1

Fort St. George 2nd January 1842.

Diary.

Sent the following letter

23rd Dec. 1841.

To the Pt. Collector of

Coimbatore.

To the Pt. Collr. of Coimbatore. Sir, With reference to your letter of the 4th October last. No 92. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the sum of Rupees three, paid by the late acting Principal Collector in December 1838. to a Lady, who had consigned a package from the Board's Office to Coimbatore.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 23rd December 1841.

Signed D. B. Jewett
Secretary.

A.G.

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

A Meeting Present.

Monday 3d January 1842

Shaw Dent Esqr. Senior Member.
G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member.
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member.
and
Alexander Maclean Esqr. Temporary Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th December 1841.

Read the following Letter from the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 11th October 1841. No. 425)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having given his attentive Consideration to the Suggestions contained in the foregoing Proceedings and the Correspondence accompanying, for the improvement of the Establishment employed in Vizagapatam, approves of the arrangement now submitted for his sanction.—

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Approving of the revised Establishment proposed for the Vizagapatam District, and directing it to be recommended to the Government of India that sanction may be granted for the same.

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

2 According to the classification given by the Board of Revenue, the total Public Establishment, Hoozoor Police, and including Saderward in Rupees 5881 — 8050.
Vizianagaram Mogan Zabitah payable from the assets of the Estate 3565 — 3869.
Establishment on account of Minor Estate 238 — 263.

3. The first item which is the only one for which the Sanction of the Government of India will be necessary, presents the largest amount of increase Viz. Rupees 2169. This is explained in para 11 of the foregoing proceedings, that the whole together the Cost of a new Establishment, but is partly owing to the re-organization of the Police, and Government having debited with what had been heretofore improperly charged to Estates under Management partly to an increase in the number and pay of Peons.

4 The Collections of the Vizagapatam District

Districts considerably exceed those of the neighbouring Zillahs of Ganjam and Masulipatam, where a larger and more expensive Establishment is maintained than that heretofore employed in Vizagapatam.

The per centage of the charge for Establishment on the Revenue Collections of those Districts some what exceeds 1½ per Cent, while with the additions proposed for Vizagapatam, the charge will amount to nearly 4 per cent, His Lordship in Council cannot therefore consider the Establishment to be excessive and as such, resolves to recommend to the Government of India that sanction may be granted for the proposed Innam Zabitah involving a charge of Rupees 8050 per mensem

From the Cml Accts Ganjam Rents, Collection 1840-41 Expenditure
Revenue & Superintendence Establishment
Percentage of Charge on Revenue Collections
Masulipatam Revenue Collections of Fasly 1249
Revenue and Superintendence Establishment
Per centage
Vizagapatam Revenue Collection of Fasly 1249
Proposed Inam Zabitah Establishment
Per centage

8,050.
1½
11,69,911.
8,050.
1½
10,39,940.
6,000.
Ganjam 6

Cons Paras 13.14.

5. The Inam Zabitahs for the Vizianagaram and Minor Estates seem unobjectionable, and may be adopted immediately.

(Signed) Wm Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, with transcript of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings to which it relates, bearing date the 11th October last be furnished to the Collector and Government Agent in Vizagapatam for his information and guidance with reference to his Communication

(2-3)

In Cons 29th July 1841 of the 8th July.

Mr Arbuthnot will take immediate steps for remodelling the Establishments of the Vizianagaram and Minor Estates in Vizagapatam as now sanctioned by Government. The modifications proposed in the general Establishment of the District, and authorized by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

&c.

it is observed are subject to the approval
and sanction of the Supreme Government
which will be forwarded to the Collector
when received.

From the chief Secy to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 11th December 1841.

(4) Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Here enter 11th November 1841. No. 1190.)

The Right Honorable the Governor
in Council grants Sanction for carrying
out the arrangements recommended in
the foregoing proceedings for improving
the line of road leading from the Fort of
Cuddapah to the Cantonment.

(Signed) W.m Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(4-5.) Ordered that transcript of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
with Copy of Extract from the Boards Proceedings
of the 11th November be furnished to the Officer
in charge of Cuddapah for his information and
guidance with reference to Mr. Ashton's letter
of the 25th October last.

Extract

From the chief Secy to Government. Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 11th December 1841.

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Here enter 18th November 1841.)

Communicating orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1250.

It is satisfactory to this
Para 1.
Lordship in Council to observe that during
the Fusly under review in the District of
(6) Coimbatore, the Net revenue derived from the
several items forming the Extra Sources
exceeded that of the preceding year, with
the single exception of that accruing from
the Sale of Sandalwood, Elephants and
Ivory:—

Decrease on Sandalwood Rupees 18539.—2

The decrease under this head
amounted to the Sum entered in the Margin,
and is satisfactorily accounted for in para
6 of the Boards Proceedings, wherein it is
stated to be "owing to the Sale proceeds of
certain Sandalwood purchased in Fusly 1250.
being brought to account in the following year."

3 The total result of all the branches
of Extra Revenue exhibits an increase of
Rupees 7,230-8— as contrasted with the Net
Revenue

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842

Revenue of the preceding fusly -

4 In the concluding para of these Proceedings, the Board notice the balances due on account of Extra Sources for fusly 1250 and Anterior to fusly 1250. which are stated to amount in the aggregate to the sum of £160-4-4. of which Rupees 124-8-7 are considered recoverable. The realization of the remaining balance being deemed hopeless, the Board recommend its remission, and His Lordship in Council accordingly sanctions the sum

2035-7-9 of Rupees two thousand and thirty five, annas seven and pice nine, being struck off from the Public accounts.

Signed Wm Chamier
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon, Ordered that a copy of the

6-7 foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore for his information & guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated the 18th November last, relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of revenue in the District of Coimbatore for Fusly 1250.

Extract

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Remo the Chief Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 17th December 1841.

8 Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 1st November 1841. Sn 463.)

As the grounds at St. Thome referred to in the letter from the Board of Revenue of the 17th of June last, has been, and continues to be appropriated for a Church and Cemetery for the Roman Catholic population of that vicinity, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to exempt the same from the payment of Quit rent from the date of such appropriation, and so long as it may continue to be so appropriated.

(Signed) Wm Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon - Ordered that transcript of the

8-9 foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Collector of Madras for his information & guidance, with reference to Mr. Robertson's Letter of the fifth June 1841. and Mr. Huddleston's Communication of the 22nd Oct. last.

Extract

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

From the chief Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 13th December 1841.

(10)

Read the following letter
from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 11th November 1841. No. 484/

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council having given his
attentive consideration to all the circum-
=stances connected with the Village of
Caurapaud Rum in North Arcot, reported
in the foregoing letter is of opinion that
the Principal Collector should be
directed to apply to the Court of Sadr
Udalut for instructions respecting
that property, and the surplus funds
appertaining to it.—

(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon,

(10-11)

Ordered that Copy of the
foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation, with Copy of the Communication
to the Chief Secretary to Government
dated

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

dated the 11th November be furnished to
the Principal Collector in the Northern
Division of Arcot, with reference to
his letters of the 26th August and 19th October
last. Mr. Ogilvie will take measures
in accordance with the orders of Government
now communicated, and will report the
result of any reference to the Sudder
Udalut in regard to the ultimate disposal
of the Caurapaud Rum Village.

From the chief Secy to Government.

Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Province of Canara for Fusly 1249.

Extract from the Minutes of Consul-
=tation under date the 13th December 1841.

(12)

Read the following Extract
from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 23rd September 1841 No. 5)

Para 1. The season of Fusly 1249. in
the District of Canara was represented by
the Principal Collector as upon the
whole favorable, and although the prices at
several of the Sea Ports were slightly
reduced, owing to local causes, they were
in the interior of the Province superior to
those obtained in the previous year, and the
Board observe that looking to the average

Fr

of the five preceding years the gain to the Ryots was very Considerable."

2 The result, upon the Settlement of the year, Shews an increase of Rupees 12,173-11-9. Compared with that of the previous Fasly as noted in the Margin. This excess is attributable chiefly to the additions arising from the instalments on Estates under engagement to pay progressive increase, and also from the additions of assessment on Estates under enquiry, the particulars of which are entered in para 21. of the Principal Collectors report.

Fasly 1249-1839-40-13-11
1248-1838-39-1-8.
Excess 12173-11-9.

3 In the Proceedings under review the Board enter at Considerable length into the question of the classification of Estates, both as that measure affects the Establishment of a regular and uniform Revenue and Consequent discontinuance of Remissions, and also the detection of frauds on the Revenue by the improper appropriation of Lands.—

4 With the imperfect information which

which has hitherto been furnished no definite Conclusion as to the true results of the working of this System could have been attained. His Lordship in Council therefore refrains from any observations on the several points discussed in these Proceedings until the question in all its bearings together with the Sentiments of the Board Shall have been laid before Government.—

5 The Collections of Current Revenue are observed to have been realized with greater punctuality in the year under review than in the preceding, the amount outstanding at the close of the Fasly was Rupees 15,237-4-3. which however was subsequently collected with the exception of the trifling balance of Rupees 4,669-7-1.—

6 The arrears of Land Revenue due on account of former years, it is satisfactory to observe were reduced from Rupees 22,999-11-1. to Rupees 4,313-7-11. of which amount the Sum of Rupees (588-4-4.) five hundred and eighty eight, and annas four, being Considered totally irrecoverable

irrecoverable, His Lordship in Council on the recommendation of the Board, resolves shall be struck out of the accounts.

7 The instruction issued to the Principal Collector with respect to the proposal originating in the Sub Collector for relieving the Cultivators of Coonerie* from assessment on waste Lands adjoining the High road recently formed through the Soonda Salook, (adverted to in para 25 of these Proceedings) meet with the approval of this Lordship in Council.

8 As the Board make no reference in their Proceedings under Consideration to the observations of Mr C. Mattby contained in para 9 of his report on the benefit which would result to Commercial Intercourse by improving the Bullock road from Buntwall towards the Carcadakal Pass, this Lordship in Council desires to be informed whether any notice has been taken of these remarks either by the Board or local Authorities, with a view to remove the great impediments to traffic which the present condition of the road is stated to produce.

His

*Forest or thick jungle lands partially cleared and cropped for one or two seasons only and then relinquished.

His Lordship in Council feels highly gratified at the reiterated commendations which the Public Services of the two Messrs. Mattby and Mr. Sushington continue to receive from the Principal Collector and the Board of Revenue.

[Signed] H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(12-13) Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Principal Collector of Canara for his information and guidance, with reference to the Board's Proceedings on the settlement of the Land Revenues of that province for Fasly 1249 under date the 23d September last.

Mr Blair is requested to state whether any farther disbursements are necessary for improving the Road from Buntwall to the Carcadakal Pass, and if so, he will state the amount, and report thereon at his earliest convenience thro' the department of Public Works. It is known that a small sum included in the annual Estimate has been submitted for sanction in that Department for

for this purpose under date the 13th August last.—

From the Chief Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 15th December 1841—

14

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Here enter 4th November 1841. No 471.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sees no sufficient reason for complying with the recommendation conveyed in the foregoing letter and observes that from the position of the land on which remission of rent is sought by the Cranadar of Carcumundalum, it could never have been of value corresponding with the remainder of the Inamium, although rated as such in the Calculation of Quit rent recommended by the Collector to be remitted.—

(Signed) Hy Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

14-15

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Consultation, and of the Board's letter therein recorded, be forwarded to the Collector of Chingleput for his information and guidance, with reference to his letter of the 9th September last.

From the Chief Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 24th December 1841.

16

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 28th October 1841. No 459.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is of opinion, with Mr Blair, that the amount realized from the taxes on professions is insignificant, and as it is stated to afford opportunities for petty speculation and vexatious interference in its Collection, he is willing to forego the demand on that account. The other modifications in the assessment of the Inoturpha of the Canara District are also approved and sanctioned, and may be carried into effect.—

(Signed) Hy Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

Order thereon.

(16-17)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings thereon recorded be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Canara for his information and guidance with reference to his report upon the Moturpha tax, bearing date the 24th September 1841.-

2nd Cons. 18th Oct. 1841.
From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th December 1841.

(18)

Two Copies of a Report on the Khalsa Villages of Sjmere, by Lieutenant Colonel Sutherland, having been received from Bengal, resolved that five Copies be furnished to the Board of Revenue, and the remainder lodged in the Stores of Government.

(Signed) W.H. Channier
Chief Secy.

To The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue.

Order thereon.

(18-19)

Read

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

Read the following letter.-

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Capacity of Court of Wards.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

(20)

From the Collr. Viz. Vizagapatam

Forwards his annual Report on the Minor Estates of Maringhy and Sungumvulsah for fusly 1250.-

Para 1. I have the honor to submit my report on the Zemindaries of Maringhy and Sungumvulsah which are now under the control of the Board of Revenue in their Capacity of Court of Wards, and to forward the following Accounts.

Maringhy

I. Comparative Demand, Collection and Balance for fuslies 1249/50.

II Demand, Collection and Balance of arrears for fusly 1250.-

III Account Current of the Maringhy Zemindary for fusly 1250.

IV. Demand, Collection and Balance of Circars Peishcush for fusly 1250.

V. Statement shewing the comparison of Charges between fuslies 1249/50.-

Sungumvulsah

I. Comparative Demand, Collection

Collection and Balance for fuslies 1249/50.

II Demand, Collection and Balance of Arrears for fusly 1250.

III Account Current of the Sunguvoulsalo Zemindary for fusly 1250.

IV. Demand, Collection and Balance of Circar's Peishcush for fusly 1250.

V Statement shewing the comparison of Charges between fuslies 1249/50.

Mariegley- 2. The following abstract Statement exhibits a comparison of the Demand, Collection and Balance in fuslies 1249/50.

№	Demand. Jkhum Settled Dowle. Dowle.	Collection	Balance Jkhum Settled Dowle. Dowle.
1 Fusly 1249	34245 " 35339 3 9	34825 13 "	1416 8 " 513 6 9
2 " 1250	34224 15 1	35166 13 4	34296 15 7 192 7 15 6 869 13 9
Increase	" " "	" "	511 7 6 356 7 "
Decrease	17 5 11	17265	528 13 5 " " " " "

A

3. A considerable revenue is derived from renting out the Mango topes, but from a deficiency of rain, the Mango Crop, almost entirely failed, and there is in consequence a falling off of Rupees 65. 12. " which was reduced to Rupees 17. 5. 11. from an increase in the Enam Cuttoobadies.-

4. From the unfavorable State of the Season there is a decrease of Rupees 172. 6. 5. settled to be realized, and of Rupees 528. 13. 5. in the amount actually settled.-

5. Of the amount settled to be realized, there was a balance of Rupees 869. 13. 9. at the close of the fusly, which has since been reduced to Rupees 651. 2. 6. the whole of which I hope to be able to recover in the present year. The difference between the Jkhum and settled rate at present.-

6. At the commencement of the fusly under review, the outstanding arrears amounted as

as per Statement No. II to Rupees - - - - 5782-11-1.

If from this be deducted the collection in fusly 1250 } 679-1-2.

The amount remitted by Sanction of Government dated 14th December 1840 and 2nd August 1841. - - 1079-12-9.

1758-11-11.

There remains a balance of Rupees 3423-15-2

7. A brief abstract of receipts and disbursements as detailed in account.

Gross Collection - - - - 37651-12-9. No. III is noted in the

Deduct.

Peishcush - 18500-0-0

charges - - } 7135-11-3. Margin, I request on

Collection &c. } Sanction for the charges

25635-11-3. amounting to Rupees

Surplus 12016-1-6. 25635-11-3. all of which

are of an ordinary nature. Of the Surplus revenue amounting at the close of the Fusly to Rupees 12016-1-6. I have requested the Accountant General and Sub Treasurer to invest Rupees 10000 in Government Securities, which has been accordingly done.-

8. The minor continues to reside at Vizagapatam, he has now a

very tolerable knowledge of business, and by the time that his Minority will expire, (in two years more) I have every confidence that he will be fully competent to the Management of his property.-

Inquiries Sah. 9. The subjoined Statement Shews the Comparative Demand, Collection and Balance in this Zemindary for Fusly 1249/50.-

No. Fusly	Demand. Rham. Dowle.	Settled Dowle.	Balance. Collection. Rham. Dowle.	Settled Dowle.
Fusly 1249	14,206 1 0	14,059 4	13,911 11 8	294 54 14 748.
" 1250	14,610 15 2	14,610 15 2	14,556 7 4	54 7 10 54 7 10.
Increase - -	404 14 2	551 14 10.	644 11 8.	" " " " " "
Decrease - -	" "	" "	" "	239 13 6 92 12 10.

10. The following are the details of the increase in the

the Rham dowle.

1. Increase for lands previously uncultivated having now been brought under Cultivation - - - 404 " 10

2. Do on hamlets recently discovered in the hills. Viz.t - 3

1. Ramadassoovulsah - - - - - 3 " "

2. Chendrama Pottah - - 18 " 48 "

3. Increase on the renewal of a lease of the Village of Cheinna Chanoogally - - - - - - - - - - 25 " "

4. Increase in the Moturpha - - - 1-94

Total increase - - - 435-2-2

Deduct decrease in the Amount from which the Mango topes were rented - - 30 4 "

Net increase - - - - 404-14-2

11 There was also an increase of Rupees 551-14-10 in the settled Dowle, and of Rupees 644-11-8 in the actual Collection which in a great measure is to be attributed to the high price which produce of every description has borne during the past year - -

There

12. There was a balance of the settled dowle amounting to Rupees 54-7-10 outstanding at the close of this Year. This has since been reduced to Rupees 51-7-10 which will be shortly recovered. -

13. The arrears at the end of Fusly 1249 amounted as per statement No II. to Rupees - - - - 1959-13-8

Deduct amount Collected in Fusly 1250 - - - } 226-7-11

Deduct as per sanction of Govt dated 14th December 1840 } 406-8-9

Do Do 2d August 1841 - - 552-2-5 1185-3-1

leaving a remaining balance of Rs. 774-10-7

which will be gradually recovered

14. In the Margin an abstract of receipts and disbursements during the year is exhibited, and Sanction is requested for Rupees

Rs Collection - - -		16029-15-1
Deduct.		
Charges	6,700-0-0	
Existence of Ryots	2975-3-1	
	9675-3-1	
Surplus - - -	6,354-12-0	
		9675-3-1

the Amount of Charges which are all of an ordinary description -

15. Of the Surplus revenue remaining

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

remaining in the Treasury at the close
the fusly and amounting to Rupees 6,354
12. I have included Rupees 5,500 in Go
=vernment securities through the a
Agency of the accountant General
and Sub Treasurer.

16. The minor resides at
Vizagapatam and is prosecuting his studi
in my Cutchery, he has made conside
=able progress during the past year.-

17. Before concluding this c
report, I beg leave to submit a state
=ment of the different investment
in Government securities on
account of these two Zemin
=daries which will I trust be
Satisfactory to the Court of Ward
as proving that the Estates of the
Minors are in a flourishing
Condition.-

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

18 The Marungby Estate was on
placed under the charge of the
Court of Wards in fusly 1245- The Sun
=gumculsah Estate in fusly 1238.-

Names of Zemin=daries	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Total
Marungby								7000 " " 10000 " " 10,000 " " 27,000 " "
Sungum culsah	1000 " "		4000 " "		3000 " "	4000 " "	5,500 " "	17,500 " "
							Total	44,500 " "

Vizagapatam
Bimlipatam Coll.s "
Circuit Catchery
4th " December 1841

Signed W. R. Arbuthnot
Collector

Comparative

2 3rd January 1842

and Balance of the Marwary Zemindary for Fusliee 1249/50

Collection &c.							Settlement				Balance		
Settled											Amount Settled		
Arrears	Total	Current	Arrears	Total	Remission	Total	Current	Arrears	Total	Current	Arrears	Total
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1,424 13 2	36,764 " 11	34,825 13 "	1,424 13 2	36,250 10 2	" " "	36,250 10 2	1,416 8 "	3,766 3 1	5,182 11 1	343 69	" " "	343 69
1,012 8 10	36,179 6 2	34,296 15 7	679 1 2	34,976 " 9	1,079 10 9	36,055 11 6	1,927 15 6	3,423 15 2	5,351 14 8	869 139	333 7 8	1,203 5 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,079 10 9	" " "	511 7 6	" " "	169 3 7	356 7 "	333 7 8	689 14 8
412 4 4	584 10 9	528 13 5	745 12 "	1,274 9 5	" " "	19,148	" " "	342 3 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

C. C. J.P.s / Signed / W. W. Arbuthnot --

Collectar

Demand

No. 2 Demand Collection and Balance of Current and Arrears of the Fasli

Forth Year

II

No.	Fasli	Demand				Collections Rs.				Remission			
		Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total		Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total		Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Tot	
	1	2	3		4	5	6	7	8	9		10	
1	Fasly 1240	203 8 "	" " "	203	8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
2	" 1241	216 12 "	" " "	216	12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
3	" 1242	561 " "	" " "	561	" "	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
4	" 1244	68 5 4	" " "	68	5 4	50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	
5	" 1246	1263 10 2	" " "	1263	10 2	18 11 "	" " "	18 11 "	822 8 "	" " "	822		
6	" 1247	6 14 4	" " "	6	14 4	1 11 "	" " "	1 11 "	" " "	" " "	1		
7	" 1248	1446 1 3	" " "	1446	1 3	226 13 10	" " "	226 13 10	255 7 9	" " "	255		
8	" 1249	1416 8 "	" " "	1416	8 "	331 13 4	" " "	331 13 4	" " "	" " "	"		
	Total arrears	5182 11 1	" " "	5182	11 1	679 1 2	" " "	679 1 2	1079 10 9	" " "	1079		
9	" 1250	36224 15 1	279 " "	36503	15 1	34296 15 7	267 " "	34563 15 7	" " "	" " "	"		
	Grand Total	41407 10 2	279 " "	41686	10 2	34976 " 9	267 " "	35243 " 9	1079 10 9	" " "	1079		

Vizagapatam 14th December 1841.

(Signed) W. W.

Fort St. George

No. 3 III

Dr. Account Current of the Minor Estate of Vizagapatam for

3rd January 1842

of Maringhy Gemindary in the District } Cr.

Fusly 1250

		Amount Total
Receipts		
Balance as per account Current prepared up to the end of Fusly 1249		" " 2,284 12 "
Receipts in the Current Fusly		
Arrears		
Sist of Fusly 1246	18 11 "	
Fusly 1247		
Enams	1 " 4	
Moturpha	" 11 " 1 11 "	
Salubdevany of Fusly 1242	50 " "	
D. " 1244	50 " 4	
Fusly 1248		
Seroytte	218 9 10	
Enams	7 13 "	
Moturpha	" 7 " 226 13 10	
Fusly 1249		
Seroytee	190 8 8	
Enams	81 8 8	
Fruit trees	53 12 "	
Moturpha	6 " " 331 13 4	679 1 2
Carried forward		679 1 2 2287 12 "

		Amount Total
Charges		
By Cash paid on account of the minor's allowance from July 1840 to June 1841 being 12 months at 160 Rs. per month		1824 " "
Wages to a teacher at 8 Rs. per month	96 " "	1920 " "
By Cash paid to Chendramah mother to the Minor at 20 Rupees per Month		" " " 240 " "
By d. to N. Croostachendrooloo guardian to the minor at 25 Rupees per month		" " " 300 " "
By d. to Satroocherlah Soobadra mal at 15 Rupees per month		" " " 180 " "
By d. to S. Lomarauze at 10 Rupees per month		" " " 120 " "
By d. to the heirs of Veeraparauze at 10 Rupees per month		" " " 120 " "
By d. to Satroocherlah Veeradraraauze Confined at Chinglepat Fight		
For the Month of June 1840	53 15 "	" " "
Carried Over	53 15 "	2880 " "

Fort St. George

Brought forward		679 1 2	2,287 12 4
Current Fusly 1250-			
Jeroytee	27,377 7 4		
Enam Cuttoobadies	4,690 12 4		
Moturpha	404 11 11		
Shotreum	783 " "		
Saluhdevany	1,041 " "	34,296 15 7	
Extraordinary Succavy Amount received from the ryots	267 " 4		
Amount refunded to the Hoozoor being the remainder of the sum remitted to the Saloock Cutchery for Succavy	121 " 4		
	388 " 4		
		35,364 " 9	
Carried forward			37,631 12 9

January 1842

Brought forward		53 15 "	2,880 " "
For July 1840		53 15 6	
" August "		53 15 6	
" September "		53 15 "	
" October "		53 15 6	
" November "		53 15 "	
" December "		53 15 6	
" January 1841		53 15 6	
" February "		53 14 "	
" March "		53 13 5	
" April "		53 12 "	
" May "		53 12 6	646 14 5
			3,526 14 5
January Establishment- Wages to the servants-			
By cash paid to the Amen from July 1840 to June 1841 at 40 Rupees per month after deducting a fine of 11¼ Rupees		468 12 "	
By Do. to the Peishcar from Do. to Do. at 20 Rupees per month after deducting a fine of one Rupee		239 " "	
By Do. to the Head Muddutgar from Do. to Do. at 12 Rupees per month		144 " "	
By Do. to the 2 Do. Do. from Do. to Do. 10 Do. Do.		120 " "	
By Do. to 3 Sonoodars Do. at Do. after deducting ¼ Rupee fine		359 12 "	
By Do. to a Shroff at 4 Rupees Do. after deducting Rupees 1-15-2-		46 " 10	
Carried Over			1,377 8 10 3,526 14 5

Brought Over ---- 37651 12 9

Carried Over ---- 37651 12 9

Brought Over		1377 8 10	3526 14 5
By Cash paid wages to a Duffadar for 12 Months at 6 Rs per month	72 " "		
D° to 18 Peons for 12 months at 3 Rs after deducting 9 Rupees 2-10-4	645 5 8		
		2094 14 6	
Saderwared			
Hoozoor Saderwared at 4 Rupees per month	48 " "		
District D° D° at 5 D°	60 " "	108 " "	
Wages to 2 additional Goomastahs from 20th January 1841 to 10th March 1841 at 10 Rupees each per month after deducting 2 annas as a fine		" " "	34 31
D° to a Zuft Goomastha from 4th March to 19th April 1841		" " "	15 5 10
D° to 12 Masooldars from 26th September 1840 to 24th March 1841 at 3 Rupees each per month		" " "	213 11 9
D° to 4 Zuft Peons from 4th March to 14th May 1841		" " "	28 4 "
D° to 4 Anchanadars from 26th November 1840 to 3d February 1841 at 10 Rupees each per month		" " "	90 15 "
Samalundy Saderwared			
By Cash paid being the usual annual allowances of Stregagumm Nadathaswamy		" " "	100 3 "
Hire for Carrying records on Circuit		" " "	2 " "
Carried Over ----			6224 15 "

Fort St. George 3 January 1842

Brought Over		37651 12
Total Company's Rupees		37651 12

Vizagapatam
14th December 1841

C. C. J.

S.B.B.

Brought Over		622715
By Cash Credited in the Sircar's Chettah on account of Government Commission of Fasly 1249		50443
Amount advanced for Repairs		40113
By Cash paid being postage of the correspondence		12
By Cash paid being Government Peishcush		18500
		25635 13 3
Balance		12,016 16
Total Company's Rupees		37,651 12 9

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector

Demand.

