.		
318. 8 7.	171. 2 7.	52,235. 5 5.	21,566. 12 6.	26,228. 4 10.	4,440. 4 1.		
314 11 9.	506. 4 3.	44,733. 11 7.	26,245. 15 "	16,342. 5 6.	2,145. 7 1.		
1,250. 10 1.	1,919. 4 10.	1,92,793 12 7.	94,996. " 5.	79,904 15 8.	17,892 12 6.		
8,879. 14 3.	" " "	9,141 7. 12 4	4,081 5. 8 3.	50,602. 4 1.	" " "		
34. 1 4.	38,58. 8 "	1,30,448. 6 7.	1,03,243. 10 3.	130. 9 9.	27,074 2 7.		
23 5 3.	" " "	1,30,144. 15 7.	1,01,168. 5 7.	101. 10 "	28,875. " 4		
" " "	33,892. 4 5.	2,36,208 2 9.	1,17,388. 9 9.	32 7. 12 5.	1,18,491 12 7.		
" " "	132 4 7.	663. 11 7.	354. 10 "	19. 6 "	289. 11 7.		
43 15 2.	12,469 7. 4 6.	4,41,754. 15 6.	3,16,343. 14 7.	363. 3 5.	12,5047. 13 5.		
" " "	" " "	650. 15 "	649. 5 "	1 10 "	" " "		
8,987 4 "	1,62,580. 5 6.	10,31,288. 15 3.	6,79,963. 15 5.	5,1546 7 8.	2,9778. 8 2.		
20 3 1.	28,542 12 10.	11,080. 12 7.	5,3666. 3 10.	368. 5 "	6,3046. 3 9.		
" " 1.	" " "	17,89. 2 5.	17,89 2 5.	" " "	" " "		
22 2 9.	" " "	7,397. 14 1.	7,0936. 6 "	461. 8 1.	" " "		
7. 6 "	" " "	32,131. 8 11.	32,023. 9 9.	107. 15 2.	" " "		
" " "	" " "	4,018. 8 11.	1,777 7 1.	2241. 1 10.	" " "		
49. 11 11.	28,542 12 10.	24,241 7. 14 11.	17,6192. 13 1.	3,198. 14 1.	6,3046. 3 9.		
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
10,281. 10 "	1,93,042. 7 2.	1,46,500. 10 9.	95,1152. 12 11.	13,4630. 5 5.	3,8071 8 5.		

Lord St. George 24th January 1842.

The annual assessment of the several Justices successively from the beginning of July 1210, when the Country first fell to the Govt. (Continued)

July 1243.				July 1244.				July 1245.			
Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
200											201.
44,076 " 1	32,575. 5 7.	4,960 12 10	6,539. 14 6	44,929 6 9.	34,163. 10 7.						
2,225 13 3.	1,029. 11 5.	429 12 "	768. 5 10	22,528 15 7.	19,937. 3 5.						
912 14 5.	840. 9 11.	490 1 4	802 3 2	10,178. " 9.	9,000 7 3.						
40,119 11 6.	35,314. 11 3	2,778. 10 11.	2,026. 5 4	40,179 3 2	36,614 15 2						
36,449. 8 1	32,685. 3 11	2,324. 12 6.	1,439. 7 8	38,960. 11 4	36,984. 5 7						
151,584 " 2	128,025. 10 1.	11,982 1 7.	11,576. 4 6.	156,676. 5 7.	136,700. 9 5.						
90,253. 3 10	33,303. " 2	56,950. 3 8	" " "	90,242 3 2	23,920. 1 6.						
129,064. 14 6	87,478. 10 7.	99 9 7	51,486. 10 4	128,923. 14 10.	41,131. 15 "						
128,856. 1 4	68,778. 9 11	76 2 9.	60,001. 4 8.	128,878. 10 10	35,814. 2 6.						
229,848. 3 8.	71,286. 6 2	143 15 2	158,417. 14 4	229,829. 13 6.	91,874. 5 9.						
555 12 7	198 12 "	3 3 "	353 13 7.	540 10 7.	184 8 "						
437,192. 2 1.	319,700. 7 2	97 1 1	117,394 9 10.	437,250. 8 3	152,493. 6 3.						
624 9 4	623 11 "	" 14 "	" " "	624 3 "	269. 8 "						
1016.394. 15 "	577,369. 9 "	57,371 1 3.	387,654. 4 9.	1016,290. " 2	345,487. 15 "						
115,792. 11 10.	37,166. 14 11	197 12 11.	78,428. " "	115,779 2 5.	28,717. 12 5.						
17,730 4 10.	17,726 " 1.	4 4 9	" " "	17,728 15 4	6,218 8 7.						
66,960 " 4	66,936. 5 10	23 10 6.	" " "	67,203 15 2	32,482 10 3.						
31,757. 11 1.	31,719 11 2	37 15 11	" " "	31,740. 1 11.	10,285. 6 6.						
4004. 1 3.	1,262 15 5.	2741 1 10	" " "	4,006. " 10.	964. 7 "						
236,244 13 4	154,811 15 5	3,004 13 11.	78,428 " "	236,458. 3 6.	78,406. 12 9.						
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "						
1404,223. 12 6.	854,207. 2 6	72,358 " 9.	477,658 9 3	1409,424. 9 5.	560,657. 5 2						

£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
202											
4762 7 11.	6003 4 3.	44804 14 6.	41118 11 8.	34 1 9	3652 1 1.						
1781 10 3	810 1 11.	20,145. 11 7.	19,544 11 6.	" " "	601 " 1.						
251 15 8	825 9 10.	10,266. 1 9.	9,777 10 6.	" " "	488 7 3.						
1,804 7 6	1,759 12 6.	39,964 15 6	38,684 " 10.	" " "	1,080 14 8						
940 1 10	10,36 4 6.	39,370. 11 1.	38,713. 15 9	" " "	656. 11 4						
9,540 11 2	10,435. 1 "	154,352. 6 5.	147,839. 2 3	34 1 9	6,429. 2 5.						
78 12 3	66,443. 5 5.	90,459 5 6.	54,564. 10 8.	52 15 5	35,841. 11 5.						
" " "	87,791. 15 10.	129,577. 13 8.	65,214. 7 4	74 4 1	64,289 2 3.						
178 " 5.	9,286. 7 11.	129,191. 4 1.	81,349. 6 5.	40 9 "	47,801. 4 8.						
48 1 11.	13,907. 5 10.	230,444. 3 10	107,580. 8 1.	29 6 9	122,834. 5 "						
" " "	356. 2 7.	547. 14 7.	264 10 "	3 5 "	289 15 7.						
94 2 9	28,662. 15 3.	437,983. 6 9.	223,120 12 9	104 10 1	214,757 15 11						
" 8 "	354 3 "	624 3 "	385. 8 "	" " "	238. 11 "						
399 9 4	67,042. 7 10	1018,428. 3 5.	532,469. 15 3.	305 2 4	486,053. 1 10						
97 6 "	86,964. " "	116,027. 15 5.	37,543. 6 10.	56 8 7	78,428. " "						
" " "	11,510. 6 9.	17,754 5 "	11,120 4 4.	1 8 1	6,632 8 "						
71 4 11.	34,650 " "	68,083 3 1.	44,928 11 11.	54 7 2	23,100 " "						
32 3 1	21,422 8 4	31,808 4 2	20,098 11 9.	27 8 1	11,682 " 4						
" " "	3241. 9 10	4,008 3 11.	2,499 2 11.	" " "	1,509. 1 "						
200 14 "	157,788. 8 11	237,681. 15 7.	116,190. 6 4	139 15 11	121,351. 9 4						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
10,141 2 6.	838,626. 1 9	1410,862. 9 5.	796,499 7 10.	479 4 "	613,883. 13 7.						

York St. George 24th January 1842.

The annual assessments of the several Juries successively from the beginning of July 1210. when the Country first fell to the Govt. (Cont.d)

July 1246.				July 1247				July 1248.			
Demand.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.	Demand.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.	Demand.	Collection.	Remission.	Balance.
£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d	£ s d
208							205				
£120 a d	£120 a d	£ s d	£120 a d	£ s d	£120 a d	£ s d	£120 a d	£ s d	£120 a d	£ s d	£120 a d
45,101 " 11.	4,021. 10 4	" " "	4,079. 6 7.	52,500. 4 11.	49,389. 14 9.	" " "	3,110. 6 2	51,956. 14 3.	40,682. 6 4	11,274 7 11.	
19,863. 12 6.	19,234. 4 8.	" " "	629. 7 10.	24,064 6 2.	23,566. " 8.	" " "	498 5 6.	23,815. 4 3.	23,278. 13 9.	536 6 6.	
10,015. 7 3.	9,415. 2 7.	" " "	600. 4 8.	11,150. 5 3.	10,641 14 4	" " "	508. 6 11.	11,206 9 11.	7,833. 4 10.	3,373. 5 1.	
42,305. 5 4	41,309. 6 1.	" " "	995. 13 3.	48,207 10 8.	47,447. 2 1.	" " "	760. 8 7.	47,402 6 2.	34,620. 11 1.	12,781. 11 1.	
39,808. 11 9.	39,169. 5 "	" " "	639. 6 9.	43,317. 2 11.	42,980. " 4	" " "	537. 2 7.	43,538. 9 5.	38,436. 2 8.	5,102. 6 9.	
15,7094. 5 9.	15,149. 14 8.	" " "	6,944. 7 1.	17,239. 13 11.	17,382. " 2	" " "	5,414 13 9.	17,919. 12 "	14,851. 6 8.	33,068. 5 4	
99,806. 6 1.	53,184 2 11.	63. 4 2	37,558. 15 "	91,065. " 4	46,813. 3 8	116 1 8	42,335 11 "	91,174 8 4	62,695. 5 5.	28,479. 2 11.	
130,016. 15 8.	65,312 7 3.	67. 13 5.	64,636 11 "	130,507. 5 6.	68,859. 15 4	57 5 5.	61,590. " 9.	130,732. 2 9.	76,903. 10 6.	53,828. 8 1.	
129,473. 7 9.	75,061. 6 8.	70. 12 5	54,341 4 8.	130,031. 12 4	53,698. 12 4	62 8 7.	76,270 7 5.	130,301. 10 3.	65,397. 12 6.	64,903. 13 9.	
232,283. 6 10.	100,131. 11 11.	11. 10 11.	126,140 " "	233,842 9 1.	127,634 6 11.	26 2 10.	105,981. 15 4	235,379. 9 "	114,306. 15 6.	121,072 9 4	
561. 5 7.	268 1 "	" " "	293. 4 7.	568. 15 7.	370. 3 "	" " "	198. 12 7.	589. 6 7.	390. 10 "	198 12 7.	
439,603 4 3.	230,475. 5 10.	123. 15 3.	209,003. 15 2.	441,480 7 11.	234,985 14 11.	130. 10 6.	206,363. 14 5.	442,151 1 1.	267,779. 4 11.	174,371 12 2	
638. 8 "	384 5 "	" " "	254. 3 "	630. 15 "	392 4 "	" " "	238. 11 "	646. 5 "	507. 10 "	136. 11 "	
1023383. 6 2	536,817. 8 7.	337. 8 2	486,228. 5 5.	1027,927. 1 9.	534,554 12 1.	392. 13 2	492,979. 8 6.	1030,974. 11 "	587,981. 5 2	442,993. 5 10.	
116,320. 4 4	59,146. 13 10.	42. 12 10.	57,130. 9 8.	116,601 14 1	52,362 8 11.	52 14 1	64,186. 7 1.	116,780. 6 4	73,983. 3 5.	42,797. 2 11.	
17,766. 4 6.	12,133 13 "	" " "	5,632. 7 6.	17,796. 3 6.	7,872. 4 5.	" " "	9,923 15 1.	17,810. 15 7.	13,178. 7 6.	4,632 8 1.	
68,907 4 8.	39,237. " 2	17. 15 4	29,652. 5 2	69,671. 12 "	38,863. 7 1.	4 6 9.	30,803. 14 2	70,156. 3 11.	57,033. 4 "	13,122 15 11.	
31,816. 11 10.	16,265. 15 8.	16 4 "	15,534. 8 2	31,902 11 5.	14,352 4 2.	35 14 5.	17,534 8 10.	31,985. 9 9.	24,268. 2 7.	7,717. 7 2	
4,012 10 2.	2,503. 8 4	" " "	1,509. 1 10.	4,012 15 3.	1,772 7 5.	" " "	2,240. 7 10.	4,010. 9 4	2,501. 6 3.	1,509. 3 1.	
2388,23 3 6	1292,87. 3 "	77. " 2	1094,67. " 4	2399,85. 8 3.	1152,23. " "	93. 3 3.	1246,89. 5 "	2407,43. 12 11.	1709,64. 7 9.	697,79. 5 2	
" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	" " " " "	
14193,00. 15 5.	8162,54. 10 3.	414 8 4	6026,31. 12 10	14477,52 7 11.	8236,02. 12 3	466. " 5.	6230,83. 11 3.	14496,38. 3 11.	9037,97. 3 7.	5458,41 " 4	

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

The Annual Assessment of the several fusilies succeedingly from the beginning fusly 1210, when the Country first fell to the Govt. (continued)

Fusly 1219.			Fusly 1250.			Grand Jotl Assessment for the several fusilies.				average Demand		
										average Demand	average Collection	
Demand.	Collection	Balance	Demand.	Collection	Balance	Demand	Collection	Remission	Balance			
206						207.				208		
54954 a 8 3	44890 a 6 4	10064. 2 3.	47897. 8 3.	43180. 4 11.	4717. 3 4	22,10,219. 2 11.				209,6565. 1 8.	54049. 9 4	59604 y 11.
25588. 4 8.	23490 y y	2097. 13 1.	22806. 9 6.	22054. 11 10.	751. 13 10	8,26,170. 14 1.				798,392. 9 10.	19,510. 11 8.	8,267. 8 y.
12239 4 5	11,322. 5 8.	916. 14 9.	11,524 y 5	10,807. 11 8.	716. 11 9.	3,97,917. 8 5.				379,218. 10 10.	8,430. 1 3.	10,268 12 4
48393 1 4	42,935 4 y.	5,457. 12 9.	43,958 1 1.	40,778 14 6.	2179. 2 y.	14,51,253. y 8.				13,78,360. y 2	41,101. 9 3.	31,791. y 3.
47,097. 6 6.	44,466. y 1.	2630. 15 5.	46,774 3 11	44,860. 14 5.	1923 5 6.	12,18,821. 9 11.				1,73,223. 11 6.	25,578. 12 11.	17,019. 1 6.
188272 9 2	16,104. 14 11.	2167. 10 3.	17,960. 14 4	16,672. 9 4	1288. 5 "	6,04,382. 11 "				58,25,760. 9 "	15,1670 12 5	12,695. 5 y.
90,973. 13 "	63835. 2 y.	22,138 10 5.	99,760. y 10.	65,638. 5 5.	25,122 2 5.	22,03,30. 13 "				18,25,352. 15 1.	1,17,058 3 4	2,57,919. 10 y.
13,0365. 4 10.	8,7925. 14 y.	42439. 6 3.	12,9927. " 8.	10,3305. " 5.	2662. 15 10.	3,14,3665. 11 3.				26,58,677. 10 1.	771. " 3.	4,83,617. " 11.
1,29,944. 2 3.	73295. 2 9	56646. 15 6.	1,29,512. 15 "	84,963. 14 "	47,549. 1 "	31,32,650. y 6.				26,32,664. 5 5.	708. 6 6.	5,29,277. 11 y.
23,4161. 1 5.	15,7046. y 9.	84,114 9 8.	2,32,538. 12 5.	1,47,771 6.	5,8,827. 6 "	5,64,2340 6 1.				45,47,996. 4 1.	663. 15 6.	1,09,3,680. 2 6.
580. 10 y.	381. 14 "	198 12 y.	562 10 2	361. 14 "	200. 12 2	15,005. 10 9.				12,469 6 4	25. 14 "	2,512. 6 5.
44,0758. 8 2	27,3472. 6 5	16,7286. 1 6.	43,9197. 12 2	21,3521. 9 8	22,5676. 2 6.	10,610,600. 12 5.				87,66,103. 15 5.	1,234 4 4	18,43,262 8 8
633. 6 "	394. 6 "	239. " "	631. 11 2	390. " "	241. 11 2	15,998. 10 6.				14,067. 10 4	5 14 "	1,705 2 2
10,27,416. 14 3	6,54,361. 6 4	3,73,055. y 11.	10,23,131. 5 "	6,12,892. 1 11.	4,10,239. 3 1.	2,47,58,772. y 6.				24,42,7,330. 2 9	1,20,467. 9 11.	42,1,974. 10 10.
116537 8 "	85785. 10 2	30757. 13 10.	116336. 10 8.	93214. 15 5	23020. 11 3.	28,12,699. 2 "				2,25,8,366. 3 y.	1,035 1 1.	5,53,295. 13 4
17778. 15 4.	11143 9 8.	6635. 5 8.	17775 " 5.	11,099. 8 y.	6675. y 10.	4,27,467. 11 10.				37,5,812. 6 1.	12 10 10.	5,1,642. 10 11
6,9,684. 14 8.	4,6627. 13 8.	2,3057. 1 "	6,9,099. 8 4	4,3524. 4 6.	2,5576. 3 10.	1,69,2616 9 1				15,11,684. 8 y.	870. 8 5.	1,79,961. 8 1.
3,19,19. 13 5	2,0223. 15 "	1,1695. 14 5.	3,1885. " 10.	2,0077. 1 1.	1,1807. 15 9	69,994. " 4				67,2205. 12 3.	310. 5 1.	97,394 15 "
4010 10 2	3001. 15 "	1008. 11 2	4,010 2 10.	2999. 9 "	1,010 9 10.	96,645. 10 9.				79,634 10 6.	4,982 3 8.	1,202 8. 12 y.
2,39,931. 13 y.	1,66,782. 15 6.	73148 14 1.	2,39,105. y 1.	1,71,015. 6 y.	68,090. " 6.	57,99,238. 2 "				48,97,703. 9 "	7,210. 13 1.	8,94,323. 11 11.
" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "	" " " "				" " " "	" " " "	" " " "
14,55,621. 5 "	9,88,239. 4 9	4,67,382 " 3.	14,34,197. 10 5	9,45,580. 1 10.	4,88,617. 8 y.	3,66,3393. 4 6				31,50,794 4 9	2,79,349. 3 5.	52,33,249. 12 4

209

65006. y 2	61663. 10	10.
24299. 2 3.	23482 2	2
11703 y 4.	14153. y	10.
42683. 14 10.	40540. "	3.
35847. 11 1.	34506. 9	3.
1,72,540 10 8.	17,345. 14	4
91,680 y 2	76056. 6 "	
1,30,961 1 2	1,10,778 3	9.
1,30,529 1 8.	1,08,444. 5 y.	
2,35,097. 8 3.	1,89,499. 13	6.
625. 3 9.	519. y y.	
44,2108 5 10.	36,254. 5	3.
657. y 2	586. 2	5.
1,03,657. 3 "	85,138. 12	1.
1,17,195 11 6.	94,098 9	6
17,811 2 6.	15,658 13	y
7,3,521. 8 4	6,29,86. 13	8
32,079. 10 "	28,008 9	2.
4,026. 14 5.	3,318. 1	9.
2,41,034 14 9	2,04,070. 15	8
" " " "	" " " "	" "
1,45,2832. 12 5.	1,22,6555. 10	1.

The amount of the several Zurgunahs resistantly during entered upon

Fusly 12[illegible] the average of 3 years from that period up to Fusly 1250 is entered.

The Statistical account upon Fusly 1229.

have been collected and the average of 24 years from that period up to Fusly 1255 is entered.

1172

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Number	Names of the Zemindaries.	Number of Villages.
1	Jungad Durjanah	47.
2	Caurempoody Ditto	16.
3	Jimmerecottah Ditto	12
4	Goorjalah Ditto	23.
5	Mancherlah Ditto	54.
	Total Government Estates	152
1	Rajah Mannekarow Junguinnorow Zemindar	66
2	Rajah Mannoor Vencata Wanoomayemmah and late Venkannorow Zemindars	79
3	Rajah Mannoor Vencata Gopaulrow Zemindar	80
4.	Rajah Mubrauze Lutchmiah Zemindar	231
5.	Ditto Ditto	4.
6	Late Rajah Vajereddy Vencatadry Naidoo Zemindar	266
7	Ditto Ditto	1
	Total Zemindary	727
1.	Rajah Mubrauze Lutchmiah Zemindar	88
2.	Late Rajah Vajereddy Vencatadry Naidoo Zemindar	6.
3	Ditto Ditto	38
4	Ditto Ditto	22.
5.	Rajah Mauneka Row Junguinna Row Zemindar	1
	Total purchased Zemindary Estates	155
1.	Acting Cazy Meer Yacoob ally	1.
	Total Villages	1,035

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry,
19th January 1842.

E. E. Pr. / Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector General

F. St. George

No. 2.

24th January 1842.

t of Fusly 1249 and 1250. in the Zillah of Guntoor and the District of Palnaud.

Fusly 1249.

Land Revenue.

ivision of the Produce.	Total.	Favorable assessment &c.	Total Columns 8 and 9.	Total Land Revenue.	Dasabhan. gum payable for Tank repairs.	Total.
7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rups. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.
" " " " " "	" " " " " "	" " " " " "	12,25,458. 7 7	12,25,458. 7 7	" " "	12,25,458. 7 7
75 8 11	1,38,700 4 7	47,202 7 3	1,85,902 11 10	1,85,902 11 10	3,064 5 "	1,88,967 " 10
875 8 11.	138700 4 7	47,202 7 3	1,85,902 11 10	14,11,361 3 5	3,064 5 "	14,14,425 8 5

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Fusly 1249. (Continued)

Extra branches of Revenue. Sayer abkarry. Small Farms and Licenses.

Rented.	Under Management.	Total.	Rented.	Under Management.	Total.	Rented.	Under Management.	Total.	Salt.	Sea Customs.	Moturpha
14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22	23.	24.	25.
b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.	b: Rs. a: P.
" " " 58,580: 3 5	57,580: 3 5	11291 " "	" " "	11,291 " "	7376 9 "	20 4 "	7396 13 "	3,53,955. "	7	2,777 12. 6	41,890. 4. 3
" " " 3801. 2 5	3801. 2 5	1298. 8 "	" " "	1298 8 "	2291. 10 3	" "	2,291 10 3	" " "	" "	" "	2369. 13 4.
" " " 62,381. 5. 10	62,381. 5. 10	12,589. 8 "	" " "	12,589. 8 "	9,668 3 3.	20. 4 "	9,688. 7 3.	3,53,955. "	7	2,777 12. 6.	44,260. 1 7.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Fusly 1249 - (Continued)

| Fusly 1250. Revenue. Under annual Settlement. — Land | | |

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

Land Revenue. (Continued.)

Fusly 1250.

Extra branches of Revenue. (Continued)

Sayer.

Abkarry

Small Farms and Licenses.

Total Land Revenue.	Dasabhaw. gum payable for Tank repairs.	Total.	Rented.	Under Management.	Total.	Rented.	Under Management.	Total.	Rented.	Under Management.	Total.
39.	40.	41.	42.	43.	44.	45.	46	47.	48.	49	50.
C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.	C. Rs. a. P.
12.25.458 7 7	" " "	12.25.458 7 7	" " "	6.1084 15 11	6.1084 15 11	11.584 7 "	" " "	11.584 7 "	7.450 7 "	" " "	7.450 7 "
169.605 2 3	940 5 7	170.545 7 10	" " "	3.300 3 7	3.300 3 7	1.275 " "	" " "	1.275 " "	2.262 2 3	" " "	2.262 2 3
1395.063 9 10	940 5 7	1396.003 15 5	" " "	64.385 3 6	64.385 3 6	12.859 7 "	" " "	12.859 7 "	9.712 9 3	" " "	9.712 9 3

Fort St. George

24th. January 1842.

Extra branches of Revenue. Fusly 1250. (Continued.)

(Continued)

Salt.		Sea Customs.		Moturpha.		Trepaty & Offerings.		Tobacco Monopoly.		Stamps	
51.		52.		53.		54.		55.		56.	
C: Rs.	a. P.	C: Rs.	a. P.	C: Rs.	a. P.	C: Rs.	a. P.	C: Rs.	a. P.	C: Rs.	a. P.
2,91,939.	12 1.	2,457	7. 8	36,778:	4 6	"	"	"	"	14,398.	3 11
"	"	"	"	2,355.	12 1.	"	"	"	"	"	"
2,91,939	12 1.	2,457.	7. 8.	39,134.	" 7.	"	"	"	"	14,398	3 11

Guntoor, Collectors Cutcherry
19th. January 1842.

Comparison

(Continued) Total Extra branches of Revenue.		Total including Land Revenue Colns. 41. & 57.—	Increase of fusly 1250 above fusly 1249. Increase of Land Revenue between Columns 13 and 41.	Ditto on Extra branches between Columns 29 & 57.	Decrease of fusly 1250 below fusly 1249. Decrease on Land Revenue between Colns. 13 & 41.	Ditto on Extra branches between Colns. 29 & 57.—
57		58	59.	60.	61.	62.
C: Rupees	a. P.	C: Rs. a. P.	C: Rs. a. P.	C: Rs. a. P.	C: Rs. a. P.	C: Rs. a. P.
4,25,693	10 1.	16,51,152 1.	8 "	" "	" "	53,618. 12 1.
9193.	1 11.	1,79,738:	9 9	" "	18,421. 9 "	568 " 1.
434,886.	12 "	18,30,890:	11. 5	" "	18,421. 9 "	59,186. 12 2.

Errors Excepted Pt. / Signed / J: Goldingham
Collector.

Statement

January 1842

| | | |

1179

Fort St. George

24th January 1842.

Datchapully Sanah	Decrease Simmerecottah Sanah	Total	Net Increase	Net Decrease	Remarks.
12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	
5,359. 5 9	4,061. 8 7	9,420 11 4	" " "	9,420 11 4	
" " "	" " "	" " "	133 11 2	" " "	
68. 4 11	97 " 5	165 5 4	" " "	165 5 4	
5,427 10 8	4,158 9 "	9,586 3 8	133 11 2	9,586 3 8	
4,591 13 5	2,994 7 4	7,586 4 9	" " "	7,586 4 9	
" " "	79 12 2	79 12 2	" " "	51 5 1	
" " "	3 6 6	3 6 6	" " "	2 5 5	
" " "	" " "	" " "	196 5 7	" " "	
4,591 13 5	3,077 10 "	7,669 7 5	196 5 7	7,639 15 3	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	14 4 7	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	396 14 11	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	187 2 1	" " "	
" " "	" " "	" " "	593 5 7	" " "	
10,019 8 1	7,236 3 "	17,255 11 1	928 9 4	17,226 2 11	
1,322 4 8	801 10 9	2,123 15 5	" " "	2,123 15 5	
11,341 12 9	8,037 13 9	19,379 10 6	928 9 4	19,350 2 4	

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 19th January 1842.

N°1. From diminished produce with reference to the field: war tist and from prices being low, some Ryots in indigent circumstances relinquished their farms in part and some wholly: further the after Crops were not fully sown this year owing to the failure of Rain from October 1840- also some Ryots have proceeded into the Nizam's Talook as the Assessment there is low at present- Hence this Decrease- the increase in the Garden Cultivation is owing to the Sheet being reduced.

N°2. In consequence of the Jonna produce having yielded a good return and the Cotton produce being also good, the Cultivation of Garden land under Cumany is limited- Hence this decrease.

N°3. This decrease is in consequence of an insufficient supply of water.

N°4. Owing to the deficiency of produce from the failure of Rain.

N°5. This small decrease does not call for Remark.

N°6. Owing to the dry Crops which were sown in the beds of Tanks & to the Jonna produce having both yielded a good return

N°7. No remark necessary.

N°8. certain Inam Bunjer land which was not cultivated from a long time has been cultivated this year- Hence this decrease.

N°9. Owing to a great number of Cattle of the traders in Cotton, Tobacco &c having passed and to the increased number of Cattle, Sheep and Goats.

N°10. The Tamarind Topes produced well this year.

N°11. Vide Remark to N°3.

E. E. P. | Signed | J. Goldingham Collector

Statement

1180

Fort St. George

No. 4.

Statement shewing the Demand Collection and Balance of the Land Revenue in the Palnaud District for Fusly 1250.

24th January 1842.

No.	Names of the Items	Settlement for Fusly 1250	Collections within the Fusly	Balance	Collections up to 15th October 1841	Balance remaining up to 15th October 1841	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
		C. Rupees as P.	C. Rupees as P.	C. Rupees as P.	C. Rupees as P.	C. Rupees as P.	
1.	Land Revenue settled individually	117372 6 2	114938 4 5	2434 1 9	67. 10 2	2366 7 7	Dasabhaugum being an item extra-ordinary excluded from this Statement in order that the ordinary Revenue may correspond with the annual abstract for the accountant General. This will account for the difference of Rupees 940—5—7. between this Statement and the abstract entered in the report of 17th December 1841.
2.	Amany Items	4431 15 3	4431 15 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	
3.	Shrotrium Jodegy &c.	47800 12 10	39979 15 7	7820 13 3	45. " 8	7775 12 7	
	Total	169605 2 3	159350 3 3	10254 15 "	112 10 10	10142 4 2	

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 19th January 1842.

E. E. P. / Signed / S. Goldingham Collector.

Comparative

1181

Fort St. George 24th January 1843.

No. 5.

Comparative Statement of Collections and Charges in the Zillah of Guntoor for Fusties 1249 and 1250.

No.	Particulars.	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Comparison - Increase	Comparison - Decrease	Remarks
		C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	C. Rupees a. P.	
	Guntoor Zillah.					
	Land Revenue.					
1	Total Permanent Settlement	7,75,350 13 9	8,32,755 13 6	57,404 15 9	" " "	
	Charges.					
2	Salary and Commission including deputation allowance after deducting refunds	39,367 3 5	40,145 9 6	273 6 1	" " "	
3	Puzzoor Establishment Ditto	16,441 10 1	16,434 5 2	" " "	7 4 11	As the Comparative Statements of Collections and Charges sent to the Board of Revenue exhibit Remarks on the Increase and Decrease under each head, it is unnecessary to recapitulate the same in this Statement.
4	Sundrawared Ditto	566 9 9	641 10 7	75 " 10	" " "	
5	Extra Charges Ditto	" " "	20 " "	20 " "	" " "	
6	Repairs of Revenue buildings Ditto	63 " 3	2 2 2	" " "	60 14 1	
7	Tent allowance Ditto	3060 12 "	3287 8 3	226 12 3	" " "	
8	Extra Revenue Charges Ditto	1490 10 2	1546 13 9	56 3 7	" " "	
9	Compensation in lieu of resumed lands offices and previleges. Ditto	3350 " "	3350 " "	" " "	" " "	
10	Rozeenahs Ditto	791 1 6	806 1 "	14 15 6	" " "	
11	Pagoda and Mosque allowances Ditto	254 1 "	254 1 "	" " "	" " "	
12	Drawback Ditto	12,236 11 6	12,236 11 6	" " "	" " "	
	Total Charges	73,671 11 8	79,274 14 11	671 6 3	68 3 "	
	Per Centage	10 2 4 15/100	9 8 3 76/100	" " "	" " "	

Fort St. George 24th. January 1842.

