GENERAL No.
5090
Vol: 19

VOL 1759

7537 Fort St. George — June 1841.

Diary.

1st June — Sent the following letter.

To The Acting Collector in the Southern Division

(1) Arcot.

To The Acting Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you a further Petition from the Villagers inhabiting of your District bearing the Endorsement of Government, and request you will be pleased to report without delay upon the matter of their petition, with reference to the Board's letter of the 17th September last.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
1st June 1841

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

B. of R. 1-6-41
Govt. 2-6-41

At a Meeting Present

Thursday 3d June 1841

G. D. Drury Esqr. Second Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqr. Temporary Member

John Dent Esqr. Senior Member absent on duty

and

Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member absent on leave

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 18th and entered in Consultation 31st Ultimo

Read also the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

2

From the Collector of Malabar Sir

At the desire of Captain Gunning I beg to forward for the orders of the Board of Revenue the accompanying letter requesting that the Attary Farm

of Obtacamund may continue under his superintendence as Staff Officer notwithstanding that the place has ceased to be a Military Bazar station. I am not aware of any particular objection to this measure, which however would not be consonant to the usual practice. It would appear that the transference of the Attary to the Civil Charge on a former occasion, as alluded to by Captain Gunning arose from inadvertence

Malabar Collector's Office, On Circuit - Tellicherry 26th May 1841 -

Signed H. V. Conolly Collector

A

To The Collector of Malabar

Sir

With reference to G. O. G. of the 2nd February last, abolishing Obtacamund as a Military Bazar station which would appear to involve as a necessary consequence the transfer of the Attary to your charge I have the honor to request that you will submit

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 3d. June 1841.

submit for the consideration of Government whether it may not remain under my superintendence as Staff Officer and the rent remitted as usual as was done on a former occasion when Ootacamund ceased for the period of one year to be a Military Bazar Station.

My chief reason for wishing to retain it on its present footing is on account of the pay and clothing &c. of the Military Establishment of Police which at present are paid out of the proceeds of the Abkaree Contract and should the Farm be transferred to you, a change must be made as to the mode of paying and clothing the Police which might cause some difficulty and confusion.

On applying to the Commissary General for instructions regarding the sale of the contents he replied that should I be of opinion that it was preferable to retain it

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Fort St. George 3rd. June 1841.

on its present footing and should you make no dissent to the measure, he did not see that there was any objection to the Farm remaining under my superintendence.

Ootacamund
17th May 1841.

(Signed) J. Gunning Captain
Staff Officer

True Copy

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

2.3

To the Collector of Malabar

In Cons. 3d June 1841

To The Collector of Malabar.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th Ultimo and to transmit for your information and guidance the accompanying Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government, on the subject therein referred to, from which you will perceive that it is the opinion of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that the Abkary on the Nilgherries

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

18th In Cons. 31st May 1841

Neither ies cannot legally be retained on its present footing.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3d. June 1841 ———

/Signed/ P.R. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th May 1841.

Read the following papers

From the Secy to the Board of Revenue } /Entered 29th April 1841 No. 191/

From the Chief Secy to Government — Para.

Stating in reference to a letter from the Board submitting reports from Captain Hughes the Officer in charge of the American Cotton Planters that it is a matter of regret that so much time should have been lost & the season should have been allowed to pass away without any employment of importance being assigned to the planters and

4

and that with more energy on the part of the Collrs of Timevelly a different result would have been obtained. Referring to arrange with Mr. Fischer who it is understood has extensive contracts with the Cotton Growers of the Salem and Coimbatore Districts & is willing to aid Govt. in the general object in view the Board in any steps for engaging the planters immediate employment in the way of their profession

The letter from the Board of Revenue dated the 29th Ultimo, and enclosing Copies of three letters from Captain Hughes the Officer under whose charge the American Cotton Planters have been placed is far from being satisfactory. It is certainly a matter of regret that so much time should have been lost, & that the season should have been allowed to pass away without any employment of importance being assigned to these men, in consequence of the want of definite instructions from the Board of Revenue on the subject. It is to be regretted too, that in the absence of similar authority, the amount of their travelling expences should have been withheld and that more pains should not have been taken to explain to them the nature of the accommodation which the Acting Collector offered in lieu of the payment of their expences, for it was soon evident to Captain Hughes that they were not only willing to accept it but anxious to be employed. When in order to meet the difficulties about travelling expences, it was arranged that the Planters should in the first instance confine their operations to the neighbourhood of Timevelly, every encouragement should have been held out to the Ryots who had expressed a wish to be instructed in the American system. His Lordship in Council cannot doubt that with more energy on the part of the local authorities a different result would have been obtained.

With

2.

With respect to the proposal
making an experimental or rather Model Co
Farm in Tinnevelly, His Lordship in Council en
much doubt whether this is the mode
proceeding best calculated to introduce an
proved system into general use. He think
it would answer better to work through the
Ryots and it would manifestly be a gre
advantage if this system were to be introduc
in a District where private enterprize migh
come in aid of Government encouragement
and in this respect he believes that Coim
batore and Salem possess great advantage
over any other Districts in this Presidency

3.

The Board of Revenue have
not given any opinion on the subject
of the location of the Planters adverted
to in the 8th Para of Captain Hughe's letter
of the 3rd of April, from which it would
appear that the Planters themselves are
not

not favorably impressed with the capabilities
of the Tinnevelly District. This is a consideration which deserves to be well weighed
before the expenses of building houses and
Godowns and of forming establishments of Cattle
&c. are incurred.

4. It is understood that Mr.
Fischer, who has extensive annual contracts
with the Cotton Growers of the Salem and
Coimbatore Districts, will undertake to
induce the parties who receive advances
from him, to follow the instructions of
the American Planters in all stages of
the Cultivation and preparation of the Arti-
cle, & in this way the object of their engagement
to the Company may be accomplished without
the expense or delay of forming an experi-
mental Farms or even of erecting a Gin
House at present as it is understood that
Mr. Fischer has the most approved kind of Gin already
in

in use.

5. The course of proceeding which it will serve to carry out the object which the Honble Court have in view of instructing and superintending the Natives in the Cultivation of the Cotton and the proper mode of cleaning it by Machinery need not interfere with the establishment of an experiment Farm under the exclusive control of Government if deemed advisable, but will on the contrary facilitate that object by enabling the Planters to acquire some knowledge of the people whom they would have to superintend of the soils from which they would have to select the required extent of Land and of the strength and qualities of the Cattle with which they would have to work.

Court's Letter to Govt. of India No 11 dated 2d July 1840 Para 4.

6. As it is understood that Mr. Fischer is now at the Presidency, His Lordship in Council resolves to address to him the following Letter to him with a

view to ascertain to what extent and in what manner he is prepared to aid in the general object in view and to arrange with him the preliminary steps for giving the Planters immediate employment in the way of their profession.

7. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of Rupees 15/ Fifteen per Annum from the 15th January last on account of rent for the premises occupied by the Planters, until such time as other accommodation shall be provided for them. His Lordship in Council is of opinion with the Board of Revenue that a money allowance should be made to the Planters to cover the expenses of travelling but the Board have not stated the amount at which it should be fixed.

To

Fort St. George 3d June 1841

W. J. Fischer Esqr

Sir

His Lordship in Council has
anxious to give the fullest effect to the
-tentions of the Court of Directors in
-sending to this Country three American
Cotton Planters who are now located in the
Tinnevelly District which they are of opinio
is not particularly favorable to their
operations, and understanding that you
are willing to afford your co-operation
carrying out the views of the Honorable
Court in the Salem and Coimbatore Districts
where your annual engagements with the
Ryots give you great facilities and in
-fluence with them in the introduction
of any new or improved system, I
has directed me to state that
he will be happy to receive from
you

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841

you any suggestions for the employment of
these persons, which you may be disposed to
offer - It is the wish of the Honorable Court
that an experiment should be made by
the American Planters, with American seed
in the first instance under the direct control
and authority of an Officer of this Government,
but this it is presumed may be effected
without interfering with the simultaneous
introduction of a more general system
of instruction by having a small experi-
-mental Farm, but His Lordship in
Council does not consider that this would
be indispensable if the practicability
of raising and preparing for the Market
at a moderate price, an article
-cle of superior quality by means
of improved systems of cultivating, picking
cleaning

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

leaning, embaling &c. from first to last could be otherwise established. He is of opinion in the event of it being in your power to meet these views, that the Plants should remain under the immediate control of Captain Hughes their present Superintendent who will be directed to act in communication with you and to avail himself of the advice and suggestions which your experience may enable you to offer.

A quantity of American seed and ploughs received by the Ship Captain are now at the Custom House of Madras and His Lordship in Council will be prepared to issue orders for their disposal after having had under his consideration your reply to this communication.

I have &c.
(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(4-5)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Extract

Compd. S.R.

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 27th May 1841.

Read the following letter.

(6)

From the Chief Secy. to Govt. } To

Directing the removal of the American Cotton Planters from Tinnevelly to Erode in the Coimbatore District to be there employed in communication with Mr. Fischer also authorizing the Principal Collector of Coimbatore in communication with Captain Hughes to make the necessary arrangements for the allotment of land for a Government Experimental Farm, and desiring Captain Hughes to submit his reports and apply for instructions on all occasions directly to the Government.

The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th Instant, informing me that His Lordship the Governor in Council would do me the honor to receive any suggestions I might have to offer regarding the more advantageous disposal of the American Cotton Planters sent out by the Honorable Court of Directors. I beg leave to assure you I feel highly gratified by your communication and will esteem it a pleasure to afford whatever assistance by way of advice or example and influence may be in my power to promote the patriotic views of the Honorable Court in the matter.

Before I proceed to offer my suggestions for the future disposal of the Planters I would beg permission to premise a few remarks on

on their mission in general and the advantages to be expected therefrom as regards the early production in this Presidency of a superior kind of Cotton. I am more led to trespass on your attention on this point that I incline to believe those advantages have been much overrated, and opinions founded thereon, which not timously corrected, will lead to disappointment. In my apprehension the main difficulties to establishing a superior character in the Home Market for Madras Cotton are wholly beyond the control or scope of these Planters. I would not however be thought to undervalue the advantages the Country may derive from their services, by their means no doubt will with greater facility be taught to the Ryot an improved system of cultivating Cotton from first to last including the picking, cleaning and embaling. The value of these advantages I fully admit, but if my opinion be well founded, that the general inferiority of our Cottons is to be attributed mainly to the manner in which the trade in this Article is at present carried on, namely by the medium of a succession of Brokers from the Dubash of the Madras Agent to the Village Chetty who advances

advances to the Ryots in his hour of need, whereby but the smallest per Centage of profit remains to the Ryot after each of these middle men has made his own profit, and consequently the Ryot has no sufficient inducement to bestow any other care than to reap the greatest possible quantity from his Cotton field. If I am right in attributing to these and similar causes the depreciation of our Cotton rather than to want of skill in its cultivation, the Ryots are skilful husbandmen, it will be apparent little practical results can be expected from the labours of these American Planters, until backed by the introduction of Capital and the immediate application of European superintendence into the Interior, together with good roads for the transmission of so bulky an article. The introduction into the Coimbatore District of the Bourbon Cotton, the Cotton next in value to the American Sea Island, by a system of cultivation and preparation nearly similar to that pursued in America, and which Bourbon Cotton has ranged as high as 15 or 16 pence the lb in the Home Market, will exemplify what the Ryot is

is now able to do under adequate incouragement.
specimens of Cottons so raised and which gained
for my Establishment last year the Madras Agricul-
tural and Horticultural Society's prizes and which
To Majr. Genl. Wm. Hillier were pronounced by the Americans, as of very superior
quality have been sent to Liverpool to ascertain
what prices such cottons may be expected to command there.

To revert from this, it is hoped not irrelevant
digression, to the more immediate object of this letter,
I will beg leave to mention that after careful consid-
-eration, I am of opinion that a plan which I think was
out when the employment of the American Planters was
first under discussion, but which was rejected as coming
too late, and as being supposed to be in opposition to
the instructions of the Honorable Court, will be a pre-
-ferable course to their continued location in Tinnevelly. In that place they have not only had
many difficulties to encounter, but have missed
the assistance in combatting them they might have
received elsewhere, thus the soil and plant was
there new to them, and I imagine they could
hardly obtain any such information as might afford
them data whence to adduce what modifications of the
system of Cultivation &c. they had been accustomed to in

America were requried in India. Above all I pre-
-sume must they have felt the want of some influ-
-ential party whose own pursuits might lead
him to take an interest in them and their proceed-
-ings, and who might have it in his power to
excite and encourage others to observe and imitate
their mode of procedure. Believing they may be
not wholly useless I have not suffered the appre-
-hension of appearing egotistical to prevent my
making these latter observations, and the plan I would
respectfully suggest to the consideration of His Lordship
in Council is that the Planters should be removed
to Coimbatore as a District more prepared to receive
and better adapted for the meditated experiments.
If they were located in that part of the Coimbatore
district adjoining Erode my Cotton Establishment
there, would afford them opportunities in which I
shall be eager to assist of having plantations of
different kinds of Cottons under their observation
and management, together with an American saw
Gin for cleaning, and Godowns for storing the Cotton, at
their disposal. At the same time I would be forward in
giving the improved system a full trial by setting an
example to the Ryots by adopting the system
myself

myself and inducing them to do so by making advan
for Cotton so cultivated and prepared and in fine
by every means in my power. By these means I shall
apprehend success need not be doubted of in ascer=
=taining the point mooted in your letter under reply
namely "the practicability of raising and preparing
"for the market at a moderate price an Article of
"superior quality by means of improved systems of
"Cultivating &c. &c." At the same time the Planters
might if desired, undertake the management of
a Farm for Government, I should say not exceed
=ing 200 or 300 Acres, as more manageable for
the purpose of trying varieties of Cotton on varieties
of soil and the adoption thereto of their mode of
treatment. but I should apprehend the results of
such a farm would not very nearly indicate the mini=
=mum of cost at which the anticipated superior
Cotton could be raised.

Such are the suggestions I would respectfully
submit to the Consideration of His Lordship in=
Council, and in conclusion I beg leave to renew
the humble offer of my best services in the
working out of them should my ideas be honored
by the concurrence and approbation of His Lordship
in

in Council.

/Signed/ G. F. Fischer.

Madras,
14th May 1841.

Para 1 The Right Honorable the Governor in
Council feeling assured that in the manner proposed
by Mr. Fischer, and with his cooperation the American
Cotton Planters may be more advantageously em=
=ployed in the Coimbatore District than in Tinne=
=velly where they are now located, resolves to direct
that they be immediately removed to Errode or to
such place in its vicinity as the Principal Collector
of Coimbatore, in communication with Captain
Hughes may consider best suited to the object
in view, and where proper accommodation can
be procured for the planters at the expence of Government.

2 The Acting Collector of Tinnevelly will
comply with such requisition as he may receive
from Captain Hughes for carriage for the Plan=
=ters and for the seed and Implements which
have been furnished to them, as well as funds
to defray their travelling expenses to the place
of their destination in the Coimbatore District,
submitting the charges incurred on this account
for the special sanction of Government, as soon
afterwards as may be convenient, and the account=
=ant General will make the necessary arrangements
for the future payments of the allowances of the
American Planters and of Captain Hughes
in

in the Coimbatore District.

3 Copies of the Resolution of Govern-
-ment under date the 10th inst. of the letter to Mr. Fischer
of the same date, and of that Gentleman's reply
of the 11th Instant, will be furnished to the Princip.
Collector of Coimbatore and to Captain Hughes
with this Resolution, and on the arrival of the
Planters at their new location in the Coimbato.
District, Captain Hughes will lose no time in
communicating with Mr. Fischer and in emp.
-ing them in the manner indicated in these
papers. The Principal Collector of Coimbatore
will in the mean time have secured suitable
accommodation for the planters, and will af-
-ford, both personally and through his Subord.
Officers, every assistance that may be required in
furtherance of the object in view. He will also
in communication with Captain Hughes, make
the necessary arrangements as soon as practicable
for the allotment of land to the extent of 200 or
300 Acres for a Government Farm, on which the
experiment ordered by the Honorable Court is to be made
by the American Planters with American seed. Captain
Hughes will be careful to attend to the orders of the
Honorable Court conveyed in the 5th Para. of their or-
Dispatch dated 18th November No. 16 of 1840 and will dispatch the

the Bales of Cotton and of seeded unclean Cotton
when ready to the Collector of Sea Customs at Madras
for transmission to the Honorable Court, intimating
the same to this Department for the information
of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

4 Captain Hughes will hereafter submit
his reports and apply for instructions on all occasions
directly to the Government, as it is very desirable that
the delay, which is unavoidable when correspondence
is carried on through any intermediate Office, should
be obviated. He will forward to Government Monthly
a report in detail of all proceedings of the Planters
including those conducted in communication
with Mr. Fischer, as well as those on the experimen-
-tal Farm, and he will submit for sanction as
soon as practicable and after communication, if
necessary, with the Principal Collector, a revised
Statement of articles required to enable the Planters
to carry on the latter Establishement.

5 The Acting Collector of Sea Customs
will be directed to forward the Ploughs, American
Cotton seed and all other articles sent out by the
Honorable Court for the use of the American Planters,
and now at the Custom House, without delay to
Errode, to the care of the Principal Collector

of

of Coimbatore, and he will in like manner on the arrival of the "Paragon" and upon Captain Hughes reporting that he is prepared to receive it, dispatch to the same destination and address, the saw Gin & which have been shipped on that Vessel, reporting the same for the information of Government, and he will observe the same course on the arrival of any other ship having on board articles of any kind for the use of the Planters.

6. Copies of the papers now ordered to be furnished to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore and to Captain Hughes will also be transmitted to the Board of Revenue, who will be pleased to supply the latter Officer, if they have not already done so with Copies of the Honorable Court's Dispatches communicated to them under dates the 7th Septr 1840, and 5th February 1841 N° 198; and they will inform the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly, that the charge noticed in the last Para. of the Minutes of Consultation of the 10th May 1841 has been sanctioned. A Copy of that Para. will also be furnished to the Civil Auditor, with Copy of Para. 9 of Captain Hughes' letter to the Board of Revenue of the 3d April 1841.

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon.

6-7

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly for his information and guidance.

With reference to the concluding Para. the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly is informed that the Right Honorable the Governor in council sanctions the disbursement of Rupees 15 per mensem from the 15th January last, on account of rent for the premises occupied by the american cotton planters at Tinnevelly.

Read also the following letter.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

Sir,

8

From the Act. Coll. of Sea Customs at Madras. letter dated the 5th ultimo.

DESPATCHED

With reference to your

the

the honor to request to be favored with the instructions of the Board of Revenue, respecting the disposal of certain Ploughs imported p/s. "Chieftain."-

Sea Custom House,
Madras, 17th May 1841.-

/ Signed / C. P. Brown.
Actg. Coll.r Sea Customs.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

To The Collector of Sea Customs

8-9

Madras

Sir,

To the Coll.r of Sea Customs Madras

With reference to your letter of the 17th ultimo, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance, the accompanying Copy of an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 22d ultimo and to request your attention to the instructions contained in Para 5 thereof, relative to the disposal of the Ploughs &c.a destined for the use of the American Cotton planters employed under Capt.n Hughes.-

in p.s 3d June 1841.

in p.s 3d June 1841.

RECORDS ORIGINAL COVER

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 3d June 1841.-

/ Signed / C. P. Brown.
Secretary

Read the following Extract.-

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 22d May 1841.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Here enter 22d April 1841 No. 182)

Before sanctioning any alteration in the rate of Duty on Cotton levied in the interior, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is desirous of having before him more specific information regarding the weight of the Maund in use. The Board of Revenue observe that they believe that the Maund quoted by the several Authorities in the interior" is that of 25 lbs and not that "adverted to by the Bengal authorities which "they are given to understand" is a weight of 82 lbs His Lordship in Council is of opinion that these material facts should not be left to surmise, but be clearly ascertained before any alteration is introduced.-

(A true Extract)

To The President and Member of the Board of Revenue

(Signed) H.y Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following address be submitted in reply. —

To the Chief Secretary to Government. —

10 - 11

To the Chief Secy to Govt

Sir,

In Cons. 13th June 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of Consultation of the 24th Ultimo and in reply to state that the only Maund known and in use on this side of India is equal to 25 lbs Avoirdupois. The Indian Maund is unknown as a measure of weight in the Madras Presidency. —

2. In intimating their belief that the Indian Maund was 82 lbs Avoirdupois in my letter of the 22 April the Board did not mean that the matter was doubtful the expression was used because they had no official document before them to prove the fact. They now desire me to forward a Calcutta Price Current which shews that this Indian Maund is equal to

to 82 lbs Avoirdupois weight. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd June 1841

(Signed) W. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 24th May 1841. —

(Bd's Proceedings 19th April)

12

From the Chief Secy to Government. — As the unexpended portion Viz. Rupees (420 - 2 - 3) Four hundred and thirty annas two and piece of the amount sanctioned for the support of the Lunguer Khanas at Combaconum and Nagapatam for the years 1839 and 1840 was advanced from the Pagoda Fund in Tanjore the Right Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes the acting Principal Collector to credit the same to that Fund and desires that in future the actual disbursement only within the sanctioned amount be debited to the Pagoda Fund and reported for his special sanction.

His

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

His Lordship in Council requests that a statement similar to that furnished for the Lungur Khana at Combaconum may be hereafter submitted for the one at Nagapatam, as it would be satisfactory to have the results of the management of these institutions exhibited at one view in the form of a tabular Statement.

(Signed) H.T. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

(12 13)

To the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore

To the Actg Pl. Coll.r of Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your information and guidance the annexed copy of an order of Government upon your letter of the 3rd April last, reporting upon the management of the Nagapatam and Combaconum Lungur or Khana

+ 24th May 1841. In Con.l 3rd June

In Con.l 19th April

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841

Khanas for the year 1840.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd June 1841

(Signed) P.B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th May 1841.—

(Bd's Proceedings 22nd April)

(14)

From the Chief Secy to Government.—The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to relieve Mr. Hallett from all charges incurred on account of the suit instituted against him as Sub Collector of North Arcot in the Zillah Court of Chittoor.—

(Signed) H.T. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(15)

Order thereon.— Ordered that a copy of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 22nd April last, with the order of Government thereon be communicated to the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot for his information and guidance.—

25th May in Con.l 3rd June 1841.

Ordered also that the following

following letter be addressed.—

(14-16)

To C. H. Hallett Esqre
Acting Coll.r of
Cuddapah

To C. H. Hallett Esqre
Acting Coll.r of Cuddapah

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 31st March last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to relieve you from all charges incurred on account of the Suit instituted against you as Sub Collector of North Arcot in the Zillah Court of Chittoor.—

in Con.n 27th April 1841

25th May in Con.n 3rd June 1841

Revenue Board's Office
Fort St. George
3rd June 1841

(Signed) J. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Resolution.—

Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st May 1841.—

(17)

(Bd's Proceedings 13th May)

From the Chief Secy to Government.—The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of Salem Collectorate the following balances of Land and Extra Revenue outstanding since July 1836 and reported in the foregoing proceedings to be irrecoverable amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 2390-13-8 Two thousand three hundred and Ninety Annas thirteen and Pice eight.—

Amany Land Revenue	Rs.	745-13-4	
Agrahrum balances		1604-9-4	
Mokhurpha	"	40-7-"	
		2390-13-8	

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

To

17-18

To the Principal Collr of Salem

To the Pl. Collr of Salem

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 24th November last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the accounts of your District the following balances of Land and Extra Revenue, outstanding since July 1836 reported to be irrecoverable amounting in the aggregate to Rs 2390-13-8 Viz.

Bd Con. 17th Dec. 1840

31st May in Con. 3rd June 1841.

Amany Land Revenue	745-13-4
Agrahrum balances	1,604-9-4
Moturpha	40-7-"
	2390-13-8

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd June 1841

(Signed) W. B. Lmollett
Secretary

Read

Read the following Resolution

19

From the Chief Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st May 1841.

(Bd's Proceedings 20th May)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for continuing to the present Dhurmakurtah of the Cutchaly Eswarer Pagoda in Black Town named Veerasawmy Chitty from the 1st March 1841 the Monthly allowance of Rupees 36-5-9 Thirty six annas five and piece Nine, enjoyed up to that date by his predecessor.—

(Signed) H.S. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

19-20

To the Collr of Madras

To the Collector of Madras

Sir,

In Con. 20th May 1841

In Con. 3rd June 1841.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant and to inform you that sanction has been granted by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council under date 31st Instant for continuing to the present Dhurmakurtah of the Cutchaleswara Pagoda in Black Town named Veerasawmy Chitty from the 1st March

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

March 1841 the Monthly allowance of Rupees 36-5-9 Thirty Six, Annas five and pice nine enjoyed up to that date by his predecessor. —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
3rd June 1841

(Signed) W. B. Swollett
Secretary

Read the following Extract.

21

From the Secy to Government

Our Governor in Council at Fort St. George

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th April 1841.

1. We forward for the use of your Presidency 100 Copies of a revised and enlarged edition of the work entitled "the Law relating to India and the East India Company" which embraces all alterations in the Law to the close of the Parliamentary Session of 1840.

2 As we shall be unable in future years to spare a similar number of Copies we propose after the termination of each Parliamentary Session to send a few corrected

Ordered that a Copy of this dispatch with the several Departments that have been provided with copies of the work thereon alluded to with a request that they will keep in view the instructions of the Honorable Court in respect to the alteration which may from time to

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

corrected copies for your information and guidance and we desire that on the receipt of them, the requisite alterations be made in Manuscript in the Copies which shall be in use in the several Departments.

Our Officers will thus have the means of ascertaining at any period the precise state of the Law relating to India. —

London the 3rd February 1841

(Signed) W. B. Bayley
" George Lyall
Jca Jca

(True Copy and Extract)
(Signed) R. Clerk
Secy to Government

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon.

21. 22

Ordered to be recorded

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry dated 8th and entered in Consultation 27th May 1841.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in Consequence

To

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

(23)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry with its enclosure & shewing that on an Extra Petition presented to the Zillah Court by one Kankerlapoody Seetiah Mr. Glass the Judge has ordered by Precept that this female be recognized Proprietrix of the Estate observing that it appears doubtful to the Board how the right to succession can be legally settled without the institution of a regular suit and requesting to know whether it is considered desirable that steps should be taken to have Mr. Glass' judgment set aside.

2d to Govt 8th June 1841

Sir,

3 Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will bring under the consideration of Government the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry with the enclosures having reference to the right of succession to the Estate of Cotapilly in that District. It will be seen that on an Extra Petition presented to the Court by one Kankerlapoody Seetia, Mr. Glass the Judge has ordered by precept that this female shall be recognized proprietrix of the Estate.

2 The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is aware that the Zemindar of Cotapilly died in June 1830. The circumstance was reported to Government on the date noted in the Margin and the Estate has ever since remained in the custody of the Collector of the district in default of an immediate Heir to the deceased Proprietor. In 1834 the acting Judge in

To Govt. 23d June 1834
From Do. 11th with Cons. 17th July

in the Adawlet Court of Rajahmundry ordered on an Extra Petition, the Estate to be given over to one Boochee Seetiah, when the further correspondence cited in the Margin passed, but that order was set aside on application to the Judges of the Northern Provincial Court.

3. The result of the management of the Cotapully Estate by the officers of Government has not been satisfactory. The condition of the Zemindary was exhibited in an Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 16th November last and in the Minutes of Consultation of the 14th December it was observed that in the event of no person coming forward to claim the Estate, it would be advisable to dispose of it by public Auction.

In Cons. 21st Dec. 1840.

4. The correspondence now submitted will shew that Mr. Glass, the present acting Judge of Rajahmundry, had under date the 28th April last decided upon

Fort St.n George 3d.n June 1841.-

upon an Extra Petition that Kaderlapoody Sectiah, the daughter and heiress of Dantla Venkiah, who was a sister of the last Proprietor of Cotapully, is the legal Heiress of the Estate and that he has directed the Collector, either to put her in possession on payment of the arrears of Revenue due to Government, or if these be not liquidated to insert her name in the Circar Accounts as owner of the said Estate.-

5. The Government have no interest to maintain in reference to the right of succession to this Estate, and in point of fact the Party in whose favor Mr. Glass has decided is in all likelihood the Person nearest related by blood to the last proprietor, but it seems doubtful to the Board how far that point could be legally settled without the institution of a Regular Suit- Under these circumstances the Board desire me to report the matter for

Fort St.n George 3d.n June 1841.

for the decision of Government with a view to know whether it is considered desirable that steps should be taken to have Mr. Glass' judgment set aside.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St.n George,
3d.n June 1841.-

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Read the following letter.-

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.n George.

24

From the Pr.l Coll.r of Nellore.-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt, this day, of the 20 Barrels of Cotton seed alluded to in your letter of the 15th.n March last.-

Nellore, - - -
Princ.l Coll.r's Cutcherry,
29th. May 1841. - -

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse.
Principal Collector.

Order thereon.-

Ordered to be recorded.

24.25

Read the following Statements.

Statement

7578

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1840/41 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore upto 28th May 1841.-

3rd June 1841.-

(26)

No.	Names of Ports.	North Latitude.	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1840/41.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported.	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation.	Quantity of Salt Manufactured & remaining under estimated Heaps.	Remarks.
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Ekapully	14 44 "	130,000 " "	" " "	130,000 " "	109191 " "	20809 " "	
2.	Gogoolapully	14 29 "	10,000 " "	" " "	10,000 " "	2059 " "	7941 " "	
3.	Turnie	14 37 "	10,000 " "	" " "	10,000 " "	1254 " "	8746 " "	
			150,000 " "	" " "	150,000 " "	112504 " "	37496 " "	

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Nellore,
Prin.l Coll.r Cutcherry,
29th May 1841

E. E. J.r

/signed/ J. W. Stonhouse.
Prin.l Collector.

Statement

7579 | Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 24th to 30th May 1841.

27

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1841.	Quantity already exported.	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1841.	Quantity actually in Store at the Depot ready for exportation.	Remarks.
	B. Mds	B. Mds	B. Mds.	B. Mds	
Madras	100000	"	100000.	13,840	No application has been received during the last week.-

Madras, 31st May 1841.

E. E. J. Dr. (Signed) A. Robertson.

Collector.

Ordered.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

7580 | Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Order on the 2 Foregoing Statements- Ordered to be recorded.

26-28

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of South Arcot dated 16th and entered in Consultation 29th April last.-

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.-

29

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Government.

Sir,

Para 1. In returning the accompanying Petition No. 910 of 1841. bearing an Endorsement under your signature of the 29th May, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to explain for the information of Government the Circumstances to which the Petitioner Lutchmee Daree Ummall adverts in the address.-

2.- Petitioner is the Widow of the late Poligar of Nurgunty in North Arcot. His death and her succession were reported to Government in my letter of the 16th October 1837 and in the Minutes of Consultation of the 27th of that Month. the orders proposed to be issued by the Board of Revenue were approved of by Government.-

In Cons. 2d Nov. 1837.

3. It appears that the deceased Poligar left a written power to his widow to adopt a successor, and pointed out one Mootoosawmy as the person on whom the Zemindary should devolve

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

In Cons. 30th Augt 1838.

devolves - Mr. Ogilvie in his letter of the 19th August 1838 reported that there was no reason whatever to doubt the genuineness of this instrument, a copy of which he forwarded to the Board. -

4. - The Widow at first seemed inclined to carry out her late husbands intentions and reported the fact to the Principal Collector of North Arcot, but shortly afterwards she quarrelled with the young man, has refused to complete the adoption, and asserts her right to make any selection she may please. -

5. - Mootoosawmy having addressed the Board of Revenue on the subject of his claims by Petitions, a reference was

11th Feby 1841
In Cons. 29th April 1841.

lately made to the Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot whose reply of the 16th April last is now submitted. - Finally in the Board's Proceedings of the 29th April, it was resolved to instruct the Collector to abstain from all interference with Lutchmee Davee Ummal, her succession having been formally recognized. -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George,
3d June 1841. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

Read the following letter -

30

From the Pr. Coll. in the Jurisdn of Arcot. . . .

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the original letter from the Sub Collector and Joint Magistrate accompanied by a Copy and Translation of a Plaint filed in the Auxiliary Court at Cuddalore in Suit No. 456 of 1840 with a Draft of an Answer, which if approved I request may be submitted to Government to with application for permission to defend the Suit at the public expense -

Cuddalore Pr. Colls Cutcherry 15th May 1841. - - - } (Signed) W. Ashton
Pr. Colln

No. 1.

To the Principal Collector of
South Arcot -

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of a Complaint preferred against myself and 2 others in the Auxiliary Court of Cuddalore

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

Cuddalore in Original Suit No 456 of 1840 together with the draft of an answer proposed to be filed and request you will have the goodness to forward the same with your recommendation to the Board of Revenue to have the suit defended at the public expense you will perceive from the draft of answer that it is a suit both litigious and vexatious -

Bovengherry Sub Coll's Cutchery 28th April 1840

(Signed) M. Murray
Sub Coll

No 2.

To the Judge of the Auxiliary Court of the Zillah of Chingleput

The Complaint of Semba=padiachee brother by name Via=poory Padiachee cultivator of Colla=coody an hamlet of Caroongooly in Bovengherry Taluk against the Defendants Narayah padiachee, Narayana padiachee, cultivators of the same Village and

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

and M. Murray Esqre the Sub Collector of South Arcot-

Setteth forth,

That the Complainant brings plaint in the hopes that a decision would be passed that he may not be prevented by the Defendants from his continuing to store dung in the pit which is in a Munnay land, measuring 30 feet English from South to North and 35 from east to west valued at Rupees 7 Vizt. on the west side of the street running from North to South of the Village of Collacoody, the dung pit lies to the South of land which was formerly a common plain destined for the cattle and where the 1st and 2d Defendants have now built cattle shed, to the North of ground given by him out of his munnay land to one C. Narayana padiachee, where he stores manure and where he (the Complainant) planted Poovers trees which are enjoyed by him & to the east of the 1st 2d Defendants garden

Fort St George 3d June 1841—

garden—The abovementioned pit is joined to his mummy land as above stated, where the manure obtained from his house and the cattle shed has been kept from generation to generation for the use of his agriculture—

2. That manure is essential for agriculture, without which the field would produce nothing, he has no other place near to store his manure—That from an animosity subsisting between the 1st and 2d Defendants and him, their view was to cause loss by depriving him by any means of the dung pit in question accordingly they (the Defendants) destroyed without cause their old cattleshed and built forcibly a new one about 12 years ago on the spot of the common plain (destined to the cattle) being immediate to the west of the pit in question and began to keep manure which they obtained from their cattle in his (complainants) pit—Upon which he laid a plaint on the 9th October 1828 against the 1st and 2d Defendants about

Fort St George 3d June 1841—

about the case before D. Davis Esqre late Sub Collector, who ordered the Tahsildar of Bovengherry Taluk to investigate it and then a decision was passed that no manure be stored thenceforth by the Defendants in the pit in dispute—accordingly an order was issued on the 9th January 1829 to the Tahsildar to the same effect who forwarded to the 15th of the same month to Puttamonegar ga in order to their carrying into effect the order—Besides this again a fraudulent complaint was laid before R. Gardner Esqre late Sub Collector in the year 1833 by the 1st and 2d Defendants stating that the manure pit should be filled up. that Gentleman investigated the case and decided that no person should prevent him (the Complainant) from his keeping manure according to Muamool in the pit and forwarded an order on the 25th November 1833 to the Tahsildar of the above to the Taluk on that point who in conformity with it sent to the 25th September 1834

to

Fort St George 3d June 1841

to Puttamanigar &c to the same effect.

3. That thus the foregoing circumstances with respect to the deeng pit were investigated well and a final decision was passed. The 1st and 2d Defendants brought a plaint 4 or 5 Months ago before the 3d Defendant in order that the pit in dispute should be filled up as being injurious to their Cattleshed. The Sahsildar who had been ordered to enquire into the case proceeded and saw it, when he (the Complainant) represented to him all the above mentioned circumstances on the proof that the Defendants have built a new Cattleshed near the Mamool deeng pit in dispute, he (the Sahsildar) reported to the 3d Defendant all the foregoing circumstances and then he endeavours to fill up and level the deeng pit, stating that the 3d Defendant forwarded to him order to do so.

4th That it is reasonable to make the cattleshed which the 1st and 2d Defendants have

Fort St George 3d June 1841

have built near the Mamool deeng pit be destroyed there is no reason to level the same that remains for a long time from generation to generation, and there would be no injury from the pit to Cattleshed, to prove which it would be sufficiently evident that the Cattleshed was built before 12 years. Would the 2 predecessors of the 3d Defendant pass decision that the pit may remain as it is if there was reason to level the pit which remains for a length of time from the cause of its being perhaps injurious to the Cattleshed. The Complainant laid before the 3d Defendant 2 plaints regarding the above stated circumstances to which he paid no attention, but forwarded order as above stated to the Sahsildar. Therefore he the (Complainant) prays the Court would be pleased to decide that the Defendants may not prevent him from his placing as Mamool manure in the pit which is in a Nunney land measuring 30 feet English from South to North and 35 from east to west &c

8th October 1840.

Dued at Rupees 7—

(Signed) Veapoory padiachee
Annadayer
Vakeel to Govt.

(A True Translation)
(Signed) M Murray
Sub Coll & Joint Magte

Original

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

No 3.

Original Suit No 456 of 1840-

Complainant — Iembakpadiachee brother Veapoorypadiachee of Collacoody an hamlet of Coreangoody Bovengherry Taluk-

Defendants- Noonjah Padia=chee Narayana=padeachee M. Murray Esqre Sub-Collector-

This complaint was brought for the recovery of a portion of land measuring 30 feet English from North to South and 35 from East to west & valued at Rupees 7 which complain=ant states to be his property and confirmed to him by D. Davis Esqre and R. Gardner Esqre late sub Collectors and consequently the 3d Defendant has no right to prevent complain=ant storing manure in that place—

In answer to the above complaint, the 3d Defendant begs to state the following circumstances-

The 1st Defendant Noonjapadia=chee preferred a complaint before the Joint Magistrate on the 16th June 1840 stating that the wall of his

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

his cattle shed had fallen down on account of the complainant having brought his dung pit 3/4 yard deep so near the wall that the foundation was weakened and the wall ruined- upon which an order was issued to the Tahsildar to proceed inspect and report on the circumstances stated in the above-mentioned complaint- He accordingly proceeded examined the dis=puted land and reported to the Moonsoor in his Arzee bearing date 21st September 1840 No 123 in which he states it is true that the wall of the 1st Defendants cattle shed and manure garden hedge were in=jured by the vicinity of the complain=ants dung pit that if the pit were filled up and levelled to the distance of 10 English feet to the South of the cattle shed and 6 feet to the east of man=ure garden hedge there would be no inconvenience or injury either to the wall or to the hedge- Accordingly the Joint Magistrate whose duty it is to prevent a breach of the peace issued an order to the Tahsildar desiring him to make the Complainant Veapoorypadiachee level a portion of the manure pit, so that the 1st Defendant might

Fort St George 3d. June 1841-

might not suffer any loss by its vicinity subsequently the Joint Magistrate (3d Defendant) inspected the ground in a person and was fully convinced of the truth of the Tahsildars statement, gave a verbal order that the complainant Vidapoory padiachee should not bring his manure pit nearer than 6 feet to the Defendants wall. No order has been issued to deprive com=plainant of a right to place manure there nor was any injury made whe=ther he had a right or not.-

The Complainant founds his claim upon having kept his manure on that spot of ground for a number of years and states he has no other place to put it, his having his manure in that place will give him no right or title to it, the land is Gramma Nuttam and the Complainant has no puttah or document of any kind to shew that the ruling authority had given him a right to the above land or that he had paid teerwah for the same - Besides which he holds a Mourie Mouf of 1 3/4 ac. of land granted for the purpose of keeping his manure backyard &c. which will shew his

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

his Statement that he has no other place to place his manure, is incorrect-

Granting the Complainant as a right to the land and that he holds a Mourie Mouf for the same (which he has not) he has no right whatever to bring his manure pit so near the Defendants wall as to cause its ruin, the placing of the manure on the ground when levelled would cause no injury, but an excavation which contains stagnant water is so injurious to the neighbouring wall and to prevent which the Joint Magis=trate by the authority invested in him, is bound to interfere in order to prevent the Complainants injuring the property of the Defendant-

The Complainant sues for the loss of land and damage sustained, but in reality the Defendant is the sustainer of the loss by the ruin of his wall, the Complain=ant is in actual enjoyment of the land up to this moment, and no order has been issued to deprive him of it or any portion of it the only order on the subject is that he shall not dig a pit sufficiently near Complainants wall as

Fort St George 3d June 1841-

as to cause injury. He (the Complainant) has sustained no loss or injury and therefore has no title to sue. Under the above circumstances it seems preposterous that the Joint Magistrate should be made 3d Defendant for doing his duty in preventing a breach of the peace. For several years a dispute continued between the Complainant and the Defendants and as the Complainant refuses to remove his manure pit to such a distance as not to cause injury to the 1st and 2d Defendants it is to be inferred he does so through enmity and that this suit was brought to open a new source of vexation and trouble. The Joint Magistrate trusts the Court will see the propriety of dismissing this suit without further enquiry and punish not only Complainant but his Vakeel for having brought such vexatious and litigious suit-

(Signed) M. Murray.
Sub Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 3d June 1841.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

30-31

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot, forwarding copy of a letter from the Sub Collector in that District, together with a copy of a suit filed against the latter and two others in the Auxiliary Court of Chingleput, by one Vapoory Padeachee, for the recovery of a spot of Ground in which the Complainant asserts his right of storing manure. Copy of the answer proposed to be put in is also submitted, and as the Board consider the fact stated therein to form a valid defence of the Act complained of they beg to recommend that sanction may be granted for defending the suit at the public expense-

15d Inajunbent
3d June 1841.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George,
3d June 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following letters

To

7595 Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

32

From the Pl. Coll.r of South Arcot

The Taliary of the Village of Kurpa in the Talook of Chaitput having complained that 1 Cawney of Poonja Land allotted to him as enam for his service is in the bed of a small Tank and from its situation unfit for Cultivation, an enquiry has been made and the Statement of the Taliary being proved to be true, I have the honor to request the Board's Authority for giving him an extent of land equal in amount of Teervah and as there is none available in the 8th turrn land in which the Enam was originally given that the new allotment may be in the Parumboke Poonjah land of the Village, the teervah of which is in a slight degree lower than that of the 8th Turrum.

Cuddalore Pl. Coll.rs Cutcherry 18th May 1841.

/ Signed / W. Ashton
Pl. Coll.r

Resolution thereon

32-33

The Board authorize the Principal Collector to carry into effect the arrangement proposed in the foregoing letter for compensating the Taliary of the Village of Kurpah for the loss of 1 Cawney of Poonjah Enam Land represented to be unfit for cultivation from its being situated in the bed of a Tank.

7596 Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.—
Fort St. George.—

Sir,

34

From the Pl. Coll.r in the Sr. Dr. of Arcot

Para 1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 4th March last, directing that a spot of land in the Village of Tripopaloor be Continued to one Iyasawmy Moodely on payment of an easy quit rent during the time of his life, I have the honor to state that the land in question was brought to Account at full teervah in fusly 1248 and is included in the Shrotriem granted in 1249 to the late Head Sheristadar which renders an adjustment of Account necessary.

Stating that the spot of land in the Village of Tripopaloor directed by the Board to be Continued to one Iyasawmy Moodely for life on payment of an easy rent was brought to account at full teervah in fusly 1248 & is included in the Shrotriem granted in fusly 1249 to the Late Head Sheristadar Madoo Row & suggesting an Adjustment of accounts in order to give effect to the Board's Orders.

2. The difference between the full teervah and the Amount of quit rent is Rupees 69—8—7 and this during the life time of Iyasawmy Moodely will require to be Sanctioned as an Annual Charge in my Account.—

3. The full teervah on the land having been Collected in the Current and two

two preceding fuslies I beg to recommend that the difference Amounting to Rupees 208 9- 9. may be refunded to Syasawmy Moodely and the adjustment in Account above-mentioned be Sanctioned from fusly 1251.

Veerdavoor
South Arcot
Pl. Coll.rs Cir.t Cutcherry
21st May 1841.

/Signed/ W. Ashton
Pl. Coll.r

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.—

(Read again in Cons. 26th June)

34-35

Read the following

letter.—

To

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

36

From the Coll.r of Malabar.

Sir,

With reference to the Orders of Government communicated in Mr. Parker's letter dated 9th June 1834 I have the honor to transmit herewith a Return of the Tahsildars in this District as they stood on the 1st Instant.—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Malabar Coll.rs Office
On Circuit, Cannanore
26th May 1841.

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Return.

7599

Fort St George

3d June 1841.

A

Return of Tahseldars of the Collectorate of Malabar as they stood on the 1st May 1841.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of time as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Coonhy Ananda Iambiar native of Malabar Vice Cateate Chandoo Menon Appointed to Cherikul	Nair	40 " "	Cavay	132,147 15 10	107	" 5 "	8 7 26	As Manager of the Sea Customs at Baddaguerry	3 2 4	
								As Huzzoor Moonshee	" 2 3	
									3 4 7	12 " 3
Cateate Chandoo Menon Ditto Vice Coonhy Ananda Iambiar Appointed to Cavay	Ditto	38 " "	Cherikul	15,209 7 10	2 107	" 5 "	2 9 23	As Goomastah in the Sea Custom House at Tellicherry	2 6 10	
								As Sub Manager of Allypooram	" 3 "	
								As Ditto of the Sea Customs at Calicut	" 9 15	
								As Manager of the Sea Custom at Tulandy	1 2 18	
								As Ditto at Mondol	3 11 4	
								As Ditto at Baddaguerry	" 1 24	
								As 2nd Moonshee in the Huzzoor Office	" 7 "	
								As Head Ditto in the Magisterial Department	" 6 17	
								As Head Goomastah in the Sub Collector's office	2 4 19	
									14 4 17	17 2 10

ORIGINAL DESTROYED
ORIGINAL DESTROYED

7600

Fort St George

3d June 1841

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Calcate Krishna Menon — Native of Malabar	Nair	37 9 m	Calicut	1409 8 8	11 11	1 2 18	3 10	As Goomastah in the Huzzoor office	4 1 21	
								As Ditto under the Bombay Timber Agent	3 4 m	
								As Naib and Goomastah in the Sub Collector's Office	m 5 10	
								As Talook Sheristadar	1 6 4	
									9 5 5	13 3 5
Koongan	Ditto	Ditto	51 m m	Cartenaad	1467 6 3 4	107	1 2 18	11 1 13	As Goomastah in the Zillah Court S. Malabar	13 8 m	
								As Police Ameen	4 7 7	
									18 3 7	29 4 20
Venkateska Putter	Ditto	Brahmin	39 4 m	Coormenaad	1634 9 3 10 11	107	6 11 8	8 11 m	As Goomastah in the Huzzoor and Talook Cutcherries	7 10 5	
								As Peshkar in Ditto	m 9 5	
								As Sheristadar in Ditto	m 8 m	
									9 3 20	18 2 20
Donery Menon	Ditto	Nair	62 m m	Calicut	1839 72 8 1	107	6 7 3	18 10 17	As Village Accountant and Purbootty	6 m m	
								As Goomastah Cutcherry Doroga and Talook Sheristadar	12 8 m	
								As Sheristadar in the Sub Collector's Office	2 4 22	
									21 m 22	39 11 9

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

y 601

Fort St George

3d June 1841.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahseldar and length of Service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Shekery pooram Sheshayen Native of Malabar Vice Iman-japooram Krishna Menon Appointed to Palghaut	Brahmin	38	Ernaad	140803	3	10	1 6 13	as Huzzoor Goomastah — 9 . 26 as Huzzoor Head Goomastah — 5 8 5 14 9 1	16 3 14	
Venkana Row Dfo of Tinnevelly	Ditto	38	Shernaad	128133 14	3	10	6 11 20	6 11 20 as Goomastah the Survey Department — 3 1 11 as Huzzoor Goomastah — 7 6 12 as Talook Sheristadar — 2 5 6 15 . 29	20 . 19	
Paroompilavil Krishna Menon Ditto of Malabar	Nair	48	Betutnaad	15253 13 6	10	3 2 .	3 2 .	as Hobly Accountant — . 5 10 . as ditto Parbooty — 2 " " as Talook 2nd Goomastah — 4 11 . as ditto Inoonshee — . 6 . as ditto 1st Goomastah — 1 11 . as ditto Sheristadar — 7 2 . 22 4 .	25 6 "	

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

7602

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service on such Employment		Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11
Kodagone koorpoo Native of Malabar	Nair	43	Kootnaad	127618	1 1	10 6 20	11 6	As Goomastah in the Huzzoor and Talook Cutcherries	8 7		
								As Cash Keeper in the Huzzoor Cutcherry	1 7		
									10 2	21 8	
Chalapoorata Rama Menon	Ditto		Chawghaut	172643	10	6 8	6 8	As Goomastah on the Sea Custom Department	16 8		
Do								As 2nd Moonshee in the Huzzoor Office	1		
									17 8	24 4	
Ramen Menon	Ditto	41 4	Nedonganaad	180677	6 10	1 5 4	7 11 5	As Moonshee in the Huzzoor Office	1 15		
								As Goomastah on the Sea Custom and Talook Cutcherries	14 10 15		
								As Sheristadar in the Calicut Talook Cutcherry	6		
									15 6	23 5 5	
Wallat Kreshna Menon Do	Ditto	40	Walloowanaad	131337	7 1	8 7 9	18 8	As Jawabnevies in the Zillah Court South Malabar	2 3		
								As Police Goomastah in Ditto	4 10		
								As Police Recordkeeper in Ditto	3		
								As Acting Soobedar	5		
								As Native Register	1 3		
								As Sheristadar in the Talook Cutcherry	4 4		
									16 1	34 9	

ORIGINAL DEPOSITED

Fort St. George 3d June 1841.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Manjapooram Krishna Menon native of Malabar vice Shekerypooram Sheshayen Appointed to Encumbrance	Nair	49	Palghaut	1869	4 8 107	" 10 9	18 2 10	As Goomastah in the Talook Cutcherry 7 5 15 As Sheristadar in the Nedingadee Talook " 2 6 7 7 21	25 10 1	ORIGINAL DESTROYED
Madilagatha Kristna Menon native of Malabar	Nair	62	Ernalpooram	137394	10 107	3 7 .	10 7 18	As Moonshee 1 5 . As 1st Goomastah 16 6 . As Pymash Karistem " 8 . As Talook Sheristadar 3 4 7 21 11 7	32 6 25	
Venkat Ramanayen Ditto of Salem (Under Suspension)	Brahmin	47	Wynaad	36839	3 5 107	7 4 10	7 4 10	As Goomastah in Coimbatore Talook 13 7 . As Sheristedar in Ditto 2 . . As Tahseldar in Ditto . 4 . As Goomastah in the Sub Collectors Office in Malabar " 5 . 16 4 .	23 8 10	

Fort St. George

3d June 1841.