Fort St George 3d January 1842

V

No 5 Statement Shewing the Comparison of charges of the Maringhy Zemindary between Fuslies 1249/50.—

No	Names of Sources.	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Increase	Decrease
1	Subsistence for Menor &c.a	3,513 12 .	3,526 14 5	13 2 5	" " "
2	Aumeen Establishment	2,103 10 3	2,094 14 6	" " "	8 11 9
3	Saderwared	108 " "	108 " "	" "	" " "
4	Money allowance to the Jagun-nathaswamy Pagoda	100 3 "	100 3 "	" " "	" " "
5	Value of a Desk purchased for Menor Zemindar	4 8 "	" " "	" " "	4 8 "
		5,830 1 3	5,829 15 11	13 2 5	13 3 9
	Extra charges				
6	Additional Goomastahs	35 3 8	34 3 1	" " "	1 " 7
7	Zuft Goomastahs	32 11 10	15 5 10	" " "	17 6 "
8	Anchanadars	77 12 10	90 15 "	13 2 2	" " "
9	Masooldars and Zuft Peons	290 6 8	241 15 9	" " "	48 6 11
10	Jamabundy Saderwared and record Cooly	3 4 "	15 8 2	10 4 2	" " "
11	Government Commission	465 14 8	504 14 3	38 15 7	" " "
12	Repairs of Tanks	40 " "	401 11 3	361 11 3	" " "
13	Court charges	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	10 8 "
14	Succany	400 " "	" " "	" " "	400 " "
	Total charges	7,187 14 11	7,134 9 3	437 3 7	490 9 3
15	Circars Peishcush	18,000 " "	18,000 " "	" " "	" " "
16	Investment on Government Security	17,000 " "	" " "	" " "	17,000 " "
		24 "	12 "	" " "	12 "
17	Postage				
	Grand Total	42,690 2 11	25,635 11 3	437 3 7	17,491 11 3

Vizagapatam 14th December 1841. E. E. Ft / Signed W. A. Arbuthnot Collector

comparison

Fort St George

I

3d January 1842

Jemindary for Fasties 1249/50

Balance of the Sungiemmeelsah

Total	Collection &c. Collection Current	Collection &c. Collection Arrears	Collection &c. Collection Total	Remission of Arrears	Total	Balance Settlement Current	Balance Settlement Arrears	Balance Settlement Total	Balance Amount Settled Current	Balance Amount Settled Arrears	Balance Amount Settled Total
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
14,386 15 8	139 11 11 8	327 15 4	14,239 11 "	" " "	14,239 11 "	294 5 4	1,665 8 4	1,959 13 8	147 4 8	" " "	147 4 8
14,935 5 10	14,556 7 4	226 7 11	14,782 15 3	958 11 2	15,741 10 5	54 7 10	774 10 7	829 2 5	54 7 10	97 14 9	152 6 7
548 6 2	644 11 8	" " "	543 4 3	958 11 2	1,501 15 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	97 14 9	5 1 11	
" " "	" " "	101 7 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	239 13 6	890 13 9	1,130 11 3	92 12 10	" " "	" " "

E. E. Fp / Signed W. U.

Arbuthnot

Collector

Demand

Fort St George

No 7 Demand Collection and Balance

II

No	Fuslies	Demand						Collection						Balance					
		Land Revenue			Tuccavy			Total			Land Revenue			Tuccavy			Total		
1		2			3			4			5			6			7		
1	Fusly 1246	1,135	6	1	"	"	"	1,135	6	1	1,135	6	1	"	"	"	1,135	6	1
2	" 1248	530	2	3	"	"	"	530	2	3	530	2	3	"	"	"	530	2	3
3	" 1249	294	5	4	"	"	"	294	5	4	294	5	4	"	"	"	294	5	4
		1,959	13	8	"	"	"	1,959	13	8	1,959	13	8	"	"	"	1,959	13	8
4	Current Fusly	14,610	15	2	120	8	"	14,731	7	2	12,771	1	11	120	8	"	12,891	9	11
	Total	16,570	12	10	120	8	"	16,691	4	10	14,730	14	7	120	8	"	14,851	6	7

Vizagapatam 14th December

3d January 1842

of Current Revenue and Arrears of the Singasamoodrum Zemindary for fusly 1250.

Collection			Remission			Total			Balance		
Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total	Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total	Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total	Land Revenue	Tuccavy	Total
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
4652 " "		4652	827 " 4	" "	827 " 4	873 5 6	" "	873 5 6	262 " 7	" "	262 " 7
99 1 9	" "	99 1 9	131 10 10	" "	131 10 10	230 12 7	" "	230 12 7	299 5 8	" "	299 5 8
811 "	" "	811 "	" "	" "	" "	811 "	" "	811 "	213 4 4	" "	213 4 4
2267 11	" "	2267 11	958 11 2	" "	958 11 2	1185 31	" "	1185 31	774 10 7	" "	774 10 7
14556 7 4	1208 "	14,676 15 4	" "	" "	" "	14556 7 4	1208 "	14,676 15 4	54 7 10	" "	54 7 10
14,782 15 3	1208 "	14,903 7 3	958 11 2	" "	958 11 2	15741 10 5	1208 "	15,862 25	829 2 5	" "	829 2 5

1841. E. E. Ps / Signed / W. A. Arbuthnot

Collector

Account

Fort St. George 3d January 1843.

V

No. 10 Statement Shewing the Comparison of charges of the Sungum-vulsah Zemindary between Fuslies 1249/50.

No.	Names of Sources.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Increase	Decrease
1	Subsistence to the Minor	1,713 2 7	1,716 " "	2 13 5	" " "
2	Aumeen Establishment	645 13 7	643 14 7	" " "	1 15 "
3	Saderwared	60 " "	60 " "	" " "	" " "
		2,419 " 2	2,419 14 7	2 13 5	1 15 "
	Extra Charges				
4	Additional Goomastahs	17 11 10	17 6 8	" " "	" 5 2
5	Anchanadars	" " "	44 2 2	44 2 2	" " "
6	Mahasooldars	146 12 1	101 11 "	" " "	45 1 1
7	Government Commission	172 4 2	202 11 4	30 7 2	" " "
8	Tuccavy	200 " "	" " "	" " "	200 " "
9	Repairs of Tanks &c.	100 " "	80 " "	" " "	20 " "
10	Jamabundy Saderwared	4 4 "	7 15 4	3 11 4	" " "
11	Value of a horse purchased for the Minor	130 " "	" " "	" " "	130 " "
12	Amount paid consequent on the betrothment of the Minor	" " "	100 " "	100 " "	" " "
13	Postage	2 8	1 6 "	" " "	1 2 "
		773 8 1	535 4 6	178 4 8	396 8 3
14	Sircar's Peishcush	6,700 " "	6,700 " "	" " "	" " "
15	Investment in Government Security	7,000 " "	" " "	" " "	7,000 " "
	Grand Total	16,892 8 3	9,675 3 1	181 2 1	7,398 7 3
	Deduct Increase				181 2 1
	Net Decrease				7,217 5 2

Vizagapatam
14th December 1841.

C" C" J"r / (Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot
Collector

Fort St. George 3d. January 18

Order thereon, Ordered to-

(20-21)

be sent again in circu-

=lation.—

From W. H. G. Mason Esqre. Asst. to the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George. } Read

(22)

letter, requesting an exten-

=sion of Leave for 3 Month

or until the 31st. March

next on Sick Certifi-

=cate.—

Order thereon. Ordered to be

(22-23)

submitted for the orders

of

G.G.B.

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

of Government thro' the Office

of Audit.-

Read the following

Statement.-

Kistbundy.

Fort St. Ge...

Kistbundy Statement of the Permanent Assessment of each Zemindary or Estate in the ... Kistb...

No. of Fort St. George Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Number of Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.	Summa on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1250.	First Kist due on the 15th October 1840.	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1840.	Third Kist due on the 15th December 1840.	Fourth Kist due on the 15th January 1841.
				C.R.s a p	C.R.s a p	C.R.s a p	C.R.s a p	C.R.s a p
1	Peddapoor Zemindary	112	Sree Rajah Vatchavoy Sooria Narayana Jogapatarauze Ca Zemindar	1,96311 8	12,268. 8	12,268. 8	24537.	24537.
2	Cottam Estate	36	Do Do	27163. 8	1,097. 8	1,097. 8	3,395.	3,395.
3	Peddapoor Zemindary			2,345	13,966	13,966.	27,932.	2,932.
4	Cavoor Estate	15		2,58979.	16,187. 8	16,187. 8	32,375.	32,375.
5	Gollaproloo Do	13		27,454 11 2	17,157	3,430.	3,430.	3,430.
6	Attely Do	10		26,026.	1,627. 8	1,627. 8	3,255.	3,255.
7	Mooncodavaly Do	3	Rajah Row Sooria Row Minor Zemindar.	22,169. 3 1	1,384. 8	2,772. 8	2,772. 8	4,160 8
8	Veerinapully Do	3		2,105. 2	131. 8	131. 8	263.	394. 8
9	Podoor Do	10		5,392 8	337.	337.	674.	674.
10	Parvogondah Do	12		14,994	938.	938.	1,876.	2,814.
				22,159. 3 3	1,385.	2,770.	2,770.	4,155.
				24,279. 11 7	2,346.	4,694.	4,694.	7,035.

40 Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

No. 9 Demand Collection and Balance ... IV

... Kistbundy Statement of the Permanent Assessment of each Zemindary or Estate in the ... to the end of Fasly 1250.—

Fort St. George

Numbers of Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.	Summary of the Collector.	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.
					Brought forward.
11	Catah Zemindary		Rajah Caukerlapoody Jaggenna=ranze & Cundemallah Croodiah Jen.a Joint Zemindars	62	Gnumogee Venkataramanah — Zemindar
12	Ramachendrapoorum Do.				
13	Telkecherlah Estate	2½	Rajah Caukerlapoody Ram=Chundraranze Zemindar — Caullapully Chena Bremmanah and Caukurlapoody Chendriah Joint Proprietors	9	
14	Goondapully — Do.			9	
15	Vellah Zemindary	1½	Caukurlapoody Chendriah Proprietor	14	Dowoory Venkoosomedavamah Do.
16	Vencatayapollem Do.	1	Mahomed Moulavy Abdool Rajah Zemindar	1	Cocherlacotah Lutchmedavamah Proprietor.
17	Valumpollem — Do.	1	Meerjah Cusuff Saheb. Do.		
18	Vaganjemmapettah Do.	8	Angara Bremmajee Kistnay Do.		
19	Jaulmoody — Do.	10.	Vadravoo Woomapatyé heirs		
20	Velasa — Do.	1	Jella Ramaze Bhano. Zemindar	1	Cowloody Paredasaranze and
21	Janepulla	1		1	Cundmallah Croostiah, Ramiah, Rungiah & Seetaramiah, joint Proprietors
22	Punungepully	1	Subneviah Venkiah Joganah Naganah & Gopaulrow Do.	7	

Carried forward

3rd Ja

Summ
on a
Zemin
or Es
Finally

C Fo

62

ent of the Collector.

62

9

9

14

1

1

n registered in the name of
y Cawn Sahebas authorized by
ue under date 26th July 1841.
jointly registered in the names
majee Kistna and Raw
ed to the Bd. of Rev. under
ed 1841.

3rd January 1842.

Kistbundy.

Jemmah on each Zemindary or Estate for Fasly 1250.	First Kist due on the 15th October 1840.	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1840.	Third Kist due on the 15th December 1840.	Fourth Kist due on the 15th January 1841.	Fifth Kist due on the 15th February 1841	Sixth Kist due on the 15th March 1841.	Seventh Kist due on the 15th April 1841	Eighth Kist due on the 15th May 1841	Ninth Kist due on the 15th June 1841.	Remarks
	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	C.Rs. a P	
62,298.4 -	3,893.12 -	3,893.12 -	7,787. 8 -	7,787. 8 -	11,681 4 -	11,681. 4 -	7,787 8 -	3,893 12 -	3,892 - -	
62,298 4 -	3,893.12 -	3,893.12 -	7,787. 8 -	7,787 8 -	11,681 4 -	11,681 4 -	7,787 8 -	3,893 12 -	3,892 - -	Under the management of the Collector.
962. 8 -	59 8 -	59. 8 -	119. - -	119 - -	178 8 -	178. 8 -	119 - -	59 8 -	70 - -	
1,445 8 -	91 - -	91. - -	182 - -	182 - -	273. - -	273. - -	182 - -	91 - -	80 8 -	This Estate has been registered in the name of Sheerjah Sandookally Cursa Sahebas authorized by the Board of Revenue under date 26th July 1841.
1,946. - -	122 8 -	122 8 -	245. - -	245. - -	367 8 -	367 8 -	245 - -	122 8 -	108 8 -	This Estate has been jointly registered in the name of Angara Brenmyee Kistna and Ram Row ay reported to the Bd. of Rev. under date 20th September 1841.
12,632 3 -	789. - -	789. - -	1,579. - -	1,579. - -	2,368. - -	2,368. - -	1,579 - -	789 - -	792. 3 -	
8,750. - -	546 - -	546. - -	1,092 - -	1,092 - -	1,638 - -	1,638 - -	1,092 - -	546 - -	560. - -	
287. - -	17. 8 -	17. 8 -	35 - -	35 - -	52 8 -	52 8 -	35 - -	17 8 -	24 8 -	
1,790.14 3.	111. - -	224 - -	224 - -	336 - -	224. - -	224 - -	224 - -	112 - -	111 14 3.	
218. 6 8.	13. 8 -	27. - -	27 - -	40-8 -	27. - -	27 - -	27 - -	13 8 -	15 14 8.	
854. - -	52. 8 -	52. 8 -	105 - -	105. - -	157. 8 -	157 8 -	105 - -	52 8 -	66 8 -	
7,57,200.3 6	47,321 8 -	51,936 - -	94,649 8 -	98,617. 8 -	1,39,077 - -	1,38,877 8 -	92,934 8 -	47,322 8 -	47,334.3 6.	

No. of Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages.	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors.
			Brought forward
23.	Hondadawara poorum Zemindary	1	Gaumogjee Venkataramanah
24	Buntoomilly Do	1	Zemindar
25.	Mookamala Agrahram	1	Dossoory Venkoosomedavamah Do
26.	Rawly Estate	18	Cocherlacotah Lutchmedavama
27.	Bommoroo Do	1	Proprietor
28	Munchely Do	1	Cowlondy Parcdasarauze and
29.	Gopallapoorum	11	Cundmullah Croostiah, Ramiah, Rungiah & Seetaramiah, Joint Proprietors

No. of Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages.	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors
40	Moongondah Estates	20	Colly Puttamberama Row the
41	Yanoogapully Do	2	Do
42	Gootalah Do	80	Nunnuru Venkatruttnum Do
43	Moongandapellem Do	1	
44	Kooroomundah Do	1	
45	Pallapollem Do		
46	Palavaram Do	7	Cocherlacotah Ramchendra
47	Puttesem Do	9	Venkatacroostarow Do
48	Pullaconda or Boochem pettah Do	1	Coortenenty Veeralutchmedavamma
49	Johnroollahbad or Hoscoompettah	1	Do

Carried forward

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Kistbundy.

Summary of Zemindary or Estates for July 1250.	Summary on each Zemindary or Estates for July 1250.	First Kist due on the 15th October 1840.	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1840.	Third Kist due on the 15th December 1840.	Fourth Kist due on the 15th January 1841.	Fifth Kist due on the 15th February 1841.	Sixth Kist due on the 15th March 1841.	Seventh Kist due on the 15th April 1841	Eighth Kist due on the 15th May 1841.	Ninth Kist due on the 15th June 1841.	Remarks
		C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	
		24073. - -	1,505 - -	1,505. - -	3,010 - -	3,010. - -	4,515 - -	4,515 - -	3,010 - -	1,505 - -	1,498 - - } under attachment of the Collector.
62		4,057. - -	253 - -	253 - -	506 - -	506. - -	759 - -	759 - -	506 - -	253 - -	262 - - }
		28130 - -	1,758 - -	1,758 - -	3,516 - -	3,516 - -	5,274 - -	5,274 - -	3,516 - -	1,758 - -	1,760 - -
62		39357. 8 -	2,460 8 -	2,460. 8 -	4921 - -	4921. - -	7,381. 8 -	7,381 8 -	4921 - -	2,460 8 -	2,450 - -
		545 8 -	34 - -	34 - -	68 - -	68. - -	102 - -	102 - -	68 - -	34 - -	35 8 -
9		1805 2 -	115. 8 -	115 8 -	231 - -	346 8 -	346. 8 -	231 - -	115 8 -	115 8 -	233 2 -
9		2,814 - -	176 - -	176. - -	352 - -	352. - -	528. - -	528 - -	352 - -	176 - -	174 - -
14		44567 2 -	2786 - -	2786 - -	5572 - -	5572 - -	8358 - -	8242 8 -	5456 8 -	2786 - -	2892 10 -
14		6,727 13 -	421 - -	421. - -	842 - -	842. - -	1263. - -	1263 - -	842 - -	421 - -	412 13 -
14		5,249. 3 -	328.4 -	328.4 -	656.8 -	656.8 -	984.12 -	984 12 -	656 8 -	328 4 -	325 7 -
9		11977 - -	749 4 -	749.4 -	1498 8 -	1498 - -	2247 12 -	2247 12 -	1498 8 -	749 4 -	738 4 -
4		738.10 -	46 - -	46. - -	92 - -	138 - -	138. - -	92 - -	46 - -	46 - -	94 10 -
		1,401 15 -	87 8 -	87.8 -	175 - -	262 8 -	262 8 -	175 - -	87 8 -	87 8 -	176 15 -
		24467 - -	1533 - -	1533 - -	3066 - -	3066 - -	4599 - -	4599 - -	3066 - -	1533 - -	1534 - -
7		1035426.12 11	64718.12 -	69573.4 -	129426.8 -	134415 - -	190997 4 -	189147 4 -	126934 8 -	64719 12 -	65494 8 11

Fort St. George

Number of Zemindaries or Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages	Names of Zemindars and Proprietors
			Brought forward
50	Beemoloo Estate	3	Stree Rajah Sobanadry Apparow Bahader D°
51	Moogollah D°	1	Chullapully Ramakistnama and Hanomanlo
52	Taadooroy D°	15	Cocherlacotah Seetaramiah D°
53	Ganapavaram D°	17	D° Ramarow D°
54	Jungareddy goodem D°	1	D° Seetaramiah and Seetarama-chendra row D°
55	Panoomuntra D°	12	Govindarauzla Vencatarayda D°
56	Chagulla D°	1	Vaudravoo Croostiah D°
57	Durmavaram D°	1	Cunchoomurty Cristiah D°
58	Tunlecondah D°	2	Cowlega Vencanah D°
59	Cottapully D°	36	Disputed Succession
60	Potacoorroo D°	1	Goonoopoody Narasiah Propriet
61	Capelaswerapooram D°	1	Baloosoo Surrarajoo D°
62	Degamurroo D°	5	Navob Noorum Neeshabagum D°
63	Tauteprauka D°	2	Custoory Vencooboyamah D°
64	Anadavaraprauda D°	2	Enoogunty Pada Chennarayda D°
65	Tungalapoody D°	3	Vaudravoo Croostiah D°
66	Veeravelly pollem D°	1	Row Ramarow D°
67	Goomoloorroo D°	1	Neekunty Alchootaramoda D°

Number of Zemindaries or Estates	Names of Zemindaries and Proprietors	Names of Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors
68	Ingeram D°	3	Polesetty Ramanoojam & Munnem Vi-rutnum Joint D°
69	Malakapully D°	1	Codooroo Saruṇmah D°
70	Tolaroo D°	1	Summata Nursumah D°
71	Mullavaram D°	1	Daumara Sooramah D°
72	Patavala & Goorjanapully D°	2	D° D° D°
73	Caujah D°	1	Gotaty Soondarasevarow D°
74	Neelapully D°	1	Moolaveesala Juggunnadum D°
75	Conatevauda D°	1	Taadoory Steerama Narasimharow
76	Cautavaram D°	1	Stree Rajah Simhadry Apparow Bahader
77	Palevala D°	8	Rajah Row Bahviah D°
78	Prakelunkah D°	3	Enoogunty Pada Chinnarow and C. Chinna Row D°
79	Penapully D°	1	Caukerlapoody Sooreeya Narayano Juggunnada Soobarauzu D°
80	Kirlumpoody D°	14	Cotagery Boochiah D°

Carried forw

Fort St George

3d January 1842.

Kistbundy

Number of Zemindaries	Jummah on each Zemindary or Estates for Fusly 1250	First Kist due on the 15th October 1840	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1840.	Third Kist due on the 15th December 1840	Fourth Kist due on the 15th January 1841.	Fifth Kist due on the 15th February 1841	Sixth Kist due on the 15th March 1841	Seventh Kist due on the 15th April 1841	Eighth Kist due on the 15th May 1841.	Ninth Kist due on the 15th June 1841.	Remarks
	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	CR. a o	
Uncatag	3,265 8 -	204 - -	204 - -	408 - -	408 - -	612 - -	612 - -	408 - -	204 - -	205 8 -	This Estate was purchased in pub: lic Auction by Canoomoory Logiah as reported to D: under date 23d D:-
	2,282 - -	143 8 -	143 8 -	287 - -	287 - -	430 8 -	430 8 -	287 - -	143 8 -	129 8 -	
	3,223 8 -	199 8 -	199 8 -	399 - -	598 8 -	598 8 -	399 - -	399 - -	199 8 -	231 - -	Under attachment of the Collector-
	948 - -	59 - -	59 - -	118 - -	118 - -	177 - -	177 - -	118 - -	59 - -	63 - -	
	1,471 8 -	92 - -	92 - -	184 - -	184 - -	276 - -	276 - -	184 - -	92 - -	91 8 -	This Estate was purchased in pub: lic auction by Streeputty Peeraswa: as reported to D: under date 23d September 1841.
	2,362 8 -	147 - -	147 - -	294 - -	441 - -	441 - -	294 - -	294 - -	147 - -	157 8 -	
	481 4 -	30 - -	30 - -	60 - -	60 - -	90 - -	90 - -	60 - -	30 - -	31 4 -	
D:	2058 - -	129 8 -	129 8 -	259 - -	338 8 -	338 8 -	259 - -	259 - -	129 8 -	115 8 -	
D:	2,135 - -	133 - -	133 - -	266 - -	399 - -	399 - -	266 - -	266 - -	133 - -	140 - -	
henag	19,807 - -	1,239 - -	1,239 - -	2,478 - -	2,478 - -	3717 - -	3,717 - -	2,478 - -	1,239 - -	1,222 - -	
	1,841 - -	112 - -	112 - -	224 - -	224 - -	336 - -	336 - -	224 - -	112 - -	161 - -	
	1,829 - -	114 - -	114 - -	228 - -	228 - -	342 - -	342 - -	228 - -	114 - -	119 - -	Under the Management of the Collector.
	23,306 8 -	1456 - -	1,456 - -	2,912 - -	2,912 - -	4,368 - -	4,368 - -	2,912 - -	1,456 - -	1,466 8 -	
rd	11,95,704 8 11	74732 - -	81,659 - -	1,49,453 - -	1,57,619 - -	2,19,346 - -	2,15,691 8 -	1,46,261 8 -	74733 - -	76,209 8 11	

Number of Zemindaris or Estates	Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Number of Villages	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	Summah on each Zemindary or Estate for Fusly 1250		
				C.Rs	a	p
			Brought forward	1195704	8	11
81	Hundavelly Estate	5	Raw Bhawiah Proprietor	13212	7	1
82	Jaunalunka Dc.	6	Rajah Duntoloory Jugunnadarawze and Paulapoo Vencapah Joint Proprietors	11061	8	-
83	Inavelly Dc.	5	Rajah Duntooloory Vencatacroostamarawze Proprietors	12373	8	-
84	Casanacoorroo Dc.	6	Dc. Broche Croostamarawze Dc.	11873	-	-
85	Craupah Dc.	4	Currah Appanah and Autoocoory Appalarawze Joint Proprietors	6509	-	-
86	Moommedevaram Dc.	2	Cotagerry Brochiah Dc.	9050	-	-
			Total	125978	4	-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collector's Office,
Cocanada, 15th Decr 1841

3rd January 1841.

Kistbundy

First Kist due on the 15th October 1840.	Second Kist due on the 15th November 1840	Third Kist due on the 15th Decem=ber 1840	Fourth Kist due on the 15th Janu=ary 1841.	Fifth Kist due on the 15th Febra=ary 1841	Sixth Kist due on the 15th March 1841.	Seventh Kist due on the 15th April 1841	Eighth Kist due on the 15th May 1841	Ninth Kist due on the 15th June 1841	Remarks
CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	
74732 - -	81659 - -	149453 - -	157619 - -	219346 - -	215691 8 -	146261 8 -	74733 - -	76209 8 11	
8253 -	16506 -	16506 -	24759 -	16506 -	16506 -	8253 -	8253 -	16591 3 1	Belonging to the Zemindar of Pettap
691 - -	691 - -	1382 - -	1382 - -	2073 - -	2073 - -	1382 - -	691 - -	696 8 -	This Estate was sold in Public auct=ion bought in on account of Government a=ccd to the Board of Revenue under date September 1841.
772 8 -	772 8 -	1545 - -	1545 - -	2317 8 -	2317 8 -	1545 - -	772 8 -	786 - -	
741 8 -	741 8 -	1483 - -	1483 - -	2224 8 -	2224 8 -	1483 - -	741 8 -	750 - -	
407 - -	407 - -	814 - -	814 - -	1221 - -	1221 - -	814 - -	407 - -	404 - -	
566 - -	566 - -	1132 - -	1132 - -	1698 - -	1698 - -	1132 - -	566 - -	560 - -	Do. - - -
78735 3 -	86487 6 -	157459 6 -	166450 9 -	230530 6 -	226875 14 -	153442 11 -	78736 3 -	81066 6 -	

C.C. Jfs (Signed) G. A. Smith
Coll:

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

Order thereon

Ordered to lie on the

(24-25)

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam } Para 1.

The nomination of

Kristna Pundit my Head Sheristadar to be the

(26)

Sheristadar of the District of Coimbatore having at Mr.

recommendation been confirmed by the Board of Revenue

I have subject to their confirmation, nominated to fill the

vacancy Soondaraghery Ramanjarow late Head Sheri

of Nellore, a man with whom I have been acquainted

the last twenty two years and whose knowledge and

=perience in both Revenue and Judicial matters partic

=larly qualify him for the Situation.-

2

I should not be doing my du

to the Board or to Ramakristna Pundit, were I to fai

him to depart without testifying the very high sense

which I entertain of the ability, zeal and integrity, w

which he has conducted his duties ever since his ar

in this District. Ever an enemy to abuses, he strenuously

exerted himself to extirpate them and the strict regard to

purity and uprightness which he invariably inculcated

to those acting under his authority, he enforced no less

by precept than by example, both of which have had a

most beneficial influence on the public conduct and ch

=racter of all the officers of the District.-

Masulipatam Zillah, ...

Coll.r Circuit Cutcherry, . . . } (Signed) P. Grant

at Nytapully, 11th Dec.r 1841. Coll.r

Proceedings thereon. Para 1.

(26-27)

The nomination by the

Collector of of Masulipatam of S. Ramanjarow to be

Head Sheristadar of that District in succession to Ram

=kristna Pundit is sanctioned by the Board of Revenue

2

The Board are pleased to observe the

testimony borne by Mr. Grant to the merits of his late

Sheristadar.-

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(28)

From the Collector of Masulipatam. } Sir, Para 1.

With reference to Para 9 of my letter under date 21st May last, relative to the Precepts received from the Provincial and Auxiliary Courts directing the sale of the Villages noted in the margin, belonging to the Estate of V.r Vinnacottah and Goodewadah,

Chennoor.
Chavoor.
Woreyy.
Paernutroo.

submitting for the Board's consideration copies of Precepts from the Provincial and Auxiliary Courts for the sale of 4 Villages belonging to the Estate of Vinnacottah and Goodewadah.-

I have the honor to request that you will lay before the Board the correspondence which took place between me and the Courts on the subject.-

2. It will be observed that the Provincial and Auxiliary Courts have over ruled the arguments adduced by me in the motion which I deemed it to be my duty to present.- In Para 8 of the Proceedings of the Provincial Court it is stated that "the Argee alleged to have been presented to the Collector

Copy

(Copy and translation of which formed one of the Enclosures in my letter dated 21st May last.)" was dated the 27th February 1837. "and the Decree in No: 12 of 1838 of which "the Court have ordered execution, bears "date the 25th March 1839. therefore the Condition "of the former, even if they are as stated "by the Petitioner (the Collector) cannot "properly affect the execution of the latter; "nor will the Court be doing right if "they recognize the validity of Petitions pre-"sented before the Collector touching the "subjects of the suits before the Courts of "Judicature - In these cases those stip-"ulations alone could be allowed to have "weight which have been duly entered "into by the respective parties concerned "and made the record of Court."—

3. I think it right to call the Board's attention to the concluding part of the Petition above referred to, and to explain that at the date of its presentation the whole Estate of Vinnacottah and

and Goodewadah was mortgaged to P. Venca-=tachellum by the Rauzeenamahs No: 16 of 1833 and No: 43 - 44 and 45 of 1834. and that by the tenor of these Rauzeenamahs no part of the Estate so mortgaged could be disposed of by sale except the 4 Villages. Viz: Chavoor, Chennoor, Woorevy, and Paumurroo, mortgaged by Rauzeenamah No: 16 of 1833: the bulk of the Estate being only liable to attachment and further that P. Venca-tachellum could only obtain any surplus which might remain after satisfying the Circar demands and other charges—

4- According to the Rauzeenamah No: 16 of 1833. the 4 Villages above referred to were ordered to be subdivided and sold by a Precept of the Provincial Court, and the Collector in execution thereof and in satisfaction of the demand of P. Venkata-=chellum redeems the 4 Villages by a pay-=ment from the Treasury. It is clear there-=fore that the Government who paid

the

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

the money must be held to all intents and purposes to stand in place of the original mortgagee - This is the rule both in law and equity, and I do not see on what ground the claim of Government to retain these Villages as security for money advanced is disallowed by the Courts or how a subsequent Decree can invalidate a prior transaction founded in equity and the expressed desire of the original mortgagee, or further how the same mortgagee can be permitted to bring the Villages already redeemed, to sale on account of Bonds which were clearly secured by the remainder of the Estate - as will be found on referring to Para. 2 of the Rauzeenamah No. 43. of 1834. translation of which was forwarded with Mr. Blane's letter dated 9th May 1838. —

5.

Should it be decided on an appeal to the Sudr Adawlut that the claim of P. Venkatachellum takes precedence of that of the Government to

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Rs A P.

to reimbursement with interest for 24358-4-11 advanced as above stated. I would recommend that the whole Estate be put up to sale on account of the arrears of Peishcush-

Masulipatam Zillah, Collectors Circuit Cutcherry, at Nytapully, 4th December 1841. } Signed P. Grant. Collector.