No.	Particulars	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Remarks
		C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	
	Salnaud District					
13	Total Land Revenue under dumany	171115 . 3	172397 5 10	1282 5 7	" " "	
	Charges					
14	District Establishment after deducting Refunds	11720 7 3	11714 10 .	" " "	5 13 3	
15	Sauderwared ——— Ditto ———	446 11 4	424 14 8	" " "	21 12 8	
16	Extra Charges ——— Ditto ———	1 4 8	" " "	" " "	1 4 8	
17	Extra Revenue Charges ——— Ditto ———	477 11 10	554 13 6	77 1 8	" " "	
18	Compensation in lieu of resumed lands, offices and previleges ——— Ditto ———	540 " "	540 " "	" " "	" " "	
19	Pagoda and Mosque allowances ——— Ditto ———	365 11 "	362 " 3	" " "	3 10 9	
20	Advance for Cultivation and Repairs of Tanks and watercourses ———	7496 7 11	7563 12 8	72 4 9	" " "	
	Total Charges ———	21043 6 .	21165 3 1	149 6 5	32 9 4	
	Per Centage ———	12 4 $9\frac{70}{100}$	12 4 $5\frac{17}{100}$	" " "	" " "	

1183

Fort St. George 24th January 1852

No.	Particulars	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Remarks
		C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	
	Abkarry					
21.	Total Collections	13052. 2 8	13040. 7 8	" " "	11 11 "	
	Charges					
22.	District Establishment after deducting refunds	312 " "	312 " "	" " "	" " "	
23.	Sauderwared — Ditto	12 " "	12 " "	" " "	" " "	
24.	Extra Charges — Ditto	12. 6 4	17. - 1	4. 9. 9	" " "	
	Total Charges	336. 6. 4	341 - 1.	4. 9. 9	" " "	
	Per Centage	2. 9. $\frac{253}{100}$	2. 9. $\frac{105}{100}$	" " "	" " "	
	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses					
25.	Total Collections	9.605. 14 -	9.939. 2 5.	333. 4 5.	" " "	
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Per Centage	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Moturpha					
26.	Total Collections	37723. 11. 11.	42142. 10 10.	4418. 14. 11.	" " "	
	Charges					
27.	Huzzoor and District Establishment after deducting refunds	3114. 2 5.	3117. 5. 7.	3. 3. 2.	" " "	
28.	Sauderwared	204 " "	204 " "	" " "	" " "	
29.	Extra Charges	" " "	1. " "	1 " "	" " "	
	Total Charges	3318 2. 5.	3322 5. 7.	4. 3. 2.	" " "	
	Per Centage	8. 12 $\frac{832}{100}$	7. 14. $\frac{165}{100}$	" " "	" " "	

1184

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

No.	Particulars	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Comparison Increase	Comparison Decrease	Remarks
		C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	C. Rupees as P	
	Land Customs					
30	Total Collections	62457 14 6	64457 2 5	1999 9 11	" " "	
	Charges					
31	Huzzoor and District Establishment after deducting refunds	12371 4 9	12851 4 10	" " "	19 15 11	
32	Sauderwared Ditto	793 15 5	740 13 1	" " "	53 2 4	
33	Extra Charges Ditto	82 6 2	12 12 "	" " "	69 10 2	
34	Repairs of Revenue buildings Ditto	61 3 3	8 3 11	" " "	52 15 4	
35	Refunds of Sayer Revenue Ditto	77 2 5	" " "	" " "	77 2 5	
	Total Charges	13336 " "	13613 1 10	" " "	272 14 2	
	Per Centage	22 3 $\frac{865}{100}$	21 1 $\frac{1035}{100}$	" " "	" " "	
	Sea Customs					
36	Total Collections	3067 12 11	2777 13 8	" " "	289 13 3	
	Charges					
37	District Establishment after deducting refunds	480 " "	480 " "	" " "	" " "	
38	Sauderwared Ditto	24 " "	24 " "	" " "	" " "	
39	Extra Charges Ditto	3 8 3	" " "	" " "	3 8 3	
	Total Charges	507 8 3	504 " "	" " "	3 8 3	
	Per Centage	16 8 $\frac{831}{100}$	18 2 $\frac{339}{100}$	" " "	" " "	

1185

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

No.	Particulars	Fasly 1249			Fasly 1250			Comparison Increase			Comparison Decrease			Remarks
		C. Rupees	as.	P.	C. Rupees	as.	P.	C. Rupees	as.	P.	C. Rupees	as.	P.	
	Salt Monopoly.													
40	Total Collections	3,55,709	4	5	2,93,598	8	3	"	"	"	62,210	12	2	
	Charges.													
41	Huzzoor and District Establishment after deducting refunds	11,555	1	3	11,557	2	2	2	"	11	"	"	"	
42	Sunderwared ——— Ditto	273	1	"	245	8	11	"	"	"	27	8	1	
43	Extra Charges ——— Ditto	1,876	1	3	2,059	7	3	183	6	"	"	"	"	
44	Repairs of Revenue buildings Ditto	93	11	3	80	14	"	"	"	"	12	13	3	
45	Salt Manufacturer's share	30,217	11	10	27,646	5	9	"	"	"	2,571	6	1	
46	Advances for manufacture of Salt Ditto	1,600	"	"	2,156	11	10	556	11	10	"	"	"	
	Total Charges	45,615	10	7	42,746	1	11	742	2	9	2,611	11	5	
	Per Centage	12	13	131/100	14	14	430/100	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Stamp.													
47	Total Collections	8,430	4	7	14,393	3	11	5,967	15	4	"	"	"	
	Charges.													
48	Stamp Revenue	45	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	45	8	"	
49	Commission to the Darogah &c. after deducting refunds	382	5	8	714	5	9	332	"	1	"	"	"	
	Total Charges	427	13	8	714	5	9	332	"	1	45	8	"	
	Per Centage	5	1	274/100	4	15	459/100	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Grand Total of Collections	14,36,612	15	"	14,45,337	12	6	8,944	13	6	"	"	"	
	Ditto Ditto of Disbursements	163,811	10	11	162,681	1	2	"	"	"	1130	9	9	
	Per Centage	11	6	530/100	11	4	74/100	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Guntoor, Collr's Cutchy 19th January 1842. E. E. P. / Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

Fort St. George

21st January 1842.

F. 6.

favorable assessment for fusly 1250. and the Amount of that Assessment together with

Fusly 1248 Amount of Assessment payable in the next fusly.				Fusly 1249 Quantity of Land.		
Amount paid for fusly 1248 and 1249.	Increase in fusly 1250 above fusly 1248 and 1249.	Increase in fusly 1251 above fusly 1248, 1249 and 1250.	Amount of full assessment on these lands from fusly 1251.	Exempted from Assessment for the first Year.	Quantity subject to payment of progressive assessment from the first year.	Total.
6.	7	8	9	10	11	12.
C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	C. Rupees A P	A C	A C	A C
144 4 7	111 - 3	111 " 10	366 5 8	576 18	1796 37	2373 15
274 13 8	197 12 6	195 8 "	668 2 2	1175 34	1247 21	2423 15
419 2 3	308 12 9	306 8 10	1034 7 10	1752 12	3044 18	4796 30

Fort St. George

24th January 1812

the full assessment on them in the District of

Salsette

Fusly 1249. /Continued/

Amount of Assessment /Cont.e/

Amount paid for Fusly 1249.	Amount payable in the next fusties. — Increase in fusly 1250 above fusly 1249.	Increase in fusly 1251 above fusties 1249. and 1250.	Increase in fusly 1252. above fusties. 1249. 1250. and 1251.	Total.	Exempted from Assessment for the first Year.	Quantity subject to payment of progressive Assessment from the first year.
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	A b	A b
1,085 5 4	858 14 7	860 8 6	395 14 4.	3,200 10 9.	541 16	735 21.
561 12 7.	703. 7 6.	679 12 8.	470 9 6.	2,415 10 3.	712 38	1,120 "
1,647 1 11.	1562 6 1	1,540 5 2	866. 7 10.	5,616. 5 "	1,284 11	1,855. 26

Fusly 1250.

Amount of Assessment.

Land. Total.	Amount paid in fusly 1250.	Increase in fusly 1251. above fusly 1250.	Increase in fusly 1252. above fusties 1250. and 1251.	Increase in fusly 1253. above fusties 1250, 1251 and 1252.	Total.
20	21	22.	23	24	25
A b	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P	Cr. A P
1,277 2 39	0. 6	457 5 1.	457 5 2	302 13 7	1,607 8 4
1,862 38	166 11 4	541 3 1.	541. 5 3	373 6 4	1922. 13 "
3,140 "	856 11 10.	998. 8 2	998. 10 5.	676. 3 11.	3530. 5 4

Fort St. George 24th January 1812

| Quantity of Land. | | | Total Amount of Assessment payable in the next Fuslies | | |

1189
Slater

Number.

[illegible] George

[illegible] under them

[illegible] tab.

[illegible] Sist in Colns 9 and 11.

13

24th January 1842.

together with the Garden Sist thereon in the Palnaud District.

	Grand total.		Deduct land and sist included under the head Mettah.		Remainder entered under the head of Garden land.	
	Quantity of Land in Colns 4 and 12.	Sist in Colns 5 and 13.	Quantity of Land included in Col. 6.	Sist included in Col. 7.	Quantity of Land.	Sist.
	14	15	16	17	18	19
1 Dal [illegible]	34 3 14 11	12 29	22 5 1	21 14	52 5 11	
2 Tam [illegible]	2 34 19 4 38 10 7	53 17	61 6 5	181 2	377 4 2	
[illegible]	2 68 22 513 5 7	66 6	83 11 6	202 16	429 10 1	

19th January 1842. E. E. J.r / Signed / J. Goldingham. Collector.

Statement

1190

Fort St. George

No. 8

Statement Showing the number of new wells constructed by the Ryots at the [illegible]ct of Palmaud 24th January

No. of the Villages.	Names of the Villages.	Names of the Ryots by whom Wells are constructed.	Names of the Ryots who have not yet completed the wells	Remarks.	Names of the Ryots by whom the Wells are constructed.
	Tungada Purganah				
8	Gaunnalapaudoo	Gucoory Goorva Reddy			Potacum Purvataulo
20	Pedugoorallah	Mungalagerry Chendrappah			Gucoola Pantayah
28	Goolly condah	Pandekenany Colappah			Guckanaboyenah Purvataulo
29	Kaalapaudoo	Doodacoola Badoo	Doodacoola Ba[illegible]	These Wells have not yet been completed	Vandausoo Venkiah
		Mundala Atchereddy			[illegible]takum Gopaulo
		Rangereddy Bungauroo			[illegible]cka Paupiah
		Gundecotah Peddachennapah			[illegible]Goory Pantiah
		Fakeir Mohomed			[illegible]ndem Naroydoo
	Gooroozah Purganah.				[illegible]rya Pitchareddy
6	Pentaud	Bunda Paupiah, Munchecanty Appiah and Doggumpoody Nursereddy	Bunda Paupia[illegible] Doggumpoody [illegible]	Ditto	[illegible]aragunty Bauliah
					[illegible]nnamaulo Moottiah
					[illegible]takum Soobbiah
	Mauncherlah Purganah.				[illegible]eetarauze Jogiah
1	Mauchetlah	Soorapatauze Chetumbatum	Soorapatauze [illegible] Boddoo Siddool[illegible]		Kara Narsereddy, Yarabotoo Condareda[illegible]
		Bhuttoo Rungasayee and Chennuvauze	Bhuttoo Rungas[illegible]		Gundracottah Venkiah
					[illegible]taloo Naugooloo
					[illegible]aragutloo Moosaly
					[illegible]rra Sunjeevareddy
					[illegible]tta Pauperedy

Fort St. George

24th January 1812.

Names of the Ryots who have cultivated the land under the Well.	No. of the Wells. In fusly 1218	Ditto 1219	Ditto 1250	Quantity Fusly Land.		Fusly 1218. Sist.		Fusly 1219. Land.		Fusly 1250. Sist.		Remarks.
				A	℔	Cwt	A ℔	A	℔	Cwt	A ℔	
———	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	95	These Wells have not yet been Completed
———	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
———	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
———	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Nucka Paupiah	1	"	"	1	16	1	10	"	1	16	1	10
Talloory Paidiah	1	"	"	7	3	8	4	7	3	9	15	"
Yendem Narsidoo	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	8	9	10	10	"
———	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" } Ditto
———	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Chennamaloo Moottiah	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Cotacum Soobbiah and Pedusashiah	1	"	"	3	16	3	9	9	3	16	3	9
Seetaramze Jogiah	1	"	"	"	10	"	1	2	"	10	"	1
Cankara Narsereddy, Yenaloloo Condareddy and Gundracottah Venkiah	1	"	"	2	30	2	30	1	6	10	2	30
Coodaloo Nangooloo	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	22
Davaraloo Moosaly	1	"	"	2	"	2	6	6	2	"	2	6
Coorra Sungeevoreddy	1	"	"	1	27	1	8	8	1	27	1	8
Mitta Paupereddy	1	"	"	2	30	3	2	"	2	30	3	2
Total	9	17	2	23	16	24	12	11	16	8	58	12

"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
1	16	1	10	"	
7	3	10	13	10	
8	9	10	10	"	
"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	
1	2	1	3	3	
"	"	"	"	"	
3	16	3	9	9	
"	10	"	1	2	
2	30	2	2	3	
"	"	"	"	"	
1	22	1	1	3	
"	"	"	"	"	
4	20	5	6	7	
1	27	1	8	8	
2	30	3	2	"	
59	12	74	13	8	

Guntoor, Collectors Cutcherry, 19th January 1812.

E. E. Y.r / Signed / S. Goldingham. Collector. List

A.

List of Guntoor Accounts sent to the Board with reference to its Circular dated 21st October 1839.

15. Different Accounts of the Village Poolepaid under Sunnere = =cottah Sunah relative to the Settlement made in fusly 1250, as follows.
1 Detailed List shewing the Demand, individually.
2 List shewing the Demand, with increase and Decrease.
3 Statement of instalments.
4 Statement shewing the extent of land cultivated individually.
5 List shewing the entire land relinquished in this and past fusleis.
6 List shewing the measurement of lands newly taken this year.
7 List of Sists on the above lands.
8 List shewing the limits of ditto.
9 Statement of average sist per acre on the whole.
10 Statement of the several classes of lands.
11 Estimate of the Demand of all items of Revenue.
12 Statement of lands in the possession of the Ryots as per Cowles.
13 Ditto ——— Ditto ——— Ditto ——— as per Cabooliyats.
14 List of lands relinquished during the current year.
15 List of lands ploughed, but not sown.

15.

16. Annual accounts as follows
1 List shewing the Demand, collection and Balance of all items of Revenue.
2 Statement shewing the Demand, collection and Balance of all items of Revenue.
3 List of the measurement of Garden Land under Amany.
4 Ditto of sists on the above lands.
5 Ditto of Rahdary Pollary.
6 Ditto shewing the Pollary on sheep and Goats.
7 Statement of half Pollary on those of shepherds who are likewise Cultivators, the other half being remitted.
8 List of Pollary on Cattle of such as are not Cultivators.
9 abstract account of Pollary.
10 Statement shewing the Demand on account of the produce of wet Cultivation.—
11 List of Dry cultivation in wet lands.
12 Statement of Enams.—
13 List of Extra Revenue.—
14 Abstract shewing the Estimated Gross produce.
15 Statement shewing the Amount of Polliyeba Revenue.—
16 List of Balances against the Ryots &c.

16.

1 Statement shewing the Beriz of each Village for fusly 1252 in Comparison with fusly 1251.

32.

Guntoor
Collectors Cutcherry
19th January 1842.

/ Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Order thereon.

(19-20)

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Translations of the Standard Hookoommanah and Cowlenamah now in force in this District, as required in the Board's Circular under date the 11th October last.

(21)

Guntoor
Collrs Cutcherry
17th January 1842.

/ Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

No. 1.

Translation of an Order to the Aumeen of Palnaud regarding the Cultivation for the ensuing Fusly.

Particulars of the Cowle rates for Mettah lands.—

Rates of Cowle for the Anaidee

1

Bunjeer or Pondavabeedoo, or also Lands that never have been Cultivated within the last twenty years up to the present Fusly.—

No.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

No Sist to be collected for the first year or Fusly 125— on the above sorts of the land.-

1/3rd One third of the full Sist to be collected for the second year or Fusly 125—

2/3rds Two thirds of the full Sist for the third year or Fusly 125— to be collected, full Sist to be collected for the fourth year or Fusly 125—

2- Rates for the lands which never have been cultivated within the last ten years up to this Fusly, but have been cultivated within the last twenty years.

1/4th One fourth of the full Sist to be collected for the first year or Fusly 125-

1/2 One half of the full Sist to be collected for the second year or Fusly 125—

3/4 Three quarters of the full Sist to be collected for the 3d year or Fusly 125—

Full

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Full Sist to be collected for the fourth year or Fusly 125—

3- Rates of the Cowle for the lands which have not been cultivated within the last 6 years, but have been cultivated within ten years.-

1/2 One half of the full Sist to be collected for the first year or Fusly 125—

3/4 Three fourths of the full Sist to be collected for the second year or Fusly 125—

Full Sist to be collected for the 3d year or Fusly 125—

4- All the lands which are at present under waste and have been cultivated at any time within the last five years are to be given for the full Assessment.-

Rates of Cowle for Sareeb Land.

2- 1- If any Ryot builds a new well at his own expence, and Cultivates Sareeb land under it, the usual dry land Sist thereon is to be paid.-

2- If Ryots repair old wells and cultivate

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Full Sist to be collected for the fourth year or Fusly 125—

3. Rates of the Cowle for the lands which have not been cultivated within the last 8 years, but have been cultivated within ten years.—

½ One half of the full Sist to be collected for the first year or Fusly 125—

¾ Three fourths of the full Sist to be collected for the second year or Fusly 125—

Full Sist to be collected for the 3d year or Fusly 125—

4.— All the lands which are at present under waste and have been cultivated at any time within the last five years are to be given for the full Assessment.

Rates of Cowle for Tareeb Land.

2— 1. If any Ryot builds a new well at his own expence and Cultivates Tareeb land under it, the usual dry land Sist thereon is to be paid.—

2. If Ryots repair old wells and cultivate

cultivate Sareb land under them, the
Sist of the first Surm of Dry land
of the Village is to be paid.-

3. If any person cultivates Sareb land by constructing Mootahs to Nullahs as Naugloore Pillore &c. the Sist of the first Surm of Dry land, that is, Rupees 3-7-9 per Acre in the Village of Enaparauzepully subject to the Sanah of Datchapully which is one of the 5 Purganahs, is to be paid.-

4. In the event of recently relinquished lands, or lands waste from time immemorial, being cultivated under new wells, the favorable terms observed in regard to the cultivation of waste land with dry produce will be observed for Garden cultivation also. Further, if any Ryot who takes up either recently relinquished land or Paundavabeedoo, shall not have relinquished any portion of his usual land within the last 3 years, the favorable

rates

rates will be observed in his case, according to the rules. but on the other hand, if usual land has been given up, the full Sist on the newly occupied waste will be collected.-

3. The Ryots, who may propose to cultivate waste lands at the above Cowle rates, are not to resign their former lands.-

1. A Ryot may want to resign his former land under pretence of poverty &c. and will some times make some others to propose for waste lands for him, thinking that he will not be able to get any in his own name; you are therefore to keep a proper check against such frauds.-

2. If any Ryot wishes to relinquish any part of his old land, and to cultivate waste in its stead, such Ryot ought not to be allowed lands at a favorable Cowle rate, but full Sist should be collected from the land which he undertakes of whatever description.-

All

3. All Ryots who may wish to cultivate waste lands independent of their former ones, and all others who are not cultivators in the Village, and wish to undertake the cultivation of any part of the waste lands, are to have waste lands granted to them at the Cowle rates.-

4. If any Ryot of a Village either of your Tanah or of any other Tanah under this Zillah, comes to a neighbouring Village of your Tanah, and proposes for waste lands, leaving his old land in his own Village, you are to ascertain the cause of his resigning his old land in his own Village, the Sist on it, the amount of the full Sist of the waste land he proposes for, and the amount of the Cowle Sist upon it, and inform the above particulars either to the Auneen or Samoodar of the Mootah which he came from, and on receiving his answer you are to report-

report to the Honour and act according to the orders you may receive on the subject-

4. You are to encourage all the Ryots in your Tanah, and make them cultivate all the lands that are included in the Tamabundy for Fusly 125- So that they may not leave any part of them- and if any Ryot appears to have less land than the quantity inserted in the Tamabundy and has been assessed on any unjust pretext with a higher rate of Sist than is proper, you are to enquire properly into the same, and relieve the Ryot from such injustice. You should endeavour to please the Ruddem and Payakary Ryots of the several Villages of your Tanahs so that they may wish for other lands in addition to their former ones: and you should at the same time assist them on proper occasion with an advance of Money to enable them to purchase Bullocks and Seeds &c., and you

you should also take measures to in-
-duce new Ryots to come to your
Village- you should by all means
exert yourself to have more lands cul-
-tivated than in the last year..

5. The Maugany Tanks in the
Villages under your range have been
repaired by the Sircar as required..

You are to go now and then to
the different Villages in which there
are Maugany Tanks and persuade
the Ryots to commence the Maugany
cultivation as soon as the Tanks received
water, so that there may be no inter-
-ruption of any sort, and cause the cul-
-tivation to be greatly extended you
ought now to take proper measures in
fixing the lands to be cultivated
by each Ryot.-

In the event of your taking
care to cause the cultivation to be car-
-ried on without losing the proper time,
it will not only be the means to have a
better produce in the first instance, but
it

it will likewise be convenient to pre-
-pare the lands for the second crop,
that is, for sowing the seeds of those
Crops which are reared in three
Months, provided there is sufficient
quantity of rain- You are therefore
to cause the Maugany cultivation to
commence without loss of time..

50 or 60 Candies of Paddy can
generally be obtained from a field
in which a Candy of Seeds has been
sown; and the Maugany land in this
Country appears to be of a good quality;
but for want of industry in the prepa-
-ration of the lands on the part of the
Cultivators, they are less productive-

The particulars of preparing
lands are as follows- Viz.- The lands
must be at first watered, and ploughed,
so that the ground may turn into mud,
and then Gillador, Jungador, and Wempalee
Trees, together with their leaves must be
put in the mud, and kept there for
few days, or until the trees become quite
decayed.

decayed, it is also necessary to put dung at the same time; after that, the field must be ploughed again, so that the mud may be as deep as the knee, and if you then transplant into it, it will yield properly.-

If you see the soil turn into salt earth, and the plants on it do not thrive properly, you are to cause burnt chunam stones to be put along the water channel through which the water runs to such soil, and when the soil receives water mixed with Chunam, it will be quite freed from the salt mud - and then it will pro= =duce properly - By taking pains in the abovementioned manner, it will be advantageous to the Cultiv= =vators and to the Sircar.-

The Ryots may perhaps refuse taking the above trouble, say= =ing that they have never been in the habit

habit of doing such laborious things, but you should not listen to them - If you could only once prevail on them to act according to the above rules, the next time the Ryots will themselves undertake the work by see= =ing the increased profit which they gain by it -

6- You must know, that in or= =der to find out your deligence, in= =formation will be separately procured about the precautions that may be taken by yourself and by the Samoo= =dars under you regarding the cultivation -

7- You are to act carefully, accord= =ing to the instructions contained in this Kookumnamah, and if you require orders on any subject which is not specified in this, you should, in such cases, act according to the Kookum= =namah issued for the Fusly 125 -

8- If you think it necessary to make any further arrangements about

about the cultivation, you should from time to time report to Mootoor on that subject, and such orders as are requisite will be passed.-

9- As the business of extending cultivation is the principal duty of all those attached to your situation, you will gain the approbation of your superiors in the same degree that you take pains to get your Talook into good and proper order.-

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. Goldingham

Collector

No. 2.-

I _____ Collector of the Zillah of Guntoor hereby give Cowle to ---------- Veesabady Serogetdar in the Village of---------- subject to the Purganah of---------- in the District of Palanaud.-

1- You having agreed to pay a Sist of C. Rupees ------- on the Dry Garden &ca lands which are under your cultivation

cultivation in the said Village, for Fusly 125 being as per Measurement held by the Samooldar and Curnums, you shall cultivate the said lands and pay the said amount of Sist to the Amneen on my part according to the following Instalments and obtain receipts for the same.-

2 Till a fresh Cowle is given to you by the Circar relative to the Sist on the said land, you are to act according to the tenor of the Cabuleyet executed by you.-

3 You shall take the profit or loss which may accrue on the said land.-

4 In the event of your not discharging the Sist according to the instalments, and falling in arrears, you shall pay such arrears of Sist together with interest at one per Cent per mensem.

5 You are to pay the Salaya Marao &ca perquisites to the Curnums, Artificers &ca as usual, with the exception of these perquisites, you shall have no concerns at all with any other kind of charges.-

6 You shall not occupy without permission

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

permission, any land other than that which is now given to you, bearing Sist In the event of your being able to cultivate any further extent of land, you shall, on receiving my order cultivate, as much land as may be required by you, paying the assessment thereon into the hands of the Aumeen on my part, and obtaining receipts from him for the same - In case of any concealment of land according to the measurement made by the Sarnotdar and Curnums being proved, you shall pay the Sist on the excess land - On waste land unoccupied, you may graze your Cattle as usual - In the event of your digging newly a well at your own cost in the said land, and cultivating a garden Crop under it, you shall pay the Dry land Sist, which has hitherto been fixed thereon - In the event of your cultivating garden land under old wells you shall pay the Sist of first Tarum land in the said Village - and in case you cultivate garden land irrigated by Pucottahs over

Nullahs

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Nullahs you shall pay the Sist of Rupees 3-7-9- per Acre being the highest Netta Sist of the Talook If any fraud in the Turns of the land under you or other frauds are proved, you shall pay the Sist so concealed -

The extent of land cultivated by you and the amount of regulated Sist thereon are as follows -

* + + + + + + + +

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector

Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter -

(21-22)

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Sub Collr. in Charge of Nellore

Sir,

(23)

With reference to my Endorsement recommending that the leave solicited by Mr. Cochrane in his letter dated the 12th Instant to proceed to Madras for 20 days should be granted, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a letter from Mr.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

W. Cochrane dated the 14th Instant requesting that his application for leave may be withdrawn.—

Nellore, Principal Collector's Cutcherry, 16th January 1842— } /Signed/ A. Mathison Sub Collector in Charge

A

To

The Sub Collector in Charge

Nellore

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will convey to Government my wish to have my leave recently applied for, cancelled at my own request.—

Bodelingumpaud, } /Signed/ W. C. Cochrane
January 14th 1842 } Assistant Collector

Order thereon, Ordered to be submitted
(23-24) for the information of Government—

From the Collector of Chingleput— Read letter
(25) submitting a Statement of cases disposed of under Regulation IX of 1822 during the 2d half year ending 31st December 1841.—

Order thereon, Ordered to lie over until
(25-26) the

Fort St. George 24th January 1842—

the receipt of the returns from other Districts, when an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.—

From the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot— } Read letter, requesting permission to leave the District on the 1st Proximo for three
(27) Months under the provisions of Section XI of the absentee rules preparatory to his retirement from the Service

Order thereon, Ordered to be submitted
(27-28) to Government for favorable consideration thro' the channel of the Civil Auditor.—

Read the following

Statements.—

Statement

1210 | (29) Fort St George 24th January 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkanum in the District of the Southern Division of Arcot up to 8th January 1842

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842 -	Quantity already exported -	Quantity remaining to be exported -	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation -	Remarks -
Bengal Maunds -	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
2,00,000	"	2,00,000	2,00,000	"

Cuddalore, Collectors Cutcherry
17th January 1842 - - - - - - -

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Acting Collector

Murkanum is about 20 Miles north of Pondy cherry and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack kept flying from sun rise to sun set -

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore superscribed "Calcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of the Vessel at Murkanum may be stated -

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collectors Cutcherry or to the Tahsildar at Murkanum -

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Acting Collector

(29-30)
Order thereon -

Ordered to be recorded -
Statement

1841. (31) Fort St George 24th January 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkanum in the Southern Division of Arcot up to 15th January 1842

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation	Remarks
Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
2,00,000	"	2,00,000	2,00,000	

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Acting Collector

Cuddalore, Collector's Cutcherry,
20th January 1842 - - - - - -

Murkanum is about 20 miles north of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack kept flying from Sun rise to Sun set.

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore superscribed "Calcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of the Vessel at Murkanum may be stated.

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collectors' Cutcherry or Tahsildar at Murkanum.

/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Acting Collector

Ordered

(31-32)

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

From the Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot — Read letter, Submitting an application from his Assistant A. G. Ellis requesting leave of absence for one Month under Section XI to visit the Presidency on private affairs —

(33)

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted to Government for favorable consideration thro' the Channel of the Civil auditor

(33-34)

Read again report from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 16th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 3d Instant —

(35)

Proceedings thereon — 1. The Talook of Marangapoory is under the immediate management of the Principal Collector and it should therefore be included within his Division —

Communicating to the Principal Collector of Madura observations and orders relative to the objections offered by him to the adoption of the form of statements directed by the Board to be substituted for those hitherto accustomed to be forwarded with the settlement report — Form No. 1. 4. 5.

The Revenue is settled in the Marangapoory Talook by Field assessment according to the classification of the soil — but in the statement No 5 hitherto furnished it is included

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

included in Dindigul together with the 4 Talooks of that Province which are under the management of the Sub Collector and are settled according to the Hoodoo or Peerwah — In the way the accounts are now prepared it is necessary to add the accounts of Marangapoory (which are shewn separately under the head of "Dindigul") to the account of the Talooks settled under the head of "Madura" — At the same time that a deduction

× Statement No 5. — is made from the Accounts of Dindigul in 527 column to find the actual result of the Sub Collector's management — The Board therefore desire that the Accounts of Marangapoory may be included with the Madura Talooks in the Settlement Accounts according to the new form —

Form No 4 and not No 2. — 2. The Sub Divisions of Talooks are not required — The Talooks should comprise the Villages of each assumed Pollam included within them — The new form reduces

two

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

two Statements to one Viz. the abstract Statement of each Talook with details of each item of Cabooleat and cultivation.

Form No 3.

3 The Principal Collector should explain what orders dated 4th December 1819 are alluded to. There can be no diffeuulty in entering the extent of Land which Ryots engage to cultivate at seed time, and if any lands thus engaged are left waste the Principal Collector can explain the cause. Whatever the different modes may be, of charging lands according to the cultivation the original assessment of the land should always be shewn, when the rate of assessment is charged by the nature of the produce, if Punjah land is cultivated with Garden produce and the rate of assessment is <u>increased</u> it should be shewn by how much, and, vice versâ if <u>decreased</u> when Garden lands are cultivated with dry Grain. The new form provides columns for this purpose and should therefore be adopted.

See clause 11th of Mr. Blackburne's Mookummamah for Fusly 1245.

The

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Form No 6.

4 The annual Statements received from the Madura District do not show any details of the Gross Increase and Decrease of Land and Settlement. Mr. Blackburne thinks the form would seem applicable only to Patent Districts and trusts it may be dispensed with, from which objection it may be inferred that such particulars are not investigated at the time of the settlement. if they are not strictly examined it is difficult to understand how the demand is entered in the Puttah of every Ryot, or how any individual settlement can be made at all. It appears however in the Account Particulars of the settlement of one Village sent annually to the Board, in Hindoovee, that the details of Gross increase and decrease of Land and settlement are explained, and the same details, it is to be presumed are prepared in the Summahbundy Chittah of every Village in which waste land may be cultivated, cultivated land be left waste, or Punjah land may be converted

converted into Nunjah, or Betel Garden &c.

It is not necessary that "there should be Putent land" as stated by Mr. Blackburne The same details of increase and decrease which are now objected to by him are furnished annually from the Tanjore and Tinnevelly Districts with the Reports on the settlements. The Board see no obstacle to the adoption of the same form of account in Madura, and they accordingly desire that it may be furnished in future.

Form No. 16.

5 The extent of Enam land and its cultivation is only required in Abstract. There ought not to be more difficulty in the preparation of this account, than of the account of any other Item. In other Districts it is said to be a vexatious scrutiny into the private rights of the Maniakarar, nor do the Board view it, as it appears to Mr. Blackburne utterly profitless to Government, because it affords to the officers of Government the means of ascertaining that

that the alienation is not misappropriated. that Enam lands are not cultivated by Ryots relinquishing their own lands paying full Revenue to Government. and it enables the Collector to satisfy himself to what extent abuses under Enam tenure are carried. The preparation of this account in Abstract cannot interfere with the settlement which is not complete without it. In the Summah-bundy Chittah of one Village furnished in Hindooree by the Principal Collector of Madura the details of Enams are distinctly shewn, and the Board see no reason for dispensing with the Abstract Statement according to the form marked 16 which they direct may be transmitted annually with the Accounts of the Settlement.

Para 2. 6. The old customary Forms of the annual Statements are not sufficiently explanatory of the Settlement, and the Board are desirous of obtaining

Fort St George 24th January 1842

obtaining new and clearer forms which accord with the forms of account in use in other Collectorates If Mr Blackburne should find their preparation too difficult for adoption in the current year (which the Board do not anticipate) they should take measures to ensure their due completion in the following year affording however explanation of the difficulties which are opposed to their adoption, and of the causes why they cannot be overcome. It is obvious that there ought not to be any difficulty in preparing the Statement No 1 Viz "Individual Settlement Account" and the Board are unable to account for Mr Blackburne's objection to the preparation of a Document of such great and essential importance in the Settlement of the Revenue.—

Read the following letter

To

Fort St George 21th January 1842.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

From the Prin.l Coll.r of Canara — I have the honor to — forward a Statement shewing the quantity &c.a of Coin transmitted to Calcutta, directed in the Extract — from the Boards' Proceedings dated 14th October last, and request that — Sanction may be obtained for the total Cost amounting to Rupees

6,182 — 9 — 10.—
A P

36

Prin.l Coll.r Cutchery,
Mangalore,
14th January 1842.

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Prin.l Coll.r

Statement

1220

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Statement shewing the quantity and Value of Ameendevi Coir sent from Canara to Calcutta and the charges of shipment.—

Date of Consign=ment.	Name of the Vessel.	Name of the Commander	Quantity of Coir.	Produce of what Purly.	Rate per candy.	Amount Value.	charges Ship=ping.	Total.
			C^t M^ds		R^s A P	R^s A P	R^s A P	R^s A P
1841. Dec^r 24th	Ship Strabane.	John Pook.	253. 8 11.	1250.	25 " "	6,335 / 10.	147 2 "	6,482. 9 10

Mangalore, 7th January 1842. /Signed/ H. M. Blair.
Prin^l Collector

1221

Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

Proceedings thereon. Resolved that the foregoing Letter with its Enclo=sure be submitted for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, in reference to Government Order dated 30th September 1841 on the subject of the Duties on Coir Rope.

Board's Proceedings 4th October 1841.

