BIR
157
19

11,013 Fort St. George 21st October 1814

10 Diary.

1616/25

24.

1795

1774

1774

Thc

21

from

see p.

Mr

to attend as

practicable to the

terms of the reg

Art. [illegible]

[illegible]

14014

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Major General Sir, R. Dick has directed me to submit to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that he has authorized the requisition to be met, by sending a Guard detailed by roster as for any other duty with orders for the Native Officer in Command to ascertain immediately upon arrival at Pulicat who are the individual authorities competent to call upon him to act in aid of the Civil Power in the event of a riot taking place.

2 Having ascertained this the Native Officer is to post his guard where it will be in readiness to act if called upon.

3 He is not to allow it to take part or in any way to interfere with any processions or Ceremonies, but the men are to remain near the place of Arms in readiness to aid the Civil Power if required to do so.

4 The Officer Commanding the Army in Chief instructs me to bring to

14014

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

to the consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council whether in accordance with your letter dated 6th July last, No. 2558, furnishing a guard at annual festivals may not be dispensed with in future. No disturbance appears hitherto to have occurred to involve the necessity of the Military acting in aid of the Police, to whom the Major General would beg to suggest that the preservation of the Peace might be left.

Adjt. Genl's Office
Fort Saint George
18th September 1841.

/Signed/ R. Alexander Lt. Colt
Adjutant General
of the Army.

To
The Officer Commanding
Centre Division of the Army
Vellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that

14015 Fort St. George 21st. October 1841

that I may be furnished with the usual Guard of Sepoys for the preservation of the peace during the approaching festival at Tripatty which commences on the 18th. Instant, and that it may be composed of Hindoos. It is desirable, if convenient, that the guard should reach Tripatty by the 17th. Current.—

North Arcot.

Principal Collectors,
Circuit Cutcherry,
Palmanair, 10th. September 1841.

Signed/ G. M. Ogilvie
Pro. Collector.

To

The Secretary to Government.

Sir, Military Department

I have the honor to forward the copy of a letter from the Collector of Northern Arcot addressed to the Officer Commanding Centre Division of the Army, and am directed by the Officer Commanding the Army in Chief to submit to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, that the purpose for which

14016 Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

which the Guard is required appears so incompatible with the orders of the Court of Directors, and your letter of the 6th. July last No. 2558, that he has not deemed it necessary to alter the instructions formerly given and brought to notice of Government by the Adjutant General's letter of the 18th. Instant No. 852.—

Adjt. Gen. Office,
Fort St. George,
27th. September 1841.

Signed/ R. Alexander Lt. Col.
Adjutant General
of the Army.

To

The Adjutant General
of the Army

Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 4682 of the 18th. Instant, I have the honor to forward for submission to the Major General Commanding the Army in Chief the annexed copy of a letter from the Principal Collector of North Arcot.—

Head Quarters,
Centre Division,
Vellore, 23d. September 1841.

Signed/ J. Napier Brigadier
Commanding
Centre Division.

North

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Sir,

Para 1— I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 20th Instant, transmitting Copy of a letter from the Adjutant General of the Army and requesting to be informed whether a Military Guard, as specified by the Adjutant General, will be required to attend at Triputty.—

2. A Guard detailed by roster as for any other Detachment duty, could not be employed on this particular duty. The service of the Guard hitherto furnished has been for the protection of the Collections realized during the great festival and to escort the Money from the Pagoda to the Tahsildar's Cutchery— No Mussulman Sepoy can approach within 10 Miles of the Temple where the offerings are received, and therefore to detail a Guard for this purpose unless entirely composed of Hindoos would

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

would be useless.—

North Arcot,
Principal Collectors,
Circuit Cutcherry
Palmaniar 21st September 1841.

I have the honor to be &c.
(signed) G. Mc. Ogilvie
Dy. Collector.

(A true Copy)
(signed) J. Napier, Brigadier
Commanding
Centre Division.

The Right Honorable

the Governor in Council observes that the requisitions of the Civil Magistrates for Troops, for the preservation of the Peace, must continue to be complied with as heretofore, whether upon occasions of Religious Festivals, or not.—

2. The Military authorities will be pleased to attend as far as practicable to the terms of the requisitions they may receive from the Magistrate, and as in the present instance it is stated by Mr. Ogilvie that no Mussulman Sepoy can approach within 10 Miles of the Spot where the presence of the Troops is required, the Guard must be

be composed exclusively of Hindoo Sepoys.

3 His Lordship in Council directs that the foregoing letters be communicated to the Revenue Department, whence instructions will be given to the Collectors to abstain from making applications for Military aid upon such occasions whenever it can be done with safety.

(signed) S. W. Steel Lieut. Colt.
Secretary to Government

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be communicated for the information and guidance of the Board of Revenue.

(signed) W. Elliot
A/ Secy. to Govt.

Fort St. George
9th October 1841.

Order thereon.

Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with Copies of the Correspondence referred to thereon be furnished to the Principal Collector of North Arcot for his information and guidance with reference to the Communication from this Department under

(2)

under date the 18th inst.

= 1110. —

Ordered also that the following letter be forwarded by Circular to the Collectors in the Provinces.

Sir,

Circular to all Colltrs except N. Arcot and Madras.

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that although all requisitions of the Civil Magistrates for Troops for the preservation of the Peace must

(1-3)

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.—

must continue to be supplied or by the Military authorities as heretofore whether upon occasion of Religious festival or not, it is still the desire of the Right Honble the Governor in Council that Collectors generally will abstain from making application for Military aid upon such occasions whenever it can be done with safety.—

2 You will therefore be guided invariably in future with this communication of the sentiments of Government.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
21st. October 1841.—

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.—

Read the following Resolution—
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 16th. October 1841.

(Board's Proceedings 27th. Sept.)

From the Sec. to Government.— The Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the Collector of Rajahmundry to give credit in his accounts to the Collector of Masulipatam, for the refund of Rupees fifteen ordered by the Northern Provincial Court in Suit No. 18 of 1839.—

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Sec. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

To The Collector of
Rajahmundry.—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 11th Ultimo No. 127 and to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to authorize you to give credit in your accounts to the Collector of Masulipatam

4

To The Coll. of Rajahmundry

4—5

From Govt. 16th. October & Cons. 21st. Do. 1841.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

14023 Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Masulipatam for the sum of Rupees (15) fifteen being the amount of a refund on account of Stamp duty made by order of the Provincial Court Northern Division in suit No. 18 of 1839.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
21st October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th October 1841.

(Board's Proceedings 27th September)

From the Actg. Secy. to Govt.— The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of the Sum of Rupees (22) Twenty two recommended in the foregoing Proceedings.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy.

6

Ordered that the following be sent in Consequence.—

6-7

To the Pr. Collr. of Salem

To The Principal Collector of Salem

Sir,

In reply to your letter

DG

14024 Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

In Con. 27th Sept. 1841 In Con. 21st Octr. 1841

of the 18th September last I am directed to acquaint you that Government under date the 16th Instant have been pleased to sanction the disbursement of Rupees 22 for the conveyance of Mauritius Sugar canes from Ravzepoor to Osoor

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
21st October 1841

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 16th October 1841

(Board's Proceedings 27th September)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government— The Right Honble the Governor in Council sanctions the payment to the Chief of Mahé of the moidy of the Ferry Farm of the Mahé river for Fusly 1250 amounting to Rupees (65-8-0) Sixe hundred and fifty five and Annas eight

8

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered

Fort St George 21st October 1841

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence

(8-9)

To The Collector of Malabar

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 16th Instant have been pleased to authorize payment to the Chief of Mahé of the Society of the Ferry Farm of the Mahé River for fusly 1250 as applied for in your letter of the 7th Ultimo Rupees 655-8-

To The Coll.r of Malabar

In Cons.n 21st Oct.r 1841

In Cons.n 27th Sept.r 1841

Revenue Board Office, Fort St George, 21st October 1841

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett Secretary

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

(10)

Sir

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter

Fort St George 21st October 1841

letter dated 22d July last transmitting for my consideration and report the accompanying Petition from Maunidala Rungiah Puntoolu Zemindar of Vurtalapallay in this District praying that a speedy adjustment may be effected of the boundary dispute between his Village and the adjoining Village of Panoomulla appertaining to the Moolah of Padana, and further that a Pension equivalent to 1/3 of the Peishcush on his Estate together with 6 cuttles of land rent free may be granted to him in lieu of his Village which he wishes to surrender to Government

No 770 of 1841

2. With respect to the 1st point it is to be observed that the delay which has taken place in settling the dispute has arisen entirely from his own negligence. my Predecessors as well as myself were anxious to do the Petitioner justice and a Punchayet was appointed

so

Fort St George 21st October 1841

so far back as the year 1837, but the case could not be decided because one of the Members of the Punchayet had died — and instead of nominating another in lieu of the deceased, he objected to the Punchayet altogether, and wished the matter to be settled by the arbitration of my head Shiristadar. This latter mode being contrary to the course — prescribed by the Regulations was dis- allowed and he has only lately in — consequence of repeated remonstrances, agre to the appointment of a regular Punchay by which the dispute will soon be brought to a conclusion

3 With respect to the 2d point I beg leave to observe that his application appears to be too absurd t require any serious consideration. The Village of Vurtalapully was purchased by the Petitioner in the year 1826 from — one Aulmoory Settiah by private agre

Fort St George 21st October 1841

agreement, and formed part of the Havelly lands which were created proprietary ones at the time of the introduction of the permanent Settlement or Zemindary System-

4 The Petitioner has no just claim to a Pension, but deserves every indulgence as to the payment of — arrears now outstanding against the — Estate-

5 In my letter under date 17th December last, I recommended that the proposition of the proprietor of Vurtala- pully to pay the arrears of Fusly 1249 — by 2 instalments, Viz: Rupees 230. in Fusly 1250 and Rupees 228-15-5- in Fusly 1251, should be accepted- He has accordingly paid the former — instalment, and promises to pay the latter according to his agreement; but a fresh accumulation of arrears having taken place in Fusly 1250 in consequence

of

of the badness of the season he now ... proposes to pay that balance amounting

	chs	a	ps				
Fusly 1252	290	"	"	to Rupees	576	13	1
1253	286	13	6	by the instalments noted ...			
Total	576	13	6	in the Margin and prays			

that interest may not be charged for the same -

6 As the latter proposition appears to be unobjectionable and as the balance has arisen from the ... adversity of season which has affect -ed alike all the Estates in this District whether in the hands of Proprietors or under Management I recommend that the prayer of the Petitioner may be acceded to -

Masulipatam ...
Collector's Cutcherry
7th October 1841

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector

Proceedings

Proceedings thereon - The request preferred by

(10-11) the Zemindar of Kurtalapullay that a Pension and a free gift of 6 Cutties of land may be conferred upon him, cannot be complied with - but the ... Board see no objection to the favora -ble arrangement suggested by the - Collector in Para 5 of the foregoing letter, with the view to enable - him to pay up the arrears due upon his Estate for Fusly 1250 -

Orderd

14031

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Ordered that

the following Endorsement

be passed on Petition N.770 of

1841.

Endorsement

"The Collector of

Masulipatam has been

furnished with instructions

from the Board upon

the subject of this Pe-

-tition."

Compd. S.G.B.

Rec

14032.

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

Read the following letter

To. The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(12)

Sir,

From the Collector of Guntoor — Para 1

Reporting the result of the Management of Rajah Wajereddy Ramanadha Bauboo —

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to submit a report on the Management of the Wajereddy Estate by its Proprietors Ramanadha Bauboo on the 31st. October 1840- Ramanadha Bauboo submitted an Estimate of the probable Collections Charges and Payments into the Government Treasury on account of Peishcush for fusly 1250- This Statement exhibits the Estimated Collections for each Village and in the Column of remarks it is stated that if the prospects held out by the state of the crops at that time are fulfilled and Prices continue favorable the Estimated amount will be collected. This Estimate was made at the end

of

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th. September 1840
Do. Do. dated 26th. August 1840-
Paras 6-7-8 on the Summary Report for Fusly 1249-

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

*See Demand Collection and Balance Statements for October November and December.

of October. The dry crops were then looking well and nothing occurred subsequently to affect* the hopes held out at that period of the season. A want of rain was experienced after October and some Velvadums or Red Paddy which is included under dry crops perished but this was very trifling and not to an extent to affect the Estimate. The Mangaumy is Estimated at Rupees 41,428. Of this Rupees 20,000 were produced under irrigation supplied by the Kistnah, in which there was no failure. Of the remainder some portion failed from the want of rain but Rupees 16,000 is to be considered a large allowance on this account. Adverting to the Estimate the demand for fusly 1250 was Rupees 5,35,107—10—" from this the Estimated charges* amounting to Rupees 57,000 being deducted there remains Rupees 4,78,107—10—" which Ramanadha Bauboo promised to remit

*Allore Vellore Villages under the Kistna channel. At Vellatore 16,000 Nellore 4,000 20,000

*These are beyond the prescribed limits.

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

remit to the Government Treasury. The actual payments however within the Fusly amount to Rupees 3,37,848—6—" which includes Rupees 5,284—7—1 on account of Moturpha Remission this being deducted the actual payments of the Zemindar under the Estimate amount to Rupees 3,32,563—14—11 only leaving a Balance of Rupees 14,5543—11—1 and allowing credit for Rupees 16,000 supposed loss on account of Mangany produce there is still a short payment of Rupees 1,29,543—11—1.

2. The Demand for Fusly 1,250 according to the Estimate received from the Proprietor is Rupees 5,35,107—10—" as above stated. This is composed of Rupees 4,25,892—8—1 on account of the current year and Rupees 109,215—1—11 arrears. The current Demand and Collection is the test by which the improvement of the Estate is to be tried. In the Fusly under consideration the Demand as

per

Fort St. George 21th October 1841-

per Estimate on Dry lands in the Wajsereddy Estate was Rupees 38,464-

Peishcush 54,737-7-8 — 1 — or 71 — 1 - per Cent on the Peishcush.

In the Mulrauze Estate the Demand on Dry lands was Rupees 2,66,157 — 15 — 7 -

Peishcush 338,924-7- or 78 — 8 per Cent on the Peishcush including Wet lands the Demand as per Estimate in the Wajsereddy Estate was Rupees 4,25,892 — 8 — 1 — or 98 — 12 per Cent on the Peishcush and in the Mulrauze Estate the Demand on both Dry and Wet Lands was Rupees 2,90,659 — 3 - or 85 — 12 per Cent on the Peishcush-

It must be considered that the Estimate of Ramanadha Bauboo are under the actual outturn of the year, for the Revenue from wet lands is Estimated at Rupees 41,428 — " — " from which Rupees 16,000 being deducted on account of loss from deficiency of rain, there is a remainder of Rupees 25,428 — The Demand for wet lands for Fusly 1,250 - in the Mulrauze Estate is

Rupees

Fort St. George 21th October 1841-

+ Nearly the whole of this is actual-

Rupees 24501 — 3 — 4 — from which Rupees 3,000 on account of loss from failure of rain being deducted there is a Revenue under this head of Rupees 21,501 — 3 — 4 — Thus the amount demand in both Estates on Wet lands is nearly the same, but as the Wajsereddy Estate had a demand under the Ullore Vca Tanks supplied by the Kistnah nearly equal to the whole demand in the Mulrauze Estate, it must be inferred that the Revenue under the important Tanks of Bawsputtah and Moorocondapaud and other reservoirs has not been fully entered in the Estimate-

Collections

3 — The Estimated Collections from all sources including arrears — Tuccavy Vca in the Wajsereddy Estate Amounted to Rupees 5,35,107 — 10 — " the actual payments into the Government Treasury within the Fusly were Rupees 3,37,818 — 6 — " the former is 98 — 15 per Cent

Rs 12,000 only have been paid subsequently-

ow

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

on the Peishcush and the latter is 62-7- per Cent- In the Mulrauze Estate the Collections were Rupees 284851-12-1- and the sum credited within the Fusly on account of Peishcush is Rupees 231718-8 or 84-1- per cent. and 68-6- per Cent respectively on the Peishcush- But the Payments on account of Peishcush from the Vasereddy Estate include the sum of Rupees 62439-10-5- on account of arrears, this being deducted the Centage of Payment will be 50-14 pr. the Vasereddy Estate and 68-6- in the Mulrauze Estate. the Payments in the two Estates on account of the Peishcush for Fusly 1250- having been Rupees 275408-11-7- in the Vasereddy Estate and Rupees 231718-8- in the Mulrauze.-

4 The only inference to be drawn from the foregoing observations is that the managing Proprietor

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Proprietor has collected from his Estate more than he has paid into the Government Treasury- The sum collected by a Zemindar until the effects of over-assessment begin to shew themselves, is larger than is collected by the officers of Government for the former makes the most he can out of the year, to which are to be added Nuzzernanah Extra Collections Viz the latter looks to the future stability of the Revenue and does not trench on the resources of the Ryot under any pretext- This feature of Zemindary Management will be more apparent to the Board when the affairs of the Chilcaloor Pandi Estate are laid before them.-

Having reviewed the Estimates of the managing Proprietor for Fusly 1250- I proceed to consider the actual accounts so far

as

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

as these have rendered. No accounts.—
shewing the actual Dawls of the year
have been received. so that a compa=
=rison between the actual outturn of the
year with the Estimate is impracticable.
The account Current has been received
and this to the 15th May only, looking
to this. it will be seen that Collections
up to the 15th May amounted to Rupees
3,00,025—3—9. the charges inclusive of a
Balance of Cash amounting to Rupees
3941—11—1. were Rupees 4,6512—2—7. and
the sum paid into the Government
Treasury up to that date was Rupees
2,53,513—1—2. Of the charges, up to the
date in question a sum of Rupees 6252—
14—7— is objectionable the particulars
are as follows.—

Wages of Servants	1721—8—"
Subsistence	2793—9—1
Shroffs fees	1185—10—"
Court costs	546—3—6
Refund of Tamarind Produce	5—"—"
	6,252—14—7

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

6— The attention is drawn to
2 items 1st. the excess charges on account
of Management and subsistence and
2dly. costs of Court— The former has
been repeatedly pointed out to Rama=
=nadha Bauboo— The account Current
for Fuslies 1248— and 1249— exhibit a
charge on account of costs of Court—
The sum entered for fusly 1250— is Rupees
546—3—6— but these sums are greatly
within the amount actually cincurred
as the stamps accounts shew that stamps
for Suits in Court to the value of Rupees
6246—12—" were sold to the Zemindar
during Fuslies 1247— 1248— 1249— and 1250—
When Pleaders fees are added to this
as well as sums paid to conciliate
creditors, it will be seen that the
Management of the Estate has drawn
upon the Proprietor many demands
which must have embarrassed him.
This is much to be regretted as—
besides embarrassment to the Proprietor
the Management, setting aside the

Rs a. P
*To Fusly 1248—244—11—11
" 1249—421—5—9

abstraction

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

obstruction of the Collections must be more or less affected. Where the demands of the Creditors have come to my knowledge I have discountenanced them as far as I could but it is not to be expected that creditors would refrain from urging their demands if a prospect of adjustment even in part exists. Referring to the Stamp accounts for the last 4 fusles it is seen that Rupees 624 12 "— have been paid by Ramanadha Bamboo for stamps on account of suits in Court. The costs of Court have been incurred in the Sudder and Provincial Courts as well as in the Nellore and Guntoor Courts The value of stamps purchased on account of suits in the Sudder Adaulut is Rupees 5,576—8— of which Rupees 5,510- were incurred in Fusly 1250.

Fusly 1247	47	"
" 1248	246-8	"
" 1249	144-4	"
" 1250	5,809	"
	6,246-12	"

7— The Wasseredy Estate consists of 333 Villages which according

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

according to the Estimate for Fusly 1250- submitted in October are distributed as follows.-

	No.
Villages held under rent	165
Do. Muctah	62
Do. Share	65
Do. Deserted	4
Aggraharums	35
Villages without arable land	2
	333

8. The actual accounts for Fusly 1250- have not been received as has been already stated, the Dowle and accounts of Collection for Fusly 1249- having been received the results furnished by these will be now considered, the Zemindary consists of 6 Thanas Viz.t Guntoor Tenaudy, Chaybrole, Rajahpettah, Coorapaud & Bauputla separate statements for each Taunah shewing the Dowle for Dry Lands

Names of Thanahs-	No. of Villages
Guntoor	36
Tenauley	26
Chaybrole	51
Bauputlah	35
Rajahpett	76
Pedacoorapad	72
	296-

Fort St. George 21th October 1841.

Lands and Collections both Current and Arrears on account of Fusly 1236- when the Estates was under attachment in comparison with the Dowle and Collections for Fusly 1249- are herewith submitted.-

Guntoor Tanah 36-

9. The Guntoor Tanah consists of 36 Villages- The Dowle for the whole Tanah in Fusly 1236- was Rupees 3,9148 — 6 — and the collections within the Fusly were Rupees 82,02= 13 — " In Fusly 1249- the Dowle was Rupees 22,382 — 2 — 7- and the Current Collections 17,087 — 10 — 4- Guntoor and Nullapaud are among the principal Villages in this Tanah and having the advantage of being near the Chief Market in the Distrcibut was to be expected the Dowle of Fusly 124 would have been a nearer approxi = =mation to the Dowle of Fusly 1236 than is exhibited in the accounts particularly as it is well known

Fort St. George 21th October 1841-

that the lands of these Villages have been fully cultivated- The disparity between the Dowle of Fusly 1249- and the Current Collections is great- This will be found to occur throughout the Estate and shews that a portion of the Collections is not brought to account and does not consequently find its way into the Public Treasury.-

Tenauley Tanah 26-

10- The Dowle for Fusly 1236 in the Tenauley Tanah was Rupees 93,447 — 7 — " of which Rupees 77,968 — 15 — 10- were collected within the Fusly- The Dowle in Fusly 1249- was Rupees 55,609 — 13 — 2 and the Collections Rupees 45,122 — 15 — 9- There is a large Collection of arrears in this Thanah in Fusly 1249- amounting to Rupees 16,820 — 8 — " The Tenauley Thanah is composed of large and prosperous Villages situated near the Kistnah in the Eastern portion of the District and possesses the same advantages as the Rapullay Estate and the Ranchoor portion

Fort St. George 21th October 1841-

portion of the Mulrauze Property-

Chaybrole Shanah 51-

11. The Chaybrole Shanah comprises 51 Villages- These are situated in the Eastern part of the District and share the advantages of the Tenanley Shanah- The Dowle in Fusly 1236- was Rupees 1,05,632-11-6- and the Collections were Rupees 1,57,218-14-2. In Fusly 1249 the Dowle and Collections were respectively Rupees 75,883-11-8- and Rupees 65,791-2-4- a large sum- Rupees 20,288-1-4- is exhibited as Collections in Fusly 1249- on account of arrears-

Bauputtah Shanah 35-

12- The Dowle and Collections respectively in Fusly 1236- in the Bauputtah Shanah were Rupees 8240-2-5- and Rupees 7812-15-9- In Fusly 1249- these were Rupees 6177-1-11- and Rupees 4,269-9-10- In the Tenanley and Chaybrole Shanahs there is a large collec-

Fort St. George 21th October 1841-

on account of arrears- In the Bauputtah Shanah it amounted to Rupees 15,093-9-8- This Shanah is situated partly within the vicinity of the Coast- and includes the List of Chayroot, Temeda &c.- under springs and small Wells dug in the sand where the water is found near the surface.-

Rajahputtah Shanah 76-

13. The Rajahputtah Shanah occupies a central situation in the District like the Polour Shanah of the Mulrauze Estate but is more valuable- Its Dowle and Collections in Fusly 1236- were Rupees 1,79,542-14-9- and Rupees 1,69,203-11-1- In Fusly 1249. these amounted respectively to Rupees 99,235-11-7- and Rupees 89,628-"-2- the Collection on account of arrears was Rupees 5,972-11-10-

Pedacoorapaud Shanah 72-

14. The Pedacoorpaud Shanah comprises 72 Villages- situated in the Western parts of the Guntoor District- The Dowle in Fusly 1236- was Rupees 1,92,110-2-5.- and

Fort St. George 21st October 1841--

and the Collections Rupees 1,66,620-9-10-
In fusly 1249 these were Rupees 46,183--
2-7- and Rupees 42262-7-10- respectively- The Collection on account of
arrears was Rupees 6585-11-10.--
15. The Collection of arrears
although injudicious, is an index of the
condition of the Ryots from whom they
are drawn- Land must have been brought
into cultivation and the returns of
the year favorable otherwise resources
to meet this demand could not
have existed- It will be seen on
reference to the Marginal
Statement that
the collection of
arrears has
been largest
as might have
been expected
in Tenaulay
Chaybrole and
Bauputlah
being

Name of Taluks	Dowle in fusly 1249-	Collections Current	Collections Arrears	Total-
Guntoor	24352-2-7	17084-10-4	4801-15-3	18889-9-7
Tenaulay	58609-13-2	40772-6-9	10820-8"	51018-7-9
Chaybrole	73883-11-8	65791-2-4	24258-1-4	90049-3-8
Bauputlah	67794-11-4	49209-9-15	7993-9-3	57203-3-1
Rajahmundry	92351-11-7	89028-10-2	6042-11-10	95071-10-12"
Edaveyanaud	46883-2-9	42262-11-10	6585-11-10	48848-8-8

P.G.B

Fort St. George 21st October 1841-

being the portion of the Estate similarly situated with the Rapully and Ramchoor Thannahs in which the effects of the late famine and sickness have for the most part passed away- The power of discharging arrears shews a power of meeting the demand of the current Dowle but the current collections as per account are considerably below the Dowle and the Dowle again is quite disproportionate with the Dowle of Fusly 1236 and with what might have been expected considering the improvement that has taken place in the District- The Dowle of Fusly 1249 in the Mulbrauze Estate was 85-4 per Cent of the Cutcheuk and the Collection 81-12 per Centum the Wasteredy

Mulbrauze Estate	Cutcheuk	Centage on Cutcheuk
	338792-4-7	
Dowle in Fusly 1249	Current Collections	Centage on Cutcheuk
2,88,811-12-2	2,58,010-14-4	85-4-"

Wasteredy	Cutcheuk	
	540737-7-4	
Dowle in Fusly 1249	Current Collections	Centage
4,07,278-11-6	3,14,345-8-7	75-5-"

Wasteredy Property the Centage of Dowle and Collections on Cutcheuk is 75-5 and

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

72 - 12 " respectively -

10 In the foregoing review particular Villages in the several Thau-naks have not been pointed out as these details are contained in the Statements herewith submitted - It is sufficient to draw attention to Amaravaty and Dharapuram Cottah the place of residence of the Proprietor where improvements ought to be visible but the accounts do not exhibit this Pro-vision has been extended to dry lands also. Wet lands have been excluded from the Statements as they are cultivated only when there is water and the Revenue depends on this contingency -

17. The Board in Extract from their Proceedings under date 26 August 1841 on the Amaravathy report for fasly 1219 adverts to the circumstances under which the Wuzeedy Estate was sold in 1825 - and the Management again vested

Para 6 of 18

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

made over to the Proprietor in the year 1836 - and express their opinion that the Management should be again assumed by the Officers of Government - Unless I am of opinion that the means already at my disposal enabled me adequately to control the Zemindar's Proceedings - The Zemindar has now had the Management 5 years - I have repeatedly urged him to attend to the prosperity of his Ryots and to the punctual transmission of all Collections - The results are before the Board - I cannot suggest any modification of the present arrangements - whereby a more efficient control over the Zemindar will be maintained - To appoint all his public Officers myself - and to order that all collections shall be sent direct to the Public Treasury and to pay the Establishments myself out of the Collections, has the appearance of being a System of greater check but in practice it would lead to much confusion - As the Management

there

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

there cannot be two parties with co-ordinate powers, it must be in the hands of one individual, either the Collector or the Zemindar. The servants would be required to look both to the Zemindar and to the Collector and as the views of both differ very widely they must follow the one or the other: if they follow the Zemindar the nomination by the Collector is rendered noneffective, if they follow the Collector the Zemindar will not be at a loss to find occasion to embarrass them and thus compel them either to give up their appointments or to fall in with his views. Besides this the arrangement would not be acceptable to the Proprietor.

18. It is desirable that the orders of the Board should be furnished as early as possible in regard to the attachments a general expectation prevails that attachments are to be made and

July 1250 Remittances from the Zemindar being arrears in August 4379 3 11
D° in September 20,632 11 "
25,011 0 3

July 1251
D° in August
D° in September 4,895 6 1
Differences 20,116 " 2

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

and the Collections are likely to be affected if orders are not speedily given.

19. In placing the Management of the Wasseriddy Estates under the Superintendence of the Zemindar the Honorable the Court of Directors were pleased to observe that the measure was unobjectionable "provided the assessments are fixed by the Zemindar on moderate principles so as to enable the Ryots to recover by degrees from the state of depression into which they have been sunk by a succession of unfavorable seasons" and "on this point" they require "the Collector to take measures to satisfy himself from time to time and report" the result to the Board. The reduced amount of the Dowle in each Village

Extract from a General Letter dated 9th May 1838. No 5 received with Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 20th May 1839.

has already been adverted to - It is not to be inferred that this reduction is made for the benefit of the Ryot on the contrary he is a loser by it from the irregularity of the transaction - In some cases a reduced Dowle appears as the Village is given in rent to servants and others whom it is intended to favor in these it is obvious the Ryots obtain no advantage - In some cases the reduced Dowle is the amount for which the Village is rented to the Ryots of the Village - in these no advantage occurs to the Ryots as a considerable Nuzzuranah has been paid in the first instance and the reduced rent is given in consideration of this - The amount rent is exhibited in the Public accounts and the Nuzzuranah enters the Private Coffers of the Proprietor In this the Ryot derives no benefit as the amount of the Nuzzuranah has to be made

made up in the Village - thus the Ryot suffers and the Public revenue is obstructed - If the Village is given in rent to the principal Ryots then the great body of the Ryots have to make good not only the Nuzzuranah but also the profits of the Renters - Complaints against the Management of the Zemindar have been frequent but much assistance has been given to the Ryots by enforcing the provisions of Regulation XXVII of 1802 and Regulation V of 1822 - By this means the excessive demands of the Proprietor have been kept off in many instances and in cases in which the Demand as required by the Regulations has been regularly made by the Proprietor and property attached, the same Regulations have afforded aid to the Ryots where such Demand was found to be unjust -

20. In Fasly 1218 the Commutation Price was fixed by the Zemindar for the eastern Villages

Villages at 9½ Pagodas per Pootty — whereas the selling price at the time of the Harvest was only Pagodas 8. an agreement was taken from the Ryots of the Villages in question for 9½ Pagodas per Pootty but the Jemmandar promised that this should be modified by the Price fixed for Collost, a large and flourishing Village. The Ryots of Collost refused to accede to the high commutation Price fixed by the Jemmandar but the other Villages enjoyed no relaxation of demand in consequence. The Collost Ryots after standing out for some time were at last induced to proceed to Amarawaty. The Jemmandar by means of Peons succeeded in persuading the Principal Ryots of the Village to rent the whole produce of Fasly 1219. but another difficulty now presented itself as the general body refused to have their produce thus taken and themselves made over to the demands of these Renters the general

general body had to be maintained in their rights by the Collector, the principal Ryots had thus isolated themselves from the Collector and the course prescribed by the Regulations they had no intention that the Produce of their own labor should be made to meet the demands of the Jemmandar, though willing enough that the produce of their fellow Ryots should be taken; as they failed in this, it appears that their accounts with the Jemmandar had not been settled yet. The case of an individual Ryot may be here cited to shew the hardship experienced where the Management is directed to Collect every thing that can be obtained from the Ryot. Goodybundy Naura-speddy is an inhabitant of Colle-para. The demand against him in Fasly 1218 was Rupees 80 former arrears besides current demand. He complained against this and after much fruitless correspondence with

+ See Kypeat with Trans-lation of the Curnum of Collost here-with trans-mitted.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

with the Jemindar the case was directed to be investigated by the officers of Government. The whole of Nawapareddy's Produce Viz.t 5 Bottles 7 Pr 5—13—12. had been attached by the Jemindar to meet the demand. On Examination the demand amounting to Rupees 80 on account of arrears was found to be composed of Rupees 11-10-9 balance of Fusly 1242 which had been written off to Profit and loss under the orders of Government dated 7th August 1835. of Rupees 13-4-0 on account of Fusly 1245. of which 3-0-3 was paid at the time and the produce of that year was lost to Narapareddy from a misunderstanding between him and the Ameen. and of Rupees 23-6-9. Balance due by relations Xs of the cumnum which was saddled upon Narapareddy. after this examination the Jemindar and Nawapareddy made terms by which the latter admitted that

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 17th August 1835.—

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

that there was a demand on account of arrears amounting to Rupees 31-5-0 and as the Produce had been restored to him by the Jemindar, he stated, that he was satisfied. This case, it was supposed, had been thus settled, but it was contrived to create a demand against Nawapareddy on account of Fusly 1248. the Commutation Price having been fixed at Rupees 38 per Bottly instead of Rupees 24 and this difference was demanded from Nawapareddy in Fusly 1249. He remonstrated against the demand and his case was referred to the Jemindar on the 26th September 1840. but no answer has been received.—

It is not to be supposed 21.

that the hardship of Nawapareddy's case originated in the first instance with the Jemindar, he is however answerable for the acts of his Servants and more especially for adopting and enforcing that management which requires old Balances to be collected

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

collected and collections to be made to the full amount of the resources of the year - Nauraparedyé case is here cited as illustrating the system of management going on in the Wasereddy Estate, the hardships experienced in general varying in degree one feature remaining the same in all Vizt. that the resources of the year are taxed to the utmost.

22. In conclusion it may be proper to observe that the Proprietor has exceeded the sums fixed for his maintenance and management to the extent of Rupees 11,473-15-11 during the last 3 Fasleis. He has been frequently written to about this and I have again addressed him under this date to transmit the amount to the Public Treasury within one week. There are also objectionable charges to the amount of Rupees 2,020-5-0 under the Head of Hunganah

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Hunganah Establishment, Shroff's fees &c. - The Proprietor has been again required to furnish full explanation regarding these.

23. Since this letter was drafted the account of receipts and charges of the Jemindar to the 11th July has been received - the Jemindar will be called upon to pay the amount of over charges which may appear in that account on Examination from the 15th May to the 11th July.

Guntoor
Collectors Cutcherry
5th October 1841.

/Signed/ J. Goldingham
Collector.

No. 1. To The Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor

Hyfeat delivered in by Polapeddy Lutchmiah Curnum of Colloor

Your honor having ordered me to give in a Hyfeat relative to the

the circumstances passed in the said Village of Colloor from July 1248 beg to state as follows.—

In July 1248 every description of Grain was kyled to the amount of Candies 900 and odd in the said Village.—The kyling took place in the months of Chitrum and Vysakum.—At that time, whereas the price of Grain was Rupees 32 per Candy, the Zemindar fixed the Price for the Umbarum or Sircar's Share at 38 Rupees per Candy, and demanded for the payment of the revenue, but none of the Ryots in the Village consented to pay, consequently he separated a number of Ryots whose produce was kyled, to the amount of 200 Candies, and having decoyed them with a promise that he would make rewards &c. persuaded them to acquiesce to pay at the rate of 38 Rupees per Candy.—He told the

the others to yield in the same manner, but they not having consented, he used every kind of oppression by placing Peons over them, confining their cattle without allowing them to go for water and forage, and preventing the Ryots from getting water from Wells, in consequence of which, all of the said Ryots came and presented Arzees to your Honor upon which Endorsements &c. were made stating that "if demand was made and property was attached on account of revenue not payable, they should make appeal to your Honor, and that with regard to the oppression used by confining Cattle, preventing people from getting water &c. they should present charges to the Heads of Police who would remove it." They according-ly presented charges and thus got rid of that molestation.—Afterwards the price was gradually decreased to a low rate, and they remained silent for

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

for 6 or 7 Months in Month of Courtekanny Fasly 1249 the Zemindar having deputed his relations named Chinnah Atchiah and Cheroomana-millah Ramiah to our Village, they came and having come to the Houses of the Ryots whose produce amounted to Candies 700 and odd, told them that they should all come to Amanavaly and that the Zemindar would bestow on us hand rings &c as presents thus they remained for about 3 months in our Village, and then took with them Goonnady Soobbiah and Sooredavara Lutchemunnah &c 20 persons in number, including Curnums to Amaranutty-where, the Zemindar said to Goonnady Soobbiah and Sooredavara Lutchemunnah, that, the Double of the Village is about Rupees 8,000 for Fasly 1249 if 1,000 Rupees be paid to me as Nuzzeranah I will let it out for rent at 6,000 Rupees leaving to them

1,000

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

1,000 Rupees as profit and desired them to settle with the Ryots by procuring their consent to pay the price of the Grain of 700 Candies and odd at 30 Rupees per Candy on account of Fasly 1248 - He / the Zemindar / having fed them in his Sovarum and presented to them Sheets of broad cloth &c they / the said 2 persons / rented the Village for 6,000 Rupees being an amount less by 2,000 Rupees than the Double of the Fasly 1249 - and having caused Cloths &c to be bestowed as presents on those also who had followed their made them to agree to pay Rupees 1,000 as Nuzzeranah and thus succeeded in making the Ryots of 500 Candies out of 700 Candies and odd which had been undisposed of on account of Fasly 1248 to pay at the rate of 30 Rupees per Candy, at that time the price was 19 Rupees per Candy. Viz the price was thus, they agreed to the

Fort St George 21st October 1841-

the Dowle at 30 Rupees per Candy - as they had been honored by presents - but they paid the revenue to a very small extent only, they have not yet paid fully - After they had settled in this manner the Ryots who had at first agreed to pay at 38 Rupees per Candy not having consented to the same, a settlement was made with them at Rupees 30 per Candy - but they also have not fully paid their revenue even at that rate - The other Ryots of the remaining 200 Candies not having consented to the Settlement made by the said Renters they have not yet been called upon at all for the payment of their revenue -

The affairs between the renters and the Ryots not having been settled for Fusly 1249 - and the renters not having kyled the produce in due time a great loss was sustained in that Fusly, the produce that remained was kyled - The Renters have

J.G.

Fort St George 21st October 1841-

have paid up 6000 Rupees -

For fusly 1250, the Village was under cumsay the produce yielded in that fusly was kyled and they received the revenue, the price being fixed at 20 Rupees per Candy - Be pleased to take this into your sincere consideration 29th September 1841 -

September 30th 1841 (Signed) Polapeddy Lutchmenarsoo

Curnum -

(Signed) J. Goldingham

Collector

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. Goldingham

Collector

Statement -

14067

Fort St. George 21. October 1

No. 1. Statement Comparative Shewing the demand on ry Cultivation rs in fusslies 1236 & 1239 — on dry Culd —

Number	Names of Villages	Dry Demand	Fusly current	1236 Collections Arrears	Comparison of Collections Increase	Decrease	Fusly 12 Collec current	A
1	Guntoor	7485 3 "	7485 3 "	" " "	4 " " "	3936 13 5		11.
2	Nullapaud	9942 3 "	9942 3 "	" " "	2 " " "	3325 3 5	4570 4 "	"
3	Tuckellapaud	2642 1 "	2642 1 "	" " "	" " " "	870 5 1	1291 10 "	2
4	Bontapaud	1185 3 "	1185 3 "	" " "	" " " "	728 3 "	3775 2 6	9.
5	Vamavarapoopaud	169 5 "	169 5 "	" " "	" 53 7 11.	" " "	1096 8 "	4
6	Chaumurroo						6879 4 9.	9.
4	Cosoor						11039 14 4	3.
9	Chullagareca						3422 6 "	
10	Tandavoy						4518 9 10	7
11	Jatapully						369 6 "	-
12	Ranjoopollem						1108 8 "	-
13	Coontoomudlee						819 15 "	-
14	Panpiah pollem						1323 6 "	"
15	Pancalapaud						614 4 "	1.
16	Colloor						520 11 1.	-
17	Mauchavaram						948 8 "	-
18	Munnasultanpollem							
19	Bhojanum							
20	Vencataya pollem	15950 1 "	8304 8	600 8 "	6 " "	3815 12 5.	5000 10 6.	9.
21	Chittlem						3456 8 6.	11
22	Poolechinta						415 " 11	6.
23	Mogalatta						5483 10 "	6.
24	Bhammanapully						935 " "	3.
25	Yellumrauzoo pollem						12358 3 "	7.
26	Comapully						3095 14 6.	6.
27	Goodebunda						2252 1 3.	3.
28	Tirumaje pollem						1455 2 "	"
29	Emmegeyoodeen						800 7 8.	3.
30	Tree poovarum						368 " "	—
31	Poovarum Cottapettah							4
32	Condoor						7769 15 10	
33	Cottapully							
35	Nendoocherlah							
36	Ranzanapollem							
7	Cuntapully			91 3 3.	5 " "	879 1 3		
34	Taulla Charoo	1420 " "	1420 "	" " "	" " "	303 " "		
	Total	354 6 "	354 6	691 11 3	5 53 7 11	13858 6 7		
		39148 6 "	32002 13					

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry 1st October 184 Statement

To Collector

Fort St. George

14

N.

...tivation in the ...dary Villages under Tandy Tanah ...gether with the Collections Current & Arrears in fus. lics 1236 & 1249 -

36 -

...tions.

Fusly 1249 -

Number.	Arrears	Total -	Dry Demand.	Collections Current	Arrears.	Total	Comparison of Demand Increase	Comparison of Demand Decrease	Comparison of Collections Increase	Comparison of Collections Decrease
1	199 1 -	4769 5 -	2796 - 9	2438 5 -	277 4 1	2715 9 1.	- - -	2421 15 3.	- - -	2053 11 11.
2	174 10 -	1466 4 -	1098 11 9.	528 10 -	1258 10 6	1787 4 6.	- - -	614 4 3.	321 - 6.	- - -
3	50 - -	3825 2 6.	2314 7 6.	2089 11 9.	892 3 7	2981 15 4	- - -	1765 8 6	- - -	843 3 2
4	- - -	1096 8 -	766 14 -	751 1 -	16 5 3	767 6 3.	- - -	383 2 -	- - -	329 1 9.
5	1032 5 4	7911 10 1	7023 5 3.	5811 5 3.	176 4 1	5987 9 9.	- - -	5741 9 -	- - -	1924 - 4
6	1154 9 -	12244 7 4	5627 1 6.	5105 2 2	3874 5 -	8979 7 7.	- - -	5699 14 6.	- - -	3264 15 9.
8	212 10 -	3635 - -	2447 3 -	1917 1 9.	103 4 -	2020 5 9	- - -	1156 13 -	- - -	1614 10 3.
9	549 - -	5067 9 10	2076 11 3	1653 11 3.	192 11 -	1846 6 3	- - -	2583 1 7	- - -	3221 3 7
10	43 - -	412 6 -	551 6 6.	443 15 -	277 10 -	721 9 -	- - -	399 6	309 3 -	- - -
11	- - -	1108 8 -	1171 - -	1118 12 4	980 11 -	2099 7 4	- - -	350 - -	990 15 4	- - -
12	154 8 7	974 7 7.	563 4 -	481 8 6	587 14 -	1069 6 6	- - -	276 - 2	94 14 11	- - -
13	95 - 9.	1408 6 9.	1739 14 9	1525 13 7	171 10 -	1694 8 1.	268 14 9.	- - -	289 1 4	- - -
14	90 4 -	704 8 -	650 - -	650 - -	45 10 -	695 10 -	35 12 -	- - -	- - -	8 14 -
15	1 15 -	522 10 1.	165 - -	157 5 2	3 13 1	161 3 -	- - -	358 11 -	- - -	361 7 1.
16	343 11 -	1292 3 -	1172 14 3.	1136 3 9.	305 8 -	1441 12 6	105 3 2	- - -	149 9 6.	- - -
17	335 11 -	5336 5 6.	6058 12 6.	5170 14 -	1747 4 -	6918 2 6.	252 8 3.	- - -	1581 13 -	- - -
18	1081 4 -	4537 12 6.	4328 10 3.	3338 15 6.	1067 14 -	4406 11 9	- - -	1057 4 9.	- - -	131 - 9.
19	111 10 -	526 10 11.	236 4 3.	132 - -	38 3 -	170 3 -	- - -	133 11 9	- - -	356 7 11
20	1107 3 -	6590 13 -	3801 7 9.	2688 11 6.	1178 15 -	3867 10 6.	- - -	2419 12 3.	- - -	2723 2 6.
21	44 4 -	979 4 -	399 14 3.	329 12 4	46 2 -	375 14 6.	- - -	536 1 9.	- - -	603 5 6.
22	203 9 6.	12561 12 6.	6001 - -	4589 5 -	1053 6 -	5642 11 3.	- - -	8560 14 4	- - -	6919 1 3.
23	324 7 7.	3420 6 1.	1636 1 -	808 15 5.	1314 11 -	2123 10 6.	- - -	1771 4 6.	- - -	1296 11 7.
24	145 10 3.	2397 11 6	1456 10 6.	1124 9 3.	534 10 -	1659 4 -	- - -	964 7 7.	- - -	738 7 6.
25	80 9 -	1535 11 -	861 9 6.	758 7 8	508 5 -	1266 12 9.	- - -	874 11 1.	- - -	268 14 3.
26	35 14 8.	836 6 4	396 13 9.	317 15 10.	167 3 -	485 3 4	- - -	692 14 2	- - -	351 3 -
27	2 14 -	370 14 -	269 10 11	54 10 9.	- - -	54 10 9.	- - -	98 5 1.	- - -	316 3 3.
28										
29										
30										
31										
32	7563 11 8	85532 11 6	55609 13 2	45122 15 9	16820 8 -	61943 7 9	662 6 2	38580 - -	3736 9 7.	27325 7 4
33										
35										
36										
7										
34										

...'s Cutcherry 5th October 1841 Errors Excepted

Jr. (Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

21st October 1841 -

14069

Fort St. George

No 3. Statement Comparative Shewing the Increase or Decrease of the Collections on dry Cultivation for Fusly 1236 with the Collections of Fusly 1235.

Number	Names of the Villages		Dry Demand	Current Collections	Arrears	Total	Comparison of Collections Fusly 1236 with Fusly 1235 Increase	Decrease
1.	Chabrole	Renter	7,825 8 u	7,138 13				687 11
2	Naracodoor	Mootah	2,981 u 4	1,980 12	206 u u	7,		1000 u 4
3	Nayanavaram	Do.	371. 15 2	262 11	68 11 4	2(		108 4 2
4	Covelamoody	Renter	2,376 u u	2,154 0	5 2 6.	2		222 u u
5	Cuntumranze Condoor	Do.	1,801 u u	1,296 13	34 10 3.	2,		505 u u
6	Comarepa Proody	Do.	616 u u	616 u	u u u	1,		
7	Vudlamoody	Do.	3,382 4 u	2,495 12	u u u			886 u u
8	Annavarapaud	Do.	405 u u	405 u	u u u	4		
9.	Cottapully	Do.	646 u u	646 u	u u u	6		
10	Tungallamoody	Mootah	366 15 9	366 15	u u u			
11	Peddagandalavaroo	Renter	928 u u	928 u	u u u	3		
12	Panoomoody	Mootah	2,451 7 11.	4731	u u u	9.	585 15 8	
13	Peddacancany	Do.	5,964 15 5	5,886 15	11 4 u	4		78 u 4
14	Ramuttoonepaud	Renter	957 u u	951 u	u u u			6 u u
					u u u	5 8		
					u u u	9.		

Fusly 1239 Collections of Current	Increase	Decrease
5 6	863 9 10	
u u u	896 10 11.	7077 3 u
u u u	972 14 1.	78 14 9
5 8 2 u u u	2 11.	9,044 9 9.
u u u	1,324 12 3.	9,208 u u
u u u	546 13 1.	4,701 u u
u u u	432 8 u u	4,801 u u
u u u	2,082 14 9.	37. 4,270 5 4
u u u	250 12 u u	2,425 u u
u u u	452 10 u u	825 u u
u u u	245 7 9.	5,601 u u
u u u	686 15 u	
u u u	3,531 6 u u	83,974 u
u u u	391 12 u	5 1,320 12 7.

Fort St. George

July 1249

21st October 1841.

	Collections				Comparison of Demand		Comparison of Collections	
	Arrears	Total	Dry Demand	Current	Arrears	Total	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
						612 6 5			687 11 2			251 3 5
		863 9 10	313 10 4	260 6	352 5	4519 5			4288 10 5		2639 6	
	81 8	7158 11	3595 5 7	1626 8 3	2892 12	259 5			531 8 1	128 14 3		
	57 8	130 6 9	304 7 11	81 1	178 4	4849 4 8			4480 2 11		4195 5 1	
		9044 9 9	5001	4688 9 8	160 11	4343 10 11			4116 9		4864 5 1	
		9208	5091 7	4229 6 11	114 4	217 10			1416		1632 5 2	
4	148 15 2	1849 15 2	285	209 2	8 8	3267 8			1525		1533 8	
5		4301	3276	3267 8		1578 12			3141 9 7		2751 9 4	
6		4270 5 4	1197 4	1125 12	393	773 2 8			1651 13 4		1651 13 4	
7		2425	773 2 8	773 2 8		105			720		778 7 6	
8	58 7 6	883 7 6	105	105		1053 12			4746		4547 4	
9		5601	855	852 6	201	346			1368		1298 5 10	
10	11 5 10	1644 5 10	265	265	81	2149 4 11			6033 10 10		6250 8 1	
11						454 4			1189 3 5		866 8 7	
12	29	8399 13	2363 9 2	2059 7 10	89 13							
13		1320 12 7	440	374 4	80							
14												

14071

Fort St. George
Fasly 1239
Collecti

21 - Octob - Comparison of Collections

Number	Names of the Villages		Dry Demand	Current	Arrears	Increase	Decrease	1236 - Collections	Arrears	ease
29	Laymulla	Rented	940	940						
30	Prantoor	Do	1465	1447						
31	Panoomanea	Do	4750 6	4750						
32	Yerraballem	Do	2101	2101						
33	Voondavully	Do	4726	4726						
34	Tandapully	Do	4806	4801						
35	Woopparamangoolam	Do	2270	2270						
36	Boroopollem	Do	2300	2300						
37	Harechendrapooram	Do	3151	3151						
38	Pechecalapollem	Do	2002	2002						
39	Abberanzepollem	Do	2102	2102						
40	Vencatapollem	Do	4923 4	4822						
41	Wooddundarayanepollem	Do	1645	1645						
42	Lingayapollem	Do	3250	3198						

9. 655 7

1215 8 5. 187 184 1 5

4 1935 10 1.

1 5. 889 11 10

7 9 1656 4

7 3268 4 6. 4 6. 589 15 9

3246 12 4 51. 929 14 2

1666 6

9. 1085 45. 11 10. 180 3

9. 941 9 11. 4 6. 4725 6

11 1502 4 8.

957 609 13 8

10 2097 4 975 4

27 13 2 363 1 4 142 1303 3 470 1 11

11 535 3 11

tober 1848 -

rent

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Fusly 1249 -

Number	Total Demand	Dry Collections Current	Dry Collections Arrears	Comparison of Demand Total	Comparison of Demand Increase	Comparison of Demand Decrease	Comparison of Collections Increase	Comparison of Collections Decrease
29								
30	2158 9 5.	910 6 -	673 2 6.	1 5	674 8 "	" "	1234 2 "	" " "
31.	2280 " "	404 15 9.	336 2 2	54 2	390 4 2	" "	1888 " 3	" " "
32	2064 14 6.	506 14 -	458 14 6.	16 "	474 14 9	" "	1538 " 6	" " "
33	13261 15 1.	8297 13 9.	7233 7 11.	3098 9	10332 " 11	" "	5007 2 3	" " "
34	5021 " "	2904 10 3.	2610 7 3.	553 9	8170 " 6	" "	2116 5 9	3149 " 6
35	7. 1910 15 5.	1520 2 5.	1269 15 6.	479 3	6063 12 6	" "	309 13 7	4152 13 1
36	5713 4 6.	978 " "	977 " "	11 4	988 4 "	" "	4745 " "	" " "
37	960 " "	300 1 4	281. 6 5.	64 11	350 2 4	" "	659 14 8	" " "
38								
39	1110 " "	147 " "	134 12 "	"	134 12 "	" "	963 " "	" " "
40	9 15827 1 11.	7883 11 8.	6791 2 4	2028 1	8079 3 8	" "	89748 4 10	8022 3 8
41								80470 1 11
42	Errors Excepted							

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector
Statement

14073

Fort St George 21st October

No 4 Statement Comparative Showing the demand on with the Collections

current and arrears in Fusliee 1236 and 1249-

Number	Names of the Villages		Fusly 1236				Collections				comparison of Collections								Collections			
			Dry Demand		Current		Arrears				Increase		Decrease						Arrears			
1	Amurtaloor	Mucta	3,897	2	3,744		523	5	11	5			765	15	3				08	13		
2	Baubutlah	Do	13,002	15	3	12,493	1,324	12	8	4	1		3,853	12	9				14	22	4	
3	Cauvoor	Rented	2935			2771	330	2					984	14					99			
4	Raujoovoloo	Do	1275			1275			9				864		6				41	14	5	
5	Coochanapoody	Do	3160	4		3160			6	10			1467	15	9				08	6	5	
6	Alloor	Do	720			720	212						929						29			
7	Chundavoloo	Do	4123	1		3998	208	9	4	1			1763	7	4				59		6	
8	Janapavarum	Do	2170			2170							1321	3								
9	Moondradoo	Do	1298	6	9	1277	18	15	3	6	9		662	2					84	14	4	
10	Aumoodalapully	Do	991			991	20	12					421	4					63	1	7	
11	Chinnamuttapoody	Do	710			710							4758						68	13	4	
12	Peddamuttapoody	Do	686			686							4131	2					90	13	11	
13	Adavooladeevy	Do	892	8		892	1	7	4		8		572	3	4				4	25	7	6
14	Peddapulla	Do	601			601	3						329	12					2			

1407

Fort St George

21st October 1841

Fusly 1249

Number	Collections Years	Total	Dry Demand	Collections Current	Collections Arrears	Comparison of Demand Total	Comparison of Demand Increase	Comparison of Demand Decrease	Comparison of Collections Increase	Comparison of Collections Decrease
		1592	1313 5 3	1046 10 10	136 8	1183 3		132 10 9		408 13
1		872 4	431	431	19	450		468		422 4
2		1410	601	601	10	611		799		799
3		733 4 1	526 7	281 13 2	9 8	291 5 8		218 15 8		441 14 5
4		3026	2601	2204 4 6	1129 11	3334		425	308	
5		821	551 9	522 12 2	89 13	612 9 7		269 15 3		208 6 5
		2141	1051	1051	161	1212		1090		929
6		449	191 9 4	178 14 5	11 1	189 15 6		257 6 8		259 6
7		25 917 7	287 7 8	283 14 5	169 11	953 9 8		700 13 4	36	
8		905	377 3	315 12 2	4 5	320 1 8		527 15 9		584 14 4
9		33 905 33	523 9 3	455 2 8	86 15	542 1 8		381 6 9		363 1 7
10						181 2 8		99 3 11		68 13 4
11		250	150 12 1	138 7 6	42 11	1317 3 1		879 12 10		290 13 11
12		92 7	1608 1	1003 3 2	758 10 9	558 5				
13		0	1284 6	634 5 3	378 14 6	378 14 6		651 10 9		905 7 6
14										

14075

Fort St. Georg

Fusly 1239

21st October

Number	Names of the Villages		Dry Demand	Current	comparison of Collections Arrears	Collection Toe	Increase	Decrease	Demand Current Arrears in Fusly 1236	Current
29	Paralah	Rented	1123 " "	1123	" " "	12	522 10 5	" " "		
30	Pedda Ganjam	D:	3071 4 "	3071	" " "	3 "	" " "	103 8 3	2840 " "	9 8
31	Gocurnamatum	D:	284 " "	284	" " "	1 "	" " "	76 1 4	3154 " "	2 "
32	Pragnum	D:	1500 "	1500	" " "	1 "	" " "	536 12 10	825	1 "
33	Parely	D:	1284 " "	1284	133 9 2	11 "	" " "	424 12 10	1011 " 2	11 "
34	Cherkoomulla	D:	561 " "	558	107 7 "	1 "	" " "	72 7 "	1225 14 6	14 6
39	Motoopully and Naidoopully		18555 " "	16179	4159 11 8	20 "	" " "	1224 11 7	7274 11 9	3 9
	Mudda or fire wood Jungle in Nezampatam Sirkar		1172 " "	1172	" " "	6 "	" " "	17 1 7	6815 12 "	2 "
	Soapnuts of Moodradoo &ca Villages		270 " "	207	" " "	1 "	" " "		1052 " "	4 6
	Fields of Jungle, Chayroot of Nezampatam		1012 " "	594	" " "	"	78 2 6	" " "	255 4 6	" "
	Nurapully-Aumany grazing Tax		1 6 "	1	400 12 "	5 "	" " "	69 12 "	1401 " "	4 2
					" " "	1 "	" " "	1 6 "	2945 2 8	7 8
	Total		82440 2 5	78122	7492 6 9	85	2 944 15 7	2219 6 9 "	388 " "	" " "
									1632 " "	4

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry 5th Octo

Errors E

Statement

Fort St. Geo

21st October 1841

Rajahpettah Tanah together with the Collections Current

in dry Cultivation in the Sary Villages und

fuslies 1238 and 1249.