No. 1.

To The Auxiliary Adawlut Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Extra Petition presented by P. Grant Esqre. Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam -

With reference to your Courts Proceedings dated 26th June and 21st October 1840 directing the Collector, to recover for transmission to your Court, Rupees 5,201-11-8: being the amount of the Decree passed ex parte on 5th September 1838. in the suit brought by Pillaresetty Venkatachellum Naik against Rangah Camadana Paupiah now in No. 131 of 1838. on your Courts file, together with the interest accrued amounting to Rupees 704-8-2- and also the subsequent interest up to the

Fort St George 3rd January 1842.

the date of payment on the principal sum of Rupees 3,300 at 1 per Cent per Mensem, by the sale of the Defendants' Estate in compliance with the prayer of the Plaintiff contained in the Extra Petition to your Court dated 20th November 1839, the Collector has the honor to state, for the information of your Court, that there is a balance of Peishcush amounting to Rupees 15,959-2-5. against the Estate of the Defendant exclusive of interest, that the Plaintiff presented a Petition to the late Collector Mr Wroughton on the 27th February 1837 stating that on condition of the amount of the Rauzeenamah No 16 of 1833 being paid with interest, he would bind himself to accept of the amount of the Rauzeenamahs No 43-44-and 45 of 1833 as well as that due on his 3 bonds including the one on which the Defendant in question is founded, from the surplus funds which might remain after

Fort St George 3rd January 1842.

after satisfying the demand of Peishcush, Charges of management, and the Defendants' maintenance fee as provided for in the said 3 Rauzeenamahs; that Mr Wroughton communicated the same to the Board of Revenue and obtained the sanction of Government for the payment thereof, and that the money was accordingly paid by his successor Mr Blaire amounting to Rupees 24,358-4-8 which sum was forwarded to your Court with his letter dated 5th May 1838. on account of the principal and interest of the Rauzeenamah No 16 of 1833.

Under these circumstances, it appears to the Collector that the Plaintiff must, according to the tenor of the said Rauzeenamah defer the execution of the present Warrant till such time as there may be a surplus from the funds of the Estate now under attachment for arrears of

Fort St George 3rd January 1842.

of Revenue.——

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutcherry, 6th November 1840.

(Signed) P. Grant.
Collector.

Masulipatam Zillah Auxiliary Court.

A. C. J. { Original Suit No. 131 of 1838. / Extra Petition No. 749 of 184

Pillaree Setty Venkatachellum Nai

(L.S.)

Plaintiff.

Versus.

Rajah Comadana Paupiah Row

Zemindar. Defendant.

Pillaree Setty Venkatachellum Nai

Petitioner.

To

The Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Auxiliary Court of the 4th Instant to the orders contained in which you are required to conform or shew good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in

Fort St George 3rd January 1842.

pursuance hereof. Making return to this Precept within 6 weeks from and after its receipt.—

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court, this 13th day of October 1841.—

(Signed) J. Rohde.
Assistant Judge.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Auxiliary Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam.

Dated 4th October 1841.

Original Suit No. 131 of 1838. } A. C. J.
Extra Petition No. 749 of 1841. }

Pillaree Setty Venkatachellum Naidoo
Plaintiff.

Versus.

Rajah Comadana Paupia Rowe
Zemindar. Defendant.

Pillary Setty Venkatachellum Naidoo
Petitioner.

Read Extra Petition No. 749 of 1841. presented by Streepaty Bhadrachelliah Pleader on behalf of Pillaree Setty Venkatachellum Naidoo, Plaintiff in cause No. 131 of 1838. on the file of this Court, requesting to issue a Precept to the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam to sell the Villages namely Chavoor, Chennoor, Voovoy, and

Fort St George 3rd January 1842.

and Saumurroo in the Perganah of Vinnacotta
in satisfaction of the amount of the Decree
interest in the above cause, also to send
the proceeds thereof and to pay him the
the Provincial Court having held Proceed
on 18th September 1841 rejecting the Extra
No. 828 of 1841 presented by the Collector in
the execution of the Decree in Cause No.
1838. on the file of that Court —

Ordered that a Copy of
Petition No. 749. of 1841. above referred to, be
=ed to the Collector of Masulipatam with
Precept requiring him to give effect to
Proceedings of this Court in the same man
as to those of the Provincial Court who
set a side a similar objection raised
their orders taking effect — and to forward
sale proceeds of the Villages alluded to, to
Court; in order to be paid to Petitioner under
amount of the Decree in Cause No. 131 of 183
this Court's file, together with subsequent interes
Precept returnable within 6 mon
from and after its receipt. —

/ True Extract /
/ Signed / J. Rohde.
Assistant Judge

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842

No. 2

To
The Provincial Court of Appeal
for the Northern Division —
Masulipatam —

Extra Petitions presented
by P. Grant Esqre Collector
in the Zillah of Masulipatam
With reference to your Court's

Proceedings dated 19 March 1841 directing —
the Collector to recover for transmission to
the Auxiliary Court Rupees 8641 — 7 — 8 —
being the Amount of the Decree passed
Ex parte on 25 March 1839 in the suit
brought by Pillarisetty Venkatachellum
Naick against Rajah Camadana Pauliah
now in No. 12 of 1838 on your Courts file —
together with interest upto the date of
payment by the sale of the mortgaged pro=
=perty or any other belonging to the Defendant
that may be forthcoming in compliance
with the prayer of the Plaintiff the Collector
has the honor to state for the information
of your Court that there is a balance of
Peishcush amounting to Rupees 220892 — 2 — 5
against

against the Estate of the Defendant exclusive of interest, that the Plaintiff presented a Petition to the late Collector Mr. Wroughton on the 27th February 1837 of which the accompanying is a copy, stating that on condition of the Amount of the Rauzenamah No. 16 of 1833 being paid with interest, he would bind himself to accept of the Amount of the Razeenamahs No. 43. 44. & 45 of 1834, as well as that due on his 3 bonds including the one on which the Decree in question is founded from the surplus funds which might remain after satisfying the demand of Peishcush, charges of Management, and the Defendants maintenance &c. as provided for in the said 3 Rauzeenamahs. That Mr. Wroughton communicated the same to the Board of Revenue and obtained the sanction of Government for the payment thereof and that the Money was accordingly paid by his successor Mr. Blair amounting to Rupees 2,41,358-4 which sum was forwarded to the Auxiliary Court with his letter dated 5th May 1838

1838, on account of the principal and interest of the Rauzeenamah No. 16 of 1838

Under these circumstances it appears to the Collector that the Plaintiff must according to the tenor of the said Rauzeenamahs defer the execution of the present Warrant till such time as there may be a surplus from the funds of the Estate now under attachment for arrears of Revenue.

Masulipatam Collrs Cutcherry 17th July 1841

Signed P. Grant
Collector

Masulipatam Zillah Auxiliary Court
Original Suit No. 12 of 1838
&c &c
Pillaresetty Venkatachellum
Plaintiff

To The Collector
in the Zillah of
Masulipatam

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Courts Proceedings of the 29th Ultimo to the orders contained in

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

in which you are required to conform or &c
shew good and sufficient reason
why it has not been executed and
what you may have done in pursuance hereof.
Making return to this Precept within six weeks from and after
its receipt

Given under my hand
and the seal of the Court
this 9th day of October 1841

(Signed) J. Rohde
Apistant Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Ameliary
Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam &c

Dated 29th September 1841
Original suit No. 12 of 1838 P.C.
Pillaresetty Venkatachellum
Plaintiff

Read Precept and Extract
from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court
of Appeal for the Northern Devision of
date the 18th September 1841 which
directed the Apistant Judge, with refer
=ence to his supplemental return
of the 7th August 1841 to conform to the
Precept

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Precept dated 19th March 1841 No. 452 in
which it is directed the sale of the four
Villages, Viz.th Chennoor, Chavoor, Voorvy
and Paunnurroo in the Purgunah of
Vinnacottah belonging to Rajah
Canadana Punpiah row Zemindar
Defendant in Cause No. 12 of 1838, Provin=
=cial Court file, in satisfaction of the
Decree in the said cause the Exctra
Petition No. 334 of 1841 presented in the
Provincial Court by P. Grant Esqre
Collector of Masulipatam having been
rejected.-

Ordered that a Copy of the
Provincial Courts Proceedings above
referred to, be sent to the Collector
in the Zillah of Masulipatam with
a Precept requesting him to cause the
sale of the 4 Villages Viz.th Chennoor,
Chavoor, Voorvy and Paunnurroo, to be
made in satisfaction of the Amount of
the Decree (Rupees 8,641-7-8 in cause
No. 12 of 1838 Provincial Court file
together with interest up to the date of
payment at 1 per Cent per Mensem
on the principal sum of Rupees 5,000-
from

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

from 26th March 1839 and the proceeds sent to this Court for payment to Pellarecetty Venkatachellum Plaintiff

Return to Precept to be made within 6 weeks from and after its receipt

/True Extract/
/Signed/ J. Rohde
Assistant Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Northern Division — Dated 18th September 1841

Present

Extra Petition No. 334 of 1841

Hugh Montgomerie Esqr. P. Grant Esqr. Collector

First Judge — Masulipatam, Petitioner

Read Extra Petition No. 334 of 1841 presented by the Government Vakeel on behalf of P. Grant Esqr. Collector of Masulipatam.—

The Petitioner with reference to the Courts Proceedings of the 19th March last directing him to recover Rupees 2,641—7—8 being the amount of the Decree in No. 12 of 1838 on the file of this Court to gather interest up

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

up to the date of payment, by the sale of the mortgaged property or any other belonging to the Defendant; states that there is a balance of Peishcush amounting to Rupees 2,20,892—2—5 against the Estate of the Defendant exclusive of interest that is the Plaintiff presented a Petition to the late Collector Mr. Wroughton on the 27th February 1837 stating that on condition of the Amount of the Kauzeenamah in No. 2 of 1833 being paid with interest he would bind himself to accept the amount of the Kauzeenamahs in Nos. 43, 44, and 45 of 1834 as well as that due on his 3 Bonds including the one on which the Decree in question is founded from the surplus funds which might remain after satisfying the demand of Peishcush, Charges of Management and the Defendants Maintenance Fee as provided for in the said 3 Kauzeenamahs that Mr. Wroughton communicated the same to the Board of Revenue and obtained the sanction of Government for the payment thereof and that the Amount of principal and interest of the Kauzeenamah

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842

Rauzeenamah in No. 16 of 1833 being on Rupees 24,358-4-4 was accordingly paid by his Successor Mr. Blaney-

3. He therefore States that the Plaintiff must according to the tenor of the said Rauzeenamahs defer the execution of the present Warrant till such time as there may be a surplus from the funds of the Estate now under attachment for arrears of Revenue-

4 Read also Counter Cootra Petition No. 380 of 1841 presented by the Pleaders Streepaty Vayanah on behalf of Pillarcotty Venkatachellam Plaintiff in suit No. 12 of 1838 of this Court file-

5 In refutation of the argument of the present Collector Mr. Grant in his Petition No. 334 of 1841 requesting postponement of the execution of the Decree in the said suit as being in accordance with the Petitioners own argee presented to Mr. Throughton on the 27th of February 1837 the Petitioner refers to a Rauzeenamah presented in suit No. 16 of 1833 of this Courts file, wherein the Petitioner is Plaintiff and Rajah Camadava

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Camadava Paupia Row Zemindar Defendant and wherein the Petitioner states, the Villages of Chennoor, Chavoor, Vorvy and Baumarroo in Rumber Appertaining to the Purganah of Vunnacottah, within the Defendants Zemindary were Mortgaged together with the Permanent Cowle of the Zemindary and an Enogetnamah giving possession of the same to the Defendant-

6. The Petitioner further refers to his Petition No. 254 of 1836 to this Court for the enforcement of that Rauzeenamah to this Courts Proceedings thereon of the 7th of December 1836 and to those held by the upper Court on the 6th of June 1837 against the obstacles thrown in the way of the recovery of the amount of the said Rauzeenamah by the Petitioner, and then states that when Mr. collector Throughton received a Precept to enforce the terms of the Rauzeenamah, that Gentleman having apprised him that he would cause the payment of the amount of the Rauzeenamah referred to above and that of the Rauzeenamahs in the other suits No. 43, 44 and 45 of 1834 of this Courts file wherein the said remainder was

the

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

the Defendant and also the Amount of
bonds not sued for and desired him to pre
an arzee to him consenting to that arrang
=ment. the Petitioner presented an arzee
dated 27th of February 1837. requesting th
sale of the said 4 Mortgaged Villages in
satisfaction of the Amount of the Ranz
=namah in the said suit No. 16 of 1833 an
the enforcement of the other Ranzeenama
in the other suits Nos. 43, 44, & 45 of 183
as also the Amount of the Bonds agreeab
to the several conditions, therein referre
to, that should the Court be pleased t
peruse the Copy of the Petitioners said
arzee, produced by the Collector, the
Collectors present Petition to the Court
final return of the auxiliary Court a
Masulipatam dated the 25th of May 18
this Courts Precept ordering the sale of
the said four mortgaged Villages, the
Petitioners former Petition No. 313 of 18
to this Court, this Courts Proceedings
thereon dated the 19th of September 18
and the Collectors return thereto duted
the 11th of October 1838, it will be manifest
to them that the Petitioner did not (as
supposed

PGB

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842

supposed by the Collector regarding the Bond in
the present suit No. 12 of 1838) agree to receive the
Amount of the other bonds also agreeable to
the conditions stipulated for the recovery of the
Amount of the Ranzeenamahs in suits Nos. 43, 44 &
45 but requested the sale of the said four Villages
that the Collector did not give effect even to the
Ranzeenamahs in the said three suits; and that
as the Petitioners particular prayer in that
Arzee to cause the sale of the said four Villages
was not carried into effect by the Collector the
engagements entered into in it must be considered
null and void.-

For the foregoing reasons the Petitioner
prays the Court will peruse his Petition No. 211
of 1840 and No. 27 of 1841 requesting the execution
of the decree in Suit No. 12 of 1838 and this Courts
Proceedings thereon dated the 21st of December and
January and also the 19th of March 1841 as well
as the whole of the Proceedings first mentioned
reject the Collectors Petition and issue a Precept
with directions to cause the sale of the said Villages
of Chennoor, Chavoor Vooroy and Paumurroo in satis-
faction of the said Decree in Suit No. 12 and from
the proceeds thereof pay him the Amount of the
Decree together with the interest due thereon up to the

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

the date of payment of the same.—

8 The Petitioner also prays for authenticated Copies of the Petition and of the Court's Proceedings thereon.—

9. The Arzee alleged to have been presented to the Collector was dated the 27th February 1837 and the Decree in No. 12 of 1838 of which the Court have ordered execution bears date the 25th March 1839, therefore the conditions of the former, even if they are as stated by the Petitioner, (Collector) cannot properly affect the execution of the latter, nor will the Court be doing right if they recognize the validity of Petitions presented before the Collector touching the subjects of the suits before the Courts of Judicature. In these cases those stipulations above could be allowed to have weight which have been duly entered into by the respective parties concerned and made the record of Court.—

10 The Court accordingly reject this Petition.—

11 Ordered that Copy of these Proceedings be sent to the Assistant Judge of Masulipatam with reference to his supplemental return of the 7th August last to the Provincial Court's Precept No. 402 of the 19th March preceding requiring conformity to the above Precept returned 6th or three Months from and after its receipt.—

(Signed) H. Wood
Actg Registr

Ordered

28-29

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.—

Order thereon.
(Read again in Cont. 17th March)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of Law Charges incurred in the Revenue Department in July 1250 and to request the sanction of the Board for the same, amounting to 8 Annas.—

30

Masulipatam Collr's Circuit Cutcherry at Kistapurly. 15th December 1841.

(Signed) C. Grant
Collector.

Account

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

A

Account Particulars of Law Charges in the Revenue Department in the Zillah of Masulipatam for Fusly 1250.

	Rs	A	P
Charges incurred on Account of Suit ordered by Government to be defended at the public expence under dates 22d June 1830, and 17th July 1840.			
Value of a Stamp Paper purchased for presenting a Motion in the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry for the recovery of Costs incurred for defending the Suit preferred by D. Sevaramiah against the Collector in No. 1220 of 1838 of the file of the Zillah Court at Rajahmundry and decided in the favor of the Collector	"	8	"

Masulipatam Zillah,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
at Kytapully
13th December 1841.

S. S. Y. Signed R. Spark
Collector

To

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

Resolution thereon.

30-31

The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the charge of Annas eight reported in the foregoing letter with reference to the accompanying Account particulars A.—

Read the undermentioned Statements

From the Collector of Masulipatam — Transmitting Statement

32

of Contingent Charges incurred in Fusslies 1249 and 1250.—

From the Dy. Collector of Salem — Contingent Charges

33

incurred in Fusly 1250.—

Order on the two abov.d Statements. Ordered to lie over.—

32-34

Read the following letters.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

From the Sub Coll.r in Charge of Cuddapah } Sir, I Para 1

Reporting the conduct of Narsinga Row the Tahsildar of Moddenpilly Taluk in the District of Cuddapah.

I regret to have to bring to the notice of your Board the conduct of Narsinga Row the Tahsildar of Moddenpilly in this District, whose style of correspondence is so offensive as to have already

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

already brought upon him the severe animad-
-version and notice of his superiors on many
occasions.

2 Messrs Lacon, Freese, Morehead
Blane &c have had occasions already
to fine him for his insubordinate
and impertinent communication to
them - but evidently without the desired
effect, and now doubtless presiding o-
himself on his length of service and
the comparative impunity with which he
has so often escaped, he has addressed
a series of Letters to me, which if allowed
to pass unnoticed must be the cause
ultimately of a system of insubordination and
misrule the end of which it is not difficult
to foresee. -

3 It appears that Mr Ashton about five
Months ago, appointed a person of the name of
Venkatachary to the vacant Office of Head
Magistrate Sawabraids who had been dismissed
from his former situation in another
Collectorate

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

Killah and heavily fined - but who upon
an appeal to your Board not only had
his fine remitted - but as appears from
Copy of an Extract from a letter from
the Acting Secretary to your Board dated
6th December 1838 addressed to the Provinci-
-pal Collector of North Arcot and having
reference to the said appeal - that on
"The Board would authorize the employment
"of Petitioner in some other Office where
"his services might be usefully or advan-
-tageously directed". This individual
is evidently a most able and acute
man in the discharge of his
duties at present, and his past misfor-
-tunes it may be hoped would make him
sufficiently circumspect in his behaviour now. -

4 The Tahsildar of Muddenpilly
together with some others did not fail to
observe on taking charge of the Collectors
Office, had been frequently of late reprimand-
-ed for neglect especially in their Police
duties and fined - and the Tahsildar

in

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

in question forthwith commenced a series of Appeals to the Magistrate and to myself (after his departure from the District) insinuating against the character and conduct of some one whose name he did not mention, and praying that since such a person as that described had been taken into favor and his crimes overlooked that surely the Gentleman should show more consideration for a person of his (the Tahsildars) standing in the service, and his fine be remitted. Upon being ordered to name the individual he alluded to he named the Jawabnaveese in question and has since continued to write in a strain of the most vehement invective against him, and impugning the justice of his appointment at all. Ending his Appeals that since however such was the case, justice to the holder and more honest servants could no longer be expected.—

5 It is neither here or there to enquire into

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

into the truth or otherwise of this Tahsildars charges against the Jawabnaveese in question they were long ago disposed of by others and ultimately by your Board. but to question the right and legality of a Gentleman of Mr. Ashtons standing in the service, and his extended experience to make what appointments he, in his opinion considered best for the District. and I may add also of your Board to make the remarks above quoted in Para 3 viz. I hold and act of insubordination of so gross and impertinent a nature that I consider myself unable, under my present circumstances, to punish adequately.—

6 It is obvious that unless the authority of the Collector and Magistrate now temporarily vested in myself is fully maintained among all ranks of Officers employed under him it will be perfectly impossible to administer the affairs of the District in an efficient manner. To take only a slight notice of such misconduct

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

misconduct as shown above would be to hold out encouragement to others, who might be displeased with orders &c. emanating from this Cutcherry to adopt a similar Course.—

I have accordingly suspended the Tahsildar in question from his duties on two accounts. first, because from the short period of my residence in this District any severity shown towards this individual might be liable to misinterpretation. and secondly because I wish that a severe example may be made in the present case by your Board itself to deter others, not only from acting in a similar manner but also to be a warning and a caution to the rest of the Tahsildars and other authorities in this much neglected District many of whom I cannot fail to observe already are either in a state of dotage or otherwise incapacitated for the duties required of them.—

Cuddapah
Print. Collrs. Office
13th December 1841.

/Signed/ J. S. Cotton
Sub Collector
In Charge

Ordered

35-36.

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

(Read again in Cons. 13 Jan. 42)

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Sub Coll.
in Charge of Cuddapah

Sir,

I have the honor to

37

acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 29th November 1841 prefixing a Copy of letter to the Actng Chief Secretary to Government together with Extract of Minutes of Consultation dated 25th November 1841 and with reference to the 2nd Para of that letter to state that the sum of Rupees 87-8 recommended by your Board has been hitherto an Annual and not a Monthly allowance as therein stated.—

Cuddapah.—
Print. Collrs. Office
14th December 1841.—

/Signed/ J. S. Cotton
Sub Collector
In Charge

The

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(37-38)

The allowance referred to, has been termed a monthly one, thro' inadvertance, and the Officer in charge of Cuddapah will of course only disburse the Amount annually.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput } Sir,

(39)

I have the honor to rep.t for the information of the Board of Revenue that none of the American Rough Rice forwarded with your Circular of the 15th March, has come up, although some in the best sort and Dry lands of several Villages. The failure probably owing either to the Paddy being too old or to its having been eat by the Rats.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Pulicat 1st December
1841

} Signed S. Frost
Collector

P.G.B.

(39-40)

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput } Sir,

Submitting Statements of Survey and field Assessment introduced into the 4 Villages comprizing the Estates of Poontundlum and Agaram reverted to Government &c. in 1250--

(41)

English ... 4.
Marattah 5.
9

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit the statement enumerated in the margin explanatory of the Survey and field Assessment introduced by me in the 4 Villages comprizing the two small Estates of Poontundlum and Agaram which reverted to Government by purchase in Fusly 1250. on account of arrears due against them.

1 Poontundlum.
2 Nundumbaukum
3 Jarengoor
& Agaram.

2. The measurement of the lands, the classification, as well as the framing of the accounts of the Assessment to be fixed on them, have been conducted on the principles adopted by Mr. Maclean for

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

for the Settlement of the Several Villages lately assessed by him, and I therefore deem it unnecessary here to trouble the Board with any detail thereof.—

3

The Villages of Poontundlum and Yerimyoor have separate Reservoirs for their irrigation, and the lands of Nundumbaukum are watered from the Chembrumbauk Tank.— The Punjey lands of Poolundlum and Nundumbaukum are divided into 4 Class or Sorts, and those of Yerimyoor into 5.— The Punjey lands of the 3 Villages are divided into two classes only.—

4.

In order that the Board may see how the assessment proposed for the lands of these Villages was framed, I beg to subjoin an abstract Statement exhibiting the Cultivation and Beriz of Fs. 1210.

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

Fs. 1210, the year preceding that in which the Permanent Settlement was framed, the average Cultivation and Beriz of the 23 years from 1210 to 1232. the same particulars for Fs. 1232. the Sheigul lands of which form the basis of the proposed Settlement, the Berizes proposed by the Ryots and Sirtarrundars &c. and that proposed by me, together with their respective averages.— The arable and immemorial wastes are also shewn in this Statement under a distinct head.

Particulars.

3d. January 1842.

Actual Produce

Fort St. George

Particulars.	Average per Cawney			Extent of Land		As per Sunjak	Belize			Dungey			Average per Cawney	Ready Money Collections		Grand Total.	
	First Crop	Second Crop	Total	First Crop	Second Crop		First Crop	Second Crop	Total	Extent of Land	Belize						
1	2		3	4		5	6		7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14
	Pagt. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. as	Cs. as	As. as		Rs. as	Rs. as	Rs. as	Cs. as	Rs. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. a	Pagt. a
1 Actual Produce of Fusly 1210	1 13/16	1 6/4	2 1/2	356.	12 3/8	71 4/8	649	8 7/16	99	2/8	748.	11.	130. 10	55 4	"	6 3/4	16	2 3/8	820	1 3/8
2 Average actual Produce of 23 years from Fusly 1210. to 1232	2 2 3/8	1 10	2 6 3/8	313.	3.	61 6/4	672	1/16	103	9 5/16	781.	2	92	12	89 15 3/4	"	15 1/2	20	7 1/2	860	8
3 Do. Do. Village Collections Do.	2 1/2	1 10 3/4	2 4 3/8	313.	3.	61 6/4	655.	10.	100	10.	723.	2	92	12	89 15 3/4	"	15 1/2	20	7 1/2	832	8 3/4
4 Do. Do. Circar Collection. Do.	1 8 1/2	1 4 1/2	1 11 3/8	313	3	61 6/4	478.	3/2	99	"	536.	3 3/8	92	12	89 15 3/4	"	15 1/2	20	7 1/2	650	4 7/8
5 Actual Produce of Fusly 1232	2 4 3/4	2 9 1/8	2 7 3/4	434	"	32 12	994	6/16	84	2 1/4	1078	8 3/16	113	1/4	86	11 3/4	"	12 1/4	22	1 1/8	1187	5/4
6 Collection of Do.	2 4 3/4	2 9 1/8	2 7 3/4	434	"	32 12	994	6/16	84	2 1/4	1078	8 3/16	113	1/4	86	11 3/4	"	12 1/4	22	1 1/8	1187	5/4
7 Sirtarumdars assessment	1 15 3/8	1 5	2 2	434	"	55 5/4	849	13 5/16	72	3	922	5/16	113	1/4	89	3/2	"	12 1/2	18	10 3/8	1029	14/2
8 Ryots Proposal	1 15 3/8	1 5	2 2	434	"	55 5/4	849	13 5/16	72	3	922	5/16	113	1/4	89	3/2	"	12 1/2	18	10 3/8	1029	14/2
9 Proposed assessment	1 15 3/8	1 5	2 2	434	"	55 5/4	849	13 5/16	72	3	922	5/16	113	1/4	89	3/2	"	12 1/2	18	1 3/4	1029	5/8

Ayacut

Fort St. George

Ayacut Beriz

Maximum Charge.		Particulars.	Sunjey. Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Onujey. Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Total Extent of Land.	Beriz.
year.	Amount.		cd a	Pags a	cd a	Pags av	cd a	Pags a
Rs a ⊙								
1840	1842							
		Shaigal	434 "	922 5/8	113 1/4	89 3/2	547 1/4	1011 4/8
1841	407 15 3							
	426 13 5	Laikh	21 3/4	33 1/2	205. 8/8	145. 15/8	226. 11/8	179. 1/8
		Total	455 3/4	955. 2/8	318. 8/8	235. 3/8	773. 12/8	1190. 5/4
		Anaudy	719 3/4	774 12	579. 1/4	367. 12/8	1318 5/2	1142 8/8
		Grand Total	1174 7	1729. 14/8	917. 10/8	603. "	2092 1/8.	2332. 14/8

3d January 1842.

Hamiel or old Beriz.

Ready Money Collections.		Total including ready Money.			Pags av
Pags av		Pags av			
				Hamiel	678 12/8
				Average of 10 years previous to the war	909 9/4
				Do. of 10 Do. after the cessation of war	852 2/2
18	1/4.	1029	5/8	Do. of 3 Do. from Fusly 1202 to 1204	1221 7/2
				Do. of 3 Do. from Fusly 1205. to 1207	1158 15/4
7	9/8	186	10/2	Total average	960. 13/2
				Beriz of Mootah	1157 4
				Permanent Beriz	641. 11/8
25	11/8	1216.	2/8		
				Fusly. 1205	1296 13/8
				1206	965 4
	17/8	1142	10/4	1207	679. 7/4
				1208	365. 3/4
				1209	659. 8
				1210	798. 7/4
25	13	2358.	11/8	1211	813. 10/4
				Permanent Beriz fixed by the Sub division	675 8/8

The

Fort St. George

3d January 1842.

5 The Village of Agharum has a Reservoir, the Sunjey lands have been arranged into 5 Sorts, and the Sunjey lands into 2 Classes. A Short Statement Shewing the particulars of the Settlements is also annexed for the information of the Board.—

Particulars.	Average Produce of Cawney: First Crop.	Second Crop.	Total.	Rate Pagoda	Sunjey Extent of First Crop
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Pags. a Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Mercal	Rs as
1 Actual Produce of Fusly 1210	1 9 3/8 "	2 5/8	1 10	17	73 2
2 Average Actual produce of 23 Years from 1210 to 1232.	1 9 3/4 "	2 5/8	1 9 7/8	16 4/10	56 13 1/2
3 Do. Do. Village Collections Do.	2 3 "	3	2 3/8	16 11/10	56 13 1/2
4 Do. Do. Circar Collections Do.	1 1 7/8 "	1 3/4	1 2	16 11/10	56 13 1/2
5 Actual Produce of Fusly 1232	2 1 3/8 "	"	2 1 3/8	18	74 5/4
6 Collections of Do.	2 1 3/8 "	"	2 1 3/8	18	74 5/4
7 Suitaramdars Assessment	1 13 3/4 "	"	1 13 3/4	20	74 5/4
8 Ryots proposal	1 13 3/4 "	"	1 13 3/4	20	74 5/4
9 Proposed Assessment	1 13 3/4 "	"	1 13 3/4	20	74 5/4

Actual Produce.