Read the Letter to the Collector of Canara noted in the Margin, with the Enclosure noted as per Margin.

Resolved that the Principal Collector of Canara may receive sums of Coir for the total Cost

Cr Rs 2,353—8—11

of a quantity of Amendevi Coir actually shipped for Calcutta on Account of Government.

Rs 6,482—9—10.

Read

Read the Undermentioned Registers -

From the Sub Collr in charge of Nellore } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the month of November last. (38)

From the Sub Collr in charge of Nellore } Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the month of December last. (39)

From the Pl. Collector of Coimbatore } Register of Petitions bearing the Boards' Endorsements presented to him for the month of December last. (40)

Order on the three abovementioned Registers } Ordered to be lodged in the Office. (38-41)

Resolved

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched -

(42)

To the Chief Secy to Government } A

No. The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement, prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts, shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue from 12th July to 30th September 1841. of Fusly 1251. compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1250 -

2 Abstract B. exhibits a net Increase in the aggregate of Rupees 3,49,509 - 8 - 6. resulting from a Net Increase of Rupees 1,99,725 - 8 - 2 in the Collections of Land Revenue, and a Net Increase of Rupees 1,49,784 - 4 - 4 in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue -

+ Increase in the Collections of arrears 1,82,066-15-2
Dr in the Collections of Current 17,658-9-0
1,99,725-8-2

3 Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 21th January 1842.

/Signed/ R. J. Porter.
Acting Secretary.
General

George

21th January 1842.

ver the

Madras Presidency from 12th July to 30th September of Fusly 1251.

of arrears

and Current

Increase

Land Revenue.

Current

Comparison

Current. 9		Increase		Decrease		Net.			
		Total. 10	Arrears 11	Current. 12		Total. 13	Increase 14	Decrease 15	
	" "		51,340 15 3.	"	" "	51,340.15 3.	" " "	51,340 15 3	
	" "	85,301.6 9.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	85,301.6 9.	" " "	
66. 4 3.		25,939.5 3.	29,917.11 7	"	" "	29,917.11 7.	" " "	3,978 6 4.	
739. 5 3		399 8 7	" " "	"	" "	" " "	399 8 7.	" " "	
24. 2 7		" " "	35,133 8 1.	"	8 9	35,134 " 10.	" " "	35,434 " 10.	
"	"								
8. 6 10		11,902.1 7.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	11,902 1 7	" " "	
2 12 1		1,738 - 8.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	1,738. " 8.	" " "	
"	"								
"	"								
1,4 8		1,744 1 8.	11,861. 12 7.	"	" "	11,861.12 7.	" " "	7,120.7 11.	
"	"	97,800. 5 7.	" " "	1,569. " 9.		1,569. " 9.	96,231.4 10.	" " "	
"	"	83,053.7 6.	" " "	128. 3 7.		128. 3 7.	82,925 3 11.	" " "	
"	"	6,377 5 9.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	6,377 5 9.	" " "	
2 1.		2,958 1 4.	" " "	8,117. 2.		8,117. 2.	" " "	5,159. " 8.	
"	"	23,893.5 6.	" " "	560. 3 2.		560. 3 2.	23,333.2 4.	" " "	
"	"	4,195 13 9.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	4,195.13 9.	" " "	
"	"								
"	"	" " "	17,306.1 3.	"	" "	17,306.1 3.	" " "	17,306.1 3.	
"	"	" " "	3,220.1 10.	"	" "	3,220.1 10.	" " "	3,220.1 10.	
"	"								
"	"	2,700.6 10.	" " "	"	" "	" " "	2,700.3 3.	" " "	
"	"	6,259 7 7.	" " "	5,019.4 4		5,919.4 4.	1,210.3 3.	" " "	
"	"	61,235.	" " "	718 " 2.		718 " 2.	" " "	75 12 9.	
"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
"	"								
"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
3,5 7,905.1 9		11,9,083.5 7		16,142.6 9.		1,6,225.12 4.	3,16,314 10 3.	12,36,35.1 10.	
"	"	" " "	" " "	"	" "	" " "	18,812.9 2.	11,766.9 5.	
							33,5127.3 5.	13,5,401.11 3	

Deduct Decrease — 13,5,401.11 3.

Net Increase — 19,7258 2

Fort St. George 24th January 1812.

Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1250.

Sall						Sayer				Akbary			
Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
16,413 1 2	21569. 9. "	5156. 7 10	" " "	1,758 13 7.	1,274. 10 10.						
22,380 3 8.	17267. " "	" " "	5033. 3 8.	14,226. " 2	17,107. 6 11.						
22,215 10 "	43,770. 9. "	21554 15 "	" " "	15,856. 12 3.	17,014. 3 7.						
12,315. 9 "	20,196. 10 7.	7881. 1 7.	" " "	17,547. 11 2.	21,102 11 8.						
23,925. 12 7.	25,329. 2 "	4,403. 5 5.	" " "	13,526. 2 8.	11,416. 7 8.						
61,485. 4 "	82,600. 2 "	21,114 14 "	" " "	9,959. 11 8.	11,689. 11 6.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	32,290. 6 2.	29,025. 5 10.						
4,54,15. 2 4	4,79,14 " 4	24,998. 14 "	" " "	1,925. 7 4.	1,731 5 9 7.						
48,784 4 1.	77,941. 2 8.	29,056. 14 7.	" " "	22,203. 11 "	22,969. 15 8.						
111. 9 "	5,511 3 "	5,399. 10 "	" " "	24,667. 5 2.	28,565 15 1.						
37,382. 3 3.	30,472 8 2.	" " "	6,989. 11 1.	45,784. 2 6.	51,900. 5 10.						
88,328. 11 2	82,442 5 9	" " "	5,886. 5 5.	65,044. 6 8.	65,407. 14 6.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	25,523. 8 9.	25,707. 12 1.						
55,899 4 6	53,949 " 7.	" " "	1,950. 3 11.	38,394. 15 9.	38,198 4 8.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	52,633. 8 7.	48,865. 1 7.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	39,003. 8 9.	36,461. 4 "						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	30,760. 7 6.	22,916. 6 3.						
15,175. 14 5.	15,351 1 11	175. 3 6.	" " "	16,522 6.	20,900. 6 10.						
25,400. 11 10.	25,806 11 9.	405. 15 11	" " "	19,518. 5 8.	18,955. 1 5.						
18,763 3 3.	39,741. 8 7.	21,478. 5 4	" " "	118,260. 6 7.	135,072. 11 8.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "						
49,396. 8 3.	59,762. 11 4.	11,125. 11 2.	1,759. 8 1.	61,056. 9 3 2.	65,695. 3 2.						
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	461. 15 7.	11,07. 5 6.						
49,396. 8 3.	59,762. 11 4.	11,125. 11 2.	1,759. 8 1.	61,031. 2 9.	65,363. 8 8.						
		1,759 8 1.	Dt. Decrease.								
		9,366. 3 1.	Nt. Increase.								
2,648. 7. "	3,104. 2 3 "			51,610. 15 2	3,58,51. 7 3 4						
2,29,329. " 3.	2,82,320 8 1			2,99,120. 3 7	3,00,017 5 4						

" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	484. 2 9.	3,764 1 9.	3,531. 12 11.	" " "	" " "	232 10 2		
2,881 6 9.	" " "	" " "	7,620. 1 "	6,233. 3 "	" " "	" " "	1,386. 11. "				
1,457 1 4.	" " "	" " "	1,693. " 7.	1,074. " 5.	" " "	" " "	619. " 2.				
38,854 13 6.	" " "	" " "	3,704. 1 1.	3,396. 13 6.	" " "	" " "	307. 3 7.				
" " "	2,109. 11 "	" " "	659. 2 7.	778. 12 2.	1,199 7	" " "					
17,29 12 10.	" " "	" " "	16,693. 1 1.	8,389. 9 3	" " "	8,303. 7 10.					
" " "	3,265 2 4.		12,912. " 1	14,366 " "	1,454. " "	" " "					
" " "	1,940 13 9.		7,104. " "	8,072. " "	968. " "	" " "					
766. 1 8.	" " "		3,351. 11 2.	4,121. 1 2.	772 6. "	" " "					
1,198. 9 11.	" " "		6,410. " "	9,286. 2 9.	2,876. 2 9.	" " "					
6,116. 1 4	" " "		10,226. 12 8	11,765. 8 7.	1,538. 11 11.	" " "					
363. 7 10	" " "		9,322. 7 8.	9,362. 1 7.	39. 9 11.	" " "					
184 3 4.	" " "		2,031. " "	1,823. 8 "	" " "	207. 8 "					
103 1 11.	" " "		2,611. " "	1,276. 4 "	1,665. 4 "	" " "					
" " "	3,768. 7 "		58,064. 12 "	60,264. 7 4.	2,199. 11 4.	" " "					
" " "	2,542. 1 9		10,771. 11 9.	11,093 13 3.	321. 14 6.	" " "					
" " "	7,844. 1 3.		7,344 " "	8,041 8 "	697. 8 "	" " "					
1,38 1 4.	" " "		57,29. 1 4	61,52. 15 3.	723. 10 11.	" " "					
" " "	563. 4 "		7,153. 13 6.	7,143. 9 11.	" " "	10. 3 7.					
16,812 5 1.	" " "		111,547. 1 4.	103,457. 8 1.	" " "	8,089. 9 3.					
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "					
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "					
" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "					
38,905 11 10.	22,517. 11 10.		2,887 43. 10 10.	2,829 33. 11 2.	13,346. 8 11.	19,156. 8 7.					
1,012. 11 2.	67. 5 3.		11,62. 1 10	3,318. 8 5.	2,290. 12 11.	434. 6 4.					
39,918. 10 "	22,585 4 1.		2,90,205. 12 8.	2,86,252. 3 7.	15,637 5 10.	19,590. 14 11.					
22,585 4 1.	Ded. Decrease.				Dt. Increase	15,637. 5 10.					
17,333. 5 11.	Nt. Increase.				Nt. Decrease.	3,953. 9 1.					
			16,364 " 1.	1,69,681. 12 5.							
			12,68,41. 12 7	11,65,70 7 2							

Fort St. George 21th January 1842.

Sundry Small Farms & Licenses.

Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.
28	29	30	31	32	33
3 2 5 12 3	1 8 3 14 "	" " "	1 3 9 14 3.	3 9 9 11.	9 5 2 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,2 5 5. 14 11.	2,4 3 2 15 1.
2 1 8 14 9.	3 0 2 8 9	5 3. 10 "	" " "	2,0 3 3. 5 11.	3,1 7 7. 9 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,3 2 9 5.	6 9 8 11 9
5 15 13 6.	5 2 3 11 2	7 13 8.	" " "	9,0 4 3. 7 9.	5,6 8 1. 10 9
4,1 2 7. 3 "	2,8 6 6. 6 3.	" " "	1,2 6 0. 12 9.	3,7 2 9 2 5.	2,9 9 7. 2 1.
8 9 4 15 8	7 7 7. 6 "	" " "	11 7. 9 8.	2 2 0. 8 9.	4 4 4 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
15 3 "	3 0 15 5.	15. 12 5.	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 6 2 8 7.	1,0 5 9. " 5.	6 9 6. 7 10.	" " "	5 5 7 1 2 7	5,3 0 7. 15 2.
1,0 1 6 9 3.	8 9 9. 3 7.	" " "	11 7. 5 8.	1 2 6. 3 7.	1 5 8 12 4.
2 6 0. 11 1.	6 0 7. 9 1.	3 1. 6 11 "	" " "	1,5 7 6 7 2	3,5 3 0. 12 8.
3 9 4. 11 "	5 3 1. 12 11	13 6. 11 11.	" " "	9 5 2	10 1 12
3,5 9 8. 9 1.	3,5 10 12 11	" " "	8 7. 12 2.	1 4 9 4 7.	12 8 13 4
4 4 3. 10 6.	1,0 5 3. 5 4.	6 0 9. 13 10.	" " "	9,4 9 3 3 1.	12,8 5 9. 11 1.
1,7 5 4. 13 9.	1,2 9 4. 13 8.	" " "	4 6 0. " 1.	1,2 9 4 7 2	2,0 3 1 7 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,8 2 3. 7 4	2,5 4 6 5 7.
5,1 2 9 2 8.	1,1 0 4. 11 7.	" " "	1,0 2 4. 7 1.	" " "	5 0 5. 5 7.
6,1 6 9 10 8	6,1 0 0. " "	" " "	6 9 10 8.	2 3 0. 13 5.	9 5 4. " 1.
3,2 4 5 2. "	3,1 4 7. 10 6.	" " "	9 7. 7 10.	" " "	" " "
5,1 3 0 7 11.	1,7 8 3 1 1.	" " "	3 4 7. 6 10.	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
33,6 3 2 2. "	28,7 7 7 1 8.	1,8 6 7. 6 8.	6,7 2 2 7. "	39,0 2 9. 11 2	1,3,1 4 1. 10 2
2 7 3. 8 1.	6 0 7. 7 6.	5 9 0. 6 7.	2 5 6. 7 2	1,8 9. 15 11.	2 1 9. 6.
33,9 0 8. 10 1.	29,3 8 4. 9 2	2,1 5 7. 13 3.	6,9 7 8. 14 2.	39,5 1 9. 9 1.	43,3 6 0. 10 8
		D.o Increase			
		Net Decrease.	2,4 5 7. 13 3.		
			4,5 2 1. " 11		
17,9 7 4. 6 9.	17,4 1 4. 9 5.				
15,9 3 1. 3 4.	11,9 6 9. 15 9.			23,2 0 6 9 9.	25,5 6 8 5 9.
				16,3 1 2. 15 4.	14,7 9 2 4 11.

Sea Customs.

Mokturpha

Increase	Decrease	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease
31	35	36	37	38	39
		1 4 0 2.	1 5 9 1 5	1 8 1 5 5	" " "
5 5 8 9	" " "	2,9 3 7. " 2	9 8 5 10 2	" " "	1,9 5 1 6.
1 7 7. 2	" " "	2,1 8 9. 3 8.	2,9 7 3. 15.	7 8 4 11 4	" " "
1,1 4 4 3 10.	" " "	3,1 2 2 12 1.	1,9 5 2 6 5.	" " "	1,1 7 0 5 8
2 6 6. 5 4	" " "	1,2 0 0 11 1	1,1 5 5 4 7.	" " "	4 5 9 6
" " "	5,3 6 1 13.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1 3 2. " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	1 7 6 4 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	3,5 5 9. 6 7	1,2 5 5 11 9.	" " "	2,3 0 3 7 10
" " "	2 6 3 13 5	5,0 8 0 11 3	5,4 5 6. 1 11	3 7 5 3 8	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1 2 8 9.	" " "	3,1 4 3 11 11	3,2 2 6 15 5	" " "	2 1 6 12 6.
1,9 5 4 5 6.	" " "	19,1 5 2. 11	19,5 2 9 6 5.	7 7 5 6.	" " "
" 1 2 8.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2 0 7 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,4 5 4 3 5
5,3 6 6 8 "	" " "	9,0 7 8 11 8	1 6 2 4. 11 3.	" " "	" " "
7 3 7. " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	2 7 7 19	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5 0 5. 15 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	1,8 5 6. 12 9	3,6 8 5 2 11.	1,8 2 8 6 2	" " "
" " "	" " "	6,5 6 2. 13 8	11,3 6 0. 11	1,7 9 7. 3 8.	" " "
7 2 3 2 8.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	9 5,5 5 1. 5 3	1 1,4 2 2 1. 13 5	1,8 6 7 0. 8 2	" " "
8,9 1 3. 7 3.	1,8 3 1 8 3	1 5,1 7 6. " "	1 9,7 5 8 6. 9 "	5 6,5 5 2. 5 11	1 3,1 1 1 12 11.
1 6. 9 9.	3 1 7 7 2.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8,9 9 0. 1 "	5,1 1 8 15 5	1 5,1 7 6. " "	1 9,7 5 8 6. 9 "	5 6,5 5 2. 5 11	1 3,1 1 1 12 11.
				1 3,1 1 1 12 11	D.o Decrease
5,1 1 8. 15 5.	D.o Decrease				
5 8 1. 1 1 7	N.t Increase			4 3,1 1 0. 9 "	N.t Increase
		8 7,6 9 7. 1 5	1 1 7. 3 5 9 " 8		
		6 6,4 1 8. 11 7	8 0,2 2 7 8 1		

Fort St. George 24th January 1812.

Tobacco.				Stamps.			
Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
" " " " " " " " " " " "				2297 12 "	2184 6 "	" " "	113 6 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				6694 5 "	6345 13 "	" " "	348 8 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				3,988 15 "	4,446 4 "	457 5 "	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				4,472 9 6.	5049. 9 "	576 15 6	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				1745 9 "	1655 14 8	" " "	90 14 4
" " " " " " " " " " " "				2,740 3 6.	2,288. 6 6	" " "	451 13 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				3,215. 9 "	3,577. 2 "	361 9 "	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				4,840. 3 6.	4,555. 7 6.	" " "	284 12 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				3,296. 12 "	3,188 3 "	" " "	108 9 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				5,643 4 6.	6,540. 10 "	897 5 6	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				4,227. 5 "	3862. 9 "	" " "	364 12 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				13,020. 13 "	12,062. 19 "	" " "	958 5 3
" " " " " " " " " " " "				6,900. 4 6.	4,953 8 "	" " "	1,946 12 6
" " " " " " " " " " " "				5,239 7 "	5,891. 9 "	652 2 "	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				4,606. 10 "	4,959 13 "	353 3 "	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				5,809. 7 "	4,928 6 "	" " "	881 1 "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				3,518. 10 4	3,379 " "	" " "	139 10 4
39,059. 5 10	36,167 8 7.	" " "	2891. 13 3.	5,686. 8 11	5,744 3 2	57 13 3.	" " "
89,518 12 4.	88,052 5 6.	" " "	1466. 6 10.	6,939 11 6.	8,006. 6 "	1066 10 6.	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "				1396. 7 6.	1421. 8 "	25 " 6	" " "
" " " " " " " " " " " "							
" " " " " " " " " " " "				773. 12 "	679 4 "	" " "	94 8 "
128,578. 22	124,219 14 1.	" " "	4,358 4 1	97,054 " 9.	95,719 11 7	4,448 " 3	5,782 5 5
" " " " " " " " " " " "							
128,578. 22	124,219 14 1.	" " "	4,358 4 1	97,054 " 9.	95,719 11 7	4,448 " 3	5,782 5 5
						D.o Increase	4,448 " 3
						N.o Decrease	1,334 5 2
58,036. 5 1.	57,159. 5 4			56,876. 8 10	53,187 14 6		
70,541. 13 1.	67,060. 8 9.			40,177. 7 11	42,531 13 1		

Total of the Extra Branches of Revenue.					
				Nett	
Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
48	49	50	51	52.	53
27737. 10 "	31998. 8 10	5,231. " "	970 1 2	4,260. 14 10	" " "
56,033 8 11.	50,375 " 2	3,058. 6 11.	8,719 15 8.	" " "	5661. 8 9.
47,925. 11 2	72,759. 2 6.	25,452 4 6.	619 " 2.	24,833. 4 4	" " "
41,595. 7 3.	52,697. 1 11.	12,579. 3 11.	1,477 9 3.	11,101. 10 8	" " "
50,616. 14 2	49,540. 5 "	4,530 12 8.	5,607 5 10	" " "	1076. 9 2
98,734. 12 8.	110,931. 5 7.	22,844 10 10.	10,748 1 11.	12,096. 8 11.	" " "
49,533 7 7	47,790. " 1.	1,815 9 "	3,559 " 6.	" " "	1743. 7 6.
110,175. 3 9.	109,113. " 2.	3,466. 14 "	4,529. 1 7.	" " "	1062 3 7.
88,304. 7 1	118,918. 7 "	30,986. 6 4	372 6 5.	30,613 15 11	" " "
37,350 14 10.	51,401. 11 7.	14,050. 12 9.	" " "	14,050. 12 9	" " "
103,627. 3 9.	105,657. 13 3.	9,609 2 9.	7,588 9 3.	2020. 9 6.	" " "
195,438. 7 8.	189,421. 14 11.	828 1 11.	6,844 10 8.	" " "	6,016 8 9.
34,998. 15 10.	33,145. 6 4	321 2 3.	2174 11 9.	" " "	1853. 9 6.
124,315. 6 1.	120,610. 5 6.	5,787 2 11.	9,492 3 6.	" " "	3705. 7 "
117,043. " 3.	117,174. 5 5.	3899 12 2	3768. 7 "	131 5 2	" " "
60,163. 3 7.	56,324. 10 6.	321 14 6	4160. 7 7.	" " "	3838. 9 1.
41,623. 1 10.	34,842 13 10.	1203 7 7.	7983. 11 7.	" " "	6780. 4 "
74,289. " 5.	70,596. 2 3.	3223 6 2	6,916 4 4	" " "	3692 14 2
161,494. 12 4.	166,378. 4 "	6993 " 9.	2109 9 1.	4883 7 8.	" " "
252,712. 5 "	282,840. 14 10	38,315. 10 11	8187. 1 1	30,128. 9 10.	" " "
5,130. 7 11.	4,783. 1 1.	" " "	347. 6 10.	" " "	347. 6 10
96,325 1 3	144,901. 1 5.	48,670 8 2.	94 8 "	48,576. " 2	" " "
187,5179. 6 4	202,209. 8 2	24,389 7 "	9,270. 5 2	182,697. 3 9.	35,778. 1 11.
2,687 7 5.	5,552 5 11.	" " "	" " "	2,864 14 6.	" " "
				185,562 2 3.	35,778. 1 11
197,786. 13 9.	202,761. 14 1.			35,778. 1 11	D.o Decrease.
				149,784 " 4	N.o Increase.
10,128 33. 7 1.	11,121. 6 5.				
86,503. 6 8.	91,549. 7 8.				

Fort St. George 27th January 1812.

Total including Extra Branches of Revenue

Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease	Net Increase	Net Decrease
54	55	56	57	58	59
1,79,845. 7 1.	1,32,765. 6 8	5,231. " "	52,311. " 5.	" " "	47,080. " 5.
13,791 3. 4 7.	21,7353. 2 7.	88,359. 13 8.	8,719. 15 8.	79,639. 14 "	" " "
19,289 3. 12 10.	21,3748. 10 10.	25,452 4 6.	4,597. 6 6.	20,854. 14 "	" " "
1,73,164 3 11.	18,4665. 7 2	12,978. 12 6.	1,477. 9 3.	11,501. 3 3.	" " "
9,088. 4 4	54,577. 10 4	4,530. 12 8.	4,041. 6 8.	" " "	36,510. 10 "
18,5,817 1 4	2,09,815 11 10.	34,746. 12 5.	10,748. 1 11.	23,998. 10 6.	" " "
65,180. 14 2	65,175. 7 4	35 5 3. 9 8.	3,559. " 6.	" " "	5 6 10.
1,10,175 3 9.	1,09,113 " 2.	3,466. 14 "	4,529. 1 7.	" " "	1,062 3 7.
19,191 4 13 7	2,15,405. 5 7.	30,986. 6 4	7,492 14 4.	23,493. 8 "	" " "
59,883. 3 6.	1,70,165 7 1.	1,10,282. 1 7.	" " "	1,10,282. 1 7.	" " "
1,78,168. 10 3.	26,3114. 7 8.	9,2534. 6 8.	7,588 9 3.	84,943. 13 5.	" " "
2,21,334. 13 7.	2,21,695. 10 7.	7,205. 7 8.	6,844. 10 8.	360. 13 "	" " "
9,7,582 13 6.	90,570. 3 4.	321. 2 3.	7,333. 12 5.	" " "	7,012 10 2.
2,7,7,081 4 4	2,96,709. 6 1.	29,120. 5 3	9,492 3 6.	19,628. 1 9.	" " "
1,26,789 13 6.	1,31,117. " 5	8095. 9 11.	3,768. 7 "	4,327. 2 11.	" " "
1,30,911 5 4.	10,8,566. 8 "	321. 14 6.	21,466. 11 10.	" " "	21,144. 13 4
49,840. 4 5.	39,839. 14 7	1,203. 7 7.	11,203. 13 5.	" " "	10,006 5 10.
84957 9 5.	83,965. 2 4	5923. 13 "	6,916. 4 4	" " "	992 7 4.
1,8,8240 11 11.	1,74,334. 6 10.	8,203. 4 "	2,109 9 1.	6,093. 10 11.	" " "
2,61,383. 9 10.	2,91,436 6 11.	38,315. 10 11.	8,262. 13 10.	30,052. 13 1.	" " "
5130 7 11.	4,783. 1 1.	" " "	347 6 10	" " "	347 6 10.
96,325. 1 3.	1,44,901 1 5.	48,670. 8 2	94. 8 "	48,576. " 2	" " "
30,84,520. " 7.	34,24,118. 10 10.	5,59,504. 1 3.	2,19,905 7 "	4,63,754. 10 7.	1,24,156. " 4.
17,312. 10 2.	27,223. 8 5			21,094 " 4.	1,1183 2 1.
3,1,8,32 10 9.	34,51,342 3 3			4,84,848 10 11.	1,35,339. 2 5.
				1,35,339. 2 5.	Dr. Balance
				349,509 8 6.	Ct. Balance
17,44,347. 10 4	19,94,716. 5 1.				
1,35,485. " 5.	14,56,625 14 2				

Collections of old Balances.

Land Revenue.

Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.
60	61	62	63
37594. 11,762.136.		14,387. 4 2.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
962 10 6.	236.15 2.	" " "	725. 11 4.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " 559.10 3.		559.10 3.	" " "
176 11 3.	74.2 4.	" " "	102. 11 11.
52 6 1.	4 7 4.	" " "	47. 14 9.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
278 28.	672. 11.	393. 14 5.	" " "
8,390. 15 7	178. 1 2	" " "	8,212. 14 5.
81.0 15 6.	" " "	" " "	81.0 15 6.
12,29. 2 7.	319. 4 3.	" " "	909. 14 4.
15. 9 1.	1,810. 1 3.	1,794. 8 2.	" " "
373. 12 2.	105 8 7.	" " "	268. 3 7
14,1 2 5	132 14 7.	" " "	8. 3 10.
7,43 11 1.	14,7 15 7.	" " "	595. 11 6.
706 13 3.	1,807. 12 8.	1,100. 15 5.	" " "
156. 9 7	235. 4 10.	78 11 3.	" " "
54 4 3.	" " "	" " "	54. 4 3.
126. 8 5.	624. 1 11.	497. 9 6.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Sayer

Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease
64	65	66	67
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George 24th. January 1812.

	Abkarry.				Sundry Small		Farms & Licenses.		Moturpha-			
	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.
	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
	11 5 10	98 14 11	87 9 1.	" " "	" " "	6 8 "						
	1000 " "	3,008 8.	2,008 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6 8 "	" " "	9 " "	" " "	" " "	9 " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 13 "	1 13 "	" " "
	2 8 8	137 12 9.	135 4 1	" " "	1 12 "	6 2 "	1 6 "	" " "	198 13 "	90 4 5	" " "	108 8 7.
	" " "	55 7 9	55 7 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7 15 "	7 15 "	" " "
	" " "	4 " "	4 " "	" " "	89 11 "	11 12 11	" " "	78 1 1	178 11 9.	67 6 4	" " "	111 8 5
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	19 10 1	3 8 "	" " "	16 2 1	" 8 "	" " "	" " "	8 " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 4 "	5 " "	" " "	3 4 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	61 11 "	3 13 "	" " "	61 1 "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	29 4 2	29 4 2	" " "	15 12 6.	1 5 1	" " "	11 7 5.
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	550 " "	550. " "	" " "	1 6 "	11. 3 9	36 13 9	" " "
	44 8 3 4	13 13 "	" " "	431 6 4	" " "	1 5 "	" 15 "	" " "	9 5 8	" 3 11.	" " "	9 1 9
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	162 4 "	" " "	" " "	162 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	11,62 1 10	3,318 8 5	2,290 12 11	431 6 4	273 8 1	607 6.	590 6 7	256 7 2	489 13 11	219 6.	46 99	317 7 2

1230

York Ft. George 24th. January 1812.

Tobacco.				Totals.		Grand Totals of Collections.					
Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.
80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	395 152.	14,868 4 5.	14,472 5 3.	" " "	1,846 241. 6 3.	11,763 3. 11 1.	" " "	32,607. 11 2.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,000 " .	3,010. 5 "	2,010. 5 "	" " "	1,387 13 1 7.	2,205 3. 7 7.	817 50. 3 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,180 82	471. 2 4.	" " "	709. 5 10.	1,914,071. 5 "	2,112,119. 13 2.	207,145. 8 2.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	63. 6 9.	63. 6 9.	" " "	1,731,64. 3 11.	181,725. 13 11.	11,561. 10 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	268. 129.	61,213 6.	374 " 9.	" " "	91,357. 1 1.	55,220. 7 10.	" " "	36,136. 9 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	197 " 4.	77. 10 4.	" " "	119. 6 "	186,011. 1 8.	209,893. 6 2.	23,879. 4 6.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	60 10 1.	97 4.	" " "	51. 2 9.	65,241 8 3.	65,184. 14 8.	" " "	56. 9 7.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	110,175. 3 9.	109,113. " 2	" " "	1062. 3 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	195. 4 3.	775. 8 5.	280. 1 2.	" " "	192,407. 1 10.	216,180. 14 "	23,773. 12 2.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,390. 15 7.	986. 12 2.	" " "	71,043 5.	68,271. 5 1.	1,771 52. 3 3.	1,028,77. 14 2.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	840. 15 6.	" " "	" " "	81,015 6.	1,790,099 9	2,631,14. 7 8.	841,041. 13 11.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,229. 2 7.	319. 4 3.	" " "	9091. 4 2.	22,256. " 2	22,201. 14 10.	" " "	51. 9 1 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3109 1.	2,335. 5 7.	2927. 12 6.	" " "	97,893. 6 7.	92,908 8 11.	" " "	1,984. 13 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	389. 8 8.	656. 13 8.	267. 5 "	" " "	2,771,701 3 "	2,973,663. 9 7.	198,955. 6 9.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	593. 11 9.	188. 3 9.	" " "	405. 8 "	127,583 9.	131,305. 1 2.	3,921. 10 11.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	753 " 9.	148. 3 6.	" " "	604. 13 3.	1,302,764. 6 1.	1,090,114. 11 6.	" " "	211,749. 10 7.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	706. 13 3.	1,807. 12 8.	1,100. 15 5.	" " "	50,547. 1 8.	41,647. 11 3.	" " "	8,899. 6 5.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	318. 13 7.	2,351. 4 10.	" " "	83. 8 9.	85,276 7.	3. 814,200 7 2.	" " "	1,076. " 1.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	54. 4 3.	" " "	" " "	54. 4 3.	1,652,95. " 2.	1,714,334 6 10.	61,039. 6 8.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	126. 8 5.	624. 1 11.	497. 9 6.	" " "	2,615,10. 2 3.	2,920,60. 8 10.	305,50. 6 7.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,130 7 11.	1,783 1 1.	" " "	347. 6 10.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	96,325. 1 3.	1,149,01. 1 5.	48,576. 2 "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,312. 10 2.	27,223. 8 5.	21,094. 4 "	11,183. 2 1.	31,018,32. 10 9.	34,513,42. 3 3.	1,569,791. " 10.	74,69. 8 6.

74,69. 8 6. D^t. Decrease.

1,495,09. 8 6. Net Increase.

1231 — Fort St. George — 24th January 1842

Particulars of Balances.

Arrears of Fasly 1250 and Land Revenue Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled.