Number	Collections		Dry Demand	Collections	Fasly 124	comparison of Demand		comparison of Collections	
	Arrears	Total		Current	Arrea	Total	Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
29										
	" " "	2840 " "	1275 1 4	1057 3 4	21	1078 6 4	" " "	1561 14 8	" " "	1761 9 8
30	" " "	3154 " "	1529 10 "	1348 2 "	29	1377 14 "	" " "	1624 6 "	" " "	1776 2 "
31	35 1 "	860 1 "	210 " "	210 " "	"	210 " "	" " "	615 " "	" " "	650 1 "
32	177 6 4	1188 6 6	350 10 8	299 11 6	"	299 11 6	" " "	702 5 4	" " "	888 11 "
33	" " "	1225 14 6	751 " "	751 " "	"	751 " "	" " "	567 10 8	" " "	474 11 6
34	" " "	7274 11 9	5601 " "	5594 8 "	"	5594 8 "	" " "	1754 " "	" " "	1680 3 9
36	" " "	6815 12 "	5401 " "	5087 10 "	"	5087 10 "	" " "	1909 " "	" " "	1728 2 "
	" " "	1052 " "	551 " "	478 4 3	17	496 1 6	" " "	501 " "	" " "	555 14 6
	" " "	255 4 6	248 " 7	221 " "	42	263 12 "	" " "	119 15 5	8 7 6	" " "
	" " "	1401 " "	942 15 4	893 11 10	50	943 11 10	" " "	458 " 8	" " "	457 4 2
	" " "	2945 2 8	1701 " "	1562 11 "	"	1562 11 "	" " "	1250 " "	" " "	1382 7 8
	" " "	388 " "	201 " "	180 " "	60	240 " "	" " "	187 " "	" " "	148 " "
	5 7 11	1637 7 11	1001 15 3	879 7 7	"	879 7 7	" " "	630 " 9	" " "	758 " 4

Fort St. Ge... October ... 1236

Number	Names of Villages	Dry Demand	Dry Current	Arrears	Comparison of Collections Increase	Comparison of Collections Decrease	Previously 1236 and current	...ons.
16	Mooroocondapaud	96 8 1	96		120 1 11		7,942 10 16	6 10
17	Vydanah	1,800 " "	1,800 "		1,343 8 "		1,750 " "	4 "
18	Goontoopully						1185 " "	
19	Gungoopully	506 " "	506 "		359 12 "		315 " "	14 "
20	Joolaculloo							
21	Talarlapulla	1,912 " "	1,516	822 4 "	836 14 8		398 " "	12 6
22	Sanumpoody	815 6 3	756	347 11 9	576 8 8		3,010 3 3	98.
23	Vellatoor	2,431 " "	2,385	437 8 11	2,180 7 3		1,641 " "	10 10.
24	Vadoorpaud	576 " "	565	110 15 1	426 7 10		457 3 7	9 1.
25	Tamedadapaud	1,605 " "	1,594		712 4 6		631 2 "	4 "
26	Yananadala							
27	Sonavarappaud	4,805 " "	4,804		2,759 11 1		474 14 1	14 1.
28	Vuddengoontla	218 " "	248		187 " "		502 3 1	15 1.
29	Vootcherlah	1,432 " "	1,394	446 6 "	524 3 6		1,301 " "	34
30	Nayanepollem	892 15 2	841	33 " "	255 5 7		1,205 " "	8 6.
		374 " "	374		220 8 9		2741 " "	3 6 1.
				18 " 9			6102 4 5	59 2

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 21st October 1841.-

Number	Collections Arrears	Collections Total	Dry Demand	Fusly 1249 Collections Current	Collections Arrea	Comparison of Demands. Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Comparison of Collections. Increase.	Decrease.
10	" " "	7942 10 10	6625 " "	5780 4 "	35	5815 4 "	" " "	1326 " "	" " "	2127 6 10
17	" " "	1750 " "	901 " "	885 9 6	3	888 12 "	" " "	849 " "	" " "	861 4 "
18	319 8 "	1504 8 "	610 " "	596 8 "	"	596 8 "	" " "	575 " "	" " "	908 " "
19										
2[illegible]	78 2 "	393 2 "	161 " "	151 4 "	"	151 4 "	" " "	154 " "	" " "	241 14 "
	14 10 "	412 10 "	156 " "	110 13 6	"	110 13 6.	" " "	242 " "	" " "	301 12 6
21										
22	329 6 5	5339 9 8	3005 " "	2771 10 "	9[illegible]	2870 " "	" " "	2365 " "	" " "	2469 9 8.
2[illegible]	123 8 10	1764 8 10	851 " "	821 14 "	85	906 14 "	" " "	790 " "	" " "	857 10 10.
24	41 6	498 13 1	321 " "	306 4 "	"	306 4 "	" " "	192 55	" " "	192 9 1.
	265 8 "	896 10 "	371 " "	330 14 "	3[illegible]	361 6 "	" " "	530 " "	" " "	535 4 "
25										
	92 8 "	367 6 1	382 " "	370 8 "	[illegible]	375 8 "	" " "	109 " "	" " "	191 14 1.
26										
	" " "	502 3 1	311 " "	311 " "	2[illegible]	334 4 "	" " "	213 " 1	" " "	167 15 1.
27										
	" " "	1301 " "	481 " "	469 " "	3[illegible]	502 12 8.	" " "	820 " "	" " "	798 3 4
28										
	" " "	1205 " "	741 " "	720 7 6	"	720 7 6.	" " "	464 " "	" " "	484 8 6.
29	1 8 3	2742 8 3	1241 " "	1169 2 2	"	1169 2 2	" " "	1500 " "	" " "	1573 6 1.
30	" " "	6102 4 5	2159 1	2126 11 3	"	2126 11 3.	" " "	4284 47	" " "	3975 9 2

14079

Fort St. Geo[illegible] 1st October 1[illegible]

Fasl 36.

Collections.

Comparison of Collections 1236.

Numbers	Names of the Villages.	Dry Demand.	Current	Arrears.	Total	Increase	Decrease	Current.
46	Valoor	11,101. " "	11,080	2 6 10.	11,082 14	" "	4,260 " 6.	1,097 " " 7.
47	Coppurroo	4,712. " "	4,702. "	" " "	4,702 "	" "	2,731. 12 11.	3,426. " " "
48	Byseapoorum	712. " "	712. "	" " "	712. "	" "	339. 4 "	3,548 " " 6
49	Cauvoor	4,221. " "	4221. "	" " "	4,221. "	" "	2,581. " 9.	1,122 11 " 3.
50	Toorlapaud	5,804. " "	5,797 14	" " "	5,797. 14 "	" "	3,430. 6 5.	1,853. 2 4
51	Ponoocoopaud	6,601. " "	5,751. 14	57. 15 2	5,809. 13 "	" "	4,024. 14 5.	3,142 10 6
52	Govindapoorum	4411. " "	4,364. 5	" " "	4,364 5 "	" "	2,503. 12 7.	1,997. 6 2
53	Cheroomamella	3,951. " "	3,812. 5	" " "	3,812. 5 "	" "	1,892. 1 3.	1,107. " " 8.
54	Sorlasah	4,021. " "	3,876. 12	" " "	3,876. 12 5.	" "	1,858. 1 10	2,350. " " 6.
55	Suntaravoor	12,500. " "	10,154. 4	2,953. 4 4	13,107. 5 10.	" "	3,551. 7 11.	1,402 " " 5.
56	Vunghepoorum	6,521. " "	5,825. 5	167. 5 8.	5,992. 6 "	" "	4,076. 8 11.	458. 14 " 4
57	Muttagoontah	1,057. 15 5.	912. 7	109. 5 8.	1,021. 13 5.	" "	286. 3 6.	737. 9 6.
58	Chintalapoody	1400. " "	1,083. 4	13. 4 "	1,096. 6	18. "	426. 1 6.	1,513. " " 6.
59	Payalamitta	501. " "	411. "	" " "	411. " "	" "	322 12 3.	811 " " 7.
60	Jalalapoorum	2,941. 15 10.	1,971. 12 "	" " "	1,971. 12 " 10	" "	1,858 12 "	601 " " 4
								169203. 11 1

October

Fort St. George 1st October 1841.

July 1249.

Numbers	Collections. Arrears.	Total.	Dry Demand.	Collections. Current.	Arrears
46	331 " "	1,428. " "	950 " "	752 8 11	105 2
47	349 5 11	3,775 5 11.	2,804 15 6.	2,440 7 9.	6 3
48	" " "	3,548 " "	1,451. 12 9.	1,336 4 "	129 5
49	59 5 2	1,182 " 2	432 " "	257 2 5.	101 7
50	" " "	1,853. 2 4	765. 1 2	191 14 5.	505 2
51	219 5 "	3,361. 15 6	3,203. 7 8	2,307 7 7.	1065. 3
52	" " "	1,997. 6 2	1,751. " "	1,467 12 "	" "
53	" " "	1,107. " "	581. 1 3	464 1 10	18 3
54	" " "	2,350. " "	951. " "	932 13 "	105. "
55	" " 4	1,402 " "	564 8 9.	457. 2 7.	105 7
56	" " "	458. 14 "	172 15 8.	78 6 7.	27. 8
57	" " "	737. 9 6	987. 8 4	692 4 10.	192 1
58	100 " "	1,613. " "	1,148. " "	668 14 6.	283 "
59	" " "	811. " "	663. 6 8	458. 9 6.	732 "
60	1,123. 14 7.	1,123 14 7.	799. 1 "	" " "	" "
	178 1 4	779. 1 4	135. " "	123. " " "	
	9,364 13 2	1,78,568. 8 3.	99,235. 11 7.	89,628. " 2	5,077

1841. Errors Excepted

Comparison of Demands. Comparison of Collections.

Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Increase.	Decrease.
857 10 11.	" "	201 " "	" " "	570 5 1
2,446 11 2	" "	621 " 6	" " "	1,328. 10 9.
1,465. 9 "	" "	2096. 3 3	" " "	2,082 7 "
358. 9 8	" "	968. " "	" " "	823. 6 6
697 1 1.	" "	1,330 14 10	" " "	1,156. 1 3.
3,372 11 3.	53 7 8	" " "	10 11 9	" " "
1,467 12 "	" "	300 " "	" " "	529. 10 2
482 7 4	" "	525. 14 9.	" " "	624 8 8.
1,037. 13 "	" "	1,399. " "	" " "	1,312 3 "
562 10 6.	" "	837 7 3.	" " "	829. 5 6.
105 14 7.	" "	429. 2 3	" " "	352 15 5.
884 6 7	" "	23. 3 "	146 13 1.	" " "
952 2 6.	" "	773. " "	" " "	660. 13 6.
1,191. 14 7.	" "	147. 9 4	380. 14 7.	" " "
" " "	210 2 6	" " "	" " "	1,123. 14 7.
123 " "	" "	466. " "	" " "	656. 1 4
94,700 12 "	585 6 4	80,892 9 6.	667 " 10	84,534 13 1.

(Signed) I. Goldingham.
Collector.

Statement-

14081

Fort St. G.e 1st. October

No. 6. Statement Comparative Shewing the Demand Dry Cultivation and Current and arrears Fusly 1236.
in fusliee 1249.

Numbers	Names of the Villages.	Dry Demand.	Fusly	Collections. Current	Arrears.	Comparison of Collections Increase	Decrease	Current.
1	Pedda Coorapaud	19691. 7 "	16,012		9. " " "	10,938.15 b.		" 3,404. "
				" "				b. 1,129. 4
2	Moonoogodoo	2,200. " "	2,200. "		9. " " "	546. 5 10.		" 1,700. "
				" "				
3	Dedoogoo	4004. " "	4,004. "		" " " "	3,104. b "		" 1,296. 8.
				" "				
4	Causepaud	2004. " "	18,141		1 1. " " "	1,568. 4 "		" 1,296. 8.
				" "				
5	Panty Bunda	6,388. 4 10.	6,154		4 10. " " "	5,470. 15 b.		2 " 586. 12
				" "				
6	Boofsapooram	2,606. 3 2	1,147.	110. "	3 2. " " "	1,020. 9 2		1 " 481. b.
								7.
7	Bheemavarum	1,900. " "	1,899.	" "	" " " "	1,185. 10 3.		7. 301. 1.
				" "				
8	Luckaraujze Gaurlapaud	4,844 " "	3,938.	" "	12 " " " "	3,327. 4 "		" 140. "
				" "				
9	Chintalapoody	1,444 " "	1,444.	" "	" " " "	1,142 12 "		" 180. "
				" "				
10	Balossapaud	3,700. " "	3,700.	" "	3 " " " "	3,185. 2 10.		" 140. "
				" "				
11	Pursa	2,300. " "	1,824.	" "	" " " "	1,375. 10 3.		" 120. "
				" "				
12	Attaloor	5,207. 3 10.	3,022		3 10. " " "	1,856. 13 2.		
				403. 4				11.
13	Kippurla	1,300. " "	1,300.		" " " "	893. 8 "		" 3,659. 9
				" "				
14	Koroovacullo	340. " "	340.		15 b. " "	12 b. 9 b.		" 2,564. 4
				" "				
15	Lumkalakoorapaud	2,500. " "	2,500		" " " "	1833 12 8.		" 320. "
				" "				
								" 840. "

Fort St. Georg[illegible] 1st October 1841.-

Fusly 1249.-

Collections. Arrears. Total.	Dry Demand.	Collection Current.	Arrea	Comparison of Demand. Total.	Increase.	Decrease.	Comparison of Collections. Increase.	Decrease
" " " 3,404 " "	1,387. 4 5.	1,335. 12 5.	290.	1,626 5 1.	" " "	2,016. 11 7.	" " "	1,777 10 11.
5. " " " 1,129 4 5.	427. " "	347. " "	151. "	498 " "	" " "	1,421. 3 6.	" " "	631. 4 5.
" " " " 1,700. " "	608. 6 3.	608. 6 3.	155.	764. 5 "	" " "	1,091. 9 9.	" " "	935. 11 "
" " " " 1,296. 8 "	475. 3. "	458. " "	29. 4	487. 4 "	" " "	1,542. 13. "	" " "	809. 4 "
" " " " 586. 12 "	274. 11 "	268. 4 3.	49. 11	317. 15 "	" " "	312. 1. "	" " "	268 13 "
" " " " 481. 6. "	101. " "	93. 12 "	10. "	103. 12 "	" " "	380. 6. "	" " "	377. 10 "
7. " " " 301. 1 7.	77. 4 "	70. 7 "	4	74. 15 "	" " "	223. 13 7.	" " "	226. 2 7.
" " " " 140. " "	31. " "	24. " "	3.	27. " "	" " "	109. " "	" " "	113. " "
" " " " 180. 8 "	45. 8. "	40. 12. "	6.	46. 12 "	" " "	134. 8 "	" " "	133. 12 "
" " " " 140. " "	16. " "	16. " "	"	16. " "	" " "	124. " "	" " "	124. " "
" " " " 120. " "	15. " "	15. " "	2	17. 8 "	" " "	105. " "	" " "	102. 8 "
11. " " " 3,659 9 11.	1,231. " "	1,231. " "	2	1,233. " "	" " "	7,973. " "	" " "	2,426. 9 11.
" " " " 2,564. 14 "	961. " "	889. 1 "	130.	1,020. " "	" " "	1,039. " "	" " "	1,544. 14 "
" " " " 320. " "	291. " "	291. " "	18	309. 4 "	" " "	29. " "	" " "	10. 12 "
" " " " 840. " "	435. " "	435. " "	"	435 " "	" " "	405. " "	" " "	405 " "

14083

Fort St. Geo[rge] 1st October

Fusly [illegible]

Comparison of Collections.

Numbers	Names of the Villages.	Dry Demand.	Curren[t]	Collectio[n] arrears.	Fusly 1236. Increase.	Decrease.	Fusly 1236. d.	Current.	
31	Paulloor	3,604 " "	3,604.14	2 " "	" " "	2,700.11 3.			8 "
32	Goondavarum	1035. " "	1035. "	" " "	" " "	1,013.12 "		4,720. " "	8 "
33	Chinnavalagapoody	432 " "	432 "	" " "	" " "	265. 9. "		1,764. - "	12 "
34	Punnavarum	1,200 " "	1,200. "	" " "	" " "	1,028. " "		2,204 " "	9 6.
35	Hoofpainnagarum	2,604 " "	2,604. "	" " "	" " "	1,197. 3 "	1 "	2,083.3 "	5 9.
36	Pantapaud	1,604 " "	989.11	87. 4 "	" " "	649. 3. "	2 "	1,930.11 9.	4 6.
37	Ramapoorum	2,730.14 3.	1,957.11	2. " "	" " "	1,169. 145.	1 9	1,226.8 6.	" "
38	Buttooroo	1,360. " "	340. 2	" " "	" " "	1842 6.	5 "	6,303.15 "	14 "
39	Goozzallapoody	1,280. " "	1,280. "	" " "	" " "	1,191. " "	" "	1,204 " "	6 3.
40	Cunnagundla	800. " "	800. "	" " "	" " "	617. 9 11.	12 "	7649.6 5.	8 6.
41	Abbooroo	3,260. " "	2,494	" " "	" " "	1,293.14 "	" "	1,275. " 6.	1 8.
42	Garapaud	1,336. " "	1,232.	" " "	" " "	843.3 1.	" "	4,740.11 8.	7. " 4
43	Punnadegundla	524 " "	450.	" " "	" " "	314. " 8.	" "	2,922 " "	
44	Peisapaud	1,279.11 "	1,279.	" " "	" " "	315. 3 "	6. "	4,764 6 "	1. " 1.
45	Granthasere	880. " "	880	" " "	" " "	587 7 2	2 "	3,268 2 "	14 "
							15 "	885.15 "	215 "

Fort St[illegible]

Firsly 124[illegible]

Numbers	Collections. Arrears.	Totals.	Dry Demands.	Current.	Collec[illegible] arrea[illegible]		Comparison of Demands. Totals.	Increase.	Decrease.	Comparison of Collections Increase.	Decrease.
31	" " "	4720 " "	1457 12 "	1356 " "	87[illegible]		1443 8 "	" " "	3262 4 "	" " "	3276 8 "
32	" " "	1764 " "	309 " "	309 " "	35[illegible]		344 8 "	" " "	1455 " "	" " "	1419 8 "
33	" " "	2204 " "	751 " "	751 " "	53[illegible]		804 4 "	" " "	1453 " "	" " "	1399 12 "
34	" " "	2083 3 "	663 8 "	627 8 3	105[illegible]		732 11 6	" " "	1419 11 "	" " "	1350 7 6
35	" " "	1930 11 9	671 " "	538 6 "	25[illegible]		563 6 "	" " "	1535 12 "	" " "	1367 5 9
36	135 4 "	1361 12 6	224 12 "	141 14 "	12[illegible]		269 8 "	" " "	1881 15 9	" " "	1092 4 6
37	" " "	6303 15 "	2129 " "	2129 " "	264[illegible]		2393 15 "	" " "	4174 15 "	" " "	3910 " "
38	" " "	1204 " "	198 8 "	198 8 "	56[illegible]		255 2 "	" " "	1005 8 "	" " "	948 14 "
39	" " "	7649 6 5	1107 9 6	1092 5 8	196[illegible]		1289 " 2	" " "	6543 2 6	" " "	6360 6 3
40	" " "	1275 " 6	105 8 "	101 8 "	17[illegible]		118 8 "	" " "	1170 8 "	" " "	1156 8 6
41	1 " "	4741 11 8	1088 10 "	1038 10 "	"		1038 10 "	" " "	5435 6 "	" " "	3703 1 8
42	" " "	2920 " "	736 15 3	668 10 9	321[illegible]		989 15 8	" " "	2183 " 9	" " "	1930 " 4
43	" " "	4764 6 "	1155 " "	1141 5 11	2[illegible]		1143 5 11	" " "	3609 6 "	" " "	3621 " 1
44	" " "	3268 2 "	1145 " "	1145 " "	1[illegible]		1146 14 "	" " "	2123 2 "	" " "	2121 4 "
45	" " "	885 15 "	162 " "	162 " "	1[illegible]		163 " "	" " "	723 15 "	" " "	72215 "

11085

Fort St. G[eo]

Fusly

Numbers.	Names of the Villages.	Dry Demands Curr			Collections. Arrears.	Total.	Comparison of Collections. Increase.	Decrease.
61	Roodravaruno	804	"	"	"	804	"	609. 10 "
62	Bollavaruno	841	1	9	"	840	15 3.	638. 13 3.
63	Gurnapoody	1,204	"	"	"	1,102	4 9.	637. 14 9.
64	Comerapoody	4,925.	13	"	"	4,925.	13 "	4,074. 13 "
65	Salehamoor	2,284	"	"	"	2,284	" "	2,066. 9 "
66	Damarapully	2,244	"	"	"	2,244.	" "	1,729. " "
67	Namaleculloo	4,300.	11	11.	"	3,950.	10 6.	3,168. 3 9.
68	Yendrayee	1,020.	"	"	"	987.	12 "	649. 8 "
69	Chinna Muddoor	983.	12	10	"	983.	12 10	922. 5 10.
70	Navooloor	970.	11.	"	"	970.	11. "	604. 15 2
71	Raula	5,604	"	"	"	5,386.	5 1	3,713. 7 5.
72	Pareema	8,804	"	"	2,076 " 7.	8,527.	5	6,555. 15 6.
	Total	192,110	2	5	2,813 4 7.	169,433.	14	120,585 11 9

Guntoor, Collector's Cutcherry 5th Oct

1841. Errors Excep

, in Circulation.

g Register.

14080 (14) Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Register of Permits issued for the exportation of Salt to Calcutta on Vessels in the Nellore and Ongole Districts on account of Calcutta Indent for the year 1840/41 for the Month of Septr. 1841.

Year & Month.	Date.	No. of Permits.	Names of the Vessels.	Names of the Commanders.	Names of the Ship owners.	By whom exported or owners of the salt.	To whom Consigned.	From whence exported.	Quantity of Bengal Mds.
1841 September	5th	1	John Graham.	J. B. Turner.	J. B. Turner.	J. B. Turner.	"	Eskapully	4,100
"	7th	2	Tallentire	P. S. White	P. S. White	P. S. White	"	Do.	8,680
									12,780

Nellore,
Principal Collector's Cutcherry,
16th October 1841.

E. E. P. / Signed / T. V. Stonehouse.
Pl. Coll.r

14080

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Ordered that the following
address be submitted in consequence.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

With reference to
the Collector's letter of the 16th instant from Nellore
= ward by the Board of Revenue to undersigned
for transmission to Bengal the enclosed
Copy of the Register of Permits issued for
the exportation of salt to Calcutta from
the Port of Nellore during the month
of September 1841. Bengal Proceedings
12,780.

2. Adding that to the quantity
left under the Collector's letter above cited
= ed the 1st ult. will exhaust the Bengal
for the year 1840/41 will appear to the Board
Revenue Board Office, Signed P. O. B. Arnold.
Fort St. George 21st Oct. 1841. Read the following Minutes

Secretary

14088

Fort St. Geo.e 1st October 1841

D.o Salt Account current in the Districts of No. Ongole from 1st April to 11th July 1841 of Fusly 1250 &c.

16

		Garce	M	In	M	Garce	In	M
1840 July 11th	To Balance of Quantity remaining in store at the close of last Fusly					5,434		
	To Quantity Manufactured and put into 20 Garce Heaps.							
	In last quarter	9,010	230					
	In this quarter	720						
						9,730		
	To Quantity gained by Measurement in the Salt of 20 Garce Heaps							
	In last quarter			204				
	In this quarter			12				
	To Quantity of Muster Salt							
	In last quarter			152				
	In this quarter			86				
	Total Madras Garce					15,165		

		Garce	M	In	M	Garce	In	M
July 11th	By sales							
	Inland Consumption							
	In last quarter	1,454	379					
	In this quarter	433	20					
						1,887	399	
	Home Consumption							
	In last quarter	1,002	277	2				
	In this quarter	510	310	6				
						1,513	188	
						3,401	187	
	By Exportation to Chittagong							
	In last quarter	68	266	6				
	In this quarter	2,612	395	2				
						2,681	262	
						6,083	449	
	By Wastage occasioned in Fusly 1248 written off as per sanction of Government dated 12th June 1840.							
	In last quarter					193	131	1
						6,276	180	1
	Balance remaining in Store					8,888	357	2
	Total M. Garce					15,165	137	3

N. B. Wastage occasioned in Fusly 1250.

In last quarter - - 94-285-7
In this quarter - - 58-62-5
152,-348-4

D.o

14089

Fort St. George 1st October 1841

Dr. Money account Current of Salt from 1st April 1841 to 30th July 1841. of Fasly 1250 6 Cr.

1841.			C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p
July 11th	To Sales							
	In land Consumption	In last quarter	152,768	11	4			
		In this quarter	45,471	8		198239	12	
	Home Consuption	In last quarter	105,283	9	1			
		In this quarter	53,630	12	6	158,914	5	
		Total at 105 Rupees for Garce				357,154		
	To Exportation to Chittagong at 25 Rs							
	for 100 Bengal Maunds							
		In last Quarter	1887	8				
		In this quarter	71,825			73,712	8	
	To Fractions gained on the retail Sales					4,30806	1	
		In last quarter	145	3	10			
		In this quarter	70	5	5	215	1	
	To Fines &c. levied	In last quarter	17	5	3			
		In this quarter	8	"	"	25	5	
	To Refunds of Manufacturers share	In last quarter				100	11	
	To Recoveries of advances for the manufacture of Salt							
		In last quarter	1067	39				
		In this quarter	114			1182		
	To Extra Charges Refunded	In this quarter				5		
		C. Rupees				4,32,381		

Nellore
Pr. Coll.r Cutcherry
16th October 1841.

C. C. Jr. /Signd/ S. H.

Ordered Three

16-17

1841.			C Rs	a	p	C Rs	a	p
July 11th	By Servants Wages	In last quarter	17,855	6	5			
		In this quarter	8,950	1	7	26,805	8	"
	By Manufacturers share	In last quarter	67,136	12	"			
		In this quarter	5,405	10	"	72,542	6	"
	By Rufsums	In last quarter	759	1	4			
		In this quarter	379	8	8	1138	10	"
	By Sundrewards and Extra charges.							
		In last quarter	627	15	4			
		In this quarter	1067	5	1	1695	4	5
	By Repairs of Platforms							
		In last quarter				422	9	1
	By Shipping charges							
		In last quarter	10,117	12	1			
		In this quarter	5,562	2	4	15,679	14	3
	By Repairs of Cutcherries							
		In last quarter				143	11	9
	By advances for the Manufacture of Salt							
		In this quarter				664	"	"
						1,19,091	15	8
	Net Revenue					3,13,289	8	1
	C. Rupees					4,32,381	7	9

Stonhouse
Pr. Coll.r

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following Statements

Statement

14090

Fort St. George 1st October 1841

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1840/41 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 8th October 1841.

(18)

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1840/41	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already Stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt Manufactured and remaining under estimated Heaps	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5				
		" "	Bm	Bm	Bm	Bm	Bm	
1	Eskapully	14 — 44	130,000	12,780	117,220	117,220	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14 — 39	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	"	
3	Vurnie	14 — 37	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	"	
			150,000	12,780	137,220	137,220	"	

Nellore
Print. Collr's Cutchery
16th October 1841

E. E. P.

Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
Pr. Collr.

Statement

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

14091 (19) Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the zillah of Chingleput up to 12th October 1841.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store ready for exportation on the Beach.	Quantity ready in the Cotaurs	Remarks.
For 1840/41 Bengal Maunds					
3,00,000	23,473	2,76,527	98,739	1,07,415	

Chingleput District .....
Collector's Cutcherry .....
Pullicarnay 16th October 1841.

E. E. F.r / Signed / A. Freese
Collector

Ordered.

14093 Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements

(18-20) Ordered to be sent for Publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Read the following Letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenues
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Collector's (Copy) Letter dated 11th Instant from the Collector of Chingleput (Copy) of Abstract of Salt exported from the Port of Covelong in the Zillah of Chingleput up to the 12th Instant, and to request that you will be pleased to lay the same before the Board of Revenue.

(21) 2. The Abstract are enclosed

being considered acquiescence was decided 4th Dec.r 1810.

+ Ditto Dec.r 1810. into & stated accordingly to a Despatch of the 5th Instant in Consn.r No.r 9 of 1814.

two of which were forwarded to the Late Commrs Prize Box two to Fort Marlborough & Bencoolen

By Command &c

Buktamulchala Raz and Sestaram Raz
and the remaining 2 Shares to Ramach-
-dra Raz uncle of Coomaramal Raz. On
the death of Ramachendra Raz without
issue on the 30th December 1834. his
two shares reverted to Coomaramal
Raz as the original holder of the
Purwanah; agreeably to the corres-
-ence noted in the Margin. She
heirs of the deceased Coomaramal
are now entitled to 4 out of
shares

To Board 14th Octr 1835
From Do 5 Novr

3.

This Shrotrium having
thus divided by a Decree of the
Court, I consider notwithstanding
my opinion that Shrotriums
generally be registered in the name
of

Shares

Veerasingavaz Raz
Venkatapaty Raz 4
Buktavatchala Raz 1
Sestaram Raz 1
6

of the head of the family alone,
leaving it to him to settle with the
other Members of it, that this
should be registered in the joint
names of the parties according
to their respective shares,
leaving it to the eldest Son
of the deceased to manage
the Estate as hitherto, and the
other parties concerned looking
after their own interests.

4. The late Shrotrium-
-dar also enjoyed a Monthly pension
of 50 Pagodas granted by Government
for the reasons assigned in the
correspondence

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

From Board 4th April 1838
To D: 23d June —
To D: 20th July —
From D: 1st Sept. —

correspondence noted in the Margin

but, which, as in the case of other

Poligars, lapsed on the demise of

late Shotriumdar.

Chingleput District
Collectors Cutcherry
Puttecarray 7th October
1841.

Signed A. Freese
Collector

Proceedings thereon

21-22

The arrangement s

gested by Mr. Freese for the Regis

of certain Shares of the Shotriums

Villages of Moray &c. in the

joint names of the sons of the

Coomara Mukaz sums unobje

tionable.-

Read the follow

letters.

To

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

23

From the Pt. Collr. of Salem — 1.

Submitting accounts prepared for subdividing the Pootoor Mootah into five shares & stating that he cannot recommend their adoption as he believes them to have been fabricated for the present purpose.-

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that Rauja Gownden, the Mootahdar of the Pootoor Mootah, having applied some time since to have the Mootah divided into 5 shares for the benefit of himself and 4 brothers, the necessary orders were given, and I now forward the Accounts received for the purpose, as furnished by the Curnums on this occasion, and which are formed agreeably to the Forms laid down by the Board.-

After all these accounts have been completed and reported on by the Talook authorities as correctly drawn out. I regret my inability to recommend for the Boards' adoption the division of the lands according to the documents now furnished, which I believe.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

believe to be fabricated for the present purpose.

3 If the Board will look at the Statement No. 7. Column 5 they will find, that the value of the Division which the present Mootahdar intends for himself as per accounts of Permanent Assessment, was Rupees 4,171-9-7, and by the present valuation is reduced to Rupees 2,660-4-2, a reduction of Rupees 2,536-11-8.

4 All the other proposed divisions show an increased value, except Moosery, where there is a very small reduction. for the large decrease in the first mentioned portion, there is no sufficient reason, and 'tis evident, that the intention is to keep it only if they should be fortunate enough to get the larger portion of assessment placed on the other sub Divisions.

5 The Accounts furnished forming the sub Division Accounts, are not such as can be trusted, the Curnums

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

do not appear to have done the duties of the Villages or regularly taken the Accounts, but to have received them from the Mootahdar when the necessity of dividing the Mootah took place, the accounts are all newly written, and evidently not the Original Village duftur. Herewith forward deposition of two of the Curnums, and as the Servants of the Cutcherry mention that on similar accounts all sub Divisions have been hetherto made, I would strongly recommend the Board to withhold its sanction, in order that the Land holders may be made sensible of the necessity of allowing the Village Curnums to do the duties of the Village, and that the regular accounts may at all times be forthcoming when required.

Salem Zillah, Office of the Pt. Collector's Cutcherry, 29th September 1841.

(Signed) J. D. Gleig.
Pt. Collector.

Statement.

...er 1861-

... the Zillah of Salem.-

...ah Garden land irrigated by water.

	Turm.			Deduct cowle remission. Decrease.			Per Cent.			Net Settlement.		
	12			13			14			15		
"	698.	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	698	2	"
2	163.	9	8.	"	"	"	"	"	"	163	9	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3.	861.	11	8.	"	"	"	"	"	"	861	11	8
9.	662	"	3.	"	"	"	"	"	"	662	"	3.
"	753.	14	1.	145.	7	9.	19	4	9.	608.	6	4
8.	709.	14	"	146.	13	7.	20	11	"	563.	"	5.
3.	681.	8	"	147.	10	9.	21	10	8.	533.	13	3.
9.	584	2	11	134.	6	8.	23	"	2.	449.	12	3.
2	604	7	7	144.	6	8.	23	14	4.	460.	"	11.
2.	651	9	5	144.	6	8.	22	2	8.	507.	2	9.
3	3.	680	"	7.	135.	7	7.	19	14	10.	544.	9	"
3	3.	680	"	7.	141.	11	"	20	13	5.	538.	5	7.
6	9.	663	4	11.	155.	7	11.	23	7	1.	507.	13	"
8	"	698	2	"	141	"	6.	20	3	2.	557.	1	6.
6	1.	6,707.	"	1.	1436	15	1.	21	7	"	5,270.	1	"
6	1.	670.	11	2	143.	11	1.	21	7	"	527	"	1
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

14100

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Nunjah land irrigated by Tanks.

Extent Land	average rate ₹ acre.	Turm.	Deduct Cowle remission Decrease.	₹ Cent.	Net Settlement.	Extent of Land.	Turm.	Deduct Cowle remission Decrease.	₹ Cent.	Net Settlement.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
163 18 1	5 4 2	858. 15 2	" " "	" " "	858 15 2	2,056 7 2	3,885. 4 4	" " "	" " "	3,885. 4 4
141 30 14.	5 7 1	775. 10 9.	" " "	" " "	775. 10 9.	781. 21 10.	1,743. 9 7.	" " "	" " "	1,743 9 7.
178 39 1.	5 9 11	960. 1 5.	" " "	" " "	960. 1 5.	657. 24 6.	1,539. 11 5.	" " "	" " "	1,539. 11 5.
484. 8 "	5 5 3.	2,594 11 4	" " "	" " "	2,594 11	3,495 13 2	7,218 9 4	" " "	" " "	7,218 9 4
292.29 11.	5 1 7	1,494 " 3.	" " "	" " "	1,494 "	2,358. 10 9.	4,652. 7 7.	" " "	" " "	4,652 7 7.
190. 10 7.	5 3 8					2,036 33 4	4,060 12 "	820. " 3.	20 3 2.	3240. 11 9.
186 20 7.	5 3 8	995. 4 2.	209. 3 10	20 5 1.	786 "	1977. 35 10.	3,914 15 "	818. 10 8	20 14 7.	3096 4 4
184. 10 6.	5 3 8	975. 2 4	192. 5 5.	19 11 8.	782. 12	1932 25 15.	3,799. 15 9	828. 2 "	21 12 8.	2,971. 13 9.
144. 33 5.	5 2 9	963. 12 10.	187. 15 11.	19. 9. 10.	776. 10	1556. 21 7.	3,036 4 4	556. 12 9.	18 5 5.	2,479. 7 7.
140. 14 13.	5 2 7	749. 5 "	114. 10 3.	15. 4 9.	634. 12	1595. 36 11.	3114 3 4	587. 5 5.	18 13 9.	2,526. 13 11.
159. 27 10.	5 4 3	724 3 2	113. 11 "	15. 11 2	610. 12	1707. 12 15	3,347. 7 "	529. 3 10.	15 12 11.	2,818 3 2
133. 5 15.	5 3 4	840 7 "	96. 10 10.	11 8 "	743. 12	1644 1 5.	3,156. 3 8.	541. 9 3.	17 2 7.	2,614 10 5.
123. 17 10.	5 2 2	693. 10 3	105. 10 9.	15. 3 9.	587. 12	1655 11 14	3,133. " 9.	490. 12 11.	15 10 8.	2642 3 10.
163. 18 1.	5 4 2	641. 8 9.	75. 6 7.	11 12 1	566. 12	1941. 10 15	3,657. 7 7.	841. 6 "	23 " 1.	2,816. 1 7.
163. 18 1.	5 4 2	858. 15 2.	173. 7 2	20. 3 1.	685. 12	2,056 7 2	3,885. 4 4	740. 13 7.	19 1 1.	3,144 6 9.
1589.16 11.	5 3 5	8,301. 3 10.	1,380. 13 5.	16. 10 2	6920. 12	18103. 37 2.	35,105. 9 9.	6,754. 12 8.	19 3 10.	28,350. 13 1.
158.37 11.	5 3 5	830. 2 "	138. 1 4.	16 10 2	692 "	1810. 15 11.	3,510 8 11	675. 7 7.	19 3 10.	2,835. 1 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

14101

Fort St. George

21st October

Nagdey at or Money rent.

Jodigy	Tax on Trees Yea	Total.	Total land and money Rent.	Deduct pay of Village Servants.	Net Balance
27	28	29	30	31	32
12 9 9.	135 8 4.	148 2 1.	4,033. 6 5.	295 8 "	3737. 13 6.
" " "	" " "	" " "	1743. 9 7.	" " "	1743. 9 7.
" " "	" " "	" " "	1589. 11 5.	" " "	1589 11 5.
12 9 9.	135 8 4.	148 2 1.	7,366. 11 5.	295 8 "	7,071 2 6
12 9 9.	220 5 9.	232. 15 6.	4,785. 7 1.	295 8 "	1857. 5 2
					2,689. 12 11.
					3,022. 6 1.
					3,344 4 6.
					3,771. 12 9.
					3,887. 13 3.
					3,766. 15 "
					3,839. 13 6.
					4,514 6 5.
12 9 9.	156 13 8.	169. 7 5.	3,410. 3 2.	295 8 "	30,694. 9 7.
12 9 9	152 6 8.	165. " 5.	3,261. 4 9.	295 8 "	3110. 8 2.
12 9 9	144 13 4	157 7 1.	3129. 4 10	295 8 "	4514. 6 5.
12 9 9	139. 13 11.	152. 7 8	2,631. 15 3.	295 8 "	4589. 14 2.
12 9 9	140. 6 11.	152. 15 8.	2,679. 13 7.	295 8 "	12514 12 9.
12 9 9	141. 4 5.	153. 14 2.	2,972. 1 4	295 8 "	4171. 9 7.
12 9 9	134 8 2.	147. 1 11.	2,761. 12 4	295 8 "	4600 2 "
12 9 9	134 8 2	147. 1 11.	2,789. 5 9.	295 8 "	3114 10 3.
12 9 9	135. 10 4.	148. 1 1	2,964. 5 8.	295 8 "	2965. 11 10.
12 9 9	135. 8 4.	148. 2 1.	3,292. 8 10	295 8 "	2833. 11 11.
126 16.	1415. 12 11.	1541. 14 5.	29892 11 6	2955 9 "	2336 6 4.
12 9 9.	141. 9 3	154. 3 4	2989. 4 11	295. 8 "	2384 4 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2676. 8 5.
					2466. 3 5.
					2493. 12 11
					2668 12. 9.
					2996. 15 11
					2693 2. 11
					2693 11 5.
					" " "

Repair of Tanks Yea

6	7	8
" " "	2	748. 3 6.
" " "	"	" "
" " "	60	557. 16.
" " "	62.	1305 5 "

ation are as follows.

Estimate Number	Total. Amount	Bariz.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

reddy in the Poottoor Mootah in the Triche[illegible] Talook in the Zillah of Salem.

Poonjah land.

Poonjah garden land.

Jod	Turrm.	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease	Per Cent.	Net Settlement.	Extent of land.	Average rate per acre.	Turrm.	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease.	Per Cent.	Net Settlement
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
2	1785 13 4	"	"	"	"	6 4 10	876 4 2	"	"	876 4 2
1	448 11 2	"	"	1785 13 4	139 1	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "
"	" " "	"	"	448 11 2	" "	" " "	" " "	"	"	" " "
"	2234 8 6	"	"	2234 8 6	" "	6 4 10	876 4 2	"	"	876 4 2
"										
1										

Detailed accounts for these years are not to be found.

| | | | | | | | | | |

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Nunjah land irrigated by Tanks.

Extent of land.	Average rate per acre.	Jumm.	Deduct Cowle remission. Decrease.	Per Cent	Net Settlement.	Extent of land.	Jumm.	Total Land Deduct Cowle Remission. Decrease.	Per Cent	Net Settlement
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
54 19 1	6 3 7.	339 1 9.	" " "	" " "	339 1 9.	1444 20 3.	3,001 3 3.	" " "	" " "	3,001. 3 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	295. 4 8.	448 11 2.	" " "	" " "	448. 11 2.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
54 19 1.	6 3 7.	339 1 9.	" " "	" " "	339 1 9.	1739. 24 11.	3,449 14 5.	" " "	" " "	3,449. 14 5.
26 1 12.	6 3 6.	161 15 3.	" " "	" " "	161 15 3.	953.29 "	1,818 2 10.	" " "	" " "	1,818. 2 10
54. 19 1.	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,483 17 6.	3057. 4 4	345. 9 4.	11 4 10.	2,711 11 "
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,452 22 8.	2,987. 14 6.	328. 1 3.	10 15 8.	2,659. 13 3.
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,461. 11 13.	3,012 15 6.	345. 6 11.	11. 7 5.	2,667. 8 7.
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,461. 11 13.	3,012 15 6.	416. 15 7.	13 13 5.	2,595. 15 11.
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,405 21 9.	2,909. 3 10	333 12 1.	11. 7 7.	2,575. 7 9.
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,479. 38 13.	3,046 11 5.	346. 11 9.	11. 6 1.	1,699. 15 8
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,444 20 3.	3,001. 3 3.	354. 14 3.	11. 3 2	2,646. 5 "
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,444. 20 3.	3,001. 3 3.	515. 6 4	17. 2 9.	2,485. 12 11.
54 19 1	6 3 7	339 1 9	8 7 7	2 8	330 10 2	1,444 20 3.	3,001. 3 3	486. 6 4.	16 3 3.	2,514. 12 11.
544 30 10.	6 3 7	3391. 1 6	84 11 10.	2 8	3306 5 8.	14,527. 4 10.	30031. 14 1.	3789. 9 10.	12 9 11	26,242 4 3.
54. 19 1.	6 3 7	339 1 9.	8 7 7.	2 8	330 10 2	1,452. 28 7	3003. 3 "	378. 15 4	12 9 11	2,624 3 8.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

14104

Fort St. George 21st October 1

Nagdeyat or Money Rent

Godigy	Tase on Trees &c	Total.	Total land and Money Rent	Deduct pay of Village Servants	Net Balance	Remarks
27	28	29	30	31	32	
" " "	44 1 10	44 1 10	3045. 8 1	475 7	28,869 13 11	wet cultivation are as follows.
" " "	" " "	" " "	448. 11 2	" "	4488 11 2	
" " "	44 1 10	44 1 10	3494. " 3	475 7	3,318 9 1	
" " "	97 14 "	97 14 "	1916 " 10	175 7	1,776 10 6	
					1,986. 9 2	
					1,228. 12 7	
					1,181. 1 10	
					1,374 9 11	
					1,460. 7 5	
					1,564 11 "	
					1,658. 12 1	
					1,834 11 5	
					14,066. 5 11	
					1,562 14 11	
					1,834 11 5	
					1,740. 9 8	
					5,138. 4 4	
					1,712. 12 "	
					1,642 5 6	
					2,601. 14 5	
					2,544. 6 8	
					2,548. 7 10	
					2,471. 4 10	
					2,449 12 8	
					2,574 6 9	
					2,516. 3 8	
					2,353. 11 7	
					2,383. 7 7	
					2,553 7 11	
					24,997. 3 11	
					2,499. 11 7	

Repair.	Estimate	No. of Tanks.	Amount of Repair	Total Amount of Bariz
				5 6 7
" " " " "		1	330 10 2	
" " " " " " " " "				
" " " " "		63	837. 7 "	
" " " " "		64	1168 12	

Signed J. D. Gleig.

Principal Collector

Statement

Harad		
rage		
te per		
re.		t.
5		
	2 11.	3
	4 7	3.
	" "	4
	3 "	6.
	3 3	3 1.
	3 "	0 5.
	3 "	0 5.
	2 10	0 5.
	3 4	0 5.
	3 3.	1 "
	3 4	3 8.
	3 3.	1 8.
	3 "	1 4
	2 11.	2.
	3 1.	1 7.
	3 1	1 4
	" "	"

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Varadalumputty in the Poottoor Mootah in the Trichengode Talook in the Zillah of Salem.

Average rate per acre.	Poonjah Land Jumma	Deduct Cowle remission. Decrease.	Deduct Cowle remission. Per Cent.	Net Settlement.	Extent of land.	Average rate per acre.	Garden land irrigated by Water. Jumma	Cowle Remission Decrease.	Cowle Remission Per Cent.	Net Settlement.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
2 11	2,082 12 10.	" " "	" " "	2,082 12 10	152 12 4	5 8 11	846 3 3.	" " "	" " "	846. 3 3
4 7	120 8 2.	" " "	" " "	120 8 2.	15 15 8	7 3 3.	111 6 3.	" " "	" " "	111 6 3.
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3 "	2,203. 5 "	" " "	" " "	2,203 5 "	167 30 12	5 11 4	957 9 6.	" " "	" " "	957. 9 6.

Detailed account for these years are not to be found.

| | | |

14106

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Extent of land.	Average rate per acre.	Sum.	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease	Deduct Cowle Remission Per Cent	Net Settlement	Extent of land	Sum	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease	Deduct Cowle Remission Per Cent	Net Settlement
		Nunjah land irrigated by Tank				Total land				
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,916 26 5	2,929 " 1	" " "	" " "	2,929 " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	109 7 13	231 14 5	" " "	" " "	231 14 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	2,025 34 2	3,160 14 6	" " "	" " "	3,160 14 6
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	2,005 11 9	3204 9 "	435 12 1	13 9 7	2,768 12 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,950 13 2	3,108 9 1	490 1 6	15 12 3	2,618 7 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,950 13 2	3,108 9 1	470 11 6	15 2 3	2,637 13 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,921 1 11	3051 13 3	581 12 1	19 1 "	2,470 1 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,957 32 11	3157 " "	650 7 10	20 9 8	2,506 8 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,936 34 4	3087 15 2	607 15 3	19 11 "	2,479 15 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,978 16 4	3136 2 6	583 11 11	18 9 10	2,552 6 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,946 33 1	2971 11 9	495 1 "	16 10 6	2,476 10 9
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,937 13 14	2975 2 6	375 " 6	12 9 8	2,600 2 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,916 26 5	2,929 " 1	279 8 5	9 8 8	2,649 7 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	19,500 35 15	30,730 8 5	4,970 2 1	16 2 10	25,760 6 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	1,950 3 9	3073 " 10	497 " 3	16 2 10	2,576 " 8

14107

Fort St. Georg 21st October

n of Mooney

Nagidyatan Money Rent				Total land and money Rent	Deduct Pay of Village Servants	Net Balance		Extent of Land	Net Assessment
Jodeig	Tax on trees &c	Total							
27	28	29		30	31	32		4	15
11 " 7	57 5 8	68 6 3		2997 6 4	150 8 11	2846 13 6		1985 21 14	978 11 3
" " 4	" " 4	" " "		231 11 5	" " "	231 14 5		312 39 15	126 11 1
" " 4	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "		" " "	" " "
11 " 7	57 5 8	68 6 3		3229 4 9	150 8 11	3078 11 11		2298 21 13	1105 6 4

ations are as follows—

	Total No. of Tanks &c	Amount of Bariz
	7	8
	46	755 5 2
	46	755 5 2

| | | | | | | |

1410

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

in the Poottoor Mootah in the Trichengode Talook in the Zillah of Salem

Nag	Poonjah Land							Poonjah Garden						
Sodegere	Average rate per Acre	Turm	Deduct Cawle remission Decrease	per Cent	Net Settlement			Extent of Land	Average rate per Acre	Turm	Deduct Cawle Remission Decrease	per Cent	Net Settlement	
2	5	6	7		8		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
1 3 8		2,441 11 3	" " "	" " "	2,441	11	3	183 10 8	5 5 6	978 11 3	" " "	" " "	978	11 3
1 2 "		352 6 7	" " "	" " "	352	6	7	22 14 12	5 10 8	126 11 1	" " "	" " "	126	11 1
" " "		" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	"
1 3 6		2,794 1 10	" " "	" " "	2,794	1	10	205 25 4	5 6 "	1105 6 4	" " "	" " "	1105	6 4

Detailed Accounts for these years are not to be found

1 3 2		2,198 8 8	" " "	" " "	2,198	8	8							
1 3 7		2,264 6 5	358 13 4	15 13 6	1905	9	1	134 23 12	5 6 1	724 " 6	" " "	" " "	724	" 6
1 3 4		2,215 6 1	350 7 5	15 13 1	1864	14	5							
1 3 9		2,110 11 7	335 1 8	15 14 "	1775	9	11	179 19 12	5 6 8	972 1 7	139 15 5	14 6 4	832	2 2
1 3 8		1831 13 3	298 1 11	16 4 4	1533	11	8	186 8 8	5 7 3	1015 12 3	148 13 5	14 10 5	866	14 10
1 3 7		1953 8 1	293 14 11	15 " 9	1659	9	2	172 27 8	5 7 8	946 9 4	148 13 5	13 11 7	797	11 11
1 3 6		2052 9 11	244 6 4	11 14 6	1808	3	7	150 26 12	5 7 11	827 15 9	118 13 4	14 5 8	709	2 5
1 3 6		2041 2 8	260 12 10	12 12 5	1780	5	11	159 32 1	5 7 7	874 11 9	111 6 2	12 11 9	763	5 7
1 3 8		2,151 " 8	282 3 2	13 1 11	1868	13	6	174 7 2	5 4 2	916 4 3	113 5 3	12 5 11	802	13 "
1 3 7		2,415 12 6	298 14 5	12 6 "	2116	14	1	174 7 2	5 4 2	916 4 3	113 5 3	12 5 11	802	15 "
1 3 8		2,441 11 3	323 14 5	13 4 3	2117	12	10	174 7 2	5 4 2	969 5 1	130 6 1	13 7 3	838	15 "
1 3 7		21,478 2 5	3046 10 5	14 3 "	18431	8	0	187 25 11	5 4 11	963 4 4	168 1 "	17 13 2	775	3 4
1 3 7		2,167 13 1	304 10 8	14 3 "	1863	2	5	176 32 8	5 5 4	978 11 3	222 8 10	22 11 10	756	2 5
								183 10 8	5 5 6	9360 15 10	1415 8 2	15 2 "	7945	7 8
								1739 37 8	5 6 1	9361 7	141 8 10	15 2 "	794	8 9
								173 39 12	5 6 1					

14109

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Nunjid lands irrigated by Tanks

Extent of land	Average rate per Acre	Turm	Deduct Cawle remission Decrease	Deduct Cawle remission Per Cent	Net Settlement	Extent of land	Turm	Total land Deduct Cawle remission Decrease	Total land Deduct Cawle remission Per Cent	Net Settlement
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
135 " 1	3 14 8	607 2 2	" " "	" "	607 2 2	2,323 32 4	4,027 8 8	" " "	" " "	4,027 8 8
151 38 "	3 10 11	559 8 5	" " "	" "	559 8 5	487 12 11	1,038 10 1	" " "	" " "	1,038 10 1
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
306 38 1	3 12 10	1,166 10 7	" " "	" "	1,166 10 7	2,811 5 2	5,066 2 9	" " "	" " "	5,066 2 9
171 19 9	4 13 7	831 11 10	" " "	" "	831 11 10	2,141 22 6	3,754 5 "	" " "	" " "	3,754 5 "
99 23 11	5 4 "	522 10 6	110 14 8	21 3	411 11 10	2,132 16 10	3,759 2 6	609 11 5	16 3 6	3,149 7 1
102 39 8	5 3 6	534 7 2	107 10 2	20 "	429 13 "	2,118 37 2	3,768 9 6	606 15 "	16 1 8	3,161 10 6
130 13 5	3 15 11	601 " 9	89 15 11	14 15 7	511 " 10	2,029 5 2	3,658 5 8	573 15 "	15 11 "	3,084 6 8
136 10 3	3 13 11	527 " 7	84 7 10	16 " 1	442 8 9	1,776 17 15	3,186 13 7	501 7 1	15 11 9	2,685 6 6
129 3 "	3 12 1	484 8 1	84 7 10	17 7 1	400 " 3	1,885 3 14	3,312 11 11	489 12 11	14 12 7	2,822 13 "
136 16 9	3 11 10	509 13 9	84 7 10	16 9 1	425 5 11	1,991 33 1	3,478 11 11	442 3 5	12 11 5	3,036 8 6
135 33 1	3 11 7	506 1 5	84 7 10	16 14 1	423 12 8	1,982 4 2	3,463 8 4	456 6 10	13 2 10	3,007 1 6
137 37 11	3 12 4	520 4 5	82 4 9	15 8 1	429 2 1	2,069 28 6	3,640 10 2	503 11 7	13 13 4	3,136 14 7
155 " 1	3 14 8	604 2 2	91 2 4	17 " 1	503 13 2	2,306 3 10	3,966 3 "	570 4 5	14 6 "	3,395 14 4
153 " 1	3 14 8	604 2 2	103 5 "	19 11 "	487 13 2	2,323 32 4	4,027 8 8	665 12 3	16 8 6	3,361 12 5
1,338 17 2	4 " 10	5,423 3 "	119 5 "	17 10 "	4,465 1 8	20,613 22 5	36,262 5 3	5,420 3 11	14 15 2	30,842 1 4
133 33 12	4 " 10	542 5 1	958 1 4	17 10 "	446 8 2	2,061 22 4	3,626 3 9	542 " 5	14 15 2	3,084 3 4
			95 12 11							

14110

Fort St. George 21st October

Division of Ryal

Nagadeyat or Money Rent

Lodgy	Tax on Trees &c	Total	Total land & money Rent	Deduct Pay of Village Servants	Net Balance	Deduct outstanding Balance	Net Call	Extent of Land	Average rate per Acre
27	28	29	30	31	32	33		4	5
24 9 10	48 5 9	72 15 7	4,100 8 3	230 1 11	3,870 6 4	" " " 3,8		1455 39 9	1 6 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	1038 10 1	" " "	1038 10 1	" " " 10		166 32 4	1 7 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		121 7 5	1 6 4/10
24 9 10	48 5 9	72 15 7	5139 2 4	230 1 11	4,909 " 5	" " " 4,9		1743 39 2	1 3 4
					979 4 5	" " " 9			
					1,431 15 1	" " " 1,4			
					1,719 7 7	" " " 1,7			
					2,182 9 11	" " " 2,1			
					2,455 " 1	" " " 2,4			
					2,624 9 7	" " " 2,6			
					2,749 13 11	" " " 2,7			
					2,888 2 4	" " " 2,8			
					3,425 13 11	" " " 3,4			
					20,456 13 11	" " " 20,			
					2272 15 1	" " " 22,			
					3,425 13 11	" " " 3,4			
24 9 10	106 1 1	130 10 11	3884 15 11	230 1 11	3654 14 "	" " " 3,6			
					2,353 11 1	" " " 9,			
					3,117 11 1	" " " 3,			
					2989 10 "	" " " 2,9			
24 9 10	64 9 7	89 3 5	3238 10 6	230 1 11	3,008 8 7	17 1 3	2,		
24 9 10	63 12 11	88 6 9	3250 1 3	230 1 11	3019 15 5	27 2 10	2,		
24 9 10	57 10 1	82 3 11	3166 10 7	230 1 11	2936 8 7	11 14 11	2,		
24 9 10	44 8 8	69 2 6	2754 9 "	230 1 11	2524 7 1	7 " "	2,		
24 9 10	44 8 11	68 15 9	2,891 11 9	230 1 11	2,661 12 9	" " "	2,		
24 9 10	45 8 "	70 1 10	3106 10 4	230 1 11	2,876 8 3	" " "	2,		
24 9 10	44 " "	68 9 10	3095 11 4	230 1 11	2,865 9 3	" " "	2,		
24 9 10	44 9 6	69 3 4	3206 1 11	230 1 11	2,976 " "	" " "	2,		
24 9 10	50 1 10	74 11 8	3470 10 3	230 1 11	3240 8 4	20 7 3	3,		
246 2 4	507 8 3	753 15 7	31,534 12 "	230 1 11	3,204 10 "	12 " "	3,		
24 9 10	50 12 "	75 5 10	3159 9 2	230 1 11	2929 " 3	95 10 3	29		
						9 9 "	2,		
							2,		

Estimate of Repair 6 — Total No. of Tanks 7 — Amount of Bund 8

" " "	2	487 13 2
" " "	" " "	1,439 35 11
" " "	80	756 2 5
" " "	82	1243 15 7

Gleig

2d Call

Statement

14112

Fort St. Georg[e] 1st October 1841

Nunjah irrigated by Tanks

Extent of Land	Average rate per acre	Turm	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease per cent		Net Settlement	Extent of Land	Total Land Turm	Deduct Cowle Remission Decrease per Cent		Net Settlement
18	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	" " "	" "	41 8 11	1631 27 10	2953 10 6	" " "	" " "	2953 10 6
2 18 14	3 2 "	12 11 "	" " "	" "	12 11 "	169 11 2	253 11 8	" " "	" " "	253 11 8
1 9 11	3 6 "	6 10 9	" " "	" "	6 10 9	122 17 "	174 11 4	" " "	" " "	174 11 4
9 3 7	6 2 1	60 14 8	" " "	" "	60 14 8	1913 15 12	3382 1 6	" " "	" " "	3382 1 6
9 9 "	6 " 9	55 12 5	" " "	" "	33 12 5	1512 31 14	2334 7 3	" " "	" " "	2334 7 3
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1708 25 13	3114 5 "	397 13 11	12 12 5	2716 7 1
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1627 7 15	2991 6 6	396 13 4	13 4 3	2594 9 2
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1627 8 "	2991 6 6	426 13 4	14 4 3	2564 9 2
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1601 32 6	2959 12 7	430 13 4	14 8 11	2528 15 3
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1645 13 6	3021 11 2	444 3 1	14 11 2	2577 8 1
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1621 27 10	2953 10 6	371 " "	12 9 "	2582 10 6
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1621 27 10	2953 10 6	378 " 1	12 12 9	2575 10 5
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1621 27 10	2953 10 6	388 9 3	13 2 6	2565 1 3
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1621 27 10	2953 10 6	411 9 3	13 14 11	2542 1 3
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1621 27 10	2953 10 6	223 11 7	7 9 2	2729 14 11
62 8 12	6 10 10	415 9 2	147 8 10	35 8	268 " 14	16318 25 12	29846 14 3	3869 7 2	12 15 5	25977 7 1
6 8 14	6 10 10	41 8 11	14 12 1	35 8	26 12 10	1631 34 9	2984 11 "	386 15 1	12 15 5	2597 11 11

14113

Fort St. George 21st October

Subdivision of Po

Nagdeyat or Money Rent			Total land and money Rent	Deduct pay of Village servants	Net Balance	Deduct Out Ba							
Jodigy	Tax on Trees per Annum	Total											
27	28	29	30	31	32								
" " "	42 2 5	42 2 5	7995 12 11	138 11	2857 1 2								
" " "	" " "	" " "	253 11 8	" "	253 11 8								
" " "	" " "	" " "	174 11 4	" "	174 11 4								
" " "	42 2 5	42 2 5	3424 3 11	138 11	3285 8 2								
					1307 2 2								
					1694 12 9								
					1378 15 5								
					1676 9 5								
					1893 10 10								
					1962 12 7								
					1899 " 11								
					1964 15 4								
					2279 13 5								
					16257 12 10								
					1886 6 9								
" " "	64 11 6	64 11 6	2402 2 9	138 11	2279 13 5								
					2263 7 "								
					6349 11 2								
					2116 9 1								
					2029 8 11								
" " "	52 4 4	52 4 4	2768 11 5	138 11	2629 13 8								
" " "	50 6 2	50 6 2	2644 15 4	138 11	2506 3 7								
" " "	50 " 2	50 " 2	2614 9 4	138 11	2475 13 7								
" " "	47 14 2	47 14 2	2576 13 5	138 11	2438 1 8								
" " "	47 14 2	47 14 2	2625 6 3	138 11	2486 10 6								
" " "	47 9 2	47 9 2	2630 3 8	138 11	2491 7 11								
" " "	42 2 5	42 2 5	2617 12 10	138 11	2479 1 1								
" " "	41 12 5	41 12 5	2606 13 8	138 11	2468 1 11								
" " "	42 2 5	42 2 5	2584 3 8	138 11	2445 7 11								
" " "	42 2 5	42 2 5	2772 1 4	138 11	2633 3 7								
" " "	464 3 10	464 3 10	26441 10 11	1384 5	25034 5 5								
" " "	46 6 9	46 6 9	2644 2 8	138 11	2505 6 11								

Sheg

Pr Calr

Abstract

| | | | | | | | | | | |

Fort St. Geo. 21st October 1841.

ttoor Mootah in the Trechengode Talook in the Zillah of Salem.