Cultivation. Second Crop.	Extent of Land.	Beriz. Beriz	average Pags. Cawney.	Sunjey. Extent of Land	Beriz. Beriz	average Pags. Cawney	Ready Money Collection.	Total including Ready Money Collections
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
cl as	Pags. as	Pags. as	Pags. as	Pags. as	Pags. as	Pags. as	Rs as	Rs a
14 4	116 2	2 4 7/8	118 6 7/8	41 1/2	17 3/2	" 6 3/4	28 4/4	164 8 5/8
14 4	91 12 5/8	2 4 3/8	91 14 3/8	44 12 3/4	37 10 1/2	" 13 3/8	31 1 3/4	160 10 5/8
14 4	125 2 3/4	3 2	125 5 3/4	44 12 3/4	37 10 1/2	" 13 3/8	31 1 3/4	194 2
14 4	63 7 3/4	1 8	63 9 3/4	44 12 3/4	37 10 1/2	" 13 3/8	31 1 3/4	132 6
" "	154 15 3/4	" "	154 15 3/4	56 10 7/8	48 11 3/8	" 13 3/4	41 11 3/8	245 6 1/2
" "	154 15 3/4	" "	154 15 3/4	56 10 7/8	48 11 3/8	" 13 3/4	41 11 3/8	245 6 1/2
" "	137 14 3/8	" "	137 14 3/8	56 10 7/8	46 12	" 13 1/4	39 13 3/8	224 7 3/4
" "	137 14 3/8	" "	137 14 3/8	56 10 7/8	46 12	" 13 1/4	39 13 3/8	224 7 3/4
" "	137 14 3/8	" "	137 14 3/8	56 10 7/8	46 12	" 13 1/4	30 12 1/4	215 6 5/8

Gyacum

Fort St. George

Ajacent Beriz.

Maramut Charges.		Nunjey		Punjey		Total.	
year. Amount.	Particulars.	Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Extent of Land.	Beriz.	Extent of Land.	Beriz.
	Do do						
	Saigal	74 5¼	197 14 3/8	56 10 7/8	46 12	131 ⅞	184 10 2/8
1841 196 . 3.							
	Laikh	36. 8⅛	61 3 5/8	46. 6¼	28 15 7/8.	82 14 3/8	90. 3½
	Total	110. 13 3/8	199. 2	103. 1⅛.	75 11 7/8.	213. 14½	274. 13⅞
	Anandy	134 12 7/8	150. 2¼	47. 7.	33 13 3/8	182 3⅜.	183. 15 5/8
	Grand Total	245. 10¼	349 4¼	150. 8⅞	109. 9¼	396. 2⅜	458. 13½

3d January 1842.

Hamiel or old Beriz.

Ready Money Collections.	Total including Ready Money Collections.		Page	as
		Hamiel	91	14
		Average of 10 years previous to the war	208	6
		Do. of 10 Do. after the Cessation of war	107	9¼
		Do. of 3 Do. from Fusly 1202. to 1204	127	11¾
30 12¼	215 6 5/8			
		Do. of 3 Do. from Fusly 1205. to 1207	129.	10
		Total average	131	1¼
" 12¾	91 ¼			
		Beriz of Moatah	143	13.
		Permanent Beriz	131.	6.
		Fusly 1205	95	14
31. 9	306 6⅞			
		1206	113	2½
		1207	153	10⅜
		1208	65.	15¼
1 14½	185 14⅛			
		1209	119.	14
		1210	150.	1¾
		1211	151.	3¾
33 7½	492. 5.	Permanent Beriz fixed by the Sub division	174	9¼

The

Fort St. George 3d. January

6

The rates of

Assessment introduced

into the aforementioned

four Villages have

been enforced ever

since the commencement

of the Current Fusly.

but the Ryots have

been also distinctly

informed

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

informed that those

rates are subject to —

alteration or revision

by the Board of Revenue

on the General question

of the Survey being taken into

Consideration.—

Chingleput District.
Collectors Cutchery.
Pullecarray, 13th December
1841.

Signed A. Frazer
Collector.

Abstract—

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Ayacut and Assessment proposed for the lands of the 3 Villages comprized in the

Land Cultivated in fusly 1232		Description of Lands	Extent of Land.		Collection of fusly 1232					
			As per former Measurement.	As per present Survey Measurement.	Total Amount of Collection.		Deduct Assessment on 2nd Crop.		Collection on account of one crop.	
1			2		3		4		5		6	
			C.d.	as	C.d.	A.s	Pag.d	as	Pag.d	A.s	Pag.d	A.s
Poonjey	Land	Mixed.	111	2	113	1/4	86	11 3/4	"	"	86	11 3/4
Poonjey Lands irrigated from Tank.	No 1 Land.	1 Crop	416	14 1/8	369	8 1/4	801	8 3/4	"	"	801	8 3/4
		2 Crops.	70	10	55	5 1/2	259	7 3/4	84	2 1/4	175	5 1/2
			487	8 1/8	424	13 3/4	1061	1/2	84	2 1/4	976	14 1/4
	No 2 Land.	1 Crop.	12	"	9	2 1/4	1067	7 7/8	"	"	17	7 7/8
	Total	1 Crop	428	14 1/8	378	10 1/2	819	5/8	"	"	819	5/8
		2 Crops.	70	10	55	5 1/2	259	7 3/4	84	2 1/4	175	5 1/2
			499	8 1/8	434	"	1078	8 3/8	84	2 1/4	994	6 1/8

Sirkarmundars				Proposals of the Ryots.				Beriz proposed by the Collector							
Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total.		Average per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop.		additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total.		Average per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop.	
12		13		14		15		16		17		18		19	
Pagd.	a.	Pagd.	A.	Pagd.	A.	Pagd.	A.	Pagd.	A.	Pagd.	a.	Pagd.	A.	Pagd.	A.
"	"	89	3½	"	12½	89	3½	"	"	89	3½	"	12½	89	3½
"	"	692	14⅜	1	14	692	14⅜	"	"	692	14⅜	1	14	692	14⅜
72	3	216	9⅛	2	9¾	144	6⅛	72	3	216	9⅛	2	9¾	144	6⅛
72	3	909	7½	1	15½	837	4½	72	3	909	7½	1	15½	837	4½
"	"	12	9⅛	1	6	12	9⅛	"	"	12	9⅛	1	6	12	9⅛
"	"	705	7½	1	13⅞	705	7½	"	"	705	7½	1	13⅞	705	7½
72	3	216	9⅛	2	9¾	144	6⅛	72	3	216	9⅛	2	9¾	144	6⅛
72	3	922	⅝	1	15⅜	849	13⅝	72	3	922	⅝	1	15⅜	849	13⅝

Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total.		
20		21		
Pagd.	a.	Pagd.	A.	
"	"	89	3½	
"	"	692	14⅜	
72	3	216	9⅛	
72	3	909	7½	
"	"	12	9⅛	
"	"	705	7½	
72	3	216	9⅛	
72	3	922	⅝	

Fort St. George

3d. January 1842

Dars of Land Cultiva- sly 1232	Description of Land	Extent of Land: As per former Measurement	As per present Survey Measurement	Total Amount of Collection.	Collection of Fusly 1232: Deduct Assessment on 2d. Crop.	Collection on account of one Crop.	Average rate of Seerwah per Cawny on Land in Co.s 3 and Amount in Co.s 6.	Average Do.s	Do. on Fusly 1210.	Average rate of Seerwah per Cawny on the produce of 23 years.	Assessment of: Average Per Cawny	Amount Assessment for 1st Crop
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11
		Cs. as	Cs. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. as	Cs. As.		Pags. As.	Pags. As.
Total dry and wet		610 10/8	547 1/4	1165 4/8	84 2/4	1081 1/8						939 1/8
Additional Seerwah		" "	" "	" "	" "	" "						" "
	Total	" "	" "	1165 4/8	84 2/4	1081 1/8						939 1/8
Sodee Tank Merah		" "	" "	10 14/4	" "	10 14/4						10 14/4
	Total	" "	" "	1176 2/8	84 2/4	1092 1/8						949 15/8
Pagadeyah: Granamittum Total of Inuctos		" "	" "	" "	" "	" "						" "
Teeces upon Trees &c.a		" "	" "	2 2/8	" "	2 2/8						2 2/8
Moturpha		" "	" "	5 9/2	" "	5 9/2						5 9/2
Sundry small Items & Fees		" "	" "	" "	" "	" "						" "
Extra Collection		" "	" "	7 12/8	" "	7 12/8						7 12/8
		" "	" "	3 6/4	" "	3 6/4						" "
Total of Fusly 1232		" "	" "	11 2/8	" "	11 2/8						7 12/8
		" "	" "	1187 5/4	84 2/4	1103 3						957 11/2

Fort St. George

3d. January 1842

Proposal of the Rents

Zurtumindars

Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.	Total.	Average Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment for 1st. Crop.	Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.
12	13	14	15	16
Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags.	As. Pags. As.	Pags.
72 3	1,011 4/8	" "	939 1/8	72 3
" "	" "	" "	" "	"
72 3	1,011 4/8	" "	939 1/8	72 3
" "	10 14/4	" "	10 14/4	" "
72 3	1,022 2/8	" "	949 15/8	72 3
" "	2 2/8	" "	2 2/8	" "
" "	5 9/2	" "	5 9/2	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	7 12/8	" "	7 12/8	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	7 12/8	" "	7 12/8	" "
72 3	1029 14/2	" "	957 11/2	72 3

Beriz proposed by the Collector.

Total.	Average Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment for 1st. Crop.	Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.	Total.
17	18	19	20 As. Pags.	21 As.
Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.		
1011 4/8	" "	939 1/8	72 3.	1011 4/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
1011 4/8	" "	939 1/8.	72 3.	1011 4/8
10 14/4	" "	10 2/4	" "	10 2/4
1022 2/8	" "	949 3/8.	72 3.	1021 6/8.
2 2/8	" "	2 2/8	" "	2 2/8
5 9/2	" "	5 4/8.	" "	5 4/8
" "	" "	10.	" "	10
7 12/8	" "	7 15	" "	7 15
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
7 12/8	" "	7 15.	" "	7 15
1029 14/2	" "	957 2/8	72 3.	1029 5/8

Fort St George

Collection of Fusly 1232.

Extent of Land		Total Amount of Collection	Deduct Assessment on 2d. Crops.	Collection on account of one Crop.
As per former Measurement.	As per present Survey Measurement.			
2	3	4	5	6
Cs. as.	Cs. as.	Pags. As.	Pags. as.	Pags. As.
" "	205 8/8	138 7/2	" "	138 7/2
" "	21 3/4	36 7	" "	36 7
" "	226 11/8	174 14/2	" "	174 14/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	174 14/2	" "	174 14/2
" "	318 8/8.	225. 3/4	" "	225 3/4
" "	399. 13 3/4	855. 7 5/8.	" "	855 7 5/8
" "	55. 5/2	259. 7 3/4	84 2/4	175 5/2
" "	455. 3/4	1114 15 3/8.	84 2/4	1030 13/8
" "	773. 12/8	1340. 2 5/8	84 2/4	1256 3/8
" "	" "	22 1/8	" "	22 1/8
" "	" "	1362 3/4	84 2/4	1278 1/2

Fort St. George

Sarnary 1842-

Sirtarumdars-				Proposals of the Ryots-				Beriz proposed by the Collector							
Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.		Total.		Average ps. Cawney.	Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop	Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop		Total.	Average ps. Cawney.	Amount of Assessment for 1st. Crop		Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.		Total.	
12		13		14		15.		16	17	18		19		20	21
Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.
"	"	145	15 5/8.	"	11 3/8.	145	15 5/8	"	"	145	15 3/8.	"	11 3/8	145	15 5/8	"	"	145	15 5/8
"	"	33	1/2	1	9.	33	1/2	"	"	33	1/2	1	9	33	1/2	"	"	33	1/2
"	"	179.	1/8	"	"	179	1/8	"	"	179.	1/8	"	"	179.	1/8	"	"	179.	1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7.	9 3/8	"	"	7.	9 3/8
"	"	179	1/8.	"	"	179	1/8	"	"	179	1/8	"	"	186.	10 1/2	"	"	186.	10 1/2
"	"	235.	3/8	"	11 3/4.	235	3/8	"	"	235.	3/8	"	11 3/4	235.	3/8	"	"	235.	3/8
"	"	738.	9	1	13 1/2	738.	9.	"	"	738.	9	1	13 1/2	738.	9	"	"	738.	9.
72	3	216.	9/8	2	9 3/4	144	6/8	72	3	216	9/8	2	9 3/4	144.	6/8	72	3	216.	9/8
72	3	955.	2/8	1	15	882	15/8	72	3	955	2/8	1	15	882	15/8	72	3	955.	2/8
72	3	1190.	5/4	"	"	1,118	2/4	72	3	1,190	5/4	"	"	1,118	2/4	72	3	1190	5/4
"	"	18.	10 3/8	"	"	18	10 3/4	"	"	18	10 3/8	"	"	25.	11/8	"	"	25	11/8
72	3	1,208.	15 5/8	"	"	1,130	12 5/8	72	3	1,208	15 5/8	"	"	1143	13 3/8	72	3	1216	3/8

Fort St. George

3d January 1842

Extent of land.				Collection of Fusly 1232-																	
As per former Measurement.		As per present Survey Measurement.		Total Amount of Collection.		Deduct Assessment on 2d Crop.		Collection on account of 1st Crop.		Average rate of Seerwah for Cawnies on Lands in Co.s 6 & 3 and		Amount in Co.s 6-		Average Rate of		Amount of Fusly 1240.		Average rate of Seerwah per Cawnies on the Cawnies on the Inundated of 23 years		Average Per Cawnies		Assessment for 1	
2				3		4		5		6		7		8				9		10			
Cs.	As.	Cs.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.
"	"	899	1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	707	2/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	12	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	719	3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1318	5/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	917	10 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1119	1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	55	5/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1174	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	2092	1 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

3d. January 1842

Sirkarumdars-

Proposal of the Ryots-

Beriz proposed by the Collector

Sirkarumdars- additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.		Sirkarumdars- Total-		Proposal of the Ryots- Average per Cawney.		Proposal of the Ryots- Amount of Assessment for 1st Crop.		Proposal of the Ryots- Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.		Beriz proposed by the Collector- Total-		Beriz proposed by the Collector- Average per Cawney.		Beriz proposed by the Collector- Amount of Assessment for 1st Crop.		Beriz proposed by the Collector- Additional Teerwah for 2d. Crop.		Beriz proposed by the Collector- Total	
12		13		14		15		16		17		18		19		20		21	
Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.	Pagt.	As.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9 7/8	367.	12 7/8	"	"	367	12 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1 1/8	758.	2 3/4	"	"	758.	2 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6	16.	9 1/4	"	"	16	9 1/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	1 1/4	774.	12	"	"	774	12
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1142	8 7/8	"	"	1142	8 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 7/8	"	"	"	1 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1142	10 3/4	"	"	1142	10 3/4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10 1/2	603.	"	"	"	603	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	5 5/8	1513.	5	"	"	1513.	5.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	9 3/4	144	6 1/8	72	3	216.	9 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6 7/8	1657	11 1/8	72	3	1729.	14 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2260.	11 1/8	72	3	2332	14 1/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	25.	13	"	"	25.	13
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2286.	8 1/8	72	3	2358	11 1/8

Fort St George 3d January 1842

Extent of land.		Collection of Fusly 1232			Average rate of Assessment per Cawnie Land in Col. 3	Average Do. in Fusly 1210	Average rate of Assessment per Cawnie on the produce of 23 years	Average Assessment per Cawnie	Amount of Assessment for
As per former Measurement.	As per present Survey Measurement.	Total Amount of Collection.	Deduct Assessment on 2d Crop	Collection on account of one Crop					
2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Cs. As.	Cs. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags.
" "	16 4 3/4	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	27 15 1/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	9 3/4	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	35 2 3/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	51 7/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	15	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	8 14 8/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	25 3/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	28 14 7/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	7 3/4	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	36 1 3/8	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"
" "	61 4 1/2	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"

St. George.

3d. Jama 842-

Sirtarumdars- Additional Jeerwab- for 2d. Crop.	Total.	Proposal of the Ryots- Average Yearly Cawiney.	Amount of Additional Assessment Jeerwab for 2d. Crop.	Total.	Beriz proposed by the Collector Average Yearly Cawiney	Amount of Additional Assessment Jeerwab for 1st. Crop.	for 2d. Crop.	Total.
12		13			14		16	
Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.		Pags.	As.	Pags.	As.
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"

Page	7	Average rate of Interest for Course on Loans in Col 3 and Amount in Col 5							
No.									
Page	8	Average Do							
No.		Do in Study 12							
Page	9	Average rate of Interest for Course on the procedure of 23 years							
No.									
Page	10	Average							
No.		Per Course							

Fort St. George

3d January 1842.

Sirtarumdars Proposal				Proposal the Ryots						Berij proposed by the Collector							
Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop		Total		Average Jc Cawney		Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop		Total		Average Jc Cawney		Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop	
12		13		14		15		16		17		18		19		20	
Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As	Pagt	As
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	1	12 3/4	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1/2	35	8 3/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8	2	3/4	1	3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1/4	37	9/8	1	3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	39	5/8	1	3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	"	"	1/2	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	2	1	7/8	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	1	12 3/4	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1/2	36	10 3/4	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8	2	3/4	1	3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	1/4	88	11/2	1	3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40	8/4	1	3/8

Total		
21		
Pagt	As	
"	1	12 3/4
"	35	8 3/8
"	3	1/8
"	38	9/2
"	40	6/4
"	"	1/2
"	1	7/8
"	1	12 3/4
"	36	10 3/4
"	3	1/8
"	39	11/8
"	41	8/8

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

Particulars of Land Cultivated in Fusly 1232

Extent of land Collection of Fusly 1232

Description	As per former measurement	As per present survey measurement	Total Amount of Collection	Deduct Assessment on 2d Crop.	Collection on account of one Crop
			4	5	6
	Cl. 2 As.	Cl. 3 As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.
Punjey land	" "	28 1/8	" "	" "	" "
Nunjey land 1 Crop	" "	46 1/8	" "	" "	" "
Nunjey land 2 Crops	" "	8 3/8	" "	" "	" "
Total dry and Wet	" "	54 1/2	" "	" "	" "
Total Circar and Enam	" "	82 5/8	" "	" "	" "
Pramanuttum	" "	2175 1/4	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land	" "	36 7/8	" "	" "	" "
Nunjey land	" "	128 6	" "	" "	" "
Pramanuttum	" "	14 4/4	" "	" "	" "
Total Ayacut	" "	4 10/8	" "	" "	" "
	" "	147 4 3/8	" "	" "	" "
	" "	2359 2/2	" "	" "	" "

Total Circar and Enam Land

Total Circar and Enam Land

Pramanuttum Land

3d January 1842

tion of Fasly 1232

Particulars of Land

Deduct Assessment on 2d Crop.		Collection on account of one Crop	
5		6	
Pagt.	At.	Pagt.	At.
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"

Fort St. George January 1842.

Sirtarumdars- Additional Jeerwah for 2d Crop	Total-	Proposal of the Ryots- Average ₹ Cawney	Amount of Assessment for 1st Crop	Additional Jeerwah for 2d Crop	Total.	Beriz proposed by the Collector- Average ₹ Cawney.	Amount of Assessment for 1 Crop	Additional Jeerwah for 2d Crop	Total.
12	13	14.	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.	Pags. As.
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" " 10 5/8.	18 10	" "	18 10
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 15 1/4	91 10 1/2	" "	91 10 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 11 1/2	21 11 3/4	10 13 7/8	32 9 5/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 1 1/8	113. 6 1/4	10 13 7/8	124 4 7/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	132 3/4	10 13 7/8	142 14 7/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	24 1.8	8 3/8	83 7/8	2,501 9 1/4
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

Fort St. George.

3d January 1842.

Abstract Statement of actual Produce and Collections of the 3 Villages Comprized in the Poontumalee Jaloor, Zillah

Sunjey irrigated by

Jamil years.	Extent of Land.		1 Crop Amount of Produce.		No 1 Tank. Average produce ₱ Cawney.		Extent of Land.		2 Crop Total Amount of Produce.		Deduct produce of 2d Crop.		Remaining produce of 1st Crop.		Average produce ₱ Cawney		Total Extent of Land	
	1	2	3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
	Cs.	as.	Cgs.	as	Rs.	as	Cs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs	as	Cs.	as
Adya	328	15.	498.	14 1/8.	1	8 1/4.	87	12	249	12 1/8	99	2 1/8	150	10	1	11 1/2	416	11.
Amatie	92	10.	93.	8 1/4	1	1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	92	10.
Adoobhie	324	15.	643.	11 7/8.	1	15 3/4	24	3	109.	3 5/8	48	17/8	61	13 1/4	2	10 3/8.	349	2
Adodgauree	275	"	644.	14 3/8.	2	5 1/2	38	7	196.	6 3/4	96.	7 1/4	99	15 1/2	2	9 3/4.	313	7.
Antchee	242	13.	646.	11.	2.	10 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	242	13.
Bhana	312	4.	448.	4 5/8.	1	7.	53	12	177.	14 5/8	88	11 1/4	89.	3 1/8	1	10 3/8	366	"
Beah	38	2.	53.	11 3/8.	1	6 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	38	2.
Bhawa	271	2.	524.	11 5/8.	1	14 7/8	39.	2	138	11 3/8	43.	7 7/8	95	3 1/2	2	7	310	4
Bhawa	331	10.	664.	13.	2	"	98.	6.	449.	10 3/8	203.	13.	245.	13 5/8.	2	9 1/4	427	"
Bhela	312	12.	581.	14 1/4	1	13 3/4	67.	3.	261.	7 1/8	106.	9.	154.	14 1/8	2	4 7/8	379	15.
Chandootah	284	15.	557.	5 3/8.	1	15 1/4	77	8	350.	14 1/8	153.	15 1/4	196.	14 7/8	2	8 5/8	362	7.
Chitpully	224	7.	522.	2 5/8.	2	5 1/4	45	"	236.	2 1/2	101.	15 1/2	134.	3	2	15 3/4	269	7.
Cheerala	161	4.	457.	10 1/8	2	13 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	161	4
Cheroothra	302	11.	604.	13 3/8.	2	"	51.	14	206.	14	92.	5 3/4	114	8 1/4	2	3 1/2	354	9.
Cawra	235	6.	433.	8 7/8.	1	11 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	255	6.
Chalo	407.	10.	592	6 1/2	1	7 1/4	42	6.	126	10 1/8	60.	13	65.	13 1/2	1	8 7/8	450	"
Cawra	321.	15.	465.	11.	1	7 7/8	19	11	63.	15.	28.	9.	35.	6.	1	12 3/4	341.	10
Chandanee	340.	1/2	599.	14 7/8.	1	12 1/4	11	15.	42	5.	22.	7 7/8	19.	13 7/8	1	10 5/8.	351.	15 1/2
Chandee	353.	8 1/2	679.	10 1/8	1	14 3/4	39	3	164	9 3/8	79.	6 3/4	85.	3 1/8	2	2 3/4	392.	11 1/2
Cawra	348.	15.	548.	15 3/8.	1	9 1/8	54	5.	178.	10 1/8.	81.	12 1/2	96.	13 3/4	1	12 1/2	403.	4
Cheka	363.	2.	462.	15	1	4 3/8	117	11.	551.	37 1/8	242.	11 3/4	308.	8 1/8	2	9 7/8.	480.	13
Chitabhanee	261.	8 1/2	420.	25/8.	1	9 3/4	59	14	104	7 3/8	26.	8	77.	15 3/8	1	4 3/4	321	6 1/2
	458.	12 1/8.	860.	12 3/4	1	14	40.	12	217	11 7/8	84.	2 1/4	133.	9 3/8	3	4 3/8	499.	8 1/8
Total	6614.	5 3/8	12007.	4 5/8.	"	"	966.	"	3826	10 3/8	1660.	15 1/4	2165.	11 1/8	"	"	7580.	5 5/8.
Add the amount of the years yielding very deficient produce	10.	2	60.	15.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	40	2
Net Revenue	6574	3 5/8.	11946.	5 5/8.	"	"	966.	"	3826	10 3/8	1660	15 1/4	2165	11 1/8	"	"	7540.	3 5/8.
Average for a year	312.	15 5/8	568.	13 3/8.	"	"	71	5 1/2	256.	2 1/8	103.	9 5/8	152.	7 1/8	"	"	358.	15 3/8.
Use above fasly 1232 as per Survey measurement	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	312.	15 5/8.	568.	13 3/8.	"	"	71	5 1/2	256	2 1/8	103	9 5/8	152	7 1/8	"	"	358.	15 3/8
Waste below fasly 1232 as per Survey measurement	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	45	12 1/2
Net Balance	38.	12 1/2.	"	"	"	"	9	15.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	274.	3 1/8	568.	13 3/8.	2	1 1/4	61	6 1/2	256	2 1/8	103	9 5/8	152	7 1/8	2	7 3/4	313.	3

Fort St. Geo[rge]

Ja January 1842.