Old Balance or Balances of more than 15 years standing	Balance under 15 years standing	Total	Jaury Moolahs	Minors	Sequestered	Total	Rented	Summary	Total Land Revenue
92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100	101
" " "	2,47,161 " 9	2,47,161 " 9	1,97,812 6 8	" " "	3,813 5 4	2,01,625 12 "	" " "	82,183 12 9	2,83,809 8 9
" " "	1,699 1 "	4,699 1 "	2,00,699 14 10	5,144 12 4	56,696 3 "	2,63,140 14 2	" " "	13,328 8 2	2,76,469 6 4
60,389 11 6	3,49,167 6 "	1,09,557 1 1	6,59,317 1 3	50,677 13 "	1,43,173 8 9	13,07,368 7 "	" " "	77,033 11 2	13,84,402 2 2
" " "	10,316 12 11	10,316 12 11	9,18,687 1 9	82,092 10 10	11,32,097 11 4	21,36,877 7 11	" " "	1,283 4 "	21,38,160 11 11
" " "	1,16,945 1 "	1,16,945 1 "	29,62,357 8 6	" " "	21,31,073 10 1	50,93,431 2 7	" " "	10,142 4 2	51,03,573 6 9
" " "	8,365 5 7	8,365 5 7	2,56,2 11 11	16,827 11 8	" " "	19,390 10 7	" " "	28,782 7 9	48,173 2 4
" " "	9,926 12 9	9,926 12 9	14,26,7 3 8	" " "	221 8 5	14,488 12 1	" " "	5,100 11 11	19,589 8 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	9,948 7 9	" " "	5,840 3 1	15,788 10 10	" " "	" " "	15,788 10 10
" " "	80,884 8 10	80,884 8 10	13,586 5 9	" " "	" " "	13,588 8 9	" " "	15,762 4 2	29,648 12 11
" " "	1,858 5 "	1,858 5 "	7,000 " "	" " "	" " "	7,000 " "	" " "	15,769 15 7	22,769 15 7
" " "	48,236 14 6	48,250 14 6	139 6 4	" " "	" " "	139 6 11	140 7 2	110,531 4 1	110,811 2 2
5,863 9 6	2,28,760 13 4	2,34,624 6 10	12 7 8	" " "	" " "	12 7 8	17,454 15 4	220 9 11	17,688 " 11
" " "	13,294 4 9	13,294 4 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,564 1 10	12,564 1 10
1,738 12 "	1,92,293 12 5	2,00,032 8 7	28,807 1 1	" " "	1,28,901 5 2	30,169 8 3	" " "	39,093 15 6	340,792 5 9
" " "	17,585 4 10	17,585 4 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4992 8 4	4,992 8 4
" " "	30,592 12 9	30,592 12 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,544 10 6	8,544 10 6
" " "	56,020 6 10	56,020 6 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,073 12 8	9,073 12 8
" " "	5,898 8 4	5,898 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,672 14 11	5,672 14 11
" " "	3,834 13 "	3,834 13 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	6,545 2 1	949 " 9	7,494 2 10
5,614 10 1	75,078 8 "	80,693 2 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,014 3 9	8,014 3 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
79,606 5 10	23,88,918 11 7	24,68,525 1 5	5,39,698 6 9	61,334 3 2 10	3,48,580 7 2	9,49,848 10 9	24,140 8 7	5,95,044 1 11	10,11,033 5 3

Salt	Sayer	Abkarry	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	Extra Revenue Sea Customs.	Molunpha	Tobacco	Stamps	Grand Total of Columns 95 to 107.	Land Revenue	Extra Revenue	Balance of Current Demands of Ditto by 1251/2 Total
102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
" " "	" "	1,960 13 11	650 12 4	2,040 10 2	" "			2,914 6 3 13 2	" "	2,015 4 "	2,015 4 "
" " "	270 5 4	71 13 8	" "	238 10 5	" "			27,050 3 9	" "	13,25 14 11	13,25 14 11
" " "	" "	4,228 15 8	483 5 11	3,909 11 1	" "			13,930 2 2 10	" "	" "	" "
" " "	" "	335 12 6	" "	184 6 10	" "			21,38,680 15 3	" "	1,498 5 11	1,498 5 11
" " "	" "	12 5	103 10 "	1,685 13 8	" "			5,105,363 10 10	" "	" "	" "
" " "	" "	" "	3,795 4 6	646 4 3	" "			5,26,14 11 1	" "	13,605 2 7	13,605 2 7
" " "	" "	" "	" "	91 14 6	" "			19,681 6 6	106 3 9	84 12 9	191 " 6
" " "	" "	" "	" "	1,141 1 4	" "			15,788 10 10	" "	" "	" "
" " "	4,328 12 2	" "	" "	50 3 11	" "			29,378,914 3	5,639 8 6	758 4 1	6,398 6 7
" " "	" "	" "	293 8 4	814 12 "	" "			27,148 15 8	" "	11,181 13 3	11,181 13 3
" " "	" "	" "	973 13 10	" "	" "			11,919 6 6	" "	1,801 12 7	1,801 12 7
" " "	" "	167 2 "	415 1 11	" "	" "			1,796 11 4 9	2,570 6 9	15,570 14 5	18,141 5 2
" " "	90 12 "	" "	795 4 7	2,328 11 3	" "			14,656 5 9	" "	5,121 15 "	5,121 15 "
" " "	" "	4 "	56 " 10	342 6 7	" "			3,44,007 1 7	" "	4,145 " "	4,145 " "
" " "	" "	" "	29 13 10	811 9 2	" "			5,389 3 9	" "	265 " 4	265 " 4
" " "	60 4 11	31 8 "	" "	664 " 11	" "			9,38,61 6	" "	" "	" "
" " "	" "	443 7 9	75 1 11	" "	" "			10,364 " 11	" "	471 " "	471 " "
" " "	" "	139 3 5	11 " "	121 15 "	" "	322 " "		6,513 8 7	" "	15,640 " "	15,640 " "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			7,765 7 8	70 8 "	333 " "	403 8 "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			8,01,43 9	17,701 6 2	13,505 12 "	31,207 2 2
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "
" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "			" "	" "	" "	" "
" " "	915 13 3	16,210 9 6	6,980 14 "	15,071 4 11	" "	322 " "	" "	10,15,05,831 4 11	26,088 12 8	7,324 9 3	1,13,412 10 5

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Total Columns 110 & 113.	Total Balance Columns 94 and 114	Remission Of recent Arrears.	Remission Of old Balance	Total
114	115	116	117	118
2,93,479 1 2	5,40,640 1 11			
2,78,376 2 1	2,83,075 3 1			
13,93,024 2 10	18,02,581 3 11			
21,40,179 5 2	21,50,496 2 1			
51,05,303 10 10	52,22,308 14 10			
66,219 13 8	74,583 3 3			
19,872 7 "	29,799 3 9			
15,788 10 10	15,788 10 10			
300,188 4 10	1102,077 13 8			
38,330 12 11	2,10,189 1 11			
113,721 3 1	158,958 1 7			
36,103 3 11	270,727 10 9			
19,778 4 9	33,072 9 6			
3,18,132 1 7	5,18,184 5 2			
5,654 4 1	23,239 8 11			
9,386 1 6	39,978 14 3			
10,835 " 11	66,865 7 9			
22,153 8 7	28,052 " 11			
8,168 15 8	12,003 12 8			
39,221 5 11	1,19,914 8 "			
" " "	" " "			
" " "	" " "			
10,26,996 9 4	12,73,252 10 9			

Comparative

B Comparative Statement of the Collections for Fasly 1251 & 1252 from 1st October to 30th September 1841.

Fort St. George 24th January 1842

Sd/

Secretary to Government

Land Revenue
Sale
Salary
Abkary
Sundry Small Sums & Licenses
Assessed Taxes
Stamp Duties
Tobacco
Customs
Total of the Extra Charges of Peons
Grand Total of Sums and Extra Charges
Increase on the Revenue
Decrease
Collections in the course of Current Year
Collections in subsequent Years
Collections in Arrear

Transcribed in the Collections of Estates. 1820 66 15-2
Ditto in the Collections of Current- 17638-9-
19925-8-2

Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 30th September 1841:-

	Land Revenue Increase	Land Revenue Decrease	Extra Sources of Revenue Increase	Extra Sources of Revenue Decrease
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Ganjam	" "	36,953 11	1,345 15 11	" "
Vizagapatam	85,301 6 9	" "	" "	365 39
Rajahmundry	" "	4,704 18 2	4,849 9 10	" "
Masulipatam	399 87	" "	11,165 15	" "
Guntoor	" "	34,874 67	" "	1,262 28
Chingleput	11,799 58	" "	12,079 14 10	" "
Salem	1,690 11	" "	" "	1,746 11 6
Madura	" "	" "	" "	1,062 37
Nellore	" "	6,726 96	30,509 5 8	" "
Northern Division of Arcot	8,018 65	" "	14,859 79	" "
Southern Division of Arcot	8,084 45	" "	2,020 96	" "
Tanjore	5,467 75	" "	" "	6,016 89
Trichinopoly	" "	3,364 86	" "	1,620 52
Tinnevelly	23,064 49	" "	" "	3,169 8 "
Bellary	4,187 91	" "	" "	265 15 "
Cuddapah	" "	17,901 59	" "	3,847 10 10
Coimbatore	" "	2,119 25	" "	4,780 14 "
Canara	2,779 21	" "	" "	3,855 22
Malabar	1,155 15 "	" "	1,883 7 8	" "
Madras: Land Customs	421 12 9	" "	30,128 9 10	" "
Madras: Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	" "	" "	" "	347 6 10
Madras: Sea Customs	" "	" "	" "	1,857 6 2
Total	30,636 9 8	10,644 7 6	183,409 2 7	33,625 2 3
Deduct Decrease	10,644 7 6		33,625 2 3	
Net Increase	19,972 5 8 2		149,784 " 4	

Resolved

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched-

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Govt.

43

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government under date the 20th November 1835, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit the enclosed Memorandum and to request that the Documents therein specified may be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press, according to the accompanying forms. The quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith transmitted.-

No 1163-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th January 1842

I have &c.
Signed R. J. Porter
Jr. Secretary

Memorandum

A

Memorandum.

	Quantity	Quantity of Papers Quires	Sheets	
Salt Rowanahs in Tamil	No 4,000	4	16	16 Country Papers
Do Do in Telooguo	15,000	15	6	
Do Do in English	15,000	15	6	

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched together with the Petition therein referred.—

To

The Sub Collector in charge of Nellore

(44)

To the Sub Collr in charge of Nellore } No 198 of 1841.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition noted in the Margin, which, from having been accidentally mislaid, has escaped observation. The Petitioner is so earnest in his disavowal of

of all intentional disrespect that the Board are willing to believe he could not have meant any, and adverting to his length and respectability of Service they think he should have an opportunity of offering any explanation in his power with a view to remove the suspicion against him, if your should be of opinion that the punishment which he has already undergone is not sufficient.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
24th January 1842

(Signed) R. I. Porter
Acting Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

From the Principal Collr in the Northern Division of Arcot (45) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.—

From the Pr Collr of Madura (46) — Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.—

Abstract

From the Princ. Collector of Salem — Abstract of Demand

(47) Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.—

From the Princ. Collector of Salem — Statement shewing

(48) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.—

From the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot — Statement shewing

(49) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.—

From the Principal Coll.r of Madura — Statement shewing

(50) the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.—

From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Fines imposed and Stoppages made from the

(51) Pay of the Public Servants for the quarter ending 31st December last.—

From the Pr. Coll.r of Coimbatore — Return of alterations

(52) that

that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the quarter ending 31st December last.—

From the Pr. Collector of Coimbatore — Return of Public Servants

(53) suspended or dismissed from Employment for the quarter ending 31st Dec.r last.—

From the Princ. Coll.r of Coimbatore — Stating that there is

(54) no Return of Revenue defaulters confined in the Jail neither of Casualties, and Revenue Defaulters released for the quarter ending 31st December last.—

From the Coll.r of Chingleput — Stating that the

(55) Return of Persons suspended or dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of December last is not sent, there being no entry to make.—

From the Coll.r of Chingleput — Stating that the

(56) Returns of Persons charged with offences and of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last are not sent there being no entry to make.—

Stating

From the Coll.r of Chingleput.- Stating that no goods were recommended for confiscation
(57) for the Month of October last.-

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.- Return of fines
(58) imposed and stoppages made from
the pay of Public Servants from 1st
October to 31st December last.-

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.- Return of alter-
(59) -tions that have occurred in the list
of fines and stoppages from 1st October
to 31st December last.-

From the Coll.r of Guntoor.- Stating that the Return
(60) of Persons suspended or dismissed from
employment for the Quarter ending 31st
December last is not sent there
being no entry to make.-

From the Coll.r of Malabar.- Submitting an In-
(61) -dent for Broad cloth for Peons Belts.-

Order thereon.- Ordered that the Indent
(61-62) be passed and transmitted to the
Secretary to the Clothing Board.-

From the Coll.r of Madras.- Statement shewing
(63) the current price of Salt in the Bazar
per

per Measure per Mercal and per Garce
for the Month of December last.-

From the Collector of Madras.- Submitting an Indent
(64) for Stationery required for the use of
his office for the year 1842.-

Order thereon.- Ordered that the Indent
(64-65) be compared countersigned and trans-
-mitted to the Military Board.-

From the Dy. Coll.r of Nellore.- Statement shewing the Quan-
(66) -tity of Salt in store and ready for Ex-
-portation on account of the Chittagong
Indent of 1841/42 from 9th to 15th January 1842.-

From the Rev.e Coll.r of Salem.- Statement of Goods
(67) recommended for confiscation for the
Month of December last.-

From the Dy. Coll.r of Salem.- Statement of con-
(69) -fiscated articles on which Double and
Treble duty were received for the Month
of December last.-

Order on the two above men-
-tioned Statements.- Ordered that the
(67-69) confiscation and sale of the smuggled
Articles as well as the levy of Double
and

1243 Fort St. George 24th January 1842.

and Treble duty enumerated in the State-
ments be authorized.

From the Acting Princl. Collector of Tanjore } Stating that the Re-
turns of Persons charged with offences
(70) and of Persons convicted and on confine-
ment under Regulation IX of 1822 for
the Month of December last, are not sent
there being no entry to make.-

" Do.

" Do.

" Walter Elliot

" A. Macleod

Compd.
J.G. Boyle

1244 Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Diary.

24th January. Sent the following letter.

To

The Principal Collector of
Salem.

(1)

To the Principal Collr. Salem. Dd. 27th Jany. 1842. (4 in number)

Sir

As requested in your letter of the 11th Ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith the Statements alluded to in the letters copies of which were forwarded by you.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th January 1842

(Signed) R. J. Porter
Act. Secretary

Thursday 27th January 1842.

At a Meeting Present.

John Dent Esqr. Senior Member
G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member
and
Alexander Maclean Esqr. Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 4th and entered in Consultation of 7th Instant.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of

(2)

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

From the Collr 20th July in Cons. 12th Augt 1842 15th Proceedings 12th Augt 1841
From the Collr 25th Septr in Cons. 11th Octr 1841

of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Rajahmundry for his information and guidance with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

The Collector is requested to lose no time in suggesting an arrangement for the adjustment of the arrears due by the Zemindar of Peddapore with a view to carrying out the wishes of Government expressed in the 1st Para of the communication above recorded, by preserving the estate to the family.

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Read the following Extracts.

3

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 27th December 1841.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Received 18th November 1841 No 4946)

"As it would appear from the foregoing letter that Sooranarrania Pundaratum is heir independently of

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

of his adoption by Parundavee Ummal his claim to succeed to the Gundracottah Estate seems to His Lordship in Council to be unobjectionable and he accordingly desires that the property which was directed in the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 23d January last No 127 to be assumed and managed in common with others Government lands may be registered in the name of, and restored to the above claimant.

I have &c.
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

3-4

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore for his information and guidance with reference to Mr Hindersley's letter of the 1st November last.

Ordered also that the

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

the following endorsement be passed on Petition No. 90 of 1842.

Petitioner is referred to the Acting Collector of Tanjore to whom instructions have been furnished regarding the matter alluded to in his address.

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

(5)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 4th January 1842.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Here enter 9th December 1841 No. 323 Para 1.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that Government have already acknowledged in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th June 1841 No. 790 that in point of equity the Zemindar of Nozeed had a prior lien upon the Nedadavole Estate even in preference to the claim for arrears of

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

of Revenue; and there can be no question that the amount will eventually have to be satisfied out of the latter property, when the orders of the Honorable the Court of Directors are received upon the reference made on the subject. His Lordship in Council is therefore not aware that further guarantee can be desired by the Zemindar of Nozeed.—

2 Resolved that Petitions Nos. 1376 and 1810 of 1841 from Vencatadry Apparow and Sinhadry Apparow be transmitted for the consideration and report of the Board of Revenue.—

A true Extract

Signed H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(5-6)

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

(Read again in Cons. 4th Feby. 1842.)

Read the following letter

(7)

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen.

In reply to your Secretary's

1249

Fort S. George 27th January 1842.

Secretary's letter of the 23d Ultimo No 539
I am directed to state that the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council is
is not prepared to comply with the
recommendation therein submitted and
desires that payment of the sum due
by Mr W. Grant according to his bond be
enforced

Fort S. George
25th January 1842

Order thereon

Signed H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that a Copy of the
foregoing letter be forwarded to the Collector
of Madras for his information and guidance
with reference to his dated the 4th Decemb 1841.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam

Sir.

I have the honor to report for my having
given over charge of this Collectorate to Mr. B. A. Conway
my Principal Assistant and to enclose his
receipt for the Public Money Amounting as
per Cash Account made up to this day to Rs. 2,12,906-15-9

Vizagapatam
Coll.r Cutchery
17th January 1842

Signed W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector

Received

7-8

9

1250

Fort S. George 27th January 1842.

A.

Received from W. H. Arbuthnot Esq.re Collector of Vizagapa-
-tam in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees 2,12,906
-15-9. being the Balance of Cash up to this date according to
the Accounts of the Treasury.-

Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of conversion per Rs. Rate of Coins	Amount	Total
Company's Rupees	19049	100 " "	19049 " "	
Do Half Do	4126	50 " "	2063 " "	
Do Quarter Do	17218	25 " "	4304 8 "	
Bombay Rupees	2036	100 " "	2036 " "	
New Madras Rupees	148257	100 " "	148257 " "	
Do Half Do	57661	50 " "	28830 8 "	
Do Quarter Do	16410	25 " "	4004 " "	
Do Double Annas	33068	12 8 "	4133 8 "	
Do Single Do	678	6 4 "	42 6 "	212349 14 "
Old Arcot Rupees	203	100 " "	203 " "	
Nelumdos Kuttual	5	98 2 10	4 4 6	207 14 6
				212427 12 6
New half Anna pieces	4001	3 2 "	125 " 6	
Do Quarter Do	17929	1 9 "	280 2 3	
Do Single Pie Do	19800	" 8 4	103 2 "	
Old Fanam Pice Coins	1220	2 1 4	25 0 8	
Do two Do	47	4 " 8	" 7 10	534 3 3
Total Company's Rupees				212906 15 9

Vizagapatam
17th January 1842

Signed J. Conway
Asst. Collr in charge

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the 2d Asst. Coll.r in Charge of Vizagapatam

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I have this day taken charge of this Collectorate and passed my receipt in duplicate to Mr H. M. Arbuthnot for the balance of Cash in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 212961–15–9.–

(10)

Vizagapatam Collectors Cutcherry 17th January 1842

(Signed) J. Conway
Asst. Collr in charge

Order on the two foregoing letters

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

(9-11)

Read the following letter–

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(12)

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Sir
Para 1

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board of Revenue, the accompanying correspondence with

*Copies No 2 A

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

From the Pr. Sub.r to the Coll.r of Rajahmundry Dated 5th Jan.y 1842 To Do 7th Do Do From Do 8th Do Do

with the Head Assistant Collector relative to the proposed levy of a trifle Duty on certain Oil.–

2 The practice is for Oil seeds entering a Town to pay the duty in the first instance according to their own valuation, but if they are intended for making Oil a statement to that effect is made in the Custom House, and when the Oil is made the duty is levied on it, after deducting the Amount already paid on the Seeds. In the present case the Oil seeds were all transferred from the original Merchant to the Oil Mongers and the Oil commenced to be made without any notice having been given of the seeds having been intended for this purpose and if a detection had not taken place there is no doubt the Oil would have escaped without payment of the full duty. I therefore think it has fairly incurred the penalty proposed by the Head Assistant Collector

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

Vide Enclosure Statement No 3

Collector and I accordingly beg leave to support his recommendation

Yillah Rajahmundry
Collectors Office
Cocanada 10th January 1842

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

To

The Collector in the
Yillah of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

The Amildar of Mogultore having reported the seizure of a large quantity of Lamp Oil seeds in the house of Attoory Baulah a rich Mer=chant of Narsapore as he was preparing to turn them into Oil, and also in some other houses I called up the case and on investigation being satisfied that the Merchant has acted in a manner contrary to custom in storing these seeds in other persons houses without permission and it would appear from the statement of the 2d Defendant in also selling them without giving

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

giving notice to the Sayer Gomastah and by so doing affording an opportunity of defrauding the Revenue of the Amount of increase duty leviable on the oil made from them I beg leave to recommend that sanction to levy treble duty on the Oil seeds be applied for.—

As Baulahs illness has caused some unavoidable delay in getting up this case.—

Yillah Rajahmundry
Asst. Collrs Office
Cocanada 8th January 1842.

/Signed/ W. Knox
Asst. Collr

To

The Head Assistt. Collector
of the Yillah of Rajahmundry
Cocanada.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 5th Instant I have the honor to request you will inform me distinctly whether the Lamp Oil seeds therein alluded to have paid duty originally or not.—

Yillah Rajahmundry
Ellis Circuit Cutcherry
Samulcottah 7th Jany 1842.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

To

1253.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842 —

To

The Secretary to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of Government a Statement of Goods recommended to be released on payment of Extra duty in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

Beginning of the Statement of Goods recommended to be released on payment of Extra duty in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

No.	Quantity and Description of Goods recommended to be released on payment of Extra duty	Tariff Value	Share recommended to be granted to the informer	Share recommended to be granted to the Officer making the seizure	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Land Customs.				
	Treble Duty.	L. M.			
1	Samp Oil seeds	6. 10½	122 10.		These seeds were removed from the Owners house and sold without the knowledge of the Sayer Gomastah. The Peons having heard some women say they were employed in preparing to make oil, seized them. Treble duty is recommended to be levied.
	The rate of difference between the seeds and Oil		61 5.		
	Total		183 15.	9 3 4	

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collectors Office,
Cocanada, 10th January 1842.

E. E. Vr. / Signed / G. A. Smith.
Collector.

The

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Proceedings thereon,

(12-13)

To the Board of Revenue.

Consider the case alluded to in the foregoing letter to the address

Serious a nature as to call for the

prompt & unprovided by the affidavit

Collector, and they resolve therefore

to instruct the Collector to cause the

deeds which have been seized to be

restored to the owners, on payment of

the usual duty, with a caution to

them to avoid future transgressions

similar of the Customs rules.

Read the following

Statements.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation to Calcutta, at the undermentioned Port on the 17th January 1842 as per advertisement published in the Fort St. George Gazette on the 11th October 1841.

(14)

1	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842. 2		Quantity already exported up to 17th January 1842 3		Quntity remaining to be exported. 4		Quntity actually in store and ready for exportation. 5		Remarks. 6
	Bm	S.	Bm	S	Bm	S	Bm	S.	
Coringa	4,00,000	"	"	"	4,00,000	"	2,00,000	"	Of this, about 37,000 Bengal Maunds are now on board ship, and the remainder is being shipped.

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Office,
Cocanada, 17th January 1842.

E. E. F. / Signed / G. A. Smith.
Collector.

Statement.

1260

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Order on the two foregoing Statements.

14-16

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

From the Collr. of Guntoor.

17

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a List of Pot increase Abkarry - 833-0-0 Sundry Small Farms 39-6-0 proposals received for the Abkarry rents, together with one for Sundry Small Farms and Licences for the Current Fusly, and request the Board's Sanctions for accepting the same.

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry, 22d January 1842.

I have &c.
(Signed) J. Goldingham.
Collector.

Statement

1259

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Fillah of Chingleput up to 18th January 1842.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Remarks.
For 1841/42 Bengal Rounds. 50,000	14,180	4,85,820.	The Salt in the Cotaurisings.
Chingleput District, Collectors Circuit Cutchery, Vellacherry, 25th January 1842	8. 8.	80,871/2	Signed/ A. Frere. Collector.
	15	95,051/2	Ordered

1261

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

No. 1.

Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Abkarry stations for Fusly 1251 in the Zillah of Guntoor.

No. of Tanahs.	Names of Tanahs.	No. of Stations.	Names of Stations.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
1	Mungalagherry	1	Nedamurroo	7 8 .	5 . .	. . .	2 8 .	
		1	Batapoody	4 . .	2 8 .	. . .	1 8 .	
		2		11 8 .	7 8 .	. . .	4 . .	
2	Baupetlah	1	Coochenapoody	247 . .	257 . .	10 . .	. . .	
		1	yalatepollem	4 8 .	5 . .	. 8 .	. . .	
		2		251 8 .	262 . .	10 8	. . .	
3	Vellatoor	1	Dhoolepoody	255 . .	235 . .	. . .	20 . .	
		1	Choutraguddah	46 . .	41 . .	. . .	5 . .	
		1	Pamedemurroo	15 . .	15 . .	. . .	. . .	
		1	Rauchoor	14 . .	13 . .	. . .	1 . .	
		1	Gorepapoody	15 5 .	15 8 .	. . .	. . .	
		1	Vellatoor	129 . .	114 . .	. . .	15 . .	This decrease arises
		1	Nulloor	12 8 .	11 8 .	. . .	1 . .	from the renters
		1	Rauve Muntaviarum	26 8 .	22 8 .	. . .	4 . .	not having offered
		1	Rauchalauarum	. . .	2 . .	2 . .	. . .	tenders to an amount
		1	Peda Lunkah	33 . .	32 . .	. . .	1 . .	equal to that of
		1	Pataroo	360 14 .	308 14 .	. . .	52 . .	last year for these
		11		907 6 .	810 6 .	2 . .	99 . .	stations, in Consequence
15	Total of stations to be sanctioned			1170 6 .	1079 14 .	12 8 .	103 . .	of their having
306	Total of stations already sanctioned			11668 5 .	12591 13 .	980 12 .	57 . .	sustained loss from
321				12838 11 .	13671 11 .	993 4 .	160 4 .	a limitted demand
			Deduct decrease			160 4 .		for the article.
			Net Increase			833 . .		

Guntoor,
Collectors Cutcherry,
22d. January 1842.

E. E. H.s / Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement.

1262

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

No. 2.

Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Sundry small Farms and Licences for Fusly 1251. in the Zillah of Guntoor.

No. of Tanahs.	Names of Tanahs.	No. of Stations.	Names of Stations.	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1251.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
1	Baupettlah	1	Coochanapoody	155 ..	155 ..			
		1	Yalatepallem	17 ..	17 ..			
		2		172 ..	172 ..			
2	Vellatoor	1	Dhoolepoody	385 ..	335 ..		50 ..	
		1	Chautraguddah	448 ..	398 ..		5 ..	
		1	Pamedemurroo	24 ..	24 ..			
		1	Rauchoor	108 ..	108 ..			
		1	Goregapoody	148 ..	158 ..	1 ..		
		1	Vellatoor	168 ..	148 ..		20 ..	
		1	Rauree anuntevarum	248 ..	208 ..		4 ..	This decrease arises from the renters not having offered tenders to an amount equal to that of last year for these stations in Consequence of their having sustained loss from a limited demand for the article.
		1	Peda Lunkah	24 ..	23 ..		1 ..	
		1	Pataroo	3392 ..	2892 ..		50 ..	
9				1034 2 ..	905 2 ..	1 ..	130 ..	
11	Total of Stations to be Sanctioned			1206 2 ..	1077 2 ..	1 ..	130 ..	
264	Total of stations already Sanctioned			6578 9 ..	6746 13 ..	186 ..	17 10 ..	
275				7784 11 ..	7824 1 ..	187 ..	147 10 ..	

Deduct decrease ..... 147 10 ..

Net Increase ..... 39 6.

Guntoor, ...
Collector's Cutcherry,
22d. January 1842

E. E. Yr. / Signed / J. Goldingham.
Collector.

The

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Resolution thereon.

17-18

The Board sanction the Collector's acceptance of the offers for the rents of Abkarry, and Sundry small farms exhibited in the Statements which accompany the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

19

From the Coll.r of Bellary — Stating that no person was ever nominated to the special charge of the English records, & that it was from the want of a Record keeper that the papers were in so deranged a state as represented by him, and that a fit person cannot now be spared without detriment to other branches of the English Department.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 18th Ultimo, and to state that no Person was ever nominated hitherto to the special charge of the English Records, nor can a fit person be now spared without detriment to other branches of the English Department. It was from the want of a Record Keeper that the papers were in so deranged a State that I was obliged, both to employ a temporary Establishment of Servants for the purpose of arranging the old

* Vide my letter to the Bd. 18th Feby 1840.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

old Records, and to anticipate recommending a portion of it upon the permanent Establishment for the safe custody of the whole Records afterwards, and the Board's letter to Government dated 2d March 1840. bears a reference to that proposition.

Para 3.

2. In my letter of the 5th August last, I stated that there was still a great deal to be done, the grounds on which I made the proposition for continuing the Establishment in question for so long a period as nearly two years was from the portion of work still required to complete the Record. The Board observed in their Proceedings of the 26th August last that the "having whole correspondence abstracted and endarsed seems quite unnecessary." I never intented any further Abstract than such a Register as would enable me to collect the reports on any particular subject. I consider that one complete year will barely suffice to arrange the remainder and bring up the

the arrears of letters sent during 17 years.
and accounts for 10 years by entering them
in Section: Viz.t.

All letters sent during 7 years
from 1817 to 1823. and during 10 years from
1831 to 1840.- also all accounts for 10 years from
1831 to 1840.-

3.— The Board having directed
that the "Establishment under observa-
tion may be dispensed with from 1st.
November," and that "the charge will not
be passed after that date." I was compelled
to discontinue it from that date accord-
ingly requesting only a Record Keeper (a
very old servant in the Cutcherry.)
on a Salary of 42 Rupees, that the ar-
ranged portion of the Records might
always be kept in order.

4 I now beg to state that in
the event of the Board wishing to have
the aforesaid arrears brought up, I would
recommend that the Establishment at
82 Rupees may be allowed to be so

Continued

continued for a further period of one year from
1st. Ultimo on condition of the Record keepers
being then however brought on the fixed es-
establishment without involving additional
expence, by a transfer of one of the Writers
to that situation, otherwise that the Record-
-keeper be appointed at the additional es-
expense recommended in my letter of the
1st. Ultimo.-

5 The Writers now have more to
do than they can well perform, many of Mr.
Robertson's letters, Reports &c.a are still in the
rough hardly legible written on the back
of a tappal Bill or any other slip of es
Paper. I should be glad therefore if the
Board would consent to this proposal of
continuing the Record establishment for one
year from the 1st. Ultimo which would enable
me to get what is really necessary copied,
and then appoint a Recordkeeper out of
the Writers already sanctioned.-

Bellary District,
Collector's Office
On Circuit, Adoni,
20th. December 1841.

[Signed] H. Mellor.
Collector.

For

Proceedings thereon.

(19-20)

For the reason stated in their resolution passed under date the 18th November last upon Mr. Miellor's letter dated the 1st of the same Month, the Board can not recommend the continuance for a further period of one year of the establishment employed for two years prior to the 1st November last in arranging the English records in the Cutcherry of the Collector of Bellary, and they would suggest that the arrangement for providing for a Record Keeper without involving additional escpence alluded to in Para 4 of the foregoing letter and which the Collector proposes to adopt at the escpiration of one year should be carried into immediate effect, should the services of such a functionary be deemed indispensable.-

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

From the Dpl. Coll.r in the Nth. Dn. of Arcot.

(21)

Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged &c. under Regulation IX of 1822 from 1st July to 31st December last.-

Abstract

From the Dpl. Coll.r of Madura.

(22)

Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge, and number of appeals preferred and disposed of under the Provisions of Regulations IX of 1822 and VII of 1828. From 1st July to 31st December last.-

Order on the two abovementioned Statements

(21-23)

Ordered to be lodged until the receipt of the Returns from the other Districts, when a Statement of the whole will be prepared and submitted to Government.-

Read the following letters

To,

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Dpl. Coll.r of Salem.

(24)

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 11th of November last, I have the honor to forward duplicate copies of the documents mentioned in the accompanying Memorandum which require the notice of the Board.-

Salem, Print.d Coll.r Cutcherry 6th January 1842.

/Signed/ I. D. Gleig Print.d Coll.r

Memorandum

Memorandum of duplicate Copies of Letters sent to the Board of Revenue.-

No	Date of the letter.	Purport.
	1841.	
	15th February.	Forwarding an Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1250.-
	17th " Do.	Informing that no persons were charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 in January 1841.-
	9th April	Forwarding Return of persons charged under Regulation IX of 1822 in February 1841-
	1st May	Stating that there are no presentations in the shape of offerings on the part of Government at Native festivals in this District.-
	4th Do.	Requesting to know if the other sample of cotton besides what have been already sent is still required to be forwarded.-
	29th Do.	Transmitting Return of Tahsildars.-
	Do.	Requesting to be informed under what head the Aggraharum Villages are to be entered in Inamie accounts.-
	1841.	To the Secretary in the Department of Public Works.-
	26th February.	Reporting that the building of the Salem Treasury is perfectly secure against any common risk.-
	19th April.	Forwarding 2 Bills amounting to Rupees 1,644-8-9.-

Salem,
Prin.l Coll.r's Cutcherry
6th January 1842.

/Signed/ L. D. Gleig.
Prin.l Collector.

Ordered

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

(Duplicate.)

24-25

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Pl. Coll.r of Salem - - - I have the honor to forward

26 an Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1250. and request that the early Sanction of Government may be obtained for the same.-

Salem Collr's,
Salem,
Prin.l Coll.r's Cutcherry,
18th February 1841

/Signed/ L. D. Gleig.
Prin.l Collector.

Estimate

1269

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

A

Estimate of Extra Charges proposed to be incurred in Fusly 1250 in the District of Salem:-

Items.	Amount incurred in Fusly 1249.	Amount Estimated to be incurred in Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks of the Principal Collector.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue
	C Rs a ps	C Rs a ps				
1 For binding Letter Correspondence &c Statements &ca	61 4 "	74 12 "	13 8 "	" " "	This increase is owing to a great number of Statements of preceding years and accounts requiring to be bound in Fusly 1250..	