Total Avyacut excluding Cu and Poramboke lands.

Shaigal			Poonjah Laik hunger or Cultivable waste			Already hunger or immemorial waste.			Total.		
average	Assessment		Extent	average	Assessment	Extent	Average	Assessment	Extent	average	Assessment
5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1 5 1	2328 3 2		610 16 8	1 5 1	804 5	478 25 5	1 5 1.	629 10 "	2854.34 6	1 5 1.	3702 2 4.
1 6 10	1785 13 4		295 4 8	1 8 4	448 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	1540. 4 7.	1 7 2	2234. 8 61
1 2 11	2082 12 10		93 2 9 8	1 4 7	120 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	1858 3 9.	1 3 "	2203. 5 "
1 3 8	2441 11 3		312 39 15	1 2 "	352 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	2298.2113.	1 3 5.	2794 1 10.
1 61	2007 2 9		166 32 4	1 7 2	241 "	121 7 5.	1 62	168 " 7.	1743 39 2	1 3 2	2410. 4 "
1 4 9	10645 11 4		1479 2 11	1 5 3	1966 15	599 32 10.	1 6 3.	797. 10 7	10301.235.	1 4 10	13410 5 8

J.G.B.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Total Anjacut exclusive of Inam and Brumboke lands (Contd.)

Shaigah			Poonjah Garden Lack Bunjer			Total			Nunjah Shaigah.		
Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Average.	Assessment.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
126 36 8.	5 8 -	698 2 -	29 14 4	5 9 2	163 9	156 10 12	5 8 3.	861 11 8.	163. 18 1.	5 14 2.	858. 15 2
139 1 3.	6 4 10.	876. 4 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	139. 1 3.	6 4 10.	876 4 2.	54. 19 1.	6 37.	339 1 9.
152 12 4.	5 8 11.	846. 3 3.	15 18 5.	7 3 3.	111 0.	167. 30 9.	5 11 4.	957 9 6.	- - -	- - -	- - -
183. 10 8.	5 5 6.	978 11 3.	22 14 12.	5 10 8.	126 11	205. 25 4.	5 6 -	1105. 6 4.	155. - 1.	3 14 8.	607. 2 2.
159. 19 3.	5 10 -	904 14 10.	- - -	- - -	- - -	159. 19 3.	5 10 10.	904. 14 10.	6. 8 14.	6 10 10.	41. 8 11.
760. 39 10.	5 10 6.	4,304 3 6.	67 7 5.	5 15 8.	401 11	828. 6 15.	5 10 11.	4705. 14 6.	379. 6 1.	4 13 10.	1,840. 12 -

Fort St. George 1st October 1841.

Laik Punjer.			Total Anjacent exclusive of Nunjy / Contra / Anady Punjer			Enam and Perumboke lands / Contra / Total			Total Poonjah, Ponjah Garden and Kunjah Lands. Shaigal.	
Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
141 30 14.	5 7 1.	775. 10 9.	178. 39 1.	5 9 11.	900 15	484 8 4.	5 5 3.	2594 11 4.	2056 7 2	3885. 4 4
151. 38.	3 10 11.	559. 85.				306 38 1	3 12 10.	1166. 10 7.	2323.32 7.	4027 8 8.
2 18 14.	5 2.	12. 11.	1 9 11.	5 6.	800	9 37 7.	6 2 1.	60 14 8.	1021.27 10.	2953. 10 6.
290. 7 12.	4 8 10.	1347.14 2	180. 8 12.	5 6 10	900. 12	855 22 9.	4 13 10.	4167. 6 4.	9302 33 11.	16790. 10 10
								1910. 20 5.	2929. 4 1.	

Fort St. Georg 21st October 1841.

Paik bunjer.		Total Ayacut excluding Total Bonjah, Bonjah garden & Anady bunder.		Inam and Brumboke lands (Cont.) Total Bunjah land (Cont.)		Nuggeyat or Money Rent.		
						Tax on Trees and Moturpha.	Total.	Total Ayacut.
Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Jodigy.		
39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
781. 21 10.	1,743. 9 7.	637. 24 0.	1,589. 11 5.	3,495 13 2	7,218. 9 4.	12 9 9.	135. 8 4.	148 2 1.	7,306 11 5.
295. 4 8.	448. 11 2.	" " "	" " "	1,739 24 11	3,449. 14 5.	" " "	44 1 10.	44 1 10.	3,494 " 3.
109. 7 13.	231. 14 5.	" " "	" " "	2,025 34 1	3,160. 14 6.	11 " 7.	57. 5 8.	68 0 3.	3,229. 4 9.
487. 12 11.	1,038. 10 1.	" " "	" " "	2,811 5 1	5,066 29.	24 9 10.	48. 5 9.	72 15 7.	5,139 24
169. 11 2	253 11 8.	122 17. "	174 11 4.	1,913 15 11	3,382. 10.	" " "	42 2 5.	42 2 5.	3,424 3 11.
1,842 17 12	3,716 8 11.	780. 1 6.	1,784 6 9	11,985. 12 11	22,277. 10 6.	48 4 2.	327. 8 "	375 12 2.	22,653 6 8

Trees and grazing Tax 267. 6 1.
Moturpha — 60. 1 11. 327 8 "

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Estimated value at the Permanent Settlement. | Average Rent of 10 years from Fusly 1240 to 1249. for the proposed Subdivision

Land Revenue
Ponjah.

Average Rent of years from 1202 to 1210, 1210 & 1211	Deduct remission or Mostahdari Profit		Balance.			Cultivators Pyrotta and Peroomba-ing Mota-pha.	Extent	Average Assessment	Deduct Cowle remission		Net Summah-bundy
			Assess-ment.	Add the additional Teerwah of Betel Gardens.	Total Permanent Assessment.				Decrease.	Per Cent.	
49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61
4,171 97	" " "	171.7.7.	4,000 2 "	" " "	4,000 2 "	249	1,526.33 12	1 5 1.	2,009 11 9.	393.11 2	19.9 6.	1,616 " 7.
1,712 12 "	" " "	70. 6. 6.	1,042.5 6.	" " "	1,042.5 6.	157	1,259.25 6.	1 6 9.	1,790.5 5.	305.0 6.	17. 1 "	1,484.14 11.
1,838 4 "	" " "	75.9 8.	1,763.4 8.	" " "	1,763.4 8.	202	1,781.39 "	1 3 1.	2,129. " "	259 2 9.	12 2 9.	1,809 13 3.
3,117 4 6.	" " "	128 3 7.	2,989.10 11	28 " "	3,017.10 11.	222	1,753 28 12	1 3 7.	2,147 13 1.	304.10 8.	14 3 "	1,843 2 5.
2,116 9 1.	" " "	87. " 2	1,029.8 11.	" " "	2,029. 8 11.	134	1,401.34 9.	1 6 1.	2,015. 3 "	161.3 9.	8 " "	1,853 15 3.
12,957 16.	4 1 9/8	532.11 6.	12,425. " "	28. " "	12,453 " "	969.	7,784. 1 7.	1 4 9.	10,092.1 3	1,424.2 10.	14 1 10.	8,607 14 5

11119

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Average Panj of 10 years Fasly 1240. to 1249. for the proposed subdivision /Contd/

Ld Revenue. /Contd/

Poanjah Garden						Nunjah irrigated by Tanks.				
			Deduct Cowle remission		Net Immunah-bundy.				Deduct Cowle remission	
Extent.	Average.	Assessment.	Decrease.	7½ Per Cent.		Extent	Average.	Assessment.	Decrease.	7½ Per Cent
62.	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72
124 24 4.	5 6 1	670 11 2.	43 11 1.	21 7.	827. 4 1.	158. 37 11.	5 35.	830. 2 4.	138. 1 4	16 10 2
138 24 4.	6 4 11.	873. 11 10.	65. 1 3.	4 7.	808. 10 7.	54 19 1.	6 37.	339. 1 9.	8 7 7.	2 8 4.
108 4 9.	5 9 10.	944 4 10.	237. 13 6.	25. 3 1.	706. 3 4.	" " "	" "	" " "	" " "	" " "
173 39 12.	5 6 1.	936. 1 7.	141. 8 10.	15. 2 1.	794. 8 9.	133. 33 12.	4 4 10.	542 5 1.	95. 12 11.	17 10 9.
163 31 2.	5 10 8.	927. 15 1.	210. 15 3.	22 11.	716. 15 10.	6 8 14.	6 10 10.	41. 8 11.	14 12 1.	35. 8 1.
709 3 11	5 10 7.	4352 8 6.	799. 1 11.	18. 1 1.	3553. 6 7.	353. 19 6.	4 15 4	1753. 1 9.	277. 1 4.	14 10 8

11120

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Average Danjy of 10 years Fusly 1240. to 1249. for the proposed subdivisions. /Contd/

Land Revenue /Contd/

Nett Jummabundy.	Extent.	Total. Assessment.	Deduct Cowle Remission. Decrease.	7½ Per Cent.	Net Jummabundy.	Nagdeyat or Money Rent. Jodigy.	Tax on Trees &c.	Total.	Total Land Revenue and Money Rent.	Deduct pay of Village Servants &c. in Fusly 1211.
73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83
692 - 8.	1,810 15 11.	3,510 8 11.	675. 7 7.	19 3 10.	2,835 1 -	12 9 9.	141 9 3	154. 3 -	2,989 4 4	295. 8 11.
330. 10 2.	1,452 28 7.	3,003. 3 -	378. 15 4.	12 9 11.	2,624 3 1	- - -	50 15 1	50. 15 1.	2,675 2 9.	175 7 2
- - -	1,950 3 9.	3,073. - 10.	497. - 3.	16 2 10.	2,576 - 1	11 - 7.	69. 13 7.	80. 14 2	2,650. 14 9.	150. 8 10.
446 8 2.	2,067 22 4	3,020. 39.	542. - 5.	14 15 2.	3,084 3 1	24 9 10.	50 12 -	75. 5 10.	3,159 9 2	230. 1 11.
26 12 10.	1,031 34 9.	2,984. 11 -	386 15 1.	12 15 5.	2,597 11 -	- - -	46. 6 9.	46. 6 9.	2,644 2 8.	138. 11 9.
1,496. 15 10.	8,900. 24 8.	16,197. 11 6.	2,480. 6 8.	15 5 4	13,717 4 4	48 4 2.	359. 8 8.	407 12 10	14,125. 1 8.	990. 0 7.

Examined

274 7 6.
85. 1 2
359. 8 8.

114 121

Fort St. George 1st October 1841.

Particulars for Column 84			Difference between the present value of the estate and its former assessment.		Total Amount after deducting Column 88 in 86.	Add Amount of additional Seerwah of Betel Gardens	Total Permanent Assessment now fixed.
Balance.	Outstanding Balance.	Actual Collection.	Per Cent.	Amount			
84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91
2,093. 11 5.	33. 7 3.	2,060 4 2	4 10 19/32	123 8	2,536 11 8	" " "	2,536. 11 8.
2,499 11 7.	33. 7 "	2,406. 4 7.	4 10 19/32.	114 8	2,351 12 1	" " "	2,351. 12 1
2,506. 5 11.	12 11. "	2,493. 10 11.	4 10 19/32	115 12	2,377 14 2	" " "	2,377 14 2
2,929 7 3.	9 9 "	2919. 14 3.	4 10 19/32.	135 9	2784 4 10.	28. " "	2,812 4 10.
2,505 6 11.	15. 7 7.	2,489. 15 4	4 10 19/32.	115 10	2,374 5 3	" " "	2,374. 5 3.
13,134 11 1.	104. 9 10.	13,030. 1 3.	4 10 19/32	605 1	12,425 " "	28. " "	12,453 " "

Land Revenue — 12,200. 14 8.
Moturpha — 252. 1 4 — 12453 " "

29th September 1841.

C. E. J.

/signed/ J. D. Gleig.
Principal Collector

Statement

11102

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Statement exhibiting the Villages composing the Talook in the Zillah of Salem, together with the Rates and the Sub-divisions of Poattoor in the Trechengode Talook with reference to the average of the last 10 years' Collections.—

Name of the entire Mootah and of the Proprietor or Proprietors with the Permanent Assessment.	Name of the Villages composing the entire Mootah.	Average produce of the several Villages according to the Accounts upon which the Permanent Assessment was calculated	Value of the several Villages according to the average collections of the last 10 years.	Value of the proposed Subdivisions according to the Sums in Col.n 4.	Value of the proposed Subdivisions according to the Sums in Col.n 3.	Permanent Assessment on the Subdivisions recommended by the Principal Collector with reference to Section VII Regulation XXVI of 1802.	Difference per Cent between the present Value of the entire estate and the Permanent Assessment	Difference per Cent between the Actual present Value of the Subdivisions & the Permanent Summa assessed on each.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Entire Mootah Poattoor in the Trechengode Talook. Proprietor Rauja Gowdah. Permanent Pariz Rupees 12,453—4—4	Kudah Poattoor	1,005 11 4	902. 9 2						
	Mazarah Bommunputty	283. 12 2.	289. 10 11						
	Ditto Cottanputty	781. 8 4	438. 6 7						
	Dº Cattoopoleum	335. 8 7	275. 9 5						
	Mouzah Mamutty	859. 12 2	544. 4 1						
	Mazerah Tosty polleum	305. 4 4	213. 4						
	Mouzah Mauvy reddy	1,286. 2							
	Mazerah Pollechepputty	770. 5 7	1,180. 2						
	Mouza Maracalumputty	942. 6 5.	600 6						
	Mazerah Accalamputty	615. 4 7.	1,139. 7						
	Ditto Canacapolleum	706. 13 9	442. 6						
	Ditto Nullepolleum	297. 7 9.	311. 3						
	Mouzah Moodery	219. 4 3.	810. 4						
	Mazerah Pallepputty	1,066. 4 7.	293. 2						
	Dº Allalaprosum.	285. 5 7.	812. 4						
	Dº Yarevyanaputty	793. 6 4	430. 4						
	Dº Sengannaikemputty	440. 6 3.	573. 10						
	Dº Yalanarayanaputty	532 11 9.	752. 7						
	Dº Cottoopoleum	573 15—2.	509. 7						
	Dº Poodoopollum	364. 4 6.	546. 15						
	Dº Ayepolleum	558 15 4.	485. 11						
	Dº Ayecoottapoleam	381. 6 11.	191. 5						
		237. 15 2		4,171 9 7.	2,660 42	2,536. 11 8.	4 10 3 19/32.		
				4,712 12 4	2,466 47.	2,351 12 1.	4 10 3 19/32		
				1,838 14 4	2,493 10 11	2,377. 14 2	4 10 3 19/32		
				3,117 14 6.	2,919. 14 3.	2,784. 4 10. 28. 4 4 / 2,812. 4 10.	4 10 3 19/32		
				2,116 9 1.	2,489. 15 4.	2,374. 5 3.	4 10 3 19/32		
				12,957 11 6.	13,930—1 3.	12,453 4 4	4 10 3 19/32		

29th September 1841.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

Translation

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

No. 8.

Translation of a Deposition taken before the Principal Collector of Salem from Cospana Pillay, son of Dossy Pillay and Curnum of the Village of Pastoor, of the Trichengode Talook, on the 9th September 1841.-

2. In what language will you depose?

A. In Tamil.

2. How many Village Accounts do you have you of the Pastoor Mootah.?

A. I have the Accounts of Pastoor and of the other Villages attached to it.-

2.- When the Mootahs were originally established, Mouzah Pastoor, Bommutty, Columputty, Cautpollium, Mahurtty and Poteepollium formed the Pastoor Mootah, and the average Bariz of which was fixed at Rupees 4,171-9-7. but the Accounts you have now submitted of the Mootah from Fusly 1240 to 1249 Viz.- 10 years average

Bariz

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.-

Bariz of Rupees 2,660-4-2 is mentioned, account for this difference?

A. When the Mootah was formed there was sufficient water in the Tanks and there was besides plenty of rain, the Punjah and Poonjah cultivations were going on regularly, but subsequently, the Tanks getting out of order, there was a decrease in the Punjah Cultivation and there was a decrease in the Poonjah Cultivation also.-

2.- Why were not the Tanks repaired by the Mootadar.?-

A. Slight repairs were made to the Tanks yearly- not thoroughly.-

2.- With the exception of Moosseree there is an increase of Bariz in the other Sub Divisions. why this difference?

A.- In the other divisions, Poonjah lands are extensive and when the Mootahs were formed, waste lands were extensive and the tax light- hence the cause of the increase of Bariz.-

Produce

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Q. Produce the Accounts you have with you.?

A. Here they are.

Q. From what Accounts were these prepared?

A. From the Accounts of the Mootahdar, they are prepared by his own Goomastahs, and when I require them the Accounts of 1 year are given after two or three years elapse.

Q. You say that the Mootahdar prepares these Accounts. when did he give them to you?

A. The Accounts of Fusly 1240 were dictated to me in Fusly 1242. when I wrote them down.

Q. They appear to be Accounts newly prepared not old ones, account for this?

A. These are old Accounts. as the Mootahdar furnishes the Accounts of one year after the elapse of 2 or 3 years, the present Accounts are one of them.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Q. Who looks after the accounts of the Village?

A Myself.

Q you said that the accounts of one year are given after the elapse of 2 or 3 years how then did you perform your duty?

A The collection and other accounts are prepared by the Mootahdar's Goomastahs and when I require them. the account of one year is given after two or three years lapse.

Q How do you know that the accounts of the Mootahdar are correct?

A The accounts are from those given to me by the Mootahdar I have not examined them with the Puttahs of the Ryots. nor are the accounts. I have the original accounts of each year.

Q When were the accounts of Fusslies 1247. 48. & 49 prepared?

A I wrote them down in Fusly 1250 when they were dictated to me about the time I was ordered to come as here for the Subdivision of the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

the Mootah —

/Signed/ Coopana Pillay

Solemnly affirmed before me this
9th September 1841.

(Signed/ I. D. Gleig-

Principal Collector

Further Examination

2 You said that in consequence of the bad state of the Tanks there was a decrease in cultivation state in what particular Village this occurred? —

A. The decrease is in the Village of Pootoor —

2 Who is carrying on Nunjah Cultivation in the said Village? —

A The Ryots are carrying on the cultivation, not the Mootahdar

2 What is the ayacut under the Tank of the said Village? —

A The extent of ayacut under the Tank of the said Village is 18,000 Goontahs of this 6 or 7,000 Goontahs are under cultivation during the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

the year —

2 In what Village is there a decrease? —

A There is a decrease in Nunjah cultivation in the Village of Pootoor, but not in Poonjah — The increase in Nunjah is Rupees 800 In other Villages there is a decrease of 800 Rupees in Poonjah cultivation —

2 State particularly in what Villages there is decrease in Poonjah cultivation? —

A In Bommapatty, a decrease of 90 Rupees and in Cotum: patty 110 Rupees. There is no decrease in Cautpolliem according to this there will be a decrease from the Barig of the Year Doormoothee/Fusly 1211/ Of the Villages of Manutty Cootepolliem, the accounts being kept by another Curnum, the particulars must be ascertained from him. —

2

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

2 Is there no decrease in the said Village of Cauthollien?

A There may be a decrease of 10 Rupees.—

2 Do you state from what is contained in the accounts or from mere guess?—

A What I have now stated is from mere guess but after looking into the accounts of the year Doorsmutty I will be able to state accurately of the actual Increase and Decrease.—

2 Are the accounts you have now shewn written upon guess or have

A I wrote them down according to the accounts of the Mootahdar not in any other way.—

/Signed/ Coopana Pillay

Taken before me this 9th September 1841

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig

Principal Collector

Translation

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Translation of a Deposition taken before the Principal Collector of Salem from Atheeana Pillay son of Coinda: vala Pillay and Curnum of Mauvareddy of the Talook of Trichengode on the 9th September 1841.—

2 In what language will you depose?—

A In Tamil.—

2 How many Village accounts have you of the Pootoor Mootah?—

A I have the accounts of Mauvareddy, Poolachepatty and Poo: doopallium with me.—

2 Why is there an Increase in the Berij of Mauvareddy and Poolachepatty, to what it was when the Mootah was formed?—

A The increase is in consequence of the Mootahdar having built a new Tank and some Wells in Mauvereddy.—

How

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

2 How many Tanks and wells were in the said Village at the time of the Settlement?

A When the Mootah was formed, there was then a Tank, but it was subsequently destroyed and the Mootahdar built a new one besides 5 wells below the bed of the Tank

2 Look into the accounts and let me know the particulars of the ayacut of the said Village? —

A The extent of Ayacut under the Tank is 121¼ Goontahs paying as Teervah of Pagodas 138 ₰ 8 5/16 fs. —

2 State the particulars of the increased Beriz of 51b and odd Rupees in Mauvoor

A There is an Increase of 172 Rupees in consequence of the Mootahdar having built a new Tank. —

2 State why 330 Rupees is continued to be entered in the accounts as Beriz of Nunjah land from Fasly 1248?

A. As the said Nunjah land is

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

is cultivated partly by the Mootahdar and partly by Ryats, the amount of Beriz is continued to be entered in the same way. —

2 You stated that in consequence of a new Tank having been built in the Village of Mauvereddy an increase of 172 Rupees in the Beriz has occurred State particularly as to the cause of the increase of the remaining amount? —

A The increased Beriz of 172 Rupees is in consequence of the new Tank and wells built by the Mootahdar — the remaining increase is on account of the old wells —

2 In the Beriz land of 330 Rupees, what portion is under cultivation? —

A The whole extent is under cultivation —

2 Look into the accounts and state how much of that land is cultivated by means of the Tank and how much by wells? —

A.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

A 120 1/2 Goontahs or 54 — 19 — 1 acres Goontahs cs. of Nunjah land under the Tank is paying a Teeruah of Rupees 339 1-1 in this manner the whole extent is cultivated without any portion being waste.—

2 When were the 10 years accounts now prepared written?—

A The Mootahdar's Goomastahs who keep the cultivation and other accounts generally dictate to me the accounts of one year after the lapse of 2 or 3 years. In this way I wrote the accounts.—

2 Who looks after the accounts of the said Villages?—

A I look after them myself.—

2 Produce the cultivation and Collection accounts?—

A I have only the cultivation accounts which I wrote down from the Mootahdar's accounts. I have not the collection accounts.—

What

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

2 What accounts are those?—

A The cultivation accounts dictated to me by the Mootahdar it is not the original Villages accounts kept by me.—

2 When were the accounts from Fusly 1247 to Fusly 1249 written?—

A On being ordered to come here on account of the subdivision of the Mootah. I asked the Mootahdar for the accounts and wrote down from them in Fusly 1240.—

/Signed/ Attee and Pillay
son of Coimdavalapillay

Taken before me this 9th day of September 1841.—

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Princ. Collector

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Princ. Collector.—

Proceedings thereon.— Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter the Board resolve to withhold their sanction

(23-24)

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

sanction for the subdivision of the Pootoor Mootah according to the accounts now before them—The Principal Collector will intimate to the Mootahdar that his wishes in regard to the subdivision of his property cannot be complied with unless he take measures to furnish the Principal Collector, in strict conformity with the regulations, with accounts such as can be relied upon for the purpose of fairly apportioning the share of the permanent assessment on each division.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Pr. Coll.r of Salem - - -

25

Referring to my letter to the Board dated 16th ultimo, in which I reported that I had forwarded my head writer John Edward Bunkall to the Criminal Court to take his trial on the charge of intercepting my public correspondence I have now the honor to forward for the Board's information copies of correspondence with the Salem Judge subsequent

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

subsequent to the above mentioned person's committal—

From the copy of sentence

2 passed the Board will see that the prisoner has been unconditionally released although from the deposition formerly forwarded with my letter of the 16th ultimo the Board will also see that he acknowledges the crime of keeping back letters which he attributes to carelessness and this plea the Court seem to consider sufficient to relieve him from any responsibility so and that in the continued course of this crime there is no indication of criminal intention I am informed that the witness Wobal Naick called by the Judge deposed to having remonstrated with the prisoner on this carelessness.—

The Judge remarks that

3 his desk contained 120 or 130 records and letters, this does not seem to better his case I examined his desk. the chief records there found were those which ought to have been forwarded. The records forwarded to the Court

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Court were all together in a corner of his desk he also states that no private marks were discovered on the rough copies what has this to do with the case? Is it likely that private marks would be placed on the rough copies to shew that the fair ones had been intercepted? the rough copies are I am sorry to say all correct. two out of the number of letters sent to the Judge are wanting. The Judge remarks the want of collateral evidence when there is direct evidence before the Court the want of collateral seems to me the less to be regretted upon the whole the reasons given by the Judge for his decision appear to me so insufficient that I would beg the Board to request Government to cause the whole proceedings of the case in question to be called up to the higher Courts for their consideration and decision I would particularly solicit attention to the replies of the

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Mufti to the queries of the Court.—

This case the Board as will be aware is one of no small moment I believe it is unprecedented.—Intrusted with the management of an extensive districts accounts and a Treasury of 20 lac of Rupees If the reasons given by the Court are sufficient to exonerate a delinquent from a crime calculated to throw the whole business in disorder to ruin the superior authority under whom he acts and in fact to nullify and make void the Government for what is no crime in one Office can only be no crime in another there must be an end to order. If in such cases we are obliged to be contented with the stultifying declaration of the prisoner that he had no evil intention in the commission of a continued crime and therefore was not criminal but few men would be contented to place themselves in the way of becoming responsible for duties carried on under such restrictive regulations.—

J.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

I have long been aware of an intrigue in progress at Salem but I am not at present prepared to forward any evidence of instigation on the part of any one I proceed to that station tomorrow and should I be able to procure any further information will not fail to bring it to the notice of the Board

Asoon .....
Principal Coll.rs Cutchery
7th October 1841

/Signed/ I.D. Gleig
Principal Collector

To The Principal Collector and Magistrate
in the District of Salem

Sir,

In order to establish the offence of knowingly and wilfully concealing documents relating to any public property charged against the Prisoner in the number nated in the margin, I have the honor request that you will inform me whether you are able to furnish me with any collateral evidence relative to the prisoner having on this or any former occasion furnished copies of the public correspondence to

See: 11 act 37. 1837
Criminal St.
130
1841
Salem Calendar
Prosecutor
Government
Prisoner
Mr. Burkall

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

to third parties whether the rough copies of the documents now transmitted were found in the private desk of the prisoner together with the fair copies, whether these rough copies bore any private marks inducing the suspicion that they were purposely withheld. The guilt of the prisoner in this case depends much upon the collateral evidence and the distinct inferences to be drawn from this as well as upon his general conduct on the occasion. The evidences state that as many as one hundred and fifty papers may be seen loose in the prisoner's drawer or desk at one time and also that he was in a desponding state of mind and had proposed to the other writers to give up his appointments. The papers now produced are stated to have been found loose in the drawer and not to have been put away as if for the studied purpose of concealment.

I should be obliged to you also to make enquiry as to the probability of

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

of any of the other writers in the establishment having instigated the prisoners neglect to dispatch the correspondence under the impression that he would not understand either the heinousness of the offence or the punishment which he was likely to incur.

As the production of incriminating evidence is to be obtained only by the Officer presiding over the Office in which the matter has occurred I have taken the opportunity of corresponding more at length on the occasion than is usual but the peculiar nature of the case seems to call for this communication

Salem, ...
Criminal Court, ...
15th September 1841

/Signed/ W. A. Neave
Criminal Judge

To The Criminal Judge of
Salem

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 15th instant in which you request I will furnish you with evidence of the prisoner Bunkall

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Bunkall having on this or any former occasion furnished Copies of the public correspondence to third parties, and — whether the rough Copies of the documents now transmitted were found in the — private desk of the prisoner together with the fair Copies — whether the rough copies bore any private marks inducing the Suspicion that they were purposely with-held —

In reply to the first of these queries I beg to state that the charge against the prisoner is for intercepting public correspondence, and not for giving Copies to third parties —

These rough Copies were not in the desk with the fair Copies which were almost of them placed together at the left hand Corner —

The Rough Copies — were not inspected by me, nor can I tell whether any private marks may exist —

The prisoner when I saw daily

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

daily was as capable of conducting his duties as any one in my office, tis to be remarked that whilst my abstracts to the Paymaster never reached whilst my letter to him requiring explanation never reached whilst my Complaints to the Superintendent of Pensions never reached whilst my complaints to the Secretary and Chief Secretary of Government never reached my Monthly Accounts current were regularly received and returned. I have also found out several other circumstances since forwarding the prisoner which I reserve for after proceeding. There is no doubt that he has been instigated to this for the purpose I imagine of putting my duties in disorder but he tells in his deposition that he was instigated by no one, I have at present no evidence to bring on this point.

I request you will do me the favor to furnish me with the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

the Court's decision as soon as Con=
=venient.

Salem Zillah,
Ojoor,
Magtes' Office,
23d September 1841.

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig.
Magistrate.

/True Copies./
/Signed/ J. D. Gleig.
Pri. Collr.

To
The Principal Collector in the
District of Salem.

Sir,

I requested in the last para of your letter of the 23d Ultimo, I have the honor to forward to you a copy of my decision in case No. 131 of 1841 of the Criminal Calendar wherein the Government is Prosecutor vers Mr. Bunkall, the late Head writer of the Collectorate of Salem.

/Signed/ W. A. Neave.
Criminal Judge.

Salem Criminal Court, 2d October 1841.

Sentence

Sentence passed in Criminal No 1831 of 1841.

Prosecutor Government } Versus { Prisoner Bunkall.

The prisoner is shewn to have kept in his desk numerous letters on various subjects entrusted to his care for dispatch by the regular course of Tappal - To render the offence one liable to a criminal prosecution and conviction, it must be shewn that he knowingly and wilfully did so intercept the public correspondence, or that under clause 2nd act 36 of 1837. he did knowingly and wilfully conceal a public record relating to some public property, it is shewn that the letters were not concealed in his desk, but lying untied and loose among numerous other papers, that another writer had access to his desk during two days when he was ill, that he was in a despondent state of mind arising from the daily fear of being arrested and imprisoned for debt, that a Warrant had been actually issued

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

against him on that account by the Civil Court, that he had as many as 120 or 130 records and letters together in his possession and under his charge, that no particular or private marks were discovered on the rough Copies indicating his intention to conceal or destroy any of the documents, that the rough and fair Copies were all correct, none of these being found wanting, and finally no collateral evidence was forthcoming in proof of prior misconduct and fraud being attributable to the prisoner. The Court are therefore of opinion that the Criminal charge cannot be sustained and that he has only been guilty of great neglect of duty for which offence he has been punished by dismissal from his situation and he is accordingly released.-

/Signed/ W. A. Neave.
Criminal Judge.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ J. D. Gleig.
Prin. Collector.

21st October 1841.

Proceedings thereon. Upon a full consideration of all the circumstances the Board do not deem it advisable to pursue the course suggested by

(25-26)

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

by the Principal Collector for the purpose of obtaining a revision of the Sentence passed by the Criminal Judge of Salem in the case of J. E. Bunkall, late Head writer in the Principal Collectors' Cutcherry.

The Principal Collector having proceeded criminally against the Head Writer Bunkall, they are of opinion that the Law should take its course but if the Principal Collector be dissatisfied with the decision of the criminal Judge it is competent for him to bring the case before the Court of Circuit.

Read

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pr. Coll.r in the Sn. Cos. of Arcot

Sir

I am returning the Petition

27

No. 1529 of 1841 received with your letter of the 23d September I have the honor to forward

† 5th June 1841

Copy of a letter which Mr. Commissioner sent addressed to the Sub Collector on the subject of the Office of Monegarship therein mentioned.

Trivandy, Collr's Circuit Cutchery 2d October 1841

South Arcot Cutchery

I have &c.
Signed C. H. Hallett
Pr. Collr.

A

To, The Sub Collector of
South Arcot

Sir

With reference to the accompanying Petition bearing my Endorsement and to your Yakeeds to the Cullacoorchy Tahsildar dated 19th January & 19th April last, I have the honor to forward to you

you the following observations.

It appears on reference to the Records that Ramasawmy Oodyan the husband of the two widows Oonamaly Ummal and Illamaloo Ummal the Petitioners was associated in the Monegarship of the Villages of Moodyanoor and Coorcor under order of the Collector in the Fusly year 1832 from which date upto December last when he died without issue he performed the duties jointly with the two other Monegars. His two widows have now adopted a boy Kistnasawmy Oodyan aged 6 years whom they request to be appointed to succeed their late husband the boy's right to succeed to a share in one Village Coorcor has been apparently acknowledged and there seems no good reason why his claim to succeed to a share in the other should not also be recognized as the late Ramasamy Oodyan was clearly one of the Isemdars as is seen on reference to

to the Monigar Faristis and not mettashy as stated by Colundavaloodyan another of the Monigars I request therefore that you will be pleased to cause the name of Kistnasawmyoodyan to be entered in the Farist and Name the boy's father Soobroyaoodyan if competent, as Goomastah to perform his duty until he attains the Majority.

Cuddalore
Dt. Collr's Cutcherry
5th June 1841

/Signed/ J. Dent
Commissioner

Endorsement on Widow's Petition

The Petitioner is referred to the Sub Collector to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of this Petition.

/Signed/ J. D.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ C. H. Hallett
Jr. Collr.

Proceedings thereon.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Original Petition No. 1529 of 1841 and that the same be forwarded for delivery to the Party concerned thro' the

27-28

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

the Acting Collector of South Arcot.

"The Board of Revenue do not see occasion to disturb the arrangement ordered by the Commissioner under date the 5th June 1841 and decline therefore issuing any farther orders in the matter referred to in this Petition."

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Jr. Collr in the Jr. Dn. Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to return

29

Petition No. 1281 of 1841 with Copy of my Sub Collector's explanation on the subject.

Tindevanum, South Arcot Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry 6th October 1841

Signed J. C. K. Hallett Jr. Collr.

A.

To the Acting Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

In returning Petition No. 1281 of 1841 presented to the Board of Revenue by one Runga Padachee one of the Monigars of Sirkoolattee in the Taloot of

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

of Bhavangherry which accompanied your letter of the 21st September, I have the honor to inform you the complainant is not one of the original Meerassydars. In Fusly 1217 the name of Alagapillay appears in the Accounts he alone enjoyed the Meerassy until Fusly 1231 when he died after which the name of his son Chinnatomby Pillay was substituted, he performed the duty until Fusly 1233 in which year Putcheacoottee padyachee father of complainant was added as an Assistant and the name of both were entered in the Accounts in Fusly 1236 — Chinnatomby Pillay died and the name of his son, Allagapillay was entered in his stead in Fusly 1244, Putcheecoottee Padyachee gave Razenamah in favor of his son Rungapadayachee who together with Alagapillay performed the duty up to Fusly 1247 when the grandson of the original Meerassydar presented a petition to Mr. Pycroft that as the petitioner

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Petitioner Rungapadayachie had no Meerassy right to the Monigarship and he alone had prayed the complainant might be dismissed and he alone perform the duty. Mr. Pycroft accordingly enquired into the case and ordered the original Meerassydar to perform the duties since which time Alagapillay has enjoyed the Puttamonigarship. The beriz of the Village being small another Monigar is not required.

Virdachellum
Sub Coll.rs Cutcherry,
23d. September 1841.

/ Signed / M. Murray.
Sub Collector

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Ag. Collector

Order thereon,

29-30

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1281 of 1841 a report on which accompanies the foregoing letter.

"Under the explanation forwarded by the Ag. Collector in South Arcot upon the subject alluded to in the Petition, the Board do not think proper to disturb the orders passed by the late Sub Collector in the Petitioners case."

To

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Ag. Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot

I have the honor to return the Petition No. 806 of 1841 with Copy of the Punchayet decree and the late Commissioners decision in the case.

31

The land was originally granted as Manium for the support of a Choultry, which is kept up by neither party, and they now in fact dispute which should have a personal interest, in what ought to be preserved for a special purpose — or withheld altogether. Whichever holds the land under authority in my opinion should be compelled to apply the proceeds to the legitimate object — not his own advantage.

Hur Kanum, South Arcot,
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
8th October 1841.

/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Ag. Coll.r

Endorsement

Endorsement of the late Commissioner on a Petition presented by Ramien of Areagosty No. 3 of Fasly 1251.

One Vythenadayen had 4 Daughters, the two eldest of whom were married and being of an advanced age he wished to adopt one of his sons in law as his heir they both however refused having separate property of their own, the old man therefore adopted a boy named Vencatasoobayen and married him to his 3d Daughter Geeyyama at the same time constituting him his sole heir by a will. soon after this the old man died and his adopted son Venea-tasoobyen performed his funeral ceremonies and succeeded to the old man's property. in a few years Venecatasoobyen himself died leaving a boy named Asavuttanarrainiayer and very shortly after this Vythenathayer's the old man's widow died and her grand son Asavuttanarrainiayer

Asvuttanarrainyer not having performed the Ceremonies of Poonool could not perform her funeral ceremonies and for this purpose Ramyer the Petitioner the son of the eldest daughter of the old man Vydynadayen was selected, on the condition to which he himself assented by signing an Agreement, that he was to have a half share of the Punjagamus Meerasy but that this was to give him no claim whatever to any portion of the rest of the property. he has accordingly ever since enjoyed a half share of the Punjagamus Meerasy, but not satisfied with this he has now set up a claim to share the other property of the old man Vydenadayen, this claim was resisted by the friends of the boy and the case was referred to a Punchayet, two members of which were selected by the Petitioner, two by the boy and by the Circar, the Punchayet unanimously decided on 27th December 1839 that the Petitioner had only

only claim to the half share of the Punjan=
=gum Meerasy but no claim whatever to
any part of the other property this decis=
=on seems quite proper and is approved.

Cuddalore,
13th July 1841.

/ Signed / J. Dent
Commissioner

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / C. H. Hallett
Jr. Coll.r

Order thereon, Ordered that the following Endorse=
=ment be passed upon Petition No. 806 of 1841 alluded
(31-32) to in the foregoing letter.

"The Board having had before them the
decision passed upon the Petitioner's case
by the late Commissioner, see no reason for
making any alteration therein. The claim of the
Petitioner is accordingly rejected."

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly (33) Sir,

With reference to the Endorsement
passed by the Board on Petition No. 1239 of 1840, I have
the honor to report for the information of the Board
that a Yeomiah of Six Rupees one Madras fanam
and 40 Cash per Mensem was continued to Rauve=
=bee the mother of the Petitioner Rutejabee under
virtue of an Enoyetnamah granted by the Nabob Walleja=
After the death of Rauvebee which appears to have taken
place previous to the year 1812, the yeomiah having
been granted her only for life was discontinued

+ returned.

The

The Petitioner Rutyabee presented afterwards
Petitions to the former Collectors Mr. Saunders and
Mr. Dickinson who considering that according
to the tenor of the Enoyetnamah the Petitioner was
not entitled to the Yeomiah rejected her claims
since that period the Petitioner has from time
to time presented Petitions to the Board and
the Collectors. The Yeomiah was according
to the Enoyetnamah granted to Rauvebee
only for her life. In the Register of Yeomiah=
=dars kept in this Office during the time
of Messrs. Wallace and Travers, the name of
Rauvebee alone is entered. No mention is made
in regarding the Petitioner Rutejahbee
or any body else. Extracts from the
above Registers are herewith forwarded
for the information of the Board. Under
the above circumstances it would clearly
appear that the claims of the Petitioner
should be rejected.

The Petitions No. 132 of 1839 No. 494
of 1838 on the above claim are herewith returned.

Trichinopoly
Coll.rs Office
1st October 1841

/ Signed / N. D. Onslaw
Collector

Extract

14159

From Ft. George

No. 1. Extract from the Register of Yeomiahdars

No.	Names of the Original Yeomiahdars	Names of the persons actually enjoying the Yeomiah and of Relationship between him and Original.	Eyes	Hair	Mark	Amount of the Yeomiah per Month as per Original Grant. Rs fs 6.
150	Khashabee	Original Yeomiahdar	"	"	"	6 1 10

A true Extract

Signed

21st October 1841

in the District of Trichinopoly prepared by Mr. Wallace

Authority by which the Yeomiah was granted.	Date of the Sunnud. Date of Month	Hejeree	Age	Height Feet	Inch	Amount of the Yeomiah per Month as per Register in the Nabob's Management Rs fs 6	Remarks
Enoyetnamah by Nabob Wallajah	29th Shaval	1200	28	4	1	6 1 10	Appears to live in the Palace from the Report of Mircarah sent to enquire Purwanah to be granted for life.

A. D. Onslow
Coll.r

Extract

14160.

Fort St. George

No 2. Extract from the Register of Yeomiahdars in the District of Trichinopoly prepared by Mr Travers

21st October 1841.

No	Names of the Original Yeomiahdars	Names of the persons actually enjoying the Yeomiah and degree of relationship between him and Original	Authority by which the Yeomiah was granted	Date of the Summud Date of the Month	Fasly	Age	Height Feet	Inch	Eyes	Hair	Mark	Amount of the Yeomiah per Month as per Original Grant Rs fs ps	Amount of the Yeomiah per Month as per Fasly or Register in the Nabobs Management Rs fs ps	Remarks
150	Khasha Bee	Original Yeomiahdar	Enaimamah by Vallajah	29th Shavel	1201	28	4	1	Ghoshewoman	Grey.	"	6 1 40	6 1 40	

/ A True Extract /

/ Signed /

A. D. Onslow
Coll.r

Ordered

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Order thereon. Ordered that the follow-

(33-34)

-ing Endorsement be passed -

on Petition No. 1239 of 1840.

It appears from

the report by the Collector of Trichinopoly

upon this Petition that the Gosmiah

claimed was granted to the Petitioner's

Mother for life only and has been dis-

-continued since her death which took

place prior to 1812, the Board

consider the claim of the Peti-

-tioner to be inadmissible -

To

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly - Submitting information called for on the subject of the proportion of the Gross Produce allotted to Cavilgars and other Subordinate Village officers in his District before the shares of the Ryots and of Government are determined -

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th ultimo desiring me to communicate a Memorandum of the Division of the Produce in the District of Trichinopoly for the purpose of shewing what proportion of the Gross produce is allotted to Cavilgars and other subordinate Village Officers before the Shares of the Ryots and of Government are determined -

2. I beg to state that the proportion of the Gross Produce allotted for

Fort St George 21st October 1841

for the above purpose is not uni-
=form in this district as will ap-
=pear from the three Statements
which I have the honor to submit
and that in the dry talooks the
accounts exhibit no details in
regard to the supposed distribution
of the produce left to the Ryot
after deducting the Circars share
the Cavilgars in those talooks
being in most Villages remunerated
by grants of land—

3. It will be seen from the
Statements now sent that the
portion said to be allotted to
the Cavilgars varies from 1/2 to 2 1/2
per Cent a difference so great as
to render it perhaps useless to
strike an average—

4 I trust I may be permitted
to say that I do not anticipate any
great benefit from any change in

Fort St George 21st October 1841—

present System as regards Cavilgars,
whatever emoluments they may re-
=ceive from Government the people
will still have to pay them as they
do now to secure proper attention
and any additional impost to meet
additional expense on account of
Cavilgars would create great dis-
=satisfaction—

5. I cannot however
but express my opinion after the
further experience I have now had
of the district of Trichinopoly
that its Police Establishment
is not sufficient—but I should
rather look for increase effi-
=ciency in an increase of the
number of Police Peons and
Duffadars than to any
attempt to improve the System of
Village

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Village watching. It is the interest of the people to treat the watcher well and I do not think that any addition which Government could make to their emoluments except at a very great pecuniary sacrifice would be of material use in this respect.

6. At present I have not the means of keeping any thing like a patrol on the boundaries of the district and there are many wild tracts in which for want of a sufficient Establishment I have no Taunahs and others in which the latter are by far too few.

7. I would therefore respectfully suggest for the consideration of the Board whether the object Government have in view in endeavouring to provide

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

provide measures for paying Moonsiffs a salary and for increasing the salary of Canilyars might not be better effected by increasing the regular Police Establishment. and with their permission I will submit a plan accordingly. If the Board approve of my suggestion I request that I may be informed whether it is considered indispensable that Moonsiffs should be paid salaries. There can be no doubt that such a measure is desirable as having a tendency to place them more completely under authority. All that I doubt is whether the advantages will be commensurate with the expense it will occasion.

Trichinopoly }
Coll's Cutcherry }
1st October 1841. }

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Coll.r

Memorandeum

Fifth Session 21st October 1841.

Memorandum of the Division of the Sixth Division in the Village of Glattbrugg
on Thursday 21st October 1841.

| | | |

1468

Parish of St George 21st October 1841.

Assessment of the Division of Labour in determining the Village of the Mile Stones

| | | |

1469.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Memorandum of the Directions of the Govt
of the West Coast of Coimbatore

Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned
Cleaned

Govt Orders		10	2½
Collector Government Share			
Commissr Govt Share			
Salaries Govt Share			
Establishment Govt Share			
Salaries Govt Share		10	2½
Contingencies Do		5	"
Salary Do		5	"
Establishment Do		5	"
Contingencies Do		3½	"
Establishment Do		7	2.
			2

Superintendent

Establishment	Carpenter & Smith	1	2.
	Watchmen & Barber	9	1½
	Peons	9	3½
			1
Village Servants	Burmun	1	2
	Nattaar	6	1.
	Curnum Caval	1	3.
	Curnum Vaar	5	"
	Nattaan	6	1.
	Maniacaval	7	2
			4

...rge 21st October 1841.

...ved to be brought forward at a

...Read the following letter

...pal Collector of Madura

...he Management of the

...Revenue in that Dis-

...1250.

...ew enter 25th Aug. to Cons. 2d Sept. 1841.)

...Collections from the

...Fusly 1250 exceeded those

...the preceding year by

...the large sum of Rupees

...493-14-3. an improve-

...is attributed by the

...llector" to the integrity and

...fficers in the Salt Depart-

...the gradually improving

...he Principal

...s. "It would appear

...to the last mentioned cause,

...found practicable by the

...Retail Salt in the Town

...a cheaper rate, than

...been charged, It would

...y to learn whether a similar

...fall

Fort St. George 21th October 1847.

fall in the selling price of Salt had taken place in other towns in the District, situated at a distance from the Salt routes which have been so much improved. The Sales for inland Consumption have increased, a supply having been for the first time taken, for the Trichinopoly Market in the Fasly under review, and a larger quantity than usual exported to Coimbatore. The Board will bear in mind the remarks of the Principal Collector on this subject, when they come to take into Consideration the road estimates which he proposes shortly to submit for sanction.

2 The Board see no reason for reconsidering the project submitted to them in the Department of Public Works, under date the 12th February last, for opening a Channel from the Pombaray Nuraroway to the Sea, in as much

Fort St. George 21th October 1847.

much as nothing has been adduced to shew that the obstacles to the work set forth in their resolution of the 5th March last, wherein they declined to authorize its being undertaken, do not still exist.

Gross receipts 27,67,83-14-1-3. The Gross receipts in the Salt department (which include extra Collections Rupees 47-7-7.) exceeded those of Fasly 1249 in the Sum of Rupees 15,47,77-12-3- and in the Charges 28,44-13-7. Charges there was a decrease of Rupees 19,221-1-3. owing to the quantity purchased having been smaller, and a portion of the Price having been included in last year's account. The consequence was that the net Revenue from Salt in Fasly 1250. exhibits an increase of Rupees 34,698-13-6.

Printed Statt.

Sayer -	4-	The demand under the
Fasly 1249 - -	2,74,745-2-10.	head of Sayer exhibits a
1250 - -	2,71,211-6-1.	
Decrease in Fasly 1250. -	3,533-12-9-	Decrease of Rupees 3,533-12-9-

The result of a Total Decrease

Para 3.

of Rupees 4,663-12-9. in the 8 per cent duties and a net increase of 1130 in the Betel and Tobacco farms, the particulars of which were shewn in the Principal Collector's letter of the 11th June 1840. The whole demand was realized within the year, together with a sum of Rupees 179-12-4 under the head of extra Collections, making the gross Collections amount to Rupees 27,391-2-5. In the Charges there was an increase of 2,206-1-8 occasioned by the amount of drawbacks allowed on Piece Goods exported to Columbo being greater than in Fusly 1249. The net Revenue after deducting Charges amounted to Rupees 24,587-11-10 being Rupees 541-12-1 below the net Revenue of Fusly 1249.

In the current Collections under this head there was a decrease of Rupees 589-10-2 stated to have arisen from a reduced exportation of Chanks and Piece Goods and reduced importation of Sheep &c.

+ Increase in Betel farm - - - 2139-
Decrease in Tobacco - - 1009-
Net Increase - 1130-
Jul. 29th June 1840.

+ Charges 29,903-6-7

Printed Statement.

Sea Customs
Fusly 1249 - - 13,653-11-5.
1250 - - 13,064-1-3.
589-10-2.

Gross Collections + 13,169-3-5.
Charges - - - 1663-15-5-
Net Revenue - 11,505-4-4

+ The Gross Collections shew a Decrease of Rupees 656-11-4 owing, however to the Charges Collection having been reduced by the sum of Rupees 349-6-4 - the net Revenue exhibits a decrease of Rupees 307-7-4 only.

ab Barry Demands.
Fusly 1250 - - 42590-
1249 - - 42120-
470-

The biddings for the ab Barry exceeded those in Fusly 1249 by Rupees 470. The net Revenue after deducting Charges which were the same as those of Fusly 1249 amounted to Rupees 42,221-13-4

Sundry small Farms and Licenses.
Fusly - 1249 - 13,962-4-9-
1250 - 11,723-9-2-
2238-7-7-

7. In the current Collections under this head there was a decrease of Rupees 2238-7-7 and a decrease of Rupees 2547-12-5 in the Gross Collections which as there were no Charges Constituted the net Revenue of the year. The Amount realized in Fusly 1249 was Rupees 114,279-4-9 and in the

Fort St. George 21st October 1811.

the year under review Rupees 11731-4-4

the Decrease above noticed is stated

to have been occasioned by the

Para. 6. adverse nature of the Season having

caused a falling off in the rents of

Sunkr fisheries, to the extent of

Rupees 2332-

Stamps. 8 The amount derived from

the Sale of Stamp'd papers in Fusly

1250 exceeded the amount Sales in

the preceding year by Rupees 2371-

10-5- The net Revenues after deducting

1817-2-5. + Charges, in which there was a trifling

increase of Rupees 46-4-3 amounted to

Rupees 22698-11-6- being Rupees 2325.

10-2- in excess of the net Revenue of

Fusly 1249- This increase is attributable

to the same cause that caused an

increase in Fusly 1249, viz.t the calling

up of Suits from the Mofussil Courts

where Stamps are not required, and Consigning

them

Fort St. George 21st October 1811.

them to the jurisdiction of the Sudder Ad-

-mens where they are indispensable.

9. The total demand from

all Sources of extra Revenue in Fusly

1250. amounted to Rupees 6,39,841-4-11.

being Rupees 11973-10-2- in excess

of the demand for Fusly 1249- The

Gross Collections amounted to Rupees

6,40,181-6-2- and the Charges to Rupees

62,397-9-4. In the latter there

was a decrease of Rupees 17,318-3-4

owing to the reduction in the Salt

Charges noticed at the Commencement

of these proceedings. The Net Revenue

exhibits an increase of Rupees 28,927-

8-2- The amount for Fusly 1249. being

Rupees 5,43,856-5-4 and that for the

year under review Rupees 5,77,783-13-2-

The Current demand was realized in

full within the Fusly- a circumstance

which together with the improvement

which has taken place in the Salt

and

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

and other departments, the Board consider to afford satisfactory evidence of the zeal and attention of the Principal Collector.

10 The Board regret that they should be considered to have mis understood the object of the Principal Collector in the correspondence to which he refers in the concluding Para of the report under consideration, regarding the reestablishment of certain Sayer Mattoos in his district- the reference made in the 5th Para of the Board's Proceedings dated 1st February 1841 to the correspondence which had passed with Mr. Blackburne appeared necessary in explanation of their declining to sanction the additional establishment solicited, but the Board disclaim any intention "to impress Government with an idea of thoughtless inconsistency" on the part of the Principal Collector, as he appears to appre= hend, by the observations on the Subject, contained in those Proceedings, it does not however

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

however appear to the Board to be expedient to propose any change at present in the Sayer arrangements now in force, as they are not aware that any thing has occurred to render it likely that the expected abolition of the transit duties will be postponed to an indefinite period-

Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings with the annexed Comparative Statement be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Madura for his information and guidance-

Madura

14179

Journal of Expenses at the Office 1814.

Madras. August 1st.

Transferring Accounts closed.

Pagodas											
Star Pagodas											
Fanams											
Cash											
Cash											
Cash

14181 Fort St. George 21st October 1844.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Pl. Collector of Madura
Proposition for surrender of all interference in native religious institutions.

Sir,

In reference to the Boards instructions of the 10th and 24th June, 22d July and 2d September, on the subject of withdrawal of interference from all native religious institutions, I have the honor to submit State-ment, No. 1. shewing those receiving money allowances from Government in lieu of resumed lands, and Statement No. 2 shewing such as depend on the produce of land endowments, under the management of the Company's Officers, but the Amount Settlement of which is at once made over to them or kept in deposit at their credit. These Statements further shew their usual Annual expendi-ture, the Amount in deposit up to the end of August, the names of the present hereditary executive Officers, and of the super-intending officer to whom I propose to transfer the interference (with some slight modifications) at present exercised by the local European

38

14180 Fort St. George 21st October 1844.

Inam Commissioner's Proceedings.

Inam Commissioner's Proceedings.

Inam Commissioner's Proceedings

European officers under the control of the Board of Revenue.

2

On presentation of endowments by states or Individuals, the donor appears to have nominated one or more officers to superintend the Ceremonies he wished to be performed, his office became hereditary, but under the general control of the superior officer appointed by the governing power. There is no reason to modify an arrangement so simple and found from long experience so well adapted to execution of the functions assigned to them. When therefore, there is only one such Officer I have entered his name - where there are more I have entered one or two of equal rights and power, leaving them the usual control over the other hereditary Servants of the same institution, and for the superintending Officer, proposed for the approbation of Government, I have selected the heads of Zemindaries for the time being in their respective Estates, in the small Village institutions, the head of the Village and Curnum, for the largely endowed Pagodas in the talooks of Madacolum

Madacolum and Meyloor, the Zemindar of Ramnad, and for that of Pylney in Dindigul. the neighbouring Zemindar of Tyacoody, are generally being descendants of the original donors of the endowments, or entitled in default by their circumstances in life and character to have the charge, and I have received the written consent of all to accept it.

3

No revenues have been

See Coll.r to Board 27th January 1802.

sequestered in the seven largely endowed Pagodas by the Company's Government, but the commutation of fixed money allowances in lieu of and in general diminution of, the varying proceeds of land endowments made by the Mussulman Ruler, has been continued.

See letter from Board 6th March 1806.

The arrangements for the petty Pagodas in Dindigul were made by Mr. Coll.r Parish in 1805. I am of opinion the Pagodas (for there is not a single Naugue in the Collectorate in which the Government has any interference whatever,) are not entitled to receive from Government a greater allowance than the Commutation fixed by the Mussulman

and

and adopted by the Company. I propose that the allowances should be distributed, as at present, every month to the executive Officer of the respective Pagoda, and his receipt taken, that the sums now in deposit should continue there, to be paid from time to time to the executive Officer on his receipt, but only on the requisition of the superintending Officer, and that no alteration should take place in the present monthly allowances except on the requisition of the same superintendent.

4. I would have the hired Servants and the inferior hereditary Servants subject to the executive hereditary Officer whose name is entered in the list, the first having an appeal to the superintendent, the last to both superintendent and by suit to the Civil Court. The hereditary executive Officer should be subject to the superintendents' order with power of appeal to the Civil Court, and the superintendent himself would be amenable to the Civil Courts at the charge of the hereditary

hereditary executive Officers, if cause should by any means arise.

5 The Superintendents should be at liberty to pay from the funds of the respective Pagodas, an Officer under title of Sumpretty or Gomasta, removable at their pleasure, to remain at the Collectors Office with full power to examine or take copies of all accounts connected with revenues of the Devastanum lands. and they should be at liberty to have similar officers, removable at their sole pleasure, for similar purposes in the larger institutions, to control the hereditary Executive officers, and equally payable out of the respective Pagoda funds, and this servant of the superintendent should be at liberty to examine the treasury once monthly, or more frequently on good cause shewn, on the requisition of the superintendent, and when the funds of the Pagoda are too small to allow the employment of a gomastah, the superintendents themselves must give their personal attention.