Total Produce of Punjey and Punjey	Nagadiot or Ready Money Collections- Fusli Jastee & additional Teerwa for Totacal-		Indee.		Moturpha &c.		Total.	
17	18		19		20		21	
Rs. as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as
803 15	"	"	8	9 3/4	7	8 5/8	16	2 3/8
104 15	"	"	"	12	"	6	2	
804 7/8	1	7/8	7	5 9/4	19	4	31	15/8
897 5/8	"	"	7	8 3/4	5	10 7/8	13	35/8
752 2 5/8	"	"	6	2 1/2	5	12	11	11 4/2
843 5/8	"	"	9	2 1/2	4	1 1/4	13	3 3/4
53 11 3/8	"	3 5/8	"	1 1/4	5	13 3/4	6	2 5/8
841 15 7/8	"	"	5	5 1/8	1	11 1/4	7	3 3/8
1244 13 3/8	"	10 1/2	14	3/4	11	12 1/2	26	7 3/4
906 13 1/4	1	15 3/4	8	3 1/2	9	9 1/2	19	12 3/4
947 5 1/4	"	4 3/8	9	12 1/4	14	5 1/4	24	5 7/8
867 8 3/8	1	4	9	13 1/4	2	"	13	1 1/4
620 2 1/2	"	1	6	13 1/2	20	15 3/4	27	14 1/4
1018 8 5/8	1	10 1/2	9	15 3/4	7	15 3/4	19	10
512 1 3/8	3	15 7/8	4	3 3/8	8	7 7/8	16	11 3/8
823 7 1/8	8	5 7/8	8	5 3/4	12	15 1/4	29	10 7/8
579 10 1/8	"	"	6	11 3/4	11	3 3/8	17	15/8
695 7 3/4	"	"	6	6 1/2	12	13 1/8	19	3 5/8
920 3/8	"	"	10	13	14	4 5/8	25	1 5/8
790 11 7/8	"	"	7	9 3/8	14	4 1/4	21	13 5/8
938 7 1/2	"	"	11	5 7/8	20	14 3/8	32	4 1/4
551 9	"	"	6	11 1/2	15	5 7/8	22	13/8
1165 4 1/8	"	"	10	14 1/4	11	2 7/8	22	1 1/8
17722 12 7/8	22	15 3/8	176	12	238	9 1/8	438	4 1/2
82 1/4	"	3 5/8	"	1 1/4	7	13 3/4	8	2 5/8
17640 12 3/8	22	11 3/4	176	10 3/4	230	11 3/8	430	17/8
840 4 1/4	3	11	8	14 5/8	12	2 3/8	20	7 1/2
" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
840 4 1/4	3	11	8	14 5/8	12	2 3/8	20	7 1/2
" "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
840 4 1/4	3	11	8	14 5/8	12	2 3/8	20	7 1/2

Total [cont.] amount of 1st Crop produce after deducting that of 2d Crop.		average produce per Cawney	Extent of Land.	Punjey. Amount of Produce		Aver... produce per Cawney
12		13	14	15		16
Rs.	as	Rs. as	Rs. as	Rs.	as	Rs.
649	8 7/8	1	130 10	55	4	"
92	8 1/4	1	16 10	11	6 3/4	"
704	13 3/8	2	96 "	51	1 3/8	"
744	13 7/8	2	66 8	55	11 1/4	1
646	11	2	83 14	105	7 7/8	1
537	8	1	217 8	216	13 5/8	1
53	11 3/8	1	106 14 1/2	178	8 7/8	1
619	15 1/8	2	102 12	130	5 1/4	1
910	10 5/8	2	42 11	63	7 7/8	1
736	12 3/8	1	51 10	39	1 3/4	1
754	4 1/4	2	27 1	49	3 1/4	1
656	5 1/2	2	95 12	162	7 5/8	1
457	10 7/8	2	133 5	206	13 1/4	1
719	3 5/8	1	50 10	78	8 1/2	1
433	8 7/8	1	133 5	104	6 1/8	1
658	4	1	75 8	60	1/8	"
501	1	1	76 1	53	3 1/8	"
619	12 3/4	1	102 11	75	12 3/8	"
764	13 1/4	1	92 12 5/8	63	2 1/4	"
645	13 1/8	1	45 8 7/8	24	4 5/8	"
771	7 1/8	1	71 13	26	15	"
498	2	1	111 2	86	11 3/4	"
994	6 1/8	1	1930 15 2 1/8	1888	12 7/8	"
14,172	153/4	"	1914 10 1/8	21	1 1/4	"
60	15	"	92 12	1867	12 1/8	"
14112	3/4	"	" "	89	15 3/4	"
672	1/8	"	92 12	"	"	"
"	"	"	" "	89	15 3/4	"
672	1/8	"	92 12	"	"	"
"	"	"	" "	89	15 3/4	"
672	1/8	2	23/8 92 12	"	"	17 1/2

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

Total including Nagadiot or Ready Money Collection.		Nunjey. Average ps. Cawney.		Village Punjey Beriz.	
22		23		24	25
Rs.	as.	Rs.	as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.
820	13/8	727	13/8.	" "	55 4
106	1	801	11/2	" "	11 63/4
835	2 1/2	644	13.	" "	51 13/8
910	4 1/4	658.	7/8.	" "	55 11 1/2
764	1 1/8	609.	9 7/8.	" "	105. 7 5/8
856.	4 3/8	496.	11 7/8.	" "	216. 13 3/8
59.	14	720.	13 3/8.	" "	" "
849.	3 1/4	541.	33/4.	" "	178. 8 1/8
1271.	5 1/8	1114.	7 3/8.	" "	130. 5 3/4
926.	10	843.	5 3/8.	" "	63. 7 3/8
971.	11 1/8	908.	3 1/2	" "	39 1 3/4
820.	9 5/8	758.	5 1/8	" "	49. 3 1/4
648.	3/4	457.	10 7/8	" "	162. 7 5/8
1038.	2 5/8	811.	11 3/8	" "	206. 13 1/4
528	12 1/2	433.	8 7/8.	" "	78. 8 1/2
853.	2	719.	1.	" "	104. 6 1/8
597.	9 1/4	529.	10.	" "	50. 1/8
714.	11 3/8	642	37/8.	" "	53. 3 3/8
945.	2	844.	4	" "	75. 12 3/8.
812.	9 1/2	727	95/8.	" "	63 2 1/4
1070.	11 3/4	1014	27/8	" "	24 4 7/8.
573.	10 3/8	524.	10.	" "	26 15.
1187	5 1/4	1078	83/8	" "	86. 11 3/8
18,161	1 1/8.	16,607.	1 1/8	" "	1,888 13 5/8
90.	2 7/8	1421.	9 1/4	" "	21 1 1/4
18,070.	14 1/4	15,185.	7/8	" "	1,867. 12 3/4
860.	8	723.	2.	" "	89. 15 3/4
"	"	"	"	" "	" "
860	8	723	2	" "	89. 15 3/4
"	"	"	"	" "	" "
860	8	723	2	2 4 3/8	89 15 3/4

Collections. Average ps. Cawney.	Nagadiot Collections.		Total.	Nunjey Amount of Beriz	Average ps. Cawney.
26	27		28	29	30
Rs. as.	Rs.	as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.	Rs. as.
" "	16	23/8.	798. 7 3/4	727 13/8	" "
" "	1	2	813. 10 1/4	801. 1 1/2	" "
" "	31	15/8.	727. "	559. 8 3/8	" "
" "	13	35/8.	727. "	572. 12 1/4	" "
" "	11	14 1/2	727. "	824. 5 1/4	" "
" "	13	33/4.	727. "	411. 10 1/4	" "
" "	6	25/8.	727. "	635. 8 3/4	" "
" "	7	33/8	727. "	455. 15 1/8	" "
" "	26	73/4.	1271. 5 1/8	484. 13 7/8.	" "
" "	19	123/4.	926. 10	558. 6 3/4	" "
" "	24	57/8.	971. 11 1/8	578. 3 3/4.	" "
" "	13	1 1/4	820. 9 7/8	579. 6 7/8	" "
" "	27	14 1/4.	648. 3/4	451. 5 1/2	" "
" "	19	10.	1038. 2 5/8	415. 4 1/8	" "
" "	16	11 1/8.	528. 12 1/2	546 7 3/4	" "
" "	29	10 7/8.	853. 2	507 10 3/8	" "
" "	17	15 1/8.	597. 9 1/4	573 12 1/8	" "
" "	19	35/8	714. 11 3/8	569. 37/8.	" "
" "	25	15/8.	945. 2	520. 13 3/8	" "
" "	21	135/8.	812. 9 1/2	556. 11 1/2	" "
" "	32	4 1/4	1070. 11 3/4	585 2 1/2	" "
" "	22	1 3/8.	573. 10 3/8	592 11	" "
" "	22	1 1/8	1187. 5 1/4	532 14 1/2	" "
" "	438.	2 1/2	18,934 3 1/4	12,760. 14 3/4	" "
" "	8	25/8	1,450 13 1/8	1,100 11 7/8	" "
" "	430.	17/8	17,483. 6 1/8.	11,360 2 7/8	" "
" "	20	7 1/2	832. 8 3/4	536. 3 1/8	" "
" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "
" "	20	7 1/2	832. 8 3/4	536 3 1/8	" "
" "	"	"	" "	" "	" "
" 15 1/2	20	7 1/2	832 8 3/4	536 3 1/8	1 11 3/8

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

Permanent Beriz so long as the Lands were under the Zemindary — and actual collections after they came under Aumany.

Amount of Beriz.		Average Pr. Cawney.		Amount of Collections.		Total	
31		32		33		34	
Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as	Rs.	as
55	4	"	"	16	2 3/8	798	7 1/4
11	6 3/4	"	"	1	2.	813	10 1/4
57.	1 3/8	"	"	31	15/8.	641	11 3/8
55.	11 1/2	"	"	13	3 5/8.	641	11 3/8
105.	7 3/8.	"	"	11.	14 1/2	641	11 3/8
216.	13 3/4	"	"	13	3 3/4.	641	11 3/8
"	"	"	"	6	2 5/8	641	11 3/8
178.	8 1/8.	"	"	7	3 2/8.	641	11 3/8
130.	5 3/4	"	"	26	7 3/4	641	11 3/8
63.	7/8	"	"	19	12 3/4	641	11 3/8
39.	1 3/4	"	"	24	5 7/8.	641	11 3/8
49.	3 1/4	"	"	13	1 1/4.	641	11 3/8
162.	7 5/8	"	"	27	12 1/4	641	11 3/8
206.	13 1/4	"	"	19	10.	641	11 3/8
78.	8 1/2	"	"	16	11 7/8	641	11 3/8
104.	6 1/8	"	"	29	10 3/8.	641	11 3/8
30.	1/8	"	"	17	15 5/8	641	11 3/8
53.	3 7/8	"	"	19	3 5/8.	641	11 3/8
75.	12 3/8	"	"	25.	1 7/8.	641	11 3/8
63.	2 1/4	"	"	21.	12 5/8.	641	11 3/8
24.	4 5/8.	"	"	32	4 1/4	641	11 3/8
26.	15	"	"	22	1 3/8	641	11 3/8
86.	11 3/4	"	"	22	1 3/8	641	11 3/8
1,888	135/8.	"	"	438.	4 1/2	15088	7/8
21.	1 1/4	"	"	8	2 5/8.	1429	15 3/4
1867.	123/8	"	"	430.	1 7/8	13658	1 7/8
89.	153/4	"	"	20	7 1/2	650.	4 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
89.	153/4.	"	"	20	7 1/2	650.	4 7/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
89	153/4	"	15 1/2	20	7 1/2	650.	4 7/8

Old Berizes.

	Page	as
Kamil Beriz or Revenues of former years.	678.	12 3/8
Average of 10 years prior to the war	909.	9 3/4
Average of 10 years after the Cessation of war	852	2 1/2
Average of 3 years from Fusly 1202 to 1204.	1,221	7 1/2
—do— of —do— from 1205. to 1207.	1,138	15 1/4
Total of Average	960.	13 1/2
Beriz of Mootahs	1,151.	4
Permanent Beriz	641.	11 3/8.
Fusly 1205	1,297	13 7/8
1206	965.	4.
1207	679.	7 1/4
1208	365.	3 1/4
1209	659.	8
1210	798.	7 3/4
1211	813	10 1/4

Maramut Charges. years.	Amount Rs.	as	p
1840	18	14	2
1841	407.	15	3.
Total	426.	13	5.

Fort St. George

Particulars of the present Survey.

Particulars

		Shaigal.		Laikh.		Total.	Cultivated in Fusly 1232		Uncultivated in Fusly 1232.	
		1		2		3	4		5	
		Cs.	as.	Cs.	as.	Cs.	Cs	as	Cs	as
Nunjey	2 Crops	55	5½	"	"	55	55	5½	"	"
	1 Crop	378	10½	21	3¼	399.	378	10½	21	3¼
Total.		434	"	21	3¼	455	434	"	21	3¼
Punjey		113	¼	205	8⅝	318.	113	¼	205	8⅝
		547	¼	226	11⅞	773.	547	¼	226	11⅞
Anaicdy Punjey.		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
Nunjey 1 Crop.		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
Punjey		"	"	"	"	719.	"	"	"	"
Total Circar.		"	"	"	"	599.	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	1318	"	"	"	"
Nunjey	2 Crops.	8	¾	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
	1 Crop.	44	14⅜	"	15¾	45.	"	"	"	"
Total.		52	14¾	"	15¾	53.	"	"	"	"
Punjey		19	2¾	"	"	19	"	"	"	"
Anaicdy Punjey		72	17½	"	15¾	73.	"	"	"	"
Nunjey 1 Crop.		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
Punjey		"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	8	"	"	"	"
Total Enam.		"	"	"	"	9	"	"	"	"
Total Circar & Enam.		"	"	"	"	82.	"	"	"	"
Punjey		"	"	"	"	2175.	"	"	"	"
Nunjey		"	"	"	"	128.	"	"	"	"
Gramanuttums.		"	"	"	"	14	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"
Gramanuttums.		"	"	"	"	147	"	"	"	"
Total ayacut.		"	"	"	"	36	"	"	"	"
		"	"	"	"	2359	"	"	"	"

Circars

Enam

Pamphlets

Chingleput District.
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 13th December 1843

C. C.

Jan. / Signed / A. Freese
Collector

Statement

Statement shewing the Ayacut classification and Assessment of the Land of Fort St. George

3rd January 1841

the Village of Agharum in the Carangoo

Nature of Land	Denomination of Sluice	Classification	Number of Allotment	Extent of Land: As per former measurement	Extent of Land: As per present Survey Measurement	Collection of Fasly 1232: Total Amount of Collection	Deduct Assessment on 2nd crop	Collection on account of first crop	Average rate of Assessment per Cawny on Land in Cultivation in Amount in Q.	Average Do. Do. in Fasly 1210	Average rate of Assessment per Cawny on the produce of 33 years	Assessment of the Sirtarumdar: Rate Per Cawny	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwah for 2nd Crop	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
				Cs. as	Cs. as	Pagodas as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as
Punjy land — Mixed	1		63	45 8	45 5	39 12	" "	39 12	" 14	" "		" "	39 10 3/8	" "	39 10
	2		11	11 6	11 5 7/8	8 15 3/8	" "	8 15 3/8	" 11	" "		" "	7 15/8	" "	7 15
			74	56 14	56 10 7/8	48 11 3/8	" "	48 11 3/8	" 13 3/4	" 6 3/4		" 13 3/4	46 12	" "	46
Nunjah land irrigated by Tank	1		41	12 8	12 7 7/8	35 3 1/4	" "	35 3 1/4	2 13	" "		" "	31 3 3/4	" "	31 3
	2		75	19 14	19 14 1/4	48 1 1/2	" "	48 1 1/2	2 6 5/8	" "		" "	42 4 1/4	" "	42 4
	3		66	18 "	17 14 3/4	35 15 3/4	" "	35 15 3/4	2 7/8	" "		" "	31 5 7/8	" "	31 5
	4		66	23 15 1/2	24 3/8	35 11 1/4	" "	35 11 1/4	1 7 3/4	" "		" "	33 1/2	" "	33
Total Dry and Wet			248	74 5 1/2	74 5 1/4	154 15 3/4	" "	154 15 3/4	2 1 3/8	1 9 3/8	1 9 3/4	1 13 3/4	137 11 3/8	" "	137 11
Additional Teerwah for Total			322	131 3 1/2	131 1/8	203 11 1/8	" "	203 11 1/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	184 10 3/8	" "	184 10
Total			"	" " "	" "	1 10 3/4	" "	1 10 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	"
Sodee Ardha Inam			"	" " "	" "	205 5 7/8	" "	205 5 7/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	184 10 3/8	" "	184 10
Total			"	" " "	" "	3 4 7/8	" "	3 4 7/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 1 5/8	" "	3 1
Grannamullum Total			"	" " "	" "	208 10 3/4	" "	208 10 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	187 12	" "	187 12
Tax upon Trees			"	" " "	" "	32 13 5/8	" "	32 13 5/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	32 13 3/8	" "	32 13
Moturpha			"	" " "	" "	3 2 1/8	" "	3 2 1/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 2 1/8	" "	3 2
			"	" " "	" "	" 12	" "	" 12	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 12	" "	" 12
Total of Fasly 1232			"	" " "	" "	36 11 3/4	" "	36 11 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	36 11 3/4	" "	36 11 3/4
			"	" " "	" "	245 6 1/2	" "	245 6 1/2	" "	" "	" "	" "	224 7 3/4	" "	224 7 3/4

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Talook Zillah Chingleput.

Proposal of the Ryot.								Proposed by the Collector.							
Rate Per Cawney		Amount of Assessment.		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total		Rate Per Cawney.		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.		Total.	
17		18		19		20		21		22		23		24	
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	11¼	39	10 3/8	"	"	39	10 3/8	"	11¼	39	10 3/8	"	"	39	10 3/8
"	10	7	1 5/8	"	"	7	1 5/8	"	10	7	1 5/8	"	"	7	1 5/8
"	13¼	46	12	"	"	46	12	"	13¼	46	12	"	"	46	12
2	8	31	3¾	"	"	31	3¾	2	8	31	3¾	"	"	31	3¾
2	2	42	4¼	"	"	42	4¼	2	2	42	4¼	"	"	42	4¼
1	12	31	5 7/8	"	"	31	5 7/8	1	12	31	5 7/8	"	"	31	5 7/8
1	6	33	½	"	"	33	½	1	6	33	½	"	"	33	½
1	13¾	137	14 3/8	"	"	137	14 3/8	1	13¾	137	14 3/8	"	"	137	14 3/8
"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8	"	"	184	10 3/8
"	"	3	1 5/8	"	"	3	1 5/8	"	"	3	1 5/8	"	"	3	1 5/8
"	"	187	12	"	"	187	12	"	"	187	12	"	"	187	12
"	"	32	13 5/8	"	"	32	13 5/8	"	"	20	15¼	"	"	20	15¼
"	"	3	2 1/8	"	"	3	2 1/8	"	"	5	4½	"	"	5	4½
"	"	"	12	"	"	"	12	"	"	1	6 7/8	"	"	1	6 7/8
"	"	36	11¾	"	"	36	11¾	"	"	27	10 5/8	"	"	27	10 5/8
"	"	224	7¾	"	"	224	7¾	"	"	215	6 5/8	"	"	215	6 5/8

of Land		Collection of Sisly 1232										Average rate of Tenancy per Cawney on Land in Co[s] & Amount in 9		Average D[o] D[o] in Sisly 1240	
As per present Survey measurement		Total Amount of Collection		Deduct Assessment on 2nd Crop		Collection on account of first Crop									
6		7		8		9				10				11	
Cs	as	Page	as	Page	as	Page	as			Page	as			Page	as
46	6 1/4	29	"	"	"	29	"			"	10			"	"
1	1 1/2	3	1 1/4	"	"	3	1 1/4			2	18			"	"
6	4 1/8	15	1 5/8	"	"	15	1 5/8			2	6 5/8			"	"
14	"	28	12 1/4	"	"	28	12 1/4			2	7/8			"	"
9	11 7/8	14	7 1/4	"	"	14	7 1/4			1	7 3/4			"	"
31	1 1/2	61	6 3/8	"	"	61	6 3/8			1	15 3/4			"	"
1	4 1/8	3	9	"	"	3	9			2	13			"	"
4	2 1/2	4	1/2	"	"	4	1/2			1	"			"	"
36	8 1/8	68	15 7/8	"	"	68	15 7/8			1	14 1/4			"	"
82	14 3/8	97	15 7/8	"	"	97	15 7/8			"	"			"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"			"	"
"	"	97	15 7/8	"	"	97	15 7/8			"	"			"	"
103	1 1/8	77	11 3/8	"	"	77	11 3/8			"	12 1/8			"	"
110	13 3/8	223	15 5/8	"	"	223	15 5/8			2	1/4			"	"
213	14 1/2	301	11	"	"	301	11			"	"			"	"
"	"	41	11 3/8	"	"	41	11 3/8			"	"			"	"
"	"	343	6 3/8	"	"	343	6 3/8			"	"			"	"

3d January 1842

Assessment of the Sirtarunna

| Average rate of Tenancy per Cawney on the produce of 23 Years | Rate Per Cawney | Amount of Assessment | Additional Tenure for 2d Crop | | | | | | | | | |

Fort St. George

Proposal of the Ryot.

Rate per Cawney		Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwa for 2nd crop.		Total		Rate per Cawney	
(17) Pags	as	(18) Pags	as	(19) Pags	as	(20) Pags	as	(21) Pags	as
"	10	28	15 7/8						
2	8	2	11 3/4	"	"	28	15 7/8	"	10
2	2	13	4 3/4	"	"	2	11 3/4	2	8
1	12	24	8	"	"	13	4 3/4	2	2
1	6	13	6 3/8	"	"	24	8	1	12
				"	"	13	6 3/8	1	6
1	11 5/8	53	14 7/8	"	"	53	14 7/8	1	11 5/8
2	8	3	2 1/4	"	"	3	2 1/4	2	8
1	"	4	2 1/2	"	"	4	2 1/2	1	"
1	10 7/8	61	3 5/8	"	"	61	3 5/8	1	10 7/8
"	"	90	3 1/2	"	"	90	3 1/2	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	90	3 1/2	"	"	90	3 1/2	"	"
"	11 3/4	75	11 7/8	"	"	75	11 7/8	"	11 3/4
1	12 3/4	199	2	"	"	199	2	1	12 3/4
"	"	274	13 7/8	"	"	274	13 7/8	"	"
"	"	39	13 3/8	"	"	39	13 3/8	"	"
"	"	314	11 1/4	"	"	314	11 1/4	"	"

3d January 1842

proposed by the Collector.

Amount of Assessment		Additional Teerwa for 2nd crop.		Total	
(22) Pags	as	(23) Pags	as	(24) Pags	as
28	15 7/8	"	"	28	15 7/8
2	11 3/4	"	"	2	11 3/4
13	4 3/4	"	"	13	4 3/4
24	8	"	"	24	8
13	6 3/8	"	"	13	6 3/8
53	14 7/8	"	"	53	14 7/8
3	2 1/4	"	"	3	2 1/4
4	2 1/2	"	"	4	2 1/2
61	3 5/8	"	"	61	3 5/8
90	3 1/2	"	"	90	3 1/2
"	12 3/4	"	"	4	12 3/4
91	1/4	"	"	91	1/4
75	11 7/8	"	"	75	11 7/8
199	2	"	"	199	21
274	13 7/8	"	"	274	13 7/8
31	9	"	"	31	9
306	6 7/8	"	"	306	6 7/8

Names of Land	Description of Land	Classification	Number of Allotments as per measurements	Extent of Land		Collection of Tuesly 1232									
Collection in Tuesly 1232				As per former measurement		As per present Survey measurement		Total Amount of Collection		Deduct assessment Grap		Collection on account of first Grop		Amount collected per Separate Collection Second Grop and Remaining		Average Rate per Acre	
	2	3	4	5		6		7		8		9		10		11	
				Cs	as	Cs	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
Kunjey land	Mixed	1	19	"	"	16	12 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		2	20	"	"	30	10 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			39	"	"	47	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kunjey land Irrigated by Kulls from Tanks	1 Crop	1	3	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		2	15	"	"	2	13 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		3	28	"	"	10	4 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		4	16	"	"	7	7 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			62	"	"	21	11 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kn Rain	1 Crop	5	38	"	"	113	1 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	1 Crop		100	"	"	134	12 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total Dry and wet			139	"	"	182	3 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Todee Arda Cnam				"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kunjey land	Mixed		150	"	"	150	8 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Kunjey land	1 Crop		434	"	"	245	10 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total		584	"	"	396	2 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Nagadiota			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

3d January 1842

Assessment of the Sirtarumdars

Average yield of Teerwa per Cawney on the produce of 23 years	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwa for 2d Crop	Total
	12	13	14	15	16
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as

Fort St. George

Proposal of the Ryot.

Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwa for 2nd Crop.	Total	Rate Per Cawney
17	18	19	20	21
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	14
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	12
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

3d. January 1842.

proposed by the Collector

Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwa for 2nd Crop.	Total.
22	23	24
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
14	10 5/8	"	"	14	10 5/8
19	2 3/4	"	"	19	2 3/4
33	13 3/8	"	"	33	13 3/8
2	10 1/2	"	"	2	10 1/2
6	1 1/2	"	"	6	1 1/2
18	1/4	"	"	18	1/4
10	4 1/4	"	"	10	4 1/4
37	1/2	"	"	37	1/2
113	1 3/4	"	"	113	1 3/4
150	2 1/4	"	"	150	2 1/4
183	15 5/8	"	"	183	15 5/8
1	14 1/2	"	"	1	14 1/2
185	14 1/8	"	"	185	14 1/8
109	9 1/4	"	"	109	9 1/4
349	4 1/4	"	"	349	4 1/4
458	13 1/2	"	"	458	13 1/2
33	7 1/2	"	"	33	7 1/2
492	5	"	"	492	5

From St George

Collection of Fresly 1232-

land	per ent.	Total Amount of Collection	Deduct Assess-ment on 2d Crop.	Collection on account of first Crop.	Average rate of Tearce per Cawnie on the 1st Crop.	Average Do Do in Fresly 1210-
		7	8	9	10	11
		Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as
6											
	15 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	8 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	14 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	12 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
10	11 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
15	3 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	14 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	8 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	7 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	15 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	14 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	12 1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	14 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	14 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
7	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
14	15 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	6 5/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

3d January-1842-

Assessment of the Sirtarum

Average rate of Tearce per Cawnie on the produce of 23 years.	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assess-ment	Additional Tearce for 2nd crop.	To
	13	14	15	
Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as	Pay.	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

3rd January 1842.

Proposal of the Ryots.				Proposed by the Collector			
Rate Per Cawney.	Amount of Assessment.	Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop.	Total Per Cawney	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwah for 2d Crop	Total
17		18		19	20	21	
Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As
										22		23		24	
										Pags	As	Pags	As	Pags	As
														3	7 3/8
										3	7 3/8	"	"	"	5 1/2
										"	5 1/2	"	"	8	12 7/8
										3	12 7/8	"	"	12	8 7/8
										12	8 7/8	"	"	6	2 1/2
										6	2 1/2	"	"	4	1 1/8
										4	1 1/8	"	"	23	9 1/2
										23	9 1/2	"	"	27	6 3/8
										27	6 3/8	"	"	1	3 1/4
										1	3 1/4	"	"	1	4 5/8
										1	4 5/8	"	"	2	9 3/8
										2	9 3/8	"	"	3	14
										3	14	"	"	5	1 1/4
										5	1 1/4	"	"	"	3 3/4
										"	3 3/4	"	"	"	7 1/2
										"	7 1/2	"	"	"	11 1/4
										"	11 1/4	"	"	3	15 1/2
										3	15 1/2	"	"	4	10 3/4
										4	10 3/4	"	"	5	11 3/8
										5	11 3/8	"	"	31	7
										31	7	"	"	37	2 3/8
										37	2 3/8	"	"		

Sort St. George

3-a January 1842

Collection of Fusly 1232.

Years of cultivated in 1232	Description of Land	Classification	Number of allotments as per Maamaum	Extent of Land. As per former measurement	Extent of Land. As per present survey measurement	Total Amount of Collection	Deduct Assessment on 2 1/4 Crop	Collection on account of first Crop	Average rate of Tarum per Cawney on Land in Co. Fusly amount in 9	Average Do. in Fusly 12.10	Average rate of Tarum per Cawney on the produce of 23 years.	Assessment of the Sirtarumdars Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Seerwa for 2 Crop	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
				Cs as	Cs as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as
Punjey land Mixed		2	1 " "	1 12	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey Land watered by 18 Tanks	1 Crop	1	4 " "	1 10 1/2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
		2	2 " "	" 7 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
			6 " "	2 1 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total Dry and Wet			7 " "	3 13 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Deduct 1/2 part to the Circar			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Remaining 1/2 Enam			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land Mixed		2	2 " "	2 4 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey Land watered by 18 Tanks	1 Crop	4	2 " "	1 12	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total Dry and Wet			4 " "	4 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Deduct 1/2 part to the Circar			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Remaining 1/2 Enam			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land Mixed			3 " "	4 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land 1 Crop			3 " "	3 13 3/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total Dry and wet			11 " "	7 14	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Deduct 1/2 part to the Circar			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Remaining 1/2 Enam			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land Mixed			13 " "	11 7 3/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Punjey land 1 Crop			36 " "	13 13 1/4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Total Dry and Wet			49 " "	30 4 0/8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Deduct Circar			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Remaining Enam			" " "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

Total Sirtarumdary Enam

Fort St. George

3rd January 1842

Proposal of the Ryot

proposed by the Collector.

Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Seerwah for 2d Crop	Total	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Seerwah for 2d Crop	Total
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	4 2 1/4
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	4 2 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	15 3/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	15 3/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1 5/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1 5/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	6 3 3/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	6 3 3/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 1 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 1 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 6 5/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 6 5/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 6 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 6 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 13 3/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3 13 3/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 14 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 14 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 14 5/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	1 14 5/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 8 1/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	2 8 1/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	7 8 3/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	7 8 3/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	10 1/4
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	10 1/4	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 6 1/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 6 1/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	8 3 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	8 3 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	38 15 1/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	38 15 1/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	47 2 5/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	47 2 5/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1/8
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	5 1/8	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	42 2 1/2
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	42 2 1/2	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

	Description of Land.	Classification.	Number of Allotment as per Survey	Measurement.	Extent of Land. As per former measurement.		As per present Survey Measurement.		Collection of Fasly 1232. Total Amount of Collection		Deduct Assessment in 2nd Crop		Collection on account of first Crop		Average rate of Karwa per Cawney on Land in 2nd Amount in 9		Do. Do. Average rate per Cawney on Land in 2nd Crop	
	2	3	4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11	
					Cs	as	Cs	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
121 Land	Bosi	1		2	"	"	1	1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Land	Mixed	- -		13	"	"	11	7 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Land	Crop	- - -		38	"	"	19	13 3/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Dry and Wat		- - -		51	"	"	31	4 3/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
ject -	Circar	- - - -		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
maining Enam		- -		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				635	"	"	427	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				66	"	"	10	8/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				65	"	"	52	14 1/4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				97	"	"	17	11 1/2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				10	"	"	1	8/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				172	"	"	72	1 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
				873	"	"	510	1/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Average rate of Karwa per Cawney on the produce of 23 years	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Karwah for 2nd Crop	Total	
12	13	14	15	16	
Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as	Pags as
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

Fort St. George

January 1842

Proposal of the Ryot

Proposed by the Collector

Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwa for 2d Crop	Total	Rate Per Cawney	Amount of Assessment	Additional Teerwa for 2d Crop	Total
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as	Pags	as
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2	8¼	"	"	2	8¼
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8	3½	"	"	8	3½
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	7⅜	"	"	41	7⅜
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	19	10⅞	"	"	49	10⅞
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	⅛	"	"	5	⅛
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	44	10¾	"	"	44	10¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	536	15¾	"	"	536	15¾
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

Fort St. George

3 January 1842

Talook Zillah Chingleput

by

Statement of actual produce and Collections of the Village of Agaram in the Carungoly

Nungey irrigated No 1 Tank

Tamil years	1st Crop Extent of Land	1st Crop Amount of Produce	1st Crop Average produce per Cawney	2d Crop Extent of Land	2d Crop Total amount of Produce	Deduct produce of 2d Crop	Remaining produce of 1st Crop	Average produce per Cawney	Extent of Land	Total amount of Produce	Total Amount of 1st Crop produce after deducting that of 2d Crop	Average per Cawney
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12
	Cs. as	Pcs. as	Pcs. as	Cs. as	Pcs. as		Pcs. as	Pcs. as	Pcs. as	Cs. as	Pcs. a	Pcs. as
Pandre	58 14	92 3/8	1 9 1/8	14 4	26 3 3/4				1 10 7/8	73 2	118 6 7/8	116 2 1
Parmatee	" "	"	"				2 4 7/8	23 14 7/8	" "	" "	" "	" " 2
Pandubhee	26 6	59	2 4 3/8				" "	" "	" "	26 6	59 14	59 14 2
Podrodyauree	70 15	17 3 8	2 7 5/8				" "	" "	" "	70 "	17 3 8	17 3 8 "
Petachee	12 8 1/4	9 5 1/4	1 11 1/2				" "	" "	" "	12 15	9 5 1/4	9 5 1/4 "
Podana	53 8	88 15 3/4	1 10 3/8				" "	" "	" "	53 8 1/4	88 15 3/4	88 15 3/4 1
Tatchah	2 4	3 4 1/2	1 5				" "	" "	" "	2 8	3 4 1/2	3 4 1/2 1
Pobhava	49 2	93 11 1/2	1 14 1/2				" "	" "	" "	49 4	93 11 1/2	93 11 1/2 1
Pulhava	47 13	77 12	1 10 3/8				" "	" "	" "	47 2	77 12	77 12 1
Pokla	44 13 1/2	57 14 7/8	1 4 3/4				" "	" "	" "	44 13	57 14 7/8	57 14 7/8 1
Somodotah	56 1 1/2	90 12 3/8	1 9 1/2				" "	" "	" "	56 13 1/2	90 12 3/8	90 12 3/8 1
Pojotputty	59 1 1/2	132 4 1/8	2 5 7/8				" "	" "	" "	59 1 1/2	132 4 1/8	132 4 1/8 2
Pageerasa	18 7	41 1 3/4	2 3 5/8				" "	" "	" "	18 7	41 1 3/4	41 1 3/4 1
Theemokha	44 7	46 5 3/8	1 5/8				" "	" "	" "	44 7	46 5 3/8	46 5 3/8 1
Pahava	61 11	70 13 7/8	1 2 5/8				" "	" "	" "	61 11	70 13 7/8	70 13 7/8 1
Poova	73 4 3/4	85 4 5/8	1 2 5/8				" "	" "	" "	73 4 3/4	85 4 5/8	85 4 5/8 1
Pahatoo	53 11	58 10 1/4	1 1 1/2				" "	" "	" "	53 11	58 10 1/4	58 10 1/4 1
Paswara	86 5 1/4	117 5 3/4	1 5 3/4				" "	" "	" "	86 5 1/4	117 5 3/4	117 5 3/4 1
Bahodhania	77 13	112 4 3/4	1 7 1/8				" "	" "	" "	77 13	112 4 3/4	112 4 3/4 1
Pramadee	75 11	50 7 1/8	1 14 1/2				" "	" "	" "	75 11	50 7 1/8	50 7 1/8 1
Pasrana	94 3 1/2	129 4 3/4	1 6				" "	" "	" "	94 3 1/2	129 4 3/4	129 4 3/4 1
Pishoo	80 14 1/2	160 10 1/4	2 10 1/4				" "	" "	" "	80 14 1/2	160 10 1/4	160 10 1/4 2
Chitrabhanoo	74 5 1/2	154 15 3/4	2 13 1/8				" "	" "	" "	74 5 1/2	154 15 3/4	154 15 3/4 2
Total Years	22 "	22 "	" "				1 "	1 "	" "	22 "	22 "	22 " 1
Amount	1482 2 3/4	1906 14 1/2	" "	1 "	1 "		2 4 7/8	23 14 7/8	" "	1196 6 3/4	1933 2 1/4	1930 13 3/8 2
Deduct the amount of the years yielding very deficient produce												
Parmatee												
Tatchah												
Total Years	" "	" "	" "				" "	" "	" "	2 8	3 4 1/2	3 4 1/2 "
Amount	2 8	3 4 1/2	" "									
Net Revenue Years	1 "	1 "	" "							1 "	1 "	1 " "
Amount	2 8	3 4 1/2	" "							2 8	3 4 1/2	3 4 1/2 "
Average for a year	21 "	21 "	" "							21 "	21 "	21 " 1
Increase above Fasly 1232 as per Survey Measurement	1179 10 3/4	1903 10	" "	1 "	1 "		1 "	1 "	" "	1193 14 3/4	1929 13 3/4	1927 8 7/8 2
Total	56 2 3/4	90 9 1/2	" "	14 4	26 3 3/4		2 4 7/8	23 14 7/8	" "	56 13 1/2	91 14 3/8	91 12 5/8 2
Decrease below Fasly 1232 as per Survey Measurement												
Total	56 2 3/4	90 9 1/2	" "	14 4	26 3 3/4		2 4 7/8	23 14 7/8	" "	56 13 1/2	91 14 3/8	91 12 5/8 2
Net Balance												
	56 2 3/4	90 9 1/2	" "	14 4	26 3 3/4		2 4 7/8	23 14 7/8	1 10 7/8	56 13 1/2	91 14 3/8	91 12 5/8 2

Fort St George 3 January 1842

Punjey

Extent of Land		Amount of Produce		Average produce per Cawney		Total Produce of Nunjey and Punjey	
14		15		16		17	
Cs.	as.	ps.	as.	ps.	as.	ps.	as.
41	1½	17	3½		6¾	135	10⅜
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
26	10	31	7⅜	" 1	3⅛	"	5¾
42	11¼	37	7½	"	14	91	15
45	3½	37	13⅜	"	13⅜	210	2⅝
33	11	30	2⅛	"	14¼	47	1⅞
38	13¼	32	7¼	"	13⅝	119	11¾
22	14½	20	"	"	14	36	11½
54	10¾	48	11	"	14¼	113	7
30	12¾	27	13⅞	"	14½	126	12¾
48	4½	42	10⅜	"	14⅛	85	6¾
24	14½	21	13⅝	"	14	133	13¼
53	12½	46	9	"	13⅞	154	10¾
30	6¾	24	12⅞	"	12½	87	2¼
56	10¼	47	1¼	"	13⅜	71	15⅛
43	9½	32	6	"	11⅞	117	10⅝
56	6	44	14½	"	12¾	114	8¾
47	11¾	37	12¾	"	12¾	103	2½
54	½	45	14⅞	"	13⅝	155	3⅝
62	"	49	9½	"	12⅛	158	1⅜
51	10¼	46	9½	"	14¼	100	14⅛
56	12¼	51	3⅞	"	14½	175	14⅛
56	14	48	11⅜	"	13¾	211	11⅛
22	"	22	"	"	"	203	"
978	13¾	823	3½	"	"	22	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	2756	5¾
38	13¼	32	7¼	"	"	"	"
1	"	1	"	"	"	35	11¾
38	13¼	32	7¼	"	"	1	"
21	"	21	"	"	"	35	11¾
940	12	790	12¼	"	"	21	"
44	12¾	37	10½	"	"	2720	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	129	8⅞
44	12¾	37	10½	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	129	8⅞
44	12¾	37	10½	"	13⅜	"	"
						129	8⅞

Nagadey for Ready Money Collections

Fusul Jamabundee or additional Peerwa for Total		Jodee		Motursha Vea		Total	
18		19		20		21	
ps.	as.	ps.	as.	ps.	as.	ps.	
"	"	"	12¾	28	1½	28	
"	"	3	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	15⅛	30	10¾	33	
"	"	3	13	33	7⅜	37	
"	"	1	11	8	10½	9	
"	"	"	¼	30	13	31	
"	"	"	3	12	8¼	12	
"	"	"	"	30	2½	30	
"	"	"	3⅝	26	12⅜	27	
"	"	1	7⅛	27	13¾	29	
"	"	1	1⅜	29	2⅛	30	
"	"	1	½	26	11½	27	
"	"	2	"	23	3½	25	
"	"	2	2	30	"	32	
"	"	1	10¾	17	9⅝	19	
"	"	2	¾	26	9¾	28	
"	"	1	7⅝	26	3¾	27	
"	"	1	8⅝	25	11⅛	27	
"	"	2	2	28	2⅛	30	
"	"	2	7	35	9½	38	
"	"	1	9½	46	6⅛	47	
1	7⅜	3	4⅞	43	15¼	48	
1	10¾	3	"	36	11¾	41	
2	"	21	"	22	"	22	
3	2⅛	38	½	624	15¾	666	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	12	8¼	12	
"	"	"	"	1	"	1	
"	"	"	"	12	8¼	12	
2	"	21	"	21	"	21	
3	2⅛	38	½	612	7½	653	
1	9	1	13	29	25⅛	31	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
1	9	1	13	29	25⅛	31	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
1	9	1	13	29	25⅛	31	

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

Total including Nagadeyat or Ready money Collections		Village Kunjey Berij	Average per Cawney	Collection Punjey Berij	Average per Cawney	Nagadeyat Collections	Total
22		23	24	25	26	27	28
Ps	as	Ps	as	Ps	as	Ps as	Ps as
164	8 7/8	104	"	17	3/4	28 14 1/4	150 1 3/4
"	"	151	" 3 3/4	"	"	" "	151 3 3/4
125	1 3/8	59	14	31	7 3/4	33 12	125 1 3/4
248	3 7/8	173	8	37	7 1/2	37 4 3/8	248 3 7/8
56	8 1/8	15 9/8	5 1/4	37	13 3/8	9 5 1/2	56 8 1/8
150	15 3/8	175	5/8	30	2/8	31 13 1/4	220 "
48	4	169	1/2	32	7/16	12 8 1/4	220 "
144	1	144	10 1/2	20	"	30 5 1/2	220 "
153	7 15/16	162	5	48	11	27 "	220 "
115	6	146	13 1/4	27	13 5/8	29 4 7/8	220 "
164	14 3/4	170	6 3/8	42	10 3/8	30 15/4	220 "
181	14 3/4	148	5 5/8	21	13 5/8	27 13	220 "
112	4 1/4	163	3	46	9	25 4	220 "
103	3 1/2	153	1/8	24	12 1/8	32 2	220 "
137	7 9/8	85	10 3/8	47	1 1/4	19 4 3/8	220 "
146	3 1/2	58	5 5/8	52	6	28 13	146 7 5/8
131	6 1/2	117	16 1/4	44	14 1/2	27 10 3/4	131 3 1/2
182	7 1/4	112	5 3/4	37	12 9/4	27 3 3/4	182 6 1/4
188	1 3/8	50	4 3/4	45	14 7/8	30 4 1/8	188 7 3/4
138	13 3/8	129	7 3/8	49	9 1/2	38 "	138 1 3/8
223	11 1/4	160	4 3/4	46	9 3/4	47 15/8	223 13 3/8
260	6 1/2	154	15 3/4	51	3 3/8	48 13/8	260 11 1/4
245		23	"	48	11 3/8	41 11 5/8	245 6 1/2
22	"	2958	7/8	22	"	22 "	23 "
3422	8 1/8			823	3/2	666 2 3/8	4447 13
"	"					" "	151 3 3/4
48	4	151	3 3/4			12 8 1/4	220 "
1	"	175	1/2			1 "	2 "
48	4	2	"			12 8 1/4	371 3 3/4
21	"	326	4 3/4			21 "	21 "
3374	4 3/8	21	"			653 10 3/8	4076 9 1/4
160	10 5/8	2632	27/8			31 1 3/4	194 2
"	"	125	5 3/4			" "	" "
160	10 5/8	"	"			31 1 3/4	194 2
"	"	125	5 3/4			" "	" "
160	10 3/8	"	"			31 1 3/4	194 2
		125	5 3/4				

Fort St George

3 January 1842

Permanent Berij so long as the Lands were under the Zemindar and actual Collections after they came under dumany.—

Kunjey		Punjey		Nagadayut	
Amount of Berij	Average per Cawney	Amount of Berij	Average per Cawney	Amount of Collections	Total
29	30	31	32	33	34
Ps. as	Ps. as	Ps. as	Ps. as	Ps. as	Ps. as
104 "	" "	17 3½	" "		
151 3¾	" "	" "	" "		
66 4⅝	" "	31 7⅜	" "	28 14¼	150 13¾
56 12⅛	" "	37 1½	" "	" "	151 3¾
84 5⅛	" 3 "	37 133⅛	" "	33 12	131 8
69 8⅝	" "	30 2⅛	" "	37 4⅜	131 8
86 8½	" "	32 7¼	" "	9 5½	131 8
81 2½	" "	20 11	" "	31 13¼	131 8
55 13	" "	48 13⅞	" "	12 8¼	131 8
74 5¼	" "	27 10⅜	" "	30 5½	131 8
57 14⅜	" "	42 13⅝	" "	27 "	131 8
81 13⅜	" "	21 9	" "	29 4¾	131 8
59 11	" "	46 12⅞	" "	30 15¼	131 8
74 9⅛	" "	24 1¼	" "	27 13	131 8
65 2⅛	" "	47 6	" "	25 4	131 8
70 5	" "	32 14½	" "	32 2	131 8
58 14¾	" "	44 12¾	" "	19 4⅜	131 8
66 7½	" "	37 4⅞	" "	28 13	131 8
55 5	" "	45 9½	" "	27 10¾	131 8
43 14	" "	49 9½	" "	27 3⅛	131 8
36 15⅜	" "	46 11⅜	" "	30 4⅛	131 8
31 7 1¼	" "	51 11⅜	" "	38 "	131 8
41 "	" "	48 "	" "	47 13⅛	131 8
				48 13⅛	131 8
23 "	" "	22 "	" "	41 11⅝	131 8
1573 7⅝	" "	823 3½	" "	22 "	23 "
				666 2⅜	3062 13½
151 3¾	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
86 8½	" "	32 7¼	" "	" "	151 3¾
2 "	" "	1 "	" "	12 8¼	131 8
237 12¼	" "	32 7¼	" "	1 "	2 "
21 "	" "	21 "	" "	12 8¼	282 11¾
1335 11⅜	" "	790 12¼	" "	21 "	21 "
63 9¾	" "	37 10½	" "	653 16⅛	2780 13¼
" "	" "	" "	" "	31 13¼	132 6
63 9¾	" "	37 10½	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	31 13¼	132 6
63 9¾	1 2	37 10½	" 13⅜	31 13¼	132 6

Old Berijes

Hamiel Berij or Revenue of former years—	91	14
Average of 10 years prior to the war—	208	6
Average of 10 years after the cessation of wars	107	9¼
Average of 3 years from Fusly 1202 to 1204—	127	11¼
Average of 3 years from 1205 to 1207—	129	10
Do Do from 1205 to 1207—	131	1¼
Total of average—	143	13
Berije of Mootahs—	131	8
Permanent Berij—		
Fusly 1205—	95	14
" 1206—	113	2½
" 1207—	153	10⅞
" 1208—	65	15¼
" 1209—	119	14
" 1210—	150	13¼
" 1211—	151	3¾
Maramut Charges—	Amount Rs	as
1841—	196	" — 3

Particulars of the Present Survey

	Shaigal	Lakh	Total	Particulars Cultivated in Fasly	Uncultivated waste in Fasly
			1232		1232

	1		2		3		4		5	
	Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	as	Cs	a
Nunjey 1 Crop	74	5/4	36	8/8	110	13/8	74	5/4	36	1
Punjey	56	10/8	46	6/4	103	1/8	56	10/8	46	6
	131	1/8	82	14/8	213	14/2	131	1/8	82	14
Anaudy Bunjor										
Nunjey 1 Crop	"	"	"	"	134	12/8				
Punjey	"	"	"	"	47	7				
Total Cicar	"	"	"	"	182	37/8				
Nunjey 1 Crop	12	13/8	3	-	396	23/8				
Punjey	6	4	1	14/4	15	13/8				
	19	1/8	4	14/4	8	2/4				
Anaudy Bunjor					23	15/8				
Nunjey 1 Crop	"	"	"	"	4	1/4				
Punjey	"	"	"	"	3	4/8				
Total Cnam	"	"	"	"	7	4/8				
Total Cicar and Cnam	"	"	"	"	31	4/4				
Punjey	"	"	"	"	427	7/8				
Nunjey	"	"	"	"	17	11/2				
Gramanattum	"	"	"	"	52	14/4				
Gramanattum	"	"	"	"	1	8/8				
Total Ayacut	"	"	"	"	72	17/8				
	"	"	"	"	10	8/8				
	"	"	"	"	510	1/8				

Chinglepat District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 13th December 1841

/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

130

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Order thereon.

41-42

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Chinglepat.....

To Board	10th	Dece.r	1839.
From D.o	23d	January	1840.
From D.o	2nd	February	D.o
To D.o	2d	April	D.o
From D.o	22d	D.o	D.o
From D.o	10th	September	D.o
To D.o	19th	D.o	D.o
To D.o	20th	November	D.o

Sir!

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to submit copy of the decree passed by the Chittoor Provincial Court in the Appeal Cause No.4. of 1840, relative to the right of the Collector under the authority of the Board, to grant immemorial waste lands to Poykarry Ryots for cultivation. Should this decree be permitted to stand as a precedent establishing the rights of the Merasedars, the Public interests of Government and it's land revenues will not only be exposed to considerable danger, but numbers of individuals who have on the faith of the Cowles granted to them by the Collector under duly constituted authority redeemed waste lands at considerable expense and labour, be liable to be unjustly ousted from them. For these reasons as well as on the grounds set forth in the Board's letter to Government of the 27th January 1840, I solicit authority for applying to the Sudr Udalat for the admission of

43

of a special appeal.-

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay 15th December 1841.

/Signed/ A. Freese.
Collector.

A

Provincial Court. Centre Division O.S. 46. of 1840.
Chingleput Zillah Court. O.S. 90 of 1836.

O.S.

1. Rungah Iyengar.
2 P. Moottaub Naick.
3 C. Moottaub Naick
4 Veerasawmy Naick
5 Sawmy Naick.

Vers.

1 A Maclean Esqr.
2. Senia Pillay.
3 Samavier.
4 Goomarsing
5 Cunchee Naick.
6 Moonesawmy Naick.
7 Royel Moodely
8 Chinnapah
9 Aslapa Naick
10 Royapa Naick
11 Paupoo Naick
12 Chinnapien.

Provincial Court O.S. No. 46 of 1840.

C. H. Hallett Esqre on behalf of Government.

1 Rungiengar.
2 G. Moottaub Naick.
3 C. Moottaub Naick.
4 Veerasawmy Naick
5 Sawmy Naick

Decree of the Provincial Court.

The Plaintiffs are the merasdars of the Village of Cunnentongle and sued for 80 Cawnies of the waste land of their Village which were

were granted by the Collector/the 1st Defendant/ to other of the Defendants on a deed called a Cowle, they also sued for the value of certain produce, but this point is not involved in the appeal.-

The Plaintiffs allege that the Collector having received a tender for the lands in dispute, granted them to the 7th & 9th Defendants/ to be held for ever as the Cowle shows/ on payment of a certain annual rent to the Government, that being opposed to the entry of strangers into the Village, they tendered for the entire waste and offered security for the revenue, which was not acceded to.-

The 1st & 2d Defendants pleaded that the land being immemorial waste, the Circar had the power of appropriating it. The 7th 9th & 10th Defendants pleaded the Cowle, the other defendants did not appear.-

In reply the Plaintiffs asserted that the Government had not the power to give the land to others without the consent of the Merasidars.-

The Zillah Judge decreed the lands sued for; nonsuiting the Plaintiffs in the matter of the damages. "The Plaintiffs not having satisfactorily proved what expense they had incurred." The lands were decreed on the following Grounds. "That the

Merasidars

"merasidars alone have a right to sell and
"mortgage warrapat land, and that to every
"merasee share there is a certain portion of waste
"lands attached that no one ever attempted to dispute
"the inherent right of the merasidars to waste land,
"it is evident that without the consent of the proprie-
"tors of the Soil, the Collector has no authority to deliver
"any part of these lands to other persons, in this
"case it appears that the 7th Defendant and
"others gave their proposals on the 2d May 1835.
"this circumstance is not communicated to the
"Plaintiffs, but they on the 1st May 1835 sent in
"proposals for 40 Cawnies, but after this on hearing
"of the proposal made by the 7th Defendant and
"others, they on the 21st May 1835. gave in an
"agreement to the Tahsildar/ Plaintiffs. document
"N: 50/ promising to take all the waste lands
"in the Village at the full teerwah, and to give
"security for the performance of their contract; on
"the 15th June 1835. the Collector grants the Cowle
"to the 7th Defendants and others, in doing which
"the Judge is of opinion the Collector acted contrary
"to the acknowledged rights of the Plaintiffs."

The

The 1st Defendant only has appealed
from the foregoing decision, and neither one of the
Plaintiffs has appealed, the consideration of the
appeal suit is therefore limited to the question of
whether the Government has the power to grant the waste
lands of a merasee Village to strangers, without first
obtaining the consent of the merasidars.

In his appeal from the decision
of the lower Court, the Collector, the 1st Defendant,
sets forth his views of the case as follows: "On the 2d may
"1835. certain Christian Pyakarry cultivators submitted
"to the 1st Defendant, the then Collector of Chingleput, a
"proposal to bring 80 Cawnies out of the 164 13/16 of the
"immemorial waste land situated in the limits of
"Cunnentongal into cultivation, On the 4th of the
"same Month the merasidars offered for 40 Cawnies
"in a different part of the Village. The Collector directed
"that their proposals should be accepted, and Cowls
"on the usual terms, sanctioned by the Board of Revenue,
"were issued to them respectively. The merasidars subsequently
"presented a proposal for the whole 164 13/16. Cs and without
"awaiting the reply of the Collector, Commenced clearing some
"of the jungle growing on the 80 Cawnies which had been already
"assigned

"assigned to the Poykarries, when the revenue authorities
"interfering and placing the latter in possession, the
"Merasseedars sued the Collector, his subordinate officers
"and certain of the Poykarree Cultivators, in the Zillah
"Court for the recovery of the 80 Cawnies of land & for
"alleged damages. The main point urged in the plaint
"was that waste lands could not legally be given
"to Poyakarries or strangers, to cultivate, without the
"consent of the merassidars, and that they themselves
"had, at the time, been willing to cultivate the lands
"in dispute." After reciting the grounds of the Zillah
Judge's decree the appellant urges that the principles
on which the decree is passed are erroneous and detre-
=mental to the interests of the public, &c. that the Zillah
Judge has assumed that the right of the merassidars
to the immemorial waste lands, has never been
disputed, whilst it might more correctly have been
said that the right had never been positively affirmed
even by the most Zealous advocates of the merassee
privileges, whilst on the other hand it has altogether
been denied by many of the best authorities, and as
is well known, has also been disallowed in practice,
Mr. Ellis one of the warmest supporters of the rights

"of Merassidars whilst he asserts their title to all the
"land generally under cultivation, gives it as his opinion
"that they cannot break up the immemorial waste without
"permission of the Circar on admission, going to the length
"of allowing that the immemorial waste is the absolute
"property of the Circar. He recognizes throughout his
"published report, the rights of the Circar to secure
"its revenues by placing strangers in the occupancy
"of lands which the merassidars fail to cultivate,
"even though such lands may form part of the usual
"cultivated land of the Village." Appellant then states
it to have been the opinion of Sir Thomas Munro "the
"right of the merassedars to the waste was good only
"so far as to preclude strangers from grazing & exercis-
"ing other rights of common in the Village, but that
"the Circar possessed by the usage of the Country the
"absolute right of disposing of the waste as it pleases
"in Villages which are merassee, as well as those
"which are not. It is also a well known circumstance
"& in proof of which if necessary many cases might
"be adduced, that in many merassee Villages in the
"Collectorate of Madras, immemoriate waste lands have
"been appropriated by Government without any

Compensation

"compensation being allowed to the merasseedars."

The appellant then contends against the construction by the Zillah Judge of Section 43. R. 2 of 1803 relating to the granting of Cowles, and urges that the sanction of the Board of Revenue was not necessary to render valid the Cowle granted to the Defendants. It does not appear to me that this question forms a necessary part of the argument, it will not therefore be again noticed.

The 1st point which calls for notice in the argument of the appellant is the objection taken to that part of the Zillah Judge's decree, which asserts "the right of the merasseedars, to the immemorial waste lands, has never been disputed." this averment of the Zillah Judge appears in entire accordance with the law of merassee as laid down by Mr. Ellis, while the contrary position of the appellant that in fact "the immemorial waste is the absolute property of the Circar" is not only incompatible with his views, but also incompatible with the possession on the part of the Merasseedar of any property in the soil whatever. Mr. Ellis says "Merassee right wherever it exists certainly extends to waste lands." In the Anadi Carumba or

"or immemorial waste, tho' they / the merasseedars / possess "the exclusive right of cutting firewood, working quarries "&c they have no right of cultivation; much less can "they claim to break up common used for pasturage, or "to cut down productive trees. &c" "Merassee right is "confined to the use of these as they exist, no alteration can "be made with respect to them by the merasseedars. I mean "that they have no inherent right to do so. with the "consent of the Circar any beneficial change in the appropriation "of lands may take place, and a correspondent alteration "may be made in the Tarapadi accounts, thus if part "of the Anadi Carumba lands be reclaimed or a "road in the perumboc be stopped up, and cultivated, "the extent must be transferred from this head to that "of Varaput." The restriction contained in the foregoing has no reference whatever to a limitation of right (as property) but to the power of its conversion. except for beneficial purposes. the Government having a voice in the case of such conversion, being equally interested as the Merasidars in its effects. If a merasdar be so reduced in circumstances as not to be able to bring under cultivation his share of the Varaput, or if he should have abandoned agricultural for other pursuits. it is incumbent on him to provide for its culture by granting

granting to Poyakarries such terms as will induce them to bestow on it the advantages of their stock and labor. if he do not do thro, the state has then a right to employ Poykarries either for the Current year, or for a fixed, not an indifinate number of years, and perhaps to resume his Merasee Swatunterams in proportion to the extent of land he has neglected to cultivate." The Merasee tenure seems almost indefeisible, every posible allowance and expedient having been in practice, to prevent its alienation from the original posesser. B. Sunkeryah. whose views of merasee are recorded with those of Mr. Ellis says "as waste lands are included in the Gramaterum, all such lands have been considered to appertain exclusively to the Merasseedars." The same authority speaking of the right of the Circar to provide for the revenue where the merasseedar makes default says. "If therefore the merasseedar fail to cultivate, and loss thence accrews to the state, the Sirkar enjoys and exercises the right to the lands to be cultivated and to issue Cowles for the purpose." Although many authorities concur on this point, I have not met with one which vests in the Circar power over

Merasee

Merasee lands farther than is necessary for the temporary protection of the revenue, in default of it's being provided through the means of the Merasseedar, nor have I found any authority which distinguishes the right over waste (as property) and cultivable land, except in the purpose of their respective application. every Village has a certain portion of waste land attached to it, which neither the Merasdar nor the Government can break up, unless for mutual benefit. Merasee is founded on immemorial usage from which neither the Government nor the ryot has power to depart in any respect, unless for a beneficial change.

There are objections independently of those which arise out of the law of Merasee, to the proceedings of the Collector in this case. Had the lands in dispute been ordinary land available to the Circar as revenue (for they have so treated) the Plaintiffs were in posession and could not be ejected from them, except in failure of payment of the assessment due on them.

The tenures of ryots are irrevocable, as long as they pay the rent; and by the laws of

of Hindoostan. "they must be twelve months in arrear before they can be ejected." this principle is acknowledged in every description of land and controls the Government even where the ryot of the soil is never thought of. Mill says. "By custom the posfesion of the ryot became in this manner a permanent posfesion, whence he was not removed except he failed to pay his afsesment or rent, and no violation of property is more flagrant than that by which the tenure of the right is annulled." Dr. Buchanan says "the ryots or farmers have no property in the ground, but it is not usual to turn any man away so long as he pays the customary rent, even in the reign of Teepoo such an act would have been looked upon as an astonishing grievance." but to return to the merafedars tenure.—

Mr. Place (speaking of the Jaghire) says in one of his reports. "Besides their merafee lands these cultivators enjoyed a certain portion of prescriptive registered lands, wholly exempt from any tax or rent, this alludes to the waste lands of the Village. In another respect Mr. Place observes It often happens from various causes that a Merafeedar is unable or unwilling to cultivate his

his fields, in this case it has been the practice of the Government or its managers, to afsign the culture of such land to Paracoodies of their own nomination, but the right of the Merafeedar in the soil is not impeached by this act, arising from his inability, he is still considered as the proprietor, and entitled to his sawmy bogum, or rent from the Paracoody in posfesion, and may return again to the cultivation of his merafee lands whenever he may be able or willing to occupy it." In another report Mr. Place says "If the merafedars are capable of cultivating all their lands a Poyakarry will not be admitted, nor can he on any account in that case have a preference from any competent authority without injustice to others."

The document granted by the Collector and which is denominated a Cowle, is an entire annihilation of the right of the Plaintiffs, it conveys the lands in perpetuity to Poyakarries, without respect to the Merafedar's claim. It afsumes the right of soil to be in the Government instead of the merafeedar, it does not even recognize merafee as a distinction, much less its claims.