18th February 1841

(Signed) C. C. J. Dr. J. D. Gleig

Dist. Collector

Estimate

1270 Fort St. George 29th January 1842.

Estimate of the Expences required for binding the letter Correspondence for the year 1840.

Vol	Records.	Rs	a	⊙	Rs	a	⊙
2	Letters received from the Board of Revenue	2	"	"			
3	Do. and Bills Do. from the Accountant General	3	"	"			
1	Do. from the General Collectors	1	"	"			
1	Do. from the Zillah Judge	1	"	"			
1	Do. from the Sub and Assistant Collectors	1	"	"			
2	Do. from the Military Department	2	"	"			
2	Do. from the Civil Auditor, Post Master General, Secretary to Government, Sub Treasurer, Government Lottery &c.	2	"	"			
1	Letters sent to the Board of Revenue	1	"	"			
1	Do. to the Accountant General	1	"	"			
1	Do. to the General Collectors	1	"	"			
1	Do. to the Military Department	1	"	"			
1	Do. to the Sub and Assistant Collectors	1	"	"			
1	Do. to the Civil Auditor, Post Master General, Secretary to Government, Sub Treasurer, Government Lottery, &c.	2	"	"			
	Magisterial Department						
1	Extract received from the Foujdaree Adalut &c.	1	"	"			
1	Letters received from Different Magisterial Departments	1	"	"			
1	Do. sent to Do. Do.	1	"	"			
1	Criminal Memorandum received	1					
14	Volumes of Rough drafts in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments including Chittahs, Fort St. George Gazette, Rough Translation &c. at 8 annas each	7	"	"		22	"
1	Civil engineers Diary received for 1840	1	"	"			
1	Board Sundry Statements &c. sent for do. being large size	1	12	"			
1	Summahbundy Report sent including Statements for fusly 1249	1	12	"			
1	Rough Summahbundy reports	"	8	"			
40						12	"
	Total Company's Rupees					34	"

18th February 1841 E. E. J. Do. [Signed] I. D. Gleig.

Dpty. Collector.

Estimate

1271

Fort St. George 29th January 1842.

Estimate of the Expences required for Binding Books in the Account Department at Salem for the year 1840.

	Books.	Rs	a	P
1	Ledger to the Accountant General for 1838/39	1	12	"
2	Journal to Do. for 1839/40 at 1 Rupee 12 annas each	3	8	"
2	Abstract Do. from 1st May 1839 to 31st October 1840 at Do.	3	8	"
3	Account Particulars to Do. from September 1839 to 31st October 1840 do	5	4	"
2	Demand, Collections and Balance to the Board from 12th July 1838 to 12th July 1840 at 1¾ Rupees each	3	8	"
1	Account Current with the Post Master General from January 1840	1	12	"
1	Separate Abstract to the Accountant General from Fusly 1245 to 1249.	1	12	"
3	Sealed Packet of Vouchers to the Civil Auditor from 1st January 1839 to 11th July 1840 at 1 Rupee each	3	"	"
3	Account Particulars to Do. Do. Do.	3	"	"
1	Fuslymar Moyenzabitah to Do. from Fusly 1246	1	"	"
1	Indent of Stationery from 1840	1	"	"
1	Statement of Comparative Vcs. from 1839	1	12	"
1	Sundry Statements to the Board of Revenue from 1836	1	"	"
1	Return of Lines to the Board of Revenue from 1st January 1838	1	"	"
1	Register of Bills discharged on account of Bengal Government from 1st August 1839	1	"	"
1	Statement of Pensions Vcs. Chief Secretary to Government from 1839/40	1	"	"
5	Quarterly Account to the Civil Auditor from 1st May 1839	1	"	"
5	Extra Vouchers from 1833 to 1840 at 1 Rupee each	5	"	"
31				
	Total Company's Rupees	40	12	"

18th February 1841 E. E. Fo. [Signed] S. D. Gleig

Pl. Collr.

Ks

Resolution thereon.- As the estimate transmitted with

(26-27) the foregoing letter has reference to the past

July 1250- the Board do not consider it necessary

to submit it for the orders of Government -

The actual disbursement will be recom=

=mended for sanction when a statement of

the same is received.-

(Duplicate)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pl. Coll.r of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform

(28) you that no persons were charged with

Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during

the Month of January 1841. and none in

confinement in pursuance of sentences

passed under that Regulation.-

Salem Zillah,

Salem,

Principal Coll.rs Cutcherry

19th February 1841.

Signed / S. D. Gleig

Prin.l Collector

Order thereon.-

(28-29) Ordered to be recorded

To

(Duplicate)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pl. Coll.r of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward

(30) a Return of persons charged under Regula=

=tion IX of 1822 for the Month of February

last and to inform you that the in=

=dividual therein charged with offence is

under confinement for the satisfaction

of the judgment passed against him

agreeably to Clause 2. Section V of the

above Regulation.-

Salem Zillah,

Salem,

Princ.l Coll.rs Cutcherry,

9th April 1841.

Signed / S. D. Gleig

Prin.l Collector.

Monthly

1274

Fort St. George

27th January 1842.

Monthly Return of Persons Charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 in the Zillah of Salem for the Month of February 1841.

Name of the accused	Class of Offences as defined in Clause 2nd Section II.	Class of persons to which the accused belong as defined in Clause 3rd Section II.	Monthly pay or other allowances or value of fees or other privileges enjoyed by the accused	Date of Suspension or dismissal	Conviction or acquittal and date on which the decision passed	Sum adjudged to be paid under Clause 1st Section V.	Fine imposed under Clause 4 Section V.	Period of imprisonment or orders in Commutation of fine.	amount levied by warrant of execution under Clause 3 Section V.	Sum granted in compensation under Section XII	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

By the Principal Collector.

Setogy	For appropriating to himself the sum of Rupees 94-4-6. being the amount collected on bearing letters delivered in the Town of Salem.	Letter Peon Salem	Rupees 6 per Month or Rupees 72 per annum	1st February 1841 to 2nd February 1841.	Convicted		Rs 150	until such a time as the fine is liquidated	"	"	Confined in default of the payment of fine imposed against him.

By the acting Sub Collector:

/None in this Month./

Salem District,
Princ. Collr. Cutcherry
1st March 1841.

E. E. Jr.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig.
Pr. Collr.

Ordered

Fort St. George 29th January 1842.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.

30-31

(Duplicate)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem ... Sir,

32

With reference to your letter of the 22d. Ultimo, I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Board that there are no presentations in the shape of offerings on the part of Government at Native festivals in this District.-

Salem Zillah, Salem, Print. Collr's Cutcherry, 1st May 1842. } Signed J. D. Gleig Pt. Collector

Order thereon.- Ordered to be recorded.-

32-33

(Duplicate)

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem ... Sir,

34

Having been ordered to forward to Madras last season specimens of Cotton from this District and having been able to send at that time only one Cs. I beg to know if the other sample is still required as it may now be forwarded.-

Salem Zillah, Salem, Print. Collr's Cutcherry, 4th May 1841. } Signed J. D. Gleig Pt. Collector

Order thereon.-

34-35

It does not appear necessary that the Cotton alluded to in the foregoing letter should be now forwarded but if the Principal Collector has any of last year's produce he may send it now.-

To

1276

Fort St. George 27 January 1842

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem } Sir,

36

With reference to your Circular letter of the 9th June 1834 ... I have the honor to transmit a ... Return of the Tahsildars in this ... District, as they stood up to the 30th April last—

Salem Zillah — Ojoor — Print. Collr's Cutcherry 29th May 1841 — } Signed J. D. Gleig Print. Collector—

Return

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

A Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Salem as they stood up to 30th of April 1841.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1249.	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service in such employments	Total Period of Service	Remarks
		Yrs		Rs a p	Rs a p	Yrs Ms	Yrs Ms D		Yrs Ms D	
Aroonachelliah of Trepatoor	Bra-min	52	Ahtoor	114,049 7 11	100 " "	5 5	16 6 "	Police Deputy Ameen of Kistna-gherry and Assoor in this Zillah	1 5 15	
								Peishcar in this Talooks of Seringaracottah Kistnagherry & Trichengode of this Zillah	21 " 7	
								Acting Nail Sheristadar in the Huzzoor of Dº	" 7 "	
									1 " 23	20 11 23
Kelsunrow of Dº Dº	41	Namcul	2,22,771 9 6	100 " "	3 5 "		6 10 16	Huzzoor Sarabnavis in this Zillah	10 10 26	21 2 12
Syde Bahoodeen Moor of Chunglepute	50	Paramaty	134,161 5 5	100 " "	1 " "		14 6 15	Police Ameenah in the Woma-lore Talook in this Zillah	" 5 6	
								Tahsildar of Toomoor Talook in this Mysore Territory	" " 25	
								Dº of Davarauzdroog in Dº Dº	" 5 19	
								Dº of Terah Talook in Dº Dº	" 2 28	
									1 2 18	16 9 3

Fort St George 27th January 1842

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fasly 1249	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service in such employments—	Total period of Service	Remarks.
		Years		£ Rs a P	£ Rs a P	Years M D	Years M D		Yrs M D	Yrs M D
Streenevassa row of Suriahpoor in Mysore Territory	Bramin	46	Salem	207,509 135	140 " "	5 0	4 7 10	Cutwall of Venkatagherrycottah in the Zillah of Chittoor " 7 0 Police Royasum of Palamanary Talook in Do 2 2 0 Frontier Sayer Mooshriff of Kistnapoor Zuffy Goomastah of Cavatinagur Do 3 3 6 Sarnistanum in Do " 6 29 Goomastah of Cungody Talook in Do 1 6 15 Tyrnaveyesh of Gopesetty pollium in Coimbatore Zillah " 1 20 Head Goomastah of the 1st Commissioners Cutcherry in this Zillah 4 4 0 Huzzoor Goomastah in Do Do 3 5 0 Do 7 Do Do of Do Dareyafty of Enam in the Zillah of Guntoor 2 4 0 Do Do of the Sayer and Motursha Vca in the Do " 10 11 Do Do of Sea Custom Vca Do 2 4 0 Huzzoor Naib Sheristadar Do 2 10 20 Do Sheristadar to the Head Assistant Collector in the Zillah of Nellore 2 4 6 Tahsildar of Survayfully Do 1 9 12 Talook in Do " 6 2 Do of Nellore in Do 24 1 2	29 1 11	

1279

Fort St George

27th January 1842.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of July 1249	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and period of service in such employments			Total period of Service	Remarks
		Yrs		Rds a p	Rs a p	Yrs Mos	Yrs Mos D		Yrs	Mos	Yrs Mos Ds	
Bheemarow of Atturnoorgudi in Chittoor Zillah	Bra	48	Tenkerry	143256	100 " "	1 " "	7 9 17	Head Goomastah of Dindigul Talook in the Zillah of Madura	"	5	20	
								Do Do of Taudecombay Do Do	5	11	27	
								Huzzoor Goomastah of the Sub Collector's Department in this Zillah	1	10	22	
								Sheristadar of Tengaracottah Talook	6	9	10	
								Do of Attoor Do Do	1	5	18	
									16	7	7	25 4 24
Bheema row of Pennaguer in this Zillah	Do	46	Raizepoor	158871	100 " "	10 6	" " "	Goomastah of Caungein Talook in the Zillah of Coimbatore	7	"	"	
								Do of Errode Do Do	3	"	"	
								Sheristadar of Womalore in this Zillah	5	4	25	
								Do of Raizepoor Do	4	4	9	
									19	9	4	20 7 10
Ramarow of Cuttoor of Doovoor Talook in Cuddapah Zillah.	Do	32	Womalore	137768	100 " "	1 4 "	1 11 17	Head Goomastah of Cottah Talook of Nellore Zillah	3	1	"	
								Sheristadar of Paramaty Talook in this Zillah	2	9	1	
								Do of Namcul Do Do		9	11	
								Do of Trepatoor Do Do	1	1	"	
								Huzzoor Magistrate Head Javabnavis in this Zillah	"	3	14	
									7	11	26	11 3 13

1280

Fort St George

27th January 1842.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fasly 1249	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service in such employments	Total period of Service	Remarks
		Yrs		Rs a p	Rs a p	Yrs Mos Ds	Yrs Mos Ds		Yrs Mos Ds.	
Kistnarow of Bra Bhoovanagherry-mun in Nirdachellum Zillah		46	Trichengode	1,66,632 15 11	100 " "	5 5 22	3 3 24	Sayer Ameen of Cusbah Tinde-vanum in Nirdachellum Zillah — 3 7 0 Huzzoor Magistrate Javabna-wis in the Zillah — 12 1 15 15 8 15	24 6 1	
Timmapiah of Dº Salem		42	Darampoory	1,52,010 2 5	100 " "	0 5 0	13 7 20	Goomastah in the Talooks of Senkerrydroog and Salem in this Dº — 2 5 10 Sheristadar of Trichengode and Senkerrydroog in Dº — 3 11 20 6 5 10	20 6 0	
Venkutramaniah Dº		66	Tangaracottah	1,17,023 13 4	100 " "	1 1 15	2 8 3	Goomastah of Palany Talook in the Zillah of Dindooguel — 2 1 0 Huzzoor Moonshee of 3 Zillahs of Dauraipoor Coimbatore & Madura — 9 10 25 Tahsildar of Caungeim and Dauraipoor Talooks in Coimbatore 1 0 10 Dº Pulladum Settepollem in Dº — 0 7 21		

1280

Fort St George 27th January 1842

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount Collections of Fusly 1249	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service in such employments	Total period of Service	Remarks
		Yrs		Rs a p	Rs a p	Yrs Mos	Yrs Mos		Yrs Mos	
Sania Row of Cunchey	Bra.	56	Kistnagherry	134331	73	100 . .	3 4	Tahsildar of Undeyoor and Pullam in Coimbatore	" 1 22	
								Do of Coimbatoor and Chavoor in Do	" 5 10	
								Do of Do in Do	1 9 20	
								Do of Suttyamungalum in Do	2 6 16	
								Do of Pulladum in Do	" 8 24	
								Do of Palauchee in Do	" 2 15	
								Do of Chavoor in Do	" 7 22	
								Do of Collaygal in Do	" 5 26	
								Do of Danaikencottah	" 8 8	
								Do of Errode in Do	1 10 13	
								Do of Peroondaray in Do	" 5 .	
									24 4 22	28 1 10
								Huzzoor Goomastah of Madura Zillah	2 . .	
								Do Savabnavis in Chittoor Zillah	8 4 .	
								Tahsildar of Mogaral Talook in Do	" 8 .	
								Do of Valoor in Do	3 9 .	
								Do of Satghur in Do	3 " "	
									17 9 .	32 3 "

1280

Fort St George 27th January 1842.

Names and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1249	Pay	Period of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Period of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and period of Service in such employments	Total period of Service	Remarks.
		Yrs		Rs a p	Rs a p	Yrs Ms D	Yrs Ms D		Yrs Ms D	
Rajeen Row of Bollloor in Verdamier Chellum Zillah	Bra	46	Trepatoor	1,02,203 10 6	100 " "	1 0 "	1 " 20	Huzzoor Malabar record Keeper in this Zillah ———	" " 18	
								Do Sayer Goomastah Do ———	3 7 "	
								Do Sheadary Do Do ———	" 11 "	
								Do Javabneveis Do ———	4 5 "	
								Peishcar of Rayepoor in Do ———	" 5 12	
								Goomastah in the additional Sub Collectors Cutchery ———	" 4 13	
								Javabneveis in Sub Collectors Department in Do ———	8 1 25	
								Huzzoor Do in the Zillah of Trichinopoly ———	" 6 18	
								Sayer Tahsildar of Do ———	" 7 26	
									19 1 22	20 2 12
Sooba Row of Culloor in Cuddapah Zillah	Do	47	Denkencottah	1,28500 1 10	100 " "	10 6	5 11 24	Zillahdar in the Talook of Mooda Chekall in the Sub division of Solapoor of Darwar Zillah ———	2 1 18	
								Huzzoor Goomastah in the Sub Division of Do ———	2 5 4	
								Sheristadar of Coratty Talook in Do ———	" 1 27	
								Huzzoor Moonshee in Do ———	3 8 13	
								Tahsildar of Hindee Talook in Do ———	2 1 3	
								Do of Parabgud Do Do ———	2 1 5	
									12 7 10	19 5 10
Ramkistniah of Maharaujgud of Kistnagherry Talook in this Zillah	Do	41	Ofsoor ———	8,99,21 14 11	100 " "	14 "	5 11 26	Peishcar of Cusbah Coimbatore ———	1 11 4	
								Davastanum Goomastah in the Huzzoor of Tinnevelly ———	3 " "	
									4 11 14	12 3 10

29th May 1841.

E. Co. per. (Signed) J. D. Gleig Dpy Collr. Ordered

Fort St George 27th January 1842.

Order thereon -

(36-37)

Ordered to be recorded -

To,

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

From the Pt Collr of Salem - - -

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the conveying instructions to take the agraharum Villages as per Margin into the Amany account granting 1/3 of the net Collections to the former share-holders for their subsistence - I have the honor to request that you will furnish me with the orders of the Board regarding the proper head of account under which these Villages should hereafter be entered - If they are entered under the head of Agraharums possessing shares they may be entered in Column 95 of the Summabundy Statement in that case the agrahardars

Araty
Natoor
Chokanoor
Mellakurra
Thalumputty
Valaupady
Collambady

(38)

Fort St George 27th January 1842.

agrahardars may claim a right to dispose of their Swasteum lands and oppose the Tahsildar placing any ryot therein by which means the Cultivation might be impeded - If on the other hand and as appears the intention of Government these Villages should come under the same management as the other Amany Villages it will be necessary to open a new head of account for the remission to be given -

Salem Zillah - - -
Salem Pt Collr Cutchery
29th May 1841 - - -

} (Signed) J. D. Gleig
Pt Collr

Order thereon - The Board conceive that the Villages alluded to in this letter had better be entered in the Summabundy accounts as Amanee Villages in the manner proposed by the Collector opening a new head of account for the remission to be given -

(38-39)

Read

Fort St George 27th January 1842.

Read the following letter.

40

From the Asst Collr in the Sn Dn of Arcot, dated

Reporting the seizure of a quantity of Piece goods attempted to be smuggled & recommending the confiscation of the same

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir

I have the honor to enclose a list of certain smuggled goods and to request the authority of the Board to confiscate.

2. One Chedumbra Pillay has a house on a very small spot of Ground which tho on the South bank of the Pennar and surrounded by English Ground, belongs to the French Villages of Manamode situated on the North bank of that River. His object in this situation is for the easy and convenient smuggling into this house of cloths from the English neighbouring Villages and thence passing them off as the manufactures of the inhabitants of the Manamode.

On

Fort St George 27th January 1842.

3. On the 5th November he was seized on English Ground with a large quantity of such Cloths, when on his way to Pondicherry. It has been proved before me that these cloths were carried to his house from an English Village a day or two previously and when seized great many of them actually had our Sayer Loom Chop on them, most satisfactorily refuting the assertion that they had been manufactured in the French Village.

4. As this man is well known as a smuggler to a great extent, and on the present occasion attempted to defraud the Circar both of the Inland and Frontier duty, I consider him a very fit example for punishment by the entire confiscation of the goods.

Cuddalore
Collector's Cutcherry
10th January 1842.

Signed E. H. Hallett
Asst Collector

Statement

1285

Fort St. George, 27th January 1842.

A Statement of Sayer Articles recommended for Confiscation in the Chowdery of Contraband Salt in the Southern Division of Arcot.

Num.	Quantity & Description of Goods	Estimated Value	Remarks
1	1	2	Shore Establishment recommended to be granted to the Officer to the Southern Division
1	8 Large and 3 Pieces of Piece Goods	235 8 "	These Cloths were seized on the 3rd November 1841 by Bandoo Reddy &c. in the limits of Pondycherry and English Village of Pieces bearing the English Loom Stamp. They are found to have been English manufacture and the attempt was made to defraud the Customs of both the inland and frontier duties.
	Frontier duty at 10 per Cent	23 8 10	

E. Fisher
Signed by H. Hallett
Acting Collr.
Ordered

1280

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To,

The Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

(40-41)

To the Collr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot.

Sir,

In Cons. 27th Jany. 1842

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 10th Instant and to convey authority for the confiscation of certain smuggled goods the seizure of which is therein reported, and the distribution of the proceeds according to the Regulations.

2. You will at the same time warn Chedumbra Pillay that on being again detected in defrauding the Customs he will be committed to the Criminal Court.

3. Referring to the observation contained in the 3d Para of your letter that "when seized many of the Cloths actually had our Sayer Loom Chop on them" it appears to the Board that had due vigilence been exercised by the Sayer Servants no Cloths bearing the Loom Chop could have been clandestinely removed without the fact being

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

being immediately discovered the object of fixing this Chop being to ensure the production of the Cloths on completion at the Sayer Chowky for the adjustment of the duty or on failure to require an explanation as to how they had been disposed of.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th January 1842. } /Signed/ R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Sr. Pl. Coll. of Tanjore } Sir,

42

I have the honor to inform you that no persons have been charged or

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

or confined under Regulation IX of 1822 during the last six Months ending in December 1841.-

Tanjore Vellum,
19th January 1842. } /Signed/ J. Bishop
Acting Pl. Collector

Abstract

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

Order thereon

(42-43)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 19th November last and entered in the Consultation 3d Instant.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

(44)

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Sec. to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly reporting upon the outstanding balances of Revenue in his District from fasly 1232 to fasly 1249 inclusive & recommending that authority may be granted for writing off to profit & loss as irrecoverable balances amounting to Rupees 9,088-7-9¾

Sir,

Para. 1.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly reporting upon the outstanding balances of Revenue in his District from Fasly 1232 to Fasly 1249 inclusive.

2. The balance of Land Revenue and Quit Rent on account of the years above

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

above stated outstanding at the close of Fasly 1250 or 11th July 1841 amounted to Rupees 14,237-1-1½

But deducting therefrom subsequent Collections up to the end of October 1841 2,673-12-1½

The Net amount of balance under this head remaining to be disposed of is 11,563-5-0

Of which the amount outstanding on account of Land Revenue is Rs. 10,371-4-11

and on account of Quit Rent Rupees 1,192-0-1

3. Of the former, the sums of Rupees 7,319-12-1¾ is stated to be entirely irrecoverable as particularly explained in Statement A. No. 3 leaving Rupees 3,051-8-9¼ to be recovered. Of this sum the Collector states that Rupees 1,490-1-2¾ can be collected without difficulty and of the remainder it is proposed to recover Rupees 361-3-8 by the sale of defaulters moveable property and Rupees 1,200-3-10½ by the sale of their lands, and adding to the latter sum Rupees 8442-9-3 due on account

account of Fusly 1250 by individuals who are also defaulters on account of previous years, the total amount of arrears proposed to be recovered by the sale of land is Rupees 1,642 - 13 - 1½. The Board are averse to the system of selling land as a general mode of recovering balances but under the Collector's explanation that in the cases selected the defalcation arises "from mismanagement on the part of the defaulters and from disputes among relations as to who is to pay," they are of opinion that an example is required to prevent Ryots from withholding without just cause the dues of Government, and they accordingly recommend that authority may be granted for the sale of the lands of the defaulters in question where it is proposed to sell personal property the Board conclude that it is with the concurrence of the defaulters.

4. The balance of Quit Rent as

stated in Para 2 amounts to Rupees 1,267 - 4 - 3 of which Rupees 1,170 - 15 are classed as irrecoverable and proposed to be written off under the explanation given in Statement A No. 4 leaving Rupees 21 - 1 - 1 which it is shewn will be recovered without difficulty.

5. The balance outstanding under the head of "miscellaneous items" amounts to Rupees 542 - 13 - 1 the whole of which is considered irrecoverable for the reasons mentioned in Statement B No. 1.

6. The balance of Succavie amounts to Rupees 101 - 15 - 7 of which it is proposed to remit Rupees 54 - 15 - 7 under the explanation given in Statement C No. 3 and the remainder Rupees 47 it is proposed to realize by the sale of land and of moveable property.

	Rs	a	p
+ Proposed to be realized by the sale of moveable property	32	6	9
Do. by the sale of land	14	9	3
	47	"	"

Under the circumstances stated

7.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

stated by the Collector the Board recommend that authority may be granted for writing off to profit and loss the irrecoverable balances referred to in this letter, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 9,088-7-9¾.

Land Revenue	7319-12-13¼
Cust Rent	1170-15-"
Miscellaneous items	542-13-1
Peccaries	54-15-7
	9088-7-9¾

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
27th January 1842.

(Signed) R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read again two letters from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 13th Ultimo and 1st Instant and entered in Consultation 3d and 20th Instant.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sirs

With reference to Para 2 of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 21st January 1841. I am directed by the Board

45

To the Chief Secy. to Govt. ...

Submitting a letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting the result of the measures taken by him

In Cons. 27th January 1842.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

of Revenue to request that you will submit for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Madura reporting the result of the measures taken by him with the view to obtain the formal surrender of the assumed Estates in his District the proprietors of which now obtain a Malikana of 10 per % upon the Net Revenue calculated on the average proceeds of the 5 years preceding their assumption.

2. From the Statement which accompanies the letter now submitted it appears that the number of Polliems under sequestration is 16 out of which the proprietors of seven the names of which are entered in the Margin have agreed to give a formal surrender of their Estates to Govern= =ment on condition of the continuance of their present

Nallacottay
Madoor
Vamachalaporam
Tavasamiddy
Pullapanaickenoor
Cholumpetty
Thadimcottay

In Cons. 20th January 1842.

him with the view to obtain the formal surrender of the assumed Estates in his District the proprietors of which now obtain a Malikana of 10 per % upon the net revenue and recommending that the consent of those Polligars who have signified their willingness to surrender their Estates for the equivalent without right to redemand them be formally accepted on the part of Government and that the right of redemption as regards the remaining Polliams by payment of the Original balance with interest be limitted to the close of fasly 1202.

present allowance of 10 per % being guaranteed to them - The proprietor of the last mentioned Pollium Thadiencottay has requested that his allowance may be increased on the plea of its insufficiency but no good reason appears why this should be done as he has subsisted on the allowance since the date of the sequestration of his Estate in Fusly 1226 a period of twenty five years.

3. Of the remaining nine Estates the proprietors of seven have declined to make a formal surrender, but are willing to allow them to remain under sequestration they receiving the commutation until such time as they can redeem them by paying off the arrears due with interest - and the proprietors of two Vadacurrai and Combay solicit the immediate restoration of their Estates on payment; the former of 2,500 Rupees and the latter of 2,000 Rupees per Annum in liquidation of the original balance, and of 500 Rs. per Annum on account of the interest due by each.

Agreeably

Ammaterah
Comaraiwaddy
Thogamalai.
Veeramalai.
Manoongapoory
Valagapully
Podapanaickmoor.

4. Agreeably to the suggestion offered in the concluding Para of the Principal Collector's letter, the Board recommend that the consent of those Poligars who have signified their willingness to surrender their Estates for the equivalent without right to re-demand them be formally accepted on the part of Government and that the right of redemption, as regards the remainining Polliems by payment of the original balance with interest be limitted to the close of Fusly 1252.

5. It will be seen from the letter noted in the Margin which you are requested to submit for the information of Government that in the event of the terms suggested in the foregoing Para being complied with on the part of the Zemindar of Podapanaiknoor, that individual will be entitled to credit to the extent of Rupees 333-3-7 per Annum as a set off against the balance due upon his Estate in consequence of the unauthorized alienation

From the Pl. Collr. of Madura 19th Novr. in Cons. 9th Decr. 841.

alienation of 302 13/16 Cawnies of Poonjah land which was assigned in Fusly 1235 for the support of certain Ghat Cavilgars "This Establishment" Mr. Blackburne observes "on a similar body of Peons is highly requisite "may nearly indespensible to the security of "that part of the Country" and in the event of the Poligar being able to pay up the balance the Board would beg to recommend that this allowance should be continued to the Cavilgars and that a corresponding reduction should be made in the permanent Peishcush of the Polliam unless it should be considered preferable to increase the regular Police force and to place the Districts adverted to more immediately under the control of the Magistrate.

21st Jany 1841. 6. Para 1 of the Minutes of Consultation referred to at the commencement of this letter, conveyed the sanction of Government for restoring to Doorasawmy Appia Naick the assumed Zemindary of Cummevadah in Dindigul on payment of the arrears due upon that property. It was however brought to the notice of the Board in

In Cons: 25th Nov: 1841.

In Cons: 6th Aug: 1841.

Proceedings 2d Dec: 1841.

in a letter from the Principal Collector dated the 6th November last that the Zemindar had been unable to resume the management of his Polliem in consequence of the order passed by Government under date 27th July last declining to relinquish the demand for interest accruing from the said arrears. The Principal Collector was in consequence requested to submit a Statement exhibiting the amount of the demand in question and the principal upon which it has been calculated which Statement was furnished with a letter dated the 13th Ultimo herewith submitted.

In Cons: 3d Jany 1842.

The total amount demandable from the Cummevada Zemindar according to this Statement appears to be Rupees 22,519-15-8 but the Board think that credit should have been given for interest on the Collections from Fusly 1226 to 1250 calculated on the same principal as the interest demanded on the balances which would

cause

cause a diminution of Rupees 4,601-3-6 in the total demand thus reducing it to Rupees 17,918-12-2 the Board would suggest that the same course should be followed in respect to the Cunnevadah Poligar as is proposed in the case of the 7 Poligars alluded to in Para 3 of this letter Viz.t that a formal surrender of the property to Government should be required on failure of payment of the arrears with interest by the close of Fusly 1252.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th January 1842

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read the following letter.

To.

J. G. B.

From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura.

Abstract shewing the surplus and deficiency of salt on plat forms on remeasurement.

Requesting Sanction for Rupees 8000 advance for Cultivation of it.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

Sir,

Para 1. In reference to the 3.d para of your letter of the 21st November 1836. I have the honor to forward a Statement of the surplus and deficiency in one / not in two / heaps in each Salt store for the current year in conformity with 18th para of the Standing rules.-

2 Substitution of the 10 for 15 Garee heap has been attended with double advantage, the reduced size leaves it more easily protected by the covering of earth during heavy Monsoons, and is more acceptable to Coraver dealers wishing to take a whole heap. I am glad to state that notwithstanding the violent rains of the late Monsoon, not a heap has been thereby injured.

3 The Salt pans situated on

on the coast of the Ramnad and Shivagunga Zemindaries, away from the more immediate control of the Collector, are much exposed to depradation of spontaneous salt by the Marava and Kuller inhabitants. The temp=
=tation too, is great, for the salt establishment necessarily congregated near the pans, can=
=not watch the extensive intermediate limits in which the condiment is spontaneously produced. At times they come in such numbers as to put at utter defiance the peon guards, to meet the difficulty I have supplied the Rezalah peons with ball cartridges for their muskets, but any considerable expense ought to be preferred to the risk of effusion of blood. With this view, and at the same time to ensure a manifold return of profit even in the first year. I recommended the
12th Febry 1841. communication of prices of still back salt water with the Sea, by means of a channel to the Board in their Department of public
1st March Works. The answer was neither (as far as

I could see) an acceptance or refusal, but a postponement sine die. I again brought it
25th August to the Board's notice in my report on the
extra revenue of last fusly, and was told
21st October that they had already declined accept=
=ance of my proposition in their Depart=
=ment of public Works, and from the subse=
19th November =quent minutes of Council on that report=
I was sorry to find it had not elicited a remark, and construe therefrom it was not deemed worthy of any consideration.
I have advanced the sum from my own funds, and if the plan succeeds I shall perhaps do myself the honor of mention=
=ing the fact at some future period. If it fails the loss is mine and the Channel be=
=ing led thro' waste, I injure no one by the trial.

4 I am sorry to think the
measure of withholding advances for cul=
=tivation has not been attended with the same success in the Salt as in arable lands. I do not know whether to attribute
this

this partial failure in my proceedings —
to great penury and to worse seasons, or
to having pressed it forward too rapidly.
Be that as it may, they have still recourse
to usurious money lenders, and on assuring
— the Board that I trust after
a second or third year to find the
aid unnecessary. I beg they will procure
me sanction for advancing them at
the rate of 20 Rupees per Curray —
which will be deducted from what
— — is — — they would otherwise
have to receive in purchase of their
salt — the advance not to exceed Rupees
8,000. I hope the Board will excuse my
observing

observing that to make this advance
of full avail, it must be im-
-mediate.

5. I have met with no
other complaint of the salt ryots
other than usual ones of hope for
an increased pay for their salt,
and permission to increase their
manufacture.

Madura,
Tondi, — — —
1st January 1842.

/Signed/ J. Blackburne.
Pt. Collector.

Statement.

1306 & 1207.

Fort St. George 27th. January 1842.

A Statement of Surplus and deficiency found on examination of Salt heaps in December in the District of Madura for fusly 1251. A.D 1841/42.