6 For irregularities the executive hereditary

hereditary officers should be at liberty to fine the inferior hereditary Officers and hired Servants to the extent of half their months pay, and if necessary, to dismiss the latter, but in either case an appeal should be open to the superintendent.

In cases of fraud, embezzlement and Crime, a prosecution before the Police should be conducted by the hereditary Executive Officer, after communication with, and instruction from, the superintendent, and in the Civil Courts in the name of the Superintendent and under his directions.-

7 Vacancies in default of heirs to hereditary Officers should be filled up by the executive Officer's nomination, leaving the Superintendent a power of a Veto, in which case, on reasons shewn, the Executive officer may nominate a second and a third time, after which if the person proposed is for good reasons, of which the Civil Courts must be ultimate judge, the vacancy may be filled up by the Superintendent.-

The

The Superintendents will also be succeeded by their heirs, and on default or resignation, the Civil Courts may be at liberty to nominate at the requisition of any individual.-

8 The Pagodas in the Zemindaries of Ramnad and Shevagunga have never been under the control of the Company's Servants except when the Estates themselves have been sequestered, for them therefore, I propose to lay down no rules, but to leave those of Ramnad exactly as they now remain in the hands of the Zemindar, and to restore those of Shevagunga when the Estate is released from sequestration with any surplus funds there may be, to the treasuries of the respective institutions.-

Statements 3 and 4 will

9. I hope afford the information called for in the 2d para of your letter of the 22d July- No. 3 shews the settlement of the Madura Pagodas endowed lands, from

fasly

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

fusly 1211 to 1250 the portion made over to the institutions, and the net revenue accruing to Government from the surplus.- No. 4 gives similar information for the Pagodas in Dindigul but only from fusly 1221 to 1250, the earlier records being wanting.-

10 In answer to the 2d. para. of your letter of the 2d. September, it is hardly necessary for me to repeat the two points on which I required information to enable me to submit my final report as though they were not answered in the above quoted letter, they were expatiated on. The first question was "if I was to consider "myself bound to oblige the attendance of the "people at the car festivals," and without affording me any rule for future guidance, the Board contented themselves with regretting that I alone of all the Collectors in the service had put the interpretation I did on the communication of the order of the Court of Directors of the 18th October 1837. The Madras Government had prohibited Collectors and Magistrates lending their aid in such occasions.- The

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Courts disapprobation of the Government measure, and their orders not to withhold any usual assistance I conceived induced me on the next requisition of the heads of the Pagoda to comply with what I deemed, and still deem, to have been the Courts orders and wishes.- In future I shall strive to be more guarded in obeying any Communications from the Courts without a reference to the Presidency.- The second question was "if "the whole revenue of the landed endowments "was to be made over to the Pagodas or only "the commutation as fixed by the Mussulman Government and afterwards confirmed by the Company." to which the Board inform me of their incompetence to answer till after receipt of the instructions of the Government.-

Madura, 6th October 1841.

(Signed) J. Blackburne.
Principal Collector.
Nominal

14190

Fort St. George

21st October 1841.

No. 1. Nominal List of Davastanums, their Chief hereditary executive officers, and of those to whom it is proposed to make over the superintendence in the District of Madura and Dindigul.

Madura Collectorate.

Taloks.	Names of the Davastanums.	Annual fixed allowances.			Amount in deposit up to 31st August 1841.			Names of the Chief hereditary executive officers.	Names of proposed Superintendents.
		C Rs.	a	p	C Rs.	a	p		
	Meenatchee and Soondaraiswara saumy Pagoda at Madura	12,515	2	5	1,912	7	3	Vikrapandia Buther and Coo-lasagara Buther Stanegums	Ramnad Zemindar at present through Moottoraella taven Munager.
	Savoondararajasamy's Pagoda at Madura	3,075	12	2	515	15	10	Mootteo Buther Do.	
	Soobramanyasamy's Pagoda at Thiruparagoontum	2,651	8	3	896	11	10	Do Davadeedro Buther and Soondara Buther	
	Stremoolanadsamy's Pagoda at Thenkurray	530	4	10.	218	9	1.	Curpoora Buther Stonegeum Regoonadhasastry Maniagar Mootteosamy pillay Curnum	Ramasamier, Conjannamer Vencata-narainier and Vencatrhamier. Nattam-gars and Mootteosamy Pillay Curnum of Covil Thenkurray
	Yaidaganadhasamy Pagoda at Thiroovaidagom	530	4	10.	205	11	1	Sadasiva Buther Poojare Ven-cataramanian Maniagar and Chedeumbrum Pilly. Curnum	Vyapooriah pillay and Cholaling-om pillay Nattamgars and Chet-trumbrum pillay Curnom of Thiru-vaidagum.
	Chithira Rethavallaleasamy's Pagoda at Coorovittooray	636	5	10.	390.	3	5.	Parianumby Iyengar Maniagom Lutchoom and Karaniah Maniagar and Curnom	Sungaralinga moodelier, Nattam-gar and Vengada thr pillay Curnom of Covil Coorivittooray.
	Thiroovapoodear Covil at Selloor	424	3	10.	98.	9	11.	Curpoora Buther Poojari Seeniapillay Curnam	Streerengaroyamiengar and Bapoo-naicker Nattamgars and Seppara Moottoopillay Curnom of Selloor.
	Thenthiroovalavoyin's Pagoda at Madura	178.	2	11.	12	3	8.	Palamcoomaraopillay Maniagar and Curnom	Ramasamia pillay Nattamgar and Chenndram pillay Curnum of Sree-rampooram.
		20,541	13	1	4,247	13	1		

14191

Fort St. George

21st October 1841.

Taloks.	Names of the Devastanums.	Annual fixed allowances.			Amount in deposit upto 31st August 1841.			Names of the Chief hereditary executive officers.	Names of proposed Superintendents.
		C.	P.	A.	C.	P.	A.		
Pherumungalum.	Chockanadha Swamy Pagoda at Pheromungalum.	307	9	2	4	4	8	Poovanalinga Buttier Stanee gar Peroomalpil=lay Curnom	Bommichitty and Rack=ma dan Natangars and Peroma pillay Curnum of Pheroomun=galom.
	Chennakassava peroumaul at Mullankinar	636.	5	10	122	7	11.	Rengiengar Staneegar. Thimmiem and allaghur Bundaries and Coop=poo Naik Curnom and Kistniah proprietory Manager of Mun=gammah's Chuttrom	Ramasamy Naik and Vyappoory Naik Nattangars and Cooppy Naik Curnum of Mullankinar.
	Syrannatasavarasamy's Pagoda at Annyoor	586	12	2	154	10	1.	Vailagda Buttier Staneegar Rama Kistnampillay and Semia Naik=ew pillay Curnums.	Vellyapilly and Coopiandy=taven Nattangars and Coop=poomottoo pillay Curnum of Cuttakurpenputty.
	Vengadachellaputty samy's Pagoda at Sindoputty	563	13	4	204	11	5.	Moottoviengar Staneegar and Thi=roomala naik, Thirovangada Naik and Singoopillay Curnums.	Moottiroolappa Modeliar Curnum of the above Tuttom.
	Hylasanathasamy's Pagoda at Thidyem	574	13	7.	188	4	8.	Vaidandaputtier Staneegam and Moottiroolappa Moodily=Curnum.	
	Goopaulasamy's Pagoda at Thidyem	211	4	5	4	8	1	Moottoosamy Iyengar and Poomoor=iengar staneegars and Moottiroolap=pa Moodeliar Curnum	
	Soobramaneasamy's Pagoda at Cuttoor	276	2	11.	43	15	8.	Vaidandaputter staneegar and Nullakanpillay Cur=num.	Peroomakoanan Nattam=gar and Hannapillay curnum of Sekkemputty.
	Caryamalaghursamy's Pagoda at Alliagoondum	105.	10	2.	33.	4	8.	Narana Iyengar, Ramanoojiengar, Rungiengar staneegoms, and Vyravapilly Curnom.	Arpoothiramaevenden and Nutto thaven nattam=gar, and Nullakama pillay Curnom of alliagoond=um.
		3,182	7	4	755.	8	2		

Talooks	Names of the Davastanams.	Annual fixed allowances.	Amount in deposit up to 31st August 1841.	Names of the Chief hereditary executive officers.	Names of proposed Superintendents.
		6Pc aP	6Pc aP		
	Savoondararajasamy's Pagoda at Allagherry	5,515 2 5.	6,604 1 11.	Paramasamy Buther, Kistnasamy Buther, and Rama Buther, Stuneegoms Anunthacharry Shroomul — numbay, Venkatramen Bundary, and Soobarya pillay Curnum.	Ramanad Zemindar at present through Mottoochellataver manager.
	Caulamagasamy's Pagoda at Shoorcomboor	742 6 10	1927 4 7	Sadagoopa Buther, Periathe-roovady Iyengar, Alagher Iyengar, and Shiroovengadam-iengar Stuneegoms. and Solamalaya pillay Curnom.	
Caulacombo-	Shiroomaranadhasamy's Pagoda at Shroovaurthoor	1,637. 9 2	1,461. 4 3.	Sanmogasoundara Buther Curpoora Buther and Sokkoo Buther Stuneegoms and Chokalingom Pillay Curnum.	Lutchoomanaperoomal pillay of caroon-galagoody.
	Hylasanadhasamy Pagoda at Covilputty	615. 25.	94 11 6.	Soobaroya Goorookal Stuneegom	
	Agateeswerasamy Pagoda at Shirochavay	428 7 9	59 12 4	Chitambara Goorookal Stuneegom	
	Shrookootinadhasamy Pagoda at Sharakangoody	78 7 9	" 9 11.	Chitumbara Goorookal Do.	
		9,917 4 4.	10,147. 12 6.		
	Kalahustreeswarasamy's Pagoda at Dendigul	954. 89	186. 15 2.	Goorroomoorty Goorookal Poojak maker, Soobbapillay and Jemboo-lingum Pillay Curnums.	coomara pillay Proprietory manager.
	Savoondararajasamy's Pagoda at Saudicombo	901. 83.	370. 14	Sukkara Royer Stuneegum Periamumbee narasimma-chary, Rehearse of Vedaha.	Nattamgar and Curnom of the Village.
	Savoondararajasamy of Vadamadura	424 3 11	14 1 10	Shashiengar, Stuneegom	Tuchanbonaiken and Soobah-naik, Principal Inhabitants of the Village.
		2,280 4 10	571. 8 4		
Iyem-pilly	Shundayoodhapanysamy's Pagoda at Pylney	7,055 6 8.	3928 14 3.	Villia Goorookal Stuneegom and Sunna Kurpo pillay Curnum.	Coomara condamanaick Zemindar of Ayecoody.

14193

Fort St. George

21st October 1841.

Talooks.	Names of the Davastanums.	annual fixed allowances.	Amount in deposit up to 31st August 1841.	Names of the Chief hereditary executive Officers	Names of proposed Superintendents
		C Rs a c	C Rs a c		
Then Kurray	Soobramanyasamy's Pagoda of Thenkurray	3,181 13 1	750 14 9	Savoonada Goorookul Staneegums Colundavaloopillay and Soobramanyapillay Curnum - Vurdyengar Staneegum and Moodoosawmy Pillay Curnum	Zemindar of Vadakurrai.
	Vurdarajasamy Pagoda of Do	582 4 4	13 7		
	Khadaly Narasimmasamy at Jemboolaputtoor	948. 2 11	59 1	Vurdiengar Staneegom	Boomminaick Nattamgar and Streenevasiah Iyengar Curnum of Covilputty.
	Meenatchee and Soondarasawarasamy at Andi=putty	246. 1 11	66 3 9	Sanoondra Goorookul Staneegums	
	Kalahustreeswarasamy at Woollumpolliem	600. 9 11	83 5 10	Savoondra Goorookul Staneegom and Arroonachellum pillay Carnoon	Zemindar of Combay.
	Poolanundaiswarasamy at Chinnamanoor	1,060. 9 2	90 11 8	Verpatchy Iyen Staneegum Goomien Curnum	
	Cumbaroyaperumal at Cumbum	458. 2 11	53 15 4	Streerama Iyengar Poojah=maker and Doorgiah Pillay Curnum.	
		7,077. 11 11	1,005 1 11		
	Grand Total	49,155. 11 11	20,756 10 3		

Madura
6th October 1841.

E E Pr (Signed) J. Blackburne
Pr Coll.

Statement

1844 | Fort St. George

21st October 1841.

No. 2. Statement of annual allowances assigned to Pago= of the hereditary Executive Offices and the proposed Superin= =dad, the amount in Deposit up to 31st August 1841 and the names = tendents in the Districts of Madura and Dindigul.—

Talooks	Desastanams.	Name of the Kuttay	Annual amount of allowances			Amount in Deposit up to 31st Augt 1841.			Names of the hereditary Executive Officers	Names of the pro= posed Superintendents.
Madaerium	Meenatchee Pagoda in the Fort of Madura	Teroomul Naicken Kuttay	644	15	9	7,801	13	1	Poottoochaka Pundarum	
		Tanuppa Moodeliar's D°	3,704	5	7	7,190	8	8	Namaseroya Thumberan	
		Mootumbala Moodeliar's D°	644	15	2	4,269	11	7	Kurpoora chokoo Pundarum	
		Moottumma D°	285	11	8	674	4	5	Ramasawmy Jah	
		Mootto Pillay D°	133	9	11	715	5	.	Causee Jyah	
		Mardanaikum Pillay D°	953	7	2	744	29	- 9	Sawmy Bhutter	
		Coatasweriem D°	199	2	4	1,784	7	10	Ramasamiah Mootoosoobbiah	
		Munnarapiah D°	40	5	10	56	-	9	Sinna Shunmooka Soondrabutter	
		Venkatakristna Naick D°	477	5	11	2,401	12	3	Meenatcheenatha Bhutter	Ramnad Zemendar at present this Mootoo Chella Thaver Manager.
		Mooteraly D°	416	6	4	1,677	11	-	Ganapatee Jah	
		Mootooswaragar D°	102	9	11	620	13	11	Callany Sevandy Jah	
		Vencata Rao D°	290	14	9	4,329	5	4	Ramakristniah	
		Palacaupoo D°	38	2	9	605	2	8	Moottunnawe Bhutter	
		Alagappah Moodeliar D°	108	5	9	587	10	-	Soondrajah Jah	
		Abishaca Pundarum D°	150	4	1	407	3	9	Tandavaroy Pillay	
		Ganapaty Aindul D°	78	8	2	339	12	10	Aroonachella Thumberan	
			14,040	14	1	40900	11	10		
	Tirpoora= =goondum	Moottumbala Moodeliar D°	449	11	2	1,061	3	7	Soondra Bhutter	
Keyd Core	Cullavalagher Sawmy.	Mysore D°	1,229	8	4	1,866	1	1		
		Tanuppa Moodeliar D°	217	15	10	1,226	1	11	Parama Sawmy Bhutter, Kristna=	
		Sinnathumbee Moodeliar D°	190	12	2	1,481	4	3	Sawmy Bhutter, and Rama=	
		Arianaick Moodeliar D°	38	9	2	223	7	11	Bhutter, Stanikums Anantha=	
		Vadamala pillay D°	11	4	9	316	4	11	Charry. Teroomulkumbee and=	
			1,688	2	3	5,113	4	1	Vencataramen Bhandary.-	

14195

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

Talook	Name of the Kattay	annual amount of allowance	Amount in Deposit up to 31st Augt 1841.	Names of the hereditary Executive Officers	Names of the proposed Superintendents
	Meenatchee Soondaraswaraswamy Mooroongapoory	396 4 1	706 2 7	Soobboyyorroocul Chockalinga Moodeliar Curnum	Moottooveera Pootcha Naick Zemindar of Maroongapoory.
	Vurdarajaswamy of Caramalay	736 15 6	358 1 9	Vadamalay Iyengar archicar Dhorasawmy Tatacharry, Pagonada Tatachary, Stanchums Mottoocomanapillay Curnum.	
	Meenatchee Soondaraswaraswamy of Manicencoorchy	727 1 8	1,222 8 11	Soobboyyorroocul & Somasoondapillay Curnum.	
	Meenatchee Soondaraswaraswamy of Valanadoo	218 6 5	466 9 2	Akkeelandayyorroocul & Shencalinga Mod. Do.	
	Naganathaswamy of Porroovoy	247 8 3	515 7 2	Soobbiengoorroocul & Mottoochittumberapillay Curnum.	
	Teroovanacutta Iyanar of Ponayoor	1,450 3 7	1174 15 8½	Akkeelandayyorroocul, Sakthoragoorroocul both Stanchums and Vencachemiah Curnum.	
	Thundonaswaraswamy of Sittoo Coil Pagada	113 4 6	50 9 .	Akkeelandayyorroocul and Soobbapillay Curnum.	
	Meenatchee Soondaraswaraswamy of Thoveranoorchy	262 11 4	143 4 10	Soobbayyorroocul & Senkee Moortapillay Curnum.	
	Meenatchee Soondaraswaraswamy of Coomaravady	763 10 2	333 9 7	Aroonachellayyorroocul & Rutnumpillay Curnum.	
	Nullandaswaraswamy of Maunundy	336 1 6	1766 7 9½	Mootier & Mottoonullen Poyaries Seenapillay Curnum.	
	Augusteeswara Swamy of Coil Madoo	374 6 8	379 1 11	Causeeyorroocul Ramalingapillay Curnum Paria Poojari, Sennapoojarie and Ramalingam Pillay Curnum.	
	Cunnemaur of Veerapoorum	810 13 4	2,538 3 2		
	Malacoil	135 14 6	39 1 11	Armyoga Pundarum Poojari and Moorga Pillay Curnum.	
	Ratnagherreeswaren of Seeroyen	521 6 4	370 13 4	Ramien both Monigar & Curnum	
	Kulleana Narasimmaswamy of Ramagherry	2,182 8 6	167 3 2	Seenevasa Iyengar Archekur, Annasawmy Iyengar Bhatter and Sashengar Curnum.	Moottoomeenumal widow of late Ramagherry Zemindar, tho a woman she understands to read and write well.-
	Veerabundaswaraswamy of Theendacul	230 2 .	14 2 8 .	Appoyyorroocul Stanchum and Sobien Maniagar.	
		9,507 6 4	10,374 11 11		
	Total	25,686 1 10	5,714 29 15 5		

Madura 6th October 1841. E E Fr.

Signed J Blackburne
Pr Coll.

Statement

16	Poottoor											
	Karemalagherswamy's	Dc	at									
17	Allegoondum											
	Calamagswamy's	Dc	at									
18	Thoorroomboor											
	Theramaranathaswamy's	Dc	at									
19	Therovvadoor											
	Kylasanathaswamy's	Dc	at									
20	Coilpatty											
21	Agusteeswaraswamy's	Dc	at	Tirchany								
22	Therovcotynathaswamy's	Dc	at	Turkacoody								
23	Mungabagaiswaraswamy's	Dc	at	Peramala								

14197

Fort St George 21st October 1841.

No 3. Statement of Settlement of Davestanum Villages Revenue in the District of Dindigul.- from Fusly 1221 to 50, appropriation to Pagodas and Nett

No:	Names of the Institutions	Settlement from Fusly 1221 to 50-	Appropriations to Pagodas	Nett Revenue	Charges beyond Receipts	
		CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	CRs a o	
1	Calashaswerasawmys Pagoda at Dindigul	2,818 2 10	28,636 6 6	" "	25,818 3 8	
2	Soondararajaswamy — Do — at Taudycombo	15,963 13 11	27,045 7 6	" "	11,081 9 7	
3	Soondararajaswamy — Do — at Vadamadura	10,217 9 7	12,727 3 -	" "	2,509 9 5	
4	Thundayoothapany Sawmy's Do at Palany	3,33,127 15 10	2,11,662 8 -	1,21,465 7 10	" "	No accounts to be found in recent previous Fusly 1221.
5	Soobramoneyaswamy's Do at Thenkurray	84,741 - 7	95,454 8 6	" "	10,713 7 11	
6	Vurdarajaswamy's Do at Do	11,099 1 7	17,468 2 -	" "	6,369 - 5	
7	Meenatchee Soondraswamy's Do at Andyputty	6,319 9 4	7,381 14 -	" "	1,062 4 8	
8	Cadala Narsimma Swamy's at Jambelpootoor	28,855 4 5	28,445 7 6	409 12 11	" "	
9	Calastaseraswamy's Do at Koottumpollam	30,404 15 5	18,018 9 6	12,386 5 11	" "	
10	Cumbaroyapermalswamy's Do at Cumbum	5,456 7 3	13,745 7 6	" "	8,289 - 3	
11	Poolanurthaswamy's Do at Chinnamoonoor	36,555 6 1	3,1818 2 "	437 4 1	" "	
	Total	5,65,559 6 10	4,92,403 12 -	1,38,998 14 9	65,843 3 11	
			Deduct over Charges		65,843 3 11	
			Net Revenue to Govt		73,155 10 10	

Madura, - - - -
6th October 1841.

E E Jr

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pt Coll:

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.-

To

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

38-39

To,
The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government.
Submitting a letter from the Principal Collector of Madura enclosing Statements shewing the endowments in Money and land granted for the Support of the Pagodas in that District and suggesting arrangements for carrying into effect the instructions of the Honorable Court of Directors for the withdrawal of all interference on the part of the Officers of Government in the Management of Gratuitie Religious Institutions with the Board's sentiments on the subject.
Recd. 21st Octr. 1841.
Statement Nos. 1 & 2.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Letter from the Principal Collector of Madura enclosing Statements shewing the endowments in Money and Land granted for the support of the Pagodas in that District and suggesting arrangements for carrying into effect the instructions of the Honorable Court of Directors for the withdrawal of all interference on the part of the Officers of Government in the Management of Native Religious Institutions.

2 The aggregate amount of the annual Money allowances granted by Government in lieu of resumed lands for the support of different Pagodas in the Madura District appears from Statement No. 1. to be Rupees 49,155-0-1 and the amount at present in deposit on account of Pagodas so supported is Rupees 20,756-10-3. The amount derived from endowments in land under the immediate management of the servants of Government is Rs. 25686-1-10 per Annum, & the amount in deposit on account of Institutions so endowed Rs. 57,149-15-5.

3 For the management of the above mentioned Establishments independantly of all interference on the part of Government or its Servants, the Principal Collector has proposed an arrangement based upon that which he observes, appears to have been usually adopted upon the original presentation of endowments by States or individuals, Viz.t. that the general Superintendance of the affairs one or more Pagodas should be made over to some personage of influence and respectability and the details of each managed as heretofore by one or two executive Officers under his immediate control. The parties selected for the Office of Superintendant are to have

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

have been generally the Zemindars of the districts in which the Pagodas, which it is proposed to make over to them, are situated, or in the case of small Village institutions, the head of the Village or the Curnavan. It is proposed that the Office of Superintendent shall be hereditary in the family of the parties now appointed to the trust. The Statements furnished by the Principal Collector shew the names of the different Superintendents as well as those of the executive Officers. These last having been heretofore considered as entitled to succeed by inheritance, it is proposed that they shall continue so for the future, their appointments in the event of a lapse from failure of heirs to be filled up in the manner suggested in Para 4.

4. The Board see no objection to the arrangements proposed in the 3rd Para of the Principal Collector's report for the disbursement of the allowances in those cases where the Pagodas are endowed with money, but where they are supported by funds derived from the actual produce of lands, at present managed by the Government officers, they do not think that

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

that the arrangement proposed in Para 5 provides for the withdrawal of interference on the part of Government to the extent contemplated by the Honorable Court, and they consider that it would be preferable either that the endowment in land should be commuted for a fixed money allowance. or if this be impracticable, that the lands themselves should be made over to the management of the Superintendent in trust, for the use of the Pagodas, to which their proceeds have been heretofore applied

5. The course pointed out in Para 6 as that which should be followed in cases of irregularities on the part of the inferior servants, and for the prevention or punishment of "fraud, embezzlement and crime" appears unobjectionable in itself; but the Board do not consider it requisite that this should be prescribed by the Principal Collector - all arrangements of this kind should be left to the Superintendent who will be himself amenable to the Civil Courts for any irregularities or malversation in the charge committed to him --

6- Provision appears to have been made for the immediate withdrawal of interference in the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

the affairs of all Pagodas in the Madura District except those in the Zemindary of Shevagungah which is still under charge of the Principal Collector - If it be considered by Government to be essential that these Pagodas should be at once placed under native control, the Board would suggest that the Principal Collector be called upon to select some one or more Individuals, to whose charge they may be committed as trustees until such time as the Estate is released from attachment --

7- As regards the amount remaining in deposit, the Board conclude with reference to the Minutes of Consultation lately received from Government bearing date the 19th October 1841. that they must be held for the present under the Collectors charge until a decision has been come to by Government regarding their eventual disposal --

8- In elucidation of the observations contained in the concluding Para of the letter now submitted, the Board direct me to forward for the information of Government the

14202

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

From the Pd. Colr. 3d Instn. 22d July 1841.
From Do. 10th Instn. 22d Do.
To Do. 22d Do.
From Do. 9th Augt. in lieu 2d Septr. "
To Do. 2d Do.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
21st October 1841.

Signed P. B. Smallett.
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,
From the Pd. Colr. of Coimbatore.

40

I have the honor to acknowledge the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th September 1841. together with a Copy of the 2nd Edition of the Laws relating to India, and to return the first Edition of the same work, as requested.

Coimbatore, 13th October 1841.
Pd. Colr. Office,

Signed J. C. Wroughton.
Principal Collector.

Order thereon.

40-41

Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following letter.

To,

14203

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

To,
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,
From the Pd. Colr. of Coimbatore.

42

With reference to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on the accompanying Extract from the Re-Audit of Accounts of this Collectorate for Fusly 1249, I have the honor to state, that the sum of Three Rupees was disbursed to the Cooley under a Sakeed issued to the Huzzoor Treasurer, by the Acting Principal Collector, dated 23d December 1838. I cannot learn the circumstances under which this charge was made, as it is simply stated in the above said order, that the three Rupees was in part payment of hire due to the Cooley, bringing the Printed statements Vcu. of Demand, Collection and Balance from Madras.

Coimbatore, 14th October 1841.
Pd. Collector's Office,

Signed J. C. Wroughton.
Principal Collector.

Extract

14.204 Fort St. George

21th October 1841.

A- Extract from the Readit of the Accounts of the Coimbatore Collectorate for Fusly 1249.—

No.	Charges objected to.	Rs.	A	P.	Remarks of the Civil Auditor.	Remarks of the Board.	Orders of Government.
16	Hire of a Cooly who brought the Printed Statements of Demand, Collections and Balance and the Book of Orders of the Sudr Board of Bengal.—		3	"	Will Contra retrenched until the sanction of the Board of Revenue is obtained	The hire of Coolies for conveying printed forms of Demand, Collection and Balance &c. here referred to having been paid in full in this Office, the Circumstance under which an additional sum of Rupees 3 was paid to the Coolies by the Principal Collector should be explained to enable the Board to submit the Charge for sanction.—	

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

(True Extract.)
(Signed) I. C. Wroughton.
Principal Collector.

Revn. Board Office,
Fort St. George.—
4th October 1841.—

By Order,
(Signed) R. J. Porter.
Sub Secretary.

Ordered.

14205 Fort St. George 21st October 1841

(42-43)

Order thereon - Ordered

Read again in Cons. 1st Nov.r

to be sent

again in cir=

=culation -

Read of

the following

letter of -

To

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

14206 Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pd. Coll.r of Canara ... submitting for Board's approval Draft of an Answer to Suit No. 277 of 1836 together with the correspondence on the subject, and requesting sanction for its defence at the Government expense.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, Copy of a Correspondence noted in the margin, between the Zillah and higher Courts accompanied by translations of the Original and Supplemental Plaints of one Ganiga Moondah.-

A. From the Zillah Judge to the Sudr Adawlut 12th December 1840 with its accompaniments.

B Appeal decree 9th Augt 1839.

VB of

44

2 It will be observed from the accompanying Correspondence, that the Collector is called upon to defend the Government right which is involved in the Suit now pending before the Court.

3 The Board will be aware that the Circar Guenymungo are Estates which have been thrown up by the owners and are then assumed by the

the circar and rented to Tenants who may be willing to pay the assessment - No proprietary right however to these lapsed Estates can be acquired by a Tenant or lease-holder, unless a Mool puttah is granted to him by the circar, which has not been done in the case in dispute - It therefore becomes necessary to defend the Government right to the Estate -

E

4 With this view I have drafted an answer to the plaint, herewith submitted for the approval of the Board, and should it be considered advisable to defend the suit at the Government expense, I request that sanction may be obtained for so doing -

Principal Collector's Cutcherry,
Mangalore, - - - - - - -
1st October 1841 - - - - - -

/Signed/ W. M. Blair,
Principal Collector.

A.

To
The Register to the
Sadr Adalut,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward authenticated

authenticated Copy of an order of the late Acting Judge of the 20th June last, together with that of the correspondence noted in the Margin, as well as the Decree passed in Appeal suit No. 0. of 1838. and to enable me to pursue the course suggested in the 4th para of my return to the Provincial Court's precept of the 14th October last and which has been approved of by the Judges of that Court, to request that sanction may be granted for the said order of the 20th June being cancelled -

1 Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of appeal dated the 14th October 1840.
2 Zillah Judge's return to the above dated the 7th November 1840.
3. Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court under dated the 30th November 1840
4 Zillah Judge's return to the above dated the 4th December 1840.
5 Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court under dated the 7th December 1840.

Zillah Court,
Canara,
12th December 1840.

/Signed/ G. Bird.
Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Western Division under date the 14th October 1840.

Read again Petition of Ganiga Munjoo.

Appellant

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Appellant in Appeal No. 0 of 1838. on the file of the Zillah Court of Canara, complaining of an order passed by the late Acting Judge under date the 20th inst. last (an authenticated copy of which is produced) rejecting his Petition of Special Appeal from the Register's Decree in the said Appeal, confirming the Decision of the Sudr Ameen Pundit in Original Suit No. 277 of 1836. in favor of the Claim of the Plaintiff Ganiga Madanah's nephew Shivappa, and his son Moonda, to a land of 4 — 0. Beriz registered in the name of Ganiga Madanah, and of a Garden ground attached thereto, and also to Rupees 8 the price of certain trees Cut down and removed from the same.

Read also an authenticated Copy delivered by Petitioner of his Special Appeal Petition to the Zillah Court.

1 In his Order rejecting the Special Appeal, the Acting Judge states his "opinion

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

"opinion that the decision passed by the "Sudr Ameen Pundit, and the Register are "just, and that Petitioner has advanced "nothing in his Petition to justify the "reversal of their Decisions - The land "at issue belonged to Madanah and the "1st Plaintiff is his heir."

2 The question at issue in this case was whether the land sued for was really the property of the said Madanah, and the Provincial Court having attentively considered the several documents delivered by Petitioner are strongly inclined to think that this point has been determined in the affirmative (in the Plaintiffs favor) on erroneous grounds both by the Sudr Ameen and the Register - The opinion of both Officers would seem to have been much influenced by the fact of Madanah having been proved to have been the Mungdar of the land; but this, as the Petitioner justly observes, does not prove that Madanah was the Gaulgar.

The

3. The following is an Extract from the Register's Decree in allusion to the documents produced in evidence by the Record keeper attached to the Cutcherry of the Betul Tahsildar, who appears to have been examined in the Appeal as a witness on behalf of the Respondents.

"From a perusal of
"them it appears that up to 1825. the
"Mourg ran in the name of Madanah, &c
"that in that year, Tahsildar made
"a note in the accounts of the Tuzby
"to the effect that the Mourgdar Mada-
"nah had deceased, and mentioned
"his nephew Seewapah (1st Plaintiff)
"as "Hazir" and that as the land had &c
"remained waste since the year 1812,
"it had (in 1825.) been enrolled in the
"list as "Sircar Gueny" lands and made
"over to Narain Naik Seewapah,
"Cultivating a portion through said Narain Naik."

The

4. The Decree then proceeds:
"The Court after an attentive consider a-
"tion of the case is of opinion I. that
"no grounds exist for interfering with the
"decision of the lower Court. II. That
"the correctness of that Decision is fully
"borne out by the evidence to be deduced
"from the documents submitted by the
"Record keeper which evidence appears
"to him to be of itself perfectly conclusive
"both as regards the proprietary right litigated
"in the suit, and the descent of 1st
"Plaintiff from and his consequent
"right to inherit the estate of Ganiga
"Madanah. III. That it likewise proves
"the estate in question to have been actually
"made over to Narina Naik in the manner
"and for the reason set forth in
"Plaint. IV That the natural consequence
"of such transfer would be an entry of
"the estate in the accounts as Sirkar
"Gueny land," but that such entry

Cannot

cannot be "considered as in any way tending
"to the prejudice of the old proprietors, the
"the only object the Sirkar have in view
"in thus resuming pro tempore, the right
"to dispose of the land being the pre-
-vention of the injury to the Revenue
"which would result from it's not being
"brought under cultivation."

5 It seems very evident to the Provincial Court from these passages that the Register considered the term Murgdar as synonimous with "Mulgar" Though even on this supposition it is difficult to account for the Register overlooking the inconsistency of the land being entered in the accounts as Sirkar Gueny land and of it's having been transferred to Narrain Naick if Mada-mah had been it's Moolgar, or proprietor The argument used as the IV reason as to "the natural consequence of such a "transfer" is any thing but intelligible The question presents itself why if the

the deceased proprietor Madamah's nephew Seemapah (1st Plaintiff) was "Hazir" he Seemapah should cultivate a portion of the land through or under Narrain Naick.-2

6 The Register's Decree next proceeds to notice the purport of the several exhibits A. B O P and R. of which the two first were filed by the Plaintiffs and the three last by the defendant, but this is done so briefly that it is difficult to understand rightly what each document really is. If however the description which is given of some of them in the special Petition be correct, and from the unauthenticated copies produced by Petitioner it would appear to be so, it appears to the Provincial Court that the Defendants three exhibits which are all of dates subsequent by several years to the Plaintiffs' exhibits A and B tend very strongly to confirm his pleas and to justify the argument which appears by the following passage in

the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

the Registers Decree to have been urged by him in appealing "VI. That the Orders pass-
"in A and B were clearly reversed by those
"contained in O.P.Q. and that if Plaintiffs
"deemed themselves aggrieved thereby, their
"proper course would have been to have
"preferred a suit against the Collector, and
"lastly that it rests with the Collector to
"give the Sirkar Enemy lands to whom he
"please, and that he having in the present
"instance confirmed it to Defendant, the
"institution of the suit was illegal."

Upon a mature consideration of the Petitioners case so far as the documents before them enable the Judges to understand its merits, it appears to the Provincial Court that there are evident errors in the Registers Decree, for the Correction of which, justice to the Petitioner requires that the case should be further investigated. They therefore deem it their duty to furnish the Zillah Judge with a copy of these Proceedings and with instructions to give his best consideration to the Petitioners special Appeal which the Acting Judge rejected, reporting to this Court for eventual reference to the Sudr Adalut whether there do or do not appear to him to be sufficient grounds for the special

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Special Appeal prayed for.

Ordered that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Judge of Canara with Precept requiring conformity thereto and return to be made in one month.

(A True Extract)

(Signed) M. B. Anderson
2nd Judge for Register

In return to this Precept which accompanied the Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal under date the 14th Ultimo in the matter of Guneega Munja (whose applica-cation for special Appeal was rejected by the late Acting Judge) directing the Judge of Canara to give his best consideration to the Petitioners special Appeal, reporting to the Provincial Court for eventual reference to the Sudr Adalut whether there do, or do not appear to him to be sufficient grounds for the special Appeal prayed for, the undersigned has the honor of stating that he has attentively considered the record of

ther

the case, and is of opinion / judging from the oral and documentary evidence brought forward by the Parties / that the grounds urged by the Individual Gunnega Munjoo in his application for admission of special Appeal, are insufficient, but that the omission on the part of the original Plaintiffs to make the Head Assistant Collector a Supplemental Defendant in the case on the passing of the order of the 24th October 1836, to the Bekul Tassildar / Exhibit 2. a translate of which is annexed / must be held to be illegal and that the decision as it now stands without an opportunity afforded to that officer to prove that the land was not the property of Madanah, or if it were, why at this period his heirs should not be put in possession, would be irregular.

2. It appears to the judge of Canara that the order of the Head Assistant (document 2) and on which such stress is laid by

by the Defendant Munjoo, never was intended to set aside the claim of the Plaintiff or declare that the land should be confirmed to Munjoo. All that that order seems to imply is that as the Defendant Munjoo had held possession for so long a period, he should remain undisturbed until the land was declared in a civil action to be the property of another and had the order distinctly referred the Parties to a civil suit, and which I assuredly believe was the intention of the Assistant Collector the case of the individual Munjoo in the opinion of the undersigned could not under the provisions of Clause I Section IV Regulation XV of 1816, be denied entitled to further consideration, more particularly, as Petitioner's case even admitting the view taken of it by the lower Courts to be an erroneous one, cannot be deemed a very hard one, he being merely a Tenant at will of the Collector and / never having had a Moolgotah granted to

to him / is liable at any moment to be ousted.

3. That the Plaintiff Shurapah and Moonda are the heirs of Madanah, and that Madanah has by oral and documentary evidence adduced been proved to be the original proprietor of the land entered in the Sirkar accounts as "Sirkar Guemy" has in the opinion of competent Courts been proved beyond dispute and although the Zillah Judge might be inclined to question the soundness of that decision, yet he considers the provisions of Clause I Section N of Reg: XVI of 1816, cannot affect the matter at issue in as much as that enactment / if the construction which the undersigned puts upon it, is correct / never was intended to give a right to the admission of a special Appeal because the authority in whose hands the right to determine rests may deem the decision of the lower Courts to be

be an unsound one.

4. As the matter now however stands, it appears to the Judge of Canara that an irregular decision has been passed, and that opportunity must be given to the Revenue Authorities to be a Party in the case and which might be done by authority being given for the Suit to be replaced on the File when the Collector or his Head Assistant can be made a supplemental Defendant and a fresh decree passed on the merits of the claim.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court at Mangalore this 27th November 1840.

/ Signed / G. Bird
Judge

Extract from the proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Western Division under date the 30th November 1840.

Present
Mr. J. Vaughan
1st Judge
Mr. M. B. Anderson
2d Judge

Read Return of the Judge of Canara to the Courts Precept of the 14th Ultimo in the matter of the Petition of the Ganoga Munjoo-

In

1. In para 1 of this Return the Zillah Judge observes "that the decision as it now stands without an opportunity afforded to that Officer" (the Head Assistant Collector) "to prove that the land was not the property of Madanah "or if it were why his heirs should at "this period" be put in possession would "be irregular." Owing perhaps to an error or omission of the copyist this passage is unintelligible, the Zillah Judge will be pleased to amend it.

2. With reference also to para 3 the Provincial Court deem it necessary to request the Zillah Judge will be more explicit as to deeming "the decision of the lower Courts to be an unsound one." The Court wish to understand whether he considers that decision erroneous, and if so, why, whether it appears inconsistent with the facts of the case or with the evidence or with Law and usage.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Judge

Judge of Canara with Precept requiring conformity thereto and return to be made in two days.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. B. Anderson
2.nd Judge
for Register.

1. In return to this Precept which accompanied the Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal under date the 30.th ult.o in the matter of the petition of Ganiga Munjoo, directing the Zillah Judge of Canara with reference to his former return of the 27.th ult.o to be more explicit as to his "deeming the decision of the lower Courts to be an unsound one" as well as to rectify an apparent error or omission in Para 1 of that return, the undersigned has the honor to request that the syllable "not" may be affixed between "should" and "at," when the reading will be as follows.

"or if it were, why his heirs should not at this period be put in possession &.ca"

With

2

With regard to the other
point as to whether the judgement of
the lower Courts appears to be "incon
sistant with the facts of the case
with the evidence, or with law and
usage," the Judge of Canara is of opin
that it cannot be said to do so, in
much as oral evidence has been adduc
by the Plaintiffs to shew that Mada
was the Moolgar of the land, which add
to the fact of the name of that individua
(Madanah) never having been lost
sight of in the sirkar documents might
(as it has been by two authorities) be
considered sufficient to warrant a
decision in favor of the Plaintiffs.

3,

What the Judge of
Canara meant to imply by the
expression "that he might be inclined
to question the soundness of that
decision" was that had the hearing of
the Appeal suit been before him,
the circumstance of the individual
Madanah never having been found

entered

entered in the Sirkar account as the
actual "Moolgar" of the state, added to
the fact of Shivapah (Madanah's
relative) cultivating a portion of the
land under Narraina Naik would in
all probability have been considered by
him entitled to far greater weight, than all the
documentary and oral evidence brought forward
in support of the claim, and on which the lower
Courts have founded their judgement.

4. This however is a matter of
opinion arising from a different view of
the bearings of the case, and which the
undersigned considered could not con
those grounds be deemed of sufficient
weight as to intitle the Petitioner under
the provisions of Clause 1st Section
IV Regulation XV of 1816 to a further
consideration of the claim.

Given under my hand and
the Seal of the Court, at Mangalore
this 4th December 1840.

(Signed) George Bird
Judge

Extract

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Extracts from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal in the Western Division under date the 7th December 1840.

Present
Mr. J. Vaughan
1st Judge
Mr. H. B. Anderson
2d Judge

Read Return of the Judge of Canara to the Court's Precept of the 30th Ultimo requiring further explanation on the subject of his Return to the Precept of the 14th October last, in the matter of the Petition of Ganiga Munjoo.

Read also again return the same Officer to the Court's Precept of the 14th October last.

1.

In explanation of the remark in his first Return as to the decisions of the Lower Courts appearing unsound, the Zillah Judge now states that what he meant to imply was, "that had the hearing of the appeal suit been before the circumstance of the individual Madanah never having been found entered in the account as the actual Moolgar" of the village added to the fact of stewardship (Madanah relative

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

"relative) cultivating a portion of the land under Narraina Naik, would in all probability have been considered by him entitled to far greater weight, than all the documentary and oral evidence brought forward in support of the claim and on which the lower Courts, have found their Judgment." And in his first return he observed, that the decision as it now stands without an opportunity afforded to that Officer" (the Head Assistant Collector) "to prove that the land was not the property of Madanah, or if it were why his heirs should not at this period be put in possession would be irregular".

2.

From the explanation noticed above, the Zillah Judge would appear to concur in the opinion recorded by this Court in para 2 of their proceedings of the 14th October that the decision that the land was the property of Madanah, has been determined in the plaintiff's favor on erroneous grounds.

It

3. It would have been more satisfactory had he also stated his view of the following further passage in the same para: "The opinions of both Officers would seem to have been influenced by the fact of Madanah having been proved to have been the Durgdar of the land; but this, as the Petitioner justly observes, does not prove that Madanah was the Moodgu". For if his view on this point also should not differ from that taken by this Court, there can be no question as to the propriety of a special Appeal being allowed on the ground of the decision against the Petitioner being inconsistent with the usage.

4. It is however of little consequence to press this point, as in pursuance of the first Return to Zillah Judge has declared his opinion that "an irregular decision has been passed" and that opportunity must be given "to the Revenue authorities to be a party in the case, and which might be done by authority being given for the suit

"be replaced on the File, when the Collector "or his Head Assistant can be made a "Supplemental Defendant and a fresh decree "passed on the merits of the claim."

5. The Provincial Court approve of the course here proposed, and they desire the Zillah Judge will apply to the Sudr Udawlut for permission to pass such an order accordingly in modification of the Acting Judge's order of the 20th June last rejecting the Petitioner Ganiga Munjoo's Petition of Special Appeal.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Judge of Canara with Precept requiring conformity thereto and return to be made in three days.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. B. Anderson
2nd Judge
for Register

(True Copies)
(Signed) W. Lavie
Judge
Extract

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut under date the 5th January 1841.

Read letter dated the 1[illegible] Ultimo, from the Zillah Judge of Canara forwarding copy of an order of the last Acting Judge of the 20th of June last rejecting an application made by one Ganga Murjee for the admission of a special Appeal from the Decree passed by the Register of the Zillah Court on appeal No. 6 of 1838. submitting also copy of the correspondence connected therewith as well as the copy of the Decree passed in Suit No. 6 of 1838, and (to enable him to pursue the course suggested in the 8th para of his return to the Provincial Courts precept of the 11th October last) requesting that sanction may be granted for the said order of the 20th June last being cancelled.

1. The Zillah Judge in his 4th para of his return above alluded to observes that it appears to him that an "irregular decision has been passed", and that an opportunity must be given to the Revenue authorities "to be a party in the case, and which "might be done by authority being "given for the Suit to be replaced on "the File when the Collector or his "Head Assistant can be made a supplemental Defendant, and a "fresh decree passed on the merits of the claim.

2. In the opinion of the Court of Sudr Udalut the regular course will be for Ganga Murjee to move the Register for a review of Judgment upon the ground that Mr. Maltby the Assistant Collector, should be made a defendant, and that the real merits of the case had not been brought fully forward by the omission of him.

3. And if such application for

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

for review of Judgment be made
by the said Ganiga Mungoo the
of Sudr Udalut resolve to au-
thorize its being complied with,
in making the review in question
the Lower Court is directed specia
to attend to the Circular order
of the Sudr Udalut No. 66 re
to the nature of the tenure at
issue.

Ordered that Extra
from these Proceedings be forwa
ed to the Judge in the Zillah
of Canara for his information a
guidance.

(True Extract)
(Signed) H. Douglas
Registe

To The Judge in the Zillah of
Canara.

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. Lavie
Judge.

(True Copies)
(Signed) H. Wm. Blac
Sec. to Coll

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

B

Zillah Court of Canara.

Original Suit No. 277 of 1836.

Ganiga Madana's nephew Shivappah and his son Moondah, residing at Kirtishwar Village Minjishwar Magany in the Bekull Talook

Versus.

Mungoo, son of Ganiga Teroomalay residing at the said Village

L.S.

Appeal Suit No. 6 of 1838. Mungoo by Vakeel Ramappa

Versus

Shivappa and Moondda- The 2. Respondent by Vakeel Anuntaya.

This is an appeal from a de- decree passed by the Sudr ameen Pundit in suit No. 277 of 1836 instituted for the recovery of property consisting of land of 4.6 Beriz registered in the name of Ganiga Maddanah producing annually Rupees 30 and situated in the Village of Kirtishwar in the Munjishwar Magany of the Bekul Talook of garden ground, valued at 25 Rupees and attached thereto, and also of 8 Rupees the price of certain Cocoanut

and

and punnay trees, cut down and removed from the same.

The circumstances of the case as from the Plaint filed therein to be as follows. The original Moolgar Maddana finding himself unable to keep the land under cultivation made over through the Potail and shambogue to Boba Naik and Narraina Naick, who cultivated it till the year Parthiva, in which year it was rented to Defendant on Chalgueny by Deva Naik, the son of Naraina Naick the Deft. in 1833. present a petition to the Circar praying that the Registry of the same might be altered to name, which request however on Deva Naik explaining that the land was the property of Maddanah and that the Deft. was but his tenant, was not acceded to. In 1838 Plaintiffs in virtue of their descent from Madanah being his nephews and grand nephews demanded from Defendant the surrender of the Land, and on his refusing

refusing to give up the possession thereof, took a deed from Deva Naik by which he abjured all claim to the land, and sought redress by a Civil action.

Defendant denied that Plaintiffs were nephews of Maddanah, that he (Maddanah) possessed any legal claim to the land or that Devapah Naik had any right either to execute a document to the Plaintiff or to write a letter to him Deft. relative to the land. He stated that the land in question was "Circar Gaeny" land and that it had been so before the commencement of the present Government. That under the former Government Madanah Cultivated the property for 2 years, during which time it was to be supposed that he had it registered in his name, but finding himself unequal to the Management of it, he flung up his lease which was afterwards granted to others, who in their turn did the same, until it was taken by his (Deft.) mother, who continued to hold and greatly improve it for the space of 25 years. That in 1823. Dawapa Naik, under the

the pretext that the Registry of the land
in his father's name, seized on the grounds
rented it for cultivation to one Appiah
on his Deft's making a complaint, the la
with the Crop then standing thereon was adj
to him, that Dewapah Naik and his mother
Drowputtee, then attached his goods on the
plea that his rent had fallen into arrea
that in consequence he Deft represented
the matter to the Head Assistant Colle
then on Jummabundee, who gave a decision
in his favor, which decision was subsequen
confirmed by the Hazoor and the goods rele
from attachment. That the same Dewapa
Naik and subsequently 1st Plaintiff having bot
presented petitions regarding the land,
former to the effect that the Registry of t
Nurg might be altered to his name, the latt
that a Moottapullah might be granted hi
and that his Petitioners Complaint relati
to some trees cut down by Deft might
be examined into neither of which requ
however with the exception of that relat
to the trees, in which a decision was pa

in favor of Defendant were complied with
Deft was of opinion that Plaintiffs had
instituted the suit at the instigation of
Dawapa Naik in order to injure
him.

Plaintiffs filed a replyin
which they asserted that they could prove
that they were the heirs of Muddanah
and entitled to the land, and also that
Deft cultivated the land as Dawapah
Naik's tenant.

Deft rejoined that he also
could adduce evidence in support of his
several assertions.

Plaintiffs cited 17 witnesses
of whom 12 were examined, he also filed 14
documents.

A A letter written by Soobiah Shamboque to
Bekul Tahsildar.

B Urzee given by Munjoo before Assistant Collector.

C Explanation given by the Headmen to the Sircar.

D. A Document written by Dewapah Naik to 1st Plaintiff.

E A Beriz Puttah for Peramattee.

F A ditto . ditto . . Tharana
G Ditto . . Ditto . ditto Chitrabanoo.
H Do . . Do . . Do Parthiva.
I Do . . Do . . Do Survajitoo.

} All granted to Muddanah

Aguery

J Ayaney chit written by Moondah to Devapah

K Ditto ditto ditto by Maroo to Devapah Na

L Ditto ditto by Deft. to Devapah Naik

M A Kurrar executed by Deft. to Devapah Naik

N A letter written by Davapah Naik to Defendant

Defendant cited 5 Witnesses of whom 4 were examined and filed 5 documents

O. An order to the Bekul Tasildar passed by the Sub

P. Ditto . . Ditto . . Ditto . . Ditto

Q. D° . . D° . . D° . . D° by Mr. Malby

R Beriz puttah for Munnamut in which Muddanah is called Sircar tenant

S. A recei[pt] granted to Deft. by Mogang Shanlay

Deposition was taken from Defendant

Sudr ameen Pundit gave a decree in favor of Plaintiff on the following grounds I- That all the Beriz Puttahs filed by Plaintiff [for] Madanah, was mentioned as Mungdar, and in present possession of the land in ques[tion] although in some he was certainly entitled Sircar [say] tenant, whereas no mention whatever was made of Deft. or his claim II- [that] even

even in the subsequent Puttahs filed by Deft. the Mung still ran in the name of Madanah

III. That the evidence to be adduced from the several Sircar orders filed in the suit appeared to him from its contradictory nature, calculated to affect both parties in nearly equal proportion in that the orders passed in 1 and 2 Exhibits filed by Plaintiff and marked A and B from the former of which A it appeared that the only reason why a Mool puttah was not granted to Sewapah [1st Plaintiff] was the want of a certain kind of paper, and from the latter B that the Defendants' claim had been completely negatived, not having been alluded to in Defendants' Exhibits marked O P Q such orders tho' passed long before could not be held to be overruled by those contained in the latter- But that these orders having been passed by the Circar authorities, he [Pundit] did not consider himself at liberty to canvas their respective merits- IV- That the Witnesses examined in behalf of Plaintiff against whose testimony he was of opinion, no exception could be made, had clearly proved that Maroo Moondah and Deft. had each and all executed

executed guemy chits. Devapah Naik son of Narraina Naik and that Deft. had cultivated the land under him. He therefore, though it unnecessary to discuss the merits of the evidence adduced by Defendant.

It is against this decision the Defendant now appeals. He complains of injustice committed by Sudr ameen Pundit in passing a decree on other grounds than Plaintiffs descent from Madanah, and urges as arguments for the reversal of such decree

I That it can be shewn by a chittah for Doormookee that the Burg before Madanah time stood in the name of Munjoo from whom he deduces the argument that were the land not circar guemy it might with equal right according to the rule laid down by Pundit Sadar by his descendants as those of Madanah

II That Plaintiffs have failed to prove that the land was given to Narraina as stated in Plaint, III. That exhibit marked A

is shewn to be a manifest forgery by the contents of exhibit O. and the fact that no mention of it was made in his (Devapah Naik's) urzee to the Sub Collector. That when Devapah Naik on being ordered to release his (Appts) goods from attachment had consented to file a Razeenamah.

IV. That in the order passed at the urzee presented by Drowputta (see Exhibit O.) the land is mentioned as registered in the name of Narraina, and consequently according to Pundits argument, the Burg ought to have been changed to the name of Devapah. V. That 1st Plaintiff in deposition taken before Head Assistant Collector confessed that the land was "Circar guemy". VI. That the orders passed in A and B were clearly reversed by those contained in C. D. Q. and that if Plaintiffs deemed themselves aggrieved thereby their proper course would have been

been to have preferred a suit against
Collector, and lastly that it rests with
Collector to give the Sircar gueny lands
whom he pleases, and that he having
the present instance confirmed it to
the institution of this suit was illegal

To this respondent answers th
it has been proved by the Collectionsende
in the Beriz puttahs and by Witnesses, th
Narraina cultivated the land and pai
Circar dues, that even supposing them
to be heirs of Maddanah, the land, should
to entitle Deft.s to it, be in his name or th
of Narraina, and that Deft.s has not proi
that he has managed for 25 years. He
further prays that the several Beriz puttahs &
Sidhartee 1799 may be sent for and examined

Appellants Vakeel was ordered to fil
the chit for Doormotee, alluded to in his Plaint, bu
has failed in so doing

Certificate was granted to the Vakeel
Respondent to enable him to procure the attend
of the record keeper attached to the Cutcherry of
Bekul Tahsildar

Ragu

Raguwunda Row / Record keeper /
was accordingly examined. He produced
a Chittah for Doornookee and
the Serasaree Chittahs from 1818. to
the present year. Memorandums of
which were taken and the Docu-
-ments returned.

From a perusal of them
it appears that up to 1825. the wurg
ran in the name of Muddanah,
that in that year Tahsildar made a
note in the accounts of the Fuslee
to the effect that the Wurgdar Mud-
-danah, had deceased, and mentioned
his Nephew Sewapah / 1st Plaintiff /
as "Wasir" and that as the land had
remained waste since the year 1812.
it had / in 1825. / been enrolled in
the list as "Sircar Gueny" lands
and made over to Narrain Naik,
Shewapah cultivating a portion
through said Narrain Naik

The Court after an attentive
consideration of the case is of opinion
I that no grounds exist for interfering
with

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

with the decision of the lower Court. II That the correctness of that decision is fully borne out by the evidence to be deduced from the documents submitted by the Record keeper, which evidence appears to him to be of itself perfectly conclusive, both as regards the Proprietary right litigated in the Suit and the descent of 1st Plaintiff from and his consequent right to inherit the Estate of Ganiga Muddanah III That it likewise proves the Estate in question to have been actually made over to Narain Naick in the manner and for the reasons set forth in plaint. IV that the natural consequence of such transfer would be an entry of the Estate in the accounts as "Sircar Ground land, but that such entry cannot be considered as in any way tending to the prejudice of the old Proprietors title, the only object the circar have in view in the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

reserving protempore the right to dispose of the land, being the prevention of the injury to the Revenue which would result from its not being brought under cultivation V. That the orders contained in the several exhibits A. B, O. P, and Q being at variance with each other, it is incumbent on the Court to consider how far their respective contents bear upon the points at issue in this Suit. A. So so far material that it points out, as observed by Pundit, that no objection to the change of the Murg to the name of Plaintiff existed, more serious than the want of Portuguese Paper. B clearly shews that Defendant had at the time of its execution no stronger right than that of Narain Naik's tenant, and that his assertions in original Answer relative to improvements are false. O contains no reference whatever to Plaintiffs claim, and is founded on an application

14245

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Exhibits &c filed in Court
Appeal Petition and Answer 2.
Security Bond 1. Wakalutnamah 2
Arzee 1. Deposition of Respondents
Witness 1. Notices 2. Record of the
proceedings held in the original Suit
1. Total 10.

Given under my hand
and the seal of the Court at Manja-
=lore this 9th day of August A. D. 1839.

/Signed/ S. N. Ward.

Register.

The period for appealing against
this decree expires on the 7th
September 1839.

/Signed/ S. N. Ward.

Register.

The stamp papers for
Copy of this Decree were delivered
by the Appellants' Vakeel on the
16th August and the copy was ready
and delivered on the 12th Septem-
=ber

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

The delay not attributable to the
Appellant is 27. days which being
added to the original period allowed
for appealing agreeably to Clause III
of the Act No 27. the period for appeal
=ing extends to the 4th October 1839.

/Signed/ S. N. Ward.

Register.

/True Copy./

/Signed/ H. M. Blair.

Principal Collector.

C

Translation of a Plaint filed
by Ganiga Shinapah Nephew of Madana
and his Nephew Mounda residing in
the Kirteshwar Village, Manjeshwar
Magany of the Behul Talook 5th August
1836 No 277 of 1836 against Ganiga
Mungoo son of Timale, residing in the
same Village.

We claim from the defendant
Mungoo exclusive of other accounts as follows.

There is a Hung
No

No 4 situated in the Village of Kirtishwar and registered in the Revenue Accounts in the Name of Madana, the beriz of which is fixed at 4 0 0 in the same manner as was the case with all other Murgs when the Shanãe was fixed. The land forming the above estate was enjoyed by the said Madana, who being afterwards unable to cultivate it from want of personal assistance or Labourers made over the property for Cultivation to Baba Naik Narain Naik, through the Magan Shanabogue and the Potail of the Village and went to live in the Village of Pynullike. The said Narain Naik and his son Deva Naik held the said land themselves till the year Partiva when the latter Deva Naik rented it to the Defendant Munjoo. On the death of Madana the Defendant who then held it as a Tenant seeing that we were no longer in possession of the estate and without any means with the view to deprive us and the said Deva Naik

of our right, applied to the Sircar for a grant of it's proprietary right in 1833. or in other words that it should be registered in his own Name. Deva Naik then represented that the land in question belonged to Madana — that it was in his occupancy on Gueny Tenure, and that the Defendant Munjoo was a tenant at will. the Sircar people then proceeded to make inquiry of the Shanbogue and Potail of that and Neighbouring Villages — This inquiry as well as the Petition of the Defendant himself shewed that it was Madana's Durg. The application of Defendant Munjoo for the registry of the Durg in his Name was accordingly rejected by the Sircar. Being informed of this circumstance we asked the Defendant to relinquish to us the enjoyment of that land which he refused to give up. Deva Naik then passed a Document to one of

us

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

us shivapa, relinquishing all his claim on the land in our favor — under date the 27th of July last, and wrote to the Defendant on the same date desiring him to make over the property to us. As the Defendant still persists in his refusal, we therefore pray that he may be sent for and examined and caused to restore to us the aforesaid Vurg consisting of land yielding 30½ Rupees per Annum, and the garden within it valued at 25 Rupees together with 4 Rupees the value of 2 Honay trees and 2 Rupees that of 4 Cocoanut trees respectively cut down by him from the garden -

Marks of Shivapa

and Moonda

/True Translation/

/Signed/ H. M. Blair

Principal Collector

Fort St. George 21st. October 1841.