Name and place of Birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in such Employment	Total length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6					
J. C. de Aranjo Native of Malabar	Christian	50	Cochin Fiscal	25963. 5	120	8 4 6	8 4 6	As Garrison Writer 1 . .		
								As Writer in the Zillah Court North Malabar 5 5 18		
								As Translator in the Commissioner's Office in Malabar . 7 .		
								As Certificate Clerk & Manager in the Sea Customs Department and Deputy Accountant in the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Calicut 13 4 .		
								20 4 18	28 8 24	
Govind Row Ditto of Coimbatore	Mahrata Bramin	38	Neilgherry	6234 3 2	50	6 1 .	6 1 .	As Talook Peishcar in Coimbatore 5 . .		
								5 . .	11 1 .	

Total Company's Rs. 2320321 14 5

N. B. The Amount Entered in Column 5 in Summah of 1749 which each Tahsildar had to realize within his Talook, Viz.

Land Revenue	1636588 5 5
Moturpha	110580 12 1
Tax on Knives and Stills	23892 8 10
Tobacco	545892 6 3
Gold Dust and Hill produce	33967 13 10
Company's Rupees	2320321 14 5

E. E. J.

(Signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 3d June 1841.

Order thereon

36 · 37

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

38

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Madras — — — } Para 1. With reference to the corres-

To Bd 8th May 1840
Com. D. 25th D.

=pondence entered in the margin, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that the terms for which the Arrack and Toddy Shops within the Abkarry limits of this Collec=torate have been rented out, in detail, under Sumany management will expire on the 11th July next and to request in consequence, the Board's sanction for re letting them, on the same principle as at present for a further period of one year.

2. I propose that the Arrack Shops

Fort St George 3d June 1841.

Shops should be put up at public Auction on Monday the 21st proximo the intended sale being previously notified in the — usual manner, by beat of Tom Tom.

3. In the reply to this I would beg that instructions may be given respect=ing the Arrack Shops at Saint Thomas' Mount licenced at the commencement of the Fusly for some years past —

Madras — — } 22d May 1841. } Signed A. Robertson Collector

Resolution thereon.

39

The Board authorize the Collector to proceed in the manner pro=posed by him, for the disposal of the Arrack and Toddy Shops within the Abkarry limits of his Collectorate, for the ensuing Fusly — A reference regarding the Arrack Shops at Saint Thomas' Mount has

Fort St George 3d June 1841.

has been made to Government and their orders will be communicated to the Collector as soon as they are received.

Ordered also that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(38-40)

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of Madras and to request with reference to the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department under date the 12th January last that they may be furnished with instructions from Government whether it is wished that the present system of renting the Abkarry at the Station of St Thomas' Mount should be continued or not.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
3d June 1841.

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Compd S.R.

DESTROYED

Read

Fort St George 3d June 1841

Read the following letter—

To P. B. Smollett Esqre

Secretary to the Revenue Board.

Madras.

(41)

From Mr Franklin Incharge of the Mannar Survey.

Reporting the result of a recent examination made by him of the Pearl Banks on the Coast of Tinnevelly.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of the Revenue Board that during my Surveying operation this season in the vicinity of the pearl banks, I took the opportunity of examining those enumerated in the list appended.—

DESTROYED

2. The great increase in the stock on the inner beds I attribute partly to the stoppage of the Chank diving and partly to the fear the fishermen are now under of encroaching on them. I find that hitherto their mode of fishing was to allow their boats to dredge, or drift with the Anchor down, over the banks, where the fish are more plentiful than on land. A custom of itself when daily repeated, quite sufficient to even the finest — since the organization of the guard, this of course has been unchecked.—

The

Fort St. George 3rd. June 1841.

3. The banks to the Northward, which lie so far apart shew no improvement on last year, nor will they. I fear, ever be much better, but several off Tutacarcen have increased their stock amazingly - on some however the Oyster appear to be very sickly, but whether this be from the fishermen, before alluded to, dredging over them, or from the Dooram, which is more plentiful on them, I cannot say - probably from both.

4. On comparing the number of Oysters fished this year with those of the last, it must be remembered that, where before we had ten divers, we have now employed but two - it will be seen then that if five times the present quantity be calculated as the result of the labors of ten divers, the increase is very great.

5. In conclusion, I cannot refrain from repeating my conviction stated in my last years report that if the banks be well protected /which they now are by means of the two guard boats/ many of them will in due course of time yield profitable fisheries - there are some which as far as the testimony or memory of the people here may

Fort St. George 3rd. June 1841.

be depended on, have never yielded any thing, nor do I think they ever will. I allude to those at each extremity of the cluster - but with a careful guard I can yet see no plausible reason why those which were found stocked in former years, should not be so now. the Dooram certainly has an injurious effect on the oyster, yet this must always have existed - and I was informed by the Adegar of the Arepos banks, /which I visited last year for the purpose of procuring all the information I could on the subject/ that his were likewise thrown with this Dooram; notwithstanding which they yielded /before they were so constantly fished/ an ample return.

6. The six pearls forwarded are all out of thirteen that I could extract - the others being too minute - but as a proof of the fertility of the fish, I must not omit to mention that two of them were discovered in one oyster.

Tutacareen,
May, 16th. 1841.

/Signed/ John Franklin.
In charge of the
Manaar Survey.
/Banks.

7611

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

Banks examined in 1841.

Names.	No. of dives.	No. of Oysters. 1841	1840.	No. of Pearls.	Remarks.
Anapaar	15				The spawn observed last year on Anapaar has entirely disappeared probably Washed away by the strong Current that sets out between Vaimonhum point and Anapaar Island.
Peliamalaipaar	15				
Nullatanoreteevapaar	20				
Oopootanoreteevopaar	15	None	None	None	
Coodalumpaar	5				The first seven banks have not yielded a fishery since 1800, and are hardly worth the expense of examination.
Vimbarata Rareipaar	10				
Davepaar	15	2 under age.	1		
Pornandypaar	20	15 up to 29 old,	17	a	Moderately improved
Conee Chank or Veeracanpaar	30	100 up to 2½ yrs old	4	2	Much improved.
Sagurapaar	10	26 up to 3½ yrs	80	a	The stock on these two banks appears to be about the same as last year.
Ootee paar	10	16 up to 1½ yrs old	50	a	
Venkeveeranpagumpaar	23	143 up to 3 yrs old one of 5½ years.	209	3	Very little Sooram on these two banks, and a large quantity of young fish under six months of the eight pearls, five were too small to extract, being no larger than a grain of fine sand.
Puttamaricantoodoo paar	33	141 up to 3 yrs old	4	5	
Klatepaar	25	138 up to 2½ yrs old	129	2	Both these banks are covered with sand and Sooram and the fish very unhealthy - the stock also is not of so good a quality as that on the two preceding ones.
Atwnai ampagumpaar	13	39 up to 2 yrs old	65	1 Very small.	

Note. No Oysters under six Months of age have been reckoned among the number fished.

Tutacareen,
May 16th 1841.

[Signed] John Franklin.
In Charge of the Manaar Survey.

Fort St. George 3rd. June 1841.

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

(41-42)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to Government the accompanying letter from Mr. Franklin reporting his having recently examined some of the Pearl Banks on the coast of Tinnevelly.—

2. Mr. Franklin reports that there has been a considerable increase of stock in some of the Banks which he attributes to the effect of the measures recently adopted for guarding the Oyster Banks.—

16 May
In Cons 3rd June
1841

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd June 1841.—

Signed P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Fort St. George 3rd. June 1841.

From the Collector of Tuticorin. (43) Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of April last.—

Order thereon. (43-44) Ordered to be lodged.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.—

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.

(45)

To the Chief Secy. to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council copy of the Endorsement passed by the Board of Revenue on the Petition noted in the margin, with reference to the Endorsement of Government dated 18th May 1841 on Petition No. 889 of 1841 herewith returned.—

Bd. No. 15.
Govt. No. 209. } of 1841.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd June, 1841.—

Signed P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Resolved.

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched with reference to the Endorsements therein referred to.-

(46)
To the Collr. of Chingleput.

To the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement dated 7th May 1841 on Petition No. 614 of 1841. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be pleased to report whether the construction of the embankment therein referred to, is an infringement upon the orders said to have been passed by Mr. Thompson while Collector of Chingleput in 1829, upon a dispute between the Petitioners and the Villagers of Satanakoor, respecting the embankment of the Tank of that Village; and if so, the reasons which have led to this change of circumstances after so great a length of time.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3rd June, 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

JC

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.-

(47)
To the Collr. of Trichinopoly.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

Para 1.

On comparing the Statements which accompanied your letter of the 18th August 1840 shewing the Fees

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

Fees &c. enjoyed by the Main Cavilgars and Cooly Cavilgars in the District of Trichinopoly with the Statement which accompanied the letter of the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 22d October 1829, shewing the Enams &c. enjoyed by the Village Officers, there appears to be a great difference both in the number of the Incumbents and in the amount of Enams enjoyed by these parties as shewn in the annexed Abstract Statement.-

	As per last Account.			As per present Statement.			Increase.		
	Number of Incumbents.	Amount.		Number of Incumbents.	Amount.		Number of Incumbents.	Amount.	
		Rs.	a. p.		Rs.	a. p.		Rs.	a. p.
Cavilgars	1,617	23,356	0 4.	2,202.	31,574	1 11.	585.	8,218	1 7.
Main Cavilgars	459.	15,231	10 11.	1,156.	16,873	8 9½.	697.	1,641	13 10½.
Total.	2,076	38,587	11 3.	3,358.	48,447	10 8½.	1282.	9,859.	15 5½.

The Board request that you will

2.

afford an explanation of this difference, and I am directed at the same time to request that you will state whether the Fees in Grain are deducted from the Gross produce of each Village, or from the Ryots, or the Circar share.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 3rd June, 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

To.

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

To,

The Principal Collector of

Coimbatore.

48

To the Pl. Colr. of Coimbatore.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will forward for their inspection all the original documents alluded to, in your Endorsement on the Petition of Addapah Naick late Cutcoal of Mettapollam dated 24th April 1841. and at the same time, that you will state the grounds on which you saw occasion to differ from the decision passed by the late Principal Collector. You will also be pleased to forward the original document connected with the dismissal of Bal Naick the late tappal Muteeddy at Metapollam.

(Ene. in Bd. No. 35 of 1841.)

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
3rd June, 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

49

From the Pl. Colr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. Report of Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII Regulation VII of 1831 for the Month of March last.

From,

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

From the Pl. Colr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. Stating that there are no Returns of persons charged with Offences under

50

Regn. IX. of 1822 and that Persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII Regn. VII of 1831 for the Month of Jany. last.

From the Pl. Colr. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. Report of persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regn. VII of 1831 for the

51

Month of February last.

From the Collector of Bellary. Stating that no persons have been either charged with Offences or confined in pursuance of

52

sentence passed under Regn. IX of 1822 during the Month of April last.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Abstract of Demand, Collection and

53

Balance for the Month of April last.

From the Pl. Collector of Tanjore. Abstract of Demand, Collection and

54

Balance for the Month of April last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Abstract of Demand, Collection

55

and Balance for the Month of April last.

From the Collector of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average

56

Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

From the Pl. Collector of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average

57

Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Statement shewing

58

the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

From the Pl. Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the

59

quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent of 1840/41 from 16th to 23rd May last.

From

Fort St. George 3rd June 1841.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons charged with
60 offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for April last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Returns of Persons fin-
61 -ed and released from Jail for the Month of April last,
are not sent, there being no entry to make.

From the Pt. Collector of Tanjore. Register of the state of the River Co-
62 -vaury at the Annicut for the Month of April 1841.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam. Stating that he understood with
63 reference to Board's letter of the 7th May 1840 that the
Abstract of Persons charged with offences under Regn.
IX of 1822 was in future only to be furnished Annually
and referring the Board to his letter of the 6th Janu-
-ry 1841, on the subject.—

" G.D. Drury

" A. Maclean

Comptd

Fort St. George 1st June 1841.

Diary.

1st June. Received the following letters.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

1 Sir,

From the Pt. Coll.r in the Jurisdn. of Arcot.

With reference to the Proceed-
-ings of the Board dated the 29th ult.o
I have the honor to report that I have co.
this day made over charge of the District
to J. Dent Esqr. whose Receipts for
the balance of Cash in the Treasury
are herewith transmitted.—

Cuddalore, - - -
Pt. Collrs Cutcherry,
1st June 1841.—

Signed W. Ashton.
Pt. Collr

Received

Fort St. George — June 1841.

Received from W. Ashton Esq.re Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot, in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees Eight Thousand, being the Amount deposited in the Revenue Treasury, by the Assistant Judge of the Auxiliary Court at Cuddalore, according to the accounts of the Treasury.—

Specie.

	Coins.	Number of Coins.	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins.	Amount.	Total.
			CRs. a.	CRs. A.	CRs. A.
Silver.	Old Current Silver Rupees	7,242	100 " "	7,242 " "	
	New Do Do	454	100 " "	454 " "	
	Do half Do	18	50 " "	9 " "	
	old Do Do	590	50 " "	295 " "	
	Total Company's Rupees			8,000 " "	

1st June 1841. E. E. T. /Signed/ J. Dent.
Commissioner.

Received

Fort St. George — June 1841.—

Received from W. Ashton Esq.re Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot, in the undermentioned Coins, the Sum of Company's Rupees Nine Lac Fifty two thousand and sixty three annas & Pice Nine, being the balance of Cash up to this date according to the Accounts of the Treasury.—

Specie.

	Coins.	Number of Coins.	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins.	Amount.	Total.
			CRs. a.	CRs. A.	CRs. A.
Gold.	Gold whole Rupees	750	1,500 " "	11,250. " "	
	Do ½ Do	68	750 " "	510. " "	
	Do ¼ Do	3	375 " "	11 4 "	
	Do 1/8 Do	1,080	500 " "	5,400 " "	
	Do 1/16 Do	28	1,000 " "	280 " "	17,451 4 "
	Pound Sterling	1536	100 " "	1,536 " "	
	Bombay Rupees	135	50 " "	67 8. "	
	Do ½ Do	26	25 " "	6 8. "	
	Do ¼ Do	136000	100 " "	136,000 " "	
	Company's Rupees of 1835	34000	50 " "	17,000 " "	
	Do ½ Do	28000	25 " "	7,000 " "	
	Do ¼ Do	34,906	100 " "	34,906. " "	
	Old Current Silver Rupees	36000	50 " "	18,000 " "	
	" Do ½ Do	16,000	25 " "	4,000 " "	
	" Do ¼ Do	11,323	" 12 8 "	1,415 6 "	
	Double Annas	1133	" 6 4 "	70 13. "	
	Single Do	1580	103 15 9.	1,642 15 "	
Silver.	Sicca Rupees	1897	219 14 2	4,170 11 5.	
	Dollars, Spanish	9	100 " "	9 " "	
	Arcot Rupees	28	175 " "	49 " "	
	Half Pogoda pieces	39	87 8 "	34 2 "	
	Quarter Do	1889	7 12 6.	146 14 4	
	Madras Fanams	1504	" 11 15 6.	18 " 1.	5320 72 13 10.
	Pondicherry Do	15360	" 3 2 "	480 " "	
Copper.	New Copper ½ anna pieces	8,960	" 1 9 "	140 " "	
	Do ¼ Do	79559	" 8 4.	414 5 11.	
	Pice	114½	" 1 5 8.	1 1 8.	
	Dugganies	132149.	" 12 6.	1027 13 2.	
		34051½	" 10 "	212 12 5.	
	Pondicherry Cash	46,394	" 9 1.	263 7 9.	2539 8 11.
	Total Company's Rupees				5520 63 10 9.

Cuddalore — Pr. Cs. Rs. Cutcherry — 1st June 1841.—

/Signed/ J. Dent.
Commissioner.

Fort St.e George ca. June 1841.-

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St.e George.

(2)

From the Commissioner in charge of the Southern Division of Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that I have this day taken charge of the District together with the balance of Cash in the Treasury

Company's Cash - 55263.10.9. Amounting to Company's Rupees

Court Deposit 8000-0-0-

56063-10-9. 56,063-10-9- from the Principal Co.

Collector, Mr.e W. Ashton, to whom I have passed my Receipts in Duplicate.

Cuddalore, -

Principal Coll.r's Cutcherry,

1.st June 1841.-

/Signed/ J. Dent.

Commissioner.

Order on the two foregoing letters.

(1-3)

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.-

At.

Fort St.e George 7.th June 1841.-

At a Meeting Present.-

Monday 7.th June 1841.- G. D. Drury Esq.re Second Member.

and

Alexander Maclean Esq.re Temporary Member.

John Dent Esq.re Senior Member absent on duty.-

and

Walter Elliot Esq.re Third Member absent on Leave.

Minutes of the last Meeting

read and approved.-

Read the following Extracts

(4)

From the Chief Secr.y to Government.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24.th May 1841.-

Read the following letter.

To H. Chamier Esq.re

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St.e George.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.237 dated the 13.th Ultimo with it's enclosures, and in reply to state for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in

in Council that under the information now furnished respecting the Shrotrium Village of Ootuntanguk in the District of Chinglepat, the Governor General of India in Council will not further interfere in the case.-

Fort William,
The 19th April 1841.

/Signed/ J. H. Maddock.
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue

/Signed/ Wm. Chamier
Chief Secretary.

4-5

Resolution thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter from the Government of India be forwarded to the Collector of Chinglepat for his information, with reference to the Board's letter of the 12th October last, and the correspondence therein alluded to.-

From the Chief Sec. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th May 1841.-

6

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 6th May 1841 No. 193.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is satisfied from the further

information furnished in the foregoing letter that the claims of Vafsum and Sooboo Putter, to certain lands in the Tremalpooram Talook in the Province of Malabar, which were inadvertently sequestered in 1798 are good, but adverting to the length of time that has elapsed since that period, he does not feel authorized to sanction the restoration of the property without the concurrence of the Government of India. A reference will accordingly be made to that Government and the result, when known, will be communicated for the information of the Board of Revenue.-

/A. True Extract/
/Signed/ Wm. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

6-7

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of Malabar for his information with reference to his letter of the 5th April last.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 29th May 1841.-

8

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 29th April 1841. No. 189.)

The Right Honorable the

Para. 1.

Governor in Council entirely concurs in the sentiments expressed

expressed by the Board of Revenue in the foregoing letter in respect to the Collection and appropriation of the Tolls on Ferries and Bridges in the Province of Malabar, and thinks with them that the only charge which is at present if at all called for, is in respect to the toll on the Erinjooly Bridge, from which it is proposed to exempt foot passengers, The Board will communicate on this subject will the Collector and instruct him to put the exemption in force if he approves of it.-

2. The proposed plan of having a uniform rate of Toll on all the made roads, passes, Bridges and Ferries advertised to in the correspondence noted in the Margin and at present under the consideration of the Board of Public Works, will it is observed if adopted embrace those of Malabar and supercede the present rates.-

/ A true Extract. /

/ Signed / Wm. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

Extract Minutes of Consultation
31st July in Dft. to Cons:
4th August 1840 Nos. 30 & 34 ---
Do. Do. 18th in Cons: 24th Sept. 37.
Do. Do. 1st Dec. --- 13 & 16.

8-9

Order thereon.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Minutes of Consultation together with a transcript of the Board's letter of the 29th April last, therein referred to, be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 22d March last.-

10

From the Chief Secy to Govt. }

Transmitting Copy of a letter from the Govern: ment of India on the subject of the propositions for preventing the felling of Teak saplings in the forests of Malabar with orders on the subject:-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st May 1841.

Read the following letter.

To

Wm. Chamier Esqre
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 5th Instant with copy of a letter from the Collector of Malabar and of a Report from two of his Gomastahs, respecting the practice of felling Teak saplings which in the opinion of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, cannot be prevented by a penal law prohibiting the cutting of undersized trees, or by the less objectionable measure of laying a heavy duty on all Teak saplings.

2. The Governor General in Council apprehends that practical objections will be suggested to either of these courses. The removing of the young trees cannot be absolutely interdicted, because this may be some

sometimes necessary to promote the health and growth of large timber. It is presumed that the felling of young trees by unauthorized persons can be punished and the practice prevented, without a special enactment for this particular species of tress pass. and his Lordship in Council does not see in what way the enactment of a heavy duty on the sapling will be brought into operation. where it is proposed that the duty shall be taken? whether it shall be a forest duty, or duty of transit, or a duty of trade. and if the latter where collected? But His Lordship in Council will readily resume the consideration of either or both of these propositions if the Government of Madras will submit Drafts of Law for effecting the purposes stated. His Lordship in Council is most desirous of obviating the evil which is dwelt upon with so much just importance and earnestness by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, but he has great doubts as to the practicability and permanency of any other remedy than the concerted by the Public authorities of the Government. Forests and the grants that are to be acquired under mortgage, a proper system of felling and planting and the eventual

eventual extension of good system to private Forests and Plantations as the advantages of provident management and a valuable Market for their teak timber become better understood by the Zemindars and others.

Fort William,
The 21st April 1841.

(Signed) G. A. Bushby.
Secy to the Govt of India.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to the orders of Government in this Department dated 5th April 1841. No 456 A. in view to the sentiments of the Collector of Malabar being obtained upon the subject to which it relates, should special enactments be eventually considered necessary for the protection of the Timber Forests, the Board of Revenue will prepare and submit for transmission to the Government of India Drafts of Laws for effecting that object.-

(True Extract.)
(Signed) H. Chamier.
Chief Secretary.

To the President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

10 - 11

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information, with reference to his letter addressed to Government under date the 12th March last.-

Read

* From the Chief Secy to the Govt 5th Ins 29th April 1841.

12

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Read the following Extract.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th May 1841.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Berenter 6th May 1841.)

In accordance with the recommendation of the Revenue Board, conveyed in the above communication, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the arrangements for the exchange of Ground at St. Thomé proposed by the Collector of Madras.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) Robert. Clark.
Secretary to Government

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

12-13

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 21st April last.

To

Read the following letter.

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

14

From the Civil Auditor

Para 1.

Sir,

I have the honor to return the Medical Certificate of Mr. M. P. Daniell received

received with the letter to your address from the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, transmitted by you in order that it should, through the proper Channel, be returned to Mr. Daniell for the purpose of being submitted in the prescribed form laid down in Section V of the Rules of the 21st February 1840. The Medical Officer it is presumed has not submitted the certificate through the Superintending Surgeon for the consideration of the Medical Board, as it does not bear the Counter signature of a member of that Board.

2. This being the case, I am prohibited from submitting the Certificate in question in its present state by the order of Government of the 6th April 1841.

Fort St. George,
Civil Auditor's Office,
27th May 1841.

I Signed I. C. Morris.
Civil Auditor

Order thereon.

14-15

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter from the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

the Civil Auditor, with

the original Certificate

which accompanied it, be

forwarded to the

Principal Collector

of Coimbatore, with re-

ference to his letter

dated the 2d March last.

Read

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.-

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

16

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam.

Submitting proposals of Arrack, Toddy and Bettel farms for Fusly 1251.-

Sir,

Para 1.- I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I have as usual put up in public Auction the Arrack, Toddy, and Bettel farms for Fusly 1251. and I beg now to Submit Statements A, B and C exhibiting the result.-

Statement A

2. The very unfavorable nature of the last 4 years has subjected the renters of this source of Revenue to con-siderable loss, and the persons who usually compete for the rent seemed most reluctant to come forward. At the auction the highest offer was Rupees 31,710 and the Security was such as I did not altogether feel satisfied with, subsequently to the auction the renter of the present Fusly came forward and offered

to

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.-

to pay Rupees 32,000 in the event of the ren
being continued to him. Although this i
Rupees 4,200, lower than the rent of the
present fasly, yet there are so many ob
=vious objections to keeping this sou
of Revenue under Cumanyand as the
Security offered is unexceptionable,
I recommend that it may be accepted

Statement B 3. The accompanying Statement
exhibits the proposals which have as yet
been received for 18 of the principal Toddy
Malams. In Vizeanagrum there is a consider
falling off, but I have reason to believe that th
present renter has lost considerably by the
rent, and I recommend that I may be auth
=rized to accept the proposal. The remaining
Malams will be rented out in the usual

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.-

manner, and I do not anticipate any
considerable decrease.-

Statement C. 4 The highest offer for the
Beetel rent was Rupees 2,900 corresponding
=ing with the amount of rent for the
present year. The Security offered is
good, and I therefore recommend that
it be accepted.-

Vizagapatam,
Collector's Cutcherry,
26th May 1841.

/Signed/ W. U Arbuthnot.
Collector

Statement.-

7636

Fort St.Geo.e the 4th June 1841.-

A Statement of sales by Public Auction for the rent of Arrack farm in the Hillah of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1251.-

No.	Names of the Sources.	Annual rent. Fusly 1246.	Fusly 1247.	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Total.	average.	Fusly 1251. Name of the renter.	Name of the Security.	Amount Proposed.	Comparison between Fusties 1250 & 51. Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Arrack Farm of the whole District	41,000 "	48,580 "	45,800 "	38,020 "	36,200 "	2,09,30 "	41,914 "	M. Royapah.	J. Sankary.	32,000 "	"	"	4,200 "	"	The Security tendered is competent and the usual Sunnud will be executed upon the receipt of the Board's Sanction.

Vizagapatam, the 26th May 1841.-

[Signed] W. U. Arbuthnot.
Collector

Statement.

Fort St. Geo[rge] the [illegible] June 1841.-

Statement of Toddy farms in the Zillah of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1251.-

Annual Rent-					Fusly	1251		Comparison between Columns 6 & 11.-		
Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Total.	Average.	Names of Renters	Names of the Securities.	Amount Proposed.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.-
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
25. " "	3220 " "	3500 " "	18345 " "	2669 " "	B. Audapah	P. Senkarry	3930 " "	430 " "	" " "	
050.	5190 " "	4900 " "	25160 " "	5032 " "	G. Cristiah	D. Venkataroydoo	4,120 " "	" " "	780 " "	
55.	55 " "	55 " "	275 " "	55 " "	P. Sooriamarrain	C. Venkiah	55 " "	" " "	" " "	
50.	50 " "	50 " "	250 " "	50 " "	C. Yerkiah	A. Dauleedoo	50 8 "	8 " "	" " "	
15.	15 " "	15 " "	75 " "	15 " "	R Pydeegadoo	G. Potunnah	15 8 "	8 " "	" " "	
10.	10 " "	12 " "	52 " "	10 6 5	G.P. Venkadoo	C. Veeranah	12 8 "	8 " "	" " "	
52.	52 " "	52 " "	221 " "	44 3 2	I. Paupiah	Zemindar of Bobbilly	52 " "	" " "	" " "	
300.	300 " "	300 " "	1500 " "	300 " "	Do	Do	300 " "	" " "	" " "	
47 8 "	47 8 "	47 8 "	237 8 "	47 8 "	Do	Do	47 8 "	" " "	" " "	
43 " "	43 " "	43 " "	206 " "	41 3 3	Do	Do	43 " "	" " "	" " "	
26 " "	26 " "	26 " "	118 " "	23 9 7	Do	Do	26 " "	" " "	" " "	
36 " "	36 " "	36 " "	180 " "	36 " "	A. Atchotaramiah		36 " "	" " "	" " "	The whole amount of these rents will be paid at once.-
33 " "	33 " "	33 " "	165 " "	33 " "	Do		33 " "	" " "	" " "	
2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	10 " "	2 " "	Do		2 " "	" " "	" " "	
66 " "	66 " "	66 " "	330 " "	66 " "	Do		66 " "	" " "	" " "	
350 " "	355 " "	355 " "	1710 " "	342 " "	B. Audapah	P. Senkarry	355 " "	" " "	" " "	
50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	223 " "	44 9 7	Do	Do	50 " "	" " "	" " "	
105 " "	81 " "	75 " "	366 " "	73 3 2	Do	Do	75 " "	" " "	" " "	
1815 8 "	9631 8 "	9617 8 "	49423 8 "	9884 11 2			9269 " "	431 8 "	780 " "	
							Deduct Increase		431 8 "	
							Nett Decrease		348 8 "	

Vizagapatam, 26th May 1841. E. E. J.W.

/ Signed / W. W Arbuthnot.
Collector

Statement

...nt of Sales by Public Auction for the re... ...th to St. Geo... ...th June 1841.-

Fusly 1251.-

| Fusly 1248. | | Fusly 1246. | Fusly 1247. | | |

7638

Fort St. Geo.e 7th June 1841.

Cr. Statement of Sales by Public Auction for the Beetel farm in the Zillah of Vizagapatam for Fusly 1251.

Pt.	Annual rent.						Fusly 1251.			Comparison between Fuslies 1250 and 51.		
	Fusly 1247.	Fusly 1248.	Fusly 1249.	Fusly 1250.	Total.	Average.	Name of the renter.	Name of the Security.	Amount Proposed.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Beetel farm.												
1 Aumany	2425	1 9	1204	4 11	" "	" "	3629	68	" "			
2. Rented	"	"	896	" "	2460	" "	2900	" "	6256	" "	" "	
Total	2425	1 9	2100	4 11	2460	" "	2900	" "	9885	68	2471	51
A. Paupiah							G. Reddy		2900	" "		

Vizagapatam, 26th May 1841.

/ Signed / W. U. Arbuthnot.
Collector

Proceedings.

Proceedings thereon.- Para 1. The Board of Revenue

(16-17)

are pleased to sanction the acceptance of the Offer for the Attrack farm of Vizagapatam by M. Royapah Vizt. Rupees 32,000 for Fusly 1251 under the explanation afforded by Mr. Arbuthnot in the foregoing letter.-

2. The acceptance of the offer for the Betel Rent Rupees 2,900 is likewise authorized.-

3. Mr. Arbuthnot will report hereafter the aggregate amount of all the offers for the Toddy & Makams in his District.-

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

(18)

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry, No. 1.

In forwarding the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 7th Instant, together with its enclosure, I have the honor to inform you that it appears from the accounts of this Office that two Stamp Papers, one of 8 Rupees and the other of 4 Rupees were sold to Cundurpa Ramasamy on the dates mentioned in the receipt and the value thereof was brought to account in the respective months and that of this amount ten Rupees were ordered by the Sudr Court to be refunded to the appellant in No. 11 of 1840, on the file of the Northern Provincial Court, retaining two Rupees on account of value of Papers required for appeal Petition I have therefore the honor to request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for the disbursement of Rupees 10 ten in my accounts in order to enable me to give credit

* No. 2325 & 6033

credit to the Collector of Masulipatam by whom the above sum has been paid and debited to this Collectorate.

Zillah Rajahmundry.
Collector's Office,
Cocanada 22d May 1841.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith.
Collector

A

To

The Collector in the Zillah of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Receipt from the 3d Judge for the Register of the Northern Provincial Court and to inform you that I have paid to him the sum of Company's Rupees 10-- -- being the difference of value of Stamp Papers sold by the Stamp Agent at Rajahmundry and placed to your debit in my accounts. I therefore request you will have the goodness to afford me a Corresponding credit for

for the same in your accounts:-

Masulipatam,
Coll.rs Cutchery,
7th May 1841.

/Signed/ P. Grant
Collector.

Received from the Collector of Masulipatam the sum of Co.s Rupees 10/ Ten being part of the Amounts authorized by the Sudr Court in their Proceedings of the 29th Ultimo to be refunded to the Appellant on No. 11 of 1840 on the file of the Northern Provincial Court on account of Stamp duty upon two papers No.s 2325 & 6033 of 1840, purchased by him in the name of Pundu:pah Ramasawmy from the agent of the Collector of Rajahmundry at Rajahmundry on the 10th April and 18th August 1840 upon which part of the Appeal Petition was written.-

Paid /Signed/ P. Grant Coll.r

Masulipatam,
No. Provincial Court Register's
Office, 12th April 1841.

By Order of the Court
/Signed/ P. H. Stromborn
3d Judge for Register

Co.s Rs. 10--

/True Copies/
/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector
ordered

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letter.—

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

Sir,

(18. 19)

To the Chief Secty to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for submission to Govern: ment the accompanying letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry and to request under the Circumstances therein explained that sanction may be granted for the disbursement of Rupees (10 ten being a portion of the amount of certain stamped paper refunded by order of the Northern Provincial Court, and that the above sum may be credited in the accounts of the Rajahmundry Collectorate to the Collector of Masulipatam by whom the refund was made.—

22nd May in Con.
7th June 1841

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 7th June 1841

/ Signed / P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following letter.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(20)

From the Collector of Guntoor—
Submitting explanation regarding

Sir,

With reference to the remark of the Board in the Estimate of Extra charges for

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

regarding the charge stated for printing Cowles and Caboleyets.—

fasly 1250. I have the honor to state that the practice hitherto observed has been a payment of 1½ Rupees for writing 100 Cowles and Caboleyets, exclusive of the value of paper with the view to reduce this expense 8000 blank forms of Cowles and Caboleyets were printed at Masulipatam for Rupees 120, at ½ Rupees per hundred, including the value of paper. the conveyance hire of the above is Rupees 1-12-"- making a total charge of Rupees 121-12-"- for 8000 blank forms: of these 5332 Cowles and Caboleyets were distributed to the Ryots this year (fasly 1250) the value including conveyance hire of these amounts to Rupees 81-2-8. There remaining 2668 blank forms the charge on account of which is Rupees 40-9-4 are for distribution in the next year. The Board will perceive that a saving of Rupees 31 in a charge of Rupees 152-12-"- has been effected by this arrangement and with this explanation I trust they will be pleased to obtain the

	Rs. a. p.
Hire for writing 8000 Cowles and Caboleyets at 1½ per % Value of paper including Ink and Quills	120-"-"
	32-12-"
	152-12-"
Cost for printing 8000 forms inclusive of conveyance hire	121-12-"
Difference in favor of printed forms ----	31-"-"

Sanction of Government for Rupees 121-12-"- being the cost for printing 8000 blank forms

7646 Fort St. George 7th June 1841

forms of Cowles and Caboleyets. The indent will be submitted hereafter for the number of Copies required for the next fusly according to the orders of the Board, but I would beg to recommend that, all printed forms required by this Office except Accountant General's Bills and letters of advice and such forms as the Board may furnish, be obtained from the Auciliary Hindoo Literary Society Press at Masulipatam. This is purely a Native Establishment, and the Board will probably concur in the propriety of encouraging its first efforts.—

Guntoor Zillah,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Dinda 4th May 1841.

/ Signed / J. Goldingham
Collector

Under

7646 Fort St. George 7th June 1841

Resolution thereon. Under the explanation

(20. 21)

furnished in the foregoing letter the Board beg to recommend that the Charge of Rupees 121-12-" incurred for printing Cowles and Caboolyets may be sanctioned. The Collector will be required to submit an Indent for all future supplies of these forms to be printed at the Fort St. George Gazette Press.—

Read the following Statements

Statement

7647

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

Statement shewing the Quantity of white Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Calcutta Indent for 1840/41 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 31st May 1841

22

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1840/41	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining under estimated Heaps	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Bm		Bm	Bm	Bm	
1	Eskapully	14 – 44	130,000	"	130,000	130,000	"	
2	Gogoolapully	14 – 39	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	"	
3	Varnie	14 – 37	10,000	"	10,000	10,000	"	
			150,000	"	150,000	150,000	"	

Nellore
Principal Collector's Cutcherry
4th June 1841

E. E. Jr. / Signed / T. V. Stonehouse
Principal Collector

Statement

7648

Fort St. George

7th June 1841

Statement of Salt for Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Murkanum in the District of Southern Division of Arcot up to 29th May 1841.

23

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1841	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store and ready for Exportation	Remarks.
Bengal Maunds.	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	Bengal Maunds	
50,000	"	50,000	30,000.	

Cuddalore Principal Collector's Cutchery
2nd June 1841.

/ Signed / J. Dent
Commissioner.

Murkanum is about 20 miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place a temporary Flag staff will be erected on the Union Jack kept flying from sunrise to sunset.—

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Principal Collector at Cuddalore superscribed "Calcutta Salt Export" and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of the Vessel at Murkanum may be stated.—

It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Principal Collector's Cutchery or to the Tasildar at Murkanum.—

/ Signed / J. Dent
Commissioner

Ordered

7649 Fort St. George 7th June 1841

Order on the two foregoing Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

22-24

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah dated 19th September and entered in Consultation 22nd October 1840.

25

Proceedings thereon. Para 1 The inefficiency of the Cuttoolady Peons in Cuddapah has frequently of late years been brought under the Consideration of the Board of Revenue They have been represented to be an evil, instead of a benefit to the District they have been stated to engage and assist in Plundering and in Robberies instead of proving useful auxiliaries in the suppression of Crime. The Cause of this has been universally ascribed by all authorities to the inequality in and to the insufficiency of the remunerations they receive. The lands they possesses are in many instances though nominally held on favorable Quit rent, in fact more highly taxed than similar lands held by ordinary Ryots under the survey assessment The emoluments of the Body it has been calculated do not exceed one Rupee each per

Explaining the principles on which it is the intention of the Board that the system of Cuttoolady Police in the Cuddapah District should be reformed

7650 Fort St. George 7th June 1841

per mensem hence their disinclination and unwillingness to give their services gratuitously for local purposes of Police and in some instances the fact that they have lapsed into the Character of Common Ryots.-

2 Various other anomalies which affects the general usefulness of the Cuttoolady Peons as a body available for Police duties have been noticed, the Chief of these is their unequal distribution, existing in great numerical force in one Talook while another is altogether bare of this description of force. It has been suggested to remedy this inconvenience to Locate those that were found supernumerary in one Talook in the waste lands of other Villages where their services were wanted on favorable tenure But such a measure is open to much difficulty and it seems to be supposed by those most competent to judge that no reasonable inducement would suffice

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

suffice to lead these men generally to relinquish their present lands and dwellings to seek occupation in a distant Talook.—

3 Admitting these it only remains to consider what means present themselves for improving the Cuttoobady Police as it at present stands, and in what way and at what expense their services may be generally rendered effective for local purposes in the Talooks where they at present abound.—

4 The measures that have been suggested with this view have been three. 1st To extend to the Cuttoobady Enam lands, the remission of 1/3 or 1/4 th of the assessment granted to all Circar land in 1821. 2d To lower the survey assessment in them 3d To make a permanent reduction of the Quit Rent on the Cuttoobady Enams.—

5 The first and second of these propositions have originated on a misapprehension of the tenure under which the Board understand these lands are held as now advised it is believed that though the Cuttoobady Enams are assessed with

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

with a fixed rent the lands were nevertheless surveyed and classified like all circar lands, but that the survey rates of assessment have never been applied to them, nor collected from the Holders. To lower the survey assessment therefore would be merely to make an alteration of account but would neither benefit or injure the Holders while to apply the remission of 1/3 or 1/4 of the survey assessment indiscriminately to all Cuttoobadies would operate partially and unequally In some instances the relief would be insufficient and in others it would be an useless and unprofitable sacrifice of Revenue, as individual Enams will be found on which reduction is not called for.—

But the third remedy

6 seems reasonable and easy to be brought practically into operation. It will not be required to give a general or sweeping remission to every holder of Cuttoobady Enam but the care of the officer engaged in

in a reform of the system will be to dispose of each case on its own merits, and to make a reduction of this Quit rent now payable to an extent that will leave the incumbents such a decent emolument as his station and the nature of the services he is called upon to perform demands. It will in some instances be found that no reduction is necessary, while in others a third or one half remission will not be more than is required, possibly in some small Cranns the total remission of Quit rent will be found indispensable to meet the purposes now in view.—

7 The excessive numbers of this species of local Police may be obviated the Board think by confining the remission of Quit rent which the Board propose to grant to as many only of the whole body as may seem necessary for duty. If any instances are found in which the Quit rent is higher than the survey assessment of the land, after applying the sanctioned

sanctioned remission, it would be proper to abandon the Quit rent to apply the survey rates of assessment, and relieve the Party from all service whatever. A Selection of the best and fittest individuals of the body should be made to whom the remission of Quit rent should be accorded. The rest should be relieved from duties they no longer perform or perform with unwillingness and inefficiency, but none should be left in a worse condition than they are at present, or saddled with a higher payment than they now discharge.—

8 Under the late acting Collectors explanation contained in Mr. Lavies letter of the 19th September last it is not supposed that any difficulty will be experienced in reforming the whole system of Cuttabaddy Police on the principle laid down by the Board in these Proceedings and it is desirable that no time should be lost in entering upon the Task. The Board would wish that one or two Talooks, were the greatest

greatest number of Cuttoobadies prevail, be taken first and that detailed account be prepared in them and submitted for consideration and orders. The accounts should shew the total and individual extent of Cuttoobady land the Quit rent now paid the survey assessment and the reduced rates of Quit rent that is proposed to be assessed thereon the entire number of Cuttoobady Peons in the Talook and the number it is intended to select for general duties with the actual loss of Revenue that must be submitted to. When this is seen a reasonable estimate will easily be made of the expenses of carrying out the scheme —

9 Although the remedy now applied will not directly benefit those Talooks in which the Cuttoobady Peons are not found still Government will gain from the measure because if the Police of the Talooks where these men are congregated should be materially improved, it will be practicable the Board trust to apply a portion of the

the present paid and regular Police to services in the adjoining Talooks whenever extra aid is wanted without any additional expense —

Ordered that the following letter be submitted in consequence —

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(25-26)

To the Chief Secty to Government } Sir,

With reference to Para 9 of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 4th January 1839 as also to the Resolution of Government in the Judicial Department under date the 15th December last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will lay before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the Correspondence with the Officer in charge of the District of Cuddapah noted in the Margin having reference to the state of the Cuttoobady Police in that Province. —

To Cuddapah 16th February 1839
From Do. 26th August in Consultation 28th October —
To Do. 16th July 1840 with Proceedings of the Board 16th July —
From Do. 19th September in Consultation 22nd October —
Proceedings of the Board 7th June 1841

The

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

The Board's instructions of the 7th Instant will shew the principle on which it is proposed to reform this Establishment with a view to promote its efficiency. The further Reports from Cuddapah on this subject will be submitted for approval as soon as received meanwhile the Board trust that the orders furnished to the Acting Collector set forth in the Correspondence now submitted will meet with the approval of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
7th June 1841.—

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)

From the Collr. of Chingleput Requesting Special Sanction for Rs. 419-11-4 being costs incurred on account of fees &c. in the Suit brought by Ellapah Reddy of Mailaveram against Mr. Maclean late Assistant Collector of this District

Sir,

The Board of Revenue will observe on reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin that under date the 3d August 1830 Sanction was granted to conduct at the public expense the defence in a Pauper Suit filed in the Andaulat Court of Chingleput in No. 46 of 1832 by Ellappah Reddy of Mailaveranum against Mr. Maclean in his Official capacity as late Assistant Collector of this District. The Zillah Judge having nonsuited the Plaintiff he preferred a regular appeal in the Provincial Court, the Judges of which have now confirmed the decision of the Zillah Court in favor of Mr. Maclean, ordering the Appellant to pay the costs of both Suits. Copies of the Decisions of the two Courts are submitted for perusal of the Board of Revenue

Para 1.

From Board 28th Jany. 1830
To Do. 9th February —
From Do. 4th March —
To Do. 13th July —
From Do. 9th Augt. —

In No. 4 of 1838
to 9th Novr. 1840

the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

2- The sum of Rupees 419- 14 -
-having been disbursed from my Treasury
on account of fees due to the Pleaders who
conducted the defence on behalf of Mr.
Maclean in the Zillah and Provincial
Courts, as also for the purchase of
the Stamps used on account of the
above suits, I have the honor to request
that the special sanction of Government
may be obtained for the same-

3- Ellapah Reddy having
given security for the costs in the ap-
-peal suit that amount will be re-
-covered by the Courts, and I am in-
-hopes a portion of the costs in the origina
Suit may also be realized as by that de-
-cree the sum of 905 Rupees was award-
-ed to the Plaintiff from the 3d Defendant
a motion has now been filed in the Zillah
Court, requesting a warrant may be issued
to recover the amount of costs of the origi-
-nal Suit from the property of the Plain-
-tiff and 3d Defendant.-

Chingleput District,
Coll.s Circuit Cutcherry,
Pullecarnay 26th May 1841

/ Signed / A. Freese
Coll.r

Decree

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

L. S.

Decree of the Court of Adaulut in the
Zillah of Chingleput in a
Cause No. 46- of 1832.-

Plaintiff
Yellapa Reddy

Defendants.-
1. Mrs. H. Maclean-
2. P. Ramasawmy Iyer
3. Sooraa Narrain Iyer
4. Vencataramier
5. Soobbier
6. Moroogappa Moodely
7. Armooga Moodely
8. Iyasawmy Moodely

The Plaintiff in his Plaint
states that the Village of Mailaveraunum
in the Conjeveram Talook consists
of 12 Pasoona Pungoes, of which Plain-
-tiff has one share. In the year Soobanoo
or Fusly 1233. 32 and odd Cawnies of
Nunjey and 80 and odd Cawnies of Poon-
-jey were cultivated. The 1st Defend-
-ant who was the Assistant Collector
and 2d Defendant the Tahsildar of
Conjeveram Talook confined Plaintiff
and

and another Meerasidar named Calava reddy in the Talook Cutcherry from the 1st to 13th May 1824. and ordered them either to take the said cultivation for Fusly 1238 at a rent of Rupees 582. or else to exe= cute a Razeenamah, stating that they did not wish to retain the Meerasy right. On the 14th May the above men= tioned 2 Defendants had an Ezarah or Moochilkah drawn out to which they made Plaintiff and the other Meeraso= dars affix their signatures, and also took a security deed from Goorroomoorty Reddy another Meerasidar of the Village the 1st and 2d Defendants did not give a counter cowle to Plaintiff or Calava Reddy

In consequence of the want of rain, the whole of the crops in 1233. or Fusly were destroyed. The Board of Re= venue, remitted some of the Ezarah ba= lances and collected the balances from other Ezaradars

Ezaradars by Instalments. The 2d De= fendant however confined Plaintiff Calava Reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy and ordered them to pay the whole amount of rent due, Plaintiff's share of the rent was Rupees 291- of which he paid & Rupees 206-11-3- in the Month of June 1824 to 2d Defendant who did not give a receipt for this sum Calava Reddy also paid the like sum to 2d Defendant

The 7th and 8th Defend= ants applied to 2d Defendant to have the Village sold that they might ob= tain possession of it. The 2d Defend= ant on the 24th July 1824 addressed an Ekrzee to 1st Defendant for permis= sion to attach and sell the Village, and on the 27th July 1st Defendant ordered 2d Defendant to collect the balance of rent due by Plain= tiff Calava Reddy and the security Goorroomoorty Reddy, but not the balance of Advances.