No.	Names of the Pans.	Surplus			Deficiency.			Remarks.
		Garce	Mds.	M	Garce	Mds.	M	
1	Taracoody	"	184	"	"	"	"	
2	Mookyoor	"	64	"	"	"	"	
3	Moodooragoonathapatam	"	"	"	"	72	"	
4	Vaulenokum	"	36	"	"	"	"	
5	Morecolum	"	100	"	"	"	"	
6	Authenkurray	"	4	"	"	"	"	
7	Vyravanadapatam	"	"	"	"	20	"	
8	Oopoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	no Surplus nor deficiency.
9	Ogundangoody	"	"	"	"	75	"	
10	Manacoody	"	"	"	"	100	"	
11	Sholeacoody	"	"	"	"	40	"	
12	Vullanum	"	"	"	"	"	"	No Salt manufactured in consequence of the large quantity of last year remaining unsold.
13	Caleanagherry	"	"	"	"	80	"	
14	Thethandathanum	"	"	"	"	64	"	

Madura,
Tondi,
1st. January 1842.

[Signed] J. Blackburne.
Pt. Collector.

Resolved.

Resolution thereon.

46-47

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the Principal Collector may receive authority, under the circumstances represented in Para 4. to make advances to the Salt Manufacturers in his district at the rate of 20 Rupees per Curray to be deducted from the cost price of the Salt delivered by them, the advances not to exceed Rupees 8,000.

The Board disapprove of the adoption of the practice alluded to in Para 3 of the foregoing letter of supplying the Resalah peons employed to prevent the collection of spontaneous salt on the Coast of Shevaganga and Ramanad with Ball Cartridges, and they resolve to instruct the Principal collector to cause it to be forthwith discontinued.—

Read

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Pr. Coll. of Coimbatore. } Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract

48

from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th October directing the Porto novo Ploughs remaining in this Office to be disposed of by auction, in the event of their not being required by the american Cotton Planters located in this District.

2. In reply I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that Captain Hughes having

having stated his opinion that these Ploughs, Viz.t 51 in number, were too heavy for agricultural purposes, and consequently of no use to the Establish=ment under his superin=tendence, they were disposed of by auction at Coimbatore and at Jackanary on the Neelgherries, and the amount sale pro=ceeds appears in the margin.—

Sale proceeds of 9 ploughs vide letter to Board 17th September 1841 — 34-14-4

Sale proceeds of 51 Ploughs as disposed of. 89-14-6.

124-12-10

Coimbatore, Camp Tirpoor 2d December 1841. } Signed J. C. Wroughton. Pt. Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

(48-49)

Read again letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 21st Ultimo and entered in Cons.s 13th Instant.

Mr.

Proceedings thereon. Mr. Conolly has not=

(50)

furnished information of the rates pres=cribed by the Cowlenamah of the Dis=trict for waste land in the Neilgherries when occupied by natives, and he will accordingly be pleased to state in what manner waste land is taken for agriculture by the Burgher inhabitants.

When a survey of the Ground proposed to be occupied by Mr. Groves is completed, it will be necessary to compare its capability with that of similar Ground in the nearest Burgher Villages and when the accounts of this survey, together with the plan of the whole Ground, is submit=ted as required by the Government. The Board desire that a statement of the standard assessment of the different classes of land in these Burgher Villages may be fur=nished, shewing at the same time the average rate of assessment in the division

From Govt. 20th July in Cons.s 2d August 1841.

of

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

of the Hills within which the land applied for is situated. Mr. Conolly will also state in what manner the lands assessed at so low a rate as as pice 6 – 6 per Cawney are usually cultivated and what proportion the assessment of lands below the 4th Class bear to the rates of assessment annually brought to the account of Government above that Class. He will also distinctly state what road, and thoroughfares will be reserved for public use, and to what Villages and places they lead.

Read

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Read the following letter:-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

51

From the Collr of Malabar. Reporting on the Extra Sources of Revenue in his District for Fasly 1250.

Salt

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith the Comparative Statements of the Extra Sources of Revenue of this District for Fasly 1250.

2

It is satisfactory to observe that this branch of Revenue continues in a flourishing state. The Gross Collections of the year under review amounts to Rupees 3,49,979-

	Fasly 1248	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	3,45,108 11 8	3,46,508 13 8	3,49,979 8	3378 11 8	" " "
Charges for the Purchase & Manufacture	1,79,147 10	1,12,708	1,14,755 6 9	" " "	952 9 11
D° Superintendence and Sale	10,372 12 6	8,048 7 9	10,958 9 7	2910 1 10	" " "
Total Charges	1,08,320 6 6	1,20,756 8	1,25,714	1957 8	" " "
Net Revenue	2,36,788 4 2	2,25,752 5	2,24,265 8	" 1421 2 8	" " "
Per Centages of the Charges	31 62	34 135/2	35/	" " "	" " "

Including Rs 11-11-9 a/c account of Extra Revenue.

See Statement No 4.

8 – 4 exhibiting an excess of Rupees 3,378
10 – 8 over the results of the antecedent
Fasly – The quantity of Salt
Sold in 1250 was ——— 3,339 – 232 – 5
in 1249 ——— 3,305 – 193 – 4
Increase in 1250 ——— 34 – 39 – 1

But

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

Salt Sold.—

In 1245	2063–167–	But were the sales of 1250 con-
1246	2663–173–4	trasted with the average of
1247	3265–86–.	the five previous years, the
1248	3292–81–9	result would have been an
1249	3305 1934	excess of 261 Garce in favor
Total	15389 241 5	of the current year— Of the
Average	3077 3683	quantity disposed of, 1536–61–8
In 1250	3339 2326	was for exportation to other
Increase	261 2642	Districts & 1803–170–9 for

home consumption, the

former exhibiting an increase of 213–71–8

and the latter a decrease of 179–32–1/2

compared with the similar sales

of 1249— The difficulty experienced in

1249 in procuring Salts for the use

of the Manufactory in consequence

of which the stock in hand at the

different Depôts was on several occasions very

low) had inspired in the minds of some of the

inland Salt Merchants the fear of a scarcity

which induced them to buy and hoard up large

supplies, the best part of which however

remained

See Statement 865

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

remained to be retailed in 1250 which produced

the deficiency on the sales for home consump-

tion above noticed.

3 The quantity of Salt purchased for

the use of the Monopoly amounted to 3708–198

Lt, which at the average rate of 30–2–1 71 3/4/100 cost

the Government Rupees 111755–6–9—

Quantity purchased.—		
In 1250	3,708–198–1	
1249	2997–94–.	
Increase	711–104–1	

Although the above quantity

exceeds the purchase of 1249

by 711–104–1, the reduction

effected in the purchase price, aver-

=raging Rupees 7–7–6 57/100 per Garce, has

occasioned a decrease in the disbursements

on account of "Charges of Purchase" of Rupees

952–9–10— There has however been an

increase of Rupees 2,918–1–10 in the Charges

for "Superintendence and Sale," which arises

entirely from the sum of Rupees 3319–15–6

being the value of Nineteen sets of Weights

and Scales, supplied by the Government

of Bombay, having under instructions

from the Accountant General, been

debited

Dated 13th January 1842

debited against that branch of Revenue
These Weights and Scales were indented
for by my Predecessor in November 1839 —
for the purpose of carrying into the orders
of Government, communicated in the Board's
Proceedings dated the 19th September of
that year — of receiving and issuing Salt by
weight, but the difficulty of introduc-
-ing the proposed system was found
to be so great that it was with
the Board's sanctions, so much modi-
-fied as to be all but abandoned —
Had it not been for this charge, the
result of the ordinary expenses con-
-nected with the Monopoly, would have
been a decrease of Rupees 409 — 13 — 8
instead of the increase above noticed.
The Weights and Scales will however
sooner or later be all brought to
profitable use. —

† See letter to the Board's dated the 27th January 1841 and Ans^r dated the 18th Feb^y

4 This excess in the charges has reduced the — increase of Revenue advertised

advertised to in Para 2, from Rupees 3,370 — 10 — 8
to Rupees 1421 — 2 — 8, the latter sum
being the excess in the Net Revenue
of 1250 over that of 1249 —

Net Revenue		
of 1250	2,27,265 — 8 —	
" 1249	2,25,844 — 5 — 4	
Increase	1421 — 2 — 8	

5

	Increase Decrease	Sunday 1250	Sunday 1249	Increase Decrease
Gross Collections	20226 — 2 —	11,52,852 9 4	11,32,626 7 4	
Charges for the Cultivation & Manufacture	1028 — 10 — 8	2,14,932 9	2,13,903 14 1	
Do Superintendance and Sales	285 — 8 — 4	24,366 8 4	24,081 15 4	
Total Charges	1313 — 3 —	2,39,298 1 4	2,37,985 2 —	
Net Revenue	18913 — 15 — 11	9,13,554 8	9,32,640 15 11	
Per Centage on the charges	2 : 12 8	2 : 0 0	2 : 12 8	

The quantity of Tobacco disposed of in 1250 amounted to 6 M 8 2994 — 17 — 17 being 6 M 8 114 — 10 — 32 less than the last of the antecedent year, hence the decrease of Rupees

† Including a decrease of Rupees 195 — 5 — 4 on account of Extra Revenue —

20226 — 2 — in the Gross Collection —
This falling off is attributable to the
Tobacco supplied for the use of the
Monopoly, having, from some failure
in the crop, I believe in Coimbatore
been of a more than usually inferior
quality

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

quality – Complaints of this inferiority came from every Talook and personal investiga-
tion proved their correctness – I could not have had more extended sales except by a recourse to oppressive and unjustifiable measures – on this subject, and that of the Tobacco Monopoly generally, I have however written in detail in my letter of the 6th October 1841 – Large as the decrease

1239	5	0	1335	3 1
1240	4	3	04	85 10 11
1241	4	4	0370	13 1
1242	4	5	5739	7 4
1243	4	4	3288	5 3
1244	4	4	9754	– 4
1245	4	8	7964	11 2
1246	5	0	6277	15 6
1247	5	2	1406	12 8
1248	5	2	2721	1 11
Total	47	5	9347	2 –
Average	4	7	5934	11 5
1250	5	2	5852	9 7
Increase 1250	4	9	917	14 2

appears to be, the receipt from this source of Revenue may, however, still be said to be good, for by the Memorandum inserted in the margin it will be seen that it is Rupees 4,9917-14-2 above the average of the 10 years antecedent to Fasly 1249-

5. The excess of Rupees 532-11-8 in the charges of purchase proceeds entirely from an increase in the Price. In 1249 the price charged by the Principal Collector

+ Forcing Talsildars to sell a certain quantity – giving peculiar privileges to persons who contract to take defined and large supplies – practices which were formerly much in use and which have only lately been altogether discontinued.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

Collector of Coimbatore averaged Rupees 30-16-8 9½/100 per Candy, whilst the average for 1250 is Rupees 33-3-4 76/100 exceeds Rupees 2-3-8 66 7½/100 per Candy. In the quantity of Tobacco actually supplied in 1250 for the Monopoly, there was a decrease of 52-18-2 +6 M Pds

7. The Decrease in the Superintendence amounting to Rupees 1660-7-4, is in the item of Extra charges or rather charges for conveying Tobacco from Coimbatore to the Depôts in the Ba-
-bar. The Bandies and Bullocks required for this purpose appear to have been ob-
-tained in Coimbatore on more favora-
-ble terms in 1250 than in the preceding year.

Rs 2,226-2- 8 The Decrease in the Grass Collections added to the net Increase in the Charges (Rs 4654-4-4) as shewn in the Statement appended to Page 6, have occasioned a deficiency in the Revenue derived from this source amounting to Rs 24890-6-4. The

Net Revenue –			
of 1249	4	06,787	15 3
1250	3	81,897	8 11
Decrease	24	890	6 4

+ Fasly 1249 = 1840 – 41 = 5050 – 6 – 20
1251 = 3353 – 8 – 5
Decrease 52 – 18 – 2½

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	Decrease
Land Customs—				
Gross Collection	13,92,4 4 0	13,32,1 5 8	" "	3,003 1 5
Charges of Collection	13,574 5 8	12,492 8 4	" "	3,082 8 11
Commutation	1082 1 11	883 2 5	" "	198 2 6
Drawbacks	20,840 15 8	33,708 13 4	12,868 13 4	" "
Total Charges	14,595 5 4	14,290 11 8	" "	304 9 8
Net Collection	13,97,8 0 0	13,17,8 3 8	" "	79,997 1 4
Sea Customs—				
Gross Collection	5,30,4 6 11	4,96,8 5 5	" "	33,578 1 6
Charges	39 9 10	32 3 4	30 10 4	" "
Per Centage of the Charges	7 4	6 6	" "	1 2

9 The change in the system of valuing Piece Goods, brought by Land into this District, which was put in force immediately on the receipt of the Board's sanction dated the 5th November 1840, has had the effect of producing a decrease of Rupees 4,769–10–11 in the amount of duty leviable on this article. The remaining portion of the decrease in the Gross Collection exhibited in the foregoing marginal Statement falls entirely upon Bullion.

10 The unfavorable season noticed in Para 2 of my Report on the Land Revenue had so much affected the produce of this article that there was a great deficiency in the quantity exported, the duty realizable thereon consequently fell shortly Rupees 7,990–8–10, more than half of which however was made up by the increase which sundry other Articles yielded.

10 The increase of Rupees 664–8–11 in the "Charges of Collection" is nominal it arises from the cause stated in the concluding part of Para 6 of the Report for 1249 namely the arrangement introduced by the late acting Collector of paying all District Servants by the English instead of the Malabar Months, by which the disbursements for 1249 comprized the Pay for 11½ Months only instead of twelve, which latter period the accounts for 1250, embrace.

11 The Decrease of Rupees 130 2–2 under the Head of Commission arises from the discontinuance of the charge since Mr. Clementson's departure

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

Fort St. George 27th January 1842

to England.-

12 An augmented exportation of Piece Goods part of which formed the stock of the previous year, caused the increase of Rupees 4,957-13-4 a/c= =parent in the disbursements on account of Drawback- This excess, together with the decrease in the Gross Collections ac= =count for the deficiency of Rupees 13,379 5 in the Net Revenue.-

Sea Customs.- 13 If a reference be made to Para 13 of the Re= =port for 1249 it will be seen that this branch of Revenue yielded in that year an increase of Rupees 1,774-1-8 the decrease of Rs 1338-8-1 which has

	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
Gross Collections	25,42,947 9 "	26,81,071 10 8	26,47,332 7	" " "	1338 8 1
Charges of Collection	24,918 10 2	23,749 11 8	22,887 13 10	" " "	861 13 10
Commission	1,569 15 1	962 1 7	765 9 8	" " "	197 7 11
Drawback	35,103 10 10	40,032 7 5	23,119 7 "	" " "	16,913 " 5
Total Charges	61,592 4 1	64,744 4 8	46,771 14 6	" " "	17,972 6 2
Net Revenue	19,27,05 4 11	20,13,276 " 4	21,79,964 1	16,633 4 1	" "
Per centage of the Charges	2 4 3 8	2 4 5 4	1 7 10 8	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.-

has occurred in the Gross Collection of the year under review is not therefore deserving of much consideration- The result would have been far different if the diminution (occasioned by unfa= =vourable season) in the produce of one of the principal staples of the District Viz. Cocoanuts, had not given rise to a decrease of nearly 20,000 Rupees in the duties leviable on Cocoanuts, Cajaras and Cocanut Oil, for all which there was a fair demand throughout the year- Fortunately, Pepper, Timber and Sundry other articles nearly made up the difference.-

14 Of the Decrease of Rupees 861-13-10 in the "Charges of Collection" Rupees 494-2-2 is on account of repairs of Cus= =tom Houses, the residue in other items of Extra charges- The decrease in "Commission" is already explained in Para 11- The decrease opposite to Drawback is

Rs 197-7-11

is considerable — no less than Rupees 18,913 — 9 — 6 the cause of which is that much of the Pepper taken to Bombay was not immediately re-exported to the United Kingdom — Many of the Drawback Certificates also were not presented for payment until after the close of the Fusly — so that a considerable excess is expected in the present year's disbursements on this account.

A great quantity was exported towards the close of the season —

6 The decrease in the charges of all descriptions above noticed, has had the effect of producing an increase of Rupees 18,533 — 14 — 1 in the Net Revenue, which in 1250 was Rupees 2,17,965 — 4 — 1, whereas in 1249 it was only Rupees 2,01,327 — 5 — .

Abkarry.

	Fusly 1248			Fusly 1249			Fusly 1250			Increase			Decrease		
Settlement	60,553	8	1	58,574	8	10	58,776	1	1	101	8	3	.	.	.
Gross Collections	61,424	8	3	58,712	14	5	59910	10	1	1197	11	8	.	.	.
Charges	610	1	11	373	14	2	361	2	2	.	.	.	12	12	.
Net Revenue	60811	6	4	58339	.	3	59548	7	11	1210	7	8	.	.	.
Per centage of the Charges				15	10	.	10	2¼	.	4	8	.	.	.	.	.	.

16 The Settlement of 1250 of this branch of Revenue was so little with

In Arrack — Farm Rented — Rs. 97 — .
Tax on Knives and stills.
Sumanie — 1 — 8 — 3.
Rupees — 101 — 8 — 3.

with that of 1249. (the difference being only an increase of Rupees 101 — 8 — 3.) that I shall at once proceed to notice the Gross Collection. The Amount realized within the year on account of the Current Revenue was 58,521 — 13 — 1.

On account of Balances of Fusly 1249 — 1,388 — 13 — .

Total Rs. 5991 — 10 — 1.

so that there has been an increase of Rupees 1279 — 12 — 8. in the Current, and a decrease of Rupees 82 — 1 — . on account of Balance, giving a net increase of Rupees 1197 — 11 — 8. in the Gross, and an increase of Rupees 1210 — 7 — 8. in the net Revenue by reason of a trifling decrease of Rupees 12 — 12 — . in the Charges —

17. At the close of the Fusly, there was a small outstanding Balance of Rupees 254 — 1 — . of which Rupees 115 — . — 7. has since been realized.

Farms and Licences.

	Fusly 1248.			Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250.			Increase.			Decrease.		
Settlement	37,858	1	10.	81,255.	6	6.	79,105.	2	.	.	.	.	11,150	1	6.
Gross Collections.	47,966.	15	1.	83,303.	5	11.	79,017.	2	.	.	.	.	12,286.	3	11.
Charges	38,382.	3	5.	8,850.	12.	7.	10,483.	7	.	1,632	10	5.	.	.	.
Net Revenue	9,584	11	8.	73,452.	9	11	59,533.	11	.	.	.	.	13,918.	14	4
Per Centage of the Charges	80.	.	8.	10.	12	½	14.	16	6½	.	.	.	.	.	.

18. The decrease of Rupees 11,150 — 1 — 6. apparent in the Settlement of this branch of Revenue

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Revenue arises entirely from the Cardamom Crops of Fusly 1249. and 1250. having been unusually scanty. the total produce of the two years was no more than 91—6—1. whereas the produce of 1248 (brought to account in 1249.) was 115 6 M 1—19. To this Cause alone is, therefore, attributable the decrease above noticed. The other items, it will be seen by the subjoined Memorandum, evince a contrary result.

	M	tb
1249	44	4 6
1250	47	1 23
	91	6 1

Rented

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

	1249.	1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
Rented	Ferry Farms	32,180. "	35,322. 4	"	2,542 4
	Hill Produce	2,071. 2 4	2,352 13 4	"	281. 11 "
	Sub	34,851. 2 4	37,675. 1 4	2,823. 15 "	"
Annamie	Cardamoms	43036. 6 4	29062 2 10.	"	" 13974 3 6
	Hill Produce	1,586. 4 6.	1586. 4 6.	"	" "
	Gold Dust	1,781. 6 4	1,781. 6 4	"	" "
	Sub	46,404 4 2	32,430. " 8.	"	" 13974 3 6
Grand Total		81,255. 6 6.	70,105. 2 "	"	" 11,150 4 6

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

19. The Collection on account of Current Revenue amounted to Rupees 69,868—10—⅔. or Rupees 11,238—4—6. below the similar Collection of 1249. arising chiefly from a Corresponding decrease (Minus 88 Rupees) in the demand. In the Collection of Arrears also there has been a small decrease of Rupees 40—8—⅔ these two items, together with the sum of Rupees 10 7 5, the Extra Revenue included in the Gross Collection of 1249. give the decrease of Rupees 12,286. 3—11. exhibited in the Marginal Statement.

20. The increase in the charges Amounting to Rupees 1,632—10—5. proceeds from the circumstance of the disbursements made in 1250. to the Cardamom Hill Proprietors being inclusive of a sum of Rupees 3,940—8—7 due to them for the Cardamoms of the antecedent year 1249. Owing to these two Causes the Net Revenue

† No such receipt occurred in 1250—

‡ Rs. 8,959—8—2

* See Para 21 of the Report for 1249.

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Demand—70,106. 2 "
Collection. 69,868 10 "
Balance—236—8 "

Revenue of 1250. has fallen below that of 1249. to the extent of Rupees 13,918—4—4.

21. The whole of the Balance remaining to be collected at the end of Fusly Vizt Rs. 236—8—⅔ has since been realized.

Marginal Statement.

	Fusly 1250.	Fusly 1249.	Increase. Decrease.
Gross Collections.	55,225. 11 6.	52,651. 5 6.	2,574. 6 "
Charges.	4,795. 13 6.	4,984. 10 "	188. 12 "
Net Revenue.	50,429. 13 "	47,666. 11 6.	2,763. 1 6.
Per Centage of the Charges.	9 1 0	9 6 0	0 5 0

22— By the subjoined Memorandum, it will be perceived that the greatest portion of the decrease exhibited in the Marginal Statement falls on the sale of stamped Paper, which depends a great deal upon the extent and number of suits filed in the Civil Courts.

The Gross Collection of 1250 tho' below that of 1249 by Rupees 5,621—8—6. is above the result of 1248. to the extent of Rupees 2,574. 6 ". Sales

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.—

	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
Sales of Stamped Paper	33,863 12 "	29,981 4 "	" " "	3,882 8 "
Do. of Cadjans	8,480 4 "	7,445 4 "	" " "	1,035 " "
Ditto Sayer Rowanahs	5,490 9 "	5,338 16 "	" " "	151 10 "
Ditto Sea Custom Ditto	10,336 8 "	9,664 2 "	" " "	672 6 "
Penalty realized by the Courts	2,465 " "	2,312 8 "	" " "	152 8 "
Value of Stamps recovered from Paupers	115 12 "	464 " "	348 4 "	" " "
Fees on Europe stamped Papers	23 2 "	19 10 6	" " "	3 7 6
Extra Collections	72 6 "	" " "	" " "	72 5 "
Total	60,847 4 "	55,225 11 6	348 4 "	5,969 12 6
			Deduct Increase	348 4 "
			Net Decrease	5,621 8 6

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

23. I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of the Salt Farm of Moturpilla for the Fusly 1250, and to state that the Net Revenue of that year has been less than that of the preceding year by Rupees 348-11-" as will be seen by the following abstract.

Moturpilla.

	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
Settlement.	11,327 12	2 1,10,580 12	1 1,10,927 7	1: 346 11 "	" " "
Gross Collection	11,576. 7	10. 1,10,370. 13	2. 1,09,46. 9	6 " " "	224. 3 9.
Charges	1,063. 12	11. 651. 14	9. 518. 1	6. " " "	133. 13 3.
Net Revenue	10,512. 10	11. 1,09,718 14	5. 1,09,428. 7	11. " " "	90. 6 6.
Per Centage of the Charges	" 15 3	" 9 5.	" 7 6½	" " "	" " "

Deducted 348 11 " as per Collector's Letter dated 24th ultimo.

Moturpilla is a small decrease of Rupees 348-11-" as per Collector's letter dated 24th ultimo. The Collector has been requested to state the reasons why the Gross Collection of the present year has been less than that of the preceding year by Rupees 348-11-" as will be seen by the following abstract.

Moturpilla.

	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
Settlement.	11,327 12	2 1,10,580 12	1 1,10,927 7	1: 346 11 "	" " "
Gross Collection	11,576. 7	10. 1,10,370. 13	2. 1,09,46. 9	6 " " "	224. 3 9.
Charges	1,063. 12	11. 651. 14	9. 518. 1	6. " " "	133. 13 3.
Net Revenue	10,512. 10	11. 1,09,718 14	5. 1,09,428. 7	11. " " "	90. 6 6.
Per Centage of the Charges	" 15 3	" 9 5.	" 7 6½	" " "	" " "

In reply the Collector has stated, in many Moturpilla villages the Ryots were dissatisfied, and refused to pay the Assessment of 1250, as directed by the Circular Orders issued by the Board, and in consequence of this unwillingness and refusal afforded no relief to the Ryots by exempting them from the payment of the Tax, they could ill afford to discharge. This unwillingness and refusal to pay the Assessment, is the principal cause of any loss to Government, for the Tax levied on these Villages

Ryots

Fort St. George 27th January 1812.

Shops and professional implements — not only fully covered the remissions — thus granted, but produced the Net increase above noticed. this mode of — Settlement is attended with the fur- -ther advantage of facilitating the rea- -lization of the Revenue derived from this — source as demonstrated by the fact of only Rupees 924 — 14 — 10. having remained out- -standing at the termination of the Fusly, out of a demand of Rupees 1,10,927 — 7 — 1. and from the above Balance of Rupees 924 — 14 — 10, Rupees 803 — 13 — 5. have since been collected, leaving the trifling Amount of Rupees 121 — 1 — 5 to be hereafter realized. The collection on account of Current Revenue was 1,10,02 — 8 — 3.

On account of Arrears of 1249 ——— 1414 — 1 — 2.

Total Rupees 1,10,146 — 9 — 5

the

Fort St. George 27th January 1812.

1249. Current	1,10,358	3 7
Arrears	12	9 7
	1,10,370	13 2
1250 ———	1,10,146	9 5.
	224	3 9.

the trifling decrease of Rupees 224 - 3 - 9. in the Gross collection is accordingly on account of Current Revenue, which in 1249 was —

Rupees 1,10,358 — 3 — 7.

Grand Total

	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase.	Decrease.
Gross Collections ———	15,25,084 — 14 — 9.	16,02,310. "	16,59,196 — 11 — 2	" "	" 43,113. 5 4.
Charges ———	393083 "	10,35,1949. 7	9,37,7409	10. 3 "	" " 1,539. 13 6.
Net Revenue ———	11,32,001. 13. 11.	12,20,360. 8 9.	11,81,787. " 11	" "	" 38,573. 7 10.
Per Centage of the Charges ———	25 12 5.	23. 13 11	24 3 3.	" "	" " " "

in the Gross Collection, and of Rupees 38,573 — 7 —

10. in the Net Revenue, arising from — causes which I trust however have been satisfactorily explained, and when it is considered that the year 1249. was — a particularly favorable one, the deficiency which has occurred in the following

Gross Collection.	
of 1248 ———	15,25,084 — 14 — 9.
" 1250 ———	16,59,196 — 11 — 2.
Increase ———	34,111 — 12 — 5
Net Revenue.	
of 1248 ———	11,32,001 — 13 — 11.
" 1250 ———	11,81,787 — " — 11.
Increase ———	49,785 — 3 — "

25. Jan

Sorry that the result of the year as com- -pared with that of 1249 shews a decrease of Rupees 4,3,113 — 5 — 4.

Fusly

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Fusly 1250 will not I hope, subject the ad-
administration of the Extra Sources of Re-
-venue to the disapprobation of the Board and
Government - But as the average of a few antece-
-dent years is the best criterion by which to
judge of the present state of the District, I beg
to insert in the Margin the Gross Collections and Net Revenue realized during the ten preceding years - Were a Comparison drawn between 1250 and the average for that period, the result would stand thus

	Gross Collection.			Net Revenue.		
1240	12,94,699	9	8.	10,29,964	12	6.
1241	13,55,908	9	6.	10,73,003.	9	"
1242	13,18,650.	12	5.	10,25,418	10	4
1243	13,22,287.	11	"	10,36,795.	"	10.
1244	13,63,588.	3	11.	10,83,522	8	7.
1245	14,16,155	14	6.	11,39,465.	7	1.
1246	14,91,640.	14	5.	11,86,361	14	6.
1247	15,14,863.	13	1.	11,87,901.	4	11.
1248	16,25,084	14	9.	11,32,001.	13	11.
1249	16,02,310.	"	6.	12,20,360.	8	9.
Total	14,20,189.	13	9.	111,13,798.	"	5.
Average.	14,20,318.	15	9.	111,379.	12	10.
1250.	1559,196.	11	2	11,81,787.	"	11.
Increase.	1,38,677.	11	5.	70,407.	14	1.

Increase in favor of 12.50. in the Gross Collection,
Rupees ____ 1,38,677 - 11 - 5

in the Net Revenue Rupees ____ 70,407 14 1

At

7th January 1.

2. Accou

Outstanding Balance 26.

At the commen

Fusly 1250. the amount outstanding on

of Extra Sources of Revenue wa

No. 6.

in the accompanying Statement, Re

from which Rupees 1631-6-2. was

the course of the year, leaving a

a balance of Rupees 3316-8-1.

of this Rupees 2,699-7-10, is the balance due by the late Maroan Poly Chandu, the Sheristadary Renter of 1246.

+ 2,308-7-10 is doubtful, and Rupees

-able- the latter amount I beg to

may be written off to Profit and

Malabar Collector's Office,
Calicut 31st December 1841.

/Signed/ H. V. Co
Collector

P.S. I regret that a great press

prevented the earlier despatch of

Ditto

1242.

17

Custom

ry 1 7 5 11 7

all

3. 11. 8 9. 2

15 6 4 4. 9

13

Fort St. George

27th January 1842.

nt. of arrears of Revenue (Land Revenue excepted) in the Province of Malabar of Fusly 1250.

5.	6.	7	8	9.	10.	11.	12	13.	14.	15.	16	17
Ditto Ditto Fusly 1242.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1243.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1244.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1245	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1246	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1247.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1248.	Total from Col. 5 to 11	Collection on account of arrears up to Fusly 1248.	Remission on Balance of account of arrears up to Fusly 1248.	Balance on account of Fusly 1249.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1241.	Ditto Ditto Fusly 1242.
45 11 7	49 15 5	49 14 10	49 6 9	343 2 10	43 11 "	43 11 5	677 10 7	" " "	" " "	13 5 2	38 11 7	45 11 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2400 " "	" " "	" " "	2400 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8 9 2	8 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8 " "	22 11 3	35 4 7	119 3 11	" " "	" " "	15 3 11	8 9 2	" " "
54 4 9	58 11 6	62 " 7	57 15 11	2751 2 10	66 6 3	79 " "	3196 14 6	" " "	" " "	13 5 2	53 15 6	54 4 9

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

Balance on account of Fasly 1243.	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1244.	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1245.	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1246.	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1247.	Ditto Ditto Fasly 1248.	Total Balance.	Arrears of Fasly 1249.	Collection in Fasly 1250 on account of 1249.	Balance of 1249.	Total Balance of Col.s 24 and 27.
18	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.
49. 15 5	49. 14 10	49. 6 9	343. 2 10	43. 11 "	43 11 5	677. 10 7	1,432. 8 5	1,388 13 "	43 11 5	721. 6 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2,400. " "	" " "	" " "	2,400. " "	148. 8 "	148 8 "	" " "	2,400 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
8. 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8. " "	22 11 3	35. 4 7	119. 3 11	219 15 4	144. 1 2	75 14 2	195. 2 1.
58 11 6.	62 " 7	57 15. 11	2,751. 2 11	66 6 3.	79. " "	3196 14 6	1,800 15 9.	1681. 6 2	119 9 7	3,316. 8 1.

Calicut the 11th July 1841.

E. E. P. / Signed / H. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement.

Fort St. George 27th January 1812

4 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt purchased and sold in the Province of Malabar in Fusliee 1249 and 1250.

Fusly 1249

es of the Golahs.	Balance of the previous years.	Quantity purchased in 1249.	Kuttavah found in 12-49.	Total.	Deduct Wastage.	Net Quantity.	Sales: Quantity.	Rate per Garce.	Value.
anore	337 213 3½	294 313 4	" "	80 "	632 206 7½	5 66 8	627 139 11½	492 73 4	105 " "	71,679 4 "
								667 35 "	105 " "	70,009 3 "
icherry	163 285 1½	761 45 "	" "	186 8	925 116 9½	6 31 8	919 85 1½			
								7 86 8	34 14 $\frac{343}{100}$	252 " "
cut and Mambaat	478 118 5½	339 299 "	" "	" "	818 17 5½	5 248 4	812 169 1½	514 282 4	105 " "	54,044 2 "
re	" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
ng	556 285 6	1579 223 4	13 86 8	2149 195 6	" 60 "	2149 135 6	1567 193 4	105 " "	164,585 12 "
ughaut	35 358 5	21 13 4	" 120 "	57 91 9	" " "	57 91 9	55 316 8	105 " "	5,858 2 "
hin	" 260 "	1 " "	" "	1 260 "	" " "	1 260 "	1 6 "	105 " "	106 10 7
icated Salt	" " "	" " "	" "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Total	1572 320 9½	2997 94 "	111 73 4	4,524 88 1½	17 6 8	4,567 81 5½	3,305 193 4	" " "	346,535 1 7

Fort St. George

27th January 1842.

July 1250.