D

Translation of Supplemental Plaint of Vakeel Anantaya - 23d. June 1841 -

Shivapah since deceased / Moonda - by Vakeel Anantaya ... Versus - Munjoo - and the Principal Collector Mr. Blair.

In suit No. 277 of 1836 in the Zillah Court the Plaintiffs claimed from the defendant Munjoo land producing 30½ Rupees per annum, and a garden valued at 25 Rupees, together with 8 Rupees being the price of trees cut by him - but orders having been received from the higher Court, to make the Collector a Supplemental defendant and investigate the suit, the Court accordingly directed that a Supplemental plaint should be filed against the Collector - I therefore beg to represent on behalf of the Plaintiff Moondah, that the 1st Plaintiff Shivapa has since died, and that his Sister Dere, and daughters, Moondy and Doghy, and his nephews, Moondah the present Plaintiff, and his brother

brother Soma, are the surviving heirs to the said Shivapah deceased, and that Moonda has been constituted Manager of their affairs by the other Members of the family who now act under his orders. As Mr. Mattby, the Head Assistant Collector gave an order from Moodbidry No. 262 on 24th October 1246 on the report of the Bekul Tahsildar No. 244, by which the Plaintiffs have been wronged with regard to their right of holding their own property, and as the Court verbally ordered in consequence of the absence of that officer, that a supplement should be filed against the Principal Collector Mr. Blair, I therefore present this motion and pray that the Principal Collector may be made Supplemental defendant to this Suit, the above order No. 262 cancelled and the defendant Munjoo called upon to restore to the

Plaintiff

Plaintiff Moondah the property alluded to in the Plaint.

[signed] Anantaya
Vakeel.

E.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed to Suit No. 277 of 1836.

The Plaintiffs, Shivapah and Moonda claim from Defendant Munjoo a garden and land forming the warg registered in the name of their ancestor, or Uncle Madana together with compensation for certain losses occasioned by him.

The claim of the Plaintiffs is solely grounded on their being the heirs of the wargadar Madana, but no Mooly or proprietary right to the warg is advanced in its support. Defendant Munjoo the present occupant maintains that the disputed property is a Sircar Gueny warg that it was rented to Plaintiffs ancestor by the Sircar, but that it was never the

Mooly

Mooly property of Madana - The original and appeal decrees in the suit adjudge the land in dispute to the Plaintiffs on the ground of their being heirs to the mungadar, but the Court does not appear to have considered that the term "Sircar Guenj" implied the proprietary right of the Government to the Estate, and rendered the claim of the Plaintiffs to the ownership inadmissible.

The Court of Sudr Udawlut having under date the 5th January 1841. directed that the Collector should be made a Supplemental Defendant in order that the real merits of the Case might be brought forward I now beg to file the following Answer.-

The property in question forming the Sircar Guenj Mung No.4 situated in the Village of Kertishwar and Munyeshwar Mogany - The original proprietor having long since died without leaving any heir, the proprietary right to the estate

estate lapsed to Government, and it was rented by the Sircar to secure the Revenue due thereon, during the former Governments - Since the assumption of the Country by the British Government, the estate has been rented in the same manner, and the assignment realized thereon.-

On referring to the accounts of the Doormotty year, the estate appears to have been then rented to Madana, who was the Potail of the Village, and the Registry was entered in his name; but as no Moolsuttah was granted to him, he was merely a Tenant of the Sircar, and had no proprietary right to the property - The estate was subsequently rented on similar terms to one Narrian Naick, whose name was then entered in the Summabundy Chittah or account, and lastly it was rented to Munjoo the present Tenant and Defendant in the

14257 Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

the Suit.—

The Plaintiff has never pretended to any Wooly right to the Estate in question, but grounds has claim to its possession on being the descendant of a former Wargadar or occupant: but as before observed, this Wargadar has no proprietary right to the land, but was merely a Tenant of Government. It is clear, therefore, that the Plaintiffs' claim to the ownership is inadmissible.—

The right of the Government to the disposal of the Sircar Gunny Mangs in the District has never been disputed, and many instances can be adduced if necessary, to shew that the occupants of such lands have frequently been changed.—

With regard to the Plaintiff's claim for recovery of the value of trees cut down by Defendant Munjoo, the Supplemental Defendant is of opinion, that as his claim to the land itself is invalid, so neither can he be entitled to receive any Compensation on account of trees cut down on the Estate, which must belong to the Circar as the landlord.—

/Signed/ A.C. Blackburne
Pr. Collr.

Order

J.S.

14258 Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Order thereon.
(44-45)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Proceedings. Relative to the balances of Land Revenue in the Madras Collectorate remaining unpaid on the 12th July 1841 or at the beginning of Fusly 1251.

Sir Com: 30th Sept 1841.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Report of the Collector of Madras under date the 6th September 1841 on the outstanding balances of Land Revenue in that District remaining unpaid on the 12th July 1841 or at the beginning of Fusly 1251.—

/Here enter No. 82/

(46)

Para 1. This Report was called for by the Board with reference to Para 2 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th June 1836. It was accompanied by four Statements of which the following is an Abstract shewing the Fuslies and the heads under which the balances are outstanding.—

Sir Com: 18th July 1836.

Balance

Dec 1841.

1246	1247	1248	1249	1250	Folio

21st October 1841.

14259 George	1243		1244		1245		1246		1247		1248		1249		1250		Total	
	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
Balance o[n] or at the [c]lose Fasly 1251	640	6 10	12,830	11 2	11,952	11 3	7,310	15 "	5,246	6 5	6,173	8 11	7,575	4 5	10,589	" 1	91,415	2 3
Quit Rent Cultivation [d]iscontinued upwards	599	4 0	6,750	14 9	5,916	14 11	566	12 8	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
[D]o " on Land above as well [a]rily waste as Houses	276	8 13 1	5,257	5 7	3,960	" 7	4,624	" 8	2,508	7 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Ground Rent	1,272	5 1	819	13 6	966	7 11	203	6 2	907	10 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	4,640	6 10	12,828	1 10	10,743	7 5	5,994	3 6	3,416	1 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Net Balance recoverable	"	" "	2	4	1,109	3 10	1,316	11 6	1,830	4 6	6,173	8 11	7,575	4 5	10,589	" 1	28,629	15 4

The

Vide Enclosures A and B in Collector's Report 6th September /41

2. The grand Total of all the outstanding Balances for the years from 1226 to 1250 viz Rupees 9,415-2-3 of which Rupees 20,467-3-1 were due on Lands the cultivation of which has been discontinued for 5 years and upwards, and Rupees 34,946-7-11 on similar Lands as well as on Lands customarily waste and on unoccupied Houses. These two sums, together with the Balance of Ground Rent for the years from 1226 to 1247 Fasly Rupees 7,371-7-11 making an aggregate of Rupees 62,785-2-11, the Collector considers irrecoverable, whilst the remaining sum of Rupees 28,629-15-4 is stated to be in the course of collection.

Do. C Do. Do.

Para 5

3. The Board observe that there is not, as the Collector remarks, a correspondence between the balances as shewn in the Demand Collection and Balance Statement and those ascertained on examination of the Bills for Fasly 1235 /36/37/40/41/42/43/ and 44 respectively, there being a slight difference in the entries on

account of each of those years, whilst the Grand Totals agree.

Para 7.

4. The Collector attributes these differences "to the great number of Bills which it was requisite to separate from the whole on the occasion of each examination," and observes that "the repeated examinations have been greatly the cause of the delay in the completion and submission of the present Statements, as, after the Bills were set apart, once in the year 1839, in the order in which they are now to be found elapsed, further examinations were considered indispensable, in order to ascertain the correctness of the entries made previously, which, from the number of Bills being no less than 37,640 required much time and attention."

5. Mr. Robertson states that, in the case of Lands customarily waste, he directed each of the collecting Conicopillies to inspect every spot within his Division, in order to ascertain whether it

is

is still in the same state as formerly, and that their reports having been referred to his Amildar, the result of their separate reports was verified by the entry in the Huzzoor Accounts, which were prepared by a former Committee of Enquiry.-

Para 9.

6. The unoccupied Houses, the

which arrears are due, were inspected in like manner, and the fact of the demand against them being incapable of realization duly ascertained.-

Para 10.

7. The arrears of Ground Rent assessed on Government Land occupied by Bazars and Boutigues have remained uncollected owing to the parties liable to pay the same being constantly in the habit of shifting from one spot to another as soon as the ground is measured or before the Bills are prepared.

8. The Board are of opinion that as Ground Rent, unless collected immediately, may fairly in almost every case be considered irrecoverable, Bills for the demand

demand should not be allowed to remain in the hands of the Conicopillies for any time exceeding two Months, or even less, from the date of issue. The Board also think that the Bills when thus received back from the Conicopillays should be strictly scrutinized and if found to be irrecoverable should be put aside under the Collector's Seal until authority is granted for writing the amount off.-

Para 12.

9. The Collector observes that, if sanction for the proposed remissions is granted, he would be prepared to carry into effect the orders from the Honorable Court of Directors contained in Para 24 of their Dispatch of date the 16th December 1840, No. 18, communicated by Government on the 30th

In Cons: 17th May 1841.

April 1841. He proposes to do this by giving notice of the remission in the different Villages by beat of Tom Tom, and by cancelling the Bills afterwards on their being identified with the entries in reference to which the present Statements have been framed, the cancelling Officer affixing his signature opposite

to

to the entry cancelling any Bill such list of cancelled Bills to be open to the inspection of any one at the Collector's Cutcherry.

10. The Board approve of the Collector's suggestion respecting the mode of notifying to the parties concerned the grant of remissions whenever such may be sanctioned by Government.-

Para 13.

11. The Collector observes in conclusion, that it was found impracticable to prepare a "Statement of particulars of the balance of Land Revenue" in the form in which it was called for, as in consequence of "the Land itself being held answerable for the Government dues thereon this office could not subdivide the arrears as being now irrecoverable by deaths, by desertion, or by poverty"; but that a Statement in the prescribed form will be furnished relating to certain old Arrears under the head of "Extra Sources".-

12. The Acting Collector will be called upon to submit, at as early

early a date as may be practicable, the Statement above referred to. In the mean time the Board would beg leave to recommend to Government that sanction may be granted for writing off to profit and loss all the irrecoverable balances noticed above amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 62,785 - 2 - 11. This they hope will enable the Collector to bring under

review

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.-

review, immediately after

the close of each Fasly

all outstanding balances

with the view of

his submitting parti-

-culars of such as he may

consider irrecoverable.-

Read

Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Acting Collr of Madras.

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that, since despatching my letter to you under date the 29th Ultimo No. 91 the Original office copy of the plan attached to Grant No. 1223 referred to in para 19 has been found, which shews that the land therein described is bounded on the "East by Cadery caumeya avera and Venkatachella-putty Pagoda's ground" in that held under Grant No. 1195 now in Mr. Pugh's possession. The Book in which the plan in question is contained is transmitted herewith for the Board's inspection.

47

Madras 16th October 1841.

I have &c.
Signed T. A. Maddeston
Acting Collr

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.

&c.

47-48

To the Acting Chief Secy. to Government

Submitting information called for respecting certain ground at the Adyar in the possession of Mr. D. Pugh whose right of occupation has been called in question by the Military Board

Rec'd 21st Sept. 1841.

20th Sept. to Govt. 14th October 1841.

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th August last and its inclosures, respecting certain ground at the Adyar in the possession of Mr. D. Pugh, whose right of occupation has been called in question by the Military Board,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, with a plan shewing the situation of the ground in Mr. Pugh's possession under Grant No. 1195, and of the ground held under a Certificate from the Collector No. 2121 in favor of His Highness Saadut Dar Nissa Begum, but assumed by the Military Board to be the eastern portion of the ground entered in Grant No. 1195.

2,

The Military Board in their letter to Government under date the 9th August observe, that from the enquiries which they have made

on the subject, and from comparing the ground claimed by Mr. Pugh with a copy of the Grant which has been furnished to them, that Gentleman's right to the whole of the land claimed by him, or indeed to any part of it seems extremely doubtful; and they have submitted a plan shewing what they conceived to be the situation of the ground formerly belonging to the Vadeycamerysweera and Vencatchellapatty Pagodas under Grant No. 1195. This plan differs entirely from the plan submitted by Mr. Hudleston framed from actual survey with reference to the records in the Collector's Office.

3, The doubts of the Military Board appear to rest on the grounds, first that the provisions of Regulation VII A.D. 1817, are applicable to Pagoda Lands situate within the limits of the Supreme Court, secondly on the circumstance of the Eastern boundary of the land included in Grant No. 1195 being entered as in "the road leading to the Village of Seroovaumeeyoor: and Lastly on the information obtained by them from "a respectable old Native Gentleman Narrainsamy Naick."

"Note— In the Grant "a road"

As

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

4.

As the provisions of Regulation VII. A.D. 1817 do not apply to any property within the limits of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court at Madras, they cannot affect the validity of any sale of the land included in Grant No. 1195 which is within those limits.

5.

With respect to the Eastern boundary of this land, the acting Collector observes the "oldest inhabitants declare that there was formerly a passage or thoroughfare on the Eastern side of the land as now defined by the Surveyors plan" and it is known that a passage at the same place is now used as a thoroughfare towards Trivanore. This, there is reason to suppose is the boundary described as "a road leading to Seroovaumeeyoor" since in another Grant No. 1503 dated 1st November 1817, issued in lieu of other of an old date, the eastern boundary of the land included in it is described as "the high road leading to Pondichary" being exactly the boundary of the land now held under Certificate No. 2121 issued in lieu of No. 1503 as shewn in the acting Collector's plan.

The

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

6.

The ground thus held under Certificate No. 2121 is that which the Military Board state is asserted by Narainas-awmy Naick to have been sold a short time ago to His Highness the Nabob by G. Veeratram Chetty, recently deceased, Cashkeeper to the Board of Revenue who had no power or right to dispose of it. This assertion as to right is contradicted by the registries in the Collector's Office

Military Board to Government Augt. 1841. para 4 and 5.

7.

Narrainasamy Naick has stated to the Military Board that Grant No. 1195 had not been transferred by the Churchwardens of the Pagodas, or by other competent authority to the person or parties who first obtained posession of it from the Churchwardens, but that the Grant was obtained from him by Mr. Murray when Collector of Madras, and not returned though repeatedly applied for.

8.

This statement is met by the facts of the case, which are that Narrainsamy Naick "who styles himself the Churchwarden of these Pagodas" gave to Mr. Murray when he was not

Collector

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Collector of Madras a mortgage of the property that under this mortgage Mr. Murray some years afterwards instituted a suit in the Supreme Court against Narrainasamy Naick, that a decree was given in Mr. Murray's favor, and that consequent on that decree the land so mortgaged by Narrainasamy Naick was sold at the Sheriff's sale at which Mr. Murray became the purchaser of it.—

9. Mr. Pugh is not in possession of the exact extent of ground which would seem to have been included in Grant No. 1195 as laid down in the plan attached to it, but the Board understood that that plan is so defective that it is impracticable to define from it exactly what in different directions are the precise limits of the ground, to which Mr. Pugh is intitled, and this forms the chief difficulty in deciding on the merits of the case. The Acting Collector reports that Mr. Pugh is in occupation of Cs. 38—10—1147 though intitled according to the Grant to Cawnies 41—7—2103.—

10. It appears to the Board however that the difficulty may be obviated by adopting the suggestion of the Military Board to which the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Pugh himself has acceded, of fixing the limit of the ground now occupied by him, according to the dotted line a b c in the plan submitted by the Military Board in their letter to Government of the 9th August last.—

11. The land to the westward of that in the occupation of Mr. Pugh, from which it is separated by a road of comparatively recent construction belongs at present to His Highness the Nabob who obtained it from Mr. Joseph Pugh.— This Ground it appears forms a portion of that included in a Grant No. 1223, which has been mislaid by Mr. David Pugh: but the Acting Collector has forwarded the original office copy of the plan attached to it from which it is observed that the Eastern boundary is defined to be "Cadery caunneyswera and Vencatachellaputty Pagoda's ground."—

From A.G. Coll. 18th October 1841 in Cons. 21st Oct.

12. A portion of the Ground held under Grant No. 1195 by Mr. Pugh formed the subject of the correspondence entered in the Margin, the validity of Mr. Murray's purchase was not questioned at the time when it took place, and the Board see no good reason for calling it in question now.

To the Chief Secy. 18 June 1832.
From the Chief Secy. 3d July 1832 in Cons. 5th Oct.

Revenue Board Office . . . . .
Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

From the Acting Collr. of Madras. }

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that it having been brought to my notice that it was requisite to widen part of the road between St. Thome and the new road to the Adyar, which is just beyond the Sannah station and nearly opposite to Parry's Castle I caused the enclosed sketch plan and Estimate to be prepared, the former of which shews the portion of the House and Ground belonging to one Manada Grammy which he will have to give up in order that the proper Department may make the proposed improvement and the latter the expense required for the purpose.

49

2. Manada Grammy has agreed to the arrangement provided he is paid compensation for his Ground and Trees at the rates specified in the Estimate, and the portion of his House which is to be demolished is rebuilt.

3. As it is desirable that the

Fort St. George 21st October 1841

the work should be executed at as early a date as may be practicable, I beg leave to recommend that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the estimated outlay of Rupees 143-3-.

Madras - - - - }
19th October 1841. }

[Signed] I. A. Huddleston
Acting Collector.

Order thereon

49-50

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Boards recommendation that the alteration therein suggested involving an outlay of Rupees 143-3- may be sanctioned.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched together with the petitions therein referred to.

To the Collector of Chingleput.

51

Sir

To the Collr. of Chingleput }

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you for such enquiry as may seem necessary, the accompanying Petition from Chinnatomby Chetty a Merchant residing in your District.

1575 of 1841.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st October 1841. . . }

[Signed] P. B. Smollett
Secretary

To

11276. Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

To the Acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot.

To the Acting Collr in the Sn Dn of Arcot ...

1689 of 1841.

52

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Vasuntapoorum Sama Row a cultivating Mahajun of your District, and request you will be pleased to ascertain whether the Petitioner have sufferred any loss from the incursion of Elephants, and if so, to what extent.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

To the Principal Collector of Tanjore.

To the Pl Collr of Tanjore

(1712 of 1841)

53

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for such notice as it may seem to require, the accompanying Petition from Cossyn a Merasidar of Aurunthauze in your District.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
21st October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

To

11277. Fort St. George 21st October 1841

To the Principal Collector of Madura

To the Pl Collr of Madura

No 1838 of 1841

54

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your early consideration and report the accompanying Petition from one Soobroy Iyen Moorsiff in the Talook of Pallymadurn with an enclosure to the address of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council complaining among other matters of being flogged, and otherwise unjustly treated by the Head Shristadar of your Office.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

To the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

To the Pl Collr in the Nn Dn of Arcot ...

(1712 of 1841)

55

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue with reference to their Endorsement dated 25th May 1840 on Petition No 664 of 1840, to request that the additional information on the case of the Petitioner therein called for, may be furnished without further delay.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 21st October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

From the Bd. Coltr. of North Arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.
56

From the Ag. Coltr. of South Arcot. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 1st to 31st July Last.
57

From the Bd. Coltr. of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.
58

From the Bd. Coltr. of North Arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.
59

From the Bd. Coltr. of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.
60

From the Bd. Coltr. of Cuddapah. Return of persons tried for Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of August last.
61

From the Bd. Coltr. of Cuddapah. Return of persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of august last.
62

From the Bd. Coltr. of Cuddapah. Return of persons suspended or dismissed with reference to Section VII. Regulation VI. of 1831 for the Month of August last.
63

From the Bd. Coltr. of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the levy of additional duty on certain Articles for the Month of September 1841 being under the value of 10 Rupees.
64

Order thereon. Ordered that the levy of extra duty enumerated in the Statement be authorized.
64-65

From the Collector of Chingleput. Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use of his office for the year 1842.
66

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Submitting in Duplicate an annual Indent for the supply of Stationery for the year 1842 under the Rules of 17th May 1841.
67

Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents. Ordered that the Indents be passed and transmitted to the Superintendent of Stationery with a request that the supply be furnished.
66-68

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of Village Servants suspended or dismissed in the Month of August 1841 under the provisions of Regulation VI of 1831.
69

From the Bd. Coltr. of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent of 1840/41 from 1st to 8th October 1841.
70

From the Collector of Tinnevelly. Stating that no Revenue Defaulters.
71

11280. Fort St. George 21st October 1841

Defaulters were confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

From the Dy. Collector of Tanjore. Stating that the Returns of persons charged with offences and persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation II of 1827 for the Month of September last are to be sent. there being no entry to make—

72

" Dent

" J. Drury

" D. Cotton

" A. Maclean

Comp.d
J. H. Braddell

11281 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

No Diary

At a Meeting Present.—

Monday 25th October 1841 } John Dent Esqre Senior Member
G. D. Drury Esqre Second Member
A Robertson Esqre Acting Third Member
and
Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Extracts

To The President and Member of the Board of Revenue

1

From the Actg Chief Secy to Govt } Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to request you will forward to this office the requisite Certificate.—

Toppery Peon Rs 8 p Mo
Dafso do — 7 " "
Goorindoo do — 7 " "
Chellapen Poochee 7 " "
Bungariah Peon 5 " "

As it appears by a Statement of Superannuation Pensions furnished by the Civil Auditor for the year 1838-39 that the Stipends noted in the Margin are paid thro' your Office without the usual Pension Certificates,

Fort St. George } (Signed) R. Clerk
16th October 1841 } acting Chief Secretary to Govt

14283 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Order thereon. — Order to be brought on
1-2 forward at a future Meeting. —

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 19th October 1841.

(Bds Rro 19th Octr.)

From the Actg Chief Secy to Govt. — The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanc-
3 tions the disbursement of Rupees (15-12-4) Fifteen and annas twelve, being the amount disbursed for Sacramental purposes in the Church at Cuddalore for the Months of September 1839 and May and June 1840. —

(Signed) R. Clerk
Actg Chief Secretary

Order thereon. — Ordered that a Copy of
3-4 the foregoing resolution be communicated to the acting Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 27th September last. —

Read.

14282 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Extract from the Establishment of

Distinctive Roll of a Subordinate Service

Form

Date of Government Order authority for Pensioner	Name and title & employ of the Pensioner	Caste or Tribe	Religion	Height	Remarks	Allowance

From the Actg. Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th October 1841.

Read the following Extract

Read the following letter

(5)

To Walter Elliot Esqre

Acting Secretary to Govt.

Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed by the Govr. General in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your dispatch dated 30th Ultimo on the subject of continuing to Syad Abdool Caudor and Syud Hossein the Monthly Pension of 10 Rupees enjoyed by their late Mother Rajah Sahiba sister of the late Jagheerdar of Woodeeaghery and in reply to state that as recommended by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council His Lordship in Council has been pleased to sanction the continuance of this Pension in the manner proposed

proposed by Mr. J. F. Stonhouse.

(Signed) J. H. Maddock
Secy to Govt. of India

Fort William
20th September 1841

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings of the 2nd August 1841 No. 310 and also to the Offices of Account and Audit.—

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(5-6)

To the Principal Collector of Nellore

To the Pl. Collr. of Nellore

In Con. 2nd Augt. 1841.

From Govt. 16th in Con. 25th Octr. 1841.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 20th July last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that sanction has been granted by the Supreme Government for the continuance of the Monthly Pension of 10 Rupees enjoyed by the late Rajah

Rajah Sahiba Sister of the late
Jaghiredar of Woodiagherry, to
Sons Syed Abdul Cauder and
Syed Hussain in the manner pro-
posed by you.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th October 1841

(Signed) W. B. Smollett
Secretary

From the Adjt. Genl. to Government

Read the following Extract
Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation under date the 18th
October 1841.—

(7)

Read.—

Extract from the Minutes of Consul-
-tation in the Military Department
14th October 1841.—

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to Government
Military Department

Sir,

By order of the Officer Com-
-manding the Army in Chief, I have
the honor to forward the corres-
-dence noted in the Margin, and am
directed to request that you will be
good enough to solicit the Right

Honorable

1 Letter from Officer Commanding Bangalore to Adjt. Genl. dated 29th Septr. 1841 & Encl.
2 Letter from Brigade Major Bangalore to Officer Comg. dated 30th Septr. 1841 with two Enclosures.
3 Copy of Letter from 2nd Apothecary E. Hope to the Asst. Surgeon dated 3rd May 1841.—

Honorable the Governor in Council
to give instructions for the Principal
Collector of the Salem District, to recover
the money due by 2nd Apothecary Hope
and forward it to the Brigade Majors
at Bangalore by whom an advance
of Rupees 63-8-8 was made on public
account.—

(Signed) R. Alexander Lt. Col.
Adjt. Genl. of the Army

Adjt. Genl. Office
Fort St. George
6th October 1841

To The Adjutant General
of the Army.

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward
you the accompanying letters
from the Brigade Major of this
Garrison stating that he advanced
the sum of Rupees 63-8-8 on the
3rd October 1840 to 2nd Apothecary
Hope who was transferred to the
Civil Department of the Zillah
of Salem agreeably

to

Fort St. George 25th October 184

do do do to G.O. 24th September
1840, and as the Apothecary does
not draw his Pay from a Militar
Paymaster, the Brigade Majo
is unable to recover the
amount having written
frequently to the Collector
and Apothecary but without
effect. —

I have therefore the
honor to request you will
have the goodness to take
the usual steps to cause
the sum to be recovered from
the Apothecary's Pay and forwa
to the Brigade Majors Office
or favor me with information
how to do so. —

Bangalore
29th September
1841

(Signed) J. Perry Lt. Col.
Commanding Bangalore

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

To
Lieutenant Colonel Perry
Commanding Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to report for
your information that Mr. Hope 2nd
Apothecary who was removed from the
15th Hussars agreeably to G.O. 24th September
1840 received his Passport and the sum
of Rupees 63-8-8 being his Pay for
September on receipt from the Brigade
Majors Office on the 3rd October 1840,
and proceeded to join his station at
Ajoor; applications have been made
repeatedly to the Collector and the 2nd
Apothecary for the amount, a Copy of the
reply is herewith sent, stating that two
distinct Abstracts had been sent for the
full amount, one for the Paymaster
at Bangalore, and the other for the
Paymaster at Trichinopoly; on my
writing to the Paymaster at Trichinopoly,
he states that the Apothecary has never
drawn Pay from his Office and
recommends me to apply to the
Collector

Fort St. George 25th October 18

Collector (his letter is herewith enclosed) W
regard to the other Abstract allud
to, I am informed by the Superintend
Surgeon of this Division that he
received the Apothecary's Abstract
from the Paymaster at Bangalore
and that being incorrect, it was
returned to the Principal Collecto
of Salem, a copy of the letter whic
accompanied the Abstract was for
=warded by me to the 2nd Apothecary
for his information and as I have
written frequently and cannot
recover the amount, I request you
will take the necessary steps to
cause the same to be recovered and
forwarded to me.

Brigade Major's Office
Bangalore 30th September 1841

(Signed) P. Shaw Captain
Major of Brigade

To The Principal Collector
Salem Zillah

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit
Copy of a letter received from the
Military Paymaster at Trichinopoly
date

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

dated 10th August 1841, and to request you
will be pleased to recover the sum of
Company's Rupees 63-8-8 being the
Amount advanced by me to 2nd Apothe
-cary G. Hope on the 3rd October 1841, and
pay it to the Officer Commanding at
Salem and obtain his receipt for the
same, and transmit it to the Military
Paymaster at Trichinopoly as therein
called for, who will forward the amount
to me by a Cash Receipt as soon as
convenient.

Brigade Major's Office
Bangalore 16th Augt 1841

(Signed) P. Shaw Captain
Major of Brigade

To Captain P. Shaw
Major of Brigade

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt
of your letter of the 30th Ultimo, I have
the honor to inform you that it does
not appear by the Records of this Office
that 2nd Apothecary Hope has drawn any
Pay since his arrival at Salem,
consequently you should apply to
the Principal Collector of Salem
and

Fort St. George 25th October 184

and request him to recover the sum
of Rupees 63-8-8 from the 2nd Apoth
=cary and pay it to the Officer Co
=manding at his Station, transm
=ting his receipt to me, a Cash C
Receipt shall be granted in you
favor for that amount

Pay Office, Southern Division
Trichinopoly 10th Aug 1841

(Signed) W. P. Macdonald
Paymaster Sn 2d

To

J. D. Cleig Esqre
Principal Collector
&ca &ca &ca

Sir,

Apothecary

In reply to the Memorandum
dated the 19th October and 24th November
1840 received from the Brigade Major
Mysore Division, I humbly beg
to state that in consequence of having
been removed in G.O.C.C. dated 24th
September 1840 from H.M. 15th King's
Hussars, I obtained my last Pay Certificate
from the Paymaster of the Regiment of my
having

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

having received Pay up to August 1840 and to no later
period. I therefore drew September's Pay on Receipt
on the 3rd October from the Brigade Major's
Office promising to forward my abstracts im=
=mediately on my arrival at this station which
had been done without delay one Abstract
dated from 1st to 24th September 1840 along with
my last Pay Certificate had been sent to the
Military Paymaster, Mysore Division, and
the other dated from 25th to 30th September 1840
sent to the Military Paymaster Southern
Division, the amount of both Abstracts
corresponding with the amount drawn on Receipt
from the Brigade Major's Office, The
Abstracts were both signed by me as
having Received Payment.

The Brigade Major's Memorandum
dated 19th October and 24th November 1840 calling on
me to remit the amount without delay, I consequently
forwarded the Abstracts as I thought sufficient to meet the
demand.

Apothecary,
3d May 1841

(Signed) S. Hope.
2d Apothecary.

The Right Honorable the
Governor in Council directs that the foregoing
letter be communicated, through the Revenue
Department, to the Principal Collector of
Salem.

Fort St. George 25th October 184

Salem with instructions to recover the Sum of Rs
/63/ Sixty three, annas /8/ Eight, and Pice /8/ eig
from 2d Apothecary Hope, and remit the amo
to the Brigade Major at Bangalore.-

The Principal Collector will a
the same time be called upon to explain
why he did not attend to the applications
made to him on the subject by the Brigade
Major of Bangalore.-

/Signed/ S. W. Steel Lt. Col.
Secy to Governm

Ordered to be communicated
to the Board of Revenue for their infor
=tion and guidance.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Aj Secy to Govt.

Order thereon.

7-8

Ordered that transcript of the forego
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with
of the communications contained therein be furn
to the Principal Collector of Salem for his
information and guidance. Mr. Gleig will receive
from 2d Apothecary Hope the amount indica
therein, and will report, thro' the Board of R
for submission to Government the reasons for
nonattendance to the requisitions of
Brigade Major at Bangalore on this

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 18th October 1841.

Read.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
in the Military Department under date
the 12th October 1841.

Read the following letter.

From the Aj Secy to Government ..... } To, The Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

9

Sir,

The Officer in charge of the Remount Depôt at Ossoor being about to purchase the House and Ground attached thereto, belonging to his Predecessor, represents that Captain Hunter neglected to obtain a Grant for the Ground enclosed which is a Piece of Waste Land immediately adjoining the Depôt and was allotted to him by the then Collector.-

2. As the conveyance of the Property cannot be formally made to Captain Hill without a regular Deed of

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

of Transfer and Grant. I have the hon
at his solicitation, respectfully to requ
that the Right Honorable the Governor
in Council may be pleased to authorize
the Collector to furnish the required Gran
to enable him to effect the purchase, and
that it may comprize the whole of the
Ground originally enclosed about the Premi
which Captain Hill states to be absolute
necessary for the purpose of exercising
the Horses that are constantly brought
there for exhibition and trial previous
to Purchase.-

Commissary Genl's Office,
Madras, 7th October 1841.

/Signed/ A. Tulloch
Lieut. Colonel
Commissary Genl.

Ordered that the foregoing
letter be communicated to the Revenue
Department, with a view to the
Principal Collector of Salem being au
=thorized, should there be in his opinion
no objection to the measure, to comply
with the request of Captain Hill

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

observing that the grant does not include
any Ground upon which public buildings
are erected, nor Ground enclosed for public
purposes.-

/Signed/ S. W. Steel.
Lieut. Colonel.
Secy. to Government.

Ordered to be communicated
to the Board of Revenue for their infor=
=mation and guidance.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon.

9-10

From the Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that transcript of the
foregoing correspondence be forwarded to the Principal
Collector of Salem, who will be pleased, agreeably
with the instructions of Government, to take steps for
complying with Captain Hill's application, if
there should be no grounds for objection thereto.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 19th October 1841.

11

Read the following:
Extract from the Proceedings of the Sadr
Udalut under date the 11th October 1841.

Read again Extract from the
Minutes

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department under date the 12th July 1841 communicating a copy of a letter dated 3d last from the Board of Revenue, relating to the right of succession to the estate of Cotapilly in the District of Rajahmundry.

Read also Return dated 27th Ultimo from the Provincial Court for the Northern Division to the Precept of the Court of Sudr Udalut under date the 30th August 1841. conveying their opinion and instructions in respect of the order of the Zillah Judge of Rajahmundry of the 28th April 1841 for putting Rakerlapoo Seetiah in possession of the Zemindary of Cotapilly.

1. In the Return above recorded the Provincial Court certify that the Acting Register in charge of the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry has in his Return of the 17th, to the Court's Precept of the 13th September 1841. reported that the Collector of

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

of Rajahmundry has been requested to consider all orders issued to him in this case as annulled."

2. The Court of Sudr Udalut direct that this information be communicated to Government with reference to the Court's Proceedings under date 30th August 1841 No. 119.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Acting Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department to be laid before Government.

/True Extract./

/Signed/ W. Douglas.
Register.

To, The Acting Secretary to Government
in the
Judicial Department.

Ordered that the foregoing Extract be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 3d of June 1841. No. 237.

/Signed/ Walter. Elliot.
Actg Secy to Govt.

14300. Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Order thereon.

(11-12)

It is observed that the date of Cottapilly Estate has been already peremptorily fixed for the 18th November next.

Read the following Resolution.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841.

(B/ 11 Pro. 11 Oct.)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government. The Right Honble the Governor in Council sanctions the charges reported in the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees /994—14—7/ Nine hundred and Ninety four, annas fourteen and pice seven.

/Signed/ W. Elliot.
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

(13)

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To, The Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to acquaint you that the Board of Revenue

To the Collr. of Masulipatam.

(13-14)

14301. Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

From Govt. 19th Octr. 1841. & Cons. 25th Do. (No. 1311.)

Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant Sanction for the charges incurred on account of Batta paid to the Huzzoor servants on Circuit in your District in Fusly 1248 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 994-14-7 as reported in your letters dated 24th September No. 89 & 90.

Revenue Dept.	843—11—5.
Magisterial D.	151—3—2.
Total	994—14—7.

& Cons. 11th Octr. 1841.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 25th October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett. Secretary.

Read the following Resolution.

Exctract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841.

/B/ 11 Pro. 30th Septr./

From the Actg. Secy to Government. Under the circumstances represented in the letter from the Zillah Judge of Salem, submitted with the foregoing Extract, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the refund of the sum of Rupees /80/ Eighty, erroneously levied by the District

(15)

14302. Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

District Moonsiff of Yadapady, and carried to the account of Government.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

15-16

To,
The Principal Collector of
Salem.

To the Pl. Collr. of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 19th Instant were pleased to sanction the refund of Rupees 80. to the district moonsiff of Ya- as applied for in your letter of the 19th Septr.

In Cons. 25th Octr. 1841.

In Cons. 30th Septr.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
25th October 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Report

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841.

(To Govt. 4th Octr.)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government.

17

Under the circumstances represented in the communication submitted with the above letter, the Right

14303. Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Honorable the Governor in Council authorises the Principal Collector of Salem to refund the sum of Company's Rupees/94-4-6/ Ninety four, annas four, and Pice Six, to Pudbanabiah, late Post Office Writer at that Station.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

Order thereon.

Ordered that Copy of the

17-18

foregoing order with transcript of the letter to the Chief Secretary to Government on which it is founded be furnished to the Principal Collector of Salem for his information and guidance with reference to his communication of the 18th September last.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841.

(From Bd. 4th Octr.)

From the Actg. Secy. to Government.- The Right

19

Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charge reported in the foregoing letter amounting to Rupees/344-4-9/ Three hundred and forty four, annas four and pice nine.-

/Signed/ Walter Elliot.
Actg. Secy. to Government.

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th October 184

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

To, The Collector of Sea Customs.

(19-20)

Sir,

To the Coll.r of Sea Customs...

I am directed by the Boar of Revenue to acquaint, that Government in date the 19th Instant has been pleased t Sanction the charge for the conveyance of Ploughs and other Articles for the use of the American Cotton Planters to Errode appl for in the letters from your Department noted in Margin, Amounting to Rupees 344-4-9.

In Cons.n 25th Oct.r 1841.

From the 15 Coll.rs 11th in Cons.n 24th June 1841.
From Do. 27th Augt in Cons.n 6th Sept.r 1841.
From the Coll.r 15th Sept.r in Cons.n 4th Oct.r 1841.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 25th Oct.r 1841.

/ Signed P. B. Smollet
Secretary

Read the following letters.

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(21)

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry...

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

That Moulvee Mahomed Abdul Rajack, Zemindar of Vellah, having become security for the due fulfilment of the engagements of Meerjah Saudeckally khan Saheb, Zemin- dar of Vencatagapollem, as referred to in the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have released the Vencatagapollem Zemindary from attachment:-

To Bd. 10th March 1841.
From Do. 22d Do. —Do.
To Do. 1st June —Do.
From Do. 26th July Do.

Vellah Rajahmundry,
Collectors Circuit Cutchy,
Samulcottah, 9th Oct.r 1841.

/ Signed G. A. Smith.
Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

(21-22)

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue,

(23)

that I have registered the Vencatagapollem Zemindary in the name of Meerjah Saudeck- ally khan Saheb, this day, with reference to the Correspondence noted in the Margin

To Bd. 10th March 1841.
From Do. 22d Do. Do.
To Do. 1st June Do.
From Do. 26th July Do.

Vellah Rajahmundry,
Collectors Circuit Cutchery,
Samulcottah, 9th October 1841.

/ Signed G. A. Smith.
Collector

The

14306 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Resolution thereon. The Registry of the Veerasy-
(23-24) -pollem Estate, reported in the foregoing
letter, seems unobjectionable.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Sir,
Rajahmundry. I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt of Mr.
(25) Sub Secretary Porter's letter of
the 30th Ultimo, with Copy of a
report on the improvement of
Bengal Pottery.

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Samulcottah, 18th October 1841. } Signed G. A. Smith.
Collector.

Order thereon.

(25-26) Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To

14307 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Coll.r of Sir,
Masulipatam. (2 and 1.) I have the honor to submit
a Statement of the Offers received for the
(27) rent of the Abkaree Revenues in this Dis-
trict up to this date, and to request that,
as the result shews an increase of Rupees
728-7-9 (as exhibited in Column No. 18.)
and as the proposers have given the usual
Security, they may be put in possession
of their farms.

2. With respect to the Divisions
of Ellore, Challapully, Yanmelwoy and
Madoor Ghutt, Chintalapoody, Nylawaram,
and Condapully, I regret to state that no offers
have been obtained, and that, therefore, it will
be necessary to retain them under Amany
Management.

3. The proposed Establishment
is now submitted for Sanction, the expense
thereof being exactly the same as that Sanc-
tioned for these Divisions last year.

Masulipatam, Coll.r Cutcherry, 11th October 1841. } Signed C. Grant.
Collector.

Statement

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

25th October 1841.

Renters of Abkary Revenue in the Zillah of Masulipatam

Fusly 1245.			Fusly 1246.			Fusly 1247.			Fusly 1248.			Fusly 1249.		
7			8			9			10			11		
Cks.	a	p	Cks.	a	p	Cks.	a	p	Cks.	a	p	Cks.	a	p
1,691.	6.	"	1700	"	"	1750.	"	"	1760.	"	"	1,800	"	"
731.	5	8.	662	6	5.	808	12	4.	800	"	"	810	"	"
110.	"	"	115.	"	"	120.	"	"	130	"	"	135.	"	"
635.	"	"	635.	"	"	650.	"	"	660	"	"	670.	"	"
9,574.	7	2	9,500.	"	"	9,500.	"	"	9,525	"	"	10,068	"	10.
694.	"	"	700.	"	"	700.	"	"	700	"	"	700	"	"
70.	"	"	70.	"	"	70.	"	"	71	"	"	78.	"	"
38.	"	"	38.	"	"	38.	"	"	38.	"	"	38.	"	"
57.	4	"	60.	"	"	62	"	"	64	"	"	64	"	"
900.	"	"	950.	"	"	1005.	"	"	1,068.	"	"	1,070.	"	"
335.	"	"	335.	"	"	340.	"	"	360	"	"	360.	"	"
411.	"	"	525.	"	"	555.	"	"	560	"	"	605.	"	"
500.	"	"	525.	"	"	540.	"	"	545	"	"	550.	"	"
15,747	6	10.	15,815.	6	5.	16,138.	12	4	16,281.	"	"	16,948	"	10.
128.	9	6.	250.	"	"	250.	"	"	255	"	"	189.	10	10.
15,876.	"	4	16,065.	6	5.	16,388	12	4	16,536.	"	"	17,137.	11	8.

14309

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

for fusly 1251.

Fusly 1250.

List.	Deduct Sibbandy Charges.	Remaining.	Names of the proprietors.
12	13	14	15
Chs. a P	Chs. a P	Chs. a P	
1,805 - -	- - -	1,805 - -	Vograla Seetapaty
813 - -	- - -	813 - -	Do — Do
137 - -	- - -	137 - -	Do — Do
680 - -	- - -	680 - -	Vamavaripoo Lutchmeepaty
10,240 5 7	936 - -	9,304 5 7	Gurlapaty Ammiah
724 8 -	- - -	724 8 -	Potarauze Prakasem
92 - -	- - -	92 - -	Rajulapaty Chelamy
38 - -	- - -	38 - -	Bundendy Peeracreddy
72 4 -	- - -	72 4 -	Dasenany Uchoolo
1085 - -	- - -	1085 - -	B. Paupaya. E. Veerapaddy and C. Veeraya
360 - -	- - -	360 - -	S. Ramasawmy &. Pallaty &c.
647 - -	- - -	647 - -	V. Iswaya and N. Venkatadry
551 - -	- - -	551 - -	Manoocendah Pariah
17,245 1 7	936 - -	16,309 1 7	Codaty Upjeah
179 6 8	- - -	179 6 8	Gurlapaty Ammiah
17,424 8 3	936 - -	16,488 8 3	

Masulipatam, Collectors Cutchery, 11th October

Last and highest bids for fusly 1251.

Dates of Offers			
16			
15th July 1841			offers has been deposited
15th Do	Do	Do	Do
15th Do	Do	Do	Do
7th Do	Do	Do	Do
24th Do	Do	Do	ab, P. Seetaramanah
7th May	Do		
26th June	Do		
Do	Do		
22d May	Do		urity given from
21st July	Do		
5th Do	Do		urity given by
15th Do	Do	Do	
31st Do	Do	Do	
24th Do	Do	Do	

1841-

, abitah -

14310

Fort St. George

No. 2. Moyenzabitah of the Establishment pro

Masulipata

25th October

for the Mana in the yillah of

for July 12

Names of the Divisions.	Names of the Purganahs.	List under Charing Pranagem for July 1250.	One Tenth of the Sick.	Comparison between Columns 8 & 12. Increase.	Decrease.
1	2	3	4	13	14
		Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.	Cr. a. p.
1 Ellore	1 Ellore Mootah including the Town of Ellore				
	2 Umberpettah Mootah				
	3 Goondoogolanoo Purganah				
	4 Cavally Mootah	8,285. 11. 3			
	5 Vasuntawadah Do				
	6 Mukkacherlah Do				
	7 Podapaudoo Do				
	8 Kodadapole Purganah				
	9 Pintapaudoo Do	3,574. 5. 3			
	10 Bakarzully Do				
	11 3 Villages of the Chavuma dra Mootah	33. 6. 3			
		11,893. 3. 3			
2 Davercottah.	Davercottah Purganah	2,566. 4. 3			
3 Yummulvoy & Madoor Ghutt	Yummulvoy and Madoor Ghutt	722. 4. 3			
4 Chintalapoody	Chintalapoody Purganah	566. 10. 3			
		1288. 14. 3			
5 Mylaveram	Mylaveram Purganah	555. 8. 3			
6 Condapully	Condapully Do	494. 8. 3			
		1050. 0. 3			
	Grand Total	16,798. 14. 3			
Masulipatam,	Collector's Cutcherry, 11th October				

Pers
Goom
Arra
Goom
Wh
Mes
Peon
828 9 "
Sad
Pen
Post
357 7 " Go
3 6 "
1189 6 "
256 10 " Go
128 14 " Pa
Goo
Peo
105 " " Sh
1679 14 "

Sho

14311 Fort St. George 25th. October 18[illegible]

Resolution thereon.- The Board of Revenue authorize the acceptance of the offers exhibited in the Statement submitted with the foregoing letter, for the several Abkary Farms of the Masulipatam District for which Rents have been obtained, the aggregate amount being Rupees 17,217 and exceeding the rents of the preceding Fusly by Rupees 728-7-

27-28

2 The Establishment of Servants for the management of the Divisions under aumanee approved- application for special sanction for their entertainment will be made to Government hereafter, if it should be necessary.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Jo

14313 Fort St. George 25th. October 1841.

To.

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. } Para.

I have the honor to submit a Statement of the offers received for renting the Inland and Consumption duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Division of Goodewadah and of Betel only in the Juggiapett Division, and regret to be obliged to state that there is no prospect of obtaining any offers for the remaining Divisions.

29

2. It will be observed that the offers which have been received shew a slight increase of only Rs. 1-12-4-

3. Last year, the duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Divisions of Goodewadah, Ellore and Juggia-pettah were rented out, while this year the duties on Betel and Tobacco for the former Division alone and only those on the Betel in the latter Division have been rented out leaving the duties on both articles in the Ellore Division and on the Tobacco in the latter Division under aumany.-

4. Under these circumstances, the accompanying list of the proposed Establishment for the Divisions of Ellore and Juggiapett is submitted for sanction amounting to Rupees 612, being 42 Rupees less than the cost of the Establishment for those Divisions in fusly 1249. This reduction is owing to the circumstance of the Tobacco alone in Juggia-pettah being under aumany in the present year, while in the former year both items were under management.

DESTROYED

/Signed/ P. Grant.
Collector
Statement.

Masulipatam, }
Coll.r Cutcherry, }
1st. October, 1841. }

14313

For

No. 1 Statement shewing the amount of Offer[illegible] received for faly 1251 in the Zillah of Masulipatam, compared with the amou[illegible]

Names of the Talooks.	Items.	Average Collections of 7 years from Fusly 1240 to 1246.		Collections of duty during the ascertained Management in Fusly 1247.		Collections of duty during the ascertained Management in Fusly 1248.		Rent amount for Dec Fusly	Remarks.	Establishment employments.	Pergunahs between Col. 9 & 13. Decrease.	
											15	
1	2	3		4		5		6	13.		CRs.	As. P
		CRs.	As P	CRs.	As P	CRs.	As P	CRs. 12. R.				
1 Goodewadah Talook	Beteb	2	12 3	5	12 3	4	3	7 "	The amount of one Kist has been deposited and security given by V. Nursanah and 8 P. Bapiah.	stees		
	Tobacco	159	10 2	200	2 5	174	11	205 "				
	Totah	162	65	205	14 8	178	15	212 "		castah		
								84 "	One fourth of the amount of rent has been deposited			
2 Suggiapettah	Beteb	57	7 9	64	11 4	68	9	7 "	Do Do			
								5 "	Do Do			
	Totah	57	7 9	64	11 4	68	9	96 "				
	Grand Totah	219	14 2	270	10	247	9	30[illegible] "			42	" "
											42	" "

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
11th October 1841

Goyenzabitah

Pro Cutcherry
1841

14313 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

No. 1 Statement for the Management of the Inland Consumption duties on Betel and Tobacco in the Purgunahs of A for Fusly 1251.

Names of the Talooks.	No.	Salary per Month.	Salary per year.	Employment	Proposed Establishment for Fusly 1251. No.	Salary per Month.	Salary per year.	Comparison between Col.s 9 & 13. Increase.	Decrease.
	1	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		C.Rs. As. P.	C.Rs. As. P.			C.Rs. As. P.	C.Rs. As. P.	C.Rs. As. P.	C.Rs. As. P.
	2	8 " "			2	8 " "			
	2	7 " "		Puguties	2	7 " "			
1 Goodewadah Talook	1	7 " "		Peons	1	7 " "			
	2	7 " "		Goomastah	2	7 " "			
	"	" 8 "		Peons	"	" 8 "			
	1	3 8 "		Saderwarah	1	3 8 "			
				Peon					
2 Suggiapettah	8	33 " "	396 " "		8	33 " "	396 " "		
	2	7 " "		Peon	2	7 " "			
	"	" 8 "		Peon	"	" 8 "			
	1	3 8 "		Saderwarah	1	3 8 "			
				Peon					
	1	3 8 "		Peon	1	3 8 "			
	1	3 8 "		Peon	1	3 8 "			
	1	3 8 "		Peon	1	3 8 "			
					7	" " "			
	6	21 8 "	258 " "		5	18 " "	216 " "	" " "	42 " "
	4	" " "	654 " "		"	" " "	612 " "	" " "	42 " "

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

C. C. Jr.

Signed P. Rio
Jr. Sd

14315 Fort St George 25th October 1841.

Order thereon- Ordered that the foreg-
-ing letter be submitted to Governme-
with a request that the Establisme-
proposed by the Collector of Masu-
-tam for the Collection of the Inla-
and Consumption duties on Betel and
-bacco in the Ellore and Juggiahpett-
Divisions which it has been found
impracticable to rent out be sanctio-
The cost of the Establishment being
Rupees 51 per mensem, or Rupees 612 p-
annum-

29-30

The Board of Revenue
propose to authorize the acceptan-
of the Offers enumerated in Encl-
-sure No. 1 for the Betel an-
Tobacco Farms of the
Goodawada Talook and th-
Betel Rent of the Juggiah-
Division-

Read the following

14316 Fort St George 25th October 1841-

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George-

From the Collr. of Guntoor

Sir,

With reference to the
concluding Para of my letter with
Enclosures under date 5th Instant
reporting on the Management
of the Wajsereddy Estate, I
have the honor to state that,
a Remittance of Rupees 5,385 only
was received from the Ma-
-naging Proprietor on the 11th
Instant- The Payments,
made by Ramanadha Bauboo-

31

over

14317 Fort St George 25th October 1841.

in the last and current years up to the 13th October, are as follows

Fusly 1250.

In August and September ——— 26,241.9

13th October ——— 5385 " "

31,626.9

Fusly 1251

In September ——— 5280 7 3

11th October ——— 5385 " "

10,665.7

Less Payments in Fusly 1251 ——— 2077.9.9

Guntoor —
Collectors Cutcherry
13th October 1841. —

(31-32)
Order thereon
(Read again in Con 29th Inst.)
in circulation.

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

Ordered to be sent again

30

14318 Fort St George 25th October 1841.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the Collr of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement of Proposals received for the rent of the Town duty on Betel, Tobacco, Ganja, and Bhang as also a Statement shewing the amount of Offers received for the Rent of Betel Gardens on account of the Transit duty on this article for the current Fusly in comparison with Fusly 1250 and to request the Board's sanction for the same.

33

+Town duty ——— £ 4,119 " "
+Inland Dy on Betel ——— 654 13 "

Guntoor ———
Collr's Cutcherry
13th October 1841.

Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

Statement

14319

No 1. Statement Comparative of the proposal

No	Towns	Tobacco	Betel	Ganja
1	Mungalagherry	202 " "	60 " "	4
2	Imaravaty	120 " "	28 8 "	1
3	Paralah	162 " "	33 4 "	"
4	Venkatapolem			
5	Nezampatam	811 " "	100 " "	10
6	Raujahpettah	245 " "	27 12 "	"
7	Chilcaloreepaud	141 " "	9 8 "	"
8	Innacondah	102 8 "	42	"
9	Nursarowpettah	178 " "	62 " "	"
10	Narrikul	120 " "	53 " "	2
11	Rapully	52 " "	10 8 "	"
12	Bhuttepaul	35 " "	24 " "	"
13	Dhooly poody	27 4 "	3 4 "	"
14	Atchemmapettah	12 " "	2 4 "	"
15	Suttanapully	58 " "	15 " "	"
16	Guntoor	50 " "	13 8 "	"
		" " "	1200 " "	220
	Total	2218 12 "	1687 " "	237

DESTROYED

Guntoor

1250.

	Bha	ncrease	Decrease	
		1 " "	6 " "	
		20 8 "	" " "	
		1 1/4 "	" " "	
		6 8 "	" " "	
		40 " "	" " "	
		" " "	" " "	
		26 " "	" " "	
		48 " "	" " "	
		3 8 "	" " "	
		" " "	" " "	
		" " "	4 " "	
		" " "	2 " "	
		" " "	2 " "	
		" " "	" " "	
		" " "	" " "	
		1 " "	171 8 "	
		145 12 "	185 8 "	
13th " Oc		Increase	145 12 "	
		Decrease	39 12 "	

State

Do. Do. Do.

Tellatoor	12 " "	5 " "	9 " "
2 Tsagamalollem	5 " "	5 " "	
1 Gotoor	5 " "	2 " "	
1 Mundady	22 " "	24 " "	
1 Dauvenamoolah	82 " "	27 8 "	
1 Gottepattah			9 " "

Gottepattah

13th October 1841.

1843 No 1

No													
4	Bauputtah Dr	1 Sundardoor	11	13	"	12	3	"	"	6	"	"	"
		1 Sunoomully											
5	Bellamcondah Dr	1 Challagoondlah	13	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	13	8	"
		1 Nacreculo	15	"	"	16	"	"	1	"	"	"	"
		1 Collesparah	333	"	4	143	8	"	"	"	189	8	4
6	Tasraly Dr	1 Toomnecoloor	181	1	"	126	4	"	"	"	54	13	"
		1 Dauvooloor	159	14	"	57	12	"	"	"	102	2	"
		1 Innavarunc	157	5	"	52	13	"	"	"	104	8	"
7	Dalchapully	1 Caurumpoody	4	102		18	"	"	13	5	10	"	"
19			1136	"	6	664	13	"	56	11	10	537	15 4

Dr Dr Dr

No offers have been tendered for the new Gardens before the close of Fasly 1251 Fs offerrare expected for about Rupees 200-

Deduct Increase — 56 11 10

Net Decrease — 481 3 6

Average

As per Statement sent to the Board on the 7th December 1842 — 1002 "

On Account of offers subsequently tendered

On account of new Gardens — 117 7 4

On account of the Garden at Yalchoor — 13 13 "

131 14 4

The sist on the Garden at Carempoor dy under Summary in last Fasly — 4 10 2

1136 " 6

Guntoor Collrs Cutchery 13th October 1841

E" E" per / Signed / I. Goldingham

Collr

The

14321

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

To the Secretary to Government

Proceedings thereon.-

The Board of Revenue are pleased to sanction the acceptance of the offers for the kuns and inland duties on Betel &c. &c. as tabulated in the statement forwarded by the Collector with the foregoing letter, deducting the Rupees 10,000 for the Pandy Kuns at the rate of 10 Rupees per Candy.

(33-34)

14322

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.-

Statement Shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready for Exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1840/41 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 18th October 1841.-

(35)

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1840-41.-	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already Stored & ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt Manufactured and remaining under estimated Heaps-	Remarks.-
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Bengal Mds B- M	B M	B M	B M	B M	
1	Eskapully	14 44	1,30,000	12,780	1,17,220	1,17,220	4	There being no chance of the within mentioned Salt being at present exported for Calcutta it will be reserved as a part of the one & a half Lacs of Bengal Maunds alluded to in para 2d of my letter of the 19th Octr. current & this Return will be discontinued for the present.-
2	Gogoolapully	14 39	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	4	
3	Varanee	14 37	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	4	
	Total		1,50,000	12,780	1,37,220	1,37,220	4	

Nellore Principal Collr's Cutcherry
19th October 1841.-

E. E. per / Signed / T. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collr

Statement

14324 Fort St. George 25

Order on the two foregoing Statements — Ordered to be recorded.—

35-37

Read the following letters—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Chingleput — Sir

With reference to the orders of the Board on the Statement of Contingent charges incurred in the Revenue Department during the fourth Quarter of Fusly 1250, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that three of the five sets of Scales and Weights ordered to be supplied to the Salt Depot of Covelong were conveyed direct to that place and the remaining two sets brought here to be kept ready for despatch when required. These Scales were subsequently forwarded to Covelong, No additional expense

38

14323.

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Statement of Salt for exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Covelong in the Villar of Chingleput up to 19th October 1841.

Quantity of Salt intended to be exported.	Quantity actually shipped on board	Remarks
Quantity already remaining to be exported.	Quantity ready for shipment	
	Quantity to be exported.	

Fasli 1840/41

Bengal Manuds

36

Chingleput District
Collr's Cutcherry Pallicanay
21st October 1841

3140 00 — 2340 3 — 2706 32 — 98 39 — 107 45

Collr's Office
Coll.r
Ordered.

14325 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

expense has been incurred by their being brought to Pullecarnay in the first instance. The cost of Cart hire for the three sets sent from Madras to Covelong by the Sea road was 19-4 Rs. a.

and of the two sets to Covelong via Pullecarnay 8-2 Rs. a. P.