The 2d Defendant

made

made out an account against Plaintiff
shewing a balance of Rupees 21 for
advances and Rupees 84-4-9 balance
of rent, total Rupees 105-4-9 and a
balance of the same amount against
the other renter, total Rupees 210-9-6 on
the 30th July 1824 - the 2d 3d 4th 5th and
6th Defendants sequestered the following
property of Plaintiff, Viz. 22 Head of
cattle valued Rupees 27-8-0-4 Cawnies
Poonjey Maaniums land, 2 Cawnies Poonjey
Maaniums land valued Rupees 40-28 5/8
Cawnies Poonjey waraput 23 Cawnies
Poonjey waraput land belonging to other
Meerasy Inhabitants, totat Poonjey
waraput land 51 5/8 the Village right of
which were valued at Rupees 32 Village
rights or 16 4/32 Cawnies of Punjey land valu
=ed at Rupees 8. Plaintiff's house and
Bullock's stall valued Rupees 28 Plough
and other Articles valued at Rupees
7-0-0 Total Rupees 102-8-0 they
also attached property which they
valued at Rupees 109-3-0 on account of the
balance

balance due by Calava Reddy.

The abovementioned
property with the exception of the cattle
instead of being sold by Public auction
was delivered over to 7th and 8th Defend=
=ants and they were reported to have pur=
=chased it for Rupees 122-9-3 which was
much below its real value, and also below
the value which was put upon it when at=
=tached.

The 2d Defendant after deduct=
=ing the Rupees 122-9-3 made out an ac=
=count, shewing a balance against the
Plaintiff and Calava Reddy of Rupees
88-0-3 and on the 18th Caurthegy year
Saranah or 1st December 1824 attached
16 4/32 Cawnies of waraput Punjey land
belonging to Plaintiff, and 28 5/8 Caw=
=nies of Punjey land also belonging to
Plaintiff and 28 Cawnies belonging to the
other Meerasy Inhabitants, totat
51 5/8 Cawnies and other lands valued
in the Account at Rupees 32-8-0 A
Brick Kiln containing 50,000 Brick
valued at Rx. 30, the 22 Head of cattle belonging
to

to Plaintiff which had before been attached and 16 Head of cattle belonging to Calava Reddy and Goorooswamy Reddy which had also before been attached valued at Rupees 165-8-0 total Rupees 228. that on the very day the above property was attached, it was delivered to 7th Defendant as if it had been brought by him at Public Auction for Rupees 228—0—0.

The total amount duely by Plaintiff and Calava Reddy to the Circar was Rupees 210-9-6. the amount realized by the sale of the land &c. was Rupees 350-9-3, that the balance Rupees 139-15-9- was appropriated by 2d Defendant to his own use.

The Government having remitted some rents and collected others by instalments, the Plaintiff ought also to have been allowed to pay his rent by instalments, that the Plaintiff's property was not sold by Public Auction, neither was it sold for its full value, Plaintiff therefore sues all the Defendants for the recovery

recovery of 16 11/32 Cawnies Mulgoozary Punjey land yielding annually Rupees 132-12-0-28 5/8 Cawnies Punjey annual Rent Rupees 78-13-2 Cawnies Lackrazee Punjey Maurasum land yielding annually Rupees 24-1-0 4 Cawnies Do. Punjey Do. yielding annually Rupees 35—0—0 a House Ground measuring from East to West 60 feet and North to South 65 feet, a thatched house and bullock stall built thereon valued at Rupees 100—0—0 10 Gountahs Lackrazee backyard yielding annually Rupees 10—0—0 10 Gountahs Mal-goozary Do. paying yearly Rupees 2—0 Village rights valued at Rupees 10 per annum, 1 Orange and 3 Tamarind Trees Valued Rupees 10—0—0 9 Puttary Wells and one backyard Well valued at Rupees 500. 22 Head of cattle valued 220 Rupees, Sundry Articles valued at Rupees 70- Amount of Village profits from Saranak to Survadary being 5 years at Rs. 10 per Annum Rupees 50- The produce of the above mentioned land for five years.

years Rupees 2735-10-5, from which deduc
Rupees 500- being the costs of labour, a
balance is Rupees 2235-10-5 a Brick
kiln containing 50,000 Bricks value
at Rupees 100- total Rupees 4,199-13-5.

Filed 27th March 1832.

The 1st Defendant in his
answer states that the Village of Mail
=veram is not Aredee coorco. but
is Pasoongoroo that the Circar granted
3½ Cawnies of Nunjey land and 6 Caw=
=nies Poonjey as Meerasy Inaumium,
of which Plaintiff enjoyed 2 Cawnies
Nunjey and 4 Cawnies Poonjey, Calava
reddy and Gooroomoorty Reddy had
1½ Cawnies Nunjey and 2 Cawnies Poonjey,
that these three Persons are the only Mee=
=rasidars, and that the other Persons
who cultivate in the Village are not
Meerasidars but carry on the cultivation
under Plaintiff, and the other two
Meerasidars, that Plaintiff and
Calava Reddy were not forced to take
the rent, the Crops of Nunjey for fusly
1233 were estimated in the presence of
Plaintiff

Plaintiff and other Inhabitants, and
a Buriz was made out for the Mail=
=warum produce according to the Current
price of the time, and the ready Money
Seerwah for Poonjey and Garden Grounds
were added, Plaintiff and the other two
Meerasidars jointly agreed to take
the rent at Rupees 582 and on the 7th
June Fusly 1233 Plaintiff and Calava
Reddy executed jointly an Ezarah Noo=
=chilekah and Gooroomoorty Reddy be=
=came their Security. The produce was
then delivered over to the Plaintiff and
Calava Reddy which they thrashed &
collected the ready Money and Seerwa
and paid part of the rent to the Circar,
if they were forced to take the
rent why did they pay any portion
of it and apply to have the rest remitted.

This Village is cultivated
by Wells and there were no orders from
the Board of Revenue to make any
remission or to collect the balance
of the rent by instalments, the rent
was.

was taken by Plaintiff and others at the end of the Fusly, the sum collected from this Village from October to May during which time it was in Aumany was Rupees 212-6-6 and the sum paid by the renters in July was Rupees 201-If the Tahsildar did not grant receipts for the payments made by the Renters they should have complained of it.

The balance due by the renters was Rupees 168-9-6 which the Tahsildar was ordered to collect and which he did collect by the sale of the property by Public Auction the Village Mailveeranum will not yield what is mentioned in the Plaint, and the whole of the estimate Accounts of loss made out by Plaintiff is false. Filed 3d November 1832.

The 7th and 8th Defendants in their Answer state that they bought the property fairly and openly at Public Auction, that they have expended a large sum of money and improved the Village on which account Plaintiff has

brought the Suit to obtain possession of the Village. Filed 3d November 1832

The 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants having filed to appear and file Answer, this cause was ordered to be tried ex parte on their behalf.

	Plaintiff's witnesses.	Defendants witnesses
1	Coopiah Pillay.	1. Kareyan.
2	Anna Pillay.	2. Seetaramier.
3	Coomarasawmy Pillay.	3. Annasawmy Moodly
4	Calanda Gramney.	
5	Davaraja Pillay.	4. Coopan channy
6	Mottapah Reddy.	5. Sashagherry Row
7	Taneya Reddy.	6. Ramasawmy Iyer
8	Arundeappa Reddy.	

Plaintiff's Documents.

No. 94. Copy of a Sakeed dated 27th July 1824.

No. 95. Do. of Huftee Account dated 30th July of Jananak.

No. 96. Do. of Sale Account dated 25th Augt 1824.

No. 97. Do. of Valuation Account of Huftee property Dated 18th Caunthegay of the year Jananak.

No. 98. Do. of Sale Account dated Do. Do.

No. 99. An Arzee to the Collector dated 29th November 1825 with Endorsement of the Collector dated 29th December 1825.

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

No. 100. An Arzee to the Board of Revenue dated 8th April 1828. with the Board's Endorsement dated 14th April 1828.

Documents filed by 1st Witness of Plaintiff.

No. 119. A Cadjan list of Shares of cultivable lands of Mailveeraunum Village of Fusly 1215.

No. 120. A Dº list of Passengary shares of the said Village.

Defendant's Documents.

No. 67. A Cadjan Pungoomulay Account of Mailveeraunum of Paracetaby and Promaducha.

No. 68. A Dº Dº Dº of Pingalah

No. 69. A Dº Dº of Kyfeyet of the said Village of the year Siddaurthy

No. 70. A Dº Dº of Pungoomulay of Ramy

No. 71. An Ezarah Meerchibal dated 11th Octr 1825.

No. 72. Dº Dº dated 6th April 1822.

No. 73. Dº Dº Dº Dº

No. 74. Dº Dº Dº

No. 75. Dº Dº dated 12th Dº 1825.

No. 76. Dº Dº Dº

No. 77. Dº Dº Dº

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

No. 78. Cadjan Dowle accounts of Fusly 1233 of Mailveeraunum Village.

No. 79. An Ezarah Moo-chilikah dated 7th June of Fusly 1233.

No. 80. Copy of a Takeed dated 7th June 1824.

No. 81. A Cadjan list of remittance dated 26th June of Fusly 1233.

No. 82. Copy of account of money received on account of Ezarah & Co. of Mailveeraunum Village for Fusly 1233.

No. 83. Dº of Dº of the Produce of the said Village for 20 years from 1210 to 1232 Fuslies.

No. 84. Dº of Dº average produce of Dº for 3 years from 1233 to 1235 Fuslies.

No. 87. A Cadjan sale account dated 13th Avany of Taranah.

No. 88. A Dº receipt dated 17th Avany of Dº

No. 89. A Dº Sale account without date of Fusly 1234.

No. 90. A receipt dated 22nd Kartagy of Taranah.

No. 140. An Arzee dated 3d September 1824.

No. 141. A Takeed dated 11th Dº Dº.

No. 142. A Dº dated 3d November Dº.

Document filed by 5th Witness of Defendants.

No. 138 List of Cowles of Fusly 1233.

The

The Judge having taken into consideration the whole of the proceedings had in this Case passes Judgment thereon.-

The Plaintiffs 1st Witness Coopiah Pillay swears that the Village of Maiveeranum is divided into twelve Shares Plaintiffs share is 16"/32 Cawnies Punjey 28 Cawnies Punjey 5 Goontahs Garden Ground 12 Goontahs house Ground and backyard of this in 1233 Fusly 2"/16 Cawnies Punjey 4½ Cawnies Punjey and 2 Goontahs backyard land were cultivated the produce of which was estimated in the usual manner In 1233 Fusly Plaintiff and Calva reddy rented the Village, some of the produce was thrashed and carried away by the renters. Witness does not know what loss was sustained. In that Fusly 10 or 12 Cawnies Sumbah 7 or 8 Cawnies Naveray 20 or 25 Cawnies Poonjey Natcheny, 4 Cawnies Ground were destroyed for want of rain. From Fasnah to Survadary 1824 to 1829 7th and 8th Defendants cultivated part of the land and the other shareholders cultivated the rest of the land

by

by permission of the 7th and 8th Defendants witness was told that 7th and 8th Defendants had purchased the land by auction produces the accounts for these five years. The gross produce of Taranah is Pagodas 36 3/16, deduct expenses Pagodas 8¾ net produce Pagodas 27 7/16 or Rupees 96-.-6 Partivah gross produce Pagodas 4 2¼, deduct expenses Pagodas 9½, net produce Pagodas 32¾ or Rupees 114-10-. Vayah gross produce Pagodas 4 2, deduct expenses Pagodas 9½, net produce Pagodas 32½ or Rupees 113-12-. Suvadary gross produce Pagodas 4 2 3/16 deduct expenses Pagodas 9½ net produce Pagodas 32"/16 or Rupees 114-6-6. Survadary gross produce Pagodas 4 2⅝ deduct expenses Pagodas 9½ net produce Pagodas 33⅛ or Rupees 115-15-. Plaintiffs share in the Village is worth about Rupees 227-8-. does not know the value of the Brick kiln.- The 12 Shares are thus divided. 5½ Shares are Merasdee Shares and the Meerasdeedars are Plaintiff Calva reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy and their Cousins: 6½ Shares are cultivation Shares, the Merasdeedars are only empowered to sell the waraput lands which compose the 6½ Shares: the holders of these

6½

6½ Shares cannot sell the land, but as the
men have occupied the land for 30 years the
Meerasseedars cannot sell the land without the
consent of the holders; the Maaniem land
is 6 Cawnies Poonjey 3½ Cawnies Kunjey. The
2d Witness Anna Pillay. The Village is div-
-ed into two Meerasseea shares, one belongs
to Plaintiff the other to Calava Reddy and
Goorroo-moorty Reddy. the Cultivation shares
are 12 this is Waraput land. Plaintiff has
one share in the 12 of the Soo-duntrum.
Plaintiffs share is 6 Measures of Paddy
every 10 Cullums and ½ Fanam for every Ca-
-ney Poonjey. knows nothing of the Brick
Kiln. Plaintiff and Calava Reddy rented the
Village for 1233 Fusly and receive the
produce of that Fusly; the Meerasseedars
only are empowered to sell the waraput but
the whole of the Village was sold in con-
-sequence of the balance due by the renters
In april 1833 the Village was rented after
deducting the Survey for Rupees 582 In Bha-
-vah 1814/15 it was rented for Rupees 1015 In

Streemoogah 1813/14 it was rented for Rupees
770. In Taranah 1824/25. 7th and 8th De-
-fendants took the rent for Rupees 338. In
Parthevah 1825/26 they rented it for Rupees
480. The 3d Witness Coomara-swamy Pillay.
this Witness is nominated to prove the value
of Plaintiffs property. he however says
he knows nothing of the value of any of
the property except 4 or 5 wells each valued
at 6. 7 or 8 Pagodas. The 4th Witness Colunda
Gramany Plaintiff was head man of the
Village and had 1/12th of the Waraput lands,
valued Rupees 175. 10 Wells each valued
9 or 10 Pagodas. Mauriem lands Kunjey
and Poonjey. valued at Rupees 560. A House
valued at Rupees 35, the Ground Rupees 17-8.
three Tamarind Trees valued at Rupees 10-8.
Orange Trees valued at one or two Pagodas 1/12
of a tope value not known and Bullocks
valued Rupees 70. The Village of Madveera-
-nam is 10 Malabar Miles from Witnesses Vil-
-lage, the last time he was at the Village
was in the year Siddadry 1799/00 was again
at the Village in Dautoo 1816/17. In Siddardy
1799/00.

1799/00 or Roudry 1800/01 went with the Thasild
to estimate the Crops of the Village, Plaint
then shewed witness the Wells and said th
were his, in Dauto the trees were full gro
The 5th Witness Davaraja Pillay. he is no
minated to prove the value of the Plaintiffs
property. in his Cross examination he admit
that the value of property he had mentione
in his evidence he had heard from the
Curnum Moottia Pillay, that in the Jaranah
Moottiah Pillay told him the property was
worth so much and had been sold for a
trifle. The 6th witness Moottiapa Reddy
states that in Aswiny year Jaranah, the pro
perty of the Plaintiff was sold Viz. 2 Ou
nees Punjey Mauniem. to Cawnees Poonjey
Mauniem, four Ploughs Sundry Articles and
a House were sold. The Punjey land was
sold for 36 Rupees. The Poonjey for
Rupees 30 Ploughs for 2 or 3 Annas each
Sundry Articles for Rupees 1-14-- the House
for Rupees 20---- The actual value of the
property was as follows the Punjey Pagodas
60.

60. The Poonjey 100 Pagodas the house and
backyard Rupees 100 the Sundry Articles and
Ploughs Rupees 14. The trees in the backyard
Pagodas 12. In Cartegy year Jaranah the
property of Plaintiff Calavareddy and
Gooroomoorty Reddy were sold Viz. 59 heads
of Cattle which had been attached before
but not sold, their three shares of waraput
land, this property was sold for Rupees
210 the actual value of the Cattle is Rupees
500 the land was worth Pagodas 180, the
land was attached in the morning and
sold at 10 Oclock in the forenoon of the
same day. 55,000 Bricks the property of
Plaintiff were also attached and sold on the
same day for Rupees 30, the Bricks were
worth 2000 fr. Pagoda or Pagodas 27½. The
3rd Defendant was the Commissioner and
sold the property at both sales. The 8th
Defendant bought all the property sold
at the 1st. Sale with the exception of the
Sundry Articles. the 7th Defendant bought
the property sold at the 2nd Sale, no person
bid against the 7th and 8th Defendants
because

because no one was present to bid against them, the property was not put up at a fixed price, they merely said the property was sold. Calavareddy and the sons of Goorroo-moorty reddy were present at the 1st sale. The Plaintiff Calavareddy and the sons of Goorroomoorty Reddy were present at the 2d Sale. none of these persons made any objections to the mode in which the sales were conducted. The 7th witness Tanaya Reddy states that in Auveny year Taranah 2 Cawnies Kunjey and 2 Cawnies Poonjey land belonging to Plaintiff were sold does not know for what amount, the Kunjey was worth Pagodas 60. the Poonjey Pagodas 100. 2½ Cawnies Kunjey and 2 Cawnies Poonjey belonging to Calava Reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy were also sold, the Kunjey was worth Pagodas 5, the Poonjey Pagodas 50. Plaintiffs house backyard and trees worth Rupees 150. four Ploughs and Sundry articles worth Rupees 10 were also sold. 59 Cattle the property of the Plaintiff Calava Reddy and Goorroomoorty reddy were attached but not sold they were delivered into the charge of 7th Defendant

Defendant. why this was done witness does not know. they were with Rupees 500 or 550. the property was attached on the 10th or 15th Auveny the Plaintiffs Calava Reddy. Goorroomoorty Reddy 3d 5th 6th and 7th Defendants were present when the property of attached five days after the property was sold Plaintiff Calava reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy were present at the sale. they made no objection to the manner in which it was conducted, they merely said the property had been sold before the arrival of the Money which they were expecting. the 7th and 8th Defendants were the purchasers of the property. no one was present at the sale who was able to buy the property, except these two men; the sale commenced at noon and ended at 3 Oclock in the afternoon. the sale had not been tom tomed. The 8th Witness Audyapah Reddy states that in the Cartegy year Taranah 59 heads of Cattle belonging to Plaintiff Calava Reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy were sold the

the Cattle were worth Rupees 480 — — or Rupees 520 the shares of the abovementioned three men were also sold. the shares were worth about Pagodas 60 each. Some bricks were also sold wit:- ness does not know the quantity of Bricks sold neither does he know for what amount any of the above property was sold. the property was attached in the morning and sold in the forenoon of the same day. the sale was not tom tomed, witness was told the Cattle were attached in Aureny. they had been mortgaged to 7th and 8th Defen:- dants and were brought from their Village to the place of sale, witness Calava reddy and Goorroomoorty Reddy are Partners; at the time of sale Plaintiff said the property had been illegally sold.

The Defendants Witnessed.—

The 1st witness Kareyen states the Plaintiff and Calavareddy rented the Village and — Goorroomoorty Reddy was their security. the renters took the produce the property of the

the renters and their security was sold at two different times. knows the sales were tom tomed in the neighbouring Vil:- lages, witness himself went to the neigh:- bouring Villages and gave notice of the sale:- 2nd witness Seetaramiah states that on — the 12th or 13th Aveny year Taranah, the Mauriem lands Reddetwem and — Coopattum three Houses and Sundry articles were sold. these were the pro:- perty of Plaintiffs Calava Reddy and Goorroomoorty reddy. 8th Defendant bought all this property except the Sundry Articles of the latter witness bought two Ploughs; the sale was tom tomed in witnesses Village and notice of the sale was also sent to witnesses Village. witness bid against 8th — Defendant witness offered Rupees 110, did

did not offer more because he did not think the property worth more between and 70 Persons were present at the sale. 3d witness Annasawmy Moodelly gives same account of the sale on the 13th Auveny, as the 2ns witness he states he bid Rupees 113 or 114 for the Lands and Houses, the whole of the property sold realized Rupees 121. In Carteghy Year Taranah Cattle Land and Brick Kiln were sold. 7th Defendant bought this property. does not know if this sale was tom tomed: about 40 or 50 persons were present at the 1st sale about 20 at the 2ns the property sold at the 2ns sale realized Rupees 228. The 4th witness Coopak Charry is the Shroff of the Village. on the 13th Auveney Year Taranah the property of the Plaintiff, Calava

Reddy and Goorumoorty reddy were sold, the sale was tom tomed, two or three days before it took place, about 30 or 40 Persons bid against the 8th Defendant, who bought the property, the property was attached 28 days before the sale, In Carteghy year Taranah the 2d sale took place, this was also tom tomed - Witness was not present when the property sold at the 2nd sale was attached - 40 Cattle were attached with the property sold at the 1st sale but were not sold until the 2nd sale, the 1st sale produced Rupees 120 or 121 - The 2nd sale Rupees 228: for the 1st sale 8th Defendant paid to witness Rupees 115 - 9 - " - of this, witness paid Rupees 115 - 9 - " - into the Talook Cutcherry. the other three annas were paid to the Commissioner as fees. The 7th Defendants Goomastah paid witness. Rupees 159 - 1 - 5. the whole of this money was paid into the Talook Cutcherry - witness does not know why the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841-

the whole of the purchase money was not collected - The 5th witness Sashagherry Row and 6th witness Ramasawmy Iyer, the former of these produces the account of Cowles delivered in 1233 Fusly - in this account it is entered that the rent Cowle was given to Plaintiff on the 7th June, they both swear that this account was written by Narasinga Row the late Head Goomastah who is now dead -

The Plaintiff states that the Village of Mailweraunum is divided into 12 shares, but by the evidence of Plaintiffs own witnesses these are only cultivation not Mirasi shares, and the property right both in the Maunium and waraput lands is vested in Plaintiff Colava reddy and Goviamoorty reddy

His second cause of complaint is that he was forced to take the rent, his own witnesses speak of Plaintiff having the rent, and not one of them even hint that

Fort St. George 7th June 1841-

that any force was used to oblige him to take the rent - Plaintiff in his Plaint does not say that he ever complained of this force used towards him - thirdly he states that no rent Cowle was given to him - the 5th and 6th witnesses have proved by the accounts produced by the former that a Cowle was given to Plaintiff - fourthly that in consequence of the want of rain in 1233 Fusly, the Board of Revenue remitted some of the Cgarah balances and ordered others to be collected by instalments, but instead of this indulgence being granted to Plaintiff he was ordered to pay the whole of the balance due at once, the Judge applied to the Collector of Chingleput for copy of the Board of Revenue orders regarding the remission of the arrears of balance due by the Plaintiff. the Collector sent the Rough draft of a letter from the late Collector Mr. Vincash dated

22nd

22nd September 1825 to the Board of Revenue for the remission of balance due together with a list of the Villages on which balances were due - the Village of Mailweraunum was not included in the list, as the balance had already been collected - the Board of Revenue therefore never gave any orders on the balance due by Plaintiff - Fifthly that the 1st Defendant directed the 2nd Defendant to collect the balance due by Plaintiff for rent, after deducting the Sakary, instead of which 2nd Defendant included Sakary in the account he made out against Plaintiff Plaintiff's Document No 94 is a Sakeed to this effect from the 1st Defendant to 2nd Defendant. the 1st Defendant's Document No 140 is an Argee from the 2nd Defendant to 1st Defendant reporting the amount of the sales, the balance still due for rent, and Sakary, and also reporting. that certain Cattle

Cattle which had been mortgaged had been attached, but not sold in consequence of the mortgagee claiming them, in answer to this Argee the 1st Defendant sends a Sakeed to second Defendant directing the Cattle to be sold and after satisfying the mortgagee's claim to carry the balance to the Credit of the Mortgager - i-e- the Plaintiff, and to collect the whole amount due by Plaintiff. in consequence of this order the warapoot lands were attached and sold for the recovery of the balance of rent and Sakary due by Plaintiff - The Plaintiff states that when the property was attached it was valued at much below its real worth; but the account of property first attached is signed by Plaintiff as the Village moonsiff, and if the property had not been properly estimated why did he sign the account? he also states that the property was not sold by Public Auction - The Plaintiffs

Fort St George 7th June 1841-

Plaintiffs witnesses declare that the property was not sold by public auction and that it was sold much below its real value. The Defendants witnesses declare it was sold by Public auction. The Plaintiff appears to have made no complaint whatever on either of these points until the 29th November 1825 / vide Plaintiffs document No 99/ more than a twelve months after the 1st sale, therefore the Judge is inclined to give credit to what the Defendants witnesses assert; viz: that it was sold by Public auction: with regard to the property being sold for less than its real value, it is to be remarked that the value of any article is that for which it will sell, the estimate of the value of this property as given by Plaintiffs witnesses is certainly much higher than what it was estimated at in the attach account, and what it afterwards sold for, but this property was sold part of it in the midst

Fort St George 7th June 1841-

of a severe famine, and the rest after the monsoon had set in, but before the people had time to recover from the losses sustained during the Famine, and therefore it is not to be supposed that it would at such a time realize as much as it would have done, had it been sold in a more prosperous season. From the Proceedings in this case the Judge is of opinion that the whole of the Village was liable to be sold for the rent &c due by Plaintiff and Calava reddy, that no orders had been received from the Board either to remit this balance or collect it by instalments, that 2nd Defendant was from the orders he had received, fully justified in collecting the balance of rent, and Takavy, that the balance as shewn by the accounts as due was the correct balance, that the property was sold at Public auction, and that what it realized was

Fort St. George 7th June 1841-

was the full value which could have been obtained for it- The 1st sale, after deducting the Commissioners fees, realized Rupees 115-0-0. The 2nd realized, after deducting Rupees 13-6-0 for Commissioners fees, Rupees 214-10-0 from this, Rupees 55-8-7- was deducted being the amount due to the mortgagees on account of the Cattle- the amount carried to the renters Credit for 2nd Sale was Rupees 159-1-5- total amount of Sales Rupees 274-7-5, by Plaintiff Document it appears that while the Villay was in aumany, Rupees 226-6-6 was collected and Rupees 201 was paid by Plaintiff at different dates, total collected from the Village Rupees 701-13-11 the amount to be paid by Plaintiff Calava Reddy, and Goroomoorty Reddy is Rupees 582-0-0 leaving a balance of Rupees 119-13-11 of this Rupees 105-12-11 were carried to the credit of balance

due

Fort St. George 7th June 1841-

due for Takavy, maramut, and moturfah, leaving a balance of Rupees 14 due to the renters and their security- the 3rd Defendant was the Commissioner who attached and sold the property, property was attached first on the 30th July 1824 and sold on the 25th August 1824. other property was attached on the 1st December 1824 and sold that very day. in both of these instances the property was sold prior to the expiration of 30 days, with the exception of the Cattle which were attached on 30th July 1824 and not sold until the December following by Section XXI. Regulation XXVIII of 1802, it is enacted that persons vested with the power of distraint who may sell property in any other mode than that prescribed by the Regulations, such person shall forfeit to the defaulter the arrear due by him and also make good to him the value of the property sold- The 3rd Defendant being the Commissioner and

Fort St George 7th June 1841.

and having the power of distraining and selling the property, and he having sold the property prior to the expiration of thirty days from the attachment, acted contrary to the Regulations. He therefore has rendered himself liable to the penalty for so doing. The total amount claimed by Plaintiff is Rupees 4199-13-5. The value of Cattle the produce of the land for five years from the year Saranah he estimates at Rupees 2,505-10-5. the value of the property sold he estimates at Rupees 1694-3-" The amount of Rent due by Plaintiff according to his document No 95 is Rupees 105-4-9. The Judge therefore decrees that Plaintiff recover from 3d Defendant Rupees 105-4-9. the amount of arrear due by him and also Rupees 800 as the value of the property, also that Plaintiff pay his costs incurred by the 1st 2nd 4th 5th 6th 7th and 8th Defendants, that 3d Defendant pay his own and Plaintiff's costs, as hereunder

Fort St George 7th June 1841.

hereunder specified.—

Statement of Costs of Suit payable by the Parties.

Costs incurred by Plaintiff as Pauper.

Stamp duty on institution under Section XIII Regulation XIII of A. D. 1816 — 150-"-"

Fees of his Vakeels Venatachella Naick and Ananda Row on Rupees 4199-13-5. being the amount claimed according to clause 1st Section XXV Regulation XIV of A. D. 1816 at 5 per cent Engl. one quarter to Venatachela Naik — 52-7-9.

Three quarters to Ananda Row 157-7-5. 209-15-2.

Stamp duty on Eight Exhibits filed by Plaintiff — 8-"-"

Value of other Stamps — 35-12-"

Batta to be paid to the Plaintiff's witnesses is Rupees — 32-"-3.

Do. paid to them from the Court — 8-9-6. 40-9-9.

This sum to be made good by 3d Defendant — 444-4-11.

Costs incurred by 1st Defendant.

Fees of his Vakeel M. Ranga Row as detailed above — 209-15-2.

Stamp duty on 21 Exhibits filed by him — 21-"-"

Carried forward — 230-15-2 444-4-11

7695. Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

	Rs	a	o	Rs.	"
Brought forward	230	15	2	444	4
Value of other stamps used by the 1st Defendant				12	8
Batta to Peons who served summons on the 1st Defendants witnesses				1	6
Do. to the said witnesses				10	5
				255	2

Costs incurred by 7th and 8th Defendants.

Fees of 7th and 8th Defendants Vakeel Iyasawmy Iyer as detailed above	209	15	2		
Stamp duty on 4 Exhibits filed by them	4	"	"		
Value of other stamps used by them				10	"
Batta to Peons who served summons on their witnesses				1	10
Do. to the said witnesses				4	4
				485	"

This sum to be made good to them by Plaintiff when property may be found in his possession.

Total in all costs of Suit Rs. 929 5 7

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court Chingleput this twelfth day of December in the year of our Lord one Thousand Eight hundred and thirty three.

(Signed) J. Horsley.
Judge.

The Translate of this Decree was certified and attested on the 20th November 1834 from which date the time of appeal extends to 20th February 1835.

(Signed) J. Horsley.

7696 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Centre Division

Chingleput Zillah Court. C. S. No. 46 of 1832.
Provincial Court. A. S. No. 4 of 1838.

Appellant.	Respondents.
Yellapah Reddy.	1. A. Maclean Esqre
(Signed) M. Lewin.	2. P. Ramasamy Iyer.
Acg. 1st Judge.	3. Soorea Narrain Iyer.
	4. Venkataramanier
	5. Soobhier.
(L.S.)	6. Moorogappa Moodely.
	7. Juroomooga Moodely
	8. Iyahsawmy Moodely
	First Respondents.
	Government Vakeel
	D. Stranevasa Chetty

Decree of the Provincial Court.

The Plaintiff states that himself, Kalavareddy and Gooroomoorty are merasdars of the Village of Malaveera= =num, that in the famine which happen= =ed in the year Swabanoo, the 2nd Defen= =dant obtained from them by force an Ejara Moochelleca for 582 Rupees for the Village, Gooroomoorty being the security, and

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

and subsequently made out an arrear against them of 210-9-6. and contrary to the order of the Board of Revenue which requires that remission of Revenue should be made for losses by drought, caused the first Defendant to issue a Takeed for the collection of the said arrear.-

Plaintiff states that pursuant to the orders of the Board of Revenue many remissions were made to cover losses by drought, while in other cases arrears were allowed to be liquidated by instalments, that of the amount of the rent, 413-6-6. were paid by himself and Kalavareddy to the 2d Defendant (who passed no receipt to them for the amount.) leaving a balance due of 168-9-6. that he and the reddy petitioned the 1st Defendant for a remission of the balance without effect, that at the instigation of the 7th and 8th Defendants, who aimed at getting possession the Meras, and 2d Defendant addressed the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

the 1st with the view to get the 1st to order the sale of it and obtained a takeed from the latter to collect the arrear of Revenue without the Tuccavy which had been advanced.

That the 2d Defendant Contrary to the aforesaid order made out an account shewing a balance of 168-9-6 of which his, Plaintiff's, share is 84-4-9. adding to the arrear 21 Rupees on account of Tuccavy 105-4-9. that for this sum the 2d 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants leaguing together attached his, Plaintiff's, estate consisting of lands, houses, and Cattle as specified in the plaint.-

That he, Plaintiff, presented petitions to the 1st Defendant stating that valuable property had been attached for debts of insignificance, and praying that a takeed might be sent to the 2d Defendant to order him to collect the money by instalments and suspend the sale.

That the 3d 4th 5th and 6th Defendants did not put up the property openly to sale (under the influence of the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

the 2d Defendant) and that the latter under pretence that a sale had taken place, placed it, excepting the Cattle, in possession of the 7th and 8th Defendants for the sum of 122-9-3-

That after deducting this sum the 2d Defendant made out another balance of 88 Rupees, odd, and in recovery of it attached other real and personal property, and the 2nd 3d 4th 5th & 6th Defendants sold the same in an irregular manner to the 7th Defendant for 228 Rupees to whom they delivered the property on the very day of its attachment.-

That the 2d Defendant having sold the property as aforestated for 350 Rupees. he the 2d Defendant credited to us only 210-9-6 & appropriated the remainder to his own uses.-

Plaintiff states that in the year 1825 he petitioned the Collector of the day & represented all his grievances, that meeting with no redress, he at a subsequent

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

subsequent period petitioned Mr. Brooke Cunliffe who referred him to the Civil Courts, that he afterwards petitioned the Board of Revenue who directed the Collector, Mr. Vincent to report on the matter, that the latter endorsed on the Petition that the sale had taken place in satisfaction of an arrear of Revenue, and that if the Petitioner had been arraigned by the Commissioner he had his action against him. that subsequently he again petitioned the Board of Revenue who having passed an order in concurrence with one last mentioned he has instituted this suit.-

Plaintiff concludes his plaint with referring to the orders of remission on account of the Season and to his property having been illegally sold and the succeeding balance added to the arrear, and prays that certain real and personal property may be made good to him, including value of produce of bricks. total 4,199-13- Rupees-

In answer to the suit the 1st Defendant states that the Plaintiff

was

was not forced into the rent as he alleges that he and the other two Incrajedars agreed for the sum, 582 Rupees, before mentioned, Goomoorty one of them passing a bond as security --

That the Plaintiff was engaged in all the concerns of the rent but failed in paying his full dues to the Circar, that if the Muchilika had been extorted Plaintiff would not have mixed himself up with the management of the rent nor pay a part of it --

The Statement of the Plaintiff that during the famine the Crops were destroyed and that in consequence the Collector and Board of Revenue made remissions is not applicable in the present case, as the Village is well irrigated - The Carrey was settled upon the Cowl in the presence of the Plaintiff and other inhabitants, and the rent was granted at the close, not the commencement, of the season --

There were no orders passed either that the balance of rent should be

be collected or excused by the Board of Revenue -

Defendant states that the renters paid 4 13-6-6 of the rent and if receipts had been demanded for the several items specified they would not have been withheld, but if they had been, he, Plaintiff, should have complained --

Defendant states that after deducting the above from the total amount due, there remained a balance of 168-9-6 that the Tahsildar in consequence addressed a letter to the Collector under date the 24th July 1824 in which he stated that the Plaintiff refused to pay the arrear and balance of Tuccavy when an order was addressed to him, 29th of the same month, directing him to attach so much as would satisfy the sum, minus the advance, and forward the account to the Commissioner, that the Tasildar on the 3rd September of the same year addressed an urzee stating that he had put up to public sale certain real and personal property which not proving sufficient

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

sufficient, he suggested that other proper
should be put to sale, when an order
was sent on the 15th of the same month
in accordance.

The Defendants who are
concerned must give answer in matters
concerning the imputed illegal sale, the
1st Defendant states that no complaint
was made to him at the time concerning
the points of illegal sale and of proper
of large amount having been put to sale
for a trifling value.-

The Plaintiffs having failed
in their engagements were responsible
under the Regulations both for the rent
and the advance which were recover-
-able in the same manner. The suit
is false, the Village does not yield
the value alleged and the Plaintiff has
sustained no loss at the hands of the
1st Defendant.-

The 7th and 8th Defendants in
answer to the above suit state that
the Plaintiff has fully admitted having

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

taken the rent - that a balance arose which
led to the sequestration of his estate, that
the Statement of their collusion with the
2nd Defendant is wholly untrue.-

Defendants further state
that due notice was given of the intended
sale in satisfaction of the arrear and the
Plaintiff was fully aware of it.-

The 7th Defendant held
a Mortgage on a part of the property and
on a letter being addressed to the 1st De-
-fendant on the occasion of the sale, credit
was allowed him for it, that the 8th De-
fendant purchased certain of the property
at the auction and was placed in possession
of it by the 3rd Defendant, the Commissioner,
that at a subsequent sale the 7th Defendant
purchased certain property and was in
like manner placed in possession by the
Commissioner, the 3rd Defendant, and they
have since enjoyed the property possess-
-ing the usual documents.-

Defendants say that there

were

Fort St. George 9th June 1841

were 50,000 Bricks not 50,000. and that since the sale they, defendants, have expended large sums in the irrigation and improvement of the Village, that in order to dispossess himself of these improvements, the Plaintiff has filed this false suit.-

The reply contains nothing more than a reiteration of matter contained in the Plaint.-

The suit was tried exparte in regard to the 2nd 3rd 4th and 6th Defendants.

On Plaintiff's side there were examined the following witnesses whose evidence as well as of the Defendants witnesses is given in detail in the Judge's decree.-

1 Cooppia Pillay.
2 Coomaradawmy Pillay
3 Colandagramany.
4 Davaraja Pillay
5 Moottapah Reddy
6 Tanga Reddy
7 Audyappa Reddy

Annah Pillay witness for both parties.

On the side of the Defendants the

Fort St. George 9th June 1841.

the following witnesses were examined.-

1 Kairyen
2 Seetarameir.
3 Annahcawmy Moodely.
4 Cooppan Chauree.
5 Sashagherry Row.
6 Ramasawmy Iyer.

Plaintiff filed the following documents.-

94 Copy of a Sakeed 27th July 1824.
95 Do. Jugtee amount 30th July of Fairnah.
96. Copy of Sale account 25th August 1824.
97. Do. Valuation of property.
98. Do. Sale account.
99. Urzee to the Collector dated 29th November 1825 with endorsement 2nd December 1825.
100. Do. to the Board of Revenue 8th April 1828 with endorsement 14th April 1828.

119 A Cadjan list of shares on the Village July 1215 } presented by Plaintiff 1st witness.
120 List of Do. - - - - - - - - - 3

Defendants documents were as follows:

67. A. Pungoomaley account of the Village Vca.
68 A. Do.
69. A. Do.
70. A. Do.
71. An Ezara Moochilica 11th October 1820.-

An

Fort St. George 7th June 1841-

72. An Ejara Moochilieah 6th april 1822.
73. Do. ——— Do. ——— Do.
74. Do. ——— Do.
75. Do. ——— Do.
76. Do. ——— Do.
77. Do. ——— Do.
78. Acudjan Dowl Account of Fusly 1233 of the Mailuerannum Village.
79. An Ejara Moochelica 7th June Fusly 12
80. Copy of Takeed 7th June 1824-
81. Acudjan list of remittance 28th June 12
82. Accounts of Ejarah payments for 1233
83. An account for 20 years of the produce
84. Do. of average produce for 3 years-
87. Acudjan sale account dated 13th January
of Iaranah.
88. Do. a receipt 17th Do.
89. Do. Do. sale account.
90. Do. receipt.
140. An Urzee 3rd September 1824.
141. Takeed 11th Do.
142. Do. Do. presented by 5th witness of
138. A list of Cowls of Fusly 1233.
Defendants

The Zillah Judge of Chingle-
put before whom the original suit was filed
non suited the Plaintiff, as regards all the
Defendants

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Defendants but the 3d and against him (3d)
a decree was passed that he should pay to the
Plaintiff" The Judge therefore decree that Plaintiff
"recover from 3d Defendant Rupees 105-4-9 the amount
"of arrear due by him and also Rupees 800 as the value
"of the property also that Plaintiff pay the Costs
"incurred by the 1st 2nd 4th 5th 6th 7th and 8th Defendants
"that 3d Defendant pay his own and Plaintiffs
"Costs as hereunder specified-

The grounds of the award are
that the 3d Defendant the Commissioner for
the sale of sequestered property conducted the
sale illegally-

The 3d Defendant was the
Commissioner who attached and sold the pro-
perty was attached first on the 30th July 1824
sold on the 25th August 1824, other property was
attached on the 1st December 1824 and was
sold that very day, in both of these in-
stances the property was sold prior to
the expiration of 30 days with the excep-
tion of the cattle, which were attached
on

Fort S.t George 7.th June 1841

on the 30.th July 1824, and not sold till the December following, by Section XXI Regulation XXVIII of 1802 it is inacted "that persons vested "with the power of distraint who may sell property in "any other mode than that prescribed by the "Regulations, such person shall forfeit to the "defaulter the arrears due by him, and also make "good to him the value of the property sold &c.a.

In regard to other points of the suit the Zillah Judge says Plaintiffs own witnesses speak of "Plaintiff having the "rent and not one of them ever hinted that any "force was used to oblige him to take the rent "&c.a." The 5.th and 6.th witnesses have proved "by the accounts produced by the former that "a Cowl was given to the Plaintiff &c.a.

The Zillah Judge in his decree after referring to a letter (accompanied by a list of Villages) from the Collector to the Board of Revenue shewing the balance due from certain Villages of his District states that the Village in question was not

Fort S.t George 7.th June 1841

not the list above, "as the balance had already "been collected, the Board of Revenue therefore "never gave any orders on the balance due by "the Plaintiff &c.a."

In regard to the allegation of the Plaintiff, "that the 1.st Defendant directed "the 2.nd Defendant to collect the balance due "by Plaintiff for rent after deducting the "tuccavy instead of which 2.nd Defendant in- "cluded the tuccavy in the account" The Decree states Plaintiffs Document "N.o 94 is a takeed "to this effect from the 1.st Defendant to "the 2.nd Defendant" &c.a.

In regard to the allegation that the property was under valued, the Decree states. "But the account of property "first attached is signed by the Plaintiff as "the Village Moonsiff, and if the property had "not been properly estimated why did he "sign the Account, he also states that the "property was not sold by public auction. "The Plaintiffs witnesses declare the property "was not sold by public Auction and

that

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

"that it was sold much below its real value
"The Defendants witnesses declare it was
"sold by public auction. The Plaintiff
"appears to have made no complaint whatever
"on either of these points until the 29th November
"1825 (Vide Plaintiff's document 99) more
"than a twelve months after the 1st sale
"therefore the Judge is inclined to give Credit to
"what the defendants witnesses assert Viz. that
"it was sold by public auction."

In regard to the low value obtained for the property, the Zillah Judge observes, "the value of any article is that for which it will sell," "that this pro-
"perty was sold part of it in the midst
"of a severe famine and the rest after the
"Monsoon had set in, but before the people
"had time to recover from the losses sustain-
"ed during the famine &c."

The Judge states further "that he considered it established "that no orders had been received from the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

"Board of Revenue either to remit this
"balance or to collect it by instalments, that
"2nd Defendant was from the orders he had
"received fully justified in collecting the
"balance of rent and tuccavy, that the ba-
"lance as shewn by the accounts as due
"was the correct balance that the property was
"sold at public auction and that what it rea-
"lized was the full value which could have
"been obtained for it."

The decree here enters into an account of the balance against the renters shews the sum collected and a result of 14 Rupees to be credited to the renters.—

The Plaintiff appealed — against the foregoing decree, he states that the Zillah Judge admitted that property of large Amount had been sold at an auction held irregularly and he ought in consequence to have caused the 7th and 8th defendants to deliver it up to him, that his passing a decree against the 3rd Defendant a Man of no substance

is

is no benefit to him.

Appellant again repeats the Cowl not having been granted to him and to the order of the Board of Revenue requiring that the balance should have been remitted to him, that the Statements of the Zillah Judge that this Village is not referred to in the orders is incorrect.

Appellant denies that the list of property attached had his signature to it. and states that the assertion in the decree that the sale was public is inconsistent with the admission that it took place contrary to the required period of publication before hand.

Appellant concludes with praying that the property may be awarded to him the sale being illegal and on the grounds that the general order issued in regard to the balances applied to him in common with others whose crops had been destroyed.

Respondent (1st) in answer to the above asserts that the Cowl has

been distinctly proved to have been given to the Plaintiff. That the rent was fixed on the Cowl and the produce collected by the Plaintiff, that the allegation of withered Crops is not entitled to consideration as the rent was fixed independent of them.

In regard to the 1st point in the Suit Viz. the rent being forced upon the Plaintiff, it is not only not proved, but his own evidence shews the contrary to be the fact. as to the Cowle that it was not given. I think the contrary proved in evidence, in short no doubt whatever can exist as to the rent. All the rest of the case grows out of it, but admitting for the sake of argument that the Cowle was not granted, it may be safely assumed from the premises that the Plaintiff consented "to dispense with the use of it" having entered as he clearly did into the Moochilica it was his Plaintiffs. business to ask for the Cowl and to suppose that it would have

been

been refused, is absurd, there could be no object in refusing it.

The 30 days referred to in the Zillah Judge's decree as the interval between the attachment and sale is drawn from the 2nd Clause, 4th Section 5th Regulation 1822, which requires that before the sale take place, the Collectors leave shall be obtained, the interval being allowed that the party where property is distrained may have the opportunity of stopping the sale by an appeal to the Collector.

The proceedings shew that both sales were illegally conducted the 1st. before the expiration of 30 days from the sequestration. the 2nd sale appears to have immediately followed the sequestration. Under these facts it cannot, as stated in the decree of the lower Court, be said that either sale was a "public Auction" in the legal sense tho' it was a question

of fact decidable on the evidence before the Court how far the Auction was public, in the ordinary acceptation of the term and how far the neglect of legal forms worked an injury to the Plaintiff.

The latter must always be a difficult point of proof, but less perhaps in the present case than in most others. The non publication of an intended Auction would in some cases be an utter annihilation of the value of the property. But this was not likely to have happened in the present case from the condition of the parties, they being renters and Merasdars of the Village. The evidence of the Plaintiff shews that at each of the sales one or other of the parties was presented and that they made no objection to the sale. while other evidence shews that a considerable number of persons were present when the sale took place.

When it is considered that a long interval took place between

ther

the 1st and 2d sale and that a year and more elapsed before the Plaintiff took mea- to set aside the sales, it is impossible t believe whatever illegality there was in the Commissioner's proceedings that it work any great injury to the property.

The Plaintiff in his repl alleges that he made representations to the 1st Defendant against the sale but obtained no redress. it is impossible to believe this in the absence of documents proof. his first urgee to the Collector (before the Court) is dated 29th Novembe 1825; a year subsequent to the injury he complains of. His first appeal to the Board of Revenue, is dated 8th April 1828 and bears an endorsement 14th April 1828 referring Petitioner to the Civ Court. the endorsement on the Petition the Collector, dated 29th December 1825 to the same effect.

No one who has had experi- ence of the Board of Revenue would

believe that a timely appeal to them in the case setforth by the Plaintiff if true in its circumstances would have been ineffectual and it is not easy to believe that an Assistant Collector or a Collector would have allowed a sale to stand firm which besides being illegally conducted had brought in- -jury to the property sold. It is quite in- -credible that the Plaintiff should have been unable to obtain redress had he taken the proper measures for obtaining it - The sale of the Plaintiffs property may have been a harsh proceeding, but ow that the Court is not called upon to pass Judgment - there was an arrear of Revenue, due and the property was li- liable for it and the Collection of it was ordered by the 1st Defendant -

And this as the do- -ments before the Court prove was done in the usual manner by a takeed addressed to the 2nd Defendant, the responsibility of the sale being in the Commissioner

the

the 3.d Defendant who neither answerable to the suit nor has since appealed against the decision passed against him.

As to the letter referred to by the Plaintiff as conveying a general authority for a remission of balances, it could avail the Plaintiff nothing, it was incumbent on him to shew some specific authority for the remission of the balance due from him.

Regarding the large amount sued for, it carries with it an absurdity too palpable for belief if the property were capable of yielding any such fruits, there could have been no difficulty in raising an Amount upon it. whether in a time of drought or otherwise sufficient for the trifling arrear on account of which it was sold. this argument is quite consistent with the Statements of the 1.st 2.d and 3.d witnesses of the defendant who say they bid at the sale. and with other evidence on the

part of the Plaintiff to the effect that the objection made at the sale was that it was closed before Plaintiff had had an opportunity of getting money to pay the arrear.

It is utterly impossible to believe that the Plaintiff would have had any difficulty in saving his property had he immediately after the sale carried the Amount of the arrear to the Collector From a general review of the case it appears to me that the Plaintiff has not been acting bona fide, the legal objection to the Cowle and the objection that the Muchilka was extorted, are not the objections of an honest man. In the objection regarding the interval before the sale. he has the law on his side, but as observed in a preceding part of this decree, it may be much doubted whether he has any claims on the score of real justice. He allowed the illegal sale to have effect for a whole year before taking any effectual

effectual measure to set it aside & then allowed several years to elapse by bringing his suit.