Balance of the previous years	Quantity purchased in 1250	Kuparah found in 1250	Total	Deduct Wastage	Net Quantity
135 66 7½	643 336 8	1 156 3	780 159 11½	4 146 8	776 13 3½
244 363 5½	706 268 4	" 223 4	952 55 1½	5 323 4	948 71 9½
297 286 9½	1052 203 1	" " "	1350 29 10½	1 173 4	1340 316 6½
" " "	33 4	" " "	33 4	" " "	33 4
573 342 2	1241 340 "	2 70 "	1817 352 2	" 63 4	1817 288 10
8 175 1	63 216 8	" 56 8	72 448 5	" " "	72 448 5
1 254 "	" " "	" " "	1 254 "	" " "	1 254 "
" " "	" " "	10 "	10 "	" " "	10 "
1261 288 1½	3708 198 1	4 116 8	4974 202 10½	11 366 8	4962 236 2½

Calicut 11th July 1841.

E. E. Ps. / Signed / A. V. Conolly
Collector

Statement

Comparison between the Quantity purchased.

Sales. Quantity	Rate per Garce	Value	Increase	Decrease
527 360 "	105 " "	55429 8 "	349 23 4	" " "
359 195 "	105 " "	90246 3 "	" " "	54 176 8
8 270 "	25. 10 1 57½/15	222 5 10		
509 339 9	105 " "	53534 2 10	712 304 1	" " "
" 33 4	105 " "	8. 12 "	" 33 4	" " "
1396 63 4	105 " "	146596 10 "	" " "	337 283 4
36 130 "	105 " "	3814 2 "	42 203 4	" " "
1 31 "	105 " "	113 3 11	" " "	1 " "
" 10 "	105 " "	2 10 "	" " "	" " "
3339 232 5	" " "	349967 9 7	1104 161 1	393 60 "

Deduct Decrease 393 60 "

Net Increase 711 101 1

1342

Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

No. 5. Statement shewing the Inland Districts &c. for transport of Salt from the Golahs in Malabar in Fusly 1249 & 1250 as well as the sales for Home Consumption.

Stations at which Golahs are established in Malabar.	District and places to which Salt was carried.																	
	Coorg by the Hegala Pass.			Mysore from Cannanore and Tellicherry by Periah Pass. from Calicut by the Tambericherry Pass and from Mambaat by the Carcoor Pass.			Coimbatore by Palgaut.			Neelgherries by the Carcoor Pass.			The French settlement of Mahe by Sea.			Total.			Sold for Home consumption.			Total Sales.		
1250																								
Cannanore	53	83	4	323	53	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	376	136	8	151	223	4	527	360	"
Tellicherry	7	383	4	431	72	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	270	"	497	331	8	370	133	4	868	65	"
Calicut	"	"	"	112	293	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	112	293	4	312	149	9	425	143	1
Tanore	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	33	4	"	33	4
Mambaat	"	"	"	35	313	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	50	"	43	246	8	84	296	8
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	508	50	"	5	136	8	"	"	"	508	50	"	888	13	4	1396	63	4
Chowgaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	36	130	"	36	130	"
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	31	"	1	31	"
Smuggled Salt	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"	10	"
Total	61	66	8	952	338	4	508	50	"	5	136	8	8	270	8	1,536	61	8	1,803	170	9	3339	232	5
1249																								
Cannanore	27	116	8	231	380	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	312	96	8	179	376	8	492	73	4
Tellicherry	33	120	"	325	30	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	220	"	365	370	"	308	151	8	674	121	8
Calicut	"	"	"	93	150	"	3	266	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	97	16	8	333	335	8	430	352	4
Mambaat	"	"	"	33	236	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	34	340	"	48	390	"	83	330	"
Ponany	"	"	"	"	"	"	512	366	8	1	103	4	"	"	"	512	366	8	1054	226	8	1567	193	4
Chowghaut	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	55	316	8	55	316	8
Cochin	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6	"	1	6	"
Total	60	236	8	736	396	8	516	233	4	1	103	4	7	220	"	1,322	390	"	1982	203	4	3,305	193	4
Net Increase in 1250	"	230	"	215	341	8	"	"	"	4	33	4	1	50	"	213	71	8	"	"	"	34	39	1
Net Decrease in 1249	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	183	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	179	32	7	"	"	"

Calicut, the 11th July 1841.

E. E. P. / Signed / A. V. Conolly
Collector.

Statement

27th January 1842.

Revenue.

Deduct amount supposed to be recoverable				Deduct amount supposed to be doubtful	Balance proposed to be remitted.
From what Fusly.	To what Fusly.	No. of years.	Amount.		
11	12	13	14.	15.	16
				299 7 10	421 14 2
				299 7 10	421 14 2
				109 " "	" " "
				" " "	86 2 1
				109 " "	86 2 1
				2400 " "	" " "
				" " "	" " "
				2400 " "	" " "
				2808 7 10	508 " 3

1344.

Fort St. George

27th January 1842.

Justices to which the arrears proposed to be remitted belong.

1240.	1241.	1242.	1243.	1244.	1245.	1246.	1247.	1248.	1249.
17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.
			40 15 5	40 14 10	49 6 9	43 11 "	43 11 "	43 11 5	43 11 5
13 5 2	33 11 7	45 11 7	49 15 5	49 14 10	49 6 9	43 11 "	43 11 "	43 11 5	43 11 5
13 5 2	33 11 7	45 11 7	49 15 5	49 14 10					
	15 3 11	8 9 2	8 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8 " "	8 4 10	8 4 7	8 4 7
	15 3 11	8 9 2	8 12 1	12 1 9	8 9 2	8 " "	8 4 10	8 4 7	8 4 7
13 5 2	53 15 6	54 4 9	58 11 6	62 " 7	57 15 11	51 11 "	51 15 10	52 " "	52 " "

Calicut, the 11th July 1841.-

E. C. J.P. / Signed / A. J. Conolly
Collector.

Ordered.

1345 Fort St. George 27th January 1842.

51-52

Order thereon,
(Vide Pro: 24th March)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.—

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants dated 3d and entered in Consultation 17th Instant.—

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To the Collector in the Zillah of Chingl put.

To the Collector of Chingleput

Sir.

53

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras and Register of Government Grants with the Title deeds of certain Houses, Gardens and Lands belonging to His Highness the Nabob and his family situated in your Collectorate and with reference to the

3d & Cons 17th Jany 1842

P.G.B.

1340 Fort St. George 27th January 1842

From Government 18th July 1837
in Cons 31st do
To the Collector of Madras 31st do
From Do 22d in Cons 31st August
To Government 14th September
From Government 3d in Cons 9th October

the correspondence noted in the margin copy of which is forwarded for your information and guidance and request that you will take the necessary steps for the preparation and issue of the Grants required by His Highness for the Lands above referred to.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
27th January 1842

Signed R. T. Porter
Acting Secretary.—

Read the following letters.—

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

From the Supt. of Stamps

54

Sir.

I feel extremely reluctant to trouble the Board and Government with applications for increase of Salary from persons serving under me, but as in justice to the claims of an old Servant, and

and a very deserving individual I am in-
duced to submit for favorable consideration
the accompanying Petition addressed to
me by D. Royaloo Naik whom I found
as Head Conicopoly or writer of the Stamp
office, and promoted to the situation of
Accountant and Examiner on the death
of the late incumbent Mr. B. Quintval.
The Salary properly appertaining to the
Office of Accountant and Examiner is
Rupees 52½ but your Board were pleased,
on the recommendation of the then Su-
perintendent of Stamps to recommend
to Government under date the 10th March
1834 that the Salary of Mr. Quintval be
be increased to Rupees 70, which was sanc-
tioned by Government as a personal
allowance and consequently the Salary
reverted to the original amount on the
demise of that Individual ——

Mem: of Bons 14th March 1834

The object of D. Royaloo is to

obtain

obtain a renewal of the increase in his favor. ——

This Individual has now
been 27 years employed in the Stamp Office
and during the period he has held his
present situation now nearly two years
he has conducted the duties thereof to my
entire satisfaction and as those duties, as
pointed out in the letter of the Board
above quoted, "involve very considerable
responsibility and labour" and are insuf-
ficiently paid at the lower rate, I am
induced to recommend his request to
the favorable Consideration of Government.—

Fort Ft. George
Genl. Stamp Office
13th January 1842.

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

A

To
J. C. Morris Esqre.
Superintendent of Stamps

Honored Sir,

Para 1 I humbly crave your honor's
kind indulgence for the liberty
I take

Take to submit the following circumstances
connected with the duties and emoluments
of the situation of English Writer, accountant
and Examiner which I now hold in the
Stamp Office under your honor's auspices
in the confident hope that from your
known liberal disposition to afford every
encouragement to a Zealous discharge of
Public duty you will take my case into
your favorable consideration and represent
the same to the Board of Revenue &
supported with your strong recommend-
-ation on my behalf. ——

2. In respect to the duties
of my present Situation I consider it unn-
-necessary to trouble your honor with a
detail of the whole. It will be sufficient
to state that the nature of my duties is
such, as to require close and unremitting
attention and great care in discharging
them. The more important details are
the examination of all the Statements of
Sales

Sales of Stamp Paper and Cadjans received
quarterly and annually from the several dis-
-tricts and posting and adjusting them under
their different heads in the Ledger the compa-
-rison of the abstract with the entries made
in the Collectors' Statements, the preparation
of all the annual Statements shewing the
disposal of the Stamp Paper and Cadjans
during the Fusly and the examination of all
Invoices despatched from the Stamp Office
to the different Collectors with numerous
other details which are complicated
and laborious and which it would be
tedious to enter into. ——

3 To satisfy your honor that
much importance is attached to the above
duties and that my Statement of them is
not exaggerated, I humbly beg to refer your
honor to the letter addressed by the Board of
Revenue to the Chief Secretary to Government
on the 10th March 1836 wherein they remark
that the duties are described as involving very
considerable responsibility and labour. It was

sir

in consideration of this chiefly, as well as length of service and the testimony borne to the merits of the late incumbent by the Head of the Office that the Board recommended an addition of 17½ Rupees per mensem to his Salary (making it 70 Rupees per mensem) which was accordingly sanctioned by Government but unfortunately for his successor as a personal allowance. I was therefore on my promotion to the Situation which the late Mr. B Quintal held, placed in a less advantageous position receiving only 52½ Rupees for the performance of duties for which the late incumbent received 70 Rupees, the Audit Office having refused to pass the additional allowance of 17½ Rupees

4 In respect to length of Service your honor is no doubt aware that my services comprise a period of nd less than 27 years having been employed in the Stamp Department since the year 1814 whereas Mr. Quintal's period of Service at the time the additional allowance

allowance was sanctioned was 22 years, and as regards my merits and the zeal and diligence with which I have discharged my duties it is not for me to mention, as your honor has had the perusal of the Testimonials of character, abilities and services which were granted to me by the late G Moore, A D. Campbell A. F. Bruce, and G Garrow Esqrs. To these I hope it will be in your honors power to add your testimony for the periods during which I discharged my duties since your assumption of the office.

5 I trust I have satisfactorily shewn to your honor that in respect of the responsible and laborious nature of my duties length of service and individual merits which formed the grounds of an increase to the late Mr. Quintal's salary, my case is equally entitled to the favorable consideration of the Board of Revenue and Government. And I have respectfully to solicit your honor will kindly support this

this application for the same additional al-
lowance as was granted to Mr. Quintval (which
will involve no extra charge to Government)
with your strong recommendation for which
I shall ever feel deeply indebted and truly
grateful to your honor ———

Madras .....
13th January 1842 } (Signed) D. Royalo

Resolution thereon. The increase of Rupees
17. 8- " per mensem recommended in
the case of the late Mr. Quintval having
been Sanctioned by Government spe-
cifically as a "personal allowance" the
Board regret that they do not feel them-
selves warranted under existing cir-
cumstances in supporting the application
for its continuance to his successor, con-
tained in the foregoing letter. ——

To the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

From the Supt. } In your proceedings of the
of Stamps .... }

the 18th of November last your Board
were pleased to authorize me to accept the
tender made by one Ponnapah Moodely for
supplying the Stamp Office with paper and
Cadjans in compliance with the recommend-
ation contained in my letter of the 10th of
the same month which was offered solely
on the ground that the terms were the most
favorable to Government. ——

I am now however obliged
to trouble your Board with the accompa-
nying Correspondence with the acting
Superintendent of Police from which
you will observe that the said Ponna-
pah Moodely has failed in producing
unexceptionable sureties for the ful-
filment of his contract and I would in
consequence beg to recommend that the
contract be given to R. Vondapah Moodely
the late Contractor who has expressed
his willingness to undertake it on the
terms specified in the tender which
accompanied

Fort St. George 27th. January 1842

accompanied my letter of the 10th of

Late Contract Rupees	10 - 4 -	November, which, as shewn in
Present Contract Rs.	10 - 12 -	the margin are 2 annas less, on
difference Annas	— - 2 -	the whole than his former
Yearly Rupees	46 - 14 -	Contract.

It is due to Condapah moodely to state that he acquitted himself during his late Contract to the entire satisfaction of my predecessor (whose Certificate he bears) and myself.

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
25th. January 1842

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

To

A

The Superintendent of Police
Madras

Sir

The undermentioned individuals having agreed to become Securities for Agoomboor Poonapa: moodiliar who has undertaken the Contract for the Supply of Paper for the use of the Stamp Office for the next three

Fort St. George 27th. January 1842

three years. I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to inform me of their reputed circumstances and if you consider their Security good for the sum of Two thousand Rupees.

Lutchmanarrain Reddy.
House No. 17 Gungooreddy Street Agoomboor.

Seyalum Moodely.
House No. 160 Vellalon Street Perasawalkum.

Fort St. George
Genl. Stamp Office
30th. November 1841

/Signed/ J. C. Morris
Supt. of Stamps

To

The Superintendent of Stamps

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 30th. Ultimo. I have the honor to inform you that Lutchmanarrain Reddy's house is valued at about 1,000 Rupees and Seyalum Moodely's at 400 Rupees neither of them are in possession of the title deeds of the premises and

and the latter is well known at the Supreme Court as a Straw Bail.

Madras ——— }
Genl. Police Office }
7th December 1841 }

/Signed/ J. H. Bell
Offg. Chief Magistrate &
Supt. of Police

To

The Superintendent of Police
Madras

Sir,

I feel sorry to trouble you again but Poonapa Moodeliar the person who has undertaken the paper Contract with this Office having been informed of the contents of your letter of the 7th Instant and called upon to produce fresh and more respectable persons as his Securities has named the parties specified in the margin and I shall in consequence feel obliged if you will allow your department to enquire into their circumstances and favor me with your opinion as to their being good and sufficient Security for the sum of

Seagaroy Chetty's House R[illegible] 600 Chintadry Chetty's Shop
Pennall Naick's House R[illegible] 24 Chintadry Chetty's Shop

J. G. B.

of two thousand Rupees.—

Fort St. George,
Genl. Stamp Office,
14th December, 1841.

/Signed/ J. C. Morris.
Supt. of Stamps.

To

The Superintendent of Stamps.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 14th Instant. I have the honor to inform you that Seagaroy Chetty's father who is in embarrassed circumstances, made over the title deeds of his house to Seagaroy a lad of fifteen years of age, the house is valued at 600 Rupees.—

Pennall Naick's house is valued at Rupees 800. He holds no document besides a Sheriff's bill of Sale and he has two elder brothers who have claims on the property as they are members of an undivided family.—

Madras,
Genl. Police Office,
18th December, 1841.

/Signed/ J. H. Bell.
Offg. Chief Magistte and
Supt. of Police.—

Under

Resolution thereon. Under the circumstances represented in the correspondence which accompanies the foregoing letter, the Board resolve to reject the tender of Ponnapah Moodeliar for the supply of the Stamp Office with Paper and Cadjans for the next 3 years, and to authorize the Superintendent of Stamps to accept that of the late Contractor R. Condapah Moodeliar, upon the terms specified in the tender submitted under date the 10th November last, provided of course the security tendered for the due performance of the Contract.

(56-57)

Read the undermentioned Registers.—

From the Collector of Chingleput. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of December last.

(58)

From the Collector of Tuchinopoly. Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of December last.—

(59)

Ordered

Order on the two abovementioned Registers.— Ordered to be lodged in the Office.—

(58-60)

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

(61)

To the Chief Secty to Government.

Sir,

To the Chief Secty to Government.— Submitting letters from the Collectors of the Maritime Districts under this Presidency furnishing information called for by the Honble Court of Directors on the subject of fish caured by the application of sea water or earth impregnated with saline particles and stating that the Board think that no good would be answered by granting a remission of the duty on salt used in curing fish.— In Con. 11th February 1841

Para 1. With reference to Para 2 of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 12th January 1841, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the purpose of being laid before Government the accompanying letters from the Collectors of the Maritime Districts under this Presidency, furnishing information called for in accordance with the wish expressed by the Honorable Court of Directors in Para 9 of a General Letter

From Ganjam 6th in Cons: 18th March 1841.—
From Vizagapatam 8th in Cons: 15th April —"—
From Rajahmundry 23rd in Cons: 29th April —"—
From Masulipatam 11th in Cons: 18th October —"—
From Guntoor 5th in Cons: 15th April —"—
From Nellore 13th in Cons: 22nd November —"—
From Chingleput 19th in Cons: 22nd April —"—
From N. Arcot 4th in Cons: 8th March —"—
From S. Arcot 25th Feby in Cons 4th March —"—
From Sanjore 17th in Cons 22nd April —"—
From Madura 3rd in Cons 8th March —"—
From Tinnevelly 6th in Cons: 23rd August —"—
From Canara 5th in Cons: 16th August —"—
From Malabar 26th March in Cons: 27th Septr —"—
From Madras 1st in Cons: 4th March —"—

letter

letter dated the 7th October 1840. Viz that it should be ascertained what quantity of Fish is annually cured by the application of Sea Water or earth impregnated with Saline particles, and subsequent exposure to the Sun, and also whether the Fisheries would be largely extended and the public benefitted by the remission of the tax on Salt used in curing Fish.—

2. From the abovementioned reports it will be seen that it has been found impracticable to obtain any very exact account of the extent of the traffic in Salt fish in consequence of that article having been exempted from Sayer duty in 1837. Since which period no account has been kept of the quantity cured. it appears however that the use of manufactured Salt in the process of curing is very limited in comparison to that of Sea water and earth impregnated with Saline particles

particles. The reports tend to shew that there can be no doubt that the exemption of the article from liability to on Sayer duty has caused an increase in the trade to a certain extent, and that a still further increase might reasonably be expected, from the remission of duty on Salt in cases where that condiment is used in its pure state. but these appear to be so few, and the Collectors seem to be so unanimous in their opinion that by the opening to fraud which would be afforded by the Remission suggested, the state would lose in a much greater ratio than the public would benefit, that the Board think that no good end would be answered by granting the indulgence.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
27th January, 1842.

/Signed/ R. I. Porter.
Jr. Secretary.

Resolved

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched together with the Petitions therein referred to.—

To the Collector of Tinnevelly.

(62)

To the Collr. of Tinnevelly—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petitions upon which you are requested to report agreeably to the instructions contained in the endorsement passed upon each by Government.—

Government No 83. of 1842. 1935. of 1841

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th January, 1842.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Act. Secretary.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.—

To the Sub Treasurer

(63)

To the Sub Treasurer—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will place the sum of Rupees /30—12—0/ Thirty

Annas twelve, to the credit of the Government being the amount of the unserviceable tables &c. sold.—

You will be so good as to acknowledge the receipt of the same.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th January, 1842.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Act. Secretary.

To the Sub Treasurer,

To the Sub Treasurer—

(64)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will place the sum of Seven Rupees herewith remitted to the Credit of Government being the amount of the fine levied on two Peons belonging to this Establishment as stated in the Margin at /Pagoda each.

Mahomed Rajah 3 8 0
Gopaulsing 3 8 0
Rupees 7 0 0

You will be pleased to acknowledge the receipt of the same.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 27th January, 1842.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter
Act. Secretary.

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Pnl. Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. — Return of Persons charged before the Principal Collector &c. with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.

(65)

From the Pnl. Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. — Return of Revenue defaulters confined in the Jail of the Zillah of Chittoor for the Quarter ending 31st Decr. last.

(66)

From the Pnl. Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. — Reporting that no persons were confined in Jail under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of December last.

(67)

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. — Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of November last.

(68.)

From the Collector of Guntoor. — Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last.

(69)

From

From the Collector of Malabar. — Statement shewing the quantity of Tobacco seized for the Month of October last.

(70)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. — Statement of Goods recommended for Confiscation for the Month of December last.

(71)

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. — Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last.

(72)

Order on the five abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled Goods and Tobacco enumerated in the Statements be authorized.

(68-73)

From the Collector of Chingleput. — Abstract of Demand, Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(74)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. — Abstract of Demand, Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

(75)

From the Collector of Chingleput. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of

(76)

of

of December last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. — Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale Prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last.

(77)

From the Collector of Canara. — Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use of his Office for the year 1842.

(78)

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Cuddapah. — Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use of his Office for the year 1842.

(79)

Order on the two abovementioned Indents. — Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and transmitted to the Military Board, with a request that the Superintendent of Stationery be instructed to furnish the supply required.

(78-80)

From the Princl. Collector of Madura. — Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

(81)

last.

From the Actg. Princl. Collector of Tanjore. — Stating that the Return of Persons suspended or dismissed for the Quarter ending 31st December last is not sent, there being no entry to make.

(82)

From the Actg. Princl. Collector of Tanjore. — Quarterly Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in the Jail of the Zillah of Tanjore from 1st October to 31st December last.

(83)

From the Actg. Princl. Collector of Tanjore. — Return of alterations in the list of fines and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants from 1st October to 31st December last.

(84)

From the Actg. Princl. Collector of Tanjore. — Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants in the Province of Tanjore from 1st October to 31st December last.

(85)

From,

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Returns of Persons charged with Offences and of persons convicted and released from Confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of November last are not sent, there being no entry to make. —

(86)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under the Provisions of Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of December last. —

(87)

" Rent.

" J. D. Dury

" Walter Elliot

" A. Maclean

Comp. [illegible]

Diary.

Received the following letter.

To The Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Cuddapah. Sir,

I have the honor to request

(1) that you will forward for the sanction of Government my application for leave of absence from my District for 3 Months. From the date of quitting it, under Section XII of the Rules published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date 21st February 1840.

Summulmudgoor — Cuddapah District, 24th January 1842.

Signed J. J. Cotton. Sub Collector.

Received

Fort St. George — January 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(1-2)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be forwarded, thro' the Civil Auditor, for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

As the only European Revenue Officer in Cuddapah besides Mr. Cotton is the Head Assistant Mr. Mayne, on whom the whole of the business of the District would devolve in the event of the leave requested by Mr. Cotton being granted- The Board feel themselves precluded from recommending compliance with his application unless some provision can be made for the management of the District in his absence.

At

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

At a Meeting Present.

Monday 31st January 1842

John Dent Esqre. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member.
Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre. Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th ultimo and entered in Consultation 24th Instant.-

(3)

Order thereon Recording resolutions on an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 26th December 1841. relative to a Dispatch from England dated 28th July 1841. No. 8.

In Cons. 19th March 1840.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Paras. be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Nellore for his information and guidance, with reference to an extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 22nd February 1840. copy of which was transmitted to him under date the 19th March 1840.- The Principal Collector will be pleased to ascertain thro' Tirmul Row the Nephews

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Nephew of the late Sashagherry Row (who will be directed to communicate with him for that purpose) who are the representatives of the last mention-ed individual, and will report for special sanction the manner in which he proposes that the sum sanctioned by the Honorable Court shall be paid, and to whom such payment shall be made.

Ordered also that the follow-ing communication be made to M. Sirmul Row

With reference to the endorse-ment passed by the Board of Revenue upon your Petition No. 595 of 1839 you are hereby informed that the Honorable Court of Directors have been pleased to sanction payment of the sum of Rupees 37,201-2 to the representatives of your late Uncle Sashagherry Row in com-pensation for the losses which he is stated to have incurred in consequence of the premature determination of his lease of the Nellore District in July 1211-

In

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

granting sanction for this payment the Honorable Court observe, that as it must be considered as an act of grace, and not as the satisfaction of a legal right, they cannot admit your claim to interest on the amount.

For information as to the arrangements under which the above mentioned sum is to be disbursed, you are referred to the Principal Collector of Nellore, who has been furnished with instructions on the subject.

Gen.l Letter No. of Con.		
Para 17.	Para 6.	Requires no order
Para 19.	Para 8.	Do. Do.
35.	10.	Ordered that a copy of these Paras be forwarded to the Collector of Chingle-put for his information, with reference to a resolution of Government dated 18th April 1834. communicated to his Office under date the 21st April 1834.
Para 52 to 57.	Para 19.	attention will be paid to the obser-vations conveyed in Para 55 of the Court's Dispatch as directed in the 19th Para of the Minutes of Consultation here recorded.

Gen.l Cons. 21st April 1834.

Ordered that a copy of Para 55 be circulated for general information to the Collectors in the Provinces

Ordered

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Genl. Letter. M. of Con.

Para 58. Para 20.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Paras be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance, together with a transcript of the letter thereon alluded to, as addressed to Government under date 30th July 1840.

The Principal Collector will be pleased to report the measures which he may take to carry out the intentions of the Honorable Court, as expressed in the extract from their letter now ordered to be communicated to him.

Para 70. Para 22. The Board will bear in mind the instructions conveyed in these Paras.

Para 76. Para 24. Requires no order.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th January 1842.

4

Read the following letters.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 11th Novr. 1841. No. 4814)

From C. V. Boriah and C. V. Narrainapah surviving sons of the late C. V. Lutchmiah. Submitting their claims to the Jaghire of Tinnanore in succession to their late Father, and praying that the Government will give the subject their serious consideration, ere deciding thereon.

(Here enter 15th Novr. 1841. No. 1422)

\+ \+ \+

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the original Grant of the Village of Tinnanore in the District of Chingleput, to Cauvelly Venkata Lutchmiah, was for three lives two of which have lapsed by the demise of

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

of that individual and his eldest son C. T. Doobiah, and there can be no question that had the latter left an Heir, the Shrotriem would have devolved on him for life - As it happens to be otherwise, His Lordship in Council is of opinion, with the Collector of Chingleput and the Board of Revenue, that the Village in question should be re-gistered in the name of Canvelly Vencatah Boriah the eldest of the surviving sons of the original Grantee, on the distinct understanding that the property will revert to Government on his death.-

The Board will commu-nicate this decision to the parties who have Petitioned Government, and issue the necessary instructions to the Collector of Chingleput.

/ A true Extract./
/ Signed/ H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Order thereon. Ordered that a copy of the

(4-5) Foregoing extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter of the 11th November 1841. therein recorded, be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance, with reference to his

In Cons. 4th Nov. 1841. letter of the 19th October last.-

Ordered also that the following endorsement be passed upon the Petitions noted in the Margin.-

1733. 1839. 1853. } of 1841.

Petitioner is referred to the Collector of Chingleput to whom the intentions of Government as regards the Jaghire Village of Tiruvanore have been communicated.-

From the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th January 1842.-

(1) Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 16th December 1841 No. 529)

1.- The Right Honorable the Governor

Governor in Council approved and sanc=
=tions the arrangements proposed by
the Principal Collector of Nellore in
respect to certain Surva Enam and
Shotriam Villages in the resumed
Jagheer of Woodiagherry, and recom=
=mended for adoption by the Board
of Revenue in the foregoing proceed=
=ings, and desires that they be accord=
=ingly carried into effect.

2. Under the arrangements now
sanctioned, the monthly allowances of
Rupees 15 and 7 at present received
by Survar Mahasahiba, and Syed Abdul
Khader Hossainy (alias Budsy Saheb)
will cease, and the allowance to Ma=
=sahabee the wife of Syed Khojah
Ahmud Saheb Hossainy will be
reduced from Rupees 15 to 10. per Mensem.

3. His Lordship in Council
presumes that the parties concerned have
been informed, that the grants whether
in Land or money are only for life.

4. Ordered that paras 2 and 3
of

of the Proceedings recorded above, and the
orders passed thereon be communicated
for the information and guidance of
the Offices of account and Audit, and
that the following letter be despatched
to the Government of India.

To J. H. Maddock Esqre
Secretary to the Government
of India.

(Here enter 17th Jany 1842 No. 66.)

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Order thereon - Ordered that a copy
(6-7) of the foregoing Extract from the
Minutes of Consultation (Para 1
to 3) with a copy of the Board's
letter of the 16th December
1841 be forwarded to the
Principal Collector of Nellore
for his information and guid=
=ance, with reference to his
letter of the 22nd November last.

Extract

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th January 1842.-

(8)

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 2d December 1841 No. 509)

1. Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in the foregoing letter, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Chingleput to restore to Coopoo Teagaroyen the Devastanum Village of Colatoor on his entering into security for the payment of the public demand against it by annual instalment of two hundred Rupees.

2. The Board have pointed out to the Collector the irregularity of making advances from the General Pagoda Funds for the repair of the reservoirs in the above property without Sanction being first obtained. This proceeding is highly

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

highly censurable, and His Lordship in Council will feel it his duty, should the outlay not be eventually recovered from Coopoo Teagaroyen, to hold the officer who made the advances responsible for their repayment.-

(A true Extract.)

(signed.) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(8-9)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, and of the letter from the Board of Revenue therein recorded, bearing date the 2nd December 1841, be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 18th November last.-

The Collector will proceed to tender the restoration of the Devastanum Village of Colatoor to C. Teagaroyen upon the terms stipulated by Government

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Government, Viz.t on his finding security for payment of the public Demand against it by instalments of two hundred Rupees per annum, and report the result for the information of the Board -

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 18th January 1842 -

10

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 4th and 22d Nov.r 1841. Nos. 470.849)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the proceeding of Mr. Hallett Acting Collector of South Arcot on the recent exhibition of discontent on the part of the Ryots of that District as brought to the notice of Government in the last of the letters above recorded

/A true Extract./

/Signed/ H. Chamier.

Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon -

10-11

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated to Mr. Hallett Collector of South Arcot, with reference to his letter of the 28th September last.

Read

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th January 1842.

(From Bd. 3d January).

From the Chief Secretary to Government - 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence, in the first instance, at the public expence of the suit No. 538 of 1841 instituted against the Principal Collector of North Arcot, his Head Sheristadar and others in the Zillah Court at Chittoor, by one Chinna Kistnasing, for the recovery of the amount value of a Dusturundum Tank — but will determine, on a copy of the decree being laid before him, whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Officers of Government, shall be borne by them or by the Government. -

12

2d His Lordship in Council observes that the Papers in this case were not received by Government until some days had expired beyond the period fixed by the Court for the filing of the Collectors answer

/Signed/ H. Chamier.

Chief Secretary.

Resolved

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(12-13)

Resolved that a copy of the 1st Para of the foregoing order of Government be communicated for the information and guidance of the Sub Collector in charge of North Arcot, with reference to the Principal Collector's letter of the 14th December last.-

The Board trust that measures have been taken by Mr. Ogilvie for an extension of the period originally fixed by the Court for the filing of his answer.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th January 1842.-

(From Bd. 10th January.)

From the Chief Secretary to Government.- The Right Honorable the Governor in Council Sanctions

(14)

the refund of the sum of Rupees (18-7-6.) Eighteen, annas seven and pice six. recommended in the foregoing Proceedings.-

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

(14-15)

Order thereon - Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

(Read again in Cons. 10th February)

Read

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Read the following Letter.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of Guntur.-

(16)

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have found it necessary to suspend from his situation Annajee Rao Sahapildar of the Paabucondah Talook in this District, with a view to an investigation into his Official proceedings, which preliminary measure I deem to be indispensable to obtaining a full disclosure of some unauthorized exactions which there is reason to believe have been made by him in certain Villages. Having myself appointed Annajee Rao to his present Office, whom I had previously known when attached to the Boards Cutcherry, I need scarcely add that I entertained a favorable opinion of him and have hitherto placed confidence in his integrity, and I trust that the Board

Board will be satisfied that I have not had recourse to this disagreeable step on slight grounds. The result of my enquiry into this matter shall be submitted to the Board at as early a period as may be practicable.

Tanjore District
Collr's Circt. Cutchy
Poorshattapore
Poobacondah Talook
16th January 1842.

/Signed/ R. A Bannerman
Collr.

Order thereon — The Board await the receipt of the Collector's report on the case of Annajee Row Tahsildar of Poobacondah Talook, whose suspension from Office is reported above.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Collr of Vizagapatam

(18)

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th Ultimo and to inform you that the sums specified in Column No 3 of

of the Statement of Sadarwared charges incurred under Estimate in the Magistrate and Governor's Agents Departments amounted in the aggregate to Rupees 1227-14-1 Viz^t 291-14-1 in the Magistrate Department and 935 in the Agents. The former Item has been sanctioned by Madras Government on the 29th June 1837 and the latter by the Supreme Government on the 19th October 1840 and conveyed in the Minutes of Consultation dated 26th November of that year. Copy and Extracts of these sanctions are herewith forwarded.

Vizagapatam District
Vizianagrum Collrs
Circuit Cutchery
10th January 1841.

/Signed/ W. 26 Arbuthnot
Collr.

Extract

1389

Fort St. George

No 1.

31st January 1842.