Chinglepat District
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Pullecarnay 16th October 1841

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Resolution thereon.

39-39

Under the explanation contained in the foregoing letter the Board sanction the charge incurred by the Collector of Chinglepat for the conveyance of two sets of Scales and Weights from Madras to Pullecarnay amounting to Rupees 19-4

JGB

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

14326 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

To,

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

From the Coll.r of Chenglepat. . . .

To Board 12th Sept.r 1832.
From Do. 27th Do. "
Do Do. 1st Nov.r "

40

Referring to the correspondence noted in the Margin, the Board will observe that under date the 5th October 1832 authority to defend at the Public expense the Suit No. 24 of 1832 filed in the Centre Provincial Court by Vencataputty Naick Zemindar of Teroor in which Messrs. Smally, Davis, and Crowley were 9th 10th and 11th Defendants in their Official capacity as collectors was granted. and I have now to report that a Decree has been passed on the 26th July 1841. a copy of which is herewith submitted nonsuiting the Plaintiff as regards the 9th 10th and 11th Defendants with all costs. On the expiration of the time allowed for appeal a Motion will be filed on the Provincial Court to recover the above costs from the Plaintiffs.

Chinglepat District.
Collectors Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay 18th October 1841.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector
Centre

A

Centre Division

Provincial Court Original Suit No 24

Plaintiff

Vencatapaty Naick, present Zemindar of Terovvoor and son and heir of 3 the deceased Vencatasa Naick.

by Vakeel C. Ragavacharry.

Defendants

1 Runga Charry
2 Teroomala Chary
3 Soobramaneya Chy
4 Curnum Tamby
5 Ragoapaty Pillay
6 Chinniah Pillay
7 Coappiah Chy
8 Curnum Parvata Chy
9 Mr E. Smale
10 Mr A. Crawley
11 Mr W. D. Dowson

By the 9th 10th 11th Defendants Vakeel D. Streenevassa Chetty

(Signed) W. R. Taylor
1st Judge

L S

Decree of the Provincial Court.

1.

This Suit was instituted for the recovery of the sum of Rupees 6,61[illegible] 14-. being the amount value of the Maelvarum produce of the Village of Moorookunjairy for the year Soobanoo including the charges [illegible]

by the Plaintiff in a Criminal prosecution for forgery - Value of Mailwarum produce with interest 4,616-14-5 Charges 2,048-15-7.

2 It is represented in the Plaint that the Plaintiffs Father Vencatasa Naick became the proprietor of the Mittah of Terovvoor in Fusly 1232 or in the year Chitrabhanoo 1822 under a Mortgage and conditional sale by the father of the 3d Defendant named Guntor Vencataramiah the late proprietor: that shortly after taking possession of the Mittah it was discovered that one of the Villages Moorookunjairy had been granted by the 1st Defendant on Cowle granted to him by the father of the 3d Defendant upon the 1st Defendant being requested to produce the Cowle on which he rented the Village in question he shewed one for 20 years from Dantoo 1816 to Manmatha 1836/7 at the rate of 108 Pagodas Per Annum (including the Tank Mara which was to be received by the Renter) and exhibiting a balance of 73 Pagodas against 1st Defendant.

The

The Plaintiffs father imagining it to be a correct Cowle received the Kists at the rate specified 108 Pagodas and allowed the 1st Defendant to enjoy the Village to the end of Fusly 1232 - at the commencement of the succeeding Fusly 1233 the Plaintiff's father resumed the rent under section XIII Regulation XXX of 1802 and issued a notice that fresh Puttahs would be granted. The 1st Defendant and other Ryots refused to take fresh Puttahs and in consequence the Plaintiff's father applied to the Collector under clause 1st Section VIII Regulations V of 1822 for permission to grant their lands to other cultivators but the Collector rejected his Petition and confirmed the Cowle for 20 years held by the 1st Defendant - against this decision the Plaintiffs father appealed to the Courts and in August 1829 the Village was placed in the possession of the Plaintiff, his father having died in the year preceding - It is further represented that during the time the appeal was pending the Plaintiffs father obtained information which

which induced him to believe that the Cowle for 20 years had been fabricated and substituted for another true Cowle of the same date for the Village in question which had been granted to the 1st Defendant (exclusive of the Tank Mara) for 10 years at the rate of 117 Pagodas per Annum for the 1st five years, and 122 Pagodas for the remainder of the term - He inconsequence prosecuted the 1st 4th 5th and 6th Defendants criminally for being concerned in the forgery, but they were finally acquitted by the Court of Circuit under circumstances of great doubt and suspicison. Upon Petitioning the Fouzdaree Udalut regarding the illegal acquittal of the persons referred to the Plaintiffs father was directed to seek redress in the Civil Court -

3 It is further affirmed that the 1st and 2nd Defendants usurped the produce of the said Village and instigated the Curnums to write false accounts of the produces. This suit was accordingly instituted for the recovery of the following items -

Amount

Fort St George 25th October 1841.

Amount of Beriz of the Village of Moorroo-kunjairy enjoyed by the 1st Defendant for the year Soobanoo as per Dowle account of the year Rowdry after deducting the price of grain received by Plaintiff's father. 457-4-1

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of the year Tarna to 12th Pungoony of Cara - - - - - - - - - - - - 422-8-11

879-12-12

Amount of Beriz for the year Tarna - - - - - - - - - - - - 465-5-9

Interest thereon from 1st of Audy of Partevah to 12th of Pungoony of Cara - - - - - - - - - - - - 374-2-4

839-8-1

Amount of Beriz for the year Partevah - - - - - - - - - - - - 465-5-9

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of Veyah to 12 Pungoony of Cara - 318-4-10

783-10-7

Amount of Beriz for the year Veyah - - - - - - - - - - - - 465-5-9

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of Survajeetoo to Do - - - - - - 262-7-4

727-13-1

Amount of Beriz for the year Survajeetoo - - - - - - - - - - - - 465-5-9

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of the year Survadaree 12th Pungoony of Cara - - - - - - - - - - - - 206-9-11

671-15-8

Fort St George 25th October 1841.

Amount of Beriz for the year Survadaree - 465-5-9.

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of Verodee to Do - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 150-12-5

616-2-2.

Balance of Rent for the year Chitra: -baanoo - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 49-0-0

Interest thereon from 1st Audy of the year Soobanoo to 12th Pungoony of Cara - 49-0-0

98-0-0

Total Principal and Interest - - - 4,616-14-5

Value of 42 Stampt Papers used by the Plaintiff's father in appeal preferred by him under Regulation V of 1822 to the Zillah Court against the decision of the 9th Defendant re: garding the Cowle forged by the 1st Defendant - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 94-8-0

Fees of his Vakeel in the said Court. 51-12-5

Batta paid to his witnesses including the retaining fee of obtaining Copies - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 11-4-0

157-8-5

Value of Stampt Papers used for filing and obtaining Copies of Documents - - 13-0-0

Batta paid to witnesses by the Plaintiff's father at Criminal Court and Magistrate after deducting the amount paid by Circar - - - - - - - - - - - - 541-10-4

Amount of pay of the Agents employed by the Plaintiffs father in the Ma:gistrate, Criminal and Circuit Courts &c. from 23d July 1823 to 31st August 1829. 1025-11-8

Amount of Postages &c. paid by the Plaintiffs father for letters..... 107-1-6

Amount of expense incurred by him in attending before the Criminal Court &c. including the hire of Beavers.. 169-15-7

Loss sustained by the exchange of Bank Notes..... 7-.-2

Total 2,048-15-7

Grand Total..... 6,665-14-.

4th The 1st eight Defendants are sued as having taken part in favor of 1st Defendant in fabricating the Cowle for 20 years the 1st and 2nd for having enjoyed the produce. The 9th Defendant for having erroneously confirmed the forged Cowle and for giving up profsefsion of the Village to 1st Defendant the 10th and 11th Defendants are included as having acted in their Official Character to the prejudice of the Plaintiff and his father..

The

5 The 1st Defendant in his answer denied the truth of the Plaint and represented that the 3d Defendants father Vencataramier the late Mittadar of Teroovroor granted a Cowle to him for the Village of Moorookunjairy for 20 years at the rate of 108 Pagodas pr Annum on 19th Audy of the year Dautoo, he accord:ingly used to dis-charge the rent to the Zemindar as fixed by him. The 1st Defendant admitted the mortgage and sale of the Mittah to the Plaintiffs father but alleged that when the latter took profsefsion of the Mittah he inspect:ed the Cowle of the 1st Defendant for the Village of Moorookunjairy and offered no objection to it and he ac:cordingly paid him the rent for three years and upwards at 108 Pags, but in consequence of the illegal se:questration of the Village by the Plain:tiffs father and his refusal to receive the rent for the year Swabanoo 1823, it was not paid but he tendered the amount to

to the Collector who also declined receiving it. The 1st Defendant urged that in consequence of the refusal on the part of the Plaintiff's father to receive the rent the sum of Rupees 2,268 being the amount due for 6 years had remained in deposit and the 1st Defendant was ready to pay the same to the Plaintiff, but he denied the right of the Plaintiff to claim interest on the sum due on the other charges sued for. He maintained that he was placed in possession of the Village by orders of the Collectors 9th and 10th Defendants and if any loss had occurred to the Plaintiff they were responsible and not himself.-

6 The 2d Defendant in his answer stated that he was in no way responsible in the suit.-

7- The 4th 5th and 8th Defendants filed a joint answer denying the claims of the Plaintiff and representing that the 4th Defendant by order of Guntoor Vencataramiah wrote out a Cowle and Mochilka for the rent of the Village in question in the month of

of Audy of Dautoo and that the 5th and 8th Defendants attested the same by order of the said Mittadar but they denied being concerned in fabricating another Cowle as set forth in the Plaint.

8 The Government Vakeel filed an answer in behalf of the 9th 10th and 11th Defendants in which the responsibility of the three Collectors in succession is denied. It is represented that the former Collector Mr Smalley passed the order complained of by the Plaintiff in his official capacity and that he cannot be held responsible for orders issued in exercise of his public duties. It is maintained that of the Plaintiff sustained any injury from a judgment passed by a Collector under Regulation V of 1822 he should have proceeded by appeal to the Zillah Judge but there was no provision made in that Regulation as there is in Regulation IX of 1822 for rendering a Collector liable

liable to prosecution for any judgment passed by him under the former Regulation The Plaintiff's father sought his remedy by appealing to the Zillah Judge and the Collector cannot be supposed to be accountable for any loss arising from the delay in disposal of the case. The delay in the present instance arose chiefly from the irregularity of the Plaintiff's father in not having conformed to the Regulations.-

9 The Village in questions was acquired by private transfer and the sale not having been made for arrears of Revenue the section quoted by the Plaintiff XIII of Regulation XXX of 1802 did not apply.-

10 No reply or rejoinders were filed.-

11 The Plaintiff filed the following Documents.-

A An Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Circuit centre

Centre Division dated 17th June 1826.-

B An Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalut dated 30th Novr. 1826.-

C An Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut under date 7th May 1827.-

D An Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut dated 27th May 1827.-

E An Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudr Udalut dated 4th June 1829.-

F Copy of a Plaint filed No. 87 of 1818 on the file of the District Moonsiff of Tripassore.-

G Copy of an answer to the Plaint in O. S. 87 of 1818.-

H Copy of a Deposition given by Coppah Pillay 2nd Witness for Prosecutor before the Criminal Court of Chingleput

I Copy of a declaration given by Chinna Pillay before the Criminal Court.-

J Copy of an Ezara Cowle dated 22nd July 1816 or 9th Audy of Dautos certified by the Magistrate.-

Copy

K

Copy of a Dowle account of Estimated produce of the Village of Moorookunjairy for the year Rowdres (1800)

12 Four witnesses were Examined for the Plaintiff.—

13 In the points recorded by the Provincial Court on 15th February 1839 the Plaintiff was required to adduce proof that the Cowle for 20 years was not a genuine Dacument. if he failed to prove that point the suit was not to be proceeded with. If the 1st. point was established the Plaintiff was required to prove the amount of the Mailwarrum of the Village for the period for which he claimed it and the value of the different kinds of produce raised each year at the average market prices.—

14 The 1st ten Documents were filed by the Plaintiff in support of the 1st point and Document No. 11 was filed in order to shew the estimated amount value of the produce of the Village annually as the Plaintiff had not the means of

ascertaining the real or actual annual produce from the accounts having been withheld from him.—

15 No points were given to the Defendants the Court deeming it unnecessary

16 No witnesses were examined in behalf of the Defendants nor were any Documents filed by them.—

17 The Provincial Court having given attentive consideration to the whole of the proceedings connected with this suit are of opinion that the Plaintiff has by his Dacuments and evidence satisfactorily proved that the first Defendant substituted a fabricated Cowle for 20 years on more favorable terms to himself for the rent of the Village of Moorookunjairy in lieu of the one formerly granted to him by the ex-Mittahdar Vencatramier. The Court have referred to the Proceedings held in No. 2 of the Chinglepet Calendar 2nd Sept. 1826 connected with the merits of this suit and altho' the 1st Defendant and others were acquitted by the Court of Circuit of having forged the Cowle for 20 years

years in conjunction with Gyantoor Venca-
=traniah the Court have reason to believe
from the severe animadversions passed by
the Court of Fouydaree Udalut on the man-
=ner in which the trial was conducted,
that the Plaintiff's father had not
obtained that justice which was due
to him.—

18 From the Documents and evidence ad-
=duced by the Plaintiff there cannot in the opi-
=nion of the Court be a shadow of doubt that
the original Coule granted by Vencataramier (the
father of the 3d Defendant) to the 1st Defendant
was for 10 years only i.e. from Dautoo to Veerama
5 years at 117 Pagodas per annum and from Vi-
=shoo to Parteevah 5 years at an increased rates of
122 Pagodas and that the 2d Coule was subsequently
fabricated. The execution of the former Coule
by Vencatramier is fully proved by the
witness Sasbrayer who attested it and
the other witnesses arnachellum pillay
and Vencatachellum naick both of whom
deposed that they had seen it and
satisfactory proof has been adduced
that the 1st Defendant for 4 or 5
years

years continued to pay to the Mittahdar the
higher rent as therein specified The fraud
on the part of the 1st Defendant is apparent
from the Deposition of the witness Coppa-
=pillay before the Criminal Court of Chin-
=gleput, Copy of which is filed in the suit
and marked H and corroborated by the
statement of Chinnapillay 4th Prisoner (now
6th Defendant) before the same Court vide
document marked I. from the evidence
before the Court it is clear that the 2nd
Coule was fabricated in the year Chitra-
=bhanoo and at the time the witness Sas-
=brayer saw that instrument, the signa-
=ture of Gyantoor Vencataramier alone was
attached to it— this fact also commented
on in the Extract from the Proceedings
of the Fouydaree Udalut of 7th May 1827.—

The Curnum Arnachella pillay deposed
that the 1st Defendant enjoyed the pro-
=duce of the Village from Suabanoo to
Sarvadaree 1823 to 1828/9 and the 1st Defend-
=ant in his answer admits that he has
not discharged the rent for 6 years

Commencing

commencing from Swabanoo—The Provincial Court accordingly decree that the 1st Defendant shall pay the rent of the Village of Noorkunjairy from the year Swabanoo for 6 years at the rate specified in the original Coule namely 122 Pagodas per annum up to Verodee when the present Zemindar enjoyed the produce and the Court in consideration of the fraud committed by the 1st Defendant deem it equitable that simple interest at 12 per Cent should be allowed on the rent remaining undischarged at the expiration of each year commencing from Swabanoo up to the date of the institution of the suit—The amount claimed by the Plaintiff on account of Batta expenses &c. incurred in the Criminal prosecutions remains for the consideration of the Court—

19 The 1st item for Stamp paper, Vakeels fees &c. &c. Costs of suit in the Zillah Court amounting to Rupees 157-8-5 the Court disallow altogether—The decision passed by the Zillah Judge

Judge against the 9th Defendant under Regulation V of 1822 has not been filed in this suit but the Court have no hesitation in relieving the 9th Defendant (and as a matter of course the 10th and 11th Defendants) from responsibility in this suit—

20 The Court are of opinion that the orders passed by the 9th Defendant in his official character under Regulation V of 1822 cannot render him amenable to be separate Civil prosecution but that his Judgement was liable to appeal to the Zillah Court under Clause 1st Section XVI of the same Regulation—

21 The Court moreover consider that the decision of the Collector as far as the circumstances were known to him at the time the validity of the Coule held by the 1st Defendant (not them being disputed) was correct in as much that the Estate not having been sold or transferred for the liquidation

liquidation of arrears of Revenue Section XIII Regulation XXX of 1802 on which the application for the renewal of the Puttahs was grounded did not apply.— The Court relieve the 9th 10th and 11th Defendants from all responsibility in the suit and decree that the Plaintiff shall defray their Costs.— The Provincial Court also disallow the Charges used for on account of Carrying on the Criminal prosecution which are not only preposterous in the extreme but altogether unsustained either by Documents, evidence or precedent.— In cases of this nature the Batta charges are incurred by the Cirkar and paid by Government to such persons as may be in need of such aid.—

22 From the Record it appears that the 1st Defendant who alone could be considered the responsible person in the suit is dead and that his widow Cullance ummall and son in law Ragava Charry / since died also / were included as supplemental Defendants

Defendants.—

23 The Court consequently pass an award that the widow of 1st Defendant and any other heirs to his property shall be responsible to the Plaintiff for the sum decreed in his favor together with the Costs on the sum allowed.— The Costs on the sum allowed to be discharged by the Plaintiff.— The remaining Defendants are relieved from responsibility in the suit as it does not appear that they were implicated in the forgery and the Plaintiff will defray the costs incurred by them.— This award is passed under the provisions of Regulation VIII of 1831.—

Statement

14347 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Statement of the Costs of Suit payable by the parties.—

		Rs. a P	Rs. a P
	Costs incurred by the Plaintiff.—		
	Fees of his Vakeel on Rupees 6,665. Annas 14 are calculated according to C.S. XXX Regulation XIV of 1816	283 4 10	
	Value of Stampt Papers used by the Plaintiff.—		
1	Roll for plaint substituted for institution fee under Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816	250	
8	Rolls for detail of grounds	32	
1	Roll for Vakalutnamah	1	
1	Do. for Security Bond	1	
9	Do. for List of 11 Documents & 8 Witnesses.	38	
6	Do. Motions.	24	
			629 4 10
	of which payable by the widow of 1st Defendant and any other heirs to his property on the sum allowed.	.. .. ..	395 11 ..
	Do. paid by the Plaintiff on the sum disallowed		233 9 10
	Costs incurred by the Defendants.—		
5	Rolls for answer	20	
1	Do. for Motion	4	
			24 .. ..
	Of which paid by him on the sum allowed		14 11 ..
	Do. payable by the Plaintiff on the sum disallowed		9 5 ..
	Payable by the Plaintiff.—		
	Costs incurred by the other Defendants.—		
	Fees of the 9th 10th and 11th Defendants Vakeel on Rs. 6665. annas 14 are calculated according to clause 1st Section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816	283 4 10	
1	Roll for Motion	4	
5	Do. for Answer	20	
1	Do. for the answer of the 2nd Defendant	4 .. 4	
1	Do. Do. of the 4th 5th & 8th Defendants	4	
1	Do. Do. of the supplemental Defendants	4	
1	Do. Do. for their Motion	4	
3	Do. for list of 4 witnesses on the part of Supplemental Defendant	8	
			24 .. ..
		Total	331 4 10
		Grand Total	984 9 8

Given Vide this 26th July A.D. 1841.

By Order of the Court
/Signed/ W. B. Hawkins Regr.

This is to Certify that the Decree is signed and sealed this 4th Day of September 1841 and the appeal time expire on the 2nd December 1841.—

/Signed/ W. B Hawkins
Regr.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ A. Freese
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Order thereon.
(40-41)

Ordered to be recorded

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Chingleput. } Para 1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue forwarding a transcript of the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 20th September relative to the allowance of 5 Pagodas paid to one sheik Tippoo for the celebration of the Mohurrum festival for F. 1249 and to state for the information of the Board that previous to the objection made by Government in April regarding the above charge the allowance for F. 1250 was disbursed as usual, and I therefore request that the special sanction of Government may be obtained for that amount.

(42)

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

I have

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

I have caused it to be intimated to the parties that this allowance is not to be continued.

Chingleput District,
Collector's Cutcherry
Pullacarnay, 18th October 1841 } Signed A. Freese
Collector

Proceedings thereon

Ordered that

(42-43)

transcript of the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction be granted for the disbursement of Rupees seventeen and Eight annas to Sheik Tippoo for the celebration of the

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

the

14350 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

the Mohurrum Festival in Fusly 1250 the Charge having been incurred by the Collector of Chingleput previous to the receipt of the orders of Government of the 20th September last.

in Cons 30 Sept 1841

Read -

L B

14351 Fort St George 23d October

Read the following letter -

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George

From the Pt Collr of Nth Arcot

Sir

Para 1. The enclosed letter from the Sub Collector, I have the honor to transmit requesting

Vol 31 Pp 42 sanction for the expence of erecting a Pendal at Vaughapundal for the accommodation of his Servants and Cistomers while preparing the Settlement of this Division for Fuslies 1249 and 1250 -

44

2 - Vaughapundal is convenitently situated for the Settlement of the Villages in his charge but contains no place of shelter for so large a number of public servants as assemble on these occasions, and as the charge was not objected to formerly, I beg to again submitted for sanction -

25th Decr 1839 -

North Arcot
Pt Collrs
Cuddapah Cutcherry
Palmanair 11th October 1841.

Signed G. A. Ogilvie
Pt Collr

14352 Fort St George 23 October 1841.

To

The Principal Collector
in the Northern Division
of Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Memorandum of the Cost of constructing a Pendal at Vaughapundal for the Jummabundy Cutcherry in Fushes 1249 and 1250 amounting to Rupees 31-12-2 exclusively of the receipts for Materials sold in each year after the conclusion of the Jummabundy.—

These Pendals were necessary for the accommodation of the Talook Establishments as well as of the numerous Cummums assembled, and I have the honor to request that you will obtain sanction for the disbursement.—

North Arcot District.
Sub Collr. Cutcherry,
Arcot 15th September 1841.

I. O. Boundillon
Sub Collr.

Statement

14353 Fort St George 23 October 1841

A.

Statement of Charges incurred for erecting a Pendal on account of the Jummabundy Settlement for Fushes 1249 and 1250 in the Sub Division of North Arcot.

			Rs	As	Ps
		For Fusly 1249.—			
5	Bamboos large			3	2
10	Do. small			4	
5	Bundles of small Bamboos			8	
5	Do. of Cadjanee Bamboos			8	
22	Bamboos or Varay		1	11	6
	Ropes		1	13	3
12	Bundles of Straw		1	8	
2	Bandies hire for conveying	Do.	1	8	4
1	Bricklayers hire for	7 Days	2	3	
4	Do.	for 33 days	3	4	
11/4	Coolies for capsiting	Do.	1	0	6
5	Coolies for 1/2 Day for bringing Sand			9	2
			20	6	11
	Deduct the amount of the Materials sold by Auction		8		
	Remaining				12 6 11
	Carried forward				12 6 11

			Rs	A	Rs A P
	Brought forward		"	"	12 6 11
	To Fuely 1250.				
113	Bamboos large or Vadimboo		7	3	9
20	Bundles of Bamboos or Caulance		3	4	"
"	Coir Ropes &c.		1	8	"
3	Bandies hire for conveying Bamboos		2	10	"
"	Straw Bundles		"	10	"
1	Bricklayers hire for 6 Days		1	14	"
3	Do	for 28 Days	7	"	"
3	Coolies for 8 Days		1	"	"
4	Do for 2 Days		"	10	"
	Deduct the amount of Materials Sold by Auction		25	11	9
			6	6	6
	Remaining		"	"	19 5 3
	Total Rupees				31 12 2

North Arcot District

Sub Coll.rs Cutcherry E.E. (signed) J.D. Boudillon

Arcot 15th September 1841 Sub Coll.r

Ordered

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter—

To The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy to Government

(44-45)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of North Arcot with its enclosures and under the circumstances therein stated to recommend that sanction may be granted for the sums of Rupees 31 12 2 being the Nett Amount of Charges incurred by the Sub Collector in erecting a Pendal at Vaughapmdal for the accommodation of the Public Servants and others assembled there on the occasion of preparing the Settlement

14357 For A. S. Grove 25 October 1841

Settlement of the Circle Cutcherry Rent and Revenue Stamps for the year 1247 and 1248 F.

Revenue & Board Office
Fort St. George
25th October 1841

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith for the Secretary to the Board of Revenue's information a Statement of the Circle Cutcherry Rent and Revenue Stamps for the year 1247 and 1248 F. and to request that you will be pleased to submit the same for the Sanction of the Board of Revenue

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) A. S. Grove

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Read the following letter
from the Collector of North Arcot
dated 12th Instant
Ordered that the Estimate
accompanying the same be submitted
to the Board of Revenue
(Signed) A. S. Grove
Secretary

(49)

Estimate for making New & repairing 3 Brass Plates for the use of the Cutcherry at Arcot

Actual Charges in last Fasly	Estimate Charges in this Fasly	Increase	Decrease	Explanation of the Principal Collr
Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	Rs As Ps	
For making 6 New Brass plates including Brass and hire for Engraving	" " "	6 2 10	6 2 10	" " "
For repairing 3 old Brass plates	" " "	" 12 "	" 12 "	" " "
Total	" " "	6 14 10	6 14 10	" " "

North Arcot Collr Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 12 October 1841

E. E. (Signed) G. M. Gilvie
Pro. Collr

Resolution—

Resolution thereon.

(46-47)

The Board authorize the proposed charges of Rupees 0—14—10 for making & New and repairing 3 old brass plates for the use of the Cutwals Peons at Arcot. The actual disbursement should be entered in the Quarterly Statement of Charges.

Proceedings.

GENERAL RECORDS DESTROYED

Proceedings.

(48)

The Board proceed to take into consideration the report of the Principal Collector of Salem upon the management of the Extra sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1250 contained in the letter noted in the Margin.

1. The demand under the head of Sayer for Fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 137,947-1-8 of which Rupees 130,747-1-8 consisted of the Sayer Collections under Ammane and Rupees 22,200 was the amount of the Betel and Tobacco rents. In the former there was an increase of Rupees 7,174-0-4 and in the latter a decrease of Rupees 1800 making the net increase in the Current Collections for the year ammnt to Rupees 5,374-0-4. Statement No. 8 shews that the increase was pretty general on almost all articles liable to duty, the items of decrease being few and of very inconsiderable amount. The gross Collections amounted to Rupees 152,091-2-15 and the charges, which were

were less by Rupees 215-11-2 than in Fusly 1249 to Rupees 18715-9-9 leaving a Net Revenue of Rupees 143375-9-1 being Rupees 4867-10-4 in excess of the Net Revenue of Fusly 1249.-

2.- The Statement annexed to Para 6 of the Collectors report shews a material improvement in the gross Collections on Net Revenue under the head of Sayer in the year under review as compared with those in Fusly 1247. the first year of Amanie management. The gross Collections having increased by the sum of Rupees 57,617-8-10 and the Net Revenue by Rupees 52,702-9-8.-

Abkarry

3.- The Abkarry rents for Fusly 1250. exceeded those of the preceding year by Rupees 5,730- The gross Collections including Extra Collections Rupees 11-17-7. amounted to Rupees 77,386-1-7. shewing an excess of Rupees 5,774-12-7. above the Gross Collections of Fusly 1249.- There were no charges under this

this head- It appears that this branch of Revenue has been progressively improving since Fusly 1247 the amount of the rents for the year under review being above those of Fusly 1247 by Rupees 14,035

Sundry Small Farms and Licences.-

4. The demand under this head shews an increase of Rupees 1,160-5-3. the particulars of which are detailed in the Statement annexed to Para 9. of the Collectors report.- The Gross Collections which also comprises the Net Revenue as there were no charges amounted to Rupees 9,092-15-1. being Rupees 258-10-11. below the Revenue of Fusly 1249.- This was was owing to the amount of arrears brought to account in Fusly 1249 being considerably larger than in the year under review.- There was a balance of Rupees 301 due at the close of the Fusly which has been reduced by subsequent Collections to Rupees 71.-

Para 9

Mutrapha

5. In the Mutrapha Settlement there was an increase of Rupees 383-14-11 the

the result of an increase of Rupees 322—13—8 in the Gin Motumpha, and a Decrease of Rupees 438—15—8 in the Loom Tax.—

6.— The total demand for the year was Rupees 71,934—2—3. of which Rupees 71,847—15—0. were realized within the Fusly and Rupees 39—12—3. subsequently leaving a balance of Rupees 90—5—6. due at the date of the Collector's report of this Rupees 27—1—10. are represented as recoverable and the remainder doubtful.—

7.— The Gross Collections within the year amounted to Rupees 72,377—9—11 and the charges which were the same in amount as in Fusly 1249. to Rupees 10,452—4—6 leaving the Net Revenue Rupees 61,925—5—5 which exceeded the Net Revenue derived from this source in Fusly 1249 by Rupees 607—11—2.—

Stamps 8. The Stamp Collections of the year amounted to Rupees 16,723—14—11 and exceeded those of Fusly 1249 by Rupees 589—15—11. The

Extra Collections under this head were however Rupees 441—11—4. less than in Fusly 1249. in consequence there having been less fines levied.

Para 17. owing to this and a small increase of Rupees 1—11—2. in the charges the net revenue exceeded that of Fusly 1249. by Rupees 40—5—6 only. The amount being for Fusly 1249. Rupees 15,441—8—2 and Rupees 1587—13—8. in Fusly 1250.—

Total.— 9. The Statement annexed to Para 15. shews an increase in the demand under every head of Extra revenue in Fusly 1250. amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 18,290—9—7 The Settlement for Fusly 1249. being Rupees 3,24,054—3—2. and for Fusly 1250 Rupees 3,37,350—12—9. The gross Collections for Fusly 1250 amounted to Rs. 3,38,349—11—5 and the charges to Rs. 29,981—7—7 being less by Rs. 213—5—7 than the charges of Fusly 1249.— The Net Revenue, after deducting charges exhibits an increase above that of the preceding year of Rupees 11,137—12—8. a result which the Board consider very

very satisfactory, and creditable to the Principal Collectors management, particularly when it is considered that the Net revenue of Fusly 1249 shewed an advance of no less than 2500 4 - 6 - 2 upon that of the year immediately preceding. —

10. With reference to the observation contained in the 5th Para of the Minutes of Consultation dated the 16th March last, the Principal Collector has furnished a Statement in Para 18 shewing the particulars of the balances outstanding for Fuslies prior to 1230. From this it appears that the amount due at the commencement of the last mentioned Fusly was Rupees 5,999 - 4 - 4. of which Rupees 475 - 4 - 4 have been either brought to account or remitted within the year leaving Rupees 5524 - - 4. still outstanding viz.

Sayer Fusly 1242.	5453 - 11 - 0
Mothupha Fusly 1249.	32 - 12 - 3
Small Farms Fusly 1248.	37 - 9 - 1
	5524 - - 4

11. The first mentioned item as has been noticed in former proceedings is due by parties who

In Cons. 29 March 1841

who held rents in Salem and North Arcot conjointly in Fusly 1242, and the Principal Collector of Salem now reports that having, agreeably to the instructions from the Board placed himself in communication with the Principal Collector North Arcot, he has ascertained that there exists no chance whatever of recovering this balance. The Board resolve therefore to recommend that it may be struck out. The Board also recommend under the circumstances represented by the Principal Collector that sanction may be granted for writing off 27 - 3 of Mothupha balance of Fusly 1249. and Rupees 37 - 9 - 1 due on account of Sundry Small Farms and Lascars for Fusly 1245. The total amount recommended for remission is thus Rupees 5,518 - 4 - 4. —

Sayer Fusly 1242 -	5453 - 11 - -
Mothupha 1249 —	27 - - 3
Small Farms 1245 —	37 - 9 - 1
	5518 - 4 - 4.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings with the annexed comparative Statement of the Collections charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and that a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Salem for his information and guidance. —

Salem

	Linnen								
	Stück								
	Zwirn								
	Ab Fenster								
	Pomerd								
	Daimet in Ile ll Pfd								
	Cimerd Collection								
Pfennige	Ecke Pf								
	Linnen								
	Stück								
	Zwirn								
	Ab Fenster								
	Pomerd								
	Daimet Collection								
Thlr.	Ecke Pf								
	Linnen								
	Stück								
	Zwirn								
	Ab Fenster								
	Pomerd								
	Daimet Collection								
	Ecke Pf								

14367 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Read the following letters.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Pt Collr of Salem

Sir,

(49) Having has yet received no reply to my communication of 15th Ultimo. I have again made application to the Court for another Month in extension to file my answer to the O.S. No. 203 of 1841 and request the early attention of the Board to the subject.

Salem Lillah. Paleode
Pt. Collrs Cutcherry
18th October 1841

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Pt. Collr

49-50
Order thereon
(vide letter to the Pt Collr
11th October 1841)

Ordered to be recorded

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the Pt Collr of Salem

Sir.

(51) I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a Copy of the 2nd Edition of the Law relating to India received with Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 6th Ultimo, and have with to return the 1st Edition of the same work.

Salem Lillah. Paleode.
Pt. Collrs Cutcherry
19th October 1841

/Signed/ J. D. Gleig
Pt. Collr

51-52
Order thereon
J.S.B

Ordered to be recorded

Read

14368 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Read the following Ltr.

To

The Sub Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir.

From the Asst Collr in the 3rd Divn of Arcot

(53) I have the honor to acknowledge Extract from Board's Proceedings of 23rd September enclosing copy of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation and in reply to state that the recommendation for the large amount of Tuccavie for this season in South Arcot was made by the late Commissioner Mr. Dent and his reasons given at the time dated 9th July 1841.

2 As a very large balance remained at the close of the expired Fusly, I am afraid that I shall experience some difficulty in the collection of this sum in addition to the fixed assessment — The late Commissioner's knowledge

Fort St. George 25th October 184

knowledge of the District would have enabled him to furnish information on the other required point my short acquaintance with South Arcot only guides me to the opinion that the distribution of Tuccavie need not be greater than in this highly assessed Districts and I trust that in future years it will be small for I consider the practice of very doubtful advantage to the Cultivators.

Cuddalore
Collectors Cutcherry
12th October 1841.
53-54

Order thereon
Read again in
Cons. 4th
November

Signed C. H. Hallett
Acting Collector

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Read the fol-
lowing letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir

Fort St. George

From the Pl. Collr. of Tanjore

In reply to
Mr. Porter's letter of the 30th
Ultimo, I have the honor
to inform you that the
clandestine abstraction of
Gram from the heap
before a Division
of

55

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

of the introduction between the Ryot and Government has to Revenue place in this Province treated as any other theft of Grain would be, and that no system is here in force similar to the Shareem in Masulipatam.

Tanjore ----
Tellum 16th October 1841

Order thereon,

55-56

Signed A. W. Kindersley
Dl Collr.

Ordered to lie on the table

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

57

From the Collr of Sir
Tinnevelly

Soliciting the attention of the Board to the late Collector's letter of 17th October 1840 regarding the Arrears due by the Shekaghery Zemindar

I have the honor to request the attention of the Board to Mr. Thompsons letter of the 17th October 1840 reporting on a Petition from the Zemindar of Shevaghery who solicited the indulgent consideration of Government in regard to the payment he had engaged to make in liquidation of the arrears outstanding against him when the Estate was restored

Depending on the Government favorably receiving the prayer of his Petition recommended so strongly by the late Collector the Zemindar has not for the past Fasly paid the amount on account of arrears according to the engagement he entered into and with the very forcibly expressed document which Mr. Thompson has recorded in the Zemindars favor I do not feel disposed to urge the discharge of the stipulated instalment.

R 9431

From

From the enquiries I have made I am happy to be able to state that the Character of the present Zemindar is such as to justify the good opinion Mr. Thompson entertained of him, and there can be no doubt that the annual payment of so large a sum as 94431 Rupees in addition to the fixed Peishcush of Rupees 56000 is a drain on the resources of the estate injurious to the interests of both Zemindar and Ryots and a check to the improvement of the Country

Under the circumstances already stated by Mr. Thompson especially the fact that the greater part of the Balances accrued when the Estate was under management and that the Zemindar has already paid considerably more than the amount of the original Balance, the case of the Zemindar appears so peculiarly deserving of the indulgence of Government that I hope I shall be excused in again bringing it to the notice of the Board. The Peishcush for 1250 was received in full within the

Fasly, but unless I receive the orders of the Board to the contrary, the demand will not be made for the instalment on account of arrears for the same period

Tinnevelly Zemcausey
9th October 1841.

Signed/ W.C. Montgomery
Collector.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the foregoing letter,

To

The Actg Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secty to Government
2 Lrs

57-58

9th Cons 15 Octr 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before Government for orders the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly calling attention to the arrears of Revenue due by the Shevagurry Zemindar the circumstances of whose case were brought to notice in Mr. Thompsons letter of the 17th October 1840 and fully reported

14375 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

In Con 19 Novr 1841 Reported upon in the communication from this Office under date the 19th November last.

2 The sentiments of the Board of Revenue having been so explicitly stated on that occasion it seems only necessary now to solicit the orders of Government in this reference — It will not escape the attention of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that Sir Henry Montgomery fully concurs with his predecessor Mr Thompson in the propriety of remitting the further demand of arrears still due by the Shevagerry Zemindar

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
25th October 1841

Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read

PBS

14376 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Read the following report.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Canara — 3 Parcels.
Reporting on the Settlement of Canara for Fusly 1250.

In English & Hindoostanee
ABCDEFG&H
Nos 1235 58 127 13
In Hindoostanee alone
KLMN&O

59

I have the honor to submit the prescribed Accounts & Statements together with my report on the Settlement of the Land Revenue of this District for Fusly 1250.

2 The several Talooks were settled as follows, Buntwal, Udapi, Barcoor, Coondapoor, Honore, and Ankola by myself, Supah, Sundah and Belghi by the Sub Collector Mr Edward Maltby and Mangalore & Bekul by Mr Lushington, the present Additional Sub Collector.

3 The Monsoon was somewhat unfavorable in the early part of the season, the rain having been late in setting in and insufficient in quantity during the Month of June — Upon the whole, however, the fall of rain was not below the average, and with exception of the Supari and Cardamums crops the season proved favorable to all the products of the District — Small

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

4

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit to you a Statement of the Quantities of Rice exported from the Ports of Madras and Calicut during the Fusly year 1250, and also with the Quantities exported from those Ports during the five preceding Fusly years, together with the Quantities of Canara Rice exported from Mangalore during the same Years.

2. I have also the honor to transmit a Statement of the Quantities of Rice exported from the Ports of Madras and Calicut during the Fusly year 1250, distinguishing the Quantities exported on the Ships Account, and on Account of Individuals.

3. I have also the honor to transmit a Statement of the Quantities of Rice exported from the Ports of Madras and Calicut during the Fusly year 1250, distinguishing the Quantities exported to Ports in the Bay of Bengal, and to Ports in the Arabian Sea.

4. I have also the honor to transmit a Statement of the Quantities of Rice exported from the Ports of Madras and Calicut during the Fusly year 1250, distinguishing the Quantities exported to Ports in the Territories of the Honorable the East India Company, and to Ports in Foreign States.

5. I have also the honor to transmit a Statement of the Quantities of Rice exported from the Ports of Madras and Calicut during the Fusly year 1250, distinguishing the Quantities exported to Ports in the Territories of the Honorable the East India Company on the Coast of Coromandel, and to Ports in the Territories of the Honorable the East India Company on the Malabar Coast.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient humble Servant,
Thos. Munro

Fusly

	Total Quantity of Rice exported		Deduct Share Produce		Total Quantity of Canara Rice exported	
	Candys	lb	Candys	lb	Candys	lb
1245	23,918	16 3/4	920	28	22,997	30 3/4
1246	27,573	9	1,428	8	27,145	1
1247	26,567	20 1/2	705	1/4	25,862	6 1/2
1248	32,034	2	3,014	36 1/2	29,019	7 1/2
1249	23,505	19 3/4	846	-	22,659	19 3/4
Average	26,719	30 1/2	1,183	1/2	25,536	30
1250	24,506	11 3/4	915	34 1/2	23,590	19 1/4
Decrease below the Average					1,946	10 3/4
Increase above Fusly 1249					930	4 1/2

The

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.-

8.-

Fusly	Average per Candy of Sicca Rice			Average per Candy of Paddy Rice		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1245	74	3	10	52	15	4
1246	74	8	"	55	11	2
1247	76	11	6	56	7	3
1248	89	7	9	67	1	3
1249	92	2	4	70	14	10
Average	81	6	8	60	9	11 1/2
1250	81	6	2 3/4	59	9	4 1/4
Decrease	"	"	5 1/4	1	"	7 1/4

The prices obtained by the Ryots for Rice in the Payangy during the fusly under report compared with fusly 1249 as also with the average of the 5 years preceding, are shewn in the Margin. Although below the average, owing to the high rates of 1248 and 1249, yet the prices obtained in the past year, were still above the Standard commutation rates.-

9- The subjoined Statement exhibits the prices of the Balaghaut Staples, from which it will appear that in Suparie there was a fall of Rupees 5- 11- 1/2 per Candy, compared with the preceding year; and in Pepper and Cardamums there was a rise in price of Rupees 2- 11- 10 1/2 per Candy in the former, and Rupees- 6- 5- 11 in the latter.-

Fusly	Suparry per Candy			Pepper per Candy			Cardamums per Candy		
	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
1249	39	3	3	5 1/4	12	4	348	9	1
1250	33	8	2 1/2	5 7	8	2 1/4	332	15	"
Decrease	5	11	1/2 Incr.	2	11	10 1/2 Incr.	6	5	11

The

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.-

10 The Suparie Crop, the most important product of the Balaghaut, was below the usual Estimate, but in the two preceding years, the produce as well as price of this article was favorable; and as the export of Pepper exceeded that of the preceding year, the Balaghaut Ryots cannot on the whole have suffered much from the deficiency in the Suparie crops.-

11.- The produce of Cocoanuts is considered to have been below the average; but the price obtained for this article was Rupees 15- 14- 8 3/4 per C.C. being an increase of Rupees 1- 5- 9 3/4 above the preceding year.- The plantation of Cocoanut Trees continues to extend every year.-

	Rs	as	p
Cocoanuts per C.C. for 1249	14	8	3 1/4
1250	15	14	
Increase	1	5	

12 The following Statement exhibits the total Exports by land and sea of the Balaghaut Products.-

Suparry

	1249	1250	Increase	Decrease
	Candies	Candies	Candies	Candies
Supary.				
Land Customs	15,636 12 35/40	13,640 14 21 15/40		1995 4
Sea Customs	7,197 1 26/40	8,412 9 13 14/40	1215 7 20	
Total	22,833 3 26/40	22053 7 11 26/40	Net Dec.	779 16
Pepper.				
Land Customs	362 11 23 14/41	496 14 7 35/40	133 2 13 25/40	
Sea Customs	1112 12 15 39/40	1786 10 23 13/40	673 18 7 33/40	
Total	1475 4 10	2282 5 3 8/40	807 " 21 8/40	
Cardamums.				
Land Customs	128 2 9 34/40	109 18 5 33/40		18 4
Sea Customs	93 10 25 14/40	35 18 " 30/40		57 12 24
Total	221 13 4 14/40	145 16 6 23/40		75 14

13. The lateness of the setting in of the Monsoon proved favorable to the Manufacture of Salt in the year under report, 1098 Garces or 43 per cent having been produced above the quantity manufactured in the year preceding by which the Ryots have derived considerable benefit.

14 The Settlement of the Land Revenue with the amount of the additions and reductions in each Taluk is exhibited in the following Table, from which it will be observed that the net Increase of the year amounts to Rupees 12006 5 10. Taluks

	1249 Garces	M.	1250 Garces	M.	Increase	M.	Decrease	M.
Tuticorin	2,534	185	3,635	278	1098	113		
Per Centage					43			

14383

Fort St. Geo

Talooks.

Pharao Settlem

of 1250 of 1249

	Rs a p	p a
Mangalore	2,19,048 14 8	2,15,196 -
Bekul	2,28,386 15 3	2,25,101 -
Buntwal	2,84,771 12 6	2,36,777 13
Udapi	1,79,056 11 2	1,75,190 13
Barcoor	1,94,151 10 5	1,87,299 14
Coondapoor	2,50,437 9 6	2,20,564 5
Honore	2,09,634 10 10	1,79,840 5
Ankolu	1,52,698 14 10	1,18,122 -
Supah	1,13,795 8 9	77,406 12
Sondah	1,68,495 1 4	1,23,318 9
Bilghi	68,932 12 5	55,085 2
	20,69,409 9 7	18,20,444 13
Quit Rents	188 7	
	18,20,633 4	

25th October 1841.-

Alterations in 1250

Additions	Reductions	Settlement of 1250	Increase in 1250 above 1249
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
326 12 -	56 - -	2,15,466 12 6	270 12 -
330 11 -	154 15 3	2,25,284 2 1	183 11 9
2,907 3 11	744 6 7	2,38,942 10 9	2,162 13 4
480 7 2	70 10 -	1,75,600 10 9	409 13 2
787 13 11	16 7 8	1,88,071 4 8	771 6 3
2,332 9 6	226 2 -	2,28,610 13 -	2,106 7 6
2,259 12 10	1,162 13 7	1,80,937 4 6	1,096 15 3
1,073 8 1	637 8 -	1,18,558 - 5	436 - 1
4,270 2 5	1824 13 7	79,852 - 10	2,445 4 10
2,002 2 8	517 11 10	1,24,802 15 10	1484 6 10
1,022 11 3	384 - 5	56323 13 7	638 10 10
17801 14 9	5795 8 11	18,32,451 2 10	12006 5 10
" " " " " "		101 14 9	
		18,32,553 1 7	

Tho

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

15 The particulars of the additions and reductions in the settlement, are specified in the subjoined Statement.

Additions.-		Reductions.	
Vydahs	7,338–4–1	Mooraseib Pahdar	533–13–2
Mooraseib	5341–9–11	Loss by fire	443–8–11
Road Bariz	2–6–8	Destruction of Crops	1110–6–2
Cultivation of Waste Lunneram &c.a	1,581–4–8	Waste in Lunneram &c.a	3607–12–8
New Cultivation	538–10–4		5,695–8–11
	17801–14–9		

16 Of the sum of Rupees 8182–9–11 to be added in 1250, according to instalments as appropriated to the Maximum Standard Bariz Rupees 7,338–4–1 were realized, leaving a balance of Rupees 844–5–10, which it was found necessary to postpone, owing to the extent of land unavoidably uncultivated in Estates, on which the additional assessments were to have been imposed.

17.- The particulars of the above are exhibited in detail, in the following Table.-

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.-

Talooks	Amount of instalments to be brought up in 1250.-	Amount actually realized	Amount uncollected.
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Mangalore	2187 2	2187 2	- - -
Betul	157 2 6	130 1 8	27 - 9
Buntwal	1252 13 11	1173 6 5	79 7 6
Udupie	483 4 10	454 4 9	29 - 1
Barcoor	549 2 10	549 2 10	- - -
Coondapoor	2310 15 7	2107 3 -	203 12 7
Honore	902 15 2	808 15 7	93 15 7
Ankola	524 6 -	413 4 10	111 1 2
Supah	240 11 7	169 6 5	71 5 2
Sondah	670 15 7	550 11 10	120 3 9
Bidghi	871 10 10	763 3 7	108 7 3
Total Canara	7,505 14 2	6,717 9 2	788 5 -
Total Coorg	676 11 9	620 10 11	55 - 10
Total	8182 9 11	7338 4 1	844 5 10

18. The subjoined Abstract Comparative Statement shews the alterations that have taken place in the classification of Estates in the Pusty Bariz under report.-

14386

Fort St. George

Fusly 1249-

	No of Estates	Tharao Beriz	Settlement	No of Estates
		Rs a p	Rs a p	
Burty	14039	13,93,721 3 9	13,93,721 3 9	14039
Kuma Burty Vizt				
Wyduh	2438	1,49,158 13 3	1,30,909 4 11	2387
Sunky	8428	3,03,542 1 -	1,39,682 15 2	8428
Board	4273	2,20,092 8 7	1,63,242 4 9	4273
Total	15139	6,72,793 6 10	4,33,834 8 10	14531
Grand total	56178	20,66,514 10 7	18,27,555 12 7	55393

Comp[t]e J.R.

25[th] October 1841-

Fusly 1250.			Increase			Decrease		
Tharoo Beriz	Settlement	No of Estates	Tharoo Beriz	Settlement	No of Estates	Tharoo Beriz	Settle-ment.
Rupees a p	Rupees a p		Rupees a p	Rupees a p		Rupees a p	Rupees a p
14,30,465 13 9	14,30,465 13 9	825	36,744 10 -	36,744 10 -	-	-	-
1,33,771 13 10	11,686 9 6 8	-	-	-	-	77	15,386 15 5	14,039 14 3
29,3281 10 4	1,36,042 4 -	-	-	-	-	408	10,260 6 8	3,640 11 2
2,11,155 8 3	1,55,278 4 11	-	-	-	-	123	8,937 -	4,796 3 15 10
6,38,209 -	5,40,818 9 15 7	-	-	-	-	608	34,584 6 5	25,644 9 3
20,63,674 14 2	18,38,655 13 4	825	36,744 10 -	36,744 10 -	608	34,584 6 5	25,644 9 3
Net Increase from Hosgang and sub divisions ...			217	2,160 3 7		11,100 - 9.		

From

19.

	No. of Estates	Amount of Assessment.
1249	40039	139721-3-9.
1250	40864	143465-13-9.
Increase	825	3744-10-0

20.

1249	2578	49723-6-9.
1250	2419	47291-0-8.
Decrease	159	2432-6-1.

21.

1249	8428	303542-1-0
1250	8020	293281-10-4
Decrease	408	10260-6-8.

From the above it will be observed that the number of Estates paying the Maximum Beriz has been increased by 825, assessed at Rs 3744-10-0

There has been a reduction of 159 in the number of entire waste wargs, during the fusly under report assessed at a Beriz of Rupees 2432-6-1. as shewn in the Margin and the number of Wargs cultivating is less than in the preceding year by 408.

In my report on the Settlement for the last fusly previous to the receipt of the proceedings of the Board, under date the 24th September 18[illegible] I have expressed my opinion of the favorable working generally of the system introduced in fusly 1243, and of the inexpediency of making any decided change in the classification of certain Estates, which from causes not of a permanent nature, are at present incapable of paying regularly the standard Beriz and are therefore settled according to their circumstances or in other words, the extent of lands under cultivation.

Every

Every years experience of the steady progress of improvement in the District confirms me in this opinion. The waste and partially cultivated Estates in the Ghaut Mogannies are annually coming more into demand and the increasing value of land induces the Ryots readily to agree to the instalments on Estates which they have the means of permanently occupying so that no practical advantage as far as I can perceive would result from an alteration of the existing system to meet the present circumstances of a certain class of Wargs. It is not to be expected however that among a body of Proprietors amounting to upwards of 55,000 a large proportion of whom do not pay more than from 10 to 20 Rupees Beriz remissions will not be necessary in cases of unavoidable calamity and failure of Crops in unfavorable seasons more especially in those Mogannies in the Balaghaut, bordering on the Mysore Country which occasionally suffer severely from Drought, and also in the Northern Talooks of Barcoor Cundapoor and Honore where the assessment is undoubtedly heavy. Such remissions however will never be to any large Amount

and

and with these exceptions the land Rev=nue as now settled must be consi=dered permanent—

22. It is not in my power to submit at present the separate accounts called for in the 17th para. of the Board's proceedings under date 1st April 1841. which will involve a revision of the Cudwar accounts of more than 55,000 Estates— The accounts are under preparation but as the current business gives full employ=ment generally to the present estab=lishment of Gomastahs, it will take some time to complete them without the employment of extra servants—

23. The collections of the land Revenue in the year under report which were made with ease and regularity are shewn in the Margin— Of the total amount of 1832553-1-2 Rs. 1813511-2-7 were realized on the 1st Fusly, leaving a balance of Rupees 19641-15-— which has since been reduced to

Demand	1832553-1-2.
Collections	1813511-2-7.
Balance on the 1st July 1841	19041-15-—
Since Collected as per Taluk accounts	13990-8-10.
Balance on the 1st Oct. 1841	5051-6-2

Rupees 5051-6-2—

24 The cause of a larger amount than usual having been outstand=ing at the close of the Fusly is partly attributable to the fall in the price of grain which pressed upon the means of some of the poorer Ryots: with the exception of about 500 Rupees the whole of the arrear is expected to be collected shortly—

Arrears 1249	15246-8-10.
Do 1250	19041-15-—
Increase	3795-6-2.

The

25. The arrears of Land Revenue out=standing at the commencement of Fusly 1250 amounted to Rupees 19014-12-1, Viz.,

On account of Fusly 1249	15246-8-10.
Ditto — of former Fuslies	3768-3-3.
	19014-12-1.

Of this sum Rupees 14210-12-11 has been rea=lized during the past Fusly Viz.,

On account of Fusly 1249	13572-13-6.
Ditto — of former Fuslies	637-15-5
	14210-12-11

26. The following arrears due by poor and distressed Ryots, are considered irrecoverable, and I beg therefore to recommend them for remission—

	Rs a P
Fusly 1246	24-11-2
Ditto 1247	44-8-—
Ditto 1248	49-2-5.
Ditto 1249	298-1-4
	416-6-11

27. The subjoined Compa=rative Statement of the Revenue from all sources exhibits an in=crease in the Fusly under report of Rupees 58,907-12-7. The causes of the increase and decrease in the several Items of Extra Revenue will be explained in the usual report on that Branch of Revenue.

Settlement—

14391

Hall Yeoman 25th October 1841.

Statement of Receipts and Disbursements

for Twenty days ending 20th November 1841.

Receipts	£	s	d	Disbursements	£	s	d
Balance in hand	6205	8	4	Salaries	2126	12	6
Rents	5417	8	–	Repairs	4755	12	–
Tolls	3949	14	9	Coals	4231	12	9
Sundries	1037	6	2	Gas	1069	8	2
Interest	2994	5	9	Taxes	2993	5	11
Dividends	4019	12	6	Law Charges	3631	2	11
	13728	10	5	Sundries	1209	14	8
	1235	664	6		1128	33	7
	30564	29	4		3113	205	6

Balance in Bank — £9907 12 7

Cash in hand

	£	s	d
Balance	1820	633	1
Rents	1719	521	2
Tolls	1059	23	10
Sundries	188	7	–
	1820	633	1

Balance as per Balance Sheet 31st October 1841 — £1206 3 10

Cash in hand

	£	s	d
Balance	1719	521	2
Rents	1059	23	10
Sundries	188	7	–
	1820	633	1

Balance as per Balance Sheet 31st October 1841 — £1206 3 10

Stock

	£	s	d
Balance	316	462	13
Rents	313	375	12
	629	837	5

Balance as per Balance Sheet — £1256 9 9

Land Rents

	£	s	d
Balance	307	533	15
Rents	192	579	14
	499	513	9

Balance as per Balance Sheet — £499 5 4

Fee Duties

	£	s	d
Balance	174	365	14
Rents	286	726	8
	460	1092	22

Balance as per Balance Sheet — £460 10 8

28. Accompanying I beg to sub-
=mit the Report of Mr Lushington explan-
=atory of the Settlement of the Talooks
under his charge since the departure
of Mr E. Maltby, the last Collector
to Europe, in the Month of May last
Mr Lushington has had charge of the
Talooks forming the Northern Divisio
and it gives me much satisfaction
to notice the ready and effective
assistance I have on all occasions
received from that officer in the inter
=nal Management of the affairs of the
District-

29. I now proceed to reply
to certain remarks of the Board in their
proceedings dated 1st April 1841 on the
Settlement of the district for fusly
1248-

30- In explanation of the
length of time for which Cowles for
Poiagarry or land newly taken into
cultivation are granted as remarked
upon in para 18 of the above proceed
=ings, I beg to state that Cowles
extending for a period of 10 or 12 years
are confined to Cocoanut plantations which
are in hard dry soils at a distance
from the sea, or River banks usually
take

take from 12 to 15 years before coming
into bearing-

31. With respect to the al-
=terations in the terms of Cowles, which
has occasionally a decrease in the Berij
of Poiagarry Wurgs, I beg to explain
that this is attributable to portions
of the lands remaining waste or to
loss of Crops by poor Ryots, which ren-
=dered it necessary to extend the peri-
=od of the Cowles, beyond the original
term- In further explanation of this
I beg to submit the annexed Statement-

32. In reference to the orders
of the Board in para 21. of their proceedings
under reply a draft of the Rules for the
reclamation of waste lands shall be
submitted for their approval-

33. In Para 22 of the proceed-
=ings the Board require to be informed
in reference to a Mowlputtah sub-
=mitted for their approval with
the Report for fusly 1248, why it should
form a condition of the puttah that
the proprietary right of waste
land, vested in Government should
be conferred upon the cultivation
or this I beg to observe that the old
Mowly rights is only granted where durkhasts

are

are given for bringing on tire Wurgs into cultivation, and as this generally requires the clearing of Jungle, and levelling of the land for fields, or the cutting of channels for garden cultivation, all of which involve considerable labor and expense it is found that the Ryots are unwilling to incur the outlay and trouble, without the grant of a Moolputtah for the land. without this security, the Ryot feels apprehensive that he may be ousted from his possession on the receipt of a higher durkhast at some future time after the land has been improved by him, or that it may be be claimed by some descendant of the original proprietor as many of the waste lands have lapsed to Government by the death or desertion of the ancient proprietors

34. Under these circumstances the withholding the Moolputtah would undoubtedly operate against the cultivation and improvement of the waste lands & looking to the remarkable prosperity & progressive Augmentation in the Revenues

resources of this fine Province which must mainly be ascribed to the full and complete possession of landed property which has always been vested in the Ryot, I cannot but think that it is as much to the interest of the Government as to the cultivator that this much desired right should be conferred, whenever fresh lands are intended to be permanently cultivated. The right is of course not granted where only a portion of a waste Estate is rented for a year or other short period.

35. The instructions of the Board in the concluding part of para 22 of the proceedings respecting the occupation of waste lands without the permission of the Collector shall be duly attended to.