It is evident he looks more for a result in the law of the case than to the justice of his cause.

The Decree however passed against the 3d Defendant has not been appealed against, and therefore the Court must confirm the award. but no argument adverse to the interest of the other defendants is to be drawn from the facts on which the award is founded. It does not appear that they acted contrary to the law, and it is idle to suppose that the 1st Defendant who ordered the sale could have had any interest, or feel any desire to do injustice to the Plaintiff. The imputed collusion between the 2d and 7th and 8th Defendants is neither proved nor credible. but admitting it to have existed, the Plaintiff had the means within his reach of

defeating

defeating their purpose by an immediate application to the Board of Revenue or to the Collector of the District. The Plaintiff allowed matters to proceed in a wrong course till a difficulty should have formed in setting them right and has come forward to seek the restoration of property which lapse of time, and the ordinary mutations consequent thereon have rendered impossible.

For the foregoing reasons I am of opinion that the decree of the Zillah Judge should be affirmed, the petitioner paying the Costs of appeal.

Decree.—

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Decree of the Zillah Judge confirmed accordingly.

Statement of Costs of suit payable by the appellant.

Fees of the 1st Respondents Vakeel — D. Streenevasa Charry on Rs 1499-13-5 being the amount appealed for, are according to Clause first, Section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816, at 5 per cent	Rs. a P. 200-15-2	Rs.
Value of Stamped papers used by the Appellant. 1 Roll for appeal petition substituted for institution fee under Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816.	180--	
5 Rolls for Do.	Do. 20--	
1 Do. for security bond	1--	
Value of Stamped papers used by the 1st Respondent. 2 Rolls for appeal Answer	8--	388--
Amount of Costs incurred by the parties in the Zillah Court		929-8
Total Rupees		1318-4

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 9th day of November in the year of Our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty.

This is to certify that the decree is signed and sealed this 16th day of December 1840 and that the appeal time will expire on the 15th March 1841.

By order of the Court
(Signed) M. B. Hawkins
Register

(Signed) M. B. Hawkins
Register

Compd. J. R.

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Ordered that the foregoing Letter be submitted together with the following

Ro. resp.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

27-28

To The Chief Secy to Govt — Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying Letter from Mr. Freese Collector of Chingleput with its enclosures and to request that under the circumstances stated, sanction may be granted for the sums disbursed from the Treasury of that District on account of the Original Suit No. 46 of 1832 in the Adawlut Court of Chingleput and in the Centre Provincial Court at Chittoor Viz.t Rupees

419—14—4.

2. The Suit it will be observed was permitted to be defended at the cost of Government

27th May in Cons.
7th June 1841

In Cons. 9th Sept 1830.

Government in your Letter of the 3d August 1830 and the Right Honorable the Governor in Council will perceive that it is expected the Costs of Suites will ultimately be recovered from Parties in the cause.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
7th June 1841.

/Signed/ P. B. Smollett
Secretary.

Read the following Letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

29

From the Collector of Chingleput

I have the honor to submit the accompanying Statement

of Mostahs attached for arrears of Revenue due on account of the Current Fusly and to request the Board will grant sanction for the establishments entertained by me for that purpose

Chingleput District,
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry,
Pallecarnay, 26th May 1841.

/Signed/ A. Trees
Collector

Statement

7727

Fort St. Geo

A. Statement of Estates attached

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates.	Names of the Zemindars or Proprietors	Number of Villages in the Zemindary or Estate	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached	Date of the attachment	Remarks	Amount of Kist due up to 30th April 1841	Remarks
1	2	3	11	12	13	4	5
1 Sonnapagoontah	Bhovanaghery Soobraya Moodely	4	8 "	15 3 21st May 1841		13 1	497 " "
2 Vepputtoor	Dulavadoo Chingulsoya Pillay	2	8 "	" 15 3 21st May 1841		2 1	1048 " "
3 Yetchoor	Mambakum Kristniengar	3	8 "	" 8 5 21st Do.		15 2	1545 " " 1
4 Pervaily	Vencatareey and Lutchmana Reddy	1	4 "	" 15 " 21st Do.		6 6	1250 " "
5 Kulacaundy	Heirs of the late Maureepoolar Vencatow	2				8 "	187 " "
		3				14 6	1437 " "
6 Nareyoor	Caupoolar Kristnareddy, Codoo Ramasawmy Reddy and Cota Raghava Reddy	1	5 "	15 " 21st Do.		15 5	273 " "
						1 5	39 " "
						9 4	144 " "
						10 10	180 " "
						17 10	313 " "
						13 8	676 " "
						2 1	898 " "
			5	4 5 3 21st Do.		13 6	9454 " "

Resumed Distr

The

7th June 1841

in the Zillah of Chingleput for Fusly 1250.

Permanent Summah of the Entire Zemindary or Estate.	Amount of Rent due up to April 1841.	Amount Collected.	Balance	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to employed for the attachment
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	
1,565 11 5	1,370 " "	650 " "	720 " "	4	1,565 11 5	1 Sumeenah and Mooshurruff 1 Tarapdar 2 Peons at 3 Rupees each Sadeewared
367 12 9	323 " "	139 " "	184 " "	2	367 12 9	
1,933 8 2	1,693 " "	789 " "	904 " "	6	1,933 8 2	
2,557 12 2	2,239 " "	955 7 8	1,283 8 4	3	2,557 12 2	1 Sumeenah & Mooshurruff 2 Peons at 3 Rs. each Sadeewared
488 4 10	427 " "	" " "	427 " "	1	488 4 10	1 Sumeenah and Mooshurruff 1 Peon Sadeewared
177 11 3	155 " "	63 8 "	91 8 "	2	177 11 3	
666 . 1	582 " "	63 8 "	578 8 "	3	666 . 1	1 Sumeenah & Mooshurruff Sadeewared
127 12 6	111 " "	41 9 "	69 8 "	1	127 12 6	

7727

A

Fort St. George

7th June 1841.

Names of the Zemindaries Estates.	Amount Collected.	Balance.	Number of the Villages proposed to be attached	Actual or Estimated annual value of the portion proposed to be attached	Establishment proposed to be employed for the attachment	Percentage of the Establishment to the Estimated annual value of the portion of the Estate attached.	Date of the attachment	Remarks
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Rs A P	Rs A P		Rs A P	Rs A P			
1								
	195 10 "	301 6 "	1	567 13 1				
1 Sonnapagoon	650 " "	398 " "	1	1197 2 "				
2 Vepputtoor	845 10 "	699 6 "	2	1764 15 2				
	" " "	1250 " "	6	1,428 6 6				
	13 " "	174 " "	1	212 8 "				
	13 " "	1424 " "	7	1,640 14 6				
3 Yetchoor	116 " 3	156 15 9	1	311 15 5				
4 Perevaily	17 " "	22 " "	1	44 1 5				
	60 " 9	83 15 3	1	165 9 4				
5 Keelacaur	13 " "	167 " "	1	205 10 10				
	133 15 11	179 " 1	1	357 7 10				
	224 " 8	451 15 4	4	717 2 13 5				
	381 7 5	516 8 7	1	1,027 2 1				
6 Nareyoor	3429 10 "	6,024 6 "	28	10,802 13 6				
					1 Ameenah & Mooshariff	8 12 "		
					2 Rows at 3 Rupees each	6 " "		
					Sadeerwared	1 " "		
						15 12 "	14 3 2	1st May 1841
					1 Ameenah & Mooshariff	8 12 "		
					1 Farashdar	5 " "		
					2 Rows at 3 Rupees each	6 " "		
					Sadeerwared	" 9 "		
						20 4 "	1 3 9	21st Do.
					1 Ameenah & Mooshariff	5 4 "		
					Sadeerwared	" 4 "		
						5 8 "	1 12 "	21st Do.
					1 Ameenah & Mooshariff	7 " "		
					2 Rows at 3 Rs each	6 " "		
					Sadeerwared	" 8 "		
						13 8 "	1 12 "	21st Do.
					1 Ameenah and Mooshariff	7 " "		
					1 Peon	3 " "		
					Sadeerwared	" 8 "		
						10 8 "	1 " 4	21st Do.
						111 12 "		

Collector's Circuit Cutcherry, Pallecarnay 26th May 1841. E E Dx / Signed A. Freese Collector

The

Resolution thereon.

29. 30

The Board of Revenue sanction the Establishments exhibited in the Statements which accompanies the foregoing letter, for the management of the Mootahs therein enumerated, attached for arrears due on account of the Current Fasly, the total amount being Rupees 111—12—0.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

31

From the Collector of Chingleput — 3 Parts.

Reporting on the causes of the non-exportation of Salt to Bengal.

Sir,

In reply to your Letter of the 17th Instant, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that the failure of the exportation of Salt to Bengal may be attributed mainly to the introduction of a new clause into the advertisement requiring the exporters to enter into an engagement that in the event of a Cargo turning out less than the quantity noted in the Madras Certificate, it should be at the option of the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium in Calcutta to make a

a deduction from the freight at the rate of 1 Rupee for each Maund so deficient and to the limited time for delivering it at the Sulkea Godahs. The Merchants and owners of the Native Craft of Madras having represented the circumstances to me, and requested that I would endeavour to obtain the rescission of the former clause, and an extension of the period for the receipt of the Salt at the Sulkea Godahs. I lost no time in addressing you on the subject, and having received your reply on the 11th Ultimo, immediately communicated the substance of it to the parties.

2— Since receiving your Letter under reply, I have again had a communication with some of the principal Owners of the Native Vessels of the Port of Madras who stated that the rates previously proposed were not sufficiently high to remunerate any person entering specially

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.-

specially into the Salt Trade though it would be conveyed to Calcutta at those prices by Vessels going to the American Coast for Grain, and that if the period of exportation were extended to the end of September, 20,000 Bengal Candies would be so exported from the Cotaurs of Ennore and Coulong- An additional 5 Rupees paid for each 100 Bengal Candies Landed in Calcutta with the extension of time would no doubt extend and ensure the exportation not only in this, but in future seasons

Chingleput District-
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry-
Pullecaurney-----
26th May 1841-----
Order thereon-

(Signed) B. Freese
Collector

Ordered to be recorded.
Read the following Statement-

31-32

33

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt manufactured in the Salt Farms of Pulicat with the Estimated Revenue of the same for Fasly 1250 in the Zillah of Chingleput-

Names of the Farms or Estates.	Name of Crop.	Number of Pans employed in the Manufacture of Salt.	Quantity of Salt manufactured.	Estimated Revenue of the Salt manufactured.	Date of the Report of the Salt attached.	Remarks.
				11	12	13
			Candies Rs. a. P.	Rs. a. P.		
Namacul Oggrar Vaulavundy	Nulla	10 8 4 7 4 4 17 8 4	7 4	2 6	26th March 1841	
Daurumpoory Paupparpatty	Loor	10 8 4 3 8 4 14 4 4	2 1 4	18 2		
Maudaykully	Steen	10 8 4 3 8 4 14 4 4	2 1 4	18 2		
Tripator Vaunumbaudy	Sankay Mahe	10 8 4 7 4 4 7 4 4 24 8 4	1 6 4	26 12		
		70 4 4				

Salem Zillah 1 D. Sleig
Prin. Asst.

Sd

1733 Fort St George 7th June 1841.

(33-34)

Proceedings thereon } The Board sanction the Establishment proposed to be employed for the Management of the Estates, enumerated in the foregoing Statement, sequestered for Arrears of Revenue - The aggregate charge it is observed, amounts to Rupees 70 — " — " per Mensem) —

(33-34)

Proceedings thereon } The Board sanction the Establishment proposed to be employed for the Management of the Estates enumerated in the foregoing Statement, sequestered for arrears of Revenue - The aggregate charge it is observed, amounts to Rupees 70 — " — " per Mensem -

Read

Comp. J.R.

Proceedings - Relative to the Settlement of the land Revenue of the District of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1249.

(35)

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 31st October 1840 reporting upon the Settlement of that District for fusly 1249.

Para 1 - The season for the cultivation of wet lands under the Rivers and the Channels of irrigation commenced favorably in the Month of June excepting for the lands situated on a high level in four Talooks - In July and August the rains were less frequent, there was however an increase in the extent of the Carr Cultivation, there was also an increase in the Peshanum cultivation, notwithstanding the entire failure of the Rains after November by which the Ponjah harvest was limited, under all circumstances as stated by the Collr the

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

the season may be considered to have been an average one.

Curr.	Peishcush	Total.
Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Fusly 1248— 3 11 15/32	2 15 6 37/64	3 3 4 5/32
" 1249— 3 " 7 15/32	2 15 1 43/64	2 15 7 49/64
Decrease— " 10 10 1/8	" " 4 27/32	" 3 5 53/64
Centage— " " 18 7/32	" " 25/32	" " 6 25/32

2. In the commutation prices of the year there was a falling off of Rs. A. P. 10 10 1/8 for the Curr Produce, and of Rs. A. P. " " 4 27/32 for the Peishcush or 6 25/32 per cent. below the Settlement prices of the last year, consequent upon the increased supply of grain in the market and less demand in Travancore.

3. The Village of Paleacoil in the Talook of Punjamahl was added to the number of woolongoo Villages; its resources having been improved by the repair of a Tank, thus making the total number of Villages brought under the Woolongoo Settlement

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Settlement 836. Eight hundred and thirty six.

4. The Collector has deferred bringing two other Villages Viz. Pombarcolum and Chettar Chutrum under Woolungoo Settlement because the Nunjah cultivation at present carried on is extremely small and the Tanks are now out of repair. In the Village of Paleacoil above mentioned, only 9 Sq. Cattahs of land were brought under wet cultivation, and the Board see no cause for delaying to include these two Villages also in the same settlement, and direct that measures may be taken to do so.

5 The following statement exhibits in one view the Settlement of the fusly under the several branches of Land Revenue Compared with fusly 1248.

Abstract

1737.

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Abstract Summabundy Statement of the District of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1249.

	Fusly 1248.				Fusly 1249.		Comparison.					
							Increase		Decrease			
	Extent of Land		Amount		Land	Amount	Land	Amount	Land	Amount		
	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
	Sc	m d	Pars		Sc	m d Pars	Sc	m d Pars	Sc	m d	Pars	
Olongoo	75,925	4 1 7/8	11,34,376	10 6.	82,495	8 4 11,30,952	4 11	6,570 4 2/8	" " "	" "	" "	424 5 7.
Aumanie	2,092	16 3/4	21,884	14 7.	2,266	7 1 18,134	8 4	173 11 5/4	" " "	" "	" "	3,750 6 3.
Pattam	5,743	17 "	30,682	1 3.	5,983	" 7 3/8 49,609	2 7	239 4 3/8	" " "	" "	" "	1,972 14 8
Puraspoo	2,303	9 7	9,305	8 5	2,469	20 1/2 10,367	5 11	166 10 2/4	816 13 6	" "	" "	" "
Kunjah Mail Ponjah	21,647	18 5/2	72,167	9 6.	19,772	13 5/8 67,843	11 8.	" " "	" "	1,875	5 3/8	4,323 13 10.
Vampyre	6,282	11 5 3/8	49,313	3 8.	6,503	19 6 52,344	12 2.	221 8 5/8	3,031 8 6	" "	" "	" "
Total	113,995	14 7/4	13,34,929	15 11.	119,491	7 1. 13,29,251	13 7.	5,495 13 1/4	" " "	" "	" "	5,678 2 4
Ponjah Shares	165,513	64 "	4,66,429	1 5.	163,148	17/32 4 4,75,037	12 8	" " "	8,608	11 3.	2,364	31/64 " " "
Total			18,01,359	2 8.		18,04,289	10 3.		2,930	8 11		

Land Revenue
Annual Assessment
Ryots Punjah

7738

Fort St. George

1st June 1841

		Fasly 1248			Fasly 1249			Comparison						Decrease			
		Extent of Land		Amount	Land		Amount	Increase									
								Land			Amount			Land			Amount
		Sc m o		Rs A D	Sc m o		Rs a o	Sc m o			Rs a o			Sc m o			Rs a o
Ayen Lands	Actual Assessments	Nelavary		18721 14 9.	"		20,353 15 11	"			1,632 1 2			"			" " "
		Sopes		27,948 " 4	"		27,594 6 8	"			546 6 4			"			" " "
		Palmeras		1,44,31 1 2	"		1,05,360 4 2	"			929 3 "			"			" " "
		Woodangaud		2,637 1 8.	"		2,513 9 "	"			" " "			"			123 8 8
		Chittoor Cattoogottah		1,958 " 9.	"		1,958 " 9	"			" " "			"			" " "
			Total	1,54,796 2 8.	"		1,54,780 4 6.	"			2,984 1 10			"			" " "
	Deductions	Total		19,6155 4 "	"		19,620 69 14 9.	"			5,914 10 9			"			" " "
		Drought and Short Proues.		46,258 7 1.	"		43,583 1 4	"			" " "			"			10,2675 9.
		Cowls		4,944 5 3	"		4,288 4 4	"			" " "			"			656 " 11.
		Davastanum Crams		1,20,635 " "	"		1,28,891 8 3.	"			8,256 8 3.			"			" " "
			Total	2,71,837 12 4	"		1,76,762 13 11	"			" " "			"			95,074 14 5.
	Merah &c.	Remaining		16,84,317 7 8.	"		17,85,307 " 10.	"			100989 9 2			"			" " "
		Curnum Merah		31,567 4 6.	"		35,463 13 10.	"			3,896 9 4			"			" " "
		Lank Merah		33,796 15 2	"		37,557 11 3.	"			3,760 12 1			"			" " "
			Total	65,364 3 8.	"		73021 9 1.	"			7,657 5 5.			"			" " "
		Shrotrium Surumaneen & Allivent &c.		39,692 6 11	"		40,477 10 3	"			785 3 4			"			" " "
		Ramsing and Chundoolal's Cowls		3,500 " "	"		3,500 " "	"			" " "			"			" " "
			Total	108,356 10 7	"		1,16,999 3 4	"			8,442 8 9			"			" " "
		Total Ayen Land Revenue		17,92,874 2 3	"		19,02,306 4 2	"			109432 1 11.			"			" " "
		Poligar Peishcush		3,18,738 " "	"		3,18,738 " "	"			" " "			"			" " "
Deposits &c.			Total	21,11,612 2 3	"		22,21,044 4 2.	"			109,432 1 11.			"			" " "
				23,870 9 6.	"		27,434 13 11.	"			3,614 4 5.			"			" " "
Extra Revenue			Total	21,35,432 11 9	"		22,48,479 2 1.	"			113,046 6 4			"			" " "
				22,191 8 5	"		4,022 8 1	"			" " "			"			18,169 " 4
		Grand Total		21,57,624 4 2	"		22,52,501 10 2	"			94,877 6 4			"			" " "

6- In the Comparative Statement exhibited in Para 10 of his report, the Collector has blended with the items of Current Revenue the Deposits and Extraordinary Summahs. on an examination of the Statements in detail there is found a Net increase in the Current Revenue of Rupees 109,432-1-11. and an increase in the Deposits of Rupees 3,614-4-5 both items amounting to Rupees 113,046-6-4. under the head of Extraordinary Summahs there is a decrease of Rupees 18,769-11-4 which deducted from the foregoing gross increase leaves a Net Increase of Pd 94,877-6-

7- In explanation of the Settlement of the Nunjah rented Villages, it is shewn that while their Total Extent of wet cultivation exceeds that of the preceding year by Ld. Cottah 6,570-4-2/8 there is an actual decrease in the Settlement to the Amount of Rupees 424-5-7. This Decrease is stated to have arisen from two Causes, first from the greater part of the Nunjah

Nunjah lands brought under the Plough in the Fusly under Review having been of an inferior description which though large in extent reduced the average rate of the Maiwarum, and Secondly from the difference in the Summahbundy Prices between the two Fusleis. In reference to the former circumstance, it would have been satisfactory to the Board to have seen what proportion the lands of an inferior description bore to the better lands, and whether any portion of the latter had been abandoned, they do not suppose this to have been the case, because the decrease in the Maiwarum of the inferior lands is shewn to be only Ld. Cs. 44648-13-4/8 or in value according to the last years prices of Pd 3-3-15/32 per Cottah Rupees 14,206-8-11. A sum obviously too small to produce the effect assigned in the general outturn of all the Nunjah rented Villages. The Board conclude that in the excess of wet Cultivation being Ld. C. 6,570-4-2/8 above last year the greater part of the lands brought under the Plough have been of an inferior description. The second cause of the difference

Settlement of Nunjah Rented Villages fusly 1249- 113,952-6-11

in

7741

in the Commutation prices below those of last year at the rate of 3 annas and $5\frac{55}{64}$ Price per cottah Calculated on the Net Produce of last year occasioned a gross decrease of Revenue of Rs. 73,278-1-10

Deducting the gross increase of Beriz in the Woolungoo Villages or Rupees 72,853-12-3 the Net Decrease amounts to Rupees 424-5-7

Statement C. Col 10 to 13.

Increase in the Beriz occasioned by additional Cultivation Rs. 72,853-12-3.

Decrease by the full of Prices ——— 73,278-1-10

Difference ——— 424-5-7.

Para 12. 8 The Collector explains the Causes for the decrease in the Beriz of the Woolungoo Villages, and his Marginal Calculations are Correct, but they do not correspond with the entries to the same end in the Statement submitted. It is essential that in every exposition of the various items of the Settlement reference be made to the Statements which accompany the report, and particular attention is requested to this point.

Statt. C

9. In the Aumanie Villages in which the Mailwarum is received in kind, there is a Net Decrease of Rupees 2750-6-3 which has

7742

has occurred entirely in the Eighteen Villages comprising the Chokumputty taluk, from a decrease in the Malewarum in the Peshanum Crop and from the fall in the Settlement prices.

Favorable Grain Rents.

10. Under the head of Pautom there is a decrease of Rupees 1073-14-8, arising from the difference in prices being 3 annas $6\frac{53}{64}$ price less than in last year. In the Nunjah Parapoo Villages there is an increase both in the extent of Cultivation and an accession of Seerwah, making altogether an advance of Rupees 861-13-6, upon the Amount realized during the past season."

Favorable Money rents.

11. In the Chokumputty Villages the Amount of Pautom is observed to be Rupees 594-10-4. and of Poroopo Rupees 57-11-2, The Collector will examine the titles under which these lands are held, and will enquire whether any remissions upon them have been made by the Zemindar since the permanent Settlement was fixed, in which case they should be discontinued. This remark will apply generally to the Settlement of

of all lands chargeable with Public Revenue within these Villages.

12 The total Demand from all Nunjah lands including Pastum and poreyaroo amounted to Rupees 12,090 63-5-9 being less than the Beriz of the past year in the sum of Rupees 4,385-13-4

13 From this Demand however remission was made for Crops which perished from drought, and for short produce to the amount of Rupees 46,469-11-9 The greater portion of this remission was granted in those Talooks in which all the Tanks with few exceptions are Ponacalleno or dependant upon the falling Rains. Deducting the remissions allowed on the portion of Currums and Tank Merahs being Rupees 2,886-10-5, the Net remissions amount to Rupees 43,583-1-4

14 Until Fusly 1745 Remissions were allowed when the loss of Produce exceeded 30 per Cent of the average Standard Mailevarum, and this rule was followed under the woolungoo Settlement from its 1st introduction in the same manner as in the Tanjore District. In

Report on the Settlement of Fusly 1244 Paras 42 to 45

Fusly 1244 the Collector urged the policy and justice of allowing remission for short produce according to the Moochilka entered into by the Ryots of the Nunjah rented Villages, and under the circumstances represented in his Report, the Board did not withhold their sanction from the proposition of the Collector that he should be allowed to continue to act upon it for the future in granting remissions in the Woolungoo Villages. This mode of granting remissions came again under consideration in the Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1248, and was admitted to be open to many serious objections.-

See Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1241 Para 23

15 The rule on the Moochilka above alluded to provides, when one seed Cottah of land yields only one or one & an half Cottahs of Grain, that a division of the produce shall be made between the Circar and the Ryot. Under the former restriction of granting remissions until Fusly 1245, if the proportion of land upon which the produce had perished entirely exceeded 30 per Cent of the whole cultivation then a division of the Crops actually reaped was made between the Circar and the Ryot an addition being made of 5 per Cent to the Circar share according to the Woolungoo Settlement.-

16 The effect of this rule in the year under review, in which the season is declared to be an average one, will be observed in the following Statement prepared from the Thirstaowee Accounts which accompanied the Collector's report, shewing the total Nunjah lands actually cultivated on which claims for remission were made the remissions upon lands for produce which was entirely dried up, and the Remission granted for short produce in each Talook of the District.- The Remissions allowed will be found to have exceeded 30 per Cent only in the three Talooks noted in the Margin.-

1 Sattoor
2 Watapadaroor
3 Villivor.-

William Thomas.-

7745

Fort St. Jago

7th June 1841

Lands Cultivated on which claims for Remission were made.

Remission Granted.

	Extent of Land	Standard Mahwarum	Settlement	Shavie or Dried up Crops	Short Produce	Total	Per cent
	Seed Cottahs J M	C J M	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	
1 Nelliambalum	616 20 3	2808 3 3	8511 3 1	172 12 9	1304 9 2	1477 5 11	17 5½
2 Vedagramum	202 4 3 3/16	994 - 4 1/4	3059 10 1	" "	348 9 1	348 9 1	11 6
3 Tencadee	274 6 6 1/4	1288 14 3 3/4	3570 8 10	60 8 "	409 9 6	470 1 6	13 2½
4 Seenilpoatoor	2248 18 3 11/16	9042 14 3 11/16	27447 14 4	2361 7 9	4890 10 8	7242 2 5	26 6¼
5 Santaar	28 1 1 1/4	97 13 4 3/4	302 9 2	44 13 5	60 2 6	104 15 11	34 11½
6 Sencaraminarcaib	1,714 2 3 3/4	7,235 16 4 3/4	17877 4 2	1315 10 1	3016 2 5	4,331 12 6	24 3¾
7 Streenigoantum	627 9 7 5/16	2144 2 3/4	7384 2 7	42 13 3	797 13 6	840 10 9	11 6
8 Wotapundarum	12 8 6	39 14 1½	129 12 2	129 12 2	" " "	129 12 2	100 -
9 Punjamahal	240 2 4	816 12 3½	2738 1 1	243 8 4	203 12 11	447 5 3	16 1
10 Chocumpetty	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" "
11 Samamahadavie	900 1 2 16	5,292 5 1 16	15436 1 "	128 16 2	657 12 9	786 11 11	5 12½
12 Brenmadaden	161 7 7 3/4	746 8 3 16	2,111 14 10	93 8 11	366 7 9	460 " 8	21 12½
13 Nangoonary	2,455 9 4 11/16	12,780 1 2	37409 4 7	130 1 7	10940 8 2	11070 9 9	29 9½
14 Valiegaar	2993 15 4 2/16	15,408 7 4 1/4	47428 7 4	6,388 11 10	12,370 14 1	18,759 9 11	39 8¾
Total	12,475 7 2 1/4	58694 14 1 2/16	173406 11 3	11102 11 3	35367 " 6	46469 11 9	26 12¾

Deduct Deposit ——— 2886 10 5

Remaining ——— 43583 1 4

It

It is not explained in what manner the Produce was actually realized, and in what description of Lands, and crops, whether Car or Peshanum, the division of short produce was made, it is merely stated "as per Accounts rendered"- In future the Collector will be pleased to supply this information.-

Collector's Report Para 21.-

17.- The Accounts, as they are rendered lead to a conclusion that the interests of Government are not duly regarded in the distribution of the remissions for short produce- The Board thinks that reference should be made to actual produce in Car or Peshanum, and are disposed to recommend that the Collector should at once revert to the former restrictions of granting remissions, but it may be sufficient to direct his attention to a more minute investigation of every claim for remission on account of short produce, which should in no instance be allowed unless it arises from causes over which the Ryots have no control, and always in strict conformity with the rule of the Mochilka when only one seed battah of land yields one or one and an half battahs of Grain.-

18 There is a decline in the cultivation of Lands under

the head of Nunjah and Punjah to the extent of seed battahs 1875 6-3/5 The Sub Collector assigns as the reason of the decrease in this description of produce within his Division "that formerly land lying within reach of water for Nunjah cultivation was frequently sown with other products at the favorable Nunjah and Punjah rates- This practice was discontinued in Fusly 1248 and Nunjah and Punjah is now almost confined to such lands as are entered in the Ryacut Accounts as Nunjah but to which water cannot arrive from existing channels or Tanks". The Board trust with reference to their Proceedings on the settlement of Fusly 1247 that the practice of allowing Nunjah lands to be cultivated with Punjah produce from the irrigation of channels and Tanks upon reduced rates of Teerwa may be entirely discontinued- it is at variance with the principle that the sources of Nunjah irrigation being preserved at the expense of Government, or as in Tinnevelly by

Sub Collr's Report Para 12.-

by Funds appropriated for the purpose, the water should not be diverted to either purposes, the more particularly when claims to so large an amounts are made for short produce 19.- On reference to the Statements, it is not shewn how much land under the head of Nunjah Mail Poonjah is cultivated from Wells and how much from Channels and Tanks- In future the Collector will be pleased to distinguish these items It wanted appear that the greater portion of Revenue under this head is derived from the appropriation of Nunjah lands in the Carr and Peshanum cultivation If a Ryot cultivates Nunjah in the Carr crop and raises a Punjah crop in the same lands as Peshanum, he should of course be liable to the payment of Nunjah rents- The objections to levying the demand with reference to the kind of produce, and not to the capabilities of the Soil do not require to be repeated; and the Board thinks it necessary only to prohibit the continuance of such a system in future and to determine that Nunjah Mail Poonjah cultivation shall be in

Board's Proceedings on the Settlement of Trichy 12.4.7. Paras 17-18-19

future be assessed according to the three kinds of cultivation it comprises- 1st Poonjah lands cultivated by means of Wells dug by the Ryots at their own expense shall be liable to Poonjah Sirwa only 2dly Nunjah lands incapable from local causes of receiving water from Channels or Tanks shall be assessed when cultivated with Poonjah according to the assessment of the nearest Poonjah lands of similar capability and 3rdly Nunjah Lands cultivated with Poonjah produce from the irrigation of Channels or Tanks shall be charged with the average demand of the year on Carr or Peshanums, as the case may be and accordingly the Board directs the Collector to give effect to these instructions-

Para 28

20.- The Revenue derived from Varam type cultivation exceeds that of the preceding year in the extent of S. C. 221-8 by Rupees 3931 8-6- The Collector stated that sugar cane remains in the Ground about 9 or 10 Months in the Second Trichy but yields only half what it does in the 1st year, thus shewing that the land is occupied for a much longer period than is required for a rice crop.

7749 Fort St. George 16th June 1841.

7750 Fort St. George 7th June 1847

crop: it is not to be expected that the produce of the cane would be so abundant in the 2d year as in the 1st but the value of it in the 2d year is still greater than of an ordinary crop of rice, while a greater quantity of water from the public sources of irrigation is required to maintain it. With the information they have thus received that the land is occupied so long in the 2d year to the exclusion of rice cultivation, the Board see what delay to regulate the demand upon land cultivated with sugar cane upon a more defined principle than has hitherto prevailed immemorially, and in adverteence to the opinion expressed in their Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 1248 they direct the Collector to give due notice throughout the District that hereafter lands cultivated with sugar cane shall be subjected to the same Assessment as land of the same quality and advantages under ordinary Paddy crops.

dated 6th August 1840 Para 22..

21.

The extent of lands bearing Nunjah Assessment was less than in Fusly 1248 by 2,364 79/80 Chains, but the demand was greater by Rupees 8608 which is explained by lands of the superior classes and bearing an higher rate of Assessment being cultivated with the first crop.

22

In the comparison noted in the Marginal Statement of Para 33 of the Collectors

7751 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Collectors report, it is satisfactory to the Board to observe the gradual improvement in the demand, particularly from the cultivation of Nunjah Lands shewing as anticipated by Mr Thompson in his report on the Settlement of Fusly 1247 Para 6 that as further improvements in the works of irrigation are made, and facilities for Transportation of goods become more common, it is to be expected that the increase for a time at least, will be progressive. The Collector has however included the Settlement of three Chokumpatty Villages since Fusly 1246, without reference to the demand in previous years and the Marginal Statement includes all items brought to the head of Nunjah. Reference is not made to the relative variations in Nunjah produce and of the prices of different years. Thus in Fusly 1232 the extent of Nunjah cultivation exceeded that of the year under review, while the settlement was 2 per cent less, and in Fusly 1238 when the extent of Nunjah cultivation was considerably less the settlement was nearly the same, as noted in the Margin.

There

Fusly	Extent of Nunjah Cultivation	Settlement
1232	99,741	11,22,278
1249	99042	11,46,770
Increase		24492 or 2 per cent
Decrease	699 or 1/0 per cent	
Fusly		
1238	79,710	11,43,432
1249	99,042	11,46,770
Increase	10332 or 13 per cent	3338 or 1/4 per cent

23

There is an Increase under each of the following heads Viz.

	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Increase	
Nellavaree	18,721-14-9	20353 15 11	1632-1-2	Topes and
Topes	27,048-..-4	27,594-6-8	546-6-4	Palmyras as
Palmyras	10,4431 1 2	10536 0 4 2	929-3-..	shewn in the

Margin. The Increase in the Revenue derived from Topes arises chiefly from the improved productiveness of Trees in the Sub Collector's Division and particularly in the Tamarind Plantations of Allewee-lum which the Sub Collector expects to rent to the Meerasidars according to the directions of the Board. In the Revenue derived from Palmyra, the Increase arises from a greater number of Trees being brought to account in the Sub Collector's Division the newly rated Trees amount to thirty thousand. the remaining items of Increase and Decrease do not appear to require remark.

Sub Coll.r's Report Para 14 Boards Proceedings on Fusly 1248 Para 23.-

Fusly 1248	2,637-1-8	Para 25
Fusly 1249	2513-9-..	
	123-0-8	

Sundry small Topes

Fusly 1248	1958-..-9	
Fusly 1249	1958-..-9	

24

The Gross Settlement of the year amounted on all Sources of Land Revenue to Rupees 1918486-13-5 after deducting

deducting the amount of the usual Maunums and Remission to Cowle-lands to the amount of Rupees 133179-12-7 A Neb Settlement remaining of Rupees 1785307-..-10 exhibiting an Increase above the preceding year in the sum of Rupees 100989-9-2.- The addition to the Neb Settlement of the various sums noted in the Margin on accounts of Currums and Tanks Meraks & all other items which are brought to the head of Land Revenue amounting to Rupees 14434-1-3 gives a total Revenue of Rupees 1929741-2-1.- Including the sum of Rupees 4022 for Sewoy Jumma, and the demand on account of Permanent Pechcush being Rupees 318738-..-.. The total demand of Ordinary Land Revenue amounted to Rupees 2252501-10-2.-

Gross Settlement		1918486-13-5
Deduct		
Maunums	128891-0 3	
Remission to Cowle-lands	4288 4 4	
	133179 12 7	
Neb Settlement	1785307 .. 10	
Neb Settlement of Fusly 1248	1684317 7 0	
	100989 9 2	

Currums	Marah	35463-13-10
Tanks	D.o	37557-11-3
Shotrums		35602-15-..
Dasacaveb		951-1-9
Tiepany		19225-5-3
Chuttrums		3209-8-8
Cowle Lands		3,500-..-..
Government Grants		349-2-3
Hill rents		3544-7-3
		144434-1-3

25

Margin of Currums and Tanks Meraks & all other items which are brought to the head of Land Revenue amounting to Rupees 144434-1-3

26

Of the Net demand

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

of this year, or Rupees 22,52,501-10-2 the sum of Rupees 20,35,476-9-8 was collected within the Fusly. leaving arrears to the amount of Rupees 2,17,025- and subsequent collections to the 15th October 1840 are shewn by the collector to amount to Rupees 1,79,830-2-10 leaving a balance of Rupees 37,194-13-8 On reference to the Statements of Demand Collection and Balance the Board have the satisfaction to observe that this arrear has been reduced on the 31st March 1841 to the sum of Rs 8848-11-7

Arrears to 31st March	
Peshcush	708-11-2
Sheriary	8060-3-2
Deposits	79-13-3
Total	8848-11-7

27.

The Gross collections from all sources of Revenue in the District within Fusly 1249 amounted to Rupees 30,09,676-4-2 and the Gross charges including Devastanum Revenue Pensions, &c to Rupees 66,744-3-3.

28

The Board feel great pleasure in recording their testimony in favor of Mr Thompson's Management in Fusly 1249 and in bringing to the notice of the Government the cordial cooperation and assistance he received from Mr Bird his Sub collector and the favorable terms in which Mr Thompson acknowledges the services of this Gentleman and of his Head Assistant Mr Ringle-

Ordered that a Copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript thereof be forwarded to the Collector of Tinnevelly for his information & guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Read the following letters-

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

36

From the Coll. of Madras | Sir, Para. | With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that the required number of scales and weights having been duly regulated by the Standards, I find that the old Scale Beams remaining in this office are not now in such a State as it would be advisable to allow them to be used in the Salt Department, in consequence of the beams not traversing correctly

To Board 16th March 1841
From Do 25th Do -
To Do 7th April -
From Do 26th Do -

ORIGINAL RETURNED

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.—

correctly, indeed so much the contrary as to render them unfit for present use. I beg leave, therefore, to request that the enclosed Indent on the Principal Commissary of Ordnance for 5 Scale Beams may be passed. I have ascertained lately that there are a few at the Arsenal available for issue.—

Enclosure A.

To Board 16th March 1841.

2

I propose to return the Scale Beams at present here to the Arsenal on three now indented for being unsuplied.—

Madras, 20th May 1841.

Signed J. Robertson
Collector.

Order

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.—

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that the necessary orders may be issued for the supply of 5 Scale Beams for the use of the Collector of Madras from the Arsenal.—

Order thereon.—

36-37

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

38

From the Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, Para 1.—

that Khadre Hussain Khan, one of the Members of the family of the Satumbauk Jagheredar, whose name is included in entry No. 7 of the Statement submitted on the 24th February 1821, died on the 15th July 1840 leaving a Widow, Peary Beebee.—

2 The Monthly pension held by the deceased was Rupees 11-10-8 of which, under the orders of Government communicated in a letter from your Office of date the 26th March 1821, two thirds equal to Rupees 7-12-5 are payable

payable to his successor for life.

3

Having duly ascertained that

Vide Peary Beebee's Petition 26th October/40. the Widow Peary Beebee who has applied for the transfer to her of her husband's pension, is the only legal representative of the last incumbent, I beg leave to request sanction for continuing to her the regulated proportion of Rupees 7-12-5 per mensem, and for discharging the arrears remaining undrawn up to the 30th Ultimo, Rupees 79-10-2.

Khadre Hussain Khan's pension remaining undrawn from 1st to 15th July/40 at Rs. 11-10-8 = Rs. 5-10-3

2/3rds of his pension at Rs. 7-12-5. per mensem from 16th July/40 to 30th April/41 - - - - - = 73-15-11

79-10-2

Madras 27th May 1841.

/Signed/ A. Robertson
Collector

Order thereon.

38-39

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of Government.

From Govt. 20th inst. 26th March 1821.

With reference to the letter from Government alluded to in Para 2. the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for continuing a pension of Rupees 7-12-5 to Peary Beebee Widow and successor to the late Khadre Hussain Khan, and that the Collector may be authorized to disburse the amount of

of arrears due since the death of the last co mentioned individual amounting to Rupees 79-10-2.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

40

From the Coll. of Madras.

Sir,

Para.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that Mujel Hussain Khan, a Member of the family of the Satumbauk Jaghiredar, whose name is included in entry No. 10 of the Statement submitted on the 24th February 1821 died on the 15th November 1840, leaving a Widow, Nunnee Beebee and two Daughters, Fautmah Begum and Zahra Beegum

2

From Board 1st October/27. The monthly pension held by the deceased, according to the orders of Government dated 21st September 1827 was Rupees 5, of which, under the instructions communicated in a letter from your Office of date the 26th March 1821, two thirds equal to Rupees 3-3-4. are payable to his successors for life.

Having

3.

Having duly ascertained that the Widow and Daughters named above, who have applied for the transfer of the pension to them, are the only legal representatives of the last incumbent. I beg leave to request the necessary sanction for continuing to them the regulated proportion of Rupees 3-5-4 or Rupees 1-1-9 1/3 each per mensem, and for discharging the arrears remaining undrawn up to the 30th April 1841 Rupees 20-13-4.-

Vide Petition from Munnee Beebee &c.a 8th December 1840.-

Najib Hussain Khan's pension remaining undrawn from 1st to 15th November /40 at Rs. 5 per mensem ——— Rs. 2-8-0

2/3ds of his pension or at Rupees 3-5-4 per mensem from 16th November /40 to 30th April /41 ———

18-5-4

20-13-4

Madras
27th May 1841.-

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collector

Resolution thereon.-

40. 41

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

From Govt to 21st Sept.r in Cons.l 1st October 1827.

With reference to the letter from Government alluded to in Para 2, the Board recommend that a Pension of Rupees 3-5-4 per mensem &c being

being 2/3ds of the amount enjoyed by the late Najib Hussain Khan, may be continued from the date of his decease to his Widow Munnee Beebee for the support of herself and her 2 Daughters to be reduced, one half on her death.-

The Board also recommend that sanction may be granted for payment of the arrears due since the death of Najib Hussain Khan, amounting to Rupees 20-13-4.-

Read the following letter

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.-

42

From the Coll.r and ... Register of Government Grants

Submitting an application from Mr. Thomas Ray for a lease of land on the Neilgherries.-

Sir,

1. I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue the correspondence with the collector of Malabar

From Coll.r of Malabar 27th February 1841.-
From Do. 13th March -"-
From Do. 18th Do. -"-
From Do. 11th May -"-

with Mr. Ray's application and 2 plans.- entered in the margin relative to a lease applied for by Mr. Thomas Ray for a piece of land on the Neilgherries Co.s 5-9-24.-

Nos. 83 and 84.-

2. I forwarded with my letter to the Board under date the 7th September 1837 two applications from the same individual, one for 6-1-16-1696 and the other for 6 Co.s 2-8-1696, making a total of Co.s 4-1-1692- and the sanction of Government for compliance with them was communicated to me on

11th October 1837.

the 16th October following.- It

3 It will be observed from Mr. Conolly's letter of the 11th Instant that the usual counterpart Agreements sent in 1838 for Mr. Ray's Signature have been returned unexecuted, in consequence of the measurement of the property comprised in his former applications having been found to be incorrect- and that, on reference to him on the subject, he submitted the present revised application together with a correct plan of his lands-

4 As there is an excess of Gds. 4-232 in the extent of Ground now in possession of Mr. Ray beyond that for which he solicited Grants in the first instance and as vide revised applica- this excess appears to have been enclosed only in cation and plan "1840," it is proper to request fresh sanction for his present application for a lease for Cs. 4-5-924 being complied with-

Madras 31st May 1841.

/Signed/ A. Robertson.
Collector and Register of Government Grants-

Resolution thereon.- Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing letter, the Board recommend that the

(42-43)

In Sonth. Col. 1837. Sanction of Government bearing date the 11th October 1837 for the issue of leases for certain lands on the Neilgherries may be cancelled so far as relates to the application of Serjeant Thomas Ray for Cs. 4-1-692 and that fresh sanction be granted for complying with his application for a lease for Cawnies 4-5-924. as suggested by the Register of Government Grants-

Read

Read the following letter-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

From the Ag. Collr. of Sea Customs at Madras-

Sir,

With reference to the 2nd para of your letter dated the 24th Instant, I have the honor to forward an original letter from the Chamber of Commerce of the same date received this day, stating their opinion on the samples of cotton received from Tinnevelly per Dhoney "Thamarapoorny."

2- I beg to state at the same time, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that the samples of Cotton have been cleaned, packed, and Marked ready for transmission to the Honorable the Court of Directors, the Vessel on which they are shipped will be specified to the Board for the information of Government-

3- No information has been furnished to the Sea Custom House regarding the description of Cotton in each package received from Salem and Tinnevelly, the information as to what seed this was raised from may probably be in the Office of the Board of Revenue-

Sea Custom House,
Madras, 29th May 1841.

/Signed/ C. P. Brown.
Ag. Collr. Sea Customs.

To

A

To The Acting Collector
Sea Customs.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th Instant with a Sample of Tinnevelly Cotton for the opinion of the Chamber of Commerce.

The Committee have carefully exami-ned the sample in question, and consider the Cotton of good staple, but the Colour indifferent, and the value of it in this market may be quoted at present at Rupees 68 per Candy.

Chamber of Commerce, Madras, 24th May 1841. } / Signed / James Scott. Chairman.

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.

45

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

In Cons. 29th April 1841.

Para 1. With reference to the order of Government passed under date the 24th April last, upon an Extract from the Proceedings of the Revenue Board dated the 12th of the same Month, I am directed to request that you will submit for the information of Government the accompanying letter from the Acting Collr of Sea Customs, inclosing an original letter from the Chamber of Commerce, stating their opinion on the further

29th May in Cons. 7th June 1841.

In Cons. 22d April 1841.

further supply of Cotton from the Tinnevelly District adverted to in the order of Government dated the 5th April last.

2. The Acting Collector of Sea Customs has observed that no information has been furnished to the Sea Custom House regarding the description of Cotton for each package received from Salem and Tinnevelly. It is seen from Mr. Thompson's letter of the 21st September last that the 2 Bales first remitted from the Province of Tinnevelly were Specimens of the Country Cotton divided under the Heads "Copen," and "Nadaum" or the Board believe "annual" and "Triennial". The Bale received from Mr. Greig at Salem is seen to have been "of superiority," or annual Country Cotton, from the ob-servations contained in the Minutes of Consultation of the 6th October last.

Minutes of Cons. 6th October in Cons. 9th Novr. 1840.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 7th June 1841. } / Signed / P. B. Smollett. Secretary

44-46

To The Actg. Collr. of Sea Customs at Madras

In Cons. 7th June 1841.

To, The Acting Collector of Sea Customs.

Sir,

Para 1. Adverting to Para 3 of your letter of the 29th ult. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that it is seen from the correspondence that has passed with the District Officers, that the first shipment of.

of Cotton from Tinnevelly was composed of two Bales No.1 and 2. The first being a specimen of Country Cotton Called Nada Punghy or triennial, and the 2nd Package a sample of that known as Copam Punghy or annual Cotton. The 2nd investment sent from Tinnevelly was merely composed of samples of the same Sort cleaned in a different way.

2. The Bale of Cotton sent by Mr. Ogilvy from Salem was a sample of the annual Country Cotton of that District.

Revenue Board Office } (Signed) P. B. Smollett
Fort St. George, 7th June 1841 } Secretary

Read the following letter.

47

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

From the Secretary to the Military Board } Sir,

I have the honor, by order of the Military Board, to notify for the information of the Board of Revenue, that the Cadies and Lascars ordered in Extract of Minutes of Council No. 286. Dated 1st March last have been dispatched from the Arsenal Fort St. George on the 20th Instant to the Tahsildar of the Salt farm at Marcanum.

Fort St. George, 22nd March 1841. } (Signed) A. Moberly, Major. Secretary Military Board.

Order thereon. 47-48

Ordered to be recorded.

From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. 49

Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsement presented to him for the month of April last.

Order thereon. 49-50

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched.

51

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Chief Secy. to Government Submitting Statement shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th July to 31st March 1841. of Fusly 1250 Compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1249.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying General Statement prepared in the usual form from the Monthly Accounts, Shewing the Collections of ordinary Revenue from 12th July to 31st March 1841. of Fusly 1250, compared with the Collections in the same period of Fusly 1249.

2. Abstract B Exhibits a Net Decrease

Decrease in the Collections of Current 5,81,674-3-11
Deduct Increase in the Collections of Arrears 86,031-13-1
4,95,642-6-10

in the aggregate Rupees 7,12,532-12-9 resulting from a Net Decrease of Rupees 4,95,642-6-10, in the Collections of Land Revenue and a Net Decrease of Rupees 2,16,890-5-11, in the Collections from Extra Sources of Revenue.

3 Abstract C shews the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources in the several Districts.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George, 7th June 1841. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett. Secretary

General

sidency. from 12th July to 31st March of Fusly 1250 Compared

ers and Current

ence.

on.