The

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842

The circumstances which would ha
justified even temporary alienation of the land, by the Co
-tor to the Poyakarries, are all wanting in the case-

The act was not necessary as a protec
of the revenue, as the Plaintiffs offered security for its
full payment whether they cultivated the land or not. the
offer (Document No. 50) is dated 20th May 1835- the
cowle to the 8th and 9th Defendants is dated 15th June, same ye

If the waste in question were convertib
into cultivable land, it is quite clear from the authorities
quoted that on its conversion from anadi Caramboo to
warra-put, it ought to have been entered as such, in the
terrapade accounts of the Village, and then divided
among the Meras-feeders according to the manner in
which the rest of the Village was shared among them

The Plaintiffs in their offer to
cultivate the waste, tendered security for the revenue, whe
they cultivate or not, there is therefore neither reason
nor expediency apparent in the measure which out
them- and certainly there was no right in it-

The Provincial Court confirm the
Decree of the Zillah Judge, and adjudge that the 1st
Defendant bear the costs of the appeal-

17th November 1841- /Signed/ M. Lewin.
2d Judge.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ A. Freese.
Collector.

43-44

Order thereon-
(Pro: 13th January)
Ordered to be brought forward at a
future Meeting-

41

45

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

From the Collr. of Chingleput

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Reccommending that the reples for the Tanks and reservoirs of the Shrotriums &c. lays'd at Pauthum be executed at the Government expence and that the sum of Rupees 2504 be added on that account to the annual Quit Rent of this Village -

x 24th June 1818

Sir
Para 1 The Shrotrium Village of
Pauthum paying to Government a Quit of Rupees
.850 per Annum was originally conferred by the
Government on the late Talumboo Venkatachella
Moodelly for three lives under a Purwanah
bearing date the 25th November 1810.

Venkatachella Moodelly died on the
2. 3rd November 1817 when the Shrotrium was x registered
in the names of his sons Comaravaswamy Moodelly
and Shamenaga Moodelly who in 1837 mortgaged
the Shrotrium to M. Chella Tecoveegada Moodelly
who still holds possession alledging that a
considerable balance is still due to him-

The revenue derived
3 from this Village in Fusly 1232 Amounted
to Rupees 4714-5-5 and the average of ten
years from Fusly 1226 to 1235- was Rupees 3289-
-13-8 per Annum but which average has
decreased during the last ten years to Rupees
2692-12-8. The causes of this decrease are chiefly to be ascribed to the

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.—

the dilapidated state of the reservoir and feeding channels whereby not only have the revenues decreased but the Ryots become impoverished and which has led them to present to the Board the Petition herewith returned.—

4 Since the receipt of the Petition I have repeatedly called upon the Shrotriemdar and Mortgagee to attend upon me for the purpose of endeavouring to induce them if possible to repair the reservoirs, but the Shrotriemdar has constantly evaded appearing on pretence of ill health, and it was only a few days since that I was enabled to cause his attendance with the Mortgagee when the latter stated his willingness to advance the sums necessary to put the reservoir and channels into repair provided the Shrotriemdar would signify his consent in writing to his adding the expense to the Account of balances; but to this course the Shrotriemdar refused to accede, declining to do any thing until he got the Village again into his own possession his debt having been, he alledged already liquidated.—

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.—

5 In addition to the usual warum paid by the Cultivators in this Shrotriem Village it has been customary for them to pay a small Murah or grain fee to meet the expenses of the repairs of the Tanks and these Murahs from Fusly 1220 to Fusly 1250 have amounted in the aggregate to no less than Rupees 5711—3—5 whilst only 2695—10—6 have during that period been expended on these works.—

6 Considering these circumstances and that the Shrotriem was granted only for a limited period and that it behoved the Shrotriemdar at least to maintain the Reservoir in a good state, that the Village might not revert to Government in a deteriorated condition and with impoverished Cultivators I would beg to suggest that the necessary repairs be made to the Tanks and reservoirs at the Government expense, the Estimate for which Amounts to Rupees 197—0—2 as detailed in the enclosed Statement & that they be so maintained, whilst a sum Amounting to Rupees 250 per Annum be added on that Account to the Annual Cist Rent.—

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry Pallicaranay
18th December 1841.—

Signed J. Frase
Colr.

Estimate

Fort St. George

3d January 1842.

A Estimate of repairs required to the Chentrium Village of Pauttium in the Talook of Pedda pollium Gillah Chingleput for the year 1841-42.

Name of Village	Particulars	Description of work	Earth	Rough Stone	Stone in Chunam	Brick in Chunam	Price per Yard	Amount	Total	Remarks
			Cyds. as	Cyds as	Cyds as	Cyds as	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Pauttium Tank	Repairing Damages and strengthening Bank		6779 6	" "	" "	" "	" 1 2	494 5 5	" " "	Hard
	Rebuilding old stone Revetment		" "	1000 "	" "	" "	" 1 "	62 8 "	" " "	Old Stones
	Reconstructing part of a Sluice Tunnel &c.		" "	" "	" "	15 "	2 8 "	37 8 "	" " "	Bricks to be brought from 1 Mile and Chunam from 8 Miles
	Removing old Masonry & Sundry charges		" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	
	Reconstructing part of another Sluice Tunnel &c.		" "	" "	" "	30 "	2 8 "	75 " "	" " "	D:— D:— D:
	Removing old Masonry & Sundry charges		" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	
	Reconstructing part of another Sluice Tunnel &c.		" "	" "	" "	25 8	2 8 "	65 12 "	" " "	D:— D:— D:
	Removing old Masonry & Sundry charges		" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	
Applying Channel to Do	Separating & widening the Channel		3130 "	" "	" "	" "	" " 8	130 6 8	" " "	Hard
Small Tank	Repairing Damages and Strengthening Bank		2277 "	" "	" "	" "	" " 9	106 11 9	" " "	Easy
	Reconstructing old Sluice Tunnel &c.		" "	" "	" "	37 8	2 8 "	93 12 "	" " "	Bricks to be brought from 1 Mile & Chunam from 8½ Miles
	Removing old Masonry and Sundry charges		" "	" "	" "	" "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	
Tongal	Repairing Damages & Strengthening Bank		1464 8	" "	" "	" "	" " 4	68 10 4	" " "	Easy
	Total		13,857 "	1000 "	" "	108 "	" " "	" " "	1197 10 2	

16th December 1841 E.E. P.S. Signed A Travers Colr.

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry Pattamarum

Received

Form D. Singh

A Estimate of repairs required to the Shortcoum Village of Ranking

Name of Village

Tank &c.

Particulars	Description of Earth Work		Rough Stone		Stone in Chunam	
	Cyds.	as	Cyds	as	Cyds	as
Repairing Damages and strengthening Bank	6779	8	"	"	"	"
Rebuilding old stone Revetment	"	"	1000	"	"	"
Reconstructing part of a Sluice Tunnel &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"
Removing old Masonry & Sundry Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"
Reconstructing part of another Sluice Tunnel &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"
Removing old Masonry & Sundry Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"
Separating & widening the Channel	"	"	"	"	"	"
Repairing Damages and Strengthening Bank	3130	"	"	"	"	"
Reconstructing old Sluice Tunnel &c.	2277	"	"	"	"	"
Removing old Masonry and Sundry charges	"	"	"	"	"	"
Repairing Damages & strengthening Bank	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total	14647	8	1000	"	"	"

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry Pallavaram

3d January 1842.

Estimate of Reddypollium Killah Chingleput for the year 1841.

Brick in Chunam	Price per Yard	Amount	Total	Remarks
Cyds. as	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	
" "	" 1 2	494 5 5	" " "	Hard
" "	" 1 "	62 8 "	" " "	Old Stones
15 "	2 8 "	37 8 "	" " "	Bricks to be brought from 1 Mile and Chunam from 8 Miles
" "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	
30 "	2 8 "	75 " "	" " "	D: D: D:
" "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	
25 8	2 8 "	63 12 "	" " "	D: D: D:
" "	" " "	15 " "	" " "	
" "	" " 8	130 6 8	" " "	Hard
" "	" " 9	106 11 9	" " "	Easy
37 8	2 8 "	93 12 "	" " "	Bricks to be brought from 1 Mile & Chunam from 8½ Miles
" "	" " "	10 " "	" " "	
" "	" " 9	68 13 4	" " "	Easy
108 "	" " "	" " "	1197 10 2	

16th December 1841 S. C. J. P. (signed) A Truscott Collr.

Ordered

148
45-46

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Order thereon.

(Read again in Cons: 17th Feb.)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Chingleput — Reporting the attachment of the Abkary Farm in consequence of the Renter having failed to discharge his kists.

Sir,
Para 1.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that Chadumbra Mootah Pillay the Abkary Renter of this District for the Current Fusly having from the commencement been very irregular in his payments has now failed to pay the sum of Rupees 12792-8-0 on account of the Kist of Septr 2792-5-0, October 6250-0-0, November 6250-0-0, Rupees 12792-5-0, notwithstanding his repeated promises to discharge the greater portion of the balance by the 15th Instant and as this sum exceeds the amount in deposit, and the period for the payment of another Kist is near at hand I have found it requisite to issue orders for the attachment of the Farm.

2 I have also supplied the Collr of Madura

47

149

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

a supply of Arrack for the immediate consumption of the District, but I propose generally carrying out as far as practicable the arrangements made by the Renter.

3. A list of the Duty Establishment for the Management of this branch of the Revenue will be submitted at an earlier period.

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry Pullicatmay 18th December 1841 } Signed A Freese Collector.

Proceedings thereon. Mr. Freese has properly sequestered the Abkary Farm on the failure of the Renter to the extent noted in the foregoing letter. It is suggested that it might be practicable to resell the Farm for the remaining term of the year with less loss than will be incurred by keeping it under Attachment and Mr. Freese will accordingly report whether any objection offers to the adoption of this course.

47-48

Read the following Statements

Statement

For Fort St. George

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the 3d January 1842

Port of Covelong in the Collectorate of Chingleput upto 31st December 1841

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store ready for exportation on the Beach	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs	Remarks
For 1841/42	49				
Bengal Maunds					
500,000		500,000	90,339	10,108 1/2	A small portion of the quantity of Salt in store at the Cotaurs has been sold for inland consumption since the date of the last Report.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutchery
Pullicat may 27th December 1841

(Signed) A Freese
Collector

Statement

151 (50) Fort St. George

3 January 1842

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkanum in the Division of the Southern Division of Arcot up to 18th December 1841.—

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841.—	Quantity already Exported	Quantity remaining to be Exported	Quantity actually in Store and ready for Exportation.—	Remarks
Bengal Maunds 200,000	Bengal Maunds "	Bengal Maunds 200,000	Bengal Maunds 200,000	"

Cuddalore
Coll.rs Cutchery
21st December 1841

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
A.r Coll.r

Murkanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in reaching the place a temporary Flag staff will be erected on the Beach and the Union Jack kept flying from Sun rise to Sun set—

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore Superscribed Salt Export and it is requested that the probable date of the Arrival of the Vessels at Murkanum may be stated.—

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collectors Cutchery or to the Tahsildar at Murkanum.—

(Signed) C. H. Hallett
A.r Coll.r

Statement

Fort St. George 3rd January 1842

Sall for Exportation to Calcutta from the
th December 1841.-

Port of Murkanum in the Southern Divn

(51)

Quantity already Exported.	Quantity Remaining to be Exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation.	Remarks
Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
"	20,000	20,000	"

Signed C. H. Hallett
Asst Collr

Murkanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in
Flag Staff will be erected on the Beach, and the Union Jack kept flying from Sun rise to Sun set.-

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore superscribed
requested that the probable date of the arrival of the Vessels at Murkanum may be stated.-

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collectors
at Murkanum.-

Signed C. H. Hallett
Asst Collector

Order

153 Fort St. George 3rd January 1842.

Order on the three above Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

(49-52)

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Pl. Coll.
of North Arcot

With reference to your letter

(53)

the 6th Instant, in which the Board of Revenue desire certain explanation in the case of the

Petition No 1871. Petitioner Ragaviengar Moniagar of Adiamoor,

regarding a tope to which he claims a title

I have the honor to annex transcript of
letter received from the Sub Collector, in exp
nation of his proceedings in excluding the Petit
from participations-

North Arcot, - - - - - - -
Principal Coll.r's Cutcherry,
Almanair 13th December 1841.

Signed G. M. Ogilvie,
Principal Collect

To The Principal Collector in the
Northern Division of Arco

Sir,

The tope in question, which
covers a good deal of ground, was planted

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort S.t George

Sir,

From the P.e Coll.r in the Northern Division of Arcot ——— Para 1.

I have the honor to submit to you, for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue, the accompanying copy of complaint received from the Zillah Court at Chittoor in Original Suit No. 538 of 1841, preferred by Chinna Nistnasing against me and my Head Seristadar, with two others, for the recovery of the sum of Company's Rupees 479-13-4, being the amount value of a Dusbunduvu Tank, together with a Draft of my answer for the purpose of its being delivered, should the Board see fit, thro

55

the grandfather of the Looba reddy, mentioned in the Petition, who also constructed a large and handsome tank in the tope at a considerable expence. For a number of years past the puttah for this tope has been giving jointly to Looba reddy and Petitioner, but this year, finding that Petitioner had no right to it whatever, and that the tope and tank were going to ruin for want of some one interested to take care of them. I gave the Puttah to Looba reddy only as the rightful owner, and remitted the Teerwah leviable on the tope as an additional inducement to him to keep up this publie convenience.

North Arcot District,
Sub Coll.r's Cutcherry,
Poloor 21.st July 1841.

Signed J.r D.r Bourdillon
Sub Collector.

True Copy
Signed G.r M.r Ogilvie
Principal Collector.

53-54

Order thereon,

(Vide Bro. 11.th January)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

thro' the Government Vakeel on the 2d Proxo being the period allowed by that Court to file the above answer.-

2. In further elucidation of the subject matter of Complaint, I beg to refer the Board to my predecessor's letter of the 29th November and their reply to it bearing date the 11th December 1834, wherein the Board have endorsed the Plaintiff's Petition No 122 of 1834, as follows.-

"Under the circumstances stated
"by the Principal Collector of North Arcot, in reporting on this Petition,
"the Board of Revenue do not think
"proper to interfere in the matter
"which forms the subject thereof"

3. From the Record it appears that

that the Dusbundum Sanks in dispute was erected and enjoyed by the 1st Defendant "Para Kistnasing," and proves nothing to support the fraudulent complaint of the Plaintiff "Chinna Kistnasing."-

North Arcot, - - - - - - - -
Prin.l Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, - - - - - - - -
14th December 1841 - - - - -

/Signed/ G. M. Ogilvie
Principal Collector

No 1.

To The Judge in the Zillah of Chittoor.

Complaint preferred by Chinna Kistnasing son of Harising of Rajapootra caste, gaining livelihood by cultivation and Dasabundum produce, and a resident of Chittoor, against Parey Kistnasing of the same. caste

Caste and a Cultivator residing at Chittoor.

2 Colla Goorovapah Naiken of Cavoray Caste, a Renter, 3 Soobbarayer of Brammin Caste - the Head Sheristadar of the Chittoor Principal Collectors' Cutcherry and A-G. M. Ogilvie Esqre Principal Collector.

As profitable to the Circar, benefi- cial to the Inhabitants and useful for the cattle to drink the Plaintiffs Mother in 1811 or 12 Commenced the erection of a Tank in the limits of Vanganapullay in the Talook of Chittoor, and completed the construction with a considerable sum of Money gradually laid out.-

2. The then Collector Mr. Grame, determined that one-fourth of the Circar assessment fixed on the Nunjah lands cultivated below the said Tank should be paid - and furnished the Plaintiffs on the 27th March 1818 when he had rented Tantreemaneecolapullay with a cowlnamah

of 11 Paras shewing what the Plaintiff had to observe with regard to the Tank.-

3. After the execution of the said cowlnamah the Plaintiff's Mother turned into Nunjah 16 17/32 Cawnies of Poorumboke and Poonjah Lands, the latter of which were fit for cultivation under the said Tank and with a view to promote the Circar's advantage, cultivated some of them herself and let the remainder for Warrum to Inhabitants instead of granting one fourth of the said Lands as Dasabundum, the Circar continue giving credit in the Puttah furnished to the Plaintiffs as well as in the Chittah one fourth of the Rent money payable to the circar for the said Lands as "Chuntah" due to the Plaintiffs and collecting the remaining three fourths. The Plaintiff therefore

received

received the whole one fourth of the &
rent and enjoyed it.-

4 After the erection of the Tank
the Plaintiffs' mother and himself have
been occasionally repairing those parts
of the bank thereof which have been
injured by flood as well as the channel
which conveys rainy water to the Tank,
and the Plaintiff up to the year 1840
last, continued enjoying the Fish of the
Tank and the "Sumboo" Grass which
grows in the water thereof and which
is useful to roof houses.-

5- The 1st Defendant being &
Brother to the Plaintiffs' Mother and
Fatherinlaw to the Plaintiff was for
some time in an united family with the
Plaintiff when the Tank was construct-
-ed, and acted under the orders of the

Plaintiffs' mother, and also managed the &
duties of the Plaintiffs' cultivation. With
a view to get the said Dasabundum &
granted in the Plaintiffs' name, the 1st.
Defendant ingratiated himself with &
the Village Curnum and other Circar
Servants and acted fraudulently, and
as the Plaintiff became acquainted &
with the fact, enmity subsisted between
himself and the 1st Defendant who in
the mean time intending to deprive the
Plaintiff of the Tank and the Dasabun-
-dum and encroach upon them himself,
fraudulently called himself (as his name
also is Kistnasing) Renter of Tantemankoola
-pallay, clandestinely took possession of the
Cowlemanah which was with the Plain-
-tiffs' mother in her house, and then &
irregularly brought a Suit No. 378 of 1822
against the Plaintiffs' mother and the &

Plaintiff

Plaintiff stating that he had construct-
-ed the Tank in question, that the
the Circar granted him one fourth of
the land for Dasambundum, that
the Plaintiff cultivated them in the
year Vishoo and that the irregular
Warums payable thereon is due to him.
The Sudr Ameen mostly trying the suit
discovered that the Plaintiff not
the first Defendant was entitled
to the Tank and lands and dis-
-missed it accordingly and the
decision not having been appealed
against is final.

6 The 1st Defendant who was
quiet for 6 years afterwards took the
2d a Moneyed man to his assistance
and gave a petition to the late
Collector Mr. Roberts stating that
he disposed of the Tank to the

2d

2nd Defendant, and requested that Gentleman
to grant the Dasabundum to him, who set
aside the sale as invalid in 1829. and
ordered that if the 2nd Defendant had paid
any money he should go to the Civil Court
with a Suit. This being the case, the
1st Defendant again presented a false
petition to Mr. Grant stating that the
Incomes of the said Dasabundum should
be paid to him, that Gentleman made
an enquiry and on the 5th November 1829,
determined that the same should
be credited in the Plaintiff's
Puttah, which was accordingly
furnished to him.

7. Contrary to the Regulations
he again instituted a Suit in No. 477
of 1829 stating that the Dasabundum
Incomes are due to him on the Lands
cultivated by the Plaintiff in the
years Vega Suvajetoo and Suvadaury,

it

it was referred for trial to the then Provincial Court Pundit who after examining the case dismissed the 1st. Defendants claim as false on the ground that as the Tank was constructed by the Plaintiffs mother and Plaintiff, the latter, not the 1st. Defendant, was its owner - He was not content with the decree - but preferred an appeal suit No. 61 of 1830, and previously to its being decreed presented a false Petition to the Collector Mr. Roberts that the Dasabundasum should be continued in his name, when that authority passed an order on the 28th. October 1830 to the effect that it should be granted in the name of that person in whose favor the appeal will be decided - The appeal suit was afterwards examined

by the late Zillah Judge Mr. Waters, who passed a decision confirming that of the Sudder Ameen on the 31st. December 1831 and declaring that as it was fully proved that the Tank in litigation was erected by the Plaintiffs mother and Plaintiff, the Plaintiff was its owner and not the 1st. Defendant. Whereupon the 1st. Defendant presented a Summary petition to the Centre Provincial Court, before which court he filed copies of all Documents which were then on the records of the Collectors Cutcherry with miscellaneous Petition No. 64 of 1832, and the said Court having perused all of them passed an order on the 21st. December 1832 declaring the Plaintiff owner to the Tank and stating that if the 1st. Defendant held any right to it, he should seek redress by a regular Suit - That Order

Continues

Continues final, as neither the 1st nor 2nd Defendant had brought any regular Suit, nor a decree passed, but the Plaintiff has been as usual repairing the Tank, carrying on Cultivation below it, and enjoying the whole Incomes of the Dasa=bundum up to the years Kundanah, Veyah, Jayah.-

8. While the 1st Defendant as above mentioned was declared by the Court Decree unentitled to the Dasabundum of the Tank in dispute, he again presented a false Petition on the 22nd January 1836 to the late Collector Mr. Roberts stating that he had sold the Tank to the 2nd Defendant, and that it should be continued in his name, which Petition was rejected on the 30th of the same month on the ground that the claim

was unsustainable, and that the former Order was equitable.-

9 The 1st Defendant instead of being quiet, presented to the Collectors' Cutcherry at the assist=ance of the 2nd Defendant several fraudulent Petitions so that the Sums (besides the one fourth of the Rent payable for the lands cultivated below the said Tank credited in the Plaintiffs' Puttah as Choutaye) credited for the Plaintiff in the Puttahs of Inhabitants' may not come to his hands and that the Wottoo Puttah prepared in the Plaintiffs' name for the said Lands may not be granted to him, the Plaintiff at all times presented to the same Collectors' Cutcherry explan=atory Counter Petitions, of which no notice

notice was taken, on which a Petition was presented to the Board of Revenue on the 14th. May 1840, Containing all the above Particulars. The Board Ordered by Endorsement on the 25th. May 1840 conformity to Mr. Roberts' order dated 28th. October 1830 which, as stated in the preceding Paragraph, was to the effect that the Tank will be continued in the name of that Party in whose favor a Decree may be passed in Court.- The Plaintiff shewed the said Endorsement to the 4th. Defendant the present Collector and presented a Petition, which he did not peruse nor did he pass any orders- The Plaintiff preferred a Suit in No. 909 of 1840 in order to recover from the 1st. Defendant the Incomes of Dasabundums fraudulently appropriated by him, and it is under examination before the

the case the 1st. Defendant again presented a false petition to the Collector of Cuttacherry stating that he sold the Tank in question to the 2nd. Defendant, which circumstance reaching the Plaintiffs ears, he presented to the 4th. Defendant the present Collector a Counter petition explaining that under the Decrees above referred to the 1st. Defendant had no right to the Tank, and that its sale by him to the 2nd. Defendant was declared invalid, which counter Petition the 4th. Defendant, sent for examination to the 3rd. who (though he knew of the decrees passed by the Courts in favor of the Plaintiff of the sale of the Tank having been twice set aside by the late Collector on the ground that the 1st. Defendant who had no right to it should not have sold it to the 2nd. and of all the above particulars as well as of the endorsement of the Board)

Board) kept the above facts concealed from the 4th Defendant and fraudulently reported to him that the sale may be admitted. The 4th Defendant giving credit to that report as true, ordered that an insertion be made in the Circar account that the 2nd Defendant is the owner of the Tank, and getting a Yaric Takeed written in his name forwarded it to the Chittoor Tasildar. The 4th Defendant after the said Takeed was issued, irregularly forwarded another, directing the collection from the Plaintiff of Pagoda 19/32 being the Dasabundum chautaye which from the construction of the Tank a long time ago has been credited in his Puttah, and the Chittoor Tahsildar accordingly collected it from the Plaintiff on the 17th August 1841 by attaching his property. The proceeding seems to be extremely irregular.—

10. The Regulations declare that

that if two persons disputed together regarding any landed property, the Court should enquire into the cause, and that after it passes a Decree in favor of one of them recognizing his ownership to the property, the gaining party should obtain the Decree and shew it to the Collector who should grant the property to the person in whose favor the Decree was passed, but no enactment lays down that the Collector may grant it to a person different from him in whose favor the Judgment was given by the Court; The Decrees passed by the said three Courts which are final, declare that the owner of the Tank and of the Dasabundum in dispute is the Plaintiff who often showed them to the 4th Defendant who without paying attention to them or giving the Plaintiff a Takeed directing according to the Decree, the payment

to

to him of the Incomes of the Dasabundum depended upon the fraudulent Statement of the 3rd. Defendant and other Servants, & supposed the 1st. Defendant as the proprietor of the Tank and admitted his alledged sale of it to the 2nd Defendant in whose favor, during the current Fusly year, he irregularly granted a Jauri Puttah - The 3rd and 4th Defendants well knew that the sale was twice set aside by the late Collector on the ground that neither the 1st. Defendant could sell nor the 2nd. buy the Tank in dispute, but they now admitted it, it is necessary that this Court should set it aside by ascertaining the fact from the 3rd & 4th Defendants, the Dasabundum Incomes which for a long time has been allowed to the Plaintiff in his Puttah, was in the year Sarvary included with the Ayum collected from the Plaintiff

and remitted to the Circar Treasury, if one has right to the landed property which may be in the possession of another, it is the province of the Court to examine into the case and decide, but the 4th Defendant upheld undue authority and made over to the 2nd Defendant the Tank which was in possession of the Plaintiff. This Suit was therefore brought by the Plaintiff against all the Defendants to prevent the 1st and 2nd Defendants' interference with the Tank, to nullify the Bill of Sale fabricated by them, and to recover the chuntah money unredited in the Puttah but added with the Ayum, and collected from the Plaintiff in the year Sarvary and remitted to the Circar Treasury which sum was usually credited in his Puttah after the Suit No. 909 of 1840 above alluded

alluded to, was filed by the Plaintiff to recover the Incomes of the Davaburdum, he being informed of the disputed Tank having been contenued in the name of the 2nd Defendant presented a Petition to this Court praying that the procedure may be caused to be postponed and, according to this Court's Diary order dated 14th January 1841, petitioned the 4th Defendant also on the subject, which he took no notice of, but this order No. 410 passed on the 25th June having fully informed the Plaintiff of the Tank having been granted in the 2nd Defendants name, this suit

was distinctly filed.-

" The sum due to the Plaintiff chowtaye credited as above stated in the Plaintiff's Puttah is Pagoda 1 19/32, or Rupees 5, Annas 9, Pice 3, but which was added with the Ayer in the Sarvary year and collected from the Plaintiff who prays that the same may be recovered from the Defendants and given to him, that a just Decree may be passed with costs of suit, nullifying the Bill of Sale of the Tank now valued at 1450 Rupees (situated in the limits of Vengana pullay dependent on Cuttamunchee North to the Same Village, South to Madoogodapullay,

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

Madoogoolapullay west &c
to the Road of Vanelatotah &
and east to the boundaries of Tatoo
-koolapulley) alleged to have been exe
-cuted by the 1st in favor of the 2d &
Defendant and admitted by the 3d
and 4th Defendants, that the Defend
-ants may be prevented from hindering
the Plaintiffs' enjoying the said Tank
and Rupees 24 — 4 - 6 the one fourth
of the Rent payable for the Lands
irrigated by the Tank.

The Sued Amount is Rupees
1479 . A. 13 - Pice 4.

(Signed) Whitmarsh

Filed in Court this 7th October 1841

L. L. B.

own Statement that there are no documents in existence in the Name of the Plaintiffs Mother. Further at the time the Plaintiff states the tank in litigation was Constructed by his Mother, the Plaintiff and his Brothers were all present. but if the Court will here maturely consider the matter it will at once be convinced without further evidence that a Woman living entirely dependent upon her children and having no other means of support whatever could not have undertaken the construction of a tank That the 1st Defendant has no right whatever to the Tank in dispute and that the Tank said to have been constructed by the Plaintiffs mother is perfectly ~~true~~ true.—

3 The 1st Defendant Kistnasing renter of Tautemancoolapully a Hamlet of Cuttamanchee with the intention of Constructing the Tank now in dispute gave in a proposal on the 17th Pungoony Year Streemongah or 23d March 1814 and on the 28th January 1815 gave in a Moochilka containing 9 Paragraphs as per rules to which he obtained on the 24th March 1818 a Cowlenamah Containing 11 Paragraphs in which the Name of Kistnasing Tautemancoolapully renter is inserted. Such being the Case it is evident that the Statement of the Plaintiff that he was the renter of Tautemancoolapully that the Cowlenamah was granted in his name Vide is entirely false. The Signatures in both the proposal and Moochilka are in Naugaruun Characters. The Plaintiff always Signs his

his name in Teloogu and not in Nagari
ram. While on the other hand it is
always usual with the 1st Defendant
to sign all documents in Nagarum
Hence it is evident that both the pro-
posal and the Mochilka was given
by the 1st Defendant. Further elucidations
are hereunder specified to convince the
Court that the 1st Defendant had
actually rented the Village of Tateemanco-
lapully that he alone constructed the
tank in dispute and that the Plaintiff
had no concern whatever in it Vizt. That
two years after the Construction of the
Tank in question the Plaintiff gave in
a proposal on the 17th November 1816
for the construction of another tank now in
possession of the Plaintiff situated west
to the one in litigation. In this proposal

he (Plaintiff) signs his name in Teloogu as
Hurry Sing's Son (Ristnasing) and the 1st
Defendant attests the Proposal as a Witness
and signs his name Ristnasing Tantee-
manecolapully renter, in Nagarum cha-
racters. Besides this a Razeenamah was
obtained from the 1st Defendant Pedda
Ristnasing on the 18th November 1816 to
the effect that on the Construction of
the Tank proposed by the Plaintiff
no injury will be sustained either to his
1st Defendants rented Village Tantee-
manecolapully or to his tank. This Ra-
zeenamah also bears the Signature
of Ristnasing renter of Tanteemanecola-
pully. On a subsequent occasion the
Plaintiff applied for an advance of
20 Pagodas from the Circar for the
construction of this Tank and Signs as
the

the application as Ristnasing in Telugue
While the 1st Defendant as Security for
the advance, Signs as before Ristnasing
renter of Sauteemancoolapully in Naugarum
Further the 1st Defendant obtained a cer-
tain Sum of Money from the Circar for
the purpose of repairing the Tank in
litigation, and gave in a document
on the 24th March 1816 promising to pay
back the Amount in four years, in
which document also, the 1st Defendant
signs his name as Ristnasing Sauteeman-
coolapully renter in Naugarum. He also
signed the proposal made during the
decennial lease for the Village of Sau-
teemancoolapully in Naugarum. From
this it is evident that the 1st Defendant
was the renter of the Village of
Sauteemancoolapully that he himself

constructed

constructed the Tank now in dispute, whilst
in the other hand there is nothing to
support the fraudulent statements
of the Plaintiff.