36. With reference to the observe pancy noticed in Para 32 of the Board's proceedings above mentioned between the Summary Report and the Monthly abstracts of the Demand Collection and Balance for the

fusly

14396

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

fusly. I beg to offer the following explanation the difference in the first Item in the Statement in the Margin, was owing to the Quit rent (140-1-10) not being included in the Report, it not having been hitherto usual to do so. It has however been entered in the present Report on the settlement and will be so continued in future. The above amount was further the cause in part, of the difference observable in the second item the remaining sum of Rupees 70, having been omitted through an oversight. To the same accidental omission the difference in the 3d Item is to be attributed. The difference in the fourth Item was owing to the Statement given in the Report

	As per Report.	As per Abstract	Decrease	Increase
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Land Revenue - -	130327 1 3	130341 3 1	140 1 10	- - -
Collections within fasly	1,17,39,121 9 8	1,17,39,338 11 6	210 1 10	- - -
Balance at the end of Ditto	19149 7 7	19079 7 7	- - -	70 - -
Collection to December	16042 12 7	16032 10 -	10 2 7	- - -

S.B.

14397 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Report having embraced all the Collections made in the Talooks to the end of December of that year; whereas the Abstract Statement includ-ed only the amount of Collec-tions received into the Honzoar Treasury.—

Principal Coll.r Cutcherry
Mangalore
11th October 1841

Signed H.N. Blair
Pl. Collector

Abstract

11,398

A. Abst

...e George

...or Fasly

Particulars

Fuxly 1249

Total of Tarrow Berij of the Talooks in which no Standard Berij has been fixed

9

	9	Rs	a	p
Mangalore	"	2,19,035	4	3
Bekul	"	2,05,258	6	9
Buntwal	"	2,06,213	6	3
Oodipy	"	1,79,041	8	"
Barcoor	"	1,92,828	13	8
Cundapoo	"	2,50,419	3	1
Honoor	"	2,09,279	15	3
Ankola	"	"	"	"
Soopah	"	"	"	"
Sonda	"	"	"	"
Bilghi	4	"	"	"
		68,932	12	5
	4	15,31,009	5	10

25th October 1841

1250.

of the Berij during Company's Government

Berij added in Fuxly 1250.

Total of Columns 7, 8, and 9	On Hoksagamy or newly Cultivated lands in Talooks in which no Standard Berij has been fixed	On Hoksagamy or newly Cultivated lands in 5 Moganies Surveyed in Sonda	On Hoksagamy or newly Cultivated lands in the Talooks in which the Standard Berij has been fixed	Total
10	11	12	13	14
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
2,19,035 4 3	" " "	" " "	13 10 5	13 10 5
2,28,315 4 4	" " "	" " "	71 10 11	71 10 11
2,84,771 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,79,041 8 "	" " "	" " "	14 3 2	14 3 2
1,92,828 13 8	" " "	" " "	1,322 12 9	1322 12 9
2,50,419 3 1	" " "	" " "	18 6 5	18 6 5
2,09,279 15 3	" " "	" " "	354 11 5	354 11 5
1,52,042 15 8	55 15 2	" " "	" " "	55 15 2
1,30,573 " 9	222 8 "	" " "	" " "	222 8 "
1,68,408 12 2	78 9 2	" " "	7 12 "	86 5 2
68932 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20,67,249 6 "	357 " 4	" " "	7 12 "	1795 7 3	2160 3 5

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Particulars of the Total

Berig during Company's Govt. (Contd.)

Berig

The Total Columns 15 - 16 & 17 -

Of the Talooks for which no Standard is yet fixed			Of the Mogamies in Canda Surveyed			Of the Talooks for which the Standard has been fixed			Berig remitted on Lands belonging to the Christian Churches			Berig remitted on Lands lieing fallow allowance		
15			16			17			18			19		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
						2,19,048	14	8						
23056	13	7				2,05,230	1	8	91	7	7	116		
78558	6	"				2,06,213	6	5	4	6	5	100		
						1,79,055	11	2	34	8	"	48		
						1,94,151	10	5	93	3	8			
						2,50,437	9	6	77	8	"			
						2,09,634	10	10						
1,52,698	14	10												
1,13,795	8	9							67	10	11			
1,44,326	3	"	24,168	14	4									
						68,932	12	5						
5,12,435	14	2	24,168	14	4	15,32,804	13	1	345	12	7	264		

Berig remitted on two Lands belonging to Moor to Mahomedan			Berig remitted on Land belonging to Mahrr Mattl Holliar			Berig remitted on Land belonging to Ranga Raja Iyer Canetta Gantadar 9th June 1837			Berig on Clear Land and Decadient Lands			Total		
20			21			22			23			24		
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
38	14	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,18,802	8	3	2,19,048	14	8
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,28,775	8	16	2,28,386	15	3
"	"	"	"	"	"	48	"	"	2,84,641	4	5	2,84,771	12	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,78,962	9	6	1,79,055	11	2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,94,074	2	5	1,94,151	10	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,50,437	9	6	2,50,437	9	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,09,634	10	10	2,09,634	10	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,52,623	3	11	1,32,698	14	10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,13,795	8	9	1,13,795	8	9
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,68,493	1	4	1,68,493	1	4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	68,932	12	5	68,932	12	5
38	14	10	8	"	"	48	"	"	20,68,674	14	2	20,69,409	9	7

11400

North Division

25th October 1841

Jummahbundy of July 1249

Last Rent including Nevadiem	Deduct Beraz-ee Salt Taxes	Net land rent excluding Nevadiem	Nevadiem	Total Columns 27 and 28
25	26	27	28	29
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
215196 " 6	" " "	209219 9 3	5976 7 2	215196 " 5
225101 " 4	" " "	212271 12 "	12829 4 4	225101 " 4
236779 13 5	" " "	221576 12 2	15203 1 3	236779 13 5
175190 13 7	" " "	154575 14 5	17614 15 2	175190 13 7
187299 14 5	" " "	170597 9 2	16702 5 3	187299 14 5
232381 3 6	5870 14 "	211015 9 6	15488 12 "	226504 5 6
184648 7 1	4808 1 10	174391 11 8	5442 9 7	179840 5 3
119289 7 6	1107 7 2	116740 4 4	1381 12 "	118122 " 4
77406 12 "	" " "	73647 9 7	3759 2 5	77406 12 "
123318 9 "	" " "	112708 8 3	10610 " 9	123318 9 "
55685 2 9	" " "	54709 13 11	915 4 10	55685 2 9
1832974 "	11852 " "	1714521 2 3	105923 10 9	1820444 12 "
Quit rent 1887 "	" " "	1887 "	" " "	1887 "
Total 1832485 11 "	11852 7 "	1714709 9 3	105923 10 9	1820633 4 "

Jummahbundy of July 1250.

Additions in July 1250

On account Installments fixed last year	Remission on account of general circumstances of distress and low price for produce reassessed	Additions on account of newly cultivated or watered land	Remission on Account of lands uncultivated reassessed	Remission on account of lands taken for public roads reassessed	Total
30	31	32	33	34	35
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
218 7 2	86 10 5	13 10 5	8 " "	" " "	326 12 "
130 1 8	189 13 3	18 12 1	" " "	" " "	338 11 "
1173 6 5	874 1 2	" " "	857 58	2 6 8	2907 3 11
454 4 9	24 14 5	1 4 "		" " "	480 7 2
549 2 10	49 10 9	161 1 2	27 15 2	" " "	787 13 11
2107 3 "	86 4 "	7 9 7	131 8 10	" " "	2332 9 6
808 15 7	599 3 3	114 2 "	737 8 "	" " "	2259 12 10
413 4 10	134 10 2	17 1 6	508 7 7	" " "	1073 8 1
169 6 5	2022 4 9	173 5 7	1905 1 8	" " "	4270 2 5
550 11 10	1018 1 2	31 12 "	401 9 8	" " "	2002 8
763 3 7	255 15 8	" " "	3 8 "	" " "	1022 11 3
7338 4 1	5341 9 "	538 10 4	4581 " 8	2 6 8	17801 14 9

11.1.01

Fort St. George

25th October 1841

Reductions in Fasly 1250.

Abatements on account of Crops injured		Abatements on account of lands uncultivable		Abatements on account of poverty and general circumstances of distress		Abatements on account of destruction of houses and property by fire		Total		Jummahbundy of Fasly 1250 Land Rent excluding Deva[illegible]	
36		37		38		39		40		41	
Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
"	" "	"	4 "	19	12 "	36	" "	56	" "	2,09,490	5 3
"	" "	71	4 "	26	13 3	36	14 "	154	15 3	2,12,455	7 9
"	" "	618	" 2	76	5 2	50	1 3	744	6 7	2,23,739	9 6
"	" "	18	6 "	39	13 2	12	6 10	70	10 "	1,57,985	11 7
"	" "	5	7 8	3	" "	8	" "	16	7 8	1,71,368	15 5
"	" "	122	13 3	88	1 2	15	3 7	226	2 7	2,18,998	15 "
62	8 5	770	11 7	306	14 "	22	11 7	1,162	13 7	1,80,302	12 9
54	5 2	568	" 5	8	10 "	11	8 5	637	8 "	1,18,343	11 7
005	9 2	1,067	11 7	64	10 "	26	14 10	1,824	13 7	7,6092	14 5
239	15 "	225	6 3	"	" "	52	6 5	517	11 10	1,14,192	15 1
88	" 5	139	11 7	4	14 5	151	6 "	384	" 5	55,408	8 9
1,110	6 2	3,607	12 8	633	13 2	443	8 11	5,795	8 11	17,38,379	15 1
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	Quitrents		101	14 9
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	Total		17,38,481	13 10

Mangalore 11th October 1841.

1250 (Contd.)
of Fasly 1250.

Net Jummahbundy for Fasly 1250

Deva[illegible]	Total	Deduction Being remitted on salt pans	Land Rent excluding Deva[illegible]	Deva[illegible]	Total
42	43	44	45	46	47
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
3,976 7 2	2,15,466 12 5	" " "	2,09,490 5 3	3,976 7 2	2,15,466 12 5
12,829 4 4	2,25,284 12 1	" " "	2,12,455 7 9	12,829 4 4	2,25,284 12 1
15203 1 3	2,38,942 10 9	" " "	2,23,739 9 6	15203 1 3	2,38,942 10 9
17,614 15 2	1,75,600 10 9	" " "	1,57,985 11 7	17,614 15 2	1,75,600 10 9
16,702 5 3	1,88,071 4 8	" " "	1,71,368 15 5	16,702 5 3	1,88,071 4 8
1,488 12 "	2,34,487 11 "	5,876 14 "	2,13,122 1 "	1,488 12 "	2,28,610 13 "
5,442 9 7	1,85,745 6 4	4,808 1 10	1,75,494 10 11	5,442 9 7	1,80,937 4 6
1,381 12 "	11,972 5 7	1,167 7 2	11,176 4 5	1,381 12 "	11,558 " 5
3,759 2 5	79,852 " 10	" " "	76,092 14 5	3,759 2 5	79,852 " 10
10,610 " 9	1,24,802 15 10	" " "	1,14,192 15 1	10,610 " 9	1,24,802 15 10
915 4 10	56,323 13 7	" " "	55,408 8 9	915 4 10	56,323 13 7
105,923 10 9	18,44,303 9 10	11,852 7 "	17,26,529 8 1	105,923 10 9	18,32,437 2 10
. . . . .			101 14 9	. . . . .	101 14 9
. . . . .			17,26,629 6 10	. . . . .	18,32,563 1 7

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Dpy Collector

Jummahbundy

4402

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

B Jummabundy and Kistbundy of the Talooks

Revenue of the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1250.-

№	Talooks	Jummabundy Land Rent Tribute and Quit Rent 1st Kist January 1841			Kistbundy 2nd Kist February 1841		3rd Kist March 1841		4th Kist April 1841		5th Kist May 1841		Remarks
	1	2			4		5		6		7		8
		Rs	a	p	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	Rs	a	
1	Mangalore	20,490	5	3	52,372	9	52,372	9	41,898	1	20,949	7	
2	Bekul	2,12,455	7	9	53,113	14	42,491	1	6	42,491	1	6	21,245	8	9	
3	Buntwall	2,23,739	9	6	55,934	14	5	44,747	14	8	44,747	14	8	22,373	15	4	
4	Codeipy	1,57,985	11	7	39,496	6	11	31,597	2	3	31,597	2	3	15,798	9	3	
5	Barcoor	1,71,368	15	5	42,842	3	11	34,273	12	8	34,273	12	8	17,136	14	3	
6	Cundapoor	2,13,122	1	4	53,280	8	3	42,624	6	7	42,624	6	7	21,312	3	4	
7	Honawer	1,75,494	10	11	43,873	10	9	35,098	15	4	35,098	15	4	17,549	7	5	
8	Ankola	1,17,176	4	5	29,294	1	1	23,435	4	1	23,435	4	1	11,717	10	1	
	Total	14,80,833	1	10	3,70,208	4	8	2,96,100	9	9	2,90,166	9	9	1,48,083	5	4	
9	Soopah	76,092	14	5	19,023	3	7	15,218	9	4	15,218	9	4	7,609	4	7	
10	Sonda	1,14,192	15	1	28,548	3	10	22,838	9	5	22,838	9	5	11,419	4	7	
11	Bilghi	55,408	8	9	13,852	2	1	11,081	11	6	11,081	11	6	5,540	13	7	
	Total	2,45,694	6	3	61,423	9	6	49,138	14	3	49,138	14	3	24,569	6	9	
	Grand Total	17,26,527	8	1	4,31,631	14	2	3,45,305	8	4	3,45,305	8	4	1,72,652	11	9	
	Quit Rent	101	14	9													
	Total	17,26,629	6	10													

Mangalore 11th October 1841.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Collector

11403

C. General

No. George 25th October 1841

the Extra or Sources for Fusles 1249 & 1250 in the District of Canara.

No.	Fusly & Extra branches	Revenue	Salt		Sea Customs		Motorpha		Tobacco Monopoly		Stamps		Total Extra Sources of Revenue		Total including Land Revenue or Columns 2 and 13	
			8		9		10		11		12		13		14	
			Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as
1	Mangalore	3	25 43287	4	05,885	10	2,736	6	51,343	9	22,981	8	2,33,768	3	4,42,987	12
2	Bekul	"	" 21904	12	10,090	26	1,038	12	48,755	26	1,984	10	9,152	7	82,309	4
3	Bunkwall	2	12 " 07,083	6	"	"	1,414	1	40,123	8	5	1,469	16	1,26,262	6	10,347,839
4	Oodipy	7	7 18465	13	4,422	11	1	1099	"	1	27,142	6	8	530	46	55,205
5	Barcoor	2	12 " "	"	13,650	7	5	714	5	"	25,832	3	"	084	11	" 47,836
6	Candapoor	8	7 81,982	15	70,216	13	4	598	10	10	31,158	3	2	594	1	" 145,520
7	Honaver	8	" 58,802	14	60,514	15	8	921	13	7	31,188	12	"	5,321	11	6 1,06,670
8	Ankola	8	" 31,293	15	2,579	1	4	533	9	5	19,038	2	"	458	"	" 58,178
9	Coopah	2	7 " "	"	"	"	"	634	15	2	6,294	1	4	1,941	6	" 81,534
10	Sondah	4	" "	"	"	"	"	076	5	8	4,100	10	2	4,084	5	" 1,58,459
11	Bilghi	1	" "	"	"	"	"	184	6	10	3,881	11	6	1,046	3	" 10,694
		61	2 3,76,462	63	1,74,365	14	2	10,552	6	2	2,89,458	5	9	40,192	13	6 1,23,664
															2,95,0	135 8 7

Inst. Rent 188 7 "

2,95,373 15 7

11.1.04 | Fort St. George | 25th October 1841.

Fasly 1250

Land Revenue Under annual Settlement	Sayer	Abarry	Sundry Small Farms & Licenses			
Ryotwar	Sumary	Rented	Rented	Sumary	Total	Salt
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p
2,09,490 5 3	" " "	18,550 " "	2577 6 "	1209 14 8	3,807 4 "	38,831 5 10
2,12,455 7 9	" " "	4412 12 "	430 " "	" " "	430 " "	23,401 5 7
2,23,739 9 6	10,501 2 1	2774 " "	3458 " "	" " "	3458 " "	74,214 4 3
15,7985 11 7	188 11 1	3700 " "	100 11 7	" " "	100 11 7	17,530 5 1
1,71,368 15 5	5556 11 11	2465 " "	129 12 "	" " "	129 12 "	7959 8 5
2,13,122 1 "	9943 6 1	3864 " "	129 10 9	" " "	129 10 9	64,526 1 3
1,75,494 10 11	1555 9 11	2977 " "	4438 "	" " "	4438 "	51,196 3 7
1,17,176 4 5	153 11 11	3386 " "	661 " "	" " "	661 " "	36,210 " 5
7,09,214 5	63,403 12 1	2332 " "	24,857	" " "	24,857	" "
1,14,192 15 1	1,35,454 " 8	2117 " "	1071 10 10	" " "	1071 10 10	" "
55,408 8 9	5,822 12 10	395 " "	60 " "	" " "	60 " "	" "
17,26,527 8 1	2,82,579 14 7	52,926 12 "	89,878 9	1209 14 8	1,01,974 5 3	13,875 12 6
101 14 9						
17,26,629 6 10						

Extra branches of Revenue

Sea Customs	Moturpha	Tobacco Monopoly	Stamps	Total Extra Sources of Revenue	Total including Land Revenue or Columns 15 and 26.
22	23	24	25	26	27
₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p
64,032 13 4	2746 7 7	51,037 2 "	16,530 13 6	1,90,426 14 11	4,05,917 4 2
14,505 9 5	1010 8 6	49,206 9 "	688 12 6	93,655 9 "	30,6111 " 9
	1435 12 "	38,183 8 8	1454 7 "	1,28,910 10 "	3,52,650 3 6
5,404 15 3	1103 15 4	29,928 " "	356 7 "	58,319 1 3	2,16,304 12 10
15,404 2 7	714 9 5	25,921 4 "	892 1 6	58,743 1 10	2,30,112 1 3
19,748 15 1	620 12 3	30,069 4 10	504 " "	1,29,406 12 3	3,42,528 13 3
1,64,492 15 7	970 11 6	31,576 4 "	8328 8 11	2,66,540 12 6	4,42,038 8 6
3,146 1 7	535 14 3	18,570 4 "	441 13 "	62,704 13 4	1,79,881 1 9
" " "	685 4 "	6,212 8 4	1936 10 "	78,009 2 "	1,54,102 " 5
" " "	688 3 2	4289 10 11	4551 2 "	1,48,771 11 7	2,62,904 10 8
" " "	185 9 2	3832 14 2	867 7 6	61,163 11 8	1,16,572 4 5
2,86,726 8 10	10,698 5 2	2,89,335 5 11	36,312 2 11	12,82,652 5 4	30,09,179 13 5

Land Rent 101 14 9

30,09,281 12 2

11405 | Fort St. George | 25th October 1841.

Land Revenue Under Annual Settlement	Sayer	Abkarry	Sundry Small Farms & Licences	Increase Extra Branches	Sea Customs.	Moturpha.	Tobacco Monopoly	Stamps.	Total Extra Sources of Revenue.	Total including Land Revenue or Columns 28 and 37.
Ryotwar	Aumany	Rentes	Rentes	Sayer						
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38
Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
270 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 1 7.	593 9 "	" " "	603 10 7.	874 6 7.
183 11 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	4,415. 6 11.	" " "	451 6 6.	" " "	4,866 13 5.	5,050 9 2
2,162 13 4	" " "	151 8 "	" " "	6548 13 8	" " "	21 10 5.	" " "	" " "	6,722 " 1.	8,884 13 5.
409 13 2	" " "	694 " "	24 4 "	" " "	982 4 2	4 15 3.	2,785 9 4	" " "	4,491 " 9.	4,900. 13 11.
771 6 3	1,109 11 8	127 " "	" " "	7959 8 "	1,747. 11 2	" 4 5.	89 1 "	" " "	11,034 " 8.	11,805. 6 11.
2,106 7 6	" " "	204 " "	1 3 2	" " "	2,532 1 9.	22 1 5.	" " "	" " "	2,759 6 4	4,865. 13 10.
1,076 15 3	45 2 "	" " "	35 " "	" " "	103,977. 15 11.	48 13 11.	387 8 "	3,006 13 5.	107,501. 5 3.	1,08,598. 4 6.
436 " 1	" " "	10 " "	" " "	4916 1 3	567 " 3.	2 4 10.	" " "	" " "	5,495. 6 6.	5,931. 6 7.
2,445 4 10	" " "	292 " "	165 12 "	" " "	" " "	50 14 10	" " "	" " "	508 10 10	2,953. 15 8.
1,484 6 10	" " "	45 " "	307 6 10	" " "	" " "	11 13 6.	" " "	466 13 "	801 1 4	2,285 8 2
638 10 10	" " "	2 " "	19 " "	" " "	" " "	1 2 4	" " "	" " "	22 2 4	660. 13 2
12006 5 10	1154 13 8	1496 4 "	552 10 "	19424 7 6	1,14,222 8 2	174 2 6	4,307 1 10.	3,473 10 5.	1,44,805. 10 1	1,56,811. 15 11

H. B.

14406

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Land Revenue under annual Settlement.	Sayer.	Abkary.	Sundry small farms and Licences.		Decrease Extra branches	
Ryotwar	Aumany	Rented.	Rented	Aumany	Total.	Salt.
39	40	41	42	43	44	45
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
" " "	" " "	10,050 " "	607 12 "	14,518 11 9.	15,126 7 9.	44,455 14 11.
" " "	" " "	542 " "	210 " "	" " "	210 " "	1,562 11 7.
" " "	2,103 14 8.	" " "	17 4 "	" " "	17 4 "	" " "
" " "	273 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	929. 8 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "	" " "	35. " "	" " "
" " "	2944 10.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,456 3 11.
" " "	" " "	30 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,606. 10 7.
" " "	78 13 11	" " "	12 8 "	" " "	12 8 "	" " "
" " "	3,947. 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	10,078. 4 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	9,331. 5 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	26,108 14 5	10,622 " "	882 8 "	14,518 11 9.	15,401 3 9.	32,011. 1 3.

Quitrent 868 3.

868 2

Sea Customs.	Malabar.	Tobacco Monopoly.	Stamps.	Total Extra Sources of Revenue.	Total including Land Revenue or Columns 39 and 50.	Decrease of Revenue
46	47	48	49	50	51	
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
1,861 13 6	" " "	" " "	6,450 10 6.	37,944 14 8.	37,944 14 8.	
" " "	28 3 6	" " "	295 13 6.	2,738. 12 7.	2,738. 12 7.	
" " "	" " "	1937 15 9	14 10 6.	4,073. 12 11.	4,073. 12 11.	
" " "	" " "	" " "	173 13 6.	1,377. 1 1.	1,377. 1 1.	
" " "	" " "	" " "	92 9 6.	127. 9 6.	127. 9 6.	
" " "	" " "	1,088 14 4	33 1 "	18,873. 2 1.	18,873. 2 1.	
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	7,636. 10 7.	7,636. 10 7.	
" " "	" " "	861 14 "	16 3 "	969. 6 11.	969. 6 11.	
" " "	" " "	81. 9 "	4 12 "	4,034 2 4	4,034 2 4	
" " "	" " "	410. 15 3.	" " "	10,489. 3 10.	10,489. 3 10.	
" " "	" " "	48 13 4	172 11 6.	9,552 14 7.	9,552. 14 7.	
1,861 13 6	28 3 6	4,430. 1 8.	7,354 5 "	97,817. 11 1.	97,817. 11 1.	

Quitrent 868 3.

97,904 3 4

Mangalore, 11th October 1841.

/Signed/ H. M. Blair.
Princ. Collector.

Comparative

14407 | St. George | 25th October 1841.

D. Compara in the Zillah of Canara for Fuslies 1249 and 1250.

Fusly 1249.

	Total.	Centage on the gross revenue.	Establishment of Servants.	Sunderward.	Extra Charges.	Draw-back.	Total.	Centage on the gross revenue.
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps	Rs A Ps
Huzoor Cutcherry Taluk	31,948 9 8.	" " "	2,682 " "	" " "	" " "	974 3 10 3	12,425 10 3.	" " "
Mangalore	13,474 5 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Bekul	33,152 4 "	" " "	87. 2 7.	" 8 2	" " "	" " "	87 10 9.	" " "
Buntwall	15,812 5 10.	" " "	3,344 2 6.	69. 1 "	20 8 "	" " "	3,433. 11 6.	" " "
Oodipy	9,637. 6 2	" " "	416. 7 11.	6 5 8.	2 12 5.	" " "	425 10 "	" " "
Barcoor	10,875. 14 5.	" " "	1,469. 7 11.	43. 15 11.	5 1 5.	" " "	1,518. 9 3.	" " "
Cundapoor	13,174. 1 9.	" " "	2,844. 2 8.	36. " 1.	22 15 7.	" " "	2,903 2 4	" " "
Honore	13,184. 7 4	" " "	1,403. " "	51. 11 10	15 " 11.	" " "	1,469. 12 9.	" " "
Ankola	8,783. 5 3.	" " "	702 4 1.	17. 10 7.	53 12 5.	" " "	773 11 1	" " "
Soopah	11,188. 13 10.	" " "	8,676. 14 3.	135 2 2	86 4 10.	" " "	8,808. 5 3.	" " "
Soondah	11,597. 12 10.	" " "	5,574 2 1.	181. 1 5.	42 5 8.	" " "	5,797. 9 2	" " "
Bilghi	6,529. 2 8.	" " "	2,852 2 8.	72 4 11	34 1 7.	" " "	2,958. 9 2	" " "
	47,409. 15 7.	" " "	27,369. 14 8.	613 13 9.	282 14 10.	" " "	28,266. 11 3	" " "
Gr.	79,358. 9 3.	16 4 8	30,051. 14 8.	30,051. 14 8	282 14 10	974 3 10 3	40,692 5 6	13 3 8½

Sundry Small farms and Licences — Sundy

Purchase of amindivi Coir —	Establishment of Servants.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the gross revenue
16 Rs A Ps	17 Rs A Ps	18 Rs A Ps	19 Rs A Ps	20 Rs A Ps
9,497 8 2	541 8 "	49 4 10	10,088 5 "	" " "
9,497 8 2	541 8 "	49 4 10	10,088 5 "	40 4 5½

1249/Contd

Salt

Purchase.	Establishment of Servants.	Sadeiwared	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the gross revenue.
21 Rs A Ps	22 Rs A Ps	23 Rs A Ps	24 Rs A Ps	25 Rs A Ps	26 Rs A Ps
6,637 2 11	2,147. 13 "	101 11 9	1,273 8 5.	10,160 4 1.	" " "
" " "	1,033. 8 "	30 " 8.	1,088 8 8.	2,152 1 4	" " "
14,442 8 2	716. 12 7.	37 " 5.	691. 10 5	15,887. 15 7.	" " "
1,207. 9 "	602 8 "	27 15 4	533 12 3	2,371. 12 7.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
9,593. 9 7.	3,374 4 2	109 " 3.	2,546. 14 8	15,623. 12 8.	" " "
11,993. 3 1.	2,802. 14 9	95 8 5.	2,972 13 11.	17,864 8 2	" " "
7,994 7 3.	2,202 13 10.	82 " 2	2,927 15 3.	13,207. 4 6.	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
51,868. 8 "	12,880. 10 4	483. 5 "	12,305. 3 7	77,267. 10 11	" " "
51,868. 8 "	12,880. 10 4	483 5 "	12,305. 3 7.	77,267. 10 11	23 10 8

14409

Fort St. Geor

25th October 1841.

Sea Customs.

July 1841

Establishment of Servants.	Saderwared.	Extra Charges.	Drawback.	Total.	Centage the Gr revenue
27 Rs A P	28 Rs A P	29 Rs A P	30 Rs A P	31 Rs A P	32 Rs
2,854 " "	" " "	" " "	56,907. 15 11	59,761 15 11	"
4,622 5 2	86 10 8	276 6 "	" " "	4,985 5 10	"
1,519. 15 7.	31 4 6	31 5 7.	" " "	1,582 9 8	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
887 12 "	27 14 10.	18 1 "	" " "	933 11 10	"
840. " "	29 9 8	37. 8 4	" " "	907 2 "	"
2,158. 1 5.	49 8 3.	64 " 4	" " "	2,271 10 "	"
4,004 11 10	119 7 3.	196. 13 11.	" " "	4,321 1 "	"
1,285. 11 "	55 4 8	70 6 10	" " "	1,411 6 6	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	"
15,318. 9 "	399. 11 10.	694 10 "	" " "	16,412 11 10	"
18,172 9 "	399 11 10	694 10 "	56,907. 15 11	76,174. 14 9	4 3 11

/Cont.d/

Tobacco

Purchase.	Establishment of Servants.	Saderwared.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the Gross revenue.
33 Rs A P	34 Rs A P	35 Rs A P	36 Rs A P	37 Rs A P	38 Rs A P
58,769. 11 8.	" " "	" " "	" " "	58,769. 11 8.	" " "
" " "	1,745 14 4	44 12 "	2,011 13 3	3,802 7 7.	" " "
3,035. 6 1.	1,906. 2 5.	75 13 3.	733 7 2	5,750 12 11.	" " "
327 10 3.	2,176. 15 "	25 1 3.	596 9 9.	3,126 4 3.	" " "
" " "	273 4 7	23 13 5.	319 10 3	616 12 3.	" " "
" " "	120 " "	18 10 5.	229 6 10	368. 1 3.	" " "
" " "	288. " "	15 15 6.	200 14 5	504 13 1.	" " "
" " "	294 " "	" 1 "	402 15 11	697 " 11.	" " "
" " "	210. " "	27 2 7.	491 5 "	728 7 7.	" " "
" " "	" " "	9 1 2	49 11 1	58 12 3.	" " "
" " "	" " "	3 15 6.	49 5 "	53 4 6.	" " "
" " "	" " "	7 2 3.	3 9 10	10 12 1	" " "
3,363. " 4	7,014 4 4	251 8 4	5,088 12 6	15,717. 9 6.	" " "
62,132 12 "	7,014 4 4	251 8 4	5,088 12 6	74,487. 5 2	25 11 9

Fort St. George

25th October 1841

Fusly 1249 (Cont'd)

Stamps:

Commission	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the Gross Revenue.	Grand Total of Charges	Centage on the Total Gross Revenue.	Establishment of Servants.
39 Rs As	40 Rs As	41 Rs As	42 Rs As	43 Rs As	44 Rs As	45 Rs As
1,892 9 5.	91. " "	1,983 9 5.	" " "	2,64,889. 8 11.	" " "	32,950. 3
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	42,510 12 "	" " "	12,249. 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	42,725. 6 8.	" " "	12,428. 13
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	38,260. 5 2	" " "	14,884 6.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,985. 4 10.	" " "	8,870. 5
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,669. 10 11.	" " "	10,442. "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	34,477. 8 8.	" " "	12,026. 11
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	37,536. 14 2	" " "	12,096. 13
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24,904. 2 11.	" " "	8,121. "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20,145. 15 4	" " "	9,918. 14
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17,448. 10 6	" " "	10,748. 10
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,498. 7 11.	" " "	4,977. 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,95,163. 3 1.	" " "	1,16,764. 14
1,892 9 5.	91. " "	1,983 9 5	4 14 1½	5,60,052. 12 "	18 15 8¾	1,49,715 2

Fusly 1250-

Land.

Saudermared.	Extra Charges.	Principal Sub Head afsts and Collrs Salary	Fixed and extra allowance	Compensation in lieu of resumed offices and Privileges.	Pensions.	Total.	Centage on the Gross revenue.
46 Rs As	47 Rs As	48 Rs As	49 Rs As	50 Rs As	51 Rs As	52 Rs As	53 Rs As
1,732 12 7.	9,207 10 4	7,191 14 1	7,285 13 4	" " "	3108 " "	1,26,476. 6 1.	" " "
466.11 3.	969 10 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,686. " 3.	" " "
439.2 4	192 9 7.	" " "	" " "	20,163. 9 "	" " "	33,224.2 7.	" " "
609.12 6.	275 10 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15,769.13 1.	" " "
302 4 6.	57 9 4 11.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9,751.15 3.	" " "
260 " 5.	26 3 13 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10,965.13 9.	" " "
431 9 9.	79 4 15 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,253.4 7.	" " "
384 17. 7 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	13,199.15 9.	" " "
470.13 8.	23 7 2 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	8,829. " 9.	" " "
361.13 7.	100 9 8 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,290.3 11.	" " "
275.5 2	44 12 7.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11,465.2 4	" " "
127.12 5.	12 4 6 5.	" " "	" " "	1,440 " "	" " "	6,669 11 5.	" " "
4,129.7 2	5 6 0 7 4 8.	" " "	" " "	21,603.9 "	" " "	148,105 3 8	" " "
5862.3 9	14,814.15 "	72,191 14 1	7,285 13 4	21,603.9 "	3,108 " "	2,74,581.9 9	15 14 5½

14411

Fort St. George

25th October 1841

July 1250.

Sayer

/ Cont.d /

Sundry Small farms and Licences -

Establishment of Servants.	Sunderwared.	Extra Charges.	Drawback.	Total.	Centage on the gross Revenue.	Purchase of amindi vii Coir.	Establishment of Servants.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the gross revenue.
54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
2,682 " "	" " "	" " "	14,350. 9 4	17,032. 9 4	" " "	7,282 5 10.	537 14 9.	61 14 10.	7,882 3 5.	" " "
3,327 10 1.	62 14 10	15 15 7.	" " "	3,406. 8 "						
420 " "	9 12 11.	2 8 "	" " "	432 4 "						
1,472 4 "	47 4 10.	7 11 "	" " "	1,527. 3 11						
2,867 2 7.	35 15 7	25 15 2	" " "	2,929. 1 4						
1,401. " "	49 7 2	11 3 11.	" " "	1,461. 11 1						
705. 8. "	18 " 2	51 3 "	" " "	774 11 3						
8,717. 7 1.	157. 2 2	89. 11 1.	" " "	8,964. 4 4						
5,574 13 7.	146. 7 1.	136. 2 4	" " "	5,857. 7 4						
2,870. " 9.	72 4 1.	1 " "	" " "	2,943. 4 11						
27,355. 14 1.	599. 4 10.	341. 6 1.	" " "	28,296. 9 "						
30,037. 14 1.	599. 4 10.	341 6 1.	14,350. 9 4	45,329. 2 4		7,282 5 10	537 14 9	61 14 10	7,882 3 5.	" " "
					16 "	7/4 7,282 5 10	537 14 9	61 14 10	7,882 3 5.	7 4 1/2

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

1250/ cont.d/

Sea Customs.

Purchase.	Establishment of Servants.	Salt. Saunderwared.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Trusty centage the Gross Revenue	Establishment of Servants.	Saunderwared.	Extra Charges.	Drawback.	Duty refunded as per Govt. Sanctions dated the 28th aug.t 1840	Total.	Centage on the Gross Revenue
65 Rs. A P	66 Rs. A P	67 Rs. A P	68 Rs. A P	69 Rs. A P	70 Rs. A P	71 Rs. A P	72 Rs. A P	73 Rs. A P	74 Rs. A P	75 Rs. A P	76 Rs. A P	77 Rs. A P
						2,845 8			49,069 10 4	934 9	52,008 7 1	
77,946 5	2,159 1 7	81 13 5	475. 8 1	10,510. 13 6		4,728 15 11	10,810. 7	277. 5 6			5,715.	
	1,040.	30. 2 9	461. 6 7	2,231. 9 4		1,517	31. 7	29. 9 7			1,577. 10 2	
18,536. 2	719. 1	31. 14 5	363. 5 5	19,650. 5 11								
1,953. 7 8	603.	37. 14 5	174. 3 8	2,768. 9 9		887. 8	38. 15 11	8. 11 4			935. 3 3	
	76.	4. 11 6	174. 12 1	255. 7 7		837. 14 11	30. 1	219. 14 7			1,087. 14 6	
14,840. 5 11	3,362. 2	100. 14 6	3,310. 12 5	21,644. 1		2,156. 11	58. 4 1	80. 6 9			2,294. 11 9	
12,313. 5 7	2,799. 8	101. 6 2	4,584. 1 2	19,798. 4 11		4,018 8	134. 10	262. 3 9			4,412 12 7	
9,779. 10 8	1,997. 15 6	89. 9 9	2,372. 4 2	14,239. 8 1		1,264 8	63. 12	81. 9 6			1,409 2 8	
65,217. 6 3	12,756. 9 4	478. 6 11	12,646. 5 7	91,098. 12 1		15,408. 7 9	464. 22	959. 13			16,832 6 11	
65,217. 6 3	12,756. 9 4	478. 6 11	12,646. 5 7	91,098 12 1	29	18,253. 15 9	464 2 2	959. 13	49,069. 10 4	934 9	68,840 14	24 1¾

14413.

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Tinnevelly

1250 (Continued)

Tobacco.										Stamps.						Grand Total of Charges.	Centage on the Total Gross Revenue.
Purchase.	Establishment of Servants.	Sunderward.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the Gross Revenue.	Commission.	Extra Charges.	Total.	Centage on the Gross Revenue.								
78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89						
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P						
77.699.12 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	77.699.12 2	" "	1748 1 7	7 7 7	1755 9 2	" " "	2,749.12 11 10.	" " "						
" " "	1,764. " "	39. 2 6.	1,896. 1 6	3,699. 4 "	" " "												
3,697 3 "	2,009 " 10.	78. 15 5.	461. 5 2	6,246. 8 5.	" " "												
238. 9 "	2,179 5 11.	25. 3 2	651. " 8.	3,094. 2 9.	" " "												
" " "	276. " "	32. 10 10	293. 12 7.	602. 7 5.	" " "												
" " "	119. " "	21. 15 8.	328. 12 3.	469. 11 11	" " "												
" " "	288. " "	15. 14 10.	160. 14 7.	464. 13 5.	" " "												
" " "	294. " "	" " "	367. 9 7.	681. 9 7.	" " "												
" " "	210. " "	30. 15 6.	600. 1 8.	841. 1 2	" " "												
" " "	" " "	9. 3 11.	88. 10 1.	97. 14. "	" " "												
" " "	" " "	3. 14 11.	52. 13. "	56. 11 11.	" " "												
" " "	" " "	9. 10 3.	6. 3 7.	15. 13 10.	" " "												
3,935.12 "	7,139. 6 9.	267. 11 "	4,907. 4 8.	16,250. 2 5	" " "												
81,635. 8 2	7,139. 6 9.	267. 11 "	4,907. 4 8.	93,949. 11 7.	32 7/8	1748 1 7	7 7 7	1755 9 2	4 13 1/4	5,834. 1 4.	19 6 3/4						
										40,893. 5 2.	" " "						
										43,279. 14 6.	" " "						
										41,920. 14 3.	" " "						
										14,490. 8 7.	" " "						
										14,306. 3 7.	" " "						
										40,581. " 1.	" " "						
										39,534. 5 11.	" " "						
										26,093. 7 10.	" " "						
										20,352. 6 3.	" " "						
										17,379. 5 3.	" " "						
										9,628. 14 1.	" " "						
										3,08,465. 5 6.	" " "						

14 & 14

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Increase.

Land.	Sayer.	Salt	Sea Customs.	Tobacco.	Total.
90 Rs a p	91 Rs a p	92 Rs a p	93 Rs a p	94 Rs a p	95 Rs a p
" " "	4,606 15 1.	" " "	" " "	18930 " 6.	23536 15 7
211 10 9.	" " "	350. 9 5.	129 10 2.	" " "	691 14 7
71 14 7.	" " "	79. 8 "	" " "	495 11 6	847. 2 1
" " "	" " "	3762 64	" " "	" " "	3762 64
114 9 1	6 10 11	396. 13 2	" " "	" " "	519. 8 7
89 15 4	8 10 7	255. 7 7.	180 12 6	101 10 8	636. 8 8
79 2 10	25 15 "	6,020 4 4	23 1 9.	" " "	6148. 7 11
15 8 6	" " "	1933 12 9	91 11 7.	" " "	2041. " 9
45 11 6.	1 " 1	1,032 3 7.	" " "	112 9 7.	1191. 8 9
101 6 1	65 15 1.	" " "	" " "	39. 1 9.	206. 6 11
" " "	59 13 10.	" " "	" " "	3 7 5.	63. 5 3
140 8 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	5. 1 9	145. 10 6
870 7 4	168 1 6.	13831 1 2	426 11 5	757 10 8.	16054 " 1.
870 7 4	4,775. " 7.	13,831 1 2	426 11 5	19,687 11 2	39,590. 15 8

Decrease.

Land.	Sayer	Sundry small farms and Licenses	Sea Customs.	Tobacco.	Stamps.	Total.
96 Rs a p	97 Rs a p	98 Rs a p	99 Rs a p	100 Rs a p	101 Rs a p	102 Rs a p
54 72 3 7	" " "	" " "	7753 8 10.	" " "	228 " 3	13453.12 8.
" " "	" " "	2,206 1 7	" " "	103 3 7.	" " "	2309. 5 2.
" " "	27 10 9	" " "	4 15 6.	" " "	" " "	92 10 3.
42 8 9.	27 3 "	" " "	" " "	32 1 6.	" " "	101. 13 3.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	14 4 10.	" " "	14 4 10.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	40 " 6.	" " "	40 " 6.
" " "	8 1 8	" " "	" " "	35. 7 4	" " "	43 9 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	2 3 10.	" " "	" " "	2. 3 10.
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
132 10 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	132 10 6.
" " "	15 4 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 4 4
175 3 3	138 3 9.	2206 1 7	7 3 4	225. 1 9.	" " "	2751. 13 8.
5,647 6 10.	138 3 9	2206 1 7	7760 12 2	225. 1 9.	228 " 3	16,205.10 8

Mangalore, 11th October 1841.

(Signed) H. M. Blair.
Pt. Collector.

Statement

-th October 1841.

cording to the Standard Beriz and the Numbers

rrow Beriz has been fixed.
= Sarrow Beriz.

Sircar Estates.

Remission.		No.	Sarrow Beriz Jummabundy for Fusly 1250.			Remission.		
11		12	13			14		15
Rs	a ps		Rs	a ps		Rs	a ps	Rs a ps
3170	6 8	65	1574	" "		1162	4 5.	411 11 7.
1573	" "	56	867.	5 6.		574	15 4	292 6 2.
9958	10 11.	847	23916.	10 "		10448.	15 7.	13467. 10 5.
2298.	13 3.	134	4296.	15 3.		3112	8 6.	1184 6 9.
4557.	10 11.	89	5912	" 4		4366.	4 4	1545. 12 "
16383.	1 4	249	16561.	2 5.		10873.	8 "	5687 10 5.
20967.	" "	769	21854	9 9		12631.	14 "	9222. 11 9.
"	" "	"	"	" "		"	" "	" " "
"	" "	"	"	" "		"	" "	" " "
"	" "	"	"	" "		"	" "	" " "
9004	7 8.	148	6468.	8 4		2850	2 9.	3618 5 7.
67933.	3 4	2354.	81451.	3 7.		46020.	8 11.	35430. 10 8.

which remission is allowed. with its particu

Talooka

Total

№	Jummahbundy for Fusly 1250.	Total Jummahbundy for Fusly 1250.	Remission.	№	Jummahbundy for Fusly 1250.	Remission.
16	17	18		19	20	
	Rs as	Rs as		Rs as		
296.	8748 1 7.	5,165 15 1.	35 82 2 3.		8,420 12	
320.	11179. 3 6.	9,313 13 4	1865 6 2.		7,067 2	
1470.	56940 11 4	33514. 6 "	23426. 5 4		14,301 1	
375.	17171 14 1.	13,688 10 1.	3483 4 "		3227 1	
601.	25,837. 15 6.	19,711. 8 7.	6123 6 11.		3,995 1	
902.	80664. 8 8.	58593. 12 11.	22070 11 9.		3501 2	
1,811.	84,557. 5 4.	54,367 9 "	30189 12 4.		14,301 1	
"	" "	" "	" "		" "	
"	" "	" "	" "		" "	
"	" "	" "	" "		" "	
"	" "	" "	" "		" "	
577.	39,239. 15 2.	2,6617 1 11.	12,622 13 3.		1043	
6,352.	32,4339 11 2.	2,2075 13 2.	103363 14 "		31965.	1,38,1

the October 1841.

ch Talook in the Zillah of Canara for Fusly 1250.-

Tarrow Beriz has been fixed. (Contd)

Total.

Estates. Sircar Estates.-

Jummahbundy for Fusly 1250.	Remission.	№	Tarrow Beriz for Fusly 1250.	Jummah=bundy for Fusly 1250.	Remission.
22	23	24	25	26	27
Rs as	Rs as		Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
2,08,347. 12 5.	3170 6 8.	327	7530 11 7.	7,119 " "	411 11 7.
199,208. 11 "	1,573 " "	319	4,548. 6 8.	4,256 " 6.	292 6 2
1,58883. 15 1.	9958 10 11.	1,510	37,370. 12 5	23,903. 2 "	13,467. 10 5.
1,60,403 15 7.	2,298 13 3	519.	16,352. 14 4	15,168. 7 7.	1,184 6 9.
1,72,481. 16.	4,577. 10 11	368	17,092. 14 "	15,547. 2 "	1,545 12 "
2,07,179 7 9.	16,383 1 4	444	26,875. " 5.	21,187. 6 "	5,687 10 5
1,60,325. 14 6.	20,969. " 7	1,177	28,941. 11 9.	19,119. " "	9,222 11 9.
" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "
5,1611 14 "	9,004 7 8	242	8,316 6 9.	4,698 1 2	3,618 5 7.
13,18,442. 11 10.	67,933. 3 4	4,906.	1,46,428. 13 11.	1,19,998. 3 3.	35,430. 10 8

14417

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Talooks in which standard Jotal Jall

Jarrow Beriz has been fixed /Cond./

/Cond./

No.	Jarrow Beriz for Fusly 1250.	Jummah-bundy for Fusly 1250.	Deduct assessment on Cumeree or high land cultivation	Net Jummah-bundy of Fusly 1250. Columns 22 & 26.	Remissions Beriz on lands belonging to the Christian Churches.	Remissions under the sanction of Government: Beriz on lands belonging to the Family of the late Coelano Cootho in part payment of the Palankeen allowance. Vide	Beriz on two lands belonging to Meer Mohomedally.	Beriz on a land belonging to Raga Baliga as per sanction of Government dated 9th June 1837.	Total.	Beriz on lands for payment of the full Beriz of which time is allowed.	Beriz suspended from the settlement pending reference to the Bd. of Revd.
28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
5,747.	2,19,048 14 8.	2,15,466 12 5.	" " "	2,15,466 12 5.	91 77	116 " "	38 14 10	" " "	246 6 8.	317 3 6.	489 2 2
7,386.	2,05,330 18.	2,03,647 7 5.	182 11 11	2,03,464 11 6.	11 64	100 " "	" " "	" " "	111 6 5.	251 3 4	261. 7 "
5,811.	2,06,213 65.	182,787 11.	" " "	182,787 11.	34 8 "	48 " "	" " "	48 " "	130 8 "	1,810 12 "	474 33.
3,746.	179,055 112	175,600 10 9.	28 37.	175,572 7 2	93 38	" " "	" " "	" " "	93 38.	961. 125.	746. 72.
3,463.	194,151 105.	188,071 4 8.	43 12	188,028 3 6	77 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	77 8 "	2,250. 93.	1,578. 72
3,945.	2,50,437 96.	2,28,610 13 "	243.15 3.	2,28,366 13 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5,638. 8 "	6,357. 95.
5,478	2,09,634. 1010.	180,937. 4 6.	1,492. 6 "	179,444 14 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2,047 98.	10,723. 125.
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1,285.	68,932. 125.	56,323. 137	13 145.	56,309 15 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	3159 125.	2,285. 2 "
36,861.	15,32,804. 131.	14,31,445. 35.	2,004 44	14,29,448 15 1.	303 13	264 " "	38 14 10	48 " "	659 " 6	16,437. 67.	22,916 27

14418 | Fort St. George | 25th October 1841.

Taloks in which standard of Jotal Jotal Remission Particulars of Columns

Taloks in which standard of Jarrow Berij. has been fixed. (cond.)

(cond.)
(cond.)
(cond.)
38 and 39.

Berij on lands on which salt Kotics are built	Berij on lands purchased and added to the Canton-ment.	Berij on lands taken for the roads.	Berij on lands injured by Rivers.— washed away.	over flown with salt water.	Total.	Berij on certain lands for which moolpatahs have been granted reducing only the Berij.—	Berij on ancciently waste lands.	Berij on lands recent-ly waste.	Remission on account of destruc-tion of Crops.	Remission for losses by Fire.	Berij on new cultivation to be assessed when the Estates become yielding.
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51
Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d	Rs a d
6 4 10	55 12 5	115 4 4	21 8 10	" " "	21 8 10	15 12 10	274 6 11	44 10 5	15 15 "	36 " "	28 15 "
" " "	" " "	3 9 7	18 " 5	" " "	18 " 5	" " "	239 13 "	58 4 "	4 13 2	50 14 "	58 37
" " "	" " "	28 4 11	15 " "	" " "	15 " "	3 3 7	427 10 9	872 12 5	75 82	38 1 2	" " "
" 4 "	" " "	" " "	62 37	70 8 5	132 12 5	" " "	769 13 9	261 10 "	8 11 7	30 6 10	103 1 2
" " "	" " "	13 3 7	41 138	" " "	41 138	117 13 2	1,298 12 7	485 25	30 5 10	60 12 "	1,470 15 "
14 " "	" " "	12 12 5	442 4	70 6 10	512 11 2	195 8 "	6,280 53	2,640 155	145 15 2	65 5 8	267 10 10
4 " "	" " "	" " "	783 7 2	" " "	783 7 2	12 4 "	9924 13	940 146	155 7 "	55 " "	495 10 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
24 8 10	55 12 5	173 12 10	1,385 6 "	140 15 3	1,525 13 3	28 " "	2,257 2 "	1,162 105	275 13 "	151 6 "	5 12
372 9 7			21,472 1 4				6,436 157		712 8 11	487 13 8	2,429 89

Remission for deficient produce		Remission for general circumstances of Distress.		Total Columns 40 to 53.		Beriz on Salt remitted.		Beriz on Salt pans not now worked.		Beriz to be disposed of after enquiry	
52		53		54		55		56		57	
Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
155	15 1	35	12 4	806	5 8.	"	" "	14,15	11 1.	1,113	11 4
59	" 7	20	" "	512	10 4	"	" "	668	8 1.	572	13 4
142	7 8.	681	14 7.	2,284	15 3	"	" "	"	" "	21,010	14 1
74	15 7	325	8 10.	1,708	3 7.	"	" "	726	1 7.	955	11 3
167	12 "	171	12 2	3829	" 5.	"	" "	"	" "	2,216	14 4
885	13 "	975	" 6	11,996	1 5.	5,876	14 "	855	1 7.	3,342	10 4
270	6 5	130	29.	12,711	6 1.	4,808	1 10.	76	14 6.	12,533	5 "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
150	15 2	1,413	14 8.	5,444	14 5	"	" "	"	" "	7,177	14 1
1,907	5 6.	3,754	26.	39,353	9 2	10,684	15 10.	3,742	4 10.	48,923	15 3

Talooks in which no Standard Beriz has been fixed.

Estates paying according to ancient Beriz.

Total Col^s 37-38-39-55. 56-and 57.	Private Estates.		Sirkar Estates.		Total.	
	N^o.	Ancient Beriz or Jummah-bundy for Fusly 1250.	N^o.	Ancient Beriz or Jummah-bundy for Fusly 1250.	N^o.	Ancient Beriz or Jummah-bundy for Fusly 1250.
58	59	60	61	62	63	64
Rs As		Rs As		Rs As		Rs As
3,582 2 3.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
1,865 6 2	419	17,353 6 "	34	706 " 8.	453	18,059 6 8.
23,426 5 4	1034	32,469 7 7.	199	2,787 14 9.	1,233	35,257 64
3,483 4 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
6,123 6 11.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22,070 11 9.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
30,189 12 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
" " "	2,944	40,294 14 2	811	6,788 5 3.	3,755	47,083 3 5.
" " "	1,231	29,506 13 7.	1,864	19,508 12 10.	3,095	49,015 10 5.
" " "	1495	68,043 7 10.	324	4,541 9 2	1,819	72,585 1 "
12,622 13 9.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
103,363 14 "	7,123	187,668 1 2	3,232	31,332 10 8.	10,355	222,000 11 10.

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

been fixed and the ancient Beriz and the survey Beriz

Estates paying below is

five Maganies in Sonda is taken for it.—

the ancient Beriz.—

Private Estates.—						Sircar		Estates.—			Total.—				
No.	Ancient Beriz for Fasly 1250.	Jummah-bundy for fasly 1250.	Remission.	No.	Ancient Beriz for Fasly 1250.		Jummah-bundy for Fasly 1250.	Remission.	No.	Ancient Beriz for Fasly 1250.	Jummah-bundy for Fasly 1250.	Remission.			
65	66	67	68	69	70		71	72	73	74	75	76			
	Rs a	Rs a	Rs a		Rs a		Rs a	Rs a		Rs a	Rs a	Rs a			
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "		" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "			
74	4,220 3	2,999 6	1,220 13	7.	27	777 34	507 1 2	270 2 2	101	4,997 6	11. 3,506	7 2	1,490	15	9.
603	23,237 9	8. 14,087 2	" 9,150 7	8.	831	20,063 6	6592 14 11	13,470 7 1	1434.	43,300 15	8. 20,680	" 11.	22,620.	14	9.
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
1408	67,811 3	10. 52,187 2	10. 15,624 1	"	1113	37,804 7	18354 11 9.	19,449 11	10 2521.	105,615 11	5. 70,541	14 7.	35,073.	12	10.
741	29,925 14 4	17,938 4	" 11,987 10	4	1707.	31,854 "	10,813 15 2	24,040 "	10. 2,448.	64,779 14	4 28,752	3 2	36,027.	11	2
1062	7,344. 7 6	45,328 8	5. 28,118 15	1	613.	22,462 8	6,552 9 2	15,909. 15	8. 1,675.	9,591	0. " 4	5,881. 17	44,028.	14	9.
"	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	" "	"	" "	" "	" "	"	"	"
3,888	198,642. 6 11.	132,540. 7 3.	66,101 15 8		4,291.	115,961. 9	42,821 4 2	73,140. 5 7.	8,179.	314,604 "	8 175,361.	11 5.	139,242.	5	3

Fort St. George.

25th October 1841

	Private Estates.				Total Sircar Estates.		
N°	Ancient Beriz for Fusly 1250.	Jummah-bundy for Fusly 1250	Remission	N°	Ancient Beriz for Fusly 1250.	Jummah-bundy for Fusly
77	78	79	80	81	82	83
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p	Rs a
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
493	21,573. 9 7.	20,352 12 "	1,220 13 7.	61	1,483 4 "	1,213 1
1,637.	55,707. 13.	46,556. 9 7.	9,150 7 8	1,930.	22,851. 4 9.	9,380 10
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4,352	1,08,106. 2 "	92,482. 1 "	15,624. 1 "	1,924	44,592 12 10.	25,143. 1
1,972	59,432. 11 11.	47,445. 1 7	11,987. 10 4	3,571.	54,362 12 10.	30,322 12
2,557.	14,490. 15 4	11,337. 2 "	3. 2,811 8. 15 1.	937	27,004. 2 "	11,094. 2
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"

14422

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Total

Total

(Con.d)

(Con.d)

Permission under the Sanction of Government.

Particulars of Col.s 93 and 94.

Berizon lands belonging to the Christian Churches.	Berizon lands belonging to Mahrattah Polkar.	Total	Berizon lands for payment of the full Beriz of which time is allowed.	Berizon lands from the settlement pending a reference to the Board of Revenue.	Berizon lands taken for erecting Salt Kotty.	Berizon lands taken for the Roads.	Berizon lands washed by river	Berizon lands for which Mokhtahs have been granted reducing the Beriz.	Berizon lands anciently waste lands.
90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

Stat of Ft. Geo

25th October 1841.

Particulars of Cols 93 & 94 / Cont /

/ Cont /

/ Cont /

/ Cont /

Berizon lands recently waste	Remission on account of destruction of Crops.	Remission on account of losses by fire.	Berizon new Cultivation to be assessed when the estates become yielding	Remission for deficient produce	Remission for general circumstances of the crop	Total Cols 93. to 105.	Berizon Salt pans remitted	Berizon Salt pans not now worked.	Berize to be disposed of after enquiry.	Total of Cols. 93. 94. 107. 108 and 109.	
100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	
Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
13 "	2 "	6 "	" "	" "	" "	255 5 6	" "	" "	1,235 10 3	1,490 15 9	
875 9	50 1 5	12 "	" "	153 1 7	" "	1,573 4 "	" "	" "	21,247 10 9	22,620 14 9	
" "	" "	" "	" "	112 15 4	210 15 3	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
574 8 "	54 8 2	38 12 "	2,301 8 3	75 " "	265 11 2	2,111 5 1 1	1,167 7 2	139 7 7	12,576 2 1	35,073 12 10	
45 10 "	" " "	26 11 10	105 12 "	50 15 2	110 11 5	1,516 3 7	" "	" "	34,511 7 7	36,027 11 2	
1,215 12 "	715 15 3	758 5	60 15 2	22 11 " 10	619 12 6	9,166 3 2	" "	" "	34,862 11 7	44,028 11 9	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
2,724 7 7	825 5 10	1593 3	597 10 5	260 5 11 11	1,237 3 2	33,126 1 4	1,167 7 2	139 7 7	1,04,433 10 3	1,39,242 5 3	

Court of Exchequer

Estates passing according to the Bankruptcy Act.

Real Estate. Short Estate.

Bankrupt	Bankrupt	Bankrupt
No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued
of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.
111	112	113
Age 40	Age 40	Age 40
Occupation Grocer	Occupation Grocer	Occupation Grocer
Residence Bath	Residence Bath	Residence Bath
Petitioning Creditor	Petitioning Creditor	Petitioning Creditor
Debt £412 10s	Debt £87 5s	Debt £1,204
Filed 14 June	Filed 14 June	Filed 14 June
Assignee Mr. Pell	Assignee Mr. Pell	Assignee Mr. Pell
Sealed 21 June	Sealed 21 June	Sealed 21 June
Bankrupt	Bankrupt	Bankrupt
No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued
of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.
114	115	116
Age 52	Age 52	Age 52
Occupation Draper	Occupation Draper	Occupation Draper
Residence Leeds	Residence Leeds	Residence Leeds
Petitioning Creditor	Petitioning Creditor	Petitioning Creditor
Debt £2,310	Debt £640	Debt £318
Filed 28 June	Filed 28 June	Filed 28 June
Assignee Mr. Pell	Assignee Mr. Pell	Assignee Mr. Pell
Sealed 5 July	Sealed 5 July	Sealed 5 July

25th October 1844.