Net

			Decrease						Increase		Decrease		
otal			Arrears			Current			Total				
10			11			12			13			14	15
Rs	as	ø	Rs	as	ø	Rs	as	ø	Rs	as	ø	Rs as ø	Rs as ø
763	13	5	"	"	"	11,544	13	11	11,544	13	11	10,6218 15 6	" " "
393	6	"	31,280	3	6	"	"	"	31,280	3	6	" " "	8,886 13 6
266	13	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	52,266 13 11	" " "
187	13	5	"	"	"	16,757	4	1	16,757	4	1	44,230 9 4	" " "
64	1	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	61,164 1 5	" " "
557	4	11	"	"	"	106,867	6	2	106,867	6	2	" " "	79,310 1 3
40	7	11	17,465	5	4	"	"	"	17,465	5	4	" " "	5,724 13 5
"	"	"	81,663	15	8	30,941	11	2	112,605	10	10	" " "	112,605 10 10
"	"	"	2,456	15	1	148,838	6	4	151,295	5	5	" " "	151,295 5 5
"	"	"	23,435	13	"	83,606	15	5	107,042	12	5	" " "	107,042 12 5
924	9	4	"	"	"	155,354	12	10	155,354	12	10	" " "	140,530 3 6
"	"	"	4,046	9	4	46,619	4	4	50,665	13	8	" " "	50,665 13 8
42	13	7	"	"	"	13,811	2	7	13,811	2	7	" " "	11,968 5 "
33	4	6	"	"	"	144,791	12	9	144,791	12	9	" " "	139,858 8 3
81	9	11	61,303	9	11	"	"	"	61,303	9	11	" " "	54,822 " "
99	13	11	11,769	8	4	"	"	"	11,769	8	4	6,230 5 7	" " "
72	13	6	15,797	5	1	"	"	"	15,797	5	1	29,2758 5	" " "
48	13	10	3,974	10	10	"	"	"	3,974	10	10	2,374 3 4	" " "
5	6	"	"	"	"	8,360	7	"	8,360	7	4	" " "	6,715 1 4
86	1	1	"	"	"	577	13	5	577	13	5	" " "	491 12 4
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	" " "
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	" " "	" " "
3,909	2	8	253,194	"	1	7,68,271	14	"	10,21,465	14	1	3,01,760 9 2	869,317 4 7
												79,962 8 5	8,048 3 10
												3,81,723 1 7	8,77,365 8 5
												Dt Increase -	3,81,723 1 7
												Net Decrease -	495,642 6 10

7768

A

General Statement Shewing the Collections of Ordinary Revenue in the Several Districts under t

Fort St. George 7th June 18

	Finly 1249			Finly 1250.				
	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	Current	Total	Arrears	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
	CW a p	CW a p	CW a p	CW a p	CW a p	CW a p	CW a p	
Zillah of Ganjam	97,993 15 9	4,63,142 6 1	5,61,136 5 10	2,15,757 13 2	4,51,597 8 2	6,67,355 5 4	1,17,763 13 5	
Vizagapatam	86,587 12 2	7,41,392 4 3	8,27,980 " 5	55,307 8 8	7,63,785 10 3	8,19,093 2 11	" "	
Rajahmundry	2,05,533 9 2	9,46,519 12 4	11,52,053 5 2	2,29,023 9 7	9,75,296 9 6	12,04,320 3 1	23,490 " 5	
Masulipatam	1,08,741 5 8	2,41,622 7 9	3,50,363 13 5	1,69,729 3 1	1,94,865 3 8	3,64,594 6 9	60,987 13 5	
Guntoor (including Paulnaud)	59,213 10 9	4,91,354 3 10	5,50,567 14 7	73,194 " 8	5,38,537 15 4	6,11,732 " "	13,980 5 11	
Chingleput	73,190 12 4	3,66,160 7 1	4,39,351 3 5	1,00,748 1 3	2,59,293 " 11	3,60,041 2 2	27,557 4 11	
Salem (including Balaghaut)	38,191 15 4	11,13,324 3 9	11,51,516 3 1	20,726 10 4	11,25,664 11 8	11,46,391 5 8	" "	
Madura	8,663 15 8	9,57,302 " 8	10,38,966 " 4	" " "	9,26,360 5 6	9,26,360 5 6	" "	
Nellore	1,62,484 1 8	11,20,067 " 11	12,82,551 2 7	1,60,027 2 7	9,71,228 10 7	11,31,255 13 2	" "	
Northern Div.n of Arcot	62,936 9 11	13,68,903 15 3	14,31,840 9 2	39,500 12 11	12,85,296 15 10	13,24,797 12 9	" "	
Sn. Division of Arcot	60,459 1 7	13,53,820 4 8	14,14,279 6 3	75,483 10 11	11,98,265 7 10	12,73,749 2 9	15,024 9 4	
Tanjore	20,341 11 2	15,74,321 5 1	15,94,663 " 3	16,295 1 10	15,27,702 " 9	15,43,997 2 7	" "	
Trichinopoly	4,312 7 9	10,95,843 5 5	11,00,155 13 2	6,153 5 4	10,82,032 2 10	10,88,187 8 2	1,842 13 7	
Tinnevelly	2,05,855 1 11	11,15,112 9 5	13,20,967 11 4	2,10,788 6 5	9,70,320 12 8	11,81,109 3 1	4,933 4 6	
Bellary	71,657 5 4	17,46,933 1 4	18,18,610 6 4	10,353 11 1	17,53,434 10 11	17,63,788 6 4	" "	
Cuddapah	85,497 9 2	11,17,590 6 5	12,03,087 15 7	73,728 " 10	11,35,590 4 4	12,09,318 5 2	" "	
Coimbatore	28,526 12 9	12,65,214 8 2	12,93,771 4 11	12,729 7 8	13,10,317 5 8	13,23,046 13 4	" "	
Canara	17,181 1 10	9,32,326 15 4	9,49,508 1 2	13,206 7 "	9,38,675 13 2	9,51,882 4 2	" "	
Malabar	1,012 7 4	10,64,057 13 5	10,65,070 6 9	2,657 13 4	10,55,697 8 5	10,58,355 5 9	1,645 6 "	
Madras { Land Customs	2,662 2 3	37,835 14 11	40,498 1 2	2,748 3 4	37,258 1 6	40,006 4 10	86 1 1	
Toll Collected on Cochrane's Canal	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
Sea Customs	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	
Total	14,74,043 9 2	1,90,82,895 3 3	2,05,56,938 12 7	14,88,161 1 8	1,85,01,220 15 6	1,99,89,382 1 2	2,67,311 8 7	
Old Balances			57,529 8 11			1,29,440 13 6		
Grand Total			2,06,14,465 5 6			2,01,18,822 14 8		

Collections to the end of Feby.
Ditto in March

15,14,520 8 6

Short Description

Statement of the Collections in the Lawn Room of Shirley 1249.

Table.

Shirley.	Farmer	Shirley 1249	Shirley 1250	Grocer.
	12	23	24	25	26	27
	Elizabeth	Richard	Edward	Edward	Edward	Edward
	2013 14 7	20267 3 5	15744 14 3	4718 5
9249 9 11	" "	32569 15 "	32375 5 "	" "	218 10 "
923 12 8	" "	25685 15 "	26921 12 8	1252 13 8	" "
670 9 9	" "	20543 14 4	21235 67	711 8 3	" "
2708 5 11	" "	47685 10 9	6992 " 7	223 5 10	" "
1648 13 8	" "	43391 10 8	46092 7 8	2700 13 "	" "
9234 19 13 8	9,631 79 3 5	4145 " "	" "
1360 28 1 9	15375 10 "	1685 " "	" "
705 6 "	557 67	2446 " "	" "
976 6 "	23,865 13 4	2306 80 7 4	2369 92 13 5	38965 9 11	237 89 8 2	1819 785 7 9
1769	1250	1249	1248	1247	1246	1245
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2033	1193	9217	1307	3271	3249	1925
7	6	5	2	4	4	4
1734	1906	8231	1306	1099	2106	1792
33	38	79	52	81	37	35
9	2	9	8	2	2	2
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Fort St George 7th June 1841.

Sundry Small Farms and Leases.

	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250	
	28		29		30		31		32		33	
	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs
1	1,585	2 9	2,030	12 u	445	9 3.						
2	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	8,995	12 4	6,416	1 48
3	3,787	9 3	4,917	6 9	1,129	13 6	u	u u	4,764	15 5	4,773	13 4
4	76	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	30,503	6 11	29,445	15 2
5	2,465	5 7	3,074	8 2	609	2 7.	76	u u	9,776	3 9	9,709	u u
6	11,808	5 3	10,966	1 4	u	u u	u	u u	26,054	5 2	30,868	15 7.
7	6,211	13 4	5,652	7 10	u	u u	842	3 11.	5,430	5 9	5,829	4 3
8	3,462	3 6.	2,799	9 10	u	u u	559	5 6.	66,762	9 5.	68,985	7 8.
9	178	5 4	166	8 11.	u	u u	662	9 8.	u	u u	u	u u
10	1,568	1 8	3,370	11 4	1,802	9 8	u	u u	11	12 5.	16,803	13 2
11	2,507	10 u	2,534	11 6.	27	1 6.	u	u u	u	u u	19,159	8 2
12	21,918	3 9	21,639	3 11.	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	22,042	9 2
13	1,944	10 2	1,504	13 5	u	u u	278	15 10.	38,685	4 11	39,169	6 11.
14	10,487	u 7	11,362	8 9	875	8 2	439	12 9.	4,534	12 3	4,817	1 2
15	7,446	13 -	7,308	14 1	u	u u	u	u u	71,842	13 3.	70,815	4 7
16	3,042	1 5.	3,366	1 8	324	u 3.	137	14 11.	217,727	3 -	211,420	6 10
17	276	u 4	215	4 3.	u	u u	u	u u	103,480	14 11	105,385	9 8
18	8,648	u 2	17,889	6 9.	9,241	6 7.	60	12 8.	46,062	10 6.	43,813	13 -
19	65,030	9 -	39,738	1 -	u	u u	u	u u	70	u u	u	u u
20	8,864	10 10	9,010	3 3.	345	8 5.	25,292	8 -	74,866	10 -	74,613	u 3.
	21,467	u 3	18,930	13 6.	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	2,536	2 9.	u	u u	u	u u
	182,575	10 2	166,478	4 3.	14,800	11 11.	30,898	1 10.	7,66,583	14 1	7,63,643	6 10
	246	3 3	1,195	12 -	1,061	14 3.	112	5 6.	513	13 2	1,044	- 6.
	182,821	13 5	167,674	- 3	15,862	10 2	31,010	7 4	7,67,097	11 3.	7,64,687	7 4
					Dr Increase		15,862	10 2				
					Net Decrease		15,147	13 2				
	1,56,133	9 10	147,912	12 9								
	26,688	3 7.	19,761	3 6								
									6,57,282	12 -	6,57,633	6 -

Motor Stock

Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
34		35		36		37		38		39.	
cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs	cwt	qrs
u	u u	2,579	7 8	2,337	13 6	2,034	8 1	u	u u	317	5 5.
8	13 11.	u	u u	14,814	14 2	14,693	1 5	u	u u	121	12 9.
u	u u	1,054	7 6.	10,142	u 4	6,332	3 4	u	u u	3,809	13 u
u	u u	64	3 9.	6,364	12 4	8,767	14 6.	2,403	2 2	u	u u
14,814	10 5	u	u u	927	8 1.	1,464	5 2	536	13 1.	u	u u
378	14 6.	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
3722	14 3.	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	u	u u	9,974	3 u	9,267	7 3.	u	u u	706	11 9.
2824	12 2	u	u u	6,856	7 7.	6,445	5 7.	u	u u	411	2 6.
u	u u	2598	1 7	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	652	8 7	15,456	1 10.	7,706	4 1.	u	u u	7,749	13 9.
484	1 u	u	u u	61,738	11 10.	69,035	3 11	7,296	10 1.	u	u u
282	4 11	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	1027	8 8	12,653	9 3.	16,124	10 1.	3,471	u 10.	u	u u
u	u u	6,306	12 2	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
1,904	10 9	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	2248	13 6	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	70	u u	1,26,787	1 u	1,98,270	12 1	71,483	11 1.	u	u u
u	u u	253	9 9	2,04,203	12 3.	1,83,068	14 10	u	u u	21,134	13 5.
u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
u	u u	u	u u	4,00,926	9 3.	3,54,558	13 8	u	u u	46,367	11 7.
13,921	1 11	16,861	9 2	8,73,197	8 5	8,77,769	9 6	85,191	5 3.	80,619	4 2
578	5 11	48	2 7	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u	u	u u
14,499	7 10	16,909	11 9	8,73,197	8 5.	8,77,769.	9 6.	85,191	5 3.	80,619	4 2
Dr Increase		14,499	7 10.					80,619	4 2.	Dr Decrease.	
Net Decrease		2,410	3 11.					4,572	1 1.	Net Increase	
				7,28,146	13 3.	7,23,254	12 5				
				14,50,50	11 2	1,54,514	13 1.				

Fort St George 7th June 1841

Tobacco

Stamps

	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
	40		41		42		43		44		45		46		47	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
1									6426	7 10	6295	10	-	-	130	13 10
2									11554	3 10	23083	15 6	11529	11 8	-	-
3									14633	- -	16961	11 -	2328	11 -	-	-
4									16720	12 -	17042	13 6	322	1 6	-	-
5									5317	2 10	11657	3 6	6340	- 8	-	-
6									9231	6 -	10776	5 6	1544	15 6	-	-
7									12796	2 -	12983	4 -	-	-	712	14 -
8									14946	9 6	17101	14 6	2155	5 -	-	-
9									10066	7 -	11112	4 -	1045	13 -	-	-
10									23318	14 -	22263	11 6	-	-	1055	26
11									14592	15 -	11969	13 -	-	-	2923	2 -
12									41237	7 6	39916	7 9	-	-	1320	15 9
13									16973	5 -	17694	3 -	720	14 -	-	-
14									17548	4 6	15777	12 6	-	-	1770	8 -
15									17328	6 -	18104	8 -	776	2 -	-	-
16									18722	3 -	19704	12 4	982	9 4	-	-
17									12781	8 5	13936	14 4	1155	5 11	-	-
18	19586	1 2	19364	6 15	10	- -	2714	13 -	28607	9 3	25137	8 9	-	-	3470	6 -
19	383697	11 5	365345	6 11	-	- -	18352	4 6	44685	6 6	37622	9 6	-	-	7062	13 -
20									4253	9 6	5450	12 6	1195	3 -	-	-
									1625	12 -	2120	4 -	494	8 -	-	-
	579559	8 3	538992	6 9	-	- -	20567	1 6	343669	7 8	355814	6 8	30591	4 7	18446	5 7
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
	579559	8 3	538992	6 9	-	- -	20567	1 6	343669	7 8	355814	6 8	30591	4 7	18446	5 7

	508577	15 1	491330	4 4	304971	10 4	311059	14 -
	70981	9 2	67662	2 5	38697	13 4	44754	8 8

Dr Increase 18446 5 7
Net Decrease 12144 15 - Dr Increase

Total of the Extra branches of Revenue.

	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Net Increase		Net Decrease	
	48		49		50		51		52		53	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
	261756	14 7	222568	5 10	445	9 3	39634	2 -	-	- -	39188	8 9
	234941	15 4	236053	5 3	14788	3 6	13676	13 7	1111	5 11	-	- -
	235792	- 2	225963	9 2	5635	2 8	15463	9 8	-	- -	9828	7 -
	350259	5 3	351314	6 10	3436	11 11	2381	10 4	1055	1 7	-	- -
	324688	6 2	309470	11 2	15232	6 6	30450	1 6	-	- -	15217	11 -
	434538	- 6	396034	15 11	4624	11 -	49127	11 7	-	- -	44503	- 7
	239190	1 2	248703	1 2	10785	3 6	12772	3 6	9513	- -	-	- -
	410925	3 7	419188	11 1	9797	13 6	15346	-	8263	7 6	-	- -
	417774	8 1	390359	2 5	11653	2 5	39068	8 1	-	- -	27415	5 8
	206876	3 4	228689	-	25645	9 9	3653	4 1	21992	5 8	-	- -
	436813	10 5	332657	13 3	27	1 6	104182	14 8	-	- -	104155	13 2
	719172	13 9	708032	11 8	16464	7 9	27603	9 10	-	- -	11139	2 1
	128055	- -	124005	6 -	1003	2 11	5052	12 11	-	- -	4049	10 -
	429946	2 8	446310	4 3	25924	6 7	9560	5 -	16364	1 7	-	- -
	622438	11 -	637076	10 -	21511	10 9	6873	11 9	14637	15 -	-	- -
	340991	-	335658	76 -	15596	5 1	-	- -	15596	5 1	-	- -
	207840	3 10	223129	12 3	17599	2 -	2309	9 7	15289	8 5	-	- -
	799167	12 11	845907	6 11	80725	1 8	33975	7 8	46749	10 -	-	- -
	459235	7 11	385055	1 9	7602	5 8	72782	11 10	-	- -	65780	6 2
	869875	5 1	839039	15 8	8778	3 10	39613	9 3	-	- -	30835	5 5
							2536	2 9	-	- -	2536	2 9
	21467	-	318930	13 6	-	- -	46367	11 7	-	- -	45873	3 7
	402552	5 3	356679	1 8	494	8 -	547121	1 2	150572	12 9	399922	12 2
	924528	4 2	899598	4 9	29771	1 9	-	- -	2459	9 6	-	- -
	2508	14 4	467101	0 -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -
	924729	6 5	900040	5 15 7	-	- -	-	- -	153032	6 3	399922	12 2
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	Dr Increase		153032	6 3
	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	-	- -	Net Decrease		246890	5 11

	801698	15 7	774810	32 7				
	123031	4 11	122730	2 13 -				

Fort St. George

7th June 1841.

Total including Extra branches of Revenue

	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Net Increase		Net Decrease	
	54		55		56		57		58		59	
	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as
1	8,22,893	4 5.	8,89,923	11 2	1,06,664	8 9.	39,634	2 "	67,030	6 9	"	"
2	10,62,921	15 9	10,55,146	8 2	14,788	3 6.	22,563	11 1.	"	"	7,775	7 7
3	13,87,845	5 4	14,30,283	12 3	57,902	" 7.	15,463	9 8	42,438	6 11	"	"
4	6,70,623	2 8.	7,15,908	13 7.	47,667	5 3.	2,381	10 4	45,285	10 11	"	"
5	8,75,256	4 9.	9,21,202	11 2	76,396	7 11	30,450	1 6.	45,946	6 5.	"	"
6	8,73,889	3 11.	7,50,076	2 1	4,624	11 "	1,28,437	12 10	"	"	12,3813	1 10
7	13,90,706	4 3.	13,95,094	6 10.	10,785	3 6.	6,397	" 11	4,388	2 7.	"	"
8	11,49,891	3 11.	13,45,549	" 7.	9,797	13 6.	1,14,140	" 10	"	"	1,04,342	3 4
9	17,07,325	10 8.	15,21,614	15 7.	11,653	2 5.	1,90,363	13 6	"	"	1,78,710	11 1
10	16,38,716	12 6.	15,53,666	3 9.	25,645	9 9.	1,10,696	" 6.	"	"	85,050	6 9.
11	18,57,093	" 8.	16,06,407	" "	27	1 6.	2,44,713	2 2	"	"	2,44,686	" 8
12	23,13,835	14 "	22,52,030	14 3.	16,464	7 9.	78,269	7 6	"	"	61,804	15 9
13	12,28,210	13 2.	12,12,192	14 2.	1003	2 11	17,021	1 11.	"	"	16,017	15 4
14	17,50,913	14 "	16,27,419	7 4.	25,924	6 7.	1,49,418	13 3	"	"	1,23,494	6 8
15	24,41,049	1 "	24,00,865	" "	21,511	10 9	61,695	11 9.	"	"	40,184	1 4
16	15,74,079	" 6.	15,65,905	11 2	21,826	10 8.	"	" "	21,826	10 8.	"	"
17	15,01,611	8 9.	15,46,176	9 7.	46,874	10 5.	2,309	9 7.	44,565	" 10.	"	"
18	17,48,665	14 1.	17,97,789	11 1.	83,099	4 8.	33,975	7 8.	49,123	13 "	"	"
19	22,15,305	14 8.	41,43,410	7 6.	7,602	5 8.	79,497	12 10	"	"	71,895	7 2
20	9,10,373	6 3.	8,79,046	4 6	8,778	3 10	40,105	5 7.	"	"	31,327	1 9
	21,467	" 3.	18,930	13 6.	"	" "	2,536	2 9.	"	"	2,536	2 9.
	40,552	5 3.	3,56,679	1 8	494	8 "	46,367	11 7.	"	"	45,873	3 7
	298,02,227	" 9.	289,83,320	5 11.	5,99,531	10 11	14,16,438	6 9	3,20,604	10 1.	11,37,511	4 11
	59,534	10 3.	1,33,908	8 4.	"	" "	"	" "	81,739	9 7.	7,365	11 8.
	298,61,761	11 "	291,17,228	14 3.					4,02,344	3 8	11,44,877	" 5.
									Dr Increase.		4,02,344	3 8.
									Net Decrease.		7,42,532	12 9.
	236,31,502	8 1.	230,46,769	3 5								
	62,30,259	2 11.	60,72,459.	10 10								

Collections of Old balances.

	Land Revenue						Sayer			
	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
	60		61		62		63		64		65		66		67	
	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as	Rs	as
	7,481	2 10	3,279	8 11.	"	" "	4,201	9 11	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,383	8 2.	3,680	11 4	2,297	3 2.	"	" "	18	12 "	21	12 "	3	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	98	7 "	98	7.	"	" "
	56	7 2	985	7 4.	929	2 "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	363	5 7.	441	13 5	"	" "	121	8 2	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	292	11 3.	102	6 1.	"	" "	190	5 2.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,613	2 "	371	14 6.	"	" "	1,071	3 6.	7	10 "	172	3 7.	164	9 7.	"	" "
	31,605	13 9.	10,389	7 5.	7,429	3 9 8.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	5,046	3 3.	3,826	15 6.	"	" "	1,219	3 9	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	3,523	" 7.	3,302	12 8.	"	" "	20	3 11.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	318	3 6.	601	" 10.	282	13 4	"	" "	950	" "	295	" "	"	" "	655	" "
	823	2 6.	967	7 1	144	4 7.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	62	6 "	303	4 2	240	14 2.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1	6 5.	1,425	6 8	1,424	" 3.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	2,986	6 8.	2,666	11 2	"	" "	319	11 6	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	1,428	13 5.	524	7 6.	"	" "	904	5 11.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	877	1.	126	8 7.	39	16.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	253	4 9.	364	14 4.	311	9 7.	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	57,526	8 11	29,140.	13 6	7,996	28 5.	8,948	3 10	976	6 "	587	6 7.	266	" 7.	655	" "

7773

Fort St. George 7th. June 1841.

Abkarry Sundry Small Farms & Licenses. Matumpaha

	Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fusly 1249		Fusly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
	68		69		70		71		72		73		74		75		76		77		78		79	
	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs	Cn	Acs
1	4	14	35	14	11	24									72	32	18	36	14	89			3	109
2			1,000			1,000			72	32							5	6	2	10			2	12
3		12	2	8	8	1	12	8							19	84	135	9	328	156	173	66		
4	6	8	91	1	2	84	92		40	8	21													
5	144	59	53	1											6	24	131	21	403	2	271	141		
6							91	49	105	144	99	12							9	84	9	84		
7											27	101					30	1310	267				4	610
8											6													
9																	78	136	61	14			13	156
10	68	96					68	96									2	1310					2	1310
11																								
12															14	8								
13									14	8														
14									1	10	23	78					21	138						
15			457	14		457	14		11	79	24	114					13	37						
16																	58	69	159	134	101	67		
17																	11	144	23	21	11	41		
18											11	144							11	14	10	144		
19	39	98					39	98			987	4					3							
20																	11	144					20	78
																	207	8						
																	987	4						
	271	1011	1,640	79	1568	49	199	711	246	33	1195	124	1961	143	112	56	513	132	1044	6	578	511	482	24

Fort St. George 1st June 1841

Tobacco

	Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
	80		81		82		83		84		85		86		87	
	enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds	
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,583	7 6	3,330	" 7	"	"	4,253	6 11
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	556	4 "	1902	10 "	997	4 "	"	"
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1599	12	4,054	156	2,455	14 4	"	"
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	8 "	189	82	183	" 2	"	"
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	437	13 4	1,541	46	1,103	7 2	"	"
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	563	5 7	478	150	"	"	84	5 9
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	323	9 1	128	131	"	"	194	12 "
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,699	96	779	" 1	"	"	920	9 5
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,677	51	105,899	7 5	74,222	2 4	"	"
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5,046	3 3	3,826	156	"	"	1,219	3 9
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,537	87	3,502	128	"	"	34	1111
13	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,269	136	919	86	"	"	350	5 "
14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	893	1 "	1,151	159	258	14 9	"	"
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	74	4 "	784	43	710	" 3	"	"
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	95	1436	8 "	1,434	147	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,986	8	2,678	101	"	"	307	127
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,449	51	1,571	116	626	5.	"	"
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"							"	"
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	127	" 9	126	87	311	97	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	253	49	564	141	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9,10,626	11 "
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	21,467	" 3
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,02,552	5 3.
									59,534	113	133,908	84	81,739	97	7,365	11 6

Grand Total of Collections.

Increase		Decrease		Fasly 1249		Fasly 1250		Increase		Decrease	
88		89		90		91					
enhds		enhds		enhds		enhds					
83,476	11 11	89,253	11 9.	62,776	15 10	"	"	"	"		
10,62,927	5 9.	10,56,149	2 2	"	"	6,77837					
13,89,444	6 6.	14,34,338	11 9.	44,894	5 3.	"	"	"			
6,70,629	10 8.	7,16,098	5 9	45,468	11 1.	"	"	"			
8,75,694	2 1.	9,22,743	15 8.	47,049	13 7.	"	"	"			
8,74,452	9 6.	7,50,555	1 11	"	"	"	12,38,977				
13,91,029	13 4.	13,95,223	3 11	4,193	6 7	"	"	"			
14,49,891	3 11.	13,45,549	" 7	"	"	"	10,43,422	34			
17,02,025	4 2	15,22,393	15 8.	"	"	"	1,79,631	46			
16,79,394	1 7.	16,59,565	13 2	"	"	"	10,828	45.			
18,56,139	3 11.	16,10,233	15 6.	"	"	"	2,45,905	45.			
23,17,373	6 7.	22,53,533	10 11.	"	"	"	61,839	118			
12,29,480	10 8	12,13,112	6 8	"	"	"	16,368	4 "			
17,51,806	15 "	16,28,571	7 1.	"	"	"	1,23,235	7 11			
24,41,123	5 "	24,01,649	4 3	"	"	"	39,474	" 9			
15,44,080	9 11	15,67,342	32 23,261	9 3.	"	"	"				
15,04,597	15 5.	15,48,855	3 8.	44,257	4 3.	"	"	"			
17,30,115	3 2.	17,99,301	6 7.	49,186	3 5	"	"	"			
22,15,432	15 5	21,43,537	" 1	"	"	"	71,895	154			
9,10,626	11 "	8,79,611	2 10.	"	"	"	31,015	82			
21,467	" 3	18,930	136	"	"	"	2,536	29			
4,02,552	5 3.	3,56,679	1 8.	"	"	"	45,873	37.			
2,98,61,761	11 "	2,91,19,228	14 3.	32,1088	5 3.	10,63,621	2 "				

Dr. Increase 32,1088 53

Net Decrease 7,42,532 129

7775.

Fort Sutton

7th June 1841.

	Old Balances or Balances of more than 15 years standing.			Balances under 15 Years standing.			Total.			Particulars of Arrears of Duty, 1249 and Land Revenue			Balances			Balances of prior date in train of liquidation permanently settled			Land Revenue not permanently settled						Total Land Revenue		
										Salary						Segustered			Rented			Salary				
	92			93			94			Mootahs.			Mem			97			98			99			100			101		
	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø	Rs	a	ø
1	"	"	"	149,697	15	1.	149,697	15	1.	67,716	2	3.	"			"	"	"	67,716	2	3.	"	"	"	86,293	9	6	154,009	11	9
2	"	"	"	18,810	2	11.	18,810	2	11.	2,03,284	5	2	"			21,289	3	0	2,24,573	8	2	"	"	"	9,493	9	11	23,4067	2	1
3	60,389	11	1.	309,287	8	9	369,677	3	10.	44,922	6	9	2,45,541			1,24,578	7	2	9,14,122	2	3	"	"	"	35,214	3	11	949,356	6	2
4	"	"	"	10,179	"	10.	10,179	"	10.	7,64,593	6	2	83,945			9,39,407	5	8	1,78,7,946	9	2	"	"	"	324	5	6	17,88,270	14	8
5	"	"	"	98,058	11	11.	98,058	11	11.	27,57,481	11	6.	"			1,998,317	13	6	4,755,799	9	"	"	"	"	23,038	15	3	47,78,838	8	3
6	"	"	"	4,827	12	2	4,827	12	2	48	7	1.	15,688			"	"	"	15,736	9	11	"	"	"	4,041	5	9	19,777	15	8
7	"	"	"	21,927	13	4	21,927	13	4	2,898	1	11.	"			"	"	"	2,898	1	11	"	"	"	3,504	1	"	6,402	2	11
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	9,948	7	9	"			2,528	4	3	12,476	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12,476	12	"
9	"	"	"	7,41,725	2	4	7,41,725	2	4	71,108	9	4	"			"	"	"	71,108	9	4	"	"	"	151,682	5	7	222,790	14	11
10	"	"	"	65,858	7	2	65,858	7	2	1,08,283	8	"	"			"	"	"	108,283	8	"	"	"	"	6,528	10	"	114,812	2	"
11	"	"	"	44,293	12	"	44,293	12	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	981	12	5	981	12	5
12	3,863	9	6	2,14,439	7	10	220,303	1	4	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16,243	2	7	73	5	"	16,316	7	7
13	"	"	"	15,310	4	1	15,310	4	1.	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,292	7	5	7,292	7	5
14	3,594	6	2	1,86,726	1	"	1,92,320	7	2	"	"	"	"			13,300	7	1	3,02,727	9	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	8050	8	2	310,777	12	5
15	"	"	"	15,801	1	9.	15,801	1	9.	2,89,427	2	2	"			"	"	"	80,208	5	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,145	9	11	87,353	15	3
16	"	"	"	27,768	10	4	27,768	10	4.	80,208	5	4	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,757	5	2	3,757	5	2
17	"	"	"	51,776	10	5.	51,776	10	5.	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6,502	12	3	6,502	12	3
18	"	"	"	3,360	11	9.	3,360	11	9.	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2040	1	10	2,040	1	10
19	"	"	"	3,539	6	7.	3,539	6	7.	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	718	7	5	718	7	5
20	4,127	7	3.	69,815	12	9.	73,943	4	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7,952	10	10	7,952	10	10
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	73,975	2	"	20,53,204	9	"	21,29,179	11	"	47,99,020	9	5.	4,45,175	4	6	30,99,421	8	8	83,43,617	6	7	16,243	2	7	3,64,629	13	10	87,24,490	7	"

7776 . || Fort St. George

Fort St. George 1st. June 1841

Extra Revenue

Balance of Current Demand of July 1250

	Salt			Sayer			Abkary			Sundry Small Farms and Licenses			Motunpalle			Sea Customs			Tobacco			Stamps			Grand Total of Columns 95 to 109			Land Revenue			Extra Revenue			Total		
	102			103			104			105			106			107			108			109			110			111			112			113		
	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p	Rs.	a	p
1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	160,387	11	7	31,208	3	1	6,127	15	5	37,821	2	6
2	"	"	"	"	"	"	3,137	2	5	964	4	2	2,276	9	0	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	234,193	15	3	67,237	13	11	6,038	7	"	73,276	4	11
3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	126	13	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	957,428	12	11	309,492	1	8	16,750	4	10	326,242	6	6
4	"	"	"	"	"	"	4,963	8	10	108	8	3	2,700	5	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1,788,744	5	7	39,1094	15	11	1,471	6	5	39,2566	6	4
5	"	"	"	"	"	"	377	4	10	"	"	"	96	2	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	479,226	"	9	34,3463	12	6	"	"	"	34,3463	12	6
6	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	10	"	27	9	7	355	4	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	20306	6	3	12568	12	7	10,858	"	4	23,426	12	11
7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	419	10	7	108	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6448	3	11	4,8022	11	4	158	"	"	48,180	11	4
8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	46	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12476	12	"	12572	10	"	"	"	"	12527	10	"
9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	223778	14	6	14,2439	11	1	1,743	14	11	144,183	10	"
10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	987	15	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	114815	9	6	25076	4	"	6,783	11	8	31,859	15	8
11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	7	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11452	2	8	1,437	11	3	5,053	12	4	64,91	10	7
12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	461	1	7	6	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	16316	7	7	264,016	"	9	18,711	9	3	285,727	10	"
13	"	"	"	419	7	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7805	"	4	"	"	"	5,785	13	4	5,785	13	4
14	"	"	"	680	"	"	"	"	"	93	1	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	314489	11	1	9,282	1	5	5,319	15	10	14,602	1	3
15	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,494	2	11	537	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	87,987	7	5	26,736	1	6	967	14	1	27,703	15	7
16	"	"	"	"	"	"	46	9	"	79	3	8	307	11	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4030	3	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
17	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	278	11	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7028	9	5	"	"	"	1,348	8	6	1,348	8	6
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	525	13	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	44938	3	5	335635	7	"	37817	12	1	373453	3	1
19	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,297	13	6	111	4	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	838	1	"	5460	8	11	901	2	8	6351	11	7
20	"	"	"	"	"	"	43	11	5	"	"	"	75	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7952	10	10	20621	10	7	"	"	"	20621	10	7
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	"	"	"	1099	7	3	10870	12	"	5061	14	9	8633	3	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	8750155	12	11	2330186	12	6	125838	4	8	2456025	1	2

7778.

Fort St. George, 7th January 1841.

Bombardier Abstract of the Collections of Duties 1249 and 1250 up to 31st Decbr 1840

	Jewels 1249	Stuffs 1250	Drugs	Dyes	Spices	Metals
Jewels Rupees	20,11,44,85	5	6	20,11,81,21	14	8
Cloth	28,19,73	5	7	9	26,30,85	21	5
Drugs	25,81,56	13	2	4	25,30,680	4	2
Dyes	10,89,27	15	5	111	39,24	13	6
Spices and Drugs	18	28	21	13	5	16	76	74	3
Metals	76	99	11	3	76	46	87	7	4
Iron Cutlery	87	19	7	87	17	69	96	4	5	87	17	69	96	6	45	72	1	1
Sandry	57	96	29	8	3	56	89	92	6	9	20	57	1	6
Total Customs of Rupees	34	36	69	7	8	35	38	14	6	8	12	14	4	15	80	14	3	14	1	7	38	90	5	11
Deduct Customs of Rupees	92	47	29	6	5	6	9	90	10	5	6	12	4	2	89	20	4	11	4	3	28	90	34	2	9	21	8	11	4	3	11	4	1	7	36	76	10	10	42	53	12	1
Remains	29	86	47	6	11	29	11	92	28	6	9	78	3	6	76	10	10	29	6	11	4	3	11	4	1	7	36	76	10	10	42	53	12	1
Collections to the end of January	12	63	21	8	1	29	4	67	69	3	5	13	0	4	67	15	40	3	39	13	5	5	13	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Collections to the end of February	12	63	21	8	1	29	4	67	69	3	5	13	0	4	67	15	40	3	39	13	5	5	13	15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Collections in March	6	23	02	9	3	14	2	33	34	1	2	6	0	2	33	7	20	1	19	6	2	2	6	7	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6
Collections in April	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in May	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in June	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in July	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in August	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in September	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in October	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in November	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2
Collections in December	1	19	92	2	1	3	1	16	69	0	1	2	0	1	16	3	10	0	9	1	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2

Deduct Collections in the following months, viz. [illegible]

Remains [illegible]

[illegible]

1779 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

C Abstract shewing the Increase and Decrease in the Collections of Land Revenue and the Revenue from Extra Sources up to 31st March 1841.

	Land Revenue Increase		Land Revenue Decrease		Extra Sources of Rev. Increase		Extra Sources of Rev. Decrease	
	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Ganjam	10,2017	5 7	"	"	"	"	39,240	5
Vizagapatam	"	"	8,886	13 6	2,108	9 11	"	"
Rajahmundry	54,864	1 1	"	"	"	"	9,669	11
Masulipatam	44,230	9 4	"	"	1,238	1 9	"	"
Guntoor	6,093	1 7	"	"	"	"	15,043	4
Chingleput	"	"	79,431	9 5	"	"	44,465	14
Salem	"	"	5,315	2 7	9,505	9 2	"	"
Madura	"	"	1,12,605	10 10	8,263	7 6	"	"
Nellore	"	"	15,2,366	8 11	"	"	27,264	11
Northern Division of Arcot	"	"	32,749	2 9	21,920	14 4	"	"
Southern Division of Arcot	"	"	1,41,749	7 3	"	"	104,155	13
Tanjore	"	"	50,686	1 7	"	"	11,153	10
Trichinopoly	"	"	11,685	7 8	"	"	4,682	13
Tinnevelly	"	"	1,39,744	3 8	16,478	11 9	"	"
Bellary	"	"	54,581	1 10	15,107	1 1	"	"
Cuddapah	7,654	5 10	"	"	15,607	3 5	"	"
Coimbatore	28,955	12 11	"	"	15,301	7 4	"	"
Canara	1,469	13 1	"	"	47,716	6 4	"	"
Malabar	"	"	6,675	15 6	"	"	65,219	15
Madras — Land Customs	"	"	180	2 9	"	"	30,835	5
Sale Collections on Cochrane's Canal	"	"	"	"	"	"	2,536	2
Sea Customs	"	"	"	"	"	"	45,873	2
Total	3,00,985	1 5	7,96,627	8 3	1,53,250	8 7	4,00,140	14
Deduct Increase	"	"	3,00,985	1 5			1,53,250	8
Net Decrease	"	"	4,95,642	6 10			2,46,890	5

/ Signed / P. B. Sonnerat

1780 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched with reference to the Endorsement of Government thereon referred to.

52

To The Principal Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot.

To the Pl. Coll.r in the Northern Division of Arcot } Sel.

Bd Re 39 } 1841.
Govt Re 890

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your early enquiry and report the accompanying Petition from Mirzah Abid Hussain of Vellore, bearing the Endorsement of Government.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 7th June 1841.

/ Signed / P. B. Sonnerat
Secretary

Resolved that the following Proceedings be recorded.

53

Proceedings. Para 1. The Board regret to observe the little progress made by the Collector in the disposal of the Petitions exhibited in these Returns, not more than three in each Month having been enquired into.

2- In the first of these State-ments a number of the Petitions are noticed as

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

as ready for immediate report, and the very same remark is again entered in the following Months Return. It is desired that Mr. Grant's immediate attention be given to the disposal of the cases of the Petitioners many of which are now of long standing.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing proceedings be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam.

54

Proceedings.

The Board of Revenue entirely disapprove of the System adopted by the Acting Collector of making such extensive stoppages from the pay of the principal Native Servants of his Establishment, as are exhibited in this Return. The same Officers, it is seen, have been repeatedly fined heavily in one quarter, a practice that must be attended with the worst results.

It is desired accordingly that the System be discontinued.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing proceedings be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.

Fort St. George 7th June 1841

Received the undermentioned

Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

55

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

56

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

57

From the Collector of Malabar. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

58

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of April last.

59

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

60

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April last.

From

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Salt account Current for
(61) the Quarter ending 31st March last.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Forwarding the Return
(62) of fines, and of alterations that have been made
in the list of fines, for the quarter ending 31st
March last. and stating that no cases of
suspension or dismissal of Public Servants
have occurred during the same period.

(63) From the Collr of Nellore. Statement shewing
the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent
of 1840/41 at the Ports from 24th
to 31st May last.

(64) From the Collr of Rajahmundry. Submitting Statement
of smuggled Salt recommended for
confiscation for the Month of
April last.

From

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Statement of Goods re-
(65) commended for confiscation for the Month
of April last.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Return of Smuggled
(66) Goods released on payment of Extra
duty for the Months of April
last.

Order on the three abovementioned Statements. Ordered that
(64 - 67) the confiscation and sale of the smuggled
Salt and other Articles, as well as the
levy of Extra duty enumerated in
the abovementioned Statements be
be authorized.

From

7785 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Return
(68) of Persons suspended or dismissed under Regn.
tation VI of 1831 for the Months of April
last is not sent, there being no entry to make.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Forwarding a Copy of
(69) the Application from Messrs Binny & Co.
which was inadvertently omitted to be sent
with his letter of the 25th Instant.

M. D. Drury
" G. D. Drury
" A. Maclean

Compd R Rawlinson.

7786 Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

Diary

Sent the following letter.—

To The Acting Collector of
Tinnevelly

(1)

To the Acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly } Sir,

I am directed by the Board of
Revenue to acquaint you that Government
under date the 18th ult.o have been pleased
to sanction the disbursement of Rupees 17-"-5 applied for in your letter of the
8th April last.

P. B. Smollett
Secretary.—

In Con.n 20th May 1841

Do. 3rd Do.

(Signed)

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
7th June 1841

From the Sub Coll.r of Canara } Received letter, submitting an
(2) application from the Sub Collector Mr.
E Malthy requesting that the leave on
Sick certificate for 6 Months may
be cancelled and that leave of absence
for 6 weeks on private affairs under Section
XI of the Absentee Rules may be granted,
preparatory to his applying for leave to
proceed to Europe on Furlough.—
Ordered to be sent to the
Civil

(2.3) Order thereon.—

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Civil Auditor with the following remark

Submitted for the favorable consideration of Government through the Civil Auditor with reference to the resolution of Government dated 30th ult. November published in the Fort St. George Gazette under date 1st December last.

At a Meeting Present.—

Thursday 10th June 1841

G D Drury Esqre Second Member.

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member

John Dent Esqre Senior Member Absent on Duty

and

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member Absent on Leave

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 7th April last and entered in Consultation 19th Ultimo.—

Ordered that the following letter be circulated in consequence.—

4

Circular to all Coll.rs with additional Para to be of Coll.r of North Arcot.—

Circular

Para 1.— Ordered that Transcript of Para

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Paras first and second of the foregoing letter be forwarded by Circular to all the Collectors under this Presidency with a request they will be pleased to furnish with the least practicable delay a State=ment calculated to exhibit the result called for by Government in their communication.—

The reply to the reference

2

should likewise shew if there are any and what number of native Institutions in each district capable of being entrusted with advantage to the superintendence of native Managers or trustees without the necessity of submitting accounts to the control or scrutiny of the Collector or other Officers of Government the parties and their fitness for the task should be particularly noticed.—

The Principal Collector of the

3

Northern Division of Arcot will be pleased to give effect to the orders now con=veyed to him for abolishing at the earliest practicable period the rent of the privilege for supplying tobac=co to the Pilgrims resorting to Tripatty as also abandoning the tax accruing

N Arcot only

from

from shops rented on the Tripatty Hill during the Festival.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th June 1841

(Signed) P.B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th June 1841
(From Board 24th May)

5

From the Chief Secy to Government

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the increase of Rupees (35) Thirty five per mensem to the salary of Ramakistna Pundit Head Sheristadar of the District of Masulipatam to which he has become entitled from the 18th August 1840 under the Rules of the Service.— (Signed) H.G. Chamier
Chief Secretary

5-6

Order thereon.— Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—
(Read again in Con. 21st June)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th June 1841.—
(From Nellore 24th May)

7

From the Chief Secy to Government

His Lordship in Council on presuming

presuming that the payment of the arrears of yeomiah allowance reported in the foregoing Extract is unobjectionable on grounds other than those on which they were retrenched from the accounts of the Principal Collector of Nellore is pleased to grant sanction thereto.— (Signed) H.G. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

7-8

To the Principal Collector of Nellore

To the Pr. Coll. of Nellore

Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acquaint you that Government under date the 8th Instant have been pleased to sanction payment of the arrears of yeomiah allowances

In Con. 10th June 1841

In Con. 24th May

detailed in your letter of the 11th May and retrenched by the Civil Auditor amounting to Rupees 330-14-2.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th June 1841

(Signed) P.B. Smollett
Secretary

Read.

7791 Fort St. George 10th June, 1841.

Read the following Resolution

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 8th June 1841
(From B.D. 27th May)

(1)
From the Chief Secy. to Govt. } The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants sanction for the proposed disbursement of Rupees (365) Five hundred and Sixty five for making 50 new Brass Mercalls required for the measurement of salt in the Madura District.—

(Signed) Hy. Chamier
Chief Secretary

(9-10)
Order thereon.— Ordered that the sanction conveyed in the foregoing Resolution be communicated to the Principal Collector of Madura.—

Read

Compd. J.R.

7792 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Read the following letter.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(11)
From the Coll. & Mag.te of Rajahmundry } Sir,

I have the honor to Report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day delivered over charge of the Collectorate to Mr. Knox, my head Assistant,

No. 182. and transmit the enclosed receipts given to me by that Gentleman, for the balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury as also for the stamps remaining on hand.—

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Coll.r & Mag.te's Office,
Cocanada, 1st June 841. } (Signed) G.A. Smith.
Collector and
Magistrate.

Received

1793 - Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Received from G. A. Smith Esquire Collector of the Zillah of Rajahmundry the undermentioned Stampt papers remaining in the Hoozoor Cutcherry on the 1st June 1841.

No. of Sizes	Value of each Roll.	Quantity and Value of Stampt Papers remaining in Hoozoor up to 1st June 1841. Rolls	Rupees	Annas	Remarks.
2	4 Annas	4739	1184	12	
	8 Annas	2888	1444	"	
	1 Rupee	1971	1971	"	
	2 Rupees	561	1122	"	
	4 Rupees	363	1452	"	
	8 Rupees	334	2672	"	
	16 Rupees	167	2672	"	
	32 Rupees	96	3072	"	
	50 Rupees	23	1150	"	
	100 Rupees	14	1400	"	
	150 Rupees	4	600	"	
	8 Annas } Decree papers.	300	150	"	
	1 Rupee }	338	338	"	
		11798	19227	12	
	Saper Pawanas.				
7	1 Anna	39008	1875	8	
	4 Annas	6000	1500	"	
	8 Annas	3998	1999	"	
	1 Rupee	700	700	"	
	2 Rupees	500	1000	"	
	4 Rupees	1125	4500	"	
	10 Rupees	13	130	"	
	20 Rupees	15	300	"	
	2 Manuscript	299	"	"	of which 298 are entered
		42658	12004	8	
		54456	31231	4	

Stamp Pr. Rs.

Sea Custom

1794 - Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

No. of Sizes	Value of each Roll.	Quantity and value of Sea Custom Rawanas remaining in the Hoozoor up to 1st June 1841. Rolls	Rupees	Annas	Remarks.
	Sea Custom Rawanas.				
7	1 Anna	455	28	7.	
"	4 Annas	155	38	12	
6	8 Annas	132	66	"	
5	1 Rupee	111	111	"	
4	2 Rupees	39	78	"	
3	4 Rupees	46	184	"	
2	10 Rupees	20	200	"	
1	20 Rupees	22	440	"	
1	4 Annas	107	26	12	
	8 Annas	99	49	8.	
	1 Rupee	105	105	"	
	2 Rupees	44	88.	"	
	4 Rupees	36	144	"	
	10 Rupees	9	90	"	
	20 Rupees	6	120	"	
2	4 Annas	34	8	8.	
	8 Annas	40	20.	"	
	1 Rupee	30	30.	"	
	2 Rupees	30	60.	"	
	4 Rupees	11	44		
	10 Rupees	10	100.	"	
	20 Rupees	7	140	"	
3	4 Annas	35	8	12	
	8 Annas	24	12	"	
	1 Rupee	21	21	"	
	2 Rupees	25	50	"	
	4 Rupees	7	28	"	
	10 Rupees	5	50	"	
	20 Rupees	3	60	"	
4	4 Annas	324	81	"	
	8 Annas	202	101	"	
	1 Rupee	182	182	"	
	2 Rupees	157	314.	"	
	4 Rupees	162	648	"	
	10 Rupees	38	380	"	
	20 Rupees	31	620	"	
		2762	4726	11.	

Stampt Cadjans

7796.

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

No. 2.

Received from George Anthony Smith Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in the undermentioned Coins, the sum of Company's Rupees 1,32,184-8-6 being the Balance of Cash up to this day, according to the Accounts of the Treasury.-

Copper	Quarters	101	-	-	25	15	11½	25 4 2.	
	Copper Coins.								1,26,129 15 8.
	Half anna Rice Pieces	50,880	-	-	3	2	-	1590 - -	
	Quarter do do do	1,08,970	-	-	1	9	-	2,640 2 6	
								Total	4,230 2 6.
									1,32,184 8 6.

Zillah Rajahmundry Cocanada 12th June 1841. E.E. [illegible] [Signed] H. Knox.
Actg Collector Incharge.

1797. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

12

From the Profr. Collr. & Magte in charge of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day received charge of the Collectorate of Rajahmundry from J. A. Smith Esqre Collector and Magistrate.