Extract from a Statement of the Sundry Land Revenue and Collectorate of Vizagapatam for the last 3 years

Charges in the Hoozoor & Sub Departments in the from Fusly 1243 to 1245.

No	Items	Average quantity of each Article used during the last 3 years from Fusly 1243 to 1245	Average price paid for each Article during the same period Rate	Value	Estimated quantity for ensuing year	Estimated the same Rate	price for period. Value	Increase	Decrease	Called for & explanation	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
	Magisterial Department. Hoozoor Cutcherry.											
1	Country paper 1st Sort	2 mes	505 At 2 14/16 2 mes per Rupee	174 6 8	550	At 3 2 mes per Rupee	183 5 4		11 1 4		The amount entered in this Estimate Rupees 1628-11-9 One thousand Six hundred & Twenty eight Rupees Eleven and Pice nine is recommended for sanction, under the explanation of the Acting Collector to have effect from the commencement of the ensuing Fusly 1247.	Sanctioned Rupees 1628-11-9 One thousand Six hundred and twenty eight Rupees Eleven and pice nine
2	Do Do 2 Do	263 At 4 14/16 Do Do	54 12 2		304	At 5 1/2 Do per Do	54 8 9		3 5	Requires no remarks		Fort St. George 29th June 1837. (Signed) H. Chamier Chief Secy
3	Lamp Oil used in the Jail		35 5 6				30 --		5 5 6			
4	Sentries Instr		24 --				24 -- --	-- --	-- --		Revd & Office Fort St. George 19th June 1837 By order of the Board of Revenue (Signed) P B Smollett Dy Secy	
	Total		309 8 4				291 14 1	-- --	14 10 3			

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. Ds

Arbuthnot
Coll.

Extract

Fort St. George 31th January 1842

No 2

Revenue Department

No 1318

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th November 1840.

Read the following letter.

No 186

To H Chamier Esqre

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 1054 dated the 23d Ultimo with its enclosures, relating to the Establishment proposed to be entertained by the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George in Vizagapatam consequent on Act No 24 of 1839 and to state in reply that the Governor General of India in Council sanctions the proposed Establishment amounting to Rupees 1500 — 8 per Mensem exclusive of the Jail Establishment.

2 The Governor General in Council is not satisfied of the expediency of extending the jurisdiction of the Agent in

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

in the manner suggested by him and in regard to the salary of that Officer a decision appears to have been made on the point in the Officiating Secretary Grant's letter to your address No 276 of the 25th November 1839 which the Governor General in Council sees no present reason to disturb.

Fort William
The 19th October 1840.

(Signed) Fredk Jas Halliburton
Junior Secy to the Govt of India.

Ordered also that a copy of the above letter be furnished with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 23d September last to the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George in Vizagapatam for his information and guidance.

(True Extract)

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secy.

To the Agent to the Governor of Fort St George in Vizagapatam

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor

Ordered

1392

(18-19)

Order thereon
(Vide Bd's Remarks 14th Feby)

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Ordered to be recorded

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Vizagapatam
Submitting a Statement of the cost and Sale proceeds of certain Plough received from Cuddalore and requesting sanction for the Cost.

I have the honor herewith to forward a Statement shewing the cost and sale proceeds of certain ploughs received from Cuddalore — viz and request you will obtain the sanction of Government for Rupees 444-6-8 the cost of the same.

20

The remaining ploughs — were several times put up for sale but as they are of cast iron no purchasers came forward to bid for them. — Copy of an Arzee received from the Police of Vizagapatam is enclosed.

Vizagapatam
Collectorate Cutcherry
17th January 1842

(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Coll.r

Statement

1393

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

No. 1.

Statement shewing the cost & sale proceeds of certain Ploughs received from Cuddalore & requesting the sanction of Government thereto.

Cost of Ploughs &c.			Proceeds from the Sale of Ploughs		
Value of 3 Ploughs sent with Mr. [illegible]	36	— —	Value of 3 Ploughs sold by Public Auction	36	— —
Do of 34 Do without Do	340	— —	Do of 34 Do sold by Do	340	— —
Freight and Establishment for carrying the above Ploughs from Cuddalore to Vizagapatam	68	4 8	Value of 35 Ploughs sold by Public Auction	68	4 8
Rupees	444	4 8	Rupees	444	4 8

Vizagapatam 14th January 1842 (Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot Coll.r

Orders of the Board of Revenue

Orders of Government

Collector of Vizagapatam

The Sale of the Ploughs mentioned in the margin is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, but the Ploughs mentioned to be remarkable and valuable ones are to be returned to the Stores. The 35 remaining Ploughs may be disposed of by Sale.

(Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Coll.r

Copy

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

No 2

To W. C. Arbuthnot Esqre
Collector in the Zillah of
Vizagapatam.-

Aryee addressed by C. Lutchmeenurso
Acting Head of Police of Vizagapatam

In obedience to your order of the 20th September last No 37 directing me to dispose of the ploughs imported from Madras and now lying at the Sea Custom House at Vizagapatam reporting the result thereof I in conjunction with the Vizagapatam Sea Customs Sunnadar put up the ploughs to sale on the 3d Instant from 8 to 11 O'clock A.M. no bidders came forward - Moreover every one refuses purchasing them saying that they are of Seeroo Suttoo or Mallem (Castor) grain I therefore write this for your information.-

Vizagapatam
4th October 1841

(Signed) C. Lutchmeenurso
Acting Head of Police

(True translation)
(Signed) W. C. Arbuthnot
Collector

The

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.-

Order thereon

(20-21)

The Board observe that the charges incurred on account of the ploughs referred to in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees 473-4-8 received the sanction of Government under date 14th January 1842 and require no further notice.-

Read the following letter

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Rajahmundry submitting a Statement of any Estate attached for arrears of Revenue for fasly 1251.

Madras

22

I have the honor to submit a Statement of an Estate attached for arrears of Revenue, according to the form which accompanied the Deputy Secretary's letter under date the 28th March 1836, which was placed under the Management of the Amildar of Amlapoor without incurring any charge for Establishment.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office
Cocanada 15th
January 1842

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement

1396

Fort St. George.

31st January 1842.

A Statement of Estates attached for Fusly. 1251 in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

No.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages included in the Zemindary or Estates.	Permanent Summah of the entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Kists due for current Fusly.	Amount Collected.	Balance	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated annual Value of the Portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be Employed in the attachment	Per centage of establishment to the Estimated annual Value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
				Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p	Rs as p		Rs as p				
1	Moakaw mutah	Davvary Venkoosamy dar unnah	1	133 - -	42 - -	11 11 5	30 4 7	1	149 - -			15th January 1842	Placed under the Amildar of Amlapaw Talook

Zillah Rajahmundry Coll.rs Office Cocanada 15th January 1842 E. E. per Assigned G. A. Smith Coll.r

The

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Resolution thereon (22-23)

The Board approve of the attachment of the Mookaumalah Estate for arrears of Revenue, as reported in the foregoing letter.

Read the following letter.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George.-

Sir,

From the Coll. of Guntoor

With reference to the remark No 25 in the Extracts from the Statements of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of Fusly 1250, I have the honor to inform you that a fine of Rupees 6 levied from Alla Vencataramudoo and Vencatareddy by the Heads of Police of Chinna Ganjam was returned to them as the decision passed by that Heads of Police was reversed and request the sanction of the Board of Revenue for the same.-

(24)

Guntoor Gillah
Collectors Circuit
Cutcherry Buttapaud
31st January 1842

} Signed J. Goldingham
Coll.

Resolution thereon (24-25)

Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter the Board recommend that the refund of the fine therein referred to, amounting to Rupees 6 - Six, may be sanctioned.-

Read

Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

Read the following letter.-

To the Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll. of Chingleput

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the extent of land enclosed by Mr. Sourdan was 6 52 11 8/8 and is entered in the Survey Accounts as "Mr. Sourdan's Garden" 2 6 3 1/8 of the above were however appropriated by him for the dwelling houses and backyards of certain Ryots cultivating the lands of Sourdan pettah and the Annual Quit Rent on the remaining portion, according to the Survey rates, is Rupees 117-4-. I enclose a Memorandum shewing the particulars of the above lands with the Assessment thereon for the information of the Board.-

(26)

+ 6 10 / 60 - 8/p

Fusly.-	Beriz.- Rs	as	p
1233	235	"	"
1234	572	"	"
1235	436	"	"
1236	600	"	"
1237	526	11	6
1238	432	6	8
1239	500	2	9
1240	539	11	5
1241	643	14	6
1242	119	3	10
1243	498	3	10
1244	596	14	2
1245	612	4	6
1246	605	7	"
1247	626	1	11
1248	629	12	11
1249	672	7	10
1250	621	9	4
Total	9507	15	4

2 Of the remaining portion of the lands included in the Original Grant about 130 Cawnies have been annually cultivated and the aggregate Beriz - derived since the property was purchased by Government amounts to Rupees 9507-15-4- The rest of the lands are waste.

Chingleput District
Collrs Circt Cutcherry
Chellachery 21st Jany 1842

} Signed A Freese
Collr

Memorandum

1399 Fort St. George 31 January 1842.

A

Memorandum Shewing the Survey Measurement of the Land enclosed by Mr Sourdan

Particulars.	No. of the field.	Extent of the land.		Rate per Cawney.	Amount.
		C.	P.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Measurement of Sourdan's Garden	50	62	11 5/8	- - -	114 4 10
Deduct the Extent occupied by Houses and Backyards belonging to certain Ryots of Sourdan's pettah	15	2	3 1/8	- - -	- - -
Remaining	35	60	8 1/2	- - -	114 4 10
Particulars.					
Fit for cultivation. Sluiced	1	2	6 1/2	2 3 -	5 4 5
Faiche	22	47	11 5/8	2 3 -	104 6 4
Kraundy	3	3	7 3/4	2 3 -	7 10 1
	26	53	9 7/8	- - -	117 4 10
Parumboke unfit for cultivation. Add the extent occupied by Ponds, Walls, Roads, &c	7	6	5 1/2	- - -	- - -
Extent on which Bungalow &c are constructed	2	-	9 1/8	- - -	- - -
Total	35	60	8 1/2	- - -	117 4 10

Chingleput District
Collr's Circt Cutcherry
Vellucherry 21st January 1842

E. E. per (Signed) A Freese
Collr

Ordered

1400 Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply
To the Collector of
Chingleput

To the Coll.r of Chingleput
(26-27)

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st Instant.

2 From this the Board observe that the total Extent of Land included in the original grant given for Mr. Saundaw was 192 and odd Cawnies, of which 62 0 5 only were enclosed by him and entered in the Accounts as "Mr. Saundaw's Garden" It does not appear in what was done with the remaining 130 Cawnies until July 1233 from which date the sum is stated to have been cultivated annually and to have yielded in that time a sum of 950 Rs an an average of 622 Rs per Annum.

3 The Board desire to know in what possession this last mentioned Land now is, how long it has been the holding of each party & how it is lapsed and whether it is your intention to include this Extent viz 130 in the quantity to be sold with the house or to limit the extent of Land to be sold with the House to 62½ the quantity included in "Mr. Saundaw's Garden".

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
31st January 1842

Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary

Read

1401 Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Read the following letter.

To
The Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pr. Coll.r in the N. Dn. of Arcot
(28.)

Sir,

One Dozen of Delaite's Belts, being absolutely required, I have the honor, with reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of 8th April 1841 to request permission to enter in the Statement of Contingent Charges the sum of Eight Rupees (8 Rs) for the expence of preparing them.

North Arcot
Pr. Coll.r's Cutcherry
Chittoor 10th January 1842

Signed G. M. Ogilvie
Principal Collector

Resolution thereon.

The Board authorize the Sub Collector in charge of North Arcot to incur a charge of Rupees 8 on account of Belts for Peloyets and to enter the same in the Statement of contingent Charges.
(28-29)

From the Acting Prin.l Collector of Tanjore
(30)

Read Abstract Statement of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX. of 1822 from 1st January to 30th June 1841.

Order thereon
(30-31)

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the returns from other Districts when an abstract of the whole

whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly } Sir, Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 11th October last calling for a further explanation regarding the subject of Petition No. 1711 of 1841, I have the honor to inform you that in no part of this District does Meerasy right appear to extend to Salt Pans. The Petitioners were the Cultivating Ryots of certain Salt Pans at Vypaur where extensive frauds were detected and in which there can be no doubt they participated. In the Moochilkas annually taken from these particular persons, they are Styled Pyridoom Coodgul, and their claim of Meerasy right, so far from being substantiated by any documents, is shewn to be quite unfounded in this

(32)

Transmitted in Cons.n 8 Dec.r 1842 No. 5

Case, as such tenure is only claimed to exist in one hamlet out of Six in the Villages of Vypaur, and that not the hamlet to which these Salt Pans pertain, and which is unquestionably a Perunibut Village.

2. The power to transfer the liberty to Cultivate Salt from Ryots failing to meet their engagements, offending against the Salt laws, to others, has always been maintained by the Revenue authorities of this District and it will be an infringement on the rights of the Government if that power is destroyed by admitting the claim set up by the Petitioners.

Tinnevelly ---- 29th December 1841. } Signed / H. C. Montgomery Collector

(32-33)

Order thereon, (Read again in Cons.n 7th February) Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

Read the following Letter.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(34)

From the Pro Coll.r of Canara -- } Sir, 1. With reference to my letter of

Stating that in his opinion the

the fittest person to succeed to the present vacant situation of Head Serista=dar of Canara is Krishna Row the present Head Serishta=dar in Malabar and requesting the Board to Sanction his Appoint=ment-

of the 13th Instt, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that the fittest person in my opinion to succeed to the present vacant situation of Head Sheristadar of this Province is Krishna Row the present Head Sheristadar in Malabar.-

2- From his abilities, and long experience in the Revenue affairs of this District, Krishna Row is peculiarly well qualified to aid me in Carrying out the Revenue System now in operation, and at the same time, to exercise duties which fall within the province of the Head Sheristadar.-

3- The Board are well aware of the causes which led to the removal of Krishna Row from the situation of Head Sheristadar of Canara in the year 1833, and it is, therefore, unnecessary to allude to them here-

4- While I think it right to state my own conviction, founded on a perusal of Mr. J. Stoke's report, that Krishna Row was, to a certain degree,

degree implicated in the intrigues which took place in the District in 1831, arising out of the jealousy which there existed between two classes of the public servants, yet from the altered state of circum=stances, I do not think there is any reason to apprehend any further in=terruption of the good feeling which now exists between the different classes of the public servants, by the re=turn of Krishna Row to his former Office, and as he is himself desirous of returning to the District, I trust the Board will be pleased to sanction his appointment-

Pt. Coll.r's Cutcherry,
On Circuit
Moodbidry 14th Jany. 1842

/ Signed / H. M. Blair.
Principal Collector

Order thereon,
Read again in Cons.
1st February
(34-35)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madras reporting on the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that Collectorate for Fusly 1250.-

25th Nov.r in Cons.
2d Dec.r 1841.

(36)

(Here enter No. 116)

Proceedings Para 1.
Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1250.-

In the Collections from the Sales

Fasly 1249-10580-6-4
1250-9800-4-8
9801-11-3

Sales of Salt during Fasly 1250 there was a decrease of Rupees 9,801-12-3 as contrasted with the preceding year, owing to the usual purchases not having been made as a return investment by parties bringing

Para 2

Merchandize from the interior, as explained in the Correspondence submitted for the information of Government with reference to this subject under date the 10th of May last.

2. The Gross Collections under this head Amounted to Rupees 100436-7-0 being below those of fasly 1249 by Rupees 9,352-13-1. In the Charges there was an

Charges
Fasly 1249-2580-5-4
1250-3250-8-5

Para 5.

increase of Rupees 6794-3-1 chiefly owing to the larger quantity of Salt manufactured in the Fasly under review compared with the previous years, which caused a corresponding outlay for Manufacturers' Share and Extra Charges. After deducting Charges, the Net Revenue remaining in the Salt Department was 67,836-14-7 being below

Para 3

the Net Revenue of Fasly 1249 by Rs 11,447-11-2

Betel	73951	13 3
Tobacco	17408	2 "
Ganjah	2497	0 8
Bang	293	15 8
	25150	15 5
Piece goods	10174	14 4
Gruff Do	17921	3 4
Total	53247	1 1

3. The Current Collections under the Head of Sayer, the particulars of which are detailed in the Margin amounted to Rupees 53247-1-1 being Rupees 11478-1-5 below the

Collections

Collections of fasly 1249-

4. In the revenue derived from Betel there was an increase of Rupees 5,022-11-

Para 4

4- The Collections of duty on the produce of Madras however exhibits a decrease of Rupees 3977-13-11 which is attributed to the facilities offered to smuggling by the continuance of the practice of planting Betel in private Gardens which the Collector observes cannot be effectually prevented under existing rules. The Board were informed in the Minutes of Consultation under date

In Cons 10th May 1844

the 27th April last that the Enactment having reference to this subject was still under the consideration of the Supreme Government.

5- In the Tobacco revenue there was an excess of Rupees 3123-10-6 over that of fasly 1249 which also exceeded the Collections of fasly 1248 in the sum of Rupees 10,834-10-10.

6- Under the heads of Ganjah and Bang

Para 19.

there was an increase of Rupees 2628-11-2 the excess in the revenue from these articles was produced by an arrangement adopted

by

by Mr Hudleston during the period that Gentleman conducted the duties of the Collectorate for the sale of confiscated Ganjah and Bang by means of the Establishment of a wholesale Shop within the Compound of the Office - The Board observe with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin that the Collector has deemed it expedient to put a stop to this System and to revert to the former mode of levying duty -

From Collector Madras dated 23d Apl 1841. In Cons. 6th May 1841.

7- The Consumption of these two Articles within Madras is represented to be considerable, but the Collector remarks that without greater powers are vested in him to enforce the just demands of Government upon their consumption, the due realization of the Revenue which might be collected from them is not practicable -

para 19.

8- In the Collections on account of Piece goods there was a decreave of Rupees 6,402-14-8 in consequence of the local demand for, and export of Checked Handkerchieves Blue Cloths, Tea being less in fasly 1250 than in the preceding - In the duties on Gruff goods there was also a decrease

para 21.

para 22.

Amounting

amounting to Rupees 5,850-3-8 attributable chiefly to the falling off in the Revenue on Indigo and to the diminution in the Imports and Tariff valuation of Betel Nuts - The Board will be glad to have before them any further information on this subject with reference to Mr Robertson's observations contained in para 23 of the letter under review -

para 23.

9- The Gross Collections derived from the several items under the Head of Sayer in fasly 1250 exhibit a decreave of Rupees 11724-12-1 as compared with the like Collections for the fasly previous, but owing to the Charges having been less in the former than in the latter year, the net revenue exceeded that of fasly 1249 by Rupees 27,541-8-9 the decreave in the Disbursement on account of Drawback in the fasly under review was Rupees 38,094-6-2 -

Gross Collections 1250-	5,33,265-3-3-	
Charges - - - -	2,48,057-3-10-	
Net Revenue	2,85,207-15-5	27,541-8-9

Drawback
1249- 2,37,628-1-10-
1250- 1,99,533-11-8-
38,094-6-2-

The Abkarry Collections exhibit an increave of Rupees 6,413-10- This excess was obtained from the increave in the Sales of Arrack, the Collections from which exceeded those of fasly 1249 by

Ab Karry para 32
1250- 5,11,035-5-0-
1249- 5,04,621-11-0-
Increase in 1250- 6,413-10-0-

by Rupees 7,750-8-4- in the revenue from Toddy there was a small decrease of Rupees 1325-2-11. The Gross Collections including Extra Revenue Rupees 298-2-4 Amounted to Rupees 5,11,333-7-4 and exceeded the Gross Collections of the foregoing fusly by Rupees 5,247-10-6- There was a decrease of Rupees 7,884-4-6 in the Amount of charges owing to the disbursement for the purchase of Jaggery for the distillation of Puttah Arrack having been less in fusly 1250 than in the year preceding The increase therefore in the Net revenue Amounted to Rupees 13,131-15-u-

Charges 5,2963-15-u-

Net Revenue 1250--4,58,369-8-4- 1249--4,45,237-9-4- Increase-13,131-15-u-

Sundry Small Farms and Licenses- Fusly 1250-11194-10-6- 1249-10956-6-8- 238-4-8-

11- The Current receipts under this head exceeded those of fusly 1249 by Rupees 238-4-3. Including certain items of Extra Revenue, the Gross collections were Rupees 11,211-9-u and the charges Rupees 1,338-12-9, leaving a Net Revenue of Rupees 9,872-12-3- exceeding the like revenue for fusly 1249 by Rupees 163-12-2-

The

Cochrane's Canal (para 45) Fusly 1249-35001-3-8 1250-30623-u-6 Decrease-4378-3-2

12- The Current Collections from Tolls on Cochrane's Canal including Gravel &c the property of Government Amounted to Rupees 30,623-u-6 being a decrease of Rupees 4,378-3-2 below the Current Collection of fusly 1249. The total Collections were Rupees 30,623-u-6 and Charges Rupees 21,423-1-9 exceeding the Charges in fusly 1249 by Rupees 3,661-15-2- The Collector states in explanation of the above increase that the outlay for the repair of the Canal in fusly 1250 Amounting to Rupees 4638-7-u- whereas in the year preceding the expence increased on this account was only Rupees 883-10-9- after deducting Charges, the Net Revenue shews a decrease of Rupees 8,041-7-3- the Amount in fusly 1249 being Rupees 17,241-6-u and in the year under review Rupees 9,199-14-9-

para 48.

Stamps

13- In the Current Collection under the head of Stamps there was an increase of Rupees 807-4-6 and in the Gross Collections an

From St. George 31st January 1842.

an increase of Rupees 811-2-10 as compared with the previous fusly,

+581-9-4 The + charges exceeded those of fusly 1249 by Rupees 169-10-10 leaving a Net Revenue of Rupees 6,114-8-11 being above the like revenue of fusly 1249 in the sum of Rupees 641-8-1

Net Revenue
Fusly 1250 - 6,114-8-11
1249 - 5,473-0-11
641-8-0

Total 14- The total Demand on account of all branches of Extra Revenue in fusly 1250 exhibits a Decrease of Rupees 18,198-14-1 compared with the preceding year on the deficiency in the Gross Collections amounted for the same period to Rupees 19,264-8-0 Under the head of charges, the decrease is observed to amount to Rupees 36,534-12-6 leaving an increase the aggregate Net Revenue of Rupees 17,290-4-6, the total Net Revenue in fusly 1249 being Rupees 8,19,311-5-9 and in the fusly under review Rupees 836,601-10-3.-

Fusly 1249 - 12,06,809-9-4
1250 - 11,87,890-11-3
18,198-14-1

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the

From St. George 31st January 1842.

the Board's Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a Transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance.-

Madras.-

Fort St. George 31st January 1842

Madras. Fusly 1250.

		Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
		Ps a/	Ps a/	Ps a/	Ps a/
Salt	Demand	105806 11	96004 8	" "	9801 123
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	105806 11	96004 8	" "	9801 123
	Extra Do	3423 12 2	2734 10 3	" "	689 1 11
	Arrears	559 7 "	1697 8 1	1138 1 1	" "
	Total	109789 4 1	100436 7 "	" "	9352 13 1
	Charges	25805 5 4	32599 8 5	6794 3 1	" "
	Net Revenue	83983 14 9	67836 14 7	" "	16147 " 2
Sayer	Demand	543825 2 6	532347 1 1	" "	11478 1 5
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	543825 2 6	532347 1 1	" "	11478 1 5
	Extra Do	1164 12 10	915 2 2	" "	248 10 8
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	544989 15 4	533265 3 3	" "	11724 12 1
	Charges	28732 3 8	24805 7 3 10	" "	3926 4 10
	Net Revenue	257666 8 8	285207 15 5	27541 8 9	" "
A Karrup	Demand	504621 11 "	511035 5 "	6413 10 "	" "
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	504621 11 "	511035 5 "	6413 10 "	" "
	Extra Do	14644 10	29824	" "	1165 15 6
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	519265 12 10	511333 7 4	5247 10 6	" "
	Charges	60848 3 6	52963 15 "	" "	7884 4 6
	Net Revenue	445237 9 4	458369 8 4	13131 15 "	" "
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	Demand	10956 6 3	11194 10 6	238 4 3	" "
	Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Current Collection	10956 6 3	11194 10 6	238 4 3	" "
	Extra Do	101 6 10	16 14 6	" "	84 8 4
	Arrears	" "	" "	" "	" "
	Total	11057 13 1	11211 9 "	153 11 11	" "
	Charges	1348 13 "	1338 12 9	" "	10 " 3
	Net Revenue	9709 " 1	9872 12 3	163 12 2	" "

Statement of Accounts as rendered January 1849–

	Amount	Amount	Amount
	1849.	1850.	
Carried forward	£ 4,079. 8 1	£ 3,961 15 2	£ 8,041 3 3
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	3,879 1 "	4,686 5 6	8,565 6 6
Extra	5 11 3	9 19 9	15 10 "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	3,884 13 3	4,696 23 8 11 2 10	" "
Charges	411 11 6	581 9 4	169 10 10 " "
Sale Returns	5,473 " 11	6,114 8 11	611 8 " " "
Carried	35,201 3 8	30,623 6	" " 43,78 3 2
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	35,201 3 8	30,623 6	" " 43,78 3 2
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	1 14 11	" "	" " 1 14 11
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	1 14 11	" "	" " 1 14 11
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	1,079. 8 1	3,961 15 2	8,041 3 3
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	1,079 8 1	3,961 15 2	8,041 3 3
Extra	1,079 8 1	3,961 15 2	8,041 3 3
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	12,192 5 4	11,925 6 5	19,24 8 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	359 7 "	169 8 1	1158 1 1 " "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "
Carried	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Balance on the 12th July	" "	" "	" "
Current Collection	6161 5 "	9177 10 "	" " 2158 11 "
Extra	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Total	" "	" "	" "
Charges	" "	" "	" "
Sale Returns	" "	" "	" "

1418

37

Fort St. George

Statement shewing separately the Collections on account of the several Items or several Heads of Extra Revenue from 12th July to 31st December 1841 compared

	Fasslies.	Salt	Piece Goods.	Snuff Goods.	Betel, Tobacco, Gunjah and Bang.	Total.	Abkarry.	Sundry Small Farms and Licenses.	Stamp Papers and Cadjans.	Grand Total.
		Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.		Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.	Rs. a P.
From 12th July to 31st December	1248	41,291 . 5	39,964 5 6	6,083 9 10	112,627 9 7	2,13,675 6 11	2,33,084 3 .	4,231 3 11	2,680 6 .	4,94,962 4 3
	1249	46,969 4 5	54,428 1 5	9,825 12 7	114,119 12 3	2,67,673 10 3	2,29,948 . 9	4,636 4 7	2,642 7 6	5,51,309 11 6
	1250	42,110 8 6	51,566 4 3	7,902 2 8	114,818 5 2	2,46,635 12 1	2,37,264 11 6	4,734 3 .	2,827 15 .	5,33,622 15 .
		130,360 11 3	145,957 9 2	23,811 9 1	343,565 11 .	7,27,434 12 3	7,00,296 15 3	13,651 11 6	8,150 12 6	15,79,894 14 9
Average Collections		43,453 8 9	48,319 3 1	7,937 3 .	114,521 14 4	2,42,478 4 5	2,33,432 5 1	4,550 9 2	2,716 14 11	5,26,631 10 3
Actual Collections from 12th July to 31st Decr. 1841	1251.	62,805 9 7	42,304 4 3	10,934 0 5	114,108 14 6	2,48,254 . 2	2,32,038 6 7	4,665 . 4	3,593 11 .	5,71,656 11 8

Madras 26th January 1842.

E. per / Signed / A. Robertson
Collector.

31st January 1842.

included in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance under the
with the average Collections of the same period during the preceding fasslies

Ordered

Order thereon — Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following letter.—

(37-38)

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From Lt. Col. D. Sim in charge of Chief Engineer's Office.

With reference to Mr. Secretary Clerke's letter, dated 17th August 1841 No. 308 and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 2d. September 1841 I have the honor herewith to forward a Map in Duplicate of the Saghire of Sondoor, under the Collectorate of Bellary.—

(39)

Chief Engineer's Office
Fort St. George
21st January 1842.

Signed D. Sim
Lieut. Colonel
In charge, Chief Engineer's Office.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter.—

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government.

(39-40)

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

With reference to Mr. Secretary Clerke's letter dated 17th August last No. 308 I

J.

am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit the accompanying Map in duplicate of the Saghire of Sondoor in the Collectorate of Bellary, obtained from the Chief Engineer's Office.—

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
31st January 1842.

Signed R. J. Porter
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary
Revenue Board.

Sir,

From the Secy. to the Medical Board.

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th Instant, I have the honor by order of the Medical Board to inform you that there is no precedent on record of a Dresser being allowed to give up promotion for the purpose of retaining his appointment on succeeding to the higher grade, and as such a measure would be liable to occasion much inconvenience in this department, the Board direct me to suggest that a special application should be made for the Dresser's services where it comes

(41)

to

to his turn to be promoted, should the Civil Officer who may then be at Trincomalee desire it.

Fort St. George
Medical Board Office
20th January 1842 — Signed Geo: Pearse M.D. Secretary Medical Board.

Order thereon — Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be communicated to the Principal Collector of Madura with reference to his letter dated the 10th December 1841.

Sent Letter 31st January 1842

Read the undermentioned Registers.

From the Collector of Guntoor — Register of Petitions
(43) bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of December last.

From the Principal of Canara — Register of Petitions
(44) bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him for the Month of December last.

Order on the two abovementioned
(43-45) Registers — Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

Returns

From the Pl. Collector in the
No. Dn. of Arcot — Return of Fines and
(46) stoppages made from the pay of Public servants from 1st October to 31st December last.

From the Pl. Collector in the
No. Dn. of Arcot — Return of alterations
(47) that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages from 1st October to 31st December last.

From the Pl. Collector in the
No. Dn. of Arcot — Return of Public ser-
(48) vants suspended or dismissed from employment from 1st October to 31st December last.

From the Pl. Collector in the
No. Dn. of Arcot — Return of Village Officers
(49) suspended or dismissed from employment for the Month of November last.

From the Acting Collector in the
No. Dn. of Arcot — Quarterly Salt
(50.) and Money account current from 1st to 30th September last.

From the Collector of
(51) Bellary — Indent of Stationary and Sundries required for the use of the Collector for the year 1842.

Ordered

Order thereon — Ordered that the Indent be ex-
51-52 pared countersigned and transmitted to the
Military Board.

From the Collector of Bellary — Abstract of Demand
53 Collection and Balance for the Month of
December last.—

From the Sub Collector in charge of
54 Cuddapah — Abstract of Demand
Collection and Balance for the Month of December last.

From the Collector of
55 Coimbatore — Abstract of Demand Collection
and Balance for the Month of December last.—

From the Principal Collector of Canara — Abstract of
56 Demand Collection and Balance for the Month
of December last.—

From the Collector of Guntoor — Abstract of Demand
57 Collection and Balance for the Month of
December last.—

From the Collector of Madras — Abstract of
58 Demand Collection and Balance for the
Month of December last.—

From the Collector of Vizagapatam — Abstract of
59 Demand Collection and Balance for the
Month of December last.—

Statement

From the Collector of Vizagapatam — Statement shewing
60 the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt for the Month of December last.—

From the Collector of Bellary — Statement shewing
61 the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt for the Month of December last.—

From the Sub Collector in charge of
62 Cuddapah — Statement shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt for the Month of December
last.—

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Statement
63 shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices
of Grain and Salt for the Month of De-
cember last.—

From the Principal Collector of
64 Canara — Statement shewing
the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain
and Salt for the Month of December
last.—

From the Collector of Guntoor — Statement shewing
65 the average Bazar wholesale prices of
Grain and Salt for the Month of December
last.—

Statement

Fort St. George 31st January 1862.

From the Collector of Madras — Statement showing the Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of December last. — (66)

From the Principal Collector of Canara — Indent for scarlet and Blue Cloth required for the use of his Office. — (67)

Order thereon — Ordered that the Indent be passed and forwarded to the Secretary to the Clothing Boards. — (67-68)

From the Collector of Guntoor — Return of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1816 for the Month of December last. — (69)

From the Collector of Guntoor — Stating that the return of Village Officers suspended or dismissed under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831 for the Month of December last is not sent there being no entry to make. — (70)

Statement

Fort St. George 31st January 1862.

From the Collector of Madras — Statement of smuggled Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of December last. — (71)

From the Collector of Madras — Statement of Goods released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of December last. — (72)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry — Statement of Goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of January 1862. — (73)

Order on the three abovementioned Statements — Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled articles as well as the levy of Extra duty enumerated in the statements be authorized. — (71-74)

From the Superintendent of Stamps — Transmitting an Indent for the usual advance to the present contractor (75)

1425 Fort St. George 31st January 1842.

contractor Ranny Pillah Condapah Modelly for supplying Paper and Cadjans and requesting that it may be passed and returned.—

Order thereon.— Ordered that the Indent be passed and returned to him.—

(75-76)

" Hunt

" G. Henry

" Walter Elliot

" A. Macleod

Comp.d
Sd. G. Boyle
Secy.