4 The Nunjah land Cultivated
under the Tank in dispute was prepared
for Cultivation by the 1st Defendant
and Ryots Govindapah naick &Co and
not as stated by the Plaintiff or by his
mother; and the Plaintiffs Statement
that the whole 1/4th Share of the Dushundum
was deducted from his Sagoobuddy chittah
and Puttahs and that he himself enjoyed
them is likewise void of truth.

5 The assessment of land Cul-
tivated either by a Dushundum Tank
or Channel is usually entered in a separate
Dushundum Chittah, as also the amount
3/4th therefrom to the Circar and the remainder
1/4th to the Dushundumdar/mentioning his name/

The

The latter sum being generally deducted from the Puttahs of the Dushundumdar himself and from the Puttahs of those whom he names and all these deductions are enjoyed by the Dushundumdar himself. Thus in the Dushundum Tank Chittah prepared in fusly 1232 the Tank in dispute is entered as belonging to Pareaya Ristinasing the 1st Defendant, and the same is mentioned in the Chittahs of subsequent fuslies also, and from fuslies 1232 to 1235 the 1/4th portion of Dushundum, with a view to be paid to the 1st Defendant was continued to be deducted from his own Puttahs and from the Puttahs of his Ryot Govinda pah naik the whole having always been enjoyed by the 1st Defendant, again in fusly 1236 there was a dispute between the Plaintiff and the said

naik respecting certain land when the Puttah for a portion of this land was entered in the name of Govinda pah naik up to fusly 1235 and afterwards it was transferred to the Plaintiff by the Circar and a puttah granted to him and the Dushundum share was deducted from the Plaintiffs Puttah to be given to the 1st Defendant here evidently shewing that he (the Plaintiff) was not the Dushundumdar. Again in fusly 1237 the deduction so made from the Puttah of the 1st Defendant was 5 Rs. - 9 as - and that from the Puttah of the Plaintiff 1 Rs. - 9 as. In fusly 1238 the 1st Defendant complained to the Thasildar that the deductions made from the Puttahs of the Plaintiff as during the two preceding years was not paid to him (1st Defendant) as usual, and requested that the deduction for

fusly

justly 1238 might be made from the Puttah of Govindapah Naik accordingly 6-3¾ ds as was deducted from the Puttah of the 1st to 6th Defendants and 2-9⅜ ds as from the Puttah of Govindapah Naik in consequence of which the Plaintiff refused to take his own puttah when the sub Collector / Mr Grant / irregularly issued a Takeed ordering the deduction to be made from the Plaintiffs Puttah until the matter was settled. The decision of the Sub Collector was quite irregular and does not debar the 1st Defendant his rightful claim. —

6 It appears from no accounts or otherwise that the Plaintiff or his mother ever caused any repairs either to the Tank in dispute or to its supplying channel. The Statement that the Plaintiff himself enjoyed the annual produce of fish and Gumboo in the Tank up to the year 1840 is perfectly false

false That the 1st to 6th Defendant and not the Plaintiff has the sole right to the fish and Jumboo of the Tank in dispute was finally decided by a decree in the Registers Court in the year 1836 and on an appeal in the Zillah Court in the year 1837. On perusal of these decisions the Court will be convinced of the fraudulent pretensions of the Plaintiff. —

7 Had the Statement been true of the Cowlenamah / said to have been given by the Circar to the effect that the Plaintiff is the Claimant for the Tank in question / having been fraudulently taken away from the Plaintiffs house by the 1st to 6th Defendant the Plaintiff could have here this recovered it by recourse to law and it is now 23 years since this transaction is said to have

taken

taken place. The reason that the Plaintiff had taken no steps to do so is left for the Courts consideration The Plaintiff admits in his compliant that the Cowlenamah bears the name of Ristnasing renter of Tantumacoo. clapully From this and from the foregoing elucidations it is hoped that the Court will be convinced that the name entered in the Cowle Puttah is the name of the 1st Defendant and not of the Plaintiff - The Plaintiff obtained some of the 1st Defendants land and Waram and on the former refusing to give the usual share of Malewaram the latter sued him in No. 378 of 1822 for the value of malewaram but which suit was dismissed for want of documentary proof. This however does not debar the 1st Defendant

of his claim to the Tank as it mentions nothing of the Plaintiff having any claim to it or to the Dusbundum lands.

The Statement of the Plaintiff that the 1st Defendant had disposed of the tank in dispute to the 2d Defendant and that Mr. Roberts had cancelled the sale in 1829 and desired the 2d Defendant to sue for the amount in the Civil Court is perfectly false The 1st Defendant being the sole proprietor of the Dusbundum Tank in dispute requested Mr. Grant the Sub. Collector to deduct the Dusbundum share Rs. 1-9 p. from the Puttah of another Ryot as the 1st Defendant did not wish that the Plaintiff should cultivate any part of his land - His request however was not attended to why or wherefore it does not appear, and this constitutes in the opinion of the Plaintiff his right to the Tank

merely

merely because Mr. Grant omitted to comply with the 1st Defendants request.——

9 On referring to the decisions in the original and appeal suits named by the Plaintiff himself in which he states that he is finally acknowledged the rightful claimant, and not the 1st Defendant the Plaintiffs Statement does not bear him out and the very Gentleman, Mr. Waters by whom the appeal decision was passed refused by a Sudiary order dated 2d May 1832 the Plaintiffs request for a letter to the Collector to put him in possession of the Tank in dispute the Statement of the Plaintiff that he enjoyed the whole of the Dushundum 1/4 share up to the years Rundanah Jayah and Vejayah and that he repaired the Tank is also quite untrue.——

10 In the year 1834 the Plaintiff presented a petition to the Board of Revenue on the Subject of the Tank in litigation and the Board called for a report thereon upon which Mr. Roberts forwarded the whole proceedings in the case on the 29th November 1834 The Board considering the claim of the Plaintiff unjustifiable passed an endorsement on the 11th December 1834 to the effect "that they do not think proper to interfere in the matter of this complaint" Hence Mr. Roberts issued a Takeed to the Shasildar of the Talook on the 7th May 1835, that the 1st Defendant alone has a just claim to the Tank and not the Plaintiff; which order he

he Mr. Roberts again confirmed in a diary order passed on the 30th January 1836 and Mr. Roberts did not as stated by the Plaintiff allude in any manner to the sale of the Tank to the 2d Defendant.—

11 The Plaintiff again in the year 1840 presented a Petition to the Board of Revenue evading in it the mention of the 1st Defendants true claim by decisions already passed and he the Plaintiff was desired by endorsement to be guided by Mr. Roberts former decision. Hence the 4th Defendant fully concurring with Mr. Roberts decision and in all the others passed against the Plaintiff in the case disproving his right to the Tank in dispute and that the claim of the 1st Defendant and not

of the Plaintiff is the valid one, he the 4th Defendant made an endorsement on the Plaintiffs' Petition under date the 3d September 1840, depriving him of all the right to the Tank.—

12 No decision establishes on the claim of the Plaintiff to the Tank in dispute nor did the 3d or 4th Defendants act in opposition to any such decisions, nor was the sale to the 2d defendant rejected by any of the Collectors. The 4th Defendant being convinced from the foregoing stated reasons and documents that the 1st Defendant alone is the rightful claimant to the Tank in dispute, confirmed the sale made by the 1st Defendant and continued the 1/4th Dishundum share in the name of the 2d Defendant Independent of the reasons hitherto stated that

that the Plaintiff has no right to the Tank there is another still stronger one that the Plaintiff and Govindaswamy Naik had a dispute on account of some Puttah land and the former gave in a Complaint to Mr. Harrington /Sub Collector/ on the 4th May 1826, in which it is mentioned that the Tank in dispute was constructed by him /Plaintiff/ and the 1st Defendant In the Razeenamah filed on this occasion on the 21st May 1826, the Plaintiff fully admits that the Tank in dispute belongs to the 1st Defendant Peraya Reistnasing and in a verbal Complaint made by the Plaintiff before Mr. Grant in the year 1829. He stated that he had together with the 1st Defendant laid out Money in the Construction of the

Tank in dispute and that he has a claim to a Moiety of it and now he claims the enjoyment of the whole If the Court will therefore after perusal of the several documents and weigh the matter properly it will at once be seen that the 1st Defendant is the rightful Claimant that the Plaintiff has no concern whatever in it and that the suit now got up is altogether false.

/Signed/ G. M. Ogilvie
Principal Collector

Proceedings thereon.

(55-56) Resolved that the foregoing letter with its enclosures being Copies of a suit No. 538 of 1841 preferred in the Zillah Court at Chittoor against the Principal Collector his head Serishtadar and two others for the recovery of the amount Value of a Duswundum Tank, and of the answer proposed to be filed, be submitted for the Consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

The

The Board having perused the draft of the answer above alluded to are of opinion that it is good and and accordingly resolve to recommend that the Principal Collector may be authorized to defend the Suit in question himself and his Serishtadar are accordingly at the public expence.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(57)

From the Pr. Collr. of Salem ... Submitting translation of an Urzee from Annajee Row the Head Sherishtadar of his District praying for Batta & reasons to be allowed the pay of a Sherishtadar of 5 years standing.

Sir,

Para 1 I have the honor to forward translation of an urzee addressed by Annajee Row, the head Sherishtadar of this district for submission to the Board and the receipt of their orders thereon.

	Y.	M.	D.
Head Sheristadar in the Sub Collector's Cutcherry at Coimbatore, from 31st July 1827 to 20th February 1830	2	6	20
Naib Sheristadar in the Principal Collector's Catchery at Coimbatore from 21st Febuary to 21st March 1830			1
Naib Sheristadar, but doing the duties as Head Sheristadar and drawing the allowances from 22d March 1830 to 5th November 1832	2	7	14
Naib Sheristadar from 6th November 1832 to 29th October 1841	8	11	25
Total	14	2	29

2nd From this the Board will observe, that Annajee Row solicits the pay allowed to the grades whose service is between 5 and 10 years. he has served as per Margin, 14 years 2 Months and 29 days in the situation of Sub Division and Naib Sheristadar, and nine Months more would have put his claim beyond doubt, but as it appears that during his service he was actually employed as Head Sheristadar in Coimbatore doing all the duties and by the orders of Government receiving the pay of the Situation for 2 years 9 Months and 14 days. I am induced therefore to hope, that the Government will admit this period to count as full time of Service passed in the higher grade, when the time of his Service, according to the established rules

Fort St. George 3d January 1842

rule, will be as under

Employed as Head Sheristadar	2-7-
Do as Sub Division Sheristadar	
and Nail at do	3-10-
	6-5-3

which brings up his period of service to the period which entitles him to the pay of Rupees 350, as solicited by him.

I beg leave to recommend his claim to the favorable consideration of the Board and Government.

Salem Zillah
Salem
Print. Coll.r's Cutcherry
8th December 1841

(Signed) J. D. Gleig
Pl. Collector.

Translation of an Urzee from Annajee Head Sheristadar of the Huzzoor Cutchery to J. D. Gleig Esqr. Principal Collector Salem, Dated 24th November 1841.

As you were pleased to appoint me as Head Sheristadar of the Salem Zillah I beg respectfully to state that I served as Peishcar in the Sub Collacal in the Coimbatore Division

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

from 11th December 1815 to 8th March 1821 upon a Salary of 8 Pagodas a Month was appointed Tahsildar of the Salem Talook on the 9th March 1821 afterwards as Tahsildar of the Talooks of Undoor and Errode, and subsequently as Tahsildar over three Talooks Viz.t Undoor, Errode and Perrumdary, up to 30th July 1827, on a Salary of 250 Rupees a Month. By diligence and attention to my duties I shewed an increase in the Boriz and made the Collections without leaving any balance. The then Principal Collector Mr. Sullivan was pleased, in Consideration thereof, to confer on me the Situation of Head Sheristadar in the Sub Collector's Cutchery at Coimbatore on the 31st July 1827, and on the Suspension of the Head Sheristadar of the Principal Collector's Cutchery. I was appointed to do his duties from 22d March 1830 to 5th November 1832, for which the Government were pleased on the 3d October 1834 to sanction my drawing the Sum of Rupees 2519—2—3. being 80 Rupees in addition to my pay of 200 Rupees for conducting the duties of the Head Sheristadar. Thus of the 26 years,

I have

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.—

I have served, I have been employed 20 years, 5 Months and 18 days as Tahsildar Sub Division Head Sheristadar, and as Naib and Head Sheristadar in the Principal Collectors Cutcherry, excluding my services as Peishcar; and in these high situations, I have been ever attentive. My services will be seen in the Statement, I have already submitted, and I therefore humbly solicit, that with reference to the order of Government of the 6th March 1828, my case may be represented to the Board, and my pay recommended to be increased to 100 Pagodas per mensem.

(Signed) Annajee Row
Head Sheristadar.

Resolution thereon,

(57-58)

It appears from the foregoing letter that Annajee Row while holding the office of Naib Sheristadar in Coimbatore performed the duties of Head Sheristadar 2 years 7 days and ½ that of that District during — — — that the Office was vacant, and having now been promoted to the situation of Head Sheristadar of Salem Mr. Gleig recommends that

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.—

that this service may be reckoned as service actually performed as Head Sheristadar in calculating the period requisite to entitle him to the Salary of a Head Sheristadar of 5 years standing Viz. Rupees 350 per mensem. This not being provided for by the rules circulated under date the 6th March 1828, the Board feel themselves precluded from recommending compliance with Mr. Gleig's request.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collr of Salem. } Sir

I have the honor to forward

(59)

Copies of letters relating to Dawnstanums and to request that as the statements therein alluded to are not to be found among the old records of this Collectorate and as they are particularly required for reference to enable me to complete my report on the subject of Dawnstanums, you will furnish me with Copies of the Statements at an early a period as practicable.—

Salem Zillah,
Salem
Pl. Collr Cutcherry
11th December 1841. }

(Signed) J. D. Gleig
Princ. Collector

To

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

A

To

William Petrie Esqre.

&c &c Members of the

Revenue Board.

Gentlemen,

By your Secretary's letter dated the —, the late Collector of the Salem Districts was directed to lay before your Board a Statement of Villages of which the Revenues were to be set apart for the support of Pagodas and the religious ceremonies of the Natives. This account I have now the honor to forward

Villages of a Bariz as near as could be, equal in amount to the Estimated expenses of the Pagodas in each District have been selected. In the accompanying Comparison your Board will observe that the Revenue of them Villages 210 in the aggregate exceeds the Estimated disbursements of fusly 1211 Pagodas 2656 and supposing the above Villages granted in perpetuity, the proposed fixed rent is above the Estimates Pagodas 1208.

As much on account of the policy

of

Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

of no interruption from the want of funds being experienced in the regular performance of the Ceremonies of that Religion, as well as to prevent such interruption becoming a real Tax upon the Ryots, as it infallibly would was the Sibbundy of Pagodas and every other Charge not regularly occurred to them, those Villages have been chosen which from local circumstances were supposed subject to the least decrease one year with another.

Should your Board be of opinion that the excess of Revenue of 1210 above the Estimated disbursements is too great to be all given up, what proportion may be deemed sufficient can be included in the Summabundy as a quit rent from the above Villages. In order, however to provided against fluctuation to which cultivation is always liable. it would perhaps be better did the amount exceed rather than exactly equal the annual disbursements

disbursements the excess would come into the Treasury of each Pagoda and might be disbursed in repairs in the purchase of furniture — and in other casual charges of which many of the Pagodas stand much in need. Did the revenue in the aggregate rise what part of this excess the liberality of Government might give up, could be usefully employed in opening of new Pagodas a few of which in the Salem Districts are without Establishments now, which in the time of the Hindoo Princes were liberally endowed.—

From the time I receive the orders of your Board upon the Subject of the accompanying Statement, it will not be requisite to enter in accounts any charge of this nature; and the money disbursed from the beginning of the present fusly can be deducted from the revenue of the Villages allotted to the above purpose.—

From the Pagodas north of Jofspon including those in Balligaut, a Statement in the Same form will be shortly forwarded.

Vencattagherry Cotta
10th November 1801

[Signed] D. Cockburn
Collector

To
George Garrow Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

In your letter of the 19th November last, I am directed to lay before your Board a list of the respective Establishments, and the particular expences requisite to be incurred in maintaining the Religious Ceremonies of the natives in the Salem Districts — this account I now forward.—

It is drawn out in such a form as to exhibit at one view the various expences on the above account, the total charge of each Pagoda with the Charges of Religious Ceremonies not performed in Pagodas, is shown, and the Statement is accompanied with an account exemplifying the detail of the Puddy turrum and a Moen Labita of the wages of individuals belonging to a Pagoda, the particular Charges on the above two heads of every Pagoda being the Same, varying only a little by Custom

or

or the supposed Sanctity of its Deity, it is hoped may serve to convey sufficient information by which to judge of all the others, should the Board however desire it, the Mogen Zaubeta of each Pagoda shall be forwarded in the Native Language, to transcribe them into English would require a considerable time, and afford little information not contained in the accompanying Examples.-

Royacotta }
16th January 1802 }

(Signed) D. Cockburn
Collector.

To

George Gorrow Esqre.
Secretary to the
Revenue Board.

Sir,

I have to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated the 9th Ultimo, in which authority is conveyed to me for disbursing the amount Estimated on account of the following charges - 1st, District Servants, Pagodas 8646 - 2d, Building Choultries, Pagodas 503 - 3d Clearing Roads, Pagodas 250 - 4th Pagodas 2,000 on account of Tahauie -

Your

2. Your having mentioned particularly the heads of Charges authorized and omitted noticing altogether the other Estimated Charges either as being Sanctioned or otherwise, makes me doubtful whether they may not have been overlooked - They are as under.-

Division Servants Pd	1,861	
Division Saderward "	1,032	
District Saderward "	392	
Village Subbendy "	15,890	
Charges Extraordinary	1,433	excepting Choultries and Clearing Roads
Pensions	3739	
Pagoda and Mosque allowance Pd	2,048	
Tank Repairs "	2,000	
Advances for Cultivation "	9,000	

on the above Charges I request to be informed of the Sentiments of the Board.-

3. The Estimate of allowances on account of Pagodas Amounting to Star Pagodas 2,105 - - - which accompanied the other Estimates on the 19th December last, only provided for the expence of the Religious Ceremonies Specified in Column 14 of the Statement which is forwarded with

with this letter. The Charges inserted in Columns 7, were intended to have been defrayed from the Revenues of Lands granted for that purpose in 1799, previous to which period, they were paid from the Treasury like all other Dawnstanum Charges in Salem and Barramahl. Since the resumption of the lands allotted for that purpose under the old Government in fusly 1204 - but which lands, as the Board will perceive by the Statements above referred to were inadequate to the purpose for which they were best owing to waste land which yielded no Revenue, being rated as cultivated lands and given to the Pagodas in hopes that the Interest of the Sibendy would stimulate their exertions in Cultivating them - Comparing the Cultivation of the lands in the present fusly as in Column 11, with the expence to defray which they were granted, the Board will observe how far these expectations have been realized, and owing to their not having been cultivated the deficiency of the

fund which must either cause an interruption in the regular performance of the Ceremonies of Religion or subject the Ryots to extra Assessments being levied from them, both of which for many reasons, ought to be as much as possible avoided —

4. Although I cannot discover by the records of this Cutcherry that similar arrangements for the maintenance of Pagodas were ordered in the Barramahl as in the Salem Division, yet Reviewing the sentiments of the Board in regard to the Pagodas in the latter Districts had the Lands granted for expences in Columny of the Statement been sufficient to defray them and so calculated as to afford a secure fund for this branch of expence in future, there could be no objection to their remaining a part as far as the value of their Cultivation extended, to answer the purpose of which they were originally granted.

5. The total expence of Pagodas and Religious Ceremonies in the Barramahl is inserted in Column 16 The Amount of the fund from which this expence is

is to be discharged is shewn in Column 17, and the deficiency amounts to Pagodas 548–16–28.–

6. Government has always been very liberal in making allowances for the support of the Religion of the Natives of this Country, and besides the great abuse usually practiced in making Collections which are defined by no rule, the present high rent the Ryots of the Barramahl pay, will point out the necessity of not subjecting them to defray by contributions among themselves the Ceremonies of their Religion or from a deficiency in the funds intended to carry them, or cause any interruption in their performance.—

7. The Ryots in many districts of the Barramahl, as the Board will observe in Column 15, have hitherto been made to contribute to the expence of Religion. Such taxes were frequent under the old Government, and being the Custom, have been Continued under the present, but for the reasons given I am of opinion should be altogether abolished. In the Salem Districts they were done away in 1205 when Mayen Yaibetas was given for each Pagoda.—

considering

8. considering the principles upon which the affairs of these Districts are soon to be administered, so necessary a branch of expence should be provided for in a secure and permanent manner and I should imagine it of importance that each State be left unburdened by any tax above the land rent at which it may be Assessed, especially by such as is in the present instance arbitrary and undetermined. The extra Assessments in Column 15, except those from the Poligars, must be collected this year for want of other funds but I would recommend that in future, they be avoided as soon as the necessary expence can be provided for in a way less objectionable.—

9. On the above considerations, I have added the Cultivation of the lands given to defray the charge in Column 7 to the Summah of this year. I have therefore to request the permission of the Board to disburse the Sum in Column 21 from the Treasury, and enter the amount in Accounts, the fund from which it was intended to be

discharged

discharged being deficient in Pagodas 548 16 28 as in column 20 of the Statement. This Strictly speaking, altho' a loss to Government, is no additional expense on account of Pagodas, but only making up the funds intended to defray the escpenses in column 17 which is deficient in consequence of unproductive lands having been confounded with such as were productive when the Villages were given away. altho' a loss I trust it will be considered by the Board more advisable as well as politic that it be made good at the escpense of the Sirkar, in preference either to a Stop being put to the performance of the regular duties of Religion from the want of funds, or to the deficiency being made up by the Ryots.

10. In a short time I will lay before the Board a more detailed account of the various escpenses to be incurred on account of Pagodas in the Barramahl, when some plan shall be suggested adequate for their future and permanent support.

The

The Devastanums in Balaghaut have not hitherto been arranged. Under the old Government, the lands given for their support by the Hindoo Rulers of Mysore were all resumed, and the ceremonies of Religion either neglected altogether or Assessments to carry them on, made from the Ryots. In 1799 when the Balaghaut Districts were annexed to the Barramahl, the Villages formerly enjoyed by them were again given up to them, but without any Moyen Zabita being made, by which the wages of Sibbendy or the escpenses of Religious ceremonies might be regulated. I have this year given the Pagodas of Osoor, Dhenkencottah and Venketeigherry Moyen Zabitas calculated upon the same Scale as those of Salem and added the Cultivation to the Jummabundy. This will cause no loss to Government, the Cultivation of the Villages equalling the escpense to be incurred. Copies of them shall be laid before the Board. Their amount is Star Pagodas —— as Shewn in addition to Column —— of the Statement. My reason

for

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

for resuming them was that the different expenses required might be regulated and ascertained previous to lands being granted, and until the Value of these lands was better known than at present.—

Darampoory, 7th March 1802. } / Signed / D. Lockburn
Collector

Order thereon

(59-60)

Ordered that the Statements requested in the foregoing letter be furnished to him.—

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem.—

(61)

Sir,

Referring to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 29th May 1835 Containing to Syed. Meeran a Yeomiah of Seven Rupees per Mensem granted for the purpose of maintaining a School at Penagram I have the honor to inform you, for the information of the Board, that the person above mentioned can neither read nor write he employs his nephew Boorah Khan I have therefore withheld his Certificate, until

I

Fort St. George 3d. January 1842.

I have the Boards instructions whether the Yeomiah is to be continued to his name or not.—

Salem Zillah.—
Salem,
Print. Coll. Cuddalore
11th December 1841.
(61-62)

/ Signed / J. D. Lyeig
Pt. Collector

Order thereon,
(Vide Proc. 10th Jany.)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pt. Coll. of Salem.—

(63)

Sir

With reference to your letters of the 15th July and 26th Ultimo I have the honor to inform you that the rules devised by the Judges of the Sudr Udalt have been carried into effect in the Huzzoor and in several of the Talooks, but in some talooks due attention has not been paid as required in rule 4th, for the observance of which, strict orders have however been issued. These rules have contributed no doubt in a great measure to prevent

prevent forgeries of old documents by means of Stamp paper but real value of the order will be better ascertained by reference to the Civil Courts—

Salem
Print. Coll.s Cutcherry
11th December 1841.

/ Signed / J. D. Lyeig
Pr. Collector

63-64

Order thereon, Ordered to lie on the Table—

Read the undermentioned Statements—

From the Aff. Collector in the Sn Dn of Arcot— Statement shewing
65 the Particulars of Collections in fusslies 1249 and 1250.—

From the Aff. Collector in the Sn Dn of Arcot— Statement shewing
66 the Particulars of charges in fusslies 1249 and 1250.—

Order on the two Abov.e Statements— Ordered to be Lodged
65-67 in the Office—

Read the following letters—

68

From the Aff. Coll.rs in the Sn Dn of Arcot
No. 1885 of 1841

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 8th Ultimo and to the petition which

which accompanied it, I have the honor to inform you that the Mohaberatotum thereon alluded to measures 4½ cawnies, of which 8¼ annas of land are tiberastan & 4 annas and exempted from Teervah the remainder is liable to be taxed, and accordingly such portion of the land as has at any time been brought under Cultivation has paid teervah—

All the cawlthumbered Khan's Mohabera totum — 4–8

Deduct
The land occupied by Caberastum — 8¼

Occupied by a house — 1¼

Occupied by Chavedy — ¼

8½

The remainder liable to be taxed — 3–15¼

The petitioner has no title to enjoy the land free of rent, nor is it entered in the Inam Jamabundy Accounts as manium land. He can only obtain what he wishes by the indulgence of Government, in consideration of his birth and family—

The petition above alluded to is herewith returned—

Cuddalore
Collector's Cutcherry
8th December 1841—

/ Signed / G. H. Hallett
Aff. Collector

68-69
Order thereon, Ordered to be sent again
Pro. 10th Jany. in Circulation—

To

218 Fort St. George 3d January 1842

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Ag. Coll.r in the Sn. Divn. of Arcot

Sir, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to return the Peruvanahs &ca. forwarded with my predecessor's letter of the 11th April 1840, for the purpose of their being delivered back to the person from whom they were received.

(70)

Chantopet
South Arcot
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
15th December 1841.

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Ag. Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot

Sir,

To the Ag. Coll.r in the Sn. Divn. of Arcot (4 in Number) (70-71)

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to return the Peruvanahs &ca forwarded by your Predecessor under date the 11th April 1840 as requested in your letter of the 15th Ultimo.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3d January 1842

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Estimate

Estimate

219 Fort St. George 3d January 1842.

Estimate for making the Scales and Weights for the Southern Division of Arcot.

(72)

Items

Amount of Estimate

Rupees Pagodas

For 1 Pair of Scales with Chains

1 Iron Weights

2 Weights of 50 ℔s each.

1 of 15 ℔s

1 of 14 ℔s

1 of 7 ℔s

1 of 4 ℔s

1 of 2 ℔s

1 of 1 ℔s

Scales and Weights proper to be furnished from the Arsenal Stores under advantages, which will be sanctioned at Madras

Rupees Pagodas
10 — —
10 — —
2 — 8
1 — 8
1 — 12
— — 8
— — 4
— — 2
— — 1

15 4 —
63 4 —

Signed / C. H. Hallett
Ag. Collector

Cuddalore Coll.rs Cutcherry
23d December 1841