2. I have likewise the honor to enclose a Statement of the balance of cash remaining in the Treasury for which, I have passed my receipt in Duplicate, agreeably to the Form forwarded with the Deputy Secretary's letter of the 16th January 1834 as prescribed by Section LXIX, Regulation II of 1803.

3. In conformity with the Board's Proceedings under date the 23d February 1837, I beg to forward a receipt, which I have passed in Duplicate, for the Stamps remaining on hand.

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collr & Mag. Office,
Cocanada, 1st June 1841.

} (Signed) J. H. Knox.
Collr & Mag.

1798. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Order on the two foregoing letters

11-13

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

Read the following letters.

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Submitting a report on the affairs of Rajah Canuma Dora Pandu Ahraun Zamindar of Vuinavolu cottah and Gooderadah as called for in the orders of the Board dated 22d February last.

14

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 22d February last transmitting an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d of the same Month on the subject of your letter of the 7th December preceding relative to the affairs of Rajah Camedana Pandiahroo Zemindar of the Purganahs of Vuinavolu cottah and Goodevadah and directing me to report at the earliest period practicable on the present condition of the Estate and to state if any and what abatement of Peishcush is required for the present to enable the proprietor to resume the management and to perform with punctuality his engagements to Government.

2. In reply, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board and

1796.

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

No. 2.

Received from George Anthony Smith Esqre Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry in the undermentioned Coins, the sum of Company's Rupees 1,32,184-8-6 being the Balance of Cash up to this day, according to the Accounts of the Treasury.-

Coins.	Number of Coins.	Rate of Conversion per 100 Pieces of Coin.	Amount	Total
		C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.
Gold. Gold Rupees	46	1,500	690	
Do. Halves	28	750	210	
Do. Quarters	21	375	78 12	
Do. 1/3	123	500	615	
Madras Pagodas	9	385	34 10 4	
Star Pagodas	50	350	196	
				1,824 0 4
Company's Rupees of 1835	9,797	100	9,797	
Do. Halves of Do.	404	50	202	
Do. Quarters of Do.	3,158	25	789 8	
Company's Rupees	93,100	100	93,100	
Do. Halves	31,013	50	15,506 8	
Do. Quarters	11,269	25	2,817 4	
Double Annas	10,630	12 8	1,328 12	
Single Annas	1,548	6 4	90 12	
Half Star Pagodas Pieces	165	175	288 12	
Quarter Do. Do. Do.	86	87 8	75 4	
Old Double Rupees	48	200	90	
Old Arcot Rupees	417	100	417	
Old Company's Rupees	279	100	279	
Bombay Rupees	755	100	755	
Do. Halves	127	50	63 8	
Spanish Dollars	98	219 14 2.	215 7 1.	
New Sicca Rupees	82	104 8 7	85 10 10	
Do. Do. Halves	145	52 4 3½	75. 12 1	
Old Sicca Rupees	71	103 15 9.	73 13 1.	
Do. Do. Halves	67	51 15 10½	34 12 5	
Do. Do. Quarters	161	25 15 11¼	20 4 2.	
				1,26,129 15 8.
Copper Coins.				
Half anna Pice Pieces	50,880	3 2	1,590	
Quarter Do. Do. Do.	1,08,970	1 9	2,640 2 6	
				4,230 2 6.
			Total	1,32,184 8 6.

Zillah Rajahmundry Cocanada, 12th June 1841. E.E. (Signed) H. Krose.
Fr. Asst. Collector Incharge.

1797. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

12

From the Profl. Collr. & Magte in charge of Rajahmundry.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I have this day received charge of the Collectorate of Rajahmundry from G. A. Smith Esqr. Collector and Magistrate.-

2.- I have likewise the honor to enclose a Statement of the balance of cash remaining in the Treasury for which, I have passed my receipt in Duplicate, agreeably to the form forwarded with the Deputy Secretary's letter of the 16th January 1834 as prescribed by Section LXIX, Regulation II of 1803.-

3.- In conformity with the Board's Proceedings under date the 23d February 1837, I beg to forward a receipt, which I have passed in Duplicate, for the Stamps remaining on hand.-

Zillah Rajahmundry
Collr & Magte Office,
Cocanada, 1st June 1841.

(Signed) H. Knox
Hr Majty Collr & Magte

1798. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Order on the two foregoing letters

11-13

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.- Read the following letters.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 22d February last transmitting an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d of the same month on the subject of your letter of the 7th December preceding relative to the affairs of Rajah Canadana Papiahiah's Zemindar of the Purganahs of Vinacottah and Goodewadah and directing me to report at the earliest period practicable on the present condition of the Estate and to state if any and what abatement of Peishcush is required for the present to enable the proprietor to resume the management and to perform with punctuality his engagements to Government.-

Submitting a report on the affairs of Rajah Canadana Papiahiah's Zemindar of Vinacottah and Goodewadah and drawing attention to the orders called for in the orders of the Board dated 22d February last.

14

In reply, I have the honor

2.

to state for the information of the Board

and

Fort St George 10th June 1841-

and Government that it appears to me that so long as the present encumbrances and obligations which affect these estates continue, no abatement of P. Peishcush will enable the present Proprietor to resume the Management or discharge the Peishcush with any degree of punctuality-

3. The Board are aware that, according to the Ranzeenamahs executed by the Zemindar and Pattah Vencatachellum Naick referred to in Mr Blane's letter dated 9th May 1838 these Estates with the exception of four Villages noted in the margin were to be attached and placed under Circar Management on the failure of the Zemindar to pay the stipulated instalments and that the claim of the said Vencatachellum Naik was to be paid out of the surplus Revenue which might remain after discharging the Peishcush charges of Management and the maintenance of the Zemindar's family- These

Chennoor
Chavoor
Moorey
&
Pamurroo-

Fort St George 10th June 1841-

4. These Estates were in the first instance attached about the close of July 1245 for a Balance of Peishcush amounting to Rupees 41,133-13-11 or P. and on the 19th July 1837- 3 distinct writs of execution were issued against the same estates according to the tenor of the Ranzeenamahs above adverted to and in pursuance of which the Estates are now under the attachment of the Court-

5. It follows therefore from what has been above recited that no arrangement by which the Zemindar can be put in possession either as manager or proprietor can take place unless the claimant at whose instance the Estates are now under attachment be made a consenting party thereto-

6. I did not entertain the most distant hope that any overtures on my part, which did not stipulate for the early adjustment of P. Vencatachellum Naiks claims or, in other words, remove to

Fort St. George 10th June 1841-

to a more distant date the payment of the public demands against the said Estate, would be accepted by that individual and the result of a personal application and interview has now fully confirmed the justice of that conclusion-

7. At present the sums due according to the Rauzeenamahs above referred to and other debts owing to the P. Venecatachellum Naick exclusive of interest amounting to Rupees 52182-10-9 and if interest and Court Charges be added up to the 31st Instant, the whole debt due to that individual will amount to Rupees 94245-6-2 and further if the claims of other creditors with interest be added the aggregate debt cannot be less than Rupees 1,23069-12-11 A.P.

8. I had hoped that with respect to P. Venecatachellum Naick claims no further legal process or inconvenience was to be resorted to because according to Mr. Maughton and Mr. Blane's construction of the 2nd

Fort St. George 10th June 1841-

Rauzeenamahs and a Petition presented to the former on the 27th February 1837. it was understood that on condition of the payment of Rupees 24358-4-4 "P. Venecatachellum Naick had agreed to forego his claims till the Paikeush should have been liquidated in full-

9. The accompanying Copies of Precepts and Decrees from the Provincial and Auxiliary Courts will shew that the 4 Villages which were not included in the Rauzeenamahs and which were saved from sale by the payment of the said sum due according to Rauzeenamah No. 246 of 1833 are now directed to be sold in order to recover the amount of two suits for Rupees 8641-7-8 A.P. and Rupees 5261-11-8 A.P. preferred by the said individual-

10. The subject of these suits are the Bonds referred to in the Rauzeenamahs No. 43-44 and 45. of 1834. translation of one of which

Vide

Fort St George 10th June 1841.

Vol. No. 43 was forwarded to the Board by Mr Blane together with his letter dated the 9th May 1838 —

11. On referring to Para 4 of that letter it will appear that Mr Blane despairing of success or any beneficial result had deemed it useless to demand any further agreement from this Creditor or any further concessions than those specified in his letter to Mr Wraxton Copy and translation of which is herewith forwarded —

12. I conceive it to be a matter of great importance that the Board should be put in possession of this document and I shall await their further orders as to whether the Petition in question in connexion with the condition of the Runjeenamah (all of which are of the same purport as No. 43. above referred to) affords

Fort St George 10th June 1841.

affords sufficient grounds to resist the attempt of the creditor to dispose of the 4 Villages by order of the Courts —

13. With respect to the reduction of the Peishcush it appears to me that this is a question which cannot be duly considered or thoroughly investigated till the result of the extensive improvements in the sources of irrigation which have lately been effected shall have been ascertained during management for a series of years embracing the usual average of good and bad seasons —

14. In fusly 1249 the first tolerable season after completion of the repairs the collections amounted to Rupees 87,171-5-9 a/c P. or Rupees 5838-5-9 a/c above the Peishcush and I have no doubt that had fusly 1250 been equally favorable the settlement would have exceeded the Peishcush by the sum of Rupees 20,000 —

J

1805.- Fort St George 10th June 1841.-

15. I regret however to be obliged to state that the failure of the rain at a time when it was indispensably required to bring the Crops to maturity has not only disappointed the hopes of the Ryots who with an almost unexampled degree of perseverance and industry had brought a great extent of valuable land under cultivation but also the most sanguine anticipations which I had entertained of a large increase of Revenue for the Current Fasly -

Masulipatam Collectors Cutcherry 21st May 1841. } (Signed) P. Grant Collector

Comp.d J.R.

No 1.-

1806.- Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

No 1.-

Masulipatam Zillah Auxiliary Court
Extra Petition No 27 of 1841.-
Pillarsetty Venkatachellum Naidoo
Petitioner

To the Collector in the Zillah
of Masulipatam

L. S

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Court's Proceedings of date the 10th April 1841 to the orders contained in which you are hereby required to confirm or shew good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in pursuance hereof making return to this Precept within six weeks from and after its receipt.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 14th day of May 1841.-

(Signed) J. Rohde
Assistant Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Auxiliary Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam - Dated 10th April 1841.

E. P. No 27 of 1841
Pillarsetty Venkatachellum
Naidoo Petitioner -

Read Precept and Extract from

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division of date the 19th Ultimo directing the Assistant Judge to execute the Decree in O.S. No. 12 of 1838 Provincial Court's file in favor of Plaintiff Petitioner in No. 27 of 1841.

Ordered that a copy of the Provincial Court's Proceedings together with a copy of the Decree above referred to be sent to the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam with a Precept requesting him to enforce the Decree by collecting the Amount from the Defendant and from the Mortgaged property and to transmit the same to this Court in order to its being paid to the Petitioner.

Return to Precept to be made within 6 weeks from and after receipt of Precept.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ J. Ravenscroft
Assistant Judge

Extract

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

No. 452

Extract from the Proceedings of the Provincial Court of Appeal for the Northern Division.

Dated 19th March 1841

E. P. No. 27 of 1841.

Present
T. C. I. Bartrum Esqr.
2d Judge
P. H. Stromboom Esqr.
3d Judge

Pillarsetty Venkatachellum Naidoo Petitioner

Para 1. Read again Extra Petition No. 27 of 1841 presented on behalf of Plaintiff in O.S. No. 12 of 1838 on the file of this Court praying that the Villages Chennoor, Chavaor, Voorevy and Pramnurroo in the Purgunnah of Vinnacotah appertaining to the Zemindary of the Defendant may be sold and the proceeds paid to him in satisfaction of the Decree passed in the case. This Petition was recorded at length in the Court's Proceedings of the 21st January last.

2. The Court of Sudr Udalut having by their Proceedings of the 29th Ultimo Authorized this Court with reference to their application of the 21st January last, to correct the error into which the 1st Judge has fallen in his order of the 21st December 1840. The Provincial Court therefore direct that the Assistant Judge of Masulipatam be

7809-- Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

be directed to execute the Decree in Original Suit No 12 of 1838 of this Court's file in favor of Plaintiff Petitioner in No 24 of 1841 and that copies of the Proceedings of the 21st January of the said Decree and of this Petitioner be forwarded for his information and guidance with Receipt requiring conformity thereto and returnable in two Months from and after its receipt.-

(True Extract)

(Signed) R. H. Stranham

3d Judge for Register

Decree of the Provincial Court Northern Division Original Suit No 12 of 1838.-

Plaintiff

P. Narsetty Venkatasa Chellum } Versus

Defendants

Rajah Cumadana

Panpaiah Raw Zemindar

Vakeels

Sreepaty Veeyemmah

Signed J. B. G. P. Pasteur
1st Judge N. P. C

Exparte

(L. S.)

In this case the following documents were filed & Witnesses Examined.-

7810 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

For the Plaintiff

No 6 Purporting to be a Mortgage bond executed to the Plaintiff by the Defendant on Tuesday the 1st Soodum of Phaulgoonum of the year Vejaya 11th March 1834.-

No 14 Permanent Cowle granted by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort St. George to Rajah Cumadana Sabanadry now Zemindar of Chamnatah.-

No 15 Purporting to be an Enjetnamah from the Collector of Masulipatam to the Defendants dated 8th March 1832.-

Witnesses

No 9 Moodonooary Streeramloo

No 10 Konovcalanas Sapanah

No 11 Konagunlah Mamekayalo

No 12 Parency Lutchmenursoo.-

This is a Suit for the recovery from the Defendants of the sum of Rupees 7490—.— being principal and interest due on a Bond.-

In the Plaint the Plaintiff states that the Defendant on Tuesday the 1st Soodum of Phaulgoonum Vejeyah 11th March 1834 borrowed from him the sum of 5000 Rupees for the discharge of the Circar Kists &c due on

7811 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

on his Zemindary for February in Fasly 12[illegible]
and on the same date executed a bond
to him for the same that in that Bond the
interest on the said Amount was settled at
8 per cents and after referring to the cir-
-cumstances of the Permanent Cowle of
the Defendants Zemindary having been
mortgaged in the Ranzeenamah filed
by both the Parties in the Suits Nos of 1833
and to the circumstance of its having been
mortgaged in the bonds which were
executed to him (Plaintiff) on Tuesday
the 5th Bahoodum Margasharum of the
year Vejayab (31st December 1833) and on
Saturday the 13th Bahoodum Magh[illegible] of
same year (8th March 1834) it was stipulated
that that Cowle was mortgaged in this Bond
also and that the surplus amount after
deducting the Company's Kists due at the
end of the year from the Collection of all
sources of his (Defendants) Zemindary should
be paid in one Months in liquidation of
this debt that he would take back such
bonds as might be discharged and if any
balance remained he would discharge it
by procuring money separately - The Plaintiff
proceeds

7812 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

proceeds to state that on the expiration of
the time fixed he demanded from the
Defendants payment of the principal and
interest but he has not paid any thing - He
therefore sues to recover from the Defendant as
follows:-

	R as P
Principal of the Bond	5,000-"-"
Interest from the date of the Bond to Thursday the 10th Bahoodum of the Cheytrum of Velumby 19th April 1848	2490-"-"
	7490-"-"

This sums the Plaintiff seeks to recover from
the Defendant together with all costs of
suit and interest up to the date of payment.

Notice requiring the Defendant to
appear and answer to this Plaint was duly
served on him but he failed to appear.

The Suit therefore proceeded exparte

The claim of the Plaintiff as set forth
in the Plaint is satisfactorily proved by the
Witnesses examined in the case - The debt
the subject of this suit is also acknowledged
by the Defendant in the Ranzeenamahs
filed in the Suits Nos 43, 44, & 45 of 1834
in which the parties are the same as in
this case.-

The

}813. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

The Court therefore decrees that the Amount due to the Plaintiff as undermentioned be paid to him by means of the mortgaged property or any other belonging to the Defendant that may be forthcoming, regard being had to any prior Mortgages that may be existing on the property Mortgaged for this debt.-

The Amount to be paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiff is as follows.-

	Rs	as	p
Amount due at the institution of the Suit	7490	—	—
Interest upon the Principal from the 11th Chytra Bahoodhun of Velumby to the 18th Chytra Soodhun of Vikaree 10th April 1838 to 25th March 1839	576	—	10 — 8
	8066	—	10 — 8

It is further resolved that no private adjustment of this Decree shall be allowed or deemed valid unless the terms of such adjustment shall have been previously and reciprocally declared by all the Parties interested herein and made a record of this Court with their unanimous consent.-

The Defendants to pay all costs of Suit as hereunder stated.-

}814 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

	Rs	as	p
Amount of Principal & Interest as mentioned above	8066	—	10 — 8
Fees due to the Plaintiffs Pleader S. Veyennah under Section XXV Regulation XV of 1816	299	—	13 — —
Costs incurred by the Plaintiff			
No 7 First Paper for Plaint	260	—	—
Two Additional Papers	8	—	—
3 One Stamp paper for Vakaletnamah	1	—	—
4 13 Two applications for 3 Documents	6	—	—
7 Two applications for 5 Witnesses	10	—	—
	275	—	—
	8641	7	8

The abovementioned sum should be made good by the Defendant.-

Given under my hand & the Seal of the Court at Masulipatam this 25th day of March 1839

Delivered in Court this 25th day of March 1839.-

By order of the Court.-
Signed/ Hugh Montgomerie
3 Judge for the Rey.

The fair Copy of this Decree having been sealed and signed on 22d April 1839 the period of

7815. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

of Appeal will expire on 22d July 1839.-

/Signed/ Hugh. Montgomerie
3d Judge for the Regt.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ P. H. Strambow
3d Judge for the Regt.

No. 2

Masulipatam Zillah Auxiliary Court

E. P. No. 300 1841

Pillarsetty Venkatachellum
Naidoo Petitioner

To the Collector in the Zillah of
Masulipatam

L. S.

Herewith you will receive an Extract from the Court's Proceedings of the 12th April 1841 to the orders contained in which you are required to conform and shew good and sufficient reason why it has not been executed and what you may have done in pursuance here- of within 6 weeks from and after its receipt.-

Given

7816 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

Given under my hand
and the Seal of the Court
this 14th day of May A. D.
1841.-

/Signed/ J. Rohde
Asst. Judge

Extract from the Proceedings of the Auxiliary Court in the Zillah of Masulipatam Dated 12th April 1841.-

Extra Petition No. 300 of 1841

Pillarsetty Venkatachellum
Naidoo Petitioner.-

Read Extra Petition No. 300 of 1841 presented by Pillarsetty Venkatachellum Naidoo Plaintiff in Cause No. 731 of 1838 Auxiliary Court's file requesting this Court to execute this Court's Decree in No. 731 of 1838 passed in his favor by selling the Villages namely Prammurro, Chinnor Chavar, and Vorvy of the Purganah of Vinnacotah belonging to the Defendant's Zemindary.-

Ordered that Copy of the above Petition and of the Decree referred to above be sent to the Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam with a Precept requesting him to enforce the Decree

1817. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Decree by selling the above said Village and transmit to this Court the proceeds thereof making return to Receipt within 6 weeks from and after its receipt.-

True Extract
Signed J. Roberts
Asst Judge

L.S.

Masulipatam Zillah Auxiliary Court
Decree in O.S. No 131 of 1838- Auxiliary Court
file Pillarsetty Venkatachellum Naidoo Plaintiff

Versus-

Rajah Camadana Punniahroo Defendant.

1 The Plaintiff sues to recover four thousand seven hundred and thirty four Rupees eight Annas 4,734-8-0 being it is stated the amount of Principal and interest due on a bond for 3300 Rupees executed by the Defendant to the Plaintiff on the 5th Bahoola of Bhadrapadah of Jayah (22nd September 1834) for this Amount received in order to the payment of the Circar balance due on the Zemindary for fusly 1243. The permanent Cowle Mortgaged also to Plaintiff in the

1818 Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

this Runjeenamah filed in 16 of 1833 being mortgaged the terms being that payment should be made on the 30th Bahoolum of of Paulgoonee of that year (29th March 1835) with interest a Rone per cent per Mensem all payments on this Account to be endorsed.-

2. The Defendants had the notice served on him on the 20th May 1838 but not attending the case was on the 18th July proceeded in exparte.-

3. For the Plaintiff was filed a bond dated and substance as above.-

4 The Witnesses examined-

11 Vinnacottah Venkatachellum
12 Cavesoorapoo Seetaramayah
13 Canoocalanoo Gopanah
14 Canegaralah Maneteyahoo
15 Pareenee Lutchmeenursoo
16 Pillarsetty Mungiah.-

5. By whom the bond is proved also the payment of the amount and subsequent demand- The 11th Witness admission of the having attested it- the 12th Witness proving the handwriting to be that of his deceased brother proved by the 11th & 13th to have written it- the 11th 13th 14th 15th and 16th Witnesses having been

1819 — Fort St. George 10th June 1834.

been present at its execution — the 13th 15th and 16th when the Defendant has promised putting off payment — Decree is given according to the terms of the bond for 3300 Rupees and interest at 12 per cent per Mensem from its date the 5th Bahoolum of Bhadrapandah of Sayan 22d September 1834 to this date. — 1881 " "

Principal Amount as per complaint	3300	" "
Interest up to the date of this decree	1566	6 5
Stamp duty on Institution and Section XIII Regulation XIII of 1816	150	" "
Fees of Plaintiff's Pleader Streepaty Bahdrachelliah under Section XXV Regulation XIV of 1816	236	3 3
Value of Stamps papers filed by Plaintiff	7	8 "
Stamp duty on (No 4 Exhibits) filed by Plaintiff	1	" "
Expenses for serving processes Viz^t On Plaintiff & his Witnesses	" 10	" "
On Defendants	" 8	" "
	1	2
	5262	3 "
Deduct paid by Defendants	"	8 "
Balance Rupees	5261	11

Company's Rupees Five Thousand Two hundred and Sixty One Annas Eleven and five eighths to be paid by Defendants to Plaintiff

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Court this 25th Day of September 1838

(Signed) J. Robinson
Actg Asst Judge

Comp^t J.R.

1820 — Fort St. George 10th June 1831.

No 3

Translation of a Petition Addressed to — J. C. Wroughton Esq^re late Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Pillary — setty Venkat Chellum Naidoo residing — at Chemmanagerry Pettah —

Dated 27th February 1837

The Amount due to me by Rajah Camadana Paupiah row — Zemindar of Vinnacottah and Goode — wadah Purganahs being the debt con — tracted from me by him by executing a Bond for the same and in Security thereof Mortgaging therein the Permanent Deed granted by the Honorable Govern — ment for the said Purganahs and the — Enayetnamah issued by T. V. Stonhouse Esq^re the late Collector in the Zillah of Masuli — patam putting the said Zemindar in — possession of the Estate is as particularized below

to Rs a ℳ

below Viz^t

Amount for the recovery of which a warrant was applied for being the principal and interest of the Balance due on Account of the Rauzeenamah filed by me and the said Zemindar in the Northern Provincial Court on the 24th October 1833 in the Suit brought by me against him before that Court in N^o 16 of 1833 for the recovery of Rupees 18000 / the sum borrowed of me by executing a Bond for the same on Saturday the 9th increasing Moon of Chitteem Year Vejaya corresponding with 30th March 1833 / together with the interest thereon — 20893 3 2

Amount due on Account of Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum on the principal for 7 Months and 27 days from Saturday the 11th decreasing Moon of Adeca Ashadum Year Doormooky to Monday the 7th decreasing Moon of Maugum of the same year or subsequent to the date up to which the interest was calculated as stated in the application for warrant — 1218 1 3

22111 4

Carried O

Brought Over — 22,111 4 5

Amount due on Account of Rauzeenamahs as follows Viz^t

According to the Rauzeenamah filed in Cause N^o 43 of 1834

Principal — 22984-- --

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 24 Months and 12 days inclusive of the leap Month from 11th increasing Moon of Paul = goonum year Jaya to 7th decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky or subsequent to the date of Rauzeenamah — 5608-1-6

— 28,592-1-6

According to the Rauzeenamah filed in Cause N^o 44 of 1834

Principal — 10574-- --

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 24 Months and 12 days from 11th increasing Moon of Paul = goonum year Jaya to 7th decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky inclusive of the leap Month or subsequent to the date of the Rauzeenamah — 2580--9

— 13,154--9

According to the Rauzeenamah filed in Cause N^o 45 of 1834 —

Carried Over — 41,746 2 3 22,111 4 5

Brought Over 41746 2 3 22111 4

Principal 9950 11 0

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 24 Month and 15 days from 8th increasing Moon of Paulgoonum year Jaya to 7th decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky inclusive of the leap Month or subsequent to the date of the Rauzeenamah 2437 14 9

Amount due according to the Bonds in which the Permanent Deed is Mortgaged and for the recovery thereof no suit is brought by me is as follows 12388 9 9 54134

On Account of the Bond dated Tuesday the 1st increasing Moon of Paulgoonum year Vijya

Principal 5,000 0 0

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 36 Months and 21 days from the said date to 7th Decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky inclusive of leap Month 1835

6,835 0 0

Carried Over 6835 0 76246

Brought Over 6,835 0 0 76246 0 5

On Account of the Bond dated Monday the 5th Decreasing Moon of Baudrapadum Year Jaya

Principal 3300 0 0

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 30 Months and 2 days from the said date to 7th decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky inclusive of the leap Month 992 3 3

4292 3 3 11127 3 3

Amount due According to the Bond dated Saturday the 8th increasing Moon of Paulgoonum year Jaya and for the Security of which Nothing was Mortgaged

Principal 602 12 0

Interest at 12 per Cent per Annum for 24 Months and 14 days from the said date to 7th decreasing Moon of Maughum year Doormooky inclusive of the leap Month 147 7 9

750 3 9

Carried Over 750 3 9 87373 3 8

Brought Over _____ 760 3 9 87373 3

Deduct Amount.—

Paid on Monday the 3d increasing Moon of Vysakum year Doormooky.—

Principal _____ 400 — —

Interest thereon at 12 per Cent per Annum for 10 Months and 2 days from the said date to the 7th decreasing Moon of Inaughum year Doormooky inclusive of the leap Month and which is payable by me to the Defendant _____ 42 10 6

447 10 6 307 9 3

87680 12 11

The

87680 12 11 is due to me by Pauliah Row together with the interest thereon up to the date of its payment. I humbly beg your honor will be pleased to cause the payment of the sum due to me According to the Rauzeenamah No 16 of 1833 together with the interest thereon up to the date of its payment by subdividing the Estate and selling the Villages mortgaged in the said Rauzeenamah. I herewith submit Copies on common paper of the Rauzeenamahs filed in No 43, 44, & 45 of 1834 as stated above and beg your honor will, after perusing the same, be pleased to bring into force the conditions setforth in those Rauzeenamahs both in respect to the sum due on Account of the same Rauzeenamahs and also in respect to the sum due According to the other Bonds alluded to in the said Razeenamahs. I further beg to state that a loss as appears will occur to the loan obtained from me by Pauliah Row on

Account

Account of the Rents of the said Purgana
mortgaged in security thereof a certain
quantity of produce owing to the falling
off in the price of Grain, such sum as
might be due after the Adjustment of
the Accounts on the sale of the whole
quantity of the said produce, is therefore
payable to me by Paupiah row separately
The debt due by Paupiah row on the
Mortgage of his Zemindary is to me
alone, but not to any other person
I therefore state this for your honors
information—

/ Signed / P. Venkatachellum
Naidoo

/ True Translation /
/ Signed / P. Grant
Collector

14- 15

Order thereon
(Read again in bonds
17th March 1842) again in circulation—

Ordered to be sent

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George—

16

From the Collector of
Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to my letter
under

under date 11th March last I have the honor to
submit for sanction a list of the Establish-
=ment deputed by me to aid the Tahsildar of
the Tirwoor Division in collecting the Rents
of part of the Tirwoor Moctah —
attached under the circumstances therein
reported —

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
24th May 1841

/ Signed / P. Grant
Collector

Statement

7830. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Proceedings thereon.

16.17

The Board of Revenue do not generally approve of the retention of the small Estates such as that of Terwoor under Ameen management if it can be avoided. Experience has shewn that in these remote situations the Establishments cannot carry on the Administration with efficiency.

Mr Grant's letter of the 11th March intimated the demise of the late Zemendar and the existence of a widow, only fourteen years of age. The attachment of the Estate was stated to be only temporary pending enquiries as to the proper Heir. A sufficient time has elapsed since then to obtain every necessary information and the Board accordingly desire it be now furnished.

If the widow be, as may be surmised, entitled to succession, the Estate should be registered in her name and the administration committed to her charge if she be competent or if she has relatives or a Manager able and willing to undertake the responsibility. If she be incapacitated for the task and can name no competent person to act in her behalf then the Estate must be taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards.

Let the Board would wish if possible to avoid the alternative. The Balance of Peishcush on the death of the late Zemindar was, it is seen, only Rupees 567-4-1.

Comp.d T.R.

Indent 22d March 1841.

7831. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Read the following letter.

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

18

From the Pr. Collr of Nellore.

Recommending the nomination of Muddavapully Pooroshottum the present Deputy Sheristadar of his Cutcherry to the vacant office of Head Sheristadar.

Sir,

Upon the fullest consideration I have been able to give to the Subject, I am induced to recommend for the Boards' approval the nomination of Muddavapully Pooroshottum, the present Deputy Sheristadar of this Cutcherry, to the vacant office of Head Sheristadar.

2. Mr. Pooroshottum possesses fair abilities and is thoroughly master of all the details of Revenue management. He possesses a better knowledge of the District, of its customs and of the people, than any other Native I am acquainted with. His assiduity is unwearied and if he be not one of the most talented Natives to be found, there are none to surpass him in honesty of purpose, practical acquaintance with Revenue management, and Official integrity. I have known him for many years, and believe him to be thoroughly honest and upright. His family is highly

highly respetable. His father was Sashagiry
Row the Nabob's Renter of this District at t
period of it's assumption, the claims of whose fa
have formed the Subject of a late correspondenc
with the Board and Government. Poorooshottum
is also nearly related to the Sheristadar of
His Highness the Nabob at Chepauk &c. &c.
Score of family and respectability therefore the
is nothing wanting. His Services (entirely in
this District) extend to a period of upwards of 2
years. a portion of which was as Sahsildar of
the Nellore Taluk. In the year 1822/3. he was
for a period of 10 Months acting Deputy Sheristad
and had the entire management of the Distric
He has now been Deputy Sheristadar for
a period of nearly six years, and as the
Board is aware, has been officiating as
Head Sheristadar for the last two years since
the Suspension of Ramanjaroo, I submit therefore
that his claims are such as to justify my recom-
mending him for the appointment of Head Sheristadar
and I shall be gratified if the nomination

meet with the Board's approval.—

Nellore,
Print.e Coll.rs Cutcherry,
29.th May 1841.

(Signed.) J. F. V. Stonhouse.
Print.e Coll.r

Resolution thereon.

The Board of Revenue sanction

(18. 19)

the appointment of Muddava paty Poorooshottum
the present Deputy Sheristadar in the Cutcherry of
the Principal Collector of Nellore, to the situation
of Head Sheristadar in the same Office.—

Read the following letters.—

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St.e George.

(20)

From the Coll.r of Bellary.—

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of the 17.th May intimating
that the Board have consented to the transfer of
Goondapah to the Head Sheristadarship of Tanjore,
I have the honor to request that you will submit for
the sanction of the Board my intention to nominate
Neela Kanta Row, now serving in the Principal
Collectorate of Salem, to be Head Sheristadar in
this District, which appointment is made
with the knowledge and concurrence of Mr. Gleig
Principal Collector of that District.—

With

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

2. With reference to the 2d Para of your letter I have the honor to state that I do not consider it advisable that Goondapah should depart for Sangore before the arrival of a Sheristadar in this District, as in consequence of the number of Deaths which I regret to state have taken place in my Establishment, I have but few responsible Servants present. Mr. Gleig states that Neelakunta Row will have his permission to leave Salem on the 1st of June next.

Bellary Coll.r Office, 22d May 1841. } /Signed/ A. Heller. Collector.

Proceedings thereon.

20. 21 The Board of Revenue are pleased to sanction the nomination of Neelacunta Row to be Head Sheristadar of Bellary, vice Goondapa appointed to Sangore, & Mr. Heller will be pleased to intimate the Board's approval to Mr. Gleig Principal Collector of Salem.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

22 Sir,

From the Coll.r of Bellary. } I had the honor to address you two letters under dates the 27th February and

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

1st May last, requesting the Vouchers submitted with my letter date 28th November 1840 may be returned to this Office, but have not as yet received them. The Accountant General having now called upon me to furnish him with the Documents as soon as possible, I have the honor to request that you will obtain and forward them either to this Office, or at once to the Accountant General as you may think proper.-

/Signed/ A. Heller. Collector.

Bellary Coll.r Office, 3d June 1841. }

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read the following letters.-

22. 23

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

24 Sir,

From the Sub Coll.r of North Arcot. } Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 8th February 1841, in which the Board of Revenue call for my sentiments upon the decision passed in the Petitioner Sooboyen's case, by the Sub Collector.- This

Recording sentiments upon the decision of the Sub Collector in the case of the Petitioner Sooboyen of Sreerungaramza pooram.-

Petitions No. 171 and 775 of 1841 herewith returned.-

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

2.

This was a Complaint filed by certain Plaintiffs on the 10th December 1838. They proceed to state that the Defendant Soobbyer and Curnum Shenmoogapilly have been guilty of certain irregularities in the deduction of "Bramana Menaha" in Fusly 1247. in the Village of Sreerungadapooram, and of having concealed Poonjah and Nunjah land to the extent of 4 Pagodas 1½ Anna and about a Cawney of land without inserting the same in the Accounts. The complaint also alludes to certain irregularities in the Village of Nullyancoolam.-

3.

From perusal of the documents it is proved by the depositions of Witnesses, that Poonjah and Nunjah land to the extent of 6 Cawnies ¾ Anna. assessed at 14 Pagodas. 9 annas. has been concealed. and that 3 Pagodas 7 annas, the amount unauthorized to be deducted as Bramana Menaha, had actually been deducted, and thereby defrauding the Government of 18 Pagodas.-

+ 18.

4.

The first defendant acknowledges that

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

part, and denies part of the Charge. The second defendant denies all.-

5.

The Sub Collector Summoned the parties and witnesses to the Moozoor and conducted the enquiry in person. The witnesses were sworn and Witness Vengaum, a Ryot states that 4½ Annas Nunjah land, cultivated by himself, was by the advice of the Curnum written off as "land prepared but not sown," while he did actually sow the land, but that it became Shavee. Two other witnesses, Yedda Kistnen and Cotty, state that their declarations, before the Tahsildar, of their ignorance whether certain land was or was not cultivated, was false, that they fully knew that the said lands were actually sown. The rest of the Witnesses accords with their declarations given before the Tahsildar.

The Defendant Soobbier acknowledges that, of the concealed land, 2 Pagodas-1 Anna of Poonjah and ¾ Anna of Nunjah was cultivated, and also he acknowledges to the fact of the irregular deduction of 3 Pagodas. 7 Annas on account of Bramana Menaha, but persists in his Statement of the remainder of the land being uncultivated. The deposition of

his

his own partner, Vencatachella Nauk, however disproves this.-

7.

Upon these grounds the Sub Collr has passed his decision, and sentences Soobbier to pay a fine of 40 Rupees, of which 16 Rupees be the value of his Puttah land forming part of those concealed, be taken to Jumma, and the remainder carried to the head of fines.- Further that he pay, in addition, 12 Rupees and 6 pice on account of Bramana Menaka, and that he be dismissed from Office. The Curnum is also fined 100 Rupees under Clause IV, Section V Regulation IX of 1822, and dismissed from Office.-

8.

The Offence appears to come under the Clause and Section quoted by the Sub Collector. It was a fraudulent entry in as much as certain lands proved to have been cultivated were not inserted in the accounts. The punishment however appears even more than proportionate to the offence proved. I am of opinion, therefore, that a fine equal to the extent of the concealed land, (a Moiety to be borne by the Curnum) and Suspension from

Office for one year would be sufficient for all requisite purposes.-

North Arcot,
Print. Coll. Circuit Cutchery,
Palmanair, 22d May 1841.-

(Signed) G. M. Ogilvie.
Print. Collector.

Order thereon.

24. 25

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.-

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

26

From the Pl. Coll. of North Arcot.-

Sir,

With reference to the Acting Secretary's letter of the 17th October 1839, Para 1. directing that the rules relating to Mootaliquan Enams, Circulated under date the 31st July 1815 shall in future be applied also to the case of Mootaliquan Dehut Enams, Viz. "that in cases where the original grantee "or the person named in the Mootaliquan "Grant as the principal, may continue in exis- "tence, the whole amount should be paid to such Original "Grantee, and that the Mootaliquan should look to him "or her for their Subsistence, but where the original grantee

"grantee may be no longer in existence, the
"Yeomiah should be distributed in due proportions
"among the Mootaliquan, and that on the demise of
"each of these persons, his or her particular share
"should be considered as having lapsed to Governm
I have the honor to state that of the thirteen Villages
granted as Mootaliquan Dehat Enam in this District, none
of the present incumbents are original holders as co
specified in the Nabob's Grant. They are it is true all
by direct descent, such as Great Great Grand Children
distant Cousins, &c. &c. to whom it was customary
before the receipt of the Board's instructions, above
quoted, to retain upon the registers as connexions of
the original Grantee, but not one single name co
now upon this Register, is the same as it stood
when the Grant was made.-

2. No new incumbents has been
registered since the receipt of the instructions
of the 17th October 1839, the Yeomiahs, indeed, co
have been in discontinuance for Fusly 1249,
pending this reference. It may bear hard upon
the parties perhaps, as they at present stand upon
the

the register, to debar them of all interest in or right
to these Dehat Enam Villages, and perhaps this
intention of the Board is that their Circular of the
17th October 1839. shall not be considered retros=
spective, but this is a point that will require
confirmation before I can disburse the sum in deposit.-

North Arcot, - - -
Prin.l Coll.r Circuit Catchery,
Palmanair, 27th May 1841.

(Signed) G. M. Ogilvie.
Prin.l Coll.r

Proceedings thereon.-

26. 27

Before passing orders upon co
this reference, the Board would wish to know
the extent and value of the Dehat Enam co
Villages in North Arcot, the date of the Grants,
the nature of the titles, and the number of Parties
participating in each Grant. It should also
be explained whether the parties were in poses=
sion of the Villages, or were only entitled to
a share in the produce and to a money co
allowance.-

As Mr. Ogilvie has
apparently stopped all the allowances
enjoyed

7842. Fort St. George 10th June 1841.-

enjoyed by Dehat Enamdars in North
Arcot, it is proper to observe that the
Board's orders did not contemplate re-
trospective application to any present
holders, and that their allowances should
Consequently be continued as
as heretofore, pending the
receipt of further Orders-

To

7843. Fort St. George 10th June 1841

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

28

From the Pl. Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir.

Para 1 I have the honor to report to the Board that seventeen Carts containing lbs. 17000 or 680 Maunds of Saltpetre, proceeding to the Presidency from Kurnool, have been reported to me by the Frontier Chokedar of Puttapilly as having entered this District without a Rowanah and requesting orders as to the duty to be levied.-

2 The people in Charge have brought no Rowanah with them and as the Article has been permitted to pass through Mysore being Government stores, with a Memorandum only to the Chokedars to Report the Amount of the duty, I have not thought necessary to detain it in this District.-

3 The inland duty leviable on this quantity of Saltpetre Amounts to Rupees 102-5-8 as-b which I request the Board's instructions how to recover.-

North Arcot, - - - - -
Princ.l Coll.r of Cutt.k - - -
Palmanair 29th May 1841

/Signed/ G. M. Ogilvie
Principal Collector

Resolution thereon. The Board would wish to be informed by whom

28-29

whom the saltpetre alluded to in the foregoing letter was transmitted and to whom consigned, and how the circumstance of its being Government Stores is to be established, before they can pass any order on the subject.

Read the following letters.—

(30)

From the Pr. Coll.r in the Southern Division of Arcot

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

Para. 1 With reference to the Proceedings of the Board dated the 15th Ultimo I have the honor to state that the salt work at Murkanum are divided into the old pans called "Sheikal" and a tract of new ones prepared at considerable expence in the year 1821 or 1822 the latter are called "Kurumboo Pans" and are not now made use of. The Kiling pullum pan has always been included in the Kurumboo pans and has not in consequence been used for many years. Fuller information on the subject of these pans will be found in my report of the 26th November 1839.

2 My answer to this part of

the Petition was given in an Endorsement enclosed by the Petitioner in his address to the Board. The pan claimed of right belongs to the Circar, and ought never to have worked except under the expense of the preparation and when the new pans were worked the high rates allowed for manufacture in the old pans were apparently slipped over to the new ones. The Amany cost is less than 3 Rupees per Garce, while the cost at rates allowed to the manufacturing Ryots varies from 11 to 16½ Rupees.—

Cuddalore South Arcot. Princ.l Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry. 21st May 1841.

/Signed/ W Ashton Princ.l Coll.r

Proceedings thereon.

(30-31)

Before passing final orders in the case of the Petitioners Ryots of Marcanum discussed in the foregoing letter the Board of Revenue would wish to know by whose authority the Parties were permitted to defray a portion of

1846 — Fort St. George 10th June 1841

of the expense in preparing the new Pans referred to in the second Para of Mr. Ashtons letter, and how long the higher rates of manufacture have been applied to them If the expense of their preparation was undertaken under any understanding that the higher rate of emolument would be continued, it would seem harsh at once to discontinue them —

32

From the Princ.l Coll.r ...
in the Southern Division
of Arcat ..... } Sir,

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Reporting on a petition from certain Merchants of Cuddalore complaining of them being unable to obtain the drawback on shipment of piece goods made by them in consequence of the Vouchers produced by them for the payment of duties in the interior being imperfect —

I have the honor at the request of certain Merchants of Cuddalore to submit for the consideration and orders of the Board the Enclosed Petition against myself which is presented in consequence of them being unable to obtain the Drawback on Shipments of Piece Goods made by them in consequence of the Vouchers produced by them for the payment of duties in the interior being imperfect —

2 It having come to my notice that

1847 — Fort St. George 10th June 1841

that exchanged Rowannahs were sometimes presented which did not contain the amount of the duty paid, but merely the quantity and description of the goods and their value. I have directed that no such imperfect documents should be received as vouchers for the payment of Drawback from my Treasury the Superintendent of Sea Customs being directed to be attentive to this part of his duty and at once to reject any Rowannahs not properly filled up according to the established forms —

3 The Petitioners object to the strict enforcement of the rule on the plea that they cannot obtain correct Rowannahs in other Districts and are desirous that any papers however imperfect having the signature of the Sayer Officer should pass current as a valid document —

4 The number of applications for Drawback in the last nine months has been 134 of which 70 have been granted and

+ R. 4380-6-7

and 64 + have been rejected from the ✕ imperfect nature of the voucher produced in support of the claim.—

5 It is perfectly competent to each of the claimants to return the rejected Rowannah to the Office from whence it was issued and on obtaining a Corrected one he would be able to ✕ remove his claim before me with ✕ success.—

Parumpauckum - - - - -
South Arcot - - - - -
2d Coll.s Circt. Cutchery,
"22nd " May 1841 - - -

/ Signed / W. Ashton
2d Collector.—

Proceedings thereon,

(32-53)

The Board of Revenue would wish to have the opinion of the Commissioner in charge of South Arcot on the matter referred to in this communication.—

If there was no suspicion that fraud was intended by the ✕ several merchants, the Board would be unwilling that they should be deprived of any Drawback to which they under existing practice were

Mr. Dent will also state whether in his opinion any difficulty is experienced under present circumstances of ascertaining exactly the real amount of drawback to which each Party is entitled under the imperfect certificate to which Mr. Ashton has objected—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(34)

From the Pl. Coll.r
in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot

With reference to the proceedings of the Board dated 26th Ultimo I have the honor to forward an original Urzee from the Government Vakeel of the auxiliary Court at Cuddalore with a translation. There seems to be no excuse for the neglect worthy of consideration in the Urzee and I am informed by the Assistant Judge that it is not customary to point out particular papers to the Vakeels engaged in suits but merely to allow them access to the

1850 Fort St. George 10th June 1841

the record bundle.—

Tindevanum.....
South Arcot.....
2d Coll.a Circt. Cutchery
24th May 1841.....

} Signed / W. Ashton
Princ.l Collector

A

Translation of an arzee addressed to W. Ashton Esqr. Principal Collector of South Arcot by Annayah the Government Vakeel of the auxiliary Court at Cuddalore.—

Para 1 I have received your Takeed of the 17th Instant returning my arzee to the address of the Honorable Government containing my reasons for not having been able to forward to you a copy of the 1st supplemental Complaint filed by the Plaintiff on the 2nd November 1839 in Suit No. 405 of the same year of this Court, and as it is therein stated that my said arzee cannot be submitted to Government and that I should address my arzee directly to you stating

1851 Fort St. George 10th

I beg to state as follows.—

2. Subsequently to the date of the said supplemental Plaint in the above suit a second supplemental Plaint was filed by the Plaintiff on the 31st January 1840 by which only you were made a Defendant you were not a party in that suit prior to that period and consequently I had no occasion to make myself acquainted with the proceedings passed in that suit until you become a Defendant in it.— Agreeably to your Takeed I obtained and forwarded to you Copies of the original and the 2nd supplemental Plaints in the said suit in order to prepare your answer. I was not informed by the Court that the 1st supplemental Plaint was admitted nor had I reason to consider that it must have been admitted because no more than one supplemental Plaint is allowed by Section V Regulation III of 1802 which is supported by Clause III Section VII Regulation XV of 1816.— The

3rd The circumstance which was set forth in the 1st supplemental Plaint and with which you were then unacquainted is that you demanded from the Plaintiff separate Teerwah for the land in dispute and also for the trees existing thereon. This was described in the 2d Para of the reply filed by the Plaintiff on the 4th June 1840 and from the Copy thereof which I obtained and furnished to you as called upon the Rejoinder was prepared by you in English therefore I did not think it necessary to obtain a Copy of the 1st supplemental Plaint.

4th If from a perusal of the copies of the original and the 2nd supplemental Plaints which were forwarded by me it may be ascertained that a 1st supplemental Plaint was filed, you who perused them in the same manner as I did and prepared your answer in English therefrom, ought also to have perceived the same and forwarded

forwarded me a Takeed at the same time directing me to obtain a copy of the 1st supplemental Plaint but you are aware that no such thing was done.

5th From the above allegations you may be pleased to ascertain that the circumstances stated in the 1st supplemental Plaint are explained in the reply and that I did not wilfully commit any wrong in this case. Besides this, if there be any other thing in this case which may lead you to suppose that I might have been enabled to ascertain the same, I am ready to account for it on my being called upon by a Takeed. The length of my service is about 28 years, during which I held the Office of Vakeel in the Court of the Zillah of Verdachellum until it was abolished, and that of the Government Vakeel in this Court since its establishment, and continue to discharge my duties regularly

I therefore pray that you will be pleased to protect me.—

/Signed/ Anniavyah
Government Vakeel

18th May 1861.

/True translation/
/Signed/ J. W. Cherry
Assistant Collector

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

From the Pr. Coll. in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot

Sir,

With reference to my letter to your address of the 19th Ultimo reporting my having filed a brief appeal Petition on the Decree in Suit No. 605 of 1839 and the Board and Government not considering the appeal necessary. I have the honor to request that the sanction of Government for the sum of Rupees 8-- may be procured, being the value of a Stamped Paper purchased for filing the appeal in question.—

Cuddalore
Pr. Coll. Cutcherry
29th May 1861.

/Signed/ W. Ashton
Principal Coll.

Ordered

Order on the foregoing letters. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing letter from the late Principal Collector of South Arcot with its original enclosures be submitted for the consideration of Government with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 12th April 1861.—

(34-36)

Inform: 26th April 1861.

2 The Board do not see any grounds for concluding that the Government Vakeel in the ancillary Court of Cuddalore was actuated by any improper motive in omitting to bring prominently to notice the supplemental Plaint in suit No. 605 of 1839. The Principal Collector's defence was a statement that the Plaintiff had voluntarily renounced the spot of land in dispute a fact which if satisfactorily established would have formed a valid answer to the Plaint.—

3 In reality the Plaintiff seems to have occupied the small spot of land for purposes of convenience. It seems to have been planted with trees and thence to have been unfit for purposes of cultivation, but to have been held by him subject only to the tax demandable

Fort St. George 10th June 1841

demandable on the trees on the Plaintiff objecting to the levy of the land tax for ground not cultivated a native defendant proposed to occupy it on these terms and the Principal Collector at once accepted his offer, placing him thus in posession of some valuable trees Plaintiff's property worth eight or ten times the value of the land.—

4 Had the Board been fully aware of the nature of the case in the first instance they would not have recommended to defence by Government the point mooted in the supplemental Plaint whether true or false is not calculated to alter the main features of the question.