Estates passing under the Bankruptcy Act.

Private Estates. Joint Estates.

Bankrupt	Bankrupt	Bankrupt	Bankrupt
No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued	No. Summons issued
of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.	of Trinity Term 1850.
118	119	120	121	122	123	124
Age 48	Age 48	Age 48	Age 48	Age 48	Age 48	Age 48

Sealed 1850 ... Filed 1850 ... Assignee ... Sealed 1850 ... Filed 1850 ... Assignee ...

Sheet of Page

Sales

No.	Name of Summons	Name of Tenant	Date of Summons	Date of Hearing	Remarks
125	126	127	128	129	130
	Pr. Ap	Pr. Ap	Ex. Ap		Pr. Ap
126	Sept 28 1750	3 Feb 1750	3 Feb 1750		
	16 17 6 10 5	12,820 L	3 3 5 6 3 11		5 6 2 8 L
2 9 0 L	100,2 L L 11	5 4 19 L 6 11	L 6 0 7 L L		5 9 3 8 14 0
3 7 3	17 17 1. 11 1	13 6 8 8. 10	5 9 3 8 21 15 19 11 5		

Sheet of Page

Sales

No.	Name of Summons	Name of Tenant	Date of Summons	Date of Hearing	Remarks
125	126	127	128	129	130
	Pr. Ap	Pr. Ap	Ex. Ap		Pr. Ap
126	Sept 28 1750	3 Feb 1750	3 Feb 1750		
	16 17 6 10 5	12,820 L	3 3 5 6 3 11		5 6 2 8 L
2 9 0 L	100,2 L L 11	5 4 19 L 6 11	L 6 0 7 L L		5 9 3 8 14 0
3 7 3	17 17 1. 11 1	13 6 8 8. 10	5 9 3 8 21 15 19 11 5		

13 — Oct 1821

Sheet of Page

Sales

No.	Name of Summons	Name of Tenant	Date of Summons	Date of Hearing	Remarks
131	Pr. Ap	Pr. Ap	Pr. Ap		Pr. Ap
132	1 Feb 1750	1 Feb 1750	1 Feb 1750		
133	3 1 6 5 15 L	3 5 8 2 1 3.	5 L 2 0 2 11 15 15 3 1		
134	16,17 6 10 5	12,820 L 6	3 3 5 6. 3 11		7 3 6 0 2 2 2 3 5 4. 1
135	2 9 0 L	100,2 L L 11	5 4 19 L 6 11	L 6 0 7 L L	5 9 3 8 21 15 19 11 5
136	3 7 3	17 17 1. 11 1	13 6 8 8. 10	5 9 3 8 21 15 19 11 5	

14426

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

/Contd/

/Contd/

/Contd/

No.	Berizon of Fusly 1250.		Jummahbundy of Fusly 1250.		Deduct assessment in Numeri on high land Cultivation	Nett Jummahbundy of Fusly 1250 Col. 131 and 135.	Remissions. Remission under the Sanction of Government. Berizon lands belonging to the Christian Churches	Berizon lands belonging to the family of the late Kaelan Saheb. in part payment of the talaiyaris allowance.	Berizon lands belonging to Meer Mohomed ally.	Berizon lands belonging to Malabar Hollar.	Berizon lands belonging to Rangaya Baliga as per Sanction of Govt. dated 9th June 1837.	Total
137	138		139		140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147
	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
5747.	2,19,048	14 8.	2,15,466	12 5.	" " "	2,15,466. 12 5.	91 7 7.	116 " "	38 14 10	" " "	" " "	246 6 5.
7940.	2,28,386	15 3.	2,25,284	12 1.	254 2 9	2,25,030. 9 4	11 6 5.	100 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	111 6 5.
8478.	2,84,771	12 5.	2,38,942	10 9.	2182 5	2,38,724. 8 4	34 8 "	48 " "	" " "	" " "	48 " "	130 8 "
3746.	1,79,055	11 2	1,75,600	10 9.	28 3 7	1,75,572 1 2	93 3 8.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	93 3 8.
3463.	1,94,151	10 5.	1,88,071	4 8	43 1 2	1,58,028. 3 6.	77 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	77 8 "
3945.	2,50,437	9 6.	2,28,610	13 "	2431 5 3	2,28,366. 13 9.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
5478.	2,09,634	10 10.	1,80,937	4 6	1,492 6 "	179,444. 14 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6276.	1,52,698	14 10.	1,18,558	" 5	9321 4 5	11,625. 2 "	67 10 11.	" " "	" " "	8 " "	" " "	75 10 11.
5543.	1,13,795	8 9	79,852	" 10.	20813 3.	7767 13 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3494.	1,68,495	1 4	1,24,802	15 10.	3361 3 3.	12,446. 2 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1285.	68,932	12 5.	56,323	13 7.	13 14 5.	56,309. 15 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
55,395.	2,06,940	9 7.	1,83,245	1 2 10	5,647 12 6	18,263. 6 4	375 12 7	264 " "	38 14 10	8 " "	48 " "	734 11 5

14427

Fort St. Geo

25th October 1841.

Total
Total
Total
Rem
Particulars

Contd
Contd
Contd

con

Columns 148 and 149.

Berijon lands for payment of the full Berij of which time is allowed. 148		Berij suspended from the settlement pending a reference to the Board of Revenue. 149		Berijon lands on which Salt Kottics are built 150		Berijon lands purchased and added to the Contonment. 151		Berijon lands to keep the roads 152	
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
31	3 6.	489	2 2						
425	4 11.	312	10 11	6	4 10	55	12 5	115	4 6
3,072	1 7.	586	1 8.	"	" "	"	" "	3	9 1
961	12 5.	746	7 2	"	" "	"	" "	28	4 11
2250	9 3.	1,578	7 2	"	4 "	"	" "	"	" "
5,638	8 "	6,357	9 5.	"	" "	"	" "	13	10 7
2,047	9 8	10,723	12 5.	14	" "	"	" "	12	12 5.
1,439	3 7	1,967	5. 13 6.	4	" "	"	" "	"	" "
340	2 5.	1,176	1 2	"	8 "	"	" "	"	" "
2,720	15 2	6,445	4 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
3,159	12 5.	2,285	2 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
22,373	2 11.	50,406	7 7	25	" 10	55	12 5	349	8.

Berijon lands injured by River

Berijon lands washed away. 153		Over flown with Salt water. 154		Total. 155		Berijon Certain lands for which Moolpatahs have been granted redency the Berij. 156		Berijon lands ancientlly waste. 157		Berijon lands recently waste. 158	
Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
21	8 10.	"	" "	21	8 10	15	12 10.	274	6 11.	44	10 5.
18	" 5.	"	" "	18	" 5.	"	" "	321.	" 11.	71	4 "
15	" "	"	" "	15	" "	3	3 7.	5399	2	1,748.	6 "
63	3 7.	708	5.	133	12 "	"	" "	769.	13 7.	261.	10 "
41	13 8.	"	" "	41	13 8.	117	13 2	1,298.	12 7.	455.	2 5.
4,214	4.	706	10.	5121	1 2	195	8 "	6,280.	5 3.	2,640.	15 5.
783	12 "	"	" "	783	12	12	4 "	9,924	1 3.	940.	14 6.
1121	2 5.	"	" "	1121	2 5.	705	7 7.	17,672	11 8.	574	8 "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	1176.	1 2	45.	10 "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	17	1 4 "	4,059.	15 2	1,215.	12 "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	28	" "	2257.	2 "	1,162.	10 5.
2,506.	8 5.	140.	15 3.	2,647	8.	1,249.	5 2	44,574.	2 8.	9,161.	7 2

14428

Total Sa. Gua

5th. October 1841.

Remission on account of destruction of Crops. 159			Remission on account of losses by fire. 160			Beriz now cultivation to be assessed when the estate become yielding. 161			Remission for deficient produce. 162			Particulars of Remission for general Circumstances of distress 163		
Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P
15	15	..	36	"	"									
6	13	2	56	14	"	28	15	"	155	15	1	55.	11	
125.	9	7.	50	1	2	55	5	7.	212	3	2	20.	"	
8	11	7.	30.	6	10.	"	"	"	255.	5.	"	89	11	
30.	5	10.	60.	12	"	103	1	2	74.	15	7.	325.	5	
114	15	2	65.	5	8.	1,170.	15	"	167.	12	"	171.	10	
155.	7	"	55.	"	"	267.	10	10.	855.	13	"	97.	8	
54.	5	2	38	12	"	495.	10	"	270.	6	5.	150.	3	
"	"	"	26.	11.	10.	1,50.	15	5.	75.	"	"	265.	11	
718.	15	3	75.	8	5.	105.	12	"	50.	15	2	110.	14	
275.	13	"	151.	6	"	60.	15	2	2,214.	"	10	619.	11	
						5.	1	2	150.	15	2	14.	13.	11
1,537.	14	9.	619.	"	11.	3,027.	3	2	4,513.	4	5.	1,991.	3	

Total of Columns 150 to 165.			Beriz on Salt pans remitted. 165			Beriz on Salt pans not now worked. 166			Beriz to be disposed of after enquiry. 167			Total of Columns 147, 148, 149. 166, and 167. 168.		
Rs	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
806	5	8.	"	"	"	1,415	11	1.	1,113	11	1.	3,582.	2	3.
768	15	10.	"	"	"	668	8	1.	1,808.	7	7.	3,356.	5	11.
3,658	3	3.	"	"	"	"	"	"	42,258.	8	10.	46,047.	4	1.
1,708	3	7.	"	"	"	726.	1	7.	955.	11	2.	3,483.	4	"
3,829	"	5.	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,216.	14	6.	6,123.	6	11.
11,996.	1	5.	5,876	14	"	855.	1	7.	3,342	10	9.	22,070.	11	9.
12,771	6	1.	4,808	1	10.	76.	14	6.	12,533.	5	11.	30,189.	12	4.
21,115.	1	1.	1,167	7	2.	139	7	7.	12,576.	2	1.	35,073.	12	10.
15,16	3	7.	"	"	"	"	"	"	34,511	7	7.	36,027.	11	2.
9,166	3	2.	"	"	"	"	"	"	34,862	11	7.	44,028.	14	9.
5,444	14	5.	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,177	14	10.	12,622.	13	3.
72,779.	10	6.	11,852.	7	"	3,881	12	5.	135,357.	9	11.	212,606.	3	3

(Signed) H. M. Blair. Principal Collector.

Statement

14429

Fort St. George 25th. October 1841.

E

Statement of the Canara Revenue Settlement for Fusly 1250.

Nos. of Estates	Sarrow or maximum Government demand.	Settlement of Fusly 1250.	Deficient maximum Government demand.	Particulars of Column 4. Amount to be made good by stipulated instalments.	Under examination.	Amount which cannot be made good.	Beriz or salt pans not now worked.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.	Rs. As.
Estates paying the full Government Tax	40,864	14,30,465 13 9	14,39,465 13 9	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Estates which are not now fully Cultivated, in consequence of which they do not yet yield the full Government Tax, the proprietors have engaged to raise the tax to the maximum by stipulated instalments		2,361.	133,771. 13 10	1,16,869. 6 8	16,902 4 2.	16,902 4 2	" "	" "
Estates not now Capable of								

1259	165. 2 11.	
1260	317. 6 6.	
1261	216. 8 6.	
1262	231 12 10.	
1263	17. 9 7.	
1264	13. 14 5.	
Total	22,373 2 11.	

Mangalore, 11th. October 1841

[Signed] H. M. Blair.

Principal Collector.

Statement

14429

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Statement of the Canara Peishcush Settlement for Fusly 1250.

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Statement of the Canara Peishcush Settlement for Fusly 1250.

Beriz fixed on newly cultivated Rice Garden Lands in Fusly 1250 and the number of Ryots holding

Beriz issued for 2 Years.				Ditto for 3 Years.				Ditto for 4 Years.				Ditto for 5 Years.			
Beriz added on former wurges according to the Stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former wurges according to the Stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former wurges according to the Stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former wurges according to the Stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Rs As	Rs As		Rs As	Rs As	Rs As		Rs As	Rs As	Rs As		Rs As	Rs As	Rs As		Rs As
" "	" "		" "	" "	" "		" "	" "	" "		" "	" "	" "		" "

Fort St. George

25th October 1814.

them in the Zillah of Canara.

Ditto for 6 years						Ditto for 7 years.			Ditto for 8 years.					
No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz	Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.			
22.	23.		24.	25.	26.		27.	28.	29.	30.	31.	32.	33.	
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P		Rs. A P			Rs. A P	Rs. A P		Rs. A P	Rs. A P	
2	4 11 2	10 4 5		14 15 7	4	4 15 8								

14432

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Ditto for 9 years.

No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.	No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.
34	35	36	37	38	39
	Ps. A P	Ps. A P.	Ps A P		Ps. A P
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	5	47 14 5
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
22	106 14 5.	924 9	199 32 2 5	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
1	13 8	" " "	13 8 "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "	"	" " "
23	120 6 5	924 9	212 11 2. 7	52 14 5	

Ditto for 10 years.

Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.
40	41
Ps A P	Ps A P
" " "	" " "
47 14 5	2
" " "	"
" " "	1
" " "	"
5 11 2	10 11 2 9
" " "	"
" " "	"
" " "	"
" " "	"
" " "	"
" " "	"
5 11 2	58 9 7 12

Ditto for 11 years.

No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz.	Beriz added on former wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.
42	43	44	45
	Ps A P	Ps A P	Ps A P
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
2	6 14 5.	" " "	6 14 5
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
1	6 3 2	" " "	6 3 2
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
9	56 8 "	143 6 "	199 14 "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
9 7.	69 9 7.	143 6.	212 15 7.

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Ditto for 13 Years.

No. of Ryots.	Amount of Beriz	Beriz added on former Wurges according to the stipulation.	Total.
46	47 Rs A P	48 Rs A P	49 Rs A P
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
18	314 6 5.	448 12 "	763 2 5.
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	30 9 7	30 9 7.
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "
"	" " "	" " "	" " "

St. George 25th October 1841

the past Fusly

Deduct Land Revenue

													Remaining for Fusly	
Jari Enam.		Cutcobar.		Total.		Mothurpha.		Total.					1250.	
	7		8		9		10		11			12		
Rs.	As	Ps	Rs.	As	Rs.	As	Ps	Rs.	As	Ps	Rs.	As	Ps	
			45	12	45	12		38	2	10	83	14	10	20,386. 13 2.
			43	1	63	1	7	136.	11	8.	199	13	3.	20,483. 1 "
			11	32	11	32		43.	11	7.	54	14	9.	18,756. 9 8.
								4	"	"	4	"	"	2,722 9 7.
											59.	3	3.	8,311. 15 7.
											48	"	"	7,897. 2 "
			100	" 9.	227	4 "		222	10	1.	449.	14	1.	78,558 6 "
			54	8 "	8	8	9.	56.	4	4	137.	13	1	19,286. 1 7.
								104.	8	"	148.	10	5.	3,770. 12 "
								160	12	4	286.	7	6	23,056. 13 7.
			151.	8	352.	15	2	383.	6	5.	736.	5	7	19,615. 3 7.

14435

Fort St. George

25th " October 1841

Settlement of fusly 1249.

Total.

Land Revenue.		Koomery.		Sirkar.		Devadeim.		Total.		On account of instalment	
13		14		15		16		17		18	
Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps	Rs	As Ps
18,855	2 9.	"	" "	17,310	9 3	1,544.	9 6.	18,855	2 9.	222	13 "
14,555.	8	"	" "	13,768.	" 9.	787.	7 3.	14,555.	8 "	101	" "
9,154.	13 2.	"	" "	7,921	11 6.	1,233.	1 8.	9,154.	13 2.	88	8 "
1,186	3 7.	"	" "	914	15 7.	270.	4 "	1,185.	3 7.	3	6 "
4,820	2 10.	12	11 2.	4,608.	" 4.	224.	13 8.	4,832.	11 "	76.	15 "
6,351.	8 10.	209	9 5.	6,561.	2 3.	"	" "	6,561.	2 3.	57	10 "
54,922.	7 2.	222.	4 7.	5,184.	7 8.	4,060.	4 1.	55,144.	11 9.	550.	4 "
18,168.	6 11.	10	3 3.	16,770.	15 1.	1,407.	11 1.	18,178.	10 2.	46.	3 "
3,219.	8 5.	61	3 7.	2,242.	9 7.	1,038.	2 5.	3,280.	12 "	24.	3 2
21,387.	15 4.	71.	6 10.	19,013.	8 8.	2,445.	13 6.	21,459.	6 2.	70.	6 2
76,310.	6 6.	293.	11 5.	70,098.	" 4.	6,506.	1 7.	76,604.	1 11.	620	10 "

Additions in fusly 1250.—

Land Revenue—

On account of increased produce.		On account of discontinued cultivation.		Total.	
19		20		21	
Crs	As Ps	Crs	As Ps	Crs	As Ps
17	8 "	44	149	285	3 11.
159	4 "	93	137.	354	2 "
248.	4 1.	98	"	346.	4 1.
14	8 "	"	" "	17	13 7.
54	" "	25	8 11.	156	8 1.
45.	14 10.	28.	9 7.	132	2 10.
539.	6 11.	202	6 10	1,292	2 6.
111	9 1.	"	" "	157.	12 1.
16.	9 1.	"	" "	41.	2 5.
128	8 4.	"	" "	198	14 6.
667.	15 3.	202	6 10.	1491	" 2

Reduction in fusly 1250.—

Land Revenue.

On account of discontinued Cultivation		On account of loss by fire.		On account of poverty & general Circumstances of distress.		Total.	
22		23		24		25	
Crs	As Ps	Crs	As Ps	Crs	As Ps	Crs	As Ps
12	10 "	2	" "	"	" "	14	10 "
20	8 "	"	" "	4.	" "	20	12. "
42	10 5.	1	" "	4	4 "	50	14 5.
2	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2	9 52
33.	10 "	6	" "	"	" "	32	1 2
94.	11 10.	"	" "	"	" "	19	4 "
211.	7 5	12	" "	"	" "	57	132
13	" "	"	" "	"	" "	2	" "
6	" "	"	" "	"	" "	6	" "
13	" "	6	" "	2	" "	21	" "
18	" "	"	" "	"	" "	59	13 2

										14	10 "
										20	12. "
										50	14 5.
										2	9 52
										71	1 2
										113.	15 10.
										284.	4 7
										15	" "
										6	" "
										21	" "
										302.	4 7

14436

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

Settlement of Fusly 1250.

Difference between Columns 17 & 30

Land Revenue.	Koomery.	Sirkar.	Totab. Devadeim.	Totab.	Increase	Decrease
26	27	28	29	30	31	32
Rs A ps	Rs. A ps	Rs. A ps	Rs A ps	Rs A ps	Rs as	Rs as
19,125. 12 8.	" " "	17,581. 3 2	1,544. 9 6.	19,125. 12 8	270 9 11	" " "
14,888 14 "	" " "	14,101 6 9.	787. 7 3.	14,888 14 "	333 6 "	" " "
9,449. 10 10.	" 8 "	8,217 1 2	1,233. 1 8.	9,450. 2 10	295 5 8	" " "
1,193. 12 "	" " "	923. 8 "	270. 4 "	1,193 12 "	8 8 5	" " "
4,898. 6 11.	194 "	4,692 13 3.	224 13 8.	4,917. 10 11	84 12 11	" " "
6,380. 14 10.	198 6 5.	6,579. 5 3.	" " "	6,579. 5 3	18 3 "	" " "
56,937. 9 3.	218. 2 5.	52,095. 5 7.	4,060. 4 1.	56,155. 9 8	1010 13 11	" " "
18,311 3 "	10 3 3.	16,913 11 2.	1,407. 11 1.	18,321. 6 3	142 12 1	" " "
3,254. 10 10.	61 3 7.	2,277. 12 "	1038 2 5.	3,315 14 5.	35 2 5	" " "
21,565. 13 10.	71. 6 10.	19,191. 7 2.	2,445. 13 6.	21,637. 4 8.	177 14 6	" " "
77,503. 5 1.	289. 9 3.	71,286. 12 9.	6,506. 1 7.	77,792 14 4.	1188 12 5.	" " "

Mangalore, 11th October 1841

(Signed) A. M. Blair p.e Coll.r

Statement

14437

Statemen

George

J

as required

the balance

ought up by

1249.

Amount of Berij- no ab

" " "

To be assessed.

2 15 8 "

Ditto "

Altera

Virgs to
Deduct.
Ditto

Add fro
New c
Total

25th October 1841-

by Board's proceedings Para 18 dated 1st April 1841-

has been disposed of.

further instalments

1257-

Number of Coolies	Amount of Berij- no ab	Amount under inquiry to be brought up after keeping- Number of Coolies	Amount of Berij- no ab	Total Number of Coolies	Amount of Berij- no ab
1.	12 14 5.	" " "	" "	2	38 8 10
		" " "	3 41 15 2	5.	57 7 2.
				15	177 15 3.
	1 78 14 5.				
	2 29 7 8.			3	108 8 1.
Remaining				12	69 7 2
	2 15 8 "			5	31 " 10
	3 15 8 10			17	100 8 "

Signed / W. M Blair pte Coll.

Statement

14438

Fort St George 25th October 1841.

No 1. Statement Shewing the Number of Mogannies and Villages in Canara in fasley 1249 & 50

	Talooks.	1249. No of Mogannies	1249. No of Villages	1250. No of Mogannies	1250. No of Villages	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mangalore	29	190	29	196.	
2	Bekul	24	242	24	242	
3	Buntwal	38	394	38	394	
4	Codiapy	16	126	16	126	
5	Barkoor	17	135	17	135.	
6	Cundapoor	24	190	24	190	
7	Honore	16	191	16	191.	
8	Ankola	10	139	10	139.	
9	Soopah	11	267	11	267.	
10	Sundal	19	327	19	327.	
11	Bilghi	13	74	13	74	
	Total	217	2281	217	2281.	

Mangalore 11th October 1841 (Signed) W. M. Blair pro Collr
Statement

14439

Fort St George 25th October 1841.

No 2.

Statement Shewing the Number of Tanks and Embankments in Canara ascertained in fasley 1250

		No of Tanks - In good order	In decayed order	Total	Number of rivulets useful for the Cultivation	Number of Embankments erected against them -	Remarks -
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mangalore	a	a	a	2	10.	
2	Bekul	9	6	15.	98	280	
3	Buntwal	10	32	42	98	350	
4	Codiapy	4	5	9.	21	98	
5	Barcoor	1	11	12	a	a	
6	Cundapoor	2	7	9.	7	48	
7	Honore	a	5	5	16	36.	
8	Ankola	2	9	11.	3	4	
9	Soopah	4	8	12	a	a	
10	Sundal	66	44	110	2	2	
11	Bilghi	3	34	37	4	3.	
	Total.	101	161	262	251	831.	

Mangalore 11th October 1841. (Signed) W. M. Blair pro Collr
Statement

14440

Fort St George 25th October 1841–

No 3– Statement Shewing the Number of Estates in Canara for fusly 1250–

Taloohs–	Mooly Purgs or Estates held by the Proprietors			Gueny Purgs or Estates abandoned by Mooliyars–			Village Taxes			Total			Remarks–
	No of Ploughs	No of Estates	No of Beriz Pattahs	No of Ploughs	No of Estates	No of Beriz Pattahs	No of Individuals	No of Beriz Pattahs	No of Ploughs	No of Estates	No of Beriz Pattahs		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		13
Mangalore	14,116	5,420	334	396	327	40	1,056	1,056	14,512	6,803	1,460	"	"
Bekul	16,461	7,560	312	324	380	99	3,086	3,086	16,785	11,026	3,477	"	"
Buntwal	16,373	5,938	1,303	2,181	2,540	1,150	4,025	4,025	18,554	12,503	6,488	"	"
Codipy	12,032	3,227	263	992	579	130	3,301	3,301	13,024	7,047	3,700	"	"
Barcoor	13,856	3,095	535	1,692	363	95	2,772	2,772	15,548	6,235	3,402	"	"
Coondapoor	10,650	3,501	713	1,354	444	234	2,678	2,678	12,004	6,623	3,625	"	"
Bonore	7,143	4,301	1,084	1,413	1,177	640	1,796	1,796	8,556	7,274	3,520	"	"
Ankolah	4,746	4,352	1,383	1,416	1,924	1,009	925	925	6,162	7,201	3,317	"	"
Soopah	3,652	1,972	751	3,395	3,571	1,074	659	659	7,047	6,202	2,484	"	"
Soudah	3,457	2,537	1,090	601	937	402	911	911	4,058	4,405	2,403	"	"
Belghie	1,506	1,043	438	214	242	114	168	168	1,720	1,453	720	"	"
Total	103,992	42,966	8,206	13,978	12,429	5,009	21,377	21,377	117,970	76,772	34,592	"	"

Mangalore 11th October 1841.

Signed / A. M Blair Collr

Statement

1441

Fort St George 25th October 1841-

No 5 Statement shewing the Population of Canara ascertained in fusly 1248-

Talooks	2 No of Houses	3 Number of Devastanums Bankshalls Warehouses shops &c	4 Population- Males	5 Females	6 Total	7
1 Mangalore	19613	2539	54888	53054	107942	
2 Beitul	25904	1679	69542	64935	134477	
3 Buntwal	22179	3338	59205	54942	114147	
4 Codipy	13818	1149	39452	36885	75337	
5 Barcoor	12905	1423	39336	37403	76739	
6 Cundapoor	15332	1661	41159	38845	80004	
7 Honore	13917	1425	42755	38128	80793	
8 Ankola	10721	1051	31580	28233	59813	
9 Soopak	9398	853	22612	20315	42927	
10 Sondah	8738	784	23344	20326	43670	
11 Bilghi	3521	225	10367	8668	19035	
Total	156066	16127	433240	401634	834874	

Mangalore 11th October 1841- (Signed) A. M. Blair pr Coll. Statement

1442

Fort St George 25th October 1841-

No 6. Statement Shewing the Number of Cattle in Canara ascertained in fusly 1248-

Talooks	2 No of Cows	3 No of Bullocks	4 No of She Buffaloes	5 No of he Buffaloes	6 Total No of Cattle	7 Remarks
1 Mangalore	19005	21822	18468	2308	61603	
2 Beitul	34950	41622	16377	6755	99704	
3 Buntwall	32962	45445	18253	7927	104548	
4 Codipy	18766	21966	12821	2368	55921	
5 Barcoor	20358	24974	14857	2685	62874	
6 Cundapoor	20814	26756	10928	4051	62549	
7 Honore	18090	19718	5102	6044	48954	
8 Ankola	12107	14220	4012	2708	33047	
9 Soopak	17272	17534	6631	7270	48707	
10 Sondah	17945	18936	3955	7509	48345	
11 Bilghi	6412	6309	757	2077	16655	
Total	218681	259802	112168	52302	642948	

Mangalore 11th October 1841- (Signed) A. M. Blair pr Coll. Statement

Fort St. George

25th October 1841.

Beriz of the Zillah of Canara and the denomination of it for fusly 1250.

	Fusly		Bekal		Total for fusly 1250.		
	Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed.	Portion of which the standard Beriz has not been fixed.	1249. Calculations in fusly 1250	Total	Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed.	Portion of which the standard Beriz has not been fixed.	Total.
			Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed.				
			On newly cultivated Lands.				
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as	Rs as
3	5,353 14 9.	23,056 13 7	28,410 12 4	71 10 11	5,425 9 8	23,056 13 7	28,482 7 3
	171223 14 10	" "	171223 14 10	" "	171223 14 10	" "	171223 14 10
	23052 11 7	" "	23052 11 7.	" "	23052 11 7.	" "	23052 11 7.
	1072 11 7	" "	1072 11 7	" "	1072 11 7	" "	1072 11 7.
	437 - 10	" "	437 - 10	" "	437 - 10	" "	437 - 10
	11756 -	" "	11756 -	" "	11756 -	" "	11756 -
	2832 4 4	" "	2832 4 4	" "	2832 4 4	" "	2832 4 4
	95 14 5	" "	95 14 5	" "	95 14 5.	" "	95 14 5.
	14 8 5	" "	14 8 5	" "	14 8 5	" "	14 8 5.
	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	205258 6 9.	23056 13 7	228315 4 4	71 10 11	205330 1 8	23056 13 7.	228386 15 3.

14444

Fort St George

25th October 1841.

Buntwal

Fusly 1250 -

Portion of which the Standard Beriz has been fixed	Portion of which the Standard Beriz has not been fixed	Total	Sedipy: Parrau or Standard Beriz - Amount of Beriz up to 1249	Added in Fusly 1250	Total
11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
182 12 5	7,855 8 6	7,741 2 5	34,686 2 5	14 3 2	34,700 5 7
135,391 12 4	" " "	135,391 12 4	98,412 11 2	" " "	98,412 11 2
58,163 12 10	" " "	58,163 12 10	28,794 8 9	" " "	28,794 8 9
336 14 "	" " "	336 14 "	1,645 2 5	" " "	1,645 2 5
280 2 10	" " "	280 2 10	1,382 9 2	" " "	1,382 9 2
4,133 8 5	" " "	4,133 8 5	12,299 2 9	" " "	12,299 2 9
2,560 6 9	" " "	2,560 6 9	366 10 2	" " "	366 10 2
606 4 "	" " "	606 4 "	2 "	" " "	2 "
4,557 12 10	" " "	4,557 12 6	1,452 9 2	" " "	1,452 9 2
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
206,213 6 5	7,855 8 6	284,771 12 5	179,041 8 "	14 3 2	179,055 11 2

Barcoor

Parrau or Standard Beriz - Amount of Beriz up to 1249	Added in Fusly 1250	Total
17	18	19
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
45,860 9 3	1,322 12 9	47,183 6 "
85,910 14 10	" " "	85,910 14 10
26,196 " "	" " "	26,196 " "
5,381 3 2	" " "	5,381 3 2
3,954 2 10	" " "	3,954 2 10
22,836 10 "	" " "	22,836 10 "
2,518 14 9	" " "	2,518 14 9
68 " "	" " "	68 " "
163 14 10	" " "	163 14 10
" " "	" " "	" " "
192,828 13 8	1,322 12 9	194,151 10 5

Cundapoor

Parrau or Standard Beriz - Amount of Beriz up to 1249	Added in Fusly 1260	Total
20	21	22
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
12,091 3 5	18 6 5	12,114 9 10
92,353 14 5	" " "	92,353 14 5
72,974 3 3	" " "	72,974 3 3
8,635 2 10	" " "	8,635 2 10
8,205 2 5	" " "	8,205 2 5
50,463 10 "	" " "	50,463 10 "
5,365 10 5	" " "	5,365 10 5
197 4 "	" " "	197 4 "
128 " 4	" " "	128 " 4
" " "	" " "	" " "
2,50,419 3 1	18 6 5	2,50,437 9 6

14445

Fort St George 25th October 1841

Honore Tarravur standard Berij Amount of Berij up to 1249	Added in fusly 1250	Total	Ainkala Ancients Berij Amount of Berij up to 1249	Added in Fusly 1250	Total	Savpati Ancients Berij Amount of Berij up to 1249	Added in fusly 1250	Total	Sondack Amount of Berij up to fusly 1249 Mayanies in which the survey has not been made	Mayanies in which the survey has been made	Total
23 Rs a p	24 Rs a p	25 Rs a p	26 Rs a p	27 Rs a p	28 Rs a p	29 Rs a p	30 Rs a p	31 Rs a p	32 Rs a p	33 Rs a p	34 Rs a p
1,137 1 -	354 11 7	1,172 5 11 8	1,52,642 15 8	55 15 2	1,52,698 14 10	1,135 7 3 - 9	222 8 -	1,137 9 5 8 9	14,424 7 9 10	- - -	14,424 7 9 10
85 9 2 8 2 9	- - -	85 9 2 8 2 9									
6,422 8 5 7	- - -	6,422 8 5 7									
6,36 5 6 5	- - -	6,36 5 6 5									
2,37 4 11 2	- - -	2,37 4 11 2									
36,400 2 -	- - -	36,400 2 -									
1,34 2 10 -	- - -	1,34 2 10 -									
2 4 3 8 -	- - -	2 4 3 8 -									
1,026 1 3	- - -	1,026 1 3									
- - -	- - -	- - -							24,161 2 4	24,161 2 4	
2,09,279 1 5 3	354 11 7	2,09,634 10 10	1,52,642 15 8	55 15 2	1,52,698 14 10	1,135 7 3 - 9	222 8 -	1,137 9 5 8 9	14,424 7 9 10	24,161 2 4	24,08,408 12 2

Fasli 1250 25th October 1841

Sandah (Continued)

Additions in fasly 1250			Total for fasly 1250		
Mayanies in which the survey has not been made	Mayanies in which the survey has been made	Total	Mayanies in which the survey has not been made	Mayanies in which the survey has been made	Total
35	36	37	38	39	40
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
78 9 2	- - -	78 9 2	14,432 6 3	- - -	14,432 6 3
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
- - -	7 12 -	7 12 -	- - -	24,168 4 4	24,168 4 4
78 9 2	7 12 -	86 5 2	14,432 6 3	24,168 4 4	1,08,495 1 4

Total

Amount of Beriz up to fasly 1249.

Bilythi Jarrao or standard Beriz for Fasly 1250	Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed	Portion of which the standard Beriz has not been fixed: Ancient Beriz	Survey Beriz	Total	Total
41	42	43	44	45	46
Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
13,351 - 5	1,32,728 13 11	5,12,078 13 10	- - -	5,12,078 13 10	6,44,807 11 9
13,722 3 2	8,34,957 15 1	- - -	- - -	- - -	8,34,957 15 1
14,816 12 -	3,30,538 4 5	- - -	- - -	- - -	3,30,538 4 5
5,429 1 7	33,501 15 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	33,501 15 2
3,776 9 11	20,947 2 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	20,947 2 -
52,777 11 7	1,30,285 13 6	- - -	- - -	- - -	1,30,285 13 6
4,842 15 9	28,148 10 2	- - -	- - -	- - -	28,148 10 2
- - -	1,513 7 7	- - -	- - -	- - -	1,513 7 7
4,717 6 -	12,284 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	12,284 4 -
- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	24,161 2 4	24,161 2 4
6,89,32 12 6	15,31,034 5 10	5,12,078 13 10	24,161 2 4	24,53,240 - 2	2,06,249 6 -

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.

Additions in July 1250.

Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed	Ancient Beriz	Survey Beriz	Total	Total
47	48	49	50	51
Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
1795 7 3	357 4 "	" " "	357 " 4	2152 7 7
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	7 12 "	7 12 "	7 12 "
1795 7 5	357 " 4	7 12 "	364 12 4	2160 37

Mangalore 11th October 1841

(Continued)

Total for July 1250

Portion of which the standard Beriz has been fixed	Ancient Beriz	Survey Beriz	Total	Total
52	53	54	55	56
Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
" " "	" " "	" " "	5,12,435 14 2	6,46,960 34
1,34,524 6 2	5,12,435 14 "	" " "	" " "	8,34,954 15 1
8,34,954 15 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,30,538 14 6
3,30,538 14 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	33501 15 2
33501 15 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	20947 2 "
20947 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,36,285 13 6
1,36,285 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	28148 10 2
28148 10 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	1613 7 7
1613 7 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	12,287 4 "
12,287 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	24,168 14 4
" " "	24,168 14 4	24,168 14 4	" " "	" " "
15,32,804 13 1	5,12,435 14 2	24,168 14 4	5,36,604 12 6	20,09,409 97

Signed H M. Blair pr ball.

Statement

Office of Assessor 26th October 1814.
A. M. No 8

Statement showing the Collections of Window Taxes assessed throughout County of 1229 Thirty nine the County of 1250 Thirty nine the Parishes of Dunmore.

	Names of Parishes	Amount Assessed	Amount Collected	Remarks
1229	Athenry	1398420	2923218	
1230		1402098	2923218	
1231		1402319	2923218	
1232		1402990	2923218	
1233		1402281	2923218	
1234		1402424	2923218	
1235		1384671	2923218	
1236		1386633	2923218	
1237		1381673	2923218	
1238		1381774	2923218	
1239		1385924	2923218	
1240		1163438	2923218	
1241		1321183	2923218	
1242		1322537	2923218	
1243		1363338	2923218	
1244		1379733	2923218	
1245		1391226	2923218	
1246		1401025	2923218	
1247		1402988	2923218	
1248		1401634	2923218	
1249		1423151	2923218	
	Athenry	3397584	7375063	4064534
	Athenry Union	6-792-6514	1231116	6936792
	Dunmore	1524803	417546	1942479
		1532804	536604	2069409

Athenry 11th October 1814. Geo. M. Clanny Asst. Comm. Ass. Office

14449 Fort St. George 25th October 1841.-

No. 12

An Account shewing the Total amount of Summabundy in the 9 Talooks of Canara and the amounts of sums allowed therefrom under the several heads of Enams for Fusly 1250.-

	Rs. w.p.	Rupees a.p.
The Total amount of Summabundy of Land Revenue Maturpha and Sundry small Farms in Canara is Rupees		1879140 2 2
Deduct sums allowed from the Summabundy as follows		
Sute Satar or allowance for building embankments for the use of Cultivation	10440 2 6	
Berij on Lands belonging to the Christian Churches in Canara remitted by Government as communicated in Board's Letter of the 16th August 1821	345 12 7	
Berij on Lands belonging to the family Cuetano Coelho remitted in lieu of payment in ready Cash of the Balankeen allowance allowed to the family sanctioned by Govt in 1823	264 - -	
Berij on two Lands belonging to Meer Mahomed ably as per Government Letter dated 2d May 1823-	38 14 10	
Berij on a spot of Land on which a Pagoda is built by Makanay Mal Rau Kolkar at Gocurn in the Ankola Talooke	8 - -	
Carried Over		1879140 2 2

14450

Fort St. George 25th October 1841

	Rs	as	p	Rs	a	p
Brought Over				1848148	2	2
Beriy remitted on a Land belonging to Rungaya Ballajah as per sanction of Government dated 9th June 1837	40	-	-			
Jare Enam or Remissions of Revenue granted by the former Government and continued to the present day.—						
On Lands belonging to Brahminy Pagodas	414	11	2			
Do Do to the Oodipy Mutt	6352	15	2			
Do Do to other Brahminy Do	3400	4	-			
Do Do to Jain Mutts	154	3	7			
Do Do to Sungams Do	285	2	10			
Do Do to Brahmins under the Head of Agrahar Enams	3053	13	7			
Do Do to Brahmins under the Head of Bhut Inamya	1031	14	10			
Do Do to Sanseys	399	7	7			
Do Do to Hanzees &c. Peerzadas	1403	14	10			
Do Do to certain Heads of Villages under the Head of Billal Enam	211	6	10			
Do Do to the family of Ballu Bhuttia Sunnyasi	30	-	-			
Do Do to Joghee Mutts	205	11	2			
Do Do to Christian Padre of Cuntapoor	15	2	10			
Do Do on account of supplying water to Travellers	119	15	2			
Do Do belonging to a Ferryman	4	12	10			
Do Do on account of building Embankment	3	-	10			
On Lands belonging to a Washerman	2	6	5			
Do belonging to a Barber	1	-	-			
Do Do to a Blacksmith	-	9	2			
Do Do to Polligars or Petty Rajahs	1326	8	-	19482	-	10
Balance						1847483	3	6

Particulars of the above

Deastam Lands				105928	10 9
Otther Do				1726527	8 1
Quit Rent				101	14 9
Mohturpha	10698	5	2	1832553	1 7
Sundry small farms	4231	12	9	14930	1 11
				1847483	3 6

Mangalore 11th October 1841 (Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Collr.

Statement

1237	17898122 44	" " "	1024138 - 11	4724 10	1492122 44	" " "	
1238	17019990 11 3	" " "	1093-230 3-6	4760 6 9	17019990 11 3	" " "	
1234	16689094 8	" " "	16019790 7 9	6922 12 11	1689094 8	8 " "	
1240	14697772 8	" " "	14675079 2 8	2270 " "	14697772 8	" " "	
1241	16315577 " 6	" " "	16304621 16 1	1104 1 6	16315577 " 6	" " "	
1242	16290449 10 9	" " "	16286110 9 8	431 1 1	16290449 10 9	" " "	
1243	16863381 " 3	" " "	16859781 1 7	402 11 8	16863381 " 3	" " "	
1244	17699073 3	" " "	17699861 11 2	281 7 1	17699073 3	" " "	
1245	17909458 11 2	" " "	17909343 8 2	304 " 3	17909128 8 4	403 11	

E. George 25" October 1841

No. 1

To Blair Esqr.

Principal Collector of

Canvas.

Sir

I have the honor to request you will

pay the Salaries of Messrs Peters

& Mc Donald by me as follows

1 Salary of Mr Peters from the 1st

of October to the 31st December

inclusive 91 days @ 1000 per annum

$ 225.38 1/2

Salary of Mr Peters from the 1st

January to the 31st March inclusive

90 days @ 1000 per annum

$ 246.57 8

Salary of Mr Mc Donald from the

1st January to the 31st March

inclusive 90 days @ 1000 per annum

$ 246.57 8

Total $ 718.53

I am Sir

Your most obedient

Servant

1237	17898122 44	" " "	1024138 - 11	4724 10	1492122 44	" " "	
1238	17019990 11 3	" " "	1093-230 3-6	4760 6 9	17019990 11 3	" " "	
1234	16689094 8	" " "	16019790 7 9	6922 12 11	1689094 8	8 " "	
1240	14697772 8	" " "	14675079 2 8	2270 " "	14697772 8	" " "	
1241	16315577 " 6	" " "	16304621 16 1	1104 1 6	16315577 " 6	" " "	
1242	16290449 10 9	" " "	16286110 9 8	431 1 1	16290449 10 9	" " "	
1243	16863381 " 3	" " "	16859781 1 7	402 11 8	16863381 " 3	" " "	
1244	17699073 3	" " "	17699861 11 2	281 7 1	17699073 3	" " "	
1245	17909458 11 2	" " "	17909343 8 2	304 " 3	17909128 8 4	403 11	

1447

Ordered &c. January 25th October 1841.

No 13.

Statement of Amounts due Contractors for Building Light Houses &c. &c. on the Coast of Florida and Georgia under the Superintendence of Lieut. Dallas U.S.A. for the Quarter ending 30th September 1841.

1234	17924702 9	" " "	17918912 3 7	6387 13	1204 15 1258	7 1 3 19	" " "
1235	17910186 7 8	" " "	16960088 7 6	4990 " 2	17910186 7 8	" " "	
1236	16879394 11 1	" " "	16801976 8 7	7919 3 6	16879944 11 1	" " "	

me to offer any few remarks on this occasion—
The Monsoon though less heavy than usual proved sufficient to ensure fair average Crops on all classes of Rice land, and the produce both of the Cocoanut and Suparee Gardens in these Talooks was abundant. The season was generally healthy.

4th— The rates obtained for Rice and the other chief Productions of these Talooks are shewn in the annexed Statement. It will be seen that the price of Rice fell materially below that of the preceding year but it is to be remembered that the rates obtained on the two Fusles 1248 & 1249 were extraordinarily high, that of the cultivators were far larger than they had

	Average rates Mangalore Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Bekul Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250	
	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As	Rs	As
Jeria Rice per Corge	103	5	90	22	97	3	90	2
Mracaty	82	13	70	8	75	11	66	4
Raspy	77	6	64	7	75	1	63	1
Cocoanut per 1000	15	6	3	17	5	14	1	11	15	3	11
1st Sort Bettlenut from Candy			"	"	"	75	"	"	25	15	1	"	"	"
2nd Sort Do			"	"	"	"	"	"	26	8	"	21	1	5

had been for a series of years and the price obtained in the Fusly under review although considerably below that of those 2 years was still above the average rate.—

The Annexed Marginal Statement exhibits the Exports by sea comparing with those of the preceding year. They shew in regard to the two principal products of this portion of the District Rice and Suparee that there has been a slight increase in the Export of the former from the Mangalore Talook and a decrease in Bekul. In both the Talooks there has been a considerable increase

	Exports Mangalore Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
	Corgs	Marks	Corgs	Marks	Corgs	M	Corgs	M
Rice	10083	2	9710	1	49	8 1/2	65	27 p " "
Supary	3337	4	2 30/40	3830	18	22 12/20	273	14 19/40 " " "
Pepper	139	6	22 30/40	119	7	25 3/40 " " "	19	18 25/40
	Bekul							
	Corgs	M	Cs	M	Cs	M	Cs	M
Rice	953	10 1/4	869	"	"	"	83	10
Supary	1123	3	21 22/40	1632	1	29 0/40	508	17 25/40 " " "
Pepper	17	13	3/2	5	"	"	"	" " "	12	13	13 1/2

Fort St. George 23th October 1811

increase in the export of Bettlenut. The decreased exportation by Sea of Rice from the Baycul Talook in the present year has not arisen merely from the depreciation in price but from much of the rice which was formerly brought to Cassergode the growth of those parts of the Ummi Soolea, Adoor and Portoor Districts to which this is the nearest point of the Sea Coast, having been now diverted to another Market by the construction of the Mancara road it being much easier for the Ryot to convey his Produce to Pome Mangalore though the distance is greater, along a good level road than to carry it by a nigged path over a very difficult Country from Solea or Nettengay to Cassergode. The construction of a good branch road from any eligible point of the great Mancara road to the coast at Cassergode through the lower Coorg Hoganies which was annexed to the Baycul Talook, would undoubtedly confer great benefits upon the Inhabitants of this part of the District and tend materially to increase both Agricultural and

Quantity of Rice exported from the Baycul Talook during the last 4 Years

1247	1348
1248	1,646
1249	963
1250	858

Fort St. George 25th October 1811

Commercial prosperity - a road which laden Bullocks could travel over without difficulty and which would not require any large outlay would be all that is required, and the station of Mahdamoor in the Baycul Talook, would probably be the best point from which the branch road could start. Should I have been induced to make these remarks as when engaged in the Summahbandy of this Talook during the two last years many of the Ryots have represented the great advantages which the construction of such a road would confer upon them by enabling them to bring their produce to Market at a less Cost and I think that in a few years the Government would be re-imbursed for the outlay. In the Baycul Talook the great increase in the export of Suparee has raised the Sea Customs above those of the preceding year. In the Mangalore Talook although as above shewn there has been an increase both in Bettlenut and Rice the Collections from Sea Customs have

have fallen below those of the preceding fasly in consequence of the depression in the Mar: Rel value of Rice upon the fluctuating rate of which the export pr centage duty is levied.—

1249 Exportation of Rice		1250 Export of Rice		1250 Increase in quantity exported	Decrease in duty	
Corges Marahs	Duty R. A. P.	Corgs M.	Duty R. A. P.	Corgs M.	R. A. P.	
10083 — 29	2504-5-11	10149-8	2317-8-2	— 65 —	21	2867-98

6.—

As the Estates in these Talooks which do not pay the Maximum assessment are very few and contribute a comparatively small sum to the Summah of the year, the annual alterations in the settlement are very small. Under the favorable circumstances of the season the demand for remission in any of the Estates paying the full assessment was seldom made, few indeed of the Ryots except those whose Estates do not pay the full assessment now attend at the Hosp: Summabundy and the Collections were realized without difficulty.—

In the Mangalore

7.—

Talook the additions amounted to — 326 — 12 — "

the reductions to — 56 — " — "

Net Increase 270 — 12 — "

The cause of these alterations may be classed under the following heads.—

Additions		Reductions	
Stipulated additions on approximation to Jamma	218-7-3	Poverty or other general distress	19-12-"
Cultivation of Waste land	8-"-"	Losses by fire	30-"-"
Increased produce	86-10-4	Waste land	"-4-"
On newly cultivated land	13-11-5		56-"-"
	326-12-"		

In Bekul the additions amounted to Rupees 338-11-" and the reductions to Rupees 154-15-3 and arose from the following causes.—

Additions		Reductions	
Stipulated Additions on approximation to Jamma	130-1-9	Remission on account of poverty and general distress	26-13-3
Additions on account of increased produce and reassessment of former remission	189-13-2	Ditto on lands left uncultivated	71-4-"
Additions on account of newly cultivated lands	18-12-1	Losses by fire	56-14-"
	338-11-"		154-15-3

In the few Estates on which remission

8.

14459

Fort Augusta 23rd October 1811

Petition was read this day in consequence of the absence
of a Portion having been left uncalculated, this time
last year from the assessment being too high &c &c
from incidental unavoidable causes unaccounted
therewith, and as these petitions are to be very limited
in amount and such slight discretions must
be annually expected in two Salaries com-
-ing afterwards of 1300 Estates and paying
a land tax of nearly 4½ Less those of the Pres-
-ent year it seem right to call for no further explan-
-ation. Satisfactory proof of the petitioners
state of this part of the District and of the
fact that the assessment is not half the pres-
-ent value of land in these Salaries which has been
steadily rising for many years and as the new mode
not to have reached the highest limit, and the
entire completion for six months.

Sir

The inclosed Statement exhibits
the number of Estates in this Salaries and
the Portion of them paying the full or 6th part the Barony

Sir

Fort St. Georg 25 October 1841

Among those Estates which at present pay less than the Beriz, the owners 156 have entered into engagements to pay up the whole assessment by instalments, and upon 149 the sum of Rupees 831-13-1 has been recommended as a permanent reduction from the Beriz. The number upon which no fixed settlement has been hitherto proposed stands as follows.—

		Difference between Immmah and Beriz
Mangalore	145	2,529-0-2
Present	269	2,476-15-8
	412	

The accompanying Table A exhibits in more detail the state of these Talooks with reference to the Settlement of a fixed assessment upon such Estates as have not hitherto paid the full Beriz and the stipulated Instalments which remain to be realized.

I beg also to forward a Statement B. of the few Estates upon which it appears

Fort St. Georg 25 October 1841

appeared to me advisable to propose a modified assessment.—

As the various reasons which lie at present prevent my arrangement being made regarding the greater portion of the Estates now entered in the classification as under enquiry have been fully detailed in former years, it appears to me unnecessary again to go over the same ground.— Upon Estates which are annually undergoing change from portions being swept away by the shifting currents of Rivers now permanent assessment can ever be fixed, and in few Waste Estates in this Class which are not effected by this or similar causes. enquiry has shewn that their present state arises from other causes: than the amount of the Assessment.—

The

11

The annexed Statement exhibits the state of the Collections at the close of the Fusly and the sum which has been since collected

Talooks	Immaculate of Fusly 1250	Balance of Fusly 1250 up to 12 July	Collections up to 30 September Fusly 1251	Balance on the 1st October as per Talook Account
	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As	Rs As
Mangalore	2,15,760 12 5	2782 5 1	2090 3 11	692 2 2
Barcul	2,25,284 12 1	1975 4 4	1147 6 6	827 13 10
Total	14,40,737 8 6	4757 10 5	3237 10 5	1520 " "

I have also the honor to annex a Memorandum of some small arears in these Talooks amounting to Rupees 43-10-6 which it has been found impracticable to release and therefore beg to recommend them for remission

Mangalore for Fusly 1249 — Rs 27-8-10
Fusly 1250 — 15-1-0
Bekul — 1-1-0
Total 43-10-6

/ Signed / J. Lushington
Addl. Sub Coll.
/ True Copy /
/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Pro. Coll.

Seedapoor
Canara 4th October
1841

Ordered to be sent
Order thereon
(59-60)
again in circulation
Read the following

letter

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
For. St. George

From the Coll. Sir.
of Malabar

(61)

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's letter of the 2nd immediately on the August last. Sent instructions to Mr. Surveyor Williams to make a plan of the 2,000 Cawnies of land which had been applied for by Mr. Grove near the Kaitee Waterfall Mr.

14465

Fort St George 25 October

Mr Williams forwarded his sketch
on the 21st Ultimo, when to my
surprise I found that it em-
braced only 640 Cawnies. It appear
on enquiry, that the Tahsildar
notwithstanding my positive
orders to attend Mr Williams and
see that he had every assis-
tance & information to enable him to finish
his work had contented
himself after the first visit
with deputing an inferior Peon who

as

14466

Fort St. 25th October 1841.

as it seems, was utterly unacquainted with the duty he was deemed to perform. Mr Williams has left the Hills having been detained merely till this survey was completed and I am unable there- fore to rectify the gross carelessness that has been committed by his means. but I have sent his sketch to the Tahsildar / whom I have fined 10 Rs for his misconduct / with Directions to survey and piece on in the best way he can the 1400 Cawnies of the ground applied for by Mr Groves which is not included in it. I write this detail to the Board to explain the delay that has taken place in obeying the instructions I received.

Malabar,
Collr's Office on Circuit,
Triacode 9th October 1841.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Resolution thereon.

61-62

The Board of Revenue will await the receipt of the Survey Map and the Collector's report thereon which it is trusted will be forwarded as soon as practicable.

Read.

Read the following letter:-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

From the Acting Collr of Madras

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to subjoin copy of a letter from the Collector of Chingleput under date the 16th Instant and to request that "the special sanction of Government for the Drawback amounting to Rupees 246-15- allowed on Betel the produce of his Collectorate imported into Madras in Fusly 1250 for the use of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic may be procured for communication to that Officer.

Madras, 18th October 1841.

Signed J. A. Huddleston.
Acting Collector

Rd 13th Augt 1841
from do 20th Septr "

63

Note
This ammunt corresponds with the entry in the Accounts of the Madras Cutcherry.-

To The Acting Collector of
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to obtain the special sanction of Government for the sum of Rupees 246-15- being the amount of Drawback on betel leaf imported into Madras in Fusly 1250 for the use of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic and furnish me with a copy of the same.

Chingleput District Collrs Cutcherry, Pullicanny, 16th October 1841.

Signed A. Friese
Collr

Order

Ordered that the following address be submitted, together with the foregoing letter.

To The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir

To the Actg Chief Secy to Govt

63-64

With reference to my letter of the 23rd August last No. 344, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of Government, the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Madras with its enclosure and to recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of Rupees 246-15- being the Amount of drawback allowed on Betel, the produce of the Chingleput District imported into Madras in Fusly 1251 for the use of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic.

18 Inclos: 25th October 1841

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
25th October 1841

Signed P. D. Smollett
Secretary

Read

Fort St George 25th October 1841

Read the following letter-

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir.

From the Hd Coll.
and Regr of Govt Grants

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue

65 an original letter from the Collector of Malabar under date the 2nd Instant, together with Tranjee Nesser-
=wanjee Hos revised applications for leases of
lands on the Neilgherries and the plans therein enclosed.

2.

In the letter to the Board under

No. 2426 date the 7th September 1837 two applications from the same Firm, one for Cawnies 5–11–733 and the other for Cawnies 2–21–705 were forwarded, and the sanction of Government for compliance with them was communicated to this Office on the 15th October following.—

3.

It will be observed from Mr. Conolly's letter that the usual Counterpart Agreements sent to

Fort St. George 25th October 1841.—

in 1838 for Tranjee Nesserwanjee Hos signature have been returned unexecuted, in consequence of certain additions to the former Enclosures having been made by them whereby the extent of the one is altered from Cs. 5–11–733 to Cs. 12–20–1025 and of the other from Cs. 2–21–705 to Cs. 8–18–2105.—

4.

As there is thus a considerable excess in the extent of Ground now in possession of Tranjee Nesserwanjee Hos beyond that for which they solicited Grants in the first instance, and as this excess appears to have been enclosed subsequently to the date of the former applications, it is proper to request fresh sanction for their present applications for a lease for Cs. 12–20–1025 and another for Cs. 8–18–2105 being complied with.—

Madras 18th October 1841

Signed J. A. Wadeston
Hd Coll. and Regr of
Govt Grants

Resolved

From the Collector of Chingleput.

76 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.—

From the Collector of Chingleput.—

77 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

From the Collector of Chingleput.—

78 Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of the public servants from 1st July to 30th September 1841.—

From the Collector of Chingleput.

79 Stating that certain Returns for the Quarter ending 30th Septber last are not sent, there being no entry to make.—

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore.—

80 Indent on the Commissary of Ordnance at Tiechinopoly for the delivery of sundry Articles.—

From the Magistrate of Rajahmundry.—

81 Submitting an Indent on the Ordnance Officer at Vizagapatam for the Articles required for the use of the Jail and the Police Peons in his District.—

From

From the Colr of Canara.—

82 Indent on the Deputy Commissary of Ordnance at Cannanore for certain Articles.—

Order on the 3 abovementioned Indents.

80-83 Ordered that the original Indents be passed and returned to the Military Board.—

From the Colr of Ganjam.

84 Stating that certain Returns for the Month of September last are not sent, there being no entry to make.—

From the Pynr Collr of Nellore.—

85 Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 40 ps at the Pots from 9th to 13th October 1841.—

From the Collr of Rajahmundry.

86 Reporting that there being no Peons to forward the Monthly Returns of persons charged with offences and of those in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 for September last.—

From the Sub Treasurer

87 Indent for Blue Cloth required for the use of the Peons Belts.—

Ordered that the original Order thereon—

87-88 Indent be passed and transmitted to the Clothing Board with a request that the supply be furnished.—

From the Collector of Tiechinopoly.—

89 Stating that the Returns of Persons charged with Offences and of Persons convicted and in confinement and released under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last.

14475 Fort St. George 25th October 1841

last are not sent, there being no entry to make.

From the Pnl. Coll. of Tanjore — Forwarding two separate Indents one for Seals, & the other for Hour glasses in lieu of the one returned with the Board's letter of the 4th Instant.

(90)

Order thereon — Ordered that the original Indents accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and transmitted to the Military Board.

(90-91)

From the Pnl. Coll. of Tanjore — Quarterly Return of Revenue Defaulters confined in Jail from 1st July to 30th September last.

(92)

From the Pnl. Coll. of Tanjore — Return of fines and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants in his District from 1st July to 30th September last.

(93)

From the Pnl. Coll. of Tanjore — Return of alterations in the List of fines and stoppages made from the pay of the public Servants in his District from 1st to 30th September last.

(94)

From the Pnl. Coll. of Tanjore — Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed during the Quarter ending 30th September last.

(95)

" Brnt.

" G. Bruce

" Robertson

" A. Maclean

Comt. R. Mundy