5 Independent of the costs adjudged by the Assistant Judge in his original judgment a further sum of Rupees 8 has been incurred in preliminary measures taken by Mr. Ashton for appealing the cause to a higher Court as shewn in his letter of the 29th Ultimo— It will be for Government to determine by whom these shall be borne.—

In Covg. 10th June 1841

Comp. J.R.

Read

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Read the following letters.

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(37)

From the Collr. of Trichinopoly

To Messrs. Binny & Co. 29th October 1840.

From Do. 29th April 1841.

To Do. 5th May 1841.

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin upon the subject of the purchase by Government of two houses belonging to the Estate of the late Colonel Lennon, and the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 26th August 1839, communicating the instructions of Government that the demand for Quit rent due in arrears upon grants of land at Trichinopoly should be limitted to a period of five years, I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Board of Revenue an application from Messrs. Binny and Co. the Agents for Colonel Lennon's Estate that they may be allowed the same indulgence as was given to other holder of Grants.

I beg to express my opinion that

2. considering the enormous sums of Money paid by Government in rent to Colonel Lennon's Estate, it does not seem to me that they are at all called upon to make any abatement of the arrears

arrears of Quit rent upon the houses in question.-

Trichinopoly District, - - - - - }
Appanamellore - - - - - - - - - - } / Signed / c. A. P. Onslow
Coll.r's Circuit Cutcherry 25th May 1841. } Collector

A.

To, The Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir

We have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter of the 5th Instant. Government having been pleased to restrict to Five years the period for which Quit rent should be levied retrospectively on the House at Trichinopoly belonging to the Estate of Colonel Lennon, referred to in the above letter, it appears to us reasonable that no charge for a longer period should have been made on account of the Property disposed of to Government. Had we proposed, or been called on, to pay the Quit rent previous to the sale being decided on, we presume the benefit of the deduction would have been allowed, and we cannot imagine that Government should wish by the circumstance of their becoming the Purchasers of the Property, to place the owner in a worse position than he would have been otherwise.-

Under the above circumstances we must conclude that the exaction of Quit Rent in the case in question for the full period was an oversight, and we hope you will doos the favor of representing the matter to Govt. with a view to their authorizing a refund of the Amount of Quit Rent charged for any period in excess of Five years.-

Madras 22nd May 1841.- / Signed / Binny & Co.

Proceedings thereon,

37. 38

The Board of Revenue cannot gather from the foregoing letter, nor from the contents of Messrs. Binny & Co.s communication of the 22d May, on what property belonging to Colonel Lennon at Trichinopoly the charge for quit Rent for a longer period than five years has been made in arrear - Mr. Onslow will therefore state concisely the facts of the case, the property adverted to, the date of sale, and the circumstances under which the quit Rent was levied with the Amount recovered, to enable the Board to pass orders in the case.-

To

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

With reference to your letter of the 15th March last, I have the honor to request that I may be informed whether any peculiar mode of Cultivation is necessary to rear the Cotton Seed therein alluded to. I shall have much pleasure in paying attention to any instructions &c may receive upon the Subject.

Trichinopoly Collr.s Cutcherry, 31st May 1841.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To the Collector of
Trichinopoly

(39-40)

To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 31st May I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state that they have no

information on their records relative to the American Cotton Seed, or the method of Cultivation to which it should be subjected

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th June 1841.

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Read the following letters.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

In reference to your letters of the 15th March and 5th Ultimo and one from Captain Hughes of the 22d Ultimo, I have the honor to apprise you of the delivery of the Cotton Seed at Sondi, and beg you will be so good as to acquaint the Board that no Cultivator in this Collectorate is willing to make use of it, nor will they, I think, be surprised when they recollect that remission is never granted for failure of Punjah produce, and when they are aware that my attempt to procure information of the nature of the soil for which it is supposed

supposed adapted, or if it is an annu=
=al or triennial have been as unsuccess=
=ful with Captain Hughes as with them=
=selves- and I have, therefore, the honor to
propose that I may offer entire remission
on failure of this particular Seed the
due proof of which can be easily ascer=
=tained, and non demand of a return of
Seed, if successful, or that I may be in=
=structed to transfer it to the Collector of Tinnevelly

Madura
Sheroomungalum
23d May 1841
Proceedings thereon,

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

(41-42)

The Board of Revenue
will not object to the remission of the
Poonjah Assessment in the event
of the entire failure of the Cotton Seed
received from American and with this
indulgence the Board trust Mr. Blackburne
will be enabled to try the experiment of its
introduction in his District.

To,

(43)

From the Pal. Collr. of Madura

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I take the liberty to return
Petition No. 621. of 1841. because from its Endorse=
=ment directing a Course not authorized
by the Regulations and at variance
with the Board's prohibition as shewn
in their letter of the 2d April 1838 I am
induced to believe they have overlooked the
circumstance of the embezzlement charged
being in a Settled Zemindary.-

Madura
Sheroomungalum
24th May 1841
Proceedings thereon,

/ Signed / J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

(43-44)

If none of the charges
of malversation enumerated in this Peti=
=tion are properly Cognizable by the Prin=
=cipal Collector it will be his province
to return it to the Parties from whom it
emanates with his decision and the grounds
of it endorsed thereon.-

The original Petition No. 621.
of 1841 is returned herewith with that view.-

Statement

1864.

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cuddalore from 31st May to 6th June 1841.

(45)

Quantity of Salt remaining in the Golah on the 31st May 1841.	Quantity received daily from the Pans during the week ending 6th June 1841.	Quantity delivered daily for exportation during the week ending 6th June 1841.	Remarks.
Madras 10000	"	"	
Madras 10000	"	"	
Madras 10000	"	"	
Bengal Rounds Bengal Rs.			
10000	28133	No application received during the last week.	

Fort St. George 7th June 1841.

(Signed) A. Robertson
Collr.

Ordered.

1865.

Fort St. George 10th June 1841.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

(45-46)

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(47) From the Collr. of Ganjam. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3rd Quarter of fusly 1250 or from 1st January to 31st March last.

(48) From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3rd Quarter of fusly 1250 or from 1st January to 31st March last.

(49) From the Pro. Collr. of Nellore. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3rd Quarter of fusly 1250 or from 1st January to 31st March last.

(50) From the Collr. of Trichinopoly. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3rd Quarter of fusly 1250 or from 1st January to 31st March last.

(51) From the Collr. of Madras. Statement of contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 3rd Quarter of fusly 1250 or from 1st January to 31st March last.

Ordered

Order on the 5 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to
(47-52) be sanctioned accordingly.

Read the undermentioned
3 Registers.

From the Coll.r of Chingleput. Register of Petitions
(53) bearing the Board's endorsements presented
to him in the Month of May last.

From the P.t Coll.r of Madura. Register of Petitions
(54) bearing the Board's endorsements presented
to him in the Month of May last.

From the Coll.r of Madras. Register of Petitions
(55 1/2) bearing the Board's endorsements presented
to him in the Month of May last.

Order on the 3 Abov.e Registers. Ordered to be lodged.
(53-56)

Resolved that the
following letter be despatched together
with two petitions therein referred to.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

To the Chief Secy. } Sir,
to Government }

(57) I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to forward for the consideration
and orders of the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council the accom-
panying petition addressed to them
by S.t Boomara Venkatachella Reddy the adopted

son of the late Zemindar of Sooriore in the
Sriehinopoly District, and to solicit
the attention of Government to the a
following circumstances connected
with his case.

The late Zemindar of
2 Sooriore Presenna Venkatachella Reddy
died without issue, on the 9th of March
1835, having executed a Will by which
he appointed his wife Dharma Pun-
-dari Ammall his heir, and autho-
-rized her to adopt a Son to succeed to the
Zemindary.

On the death of the Zemindar
3 the estate was attached as a measure of
precaution, and on the receipt of a re-
-port from the Collector disallow-
-ing certain claims which had been
advanced to the Zemindary by other
parties, and containing information
by which the Board were satisfied of the
genuineness of the Authority alleged to have
been given to the Widow to adopt a Son and
the

the validity of the deed, they desired that he would still retain charge of the estate, until she should have made her selection.

4- In reporting the adoption, when made, the Collector was desired to state his opinion whether or not the Zemindary should be taken under the Court of Wards. The Board thought it would be better that this should be avoided unless there was a probability of the estate being mismanaged by the family. The Collector was desired to ascertain the wishes of the family on the subject, and to state under whose management it should be placed in the event of it's not being taken under the Court of wards.

In Cons: 26th May 1836

5- Under date the 16th May 1836 the Collector reported that the widow of the late Zemindar had adopted a Son in the Month of July previous, and recommended that

in consideration of the respectable character of the adoptive Mother and her capacity for business, the Estate should be entrusted to her management during the minority of the heir, an arrangement which was sanctioned by the Board under date the 26th May 1836. The entire correspondence the substance of what has been recited above, was forwarded to Government with Mr Secretary Onslow's letter of the 5th October 1835.

In Cons: 10th Sept 1840-

6- By a letter from the present Collector of Trichinopoly dated the 21st August last the Board were informed that the Minority had expired a short time before, but that in consequence of a serious misunderstanding having broken out between the widow and her adopted Son, she had most positively declined making over the estate to him or receiving him into her house. Under these circumstances the Collector requested instructions whether the

the Authority and interference of the Court of Wards should be exercised in behalf of the young Zemindar, or whether the parties should be left to settle their disputes should they think proper in the Courts of Adawlut.

7. As the Zemindary had never been formally and in express terms taken under the administration of the Court of Wards the Board did not at first consider it expedient to interfere in that capacity to reject the Widow and place the young Zemindar in possession, and this was intimated to the Collector under date the 10th September last in reply to the report above alluded to with an expression of their hope that the Collector would endeavour to effect a reconciliation between the claimants.

8. Their attention however has been again drawn to this subject on the presentation of the Petition which

which forms the subject of this letter, and on the best consideration they are enabled to give the case the Board are now inclined to think that in fairness and equity the claim of the Petitioner is entitled to attention. The Estate was sequestered by the Collector in the first instance to protect the interests of the proper claimant, the adoption of the Boy S. Comara Vencata Chella Reddy was expressly recognized and acknowledged, and the management was ultimately entrusted to the adoptive mother, avowedly with the view that such management should cease upon the termination of the Minority and for the benefit of the Heir. In law the Board believe that the adoptive mother's rights are superceded by those of the adopted Son, and her claims advanced in a separate Petition, No. 976 of 1841. herewith forwarded, to hold the Estate for life is altogether invalid.

With these impressions the

9. Board think that as the Minority of

of the Party is stated to have terminated the Collector should register the Estate in the name of the adopted Son as the Proprietor the Zemindary having only been intrusted by the late Collector Mr. Blair to the Revenue management to the lady on behalf of her infant Son.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th June 1841. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett Secretary

Resolved that the following letter be despatched.

58

To the Coll.r of Malabar } To, The Collector of Malabar, Sir, Para.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and guidance the Accompanying Copy of an Extract from their Proceedings under date the 15th March last in reference to your letter of the 10th August 1840. and to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 5th April last.

In Cons.s 21st Sept.r 1840.

In Cons.s 22d April 1841.

2. It was omitted thro' oversight to furnish the Communication now

now forwarded with the Extract from the Boards Proceedings of the 22nd of that Month.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th June 1841. } (Signed) P. B. Smollett Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

59 From the Accountant General } Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles required for binding 100 Books of the General Department.

60 From the Accountant General } Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles required for the use of the General Department for the Month of June 1841.

61 From the Accountant General } Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles for the use of the Revenue Department for the Month of June

62 From the Deputy Accountant General } 1841. Indent on the Commissary General for Sundry Articles required for the use of the Military Department for the Month of June 1841.

59.63 Order on the 4 abovd Indents. Ordered to be passed and returned Accordingly.

From

From the Commissioner in Charge of the Sn Dn of Arcot } Abstract of Demand
64 Collection and Balance for the Month of April last.

From the Commissioner in charge of the Sn Dn of Arcot } Statement Shewing the Aver-
65 age Bazar whole sale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of April.

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah. Statement of Smuggled
66 goods on which duty has been collected during the Month of May last.

From the Dl Collr of Coimbatore. Statement Shewing
67 the Seizure of Smuggled Tobacco for the Month of April last.

From the Collr of Malabar. Statement of Smuggled goods
68 for the Month of April last.

Order on the 3 Abovn Statements. Ordered that confiscation
66-69 and Sale of the Smuggled goods and the levy of double duty enumerated in the abovementioned State-ments be authorized.

From the Pl Collr of Coimbatore. Stating that there
70 is no return of Sayer Smuggled goods for the Month of April last.

From the Pl Collr Coimbatore. Return of persons
71 holding Offices described in Section VII Regulation VI of 1831. Suspended and dismissed for April last.

" G.T. Drury

" A. Maclean

Comp. Tho. Pitchie

No Diary

Monday 14th June 1841

At a Meeting Present

D. Drury Esquire Second Member

and

Alexander Maclean Esqre Temporary Member.

John Dent Esqre Senior Member. Absent on duty

and

Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member. Absent on Leave.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extract.

From Chief Secy to Govt

1 Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 31st May 1841.

Read the following Letter.

To: H. Chamier Esqre
Chief Secretary to the
Government of
Fort St. George

Sir

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 394 dated the 23d ultimo, with its Enclosures, and in reply to convey the

Proceed

Fort St. Geo[illegible]e 1841.

the approval of the [illegible] Demand — India in Council to [illegible] of April last. the Right Honorable the [illegible]ing the Ave: for surveying and a[illegible]sing [illegible]s compris: ing the Government Estates District of Vizagapatam at an esti[illegible]robable expenditure amounting to Rup[illegible]80.—

2

His Lordsh[illegible] Council is pleased also to grant a dis[illegible]nary power to the Government of Fort [illegible]orge to sanction without further reference [illegible]rges which may be incurred for the [illegible]pose of surveying the lands of Wodiag[illegible]here difficulties may exist in ascertain their value from the absence of a regul[illegible] survey and assessment.—

3

The original Enclosed of your letter are returned herewith.—

Fort William, — }
The 19th April 1841. }

(Signed) T. H. Maddock
Secretary to the Govmnt of Ind[illegible]

Ordered that the sanctio[illegible] conveyed in the foregoing letter for the Est: mated expenditure of Rupees (29,180) Twenty nine thousand one hundred and eighty for surveying and assessing the lands comprising the Government Estates

Tho[illegible] Ritchie.—

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Estates in the District of Vizagapatam, be communicated to the Board of Revenue — with reference to their Secretary's letter No. 76 dated the 18th of February 1841.—

The Government of India having in the 2d Para of the above com: = munication granted this Government a — discretionary power to sanction charges for surveying the lands of Woodcagherry only, whereas the object of the application was to obtain discretionary authority to sanc: = tion charges of that nature whenever it be: = came necessary to survey and assess lands in any part of this Presidency, Resolved that a further reference be made to the Government of India on the subject.—

Ordered accordingly that the following letter be despatched.—

To The Secretary to the Government of India.—

(Here enter 31st May 1841. No. 726.)

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

1878.

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.-

To. The Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

Sir

(1-2)

To the Coll.r of Vizagapatam -

In Cons.t 18th Feb.y 1841-

From Govt. 31st May in Cons.t 14th June 1841-

With reference to your letter of the 25th January last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the sanction of the Supreme Government has been granted for the estimated probable expenditure to be incurred for the survey and assessment of the lands comprising the Government Estates in your District amounting to Rupees 29,180.-

Revenue Board Office.
Fort St. George.
14th June 1841. - -

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Sec.t

(3)

From the Chief Sec.t to Government. - -

Transmitting copy of a letter from Captain Gresley in reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Cons.t dated 27th March and desiring that the Magistrate of Masulipatam may be called upon to report his proceedings and what measures he

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 31st May 1841.

Read the following letter

To Wm. Chamier Esqr.
Chief Secretary to Government
&c. &c. &c.

Sir.

1.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the

1879

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

he may have taken for the security of the frontier in his District towards the Nizam's territories.-

Consultation dated 27th March 1841 and hope to be able to furnish the information called for in the 1st and 3d Paragraphs thereof in the course of a few days.-

2 I have also had the honor to receive your letter dated 13th Ultimo enclosing the following papers.-

A Petition No. 774 of 1841 addressed by the Moonegal Zemindar to His Lordship the Governor in Council.-

Nos. 1150 of 1840 and to 21 of 1841 addressed by the Zemindars of Lingagherry to His Lordship.-

3 As directed by your letter, I proceed to offer some remarks regarding the subject of the Petitions.-

4. No. 774 of 1841 merely contains the Monegal Zemindar's Statement regarding the "Poolery" dispute and is similar to the Statement forwarded with my Report.-

In the other two Petitions the Lingagerry Zemindars make a charge against Ramjugga Row Zemindar of Anuntagherry Purganah (Nizam's) of having crossed

crossed the frontier with a large body of armed followers and carried off a number of Cattle and Sheep belonging to the Ryots of the Company's Villages - and of having detained them contrary to the orders of the Nizam's Talookdar until the sum of 4937 Rupees had been paid by their Owners - and further of having by threats of persecution caused several of the Ryots of certain Villages in the Lingagerry Zemindaree to leave their homes - and of having in various ways interrupted the cultivation of their lands - from which they (the Petitioners) have incurred a loss which they estimate at 600 Rupees - they conclude by soliciting the protection of Government against the apprehended attacks of the Anantagherry Deshmookh (Ramjugga Row).

5 I was absent on leave when these circumstances are stated to have occurred, but on my return I received a letter from the Lingagherry Zemindars (similar in substance to the Petition addressed to His Lordship in Council No 115

me to assist them - which of course it was not in my power to do, and I referred them to the Magistrate - I do not know whether any enquiry into the case was made by the Nizam's Local Officers, or by the Company's "Head of Police" but from what I heard of the matter, and from my knowledge of the parties and of the localities I have no doubt that the complaint is like the former complaints of the Lingagherry Zemindars very much exaggerated - The Nizam's people positively deny the charge and state that the Cattle were seized on the Nizam's side of the border in default of payment of Poollery, and released when a part of the Money due had been paid - (Vide enclosure)

6 Although the Settlement of the Boundary disputes and of the "Poollery" question will have removed all ostensible grounds for quarrel between the Zemindars of Lingagerry and Anantagherry, yet there seems to be a sort of hereditary feud between them; and from the well known lawless character of Ramjugga Row, the Deshmookh of Anantagherry - the Lingagherry people

people have certainly just cause for apprehending future annoyance.-

7

This Zemindar was formerly in 1829-30 in open rebellion against the Nizam's Government and at the head of a considerable body of followers plundered and burnt several Villages in the Nizam's Country. He was in the habit of taking refuge with the Mooneegal Zemindars where the Nizam's Officers were unable to lay hold of him. but he was at last seized. and having been convicted of murder and a long list of Crimes was sentenced to be imprisoned for life. and was confined at Hydrabad. He has lately been pardoned and reinstated by the Nizam's Government and resides at Pochumcherla within a couple of miles of the Lingagherry frontier.-

8

The management of Anumtaghurry Purgunah is at present under the Superintendence of an active European Officer and there is no immediate fear of any serious disturbance being created by the Zemindar of Anuntaghurry. but should any undue severity on the part of the Nizam's Government, such as over taxation, or depriving him of his hereditary rights

rights, take place he may be expected to recur to his former occupation and since the withdrawal of the Detachment of Company's Infantry from Juggiapet, and the Detachment of Nizam's Infantry which was stationed at Pochumcherla originally for the purpose of preserving the peace of the Country, (which was then disturbed by this very Zemindar Ramjuggarow) there is no body of Troops or Police in the vicinity on either side of the border sufficient to overawe any such turbulent characters. and although the knowledge of the influence which our Government has at Hydrabad and of its uncompromising severity against the enemies of the public peace is no doubt sufficient in general to deter the Nizam's Zemindars from plundering or making any attack upon Villages in the Company's Country, yet this consideration alone would scarcely be sufficient to prevent such a man as Ramjuggarow from prosecuting his long standing feud with the Lingagherry Zemindar and the latter would certainly stand in need of the especial protection of Government.-

A

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

9:

A small body of Police stationed at the Hushah of Lingagherry would I have no doubt, given confidence to the inhabitants and prevent such annoyances as those of which the Lingagherry Zemindars complain - and at the some time it would ensure a report of any disturbance being forwarded immediately to the Magistrate more correct than the partial and exaggerated Statements sent in by the Zemindars.-

Hydrabad.- -
May 8th 1841.-

(Signed) F. Gresley, Captain
H.H. Nizam's Cavalry

I have the honor to return the three Petitions herewith.-

Statement by Mahomed Azim alikhan Talookdar of Anuntagherry Purganah (Nizam's) regarding the Complaint made by the Lingagherry Zemindar.-

Ram Raj Kistnah Head of Police of Juggiahpet (Company's) wrote a letter to Mr. Dighton dated 28 June 1841 saying that Ramjuggarow, Desmookh of Anuntagherry Purgunnah had seized certain cattle and sheep from the Villages of Lingagherry and confined them in the Fort

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Fort at Po-chumcherla, requesting that an enquiry might be made and stating his readiness to meet any person whom Mr. Dighton might send that they might investigate the matter together.-

In this letter no mention is made of any sum of Money having been taken from the Lingagherry people by Ramjugga Row.

In reply to the above letter, Mr. Dighton wrote to the Police Officer (Ram Raj Kistniah) dated 23d July 1840 saying that Ramjugga Row stated that the people of Lingagherry had been in the habit of bringing their sheep and cattle to graze in the lands of Po-chumcherlah and that on his demanding the Poollery that was due, they refused to pay, and when he sent a person to Lingagherry to complain to the Zemindars, they drove his Messenger away. Wherefore he had seized the Cattle belonging to the Lingagherry people which were then grazing upon the pasturage of

of Po-chumcherla - that a fair enquiry into the case is necessary and in the mean time Mr Dighton) had (as requested by the Head of Police), given orders for the release of the Cattle and directed Ramanjee Row - Raja of Po-chumcherla to be ready at Po-chumcherla to enquire into the matter in conjunction with the Head of Police of Juggeahpet (No 1)

From the written Statement of Ramjugga Row it appears that for several years he had been absent from his Zemindary, and had not in consequence received the usual Poollery from the Lingagherry Ryots - on his return he sent to the Lingagherry Zemindars to arrange the payment of it, but they would not listen to him - he therefore detained the Cattle which were grazing upon his lands until their owners had paid 228 Rupees and then released them - but that a great deal more is still due to him from the Ryots of Lingagherry on account of Poollery - On the 8th August 1840. the Lingagherry Zemindar wrote to the Talookdar (Ayem Ali Khan) complaining that Ramjugga Row had seized their sheep and Cattle and the Bullocks from the ploughs and taken Rs 493-7-

Compt. J. R.

from the Ryots who in consequence had left their homes and caused a loss of 600 Rupees to the Zemindar's Revenue."

(Translation.)

(Signed) Frad: Gresley Captain

No 1

No 774 of 1841. From Gopamah Mother and Guardian of Rajah Neessarah Cotanda Ramiah of the Purganah of Moongaul-

In No 1407 of 1841.-

Dated 1st and received by Tappal from Moongaul 6th April 1841.-

With an Enclosure-

Purport

State that their Villages have never been subject to pay Poollooy and pray that it may not now be demanded.-

No 2.

No 1150 of 1840 - Abstract Translation of a Teloogoo Petition.-

From M. Narahary and Mootiah Zemindars of the Purganah of Lingagerry.-

In No 1407 of 1841.

Purport

Purport.-

State that the Neighbouring Zemindar in the Hydrabad country has forcibly driven away their cattle and extorted 4957 Rupees from them. Pray that their Cattle and Money may be restored together with the amount of loss in Cultivation incurred and that they may be protected from further Molestation.-

N-3

N. 421 of 1841 Abstract Translation of a Teloogoo Petition.-

From M Narahary and Malkiah Zemindars of Lingagherry.-

In R. 1407 of 1841.

Purport.-

Praying for the protection of Government against the aggression which they suffer from certain persons in the Bordering Territories of the Nizam.-

Ordered that the foregoing Extract and the Petitions returned with it be transmitted for the information of the Board of Revenue with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 27th March

1841. and in view to the Magistrate being called upon to report his Proceedings and to state whether he has taken any and if any what measures for the security of the Frontier alluded to.-

(A True Extract)
(Signed) W. Chamier
Chief Secretary.-

3-4

Order thereon.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation with the Petitions therein referred to be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam, who is requested to furnish the report required by Government with as little delay as practicable.-

Read the following resolution.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 8th June 1841.

(From Board 24th May)

5

From the Chief Secretary to Govt.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the charge reported in the above letter, amounting to Rupees (236-13-4) Two hundred and thirty six annas thirteen and pice four.-

(Signed) W. Chamier
Chief Secretary

Ordered

Order thereon

5-6

Ordered that the sanc=
conveyed in the foregoing resolution be comm=
=cated to the Collector of Ganjam for his in=
=formation and guidance.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consult=
dated 8th June 1841.

7

From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.

From Board 13th May

Under the opinion expr=
=ed in the communication from the Advoc=
General which accompanied the foregoing
letter the Right Honorable the Governor in
Council requests the Board of Revenue wi=
direct the Principal Collector of South Arc=
to remove the prohibition against the importa=
of Sugar by land through Pondicherry.-

Ordered that the foregoing
resolution be communicated for the inform=
of the Government of India and that the fo=
=lowing letter be accordingly despatched.-

To
T. H. Maddock Esq.re
Secretary to the
Government of India.-

(Here enter 8th June 1841. No. 781)

(Signed) W.m Chamier
Chief Secretary

7-8

Order thereon.

(Read again in Cons.t 24th June)

Ordered to be brought for=
=ward at a future Meeting.-

Read the following letter

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

9

From the Coll.r of Vizagapatam

Submitting explanation with reference to certain Petit=
=tions bringing to notice alle=
=ged embezzle=
=ments in the different branch=
=es of Revenue in the Vizagap=
=atam Dis=
=trict.-

No. 375 of 1841.

Para 1.

I have the honor to ac=
=knowledge the receipt of your letter of the
17th instant transmitting for
such notice and report as
I may think them to be de=
=serving of the Petitions noted
in the Margin.-

Board No. 30 of 1841.
Govt. " 1148 of 1840
Board " 31 of 1841
Govt. " 1151 of 1840
Board " 32 of 1841.
Govt. " 456 of 1841.

These Petitions bring
2.
to notice certain alleged embezzlements in the
different branches of Revenue in this District.
A brief history of the Petitioner, will I trust
enable the Board of Revenue to satisfy itself
of the Petitioners' motives in making these repre=
=sentations and of the credit which they deserve.-

Eskapilly Venkiah formerly
3
called Custoory Venkiah, was for some time
Salt Peishcar at Cooply. The Tanadar had
frequently

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

frequently complained of his conduct and there was, in consequence, much enmity between them. An attempt was made to plunder the house of the Tanadar in which his collections were lodged, and as it was strongly suspected that Venkiah was concerned in this matter, he was dismissed from his situation by my predecessor. As however there was no positive proof, he was subsequently re-employed as a Revenue Samanah in which situation he embezzled Rupees 127.- which he had collected from the Ryots, and preferred a fictitious charge that he had been beaten and robbed of the above sum. After personally investigating this case I sent him for trial to the Criminal Court, by which he was sentenced to one years imprisonment with hard labor in irons. Having undergone this sentence he is now doing every thing in his power to injure the character of those persons whom he considers to have been instrumental in bringing his conduct to my notice.-

Although therefore I could entertain little doubt as to the motives which actuated a person of this description, in preferring complaints against the public servant yet

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

yet, when they admitted of it, I have always given them due attention and instituted an enquiry regarding them, but they have for the most part been of so general and absurd a nature that investigation was altogether unnecessary.-

5 I trust that the Board of Revenue will be satisfied with this explanation and that they will decline to afford any encouragement to such a Character.-

6 The Petitions are herewith returned.-

Vizagapatam, Collr Office, 31st May 1841.- } (Signed) W. U. Arbuthnot Collr

Order thereon

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed upon Petitions noted in the Margin.-

BR No 30 of 1841.
Govt " 1148 of 1840.
BR " 31 of 1841.
Govt " 1151 of 1840
BR " 32 of 1841.
Govt " 456 of 1841.
" 375 of 1841.

9 - 10

The

Fort St. George 14th June 184

Endorsement:-

"The Board of R

=venue having referred to the

=cal Officers, are satisfied

=der the explanation afforde

by the Collector of Vizag

=patam of the Petitioners

Character that his allega

=tions against the Public

Servants in that District a

undeserving of attention."-

Compd. L. R.

Read

Fort St. George 14th June 1841

Read the following Letter-

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

Sir,

(11)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - 3 Parts.

Submitting for Board's approval Statement of Bids made in Public Auction for the several Arrack Farms for the ensuing fasly 1251.

Part 1.

I have the honor herewith to submit for the approval of the Board of Revenue, a Statement of the Bids made in Public Auction on the 21st 22nd 24th and 25th Instant for the several Arrack Farms of this District for the ensuing fasly 1251-

2. The depression natural on the past calamitous season, has begun to be felt most severely in this District, and though the rent offers for the greater portion of the arrack Farms for the Current fasly were most favorable, I fear considerable loss has been sustained & I have experienced very great difficulty in realizing the Revenue from some of them five indeed having come under my Management at a very early period of which, I was only able to effect a recon-

rent to

No. x
1 Jaggernaickpooram
2 Woppandah
3 Taudemullah
4 Rajahmundry
5 Polgootaypootum

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Tuggernaickpooram
2 Worpudrah.
Tandemuttah.

rents for two and arrangements for one, and there have since been several serious failures. The acting part I have been thus obliged to take in the management of this branch of Revenue, has brought under my consideration, an inconvenience generally complained of by the Renters of the irregular arrangement of many of the Farms in consequence of their Villages being under several different authorities. To obviate this, I have this year remodelled the Farms according to the limits of the several Revenue & Police Divisions a measure which has given very general satisfaction, & is likely to lead to the improvement of this branch of Revenue should the seasons become at all propitious.

3 The District under this arrangement is divided into Nineteen Farms instead of thirty six, for thirteen of which offers have been received amounting to Rupees thirty four thousand, six hundred and Eighty six, being above the settlement of the fusly years 1243, 1244 & 1245 and proportionate to the settlements made by the Renters in the last fusly 1249. In

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

4- In fusly 1249 a most complete failure took place in the Reddapore farm which was obliged to be kept under Amanie in the Current fusly 1250, and was settled for Rupees 1678-12-0. The offer for fusly 1251 exceeds this by Rupees 221-4-0 and appears fair. Indeed I consider the proposed Settlement of these thirteen farms for fusly 1251 as good as can be hoped for, in the state of the District from the seasons and the Renters are all substantial and the security good.

	Rupees	As
Amanie Settlement of fusly 1250	1,678	12
Offer for fusly 1251	1,900	0
× Excess	221	4

5- Of the six remaining Farms the ones of Gootatah, Yarnagoodem and Cottapilly bordering on the Hills have never been able to be successfully rented and are under Amanie in the present fusly. There has always been considerable difficulty in getting proposals for the Mogultoor Farms, but its management formerly under Amanie was good, and I do not apprehend any loss. The two farms of Mundapettah and Pettapoor are in a very depressed state and I could get no bid for them, though I proposed allowing the former to go for Rupees Eleven hundred and the latter for Rupees twenty five hundred. I have since received offers to take these two Farms together for Rupees three thousand & three hundred & looking at their actual state from the successive calamities of Famine, storm, and drought accompanied by small pox, which have been the marked features of the three past seasons, the Board may not object to this offer being accepted, if a better cannot be obtained. There is one thing certain in this District, that the competition for these Farms is so great, that if a farm will fairly yield a profit it will very seldom be allowed to go for less than its value.

Zillah Rajahmundry,
Collectors Office,
Cocanada, 28th May 1841.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

Statement

1808.

Fort St. George 14

A Statement of Offers for the Arrack and Toddy Farms

Names of the Estates	Names of Talooks or Divisions	Amanie Rents For fasly 1243	For fasly 1244	of the 1st Instal	Amount of 3 ½ Cent deposit received	Yearly Average
		1	2	3 CR AD	4 CR AD	5 6
Woondy						1 CR 3 80
Veeravasaram						
Bondadah						
Bhemavaram						3 " 1379 3 "
Goonopoody						
Commadakilly						
Gorgaramboly	1 Woondy Talook	1086 2 "	1083 6 "	3 14 CR AD		
Polairoo						
Contemaudah						
Yalatypauty Agrahar and						
Stempoody						Amount of 3 ½ Cent 58-8
Amalapoor						3 " 7665 " " Do in part of 99 ½ Cent 100 —
Parvor						158-8
Pendamoorlunkah						
Cheyairoo						
Ningaoor and						4 " 191 8 "
Vuchnachintalapoody						
Woopalagoopt and						" 50 " "
Pindaporah and Mollalymosah						" 241 1
Gopavaram						
Cindeecarpah						" 730 " "
Chullapilly						
Bheemanapilly						
Moongondah						
Crapah	2 Amalapoor Do	3653 " "	4124 "	Deficit	121 " "	
Vilsavilly						
Potoocoroo						
Moonedevaram						
Tanalunka						
Inavilly						
Cavanacoorro						
Palevalah						
Yanoogapilly						
Vilsah						
Buntoomilly						
Gopaulupooram						
Mookamalah						
Chintapooty & Coroomilly Paudoo						" 6142 14 " "

1899

Fort St. George

Names of the Estates.	Names of Talooks or Divisions.	Amanie Beriz			Average	Settlement of the Renters in fasly 1249 as returns received from the Amildars	Collections		Rent and Amanie Ciet of	Offered for fasly 1251.
		For fasly 1243	For fasly 1244	For fasly 1245			For fasly 1248	For fasly 1249.	fasly 1250.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P	CRs A P
Nagarum Cadaly Lautepaukah and Vandravoopilly	3 Nagarum Talook	1318 12 "	1387 " "	1432 " "	1379 5 "	1800 14 "	" " "	" " "	1500 " "	
Fanoolkoo Doovah Siddantum Attelay Condavilly Pauly Cottapilly Agrahar um	4 Fanoolkoo Talook	1,607 8 "	1,689 " "	1,698 8 "	1,665 " "	2,207 11 "	" " "	" " "	1,940 " "	
Caupauram	5 Caupauram Talook	183 8 "	189 8 "	200 4 "	191 1 4	250 12 "	" " "	" " "	185 " "	
Mooraury										
Coreondah Agrahar um	" Coreondah	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	34 " "	" " "	" " "	36 " "	
		233 8 "	239 8 "	250 4 "	241 1 4	284 12 "	" " "	" " "	221 " "	
Cadeyeu Covoor Monneycoodaly	6 Cadeyeu Talook	733 " "	728 8 "	728 8 "	730 " "	975 " "	" " "	" " "	710 " "	
Cocanada Samulcottah Andranghy Lalapaukah Chellore Pittapoor Pickavole Juggernaickpooram & Gollapilleum	7 Cocanada Talook	6,299 4 "	5,369 4 "	6,760 4 "	6,142 14 8	7,117 10 "	" " "	" " "	6,610 " "	

1900

Fort St. George

Amanie Bewly

Names of the Estates	Names of Talooks or Divisions	For fusly 1243	For fusly 1244	For fusly 1245	Amount of the ties &c.	Amount of 3½ Cent deposit received	Average
1	2	3	4	5		6	
		C.R. A.P.	C.R. A.P.	C.R.		C.R. A.P.	C.R.
Penegondah							
Podoor							
Panoomuntrah							
Vuddepurly Vuntoor of Rauly mottah							
Munchilly							
Pissparah							
Goomnaloor							
Gopaulapooram	8 Penegondah Talook	1625 4 .	1661 8 .	1560	auld. Veneat and	52 8 .	256 9
Vandrah							
Veneatarayapooram							
Podalabdah							
Veneatar ampooram							
Peddapoor							
Veeravaram							
Pickavole							
Linguimpurro							
Juggumpettah	9 Peddapoor Division	2,994 12 .	2716 4 "	2802	deposit	57 " "	
Dantamoor							
Royavaram							
Rajahmundry							
Koondaswarapoor and							
Drorumpoody							
Tuntecondah							
Vadesalairo							
Vazayemmapettah							
Jaulemoody	10 Rajahmundry Do.	4,254 12 "	3532 " "	4,08	ety Christiah	91 " "	3,420 4 "
Korgollah							
Cautavaram							
							32,654 12 4 "

Amount 3½ Cent 9 . .
Dep. 9½ Cent 6.28 . .
37 . .

5,339 .

14th June 1841

For fusly 1245	Average	Settlement of the Renters in fusly 1249 as reports received from the Amildars &c.	For fusly 1248	For fusly 1249	Rent and Amount Cist of Fusly 1250	Offered for fusly 1251	Names of the persons offering for the rent for fusly 1251	Names of the Securities &c.	Amount of 3 p.r Cent deposit received
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Cds A P	Cds A P	Cds A P	Cds A P	Cds A P	Cds A P	Cds A P			Cds A P
1,560 4 4	1,615 9 4	2,407 12 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,745 " "	Pemmarajoo Sunjeeva Roydoo and Cundavilly Paupiah	Pemmarajoo Venea tachell and	52 8 "
2,802 4 "	2,837 12 "	1814 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1,900 " "	Pedapolaty Venkataiesy	Deposit	57 " "
4,081 8 "	3,956 1 4	3,150 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3,020 " "	Chinchoomurty Narsiah Chinchoomurty Kristiah		91 " "

1870.

1901.

Names of the Estates	Names of Talooks or Divisions	Amanie Perish For fusly 1243	For fusly 1244	For fusly 1245	Average	Settlement of the Renters in fusly 1249 as reported on receipt from the Amildars &c.	Collections For fusly 1248	Collections For fusly 1249
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p
Cottaur	11 Tonny Division	259 4 0	242 8 0	268 4 0	256 9 4	365 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Neelapilly								
Ingeranee								
Nadoviapilly & Goottenadeny								
Vagayemmapettah								
Colunkah								
Cotah								
Ramachendrapooram								
Veeravillypollum								
Capelaswerapooram								
Vellah								
Venkatayapollem								
Paumungapully								
Penapullah								
Vegayemmalpettah								
Vaucatippah								
Takey								
Vallumpollem								
Hufsanbadah								
	12 Coringa Div.	4730 0 0	5695 0 0	5592 0 0	5,339 0 0	7317 10 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	13 Dracharam Div.	3278 8 0	3400 2 0	3582 4 0	3,420 4 8	4363 4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
	Total	32073 6 0	31868 8 0	34,022 4 0	32,654 12 8	38,392 13 0	0 0 0	0 0 0

Fort William,
The 19th April 18

comp. Tho. Pitches

1902

Fort St. George

14th Land

Names of the Estates	Names of Talooks or Divisions	Amount Fixed for fasly 1213	Amount Fixed for fasly 1214	Amount Fixed for fasly 1215	Amount of 3 1/2 Cent Deposit received 14	Average 6
1	2	3	4	5		
		Cps a P	Cps a P	Cps a P	Cps a P	Cps a P
Mogulloor						
Yalamunchilly						989 1 4
Sakenatepilly						
Doddeputlah						
Yanoozooranylunkah	14 Mogulloor Talook	3,134 4 0	3,816 10 0	3,937 10		
Paleeole and Contair						242 11 4
Panoomuddem						
Degoomurroo						
Caujah						
Auckuntah						
Cottapilly	15 Cottapilly D:	901 8 0	713 0 0	759		1,038 9 4
Pazoodauapooram						
Bolladoopollem						
Reddiecondapoody						
Nagumpilly						
Moonagalah						
Coty						
Mullapilly						
Chinacondapoody						
Vungalapoody						

1903-

Fort St. G

Names of the Estates	Names of Talooks or Divisions	Amanie Basiz For fusly 1243		For fusly 1244		For fusly 1245		Average.	Settlement of the Renters in fusly 1249 as reported received from the Amildars &c.		Collections For fusly 1248		For fusly 1249		Rent and Amanie Cist for fusly 1250.		Offered for fusly 1251.	
1	2	3		4		5		6	7		8		9		10		11	
		Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a
Pittapoor Kirlampoody Wooppanadah Samulcottah Benopapoody Gollapolo Juggaputtynagaram Velogoobundah Pickavole Vaddalairoo Vagayemmapettah Vaucatippah Gootalah Polavaram Chagallo Mulapully Ruckalunkah Annadavarapaudroo Beemole Razoolapilly Cocconongueerabhadrapooram Nullagondah Dendupoody	16 Pittapoor Talook	3223	a a	2875	6 a	2868	12 a	2989	1	4	2884	a a						
	17 Mundapettah Division	1238	10 a	1245	2 a	1244	6 a	1242	11	4	1342	4 a						
	18 Gootalah Du	1013	2 a	1023	a a	989	10 a	1008	9	4	974	10 a						

1904

1st Fasly 12th June 1841

Names of the Estates	Names of Talookahs or Divisions	Amount Rent For fasly 1243	Amount Rent For fasly 1244	For fasly 1245	Average	Amount of the 12½ Cent in addition rees the [illegible]
		Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps	Rs a ps
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Godalah						
Polavaram						
Jaudemullah						
Talakeecherlah and Goondapilly						
Nagavaram						
Vedantapoor						
Iyavareypolavaram	19 Yernagoodem Division	1,612 8 «	1,568 12 «	1,455 12 «	1,542 5 4	
Pollapooty						
Ramanoyapoor						
Appelrauzgoodem						
Chackradavarapilly						
Ramunnapollem						
Soorapoor						
Ammapilly						
Madapilly						
Total		4,423 « «	4,262 4 «	11,155 2 «	11,280 « 8 «	1,174 4 «
Grand Total		43,496 6 «	43,130 8 «	45,177 10 «	43,934 13 4 «	

Collections on
fasly as per
Do. table
made in fasly
Do. Do.
1250 up to 30

Zillah Rajahmundry, Collectors Office, Cocanada, 28th C[illegible] 1844

Int. Coll.s Land 1841

Names of Tenants or Divisions	Amount Rent For fusly 1243	For fusly 12	For fusly 1245	Average	Settlement of the Rentors in fusly 1249 as reports received from the Amt Collrs Offs	Collections For fusly 1248	For fusly 1249	Rent and Amount Cist of fusly 1250	Offered for fusly 1251	Names of the persons Offering for the rent for fusly 1251	Names of the Securities Offd	Amount of 3 per Cent deposit received
1	2		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
			Cra a p	Cra a p	Cra a p	Cra a p	Cra a p	Cra a p	Cra a p			Cra a p
			1455 12 «	1542 5 4	1,373 « «	« « «	« « «	« « « «	« « « «	Inver Amanie		
Nerungentum Division	1612 8 «	1668 4										
Total	11423 « «	4246 « «	11455 2 «	11280 « 8	11573 12 «	« « «	« « «	« « « «	« « « «			1174 4 «
Grand Total	43496 6 «	43130 8 «	45,177 10 «	43,934 13 4	49,906 10 «	49,270 « 11	43,806 « 7	49,386 3 «	31,686 « «			

Collections within the fusly as per Abstract 49,270 « 11 43,806 « 7

D° subsequently made in fusly 1249 4,883 5 10 « « «

D° D° in fusly 1250 up to 30th April 1841 2 8 « 1,264 14 4

51,155 15 5 45,070 14 11

Collectors Office Cocanada, 28th May 1841 E E Jno [Signed] G A Smith Collector Jno

Proceedings thereon.

(11 - 12)

The Board of Revenue are pleased to authorize the acceptance of the Offer for the thirteen Arrack Farms advertised to in the foregoing letter at an aggregate Rent of Rupees 34,686 — a. They are also pleased to sanction the Offer of Rupees 3,200 for the Government farm of Sumdapettah and Pettapoor if no higher can be obtained —

2. The Collector will of course satisfy himself of the competence of the parties making these Offers and of their sureties

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(13)

From the Princ. Collector of Nellore — — — — — —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of

of the 17th Ultimo, and in reply to so forward a descriptive Roll of the Year - mishdar Musalabeebee for transmission to the Collector of Madras.

I have &c

Nellore — — — — —

1907.

Fort St. Geo.

14th Jan 1841.

Extract from the Register or Descriptive Roll of Yeomiahs granted

No.	Number in the former Registers	Purpose for which granted	Particulars of the originals grant			By what authority granted	Amount	Period of renewal and what those	Terms of Yeomiah	Remarks
			No.	Date	Name					
	Old Register of Register 1812 140 "	Charity	15th Shawaul 1207 Hejera		Kauzeeahee Mother and Shekhamahee Beebee Labanbee and Mauderbee heirdess of Mahomed Hyder	Wuzeejah	11136	17th Augt 18	6 For life	Her Fathers name Meeraheb Husbands name Mahomed Arooleyand the name of her father in law Mahomed Hyder

Nellore

Principal

5th Ja

Ordered

lations dependent upon or the

lize	Age	How re-lated to the origi-nal gran-tee	How related to the last-Yeomiah	Amount of Monthly Yeomiah	Terms of Yeomiah	Remarks
				Rs a p.		
F. I	Y M					
	As per Re-cords of the Office	Mandau-keebee's	Grand Daughter	2 5 0	For life	Her Father's name Meerasaheb Husband's name Mahomed Avooleyan & the name of her father in law Mahomed Hyder
4 3	28 "	Daughters Daughter				
	but according to her State-ment					
	31 "					

T. V. Stenhouse
Principal Collector

Ordered

1908 Fort St George 14th June 1841.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence-

13 - 14

To The Collector of Madras

To The Collector of Madras

Sir-

In Cons. 3d May 1841.

With reference to your letter of the 20th April last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit herewith a descriptive Roll of the Yeomiahdar Masahabeebee furnished by the Principal Collector of Nellore

From the Pnl. Clr. of Nellore 5th In Cons. 14th June 1841.

From a Petition presented to the Board by Masahabeebee it appears that she is now residing at Madras. She has been directed to produce to you a Certificate of her existence attested by two Male Yeomiahdars in pursuance of the existing rules of the Yeomiah Department-

No. 583 of 1841.

Endorsement on Petition No. 583 of 1841-

1909 Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Petitioner is directed to produce to the Collector of Madras a Certificate of her existence attested by two Male Yeomiahdars in pursuance of the existing rules of the Yeomiah Department to enable that Officer to take measures for the payment of her Yeomiah at Madras

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George. 14th June 1841. } Signed P. B. Smollett Secretary

Read the following

Register-

Register

1910

Fort St. George 14th June

Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of Salt to Ceint for the
15 the year 1840/41 in the Month of.

Year and Month.	Date	No. of Certificates	Names of the Vessels	Names of the Ports to which the Vessels are bound.	Commanders.	Quantity of Salt.
1841						
May	1st	63	Luckkeebelah	Nunnadully	C. Soo	
"	"	64	Allee Soudaur	Arcopaka	—	500
"	3rd	65	Rungamullah	Samoodaly	—	500
"	5th	66	Futtabelah	Pedhun	—	1500
"	"	67	Begmullah	Cazchagazee	C.	1000
"	6th	68	Fransisco	Moonapoo	—	1500
"	7th	69	Luckabelahs	Coudee	—	1000
"	8th	70	Putteo Mullah	Fakeerthakumah	C.	1000
"	"	71	Futty Rahaman	Hedaraby	—	3000
"	9th	72	Mohun Mullah	Sumsharcallee	C.	1,000
"	"	73	Baig Lapeah	Hooncepoo	—	1,200
"	11th	74	Setarah	Mussoulah		3000
"	12th	75	Ayska	Arumadully	C.	000
"	15th	76	Mohunmullah	Futtabelly		3500
			Stellard			506
			Principal Collector Salt Agency		C.	

Comp. S. R. Tons. Return

1910

Fort St. George

Register of Certificates issued for the exportation of
15 the year 1840/41

Year and Month	Date	No. of Certificates	Names of the Vessels
1841			
May	1st	63	Luckkiebelas
"	"	64	Allee Soudeer
"	3rd	65	Rungamullah
"	5th	66	Futtabelas
"	"	67	Bogmallah
"	6th	68	Fransisco
"	7th	69	Luckeebelahs
"	8th	78	Patton Mallah
"	"	71	Futty Rohamun
"	9th	72	Mohun Mullah
"	"	73	Brig Lapiah
"	11th	74	Setarah
"	12th	75	Ayska
"	15th	76	Mohunmullah

Settled,
Principal Collector's Cutcherry
8th June 1841

Comp'd. J. R.

1911.

Fort St. George.

Return of the Tahsildars of the Collectorate of

17

	Name and place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Collections.	Pay	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Length of Service as Tahsildar on this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar, and length of Service in such employment.	Length of Service	Total length of Service	Remarks.
					Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Years M. D.	Years M. D.		Years M. D.	Years M. D.	
	Principal Division											
1	Cunchanapully Venkataram- Velagooraula- -pully en Cuddapah Zillah.	Bra- -min.	41.	Cottah.	" " "	35.	" 7 22					
2	Puttry Kistnarow Chilla Kalaor- -petlah.	Do	44.	Surva pully.	" " "	120	" 4					

14th Serie 1841.

Settlore as they stood on the 1st May 1841.

					Sherista Maharatta Goomastah.	10 4 4		
1	2	17.			Sub Division Revenue Coondee	" 7 11		
					Sheristah Head Goomastah.	5 1 7		
					2d ajs Tr Sheristadar....	" " 21		
						16 1 13	17 4 "	
					Masulipatam Zillah.			
4	11.				Aumeen Head of Police of Pano- -guntepole & Batavole Purgannah.	" 6 "		
					Guntoor Zillah.			
					Samoodar of Suttanapully	3 " "		
					Nellore Zillah.			
					Hoozoor Jentor Record keeper	" 2 11		
					Hoozoor Sayer Darogah....	" 2 4		
					Hoozoor Cash keeper....	1 " 7		
						5 4 10.	9 5 17	

1917

Fort St. George

14th June 1841.

Name and place of birth	Caste	age	Talook.	amount of Collections	Pay.	Length of Service as Taholdar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Taholdar and Length of Service in such employment.	Length of Service.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
				Rs. a Cs.	years M. D.	years M. D.		years M. D.	years M. D.	
3. Yiragoodepaully.										
Nuroiah.	Bramin.	43.	Nellore	- - -	160	" 11 17.	Sheristah Hungama Goomastah - - -	" 6 9		
							Mazumdar of Enamanamelloor Talook.	1 11 8.		
							Do of Chendaloor Do	" 9 29		
							Do of Sungum Do	" 1 13.		
							Do of Ravoor Do	" 2 2.		
							Auneen of Chesendy Do	" 3 8.		
							Sheresta Goomastah	2 9 28.		
							Do Head Do	1 2 2		
							2d Asst Sheristadar.	" 3 5.		
								8 1 14.	9 1 1.	
4 Emmanancee Vellaloo						1 2 14	Sheristah Goomastah at Sholapoor Zillah.	1 " "		
Vencatasawmy Pandaloo	= ma	36	Talamanchy	- - -	120	" 5	Writer at Darwar Zillah - - - - - -	7 5 10		
							Paramut Goomastah Chittoor Zillah.	" 11 5		
							Taholdar of Chennaroyanadong in Mysoore	" 2 25.		
							Do of Davaroy Doorg Do	1 9 "		
							Do of Heroor — Do	" 5 "		
							2d Asst to the Sheristadar.	" 2 17.		
								11 11 27.	13 2 11	

1913

Fort St. Geo

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	age.	Talook.	Amount of Pay. Collections.	Length of Service in the present Talook
				Rs. a. Rs.	years M. D.
5. Canera pulloo Soobah Cuddalore.	Brahmin.	42	Sungum.	80	
6 Pauros Pauboraiu = Mudlastanaswara = neepettah	Dn.	40.	Cauvally	85	
7. Chabukoos Aamindarow. Jedoogoo pettah.	Dn.	56.	Calegherry.	70	

14th June 1841.

Length of Service as Talsildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Talsildar and Length of service in such employment.	Length of Service.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
years M. D.		years M. D.	years M. D.	
	Southern Division of Arcot.			
4 2 3.	Revenue Javabneviss Goomastah.	2 4 -		
	Zillah Court Sudder Ameen Goomastah.	5 - -		
	Trichinopoly Zillah. Javabneviss in the Provincial Court	3 1 -		
	Tanjore Zillah. Talsildar of Terovaty Talook.	3 7 -		
	Do Sheristadar of Ryamahee	- 11 1		
	Nellore Zillah. Head of Police of Naidepettu Division.	- 3 25		
	Ameen of Sydapoor	- - 19		
		15 3 15.	19 5 18.	
1 9 3.	Asst. Talsildar of Nellore Talook.	3 6 15.	5 3 18.	
8 11 13.	Nellore Zillah Court Sheristagoomastah.	1 - -		
	Do Do Readers	8 - -		
	Turjumdar ---	10 -		
		10 6 -	19 5 13	

1914 — Fort St. George

Name and place of birth	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Pay Collection	Length of Service as a Talookdar in this Division	Length of Service as Talookdar and Length of service in such employment in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Talookdar and Length of service in such employment	Length of Service.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
				Rs a p	Years					
8. Gowdicherla Ramamarow Chendraghessy.	Bramin	36.	Ravoor	100						
9. Phoosputty Sully Streenevaiarow Phoosputty Sully.	Do	36	Gundavole	70						

14th June 1841.

Length of Service as Talookdar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Talookdar and Length of service in such employment	Length of Service.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
		Years M. D.	Years M. D.	
	Ayst Chittenieve Cotta Talook	1 1 10		
15 26	Do Do Calegherry Do	9 9		
	Police Peishcar of Durvee Chendaloor	1 7		
	Ayst Talsildar of Chendaloor	1 4		
	Sherista Goomastah of Sub Dun Cutcherry	3		
	Head Goomastah of Nellore Talook	32		
	Sayer Javabnevise at Hoozoor	8 6		
	Sayer Mazumdar Do	5 10		
	Mazumdar of Survapully Talook	2 15		
	Do of Woodlyghery Do	5 10		
		10 23	17 0 19	
	Cuddapah Zillah. Sayer Renevenolah of Erlapanda	2 7		
2 6	Zufted Mootasuddee of Nandalamapetta	1 2		
	Carcoon of Buddavole	2 7		
	Nellore Zillah. Sherista Goomastah at the Hoozoor	1 5 22		
	Salt Mazumdar Do	3 1 26		
	Mazumdar of Talamanchy Talook	2		
	Gentoo Record keeper at the Hoozoor	1 25		
	Sherista Head Goomastah Do	21		
	Head of Police of Venecatagherry Division	1 2 8		
	Salt Superintendant of Tudda Do	7 12		
		12 11 26	15 5 26	

Fort St. Georg.

No.	Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talicildar in the present Talook.	Length of Service as Talicildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previous to appointment as Talicildar and Length of Service in such employment.	Length of Service.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
					Rds	Rs.	Years.	Years.		Years.	Years.	
10	Angara Apparow Chittoor.	Bra.	40	Tommalatala = mic. = koor.	" " "	70	" 3					

14th June 1841.

Length of Service as Talicildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previous to appointment as Talicildar and Length of Service in such employment.	Length of Service.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
Years M. D		Years M. D	Years M. D	
	Masulipatam Zillah.			
	Native Record Keeper.	" 4 15		
	Chittoor Zillah.			
5 1 10	Head Goomastah of Head of Police Cutcherry at Cauvatenagarum	1 1 "		
	Head of Police of Do	" 11 "		
	Acting Deputy Sawabnevis Sub Division Cutcherry	" 5 "		
	Magistrate's Sawabnevis of Rs. a/c 1st & Coll.rs Cutcherry	" 4 "		
	Hungama Goomastahs Cauvarepauk Talook	" 2 "		
	Goomastah of Chelengunaram ---	" 4 0		
	Stamp Darogah, Acting Goomastah	" 7 "		
		4 2 21		
	Nellore Zillah.			
	Superintendent of Tudda Division -	" 4 5		
	1st & 2d Talsildars of Nellore Talook -	" 4 27		
		4 11 23	10 1 3	

1910

Fort St. George

14th June 1841.

Name and place of birth.	Casteage	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talookdar in the present Talook.	Length of Service as Talookdar in this Collectorate.	Employments previous to appointment as Talookdar and Length of Service in such employment.	Length of Service.	Total length of Service.	Remarks.
			C.R. a. p.	C.Rs.	Years M. D.	Years M. D.		Years M. D.	Years M. D.	
							Nellore Zillah.			
							Sayer Nevisendah of Vatapurla Tungellah Cundoakoor Chowkies	7 " "		
11	Vannolapanty Bottchiah. Bra=					10 10 14	Peishcar of Pavoor Talook	" 9 "		
	Vagoor =min	54	Woodiagherry				Dm of Toommalatalapoor	1 0 "		
							Head Peishcar of Survapully	" 2 "		
								9 0 "	20 3 14.	
	Sub Division.						Chittenevis in Sayer Department. Nellore.	1 2 "		
12	Chattykist =Bra=						Sayer Goomastah at Koozoor.	" 7 14.		
	=narsw: Nellore =min.	45	Buddapoody				Abkarry Nevisendah in Sungum, Woodiagherry and Calooway.	7 4 "		
						" 5 11	Head Abkarry Peishcar =dah of Soolapett, and Nardepetta.	2 1 20.		
							Abkarry Nevisendah in Talamunchee Talook	" 3 21		
							Head Dm Nevisendah in Gogulapully	" 3 20.		
							Davabnevis in Cudda =pah Zillah	" 3 "		
							Salt Nevisendah of Davarumpaudoo Cottar.	" 7 20		
							Head Goomastah in Buddapoody Talook	4 7 24		
							Mazumdar in Dm	2 8 19		
							Sheristah Goomastah in Sub Division Koozoor Cutcherry.	1 8 16.		
							Mazumdar in Enama =nellore Talook.	1 4 23.		
								23 2 24	23 8 5.	

1917

Fort St. George

14th June 1841.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Collections.	Pay.	Length of Service as Talookdar in the present Talook.	Length of Talookdar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to appointment as Talookdar and Length of service in such employment.	Length of service.	Total length of service.	Remarks.
				Rs. a p	Rs.	years M. D.	Years M. D.		years M. D.	years M. D.	
13. Causikala. Ramachendra Brahmen.	Brahmen	38	Dauvagoodoor Sub. Nellore.	" "	100	2 3 2	4 4 4	Chittenevis of Dauvagoodoor Talook	2 8 "		
								Doyen Gumastah of Cottah Dº	" 8 "		
								Head Dº Dº	" 8 "		
								Mazumdar of Ravoor and Cottah	7 " "		
								Salt Superintendent Cokapully Division and Doogarungepatan Division	3 5 5		
								Head of Police of Vencatagherry	7 " "		
								Hoozoor Salt Mazumdar	" " 2		
								acting Hoozoor Sheristadar	" 1 27		
								Aumeen of Choondy	" 7 11		
								Salt Superintendent of Rudaty Division	" 3 9		
									16 " 24	20 4 28	
14 Mahomed Sheriffuddeen.	Moor.	55	Ongole Sub. Ongole	" " "	100	2 3 20	1479	Sayer Darogah of Cheretta	2 6 11		
								Peishcar of Talamunchy Talook	" 5 "		
								Dº of Dootaloor Dº	" 2 6		
								Aumeenah of Dootaloor	1 4 25		
								Peishcar of Chendalore	1 9 "		
								Hoozoor Moramut Mazumdar	" 1 9		
									6 4 21	21 " "	

1918

Fort St. George

Name and place of birth	Caste	Age	Taluk	Amount of Pay of Collections	Length of Service and Talook in the present Talook
				Rs. a p	Rs. years mo
15 Attavanum Venkatiah. Tendivanum.	Bra = min.	46	Cranana Nellore.	70	1 10
16 Abdul Jance Angole	Moor	41	Chendalore	80	2 3 22

Nellore,

Principal Collector's Cutcherry,

8th June 1841.

14th June 1841.

Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar, and Length of service in such employment.	Length of Service	Total length of Service	Remarks
years M. D.		years M. D.	years M. D.	
	Sayer Mooksurruff at Chinglepat Zillah	3 " "		
	Salt Superintendent of Tadda	3 3 "		
2 8 23	Cattarin Nellore Zillah			
	Do of Kistnapatam	4 " "		
	Do of Pandarty	3 2 "		
	Mazumdar of Pavoor Varegoontapaud Talook	1 4 "		
	Do of Nellore Do	2 " 9		
	Head of Police of Venca tagherry	1 2 4		
		18 8 14		
	Persian Moonshee at the Hoozoor	6 7 6		
2 3 22	Asstt Chitteneveis in Sungum Talook	" 4 25		
	Head Chitteneveis in Talamunchee Talook	3 3 1		
	Salt Goomastah at Hoozoor	" 9 15		
	Hoozoor Sarabneveis	6 9 25		
	Revenue Moonshee Sub = Cutcherry	3 7 29		
	Division Cutcherry			
	Hoozoor Sayer Darogah	" 2 14		
		21 8 9	24 " 1	

[signed] J. V. Stonhouse

Principal Collector

7919.

Fort St. George

(18) Return of Tahsildar of the Collectorate

14th June 1841.

of Tinnevelly.

Name and place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Pay of Collection	Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar, and Length of Service in such Employment.	Total Length of Service	Remarks
				Rs a p Rs a p	Years M. D.		Years M. D.	
Madar Hussain	Mussulman	62	Shermadavy	178 31 .. 5 120	4	Police Darogah in Brummadaenum Shoolcoody	1 4 ..	
Cawn of Trichino= =poly.						Moonsiff in the Nellcambalum Talook	5 10 ..	
						Cotton Manager in the Commercial Factory at Tinnevelly	2 1 23	
					15 .. 21	Acting Nail Sheristadar in the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Do while Tahsildar of Nadoomundalum Talook	.. 11 1	
						Cash Keeper in Do Do	.. 7 15	
							10 10 9	
							25 11 ..	
Venkataramaniah of Santheemunga= =lum in the Zillah of Salem.	Telooga Bramin.	62	Veedoggrammum	109 247 14 2	8 5 ..	Head Goomastah of Chayoor Talook in the Zillah of Coimbatore	3 11 18	
						Deputy Tahsildar of Caroor Ta= =look in Do Do	1 5 ..	
						Dareaputh Sheristadar in the Huz= =zoor Cutcherry in Do	2	
					11 1 12	Nail Sheristadar in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in the Zillah of Tinnevelly	.. 10 6	
						Sheristadar in the Sub Col= =lectors Office in Do Do	2 5 15	
							10 8 9	
							21 9 21	

1920.

Fort St. George

14th June 1841.

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook &c.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in such Employment.	Length of Service.	Remarks &c.
				Rs a p	Rs a p	Years M D	Years M D		Years M D	
Mootia Pillay of Chedumbrum.	Vellala Caste.	40	Bramma = dasum.	152053 " 3	85 " "	3 10 4		Vakeels Goomastah in the Zillah Court of Virdachellum	8 " "	
								Huzzoor Sumpredy in the Southern Division of Arcot	2 " "	
								Pymash Goomastah in Do Do	" 6 "	
								Huzzmash Goomastah in Mangalore	" 5 13	
							4 8 22.	Talook in Do Do		
								Jurmeiss in Munnargoody Ta = look in Do Do	" 11 "	
								Aumeen of the attached Zemindaries of Jaloomaday, Hoorcand, Auvoodiapooram, and Shenaghery in Tinnevelly	5 11 12	
								Peshcar of Shermadavy and Streevigoontum Talooks in Tinnevelly	2 9 4	
									20 7 9	
									24 11 1	
Extra Soobiah of Poolloor in Ramnad.	Teloogo Brahmin.	53	Tencansey	129695 b 10	85 " "	3 1 27		Sumpredy of Terovanthy Talook and Vacanum Peshcar of Solempetah in the Zillah of Tanjore	4 " "	
								Aumeen of Valungaman and Terroothroopoondy Talooks in Do	" 5 16	
							3 4 21	Sayer Goomastah in the Zillah Court of Cumbaconum	2 9 "	
								Diary Keeper in Do Do	7 5 12	
								Rozinum in Do Do	2 10 4	
								Acting Nazar in Do Do	" 2 25	
								Head Jamabnevis in the Collectors Cutcherry of the Zillah of Chengleput	4 " 12	
								Tahsildar of Cunkarm Talavunjy and Soondy Talooks in the Zillah of Nellore	6 4 19	
								Aumeen of Kadoomundalum Talook in Tinnevelly	" 2 15	
									28 5 13	
									31 10 4	

1921

For 6th Qr

Name and place of birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Pay. Collection. Rs a p	Rs a p	Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. Years
Dava Row of Dindegul	Carnadaghey Bramin	53	Streevelle = Poattoor	201 5 4 3 3 8.	85 a " "	1
Canary Row of Hundeanundar = poorum in the Bellary Zillah	Thasnat Bramin	39	Santhoor	159 2 13 10 11.	85 " " "	928

14th June 1841.

Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. Year M D	Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in such Employment.	Year M D	Year M D	Total Length of Service.
	Goomastah of Caroor Talooluk in the Zillah of Coimbatore	5 " "		
	Musedue in the Huzzoor Cutcherry at Do	8 " "		
	Moozoomdar of Velacotta Talook in the Zillah of Madura	2 " "		
4 7 5.	Tahsildar of Mayavarum Talook in the Zillah of Tanjore	1 4 1.		
	Ameen of attached Zemindar of Woottoomaly in Tinne = velly	1 " 27		
		17 4 28.		22 " 3.
	Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Tanjore	" 6 "		
	Do in the Bowaghery and Thundeanunm Talooks at the Zillah of Virdachellum	3 6 "		
	Head Additional Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry at the Zillah of Coimbatore	" 6 11.		
" 928.	Sheristadar in the Head Talook at Do	" 3 24		
	Tahsildar in the Chayoor Talook at Do	1 " 26		
	Tahsildar of attached Zemindar of Woottoomalay in Tinnevelly	" 9 25		
	Moozoomdar in the Vreenigoondam Talook of Do	1 5 29		
		8 2 23		9 " 21.

C. Canara Rao

1922

Fort St. Geo.

Name and Place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook.	Amount of Pay. Collection.	Pay. Rs a p	Length of Service as Talliar in this Talook. Years	Mo.
Sooberamonya Pillay in Manacurray of Vedoogramun Talook.	Vella Caste.	51	Sunker=ninar Covil.	126[illegible]	9 1.	55 a u	1[illegible]
Bamboojee Row of Trichino=poly	Thausust Bramin.	45	Streenegoon=tune	20[illegible]8	8	120 a u	27

14th. June 1841.

Total Length of Service as Talliar in this Collectorate.	Employment previously to appoint. as Talliar, and Length of Service in such Employment.	Total Length of Service	Remarks
		Years Mo.	Years Mo.
Year Mo.	Deputy Nazer in the Zillah Court of Combaconum	13 "	
	Javabneviss in the Talook of Sa=doomundalum and Nelliumba=lum in the Zillah of Tinnevelly.	2 8 20	
	Javabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutcherry in Do	2 4 12	
16 " 25	Deputy Javabneviss in Do Do	" 10 "	
	Head Javabneviss in Do Do	" 1 28	
	Turn Nuveen in Do Do subsequent to his first Talliar=darship	" 2 26	
		7 6 26	
	Natto Curnum in the Termengoody Maccanum of Laulgoody Talook in the Zillah of Trichinopoly	" 2 6	
	Goomastah in the Laulgoody Vittcutty and Vaulegoondapooram Ta=-looke of Do	1 5 2 1	
	additional Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Do	" 2 "	
	Daveetanum Peishcar in the Streerungum Talook and Da=veetanum Sheristadar in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Do	" 4 2	
	Javabneviss in the Coonandoo Talook of Do	" 2 8.	
1 5 20	Magistrate Javabneviss in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Do	" 1 3.	
	Deputy and Head Javabneviss in Do Do	2 11 22	
	Head Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Tinnevelly	2 5 16	
	Sheristadar in the Sub Collector's Office of Do	3 11 20	
		25 6 18.	
			29 " 8

1923

Fort St. Geo

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	Age.	Talook	Amount of Pay. Collection	Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Total Length of Service as Tahsildar and Length of Service in such Employment.	Length of Service previously to appointment as Tahsildar in the Revenue Line.	Remarks.
				Rs as Ps	Years M D	Years M D	Years M D	
Vencata Row in the Surjeeanpoo= =rum of Bungalore	Thausit Bramin	42	Dalla Seela= =rum	102 58 12	" 85			
Moottacomarasam= =my Pillay. Cushah of Tinnevelly	Caracutta Vellala Caste.	51	Mangancherry	103 56 9	" 85			

14th June 1841.

Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in such Employment.

An additional Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Salem		" 9 15
Dareaupth Goomastah in the Commis= =sion Cutcherry of Do		" 7 "
Goomastah and Head Goomastah 6 3 in the Sub Collectors Cutchery of Do		17 "
An additional Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Cosim= =batore		" 6 3
Acting Tahsildar in the Pulladum Talook of Do		" 2 14
Sheristadar in the Poollachy Talook of Do		" 11 1
Do in the Shecaur Cutcherry of Do		" 6 "
Goomastah in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Tinnevelly		1 3 19
Mazoondar of Streevigoondum Talook of Do		" 3 9
	12 8 1	
		13 2 4
Poysum under the Police Darogah in the Zillah Court of Tinnevelly	10 4 18	
Peishcar in Shermadavy Talook of Do	" " 20	
Zapthy Aumeen in Chapatoor and 6 7 22 Woorcaud Zemindaries of Do	5 4 9	
Surm Aumeen in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Do	" 8 2 4	
Goomastah in Sayer Aumanee Cs Chowkies of Do	4 " "	
	20 6 11	
		29 2 8

1925.

Fort St. Geo

Name and place of birth.	Caste.	age.	Taloo K.	Amount of Collection.	Pay.	Length of Service as Sa... silda... in this pres... Talo...
				Rs a P	Rs a P	years M D
Vencatachella-miya in the Kistnagherry of Salem.	Teloogoo Brahmin.	43.	Chockumputty	47,603	8 5 50	" " 6
Vencatachellah naigue.	Gentoo Koorba	"	Punjamaul	106,135	9 5 85	" " 1
Vacant		"	Nellcambalum.			

Tinnevelly, Trichendoor, Collector's Office
1st May 1841

14th June 1841.

Total Length of Service as Sahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employment previously to appointment as Sahsildar and Length of service in such Employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks.
years M D		years M D	years M D
	An additional Writer in the Huzzoor Cutcherry of Trichinopoly	2 4 12	
" 6 2	Magistrate Sarah-nevis in Do Do	8 2 14	
	Peishcar in the Runchamaal Talook of Tinnevelly	" " 2	
		10 6 25.	
		" " 29	
1 " "	Being absent on leave the Information cannot be at present obtained - - - -		

Errors Excepted (Signed) W. C. Ogilvie
Acting Collector.

1920. Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Order on the two foregoing Returns. Ordered to be Recd

17-19

Read the following

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. Geo.

20

From the Dy. Coll.r of North Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1. The accompanying Petitions & Mem.

Report-ing that Tippoo Beg Petitioner in Cs. 1088 of 1840. is entitled to the arrears of Jeemiah amounting to Rs 338-

1840 was transmitted to me in your letter of the 25th Feb.

-ary last, and I was directed to furnish the inform.

Called for in the Board's Remarks upon the Yeomi.

reported in the statement forwarded by me, dat.

the 18th January 1839- I have now the honor to de.

2 The Board declined to recommend

that the arrears claimed be paid without particular in.

-formation as to the cause of the disagreement between

parties alluded to, which prevented the application f.

payment being made before the expiration of 24 Months

explanation of this dispute between the partieit appe.

that there are two individuals to the Jeemiah of 552 P.

Register. Tippoo Beg the Petitioner, and Syed Ally- The

Jeemiah is ten Rupees per Mensem and each individual is

is five. Tippoo Beg performs the Ceremony of the Offer.

Therreoff and Syed Ally that of the Julum Goodee

The two Jemiadars are Connexions and Tippoo

for some reason or other allowed his relation Syed

-ally three Rupees out of his stipend. This allowan.

Tippoo Beg thought fit to discontinue, upon which

Syed Ally gained possession of the Offering therreoff

Box which contains some sacred relic or piece of

his Prieste whisker it is supposed, and would not

be persuaded to part with it. Tippoo Beg's Junction

in consequence of this sacrilege were at an end

and Syed Ally having possession of the Box

the usual Certificate from

that Tippoo Beg

1927. Fort St. George 14th Jun. 1841.

performed the customary Ceremony, could

not be obtained. Tippoo Beg did not recover

his Box for Five years, and this was

in 1835 by a Takeed from my Predecessor

dated the 3d September of that year

Mr. Roberts being previously satis-

-fied from the Tasildar's report

that Syed Ally had unlawfully gained

possession of what constituted Tippoo Beg's

title to the Yeomiahship

The box it seems, was

3d

appropriated by Syed Ally in the year

1831, Tippoo Beg did not claim his Yeo-

-miah until 1833, the 24 Months delay

(as observed in the Remarks) being con-

-sumed in a fruitless attempt to re-

-cover it from Syed Ally, and nothing

after this period appears to have

been done in his case, until my exp-

-lanation of it in the Statement

forwarded on the 18th January 1839.

That the Petitioner Tippoo

4

Beg.

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

By regularly performed the ceremony of the Assaur Shereff from the period he recovered the box which was in the year 1835 or Fusly 1245 until the present time Fusly 1250, is satisfactorily ascertained by the Hauzaree Puttees transmitted to the Hoozoor under the hand and seal of the Tahsildar and that he is equally entitled to his yeomiah from the 2nd Kist of Fusly 1245 to the 3rd Kist of Fusly 1250, a period of five years - - - - - - - and six Months, amounting to 330 Rupees.

5.

The sum mentioned in the Statement as due to him is Rupees 450 but this includes the five years he was without his box which I think should be struck off.—

North Arcot - - - -

Princl Collr Cutcherry
Palmanair 3d June 1841

Signed G. M. Agitius
Princl Collr

Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Proceedings thereon.

20.21

Resolved that the fore-going letter be submitted for the consideration and Orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council with reference to the Board's observations on case No. 535 in the Statement of arrears due to certain Yeomiahdars, which was forwarded to Government under date 27th July last.

Under the circumstances explained by the Principal Collector, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for paying to Tispoo Beg the arrears of Yeomiah due to him from the 2nd Kist of Fusly 1245 to the 3rd Kist of Fusly 1250, amounting to Rupees 330— — —

Read the following letters.—

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

22

From the Actg Pl Collr of Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit for the information of the Board the

1932

Fort St. George

14th June 1841

Statement shewing the Amount of Offers made for the Rent of the Betel and Tobacco duties in each Talook for Fusly

Talooks.	Collection balled of Fusly 1247 under Acumany	Names of the first highest bidders.	Amount offered	comparison of columns 3 and 5 Increase	Decrease	Names of the highest Bidders
1	2		4	5	6	7
	Rs. a p			Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Trivady	7,011 3 5	Ismaulsaw Rowten of Trivady	9,470 " "			Per Mohomud Rowten
Paspanasserry	12,437 " "	Batcha Rowten of Sakroopully	23,000 " "			Rajah Mohomud Rowten
Trivalore	8829 4 "	Alagapilly of Aroondangby	8,600 " "			Badsha Rowten of Sakroopully
Kuvalore	2,474 99	D° of D°	2,000 " "			Ismaulsaw Rowten
Trutaraproondy	2928	D° of D°	100 " "			Alagapillay of Aroondangby
Puttoocottah	2,786 12 "	D° of D°	3,300 " "			Teagarajah pillay of
Nanargoody	10,522 14 10	D° of D°	11,100 " "			Bava Rowten of
Nunnilluru	5611 2 9	Abdool Rahiman Rowten of Coottalum	5,250 " "			Balakistna Naik of
Teodavasel	7,124 13 5	Rajahmohomed Rowten of Rajahcherry	7,400 " "			Moottoosawmy Chetty
Myaveram	1,953 11 8	Elram saw Rowter of Nuvasel	5,700 " "			Aspavayen of Myaveram
Teottalore	2,296 6 2	Aspa Naik of Myaveram	5,400 " "			Elram saw Rowten
Trombaconum	12,443 5 9	Jaarromadapullay of Combaconum	13,550 " "			Rajamohomed Rowten
Sheally	1,496 7 2	Teajarajapilly of Vadarriem	6200 " "			Elram saw Rowten
Parallurv	5047 " 7	Abdool Rahimans Rowten of Coottalum	5,800 " "			D°
Valagamiam	2,900 2 "	D° of D°	2,800 " "			Vaderaw Rowten
Total	85,966 2 2		111,670 " "	8,470 " "	" "	
	1,03,200 "	Offers made for the whole Zillah				
		Badsha Rowten of Sakerapully	118,200 " "	15,000 " "	" "	Raja Mohomud

Tanjore

Vadarriem 2d June 1841

E. E. H. (Signed) H. C. Montgomery

Acting

1933. Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

Fort St. George.

23

From the Asst. Pt. Collr. of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement of the Offers for the Abkarry Rents in this Province for Fusly 1251.—

The aggregate amount of the highest and next highest Offers exceeds the aggregate Amount of Rent for Fusly 1250, the former by Rs 570, and the latter by Rs 370— I have to request the Board's sanction for the acceptance in each case of the highest, or in default of the required deposit

1935.

Fort St. George 14th June 1841

Statement shewing the Amount of Offers made for the Farm of Tanjore.

Abstract Rent in each Talook for Fusly 1251 in Comparison with the Amount

Talooks	Amount of Rent Sanctioned for Fusly 1250	For Fusly 1251 Names of the first highest bidders	Comparison of columns 2 & 4 Amount Offered	Increase	Decrease	For Fusly 1251 Names of the second highest bidders	Amount offered	Increase	Comparison of columns 2 & 8 Dec
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	Rs a P		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P		Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs
Trivady	6,140 u u	Ramasully of Tanjore	6,960 u u	820 u u	u u u	Soobaroyasilly of Tanjore	6,950 u u	810 u u	u
Papanasam	3,120 u u	Moottoosawmy Chetty and Anwordally Naik of Combaconum	3,330 u u	210 u u	u u u	D° of D°	3,320 u u	200 u u	u
Trivalore	2,150 u u	Ringasawmy rajoo of Trivalore	2,100 u u	u u u	50 u u	D° of D°	2,090 u u	u u u	u
Ruvalore	8,100 u u	Sondasilly of Keevalore and Chedumbanadum of Cadumpoor	8,060 u u	u u u	40 u u	Samayen of Cootumpeerootauve Cavoipoo	8,050 u u	u u u	u
Tritrapoondy	1,780 u u	Karoopa Nadan of Moottoopettah	1,600 u u	u u u	180 u u	Lutchmana Nadan of Coten Caud	1,590 u u	u u u	u
Puttoocottah	2,200 u u	Alagasilly of Arroondanghy	1,900 u u	u u u	300 u u	Narrainsilly of Arroondanghy	1,890 u u	u u u	u
Murmargoody	2,140 u u	D° of D°	2,260 u u	u u u	120 u u	Kistnyen of Manargoody	2,250 u u	110 u u	u
Nunnillum	3,070 u u	Veerasilly of Nagore & Naiga Nadan	2,850 u u	u u u	220 u u	Soobaroyasilly of Tanjore	2,840 u u	u u u	2
Codavasal	1,500 u u	Palanymoottoo Nadan of Meiunnanungadum	1,450 u u	u u u	50 u u	Appoo Naik of Myaveram	1,440 u u	u u u	u
Myaveram	6,470 u u	Bauppoo Naik and three others	7,360 u u	890 u u	u u u	Chokalinga Naik of Nellanday	7,350 u u	880 u u	4
Coottalam	4,250 u u	Choury mootoo Nadan of Coottalam	4,500 u u	250 u u	u u u	Chowrynadan of Choyanapoorom	4,460 u u	210 u u	3
Combaconum	11,320 u u	Balakistnanaik and two others	11,020 u u	u u u	300 u u	Goorroonadasilly of Combaconum	11,000 u u	u u u	3
Shiraly	8,200 u u	Ionagasahai Naik of Tadarum Coul and Soobboosillay of Shiraly	7,550 u u	u u u	650 u u	Valayada Naik of Terroveddanurdoor	7,540 u u	u u u	
Parattum	4,100 u u	Appoo Naik of Myaveram & Letchmana Nadan	4,150 u u	50 u u	u u u	Parya Nadan	4,130 u u	30 u u	
Valoyannaun	1,660 u u	Balakistna Naik of Combaconum	1,680 u u	20 u u	u u u	Valayada Naik of Terroveddanurdoor	1,670 u u	10 u u	
Total	66,200 u u		66,770 u u	2,360 u u	1,790 u u		66,570 u u	2,250 u u	1,8
			Net Increase	570 u u			Net Increase	370 u u	

Tanjore

Vadarsiem 3rd June 1841

E. E. Jr. (Signd) H. C. Montgomery

Acting Pr. Collector

1936 Fort St George 14th June 1841.

Proceedings on the two foregoing letters, The Board of Rev-
-nue are pleased to sanction the acceptance of
(22-24) Padsha Rowten's Offer to rent the Betel and
Tobacco duties of Tanjore for Fasly 1251
at Rupees 118,200. They are also pleased
to authorize the acceptance of the
several Offers for the Abkary
Rents of Tanjore enumerated in
the Statements which accompanied
Sir. H. Montgomery's letter of the
3d June amounting in the aggregate
to Rupees 66,770. provided the acting
Principal Collector be satisfied of
the competency of the Renters &
their Sureties.

Read.

Compt. J.R.

1937 Fort St George 14th June 1841.

Read the following letter-

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George-

(25) Sir,

From the Pl. Coll. of Madura — Para 1-

Forwarding Statements shewing the result of the bidings for the Tobacco, Betel & Abkary rents of his District for Fasly 1251

I have the honor to forward
3 Statements of biddings for the Tobacco, Betel,
and Abkary rents for the approaching Fasly
1251, the comparative result of which is as
follows--

		Fasly 1250 Rent Proposals	Fasly 1251	Increase	Decrease
Under the Principal Collector	Tobacco	44900	51750	6850	
	Betel	61750	69500	7750	
	Abkary	35470	43750	8280	
	Total	142120	165000	22880	
Under the Sub Collector	Tobacco	17560	16504		1056
	Betel	23400	22136		1264
	Abkary	7120	7590	470	
	Total	48080	46230	470	2320
				Net decrease	1850

Total of the districts

	Tobacco	62460	68254	5794	
	Betel	85150	91636	6486	
	Abkary	42590	57340	8750	
		190200	211230	21030	

N.E.

Fort St George 14th June 1841.

Net increase is above 21,030 Rs

there is an increase in every rent in the Madura district, except in the Betel of Meyloor, the rent of which was much raised in last year. The great increase in the Madura and Ramnad Talooks is owing to the Keelakurray Marcoyers and other Merchants of Madura competing for the rents with the renters of the expiring fasly, who obtained profit in their speculation —

There is no fear of any of the present bidders failing their engagements, except the bidder for the Ramnad alkany. The decrease in Dindigul, the Sub Collector ascribes to there being no demand for Tobacco for Manglore any more than in last year, and to there being no troops at Dindigul to purchase Betel and areach. Last year there was a considerable rise in the Dindigul rents and some decrease in those under my Care —

2 —

Fort St George 14th June 1841.

to give deposits or security within 15 days, some two months instalments, and a few engage to give one Months deposit and security for one fourth of the rent. From the Keelakurray Marcoyers neither deposit nor security is asked, they being respectable and well known Merchants — One per Cent has been collected from the bidders —

Madura 3 20/21 June 1841.

I Signed J. Blackburne.
Principal Collector —

Statement A —

1940

Fort St George

No 1. Statement of Sa

Taloks.			Average of 3 last Collections.	
Madura				
Madacolum		9117	7	11
Theroomungalum	8	4560	1	2
Meyloor		2052		4
Ramnad Zemindary		12522	9	4
Shevagunga Ditto		6860	8	6
	8	35712	11	3
Dindigul				
Tandeecumboo		6304	6	10
Igempully	8	3008	8	5
Shenkurray	8	2559	13	11
Nellacottah		3323	2	9
Maroongapoory		3403	11	10
		18599	11	9
	8	53712	7	0

| | | | |

Tabacco Collection of former fusilies.

June 1841.

Fusilies.	Amanee Collection.	As per Coule or Puttah.	Rent Collection up to 31st May 1841 Collection	Remissions	Total	Balance of Clon 2 4 6	Total Collection
	6 Rs. As	6 Rs. as	6 Rs. as	6 Rs. as	6 Rs. as	6 Rs. as	6 Rs. as
1231	" "	59,812 15 9	59,812 15 9	" "	59,812 15 9	" " "	59,812 15 9
1232	" " "	64,704 13 4	64,704 13 4	" "	64,704 13 4	" " "	64,704 13 4
1234	" " "	640 14 12	640 14 12	" "	64,014 12	" " "	64,014 12
1235	" " "	83,392 7	78,277 1 8	5,115 5 4	83,392 7	" " "	83,392 7
1235	" " "	80,579 29	79,857 12 2	721 6 7	80,579 29	" " "	80,579 29
1236	" " "	86,885	86,288 8	596 8	86,885	" " "	86,885
1237	" " "	90,280	87,032 4	3247 12	90,280	" " "	90,280
1238	" " "	93,933	88,687 4 2	5,245 11 10	93,933	" " "	93,933
1239	" " "	90,698	88,177 4 6	2,520 11 6	90,698	" " "	90,698
1240	" " "	80,307	80,307	" "	80,307	" " "	80,307
1241	" " "	84,457	84,375 6	81 10	84,457	" " "	84,457
1242	" " "	71,790 58	67,791 6 9	3,998 14 11	71,790 5 8	" " "	71,790 5 8
1243	" " "	69,235	69,235	" "	69,235	" " "	69,235
1244	" " "	66,889	66,889	" "	66,889	" " "	66,889
1245	" " "	70,798	70,798	" "	70,798	" " "	70,798
	" " "	70,410	70,410	" "	70,410	" " "	70,410
							14,463 10 11
							50,205 10 8
							63,469

Statement shewing the Amount Collections under Amanee and the Amount of Rent, Collections, Remissions and Balance of Betel farm from fusly 1231 to 1249 in the Districts of Madura and Dindegul —

Fuslies.	Amount Collection in Amanee.	Total amount of Rent.	Rent Total Collections.	Remissions	Total.	Balance	Total Columns 2 & 6.
1231	112,207- 10 10	112,207- 10 10			112,207 10 10		112,207 10 10
1232	115,584 8 5	115,584 8 5			115,584 8 5		115,584 8 5
1233	103,780 13 4	103,780 13 4			103,780 13 4		103,780 13 4
1234	123,879 2 5	122,570 9 3	1,308 9 2		123,879 2 5		123,879 2 5
1235	126,907 6 8	125,603 3 7	1,304 3 1		126,907 6 8		126,907 6 8
1236	134,580	134,599 5	70 11		134,580		134,580
1237	141,552	138,242 5 4	3,309 10 8		141,552		141,552
1238	142,559 12 4	142,180 14 6	378 13 10		142,559 12 4		142,559 12 4
1239	136,214 7 6	134,872 11 3	1,341 12 3		136,214 7 6		136,214 7 6
1240	104,248 2 8	104,176 15 4	71 3 4		104,248 2 8		104,248 2 8
1241	113,432	113,358	74		113,432		113,432
1242	99,197 15 11	96,359 12 11	2838 3		99,197 15 11		99,197 15 11
1243	93,138	92,817 8 6	320 7 6		93,138		93,138
1244	91,298	91,067 7	230 15 5		91,298		91,298
1245	94,856 8	94,856 8			94,856 8		94,856 8
1246	90,595	90,595			90,595		90,595
1247	54,864 8 10						54,864 8 10
1248	74,830	74,830			74,830		74,830
1249	83011	83,011			83,011		83,011

DESTROYED ORIGINAL

Pokarry Collection of former fusilies ——

June 1841.

Fusilies	Net Collection in Amanee.	as per Cowle or Puttah.	Rent. amount Collected up to 31st May 1841. Collection.	Remission	Total.	Balance.	Total Collection in Cols 246.
1215	...	7,704 9 6.	7,704 9 6.	...	7,704 9 6	...	7,704 9 6
1216	...	7,079 1 ...	6667 ...	412 1 ...	7,079 1 ...	...	7,079 1 ...
1217	...	9,583 2 11.	9,129 10 6	453 8 5.	9,583 2 11.	...	9,583 2 11.
1218	...	27,022 4 5.	22,683 11 8.	4,338 8 9.	27,022 4 5	...	27,022 4 5.
1219	...	22,852 3 10.	22,664 9 7.	187 10 3.	22,852 3 10.	...	22,852 3 10.
1220	8,968 ... 10.	18,051 9 7	18,051 9 7.	...	18,051 9 7.	...	27,019 10 5.
1221	...	27,548 1 ...	27,548 1 ...	...	27,548 1 ...	...	27,548 1 ...
1222	...	29,123 10 1.	27,879 8 4	1,244 1 9.	29,123 10 1	...	29,123 10 1.
1223	11,789 ... 10.	13,556 ... 6.	13,556 ... 6.	...	13,556 ... 6	...	25,345 1 4
1234	...	24,103 11 6.	23,694 6 3.	409 5 3.	24,103 11 6	...	24,103 11 6
1225	...	26,107 11 3.	26,107 11 3.	...	26,107 11 3	...	26,107 11 3
1226	...	31,725 4 11	31,725 4 11	...	31,725 4 11	...	31,725 4 11
1227	...	34,669 15 6	34,669 15 6.	...	34,669 15 6	...	34,669 15 6.
1228	...	35,698 15 8	35,698 15 8.	...	35,698 15 8	...	35,698 15 8.
1229	...	47,812	43,361	4,451	47,812	...	47,812 ... ...
1230	...	54,105 13 4	51,418 15 11.	2,686 13 5	54,105 13 4	...	54,106 13 4
1231	...	60,206 5 9	60,206 5 9.	...	60,206 5 9	...	60,206 5 9
1232	...	60,217	59,236 ... 8.	980 15 4.	60,217	...	60,217 ... ...
1233	...	65,246 2 3	63,959 9 4	1,286 8 11.	65,246 12 3.	...	65,246 2 3
1234	7,742 10 10	60,731 12 ...	59,998 7 1.	733 4 11.	60,731 12 ...	...	68,474 6 10.
1235	8,488 3 ...	58,083	55,224 1 ...	2,858 15 ...	58,083	...	66,571 3 ...
1236	9,633 8 7.	60,280	59,533 6 3.	746 9 9	60,280	...	69,913 8 7.
1237	9,848 12 7	62,197	60,643 15 9	1,555 ... 3.	62,199	...	72,047 12 7
1238	10,103 ... 8 6	58,949 6 ...	57,224 5 10	1,725 ... 3.	58,949 6 ...	...	69,052 14 7.
1239	...	65,148	62,095 4 9.	3,052 11 3	65,148	...	65,148 ... ...
1240	...	60,707 14 1.	60,707 14 1.	...	60,707 14 1	...	60,707 14 1.

7943 Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Proceedings thereon

(25-26)

The Board of Revenue are pleased to sanction the acceptance of the several offers for the Betel, Abkarry, and Tobacco Rents of Madura and Dindigul for Fasly 1257, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 2,11,230 if Mr. Blackburne is satisfied of the competency of the Contractors and of their Sureties.—

These Rents, it is satisfactory to observe, exceed the offer of the previous year by Rupees 21,030.—

Read the following Statement.

(27)

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Cannore from 7th to 13th June 1841.

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1841- Bengal Maunds	Quantity already Exported. Bengal Maunds	Quantity remaining actually in store at the Depôt ready for exportation. Bengal Maunds	Quantity required for the year 1841- Bengal Maunds	Remarks
Madras	100,000	"	100000	40,696	No application was received during the last week.
Madras,					

7944 Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

Ordered that the Proceedings in the Fort St. George Gazette.

(27-28)

Read the following letter

(29)

From the Collector & Register of Govt. Grants.

Submitting for sanction an Application from Lt. Col. Fullock for a fresh lease of Land on the Tally Levis in substitution of that granted to Mr. I. Haig under the sanction of Government dated 16th October 1837.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue the papers noted in the Margin, relative to an Application from Lieut. Colonel A. Fullock for a fresh lease of Land for Cs. 2-11-97 1/2 at Ootacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Malabar in substitution of No. 2 granted to Mr. I. Haig under the sanction of Government dated the 16th October 1837.

1 Letter from the Collr of Mal. dated 28th May 1841.
1 Application from Coll. Fullock 12th April.
1 Original Lease dated 3d February 1838 Rs. 4.
1 Bill of Sale from Mr. Haig to Coll. Fullock 17th February 1841.

2. As the Lease to Mr. Haig has been duly assigned over by his Attorney, Mr. Robert Haig to Colonel Fullock, who has purchased the property therein described for Company's Rupees 600, I beg leave to recommend that the necessary sanction may be procured for my issuing in lieu of it a separate Deed, by way of consent to the transfer, in the form submitted to

to the Board on the 19th March 1832 at the same rates of Assessment as those originally authorized by Government.

3. It is proper to add that it appears to me that Mr R. Haig has assigned over the property referred to above, under Mr I. Haigs General power of Attorney to him of date the 10th July 1840 forwarded in my letter to you under date the 7th April last. No 32.

Madras
7th June 1841

(29-30) [Signed] A. Robertson
Coll.r & Register of Govt Grants

Order thereon.

Ordered that the foregoing letter with its enclosures be submitted to Government with the Boards recommendation that the Register of Government Grants may receive authority for issuing the Document applied for by Lieutenant Colonel A. Tulloch in lieu of the lease* herewith submitted, which was originally granted to Mrs I. Haig

(31) To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

From the Civil Aud.r Sub Treasurer and Acct Gen.l Committee for passing Contingent Charges

Sir,

I am returning to you the accompanying 2 Contingent Bills passed, we have the honor to observe that the items noted in the Margin

*Country tape. Pencils

ought to be obtained on Indent from the Superintendent of Stationery.

Fort St George
3d June 1841

[Signed] J.C. Morris
Civil Auditor
A.S. Cherry
Sub Treasurer
" S. Crawford
Acct Genl
Committee for passing Contingent Charges

(31-32) Ordered to be

Order thereon recorded.

(33) From the Collector of Sincerely

Read the Register of Petitions bearing the Boards Endorsements presented to him for the Month of April last

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

(33-34) Order thereon.

Resolved that the following letter be despatched with reference to an Endorsement therein referred to.

To The Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

(35) To the Collector of Vizagapatam
133 of 1840

Sir

With reference to your Endorsement &c dated 17th February 1841 on the accompanying Petition, I am Directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will report for their information the extent of

Fort St George 14th June 1841

of the produce of the Petitioners lands, and in what manner the demand against them is determined

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
14th June 1841 } Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched together with the Petition therein referred to—

(36)

To The Principal Collector in the Six
N. D. of Arcot

To the Prin. Coll.
of North Arcot
719 of 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Vencut Row late Sahasildar of Panoomurray Taluk in your District, and request you will be pleased with reference to my letter of the 14th May 1840 to report in what manner you have disposed of the case of the Petitioner

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
14th June 1841 } Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

(37)

To The Commissioner in Charge of South Arcot
Sir,

To The Commissioner in charge of South Arcot
41 of 1841

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your early enquiry and report the accompanying Petition from Lutchmee Narsimmah Chetty Shotriemdar of Vanacumbady in your District, claiming a Share in a Yemiah stated

Fort St. George 14th June 1841

it stated to be appropriated by other parties

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George
14th June 1841 } Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

(38)

To The Commissioner in charge of South Arcot
Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from certain cultivating inhabitants of your District and request you will be pleased to report under what circumstances the Assessment upon their waste Lands has been increased to more than double the original amount as therein stated

515 of 1841

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George
14th June 1841 } Signed P. B. Smollett
Secretary

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched

(39)

To The Principal Collector of Salem
Sir,

To the Prin. Coll.
of Salem

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to my letter of the 12th November last

1940

Fort St. George 14th June 1841

last, giving cover to a letter from Mr G. J. Cockburn on the subject of certain lands held by that Gentleman on the Shevaroy Hills. It is desired that your explanations to that reference be submitted for consideration without further delay.

I am directed to take this opportunity to observe that no reply has yet been received to the references from this Department of dates the 11th February & 8th April on the subject of Merino Sheep. Your report on this subject is requested to be expedited & at the same time the cause of the delay explained. You are will be pleased also to report without further loss of time on the representation by Mr Fischer forwarded with my letter of the 18th May.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
14th June 1841

/Signed/ R. B. Smollett
Secretary

To The Accountant General, Civil Auditor and Sub Treasurer

40

To the Accountant General, Civil Auditor & Sub Treasurer Committee for passing Contingent Charges.

Gentlemen,

Committee for passing Contingent Charges.

With reference to the orders of Government of the 7th June 1841, published in the

1951. Fort St. George 14th June 1841.

1

From the Principal Collector of Madura - Return of
(44) Persons in confinement in pursuance of Sentences
passed under Regulation IX of 1822 for the
Month of May last

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly - Stating that
(45) there are no persons charged or remaining
in confinement in pursuance of Sentences passed
under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month
of May last

" G. D. Drury

" A. Maclean

Compd. Thos. Ritchie

IX